Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDQW
Date: 12/3/2018 10:52 AM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, et. al. Petitioners,	*	CIVIL ACTION FILE NUMBER
	*	
	*	2018-CV-313418
	*	
VS.	*	
ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et. al. Respondents.	*	

ORDER

It is hereby ordered that each of the parties be and appear before Senior Judge Adele P. Grubbs of the State of Georgia on the **5th day of December 2018, 9:30 a.m. in Courtroom M202, 2nd Floor**, Cobb Magistrate Court, for hearing on all matters ripe to be heard in the above-styled case.

SO ORDERED this 1st day of December, 2018.

ADELE P. GRUBBS
SENIOR JUDGE, STATE OF GEORGIA
ASSISTING PER ORDER OF APPOINTMENT

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDLW
Date: 11/30/2018 5:06 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, and JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS, GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, DEKALB COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, and GEOFF DUNCAN,** **Defendants.**	**Civil Action No.: 2018CV313418**

NOTICE OF APPEARANCE OF COUNSEL

COME NOW Edward H. Lindsey Jr. Georgia Bar No. 453075 and Samuel S. Olens, Georgia Bar No. 551540, of Dentons US LLP, 303 Peachtree Street, NE, Suite 5300, Atlanta, Georgia 30308, 404-527-4000, and pursuant to Uniform Rules for the Superior Court, Section 4.2, hereby notify this Court and counsel of record of their entry of appearance as counsel on behalf of Geoff Duncan, in this case.

This 30th day of November 2018.

DENTONS US LLP

/s/ Edward H. Lindsey Jr
Edward H. Lindsey Jr.
Georgia Bar No. 453075
Edward.lindsey@dentons.com
Samuel S. Olens
Georgia Bar No. 551540
Samuel.olens@dentons.com

DENTONS US LLP
303 Peachtree Street, Suite 5300
Atlanta, GA 3038
(404) 527-4000
(404) 527-4198 (facsimile)

Attorneys for Geoff Duncan

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the foregoing **NOTICE OF APPEARANCE OF COUNSEL** has been served upon all interested parties via U.S. Mail, postage prepaid to:

Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown Law
1123 Zonolite Road, N.E.
Suite #6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306

Cristina Correia
Senior Assistant Attorney General
Georgia Department of Law
40 Capitol Square SW
Atlanta, Georgia 30334

Bryan P. Tyson
Strickland Brockington Lewis LLP
1170 Peachtree St NE
Suite 2200
Atlanta, GA 30309

Patrise Perkins-Hooker
Fulton County Government
141 Pryor Street
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

R. David Ware
Hall Booth Smith, P.C.
191 Peachtree Tower
191 Peachtree Street
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Viviane Ernstes
DeKalb County GA
1300 Commerce Drive
Decatur, Georgia 30030

Josh Belinfante
Robbins Ross Alloy Belinfante Littlefield LLC
500 14th Street, NW
Atlanta, Georgia 30318

This 30th day of November, 2018.

/s/ Edward H. Lindsey Jr.

109740025\V-1

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDLW
Date: 11/30/2018 3:41 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT,	)	
	)	CIVIL ACTION
Plaintiffs,	)	
	)	FILE NO: 2018CV313418
v.	)	
	)	
ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS, GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, DEKALB COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, and GEOFF DUNCAN,	)	
	)	
Defendants.	)	

NOTICE OF APPEARANCE

Pursuant to Uniform Superior Court Rule 4.2, Attorney General Christopher M. Carr, Deputy Attorney General Annette M. Cowart, Senior Assistant Attorney General Russell D. Willard, and Special Assistant Attorneys General Josh Belinfante, Vincent R. Russo, Brian E. Lake, and Alexander Denton hereby enter their appearance in the above-captioned proceeding as counsel for Defendant Robyn A. Crittenden, Secretary of State of Georgia.

Attorney bar numbers, current office address, telephone number, fax number, and email addresses are provided below.

This 30th day of November, 2018.

Christopher M. Carr
Attorney General
Georgia Bar No. 112505
Annette M. Cowart
Deputy Attorney General
Georgia Bar No. 191199
Russell D. Willard
Senior Assistant Attorney General
Georgia Bar No. 760280
State Law Department
40 Capitol Square, S.W.
Atlanta, GA 30334
Telephone: (404) 656-3357

/s/ Josh Belinfante
Josh Belinfante
Georgia Bar No: 047399
jbelinfante@robbinsfirm.com
Vincent R. Russo
Georgia Bar No. 242628
vrusso@robbinsfirm.com
Brian E. Lake
Georgia Bar No. 575966
blake@robbinsfirm.com
Alexander Denton
Georgia Bar No. 660632
adenton@robbinsfirm.com
Special Assistant Attorneys General
Robbins Ross Alloy Belinfante Littlefield LLC
500 14th Street, N.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30318
Telephone: (678) 701-9381
Facsimile: (404) 856-3250

Attorneys for Defendant Robyn A. Crittenden,
Secretary of State of Georgia

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served the within and foregoing **NOTICE OF APPEARANCE** on all parties by electronically filing it with the Clerk of the Court using the Odyssey eFileGA system, which will automatically send an email notification of such filing to counsel for the parties.

This 30th day of November, 2018.

/s/ Josh Belinfante
Josh Belinfante

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV313418**

PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION AND
<u>TO REQUEST SETTING OF TRIAL DATE</u>

Plaintiffs file this motion seeking clarification of the scope of the proceedings currently scheduled for next Thursday, December 6, 2018, at 1pm, and to request that the Court set a date for the taking of testimony and other evidence as contemplated by the Election Contest statute, including O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525. This clarification and scheduling is necessary so that the parties may subpoena witnesses, examine essential electronic evidence, and make travel and other

arrangements for experts and counsel, and otherwise prepare and plan for trial on the merits.

Pursuant to Order entered earlier today, the Court has directed the Clerk to issue summons to the defendants and candidates for them to answer and appear on December 6, 2018, at 1 p.m. This is, of course, consistent with O.C.G.A. § 21-2-524(f), which states that the Court shall issue notice requiring defendants "to appear and answer such petition, on a day to be fixed in such notice, not more than ten days nor less than five days after the service of such notice."

The actual trial of the matter, however, is governed by O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(a), which states: "Within 20 days after the return day fixed in the notice as provided in subsection (a) of Code Section 21-2-524 to the defendant" [that is, the day fixed for the defendant to "to appear and answer"] "the presiding judge shall fix a place and time for the hearing of the contest proceeding." O.C.G.A. § 21-2-526 then states that all issues of a contest "shall be fully tried and determined by the court" without a jury, unless a jury is demanded by a party before the call of the case.

The Election Contest statute does not prohibit the scheduling of the trial for the same day as the answer, provided the defendants have adequate notice. Each

of the defendants have been on actual notice of the Petition since November 24, 2018, and should be served with formal process shortly.

However, it is not clear if the December 6th date is the "appear and answer" date under O.C.G.A. § 21-2-524(f), or the answer date *and* the date for the evidentiary hearing and trial of the matter under O.C.G.A. § 21-2-526 necessary for the Court to "fully" try and determine the issues raised in this contest. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-527 (a).

Plaintiffs would respectfully request that the trial date be set for a date no earlier than the week of December 10, 2018, and Plaintiffs anticipate the trial to last at least two days. Plaintiffs note that a recent election contest (Gasaway v Ellison 2018cv249 in Banks County Superior Court) for a State House primary election lasted one and one-half days,[1] and it is reasonable to anticpate this case lasting as long, as this case involves multiple and complex irregularities created by a technologically flawed electronic voting system. Plaintiffs anticipate calling a number of voters, pollworkers and pollwatchers who were eye-witnesses to alarming machine irregularities (such as the ballot not including the Lt. Governor's

[1] The May 22, 2018 Republican Primary for House District 28 was contested on June 7, 2018 and involved multiple counties. Pleadings and exchanges of information occurred over the course of the summer, culminating in a trial on September 18 and 19, 2018, approximately 4 months after the contested election. The Court's order for a new election set for December 4, 2018 was issued on September 20, 2018.

race, and repetitive "vote flipping"), and expert election statisticians to testify that there is no plausible explanation for the vast differences between machine and paper votes other than some kind of machine malfunction or programming error. In addition, Plaintiffs will be filing a motion to inspect a sample population of the suspect electronic voting equipment to determine whether there is an extant electronic evidence of the causes of the election machine malfunctions.

Based on the foregoing, Plaintiffs request a clarification as to the schedule and respectfully suggest the following:

1. That the Court hold a preliminary hearing or status conference at the time specified, 1 pm on December 6, to address preliminarily issues related to the trial of the matter, including stipulations, evidence, discovery, necessary parties, etc., as well as any special issues raised under the election contest laws.
2. That the Court fix a date for the trial itself, for two days no earlier than the week of December 10, 2018, and taking into consideration realistic dates for necessary forensic examination and submission of pre-trial briefing. Though Plaintiffs believe that the uncontroverted evidence will establish that the election was "so defective" as to "place in doubt the

result," 21-2-526(d), Plaintiffs would recommend that two days be reserved for the trial of the case.

Respectfully submitted this 28th day of November, 2018.

/s/ Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Georgia Bar No. 064460
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com
Bruce P. Brown Law LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE
Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700

Attorney for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing via the Court's e-filing system to the attorneys of record who have made appearances.

This 28th day of November, 2018.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDDG
Date: 12/4/2018 9:10 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, and JEANNE DUFORT,	*	
Plaintiffs,	*	
	*	**Civil Action No.: 2018CV313418**
v.	*	
ROBIN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS, GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, DEKALB COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS and ELECTIONS, AND GEOFF DUNCAN,	*	
Defendants.	*	

CONSOLIDATED MOTION TO DISMISS BY SPECIAL APPEARANCE AND BRIEF IN SUPPORT ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT ROBIN A. CRITTENDEN

COMES NOW, Robin A. Crittenden, Secretary of State of the State of Georgia ("Secretary Crittenden" or "Defendant"), by and through her counsel, Christopher M. Carr, the Attorney General of the State of Georgia, and specially appears to file this Motion to Dismiss the Petition to Contest Election Results. Secretary Crittenden specially files this motion since she has not been served in this lawsuit, and she does not waive any affirmative defenses or her right to answer in the event she is served. More specifically, since Secretary Crittenden has not been properly served in this lawsuit, she does not waive her right to raise lack of proper service of process at a later date – Secretary Crittenden does not waive service. The Petition should be dismissed pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 9-11-12(b)(1), (6), and (7).

INTRODUCTION

Plaintiffs filed this action to contest the November 6, 2018 General Election for Lieutenant Governor of the State of Georgia (the "Election") pursuant to Article 13, O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520, *et seq.*, of the Georgia Election Code. The Petition must be dismissed both as to Secretary Crittenden and in its entirety. First, Secretary Crittenden is not a proper party to this election challenge, and, therefore, the claims against her are barred by sovereign immunity. Second, Plaintiffs have failed to state valid claims for relief. Finally, the Petition fails to name all the necessary parties for an election contest.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

Plaintiffs are a Colorado-based organization and three individuals who claim to be aggrieved electors who were entitled to vote in the Election. Petition at ¶¶ 5-10. The results of the Election were certified on November 17, 2018, and the Republican candidate Geoff Duncan received the most votes. *Id.* at ¶ 17, 19.

ARGUMENT AND CITATION OF AUTHORITIES

I. Claims under O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520, *et seq.* Against Secretary Crittenden Are Barred By Sovereign Immunity.

O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2) lists four categories of possible defendants in contested election lawsuits, none of which include the Secretary of State. As such, Secretary Crittenden is not a proper party to this election challenge, and Plaintiffs' claims against her are barred by sovereign immunity. As discussed fully below, these claims must be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction because there is no waiver of sovereign immunity to authorize a lawsuit under Article 13 of the Election Code against a state agency or state officials acting in their official capacity.

A. Plaintiffs Cannot Demonstrate the Existence of a Clear, Unequivocal Waiver of Sovereign Immunity.

The "sweep of sovereign immunity" under the Georgia Constitution is "broad." *Olvera v. Univ. Sys. of Ga.'s Bd. of Regents*, 298 Ga. 425, 426 (2016). The 1991 amendment to the Georgia Constitution gave the legislature the "exclusive power to waive sovereign immunity":

> Except as specifically provided in this Paragraph, sovereign immunity extends to the state and all of its departments and agencies. The sovereign immunity of the state and its departments and agencies can *only be waived by an Act of the General Assembly which specifically provides that sovereign immunity is thereby waived and the extent of such waiver.*

Ga. Const. Art. I, Sec. II, Par. IX (e) (emphasis added). "Where the sovereign has sovereign immunity from a cause of action, and has not waived that immunity, the immunity rises to a constitutional right and cannot be abrogated by any court." *Ga. Dep't of County Health v. Neal*, 334 Ga. App. 851, 854 (2015); s*ee Georgia Dep't of Natural Resources v. Center for a Sustainable Coast*, 294 Ga. 593 (2014) ("The history of sovereign immunity in our State shows that the 1991 amendment intended to expressly reserve the power to waive sovereign immunity exclusively to the legislature.").

Georgia courts have also made clear that it is the Plaintiffs' burden to demonstrate the existence of an explicit waiver of sovereign immunity to authorize the suit. *See, e.g.*, *Neal*, 334 Ga. App. at 855 ("It is axiomatic that the party seeking to benefit from the waiver of sovereign immunity bears the burden of proving such waiver."). Thus, in order to survive this Motion, Plaintiffs must show the existence of a statute that specifically waives sovereign immunity by authorizing suits against the State in election contests under Article 13 of the Election Code. As discussed below, Plaintiffs cannot make such a showing here because the Election Code does not contain a waiver of sovereign immunity against the State and instead contains an explicit

legislative directive in O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2) that the Secretary of State is *not* subject to suit in an election contest.[1]

B. Secretary Crittenden is not Subject to Suit in an Election Contest.

In O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2), the General Assembly delineated only four categories of persons subject to suit in an election contest under Article 13 of the Election Code, and Secretary Crittenden does not fit within any of those four categories that are relevant to this case. While Plaintiffs state that Secretary Crittenden is a "de facto election superintendent" (Petition at ¶12), "election superintendent" is an explicitly defined term in the Election Code that does not include the Secretary of State.

Any argument that Secretary Crittenden is an "election superintendent" under O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2)(C) must fail because at no point in the Election Code is the Secretary of State described as an "election superintendent." In fact, definition of "superintendent" in the Election Code expressly excludes the Secretary of State. In O.C.G.A. § 21-2-2(35), the General Assembly defined "superintendent" for purposes of the Election Code. With the exception of municipal elections, a "superintendent" is defined as follows:

> (35) "Superintendent" means:
>
> > (A) Either *the judge of the probate court* of a county or *the county board of elections*, *the county board of elections and registration*, the *joint city-county board of elections*, or the *joint city-county board of elections and registrations*, if a county has such.

O.C.G.A. § 21-2-2(35)(A) (emphasis added). The Code defines "superintendent" as one of five possible *city* or *county* officials/entities: 1) the judge of the probate court of a county; 2) the county board of elections; 3) the county board of elections and registrations; 4) the joint city-

[1] The Georgia Supreme Court has repeatedly stated that sovereign immunity applies to public officials sued in their official capacities because these "are in reality suits against the state." *See, e.g.*, *Sustainable Coast*, 294 Ga. at 599, n. 4 (citing *Cameron v. Lang*, 274 Ga. 122, 126 (2001)).

county board of elections; and 5) the joint city-county board of elections and registration. None of these five entities are state agencies or officials of any kind. Thus, the Secretary of State is not a "superintendent" for purposes of the Election Code and is not subject to suit in an election contest under O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2)(C).

It is a cardinal principle of statutory construction that courts must "presume that the General Assembly meant what it said and said what it meant." *Deal v. Coleman*, 294 Ga. 170, 172 (2013). "If the statutory text is clear and unambiguous [courts] attribute to the statute its plain meaning, and our search for statutory meaning is at an end." *Id.* at 173. Here, the definition of "superintendent" in the Election Code is clear and unambiguous, and it plainly does not include any state agencies or officials, including the Secretary of State.

While no further legal analysis is necessary when, as in this case, the statutory language is plain on its face, other well-established rules of statutory construction further demonstrate that the Secretary of State is not an election "superintendent" under O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2)(C). For example, courts often note that words in statutes must not be "interpreted in isolation; instead, courts must construe a statute to give sensible and intelligent effect to all of its provisions and must consider the statute in relation to other statutes of which it is part. *United States Bank Nat'l Assn v. Gordon*, 289 Ga. 12, 14–15 (2011) (internal quotations and citations omitted). "In particular, statutes 'in pari materia,' i.e., statutes relating to the same subject matter, must be construed together. *Id.* at 15. A related corollary to this rule is that courts should "avoid a construction that makes some language mere surplusage." *Cherokee Funding LLC v. Ruth*, 342 Ga. App. 404, 407 (2017).

Application of these rules to the provisions of the Election Code demonstrates that the General Assembly defined "superintendent" and the "Secretary of State" to be distinct and

separate offices and entities from each other and that the Secretary of State cannot be considered an election "superintendent." Article 2 of the Election Code, entitled "Supervisory Boards and Officers," for example, contains *separate* Parts for the State Election Board (the "SEB"), the Secretary of State, and superintendents. Statutory provisions governing the SEB are contained in Part 1 of Article 2 and can be found at O.C.G.A. §§ 21-2-30 through 21-2-34. Provisions governing the Secretary of State are found in Part 2 at O.C.G.A. §§ 21-2-50 through 21-2-52. Lastly, provisions governing superintendents are found in Part 3 at O.C.G.A. §§ 21-2-70 through 21-2-77. In each of these separate Parts, there are distinct statutes setting forth the distinct powers and duties of each of these different offices and entities. *See* O.C.G.A. § 21-2-31 (listing "[d]uties" of SEB); O.C.G.A. § 21-2-50 (listing "[p]owers and duties" of Secretary of State); and O.C.G.A. § 21-2-70 (listing "[p]owers and duties" of the superintendents).

The Election Code is replete with statutes that refer to a "superintendent" in the exact same statute as the Secretary of State, all of which would be rendered unintelligible if "superintendent" was defined as interchangeable with the Secretary of State. To cite a few examples, O.C.G.A. § 21-2-101(a) provides that "election superintendents" shall become certified by completing a certification program approved by the Secretary of State . . . ." This provision makes clear that the election superintendent is different than the Secretary of State. It would be nonsensical if the Secretary of State were deemed to be a superintendent because in that event the Secretary of State would be a candidate for certification and at the same time in charge of the certification program. *See also* O.C.G.A. § 21-2-100(a) ("The election superintendent . . . shall attend a minimum of 12 hours' training biennially as may be selected by the Secretary of State").

The statutory provisions governing recount and recanvass procedures also demonstrate that the Secretary of State is *not* a superintendent:

> Procedure for recount or recanvass of votes; losing candidate's right to a recount
>
> * * * *
>
> (c)(1) . . . . If the office sought is a federal or state office voted upon by the electors of more than one county, the request shall be made to *the Secretary of State who shall direct that the recount be performed in all counties in which electors voted for such office and notify the superintendents of the several counties involved of the request. In all other cases, the request shall be made to the superintendent. The superintendent or superintendents shall order a recount of such votes to be made immediately*. If, upon such recount, it is determined that the original count was incorrect, *the returns and all papers prepared by the superintendent, the superintendents, or the Secretary of State* shall be corrected accordingly and the results re-certified.

O.C.G.A. § 21-2-495(c)(1) (emphasis added).[2] The emphasized language makes clear that the Secretary of State is *not* the election superintendent: In multi-county elections, notice of a recount request must be made *to the Secretary of State* who then *notifies* the superintendents of the relevant counties. Interpreting the Secretary of State to be a "superintendent" renders the statute unintelligible and ignores the use of the disjunctive "or" in the statute ("the superintendents or the Secretary of State").[3]

---

[2] Similarly, O.C.G.A. § 21-2-495(d) also refers to the Secretary of State directing the election superintendents to conduct any recount or recanvass if a candidate for federal or state office so petitions.

[3] A statute involving DRE voting machines also makes clear that the Secretary of State is not a "superintendent." O.C.G.A. § 21-2-379.8(a) provides that the "*Secretary of State shall advise the superintendents* on recommended methods of demonstrating [DRE] units so as to properly educate electors in the use thereof . . . ." If the Secretary of State were a superintendent, she would be in effect advising herself, which obviously makes no sense. There are numerous other provisions throughout the Election Code where the Secretary of State and the "superintendent(s)" are referred to as separate entities within the same statute. *See e.g.*, O.C.G.A. § 21-4-5(j) ("[R]ecall petition forms shall be printed by the office of the Secretary of State and distributed to election superintendents"); O.C.G.A. § 21-2-499 ("Upon receiving the certified returns of any election from the various superintendents, the Secretary of State shall immediately proceed to tabulate, compute, and canvass the votes cast . . . ."); O.C.G.A. § 21-2-99 ("[T]he superintendent

The plain language of the Election Code thus makes clear that the Secretary of State is not a "superintendent" under O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2)(C). Because no state agency or state official falls within any of the four categories of parties that may be sued in an election contest under O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2)(A)–(D), the General Assembly has not waived sovereign immunity to authorize election contest claims to be brought against State agencies or State officials acting in their official capacity. The claims against Secretary Crittenden are, therefore, barred by sovereign immunity and must be dismissed pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 9-11-12(b)(1) for lack of subject matter jurisdiction.

II. Plaintiff Coalition For Good Governance Lacks Standing To Bring An Election Contest Claim.

The Georgia Election Code grants two specific types of individuals the ability to bring an election contest claim: (1) a candidate in an election or (2) an elector who was entitled to vote in an election. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-521. The Coalition for Good Governance asserts that it has both organizational and associational standing to bring an election contest claim. Petition at ¶ 7. The Coalition plainly does not have organizational standing on its own behalf to bring an election contest claim because it is neither a candidate nor an elector. *See* O.C.G.A. § 21-2-521.

The Coalition also does not have associational standing on behalf of its members. "Generally, 'an association has standing to bring suit on behalf of its members when: (a) its members would otherwise have standing to sue in their own right; (b) the interests it seeks to

---

shall notify the Secretary of State on forms provided by the Secretary of State" that poll workers received required training); O.C.G.A. § 21-2-497(b) ("Each county and municipal superintendent shall, upon certification, furnish to the Secretary of State in a manner determined by the Secretary of State a final copy of each ballot used for such election); O.C.G.A. § 21-2-400(a) ("Prior to each primary and election, the superintendent shall obtain from the Secretary of State a sufficient number of cards of instructions for guidance of electors"); O.C.G.A. § 21-2-132(d) (qualifying fees paid to Secretary of State *or* superintendent depending upon type of election); O.C.G.A. § 21-2-293(a) (superintendent must give at least 24 hours' notice to the Secretary of State and affected candidates of mistakes or omissions on ballots).

protect are germane to the organization's purpose; and (c) neither the claim asserted nor the relief requested requires the participation of individual members in the lawsuit.'" *Georgiacarry.org, Inc. v. Allen,* 299 Ga. 716, 718 (2016) (quoting *Atlanta Taxicab Comp. Owners Assoc., Inc. v. City of Atlanta*, 281 Ga. 342, 344 (2006)). Here, Plaintiffs' election contest claim requires the participation of individual members, because the election contest provisions only contemplate that candidates in an election or electors who were entitled to vote in an election can bring an election contest claim. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-521.

III. Plaintiffs' Election Contest Claim Fails On The Merits.

Even if Plaintiffs' election contest claim against the Secretary was not barred by sovereign immunity, Plaintiffs have not alleged any misconduct or irregularity in the Election that could satisfy their burden of showing that the election results were changed or should be placed in doubt under O.C.G.A. § 21-2-522(1). Further, they have not identified a sufficient number of rejected legal votes or illegal votes received to change or place the election in doubt under O.C.G.A. § 21-2-522(3). Instead, Plaintiffs make a series of conclusory allegations through which they claim that alleged vulnerabilities in Georgia's electronic voting infrastructure created a "high risk of undetected manipulations" that should cast doubt on the election. *See* Petition at ¶¶ 30-33. Critically, Plaintiffs do not allege that any manipulation of Georgia's electronic voting infrastructure *actually* occurred. To succeed on their claims, Plaintiffs would require the Court to *assume* that the election was changed or should be placed in doubt. Without such an assumption, there is no basis for the Court to conclude that there was misconduct, irregularities, rejected legal votes, or received illegal votes sufficient to change the result or to place it in doubt. Because of these deficiencies, Plaintiffs have failed to state a valid claim for relief under either O.C.G.A. § 21-2-522(1) or (3).

A court considering a motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim must construe the facts of a complaint in the light most favorable to the plaintiff. *Stendahl v. Cobb County*, 284 Ga. 525, 525 (2008). If "the movant establishes that the [petitioner] could not possibly introduce evidence within the framework of the complaint sufficient to warrant a grant of the relief sought," a court should dismiss the complaint. *Scouten v. Amerisave Mortgage Corp.*, 283 Ga. 72, 73 (2008); *see also* O.C.G.A. § 9-11-12(b)(6).

In election contests, courts "must presume that the results of an election contest are valid, *Middleton v. Smith*, 273 Ga. 202, 203 (2000), and they "should be extremely hesitant to interfere with the electoral process," *Mead v. Sheffield*, 278 Ga. 268, 273 (2004). "Thus, an election will not be invalidated unless the party contesting the election demonstrates an irregularity or illegality sufficient to change or place in doubt the result." *Middleton*, 273 Ga. at 203; *see also Mead*, 278 Ga. at 270. To succeed on a ballot irregularity claim under O.C.G.A. § 21-2-522(1), a plaintiff must "show that there were enough irregular ballots to place in doubt the result." *Mead*, 278 Ga. at 271 (quoting *Howell v. Fears*, 275 Ga. 627, 628 (2002)).

Likewise, to succeed on a vote legality claim under O.C.G.A. § 21-2-522(3), a plaintiff must demonstrate that the pool of legal votes discarded or illegal votes cast exceeded the margin of victory. *Id.* "It is not sufficient to show irregularities which simply erode confidence in the outcome of the election." *Middleton*, 273 Ga. at 203. "Elections cannot be overturned on the basis of mere speculation or an appearance of impropriety in the election procedures. *Id.* (citing *Hunt v. Crawford*, 270 Ga. 7, 9 (1998)). Thus, for both types of contest claims, the Court must focus on whether the allegations specifically show that enough votes were affected to change or place the result of the election in doubt.

Here, Plaintiffs fail to make such a showing for either type of contest claim. As stated above, Plaintiffs' primary allegations are a series of complaints about alleged vulnerabilities in Georgia's electronic voting infrastructure. *See* Petition at ¶¶ 21-35. They do not allege that any intentional or unintentional manipulations of Georgia's electronic voting infrastructure *actually* occurred.[4] In fact, the only allegation they make that any part of Georgia's election infrastructure was even *accessed* (much less manipulated) from the outside is an allegation that over two years before the election in question, a cybersecurity researcher accessed one state election server that was then housed at Kennesaw State University. *Id.* at ¶ 31. Plaintiffs attempt to bolster their allegations of irregularities in Georgia's election systems by citing a recent federal trial court order in *Curling v. Kemp*, Civil Action No. 17-cv-0289 (N.D. Ga. Sep. 17, 2018). In that order, however, not only did the *Curling* court refuse to grant relief against the State, but it made no finding that any manipulation of Georgia's election systems has occurred.

Next, Plaintiffs have provided nine anecdotal allegations of irregularities in the November 6, 2018 election that they claim require this Court to order a new election. Petition at ¶¶ 40-48. Of the nine examples, only five even purport to involve the election for Lieutenant Governor at issue here. *Id.* at ¶¶ 40, 41, 43, 45, and 48. Thus, only the five allegations concerning the election for Lieutenant Governor are relevant to this Court's consideration of Plaintiffs' contest claim. The remaining four allegations are too speculative concerning their potential effect on that race to merit consideration. *See Middleton*, 273 Ga. at 203.

---

[4] Plaintiffs do allege that there is a "known compromise of the vulnerable DRE system," but nowhere do they actually identify this alleged "compromise." *See* Petition at ¶ 57. To the extent that Plaintiffs here are referring to the *access* of the election server at Kennesaw State University in 2016, they do not allege that that server was a DRE server or that any improper manipulation of or change to any State election system ever occurred.

Even for the five allegations concerning the election for Lieutenant Governor, Plaintiffs have alleged, at most, irregularities concerning a total 102 votes or ballots—one each from the allegations in Paragraphs 40, 41, 43, and 45 concerning individual voters and 98 from the allegations in Paragraph 48 concerning a vote-total discrepancy between the official results from a precinct and the precinct's poll tapes. Plaintiffs do not allege the total number of votes cast for the candidates in the Election and do not allege the margin of victory. Thus, from the face of Petition, the Court cannot make the required determination of whether there were enough irregular ballots, rejected legal ballots, or received illegal ballots that exceeded the margin of victory and thus placed the election result in doubt. *See Mead*, 278 Ga. at 271 (quoting *Howell*, 275 Ga. at 628). Even if Plaintiffs had alleged the vote totals from the election, they would need to allege that the 102 examples of ballot or voter issues are sufficient to place the election result in doubt. *See id.*

This same problem arises when analyzing Plaintiffs' remaining allegations concerning discrepancies in participation rates by voters in the various races that were on the ballot on November 6, 2018. Petition at ¶¶ 49-57. Plaintiffs make no allegations that – even if these discrepancies are the product of irregular ballots, rejected legal ballots, or received illegal ballots – the margin of victory would be exceeded such that the result of the election would be placed in doubt. Based on the allegations in the Petition, Plaintiffs could only succeed if the Court *assumes* first that these discrepancies are the product of impropriety and second that the number of votes affected by the discrepancies could change the election.

As Secretary Crittenden has already stated, the participation discrepancies Plaintiffs have identified can easily be explained by the fact that voters are not obligated to cast a vote in each contest appearing on their ballots. *See* Petition Exhibit C at 1. Furthermore, the Democratic

Candidate for Lieutenant Governor who lost the election has *herself* admitted that the discrepancies that Plaintiffs allege likely did not affect the outcome of the race. *See* Petition Exhibit B at 3.

Plaintiffs' speculative allegations do not rise to the level of the instances of specific and identifiable ballot or voter irregularities that the Supreme Court of Georgia has recognized constitute a viable election contest claim. *See, e.g.*, *Mead*, 278 Ga. at 272 (describing allegations that 481 irregular ballots exceeded the 395-vote margin in the election at issue); *Howell*, 275 Ga. at 627 (describing allegations that 43 irregular ballots were cast in an election decided by a margin of 34 votes). In fact, even when presented with the type of concrete numbers missing here, the Supreme Court has rejected an election contest when the impropriety alleged is merely speculative. *See Middleton*, 273 Ga. at 202-03 (describing allegations that 506 illegal ballots exceeded the margin of victory in two races decided by margins of 117 and 20 votes, while ultimately deciding that the illegality alleged was only speculative). Plaintiffs' conclusory and speculative claims cannot form a valid election contest claim, and this claim must be dismissed.

IV. The Contest Claim Should Be Dismissed For Failure To Add Necessary Parties.

Plaintiffs' election contest claim must also be dismissed because Plaintiffs failed to name the county election superintendents from any of the ten counties that appear, in Plaintiffs' eyes, to be the primary source of the "residual vote" question at issue in this lawsuit. These are the ten counties identified by the Democratic Candidate Sara R. Amico in her letter to Secretary Crittenden, which is attached to the Petition at Exhibit B, Attachment C. An action may be dismissed where the plaintiff has failed to join a necessary party. O.C.G.A. § 9-11-12(b)(7). A party is "necessary" to an action if, "[i]n [its] absence[,] complete relief cannot be afforded among those who are already parties." O.C.G.A. § 9-11-19(a)(1).

Instead of naming the election superintendents from any of the ten counties referenced in Amico's letter, Plaintiffs have instead inexplicably named Fulton, Gwinnett, and DeKalb Counties. Because Plaintiffs' allegations concerning improper "residual votes" appear to be primarily tied to how the election was conducted in the ten counties referenced in Amico's letter, any relief that Plaintiffs could receive would require some action on the part of these ten counties to fix any problems that led to the allegedly improper "residual votes." If these ten counties' election superintendents are not parties to this action, the county election officials would also be prejudiced because such officials would be obliged to hold a new election without having had the opportunity to challenge this suit in court. Likewise, the remedy of a new election would require relief from a respondent not named in this suit. Finally, O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2)(C) specifically contemplates that multiple election superintendents are the proper defendants in an election challenge when, as here, more than one election superintendent conducted an election.[5] Thus, the Petition should be dismissed for failure to join necessary parties under O.C.G.A. § 9-11-12(b)(7).

[5] Because the Lieutenant Governor's race is a state-wide election conducted by all 159 counties in the State, all 159 county election superintendents may, in fact, be necessary parties. Each election superintendent conducted the November 6, 2018 election for Lieutenant Governor and will be required to conduct a new election if the Court orders one. Thus, it may be necessary for Plaintiffs to name all 159 election superintendents to bring this challenge to a state-wide election. *See* O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2)(C) (listing "[t]she election superintendent or superintendents who *conducted* the *contested* primary or election" as the proper government official defendants in a candidate challenge (emphasis added)).

V. The Eleventh Amendment Bars Plaintiffs' Federal Claims.

Plaintiffs' federal claims are asserted against Secretary of State Robyn A. Crittenden. *See* Petition Counts II and III. These claims against Secretary Crittenden in her official capacity are barred by the Eleventh Amendment.[6]

The Eleventh Amendment to the United States Constitution provides that "[t]he judicial power of the United States shall not be construed to extend to any suit in law or equity, commenced or prosecuted against one of the United States by Citizens of another State, or by Citizens or Subjects of any Foreign State." U.S. Const. amend. XI. By its own terms, the amendment affords States immunity from suits, both legal and equitable. *Id.* "[D]espite the limited terms of the Eleventh Amendment, a federal court [cannot] entertain a suit brought by a citizen against his own State." *Pennhurst State Sch. & Hosp. v. Halderman*, 465 U.S. 89, 98 (1984). Because claims against public officials in their official capacities are merely another way of pleading an action against the entity of which the officer is an agent, "official capacity" claims against a state officer are included in the Eleventh Amendment's bar. *Kentucky v.*

---

[6] Although the Petition is silent as to the capacity in which Defendant Secretary is sued, Plaintiffs' prayer for relief includes only injunctive relief and such relief is not available from Defendant in her individual capacity. Claims for injunctive relief may be brought against government officials only in their official capacity. *Wu v. Thomas*, 863 F.2d 1543, 1550 (11th Cir. 1989); *Edwards v. Wallace Community College*, 49 F.3d 1517, 1524 n. 9 (11th Cir. 1995) (explaining that "claims for injunctive or declaratory relief . . . are considered to be official capacity claims against the relevant governmental entity"); *see also Santhuff v. Seitz*, 385 Fed. App'x. 939, 642 n. 3 (11th Cir. 2010) (affirming dismissal of claims for injunctive relief where Defendant was only sued in his individual capacity); *Common Cause v. Kemp*, 243 F. Supp. 3d 1315, 1318 (N.D. Ga. 2017), *vacated and remanded on other grounds*, 714 Fed. App'x. 990 (11th Cir. 2018); *Calhoun v. Lockette*, 2018 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 37509, * 6 (M.D. Ga. 2018) (failure to state a claim for injunctive relief against state official when sued in her individual capacity).

Graham, 473 U.S. 159, 165 (1985).[7] Eleventh Amendment immunity applies to federal claims brought both in federal and state court. *See e.g.*, *Alden v. Maine*, 527 U.S. 706, 754 (1999).

While an exception to Eleventh Amendment immunity exists under *Ex parte Young*, 209 U.S. 123 (1908), it is limited to suits against state officers for *prospective* injunctive relief. *Arizonans for Official English v. Arizona*, 520 U.S. 43, 69 n. 24 (1997). "A federal court cannot award *retrospective* relief, designed to remedy past violations of federal law." *Idaho v. Coeur d' Alene Tribe*, 521 U.S. 261, 288 (1997) (O'Connor, J., concurring) (emphasis added).

Here, Plaintiffs' challenge is to the allegedly unconstitutional manner in which the November 6, 2018 general election *was* held. Plaintiffs seek a new election to remedy the past allegedly infirm election. Such relief is retrospective and therefore not within the *Ex Parte Young* exception. Accordingly, Plaintiffs' federal claims are barred by the Eleventh Amendment.

VI. Plaintiffs Have Failed To Sufficiently Allege A Due Process Claim.

Plaintiffs assert a federal due process claim stemming from the use of allegedly defective DRE machines in the November 6, 2018 general election. Petition at ¶¶ 69-72. The Supreme Court has long recognized that "as a practical matter, there must be a substantial regulation of elections if they are to be fair and honest and if some sort of order, rather than chaos, is to accompany the democratic process." *Storer v. Brown*, 415 U.S. 724, 730 (1974). "Regulations imposing severe burdens on plaintiffs' rights must be narrowly tailored and advance a compelling state interest. Lesser burdens, however, trigger less exacting review, and a State's

[7] There is no question that Plaintiffs' constitutional claims, necessarily brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, do *not* abrogate the Eleventh Amendment. The Supreme Court has repeatedly held that "§ 1983 does not override a State's Eleventh Amendment immunity." *Will v. Mich. Dep't of State Police*, 491 U.S. 58, 63 (1989); *Quern v. Jordan*, 440 U.S. 332, 342 (1979); *Kentucky*, 473 U.S. at 169 n.17.

'important regulatory interests' will usually be enough to justify 'reasonable, nondiscriminatory restrictions.'" *Timmons v. Twin Cities Area New Party*, 520 U.S. 351, 358 (1997) (quoting *Burdick v. Takushi*, 504 U.S. 428, 434 (1992)).

The Georgia Supreme Court has previously held that the use of DRE voting machines does not violate voters' state or federal constitutional rights, including substantive due process rights. *Favorito*, 285 Ga. at 797-798 (explaining that voters do not have a right to a particular ballot system and that "it is the job of democratically-elected representatives to weigh the pros and cons of various balloting systems."). Similarly, the Eleventh Circuit has rejected due process and equal protection challenges to manual recount procedures premised on the lack of a paper trail for counties that used the touchscreen machines. *Wexler v. Anderson*, 452 F.3d 1226, 1233 (11th Cir. 2006), *cert. denied*, 549 U.S. 1111 (2007).

> [I]f voters in touchscreen counties are burdened at all, that burden is the mere possibility that should they cast residual ballots, those ballots will receive a different, and allegedly inferior, type of review in the event of a manual recount. Such a burden, borne of a reasonable, nondiscriminatory regulation, is not so substantial that strict scrutiny is appropriate.

452 F.3d at 1232-1233. Other courts have also held that the use of a DRE ballot system does not, without more, state a due process claim. *Stein v. Cortés*, 223 F. Supp. 3d 423, 438 (E.D. Penn. 2016) (explaining that "decisions that sustain due process challenges to elections involve documented instances of improperly cast ballots, wholesale refusal to count properly cast ballots, direct infringements of the right to cast ballots, or a total failure to conduct the election").

Nor is a state's decision to use DRE machines generally subject to strict scrutiny. *Weber v. Shelley*, 347 F.3d 1101, 1106 (9th Cir. 2003) ("Under *Burdick*, the use of touchscreen voting systems is not subject to strict scrutiny simply because this particular balloting system may make the possibility of some kinds of fraud more difficult to detect."). The *Weber* court recognized

that "it is the job of democratically-elected representatives to weigh the pros and cons of various balloting systems. So long as their choice is reasonable and neutral, it is free from judicial second-guessing." *Weber*, 347 F.3d at 1107; *Favorito*, 285 Ga. at 797 (same, quoting *Weber*). Relying in part on *Weber*, the Pennsylvania Supreme Court has also recently held that "DREs that register votes electronically without a voter-verified ballot do not severely restrict the right to vote." *Banfield v. Cortés*, 631 Pa. 229, 265 (Pa. 2015). Similarly, in recognizing the benefits of DRE machines, the Texas Supreme Court has held that:

> DREs are not perfect. No voting system is. We cannot say that DREs impose severe restrictions on voters, particularly in light of the significant benefits such machines offer. . . . DREs can reduce uncounted votes and virtually eliminate the racial gap that tends to exist with other types of equipment, have the potential to expand access for people with disabilities and for voters with limited English proficiency, and tend to considerably reduce the number of uncounted votes.

Andrade v. NAACP of Austin, 345 S.W. 3d 1, (Tex. 2011) (internal quotations and citations omitted).

Here, Plaintiffs simply allege that the race for Lieutenant Governor garnered fewer votes than other statewide offices and ask this Court to assume a causal relationship between the number of votes for the Lieutenant Governor race and the use of DRE machines. These allegations are insufficient to state a due process claim under the Fourteenth Amendment.

VII. Plaintiffs Fail to Sufficiently Allege an Equal Protection Claim.

Plaintiffs' equal protection claim is premised on allegations that the use of a DRE machine to cast a ballot in the November 6, 2018 general election was less favorable than voting by absentee ballot. Petition at ¶¶ 74-76. Plaintiffs have not made out an equal protection claim.

The Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment commands that no State shall "deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws," which is essentially

a direction that all persons *similarly situated* should be treated alike. *City of Cleburne v. Cleburne Living Center*, 473 U.S. 432, 439 (1985) (emphasis added). An equal protection claim requires a showing that a plaintiff has been the victim of intentional discrimination. *Batson v. Kentucky*, 476 U.S. 79 (1986). The requisite discriminatory purpose must be "more than intent as volition or intent as awareness of consequences. It implies that the decisionmaker . . . selected or reaffirmed a particular course of action at least in part 'because of,' not merely 'in spite of,' its adverse effects upon an identifiable group." *Massachusetts v. Feeney*, 442 U.S. 256, 279 (1979) (internal citations omitted). The plaintiff may prove intent with direct or circumstantial evidence. *Burton v. City of Belle Glade*, 178 F.3d 1175, 1189 (11th Cir. 1999).

The first step in any equal protection claim is to establish that a recognizable distinct class is singled out for different treatment under the laws as written or as applied. *Castaneda v. Partida*, 430 U.S. 482, 494 (1977). Here, Plaintiffs fail this first step. Plaintiffs' Petition is not that similarly situated voters were treated differently, it is that voters that chose to vote by absentee paper ballot were allegedly treated more favorably than voters that chose to vote on a DRE. Doc. 1 ¶¶ 74-76. In other words, the two classes of voters, after choosing to cast their ballots using *different* methods, are no longer *similarly situated*. "To maintain this focus on discrimination, and to avoid constitutionalizing every state regulatory dispute, [courts] are obliged to apply the 'similarly situated' requirement with rigor. *Griffin Indus. v. Irvin*, 496 F.3d 1189, 1207 (11th Cir. 2007). "Different treatment of dissimilarly situated persons does not violate the equal protection clause." *Id.* (quoting *E & T Realty v. Strickland*, 830 F.2d 1107, 1109 (11th Cir. 1987)).

As the Georgia Supreme Court recognized in an earlier challenge to Georgia's use of DRE machines, all Georgia voters "have the option of casting an absentee ballot or using the

touch screen electronic voting machines on election day." *Favorito v. Handel,* 285 Ga. 795, 798 (2009) (rejecting state and federal due process and equal protection constitutional challenges to the use of DRE machines). As the *Favorito* Court recognized, "absentee voters 'have not been treated differently from the polling place voters, except in a manner permissible under the election statutes' and as a result of their own choice." 285 Ga. at 798. Plaintiffs' allegations fail to sufficiently allege a claim for violation of the Equal Protection Clause.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the Court should dismiss Plaintiffs' Petition.

This 4th day of December, 2018.

Christopher M. Carr
Attorney General
Georgia Bar No. 112505
Annette M. Cowart
Deputy Attorney General
Georgia Bar No. 191199
Russell D. Willard
Senior Assistant Attorney General
Georgia Bar No. 760280
State Law Department
40 Capitol Square, S.W.
Atlanta, GA 30334
Telephone: (404) 656-3357

/s/ Josh Belinfante
Josh Belinfante
Georgia Bar No: 047399
jbelinfante@robbinsfirm.com
Vincent R. Russo
Georgia Bar No. 242628
vrusso@robbinsfirm.com
Brian E. Lake
Georgia Bar No. 575966
blake@robbinsfirm.com
Alexander Denton
Georgia Bar No. 660632
adenton@robbinsfirm.com
Special Assistant Attorneys General

Robbins Ross Alloy Belinfante Littlefield LLC
500 14th Street, N.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30318
Telephone: (678) 701-9381
Facsimile: (404) 856-3250

Attorneys for Defendant Robyn A. Crittenden, Secretary of State of Georgia

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served the within and foregoing **CONSOLIDATED MOTION TO DISMISS BY SPECIAL APPEARANCE AND BRIEF IN SUPPORT ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT ROBIN A. CRITTENDEN** on all parties by electronically filing it with the Clerk of the Court using the Odyssey eFileGA system, which will automatically send an email notification of such filing to counsel for the parties.

This 4th day of December, 2018.

/s/ Alexander Denton
Alexander Denton

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDLW
Date: 12/4/2018 1:08 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, and JEANNE DUFORT,	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV313418
v.	)	
ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS, GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, DEKALB COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, and GEOFF DUNCAN,	)	
Defendants.	)	

NOTICE OF APPEARANCE OF COUNSEL

COMES NOW Brian R. Dempsey of the law firm Carothers & Mitchell, LLC, 1809 Buford Highway, Buford, Georgia 30518, and hereby enters his appearance as additional counsel for Defendant Gwinnett County Board of Registrations and Elections and requests that he be added to the docket as additional counsel for this defendant. All pleadings and other notices should be sent to him at the address below.

This 4th day of December, 2018.

Respectfully submitted,

CAROTHERS & MITCHELL, LLC

/s/ Brian R. Dempsey
BRIAN R. DEMPSEY
Georgia Bar No. 217596
Attorneys for Defendant Gwinnett County Board of Registrations and Elections

1809 Buford Highway
Buford, GA 30518
Phone: 770-932-3552
Fax: 770-932-6348
brian.dempsey@carmitch.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that I have this date served all counsel in the foregoing action with a true and correct copy of the **NOTICE OF APPEARANCE OF COUNSEL** with the Clerk of the Court using the Odyssey (EfileGa) system to the following:

Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown Law
1123 Zonolite Road NE, Suite 6
Atlanta, GA 30306
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com

Christopher M. Carr
Annette M. Cowart
Russell David Willard
Attorney General of Georgia
40 Capitol Square SW
Atlanta, GA 30334
ccar@law.ga.gov
acowart@law.ga.gov
rwillard@law.ga.gov

Joshua Barrett Belinfante
Alexander Fraser Denton
Vincent Robert Russo
Brian Lake
Robbins Ross Alloy Belinfante Littlefield, LLC
500 14th St. NW
Atlanta, GA 30318
jbelinfante@robbinsfirm.com
adenton@robbinsfirm.com
vrusso@robbinsfirm.com
blake@robbinsfirm.com

Edward H. Lindsey, Jr.
Dentons US LLP
303 Peachtree St. NE, Suite 5300
Atlanta, GA 30308
edward.lindsey@dentons.com

Bryan Paul Tyson
Strickland Brockington Lewis LLP
1170 Peachtree St. NE, Suite 2200
Atlanta, GA 30309
bpt@sbllaw.net

This 4th day of December, 2018.

CAROTHERS & MITCHELL, LLC

/s/ Brian R. Dempsey
BRIAN R. DEMPSEY
Georgia Bar No. 217596

1809 Buford Highway
Buford, GA 30518
Phone: 770-932-3552
Fax: 770-932-6348
brian.dempsey@carmitch.com

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDLW
Date: 12/4/2018 1:10 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, and JEANNE DUFORT,	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV313418
v.	)	
ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS, GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, DEKALB COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, and GEOFF DUNCAN,	)	
Defendants.	)	

NOTICE OF APPEARANCE OF COUNSEL

COMES NOW Richard A. Carothers of the law firm Carothers & Mitchell, LLC, 1809 Buford Highway, Buford, Georgia 30518, and hereby enters his appearance as additional counsel for Defendant Gwinnett County Board of Registrations and Elections and requests that he be added to the docket as additional counsel for this defendant. All pleadings and other notices should be sent to him at the address below.

This 4th day of December, 2018.

Respectfully submitted,

CAROTHERS & MITCHELL, LLC

/s/ Richard A. Carothers
RICHARD A. CAROTHERS
Georgia Bar No. 111075
Attorneys for Defendant Gwinnett County Board of Registrations and Elections

1809 Buford Highway
Buford, GA 30518
Phone: 770-932-3552
Fax: 770-932-6348
richard.carothers@carmitch.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that I have this date served all counsel in the foregoing action with a true and correct copy of the **NOTICE OF APPEARANCE OF COUNSEL** with the Clerk of the Court using the Odyssey (EfileGa) system to the following:

Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown Law
1123 Zonolite Road NE, Suite 6
Atlanta, GA 30306
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com

Christopher M. Carr
Annette M. Cowart
Russell David Willard
Attorney General of Georgia
40 Capitol Square SW
Atlanta, GA 30334
ccar@law.ga.gov
acowart@law.ga.gov
rwillard@law.ga.gov

Joshua Barrett Belinfante
Alexander Fraser Denton
Vincent Robert Russo
Brian Lake
Robbins Ross Alloy Belinfante Littlefield, LLC
500 14th St. NW
Atlanta, GA 30318
jbelinfante@robbinsfirm.com
adenton@robbinsfirm.com
vrusso@robbinsfirm.com
blake@robbinsfirm.com

Edward H. Lindsey, Jr.
Dentons US LLP
303 Peachtree St. NE, Suite 5300
Atlanta, GA 30308
edward.lindsey@dentons.com

Bryan Paul Tyson
Strickland Brockington Lewis LLP
1170 Peachtree St. NE, Suite 2200
Atlanta, GA 30309
bpt@sbllaw.net

This 4th day of December, 2018.

CAROTHERS & MITCHELL, LLC

/s/ Richard A. Carothers
RICHARD A. CAROTHERS
Georgia Bar No. 111075

1809 Buford Highway
Buford, GA 30518
Phone: 770-932-3552
Fax: 770-932-6348
richard.carothers@carmitch.com

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDLW
Date: 12/4/2018 1:50 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV313418**

PLAINTIFFS' STATUS REPORT

This Status Report[1] is submitted in light of the reassignment of this case from Judge Russell to Senior Judge Grubbs and provides a brief overview of the pleadings submitted thus far and efforts undertaken to serve the Defendants with process, schedule the answer date, and schedule the trial of the matter. In addition, this Status Report will describe Plaintiffs' Emergency Motion for Inspection, filed on Thursday, November 29, 2018.

[1] This is a refiling of the original December 3, 2018 Status Report, which was circulated to the parties via email on December 3, 2018. No changes have been made, except to correct the Case File Number.

A. Overview of Filings and Service of Summons

This petition to contest the Lieutenant Governor election was filed on November 23, 2018, the day after Thanksgiving, within five days of the certification of the election on Saturday, November 17, 2018. The Petition alleges massive irregularities in the conduct of the election, so significant that the true election outcome cannot be determined.

Secretary of State Crittenden, as chair of the State Elections Board, a week ago (on November 26, 2018) acknowledged service of the petition pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 21-2-524(b). Defendants' counsel, and Defendant Duncan's office, were sent copies of the petition via email on Saturday November 24. Plaintiffs asked each of the defendants to waive formal service of the summons; all have refused. Defendants have filed no pleadings or other papers.

As described in Plaintiffs' Motion for Clarification and to Request a Setting of Trial Date, filed on November 28, 2018, the Election Contest statute contains special provisions for the service of summons and the setting of the answer date. Specifically, the statute directs the Clerk (not the plaintiff), to issue notice "requiring the defendant and any other person named in such petition as a candidate for such nomination or office, to answer and appear such petition, a day to be fixed in such notice, not more than ten days nor less than five days after the service of the notice." Pursuant to this statute, Plaintiffs drafted a "special

summons" and provided it to Judge Russell and the Clerk's office (Mr. Al Clark), and Judge Russell fixed the answer date (at December 8, 2018), but the case was reassigned to Judge Grubbs before the Clerk could initiate the service of summons.

The actual trial of the matter is governed by O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(a), which states: "Within 20 days after the return day fixed in the notice as provided in subsection (a) of Code Section 21-2-254 to the defendant" [that is, the day fixed for the defendant to "appear and answer"] "the presiding judge shall fix a place and time for the hearing of the contest proceedings." O.C.G.A. § 21-2-526 then states that all issues of a contest "shall be fully tried and determined by the court" without a jury, *unless* a jury is demanded by a party before the call of the case.

B. Inspection and Discovery

Of immediate concern is the need to preserve and inspect the electronic equipment and electronic records that the State used to conduct this election. There is substantial evidence that the electronic voting equipment and underlying electronic records and election processes used in the 2018 general election was "so defective" "as to place in doubt the result"[2] of the election for Lieutenant Governor, including scores of voters' and eye-witness reports of malfunctioning

[2] To demonstrate entitlement to a finding that the election was invalid, Plaintiffs need not prove that the irregularity was sufficient to change the result; instead, what is required is a showing that the irregularity, or illegal votes, were sufficient to "place in doubt the result." *See* O.C.G.A. § 21-2-522(1) and (3); § 21-2-527(d).

Direct Electronic Recording ("DRE") touchscreen machines and an aberrant pattern of votes from DRE machines that does not appear *at all* in the 230,000+ votes cast on paper ballots. *See* O.C.G.A. § 21-2-522(1) and (3); § 21-2-527(d). *See Taggart v. Phillips,* 242 Ga. 454, 455 (1978) ("doubt may be cast on an election by showing improper maintenance of the voting machines resulting in votes being miscast"). Additionally, there are material and verifiable reports of electronic pollbook errors and malfunctions and DRE machine malfunctions including "vote flipping," the premature casting of ballots without voter review, and irregularities of vote counts appearing on the DRE voting machine poll tapes.

Vital to the determination of the causes of these irregularities is an expert forensic examination of the electronic equipment and related records ,equipment that is currently in the possession of Election Official Defendants and third-parties but which may be made available for immediate inspection without disruption of ongoing election activity. The requested examination is expressly authorized by O.C.G.A. § 9-11-34(a)(1), which allows the inspection, sampling and testing of "any tangible things" that are relevant to the subject matter of the litigation. It is manifestly in the public interest for full inspection of these electronic registration and voting systems to be conducted immediately, *before* the equipment and electronic records involved are "mothballed" or altered in any way in preparation for the next election or maintenance.

Plaintiffs accordingly on November 27, 2018, filed an Emergency Motion for Inspection. Although Defendants have not been formally served, each has had notice of this action for over a week and is under a duty to preserve evidence in any event, a duty that Defendants have continued to violate. In addition, this Court has the authority to order the inspection and discovery prior to Defendants' answer, "in the interests of justice" under O.C.G.A. § 9-11-26(d), or pursuant to petition under O.C.G.A. § 9-11-27. Plaintiffs request that the Emergency Motion to be granted.

C. Timing of Discovery and Trial

Appropriately thorough discovery of the causes of the irregularities should be allowed prior to trial. Unlike pre-election contests, this case will *not* be mooted upon the Lieutenant Governor's taking of office.[3] O.C.G.A. § 21-2-503(c) provides:

> Upon the certification of the results of the election, a person elected to a federal, state, or county office may be sworn into office notwithstanding that the election of such person may be contested in the manner provided by this chapter. Upon the final judgment of the proper tribunal having jurisdiction of a contested election which orders a second election or declares that another person was legally elected to the office, the person sworn into such office shall cease to hold the office and shall cease to exercise the powers, duties, and privileges of the office immediately.

[3] *See City of Greenville v. Bray*, 284 Ga. 641, 642 (2008) (distinguishing between pre-election contests and post-election contests).

Plaintiffs welcome a status conference to address discovery and other trial matters.

Respectfully submitted this 3rd day of December, 2018.

/s/ Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Georgia Bar No. 064460
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com
Bruce P. Brown Law LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE, Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700

Attorney for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing via the Court's e-filing system to the attorneys of record who have made appearances.

This 3rd day of December, 2018.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDQW
Date: 12/5/2018 2:39 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTH DUVAL, and JEANNE DUFORT, Plaintiffs,	)	
v.	)	Civil Action File No. 2018CV313418
ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et. al. Defendants.	)	

ORDER

The above and foregoing case having come for hearing and service not having been performed,

It is hereby ordered and adjudged as follows:

1.

All Motions in this case shall be filed by 5:00 p.m. on December 20th, 2018.

2.

All filed Motions are set for hearing at 9:00 a.m. January 9, 2019 in Courtroom 2000, Superior Court of Cobb County.

3.

The case is set for Final Hearing on January 17th, 2019 at 9:00 a.m. in Courtroom 2000, Superior Court of Cobb County.

SO ORDERED this 5th day of December, 2018.

The Honorable Adele P. Grubbs
Senior Judge, State of Georgia
Assisting per Order of Appointment

Distribution List:

adele.grubbs@gmail.com

al.clark@fultoncountyga.gov

richard.carothers@carmitch.com

sue@carmitch.com

brian.dempsey@carmitch.com

bpt@sbllaw.net

edward.lindsey@dentons.com

Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org

vernstes@dekalbcountyga.gov

Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov

DWare@hallboothsmith.com

Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov

bdbryan@dekalbcountyga.gov

JOvercash@7Jad.com

csims@7Jad.com

chall@lmjc.net

Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com

vrusso@robbinsfirm.com

adenton@robbinsfirm.com

Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com

laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net

ccorreia@law.ga.gov

bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDAC
Date: 12/6/2018 2:47 PM
Catheiene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV313418**

SPECIAL PROCESS SUMMONS
FOR PETITION TO CONTEST ELECTION RESULT

To the following Defendants:

1. Robyn A. Crittenden, Secretary of State of Georgia
2. The Fulton County Board of Registration and Elections
3. The DeKalb County Board of Registrations and Elections
4. The Gwinnett County Board of Elections and Registration
5. Geoff Duncan

And to the following Candidates who participated in the Election for Lieutenant Governor, held November 6, 2018:

1. Sarah R. Amico
2. Geoff Duncan

In accordance with O.C.G.A. § 21-2-524(f), each Defendant and Candidate listed above is hereby summoned and required to appear before the **SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY** to answer Plaintiff's **Petition to Contest Election Result** (the "Petition"), filed on November 23, 2018, and assigned case number 2018CV313418. A copy of the Petition is attached hereto.

You have 10 days after service of this Summons and the Petition upon you to appear and answer this petition before the Fulton County Superior Court, exclusive of the day of service.

This 6th day of December, 2018.

Honorable Cathelene "Tina" Robinson
Clerk of Superior Court
By:
Elfin Clark
Deputy Clerk

To the Defendant / Candidate upon whom this petition is served:

This copy of the Summons and Petition was served upon you this _____ day of _____ 2018.

_____
Deputy Sheriff

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDAC
Date: 12/7/2018 11:17 AM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV313418**

PRESERVATION ORDER

The Parties shall preserve evidence that may be relevant to this case as far as is possible in order to preserve the status quo. Evidence that may be relevant to claims and defenses include, *but are not limited to,* the following:

1. The Direct Electronic Recording ("DRE") touchscreen voting units, DRE internal memory and related memory cards, ExpressPoll units and associated electronic data, ExpressPoll memory cards, the General Election Management ("GEMS") servers and associated electronic data,

optical scanner electronic data and memory cards, machine results tapes, voter access cards, supervisor cards, administrative access cards, media devices transferring data from the GEMS server to other systems and applications, ENet system records, and all iterations of voter registration files ("the Voting System Evidence");

2. Any documents relating to the maintenance, programming, testing, security and operations of the Voting System Evidence; and
3. Any documents relating to the November 6, 2018 and December 4, 2018 runoff elections, including all ballots, ballot programming, tabulations, machine results tapes, polling place recap sheets, audit data and records, provisional ballot and mail ballot records, communications, training materials, maintenance records, voter complaint records, system logs, and troubleshooting reports.

At this time all Motions in this case are set for hearing on January 9th 2019. Should there be any election due to be conducted prior to that date the parties shall notify the Court and the Court will entertain appropriate motions in reguard there to.

Failure to obey this Order in good faith by any party or entity may result in the imposition of sanctions pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 9-11-37 or contempt proceedings.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 1 day of December 2018.

Adele P. Grubbs
Senior Judge,
State of Georgia

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDMH
Date: 12/13/2018 11:53 AM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV313418**

WAIVER OF SERVICE OF SUMMONS AND SPECIAL SUMMONS

To: Bruce P. Brown, Counsel for Plaintiffs

Pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 9-11-4(d), on behalf of Defendant Geoff Duncan ("Mr. Duncan"), I acknowledge a copy of the Petition to Contest Election Result, filed in this action on November 23, 2018, and a copy of the Special Process Summons for Petition to Contest Election Result ("the Summons"), filed in this action on December 6, 2018, and waive Mr. Duncan's right to be served personally with the Petition to Contest Election Result and the Summons pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 9-11-4. I further certify that I have the authority to acknowledge such service on behalf of Mr. Duncan.

Mr. Duncan will retain all defenses or objections to the lawsuit or to the jurisdiction or venue of the court except for objections based on a defect in the summons or in the service of the summons.

Executed this 12th day of December, 2018.

Edward H. Lindsey, Jr.
Dentons US LLP
303 Peachtree St. NE
Suite 5300
Atlanta, GA 30308

Attorney for Geoff Duncan

GA000061

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing Waiver via the Court's e-filing system to the attorneys of record who have made appearances.

This 13th day of December, 2018.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown Law LLC
1123 Zonolite Road NE Ste 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700
Attorney for Plaintiffs

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDAC
Date: 11/28/2018 9:39 AM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE RHONDA J. MARTIN SMYTHE DUVAL JEANNE DUFORT Plaintiff(s) v. ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS DEKALB COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS GEOFF DUNCAN	* * * * * * * * * * * * * *	**Civil Action No. 2018CV313418**

NOTICE OF CASE ASSIGNMENT

Please be advised that your case has been assigned to the Honorable Constance C. Russell. Scheduled matters will be heard in Courtroom 8-F, Justice Center Tower, 185 Central Avenue, Atlanta, Georgia 30303. If you are the filing party please submit a copy of this notice to the opposing party.

Unless a waiver or exemption has been obtained, to ensure receipt of notices of hearings and court filings all parties or their counsel must sign up for E-filing and attach themselves as notice recipients to the specific case. Questions regarding E-filing should be addressed to the Superior Court Clerk's Office or E-File Georgia.

Originals of proposed orders and other documents requiring the Court's review and signature are to be submitted to chambers via U.S. mail or courier. Such documents are not to be E-filed.

E-filed motions and pleadings requiring a response from the opposing party shall be treated as having been mailed for purposes of calculating response due dates.

For noticed hearings, conferences, trials or other court mandated appearances, E-filing, E-mailing, faxing or mailing a letter or notice of conflict does not constitute permission not to appear. No continuances will be granted unless good cause is shown.

Requests for continuance or reset and notices of conflict must be filed and a courtesy copy submitted to Judge Russell's chambers not less than 5 business days prior to the scheduled event. Unripe or untimely pleadings, filings or requests generally will not be considered prior to the date of the calendar. Requests, pleadings or filings submitted to chambers less than five business days prior to any hearing date will be treated as late filed and/or untimely, unless later filing is authorized by statute. Copies of filed notices of leaves of absence must be submitted to the Court as required by Uniform Superior Court Rule 16.1.

Correspondence and pleadings directed to Judge Russell or her staff are to be submitted via regular mail or courier, unless you are given express permission to do otherwise. Unsolicited and/or unauthorized filings or correspondence submitted via e-mail or fax will not be accepted or considered.

Moore

From the Chambers of
Judge Constance C. Russell
Fulton County Superior Court
Atlanta Judicial Circuit

Bruce P. Brown Esq.
BRUCE P. BROWN LAW, LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd, N.E., Suite 6
Atlanta, GA 30306

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDAC
Date: 11/28/2018 9:32 AM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE ,	*	
RHONDA J. MARTIN ,	*	**Civil Action No. : 2018CV313418**
SMYTHE DUVAL ,	*	
JEANNE DUFORT	*	
Plaintiff(s)	*	
v.	*	
	*	
ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia ,		
FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS ,		
GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS ,		
DEKALB COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS ,		
GEOFF DUNCAN		

ORDER TO ISSUE NOTICE OF SPECIAL PROCESS

In accordance with OCGA 21-2-524(f) each Defendant and Candidate named in the above styled action shall be summoned to appear and answer Plaintiffs' Petition to Contest Election Result filed on November 23, 2018. The parties shall answer and appear before the Court on December 8, 2018 at 1:00 p.m. in Courtroom 8F of the Superior Court of Fulton County.

The Clerk of Court shall, as required by the statute, issue a notice of special process to the Sheriff of Fulton County. The Sheriff of Fulton County and/or Plaintiff shall ensure that personal service of the Notice of Process and Petition on all parties is accomplished not less than five days prior to December 8, 2018.

So Ordered this 27 Day of November 2018

JUDGE CONSTANCE C. RUSSELL
FULTON COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT
ATLANTA JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS, GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, DEKALB COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, and GEOFF DUNCAN,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. _____**

PETITION TO CONTEST ELECTION RESULT

Plaintiffs bring this Petition to Contest the result of the November 6, 2018 general election for Lieutenant Governor for the State of Georgia ("the Contested Election") pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520 *et seq.*

INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

1.

The conduct of the November 6, 2018 general election for Lieutenant Governor for the State of Georgia ("the Contested Election") was so defective and marred by material irregularities "as to place in doubt the result" of the election under Georgia law. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-527(d). This Court should therefore declare the Contested Election invalid and set the date a second election between the same candidates (Geoff Duncan and Sarah Riggs Amico).

2.

The is substantial evidence that the conduct of the Contested Election was so defective as to place the result in doubt. As detailed below, going into the elections, the entire national intelligence and computer science communities were warning the State of Georgia that its Direct Electronic Recording ("DRE") voting system was profoundly vulnerable to malicious attack and programming glitches and irregularities. As the experts predicted and repeatedly and formally warned the Defendant Election Officials (as hereafter identified), Georgia's November 2018 General Election was marred by multiple unexplained failures of the DRE voting system: incorrect electronic ballots being displayed to voters; touch screens

malfunctioning causing incorrect votes to be cast and recorded; precincts with more votes than voters; unauthorized and improper changes made to eligible voters' voter registration records causing confusion and disenfranchisement; failures of the smart cards for activating voting machines, and "glitches" in electronic pollbooks creating long lines and disenfranchising voters.

3.

The malfunctioning of the DRE machines themselves had a direct impact on the race for Lieutenant Governor – the Contested Election that is the subject matter of this case. Failures in other parts of the voting system, such as the electronic pollbooks and inaccurate voter registration records, had an impact on all candidates on the ballot. The election for Lieutenant Governor received far fewer votes than all other state-wide races, even races that were further "down ballot," such as Attorney General, and lesser known races of Commissioner of Agriculture, Commissioner of Labor, and Public Service Commissioner. This is an unprecedented pattern of election results lacks any reasonably plausible innocent explanation. Worse, this aberrant pattern appears only in votes reported cast on the DRE machines. Votes on paper ballots conformed to the expected pattern, with the

election for Lieutenant Governor receiving slightly fewer paper ballot votes than Governor, and slightly more paper ballots votes than Secretary of State.

4.

The only reasonable explanation for such an anomalous vote discrepancy in the DRE votes cast in the Contested Election—and only in the Contested Election—is that a malfunctioning, erroneous programming, or malicious manipulation of the DRE machines caused a material number of votes in the Lieutenant Governor's race to not be recorded. This malfunctioning, erroneous programming, or malicious manipulation places in doubt the result of the Contested Election under O.C.G.A. §§ 21-2-521(1) and 21-2-521(3), and requires a declaration that the Contested Election is invalid and an order setting a second election between the same two candidates.

PARTIES

5.

Plaintiff Coalition for Good Governance is a non-profit corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Colorado. Coalition is a membership organization, with a membership that consists of eligible voters residing in Georgia and across the United States. Numerous Georgia members

voted in the November 6, 2018 election. Individuals become members of Coalition by providing their contact information and indicating a desire to associate with the organization. Members donate money, contribute time, and share information and intelligence with the organization to the extent they are able and wish to do so. Members receive informational communications from Coalition and can benefit from Coalition's facilitation of members' individual participation in civic activities that are germane to the organization's purpose, such as poll watching, auditing election results, and publishing opinion pieces. Members utilize Coalition as a resource to answer a wide range of questions about voting rights, voting processes, open meetings law, public records law, recalls, petition processes, election legislation, and how to challenge election issues they encounter.

6.

Coalition's purpose is to preserve and advance the constitutional liberties and individual rights of citizens, with an emphasis on preserving and protecting those private rights of its members that are exercised through public elections. Coalition serves its purpose in multiple ways, including by providing information and education to its members; by serving as a non-partisan educational and informational resource for the public, press, campaigns, candidates, and political

parties; by monitoring nationwide developments in election law and technology; by providing speakers for events at educational institutions; by providing commentary from its leadership on election issues; by collaborating in voting rights and election integrity initiatives with other nonpartisan nonprofits and academics; by developing and sharing research and investigation of reported election problems with the press, public and other members of the election-integrity community; and by facilitating the engagement of members and prospective members as non-partisan participants in the electoral process through poll watching, attendance at public meetings, and other civic activities. Coalition has been forced to divert resources away from its other planned activities in order to assist its members who voted in the Contested Election to ascertain whether their right to vote was violated and to educate them about how to obtain relief.

7.

Coalition, acting on its own behalf, has organizational standing to bring each of the claims for prospective relief stated herein. Coalition, acting on behalf of its members, also has associational standing to bring the claims for prospective relief stated herein.

8.

Plaintiff Rhonda J. Martin ("Martin") is a registered elector of the State of Georgia and a resident of Fulton County and was entitled to vote for Geoff Duncan or Sarah R. Amico in the Contested Election. Martin voted in the November 6, 2018 election and is an aggrieved elector.

9.

Jeanne Dufort is a registered elector of the State of Georgia and a resident of Morgan County and was entitled to vote for Geoff Duncan or Sarah R. Amico in the Contested Election. Dufort voted in the November 6, 2018 election and is an aggrieved elector.

10.

Plaintiff Smythe DuVal ("DuVal") is a registered elector of the State of Georgia and a resident of Cobb County and was entitled to vote for Geoff Duncan or Sarah R. Amico in the Contested Election. DuVal voted in the November 6, 2018 election. DuVal was also a candidate of the Libertarian Party for Secretary of State of Georgia.

11.

Plaintiffs Dufort, DuVal and Martin are "contestants" as defined in O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(1) who are entitled to bring an election contest under O.C.G.A. § 21-2-521.

12.

Defendant Robyn A. Crittenden, the Secretary of State of Georgia ("the Secretary"), is a state official who, along with county boards and superintendents, conducted the Contested Election. The Secretary is a de facto election superintendent with respect to the design of electronic and paper ballots, the programming of the DRE voting machines and the GEMS tabulation server and the management of the Election Night Reporting System and reporting of the official precinct and county level results. The Secretary is responsible for electronic ballot design, the form of ballots, electronic tabulation formulas and protocols, testing software, machine, system and ballot security.

13.

Defendant Fulton County Board of Registration and Elections ("the Fulton Board"), is the Superintendent of Fulton County elections. The Fulton Board along

with the Secretary and other counties' election superintendents, conducted the Contested Election.

14.

Defendant Gwinnett County Board of Registrations and Elections ("the Gwinnett Board") is the superintendent of Gwinnett County elections, and along with the Secretary and other counties' superintendents, conducted the Contested Election.

15.

Defendant DeKalb County Board of Registrations and Elections ("the DeKalb Board") is the superintendent of DeKalb County elections, and along with the Secretary and other counties' superintendents, conducted the Contested Election.

16.

The Secretary, the Fulton Board, the Gwinnett Board, and the DeKalb Board will be collectively referred to as "the Defendant Election Officials."

17.

Defendant Geoff Duncan ("Duncan") is the Republican candidate for Lieutenant Governor who is reported by the Secretary of State to have received the most votes in the Contested Election and whose election is hereby contested.

JURISDICTION and VENUE

18.

Jurisdiction and venue is proper in this Court pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 21-2-523(a) as the county where Defendants Crittenden and the Fulton Board reside.

19.

This Petition was timely filed with this Court pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 21-2-524 and § 21-2-14. The Secretary certified the results of the Contested Election on Saturday, November 17, 2018.

20.

Pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 21-2-524(b), the State Election Board has been served with a copy of this Petition by service by certified or registered mail or statutory overnight mail upon Secretary Crittenden, the chairperson of the State Election Board, and a certificate of such service is attached hereto.

Affidavits executed by Plaintiffs verifying this Petition are attached hereto as Exhibit A.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Georgia's DRE Electronic Voting System

21.

The voting system used in Georgia during the Contested Election consists of the Diebold Global Election Systems ("Diebold") AccuVote DRE touchscreen voting units ("DREs"), the Diebold optical scanners for tabulating paper ballots, and the Diebold General Election Management Software ("GEMS") for tabulation and reporting of data generated by DRE and Diebold optical scanners, as well as the electronic pollbook components and electronic accessories that interface with the DRE vote recording system.

22.

DREs are configured for an election by inserting a memory card into a slot on the side of the machine. Before the election, the file system on the memory card stores the election definition, sound files, interpreted code that is used to print reports, and other configuration information. When operating properly, DREs use software to translate the voter's physical act of touching a particular place on the

touchscreen into a vote for the corresponding candidate or issue, which vote is then recorded on both the DRE's removable memory card and internal flash memory. Both records of the votes are unreadable to humans. Crucially, Georgia's DREs do not create or retain any verifiable or auditable non-electronic record of the voter's selections. Hence, programming errors or malicious manipulation of the software is likely to be undetected.

23.

Each DRE internally contains much of the same hardware that might typically be found in a very low-end general-purpose personal desktop computer in use in the early 2000s. Georgia's DREs run a Diebold-modified version of Microsoft's Windows CE operating system, the most recent version run in Georgia is Windows CE 4.1—which Microsoft stopped supporting in early January 2013. As a consequence, Microsoft is no longer issuing updates or security patches for that software. As the operating system is over twenty years old, it lags behind the two decades of computer security research and is extremely vulnerable to a wide variety of attacks that Diebold's software, regardless of version, cannot defend against.

24.

The unreliability and vulnerability of electronic voting systems like the one used by the State of Georgia has attracted widespread and uniform alarm at all levels of government. On July 26, 2018, House Intelligence Committee Chairman Devin Nunes joined many federal officials and agencies concerned with national security to call for a complete ban on electronic voting.[1] In May, the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence concluded that paperless DREs "are at highest risk of security flaws," and stated that "[s]tates should rapidly replace outdated and vulnerable voting systems" with machines that "[a]t a minimum . . . have a voter-verified paper trail."[2] Similarly, in March, DHS Secretary Nielsen labeled electronic voting systems "a national security concern."

25.

In January 2018, the Congressional Task Force on Election Security issued a Final Report addressing the insecurity of the country's voting infrastructure:

---

[1] http://thehill.com/hilltv/rising/398949-house-intel-chair-calls-for-ban-on-electronic-voting-systems.

[2] Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, Russian Targeting of Election Infrastructure During the 2016 Election: Summary of Initial Findings and Recommendations (May 8, 2018) ("SSCI Report"), at https://www.burr.senate.gov/imo/media/doc/RussRptInstlmt1-%20ElecSec%20Findings,Recs2.pdf.

> Given the breadth of security risks facing voting machines, it is especially problematic that approximately 20% of voters are casting their ballots on machines that do not have any paper backup. *These voters are using paperless Direct Recording Electronic (DRE) machines that have been shown over and again to be highly vulnerable to attack.* Because these machines record votes on the internal memory of the machine, and do not leave any paper backup, it is near impossible to detect whether results have been tampered with.[3]

26.

These recent alarms from the federal government amplify years of warnings from computer scientists, who have uniformly concluded that paperless balloting is unreliable, unquestionably insecure, and unverifiable. California's 2007 "Top-to-Bottom Review" ("TTBR")[4] found that DREs were "inadequate to ensure accuracy and integrity of the election results…"; that the system contained "serious design flaws that have led directly to specific vulnerabilities, which attackers could exploit to affect election outcomes…"; and that "attacks could be carried out in a manner that is not subject to detection by audit, including review of software

---

[3] Congressional Task Force of Election Security, *Final Report*, https://democrats-homeland.house.gov/sites/democrats.homeland.house.gov/files/documents/TFESReport.pdf (Feb. 14, 2018), at 24 (emphasis added).

[4] See Joseph A. Calandrino, et al., Source Code Review of the Diebold Voting System, http://votingsystems.cdn.sos.ca.gov/oversight/ttbr/diebold-source-public-jul29.pdf (Jul. 20, 2007).

logs."[5] Citing these vulnerabilities of the Diebold's AccuVote DREs, California Secretary of State Debra Bowen decertified California's voting system.[6] Ohio's 2007 "Evaluation and Validation of Election-Related Equipment, Standards and Testing ("EVEREST")[7] concluded that Ohio's AccuVote "system lacks the technical protections necessary to guarantee a trustworthy election under operational conditions."[8] Any number of published studies are in accord.[9]

27.

On September 6, 2018, the National Academy of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine and the associated National Research Council (NAS) issued a consensus report entitled "Securing the Vote: Protecting American Democracy." A consensus report of the NAS represents the highest authority that the U.S.

---

[5] See California Secretary of State, *Withdrawal Of Approval*, http://votingsystems.cdn.sos.ca.gov/vendors/premier/premier-11824-revision-1209.pdf (Dec. 31, 2009 rev.), at 2, 3.

[6] See Withdrawal Of Approval, supra note 5, at 5.

[7] Pennsylvania State Univ., et al., *EVEREST: Evaluation and Validation of Election-Related Equipment, Standards and Testing*, https://www.eac.gov/assets/1/28/EVEREST.pdf (Dec. 7, 2007).

[8] See *EVEREST*, *supra* note 7, at 103.

[9] *See also* Feldman, et al., "Security Analysis of the Diebold AccuVote-TS Voting Machine," *Proc. 2007 USENIX/ACCURATE Electronic Voting Technology Workshop,* 1 (Aug. 2007).

Government can rely upon when it seeks to be advised on matters of science, technology and engineering.

28.

The NAS report makes the following recommendation for removing DREs of the kind used in Georgia's elections from service: "Elections should be conducted with human-readable paper ballots." The NAS report continues: "Voting machines that do not provide the capacity for independent auditing (e.g., machines that do not produce a voter-verifiable paper audit trail) should be removed from service as soon as possible." NAS Report, page 6. The NAS report concludes: "Every effort should be made to use human-readable paper ballots in the 2018 federal election." NAS Report, page 7.

29.

The vulnerabilities identified by all of these governmental authorities and computer experts apply specifically to the DREs with no paper trail used by the Defendant Election Officials and other election official across the State of Georgia in the Contested Election.

30.

The already unacceptable extreme vulnerability of Georgia's system was

greatly increased by Secretary Kemp's failure to secure the State's central election server before and after the 2016 elections. That server contained voter registration files used for creating the electronic pollbooks used in the polling places as well as programs and data for most components in Georgia's voting system. From at least 2002 until at least December 31, 2017, Georgia's Secretaries of State have contracted with Kennesaw State University ("KSU"), for the creation of the Center for Election Services ("CES") at KSU to assist the Secretary in the fulfillment of the office's statutory duties to manage Georgia's DRE system. CES now operates out of the Secretary's office rather than at KSU. Between at least August 2016 and March 2017, and likely for a much longer time, this server and its highly sensitive contents were fully accessible to any computer user with Internet access, causing all 50,000+ components in the DRE voting system statewide to be vulnerable to malicious attack.

31.

In late August 2016, cybersecurity researcher Logan Lamb accessed files hosted on the "elections.kennesaw.edu" server on the public internet, including the voter histories and personal information of *all* Georgia voters, tabulation and memory card programming databases for past and future elections, instructions and

passwords for voting equipment administration, and executable programs controlling essential election resources. On August 28, 2016, Lamb contacted CES to warn that CES should assume that the sensitive documents hosted on the "elections.kennesaw.edu" server had already been downloaded by unauthorized persons and that all sensitive files should be considered compromised. Yet for reasons that have never been explained, the KSU server was not secured until March, 2017. After Lamb notified CES in August 2016 in the runoff to the presidential election, CES staff and the Information Technology Staff at KSU conferred numerous times and reporting that the server driving the voting system was "compromised," had "critical vulnerabilities" and was accessible by anonymous malicious users. Yet, CES did not secure the server or remove its election system contents from public access until they were contacted again in March 2017 by Lamb's colleague after Lamb and his colleague again found the system and all sensitive voter registration data open to anyone with internet access. CES Director Michael Barnes further acknowledged in sworn testimony that he had not studied the provided sworn testimony of Lamb or other experts as to the details of the vulnerabilities discovered.

32.

Secretary Kemp's agents at KSU did not conduct or order a forensic examination to determine whether the server had been altered or manipulated. Neither Secretary Kemp's agents at KSU, nor his internal staff at the Secretary of State's office, has ever properly verified the integrity of software, passwords, databases or encryption keys that were hosted on the compromised "elections.kennesaw.edu" server. As a consequence, the compromised software, passwords, and encryption keys were used on the equipment employed in the Contested Election.

33.

Because of the design defects in Georgia's paperless DRE voting system, and the increased vulnerability of the system caused by Secretary Kemp's neglect, Georgia's DRE system is particularly vulnerable to what is called "undetectable manipulation" – alterations to programming that cannot be detected, or that cannot be detected without very sophisticated, expensive and impractical time-consuming forensic examination. Undetected manipulation is the most common, widely recognized, and serious threat facing computer systems, including election systems. Because of the high risk of undetected manipulations, it is impossible for

Georgians to have any reasonable degree of confidence in the integrity of the election results produced by Georgia's DRE voting system.

34.

Two months prior to the November 6, 2018 election, the District Court in *Curling v. Kemp,* N.D. Ga., No. 17-cv-02989, made the following findings in the Court's September 17, 2018 Order denying injunctive relief relating to the 2018 Elections: "Plaintiffs have so far shown that the DRE system, as implemented, poses a concrete risk of alteration of ballot counts that would impact their own votes. Their evidence relates directly to the manner in which Defendants' alleged mode of implementation of the DRE voting system deprives them or puts them at imminent risk of deprivation of their fundamental right to case an effective vote (i.e., a vote that is accurately counted)." Order at 39.

35.

Ignoring the recommendations and warnings of officials at the highest levels of the Federal Government and the entire computer science community, Defendants Election Officials deployed the DRE election system in the 2018 General Election with predictable results, as explained below. The Defendant

Election Officials took this reckless action even though they had and have the statutory authority and means to conduct verifiable and safe paper-ballot elections.

Irregularities in the 2018 Election

36.

As could have been predicted by the warnings by the entire national intelligence, election integrity, and computer science communities, Georgia voters in the 2018 General Election experienced every kind of election irregularities that alone but especially in combination place the vote results in serious doubt.

37.

The State's voter registration system was plagued with errors. As is detailed in the Federal District Court's Opinion in *Common Cause v. Kemp,* N.D. Ga., No. 18-cv-5012, comparing the 2018 election and the last non-presidential election in 2014, there has been a statistically significant increase in the proportion of voters required to vote on provisional ballots relative to the total vote, largely because of repeated inaccuracies in the State's voter registration system. In granting injunctive relief to the Plaintiffs in *Common Cause,* the District Court held: "Plaintiff has shown a substantial likelihood of proving that the Secretary's failure to properly maintain a reliable and secure voter registration system has and will

continue to result in the infringement of the rights of the voters to cast their votes and have their votes counted." Order, November 12, 2018, at 42.

38.

Voters reported thousands of problems during the November 6, 2018 election, including of DRE malfunctions, voting problems, improper disenfranchisement of eligible voters, electronic pollbook malfunctions, improper absentee ballot application and ballot rejections, and voter registration record errors resulting in incorrect polling place assignments or total disenfranchisement of eligible voters. Specific reports by polling place, voters' names, and dates will be provided in detail in an anticipated prompt amended complaint after details are verified and properly summarized.

39.

What follows here are illustrative examples of these irregularities out of the thousands of complaints and inquiries that have been logged with campaigns, non-profit voter protection groups, and the press.

40.

A voter attempted to vote for Amico at the Henry County Voting Registration office in McDonough. When she saw her summary screen on the

touchscreen machine before casting her ballot, despite her original choice for Amico, no candidate choice was listed for Lieutenant Governor, and her "YES/NO" vote on one of the ballot questions had been changed, reflecting the opposite of her choice. Upon expressing her concern to a poll worker, the poll worker dismissed her concern.

41.

On November 6, at Allen Temple AME church polling place in Fulton County a senior voter asked the manager for assistance voting. However, at the end screen when the voter and manager were verifying the vote review page, they saw that the lieutenant governor and one other race were unvoted on the summary screen. The manager asked the voter if she had intended to vote for those and had mistakenly skipped them. The voter said that she wanted to vote for lieutenant governor. The voter touched on the screen where it said lieutenant governor so that she could vote on that race. The machine did not respond properly, but instead jumped and displayed the message that her ballot had been cast. The manager witnessed that the voter did ***not*** press cast ballot, which was far away from where the voter touched. The manager reportedly took the machine offline right away. The polling place manager noted that the voter did not get to vote for lieutenant

governor.

42.

Allgood Elementary School in DeKalb County is in the 4th U.S. Congressional District. A voter on Election Day at the Allgood Elementary School in DeKalb County reported to a pollwatcher that her screen ballot featured Karen Handel as the Democratic candidate; there was no Republican candidate. Handel, of course, was the Republican candidate in the race for the 6th U.S. Congressional District.

43.

At a polling place in Lithonia, a voter checked in and was given a yellow card to use in the machine. On page one of the electronic ballot, only the governor's race appeared on the ballot; the Lieutenant Governor's race was not displayed. Amico's name appeared for the first and only time when the summary page was viewed.

44.

Marilyn Marks, Executive Director of Coalition for Good Governance and Logan Lamb, cybersecurity researcher, observed early voting on November 1, at the Ponce de Leon Library in Atlanta. In only 30 minutes of time at the polling

place, they observed 3 DRE voting machines malfunction causing the voters' ballots to be cancelled. The malfunctions created confusion and very long wait times and long lines at the polling place.

45.

At the Red Rock Community Center in Worthy County, a voter reported being given one voter access card to vote for the governor etc., and an entirely separate card to vote for solely for "the commissioner." The second ballot/card (that reportedly had only "the commissioner") did not list the candidates' parties - simply the candidate's names. The voter is certain that the second card she was given and associated ballot had only one race, a "commissioner." Additionally, the machine flipped her vote for Sarah Riggs Amico to the Republican candidate for Lieutenant Governor multiple times, forcing her to click several times to make the cursor stay on Amico's name.

46.

At Grady High School polling place in Atlanta, Election Night DRE machine results tapes show anomalies that suggest DRE programming problems. Although the polling place and associated precincts are squarely within Congressional District 5, the tapes showed available choices as having included

Congressional District 6, 11 and 13. The Secretary's official results website shows these three available Congressional District choices in the Grady High School polling place although no votes for those choices were reported. Additionally, two machine results tapes show a total of 123 less votes cast than the public counter of ballots cast reported for the machine.

47.

There were hundreds of reports of DRE machines "flipping" votes from one candidate to the opposite candidate. Voters reported being instructed to "fix" the flip in many different ways, and sometimes required trial and error and multiple attempts to press various parts of the screen. Voters presumably assumed that machines are properly recording their final vote, but there is no way to confirm that the vote is recorded as intended and as displayed on the screen.

48.

Another apparent anomaly exists in the Dekalb County precinct Midvale Elementary in the Lieutenant Governor's race, with official results from the Secretary of State's Office showing the candidates receiving a collective 796 votes on Election Day, while the precinct's poll tapes show them receiving a collective 698 votes.

Anomalous Undervote in the Lieutenant Governor's Election

49.

The malfunctioning of the DRE voting system caused the anomalous and illegal rejection of a large number of legitimate votes validly cast in the Lieutenant Governor's race – the Contested Election.

50.

The Lieutenant Governor is the state's second highest ranking official and the office holds the particularly coveted position as President of the State Senate. As such, it is a hotly contested race that is of keen interest to most voters.

51.

In the 2018 General Election, however, the reported totals show that voters purportedly "skipped" the election for Lieutenant Governor more than any other state-wide election, causing the DRE to record an "under-vote," which means no vote was cast on that race despite other votes being cast by the same voter for other races on the same ballot.

52.

According to the Secretary's reports, only 95.71% of voters recorded votes for Lieutenant Governor candidates, while the participation rates in all other state-wide contests on the same ballot range from 97.46% for Commissioner of Labor to 99.73% for Governor, as shown by the following chart:



53.

The conclusion that a malfunctioning or malicious manipulation of the DRE voting system is responsible for the illegal rejection of votes for the Lieutenant Governor's race is further reinforced by comparing the reported results of votes on DRE touchscreen machines to votes on paper ballots (mailed ballots and provisional ballots). The reported votes on paper ballots *do not show* any pattern of aberrant "under-voting" on the race for Lieutenant Governor that corresponds to the pattern of under-voting reflected in the DRE totals; instead, almost the same number of voters who voted on paper for Governor voted on paper for Lieutenant Governor. This consistency is exactly what would be expected in a high-profile race for the state's second highest ranking officer.

54.

Curiously, the drop off in votes for Lieutenant Governor on the malfunctioning DRE machines appears to have a much greater negative impact upon Democratic Candidate Sara R. Amico than upon Defendant Duncan. On the DRE machines, Amico received only 94.8% of Democratic gubernatorial candidate Stacey Abrams' total, while Defendant Duncan received 98.5% of Republican gubernatorial candidate Brian Kemp's total. This shows a causal connection

between the DRE use and lower *reported* participation rate among Democratic voters for Lieutenant Governor.

55.

On November 12, 2018, Amico sent a letter to Secretary Crittenden informing the Secretary of Amico's review of the results of the Contested Election. A true and correct copy of Amico's letter is attached hereto as Exhibit B. Amico's letter details the statistical analysis of the unusually high rate of "residual votes" in the election for Lieutenant Governor and the aberrant pattern of residual votes among the various races. ("Residual votes" is the term used to describe votes that were either invalid, not recorded, or were never cast in the first place.) Amico's letter is supported by the analysis of Michael C. Herron, a professor at Dartmouth College and a recognized expert in statistical analysis and election administration. Amico's letter states: "Your office can and should take immediate steps to review the anomalous residual vote rate in the Lieutenant Governor's race and determine why it happened."

56.

In her November 17, 2018 response to Amico's letter, attached hereto as Exhibit C, Secretary Crittenden declined to review the anomalous residual vote

rate, stating only that "residual votes are not uncommon in an election" because voters "are not obligated to cast a vote in each contest." Secretary Crittenden does not address the aberrant pattern of residual votes between the various races (with down ballot races showing lower residual vote rates and substantially higher vote totals), does not address the fact that the high residual vote rates correlates to the use of DRE machines on Election Day, not paper ballots, and does not address the fact that high Lieutenant Governor residual votes rates impact Democratic-leaning counties more heavily than Republican-leaning counties.

57.

The anomalous voting pattern and totals described above, together with the known compromise of the vulnerable DRE system used in the election, are sufficient to make the election so defective as to the race for Lieutenant Governor as to place in doubt the result of the Contested Election.

COUNT I: ELECTION CONTEST

58.

Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the foregoing paragraphs of the Complaint.

59.

Using the defective, legally non-compliant, and malfunctioning DRE machines to conduct the Contested Election constituted "misconduct" and "irregularity" sufficient to change or place in doubt the result of the Contested Election, entitling Plaintiffs to relief pursuant O.C.G.A. § 21-2-522(1).

60.

Defendant Election Officials had prior knowledge of the non-compliant and defective nature of the DRE voting system prior to deploying it for use in the November 6 election.

61.

The malfunctioning DRE machines rejected legal votes or received illegal votes sufficient to change or place in doubt the result of the Contested Election, entitling Plaintiffs to relief pursuant O.C.G.A. § 21-2-522(3).

62.

Georgia's computerized voter registration system is flawed and does not accurately reflect records of eligible voters, causing a significant number of eligible electors to be rejected at the polls, or being required to cast a provisional ballot which may not have been properly counted. The number of eligible electors

improperly rejected adds materially to the misconduct and irregularities in the election in a number sufficient to change the result and further place the result in doubt.

63.

The Fulton Board, the Gwinnett Board, and the DeKalb Board knowingly chose to conduct the Contested Election using the defective, non-compliant, and compromised DRE-voting system, although they have the statutory authority under O.C.G.A §21-2-281 to choose verifiable paper ballot elections under circumstances in which the use of voting equipment is impossible or impracticable.

64.

Pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 21-2-527, Plaintiffs are entitled to a declaration that the Contested Election was invalid. Plaintiffs are further entitled to an order calling for a second election for Lieutenant Governor between the same candidates, Sara Riggs Amico and Geoff Duncan, and setting a date for such second election.

65.

Given that the invalidity of the Contested Election was caused by the malfunctioning or manipulated DRE voting and voter registration system and electronic pollbooks, the order invaliding the Contested Election and setting the

second election should further enjoin the Defendants from using the DRE system in the second election. The Order should further enjoin the Secretary to make all necessary corrections to the voter registration files electronic pollbooks (if used) before the second election.

COUNT II
FUNDAMENTAL RIGHT TO VOTE
42 U.S.C. § 1983

Infringement of the Fundamental Right to Vote
in Violation of the Fourteenth Amendment
(Against Defendant Election Officials)

66.

Plaintiffs incorporate and reallege each of the foregoing paragraphs.

67.

The right of all eligible citizens to vote in public elections is a fundamental right of individuals that is protected by the United States Constitution and incorporated against the States by the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment.

68.

Inherent in individuals' fundamental right to vote is the right to have one's vote counted and reported correctly and to be just as effective as the votes cast by other voters in the same election.

69.

By using defective DRE machines and a flawed and inaccurate voter registration and electronic pollbook system in the Contested Election, Defendants have knowingly and severely burdened and infringed upon the fundamental right to vote of the individual Plaintiffs and other, similarly-situated voters.

70.

These burdens and infringements on the fundamental right to vote caused by Defendants' conduct were not outweighed or justified by, and were not necessary to promote, any substantial or compelling state interest that could not be accomplished by other, less restrictive means, such as conducting the election by paper ballot.

71.

The foregoing violations occurred as a consequence of Defendants acting under color of state law. If the certified results of the Contested Election are

allowed to stand, the individual Plaintiffs will be permanently and irreparably deprived of their fundamental right to vote. Accordingly, to prevent this imminently threatened irreparable injury, Plaintiffs are now entitled to prospective declaratory and injunctive relief against Defendants pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 in the form of an order enjoining the Defendant to permit the individual Plaintiffs to exercise their right to vote in a new election for Lieutenant Governor conducted by means other than DRE voting machines and free from the foregoing violations.

72.

Plaintiffs prays that the infringement of their constitutional right to vote for the race of Lieutenant Governor for the State of Georgia be remedied by the holding of a new election for Lieutenant Governor, which election is conducted using accurate voting rolls and pollbooks, and using paper ballots counted by the counties' optical scanners and not the malfunctioning DRE machines.

COUNT III: EQUAL PROTECTION
42 U.S.C. § 1983

Infringement of the Fourteenth Amendment's
Guarantee of Equal Protection
(Against Defendant Election Officials)

73.

Plaintiffs incorporate and reallege each of the foregoing Paragraphs.

74.

Defendants knowingly treated electors who voted by DRE, including the individual Plaintiffs, differently than other, similarly situated electors voting in the same election and jurisdiction who voted by mail absentee ballot. Because of the well-known design flaws of the DRE system and the proven compromised nature of the State's DRE system, voters' recorded electronic ballots may not accurately reflect voter intent and thus voters who voted using DREs have cast a less effective ballot than voters who voted in the same election using paper ballots. Hand-marked paper ballots cast by mail ballot voters and provisional ballot voters provide clear and determinable records of voter intent. If the Contested Election had been conducted with paper ballots, recorded voter intent would not be in question, and an accurate ballot count could have been determined.

75.

The foregoing violations occurred as a consequence of Defendants acting under color of state law. If the certified results of the Contested Election are allowed to stand, the individual Plaintiffs will be permanently and irreparably deprived of their constitutional right to equal protection of the law. Accordingly, to prevent this imminently threatened irreparable injury, Plaintiffs are now entitled

to prospective declaratory and injunctive relief against Defendants pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 in the form of an order enjoining the Defendant to permit the individual Plaintiffs to exercise their right to vote in a new election for Lieutenant Governor conducted by means other than DRE voting machines and free from the foregoing violations.

76.

Plaintiffs pray that the infringement of their constitutional right to vote for the race of Lieutenant Governor for the State of Georgia be remedied by the holding of a new election for Lieutenant Governor, which election is conducted using accurate voting rolls and pollbooks, and using paper ballots counted by the counties' optical scanners and not the malfunctioning DRE machines.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request the following relief:

1. That the Court declare the Contested Election invalid;

2. That the Court enter an order setting the date for the second election between Geoff Duncan and Sarah Riggs Amico for the office of Lieutenant Governor of the State of Georgia;

3. That the Court prohibit Defendant Election Officials from using the DRE voting system in the second election;

4. That the Court enjoin the Secretary to correct inaccuracies in the voter registration files, including restoring improperly removed voters, and ensure that electronic pollbooks, if used, reflect all corrections in the voter registration files to avoid disenfranchising eligible electors;

5. That the Court enjoin the Secretary to direct all county superintendents to Officer Defendants to promptly notify all mail ballot applicants of rejected mail ballot applications, and rejected mail ballots, and make records supporting the rejection reasonably available for public inspection permitting campaigns and volunteers to assist eligible voters in curing rejected ballots and applications;

6. That the Court award Plaintiffs attorney's fees as authorized by law; and

7. That the Court grant such other and further relief as required by law and equity.

Respectfully submitted this 23rd day of November, 2018.

/s/ Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Georgia Bar No. 064460
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com
Bruce P. Brown Law LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE
Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700
Attorney for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE FOR STATE ELECTION BOARD

This is to certify that on this date, pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 21-2-254(b), this Petition was served on the Chairperson of the State Election Board, Robyn A. Crittenden, by Statutory Overnight Mail.

This 23rd day of November, 2018.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Georgia Bar No. 064460
Bruce P. Brown Law LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE
Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306

Attorney for Plaintiffs

EXHIBIT

A

AFFIDAVIT OF MARILYN MARKS

BEFORE ME, the undersigned person who is authorized by law to administer oaths, personally appeared MARILYN MARKS, who, upon being first duly sworn, deposes and states:

1.

I am competent to give this Affidavit. The facts stated herein are based on my personal knowledge.

2.

I am Executive Director of Coalition for Good Governance, a Plaintiff in this action.

2.

I believe the facts alleged in the foregoing Petition to Contest are true, that according to the best of my knowledge and belief the contested result of the 2018 election for Lieutenant Governor for the State of Georgia is illegal and the return thereof incorrect, and that the Petition to Contest that election is made in good faith.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT.

Marilyn Marks

Sworn to and subscribed before me:

On this 23 day of November, 2018

Notary Public, State of Georgia

My Commission Expires: June 12, 2020

AFFIDAVIT OF RHONDA J. MARTIN

BEFORE ME, the undersigned person who is authorized by law to administer oaths, personally appeared Rhonda J. Martin, who, upon being first duly sworn, deposes and states:

1.

I am competent to give this Affidavit. The facts stated herein are based on my personal knowledge.

2.

I believe the facts alleged in the foregoing Petition to Contest are true, that according to the best of my knowledge and belief the contested result of the 2018 election for Lieutenant Governor for the State of Georgia is illegal and the return thereof incorrect, and that the Petition to Contest that election is made in good faith.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT.

Rhonda J. Martin

Sworn to and subscribed before me.

On this 23 day of November 2018

Notary Public, State of Colorado

My Commission Expires: 5/16/2022

JOSEPH O'LEARY
NOTARY PUBLIC
STATE OF COLORADO
NOTARY ID 20184020229
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES 05/16/2022

AFFIDAVIT OF SMYTHE DUVAL

BEFORE ME, the undersigned person who is authorized by law to administer oaths, personally appeared Smythe DuVal, who, upon being first duly sworn, deposes and states:

1.

I am competent to give this Affidavit. The facts stated herein are based on my personal knowledge.

2.

I believe the facts alleged in the foregoing Petition to Contest are true, that according to the best of my knowledge and belief the contested result of the 2018 election for Lieutenant Governor for the State of Georgia is illegal and the return thereof incorrect, and that the Petition to Contest that election is made in good faith.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT

Smythe DuVal

Sworn to and subscribed before me:
On this 23rd day of November, 2018

Notary Public, State of Georgia
My Commission Expires: 06/14/2022

MELISA MAHMUTOVIC
NOTARY PUBLIC
COBB COUNTY, GEORGIA
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES 06/14/2022

AFFIDAVIT OF JEANNE DUFORT

BEFORE ME, the undersigned person who is authorized by law to administer oaths, personally appeared Jeanne Dufort, who, upon being first duly sworn, deposes and states:

1.

I am competent to give this Affidavit. The facts stated herein are based on my personal knowledge.

2.

I believe the facts alleged in the foregoing Petition to Contest are true, that according to the best of my knowledge and belief the contested result of the 2018 election for Lieutenant Governor for the State of Georgia is illegal and the return thereof incorrect, and that the Petition to Contest that election is made in good faith.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT

Jeanne Dufort

Jeanne Dufort

Sworn to and subscribed before me:

On this 13 day of November, 2018

Notary Public, State of ~~Georgia~~ Kentucky

My Commission Expires: 9/4/20

EXHIBIT

B

November 12, 2018

Robyn A. Crittenden
Georgia Secretary of State
214 State Capitol
Atlanta, GA 30334

Re: ***Discrepancy and/or Error in Returns Recorded for Voting Machines, Anomalous Residual Votes in 2018 Lieutenant Governor Returns***

Dear Secretary Crittenden:

I write today regarding the voting machine returns recorded in Georgia and request your immediate attention to discrepancies and/or errors in the recorded votes.

As the results of the November 6, 2018 General Election have been publicly reported, my campaign has closely reviewed them. During the course of that review, it has become apparent that significant anomalies exist in the reported vote totals for the Lieutenant Governor's race. Specifically, this race has an unusually high rate of residual votes, i.e., votes that either were invalid, not recorded, or were never cast in the first place.

As of Sunday, November 11, the residual vote rate for the Lieutenant Governor's race was approximately 4.3 percent. The existence of a residual vote in the Lieutenant Governor race is not, by itself, remarkable. Voters will commonly vote at higher rates for the most visible races, and in steadily lower rates for less visible ones. However, the publicly released data show an aberrant pattern of residual votes in the Lieutenant Governor's race that cannot be explained by normal voting patterns:

- The Lieutenant Governor's race shows elevated residual vote rates, relative to all of the statewide races on the ballot. The residual vote rate in the Governor's race, which *preceded* the Lieutenant Governor contest, was approximately 0.26 percent. The residual vote rate in the Secretary of State race, a race that *followed* the Lieutenant Governor race, was approximately 1.7 percent. This is not normal. As mentioned above, residual vote rates normally increase as one moves down the ballot. In last week's election, however, the residual vote rate in the Lieutenant Governor race was surrounded by races with significantly lower residual vote rates.

sarah@sarahforgeorgia.com 3600 Dallas Highway Suite 230-172, Marietta, GA 30064 770-291-8990 ext.1

This anomalous pattern continues as one moves down the ballot. The Lieutenant Governor race not only had a higher residual vote rate than the rates for Governor and Secretary of State. It had a higher rate than the rates for Attorney General, Commissioner of Agriculture, and Commissioner of Labor. Indeed, there were eight statewide races at the top of the November 6 ballot, and the Lieutenant Governor race had the highest residual vote rate of them all, even though the Lieutenant Governor race was second in the list of eight. *See* Attachment A for details.

- The residual vote rate for Lieutenant Governor is anomalous not only when compared to other races in the 2018 General Election, but to previous elections in Georgia as well. In 2014, the residual rate for the Lieutenant Governor's race was 2.61 percent. This rate is consistent with the normal pattern: in 2014 residual rates increased as one moved further down the ballot. This normal pattern did not happen in 2018. *See* Attachment A.

- The residual vote rate for the Lieutenant Governor race is anomalous demographically. An analysis reveals that, on average, relatively high Lieutenant Governor residual vote rates appear to impact Democratic-leaning counties more heavily than Republican-leaning counties. *See* Attachment B. Indeed, counties across Georgia were disparately impacted by the residual vote rate anomaly described here, with at least ten counties, whose supervisors are cc'd on this letter, demonstrating a residual rate for Lieutenant Governor well over 10 percent. *See* Attachment C. According to Dr. Michael Herron - a professor at Dartmouth College and a recognized expert in statistical analysis and election administration who performed this analysis - this simply does not happen in a typical election in the United States.

- Finally, the publicly available data indicate that the anomalously high Lieutenant Governor residual vote rate correlates to the use of Georgia's Direct Recording Electronic (DRE) voting machines on Election Day. A comparison of returns from votes cast on Election Day using DRE machines to returns from mail-in absentee votes, i.e., paper ballots, reveals that the residual vote for all votes cast on paper was approximately 1 percent as compared to the approximate 7 percent for votes cast electronically. In addition, the residual vote rate for Lieutenant Governor for votes cast on paper is in line with the residual vote rates for other down-ballot candidates. *See* Attachment D. The figures in this paragraph are still preliminary as it appears that absentee ballot records for last week's election are not yet complete. Still, even when so qualified, the residual vote rate gap for the Lieutenant Governor race between DRE and absentee votes cast on paper is clearly anomalous.

These stark anomalies indicate serious inadequacies in the administration of the 2018 General Election. In particular, they indicate still more problems in the performance of the DRE machines. A federal court judge recently recognized the potential for such problems, who explained that there were "serious security flaws and vulnerabilities in the state's DRE system —

including unverifiable election results, outdated software susceptible to malware and viruses and a central server that was already hacked multiple times." *Curling v. Kemp*, No. 1:17-CV-2989-AT, 2018 WL 4625653, at *13 (N.D. Ga. Sept. 17, 2018).

If these were not the results from an election, but the laboratory results from an annual physical, such anomalous, elevated results would trigger an immediate phone call from the doctor's office, and a battery of additional tests. Your office can and should take immediate steps to review the anomalous residual vote rate in the Lieutenant Governor's race and determine why it happened. The Secretary of State has the authority to ensure that these machines are fully audited and tested and that the votes cast on them are recanvassed. *See* Georgia Election Code § 21-2-495 (d). Your office should exercise that authority immediately.

While the number of residual votes in the Lieutenant Governor's race is unlikely to affect the outcome of my race, more needs to be understood about the functioning of the DRE machines used on Election Day in 2018 to assure the voters of Georgia that their votes were not only duly cast, but fully counted. Runoff elections using these same machines are mere weeks away. Accordingly, I request that you and the supervisors copied on this letter, whose counties showed the most striking anomalies, initiate the audits, tests and other steps necessary to make sure that Georgia voters can fully exercise their fundamental right to vote on December 4, 2018 and in future elections.

Sincerely,

Sarah Riggs Amico
2018 Democratic Candidate for Lieutenant Governor

CC.
Atkinson County Supervisor of Elections Lorraine White
Ben Hill County Supervisor of Elections and Chief Registrar Cindi Dunlap
Clay County Supervisor of Elections Melessa Shivers
Coffee County Supervisor of Elections Misty Hampton
Hancock County Supervisor of Elections John Reid
Jefferson County Elections Superintendent Susan Gray
Quitman County Supervisor of Elections Julia Floyd
Randolph County Supervisor of Elections Todd Black
Taliaferro County Supervisor of Elections Clarris Stephens
Twiggs County Elections Superintendent Debra Stephens

Attachment A

Residual vote rates in 2014 and 2018 General Elections, top statewide races



Attachment B


Lt. Governor / Sec'y of State residual vote rate difference and 2016 Clinton percent
Lt. Governor residual vote rate minus Sec'y of State residual vote rate
Hillary Clinton vote percentage, 2016 General Election
0
2
4
6
8
0
25
50
75
100
Muscogee
Richmond
Clayton
Bibb
Chatham
Henry
Houston
Gwinnett
Dekalb
Columbia
Coweta
Douglas
Fulton
Paulding
Hall
Cherokee
Fayette
Cobb
Forsyth
Note: county dot sizes proportional to total turnout.
Data current as of 2:00pm EST on November 11, 2018.
Labeled counties have at least 50,000 votes.

Attachment C

Election day residual vote rates

**** ED ballot numbers are preliminary due to apparent ongoing absentee ballot counting ****

Potentially large relative losses in Lt Governor votes						Potentially smaller relative losses in Lt Governor votes					
County	**ED ballots**	**Lt-Gov rvr**	**SOS rvr**	**Difference**	**Clinton 2016**	**County**	**ED ballots**	**Lt-Gov rvr**	**SOS rvr**	**Difference**	**Clinton 2016**
Quitman	438	13.470	5.936	7.534	44.157	Bartow	19865	2.950	3.237	-0.287	20.892
Hancock	1664	18.389	11.418	6.971	75.447	Jeff Davis	1963	7.081	6.877	0.204	17.698
Jefferson	3486	12.392	6.139	6.254	54.947	Montgomery	1945	5.810	4.884	0.925	23.779
Atkinson	1175	12.511	6.298	6.213	26.705	Treutlen	830	7.711	6.627	1.084	31.890
Ben Hill	1663	10.944	4.991	5.953	35.424	White	5571	3.931	2.477	1.454	14.207
Clay	490	13.265	7.347	5.918	54.839	Forsyth	37158	5.054	3.520	1.534	24.087
Taliaferro	346	20.520	14.740	5.780	60.758	Oconee	8303	5.179	3.637	1.542	28.002
Twiggs	2035	11.450	5.700	5.749	48.595	Dade	2789	5.522	3.980	1.542	15.460
Randolph	1274	11.303	5.651	5.651	55.161	Stephens	2474	7.316	5.618	1.698	18.810
Coffee	4682	10.914	5.297	5.617	29.421	Banks	3884	3.888	2.188	1.699	9.842

Attachment D

2018 Election Day and Absentee residual vote rates



EXHIBIT C

The Office of Secretary of State

Robyn A. Crittenden
SECRETARY OF STATE

November 17, 2018

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

Sarah Riggs Amico
3600 Dallas Highway, Suite 230-172
Marietta, Georgia 30064
sarah@sarahforgeorgia.com

Dear Mrs. Riggs Amico,

I am writing to address your petition for a recount or recanvass of votes cast in the Lieutenant Governor's race for the November 6, 2018 election. In accordance with O.C.G.A. § 21-2-495 (d), I have reviewed the petition and the accompanying information, and I have determined that such petition does not set forth verifiable evidence of discrepancies or errors not apparent on the face of the returns. Therefore, I hereby decline to order such action.

O.C.G.A. § 21-2-495 (d) states, in pertinent part, "[t]he petition pursuant to this Code section shall be in writing and signed by the person or persons requesting the recount or recanvass," and "[a] petition shall set forth the discrepancies or errors and any evidence in support of the petitioner's request for a recount or recanvass and shall be verified." Notably, the Secretary of State's authority to grant a request for a recount or recanvass is discretionary under this section.

In declining to exercise this discretionary authority, I find that your petition fails to allege any verifiable discrepancies or errors to support the underlying request. As you know, residual votes are not uncommon in an election. Voters are not obligated to cast a vote in each contest appearing on their ballots. You also readily acknowledge that the rate of residual votes in your race is unlikely to affect the ultimate outcome.

However, I can commit to you that we will continue to review the functionality of our voting equipment as we prepare for future elections in Georgia. In fact, it is standard practice for counties to conduct testing of their voting equipment prior to its use in any election, and I expect counties to continue these processes for each component of the election system in compliance with state law and rules.

The Secretary of State's office works closely with county elections and registration offices to ensure the integrity of Georgia's election system. Together, we work tirelessly to fulfill our duties under the law and rules, and we do not take these duties lightly.

214 State Capitol • Atlanta, Georgia 30334 • (404) 656-2881 • (404) 656-0513 Fax
www.sos.ga.gov

Before each election, primary, or run-off, state law and rules require county election officials to conduct public logic and accuracy testing of all voting equipment, including Direct Recording Electronic (DRE) voting equipment. This testing utilizes diagnostic measures to confirm that all components are fully operational and involves comparison of a test deck of ballots to known results. These results must match the contents of the test deck to pass, and the testing confirms that the voting equipment functions properly before use. If any unit fails testing, it cannot be used until it is repaired and tested for compliance.

Importantly, in November 2017, the Secretary of State's office conducted a thorough re-examination of DRE voting equipment and found it to be accurate and secure. On every Election Day, the Secretary of State's office also conducts parallel testing using county ballot databases to program voting equipment, cast ballots throughout the day, and compare the results to the voter's selections. This test has always shown 100% accuracy.

In the event of any run-off elections on December 4, 2018, we will utilize a ballot database from at least one of the counties specifically mentioned in your letter to conduct parallel testing on Election Day. In the meantime, I would welcome an opportunity to meet with you to discuss your concerns about the election system. Please reach out to my Executive Assistant, Robin Herron, at rherron@sos.ga.gov or (404) 657-4596 so that we can try to schedule a meeting. I look forward to our continued discussion on this matter. As always, I am at your service.

Sincerely,



Robyn A. Crittenden
Georgia Secretary of State

214 State Capitol • Atlanta, Georgia 30334 • (404) 656-2881 • (404) 656-0513 Fax
www.sos.ga.gov

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDQW
Date: 12/19/2018 11:00 AM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV313418**

PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO DROP DEKALB COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS AS PARTY DEFENDANT

Pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 9-11-21, Plaintiffs move to drop DeKalb County Board of Registrations and Elections ("the DeKalb Board") as a party defendant. O.C.G.A. § 9-11-21 provides in relevant part: "Parties may be dropped or added by order of the court on motion of any party or of is own initiate at any state of the action and on such terms as are just." Plaintiffs show that the DeKalb Board has not filed an answer in this case and has no objection to being dropped as a party

defendant. Accordingly, granting of this motion, and dropping the DeKalb Board, is in the interests of justice.

A proposed order is attached.

Respectfully submitted this 19th day of December, 2018.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown Law LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE
Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing via the Court's e-filing system to the attorneys of record who have made appearances.

This 19th day of December, 2018.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDQW
Date: 12/19/2018 3:46 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, et al., **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV313418**

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO DROP DEKALB COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS AS PARTY DEFENDANT

Upon motion of Plaintiffs, and pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 9-11-21, Defendant DeKalb County Board of Registrations and Elections is dropped from this action and is no longer a party to this case.

SO ORDERED this __ day of December, 2018.

_____
Honorable Adele P. Grubbs
Senior Judge, State of Georgia

Prepared by: /s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Counsel for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing via the Court's e-filing system to the attorneys of record who have made appearances.

This 19TH day of December, 2018.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDAC
Date: 12/20/2018 8:24 AM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, *et al.*, Plaintiffs, v. ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, *et al.*, Defendants.	Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

CONSOLIDATED MOTION TO DISMISS BY SPECIAL APPEARANCE AND BRIEF IN SUPPORT ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS

Defendant Gwinnett County Board of Registrations and Elections (Gwinnett BORE) specially appears in this matter to file this Motion to Dismiss Petition to Contest Election Result. Defendant Gwinnett BORE has not been served with this lawsuit, does not waive any affirmative defenses or the right to answer when it is served, and does not waive service of process in this matter.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE moves to dismiss Plaintiffs' Petition to Contest Election Result because:

(1) The Coalition for Good Governance does not have standing to file an election contest;

(2) This Court lacks jurisdiction because Plaintiffs failed to join necessary parties; and

(3) Plaintiffs have failed to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE fully joins the Consolidated Motion to Dismiss by Special Appearance and Brief in Support on Behalf of Defendant Robyn Crittenden filed with this Court on December 4, 2018, incorporates the entirety of that brief by reference, and will not repeat the arguments raised in the Secretary's Brief. In the interest of bringing several additional points that amplify, but do not repeat, the Secretary of State's Motion, Defendant Gwinnett BORE offers the following additional arguments for the Court's consideration.

INTRODUCTION

Plaintiffs filed this action seeking two different types of relief: (1) overturning the Lieutenant Governor's race in the 2018 general election through the election contest procedures outlined in statute; and (2) requiring an all-paper-ballot new election through several constitutional claims. While Plaintiffs clearly dislike DRE voting machines, this Court should not allow Plaintiffs to use election contest procedures to accelerate their unrelated claims and force a return to paper ballots—especially when the same parties and counsel have similar claims pending in federal court against the State and without any county parties.

This Court should, at the very least, dismiss the election contest portion of Plaintiffs' case and then dismiss Defendant Gwinnett BORE. While county election superintendents are necessary parties for election contests, Plaintiffs have not sued all 159 superintendents as the statute requires and cannot now add those additional counties.

Without the election contest portion of the Petition, the remaining constitutional claims can either be dismissed to the extent they apply only to a proposed new election or can be heard without a senior judge in the normal course of litigation. And those remaining

claims can be heard without the necessity of burdening county election officials with responding to claims that are properly brought against the State.

ARGUMENT AND CITATION OF AUTHORITY

As outlined in the Secretary's Brief, Plaintiffs have failed to place their election contest properly before this Court under the statutes governing election contests. While the rules related to election contests may seem harsh, the Supreme Court recognizes the critical interest in avoiding "election uncertainty and the confusion and prejudice that can come in its wake." *Broughton v. Douglas Cty. Bd. of Elections*, 286 Ga. 528, 529 (2010). Applying the technical rules related to contests is a prerequisite for jurisdiction—even to the point of dismissing petitions filed just one day late. *See Head v. Williams*, 269 Ga. 894, 895, 506 S.E.2d 863, 865 (1998) (decided under identical contest provisions of Municipal Election Code).

I. The Coalition for Good Governance does not have standing to file an election contest.

O.C.G.A. § 21-2-521 limits the types of persons who can file an election contest to a candidate election. The only possible contestants are the candidate or a voter who was entitled to vote in the election. *Id.* A corporation cannot vote in an election and is not entitled to file a contest. While the Coalition for Good Governance might have standing to bring the constitutional claims outlined later in the Petition, it does not have standing to challenge election results through the statutory contest procedures.

II. Plaintiffs failed to join necessary parties and cannot now add them because the statute of limitations has expired.

Election contests require prompt action by petitioners, getting the right individuals and entities before the correct court to have the contest decided. *Swain v. Thompson*, 281 Ga.

30, 31 (2006). Petitioners must sue the election superintendents who would have to hold a new election—meaning that a statewide contest requires naming all of the 159 election superintendents that would hold the new election. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2)(C). Even assuming Plaintiffs have stated a claim, their Petition only put three counties on notice of the action, because they sued only the Boards of Election for DeKalb, Fulton, and Gwinnett Counties and now seek to dismiss DeKalb as a party.

The one case interpreting the petition-amendment procedures for an election contest only allowed petitioners to correct an error in suing the correct entity when the county officials were already on notice of the litigation within the short statute of limitations. S*ee Brodie v. Champion*, 281 Ga. 105 (2006) (allowing amendment to add election board when correct director of elections had been sued). In this case, none of the other 156 counties that would have to conduct the new election Plaintiffs seek have been notified of this case.

Even if Plaintiffs now attempted to add additional parties, they will be joining those parties after the expiration of the five-day statute of limitations. This failure to join a necessary and indispensable party outside the statute of limitations is yet another reason this Court should dismiss the Petition. There is no doubt that "complete relief cannot be afforded," O.C.G.A. § 9-11-19(a)(1), in the absence of all of the Boards of Election that would have to conduct the new election if Petitioner were to be successful. Plaintiffs did not even sue the top ten counties where they believed there were problems (as referenced in Ms. Amico's letter).

Adding additional election superintendents after the statute of limitations has expired should not be allowed to relate back to the original filing date. O.C.G.A. § 9-11-15(c) outlines the requirements for a filing to relate back when the statute of limitations has

expired. In this case, the failure to name the election superintendents for 156 counties was not the result of a mistake of identity. Plaintiffs knew the race was statewide and had all the necessary facts to name the correct parties prior to the expiration of the statute of limitations. As a result, they cannot be allowed to correct the defect in their original filing by adding the additional election superintendents. *See Steed v. Wellington HealthCare Servs., LLC*, 285 Ga. App. 446, 448 (2007) (upholding denial of motion to amend when plaintiff had all information to name correct party before expiration of statute of limitations).

III. Plaintiffs have failed to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

Even if Plaintiffs had sued the correct entities, election contests require specific proof: that the results of the election would be placed in doubt. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-522. That is because the Court must presume that the results of an election are valid. *Middleton v. Smith*, 273 Ga. 202, 203 (2000). Irregularities that "simply erode confidence in the outcome of an election" are not sufficient to overturn an election, because elections "cannot be overturned on the basis of mere speculation." *Id.*

Plaintiffs must show to a mathematical certainty that the outcome would be placed in doubt. *Mead v. Sheffield*, 278 Ga. 268, 271 (2004); *Howell v. Fears*, 275 Ga. 627, 628 (2002). The publicly reported totals for the Lieutenant Governor's race[1] show Geoff Duncan receiving 1,951,738 votes and Sarah Riggs Amico receiving 1,828,566, for a difference of 123,172 votes. Plaintiffs claim various scattered alleged irregularities in their Petition—very few with any specific identifying information—but they have not alleged any number of disputed votes even close to the massive burden they carry. Ms. Amico has even admitted

[1] Available at https://results.enr.clarityelections.com/GA/91639/Web02-state.221451/#/cid/21000

that even if all the irregularities alleged were true, they would not change the results of her election. Petition, Exhibit C at page 1.

Plaintiffs repeatedly claim that there is no explanation other than a hack of the DRE units to explain the differential in the vote for Lieutenant Governor and other statewide races. But there are any number of plausible scenarios: (1) voters chose not to cast ballots when there was no Libertarian in the race; (2) voters thought the Governor and Lieutenant Governor ran on a ticket, so a second vote was unnecessary; (3) the ballot design and layout on the DRE units led voters to skip over the Lieutenant Governor election; or (4) some supporters of Democratic candidates disliked Ms. Amico or her positions on issues and chose not to vote for her.

Plaintiffs' repeated speculations about untraceable hacks of voting systems is just that—speculation. And the Supreme Court is clear that an election cannot be overturned because of "mere speculation." *Middleton*, 273 Ga. at 203. Because Plaintiffs have not alleged irregularities totaling anywhere close to the margin of victory, their Petition should be dismissed for failure to state a claim.

IV. The Gwinnett BORE is not a necessary party for Plaintiffs' claims that are unrelated to the statutory election contest.

Plaintiffs obviously believe there are problems with Georgia's DRE machines. But the claims in Counts II and III apparently focus on the administration of a new election. If those claims somehow continue after dismissal of the election contest, Defendant Gwinnett BORE is no longer a necessary party and those claims can be addressed between Plaintiffs and the State.

CONCLUSION

As outlined in the Secretary's Brief and this Brief, this Court lacks jurisdiction because Plaintiffs did not sue the necessary parties within the timeline provided by statute. Plaintiffs cannot now add those necessary parties outside the statute of limitations. And even if Plaintiffs could correct those fatal defects, they have not stated a claim under the statute governing election contests. This Court should dismiss Plaintiffs' Petition completely, or at the very least, dismiss the election contest claim and then dismiss Defendant Gwinnett BORE from its constitutional claims.

This 20th day of December, 2018.

/s/ Bryan P. Tyson
Frank B. Strickland
Georgia Bar No. 687600
fbs@sbllaw.net
Bryan P. Tyson
Georgia Bar No. 515411
bpt@sbllaw.net
Anne W. Lewis
Georgia Bar No. 737490
awl@sbllaw.net
STRICKLAND BROCKINGTON
LEWIS LLP
Midtown Proscenium Suite 2200
1170 Peachtree Street NE
Atlanta, GA 30309
(678) 347-2200

Richard A. Carothers
Georgia Bar No. 111075
richard.carothers@carmitch.com
Brian R. Dempsey
Georgia Bar No. 217596
Brian.dempsey@carmitch.com
CAROTHERS & MITCHELL, LLC
1809 Buford Highway
Buford, GA 30518

(770) 932-3552

Attorneys for the Gwinnett County Board of Registrations and Elections

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, *et al.*, Plaintiffs, v. ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, *et al.*, Defendants.	Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day electronically filed the foregoing CONSOLIDATED MOTION TO DISMISS BY SPECIAL APPEARANCE AND BRIEF IN SUPPORT ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS with the Clerk of Court using the Odyssey E-Filing system which will provide automatic notification of such filing to all counsel of record.

This 20th day of December, 2018.

/s/ Bryan P. Tyson
Bryan P. Tyson
Georgia Bar No. 515411

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDLS
Date: 12/20/2018 4:33 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, *et al*	)
	) Civil Action File No. 2018CV313418
Plaintiff,	)
v.	)
ROBYN A CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, *et al*	)
Defendant	)

FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS SPECIAL APPEARANCE CONSOLIDATED MOTION TO DISMISS AND BRIEF IN SUPPORT

COMES NOW, Fulton County Board of Registrations and Elections ("Fulton County BRE"), by special appearance and without submitting to the jurisdiction of this court and without waiving any rights or defenses, for the limited purpose of filing a Motion to Dismiss pursuant to OCGA §§9-11-12(b)(2), (4), (5), (6) and (7) and filing this brief in support of the motion. Fulton County BRE has not been served and has not waived service of process.

I. Background

This case began long before this Petition was filed on November 23, 2018. On May 25, 2017, The Coalition for Good Governance, then operating under the name Rocky Mountain Foundation, filed suit against the Director of the Fulton County BRE in Fulton County Superior Court. As in this case, the allegation was made that the Direct Recording Electronic ("DRE") voting equipment used in Georgia elections is unsafe and inaccurate and a court order directing the use of paper ballots in future elections was sought. That action was dismissed by Judge

Kimberly Esmond Adams on June 6, 2017.[1]. On July 3, 2017, The Coalition for Good Governance filed a second action, this time an election contest, to which the Fulton County BRE was a defendant. The second action again complained about the vulnerability and unreliability of Georgia's DRE voting system, asserted the same 42 U.S.C. 1983 claims for infringement of the fundamental right to vote and infringement of the guarantee of equal protection that are asserted in the instant Petition, and again sought the use of paper ballots.[2] That case was removed to federal court where it is currently pending.[3].

On November 6, 2018, a state-wide general election was held. One of the races was for Lieutenant Governor for the State of Georgia. (Petition p. 1). The two candidates were Geoff Duncan and Sarah Riggs Amico. (Petition ¶ 1). Geoff Duncan received the most votes in the Contested Election. (Petition ¶17). On November 17, 2018, the Secretary of State certified the election results. (Petition ¶ 19). On November 23, 2018, Petitioners filed suit in Fulton County Superior Court under the Georgia election contest statute, OCGA § 21-2-520 *et seq.*, alleging that the unreliability and vulnerability of the electronic voting system used by the State of Georgia places doubt on the results of the Lieutenant Governor's race. The current Petition, as the petitions before it, asserts 42 U.S.C. §1983 claims for infringement on the right to vote and equal protection, seeks to void the Lieutenant Governor race and prohibit "Defendant Election

---

[1] "[A t]rial court may take judicial cognizance of records on file in its own court" *Petkas v. Grizzard*, 252 Ga. 104, 312 S.E.2d 107 (1984). Civil Action File No. 2017cv290630. *Donna Curling, Donna Price, Rocky Mountain Foundation, Inc. v. Richard Barron, in his Official Capacity as Director of the Fulton County Board of Elections and Registration, et al.*

[2] Civil Action File No. 2017cv292233. *Donna Curling, Coalition For Good Governance, Donna Price, Jeffrey Schoenberg, Laura Digges, William Digges III, Ricardo Davis v. Richard Barron, in his individual capacity and his official capacity as Director of the Fulton County Board Of Registration And Elections, Mary Carole Cooney, Vernetta Nuriddin, David J. Burge, Stan Matarazzo and Aaron Johnson in their individual capacities and official capacities as members of the Fulton County Board of Registration and Elections, The Fulton County Board Of Registration And Election, et al.*

[3] Civil Action File No. 1:17-cv-02989-AT.

Officials" from using the DRE voting system during the requested re-election.

First, As Petitioners are well aware, the Fulton County BRE cannot provide Petitioners with the relief they seek. The Fulton County BRE does not have the authority to determine the voting system used in Georgia. That responsibility lies with the Secretary of State. OCGA §§ 21-2-50, -50.2, -300, -379.3, -499; Ga. Comp. R. & Regs. R. 183-1-12-.01. The Fulton County BRE, like every other election superintendent in the state, is constrained by state law to use the voting system approved by the Secretary of State. OCGA §§ 21-2-300 and -379.3.

Second, the Petition fails to state a claim for relief. In support of the claim of irregularity, Petitioners rely, in part, on Exhibit B to the Petition, an unsigned letter dated November 12, 2018 purportedly from Sarah Riggs Amico to the Secretary of State raising concerns about the aberrant pattern of residual votes in the Lieutenant Governor race; Petitioners have parroted these claims in their Petition.[4]. Exhibit B, at page 3, concedes that "the number of residual votes in the Lieutenant Governor's race is unlikely to affect the outcome of [the] race." Thus, notwithstanding Petitioners' allegations to the contrary, the Petition fails to state a claim supporting an election contest because Exhibit B to the Petition refutes Petitioners claim that the outcome of the election is doubtful. *See Mead v. Sheffield*, 278 Ga. 268, 271 (2004). An exhibit to a petition takes precedence over hypothetical factual allegations. *See Lord v. Lowe*, 318 Ga. 780. 781 (1974)(if there is any discrepancy between the allegations in the complaint and the attached exhibits, the exhibits control).

On November 27, 2018, Petitioners' Counsel requested that a special summons be issued by Fulton County Superior Court Judge Constance Russell--which special summons was issued

[4]The counties at issue were Atkinson, Ben Hill, Clay, Coffee, Hancock, Jefferson, Quitman, Randolph, Taliaferro and Twiggs. These counties are not named as defendants in this action and thus parties indispensable to the just adjudication of this matter are not before the court. See OCGA §9-11-19. Exhibit B raises no concerns regarding residual votes in Fulton County.

but not served. On November 29, 2018, Chief Judge Robert McBurney entered the "Order Re-assigning Case to Seventh Judicial Administrative District" requesting the Honorable Ralph Van Pelt, Administrative Judge of the Seventh Judicial Administrative Circuit, to determine what judge shall hear this case. On November 30, 2018, Judge Van Pelt appointed the Honorable Adele Grubbs to preside in this action to serve and hear the contest of the election.

On December 5, 2018, this court held a status conference during which Petitioners were put on notice that the Fulton County BRE had not been served. To date, the Fulton County BRE has not been served with the Election Contest Petition and the "notice, in the form of special process" issued by the Superior Court Clerk as required by OCGA §21-2-524. During the time between Plaintiffs' November 23, 2018, Petition and this December 20, 2018 Motion to Dismiss, Petitioners have not ensured that defendant was served by special process with a copy of the Petition, as required under the Election Contest Statute.

II. ARGUMENT AND CITATIONS TO AUTHORITY

A. Petitioners' Claims Against Defendant are Barred For Lack Of Personal Jurisdiction and for Insufficient Service of Process.

A Georgia court cannot maintain proper jurisdiction over a civil claim, including an election contest, unless service of process has been properly perfected. A court does not have jurisdiction over a defendant until after service of process, and accordingly, while a legal action commences when the complaint is filed, it is not a pending suit between the parties until after service of process. *Wilkinson v. Udinsky*, 242 Ga. App. 464 (2000)(holding that improper service leads to a lack of jurisdiction); *Hilton v. Maddox*, 125 Ga. App. 423 (1972). If service is never perfected and is not waived, the court does not acquire jurisdiction of the defendant and the suit is void. *Anthony Hill Grading, Inc. v. SBS Investments, LLC*, 297 Ga. App. 728 (2009);

OCGA §9-11-12(b)(2). When service is not timely made, the plaintiff bears the burden of showing lack of fault. *Patterson v. Lopez*, 279 Ga. App. 840 (2006).

Insufficient service of process is an affirmative defense that justifies dismissal of a Petitioners election contest. See, O.C.G.A.§9-11-12(b)(5). Thus, a motion to dismiss is the proper vehicle to seek resolution of the issue of lack of service or insufficiency of service of process. *Terrell v. Porter*, 189 Ga. App. 778 (1989).

B. The Petition Should be Dismissed For Failure to Timely Comply with Procedural Mandates of the Election Contest Statute Designed to Ensure Swift Resolution of Election Disputes.

The Petition should be dismissed because Petitioners have failed to timely comply with the procedural service mandates of the Georgia Election Contest Statute. OCGA § 21-2-520 *et seq.*; *Broughton v. Douglas County Bd. Of Elections*, 286 Ga. 528 (2010). Petitioners' failure to exercise care, dispatch and diligence in timely complying with the procedural mandates of the Georgia Election Contest Statute violates the Georgia Supreme Court's steadfast pronouncement that "the party seeking review of an election has the obligation to exercise the mechanisms available to produce a timely result." *Allen v. Yost*, 282 Ga. 865 (2008).

The Election Contest Statute sets forth very precise and detailed procedural mechanisms affording Superior Courts with jurisdiction to review election disputes. *Id.* These carefully crafted statutory protocols "reflect the legislature's strong desire to avoid election uncertainty and the confusion and prejudice which can come in its wake." *Broughton*, 286 at 528-29. Compliance with these statutory protocols is also mandatory to ensure swift and quick resolution of election disputes. *Id.* There is no doubt under Georgia law that "swift resolution of election contests is vital for the smooth operation of government." *Id.*

Petitioners have clearly failed to exercise diligence and dispatch to ensure swift and

timely review of this election dispute. Petitioners have failed to have the statutorily mandated special process served upon the BRE which would afford this court jurisdiction over the Fulton County BRE. Absent service, this court has no jurisdiction over the Fulton County BRE. The Election Contest Statute requires that service be effected "in the form of special process [issued by the Superior Court Clerk]" expressly requiring the named defendants to answer the petition "not more than ten days nor less than five days after the service of such notice." OCGA §21-2-524. Here, Plaintiffs did not ensure issuance of such special process.[5] Although the Court Clerk is tasked with issuing the process, Petitioners have the responsibility to ensure that such process issue in a timely fashion. *Swain v. Thompson*, 281 Ga. 30, 31 (2006). In *Swain,* the Georgia Supreme Court rejected the argument that the trial court clerk should have ensured that the required special process timely issue in that election contest. The Supreme Court reasoned that "[g]iven the public policy in favor of swift resolution of election contests, recognition of the clerk's duty to issue proper process must be balanced against the traditional placement on plaintiffs of the duty to ensure proper and timely service." *Id.* at 31. The Court thus explained that where service occurs "after the period of limitation for filing the action...the plaintiff has the burden of showing that due diligence was exercised." *Id.*

In *Swain*, the petitioner was placed on notice in the defendant's special appearance and answer that service was insufficient. The Court noted that "receipt of [defendant's] answer asserting insufficiency of service put [plaintiff] on notice and should have inspired him to exercise the greatest possible diligence to ensure proper and timely service." *Id.* The Court

[5] OCGA §9-11-4(a) is instructive because it also requires the clerk to issue a summons and deliver it for service. Lack of service of an election contest within the five-day safe harbor provision of O.C.G.A. § 9-11-4(c) is neither reasonable nor diligent and thus dismissal as to the Fulton County BRE is warranted. *See Day v. Savage*, 213 Ga. App. 792 (1994).

explained that "[o]nce the plaintiff becomes aware of a problem with service...his duty is elevated to an even higher duty of the greatest possible diligence to ensure proper and timely service." *Id.* at 32. In affirming the trial court's dismissal of the plaintiff's petition, the Court concluded that the "question of whether [the petitioner] exercised proper diligence after being put on notice of deficiencies in service of process was one for the trial court's discretion, which will not be disturbed absent abuse." *Id.*

Here, this court should exercise its discretion to dismiss the Fulton County BRE because Petitioners' failure to exercise diligence is even more inexcusable than the petitioner in *Swain,* in that Petitioners' Counsel, a serial filer of complaints railing against Georgia's voting system, was on notice on the date of the Petition's filing that the Election Contest Statute requires service be issued "in the form of special process." Certainly, having requested and received at least one special process from Judge Russell, service on the Fulton County BRE was "elevated to an even higher duty of the greatest possible diligence to ensure proper and timely service." *Swain*, 281 Ga. at 32. However, instead of ensuring or requesting that proper service be issued, the matter has simply sat before the trial court from the date of the Petition's filing on November 23, 2018. Now, almost one month later, petitioners have yet to actually serve Defendant with the Special Summons and Complaint. Moreover, there is no indication that Petitioners acted with the greatest due diligence to assure proper service was obtained. Petitioners have had more than enough time to act diligently and serve Defendant. Because Petitioners have not, the Petition, against the Fulton County BRE, should be dismissed for lack of personal jurisdiction and insufficiency of service of process.

C. Petitioners do not have a Colorable Claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and thus Fail to State a Claim Upon Which Relief can be Granted.

The law governing the invocation of section 1983 for alleged "malfunctions" of the electoral process is well settled. The [federal] Constitution is not an election fraud statute: protection is extended to the right of all qualified citizens to vote in state and federal election. *Bodine v. Elkhart County Election Bd.*, 788 F.2d 1270 (1986) *citing Reynolds v. Sims,* 377 U.S. 533, 554, 84 S. Ct. 1362, 1377-78, 12 L.Ed.2d 506 (1964). "It is not every election irregularity, however, which will give rise to a constitutional claim and an action under section 1983." *Hennings v. Grafton,* 523 F.2d 861, 864 (7th Cir.1975). The court in *Hennings* held that section 1983 is implicated only when there is "*willful* conduct which undermines the organic processes by which candidates are elected." 523 F.2d at 864 (emphasis added); *see also Shannon v. Jacobowitz,* 394 F.3d 90, 97 (2d Cir.2005) ("Because no conduct is alleged that would indicate an intentional deprivation of the right to vote, we find no cognizable federal due process claim.") Because Plaintiffs have failed to show willful intent, they have failed to state a constitutional violation of the Fourteenth Amendment. Every election irregularity will not rise to a constitutional violation. *Pettengill v. Putnam County R-1 Sch. Dist.*, 472 F.2d 121, 121-22 (8th Cir. 1973). The Constitution does not mandate flawless or perfect elections. *Bodine* 788 F.2d at 1272 (7th Cir. 1986). Absent invidious discrimination or "fraudulent interference with a free election by stuffing the ballot box," voter irregularities do not amount to constitutional violations. *Pettengill*, 472 F.2d 121, 122 (8th Cir. 1973). As stated in *Gamza v. Aguirre*, 619 F.2d 449, 453 9 (5th Cir. 1980), "[i]f every state election irregularity were considered a federal constitutional deprivation… the elaborate state election contest procedures, designed to assure speedy and orderly disposition of the multitudinous questions that may arise in the electoral process, would be superseded by a section 1983 gloss." Consequently, Petitioners' Section 1983

claims must be dismissed for failure to state a claim for the denial or deprivation of constitutional rights.

D. The Petition Must be Dismissed Pursuant to OCGA §9-11-12(b)(6) and (7)

Petitioners have failed to raise valid claims for relief and have failed to name all necessary parties to this election contest. See OCGA §9-11-19. The Lieutenant Governor race was a state-wide election. Thus, the relief requested by Petitioners, requires the addition of all election superintendents for all 159 Georgia counties. See OCGA §21-2-520(2)(C). Further, the Coalition for Good Governance lacks standing to bring an election contest and the claim for election contest fails on the merits. Lastly, Petitioners fail to sufficiently allege either a due process claim or an equal protection claim.

The Fulton County BRE joins in, and incorporates by reference as if set forth verbatim herein, the facts, arguments and citations of authority set forth in the December 4, 2018 Consolidated Motion to Dismiss by Special Appearance and Brief in Support on Behalf of Defendant Robin A. Crittenden and in the Motions to Dismiss filed by Gwinnett County Board of Registrations and Elections and Lieutenant Governor-elect Geoff Duncan.

CONCLUSION

It is clear that plaintiff has failed to perfect service upon defendant and failed to exercise the greatest possible diligence to ensure service; that the Fulton County BRE cannot provide Petitioners with the relief they seek because it does not have the authority to determine the voting system used in Georgia; and that Exhibit B to the Petition reveals that the number of votes at issue are insufficient to alter the results of the Lieutenant Governor's race. Thus, the Petition should be dismissed in its entirety.

Respectfully submitted this 20th day of December, 2018.

OFFICE OF THE FULTON COUNTY ATTORNEY
Patrise Perkins-Hooker
County Attorney
Georgia Bar No. 572358
Patrise.perkins-hooker@fultoncountyga.gov

/s/ Kaye Woodard Burwell
Kaye Woodard Burwell
Georgia Bar No. 775060
Kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov
Cheryl Melissa Ann Ringer
Georgia Bar No. 557420
Cheryl.ringer@fultoncountyga.gov
David Lowman
Georgia Bar No. 460298
David.lowman@fultoncountyga.gov
Attorneys for Defendant Fulton County BRE

141 Pryor Street, S.W.
Suite 4038
Atlanta, Georgia 30303
Office: (404) 612-0246
Fax: (404)730-6540

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, *et al*	) Civil Action File No. 2018CV313418
Plaintiff,	)
v.	)
ROBYN A CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, *et al*	)
Defendant	)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that on this day, I have caused to be electronically filed the foregoing FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS SPECIAL APPEARANCE CONSOLIDATED MOTION TO DISMISS AND BRIEF IN SUPPORT with the Fulton County Superior Court using the Odyssey efile GA system which will provide automatic notification of this filing to all counsel of record.

This 20th day of December, 2018.

/s/ Kaye Woodard Burwell
Kaye Woodard Burwell
Georgia Bar No. 775050

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDLW
Date: 12/20/2018 6:01 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, and JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS, GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, DEKALB COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, and GEOFF DUNCAN,** **Defendants.**	**Civil Action No.: 2018CV313418**

MOTION TO DISMISS BY SPECIAL APPEARANCE OF DEFENDANT GEOFF DUNCAN AND JOINDER IN PART WITH THE PREVIOUSLY FILED MOTIONS TO DISMISS BY ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, SECRETARY OF STATE OF GEORGIA AND GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS

NOW COMES the Defendant, Geoff Duncan, and by Special Appearance, without waiving any affirmative defenses or the right to answer, timely files this Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Petition to Contest Election Result ("Petition") and joins in part the Motions to Dismiss previous filed by Co-Defendants Robyn A. Crittenden, Secretary of State of Georgia, and the Gwinnett County Board of Registrations and Elections by showing the following:

1. On December 4, 2018, Robyn A. Crittenden, Secretary of State of Georgia filed a CONSOLIDATED MOTION TO DISMISS BY SPECIAL APPEARANCE AND BRIEF IN SUPPORT with the Court.

2. On December 20, 2018, the Gwinnett County Board of Registrations and Elections filed a CONSOLIDATED MOTION TO DISMISS BY SPECIAL APPEARANCE AND BRIEF IN SUPPORT with the Court.

3. The Defendant Geoff Duncan is the Lt. Governor-Elect of Georgia having received in excess of 123,000 move votes than his opponent in the November 6, 2018 General Election and the results of the election having been certified by the Georgia Secretary of State.

4. The Defendant Geoff Duncan moves to dismiss the Plaintiff's Petition by showing to the Court the following:

a. The Coalition for Good Governance does not have standing to file an election contest;

b. This Court lacks jurisdiction because Plaintiffs failed to join necessary parties;

c. Plaintiffs have failed to state a claim upon which relief can be granted;

d. The Eleventh Amendment Bars Plaintiffs' Federal Claims; and

e. Plaintiffs Have Failed To Sufficiently Allege A Due Process Claim.

5. In support of his Motion to Dismiss, the Defendant Geoff Duncan joins and adopts herein by reference Grounds II, III, IV, V, VI, and VII of Robyn A. Crittenden's CONSOLIDATED MOTION TO DISMISS BY SPECIAL APPEARANCE AND BRIEF IN SUPPORT.

6. In support of his Motion to Dismiss, the Defendant Geoff Duncan joins and adopts herein by reference Grounds I, II, and III of the Gwinnett County Board of Registrations and Elections' CONSOLIDATED MOTION TO DISMISS BY SPECIAL APPEARANCE AND BRIEF IN SUPPORT.

WHEREFORE, the Defendant Geoff Duncan prays its Motion to Dismiss be granted and that the Plaintiffs' Petition be dismissed with all cost to the Plaintiffs.

DENTONS US LLP

Edward H. Lindsey Jr.
Georgia Bar No. 453075

Samuel S. Olens
Georgia Bar No. 551540

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the foregoing MOTION TO DISMISS BY SPECIAL APPEARANCE OF DEFENDANT GEOFF DUNCAN AND JOINDER IN PART WITH THE PREVIOUSLY FILED MOTIONS TO DISMISS BY ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, SECRETARY OF STATE OF GEORGIA AND GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS has been served on all parties by electronically filing and with the Clerk of the Court using Odyssey eFileGA System, which will automatically send an email notification of such filing to counsel for the parties:

Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown Law
1123 Zonolite Road, N.E.
Suite #6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306

Cristina Correia
Senior Assistant Attorney General
Georgia Department of Law
40 Capitol Square SW
Atlanta, Georgia 30334

Bryan P. Tyson
Strickland Brockington Lewis LLP
1170 Peachtree St NE
Suite 2200
Atlanta, GA 30309

Patrise Perkins-Hooker
Fulton County Government
141 Pryor Street
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

R. David Ware
Hall Booth Smith, P.C.
191 Peachtree Tower

191 Peachtree Street
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Viviane Ernstes
DeKalb County GA
1300 Commerce Drive
Decatur, Georgia 30030

Josh Belinfante
Robbins Ross Alloy Belinfante Littlefield LLC
500 14th Street, NW
Atlanta, Georgia 30318

This 20th day of December, 2018.

Edward H. Lindsey Jr.

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDLW
Date: 12/21/2018 4:09 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, and JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS, GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, DEKALB COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, and GEOFF DUNCAN,** **Defendants.**	**Civil Action No.: 2018CV313418**

ANSWER OF DEFENDANT GEOFF DUNCAN TO PETITION TO CONTEST ELECTION RESULT

NOW COMES the Defendant, Geoff Duncan, in response to Plaintiffs' Petition to Contest Election Result by showing the following:

First Defense

The Plaintiffs' Petition fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted against this Defendant.

Second Defense

The Plaintiffs' Petition should be dismissed for failure to join necessary parties.

Third Defense

The Plaintiffs' Petition should be dismissed because of their failure to timely file suit against all necessary parties as required under Georgia law.

Fourth Defense

The Plaintiffs' Petition should be dismissed because of Plaintiffs' Coalition for Good Governance ("Coalition") lack of standing to bring this action under Georgia law.

Fifth Defense

The Plaintiffs' Petition should be dismissed because Plaintiffs have failed To sufficiently allege a due process claim.

Sixth Defense

The Plaintiffs' Petition should be dismissed because the Eleventh Amendment bars Plaintiffs' federal claims.

Seventh Defense

The Plaintiffs' Petition should be dismissed because Plaintiffs Have Failed To Sufficiently allege a due process claim.

Eighth Defense

This Defendant now responds to the specific allegations contained in Plaintiffs' Petition by showing the following:

INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

1 - 4.

This Defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraphs 1, 2, 3, and 4 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

PARTIES

5.

In response to paragraph 5 of Plaintiffs' Petition, this Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments contained in paragraph 5 of Plaintiffs' Petition regarding the status of the Plaintiffs' Coalition for Good Governance and the Membership of said Plaintiffs. Moreover, this Defendant affirmatively denies that the Coalition is a proper party to this action.

6.

This Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments contained in paragraph 6 of Plaintiffs' Petition regarding the purpose of the Coalition. This Defendant affirmatively denies that the Coalition is a proper party to this action.

7.

This Defendant denies the allegation contained in paragraph 7 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

8 - 11.

This Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments contained in paragraphs 8, 9, 10, and 11 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

12.

In response to paragraph 12 of Plaintiffs' Petition, this Defendant admits that the Defendant, Robyn A. Crittenden, is the Secretary of State of Georgia. This

Defendant is without knowledge of information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining averments contained in paragraph 12 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

13 - 15.

In response to paragraphs 13, 14, and 15 of Plaintiffs' Petition, Fulton, Gwinnett and DeKalb County Boards of Registration and Elections are the Superintendent of for the respective contested county elections. This Defendant is without knowledge of information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining averments contained in paragraph 13, 14, and 15.

16.

Paragraph 16 does not require a response from this Defendant.

17.

In response to paragraph 17 of Plaintiffs' Petition, this Defendant admits that his rightful election as Lieutenant Governor of the State of Georgia has been certified by the Georgia Secretary of State after having received over 123,000 votes more than his opponent in the General Election on November 6, 2018. The Plaintiffs' Petition is intended to contest this election but this Defendant denies there is a legal or factual basis for such a contest.

JURISDICTION and VENUE

18.

This Defendant admits the allegation contained in paragraph 18 of Plaintiffs' Petition regarding the allegation that the Fulton Superior Court has venue to hear this action. However, this Defendant affirmatively denies that Plaintiffs have standing to bring this action due to the Coalition not being a proper party to bring

this action and the Plaintiffs' failure to add all necessary parties within the time period required under Georgia law. Therefore, the Court lacks jurisdiction to hear this case as a matter of law.

19.

This Defendant denies the allegation contained in paragraph 19 of Plaintiffs' Petition because Plaintiffs failed to join all necessary parties within the time period prescribed by Georgia law.

20.

This Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments contained in paragraphs 20 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Georgia's DRE Electronic Voting System

21 - 35.

This Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments contained in paragraphs 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, and 35 of Plaintiffs' Petition. However, this Defendant affirmatively denies any and all allegations that any alleged defects in the Georgia Voting System were sufficient to place in doubt the result of the election in question under Georgia law.

Irregularities in the 2018 Election

36 - 48.

This Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments contained in paragraphs 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, and 48 of Plaintiffs' Petition. However, this Defendant affirmatively denies that any alleged irregularities were sufficient to place into doubt the result of the election in question under Georgia law.

Anomalous Undervote in the Lieutenant Governor's Election

49.

This Defendant denies the allegation contained in paragraph 49 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

50.

In response to paragraph 50 of Plaintiffs' Petition, this Defendants admits that the Lieutenant Governor is the 2nd highest ranking official in Georgia and that he or she holds the particular coveted position as President of the State Senate. This Defendant further admits that the election in question was hotly contested. Furthermore, while this Defendant believes that this election was of keen interest to many Georgia voters, this Defendant is without knowledge of information sufficient to form a belief as to why a particular individual voter chose to either skip voting in this or any other election contest or chose to cast an unrecorded write-in vote; however, such actions do occur on a regular basis in American elections in general and in Georgia elections in particular. Therefore, the mere existence of alleged "undervotes" in an election does not create a presumption of election irregularities, misconduct by any party, or malfunction by any devices used to record or count votes.

51.

This Defendant denies the allegation contained in paragraph 51 of Plaintiffs' Petition as stated. Voters in Georgia may either choose to vote for candidates for particular races on a ballot, choose to vote instead for an unrecorded write-in candidate, or choose to not vote in one or more races on a ballot. Therefore, the mere existence of alleged "undervotes" in an election does not create a presumption of election irregularities, misconduct by any party, or malfunction by any devices used to record or count votes.

52.

In response to paragraph 52 of Plaintiffs' Petition, this Defendant admits that fewer votes were cast for the two listed candidates on the ballot in the race for Lieutenant Governor than in the race for Governor but, in so doing so, denies any inference that such alleged "undervotes" were the result of negligent or nefarious activities. Therefore, the mere existence of alleged "undervotes" in an election does not create a presumption of election irregularities, misconduct by any party, or malfunction by any devices used to record or count votes.

53.

In response to paragraph 53 of Plaintiffs' Petition, this Defendant admits that fewer votes were cast for the two listed candidates on the ballot in the race for Lieutenant Governor than in the race for Governor but, in so doing so, denies any inference that such alleged "undervotes" were the result of negligent or nefarious activities. Therefore, the mere existence of alleged "undervotes" in an election does

not create a presumption of election irregularities, misconduct by any party, or malfunction by any devices used to record or count votes.

54.

In response to paragraph 54 of Plaintiffs' Petition, this Defendant admits that fewer votes were cast for the two listed candidates on the ballot in the race for Lieutenant Governor than in the race for Governor but, in so doing so, denies any inference that such alleged "undervotes" were the result of negligent or nefarious activities. Therefore, the mere existence of alleged "undervotes" in an election does not create a presumption of election irregularities, misconduct by any party, or malfunction by any devices used to record or count votes.

55.

In response to paragraph 55 of Plaintiffs' Petition, this Defendant is without knowledge of information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments contained in Ms. Amico's letter to the Georgia Secretary of State except for the following admission by Ms. Amico: "The number of residual votes in the Lieutenant Governor's race is unlikely to affect the outcome of my race ..."

56.

In response to paragraph 56 of Plaintiffs' Petition, this Defendant states that the letter of Secretary Crittenden speaks for itself.

57.

This Defendant denies the allegation contained in paragraph 57 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

COUNT I: ELECTION CONTEST

58.

This Defendant incorporates herein by reference his previous response to paragraphs 1 through 57 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

59 - 65.

This Defendant denies that the allegations contained in paragraphs 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, and 65 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

COUNT II:
FUNDAMENTAL RIGHT TO VOTE
42 U.S.C. § 1983

66.

This Defendant incorporates herein by reference this previous response to paragraphs 1 through 65 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

67 and 68.

This Defendant admits the allegation contained in paragraphs 67 and 68 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

69 - 72.

The Defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraphs 69, 70, 71, and 72 of Plaintiffs' Petition. This Defendant further affirmatively states that no alleged irregularities in the election were sufficient to place into doubt result of the election in question under Georgia law.

COUNT III: EQUAL PROTECTION
42 U.S.C. § 1983

73.

Defendant incorporates herein by reference his previous responses in paragraphs 1 through 72 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

74 - 76.

This Defendant denies the allegation contained in paragraphs 74, 75, and 76 of Plaintiffs' Petition. This Defendant further affirmatively states that no alleged irregularities in the election in question were sufficient to place into doubt the result of the election in question under Georgia law.

Ninth Defense

Any and all allegations contained in Plaintiffs' Petition which are not specifically responded to herein are hereby denied.

RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFFS PRAYER FOR RELIEF

This Defendant denies any and all allegations contained in Plaintiffs' Prayer for Relief.

COUNTERCLAIM OF DEFENDANT GEOFF DUNCAN FOR COST, ATTORNEYS FEES, AND EXPENSES AGAINST THE PLAINTIFFS

NOW COMES the Defendant, Geoff Duncan, and asserts the following Counterclaim as permitted under Georgia law:

1.

The Court has jurisdiction and venue over Defendant Geoff Duncan's Counterclaim by virtue of the Petition filed by the Plaintiffs in this matter.

2.

Defendant Geoff Duncan is entitled to cost against the Plaintiffs under O.C.G.A. § 21-2-529 due to the lack of merit of Plaintiffs' Petition.

3.

Defendant Geoff Duncan is entitled to the award of attorneys' fees and expenses against the Plaintiffs under O.C.G.A. § 9-15-14 due to the complete absence in this case of any justiciable issue of law or fact, so that it could not be reasonably believed that a court would accept the asserted claims of the Plaintiffs.

WHEREFORE, the Defendant Geoff Duncan prays that the Plaintiffs' Petition be dismissed and that his Counterclaim for cost and reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses be granted by the Court.

DENTONS US LLP



Edward H. Lindsey Jr.
Georgia Bar No. 453075
Samuel S. Olens
Georgia Bar No. 551540

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the foregoing ANSWER OF DEFENDANT GEOFF DUNCAN TO PETITION TO CONTEST ELECTION RESULT has been served upon all interested parties by electronically filing with the Clerk of the Court using the Odyssey eFileGA System, which will automatically send an e mail notification of such filing to counsel for the parties.

This 21st day of December, 2018.

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDLW
Date: 12/21/2018 3:54 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

GROUNDFLOOR FINANCE, INC.,	)	
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	CIVIL ACTION FILE
v.	)	
	)	NO. 2018CV312584
JAMILA COLLINS-REEDY d/b/a DYAD INVESTMENTS, LLC,	)	
	)	
Defendant.	)	
	)	

CERTIFICATE OF DEFAULT AGAINST DEFENDANT

Pursuant to Uniform Superior Court Rule 15, Plaintiff Groundfloor Finance, Inc. ("Plaintiff") certifies as follows:

1. On November 2, 2018, Plaintiff filed its Verified Complaint for Temporary Restraining Order/Interlocutory Injunction and Damages ("Verified Complaint") against Defendant Jamila Collins-Reedy d/b/a DYAD Investments, LLC ("Defendant"). (Verified Complaint.)

2. On November 5, 2018, Defendant was served with the Verified Complaint and Summons through substitute service on Defendant's husband or a co-resident of Defendant's home at Defendant's home address. The Affidavit of Service was filed with the Court on November 6, 2018. A true and correct copy of the Affidavit of Service is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

3. Defendant was required to serve her answer within thirty (30) days after the date of service, *i.e.*, on or before December 5, 2018.

4. Defendant did not file an answer or any other responsive pleading when due. To date, Defendant has not filed an answer or any other responsive pleading.

5. Plaintiff incorporates by reference herein its Motion for Default Judgment against Defendant and Memorandum of Law in Support Thereof ("Plaintiff's Motion for Default Judgment").

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully requests that the Court enter an order granting Plaintiff's Motion for Default Judgment and scheduling an evidentiary hearing on (a) damages; and (b) whether to enter a permanent injunction against Defendant. A proposed Order for the Court's consideration is attached to Plaintiff's Motion for Default Judgment.

Respectfully submitted, this 21st day of December, 2018.

/s/ Craig G. Kunkes
Vincent R. Russo
Georgia Bar No. 242628
vincent.russo@robbinsfirm.com
Craig G. Kunkes
Georgia Bar No. 963594
ckunkes@robbinsfirm.com
Robbins Ross Alloy Belinfante Littlefield LLC
500 14th Street, N.W.
Atlanta, GA 30318
Telephone: (678) 701-9381
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Exhibit 1

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

Case: 2018CV312584	Court: Superior Court of Fulton County, Georgia	County: Fulton, GA	Job: 2791738 (2018CV312584)
Plaintiff / Petitioner: Groundfloor Finance, Inc.		Defendant / Respondent: Jamila Collins-Reedy d/b/a DYAD Investments, LLC	
Received by: FORTHRIGHT SERVICES, LLC		For: Robbins Ross Alloy Belinfante Littlefield LLC	
To be served upon: Jamila Collins-Reedy			

I, Joyce Clemmons, being duly sworn, depose and say: I am over the age of 18 years and not a party to this action, and that within the boundaries of the state where service was effected, I was authorized by law to make service of the documents and informed said person of the contents herein

Recipient Name / Address: Jamila Collins-Reedy, Home: 5095 Montcalm Dr , Atlanta, GA 30331

Manner of Service: Substitute Service - Abode, Nov 5, 2018, 1:50 pm EST

Documents: Summons, Verified Complaint For Temporary Restraining Order/Interlocutory Injunction and Damages, Plaintiff's Motion For Temporary Restraining Order And/Or Interlocutory Injunction And Memorandum of Law In Support Thereof, General Civil and Domestic Relations Case Filing Information Form (Received Nov 5, 2018 at 12:50pm EST)

Additional Comments:
1) Successful Attempt: Nov 5, 2018, 1:50 pm EST at Home: 5095 Montcalm Dr , Atlanta, GA 30331 received by Jamila Collins-Reedy. Personally sub-served Jamal (refused to give his last name), husband/co-resident of the subject at 5095 Montcalm Drive, Atlanta, GA 30331 by placing said documents in hand. Male, black, 25-35 yrs., 5'10-6'1, 185-230 lbs., wearing a cap (could not see if he has hair), black mustache and chin hair, wearing glasses. Gray Lexus ES350 in the garage (door open), Georgia plate WF19NX (In God We Trust sticker).

Joyce Clemmons 11/6/18
Joyce Clemmons — Date

Subscribed and sworn to before me by the affiant who is personally known to me.

Rebecca Lynn Wright
Notary Public

11/6/18 — 01/24/2022
Date — Commission Expires

REBECCA LYNN WRIGHT
NOTARY PUBLIC
HENRY COUNTY, GEORGIA
My Commission Expires January 24, 2022

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served the within and foregoing **CERTIFICATE OF DEFAULT AGAINST DEFENDANT** on Defendant by electronic mail and by U.S. mail as follows:

Jamila Collins-Reedy
5095 Montcalm Drive
Atlanta, Georgia 30331
jamilacollinsreedy@gmail.com

This 21st day of December, 2018.

/s/ Craig G. Kunkes
Craig G. Kunkes

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDAC
Date: 12/21/2018 2:37 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV31348**

PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR PRESERVATION OF SPECIFIC ELECTRONIC ELECTION RECORDS IN GORDON AND MURRAY COUNTIES

Pursuant to the Court's Preservation Order entered December 7, 2018, Plaintiffs hereby move the Court to order that specific electronic records be preserved intact and that certain DRE units not be used in the upcoming January 8, 2019 special election in Gordon and Murray counties to fill the vacancy in House District 5. Specifically, Plaintiffs move the Court to Order the Secretary to *not use* any DRE machines which are Voting System Evidence, as that term is defined in the Preservation Order, in the upcoming January 8, 2019 special election or any other upcoming election until further Order of the Court.

DISCUSSION

In the Court's December 7, 2018 Preservation Order, the Court first directed all parties to preserve the "Voting System Evidence," which was broadly defined to include all Direct Electronic Recording ("DRE") touchscreen voting units, DRE internal memory, memory cards and a number of other categories and types of electronic equipment. At the conclusion of the Preservation Order, the Court stated:

> At this time all Motions in this case are set for hearing on January 9th 2019. Should there be any election due to be conducted prior to that date the parties shall notify the Court and the Court will entertain appropriate motions in regard there to.

Preservation Order, December 7, 2018, page 2.

Later on December 7, 2018, counsel for the Secretary of State informed the Court and the parties that there would be a special election on December 18, 2018 in Bartow and Floyd counties, and another on January 8, 2019 in Gordon and Murray Counties. (See December 7, 2018 Email from V. Russo, attached as Exhibit 1). The Secretary did not file a Motion seeking an exception to the Preservation Order to use protected Voting System Evidence. Instead the Secretary instructed the counties to update the DRE machines with new election programming. It is the Secretary's position that updating and reprogramming the DREs and repeatedly

modifying the Voting System Evidence does not alter the electronic evidence.

Plaintiffs file this Motion because the Secretary's position – that updating, reprogramming, and re-using the Voting System Evidence in new elections does not alter any evidence – is manifestly incorrect, and her actions are leading to alteration of material evidence. Plaintiffs refer again to the Declaration of Richard A. DeMillo, the Charlotte B. and Roger C. Warren Chair of Computer Science at Georgia Tech and former Chief Technology Officer for Hewlett-Packard, attached hereto as Exhibit 2. In Paragraphs 22 and 23 of his Declaration, Professor DeMillo explains why the DRE equipment must be taken out of service so that the internal memory in the equipment can be preserved, and how using the equipment in a new election threatens to alter the electronic data.

Plaintiffs have repeatedly made the Secretary aware of Professor DeMillo's analysis. The Secretary has not refuted Professor DeMillo's analysis, provided contrary expert analysis, or even explained the technical basis for her opinion. Plaintiffs also suggested that the parties conduct a joint test to resolve this dispute by having experts compare the internal memory of a unit that had been used in the November 2018 general election *and* the December 2018 run off election with a unit that had been last used in

the November 2018 general election. The Secretary did not respond to this offer.

The Secretary has forced Plaintiffs to file this Motion. The Secretary has failed to preserve material evidence as the Preservation Order requires. The Secretary insists on continuing to use and alter Voting System Evidence, even though the Secretary does not need to use those DRE machines to conduct these relatively small elections, and refuses either to substantiate her claim that she is not spoliating evidence or submit her position to an objective test.

Plaintiffs understand and appreciate that this Court will be hearing Plaintiffs' Emergency Motion for Inspection at the hearing on January 9, 2019, but the upcoming election will be held the day before, on January 8. Programming of such equipment is likely occurring now.

Given the compelling need for an inspection of the malfunctioning or mis-programmed electronic election equipment, and the numerous alternatives for conducting upcoming elections without requiring such DRE machines, the requested relief is reasonable and appropriate.

However, at a bare minimum, the Secretary should be ordered to not use the DRE machines that were used in the following precincts in Gordon and Murray Counties that have reported anomalous results and therefore should be subjected to forensic analysis before they are used again. By suggesting this alternative,

Plaintiffs do not waive their position that the use of other machines in other precincts constitutes the destruction of evidence in violation of this Court's Preservation Order. The precincts are the following:

Murray County:

Southwest
McDonald
Shuck Pen
North

Gordon County:

Sugar Valley
Plainville
Gordon Country Office
Oostanaula

Respectfully submitted this 21st day of December, 2018

/s/ Bruce P. Brown

Bruce P. Brown
Georgia Bar No. 064460
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com
Bruce P. Brown Law LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE
Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing via the Court's e-filing system to the attorneys of record who have made appearances.

This 21st day of December, 2018.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown

EXHIBIT

1

Subject: RE: Proposed Preservation Order; Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418 [IWOV-imanage.FID780744]

Date: Friday, December 7, 2018 at 3:11:26 PM Eastern Standard Time

From: Vincent Russo

To: Adele Grubbs, DWare@hallboothsmith.com

CC: Bruce Brown, richard.carothers@carmitch.com, sue@carmitch.com, brian.dempsey@carmitch.com, bpt@sbllaw.net, edward.lindsey@dentons.com, vernstes@dekalbcountyga.gov, Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov, Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov, bdbryan@dekalbcountyga.gov, chall@lmjc.net, Alexander Denton, Josh Belinfante, Brian Lake, ccorreia@law.ga.gov, RBritt@hallboothsmith.com, PCunningham@hallboothsmith.com, lkjohnson@dekalbcountyga.gov

Attachments: image001.jpg, Call for HD14 Special Republican Primary Election.pdf, Call for Special Election (HD5).pdf

Judge Grubbs:

On behalf of Secretary Crittenden, and in accordance with your Preservation Order entered earlier today, I want to notify you of two special elections scheduled prior to the January 9, 2018 hearing. None of the election superintendents responsible for administering these special elections is a party to Plaintiffs' election contest.

First, a special election is set for December 18, 2018 in Bartow and Floyd counties to fill the vacancy in House District 14 due to Rep. Christian Coomer's appointment to the Georgia Court of Appeals.

Second, a special election is set for January 8, 2019 in Gordon and Murray counties to fill the vacancy in House District 5 due to the passing of Representative John Meadows.

I have attached the calls for the upcoming special elections for your reference.

Sincerely,

Vincent Russo

ROBBINS
Vincent R. Russo
Member
ROBBINS ♦ ROSS ♦ ALLOY ♦ BELINFANTE ♦ LITTLEFIELD LLC
500 14th Street, NW
Atlanta, GA 30318
404.856.3260 (Direct)
678.701.9381 (Main)
404.856.3250 (Fax)

www.robbinsfirm.com

From: Adele Grubbs [mailto:adele.grubbs@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, December 07, 2018 11:32 AM

EXHIBIT

2

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA

ATLANTA DIVISION

DONNA CURLING, ET AL., **Plaintiffs, v.** **BRIAN KEMP, ET AL.,** **Defendants.**	**Civil Action No.** **1:17-CV-2989-AT**

DECLARATION OF RICHARD A. DeMILLO

RICHARD A. DeMILLO ("Declarant") hereby declares as follows:

1. I am a registered voter in Fulton County Georgia. I am deeply interested in the proper functioning of the Georgia's voting system from both a personal and professional perspective.
2. I am not a retained expert by any party to this action, but in the desire to aid the Court in the evaluation of technical assertions, I wish to voluntarily offer my opinion on the particular topic of the essential requirements of preservation of electronic records of the DRE voting system including the electronic pollbooks.

3. I am currently the Charlotte B. and Roger C. Warren Chair of Computer Science at Georgia Tech. I have served as Dean of the College of Computing at Georgia Tech and Director of the Georgia Tech Center for Information Security. I have also served as the Chief Technology Officer for Hewlett-Packard, Vice President and General Manager of Computing and Information Research at Bell Communications Research, Director of the Computer and Communications Research Division at the National Science Foundation, and Director of the Software Test and Evaluation Project for the U.S. Department of Defense.

4. In all these appointments, my primary technology focus has been information, communication, cyber security, and computer system testing. I have taught both graduate and undergraduate courses in cyber security, supervised PhD dissertations and conducted peer-reviewed research leading to books, journal articles, patents, and invited addresses, all related to the topic of cyber threats to computer systems. I have served on editorial boards for major journals, chaired program committees for cybersecurity symposia and conferences, and served on government advisory boards and panels. I have been an officer, director, and board member for various public and private corporations in the cyber security industry.

5. I have conducted research and taught courses related to voting system and election security since 2002. I have served as an official observer of foreign electronic voting systems for the Carter Center and participated in the writing of Carter Center guidelines for using electronic voting machines. I serve on the advisory boards of Verified Voting and the Open Software Election Technology Institute.

6. My qualifications and experience are described further in my August 20, 2018 Declaration in this case, Doc. 277 at 52 et seq.

7. I have reviewed the Court's order in this case, as well as the Court's Order in Common Cause Georgia v. Kemp (18-cv-5102).

8. I am familiar with Georgia's Diebold DRE voting system, its design, the body of academic literature compiled on the system in the last ten years, and its operation as it is deployed in the polling places in Georgia.

9. I own both Diebold TSx and TS voting machines which I have examined and used to conduct certain experiments related to DRE system security.

10. I have observed the operation of Diebold DRE systems in polling places in multiple Georgia counties over the course of multiple elections and in county election offices where the system was being programmed and tested. I have observed the testing procedures conducted prior to machine deployment to the polling places.

11. I observed the operation of the ExpressPollbooks (electronic pollbooks) as well as the DRE machines in my role as a statewide pollwatcher during the November 6, 2018 election.

12. During my pollwatching activities, I had occasion to speak with voters, election workers, and cybersecurity experts, and to consult various reports. Credible information thus obtained was consistent with the existence of failures and malfunctions of both Diebold ExpressPollbooks operations and DRE voting machines during the November 6, 2018 election.

13. Also, during my pollwatching activities on November 6, 2018, I became aware that certain sites in Gwinnett County were experiencing significant delays in voting and that those delays may have been attributable to malfunctioning Diebold ExpressPollbooks.

14. On the afternoon of November 6, I conferred with nationally recognized Diebold voting systems expert Harri Hursti and cyber security researcher Logan Lamb. This conversation took place a few minutes after Hursti and Lamb completed a review of technical information on site in Anistown Precinct in Gwinnett County, where four-hour voting delays were being attributed to malfunctioning ExpressPollbooks. I visited the Anistown Precinct a few hours after the malfunction had reportedly occurred and

observed the operations at the polling place before visiting other polling locations.

15. I am aware of Election Rule 183-1-12-.02 (6)(d) stating that:

> *"The election results, ballot styles, ballot images, and other information for each election stored in the internal memory storage of each DRE unit shall be maintained for a minimum of one month following each election after which time the results may be erased provided that there are no election contests pending concerning such election."*

16. One purpose for the requirement for maintaining the information described in Rule (183-1-12-.02(6)(d)) is to make possible forensic analysis in the event of election tampering, system compromise, or system malfunction. This is particularly significant in Georgia because Georgia elections do not create or maintain paper audit trail that can be reviewed as a record of voter intent. Lacking an independent way to judge voter intent, experts need access to the detailed digital records known as footprints (citation: https://www.nytimes.com/2000/03/09/technology/computer-forensics-teams-learn-to-follow-digital-footprints.html)

17. The information thus required is not merely a copy of the cast vote records on the machine or ballot images or audit logs, all of which are subject to accidental or malicious corruption, manipulation or destruction during a cyber-attack, system compromise, or system failure, but for all electronic information stored in internal memory. (citation: S. Garfinkel et al, "Practical Unix and Internet Security, 3rd Edition," O'Reilley Publishing, 2003, pp 677+).

18. Furthermore, merely saving the related memory cards is an inadequate response to this requirement since the very act of copying information from internal memories to memory cards is carried out by software that must be presumed to be untrustworthy in the event of system failure or compromise. (citation: https://www.ncsc.gov.uk/content/files/protected_files/guidance_files/common_cyber_attacks_ncsc.pdf) (citation: National Institute of Standards and Technology, Guidelines on PDA Forensics, Special Publication 800-72, November 2004) Additionally, memory cards contain only selected data intended for reporting, not all the operating information on in the DRE internal memory needed for forensic review.

19. Preserving the electronic data in the internal memory of the DRE requires that no new election data be written onto the hard drive of DRE machines,

no further use after the close of the election, including recounts, and that the DRE machines thus preserved be strictly physically secured and not deployed to polling places (see Paragraphs 22 and 23 below).

20. The Election Rule appears to recognize that it is critical that the electronic data in the internal memory of the DRE be preserved for a substantial time in order to permit time for systemic and isolated problems to surface.

21. Therefore, a consequence of Paragraph 19 and the one-month preservation rule is the required availability and use of either alternative DRE machines or paper ballots for elections falling shortly after an election.

22. Preservation of machines identified for analysis is required for this analysis, and therefore all such machines should be removed from service and placed in a secure storage facility, where adequate access and physical safeguards can be implemented to deter tampering. Defendants have represented in prior public statements that election officers already implement secure physical custody. I disagree with this assessment based on well-documented instances in which unattended DREs are easily accessible by persons without authorization or supervision. Defendants have also represented in prior public statements that tamper-evident seals prevent unauthorized access. I disagree with this assessment based on well-known and widely distributed videos that demonstrate how to undetectably defeat

such seals. I have personally observed persons with little or no prior training using shims cut from soft drink cans to defeat the tamper evident seals used in Georgia's elections.

23. Defendants have represented in prior public statements that removal from service is not necessary since data from prior elections cannot be erased, overwritten, or otherwise lost when a new election is carried out. I am unaware of any technical means that would support such a claim. The Windows CE operating system, on which the Diebold Ballot Station software runs, contains only rudimentary memory management and is prone to a phenomenon called memory fragmentation wherein memory locations are not allocated in contiguous blocks but rather are allocated in blocks that are dispersed throughout physical memory. Because Windows CE has no built-in features for signaling to an application that a candidate block of storage has previously been allocated, application software that needs to maintain intact memory from prior elections must carry out the necessary checks. Because Diebold BallotStation software is proprietary and held as a trade secret, it is unavailable for third party evaluation. I have examined various public disclosures that describe the design and coding of BallotStation software. I have not found evidence of such software safeguards in the Diebold BallotStation software.

24. Selection of machines for forensic review during discovery will be done by an algorithm for which section parameters are not yet known and cannot be known until a preliminary analysis has been carried out. For example, one such parameter might be: machines where the polling place manual recap sheet of ballots cast shows a different number than the DRE reported total of ballots cast.

25. All DRE machine electronic data must be preserved. Random sampling of DRE machines for preservation is not sufficient for safe-guarding of electronic evidence required to be used in discovery. Deliberate and time-consuming analysis must first be conducted to determine which DRE machines have exhibited attributes that indicate potential malfunction or have been exposed to greater risk of compromise than others. Randomly sampling the DREs is not a mathematically acceptable way of conducting this analysis. Random sampling assumes an underlying probability distribution for the attributes being tested. A random sample for example might be constructed assuming that defects are uniformly distributed among the DREs. That assumption is untenable since the machines of interest may be associated with certain races, ballots choices, racial distribution, root cause of failure/compromise, geography, population density, number of ballots cast on a machine, voter complaints, anomalous results, or other

attributes that are not uniformly distributed throughout the voting population.

26. Therefore, no statistically valid conclusion can be drawn from a random sample. In addition, statistical tools which might be used to approximate sampling distributions are not applicable in this case, either because the attributes of interest are not statistically independent, or because the software is able to modify its own behavior when it is being tested (as was demonstrated in the Volkswagen emissions testing scandal of 2015 when the US Environmental Protection Agency discovered that on-board software had been programed to sense with an automobile was being tested and deliver results that did not reflect emissions control impact on vehicle performance. (citation: EPA Notice of Violation September 15, 2015)).

27. Individual imaging of DRE internal memory is technically possible and has been publicly suggested as a way of avoiding preservation. This procedure, however, requires intrusive access to each DRE which makes it an infeasible solution. In the first place, I do not believe there are enough sufficiently trained technicians to accomplish the task. In the second place, it takes anywhere from 3 to 10 hours to obtain an acceptable image of the internal memory of a DRE.

28. The electronic data residing on the components of Georgia's electronic voting systems ("the Required Electronic Data") essential for preservation for the purpose of determining the causes of irregularities and the performance of those systems in the November 6, 2018 General Election follows below in Paragraph 29.

29. The Required Data includes:

    a. all electronic data residing in the internal memory of the DRE machines prepared for use in the November 6, 2018 election, including DRE machines used for uploading memory cards in election offices;

    b. all electronic data on DRE memory cards from all polling places and election offices used in early voting and Election Day voting related to the November 6, 2018 election;

    c. all electronic data residing on the GEMS servers, including logging records and audit logs related to the November 6, 2018 election;

    d. all electronic data residing on external media devices used to upload results to the Election Night Reporting system related to the November 6, 2018 election;

    e. all electronic data residing on Electronic Media Processors related to the November 6, 2018 elections.

f. all electronic data on ExpressPollbooks memory cards used in the November 6, 2018 election.

g. all electronic data in the internal memory of the ExpressPollbooks used in the November 6, 2018 election.

h. all electronic data including logging records (including the E-Net systems and vendors' records) used in the upload or download of voter registration records and the electronic pollbooks.

30. As recently as November 17 and 18, I have been made aware of possible anomalies from the November 6 election, and I am aware of various public disclosures of other anomalies. Anomalies such as these would be subject to investigation under the one-month DRE internal memory preservation rule. Investigation of these anomalies would be jeopardized without preservation of the affected DREs.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I declare and verify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on this date, November __21_____, 2018.



Richard A. DeMillo

Fax Return to 404.612.2659
Attn: Sgt. Sneed

SHERIFF'S ENTRY OF SERVICE

Record in Sheriff's Book 88094

Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Date Filed 11/23/18

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDDG
Date: 12/21/2018 11:13 AM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

SUPERIOR COURT
GEORGIA, FULTON COUNTY

Coalition for Good Governance, et al
Plaintiff

vs.

Robyn A. Crittenden
Defendant

Attorney's Address
Bruce P. Brown
1123 Zonolite Rd. Ste. 6
Atlanta, GA 30306

Name and Address of Party to be Served
Gwinnett County Board of Registration + Elections
c/o William Linkous
~~75 Langley Dr. Lawrenceville GA 30046~~
450 Grayson Highway Lawrenceville, GA 30046
455

SHERIFF'S ENTRY OF SERVICE

PERSONAL ☐ I have this day served the defendant _____ personally with a copy of the within action and summons.

NOTORIOUS ☐ I have this day served the defendant _____ by leaving a copy of the action and summons at this most place notorious place of abode in this county.

Delivered same into hands of _____ described as follows age, about ____ years; weight, about ____ pounds; height about ____ feet and ____ inches, domiciled at the residence of the defendant.

CORPORATION ☒ Served the defendant Gwinnett County Board of Registration + Elections a corporation by leaving a copy of the with in action and summons with Lynn LedCord in charge of the office and place of doing business of said Corporation in this County.

TACK & MAIL ☐ I have this day served the above styled affidavit and summons on the defendant(s) by posting a copy of the same to the door of the premises designated in said affidavit, and on the same day of such posting by depositing a true copy of the same in the United States Mail, First Class in an envelope properly addressed to the defendant(s) at the address shown in said summons, with adequate postage affixed thereon continuing notice to the defendant(s) to answer said summons at the place stated in the summons.

NON EST ☐ Diligent search made and defendant _____ not to be found in the jurisdiction of this court.

This 13 day of Dec 2018.

[signature] 20300
DEPUTY

SHERIFF DOCKET _____ PAGE _____

WHITE - CLERK; CANARY - PLAINTIFF; PINK - DEFENDANT

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDAC
Date: 12/21/2018 12:44 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, *et al.*, Plaintiffs, v. ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, *et al.*, Defendants.	Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

VERIFIED ANSWER AND DEFENSES OF DEFENDANT GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS

FIRST DEFENSE

The Petition fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

SECOND DEFENSE

The Petition fails to name a necessary and indispensable party.

THIRD DEFENSE

There were not sufficient illegal votes cast or legal votes rejected to cause the election results to be placed in doubt or to change the outcome.

FOURTH DEFENSE/ANSWER

Respondent Gwinnett County Board of Registrations and Elections ("Gwinnett BORE") answers and responds to the allegations set forth in the numbered paragraphs of the Petition as follows:

Unnumbered Introduction

The unnumbered Introduction to the Petition contains legal argument and a summary of Plaintiffs' claims and does not require a response. To the extent that any response is required, Defendant Gwinnett BORE denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to any relief.

1.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE admits that the general election for Lieutenant Governor for the State of Georgia was held on November 6, 2018, but denies the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 1.

2.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 2 and further states that it was not "repeatedly and formally warned" of any of the issues outlined in Paragraph 2.

3.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE admits that the race for Lieutenant Governor received fewer votes than other races that were farther down the ballot, but denies the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 3.

4.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 4 and further states that a number of reasonable explanations exist for the vote discrepancy in the Lieutenant Governor's race.

5.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 5, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 5 are denied.

6.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 6, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 6 are denied.

7.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE denies the allegations of Paragraph 7 and further states that the Coalition is not a proper party defendant under O.C.G.A. § 21-2-521.

8.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 8, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 8 are denied.

9.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 9, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 9 are denied.

10.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 10, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 10 are denied.

11.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 11, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 11 are denied.

12.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE admits that Robyn A. Crittenden is the Secretary of State of Georgia, that the Secretary's office operates the GEMS tabulation server and Election Night Reporting System, that the Secretary certifies election results, and that the Secretary is involved with the design and preparation of electronic ballots. Defendant Gwinnett BORE denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 12.

13.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 13, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 13 are denied.

14.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE admits that it is the election superintendent for Gwinnett County elections; that it conducted the November 6, 2018 general election, including the Lieutenant Governor's election, in Gwinnett County; and that other counties' election superintendents conducted the same election. Defendant Gwinnett BORE denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 14.

15.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 15, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 15 are denied.

16.

Paragraph 16 does not require a response, but to the extent a response is required, Defendant Gwinnett BORE states that the paragraph speaks for itself.

17.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 17.

18.

Paragraph 18 contains legal argument that does not require a response. To the extent a response is required, Defendant Gwinnett BORE denies that jurisdiction and venue is proper in this Court because it is where Defendant Crittenden resides, because Defendant Crittenden is not a proper party to an election contest. Defendant Gwinnett BORE admits that Fulton County is an allowable venue for a statewide election contest under the statute, but denies that jurisdiction is proper in this Court and further denies that it is a proper party for the non-election-contest counts of Plaintiffs' Petition. Defendant Gwinnett BORE denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 18.

19.

Paragraph 19 contains legal argument that does not require a response. To the extent a response is required, Defendant Gwinnett BORE admits that the case was filed within the five-day limitation period provided by statute and that the Secretary of State certified the statewide results on November 17, 2018. Defendant Gwinnett BORE denies that the

Petition was timely filed because it did not name all required parties within the statute of limitations and denies any remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 19.

20.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 20, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 20 are denied.

21.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE admits that it used the Diebold AccuVote DRE touchscreen units for in-person voting, Diebold optical scan-machines for absentee and provisional ballots, and GEMS software for tabulation and reporting of results for the November 6, 2018 election. Defendant Gwinnett BORE denies that the electronic poll book components "interface" with the DRE machines but admits that it used ExpressPoll units for poll book functions. Defendant Gwinnett BORE denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 21.

22.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE admits that the DREs use memory cards and store information regarding voters' actions to memory cards and internal memory. Defendant Gwinnett BORE denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 22.

23.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE admits that the DREs run a version of Microsoft Windows CE, but is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 23, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 23 are denied.

24.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 24 and footnotes 1 and 2, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 24 and footnotes 1 and 2 are denied.

25.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 25 and footnote 3, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 25 and footnote 3 are denied.

26.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 26 and footnotes 4-9, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 26 and footnotes 4-9 are denied.

27.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 27, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 27 are denied.

28.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 28, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 28 are denied.

29.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 29, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 29 are denied.

30.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE admits that the Secretary of State contracted with Kennesaw State University for a period of time and that the functions previously carried out by KSU are now housed in the Secretary's office. Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 30, and for this reason, the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 30 are denied.

31.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 31, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 31 are denied.

32.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 32, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 32 are denied.

33.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 33, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 33 are denied.

34.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 34, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 34 are denied. Defendant Gwinnett BORE further states that the quoted order speaks for itself.

35.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE denies that it acted recklessly in using the DRE election system in the 2018 general election. Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 35, and for this reason, the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 35 are denied.

36.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 36, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 36 are denied.

37.

In response to Paragraph 37, Defendant Gwinnett BORE states that the quoted order speaks for itself. Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 37, and for this reason, the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 37 are denied.

38.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE admits that voters reported problems during the November 6, 2018 election but denies that those problems resulted in voter

disenfranchisement. Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 38, and for this reason, the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 38 are denied.

39.

Paragraph 39 is introductory language that does not require a response. To the extent a response is required, Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 39, including what "campaigns, non-profit voter protection groups, and the press" that it covers, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 39 are denied. Defendant Gwinnett BORE further states that none of the alleged irregularities in the following paragraphs occurred in Gwinnett County.

40.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 40, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 40 are denied.

41.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 41, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 41 are denied.

42.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE admits that Karen Handel was the Republican candidate in Congressional District 6 in the November 6, 2018 general election. Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations

contained in Paragraph 42, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 42 are denied.

43.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 43, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 43 are denied.

44.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 44, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 44 are denied.

45.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 45, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 45 are denied.

46.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 46, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 46 are denied.

47.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 47, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 47 are denied.

48.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 48, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 48 are denied.

49.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 49, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 49 are denied. Defendant Gwinnett BORE further states that there are a number of possible explanations for the lower vote total in the Lieutenant Governor's race.

50.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE admits that the Lieutenant Governor is the second-highest state official and that the Lieutenant Governor is also the President of the State Senate. Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 50, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 50 are denied.

51.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE admits that the reported vote totals show that there were less total votes cast for Lieutenant Governor than for other statewide races, but is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 51, and for this reason, the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 51 are denied.

52.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE admits that the reported vote totals show there were less total votes cast for Lieutenant Governor than for other statewide races, but is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the percentages and remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 52, and for this reason, the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 52 are denied.

53.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE admits that the reported vote totals show there were less total votes cast for Lieutenant Governor than for other statewide races, but is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 53, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 53 are denied. Defendant Gwinnett BORE further states that there are a number of possible explanations for the lower vote total in the Lieutenant Governor's race.

54.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 54, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 54 are denied. Defendant Gwinnett BORE further states that there are a number of possible explanations for the lower vote total in the Lieutenant Governor's race.

55.

In response to Paragraph 55, Defendant Gwinnett BORE states that the letter from Ms. Amico to Secretary Crittenden speaks for itself. Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the remaining allegations

contained in Paragraph 55, and for this reason, the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 55 are denied. Defendant Gwinnett BORE further states that there are a number of possible explanations for the lower vote total in the Lieutenant Governor's race.

56.

In response to Paragraph 56, Defendant Gwinnett BORE states that the letter from Secretary Crittenden to Ms. Amico speaks for itself. Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 55, and for this reason, the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 55 are denied. Defendant Gwinnett BORE further states that there are a number of possible explanations for the lower vote total in the Lieutenant Governor's race.

57.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 57.

58.

Paragraph 58 contains legal conclusions that do not require a response.

59.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 59.

60.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 60.

61.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 61.

62.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 62.

63.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 63.

64.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 64.

65.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 65.

66.

Paragraph 66 contains legal conclusions that do not require a response.

67.

Paragraph 67 contains legal argument that does not require a response. Defendant Gwinnett BORE states that the right to vote is a fundamental right of all citizens.

68.

Paragraph 68 contains legal argument that does not require a response. Defendant Gwinnett BORE states that accurately counting votes is a critical part of democracy.

69.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 69.

70.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 70.

71.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 71.

72.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 72.

73.

Paragraph 73 contains legal conclusions that do not require a response.

74.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 74. Defendant Gwinnett BORE further states that hand-marked ballots do not necessarily provide clear and determinable records of voter intent.

75.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 75.

76.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 76.

Response to Prayer for Relief

Defendant Gwinnett BORE denies each numbered paragraph of the Prayer for Relief and denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to any relief.

All other allegations contained in the Petition that are not specifically responded to in this document are denied.

WHEREFORE, Defendant Gwinnett BORE requests:

(a) That the Petition be dismissed entirely or that Defendant Gwinnett BORE be dismissed as a party;

(b) That all relief sought by Plaintiffs be denied;

(c) That judgment be issued in Defendant Gwinnett BORE's favor;

(d) That attorneys' fees and costs be assessed against Plaintiffs;

(e) That the Petition be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction; and

(f) Any other further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

This 21st day of December, 2018.

/s/ Bryan P. Tyson
Frank B. Strickland
Georgia Bar No. 687600
fbs@sbllaw.net
Bryan P. Tyson
Georgia Bar No. 515411
bpt@sbllaw.net
Anne W. Lewis
Georgia Bar No. 737490
awl@sbllaw.net
STRICKLAND BROCKINGTON
LEWIS LLP
Midtown Proscenium Suite 2200
1170 Peachtree Street NE
Atlanta, GA 30309
(678) 347-2200

Richard A. Carothers
Georgia Bar No. 111075
richard.carothers@carmitch.com
Brian R. Dempsey
Georgia Bar No. 217596
Brian.dempsey@carmitch.com
CAROTHERS & MITCHELL, LLC
1809 Buford Highway
Buford, GA 30518
(770) 932-3552

Attorneys for the Gwinnett County Board of Registrations and Elections

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, *et al.*, Plaintiffs, v. ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, *et al.*, Defendants.	Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

VERIFICATION

Personally appeared before the undersigned officer duly authorized to administer oaths, LYNN LEDFORD, who having first been duly sworn, deposes and says that the VERIFIED ANSWER AND DEFENSES OF DEFENDANT GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, filed in the Superior Court of Fulton County, was prepared with the assistance and advice of counsel, and that the facts contained therein are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

This 21st day of December, 2018.

Lynn Ledford
LYNN LEDFORD

Sworn to and subscribed
before me this 21st day of December, 2018.

Laila Tehrani
NOTARY PUBLIC
My commission expires

LAILA TEHRANI
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES
NOTARY PUBLIC
08-18-2021
FULTON COUNTY, GEORGIA

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, *et al.*, Plaintiffs, v. ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, *et al.*, Defendants.	Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day electronically filed the foregoing VERIFIED ANSWER AND DEFENSES OF DEFENDANT GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS with the Clerk of Court using the Odyssey E-Filing system which will provide automatic notification of such filing to all counsel of record.

This 21st day of December, 2018.

/s/ Bryan P. Tyson
Bryan P. Tyson
Georgia Bar No. 515411

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDAC
Date: 12/21/2018 2:37 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, et al., **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV313418**

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR PRESERVATION OF ELECTRONIC ELECTION RECORDS IN GORDON AND MURRAY COUNITES

For good cause shown, Plaintiffs' Motion for Preservation of Electronic Election Records in Gordon and Murray Counties is GRANTED and Defendant Secretary of State of Georgia is hereby ORDERED to instruct appropriate officials in Gordon and Murray Counties to not use any Direct Recoding Electronic ("DRE") voting machines in the upcoming January 8, 2019 election that were used in the November 2018 general election, and, with respect to any subsequent election, to issue the same instructions to the appropriate county officials, until further order of this Court.

SO ORDERED this __ day of December, 2018.

_____
Honorable Adele P. Grubbs
Senior Judge, State of Georgia

Prepared by: /s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Counsel for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing via the Court's e-filing system to the attorneys of record who have made appearances.

This 21st day of December, 2018.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDDG
Date: 12/20/2018 5:32 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY

STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT,	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	
	)	CIVIL ACTION
v.	)	
	)	FILE NO. 2018CV313418
ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS, GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, DEKALB COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, and GEOFF DUNCAN,	)	
Defendants.	)	

DEFENDANT ROBIN A. CRITTENDEN'S JOINDER IN CONSOLIDATED MOTION TO DISMISS BY SPECIAL APPEARANCE AND BRIEF IN SUPPORT ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS

Robyn A. Crittenden, in her official capacity as Secretary of State of the State of Georgia ("Defendant" or "Crittenden"), hereby joins Defendant Gwinnett County Board of Registrations and Elections' ("Gwinnett") Consolidated Motion to Dismiss by Special Appearance and Brief in Support ("Motion") as to Parts I-III because she agrees with Gwinnett that Plaintiffs' election challenge is subject to

dismissal. Defendant maintains that the Court should dismiss Plaintiffs' Petition to Contest Election Result ("Petition") in its entirety for the reasons set forth in Defendant's own Consolidated Motion to Dismiss by Special Appearance and Brief in Support, filed December 4, 2018 and the identified portions of Gwinnett's Motion.

Respectfully submitted this 20th day of December, 2018.

Christopher M. Carr
Attorney General
Georgia Bar No. 112505
Annette M. Cowart
Deputy Attorney General
Georgia Bar No. 191199
Russell D. Willard
Senior Assistant Attorney General
Georgia Bar No. 760280
State Law Department
40 Capitol Square, S.W.
Atlanta, GA 30334
Telephone: (404) 656-3357

/s/ Alexander Denton
Josh Belinfante
Georgia Bar No: 047399
jbelinfante@robbinsfirm.com
Vincent R. Russo
Georgia Bar No. 242628
vrusso@robbinsfirm.com
Brian E. Lake
Georgia Bar No. 575966
blake@robbinsfirm.com
Alexander Denton
Georgia Bar No. 660632
adenton@robbinsfirm.com
Special Assistant Attorneys General

Robbins Ross Alloy Belinfante Littlefield LLC
500 14th Street, N.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30318
Telephone: (678) 701-9381
Facsimile: (404) 856-3250

Attorneys for Defendant Robyn A. Crittenden, Secretary of State of Georgia

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served the within and foregoing **DEFENDANT ROBIN A. CRITTENDEN'S JOINDER IN CONSOLIDATED MOTION TO DISMISS BY SPECIAL APPEARANCE AND BRIEF IN SUPPORT ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS** on all parties by electronically filing it with the Clerk of the Court using the Odyssey eFileGA system, which will automatically send an email notification of such filing to counsel for the parties.

This 20th day of December, 2018.

/s/ Alexander Denton
Alexander Denton

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDMH
Date: 12/26/2018 6:16 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, et al., **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION** **FILE NO. 2018 CV 313418**

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

COMES NOW AMY FERRERO, before the undersigned officer duly authorized to administer oaths and, after being duly sworn on oath deposes and states that she has been permanently appointed by this Court for service of process per Order attached, she is a citizen of the United States, over the age of eighteen (18), and is a party having no interest in the above styled case, states that on December 26, 2018 at 2:33 PM, she served the ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, by personally serving ROBIN HERRON, Executive Assistant, authorized to accept, at her business located at 214 State Capitol, Atlanta, Georgia 30334, with SUMMONS and PETITION TO CONTEST ELECTION RESULT.

I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 26 day of December, 2018

Legal Ease Attorney Services, Inc.
645 Waldo Street
Atlanta, GA 30312
404-849-1240

Sworn to and subscribed before me
this 26 day of December 2018

Katherine Bolling

Notary Public

KATHERINE BOLLING
NOTARY PUBLIC
FULTON COUNTY, GEORGIA
COMMISSION EXPIRES
JANUARY 28, 2021

My commission expires:

OFFICE
JAN 02 2018
DEPUTY CLERK SUPERIOR COURT
FULTON COUNTY, GA

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

Administrative Order No. 2018 EX 000003

ORDER FOR APPOINTMENT FOR PROCESS SERVICE

Having read and considered the petitions and criminal records, and it appearing to the Court that sufficient grounds exist that each petitioner meets the requirements for appointment by the Court, it is hereby

ORDERED and **ADJUDGED** that the following:

Adams Jr., John
Allen, Lakeita
Anderson, William
Andrews, Gene
Armstrong, Christopher
Bailey, Anna
Baker, Wanda
Banks, Randy
Barney, Steven
Barry, Paul
Basham, James
Bass, Susan
Benito, Richard
Benito, Robert
Benson, James
Bolling, Katherine
Brazeman, Craig
Briley, Donnie
Brown, Reginald
Bunch, Kim
Byers, Edmond
Carithers, Gregory
Carney, Adrian
Chastain, Michael
Clark, Ezell
Clemmons, Joyce
Cline, Travis
Cross, Charles
Cunningham, Sally
Daniels, Alysa
Daniels, Sonia
Davenport, Alterick
Davidson, Danny
Day, Duane
DeVaughn, Carl
Dolbier, Jeffrey
Dreeman, Douglas
Duchon, Deborah
Echols, Eric
Echols, Patricia
Edwards, Donnie
Elliott, Maurice
Evans, Alonzo
Faulkner, Dana
Ferrero, Amy
Fisher, Dawn
Fitzgerald, Floretta
Folds, Catherina
Folds, George
Ford, Ronnie
Fox, Juhani
Franklin, Anthony
Freese, Jessica
Fuller, Thomas
Gallo, Elizabeth
Gayle, Earl
George, Randal
Gibbs III, Thomas
Greenway, Kimberly
Grimshaw, Shane
Gruhn, Tammie
Handley, Wiley
Harris, Constance
Harris, Parks
Hassan, Muhsin S.
Heimerich, Richard
Highsmith, Amos
Hightower, Anthonio
Hill, Hollis
Hindsman, Cherrod
Horton, Christopher
Howard, Ricky
Hudson, Hakimah
Hudson, Kyle
Humphrey, Joviera
James, Frank
Johnson, Christina
Johnson, Earl
Jones, Alicia
Kahssu, Haile
Kennedy, Richard
Kidd, Elizabeth
Kim, Leonard
King, Amos
Kotlar, Michael
Lair, Aaron
Lane, Madeline
Lausman, Marsha
Letts, William
Lewis, Kevin
Lobin, Jerome
Lutwack, William
Maggard Jr., Andrew
Maggard III, Andrew
Maggard, J. Daniel
Mallas, Nicholas
McClellan, Rodney
McGahee, Larry
Mitchell, Kevin
Morgan, Todd
Morrison, Zuri
Mott, Cynthia
Murphy Jr., Gregory
Murphy, Herbert
Murrieta, Francisco
Nadler, Jonathan
Nealey, Cedric
Nichols, Jean
Nichols, Lathan
Nolen, Milton
Nowik, Dennis
O'Brien, Christopher
O'Leary, Christine
Owens III, Michael
Perkins, Karen
Poncinie, Richard
Pyle, Robert
Rauser, Jayne
Recinella, Kevin
Reddick, Derek
Reid, Cletis
Rhodes, Kathryn
Rice, Robert
Richardson, Leroy
Rivers, Michael
Robertson, Brad
Robertson, Marlena
Robinson, Jeroy
Ruddock, Leopold
Ruddock, Margaret
Sadler Jr., John
Saxon, Jasmine
Saxon, Rashad
Saxon, Robin
Saxon, Virginia
Seklecki, Christian
Self, Abagail
Self, Curvin
Sexton, Traici
Shadix, Jimmy
Shepherd, Elizabeth
Sibbald, John
Singleton, Wesley
Smith, Delacie

Smith, Ronald
Smith, Virginia
Smith Jr., Bruce
Snellings, Sharon
Spears, Joye
Stanton, Christopher
Starks, Marc
Steidl, David
Stevenson, Nosiba
Stewart, Ronnie
Stinyard, Kelvin
Stone, Rodney
Swindle, Frank
Tamaroff, Paul
Tassaw, Berhane
Thompson, Vanessa
Thrash, Nancy
Tort, Henry
Trumble, Garfield
Tucker, Paul
Underwood, Robert
Velasquez, Julius
Walker, Reginald
Washington, Sabrina
Webber, Melina
Weeks, Frances
West, Eric
Williams, Lavern
Wingo, Michael
Winkleman, Nan
Wolfe, Lisa
Wright, Christopher
Yeste, John
Zayas, Roberto

be appointed and authorized to serve as a Permanent Process Server in the Fulton County Superior Court, for the Calendar Year 2018, without the necessity of an order for appointment in each individual case.

BY ORDER OF THE Court this 2nd day of January, 2018.

Gail S. Tusan, Chief Judge
Fulton County Superior Court
Atlanta Judicial Circuit

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDMH
Date: 12/26/2018 6:21 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, et al., **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION** **FILE NO. 2018 CV 313418**

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

COMES NOW AMY FERRERO, before the undersigned officer duly authorized to administer oaths and, after being duly sworn on oath deposes and states that she has been permanently appointed by this Court for service of process per Order attached, she is a citizen of the United States, over the age of eighteen (18), and is a party having no interest in the above styled case, states that on December 26, 2018 at 2:22 PM, she served the FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS, by personally serving RICK BARRON, Director of Registration and Election, at his business located at 130 Peachtree Street, SW, Suite 2186, Atlanta, Georgia 30303, with SUMMONS and PETITION TO CONTEST ELECTION RESULT.

I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 26 day of December, 2018

Legal Ease Attorney Services, Inc.
645 Waldo Street
Atlanta, GA 30312
404-849-1240

Sworn to and subscribed before me
this 26th day of December, 2018

Notary Public

My commission expires:

JAN 02 2018
DEPUTY CLERK SUPERIOR COURT
FULTON COUNTY, GA

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

Administrative Order No. 2018 EX 000003

ORDER FOR APPOINTMENT FOR PROCESS SERVICE

Having read and considered the petitions and criminal records, and it appearing to the Court that sufficient grounds exist that each petitioner meets the requirements for appointment by the Court, it is hereby

ORDERED and **ADJUDGED** that the following:

Adams Jr., John
Allen, Lakeita
Anderson, William
Andrews, Gene
Armstrong, Christopher
Bailey, Anna
Baker, Wanda
Banks, Randy
Barney, Steven
Barry, Paul
Basham, James
Bass, Susan
Benito, Richard
Benito, Robert
Benson, James
Bolling, Katherine
Brazeman, Craig
Briley, Donnie
Brown, Reginald
Bunch, Kim
Byers, Edmond
Carithers, Gregory
Carney, Adrian
Chastain, Michael
Clark, Ezell
Clemmons, Joyce
Cline, Travis
Cross, Charles
Cunningham, Sally
Daniels, Alysa
Daniels, Sonia
Davenport, Alterick
Davidson, Danny
Day, Duane
DeVaughn, Carl
Dolbier, Jeffrey
Dreeman, Douglas
Duchon, Deborah
Echols, Eric
Echols, Patricia
Edwards, Donnie
Elliott, Maurice
Evans, Alonzo
Faulkner, Dana
Ferrero, Amy
Fisher, Dawn
Fitzgerald, Floretta
Folds, Catherina
Folds, George
Ford, Ronnie
Fox, Juhani
Franklin, Anthony
Freese, Jessica
Fuller, Thomas
Gallo, Elizabeth
Gayle, Earl
George, Randal
Gibbs III, Thomas
Greenway, Kimberly
Grimshaw, Shane
Gruhn, Tammie
Handley, Wiley
Harris, Constance
Harris, Parks
Hassan, Muhsin S.
Heimerich, Richard
Highsmith, Amos
Hightower, Anthonio
Hill, Hollis
Hindsman, Cherrod
Horton, Christopher
Howard, Ricky
Hudson, Hakimah
Hudson, Kyle
Humphrey, Joviera
James, Frank
Johnson, Christina
Johnson, Earl
Jones, Alicia
Kahssu, Haile
Kennedy, Richard
Kidd, Elizabeth
Kim, Leonard
King, Amos
Kotlar, Michael
Lair, Aaron
Lane, Madeline
Lausman, Marsha
Letts , William
Lewis, Kevin
Lobin, Jerome
Lutwack, William
Maggard Jr., Andrew
Maggard III, Andrew
Maggard, J. Daniel
Mallas, Nicholas
McClellan, Rodney
McGahee, Larry
Mitchell, Kevin
Morgan, Todd
Morrison, Zuri
Mott, Cynthia
Murphy Jr., Gregory
Murphy, Herbert
Murrieta, Francisco
Nadler, Jonathan
Nealey, Cedric
Nichols, Jean
Nichols, Lathan
Nolen, Milton
Nowik, Dennis
O'Brien, Christopher
O'Leary, Christine
Owens III, Michael
Perkins, Karen
Poncinie, Richard
Pyle, Robert
Rauser, Jayne
Recinella, Kevin
Reddick, Derek
Reid, Cletis
Rhodes, Kathryn
Rice, Robert
Richardson, Leroy
Rivers, Michael
Robertson, Brad
Robertson, Marlena
Robinson, Jeroy
Ruddock, Leopold
Ruddock, Margaret
Sadler Jr., John
Saxon, Jasmine
Saxon, Rashad
Saxon, Robin
Saxon, Virginia
Seklecki, Christian
Self, Abagail
Self, Curvin
Sexton, Traici
Shadix, Jimmy
Shepherd, Elizabeth
Sibbald, John
Singleton, Wesley
Smith, Delacie

Smith, Ronald
Smith, Virginia
Smith Jr., Bruce
Snellings, Sharon
Spears, Joye
Stanton, Christopher
Starks, Marc
Steidl, David
Stevenson, Nosiba
Stewart, Ronnie
Stinyard, Kelvin
Stone, Rodney
Swindle, Frank
Tamaroff, Paul
Tassaw, Berhane
Thompson, Vanessa
Thrash, Nancy
Tort, Henry
Trumble, Garfield
Tucker, Paul
Underwood, Robert
Velasquez, Julius
Walker, Reginald
Washington, Sabrina
Webber, Melina
Weeks, Frances
West, Eric
Williams, Lavern
Wingo, Michael
Winkleman, Nan
Wolfe, Lisa
Wright, Christopher
Yeste, John
Zayas, Roberto

be appointed and authorized to serve as a Permanent Process Server in the Fulton County Superior Court, for the Calendar Year 2018, without the necessity of an order for appointment in each individual case.

BY ORDER OF THE Court this 2nd day of January, 2018.

Gail S. Tusan, Chief Judge
Fulton County Superior Court
Atlanta Judicial Circuit

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDQW
Date: 12/19/2018 3:46 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDAC
Date: 12/27/2018 2:10 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, et al., **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV313418**

~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO DROP DEKALB COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS AS PARTY DEFENDANT

Upon motion of Plaintiffs, and pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 9-11-21, Defendant DeKalb County Board of Registrations and Elections is dropped from this action and is no longer a party to this case.

SO ORDERED this 26 day of December, 2018.

Honorable Adele P. Grubbs
Senior Judge, State of Georgia

Prepared by: /s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Counsel for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing via the Court's e-filing system to the attorneys of record who have made appearances.

This 19TH day of December, 2018.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown

Gmail

Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>

Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418 [IWOV-imanage.FID780744]

2 messages

David Ware <DWare@hallboothsmith.com> Thu, Dec 20, 2018 at 9:32 AM
To: "adele.grubbs@gmail.com" <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>, Viviane Ernstes <vernstes@co.dekalb.ga.us>, "Laura K. Johnson" <lkjohnson@dekalbcountyga.gov>, "Bryan, Bennett D" <benbryan@dekalbcountyga.gov>, Russell Britt <RBritt@hallboothsmith.com>, Pearson Cunningham <PCunningham@hallboothsmith.com>

Judge Grubbs: we represent the DeKalb County Board of Registration and Elections. We have not entered an appearance in the case since the Board not yet been served. However, we appeared at the initial hearing before your Honor and announced our representation. As you are aware from the docket, Plaintiff has filed a Motion to Dismiss the DeKalb County Board of Registration and Elections and, of course, the motion is unopposed. Plaintiff's counsel also provided the court with a proposed Order to effectuate the dismissal. In light of this development, we will not file a Motion to Dismiss or other pleadings. Since the Court orally directed that any motions in this matter be filed by today, I wanted to alert you to our situation in the event you have not yet received the Plaintiff's filing. If the court still wants us to appear at the January 9, 2019 hearing, please let me know.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Respectfully submitted,

R. David Ware

Partner

Hall Booth Smith, P.C., Attorneys at Law

191 Peachtree Street

Suite 2900

Atlanta, GA. 30303

dware@hallboothsmith.com

(404) 586-6619

(404) 402-0204 (cell)

www.hallboothsmith.com

Notice of Confidentiality:

This communication constitutes an electronic communication within the meaning of the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. Section 2510, and its disclosure is strictly limited to the recipient intended by the sender of this message. This communication, and any attachments thereto, contain confidential attorney-client privileged information and attorney work product. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, viewing, copying, distribution or use of any of the information contained in or attached to this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by replying to the message and deleting it from your computer. Thank you.

Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com> Thu, Dec 20, 2018 at 10:14 AM
To: David Ware <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>
Cc: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>, Viviane Ernstes <vernstes@co.dekalb.ga.us>, "Laura K. Johnson" <lkjohnson@dekalbcountyga.gov>, "Bryan, Bennett D" <benbryan@dekalbcountyga.gov>, Russell Britt <RBritt@hallboothsmith.com>, Pearson Cunningham <PCunningham@hallboothsmith.com>

Counsel,

I have not received a Motion to Dismiss form the Plaintiff yet.

adele Grubbs
[Quoted text hidden]

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDLW
Date: 12/28/2018 3:47 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY

STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT,	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	CIVIL ACTION
v.	)	FILE NO. 2018CV313418
ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS, GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, DEKALB COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, and GEOFF DUNCAN,	)	
Defendants.	)	

RESPONSE TO PETITION TO CONTEST ELECTION RESULT

COMES NOW, Robyn A. Crittenden, in her official capacity as Secretary of State of the State of Georgia ("Defendant" or "Crittenden"), by and through counsel, and respectfully appears specially and responds to Plaintiffs Coalition for Good Governance's ("Coalition"), Rhonda J. Martin's ("Martin"), Smythe Duval's ("Duval"), and Jeanne Dufort's ("Dufort") (collectively, "Plaintiffs") Petition to Contest Election Result ("Petition") involving the November 6, 2018 general

election for Lieutenant Governor for the State of Georgia (the "Contested Election"), stating as follows:

INTRODUCTION

Plaintiffs filed this action to contest the Contested Election pursuant to Article 13, O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520, *et seq.*, of the Georgia Election Code. On December 4, 2018, Defendant appeared specially to file her Consolidated Motion to Dismiss By Special Appearance and Brief In Support ("Motion"), in which she asked the Court to dismiss Plaintiffs' Petition both as to Secretary Crittenden and in its entirety.[1] As explained in that Motion, Secretary Crittenden is not a proper party to this election contest and, therefore, the claims against her are barred by sovereign immunity; Plaintiffs have failed to state valid claims for relief; and the Petition fails to name all the necessary parties for an election contest.

Further, Plaintiffs appear to bring a res ipsa loquitur theory from tort law and attempt to apply it to election challenges. See Sheats v. Kroger Co., 336 Ga. App. 307, 312 (2016) (listing elements of res ipsa loquitur and holding that it applies only in "extreme" cases). Georgia courts have long held that election returns are presumed to be valid, and a party contesting an election has the burden of showing an irregularity or illegality sufficient to change or place in doubt the result of the

[1] Defendant appeared specially to file the Motion because Plaintiffs had not yet served her with the Petition.

election. See Hunt v. Crawford, 270 Ga. 7, 8 (1998) ("The setting aside of an election in which the people have chosen their representative is a drastic remedy that should not be undertaken lightly, but instead should be reserved for cases in which a person challenging an election has clearly established a violation of election procedures and has demonstrated that the violation has placed the result of the election in doubt."). Theoretical and unfounded conspiratorial hypotheses do not overcome the challenging party's burden. Middleton v. Smith, 273 Ga. 202, 203 (2000) ("It is not sufficient to show irregularities which simply erode confidence in the outcome of the election. Elections cannot be overturned on the basis of mere speculation or an appearance of impropriety in the election procedures.") (citations omitted).

On December 18, 2018, Plaintiffs served Defendant with a summons and copy of the Petition. The Summons directed Defendant to answer the Petition within ten days of service. Defendant believes her Motion constitutes an adequate—and, indeed, dispositive—responsive pleading with respect to the Petition; nevertheless, she appears specially and files this Response in an abundance of caution in order to answer directly the enumerated allegations contained in the Petition.[2]

---

[2] Defendant hereby incorporates her Motion into this Response as if fully sets forth herein.

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The allegations in Plaintiffs' Petition fail to state a claim against Secretary Crittenden upon which relief may be granted.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiffs' claims against Secretary Crittenden are barred by sovereign immunity.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiffs' claims are barred for failure to name necessary and indispensable parties.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiffs have not alleged, nor can Plaintiffs prove, any error, misconduct, fraud, or irregularity sufficient to change or place in doubt the result of the election at issue in this action.

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff Coalition for Good Governance, Inc. lacks standing to bring this action.

SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiffs' federal claims against Secretary Crittenden are barred by the Eleventh Amendment to the United States Constitution.

SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiffs failed to plead their election contest claim with specificity in compliance with the statutory requirements in O.C.G.A. § 21-2-524.

EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendant reserves the right to amend her defenses and to add additional ones.

Defendant answers the specific paragraphs of Plaintiffs' Petition as follows:

INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

1.

Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 1 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

2.

Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 2 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

3.

Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 3 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

4.

Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 4 of Plaintiffs' Petition as pled.

PARTIES

5.

The allegations in Paragraph 5 of Plaintiffs' Petition are outside the scope of Defendant's knowledge and are therefore denied on that basis.

6.

The allegations in Paragraph 6 of Plaintiffs' Petition are outside the scope of Defendant's knowledge and are therefore denied on that basis.

7.

Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 7 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

8.

Defendant admits the allegations in Paragraph 8 of Plaintiffs' Petition that Plaintiff Martin is a registered voter in the State of Georgia who was entitled to vote in the Contested Election and voted in the November 6, 2018 General Election, but denies that Plaintiff Martin is an aggrieved elector.

9.

Defendant admits the allegations in Paragraph 9 of Plaintiffs' Petition that Plaintiff Dufort is a registered voter in the State of Georgia who was entitled to vote in the Contested Election and voted in the November 6, 2018 General Election, but denies that Plaintiff Dufort is an aggrieved elector.

10.

Defendant admits the allegations in Paragraph 10 of Plaintiffs' Petition that Plaintiff DuVal is a registered voter in the State of Georgia who was entitled to vote in the Contested Election and voted in the November 6, 2018 General Election, but denies that Plaintiff DuVal is an aggrieved elector. Defendant also admits the allegation in Paragraph 10 of Plaintiffs' Petition that Plaintiff DuVal was a candidate of the Libertarian Party for Secretary of State of Georgia.

11.

Paragraph 11 of Plaintiffs' Petition contains a legal conclusion that does not require a response. Defendant denies that election irregularities alleged by Plaintiffs were sufficient to place in doubt the outcome of the Contested Election and that Plaintiffs are entitled to any relief in their election contest.

12.

Defendant admits that she serves as the Secretary of State for the State of Georgia. Defendant denies any of Plaintiffs' characterizations of the duties and responsibilities of her position, as such are defined by Georgia law and the law speaks for itself. Defendant denies all other allegations stated or implied in this paragraph.

13.

Defendant admits that the Fulton County Board of Registration and

Elections (the "Fulton Board") is the election superintendent for Fulton County; that the Fulton Board conducted the Contested Election in Fulton County; and that other counties' election superintendents conducted the November 6, 2018 General Election in their respective counties. Defendant denies the allegation that she conducted the Contested Election contained in Paragraph 13 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

14.

Defendant admits that the Gwinnett County Board of Registration and Elections (the "Gwinnett Board") is the election superintendent for Gwinnett County; that the Gwinnett Board conducted the Contested Election in Gwinnett County; and that other counties' election superintendents conducted the November 6, 2018 General Election in their respective counties. Defendant denies the allegation that she conducted the Contested Election contained in Paragraph 14 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

15.

Defendant admits that the DeKalb County Board of Registration and Elections (the "DeKalb Board") is the election superintendent for DeKalb County; that the DeKalb Board conducted the Contested Election in DeKalb County; and that other counties' election superintendents conducted the November 6, 2018 General Election in their respective counties. Defendant denies the allegation that she conducted the Contested Election contained in Paragraph 15 of Plaintiffs'

Petition. Defendant further states that the Court has granted Plaintiffs' motion to drop the DeKalb Board from Plaintiffs' election contest due to misjoinder

16.

Paragraph 16 of Plaintiffs' Petition purports to collectively refer to Defendants Secretary, Fulton County Board of Registration and Elections, Gwinnett County Board of Registrations and Elections, and DeKalb County Board of Registrations and Elections as "the Defendant Election Officials" for the purpose of Plaintiffs' Petition, and does not require a response. To the extent that a response is required, Defendant denies any remaining allegations stated or implied in Paragraph 16 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

17.

Defendant admits that Defendant Duncan was the Republican nominee for Lieutenant Governor; Defendant certified him as the winner of the election; that he is the Lieutenant Governor Elect; and that Plaintiffs filed a meritless challenge to his election. Except as thus stated, Defendant denies the allegations stated or implied in Paragraph 17 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

JURISDICTION and VENUE

18.

Defendant denies this Court has subject matter jurisdiction as to Secretary Crittenden. Defendant, appearing specially, does not contest that venue is proper

in this Court. Defendant denies any remaining allegations stated or implied in Paragraph 18 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

19.

Paragraph 19 of Plaintiffs' Petition contains a legal conclusion that does not require a response. To the extent a response is required, Defendant admits that certification of the November 6, 2018 General Election occurred on Saturday, November 17, 2018 and that Plaintiffs' Petition was filed on November 23, 2018. Defendant denies that Plaintiffs named all necessary parties for their election contest and any remaining allegations stated or implied in Paragraph 19 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

20.

Defendant admits that the Georgia State Election Board was served with a copy of Plaintiffs' Petition through Secretary Crittenden as chairperson of the Georgia State Election Board. All other allegations stated or implied in Paragraph 20 of Plaintiffs' Petition are denied.

21.

Defendant admits that Paragraph 21 of Plaintiffs' Petition that contains a description of certain equipment used in Georgia elections, but denies that the components listed in Paragraph 21 consist of the entirety of the voting system in Georgia.

22.

Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 22 of Plaintiffs' Petition as pled.

23.

Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 23 of Plaintiffs' Petition as pled.

24.

The allegations in Paragraph 24 of Plaintiffs' Petition refer to documents, and such documents speak for themselves. Defendant denies any of Plaintiffs' characterizations of the documents. All other allegations stated or implied in this paragraph are denied.

25.

The allegations in Paragraph 25 of Plaintiffs' Petition refer to a document, and such document speaks for itself. Defendant denies any of Plaintiffs' characterizations of the document. All other allegations stated or implied in this paragraph are denied.

26.

The allegations in Paragraph 26 of Plaintiffs' Petition refer to documents, and such documents speak for themselves. Defendant denies any of Plaintiffs' characterizations of the documents. All other allegations stated or implied in this

paragraph are denied.

27.

The allegations in Paragraph 27 of Plaintiffs' Petition refer to a document, and such document speaks for itself. Defendant denies any of Plaintiffs' characterizations of the document. All other allegations stated or implied in this paragraph are denied.

28.

The allegations in Paragraph 28 of Plaintiffs' Petition refer to a document, and such document speaks for itself. Defendant denies any of Plaintiffs' characterizations of the document. All other allegations stated or implied in this paragraph are denied.

29.

Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 29 of Plaintiffs' Petition as pled.

30.

Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 30 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

31.

With regard to the allegations containing alleged quotations by KSU/CSE employees, the Paragraph does not indicate the source of those quoted remarks;

however, to the extent that these quotations are taken from KSU or CSE documents, the Secretary states that such documents speak for themselves. With regard to the allegation in the last sentence regarding CES Director Michael Barnes' "sworn testimony," the content of any such sworn testimony speaks for itself. The remaining allegations in Paragraph 31 are denied as pled.

32.

Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 32 of Plaintiffs' Petition as pled.

33.

Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 33 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

34.

The allegations in Paragraph 34 of Plaintiffs' Petition refer to a court order, and such order speaks for itself. Defendant denies any of Plaintiffs' characterizations of the order. All other allegations stated or implied in this paragraph are denied.

35.

Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 35 of Plaintiffs' Petition as pled.

Irregularities in the 2018 Election

36.

Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 36 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

37.

The allegations in Paragraph 37 of Plaintiffs' Petition refer to a document, and such document speaks for itself. Defendant denies any of Plaintiffs' characterizations of the document. All other allegations stated or implied in this paragraph are denied.

38.

Defendant admits that the Secretary of State's Office received complaints from voters during the November 6, 2018 election, but denies that she received thousands of complaints during the November 6, 2018 election, including DRE machines and poll books malfunctioning. Defendant is without knowledge or information of reports of voting problems received by Plaintiffs or other third parties, and therefore denies any allegations on that basis. Defendant further denies that the purported election irregularities alleged by Plaintiffs resulted in disenfranchisement of eligible voters. All other allegations stated or implied in this paragraph are denied.

39.

The allegations in Paragraph 39 of Plaintiffs' Petition are outside the scope of Defendant's knowledge and are therefore denied on that basis. Defendant further denies that the purported election irregularities alleged by Plaintiffs were sufficient to place in doubt the outcome of the election for Lieutenant Governor. All other allegations stated or implied in this paragraph are denied.

40.

The allegations in Paragraph 40 of Plaintiffs' Petition are outside the scope of Defendant's knowledge and are therefore denied on that basis.

41.

The allegations in Paragraph 41 of Plaintiffs' Petition are outside the scope of Defendant's knowledge and are therefore denied on that basis.

42.

Defendant admits that Allgood Elementary School in DeKalb County is physically located in Georgia's Congressional District 4 and that Karen Handel was the Republican candidate in Congressional District 6 in the November 6, 2018 General Election. The remaining allegations in Paragraph 42 of Plaintiffs' Petition are outside the scope of Defendant's knowledge and are therefore denied on that basis. Defendant further denies that the purported election irregularity alleged in Paragraph 42 of Plaintiffs' Petition changed or placed in doubt the outcome of the

election for Lieutenant Governor.

43.

The allegations in Paragraph 43 of Plaintiffs' Petition are outside the scope of Defendant's knowledge and are therefore denied on that basis.

44.

The allegations in Paragraph 44 of Plaintiffs' Petition are outside the scope of Defendant's knowledge and are therefore denied on that basis.

45.

The allegations in Paragraph 45 of Plaintiffs' Petition are outside the scope of Defendant's knowledge and are therefore denied on that basis.

46.

Defendant admits that 123 ballots were mailed in to Fulton County by certain electors permitted by law to vote in only Federal elections and that for building the GEMS database, Grady High School was the precinct selected in Fulton County where the Federal-only ballots were placed. Defendant also admits that the Grady High School precinct in Fulton County is located in Congressional District 5 and that Congressional Districts 5, 6, 11, and 13 each cover portions of Fulton County. Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph 46 of Plaintiffs' Petition that election returns from the Grady High School precinct show anomalies that suggest DRE programming problems or other election irregularities. Except

as thus stated, Defendant denies any remaining allegations in Paragraph 46 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

47.

Defendant admits that the Secretary of State's Office has received complaints of "vote flipping," but denies that the Secretary of State's Office received hundreds of complaints of "vote flipping" during the November 6, 2018 election. Defendant is without knowledge or information of reports of "vote flipping" received by Plaintiffs or other third parties, and therefore denies any allegations on that basis. Defendant further denies that the alleged irregularity in Paragraph 47 of Plaintiffs' Petition changed or placed in doubt the outcome of the election for Lieutenant Governor.

48.

The allegations in Paragraph 48 of Plaintiffs' Petition are outside the scope of Defendant's knowledge and are therefore denied on that basis.

Alleged Anomalous Undervote in the Lieutenant Governor's Election

49.

Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 49 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

50.

Defendant answers Paragraph 50 of Plaintiffs' Petition by stating that the

certified election returns speak for themselves. Except as thus stated, Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph 50 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

51.

Defendant answers Paragraph 51 of Plaintiffs' Petition by stating that the certified election returns speak for themselves. Except as thus stated, Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph 51 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

52.

Defendant answers Paragraph 52 of Plaintiffs' Petition by stating that the certified election returns speak for themselves. Except as thus stated, Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph 52 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

53.

Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 53 of Plaintiffs' Petition as pled.

54.

Defendant answers Paragraph 54 of Plaintiffs' Petition by stating that the certified election returns speak for themselves. Except as thus stated, Defendant denies all other allegations stated or implied in Paragraph 54 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

55.

The allegations in Paragraph 55 of Plaintiffs' Petition refer to a document, and such document speaks for itself. Defendant denies any of Plaintiffs'

characterizations of the document. All other allegations stated or implied in this paragraph are denied.

56.

The allegations in Paragraph 56 of Plaintiffs' Petition refer to a document, and such document speaks for itself. Defendant denies any of Plaintiffs' characterizations of the document. All other allegations stated or implied in this paragraph are denied.

57.

Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 57 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

COUNT I: ELECTION CONTEST

58.

Defendant incorporates by reference and realleges its responses to Plaintiffs' preceding allegations as if fully restated herein.

59.

Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 59 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

60.

Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 60 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

61.

Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 61 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

62.

Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 62 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

63.

Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 63 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

64.

Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 64 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

65.

Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 65 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

COUNT II
FUNDAMENTAL RIGHT TO VOTE
42 U.S.C. § 1983

Infringement of the Fundamental Right to Vote
in Violation of the Fourteenth Amendment
(Against Defendant Election Officials)

66.

Defendant incorporates by reference and realleges its responses to Plaintiffs' preceding allegations as if fully restated herein.

67.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 67 of Plaintiffs' Petition are statements of the law. The law speaks for itself and these allegations do not require a response. Defendant denies any of Plaintiffs' characterizations of the law, and all other allegations stated or implied in this paragraph are denied.

68.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 68 of Plaintiffs' Petition are statements of the law. The law speaks for itself and these allegations do not require a response. Defendant denies any of Plaintiffs' characterizations of the law, and all other allegations stated or implied in this paragraph are denied.

69.

Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 69 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

70.

Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 70 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

71.

Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 71 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

72.

Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 72 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

COUNT II: EQUAL PROTECTION
42 U.S.C. § 1983

Infringement of the Fourteenth Amendment's
Guarantee of Equal Protection
(Against Defendant Election Officials)

73.

Defendant incorporates by reference and realleges its responses to Plaintiffs' preceding allegations as if fully restated herein.

74.

Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 74 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

75.

Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 75 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

76.

Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 76 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Defendant denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to any relief they seek. Defendant denies every allegation not specifically admitted herein.

Respectfully submitted this 28th day of December, 2018.

Christopher M. Carr
Attorney General
Georgia Bar No. 112505
Annette M. Cowart
Deputy Attorney General
Georgia Bar No. 191199
Russell D. Willard
Senior Assistant Attorney General
Georgia Bar No. 760280
State Law Department
40 Capitol Square, S.W.
Atlanta, GA 30334
Telephone: (404) 656-3357

/s/ Josh Belinfante
Josh Belinfante
Georgia Bar No: 047399
jbelinfante@robbinsfirm.com
Vincent R. Russo
Georgia Bar No. 242628
vrusso@robbinsfirm.com
Brian E. Lake
Georgia Bar No. 575966
blake@robbinsfirm.com
Alexander Denton
Georgia Bar No. 660632

adenton@robbinsfirm.com
Special Assistant Attorneys General
Robbins Ross Alloy Belinfante Littlefield LLC
500 14th Street, N.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30318
Telephone: (678) 701-9381
Facsimile: (404) 856-3250

Attorneys for Defendant Robyn A. Crittenden, Secretary of State of Georgia

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served the within and foregoing **RESPONSE TO PETITION TO CONTEST ELECTION RESULT** on all parties by electronically filing it with the Clerk of the Court using the Odyssey eFileGA system, which will automatically send an email notification of such filing to counsel for the parties.

This 28th day of December, 2018.

/s/ Josh Belinfante
Josh Belinfante

law return to 401 1.012.2659
Attn: Sgt. Sneed

SHERIFF'S ENTRY OF SERVICE

Record Id Stamp 87094

Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Date Filed 11/23/18

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDDG
Date: 12/28/2018 9:06 AM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

SUPERIOR COURT

GEORGIA, FULTON COUNTY

Coalition for Good Governance, et al
Plaintiff

VS.

Robyn A. Crittenden
Defendant

Attorney's Address
Bruce P. Brown
1123 Zonolite Rd. Ste. 6
Atlanta, GA 30306

Name and Address of Party to be Served
Gwinnett County Board of Registration + Elections
c/o William Linkers
~~75 Langley Dr. Lawrenceville GA 30046~~
450 455 Grayson Highway Lawrenceville, GA 30046

SHERIFF'S ENTRY OF SERVICE

PERSONAL ☐ I have this day served the defendant _____ personally with a copy of the within action and summons.

NOTORIOUS ☐ I have this day served the defendant _____ by leaving a copy of the action and summons at this most place notorious place of abode in this county.

Delivered same into hands of _____ described as follows age, about ____ years; weight, about ____ pounds; height about ____ feet and ____ inches, domiciled at the residence of the defendant.

CORPORATION ☒ Served the defendant Gwinnett County Board of Registration + Elections a corporation by leaving a copy of the with in action and summons with Lynn Ledford in charge of the office and place of doing business of said Corporation in this County.

TACK & MAIL ☐ I have this day served the above styled affidavit and summons on the defendant(s) by posting a copy of the same to the door of the premises designated in said affidavit, and on the same day of such posting by depositing a true copy of the same in the United States Mail, First Class in an envelope properly addressed to the defendant(s) at the address shown in said summons, with adequate postage affixed thereon continuing notice to the defendant(s) to answer said summons at the place stated in the summons.

NON EST ☐ Diligent search made and defendant _____ not to be found in the jurisdiction of this court.

This 13 day of Dec 2018.

Jim Cross 30300
DEPUTY

SHERIFF DOCKET _____ PAGE _____

WHITE - CLERK; CANARY - PLAINTIFF; PINK - DEFENDANT

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA

ATLANTA DIVISION

DONNA CURLING, ET AL., **Plaintiffs, v.** **BRIAN KEMP, ET AL.,** **Defendants.**	**Civil Action No.** **1:17-CV-2989-AT**

DECLARATION OF RICHARD A. DeMILLO

RICHARD A. DeMILLO ("Declarant") hereby declares as follows:

1. I am a registered voter in Fulton County Georgia. I am deeply interested in the proper functioning of the Georgia's voting system from both a personal and professional perspective.
2. I am not a retained expert by any party to this action, but in the desire to aid the Court in the evaluation of technical assertions, I wish to voluntarily offer my opinion on the particular topic of the essential requirements of preservation of electronic records of the DRE voting system including the electronic pollbooks.

3. I am currently the Charlotte B. and Roger C. Warren Chair of Computer Science at Georgia Tech. I have served as Dean of the College of Computing at Georgia Tech and Director of the Georgia Tech Center for Information Security. I have also served as the Chief Technology Officer for Hewlett-Packard, Vice President and General Manager of Computing and Information Research at Bell Communications Research, Director of the Computer and Communications Research Division at the National Science Foundation, and Director of the Software Test and Evaluation Project for the U.S. Department of Defense.

4. In all these appointments, my primary technology focus has been information, communication, cyber security, and computer system testing. I have taught both graduate and undergraduate courses in cyber security, supervised PhD dissertations and conducted peer-reviewed research leading to books, journal articles, patents, and invited addresses, all related to the topic of cyber threats to computer systems. I have served on editorial boards for major journals, chaired program committees for cybersecurity symposia and conferences, and served on government advisory boards and panels. I have been an officer, director, and board member for various public and private corporations in the cyber security industry.

5. I have conducted research and taught courses related to voting system and election security since 2002. I have served as an official observer of foreign electronic voting systems for the Carter Center and participated in the writing of Carter Center guidelines for using electronic voting machines. I serve on the advisory boards of Verified Voting and the Open Software Election Technology Institute.

6. My qualifications and experience are described further in my August 20, 2018 Declaration in this case, Doc. 277 at 52 et seq.

7. I have reviewed the Court's order in this case, as well as the Court's Order in Common Cause Georgia v. Kemp (18-cv-5102).

8. I am familiar with Georgia's Diebold DRE voting system, its design, the body of academic literature compiled on the system in the last ten years, and its operation as it is deployed in the polling places in Georgia.

9. I own both Diebold TSx and TS voting machines which I have examined and used to conduct certain experiments related to DRE system security.

10. I have observed the operation of Diebold DRE systems in polling places in multiple Georgia counties over the course of multiple elections and in county election offices where the system was being programmed and tested. I have observed the testing procedures conducted prior to machine deployment to the polling places.

11. I observed the operation of the ExpressPollbooks (electronic pollbooks) as well as the DRE machines in my role as a statewide pollwatcher during the November 6, 2018 election.

12. During my pollwatching activities, I had occasion to speak with voters, election workers, and cybersecurity experts, and to consult various reports. Credible information thus obtained was consistent with the existence of failures and malfunctions of both Diebold ExpressPollbooks operations and DRE voting machines during the November 6, 2018 election.

13. Also, during my pollwatching activities on November 6, 2018, I became aware that certain sites in Gwinnett County were experiencing significant delays in voting and that those delays may have been attributable to malfunctioning Diebold ExpressPollbooks.

14. On the afternoon of November 6, I conferred with nationally recognized Diebold voting systems expert Harri Hursti and cyber security researcher Logan Lamb. This conversation took place a few minutes after Hursti and Lamb completed a review of technical information on site in Anistown Precinct in Gwinnett County, where four-hour voting delays were being attributed to malfunctioning ExpressPollbooks. I visited the Anistown Precinct a few hours after the malfunction had reportedly occurred and

observed the operations at the polling place before visiting other polling locations.

15. I am aware of Election Rule 183-1-12-.02 (6)(d) stating that:

> *"The election results, ballot styles, ballot images, and other information for each election stored in the internal memory storage of each DRE unit shall be maintained for a minimum of one month following each election after which time the results may be erased provided that there are no election contests pending concerning such election."*

16. One purpose for the requirement for maintaining the information described in Rule (183-1-12-.02(6)(d)) is to make possible forensic analysis in the event of election tampering, system compromise, or system malfunction. This is particularly significant in Georgia because Georgia elections do not create or maintain paper audit trail that can be reviewed as a record of voter intent. Lacking an independent way to judge voter intent, experts need access to the detailed digital records known as footprints (citation: https://www.nytimes.com/2000/03/09/technology/computer-forensics-teams-learn-to-follow-digital-footprints.html)

17. The information thus required is not merely a copy of the cast vote records on the machine or ballot images or audit logs, all of which are subject to accidental or malicious corruption, manipulation or destruction during a cyber-attack, system compromise, or system failure, but for all electronic information stored in internal memory. (citation: S. Garfinkel et al, "Practical Unix and Internet Security, 3rd Edition," O'Reilley Publishing, 2003, pp 677+).

18. Furthermore, merely saving the related memory cards is an inadequate response to this requirement since the very act of copying information from internal memories to memory cards is carried out by software that must be presumed to be untrustworthy in the event of system failure or compromise. (citation: https://www.ncsc.gov.uk/content/files/protected_files/guidance_files/common_cyber_attacks_ncsc.pdf) (citation: National Institute of Standards and Technology, Guidelines on PDA Forensics, Special Publication 800-72, November 2004) Additionally, memory cards contain only selected data intended for reporting, not all the operating information on in the DRE internal memory needed for forensic review.

19. Preserving the electronic data in the internal memory of the DRE requires that no new election data be written onto the hard drive of DRE machines,

no further use after the close of the election, including recounts, and that the DRE machines thus preserved be strictly physically secured and not deployed to polling places (see Paragraphs 22 and 23 below).

20. The Election Rule appears to recognize that it is critical that the electronic data in the internal memory of the DRE be preserved for a substantial time in order to permit time for systemic and isolated problems to surface.

21. Therefore, a consequence of Paragraph 19 and the one-month preservation rule is the required availability and use of either alternative DRE machines or paper ballots for elections falling shortly after an election.

22. Preservation of machines identified for analysis is required for this analysis, and therefore all such machines should be removed from service and placed in a secure storage facility, where adequate access and physical safeguards can be implemented to deter tampering. Defendants have represented in prior public statements that election officers already implement secure physical custody. I disagree with this assessment based on well-documented instances in which unattended DREs are easily accessible by persons without authorization or supervision. Defendants have also represented in prior public statements that tamper-evident seals prevent unauthorized access. I disagree with this assessment based on well-known and widely distributed videos that demonstrate how to undetectably defeat

such seals. I have personally observed persons with little or no prior training using shims cut from soft drink cans to defeat the tamper evident seals used in Georgia's elections.

23. Defendants have represented in prior public statements that removal from service is not necessary since data from prior elections cannot be erased, overwritten, or otherwise lost when a new election is carried out. I am unaware of any technical means that would support such a claim. The Windows CE operating system, on which the Diebold Ballot Station software runs, contains only rudimentary memory management and is prone to a phenomenon called memory fragmentation wherein memory locations are not allocated in contiguous blocks but rather are allocated in blocks that are dispersed throughout physical memory. Because Windows CE has no built-in features for signaling to an application that a candidate block of storage has previously been allocated, application software that needs to maintain intact memory from prior elections must carry out the necessary checks. Because Diebold BallotStation software is proprietary and held as a trade secret, it is unavailable for third party evaluation. I have examined various public disclosures that describe the design and coding of BallotStation software. I have not found evidence of such software safeguards in the Diebold BallotStation software.

24. Selection of machines for forensic review during discovery will be done by an algorithm for which section parameters are not yet known and cannot be known until a preliminary analysis has been carried out. For example, one such parameter might be: machines where the polling place manual recap sheet of ballots cast shows a different number than the DRE reported total of ballots cast.

25. All DRE machine electronic data must be preserved. Random sampling of DRE machines for preservation is not sufficient for safe-guarding of electronic evidence required to be used in discovery. Deliberate and time-consuming analysis must first be conducted to determine which DRE machines have exhibited attributes that indicate potential malfunction or have been exposed to greater risk of compromise than others. Randomly sampling the DREs is not a mathematically acceptable way of conducting this analysis. Random sampling assumes an underlying probability distribution for the attributes being tested. A random sample for example might be constructed assuming that defects are uniformly distributed among the DREs. That assumption is untenable since the machines of interest may be associated with certain races, ballots choices, racial distribution, root cause of failure/compromise, geography, population density, number of ballots cast on a machine, voter complaints, anomalous results, or other

attributes that are not uniformly distributed throughout the voting population.

26. Therefore, no statistically valid conclusion can be drawn from a random sample. In addition, statistical tools which might be used to approximate sampling distributions are not applicable in this case, either because the attributes of interest are not statistically independent, or because the software is able to modify its own behavior when it is being tested (as was demonstrated in the Volkswagen emissions testing scandal of 2015 when the US Environmental Protection Agency discovered that on-board software had been programed to sense with an automobile was being tested and deliver results that did not reflect emissions control impact on vehicle performance. (citation: EPA Notice of Violation September 15, 2015)).

27. Individual imaging of DRE internal memory is technically possible and has been publicly suggested as a way of avoiding preservation. This procedure, however, requires intrusive access to each DRE which makes it an infeasible solution. In the first place, I do not believe there are enough sufficiently trained technicians to accomplish the task. In the second place, it takes anywhere from 3 to 10 hours to obtain an acceptable image of the internal memory of a DRE.

28. The electronic data residing on the components of Georgia's electronic voting systems ("the Required Electronic Data") essential for preservation for the purpose of determining the causes of irregularities and the performance of those systems in the November 6, 2018 General Election follows below in Paragraph 29.

29. The Required Data includes:

    a. all electronic data residing in the internal memory of the DRE machines prepared for use in the November 6, 2018 election, including DRE machines used for uploading memory cards in election offices;

    b. all electronic data on DRE memory cards from all polling places and election offices used in early voting and Election Day voting related to the November 6, 2018 election;

    c. all electronic data residing on the GEMS servers, including logging records and audit logs related to the November 6, 2018 election;

    d. all electronic data residing on external media devices used to upload results to the Election Night Reporting system related to the November 6, 2018 election;

    e. all electronic data residing on Electronic Media Processors related to the November 6, 2018 elections.

f. all electronic data on ExpressPollbooks memory cards used in the November 6, 2018 election.

g. all electronic data in the internal memory of the ExpressPollbooks used in the November 6, 2018 election.

h. all electronic data including logging records (including the E-Net systems and vendors' records) used in the upload or download of voter registration records and the electronic pollbooks.

30. As recently as November 17 and 18, I have been made aware of possible anomalies from the November 6 election, and I am aware of various public disclosures of other anomalies. Anomalies such as these would be subject to investigation under the one-month DRE internal memory preservation rule. Investigation of these anomalies would be jeopardized without preservation of the affected DREs.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I declare and verify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on this date, November __21_____, 2018.

Richard A. DeMillo

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDLW
Date: 1/2/2019 12:00 AM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, *et al.*,	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	CIVIL ACTION
v.	)	FILE NO. 2018CV313418
ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, *et al.*,	)	
Defendants.	)	

VERIFICATION

Personally appeared before the undersigned officer duly authorized to administer oaths, **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN**, who, after first being duly sworn, states that the ***RESPONSE TO PETITION TO CONTEST ELECTION RESULT*** was prepared with the assistance and advice of counsel and with the assistance of employees of the Office of the Georgia Secretary of State, upon which I have relied, and that the facts and information contained therein are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

This 28th day of December, 2018.

Robyn A. Crittenden
ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN
SECRETARY OF STATE

Sworn to and subscribed before me
this 28th day of December, 2018.

Ameika Pitts
NOTARY PUBLIC, Georgia
My Commission expires:
December 11, 2021

AMEIKA PITTS
NOTARY
PUBLIC
DEKALB COUNTY, GEORGIA

SHERIFF'S ENTRY OF SERVICE

SHERIFF'S ENTRY OF SERVICE

Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Date Filed November 23, 2018

Superior Court ☒ State Court ☐ Juvenile Court ☐ Magistrate Court ☐ Probate Court ☐

Georgia, Fulton COUNTY

Attorney's Address
Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown Law LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. Suite 6
Atlanta, GA 30306

Name and Address of Party to be Served.
Fultin County Board of Registration and Elections
141 Pryor St. SW #4075
~~Atlanta GA 30303~~
30303

Coalition for Good Governance, et al.
Plaintiff

VS.

Robyn A. Crittenden, et al.
Defendant

Garnishee

SHERIFF'S ENTRY OF SERVICE

PERSONAL ☐ I have this day served the defendant _____ personally with a copy of the within action and summons.

NOTORIOUS ☐ I have this day served the defendant _____ by leaving a copy of the action and summons at his most notorious place of abode in this County.

Delivered same into hands of _____ described as follows: age, about _____ years; weight _____ pounds; height, about _____ feet and _____ inches, domiciled at the residence of defendant.

CORPORATION ☐ Served the defendant _____ a corporation by leaving a copy of the within action and summons with _____ in charge of the office and place of doing business of said Corporation in the County.

TACK & MAIL ☐ I have this day served the above styled affidavit and summons on the defendant(s) by posting a copy of the same to the door of the premises designated in said affidavit, and on the same day of such posting by depositing a true copy of same in the United States Mail, First Class in an envelope properly addressed to the defendant(s) at the address shown in said summons, with adequate postage affixed thereon containing notice to the defendant(s) to answer said summons at the place stated in the summons.

NON EST ☑ Diligent search made and defendant Robyn Crittenden not to be found in the jurisdiction of this court. Wrong Address

This 19 day of December, 20 18.

J. Engel 2280
DEPUTY

SHERIFF DOCKET PAGE

WHITE-CLERK CANARY-PLAINTIFF PINK-DEFENDANT

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDLS
Date: 1/3/2019 2:45 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

SHERIFF'S ENTRY OF SERVICE

SHERIFF'S ENTRY OF SERVICE

Superior Court ☒ Magistrate Court ☐
State Court ☐ Probate Court ☐
Juvenile Court ☐

Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Date Filed November 23, 2018

Georgia, Fulton COUNTY

Attorney's Address
Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown Law LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. Suite 6
Atlanta, GA 30306

Coalition for Good Governance, et al.
Plaintiff

VS.

Robyn A. Crittenden, et al.
Defendant

Name and Address of Party to be Served.
Robyn A. Crittenden

Secretary of State of Georgia

214 State Capitol

Atlanta, Georgia 30334

Garnishee

SHERIFF'S ENTRY OF SERVICE

PERSONAL ☐ I have this day served the defendant _____ personally with a copy of the within action and summons.

NOTORIOUS ☐ I have this day served the defendant _____ by leaving a copy of the action and summons at his most notorious place of abode in this County.

Delivered same into hands of _____ described as follows: age, about _____ years; weight _____ pounds; height, about _____ feet and _____ inches, domiciled at the residence of defendant.

CORPORATION ☐ Served the defendant SECRETARY OF STATE OF GEORGIA / ROBYN A CRITTENDEN a corporation by leaving a copy of the within action and summons with Ryan Germany, General Counsel in charge of the office and place of doing business of said Corporation in the County.

TACK & MAIL ☐ I have this day served the above styled affidavit and summons on the defendant(s) by posting a copy of the same to the door of the premises designated in said affidavit, and on the same day of such posting by depositing a true copy of same in the United States Mail, First Class in an envelope properly addressed to the defendant(s) at the address shown in said summons, with adequate postage affixed thereon containing notice to the defendant(s) to answer said summons at the place stated in the summons.

NON EST ☐ Diligent search made and defendant _____ not to be found in the jurisdiction of this court.

This 18th day of Dec, 2018.

L B (#2327)
DEPUTY

SHERIFF DOCKET PAGE

WHITE-CLERK CANARY-PLAINTIFF PINK-DEFENDANT

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDJT
Date: 1/7/2019 2:24 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, *et al*	)
	) Civil Action File No. 2018CV313418
Plaintiff,	)
v.	)
ROBYN A CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, *et al*	)
Defendant	)

ANSWER AND DEFENSES OF DEFENDANT FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS

COMES NOW, Defendant Fulton County Board of Registration and Elections ("FCBRE"), by and through its undersigned counsel of record, and respectfully files its Response to Plaintiffs' Petition to Contest Election Result as follows:

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

FIRST DEFENSE

Petitioner's claims are barred, in whole or in part for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

SECOND DEFENSE

The Petition fails to name necessary and indispensable parties.

THIRD DEFENSE

Petitioners' Claims against Defendant are barred for lack of personal jurisdiction.

FOURTH DEFENSE

Petitioners' claims against Defendant are barred due to improper service and/or insufficient service of process.

FIFTH DEFENSE

There are not sufficient votes at issue to cause the election results to be placed in doubt or to change the outcome.

SIXTH DEFENSE

The unnumbered Introduction to the Petition contains legal argument and a summary of Plaintiffs' claims and does not require a response. To the extent that any response is required, Defendant FCBRE denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to any relief.

SEVENTH DEFENSE

Petitioners lack a clear legal right to the relief sought.

EIGHTH DEFENSE

Defendant has not breached a duty owed to Petitioners.

NINTH DEFENSE

Defendant's compliance with Georgia law is being carried out in good faith, without conscious, reckless or negligent disregard for the rights of any voter.

TENTH DEFENSE

Defendant has not subjected Plaintiffs to the deprivation of any rights, due process or equal protection guaranteed by the Unites States Constitution.

ELEVENTH DEFENSE

Defendant is not capable of providing a remedy to Plaintiffs since its powers and duties do not include the ability to determine what voting system is used in Georgia.

TWELFTH DEFENSE

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing affirmative defenses, Defendant responds to the individually numbered paragraphs of Plaintiff's Petition as follows:

1.

Defendant FCBRE admits that the general election for Lieutenant Governor for the State of Georgia was held on November 6, 2018, but denies the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 1.

2.

Defendant FCBRE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 2 and further states that it was not "repeatedly and formally warned" of any of the issues outlined in Paragraph 2.

3.

Defendant FCBRE admits that the race for Lieutenant Governor received fewer votes than other races that were farther down the ballot, but denies the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 3.

4.

Defendant FCBRE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 4 and further states that a number of reasonable explanations exist for the vote discrepancy in the Lieutenant Governor's race.

5.

Defendant FCBRE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 5, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 5 are denied. FCBRE further states that the Coalition is not a proper party plaintiff under O.C.G.A. §21-2-521.

6.

Defendant FCBRE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 6, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 6 are denied. FCBRE further states that the Coalition is not a proper party plaintiff under O.C.G.A. §21-2-521.

7.

Defendant FCBRE denies the allegations of Paragraph 7 and further states that the Coalition is not a proper party plaintiff under O.C.G.A. § 21-2-521.

8.

Defendant FCBRE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 8, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 8 are denied.

9.

Defendant FCBRE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 9, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 9 are denied.

10.

Defendant FCBRE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 10, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 10 are denied.

11.

Defendant FCBRE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 11, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 11 are denied.

12.

Defendant FCBRE admits that Robyn A. Crittenden is the Secretary of State of Georgia, that the Secretary's office operates the GEMS tabulation server and Election Night Reporting System, that the Secretary certifies election results, and that the Secretary is involved with the design and preparation of electronic ballots. Defendant denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 12.

13.

Defendant FCBRE admits that it is the election superintendent for Fulton County elections; that it conducted the November 6, 2018 general election, including the Lieutenant Governor's election, in Fulton County; and that other counties' election superintendents conducted the same election. Defendant denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 13.

14.

Defendant FCBRE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 14, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 14 are denied.

15.

Defendant FCBRE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 15, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 15 are denied.

16.

Paragraph 16 does not require a response, but to the extent a response is required, Defendant FCBRE states that the paragraph speaks for itself.

17.

Defendant FCBRE admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 17.

18.

Paragraph 18 contains legal argument that does not require a response. To the extent a response is required, Defendant FCBRE denies that jurisdiction and venue is proper in this Court because it is where Defendant Crittenden resides, because Defendant Crittenden is not a proper party to an election contest. Defendant FCBRE admits that Fulton County is an allowable venue for a statewide election contest under the statute, but denies that jurisdiction is proper in this Court and further denies that it is a proper party for the non-election-contest counts of Plaintiffs' Petition. Defendant FCBRE denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 18.

19.

Paragraph 19 contains legal argument that does not require a response. To the extent a response is required, Defendant FCBRE admits that the Secretary of State certified the statewide results on November 17, 2018. Defendant FCBRE denies that the Petition was timely filed because it did not name all required parties within the statute of limitations and denies any remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 19.

20.

Defendant FCBRE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 20, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 20 are denied.

21.

Defendant FCBRE admits that it used the Diebold AccuVote DRE touchscreen units for in-person voting, Diebold optical scan-machines for absentee and provisional ballots, and GEMS software for tabulation and reporting of results for the November 6, 2018 election. Defendant FCBRE denies that the electronic poll book components "interface" with the DRE machines but admits that it used ExpressPoll units for poll book functions. Defendant FCBRE denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 21.

22.

Defendant FCBRE admits that the DREs use memory cards and store information regarding voters' actions to memory cards and internal memory. Defendant denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 22.

23.

Defendant FCBRE admits that the DREs run a version of Microsoft Windows CE, but is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 23, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 23 are denied.

24.

Defendant FCBRE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 24 and footnotes 1 and 2, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 24 and footnotes 1 and 2 are denied.

25.

Defendant FCBRE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 25 and footnote 3, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 25 and footnote 3 are denied.

26.

Defendant FCBRE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 26 and footnotes 4-9, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 26 and footnotes 4-9 are denied.

27.

Defendant FCBRE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 27, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 27 are denied.

28.

Defendant FCBRE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 28, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 28 are denied.

29.

Defendant FCBRE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 29, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 29 are denied.

30.

Defendant FCBRE admits that the Secretary of State contracted with Kennesaw State University for a period of time and that the functions previously carried out by KSU are now housed in the Secretary's office. Defendant FCBRE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 30, and for this reason, the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 30 are denied.

31.

Defendant FCBRE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 31, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 31 are denied.

32.

Defendant FCBRE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 32, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 32 are denied.

33.

Defendant FCBRE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 33, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 33 are denied.

34.

Defendant FCBRE states that the quoted order speaks for itself as to content and legal effect and denies any allegation inconsistent therewith.

35.

Defendant FCBRE denies that it acted recklessly in complying with state law and using the DRE election system in the 2018 general election. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 35, and for this reason, the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 35 are denied.

36.

Defendant FCBRE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 36, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 36 are denied.

37.

In response to Paragraph 37, Defendant FCBRE states that the quoted order speaks for itself as to content and legal effect and denies any allegation inconsistent therewith. Defendant FCBRE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 37, and for this reason, the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 37 are denied.

38.

Defendant FCBRE admits that Fulton County voters reported problems during the November 6, 2018 election but denies that those problems resulted in voter disenfranchisement. Defendant FCBRE is without knowledge or information sufficient to

form a response to the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 38, and for this reason, the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 38 are denied.

39.

Paragraph 39 does not require a response. To the extent a response is required, Defendant FCBRE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 39, including what "campaigns, non-profit voter protection groups, and the press" that it covers, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 39 are denied.

40.

Defendant FCBRE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 40, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 40 are denied.

41.

Upon information and belief, Defendant FCBRE admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 41.

42.

Defendant FCBRE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 42, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 42 are denied.

43.

Defendant FCBRE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 43, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 43 are denied.

44.

Defendant FCBRE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 44, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 44 are denied.

45.

Defendant FCBRE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 45, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 45 are denied.

46.

Defendant FCBRE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 46.

47.

Defendant FCBRE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 47, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 47 are denied.

48.

Defendant FCBRE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 48, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 48 are denied.

49.

Defendant FCBRE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 49, and for this reason, the allegations contained in

Paragraph 49 are denied. Defendant further states that there are a number of possible explanations for the lower vote total in the Lieutenant Governor's race.

50.

Defendant FCBRE admits that the Lieutenant Governor is the second highest state official and that the Lieutenant Governor is also the President of the State Senate. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 50, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 50 are denied.

51.

Defendant FCBRE admits that the reported vote totals show that there were less total votes cast for Lieutenant Governor than for other statewide races, but is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 51, and for this reason, the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 51 are denied.

52.

Defendant FCBRE admits that the reported vote totals show there were less total votes cast for Lieutenant Governor than for other statewide races, but is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the percentages and remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 52, and for this reason, the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 52 are denied.

53.

Defendant FCBRE admits that the reported vote totals show there were less total votes cast for Lieutenant Governor than for other statewide races, but is without knowledge

or information sufficient to form a response to the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 53, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 53 are denied. Defendant further states that there are a number of possible explanations for the lower vote total in the Lieutenant Governor's race.

54.

Defendant FCBRE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 54, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 54 are denied. Defendant further states that there are a number of possible explanations for the lower vote total in the Lieutenant Governor's race.

55.

In response to Paragraph 55, Defendant FCBRE states that the letter from Ms. Amico to Secretary Crittenden speaks for itself as to content and legal effect. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 55, and for this reason, the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 55 are denied. Defendant further states that there are a number of possible explanations for the lower vote total in the Lieutenant Governor's race.

56.

In response to Paragraph 56, Defendant FCBRE states that the letter from Secretary Crittenden to Ms. Amico speaks for itself as to content and legal effect. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 55, and for this reason, the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 55 are denied. Defendant further states that there are a number of possible explanations for the lower vote total in the Lieutenant Governor's race.

57.

Defendant FCBRE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 57.

58.

Defendant incorporates by reference its responses to the foregoing paragraphs of the Complaint.

59.

Defendant FCBRE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 59.

60.

Defendant FCBRE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 60.

61.

Defendant FCBRE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 61.

62.

Defendant FCBRE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 62.

63.

Defendant FCBRE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 63.

64.

Defendant FCBRE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 64.

65.

Defendant FCBRE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 65.

66.

Defendant incorporates by reference its responses to the foregoing paragraphs of the Complaint.

67.

Paragraph 67 contains legal argument that does not require a response. Defendant states that the United States Constitution and the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment speak for themselves.

68.

Paragraph 67 contains legal argument that does not require a response.

69.

Defendant FCBRE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 69.

70.

Defendant FCBRE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 70.

71.

Defendant FCBRE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 71.

72.

Defendant FCBRE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 72.

73.

Defendant incorporates by reference its responses to the foregoing paragraphs of the Complaint.

74.

Defendant FCBRE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 74. Defendant further states that hand-marked ballots do not necessarily provide clear and determinable records of voter intent.

75.

Defendant FCBRE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 75.

76.

Defendant FCBRE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 76.

GENERAL DENIAL

Defendant that Plaintiffs are entitled to any of the relief sought and denies each numbered paragraph of the Prayer for Relief. Defendant further denies each and every allegation of the Complaint not specifically admitted denied or otherwise contradicted herein responded to

WHEREFORE, Defendant FCBRE requests:

(a) That the Petition be dismissed entirely or that Defendant FCBRE be dismissed as a party;

(b) That all relief sought by Plaintiffs be denied;

(c) That judgment be issued in Defendant FCBRE's favor;

(d) That attorneys' fees and costs be assessed against Plaintiffs;

(e) That the Petition be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction; and

(f) Any other further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted this 7th day of January, 2019.

OFFICE OF THE FULTON COUNTY ATTORNEY
Patrise Perkins-Hooker
County Attorney
Georgia Bar No. 572358
Patrise.perkins-hooker@fultoncountyga.gov

/s/ Kaye Woodard Burwell
Kaye Woodard Burwell
Georgia Bar No. 775060
Kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov
Cheryl Melissa Ann Ringer
Georgia Bar No. 557420
Cheryl.ringer@fultoncountyga.gov

David Lowman
Georgia Bar No. 460298
David.lowman@fultoncountyga.gov
Attorneys for Defendant Fulton County BRE

141 Pryor Street, S.W.
Suite 4038
Atlanta, Georgia 30303
Office: (404) 612-0246
Fax: (404)730-6540

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, *et al*	)
	) Civil Action File No. 2018CV313418
Plaintiff,	)
v.	)
ROBYN A CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, *et al*	)
Defendant	)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that on this day, I have caused to be electronically filed the foregoing **ANSWER AND DEFENSES OF FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS** with the Fulton County Superior Court using the Odyssey efile GA system which will provide automatic notification of this filing to all counsel of record.

This 7th day of January, 2019.

/s/ Kaye Woodard Burwell
Kaye Woodard Burwell
Georgia Bar No. 775050

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, *et al*	) Civil Action File No. 2018CV313418
Plaintiff,	)
v.	)
ROBYN A CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, *et al*	)
Defendant	)

VERIFICATION

Personally appeared before the undersigned officer duly authorized to administer oaths, DWIGHT BROWER, who having first been duly sworn, deposes and says that the ANSWER AND DEFENSES OF DEFENDANT FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS, filed in the Superior Court of Fulton County, was prepared with the assistance and advice of counsel, and that the facts contained therein are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

This 7th day of January, 2019.

DWIGHT BROWER
Elections Chief, Fulton County Department of Registration and Elections

SWORN to and subscribed
before me this 7 day
of January, 2019.

BREAUNA JENKINS
NOTARY PUBLIC
Exp. Apr. 07, 2020
DOUGLAS COUNTY, GA

Brianna Jenkins

Notary Public

My Commission Expires: 4/7/2020

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDDG
Date: 11/29/2018 5:31 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV31348**

PLAINTIFFS' EMERGENCY MOTION FOR INSPECTION OF ELECTRONIC ELECTION EQUIPMENT AND PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

Pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 9-11-34(a)(1), Plaintiffs file this Emergency Motion for Inspection of Electronic Voting Equipment and Production of Documents, and show the Court the following:

I. Summary

There is substantial evidence that the electronic voting equipment used in the 2018 general election was "so defective" "as to place in doubt the result" of the

election for Lieutenant Governor, including scores of voters' and eye-witness reports of malfunctioning Direct Electronic Recording ("DRE") touchscreen machines and an aberrant pattern of votes from DRE machines that does not appear in the 230,000+ votes cast on paper ballots. *See* O.C.G.A. § 21-2-527(d). *See Taggart v. Phillips,* 242 Ga. 454, 455 (1978) ("doubt may be cast on an election by showing improper maintenance of the voting machines resulting in votes being miscast"). Additionally, there are material and verifiable reports of machine malfunctions including "vote flipping," the premature casting of ballots without voter review, and irregularities of vote counts appearing on the DRE voting machine poll tapes.

Vital to the determination of the causes of these irregularities is an expert forensic examination of the electronic equipment itself, equipment that is currently in the possession of Defendants and third-parties but which may be made available for immediate inspection without disruption of ongoing election activity. This examination must occur immediately because of an imminent trial and because the Supreme Court of Georgia has repeatedly instructed that "litigants should make every effort to dispose of election disputes with dispatch." *Payne v. Chatman,* 267 Ga. 873, 876 (1997). The requested examination is expressly authorized by O.C.G.A. § 9-11-34(a)(1), which allows the inspection, sampling and testing of

"any tangible things" that are relevant to the subject matter of the litigation. Additionally, some of the voting machines to which some of the irregularities can be traced are improperly being prepared for use in the December 4, runoff election in violation of preservation requirements in the Election Code. Others of the machines are not properly secured to protect the electronic evidence on those machines.

In addition, the immediate production of a well-defined set of documents relating to complaints that the Defendants received from voters about the equipment is appropriate.

II. Motion

Plaintiffs accordingly move the Court to enter an order granting the following relief. A proposed order is filed herewith.

1. Allowing Plaintiffs to serve each of the Defendants with requests under O.C.G.A. § 9-11-34 for the inspection, sampling and copying of the electronic election equipment and related election records in the particular Defendants' possession identified on the attached Exhibit A and Exhibit B. For example, Gwinnett County Board would be responsible for making available the equipment identified on the attached Exhibits A and B used in Gwinnett County, etc. The Secretary would be responsible for making available the DRE equipment which is

owned by the state that is currently located in other counties which are not Defendant counties.

2. The inspection, sampling and copy shall proceed during the week of December 3, 2018, at a place and dates to be agreed upon by the parties. If the parties do not reach an agreement within forty-eight hours, Plaintiffs' counsel will notify the Court and the Court will decide on a place and time for the inspection, sampling and copying.

3. Representative of the Defendants may be present throughout any such inspection, sampling or copying.

4. Plaintiffs' representatives shall be permitted to make copies of any of the electronic files associated with the electronic equipment that is being inspected.

5. Given that one purpose of the inspection is to ascertain the nature of the malfunctions and operations of the electronic voting equipment in an election, Defendants shall make available to Plaintiffs' representatives additional equipment, information or programming necessary to make the electronic equipment operative, such as a/c power, internet connections, voter cards, supervisor cards, passwords, etc.

6. Defendants shall also produce responsive documents or make such documents available for inspection and, if necessary, copying.

III. Discussion

A. O.C.G.A. § 9-11-34

O.C.G.A. § 9-11-34, is titled "Production of documents and things and entry upon land for inspection and other purposes." The rule gives a party the right to inspect, sample and copy any electronic machinery, electronic programming and electronically stored information. *See* Comments to the 1970 Amendment to Rule 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure ("Comments"). *Norfolk S. Ry. Co. v. Hartry,* 316 Ga. App. 532, 534 (2012) (discussing O.C.G.A. § 9-11-34, noting that "the Georgia discovery rules are based on the 1970 amendments to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure"). This broad right of discovery encompasses the right to inspect and investigate the operation of equipment or machines in the other party's possession. *Comments, supra.*

B. Relevance of Requested Discovery

Without doubt, the operation of the electronic voting equipment and related electronic and paper records in Defendants' possession is highly relevant to this case. There is substantial credible evidence that the equipment malfunctioned or was misprogrammed. Though some malicious computer viruses are designed to wreak havoc and then destroy themselves, leaving no trace, evidence of "mere" programming errors, maintenance problems, less sophisticated malicious viruses,

or other causes of these malfunctions may still exist on this equipment and related electronic records. To potentially determine the causes of these malfunctions, Plaintiffs are entitled to inspect a fair sample of the equipment and related electronic records.

In addition, as to the production of documents, Defendant Secretary maintained a "hot-line" for voters to use when experiencing voting difficulty. Documents reflecting these complaints may be relevant to the issues before the Court.

C. Reasonableness of Request

The Election Code contemplates the preservation and inspection of the DRE voting computer information for purposes of an election contest as indicated in Georgia Election Rule 1-183-12-.02(6)(d), which states:

> *The election results, ballot styles, ballot images, and other information for each election* ***stored in the internal memory storage of each DRE unit*** *shall be maintained for a minimum of one month following each election after which time the results may be erased* ***provided that there are no election contests pending concerning such election.***

There is a compelling need for this Rule to be followed and enforced: DRE voting units have no auditable or verifiable paper trail that can be used in an election contest to determine the actual electronic votes as intended by voters; therefore, forensic review after an election may be required to determine the

presence or source of programming errors, machine malfunction, malicious manipulation, or viruses. Such critical electronic information regarding the irregularity may be available ***only*** in the internal memory of the DRE machine. Rule 1-183-12-.02(6)(d) contemplates that the internal memory may need to be accessed in an election contest such as this case and orders the preservation of machines used in the election in controversy.

Such electronic records of the presence and introduction of unauthorized or irregular programs or data are most likely to be located through forensic examination of unaltered internal memory data, not merely selected files of ballot images, ballot programming or tallies. Forensic examination of the machines that voters have identified as having problems should be conducted using those specific machines in the unaltered condition in which they were used in the polling place with their unaltered memory cards. Replicating problems that the voters experienced will be made more difficult and impossible if the DRE machines are re-programmed or subjected to risk of insecure conditions such as polling places.

Given the compelling need for an inspection of the malfunctioning electronic election equipment, the requested relief is reasonable and appropriate. Defendants can make this equipment available for inspection and copying without material impact upon its provisioning of equipment for the ongoing elections. For

the December 4, 2018 run off, the State does not need and is not planning to use all the voting machines used in the November 6, 2018 election. The turn-out for the run-off elections, and the State's resulting need for the equipment, is far less than for the general election. The short ballot, with only two races, reduces voting time, also reducing the number of voting machines required, and early voting locations are significantly fewer for the December runoff. The locations for early voting in the metro area, for example, has been slashed from 31 to 15 in Fulton County, 10 to 3 in DeKalb County, and 8 to 1 in Gwinnett County. In addition, the requested equipment is a small fraction of the State's inventory, which consists of over 27,000 DRE machines and associated gear.

Supporting and related electronic equipment and paper records are also required in order for voting system computer experts and forensic scientists to identify the sources of the problems causing the irregularities.

Respectfully submitted this 29th day of November, 2018.

/s/ Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Georgia Bar No. 064460
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com
Bruce P. Brown Law LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE, Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700

Attorney for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing via the Court's e-filing system to the attorneys of record who have made appearances.

This 29th day of November, 2018.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown

EXHIBIT

A

DRE Machines Required for Inspection

All DRE machines used in the November 6, 2018 election that fit the following descriptions of DRE voting machines:

1. All machines taken out of service, temporarily or permanently.
2. All machines for which voter complaints were received regarding "vote flipping" or "slipping" –where voter's vote was recorded for a different choice than voter indicated on the touch screen.
3. All machines reported as not permitting the voter to review the summary screen and press the final review/cast vote target area before the machine cast the ballot. (There have been numerous reports of summary screen displaying for a short time before automatically casting ballot without voter touching the screen.)
4. All machines reported as not displaying the Lieutenant Governor's race on the initial voting screen.
5. All machines reporting one or more blank ballots.
6. All machines for which the public counter number on the machine tape is different than the total ballots cast on the machine tape.

EXHIBIT

B

COUNTY	PRECINCT(S)	POLLING LOCATION(S)	Specific DREs and associated memory cards	Other equipment and electronic records	Other related records
Bleckley	Early voting	County Courthouse	2 (SN unknown)--EARLY VOTING		
Chatham	2-07c	Christ Community Church at Morningside	0 (SN Unknown)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Clarke	240	8c Firestation 7	3 (SN 158013)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Clarke	10	Winterville Train Depot	2 (SN 121031) 3 (SN 121481)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Clarke	80	Thomas N Lay Park.	3 (SN 135990) 4 (SN 135991)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Clayton	260	Lovejoy High	SN: 123994		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Clayton	21/114	Kemp Elementary	all inclduing 3 (SN 114416)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Cobb	Early voiing	N Cobb Senior Center	4 (SN unknown)--EARLY VOTING	Cobb GEMS programming CD	Final GEMS results report certtified by BoE
Cobb	Early voiing	East Cobb Government Center	SN 122966		
Cobb	2150	Marietta 7A	2 (SN 111475) and 3 (SN 137969)		Copy of DRE machine tapes ; Precinct recap sheet
Cobb	1330	Dickerson 01	all machines including 1 (SN 138013)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Columbia	Early voiing	Ronald Reagan Building	Machine 16 (SN unknown)--EARLY VOTING		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
DeKalb	1260	Oakcliff Elementary School	all	DeKalb GEMS programming CD	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
DeKalb	1070	Midvale Elem	All		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
DeKalb		Decatur First Christian Church	All --Election Day	ExpressPoll units incuding voter list data card; GEMS programming CD,	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
DeKalb	750	Hawthorne Elem	all machines	DeKalb GEMS programming CD	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
DeKalb	880	Lakeside High	all machines		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
DeKalb	1770	Tucker Library	all machines		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Douglas		Mirror Lake Elementary	All --Election Day	ExpressPoll units including voter list data card; GEMS programming CD	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Fannin	40	Hemptown	1 (SN 208952)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Forsyth	27	27 Concord	All including (SN 149722) 12 (SN 146030) 15 (SN 130272)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Fulton	02J, 02K, 06G	Grady High School	All including 2 (SN 124301) and 3 (SN 136081)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Fulton	2710	Esther Jackson Elem	All including 1 (SN 126005) and SN 125547		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Fulton	2560	River Eves Elem	SN: 121736		Final GEMS results report certtified by BoE
Fulton	02D, 05J, 06F	Butler Street Baptist Church.	0 (SN 149323), 1 (SN 144439), 2 (SN 111091), 16 (SN 122853)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Fulton	06B, 06J	Inman Middle School	ALL		
Fulton	SS18A, SS18B, SS31	Sandy Springs Christian Church	3 (SN 124392)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Fulton	SS03	Lake Forest Elementary	SN 144220 and SN 107088		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Fulton	Early voting	Either N. Annex or Central office.	SN 297220--EARLY VOTING	Express Poll units at this location including voter list data card; Fulton GEMS programming CD	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Fulton		New Life Presbyterian Church	3, 4, 7 (SN unknown)	Express Poll units including voter list data card	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Fulton	Early voting	Ponce de Leon library	All machines	Express Poll units including voter list data card	Final GEMS results report certtified by BoE
Fulton	01D, 01E	Georgia Hill	all including 7 (SN 139762) and 2 (SN 117516)	Express Poll units including voter list data card	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Fulton	2570	Elkins Pointe	4 (SN 121631)		
Greene	8	Lakeside Church	3, 4 (SN unknown)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Gwinnett	960	096 Duluth G	1 (SN 273306)	Gwinnett programming CD	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Gwinnett	1500	150 Duluth K Shorty Howell	1 (SN 297780)		
Gwinnett	Early voting	Gwinnett County BoE	All including Last machine in back corner of room"--EARLY VOTING		All precinct recap sheets, copy of all DRE machine tapes
Hall	23	Gainsville 1st Church of Nazarene	2 (SN unknown)		
Morgan	30	Beth/Springfield	1 (SN 143704), 0 (SN 120925)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Morgan	10	Northeast	all including 2 (SN 127715)	Express Poll units including voter list data card; programming CD	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Muscogee	early voting	Citizen Service Center	All	Express Poll units including voter list data card; programming CD	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes

Randolph	All	All locations-election day		Programming CD for GEMS	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Spalding	16	Gary Reid Fire Station	All including SN 133123	Express Poll units including voter list data card; programming CD	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Union	50	Owltown	all including 2 (SN 128303) and 3 (SN 129424)	Express Poll units including voter list data card; programming CD	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Walker	30	Rock Spring	7 (SN 288261) and 8 (SN 221353)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDQW
Date: 11/30/2018 12:41 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV31348**

[PROPOSED] ORDER
GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' EMERGENCY MOTION FOR INSPECTION OF ELECTRONICELECTION EQUIPMENT
AND PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

Before the Court is the Plaintiffs' Emergency Motion for Inspection of Electronic Voting Equipment and Production of Documents. Based upon the Motion, and the other filings of record, the Court finds that the Motion should be GRANTED and orders the following:

1. Plaintiffs may serve each of the Defendants with requests under O.C.G.A. § 9-11-34 for the inspection, sampling and copying of the electronic election equipment and related election records in the particular Defendants' possession identified on the attached Exhibit A and Exhibit B. The Secretary is responsible for making available the DRE equipment which is owned by the state that is currently located in other counties which are not Defendant counties.

2. The inspection, sampling and copy shall proceed during the week of December 3, 2018, at a place and dates to be agreed upon by the parties. If the parties do not reach an agreement within forty-eight hours, Plaintiffs' counsel will notify the Court and the Court will decide on a place and time for the inspection, sampling and copying.

3. Representative of the Defendants may be present throughout any such inspection, sampling or copying.

4. Plaintiffs' representatives shall be permitted to make copies of any of the electronic files associated with the electronic equipment that is being inspected.

5. Given that one purpose of the inspection is to ascertain the nature of the malfunctions and operations of the electronic voting equipment in an election, Defendants shall make available to Plaintiffs' representatives additional equipment, information or programming necessary to make the electronic

equipment operative, such as a/c power, internet connections, voter cards, supervisor cards, passwords, etc.

6. Defendants shall also produce responsive documents identified on Exhibit B or make such documents available for inspection and, if necessary, copying.

IT IS SO ORDERED this ___ day of _____, 2018.

_____
Superior Court Judge,
State of Georgia

Prepared by:
/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Georgia Bar No. 064460
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com
Bruce P. Brown Law LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE
Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700

Attorney for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing via the Court's e-filing system to the attorneys of record who have made appearances.

This 30th day of November, 2018.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown

EXHIBIT

A

DRE Machines Required for Inspection

All DRE machines used in the November 6, 2018 election that fit the following descriptions of DRE voting machines:

1. All machines taken out of service, temporarily or permanently.
2. All machines for which voter complaints were received regarding "vote flipping" or "slipping" –where voter's vote was recorded for a different choice than voter indicated on the touch screen.
3. All machines reported as not permitting the voter to review the summary screen and press the final review/cast vote target area before the machine cast the ballot. (There have been numerous reports of summary screen displaying for a short time before automatically casting ballot without voter touching the screen.)
4. All machines reported as not displaying the Lieutenant Governor's race on the initial voting screen.
5. All machines reporting one or more blank ballots.
6. All machines for which the public counter number on the machine tape is different than the total ballots cast on the machine tape.

EXHIBIT

B

COUNTY	PRECINCT(S)	POLLING LOCATION(S)	Specific DREs and associated memory cards	Other equipment and electronic records	Other related records
Bleckley	Early voting	County Courthouse	2 (SN unknown)--EARLY VOTING		
Chatham	2-07c	Christ Community Church at Morningside	0 (SN Unknown)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Clarke	240	8c Firestation 7	3 (SN 158013)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Clarke	10	Winterville Train Depot	2 (SN 121031) 3 (SN 121481)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Clarke	80	Thomas N Lay Park.	3 (SN 135990) 4 (SN 135991)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Clayton	260	Lovejoy High	SN: 123994		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Clayton	21/114	Kemp Elementary	all inclduing 3 (SN 114416)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Cobb	Early voiing	N Cobb Senior Center	4 (SN unknown)--EARLY VOTING	Cobb GEMS programming CD	Final GEMS results report certtified by BoE
Cobb	Early voiing	East Cobb Government Center	SN 122966		
Cobb	2150	Marietta 7A	2 (SN 111475) and 3 (SN 137969)		Copy of DRE machine tapes ; Precinct recap sheet
Cobb	1330	Dickerson 01	all machines including 1 (SN 138013)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Columbia	Early voiing	Ronald Reagan Building	Machine 16 (SN unknown)--EARLY VOTING		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
DeKalb	1260	Oakcliff Elementary School	all	DeKalb GEMS programming CD	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
DeKalb	1070	Midvale Elem	All		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
DeKalb		Decatur First Christian Church	All --Election Day	ExpressPoll units incuding voter list data card; GEMS programming CD,	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
DeKalb	750	Hawthorne Elem	all machines	DeKalb GEMS programming CD	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
DeKalb	880	Lakeside High	all machines		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
DeKalb	1770	Tucker Library	all machines		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Douglas		Mirror Lake Elementary	All --Election Day	ExpressPoll units including voter list data card; GEMS programming CD	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Fannin	40	Hemptown	1 (SN 208952)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Forsyth	27	27 Concord	All including (SN 149722) 12 (SN 146030) 15 (SN 130272)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Fulton	02J, 02K, 06G	Grady High School	All including 2 (SN 124301) and 3 (SN 136081)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Fulton	2710	Esther Jackson Elem	All including 1 (SN 126005) and SN 125547		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Fulton	2560	River Eves Elem	SN: 121736		Final GEMS results report certtified by BoE
Fulton	02D, 05J, 06F	Butler Street Baptist Church.	0 (SN 149323), 1 (SN 144439), 2 (SN 111091), 16 (SN 122853)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Fulton	06B, 06J	Inman Middle School	ALL		
Fulton	SS18A, SS18B, SS31	Sandy Springs Christian Church	3 (SN 124392)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Fulton	SS03	Lake Forest Elementary	SN 144220 and SN 107088		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Fulton	Early voting	Either N. Annex or Central office.	SN 297220--EARLY VOTING	Express Poll units at this location including voter list data card; Fulton GEMS programming CD	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Fulton		New Life Presbyterian Church	3, 4, 7 (SN unknown)	Express Poll units including voter list data card	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Fulton	Early voting	Ponce de Leon library	All machines	Express Poll units including voter list data card	Final GEMS results report certtified by BoE
Fulton	01D, 01E	Georgia Hill	all including 7 (SN 139762) and 2 (SN 117516)	Express Poll units including voter list data card	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Fulton	2570	Elkins Pointe	4 (SN 121631)		
Greene	8	Lakeside Church	3, 4 (SN unknown)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Gwinnett	960	096 Duluth G	1 (SN 273306)	Gwinnett programming CD	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Gwinnett	1500	150 Duluth K Shorty Howell	1 (SN 297780)		
Gwinnett	Early voting	Gwinnett County BoE	All including Last machine in back corner of room"--EARLY VOTING		All precinct recap sheets, copy of all DRE machine tapes
Hall	23	Gainsville 1st Church of Nazarene	2 (SN unknown)		
Morgan	30	Beth/Springfield	1 (SN 143704), 0 (SN 120925)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Morgan	10	Northeast	all including 2 (SN 127715)	Express Poll units including voter list data card; programming CD	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Muscogee	early voting	Citizen Service Center	All	Express Poll units including voter list data card; programming CD	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes

Randolph	All	All locations-election day		Programming CD for GEMS	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Spalding	16	Gary Reid Fire Station	All including SN 133123	Express Poll units including voter list data card; programming CD	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Union	50	Owltown	all including 2 (SN 128303) and 3 (SN 129424)	Express Poll units including voter list data card; programming CD	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Walker	30	Rock Spring	7 (SN 288261) and 8 (SN 221353)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDMH
Date: 1/7/2019 12:00 AM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, Plaintiffs, v. ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS, GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS, DEKALB COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS and GEOFF DUNCAN, Defendants.	Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

PLAINTIFFS' JOINT CONSOLIDATED RESPONSE
<u>TO PENDING MOTIONS TO DISMISS</u>

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS ii

I. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY 1

II. FACTS 3

A. Georgia's DRE Voting System 3

B. Universally Acknowledged Vulnerability of DRE System 5

C. Georgia Secretary's Neglect Aggravates Vulnerability 6

D. Irregularities in the 2018 Election 8

E. Anomalous Undervote in the Lieutenant Governor's Election 10

III. STANDARDS FOR MOTIONS TO DISMISS 15

A. Motions to Dismiss Are Not Permitted in Election Contests 15

B. Standard of Review 16

IV. ARGUMENTS IN DEFENSE OF THE CONTEST CLAIM 17

A. The Contest Claim Is Sufficiently Plead 17

1. Grounds for a Contest 18

2. Sufficient Grounds Are Alleged to State a Contest Claim 19

B. The Contest Claim Names Proper Defendants 22

1. The Secretary of State Is A Proper Contest Defendant 23

a) The Election Code Contains At Least Three Waivers of Sovereign Immunity by the State in Contests 23

(1) O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2)(C) 23

(2) O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2)(D) 26

(3) This Court Has "Plenary Power" Over Any Person, Including the Secretary, in a State-wide Contest..... 28

b) The Election Code Requires the Secretary to Be Served in Election Contests. 29

c) The Secretary Has Historically Not Asserted Sovereign Immunity in State-wide Election Contests 29

2. All of Georgia's 159 Counties Are Not Necessary Parties..... 30

3. Amico's Ten Notable Counties Are Not Necessary Parties 33

4. Fulton County Is A Proper Contest Defendant..... 34

C. Service of Process 34

D. Coalition for Good Governance Is A Permitted Plaintiff in Federal Constitutional Claims, Not Election Contest 35

V. ARGUMENTS IN DEFENSE OF THE § 1983 CLAIMS 36

A. The Eleventh Amendment Does Not Bar the § 1983 Claims 36

B. The Complaint States Valid Claims Under § 1983 38

1. Required Elements and Corresponding Allegations..... 38

a) Fundamental Right to Vote 38

b) Equal Protection 39

2. Objections to the Due-Process Claim Are Meritless 40

3. Objections to the Equal-Protection Claim Are Meritless 43

C. Gwinnett County Is A Proper Defendant to the § 1983 Claims..... 44

VI. CONCLUSION 45

Plaintiffs Coalition for Good Governance ("Coalition"), Rhonda J. Martin, Smythe Duval, and Jeanne Dufort ("Plaintiffs") state the following as their joint consolidated response to all pending motions to dismiss (and joinders) filed by Defendants Robyn A. Crittenden (the "Secretary"), Fulton County Board of Registration and Elections ("Fulton County"), Gwinnett County Board of Registration and Elections ("Gwinnett County"), and Geoff Duncan ("Duncan") (together, "Defendants"[1]).

I. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

The conduct of the November 6, 2018 general election for Lieutenant Governor of the State of Georgia ("the Contested Election") was so defective and marred by material irregularities "as to place in doubt the result" of the election under Georgia law. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-527(d). (Petition, ¶ 1). As detailed in the Petition, going into the elections, the entire national intelligence and computer science communities were warning the State of Georgia that its Direct Electronic Recording ("DRE") voting system was profoundly vulnerable to malicious attack, programming glitches, and irregularities. As the experts predicted, Georgia's November 2018 General Election was marred by multiple unexplained and widespread failures of the DRE voting system: incorrect, and therefore illegal, electronic ballots being displayed to voters; inaccurate tabulations; touch screens

[1] The DeKalb County Board of Registration and Elections was initially a Defendant, but DeKalb County was dropped as a party defendant on December 27, 2018, by Order of this Court granted upon motion of the Plaintiffs.

malfunctioning causing incorrect votes to be cast and recorded; precincts with more votes counted than there were voters; unauthorized and improper changes to eligible voters' registration records; failures of the smart cards; and "glitches" in the electronic pollbooks creating long lines and disenfranchising voters.

The malfunctioning of the DRE machines had a direct and substantial impact on the race for Lieutenant Governor, the second-most important state office and second race on the November 6 ballot. The election for Lieutenant Governor received far fewer votes than all other state-wide races, including even races that were further "down ballot," such as Attorney General, and much less prominent races of Commissioner of Agriculture, Commissioner of Labor, and Public Service Commission. This unprecedented pattern of election results lacks any reasonably plausible innocent explanation, and alone would cast doubt on the elections results. Even more alarming, this aberrant pattern appears only in the reported results of votes cast on the DRE machines: votes on paper ballots conformed to the expected pattern, with the election for Lieutenant Governor receiving slightly fewer paper-ballot votes than Governor, and slightly more paper-ballot votes than Secretary of State.

The only reasonable explanation for such an anomalous vote discrepancy in the DRE votes cast in the Contested Election is that a voting system malfunction – whether the result of maliciousness or programming errors - caused an outcome-changing number of votes in the Lieutenant Governor's race to not be recorded,

placing in doubt the result of the Contested Election under O.C.G.A. §§ 21-2-521(1) and 21-2-521(3), and requiring a new election.

Based on the allegations in the Petition, which must be accepted as true, Defendants have failed to carry their heavy burden of showing that Plaintiffs "would not be entitled to relief under any state of provable facts asserted" in the complaint or that Plaintiffs "could not possibly introduce evidence within the framework of the complaint sufficient to warrant a grant of the relief sought." *Scouten v. Amerisave Mortg. Corp.,* 283 Ga. 72, 73 (2008) (citations omitted). Also as explained below, Defendants' other technical and procedural arguments for dismissal are also without merit: the Georgia Legislature has waived the Secretary's sovereign immunity by defining her as the "election superintendent" in a state-wide election contest; the Fulton and Gwinnett Boards of Registration and Election are also obviously proper parties; all the Defendants have been properly and timely served; and the arguments with respect to Plaintiffs' federal claims are meritless.

II. FACTS

A. Georgia's DRE Voting System

The voting system used in Georgia during the Contested Election consists of the Diebold Global Election Systems ("Diebold") AccuVote DRE touchscreen voting units ("DREs"), the Diebold optical scanners for tabulating paper ballots, and the Diebold General Election Management Software ("GEMS") for tabulation

and reporting of data generated by DRE and Diebold optical scanners, as well as the electronic pollbook components and electronic accessories that interface with the DRE vote recording system. (Petition, ¶ 21).

DREs are configured for an election by inserting a memory card into a slot on the side of the machine. Before the election, the file system on the memory card stores the election definition, sound files, interpreted code that is used to print reports, and other configuration information. When operating properly, DREs use software to translate the voter's physical act of touching a particular place on the touchscreen into a vote for the corresponding candidate or issue, which vote is then recorded on both the DRE's removable memory card and internal flash memory. Both records of the votes are unreadable to humans. Crucially, Georgia's DREs do not create or retain any verifiable or auditable non-electronic record of the voter's selections. Hence, programming errors or malicious manipulation of the software are likely to be undetected. (Petition, ¶ 22).

Each DRE internally contains much of the same hardware that might typically be found in a very low-end general-purpose personal desktop computer in use in the early 2000s. Georgia's DREs run a Diebold-modified version of Microsoft's Windows CE operating system, the most recent version run in Georgia is Windows CE 4.1—which Microsoft stopped supporting in early January 2013. As a consequence, Microsoft is no longer issuing updates or security patches for that software. As the operating system is over twenty years old, it lags behind the

two decades of computer security research and is extremely vulnerable to a wide variety of attacks that Diebold's software, regardless of version, cannot defend against. (Petition, ¶ 23).

B. Universally Acknowledged Vulnerability of DRE System

The unreliability and vulnerability of electronic voting systems like the one used by the State of Georgia has attracted widespread and uniform alarm at all levels of government. On July 26, 2018, House Intelligence Committee Chairman Devin Nunes joined many federal officials and agencies concerned with national security to call for a complete ban on electronic voting. In May, the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence concluded that paperless DREs "are at highest risk of security flaws," and stated that "[s]tates should rapidly replace outdated and vulnerable voting systems" with machines that "[a]t a minimum . . . have a voter-verified paper trail." Similarly, in March, DHS Secretary Nielsen labeled electronic voting systems "a national security concern." (Petition, ¶ 24).

In January 2018, the Congressional Task Force on Election Security issued a Final Report concluding that the DRE machines used in Georgia "have been shown over and again to be highly vulnerable to attach" and that "it is near impossible to detect whether results have been tampered with." (Petition, ¶ 25).[2]

---

[2] These recent alarms from the federal government amplify years of warnings from computer scientists, who have uniformly concluded that paperless balloting is unreliable, unquestionably insecure, and unverifiable. California's 2007 "Top-to-Bottom Review" ("TTBR") found that DREs were "inadequate to ensure accuracy and integrity of the election results…"; that the system contained "serious design flaws that have led directly to specific vulnerabilities, which attackers could exploit to affect election outcomes…"; and that "attacks could be carried out in a manner that is not subject to detection by audit,

On September 6, 2018, the National Academy of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine and the associated National Research Council issued a consensus report[3] entitled "Securing the Vote: Protecting American Democracy." The National Academy of Sciences recommended that DREs of the kind used in Georgia's elections *not* be used in the 2018 federal election because of their inherent unreliability and vulnerability. (Petition, ¶ 27).

C. Georgia Secretary's Neglect Aggravates Vulnerability

The already unacceptable extreme vulnerability of Georgia's system was greatly increased by the Secretary's failure to secure the State's central election server before and after the 2016 elections. Between at least August 2016 and March 2017, and likely for a much longer time, this server (at the time being maintained by Kennesaw State University at the Center for Election Studies) was fully accessible to any computer user with Internet access, causing all 50,000 plus components in the DRE voting system state-wide to be vulnerable to malicious attack. (Petition, ¶ 30).

---

including review of software logs." Citing these vulnerabilities of the Diebold's AccuVote DREs, California Secretary of State Debra Bowen decertified California's voting system. Ohio's 2007 "Evaluation and Validation of Election-Related Equipment, Standards and Testing ("EVEREST") concluded that Ohio's AccuVote "system lacks the technical protections necessary to guarantee a trustworthy election under operational conditions." Any number of published studies are in accord. *See* Petition, ¶ 26 and *id.,* footnotes 4 – 9.

[3] A consensus report of the National Academy of Sciences represents the highest authority that the U.S. Government can rely upon when it seeks to be advised on matters of science, technology and engineering.

Despite knowing that the State's entire electronic election infrastructure had been exposed for months on the internet, the Secretary has never conducted a forensic examination to determine whether the server had been altered or manipulated. As a consequence, the compromised software, passwords, and encryption keys were used on the equipment employed in the Contested Election. (Petition, ¶ 32).

Two months prior to the November 6, 2018 election, the District Court in *Curling v. Kemp,* N.D. Ga., No. 17-cv-02989, made the following findings in the Court's September 17, 2018 Order denying injunctive relief relating to the 2018 Elections: "Plaintiffs have so far shown that the DRE system, as implemented, poses a concrete risk of alteration of ballot counts that would impact their own votes. Their evidence relates directly to the manner in which Defendants' alleged mode of implementation of the DRE voting system deprives them or puts them at imminent risk of deprivation of their fundamental right to cast an effective vote (i.e., a vote that is accurately counted)." Order at 39.

Ignoring the recommendations and warnings of officials at the highest levels of the Federal Government and the entire computer science community, Defendants Election Officials deployed the DRE election system in the 2018 General Election with predictable results, as explained below. The Defendant Election Officials took this reckless action even though they had and have the

statutory authority and means to conduct verifiable and safe paper-ballot elections. (Petition, ¶ 35).

D. Irregularities in the 2018 Election

As could have been predicted after the warnings by the entire national intelligence, election integrity, and computer science communities, Georgia voters in the 2018 General Election experienced massive and varied election irregularities, any of which alone, but especially all in combination, places the results in serious doubt. Voters reported thousands of problems during the November 6, 2018 election, including DRE malfunctions, voting problems, improper disenfranchisement of eligible voters, electronic pollbook malfunctions, improper absentee ballot application and absentee ballot rejections, tabulation errors, and voter registration database errors resulting in incorrect polling place assignments or total disenfranchisement of eligible voters.

For example:

a. A voter attempted to vote for Amico at the Henry County Voting Registration office in McDonough. When she saw her summary screen on the touchscreen machine before casting her ballot, despite her original choice for Amico, no candidate choice was listed for Lieutenant Governor, and her "YES/NO" vote on one of the ballot questions had been changed, reflecting the opposite of her choice. Upon expressing her concern to a poll worker, the poll worker dismissed her concern.

b. On November 6, at Allen Temple AME church polling place in Fulton County a senior voter asked the manager for assistance voting. However, at the end screen when the voter and manager were verifying the vote review page, they saw that the lieutenant governor and one other race were unvoted on the summary screen.

The manager asked the voter if she had intended to vote for those and had mistakenly skipped them. The voter said that she wanted to vote for lieutenant governor. The voter touched on the screen where it said lieutenant governor so that she could vote on that race. The machine did not respond properly, but instead jumped and displayed the message that her ballot had been cast. The manager witnessed that the voter did *not* press cast ballot, which was far away from where the voter touched. The manager reportedly took the machine offline right away. The polling place manager noted that the voter did not get to vote for lieutenant governor.

c. Allgood Elementary School in DeKalb County is in the 4th U.S. Congressional District. A voter on Election Day at the Allgood Elementary School in DeKalb County reported to a pollwatcher that her screen ballot featured Karen Handel as the Democratic candidate; there was no Republican candidate. Handel, of course, was the Republican candidate in the race for the 6th U.S. Congressional District.

d. At a polling place in Lithonia, a voter checked in and was given a yellow card to use in the machine. On page one of the electronic ballot, only the governor's race appeared on the ballot; the Lieutenant Governor's race was not displayed. Amico's name appeared for the first and only time when the summary page was viewed.

e. At the Red Rock Community Center in Worthy County, a voter reported being given one voter access card to vote for the governor etc., and an entirely separate card to vote for solely for "the commissioner." The second ballot/card (that reportedly had only "the commissioner") did not list the candidates' parties - simply the candidate's names. The voter is certain that the second card she was given and associated ballot had only one race, a "commissioner." Additionally, the machine flipped her vote for Sarah Riggs Amico to the Republican candidate for Lieutenant Governor multiple times, forcing her to click several times to make the cursor stay on Amico's name.

f. At Grady High School polling place in Atlanta, Election Night DRE machine results tapes show anomalies that suggest DRE programming problems. Although the polling place and associated precincts are squarely within Congressional District 5, the tapes showed available choices as having included Congressional District 6, 11 and 13. The Secretary's official results website shows these three available Congressional District choices in the Grady High School polling place although no votes for those choices were reported. Additionally, two machine results tapes show a total of 123 less votes cast than the public counter of ballots cast reported for the machine.

g. There were hundreds of reports of DRE machines "flipping" votes from one candidate to the opposite candidate. Voters reported being instructed to "fix" the flip in many different ways, and sometimes required trial and error and multiple attempts to press various parts of the screen. Voters presumably assumed that machines are properly recording their final vote, but there is no way to confirm that the vote is recorded as intended and as displayed on the screen.

h. In Midvale Elementary precinct in DeKalb County, candidates for the Lt. Governor's rage received a collective 796 votes according to the Secretary's official results; the precincts poll tapes, however, shows them only receiving a collective 698 votes.

(Petition, ¶¶ 40-48).

E. Anomalous Undervote in the Lieutenant Governor's Election

The malfunctioning of the DRE voting system caused the anomalous and illegal rejection of a large number of legitimate votes validly cast in the Lieutenant Governor's race – the Contested Election.

The Lieutenant Governor is the state's second highest ranking official, and the officeholder occupies the particularly coveted position of President of the State Senate. As such, the Lieutenant Governor's is a hotly contested race that is of keen

interest to most voters. (Petition, ¶ 50). In the 2018 General Election, however, the reported totals show that voters purportedly "skipped" the election for Lieutenant Governor more than any other state-wide election, causing the DRE to record an "under-vote," which means no vote was cast on that race—despite other votes being cast by the same voter for other races on the same ballot. (Petition, ¶ 51).

According to the Secretary's reports, 99.73% of voters in the 2018 state-wide election voted for Governor, but only 95.71% of voters recorded votes for Lieutenant Governor candidates. This percentage differential translates into more than 159,000 Georgia voters who supposedly made no selection for Lieutenant Governor when they voted. Every lower "down ballot" race also received significantly higher percentages, as shown by the following chart:



(Petition, ¶ 52).

The conclusion that a malfunctioning or malicious manipulation of the DRE voting system is responsible for the illegal rejection of votes for the Lieutenant Governor's race is unavoidable and is further reinforced by comparing the reported results of votes on DRE touchscreen machines to votes on paper ballots (i.e., mailed ballots and provisional ballots). The reported votes on paper ballots *do not show* any pattern of aberrant "under-voting" on the race for Lieutenant Governor that corresponds to the pattern of under-voting reflected in the DRE totals; instead, almost the same number of voters who voted on paper for Governor voted on paper

for Lieutenant Governor. This consistency is exactly what would be expected—and what would be normal—in a high-profile race for the state's second highest ranking officer. (Petition, ¶ 53).

The following table shows the participation[4] rate, as reported by the Secretary of State, for the ten state-wide races, on paper ballots only:



[4]Plaintiffs note that because of limitations of the data set that it is using from the Secretary of State's web site, this table shows percentage of vote as a percentage of the electors who did vote for Governor (therefore, the percentage for Governor is 100%); while the chart on page 12 shows a percentage of electors who voted. Since 99.73% of the electors who voted, voted for Governor, the difference is very minor.

Other than machine malfunction or other election irregularity, there is no plausible explanation for there being such a huge difference in voter participation in the Lt. Governor's race based on the mode of casting votes. The hundreds of thousands of voters who voted on paper came from the same geographic, demographic and political universe as the voters who voted by DRE machine. The only difference between the two groups is that one of them voted on DRE machines, and the other voted on paper. (Petition, ¶ 53).

Curiously, the drop off in votes for Lieutenant Governor on the malfunctioning DRE machines appears to have had a much greater negative impact upon Democratic Candidate Sara R. Amico than upon Defendant Duncan. On the DRE machines, Amico received only 94.8% of Democratic gubernatorial candidate Stacey Abrams' total, while Defendant Duncan received 98.5% of Republican gubernatorial candidate Brian Kemp's total. This shows a causal connection between the DRE use and lower *reported* participation rate among Democratic voters for Lieutenant Governor. (Petition, ¶ 54).

The anomalous voting pattern and totals described above, together with the known compromise of the vulnerable DRE system used in the election described in the Petition, are sufficient to make the election so defective as to the race for Lieutenant Governor as to place in doubt the result of the Contested Election. (Petition, ¶ 57). The Petition, which alleges all of these facts, clearly states a valid claim for relief under Georgia's election-contest statute, as well as federal claims

for prospective relief to prevent these harms from recurring. The motions to dismiss should be denied.

III. STANDARDS FOR MOTIONS TO DISMISS

The motions to dismiss are directed at two different kinds of claims—an election contest brought under O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520 to -529 (Count I); and two federal civil rights claims brought under 42 U.S.C. § 19083 (Counts II and III). Motions to dismiss for failure to state a claim are only permissibly directed at the latter.

A. Motions to Dismiss Are Not Permitted in Election Contests

To the extent the motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim are directed at the election contest claim, they are not permissible pleadings and should be rejected at the outset. "[A]n election contest is 'a special statutory proceeding[.]'" *Blackburn v. Hall*, 115 Ga. App. 235, 237 (1967). In special statutory proceedings, the "specific rules of practice and procedure" that are set out by the applicable statute control over conflicting provisions in the Civil Practice Act. O.C.G.A. § 9-11-81.

The Georgia Election Code provides for specific procedures to be followed once a contest petition is filed. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-524(f) states that "the defendant shall appear and answer such petition and may set up by way of answer or cross action any right of interest he or she may have or claim in such proceeding." O.C.G.A. § 21-2-524(g) goes on to state that "[a]fter filing, any petition, cross

action, or answer may be amended with leave of the court." The Election Code does not contemplate or authorize motions to dismiss for failure to state a claim as a response to a contest petition. The Code requires an answer and provides for no other pleadings because election contests would otherwise become unnecessarily bogged down with procedural motions and would be delayed in getting to the merits. If defenses to a contest claim exist, they must be presented on the day of the hearing, not through a mélange of pre-hearing motions to dismiss and cross-joinders.

B. Standard of Review

To the extent that this Court does decide to consider the arguments of the motions to dismiss for failure to state a claim (which it should only do with respect to the arguments directed at the federal claims), the standard for granting dismissal is high.

A motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim should *only* be granted where:

> (1) the allegations of the complaint disclose with certainty that the claimant would not be entitled to relief under any state of provable facts asserted in support thereof; and (2) the movant establishes that the claimant could not possibly introduce evidence within the framework of the complaint sufficient to warrant a grant of the relief sought.

Scouten v. Amerisave Mortg. Corp., 283 Ga. 72, 73 (2008) (quoting *Anderson v. Flake,* 267 Ga. 498, 501 (1997)).

Under this standard, all pleadings are to be construed most favorably to the nonmovant. *Scouten,* 283 Ga. at 73. "The main consideration of [a] motion to dismiss is whether, under the assumed set of facts, a right to some form of legal relief would exist." *Northway v. Allen,* 291 Ga. 227, 229 (2012) (quoting *Charles H. Wesley Educ. Found. v. State Election Bd.,* 282 Ga. 707, 714 (2007)). When the foregoing standard is applied, the motions to dismiss must be rejected as to all claims in the Petition.

IV. ARGUMENTS IN DEFENSE OF THE CONTEST CLAIM

The Defendants challenge the contest claim (Count I) on three main grounds. First, they say that the election-contest claim lacks allegations necessary to state a claim under the Election Code. Second, they insist that certain named parties—the Secretary and Fulton County—are not proper contest defendants and that a number of unnamed Georgia counties are necessary parties.[5] These argument arguments are without merit and should be rejected.

A. The Contest Claim Is Sufficiently Plead

The first main argument made against the contest claim is that Count I fails to state claim for relief. Gwinnett County, joined by the Secretary and Duncan, argues that Count I is deficient because Plaintiffs "have not alleged irregularities totaling anywhere close to the margin of victory…." (Gwinnett MTD, Pt. III;

[5] Defendants also contend that Plaintiff Coalition is not a proper party to Count I, the election contest claim. As explained in Part IV(D), below, this is correct; Plaintiff Coalition is, however, a proper plaintiff in the federal constitutional counts.

Crittenden Joinder; Duncan MTD & Joinder, at 2, ¶¶ 4(c), 6.) Fulton County and the Secretary makes the added assertion that a third-party hearsay statement in Exhibit B to the Petition concedes the point. (Fulton MTD, at 3; Crittenden MTD, at 9–13, § III.)

These arguments must be rejected because Count I alleges facts sufficient to demonstrate all the elements required for a statutory election-contest claim. Nothing in the attachments to the Petition undermines these allegations, and the Petition makes no use of any legal theories that are not already well-established to be valid grounds for contesting a Georgia election.

1. Grounds for a Contest

The grounds for a statutory election contest are enumerated at O.C.G.A. § 21-2-522. These grounds include:

> (1) Misconduct, fraud, or irregularity by any primary or election official or officials sufficient to change or place in doubt the result;
>
> (2) When the defendant is ineligible for the nomination or office in dispute;
>
> (3) When illegal votes have been received or legal votes rejected at the polls sufficient to change or place in doubt the result;
>
> (4) For any error in counting the votes or declaring the result of the primary or election, if such error would change the result; or
>
> (5) For any other cause which shows that another was the person legally nominated, elected, or eligible to compete in a run-off primary or election.

O.C.G.A. § 21-2-522.

2. Sufficient Grounds Are Alleged to State a Contest Claim

Defendants' motion on this basis should be rejected because they address the merits of this dispute – which will be the subject of hearing scheduled for January 17 – rather than addressing the sufficiency of Plaintiffs' allegations. The Petition alleges numerous facts that, when construed most favorably to Plaintiffs, plainly establish the existence of several of the statutory grounds for contesting the results of the Lieutenant Governor's race—namely the grounds set out in O.C.G.A. § 21-2-522(1), (*see, e.g.*, Petition, 32, ¶ 59 (alleging misconduct and irregularity)); § 21-2-522(3), (*see, e.g.*, Petition, 32, ¶ 61 (alleging legal votes rejected and illegal votes received); and 22–24, ¶¶ 40–43 (alleging defective and malfunctioning illegal electronic ballots)); § 21-2-522(4), (*see, e.g.*, Petition, 32–33, ¶ 62 (alleging errors in counting)); and § 21-2-522(5), (*see, e.g.*, Petition, 31, ¶ 57 (alleging defects so severe as to place in doubt the result of the Lieutenant Governor's race)).

These allegations easily are sufficient to withstand a motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim, even if such motions were available under the special election statute. The Secretary argues repeatedly that the Petition is asking the Court "to *assume*" that the results of the election should be placed in doubt. (Crittenden MTD, at 9, 12). The Petition does no such thing. Instead, like any other well-plead complaint, the Petition alleges facts which, if proven, establish

that the election "is so defective" "as to place in doubt" the result." O.C.G.A. § 21-2-527(d). If the Court determines that these allegations are supported by the evidence, O.C.G.A. § 21-2-527(d) requires the Court to call for a second election. If, on the other hand, Plaintiffs fail to prove these allegations, the Petition will fail on the merits. This is not, however, the occasion for the Court to weigh the evidence.

Significantly, the cases cited by Defendants followed evidentiary hearings in which the contestants were allowed to present evidence to prove their case. *Mead v. Sheffield,* 278 Ga. 268, 276 (2004); *Middleton v. Smith,* 273 Ga. 202 (2000). In this case, therefore, Plaintiffs should be given the opportunity to prove their case in an evidentiary hearing. In addition, Plaintiffs should be given the opportunity to conduct discovery, as explained in further detail in Plaintiffs' pending Emergency Motion for Inspection of Electronic Election Equipment and Production of Documents, filed on November 29, 2018.

Defendants also contend that the Petition must be dismissed because there is no proof that, absent the alleged irregularities, the result would have been different. There are at least three fatal flaws with this argument. First, this argument is contrary to the allegations, which must for present purposes be accepted as true.[6] Second, this argument misreads the statute, which is carefully drafted to provide

[6] According to the Secretary of State's records, Duncan's certified vote total is only 123,172 greater than Amico's total, but the drop-off in votes from the Governor's race to the Lieutenant Governor's race was more than 159,000 votes.

for relief in two different situations: one in which the irregularity would be sufficient to "change" the result, the other where the irregularity would be sufficient to "place in doubt the result." Third, consistent with the statutory command that a new election be ordered when the irregularity is sufficient to "place in doubt the result," Georgia case law holds that, when it is not possible to determine how electors would have voted, absent the alleged misconduct or irregularities, the presumption of regularity does not defeat an election contest. *Stiles v. Earnest*, 252 Ga. 260, 261 (1984) (even without any proof of impact of irregularities upon vote tally, new referendum ordered: "[U]pon review of the record, we conclude that the illegality attendant upon the referendum is such as is 'sufficient to change or place in doubt the result' thereof, OCGA § 21–2–522, (Code Ann. § 34–1703) and another referendum must be held.") *See also Mead v. Sheffield,* 278 Ga. 268 (2004) (reversing trial court's rejection of election challenge and ordering new election: "The fallacy in the trial court's analysis is demonstrated by the impossibility of determining how the 481 electors would have voted had they been supplied with proper ballots.").

Finally, the Gwinnett Board states: "Plaintiffs must show to a mathematical certainty that the outcome would be place in doubt. *Mead v. Sheffield,* 278 Ga. 268, 271 (2004)." *Mead* does not remotely so hold. Moreover, the Gwinnett Board does not explain how a non-quantifiable quality such as "doubt" can be reduced to "mathematical certainty." If the Gwinnett Board means that the

plaintiff in an election contest must prove what the tally would have been absent the irregularity, such a suggestion is directly contrary to the holdings in *Mead* and *Stiles,* cases in which the Georgia Supreme Court ordered new elections notwithstanding a total absence of proof, much less "mathematical certainty," as to what the tally would have been, absent the irregularities involved.[7]

B. The Contest Claim Names Proper Defendants

The second main argument made against the contest claim is that Count I improperly names some defendants (such as the Secretary and Fulton County), while improperly failing to name others (such as Georgia's other 156 counties or at least the 10 counties mentioned in an attachment to the Petition.) These arguments should be rejected because Count I properly names the Secretary and Fulton County and because no other counties need to be named as defendants.

---

[7]Defendants contend that the Petition does not state a claim for relief because, in an attachment to the Petition, candidate for Lt. Governor Sarah R. Amico "has even admitted that even if all the irregularities alleged were true, they would not change the results of her election." (Gwinnett MTD at 5-6; *see also* Crittenden MTD at 13). There are many mistakes in this argument. First, Amico is not a plaintiff and her statements are therefore not "admissions" binding upon plaintiffs, who as voters have their own independent right to seek relief from an election marred by incorrect results. Second, Amico was not referring to "all the irregularities alleged"; indeed, her letter did not even reference the Petition (and could not have done so, as it was written eleven days before the Petition was filed). Third, Amico did not state that the irregularities "would not change the results of her election." Instead, Amico, respectfully urging the Secretary to conduct an investigation, stated as follows:

> While the number of residual votes in the Lieutenant Governor's race is unlikely to affect the outcome of my race, more needs to be understood about the functioning of the DRE machines used on Election Day in 2018 to assure the voters of Georgia that their votes were not only duly cast, but fully counted.

Petition, Exhibit B, page 3.

1. The Secretary of State Is A Proper Contest Defendant

The Secretary argues that she is not a proper party to an election contest—supposedly because the State of Georgia has not waived sovereign immunity in election contests. (Crittenden MTD, at 2–8, § I.) This argument is wrong for several reasons.

a) The Election Code Contains At Least Three Waivers of Sovereign Immunity by the State in Contests

Contrary to the Secretary's argument, O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2) contains two separate waivers of sovereign immunity that make the Secretary of State a proper defendant in an election contest. In addition, O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(b) grants this Court plenary power over any election officer, which necessarily makes the Secretary amenable to this Court's jurisdiction and amounts to yet a third waiver of sovereign immunity.

(1) O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2)(C)

The Election Code provides a waiver of sovereign immunity that permits the Secretary's office to be a party to an election contest by defining "Defendant" as follows:

> "Defendant" means: .... (C) The election superintendent or superintendents who conducted the contested primary or election....

O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2)(C).

The definition of "superintendent" at O.C.G.A. § 21-2-2(35) gives a meaning that does not include the Secretary. The definition of "election

superintendent" at O.C.G.A. § 21-4-3(3)(A), involving recall elections, however, states that, "'Election superintendent' means: (A) In the case of any elected state officers, the Secretary of State. …" *Id.* The question becomes: in a state-wide race, who is the "election superintendent"—is it each of the 159 county "superintendents," as the Secretary contends, or is the term "election superintendent" meant to include the Secretary herself?

Statutory construction tells us that the latter answer must be correct. An "election superintendent" must be construed to be something more than a "superintendent" because Georgia courts "avoid a construction that makes some language mere surplusage." *Slakman v. Cont'l Cas. Co.*, 277 Ga. 189, 191 (2003). The meaning of the term "election superintendent" has an extra word and thus must mean something different than does the defined term "superintendent," used by itself. The term "election superintendent" expressly includes the Secretary. O.C.G.A. § 21-4-3(3)(A).

The definition of "election superintendent" at O.C.G.A. § 21-4-3 is included in a list of definitions that defines terms "as used in [Chapter 4]," whereas the definition of "superintendent" is defined in Chapter 2. However, there is no reason to conclude that the phrase "election superintendent," when used in Chapter 2 (where it is not separately defined), should have a different meaning than it does in Chapter 4 (where it is defined). The Secretary herself cites to statutory provisions outside Chapter 2 in support of her arguments, stating correctly that "statutes

relating to the same subject matter, must be construed together." As the Secretary correctly notes: "courts must 'presume that the General Assembly meant what it said and said what it meant.' *Deal v. Coleman,* 294 Ga. 170, 172 (2013)." (Secretary's Brief at 5). The problem with the Secretary's argument is that she ignores Chapter 4's dispositive definition of "election superintendent" in state-wide races while presuming that "superintendent" means the same thing as "election superintendent." Because an "election superintendent" is a proper defendant under O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2)(C), the proper meaning of that term is the meaning given in the only place where it is actually defined—in O.C.G.A. § 21-4-3(3)(A).

Since this case involves a contest over "elected state officers," namely the Lieutenant Governor, the Secretary is a proper defendant in this state-wide election contest because she is the "election superintendent . . . who conducted the election in question." O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2)(C). The General Assembly chose language for the definitional section of the contest statute that expressly waives the Secretary's sovereign immunity. That section uses the term "election superintendent" and imports its meaning from O.C.G.A. § 21-4-3(3)(A). The Secretary is a proper defendant in a state-wide election contest.

This plain reading of the statute simply confirms what common sense demands: of course the Secretary is the proper defendant in a state-wide election, for no other officer or entity is responsible for the conduct of a state-wide election

and certifies the results. The Secretary's suggestion that all 159 counties must be joined reveals the absurdity of her position.

(2) O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2)(D)

The Election Code provides a second waiver of sovereign immunity that permits the Secretary's office to be a party to an election contest by defining "Defendant" as follows:

> "Defendant" means: .... (D) The public officer who formally declared the number of votes for and against any question submitted to electors at an election.

O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2)(D).

O.C.G.A. § 21-2-2(31) defines a "question" as any "a brief statement of such constitutional amendment, charter amendment, or *other proposition as shall be submitted to a popular vote at any election*." (emphasis added). An election between candidates qualifies as an "other proposition submitted to a popular vote at *any election*." (emphasis added). The General Assembly deliberately left this phase broad to allow all elections in which the Secretary certifies the result to fall within its purview. Any other interpretation would allow Georgia's Chief Elections Officer to escape election-contest cases for which she tabulated and certified the results, even though the Secretary's fulfilment of her duties is necessary to afford complete relief.

In this case, the Secretary was the public officer who formally declared the number of votes for and against the respective candidates in the Lieutenant

Governor's election. Thus, O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2)(D) dictates that she is a proper and necessary party to this action, which is why the General Assembly waived sovereign immunity in election contests such as this one.

It makes no sense for the Secretary of State to suggest that she is not a proper party to a state-wide election contest. Should a new election be ordered, it would be the Secretary's office that would prepare the ballots, the election forms and the materials; it would be the Secretary's office that would prepare the tabulation programs for each county; it would be the Secretary's office that would maintain the voter registration lists; it would be the Secretary's office that would enforce the state election rules, and it would be the Secretary's office that would tabulate and certify the results of the new election. Indeed, in any multiple-county election, such as this state-wide race, it is the Secretary's office that counts, tabulates, and certifies the election. If the Secretary's views of her own immunity from suit in a contest were correct, then candidates would have no avenue to remedy an administrative electoral mistake or tabulation error made by the Secretary herself or by her own office, which is delegated the most critical functions of an election, for no other election officer would be at fault. It is inconceivable that the General Assembly intended the people of Georgia to have no remedy in case of such an occurrence. The Secretary is clearly a necessary party should a new election be required to take place, and she is thus also a proper party to this action.

(3) This Court Has "Plenary Power" Over Any Person, Including the Secretary, in a State-wide Contest

Yet a third waiver of sovereign immunity lies in the contest statute's provision giving this Court "plenary power, throughout the area in which the contested primary or election was conducted, to make, issue, and enforce all necessary orders, rules, processes, and decrees for a full and proper understanding and final determination and enforcement of the decision of every such case." O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(b). This plenary power expressly includes "authority to subpoena and compel the attendance of any officer of the primary or election complained of and of any person capable of testifying concerning the same." *Id.*

The phrase "any officer of the primary or election complained of" plainly encompasses the Secretary of State, who certifies all state-wide election results and is therefore an officer of state-wide elections under any reasonable interpretation. The sweeping language of O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(b) giving this Court plenary power over the Secretary of State "throughout the area in which the contested primary or election was conducted" cannot be construed as anything other than a waiver of sovereign immunity by the State. Under this statutory waiver of immunity, this Court is expressly empowered "to make, issue, and enforce all necessary orders, rules, processes, and decrees for a full and proper understanding and final determination and enforcement of the decision of every such case." O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(b). In the face of this language, the Secretary cannot

plausibly claim to be immune from involvement in election contests for state-wide offices.

b) The Election Code Requires the Secretary to Be Served in Election Contests.

The foregoing three waivers of sovereign immunity are further buttressed by O.C.G.A. § 21-2-524(b)'s provision for the State Election Board to be served—via its chairperson, who is the Secretary of State—with any petition filed under the statute, using the same service methods required for named parties. Although this provision is not itself an express waiver of sovereign immunity, it plainly amounts to a recognition of the State Election Board's (and the Secretary's) interest in all election contest proceedings and supports a construction of the remainder of the statute as containing express waivers by the State of its sovereign immunity.

c) The Secretary Has Historically Not Asserted Sovereign Immunity in State-wide Election Contests

That the Legislature has waived the Secretary's sovereign immunity for state-wide election contests is further confirmed by a review of *Mead v. Sheffield* 278 Ga. 268 (2004), the only reported state-wide election contest case. The case reached the Supreme Court, and the trial court papers are attached hereto as Exhibit A. Howard Mead, a candidate for the Court of Appeals, filed his election contest against Secretary of State Cathy Cox and other named defendants in the Superior Court of Cobb County. (Exhibit A, "Bates" page 5). The Secretary, as a defendant, filed an answer and in so doing did not claim that the Secretary was not

a proper defendant to a state-wide election contest, did not raise the defense of sovereign immunity, and did not make the argument that all 159 counties had to be added as party defendants. (Exhibit A, page 94 et seq.). Instead, the Secretary in *Mead* did what the Secretary should do in this case: she appeared and defended—on the merits—the results of the state-wide election that she certified. (Other important aspects of the *Mead* case are discussed below).

In conclusion, the Secretary is a proper defendant in this case and does not benefit from sovereign immunity in state-wide election contests. The Secretary and other Defendants' arguments to the contrary should be rejected.

2. All of Georgia's 159 Counties Are Not Necessary Parties

Next, Gwinnett County, joined by the Secretary and Duncan, argues that this Court lacks jurisdiction because the Plaintiffs have failed to join necessary parties—namely, "all of the 159 election superintendents that would hold the new election." (Gwinnett MTD, Pt. II; Crittenden Joinder; Duncan MTD & Joinder, at 2, ¶¶ 4(b), 5–6.) Fulton County independently makes this same argument, referencing O.C.G.A. § 9-11-19 and joins in the Secretary's argument on the issue. (Fulton MTD, § II.D.)

These arguments are wrong for two reasons. First, Defendants cite to no provision of the contest statute that requires all 159 counties of Georgia to be named as necessary parties. Nor could the statute contain such a requirement, for it would be wildly impractical, if not impossible, for contestants of state-wide races

to effect service on 159 counties within the extremely tight time limits provided by O.C.G.A. § 21-2-524(a).

Far from there being cases or statutory language requiring the naming and service of all 159 counties in a state-wide contest for the claim to be valid, in fact, Georgia cases recognize that defendants can be added to an election contest by amendment if they turn out to be truly necessary. *Hanson v. Wilson*, 257 Ga. 5, 6–7 (1987) (citing *Smith v. Merchs. & Farmers Bank*, 226 Ga. 715, 718 (1970) ("the failure to name the proper parties is an amendable defect, correctable by the parties or upon the court's own motion")); *Brodie v. Champion*, 281 Ga. 105, 107-8 (2006) (ruling that it was unnecessary to decide whether the elections board should have been specifically named because "[i]n an election contest, 'the failure to name the proper parties is an amendable defect, correctable by the parties or upon the court's own motion'"). Indeed, *Hanson* established that, in election contests, substantial compliance with the contest statute is sufficient to satisfy the statute's requirements. 257 Ga. at 6–7 ("While such service, if perfected, again does not meet the technical requirements of the statute, we cannot say that Hanson has not substantially complied with its requisites[.]").

Because substantial compliance with the contest statute is all that is required, the defendants that are enumerated by O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2) are simply not indispensable parties. Amendments to add parties are allowed and the Georgia Election Code "does not address, much less expressly limit, the addition of

parties." *Flaherty v. Poythress*, 263 Ga. 178, 181 (1993) (Sears, J., dissenting). The Georgia Civil Practice Act provides an independent basis for permitting the addition of parties in election-contest proceedings. *See* O.C.G.A. § 9-11-81 ("[CPA] provisions . . . [for] joinder of parties and causes . . . shall apply to all [special statutory] proceedings").

Finally, the provision of O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(b) that gives this Court "plenary power, throughout the area in which the contested primary or election was conducted" contemplates that persons not named as defendants will nonetheless be subject to the jurisdiction and authority of this Court when it hears a state-wide contest claim. The Court has power under O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(b) to "make, issue, and enforce all necessary orders, rules, processes, and decrees for a full and proper understanding and final determination and enforcement of the decision of every such case[.]" This power includes "authority to subpoena and compel the attendance of any officer of the primary or election complained of and of any person capable of testifying concerning the same[.]" *Id.* In view of these statutory provisions, any election officer in any county in Georgia is within the power of this Court, whether named as a defendant or not. There is no person in the State who is a necessary party, because all persons in the State are subject to the plenary power of this Court. Anyone who needs to be present can be required to attend. Thus there is no need for other counties to be made defendants.

3. Amico's Ten Notable Counties Are Not Necessary Parties

Next, the Secretary independently argues that this Court lacks jurisdiction because the Plaintiffs have failed to join a *different* (and smaller subset) of necessary parties—namely, "election superintendents from any of the ten counties that appear, in Plaintiffs' eyes, to be the primary source of the 'residual vote' question at issue in this lawsuit." (Crittenden MTD, at 13 & 13–14, § IV.) Duncan joins this argument. (Duncan MTD & Joinder, at 2, ¶ 5.)

Exhibit B to the Petition is a letter from Sarah Amico to the Secretary raising concerns about the unusual pattern of missing DRE votes in the Lieutenant Governor's race. The "ten counties" that the Secretary fixates upon were only noted in Amico's letter because they had "a residual rate for Lieutenant Governor well over 10 percent." (Pet. Ex. B, at 2.) In other words, these were not the *only* relevant counties, or even the *most* relevant counties. Rather, they were simply counties that had particularly high percentages of missing DRE votes.

For all the same reasons identified in the preceding section, none of these counties need to be named as defendant because they are all already subject to the plenary power of this Court in this case. To the extent their attendance is required "for a full and proper understanding and final determination and enforcement of the decision" of the case, this Court already has the power to obtain their attendance. The claim that these counties are necessary parties should be rejected.

4. Fulton County Is A Proper Contest Defendant

Finally, Fulton County argues that it should *not* be named as a defendant in the contest claim because it lacks authority to "determine the voting system used in Georgia" and thus "cannot provide Petitioners with the relief they seek." (Fulton MTD, at 3.) Initially, Fulton County does have the authority to determine the voting system used *in Fulton County*.[8] Fulton County does not cite to any law for the proposition that it must be capable of providing complete relief for it to be a proper defendant, or any other legal basis for this argument. Fulton County is a proper defendant.

C. Service of Process

Fulton County and the Secretary argue that the dismissal should be granted because they have not been served. This argument is now moot: the Secretary was served on December 18, 2018, and again on December 26, 2018,[9] and Fulton County was served on December 26, 2018, more than two weeks before the hearing, as reflected in the as-filed Affidavits of Service (copies attached hereto as Exhibit B).

---

[8] There is nothing in Georgia statutory law that requires counties to use DREs generally (particularly not defective DREs), and the counties are specifically authorized to use paper ballots and optical scanners. O.C.G.A. §§ 21-2-383(b), 21-2-366.

[9] The Secretary was served twice because the Fulton County Sheriff inexplicably waited from December 18, 2018 to January 3, 2019 to file the Affidavit of Service. Though Plaintiffs' counsel had been informed verbally by the Sheriff that the Secretary had been served on December 18, given the failure of the Sheriff to file the Affidavit of such service, and in an abundance of caution, Plaintiffs engaged a private process server to perfect service, and she did so on December 26, 2018.

The suggestion that Plaintiffs have not acted diligently with respect to the issuance and service of the special summons is frivolous. Most important, all defendants had actual notice of the contest in November and were formally and properly served with process *more than two weeks prior* to the hearing on the motion to dismiss. In the case relied upon by Fulton County, *Swain v. Thompson,* 281 Ga. 30, 31 (2006), that contest petition was dismissed because the defendant still had not been properly served with the special summons by the day of the hearing on the defendant's motion to dismiss for failure to perfect service. Defendants do not cite to any authority,[10] and Plaintiffs are not aware of any authority, that would authorize the dismissal of the complaint on the facts of this case based upon the adequacy or timeliness of service.

D. Coalition for Good Governance Is A Permitted Plaintiff in Federal Constitutional Claims, Not Election Contest

The third main argument made against the contest claim is that the Coalition for Good Governance cannot serve as a party plaintiff with respect to Count I, the Election Contest claim. This is correct; the Coalition is a proper plaintiff with respect to the federal constitutional claims in Count II and III, and Defendants do not contend otherwise. The other plaintiffs, as "electors" under the statute, are

---

[1010] The weakness of Fulton County's argument is betrayed by its citation to *Day v. Savage,* 213 Ga. App. 792 (1994). In *Day,* the plaintiff did not serve the complaint *for nine months* and the trial court still did not dismiss the case. The trial court instead gave the plaintiff another thirty days to perfect service. After the plaintiff again failed to perfect service, the trial court dismissed the case and the Court of Appeals affirmed.

proper plaintiffs in the Election Contest claim, *see* O.C.G.A. § 21-2-521, and Defendants do not contend otherwise.

V. ARGUMENTS IN DEFENSE OF THE § 1983 CLAIMS

The Defendants also seek dismissal of the two federal claims brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Their arguments for dismissal should be rejected because the two federal claims are not barred by the Eleventh Amendment, state valid claims for relief under § 1983, and properly name Gwinnett County as a Defendant. Plaintiffs note that all of these arguments were recently rejected by the United States District Court in a detailed opinion by Judge Totenberg in *Curling v. Kemp,* a copy of which has been attached hereto as Exhibit C. In her opinion, Judge Totenberg held that the plaintiffs in that case were substantially likely to prevail on substantially identical federal constitutional claims.

A. The Eleventh Amendment Does Not Bar the § 1983 Claims

The Secretary, joined by Duncan, argues that the Eleventh Amendment bars Plaintiffs' federal claims since those claims are supposedly claims for retrospective relief, rather than claims for prospective relief. (Crittenden MTD, at 15–16, § V; Duncan MTD & Joinder, at 2, ¶¶ 4(d), 5.) But these Defendants have clearly misread the § 1983 claims in Counts II and III. Both of Plaintiffs' federal claims expressly seek *prospective* injunctive relief, not retrospective relief (such as damages) for prior constitutional violations.

The plain language of Counts II and III specifically requests relief in the form of "an order enjoining the Defendant[s] to permit the individual Plaintiffs to exercise their right to vote in a new election for Lieutenant Governor conducted by means other than DRE voting machines and free from the foregoing violations." (Petition, 35–36, ¶¶ 71–72 (Count II); at 37–38, ¶¶ 75–76 (Count III).)

The Secretary concedes that, "an exception to Eleventh Amendment immunity exists under *Ex Parte Young* … for *prospective* injunctive relief." (Crittenden MTD, at 16 (emphasis in original).) Plaintiffs' federal claims plainly seek exactly the kind of relief that *Ex Parte Young* allows—an *injunction* requiring the Defendants to engage in *future* conduct that conforms to the requirements of the U.S. Constitution. It is not a valid basis for asserting immunity to object, as the Secretary does, that Plaintiffs are challenging the "unconstitutional manner in which the November 6, 2018 general election *was* held." (Crittenden MTD, at 16 (emphasis in original).) The Defendants' focus on allegations of past conduct is misplaced. Past conduct is alleged to show the threat of future injury—and making such allegations of past conduct is entirely proper in a claim for prospective relief because "[p]ast wrongs … constitute evidence bearing on whether there is a real and immediate threat of repeated injury which could be averted by the issuing of

an injunction." *Lynch v. Baxley*, 744 F.2d 1452, 1456 (11th Cir. 1984). For all of these reasons, the Eleventh Amendment does not bar Plaintiffs' § 1983 claims.[11]

B. The Complaint States Valid Claims Under § 1983

Defendants raise a variety of meritless objections to the pleading sufficiency of Counts II and III—the federal claims brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. All of the Defendants' arguments why the § 1983 claims are supposedly deficient should be rejected for reasons that follow

1. Required Elements and Corresponding Allegations

The Petition properly alleges facts sufficient to demonstrate the elements of federal constitutional claims for prospective injunctive relief from threatened violations of the fundamental right to vote and the right to equal protection.

a) Fundamental Right to Vote

To state a fundamental-right-to-vote claim under § 1983, the Plaintiffs need only allege that the challenged conduct burdens the right to vote. Then, "a court evaluating a constitutional challenge to an election regulation weigh[s] the asserted injury to the right to vote against the 'precise interests put forward by the State as justifications for the burden imposed by its rule.'" *Crawford v. Marion County Election Bd.*, 553 U.S. 181, 190 (2008); *see also Common Cause/Georgia v. Billups*, 554 F.3d 1340, 1353–54 (11th Cir. 2009) (applying *Crawford*).

[11] The Secretary and Fulton County made this identical Eleventh Amendment argument in federal court, and were soundly rejected. *See Curling, et al. v. Kemp, et al.,* No. 17-cv-02989 (N.D. Ga., Sept. 17, 2018) at 29 (attached hereto as Exhibit C) (citing *Ex Parte Young,* 209 U.S. 123 (1908), rejecting Secretary's Eleventh Amendment arguments as "meritless").

"However slight that burden may appear, … it must be justified by relevant and legitimate state interests 'sufficiently weighty to justify the limitation.'" *Crawford*, 553 U.S. at 191. A private cause of action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 exists if the violation will occur "under color of state law."

There is simply no basis for Defendants to claim that the required elements of a fundamental-right-to-vote claim are not alleged in the Petition. Count II of the Petition contains all the required elements of a § 1983 claim. (Petition, 34–36, ¶¶ 66–72.) Construed most favorably to the Plaintiffs, Count II's allegations are plainly sufficient to state a *prima facie* fundamental-right-to-vote claim under § 1983.

b) Equal Protection

"The Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment commands that no State shall 'deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws,' which is essentially a direction that all persons similarly situated should be treated alike." *City of Cleburne v. Cleburne Living Ctr.*, 473 U.S. 432, 439 (1985). To state a claim, the Coalition Plaintiffs "must establish that they are being treated differently than a similarly situated comparator." *Crystal Dunes Owners Ass'n v. City of Destin*, 476 Fed. Appx. 180, 185 (11th Cir. 2012). "[T]o be considered 'similarly situated,' comparators must be prima facie identical in all relevant respects." *Campbell v. Rainbow City*, 434 F.3d 1306, 1314 (11th Cir. 2006). A

cause of action exists if the violation will occur "under color of state law." 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

All the elements of a valid equal-protection claim are alleged in the Petition. Count II of the Petition contains all the required elements of a § 1983 claim. (Petition, 36–38, ¶¶ 73–76.) Construed most favorably to the Plaintiffs, Count III's allegations are plainly sufficient to state a *prima facie* equal-protection claim under § 1983.

2. Objections to the Due-Process Claim Are Meritless

The Secretary, joined by Duncan, argues that Plaintiffs fail to state a valid due-process claim because *Favorito.v. Handel*, 285 Ga. 795, 797–98 (2009), and *Wexler v. Anderson*, 452 F.3d 1226, 1233 (11th Cir. 2006), supposedly stand for the proposition that DRE voting machines are constitutional as a general matter and because strict scrutiny does not apply. (Crittenden MTD, at 16–18, § VI; Duncan MTD & Joinder, at 2, ¶¶ 4(e), 5.) Fulton County joins in this argument and also argues that the fundamental rights to vote and to equal protection are not protected against burdens and infringements that result from malfunctions and irregularities. (Fulton MTD, §§ II.C, II.D.) These arguments must be rejected for the following reasons.

First, Defendants misrepresent *Favorito* and *Wexler* as unqualified blessings of the constitutionality of DREs as a general matter. This is not accurate. In *Favorito*, the Georgia Supreme Court addressed a general challenge to an entirely

different, outdated configuration of Georgia's 2006-era, now irrelevant DRE voting system. *See Favorito*, 285 Ga. at 795. *Favorito* also involved none of the factual allegations of actual, observed security breaches and operating errors that are present in this case. This case, by contrast, does not challenge the constitutionality of DREs in general, but rather challenges only *this* DRE voting system, under the particular factual circumstances alleged in *this* case. *Favorito* is inapposite on the facts.

Similarly, in *Wexler* the 11th Circuit considered a general challenge to DRE machines, but without any allegations that the DREs there had specific vulnerabilities to attack or had been compromised by particular instances of unauthorized access to the voting system—allegations that are present in this case. Instead, the plaintiffs' more generic theory in *Wexler* was that "by certifying touchscreen voting systems that are incapable of providing for the type of manual recounts contemplated by Florida law, the defendants have violated the equal protection and due process rights of voters in touchscreen counties." *Wexler*, 452 F.3d at 1226. In rejecting that claim, the Eleventh Circuit was careful to note that the kinds of allegations that the Plaintiffs make in this case—that voters using touchscreen systems might be less likely to cast an "effective vote" than voters using paper ballots—*would* have stated an equal protection violation. *Id.* at 1231. The Petition in this case makes exactly such allegations. (Petition, 35, ¶ 68 (Count II); at 37, ¶ 74 (Count III).) The Defendants' reliance upon *Favorito* and *Wexler* is

thus unavailing. *See also Curling, et al. v. Kemp, et al.,* at 39-40 (No. 17-cv-02989, N.D. Ga., Sept. 17, 2018) (attached hereto as Exhibit C) (distinguishing *Wexler,* holding that Plaintiffs challenging State's use of DRE machines established likelihood of success on the merits of due process and equal protection claims).

Second, by asking this Court to dismiss the fundamental-right-to-vote claim simply because strict scrutiny supposedly does not apply, the Defendants call improperly upon this Court to resolve, at the pleading stage, the merits question of whether the alleged violation is permissible or prohibited. But applying the "flexible standard" that courts use to evaluate whether election regulations violate the Due Process and Equal Protection Clauses is a merits determination. Under this standard, "a court must identify and evaluate the interests put forward by the State as justifications for the burden imposed by its rule, and then make the 'hard judgment' that our adversary system demands." *Common Cause/Georgia v. Billups*, 554 F.3d 1340, 1352 (11th Cir. 2009) (quoting *Crawford*, 553 U.S. at 190). Such determinations are reserved for a later stage of proceedings than the motions-to-dismiss stage.

Third, Fulton County is simply wrong that there exists some sort of exception to the Constitution that permits the violation of fundamental rights as long as the violations are due to nothing more than "malfunctions and irregularities" in an election system. But even if there were such an exception, the

kind of mere random malfunctions and irregularities that Fulton County alludes to are emphatically *not* the kinds of defects that have been alleged in the Petition in this case. On the contrary, the Petition alleges—in great detail—that Georgia's DRE system currently suffers from very serious, very fundamental, systemic security compromises, unauthorized access, and ongoing security vulnerabilities, the specifics and wide-ranging impacts of which will be demonstrated at trial. These alleged deficiencies make Georgia's DRE hardware and software utterly untrustworthy and unreliable for use in conducting public elections. (Petition, 13–21, ¶¶ 24–35.)

The fundamental-right-to-vote claim is sufficiently plead, and all of Defendants' objections to Count II should be rejected.

3. Objections to the Equal-Protection Claim Are Meritless

The Secretary, joined by Duncan, also argues that Plaintiffs fail to state a valid due-process claim because both "intentional discrimination" and a "recognizable distinct class [that] is singled out for different treatment" are required to be alleged. (Crittenden MTD, at 18–20, § VII; Duncan MTD & Joinder, at 2, ¶ 5.) These arguments are false. No intent to discriminate is required for an equal-protection violation where the equal-protection violation arises from application of a rule that "discriminates on its face." *See E & T Realty v. Strickland*, 830 F.2d 1107, 1112–13 (11th Cir. 1987) (comparing the "three broad categories" of equal protection claims). Here, a state election regulation that the

Defendants will administer—SEB Rule 183–1–12–.01— is just such a rule, which discriminates on its face between two (recognizable and distinct) classes of people—namely, voters who vote in person (who are required to use DREs) and voters who vote absentee by mail (who are not required to use DREs).

The equal-protection claim is sufficiently plead, and all of Defendants' objections to Count III should be rejected.

C. Gwinnett County Is A Proper Defendant to the § 1983 Claims

Finally, Gwinnett County asserts that the § 1983 claims in Counts II and III should be dismissed as against Gwinnett County, in particular, because Plaintiffs' claims "apparently focus on administration of a new election." (Gwinnett MTD, at 6.) Gwinnett County offers no authority and no explanation for why a claim that has an "apparent focus" on election "administration" should mean that the County is not a proper party. It is within Gwinnett County's authority to stop violating Plaintiffs' constitutional rights by deciding to use a paper ballot system, *see supra* note 8, and its threatened continued use of the DRE system is actionable under the § 1983.

VI. CONCLUSION

All pending motions to dismiss should be denied.

Respectfully submitted this 6th day of January, 2019.

/s/ Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Georgia Bar No. 064460
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com
Bruce P. Brown Law LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE, Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700

Attorney for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing via the Court's e-filing system to the attorneys of record who have made appearances.

This 6th day of January, 2019.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown

EXHIBIT

A

HAROLD D. MELTON, CHIEF JUSTICE
DAVID E. NAHMIAS, PRESIDING JUSTICE
ROBERT BENHAM
CAROL W. HUNSTEIN
KEITH R. BLACKWELL
MICHAEL P. BOGGS
NELS S.D. PETERSON
SARAH HAWKINS WARREN
CHARLES J. BETHEL
JUSTICES

Supreme Court
State of Georgia
STATE JUDICIAL BUILDING
Atlanta 30334

THÉRÈSE S. BARNES, CLERK/COURT EXECUTIVE
JEAN RUSKELL, REPORTER

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF GEORGIA

CLERK'S OFFICE, ATLANTA

December 31, 2018

I, Therese "Tee" Barnes, Clerk, of the Supreme Court of Georgia, do hereby certify that the foregoing PAGES, hereto attached, is a true and correct copy, in the Supreme Court of Georgia Case No. **S04A1982, HOWARD MEAD V. MIKE SHEFFIELD et al.**, as appears from the records and files in this office.

Witness my signature and seal of the said court hereto affixed the day and year first above written.

Therese S Barnes, Clerk

HOWARD MEAD	*	COBB SUPERIOR COURT
APPELLANT	*	
	*	
VS	*	
	*	
MIKE SHEFFIELD, DEBRA BERNES, HELEN W. HARPER, in her official capacity as Lawrens County Probate Judge, and CATHY COX in her official capacity as Secretary of State and as Chairperson of the State Election Board, WILLIAM ASHLEY HAWKINS, THOMAS C. RAWLINGS, and LEE ELIZABETH TARTE WALLACE, Other Candidates for the Office of Judge of the Court of Appeals	*	
APPELLEE	*	CIVIL ACTION NO. 04-1-6082-18

INDEX

	PAGE
1. NOTICE OF APPEAL, Filed August 9, 2004.....	1 - 3
2. SUMMONS/PETITION TO CONTEST ELECTION, Filed July 30, 2004.....	4 - 18

PAGE

3. DISCLOSURE STATEMENT, Filed July 30, 2004..... - 19

4. GENERAL CIVIL CASE FILING INFORMATION FORM (Non-Domestic), Filed July 30, 2004..... - 20

5. CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE ON STATE ELECTIONS BOARD, Filed August 2, 2004..... - 21

6. ORDER RULE NISI, Filed August 2, 2004..... 22 - 23

7. AFFIDAVIT OF ATTEMPTED SERVICE, for Lee Elizabeth Tarte Wallace, Filed August 3, 2004..... 24 - 26
(a) Attachment

8. AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE, for Debra Bernes, Filed August 3, 2004..... 27 - 28

9. AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE, for Mike Sheffield by serving Susan Sheffield, wife, Filed August 3, 2004..... 29 - 30

10. ANSWER OF THOMAS C. RAWLINGS, RESPONDENT/ CANDIDATE, Filed August 3, 2004..... 31 - 33

11. ORDER APPOINTING JUDGE, Filed August 3, 2004..... - 34

12. ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF SERVICE OF PROCESS, Filed August 4, 2004..... - 35

13. ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF SERVICE OF PROCESS, Filed August 4, 2004..... - 36

14. ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF SERVICE OF PROCESS, Filed August 4, 2004..... - 37

PAGE

15. ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF SERVICE OF PROCESS, Filed August 4, 2004 ..... - 38

16. ANSWER OF WILLIAM ASHLEY HAWKINS ONE OF THE "OTHER CANDIDATES FOR THE OFFICE OF JUDGE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL" -RESPONDENT, Filed August 4, 2004 ..... 39 - 43

17. ANSWER OF THOMAS C. RAWLINGS, RESPONDENT/CANDIDATE, Filed August 4, 2004 ..... 44 - 46

18. AFFIDAVIT OF SERVER, Filed August 5, 2004 ..... 47 - 48
(a) Attachment

19. AFFIDAVIT OF SERVER, Filed August 5, 2004 ..... 49 - 50
(a) Attachment

20. AFFIDAVIT OF SERVER, Filed August 5, 2004 ..... 51 - 52
(a) Attachment

21. AFFIDAVIT OF SERVER, Filed August 5, 2004 ..... 53 - 54
(a) Attachment

22. AFFIDAVIT OF SERVER, Filed August 5, 2004 ..... 55 - 56
(a) Attachment

23. AFFIDAVIT OF SERVER, Filed August 5, 2004 ..... 57 - 58
(a) Attachment

24. ENTRY OF APPEARANCE, Filed August 5, 2004 ..... 59 - 60

25. DEFENDANT DEBRA BERNES' RESPONSE, ANSWER AND DEFENSES TO CONTESTANT/PETITIONER'S PETITION TO CONTEST ELECTION, Filed August 5, 2004 ..... 61 - 75

PAGE

26. REQUEST TO INSTALL RECORDING AND/OR PHOTOGRAPHING EQUIPMENT PURSUANT TO RULES AND GUIDELINES FOR ELECTRONIC AND PHOTOGRAPHIC NEWS COVERAGE OF JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS/APPROVED, Filed August 6, 2004..... 76 - 77

27. REQUEST TO INSTALL RECORDING AND/OR PHOTOGRAPHING EQUIPMENT PURSUANT TO RULES AND GUIDELINES FOR ELECTRONIC AND PHOTOGRAPHIC NEWS COVERAGE OF JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS/APPROVED, Filed August 6, 2004..... 78 - 79

28. DEFENSES AND ANSWER OF DEFENDANT MIKE SHEFFIELD, Filed August 6, 2004..... 80 - 93

29. RESPONSE AND ANSWER OF SECRETARY OF STATE, Filed August 6, 2004..... 94 - 103

30. MOTION TO DISMISS OR TRANSFER, Filed August 6, 2004..... 104 - 106

31. EVIDENCE LIST, filed by Defendant, Filed August 6, 2004..... - 107

32. EVIDENCE LIST, filed by Plaintiff, Filed August 6, 2004..... - 108

33. BENCH BRIEF REGARDING INADMISSIBILITY OF PAROL EVIDENCE, Filed August 6, 2004..... 109 - 113

34. ORDER, Dismissing case, Filed August 6, 2004..... 114 - 117

Filed In Office Jul-30-2004 13:46:45
IDN 2004-0093396-CV
Page 1

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF COBB COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

Jay C. Stephenson
Clerk of Superior Court Cobb County

HOWARD MEAD,

Contestant/Petitioner,

v.

MIKE SHEFFIELD, DEBRA BERNES, HELEN W. HARPER in her official capacity as Laurens County Probate Judge, and CATHY COX in her official capacity as Secretary of State and as chairperson of the State Election Board,

Defendants,

and

WILLIAM ASHLEY HAWKINS, THOMAS C. RAWLINGS, and LEE ELIZABETH TARTE WALLACE,

Other Candidates for the Office of Judge of the Court of Appeals.

Civil Action File
No. 04-1-6089-19

SUMMONS

TO THE ABOVE NAMED DEFENDANTS AND ALL OTHER CANDIDATES FOR THE OFFICE OF JUDGE OF THE COURT OF APPEALS:

You are hereby summoned and required to file with the Clerk of said court and serve upon the Contestant/Petitioner's attorney, whose name and address is:

Charles W. Byrd, Esq.
909 Ball Street
P.O. Box 1770
Perry, Georgia 31069

an answer to the Petition to Contest Election, which herewith is served upon you, no later than August 6, 2004, or 5 days after this Summons and Petition to Contest Election is served upon you, whichever is later. If you fail to do so, judgment by default may be taken for the relief demanded in the Petition to Contest Election.

This 30 day of July, 2004.

Clerk of the Superior Court of Cobb County

By: _____
Clerk

Filed In Office Jul-30-2004 13:48:45
ID# 2004-0073393-CV
Page 1

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF COBB COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

Jay C. Stephenson
Clerk of Superior Court Cobb County

ORIGINAL

HOWARD MEAD,

Contestant/Petitioner,

v.

MIKE SHEFFIELD, DEBRA BERNES, HELEN W. HARPER in her official capacity as Laurens County Probate Judge, and CATHY COX in her official capacity as Secretary of State and as chairperson of the State Election Board,

Defendants,

and

WILLIAM ASHLEY HAWKINS, THOMAS C. RAWLINGS, and LEE ELIZABETH TARTE WALLACE,

Other Candidates for the Office of Judge of the Court of Appeals.

Civil Action File
No. 04-1-6082-18

PETITION TO CONTEST ELECTION

COMES NOW Howard Mead and files this Election Contest pursuant to Article 12 of Title 21 of the Official Code of Georgia Annotated and shows the Court as follows:

1.

On July 20, 2004, an election for the office of Judge of the Court of Appeals was held throughout the State of Georgia.

2.

Howard Mead, Mike Sheffield, Debra Bernes, William Ashley Hawkins, Thomas C. Rawlings, and Lee Elizabeth Tarte Wallace were duly qualified candidates for the off

Judge of the Court of Appeals.

3.

Howard Mead is a resident of DeKalb County, residing at 1277 Oakdale Road, Atlanta, Georgia 30307.

4.

Mike Sheffield is a resident of Gwinnett County, residing at 4111 Alley Court, Norcross, Georgia 30092.

5.

Debra Bernes is a resident of Cobb County, residing at 1201 Lexham Drive, Marietta, Georgia 30068.

6.

William Ashley Hawkins is a resident of Monroe County, residing at 105 Chambless Road, Forsyth, Georgia 31029.

7.

Thomas C. Rawlings is a resident of Washington County, residing at 257 W. Adams Street, Tennille, Georgia 31089.

8.

Lee Elizabeth Tarte Wallace is a resident of Fulton County, residing at 3183 Argonne Drive, Atlanta, Georgia 30305.

9.

Helen W. Harper is the Probate Judge for Laurens County.

10.

Cathy Cox is Georgia's Secretary of State and chairperson of Georgia's State Elections Board.

11.

This Court has subject matter jurisdiction.

12.

Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 21-2-533.

13.

On July 26, 2004, Cathy Cox, in her official capacity as Secretary of State, certified the following vote totals for the July 20, 2004 election for the office of Judge of the Court of Appeals:

Candidate	Vote	%
Debra Bernes	309,005	29.5
Mike Sheffield	207,416	19.8
Howard Mead	207,068	19.8
Thomas C. Rawlings	124,027	11.8
Lee Elizabeth Tarte Wallace	120,470	11.5
William Ashley Hawkins	80,128	7.6

14.

By certification of the above-detailed vote totals, Debra Bernes and Mike Sheffield were declared eligible to compete with each other in a runoff election for the office of Judge of the Court of Appeals as no candidate for that office received the requisite number of votes necessary to be declared elected pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 21-2-501.

15.

On July 26, 2004, Howard Mead requested a recount pursuant to his rights under O.C.G.A. § 21-2-495.

16.

After a recount pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 21-2-495, on July 29, 2004 Cathy Cox, in her official capacity as Secretary of State, certified the following vote totals for the July 20, 2004 election for the office of Judge of the Court of Appeals:

Candidate	Vote	%
Debra Bernes	303,058	29.5
Mike Sheffield	207,473	19.8
Howard Mead	207,091	19.8
Thomas C. Rawlings	124,038	11.8
Lee Elizabeth Tarte Wallace	120,473	11.5
William Ashley Hawkins	80,153	7.8

17.

By certification of the above-detailed vote totals after a recount pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 21-2-495, Debra Bernes and Mike Sheffield were declared eligible to compete with each other in a runoff election for the office of Judge of the Court of Appeals as no candidate for that office received the requisite number of votes necessary to be declared elected pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 21-2-501.

18.

The runoff election for the office of Judge of the Court of Appeals, unless postponed by court order, is scheduled to be held on Tuesday, August 10, 2004.

19.

Section 21-2-522 of the Official Code of Georgia Annotated provides:

> A result of a primary or election may be contested on one or more of the following grounds:
>
> (1) Misconduct, fraud, or irregularity by any primary or election official

or officials sufficient to change or place in doubt the result;

(2) When the defendant is ineligible for the nomination or office in dispute;

(3) When illegal votes have been received or legal votes rejected at the polls sufficient to change or place in doubt the result;

(4) For any error in counting the votes or declaring the result of the primary or election, if such error would change the result;

(5) For any other cause which shows that another was the person legally nominated, elected, or eligible to compete in a run-off primary or election.

20.

Howard Mead contests the result of the July 20, 2004 election for the office of Judge of the Court of Appeals on the ground that 481 irregularly prepared official absentee ballots omitting Howard Mead's name were voted in the July 20, 2004 general primary and non-partisan election and that such ballots are sufficient to place in doubt the result of the election.

21.

Articles 8 and 9 of Chapter 2 of Title 21 of the Official Code of Georgia Annotated require that the "name" of each candidate appear on the ballot.

22.

A person's "name" is the word or combination of words by which a person is distinguished from other individuals and consists, in law, of a given Christian or first name and a family surname. *Maye v. Pundt*, 267 Ga. 243, 245 (1996).

23.

Helen W. Harper, in her official capacity as Probate Judge of Laurens County, was responsible for preparing the official absentee ballots which were used throughout Laurens County in conjunction with the July 20, 2004 general primary and non-partisan election.

24.

The official absentee ballots for Laurens County listed the following candidates for the office of Judge of the Court of Appeals:

Debra Barnes
William Ashley Hawkins
Thomas Mead
Thomas C. Rawlings
Mike Sheffield
Lee Elizabeth Tarte Wallace

25.

A copy of the portion of the official absentee ballot for Laurens County which includes the candidates for the office of Judge of the Court of Appeals is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

26.

Howard Mead's name, "Howard Mead," did not appear on the official absentee ballots for Laurens County.

27.

The Georgia Supreme Court has recognized that a person's Christian or first name has been used from early times to distinguish a particular individual from his fellows and, consequently, it has always been considered an essential part of a person's name. *Maye v. Pandt*, 267 Ga. 243, 245 (1996).

28.

"Thomas Mead" is not "Howard Mead."

29.

Even if votes cast for Thomas Mead were counted as being for Howard Mead, it cannot be said that voters would know that a vote cast for Thomas Mead would be counted for Howard Mead.

30.

The official absentee ballots which did not contain Howard Mead's name were issued to 528 voters or electors throughout Laurens County.

31.

On or about July 6, 2004, Judge Harper became aware that the official absentee ballots prepared by her office were irregular and her office undertook to print correct official absentee ballots for future use which included the name of candidate Howard Mead.

32.

Four hundred eighty-one (481) voters cast votes in the July 20, 2004 general primary and non-partisan election using the irregular official absentee ballots which did not include the name of candidate Howard Mead.

33.

As detailed above, by a margin of 382 votes, Mike Sheffield was declared eligible over Howard Mead to compete with Debra Bernes in a runoff election for the office of Judge of the Court of Appeals.

34.

Section 21-2-527(d) of the Official Code of Georgia provides that

> Whenever the court trying a contest shall determine that the primary, election, or runoff is so defective as to the nomination, office, or eligibility in contest as to place in doubt the result of the entire primary, election, or runoff for such nomination, office, or eligibility, such court shall declare the primary, election, or runoff to be invalid with regard to such nomination, office, or eligibility and shall call for a second primary, election, or runoff to be conducted among all of the same candidates who participated in the primary, election, or runoff to fill such nomination or office which was declared invalid and shall set the date for such second primary, election, or runoff.

35.

"It [is] not incumbent upon [the petitioner] to show how the [affected] voters would have voted if their ballots had been regular. He only has[s] to show that there were enough irregular ballots to place in doubt the result." *Howell v. Fears*, 275 Ga. 627, 628 (2002). To satisfy that burden, all he must show is that the number of irregular ballots exceeded the margin of victory. *Id.*

36.

This Court may neither inquire nor speculate as to how the voters who voted using the irregular absentee ballot would have cast their vote had the ballot been regular because "[i]t is basic in the American democratic process of elections that an individual voter's right to privacy as to how he cast his ballot is inviolate. It is improper and erroneous for courts to engage in presumptions of any kind in that exclusive area of privacy." *Miller v. Kilpatrick*, 140 Ga. App. 193, 194 (1976).

37.

The number of votes cast with irregular ballots voted in the July 20, 2004 general primary and non-partisan election which did not include the name of Howard Mead, 481, exceeds the margin by which Mike Sheffield was declared eligible over Howard Mead to compete with Debra Bernes in a runoff election for the office of Judge of the Court of Appeals, 382 votes. Since the number of irregular ballots exceeds Mike Sheffield's margin of victory, Georgia law mandates that the court void the entire election and order that a new election be held. *Howell v. Fears*, 275 Ga. 627, 628 (2002).

38.

Howard Mead also contests the result of the July 20, 2004 election for the office of Judge of the Court of Appeals on the grounds that misconduct, fraud, or irregularity by any primary

or election official or officials is sufficient to change or place in doubt the result; illegal votes have been received or legal votes rejected at the polls sufficient to change or place in doubt the result; there were errors in counting the votes and declaring the results of the election; and there were other causes which show that Howard Mead and not Mike Sheffield was the person legally eligible to compete in the runoff election.

39.

Once a court finds irregularities "sufficient to change or place in doubt the result(s)" of an election, O.C.G.A. § 21-2-527(d) requires that the court must declare the election to be invalid and call for a second election to be conducted among all of the same candidates who participated in the election which was declared invalid.

40.

Georgia law prohibits narrower remedies. At one time, it was possible to order a special runoff among two candidates to determine which of them was eligible to compete in the regular runoff. *See Daniel v. Barrow*, 256 Ga. 318 (1986). However, the Georgia General Assembly legislatively overruled *Daniel v. Barrow* in 1987 by amending O.C.G.A. § 21-2-527 to require that when an election is declared invalid, a second election must be conducted among the same candidates who participated in the election which was declared invalid. *See* Ga. L. 1987, p. 1368, § 19.

41.

Similarly, even though the irregular ballots may have been cast in only one county or even a single precinct, O.C.G.A. § 21-2-527(d) requires that an entire new election be held, not just a re-vote for the county or precinct in which the irregular ballots were cast. *Howell v. Fears*, 275 Ga. 627, 628 (2002).

5. That petitioner have such other and further relief as is just and proper.

Respectfully submitted this 30th day of July, 2004.



Charles W. Byrd
Georgia State Bar No. 100580

909 Ball Street
P.O. Box 1770
Perry, Georgia 31069
478-987-1415 (office)
478-396-3898 (cell)
478-987-1077 (fax)

MARC B. HERSHOVITZ, P.C.

By: 

Marc B. Hershovitz
Georgia State Bar No. 349510

4060 Peachtree Road
Suite D-315
Atlanta, Georgia 30319
404-382-1425
404-253-1474 (fax)

Attorneys for Contestant/Petitioner Howard Mead

IDM 2004-0093393-CV
Page 11

GA000384

Exhibit A

HAROLD D. MELTON, CHIEF JUSTICE
DAVID E. NAHMIAS, PRESIDING JUSTICE
ROBERT BENHAM
CAROL W. HUNSTEIN
KEITH B. BLACKWELL
MICHAEL P. BOGGS
NELS S.D. PETERSON
SARAH HAWKINS WARREN
CHARLES J. BETHEL
JUSTICES

Supreme Court
State of Georgia
STATE JUDICIAL BUILDING
Atlanta 30334

THÉRÈSE S. BARNES, CLERK/COURT EXECUTIVE
JEAN RUSKELL, REPORTER

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF GEORGIA

CLERK'S OFFICE, ATLANTA

December 31, 2018

I, Therese "Tee" Barnes, Clerk, of the Supreme Court of Georgia, do hereby certify that the foregoing PAGES, hereto attached, is a true and correct copy, in the Supreme Court of Georgia Case No. **S04A1982, HOWARD MEAD V. MIKE SHEFFIELD et al.**, as appears from the records and files in this office.

Witness my signature and seal of the said court hereto affixed the day and year first above written.

Therese S Barnes, Clerk

Filed In Office Mar-05-2004 15:21:39
IN 2004-0095913-CV
Page 1
Jay C. Stephenson
Clerk of Superior Court Cobb County

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF COBB COUNTY

STATE OF GEORGIA

HOWARD MEAD, Contestant/Petitioner, v. MIKE SHEFFIELD, DEBRA BERNES, HELEN W. HARPER in her official capacity as Laurens County Probate Judge, and CATHY COX in her official capacity as Secretary of State and chairperson of the State Election Board, Defendants, and WILLIAM ASHLEY HAWKINS, THOMAS C. RAWLINGS, and LEE ELIZABETH TARTE WALLACE, Other Candidates for the Office of Judge of the Court of Appeals.	*	CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 04-1-6882-18

DEFENDANT DEBRA BERNES' RESPONSE, ANSWER AND DEFENSES TO CONTESTANT/PETITIONER'S PETITION TO CONTEST ELECTION

COMES NOW DEBRA BERNES, Defendant in the above-styled matter and files this her Response, Answer and Defenses to the Contestant/Petitioner's Petition to Contest Election and shows to the Court the following:

FIRST DEFENSE

The Petition fails, is barred and should be dismissed in that Petitioner has failed to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

SEVENTH DEFENSE

The Petition fails and is barred in that of the 481 absentee ballots at issue in Laurens County, there were only 314 absentee ballots containing the name "Thomas Mead" which were verified as cast in the Court of Appeals judicial race by the Secretary of State on July 26, 2004 and as to the recount on July 29, 2004, as statewide vote totals for each of the six candidates in the Court of Appeals July 20, 2004 primary election. Of those 314 absentee ballots, 243 of those ballots were cast for other Court of Appeals judicial candidates. In accord with Johnson v Rheney, 245 Ga. 316 (1980), these votes represent the expressed will of the voters. Of the 481 absentee ballots at issue, the expressed will of those 243 voters, when subtracted from the 481 absentee ballots at issue do not result in a difference greater than the 382 margin suggested by Petitioner. Thus, Petitioner has failed to demonstrate any irregularity sufficient to change or place in doubt the result of the July 20, 2004 election for the Court of Appeals.

EIGHTH DEFENSE

The Petition fails and is barred in that of the 481 absentee ballots at issue in Laurens County, there were only 314 total absentee ballots, as described above, cast in the statewide Court of Appeals judicial race. These 314 ballots cast in the judicial race when subtracted from the 481 absentee ballots at issue do not result in a difference greater than the 382 margin of votes suggested by Petitioner. Thus, Petitioner has failed to demonstrate any irregularity sufficient to change or place in doubt the result of the July 20, 2004 election for the Court of Appeals.

THIRTEENTH DEFENSE

Defendant answers each numbered paragraph of Petitioner's Petition as follows:

ANSWER

1.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 1 of Petitioner's Petition are admitted.

2.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 2 of Petitioner's Petition are admitted.

3.

The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments contained in Paragraph 3 of Petitioner's Petition.

4.

The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments contained in Paragraph 4 of Petitioner's Petition.

5.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 5 of Petitioner's Petition are admitted.

6.

The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments contained in Paragraph 6 of Petitioner's Petition.

7.

The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments contained in Paragraph 7 of Petitioner's Petition.

8.

The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments contained in Paragraph 8 of Petitioner's Petition.

9.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 9 of Petitioner's Petition are admitted.

10.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 10 of Petitioner's Petition are admitted.

11.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 11 of Petitioner's Petition are denied. By way of further answer, Defendant alleges that the circumstances surrounding the 481 absentee ballots at issue in Lawrens County are clearly insufficient to change or place in doubt the result of the July 20, 2004 election for the Court of Appeals.

12.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 12 of Petitioner's Petition are admitted.

13.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 13 of Petitioner's Petition are admitted.

14.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 14 of Petitioner's Petition are admitted.

15.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 15 of Petitioner's Petition are admitted.

16.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 16 of Petitioner's Petition are admitted. By way of further answer, Defendant alleges that of the 481 absentee ballots at issue in

Laurens County, Petitioner admits that 314 absentee ballots containing the name "Thomas Mead" were regular and correct in that the 314 absentee ballots were verified by the Secretary of State on July 26, 2004 and as to the recount on July 29, 2004, as statewide vote totals for each of the six candidates in the Court of Appeals July 20, 2004 primary election.

17.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 17 of Petitioner's Petition are admitted.

18.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 18 of Petitioner's Petition are admitted.

19.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 19 of Petitioner's Petition are admitted.

20.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 20 of Petitioner's Petition are denied.

21.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 21 of Petitioner's Petition are admitted.

22.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 22 of Petitioner's Petition are denied. By way of further answer, allegations contained in Paragraph 22 are conclusions of law and an attempt by Plaintiff to paraphrase and characterize said case law.

23.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 23 of Petitioner's Petition are denied.

24.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 24 of Petitioner's Petition are denied.

25.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 25 of Petitioner's Petition are denied.

26.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 26 of Petitioner's Petition are denied.

27.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 27 of Petitioner's Petition are denied. By way of further answer, allegations contained in Paragraph 27 are conclusions of law and an attempt by Plaintiff to paraphrase and characterize said case law.

28.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 28 of Petitioner's Petition are denied.

29.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 29 of Petitioner's Petition are denied.

30.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 30of Petitioner's Petition are denied.

31.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 31 of Petitioner's Petition are denied as stated.

32.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 32 of Petitioner's Petition are denied.

33.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 30of Petitioner's Petition are admitted.

34.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 34 of Petitioner's Petition are admitted.

35.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 35 of Petitioner's Petition are denied. By way of further answer, allegations contained in Paragraph 35 are conclusions of law and an attempt by Plaintiff to paraphrase and characterize said case law.

36.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 36 of Petitioner/Plaintiff's Petition are denied. By way of further answer, allegations contained in Paragraph 36 are conclusions of law and an attempt by Plaintiff to paraphrase and characterize said case law.

37.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 37 of Petitioner's Petition are denied. By way of further answer, allegations contained in Paragraph 37 are conclusions of law and an attempt by Plaintiff to paraphrase and characterize said case law.

38.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 38 of Petitioner's Petition are denied.

39.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 39 of Petitioner's Petition are denied. By way of further answer, allegations contained in Paragraph 39 are conclusions of law and an attempt by Plaintiff to paraphrase and characterize said case law.

40.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 40 of Petitioner's Petition are denied. By way of further answer, allegations contained in Paragraph 40 are conclusions of law and an attempt by Plaintiff to paraphrase and characterize said case law.

41.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 41 of Petitioner's Petition are denied. By way of further answer, allegations contained in Paragraph 41 are conclusions of law and an attempt by Plaintiff to paraphrase and characterize said case law.

42.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 42 of Petitioner's Petition are denied. By way of further answer, allegations contained in Paragraph 42 are conclusions of law and an attempt by Plaintiff to paraphrase and characterize said case law.

43.

Any allegations not responded to by prior defenses or answers are hereby specifically denied.

WHEREFORE Defendant having fully answered the Petitioner's Petition, hereby respectfully prays and demands

1) That the Petition be dismissed with all costs cast upon the Petitioner.

2) That the Court permit the runoff election for the office of the Judge of the Court of Appeals be carried out on August 10, 2004 as prescribed by Georgia law and pursuant to the verification of vote totals by the Secretary of State on July 29, 2004, and

3) That the Defendant have such other and further relief as deemed necessary and proper by this Court.

This the 5 day of August, 2004.

BENTLEY, BENTLEY & BENTLEY

FRED D. BENTLEY, JR.
GEORGIA BAR NO. 052850

241 Washington Avenue
Marietta, Georgia 30060
(770)-422-2300

KING & SPALDING LLP

MICHAEL C. RUSS
GEORGIA BAR NO. 620200

191 Peachtree Street
Atlanta, Georgia 30303-1763
(404) 572-5100

NANCY INGRAM JORDAN, P.C.

NANCY INGRAM JORDAN
GEORGIA BAR NO. 383407

231 Washington Avenue
Marietta, Georgia 30060
(770) 422-2300

STATE OF GEORGIA

COUNTY OF COBB

VERIFICATION

Personally appeared before the undersigned administrating officer duly authorized by law to administer oaths, **Debra Bernes**, who having first been sworn deposes and states on oath, that the facts set forth in the within and foregoing Response, Answer and Defenses are true and correct to the best of her knowledge.

Sworn to and subscribed before me
this 5th day of Aug., 2004.

Debra Bernes
DEBRA BERNES

Katherine E. Egan
Notary Public

KATHERINE E. EGAN NOTARY PUBLIC BERNES GEORGIA JULY 11, 2005 COBB COUNTY

My Commission Expires:
7-11-05

Filed In Office Aug-06-2004 09:58:08
ID# 2004-0096116-CV
Page 1
Jay E. Stephenson
Clerk of Superior Court Cobb County

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF COBB COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

HOWARD MEAD Plaintiff/Contester, v. MIKE SHEFFIELD, DEBRA BERNES HELEN W. HARPER, in her official capacity as Laurens County Probate Judge, and CATHY COX, in her official capacity as Secretary of State of Georgia, Defendants/Respondents. and WILLIAM ASHLEY HAWKINS, THOMAS C. RAWLINGS and LEE ELEIZABETH TARTE WALLACE, Other Candidates/Third Parties	)	CIVIL ACTION NO. 04-1-6082

RESPONSE AND ANSWER OF SECRETARY OF STATE

COMES NOW CATHY COX in her official capacity as the Secretary of State of Georgia and Chairperson of the State Elections Board and, by and through her undersigned counsel, Thurbert Baker, Attorney General of the State of Georgia, shows as follows:

I. POSITION OF THE SECRETARY OF STATE ON THE MERITS OF THE CASE

1.

This case involves an election contest challenging pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520 *et seq.* As such, Contestor Howard Mead bears the burden of establishing that there was an irregularity sufficient to change or place in doubt the result. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-522(1); *see Bailey*

v. *Colwell*, 263 Ga. 111 (1993). To meet this burden, Mr. Mead must show a specific number of irregularly cast ballots; it is not sufficient to show irregularities which erode confidence in the election, and the election result cannot be overturned on mere speculation. *Middleton v. Smith*, 273 Ga. 202, 203 (2000). The election is presumed valid unless it is demonstrated not to be. *Id.*; *Streeter v. Pascal*, 267 Ga. 207, 208 (1996); *cf.* O.C.G.A. § 21-2-522.1.

2.

The Secretary of State anticipates that the evidence on the hearing of this matter will show that the highest vote total (in an election held on July 20, 2004 for a seat of the Court of Appeals) was Debra Bernes, but she did not receive a majority of the votes. The second and third highest vote totals were for Mike Sheffield and Howard Mead, respectively, who were separated statewide by 382 votes.

3.

The Secretary further anticipates the evidence will show that a printers error resulted in an uncertain number of absentee ballots being printed and distributed in Laurens County bearing the erroneous name "Thomas Mead" instead of "Howard Mead." Of these absentee ballots, 481 were voted in some manner. Of the voted absentee ballots that contained the error, 71 were cast for "Thomas Mead" and were counted as votes for "Howard Mead." An additional 243 votes were cast for other candidates in the Court of Appeals race, and 167 did not votes in the Court of Appeals race at all (although voting for candidates in other races).

4.

Georgia law, while not involving a situation involving the specific fact situation before this Court, establishes that it is not appropriate to speculate how voters would have voted or to effectively disenfranchise them by assuming they would have voted differently. *Middleton*,

supra; *Holton v. Hollingsworth*, 270 Ga. 591, 592 (1998); *May v. Pundt*, 267 Ga. 243 (1996); *Johnson v. Rhemp*, 245 Ga. 316 (1980); *Cf. Howell v. Fears*, 275 Ga. 627 (2002).

5.

Of the 481 absentee ballots cast, 243 were voted for other candidates, leaving 238 ballots in doubt. (This includes the 71 overtly cast for "Thomas Mead" and all ballots who did not vote for any other candidate in the Court of Appeals race.) Mr. Mead, however, must establish that there were at least 382 ballots in doubt. The Secretary of State, therefore, anticipates that the evidence will not support a meritorious claim to re-hold part of all of the election.

6.

Should this Court find, however, that another election is appropriate, the appropriate remedy is determined by O.C.G.A. § 21-2-527(d). It provides:

> Whenever the court trying a contest shall determine that the primary, election, or runoff is so defective as to the nomination, office, or eligibility in contest as to place in doubt the result of the entire primary, election, or runoff for such nomination, office, or eligibility, such court shall declare the primary, election, or runoff to be invalid with regard to such nomination, office, or eligibility and shall call for a second primary, election, or runoff to be **conducted among all of the same candidates** who participated in the primary, election, or runoff to fill such nomination or office which was declared invalid **and shall set the date for such second primary, election, or runoff.**

(Emphasis added.)

7.

In determining the geographic scope of a new primary, the result should be limited to Laurens County. As the Supreme Court of Georgia has held: "a rerun election should be held only to the extent necessary to address the illegalities in a contested election." *Haynes v. Wells*,

273 Ga. 106, 106 n.1 (2000); *Daniel v. Barrow*, 256 Ga. 318 (1986); *Ingram v. Lott*, 238 Ga. 513 (1977).

8.

Limiting the election to Laurens County, should one be necessary (and the Secretary of State believes it is not), is in accord with the equities in the case, since the error in this case was limited to one county. Holding a new election statewide would not only disenfranchise the voters statewide who have already cast their votes, but would also cost the counties around the state, who had no part in the irregularity, hundreds of thousands of dollars, with the total cost around the State in the millions of dollars.

II. ANSWER TO THE SPECIFIC ALLEGATIONS OF THE COMPLAINT

In response to the specific allegations of Mr. Mead's "Petition to Contest Election" the Secretary of State shows as follows:

1.

Paragraph 1 of the Petition is admitted.

2.

Paragraph 2 of the Petition is admitted.

3.

Paragraph 3 of the Petition is admitted on information and belief.

3.

Paragraph 3 of the Petition is admitted on information and belief.

4.

Paragraph 4 of the Petition is admitted on information and belief.

5.

Paragraph 5 of the Petition is admitted on information and belief.

6.

Paragraph 6 of the Petition is admitted on information and belief.

7.

Paragraph 7 of the Petition is admitted on information and belief.

8.

Paragraph 8 of the Petition is admitted on information and belief.

9.

Paragraph 9 of the Petition is admitted.

10.

Paragraph 10 of the Petition is admitted.

11.

Paragraph 11 of the Petition is admitted.

12.

Paragraph 12 of the Petition is admitted.

13.

Paragraph 13 of the Petition is admitted.

14.

Paragraph 14 of the Petition is admitted.

15.

Paragraph 15 of the Petition is admitted.

16.

Paragraph 16 of the Petition is admitted.

17.

Paragraph 17 of the Petition is admitted.

18.

Paragraph 18 of the Petition is admitted.

19.

Paragraph 19 of the Petition is admitted.

20.

In response to paragraph 20 of the Petition, the Petition speaks for itself. The Secretary of State denies that Mr. Mead has shown a right to relief.

21.

Paragraph 21 of the Petition calls for a legal conclusion or interpretation and require no response from the Secretary of State.

22.

Paragraph 22 of the Petition calls for a legal conclusion or interpretation and requires no response from the Secretary of State.

23.

Paragraph 23 of the Petition is denied as stated.

24.

Paragraph 24 of the Petition is denied to the extent that it implies that all absentee ballots in Laurens County contained this error.

Filed In Office Aug-06-2004 09:18:28
ID# 2004-0092089-CV
Page 1

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF COBB COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

Jay C. Stephenson
Clerk of Superior Court Cobb County

HOWARD MEAD, Contestant/Petitioner, v. MIKE SHEFFIELD, DEBRA BERNES, HELEN W. HARPER in her official capacity as Laurens County Probate Judge, and CATHY COX in her official capacity as Secretary of State and as chairperson of the State Election Board, Defendants, and WILLIAM ASHLEY HAWKINS, THOMAS C. RAWLINGS, and LEE ELIZABETH TARTE WALLACE, Other Candidates for the Office of Judge of the Court of Appeals.	Civil Action File No. 04-1-6082-18

MOTION TO DISMISS OR TRANSFER

COMES NOW, Defendant MIKE SHEFFIELD ("Sheffield"), by and through undersigned counsel, and hereby moves this honorable Court to dismiss the Petition to Contest Election filed by Contestant/Petitioner HOWARD MEAD ("Mead") or, in the alternative, to transfer this case to the Superior Court of Gwinnett County, Georgia; and in support thereof Movant Sheffield shows:

(1) O.C.G.A. §21-2-523(a) provides that an election contest case shall be tried and determined by the Superior Court of the county wherein the Defendant resides;

Filed in Office Aug-06-2004 15:38:03
ID# 2004-0096338-CV
Page 1

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF COBB COUNTY

Jay E. Stephenson
Clerk of Superior Court Cobb County

STATE OF GEORGIA

HOWARD MEAD,	*	
	*	CIVIL ACTION FILE
Petitioner,	*	
	*	NO. 04-1-6082-18
v.	*	
	*	
MIKE SHEFFIELD, et al.,	*	
	*	
Respondents.	*	

ORDER

The above election contest was heard on August 6, 2004 and after hearing evidence, argument of counsel, and reviewing the record, it is:

HEREBY ORDERED, that we must presume that the result of an election or primary are valid unless the contesting party demonstrates an irregularity or illegality sufficient to change or place in doubt the result. It does not appear that Petitioner has carried this burden.

There were 529 total absentee ballots printed in the Laurens County non-partisan primary showing Petitioner's first name as being "Thomas" rather than "Howard." Of those 529 ballots, only 315 were voted in the Court of Appeals race. The difference between the second and the third place candidate was 382 votes. Of those votes, 243 were cast for someone other than the Petitioner.

The best Petitioner could have done was to have received all 284 remaining votes, which is still considerably less than the 382 vote difference.

Even if Petitioner had received all 315 votes cast in the Court of Appeals race, this is still shy of the 382 difference.

While *Mayes v. Pendl*, 267 Ga. 243 (1996), states that "name" has been defined as the word or combination of words distinguishing one person from another, it does not require that the first name be used in all situations. In this case, only one (1) person named "Mead" was qualified to run in the Court of Appeals election.

There is no indication that anything illegal or improper was done, only that the printer made a mistake and placed the wrong first name on the ballot. It is doubtful this would have changed or placed in the doubt the outcome.

The above captioned case is hereby DISMISSED and the run-off election in the Court of Appeals non-partisan primary is to CONTINUE as directed by the Secretary of State.

SO ORDERED this 6th day of August, 2004.

Arthur W. Fudger, Senior Judge
Acting in Cobb Superior Court
Cobb Judicial Circuit

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that I have delivered via U.S. Mail a copy of the foregoing Order

to the following:

Thomas C. Rawlings
P.O. Box 5746
Sandersville, GA 31082

Honorable Cathy Cox
Sec. of State
214 State Capital
Atlanta, GA 30334

Ms. Lee E. T. Wallace
3183 Argonne Dr.
Atlanta, GA 30305

Honorable Helen Harper
Laurens County Probate Court
P.O. Box 2098
Dublin, GA 31040

Mr. W. Ashley Hawkins
105 Chambless Rd.
Forsyth, GA 31029

Honorable Thurbert Baker
Atty General
40 Capital Square
Atlanta, GA 30334

Mr. Charles Byrd
P.O. Box 1770
Perry, GA 31069

Mr. Mike Sheffield
4111 Ailey Court
Norcross, GA 30092

Fred Bentley
241 Washington Ave.
Marietta, GA 30060

Nancy I. Jordan
231 Washington Ave.
Marietta, GA 30060

This 6 day of [illegible] 2004.

Leanne E. Dolin,
Law Clerk to Judge James G. Bodiford
Cobb Superior Court

IN THE SUPREME COURT
STATE OF GEORGIA

HOWARD MEAD,	*	
	*	
Appellant/Contestant,	*	
	*	
v.	*	
	*	
MIKE SHEFFIELD, DEBRA BERNES, HELEN W. HARPER in her official capacity as Laurens County Probate Judge, CATHY COX in her official capacity as Secretary of State and Chairperson of the State Elections Board, WILLIAM ASHLEY HAWKINS, THOMAS C. RAWLINGS and ELIZABETH TARTE WALLACE,	*	CASE NO. S04A1982
	*	
Appellees/Respondents.	*	

BRIEF OF APPELLEE
SECRETARY OF STATE CATHY COX

THURBERT E. BAKER 033887
Attorney General

DENNIS R. DUNN 234098
Deputy Attorney General

STEFAN RITTER 606950
Senior Assistant Attorney General

Please serve:
STEFAN RITTER
Senior Assistant Attorney General
40 Capitol Square, S.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30334-1300
Telephone: (404) 656-7298
Facsimile: (404) 657-9932

IN THE SUPREME COURT
STATE OF GEORGIA

HOWARD MEAD,	*	
	*	
Appellant/Contestant,	*	
	*	
v.	*	
	*	
MIKE SHEFFIELD, DEBRA BERNES, HELEN W. HARPER in her official capacity as Laurens County Probate Judge, CATHY COX in her official capacity as Secretary of State and Chairperson of the State Elections Board, WILLIAM ASHLEY HAWKINS, THOMAS C. RAWLINGS and ELIZABETH TARTE WALLACE,	*	CASE NO. S04A1982
	*	
Appellees/Respondents.	*	

BRIEF OF APPELLEE
SECRETARY OF STATE CATHY COX

OVERVIEW

The core facts governing this appeal are not in dispute. Following the election held on July 20, 2004 for the Court of Appeals seat being vacated by Frank Eldridge, candidate Mike Sheffield led candidate Howard Mead by a difference statewide of 382 votes. In Laurens County, of over 1500 absentee ballots distributed, 529 contained a misprint of Mr. Mead's first name, referring to it as "Thomas" rather than "Howard." 481 of the absentee ballots with the

misnomer were voted in some fashion in Laurens County, with 243 voted for a candidate in the Court of Appeals race other than Mr. Mead. (In addition, 71 voted for "Thomas Mead," which were counted in Mr. Mead's statewide total, and 314 overall voted in the Court of Appeals race.) The ballots of the 243 voters who expressed a preference for a candidate other than Mr. Mead cannot be disregarded; under this Court's precedents it is improper to speculate as to how the voters *would* have voted, but rather one must count properly cast votes. Considering the 243 properly cast votes in the Court of Appeals race, Mr. Mead unfortunately still had less than the 382 he must show to be in error to call the election into doubt regardless of the beginning number of errant ballots (i.e. 529 or 481).

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

On July 20, 2004, in conjunction with the statewide primaries, a statewide election was held in Georgia for the Court of Appeals seat being vacated at the end of the year by Judge Frank Eldridge. (R. 5; *see* O.C.G.A. §§ 21-2-138, 21-2-139.) Following a recount, the statewide vote was certified as follows:

Candidate	Votes	%
Debra Bernes	309,058	29.5
William Ashley Hawkins	80,153	7.6
Howard Mead	**207,091**	19.8
Thomas C. Rawlings	124,036	11.8
Mike Sheffield	**207,473**	19.8
Lee Elizabeth Tarte Wallace	120,473	11.5

(R. 8, 56; T. Exhibits.)

GA000410

Although Debra Bernes led in the votes cast, she received an insufficient number of votes to avoid a runoff. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-501. The second and third highest vote recipients, Mike Sheffield and Howard Mead, respectively, were **separated by 382 votes statewide.** (R. 114.)

In Laurens County, however, a printer's error occurred and 529 absentee ballots were distributed with the misnomer "Thomas Mead" instead of "Howard Mead." (R. 114.) Of these absentee ballots distributed, 481 were actually voted in some race in Laurens County. (R. 8; response by trial court to Supreme Court's interrogatories.) Of these, **243 cast votes for candidates other than Mr. Mead in the Court of Appeals race.** (R. 114.) Seventy-one (71) of the absentee ballots with the misnomer were cast for "Thomas Mead"; these were counted in Mr. Mead's statewide totals. (T. Exhibits; response by trial court to Supreme Court's interrogatories.) Overall, there were 314 absentee ballots with a misnomer cast in Laurens County in the Court of Appeals race.

After the initial vote totals were certified, Mr. Mead sought a recount -- which resulted in the vote totals, above -- and then he timely filed an election challenge pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520 *et seq.* (R. 5, 7, 114.) A hearing was held on his challenge on August 6, 2004,[1] at which the evidence was largely

[1] All interested parties were present at this hearing except Lee Elizabeth Tarte Wallace, who apparently Mr. Mead was unable to serve and filed an affidavit of diligence documenting his service efforts. (R. 24.) Ms. Wallace called during the

uncontested.[2] Following the hearing the trial court dismissed the petition, issuing a written order that day, which was immediately transmitted to the Supreme Court. Mr. Mead then immediately sought supercedeas, which was granted by this Court, staying the runoff election that would have occurred on August 10 between Ms. Barnes and Mike Sheffield. Once the case was docketed, it was ordered to be briefed on an expedited basis, and, consistent with that order, Secretary of State now files her brief as Appellee showing that the order of the trial court dismissing the Petition should be affirmed.

STANDARD OF REVIEW

In an election contest, the findings and conclusion of the trial court are subject to an abuse of discretion standard and are affirmed if they are supported by any evidence. *Cf. Hendry v. Smith*, 270 Ga. 17, 18 (1998); *Streeter v. Pascal*, 267 Ga. 207, 208 (1996); O.C.G.A. § 21-2-522.1. Findings of law are reviewed *de novo*. *Town of Tyrone v. Tyrone, LLC*, 275 Ga. 383, 385 (2002); *Talbot County Bd. of Comm'rs v. Woodall*, 275 Ga. 281 (2002). At the trial court Appellant/contestor Mead bore the burden of establishing that there was an

---

hearing to state that she had previously been unaware of it but that she didn't think she needed to be there. (R. 83-84.)

[2] Most of this evidence was documentary, consisting of certified returns and ballots. (T. Exhibits.) Live testimony was taken regarding notice the Laurens County election officials provided to some voters of the error in Mr. Mead's first name on the ballots once the error was discovered. Testimony as to ninety-three (93) absentee ballots cast with this correct was permitted into evidence, with testimony as to an additional 12 excluded. (T. 81-82, 102, 104.)

irregularity sufficient to change or place in doubt the result. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-522(1); *see Bailey v. Colwell*, 263 Ga. 111 (1993). To meet this burden, Mr. Mead had to show a specific number of irregularly cast ballots; it is not sufficient to show irregularities which erode confidence in the election, and the election result cannot be overturned on mere speculation. *Middleton v. Smith*, 273 Ga. 202, 203 (2000). Election results are presumed valid unless they are affirmatively demonstrated not to be. Id.; *Streeter v. Pascal*, *supra*.

ARGUMENT AND CITATION OF AUTHORITY[3]

I. THE ABSENCE OF MR. MEAD'S FIRST NAME ON SOME ABSENTEE BALLOTS IS NOT SUFFICIENT, ALONE, TO THROW THE RESULTS OF THE ELECTION INTO DOUBT.

The first argument presented by Mr. Mead is that "Howard Mead's Name Was Not on the Ballot."[4] Of course, Mr. Mead's name was partially on some of the ballots (and entirely on others). The question is whether the omission of his first name on some of the ballots, standing alone, was sufficient to throw the election into doubt.

No evidence was presented to the trial court as to the relevance of a candidate's first name to a voter. The Secretary of Stat suggests, however, that this is fundamentally a question of fact (while the importance of a last name may be

[3] The arguments presented in Mr. Mead's brief on the merits do not appear to track the order of his Enumerations of Error. For the sake of clarity, response is made here in the order presented in Mr. Mead's Argument and Citation of Authority.
[4] This appears to relate to Appellant's second enumeration of error.

accepted as necessary as a matter of law). For some candidates a first name may be critical, for others it may be irrelevant.[5] But the only way a court can know this without speculating is to have evidence presented on the subject. The duty to present this evidence, as an initial matter, lies in the challenger. As noted above regarding the Standard of Review, it is not sufficient to show irregularities which erode confidence in the election, and the election result cannot be overturned on mere speculation. *Middleton v. Smith*, 273 Ga. 202, 203 (2000).

Mr. Mears's reliance on *Maye v. Pundt*, 267 Ga. 243 (1996), and *Weathers v. Modern Masonry Materials*, 107 Ga. App. 34 (1962), do not *require* a that a candidate's first name be provided in an election case. The discussion names there is not directly applicable here. But it must be noted that, even if this court were to find that first names are required, this does not mean that all ballots *distributed* or even all ballots *voted* are the basis to overturn the election.[6] Rather the critical question is whether there were enough ballots cast (specifically 382) with such

---

[5] The importance of a first name may, in fact, be much more important in local races, where candidates are more likely to be personally known, than in statewide races. As to lawyers, even laymen understand them by reference to their surname). For example, the Court of Appeal's seat in question was the seat of Judge Eldridge, not the seat of Judge Frank. (And one does not need to know what seat we are talking about to call it the seat of Judge Frank Eldridge.)

[6] Indeed, the Secretary of State assumed, for the purpose of her arguments to the trial court as she does in her arguments here, that the absence of a first name was such an error to call these ballots into question. This issue is hardly clear, however.

uncertainty that the result of the election is in doubt. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-522(1); *see Bailey v. Colwell*, 263 Ga. 111 (1993).

II. THE CONTROLLING QUESTION IS HOW MANY IMPOPER BALLOTS WERE CAST.

Mr. Mead's second argument is that the number of absentee ballots *distributed* with an error governs the outcome of this case. Of course, many voters who received an absentee ballot decided not to vote *at all*. There may be many reasons why they did not vote an absentee ballot (including the fact that they voted in person instead), but one fact is clear, their decision not to vote an absentee ballot was not limited to the Court of Appeals race.

Consistent with Georgia law the proper number, when beginning to look for an error that could change the result, is the number of ballots *cast*, not the number of votes possible -- here the number of absentee ballots distributed. This is because one should not assume all electors will vote. To hold otherwise could call every election into doubt, since it is well known (and not disputed) that many (if not most) electors do not vote each election. *See, e.g., Holton v. Hollingsworth*, 270 Ga. 591 (1999) (challenger failed to show that a sufficient number of unqualified voters actually cast ballots); *Bailey v. Colwell*, 263 Ga. 111 (1993) (discussed below); *Johnson v. Byrd*, 263 Ga. 173 (1993) (discussed below); *cf. Howell v. Frears*, 275 Ga. 627 (2002) (decision relied upon by Petitioner, but court

looked to ballots voted). The number of ballots actually cast for any race was 481; the number cast specifically in the Court of Appeals race was 314. Mr. Mead can only prevail if the number of ballots in issue is expanded beyond the 314 cast in the Court of Appeals race is disregarded and the ballots actually cast for other candidates is disregarded. His argument is to reach for the highest possible number -- 529 -- even though the error on some of these ballots is plainly irrelevant.

In the last analysis though, while 529 is not the correct number, this issue is also irrelevant. In the Secretary of State's view, it ultimately should not matter which number one selects, because the 243 votes specifically cast for other Court of Appeals candidates brings the number of votes in question below 382 regardless of what number one begins with.

III. THE RESULT OF THE ELECTION IS NOT IN DOUBT BECAUSE 243 VOTERS PLAINLY CAST THEIR VOTES AND THEIR VOTES CANNOT BE DISREGARDED.

At the center of this case is the question of whether the 243 votes that were specifically cast for Court of Appeals candidates other than Howard Mead can be disregarded or not. Mr. Mead's case turns completely on throwing such votes out.

Georgia law is well established, however, that the courts are not to speculate as to how voters might have voted under different circumstances. The Supreme Court's election precedents -- and, indeed, the primary case relied upon by Mr.

Mead[7] -- establish that it is improper to speculate as to how voters might have voted. *Middleton v. Smith*, 273 Ga. 202, 203 (2000); *Holton v. Hollingsworth*, 270 Ga. 591, 592 (1999); *May v. Pundt*, 267 Ga. 243 (1996); *Johnson v. Rheny*, 245 Ga. 316 (1980). In this regard, it is clear and undisputable that 243 voters did not voted for Court of Appeals candidates other than Mr. Mead.[8] Mr. Mead's argument turns on speculating that these voters might have voted for him if his first name had been correctly listed. Such speculation is impermissible. It must be assumed that voters acted with all of their faculties; that they are intelligent and mean the choices they make. Regardless, of whether Mr. Mead's first name was misprinted, these voters made a choice, and their choice must be respected. To do otherwise is to disenfranchise their votes.

The 243 votes cast for other candidates resolves this case, regardless of the beginning number in determining errant ballots (I.e. 529 or 481), Mr. Mead unfortunately still had less than the 382 he must show to be in error to call the election into doubt.

IV THE PROPER REMEDY HAS NOT BEEN DETERMINED BY THE TRIAL COURT.

At the trial court the secretary of State asked that the remedial issues be

[7] *Howell v. Fears*, 275 Ga. 627 (2002).
[8] Although this was the primary fact relied upon by the Secretary of State at the trial court it is ignored in Mr. Mead's Motion for Supercedeas.

bifurcated, a request that the trial court granted. (T. 102-03.) The issue of remedy is controlled by O.C.G.A. § 21-2-527(d). It provides:

> Whenever the court trying a contest shall determine that the primary, election, or runoff is so defective as to the nomination, office, or eligibility in contest as to place in doubt the result of the entire primary, election, or runoff for such nomination, office, or eligibility, such court shall declare the primary, election, or runoff to be invalid with regard to such nomination, office, or eligibility and shall call for a second primary, election, or runoff to be **conducted among all of the same candidates** who participated in the primary, election, or runoff to fill such nomination or office which was declared invalid **and shall set the date for such second primary, election, or runoff.**

(Emphasis added.)

The Secretary of State anticipates that the evidence she would have presented on the remedial issues would have been as to the enormous cost of a new election. For the sake of minimal burden on State resources, the proper result now appears to be to hold a re-election with the general election, using the elector list available at the time of the general election. If a runoff is then necessary, it could be scheduled at such time. No additional election with write-in candidates need be held, since write-in candidates can be offered at the general eleletion.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons the Secretary of State requests that the trial court's decision be affirmed.

Respectfully submitted,

THURBERT E. BAKER 033987
Attorney General

DENNIS R. DUNN 234098
Deputy Attorney General

STEFAN RITTER 606950
Senior Assistant Attorney General

40 Capitol Square, S.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30334-1300
Telephone: (404) 656-7298
Facsimile: (404) 657-9932

SUPREME COURT OF GEORGIA
Case No. S04A1982

Atlanta August 27, 2004

The Honorable Supreme Court met pursuant to adjournment.
The following order was passed:

MEAD v. SHEFFIELD et al.

It appearing that Presiding Justice Sears is not participating in this case, it is ordered that The Honorable Neal W. Dickert of the Augusta Circuit be and hereby is designated to act in her place.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF GEORGIA
Clerk's Office, Atlanta

I hereby certify that the above is a true extract from The minutes of the Supreme Court of Georgia
Witness my signature and the seal of said Court hereto Affixed the day and year last above written.

, Clerk

SUPREME COURT OF GEORGIA
Case No. S04A1952

Atlanta September 2, 2004

The Honorable Supreme Court met pursuant to adjournment.
The following order was passed:

MEAD v. SHEFFIELD et al.

It is ordered that any motion for reconsideration must be physically filed in the Clerk's office by noon Tuesday, September 7, 2004. A motion may be faxed to (404) 656-2253 or e-mailed to stinchel@gasupreme.us.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF GEORGIA
Clerk's Office, Atlanta

I hereby certify that the above is a true extract from the minutes of the Supreme Court of Georgia.
Witness my signature and the seal of said court hereto affixed the day and year last above written.

, Clerk

SUPREME COURT OF GEORGIA
Case No. S04A1982

Atlanta September 3, 2004

The Honorable Supreme Court met pursuant to adjournment.

The following order was passed.

HOWARD MEAD v. MIKE SHEFFIELD et al.

Upon consideration of the Motion to Expedite the Remittitur in this case, it is ordered that the Motion is granted and the remittitur is to be transmitted instanter.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF GEORGIA
Clerk's Office, Atlanta

I certify that the above is a true extract from the minutes of the Supreme Court of Georgia.
Witness my signature and the seal of said court hereto affixed the day and year last above written.

Chief Deputy Clerk

EXHIBIT

B

SHERIFF'S ENTRY OF SERVICE

SHERIFF'S ENTRY OF SERVICE

Superior Court ☒ Magistrate Court ☐
State Court ☐ Probate Court ☐
Juvenile Court ☐

Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Date Filed November 23, 2018

Georgia, Fulton COUNTY

Attorney's Address
Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown Law LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. Suite 6
Atlanta, GA 30306

Coalition for Good Governance, et al.
Plaintiff

VS.

Robyn A. Crittenden, et al.
Defendant

Name and Address of Party to be Served.
Robyn A. Crittenden

Secretary of State of Georgia

214 State Capitol

Atlanta, Georgia 30334

Garnishee

SHERIFF'S ENTRY OF SERVICE

PERSONAL ☐ I have this day served the defendant _____ personally with a copy of the within action and summons.

NOTORIOUS ☐ I have this day served the defendant _____ by leaving a copy of the action and summons at his most notorious place of abode in this County.

Delivered same into hands of _____ described as follows: age, about _____ years; weight _____ pounds; height, about _____ feet and _____ inches, domiciled at the residence of defendant.

CORPORATION ☐ Served the defendant SECRETARY OF STATE OF GEORGIA / ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN a corporation by leaving a copy of the within action and summons with Ryan Germany, General Counsel in charge of the office and place of doing business of said Corporation in the County.

TACK & MAIL ☐ I have this day served the above styled affidavit and summons on the defendant(s) by posting a copy of the same to the door of the premises designated in said affidavit, and on the same day of such posting by depositing a true copy of same in the United States Mail, First Class in an envelope properly addressed to the defendant(s) at the address shown in said summons, with adequate postage affixed thereon containing notice to the defendant(s) to answer said summons at the place stated in the summons.

NON EST ☐ Diligent search made and defendant _____ not to be found in the jurisdiction of this court.

This 18th day of Dec, 2018.

L B (#2327)
DEPUTY

SHERIFF DOCKET PAGE

WHITE-CLERK CANARY-PLAINTIFF PINK-DEFENDANT

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDMH
Date: 12/26/2018 6:16 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, et al., **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION** **FILE NO. 2018 CV 313418**

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

COMES NOW AMY FERRERO, before the undersigned officer duly authorized to administer oaths and, after being duly sworn on oath deposes and states that she has been permanently appointed by this Court for service of process per Order attached, she is a citizen of the United States, over the age of eighteen (18), and is a party having no interest in the above styled case, states that on December 26, 2018 at 2:33 PM, she served the ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, by personally serving ROBIN HERRON, Executive Assistant, authorized to accept, at her business located at 214 State Capitol, Atlanta, Georgia 30334, with SUMMONS and PETITION TO CONTEST ELECTION RESULT.

I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 26 day of December, 2018

Legal Ease Attorney Services, Inc.
645 Waldo Street
Atlanta, GA 30312
404-849-1240

Sworn to and subscribed before me
this 26 day of December 2018

Katherine Bolling

Notary Public

KATHERINE BOLLING
NOTARY PUBLIC
FULTON COUNTY, GEORGIA
COMMISSION EXPIRES
JANUARY 28, 2021

My commission expires:

OFFICE
JAN 02 2018
DEPUTY CLERK SUPERIOR COURT
FULTON COUNTY, GA

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

Administrative Order No. 2018 EX 000003

ORDER FOR APPOINTMENT FOR PROCESS SERVICE

Having read and considered the petitions and criminal records, and it appearing to the Court that sufficient grounds exist that each petitioner meets the requirements for appointment by the Court, it is hereby

ORDERED and **ADJUDGED** that the following:

Adams Jr., John
Allen, Lakeita
Anderson, William
Andrews, Gene
Armstrong, Christopher
Bailey, Anna
Baker, Wanda
Banks, Randy
Barney, Steven
Barry, Paul
Basham, James
Bass, Susan
Benito, Richard
Benito, Robert
Benson, James
Bolling, Katherine
Brazeman, Craig
Briley, Donnie
Brown, Reginald
Bunch, Kim
Byers, Edmond
Carithers, Gregory
Carney, Adrian
Chastain, Michael
Clark, Ezell
Clemmons, Joyce
Cline, Travis
Cross, Charles
Cunningham, Sally
Daniels, Alysa
Daniels, Sonia
Davenport, Alterick
Davidson, Danny
Day, Duane
DeVaughn, Carl
Dolbier, Jeffrey
Dreeman, Douglas
Duchon, Deborah
Echols, Eric
Echols, Patricia
Edwards, Donnie
Elliott, Maurice
Evans, Alonzo
Faulkner, Dana
Ferrero, Amy
Fisher, Dawn
Fitzgerald, Floretta
Folds, Catherina
Folds, George
Ford, Ronnie
Fox, Juhani
Franklin, Anthony
Freese, Jessica
Fuller, Thomas
Gallo, Elizabeth
Gayle, Earl
George, Randal
Gibbs III, Thomas
Greenway, Kimberly
Grimshaw, Shane
Gruhn, Tammie
Handley, Wiley
Harris, Constance
Harris, Parks
Hassan, Muhsin S.
Heimerich, Richard
Highsmith, Amos
Hightower, Anthonio
Hill, Hollis
Hindsman, Cherrod
Horton, Christopher
Howard, Ricky
Hudson, Hakimah
Hudson, Kyle
Humphrey, Joviera
James, Frank
Johnson, Christina
Johnson, Earl
Jones, Alicia
Kahssu, Haile
Kennedy, Richard
Kidd, Elizabeth
Kim, Leonard
King, Amos
Kotlar, Michael
Lair, Aaron
Lane, Madeline
Lausman, Marsha
Letts, William
Lewis, Kevin
Lobin, Jerome
Lutwack, William
Maggard Jr., Andrew
Maggard III, Andrew
Maggard, J. Daniel
Mallas, Nicholas
McClellan, Rodney
McGahee, Larry
Mitchell, Kevin
Morgan, Todd
Morrison, Zuri
Mott, Cynthia
Murphy Jr., Gregory
Murphy, Herbert
Murrieta, Francisco
Nadler, Jonathan
Nealey, Cedric
Nichols, Jean
Nichols, Lathan
Nolen, Milton
Nowik, Dennis
O'Brien, Christopher
O'Leary, Christine
Owens III, Michael
Perkins, Karen
Poncinie, Richard
Pyle, Robert
Rauser, Jayne
Recinella, Kevin
Reddick, Derek
Reid, Cletis
Rhodes, Kathryn
Rice, Robert
Richardson, Leroy
Rivers, Michael
Robertson, Brad
Robertson, Marlena
Robinson, Jeroy
Ruddock, Leopold
Ruddock, Margaret
Sadler Jr., John
Saxon, Jasmine
Saxon, Rashad
Saxon, Robin
Saxon, Virginia
Seklecki, Christian
Self, Abagail
Self, Curvin
Sexton, Traici
Shadix, Jimmy
Shepherd, Elizabeth
Sibbald, John
Singleton, Wesley
Smith, Delacie

Smith, Ronald
Smith, Virginia
Smith Jr., Bruce
Snellings, Sharon
Spears, Joye
Stanton, Christopher
Starks, Marc
Steidl, David
Stevenson, Nosiba
Stewart, Ronnie
Stinyard, Kelvin
Stone, Rodney
Swindle, Frank
Tamaroff, Paul
Tassaw, Berhane
Thompson, Vanessa
Thrash, Nancy
Tort, Henry
Trumble, Garfield
Tucker, Paul
Underwood, Robert
Velasquez, Julius
Walker, Reginald
Washington, Sabrina
Webber, Melina
Weeks, Frances
West, Eric
Williams, Lavern
Wingo, Michael
Winkleman, Nan
Wolfe, Lisa
Wright, Christopher
Yeste, John
Zayas, Roberto

be appointed and authorized to serve as a Permanent Process Server in the Fulton County Superior Court, for the Calendar Year 2018, without the necessity of an order for appointment in each individual case.

BY ORDER OF THE Court this 2nd day of January, 2018.

Gail S. Tusan, Chief Judge
Fulton County Superior Court
Atlanta Judicial Circuit

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDMH
Date: 12/26/2018 6:21 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, et al., **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION** **FILE NO. 2018 CV 313418**

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

COMES NOW AMY FERRERO, before the undersigned officer duly authorized to administer oaths and, after being duly sworn on oath deposes and states that she has been permanently appointed by this Court for service of process per Order attached, she is a citizen of the United States, over the age of eighteen (18), and is a party having no interest in the above styled case, states that on December 26, 2018 at 2:22 PM, she served the FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS, by personally serving RICK BARRON, Director of Registration and Election, at his business located at 130 Peachtree Street, SW, Suite 2186, Atlanta, Georgia 30303, with SUMMONS and PETITION TO CONTEST ELECTION RESULT.

I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 26 day of December, 2018

Legal Ease Attorney Services, Inc.
645 Waldo Street
Atlanta, GA 30312
404-849-1240

Sworn to and subscribed before me
this 26th day of December, 2018

Notary Public

My commission expires:

GA000430

JAN 02 2018
DEPUTY CLERK SUPERIOR COURT
FULTON COUNTY, GA

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

Administrative Order No. 2018 EX 000003

ORDER FOR APPOINTMENT FOR PROCESS SERVICE

Having read and considered the petitions and criminal records, and it appearing to the Court that sufficient grounds exist that each petitioner meets the requirements for appointment by the Court, it is hereby

ORDERED and **ADJUDGED** that the following:

Adams Jr., John
Allen, Lakeita
Anderson, William
Andrews, Gene
Armstrong, Christopher
Bailey, Anna
Baker, Wanda
Banks, Randy
Barney, Steven
Barry, Paul
Basham, James
Bass, Susan
Benito, Richard
Benito, Robert
Benson, James
Bolling, Katherine
Brazeman, Craig
Briley, Donnie
Brown, Reginald
Bunch, Kim
Byers, Edmond
Carithers, Gregory
Carney, Adrian
Chastain, Michael
Clark, Ezell
Clemmons, Joyce
Cline, Travis
Cross, Charles
Cunningham, Sally
Daniels, Alysa
Daniels, Sonia
Davenport, Alterick
Davidson, Danny
Day, Duane
DeVaughn, Carl
Dolbier, Jeffrey
Dreeman, Douglas
Duchon, Deborah
Echols, Eric
Echols, Patricia
Edwards, Donnie
Elliott, Maurice
Evans, Alonzo
Faulkner, Dana
Ferrero, Amy
Fisher, Dawn
Fitzgerald, Floretta
Folds, Catherina
Folds, George
Ford, Ronnie
Fox, Juhani
Franklin, Anthony
Freese, Jessica
Fuller, Thomas
Gallo, Elizabeth
Gayle, Earl
George, Randal
Gibbs III, Thomas
Greenway, Kimberly
Grimshaw, Shane
Gruhn, Tammie
Handley, Wiley
Harris, Constance
Harris, Parks
Hassan, Muhsin S.
Heimerich, Richard
Highsmith, Amos
Hightower, Anthonio
Hill, Hollis
Hindsman, Cherrod
Horton, Christopher
Howard, Ricky
Hudson, Hakimah
Hudson, Kyle
Humphrey, Joviera
James, Frank
Johnson, Christina
Johnson, Earl
Jones, Alicia
Kahssu, Haile
Kennedy, Richard
Kidd, Elizabeth
Kim, Leonard
King, Amos
Kotlar, Michael
Lair, Aaron
Lane, Madeline
Lausman, Marsha
Letts , William
Lewis, Kevin
Lobin, Jerome
Lutwack, William
Maggard Jr., Andrew
Maggard III, Andrew
Maggard, J. Daniel
Mallas, Nicholas
McClellan, Rodney
McGahee, Larry
Mitchell, Kevin
Morgan, Todd
Morrison, Zuri
Mott, Cynthia
Murphy Jr., Gregory
Murphy, Herbert
Murrieta, Francisco
Nadler, Jonathan
Nealey, Cedric
Nichols, Jean
Nichols, Lathan
Nolen, Milton
Nowik, Dennis
O'Brien, Christopher
O'Leary, Christine
Owens III, Michael
Perkins, Karen
Poncinie, Richard
Pyle, Robert
Rauser, Jayne
Recinella, Kevin
Reddick, Derek
Reid, Cletis
Rhodes, Kathryn
Rice, Robert
Richardson, Leroy
Rivers, Michael
Robertson, Brad
Robertson, Marlena
Robinson, Jeroy
Ruddock, Leopold
Ruddock, Margaret
Sadler Jr., John
Saxon, Jasmine
Saxon, Rashad
Saxon, Robin
Saxon, Virginia
Seklecki, Christian
Self, Abagail
Self, Curvin
Sexton, Traici
Shadix, Jimmy
Shepherd, Elizabeth
Sibbald, John
Singleton, Wesley
Smith, Delacie

Smith, Ronald
Smith, Virginia
Smith Jr., Bruce
Snellings, Sharon
Spears, Joye
Stanton, Christopher
Starks, Marc
Steidl, David
Stevenson, Nosiba
Stewart, Ronnie
Stinyard, Kelvin
Stone, Rodney
Swindle, Frank
Tamaroff, Paul
Tassaw, Berhane
Thompson, Vanessa
Thrash, Nancy
Tort, Henry
Trumble, Garfield
Tucker, Paul
Underwood, Robert
Velasquez, Julius
Walker, Reginald
Washington, Sabrina
Webber, Melina
Weeks, Frances
West, Eric
Williams, Lavern
Wingo, Michael
Winkleman, Nan
Wolfe, Lisa
Wright, Christopher
Yeste, John
Zayas, Roberto

be appointed and authorized to serve as a Permanent Process Server in the Fulton County Superior Court, for the Calendar Year 2018, without the necessity of an order for appointment in each individual case.

BY ORDER OF THE Court this 2nd day of January, 2018.

Gail S. Tusan, Chief Judge
Fulton County Superior Court
Atlanta Judicial Circuit

EXHIBIT C

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
ATLANTA DIVISION

DONNA CURLING, *et al.*,	:	
	:	
Plaintiffs,	:	
	:	
v.	:	**CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:17-CV-2989-AT**
BRIAN KEMP, *et al.*,	:	
	:	
Defendants.	:	

ORDER

I. **Introduction** 2

II. **Background** 4

III. **Threshold Jurisdictional Issues** 16

A. **Standing** 16

B. **Eleventh Amendment Immunity** 29

IV. **Plaintiffs' Motions for Preliminary Injunction** 31

V. **Conclusion** 45

I. Introduction

This case involves colliding election and voting rights dynamics and dilemmas. The State of Georgia Defendants have delayed in grappling with the heightened critical cybersecurity issues of our era posed for the State's dated, vulnerable voting system that provides no independent paper audit trail. The Plaintiffs did not bring their preliminary injunction motions in a sufficient time span to allow for thoughtful, though expedited, remedial relief, despite the important, substantive content of their evidentiary submissions in connection with their preliminary injunction motions. There are no easy answers to the conflicts posed here. In a democracy, citizens want to be assured of the integrity of the voting process, that their ballots are properly counted and not diluted by inaccurate or manipulated counting, and that the privacy of their votes and personal information required for voter registration is maintained. But citizens also depend on the orderly operation of the electoral and voting process. Last-minute, wholesale changes in the voting process operating in over 2,600 precincts, along with scheduled early voting arrangements, could predictably run the voting process and voter participation amuck. Transparency and accountability are, at the very least, essential to addressing the significant issues that underlie this case.

Currently before the Court in this matter are Defendants' motions to dismiss [Docs. 82, 83, 234] and Plaintiffs' more recently filed motions for preliminary injunction [Docs. 258, 260, 271]. Given the time sensitivity of Plaintiffs' motions with respect to the upcoming November 2018 elections, the Court held an extended

full-day hearing on Plaintiffs' motions on September 12, 2018 as well as the threshold jurisdictional issues of standing and Eleventh Amendment immunity raised in Defendants' motions to dismiss.[1] The Court, out of an abundance of caution, addressed the issues of standing and Eleventh Amendment immunity first and determined whether it could properly exercise jurisdiction over this case before considering Plaintiffs' request for emergency injunctive relief. After hearing argument on these issues, the Court announced orally its determination that it could properly exercise jurisdiction for purposes of proceeding with the hearing on Plaintiffs' preliminary injunction motions. The Court further announced that a written order would follow setting forth more specifically the basis for this finding. Accordingly, this Order addresses issues of standing and Eleventh Amendment immunity as well as Plaintiffs' motions for preliminary injunction.

[1] Defendants' motions to dismiss also raise other non-jurisdictional arguments that Plaintiffs have failed to state viable claims for relief and that their claims are barred by res judicata and collateral estoppel. The Court notes that only Fulton County Defendants move to dismiss based on collateral estoppel; the State Defendants do not move on this basis. With respect to res judicata and collateral estoppel in particular, Plaintiffs have effectively argued at this stage that their current claims are not barred. Moreover, even if a few Plaintiffs were deemed estopped, it appears that at least some Plaintiffs would still proceed with their claims. The Court will more fully address these and all other issues raised in Defendants' motions to dismiss in a separate, subsequent order.

II. Background[2]

In their complaints, their motions for preliminary injunction, and their presentations during the September 12th hearing, Plaintiffs[3] paint an unsettling picture of the vulnerabilities of Georgia's voting system along with the recent, increased, and real threats of malicious intrusion and manipulation of the system and voter data by nation states and cyber savvy individuals.

Plaintiffs start by describing Georgia's voting system. The system relies on the use of Direct Recording Electronic voting machines ("DREs") for electors to cast their votes in public elections. This computer voting equipment is used in tandem with the State's Global Election Management Systems ("GEMS") server and County GEMS servers that communicate voting data.[4] DRE touchscreen computer voting machines are located at polling stations in every precinct during elections and are otherwise stored in various county facilities throughout the state. Electors use DRE machines if they are voting early and in-person with absentee ballots or if they are voting in-person on election day. "The voting machines are

[2] This section provides a brief factual summary based on the allegations in the Curling Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint (Doc. 70), the Coalition Plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint (Doc. 226), the Curling Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction (Doc. 260), the Coalition Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction (Doc. 258), information presented during the September 12, 2018 hearing, and supplemental affidavits as well as Defendants' responses to Plaintiffs' filings.

[3] There are two sets of Plaintiffs in this case represented by separate counsel. Donna Curling, Donna Price, and Jeffrey Schoenberg are referred to as the "Curling Plaintiffs." The Coalition for Good Governance ("CGG"), Laura Digges, William Digges III, Ricardo Davis, and Megan Missett are referred to as the "Coalition Plaintiffs."

[4] A related software program is used to create the ExpressPoll pollbooks providing confidential voter identification information by precinct. Poll workers access this data by computer to verify voter registration and to create the DRE Voter Access Card that activates the specific electronic ballot on the DRE machine that should be linked to the voter's address.

computers with reprogrammable software." (Halderman Affidavit, Doc. 260-2 ¶ 16.) The DRE machines record votes electronically on a removable memory card, and each card is later fed into the county GEMS server to tabulate the vote totals by candidate and the results of other ballot questions. When the polls have closed, poll workers prompt the DRE machines to internally tally the electronic total number of votes and print a paper tape of the vote totals per machine.

Most significantly, the DREs do not create a paper trail or any other means by which to independently verify or audit the recording of *each* elector's vote. i.e., the actual ballot selections made by the elector for either the elector's review or for audit purposes. Instead, at the hearing, Dr. Alex Halderman, a Professor of Computer Science and Engineering and Director of the Center for Computer Security and Society at the University of Michigan in Ann Arbor, discussed and demonstrated how a malware virus can be introduced into the DRE machine by insertion of an infected memory card (or by other sources) and alter the votes cast without detection.[5] Dr. Halderman gave a live demonstration in Court with a Diebold DRE using the same type of equipment and software as that used in Georgia. The demonstration showed that although the same total number of votes were cast, the contaminated memory card's malware changed the actual votes cast between candidates. There was no means of detection of this as the "malware modified all of the vote records, audit logs, and protective counters stored by the

[5] Dr. Halderman's affidavit provides additional detail and context related to his testimony. (Doc. 260-2.)

machine, so that even careful forensic examination of the files would find nothing amiss." (Halderman Affidavit, Doc. 260-2 ¶ 19.) The DRE machine's paper tape simply confirmed the same total number of votes, including the results of the manipulated or altered votes. Viruses and malware have also been developed by cyber specialists that can spread the "vote stealing malware automatically and silently from machine to machine during normal pre- and post-election activities," as the cards are used to interface with the County and State GEMS servers. (*Id.* ¶ 20.)

Other cybersecurity elections experts have shared in Professor Halderman's observations of the data manipulation and detection concealment capacity of such malware or viruses, as well as the ability to access the voting system via a variety of entry points. Plaintiffs filed affidavits in the record for several of these experts.[6] Professor Wenke Lee (Professor of Computer Science at Georgia Tech and a member of a new study commission convened by the Secretary of State) also prepared a PowerPoint presentation summary on the topic for the Commission that identified this malware detection and manipulation capacity. (Pl. Ex 5, Preliminary Injunction Hearing.) Professor Halderman's analysis of the severe limitations of the "logic and accuracy" and "parallel testing" auditing processes used by Georgia to test ballot counting are summarized in his affidavit and will be

[6] *See* DeMillo Affidavit, Doc. 277, Ex. C; Buell Affidavit, Doc. 260-3; Stark Affidavit, Doc. 296-1; Bernhard Affidavit, Doc. 258-1 at 33-42. Professor DeMillo, who also testified at the hearing, is the Chair of Computer Science at Georgia Tech and has served as Dean of the College of Computing at Georgia Tech and as Director of the Georgia Tech Center for Information Security.

discussed later. (Doc. 260-2 at ¶¶ 37-48.) Suffice it to say, at this juncture, that national- and state-commissioned research-based studies by cybersecurity computer scientists and elections experts consistently indicate that an independent record of an elector's physical ballot is essential as a reliable audit confirmation tool.

The DREs record individual ballot data in the order in which they are cast, and they assign a unique serial number and timestamp to each ballot. This design for recording ballots, according to Plaintiffs, makes it possible to match the ballots to the electors who cast them. Additionally, the Georgia DREs use versions of Windows and BallotStation (developed in 2005) software, both of which are out of date – to the point that the makers of the software no longer support these versions or provide security patches for them. (Halderman Affidavit, Doc. 260-2 ¶¶ 24-28.) The DRE machines and related election software are all the product of Premier Election Solutions, formerly known as Diebold Election Systems. A large volume of the voting machines were purchased when the DRE initiative was first implemented in the 2002 to 2004 period in Georgia.

Statewide, Georgia uses its central GEMS server at the Secretary of State's offices to build the ballots for each election for each county.[7] The central GEMS server communicates the election programming and other files onto the memory cards before an election. From 2002 to December 2017, the Secretary of State

[7] The ballot software also programs the location of candidates and other ballot options on the touchscreens of the DRE machines. Ballot adaptations, thus, are created for 159 counties and their over 2,600 precincts.

contracted with Kennesaw State University to maintain the central server for the State at a unit in the University called the Center for Election Services ("CES"). Plaintiffs allege that the central server was accessible via the internet for a time – at least between August 2016 and March 2017.

In August 2016, Logan Lamb, a professional cybersecurity expert in Georgia, went to CES's public website and discovered that he was able to access key election system files, including multiple gigabytes of data and thousands of files with private elector information. The information included electors' driver's license numbers, birth dates, full home addresses, the last four digits of their Social Security numbers, and more. Mr. Lamb was also able to access, for at least 15 counties, the election management databases from the GEMS central tabulator used to create ballot definitions, program memory cards, and tally and store and report all votes. He also was able to access passwords for polling place supervisors to operate the DREs and make administrative corrections to the DREs. Immediately, Mr. Lamb alerted Merle King, the Executive Director overseeing CES, of the system's vulnerabilities. The State did not take any remedial action after Mr. King was alerted.

In February 2017, a cybersecurity colleague of Mr. Lamb's, Chris Grayson, was able to repeat what Mr. Lamb had done earlier and access key election information. Mr. Lamb also found, around this time, that he could still access and download the information as he had before. On March 1, 2017, Mr. Grayson notified a colleague at Kennesaw State University about the system's

vulnerabilities, and this led to notification of Mr. King again. Days later, the FBI was alerted and took possession of the CES server.

The Secretary of State has since shut down the CES and moved the central server internally within the Secretary's office. But on July 7, 2017, four days after this lawsuit was originally filed in Fulton Superior Court, all data on the hard drives of the University's "elections.kennesaw.edu" server was destroyed. And on August 9, 2017, less than a day after this action was removed to this Court, all data on the hard drives of a secondary server – which contained similar information to the "elections.kennesaw.edu" server – was also destroyed. As discussed more fully later in this Order, the State offered little more than a one-sentence response to these data system incursions and vulnerabilities at CES.

The Premier/Diebold voting machine models at issue have been the subject of comprehensive critical review both by university computer engineer security experts independently as well as under the auspices of the States of Maryland, California, and Ohio. These studies identified serious security vulnerabilities in the software and resulted in the three states' adoption of different voting systems. (Halderman Affidavit, Doc. 260-2 ¶¶ 17-23; *see also* Atkeson Affidavit, Doc. 276-1 ¶¶ 8-9 (also discussing the states of New Mexico and Virginia transitioning away from DREs after identifying several issues with the machines).)[8]

[8] By contrast, the Secretary of State certified in April 2018 the accuracy and safety of the Georgia DRE system. This certification was based on a pre-announced examination of voting facilities and the conducting of a tiny mock election in several Georgia counties on November 27-29, 2017 by a combination of staff from the Secretary of State's Office and the Center for Election Services at Kennesaw State University. (Def. Ex. 2 from Preliminary Injunction Hearing.) No

Plaintiffs point to several national authorities which, in the last year, have raised the alarm about U.S. election security – and particularly about the use of DREs in elections. For instance, in March 2018, the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Homeland Security (DHS) declared DRE voting systems to be a "national security concern," (Coalition Complaint, Doc. 258-1 at 10 n. 3) – approximately 14 months after the Department declared election systems to be "critical infrastructure" pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 5195c.[9] (U.S. Department of Homeland Security, "DHS Cybersecurity Services Catalog for Election Infrastructure," at 3.)[10] In May 2018, the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence concluded that DREs are "at highest risk of security flaws" and that states "should rapidly replace outdated and vulnerable voting systems" with systems that have a verified paper trail. (*Id.* at 11 n. 5.) And on September 6, 2018, after it was commissioned to consider the future of voting in the United States, the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine[11] and associated National

---

cybersecurity experts or computer engineering scientists are listed as participants in this review. There is no indication in the description of the examination that any effort was made to go beyond a simple running of the equipment and observation of election procedures to reach this determination. In other words, there is no indication that any effort was made to evaluate the trove of software and data security and accuracy issues identified in studies performed on behalf of other states or by cybersecurity and computer engineers in the field.

[9] Critical infrastructure is defined as "systems and assets, whether physical or virtual, so vital to the United States that the incapacity or destruction of such systems and assets would have a debilitating impact on security, national economic security, national public health or safety, or any combination of those matters." Section 5195c(b) of the Critical Infrastructures Protection Act makes clear that information systems and their interdependence constitute a central concern of Congress.

[10] *See* https://www.eac.gov/assets/1/6/DHS_Cybersecurity_Services_Catalog_for_Election_Infrastructure.pdf.

[11] Congress established the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine in 1863 as an independent body, which has the obligation to provide scientific and technical advice to any department of the Government upon request and without compensation. *See*

Research Council ("NAS") issued a consensus report about the need to secure and improve state and local election systems.[12] The report, titled "Securing the Vote: Protecting American Democracy," made a number of recommendations, including that "[e]very effort should be made to use human-readable paper ballots in the 2018 federal election." (Doc. 285-1 at 35.) The report further recommended that voting machines that do not produce paper audit trails for each elector's vote "should be removed from service as soon as possible" and that each state "should require a comprehensive system of post-election audits of processes and outcomes." (*Id.* at 35-36.)

Similarly, the Board of Advisors of the U.S. Elections Assistance Commission (EAC) passed a resolution in 2018 recommending that the EAC "not certify any system that does not use voter-verifiable paper as the official record of voter intent."[13]

Dr. Wenke Lee, Professor of Computer Science at Georgia Tech University and Co-Executive Director of the Institute for Information Security – the sole computer scientist appointed to the Secretary of State's new Secure Accessible Fair Elections ("SAFE") Commission – has echoed these same paper ballot and audit

---

http://www.nasonline.org/about-nas/leadership/governing-documents/act-of-incorporation.html.

[12] As noted by Professor Richard A. DeMillo in his supplemental affidavit, "a consensus report of the NAS . . . represents the highest authority that the U.S. Government can rely upon when it seeks to be advised on matters of science, technology and engineering." (Doc. 285-1 ¶ 9.)

[13] *See* discussion of EAC action and audit outcome issues in the affidavit of Philip B. Stark, Professor of Statistics and Associate Dean of Mathematical and Physical Sciences and faculty member in Graduate Program in Computational Data Science and Engineering at University of California, Berkeley. (Doc. 296 ¶¶ 20-25.)

verification recommendations in his August 30, 2018 presentation on cybertechnology to the Commission. He has also stressed the essential need for installation on an ongoing basis of new hardware and software components designed to provide security protection to ensure voting system security. (Pl. Ex. 5, Preliminary Injunction Hearing.)

In the midst of the events involving the breach of the CES at Kennesaw State University, Plaintiffs filed the current case against Defendants[14] in August 2017. Plaintiffs essentially claim that the DRE voting system in Georgia is unsecure, is unverifiable, and compromises the privacy and accuracy of their votes, and therefore they claim that Defendants' continued use of the DRE system violates their constitutional rights. A brief overview of the particular claims brought by each set of Plaintiffs is instructive here.

The Coalition Plaintiffs bring two federal claims in their Third Amended Complaint:

(1) a 42 U.S.C. § 1983 claim for violation of the Fourteenth Amendment's guarantee of due process, based on the substantial burden placed on their fundamental right to vote; and

[14] Defendants are largely classified in two groups: (1) the "State Defendants," which include Brian Kemp in his official capacity, the State Election Board, and members of the State Election Board (David J. Worley, Rebecca N. Sullivan, Ralph F. Simpson, and Seth Harp) in their official capacities; and (2) the "Fulton County Defendants," which include Richard Barron in his official capacity, the Fulton County Board of Registration and Elections, and members of the Fulton County Board of Registration and Elections (Mary Carole Cooney, Vernetta Nuriddin, David J. Burge, and Aaron Johnson) in their official capacities.

(2) a 42 U.S.C. § 1983 claim for violation of the Fourteenth Amendment's guarantee of equal protection, based on the more severe burdens placed on the Plaintiffs' right to vote, right to freedom of speech and association, and the Georgia constitutional right to a secret ballot[15] as a result of Plaintiffs choosing to vote by DRE relative to other similarly situated electors choosing to vote another way.

For each of these claims, the Coalition Plaintiffs seek declaratory and injunctive relief against Brian P. Kemp in his official capacity as the Secretary of State of Georgia and as Chairperson of the State Election Board; the members of the State Election Board (David J. Worley, Rebecca N. Sullivan, Ralph F. "Rusty" Simpson, and Seth Harp) in their official capacities; and the members of the Fulton County Board of Registration and Elections (Mary Carole Cooney, Vernetta Nuriddin, David J. Burge, Stan Matarazzo, and Aaron Johnson) in their official capacities. The Coalition Plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint seeks a range of relief that is broader than their Motion for Preliminary Injunction now before the Court. Specifically, the Coalition Plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint seeks the following:

- A court order declaring it unconstitutional to conduct public elections using any DRE model,

[15] The Coalition Plaintiffs clarify, in their Response to the State Defendants' Motion to Dismiss, that they are not bringing a state-law claim for violation of the Georgia Constitution. They are instead bringing a federal § 1983 claim based on unequal enforcement of state law.

- An injunction enjoining Defendants from enforcing O.C.G.A. § 21-2-383(b)[16] and Georgia State Election Board Rule 183–1–12–.01[17] and from requiring voters to cast votes using DREs,
- An injunction prohibiting Defendants from conducting public elections with optical scanned paper ballots without also requiring post-election audits of paper ballots to verify the results, and
- An injunction prohibiting Defendants from conducting public elections without also requiring subordinate election officials to allow meaningful public observation of all stages of election processing.

The Curling Plaintiffs bring essentially the same two constitutional claims as those brought by the Coalition Plaintiffs. As a slight variation, the Curling Plaintiffs bring their constitutional claims against the Defendants listed above as well as one additional defendant: Richard Barron in his official capacity as the Director of the Fulton County Board of Registration and Elections. The Curling

[16] "Notwithstanding any other provision of this Code section, in jurisdictions in which direct recording electronic (DRE) voting systems are used at the polling places on election day, such direct recording electronic (DRE) voting systems shall be used for casting absentee ballots in person at a registrar's or absentee ballot clerk's office or in accordance with Code Section 21-2-382, providing for additional sites." O.C.G.A. § 21-2-383(b).

[17] "Beginning with the November 2002 General Election, all federal, state, and county general primaries and elections, special primaries and elections, and referendums in the State of Georgia shall be conducted at the polls through the use of direct recording electronic (DRE) voting units supplied by the Secretary of State or purchased by the counties with the authorization of the Secretary of State. In addition, absentee balloting shall be conducted through the use of optical scan ballots which shall be tabulated on optical scan vote tabulation systems furnished by the Secretary of State or purchased by the counties with the authorization of the Secretary of State; provided, however, that the use of direct recording electronic (DRE) voting units is authorized by the Secretary of State for persons desiring to vote by absentee ballot in person." Ga. Comp. R. & Regs. r. 183–1–12–.01.

Plaintiffs also seek somewhat varied relief for these claims in their Second Amended Complaint:

- A court order declaring that Defendants violated the Fourteenth Amendment, and
- An injunction prohibiting Defendants from using DREs or other voting equipment that fails to satisfy state requirements.[18]

As stated above, both sets of Plaintiffs seek more limited and immediate relief in their motions for preliminary injunction. The Curling Plaintiffs ask the Court to order the following relief prior to the November 2018 general election: (1) enjoin the State Defendants to direct all counties that the use of DREs in the November 2018 election (and the December 2018 runoff election) is prohibited, with the exception for electors with disabilities; (2) enjoin the State Defendants to direct all counties to conduct elections using paper ballots; and (3) require the State Defendants to promulgate rules requiring and specifying appropriate procedures for conducting manual audits of election results. Similarly, the Coalition Plaintiffs ask the Court to: (1) prohibit Defendants from conducting the November 2018 election (and the December 2018 runoff election) with DRE

[18] Additionally, the Curling Plaintiffs bring a state-law claim under O.C.G.A. § 9-6-20 for a writ of mandamus ordering Defendants to discontinue the use of DRE machines and to either use (a) an optical scanning voting system or (b) hand-counted paper ballots. The Curling Plaintiffs seek a writ of mandamus against the following Defendants: the members of the State Election Board in their official capacities; the State Election Board; Richard Barron in his official capacity as the Director of the Fulton County Board of Registration and Elections; the members of the Fulton County Board of Registration and Elections in their official capacities; and the Fulton County Board of Registration and Elections.

machines for in-person voting; (2) order Defendants to conduct such elections using paper ballots, as permitted by Georgia law, with certain exceptions made for persons with disabilities; (3) order the State Election Board Members to promulgate rules requiring and specifying appropriate procedures for conducting manual audits of election results; and (4) order the Secretary of State, before October 1, 2018, to audit and correct any identified errors in the DRE system's electronic pollbook data that will be used in both such elections.

The Court now turns to the jurisdictional issues raised in Defendants' motion to dismiss before addressing the Plaintiffs' motions for preliminary injunction.

III. Threshold Jurisdictional Issues

A. Standing

The State Defendants argue that both the Curling Plaintiffs and the Coalition Plaintiffs lack standing to bring their claims in federal court. According to the State Defendants, Plaintiffs fail to establish each of the three elements required for standing. The Fulton County Defendants' Motion to Dismiss incorporates by reference the State Defendants' arguments on standing. (*See* Fulton Mot. to Dismiss, Doc. 82-1 at 5.)

The Supreme Court has set forth the standard for determining whether a party has standing:

> It is by now well settled that the irreducible constitutional minimum of standing contains three elements. First, the plaintiff must have suffered an injury in fact—an invasion of a legally protected interest

> that is (a) concrete and particularized, and (b) actual or imminent, not conjectural or hypothetical. Second, there must be a causal connection between the injury and the conduct complained of. . . . Third, it must be likely, as opposed to merely speculative, that the injury will be redressed by a favorable decision.

United States v. Hays, 515 U.S. 737, 742–43 (1995) (quoting *Lujan v. Defenders of Wildlife*, 504 U.S. 555, 560–561 (1992)) (internal quotation marks omitted). "[A] plaintiff must demonstrate standing for each claim he seeks to press and for each form of relief that is sought." *Town of Chester, N.Y. v. Laroe Estates, Inc.*, 137 S. Ct. 1645, 1650 (2017) (quoting *Davis v. Federal Election Comm'n*, 554 U.S. 724, 734 (2008)).

As to the first element, Defendants contend that Plaintiffs have not sufficiently alleged a concrete "injury in fact." Defendants argue that Plaintiffs' allegations that the DRE voting machines are vulnerable to hacking and are "presumed to be compromised" convey only a speculative, generalized fear, thus falling short of establishing a concrete injury.

These arguments are unavailing. For one, Plaintiffs have alleged that the DRE voting system was *actually* accessed or hacked multiple times already – albeit by cybersecurity experts who reported the system's vulnerabilities to state authorities, as opposed to someone with nefarious purposes. (Curling Complaint, Doc. 70 ¶¶ 42-43, 45-49; Coalition Complaint, Doc. 226 ¶¶ 95-106.) Contrary to Defendants' characterizations, Plaintiffs' allegations are not premised on a

theoretical notion or "unfounded fear"[19] of the hypothetical "possibility" that Georgia's voting system might be hacked or improperly accessed and used. Plaintiffs allege that harm has in fact occurred, specifically to their fundamental right to participate in an election process that accurately and reliably records their votes and protects the privacy of their votes and personal information. (Curling Complaint, Doc. 70 ¶ 14 ("Curling also chose to exercise her right to cast her vote using a verifiable paper ballot in the Runoff, so as to ensure that her vote would be permanently recorded on an independent record. To do so, Curling persisted through considerable inconvenience – only to be incorrectly told by Defendants Kemp and the Fulton County Board of Registration and Elections that she had not, in fact, cast a ballot, creating irreparable harm that her ballot was not counted."); ¶ 16 (Donna Price "cast her vote on a DRE in the 2016 General Election," and "[w]ithout the intervention of this Court, Price will be compelled to choose between relinquishing her right to vote and acquiescing to cast her vote under a system that violates her right to vote in absolute secrecy and have her vote accurately counted"); ¶ 38 ("DREs produce neither a paper trail nor any other means by which the records of votes cast can be audited."); ¶¶ 42-43 ("Lamb was able to access key components of Georgia's electronic election infrastructure . . . . In accessing these election system files, Lamb found a startling amount of private information," including driver's license numbers, birth dates, and the last four digits of Social

[19] *See* State Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Coalition Plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, Doc. 234-1 at 1.

Security numbers); Coalition Complaint, Doc. 226 ¶ 152 (member of CGG, Brian Blosser, "was prohibited from voting on April 18, 2017 . . . when his name did not appear on the eligible voter rolls" for the Sixth Congressional District and "was instead erroneously listed" as a resident of another district, an error that Fulton County Board members blamed on a "software glitch"); ¶ 154 (members of CGG, Mr. and Ms. Digges, previously in 2017 "chose to vote by mail-in paper absentee ballot because they were aware that an electronic ballot cast using an AccuVote DRE was insecure," and they "were required to undergo the inconvenience of requesting paper ballot[s] and the cost of postage to mail their ballots" "well before Election Day"); ¶ 72 ("Georgia's AccuVote DREs do not record a paper or other independent verifiable record of the voter's selections."); ¶ 92 ("[D]esign flaws render the electronic ballots cast on AccuVote DREs capable of being matched to voter records maintained by pollworkers and pollwatchers," and thereby expose citizens' candidate selections to poll workers); ¶ 97 ("Lamb freely accessed files hosted on the 'elections.kennesaw.edu' server, including the voter histories and personal information of all Georgia voters . . . . Lamb noted that the files had been publicly exposed for so long that Google had cached (i.e., saved digital backup copies of) and published the pages containing many of them."); ¶ 138 ("Fulton Board Members have adopted voting procedures under which individual electronic ballots bearing a unique identifier are transmitted from Fulton County's AccuVote DREs located in satellite voting centers to Fulton County's central GEMS tabulation server in clear text (i.e., unencrypted) over an ordinary, unsecured

telephone line on Election Night. This practice violates fundamental security principles because it subjects the transmitted votes to manipulation (such as man-in-the-middle interception and substitution of votes) and exposes the votes with their unique identifier to third-party interception, violating voters' rights of secrecy in voting.").)

Plaintiffs also allege the threat of future harm. For instance, in upcoming elections, Plaintiffs allege that Defendants are requiring them to vote early, mail a paper absentee ballot, and pay for postage to avoid having to use unsecure DRE machines, thereby subjecting them to unequal treatment. Furthermore, Plaintiffs plausibly allege a threat of a future hacking event that would jeopardize their votes and the voting system at large. Despite being aware of election system and data cybersecurity threats and vulnerabilities identified by national authorities and the DRE system's vulnerability to hacking as early as August 2016 – when Logan Lamb, the computer scientist, first alerted the State's Executive Director of the CES of his ability to access the system – Defendants allegedly have not taken steps to secure the DRE system from such attacks. (Curling Complaint, Doc. 70 ¶ 46 ("[N]ot only did Georgia fail to take remedial action when alerted to the problem Lamb raised, it failed to act even in the face of the detailed information on the cybersecurity threats facing the nation's election systems, and the recommended specific steps to reduce the risk, which were disseminated by the FBI, the DHS and

the EAC[20].”); Coalition Complaint, Doc. 226 ¶ 112 (“[N]o efforts have been made to remediate the compromised software programs and machines or to identify and remove any malware that was likely introduced during the lengthy security breaches referred to herein on the ‘elections.kennesaw.edu’ server that hosted the election-specific software applications and data that are re-installed on every piece of voting and tabulation equipment used to conduct Georgia’s elections in advance of each election conducted using Georgia’s Voting System.”).)

Importantly, courts have recognized these sorts of alleged harms as concrete injuries, sufficient to confer standing. In particular, courts have found that plaintiffs have standing to bring Due Process and Equal Protection claims where they alleged that their votes would likely be improperly counted based on the use of certain voting technology. *See, e.g.*, *Stewart v. Blackwell*, 444 F.3d 843, 855 (6th Cir. 2006) (“The increased probability that their votes will be improperly counted based on punch-card and central-count optical scan technology is neither speculative nor remote.”), *vacated* (July 21, 2006), *superseded*, 473 F.3d 692 (6th Cir. 2007) (vacated and superseded on the grounds that the case was rendered moot by the county’s subsequent abandonment of the DRE machines at issue); *Banfield v. Cortes*, 922 A.2d 36, 44 (Pa. Commw. Ct. 2007) (finding that the plaintiffs had sufficiently alleged standing under similar Pennsylvania law, based on “the fact that Electors have no way of knowing whether the votes they cast on a

[20] DHS is the acronym for the U.S. Department of Homeland Security, and EAC is the acronym for the U.S. Election Assistance Commission.

DRE have been recorded and will be counted," which "gives Electors a direct and immediate interest in the outcome of this litigation"); *c.f. Stein v. Cortes*, 223 F. Supp. 3d 423, 432-33 (E.D. Pa. 2016) (where plaintiffs sought a vote recount, post-election, based on the use of unsecure DREs, finding no standing based on the plaintiffs' "less than clear" allegations that the DRE machines are "hackable"; that the Pennsylvania Election Code's recount provisions are "labyrinthine, incomprehensible, and impossibly burdensome"; and that the past vote count was inaccurate – which plaintiffs merely posed as a "seemingly rhetorical question").

Turning to causal connection, the second element of standing, Defendants raise different arguments in response to the Curling Plaintiffs' claims versus the Coalition Plaintiffs' claims. For the Curling Plaintiffs, Defendants argue that any injury would be traced to illegal hacking into the DREs, not the use of the DREs themselves. Here, as discussed above, the Curling Plaintiffs have alleged that Defendants were aware of serious security breaches in the DRE voting system and failed to take adequate steps to address those breaches. Notably, even after Mr. Lamb first alerted the State about his access of the voting system, he and another cybersecurity expert were able to access the system *again* about six months later. (Curling Complaint, Doc. 70 ¶ 47.) Plaintiffs allege that Defendants have continued to fail to take action to remedy the DRE system's vulnerabilities. (*Id.* ¶¶ 46, 61, 62, 72.) And they allege that this failure, in turn, impacts the integrity of the voting system and their ability as citizens to rely upon it when casting votes in this system. (*Id.*) At the motion to dismiss stage, these allegations plausibly show

causal connection, even if indirectly, between Defendants' continued use of unsecure DREs and the injury to Plaintiffs' constitutional rights. *Focus on the Family v. Pinellas Suncoast Transit Auth.*, 344 F.3d 1263, 1273 (11th Cir. 2003) ("[E]ven harms that flow indirectly from the action in question can be said to be 'fairly traceable' to that action . . . .").

For the Coalition Plaintiffs, Defendants make the same argument above regarding causation (which fails) and another slightly different argument. Defendants take issue with the Coalition Plaintiffs' request for relief enjoining the State Defendants from enforcing O.C.G.A. § 21-2-383(b) and State Election Board Rule 183–1–12–.01. Defendants assert that the State Defendants (the Secretary and the State Election Board) do not mandate the use of DREs; rather, state law requires the use of DREs. Defendants maintain that the State Defendants are merely implementing the governing state law, which they are bound to do, and therefore Plaintiffs miss the mark by seeking to enjoin the State Defendants' actions instead of challenging the state law itself. In this way, Defendants argue that Plaintiffs have not linked their injury to any action by the State Defendants.

But O.C.G.A. § 21-2-383(b) does not *require* the use of DREs as Defendants claim it does. The statute requires absentee electors who vote in-person in the advance voting period to vote by DRE, but only "in jurisdictions in which direct recording electronic (DRE) voting systems are used at the polling places on election day." The statute simply specifies the use of DREs under certain circumstances. Rather, it is the State Election Board that issued a rule requiring

the use of DREs in "all federal, state, and county general primaries and elections, special primaries and elections, and referendums," and requiring the use of DREs by "persons desiring to vote by absentee ballot in person." Ga. Comp. R. & Regs. r. 183–1–12–.01. When read together, the state statute and the State Election Board rule indicate that the State Defendants have chosen to enforce state law so as to generally require the use of DREs in elections statewide.

Even apart from the statutory language, the Coalition Plaintiffs have plausibly alleged that the State Defendants play a critical role in directing, implementing, programming, and supporting the DRE system throughout the state. The Coalition Plaintiffs allege that the Secretary provided the counties with the DRE machines and the software on which they operate. (Coalition Complaint, Doc. 226 ¶ 59.) Additionally, from 2002 to December 2017, the Secretary allegedly contracted with Kennesaw State University to create the Center for Election Services ("CES") "to assist the Secretary in the fulfillment of his statutory duties to manage Georgia's election system." (*Id.* ¶ 93.) The CES maintained a central computer server containing sensitive voting-related information such as software applications, voter registration information, ballot building files, and "other sensitive information critical to the safe and secure operation of Georgia's Voting System." (*Id.* ¶¶ 94, 119.) These factual allegations, when considered with the Third Amended Complaint as a whole, show that the Coalition Plaintiffs have alleged enough of a causal link between the State Defendants' conduct and their injury for standing purposes.

Finally, on the third element of redressability, Defendants raise some of the same arguments as they do for the second element. Defendants argue that an injunction prohibiting the State Defendants from using DREs would not actually stop the deployment of DREs. Defendants maintain that county officials not included in this suit would continue to use DREs, as the State is not the entity that enforces the law requiring DREs. Defendants also argue that a different balloting system would not eliminate potential third-party interference, as no election system is flawless.

As stated above, the Court finds that the Coalition Plaintiffs have sufficiently alleged that the State Defendants play a significant role in the continued use and security of DREs, and therefore the requested injunction would help redress some of the Coalition Plaintiffs' injury. The Secretary of State both has the authority and obligation to investigate complaints regarding the accuracy and safety of the DRE voting system and to take appropriate corrective action in connection with the continued use of the DRE system. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-379.2. The Third Amended Complaint describes how the Secretary of State could play a critical role in conducting an in-depth investigation and formulating a remedy. As alleged in the Complaint, the State of California commissioned a study in 2007 to examine the security of its own Diebold AccuVote DRE system, the same type of system used in Georgia. Upon the study's findings that the DRE system was inadequate, had serious design flaws, and was susceptible to hacking, California's Secretary of State then decertified its DRE system in 2009. (Coalition Complaint, Doc. 226 ¶¶ 81,

84, 86.) The Complaint similarly alleges that the Secretary of State for Ohio commissioned an independent expert study of a newer version of the DREs than those used in Georgia and reached similar conclusions as to the lack of trustworthy design and vulnerability to attack of the election system. (*Id.* ¶¶ 81, 83, 85.)

The State Defendants here are similarly in a position to redress the Plaintiffs' alleged injury. Thus, if the Court were to grant at least part of the requested injunctive relief as to the suspended use of the DRE voting system, any injunction would likely enjoin both the State Defendants as well as the Fulton County Defendants (as there is no argument that the County would not be enjoined). Furthermore, as to Defendants' argument that no election system is flawless, the Coalition Plaintiffs rightly point out that this is not the standard for redressability. Plaintiffs are seeking relief to address a particular voting system which, as currently implemented, is allegedly recognized on a national level to be unsecure and susceptible to manipulation by advanced persistent threats through nation state or non-state actors. Plaintiffs are not asking for a system impervious to all flaws or glitches.

Defendants assert three additional arguments related to standing: that the Coalition Plaintiffs cannot manufacture standing by inflicting harm on themselves, that the individual Plaintiff Coalition for Good Governance ("CGG") lacks organizational and associational standing, and that Plaintiffs must reside in the jurisdiction for which they seek to enjoin DRE use.

The first of these arguments fails because the cases relied on by Defendants are distinguishable. Here, the Coalition Plaintiffs are suffering injury from the voluntary exercise of their *fundamental right to vote*, not from just any sort of activity that they decide to engage in. In *Clapper v. Amnesty International USA*, 568 U.S. 398 (2013), the plaintiffs voluntarily spent money to take certain protective measures, based on a hypothetical fear of being subject to surveillance. And in *Lujan v. Defenders of Wildlife*, 504 U.S. 555 (1992), the plaintiffs expressed an intent to voluntarily return to certain places they had visited before, which would deprive them of the opportunity to observe animals of an endangered species. These activities do not invoke the protection associated with exercising fundamental rights, such as the right to vote. The Coalition Plaintiffs aptly point out that Defendants' logic would bar many voting rights cases, based on individuals choosing to vote by one method or another, which certainly is not how courts have assessed standing in this context.

Defendants' challenge of CGG's standing similarly fails. "An organization has standing to enforce the rights of its members when its members would otherwise have standing to sue in their own right, the interests at stake are germane to the organization's purpose, and neither the claim asserted nor the relief requested requires the participation of individual members in the lawsuit." *Fla. State Conference of N.A.A.C.P. v. Browning*, 522 F.3d 1153, 1160 (11th Cir. 2008) (internal quotation marks omitted). The State Defendants argue that the Coalition Plaintiffs merely "presume" harm to their own members, without sufficiently

alleging harm to one or more of their members. (State Mot. to Dismiss, Doc. 234-1 at 31.) They also argue that CGG cannot assert that individuals are "members" merely because they are listed on CGG's mailing list. (*Id.*) The Coalition Plaintiffs, however, have alleged that the individual Plaintiffs – Laura Digges, William Digges III, Ricardo Davis, and Megan Missett – are all members of CGG. Contrary to Defendants' assertion, these particular Plaintiffs do not allege that they are members of CGG solely because they are on the mailing list. Furthermore, at least one of these Plaintiffs also alleges harm to his or her individual rights under the federal Constitution, as discussed above, so that they could presumably sue Defendants in their own right. (Coalition Complaint, Doc. 226 ¶¶ 24-27.) The Court finds these allegations sufficient to confer associational standing to CGG.[21]

As for Defendants' argument that Plaintiffs must reside in the county where they seek injunctive relief, this argument misses the mark. The Court need only find that one plaintiff (from each of the two sets of Plaintiffs) has sufficiently alleged standing, and that is the case here. *Am. Civil Liberties Union of Fla., Inc. v. Miami-Dade Cty. Sch. Bd.*, 557 F.3d 1177, 1195 (11th Cir. 2009) ("Because Balzli has standing to raise those claims, we need not decide whether either of the organizational plaintiffs also has standing to do so."). Specifically, the Curling Plaintiffs allege that Donna Curling is a resident of Fulton County and intends to vote in the upcoming elections in Fulton County. (Curling Complaint, Doc. 70 ¶

[21] The Court need not reach Defendants' challenge to CGG's *organizational* standing, as the Court has already found the Coalition Plaintiffs sufficiently alleged *associational* standing for CGG. *See Common Cause/Georgia v. Billups*, 554 F.3d 1340, 1351 (11th Cir. 2009).

13.) The Coalition Plaintiffs likewise allege that Megan Missett is a resident of Fulton County who intends to vote in the County's upcoming elections. (Coalition Complaint, Doc. 226 ¶ 27.) Based on the current allegations, both Ms. Curling and Ms. Missett have established the required elements of injury in fact, causation, and redressability as discussed above.

In sum, the Court finds that both sets of Plaintiffs have sufficiently alleged standing to bring their claims at this juncture.

B. Eleventh Amendment Immunity

Defendants argue that Plaintiffs' two federal claims are barred by the Eleventh Amendment. Defendants acknowledge the *Ex Parte Young*[22] exception to Eleventh Amendment immunity, which allows claims against state officers in their official capacities for prospective injunctive relief. But Defendants assert that the exception does not apply here for the following reasons: Plaintiffs seek to enjoin the enforcement of a state law that they do not challenge as unconstitutional; Plaintiffs have not alleged an ongoing or continuous violation of federal law; Plaintiffs seek to remedy past, not prospective, conduct; and Plaintiffs' requested relief implicates special state sovereignty interests.

Defendants' arguments are meritless. First, Plaintiffs rightly point out that the *Ex Parte Young* exception applies to as-applied challenges to state statutes, not just facial challenges as Defendants imply. Here, Plaintiffs specifically challenge the application of Georgia's law by Defendants to require in-person voting by DRE.

[22] 209 U.S. 123 (1908).

Second, Plaintiffs clearly allege an ongoing and continuous violation of federal law. The injunctive relief they request is designed to prevent injury by enjoining the use of DREs in upcoming elections and other future elections. Third, and likewise, Plaintiffs seek to remedy prospective harm.[23] Fourth, the requested relief does not implicate special state sovereignty interests by essentially usurping the State's role in regulating elections. Plaintiffs are not asking the Court to direct how the State counts ballots. They are asking the Court to bar the use of DREs based on the specific circumstances, history, and data security issues presented in this case and where the State has alternative options of using optical scanners and hand counting ballots. And they seek to require the State to implement a fully auditable ballot system designed to ensure the accuracy and reliability of the voting process in this challenging era when data system vulnerabilities pose a serious risk of opening election data, processes, and results to cyber manipulation and attack.

Thus, pursuant to *Ex Parte Young*, the Court finds that the Eleventh Amendment does not bar Plaintiffs' federal claims.[24]

For the reasons stated above, the Court finds that it has jurisdiction at this juncture for purposes of considering Plaintiffs' motions for preliminary injunction.

---

[23] Defendants' arguments opposing Plaintiffs' motions for preliminary injunction belie this argument, as Defendants claim that the requested injunctive relief, if granted, would harm them on the eve of the *upcoming* November 2018 election.

[24] At one point, State Defendants cite to cases involving state sovereign immunity issues. The Court clarifies that state sovereign immunity is not at issue here – only Eleventh Amendment sovereign immunity. Plaintiffs do not allege violations of the Georgia Constitution. They allege violations of the U.S. Constitution based in part on the enforcement of state law requiring the use of DREs for in-person voting. (*See* SEB Rule 183–1–12–.01.)

The Court therefore **DENIES IN PART** Defendants' Motions to Dismiss [Docs. 82, 83, 234] as discussed herein.

IV. Plaintiffs' Motions for Preliminary Injunction

To obtain preliminary injunctive relief, the moving party must show that: (1) it has a substantial likelihood of success on the merits; (2) irreparable injury will be suffered unless the injunction issues; (3) the threatened injury to the movant outweighs whatever damage the proposed injunction may cause the opposing party; and (4) if issued, the injunction would not be adverse to the public interest. *McDonald's Corp. v. Robertson*, 147 F.3d 1301, 1306 (11th Cir. 1998). In the Eleventh Circuit, "[a] preliminary injunction is an extraordinary and drastic remedy not to be granted unless the movant clearly established the 'burden of persuasion' as to the four prerequisites." *Id.* (internal citations omitted).

Furthermore, the Supreme Court has recognized that there are special considerations involved with impending elections and the critical issues at stake. In *Reynolds v. Sims*, the Court stated:

> [O]nce a State's [election-related] scheme has been found to be unconstitutional, it would be the unusual case in which a court would be justified in not taking appropriate action to insure that no further elections are conducted under the invalid plan. However, under certain circumstances, such as where an impending election is imminent and a State's election machinery is already in progress, equitable considerations might justify a court in withholding the granting of immediately effective relief in a legislative apportionment case, even though the existing apportionment scheme was found invalid. In awarding or withholding immediate relief, a court is entitled to and should consider the proximity of a forthcoming election and the mechanics and complexities of state election laws, and should act and rely upon general equitable principles.

377 U.S. 533, 585 (1964); *see also Purcell v. Gonzalez*, 549 U.S. 1, 4–5 (2006) (holding that courts are "required to weigh, in addition to the harms attendant upon issuance or nonissuance of an injunction, considerations specific to election cases and its own institutional procedures").

Considering the totality of the evidence and affidavits presented at this juncture, the Court finds with a measure of true caution that Plaintiffs are likely to satisfy the first element for a preliminary injunction – a likelihood of success on the merits – for at least some of their claims. The Court's caution is that though the parties have filed endless briefs on Defendants' multiple motions to dismiss and amended complaints, largely due to Plaintiffs' changes in counsel, the case did not move substantively forward until the motions for preliminary injunction were filed in August 2018. The subject matter in this suit is complex, even if well-presented, and there is still key information that needs to be gathered. For instance, the voting system and data handling deficiencies in one county, Fulton County, could possibly impact all other counties in the state. The State also never called the Chief Information Officer for the Secretary of State's Office to testify, and substantive answers from other state officials were limited by their lack of computer science expertise and apparent knowledge. In short, the case would benefit from some discovery and a full evidentiary hearing on the merits over several days.

That said, the Supreme Court has held that "[i]t is beyond cavil that voting is of the most fundamental significance under our constitutional structure." *Burdick v. Takushi*, 504 U.S. 428, 433 (1992) (internal quotation marks omitted). The Court goes on to recognize that "[i]t does not follow [] that the right to vote in any manner and the right to associate for political purposes through the ballot are absolute." *Id.* Thus, courts apply a more flexible standard in this context:

> A court considering a challenge to a state election law must weigh the character and magnitude of the asserted injury to the rights protected by the First and Fourteenth Amendments that the plaintiff seeks to vindicate against the precise interests put forward by the State as justifications for the burden imposed by its rule, taking into consideration the extent to which those interests make it necessary to burden the plaintiff's rights. . . . Under this standard, the rigorousness of our inquiry into the propriety of a state election law depends upon the extent to which a challenged regulation burdens First and Fourteenth Amendment rights. Thus, as we have recognized when those rights are subjected to severe restrictions, the regulation must be narrowly drawn to advance a state interest of compelling importance. . . . But when a state election law provision imposes only reasonable, nondiscriminatory restrictions upon the First and Fourteenth Amendment rights of voters, the State's important regulatory interests are generally sufficient to justify the restrictions.

Id. at 434 (internal quotation marks omitted).

Here, Plaintiffs have shown that their Fourteenth Amendment rights to Due Process and Equal Protection have been burdened. Put differently, the State's continued reliance on the use of DRE machines in public elections likely results in "a debasement or dilution of the weight of [Plaintiffs'] vote[s]," even if such conduct does not completely deny Plaintiffs the right to vote. *Bush v. Gore*, 531 U.S. 98, 105 (2000) (quoting *Reynolds*, 377 U.S. at 555).

Plaintiffs shine a spotlight on the serious security flaws and vulnerabilities in the State's DRE system – including unverifiable election results, outdated software susceptible to malware and viruses, and a central server that was already hacked multiple times. Mirroring the truncated affidavit statement of Merritt Beaver, the Chief Information Officer in the Office of the Secretary of State, the State Defendants' response brief merely states, without more, that the central server is no longer an issue because the way Kennesaw State University maintained the system "is not the way that those tasks are undertaken now." (*See* Response, Doc. 265 at 22; Beaver Affidavit, Doc. 265-1.) The Defendants presented no witness with actual computer science engineering and forensic expertise at the preliminary injunction hearing to address the impact of the Kennesaw State University breach or the specifics of any forensic evaluation of the servers, DREs, removable media used for transfer of data, analog phone modems, and other connected devices that together constitute the election system. The Defendants' response brief is close to non-responsive to the concerns that Plaintiffs raise about the serious vulnerability of the server and the election data system at large to intrusion, virus, or attack. Defendants' response is bare-boned in the absence of evidence of installation of updates to software or equipment or evidence of statewide software and hardware scrubbing – after at least one or more portions of the database system operated by Kennesaw State University was left accessible for at least six months. In fact, Defendants presented scant evidence to rebut Plaintiffs' expert evidence regarding Georgia's persistent failure to update or

replace software systems, despite security flaws identified by the software industry.[25]

Michael Barnes, the Director of the CES at Kennesaw State University,[26] was the sole staff member at the CES to move over to the State when the Center's functions were taken over by the Secretary of State's office. He in turn became the Center's Director once this transfer occurred. The State presented his testimony at trial. Mr. Barnes professed effectively no knowledge about the ramifications for the state's voter system or remedial measures in connection with Mr. Lamb's accessing the CES's voter registration databases – which was filled with millions of voter records with personally identifiable information, passwords for election day supervisors, and the software used to create ballot definitions, memory cards, and vote tabulations. Mr. Barnes also appeared not to recognize the full scope of the contamination risks posed by his own use of a plug-in USB drive (which he connects both to the central GEMS "air-gapped" server and his own "public facing" computer that is connected to the Internet) to transfer vital elections programming data to the counties. Mr. Barnes similarly did not appear to recognize the risks associated with the use of analog phone connections for the transfers of election results.

---

[25] Indeed, after Fulton County experienced a meltdown in the tabulation of the vote in the primary for the Sixth Congressional District in 2017, Richard Barron, the Director of Registration and Elections for Fulton County, vocally expressed his view that the software system of 2000 vintage should be investigated and should have been replaced. (Pl. Ex. 9, Preliminary Injunction Hearing.)

[26] Mr. Barnese is not a computer scientist.

As discussed earlier, the Curling Plaintiffs' voting-systems cybersecurity expert, Alex Halderman, demonstrated at the hearing how malware could be introduced into a DRE machine via a memory card and actually change an elector's vote without anyone knowing. (*See also* DeMillo Affidavit, Doc. 277 Ex. C; Buell Affidavit, Doc. 260-3; Bernhard Affidavit, Doc. 258-1 at 33-42.) Additionally, Professor Halderman explained in his testimony in detail the reasons why the DRE auditing and confirmation of results process used by state officials on a sample basis is generally of limited value. This process is keyed to matching the *total* ballots cast, without any independent source of individual ballot validation, and it can be defeated by malware similar to that used by the Volkswagen emissions software that concealed a car's actual emissions data during testing. (Halderman testimony at hearing; Halderman Affidavit, Doc. 260-2 ¶¶ 35-48; *see also* DeMillo Affidavit, Doc. 277, Ex. C ¶¶ 10-20.) Further, parallel testing of DREs is of limited value. "If the testing reveals, at the close of the election, that the machines were counting incorrectly, there will likely be no way to recover the true results, since the machines used in Georgia have no paper backup records." (Halderman Affidavit, Doc. 260-2 ¶ 41.)

Plaintiffs also emphasize current cybersecurity developments regarding election security and the heightened, legitimized concerns of election interference. Contrary to Defendants' assertions, Plaintiffs' claims do not boil down to paranoia or hypothetical fear. National security experts and cybersecurity experts at the highest levels of our nation's government and institutions have weighed in on the

specific issue of DRE systems in upcoming elections and found them to be highly vulnerable to interference, particularly in the absence of any paper ballot audit trail.[27] Indeed, the evidence and testimony presented conforms with the patterns of heightened cybersecurity breach and data manipulation attacks now regularly appearing in civil financial cases as well as criminal cases.[28]

Defendants assert that the state election laws at issue are "narrowly drawn to effect the State's regulatory interest in maintaining fair, honest and efficient elections." (Response, Doc. 265 at 15.) This conclusory re-statement of an overarching principle of Fourteenth Amendment voting jurisprudence[29] does not by itself answer the issues before the Court. However, the Court recognizes the important policy changes and objectives achieved by the State's adoption via the Secretary of State's Office of the statewide integrated DRE voting system in approximately 2002. But the DRE system also originally was intended to include the capacity for an independent paper audit trail of every ballot cast, and this feature was never effectuated. (Report of the 21st Century Voting Commission, Pl. Ex. 10 at 38, introduced at Preliminary Injunction hearing; testimony of Cathy Cox.)

---

[27] The PowerPoint presentation of Dr. Wenke Lee, the sole cybersecurity scientist serving on the Secretary of State's new SAFE Commission, identifies similar overarching risks and solutions, including paper ballots as durable evidence of election results. (Pl. Ex. 5 at Preliminary Injunction Hearing.)

[28] But in contrast to the circumstances where there is no independent vote audit trail, when money is stolen through cybercrime, ultimately the theft is clearly obvious – the funds are gone.

[29] *See Burdick*, 504 U.S. at 433.

As the Court noted at the preliminary injunction hearing, rapidly evolving cybertechnology changes and challenges have altered the reality now facing electoral voting systems and Georgia's system in particular. And it is this reality that Plaintiffs substantiated with expert affidavits and testimony as well as an array of voter affidavits and documentation. Defendants sidestep the fact that Georgia is only one of five states that rely on a DRE voting process that generates no independent paper ballot or audit record. Yet the Plaintiffs' evidence as to the problems of security, accuracy, reliability, and currency of Georgia's system and software have hardly been rebutted by Defendants except via characterizations of the issues raised as entirely hypothetical and baseless. Ultimately, an electoral system must be accurate and trustworthy. The State's apparent asserted interest in maintaining the DRE system without significant change cannot by itself justify the burden and risks imposed given the circumstances presented. *Burdick*, 504 U.S. at 434.

Plaintiffs are substantially likely to succeed on the merits of one or more of their constitutional claims, though this finding is a cautious, preliminary one, especially in light of the initial state of the record. Plaintiffs have so far shown that the DRE system, as implemented, poses a concrete risk of alteration of ballot counts that would impact their own votes. Their evidence relates directly to the manner in which Defendants' alleged mode of implementation of the DRE voting system deprives them or puts them at imminent risk of deprivation of their fundamental right to cast an effective vote (i.e., a vote that is accurately counted).

United States v. Classic, 313 U.S. 299, 315 (1941); *Stewart*, 444 F.3d at 868. Plaintiffs' evidence also goes to the concern that when they vote by DRE, their vote is in jeopardy of being counted less accurately and thus given less weight than a paper ballot.[30] As the Supreme Court held in *Bush v. Gore*:

> The right to vote is protected in more than the initial allocation of the franchise. Equal protection applies as well to the manner of its exercise. Having once granted the right to vote on equal terms, the State may not, by later arbitrary and disparate treatment, value one person's vote over that of another. . . . It must be remembered that "the right of suffrage can be denied by a debasement or dilution of the weight of a citizen's vote just as effectively as by wholly prohibiting the free exercise of the franchise."

531 U.S. 98, 104-05 (2000) (internal citations omitted).

The Defendants rely on *Wexler v. Anderson*, 452 F.3d 1226 (11th Cir. 2006) in maintaining that Plaintiffs cannot establish the viability of their Fourteenth Amendment claims described above. But *Wexler* and this case are distinguishable. The Eleventh Circuit noted that the *Wexler* plaintiffs "did not plead that voters in touchscreen counties are less likely to cast effective votes due to the alleged lack of a meaningful manual recount procedure in those counties," and therefore their "burden is the mere possibility that should they cast residual ballots, those ballots will receive a different, and allegedly inferior, type of review in the event of a manual recount." *Id.* at 1226. *Wexler* distinguishes this situation from the one in

[30] Plaintiffs allege other theories under these constitutional claims, including that their right to cast a secret ballot is violated and that they must incur greater costs to cast an absentee ballot if they choose to avoid voting by DRE. Plaintiffs may be less likely to prevail on these theories, though the Court will not reach that conclusion now, especially given the incomplete status of the evidentiary record.

Stewart v. Blackwell, 444 F.3d at 868-72, where strict scrutiny was applied based on the plaintiffs' allegations of "vote dilution due to disparate use of certain voting technologies." 444 F.3d at 871. Thus, in contrast with *Stewart*, the Eleventh Circuit in *Wexler* did not apply strict scrutiny and instead reviewed "Florida's manual recount procedures to determine if they are justified by the State's 'important regulatory interests.'" *Wexler*, 452 F.3d at 1233 (citing *Burdick*, 504 U.S. at 434)).

Here, Plaintiffs appear to present facts that fall somewhere between *Wexler* and *Stewart*. Unlike *Wexler*, Plaintiffs are alleging that they are less likely to be able to cast accurate or effective ballots when voting by DRE. The evidence here is not as well developed as that in *Stewart*, which was decided on a fully factually developed summary judgment record. Still, Plaintiffs in this case have presented sufficient evidence so far that their votes cast by DRE may be altered, diluted, or effectively not counted on the same terms as someone using another voting method – or that there is a serious risk of this under the circumstances.

Turning to the second element for a preliminary injunction, the Court also finds that Plaintiffs have demonstrated a real risk of suffering irreparable injury without court intervention. This analysis to some extent parallels the "injury in fact" standing analysis above. Absent an injunction, there is a threat that Plaintiffs' votes in the upcoming elections will not be accurately counted. Given the absence of an independent paper audit trail of the vote, the scope of this threat is difficult

to quantify, though even a minor alteration of votes in close electoral races can make a material difference in the outcome.

Finally, the Court considers together the last two factors in evaluating whether preliminary injunctive relief should be granted: whether the threatened injury to the movant outweighs whatever damage the proposed injunction may cause the opposing party; and, if issued, whether the injunction would not be adverse to the public interest. The Court considers these factors in tandem, as the real question posed in this context is how injunctive relief at this eleventh-hour would impact the public interest in an orderly and fair election, with the fullest voter participation possible and an accurate count of the ballots cast.

This assessment involves a true catch-22.

While Plaintiffs have shown the threat of real harms to their constitutional interests, the eleventh-hour timing of their motions and an instant grant of the paper ballot relief requested could just as readily jeopardize the upcoming elections, voter turnout, and the orderly administration of the election. Defendants introduced substantial evidence from Elections Directors from counties with major populations (e.g., Fulton, Cobb, Gwinnett, Muscogee, and Richmond Counties) regarding the fiscal, organizational, and practical impediments and burdens associated with a court order that would require immediate implementation of paper ballot and ballot scanning voting systems for the 2018 election cycle. (*See* Testimony of Richard Barron at Preliminary Injunction Hearing; Doc. 265-3; Doc. 265-6.) Various representatives of the

Secretary of State's office provided testimony regarding similar organizational and fiscal challenges. Some of these concerns, such as authority for emergency purchase orders of ballot paper or scanners, clearly are resolvable based on emergency purchase provisions under state and local law. The Court's greater concern, in considering the evidence, is that the massive scrambling required to implement such injunctive relief in roughly 2,600 precincts and 159 countries will seriously test the organizational capacity of the personnel handling the election, to the detriment of Georgia voters.

Plaintiffs have submitted evidence from various jurisdictions in other states of their ability to smoothly roll out a paper ballot/optical scanning system in an expedited time frame as well an affidavit from the administrator overseeing Maryland's transition over an expedited, but still far longer, time frame. The challenges presented in these jurisdictions are not comparable to managing a rapid implementation of a balloting system, associated technology, and administrative transition in less than seven weeks on a statewide basis in large population centers as well as tiny ones simultaneously. Nor is it likely simple to roll out a paper ballot system with proper, efficient scanning, when many polling station personnel throughout the state have never handled this scope of a paper ballot exercise – or used accompanying scanners on a massive basis.

Further, early elections begin mid-October. This poses an even earlier deadline for action and organization. Fulton County's Elections Director testified that the County would only be able to cope with the challenges of an immediate

ballot requirement by limiting early voting to one central location, rather than offering it at 20 locations spread throughout the county. This, of course, would likely directly impact voter turnout and access to voting.

Ultimately, any chaos or problems that arise in connection with a sudden rollout of a paper ballot system with accompanying scanning equipment may swamp the polls with work and voters – and result in voter frustration and disaffection from the voting process. There is nothing like bureaucratic confusion and long lines to sour a citizen. And that description does not even touch on whether voters themselves, many of whom may never have cast a paper ballot before, will have been provided reasonable materials to prepare them for properly executing the paper ballots.

The Court attempted to expedite this case at earlier times to no avail. The Court understands some of the reasons why Plaintiffs may have been unable to file a preliminary injunction motion before new counsel for the Coalition Plaintiffs filed a Third Amended Complaint in June 2018. But the *August* filing of their motion for preliminary injunction effectively put the squeeze on their proposed remedial relief. Requiring injunctive relief on this broad of a scale, and on an abrupt, time-limited basis, would likely undermine a paper ballot initiative with a scanned audit trail that appears in reality to be critically needed.

Meanwhile, the State Defendants have also stood by for far too long, given the mounting tide of evidence of the inadequacy and security risks of Georgia's DRE voting system and software. The Court is gravely concerned about the State's

pace in responding to the serious vulnerabilities of its voting system – which were raised as early as 2016 – while aging software arrangements, hardware, and other deficiencies were evident still earlier. The Secretary of State's Secure, Accessible, & Fair Elections (SAFE) Commission has held just two meetings since its establishment in April 2018, though it is tasked with making recommendations to the legislature that convenes in January 2019. The State and the County's arguments about the time and resource constraints at issue, in the event the Court granted the requested injunctive relief, are compelling right now, with the November election just weeks away. But these arguments only weaken the more that time passes and if Defendants continue to move in slow motion or take ineffective or no action. For upcoming elections after November 2018, Defendants are forewarned that these same arguments would hold much less sway in the future – as any timing issues then would appear to be exclusively of Defendants' own making at that point.

Upon considering the totality of the evidence in connection with the four factors that must guide the Court's determination regarding the grant of extraordinary relief as to Plaintiffs' constitutional claims, the Court finds that the Plaintiffs have not carried their burden of persuasion to establish these prerequisites for such extraordinary injunctive relief in the immediate 2018 election time frame ahead.

V. Conclusion

While Plaintiffs' motions for preliminary injunction [Docs. 258, 260, 271] are **DENIED**, the Court advises the Defendants that further delay is not tolerable in their confronting and tackling the challenges before the State's election balloting system. The State's posture in this litigation – and some of the testimony and evidence presented – indicated that the Defendants and State election officials had buried their heads in the sand. This is particularly so in their dealing with the ramifications of the major data breach and vulnerability at the Center for Election Services, which contracted with the Secretary of State's Office, as well as the erasure of the Center's server database and a host of serious security vulnerabilities permitted by their outdated software and system operations.

A wound or reasonably threatened wound to the integrity of a state's election system carries grave consequences beyond the results in any specific election, as it pierces citizens' confidence in the electoral system and the value of voting.

Advanced persistent threats in this data-driven world and ordinary hacking are unfortunately here to stay. Defendants will fail to address that reality if they demean as paranoia the research-based findings of national cybersecurity engineers and experts in the field of elections. Nor will surface-level audit procedures address this reality when viruses and malware alter data results and evade or suppress detection. The parties have strongly intimated that this case is headed for immediate appeal. But if the case stays with or comes back to this Court, the Court will insist on further proceedings moving on an expedited

schedule. The 2020 elections are around the corner. If a new balloting system is to be launched in Georgia in an effective manner, it should address democracy's critical need for transparent, fair, accurate, and verifiable election processes that guarantee each citizen's fundamental right to cast an accountable vote.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 17th day of September, 2018.



Amy Totenberg
United States District Judge

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV313418**

PLAINTIFFS' NOTICE OF PROFFER OF EVIDENCE

Plaintiffs' have shown that the pending motions to dismiss under O.C.G.A. § 9-11-12(b)(6) should be denied because Plaintiffs' allegations state claims for relief under the Georgia Election Contest statute, O.C.G.A. § 5-2-520, and under 28 U.S.C. § 1983, and Defendants have failed to carry their burden of showing "with certainty" that Plaintiffs would not be entitled to relief "under any state of provable facts," or that Plaintiffs "could not possibly introduce evidence within the framework of the complaint sufficient to warrant a grant of the relief sought." *Scouten v. Amerisave Mortg. Corp.,* 283 Ga. 72, 73 (2008) (citation omitted).

The Petition in this case already identified evidence sufficient to warrant a grant of the relief sought. In additional support, Plaintiffs file this Notice of Proffer of Evidence, which evidence illustrates the nature and quality of the evidence that Plaintiffs will introduce in support of their claims. Specifically, Plaintiffs have filed herewith the following:

A. *Affidavit of Philip B. Stark.*

Professor Stark is Professor of Statistics and Associate Dean of Mathematical and Physical Sciences at the University of California, Berkeley. Professor Stark's qualifications and expertise in this area are simply without parallel. Among his other areas of expertise relating to statistics and elections, Professor Stark is an expert in the field of post-election auditing. He has been qualified as an expert in state and federal courts, testified before committees of the U.S. House of Representatives and Senate, and published more than one hundred and ninety articles and books.

In his Affidavit, Professor Stark renders an opinion as to the "undervote rates between paper ballots and votes cast on DREs" in the 2018 general election. Based upon his statistical analysis, Professor Stark concludes: "The disparity in undervote rates by voting technology strongly suggests that malfunction, misconfiguration, bugs, hacking, or other error or malfeasance caused some DREs not to record votes in the Lt. Governor's contest." Stark Aff. ¶ 23. Professor

Stark also analyzed anomalous results of a DRE machine in a single precinct, the Winterville Train Depot. Professor Stark's states that his tests "strongly suggest that machine 3 had some other software or hardward problem: misconfiguration, error, defect, hack, or malfunction," adding that the "most plausible explanation is that machine 3 was misconfigured in a way that caused votes for Republican candidates to be recorded as votes for Democratic candidates, and vice versa." Stark Aff. ¶ 29. (Plaintiffs will present evidence of many more such anomalous precinct results in evidentiary proceedings.)

Professor Stark concludes: "Based on my analysis, described above, and my knowledge of Georgia's DRE voting system used in the November 6, 2018 election, it is my opinion that the certified results of the Lieutenant Governor's race are in substantial doubt." Stark Aff. ¶ 29.

B. *Affidavit of Christopher Brill*

Christopher Brill is a Senior Data Analyst with TargetSmart Communications LLC, an industry leader in voter data and political campaign services. Mr. Brill and his team at TargetSmart analyzed the reported votes cast for Lt. Governor in the 2018 election to examine for possible irregularities. In his affidavit, Mr. Brill addresses possible explanations for the undervote in the Lt. Governor's race, and finds no reasonable, plausible explanation other than machine malfunction. Among other facts that Mr. Brill finds important in his analysis are

historical undervote trends and the alarming difference in undervote percentage for Lt. Governor based on vote method – DRE or paper – a difference not found in the undervote percentages for Governor, Secretary of State, or Attorney General. Mr. Brill concludes that the undervote totals reflected in the Lt. Governor's race are "extremely suspect and irregular and cast a serious doubt over the accuracy of the final vote and the certified outcome of the Lt. Governor's contest." Brill Aff., Exhibit A, page 5.

C. *Grady High School Results – Johnson and Greenwald Affidavits*

As alleged in the Petition, there were numerous instances state-wide of a variety of extremely suspect results and machine malfunctions (Lt. Governor race not present on some electronic ballots, vote flipping, etc.). One example of anomalous results and irregularities comes from Grady High School where the reported official totals materially exceed the votes cast according to the publicly posted DRE machine tapes. The Secretary of State reports 280 votes more in the Lt. Governor race reflected on the 14 DRE machine tapes photographed. (Greenwald Aff. Exhibit B) (Exhibit B is worksheet that may be more easily reviewed online at https://coaltionforgoodgovernance.sharefile.com/d-s3917ca95b4f4f45a). Further, there were only 10 DRE machines (Johnson Aff. ¶ 5) in the polling place but 14 machine tapes were posted after the close of the polls.

(Greenwald Aff. ¶ 9). This is clear evidence of irregularity; discovery will be necessary to explain these material differences.

D. *DeKalb Midvale Elementary Precinct*

Another example of anomalous results comes from DeKalb County's Midvale Elementary School Precinct where the consolidated machine poll tape shows 727 total ballots cast (Greenwald Aff. Exhibit E), but the Secretary of State's official results reported 796 votes cast for the Lt. Governor's race, and over 800 votes cast for other statewide races. In her Answer, Secretary Crittenden does not contend that the allegations regarding this irregularity are not correct; instead, she states that the allegations "are outside the scope" of her knowledge. (Secretary's Answer, January 2, 2019, ¶ 48).

E. *Discovery Necessary*

This evidence establishes by a preponderance of the evidence that the election was "so defective" as "to place in doubt the result" of the Lt. Governor election, warranting a call for a new election under O.C.G.A. § 5-2-527(d). The examples identified in this Notice are merely illustrative of the type of widespread irregularities that have been identified by Coalition for Good Governance from the publicly available information. As Professor Stark states, to determine the exact nature, causes and extent of the irregularities, the "investigation most likely to

produce definitive evidence is a forensic examination of the hardware and software of DREs and other computerized systems used by Georgia." Stark Aff. ¶ 32.

Respectfully submitted this 8th day of January, 2019.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown Law LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE
Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing via the Court's e-filing system to the attorneys of record who have made appearances.

This 8th day of January, 2019.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown

EXHIBIT

A

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV31348**

DECLARATION OF PHILIP B. STARK

PHILIP B. STARK hereby declares as follows:

Qualifications and Background

1. I am Professor of Statistics and Associate Dean of Mathematical and Physical Sciences at the University of California, Berkeley, where I am also a faculty member in the Graduate Program in Computational Data Science and Engineering; a co-investigator at the Berkeley Institute for Data Science; principal investigator of the Consortium for Data Analytics in Risk; director of Berkeley Open Source Food; and affiliated faculty of the Simons Institute for the Theory of Computing, the Theoretical Astrophysics Center, and the Berkeley Food Institute. Previously, I was Chair of the Department of Statistics and Director of the Statistical Computing Facility.
2. I have published more than one hundred and ninety articles and books. I have served on the editorial boards of archival journals in physical science, Applied Mathematics, Computer Science, and Statistics. I currently serve on four editorial boards. I have lectured at universities, professional societies, and government agencies in thirty countries. I was a Presidential Young Investigator and a Miller Research Professor. I received the U.C. Berkeley Chancellor's Award for Research in the Public Interest, the Leamer-Rosenthal Prize for Open Social Science, and a Velux/Villum Foundation Professorship. I am a member of the Institute for Mathematical Statistics and the Bernoulli Society. I am a Fellow of the American Statistical Association, the Institute of Physics, and the Royal Astronomical Society. I am professionally accredited as a statistician by the American Statistical Association and as a physicist by the Institute of Physics.

3. I have consulted for many government agencies, including the U.S. Department of Justice, the U.S. Department of Agriculture, the U.S. Department of Commerce, the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs, the Federal Trade Commission, the California Secretary of State, the California Attorney General, the California Highway Patrol, the Colorado Secretary of State, the Georgia Department of Law, and the Illinois State Attorney. I currently serve on the Board of Advisors of the U.S. Election Assistance Commission and on the Board of Directors of Verified Voting Foundation. (The opinions expressed herein are, however, my own: I am not writing as a representative of any entity.)
4. I have testified before the U.S. House of Representatives Subcommittee on the Census; the State of California Senate Committee on Elections, Reapportionment and Constitutional Amendments; the State of California Assembly Committee on Elections and Redistricting; the State of California Senate Committee on Natural Resources; and the State of California Little Hoover Commission.
5. I have been an expert witness or non-testifying expert in a variety of state and federal cases, for plaintiffs and for defendants, in criminal matters and a range of civil matters, including, *inter alia*: truth in advertising, antitrust, construction defects, consumer class actions, credit risk, disaster relief, elections, employment discrimination, environmental protection, equal protection, fairness in lending, federal legislation, First Amendment, import restrictions, insurance, intellectual property, jury selection, mortgage-backed securities, natural resources, product liability class actions, *qui tam*, risk assessment, toxic tort class actions, trade secrets, utilities, and wage and hour class actions.

6. I have been qualified as an expert on statistics in federal courts, including the Central District of California, the District of Maryland, the Southern District of New York, and the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.
7. I have also been qualified as an expert on statistics in state courts.
8. I have used statistics to address a wide range of questions in many fields.[1]
9. I served on former California Secretary of State Debra Bowen's Post-Election Audit Standards Working Group in 2007.
10. In 2007, I invented a statistical approach to auditing elections ("risk-limiting audits") that has been incorporated into statutes in California (AB 2023, SB 360, AB 44, AB 2125), Colorado (C.R.S. 1-7-515), and Rhode Island (RI Gen L §17-19-37.4 (2017)), and which were recently proposed in federal legislation (the PAVE Act of 2018). RLAs have been tested in California, Colorado, Indiana, Michigan, New Jersey, Ohio, Virginia, and Denmark.
11. RLAs are widely viewed as the best way to check the accuracy of vote tabulation. They have been endorsed by the Presidential Commission on Election Administration, the National Academy of Sciences report *Securing the Vote: Protecting American Democracy*, the American Statistical Association, the League of Women Voters, Verified Voting Foundation, Citizens for Election Integrity Minnesota, and other groups concerned with election integrity.
12. I have worked closely with state and local election officials in California and Colorado to pilot and deploy RLAs. The software Colorado uses to conduct RLAs is based on software I wrote.

---

[1] For example, I have used statistics to analyze the Big Bang, the interior structure of the Earth and Sun, the risk of large earthquakes, the reliability of clinical trials, the accuracy of election results, the accuracy of the U.S. Census, the risk of consumer credit default, the causes of geriatric hearing loss, the effectiveness of water treatment, the fragility of ecological food webs, risks to protected species, the effectiveness of Internet content filters, high-energy particle physics data, and the reliability of models of climate, among other things.

13. I worked with Travis County, Texas, on the design of STAR-Vote, an auditable and end-to-end cryptographically verifiable voting system.
14. I testified as an expert witness in the general area of election integrity, including the reliability of voting equipment, in 2016 presidential candidate Jill Stein's recount suit in Wisconsin, and filed a report in her suit in Michigan.
15. I have testified as an expert in election auditing and the accuracy of election results in two election-related lawsuits in California.
16. I have testified to both houses of the California legislature regarding election integrity and election audits. I have testified to the California Little Hoover Commission about election integrity, voting equipment, and election audits.
17. I submitted two declarations in Donna Curling et al. v. Brian P. Kemp et al., Civil Action 1:17-cv-2989-AT, United States District Court, Northern District of Georgia, Atlanta Division. My declarations concerned election integrity and security, vulnerabilities of Georgia's election systems, and the need for voter-marked paper ballots and post-election audits in Georgia.
18. Since 1988, I have taught statistics at the University of California, Berkeley, one of the top two statistics departments in the world (see, e.g., QS World University Rankings, 2014) and the nation (US News and World Reports, 2014). I teach statistics regularly at the undergraduate and graduate levels. I have created five new statistics courses at Berkeley. I developed and taught U.C. Berkeley's first online course in any subject, and among the first approved for credit throughout the ten campuses of the University of California system. I also developed and co-taught online statistics courses to over 52,000 students, using an online textbook and other pedagogical materials I wrote and programmed.

19. Appendix 1 is my current *curriculum vitae*, which includes my publications for the last ten years and all cases in the last four years in which I gave deposition or trial testimony.

Materials Relied Upon

20. I relied on XML files of Georgia election results downloaded via the Georgia Secretary of State's website, at the URL https://results.enr.clarityelections.com/GA/91639/222278/reports/detailxml.zip I also relied on photographs of poll tapes from the Winterville Train Depot polling place in Clarke County, Georgia. I understand that the photographs were taken by Ms. Lee Ann Pingel after the close of the polls on election day.

Opinions

21. I offer opinions with respect to two kinds of anomalies in the results of the 2018 midterm elections in Georgia.

22. My first opinion concerns the difference in undervote rates between paper ballots and votes cast on DREs in statewide contests. The undervote rate in the Lt. Governor's contest is substantially higher for ballots cast on direct-recording electronic (DRE) equipment than for ballots cast by mail using paper ballots, by an amount that cannot reasonably be ascribed to chance. In 101 of 159 Georgia counties, the difference is statistically significant at level 0.01 percent.[2] In contrast, in the contests for Secretary of State, Attorney General, Commissioner

[2] The significance levels are for a two-sample test that uses the hypergeometric distribution of the number of "good" items in a simple random sample from a population of items that can be either "good" or "bad." The total number of undervotes by mode of voting (by mail, early, and Election Day) was estimated by treating the statewide contest that received the most votes in each county as if that number of votes was equal to the number of ballots cast. That estimation was necessary because Georgia does not report total ballots cast by mode of voting. Because this maximum was almost always for the gubernatorial contest, that contest is not included in the calculation: its relative undervote rate is, by definition, zero. Provisionally cast ballots, of which there are relatively few, were not included. Under the null hypothesis, mode of voting (electronic versus paper) is a label assigned as if at random to each ballot, conditioned on the total number of ballots cast by each mode of voting. Data for the analyses was downloaded via the Georgia Secretary of State's website from the URL https://results.enr.clarityelections.com/GA/91639/222278/reports/detailxml.zip Software used to extract contest-

of Agriculture, Commissioner of Insurance, State School Superintendent, Commissioner of Labor, Public Service Commission District 3, and Public Service Commission District 5, the difference is statistically significant in no more than 5 counties. See Table 1.

23. This disparity in undervote rates by voting technology strongly suggests that malfunction, misconfiguration, bugs, hacking, or other error or malfeasance caused some DREs not to record votes in the Lt. Governor's contest.

Table 1: Counties with statistically significant ($p<0.0001$) disparities in undervote rates between paper ballots and DREs

Contest	Counties with significant undervote rate disparities
Lt. Governor	101
Secretary of State	4
Attorney General	4
Commissioner of Agriculture	5
Commissioner of Insurance	4
State School Superintendent	5
Commissioner of Labor	2
Public Service Commission, District 3	4
Public Service Commission, District 5	4

24. My second opinion concerns the machine-level results for the Winterville Train Depot polling place in Clarke County. There were seven DREs in the polling place; they recorded similar numbers of ballots (117, 135, 131, 133, 135, 144, 135). In this polling place, Democratic candidates won a majority in all ten statewide contests. Every DRE reported a majority for the Democratic candidate in all ten statewide contests except machine 3, which reported a majority for the Republican candidate in every contest.

25. On the assumption that voters were directed to DREs as if at random, the chance any of the seven machines would show disparities as large as machine 3 did in individual contests

---

level results from those official is given in Appendix II. Software to perform the statistical tests is given in Appendix III.

ranges from less than one percent to approximately 15 percent.[3] Seven of the ten values are significant at level 5 percent or below. See Table 2.

Table 2: Consistency of Results across DREs in Winterville Train Station Polling Place

Contest	P-value
Governor	0.114
Lt. Governor	0.025
Secretary of State	0.018
Attorney General	0.151
Commissioner of Agriculture	0.026
Commissioner of Insurance	0.030
State School Superintendent	0.097
Commissioner of Labor	0.008
Public Service Commission, District 3	0.046
Public Service Commission, District 5	0.025

26. On the assumption that votes were cast on different DREs as if at random, the chance that any of the seven machines would show anomalies as large as machine 3 did is about 0.00009 percent, i.e., less than one in a million.[4]

27. If the Democratic and Republican party labels are flipped on the third machine, the anomaly disappears. For individual contests, no P-value is below 0.280 on the assumption that voters are directed to DREs as if at random, compared with values as small as 0.008 (and seven values below 5 percent) for the actual poll tapes. See Table 3.

[3] These results are based on permutation tests conditional on the number of ballots cast on each machine. The test statistic is the largest absolute difference between the expected and actual fraction of Republican votes in each contest. The P-values are two-sided, conservative P-values for a randomized test; the randomization was performed using a cryptographically secure pseudo-random number generator. Software to perform the statistical tests is given in Appendix IV.

[4] This result is based on a permutation test conditional on the number of ballots cast on each machine. The test statistic is the largest absolute difference between the expected and actual fraction of Republican votes in each contest. Results for different contests were combined using Fisher's combining function to produce the value reported in paragraph 25. The P-values are conservative P-values for randomized tests; the randomization was performed using a cryptographically secure pseudo-random number generator. Software used for the calculations is given in Appendix IV.

28. Similarly, on the assumption that votes are distributed randomly across machines, the chance that the discrepancies would be as large as observed would be roughly 97 percent, rather than 0.00009 percent, the value for the original data.

Table 3: Consistency in Results across DREs in Winterville Train Station Polling Place, if D and R were Flipped on Machine 3.

Contest	P-value
Governor	0.464
Lt. Governor	0.795
Secretary of State	0.450
Attorney General	0.543
Commissioner of Agriculture	0.734
Commissioner of Insurance	0.604
State School Superintendent	0.807
Commissioner of Labor	0.797
Public Service Commission, District 3	0.280
Public Service Commission, District 5	0.939

29. These tests strongly suggest that machine 3 had some other software or hardware problem: misconfiguration, error, defect, hack, or malfunction. The most plausible explanation is that machine 3 was misconfigured in a way that caused votes for Republican candidates to be recorded as votes for Democratic candidates, and vice versa.

I understand that the Winterville Train Depot polling place is one of a number of polling places in which Georgia voters photographed poll tapes after the close of polls. It was not selected at random, but neither is there reason to believe that problems are confined to that polling place.

Conclusions

30. Based on my analysis, described above, and my knowledge of Georgia's DRE voting system used in the November 6, 2018 election, it is my opinion that the certified results of the Lieutenant Governor's race are in substantial doubt.

31. Further statistical analysis of available data may be informative, but it cannot by itself determine who won, nor ascertain with certainty whether there were malfunctions, errors, bugs, defects or hacks, nor, if there were such problems, whether those problems caused the wrong candidate to appear to win.

32. The investigation most likely to produce definitive evidence is a forensic examination of the hardware and software of DREs and other computerized systems used by Georgia counties and the State of Georgia to record, tabulate, aggregate, and report votes and election results, including the hardware and software of devices used to configure those systems.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on this date, 7 January 2019, in Berkeley, California.

Philip B. Stark

A notary public or other officer completing this certificate verifies only the identity of the individual who signed the document to which this certificate is attached, and not the truthfulness, accuracy, or validity of that document.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)SS

COUNTY OF ALAMEDA)

On JAN, 07TH 2019 before me, ANDREW ADIKRI BAPI, Notary Public, personally appeared PHILIP B. STARK.

who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.

I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.

WITNESS my hand and official seal.

Signature

ANDREW ADIKRI BAPI
COMM. # 2211457
NOTARY PUBLIC - CALIFORNIA
ALAMEDA COUNTY
COMM. EXPIRES SEPT. 22, 2021

This area for official notarial seal.

APPENDIX I

Curriculum Vitae
Philip Bradford Stark

Biographical Information 1
Interests 1
Appointments 1
Awards and Fellowships 2
Affiliations and Professional Societies 3
Education 4
Mentors 4
Publications 5
Refereed Publications 5
Books and Edited Volumes 18
Book Chapters 18
Technical Reports, White Papers, and Unrefereed Publications 20
Editorials, Reviews, Comments, Letters 26
Software 32
Patents 33
Selected Presentations 33
Other Invited Seminars 64
Press 66
Teaching and Advising 92
Courses 92
Former Graduate Students and Postdocs 94
Graduate Committees 95
First-year PhD advising 101
Current PhD advisees 101
Undergraduate Research Advisees 101
Service 102
Professional Societies and Government Agencies 102
Foundations, Non-Profit Corporations, and Industry 110
Editorial and Referee Service 110
University and Higher Education 112
Contracts and Grants 119
Consulting and Expert Witness Experience 122
Recent Testimony 129

Biographical Information

Born: 7 October 1960, Houston, Texas.

Citizenship: U.S.A.

Interests

Theory: Inference, inverse problems, multiplicity, nonparametrics, optimization, restricted parameters, sampling

Applications: Astrophysics, cosmology, ecology, elections, geophysics, health, legislation, litigation, marketing, physics, public policy, risk assessment and control, uncertainty quantification

Appointments

10/2015–present Associate Dean, Division of Mathematical and Physical Sciences, University of California, Berkeley

6/2016–8/2016 Visiting Professor of Theoretical Computer Science, IT University of Copenhagen

7/2012–6/2015 Chair, Department of Statistics, and Director, Statistical Computing Facility, University of California, Berkeley

7/2011–6/2012 Vice Chair, Department of Statistics, University of California, Berkeley

7/2011–8/2011 Acting Chair, Department of Statistics, University of California, Berkeley

7/2008–present Faculty, Designated Emphasis in Computational and Data Science and Engineering, University of California, Berkeley

7/1998–present Professor, Department of Statistics, University of California, Berkeley

7/2001–6/2003 Faculty Assistant in Educational Technology (to Vice Provost for Undergraduate Education), University of California, Berkeley

6/1996 Visiting Associate Professor, School of Mathematical Sciences, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel

7/1994–6/1998 Associate Professor, Department of Statistics, University of California, Berkeley

7/1988–6/1994 Assistant Professor, Department of Statistics, University of California, Berkeley

7/1987–6/1990 National Science Foundation Postdoctoral Fellow in Mathematical Sciences

1/1987–6/1987 Postgraduate Research, Department of Statistics, University of California, Berkeley

8/1986–12/1986 Postgraduate Research, Institute for Geophysics and Planetary Physics, UC San Diego

Awards and Fellowships

Velux/Villum Foundation Visiting Professor Programme (2015–2016)

Leamer-Rosenthal Prize for Transparency in Social Science (2015)

Chancellor's Award for Public Service, Research in the Public Interest, University of California, Berkeley (2011)

John Gideon Award for Election Integrity, Election Verification Network (2011)

Mellon Library/Faculty Fellow for Undergraduate Research (2006–2007)

Presidential Chair Fellow, University of California, Berkeley (2003–2004)

Fellow, American Statistical Association (selected 2014)

Fellow, Institute of Physics (elected 1999)

Miller Research Professor, Miller Institute for Basic Research in Science (1999)

Dobson Fellow, University of California at Berkeley (1998, 1999)

Presidential Young Investigator (1989–1995)

National Science Foundation Postdoctoral Fellowship in Mathematical Sciences (1987–1989)

University Fellowship, University of Texas at Austin (1982–1983)

Affiliations

Association of Foragers

Berkeley Institute for Data Science (BIDS), University of California, Berkeley

Berkeley Food Institute, University of California, Berkeley

Berkeley Open Source Food, University of California, Berkeley

Center for Astrostatistics, Pennsylvania State University

Global Oscillation Network Group (GONG)

National Partnership for Advanced Computational Infrastructure (NPACI)

Simons Institute for the Theory of Computing, University of California, Berkeley

Solar and Heliospheric Observatory Solar Oscillations Investigation (SOHO-SOI)

Space Sciences Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley

Theoretical Astrophysics Center, University of California, Berkeley

Professional Societies

American Statistical Association: Fellow and Accredited Professional Statistician

Bernoulli Society for Mathematical Statistics and Probability

Institute of Mathematical Statistics

Institute of Physics: Fellow and Chartered Physicist

International Statistical Institute

Royal Astronomical Society: Fellow

Education

A.B. 1980, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey

Ph.D. 1986, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California

Mentors

Robert L. Parker, Institute for Geophysics and Planetary Physics, Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California, San Diego (PhD dissertation advisor)

George E. Backus, Institute for Geophysics and Planetary Physics, Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California, San Diego (postdoctoral advisor)

David L. Donoho, Department of Statistics, Stanford University (postdoctoral advisor)

APPENDIX II

Pre-processing Georgia XML Election Data

In [1]:
```
%matplotlib inline
import math
import numpy as np
import scipy as sp
import scipy.optimize
from scipy.stats import hypergeom, binom, norm
from scipy import special
from cryptorandom.cryptorandom import SHA256
from cryptorandom import sample
from permute.utils import binom_conf_interval
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import pandas as pd
from lxml import etree
import csv

elec_fn = '../../Data/detail.xml'
```

In [2]:
```
elec = etree.parse(elec_fn)
```

In [3]:
```
e_root = elec.getroot()
```

Example record:

11/9/2018 8:13:23 PM EST November 6, 2018 - General Election
11/6/2018
Richmond

```
<VoterTurnout ballotsCast="70355" totalVoters="122747" voterTurnout="57.32">
  <Precincts>
    <Precinct ballotsCast="536" name="101" percentReporting="4" totalVoters="830" voterTurnout="64.58"/>
  </Precincts>
</VoterTurnout>
```

… … …

In [4]:
```
# Contest key=20000 is Governor, Choice key=40 is Kemp

elec.xpath("sum(Contest[@key='20000']/Choice[@key='40']//County/@votes)")

# elec.xpath("Contest[@text='Governor']/Choice[@text='BRIAN KEMP  (REP)']//County[@votes]")
# elec.find('//Precinct')
```

Out[4]: 1978408.0

Create a CSV file with total ballots cast in each county

Verified matching between CSV, XML, and GA website on 1/4/2019 by KO:

- totals for Appling, Atkinson, Bacon, Baker, Baldwin match
- total ballots cast statewide 3,949,905 matches

In [5]:
```
totals = elec.xpath("ElectionVoterTurnout/Counties/County")

# open a file for writing

total_ballots_by_county = open('../../Data/total_ballots_by_county.csv', 'w')
total = 0

# create the csv writer object

csvwriter = csv.writer(total_ballots_by_county)

csvwriter.writerow(["County", "Ballots cast"])
for v in totals:
    csvwriter.writerow([v.attrib["name"], v.attrib["ballotsCast"]])
    total += int(v.attrib["ballotsCast"])

# close the file

total_ballots_by_county.close()

# assert that the total by county, summed, equals the reported total

assert total == int(elec.xpath("ElectionVoterTurnout/@ballotsCast")[0])
```

Create a CSV file with reported votes by county by contest by candidate

Verified matching between CSV, XML, and GA website on 1/4/2019:

- Votes for Kemp in Bryan county, broken out by vote type, match in CSV and XML. The sum matches the website total 10,507.
- Votes for Geoff Duncan (Lt. Gov) in Ware county, broken out by vote type, match in CSV and XML. The sum matches the website total 7,619.

In [6]:
```
# open a file for writing

votes = open('../../Data/votes_by_candidate_county.csv', 'w')

# create the csv writer object

csvwriter = csv.writer(votes)
csvwriter.writerow(["Contest", "Candidate", "Vote type", "County", "Ballots cast"])

for contest in e_root.iter("Contest"):
    contest_name = contest.attrib["text"]
    for choice in contest.iter("Choice"):
        candidate = choice.attrib["text"]
        for votetype in choice.iter("VoteType"):
            val = votetype.attrib["name"]
            for v in votetype:
                csvwriter.writerow([contest_name, candidate, val, v.attrib["name"], v.attrib["votes"
]])

# close the file

votes.close()
```

Create CSV file with undervotes in the down-ticket statewide contests

Undervotes are counted *relative* to the number of ballots cast in the Governor's race. (We have total voter turnout, but it isn't broken out by vote type. Ballots cast for Governor are broken out by vote type.)

Checked on 1/4/19:

- No negative undervote counts
- For each Vote Type in each County, the Total Ballots is the same for every Contest

In [7]:
```
total_ballots_cast = pd.read_csv('../../Data/total_ballots_by_county.csv')
reported_votes = pd.read_csv('../../Data/votes_by_candidate_county.csv')
```

In [8]:
```
reported_votes.head()
```

Out[8]:

	Contest	Candidate	Vote type	County	Ballots cast
0	Governor	BRIAN KEMP (REP)	Election Day	Appling	2334
1	Governor	BRIAN KEMP (REP)	Election Day	Atkinson	808
2	Governor	BRIAN KEMP (REP)	Election Day	Bacon	609
3	Governor	BRIAN KEMP (REP)	Election Day	Baker	409
4	Governor	BRIAN KEMP (REP)	Election Day	Baldwin	3054

In [9]:
```
statewide_contests = np.array(["Governor", "Lieutenant Governor", "Secretary Of State", \
                               "Attorney General", "Commissioner Of Agriculture", \
                               "Commissioner Of Insurance", "State School Superintendent", "Commissio
ner Of Labor", \
                               "Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta", \
                               "Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western"])
reported_votes = reported_votes[reported_votes["Contest"].isin(statewide_contests)]

reported_votes_by_contest = reported_votes.groupby(["Contest", "County", "Vote type"])["Ballots cast"
].agg(np.sum)
reported_votes_by_contest = reported_votes_by_contest.reset_index()
reported_votes_by_contest.head()
```

Out[9]:

	Contest	County	Vote type	Ballots cast
0	Attorney General	Appling	Absentee by Mail	519
1	Attorney General	Appling	Advance in Person	3180
2	Attorney General	Appling	Election Day	2860
3	Attorney General	Appling	Provisional	3
4	Attorney General	Atkinson	Absentee by Mail	88

In [10]:
```
gov_race = reported_votes_by_contest[reported_votes_by_contest["Contest"]=="Governor"]
gov_race = gov_race.copy()
gov_race.rename(columns={'Ballots cast': 'Total ballots'}, inplace=True)
gov_race = gov_race.drop(columns=["Contest"])
gov_race.head()
```

Out[10]:

	County	Vote type	Total ballots
2544	Appling	Absentee by Mail	530
2545	Appling	Advance in Person	3298
2546	Appling	Election Day	2978
2547	Appling	Provisional	3
2548	Atkinson	Absentee by Mail	88

In [11]:
```
max_votes_estimated = reported_votes_by_contest.groupby(["County", "Vote type"]).agg(np.max)
max_votes_estimated = max_votes_estimated.drop(columns=["Contest"]).reset_index()
max_votes_estimated.rename(columns={'Ballots cast': 'Total ballots'}, inplace=True)
```

In [12]:
```
merged_votes = pd.DataFrame()
for contest in statewide_contests[1:]:
    this_race = reported_votes_by_contest["Contest"]==contest
    merged_votes_contest = pd.merge(max_votes_estimated, reported_votes_by_contest[this_race])
    merged_votes_contest["Undervotes"] = merged_votes_contest["Total ballots"] - merged_votes_contest
["Ballots cast"]
    merged_votes = pd.concat([merged_votes, merged_votes_contest])

merged_votes.head()
```

Out[12]:

	County	Vote type	Total ballots	Contest	Ballots cast	Undervotes
0	Appling	Absentee by Mail	530	Lieutenant Governor	523	7
1	Appling	Advance in Person	3298	Lieutenant Governor	3092	206
2	Appling	Election Day	2978	Lieutenant Governor	2768	210
3	Appling	Provisional	3	Lieutenant Governor	3	0
4	Atkinson	Absentee by Mail	88	Lieutenant Governor	88	0

In [13]:
```
merged_votes.to_csv('../../Data/undervotes_by_county.csv', index=False)
```

In [14]:
```
# version information
%load_ext version_information
%version_information scipy, numpy, csv, pandas, matplotlib, notebook, cryptorandom, permute
```

```
Loading extensions from ~/.ipython/extensions is deprecated. We recommend managing extensions like a
ny other Python packages, in site-packages.
```

```
/anaconda/lib/python3.6/site-packages/IPython/core/formatters.py:839: FormatterWarning: JSON expects
JSONable list/dict containers, not JSON strings
  FormatterWarning)
```

Out[14]:

Software	Version
Python	3.6.7 64bit [GCC 4.2.1 Compatible Clang 4.0.1 (tags/RELEASE_401/final)]
IPython	7.2.0
OS	Darwin 18.2.0 x86_64 i386 64bit
scipy	1.1.0
numpy	1.15.4
csv	1.0
pandas	0.23.1
matplotlib	3.0.2
notebook	5.7.4
cryptorandom	0.2
permute	0.1.alpha4
Sun Jan 06 13:57:02 2019 PST	

GA000512

APPENDIX III

Differential undervote rate in Lt. Gov contest

Compare undervote rates by mode of voting (paper versus electronic) using hypergeometric 2-sample test.

In [1]:
```
%matplotlib inline
import math
import numpy as np
import scipy as sp
import scipy.optimize
from scipy.stats import hypergeom, binom, norm, chi2
from scipy import special
from collections import Counter
from cryptorandom.cryptorandom import SHA256
from cryptorandom import sample
from permute.utils import binom_conf_interval
from permute.npc import fisher
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import pandas as pd
import csv
```

In [2]:
```
statewide_contests = np.array(["Lieutenant Governor", "Secretary Of State", \
                               "Attorney General", "Commissioner Of Agriculture", \
                               "Commissioner Of Insurance", "State School Superintendent", "Commissio
ner Of Labor", \
                               "Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta", \
                               "Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western"])
votes = pd.read_csv('../../Data/undervotes_by_county.csv')
votes.head()
```

Out[2]:

	County	Vote type	Total ballots	Contest	Ballots cast	Undervotes
0	Appling	Absentee by Mail	530	Lieutenant Governor	523	7
1	Appling	Advance in Person	3298	Lieutenant Governor	3092	206
2	Appling	Election Day	2978	Lieutenant Governor	2768	210
3	Appling	Provisional	3	Lieutenant Governor	3	0
4	Atkinson	Absentee by Mail	88	Lieutenant Governor	88	0

In [3]:
```
mode_mask = votes['Vote type'].isin(['Advance in Person', 'Election Day', 'Absentee by Mail'])
dre_mask = votes['Vote type'].isin(['Advance in Person', 'Election Day'])

p_thresh = 0.0001
contests_sig = Counter()

for c in statewide_contests:
    cont_mask = votes['Contest'] == c
    for cty in votes['County'].unique():
        cty_mask = votes['County'] == cty
        N = votes[mode_mask & cont_mask & cty_mask]['Total ballots'].sum()
        G = votes[mode_mask & cont_mask & cty_mask]['Undervotes'].sum()
        g = votes[dre_mask & cont_mask & cty_mask]['Undervotes'].sum()
        n = votes[dre_mask & cont_mask & cty_mask]['Ballots cast'].sum() + g
        # pmf(k, M, n, N) = choose(n, k) * choose(M - n, N - k) / choose(M, N),
        p = 2*min(hypergeom.cdf(g, N, G, n),hypergeom.sf(g-1, N, G, n))
        if p <= p_thresh:
            contests_sig[c] = contests_sig[c]+1
        print(c, cty, N, G, n, g, G/N, g/n, p)
```

Lieutenant Governor Appling 6806 423 6276 416 0.06215104319717896 0.0662842574888464 2.1439776587662654e-08
Lieutenant Governor Atkinson 2520 218 2432 218 0.0865079365079365 0.08963815789473684 0.0006011988993767051
Lieutenant Governor Bacon 3829 244 3655 242 0.06372420997649517 0.06621067031463748 0.0014452710502285972
Lieutenant Governor Baker 1291 101 1150 95 0.07823392718822618 0.08260869565217391 0.1172912140177249
Lieutenant Governor Baldwin 15633 892 14475 882 0.05705878590161837 0.060932642487046634 6.904218122683707e-19
Lieutenant Governor Banks 6852 220 6629 219 0.03210741389375365 0.033036657112686676 0.01057958862999079
Lieutenant Governor Barrow 27398 1060 26135 1049 0.03868895539820425 0.04013774631719916 3.900219802989691e-11
Lieutenant Governor Bartow 37327 478 35759 476 0.012805743831542852 0.01331133420956962 5.32938619891327e-07
Lieutenant Governor Ben Hill 5533 335 5213 332 0.06054581601301283 0.06368693650489161 4.49526479982811e-06
Lieutenant Governor Berrien 6247 320 5925 320 0.05122458780214503 0.0540084388185654 5.578953396708233e-08
Lieutenant Governor Bibb 60460 3049 55999 3014 0.050430036387694346 0.053822389685530096 1.9634746397364817e-60
Lieutenant Governor Bleckley 4855 214 4598 212 0.04407826982492276 0.046107003044802086 0.0012309494917529596
Lieutenant Governor Brantley 5689 292 5501 291 0.051327122517138335 0.052899472823123066 0.0009698979815758128
Lieutenant Governor Brooks 5696 353 5231 344 0.061973314606741575 0.06576180462626649 1.0991608699783508e-05
Lieutenant Governor Bryan 14970 543 14388 540 0.03627254509018036 0.03753127606338615 1.3295463008433782e-06
Lieutenant Governor Bulloch 23543 988 22467 972 0.041965764770844835 0.04326345306449459 4.385804568643126e-07
Lieutenant Governor Burke 8719 483 7751 480 0.05539626103910999 0.06192749322668043 3.3482447411816402e-21
Lieutenant Governor Butts 8863 355 8483 352 0.04005415773440144 0.041494754214310973 0.0002285992830354893
Lieutenant Governor Calhoun 1899 116 1714 114 0.061084781463928386 0.06651108518086347 0.0009525558082105067
Lieutenant Governor Camden 17053 631 15860 622 0.03700228698762681 0.03921815889029004 5.874904757442742e-11
Lieutenant Governor Candler 3538 181 3418 179 0.05115884680610514 0.05236980690462258 0.09803217107981956
Lieutenant Governor Carroll 41739 1548 40221 1541 0.037087615898799685 0.03831331891300564 5.4443703

82616403e-17
Lieutenant Governor Catoosa 23725 890 23185 887 0.0375131717597471 0.03825749406944145 3.23155990066
39145e-06
Lieutenant Governor Charlton 3369 191 3206 191 0.056693380825170676 0.05957579538365564 0.0001157816
1633823924
Lieutenant Governor Chatham 103338 4809 97161 4735 0.04653660802415375 0.04873354535255915 1.1932271
711283063e-54
Lieutenant Governor Chattahoochee 1102 65 1067 65 0.05898366606170599 0.06091846298031865 0.23009572
741168619
Lieutenant Governor Chattooga 7427 313 7202 311 0.042143530362192004 0.043182449319633436 0.00671638
4526635276
Lieutenant Governor Cherokee 106299 2789 100987 2758 0.026237311733882728 0.027310445898977097 9.253
11760914282e-30
Lieutenant Governor Clarke 43261 1655 40239 1623 0.03825616606181087 0.04033400432416313 1.974619000
0816885e-21
Lieutenant Governor Clay 1186 75 1043 72 0.06323777403035413 0.06903163950143816 0.02649754259821546
Lieutenant Governor Clayton 91840 4721 85993 4663 0.05140461672473868 0.0542253439233426 8.702654564
519675e-71
Lieutenant Governor Clinch 2254 163 2145 162 0.07231588287488909 0.07552447552447553 0.0045125102105
991214
Lieutenant Governor Cobb 310381 7920 284480 7718 0.02551702584887606 0.027130202474690664 2.79628003
48733273e-105
Lieutenant Governor Coffee 12595 930 12200 924 0.07383882493052799 0.07573770491803279 1.61202247911
70078e-07
Lieutenant Governor Colquitt 12953 819 12439 816 0.06322859569211765 0.06560012862770319 2.214245812
745641e-11
Lieutenant Governor Columbia 61591 1955 58655 1928 0.03174165056582942 0.03287017304577615 1.7844777
319302836e-16
Lieutenant Governor Cook 5803 285 5559 282 0.04911252800275719 0.050728548300053966 0.00332478691271
6678
Lieutenant Governor Coweta 58056 1929 55244 1909 0.033226539892517566 0.03455578886394903 5.87107139
6746346e-21
Lieutenant Governor Crawford 4931 263 4697 259 0.05333603731494626 0.05514157973174367 0.00785466526
9436633
Lieutenant Governor Crisp 7024 434 6661 430 0.061788154897494306 0.06455487164089475 1.8179405492627
983e-06
Lieutenant Governor Dade 5450 253 5321 249 0.046422018348623854 0.04679571509114828 0.55416253626200
07
Lieutenant Governor Dawson 11570 367 11131 364 0.03171996542783059 0.032701464378762014 0.0007329956
740859268
Lieutenant Governor DeKalb 310968 12765 291296 12458 0.041049239793161996 0.042767494232670546 7.724
111758782382e-98
Lieutenant Governor Decatur 9043 498 8495 497 0.055070220059714695 0.05850500294290759 8.46781550033

1415e-13
Lieutenant Governor Dodge 7051 477 6603 468 0.06764997872642178 0.0708768741481145 3.855428784205159
e-06
Lieutenant Governor Dooly 3793 312 3597 308 0.08225678882151331 0.0856269113149847 0.000369678656833
16676
Lieutenant Governor Dougherty 31297 2043 29353 2021 0.06527782215547816 0.0688515654277246 7.7599128
64954536e-33
Lieutenant Governor Douglas 55197 1894 51964 1874 0.03431345906480425 0.03606342852744208 1.33049764
50251036e-27
Lieutenant Governor Early 4131 192 3658 182 0.04647785039941903 0.04975396391470749 0.00385303859557
69424
Lieutenant Governor Echols 1143 72 1108 72 0.06299212598425197 0.06498194945848375 0.197934412738428
88
Lieutenant Governor Effingham 23365 951 22615 941 0.04070190455809972 0.04160955118284325 1.82246779
2925356e-05
Lieutenant Governor Elbert 7319 364 6756 362 0.0497335701598579 0.05358200118413262 1.10959042218242
58e-10
Lieutenant Governor Emanuel 7710 483 7320 475 0.06264591439688716 0.06489071038251366 0.000112312986
19889423
Lieutenant Governor Evans 3447 223 3248 221 0.06469393675659994 0.06804187192118226 0.00026845534065
73045
Lieutenant Governor Fannin 11203 492 10545 492 0.043916807997857715 0.04665718349928876 1.1645457611
639524e-13
Lieutenant Governor Fayette 57962 1572 55315 1551 0.027121217349297816 0.028039410648106302 8.690550
554891577e-13
Lieutenant Governor Floyd 30225 1231 28965 1224 0.04072787427626137 0.04225789746245469 4.1964765718
189426e-15
Lieutenant Governor Forsyth 93239 1990 88811 1961 0.02134300024667789 0.02208059812410625 1.09785513
0574375e-15
Lieutenant Governor Franklin 8149 357 7761 356 0.04380905632592956 0.04587037752866899 7.20188898122
9395e-07
Lieutenant Governor Fulton 421806 16197 403964 15972 0.03839916928635439 0.03953817666920815 1.45554
19638442526e-98
Lieutenant Governor Gilmer 12471 465 11912 465 0.03728650469088285 0.03903626595030222 7.22605898307
9142e-10
Lieutenant Governor Glascock 1300 77 1219 77 0.05923076923076923 0.06316652994257588 0.0120771800270
17223
Lieutenant Governor Glynn 32501 1384 30689 1371 0.04258330512907295 0.044673987422203396 2.486317727
0637067e-20
Lieutenant Governor Gordon 17772 660 17225 651 0.03713706954760297 0.03779390420899855 0.00656854178
2151812
Lieutenant Governor Grady 8356 382 7956 380 0.04571565342269028 0.04776269482151835 2.07064833429035
35e-06

Lieutenant Governor Greene 8989 383 8366 375 0.04260763154967182 0.04482428878795123 2.2958769173308
86e-05
Lieutenant Governor Gwinnett 312709 11658 292312 11513 0.03728066669011765 0.03938599852212704 1.399
4629399576086e-176
Lieutenant Governor Habersham 15495 528 14642 519 0.034075508228460796 0.03544597732550198 1.4171177
372774471e-05
Lieutenant Governor Hall 67282 2364 63789 2347 0.03513569751196457 0.0367931775070937 1.602331226297
8497e-34
Lieutenant Governor Hancock 3539 311 3049 295 0.08787793161910144 0.09675303378156773 4.194946355796
26e-07
Lieutenant Governor Haralson 10585 387 10192 386 0.03656117146905999 0.037872841444270014 1.09300924
62154292e-05
Lieutenant Governor Harris 15972 639 15243 630 0.040007513148009016 0.041330446762448333 1.353527416
890236e-05
Lieutenant Governor Hart 9618 456 9178 452 0.047411104179663134 0.04924820222270647 8.51111150656934
6e-06
Lieutenant Governor Heard 4051 159 3773 155 0.039249568007899284 0.041081367611979856 0.024533437659
329672
Lieutenant Governor Henry 98365 4093 93368 4044 0.04161032887714126 0.0433124839345386 2.07824648618
2801e-42
Lieutenant Governor Houston 59158 2332 55968 2306 0.039419858683525474 0.04120211549456833 1.1572732
988905158e-28
Lieutenant Governor Irwin 3556 160 3423 160 0.04499437570303712 0.04674262342974 0.00389033731713447
9
Lieutenant Governor Jackson 26889 904 25870 897 0.03361969578638105 0.034673366834170855 1.600015484
786807e-08
Lieutenant Governor Jasper 5907 227 5486 224 0.03842898256306077 0.04083120670798396 8.4776596591885
38e-05
Lieutenant Governor Jeff Davis 4815 201 4586 198 0.041744548286604365 0.043174880069777585 0.0227873
23594074288
Lieutenant Governor Jefferson 6756 540 6149 531 0.07992895204262877 0.08635550496015612 3.9800612435
590653e-13
Lieutenant Governor Jenkins 2856 165 2668 158 0.05777310924369748 0.05922038980509745 0.271929290013
697
Lieutenant Governor Johnson 3483 228 3247 217 0.06546080964685616 0.0668309208500154 0.2782267775812
352
Lieutenant Governor Jones 12432 494 11648 483 0.03973616473616474 0.04146634615384615 2.879050126170
66e-05
Lieutenant Governor Lamar 7346 408 6942 406 0.05554043016607678 0.058484586574474216 3.2800079549672
923e-08
Lieutenant Governor Lanier 2679 193 2571 191 0.07204180664427025 0.07429015947102295 0.0249280329782
87797
Lieutenant Governor Laurens 18939 1045 17653 1024 0.05517714768467184 0.058007137597009005 5.8807646

14422535e-13
Lieutenant Governor Lee 13549 536 13069 529 0.03956011513764854 0.040477465758665544 0.0022382059064
76719
Lieutenant Governor Liberty 15358 648 14408 642 0.04219299387941138 0.04455857856746252 1.0462881031
104879e-11
Lieutenant Governor Lincoln 3967 180 3617 177 0.04537433829089992 0.04893558197401161 9.504773100675
102e-05
Lieutenant Governor Long 3988 195 3819 193 0.04889669007021063 0.05053678973553286 0.017651677668020
054
Lieutenant Governor Lowndes 35212 1577 33322 1552 0.04478586845393616 0.046575835784166617 5.3024197
571485636e-15
Lieutenant Governor Lumpkin 11551 324 11084 323 0.028049519522119298 0.029141104294478526 3.86632785
68148575e-05
Lieutenant Governor Macon 4217 334 3886 330 0.0792032250414987 0.08492022645393721 3.641341478590038
e-08
Lieutenant Governor Madison 11697 516 11170 512 0.04411387535265453 0.04583706356311549 1.0931297889
184002e-06
Lieutenant Governor Marion 2930 219 2689 217 0.07474402730375426 0.08069914466344366 1.5773874571623
568e-06
Lieutenant Governor McDuffie 8792 464 7924 454 0.052775250227479524 0.05729429581019687 3.4328383160
356875e-11
Lieutenant Governor McIntosh 5408 284 4968 278 0.05251479289940828 0.055958132045088566 2.0218421275
230952e-05
Lieutenant Governor Meriwether 8639 496 8156 494 0.05741405255237875 0.0605689063266307 1.7483073782
66469e-10
Lieutenant Governor Miller 2313 163 2181 159 0.07047124945957631 0.07290233837689133 0.0726058909414
2723
Lieutenant Governor Mitchell 7446 516 6882 509 0.0692989524576954 0.07396105783202557 8.634469710268
879e-11
Lieutenant Governor Monroe 12932 621 12236 621 0.04802041447571915 0.05075187969924812 1.00100874889
43323e-15
Lieutenant Governor Montgomery 3528 119 3317 114 0.03373015873015873 0.0343684051854085 0.5469943740
425978
Lieutenant Governor Morgan 9554 398 9144 391 0.04165794431651664 0.04276027996500437 0.0078206467752
18916
Lieutenant Governor Murray 11091 610 10826 604 0.05499954918402308 0.05579161278403843 0.01597215924
4285095
Lieutenant Governor Muscogee 63141 4094 58719 4043 0.06483901110213648 0.06885335240722765 6.4796745
10270547e-72
Lieutenant Governor Newton 43129 1898 40394 1877 0.04400751234668089 0.046467297123335145 1.09764973
87922277e-30
Lieutenant Governor Oconee 20733 507 19802 501 0.024453769353205035 0.025300474699525302 4.237284171
762643e-05

Lieutenant Governor Oglethorpe 6484 292 6075 288 0.04503392967304133 0.047407407407407405 6.22006403
0439004e-05
Lieutenant Governor Paulding 61222 2032 57915 2006 0.0331906830877789 0.0346369679703013 8.112218596
994674e-23
Lieutenant Governor Peach 10355 519 9910 511 0.05012071463061323 0.05156407669021191 0.0005915111444
412634
Lieutenant Governor Pickens 13362 451 13140 450 0.03375243227061817 0.03424657534246575 0.0081542322
7632428
Lieutenant Governor Pierce 6883 294 6556 293 0.04271393287810548 0.04469188529591214 1.4457841146303
58e-05
Lieutenant Governor Pike 8534 261 8230 259 0.030583548160299977 0.03147023086269745 0.00801048234551
7312
Lieutenant Governor Polk 12859 674 12412 671 0.05241465121704643 0.05406058652916532 1.4309005546721
282e-07
Lieutenant Governor Pulaski 3622 257 3390 248 0.07095527332965212 0.07315634218289085 0.052194754713
1163
Lieutenant Governor Putnam 9321 299 8723 296 0.03207810320781032 0.03393327983491918 6.3707246267088
47e-06
Lieutenant Governor Quitman 940 100 880 93 0.10638297872340426 0.10568181818181818 0.919681341795930
1
Lieutenant Governor Rabun 7578 249 6735 240 0.03285827395091053 0.035634743875278395 2.5608432948429
7e-05
Lieutenant Governor Randolph 2788 219 2475 214 0.07855093256814921 0.08646464646464647 7.10579981815
3909e-07
Lieutenant Governor Richmond 70043 3533 64729 3474 0.05044044372742458 0.05366991611178915 1.8224838
359746595e-58
Lieutenant Governor Rockdale 36600 1385 34747 1379 0.03784153005464481 0.039686879442829594 8.187473
771259368e-24
Lieutenant Governor Schley 1931 73 1835 73 0.03780424650440187 0.03978201634877384 0.044938385779907
02
Lieutenant Governor Screven 5407 339 5136 333 0.06269650453116331 0.06483644859813084 0.002652991980
636498
Lieutenant Governor Seminole 3214 157 2950 151 0.04884878655880523 0.0511864406779661 0.041348878570
01833
Lieutenant Governor Spalding 24385 1155 23102 1137 0.04736518351445561 0.049216518050385245 4.511771
489746432e-11
Lieutenant Governor Stephens 9069 322 8533 312 0.03550556841989194 0.03656392827844838 0.02851725500
7494285
Lieutenant Governor Stewart 1784 207 1551 204 0.11603139013452915 0.13152804642166344 5.999292501161
934e-10
Lieutenant Governor Sumter 10556 526 9679 511 0.049829480863963625 0.052794710197334435 2.4239787494
43163e-07
Lieutenant Governor Talbot 2952 248 2667 230 0.08401084010840108 0.08623922009748781 0.2157628055432

1856
Lieutenant Governor Taliaferro 917 112 755 101 0.12213740458015267 0.1337748344370861 0.021627247602
774253
Lieutenant Governor Tattnall 6639 386 6247 383 0.05814128633830396 0.06130942852569233 1.91932223701
5416e-07
Lieutenant Governor Taylor 3265 197 3022 196 0.06033690658499234 0.06485771012574454 5.2762312711658
73e-06
Lieutenant Governor Telfair 3631 235 3300 233 0.06472046268245663 0.0706060606060606 5.4805898545713
995e-08
Lieutenant Governor Terrell 3930 239 3603 233 0.06081424936386769 0.06466833194560088 0.000252417579
2445041
Lieutenant Governor Thomas 17241 935 16250 930 0.054231193086247895 0.05723076923076923 2.6515172284
892555e-18
Lieutenant Governor Tift 13669 773 13108 763 0.05655132050625503 0.05820872749465975 6.1892287022707
45e-06
Lieutenant Governor Toombs 8851 388 8170 378 0.04383685459270139 0.04626682986536108 2.0451887838079
66e-05
Lieutenant Governor Towns 6132 223 5848 221 0.036366601435094584 0.0377906976744186 0.00313852224520
41126
Lieutenant Governor Treutlen 2610 122 2464 116 0.04674329501915709 0.04707792207792208 0.93929777065
79292
Lieutenant Governor Troup 23663 1141 22399 1124 0.048218738114355744 0.050180811643376934 1.02437715
26453657e-11
Lieutenant Governor Turner 3269 269 3121 269 0.08228816151728358 0.08619032361422621 4.4438722627993
275e-06
Lieutenant Governor Twiggs 3787 303 3512 301 0.08001056245048851 0.08570615034168565 3.1877502173491
14e-08
Lieutenant Governor Union 11863 406 11381 402 0.03422405799544803 0.035322027941305685 0.00037415637
71868086
Lieutenant Governor Upson 10558 554 9956 545 0.05247205910210267 0.0547408597830454 1.73404993523472
26e-06
Lieutenant Governor Walker 21451 1117 20638 1112 0.05207216446785698 0.05388119003779436 2.190193807
649259e-13
Lieutenant Governor Walton 38635 1444 36866 1431 0.03737543678012165 0.03881625345847122 5.422457677
843359e-16
Lieutenant Governor Ware 11004 522 10377 518 0.04743729552889858 0.04991808807940638 2.6039409576111
68e-09
Lieutenant Governor Warren 2260 156 2073 154 0.06902654867256637 0.0742884708152436 0.00022124924231
213932
Lieutenant Governor Washington 8159 561 7432 544 0.06875842627773011 0.07319698600645856 1.800306751
23674e-08
Lieutenant Governor Wayne 10122 430 9621 429 0.04248172297964829 0.044589959463673216 9.930698663098
321e-09

Lieutenant Governor Webster 1100 62 948 60 0.056363636363636366 0.06329113924050633 0.00991630686830
704
Lieutenant Governor Wheeler 1927 122 1812 122 0.0633108458744162 0.0673289183222958 0.00085186735364
51835
Lieutenant Governor White 11434 309 10897 307 0.027024663284939653 0.028172891621547215 7.8944370114
90734e-05
Lieutenant Governor Whitfield 27302 1489 26285 1476 0.05453812907479306 0.0561536998287997 3.2515413
294185368e-12
Lieutenant Governor Wilcox 2815 164 2610 157 0.05825932504440497 0.06015325670498084 0.1552379603499
0625
Lieutenant Governor Wilkes 4371 274 4070 268 0.0626858842370167 0.06584766584766585 0.00059755758832
92772
Lieutenant Governor Wilkinson 4264 281 3994 279 0.06590056285178236 0.0698547821732599 2.47265762862
2504e-06
Lieutenant Governor Worth 7831 419 7526 415 0.05350529945090027 0.05514217379750199 0.00039348404523
362115
Secretary Of State Appling 6806 140 6276 130 0.020570085218924478 0.0207138304652645 0.9322432634703
502
Secretary Of State Atkinson 2520 91 2432 91 0.03611111111111111 0.03741776315789474 0.07414629418567
2
Secretary Of State Bacon 3829 101 3655 98 0.02637764429354923 0.026812585499316005 0.635782787035308
8
Secretary Of State Baker 1291 56 1150 49 0.043377226955848176 0.042608695652173914 0.826584776020734
8
Secretary Of State Baldwin 15633 307 14475 295 0.019637945371969553 0.020379965457685664 0.015841574
871074335
Secretary Of State Banks 6852 107 6629 106 0.015615878575598366 0.015990345451802685 0.2627169960746
234
Secretary Of State Barrow 27398 285 26135 271 0.010402219140083218 0.010369236655825521 0.8850377042
713522
Secretary Of State Bartow 37327 634 35759 622 0.01698502424518445 0.01739422243351324 0.001939512136
029006
Secretary Of State Ben Hill 5533 87 5213 84 0.015723838785469003 0.01611356224822559 0.5000851195147
797
Secretary Of State Berrien 6247 129 5925 124 0.020649911957739714 0.020928270042194094 0.67837844078
0981
Secretary Of State Bibb 60460 897 55999 866 0.014836255375454846 0.015464561867176199 9.064081253868
293e-07
Secretary Of State Bleckley 4855 124 4598 120 0.02554067971163749 0.02609830361026533 0.410921103842
6934
Secretary Of State Brantley 5689 126 5501 124 0.02214800492177887 0.022541356117069623 0.41391502498
46568
Secretary Of State Brooks 5696 154 5231 143 0.027036516853932584 0.027337029248709614 0.776808121216

839
Secretary Of State Bryan 14970 157 14388 153 0.010487641950567802 0.010633861551292744 0.52918183363
62674
Secretary Of State Bulloch 23543 297 22467 280 0.012615214713502952 0.012462723104998442 0.404947060
42917417
Secretary Of State Burke 8719 80 7751 75 0.009175364147264595 0.009676170816668817 0.215553798216950
64
Secretary Of State Butts 8863 148 8483 141 0.016698634773778632 0.016621478250618885 0.9012343510351
347
Secretary Of State Calhoun 1899 40 1714 37 0.021063717746182202 0.021586931155192533 0.8857027787115
807
Secretary Of State Camden 17053 238 15860 230 0.01395648859438222 0.014501891551071878 0.02473377835
6494665
Secretary Of State Candler 3538 51 3418 50 0.014414923685698135 0.014628437682855471 0.9572156599684
054
Secretary Of State Carroll 41739 508 40221 495 0.012170871367306357 0.012307003803982993 0.228023827
02090762
Secretary Of State Catoosa 23725 367 23185 360 0.015468914646996838 0.015527280569333621 0.802744277
5915851
Secretary Of State Charlton 3369 79 3206 75 0.023449094686850698 0.023393636930754833 1.074248308237
487
Secretary Of State Chatham 103338 1333 97161 1218 0.012899417445663744 0.012535894031555871 0.000126
57508705159594
Secretary Of State Chattahoochee 1102 29 1067 29 0.02631578947368421 0.02717900656044986 0.774800023
8359634
Secretary Of State Chattooga 7427 180 7202 177 0.0242358960549347 0.02457650652596501 0.398540454402
43435
Secretary Of State Cherokee 106299 1093 100987 1050 0.010282316860930018 0.01039737788032123 0.11310
589535338755
Secretary Of State Clarke 43261 603 40239 554 0.013938651441251936 0.013767737766843112 0.3050457024
568224
Secretary Of State Clay 1186 30 1043 26 0.025295109612141653 0.024928092042186004 0.9965336532130891
Secretary Of State Clayton 91840 1334 85993 1254 0.014525261324041812 0.014582582303210726 0.6253570
705799759
Secretary Of State Clinch 2254 87 2145 86 0.0385980479148181 0.04009324009324009 0.1377909353373995
Secretary Of State Cobb 310381 2962 284480 2649 0.0095431099197438 0.009311726659167604 2.5113849324
131564e-05
Secretary Of State Coffee 12595 277 12200 274 0.02199285430726479 0.022459016393442624 0.04741006411
1872124
Secretary Of State Colquitt 12953 315 12439 310 0.024318690650814483 0.024921617493367635 0.02527696
7006223092
Secretary Of State Columbia 61591 503 58655 466 0.008166777613612378 0.007944761742391953 0.01308315
765786439

Secretary Of State Cook 5803 75 5559 73 0.012924349474409787 0.01313185824788631 0.7657029509217086
Secretary Of State Coweta 58056 656 55244 638 0.011299435028248588 0.01154876547679386 0.00949560296
1292421
Secretary Of State Crawford 4931 121 4697 116 0.024538633137294666 0.024696614860549287 0.9669186493
902352
Secretary Of State Crisp 7024 211 6661 200 0.03003986332574032 0.030025521693439423 1.06633479499441
64
Secretary Of State Dade 5450 159 5321 153 0.029174311926605506 0.02875399361022364 0.34828490797157
Secretary Of State Dawson 11570 130 11131 124 0.011235955056179775 0.011140059293863984 0.7437006256
470964
Secretary Of State DeKalb 310968 4655 291296 4257 0.01496938591752206 0.014614000878831154 2.4430495
094698883e-09
Secretary Of State Decatur 9043 217 8495 206 0.023996461351321465 0.024249558563861094 0.65767174869
40105
Secretary Of State Dodge 7051 228 6603 214 0.03233583888810098 0.03240951082841133 1.033195676076569
5
Secretary Of State Dooly 3793 126 3597 121 0.03321908779330345 0.03363914373088685 0.715911536787343
2
Secretary Of State Dougherty 31297 531 29353 513 0.016966482410454675 0.017476918883930093 0.0048250
70781071225
Secretary Of State Douglas 55197 557 51964 532 0.010091128141022157 0.010237856977907783 0.189224711
42592734
Secretary Of State Early 4131 72 3658 62 0.017429193899782137 0.01694915254237288 0.6136830125700878
Secretary Of State Echols 1143 36 1108 36 0.031496062992125984 0.032490974729241874 0.64131691462240
94
Secretary Of State Effingham 23365 195 22615 188 0.008345816392039376 0.008313066548750829 0.8722052
542995543
Secretary Of State Elbert 7319 146 6756 142 0.019948080338844106 0.021018354055654234 0.020038483261
209838
Secretary Of State Emanuel 7710 132 7320 127 0.017120622568093387 0.017349726775956285 0.67151853815
9245
Secretary Of State Evans 3447 63 3248 62 0.018276762402088774 0.019088669950738917 0.224937426477655
77
Secretary Of State Fannin 11203 203 10545 196 0.018120146389359992 0.018587008060692273 0.1687488450
786469
Secretary Of State Fayette 57962 685 55315 655 0.011818087712639315 0.011841272710837928 0.906724939
4162052
Secretary Of State Floyd 30225 475 28965 460 0.015715467328370553 0.015881235974451926 0.31890965321
50855
Secretary Of State Forsyth 93239 925 88811 875 0.009920741320691985 0.009852383150735833 0.383374472
80022063
Secretary Of State Franklin 8149 140 7761 134 0.01718002208859983 0.017265816260791135 0.99442902938
9102

Secretary Of State Fulton 421806 6426 403964 6094 0.01523449168575127 0.015085502668554624 0.0002957
5928021808754
Secretary Of State Gilmer 12471 210 11912 204 0.016839066634592254 0.01712558764271323 0.32661213673
58829
Secretary Of State Glascock 1300 46 1219 45 0.03538461538461538 0.03691550451189499 0.41000530621600
03
Secretary Of State Glynn 32501 465 30689 450 0.014307252084551244 0.01466323438365538 0.024376489077
44263
Secretary Of State Gordon 17772 318 17225 308 0.01789331532748143 0.01788098693759071 1.035388978527
4488
Secretary Of State Grady 8356 146 7956 141 0.017472474868358068 0.017722473604826545 0.5872555996534
752
Secretary Of State Greene 8989 103 8366 93 0.011458449215708088 0.011116423619411906 0.3509551393223
7843
Secretary Of State Gwinnett 312709 3210 292312 3003 0.010265134677927402 0.010273269657078738 0.9006
085547372067
Secretary Of State Habersham 15495 272 14642 259 0.0175540496934495 0.017688840322360334 0.717361438
0836293
Secretary Of State Hall 67282 937 63789 904 0.01392645878541066 0.014171722397278527 0.0188365002513
29668
Secretary Of State Hancock 3539 94 3049 81 0.026561175473297543 0.026566087241718595 1.0966821423178
72
Secretary Of State Haralson 10585 142 10192 139 0.013415210203117619 0.013638147566718996 0.44319445
19840879
Secretary Of State Harris 15972 216 15243 210 0.013523666416228399 0.013776815587482779 0.2632492879
7741143
Secretary Of State Hart 9618 222 9178 215 0.023081721771678103 0.023425582915667903 0.39406598101611
207
Secretary Of State Heard 4051 76 3773 69 0.018760799802517897 0.018287834614365228 0.528621529500135
7
Secretary Of State Henry 98365 1090 93368 1022 0.01108117724800488 0.010945934367235026 0.0991479043
1672615
Secretary Of State Houston 59158 682 55968 649 0.011528449237634809 0.011595911949685534 0.588292528
524563
Secretary Of State Irwin 3556 73 3423 73 0.020528683914510685 0.02132632193981887 0.1201930696629282
Secretary Of State Jackson 26889 295 25870 286 0.010971029045334523 0.011055276381909548 0.631335166
2937055
Secretary Of State Jasper 5907 91 5486 85 0.015405451159641103 0.015493984688297484 1.05087422093164
4
Secretary Of State Jeff Davis 4815 158 4586 152 0.0328141225337487 0.033144352376798955 0.7354387237
632991
Secretary Of State Jefferson 6756 155 6149 144 0.02294256956779159 0.023418442023093185 0.5031651132
629095

Secretary Of State Jenkins 2856 50 2668 48 0.01750700280112045 0.017991004497751123 0.70011761406120
3
Secretary Of State Johnson 3483 112 3247 104 0.03215618719494689 0.032029565753002774 0.985245915187
9734
Secretary Of State Jones 12432 176 11648 165 0.014157014157014158 0.014165521978021978 1.11409091322
85801
Secretary Of State Lamar 7346 104 6942 99 0.014157364552137218 0.014261019878997408 0.97495723110846
24
Secretary Of State Lanier 2679 90 2571 88 0.0335946248600224 0.034227926876701675 0.5742435747364885
Secretary Of State Laurens 18939 382 17653 355 0.020170019536406358 0.020109896334900585 0.885996955
333664
Secretary Of State Lee 13549 154 13069 151 0.011366152483578125 0.011554059224118143 0.4001107856987
1117
Secretary Of State Liberty 15358 163 14408 155 0.01061336111472848 0.010757912270960578 0.6299414845
544223
Secretary Of State Lincoln 3967 69 3617 65 0.01739349634484497 0.017970693945258503 0.51725704749227
81
Secretary Of State Long 3988 70 3819 69 0.017552657973921765 0.018067556952081697 0.3903045191683537
4
Secretary Of State Lowndes 35212 496 33322 466 0.014086107008974212 0.013984754816637657 0.550762398
9728935
Secretary Of State Lumpkin 11551 142 11084 137 0.012293307938706605 0.012360158787441356 0.969669881
7065832
Secretary Of State Macon 4217 155 3886 149 0.036755987668958975 0.03834276891405044 0.06739790146318
843
Secretary Of State Madison 11697 173 11170 169 0.014790117124048902 0.01512981199641898 0.2096126826
548903
Secretary Of State Marion 2930 86 2689 82 0.029351535836177476 0.030494607660840462 0.30188111992384
153
Secretary Of State McDuffie 8792 130 7924 120 0.014786169244767972 0.01514386673397274 0.50226900428
01627
Secretary Of State McIntosh 5408 92 4968 88 0.017011834319526627 0.017713365539452495 0.240752324476
87583
Secretary Of State Meriwether 8639 171 8156 166 0.019793957633985414 0.020353114271701816 0.15514326
741648682
Secretary Of State Miller 2313 106 2181 101 0.04582792909641158 0.04630903255387437 0.85807886321809
62
Secretary Of State Mitchell 7446 139 6882 130 0.018667741069030352 0.01888985759953502 0.76999362943
17602
Secretary Of State Monroe 12932 185 12236 185 0.014305598515310857 0.015119320039228506 6.6580031288
35017e-05
Secretary Of State Montgomery 3528 87 3317 82 0.02465986394557823 0.02472113355441664 1.157944949319
5717

Secretary Of State Morgan 9554 154 9144 144 0.016118903077245134 0.015748031496062992 0.2513252074271596
Secretary Of State Murray 11091 256 10826 247 0.023081778018212967 0.022815444300757437 0.32036524520676857
Secretary Of State Muscogee 63141 1051 58719 982 0.016645285947324243 0.016723718046969465 0.6258184215354338
Secretary Of State Newton 43129 589 40394 552 0.013656704305687589 0.013665395850868942 1.039475587979258
Secretary Of State Oconee 20733 244 19802 229 0.011768677953021753 0.011564488435511564 0.27290702867537564
Secretary Of State Oglethorpe 6484 97 6075 94 0.014959901295496607 0.015473251028806584 0.2611822596309565
Secretary Of State Paulding 61222 726 57915 685 0.011858482244944627 0.011827678494345161 0.8142831929246026
Secretary Of State Peach 10355 172 9910 167 0.016610333172380493 0.016851664984863773 0.49060808679625384
Secretary Of State Pickens 13362 194 13140 191 0.014518784613081873 0.014535768645357687 1.1932336936648675
Secretary Of State Pierce 6883 97 6556 95 0.01409269214005521 0.014490543014032947 0.30636097679376234
Secretary Of State Pike 8534 104 8230 101 0.012186547925943286 0.01227217496962333 0.9792643835611163
Secretary Of State Polk 12859 234 12412 230 0.018197371490784663 0.01853045439896874 0.17271058692974778
Secretary Of State Pulaski 3622 141 3390 132 0.038928768636112644 0.03893805309734513 1.1112084677091894
Secretary Of State Putnam 9321 124 8723 121 0.013303293638021671 0.013871374527112233 0.07623416296365573
Secretary Of State Quitman 940 47 880 39 0.05 0.04431818181818182 0.0149636820006975
Secretary Of State Rabun 7578 127 6735 114 0.01675903932435999 0.01692650334075723 7 0.8858944379026268
Secretary Of State Randolph 2788 89 2475 81 0.031922525107604016 0.03272727272727273 0.6333106678620939
Secretary Of State Richmond 70043 653 64729 597 0.00932284453835501 0.009223068485531987 0.37370316948385573
Secretary Of State Rockdale 36600 438 34747 417 0.011967213114754098 0.012001036060667108 0.9073862986781533
Secretary Of State Schley 1931 55 1835 55 0.02848265147591921 0.02997275204359673 0.11619060019171064
Secretary Of State Screven 5407 93 5136 86 0.01719992602182356 0.01674454828660436 0.3675541762310156
Secretary Of State Seminole 3214 62 2950 56 0.019290603609209707 0.018983050847457626 0.8018490191674059

Secretary Of State Spalding 24385 458 23102 434 0.018782038138199713 0.018786252272530515 1.07653225
7292488
Secretary Of State Stephens 9069 166 8533 159 0.018304112912118205 0.018633540372670808 0.4542660493
9895293
Secretary Of State Stewart 1784 107 1551 100 0.05997757847533632 0.06447453255963895 0.0423880612236
0795
Secretary Of State Sumter 10556 215 9679 195 0.020367563471011745 0.02014670937080277 0.662569108708
8386
Secretary Of State Talbot 2952 118 2667 99 0.03997289972899729 0.03712035995500562 0.032663702304119
07
Secretary Of State Taliaferro 917 52 755 44 0.05670665212649945 0.05827814569536424 0.82410284933431
43
Secretary Of State Tattnall 6639 125 6247 123 0.01882813676758548 0.019689450936449495 0.03752313433
8498854
Secretary Of State Taylor 3265 48 3022 46 0.014701378254211332 0.015221707478491065 0.58946276199061
08
Secretary Of State Telfair 3631 99 3300 93 0.027265216193885982 0.028181818181818183 0.3757141030672
994
Secretary Of State Terrell 3930 85 3603 82 0.021628498727735368 0.022758812101026923 0.1348830099565
9713
Secretary Of State Thomas 17241 359 16250 350 0.02082245809407807 0.021538461538461538 0.00517224338
80905866
Secretary Of State Tift 13669 305 13108 290 0.022313263589143317 0.022123893805309734 0.543828993842
5986
Secretary Of State Toombs 8851 158 8170 147 0.017851090272285618 0.01799265605875153 0.8748190330343
477
Secretary Of State Towns 6132 116 5848 114 0.018917155903457272 0.019493844049247606 0.1784600778870
8088
Secretary Of State Treutlen 2610 97 2464 90 0.0371647509578544 0.036525974025974024 0.59556972068624
Secretary Of State Troup 23663 423 22399 397 0.017876008959134514 0.017724005535961426 0.51403798320
72024
Secretary Of State Turner 3269 89 3121 88 0.027225451208320588 0.02819609099647549 0.163263074522419
9
Secretary Of State Twiggs 3787 99 3512 97 0.026142064959070503 0.027619589977220957 0.04177491883931
587
Secretary Of State Union 11863 188 11381 183 0.015847593357498104 0.016079430629997365 0.43750249428
450155
Secretary Of State Upson 10558 220 9956 212 0.020837279787838607 0.02129369224588188 0.2256877099573
497
Secretary Of State Walker 21451 386 20638 377 0.01799449909095147 0.0182672739606551 0.1537988216299
6392
Secretary Of State Walton 38635 495 36866 477 0.012812216901773003 0.01293875115282374 0.37015022595
088837

Secretary Of State Ware 11004 166 10377 158 0.015085423482370047 0.015225980533872988 0.780510009948
1839
Secretary Of State Warren 2260 65 2073 62 0.028761061946902654 0.029908345393150026 0.39923730524874
63
Secretary Of State Washington 8159 215 7432 202 0.026351268537811005 0.027179763186221746 0.15948333
349004856
Secretary Of State Wayne 10122 183 9621 180 0.018079430942501484 0.018709073900841908 0.035036329744
23772
Secretary Of State Webster 1100 37 948 36 0.03363636363636364 0.0379746835443038 0.05290917060967295
Secretary Of State Wheeler 1927 37 1812 37 0.019200830306175403 0.02041942604856512 0.20072784422698
16
Secretary Of State White 11434 149 10897 139 0.013031310127689348 0.012755804349821051 0.32595493662
28245
Secretary Of State Whitfield 27302 501 26285 488 0.018350304007032452 0.0185657218946167 0.210476896
8619194
Secretary Of State Wilcox 2815 72 2610 68 0.02557726465364121 0.026053639846743294 0.776819869068155
4
Secretary Of State Wilkes 4371 122 4070 112 0.027911233127430792 0.027518427518427518 0.660214150289
0568
Secretary Of State Wilkinson 4264 106 3994 102 0.024859287054409006 0.02553830746119179 0.3768065798
080439
Secretary Of State Worth 7831 140 7526 136 0.01787766568765164 0.01807068828062716 0.716650965946788
2
Attorney General Appling 6806 247 6276 236 0.036291507493388185 0.03760356915232632 0.04872733484430
147
Attorney General Atkinson 2520 110 2432 110 0.04365079365079365 0.04523026315789474 0.03668075336405
5095
Attorney General Bacon 3829 130 3655 127 0.03395142334813267 0.0347469220246238 0.29757942142543037
Attorney General Baker 1291 58 1150 50 0.044926413632842756 0.043478260869565216 0.5892267839200642
Attorney General Baldwin 15633 434 14475 419 0.02776178596558562 0.028946459412780658 0.000722056558
078777
Attorney General Banks 6852 148 6629 145 0.021599532983070636 0.02187358575954141 0.5684141559471659
Attorney General Barrow 27398 472 26135 452 0.01722753485655887 0.017294815381672088 0.8039099863281
152
Attorney General Bartow 37327 741 35759 724 0.0198515819647976 0.02024665119270673 0.006818159095813
29
Attorney General Ben Hill 5533 145 5213 137 0.026206397975781674 0.026280452714367925 1.073259755920
6218
Attorney General Berrien 6247 144 5925 140 0.023051064510965263 0.02362869198312236 0.25621390561014
246
Attorney General Bibb 60460 1294 55999 1247 0.021402580218326167 0.022268254790264112 1.619958983241
5098e-08
Attorney General Bleckley 4855 148 4598 144 0.030484037075180225 0.0313179643323184 0.19914278786730

688
Attorney General Brantley 5689 143 5501 140 0.025136227808050624 0.025449918196691512 0.595499259126
007
Attorney General Brooks 5696 159 5231 151 0.027914325842696628 0.02886637354234372 0.176088292457565
77
Attorney General Bryan 14970 261 14388 251 0.01743486973947896 0.01744509313316652 8 1.12834505330289
31
Attorney General Bulloch 23543 556 22467 533 0.02361636155120418 0.023723683624872035 0.713435089556
6189
Attorney General Burke 8719 213 7751 205 0.024429407042091983 0.0264482002322281 0.00017320570088471
03
Attorney General Butts 8863 202 8483 196 0.022791379893941102 0.023105033596604974 0.460886535527589
1
Attorney General Calhoun 1899 41 1714 39 0.021590310689836755 0.022753792298716453 0.441912350620422
3
Attorney General Camden 17053 304 15860 291 0.017826775347446196 0.018348045397225725 0.065113847499
85474
Attorney General Candler 3538 95 3418 92 0.026851328434143583 0.026916325336454067 1.192360045425824
5
Attorney General Carroll 41739 754 40221 737 0.018064639785332664 0.01832376121926357 0.040522514626
78218
Attorney General Catoosa 23725 429 23185 418 0.01808219178082192 0.018028897994392928 0.773723329220
1403
Attorney General Charlton 3369 83 3206 81 0.024636390620362124 0.025265127885215222 0.44994177731024
73
Attorney General Chatham 103338 2186 97161 2092 0.021153883373008962 0.021531272835808607 0.00057452
22690792011
Attorney General Chattahoochee 1102 37 1067 37 0.03357531760435572 0.03467666354264293 0.59372689937
67594
Attorney General Chattooga 7427 207 7202 201 0.027871280463174903 0.027908914190502638 1.12329960642
4672
Attorney General Cherokee 106299 1697 100987 1639 0.01596440229917497 0.016229811757949044 0.0019240
914438680768
Attorney General Clarke 43261 959 40239 912 0.0221677723584753 0.022664579139640647 0.00921420465410
043
Attorney General Clay 1186 46 1043 43 0.0387858347386172 0.04122722914669223 0.3465042004226767
Attorney General Clayton 91840 1753 85993 1645 0.019087543554006967 0.019129464026141664 0.768701268
0203873
Attorney General Clinch 2254 95 2145 92 0.04214729370008873 0.042890442890442894 0.6293343971869195
Attorney General Cobb 310381 4188 284480 3819 0.013493093971602643 0.01342449381327334 0.28519514116
790384
Attorney General Coffee 12595 435 12200 431 0.03453751488685986 0.03532786885245902 0.00368688052798
36312

Attorney General Colquitt 12953 385 12439 378 0.029722844128773258 0.030388294879009566 0.0257425242
5899527
Attorney General Columbia 61591 848 58655 808 0.013768245360523453 0.013775466712130252 1.0289259329
632725
Attorney General Cook 5803 115 5559 113 0.019817335860761676 0.020327397013851414 0.2620793011304760
6
Attorney General Coweta 58056 908 55244 878 0.015640071654953836 0.01589312866555644 0.0283912044738
92442
Attorney General Crawford 4931 129 4697 125 0.026161022105049687 0.02661273153076432 0.5177983351399
782
Attorney General Crisp 7024 243 6661 233 0.034595671981776766 0.03497973277285693 0.5617022060951884
Attorney General Dade 5450 187 5321 181 0.03431192660550459 0.034016162375493326 0.5630552501225399
Attorney General Dawson 11570 191 11131 189 0.01650821089023336 0.0169796065043572 0.043933516248950
06
Attorney General DeKalb 310968 6110 291296 5697 0.01964832394329963 0.019557426123256068 0.169917455
0027541
Attorney General Decatur 9043 244 8495 235 0.026982196173836116 0.02766333137139494 0.13639457933575
214
Attorney General Dodge 7051 303 6603 286 0.04297262799602893 0.04331364531273663 0.6930149607075318
Attorney General Dooly 3793 132 3597 127 0.03480094911679409 0.035307200444815126 0.6267163647915406
Attorney General Dougherty 31297 666 29353 633 0.021279994887688915 0.02156508704391374 0.1958406124
9412743
Attorney General Douglas 55197 802 51964 761 0.01452977516894034 0.014644754060503425 0.410644716801
67197
Attorney General Early 4131 90 3658 76 0.02178649237472767 0.02077638053581192 0.28608533540539854
Attorney General Echols 1143 38 1108 38 0.033245844269466314 0.03429602888086643 0.6013872312479536
Attorney General Effingham 23365 414 22615 401 0.017718810186175903 0.017731594074729164 1.081055707
9416139
Attorney General Elbert 7319 180 6756 176 0.02459352370542424 0.02605091770278271 0.0027310261984498
057
Attorney General Emanuel 7710 267 7320 263 0.03463035019455253 0.03592896174863388 0.003800661665669
5797
Attorney General Evans 3447 128 3248 127 0.03713373948360894 0.03910098522167488 0.00781588039444276
6
Attorney General Fannin 11203 269 10545 261 0.024011425511023834 0.024751066856330012 0.040792576975
99902
Attorney General Fayette 57962 976 55315 923 0.016838618405162004 0.016686251468860165 0.22388369748
67483
Attorney General Floyd 30225 645 28965 628 0.021339950372208438 0.02168133954773002 0.05016913706028
8735
Attorney General Forsyth 93239 1346 88811 1274 0.014436019262325852 0.014345069867471371 0.327353709
8519695
Attorney General Franklin 8149 160 7761 156 0.019634310958399803 0.020100502512562814 0.230512574238

1245
Attorney General Fulton 421806 8172 403964 7767 0.019373835365073044 0.01922696081829074 0.001412471
975446134
Attorney General Gilmer 12471 271 11912 267 0.02173041456178334 0.022414372061786435 0.0112455816531
48453
Attorney General Glascock 1300 63 1219 61 0.04846153846153846 0.05004101722723544 0.4654757764207057
6
Attorney General Glynn 32501 638 30689 620 0.019630165225685364 0.020202678484147415 0.0012447476515
066622
Attorney General Gordon 17772 409 17225 395 0.023013729462075173 0.02293178519593614 0.7610397488341
429
Attorney General Grady 8356 168 7956 160 0.020105313547151747 0.020110608345902465 1.115805571270411
Attorney General Greene 8989 136 8366 125 0.015129602847925241 0.014941429595983744 0.68450781938357
72
Attorney General Gwinnett 312709 4861 292312 4452 0.015544803635328692 0.01523030186923561 2.6043575
18696583e-07
Attorney General Habersham 15495 316 14642 303 0.020393675379154565 0.02069389427673815 0.3318610338
594116
Attorney General Hall 67282 1256 63789 1221 0.018667697155256978 0.019141231246766685 3.587421047901
384e-05
Attorney General Hancock 3539 159 3049 139 0.04492794574738627 0.045588717612331915 0.73924719840791
48
Attorney General Haralson 10585 196 10192 193 0.018516769012753898 0.018936420722135007 0.1263432290
2088624
Attorney General Harris 15972 275 15243 266 0.01721763085399449 0.017450633077478187 0.3779232853507
076
Attorney General Hart 9618 278 9178 269 0.028904138074443753 0.029309217694486817 0.3503130506609658
5
Attorney General Heard 4051 102 3773 97 0.02517896815601086 0.02570898489265836 0.5768382401194749
Attorney General Henry 98365 1551 93368 1474 0.015767803588674835 0.01578699340245052 0.893434617054
4012
Attorney General Houston 59158 928 55968 874 0.015686804827749416 0.015616066323613493 0.60143931767
09136
Attorney General Irwin 3556 89 3423 89 0.025028121484814397 0.026000584282792873 0.06437909987861531
Attorney General Jackson 26889 443 25870 430 0.016475138532485403 0.01662156938538848 0.415893552249
20066
Attorney General Jasper 5907 117 5486 111 0.019807008633824275 0.02023332118118848 0.523044537055168
1
Attorney General Jeff Davis 4815 218 4586 208 0.04527518172377985 0.0453554295682512 1.0701164327212
616
Attorney General Jefferson 6756 271 6149 257 0.04011249259917111 0.04179541388843715 0.0239684056066
9091
Attorney General Jenkins 2856 84 2668 83 0.029411764705882353 0.03110944527736132 0.0427208688981122

7
Attorney General Johnson 3483 134 3247 123 0.03847258110824002 0.03788112103480135 0.594165259321554
1
Attorney General Jones 12432 241 11648 232 0.019385456885456884 0.019917582417582416 0.1121970132646
8917
Attorney General Lamar 7346 144 6942 140 0.019602504764497687 0.020167098818784212 0.191221748419795
7
Attorney General Lanier 2679 112 2571 109 0.04180664427025009 0.042395954881369116 0.658263936765225
Attorney General Laurens 18939 556 17653 529 0.02935741063414119 0.029966577918767348 0.070157158536
02318
Attorney General Lee 13549 227 13069 221 0.016754003985533988 0.0169102456194047 0.6034941683331754
Attorney General Liberty 15358 272 14408 263 0.01771063940617268 0.018253747917823433 0.048303126630
44606
Attorney General Lincoln 3967 93 3617 87 0.02344340811696496 0.024053082665192148 0.5482848157750817
Attorney General Long 3988 140 3819 138 0.03510531594784353 0.03613511390416339 0.11604512819830967
Attorney General Lowndes 35212 584 33322 554 0.016585255026695445 0.016625652721925455 0.89621897938
56914
Attorney General Lumpkin 11551 210 11084 206 0.018180244134706952 0.01858534824972934 0.137954884477
67154
Attorney General Macon 4217 179 3886 174 0.04244723737253972 0.04477611940298507 0.00718045288126518
2
Attorney General Madison 11697 287 11170 281 0.024536205864751647 0.02515666965085049 0.046552949178
765624
Attorney General Marion 2930 88 2689 87 0.030034129692832763 0.03235403495723317 0.00851502846172361
5
Attorney General McDuffie 8792 184 7924 170 0.020928116469517744 0.021453811206461382 0.362193630013
45595
Attorney General McIntosh 5408 138 4968 131 0.02551775147928994 0.026368760064412237 0.2309865659260
501
Attorney General Meriwether 8639 209 8156 203 0.024192614885982174 0.024889651790093184 0.0956600284
713968
Attorney General Miller 2313 99 2181 95 0.042801556420233464 0.04355800091701054 0.6441323613575832
Attorney General Mitchell 7446 155 6882 149 0.020816545796400753 0.02165068294100552 0.0899480430194
3635
Attorney General Monroe 12932 297 12236 293 0.022966285184039592 0.02394573389996731 0.0005594759633
353849
Attorney General Montgomery 3528 131 3317 123 0.03713151927437642 0.037081700331624966 1.05914635994
36135
Attorney General Morgan 9554 198 9144 189 0.020724303956458027 0.02066929133858268 0.957278436237976
Attorney General Murray 11091 340 10826 332 0.030655486430439093 0.03066691298725291 1.1368466481157
062
Attorney General Muscogee 63141 1243 58719 1190 0.01968609936491345 0.020266012704576033 5.943603969
048102e-05

Attorney General Newton 43129 818 40394 783 0.01896635674372232 0.01938406694063475 0.01304391038909
3296
Attorney General Oconee 20733 354 19802 342 0.017074229489220084 0.01727098272901727 0.3835163049536
884
Attorney General Oglethorpe 6484 155 6075 151 0.02390499691548427 0.024855967078189302 0.05697881751
2602684
Attorney General Paulding 61222 962 57915 915 0.01571330567443076 0.015799015799015798 0.52903303781
56441
Attorney General Peach 10355 213 9910 206 0.020569773056494448 0.020787083753784057 0.59668515244496
27
Attorney General Pickens 13362 281 13140 279 0.0210297859601856 0.021232876712328767 0.3006655052601
576
Attorney General Pierce 6883 107 6556 107 0.015545546999854715 0.0163209273947529 0.0104987450690404
65
Attorney General Pike 8534 139 8230 136 0.016287790016404968 0.01652490886998785 0.5291332944469982
Attorney General Polk 12859 291 12412 287 0.02263006454623221 0.023122784402191426 0.048235500216253
28
Attorney General Pulaski 3622 155 3390 147 0.04279403644395362 0.04336283185840708 0.657678294564616
7
Attorney General Putnam 9321 182 8723 178 0.019525801952580194 0.02040582368451221 0.015055711533965
29
Attorney General Quitman 940 55 880 48 0.05851063829787234 0.05454545454545454 0.10823179688226546
Attorney General Rabun 7578 162 6735 145 0.021377672209026127 0.021529324424647365 0.919973467065351
Attorney General Randolph 2788 99 2475 91 0.03550932568149211 0.03676767676767677 0.4026619810810966
Attorney General Richmond 70043 1440 64729 1328 0.020558799594534785 0.020516306446878525 0.81093659
30875038
Attorney General Rockdale 36600 606 34747 575 0.016557377049180328 0.016548191210752006 1.0052771555
1755
Attorney General Schley 1931 59 1835 59 0.030554117037804248 0.032152588555858314 0.0941552758101405
6
Attorney General Screven 5407 189 5136 179 0.03495468836693175 0.03485202492211838 0.954402434099664
4
Attorney General Seminole 3214 68 2950 63 0.021157436216552583 0.02135593220338983 1.018200852585284
1
Attorney General Spalding 24385 606 23102 578 0.024851343038753332 0.02501947883300147 0.54358371597
40328
Attorney General Stephens 9069 208 8533 199 0.022935274010364978 0.023321223485292395 0.413058774707
2856
Attorney General Stewart 1784 137 1551 124 0.07679372197309417 0.07994842037395229 0.241536683050250
03
Attorney General Sumter 10556 265 9679 240 0.025104206138688898 0.024795949994834178 0.5617955915707
189
Attorney General Talbot 2952 124 2667 103 0.04200542005420054 0.0386201724784402 0.01331835357375462

7
Attorney General Taliaferro 917 75 755 64 0.08178844056706652 0.0847682119205298 0.5946586156733218
Attorney General Tattnall 6639 215 6247 210 0.03238439524024703 0.03361613574515768 0.02058405152648
571
Attorney General Taylor 3265 85 3022 81 0.026033690658499236 0.026803441429516878 0.4588987043796040
6
Attorney General Telfair 3631 141 3300 136 0.03883227760947398 0.041212121212121214 0.01647736823146
0916
Attorney General Terrell 3930 113 3603 108 0.02875318066157761 0.02997502081598668 0.161803955453160
7
Attorney General Thomas 17241 392 16250 375 0.022736500203004467 0.023076923076923078 0.265409485571
4124
Attorney General Tift 13669 389 13108 373 0.028458555856317215 0.028455904790967348 1.06635629300984
25
Attorney General Toombs 8851 238 8170 223 0.026889616992430233 0.02729498164014688 0.499066426685503
83
Attorney General Towns 6132 150 5848 148 0.02446183953033268 0.0253077975376197 0.05376747118609046
Attorney General Treutlen 2610 123 2464 116 0.047126436781609195 0.04707792207792208 1.0785782063006
966
Attorney General Troup 23663 510 22399 488 0.021552634915268563 0.02178668690566543 0.34622695542788
37
Attorney General Turner 3269 97 3121 97 0.02967268277760783 0.031079782121115028 0.02086128238227377
3
Attorney General Twiggs 3787 125 3512 123 0.03300765777660417 0.03502277904328018 0.0085043116641639
57
Attorney General Union 11863 258 11381 251 0.021748293011885696 0.02205430102802917 0.34271120997859
2
Attorney General Upson 10558 274 9956 269 0.02595188482667172 0.027018883085576536 0.002605107874176
5182
Attorney General Walker 21451 504 20638 491 0.023495408139480676 0.023791065025680784 0.175499285465
23608
Attorney General Walton 38635 736 36866 715 0.019050084120616022 0.019394564096999946 0.022024659665
033974
Attorney General Ware 11004 228 10377 220 0.020719738276990186 0.021200732388937073 0.18247194244604
256
Attorney General Warren 2260 79 2073 77 0.034955752212389384 0.0371442354076218 0.0677723411705862
Attorney General Washington 8159 317 7432 295 0.03885280058830739 0.03969321851453175 0.243627089854
90328
Attorney General Wayne 10122 239 9621 236 0.023611934400316142 0.024529674669992726 0.00403141302262
8526
Attorney General Webster 1100 39 948 36 0.035454545454545454 0.0379746835443038 0.37757826341280387
Attorney General Wheeler 1927 70 1812 70 0.03632589517384536 0.03863134657836645 0.02482898603026193
6

Attorney General White 11434 185 10897 182 0.016179814588070666 0.01670184454437001 0.04637346651149
586
Attorney General Whitfield 27302 739 26285 721 0.027067614094205553 0.027430093209054592 0.063860591
49077503
Attorney General Wilcox 2815 81 2610 74 0.028774422735346358 0.028352490421455937 0.7525989067070893
Attorney General Wilkes 4371 162 4070 153 0.03706245710363761 0.037592137592137594 0.622838678347312
Attorney General Wilkinson 4264 147 3994 137 0.03447467166979362 0.034301452178267404 0.909992811656
196
Attorney General Worth 7831 206 7526 201 0.02630570808325884 0.026707414297103375 0.3601348339862060
5
Commissioner Of Agriculture Appling 6806 232 6276 219 0.034087569791360565 0.03489483747609943 0.249
68664564419105
Commissioner Of Agriculture Atkinson 2520 117 2432 116 0.04642857142857143 0.047697368421052634 0.15
390622331289305
Commissioner Of Agriculture Bacon 3829 116 3655 114 0.030295116218333768 0.03119015047879617 0.18953
104714338895
Commissioner Of Agriculture Baker 1291 51 1150 44 0.039504260263361735 0.03826086956521739 0.6378685
664111738
Commissioner Of Agriculture Baldwin 15633 498 14475 481 0.03185568988677797 0.03322970639032815 0.00
022088340366465496
Commissioner Of Agriculture Banks 6852 95 6629 95 0.01386456509048453 0.0143309699803892 0.084398166
26460374
Commissioner Of Agriculture Barrow 27398 560 26135 543 0.020439448134900357 0.0207767361775397 0.078
41286106461458
Commissioner Of Agriculture Bartow 37327 631 35759 606 0.016904653467999034 0.016946782628149557 0.8
628226347627213
Commissioner Of Agriculture Ben Hill 5533 106 5213 101 0.01915778058919212 0.019374640322271246 0.83
5938108176268
Commissioner Of Agriculture Berrien 6247 159 5925 156 0.02545221706419081 0.026329113924050632 0.064
34136806679289
Commissioner Of Agriculture Bibb 60460 1563 55999 1484 0.025851802844856102 0.02650047322273612 0.00
022681629140254586
Commissioner Of Agriculture Bleckley 4855 144 4598 137 0.029660144181256436 0.029795563288386256 1.0
060277867655332
Commissioner Of Agriculture Brantley 5689 154 5501 151 0.027069783793285288 0.027449554626431557 0.4
882857904111592
Commissioner Of Agriculture Brooks 5696 139 5231 128 0.02440308988764045 0.02446950869814567 1.07147
24009847922
Commissioner Of Agriculture Bryan 14970 344 14388 333 0.022979291917167667 0.023144286905754794 0.61
84128104955599
Commissioner Of Agriculture Bulloch 23543 608 22467 581 0.025825086012827594 0.02586015044287177 0.9
774441529999084
Commissioner Of Agriculture Burke 8719 271 7751 261 0.031081546048858814 0.03367307444200748 1.43720

66354272987e-05
Commissioner Of Agriculture Butts 8863 231 8483 220 0.026063409680695024 0.02593422138394436 0.80887
00688088121
Commissioner Of Agriculture Calhoun 1899 57 1714 55 0.030015797788309637 0.03208868144690782 0.14342
75268303178
Commissioner Of Agriculture Camden 17053 388 15860 371 0.022752594851345804 0.0233921815889029 0.041
83341438542312
Commissioner Of Agriculture Candler 3538 96 3418 93 0.027133973996608253 0.027208894090111176 1.1768
20049514236
Commissioner Of Agriculture Carroll 41739 902 40221 872 0.02161048419942979 0.02168021680216802 0.69
50096317749289
Commissioner Of Agriculture Catoosa 23725 460 23185 455 0.01938883034773446 0.019624757386241105 0.0
9574073411207201
Commissioner Of Agriculture Charlton 3369 113 3206 108 0.03354111012169783 0.03368683718028696 1.062
6200994551414
Commissioner Of Agriculture Chatham 103338 2940 97161 2812 0.02845032804970098 0.028941653544117495
0.00010063079462759811
Commissioner Of Agriculture Chattahoochee 1102 50 1067 50 0.045372050816696916 0.046860356138706656
0.38356257913487607
Commissioner Of Agriculture Chattooga 7427 197 7202 196 0.026524841793456308 0.027214662593723966 0.
03148077099971497
Commissioner Of Agriculture Cherokee 106299 2294 100987 2182 0.0215806357538641 0.021606741461772307
0.8465004498011864
Commissioner Of Agriculture Clarke 43261 1166 40239 1112 0.026952682554725965 0.027634881582544298
0.0009505378477376474
Commissioner Of Agriculture Clay 1186 52 1043 49 0.04384485666104553 0.04697986577181208 0.215113927
46270938
Commissioner Of Agriculture Clayton 91840 2186 85993 2058 0.02380226480836237 0.02393218052632191 0.
34462063512036245
Commissioner Of Agriculture Clinch 2254 79 2145 79 0.035048802129547474 0.03682983682983683 0.037112
75088413487
Commissioner Of Agriculture Cobb 310381 6452 284480 5959 0.020787354896079333 0.02094699100112486 0.
039092837306397135
Commissioner Of Agriculture Coffee 12595 406 12200 399 0.03223501389440254 0.032704918032786884 0.11
258665942748317
Commissioner Of Agriculture Colquitt 12953 415 12439 407 0.0320389099050413 0.03271967199935686 0.02
848015309767467
Commissioner Of Agriculture Columbia 61591 1185 58655 1120 0.019239824000259777 0.019094706333645895
0.27151964534538564
Commissioner Of Agriculture Cook 5803 115 5559 112 0.019817335860761676 0.020147508544702285 0.56053
76863768651
Commissioner Of Agriculture Coweta 58056 1219 55244 1179 0.020996968444260713 0.021341684164796176
0.00877421896725535

Commissioner Of Agriculture Crawford 4931 150 4697 146 0.03041979314540661 0.031083670427932725 0.30
372564265169194
Commissioner Of Agriculture Crisp 7024 249 6661 239 0.0354498861047836 0.03588049842366011 0.5036995
948080151
Commissioner Of Agriculture Dade 5450 203 5321 194 0.03724770642201835 0.03645931215936854 0.1009526
3679240206
Commissioner Of Agriculture Dawson 11570 238 11131 231 0.020570440795159895 0.020752852394214358 0.6
266424983356282
Commissioner Of Agriculture DeKalb 310968 8250 291296 7723 0.026530060970903758 0.026512550807426122
0.828271681415008
Commissioner Of Agriculture Decatur 9043 234 8495 224 0.025876368461793652 0.02636845203060624 0.304
9790610842529
Commissioner Of Agriculture Dodge 7051 310 6603 295 0.043965394979435544 0.0446766621232773 0.316945
17880674433
Commissioner Of Agriculture Dooly 3793 159 3597 155 0.04191932507250198 0.04309146510981374 0.155704
4631871859
Commissioner Of Agriculture Dougherty 31297 857 29353 810 0.02738281624436847 0.02759513507988962 0.
4143000644498661
Commissioner Of Agriculture Douglas 55197 990 51964 939 0.017935757378118377 0.018070202447848512 0.
37778755559708876
Commissioner Of Agriculture Early 4131 94 3658 80 0.022754780924715567 0.02186987424822307 0.3648720
857091263
Commissioner Of Agriculture Echols 1143 25 1108 25 0.021872265966754154 0.02256317689530686 0.911411
292556818
Commissioner Of Agriculture Effingham 23365 471 22615 460 0.020158356516156644 0.02034048198098607
0.34005816398008565
Commissioner Of Agriculture Elbert 7319 196 6756 193 0.026779614701461948 0.02856719952634695 0.0002
3013770131279806
Commissioner Of Agriculture Emanuel 7710 307 7320 304 0.03981841763942931 0.041530054644808745 0.000
16799128024698828
Commissioner Of Agriculture Evans 3447 137 3248 133 0.03974470554105019 0.04094827586206896 4 0.18711
484865531006
Commissioner Of Agriculture Fannin 11203 303 10545 295 0.02704632687672945 0.02797534376481745 0.012
262001719124581
Commissioner Of Agriculture Fayette 57962 1279 55315 1221 0.02206618129119078 0.022073578595317726
1.0254804219092852
Commissioner Of Agriculture Floyd 30225 815 28965 782 0.02696443341604632 0.026998101156568272 0.953
1396866862418
Commissioner Of Agriculture Forsyth 93239 1891 88811 1794 0.020281212797220047 0.02020020042562295
0.460076503115602
Commissioner Of Agriculture Franklin 8149 173 7761 171 0.021229598723769786 0.022033243138770777 0.0
19161082839268248
Commissioner Of Agriculture Fulton 421806 11422 403964 10848 0.02707879925842686 0.02685387806834272

5 3.3119222243544795e-05
Commissioner Of Agriculture Gilmer 12471 305 11912 302 0.024456739635955415 0.025352585627938212 0.0
009070083999035691
Commissioner Of Agriculture Glascock 1300 57 1219 56 0.04384615384615385 0.04593929450369155 0.23350
267970530653
Commissioner Of Agriculture Glynn 32501 844 30689 814 0.025968431740561827 0.026524161751767736 0.00
795903188521008
Commissioner Of Agriculture Gordon 17772 434 17225 423 0.02442043664190862 0.02455732946298984 0.623
0860050925833
Commissioner Of Agriculture Grady 8356 197 7956 192 0.02357587362374342 0.024132730015082957 0.16813
711628512507
Commissioner Of Agriculture Greene 8989 171 8366 159 0.019023250639670707 0.019005498446091323 1.051
53854763071
Commissioner Of Agriculture Gwinnett 312709 6309 292312 6027 0.020175306754842363 0.0206183803607104
74 2.45781808203601e-12
Commissioner Of Agriculture Habersham 15495 339 14642 323 0.021878025169409485 0.02205982789236443
0.6205531261369002
Commissioner Of Agriculture Hall 67282 1563 63789 1510 0.02323058173062632 0.023671792942356832 0.00
07559658441609992
Commissioner Of Agriculture Hancock 3539 181 3049 167 0.05114439107092399 0.05477205641193834 0.0135
58280173341132
Commissioner Of Agriculture Haralson 10585 223 10192 217 0.021067548417572034 0.021291208791208792
0.5455904719550516
Commissioner Of Agriculture Harris 15972 370 15243 358 0.023165539694465314 0.023486190382470643 0.2
6389119221341545
Commissioner Of Agriculture Hart 9618 308 9178 298 0.03202328966521106 0.03246894748311179 0.3187543
610308439
Commissioner Of Agriculture Heard 4051 109 3773 104 0.026906936558874352 0.027564272462231646 0.4605
002198297526
Commissioner Of Agriculture Henry 98365 2011 93368 1900 0.02044426371168607 0.02034958444006512 0.38
9889461244887
Commissioner Of Agriculture Houston 59158 1110 55968 1045 0.01876331180905372 0.01867138364779874 0.
5255057640503983
Commissioner Of Agriculture Irwin 3556 93 3423 92 0.026152980877390326 0.026877008472100495 0.259469
868806298
Commissioner Of Agriculture Jackson 26889 431 25870 421 0.016028859384878576 0.016273676072671047 0.
12323502543055316
Commissioner Of Agriculture Jasper 5907 114 5486 110 0.019299136617572373 0.02005103900838498 0.1652
9280130799567
Commissioner Of Agriculture Jeff Davis 4815 170 4586 164 0.035306334371754934 0.035761011774967294
0.5850866391065276
Commissioner Of Agriculture Jefferson 6756 297 6149 287 0.04396092362344583 0.046674255976581557 0.0
0018379212897945128

Commissioner Of Agriculture Jenkins 2856 88 2668 81 0.03081232492997199 0.030359820089955022 0.71701
19942955302
Commissioner Of Agriculture Johnson 3483 160 3247 148 0.04593741027849555 0.045580535879273174 0.800
7521256758079
Commissioner Of Agriculture Jones 12432 275 11648 264 0.02212033462033462 0.022664835164835164 0.129
5329244001534
Commissioner Of Agriculture Lamar 7346 184 6942 180 0.025047644976858154 0.02592912705272256 0.04580
941372151064
Commissioner Of Agriculture Lanier 2679 111 2571 108 0.04143337066069429 0.042007001166861145 0.6722
74149398239
Commissioner Of Agriculture Laurens 18939 571 17653 538 0.030149427108083847 0.03047640627655356 0.3
755251983675794
Commissioner Of Agriculture Lee 13549 274 13069 266 0.020222894678574063 0.020353508302088912 0.7220
35198045769
Commissioner Of Agriculture Liberty 15358 319 14408 306 0.020770933715327518 0.02123820099944475 0.1
309822541670077
Commissioner Of Agriculture Lincoln 3967 118 3617 116 0.02974539954625662 0.032070776886922864 0.002
539200366667369
Commissioner Of Agriculture Long 3988 127 3819 125 0.031845536609829486 0.03273108143493061 0.175274
01687667576
Commissioner Of Agriculture Lowndes 35212 656 33322 629 0.01863001249574009 0.018876417982113917 0.1
6992651780454146
Commissioner Of Agriculture Lumpkin 11551 232 11084 226 0.0200848411392953 0.020389750992421508 0.33
22155798255518
Commissioner Of Agriculture Macon 4217 214 3886 206 0.05074697652359497 0.05301080802882141 0.019949
805650267008
Commissioner Of Agriculture Madison 11697 289 11170 283 0.024707189877746432 0.025335720680393914 0.
04403470494841549
Commissioner Of Agriculture Marion 2930 98 2689 96 0.033447098976109216 0.03570100409074005 0.019541
494396405266
Commissioner Of Agriculture McDuffie 8792 216 7924 206 0.02456778889899909 0.025996971226653204 0.00
6782431813644177
Commissioner Of Agriculture McIntosh 5408 181 4968 174 0.0334689349112426 0.035024154589371984 0.032
07977019931023
Commissioner Of Agriculture Meriwether 8639 264 8156 257 0.03055909248755643 0.03151054438450221 0.0
33758783578200814
Commissioner Of Agriculture Miller 2313 102 2181 98 0.04409857328145266 0.04493351673544246 0.592725
077993648
Commissioner Of Agriculture Mitchell 7446 201 6882 187 0.026994359387590653 0.027172333623946526 0.8
725190842049249
Commissioner Of Agriculture Monroe 12932 325 12236 321 0.025131456851221777 0.02623406341941811 0.00
01619491702448414
Commissioner Of Agriculture Montgomery 3528 105 3317 100 0.02976190476190476 0.030147723846849564 0.

7858202787585566
Commissioner Of Agriculture Morgan 9554 213 9144 203 0.022294326983462426 0.02220034995625547 0.8633
066922100286
Commissioner Of Agriculture Murray 11091 363 10826 358 0.032729239924262916 0.03306853870312211 0.25
94311488053838
Commissioner Of Agriculture Muscogee 63141 1632 58719 1562 0.025846914049508243 0.02660127045760316
4.457055403203225e-06
Commissioner Of Agriculture Newton 43129 965 40394 906 0.022374736256347238 0.02242907362479576 0.83
47019041110842
Commissioner Of Agriculture Oconee 20733 397 19802 378 0.019148217817006704 0.01908898091101909 0.84
19369734817203
Commissioner Of Agriculture Oglethorpe 6484 165 6075 161 0.025447254780999382 0.026502057613168723
0.036796034728722164
Commissioner Of Agriculture Paulding 61222 1224 57915 1162 0.01999281304106367 0.0200638867305534 0.
6545749092300193
Commissioner Of Agriculture Peach 10355 264 9910 257 0.025494929985514243 0.02593340060544904 0.2275
6907382226102
Commissioner Of Agriculture Pickens 13362 318 13140 314 0.023798832510103278 0.023896499238964992 0.
775895701049152
Commissioner Of Agriculture Pierce 6883 136 6556 135 0.01975882609327328 0.020591824283099452 0.0197
66051713961976
Commissioner Of Agriculture Pike 8534 178 8230 173 0.0208577454886337 0.021020656136087484 0.7736584
610786061
Commissioner Of Agriculture Polk 12859 350 12412 346 0.027218290691344585 0.027876248791492104 0.011
248907253610831
Commissioner Of Agriculture Pulaski 3622 139 3390 134 0.038376587520706794 0.03952802359882006 0.217
92720915492161
Commissioner Of Agriculture Putnam 9321 213 8723 211 0.022851625362085612 0.02418892582827009 0.0001
5800048949432007
Commissioner Of Agriculture Quitman 940 57 880 48 0.06063829787234042 0.05454545454545454 0.01514277
5824741057
Commissioner Of Agriculture Rabun 7578 152 6735 134 0.020058062813407232 0.019896065330363772 0.8527
064272130306
Commissioner Of Agriculture Randolph 2788 114 2475 100 0.040889526542324243 0.04040404040404041 0.80
50653605089502
Commissioner Of Agriculture Richmond 70043 1867 64729 1777 0.026655054752080864 0.02745291909345116
1.51485308148801e-06
Commissioner Of Agriculture Rockdale 36600 740 34747 703 0.020218579234972677 0.02023196247158028 1.
0242105739825176
Commissioner Of Agriculture Schley 1931 64 1835 63 0.033143448990160536 0.03433242506811989 0.323181
6572502484
Commissioner Of Agriculture Screven 5407 172 5136 166 0.03181061586831885 0.0323208722741433 0.46460
315141168645

Commissioner Of Agriculture Seminole 3214 79 2950 77 0.024579962663347853 0.02610169491525424 0.0718
3072317375924
Commissioner Of Agriculture Spalding 24385 783 23102 741 0.0321099036292803 0.032075145009090124 0.9
426148358611455
Commissioner Of Agriculture Stephens 9069 213 8533 205 0.023486602712537216 0.024024375952185633 0.2
1984157340157248
Commissioner Of Agriculture Stewart 1784 144 1551 128 0.08071748878923767 0.08252740167633785 0.5637
205151816366
Commissioner Of Agriculture Sumter 10556 309 9679 283 0.029272451686244788 0.029238557702241968 1.00
67470785678843
Commissioner Of Agriculture Talbot 2952 173 2667 151 0.05860433604336043 0.05661792275965504 0.20864
89923570343
Commissioner Of Agriculture Taliaferro 917 73 755 60 0.07960741548527808 0.07947019867549669 1.07781
1397664632
Commissioner Of Agriculture Tattnall 6639 230 6247 224 0.03464377165235728 0.03585721146150152 0.029
26970732106733
Commissioner Of Agriculture Taylor 3265 94 3022 91 0.02879019908116386 0.030112508272667107 0.142539
26273356501
Commissioner Of Agriculture Telfair 3631 141 3300 137 0.03883227760947398 0.04151515151515151 0.0053
08582079090159
Commissioner Of Agriculture Terrell 3930 149 3603 145 0.03791348600508906 0.04024424091035248 0.0077
94963268893247
Commissioner Of Agriculture Thomas 17241 444 16250 428 0.025752566556464244 0.02633846153846154 0.05
102983221980129
Commissioner Of Agriculture Tift 13669 397 13108 384 0.029043821786524253 0.02929508696978944 0.4844
81040127764
Commissioner Of Agriculture Toombs 8851 268 8170 252 0.030279064512484466 0.03084455324357405 0.3382
597394625828
Commissioner Of Agriculture Towns 6132 187 5848 182 0.03049575994781474 0.03112175102599179 0.255896
47467919446
Commissioner Of Agriculture Treutlen 2610 104 2464 96 0.03984674329501916 0.03896103896103896 0.4464
741150926797
Commissioner Of Agriculture Troup 23663 614 22399 587 0.025947682035244897 0.026206527077101655 0.33
58676933311447
Commissioner Of Agriculture Turner 3269 107 3121 106 0.03273172223921689 0.033963473245754564 0.0808
2989289376843
Commissioner Of Agriculture Twiggs 3787 150 3512 146 0.039609189331925004 0.041571753986332574 0.024
582185865480236
Commissioner Of Agriculture Union 11863 288 11381 283 0.02427716429233752 0.024866004744750023 0.042
59094929138161
Commissioner Of Agriculture Upson 10558 320 9956 306 0.03030877060049252 0.030735235034150262 0.3614
208256850461
Commissioner Of Agriculture Walker 21451 507 20638 489 0.02363526175935854 0.023694156410504895 0.89

31048690923495
Commissioner Of Agriculture Walton 38635 883 36866 845 0.02285492429144558 0.02292084847827266 0.769
5640396167929
Commissioner Of Agriculture Ware 11004 278 10377 264 0.025263540530716104 0.025440878866724487 0.750
3093640280006
Commissioner Of Agriculture Warren 2260 92 2073 89 0.04070796460176991 0.04293294741919923 0.0896653
9264026248
Commissioner Of Agriculture Washington 8159 380 7432 358 0.046574335090084566 0.04817007534983853 0.
028638214550277152
Commissioner Of Agriculture Wayne 10122 262 9621 259 0.02588421260620431 0.026920278557322524 0.0015
80179742029864
Commissioner Of Agriculture Webster 1100 32 948 28 0.02909090909090909 0.029535864978902954 1.080491
4512301
Commissioner Of Agriculture Wheeler 1927 73 1812 73 0.03788271925272444 0.04028697571743929 0.020493
746725996857
Commissioner Of Agriculture White 11434 210 10897 207 0.01836627601889103 0.018996053959805453 0.019
277518251516152
Commissioner Of Agriculture Whitfield 27302 778 26285 759 0.028496080873196102 0.028875784668061632
0.05753599069685485
Commissioner Of Agriculture Wilcox 2815 87 2610 82 0.030905861456483125 0.031417624521072794 0.76523
82515687487
Commissioner Of Agriculture Wilkes 4371 170 4070 163 0.03889270189887897 0.04004914004914005 0.18124
978512496914
Commissioner Of Agriculture Wilkinson 4264 184 3994 175 0.043151969981238276 0.043815723585378066 0.
5208404802833192
Commissioner Of Agriculture Worth 7831 220 7526 215 0.028093474652024008 0.028567632208344407 0.2714
376992202313
Commissioner Of Insurance Appling 6806 202 6276 189 0.02967969438730532 0.03011472275334608 0.567983
8956594965
Commissioner Of Insurance Atkinson 2520 116 2432 116 0.046031746031746035 0.047697368421052634 0.029
336390805863755
Commissioner Of Insurance Bacon 3829 108 3655 106 0.02820579785844868 0.029001367989056087 0.2461704
2275254092
Commissioner Of Insurance Baker 1291 62 1150 55 0.048024786986831915 0.04782608695652174 1.050494279
0029186
Commissioner Of Insurance Baldwin 15633 419 14475 402 0.026802277234056163 0.0277720207253886 0.0061
53271306562673
Commissioner Of Insurance Banks 6852 118 6629 115 0.017221249270286048 0.017348016292050083 0.921992
6406934561
Commissioner Of Insurance Barrow 27398 439 26135 422 0.016023067377180816 0.016146929405012434 0.543
6282699429879
Commissioner Of Insurance Bartow 37327 561 35759 552 0.015029335333672676 0.015436673285047121 0.000
8533421633813795

Commissioner Of Insurance Ben Hill 5533 110 5213 104 0.019880715705765408 0.0199501246882793 1.09239
49379629525
Commissioner Of Insurance Berrien 6247 145 5925 141 0.023211141347846968 0.02379746835443038 0.24865
68873329365
Commissioner Of Insurance Bibb 60460 1219 55999 1170 0.020162090638438636 0.02089323023625422 1.2928
559864607981e-06
Commissioner Of Insurance Bleckley 4855 147 4598 142 0.030278063851699278 0.030882992605480643 0.400
82737693002407
Commissioner Of Insurance Brantley 5689 174 5501 170 0.030585340130075585 0.03090347209598255 0.6234
390961414006
Commissioner Of Insurance Brooks 5696 161 5231 148 0.028265449438202247 0.02829286943223093 1.113128
140291477
Commissioner Of Insurance Bryan 14970 253 14388 246 0.016900467601870407 0.017097581317764805 0.4555
345033053648
Commissioner Of Insurance Bulloch 23543 535 22467 518 0.02272437667247165 0.023056037744247117 0.134
47737130398127
Commissioner Of Insurance Burke 8719 206 7751 195 0.02362656267920633 0.025158044123338923 0.0058511
42118709827
Commissioner Of Insurance Butts 8863 179 8483 173 0.020196321787205235 0.020393728633738065 0.695239
1728605432
Commissioner Of Insurance Calhoun 1899 47 1714 42 0.024749868351764088 0.024504084014002333 0.979059
2640046367
Commissioner Of Insurance Camden 17053 302 15860 288 0.017709493930686684 0.01815889029003783 0.1192
8920072353914
Commissioner Of Insurance Candler 3538 87 3418 83 0.02459016393442623 0.024283206553540083 0.6825772
992163125
Commissioner Of Insurance Carroll 41739 585 40221 568 0.014015668798964997 0.014121976082146142 0.40
62706818543072
Commissioner Of Insurance Catoosa 23725 415 23185 408 0.017492096944151738 0.01759758464524477 0.538
2055176232818
Commissioner Of Insurance Charlton 3369 97 3206 94 0.02879192638765212 0.029320024953212728 0.600573
4745549665
Commissioner Of Insurance Chatham 103338 2178 97161 2070 0.02107646751437032 0.021304844536388055 0.
04313203064809183
Commissioner Of Insurance Chattahoochee 1102 39 1067 39 0.03539019963702359 0.03655107778819119 0.55
53261047360464
Commissioner Of Insurance Chattooga 7427 210 7202 209 0.02827521206409048 0.029019716745348513 0.022
088855901694418
Commissioner Of Insurance Cherokee 106299 1623 100987 1535 0.01526825275872774 0.015199976234564845
0.4581307468728878
Commissioner Of Insurance Clarke 43261 1053 40239 999 0.02434063012875338 0.024826660702303736 0.015
629975148665907
Commissioner Of Insurance Clay 1186 40 1043 35 0.03372681281618887 0.03355704697986577 1.07975564337

36193
Commissioner Of Insurance Clayton 91840 1574 85993 1493 0.017138501742160278 0.017361878292419152 0.
046216961774423665
Commissioner Of Insurance Clinch 2254 87 2145 87 0.0385980479148181 0.04055944055944056 0.0245807949
97371844
Commissioner Of Insurance Cobb 310381 4904 284480 4426 0.01579993620743538 0.015558211473565804 0.00
05065731842968168
Commissioner Of Insurance Coffee 12595 395 12200 388 0.03136165144898769 0.03180327868852459 0.13533
078437339383
Commissioner Of Insurance Colquitt 12953 436 12439 432 0.03366015594842894 0.03472947986172522 0.000
19570880762865048
Commissioner Of Insurance Columbia 61591 860 58655 823 0.013963079021285577 0.014031199386241582 0.5
843249477646298
Commissioner Of Insurance Cook 5803 114 5559 112 0.019645011201102878 0.020147508544702285 0.2698651
7204839405
Commissioner Of Insurance Coweta 58056 861 55244 839 0.014830508474576272 0.015187169647382521 0.000
886542152071974
Commissioner Of Insurance Crawford 4931 123 4697 119 0.02494423037923342 0.02533532041728763 0.59583
84458571317
Commissioner Of Insurance Crisp 7024 242 6661 230 0.03445330296127563 0.03452934994745534 1.03158717
75347882
Commissioner Of Insurance Dade 5450 180 5321 173 0.03302752293577982 0.03251268558541628 0.267198176
5473912
Commissioner Of Insurance Dawson 11570 165 11131 162 0.014261019878997408 0.014553948432306172 0.245
4927998904155
Commissioner Of Insurance DeKalb 310968 6840 291296 6430 0.021995832368603842 0.02207376689003625 0.
26402260463226673
Commissioner Of Insurance Decatur 9043 210 8495 205 0.023222381952891738 0.02413184226015303 0.02057
1201467088452
Commissioner Of Insurance Dodge 7051 304 6603 291 0.0431144518508013 0.04407087687414812 0.151175424
0719516
Commissioner Of Insurance Dooly 3793 143 3597 137 0.037701028209860266 0.038087294968028915 0.769163
1433125591
Commissioner Of Insurance Dougherty 31297 711 29353 684 0.02271783238010033 0.02330255851190679 0.00
54996173623880484
Commissioner Of Insurance Douglas 55197 648 51964 620 0.011739768465677482 0.011931337079516588 0.10
221558504020836
Commissioner Of Insurance Early 4131 83 3658 79 0.020091987412248852 0.021596500820120285 0.06214898
139471192
Commissioner Of Insurance Echols 1143 35 1108 35 0.03062117235345582 0.0315884476534296 0.6622359192
934354
Commissioner Of Insurance Effingham 23365 360 22615 346 0.015407661031457309 0.015299579924828654 0.
5379662474384439

Commissioner Of Insurance Elbert 7319 183 6756 181 0.02500341576718131 0.02679100059206631 9.9413912
59928465e-05
Commissioner Of Insurance Emanuel 7710 267 7320 259 0.03463035019455253 0.03538251366120219 0.140121
81180016006
Commissioner Of Insurance Evans 3447 123 3248 121 0.03568320278503046 0.03725369458128079 0.04539806
451063482
Commissioner Of Insurance Fannin 11203 236 10545 224 0.02106578595019191 0.021242294926505454 0.7291
529157583581
Commissioner Of Insurance Fayette 57962 912 55315 868 0.015734446706462856 0.015691946126728737 0.75
10685224899837
Commissioner Of Insurance Floyd 30225 641 28965 625 0.02120760959470637 0.021577766269635767 0.03102
4462166436093
Commissioner Of Insurance Forsyth 93239 1388 88811 1294 0.014886474543914027 0.014570267196631048 0.
0008722981245968133
Commissioner Of Insurance Franklin 8149 132 7761 127 0.016198306540679837 0.01636387063522742 0.7893
912383598669
Commissioner Of Insurance Fulton 421806 9803 403964 9326 0.02324054186047614 0.023086215603370597 0.
0020795471360121314
Commissioner Of Insurance Gilmer 12471 240 11912 234 0.019244647582391146 0.01964405641370047 0.1643
2165058933113
Commissioner Of Insurance Glascock 1300 61 1219 60 0.04692307692307692 0.04922067268252666 0.1887920
603720064
Commissioner Of Insurance Glynn 32501 640 30689 623 0.01969170179379096 0.020300433380038452 0.00054
06635274737328
Commissioner Of Insurance Gordon 17772 397 17225 383 0.022338510015755122 0.02223512336719884 0.6789
228087177381
Commissioner Of Insurance Grady 8356 168 7956 162 0.020105313547151747 0.020361990950226245 0.599397
2867924724
Commissioner Of Insurance Greene 8989 141 8366 132 0.015685838246746023 0.015778149653358834 0.96487
6282138765
Commissioner Of Insurance Gwinnett 312709 4812 292312 4548 0.015388108433079957 0.015558718082049318
0.0029673991111008186
Commissioner Of Insurance Habersham 15495 311 14642 300 0.020070990642142628 0.020489004234394207 0.
14532288038983154
Commissioner Of Insurance Hall 67282 1176 63789 1136 0.017478671858743796 0.017808713101004875 0.004
080794341835784
Commissioner Of Insurance Hancock 3539 155 3049 142 0.0437976829612885 0.0465726467694326 0.04797544
327364643
Commissioner Of Insurance Haralson 10585 154 10192 152 0.014548889938592347 0.014913657770800628 0.1
4135757314066358
Commissioner Of Insurance Harris 15972 307 15243 296 0.019221136989732032 0.019418749589975727 0.501
2584470605063
Commissioner Of Insurance Hart 9618 290 9178 284 0.030151798710750674 0.03094356068860318 0.03728487

8878054276
Commissioner Of Insurance Heard 4051 84 3773 81 0.020735620834361885 0.02146832759077657 0.321847439
65922524
Commissioner Of Insurance Henry 98365 1387 93368 1320 0.014100543892644741 0.01413760603204524 0.727
164629452536
Commissioner Of Insurance Houston 59158 880 55968 826 0.014875418371141688 0.014758433390508863 0.36
095012176213315
Commissioner Of Insurance Irwin 3556 79 3423 78 0.02221597300337458 0.022787028921998246 0.393975710
87897026
Commissioner Of Insurance Jackson 26889 392 25870 381 0.014578452155156383 0.014727483571704678 0.37
492960854285107
Commissioner Of Insurance Jasper 5907 112 5486 109 0.018960555273404435 0.01986875683558148 0.073034
42493254297
Commissioner Of Insurance Jeff Davis 4815 165 4586 159 0.03426791277258567 0.03467073702573049 0.645
1787714063169
Commissioner Of Insurance Jefferson 6756 280 6149 265 0.04144464179988159 0.04309643844527566 0.0300
32860454349275
Commissioner Of Insurance Jenkins 2856 80 2668 76 0.028011204481792718 0.02848575712143928 0.7700539
175804989
Commissioner Of Insurance Johnson 3483 135 3247 130 0.03875968992248062 0.04003695719125346 0.188946
20119986613
Commissioner Of Insurance Jones 12432 250 11648 233 0.02010939510939511 0.020003434065934064 0.81938
74000917354
Commissioner Of Insurance Lamar 7346 148 6942 145 0.020147018785733734 0.020887352348026505 0.067206
51190652573
Commissioner Of Insurance Lanier 2679 105 2571 102 0.03919372900335946 0.039673278879813305 0.760420
480591173
Commissioner Of Insurance Laurens 18939 542 17653 512 0.028618195258461376 0.029003568798504503 0.27
23346880644598
Commissioner Of Insurance Lee 13549 215 13069 209 0.015868329766034393 0.015992042237355574 0.713515
4880272536
Commissioner Of Insurance Liberty 15358 242 14408 233 0.015757260059903633 0.016171571349250416 0.12
65576043217077
Commissioner Of Insurance Lincoln 3967 92 3617 91 0.023191328459793294 0.0251589715233619 0.00372563
00568780593
Commissioner Of Insurance Long 3988 109 3819 108 0.027331995987963893 0.028279654359780047 0.0995081
9086457949
Commissioner Of Insurance Lowndes 35212 586 33322 551 0.016642053845280017 0.01653562211151791 6 0.56
10986593266845
Commissioner Of Insurance Lumpkin 11551 195 11084 189 0.01688165526794217 0.017051605918440994 0.641
7598645388906
Commissioner Of Insurance Macon 4217 203 3886 189 0.048138487076120465 0.048636129696345856 0.723511
1529475178

Commissioner Of Insurance Madison 11697 253 11170 246 0.0216294776438403 0.022023276633840645 0.2221
319144394928
Commissioner Of Insurance Marion 2930 87 2689 84 0.029692832764505118 0.031238378579397545 0.1260339
031313447
Commissioner Of Insurance McDuffie 8792 171 7924 163 0.019449499545040945 0.020570418980312973 0.019
492377535967952
Commissioner Of Insurance McIntosh 5408 138 4968 133 0.02551775147928994 0.02677133655394525 0.05273
99118993246
Commissioner Of Insurance Meriwether 8639 203 8156 200 0.023498090056719528 0.024521824423737126 0.0
057895548263675045
Commissioner Of Insurance Miller 2313 101 2181 95 0.043666234327712924 0.04355800091701054 1.0443027
294471856
Commissioner Of Insurance Mitchell 7446 175 6882 170 0.02350255170561375 0.024702121476315026 0.0136
22770568186419
Commissioner Of Insurance Monroe 12932 268 12236 267 0.020723785957315187 0.021820856489048707 1.028
886370955777e-05
Commissioner Of Insurance Montgomery 3528 104 3317 99 0.02947845804988662 0.029846246608381068 0.804
8672802314136
Commissioner Of Insurance Morgan 9554 186 9144 177 0.01946828553485451 0.01935695538057743 0.8095429
924497335
Commissioner Of Insurance Murray 11091 317 10826 310 0.028581732936615274 0.0286347681507482 1.02323
32872362426
Commissioner Of Insurance Muscogee 63141 1341 58719 1285 0.021238181213474604 0.021883887668386722
1.5091256517073328e-05
Commissioner Of Insurance Newton 43129 756 40394 724 0.017528808922070996 0.01792345397831361 0.0148
68729562152303
Commissioner Of Insurance Oconee 20733 411 19802 396 0.019823469830704675 0.019997980002019997 0.487
9189591194687
Commissioner Of Insurance Oglethorpe 6484 160 6075 155 0.024676125848241828 0.02551440329218107 0.11
137423995000943
Commissioner Of Insurance Paulding 61222 860 57915 812 0.014047237921008787 0.01402054735388068 0.8
569631645273011
Commissioner Of Insurance Peach 10355 224 9910 217 0.021632061805890872 0.021897073662966702 0.49427
104045355846
Commissioner Of Insurance Pickens 13362 260 13140 258 0.01945816494536746 0.019634703196347032 0.379
36204718576033
Commissioner Of Insurance Pierce 6883 124 6556 123 0.018015400261513874 0.0187614399023795 0.0330389
34216622275
Commissioner Of Insurance Pike 8534 129 8230 125 0.015116006561987345 0.015188335358444714 1.0225660
054540902
Commissioner Of Insurance Polk 12859 277 12412 274 0.02154133291857843 0.0220754108926845 0.02355234
526323607
Commissioner Of Insurance Pulaski 3622 146 3390 134 0.04030922142462728 0.03952802359882006 0.445646

5396560294
Commissioner Of Insurance Putnam 9321 170 8723 166 0.01823838643922326 0.019030150177691163 0.026291
30739458383
Commissioner Of Insurance Quitman 940 57 880 50 0.06063829787234042 0.056818181818181816 0.127461222
98293755
Commissioner Of Insurance Rabun 7578 147 6735 128 0.019398258115597783 0.019005196733481812 0.554528
0171146294
Commissioner Of Insurance Randolph 2788 112 2475 106 0.040172166427546625 0.042828282828282827 0.048
22841355147525
Commissioner Of Insurance Richmond 70043 1455 64729 1361 0.020772953756977856 0.021026124302862704
0.10692914339934204
Commissioner Of Insurance Rockdale 36600 535 34747 516 0.014617486338797813 0.014850202895213976 0.1
2088207582654972
Commissioner Of Insurance Schley 1931 59 1835 59 0.030554117037804248 0.032152588555858314 0.0941552
7581014056
Commissioner Of Insurance Screven 5407 180 5136 176 0.03329017939707786 0.03426791277258567 0.094761
8447041712
Commissioner Of Insurance Seminole 3214 66 2950 59 0.020535158680771624 0.02 0.5929414328724583
Commissioner Of Insurance Spalding 24385 577 23102 549 0.02366208734877999 0.023764176261795517 0.74
37899597725126
Commissioner Of Insurance Stephens 9069 186 8533 175 0.020509427720807147 0.020508613617719443 1.088
2079447468032
Commissioner Of Insurance Stewart 1784 142 1551 130 0.0795964125560538 0.08381689232753063 0.1057103
590128202
Commissioner Of Insurance Sumter 10556 269 9679 249 0.02548313755210307 0.02572579811964046 0.697496
3905397773
Commissioner Of Insurance Talbot 2952 152 2667 128 0.051490514905149054 0.047994000749906264 0.01875
488050623625
Commissioner Of Insurance Taliaferro 917 78 755 66 0.08505997818974918 0.08741721854304636 0.7092352
229470019
Commissioner Of Insurance Tattnall 6639 211 6247 206 0.03178189486368429 0.032975828397630864 0.0242
17341602327063
Commissioner Of Insurance Taylor 3265 94 3022 90 0.02879019908116386 0.029781601588352084 0.31796799
25306423
Commissioner Of Insurance Telfair 3631 130 3300 127 0.035802809143486644 0.03848484848484848 0.00321
74486990850263
Commissioner Of Insurance Terrell 3930 116 3603 115 0.029516539440203562 0.031917846239245075 0.0008
528712573486075
Commissioner Of Insurance Thomas 17241 398 16250 387 0.0230845078591729 0.023815384615384617 0.00717
5949411189903
Commissioner Of Insurance Tift 13669 379 13108 369 0.027726973443558418 0.02815074763503204 0.172475
84801358476
Commissioner Of Insurance Toombs 8851 245 8170 232 0.027680488080442888 0.02839657282741738 0.183958

41994439988
Commissioner Of Insurance Towns 6132 145 5848 137 0.02364644487932159 0.023426812585499317 0.7146838
828010001
Commissioner Of Insurance Treutlen 2610 95 2464 87 0.03639846743295019 0.03530844155844156 0.3171974
266823648
Commissioner Of Insurance Troup 23663 480 22399 456 0.020284832861429236 0.02035805169873655 0.83700
61260797561
Commissioner Of Insurance Turner 3269 112 3121 112 0.034261241970021415 0.035885933995514256 0.01017
1015801582785
Commissioner Of Insurance Twiggs 3787 119 3512 116 0.031423290203327174 0.03302961275626424 0.043907
17582182362
Commissioner Of Insurance Union 11863 245 11381 236 0.020652448790356572 0.020736314910816272 0.9198
361614043107
Commissioner Of Insurance Upson 10558 255 9956 244 0.024152301572267474 0.02450783447167537 0.412434
04148678947
Commissioner Of Insurance Walker 21451 446 20638 433 0.020791571488508694 0.02098071518558 0.3989477
5966656193
Commissioner Of Insurance Walton 38635 676 36866 652 0.017497088132522324 0.017685672435306245 0.225
45556399095382
Commissioner Of Insurance Ware 11004 197 10377 189 0.017902580879680115 0.01821335646140503 0.404701
7050157945
Commissioner Of Insurance Warren 2260 76 2073 73 0.033628318584070796 0.035214664737095995 0.2248406
0259869723
Commissioner Of Insurance Washington 8159 326 7432 302 0.03995587694570413 0.040635091496232505 0.36
90963167337582
Commissioner Of Insurance Wayne 10122 247 9621 242 0.02440229203714681 0.025153310466687454 0.029579
619415050117
Commissioner Of Insurance Webster 1100 40 948 37 0.03636363636363636 0.039029535864978905 0.34589408
24533175
Commissioner Of Insurance Wheeler 1927 69 1812 69 0.03580695381421899 0.0380794701986755 0.026467157
79927298
Commissioner Of Insurance White 11434 184 10897 179 0.016092356130837853 0.016426539414517757 0.2620
885052452319
Commissioner Of Insurance Whitfield 27302 702 26285 690 0.0257124020218299 0.026250713334601484 0.00
2542502473291031
Commissioner Of Insurance Wilcox 2815 87 2610 81 0.030905861456483125 0.03103448275862069 1.09976431
68048414
Commissioner Of Insurance Wilkes 4371 170 4070 162 0.03889270189887897 0.039803439803439804 0.321080
677871574
Commissioner Of Insurance Wilkinson 4264 136 3994 129 0.03189493433395872 0.03229844767150726 0.7225
83985586467
Commissioner Of Insurance Worth 7831 190 7526 185 0.02426254629038437 0.024581450969970768 0.4877053
221286879

State School Superintendent Appling 6806 195 6276 183 0.028651190126359093 0.029158699808795412 0.47
71120754921914
State School Superintendent Atkinson 2520 113 2432 113 0.04484126984126984 0.046463815789473686 0.03
280599862790262
State School Superintendent Bacon 3829 97 3655 95 0.025332985113606685 0.025991792065663474 0.348067
3720794697
State School Superintendent Baker 1291 57 1150 51 0.044151820294345466 0.04434782608695652 1.1354902
797323818
State School Superintendent Baldwin 15633 431 14475 416 0.02756988421927973 0.028739205526770294 0.0
008253423943003924
State School Superintendent Banks 6852 127 6629 122 0.018534734384121423 0.018403982501131393 0.7955
487551255416
State School Superintendent Barrow 27398 464 26135 436 0.01693554274034601 0.016682609527453606 0.17
987119954884662
State School Superintendent Bartow 37327 786 35759 773 0.02105714362257883 0.021616935596633014 8.67
3972666922682e-05
State School Superintendent Ben Hill 5533 93 5213 88 0.016808241460328936 0.016880874736236333 1.094
8208491943126
State School Superintendent Berrien 6247 124 5925 122 0.0198495277733312 0.020590717299578058 0.0826
7237333754755
State School Superintendent Bibb 60460 1267 55999 1205 0.020956003969566657 0.021518241397167806 0.0
0039100911035865364
State School Superintendent Bleckley 4855 126 4598 120 0.025952626158599383 0.02609830361026533 0.99
17008364000502
State School Superintendent Brantley 5689 117 5501 114 0.02056600457022324 0.020723504817305945 0.91
05558450216902
State School Superintendent Brooks 5696 137 5231 123 0.02405196629213483 0.023513668514624355 0.4523
844118780961
State School Superintendent Bryan 14970 245 14388 238 0.016366065464261857 0.01654156241312204 6 0.51
75495584130659
State School Superintendent Bulloch 23543 489 22467 465 0.020770505033343243 0.02069702229937241 0.7
783302048844566
State School Superintendent Burke 8719 185 7751 174 0.021218029590549376 0.022448716294671656 0.0229
75858202328326
State School Superintendent Butts 8863 180 8483 171 0.020309150400541577 0.020157962984793117 0.7334
204214256573
State School Superintendent Calhoun 1899 39 1714 35 0.020537124802527645 0.020420070011668612 1.0727
328582001299
State School Superintendent Camden 17053 296 15860 271 0.01735764968040814 0.01708701134930643 0.378
7653152910472
State School Superintendent Candler 3538 82 3418 80 0.023176936122102882 0.023405500292568753 0.9371
34799146735
State School Superintendent Carroll 41739 748 40221 724 0.017920889336112508 0.018000546977946844 0.

6089822792818647
State School Superintendent Catoosa 23725 361 23185 353 0.015216016859852476 0.015225361224929911 1.
1235690176103146
State School Superintendent Charlton 3369 64 3206 63 0.018996734936182844 0.019650655021834062 0.350
4614516678216
State School Superintendent Chatham 103338 2082 97161 1953 0.020147477210706612 0.020100657671287863
0.6970026571648539
State School Superintendent Chattahoochee 1102 33 1067 33 0.029945553539019964 0.030927835051546393
0.6784191285226017
State School Superintendent Chattooga 7427 168 7202 166 0.022620169651272386 0.02304915301305193 0.2
215818173438752
State School Superintendent Cherokee 106299 1845 100987 1744 0.017356701380069426 0.0172695495459811
65 0.3691332435033265
State School Superintendent Clarke 43261 978 40239 908 0.022606967014169806 0.022565173090782573 0.8
674453408158112
State School Superintendent Clay 1186 24 1043 17 0.02023608768971332 0.016299137104506232 0.03756037
6346500925
State School Superintendent Clayton 91840 1554 85993 1439 0.016920731707317074 0.016733920202807204
0.10771697419108171
State School Superintendent Clinch 2254 88 2145 86 0.03904170363797693 0.04009324009324009 0.3804064
8297886664
State School Superintendent Cobb 310381 5240 284480 4667 0.016882476697993757 0.01640537120359955 6.
11344115570655e-11
State School Superintendent Coffee 12595 317 12200 310 0.02516871774513696 0.02540983606557377 0.435
6254077327964
State School Superintendent Colquitt 12953 342 12439 332 0.026403149849455725 0.026690248412251788
0.3938880327956586
State School Superintendent Columbia 61591 858 58655 789 0.01393060674449189 0.013451538658255904 4.
05487954930408e-05
State School Superintendent Cook 5803 79 5559 78 0.013613648113044977 0.014031300593631947 0.2963720
8112324825
State School Superintendent Coweta 58056 946 55244 908 0.01629461209866336 0.01643617406415176 0.260
7627961414206
State School Superintendent Crawford 4931 132 4697 124 0.02676941796795782 0.026399829678518203 0.57
78323994484768
State School Superintendent Crisp 7024 250 6661 241 0.03559225512528474 0.036180753640594504 0.31884
863431599786
State School Superintendent Dade 5450 155 5321 150 0.028440366972477066 0.028190189813944746 0.60994
10924283258
State School Superintendent Dawson 11570 200 11131 193 0.01728608470181504 0.017338963255772166 1.01
8667962393448
State School Superintendent DeKalb 310968 7013 291296 6369 0.02255215970775128 0.021864357903987695
8.832174502070971e-21

State School Superintendent Decatur 9043 199 8495 192 0.02200597146964503 0.022601530311948205 0.156
10734854515299
State School Superintendent Dodge 7051 303 6603 288 0.04297262799602893 0.04361653793730123 0.369143
9864099541
State School Superintendent Dooly 3793 147 3597 140 0.038755602425520695 0.03892132332499305 1.01340
92203335676
State School Superintendent Dougherty 31297 609 29353 565 0.01945873406396779 0.019248458419923006
0.33495070196864307
State School Superintendent Douglas 55197 739 51964 690 0.013388408790332807 0.013278423523978138 0.
4064779656017571
State School Superintendent Early 4131 77 3658 63 0.018639554587267005 0.01722252597047567 0.1035389
1913337368
State School Superintendent Echols 1143 30 1108 30 0.026246719160104987 0.02707581227436823 0.777180
3067064711
State School Superintendent Effingham 23365 371 22615 365 0.01587845067408517 0.016139730267521555
0.08892005647010105
State School Superintendent Elbert 7319 90 6756 86 0.01229676185271212 0.012729425695677915 0.335092
8137567028
State School Superintendent Emanuel 7710 246 7320 235 0.031906614785992216 0.03210382513661202 0.810
5044256943981
State School Superintendent Evans 3447 108 3248 103 0.031331592689295036 0.031711822660098525 0.8001
316163212286
State School Superintendent Fannin 11203 244 10545 227 0.02177988038918147 0.02152678994784258 0.533
9469680426268
State School Superintendent Fayette 57962 929 55315 877 0.016027742313929817 0.015854650637259333 0.
15677194276144643
State School Superintendent Floyd 30225 570 28965 547 0.018858560794044667 0.018884861039185224 0.98
07332781140115
State School Superintendent Forsyth 93239 1448 88811 1355 0.015529982089039994 0.01525711905056806
0.0046071886643395624
State School Superintendent Franklin 8149 141 7761 141 0.017302736532089826 0.01816776188635485 0.00
19368824247125872
State School Superintendent Fulton 421806 10056 403964 9505 0.023840343665097224 0.02352932439524314
1.6777942601159474e-09
State School Superintendent Gilmer 12471 272 11912 267 0.021810600593376635 0.022414372061786435 0.0
30792139036562104
State School Superintendent Glascock 1300 54 1219 53 0.04153846153846154 0.043478260869565216 0.2731
5182925752823
State School Superintendent Glynn 32501 572 30689 549 0.017599458478200672 0.017889145948059567 0.11
287474627348418
State School Superintendent Gordon 17772 406 17225 389 0.02284492460049516 0.022583454281567487 0.24
880918180334075
State School Superintendent Grady 8356 134 7956 126 0.016036381043561514 0.01583710407239819 0.62376

71843382813
State School Superintendent Greene 8989 129 8366 118 0.014350873289576148 0.014104709538608654 0.562
7746710775136
State School Superintendent Gwinnett 312709 4599 292312 4335 0.014706963982488512 0.0148300446098689
08 0.030282913547278714
State School Superintendent Habersham 15495 308 14642 292 0.019877379799935462 0.019942630788143696
0.9370345876384635
State School Superintendent Hall 67282 1282 63789 1212 0.019054130376623762 0.01900014109015661 0.69
66727236037483
State School Superintendent Hancock 3539 153 3049 137 0.043232551568239616 0.04493276484093145 0.258
65561780016794
State School Superintendent Haralson 10585 192 10192 189 0.018138875767595656 0.018543956043956044
0.13962300215772466
State School Superintendent Harris 15972 269 15243 255 0.016841973453543702 0.016728990356229087 0.6
910381482065708
State School Superintendent Hart 9618 213 9178 207 0.022145976294447912 0.02255393331880584 0.276358
4981513938
State School Superintendent Heard 4051 83 3773 77 0.020488768205381386 0.02040816326530612 1.0192196
825461242
State School Superintendent Henry 98365 1353 93368 1260 0.013754892492248259 0.013494987576043184 0.
004506562943254488
State School Superintendent Houston 59158 871 55968 813 0.014723283410527739 0.014526157804459691 0.
1182267150190979
State School Superintendent Irwin 3556 66 3423 65 0.01856017997750281 0.018989190768331873 0.5702910
646805474
State School Superintendent Jackson 26889 378 25870 364 0.014057793149615085 0.01407035175879397 1.0
703407333723374
State School Superintendent Jasper 5907 108 5486 103 0.018283392585068562 0.018775063798760483 0.415
8034362786885
State School Superintendent Jeff Davis 4815 183 4586 178 0.038006230529595016 0.03881378107283035 0.
24783972808352797
State School Superintendent Jefferson 6756 225 6149 212 0.03330373001776199 0.03447715075622052 0.09
903750571278594
State School Superintendent Jenkins 2856 73 2668 69 0.025560224089635854 0.02586206896551724 0.93697
53316979214
State School Superintendent Johnson 3483 145 3247 132 0.04163077806488659 0.04065291037881121 0.3612
376643218168
State School Superintendent Jones 12432 225 11648 207 0.018098455598455597 0.017771291208791208 0.35
54373827027357
State School Superintendent Lamar 7346 142 6942 134 0.019330247753879662 0.01930279458369346 1.04898
1239192677
State School Superintendent Lanier 2679 97 2571 94 0.036207540126913025 0.036561649163749516 0.88828
71187382473

State School Superintendent Laurens 18939 482 17653 447 0.025450129362690744 0.02532147510338186 0.7
28489328829162
State School Superintendent Lee 13549 196 13069 190 0.014466012251826704 0.014538220215777794 0.9091
228972568559
State School Superintendent Liberty 15358 221 14408 208 0.014389894517515302 0.014436424208772903 0.
9938912476817579
State School Superintendent Lincoln 3967 83 3617 80 0.0209226115452483 0.02211777716339508 0.1126324
1782844156
State School Superintendent Long 3988 98 3819 95 0.02457372116349047 0.024875621890547265 0.79365960
75699773
State School Superintendent Lowndes 35212 531 33322 491 0.015080086334204249 0.014735009903367145 0.
04036095323253735
State School Superintendent Lumpkin 11551 222 11084 211 0.01921911522811878 0.01903644893540238 0.57
45926613328292
State School Superintendent Macon 4217 170 3886 158 0.04031301873369694 0.040658775090066906 0.83414
32088475947
State School Superintendent Madison 11697 236 11170 229 0.020176113533384627 0.020501342882721575 0.
319435997805081
State School Superintendent Marion 2930 71 2689 70 0.024232081911262797 0.026031982149497954 0.03146
2393825256625
State School Superintendent McDuffie 8792 148 7924 133 0.01683348498635123 0.01678445229681979 0.995
7796303183759
State School Superintendent McIntosh 5408 288 4968 266 0.05325443786982249 0.0535426731078905 0.8574
565138915832
State School Superintendent Meriwether 8639 189 8156 184 0.021877532121773353 0.022560078469838155
0.08487354871435074
State School Superintendent Miller 2313 115 2181 107 0.04971897968006917 0.049060064190738197 0.6650
957126177846
State School Superintendent Mitchell 7446 152 6882 140 0.0204136449100188 0.02034292356873002 0.9693
955395994174
State School Superintendent Monroe 12932 285 12236 277 0.02203835446953294 0.02263811703170971 0.053
743462438742284
State School Superintendent Montgomery 3528 131 3317 126 0.03713151927437642 0.03798613204703045 0.3
8646256017268044
State School Superintendent Morgan 9554 205 9144 193 0.02145698136906008 0.02110673665791776 0.34200
55350378094
State School Superintendent Murray 11091 270 10826 259 0.024344062753583987 0.023923886938850916 0.1
1897870262090533
State School Superintendent Muscogee 63141 1170 58719 1094 0.01852995676343422 0.018631107477988386
0.5357343373652267
State School Superintendent Newton 43129 712 40394 667 0.016508613693802313 0.01651235331979997 1.06
04952221422372
State School Superintendent Oconee 20733 411 19802 392 0.019823469830704675 0.019795980204019795 0.9

633638295129779
State School Superintendent Oglethorpe 6484 141 6075 134 0.021745835903763108 0.02205761316872428 0.
653092603407015
State School Superintendent Paulding 61222 959 57915 901 0.015664303681683055 0.015557282223948891
0.4079777254588365
State School Superintendent Peach 10355 199 9910 191 0.019217769193626267 0.01927346115035318 1.0272
574761231834
State School Superintendent Pickens 13362 281 13140 274 0.0210297859601856 0.02085235920852359 0.375
34702001161835
State School Superintendent Pierce 6883 92 6556 89 0.013366264710155456 0.013575350823672972 0.71417
48939273604
State School Superintendent Pike 8534 130 8230 124 0.015233184907429108 0.015066828675577158 0.63567
19569210915
State School Superintendent Polk 12859 256 12412 249 0.019908235477097753 0.020061231066709637 0.659
1002408179695
State School Superintendent Pulaski 3622 142 3390 128 0.03920485919381557 0.03775811209439528 0.1360
6400142430597
State School Superintendent Putnam 9321 182 8723 176 0.019525801952580194 0.0201765447667087 0.09551
624952773365
State School Superintendent Quitman 940 48 880 39 0.05106382978723404 0.04431818181818182 0.00447759
4306767345
State School Superintendent Rabun 7578 178 6735 154 0.023489047242016364 0.022865627319970303 0.3686
2117342812606
State School Superintendent Randolph 2788 78 2475 74 0.027977044476327116 0.0298989898989899 0.10214
764234631135
State School Superintendent Richmond 70043 1288 64729 1204 0.018388704081778336 0.01860062723045312
0.15582293102431713
State School Superintendent Rockdale 36600 518 34747 489 0.014153005464480874 0.014073157394883011
0.6296995554892242
State School Superintendent Schley 1931 53 1835 52 0.027446918694976695 0.028337874659400544 0.49731
074391743596
State School Superintendent Screven 5407 156 5136 147 0.028851488810800813 0.028621495327102803 0.76
19700449591733
State School Superintendent Seminole 3214 64 2950 58 0.019912881144990666 0.01966101694915254 0.8624
292262561878
State School Superintendent Spalding 24385 601 23102 564 0.02464629895427517 0.024413470695177908 0.
3638435030940898
State School Superintendent Stephens 9069 162 8533 155 0.017863049950380416 0.018164772061408648 0.5
013622039502968
State School Superintendent Stewart 1784 124 1551 111 0.06950672645739911 0.07156673114119923 0.4646
4511045707857
State School Superintendent Sumter 10556 252 9679 238 0.023872679045092837 0.02458931707821056 0.125
48345345390768

State School Superintendent Talbot 2952 133 2667 112 0.04505420054200542 0.04199475065616798 0.02940
3645848459184
State School Superintendent Taliaferro 917 84 755 65 0.0916030534351145 0.08609271523178808 0.273546
7300211541
State School Superintendent Tattnall 6639 192 6247 187 0.028920018075011298 0.029934368496878503 0.0
512304287954861
State School Superintendent Taylor 3265 74 3022 70 0.022664624808575805 0.02316346790205162 0.689222
433862807
State School Superintendent Telfair 3631 118 3300 116 0.032497934453318646 0.03515151515151515 0.001
8225924671151817
State School Superintendent Terrell 3930 104 3603 101 0.026463104325699746 0.028032195392728283 0.04
2944924238965045
State School Superintendent Thomas 17241 332 16250 314 0.01925642364132011 0.019323076923076922 0.91
61424159089993
State School Superintendent Tift 13669 278 13108 266 0.020337991074694563 0.020292950869697894 0.941
9558919724208
State School Superintendent Toombs 8851 220 8170 203 0.024855948480397697 0.02484700122399021 1.0597
873052210895
State School Superintendent Towns 6132 141 5848 133 0.02299412915851272 0.022742818057455542 0.65818
39569738592
State School Superintendent Treutlen 2610 123 2464 113 0.047126436781609195 0.04586038961038961 0.29
27317722836269
State School Superintendent Troup 23663 420 22399 389 0.01774922875375058 0.017366846734229207 0.087
26815668305059
State School Superintendent Turner 3269 88 3121 87 0.02691954726215968 0.02787568087151554 0.1696205
5567395418
State School Superintendent Twiggs 3787 134 3512 127 0.03538420913651967 0.03616173120728929 0.46140
09350453488
State School Superintendent Union 11863 247 11381 234 0.02082104020905336 0.02056058342852122 0.4117
397686890091
State School Superintendent Upson 10558 225 9956 214 0.021310854328471303 0.021494576134993972 0.726
1325043171444
State School Superintendent Walker 21451 396 20638 380 0.018460677823877676 0.018412636883418935 0.8
655142439782261
State School Superintendent Walton 38635 660 36866 636 0.01708295586903067 0.017251668203764985 0.28
00440127239453
State School Superintendent Ware 11004 161 10377 149 0.014631043256997456 0.014358677845234653 0.414
5154713741024
State School Superintendent Warren 2260 73 2073 71 0.032300884955752215 0.03424987940183309 0.100587
98537902545
State School Superintendent Washington 8159 325 7432 300 0.03983331290599338 0.040365984930032295 0.
5003791203270346
State School Superintendent Wayne 10122 217 9621 214 0.021438450899031812 0.022243010082112047 0.009

637923820089336
State School Superintendent Webster 1100 32 948 27 0.02909090909090909 0.028481012658227847 0.919508
5487727427
State School Superintendent Wheeler 1927 43 1812 43 0.022314478463933574 0.023730684326710817 0.1376
5854624008833
State School Superintendent White 11434 203 10897 195 0.017754066818261326 0.01789483344039644 0.762
894854399894
State School Superintendent Whitfield 27302 635 26285 609 0.02325836935023075 0.023169107856191744
0.6761484127392939
State School Superintendent Wilcox 2815 79 2610 73 0.028063943161634103 0.027969348659003832 1.04065
67378425275
State School Superintendent Wilkes 4371 133 4070 120 0.030427819720887667 0.029484029484029485 0.249
66033173770433
State School Superintendent Wilkinson 4264 143 3994 136 0.03353658536585366 0.03405107661492238 0.61
134668209462
State School Superintendent Worth 7831 159 7526 153 0.020303920316690077 0.020329524315705554 1.1490
60650591179
Commissioner Of Labor Appling 6806 217 6276 203 0.03188363208933294 0.03234544295729764 0.5511946596
274736
Commissioner Of Labor Atkinson 2520 118 2432 117 0.046825396825396826 0.04810855263157895 0.14935732
493442994
Commissioner Of Labor Bacon 3829 114 3655 112 0.029772786628362496 0.03064295485636115 0.20247632293
816503
Commissioner Of Labor Baker 1291 62 1150 52 0.048024786986831915 0.04521739130434783 0.2584878767274
842
Commissioner Of Labor Baldwin 15633 455 14475 426 0.02910509818972686 0.029430051813471504 0.4510808
7944703434
Commissioner Of Labor Banks 6852 141 6629 136 0.020577933450087564 0.020515914919294012 0.9752235680
469857
Commissioner Of Labor Barrow 27398 536 26135 500 0.01956347178626177 0.01913143294432753 0.032073695
56063228
Commissioner Of Labor Bartow 37327 726 35759 709 0.019449728078870524 0.019827176375178276 0.0093688
45891485846
Commissioner Of Labor Ben Hill 5533 116 5213 109 0.020965118380625338 0.02090926529829273 1.02086678
833252
Commissioner Of Labor Berrien 6247 157 5925 152 0.025132063390427405 0.025654008438818564 0.34456571
65120737
Commissioner Of Labor Bibb 60460 1455 55999 1355 0.02406549784981806 0.02419686065822604 0.490887477
75698977
Commissioner Of Labor Bleckley 4855 163 4598 156 0.03357363542739444 0.03392779469334493 4 0.72010841
95472242
Commissioner Of Labor Brantley 5689 136 5501 131 0.02390578309017402 0.023813852026904198 0.93892448
00972285

Commissioner Of Labor Brooks 5696 141 5231 126 0.02475421348314607 0.024087172624737144 0.3480779448286483
Commissioner Of Labor Bryan 14970 285 14388 273 0.01903807615230461 0.01897414512093411 0.8610456721179405
Commissioner Of Labor Bulloch 23543 580 22467 554 0.024635772841184216 0.02465838785774692 1.0219336341170084
Commissioner Of Labor Burke 8719 215 7751 197 0.024658791145773597 0.025416075345116758 0.23266626065941182
Commissioner Of Labor Butts 8863 173 8483 166 0.019519350107187184 0.019568548862430743 1.06976805925021
Commissioner Of Labor Calhoun 1899 50 1714 45 0.02632964718272775 0.026254375729288213 1.0932073131246993
Commissioner Of Labor Camden 17053 366 15860 344 0.021462499266991144 0.021689785624211855 0.5311423217904407
Commissioner Of Labor Candler 3538 88 3418 84 0.0248728094968909 0.024575775307197192 0.6982269242208146
Commissioner Of Labor Carroll 41739 771 40221 747 0.018471932724789766 0.018572387558737972 0.5014944420002873
Commissioner Of Labor Catoosa 23725 478 23185 467 0.020147523709167545 0.02014233340521889 1.0559159493296444
Commissioner Of Labor Charlton 3369 90 3206 86 0.026714158504007122 0.026824703680598878 1.1149075857404946
Commissioner Of Labor Chatham 103338 2491 97161 2347 0.02410536298360719 0.024155782670001336 0.7143517068809174
Commissioner Of Labor Chattahoochee 1102 41 1067 41 0.03720508166969147 0.038425492033739454 0.5193425024154349
Commissioner Of Labor Chattooga 7427 207 7202 205 0.027871280463174903 0.028464315467925577 0.09272103578118218
Commissioner Of Labor Cherokee 106299 2291 100987 2175 0.021552413475197322 0.021537425609236834 0.9108038290659155
Commissioner Of Labor Clarke 43261 1217 40239 1132 0.028131573472642796 0.028131911826834662 1.0344290547944495
Commissioner Of Labor Clay 1186 41 1043 30 0.03456998313659359 0.028763183125599234 0.013531866964528613
Commissioner Of Labor Clayton 91840 1788 85993 1653 0.019468641114982577 0.01922249485423232 0.04738114451584041
Commissioner Of Labor Clinch 2254 86 2145 82 0.038154392191659274 0.03822843822843823 1.1933794776713196
Commissioner Of Labor Cobb 310381 6314 284480 5709 0.020342740051742858 0.020068194600674917 0.00046464123434715
Commissioner Of Labor Coffee 12595 392 12200 382 0.03112346169114728 0.031311475409836066 0.6198928265780838
Commissioner Of Labor Colquitt 12953 394 12439 382 0.03041766386165367 0.030709864136988504 0.418081

8727718646
Commissioner Of Labor Columbia 61591 1059 58655 980 0.017194070562257472 0.016707868041940157 0.0001
404338532291466
Commissioner Of Labor Cook 5803 120 5559 118 0.02067895915905566 0.02122683935959705 0.2260421570053
831
Commissioner Of Labor Coweta 58056 1096 55244 1044 0.018878324376464105 0.018897979871117226 0.95000
99352398498
Commissioner Of Labor Crawford 4931 132 4697 124 0.02676941796795782 0.026399829678518203 0.57783239
94484768
Commissioner Of Labor Crisp 7024 247 6661 237 0.03516514806378132 0.03558024320672572 0.522575986650
1862
Commissioner Of Labor Dade 5450 195 5321 185 0.03577981651376147 0.03476790077053186 0.0333167648129
38986
Commissioner Of Labor Dawson 11570 226 11131 219 0.019533275713050993 0.019674782139969454 0.7401358
822146
Commissioner Of Labor DeKalb 310968 8118 291296 7459 0.026105579995369298 0.025606256179281556 1.142
1846292792532e-10
Commissioner Of Labor Decatur 9043 227 8495 211 0.02510228906336393 0.024838140082401414 0.602424688
7862326
Commissioner Of Labor Dodge 7051 299 6603 283 0.042405332576939445 0.04285930637588975 0.55908982178
62827
Commissioner Of Labor Dooly 3793 156 3597 150 0.041128394410756657 0.041701417848206836 0.5883820496
63891
Commissioner Of Labor Dougherty 31297 674 29353 638 0.02153561044189539 0.02173542738391306 0.389651
2928841446
Commissioner Of Labor Douglas 55197 852 51964 804 0.015435621501168542 0.015472250019244092 0.852359
5466196648
Commissioner Of Labor Early 4131 86 3658 73 0.020818203824739773 0.019956260251503554 0.359136683783
6408
Commissioner Of Labor Echols 1143 28 1108 28 0.024496937882764653 0.02527075812274368 0.828393429069
3437
Commissioner Of Labor Effingham 23365 414 22615 404 0.017718810186175903 0.017864249391996462 0.4420
7881864057286
Commissioner Of Labor Elbert 7319 188 6756 183 0.02568656920344309 0.02708703374777975 0.00567399006
7385288
Commissioner Of Labor Emanuel 7710 269 7320 259 0.03488975356679637 0.03538251366120219 0.3832437757
0915313
Commissioner Of Labor Evans 3447 118 3248 112 0.034232666086451986 0.034482758620689655 0.9445354393
746619
Commissioner Of Labor Fannin 11203 287 10545 268 0.025618137998750335 0.02541488857278331 0.65484627
44735842
Commissioner Of Labor Fayette 57962 1223 55315 1153 0.021100031054829025 0.020844255626864323 0.0652
4302953276344

Commissioner Of Labor Floyd 30225 672 28965 637 0.022233250620347395 0.021992059382012773 0.21066665
190756154
Commissioner Of Labor Forsyth 93239 1911 88811 1804 0.020495715312262037 0.02031279909020279 0.09229
919938398527
Commissioner Of Labor Franklin 8149 147 7761 143 0.01803902319302982 0.018425460636515914 0.32797441
44959712
Commissioner Of Labor Fulton 421806 11574 403964 10897 0.027439154492823716 0.026975176005782694 9.3
93862386157765e-17
Commissioner Of Labor Gilmer 12471 317 11912 313 0.025418972015074974 0.0262760241773002 0.002343995
4378141796
Commissioner Of Labor Glascock 1300 66 1219 63 0.05076923076923077 0.051681706316652996 0.7993324540
615825
Commissioner Of Labor Glynn 32501 750 30689 728 0.02307621303959878 0.023721854736224707 0.000769649
3050762975
Commissioner Of Labor Gordon 17772 485 17225 467 0.02729011928876885 0.02711175616835994 0.479642405
6365982
Commissioner Of Labor Grady 8356 164 7956 152 0.019626615605552896 0.019105077928607342 0.1881058582
1613335
Commissioner Of Labor Greene 8989 167 8366 151 0.018578262320614086 0.018049246951948362 0.232758859
41306124
Commissioner Of Labor Gwinnett 312709 5674 292312 5354 0.01814466484814956 0.018316045868797724 0.00
6115840152886886
Commissioner Of Labor Habersham 15495 369 14642 353 0.023814133591481122 0.02410872831580385 0.38193
009948996265
Commissioner Of Labor Hall 67282 1410 63789 1333 0.020956570851044857 0.020897019862358715 0.6783653
617482358
Commissioner Of Labor Hancock 3539 171 3049 154 0.04831873410567957 0.050508363397835356 0.152636762
83021027
Commissioner Of Labor Haralson 10585 181 10192 178 0.017099669343410485 0.017464678178963893 0.18284
510926983782
Commissioner Of Labor Harris 15972 309 15243 291 0.019346356123215627 0.019090730171226138 0.3463550
204715251
Commissioner Of Labor Hart 9618 294 9178 283 0.03056768558951965 0.030834604488995422 0.600326915760
1676
Commissioner Of Labor Heard 4051 94 3773 86 0.023204147124166873 0.02279353299761463 0.6319846961262
696
Commissioner Of Labor Henry 98365 1662 93368 1551 0.01689625374879276 0.01661168708765316 0.00468934
8830035048
Commissioner Of Labor Houston 59158 1002 55968 932 0.01693769228168633 0.016652372784448255 0.034423
185162220336
Commissioner Of Labor Irwin 3556 94 3423 91 0.026434195725534307 0.026584867075664622 1.059441274021
2533
Commissioner Of Labor Jackson 26889 463 25870 448 0.017218937111830115 0.017317356010823348 0.635223

1566706364
Commissioner Of Labor Jasper 5907 133 5486 122 0.022515659387167767 0.022238425082026978 0.697483525
5481626
Commissioner Of Labor Jeff Davis 4815 182 4586 173 0.03779854620976116 0.037723506323593545 1.004059
9541878619
Commissioner Of Labor Jefferson 6756 258 6149 238 0.03818827708703375 0.03870548056594568 0.56427822
92003691
Commissioner Of Labor Jenkins 2856 84 2668 77 0.029411764705882353 0.02886056971514243 0.62927693250
98755
Commissioner Of Labor Johnson 3483 138 3247 124 0.03962101636520241 0.03818909762858023 0.1624211176
8834344
Commissioner Of Labor Jones 12432 274 11648 252 0.02203989703989704 0.021634615384615384 0.289769824
5907884
Commissioner Of Labor Lamar 7346 154 6942 146 0.020963789817587803 0.021031403053874964 1.0497606723
109238
Commissioner Of Labor Lanier 2679 101 2571 98 0.03770063456513625 0.03811746402178141 0.822945884516
5297
Commissioner Of Labor Laurens 18939 521 17653 482 0.027509372194941654 0.02730414093921713 0.5699454
00199552
Commissioner Of Labor Lee 13549 227 13069 218 0.016754003985533988 0.01668069477389242 0.82680062461
65223
Commissioner Of Labor Liberty 15358 258 14408 239 0.01679906237791379 0.01658800666296502 0.49456025
07691656
Commissioner Of Labor Lincoln 3967 102 3617 97 0.025712125031509957 0.026817804810616533 0.203534665
02022266
Commissioner Of Labor Long 3988 120 3819 117 0.03009027081243731 0.030636292223095052 0.485750599073
80325
Commissioner Of Labor Lowndes 35212 636 33322 591 0.018062024309894353 0.017736030250285098 0.073861
49195070599
Commissioner Of Labor Lumpkin 11551 252 11084 240 0.021816292961648342 0.021652832912306026 0.643454
0134048148
Commissioner Of Labor Macon 4217 192 3886 180 0.04552999762864596 0.04632012352032939 0.491407164729
7251
Commissioner Of Labor Madison 11697 285 11170 278 0.024365221851756862 0.024888093106535364 0.105240
81180018868
Commissioner Of Labor Marion 2930 84 2689 83 0.028668941979522185 0.030866493120119003 0.01162623893
759484
Commissioner Of Labor McDuffie 8792 171 7924 150 0.019449499545040945 0.018929833417465926 0.3462276
144484205
Commissioner Of Labor McIntosh 5408 152 4968 139 0.028106508875739646 0.027979066022544283 0.9362738
800740796
Commissioner Of Labor Meriwether 8639 209 8156 196 0.024192614885982174 0.024031387935262383 0.77288
68021541464

Commissioner Of Labor Miller 2313 107 2181 101 0.04626026805015132 0.04630903255387437 1.16087801242
71472
Commissioner Of Labor Mitchell 7446 156 6882 143 0.020950846091861403 0.02077884335948852 0.80359639
30980197
Commissioner Of Labor Monroe 12932 326 12236 316 0.025208784410763997 0.02582543314808761 0.06517589
192503108
Commissioner Of Labor Montgomery 3528 128 3317 122 0.036281179138321996 0.03678022309315647 0.692907
9882704491
Commissioner Of Labor Morgan 9554 241 9144 225 0.02522503663387063 0.024606299212598427 0.1103440413
954272
Commissioner Of Labor Murray 11091 314 10826 299 0.02831124335046434 0.027618695732495844 0.01692100
7071457186
Commissioner Of Labor Muscogee 63141 1294 58719 1209 0.02049381542896058 0.02058958769733817 0.57990
13118970682
Commissioner Of Labor Newton 43129 870 40394 803 0.0201720420134944 0.01987918997870971 0.1175077220
1370216
Commissioner Of Labor Oconee 20733 506 19802 483 0.024405537066512325 0.02439147560852439 1.01326929
99636848
Commissioner Of Labor Oglethorpe 6484 204 6075 196 0.03146206045650833 0.032263374485596706 0.189710
4477377537
Commissioner Of Labor Paulding 61222 1132 57915 1059 0.01849008526346738 0.018285418285418284 0.1375
1304343747006
Commissioner Of Labor Peach 10355 217 9910 205 0.020956059874456784 0.020686175580221997 0.447762879
07977724
Commissioner Of Labor Pickens 13362 354 13140 347 0.026493039964077234 0.026407914764079148 0.748389
4930102144
Commissioner Of Labor Pierce 6883 107 6556 104 0.015545546999854715 0.01586333129957291 0.4889138575
673547
Commissioner Of Labor Pike 8534 146 8230 142 0.017108038434497305 0.017253948967193195 0.80119793121
99574
Commissioner Of Labor Polk 12859 290 12412 284 0.0225522980013998 0.022881082823074442 0.23592657352
89281
Commissioner Of Labor Pulaski 3622 165 3390 152 0.04555494202098288 0.044837758112094395 0.512698959
7048461
Commissioner Of Labor Putnam 9321 207 8723 200 0.022207917605407145 0.022927891780350797 0.080124466
20766424
Commissioner Of Labor Quitman 940 51 880 43 0.05425531914893617 0.048863636363636366 0.0246941254149
00726
Commissioner Of Labor Rabun 7578 202 6735 174 0.026656109791501716 0.025835189309576838 0.2566971995
8189263
Commissioner Of Labor Randolph 2788 87 2475 75 0.031205164992826398 0.030303030303030304 0.532193769
1632392
Commissioner Of Labor Richmond 70043 1449 64729 1352 0.02068729209200063 0.020887083069412473 0.2095

9735264775886
Commissioner Of Labor Rockdale 36600 626 34747 595 0.017103825136612023 0.017123780470256426 0.99293
38763476395
Commissioner Of Labor Schley 1931 55 1835 55 0.02848265147591921 0.02997275204359673 0.1161906001917
1064
Commissioner Of Labor Screven 5407 179 5136 170 0.033105233955982985 0.033099688473520246 1.09201860
01350891
Commissioner Of Labor Seminole 3214 80 2950 72 0.024891101431238332 0.02440677966101695 0.6676739038
433619
Commissioner Of Labor Spalding 24385 662 23102 614 0.027147836784908754 0.02657778547311921 0.031466
828857502745
Commissioner Of Labor Stephens 9069 220 8533 206 0.024258462895578342 0.02414156803000117 0.85424931
91207154
Commissioner Of Labor Stewart 1784 152 1551 135 0.08520179372197309 0.08704061895551257 0.5655020496
055607
Commissioner Of Labor Sumter 10556 285 9679 259 0.026998863205759758 0.02675896270275855 0.674220668
504326
Commissioner Of Labor Talbot 2952 130 2667 108 0.04403794037940379 0.04049493813273341 0.01115260092
5096519
Commissioner Of Labor Taliaferro 917 83 755 66 0.09051254089422028 0.08741721854304636 0.56694770202
91772
Commissioner Of Labor Tattnall 6639 202 6247 195 0.030426269016418137 0.031214983191932128 0.1656651
1709398007
Commissioner Of Labor Taylor 3265 80 3022 73 0.02450229709035222 0.02415618795499669 2 0.771377468520
2974
Commissioner Of Labor Telfair 3631 148 3300 140 0.04076012117873864 0.04242424242424243 0.1315820309
0844894
Commissioner Of Labor Terrell 3930 126 3603 120 0.03206106870229008 0.03330557868442964 0.1781647522
0226904
Commissioner Of Labor Thomas 17241 382 16250 366 0.02215648744272374 0.022523076923076923 0.21810885
978307548
Commissioner Of Labor Tift 13669 390 13108 372 0.028531714097593093 0.02837961550198352 0.6756470108
01235
Commissioner Of Labor Toombs 8851 249 8170 223 0.02813241441645012 0.02729498164014688 0.13517759204
956065
Commissioner Of Labor Towns 6132 167 5848 159 0.027234181343770383 0.027188782489740083 1.0266273283
41716
Commissioner Of Labor Treutlen 2610 120 2464 109 0.04597701149425287 0.044237012987012984 0.13760492
291314372
Commissioner Of Labor Troup 23663 519 22399 482 0.02193297553142036 0.021518817804366267 0.092101945
91001158
Commissioner Of Labor Turner 3269 104 3121 103 0.03181401040073417 0.03300224287087472 0.09104894054
916372

Commissioner Of Labor Twiggs 3787 128 3512 120 0.03379984156324267 0.03416856492027335 0.81692287570
4607
Commissioner Of Labor Union 11863 267 11381 255 0.022506954396021243 0.022405763992619277 0.80393408
54154601
Commissioner Of Labor Upson 10558 250 9956 237 0.023678727031634778 0.023804740859783045 0.864684870
8165615
Commissioner Of Labor Walker 21451 525 20638 505 0.024474383478625704 0.024469425331912008 1.0463056
408162634
Commissioner Of Labor Walton 38635 771 36866 736 0.019955998447004013 0.019964194650897847 1.0476555
301150872
Commissioner Of Labor Ware 11004 198 10377 185 0.017993456924754635 0.017827888599787994 0.678542357
5220211
Commissioner Of Labor Warren 2260 84 2073 79 0.03716814159292035 0.038109020742884706 0.582831478310
848
Commissioner Of Labor Washington 8159 358 7432 332 0.04387792621644809 0.044671689989235736 0.304667
16987234355
Commissioner Of Labor Wayne 10122 274 9621 265 0.027069749061450307 0.027543914354017252 0.244238757
5747966
Commissioner Of Labor Webster 1100 31 948 28 0.028181818181818183 0.029535864978902954 0.71918149850
97557
Commissioner Of Labor Wheeler 1927 56 1812 56 0.029060716139076286 0.03090507726269316 0.06053953572
635422
Commissioner Of Labor White 11434 219 10897 208 0.019153402133986357 0.01908782233642287 0.907500995
2729007
Commissioner Of Labor Whitfield 27302 737 26285 713 0.026994359387590653 0.027125737112421534 0.5734
012454079105
Commissioner Of Labor Wilcox 2815 86 2610 79 0.030550621669627 0.030268199233716476 0.87573781555039
61
Commissioner Of Labor Wilkes 4371 144 4070 130 0.032944406314344546 0.03194103194103194 0.2358355688
5543241
Commissioner Of Labor Wilkinson 4264 167 3994 157 0.039165103189493435 0.03930896344516775 1.0158584
550605307
Commissioner Of Labor Worth 7831 175 7526 170 0.022347082109564553 0.02258836035078395 0.63448805051
47468
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Appling 6806 209 6276 192 0.030708198648251542
0.030592734225621414 0.9254447934484417
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Atkinson 2520 122 2432 122 0.04841269841269841
4 0.05016447368421053 0.02344920419883716
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Bacon 3829 109 3655 106 0.028466962653434316
0.029001367989056087 0.5223390915761899
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Baker 1291 70 1150 62 0.054221533694810226 0.0
5391304347826087 1.0084551242101076
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Baldwin 15633 486 14475 462 0.0310880829015544

04 0.03191709844559586 0.03483727408540803
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Banks 6852 124 6629 119 0.018096906012842966
0.01795142555438226 0.756437111256961
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Barrow 27398 478 26135 450 0.01744652894371852
0.017218289649894778 0.23354041068133985
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Bartow 37327 629 35759 608 0.01685107294987542
7 0.017002712603820018 0.32354622849839587
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Ben Hill 5533 109 5213 101 0.01969998192662208
6 0.019374640322271246 0.5892907233421034
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Berrien 6247 155 5925 149 0.024811909716664 0.
025147679324894513 0.6103228790651312
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Bibb 60460 1306 55999 1247 0.02160105855110817
2 0.022268254790264112 2.6369102642548318e-05
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Bleckley 4855 149 4598 141 0.03069001029866117
2 0.030665506742061765 1.0730445693487998
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Brantley 5689 142 5501 139 0.02496044999121111
0.025268133066715142 0.6060297020735721
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Brooks 5696 126 5231 118 0.02212078651685393
0.02255782833110304 0.576962084783079
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Bryan 14970 251 14388 239 0.01676686706746827
0.016611064776202392 0.5442152496885333
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Bulloch 23543 564 22467 536 0.0239561653145308
58 0.023857212800997018 0.7059365881811132
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Burke 8719 191 7751 171 0.02190618190159422 0.
022061669462004902 0.892027621180314
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Butts 8863 181 8483 176 0.02042197901387792 0.
020747377107155487 0.41067209887672146
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Calhoun 1899 45 1714 41 0.023696682464454975
0.023920653442240373 1.0997313994724436
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Camden 17053 326 15860 308 0.01911687093180085
6 0.019419924337957126 0.34629268116116396
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Candler 3538 106 3418 103 0.029960429621254947
0.03013458162668227 1.0243903128269076
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Carroll 41739 740 40221 709 0.0177292220704856
38 0.017627607468735238 0.4681343795085505
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Catoosa 23725 419 23185 408 0.0176606954689146
47 0.01759758464524477 0.7160023942521647
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Charlton 3369 90 3206 85 0.026714158504007122
0.026512788521522147 0.8850924142668659
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Chatham 103338 2322 97161 2173 0.0224699529698
65876 0.022364940665493355 0.3884404296668458
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Chattahoochee 1102 43 1067 42 0.03901996370235
935 0.03936269915651359 1.1986723818034077

Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Chattooga 7427 187 7202 184 0.0251784031237377
13 0.025548458761455152 0.3517328230062613
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Cherokee 106299 1964 100987 1864 0.01847618510
051835 0.018457821303732164 0.8757433291222609
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Clarke 43261 1018 40239 959 0.0235315873419477
13 0.023832600213723003 0.14278080817561464
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Clay 1186 44 1043 38 0.03709949409780776 0.036
4333652924257 0.8827356216255784
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Clayton 91840 1477 85993 1342 0.01608231707317
0732 0.015605921412207971 3.814075665245147e-05
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Clinch 2254 90 2145 88 0.03992901508429459 0.0
41025641025641026 0.35621103101305374
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Cobb 310381 5372 284480 4883 0.017307760462141
69 0.017164651293588302 0.047478911357229925
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Coffee 12595 350 12200 343 0.02778880508138150
2 0.028114754098360655 0.2740178169262409
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Colquitt 12953 410 12439 402 0.031652898942329
96 0.03231771042688319 0.03199943247636296
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Columbia 61591 965 58655 917 0.015667873552954
164 0.015633790810672576 0.803120023930895
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Cook 5803 102 5559 100 0.01757711528519731 0.0
17988846914912753 0.3801404845351668
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Coweta 58056 988 55244 934 0.01701805153644756
6 0.016906813409601044 0.3951321120489947
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Crawford 4931 116 4697 112 0.02352464003244778
0.02384500745156483 0.6966240816035223
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Crisp 7024 263 6661 252 0.03744305239179954 0.
03783215733373367 0.57022327175284
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Dade 5450 204 5321 194 0.03743119266055046 0.0
3645931215936854 0.04423540062189183
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Dawson 11570 180 11131 177 0.01555747623163353
4 0.0159015362501123 0.17042796741281618
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta DeKalb 310968 6618 291296 6149 0.0212819325461
1407 0.02110911238053389 0.012170672725772132
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Decatur 9043 214 8495 201 0.023664713037708725
0.023660977045320778 1.075979295480614
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Dodge 7051 310 6603 295 0.043965394979435544
0.0446766621232773 0.31694517880674433
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Dooly 3793 147 3597 142 0.038755602425520695
0.03947734222963581 0.4368822628935295
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Dougherty 31297 682 29353 639 0.02179122599610
186 0.021769495451912924 0.9644683976238924
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Douglas 55197 736 51964 686 0.0133340580103991

17 0.013201447155723193 0.3123525624457475
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Early 4131 89 3658 75 0.021544420237230695 0.0
2050300710770913 0.26798559692419754
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Echols 1143 31 1108 31 0.02712160979877515 0.0
27978339350180504 0.7527406744203812
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Effingham 23365 402 22615 386 0.01720522148512
7327 0.01706831748839266 0.4466408993367449
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Elbert 7319 195 6756 191 0.02664298401420959
0.02827116637063351 0.001082690315701129
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Emanuel 7710 271 7320 261 0.03514915693904021
0.035655737704918034 0.3684336584677408
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Evans 3447 124 3248 119 0.03597331012474616 0.
036637931034482756 0.5365832148556765
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Fannin 11203 234 10545 222 0.02088726234044452
3 0.021052631578947368 0.753689530020197
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Fayette 57962 1068 55315 1007 0.01842586522204
2027 0.018204826900479074 0.08978688892748649
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Floyd 30225 654 28965 622 0.02163771712158809
0.021474192991541515 0.39694697797835965
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Forsyth 93239 1665 88811 1551 0.01785733437724
5574 0.017464052876332885 0.00015176334372228606
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Franklin 8149 146 7761 143 0.01791630874953982
0.018425460636515914 0.15482367045283507
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Fulton 421806 9898 403964 9295 0.0234657638819
7418 0.023009476091928983 1.990369173169821e-18
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Gilmer 12471 308 11912 303 0.02469729773073530
6 0.02543653458697112 0.01017729754784005
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Glascock 1300 60 1219 58 0.046153846153846156
0.0475799835931091 0.526004309334265
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Glynn 32501 672 30689 647 0.02067628688348051
0.021082472547166737 0.0337464274848466
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Gordon 17772 441 17225 423 0.02481431465226198
5 0.02455732946298984 0.2750872070975371
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Grady 8356 167 7956 157 0.019985639061752034
0.01973353443941679 0.5563781315136543
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Greene 8989 140 8366 128 0.015574591166981866
0.015300023906287354 0.5270535654160307
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Gwinnett 312709 5075 292312 4762 0.01622914594
7190517 0.016290812556446536 0.31505600822268853
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Habersham 15495 357 14642 339 0.02303969022265
2467 0.023152574784865456 0.8108596788110205
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Hall 67282 1320 63789 1257 0.01961891739246752
3 0.01970559187320698 0.5357867177505299

Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Hancock 3539 156 3049 140 0.04408024865781294 0.045916693998032145 0.22102745958295655
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Haralson 10585 214 10192 208 0.020217288615965 99 0.02040816326530612 0.6261503168233589
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Harris 15972 291 15243 279 0.01821938392186326 0.01830348356622712 0.8559899792430374
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Hart 9618 266 9178 260 0.027656477438136828 0. 028328611898016998 0.0730189961531744
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Heard 4051 87 3773 83 0.02147617872130338 0.02 1998409753511795 0.5534291536074613
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Henry 98365 1470 93368 1363 0.0149443399583185 07 0.014598149258846714 0.00030677849516891066
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Houston 59158 883 55968 816 0.0149261300246796 7 0.014579759862778732 0.006821517819992047
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Irwin 3556 94 3423 93 0.026434195725534307 0.0 2716914986853637 0.25167796990499486
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Jackson 26889 437 25870 420 0.0162519989586819 88 0.01623502126014689 0.9819812002937104
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Jasper 5907 105 5486 100 0.017775520568816656 0.01822821728034998 0.4615034597768304
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Jeff Davis 4815 201 4586 193 0.041744548286604 365 0.04208460532054078 0.7516808967512317
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Jefferson 6756 237 6149 215 0.0350799289520426 3 0.03496503496503497 0.9384682764649193
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Jenkins 2856 76 2668 72 0.02661064425770308 0. 026986506746626688 0.8633944395644235
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Johnson 3483 143 3247 130 0.0410565604364054 0.04003695719125346 0.3363752820770588
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Jones 12432 255 11648 235 0.020511583011583012 0.020175137362637364 0.3688211809698009
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Lamar 7346 143 6942 136 0.019466376259188675 0.01959089599539038 0.9348041829756182
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Lanier 2679 92 2571 88 0.03434117207913401 0.0 34227926876701675 1.026441369608631
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Laurens 18939 530 17653 497 0.0279845820793072 5 0.028153854868860816 0.6772952820273409
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Lee 13549 208 13069 200 0.015351686471326297 0.015303389700818732 0.9148574052590477
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Liberty 15358 244 14408 228 0.0158874853496549 02 0.015824541921154914 0.8834935446829233
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Lincoln 3967 96 3617 95 0.02419964708847996 0. 026264860381531654 0.0026535208505333078
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Long 3988 122 3819 120 0.030591775325977934 0.

031421838177533384 0.20454495005519857
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Lowndes 35212 601 33322 569 0.0170680449846643
2 0.017075805773963146 1.0560552546617912
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Lumpkin 11551 216 11084 207 0.0186996796814128
64 0.018675568386863947 1.0232004831129986
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Macon 4217 178 3886 166 0.042210101968223855
0.04271744724652599 0.6980857551672472
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Madison 11697 279 11170 272 0.0238522698127725
04 0.024350940017905102 0.12177094627647066
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Marion 2930 81 2689 77 0.02764505119453925 0.0
2863518036444775 0.3806764052700257
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta McDuffie 8792 166 7924 154 0.01888080072793448
7 0.019434628975265017 0.305444539490649
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta McIntosh 5408 150 4968 139 0.02773668639053254
4 0.027979066022544283 0.8616540796484612
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Meriwether 8639 207 8156 199 0.023961106609561
293 0.02439921530161844 0.348248976072542
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Miller 2313 114 2181 108 0.04928664072632944
0.04951856946354883 1.042880680668824
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Mitchell 7446 164 6882 156 0.0220252484555466
0.022667829119442023 0.23370259893675166
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Monroe 12932 290 12236 285 0.02242499226724404
4 0.023291925465838508 0.002708709419458632
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Montgomery 3528 124 3317 119 0.035147392290249
435 0.03587579137775098 0.4751254319330232
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Morgan 9554 208 9144 199 0.02177098597446096
0.021762904636920384 1.0771954633157568
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Murray 11091 313 10826 301 0.02822108015508069
7 0.02780343617217809 0.14527811825180753
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Muscogee 63141 1165 58719 1094 0.0184507689140
17833 0.018631107477988386 0.2396873841251743
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Newton 43129 766 40394 724 0.01776067147395024
2 0.01792345397831361 0.3651655457978468
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Oconee 20733 453 19802 433 0.02184922587179858
0.021866478133521865 1.055579621805487
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Oglethorpe 6484 155 6075 149 0.023904996915484
27 0.024526748971193415 0.266783290780498
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Paulding 61222 986 57915 925 0.016105321616412
4 0.015971682638349305 0.3040519329955258
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Peach 10355 210 9910 201 0.020280057943022695
0.020282542885973764 1.103811601966949
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Pickens 13362 303 13140 297 0.0226762460709474
64 0.022602739726027398 0.7802036087309967

Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Pierce 6883 122 6556 119 0.017724829289553972 0.01815131177547285 0.322542856167071
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Pike 8534 133 8230 130 0.015584719943754394 0.015795868772782502 0.5935748502594316
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Polk 12859 264 12412 259 0.020530367835757058 0.02086690299709958 0.1977523758464558
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Pulaski 3622 162 3390 150 0.0447266703478741 0.04424778761061947 0.6846338388841028
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Putnam 9321 177 8723 169 0.018989378822014805 0.019374068554396422 0.3809675956537764
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Quitman 940 54 880 45 0.0574468085106383 0.05113636363636364 0.010439706867671442
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Rabun 7578 178 6735 153 0.023489047242016364 0.02271714922048998 0.25966067001091087
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Randolph 2788 100 2475 86 0.035868005738880916 0.03474747474747475 0.4522814853707721
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Richmond 70043 1462 64729 1345 0.020872892366117956 0.020778939887840072 0.5711053484975658
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Rockdale 36600 566 34747 542 0.01546448087431694 0.015598468932569718 0.4276346108051804
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Schley 1931 57 1835 57 0.029518384256861728 0.03106267029972752 0.10460027814609907
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Screven 5407 168 5136 163 0.03107083410393934 0.03173676012461059 0.2896221362771091
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Seminole 3214 67 2950 62 0.020846297448662104 0.021016949152542375 1.0477245680801428
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Spalding 24385 665 23102 620 0.027270863235595653 0.026837503246472168 0.10181821892902028
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Stephens 9069 206 8533 191 0.022714742529496085 0.022383686862768076 0.47343805780427545
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Stewart 1784 139 1551 124 0.07791479820627803 0.07994842037395229 0.49570133212753414
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Sumter 10556 300 9679 275 0.028419856006062904 0.028412026035747495 1.049587668942012
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Talbot 2952 146 2667 123 0.0494579945799458 0.04611923509561305 0.022383985108337386
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Taliaferro 917 80 755 63 0.08724100327153762 0.08344370860927153 0.45979940314241935
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Tattnall 6639 222 6247 217 0.033438770899231814 0.0347366736033296 0.015429884282350719
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Taylor 3265 80 3022 76 0.02450229709035222 0.02514890800794176 0.5554567146380615
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Telfair 3631 144 3300 141 0.039658496282015974

0.042727272727272725 0.0010761866444948768
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Terrell 3930 115 3603 114 0.029262086513994912
0.03164029975020816 0.0009252207695899329
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Thomas 17241 373 16250 360 0.02163447595847108
7 0.022153846153846152 0.06116311093478877
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Tift 13669 366 13108 357 0.02677591630697198
0.02723527616722612 0.1253186024247169
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Toombs 8851 266 8170 245 0.03005310134448085
0.029987760097919217 0.9688506208736575
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Towns 6132 171 5848 162 0.027886497064579255
0.027701778385772913 0.7913865460967366
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Treutlen 2610 109 2464 98 0.04176245210727969
0.03977272727272727 0.07694426813047514
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Troup 23663 504 22399 473 0.021299074504500696
0.02111701415241752 0.4650765060026326
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Turner 3269 101 3121 100 0.030896298562251453
0.03204101249599487 0.1024869060266126
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Twiggs 3787 121 3512 117 0.031951412727752836
0.033314350797266516 0.10651299103259729
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Union 11863 272 11381 259 0.022928432942763213
0.022757226957209383 0.6269133504108859
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Upson 10558 220 9956 209 0.020837279787838607
0.02099236641221374 0.7886303503667135
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Walker 21451 439 20638 421 0.02046524637546035
3 0.02039926349452466 0.8001853113624319
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Walton 38635 689 36866 661 0.01783357059660929
3 0.0179297998155482 0.5879624587097385
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Ware 11004 206 10377 179 0.01872046528535078
0.017249686807362438 8.483141361476435e-05
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Warren 2260 81 2073 77 0.03584070796460177 0.0
371442354076218 0.37000431416738805
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Washington 8159 336 7432 311 0.041181517342811
62 0.04184607104413348 0.38849929895818364
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Wayne 10122 274 9621 261 0.027069749061450307
0.027128157156220765 1.0183579040593598
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Webster 1100 38 948 32 0.034545454545454546 0.
03375527426160337 0.862815778788582
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Wheeler 1927 46 1812 46 0.023871302542812663
0.025386313465783666 0.11394597381312287
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta White 11434 189 10897 182 0.016529648417001924
0.01670184454437001 0.6624988841931724
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Whitfield 27302 641 26285 622 0.02347813347007
5453 0.02366368651322047 0.35744458091730147

Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Wilcox 2815 79 2610 74 0.028063943161634103 0.028352490421455937 0.9593432621537887
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Wilkes 4371 146 4070 137 0.033401967513154884 0.03366093366093366 0.8886538257438112
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Wilkinson 4264 165 3994 158 0.0386960600375234 54 0.039559339008512766 0.337104462822968
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Worth 7831 179 7526 173 0.022857872557783168 0.022986978474621313 0.8988030857136999
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Appling 6806 226 6276 210 0.03320599471054952 0.0334 60803059273424 0.8054904187792586
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Atkinson 2520 132 2432 132 0.05238095238095238 0.054 276315789473686 0.016122961340751463
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Bacon 3829 118 3655 115 0.03081744580830504 0.031463 74829001368 0.4136270649993572
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Baker 1291 69 1150 61 0.053446940356312936 0.0530434 7826086957 0.9738962828133593
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Baldwin 15633 452 14475 433 0.02891319644342097 0.02 9913644214162347 0.006591322499004788
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Banks 6852 127 6629 124 0.018534734384121423 0.01870 568713229748 0.8047273007916005
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Barrow 27398 477 26135 449 0.01741002992919191 0.017 18002678400612 0.22940550742667565
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Bartow 37327 666 35759 646 0.017842312535162216 0.01 806538214155877 0.134702198867361
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Ben Hill 5533 109 5213 102 0.019699981926622086 0.01 956646844427393 0.8884472670232344
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Berrien 6247 148 5925 144 0.023691371858492075 0.024 30379746835443 0.22710873920044516
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Bibb 60460 1304 55999 1239 0.021567978828977836 0.02 2125395096341007 0.0005451911597622057
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Bleckley 4855 156 4598 148 0.032131822863027806 0.03 218790778599391 1.1103478666393036
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Brantley 5689 164 5501 160 0.028827561961680435 0.02 9085620796218868 0.7261992993684885
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Brooks 5696 144 5231 132 0.025280898876404494 0.0252 34180844962723 1.0299899941910269
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Bryan 14970 276 14388 265 0.01843687374749499 0.0184 18126216291353 1.0202278225083454
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Bulloch 23543 571 22467 545 0.024253493607441702 0.0 24257800329371967 1.0732926005375494
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Burke 8719 214 7751 197 0.024544099093932792 0.02541 6075345116758 0.15903705275728275
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Butts 8863 181 8483 171 0.02042197901387792 0.020157

962984793117 0.4983825292603701
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Calhoun 1899 46 1714 42 0.02422327540810953 0.024504
084014002333 1.0601151460102496
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Camden 17053 319 15860 300 0.018706385973142555 0.01
8915510718789406 0.5450897590784112
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Candler 3538 97 3418 94 0.027416619559072923 0.02750
1462843768285 1.1613193761784721
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Carroll 41739 734 40221 697 0.017585471621265482 0.0
17329255861365953 0.060075556487047446
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Catoosa 23725 454 23185 444 0.019135932560590097 0.0
1915031270217813 1.0811050599217862
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Charlton 3369 99 3206 93 0.029385574354407838 0.0290
08109794135994 0.6911079576182113
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Chatham 103338 2306 97161 2155 0.022315121252588593
0.022179681147785634 0.26198186206711227
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Chattahoochee 1102 35 1067 35 0.03176043557168784 0.
03280224929709466 0.6347021757150405
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Chattooga 7427 199 7202 195 0.026794129527400026 0.0
2707581227436823 0.5469323520529134
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Cherokee 106299 1991 100987 1880 0.01873018560851936
7 0.018616257538098962 0.2548249894278961
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Clarke 43261 1057 40239 996 0.02443309216153117 0.02
475210616566018 0.1258436748503774
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Clay 1186 43 1043 35 0.03625632377740304 0.033557046
97986577 0.27180383270616326
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Clayton 91840 1600 85993 1473 0.017421602787456445
0.017129301222192504 0.013384911573349636
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Clinch 2254 97 2145 95 0.04303460514640639 0.0442890
4428904429 0.2815018577460857
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Cobb 310381 5389 284480 4791 0.017362531856009226 0.
01684125421822272 2.0081819532474214e-12
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Coffee 12595 384 12200 378 0.030488289003572845 0.03
0983606557377048 0.08031946812609643
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Colquitt 12953 426 12439 413 0.032888134023006256 0.
033202025886325266 0.3950841915491175
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Columbia 61591 963 58655 911 0.015635401276160477 0.
015531497741028044 0.3902133060252711
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Cook 5803 119 5559 117 0.020506634499396863 0.021046
950890447922 0.23287667687912428
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Coweta 58056 1048 55244 987 0.018051536447567865 0.0
1786619361378611 0.1628659628535605
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Crawford 4931 136 4697 131 0.027580612451835327 0.02
7890142644241004 0.7352351367354804

Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Crisp 7024 265 6661 250 0.03772779043280182 0.037531
90211679928 0.7912783738379694
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Dade 5450 187 5321 175 0.03431192660550459 0.0328885
54782935536 0.002916133789568996
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Dawson 11570 193 11131 191 0.016681071737251512 0.01
7159284880064685 0.04136901059191216
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western DeKalb 310968 7052 291296 6574 0.022677574541431916
0.022568109414478742 0.12264575517350763
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Decatur 9043 203 8495 194 0.022448302554462014 0.022
836962919364334 0.4114108394989715
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Dodge 7051 316 6603 304 0.04481633810806978 0.046039
67893381796 0.06090673415279711
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Dooly 3793 170 3597 161 0.04481940416556815 0.044759
52182374201 1.0415674011791416
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Dougherty 31297 687 29353 638 0.021950985717480907
0.02173542738391306 0.34984639020223696
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Douglas 55197 740 51964 685 0.013406525716977372 0.0
13182203063659456 0.0859985864728365
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Early 4131 101 3658 85 0.024449285887194385 0.023236
741388737013 0.21904286105509319
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Echols 1143 36 1108 36 0.031496062992125984 0.032490
974729241874 0.6413169146224094
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Effingham 23365 421 22615 403 0.018018403595120906
0.01782003095290736 0.26868027138138983
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Elbert 7319 226 6756 220 0.030878535319032653 0.0325
6364712847839 0.002032951582612749
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Emanuel 7710 286 7320 273 0.037094682230869 0.037295
081967213116 0.8183761770801574
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Evans 3447 140 3248 135 0.040615027560197275 0.04156
403940886699 0.34029955221063424
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Fannin 11203 294 10545 277 0.026242970632866198 0.02
626837363679469 1.0744290948116275
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Fayette 57962 1018 55315 952 0.01756323108243332 0.0
17210521558347645 0.006094672951580157
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Floyd 30225 671 28965 641 0.022200165425971877 0.022
130157086138445 0.7457274302067718
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Forsyth 93239 1656 88811 1533 0.017760808245476677
0.017261375280089176 1.8837798464975777e-06
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Franklin 8149 149 7761 144 0.018284452080009818 0.01
8554310011596443 0.5601609085536978
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Fulton 421806 10398 403964 9746 0.02465114294249015
0.02412591220999891 1.173090842874586e-22
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Gilmer 12471 311 11912 301 0.024937855825515196 0.02

5268636668905307 0.34057429430248476
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Glascock 1300 67 1219 65 0.05153846153846154 0.05332
239540607055 0.393558468869634
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Glynn 32501 649 30689 618 0.019968616350266144 0.020
13750855355339 0.42310815660732837
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Gordon 17772 485 17225 464 0.02729011928876885 0.026
937590711175616 0.14787927263825196
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Grady 8356 169 7956 160 0.02022498803255146 0.020110
608345902465 0.8407083319994644
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Greene 8989 161 8366 144 0.017910779842029146 0.0172
12526894573272 0.10713206483891735
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Gwinnett 312709 5171 292312 4829 0.01653614062914722
5 0.016520019704972768 0.8051367655959298
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Habersham 15495 358 14642 338 0.023104227170054857
0.02308427810408414 1.0122663028762025
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Hall 67282 1344 63789 1272 0.01997562498142148 0.019
94074213422377 0.8171570638734527
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Hancock 3539 167 3049 151 0.0471884713195818 0.04952
443424073467 0.11887908051775431
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Haralson 10585 214 10192 206 0.02021728861596599 0.0
2021193092621664 1.0864801433358255
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Harris 15972 292 15243 274 0.01828199348860506 0.017
97546414747753 0.24236040515255847
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Hart 9618 284 9178 276 0.029527968392597213 0.030071
91109174112 0.18299005779717126
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Heard 4051 91 3773 87 0.022463589237225377 0.0230585
74078982243 0.4814567376073515
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Henry 98365 1498 93368 1369 0.01522899405276267 0.01
466241110444692 1.156010345915356e-08
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Houston 59158 916 55968 857 0.015483958213597484 0.0
1531232132647227 0.18432577933394206
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Irwin 3556 89 3423 88 0.025028121484814397 0.0257084
42886356996 0.2928814702730029
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Jackson 26889 458 25870 442 0.01703298746699394 0.01
7085427135678392 0.8604798787546384
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Jasper 5907 111 5486 102 0.018791264601320468 0.0185
9278162595698 0.7885853504297384
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Jeff Davis 4815 197 4586 190 0.04091381100726895 0.0
4143044047099869 0.5419296903754992
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Jefferson 6756 272 6149 256 0.04026050917702783 0.04
1632785818832334 0.0746451471338513
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Jenkins 2856 85 2668 78 0.02976190476190476 0.029235
38230884558 0.6510448288617523

Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Johnson 3483 146 3247 133 0.04191788687912719 0.0409
6088697259008 0.3739981805513459
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Jones 12432 264 11648 240 0.021235521235521235 0.020
604395604395604 0.09048584097117787
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Lamar 7346 153 6942 141 0.02082766131227879 0.020311
14952463267 0.2713729615006901
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Lanier 2679 104 2571 100 0.03882045539380366 0.03889
5371450797356 1.1798596105129258
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Laurens 18939 574 17653 530 0.03030783040287238 0.03
0023225514076927 0.44014013339862756
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Lee 13549 217 13069 209 0.016015942135950992 0.01599
2042237355574 1.0104475279709573
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Liberty 15358 257 14408 243 0.016733949733038156 0.0
1686563020544142 0.7397947737960499
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Lincoln 3967 97 3617 93 0.024451726745651627 0.02571
191595244678 0.12180560809084388
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Long 3988 131 3819 129 0.03284854563691073 0.0337784
7604084839 0.15463710514728618
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Lowndes 35212 622 33322 581 0.01766443257980234 0.01
74359282155933 0.20649087103919786
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Lumpkin 11551 249 11084 238 0.021556575188295384 0.0
2147239263803681 0.8510427929384123
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Macon 4217 196 3886 183 0.04647853924590942 0.047092
12557900155 0.6268420351113634
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Madison 11697 294 11170 284 0.025134649910233394 0.0
25425246195165622 0.44354517250760017
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Marion 2930 93 2689 91 0.03174061433447099 0.0338415
7679434734 0.02765636651166906
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western McDuffie 8792 172 7924 159 0.019563239308462238 0.02
0065623422513883 0.3718745948389663
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western McIntosh 5408 155 4968 146 0.028661242603550297 0.02
938808373590982 0.355894289944239
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Meriwether 8639 219 8156 207 0.025350156268086583 0.
025380088278567924 1.0940121602665944
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Miller 2313 109 2181 103 0.04712494595763078 0.04722
604309949564 1.1385910463587023
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Mitchell 7446 156 6882 151 0.020950846091861403 0.02
1941296134844523 0.036672432668027076
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Monroe 12932 293 12236 283 0.022656974945870708 0.02
312847335730631 0.15486211657408733
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Montgomery 3528 140 3317 134 0.03968253968253968 0.0
4039794995477841 0.5131907229246476
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Morgan 9554 220 9144 204 0.023027004396064477 0.0223

0971128608924 0.05466533175230605
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Murray 11091 335 10826 324 0.030204670453520873 0.02
9927951228523923 0.3580275547171526
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Muscogee 63141 1274 58719 1188 0.020177064031295038
0.02023195217902212 0.7733221878202343
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Newton 43129 800 40394 757 0.01854900415033968 0.018
7404069911373 0.2880989761521879
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Oconee 20733 476 19802 447 0.02295856846573096 0.022
573477426522572 0.1202837055129326
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Oglethorpe 6484 172 6075 163 0.02652683528685996 0.0
2683127572016461 0.695117645501655
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Paulding 61222 994 57915 927 0.016235993597072947 0.
016006216006216007 0.0766314869492585
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Peach 10355 229 9910 218 0.022114920328343796 0.0219
9798183652876 0.792675496062349
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Pickens 13362 323 13140 316 0.024173027989821884 0.0
24048706240487064 0.5820561037769895
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Pierce 6883 115 6556 113 0.01670783088769432 0.01723
6119585112872 0.16728354434850806
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Pike 8534 145 8230 140 0.016990860089055544 0.017010
93560145808 1.1725803903565406
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Polk 12859 299 12412 295 0.023252196904891515 0.0237
67321946503384 0.03987970208163621
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Pulaski 3622 156 3390 144 0.04307012700165654 0.0424
7787610619469 0.591303853479195
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Putnam 9321 184 8723 177 0.019740371204806352 0.0202
91184225610454 0.1776297378552062
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Quitman 940 56 880 47 0.059574468085106386 0.0534090
90909090906 0.01342285417074256
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Rabun 7578 196 6735 172 0.025864344154130376 0.02553
8233110616183 0.6787100960207484
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Randolph 2788 93 2475 87 0.03335724533715925 0.03515
151515151515 0.17515856030177251
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Richmond 70043 1496 64729 1386 0.021358308467655584
0.02141234995133557 0.7766781701287755
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Rockdale 36600 549 34747 520 0.015 0.014965320747114
859 0.8679756248542636
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Schley 1931 59 1835 59 0.030554117037804248 0.032152
588555858314 0.09415527581014056
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Screven 5407 195 5136 189 0.036064361013501016 0.036
799065420560745 0.2675794565779546
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Seminole 3214 75 2950 67 0.023335407591785935 0.0227
1186440677966 0.5430594967203946

Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Spalding 24385 644 23102 598 0.02640967808078737 0.0
25885204744177992 0.04484866487087142
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Stephens 9069 204 8533 190 0.022494211048627193 0.02
2266494784952538 0.6402134380663298
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Stewart 1784 146 1551 135 0.08183856502242152 0.0870
4061895551257 0.0421204678975389
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Sumter 10556 289 9679 267 0.027377794619173928 0.027
585494369253023 0.7637525828603964
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Talbot 2952 149 2667 126 0.05047425474254742 0.04724
4094488188976 0.02836724592664313
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Taliaferro 917 82 755 67 0.08942202835332606 0.08874
172185430464 0.9750644110224309
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Tattnall 6639 233 6247 224 0.03509564693477933 0.035
85721146150152 0.21954603259521557
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Taylor 3265 96 3022 91 0.029402756508422664 0.030112
508272667107 0.5371054667862808
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Telfair 3631 154 3300 148 0.04241255852382264 0.0448
48484848484846 0.019424675730026663
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Terrell 3930 127 3603 123 0.032315521628498725 0.034
13821815154038 0.03078080554622569
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Thomas 17241 393 16250 377 0.022794501479032538 0.02
32 0.17241499512802666
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Tift 13669 393 13108 384 0.028751188821420734 0.0292
9508696978944 0.07142525881580374
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Toombs 8851 233 8170 215 0.026324709072421196 0.0263
1578947368421 1.0582709695304873
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Towns 6132 170 5848 163 0.027723418134377037 0.02787
277701778386 0.9322587028040169
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Treutlen 2610 114 2464 106 0.04367816091954023 0.043
01948051948052 0.6087605455069713
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Troup 23663 485 22399 451 0.02049613320373579 0.0201
3482744765391 0.1298792381630499
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Turner 3269 110 3121 109 0.0336494340776996 0.034924
70362063441 0.07170857879422024
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Twiggs 3787 135 3512 128 0.03564827039873251 0.03644
646924829157 0.4471770187750836
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Union 11863 293 11381 279 0.02469864283907949 0.0245
14541780159917 0.6090116230548572
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Upson 10558 255 9956 243 0.024152301572267474 0.0244
07392527119324 0.5958370915441289
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Walker 21451 485 20638 466 0.02260966854692089 0.022
57970733598217 0.9489688603052256
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Walton 38635 701 36866 666 0.018144169794228032 0.01

```
8065426137904846 0.6458197747078304
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Ware 11004 209 10377 194 0.01899309342057434 0.01869
5191288426328 0.4247145967232283
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Warren 2260 81 2073 78 0.03584070796460177 0.0376266
2807525326 0.17034714801558465
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Washington 8159 363 7432 337 0.044490746415001836 0.
04534445640473628 0.2678820104022079
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Wayne 10122 261 9621 249 0.025785417901600473 0.0258
80885562831305 0.9364324100734366
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Webster 1100 42 948 37 0.03818181818181818185 0.039029
535864978905 0.930897630835706
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Wheeler 1927 65 1812 62 0.033731188375713546 0.03421
633554083885 0.898138914021616
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western White 11434 198 10897 188 0.017316774532097255 0.017
252454804074516 0.9063220103137342
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Whitfield 27302 705 26285 688 0.02582228408175225 0.
02617462431044322 0.06556951727951156
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Wilcox 2815 80 2610 74 0.028419182948490232 0.028352
490421455937 1.0660068156500393
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Wilkes 4371 163 4070 150 0.03729123770304278 0.03685
5036855036855 0.6621223032847633
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Wilkinson 4264 175 3994 168 0.04104127579737336 0.04
206309464196294 0.2491133660137237
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Worth 7831 198 7526 192 0.025284127186821607 0.02551
1559925591284 0.6850700503010596
```

In [4]:
```
contests_sig
```

Out[4]:
```
Counter({'Lieutenant Governor': 101,
         'Secretary Of State': 4,
         'Attorney General': 4,
         'Commissioner Of Agriculture': 5,
         'Commissioner Of Insurance': 4,
         'State School Superintendent': 5,
         'Commissioner Of Labor': 2,
         'Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta': 4,
         'Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western': 4})
```

In [5]:
```
# version information
%load_ext version_information
%version_information scipy, numpy, csv, pandas, matplotlib, notebook, cryptorandom, permute
```

```
Loading extensions from ~/.ipython/extensions is deprecated. We recommend managing extensions like a
ny other Python packages, in site-packages.
```

```
/anaconda/lib/python3.6/site-packages/IPython/core/formatters.py:839: FormatterWarning: JSON expects
JSONable list/dict containers, not JSON strings
  FormatterWarning)
```

Out[5]:

Software	Version
Python	3.6.7 64bit [GCC 4.2.1 Compatible Clang 4.0.1 (tags/RELEASE_401/final)]
IPython	7.2.0
OS	Darwin 18.2.0 x86_64 i386 64bit
scipy	1.1.0
numpy	1.15.4
csv	1.0
pandas	0.23.1
matplotlib	3.0.2
notebook	5.7.4
cryptorandom	0.2
permute	0.1.alpha4
Sun Jan 06 12:23:30 2019 PST	

GA000583

APPENDIX IV

Vote distribution by machine in Winterville

For each contest, randomly partition votes onto 7 machines. Condition on the number of ballots cast per machine.

Test statistic is $\max_i |R_{im} - R_i|$, where R_{im} is the fraction of Republican votes cast on machine m in contest i, and R_i is the overall fraction of Republican votes in contest i.

Test for contests separately, and use Fisher's combining function for an overall test.

Compare results for the original data with results if D and R were swapped on machine 3.

In [1]:
```
%matplotlib inline
import math
import numpy as np
import scipy as sp
import scipy.optimize
from scipy.stats import hypergeom, binom, norm, chi2
from scipy import special
from cryptorandom.cryptorandom import SHA256
from cryptorandom import sample
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import pandas as pd
import csv

seed = '2018CV313418 3463593937'  # case caption number [space] 10 rolls of 10-sided dice
prng = SHA256(seed)
```

In [2]:
```
votes = pd.read_csv('../../Data/winterville.csv')
votes.head()
```

Out[2]:

	Contest	Machine	Candidate	Party	Votes
0	Governor	0	B. KEMP (R)	R	40
1	Governor	0	S. ABRAMS (D)	D	73
2	Governor	0	T. METZ (L)	L	4
3	Governor	0	Write-in	W	0
4	Governor	1	B. KEMP (R)	R	51

In [3]:
```
statewide_contests = np.array(["Governor", "Lt Governor", "Secretary of State", \
                               "Attorney General", "Commissioner of Agriculture", \
                               "Commissioner of Insurance", "State School Superintendent", "Commissio
ner of Labor",
                               "PSC Eaton", "PSC Pridemore"])
```

In [4]:
```
# Number of voters per machine taken from poll tape summary
num_voters_per_machine = [117, 135, 131, 133, 135, 144, 135]  # double-checked
cum_voters_per_machine = np.cumsum(num_voters_per_machine)
cum_voters_per_machine = np.insert(cum_voters_per_machine, 0, 0)
num_votes = np.sum(num_voters_per_machine)

# Does any race on any machine has more votes than reported for the machine?
for m in range(len(num_voters_per_machine)):
    tmp = votes[votes["Machine"]==m]
    tot = tmp.groupby("Contest").agg(np.sum)
    assert np.all(tot["Votes"] <= num_voters_per_machine[m])
```

In [5]:
```
# Find winning party within the precinct in each statewide contest
mask_D = votes['Party']=="D"
mask_R = votes['Party']=="R"
for c in statewide_contests:
    mask_c = votes["Contest"] == c
    D_votes = votes[mask_c & mask_D]['Votes'].sum()
    R_votes = votes[mask_c & mask_R]['Votes'].sum()
    print(c, D_votes, R_votes, '\t', ('DEM' if D_votes > R_votes else 'REP'))
```

```
Governor 505 400         DEM
Lt Governor 479 393      DEM
Secretary of State 511 365       DEM
Attorney General 509 390         DEM
Commissioner of Agriculture 475 423      DEM
Commissioner of Insurance 482 382        DEM
State School Superintendent 492 405      DEM
Commissioner of Labor 494 402    DEM
PSC Eaton 494 367        DEM
PSC Pridemore 487 374    DEM
```

In [6]:
```
def get_repub_fraction(df):
    repub = df.loc[df["Party"]=="R"].copy()
    repub["R_votes"] = repub["Votes"]
    valid_votes = df.groupby(["Contest","Machine"]).agg(np.sum).reset_index()
    valid_votes["Tot_votes"] = valid_votes["Votes"]
    combined = pd.merge(repub, valid_votes, on = ["Contest", "Machine"])
    return combined["R_votes"]/combined["Tot_votes"]
```

In [7]:
```
def permute_votes_across_machines(vote_df, reps, prng=np.random):
    """
    Input: votes dataframe, filtered to contain only one contest

    """

    # Votes for Republican, Democrat/other, and undervotes
    votes_per_candidate = vote_df.groupby(["Party"]).agg(np.sum).reset_index()
    r_votes = int(votes_per_candidate.loc[votes_per_candidate["Party"] == "R", "Votes"])
    d_votes = np.sum(votes_per_candidate["Votes"]) - r_votes
    u_votes = num_votes - r_votes - d_votes
    overall_r_proportion = r_votes/(r_votes + d_votes)

    # test statistic = largest % votes for R on a machine
    votes_for_r = get_repub_fraction(vote_df)
    max_votes_for_r = np.max(votes_for_r)

    # Randomly assign r_votes 1s, d_votes 0s, and u_votes np.nans
    vote_list = np.array([1]*r_votes + [0]*d_votes + [np.nan]*u_votes)
    perm_distr = np.zeros(reps)

    for r in range(reps):
        prng.shuffle(vote_list)

    # Find fraction of votes for R on each machine
        votes_for_r_perm = np.zeros(len(num_voters_per_machine))
        for i in range(len(num_voters_per_machine)):
            votes_for_r_perm[i] = np.nanmean(vote_list[cum_voters_per_machine[i]:cum_voters_per_machi
ne[i+1]])
        perm_distr[r] = np.max(votes_for_r_perm)

    # Center the statistic at the expected fraction of R votes
    perm_distr_norm = perm_distr - overall_r_proportion
    statistic_norm = max_votes_for_r - overall_r_proportion

    return {"statistic":max_votes_for_r,
            "pvalue":(1+np.sum(np.abs(perm_distr_norm) >= np.abs(statistic_norm)))/(reps+1)
           }
```

In [8]:
```
reps=10000
ps = {}
for c in statewide_contests:
    vote_df = votes[votes["Contest"] == c]
    res = permute_votes_across_machines(vote_df, reps=reps, prng=prng)
    ps[c] = res['pvalue']
    print(c, "\n   statistic =", res["statistic"], "\n   P-value =", res["pvalue"])

fisher_chi = -2*np.sum([math.log(p) for c, p in ps.items()])
print('Combined:\n   ', fisher_chi, chi2.sf(fisher_chi, df=2*len(statewide_contests)))
```

```
Governor
   statistic = 0.5190839694656488
   P-value = 0.11398860113988601
Lt Governor
   statistic = 0.5645161290322581
   P-value = 0.0245975402459754
Secretary of State
   statistic = 0.5116279069767442
   P-value = 0.0184981501849815
Attorney General
   statistic = 0.515625
   P-value = 0.1506849315068493
Commissioner of Agriculture
   statistic = 0.5813953488372093
   P-value = 0.025997400259974
Commissioner of Insurance
   statistic = 0.5348837209302325
   P-value = 0.030496950304969503
State School Superintendent
   statistic = 0.5419847328244275
   P-value = 0.09669033096690331
Commissioner of Labor
   statistic = 0.5736434108527132
   P-value = 0.007899210078992101
PSC Eaton
   statistic = 0.5114503816793893
   P-value = 0.0456954304569543
PSC Pridemore
   statistic = 0.5267175572519084
   P-value = 0.025297470252974703
Combined:
    65.67868786714891 9.094420735646933e-07
```

What if D and R vote totals were flipped on Machine 3?

In [9]:
```
votes_flipped = votes.copy()
votes_flipped.loc[(votes_flipped.Machine==3) & (votes.Party=="R"), 'Party'] = "D"
votes_flipped.loc[(votes_flipped.Machine==3) & (votes.Party=="D"), 'Party'] = "R"
votes_flipped.head(20)
```

Out[9]:

	Contest	Machine	Candidate	Party	Votes
0	Governor	0	B. KEMP (R)	R	40
1	Governor	0	S. ABRAMS (D)	D	73
2	Governor	0	T. METZ (L)	L	4
3	Governor	0	Write-in	W	0
4	Governor	1	B. KEMP (R)	R	51
5	Governor	1	S. ABRAMS (D)	D	79
6	Governor	1	T. METZ (L)	L	3
7	Governor	1	Write-in	W	0
8	Governor	2	B. KEMP (R)	R	60
9	Governor	2	S. ABRAMS (D)	D	67
10	Governor	2	T. METZ (L)	L	2
11	Governor	2	Write-in	W	0
12	Governor	3	B. KEMP (R)	D	68
13	Governor	3	S. ABRAMS (D)	R	59
14	Governor	3	T. METZ (L)	L	4
15	Governor	3	Write-in	W	0
16	Governor	4	B. KEMP (R)	R	65
17	Governor	4	S. ABRAMS (D)	D	67
18	Governor	4	T. METZ (L)	L	3
19	Governor	4	Write-in	W	0

In [10]:
```
ps_flipped = {}
for c in statewide_contests:
    vote_df2 = votes_flipped[votes_flipped["Contest"] == c]
    res = permute_votes_across_machines(vote_df2, reps=reps, prng=prng)
    ps_flipped[c] = res['pvalue']
    print(c, "\n   statistic =", res["statistic"], "\n   P-value =", res["pvalue"])

fisher_chi = -2*np.sum([math.log(p) for c, p in ps_flipped.items()])
print('Combined:\n   ', fisher_chi, chi2.sf(fisher_chi, df=2*len(statewide_contests)))
```

```
Governor
   statistic = 0.48148148148148145
   P-value = 0.46425357464253575
Lt Governor
   statistic = 0.4728682170542636
   P-value = 0.7945205479452054
Secretary of State
   statistic = 0.4496124031007752
   P-value = 0.44955504449555045
Attorney General
   statistic = 0.484375
   P-value = 0.5433456654334566
Commissioner of Agriculture
   statistic = 0.49230769230769234
   P-value = 0.7339266073392661
Commissioner of Insurance
   statistic = 0.4645669291338583
   P-value = 0.6042395760423958
State School Superintendent
   statistic = 0.48031496062992124
   P-value = 0.8065193480651934
Commissioner of Labor
   statistic = 0.46875
   P-value = 0.7967203279672033
PSC Eaton
   statistic = 0.4732824427480916
   P-value = 0.27987201279872015
PSC Pridemore
   statistic = 0.4307692307692308
   P-value = 0.9387061293870613
Combined:
    9.997865529313279 0.9682106300793477
```

In [11]:
```
# version information
%load_ext version_information
%version_information scipy, numpy, csv, pandas, matplotlib, notebook, cryptorandom, permute
```

```
Loading extensions from ~/.ipython/extensions is deprecated. We recommend managing extensions like a
ny other Python packages, in site-packages.

/anaconda/lib/python3.6/site-packages/IPython/core/formatters.py:839: FormatterWarning: JSON expects
JSONable list/dict containers, not JSON strings
  FormatterWarning)
```

Out[11]:

Software	Version
Python	3.6.7 64bit [GCC 4.2.1 Compatible Clang 4.0.1 (tags/RELEASE_401/final)]
IPython	7.2.0
OS	Darwin 18.2.0 x86_64 i386 64bit
scipy	1.1.0
numpy	1.15.4
csv	1.0
pandas	0.23.1
matplotlib	3.0.2
notebook	5.7.4
cryptorandom	0.2
permute	0.1.alpha4
Sun Jan 06 14:03:18 2019 PST	

EXHIBIT

B

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV31348**

AFFIDAVIT OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL

Appeared before me, the undersigned officer duly authorized to administer oaths, Christopher Brill, who after being duly swon states as follows:

1. Since 2006, I have devoted my career to the study of political processes in the United States generally, with a particular focus on research and analyses of political and electoral data, from precinct level to nationwide in scope.

2. My experience includes, but is not limited to, research and analyses of statewide voter files to identify socio-economic, geographic and other characteristics of voter file data.

3. I also have experience comparing and matching political and electoral data, including voter file data, against large and complex datasets; analyzing the results of such comparisons and matching; and identifying strengths and weaknesses in the methods, protocols and algorithms used in performing these kinds of analyses.

4. My experience also includes identifying reasons for false positive and false negative results when comparing or matching such data across large datasets and developing best practices for optimizing accurate matches and comparisons of data.

5. Since 2013, I have been employed as a Senior Data Analyst with TargetSmart Communications LLC, where my duties and responsibilities include, but are not limited to, collecting and analyzing political, electoral, consumer, demographic and other datasets; product development; and strategic consulting.

6. I obtained a Bachelor of Arts degree in Political Science from the University of New Mexico 2006. My current resume is attached and incorporated herein by reference as Exhibit B.

7. I have been retained by Plaintiffs' counsel in this matter to conduct analyses of the November 6th 2018 general election vote results in GA, with a focus on the under voting that took place with respect to the Lt. Governor's election; to offer my opinions concerning said data and analyses based upon by background,

training and experience; and to prepare a preliminary report summarizing my analyses of this data and opinions.

8. The sources used for the analysis are from officially published election result tablulations made available by the Secretary of State, as well as publicly available individual voter file data.

9. My preliminary report is attached and incorporated herein by reference as Exhibit A.

10. My opinions and preliminary report for the Coalition for Good Governance are based upon the information that has currently been made available to me and is accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief, and I would testify to these opinions if called upon to do so. I reserve the right to amend, supplement and otherwise update my opinions and report if additional information is made known to me during the pendency of this litigation.

Christopher Brill

NATHANIEL EKLUND
Notary Public - Arizona
Maricopa County
My Comm. Expires Feb 18, 2022

Sworn to and subscribed before me:
On this 7th day of January, 2019

Notary Public, State of Arizona
My Commission Expires: Feb. 18, 2022

SEAL

EXHIBIT

A

To: Marilyn Marks
From: Christopher Brill, Senior Data Analyst
Date: January 5th 2019
Subject: Exhibit A: Analysis of the 2018 Georgia Lt. Governor undervote

Research Summary

The purpose of this analysis is to examine for possible irregularities in the number of votes cast for the 2018 Lieutenant Governor's election in Georgia. TargetSmart was approached by Marilyn Marks, Executive Director, for the Coalition for Good Governance to examine what appeared to be a significantly lower number of votes being cast for the Lt. Governor's election than all other statewide constitutional offices in the November 6, 2018 election

TargetSmart is considered an industry leader in voter data and political campaign services. In addition to maintaining a nationwide voter file, TargetSmart also maintains a nationwide repository of election results allowing us to examine electoral trends across states and time. For this project, the lead researcher also has over a dozen years' experience collecting and analyzing publicly available election results.

The primary question we want to probe: "was the undervote that occurred during the Lt. Governor election consistent with historic patterns and do the factors that we know contribute to higher rates of under voting apply to this election?"

After an initial examination of the state, county and precinct level results from the 2018 election in Georgia it is our initial conclusion that the vote totals published cast substantial doubt on the final vote total of the Lt. Governor election. The undervote that occurred for the Lt Governor election is simply not consistent with patterns of undervote seen previously in Georgia, or around the country.

Defining Under voting

Before presenting our case, it may help to define terms. Quite simply, an undervote occurs when a voter decides, or by accident, does not vote for a specific office or issue on the ballot. While voters might have countless motivations while in the voting booth on what they do and do not vote for, when it comes to under voting there are generally 3 variables that are most associated with high undervote rates:

1) **Low visibility**: If an election on a ballot is not well known to the public, is further down the ballot, or both then a higher undervote is likely to occur. For instance, an office such as 'Community College District Board' might generally suffer from high rates of under voting because voters are not familiar with the office or do not know any of the candidates.
2) **Non-Partisan/Lack of partisan cues**: If an election on a ballot is non-partisan, that election may experience a higher rate of under voting. Research shows that voters tend to use a candidate's party affiliation as a 'cue' for whether they should vote one way or another, even if they are unsure who the candidate is. When this cue is not present for non-partisan elections, more voters are likely to skip the contest altogether, resulting in higher rates of under voting.
3) **Uncompetitive election/Only one major party on the ballot**: If an election is not competitive, or only one major party has a candidate on the ballot, and is near assured victory before Election Day, under voting tends to be higher. The lower the stakes of the election, the higher the under voting tends to be.

A Focus on the Lt. Governor Election

When the vote totals for the 2018 Lt. Governor election are compared to the other 8 statewide constitutional offices an anomaly becomes visible: Tens of thousands of fewer votes were cast for Lt Governor than any of the other elected offices at the top of the ballot. Table 1 below compares the number of votes cast for Governor in 2018 to the remaining statewide, partisan, constitutional offices.

Table 1: Total 2018 Undervote by Office

Office	2018	Under Vote	Drop Off vs Gov
Governor	3,939,328		
Lt. Governor	3,780,304	-159,024	4.0%
Secretary of State	3,883,594	-55,734	1.4%
Attorney General	3,862,370	-76,958	2.0%
Commissioner of Agriculture	3,843,480	-95,848	2.4%
Commissioner of Insurance	3,861,625	-77,703	2.0%
State School Superintendent	3,862,464	-76,864	2.0%
Commissioner of Labor	3,849,450	-89,878	2.3%

Based on our understanding of the factors that encourage higher rates of under voting (as outlined in the previous section), the Lt. Governor's election would not seem to contain any of the defining variables we usually see when higher than normal under voting occurs. The Lt Governor's election, position wise on the ballot, was directly below one of the most competitive and highly publicized elections for Governor in years. The election was partisan, and the election was extremely competitive (much like other statewide offices on the ballot), with the winner receiving just 51.6% of the vote. **In short, there is little reason to suspect that under voting should be higher for Lt Governor than any of the other 8 constitutional offices based on its competitiveness, position on the ballot or its partisan classification.** Yet, under voting was more than *two times higher* than under voting for Attorney General, and *three times higher* than that for Secretary of State. The question becomes, why?

This anomaly becomes more apparent when examining past elections. Table 2 below compares drop off percentages for each of the state's 8 constitutional offices by election cycle since 2002:

Table 2: % Decrease in votes cast compared to that years gubernatorial contest

	2018	2014	2010	2006	2002
Lt. Governor	**4.0%**	0.8%	0.3%	1.2%	0.9%
Secretary of State	1.4%	0.9%	0.9%	2.8%	1.0%
Attorney General	2.0%	1.0%	0.9%	2.3%	2.8%
Commissioner of Agriculture	2.4%	1.6%	1.2%	1.8%	2.1%
Commissioner of Insurance	2.0%	1.2%	1.2%	2.4%	2.1%
State School Superintendent	2.0%	1.0%	0.9%	1.1%	1.2%
Commissioner of Labor	2.3%	1.7%	1.6%	3.1%	2.8%

In this context, the historic nature of the undervote becomes clear: Since 2002, the undervote percentage compared to Governor, for all constitutional offices has averaged 1.6%. The 4% drop-off seen here is more than 3 standard deviations away from that mean, further indicating the drop-off seen here is an extreme outlier. Overall, the 4% drop-off between Lt Governor and Governor is the largest gap seen in a mid-term this century in Georgia.

Finally, a quick comparison to similar Lt Governor elections that took place elsewhere in 2018 highlights the strangeness of the result in GA. Chart 1 below examines the undervote rate in the 9 states with stand alone elections for Lt. Governor in 2018:



Out of all states, only CA had a higher undervote percentage. Why? Because in 2018, due to California's top two primary set up, just two Democratic candidates were on the ballot- there were no Republican candidates or third-party candidates for voters to choose from; again, an ingredient for higher rates of under voting. Minus California, GA's Lt Governor under vote was the highest among all Lt Governor contests in the country in 2018.

Georgia's 2018 State Representative Elections

Second, an examination of the county and precinct level data from the 2018 election raises additional questions about the reasonableness of the Lt. Governor reported vote tallies. Specifically, if we go even further down the ballot, and examine state representative, otherwise known as 'state house' elections, we see Lt Governor vote totals that are even lower than those for non-competitive state representative elections.

To recap, there were 180 state representative seats up for election in 2018, with as many as 110 of those seats 'uncontested', meaning only 1 major party had a general election candidate on the ballot to choose from. Not surprisingly, this number of uncontested seats resulted in a smaller number of votes cast for state representative. In total just 3,470,967 votes were cast for a state representative in Georgia, or 468,351 fewer votes than cast for Governor, an aggregate drop off of almost 12%. Again, this makes sense based on our knowledge of under voting: uncompetitive or uncontested elections tend to yield smaller vote totals.

With that context present, an analysis of the votes cast across the state's 2,636 precincts show that, inexplicably, more votes were cast for State Representative than Lt Governor in *1,012 precincts, or 38% of all precincts*. Further, in 137 of those 1,012 precincts, the Democratic candidate for State Representative received 100% of the total votes cast for that contest. Ultimately this raises the question: **Why would more voters in a precinct vote in an uncontested or uncompetitive State Representative election than for an election further up the ballot that is contested and competitive?**

To further illustrate this point, we compare state representative vote totals to another statewide election with what appear to be normal rates of under voting: Attorney General. In total there were just 410 precincts where there were more votes cast for State Representative than Attorney General. **In just**

two of those precincts did the Democratic candidate for State Representative receive 100% of the votes. This would line up with our established expectations: if a down ballot election such as state representative, garnered more votes than a statewide election, it would be in precincts with more locally competitive representative elections, and not where candidates are receiving 100% of the vote.

Addressing Voter Choice and Vote Method

We believe that the data presented thus far, combined with the necessary context around what variables accompany higher rates of under voting, shows striking irregularities in the total vote for Lt Governor, that ultimately call into question the accuracy of the vote and the legitimacy of the outcome

With that said, we can't ultimately rule out with 100% certainty that a group of voters decided, *intentionally*, to not vote for Lt. Governor. However, what makes this intention even more unlikely is how the under vote for Lt Governor breaks down when comparing **vote method**. In this context, vote method refers to how a voter decided to cast their ballot- either through mail in absentee, in person early voting (or 'advance voting') and finally Election Day voting at the polls. Early voting and Election Day voting are conducted on electronic machines and mail in absentee is voted on paper ballots.

After examining county level results released by the Secretary of State, we found that there were significant differences in the Lt Governor under vote, depending on the method of vote. For instance, the voting machine election day under vote was approximately 4.5%, while the undervote was as little as 1% among absentee by mail voters, who voted on paper. This is an additional oddity in the data, especially when, as chart 2 demonstrates below, under vote rates are more consistent across method of voting for other offices such as Secretary of State (SOS) and Attorney General (AG):



According to data on the GA voter file, absentee voters tended to skew somewhat older, more Democratic (according to our partisanship modeling) and more African American; but it is unlikely that such a modest skew could have accounted for such a large difference in the under vote between absentee and election day voters. **Therefore, if voters were deliberately under voting in the Lt Governor election, why would that not be consistent across all vote methods? Instead, we would speculate that the key difference here is the technology that is being used to administer absentee votes vs in person votes, and not differences in the voters who selected one vote method or the other.**

Conclusion

In conclusion, based on our analysis of the publicly available data, it is our opinion that the undervote totals reflected in the Lt Governor's race are extremely suspect and irregular and cast a serious doubt over the accuracy of the final vote count and the certified outcome of the Lt. Governor's contest.

EXHIBIT

B

Christopher A. Brill

826 E Lamar Rd
Phoenix AZ 85014

602-295-3389
cbrillaz@gmail.com

Employment History

Senior Data Analyst
TARGETSMART COMMUNICATIONS
Phoenix, AZ. Feb 2013 to Present

- Currently provide support and data analysis to progressive issue and candidate campaigns as well as 501c(3) and c(4) organizations around the United States with a focus on helping clients optimize and execute outreach programs.
- Currently manage the data and targeting efforts for Arizona based progressive coalitions such as Arizona Wins and One Arizona.
- Lead project manager for TargetSmart's 'ElectionBase": a nationwide precinct level election database, merged with voter file and other data sets, in order to provide comprehensive district level profiles and Democratic performance projections.
- Lead client services representative for a wide range of organizations such as America Votes and the Democratic Legislative Campaign Committee (DLCC)

National Data Director
DEMOCRATIC NATIONAL COMMITTEE (DNC)
Washington D.C. Aug 2011 to Jan 2013

- Managed a 7-figure budget as well as a team of 9 people and was responsible for the day to day operations of the DNC data department including data acquisition, analysis and data support services.
- Lead director for the committee's national voter file. Coordinated with the presidential campaign, other national committees and all 50 state parties on voter file, data services and support needs.
- Managed day-to-day vendor and consultant relationships in relation to the national voter file, as well as developed in-house data testing to inform vendor selection process.

Acquisitions Manager
DEMOCRATIC NATIONAL COMMITTEE (DNC)
Washington D.C. Apr 2010 to Aug 2011

- Led the data acquisition team at the DNC and was responsible for acquiring voter file data nationwide as well as establishing a national voter file and data update schedule.
- Provided voter file and data support to state party committees, other national party committees such as the DCCC, DSCC and Organizing for America.

Elections and Targeting Director
ARIZONA DEMOCRATIC PARTY (ADP)
Phoenix AZ. June 2008- April 2010

- Developed and implemented the Coordinated Campaign's vote by mail application chase program in 2008 as well as Permanent Early Voter sign up programs in 2009.
- Compiled and aggregated data to provide daily and weekly briefings with campaign principals, partner organizations and ADP staff.
- Provided voter targeting and data assistance to campaigns, elected officials and party leaders.

Voter File Director
ARIZONA DEMOCRATIC PARTY (ADP)
Phoenix AZ. June 2006- June 2008

- Maintained statewide voter file by coordinating with state, county and city election officials, party staff and data vendors.
- Cultivated relationships with key ADP stakeholders with the goal of promoting the use of a single statewide voter file for local party affiliates and candidates.
- Developed voter file training programs for state party staff, volunteers and candidates.

Skills and Core Competencies

- Core competencies include project management, data acquisition, manipulation and cleaning (data wrangling) visualization, research, and analysis.
- Proficient in multiple progressive software platforms such as NGP-VAN, Blocks, Q-Tool, M-Tool, RegTrak, Grassroots Unwired and Hustle.
- Proficient in data manipulation using tools such as using SQL, R, Vertica and Alteryx.
- Proficient in mapping platforms using ArcGis and Google Fusion Tables.
- Proficient in Microsoft Office products including Outlook, Excel, Word and PowerPoint.

Education

UNIVERSITY OF NEW MEXICO, Albuquerque NM. 2002-2006
Studies leading to a BACHELORS of ARTS in Political Science

EXHIBIT C

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV31348**

AFFIDAVIT OF MICHAEL S. JOHNSON

Appeared before me, the undersigned officer duly authorized to administered oaths, MICHAEL S. JOHNSON ("Affiant") who, after being sworn, states as follows:

1. I am of lawful age and give this affidavit based on my personal knowledge.

2. I am a registered voter in Cobb County, Georgia.

3. I was appointed as a statewide poll watcher for the November 6, 2018 election, by the Libertarian Party of Georgia. I observed activites at various polling places in the metro Atlanta area during early voting and on Election Day.

4. On November 6, Election Day morning, I observed the voting activities at Grady High School polling place which includes precincts, 02J, 02K, and 06G. I spent approximately

twenty minutes observing at the Grady High School polling place. Grady High School is in Atlanta and Fulton County, Georgia.

5. I observed ten (10) DRE voting machines in use during my visit which lasted about 20 minutes. I did not observe any spare DRE units, or units that were not in service.

6. I did not notice any malfunctioning or problems with machines during my brief visit.

7. The line of voters awaiting the use of machines was quite long and snaked around the interior of gymnasium. I noticed the inadequate number of machines for use and asked a pollworker about the bottleneck at the machines. She replied that there were not enough machines.

8. The picture of the Grady High School polling place attached as Exhibit A is an accurate reflection of the set up of the polling place with 10 DRE machines as I observed it on the morning of November 6.

9. I received the picture from Marilyn Marks of Coaltion for Good Governance. I understand that the picture on Election Day morning was taken as part of a video by an HBO film crew. I met this film crew at other polling locations during the day as they were filming Georgia voting activities.

Further affiant sayeth not.

Michael S. Johnson

Sworn to and subscribed before me:
On this ___ day of January, 2019

Notary Public, State of Georgia
My Commission Expires: [illegible]

CYNTHIA STIRNE
NOTARY PUBLIC
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES
MAY 02, 2020
DEKALB COUNTY, GEORGIA

SEAL

GA000609

EXHIBIT

A

WE ARE GRADY!

EXHIBIT

D

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV31348**

AFFIDAVIT OF TARAN GREENWALD

Appeared before me, the undersigned officer duly authorized to administered oaths, TARAN GREENWALD ("Affiant") who, after being sworn, states as follows:

1. I am an analayst for Coaltion for Good Governance, a Plaintiff in this action.

2. For the November 6, 2018 Georgia general election, I was a volunteer for candidates and various election transparency advocacy groups seeking to document reports of election results by photographing the DRE machine poll tapes once they were posted on the doors of the polling places after the closing of the polls and the printing of the machine tapes.

3. In Fulton County, after the close of the polls, machine results for each machine in operation in the polling place on Election Day are printed and one copy of each DRE machine tape is posted to or near the door of the polling place for public observation.

4. I took photographs at several polling places on the evening of November 6, shortly after the tapes had been posted. I took the photos of 14 DRE machine tapes at Grady High School polling place in Atlanta at approximately 9 p.m.

5. Those photographs are on Exhibit A. I prepared this exhibit from my original photographs and have not altered or edited the contents of the machine tapes.

6. With the assistance of Coalition for Good Governance's other part time analyst, Samantha Whitley, I prepared a transcript of the information on the tape photographs. That transcript on Exhibit B.

7. Ms. Whitley and I also reviewed the officially reported tallies on the Secretary of State's website for each race for each precinct located in the Grady High School polling place. Those were precincts 02J, 02K, and O6G. The Secretary of State's website showing Fulton County results is https://results.enr.clarityelections.com/GA/Fulton/91700/Web02.221448/#/

8. The above referenced Secretary of State results exclude write-in votes, which we accounted for on the worksheet in Exhibit B.

9. Coalition for Good Governance obtained the Grady High School polling place recap sheet (Exhibit C) through a public reords request to Fulton County Elections Office. The recap sheet lists the ten (10) DRE machine by serial numbers that were assigned to the Grady polling place. Eight (8) machine serial numbers match the machine serial numbers on the 14 poll tapes. Severn (7) machine tapes were posted on the door that were not listed on the polling place recap sheet. All 14 poll tapes showed print times of approximately 7:30 pm as would be expected after 7pm poll closing time.

10. I have collected photographs of election night machine poll tapes from a number of other polling places from other voluteers and citizens who shared them with Coalition for Good Governance.

11. One of the sets of election night photos I obtained was Midvale Elementary School in DeKalb County. Exhibit D is a pdf compliation of the photographs that I received from other citizens who took this photographs. The photographs can be compared to DeKalb County's copy of these tapes to verify the accuracy of the data.

12. I prepared Exhibit E by comparing the Secretary of State's vote tallies by precinct for DeKalb County posted at this link, https://results.enr.claritycelections.com/GA/DeKalb/91684/Web02.221448/#/ to the Election Day vote tallies with the Midvale polling place tape on Exhibit D.

Further Affiant sayeth not.

Taran Greenwald

Sworn to and subscribed before me:
On this 7th day of JANUARY, 2019

Notary Public, State of GA
My Commission Expires: 5/16/22

SEAL

CHUNDRA RAMBERT
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES
NOTARY
PUBLIC
MAY 16, 2022
ROCKDALE COUNTY, GEORGIA

EXHIBIT

A

****
ELECTION RESULTS REPORT
****
Fulton County
State of
Georgia General Election

November 6, 2018

DATE: Nov-06-2018
FULL CTR: 280(00
02J, 02K & 08G
MACHINE ID: 2
VERSION: 2 COPY: 0
COUNT: 0 SIZE: 32M
ACCU-VOTE RELEASE: 4.5.2
REPORT: US 1.14.7

TIME: 19:26 11/06/2018
MACHINE SERIAL: 124301
PUBLIC COUNTER: 149
SYSTEM COUNTER: 2122

****
** PRECINCT: 220 **
02J
****
BALLOTS CAST 89
****
GOVERNOR
RACE # 3

B. KEMP (R) 11
S. ABRAMS (D) 75
T. METZ (L) 3
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0

****
BALLOTS CAST 90
****
GOVERNOR
RACE # 3

S. KEMP (R) 71
S. ABRAMS (D) 20
T. METZ (L) 1
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
LT GOVERNOR
RACE # 5

G. DUNCAN (R) 70
S. R. AMICO (D) 19
Write-In 1
WRITE-INS 1
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
SUSAN SNYDER
****
SECRETARY OF STATE
RACE # 7

B. RAFFENSPERGER (R) 11
J. BARROW (D) 71
S. DOWL (L) 8
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
[illegible]

****
ATTORNEY GENERAL
RACE # 9

C. CARR (I) R 15
C. BAILEY (D) 72
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
AGRI[illegible] COMMISSIONER
RACE # 11

G. BLACK (I) R 20
F. SWANN (D) 66
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 13

J. BECK (R) 12
J. LAWS (D) 68
D. FOSTER (L) 7
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates

****

STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT

[illegible] 15

R. WOODS (I) R 17

O. THORNTON, JR. (D) 69

Write-In 1

$ WRITE-INS 1

Write In Candidates

Ballot Candidate

*SIDDS SID CHAPMAN

****

LABOR COMMISSIONER

RACE # 17

N. BUTLER (I) R 17

R. HEATLEY (D) 69

Write-In 0

$ WRITE-INS 0

Write In Candidates

Ballot Candidate

****

PSC EATON

RACE # 18

[illegible] (I) R [illegible]

[illegible] (D) [illegible]

[illegible] (L) [illegible]

Write-In 0

$ WRITE-INS 0

Write In Candidates

Ballot Candidate

****

****

PSC PRIDEMORE

RACE # 21

T. PRIDEMORE (I) R 12

D. A. RADCLIFF (D) 69

J. TURPIN (L) 7

Write-In 0

$ WRITE-INS 0

Write In Candidates

Ballot Candidate

****

US HOUSE 5

RACE # 40

A. R. LEWIS (I) D 69

Write-In 1

$ WRITE-INS 1

Write In Candidates

Ballot Candidate

*[illegible]

****

US HOUSE 3

RACE # 50

[illegible] (I) [illegible]

[illegible] (D) [illegible]

Write-In [illegible]

$ WRITE-INS [illegible]

Write In Candidates

Ballot Candidate

****

[illegible]

RACE # [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

N. CHECK (1) 0 01
Write-In 1
WRITE-INS 1
Write In Candidates
DeMot Candidate
TUCKER ALEXANDER PAUL LIBERTY
****
STATE HOUSE 58
RACE # 055

P. CANON (1) 0 01
Write-In 1
WRITE-INS 1
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
TUCKER ALEXANDER PAUL LIBERTY
****
CO CORP COMMISSION
RACE # 060

R. KITTS (1) 0 01
Write-In 1
WRITE-INS 1
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
TUCKER ALEXANDER PAUL LIBERTY
****
SUP CT JUSTICE
RACE # 061

[illegible]

****
Fulton County
State of
Georgia General Election

November 6, 2018

DATE: Nov-06-2018
POLL CTR: 280130
02J, 02S & 03S
MACHINE ID: 3
VERSION: 2 COPY: 0
COUNT: 0 SIZE: 30M
ACCU-VOTE RELEASE: 6.5.2
REPORT: --US 1, 18, 7

TIME: 19:26 11/06/2018
MACHINE SERIAL: 190081
PUBLIC COUNTER: 181
SYSTEM COUNTER: 1211

****
** PRECINCT: 220 **
02J
****
BALLOTS CAST 78
****
GOVERNOR
RACE # 3

[illegible] (R) 8

GOVERNOR
RACE # 3

R. KIRK (R) 4
G. ADAMS (D) 86
T. MOTZ (L) 7
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
LT GOVERNOR
RACE # 5

G. SANDERS (R) 9
S. R. SMITH (D) 83
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
SECRETARY OF STATE
RACE # 7

G. DAFFLOGFELDER (R) 5
J. BARROW (D) 88
S. DUNN (L) 4
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
ATTORNEY GENERAL
RACE # 9

C. CARR (I) R 10
C. BAILEY (D) 88
Write-In 1
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate

AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 11

G. BLACK (I) R 21
F. SWANN (D) 54
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 13

J. BECK (R) 13
J. LANG (D) 56
D. FOSTER (L) 4
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT
RACE # 15

R. WOODS (I) R 20
D. THORTON, JR. (D) 38
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
LABOR COMMISSIONER
RACE # 17

M. BOLER (I) R 7
B. HARRIS (D) 56
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0

****

PSC EATON

RACE # 19

C. EATON (I) R	7
L. MILLER (D)	62
R. GRAHAM (L)	5
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates

Ballot Candidate

****

PSC PRIDEMORE

RACE # 21

T. PRIDEMORE (I) R	12
D. A. RANDOLPH (D)	58
J. TURPISH (L)	4
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates

Ballot Candidate

****

US HOUSE 5

RACE # 40

J. R. LEWIS (I) D	72
Write-In	1
# WRITE-INS	1

Write In Candidates

Ballot Candidate

[illegible]

****

STATE SENATE 30

RACE # 105

R. OREOCK (I) D	80
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates

Ballot Candidate

****

STATE HOUSE 59

RACE # 155

P. [illegible] (I) D	69
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates

Ballot Candidate

****

[illegible]

RACE # [illegible]

[illegible]	[illegible]
Write-In	[illegible]
# WRITE-INS	[illegible]

Write In Candidates

Ballot Candidate

****

[illegible]

RACE # [illegible]

[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

SECRETARY OF STATE
RACE # 7

G. KAFFENBERGER (R) 22
J. BARROW (D) 107
S. BAAL (L) 11
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
ATTORNEY GENERAL
RACE # 9

C. CARR (D) R 27
C. BAILEY (D) 111
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
SCHOOL BOARD COMMISSIONER
RACE # 11

G. BLACK (I) R 38
F. SWAN (D) 97
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 13

J. BOX (R) 38
J. LANE (D) 96
S. FOSTER (L) 4
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate

STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT
RACE # 15

R. WOODS (I) R 36
O. THORNTON, JR. (D) 95
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
LABOR COMMISSIONER
RACE # 17

M. BUTLER (I) R 30
R. KEATLEY (D) 100
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
PSC EATON
RACE # 19

C. EATON (I) R 22
L. MILLER (D) 103
K. GRAHAM (L) 7
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates

****
PSC PRIDEMORE
RACE # 21

T. PRIDEMORE (I) R	23
D. A. RANDOLPH (D)	98
J. TURPISH (L)	9
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
US HOUSE 5
RACE # 40

J. R. LEWIS (I) D	121
Write-In	5
# WRITE-INS	5

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
[illegible] ANYBODY ELSE
[illegible] ANYONE ELSE PLEASE
[illegible] ANYWHERE
[illegible] NOT HIM
[illegible] TO [illegible]
****
US HOUSE 6
RACE # [illegible]

[illegible] (I) R	[illegible]
[illegible] (D)	[illegible]
Write-In	[illegible]
# WRITE-INS	[illegible]

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
[illegible]

US HOUSE 13
RACE # 73

D. CALLAHAN (R)	0
D. SCOTT (I) (D)	0
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
STATE SENATE 36
RACE # 145

N. ORROCK (I) D	119
Write-In	2
# WRITE-INS	2

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
623667 NO
620920 TIT
****
STATE [illegible] 50

[illegible]

[illegible] TTT

****

STATE HOUSE 58

RACE # 155

F. CARSON (I) 0 [illegible]

Write-In 1

WRITE-INS 1

Write In Candidates

Ballot Candidate

[illegible] NO

****

CO COMM CHAIRPERSON

RACE # 450

R. PITTS (I) 0 118

Write-In 2

WRITE-INS 2

Write In Candidates

Ballot Candidate

[illegible] NO

[illegible]

****

SOIL AND WATER

RACE # 550

[illegible]

****

[illegible]

****

[illegible]

****

[illegible]

****

[illegible]

****

[illegible]

****

ELECTION RESULTS REPORT

****

Fulton County

State of

Georgia General Election

November 6, 2018

DATE: Nov-06-2018

POLL CTR: 260MCD

021, 028 & 066

MACHINE ID: 5

VERSION: 2 COPY: 0

COUNT: 0 SIZE: 32M

ACCU-VOTE RELEASE: 4.5.2

REPORT: US 1.18.7

TIME: 10:29 11/06/2018

MACHINE SERIAL: 120081

PUBLIC COUNTER: 138

SYSTEM COUNTER: 2375

****

*** SUMMARY TOTALS

****

BALLOTS CAST BY PRECINCT

PRECINCT	QUANTITY
220	83
[illegible]	39
[illegible]	16
[illegible]	1
TOTAL BALLOTS	139

****
GOVERNOR
RACE # 1

S. KING (R) 98
S. BROWN (D) 192
T. MOTT (L) 0
Write-In 1
WRITE-INS 1
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
ATTORNEY GENERAL (VOTE FOR ONE)
****
LT GOVERNOR
RACE # 3

S. DANCHI (R) 98
S. A. ARTIZI (D) 192
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
SECRETARY OF STATE
RACE # 4

D. GALLAGHER (R) 98
J. BARRIS (D) 192
E. BOWE (L) 0
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
STUDENT SENATOR
RACE # 5

C. CARR (R) # 98
C. BAILEY (D) 192
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****

AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 11

S. BLACK (R) # 98
F. SWANN (D) 192
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 13

A. BOX (R) 98
A. LANG (D) 192
D. FOSTER (L) 0
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT
RACE # 15

R. WOODS (R) # 98
S. TASSITOL, JR. (D) 192
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
LABOR COMMISSIONER
RACE # 17

R. WALKER (R) # 98
R. KAMLEY (D) 192
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****

****
PSC EATON
RACE # 19

C. EATON (I) R 20
L. MILLER (D) 105
R. GRAHAM (L) 4
Write-In 2
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
PSC PRESIDENT
RACE # 21

T. PRESIDENT (I) R 19
D. A. ROSSOLPH (D) 102
J. TAPTON (L) 5
Write-In 2
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
US HOUSE 2
RACE # 40

L. A. LEWIS (I) R [illegible]
Write-In [illegible]
WRITE-INS [illegible]
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
****
US HOUSE 3
RACE # [illegible]

[illegible]

STATE SENATE 36
RACE # 145

OBRIEN (I) D 175
Write-In 2
#WRITE-INS 2
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
128 ANYBODY ELSE
175 TOM HARP
****
STATE HOUSE 58
RACE # 355

LARSEN (I) D 157
Write-In 2
#WRITE-INS 2
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
128 ANYBODY ELSE
175 ROSS LARSEN
****
COMM COMMISSIONER
RACE # 400

[illegible] (I) D [illegible]
Write-In [illegible]
#WRITE-INS [illegible]
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
****

AND WATER
$ 550

REMIC, JR (I)	91
ULSETH	78
-In	1
-In	0
TE-1168	1

In Candidates
1 Candidate
1 Vote
****
PRECINCT 1
1 550

	1
	0

****
PRECINCT 2
550

	0
	0

****
PRECINCT 3

Polk County
State of
Georgia General Election

November 6, 2018
DATE: Nov-06-2018
POLL CTR: 290000
02A, 02K & 08G
MACHINE ID: 6
VERSION: 2 COPY: 0
COUNT: 0 SIZE: 32M
ACCU-VOTE RELEASE: 4.5.2
REPORT: US 1, 14, 7

TIME: 19:28 11/06/2018
MACHINE SERIAL: 133778
PUBLIC COUNTER: 125
SYSTEM COUNTER: 8130

****
*** SUMMARY TOTALS
****

BALLOTS CAST BY PRECINCT

PRECINCT	QUANTITY
220	61
225	56
700	8
TOTAL BALLOTS	125

****
GOVERNOR
RACE # 3

S. KEMP (R) 78
S. ADAMS (D) 107
T. METZ (L) 2
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
LT GOVERNOR
RACE # 5

S. DAMON (R) 20
S. S. ARICO (D) 165
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
SECRETARY OF STATE
RACE # 7

S. RAFFENSPERGER (R) 37
J. BARROW (D) 162
S. DAVIS (L) 5
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
ATTORNEY GENERAL
RACE # 9

C. CARR (D) R 58
C. BAILEY (D) 161
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 11

S. BLACK (I) R 28
F. SWANN (D) 95
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 13

J. SEXTON (R) 19
J. LAKE (D) 97
S. FOSTER (L) 7
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT
RACE # 15

S. ROSS (I) R 22
[illegible] (D) 101
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
PSC COMMISSIONER
RACE # 17

[illegible] (I) R 40
[illegible] (D) 97
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate

****

SC EATON

RACE # 19

EATON (I) R 20

MILLER (D) 97

GRAHAM (L) 9

te-In 0

RITE-INS 0

te In Candidates

llot Candidate

****

PRIDEMORE

21

PRIDEMORE (I) R 20

RANDOLPH (D) 95

BRISH (L) 4

e-In 0

ITE-INS 0

In Candidates

t Candidate

****

20

****

STATE SENATE 39

RACE # 165

N. OMHOCK (I) D 115

Write-In 1

WRITE-INS 1

Write In Candidates

Ballot Candidate

THOMAS CHARLES WALKER

****

STATE HOUSE 59

RACE # 355

P. CANNON (I) D 111

Write-In 2

WRITE-INS 2

Write In Candidates

Ballot Candidate

THOMAS CHARLES WALKER

MARTHA MARY BOWERS

****

(D) CONN CHAMPIONSHIP

RACE # 650

P. RYAN (I) D 116

Write-In 1

WRITE-INS 1

Write In Candidates

Ballot Candidate

THOMAS CHARLES WALKER

****

****
NO VOTES
ISSUE

MENDEL, JR (I) 88
ULSETH 89
Yes 1
No 1
WRITE-INS 2
In Candidates
Candidate
Write-ins
Choice Counts
Choice Votes
****
CONTEST 1
ISSUE

YES 135
NO 33
****
CONTEST 2
ISSUE

YES 33
NO 33
****
CONTEST 3
ISSUE

YES 33
NO 33
****

****
ELECTION RESULTS
****
Bulloch County
State of
Georgia General Election

November 6, 2018

DATE: Nov-06-2018
POLL OPS: 080900
OPS. OPN. & CLS.
MACHINE ID: 1
VERSION: 2 COPY: 0
COUNT: 0 SIZE: 320
ACCU-VOTE RELEASE: 4.5.2
REPORT: US 1, 14, 1

TIME: 19:27 11/06/2018
MACHINE SERIAL: 110968
PUBLIC COUNTER: 135
SYSTEM COUNTER: 5071

****
*** SUMMARY TOTALS
****

BALLOTS CAST BY PRECINCT
PRECINCT QUANTITY
220 78
225 40
[illegible] 17

TOTAL BALLOTS 135

****
ENDING

****
GOVERNOR
RACE # 3

B. KEMP (R)	18
S. ABRAMS (D)	117
T. METZ (L)	0
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
LT GOVERNOR
RACE # 5

G. DUNCAN (R)	20
S. R. AMICO (D)	110
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
SECRETARY OF STATE
RACE # 7

B. RAFFENSPERGER (R)	22
J. BARROW (D)	105
S. DUVAL (L)	5
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
ATTORNEY GENERAL
RACE # 9

C. CARR (I) R	24
C. BAILEY (D)	107
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 11

G. BLACK (I) R	24
C. SWANN (D)	100
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 13

J. HICK (R)	23
J. LAND (D)	99
G. FORTIN (L)	0
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT
RACE # 15

R. WOOD (I) R	21
[illegible] (D)	[illegible]
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate

****
LAKE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 17

M. BUTLER (I) R	27
R. BEATLEY (D)	101
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
PSC EATON
RACE # 18

C. EATON (I) R	23
L. MILLER (D)	102
S. Gibson (L)	6
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
PSC [illegible]
RACE # 19

[illegible] (I) R	28
[illegible] (D)	101
[illegible] (L)	4
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
[illegible]
RACE # [illegible]

[illegible] (I) R	[illegible]
[illegible] (D)	[illegible]
[illegible] (L)	[illegible]
Write-In	[illegible]
# WRITE-INS	[illegible]

****
CONST AMENDMENT 1
RACE # 560

YES 119
NO 12
****
CONST AMENDMENT 2
RACE # 570

YES 60
NO 55
****
CONST AMENDMENT 3
RACE # 580

YES 64
NO 47
****
CONST AMENDMENT 4
RACE # 590

YES 59
NO 51
****
CONST AMENDMENT 5
RACE # 600

YES 57
NO 53
****
CONST AMENDMENT 6
RACE # 610

YES 57
NO 53
****

****
[illegible]
****
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

****
GOVERNOR
RACE # 3

S. KEMP (R) 75
S. ABRAMS (D) 106
L. METZ (L) 1
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
LT GOVERNOR
RACE # 5

S. DUNCAN (R) 78
S. R. ANTHONY (D) 105
Write-In 1
WRITE-INS 1
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
SECRETARY OF STATE
****
SECRETARY OF STATE
RACE # 7

B. RAFFENSPERGER (R) 78
J. BARROW (D) 106
S. DAHL (L) 11
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
ATTORNEY GENERAL
RACE # 9

C. CARR (I) R 32
C. BAILEY (D) 113
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****

****
AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 11

J. BLACK (L) R 31
J. SWANN (D) 103
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 13

J. BECK (R) 20
J. ENNS (D) 103
J. FOSTER (L) 7
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT
RACE # 15

R. WOODS (L) R 28
E. THORNTON, JR. (D) 102
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
PUBLIC COMMISSIONER
RACE # 17

T. DOYLE (L) R 34
T. BAILEY (D) 100
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****

****
ION
8 19

TON (I) R 20
LLER (D) 108
NAM (L) 8
-In 0
TE-INS 0
In Candidates
t Candidate
****
RIUEMENE
8 21

DEMORE (I) R 18
RANDOLPH (D) 107
PIGH (L) 8
In 0
-INS 0
In Candidates
Candidate
****
E 5
40

DVIS (I) D 109
0
INS 0
Candidates
candidate
****

****
E 36

D) 0 120
1
1
didates
date
E RETIRE
****
58

0 122
0
0
didates
ate
****
ERSON

121
0
0
ates
ts
****

****
ELECTION RESULTS REPORT
****
Fulton County
State of
Georgia General Election

November 6, 2018

DATE: Nov-06-2018
POLL CTR: 280000
02A, 02X & 06G
MACHINE ID: 9
VERSION: 2 COPY: 0
COUNT: 0 SIZE: 32M
ACCU-VOTE RELEASE: 4.5.2
REPORT: US 1,14,7

TIME: 19:28 11/06/2018
MACHINE SERIAL: 107677
PUBLIC COUNTER: 136
SYSTEM COUNTER: 5228

****
*** SUMMARY TOTALS
****

BALLOTS CAST BY PRECINCT

PRECINCT	QUANTITY
220	69
225	47
700	20

TOTAL BALLOTS 136

****

GOVERNOR
RACE # 3

B. KEMP (R)	28
S. ABRAMS (D)	105
T. METZ (L)	5
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
LT GOVERNOR
RACE # 5

G. DUNCAN (R)	35
S. R. AMICO (D)	98
Write-In	1
# WRITE-INS	1

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
655732 TED METZ
****
SECRETARY OF STATE
RACE # 7

B. RAFFENSPERGER (R)	28

RACE # 7

G. BATTLEGROUND (R) 29
J. BARRON (D) 99
S. DUVAL (L) 9
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
ATTORNEY GENERAL
RACE # 9

[illegible] CARR (I) R 30
[illegible] BAILEY (D) 101
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 11

G. BLACK (I) R 38
F. SWANN (D) 93
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
INSURANCE COMMISSIONER

****
INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 13

J. BECK (R) 25
J. LAWS (D) 93
D. FOSTER (L) 14
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDEN
RACE # 15

R. WOODS (I) R 38
O. THORNTON, JR. (D) 98
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
LABOR COMMISSIONER
RACE # [illegible]

[illegible]

STATE SENATE 36
RACE # 105

N. ORROCK (I) D 110
Write-In 4
WRITE-INS 4
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
173300 BUCKLEY DUKE
819362 CHRIS CURNICK
468884 KATHERINE HALL
655732 TED METZ
****
STATE HOUSE 58
RACE # 355

P. CANNON (I) D 107
Write-In 4
WRITE-INS 4
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
173300 BUCKLEY DUKE
819362 CHRIS CURNICK
[illegible] MARY VILLOGHBY
655732 TED METZ
****
CO COMM CHAIRPERSON

****

CO COMM CHAIRPERSON

RACE # 450

R. PITTS (I) D	108
Write-In	5
# WRITE-INS	5

Write In Candidates

Ballot Candidate

173300 BUCKLEY DUKE

819362 CHRIS CURNICK

399513 KRISTIN HALLORAN

20890 ME

655732 TED METZ

****

SOIL AND WATER

RACE # 550

W. S. REKUC, JR (I)	89
J. R. ULSETH	79
Write-In	0
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates

Ballot Candidate

****

[illegible]

RACE # [illegible]

****

ELECTION RESULTS REPORT

****

Fulton County

State of

Georgia General Election

November 6, 2018

DATE: Nov-06-2018

POLL CTR: 280100

OZ: 006 # 060

MACHINE ID: 10

VERSION: 2 COPY: 0

COUNT: 0 SIZE: 32M

ACCU-VOTE RELEASE: 4.5.2

REPORT: US 1.14.7

TIME: 19:28 11/06/2018

MACHINE SERIAL: 136173

PUBLIC COUNTER: 37

SYSTEM COUNTER: 11586

****

*** SUMMARY TOTALS

****

[illegible]ACT BY PRECINCT

PRECINCT	QUANTITY
220	19
225	17
700	1

TOTAL BALLOTS 37

****

****
GOVERNOR
RACE # 3

S. KEMP (R) 8
S. ABRAMS (D) 32
T. METZ (L) 0
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Cast/Vote
****
LT. GOVERNOR
RACE # 5

G. DUNCAN (R) 5
S. R. AMICO (D) 32
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Cast/Vote
****
SECRETARY OF STATE
RACE # 7

[illegible] BRATKOWSKI (R) 8
[illegible] DAMON (D) 28
[illegible] DUNN (L) 1
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Cast/Vote
****
ATTORNEY GENERAL
RACE # 9

C. CARR (I) R 5
C. BAILEY (D) 28
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Cast/Vote
****

****
AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 11

G. BLACK (I) R 5
F. SWANN (D) 28
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Cast/Vote
****
INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 13

J. BECK (R) 7
J. LAKE (D) 26
D. FOSTER (L) 1
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Cast/Vote
****
STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT
RACE # 15

R. WEBB (I) R 8
B. THURMOND, JR. (D) 25
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Cast/Vote
****
LABOR COMMISSIONER
RACE # 17

R. BAILEY (I) R 3
S. HOWLEY (D) 29
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Cast/Vote
****
[illegible]
RACE # 19

[illegible] (I) R [illegible]
[illegible] (D) [illegible]
[illegible] (L) [illegible]
Write-In [illegible]
WRITE-INS [illegible]
Write In Candidates
Ballot Cast/Vote
****

****

PSC PRIDEMORE

RACE # 21

T. PRIDEMORE (I) R	7
D. A. RANDOLPH (D)	24
L. TURPISH (L)	3
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates

Ballot Candidate

****

US HOUSE 5

RACE # 40

J. R. LEWIS (I) D	32
Write-In	1
# WRITE-INS	1

Write In Candidates

Ballot Candidate

733772 TAYLOR HARRISON

****

****
ELECTION RESULTS REPORT
****
Fulton County
State of
Georgia General Election

November 6, 2018

DATE: Nov-06-2018
POLL CTR: 280000
02J, 02K & 06G
MACHINE ID: 13
VERSION: 2 COPY: 0
COUNT: 0 SIZE: 32K
ACCU-VOTE RELEASE: 4.5.2
REPORT: US 1, 18, 7

TIME: 19:27 11/06/2018
MACHINE SERIAL: 169744
PUBLIC COUNTER: 66
SYSTEM COUNTER: 5978

****
*** SUMMARY TOTALS
****

BALLOTS CAST BY PRECINCT

PRECINCT	QUANTITY
20	22
25	15
700	7
TOTAL BALLOTS	44

****
GOVERNOR
RACE # 3

0. KEMP (R)	13
1. ABRAMS (D)	28
2. METZ (L)	2
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
LT GOVERNOR
RACE # 5

0. DUNCAN (R)	13
1. S. AMICO (D)	30
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
SECRETARY OF STATE
RACE # 7

0. RAFFENSPERGER (R)	11
1. BARROW (D)	30
2. DUNN (L)	3
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
ATTORNEY GENERAL
RACE # 9

0. CARR (I) R	13
1. BAILEY (D)	28
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****

****
RICULTURE COMMISSIONER
CE # 11

BLACK (I) R 16
SMOAK (D) 28
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Total Candidate
****
SURANCE COMMISSIONER
CE # 13

BECK (R) 13
LANG (D) 27
FISHER (L) 4
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Total Candidate
****
TE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT
CE # 15

ROSS (I) R 17
HUNTER, JR. (D) 28
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Total Candidate
****
R COMMISSIONER
17

COLE (I) R 19
CRUZ (D) 26
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Total Candidate

****
EATON
19

TON (I) R 11
LLER (D) 29
WHAM (L) 3
Write-In 0
TE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Total Candidate
****
FIDEMORE
21

DEMORE (I) R 12
RANDOLPH (D) 28
FISH (L) 3
Write-In 0
E-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Candidate
****
CE 5
40

LEWIS (I) D 35
Write-In 1
-INS 1
Write In Candidates
Candidate
Vote



****
[illegible]
****
Polk County
State of
Georgia General Election

November 6, 2018
DATE: Nov-06-2018
POLL CTR: [illegible]
[illegible]
MACHINE ID: [illegible]
VERSION: 2 [illegible]
COUNT: [illegible] SIZE: [illegible]
ACCU-VOTE RELEASE: [illegible]
REPORT: [illegible]

TIME: [illegible] 11/06/2018
MACHINE SERIAL: [illegible]
PUBLIC COUNTER: [illegible]
SYSTEM COUNTER: [illegible]

****
[illegible] TOTALS
[illegible]

BALLOTS CAST BY PRECINCT
PRECINCT QUANTITY
[illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible]
[illegible] [illegible]
[illegible]

TOTAL BALLOTS [illegible]

****

GOVERNOR
RACE # 3

S. KEMP (R) 7
S. ADAMS (D) 37
T. KITZ (L) 1
write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
LT GOVERNOR
RACE # 5

S. DUNCAN (R) 6
S. R. AMICO (D) 29
write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
SECRETARY OF STATE
RACE # 7

S. HAYGOOD(R) 3
J. BARROW (D) 35
S. DUNN (L) 1
write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
ATTORNEY GENERAL
RACE # 9

C. DEAN (R) 8 3
C. BAILEY (D) 34
write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate

****
AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 11

G. BLACK (R) 8 10
F. SANNI (D) 24
write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 13

A. BECK (R) 10
J. LAND (D) 23
G. FOSTER (L) 1
write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT
RACE # 15

S. WEEKS (R) 8 9
O. THORNTON JR. (D) 26
write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
LABOR COMMISSIONER
RACE # 17

WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****

****
PSC EATON
RACE # 18

C. EATON (D) # 3
J. MILLER (D) 22
R. SHAW (D) 1
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
PSC PRIBERSE
RACE # 21

L. PRIBERSE (D) # 3
D. A. BAGLEY (D) 23
A. TURPIN (D) 1
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
US HOUSE 3
RACE # 40

J. R. LEWIS (D) # 20
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
Voters who Vote
Voters who Vote
****

****
ELECTION RESULTS REPORT
****
Fulton County
State of
Georgia General Election

November 6, 2018

DATE: Nov-06-2018
POLL CTR: 280'00
02J, 02K & 06G
MACHINE ID: 19
VERSION: 2 COPY: 0
COUNT: 0 SIZE: 32M
ACCU-VOTE RELEASE: 4.5.2
REPORT: US 1.14.7

TIME: 19:31 11/06/2018
MACHINE SERIAL: 116073
PUBLIC COUNTER: 37
SYSTEM COUNTER: 4718

****
*** SUMMARY TOTALS
****

BALLOTS CAST BY PRECINCT

PRECINCT	QUANTITY
220	15
225	15
700	7

TOTAL BALLOTS 37

GOVERNOR
RACE # 3

G. KEMP (R)	8
S. ABRAMS (D)	27
T. PETZ (L)	2
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
LT GOVERNOR
RACE # 5

G. DUNCAN (R)	10
S. R. AMICO (D)	26
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
SECRETARY OF STATE
RACE # 7

B. RAFFENSPERGER (R)	9
J. BARROW (D)	25
S. DAVIS (L)	2
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
ATTORNEY GENERAL
RACE # 9

C. CARR (I) R	11
C. BAILEY (D)	24
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate

AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 11

G. BLACK (I) R 17
T. SAMM (D) 21
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 11

J. BEDY (R) 9
J. LANG (D) 23
G. FOSTER (L) 3
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT
RACE # 15

S. WEBB (I) R 32
D. THORNTON, JR. (D) 30
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
LABOR COMMISSIONER
RACE # 17

R. BOLDS (I) R 30
E. KEARNS (D) 22
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****

PSC EATON
RACE # 19

C. EATON (I) R 9
L. MILLER (D) 23
R. GRAHAM (L) 3
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
PSC PRIDEMORE
RACE # 21

T. PRIDEMORE (I) R 9
D. A. RANDOLPH (D) 22
J. TURPISH (L) 3
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
US HOUSE 5
RACE # 40

J. R. LEWIS (I) D 26
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****

****
ELECTION RESULTS REPORT
****
Fulton County
State of
Georgia General Election

November 6, 2018

DATE: NOV-06-2018
POLL CTR: 280_00
Q21, Q2X & Q8G
MACHINE ID: 18
VERSION: 2 COPY: 0
COUNT: 0 SIZE: 32M
ACCU-VOTE RELEASE: 4.5.2
REPORT: US 1.14.7

TIME: 10:32 11/06/2018
MACHINE SERIAL: 12843
PUBLIC COUNTER: 39
SYSTEM CO[illegible] 1020

****
*** SUMMARY TOTALS ***
****

BALLOTS CAST BY PRECINCT

PRECINCT	QUANTITY
220	17
225	16
700	6
TOTAL BALLOTS	39

GOVERNOR
RACE # 1

G. KEMP (R) 8
S. ADAMS (D) 36
T. PATTE (L) 1
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
LT GOVERNOR
RACE # 3

S. SOMCAR (R) 7
S. R. ANTOS (D) 36
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
SECRETARY OF STATE
RACE # 7

B. GERTSCHEIDER (R) 5
J. BARRY (D) 38
S. BOWL (L) 1
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
ATTORNEY GENERAL
RACE # 9

S. DAVIS (R) 5 7
C. BAILEY (D) 38
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 11

[illegible] (R) [illegible]
[illegible] (D) [illegible]
Write-In [illegible]
WRITE-INS [illegible]
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****

****
INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 13

J. BECK (R) 8
J. LANG (D) 35
D. FOSTER (L) 4
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT
RACE # 15

S. WEBBS (R) 8 11
D. THORNTON, JR. (D) 28
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
LABOR COMMISSIONER
RACE # 17

R. BUTLER (R) 8 12
R. BEATTLEY (D) 25
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
PSC EAST
RACE # 19

C. CARTER (D) 8 9

PSC EATON
RACE # 19

C. EATON (I) R 9
G. MILLER (D) 28
R. BRAMM (L) 4
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
PSC PRIDEMORE
RACE # 21

L. PRIDEMORE (I) R 9
D. A. RANDOLPH (D) 25
J. TURPISH (L) 4
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
US HOUSE 5
RACE # 40

J. R. LEWIS (I) D 28
Write-In 1
WRITE-INS 1
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
72/100 BACK FLAP
****

STATE SENATE 36
RACE # 145

R. OWENS (I) D 30
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
STATE HOUSE 59
RACE # 205

F. CARTER (I) D 29
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
CO COMM CONTROLLER
RACE # 60

S. POTTS (I) D 30
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
SOIL SER WATER
RACE # 100

[illegible]

EXHIBIT

B

This spreadsheet may be viewed at https://coaltionforgoodgovernance.sharefile.com/d-s3917ca95b4f4f45a

ELECTION RESULTS REPORT
Fulton County
State of
Georgia General Election
November 6 2018
DATE: Nov 09, 2018
POLL CITE: 280100
02, 02A, & 06G
MACHINE ID: 2
VIDEO/KEY: 2 COPY: 0
COUNT: 0 SIZE: 32M
ACCU VOTE RELEASE: 4, 3, 2
EUPORT: US 1, 34, 7
TIME: 19:26 11/06/2018
MACHINE STATUS: 124.09s
PUBLIC COUNTER: 143
SYSTEM COUNTER: 1276
** PRECINCT: 220
02J
*** SUMMARY TOTALS
BALLOTS CAST BY PRECINCT
PRECINCT QUANTITY
220 52
62 223
89 223
56 500
TOTAL BALLOTS 140
BALLOTS CAST
GOVERNOR
EACH 0 *Total: 80
1 | 1. ADAM (R) 0
2 | 2. ABRAMS (D) 68
3 | 3. METZ (L) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
1T GOVERNOR
EACH 0 *Total: 87
1 | 1. DUNCAN (R) 3
2 | 2. R. AMICO (D) 72
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
SECRETARY OF STATE
EACH 0 *Total: 88
1 | 1. BRAD RAFFENSPERGER (R) 71
2 | 2. BARROW (D) 6
3 | 3. DUVALI (L) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
ATTORNEY GENERAL
EACH 0 *Total: 87
1 | 1. CARR (R) 14
2 | 2. BAILEY (D) 68
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER
EACH 0 *Total: 86
1 | 1. BLACK (R) 20
2 | 2. DAWKINS (D) 66
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
EACH 0 *Total: 87
1 | 1. BECK (R) 12
2 | 2. LAWS (D) 68
3 | 3. FOSTER (L) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT
EACH 0 *Total: 86
1 | 1. WOODS (R) 17
2 | 2. THORNTON, JR. (D) 69
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
LABOR COMMISSIONER
EACH 0 *Total: 86
1 | 1. BUTLER (R) 17
2 | 2. ALAFIA (D) 69
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
PSC ELECTION
EACH 0 *Total: 88
1 | 1. EATON (R) 14
2 | 2. MILLER (D) 74
3 | 3. GRAHAM (L) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
PSC PRESIDENTIAL
EACH 0 *Total: 87
1 | 1. FREDMONTS (R) 0
2 | 2. A. RANDOLPH (D) 0
3 | 3. TURPSH (L) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
US HOUSE 3
EACH 0 *Total: 91
1 | 1. J.R. LEWIS (R) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
US HOUSE 6
EACH 0 *Total: 0
1 | 1. H. HANDEL (R) 0
2 | 2. L. MCBATH (D) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
US HOUSE 11
EACH 0 *Total: 0
1 | 1. B. LOUDERMILK (R) 0
2 | 2. D. BERGMAN, JR (D) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
US HOUSE 12
EACH 0 *Total: 0
1 | 1. D. CALLAHAN (R) 0
2 | 2. SCOTT (D) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
STATE SENATE 34
EACH 0 *Total: 340
1 | 1. H. DERDICK (R) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
STATE HOUSE 48
EACH 0 *Total: 0
1 | 1. F. CANNON (R) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
CO COMMI CHAIRPERSON
EACH 0 *Total: 400
1 | 1. PITTS (R) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
SOIL AND WATER *
EACH 0 *Total: 300
1 | 1. JEAN TM gmailm TM hotmai at tisp 0
2 | 2. BEAUC, JR (R) 0
3 | 3. J. B. LIGHTN 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
* Total not found on tape, but
CONST AMENDMENT 1
EACH 0 *Total: 0
YES 0
NO 0
BELOW THIS POINT, THIS DIDEX
CONST AMENDMENT 2
EACH 0 *Total: 0
YES 0
NO 0
BELOW THIS POINT, THIS DIDEX

ELECTION RESULTS REPORT
Fulton County
State of
Georgia General Election
November 6 2018
DATE: Nov 09, 2018
POLL CITE: 280100
02, 02A, & 06G
MACHINE ID: 2
VIDEO/KEY: 2 COPY: 0
COUNT: 0 SIZE: 32M
ACCU VOTE RELEASE: 4, 3, 2
EUPORT: US 1, 34, 7
TIME: 19:26 11/06/2018
MACHINE STATUS: 124.09s
PUBLIC COUNTER: 143
SYSTEM COUNTER: 1276
** PRECINCT: 220
02J
*** SUMMARY TOTALS
BALLOTS CAST BY PRECINCT
PRECINCT QUANTITY
220 52
62 223
89 223
56 500
TOTAL BALLOTS 140
BALLOTS CAST
GOVERNOR
EACH 0 *Total: 78
1 | 1. ADAM (R) 0
2 | 2. ABRAMS (D) 68
3 | 3. METZ (L) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
1T GOVERNOR
EACH 0 *Total: 78
1 | 1. DUNCAN (R) 3
2 | 2. R. AMICO (D) 67
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
SECRETARY OF STATE
EACH 0 *Total: 78
1 | 1. BRAD RAFFENSPERGER (R) 68
2 | 2. BARROW (D) 4
3 | 3. DUVALI (L) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
ATTORNEY GENERAL
EACH 0 *Total: 78
1 | 1. CARR (R) 8
2 | 2. BAILEY (D) 68
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER
EACH 0 *Total: 79
1 | 1. BLACK (R) 23
2 | 2. DAWKINS (D) 64
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
EACH 0 *Total: 77
1 | 1. BECK (R) 18
2 | 2. LAWS (D) 59
3 | 3. FOSTER (L) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT
EACH 0 *Total: 76
1 | 1. WOODS (R) 20
2 | 2. THORNTON, JR. (D) 56
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
LABOR COMMISSIONER
EACH 0 *Total: 79
1 | 1. BUTLER (R) 18
2 | 2. ALAFIA (D) 61
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
PSC ELECTION
EACH 0 *Total: 76
1 | 1. EATON (R) 2
2 | 2. MILLER (D) 74
3 | 3. GRAHAM (L) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
PSC PRESIDENTIAL
EACH 0 *Total: 74
1 | 1. FREDMONTS (R) 0
2 | 2. A. RANDOLPH (D) 0
3 | 3. TURPSH (L) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
US HOUSE 3
EACH 0 *Total: 72
1 | 1. J.R. LEWIS (R) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
US HOUSE 6
EACH 0 *Total: 0
1 | 1. H. HANDEL (R) 0
2 | 2. L. MCBATH (D) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
US HOUSE 11
EACH 0 *Total: 0
1 | 1. B. LOUDERMILK (R) 0
2 | 2. D. BERGMAN, JR (D) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
US HOUSE 12
EACH 0 *Total: 0
1 | 1. D. CALLAHAN (R) 0
2 | 2. SCOTT (D) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
STATE SENATE 34
EACH 0 *Total: 340
1 | 1. H. DERDICK (R) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
STATE HOUSE 48
EACH 0 *Total: 0
1 | 1. F. CANNON (R) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
CO COMMI CHAIRPERSON
EACH 0 *Total: 400
1 | 1. PITTS (R) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
SOIL AND WATER *
EACH 0 *Total: 300
1 | 1. BEAUC, JR (R) 0
2 | 2. J. B. LIGHTN 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
CONST AMENDMENT 1
EACH 0 *Total: 0
YES 0
NO 0
BELOW THIS POINT, THIS DIDEX
CONST AMENDMENT 2
EACH 0 *Total: 0
YES 0
NO 0
BELOW THIS POINT, THIS DIDEX

ELECTION RESULTS REPORT
Fulton County
State of
Georgia General Election
November 6 2018
DATE: Nov 09, 2018
POLL CITE: 280100
02, 02A, & 06G
MACHINE ID: 3
VIDEO/KEY: 2 COPY: 0
COUNT: 0 SIZE: 32M
ACCU VOTE RELEASE: 4, 3, 2
EUPORT: US 1, 34, 7
TIME: 19:21 11/06/2018
MACHINE STATUS: 127.96s
PUBLIC COUNTER: 1278
SYSTEM COUNTER: 2273
*** SUMMARY TOTALS
BALLOTS CAST BY PRECINCT
PRECINCT QUANTITY
220 52
62 223
89 223
56 500
TOTAL BALLOTS 140
BALLOTS CAST
GOVERNOR
EACH 0 *Total: 140
1 | 1. ADAM (R) 0
2 | 2. ABRAMS (D) 140
3 | 3. METZ (L) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
1T GOVERNOR
EACH 0 *Total: 140
1 | 1. DUNCAN (R) 3
2 | 2. R. AMICO (D) 137
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
SECRETARY OF STATE
EACH 0 *Total: 140
1 | 1. BRAD RAFFENSPERGER (R) 137
2 | 2. BARROW (D) 3
3 | 3. DUVALI (L) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
ATTORNEY GENERAL
EACH 0 *Total: 139
1 | 1. CARR (R) 27
2 | 2. BAILEY (D) 112
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER
EACH 0 *Total: 139
1 | 1. BLACK (R) 29
2 | 2. DAWKINS (D) 110
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
EACH 0 *Total: 137
1 | 1. BECK (R) 29
2 | 2. LAWS (D) 108
3 | 3. FOSTER (L) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT
EACH 0 *Total: 137
1 | 1. WOODS (R) 22
2 | 2. THORNTON, JR. (D) 115
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
LABOR COMMISSIONER
EACH 0 *Total: 137
1 | 1. BUTLER (R) 28
2 | 2. ALAFIA (D) 109
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
PSC ELECTION
EACH 0 *Total: 135
1 | 1. EATON (R) 25
2 | 2. MILLER (D) 110
3 | 3. GRAHAM (L) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
PSC PRESIDENTIAL
EACH 0 *Total: 129
1 | 1. FREDMONTS (R) 0
2 | 2. A. RANDOLPH (D) 0
3 | 3. TURPSH (L) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
US HOUSE 3
EACH 0 *Total: 126
1 | 1. J.R. LEWIS (R) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
US HOUSE 6
EACH 0 *Total: 0
1 | 1. H. HANDEL (R) 0
2 | 2. L. MCBATH (D) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
US HOUSE 11
EACH 0 *Total: 0
1 | 1. B. LOUDERMILK (R) 0
2 | 2. D. BERGMAN, JR (D) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
US HOUSE 12
EACH 0 *Total: 0
1 | 1. D. CALLAHAN (R) 0
2 | 2. SCOTT (D) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
STATE SENATE 34
EACH 0 *Total: 140
1 | 1. H. DERDICK (R) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
STATE HOUSE 48
EACH 0 *Total: 0
1 | 1. F. CANNON (R) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
CO COMMI CHAIRPERSON
EACH 0 *Total: 400
1 | 1. PITTS (R) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
SOIL AND WATER *
EACH 0 *Total: 300
1 | 1. BEAUC, JR (R) 0
2 | 2. J. B. LIGHTN 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
CONST AMENDMENT 1
EACH 0 *Total: 0
YES 0
NO 0
BELOW THIS POINT, THIS DIDEX
CONST AMENDMENT 2
EACH 0 *Total: 0
YES 0
NO 0
BELOW THIS POINT, THIS DIDEX

ELECTION RESULTS REPORT
Fulton County
State of
Georgia General Election
November 6 2018
DATE: Nov 09, 2018
POLL CITE: 280100
02, 02A, & 06G
MACHINE ID: 4
VIDEO/KEY: 2 COPY: 0
COUNT: 0 SIZE: 32M
ACCU VOTE RELEASE: 4, 3, 2
EUPORT: US 1, 34, 7
TIME: 19:26 11/06/2018
MACHINE STATUS: 127.96s
PUBLIC COUNTER: 1275
SYSTEM COUNTER: 2275
*** SUMMARY TOTALS
BALLOTS CAST BY PRECINCT
PRECINCT QUANTITY
220 52
62 223
89 223
56 500
TOTAL BALLOTS 125
BALLOTS CAST
GOVERNOR
EACH 0 *Total: 125
1 | 1. ADAM (R) 0
2 | 2. ABRAMS (D) 117
3 | 3. METZ (L) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
1T GOVERNOR
EACH 0 *Total: 125
1 | 1. DUNCAN (R) 3
2 | 2. R. AMICO (D) 116
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
SECRETARY OF STATE
EACH 0 *Total: 124
1 | 1. BRAD RAFFENSPERGER (R) 116
2 | 2. BARROW (D) 3
3 | 3. DUVALI (L) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
ATTORNEY GENERAL
EACH 0 *Total: 124
1 | 1. CARR (R) 22
2 | 2. BAILEY (D) 102
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER
EACH 0 *Total: 122
1 | 1. BLACK (R) 20
2 | 2. DAWKINS (D) 102
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
EACH 0 *Total: 122
1 | 1. BECK (R) 19
2 | 2. LAWS (D) 103
3 | 3. FOSTER (L) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT
EACH 0 *Total: 121
1 | 1. WOODS (R) 20
2 | 2. THORNTON, JR. (D) 101
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
LABOR COMMISSIONER
EACH 0 *Total: 122
1 | 1. BUTLER (R) 18
2 | 2. ALAFIA (D) 104
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
PSC ELECTION
EACH 0 *Total: 121
1 | 1. EATON (R) 20
2 | 2. MILLER (D) 101
3 | 3. GRAHAM (L) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
PSC PRESIDENTIAL
EACH 0 *Total: 120
1 | 1. FREDMONTS (R) 0
2 | 2. A. RANDOLPH (D) 0
3 | 3. TURPSH (L) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
US HOUSE 3
EACH 0 *Total: 118
1 | 1. J.R. LEWIS (R) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
US HOUSE 6
EACH 0 *Total: 0
1 | 1. H. HANDEL (R) 0
2 | 2. L. MCBATH (D) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
US HOUSE 11
EACH 0 *Total: 0
1 | 1. B. LOUDERMILK (R) 0
2 | 2. D. BERGMAN, JR (D) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
US HOUSE 12
EACH 0 *Total: 0
1 | 1. D. CALLAHAN (R) 0
2 | 2. SCOTT (D) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
STATE SENATE 34
EACH 0 *Total: 116
1 | 1. H. DERDICK (R) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
STATE HOUSE 48
EACH 0 *Total: 0
1 | 1. F. CANNON (R) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
CO COMMI CHAIRPERSON
EACH 0 *Total: 400
1 | 1. PITTS (R) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
SOIL AND WATER *
EACH 0 *Total: 300
1 | 1. BEAUC, JR (R) 0
2 | 2. J. B. LIGHTN 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
CONST AMENDMENT 1
EACH 0 *Total: 0
YES 0
NO 0
BELOW THIS POINT, THIS DIDEX
CONST AMENDMENT 2
EACH 0 *Total: 0
YES 0
NO 0
BELOW THIS POINT, THIS DIDEX

ELECTION RESULTS REPORT
Fulton County
State of
Georgia General Election
November 6 2018
DATE: Nov 09, 2018
POLL CITE: 280100
02, 02A, & 06G
MACHINE ID: 5
VIDEO/KEY: 2 COPY: 0
COUNT: 0 SIZE: 32M
ACCU VOTE RELEASE: 4, 3, 2
EUPORT: US 1, 34, 7
TIME: 19:26 11/06/2018
MACHINE STATUS: 1.097s
PUBLIC COUNTER: 1318
SYSTEM COUNTER: 3071
*** SUMMARY TOTALS
BALLOTS CAST BY PRECINCT
PRECINCT QUANTITY
220 52
62 223
89 223
56 500
TOTAL BALLOTS 140
BALLOTS CAST
GOVERNOR
EACH 0 *Total: 140
1 | 1. ADAM (R) 0
2 | 2. ABRAMS (D) 137
3 | 3. METZ (L) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
1T GOVERNOR
EACH 0 *Total: 137
1 | 1. DUNCAN (R) 3
2 | 2. R. AMICO (D) 134
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
SECRETARY OF STATE
EACH 0 *Total: 136
1 | 1. BRAD RAFFENSPERGER (R) 134
2 | 2. BARROW (D) 3
3 | 3. DUVALI (L) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
ATTORNEY GENERAL
EACH 0 *Total: 133
1 | 1. CARR (R) 32
2 | 2. BAILEY (D) 101
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER
EACH 0 *Total: 136
1 | 1. BLACK (R) 31
2 | 2. DAWKINS (D) 105
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
EACH 0 *Total: 134
1 | 1. BECK (R) 30
2 | 2. LAWS (D) 104
3 | 3. FOSTER (L) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT
EACH 0 *Total: 135
1 | 1. WOODS (R) 29
2 | 2. THORNTON, JR. (D) 102
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
LABOR COMMISSIONER
EACH 0 *Total: 135
1 | 1. BUTLER (R) 24
2 | 2. ALAFIA (D) 106
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
PSC ELECTION
EACH 0 *Total: 132
1 | 1. EATON (R) 30
2 | 2. MILLER (D) 102
3 | 3. GRAHAM (L) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
PSC PRESIDENTIAL
EACH 0 *Total: 129
1 | 1. FREDMONTS (R) 0
2 | 2. A. RANDOLPH (D) 0
3 | 3. TURPSH (L) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
US HOUSE 3
EACH 0 *Total: 129
1 | 1. J.R. LEWIS (R) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
US HOUSE 6
EACH 0 *Total: 0
1 | 1. H. HANDEL (R) 0
2 | 2. L. MCBATH (D) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
US HOUSE 11
EACH 0 *Total: 0
1 | 1. B. LOUDERMILK (R) 0
2 | 2. D. BERGMAN, JR (D) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
US HOUSE 12
EACH 0 *Total: 0
1 | 1. D. CALLAHAN (R) 0
2 | 2. SCOTT (D) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
STATE SENATE 34
EACH 0 *Total: 134
1 | 1. H. DERDICK (R) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
STATE HOUSE 48
EACH 0 *Total: 0
1 | 1. F. CANNON (R) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
CO COMMI CHAIRPERSON
EACH 0 *Total: 400
1 | 1. PITTS (R) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
SOIL AND WATER *
EACH 0 *Total: 300
1 | 1. BEAUC, JR (R) 0
2 | 2. J. B. LIGHTN 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
CONST AMENDMENT 1
EACH 0 *Total: 0
YES 0
NO 0
BELOW THIS POINT, THIS DIDEX
CONST AMENDMENT 2
EACH 0 *Total: 0
YES 0
NO 0
BELOW THIS POINT, THIS DIDEX

ELECTION RESULTS REPORT
Fulton County
State of
Georgia General Election
November 6 2018
DATE: Nov 09, 2018
POLL CITE: 280100
02, 02A, & 06G
MACHINE ID: 6
VIDEO/KEY: 2 COPY: 0
COUNT: 0 SIZE: 32M
ACCU VOTE RELEASE: 4, 3, 2
EUPORT: US 1, 34, 7
TIME: 19:26 11/06/2018
MACHINE STATUS: 1.097s
PUBLIC COUNTER: 195
SYSTEM COUNTER: 2229
*** SUMMARY TOTALS
BALLOTS CAST BY PRECINCT
PRECINCT QUANTITY
220 52
62 223
89 223
56 500
TOTAL BALLOTS 126
BALLOTS CAST
GOVERNOR
EACH 0 *Total: 126
1 | 1. ADAM (R) 0
2 | 2. ABRAMS (D) 124
3 | 3. METZ (L) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
1T GOVERNOR
EACH 0 *Total: 125
1 | 1. DUNCAN (R) 3
2 | 2. R. AMICO (D) 119
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
SECRETARY OF STATE
EACH 0 *Total: 122
1 | 1. BRAD RAFFENSPERGER (R) 119
2 | 2. BARROW (D) 3
3 | 3. DUVALI (L) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
ATTORNEY GENERAL
EACH 0 *Total: 121
1 | 1. CARR (R) 20
2 | 2. BAILEY (D) 101
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER
EACH 0 *Total: 121
1 | 1. BLACK (R) 20
2 | 2. DAWKINS (D) 101
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
EACH 0 *Total: 122
1 | 1. BECK (R) 23
2 | 2. LAWS (D) 99
3 | 3. FOSTER (L) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT
EACH 0 *Total: 120
1 | 1. WOODS (R) 18
2 | 2. THORNTON, JR. (D) 102
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
LABOR COMMISSIONER
EACH 0 *Total: 121
1 | 1. BUTLER (R) 24
2 | 2. ALAFIA (D) 97
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
PSC ELECTION
EACH 0 *Total: 124
1 | 1. EATON (R) 25
2 | 2. MILLER (D) 99
3 | 3. GRAHAM (L) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
PSC PRESIDENTIAL
EACH 0 *Total: 120
1 | 1. FREDMONTS (R) 0
2 | 2. A. RANDOLPH (D) 0
3 | 3. TURPSH (L) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
US HOUSE 3
EACH 0 *Total: 120
1 | 1. J.R. LEWIS (R) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
US HOUSE 6
EACH 0 *Total: 0
1 | 1. H. HANDEL (R) 0
2 | 2. L. MCBATH (D) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
US HOUSE 11
EACH 0 *Total: 0
1 | 1. B. LOUDERMILK (R) 0
2 | 2. D. BERGMAN, JR (D) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
US HOUSE 12
EACH 0 *Total: 0
1 | 1. D. CALLAHAN (R) 0
2 | 2. SCOTT (D) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
STATE SENATE 34
EACH 0 *Total: 114
1 | 1. H. DERDICK (R) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
STATE HOUSE 48
EACH 0 *Total: 0
1 | 1. F. CANNON (R) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
CO COMMI CHAIRPERSON
EACH 0 *Total: 400
1 | 1. PITTS (R) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
SOIL AND WATER *
EACH 0 *Total: 300
1 | 1. BEAUC, JR (R) 0
2 | 2. J. B. LIGHTN 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
CONST AMENDMENT 1
EACH 0 *Total: 0
YES 0
NO 0
BELOW THIS POINT, THIS DIDEX
CONST AMENDMENT 2
EACH 0 *Total: 0
YES 0
NO 0
BELOW THIS POINT, THIS DIDEX

ELECTION RESULTS REPORT
Fulton County
State of
Georgia General Election
November 6 2018
DATE: Nov 09, 2018
POLL CITE: 280100
02, 02A, & 06G
MACHINE ID: 7
VIDEO/KEY: 2 COPY: 0
COUNT: 0 SIZE: 32M
ACCU VOTE RELEASE: 4, 3, 2
EUPORT: US 1, 34, 7
TIME: 19:26 11/06/2018
MACHINE STATUS: 1.097s
PUBLIC COUNTER: 140
SYSTEM COUNTER: 1318
*** SUMMARY TOTALS
BALLOTS CAST BY PRECINCT
PRECINCT QUANTITY
220 52
62 223
89 223
56 500
TOTAL BALLOTS 140
BALLOTS CAST
GOVERNOR
EACH 0 *Total: 140
1 | 1. ADAM (R) 0
2 | 2. ABRAMS (D) 137
3 | 3. METZ (L) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
1T GOVERNOR
EACH 0 *Total: 137
1 | 1. DUNCAN (R) 3
2 | 2. R. AMICO (D) 134
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
SECRETARY OF STATE
EACH 0 *Total: 136
1 | 1. BRAD RAFFENSPERGER (R) 134
2 | 2. BARROW (D) 3
3 | 3. DUVALI (L) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
ATTORNEY GENERAL
EACH 0 *Total: 133
1 | 1. CARR (R) 32
2 | 2. BAILEY (D) 101
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER
EACH 0 *Total: 136
1 | 1. BLACK (R) 31
2 | 2. DAWKINS (D) 105
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
EACH 0 *Total: 134
1 | 1. BECK (R) 30
2 | 2. LAWS (D) 104
3 | 3. FOSTER (L) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT
EACH 0 *Total: 135
1 | 1. WOODS (R) 29
2 | 2. THORNTON, JR. (D) 102
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
LABOR COMMISSIONER
EACH 0 *Total: 135
1 | 1. BUTLER (R) 24
2 | 2. ALAFIA (D) 106
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
PSC ELECTION
EACH 0 *Total: 132
1 | 1. EATON (R) 30
2 | 2. MILLER (D) 102
3 | 3. GRAHAM (L) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
PSC PRESIDENTIAL
EACH 0 *Total: 129
1 | 1. FREDMONTS (R) 0
2 | 2. A. RANDOLPH (D) 0
3 | 3. TURPSH (L) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
US HOUSE 3
EACH 0 *Total: 129
1 | 1. J.R. LEWIS (R) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
US HOUSE 6
EACH 0 *Total: 0
1 | 1. H. HANDEL (R) 0
2 | 2. L. MCBATH (D) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
US HOUSE 11
EACH 0 *Total: 0
1 | 1. B. LOUDERMILK (R) 0
2 | 2. D. BERGMAN, JR (D) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
US HOUSE 12
EACH 0 *Total: 0
1 | 1. D. CALLAHAN (R) 0
2 | 2. SCOTT (D) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
STATE SENATE 34
EACH 0 *Total: 134
1 | 1. H. DERDICK (R) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
STATE HOUSE 48
EACH 0 *Total: 0
1 | 1. F. CANNON (R) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
CO COMMI CHAIRPERSON
EACH 0 *Total: 400
1 | 1. PITTS (R) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
SOIL AND WATER *
EACH 0 *Total: 300
1 | 1. BEAUC, JR (R) 0
2 | 2. J. B. LIGHTN 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
CONST AMENDMENT 1
EACH 0 *Total: 0
YES 0
NO 0
BELOW THIS POINT, THIS DIDEX
CONST AMENDMENT 2
EACH 0 *Total: 0
YES 0
NO 0
BELOW THIS POINT, THIS DIDEX

ELECTION RESULTS REPORT
Fulton County
State of
Georgia General Election
November 6 2018
DATE: Nov 09, 2018
POLL CITE: 280100
02, 02A, & 06G
MACHINE ID: 8
VIDEO/KEY: 2 COPY: 0
COUNT: 0 SIZE: 32M
ACCU VOTE RELEASE: 4, 3, 2
EUPORT: US 1, 34, 7
TIME: 19:26 11/06/2018
MACHINE STATUS: 1.097s
PUBLIC COUNTER: 143
SYSTEM COUNTER: 1276
*** SUMMARY TOTALS
BALLOTS CAST BY PRECINCT
PRECINCT QUANTITY
220 52
62 223
89 223
56 500
TOTAL BALLOTS 140
BALLOTS CAST
GOVERNOR
EACH 0 *Total: 140
1 | 1. ADAM (R) 0
2 | 2. ABRAMS (D) 137
3 | 3. METZ (L) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
1T GOVERNOR
EACH 0 *Total: 137
1 | 1. DUNCAN (R) 3
2 | 2. R. AMICO (D) 134
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
SECRETARY OF STATE
EACH 0 *Total: 136
1 | 1. BRAD RAFFENSPERGER (R) 134
2 | 2. BARROW (D) 3
3 | 3. DUVALI (L) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
ATTORNEY GENERAL
EACH 0 *Total: 133
1 | 1. CARR (R) 32
2 | 2. BAILEY (D) 101
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER
EACH 0 *Total: 136
1 | 1. BLACK (R) 31
2 | 2. DAWKINS (D) 105
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
EACH 0 *Total: 134
1 | 1. BECK (R) 30
2 | 2. LAWS (D) 104
3 | 3. FOSTER (L) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT
EACH 0 *Total: 135
1 | 1. WOODS (R) 29
2 | 2. THORNTON, JR. (D) 102
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
LABOR COMMISSIONER
EACH 0 *Total: 135
1 | 1. BUTLER (R) 24
2 | 2. ALAFIA (D) 106
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
PSC ELECTION
EACH 0 *Total: 132
1 | 1. EATON (R) 30
2 | 2. MILLER (D) 102
3 | 3. GRAHAM (L) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
PSC PRESIDENTIAL
EACH 0 *Total: 129
1 | 1. FREDMONTS (R) 0
2 | 2. A. RANDOLPH (D) 0
3 | 3. TURPSH (L) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
US HOUSE 3
EACH 0 *Total: 129
1 | 1. J.R. LEWIS (R) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
US HOUSE 6
EACH 0 *Total: 0
1 | 1. H. HANDEL (R) 0
2 | 2. L. MCBATH (D) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
US HOUSE 11
EACH 0 *Total: 0
1 | 1. B. LOUDERMILK (R) 0
2 | 2. D. BERGMAN, JR (D) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
US HOUSE 12
EACH 0 *Total: 0
1 | 1. D. CALLAHAN (R) 0
2 | 2. SCOTT (D) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
STATE SENATE 34
EACH 0 *Total: 134
1 | 1. H. DERDICK (R) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
STATE HOUSE 48
EACH 0 *Total: 0
1 | 1. F. CANNON (R) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
CO COMMI CHAIRPERSON
EACH 0 *Total: 400
1 | 1. PITTS (R) 0
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In

EXHIBIT C

ELECTION: (Check One)
- ☐ General
- ☐ Primary
- ☐ Runoff (if applicable)
- ☐ Special
- ☐ Presidential Preference Primary

USE BALL POINT PEN
Bear Down – You Are Making Three Copies

WHITE sheet to Secretary of State
YELLOW sheet to Superintendent
PINK sheet to Clerk of Superior Court or Municipal Clerk

DATE OF ELECTION December 6, 2018
COUNTY / MUNICIPALITY Fulton
PRECINCT 023/K 1060

TIME LAST VOTER VOTED _____

NUMBER OF REGISTERED VOTERS IN PRECINCT _____

DIRECT RECORD ELECTRONIC VOTING MACHINE RECAP

SECTION A: RECORD EACH UNIT

DRE UNIT NUMBER	Before Polls Open SEAL NUMBER	Before Polls Open COUNT NUMBER	After Polls Close SEAL NUMBER	After Polls Close COUNT NUMBER
103256	0763016			
103610	0591785			
124201	0591769			
136081	0591600			
142044	0591796			
129241	0591778			
133778	0591750			
110124	0591776			
125680	0591741			
107677	0591788			

SECTION B: TOTAL OF ALL VOTES CAST (ALL UNITS COMBINED) _____

SECTION C: NUMBERED LISTS and VOTER CERTIFICATES

ExpressPoll (See ExpressPoll Recap)		Supplemental		Total Voter's Certificates	
Democratic Primary		Democratic Primary		Democratic Primary	
Republican Primary		Republican Primary		Republican Primary	
General/Special		General/Special		General/Special	
Total (a)		**Total (b)**		**Total (c)**	

SECTION D: TOTAL NUMBER OF PERSONS VOTING AS SHOWN BY:

1. Results Tapes (or Accumulator Tape Results) (Total from Section B above) = _____
2. "Voters Marked" (See ExpressPoll Recap) _____ + Supplemental List _____ = _____
3. Numbered Lists on ExpressPoll (a) _____ + Supplemental (b) _____ = _____
4. Voter's Certificates (c) = _____

NOTE: Numbers from D1, D2, D3, and D4 should match. If not, explain difference here: _____

We, the undersigned Managers, hereby certify that the above is a true and correct accounting on this the _____ day of _____, 2_____. **SIGNED IN TRIPLICATE**

Manager _____ Assistant Manager _____ Assistant Manager _____

Form RS-DRE-10

EXHIBIT

D

2018 General Election

November 6, 2018

DATE: NOV-06-2018
POLL CLOS: 1130:00
MIDVALE ELEM
VERSION: 1 COPY: 0
COUNT: 0 SIZE: 32M
ACCU-VOTE RELEASE: 4.5.2
REPORT: US 1.14.7

TIME: 19:05 11/06/2018
MACHINE SERIAL: 109536
PUBLIC COUNTER: 727
SYSTEM COUNTER: 5081

****
Accumulated Results For:
Machine# Copy Count
1 0 104
2 0 88
3 0 108
4 0 100
5 0 112
6 0 102
7 0 113
****
Total Count: 727
****

****
** PRECINCT: 1070 **
MIDVALE ELEM
****

BLANK VOTED 0
UNDERVOTED 235
WRITE-IN 43
****
Governor
RACE # 10
RUNNING 3
TO VOTE FOR 1

TIMES COUNTED 727
TIMES BLANK VOTED 3
B. KEMP (R) 389
S. ABRAMS (D) 307
T. METZ (L) 25
Write-in 3
WRITE-INS 3
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
923217 GLORIFY JESUS
537209 LAURA ROLLMAN
453453 LEWIS BROWN
****
Lt. Governor
RACE # 30
RUNNING 2
TO VOTE FOR 1

TIMES COUNTED 727
TIMES BLANK VOTED 28
G. DUNCAN (R) 405
S. R. AMICO (D) 292
Write-in 1
WRITE-INS 1

937209 LAURA ROLLMAN
453053 LEWIS BROWN
****
Lt Governor
RACE # 30
RUNNING 2
TO VOTE FOR 1

TIMES COUNTED 727
TIMES BLANK VOTED 28
G. DUNCAN (R) 406
S. R. AMICO (D) 292
Write-In 1
WRITE-INS 1
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
923217 CHRIST ALONE
****
Secretary of State
RACE # 50
RUNNING 3
TO VOTE FOR 1

TIMES COUNTED 727
TIMES BLANK VOTED 19
B. RAFFENSPERGER (R) 390
J. BARROW (D) 282
S. DUVAL (L) 36
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
Attorney General
RACE # 70
RUNNING 2
TO VOTE FOR 1

TIMES COUNTED 727
TIMES BLANK VOTED 18
C. CARR (R) * 426
C. BAILEY (D) 284
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
Commissioner of Agriculture
RACE # 90
RUNNING 2
TO VOTE FOR 1

TIMES COUNTED 727
TIMES BLANK VOTED 24

RUNNING 2
TO VOTE FOR 1

TIMES COUNTED 727
TIMES BLANK VOTED 18
C. CARR (I) R 420
C. BAILEY (D) 289
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
Commissioner of Agriculture
RACE # 90
RUNNING 2
TO VOTE FOR 1

TIMES COUNTED 727
TIMES BLANK VOTED 29
G. BLACK (I) R 445
F. SWANN (D) 253
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
Commissioner of Insurance
RACE # 110
RUNNING 3
TO VOTE FOR 1

TIMES COUNTED 727
TIMES BLANK VOTED 24
J. BECK (R) 398
J. LAWS (D) 257
D. FOSTER (L) 48
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
State School Supt [illegible]
RACE # 130
RUNNING 2
TO VOTE FOR 1

TIMES COUNTED 727
TIMES BLANK VOTED [illegible]
[illegible] (R) [illegible]
[illegible] (D) [illegible]
Write-In [illegible]
WRITE-IN 0
[illegible]

****
State School Superintendent
RACE # 130

# RUNNING	2
# TO VOTE FOR	1
# TIMES COUNTED	727
# TIMES BLANK VOTED	25
R. WOODS (I) R	442
D. THORNTON, JR. (D)	260
Write-in	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
Commissioner of Labor
RACE # 150

# RUNNING	2
# TO VOTE FOR	1
# TIMES COUNTED	727
# TIMES BLANK VOTED	30
M. BUTLER (I) R	438
R. KEATLEY (D)	259
Write-in	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
PSC Eaton
RACE # 170

# RUNNING	3
# TO VOTE FOR	1
# TIMES COUNTED	727
# TIMES BLANK VOTED	34
C. EATON (I) R	379
L. MILLER (D)	271
R. GRAHAM (L)	51
Write-in	2
# WRITE-INS	2

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
SUSAN ANTON MILLER
GREGG STEPHENS R GRAHAM (LIB)
****
PSC Pridemore
RACE # 190

# RUNNING	3
# TO VOTE FOR	1
# TIMES COUNTED	[illegible]
# TIMES BLANK VOTED	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]
Write-in	[illegible]
# WRITE-INS	[illegible]

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
[illegible]
****
[illegible]
RACE # [illegible]

# RUNNING	[illegible]
# TO VOTE FOR	[illegible]
# TIMES COUNTED	[illegible]
# TIMES BLANK VOTED	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]
Write-in	[illegible]
# WRITE-INS	[illegible]

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
[illegible]
****
[illegible]
RACE # [illegible]

# RUNNING	[illegible]
# TO VOTE FOR	[illegible]
# TIMES COUNTED	[illegible]
# TIMES BLANK VOTED	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]
Write-in	[illegible]
# WRITE-INS	[illegible]

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
[illegible]
****
[illegible]
RACE # [illegible]

# RUNNING	[illegible]
# TO VOTE FOR	[illegible]
# TIMES COUNTED	[illegible]
# TIMES BLANK VOTED	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]
Write-in	[illegible]
# WRITE-INS	[illegible]

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
[illegible]
****
[illegible]
RACE # [illegible]

# RUNNING	[illegible]
# TO VOTE FOR	[illegible]
# TIMES COUNTED	[illegible]
# TIMES BLANK VOTED	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]
Write-in	[illegible]
# WRITE-INS	[illegible]

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
[illegible]

****
PSC Commissioner
RACE # 190
RUNNING 3
TO VOTE FOR 1

TIMES COUNTED 727
TIMES BLANK VOTED 24
T. FRIEDMORE (I) R 338
D. A. RANDOLPH (D) 258
J. TURPISH (L) 50
Write-in 1
WRITE-INS 1
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
343380 CHARLOTTE HELLER
****
US House 6
RACE # 220
RUNNING 2
TO VOTE FOR 1

TIMES COUNTED 727
TIMES BLANK VOTED 9
K. HANDEL (I) R 414
L. MCBATH (D) 304
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
State Senate 40
RACE # 250
RUNNING 2
TO VOTE FOR 1

TIMES COUNTED 727
TIMES BLANK VOTED 26
F. MILLAR (I) R 398
S. HARRELL (D) 303
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
State House 81
RACE # 350
RUNNING 2
TO VOTE FOR 1

TIMES COUNTED 535
TIMES BLANK VOTED 13
E. OTEMI (R) 305
S. HOLSOMB (I) D 232
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
State Rep 97
RACE # [illegible]
RUNNING 1
TO VOTE FOR 1

TIMES COUNTED [illegible]
TIMES BLANK VOTED [illegible]

EXHIBIT E

Exhibit D

Midvale Elementary Precinct

DRE Election Night poll tape comparisons to Sec. of State official posted tallies for example races

Candidate/Contest	**Midvale DRE Poll Tape**	**SOS Election Day Tally**
Amico	292	328
Duncan	406	468
Lt. Gov. total	698	796
Total Ballots Cast	727	not available
Governor	724	824
Sec. of State	708	809
Attorney General	709	810

Note: similar patterns continue through all contests for which we have photograph of poll tape.

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDLW
Date: 1/8/2019 8:11 AM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV313418**

PLAINTIFFS' NOTICE OF PROFFER OF EVIDENCE

Plaintiffs' have shown that the pending motions to dismiss under O.C.G.A. § 9-11-12(b)(6) should be denied because Plaintiffs' allegations state claims for relief under the Georgia Election Contest statute, O.C.G.A. § 5-2-520, and under 28 U.S.C. § 1983, and Defendants have failed to carry their burden of showing "with certainty" that Plaintiffs would not be entitled to relief "under any state of provable facts," or that Plaintiffs "could not possibly introduce evidence within the framework of the complaint sufficient to warrant a grant of the relief sought." *Scouten v. Amerisave Mortg. Corp.,* 283 Ga. 72, 73 (2008) (citation omitted).

The Petition in this case already identified evidence sufficient to warrant a grant of the relief sought. In additional support, Plaintiffs file this Notice of Proffer of Evidence, which evidence illustrates the nature and quality of the evidence that Plaintiffs will introduce in support of their claims. Specifically, Plaintiffs have filed herewith the following:

A. *Affidavit of Philip B. Stark.*

Professor Stark is Professor of Statistics and Associate Dean of Mathematical and Physical Sciences at the University of California, Berkeley. Professor Stark's qualifications and expertise in this area are simply without parallel. Among his other areas of expertise relating to statistics and elections, Professor Stark is an expert in the field of post-election auditing. He has been qualified as an expert in state and federal courts, testified before committees of the U.S. House of Representatives and Senate, and published more than one hundred and ninety articles and books.

In his Affidavit, Professor Stark renders an opinion as to the "undervote rates between paper ballots and votes cast on DREs" in the 2018 general election. Based upon his statistical analysis, Professor Stark concludes: "The disparity in undervote rates by voting technology strongly suggests that malfunction, misconfiguration, bugs, hacking, or other error or malfeasance caused some DREs not to record votes in the Lt. Governor's contest." Stark Aff. ¶ 23. Professor

Stark also analyzed anomalous results of a DRE machine in a single precinct, the Winterville Train Depot. Professor Stark's states that his tests "strongly suggest that machine 3 had some other software or hardward problem: misconfiguration, error, defect, hack, or malfunction," adding that the "most plausible explanation is that machine 3 was misconfigured in a way that caused votes for Republican candidates to be recorded as votes for Democratic candidates, and vice versa." Stark Aff. ¶ 29. (Plaintiffs will present evidence of many more such anomalous precinct results in evidentiary proceedings.)

Professor Stark concludes: "Based on my analysis, described above, and my knowledge of Georgia's DRE voting system used in the November 6, 2018 election, it is my opinion that the certified results of the Lieutenant Governor's race are in substantial doubt." Stark Aff. ¶ 29.

B. *Affidavit of Christopher Brill*

Christopher Brill is a Senior Data Analyst with TargetSmart Communications LLC, an industry leader in voter data and political campaign services. Mr. Brill and his team at TargetSmart analyzed the reported votes cast for Lt. Governor in the 2018 election to examine for possible irregularities. In his affidavit, Mr. Brill addresses possible explanations for the undervote in the Lt. Governor's race, and finds no reasonable, plausible explanation other than machine malfunction. Among other facts that Mr. Brill finds important in his analysis are

historical undervote trends and the alarming difference in undervote percentage for Lt. Governor based on vote method – DRE or paper – a difference not found in the undervote percentages for Governor, Secretary of State, or Attorney General. Mr. Brill concludes that the undervote totals reflected in the Lt. Governor's race are "extremely suspect and irregular and cast a serious doubt over the accuracy of the final vote and the certified outcome of the Lt. Governor's contest." Brill Aff., Exhibit A, page 5.

C. *Grady High School Results – Johnson and Greenwald Affidavits*

As alleged in the Petition, there were numerous instances state-wide of a variety of extremely suspect results and machine malfunctions (Lt. Governor race not present on some electronic ballots, vote flipping, etc.). One example of anomalous results and irregularities comes from Grady High School where the reported official totals materially exceed the votes cast according to the publicly posted DRE machine tapes. The Secretary of State reports 280 votes more in the Lt. Governor race reflected on the 14 DRE machine tapes photographed. (Greenwald Aff. Exhibit B) (Exhibit B is worksheet that may be more easily reviewed online at https://coaltionforgoodgovernance.sharefile.com/d-s3917ca95b4f4f45a). Further, there were only 10 DRE machines (Johnson Aff. ¶ 5) in the polling place but 14 machine tapes were posted after the close of the polls.

(Greenwald Aff. ¶ 9). This is clear evidence of irregularity; discovery will be necessary to explain these material differences.

D. *DeKalb Midvale Elementary Precinct*

Another example of anomalous results comes from DeKalb County's Midvale Elementary School Precinct where the consolidated machine poll tape shows 727 total ballots cast (Greenwald Aff. Exhibit E), but the Secretary of State's official results reported 796 votes cast for the Lt. Governor's race, and over 800 votes cast for other statewide races. In her Answer, Secretary Crittenden does not contend that the allegations regarding this irregularity are not correct; instead, she states that the allegations "are outside the scope" of her knowledge. (Secretary's Answer, January 2, 2019, ¶ 48).

E. *Discovery Necessary*

This evidence establishes by a preponderance of the evidence that the election was "so defective" as "to place in doubt the result" of the Lt. Governor election, warranting a call for a new election under O.C.G.A. § 5-2-527(d). The examples identified in this Notice are merely illustrative of the type of widespread irregularities that have been identified by Coalition for Good Governance from the publicly available information. As Professor Stark states, to determine the exact nature, causes and extent of the irregularities, the "investigation most likely to

produce definitive evidence is a forensic examination of the hardware and software of DREs and other computerized systems used by Georgia." Stark Aff. ¶ 32.

Respectfully submitted this 8th day of January, 2019.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown Law LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE
Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing via the Court's e-filing system to the attorneys of record who have made appearances.

This 8th day of January, 2019.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown

EXHIBIT

A

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV31348**

DECLARATION OF PHILIP B. STARK

PHILIP B. STARK hereby declares as follows:

Qualifications and Background

1. I am Professor of Statistics and Associate Dean of Mathematical and Physical Sciences at the University of California, Berkeley, where I am also a faculty member in the Graduate Program in Computational Data Science and Engineering; a co-investigator at the Berkeley Institute for Data Science; principal investigator of the Consortium for Data Analytics in Risk; director of Berkeley Open Source Food; and affiliated faculty of the Simons Institute for the Theory of Computing, the Theoretical Astrophysics Center, and the Berkeley Food Institute. Previously, I was Chair of the Department of Statistics and Director of the Statistical Computing Facility.
2. I have published more than one hundred and ninety articles and books. I have served on the editorial boards of archival journals in physical science, Applied Mathematics, Computer Science, and Statistics. I currently serve on four editorial boards. I have lectured at universities, professional societies, and government agencies in thirty countries. I was a Presidential Young Investigator and a Miller Research Professor. I received the U.C. Berkeley Chancellor's Award for Research in the Public Interest, the Leamer-Rosenthal Prize for Open Social Science, and a Velux/Villum Foundation Professorship. I am a member of the Institute for Mathematical Statistics and the Bernoulli Society. I am a Fellow of the American Statistical Association, the Institute of Physics, and the Royal Astronomical Society. I am professionally accredited as a statistician by the American Statistical Association and as a physicist by the Institute of Physics.

3. I have consulted for many government agencies, including the U.S. Department of Justice, the U.S. Department of Agriculture, the U.S. Department of Commerce, the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs, the Federal Trade Commission, the California Secretary of State, the California Attorney General, the California Highway Patrol, the Colorado Secretary of State, the Georgia Department of Law, and the Illinois State Attorney. I currently serve on the Board of Advisors of the U.S. Election Assistance Commission and on the Board of Directors of Verified Voting Foundation. (The opinions expressed herein are, however, my own: I am not writing as a representative of any entity.)
4. I have testified before the U.S. House of Representatives Subcommittee on the Census; the State of California Senate Committee on Elections, Reapportionment and Constitutional Amendments; the State of California Assembly Committee on Elections and Redistricting; the State of California Senate Committee on Natural Resources; and the State of California Little Hoover Commission.
5. I have been an expert witness or non-testifying expert in a variety of state and federal cases, for plaintiffs and for defendants, in criminal matters and a range of civil matters, including, *inter alia*: truth in advertising, antitrust, construction defects, consumer class actions, credit risk, disaster relief, elections, employment discrimination, environmental protection, equal protection, fairness in lending, federal legislation, First Amendment, import restrictions, insurance, intellectual property, jury selection, mortgage-backed securities, natural resources, product liability class actions, *qui tam*, risk assessment, toxic tort class actions, trade secrets, utilities, and wage and hour class actions.

6. I have been qualified as an expert on statistics in federal courts, including the Central District of California, the District of Maryland, the Southern District of New York, and the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.
7. I have also been qualified as an expert on statistics in state courts.
8. I have used statistics to address a wide range of questions in many fields.[1]
9. I served on former California Secretary of State Debra Bowen's Post-Election Audit Standards Working Group in 2007.
10. In 2007, I invented a statistical approach to auditing elections ("risk-limiting audits") that has been incorporated into statutes in California (AB 2023, SB 360, AB 44, AB 2125), Colorado (C.R.S. 1-7-515), and Rhode Island (RI Gen L §17-19-37.4 (2017)), and which were recently proposed in federal legislation (the PAVE Act of 2018). RLAs have been tested in California, Colorado, Indiana, Michigan, New Jersey, Ohio, Virginia, and Denmark.
11. RLAs are widely viewed as the best way to check the accuracy of vote tabulation. They have been endorsed by the Presidential Commission on Election Administration, the National Academy of Sciences report *Securing the Vote: Protecting American Democracy*, the American Statistical Association, the League of Women Voters, Verified Voting Foundation, Citizens for Election Integrity Minnesota, and other groups concerned with election integrity.
12. I have worked closely with state and local election officials in California and Colorado to pilot and deploy RLAs. The software Colorado uses to conduct RLAs is based on software I wrote.

[1] For example, I have used statistics to analyze the Big Bang, the interior structure of the Earth and Sun, the risk of large earthquakes, the reliability of clinical trials, the accuracy of election results, the accuracy of the U.S. Census, the risk of consumer credit default, the causes of geriatric hearing loss, the effectiveness of water treatment, the fragility of ecological food webs, risks to protected species, the effectiveness of Internet content filters, high-energy particle physics data, and the reliability of models of climate, among other things.

13. I worked with Travis County, Texas, on the design of STAR-Vote, an auditable and end-to-end cryptographically verifiable voting system.
14. I testified as an expert witness in the general area of election integrity, including the reliability of voting equipment, in 2016 presidential candidate Jill Stein's recount suit in Wisconsin, and filed a report in her suit in Michigan.
15. I have testified as an expert in election auditing and the accuracy of election results in two election-related lawsuits in California.
16. I have testified to both houses of the California legislature regarding election integrity and election audits. I have testified to the California Little Hoover Commission about election integrity, voting equipment, and election audits.
17. I submitted two declarations in Donna Curling et al. v. Brian P. Kemp et al., Civil Action 1:17-cv-2989-AT, United States District Court, Northern District of Georgia, Atlanta Division. My declarations concerned election integrity and security, vulnerabilities of Georgia's election systems, and the need for voter-marked paper ballots and post-election audits in Georgia.
18. Since 1988, I have taught statistics at the University of California, Berkeley, one of the top two statistics departments in the world (see, e.g., QS World University Rankings, 2014) and the nation (US News and World Reports, 2014). I teach statistics regularly at the undergraduate and graduate levels. I have created five new statistics courses at Berkeley. I developed and taught U.C. Berkeley's first online course in any subject, and among the first approved for credit throughout the ten campuses of the University of California system. I also developed and co-taught online statistics courses to over 52,000 students, using an online textbook and other pedagogical materials I wrote and programmed.

19. Appendix 1 is my current *curriculum vitae*, which includes my publications for the last ten years and all cases in the last four years in which I gave deposition or trial testimony.

Materials Relied Upon

20. I relied on XML files of Georgia election results downloaded via the Georgia Secretary of State's website, at the URL https://results.enr.clarityelections.com/GA/91639/222278/reports/detailxml.zip I also relied on photographs of poll tapes from the Winterville Train Depot polling place in Clarke County, Georgia. I understand that the photographs were taken by Ms. Lee Ann Pingel after the close of the polls on election day.

Opinions

21. I offer opinions with respect to two kinds of anomalies in the results of the 2018 midterm elections in Georgia.

22. My first opinion concerns the difference in undervote rates between paper ballots and votes cast on DREs in statewide contests. The undervote rate in the Lt. Governor's contest is substantially higher for ballots cast on direct-recording electronic (DRE) equipment than for ballots cast by mail using paper ballots, by an amount that cannot reasonably be ascribed to chance. In 101 of 159 Georgia counties, the difference is statistically significant at level 0.01 percent.[2] In contrast, in the contests for Secretary of State, Attorney General, Commissioner

[2] The significance levels are for a two-sample test that uses the hypergeometric distribution of the number of "good" items in a simple random sample from a population of items that can be either "good" or "bad." The total number of undervotes by mode of voting (by mail, early, and Election Day) was estimated by treating the statewide contest that received the most votes in each county as if that number of votes was equal to the number of ballots cast. That estimation was necessary because Georgia does not report total ballots cast by mode of voting. Because this maximum was almost always for the gubernatorial contest, that contest is not included in the calculation: its relative undervote rate is, by definition, zero. Provisionally cast ballots, of which there are relatively few, were not included. Under the null hypothesis, mode of voting (electronic versus paper) is a label assigned as if at random to each ballot, conditioned on the total number of ballots cast by each mode of voting. Data for the analyses was downloaded via the Georgia Secretary of State's website from the URL https://results.enr.clarityelections.com/GA/91639/222278/reports/detailxml.zip Software used to extract contest-

of Agriculture, Commissioner of Insurance, State School Superintendent, Commissioner of Labor, Public Service Commission District 3, and Public Service Commission District 5, the difference is statistically significant in no more than 5 counties. See Table 1.

23. This disparity in undervote rates by voting technology strongly suggests that malfunction, misconfiguration, bugs, hacking, or other error or malfeasance caused some DREs not to record votes in the Lt. Governor's contest.

Table 1: Counties with statistically significant ($p<0.0001$) disparities in undervote rates between paper ballots and DREs

Contest	Counties with significant undervote rate disparities
Lt. Governor	101
Secretary of State	4
Attorney General	4
Commissioner of Agriculture	5
Commissioner of Insurance	4
State School Superintendent	5
Commissioner of Labor	2
Public Service Commission, District 3	4
Public Service Commission, District 5	4

24. My second opinion concerns the machine-level results for the Winterville Train Depot polling place in Clarke County. There were seven DREs in the polling place; they recorded similar numbers of ballots (117, 135, 131, 133, 135, 144, 135). In this polling place, Democratic candidates won a majority in all ten statewide contests. Every DRE reported a majority for the Democratic candidate in all ten statewide contests except machine 3, which reported a majority for the Republican candidate in every contest.

25. On the assumption that voters were directed to DREs as if at random, the chance any of the seven machines would show disparities as large as machine 3 did in individual contests

---

level results from those official is given in Appendix II. Software to perform the statistical tests is given in Appendix III.

ranges from less than one percent to approximately 15 percent.[3] Seven of the ten values are significant at level 5 percent or below. See Table 2.

Table 2: Consistency of Results across DREs in Winterville Train Station Polling Place

Contest	P-value
Governor	0.114
Lt. Governor	0.025
Secretary of State	0.018
Attorney General	0.151
Commissioner of Agriculture	0.026
Commissioner of Insurance	0.030
State School Superintendent	0.097
Commissioner of Labor	0.008
Public Service Commission, District 3	0.046
Public Service Commission, District 5	0.025

26. On the assumption that votes were cast on different DREs as if at random, the chance that any of the seven machines would show anomalies as large as machine 3 did is about 0.00009 percent, i.e., less than one in a million.[4]

27. If the Democratic and Republican party labels are flipped on the third machine, the anomaly disappears. For individual contests, no P-value is below 0.280 on the assumption that voters are directed to DREs as if at random, compared with values as small as 0.008 (and seven values below 5 percent) for the actual poll tapes. See Table 3.

[3] These results are based on permutation tests conditional on the number of ballots cast on each machine. The test statistic is the largest absolute difference between the expected and actual fraction of Republican votes in each contest. The P-values are two-sided, conservative P-values for a randomized test; the randomization was performed using a cryptographically secure pseudo-random number generator. Software to perform the statistical tests is given in Appendix IV.

[4] This result is based on a permutation test conditional on the number of ballots cast on each machine. The test statistic is the largest absolute difference between the expected and actual fraction of Republican votes in each contest. Results for different contests were combined using Fisher's combining function to produce the value reported in paragraph 25. The P-values are conservative P-values for randomized tests; the randomization was performed using a cryptographically secure pseudo-random number generator. Software used for the calculations is given in Appendix IV.

28. Similarly, on the assumption that votes are distributed randomly across machines, the chance that the discrepancies would be as large as observed would be roughly 97 percent, rather than 0.00009 percent, the value for the original data.

Table 3: Consistency in Results across DREs in Winterville Train Station Polling Place, if D and R were Flipped on Machine 3.

Contest	P-value
Governor	0.464
Lt. Governor	0.795
Secretary of State	0.450
Attorney General	0.543
Commissioner of Agriculture	0.734
Commissioner of Insurance	0.604
State School Superintendent	0.807
Commissioner of Labor	0.797
Public Service Commission, District 3	0.280
Public Service Commission, District 5	0.939

29. These tests strongly suggest that machine 3 had some other software or hardware problem: misconfiguration, error, defect, hack, or malfunction. The most plausible explanation is that machine 3 was misconfigured in a way that caused votes for Republican candidates to be recorded as votes for Democratic candidates, and vice versa.

I understand that the Winterville Train Depot polling place is one of a number of polling places in which Georgia voters photographed poll tapes after the close of polls. It was not selected at random, but neither is there reason to believe that problems are confined to that polling place.

Conclusions

30. Based on my analysis, described above, and my knowledge of Georgia's DRE voting system used in the November 6, 2018 election, it is my opinion that the certified results of the Lieutenant Governor's race are in substantial doubt.

31. Further statistical analysis of available data may be informative, but it cannot by itself determine who won, nor ascertain with certainty whether there were malfunctions, errors, bugs, defects or hacks, nor, if there were such problems, whether those problems caused the wrong candidate to appear to win.

32. The investigation most likely to produce definitive evidence is a forensic examination of the hardware and software of DREs and other computerized systems used by Georgia counties and the State of Georgia to record, tabulate, aggregate, and report votes and election results, including the hardware and software of devices used to configure those systems.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on this date, 7 January 2019, in Berkeley, California.

Philip B. Stark

A notary public or other officer completing this certificate verifies only the identity of the individual who signed the document to which this certificate is attached, and not the truthfulness, accuracy, or validity of that document.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)SS

COUNTY OF ALAMEDA)

On JAN, 07TH 2019 before me, ANDREW ADIKRI BAPI, Notary Public, personally appeared PHILIP B. STARK.

who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.

I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.

WITNESS my hand and official seal.

Signature

ANDREW ADIKRI BAPI
COMM. # 2211457
NOTARY PUBLIC - CALIFORNIA
ALAMEDA COUNTY
COMM. EXPIRES SEPT. 22, 2021

This area for official notarial seal.

APPENDIX I

Curriculum Vitae
Philip Bradford Stark

Biographical Information 1
Interests 1
Appointments 1
Awards and Fellowships 2
Affiliations and Professional Societies 3
Education 4
Mentors 4
Publications 5
Refereed Publications 5
Books and Edited Volumes 18
Book Chapters 18
Technical Reports, White Papers, and Unrefereed Publications 20
Editorials, Reviews, Comments, Letters 26
Software 32
Patents 33
Selected Presentations 33
Other Invited Seminars 64
Press 66
Teaching and Advising 92
Courses 92
Former Graduate Students and Postdocs 94
Graduate Committees 95
First-year PhD advising 101
Current PhD advisees 101
Undergraduate Research Advisees 101
Service 102
Professional Societies and Government Agencies 102
Foundations, Non-Profit Corporations, and Industry 110
Editorial and Referee Service 110
University and Higher Education 112
Contracts and Grants 119
Consulting and Expert Witness Experience 122
Recent Testimony 129

Biographical Information

Born: 7 October 1960, Houston, Texas.

Citizenship: U.S.A.

Interests

Theory: Inference, inverse problems, multiplicity, nonparametrics, optimization, restricted parameters, sampling

Applications: Astrophysics, cosmology, ecology, elections, geophysics, health, legislation, litigation, marketing, physics, public policy, risk assessment and control, uncertainty quantification

Appointments

10/2015–present Associate Dean, Division of Mathematical and Physical Sciences, University of California, Berkeley

6/2016–8/2016 Visiting Professor of Theoretical Computer Science, IT University of Copenhagen

7/2012–6/2015 Chair, Department of Statistics, and Director, Statistical Computing Facility, University of California, Berkeley

7/2011–6/2012 Vice Chair, Department of Statistics, University of California, Berkeley

7/2011–8/2011 Acting Chair, Department of Statistics, University of California, Berkeley

7/2008–present Faculty, Designated Emphasis in Computational and Data Science and Engineering, University of California, Berkeley

7/1998–present Professor, Department of Statistics, University of California, Berkeley

7/2001–6/2003 Faculty Assistant in Educational Technology (to Vice Provost for Undergraduate Education), University of California, Berkeley

6/1996 Visiting Associate Professor, School of Mathematical Sciences, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel

7/1994–6/1998 Associate Professor, Department of Statistics, University of California, Berkeley

7/1988–6/1994 Assistant Professor, Department of Statistics, University of California, Berkeley

7/1987–6/1990 National Science Foundation Postdoctoral Fellow in Mathematical Sciences

1/1987–6/1987 Postgraduate Research, Department of Statistics, University of California, Berkeley

8/1986–12/1986 Postgraduate Research, Institute for Geophysics and Planetary Physics, UC San Diego

Awards and Fellowships

Velux/Villum Foundation Visiting Professor Programme (2015–2016)

Leamer-Rosenthal Prize for Transparency in Social Science (2015)

Chancellor's Award for Public Service, Research in the Public Interest, University of California, Berkeley (2011)

John Gideon Award for Election Integrity, Election Verification Network (2011)

Mellon Library/Faculty Fellow for Undergraduate Research (2006–2007)

Presidential Chair Fellow, University of California, Berkeley (2003–2004)

Fellow, American Statistical Association (selected 2014)

Fellow, Institute of Physics (elected 1999)

Miller Research Professor, Miller Institute for Basic Research in Science (1999)

Dobson Fellow, University of California at Berkeley (1998, 1999)

Presidential Young Investigator (1989–1995)

National Science Foundation Postdoctoral Fellowship in Mathematical Sciences (1987–1989)

University Fellowship, University of Texas at Austin (1982–1983)

Affiliations

Association of Foragers

Berkeley Institute for Data Science (BIDS), University of California, Berkeley

Berkeley Food Institute, University of California, Berkeley

Berkeley Open Source Food, University of California, Berkeley

Center for Astrostatistics, Pennsylvania State University

Global Oscillation Network Group (GONG)

National Partnership for Advanced Computational Infrastructure (NPACI)

Simons Institute for the Theory of Computing, University of California, Berkeley

Solar and Heliospheric Observatory Solar Oscillations Investigation (SOHO-SOI)

Space Sciences Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley

Theoretical Astrophysics Center, University of California, Berkeley

Professional Societies

American Statistical Association: Fellow and Accredited Professional Statistician

Bernoulli Society for Mathematical Statistics and Probability

Institute of Mathematical Statistics

Institute of Physics: Fellow and Chartered Physicist

International Statistical Institute

Royal Astronomical Society: Fellow

Education

A.B. 1980, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey

Ph.D. 1986, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California

Mentors

Robert L. Parker, Institute for Geophysics and Planetary Physics, Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California, San Diego (PhD dissertation advisor)

George E. Backus, Institute for Geophysics and Planetary Physics, Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California, San Diego (postdoctoral advisor)

David L. Donoho, Department of Statistics, Stanford University (postdoctoral advisor)

Publications

Refereed Publications

1. Stark, P.B. and C. Frohlich, 1985. The depths of the deepest deep Earthquakes, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *90*, 1859–1869.

2. Stark, P.B., R.L. Parker, G. Masters, and J.A. Orcutt, 1986. Strict bounds on seismic velocity in the spherical Earth, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *91*, 13,892–13,902.

3. Stark, P.B., 1986. *Travel-Time Inversion: Regularization and Inference*, Ph.D. Thesis, Scripps Instution of Oceanography, University of California, San Diego, 106pp.

4. Stark, P.B., and R.L. Parker, 1987. Smooth profiles from tau(p) and X(p) data, *Geophysical Journal of the Royal Astronomical Society*, *89*, 2713–2719.

5. Stark, P.B., and R.L. Parker, 1987. Velocity bounds from statistical estimates of tau(p) and X(p), *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *92*, 2713–2719.

6. Stark, P.B., 1987. Rigorous velocity bounds from soft tau(p) and X(p) data, *Geophysical Journal of the Royal Astronomical Society*, *89*, 987–996.

7. Orcutt, J.A., R.L. Parker, P.B. Stark, and J.D. Garmany, 1988. Comment concerning "A method of obtaining a velocity-depth envelope from wide-angle seismic data" by R. Mithal and J.B. Diebold. *Geophysical Journal*, *95*, 209–212.

8. Stark, P.B. and R.L. Parker, 1988. Correction to "Velocity bounds from statistical estimates of tau(p) and X(p)." *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *93*, 13,821–13,822.

9. Donoho, D.L. and P.B. Stark, 1989. Uncertainty principles and signal recovery. *SIAM Journal of Applied Mathematics*, *49*, 906–931.

10. Stark, P.B., 1992. Affine minimax confidence intervals for a bounded Normal mean, *Statistics and Probability Letters*, *13*, 39–44.

11. Stark, P.B., 1992. Minimax confidence intervals in geomagnetism, *Geophysical Journal International*, *108*, 329–338.

12. Stark, P.B., 1992. Inference in infinite-dimensional inverse problems: Discretization and duality, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *97*, 14,055–14,082. Reprint: `http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1029/92JB00739/epdf`

13. Donoho, D.L. and P.B. Stark, 1993. A note on rearrangements, spectral concentration, and the zero-order prolate spheroidal wavefunction. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, *39*, 257–260.

14. Pulliam, R.J. and P.B. Stark, 1993. Bumps on the core-mantle boundary: Are they facts or artifacts?, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *98*, 1943–1956.

15. Stark, P.B. and N.W. Hengartner, 1993. Reproducing Earth's kernel: Uncertainty of the shape of the core-mantle boundary from PKP and PcP travel-times, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *98*, 1957–1972.

16. Stark, P.B., 1993. Uncertainty of the COBE quadrupole detection, *Astrophysical Journal Letters*, *408*, L73–L76.

17. Stark, P.B. and D.I. Nikolayev, 1993. Toward tubular tomography, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *98*, 8095–8106.

18. Constable, C.G., R.L. Parker, and P.B. Stark, 1993. Geomagnetic field models incorporating frozen-flux constraints, *Geophysical Journal International*, *113*, 419–433.

19. Gough, D.O. and P.B. Stark, 1993. Are the 1986–1988 changes in solar free-oscillation frequency splitting significant?, *Astrophysical Journal*, *415*, 376–382.

20. Stark, P.B., M.M. Herron, and A. Matteson, 1993. Empirically minimax affine mineralogy estimates from Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy data using a decimated wavelet basis, *Applied Spectroscopy*, *47*, 1820–1829.

21. Pulliam, R.J. and P.B. Stark, 1994. Confidence regions for mantle heterogeneity, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *99*, 6931–6943.

22. Genovese, C.R., P.B. Stark, and M.J. Thompson, 1995. Uncertainties for Two-Dimensional Models of Solar Rotation from Helioseismic Eigenfrequency Splitting, *Astrophysical Journal*, *443*, 843–854.

23. Stark, P.B. and R.L. Parker, 1995. Bounded-variable least-squares: an algorithm and applications, *Computational Statistics*, *10*, 129–141. Preprint:
`https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/bvls.pdf`

24. Hengartner, N.W. and P.B. Stark, 1995. Finite-sample confidence envelopes for shape-restricted densities, *The Annals of Statistics*, *23*, 525–550.

25. Stark, P.B., 1995. Reply to Comment by Morelli and Dziewonski, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *100*, 15,399–15,402.

26. Gough, D.O., T. Sekii, and P.B. Stark, 1996. Inferring spatial variation of solar properties from helioseismic data, *Astrophysical Journal*, *459*, 779–791.

27. Benjamini, Y. and Stark, P.B., 1996. Non-equivariant simultaneous confidence intervals less likely to contain zero, *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, *91*, 329–337.

28. Hill, F., P.B. Stark, R.T. Stebbins, E.R. Anderson, H.M. Antia, T.M. Brown, T.L. Duvall, Jr., D.A. Haber, J.W. Harvey, D.H. Hathaway, R. Howe, R. Hubbard, H.P. Jones, J.R. Kennedy, S.G. Korzennik, A.G. Kosovichev, J.W. Leibacher, K.G. Libbrecht, J.A. Pintar, E.J. Rhodes, Jr., J. Schou, M.J. Thompson, S. Tomczyk, C.G. Toner, R. Toussaint, and W.E. Williams, 1996. The solar acoustic spectrum and eigenmode parameters, *Science*, *272*, 1292–1295.

29. Thompson, M.J., J. Toomre, E.R. Anderson, H.M. Antia, G. Berthomieu, D. Burtonclay, S.M. Chitre, J. Christensen-Dalsgaard, T. Corbard, M. DeRosa, C.R. Genovese, D.O. Gough, D.A. Haber, J.W. Harvey, F. Hill, R. Howe, S.G. Korzennik, A.G. Kosovichev, J.W. Leibacher, F.P. Pijpers, J. Provost, E.J. Rhodes, Jr., J. Schou, T. Sekii, P.B. Stark, and P.R. Wilson, 1996. Differential rotation and dynamics of the solar interior, *Science*, *272*, 1300–1305.

30. Stark, P.B., 1996. A few considerations for ascribing statistical significance to earthquake predictions, *Geophysical Research Letters*, *23*, 1399–1402.

31. Evans, S.N., and P.B. Stark, 1996. Shrinkage estimators, Skorokhod's problem, and stochastic integration by parts, *The Annals of Statistics*, *24*, 809–815.

32. Genovese, C.R. and P.B. Stark, 1996. Data Reduction and Statistical Consistency in Linear Inverse Problems, *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors*, *98*, 143–162.

33. Stark, P.B., 1997. Earthquake prediction: the null hypothesis, *Geophysical Journal International*, *131*, 495–499.

34. Benjamini, Y., Y. Hochberg, and P.B. Stark, 1998. Confidence Intervals with more Power to determine the Sign: Two Ends constrain the Means, *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, *93*, 309–317.

35. Tenorio, L., P.B. Stark, and C.H. Lineweaver, 1999. Bigger uncertainties and the Big Bang, *Inverse Problems*, *15*, 329–341.

36. Stark, P.B., 1999. Geophysics, Statistics in, in *Encyclopedia of Statistical Sciences, Update Volume 3*, S. Kotz, C.B. Read, and D.L. Banks, eds., John Wiley and Sons, NY. Invited. Reprint: `http://mrw.interscience.wiley.com/emrw/9780471667193/ess/article/ess1053/current/pdf`

37. Komm, R., Y. Gu, P.B. Stark, and I. Fodor, 1999. Multitaper Spectral Analysis and Wavelet Denoising Applied to Helioseismic Data, *Astrophysical Journal*, *519*, 407–421.

38. Freedman, D.A., and P.B. Stark, 1999. The swine flu vaccine and Guillain-Barré syndrome: a case study in relative risk and specific causation, *Evaluation Review*, *23*, 619–647. Preprint: `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/users/census/546.pdf`

39. Fodor, I. and P.B. Stark, 2000. Multitaper Spectrum Estimation for Time Series with Gaps, *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, *48*, 3472–3483.

40. Freedman, D.A., P.B. Stark, and K.W. Wachter, 2001. A probability model for census adjustment, *Mathematical Population Studies*, *9*, 165–180.

41. D.A. Freedman and P.B. Stark, 2001. The swine flu vaccine and Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Law and Contemporary Problems*, *64*, 49–62. Reprint:
`http://www.law.duke.edu/shell/cite.pl?64+Law+&+Contemp.+Probs.+49+(Autumn+2001)`

42. Evans, S.N. and P.B. Stark, 2002. Inverse Problems as Statistics, *Inverse Problems*, *18*, R55–R97. Invited. Reprint:
`http://iopscience.iop.org/0266-5611/18/4/201/pdf/0266-5611_18_4_201.pdf`

43. Stark, P.B. and D.A. Freedman, 2003. What is the Chance of an Earthquake? in *Earthquake Science and Seismic Risk Reduction*, F. Mulargia and R.J. Geller, eds., NATO Science Series IV: Earth and Environmental Sciences, v. 32, Kluwer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 201–213. Invited. Preprint:
`https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/611.pdf`

44. Stark, P.B., 2003. Capture-recapture. *Encyclopedia of Social Science Research Methods*, Sage Publications, Thousand Oaks, CA. Invited. Preprint:
`https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/capt2002.pdf`

45. Stark, P.B., 2003. Census Adjustment. *Encyclopedia of Social Science Research Methods*, Sage Publications, Thousand Oaks, CA. Invited. Preprint:
`https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/adj2002.pdf`

46. Schafer, C.M. and P.B. Stark, 2004. Using what we know: inference with physical constraints. *Proceedings of the Conference on Statistical Problems in Particle Physics, Astrophysics and Cosmology PHYSTAT2003*, L. Lyons, R. Mount and R. Reitmeyer, eds., Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, Menlo Park, CA, 25–34.

47. Evans, S.N., B. Hansen, and P.B. Stark, 2005. Minimax Expected Measure Confidence Sets for Restricted Location Parameters, *Bernoulli*, *11*, 571–590. Also Tech. Rept. 617, Dept. Statistics Univ. Calif Berkeley (May 2002, revised May 2003). Preprint: `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/617.pdf`

48. Divenyi, P., P.B. Stark, and K. Haupt, 2005. Decline of Speech Understanding and Auditory Thresholds in the Elderly, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America*, *118*, 1089–1100.

49. Freedman, D.A. and P.B. Stark, 2007. Ecological Inference, in *1 Encyclopedia of Law and Society: American and Global Perspectives*, 447–448, David S. Clark, ed., Sage Publications. Invited. Preprint: `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/ecoInf07.tx t`

50. Luen, B. and P.B. Stark, 2008. Testing Earthquake Predictions. *IMS Lecture Notes—Monograph Series. Probability and Statistics: Essays in Honor of David A. Freedman*, 302–315. Institute for Mathematical Statistics Press, Beachwood, OH. Invited. Reprint: `http://arxiv.org/abs/0805.3032`

51. Stark, P.B., 2008. The effectiveness of Internet content filters, *I/S: A Journal of Law and Policy for the Information Society*, *4*, 411–429. Reprint: `http://www.is-journal.org/V04I02/Stark.pdf` Preprint: `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/fil ter07.pdf`

52. Stark, P.B., 2008. Conservative statistical post-election audits, *The Annals of Applied Statistics*, *2*, 550–581. Reprint: `http://arxiv.org/abs/0807.4005`

53. Stark, P.B., 2008. A Sharper Discrepancy Measure for Post-Election Audits, *The Annals of Applied Statistics*, *2*, 2008, 982–985. Reprint: `http://arxiv.org/abs/0811.1697`

54. Stark, P.B., 2008. Generalizing resolution, *Inverse Problems*, *24*, 034014. Invited; selected for 2008 Highlights for *Inverse Problems* Reprint:

https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/resolution07.pdf

55. Schafer, C.M., and P.B. Stark, 2009. Constructing Confidence Sets of Optimal Expected Size. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, *104*, 1080–1089. Reprint: https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/schaferStark09.pdf

56. Berlow, E.L., J.A. Dunne, N.D. Martinez, P.B. Stark, R.J. Williams and U. Brose, 2009. Simplicity on the other side of ecological complexity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *106*, 187–219. Reprint: http://www.pnas.org/content/106/1/187.full.pdf+html

57. Hall, J.L., L.W. Miratrix, P.B. Stark, M. Briones, E. Ginnold, F. Oakley, M. Peaden, G. Pellerin, T. Stanionis and T. Webber, 2009. Implementing Risk-Limiting Audits in California, *2009 Electronic Voting Technology Workshop/Workshop on Trustworthy Elections (EVT/WOTE '09)*. Reprint: http://static.usenix.org/events/evtwote09/tech/full_papers/hall.pdf.
SSRN's Top Ten download list for ERN: Models of Political Processes: Rent-Seeking, Elections, Legislatures, & Voting Behavior

58. Stark, P.B., 2009. CAST: Canvass Audits by Sampling and Testing. *IEEE Transactions on Information Forensics and Security: Special Issue on Electronic Voting*, *4*, 708–717. Reprint: https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/cast09.pdf

59. Miratrix, L.W. and P.B. Stark, 2009. Election Audits using a Trinomial Bound. *IEEE Transactions on Information Forensics and Security: Special Issue on Electronic Voting*, *4*, 974–981. Reprint: https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/trinomial09.pdf

60. Stark, P.B., 2009. Risk-limiting post-election audits: P-values from common probability inequalities. *IEEE Transactions on Information Forensics and Security: Special Issue on Electronic Voting*, *4*, 1005–1014. Reprint:

https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/pvalues09.pdf

61. Stark, P.B., 2009. Efficient post-election audits of multiple contests: 2009 California tests. Refereed paper presented at the 2009 Conference on Empirical Legal Studies. Preprint: http://ssrn.com/abstract=1443314

62. Stark, P.B., 2010. Risk-Limiting Vote-Tabulation Audits: The Importance of Cluster Size. *Chance*, *23*(3), 9–12. Preprint: https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/auditingChance10.pdf

63. Stark, P.B., 2010. Super-simple simultaneous single-ballot risk-limiting audits. *2010 Electronic Voting Technology Workshop/Workshop on Trustworthy Elections (EVT/WOTE '10)*, D. Jones, J.J. Quisquater and E.K. Rescorla, eds. Reprint: http://www.usenix.org/events/evtwote10/tech/full_papers/Stark.pdf

64. Stark, P.B. and L. Tenorio, 2010. A Primer of Frequentist and Bayesian Inference in Inverse Problems. In *Large Scale Inverse Problems and Quantification of Uncertainty*, Biegler, L., G. Biros, O. Ghattas, M. Heinkenschloss, D. Keyes, B. Mallick, L. Tenorio, B. van Bloemen Waanders and K. Willcox, eds. John Wiley and Sons, NY. Preprint: https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/freqBayes09.pdf

65. Stark, P.B., 2010. Null and Vetoed: "Chance Coincidence"? *Chance*, *23(4)*, 43–46. Preprint: https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/acrosticVeto09.htm

66. Benaloh, J., D. Jones, E. Lazarus, M. Lindeman, and P.B. Stark, 2011. SOBA: Secrecy-preserving Observable Ballot-level Audit. *2011 Electronic Voting Technology Workshop/Workshop on Trustworthy Elections (EVT/WOTE '11)*. Reprint: http://static.usenix.org/events/evtwote11/tech/final_files/Benaloh.pdf

Video: https://www.usenix.org/conference/evtwote-11/soba-secrecy-preserving-observable-ballot-level-audit

67. Higgins, M.J., R.L. Rivest and P.B. Stark, 2011. Sharper p-values for Stratified Post-Election Audits. *Statistics, Politics, and Policy, 2*(1), Article 7. Reprint:
http://www.degruyter.com/downloadpdf/j/spp.2011.2.issue-1/2151-7509.1031/2151-7509.1031.xml
Preprint:
https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/higginsRivestStark11.pdf

68. Shearer, P.M. and P.B. Stark, 2012. The global risk of big earthquakes has not recently increased. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 109*(3), 717–721. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1118525109. (Commentary by G. Beroza, *PNAS 2012, 109*(3) 651–652. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1120744109.) Reprint:
http://www.pnas.org/content/early/2011/12/12/1118525109.full.pdf+html

69. Luen, B. and P.B. Stark, 2012. Poisson tests of declustered catalogs. *Geophysical Journal International*, *189*, 691–700. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-246X.2012.05400.x
Reprint:
http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1365-246X.2012.05400.x/pdf
Preprint:
https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/decluster11.pdf

70. Lindeman, M., P.B. Stark, and V.S. Yates, 2012. BRAVO: Ballot-polling Risk-Limiting Audits to Verify Outcomes. *2012 Electronic Voting Technology Workshop/Workshop on Trustworthy Elections (EVT/WOTE '12).* Reprint:
https://www.usenix.org/system/files/conference/evtwote12/evtwote12-final27.pdf

71. Huttunen, J.M.J., and P.B. Stark, 2012. Cheap contouring of costly functions: The Pilot Approximation Trajectory Algorithm. *Computa-*

tional Science & Discovery. *5*, 015006. Reprint: http://stacks.iop.org/1749-4699/5/015006

72. Lindeman, M. and P.B. Stark, 2012. A Gentle Introduction to Risk-Limiting Audits. *IEEE Security and Privacy*, *10*, 42–49. Preprint: https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/gentle12.pdf

73. Stark, P.B., and D.A. Wagner, 2012. Evidence-Based Elections. *IEEE Security and Privacy*, *10*, 33–41. Preprint: https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/evidenceVote12.pdf

74. Benjamini, Y., V. Madar, and P.B. Stark, 2013. Simultaneous confidence intervals uniformly more likely to determine signs, *Biometrika*, doi: 10.1093/biomet/ass074
Reprint: http://biomet.oxfordjournals.org/content/early/2013/02/20/biomet.ass074.full.pdf
Preprint: https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/qc11.pdf

75. Benaloh, J., M. Byrne, B. Eakin, P. Kortum, N. McBurnett, O. Pereira, P.B. Stark, and D.S. Wallach, 2013. STAR-Vote: A Secure, Transparent, Auditable, and Reliable Voting System. *JETS: USENIX Journal of Election Technology and Systems*, *1*,18–37. Reprint: https://www.usenix.org/sites/default/files/jets0101-complete.pdf

76. Stark, P.B., and V. Teague, 2014. Verifiable European Elections: Risk-limiting Audits for D'Hondt and Its Relatives, *JETS: USENIX Journal of Election Technology and Systems*, *3.1*, https://www.usenix.org/jets/issues/0301/stark

77. Stark, P.B., and R. Freishtat, 2014. An evaluation of course evaluations. *Science Open*, DOI 10.14293/S2199-1006.1.-.AOFRQA.v1, https://www.scienceopen.com/document/vid/42e6aae5-246b-4900-8015-dc99b467b6e4 (post refereed)

78. Luo, T., and P.B. Stark, 2015. Nine out of 10 restaurants fail? Check, please. *Significance, 12*, 25–29. Preprint: http://arxiv-web3.libra

ry.cornell.edu/abs/1410.8603v1 Reprint: http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1740-9713.2015.00813.x/abstract

79. Saltelli, A., P.B. Stark, W. Becker, and P. Stano, 2015. Climate Models as Economic Guides: Scientific Challenge or Quixotic Quest?, *Issues in Science and Technology*, Spring 2015. Preprint: https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/saltelliEtal15.pdf Reprint: http://issues.org/31-3/climate-models-as-economic-guides-scientific-challenge-or-quixotic-quest/

80. Matchett, J.R., P.B. Stark, R.A. Knapp, S.M. Ostoja, H.C. McKenny, M. Brooks, W. Langford, L.N. Joppa, and E. Berlow, 2015. Detecting the influence of rare stressors on rare species in Yosemite National Park using a novel stratified permutation test, *Nature Scientific Reports*, *5*. doi:10.1038/srep10702, Reprint: http://www.nature.com/srep/2015/150602/srep10702/full/srep10702.html

81. Arratia, R., S. Garibaldi, L. Mower, and P.B. Stark, 2015. Some people have all the luck. *Mathematics Magazine*, *88*, 196–211. doi:10.4169/math.mag.88.3.196.c, Reprint: https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/luck15.pdf

82. Stark, P.B., 2015. Constraints versus priors. *SIAM/ASA Journal on Uncertainty Quantification*, *3*(1), 586–598. doi:10.1137/130920721, Reprint: http://epubs.siam.org/doi/10.1137/130920721, Preprint: https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/constraintsPriors15.pdf.

83. Mulargia, F., P. Gasperini, B. Lolli, and P.B. Stark, 2015. Purported precursors: poor predictors. *Bollettino di Geofisica Teorica ed Applicata*, *56*, 351–356. doi:10.4430/bgta0142, Reprint: http://www2.ogs.trieste.it/bgta/pdf/bgta0142_MULARGIA.pdf

84. Regier, J.C. and P.B. Stark, 2015. Uncertainty quantification for emulators. *SIAM/ASA Journal on Uncertainty Quantification*, *3*, 686–708. doi:10.1137/130917909, Reprint: http://epubs.siam.org/doi/10.1137/130917909, Preprint: https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/uqEmu15.pdf.

85. Boring, A., K. Ottoboni, and P.B. Stark, 2016. Teaching evaluations (mostly) do not measure teaching effectiveness, *Science Open*, doi:10.14293/S2199-1006.1.SOR-EDU.AETBZC.v1, `https://www.scienceopen.com/document/vid/818d8ec0-5908-47d8-86b4-5dc38f04b23e` (post refereed)

86. Mulargia, F., P.B. Stark, and R.J. Geller, 2017. Why is Probabilistic Seismic Hazard Analysis (PSHA) Still Used? *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors*, *264*, 63–75. Reprint: `http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0031920116303016`

87. Kuusela, M., and P.B. Stark, 2017. Shape-constrained uncertainty quantification in unfolding steeply falling elementary particle spectra, *Annals of Applied Statistics*, *11*, 1671–1710. Preprint: `http://arxiv.org/abs/1512.00905`

88. Bernhard, M., J.A. Halderman, R.L. Rivest, P. Vora, P.Y.A. Ryan, V. Teague, J. Benaloh, P.B. Stark and D. Wallach, 2017. Public Evidence from Secret Ballots, in: Krimmer R., Volkamer M., Braun Binder N., Kersting N., Pereira O., Schürmann C. (eds), *Electronic Voting. E-Vote-ID 2017. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 10615.* Springer. `https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-68687-5_6`. Preprint: `https://arxiv.org/abs/1707.08619`

89. Mulargia, F., R.J. Geller, and P.B. Stark, 2017. Reply to comments by Console et al. *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors*, to appear. Preprint: `http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0031920117303084`

90. Fernandez, A., K. Kashinath, J. McAuliffe, Prabhat, P. Stark, and M. Wehner, 2017. Towards a statistical model of tropical cyclone genesis. *Proceedings of the 7th International Workshop on Climate Informatics: CI 2017.*

91. Kafkafi, N., J. Agassi, E.J. Chesler, J.C. Crabbe, W.E. Crusio, D. Eilam, R. Gerlai, I. Golani, A. Gomez-Marin, R. Heller, F. Iraqi, I. Jaljuli, N.A. Karp, H. Morgan, G. Nicholson, D.W. Pfaff, H.S. Richter, P.B. Stark, O. Stiedl, V. Stodden, L.M. Tarantino, V. Tucci, W. Valdar, R.W. Williams, H. Wurbel, and Y. Benjamini, 2018. Reproducibility

and replicability of rodent phenotyping in preclinical studies. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews* https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2018.01.003, Preprint: *BioArXiV*, http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/079350

92. S. Behnezhad, A. Blum, M. Derakhshan, M. Hajiaghayi, M. Mahdian, C.H. Papadimitriou, R.L. Rivest, S. Seddighin and P.B. Stark, 2018. From Battlefields to Presidential Elections: Winning Strategies of Blotto and Auditing Games, *ACM-SIAM Conference on Discrete Algorithms (SODA 2018)*, to appear. Preprint: https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/behnezhadEtal18.pdf

93. Stark, P.B., and A. Saltelli, 2018. Cargo-cult Statistics and Scientific Crisis, *Significance*, *15*(4), 40–43. Preprint: https://www.significancemagazine.com/593

94. Ottoboni, K., P.B. Stark, M. Lindeman, and N. McBurnett, 2018. Risk-Limiting Audits by Stratified Union-Intersection Tests of Elections (SUITE), to appear in *Electronic Voting. E-Vote-ID 2018. Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, Springer. https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-030-00419-4_12. Preprint: https://arxiv.org/abs/1809.04235

95. Evans, S.N., R.L. Rivest, and P.B. Stark, 2019. Leading the field: Fortune favors the bold in Thurstonian choice models, *Bernoulli*, *25*(1), 26–46. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.3150/17-BEJ930 Preprint: https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/evansEtal19.pdf

96. Bernhard, M., A. Halderman, K. Ottoboni, R.L. Rivest, and P.B. Stark, 2019. Bernoulli Ballot Polling: A Manifest Improvement for Risk-Limiting Audits, *Voting '19*, to appear. Preprint: http://arxiv.org/abs/1812.06361

97. Stark, P.B., D. Miller, T.J. Carlson, and K.R. de Vasquez, 2019. Open-Source Food: Nutrition, Toxicology, and Availability of Wild Edible Greens in the East Bay, *PLOS One*, to appear. Preprint: https://doi.org/10.1101/385864.

Papers submitted for publication

98. Mohamadlou, H., A. Lynn-Palevsky, C. Barton, G. Fletcher, L. Shieh, P.B. Stark, U. Chettipally, D. Shimabukuro, M. Feldman, and R. Das, 2018. Multicenter validation of a machine learning algorithm for 48 hour all-cause mortality prediction, submitted to *Journal of Critical Care.*

Books and Edited Volumes

99. Stark, P.B., 1997. *SticiGui: Statistics Tools for Internet and Classroom Instruction with a Graphical User Interface.* `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/SticiGui`

100. Freedman, D.A., 2009. *Statistical Models and Causal Inference: A Dialog with the Social Sciences*, D. Collier, J.S. Sekhon and P.B. Stark, eds., Cambridge University Press, New York.

Book Chapters

101. Stark, P.B., 1988. Strict bounds and applications. in *Some Topics on Inverse Problems*, P.C. Sabatier, ed., World Scientific, Singapore.

102. Stark, P.B., 1990. Rigorous computer solutions to infinite-dimensional inverse problems. in *Inverse Methods in Action*, P.C. Sabatier, ed., Springer-Verlag. 462–467.

103. Stark, P.B., 2000. Inverse Problems as Statistics, in *Surveys on Solution Methods for Inverse Problems*, Colton, D., H.W. Engl, A.K. Louis, J.R. Mclaughlin and W. Rundell, eds., Springer-Verlag, New York, 253–275. Invited.

104. Schafer, C.M, and P.B. Stark, 2003. Inference in Microwave Cosmology: A Frequentist Perspective, in *Statistical Challenges in Astronomy*, E.D. Feigelson and G.J. Babu, eds., Springer, New York, 215–219.

105. Stark, P.B., 2004. Estimating power spectra of galactic structure: can Statistics help?, in *Penetrating Bars Through Masks of Cosmic Dust:*

The Hubble Tuning Fork Strikes a New Note, D.L. Block, I. Puerari, K.C. Freeman, R. Groess and E.K. Block, eds., Springer, The Netherlands, 613–617. Invited.

106. Geller, R.J., F. Mulargia, and P.B. Stark, 2015. Why we need a new paradigm of earthquake occurrence, in *Subduction Dynamics: From Mantle Flow to Mega Disasters, Geophysical Monograph 211*, American Geophysical Union, G. Morra, D.A. Yuen, S. King, S.M. Lee, and S. Stein, eds., Wiley, New York, 183–191. Preprint: `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/paradigm16.pdf`

107. Stark, P.B., 2017. *Nullius in verba*, in *The Practice of Reproducible Research: Case Studies and Lessons from the Data-Intensive Sciences*, J. Kitzes, D. Turek, and F. İmamoğlu, eds., University of California Press, Oakland, CA. `https://www.practicereproducibleresearch.org/core-chapters/0-preface.html`

108. Millman, K.J., K. Ottoboni, N.A.P. Stark, and P.B. Stark, 2017. Reproducible Applied Statistics: Is Tagging of Therapist-Patient Interactions Reliable?, in *The Practice of Reproducible Research: Case Studies and Lessons from the Data-Intensive Sciences*, J. Kitzes, D. Turek, and F. İmamoğlu, eds. University of California Press, Oakland, CA. `https://www.practicereproducibleresearch.org/case-studies/millmanOttoboniStark.html`

109. Bell, S., J. Benaloh, M.D. Byrne, D. DeBeauvoir, B. Eakin, G. Fisher, P. Kortum, N. McBurnett, J. Montoya, M. Parker, O. Pereira, P.B. Stark, D.S. Wallach, and M. Winn, 2017. STAR-Vote: A Secure, Transparent, Auditable, and Reliable Voting System, in *Real-World Electronic Voting: Design, Analysis and Deployment*, F. Hao and P.Y.A. Ryan, eds. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.

110. Stark, P.B., and K. Ottoboni, 2018. Random sampling: practice makes imperfect, Proceedings of the Fourth Conference of the International Society for Non-Parametric Statistics, Salerno, Italy. Springer. Preprint: `http://arxiv.org/abs/1810.10985`

Technical Reports, White Papers, Unrefereed Publications

111. Donoho, D.L. and P.B. Stark, 1988. Rearrangements and Smoothing, Tech. Rept. 148, Dept. Stat., Univ. Calif. Berkeley.

112. Donoho, D.L. and P.B. Stark, 1989. Recovery of a Sparse Signal When the Low Frequency Information is Missing, Tech. Rept. 179, Dept. Statistics, Univ. Calif. Berkeley.

113. Hengartner, N.W. and P.B. Stark, 1992. Conservative finite-sample confidence envelopes for monotone and unimodal densities, Tech. Rept. 341, Dept. Statistics, Univ. Calif. Berkeley.

114. Hengartner, N.W. and P.B. Stark, 1992. Confidence bounds on the probability density of aftershocks, Tech. Rept. 352, Dept. Statistics, Univ. Calif. Berkeley.

115. Stark, P.B., 1992. The Cosmic Microwave Background and Earth's Core-Mantle Boundary: A Tale of Two CMB's, Tech. Rept. 371, Dept. Statistics, Univ. Calif. Berkeley. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/371.pdf`

116. Genovese, C. and P.B. Stark, 1993. l_1 spectral estimation: Algorithms and tests of super-resolution, in *GONG 1992: Seismic Investigations of the Sun and Stars, Proc. Astr. Soc. Pac. Conf. Ser.*, **42**, T. Brown, ed., 453–456.

117. Gough, D.O. and P.B. Stark, 1993. The significance of changes in solar free-oscillation splitting from 1986–1990, in *GONG 1992: Seismic Investigations of the Sun and Stars, Proc. Astr. Soc. Pac. Conf. Ser.*, *42*, T. Brown, ed., 221–224.

118. Stark, P.B., 1994. Simultaneous Confidence Intervals for Linear Estimates of Linear Functionals, Tech. Rept. 417, Dept. Statistics, Univ. Calif. Berkeley.

119. Sekii, T., C.R. Genovese, D.O. Gough, and P.B. Stark, 1995. Observational constraints on the internal solar angular velocity, in *Fourth SOHO Workshop: Helioseismology*, J.T. Hoeksema, V. Domingo, B. Fleck and B. Battrick, eds., ESA Publications Division SP-376, Noordwijk, Volume 2, 279–283.

120. Stark, P.B., 1997. Data Sampling Rate Reduction for the OERSTED Geomagnetic Satellite. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/Oersted/writeup.htm`

121. Fodor, I.K., J.G. Berryman, and P.B. Stark, 1997. Comparison of Autoregressive and Multitaper Spectral Analysis for Long Time Series, *Stanford Exploration Project*, *95*, 331–355.

122. Borrill, J., and P.B. Stark, 1998. A fast method for bounding the CMB power spectrum likelihood function.

123. Stark, P.B., 1998. Testimony before U.S. House of Representatives Subcommittee on the Census, 5 May 1998. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Census/house-5-5-98-pbs.pdf`

124. Stark, P.B., 1998. Response to 25 Questions from Representative C. Maloney, Ranking Minority Member, U.S. House of Representatives Subcommittee on the Census, 13 May 1998. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Census/maloney-5-13-98-pbs.pdf`

125. Stark, P.B., 1999. Letter to the Editor of USA Today regarding Sampling to Adjust the 2000 Census, 19 January. (original version: `h`

ttps://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Census/usaOpEd99.htm)

126. Komm, R.W., Y. Gu, F. Hill, P.B. Stark, and I.K. Fodor, 1998. Multitaper Spectral Analysis and Wavelet Denoising Applied to Helioseismic Data, *Proc. Tenth Cambridge Workshop on Cool Stars, Stellar Systems and the Sun*, ASP Conference Series, 154, CDR 783–790.

127. Komm, R.W., E. Anderson, F. Hill, R. Howe, A.G. Kosovichev, P.H. Scherrer, J. Schou, I. Fodor, and P. Stark, 1998. Comparison of SOHO-SOI/MDI and GONG Spectra, *Proceedings of the SOHO 6/GONG 98 Workshop*, 'Structure and Dynamics of the Interior of the Sun and Sun-like Stars,' Boston, USA, 1–4 June 1998, ESA SP-418, 253–256.

128. Komm, R.W., E. Anderson, F. Hill, R. Howe, I. Fodor, and P. Stark, 1998. Multitaper analysis applied to a 3-month time series, *Proceedings of the SOHO 6/GONG 98 Workshop*, 'Structure and Dynamics of the Interior of the Sun and Sun-like Stars,' Boston, USA, 1–4 June 1998, ESA SP–418, 257–260.

129. Fodor, I.K. and P.B. Stark, 1999. Multitaper Spectrum Estimates for Time Series with Missing Values, *Computing Science and Statistics, 31*: Models, Predictions, and Computing. K. Berk and M. Pourahmadi, eds., 383–387.

130. Stark, P.B., 1999. The 1990 and 2000 Census Adjustment Plans, Tech. Rept. 550, Dept. Statistics, Univ. Calif. Berkeley. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Census/550.pdf` (revised May 2000)

131. Schafer, C.M. and P.B. Stark, 2006. Constructing Confidence Sets of Optimal Expected Size. Technical report 836, Department of Statistics, Carnegie Mellon University. `http://www.stat.cmu.edu/t`

r/tr836/tr836.html

132. Jefferson, D., K. Alexander, E. Ginnold, A. Lehmkuhl, K. Midstokke and P.B. Stark, 2007. *Post Election Audit Standards Report—Evaluation of Audit Sampling Models and Options for Strengthening California's Manual Count.* http://www.sos.ca.gov/elections/peas/final_peaswg_report.pdf

133. Stark, P.B., 2009. Auditing a collection of races simultaneously. http://arxiv.org/abs/0905.1422v1

134. Stark, P.B., 2009. The status and near future of post-election auditing. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/auditingPosition09.htm

135. Stark, P.B., 2010. Why small audit batches are more efficient: two heuristic explanations. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/smallBatchHeuristics10.htm

136. Higdon, D., R. Klein, M. Anderson, M. Berliner, C. Covey, O. Ghattas, C. Graziani, S. Habib, M. Seager, J. Sefcik, P. Stark, and J. Stewart, 2010. Panel Report on Uncertainty Quantification and Error Analysis, in *Scientific Grand Challenges in National Security: The Role of Computing at the Extreme Scale*, U.S. Department of Energy Office of Advanced Scientific Computing Research and National Nuclear Security Administration. http://science.energy.gov/~/media/ascr/pdf/program-documents/docs/Nnsa_grand_challenges_report.pdf

137. McLaughlin, K., and P.B. Stark, 2011. Workload Estimates for Risk-Limiting Audits of Large Contests. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/workload11.pdf

138. Scott, L.R., J. Brown, G.W. Bergantz, D. Cooley, C. Dawson, M. de Hoop, D. Estep, N. Flyer, E. Foufoula-Georgiou, M. Ghil, M. Knepley, R.J. LeVeque, L.-H. Lim, G. Papanicolaou, S. Prudhomme, A. Sandu, G. Schubert, F.J. Simons, P.B. Stark, M. Stein, S. Stein, T. Tanimoto, D. Tartakovsky, J. Weare, R. Weiss, G.B. Wright, and D. Yuen, 2012. Fostering Interactions Between the Geosciences and Mathematics, Statistics, and Computer Science. Technical Report TR-2012-02, Department of Computer Science, The University of Chicago. `https://www.cs.uchicago.edu/research/publications/techreports/TR-2012-02`

139. Bañuelos, J.H. and P.B. Stark, 2012. Limiting Risk by Turning Manifest Phantoms into Evil Zombies. `http://arxiv.org/abs/1207.3413`

140. Bretschneider, J., S. Flaherty, S. Goodman, M. Halvorson, R. Johnston, M. Lindeman, R.L. Rivest, P. Smith, and P.B. Stark, 2012. Risk-Limiting Post-Election Audits: Why and How. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/RLAwhitepaper12.pdf` Endorsement by the American Statistical Association: `http://www.amstat.org/policy/pdfs/StarkEtAlLetterOfSupport.pdf`

141. Stark, P.B., 2012. Ballot-Polling Audits in Two Pages (± 1). `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/bpa2pp.pdf`

142. Benaloh, J., M. Byrne, P. Kortum, N. McBurnett, O. Pereira, P.B. Stark, and D.S. Wallach, 2012. STAR-Vote: A Secure, Transparent, Auditable, and Reliable Voting System. `http://arxiv.org/abs/1211.1904`

143. Lindeman, M., R.L. Rivest, and P.B. Stark, 2013. Machine Retabulation is not Auditing. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/retabNotAudit13.pdf`

144. Lindeman, M., R.L. Rivest, and P.B. Stark, 2013. Retabulations, Machine-Assisted Audits, and Election Verification. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/retabulation13.htm

145. Evans, S.N., R.L. Rivest, and P.B. Stark, 2014. Leading the field: Fortune favors the bold in Thurstonian choice models. http://arxiv.org/abs/1409.5924

146. Verified Voting Foundation, 2015. *Principles for New Voting Systems*, http://www.verifiedvotingfoundation.org/voting-systems-principles/

147. Benaloh, J., R.L. Rivest, P.Y.A. Ryan, P.B. Stark, V. Teague, and P. Vora, 2015. End-to-end verifiability. http://arxiv.org/abs/1504.03778

148. Stark, P.B., 2016. Pay no attention to the model behind the curtain. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/eucCurtain15.pdf

149. Chilingirian, B., Z. Perumal, R.L. Rivest, G. Bowland, A. Conway, P.B. Stark, M. Blom, C. Culnane, and V. Teague, 2016. Auditing Australian Senate Ballots. https://arxiv.org/abs/1610.00127

150. Matthees, A., T. Kindlon, C. Maryhew, P. Stark, and B. Levin, 2016. A preliminary analysis of 'recovery' from chronic fatigue syndrome in the PACE trial using individual participant data. *Virology Blog*, http://www.virology.ws/2016/09/21/no-recovery-in-pace-trial-new-analysis-finds/

151. Benaloh, J., M. Bernhard, J.A. Halderman, R.L. Rivest, P.Y.A. Ryan, P.B. Stark, V. Teague, P.L. Vora, and D.S. Wallach, 2017. Public

Evidence from Secret Ballots. https://arxiv.org/abs/1707.08619

152. Saltelli, A., and P.B. Stark, 2017. Statistiche al Tempo della Crisi, *Epidemiologia & Prevenzione*, *41*, 165–169, http://dx.doi.org/10.19191/EP17.3-4.P165.048.

153. Dabady, S., and P.B. Stark, 2017. Urban Foraging in Municipal Parks and Public Schools: Opportunities for Policymakers, *Berkeley Food Institute* and *Berkeley Open Source Food*, Policy Brief, July.

154. Lindeman, M., McBurnett, N., Ottoboni, K., and P.B. Stark, 2018. Next Steps for the Colorado Risk-Limiting Audit (CORLA) Program, https://arxiv.org/abs/1803.00698

155. Bochsler, D., J. Medzihorsky, C. Schürmann, and P.B. Stark, 2018. Report on the Identification of Electoral Irregularities by Statistical Methods, Opinion 874/2017, Report CDL-AD(2018)009, Venice Commission of the Council of Europe, http://www.venice.coe.int/webforms/documents/?pdf=CDL-AD(2018)009-e

156. Stark, P.B., 2018. An Introduction to Risk-Limiting Audits and Evidence-Based Elections, written testimony prepared for the Little Hoover Commission, https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/lhc18.pdf

157. Ottoboni, K. and P.B. Stark, 2018. Random problems with R, https://arxiv.org/abs/1809.06520

Editorials, Reviews, Comments, Letters

158. Stark, P.B., 2001. Review of *Who Counts?* by Margo J. Anderson and Stephen E. Fienberg, *Journal of Economic Literature*, **XXXIX**,

593–595. Invited.

159. Tenorio, L., E. Haber, P.B. Stark, D. Cox, O. Ghattas and W.W. Symes, 2008. Guest editors' introduction to the special section on statistical and computational issues in inverse problems, *Inverse problems*, *24*, 034001. Reprint: `http://www.iop.org/EJ/article/0266-5611/24/3/034001/ip8_3_034001.pdf`

160. Stark, P.B., 2008. Obituary: David A. Freedman, *IMS Bulletin*, *38*, 10–11. Preprint: `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/dafObituary.htm`

161. Collier, D., J.S. Sekhon and P.B. Stark, 2009. Preface to David A. Freedman, 2009. *Statistical Models: Theory and Practice, Revised edition*, Cambridge University Press, New York.

162. Ash, A., S. Pierson and P.B. Stark, 2009. Thinking outside the urn: Statisticians make their marks on U.S. Ballots. *Amstat News*, *384*. 37–40. Reprint: `http://www.amstat.org/outreach/pdfs/SP_ANJun09.pdf`

163. Audit working group, 2009. Data requirements for vote-tabulation audits: Statement to NIST, ElectionAudits.org. `http://electionaudits.org/niststatement`

164. Hall, J.L., P.B. Stark, H.E. Brady, and J.S. Sekhon, 2009. Comments on the CA SoS Precinct Level Data Pilot Project. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/CACountyData09.pdf`

165. Stark, P.B., 2010. Testimony before California State Assembly Committee on Elections and Redistricting, 20 April 2010. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/ab2023-assembly-20-4`

-10.htm

166. Stark, P.B., 2010. Testimony before California State Senate Committee on Elections, Reapportionment and Constitutional Amendments, 15 June 2010. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/ab2023-senate-15-6-10.htm

167. Stark, P.B., 2010. Open letter to UC Berkeley Law School Dean Christopher Edley regarding UC Online Education. http://www.samefacts.com/2010/08/archive/technology-and-society/online-education-notes-from-the-field/

168. Stark, P.B., 2010. Testimony proffered to Judge Ira Warshawsky, New York Supreme Court, 4 December 2010. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/nysd7-4-12-10.htm

169. Letter to President Barack Obama re election technology, 6 December 2012 (with Barbara Simons and 48 others). http://www.verifiedvoting.org/wp-content/uploads/2012/12/PresidentLetter.pdf

170. Bates, D., P. Courant, C. Hesse, K. Hoekstra, M. Lovell, J. Midgley, G. Nunberg, P. Papadopoulos, H. Schiraldi, G. Sposito, P.B. Stark, and M. van Houweling, 2013. Final Report of the Commission on the Future of the UC Berkeley Library http://evcp.berkeley.edu/sites/default/files/FINAL_CFUCBL_report_10.16.13.pdf

171. Stark, P.B., 2013. Leave Election Integrity to Chance, *The Huffington Post*, 12 July 2013. http://www.huffingtonpost.com/american-statistical-association/leave-election-integrity-_b_3580649.html

172. Stark, P.B., and R. Freishtat, 2013. Evaluating Evaluations, Part 1: Do student evaluations measure teaching effectiveness?, *The Berkeley Teaching Blog*, 9 October 2013. `http://teaching.berkeley.edu/blog/evaluating-evaluations-part-1`
The Berkeley Blog, 14 October 2013.
`http://blogs.berkeley.edu/2013/10/14/do-student-evaluations-measure-teaching-effectiveness/`

173. Stark, P.B., and R. Freishtat, 2013. What Evaluations Measure, Part 2: What exactly do student evaluations measure?, *The Berkeley Teaching Blog*, 17 October 2013. `http://teaching.berkeley.edu/blog/what-evaluations-measure-part-ii`
The Berkeley Blog, 21 October 2013.
`http://blogs.berkeley.edu/2013/10/21/what-exactly-do-student-evaluations-measure/`

174. Stark, P.B., 2015. Out of the Weeds, *Lucky Peach*, 29 June 2015, Invited. `http://luckypeach.com/out-of-the-weeds/`

175. Stark, P.B., 2015. Salad from the Sidewalk, *The New York Times*, 9 July 2015, Invited. `http://www.nytimes.com/interactive/2015/07/09/opinion/09bittman.html`

176. Arratia, R., S. Garibaldi, L. Mower, and P.B. Stark, 2015. Some people have all the luck ...or do they? *MAA Focus*, August/September, 37–38. `http://www.maa.org/sites/default/files/pdf/MAAFocus/Focus_AugustSeptember_2015.pdf`

177. Stark, P.B., 2015. Science is "show me," not "trust me," *Berkeley Initiative for Transparency in the Social Sciences*, 31 December, Invited. `http://www.bitss.org/2015/12/31/science-is-show-me-not-trust-me/`

178. Boring, A., K. Ottoboni, and P.B. Stark, 2016. Student evaluations of teaching are not only unreliable, they are significantly biased against female instructors, *London School of Economics and Political Science Impact Blog*, 4 February, Invited. http://blogs.lse.ac.uk/impactofsocialsciences/2016/02/04/student-evaluations-of-teaching-gender-bias/

179. Stark, P.B., 2016. The value of P-values, *The American Statistician*, *70*, DOI:10.1080/00031305.2016.1154108, Invited. http://amstat.tandfonline.com/doi/suppl/10.1080/00031305.2016.1154108

180. Stark, P.B., 2016. Review of *Privacy, Big Data, and the Public Good: Frameworks for Engagement*, by J. Lane, V. Stodden, S. Bender, and H. Nissenbaum, eds., *The American Statistician*, Invited. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00031305.2015.1068625

181. Saltelli, A., S. Funtowicz, M. Giampietro, D. Sarewitz, P.B. Stark, and J.P. van der Sluijs, 2016. Climate Costing is Politics not Science, *Nature, 532*, 177. go.nature.com/wamqwt http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/532177a (signatory list) Reprint: https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/saltelliEtal16.pdf

182. Stark, P.B., 2016. Eat your Weedies!, *The Urbanist*, Issue 549, February 2016, Invited. http://www.spur.org/publications/urbanist-article/2016-03-09/walking-oakland

183. Stark, P.B., and P.L. Vora, 2016. Maryland voting audit falls short, *The Baltimore Sun*, 28 October 2016. http://www.baltimoresun.com/news/opinion/oped/bs-ed-voting-audit-20161028-story.html

184. Rivest, R.L., and P.B. Stark, 2016. Still time for an election audit: Column, *USA Today*, 18 November 2016. http://www.usatoday.com/story/opinion/2016/11/18/election-audit-paper-machines-co

lumn/93803752/

185. Harvie Branscomb, Joe Kiniry, Mark Lindeman, Neal McBurnett, Ronald L. Rivest, John Sebes, Pamela Smith, Philip B. Stark, Howard Stanislevic, Paul Stokes, Poorvi L. Vora, and Luther Weeks, 2016. Comments on 2016 General Election: Post-Election Tabulation Audit Procedures, https://www.seas.gwu.edu/~poorvi/MarylandAudits/Final-Audit-Comments-11-27-16.pdf

186. Letter to Senators Ron Johnson and Claire McCaskill, U.S. Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs, re appointment of Thomas P. Bossert as White House Homeland Security Advisor, 11 January 2017 (with Marc Rotenberg, EPIC President, and 39 others). https://epic.org/policy/SHSGAC_EPIC_Bossert_Jan_2017.pdf

187. Letter to Senator Lindsey Graham re election integrity and cybersecurity, 13 January 2017 (with Duncan Buell, JoAnne Day, J. Alex Halderman, Eleanor Hare, Frank Heindel, Candice Hoke, Joseph Kiniry, Marilyn Marks, Neal McBurnett, Stephanie Singer, Jason Grant Smith, and Daniel M. Zimmerman). https://www.scribd.com/document/336463904/Experts-Letter-to-Lindsey-Graham-20170113

188. An open letter to *Psychological Medicine* about "recovery" and the PACE trial, 13 March 2017 (with 73 others). http://www.virology.ws/2017/03/13/an-open-letter-to-psychological-medicine-about-recovery-and-the-pace-trial/

189. Letter to Georgia Secretary of State Brian Kemp, 15 April 2017 (with Andrew W. Appel, Duncan Buell, Larry Diamond, David L. Dill, Richard DeMillo, Michael Fischer, J. Alex Halderman, Joseph Lorenzo Hall, Martin E. Hellman, Candice Hoke, Harri Hursti, David Jefferson, Douglas W. Jones, Joseph Kiniry, Justin Moore, Peter G.

Neumann, Ronald L. Rivest, John E. Savage, Bruce Schneier, Dr. Barbara Simons, Dr. Vanessa Teague) https://www.verifiedvoting.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/03/KSU.Kemp_.3.15.17.pdf

190. Rivest, R.L., and P.B. Stark, 2017. When is an Election Verifiable? *IEEE Security & Privacy*, *15*, 48–50. https://www.computer.org/csdl/mags/sp/2017/03/msp2017030048.pdf

191. Open-Source Software Won't Ensure Election Security, 24 August 2017 (with Matt Bishop, Josh Benaloh, Joseph Kiniry, Ron Rivest, Sean Peisert, Joseph Hall, Vanessa Teague) https://lawfareblog.com/open-source-software-wont-ensure-election-security

192. Saltelli, A., and P.B. Stark, 2018. Fixing stats: social and cultural issue, *Nature* Correspondence, 16 January, doi: 10.1038/d41586-018-00647-9, https://www.nature.com/articles/d41586-018-00647-9

193. Expert statement, Support for Security Research, Center for Democracy and Technology, 10 April 2018 (with 57 others) https://cdt.org/files/2018/04/2018-04-09-security-research-expert-statement-final.pdf

194. Stark, P.B., 2018. Before reproducibility must come preproducibility, *Nature*, *557*, 613. doi: 10.1038/d41586-018-05256-0 https://www.nature.com/articles/d41586-018-05256-0, https://rdcu.be/PoBV

Software

1. Stark, P.B., and R.L. Parker, 1994. BVLS (Bounded-Variable Least Squares), STATLIB (Carnegie-Mellon University ftp server) http://lib.stat.cmu.edu/general/bvls

2. Java Applets for Statistics
   `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Java/Html/index.htm`

3. Millman, K., K. Ottoboni, P.B. Stark, and S. van der Walt, 2015. `permute` — a Python package for permutation tests
   `https://github.com/statlab/permute`

4. Tools for election audits
   `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Vote/auditTools.htm`
   `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Vote/ballotPollTools.htm`
   `https://github.com/pbstark/auditTools`
   `https://github.com/pbstark/DKDHondt14`

5. Tools to assess suspected lottery fraud
   `https://github.com/pbstark/Lotto`

6. Miscellaneous software and teaching materials:
   `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Code`
   `https://github.com/pbstark`

Patents

1. McDonald, T., S. Smuin, B. Smuin, and P.B. Stark, 6 December 2012. United States Patent 9,510,638. Securement strap for a sandal.

Selected Presentations

263. Classical Statistics in Modern Elections, Conference in Honor of Prof. Yoav Benjamini's 70th Birthday, Jerusalem, Israel, 17–20 December 2018. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/auditBenja18.htm`

262. Simulating a Ballot-Polling Risk-Limiting Audit with Cards and Dice, Multidisciplinary Conference on Election Auditing, MIT, Cambridge, MA, 7–8 December 2018. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/ballotPollingSimulation.pdf`

261. Risk-Limiting Audits and Evidence-Based Elections, Multidisciplinary Conference on Election Auditing, MIT, Cambridge, MA, 7–8 December 2018. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/auditMIT18.htm`

260. The Shape of Truth: Perspectives from Science and the Humanities, panelist (with Randy Schekman and John Campbell), Los Angeles, CA, 28 November 2018.

259. How to Tell if an Election Has Been Hacked, Nerd Nite, Oakland, CA, 26 November 2018. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/auditNerdNite18.htm`

258. Student Evaluations of Teaching: Managing Bias and Increasing Utility, Center for Education Innovation and Learning in the Sciences, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, 2 November 2018. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/setUCLA18.htm`

257. Student evaluations of teaching do not measure teaching effectiveness. What do they measure?, Stanford-Berkeley Joint Colloquium, Department of Statistics, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, 30 October 2018. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/setStanford18.htm`

256. Will my vote count? Political Science 191, University of California, Berkeley, 23 October 2018.

255. Availability, Safety, Palatability, and Nutrient Density of Wild and Feral Foods in Urban Ecosystems, ESPM 117, University of California, Berkeley, 16 October 2018. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/forageESPM18.pdf`

254. Preproducibility, STEM Carib Conference, University College of the Cayman Islands, Grand Cayman Island, 9–12 October

2018 https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/preproducibilityUCCI18.htm

253. Measuring Gender Bias in Student Evaluations of Teaching, STEM Carib Conference, University College of the Cayman Islands, Grand Cayman Island, 9–12 October 2018 https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/setUCCI18.htm

252. PSHA is naked—and it doesn't work, Workshop: Which Way SPRA?, 14th Conference on Probabilistic Safety Assessment and Management, UCLA, Los Angeles, CA, 16 September 2018. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/psha-ucla-18.slides.html

251. Resilient Greens: Nutrition, Toxicology, & Availability of Edible Weeds in the East Bay, with D. Miller, T. Carlson, and K.R. de Vasquez, Global Climate Summit, University of California, Davis, 10 September 2018.

250. Statistical Modeling, Machine Learning, and Inference, Machine Learning for Science Workshop, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA, 4–6 September 2018. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/lbl-ml18.slides.html

249. Securing our Elections, Town Hall Meeting with Congressman Mark DeSaulnier and Secretary of State Alex Padilla, Walnut Creek, CA, 13 August 2018. https://desaulnier.house.gov/media-center/press-releases/congressman-desaulnier-announces-town-hall-securing-our-elections

248. Soil to Belly, Health from the Soil Up: A Soil Health to Human Health Learning Lab, Paicines Ranch, Paicines, CA, 9–12 August, 2018.

247. You want flies with that? Farm Biodiversity and Food Safety, Health from the Soil Up: Bridging the Silos of Health and Agriculture, Center for Occupational and Environmental Health, University of California, Berkeley, 9 August 2018. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/flies18.pdf

246. Lectures on Foundations of Statistics and Inference, Tokyo-Berkeley Data Science Boot-Up Camp, 9–19 July 2018, Graduate School of

Mathematical Sciences, University of Tokyo, 9–19 July 2018. (3 lectures) Syllabus: https://github.com/pbstark/basicsKavli18/blob/master/kavliStat18.pdf

245. With Great Power Comes Great Responsibility: Multivariate Permutation Tests and Their Numerical Implementation, International Society for Nonparametric Statistics (ISNPS2018), Salerno, Italy, 11–15 June 2018. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/prngISNPS18.slides.html

244. Preproducibility, Reproducibility, Replicability: First Things First, Conference on Geodynamics and Big Data, Palau, Sardinia, 9–11 June 2018. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/reproYuen18.htm

243. Preproducibility, Reproducibility, Replicability: First Things First, All Souls College, University of Oxford, 29 May 2018. lides: https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/reproOX18.htm

242. Separating Signal from Noise: Measuring Gender Bias in Student Evaluations of Teaching, International Conference on Software Engineering, Gothenburg, Sweden, 27 May–3 June 2018. Slides: https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/setICSE18.htm

241. Where the Wild Foods Are: Everywhere!, Nordic Food Lab, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark, 24 May 2018. Slides: https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/bosf18.pdf

240. Wild and Feral Foods in the Mission District—and how to use them, Wildhawk, San Francisco, CA, 17 May 2018.

239. Don't bet on your random number generator, Department of Statistics and Data Science, University of Texas, Austin, TX 4 May 2018.

238. Student evaluations of teaching (mostly) do not measure teaching effectiveness, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, BC, 26 April 2018. Slides: https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/setSFU18.htm Video: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5haOjlfJDb8&feature=youtu.be

237. Public Engagement with Science, Molecular and Cell Biology 15, University of California, Berkeley, CA, 27 February 2018.

236. FoodInno: Wild Food, Statistics 98, University of California, Berkeley, 12 February 2018.

235. Quantifying Uncertainty in Inferences in Physics and Astronomy, Kavli IPMU–Berkeley Symposium "Statistics, Physics and Astronomy," Kavli Institute for the Physics and Mathematics of the Universe, Tokyo, Japan, 11–12 January 2018. Slides: `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/uqKavli18.htm`

234. Teaching Evaluations (Mostly) Do Not Measure Teaching Effectiveness, American Association of Physics Teachers Winter Meeting, San Diego, CA, 6–9 January 2018. Slides: `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/setAAPT18.htm`

233. Big Data, Society, and Data Science Education, University of Hong Kong, Shenzhen Campus, Shenzhen, China, 29 December 2017. Slides: `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/bigDataHKUSZ17.pdf`

232. Big Data and Social Good, Institute for Geodesy and Geophysics, Wuhan, China, 27 December 2017.

231. Big Data, Quantifauxcation, and Cargo-Cult Statistics, Big Data Conference, China University of Geosciences, Wuhan, China, 26 December 2017.

230. P-values, Probability, Priors, Rabbits, Quantifauxcation, and Cargo-Cult Statistics, Statistics 159, Reproducible and Collaborative Data Science, University of California, Berkeley, CA, 14 November 2017. Slides: `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/rabbits157-17.ipynb`

229. Opportunities in applied statistics: an $n = 1$ observational study, Statistics Undergraduate Student Association (SUSA), University of California, Berkeley, CA, 30 October 2017.

228. Don't Bet on Your Random Number Generator, Consortium for Data Analytics in Risk (CDAR) Annual Colloquium, University of California, Berkeley, CA, 27 October 2017. Slides: `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/prngCDAR17.slides.html`

227. Leave Election Integrity to Chance, *Science @ Cal*, University of California. Berkeley, CA, 21 October 2017.

226. Audits and Evidence-Based Elections, 2nd *Take Back the Vote Conference*, Berkeley, CA, 7–8 October 2017. Video: `https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pPGTkgpijUU`

225. Wild And Feral Foods: Increasing Nutrition, Food Security, Farm Biodiversity, and Farm Revenue; Decreasing Herbicides, Water Use, and the Carbon Footprint of the Food System, *2nd AgroecoWeb—International Online Congress on Agro-ecology and Permaculture*, Brazil, 4–10 October 2017. Video: `https://vimeo.com/235073616`

224. How Statistics can improve election integrity, PoliSci 191, *The Right to Vote in America*, University of California, Berkeley, 4 October 2017.

223. Wild and Feral Food Identification Walk, ESPM 98, *Berkeley Urban Garden Internship (BUGI)*, University of California, Berkeley, 27 September 2017.

222. Urban Foraging and Gleaning, *FoodInno*, University of California, Berkeley, 16 September 2017.

221. ETAS-trophic failures: fit, classification, and forecasting, *Big Data in Geosciences: From Earthquake Swarms to Consequences of Slab Dynamics*, a conference in honor of Robert Geller, University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan, 25–27 May 2017. Slides: `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/gellerFest17.pdf`

220. Risk-Limiting Audits, *Global Election Technology Summit*, San Francisco, CA, 17 May 2017. `https://www.getsummit.org/`

219. Where the Wild Things Grow, *Berkeley Path Wanderers Association*, Berkeley, CA, 22 April 2017. `http://berkeleypaths.org/events/event/where-the-wild-things-grow-2/`

218. Sometimes a Paper Trail Isn't Worth the Paper It's Written On, Keynote lecture, Workshop on Advances in Secure Electronic Voting, Financial Crypto 2017, Malta, 3–7 April 2017. Slides: `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/malta17.htm`

217. Don't Bet on Your Random Number Generator, Distinguished Lecture (`http://wwwen.uni.lu/snt/distinguished_lectures`), Center for Security, Reliability, and Trust, University of Luxembourg, Luxembourg, 31 March 2017. Slides: `https://github.com/pbstark/pseudorandom/blob/master/prngLux17.ipynb`

216. Faculty-Student Feedback: End-of-Semester Teaching Evaluations, Dialogues, Center for Teaching and Learning, University of California, Berkeley, 20 March 2017. Slides: `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/setUCBDialogue17.htm`

215. Edible Weeds Tour of South Hayward, Seed Lending Library, Hayward Public Library, Weekes Branch, Hayward, CA, 11 March 2017. `http://www.libraryinsight.com/eventdetails.asp?jx=hzp&lmx=%C7cn%2D%AA%AE&v=3`

214. Risk-limiting Audits and Evidence-based Elections, Santa Clara County Citizens Advisory Committee on Elections, San Jose, CA, 7 March 2017. Slides: `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/santaClara17.pdf`

213. Causal Inference from Data, Emerging Science for Environmental Health Decisions, Workshop on Advances in Causal Understanding of Human Health Risk-Based Decision Making, National Academy of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, Washington, DC, 6–7 March 2017. Slides: `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/nasCause17.htm`

212. BRII and Brie, Berkeley Research Impact Initiative (BRII), University of California, Berkeley, CA 22 February 2017.

211. Uncertainty Quantification, Conférence Universitaire de Suisse Occidentale, Les Diablerets, Switzerland, 5–8 February 2017. Slides: `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/lesDiablerets17-1.pdf`, `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Semin`

ars/lesDiablerets17-2.pdf, https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/lesDiablerets17-3.pdf

210. Whose Votes (were) Counted in the Election of 2016?, ISF 198, *The 2016 U.S. Elections in Global Context: A Semester-Long Teach-In*, University of California, Berkeley, 24 January 2017. Slides: https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/teachIn17.pdf

209. Invited panelist, "How Blockchain Technology Will and Won't Change the World," University of California, Berkeley, College of Letters and Sciences, hosted by Glynn Capital and Boost VC, San Mateo, CA, 30 November 2016.

208. Teaching Evaluations (Mostly) Do Not Measure Teaching Effectiveness, Distinguished Lecture Series, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, University of California, San Diego, San Diego, CA, 14 November 2016. Slides: https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/setUCSD16.htm

207. Simple Random Sampling is not that Simple, *Random Processes And Time Series: Theory And Applications, A Conference In Honor Of Murray Rosenblatt*, UC San Diego, San Diego, CA, 21–23 October 2016.

206. Invited panelist, "Productive Ecologies in the Anthropocene: Foraging Systems," *Sixth International Conference on Food Studies*, Berkeley, CA, 12–13 October 2016.

205. Teaching Evaluations (Mostly) Do Not Measure Teaching Effectiveness, Ethics Colloquium Series, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO, 3 October 2016. Slides: https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/setCSU16.htm Video: https://echo.colostate.edu/ess/echo/presentation/64309bd5-6afd-4394-b5d3-5e6748f545f1

204. Simple Random Sampling is not that Simple, Neyman Seminar, Department of Statistics, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, CA 21 September 2016.

203. The Aliens Have Landed ... and They Are Delicious, *Visions of the Wild*, Vallejo, CA, 15 September 2016.

202. Simple Random Sampling: Not So Simple, Section of Theoretical Computer Science, IT University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark, 27 June 2016.

201. Simple Random Sampling: Not So Simple, Section of Mathematics, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Lausanne, Switzerland, 24 June 2016.

200. Invited panelist, "Carrot vs. Stick: approaches to encouraging reproducibility," Moore-Sloan Data Science Environment Reproducibility Conference, New York University, New York, 3 May 2016.

199. Guest lecturer, MCB 15 (Public Understanding of Science), University of California, Berkeley, 12 April 2016.

198. Teaching Evaluations: Biased Beyond Measure, Center for Studies in Higher Education, and The Social Science Matrix, University of California, Berkeley, CA 11 April 2016. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/setCSHE16.htm` Video: `https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yhxUxBk-6GE`, `http://uctv.tv/shows/Teaching-Evaluations-Biased-Beyond-Measure-30870`

197. Teaching Evaluations (Mostly) Do Not Measure Teaching Effectiveness, Wharton Statistics Department, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, 17 March 2016. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/setPenn16.htm`

196. Invited Panelist, "The potentials and pitfalls of electronic auditing," Election Verification Network Conference: Securing Elections in the 21st Century, George Washington University, Washington, DC, 10–11 March 2016.

195. Invited Panelist, "Interoperability standards, proprietary codes, and verification/testing," III Arnold Workshop: Reproducibility in Modeling and Code, American Association for the Advancement of Science, Washington, DC, 16–17 January 2016. `http://www.aaas.org/event/iii-arnold-workshop-modeling-and-code`

194. Teaching Evaluations (Mostly) Do Not Measure Teaching Effectiveness, Department of Applied Mathematics and Statistics, University of Cal-

ifornia, Santa Cruz, 1 February 2016. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/setUCSC16.htm

193. A Noob's Guide to Reproducibility and Open Science, Department of Nuclear Engineering, Berkeley Institute for Data Science, and Berkeley Initiative for Transparency in Social Science, University of California, Berkeley, 25 January 2016. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/reproNE16.htm Video: http://www.ustream.tv/recorded/81987743

192. Chair, Wild Edibles Taste Workshop, 2015 Indigenous Terra Madre Conference, Shillong, Meghalaya, India, 3–7 November, 2015.

191. Invited Panelist, "From Field to Fork, the Stories of Chefs, Communities, and Writers," 2015 Indigenous Terra Madre Conference, Shillong, Meghalaya, India, 3–7 November, 2015. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/forageITM15.htm

190. Guest lecturer, ESPM 117 (Urban Garden Ecosystems), University of California, Berkeley, 20 October 2015. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/forageAgroEcol15.htm

189. Invited Panelist, "Statistical Implications of Big Data Applied to Risk Modeling," Consortium for Data Analytics in Risk (CDAR) Symposium, University of California, Berkeley, 16 October 2015. http://cdar.berkeley.edu/events/2015cdarsymposium/

188. Guest lecturer, Statistics 210A (Theoretical Statistics), University of California, Berkeley, 13–15 October 2015. https://github.com/pbstark/Nonpar

187. Risk-Limiting Audits and the Colorado Uniform Voting System Pilot, Colorado Pilot Election Review Committee Meeting, Office of the Colorado Secretary of State, Denver, CO, 9 October 2015. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/auditCO15.pdf

186. Wild and Feral Food in EBRPD, East Bay Regional Park District Volunteer Meeting, Oakland, CA, 15 September 2015. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/forageEBRPD15.htm

185. Probability and Statistics for Physical Science and Engineering PhD Students (a 15-hour course), University of Tokyo, 23–26 August 2015. Materials: `http://www.github.com/pbstark/PhysEng`

184. Statistics for Engineering PhD students (a 30-hour course), University of Padova, Padova, Italy, 29 June–7 July 2015. Materials: `http://www.github.com/pbstark/Padova15`

183. Pay no attention to the model behind the curtain, Significant Digits: Responsible Use of Quantitative Information, European Commission Joint Research Centre, Brussels, Belgium, 9–10 June 2015. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/rabbitsBrux15.htm`

182. Reaping without Sowing: Wild Food and Urban Foraging, Berkeley Food Institute Seed Grant Forum, Berkeley, CA, 6 May 2015. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/bfi-15-5-6.htm` Video: `http://food.berkeley.edu/seed-grant-forum/`

181. Invited panelist, Data Science: Supporting new Modes of Research, Annual Meeting of the Association of Research Libraries, Berkeley, CA, 28–30 April, 2015.

180. Teaching evaluations: class act or class action?, National Center for the Study of Collective Bargaining in Higher Education and the Professions, Annual Conference, Hunter College, New York, NY, 19–21 April 2015. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/setNCSCB15.htm`

179. Where the Wild Things Grow, Berkeley Path Wanderers Association, Berkeley, CA, 4 April 2015. `http://berkeleypaths.org/events/event/where-the-wild-things-grow/`

178. Invited panelist, Brave New Audits: How We Can Implement Risk-Limiting Audits with Today's Machines, Off-the-Shelf Hardware, and Open Source Software, 2015 Election Verification Network Annual meeting, New Orleans, LA, 4–6 March 2015. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/evn15.htm` Video: `https://youtu.be/DBcVicxJigs`

177. Co-chair, Election Auditing, NIST / U.S. Election Administration Commission Future of Voting Systems Symposium II, Washington, DC, 9–10 February 2015.

176. Teaching evaluations: truthful or truthy?, European Commission Joint Research Centre *Third Lisbon Research Workshop on Economics, Statistics and Econometrics of Education*, Lisbon, Portugal, 23–24 January 2015. `http://cemapre.iseg.ulisboa.pt/educonf/3e3/` `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/setLisbon15.htm`

175. Bad Numbers, Bad Policy, 5th Impact Assessment Course by the Joint Research Centre and the Secretariat General of the European Commission, Brussels, Belgium, 20–21 January 2015. `https://ec.europa.eu/jrc/en/event/training-course/5th-impact-assessment-course` `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/fauxBrux15.htm`

174. Quantifauxcation, European Commission Joint Research Centre, Ispra, Italy, 19 January 2015. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/fauxIspra15.htm`

173. Preproducibility for Research, Teaching, Collaboration, and Publishing, Replicability and Reproducibility of Discoveries in Animal Phenotyping, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel, 5–7 January 2015. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/reproTAU15.htm` Video: `http://video.tau.ac.il/events/index.php?option=com_k2&view=item&id=5563:preproducibility-for-research-teaching-collaboration-and-publishing&Itemid=552`

172. Urban Foraging—Real Street Food, Discover Cal: A Menu for Change, Los Angeles, CA, 18 November 2014. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/discoverCalLA14.htm`

171. Guest lecturer, 6.S897/17.S952: Elections and Voting Technology, MIT, 13 November 2014.

170. Open Geospatial Data Down in the Weeds: Urban Foraging, Food Deserts, Citizen Science, Sustainability, and Reproducibility, Assessing

the Socioeconomic Impacts and Value of 'Open' Geospatial Information, The George Washington University, Washington DC, 28–29 October 2014. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/openGeospatial14.htm

169. Student Evaluations of Teaching, University of San Francisco, 23 October 2014. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/setUSF14.htm

168. Guest lecturer, CS 76N: Elections and Technology, Stanford University, 14 October 2014.

167. Statistical Evidence and Election Integrity, XXIX International Forum on Statistics, UPAEP, Puebla, Mexico, 29 September–3 October 2014. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/foro14.pdf

166. Nonparametric Inference, Auditing, and Litigation, Short course at XXIX International Forum on Statistics, UPAEP, Puebla, Mexico, 29 September–3 October 2014. https://github.com/pbstark/MX14

165. Invited participant, Pew Charitable Trusts roundtable: Challenges Related to the Voting Systems Marketplace, Chicago, IL, 8 September 2014.

164. Invited panelist, U.S. Election Assistance Commission roundtable: Expanding the Body of Knowledge of Election Administration–Reflections and Future Direction, 3 September 2014. http://www.eac.gov/eac_grants_expanding_the_body_of_knowledge_of_election_administration_%E2%80%93_reflections_and_future_dire/ Video: http://mediasite.yorkcast.com/webcast/Play/a90f223fa61940cd893b70fab55fe1b51d

163. Reproducibility, Evidence, and the Scientific Method, Late-breaking session on Reproducibility, Joint Statistical Meetings, Boston, MA, 2–7 August 2014. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/reproJSM14.htm

162. Invited panelist, Big Data & Academic Libraries, International Alliance of Research Universities, 3rd Librarians' Meeting, University of California, Berkeley, CA, 23–24 June 2014.

161. Mini-Minimax Uncertainty Quantification for Emulators, 2nd Conference of the International Society for Nonparametric Statistics, Cadiz, Spain, 11–16 June 2014. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/emulatorISNPS14.pdf`

160. Reproducible and Collaborative Statistical Data Science, Transparency Practices for Empirical Social Science Research, 2014 Summer Institute, University of California, Berkeley, CA, 2–6 June 2014. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/bitss14.pdf`

159. Risk-Limiting Audits for Denmark and Mongolia, Third DemTech Workshop on Danish Elections, Trust, and Technology for the Mongolian General Election Commission, IT University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark, 24 May 2014. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/itu14.pdf`

158. How to Lie With Big Data (and/or Big Computations), Panel on Data Deluge or Drought (Quality and Quantity), MPE13+ Workshop on Global Change, DIMACS Special Program: Mathematics of Planet Earth 2013+, University of California, Berkeley, CA, 19–21 May 2014. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/mpe14.pdf`

157. Invited panelist, Relying on Data Science: Reproducible Research and the Role of Policy, DataEDGE conference, UC Berkeley School of Information, Berkeley, CA, 8–9 May 2014.

156. Invited panelist, Some Tools and Solutions, University of Washington / Moore–Sloan First Reproducibility Workshop, eScience Institute, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, 8 May 2014 `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/reproUW14.pdf`

155. Some people have all the luck, Institute for Pure and Applied Mathematics, UCLA, Los Angeles, CA, 28 April 2014. (with Skip Garibaldi and Lawrence Mower) `http://www.ipam.ucla.edu/programs/PUBLEC2014/` Video: `https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=s8cHHWNblA4`

154. Invited panelist, Ask a Statistician, SIAM/ASA/GAMM/AGU Conference on Uncertainty Quantification, Savannah, GA, 29 March – 3 April 2014.

153. Invited panelist, The Reliability of Computational Research Findings: Reproducible Research, Uncertainty Quantification, and Verification & Validation, SIAM/ASA/GAMM/AGU Conference on Uncertainty Quantification, Savannah, GA, 29 March – 3 April 2014. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/reproUQ14.pdf Video: http://client.blueskybroadcast.com/SIAM14/UQ/siam_uq14_MS42_3

152. Invited panelist, New Paradigms for Voting Systems, 2014 Election Verification Network Annual meeting, San Diego, CA, 5–7 March 2014. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/evn14NewParadigms.pdf Video: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bTlHYkiYBZI

151. Invited panelist, End-to-End Verifiable Voting Roundtable, 2014 Election Verification Network Annual meeting, San Diego, CA, 5–7 March 2014. Video: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jsGSQV_rFzA

150. Invited panelist, Improving Teaching through uncharted Waters: Peer Observation and other Approaches, Dialogues, a Colloquium Series on Teaching, Center for Teaching and Learning, University of California, Berkeley, 26 February 2014. http://teaching.berkeley.edu/dialogues-colloquium-series-teaching

149. Invited panelist, Unpacking the Voting Technology Debate, 2014 Voting and Elections Annual Summit, Overseas Vote Foundation and U.S. Vote Foundation, George Washington University, Washington, D.C., 30 January 2014. https://www.overseasvotefoundation.org/initiatives-UOCAVAsummit-summit2014-agenda Video: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UXqqnOWhsmA&list=PLtRB8fQ0zBR8Nza-G-RGln-HTrkp4UM6F&feature=share&index=1#t=23m30s

148. Risk-Limiting Audits for Party-List Elections. IT University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark, 21 November 2013. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/itu13.pdf

147. Selective Inference and Conditional Tests. Department of Statistics and Operations Research, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel, 28 October 2013.

146. Ontology of Earthquake Probability: Metaphor. Dynamics of Seismicity, Earthquake Clustering and Patterns in Fault Networks, Statistical and Applied Mathematical Sciences Institute (SAMSI), Research Triangle Park, NC, 9–11 October 2013. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/samsiSeis13.pdf`

145. Invited panelist, Innovations in On-line Learning, Designing a World University, World Academy Forum on Global Higher Education, Berkeley, California, 2–3 October 2013.

144. E2E to Hand-to-Eye: Verifiability, Trust, Audits, Vote ID 2013: The 4th International Conference on e-Voting and Identity, University of Surrey, Guildford, UK 17–19 July 2013. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/voteID13.pdf`

143. Mini-Minimax Uncertainty of Emulators, Center for Security, Reliability, and Trust, University of Luxembourg, Luxembourg, 9 July 2013. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~starkstark/Seminars/emulatorLux13.pdf`

142. Invited panelist, Extracting Actionable Insight From Dirty Time-Series Data, Berkeley Research Data Science Lectures, University of California, Berkeley, 21 June 2013. Video: `http://vcresearch.berkeley.edu/datascience/webcast-data-science-lecture-series-june-21`

141. Uncertainty quantification for emulators, Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy, 5 June 2013. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/emulatorUniBo13.pdf`

140. Leveraging Paper Ballots, Running Elections Efficiently, A Best Practices Convening, Common Cause – Common Cause / NY – Columbia University School of International and Public Affairs, Columbia University, New York, NY, 20 May 2013. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/ccNY13.pdf`

139. Uncertainty quantification for emulators, University of California, Los Angeles, 11 April 2013. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/emulatorUCLA13.pdf`

138. Brittle and Resilient Verifiable Voting Systems, Verifiable Voting Schemes Workshop: from Theory to Practice, Interdisciplinary Centre

for Security, Reliability and Trust, University of Luxembourg, Luxembourg 21–22 March 2013. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/vv13.pdf

137. Now What?, Election Verification Network Annual Conference, The Right to a Secure, Transparent and Accurate Election, Atlanta, Georgia 14–15 March 2013. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/evn13nowWhat.pdf

136. Machine-Assisted Transitive Audits, Election Verification Network Annual Conference, The Right to a Secure, Transparent and Accurate Election, Atlanta, Georgia 14–15 March 2013.

135. Risk-limiting Audits and Evidence-Based Elections in a Nutshell, Election Verification Network Annual Conference, The Right to a Secure, Transparent and Accurate Election, Atlanta, Georgia 14–15 March 2013. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/evn13nutshell.pdf

134. Reproducibility in Computational and Experimental Mathematics, ICERM, Brown University, Providence, RI, 10–14 December 2012. http://icerm.brown.edu/tw12-5-rcem

133. Whaddya know? Bayesian and Frequentist approaches to inverse problems, Inverse Problems: Practical Applications and Advanced Analysis, Schlumberger WesternGeco, Houston, TX, 12–15 November 2012. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/swg12.pdf

132. Evidence-Based Elections, E-Voting: Risk and Opportunity Conference, Center for Information Technology Policy, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, 1 November 2012. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/princeton12.pdf Video: http://youtu.be/1Z6JW1t_sFI

131. Evidence-Based Elections, Berkeley/Stanford Data, Society and Inference Seminar, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 8 October 2012. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/dataSocietyInference12.pdf

130. Voting Technology Exploratory Meeting, The Pew Charitable Trusts Center on the States, Santa Monica, CA 23–24 August 2012.

129. Lightning Debates, Workshop on Electronic Voting Technology / Workshop on Transparent Elections, (EVT/WOTE '12), USENIX, Bellevue, WA, 6–7 August 2012. Video: https://www.usenix.org/conference/evtwote12/panel-2-title-tbd

128. BRAVO: Ballot-polling Risk-limiting Audits to Verify Outcomes, Workshop on Electronic Voting Technology / Workshop on Transparent Elections, (EVT/WOTE '12), USENIX, Bellevue, WA, 6–7 August 2012. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/evt12.pdf Video: https://www.usenix.org/conference/evtwote12/s6-paper-title-tbd

127. The Will of the People and the Luck of the Draw: Using Statistics to Limit the Risk of Wrong Electoral Outcomes, Joint Statistical Meetings, San Diego, CA, 29 July 2012. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/jsm12.pdf

126. Evidence-Based Elections, Risk-Limiting Audits, and Resilient Canvass Frameworks, SecVote 2012 Summer School on Secure Voting, Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, Schloss Dagstuhl, Germany, 16 July 2012. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/dagstuhl12.pdf

125. The Effectiveness of Internet Content Filters, Distinguished Lecture (http://wwwen.uni.lu/snt/distinguished_lectures), Center for Security, Reliability, and Trust, University of Luxembourg, Luxembourg, 13 July 2012. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/luxembourg12.pdf

124. Evidence-Based Elections, International Association of Clerks, Recorders, Election Officials & Treasurers (IACREOT) annual conference, Albuquerque, NM, 30 June 2012. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/iacreot12.pdf

123. Confidence Limits, Progress on Statistical Issues in Searches, SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Stanford, CA, 4–6 June 2012. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/slac12.pdf

122. UQQ, UQ: Transition Workshop, Statistical and Applied Mathematical Sciences Institute (SAMSI), Research Triangle Park, NC, 21–23 May

2012. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/samsi12.pdf

121. Testing for Poisson Behavior, Seismological Society of America Annual Meeting, San Diego, CA, 17–19 April 2012. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/ssa12.pdf

120. Get Out The Audit (GOTA), Election Verification Network Annual Conference, Santa Fe, NM, 29–30 March 2012. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/evnGOTA12.pdf

119. The Long View: Evidence-Based Elections, Election Verification Network Annual Conference, Santa Fe, NM, 29–30 March 2012. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/evnLongView12.pdf

118. The Will of the People and the Luck of the Draw: Risk-Limiting Audits and Resilient Canvass Frameworks, San Francisco Chapter of the American Statistical Association, Berkeley, CA, 16 February 2012. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/asa12.pdf

117. Evidence-Based Elections: Colorado's Future?, Colorado Elections Best Practices & Vision Commission, Denver, CO, 14 December 2011. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/co-11-12-14.pdf Audio: mms://pub.sos.state.co.us/20111214130705B

116. From the Virtual Trenches, *Letters and Sciences Colloquium on Undergraduate Education:* The Virtual University—Challenges and Opportunities, University of California, Berkeley, CA, 16 November 2011. http://ls.berkeley.edu/stories/archive/fall-2011-colloquium-undergraduate-education-0 https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/onlineEd11.pdf Video: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=40vGDuPSJso

115. Earthquake Clustering and Declustering, Institute de Physique du Globe de Paris, Paris, France, 4 October 2011. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/ipg11.pdf

114. Fears, Predictions, Hopes & Plans, *Panel on the Future*, Election Integrity: Past, Present, and Future, Caltech/MIT Voting Technology Project, Cambridge, MA, 1 October 2011. https://www.stat.berke

ley.edu/~stark/Seminars/mit11.pdf Video: http://techtv.mit.edu/collections/vtp/videos/14802-eippf-2011-3-the-future

113. Risk-limiting Audits: Soup to Nuts, and Beyond, Workshop on Electronic Voting Technology / Workshop on Transparent Elections, (EVT/WOTE '11), USENIX, San Francisco, CA, 9 August 2011. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/evtRLA11.pdf

112. SOBA: Secrecy-preserving Observable Ballot-level Audit, Workshop on Electronic Voting Technology / Workshop on Transparent Elections, (EVT/WOTE '11), USENIX, San Francisco, CA, 9 August 2011. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/evtSoba11.pdf

111. The Effectiveness of Internet Content Filtering, Workshop on Free and Open Communication on the Internet (FOCI '11), USENIX, San Francisco, CA, 8 August 2011. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/foci11.pdf

110. SticiGui, Onsophic, and Statistics W21, Panel on online instruction, Joint Statistical Meetings, Miami Beach, FL, 31 August 2011. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/jsm11.pdf

109. Risk Limiting Audits, Colorado Secretary of State, Colorado Risk Limiting Audit (CORLA) Kick-off Meeting, Denver, CO, 16 June 2011. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/co-11-6-16.pdf

108. Simultaneous Confidence Intervals with more Power to Determine Signs, Conference in honor of Erich Lehmann, Rice University, Houston, TX, 12 May 2011. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/lehmann11.pdf

107. Close enough for government [to] work, Verified Voting Foundation, Palo Alto, CA, 27 April 2011. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/vv-11-4-27.pdf

106. Close enough for government [to] work: Risk-limiting post-election audits, Berkeley-Stanford Joint Statistics Colloquium, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, 12 April 2011. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/stanford11.pdf

105. Audits: The After-Math of Elections, Verify early, verify often: creating secure, transparent and accurate elections, Election Verification Network, Chicago, IL, 25–26 March 2011. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/reed11.pdf`

104. Simultaneous Confidence Intervals with more Power to Determine Signs, Department of Mathematics, Reed College, Portland, OR, 10 March 2011. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/reed11.pdf`

103. Close enough for government work: Risk-Limiting Post-Election Audits, Wharton Statistics Department, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, 26 January 2011. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/penn11.pdf`

102. Audits: The After-Math of Election Reform, Conference on Innovative Electoral Reforms and Strategies, Washington, DC, 10–11 December 2010. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/innovative10.pdf`

101. Risk-Limiting Post-Election Audits: Statistics, Policy, and Politics, Department of Statistics, Rice University, Houston, TX, 1 November 2010. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/rice10.pdf`

100. Are Declustered Earthquake Catalogs Poisson?, Department of Statistics, Pennsylvania State University, State College, PA, 14 October 2010. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/psu10.pdf`

99. Super-simple simultaneous single-ballot risk-limiting audits, 2010 Electronic Voting Technology Workshop / Workshop on Trustworthy Elections (EVT/WOTE '10), Washington, DC, 9–10 August 2010. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/evtwote10.pdf`

98. AB 2023 and Risk-Limiting Audits, California Association of Clerks and Election Officials Legislative Committee Meeting, 14 May 2010. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/caceo-legis10.pdf`

97. Justice and inequalities, Department of Statistics and Operations Research, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel, 13 April 2010. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/tau10.pdf`

96. Size Matters: Smaller Batches Yield More Efficient Risk-Limiting Audits, Small-Batch Audit Meeting, Washington, DC, 27–28 March 2010. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/smallBatch10.pdf

95. Sexy Audits and the Single Ballot, Election Verification Network (EVN) annual conference, Washington, DC, 25–27 March 2010. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/evn10.pdf

94. Simple, Affordable, Post-Election Audits, Institute for Mathematical Behavioral Sciences, University of California, Irvine, CA, 7 January 2010. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/uci10.pdf

93. Efficient Post-Election Audits of Multiple Contests: 2009 California Tests, Conference on Empirical Legal Studies, University of Southern California Gould School of Law, Los Angeles, CA, 20–21 November 2009. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/cels09.pdf

92. Risk-Limiting Audits, Audit Working Meeting, American Statistical Association, Arlington, VA, 23–24 October 2009. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/asa09.pdf

91. Invited panelist, Uncertainty Quantification and Error Analysis, Scientific Grand Challenges in National Security: the Role of Computing at the Extreme Scale, Washington, DC, 6–8 October 2009.

90. Some Ado about (mostly) Nothing: zero-dominated data, Alameda County Workshop on Avian Mortality at Altamont, Emeryville, CA, 22 September 2009. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/altamont09.pdf

89. Freedman's Dialogue with the Social Sciences, 2009 Joint Statistical Meetings, Washington, DC, 5 August 2009.

88. Invited panelist, David A. Freedman's Dialogue with the Social Sciences, The Society for Political Methodology 26th Annual Summer Meeting, Institution for Social and Policy Studies, Yale University, New Haven, CT, 23 July 2009.

87. Election Auditing: How Much is Enough?, The Society for Political Methodology 26th Annual Summer Meeting, Institution for Social and Policy Studies, Yale University, 23 July 2009. (Keynote lecture) `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/polMeth09.pdf`

86. Risk-Limiting Post-Election Audits, Department of Statistics, University of California, Berkeley, CA, 31 March 2009. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/ucb09.pdf`

85. Uncertainty Quantification Qualification, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA, 26 March 2009. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/llnl09.pdf`

84. 2008 Risk-limiting Audits in California, The Pew Charitable Trusts Audit Workshop, Salt Lake City, UT, 23–24 February 2009. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/pew09.pdf`

83. Election Auditing and Nonparametric Confidence Bounds, Department of Mathematics, Reed College, Portland, OR, 20 November 2008. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/reed08.pdf`

82. Risk-Limiting Post-Election Audits, Department of Statistics, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS, 2 October 2008. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/ksu08.pdf`

81. CAST: Canvass Audits by Sampling and Testing, 2008 American Political Science Association Annual Meeting, Panel 2008MP04292: Catch Me If You Can: Techniques to Detect Electoral Fraud, Boston, MA, 28–31 August 2008. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/apsa08.pdf`

80. Invited panelist, Joint Statistical Meetings session, Statistical Measures Can Help Restore Confidence in U.S. Elections, Denver, CO, 3–7 August 2008.

79. Invited Panel on Post-Election Auditing: The Academic & Advocacy Perspective, California Association of Clerks and Election Officials (CACEO) 100th Anniversary Celebration Conference, Long Beach, CA, 8–11 July 2008.

78. Statistical Audits: Why and How Much?, Invited Panel on Post-Election Auditing: Practical Experience and Best Practices, California Association of Clerks and Election Officials (CACEO) 100th Anniversary Celebration Conference, Long Beach, CA, 8–11 July 2008. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/caceo08.pdf

77. Invited Panel on Online Learning, UC21st Century, Teaching, Learning and Technology: Past, present and future, University of California, Davis, 20–21 June 2008.

76. SticiGui—What is it?, Department of Statistics, University of California, Los Angeles, CA, 29 May 2008. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/ucla08.pdf

75. Election Auditing: How Much Is Enough?, Mathematical Sciences Research Institute, Annual Meeting of Academic Sponsors and Steering Committee, Berkeley, CA, 7 March 2008. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/msri08.pdf

74. Invited panelist, 2007 Post Election Audit Summit, Minneapolis, MN, 25–27 October 2007. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/peaSummit07.pdf

73. Urning Voter Confidence, Department of Mathematics, Reed College, Portland, OR, 11 October 2007. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/reed07.pdf

72. Frequentist Methods in Inverse Problems, Sandia CSRI Workshop on Large-Scale Inverse Problems and Quantification of Uncertainty, Santa Fe, NM, 10–12 September 2007. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/sandia07.odp

71. How Statistics Helps, 9th US Congress on Computational Mechanics, San Francisco, CA, 22–26 July 2007. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/compMech07.odp

70. Nonparametrics: nonpareil?, Veterans Administration Hospital, Neuropsychology Brown Bag Lunch, Martinez, CA, 15 May 2007. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/ebire-5-15-07.pdf

69. The Null Hypothesis: Are Earthquakes Predictable?, Assessment schemes for earthquake prediction, Royal Astronomical Society/Joint Association for Geophysics Discussion Meeting 7–8 November 1996, the Geological Society, London

68. Shaking Down Earthquake Predictions, Department of Statistics, University of California, Davis, 25 May 2006 `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/ucd-5-25-06.pdf`

67. Measuring Resolution in Nonlinear and Constrained Inverse Problems, Workshop on Statistical Inverse Problems, Institute for Mathematical Stochastics, Göttingen, Germany, 23–25 March 2006. `http://www.num.math.uni-goettingen.de/gk/?Workshops:Workshop_on_Statistical_Inverse_Problems`

66. Resolution in Nonlinear and Constrained Inverse Problems, Workshop on Computational and Mathematical Geoscience, Colorado School of Mines, Golden CO, 15–17 June 2005.

65. Quantifying uncertainty in inverse problems, Summer school: Mathematical Geophysics and Uncertainty in Earth Models, Colorado School of Mines, Golden CO, 14–25 June 2004. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/mines04.pdf`

64. Estimating power spectra of galaxy structure: can Statistics help?, Penetrating bars through masks of cosmic dust: the Hubble tuning fork strikes a new note, Pilanesberg National Park, South Africa, 7–12 June 2004. `http:www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/bars04.ppt`

63. Quantifying uncertainty in inverse problems, Institute for Pure and Applied Mathematics (IPAM) Conference on Statistical Methods for Inverse Problems, IPAM, Los Angeles, CA, 5–6 November 2003. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/ipam03.ppt`

62. Using what we know: inference with physical constraints, PhyStat 2003: Statistical Problems in Particle Physics, Astrophysics and Cosmology, Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, Stanford, CA, 8–10 September 2003. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/phyStat03.pdf`

61. Statistical Approaches to Inverse Problems. Danish Interdisciplinary Inversion Group Seminars on Inverse Problems: Insight and Algorithms. Niels Bohr Institute, Copenhagen University, Copenhagen, Denmark, 27–29 May 2002. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/bohr02.ppt`

60. Statistical Measures of Uncertainty in Inverse Problems. Institute for Mathematics and its Applications Tutorial on Inverse Problems and the Quantification of Uncertainty, Annual Program Mathematics in the Geosciences, Minneapolis, MN, 19 March 2002. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/ima02.ppt`

59. Data Errors, Model Errors, and Estimation Errors, Frontiers of Geophysical Inversion Workshop, Waterways Experiment Station, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Engineer Research and Development Center, Vicksburg, MS, 17–19 February 2002. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/wes02.ppt`

58. Strategic Planning and Implementation I: The Challenge of Adapting Organizations and Creating Partnerships to Target New Markets, University Teaching as E-business?, Center for Studies in Higher Education, Berkeley, CA, 26–27 October 2001.

57. Inverse Problems and Data Errors, New Developments in Astrophysical Fluid Dynamics, Chateau de Mons, Caussens, France, 25–29 June 2001.

56. Data Reduction and Inverse Problems in Helioseismology, Workshop Statistics of inverse problems, Institut Henri Poincaré, Paris, France, 28–29 May 2001.

55. Why Statistics is worth the Stigma, Letters and Sciences Faculty Forum, University of California, Berkeley, CA, 23 April 2001. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/stigma01.ppt`

54. Inverse Problems in Helioseismology, Second MaPhySto Workshop on Inverse Problems: Inverse problems from a Statistical Perspective, Aalborg, Denmark, 28–31 March 2001.

53. What are the Chances?, NATO Advanced Research Workshop: State of scientific knowledge regarding earthquake occurrence and implications

for public policy, Le Dune, Piscinas — Arbus, Sardinia, Italy, 15–19 October 2000.

52. Why Unadjusted Census Results should be Used for Reapportionment and Funding within the State of California, 13th Annual Demographic Workshop, U.S. Bureau of the Census, California State Census Data Center, and the Population Research Laboratory of the University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, 15 May 2000.

51. Invited discussant, Workshop of the National Academy of Sciences Panel to Review the 2000 Census, Washington, D.C., 2–3 February 2000.

50. Invited discussant, Panel discussion on the role of sampling in the US Census, San Francisco Bay Area Chapter of the American Statistical Association, 20 December 1999.

49. Lecturer, Mathematical Geophysics Summer School, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, 2–20 August 1999.

48. Less Asymptotic Tomography. 9th SOHO Workshop: Helioseismic Diagnostics of Solar Convection and Activity, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, 12–15 July 1999.

47. Invited panelist, Reinventing Undergraduate Education: Technology Enhanced Learning in the Sciences, Math, and Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, CA, 23 April 1999.

46. Error in Numerical Models Fitted to Data. DSRC/DARPA Study on Numerical Simulation of Physical Systems: The State of the Art, and Opportunities for Further Advances, Kick-Off Meeting, Arlington, VA, 19–20 January 1999. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/dsrc99.htm`

45. Sampling to Adjust the U.S. Census. Miller Institute for Basic Research in Science, University of California, Berkeley, CA, 12 January 1999. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/mibrs99.htm`

44. A Statistician's Perspective on Census Adjustment, Berkeley Breakfast Club, Berkeley, CA, 5 December 1998. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/bbc98.htm`

43. SticiGui: Melts in your Browser, not in your Brain, Joint Berkeley-Stanford Statistics Colloquium, Department of Statistics, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, 27 October 1998. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/bsc98.htm`

42. SticiGui: Statistics Tools for Internet and Classroom Instruction with a Graphical User Interface, 1998 Joint Statistical Meetings of the American Statistical Association, International Biometric Society, and Institute of Mathematical Statistics, Orlando, FL, 12 August 1998.

41. Presidential Panel on Statistics in Public Policy, 1998 Joint Statistical Meetings of the American Statistical Association, International Biometric Society, and Institute of Mathematical Statistics, Orlando, FL, 10 August 1998.

40. Misfit Measures and Statistical Inconsistency in Linear Inverse Problems. AMS/IMS/SIAM Joint Summer Research Conferences in the Mathematical Sciences, Mathematical Methods in Inverse Problems for Partial Differential Equations, Mt. Holyoke, MA, 4–9 July 1998. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/ams-ims-siam-98.pdf`

39. Uncertainties for functions from incomplete, erroneous data. NSF/DOE Workshop on Uncertainty in Modeling, National Science Foundation, Arlington, VA, 11–12 June 1998. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/nsf-doe-98.htm`

38. Sampling to adjust the 1990 Census for Undercount. U.S. House of Representatives Subcommittee on the Census, May 1998. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Census/house-5-5-98-pbs.pdf`

37. Sounding the Sun: Helioseismology. 1998 American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS) Annual Meeting and Science Innovation Exposition, Philadelphia, PA., February 1998. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/Aaas/helio.htm`

36. Data Sampling Rate Reduction for the OERSTED geomagnetic Satellite, Department of Geological Sciences, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, 28 July 1997. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/Oersted/writeup.htm`

35. Does God play dice with the Earth, and if so, are they loaded? Fourth SIAM Conference on Mathematical and Computational Methods in the Geosciences, Albuquerque, NM, 16 June 1997. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/doesgod.htm`

34. Solving Problems for a Large Statistics Lecture Course using a Website UC Berkeley Academic Senate Workshop on Classroom Technology, Berkeley, CA, 11 April 1997. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/itpTalk.htm`

33. Deficiencies of the simple theories, Local Helioseismology Workshop, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, England, 1997.

32. CMB's, Royal Astronomical Society Ordinary Meeting, London, England, 1996.

31. The Null Hypothesis, Royal Astronomical Society and Joint Associations for Geophysics discussion meeting on Assessment of Schemes for Earthquake Prediction, London, England, 1996.

30. On the consistency of multiple inference in inverse problems using l_p confidence sets, International Conference on Multiple Comparisons, Tel Aviv, Israel, 1996.

29. Confidence Intervals in Inverse Problems, Conference in Honor of George Backus, Institute for Geophysics and Planetary Physics, La Jolla, CA, 1995.

28. The Need for Wave-Equation Travel-Time Tomography, Institute for Mathematics and Its Applications, Conference on Tomography, Minneapolis, MN, 1995.

27. Inference, Prior Information, and Misfit Measures, Interdisciplinary Inversion Conference on Methodology, Computation and Integrated Applications, University of Aarhus, Aarhus, Denmark, 1995.

26. Optimization and Inference in Travel-Time Seismology, National Research Council Board on Mathematical Sciences Symposium on Mathematical Sciences in Seismology, Washington, DC, 1995.

25. Prior Information and Confidence Intervals in Inverse Problems, International Union of Geodesy and Geophysics Meeting, Boulder, CO, 1995.

24. Something AGAINST Nothing: A Confidence Game, Joint Statistical Meetings of the American Statistical Association, International Biometric Society, and Institute of Mathematical Statistics, Orlando, FL, 1995.

23. Uncertainties in Travel-Time Seismology, SIAM/GAMM Symposium on Inverse Problems: Geophysical Applications, Fish Camp, CA, 1995.

22. Toward Tubular Tomography, 27th General Assembly of the Int. Assoc. of Seismology and Phys. of the Earth's Inter. (IASPEI), Wellington, New Zealand, 1994.

21. Alternative Data Analysis Techniques, Global Oscillation Network Group annual meeting, Los Angeles, CA, (presented by C. Genovese due to illness), 1994.

20. Mathematical Aspects of Integral Equation Inversion, Global Oscillation Network Group workshop, Sydney, Australia, 1994.

19. Conservative Finite-Sample Confidence Envelopes for Monotone and Unimodal Densities, Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach meeting on Curves, Images and Massive Computation, Oberwolfach, Germany, 1993.

18. Invited discussant, Joint IMS/ASA/ENAR Meeting, Philadelphia, PA, 1993.

17. Uncertainty of the Quadrupole Component of the Cosmic Microwave Background, Israel Statistical Association Annual Meeting, Tel Aviv, 1993.

16. Brute-Force Minimax Estimation in Geochemistry, Joint Statistical Meetings of the American Statistical Association, International Biometric Society, and Institute of Mathematical Statistics, San Francisco, CA, 1993.

15. Conservative Numerical Uncertainty Estimates in Inverse Problems, SIAM 40th Anniversary Meeting, Los Angeles, CA, 1992.

14. Minimax Estimation in Geomagnetism, European Geophysical Society Annual Meeting, Wiesbaden, Germany, 1991.

13. Minimax Estimation in Geophysical Inverse Problems: Applications to Seismic Tomography and Geomagnetism, Schmitt Institute for Physics of the Earth, Academy of Sciences of the USSR, Moscow, 1991.

12. Imagining Earth's Interior: Controversies in Seismology and Geomagnetism, Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Workshop on Statistical Methods in Imaging, Berkeley, CA, 1991.

11. Discretization and its Discontents: New Methods in Inverse Theory, Institute for Theoretical Physics program Helioseismology—Probing the Interior of a Star, National Science Foundation Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of California, Santa Barbara, 1990.

10. Inference in Infinite-Dimensional Inverse Problems, Schmitt Institute for Physics of the Earth, Academy of Sciences of the USSR, Moscow, 1990.

9. Inference in Infinite-Dimensions: Discretization and Duality, Israel Statistical Association Annual Meeting, Jerusalem, 1990.

8. Superresolution: What, When and How?, Institute for Theoretical Physics program Helioseismology—Probing the Interior of a Star, National Science Foundation Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of California, Santa Barbara, 1990.

7. Sparsity-Constrained Deconvolution, International Union of Radio Science Meeting, Boulder, CO, 1989.

6. Invited discussant, Statistics, Earth and Space Sciences Meeting of the Bernoulli Society, Leuven, Belgium, 1989.

5. Rigorous Computer Solutions to Infinite-Dimensional Inverse Problems, rcp 264 problemes inverses, Montpellier, France, 1989.

4. Duality and Discretization Error, Conference on Mathematical Geophysics, Blanes, Spain, 1988.

3. Spectral extrapolation with positivity, International Union of Radio Science Meeting, Boulder, CO, 1987.

2. Travel-Time Constraints on Core Structure, Special Session on Geophysics of the Core and Core-Mantle Boundary, American Geophysical Union Spring Meeting, Baltimore, MD, 1986.

1. Smooth Models from tau(p) and X(p) Data, Scripps Industrial Associates Short Course on Inverse Theory, Scripps Institution of Oceanography, La Jolla, CA, 1986.

Other Invited Seminars

California State University, Chico (Mathematics 1993)

Colorado School of Mines (Mathematical and Computer Sciences 1997)

Copenhagen University (Niels Bohr Institute for Astronomy, Physics, and Geophysics 1996)

Hebrew University of Jerusalem (Statistics 1993)

IT University of Copenhagen (2013, 2014, 2016)

Kansas State University (Statistics 2008)

Pennsylvania State University (Statistics 1010)

National Solar Observatory (1997)

Naval Postgraduate School (Operations Research, 2001)

Reed College (Mathematics, 2007, 2008, 2011)

Rice University (Statistics, 2010)

Schlumberger-Doll Research (1988, 1990, 1991, 1992)

Southern Methodist University (Statistical Sciences, 1998)

Stanford University (Center for Space Physics and Astrophysics 1992; Mathematics 1997; Geology and Geophysics 1993, 1997; Statistics 1988, 1993, 1995, 2011)

The Technion (Statistics 1987)

Tel Aviv University (Geology and Geophysics 1988, 1991; Statistics 1991, 2010)

University of Bologna (Physics and Astronomy, 2013)

University of British Columbia (Geophysics and Astronomy 1996)

University of California, Berkeley (Astronomy 1996; Center for Pure and Applied Mathematics 1988; Geology and Geophysics 1988; Materials Science and Mineral Engineering 1988; Physics, 2001; Seismographic Stations, 1991, 1992, 1996; Statistics 1987, 1988(2),1989(2), 1990, 1991, 1992, 1994, 1996(2), 1997, 2006, 2009, 2011)

University of California, Davis (Statistics 1995, 2006; Mathematics 2000)

University of California, Los Angeles (Mathematics 1992; Statistics 2000, 2008, 2013)

University of California, Riverside (Earth Sciences 1996; Statistics 1996)

University of California, San Diego (Institute for Geophysics and Planetary Physics 1985, 1986, 1987, 1988(2), 1990, 1998, 2005; Mathematics 1994)

University of Cambridge (Institute for Astronomy 1992, 1997)

University of Chicago (Statistics 1990)

University of Edinburgh (Earth Sciences, 1998)

University of Luxembourg (Interdisciplinary Centre for Security, Reliability and Trust 2012)

University of Paris, Institute de Physique du Globe de Paris (2011)

University of Pennsylvania (Wharton Statistics Department, 2011)

University of Texas at Austin (Geological Sciences 1988; Mathematics 1990, 1991; Institute for Geophysics 1990)

Veterans Affairs Northern California Health Care System, Martinez, CA (East Bay Institute for Research and Education, 2007)

Yale University (Geology and Geophysics 1988; Statistics 1988)

Press

217. A.I. Is Helping Scientists Predict When and Where the Next Big Earthquake Will Be, Thomas Fuller and Cade Metz, *The New York Times*, 26 October 2018. `https://www.nytimes.com/2018/10/26/technology/earthquake-predictions-artificial-intelligence.html`

216. Mega Millions Frenzy At A Fever Pitch Ahead Of Tuesday Night Drawing, Don Ford, *KPIX CBS Television*, 23 October 2018. `https://sanfrancisco.cbslocal.com/2018/10/23/mega-millions-frenzy-at-a-fever-pitch-ahead-of-tuesday-night-drawing/`

215. Innovators Look To "Accidental Crops" as a Nutritious, Environmentally Friendly and Free Source of Food, Natalie Parletta, *Ensia*, 28 September 2018. `https://ensia.com/articles/wild-greens/`

214. Can Urban Soil Offer Edible Weeds Fit for Foraging?, Eden Stiffman, *Civil Eats*, 21 September 2018. `https://civileats.com/2018/09/21/can-urban-soil-offer-edible-weeds-fit-for-foraging/`

213. Georgia Voters—out of Country, out of Luck?, Sean Steinberg, *WhoWhatWhy*, 11 September 2018. `https://whowhatwhy.org/2018/09/11/georgia-voters-out-of-country-out-of-luck/`

212. Even Scientists Jump to Conclusions—and That's a Problem, *Cosmos: The Science of Everything*, Paul Biegler, 6 September 2018, `https://cosmosmagazine.com/social-sciences/even-scientists-jump-to-conclusions-and-that-s-a-problem`

211. Elections Scholar: Kansas Voting System Would Allow Undetectable Tampering, Jennifer Cohn, *TYT*, 6 September 2018. `https://tyt.com/stories/4vZLCHuQrYE4uKagy0oyMA/5YIEQxHW5qmWayG0kYCsy2`

210. West Virginia is testing a mobile voting app for the midterms. What could go wrong?, Jen Kirby, *Vox*, 17 August 2018. https://www.vox.com/2018/8/17/17661876/west-virginia-voatz-voting-app-election-security

209. Election Security Hot Topic at Walnut Creek Town Hall, Debora Villalon, *KTVU*, 14 August 2018. http://www.ktvu.com/news/election-security-hot-topic-at-congressional-town-hall-in-walnut-creek

208. Weeds growing in poor city areas more nutritious than store-bought produce, Natalie Parletta, *Cosmos: The Science of Everything*, 13 August 2018. https://cosmosmagazine.com/biology/weeds-growing-in-poor-city-areas-more-nutritious-than-store-bought-produce

207. Voting Machine Company Admits Installing Vulnerable Remote-Access Software, Jimmy Falls, *Who. What. Why*, 19 July 2018. https://whowhatwhy.org/2018/07/19/voting-machine-company-admits-installing-vulnerable-remote-access-software/

206. Can the Emmys Be Hacked? One contender tried to find out, Geoff Edgers, *Washington Post*, 2 June 2018. https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/arts-and-entertainment/wp/2018/06/22/can-the-emmys-be-hacked-one-contender-tried-to-find-out/

205. Student Evaluations of Teaching are Not Valid. It is time to stop using SET scores in personnel decisions, John W. Lawrence, *American Association of University Professors*, May–June, 2018. https://www.aaup.org/article/student-evaluations-teaching-are-not-valid

204. County Server On Election Night: Report Investigators traced IP addresses linked to the attack to foreign countries, Sam Levine, *Huffington Post*, 11 May 2018. https://www.huffingtonpost.com/entry/knox-county-election-cyberattack_us_5af5ca21e4b032b10bfa56ee?j6

203. Texas Works To Create A More Secure Electronic Voting System, Ashley Lopez, *NPR Morning Edition*, 10 May 2018. https://www.npr.org/2018/05/10/609979541/texas-works-to-create-a-more-secure-electronic-voting-system

202. Amid Delay In New Lottery Policy, Repeat Winners Keep On Winning, Lisa Creamer and Jeff Kelly Lowenstein, *WBUR*, 27 April 2018. http://www.wbur.org/news/2018/04/27/lottery-frequent-winners-policy-delay

201. Some people repeatedly win the Wisconsin Lottery. Do they play fair? Peter Coutu, *Wisconsin Center for Investigative Journalism*, 18 March 2018. https://www.wisconsinwatch.org/2018/03/some-people-repeatedly-win-the-wisconsin-lottery-do-they-play-fair/

200. Experts Say Electronic Voting Machines Aren't Secure. So Travis County Is Designing Its Own, Ashley Lopez, *KUT Public Radio*, 5 March 2018. http://kut.org/post/experts-say-electronic-voting-machines-arent-secure-so-travis-county-designing-its-own

199. Auditor general finds no fault with PA Lottery, but unusual wins remain unexplained, Daniel Simmons-Ritchie, *Penn Live*, 2 February 2018. http://www.pennlive.com/news/2018/02/auditor_general_finds_no_fault.html

198. Vote auditing can ensure integrity of elections, Audrey Malagon, *The Virginian-Pilot*, 20 January 2018. https://pilotonline.com/opinion/columnist/guest/article_cbe465f9-6f22-58c6-a050-42b0ea55cb41.html

197. Berkeley Professor Leads Nation's First Statewide Risk-Limiting Election Audit, *American Statistical Association News*, 20 December 2017. http://www.amstat.org/ASA/News/Berkeley-Professor-Leads-Nations-First-Statewide-Risk-Limiting-Election-Audit.aspx

196. Engineering verifiable elections, *IEEE Spotlight*, 5 December 2017. http://sites.ieee.org/spotlight/when-is-an-election-verifiable/

195. Just how lucky are regular lottery winners? *More or Less*, *BBC*, 3 December 2017. http://www.bbc.co.uk/programmes/w3csvq3h

194. Colorado's First-In-The-Nation Audit Takes The Next Step Toward More Secure Elections, Ann Marie Awad, *All Things Considered*,

National Public Radio, 22 November 2017. https://www.npr.org/2017/11/22/566039611/colorado-launches-first-in-the-nation-post-election-audits (Originally broadcast on *Colorado Public Radio*, http://www.cpr.org/news/story/colorado-s-first-in-the-nation-audit-takes-the-next-step-toward-more-secure-elections)

193. Auditor General examining unusually frequent lottery wins identified by PennLive, Daniel Simmons-Ritchie, *Penn Live*, 25 September 2017. http://www.pennlive.com/news/2017/09/auditor_general_examining_freq.html

192. Nationwide lottery project, like Post's, finds improbable winnings, Lawrence Mower, *Palm Beach Post*, 22 September 2017. http://www.mypalmbeachpost.com/news/nationwide-lottery-project-like-post-finds-improbable-winnings/Sj8QrpwbqyT3xs9gBVPJSP/

191. When retailers win lottery prizes with luck that defies belief, could officials be turning a blind eye?, Daniel Simmons-Ritchie, *Penn Live*, 15 September 2017. http://www.pennlive.com/watchdog/2017/09/defying_the_odds_part_3.html

190. These Pennsylvania Lottery players have won more than a 100 times - but how?, Daniel Simmons-Ritchie, *Penn Live*, 14 September 2017. http://www.pennlive.com/watchdog/2017/09/defying_the_odds_part_2.html

189. How did PennLive investigate America's 'luckiest' lottery players?, Daniel Simmons-Ritchie and Jeff Kelly Lowenstein, *Penn Live*, 13 September 2017. http://www.pennlive.com/watchdog/2017/09/defying_the_odds_methodology.html

188. The math behind PennLive's analysis of frequent lottery winners, Daniel Simmons-Ritchie, *Penn Live*, 13 September 2017. http://www.pennlive.com/watchdog/2017/09/defying_the_odds_math.html

187. The nation's 'luckiest' lottery winners may not be as lucky as they seem, Daniel Simmons-Ritchie and Jeff Kelly Lowenstein, *Penn Live*, 13 September 2017. http://www.pennlive.com/watchdog/2017/09/defying_the_odds_part_1.html

186. Risky business: How do restaurants succeed long term?, Megan Favignano, *Columbia Daily Tribune*, 19 August 2017. http://www.columbiatribune.com/news/20170819/risky-business-how-do-restaurants-succeed-long-term

185. In System With Little Oversight, Connecticut's Biggest Lottery Winners Often Pay Huge Price, Matthew Kauffman, Dave Altimari, and Jon William Allsop, *Hartford Courant*, 17 August 2017. http://www.courant.com/news/connecticut/hc-lottery-big-winners-20170817-story.html

184. Gaming the Lottery: Behind the Story, Jeff Kelly Lowenstein and Raymond Joseph, *eNews Channel Africa*, 14 August 2017. http://www.enca.com/south-africa/gaming-the-lottery-behind-the-story

183. Why are doctors and patients still at war over M.E.? How the best treatment for the debilitating condition is one of the most bitterly contested areas in medicine, Jerome Burne, *The Daily Mail*, 14 August 2017. http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-4790904/Why-doctors-patients-war-M-E.html

182. DefCon hackers made short work of voting machines. Now what?, Matt Leonard, *GCN*, 8 August 2017. https://gcn.com/articles/2017/08/08/defcon-voting-hacking.aspx

181. Colorado to require advanced post-election audits, Eric Geller, *Politico*, 17 July 2017. http://www.politico.com/story/2017/07/17/colorado-post-election-audits-cybersecurity-240631

180. Are edible weeds the next food trend? Devika Bansal, *San Jose Mercury News*, 16 July 2017. http://www.mercurynews.com/2017/07/16/is-picking-edible-weeds-off-the-streets-the-next-foodie-trend/

179. Here's how to keep Russian hackers from attacking the 2018 elections, J. Alex Halderman and Justin Talbot-Zorn, *Washington Post*, 21 June 2017. https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/posteverything/wp/2017/06/21/heres-how-to-keep-russian-hackers-from-attacking-the-2018-elections/

178. Do French Fries Kill You? A Lesson in Correlation vs. Causation, Leah Rosenbaum, *Seeker*, 16 June 2017. https://www.seeker.com/health/do-french-fries-kill-you-a-lesson-in-cargo-cult-science

177. White Men Of Academia Have An 'Objectivity' Problem, P.L. Thomas, *Huffington Post*, 9 June 2017. http://www.huffingtonpost.com/entry/more-on-white-men-of-academia-student-and-self-evaluation_us_593a8204e4b0b65670e56963

176. The Voting Technology We Really Need? Paper, Lawrence Norden, *The Atlantic*, 10 May 2017. https://www.theatlantic.com/technology/archive/2017/05/the-voting-technology-we-really-need-paper/524820/

175. There's Probably a Salad's Worth of Greens On Your City Block, Glenn Jackson, *Bon Appetit / Healthy-ish*, 9 May 2017. (urban foraging, food security, food safety, nutrition) http://www.bonappetit.com/story/urban-foraging-philip-stark

174. Foraging, an educational skill set that could one day be taught in public schools, Jessica Wyant, *The Pioneer*, 1 May 2017. (urban foraging, food security, food safety, nutrition) http://piercepioneernews.com/11293/campus/11293/

173. Berkeley Open Source Food Week promotes foraging, Gasia Mikaelian, *KTVU*, 20 April 2017. (urban foraging, food security, food safety) http://www.ktvu.com/news/249730521-story

172. UC Berkeley professor shares love of edible, nutritious weeds, Rebecca Parr, *East Bay Times*, 24 March 2017. (urban foraging, food security, food safety) http://www.eastbaytimes.com/2017/03/24/hayward-professor-shares-love-of-edible-nutritious-weeds/

171. Women Professors' Salaries Have Gone Up More Than Men's—but the Wage Gap Is Still Widening, Suzannah Weiss, *Glamour*, 23 March 2017. (teaching evaluations, gender bias) http://www.glamour.com/story/women-professors-salaries-have-gone-up-more-than-mensbut-the-wage-gap-is-still-widening

170. Inside the Recount, Steve Friess, *New Republic*, March 2017. (election integrity) `https://newrepublic.com/article/140254/inside-story-trump-clinton-stein-presidential-election-recount`

169. Ratings Show Students Unfairly Favor Male Professors, Peter Musto, *Voice of America*, 13 February 2017. (teaching evaluations, gender bias) `http://learningenglish.voanews.com/a/ratemyprofessors-rating-system-unfair-to-females/3718237.html`

168. Voter Fraud Experts: Trump's "Bizarre" Claim Of Illegal Votes Could Lead To Severe Voter Restrictions. Journalists Urged To Call Out "Bogus" Assertion, Joe Strupp, *Media Matters*, 25 January 2017. (election integrity) `https://mediamatters.org/blog/2017/01/25/voter-fraud-experts-trump-s-bizarre-claim-illegal-votes-could-lead-severe-voter-restrictions/215119`

167. Stein Camp Believes Recount Price Tag Was 'Jacked Up' to Discourage Audit, Oliver Ortega, *Who. What. Why*, 18 January 2017. (election integrity). `http://whowhatwhy.org/2017/01/18/stein-camp-believes-recount-price-tag-jacked-discourage-audit/`

166. Team at Rice builds machine to transform the way we vote, Dylan Baddour, *The Houston Chronicle*, 27 December 2016. (election integrity) `http://www.houstonchronicle.com/news/houston-texas/houston/article/Team-at-Rice-builds-machine-to-transform-the-way-10821587.php`

165. Fact-checking the integrity of the vote in 2016, Jon Greenberg, *PolitiFact*, 17 December 2016. (election integrity) `http://www.politifact.com/truth-o-meter/article/2016/dec/17/fact-checking-claims-voter-fraud-2016/`

164. *RT America News*, Interview by Ed Schultz, 9 December 2016. (election integrity) `https://youtu.be/HUILuSbpKyM`

163. Secure American Democracy, Robert Schlesinger, *US News and World Reports*, 9 December 2016. (election integrity) `http://www.usnews.com/opinion/articles/2016-12-09/3-reforms-for-americas-vulnerable-democracy-in-light-of-the-2016-election`

162. 7 Election Integrity and Cyber-Security Experts Say Stopping Michigan Recount Is a Corrupt Exercise of Power, Steven Rosenfeld, *AlterNet*, 8 December 2016. (election Integrity) http://airwww.alternet.org/7-election-integrity-and-cyber-security-experts-say-stopping-michigan-recount-corrupt-exercise-power

161. The Wisconsin recount may have a surprise in store after all, Stephen Ansolabehere, Barry C. Burden, Kenneth R. Mayer, and Charles Stewart III, *The Washington Post*, 5 December 2016. (election integrity) https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/monkey-cage/wp/2016/12/05/the-wisconsin-recount-may-have-a-surprise-in-store-after-all/

160. Could a Recount Overturn the Election? *The Economist*, 3 December 2016. (election integrity) http://www.economist.com/news/united-states/21711055-recounting-votes-tedious-expensive-and-cathartic-could-recount-overturn

159. *KTVU 2 Fox News*, Interview, 2 December 2016. (election integrity)

158. The Kathleen Dunn Show, *Wisconsin Public Radio*, Interview, 1 December 2016. (election integrity) http://www.wpr.org/listen/1028671

157. *KCBS Radio*, Interview with Doug Sovern, 1 December 2016. (election integrity)

156. What Would It Take to Fix The Voting System and Why Isn't Anybody Doing It?, Jeff Clyburn and Klaus Marre, *Who.What.Why?*, 1 December 2016. (election integrity) http://whowhatwhy.org/2016/12/01/take-fix-voting-system-isnt-anybody/

155. What 6 Top Election Experts Are Saying about the Next Big Step for the 2016 Recount, Steven Rosenfeld, *AlterNet*, 29 November 2016. (election integrity) http://www.alternet.org/election-2016/what-6-top-election-experts-are-saying-about-next-big-step-2016-recount

154. Judge rejects Stein's request for hand recount, Jason Stein, *Milwaukee Journal Sentinel*, 29 November 2016. (election integrity) http://www

.jsonline.com/story/news/politics/elections/2016/11/29/steins-recount-headed-court-tuesday/94598740/

153. UC Berkeley professor calls for audit of presidential election votes, Ashley Wong, *The Daily Californian*, 29 November 2016. (election integrity) http://www.dailycal.org/2016/11/28/uc-berkeley-professor-calls-for-audit-of-presidential-election-votes/

152. Security experts join Jill Stein's 'election changing' recount campaign, Jon Swaine, *The Guardian*, 28 November 2016. (election integrity) https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2016/nov/29/security-experts-join-jill-steins-election-changing-recount-campaign

151. *KTVU 2 Fox News*, Interview, 28 November 2016. (election integrity) http://www.ktvu.com/news/220330952-story

150. US election recount: how it began—and what effect it could have, Jon Swaine and Mona Chalabi, *The Guardian*, 28 November 2016. (election integrity) https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2016/nov/28/election-recount-jill-stein-hillary-clinton-donald-trump

149. *BBC World Service*, 25 November 2016. Interview by Dotun Adebayo. (election integrity)

148. *KCBS Radio*, 25 November 2016. Interview. (election integrity)

147. *BBC World Service*, 24 November 2016. Interview. (election integrity)

146. US election: Leading statisticians call for vote audit over hacking fears, Harry Cockburn, *The Independent*, 23 November 2016. (election integrity) http://www.independent.co.uk/news/world/americas/us-election-statisticians-vote-audit-hacking-donald-trump-hillary-clinton-a7434516.html

145. Hacked or Not, Audit This Election (And All Future Ones), Andrew Greenberg, *Wired*, 23 November 2016. (election integrity) https://www.wired.com/2016/11/hacked-not-audit-election-rest/

144. Republicans Cannot Claim a Mandate When Hillary Clinton Has a 2 Million-Vote Lead, John Nichols, *The Nation*, 23 November 2016. (election integrity) https://www.thenation.com/article/republic

ans-cannot-claim-a-mandate-when-hillary-clinton-has-a-two-million-vote-lead/

143. Stop Saying the Election Was Rigged, Andrew Gelman, *Slate*, 22 November 2016. (election integrity) http://www.slate.com/articles/health_and_science/science/2016/11/reports_claiming_the_election_was_rigged_are_wrong.html//

142. Electoral Organizations Call For Nationwide Audit, Ethan Harfenist, *Vocativ*, 18 November 2016. (election integrity) http://www.vocativ.com/377544/election-audit/

141. Against all Odds, Gavin Off and Adam Bell, *The Charlotte Observer*, 29 September 2016. (lottery fraud) http://www.charlotteobserver.com/news/special-reports/against-all-odds/

140. Exercise and therapy cure for ME seriously flawed, Tom Whipple, *The Times of London*, 28 September 2016. (myalgic encephalomyelitis, chronic fatigue syndrome, clinical trials)

139. Livestream interview: Audits in California—How to Improve, *Ballots for Bernie*, 25 September 2016. (election integrity) https://www.facebook.com/events/536276663233125/

138. Foraging: Where the wild foods are, Shannon Eblen, *Courier-Post / USA Today*, 21 September 2016. (urban foraging, food security, food safety) http://www.courierpostonline.com/story/life/2016/09/21/foraging-food-edibles-deptford/90494736/

137. Bad science misled millions with chronic fatigue syndrome. Here's how we fought back, Julie Rehmeyer, *STAT*, 21 September 2016. (chronic fatigue syndrome, analysis of clinical trials) https://www.statnews.com/2016/09/21/chronic-fatigue-syndrome-pace-trial/

136. How to Hack an Election in 7 Minutes, Ben Wofford, *Politico Magazine*, 5 August 2016. (election integrity, election auditing) http://www.politico.com/magazine/story/2016/08/2016-elections-russia-hack-how-to-hack-an-election-in-seven-minutes-214144

135. Instead of Pokémon, Try Using Your Smartphone To Catch Tasty Wild Edibles, Jill Neimark, *Good*, 2 August 2016. (urban foraging, wild/feral

food) https://food.good.is/articles/foragers-call-these-apps-the-tinder-for-wild-food

134. The Bias in Student Course Evaluations, Joey Sprague, *Inside Higher Ed*, 17 June 2016. (teaching evaluations, gender bias) https://www.insidehighered.com/advice/2016/06/17/removing-bias-student-evaluations-faculty-members-essay

133. How One Professor Is Trying to Paint a Richer Portrait of Effective Teaching, Emma Pettit, *The Chronicle of Higher Education*, 16 June 2016. (teaching evaluations, gender bias) http://chronicle.com/article/How-One-Professor-Is-Trying-to/236827

132. Survival of the Smartest: Berkeley Prof Stocks Up On Skill to Outlast Apocalypse, Krissy Eliot, *California Magazine*, 31 May 2016. (urban foraging, cooking, food, trail running) http://alumni.berkeley.edu/california-magazine/just-in/2016-05-31/survival-smartest-berkeley-prof-stocks-skill-outlast

131. MSU Professors Read Mean Reviews, *Detroit Free Press*, 2 May 2016. (teaching evaluations, gender bias) http://www.freep.com/story/news/local/michigan/2016/05/02/msu-professors-read-mean-reviews/83836716/

130. Embracing 'Messy' Science, Colleen Flaherty, *Inside Higher Ed*, 15 March 2016. (P-values) https://www.insidehighered.com/news/2016/03/15/american-statistical-association-seeks-usher-new-era-statistical-significance

129. Are College Students Sexist? New Research Says They Grade Female Profs More Harshly, Krissy Eliot, *California Magazine*, 3 February 2016. (gender bias, teaching evaluations) http://alumni.berkeley.edu/california-magazine/just-in/2016-02-03/are-college-students-sexist-new-research-says-they-grade

128. Are student evaluations fair on female teachers?, Alecia Simmonds, *Daily Life*, 3 February 2016. (gender bias, teaching evaluations) http://www.dailylife.com.au/news-and-views/dl-opinion/are-student-evaluations-fair-on-female-teachers-20160202-gmjuw6.html

127. Scientists: Subtle Seismic Activity Hints at Predicting Large Quakes, Steve Herman, *Voice of America*, 28 January 2016. (earthquake prediction) http://www.voanews.com/content/subtle-seismic-activity-hints-predicting-large-quakes/3167842.html

126. New Study Shows College Students Are Overwhelmingly Biased Against Female Professors: Student evaluations aren't just based on the effectiveness of teachers. Noelle Devoe, *Seventeen*, 27 January 2016. (gender bias, teaching evaluations) http://www.seventeen.com/life/school/news/a37577/new-study-shows-college-students-are-overwhelmingly-biased-against-female-professors/

125. Les évaluations des enseignements par les étudiants et les stéréotypes de genre, Anne Boring, *The Conversation*, 26 January 2016. (gender bias, teaching evaluations) https://theconversation.com/les-evaluations-des-enseignements-par-les-etudiants-et-les-stereotypes-de-genre-53590

124. Students Are Kind of Harsh When Evaluating Their Female Professors, Tanya Basu, *New York Magazine*, 26 January 2016. (gender bias, teaching evaluations) http://nymag.com/scienceofus/2016/01/students-give-women-professors-worse-evaluations.html

123. Student Evaluations Of College Professors Are Biased Against Women, Study Finds, Showing How Sexism Warps Our Views Of Competency, Erin Mckelle Fischer, *Bustle*, 26 January 2016. (gender bias, teaching evaluations) http://www.bustle.com/articles/137889-student-evaluations-of-college-professors-are-biased-against-women-study-finds-showing-how-sexism-warps-our

122. New Study Shows That Students Overwhelmingly Prefer Male Professors to Female Ones, but does having a male teacher mean a higher GPA? Kate Dwye, *Teen Vogue*, 26 January 2016. (gender bias, teaching evaluations) http://www.teenvogue.com/story/students-evaluate-male-professors-more-favorably

121. Students Favor Male Professors Regardless of Their Skills and Teaching Style, Madeleine Davies, *Jezebel*, 25 January 2016 (gender bias, teaching evaluations) http://jezebel.com/students-favor-male-professors-regardless-of-their-skil-1754947463

120. Why Female Professors Get Lower Ratings, Anya Kamenetz, *NPR Education*, 25 January 2016. (gender bias, teaching evaluations) http://www.npr.org/sections/ed/2016/01/25/463846130/why-women-professors-get-lower-ratings//

119. The Glaring Flaw In Student Evaluations, Casey Quinlan, *Think Progress*, 14 January 2016. (gender bias, teaching evaluations) http://thinkprogress.org/education/2016/01/14/3739455/gender-bias-professors/

118. Bias Against Female Instructors, Colleen Flaherty, *Inside Higher Ed*, 11 January 2016. (gender bias, teaching evaluations) https://www.insidehighered.com/news/2016/01/11/new-analysis-offers-more-evidence-against-student-evaluations-teaching Reprinted as It's Time to Kill the Student Evaluation: More and more evidence shows bias against female instructors, *Slate*, 14 January 2016. http://www.slate.com/articles/life/inside_higher_ed/2016/01/student_evaluations_show_bias_against_female_instructors.html

117. There's No Easy Fix for Gender Bias in Students' Evaluation of Teachers, Nathan Collins, *Pacific Standard*, 8 January 2016. (gender bias, teaching evaluations) http://www.psmag.com/politics-and-law/kids-will-be-gender-biased-kids

116. Is food foraged in cities safe to eat?, Christina Boyes, *Civil Eats*, 11 November 11 2015. (urban foraging, nutrition, food safety) http://civileats.com/2015/11/11/is-urban-foraging-cities-safe-to-eat-boston/

115. Terra Verde interview, by Jason Mark, *KPFA*, 21 August 2015. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) http://archives.kpfa.org/data/20150821-Fri1400.mp3

114. Un repas au coin du bitume, Julie Zaugg, *Le Temps*, 8 August 2015. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) http://www.letemps.ch/Page/Uuid/e58f7054-3d24-11e5-9458-9f31f164eeae/Un_repas_au_coin_du_bitume

113. A Walk on the Wild (Edibles) Side, Mark Bittman, *The New York Times*, 9 July 2015. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food secu-

rity, sustainability) http://www.nytimes.com/2015/07/09/opinion/mark-bittman-a-walk-on-the-wild-edibles-side.html

112. Why Mark Bittman Is Eating Weeds on Oakland's Sidewalks, Yahoo Food Editors, *Yahoo! Food*, 9 July 2015. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) https://www.yahoo.com/food/why-mark-bittman-is-eating-edible-weeds-on-123662813296.html

111. The Logistics of Urban Food Foraging, Katherine Spiers, *KCET*, 8 July 2015. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) http://www.kcet.org/living/food/the-nosh/the-logistics-of-urban-food-foraging.html

110. With apps in hand, foragers find food underfoot, *Rustik Magazine*, 28 June 2015. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) http://rustikmagazine.com/technology-urban-foraging/

109. Flawed Evaluations. Colleen Flaherty, *Inside Higher Ed*, 10 June 2015. (teaching evaluations) https://www.insidehighered.com/news/2015/06/10/aaup-committee-survey-data-raise-questions-effectiveness-student-teaching

108. Take a walk on the wild (edible) side. Mark Bittman, *California Matters*, 8 June 2015. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) https://youtu.be/F8BLU3iaLgM

107. California Matters: Mark Bittman's Online Video Series Premieres with 'Take a Walk on the Wild (Edibles) Side'. Lisa Landers, *KQED*, 8 June 2015. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) http://ww2.kqed.org/bayareabites/2015/06/08/california-matters-mark-bittmans-online-video-series-premieres-with-take-a-walk-on-the-wild-edibles-side/

106. Edible urban weeds—Oakland's sidewalk salads. Paul Belz, *Wild Oakland*, 30 May 2015. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) http://wildoakland.org/2015/05/edible-urban-weeds-oaklands-sidewalk-salads/

105. Eat Your Weeds: Get outside and forage for your food in the forests and sidewalk cracks of the East Bay. Sascha Bos, *East Bay Express*, 20 May 2015. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) `http://www.eastbayexpress.com/oakland/eat-your-weeds/Content?oid=4289051`

104. Student Evaluations: Feared, Loathed, and Not Going Anywhere. Stacey Patton, *Chronicle of Higher Education*, 19 May 2015. (teaching evaluations) `https://chroniclevitae.com/news/1011-student-evaluations-feared-loathed-and-not-going-anywhere`

103. Why Not Get Rid of Student Evaluations? Stephen Burt, *Slate*, 15 May 2015. (teaching evaluations) `http://www.slate.com/articles/life/education/2015/05/a_defense_of_student_evaluations_they_re_biased_misleading_and_extremely.html`

102. Q&A: Philip Stark. Rose Hayden-Smith, *UC Food Observer*, 11 May 2015. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability, ecology) `http://ucfoodobserver.com/2015/05/11/qa-philip-stark/`

101. Course evaluations get a failing grade in terms of effectiveness. Riley Vetterkind, *The Badger Herald*, 30 April 2015. (teaching evaluations, misuse of statistics, gender bias) `https://badgerherald.com/news/2015/04/30/course-evaluations-get-a-failing-grade-in-terms-of-effectiveness/`

100. Dandelions Should Be the New Kale. Emiko Jozuka, *Motherboard/Vice*, 27 April 2015. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) `http://motherboard.vice.com/read/dandelions-should-be-the-new-kale`

99. Salad at Your Feet. Nicholas Boer, *Diablo Magazine*, 27 April 2015. `http://www.diablomag.com/May-2015/Salad-at-Your-Feet/`

98. Weeds are the future of healthy eating. Jason Mark, *Salon.com*, 18 April 2015. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) `http://www.salon.com/2015/04/18/weeds_are_the_future_of_fine_dining_partner/`

97. Weed Eaters: Accompanying Berkeley's Urban Foragers from Weed Patch to Dining Table. Kristine A. Wong, *California Magazine*, 15 April 2015. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) `http://alumni.berkeley.edu/california-magazine/just-in/2015-04-15/weed-eaters-accompanying-berkeleys-urban-foragers-weed-patch`

96. Up Front with Vylma V, *KPFA Radio*, 9 April 2015. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) `https://kpfa.org/episode/up-front-april-9-2015/` (at 30:02)

95. Bay Area Restaurants Cooking Weeds for Wild Food Week. Don Ford, *KPIX CBS News*, 8 April 2015. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) `http://sanfrancisco.cbslocal.com/2015/04/08/bay-area-restaurants-cooking-weeds-wild-food-week/`

94. Weeds — They're What's for Dinner, Jason Mark, *Earth Island Journal*, 8 April 2015. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) `http://www.earthisland.org/journal/index.php/elist/eListRead/weeds_theyre_whats_for_dinner/`

93. The app that helps you discover edible weeds. Richard Taylor, *BBC*, 8 April 2015. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) `http://www.bbc.com/news/technology-32124855`

92. Wild Food Week Highlights Edible Weeds Going to Waste, Tamara Palmer, NBC Bay Area News, 6 April 2015. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) `http://www.nbcbayarea.com/news/local/Wild-Food-Week-298812881.html`

91. KCBS News, 4 April 2015. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) `http://www.contactlenzcommunications.com/sitebuildercontent/sitebuilderfiles/wildweedsreplay.mp3`

90. How do you convince people to eat weeds? Aarian Marshall, *The Atlantic / CityLab*, 3 April 2015. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) `http://www.citylab.com/work/2015/04/how-do-you-convince-people-to-eat-weeds/389357/`

89. Wild Weeds, *Edible East Bay*, 1 April 2015. (Urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) http://edibleeastbay.com/newsletter/wild-weeds/

88. San Francisco Bay Restaurants Serving Weeds For Wild Food Week, *Growing Magazine*, 1 April 2015. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) http://www.growingmagazine.com/take-control/san-francisco-bay-restaurants-serving-weeds-for-wild-food-week/

87. Top San Francisco Bay Restaurants Serving 'Weeds' All Next Week, *Broadway World*, 31 March 2015. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) http://www.broadwayworld.com/bwwfood-wine/article/Top-San-Francisco-Bay-Restaurants-Serving-Weeds-All-Next-Week-20150331

86. Slinging Weeds: Wild Food Week, Luke Tsai, *East Bay Express*, 31 March 2015. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) http://www.eastbayexpress.com/WhatTheFork/archives/2015/03/31/slinging-weeds-wild-food-week

85. Wild Food Week: Bay Area dinner series showcases foraged plants, Paolo Lucchesi , *SF Gate*, 26 March 2015. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) http://insidescoopsf.sfgate.com/blog/2015/03/26/wild-food-week-bay-area-dinner-series-showcases-foraged-plants/

84. Professors tell America's poor to harvest weeds, Rhys Blakely, *The Times of London*, 7 March 2015. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) http://www.thetimes.co.uk/tto/news/world/americas/article4375062.ece

83. Let Them Eat Weeds: App Helps People Forage Their Way out of Hunger, Sarah McColl, *TakePart*, 19 February 2015. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) http://www.takepart.com/article/2015/02/19/foraging-apps-food-insecurity

82. The Food that Grows from Concrete, Olivia Cueva, *KALW*, 12 February 2015. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) http://kalw.org/post/food-grows-concrete

81. Snacking In-Between Sidewalks: Mapping Abundance of Wild Edibles in the Bay Area's Food Deserts, Angela Johnston, *KQED Bay Area Bites*, 5 February 2015. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) `http://blogs.kqed.org/bayareabites/2015/02/05/snacking-in-between-sidewalks-mapping-abundance-of-wild-edibles-in-the-bay-areas-food-deserts/`

80. Can urban foraging actually feed poor people? Nathanael Johnson, *Grist*, 30 January 2015. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) `http://grist.org/food/can-urban-foraging-actually-feed-poor-people/`

79. Foragers' Delight: Can Wild Foods Make City Dwellers Healthier? Madeleine Key, *Civil Eats*, 5 December 2014. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) `http://civileats.com/2014/12/05/foragers-delight-can-wild-foods-make-city-dwellers-healthier/`

78. What's for Dinner? For These Urban Foragers in Berkeley, The Answer is Weeds, Eric Neumann, *California Magazine*, Winter 2014. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) `http://alumni.berkeley.edu/california-magazine/winter-2014-gender-assumptions/whats-dinner-these-urban-foragers-berkeley-answer`

77. 12 things you didn't know about holiday foods, UC Newsroom, 24 November 2014. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) `http://universityofcalifornia.edu/news/12-things-you-didnt-know-about-holiday-foods`

76. Weed Eaters: These guys want you to eat weeds—and they'll show you where to find 'em, Alisa Opar, NRDC *onEarth*, 24 November 2014. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) `http://www.onearth.org/earthwire/weed-eaters`

75. Foragers find bounty of edibles in urban food deserts, Gretchen Kell, UC Berkeley Media Relations, 17 November 2014. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) `http://newscenter.berkeley.edu/2014/11/17/urban-foraging/`

74. How Many Ballots Do You Have To Count To Know Whether An Election Was Rigged? Short answer: Surprisingly few. Rafi Letzter, *Popular Science*, 4 November 2014. (Election integrity, auditing) `http://www.popsci.com/article/science/how-many-ballots-do-you-have-count-know-whether-election-was-rigged`

73. A New Voting Machine Could Make Sure Every Vote Really Counts. That is, if it ever gets used. Rafi Letzter, *Popular Science*, 4 November 2014. (Election integrity, auditing) `http://www.popsci.com/article/technology/new-voting-machine-could-make-sure-every-vote-really-counts`

72. Can we trust the Internet with our most basic civic duty? DecodeDC ponders the future of voting, Miranda Green and Andrea Seabrook, *NewsNet5 ABC*, 31 October 2014. (Election integrity) `http://www.newsnet5.com/decodedc/podcast/can-we-trust-the-internet-with-our-most-basic-civic-duty`

71. Cal professors on the hunt for edible, nutritious East Bay weeds, Carolyn Jones, *The San Francisco Chronicle* and SFGate, 25 October 2014. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) `http://www.sfgate.com/bayarea/article/Cal-professors-on-the-hunt-for-edible-nutritious-5846111.php`, `http://www.sfchronicle.com/bayarea/article/Cal-professors-on-the-hunt-for-edible-nutritious-5846111.php`

70. Course evaluations ineffective, misused, report finds, Mina Corpuz, *The Daily Free Press*, 3 October 2014. (Evaluating teaching, misuse of Statistics) `http://dailyfreepress.com/2014/10/03/course-evaluations-ineffective-misused-study-finds/`

69. Course evaluations slammed as ineffective: A Berkeley professor said the evaluations aren't a good gauge of a class, Noelle Wells, *The Daily Tar Heel*, 2 October 2014. (Evaluating teaching, misuse of Statistics) `http://www.dailytarheel.com/article/2014/10/coures-evaluations-slammed%20as%20ineffective`

68. Professor gives low rating to effectiveness of current teaching evaluations, Siera Stalcup, *The Daily Cal*, 30 September 2014. (Evaluating

teaching, misuse of Statistics) http://www.dailycal.org/2014/09/29/effectiveness-student-course-evaluations/

67. Student Course Evaluations Get An 'F,' Anya Kamenetz, *NPR Education Blog*, 26 September 2014. (Evaluating teaching, misuse of Statistics) http://www.npr.org/blogs/ed/2014/09/26/345515451/student-course-evaluations-get-an-f

66. 2 scholars flunk course evaluations as measures of teaching quality, Dan Berrett, *Chronicle of Higher Education*, p. A16, 26 September 2014 http://chronicle.texterity.com/chronicle/20140926a?sub_id=2FQNKVDXMnsU#pg16
Scholars Take Aim at Student Evaluations' 'Air of Objectivity', Dan Berrett, *Chronicle of Higher Education*, 18 September 2014. (Evaluating teaching, misuse of Statistics) http://chronicle.com/article/Scholars-Take-Aim-at-Student/148859/

65. Making sure the votes count: Arapahoe County is pilot site, Ernest Luning, *The Colorado Statesman*, 15 August 2014. Also *Colorado Springs Independent*, 15 August 2014. (Statistical audits, election integrity) http://www.coloradostatesman.com/content/995064-making-sure-votes-count

64. Arapahoe County pioneering use of new vote verification system, John Aguilar, *The Denver Post*, 15 August 2014. (Statistical audits, election integrity) http://www.denverpost.com/news/ci_26339735/arapahoe-county-pioneering-use-new-vote-verification-system

63. Arapahoe Co. begins testing new ballot-counting system, Megan Verlee, *Colorado Public Radio*, 13 August 2014 (air date). (Statistical audits, election integrity) http://www.cpr.org/news/story/arapahoe-co-begins-testing-new-ballot-counting-system

62. Don't blame John Pérez for the state's abhorrent recount rules, Daniel Borenstein, *Contra Costa Times*, 25 July 2014. (Statistical audits, recounts, election integrity) http://www.contracostatimes.com/daniel-borenstein/ci_26211948/daniel-borenstein-dont-blame-john-perez-states-abhorrent

61. California law sought to prevent recount fights, Jim Miller, *The Sacramento Bee*, 1 July 2014. (Risk-limiting audits, recounts, election integrity) http://blogs.sacbee.com/capitolalertlatest/2014/07/a-california-law-on-the.html

60. Lock the Vote, Julie Rehmeyer, *Discover Magazine*, July/August 2014. (STAR-Vote election system, election integrity)

59. Reproducible and Collaborative Statistical Data Science, Sarah Hillenbrand, *Berkeley Science Review*, 11 June 2014. (Reproducibility, education) http://berkeleysciencereview.com/reproducible-collaborative-data-science/

58. Lottery odds: To win, you'd have to be a loser. Lawrence Mower, *Palm Beach Post*, 28 March 2014. (Lottery fraud) http://www.mypalmbeachpost.com/news/news/lottery-odds-to-win-youd-have-to-be-a-loser/nfL57

57. How Might Economics Education Be Improved? Michael O'Hare, Ten Miles Square, *Washington Monthly*, 21 October 2013. (Evaluating teaching) http://www.washingtonmonthly.com/ten-miles-square/2013/10/how_might_economics_education047441.php

56. From geeky to cool: Statistics is Berkeley's fastest-growing major. Carol Ness, Berkeley NewsCenter, 16 April 2013. (growth in Statistics) http://newscenter.berkeley.edu/2013/04/16/from-geeky-to-cool-statistics-is-berkeleys-fastest-growing-major

55. The Upbeat Stats on Statistics. Carl Bialik, *The Wall Street Journal*, 1 March 2013. (growth in Statistics) http://blogs.wsj.com/numbersguy/the-upbeat-stats-on-statistics-1216

54. As Ohio Faces Vote-Rigging Lawsuit, Are Dems, Liberals, Election Officials Ready to Safeguard Votes? Art Levine, *The Huffington Post*, 2 November 2012. (election integrity) http://www.huffingtonpost.com/art-levine/mia-in-voting-machine-war_b_2054411.html?utm_hp_ref=voting-rights

53. Will the Next Election be Hacked? Michael Agresta, *The Wall Street Journal*, 17 August 2012. (election integrity) http://online.wsj.com/article/SB10000872396390444508504577595280674870186.html

52. Saving throw: securing democracy with stats, spreadsheets, and 10-sided dice: "Risk-limiting audits" use sound math to make sure the right candidate won. Cyrus Farivar, *Ars Technica*, 24 July 2012. (Election auditing) `http://arstechnica.com/tech-policy/2012/07/saving-american-elections-with-10-sided-dice-one-stats-profs-quest/`

51. New audit method could improve detection of flaws—and fix them. Adam Playford and Pat Beall, *Palm Beach Post*, 8 May 2012. (Election auditing) `http://www.palmbeachpost.com/news/new-post-election-audit-method-could-improve-detection-2346480.html`

50. Florida law hinders vote audits. Adam Playford and Pat Beall, *Palm Beach Post*, 8 May 2012. (election integrity) `http://www.palmbeachpost.com/news/florida-law-hinders-vote-audits-2346483.html`

49. Imagining a Census Survey Without a Mandate. Carl Bialik, *The Wall Street Journal*, 30 March 2012. (census) `http://blogs.wsj.com/numbersguy/imagining-a-census-survey-without-a-mandate-1129/`

48. Are large earthquakes increasing in frequency? Deanna Conners, *EarthSky*, 4 March 2012. (Earthquake clustering) `http://earthsky.org/earth/are-large-earthquakes-increasing-in-frequency`

47. New Equation for Voting Technology: Auditing > Testing? Doug Chapin, University of Minnesota Program for excellence in Election Administration, 12 January 2012. `http://blog.lib.umn.edu/cspg/peea/2012/01/new_equation_for_voting_techno.php`

46. Cuyahoga County elections board leads pack in testing, auditing. Laura Johnston, *The Plain Dealer*, 1 January 2012. (risk-limiting audits, election integrity) `http://blog.cleveland.com/metro/2012/01/cuyahoga_county_elections_boar_5.html`

45. Radio Australia "Connect Asia" program, 21 December 2011. (live appearance re earthquake clustering) `http://www.radioaustralia.net.au/connectasia/`

44. Geologists wonder if the Northwest is up next for a giant earthquake. Joe Rojas-Burke, *The Oregonian*, 21 December 2011. Syndicated in

Middle East North Africa Financial Network. (Earthquake clustering) http://www.oregonlive.com/environment/index.ssf/2011/12/geologists_wonder_if_the_north.html http://www.menafn.com/qn_news_story.asp?storyid=%7B1ee57506-581b-4e99-a8be-41b9f35197e5%7D

43. Mega-quake clusters unlikely: study. Anna Salleh, *ABC*, 20 December 2011. (Earthquake clustering) http://www.abc.net.au/science/articles/2011/12/20/3394245.htm

42. Rest Your Fears: Big Earthquakes Not on the Rise. Stephanie Pappas, LiveScience, 9 December 2011. Syndicated in *MSNBC* and *Fox News* 10 December 2011. (Earthquake clustering) http://www.livescience.com/17400-big-earthquakes-random.html http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/45616503/ns/technology_and_science-science/#.TueIXGB8-oc http://www.foxnews.com/scitech/2011/12/10/rest-your-fears-big-earthquakes-not-on-rise/

41. San Luis Obispo takes part in pilot program for ballot audits. Bethany Tucker, *KSBY News*, 12 September 2011. (Election auditing) http://www.ksby.com/news/san-luis-obispo-takes-part-in-pilot-program-for-ballot-audits/

40. In This Dating Game, the Best Match Could Be Years Away. Carl Bialik, *The Wall Street Journal*, 16 July 2011. (numerical coincidences) http://online.wsj.com/article/SB10001424052702304521304576447892115939486.html

39. Dozens of personal care products mislabeled as 'organic,' lawsuit says. Joanna Lin, *California Watch*, 20 June 2011. http://californiawatch.org/dailyreport/dozens-personal-care-products-mislabeled-organic-lawsuit-says-10873

38. San Jose siblings two years apart, born on the same day at the same time. Jane J. Lee, *Silicon Valley Mercury News*, 14 June 2011. (numerical coincidences) http://www.mercurynews.com/breaking-news/ci_18273248?nclick_check=1

37. O.C. could see fewer election recounts. Martin Wisckol, *Orange County Register*, 6 May 2011. (Election auditing) http://totalbuzz

.ocregister.com/2011/05/06/o-c-could-see-fewer-election-recounts/52659/

36. Consumer Reports Cops to Chrysler Data Gaps. Eric Mayne, WardsAuto.com, 2 March 2011. http://wardsauto.com/ar/consumer_reports_chrysler_110302/

35. Experts shouldn't be needed to call outcome of election. *Albany Times Sun Union*, 1 January 2011. (Election auditing) http://www.timesunion.com/opinion/article/Experts-shouldn-t-be-needed-to-call-outcome-of-930928.php

34. Equation: Calculating Ballot Bungles is all about the P-Value. Julie Rehmeyer, *Wired*, November 2010, p.56. (Election auditing) http://www.wired.com/magazine/2010/11/st_equation_votes/

33. Fifty million to one: Mother defies odds to give birth on 10.10.10 after two others were born on 09.09.09 and 08.08.08. *Daily Mail*, 15 October 2010. (numerical coincidences) http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-1320840/Fifty-million-Mother-defies-odds-birth-10-10-10-born-09-09-09-08-08-08.html?ito=feeds-newsxml

32. Mom's babies born on 8-8-08, 9-9-09, 10-10-10. Elizabeth Weise, *USA TODAY*, 14 October 2010. (numerical coincidences) http://www.usatoday.com/yourlife/parenting-family/babies/2010-10-14-Birthday14_ST_N.htm

31. UC Berkeley Professor's Auditing System Aims to Count Votes More Accurately. Claire Perlman, *Daily Californian*, 28 April 2010. (Election auditing) http://www.dailycal.org/article/109295/uc_berkeley_professor_s_auditing_system_aims_to_co

30. California Assembly committee endorses UC Berkeley statistician's election auditing method. Robert Sanders, Media Relations, *UCBerkeleyNews*, 26 April 2010. (Election auditing) http://www.berkeley.edu/news/media/releases/2010/04/26_canvass.shtml

29. Ready or Not. Cosma Shalizi, *American Scientist*, March 2010. (Earthquake prediction) http://www.americanscientist.org/bookshelf/pub/ready-or-not

28. Judge upholds November election of Novato Sanitary District board. Brent Ainsworth, *The Marin Independent Journal*, 8 March 2010. (Contested election) http://www.marinij.com/marinnews/ci_14636416

27. Novato Sanitary election fight rolls on. Jim Welte, *The Marin Independent Journal*, 23 February 2010. (Contested election) http://www.marinij.com/marinnews/ci_14456925

26. Novato Sanitary board race tightens. Jim Welte, *The Marin Independent Journal*, 12 November 2009. (Contested election) http://www.marinij.com/election/ci_13773039

25. AIDS Vaccine Trial Shows Only Slight Protection. Donald G. McNeil Jr., *New York Times*, 21 October 2009. (epidemiology) http://www.nytimes.com/2009/10/21/health/research/21vaccine.html?_r=1

24. China To Require Filtering Software On PCs. Thomas Claburn, *Information Week*, 8 June 2009. (Internet content filtering) http://www.informationweek.com/news/internet/policy/showArticle.jhtml?articleID=217800108&section=All+Stories

23. KQED-FM Forum program on the Census, 6 March 2009. (live appearance re census)

22. Census, partisan wrangling go hand-in-hand. Tyche Hendricks, *Scripps News*, 23 February 2009. (census) http://www.scrippsnews.com/node/41139

21. Why the census is always political. Tyche Hendricks, *San Francisco Chronicle*, 22 February 2009. (census) http://www.sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?f=/c/a/2009/02/22/MNPB161PBV.DTL

20. He's Out for the Count. Mark Hosenball, *NEWSWEEK*, 14 February 2009, Magazine issue dated 23 February 2009. (census) http://www.newsweek.com/id/184802

19. Measure B court challenge heads to San Francisco. Karen de Sá, *Mercury News*, 1 December 2008. (election integrity) http://www.mercurynews.com/politics/ci_11113510

18. New Election Audit Targets Close Races. Laura Snider, *Daily Camera*, 26 November 2008. (risk-limiting audits, election integrity) http://www.dailycamera.com/news/2008/nov/26/new-election-audit-targets-close-races/

17. Counting Continues for Elections Department. *Redwood Times*, 19 November 2008. (risk-limiting audits, election integrity) http://www.redwoodtimes.com/local/ci_11023304

16. Checking It Twice. Julie J. Rehmeyer, *Science News*, 19 January 2008. (Election auditing) http://www.sciencenews.org/view/generic/id/9292/title/Math_Trek__Checking_It_Twice

15. *Reelz Channel Dailies* "Is it Real?" Reelz Channel, 15 June 2007. (gambling odds, probability)

14. Internet is 99 per cent porn free. Iain Thomson, *vnunet.com*, 15 November 2006. (Internet content filtering) http://www.vnunet.com/vnunet/news/2168636/internet-per-cent-porn-free

13. Internet Content Filters Fail to Block Sexually Explicit Material. Thomas Claburn, *Information Week*, 14 November 2006. (Internet content filtering) http://www.informationweek.com/news/showArticle.jhtml?articleID=194300677&section=All+Stories

12. 1 percent of Web sites deemed pornographic. Maryclaire Dale, *Associated Press*, 14 November 2006. (Internet content filtering) http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/15721799/

11. Only 1 percent of Web pages have porn? Declan McCullagh, *News.com*, 14 November 2006. (Internet content filtering) http://www.news.com/8301-10784_3-6135662-7.html

10. U.S., Google Set to Face Off in Court. Michael Liedtke, *Associated Press*, 14 March 2006. (Internet content filtering) http://www.sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?file=/n/a/2006/03/13/financial/f133050S47.DTL&type=printable

9. Google privacy issue enters court. *Al Jazeera*, 14 March 2006. (Internet content filtering) http://english.aljazeera.net/archive/2006/03/2008410131655473737.html

8. In Case About Google's Secrets, Yours Are Safe. Adam Liptak, *New York Times*, 26 January 2006. (Internet content filtering) `http://www.nytimes.com/2006/01/26/technology/in-case-about-googles-secrets-yours-are-safe.html`

7. Google Resists U.S. Subpoena of Search Data. Katie Hafner and Matt Richtel, *New York Times*, 20 January 2006. (Internet content filtering) `http://www.nytimes.com/2006/01/20/technology/20google.html?pagewanted=1`

6. Feds take porn fight to Google. Declan McCullagh and Elinor Mills, *CNET News*, 19 January 2006. (Internet content filtering) `https://www.cnet.com/news/feds-take-porn-fight-to-google/`

5. *AFC NewSource* story on airline security [Airings: The Osgood File (CBS Radio Network), 29 July 2003, 18 February 2003; KRON-TV (San Francisco), 3 February 2003]. (statistical auditing, security) `http://www.acfnewsource.org/science/random_security.html`

4. *The Fred Ebert Show* program on probability and statistics. KIRO 710, Seattle, WA, 27 October 2003. (live appearance re the Monty Hall problem, Statistics, Probability)

3. *ABC 7 News* story on census adjustment, 30 November 1998. (recorded appearance re census)

2. KQED-FM Forum program on the 2000 Census, San Francisco, CA, 17 July 1998. (live appearance re census) `http://www.kqed.org/radio/programs/forum/`

1. How deep is an earthquake? *Science News*, 2 March 1985. (Deep earthquakes)

Teaching and Advising

Courses

BerkeleyX 2.1x* `https://www.edx.org/course/uc-berkeleyx/uc-berkeleyx-stat2-1x-introduction-594`, an Introductory Statistics MOOC (52,661 students enrolled in first offering; 15.5% completion

rate. As of 21 October 2015, this was one of the 50 most popular MOOCs of all time)

BerkeleyX 2.2x* `https://www.edx.org/course/uc-berkeleyx/uc-berkeleyx-stat2-2x-introduction-685`, an Introductory Statistics MOOC (20,871 students enrolled in first offering; 17% completion rate)

BerkeleyX 2.3x* `https://www.edx.org/course/uc-berkeleyx/uc-berkeleyx-stat2-3x-introduction-825`, an Introductory Statistics MOOC (22,443 students enrolled in first offering; 12% completion rate)

Introduction to Statistics (Statistics 2)

Introduction to Probability and Statistics (Statistics 20)

Introductory Probability and Statistics for Business (Statistics 21, N21*, W21*)

Introduction to Probability and Statistics for Scientists and Engineers (Statistics 25)

Societal Risks and the Law* (Statistics C79)

Freshman Seminar on Statistics (Statistics 39)

Statistical Inferences for Social and Life Scientists (Statistics 131A)

Concepts of Probability (Statistics 134)

Concepts of Statistics (Statistics 135)

Linear Modeling: Theory and Applications (Statistics 151A)

Nonparametric Inference and Sensitivity Auditing with Applications to Social Good* (Statistics 157)

Reproducible and Collaborative Statistical Data Science* (Statistics 157, now 159/259). Video review: `http://youtu.be/Bq71Pqdukeo`

Probability and Statistics for Physical Science and Engineering PhD Students*

Statistics for Engineering PhD students*

Introduction to Probability and Statistics at an Advanced Level (Statistics 200A)

Theoretical Statistics (Statistics 210B)

Statistical Models: Theory and Applications (Statistics 215A, Statistics 215B)

Not enough Statistics for Journalists* (Journalism 219)

Statistics Masters Program Capstone* (Statistics 222)

Nonparametric and Robust Methods (Statistics 240)

Topics in Probability and Statistics (Statistics 260)

Statistical Consulting (Statistics 272)

* Course I created or co-created.

Former Graduate Students and Postdocs

Imola K. Fodor, Roche

Johann Gagnon-Bartsch, University of California, Berkeley

Christopher R. Genovese, Carnegie Mellon University

Niklaus W. Hengartner, Los Alamos National Laboratory

Janne Huttunen, University of Auckland and University of Kuopio

Bradley Luen, Indiana University

Tian Luo, U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics

Dmitry I. Nikolayev, Schmidt Institute for Physics of the Earth

R. Jay Pulliam, University of Texas at Austin

Karthik Ram, University of California, Berkeley

Jeffery Regier, University of California, Berkeley

Chad M. Schafer, Carnegie Mellon University

Daniel Turek, University of California, Berkeley

Vincent S. Yates, Yammer

Graduate Committees

1. Alameida, Jose, Mathematics. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2008
2. Atz, Milos, Nuclear Engineering. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2018
3. Bach, Andre, Physics. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2011
4. Bar-Yossef, Ziv, Computer Science. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2001; dissertation committee, "The Complexity of Massive Data Set Computations," 2002
5. Bein, Ed, Biostatistics. MA examination, 2002
6. Berny, Axel Dominique, EECS. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2004; dissertation committee, "Analysis and Design of Wideband LC VCOs," 2006
7. Bertelli, E., IEOR. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2018
8. Bloniarz, Adam, Statistics. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2014
9. Bodik, Peter, Computer Science. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2007; dissertation committee, "Automating Datacenter Operations Using Machine Learning," 2010
10. Bowman, John Penfield, IEOR. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2003
11. Bunn, Emory Freeman, Physics. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 1994; dissertation committee, "Statistical Analysis of Cosmic Microwave Background Anisotropy," 1995
12. Burleigh, Kaylan, Astronomy. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2016, 2017; dissertation committee, "A Monte Carlo Method for Identifying Imaging Systematics in Galaxy Surveys," 2018

13. Burstein, Richard David II, Mathematics. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2004; dissertation committee, "Hadamard Subfactors of Bisch-Haagerup Type," 2008

14. Buttrey, Samuel Edward, Statistics. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 1994; dissertation committee, "Nearest-Neighbor Classification with Categorical Variables," 1996

15. Calef, Brandoch Hugh, Applied Mathematics. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 1997; dissertation committee, "Optimal Sampling of the Discrete Fourier Transform," 2002

16. Charman, Andrew Emile, Physics. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2003; dissertation committee, "Random Aspects of Beam Physics and Laser-Plasma Interactions," 2006

17. Chen, Raymond Lei, EECS. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 1993; dissertation committee, "A Qualitative Modeling Framework of Semiconductor Manufacturing Processes: Self-Learning Fuzzy Inference System and the Statistical Analysis of Categorical Data," 1994

18. Chien, George, EECS. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 1998

19. Fernandez, Arturo, Statistics. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2017

20. Feldman, Arnold R., EECS. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 1995; dissertation committee, "High-Speed, Low-Power Sigma-Delta Modulators for RF Baseband Channel Applications," 1997

21. Fodor, Imola K., Statistics. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 1997; chair, dissertation committee, "Spectrum Estimation in Helioseismology," 1999

22. Fong, Keng Leong, EECS. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 1996; dissertation committee, "Design and Optimization Techniques for Monolithic RF Downconversion Mixers," 1997

23. Gagnon-Bartsch, Johann, Statistics. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2009; co-chair, dissertation committee "Removing Unwanted Variation from Microarray Data with Negative Controls," 2012

24. Gawiser, Eric Joseph, Physics. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 1998

25. Genovese, Christopher Ralph, Statistics. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 1992; chair, dissertation committee, "Statistical Problems in Helioseismology," 1994

26. Goldman, Megan, Biostatistics. Chair, Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2009

27. Gung, Yuan-Cheng, Geophysics. Dissertation committee, "Q Tomography of the Earth Mantle," 2003

28. Hansen, Bendek, Statistics. Chair, MA thesis committee, "Minimax Expected Length Confidence Intervals," 2000

29. Hansen, Mark Henry, Statistics. Chair, Ph.D. qualifying examination, 1992

30. Hengartner, Niklaus Walther, Statistics. Co-chair, dissertation committee, "Topics in Density Estimation," 1993

31. Higgins, Mike, Statistics. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2009, 2010

32. Huang, Hsiang-Ping, Mathematics. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 1996

33. Huang, Jianhua, Statistics. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 1994; dissertation committee, "Topics in Extended Linear Modeling," 1997

34. Huang, Yuanlin, Civil Engineering. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 1993, 1994

35. Jiang, Xuesong, EECS. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2001

36. Jones, David Morgan, Mathematics. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 1994; dissertation committee, "On Modular Galois Representations in Characteristic 3," 1998

37. Katsis, Dimitrios, EECS. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2005

38. Kiesling, Max Karl, Civil Engineering. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 1994

39. Kuusela, Mikael Johan, Statistics, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, dissertation committee, "Uncertainty quantification in unfolding elementary particle spectra at the Large Hadron Collider," 2016

40. Lara, Jose Daniel, Energy and Resources Group. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2018

41. Li, Bo, Statistics. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2004

42. Li, Wenyu, Mechanical Engineering. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2017

43. Loscutoff, Peter, Physics. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2011; dissertation committee, "Search for resonant $WZ \to \ell\nu\ell\ell$ production using $13fb^{?1}$ in $\sqrt{s} = 8TeV$ pp collisions with the ATLAS detector," 2013

44. Luen, Bradley, Statistics. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2006; Chair, dissertation committee, "Earthquake Prediction: Simple Methods for Complex Phenomena," 2010

45. Luo, Tian, Statistics. MA thesis chair, "Nonparametric estimation of business survival with an application to restaurant startups," 2014

46. Madar, Vered, Statistics and Operations Research, Tel Aviv University. MA thesis committee, "Non-equivariant confidence intervals," 2002; Ph.D. committee, "Simultaneous Confidence Intervals for Multiple Parameters with More Power to Determine the Sign," 2007

47. Maurer, Tessa, Civil and Environmental Engineering. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2018

48. Megnin, Charles Henri, Geophysics. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 1996; dissertation committee, "The Shear Velocity Structure of the Mantle from the Inversion of Time-Domain Waveform Data," 1999

49. Mieler, Michael William, Civil Engineering. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2011

50. Millman, Kenneth Jarrod, Biostatistics. MA thesis committee, "permute—a Python package for permutation tests and confidence sets," 2015

51. Miratrix, Luke W., Statistics. Chair, Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2010

52. Mohanty, Sudatta, Civil Engineering. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2017

53. Murmann, Boris, EECS. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2002; dissertation committee, "Digital Calibration for Low-Power High-Performance A/D Conversion," 2003

54. Oreluk, James, Mechanical Engineering. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2017

55. Ottoboni, Kellie, Statistics. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2017

56. Ou, Jeffrey Jiajiunn, EECS. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 1995

57. Petkov, Vladimir Plamenov, EECS. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2003

58. Poobuapheun, Nuntachai, EECS. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2005; dissertation committee, "LNA and Mixer Designs for Multi-Band Receiver Front-Ends," 2009

59. Puente, Suzette, Statistics. M.A. committee, 2013

60. Pulliam, R. Jay, Geophysics. Ph.D. dissertation committee, "Imaging Earth's Interior: Tomographic Inversion of Mantle P-Wave Velocity Structure," 1991

61. Qian, Kun, EECS. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2009; dissertation committee, "Variability Modeling and Statistical Parameter Extraction for CMOS Devices," 2015

62. Regier, Jeffery, Statistics. Chair, M.A. committee, 2013; dissertation committee, "Topics in large-scale statistical inference," 2016

63. Rein, Steven Richard, Statistics. Chair, Ph.D. qualifying examination, 1990

64. Rossi, Jim, Journalism. M.A. thesis committee, "Reverse-engineering the Echo Chamber," 2017

65. Schafer, Chad Michael, Statistics. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2001; chair, dissertation committee, "Constructing Confidence Regions of Optimal Expected Size: Theory and Application to Cosmic Microwave Inference," 2004

66. Son, Sang Won, EECS. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2000; dissertation committee, "High Dynamic Range CMOS Mixer Design," 2002

67. Stern, Aaron James, Computational Biology. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2017.

68. Suzuki, Toru, Demography. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 1995; dissertation committee, "Projection of Households in Japan with a Dynamic Macro-Simulation Model," 1999

69. Tee, Luns, EECS. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2001

70. Tenorio, Luis-Francisco, Mathematics. Ph.D. dissertation committee, "Asymptotic Dynamics of Locally Oblique Solitary Wave Solutions of the KP Equation," 1992

71. Thompson, Neil, Statistics. M.A. committee, 2012

72. To, Albert Chi Fu, Statistics. M.A. committee, 2005

73. Wagner, Tim Allen, CS. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 1995; dissertation committee, "Practical Algorithms for Incremental Software Development Environments," 1997

74. Wang, Jason, Astronomy. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2017; dissertation committee, "Footage of Other Worlds: Unveiling the Dynamical Architecture of Young Exoplanetary Systems," 2018

75. Wicks, Charles Wesley Jr., Geophysics. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 1990; dissertation committee, "An Investigation of Mantle Discontinuities Beneath the Southwest Pacific," 1994

76. Wilhelm, Matthieu, Université de Neuchâtel, Statistics. Ph.D. dissertation committee, "Random sampling with repulsion," 2017

77. Yao, Shijing, EECS. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2015

78. Yates, Vincent, Statistics. Chair, M.A. committee, 2012

79. Ying, Jun, Naval Architecture. D. Eng. qualifying examination, 1995; dissertation committee, "Development and Verification of Computer Simulation Models for Evaluation of Siting Strategies and Evacuation Procedures for Mobile Drilling Units in Hurricanes," 1996

80. Zhang, Xiaoyan, Statistics. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 1997

81. Zagheni, Emilio, Demography. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2008

82. Zamora, Joel Barajas, UC Santa Cruz, EE. Ph.D. dissertation defense, 2015; dissertation committee, "Online Display Advertising Causal Attribution and Evaluation," 2015

First-year PhD advising

2014–15 Thanh-Nhan (Andrew) Do

2014–15 Kellie Ottoboni

2016–17 Jake Soloff

Current PhD advisees

2014– Kellie Ottoboni

2018– Amanda Glazer

Undergraduate Research and Honors Thesis Advisees

2018 Omar Buenrostro, Alan Chuang, Christopher Fan, Jin Kweon, James Li, Hubert Luo, William Ma, Jiazhong (Frank) Mei, Arun Ramamurthy, Avi Sen, Neil Sharma, Karen Tu, Zihui (Lucy) Wang, Steven Ye, Saam Zahedian, Wentao Zhan

2015 Fang Cai, Catherine Darin (U. Pennsylvania)

2014 Hriday Kemburu, He Ma, Rachel Redberg

2010–2011 Katherine McLaughlin

2010 Aaron Taylor, Hua Yang

2009 Joshua M. Levin

2008 Jonathan Ong

2007 Gerold Ng

2003–2004 Feng Tang

1993–1996 Dendy Harjanto

1988–1993 10 others

Service

Professional Societies and Government Agencies

2018
- Advisory Board, U.S. Election Assistance Commission
- Consultant, Colorado Secretary of State
- Reviewer, National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, Policy and Global Affairs Division
- Editorial Board, *ScienceOpen*
- Chair for Auditability, IEEE/NIST Voting System Standards Committee (VSSC) Working Group For Voting Methods Mathematical Models (C/VSSC/1622.X_WG)
- Organizing Committee, Election Audit Summit, Caltech/MIT Voting Technology Project, December 2018. `https://electionlab.mit.edu/election-audit-summit`
- Program committee, 2018 Workshop on Advances in Secure Electronic Voting Schemes (VOTING'18, held in conjunction with the 2018 Conference on Financial Cryptography and Data Security, FC'18)
- Program committee, 2019 Workshop on Advances in Secure Electronic Voting Schemes (VOTING'19, held in conjunction with the 2019 Conference on Financial Cryptography and Data Security, FC'19)

- Program committee, Fourth International Joint Conference on Electronic Voting (E-Vote-ID 2019)
- Referee, *Geophysical Research Letters*
- Referee, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*
- Referee, *PeerJ*

2017
- Advisory Board, U.S. Election Assistance Commission
- Consultant, Colorado Secretary of State
- Founding Steering Committee and Editorial Board, USENIX Journal of Voting Technology
- Editorial Board, *ScienceOpen*
- Chair for Auditability, IEEE/NIST Voting System Standards Committee (VSSC) Working Group For Voting Methods Mathematical Models (C/VSSC/1622.X_WG)
- Program committee, 2018 Workshop on Advances in Secure Electronic Voting Schemes (VOTING'18, held in conjunction with the 2018 Conference on Financial Cryptography and Data Security, FC'18)
- Program committee, 2017 Workshop on Advances in Secure Electronic Voting Schemes (VOTING'17, held in conjunction with the 2017 Conference on Financial Cryptography and Data Security, FC'17)
- Chair, Mini-symposium on Open Data and Reproducibility, *2017 International Scientific Computing with Python (SciPy) Conference*, Austin, TX.
- Referee, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*

2016
- Advisory Board, U.S. Election Assistance Commission
- Consultant, Colorado Secretary of State
- Travis County Texas Elections Division STAR-Vote System Brain Trust
- Founding Steering Committee and Editorial Board, USENIX Journal of Voting Technology

- Associate editor, SIAM/ASA Journal of Uncertainty Quantification
- Editorial Board, *ScienceOpen*
- Chair for Auditability, IEEE/NIST Voting System Standards Committee (VSSC) Working Group For Voting Methods Mathematical Models (C/VSSC/1622.X_WG)
- Program committee, 2016 Workshop on Advances in Secure Electronic Voting Schemes (VOTING'16, held in conjunction with the 2016 Conference on Financial Cryptography and Data Security, FC'16)
- Program committee, 2017 Workshop on Advances in Secure Electronic Voting Schemes (VOTING'17, held in conjunction with the 2017 Conference on Financial Cryptography and Data Security, FC'17)
- Program committee, 12th International Joint Conference on Electronic Voting (E-Vote-ID 2016), Bregenz, Austria
- Session co-organizer, "Productive Ecologies in the Anthropocene: Foraging Systems," Sixth International Conference on Food Studies, Berkeley, CA

2015
- Consultant, Colorado Secretary of State
- Travis County Texas Elections Division STAR-Vote System Brain Trust
- Founding Steering Committee and Editorial Board, USENIX Journal of Voting Technology
- Associate editor, SIAM/ASA Journal of Uncertainty Quantification
- Editorial Board, *ScienceOpen*
- Chair for Auditability, IEEE/NIST Voting System Standards Committee (VSSC) Working Group For Voting Methods Mathematical Models (C/VSSC/1622.X_WG)
- Program committee, VoteID 2015: The 5th International Conference on e-Voting and Identity, Bern, Switzerland. `http://www.voteid15.org/`

- Program committee, 2015 European Symposium on Research in Computer Security (ESORICS 2015), Vienna, Austria. `http://esorics2015.sba-research.org/`
- Program committee, 2016 Workshop on Advances in Secure Electronic Voting Schemes (VOTING'16, held in conjunction with the 2016 Conference on Financial Cryptography and Data Security, FC'16)
- Session organizer, Teaching Computational Thinking and Practice, 2015 SIAM Conference on Computational Science and Engineering (CSE15)
- Organizer, Berkeley Institute for Data Sciences and Moore/Sloan Data Science Environments 2015 Conference on Reproducibility
- Referee, PeerJ

2014
- Consultant, Colorado Secretary of State
- Travis County Texas Elections Division STAR-Vote System Brain Trust
- Founding Steering Committee and Editorial Board, USENIX Journal of Election Technology and Systems (JETS)
- Associate editor, SIAM/ASA Journal of Uncertainty Quantification
- Editorial Board, *ScienceOpen*
- Member, IEEE/NIST Voting System Standards Committee (VSSC) Working Group For Voting Methods Mathematical Models (C/VSSC/1622.X_WG)
- Organizing committee co-chair, 2014 SIAM/ASA Conference on Uncertainty Quantification, Savannah, GA
- Program committee, VoteID 2015: The 5th International Conference on e-Voting and Identity, Bern, Switzerland. `http://www.voteid15.org/`
- Program committee, 2015 European Symposium on Research in Computer Security (ESORICS 2015), Vienna, Austria. `http://esorics2015.sba-research.org/`

- Session organizer, late-breaking session on Reproducibility, 2014 Joint Statistical Meetings, Boston, MA
- Session organizer and chair, 2014 Conference of the International Society for Nonparametric Statistics, Cadiz, Spain
- Session organizer, Teaching Computational Thinking and Practice, 2015 SIAM Conference on Computational Science and Engineering (CSE15)
- Referee, PLoS One

2013
- Consultant, California Secretary of State
- Consultant, Colorado Secretary of State
- Consultant, U.S. Department of Justice, Civil Division
- Travis County Texas Elections Division STAR-Vote System Brain Trust
- Founding Steering Committee and Editorial Board, USENIX Journal of Election Technology and Systems (JETS)
- Associate editor, SIAM/ASA Journal of Uncertainty Quantification
- Organizing committee co-chair, 2014 SIAM/ASA Conference on Uncertainty Quantification, Savannah, GA
- Session organizer, Conference of the International Society for Nonparametric Statistics, Cadiz, Spain

2012
- Consultant, California Secretary of State
- Consultant, Colorado Secretary of State
- Consultant, U.S. Department of Justice
- Travis County Texas Elections Division STAR-Vote System Brain Trust
- Founding Steering Committee, USENIX Journal of Election Technology and Systems (JETS)
- Reviewer, National Science Foundation
- Program committee, 2012 Electronic Voting Technology / Workshop on Transparent Elections (EVT/WOTE '12), USENIX Security Symposium, Bellevue, WA

- Session organizer, 2012 Joint Statistical Meetings of the American Statistical Association, International Biometric Society, and Institute of Mathematical Statistics, San Diego, CA
- Session organizer, 1st Conference of the International Society for NonParametric Statistics, Chalkidiki, Greece
- Organizing committee co-chair, 2014 SIAM/ASA Conference on Uncertainty Quantification, Savannah, GA
- Program committee, 2012 SIAM/ASA/SAMSI/USACM Conference on Uncertainty Quantification, Raleigh, NC
- Session organizer, Election Verification Network (EVN) annual conference, Santa Fe, NM

2011
- Consultant and Expert Witness, U.S. Department of Justice, Civil Division (for U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development)
- Program committee, 2012 SIAM/ASA/SAMSI/USACM Conference on Uncertainty Quantification, Raleigh, NC
- Consultant, California Secretary of State
- Consultant, Colorado Secretary of State
- Session organizer, Election Verification Network (EVN) annual conference, Chicago, IL

2010
- Consultant and Expert Witness, U.S. Department of Justice, Civil Division (for Department of Housing and Urban Development)
- Consultant, State of Illinois
- Consultant, California Attorney General (for California Highway Patrol)
- Consultant, New York State Senate
- Reviewer, Department of Defense Strategic Environmental Research and Development Program
- Session organizer, Election Verification Network (EVN) annual conference, Washington, DC

2009
- Consultant, California Secretary of State

2008 – Consultant, California Secretary of State

2007 – California Secretary of State Post-Election Audit Standards Working Group `http://www.sos.ca.gov/elections/elections_peas.htm`

2006 – Consultant and Expert Witness, U.S. Department of Justice, Civil Division

2005 – Consultant, U.S. Department of Justice, Civil Division
– Consultant, U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Center
– Consultant, Habeas Corpus Resource Center

2004 – Reviewer, National Science Foundation
– Consultant, U.S. Department of Justice, Civil Division
– Consultant, U.S. Attorney's Office
– Consultant, U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Center

2003 – Reviewer, National Science Foundation
– Referee, National Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada
– Consultant, U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Center

2002 – Consultant, U.S. Department of Agriculture
– Consultant, U.S. Department of Justice, Civil Division

2001 – Consultant, U.S. Department of Justice, Civil Division
– Co-organizer, Institute for Mathematics and Its Applications Annual Program *Mathematics in the Geosciences* and workshop on Inverse Problems and the Quantification of Uncertainty

2000 – Invited discussant, National Academy of Science Committee on National Statistics workshop on dual-system estimation for the 2000 Census
– Consultant, U.S. Department of Justice, Civil Division

1998 – Witness, U.S. House of Representatives Subcommittee on the Census.

– Panelist, National Science Foundation

1997 – Session organizer, International Statistical Institute and Bernoulli Society Meeting, Istanbul, Turkey

1996–present – Global Oscillation Network Group (GONG) Data Users Committee (Chair, 1996–1998)

– Reviewer for United States Geological Survey

1996–1999 – Consultant, National Security Agency

1995 – Institute of Mathematical Statistics Program Chair, Joint Statistical Meetings of the American Statistical Association, International Biometric Society, and Institute of Mathematical Statistics, Orlando, FL

1994–1996 – Consultant to Federal Trade Commission

1993 – Session organizer and chair, IMS/ASA/ENAR meeting, Philadelphia, PA

– Session organizer and chair, Joint Statistical Meetings of the American Statistical Association, International Biometric Society, and Institute of Mathematical Statistics, San Francisco, CA

1992 – Faculty sponsor, Department of Energy TRAC program

1990–1994 – Bernoulli Society Committee on Statistics in the Physical Sciences

1991–present – Reviewer for National Aeronautics and Space Administration (Space Physics Division)

1991 – Local organizer and session chair, Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Workshop on Statistical Methods in Imaging, Berkeley, CA

1989 – Session organizer and chair, Bernoulli Society Satellite Meeting, Leuven, Belgium

1989–present – Reviewer for National Science Foundation (Atmospheric Sciences; Infrastructure; International Programs; Mathematical Sciences; Methodology, Measurement, and Statistics; Solar-Terrestrial Program; Statistics and Probability)

Foundations, Non-Profit Corporations, and Industry

2013–present – Board of Directors, Verified Voting Foundation

2011–2013 – Board of Advisors, Verified Voting Foundation

2010–2011 – Technical Advisory Board, Clear Ballot Group

2007 – Advisory Board, Facebar, Inc.

2000–2001 – Technical Advisory Board, Cogit.com

2000–2002 – National Advisory Board, eTextbooksOnline.com
– Technical Advisory Board, Atomic Dog Publishing

Editorial and Referee Service

Editorial Service

2014–present – Faculty Review Board, Berkeley Scientific Journal

2013–present – Editorial Board, ScienceOpen

2013–present – Associate Editor, SIAM/ASA Journal on Uncertainty Quantification

2012–present – Founding Steering Committee and Editorial Board, USENIX Journal of Election Technology and Systems (JETS)

2011–present – Editor, Frontiers in Statistics and Probability (Springer)

2008 – Guest Editor, Inverse Problems

1998–1999 – Editor, Statistical Science

1997–2000 – Editorial Board, Inverse Problems

1994–1998 – Associate Editor, Journal of Geophysical Research

Referee Service

1. American Association for the Advancement of Science

2. American Mathematical Monthly
3. Annales Geophysicae
4. Annals of the Institute of Statistical Mathematics
5. Annals of Statistics
6. Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering
7. Astrophysical Journal
8. Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America
9. Cambridge University Press
10. Chapman-Hall
11. Computational Statistics and Data Analysis
12. Electronic Journal of Statistics
13. Geophysical Journal International
14. Geophysical Research Letters
15. Geophysics
16. Geophysical & Astrophysical Fluid Dynamics
17. HarperCollins
18. IEEE Journal on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing
19. IEEE Journal on Information Theory
20. Inverse Problems
21. Inverse Problems and Imaging
22. Journal of the American Statistical Association
23. Journal of Computational Physics
24. Journal of Economic Literature

25. Journal of Geophysical Research
26. Jurimetrics
27. Nature
28. Nature Climate Change
29. PeerJ
30. Political Analysis
31. Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors
32. PLoS One
33. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences
34. Science
35. SIAM Review
36. Simon and Schuster
37. Springer-Verlag
38. Statistics, Politics, and Policy
39. Statistical Science
40. Tectonophysics

University Service

2018–2019
- Associate Dean, Division of Mathematical and Physical Sciences
- UC Berkeley Signature Initiatives working group for Inclusive Intelligence
- Advisory Board, Berkeley Institute for Data Science (BIDS)
- Scientific Advisory Board, European Union H2020 Project Moving Towards Adaptive Governance in Complexity: Informing Nexus Security (MAGIC), Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona (Spain) and University of Bergen (Norway)

- Faculty Advisory Committee, Berkeley Resource Center for Online Education (BRCOE)
- Faculty Athletic Fellow
- Program Advisory Committee, Doctor of Business Administration Program, Lincoln University
- Member, Berkeley Science Network `http://bsn.berkeley.edu`
- Schmidt Science Fellows Program review committee

2017–2018
- Associate Dean, Division of Mathematical and Physical Sciences
- Chancellor's Strategic Planning Committee on Enrollment Growth
- Interdepartmental Committee on the Formation of the Division of Data Sciences
- Director, Statistical Computing Facility
- *Ad hoc* Data Sciences Divisional committee on undergraduate degree programs
- Advisory Board, Berkeley Institute for Data Science (BIDS)
- Academic Program Review Committee, Academic Senate representative, Department of Agricultural and Resource Economics
- Scientific Advisory Board, European Union H2020 Project Moving Towards Adaptive Governance in Complexity: Informing Nexus Security (MAGIC), Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona (Spain) and University of Bergen (Norway)
- Faculty Advisory Committee, Berkeley Resource Center for Online Education (BRCOE)
- Faculty Advisory Committee, Athletic Study Center
- Faculty Athletic Fellow
- Program Advisory Committee, Doctor of Business Administration Program, Lincoln University
- Member, Berkeley Science Network `http://bsn.berkeley.edu`

2016–2017
- Associate Dean, Division of Mathematical and Physical Sciences
- Director, Statistical Computing Facility

- Advisory Board, Berkeley Institute for Data Science (BIDS)
- Scientific Advisory Board, European Union H2020 Project Moving Towards Adaptive Governance in Complexity: Informing Nexus Security (MAGIC), Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona (Spain) and University of Bergen (Norway)
- Faculty Advisory Committee, Berkeley Resource Center for Online Education (BRCOE)
- Faculty Advisory Committee, Athletic Study Center
- Faculty Athletic Fellow
- Program Advisory Committee, Doctor of Business Administration Program, Lincoln University
- Member, Berkeley Science Network `http://bsn.berkeley.edu`

2015–2016
- Associate Dean, Division of Mathematical and Physical Sciences
- Faculty Advisory Committee, Berkeley Resource Center for Online Education (BRCOE)
- Faculty Advisory Committee, Athletic Study Center
- Faculty Athletic Fellow
- Program Advisory Committee, Doctor of Business Administration Program, Lincoln University
- Member, Berkeley Science Network `http://bsn.berkeley.edu`

2014–2015
- Chair, Department of Statistics
- Director, Statistical Computing Facility
- Faculty Advisory Committee, Berkeley Resource Center for Online Education (BRCOE)
- Campus Working Group on Course Curriculum and Design
- Faculty Advisory Committee, Athletic Study Center
- Engineering Science Advisory Committee, College of Engineering
- Faculty Athletic Fellow
- Program Advisory Committee, Doctor of Business Administration Program, Lincoln University

- Member, Berkeley Science Network `http://bsn.berkeley.edu`

2013–2014
- Chair, Department of Statistics
- Director, Statistical Computing Facility
- Commission on the Future of the UC Berkeley Library `http://academic-senate.berkeley.edu/issues/commission-future-uc-berkeley-library`
  Charge: `http://evcp.berkeley.edu/sites/default/files/Library%20Commission%2009.21.2012.pdf`
  Final Report: `http://evcp.berkeley.edu/news/commission-future-uc-berkeley-library-report`
- Faculty Advisory Committee, Berkeley Resource Center for Online Education (BRCOE)
- Campus Working Group on Course Curriculum and Design
- Faculty Advisory Committee, Athletic Study Center
- Engineering Science Advisory Committee, College of Engineering
- Search Committee, Director of IT for College of Letters and Sciences
- Faculty Athletic Fellow
- Program Advisory Committee, Doctor of Business Administration Program, Lincoln University
- External Review Committee, Department of Applied Mathematics and Statistics, Colorado School of Mines
- Member, Berkeley Science Network `http://bsn.berkeley.edu`

2012–2013
- Chair, Department of Statistics
- Director, Statistical Computing Facility
- Commission on the Future of the UC Berkeley Library
- Faculty Advisory Committee, Berkeley Resource Center for Online Education (BRCOE)
- Engineering Science Advisory Committee, College of Engineering
- Faculty Athletic Fellow

- Program Advisory Committee, Doctor of Business Administration Program, Lincoln University
- Member, Berkeley Science Network `http://bsn.berkeley.edu`

2011–2012
- Acting Department Chair, Department of Statistics, July–August
- Vice Chair, Department of Statistics
- Academic Senate Alternate Representative to University of California Systemwide Assembly
- Academic Senate Committee on Academic Planning and Resource Allocation (CAPRA)
- Campus Committee on Classroom Policy and Management (CC-CPM)
- Business Resumption Coordination Group (BRCG)
- Faculty Athletic Fellow
- Program Advisory Committee, Doctor of Business Administration Program, Lincoln University

2010–2011
- Academic Senate Committee on Academic Planning and Resource Allocation (CAPRA)
- Campus Committee on Classroom Policy and Management (CC-CPM)
- Course Note-Taking Taskforce (`http://campuspol.chance.berkeley.edu/policies/coursenotes.pdf`)
- *Ad hoc* tenure/promotion committee
- Faculty Athletic Fellow
- Program Advisory Committee, Doctor of Business Administration Program, Lincoln University

2009–2010
- Academic Senate Committee on Computing and Communications (COMP)
- Faculty Athletic Fellow

2008–2009
- Faculty Athletic Fellow

2007–2008
- Undergraduate Student Learning Initiative Faculty Advisory Committee
- Faculty Athletic Fellow

2006–2007
- Faculty Athletic Fellow

2005–2006
- Faculty Athletic Fellow

2004–2005
- Chair, Educational Technology Committee
- e-Berkeley Steering Committee
- e-Berkeley Committee of Chairs
- e-Berkeley Implementation Task Force
- CourseWeb Steering Committee
- Faculty Athletic Fellow

2003–2004
- Chair, Educational Technology Committee
- e-Berkeley Steering Committee
- e-Berkeley Implementation Task Force
- Student Systems Policy Committee
- CourseWeb Steering Committee

2002–2003
- Faculty Assistant in Educational Technology (to Vice Provost for Undergraduate Education)
- Chair, Educational Technology Committee
- Provost's Academic Council
- e-Berkeley Steering Committee
- e-Berkeley Implementation Task Force
- Campus Committee on Classroom Policy and Management (CC-CPM)
- Student Systems Policy Committee
- e-Berkeley Symposium Program Committee
- Faculty Search Committee, Graduate School of Education
- CourseWeb Steering Committee

2001–2002
- Faculty Assistant in Educational Technology (to Vice Provost for Undergraduate Education)
- Chair, Educational Technology Committee
- Provost's Academic Council
- e-Berkeley Steering Committee
- e-Berkeley Implementation Task Force
- Campus Committee on Classroom Policy and Management (CC-CPM)
- Academic Senate Committee on Academic Planning and Resource Allocation (CAPRA)
- CITRIS II Program Committee
- TeleBEARS and BearFacts Committees (combined into Student Systems Policy Committee as of 3/2002)
- e-Berkeley Portal Working Group
- Faculty search committee, Graduate School of Education

2000–2001
- Space Allocation and Capital Improvements (SACI)
- Academic Senate Committee on Academic Planning and Resource Allocation (CAPRA)
- CAPRA Subcommittee on Expanded Enrollment
- CAPRA Subcommittee on changes to Academic Coordinator title
- *Ad hoc* hiring/tenure committee

1999–2000
- Space Allocation and Capital Improvements (SACI)
- Academic Senate Library Committee (LIBR)
- Academic Senate Committee on Academic Planning and Resource Allocation (CAPRA), Physical Planning Subcommittee, *ex officio* representative from Library Committee
- Academic Effects Study Committee, Molecular Engineering Building
- *Ad hoc* tenure/promotion committee
- SACI subcommittee to audit space in Barrows Hall

1998–1999 – Space Allocation and Capital Improvements (SACI)
– Electronic Dissertations Project
– Planning Space for the Physical Sciences Libraries

1997–1998 – *Ad hoc* tenure/promotion committee

1996 – Review of College of Science, King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals, Dhahran, Saudi Arabia

1994–1999 – University review committee for Department of Agricultural and Resource Economics, University of California, Berkeley

1993–1995 – Physical Sciences Division committee for Graduate Affirmative Action and Retention
– Physical Sciences Division committee for Science and Mathematics Academic Re-Training (SMART)

Contracts and Grants

1. PI, NASA Grant NAG 5-883, "Constructing Core Fields Consistent with Geomagnetic Data and Geophysical Constraints," 1987–1990.
2. Project Director and PI, NSF Grant DMS-8810192, "Inference in Curved-Ray Tomography: Solid Earth Structure," 1989–1992.
3. PI, NSF Grant INT-9205103, "Long and Medium-Term Research: Inference in Seismological Investigations of Subducting Lithosphere," 1992–1994.
4. PI, NSF Grant DMS-930006P, "Estimating the Sun's Internal Angular Velocity from Free-Oscillation Frequency Splittings," 1993–1994.
5. PI, NSF Presidential Young Investigator Award DMS-8957573, 1989–1995.
6. Co-I, NASA Grant NAG5-2438, "The Analysis of Cobe DMR Sky Maps," 1993–1994. PI: J. Silk
7. PI, NASA Grant NAGW-2515, "New Methods for Inversion and Analysis of Solar Free-Oscillation Data," 1991–1995.

8. PI, NSF Grant DMS-9404276, "New Methods for Inference From COBE Data," 1994–1997.

9. PI, NSF Grant AST-9504410, "Function Estimation and Inference in Helioseismology," 1995–1998.

10. PI, LLNL/IGPP Grant 97-AP028, "Helioseismology with Solar Luminosity Constraints," 1996–1997.

11. Co-I, NASA Grant NAG5-3941, "Development of data analysis, compression and visualization tools for large data sets in astrophysics and cosmology," 1997–1998. PI: J. Silk

12. PI, NASA Grant NRA-96-09-OSS-034SOHO, "Modern Statistical Methods for Helioseismic Spectrum Estimation," 1997–1998.

13. PI, NASA Grant NAG 5-3919, "Data Sampling Rate Reduction for the Oersted Satellite," 1997–1998.

14. PI, UC Berkeley Classroom Technologies Grant, "Statistics *Statim*," 1997–1998.

15. Co-I, NSF Grant DMS-9872979,"*KDI: Computational Challenges in Cosmology*," 1998–2000. PI: A. Jaffe.

16. Co-I, NSF Grant IIS-98-17353, "*Re-Inventing Scholarly Information Dissemination and Use*," 4/1/1999–3/31/2004. PI: R. Wilensky and D. Forsythe.

17. PI, Hewlett Packard Company Grant 89293, "Applied Mobile Technology Solutions in Learning Environments," 3/19/2003–8/31/2004. Status report:
`https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Grants/hp89293.htm`

18. PI, Hewlett Packard Company Grant 14928, "Applied Mobile Technology Solutions in Learning Environments—2004 Extension Grant," 4/1/2004–6/30/2005.

19. PI, LLNL Grant B565605, "Uncertainty in Complex Simulations," 4/3/2007–9/30/2007.

20. PI, LLNL Grant B585264, "Uncertainty Quantification with Applications to Climate Modeling," 11/3/2009–9/30/2010.

21. PI, Genentech Inc. Grant 008485, "Measuring Glucose with NIR," 2/24/2010–10/31/2010.

22. Co-I, NSF Grant DUE-1060487, "S-STEM Berkeley Science Network Scholarship Program," 3/1/2011–2/28/2015. PI: M. Richards.

23. PI, State of Colorado U.S. Election Assistance Commission subaward UC01, 2010 Pre-Election Logic and Accuracy Testing and Post-Election Audit Initiative, 5/23/2011–4/23/2013.

24. PI, State of California Election Assistance Commission subaward 10I10066, Post Election Risk-Limiting Audit Pilot Program, 9/13/2011–4/23/2013.

25. PI, Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation Grant OPP1077697, "An Introductory Statistics MOOC With Field-Tested Online Assessments," 12/20/2012–7/31/2013.

26. Co-I, UC Berkeley MOOCLab Grant, "Forum Usage in Statistics MOOCs: Disentangling Correlation from Causation," 10/2013–8/2014. PI: M. Hearst.

27. Co-I, Berkeley Institute for Data Science, grant from the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation and the Sloan Foundation. 12/2013–12/2018. PI: S. Perlmutter.

28. PI, UC Berkeley Food Institute Grant, "Reaping without Sowing: Urban Foraging, Sustainability, Nutrition, and Social Welfare," 2/2014–8/2015.

29. Co-I, NSF, DGE–1450053, "NRT-DESE Data Science for the 21st Century (DS421)," 2015–2020. PI: D. Ackerley.

30. PI, UC Berkeley Food Institute Grant, "Wild Food: Investigating and Reducing Barriers to the Consumption of Foraged Foods," 5/2015–12/2015.

31. PI, State Street Bank and Trust Company Grant, "Industry Partners Program: Consortium for Data Analytics in Risk (CDAR); and Berkeley Institute for Data Science (BIDS) at UC Berkeley," 2/2015–6/2018.

32. PI, Dascena subaward from NIH, "SBIR: A Computational Approach to Early Sepsis Detection," 4/2017–6/2017.

33. PI, Peder Sather Grant, "Mainstreaming Sensitivity Analysis and Uncertainty Auditing," 7/2017–6/2018.

34. Co-I, NSF Grant DMS–1745640, "(RTG): Advancing Machine Learning–Causality and Interpretability," 2018–2023.

Consulting and Expert Witness Experience

Baker & McKenzie LLP, New York, NY: sampling and uncertainty quantification (client Nuclear Electric Insurance Limited, NEIL)

Bartlit Beck Herman Palenchar & Scott LLP, Denver, CO: intellectual property litigation (client Tessera)

Bingham McCutchen LLP, Los Angeles, CA: sampling in litigation

Bramson, Plutzik, Mahler & Birkhaeuser LLP, Walnut Creek, CA: consumer class action litigation

Bruce P. Brown Law, Atlanta, GA: election integrity litigation (client Donna Curling et al.)

Brinks, Hofer, Gilson & Lione, Chicago, IL: intellectual property litigation (clients R.J. Reynolds, Actavis)

Calfee, Halter & Griswold LLP, Cleveland, OH: tort litigation (client FirstEnergy Corp)

California-American Water Company: utilities regulation, census and survey data

Capital One: economic modeling and credit risk management; intellectual property litigation; credit loss forecasting

Carey and Carey, Palo Alto, CA: equal protection, civil litigation

CIBC: economic modeling and credit risk management

Cisco Systems: predicting email spool fill

City of Santa Rosa, CA: water treatment monitoring

Cogit.com, San Francisco, CA: Technical advisory board; data mining, targeted web advertising

Constantine, Cannon, San Francisco, CA, and New York, NY: *Qui Tam* litigation (three cases)

Contra Costa County Public Defender, Richmond, CA: equal protection, due process, medical treatment for defendants found incompetent to stand trial

Council of Europe, Venice Commission, Venice, Italy: election integrity, electoral fraud

Crosby, Heafey, Roach, & May, Oakland, CA: insurance litigation (client Farmer's Insurance)

Croskery Law Offices, Cincinnati, OH: employment discrimination litigation

East Bay Municipal Utilities District, Oakland, CA: water treatment monitoring

EEG Systems Laboratory, San Francisco, CA: inverse problems for electrical activity of the brain

Emery Celli Brinckerhoff & Abady LLP, Washington, DC: election recounts (client Jill Stein)

eTextbooksOnline.com, New York, NY: National Advisory board

Farella Braun + Martel LLP, San Francisco, CA: sampling and estimation in litigation

Federal Trade Commission, San Francisco, CA: sampling in litigation

Florida Education Association, Tallahassee, FL: teaching evaluations in academic employment decisions

Folger, Levin & Kahn, LLP, San Francisco, CA: sampling and risk management in litigation (client California Self-Insurers' Security Fund)

Fried, Frank, Harris, Shriver & Jacobsen LLP, New York, NY: sampling and estimation in securities litigation (clients Citigroup Global Markets Inc.; Goldman, Sachs & Co.; UBS Securities LLC)

Fuller-Austin Joint Defense Group: modeling in litigation

Georgia Department of Law, Atlanta, GA: lottery winnings (client Georgia Lottery Corporation)

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher, New York, NY: sampling and estimation in litigation (client AIG / Lavastone Capital)

GMAC Financial Services: economic modeling and credit risk management

Habeas Corpus Resource Center, San Francisco, CA: bias in jury selection

Howard, Rice, Nemerovski, Canady, Falk, & Rabkin, San Francisco, CA: sampling in litigation; inference from retail sales data (clients K-Mart Corp., R.J. Reynolds)

Howrey LLP, East Palo Alto, CA: sampling in litigation (client Apple Inc.)

HSBC: economic modeling and credit risk management

Jones Day, Columbus, OH: sampling and estimation in litigation (client Cardinal Health)

Kaiser Permanente Northern California, Redwood City, CA: clinical trials in oncology

Kelley Jasons McGuire & Spinelli, LLP: insurance litigation (client St. Paul Fire & Marine Insurance Company)

Keller Grover LLP, San Francisco, CA: *Qui Tam* litigation

Kemnitzer, Barron & Krieg, LLP, San Francisco, CA: sampling in consumer class action litigation

Kipling Law Group, Seattle, WA: sampling in litigation (client AT&T Wireless)

KLA Instruments Corporation, San Jose, CA: calibration of algorithms to detect IC mask flaws

Kramer, Levin, Naftalis, & Frankel, New York, NY: sampling in litigation

Latham & Watkins, LLP, Menlo Park, CA, and San Francisco, CA: sampling in consumer class action litigation (clients Apple Inc., Silver Spring Networks)

Law Offices of Gorman & Miller, San Jose, CA: trade secret litigation

Law Offices of Ilson W. New, San Francisco, CA: natural resource legislation (client California Abalone Association)

Law Offices of Ramirez, Tollner, Stebbins, Bahrick, & Sasseen, San Jose, CA: trade secret litigation

Law Offices of Welebir & McCune, Woodside, CA: product liability litigation

Law Offices of Wells, Pinckney & McHugh, Austin, TX: employment discrimination arbitration

Law Offices of Wolkin & Timpane, San Francisco, CA: insurance litigation (client CIGNA)

Law Offices of Scott K. Zimmerman, Brentwood, CA: product liability litigation

Life Chiropractic College West, Hayward, CA: experimental design

Littler Mendelson, P.C., Dallas, TX, Los Angeles, CA, and San Francisco, CA: sampling in employment wage and hour class action litigation

Los Angeles Superior Court, Central District: sampling in employment wage and hour litigation

Manatt, Phelps & Phillips LLP, San Francisco, CA: utilities regulation (client California-American Water Company)

Mayer, Brown, Rowe & Maw, Chicago, IL: intellectual property litigation (client Capital One)

Mayer Brown LLP, New York, NY: mortgage-backed securities litigation (clients Bank of New York Mellon, Citibank N.A.)

Memorial University Faculty Association (MUNFA), St. Johns, NL, Canada: teaching evaluations in academic employment decisions

Meyers Nave, Oakland, CA: election dispute litigation (client Novato Sanitary District)

Monaghan Safar Ducham PLLC, Burlington, VT: employment discrimination

Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP, Los Angeles, CA: sampling in litigation

Morrison & Foerster, San Francisco, CA: product liability class action litigation, causal inference in litigation (clients American Cemwood, Iovate Health Sciences)

Munger, Tolles & Olson, LLP, San Francisco, CA and Los Angeles, CA: consumer class action litigation, intellectual property litigation, sampling (clients Verizon Wireless, Philip Morris, Tessera)

Murphy & McGonigle, Washington, DC: risk management and credit loss forecasting (client Capital One)

National Security Agency: adaptive filtering, combining expert opinions, digital communications, information retrieval, estimation

National Solar Observatory, Tucson, AZ: spectrum estimation

Albert A. Natoli, P.C., New York, NY: surveys in consumer class action litigation

Nichols Kaster PLLP, Minneapolis, MN: sampling and damage estimation in consumer class action litigation

Norton Rose Fulbright US LLP, Houston, TX: construction defect litigation (client M.J. Dean Construction, Inc.)

Nossaman LLP, San Francisco, CA: utilities regulation (client California-American Water Company)

Office of the Attorney General, State of California, Oakland, CA: sampling in litigation (client California Highway Patrol)

Ontario Confederation of University Faculty Associations (OCUFA) and Ryerson Faculty Association, Toronto, ON: teaching evaluations in academic employment decisions

Oracle: sampling and risk analysis

Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP, Los Angeles and Sacramento, CA: sampling in litigation

Pacific Gas & Electric Co., San Francisco, CA: statistics and causal inference in litigation

Paul, Hastings, Janofsky & Walker LLP, Washington, DC: intellectual property litigation (client Capital One)

Phillips & Cohen LLP, San Francisco, CA: statistical inference in *Qui Tam* litigation

Porter & Hedges, LLP, Houston, TX: sampling in litigation

Schlumberger-Doll Research, Ridgefield, CT: inverse problems, signal processing

Robins Kaplan LLP: *Qui Tam* litigation

Shearman & Sterling, Washington, DC: survival analysis in litigation

Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP, San Francisco, CA: case-control studies in litigation

Spector Roseman Kodroff & Willis, P.C., Philadelphia, PA: *Qui Tam* litigation

Spriggs & Hollingsworth, Washington, DC: environmental litigation

State of Illinois, Monroe County State's Attorney, Waterloo, IL: evidence in capital prosecution

St. Paul Fire and Marine Insurance Company, Baltimore, MD: projecting tort liability

Susman Godfrey, LLP, Los Angeles, CA

Travis County, TX: design of auditable voting systems

United Faculty of Florida, Tallahassee, FL: teaching evaluations in academic employment decisions

U.S. Attorney's Office, Northern District of California: ethnic bias in grand jury selection

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C.: fairness in lending, import restrictions and risk assessment

U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Washington, D.C.: estimation and modeling

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Washington, D.C.: disparate impact of hurricane Katrina relief program

U.S. Department of Justice, Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch, Washington, D.C.: sampling the Internet and testing Internet content filters; USDA import restrictions on cattle and beef; disparate racial impact in HUD disaster relief; fairness in lending; prevalence of "sexting" among young adults

U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Martinez, CA: speech and non-speech hearing segregation in aging

U.S. House of Representatives, Washington, D.C.: sampling to adjust the U.S. Census

Weintraub Genshlea Chediak Law Corporation, Sacramento, CA: wage and hour class action litigation (client Tai Wah, Inc.)

Wiegel Law Group, San Francisco, CA: sampling in class action litigation (client Trinity Management Services)

Willoughby, Stuart & Bening, San Jose, CA: insurance litigation

Winston & Strawn LLP, Chicago, IL: consumer class action litigation

Zimmerman Reed, Scottsdale, AZ: consumer class action litigation

Testimony (incomplete prior to 2003)

47. **December 2018.** Phoenix Light SF Ltd., in its own right and the right of Blue Heron Funding V Ltd., Blue Heron Funding VI Ltd., Blue Heron Funding VII Ltd., Kleros Preferred Funding V PLC, Silver Elms CDO PLC, Silver Elms CDO II Ltd., C-BASS CBO XVII Ltd., C-BASS CBO XIV Ltd. and each of Blue Heron Funding V Ltd., Blue Heron Funding VI Ltd., Blue Heron Funding VII Ltd., Kleros Preferred Funding V PLC, Silver Elms CDO PLC, Silver Elms CDO II Ltd., C-BASS CBO XVII Ltd. and C-BASS CBO XIV Ltd., in their own right, *vs.* The Bank of New York Mellon, Case 14-cv-10104 (VEC) Deposition.

46. **November 2018.** United States of America and State of New York, *ex rel.* Edward Lacey, *vs.* Visiting Nurse Service of New York. (U.S. District Court, Southern District of New York, Case 14-CV-5739 (AJN)) Deposition.

45. **August 2018.** Delores James *vs.* University of Florida (Grievances # 0817-00108 and 1117-00109) Arbitration.

44. **July 2018.** Testimony to the State of California Little Hoover Commission. Video: `http://www.lhc.ca.gov/report/voting-equipment-security`. Written testimony : `https://www.stat.berkeley`

`.edu/~stark/Preprints/lhs18.pdf`

43. **July 2018.** United States of America, *ex rel.* Stephen A. Krahling and Joan A. Wlochowski, *vs.* Merck & Co., Inc. (U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Pennsylvania, Case 10-4374 (CDJ)) and *In Re*: Merck Mumps Vaccine Antitrust Litigation (Master File No. 12-3555 (CDJ)) Deposition.

42. **April 2018.** Ryerson University *vs.* The Ryerson Faculty Association re FCS & Related Issues (2018 CanLII 58446) Arbitration.

41. **August 2017.** Application of California-American Water Company (U210W) for Authorization to Modify Conservation and Rationing Rules, Rate Design, and Other Related Issues for the Monterey District (Public Utility Commission of the State of California, Application 15-07-019) Hearing.

40. **July 2017.** United States, the States of California, Delaware, Florida, Illinois, Indiana, Nevada, New Mexico, New York, and Tennessee, the Commonwealths Of Massachusetts and Virginia, and The District Of Columbia, *ex rel.* John Hendrix, *vs.* J-M Manufacturing Company, Inc., d/b/a JM Eagle, a Delaware corporation, and Formosa Plastics Corporation, U.S.A., a Delaware corporation (U.S. District Court, Central District of California, Case ED CV 06-00055-GW) Deposition.

39. **March 2017.** The People of the State of California *vs.* Keegan Lee Czirban, Richard Allen, Filoberto Pablo Alvidrez, Jaqwayne Bryant, Dale Gabriel Burnell, Juan Pablo Cardona aka Juan Luna-Cardona, Miguel Colina, Emmanuel Cordova, Ramon Duenas, Connie Renee Fields, Anisa Sakari Fortenberry, Louie Frank Gamboa, Cynthia Marie Harrell, Briana Hawkins, Jeremiah James Johnson, Kieth Carl Knutson, Mark Alex Mallory, Brian McMahon, David Moore, Marquise Lamar Owens, Mitkayem Dean Robinson, Patrice Sanders, and Seth Rui Sears. (Superior Court of the State of California, County

of Contra Costa, 05-151662-4 and associated cases) Trial.

38. **March 2017.** Kelly Brunarski and Yvette Harmon *vs.* Miami University. (U.S. District Court, Southern District of Ohio, Western Division, 1:16-cv-0311) Deposition.

37. **January 2017.** The Western and Southern Life Insurance Company, et al. *vs.* The Bank of New York Mellon. (Court Of Common Pleas, Hamilton County, Ohio, A1302490) Trial.

36. **December 2016.** Fixed Income Shares: Series M, Lvs II LLC, PCM Fund, Inc., PIMCO Absolute Return Strategy II Master Fund LDC, PIMCO Absolutereturnstrategy III Master Fund LDC, PIMCO Absolute Return Strategy III Overlay Master Fund Ltd., PIMCO Absolute Return Strategy IV Master Fund LDC, PIMCO Absolute Return Strategy V Master Fund LDC, PIMCO Bermuda Trust: PIMCO Bermuda Foreign Low Duration Fund, PIMCO Bermuda Trust: PIMCO Bermuda U.S. Low Duration Fund, PIMCO Cayman Spc Limited, PIMCO Cayman Japan Coreplus Segregated Portfolio, PIMCO Cayman Trust: PIMCO Cayman Global Advantage Bond Fund, PIMCO Cayman Trust: PIMCO Cayman Global Aggregate Ex-Japan (Yen-Hedged) Bond Fund II, PIMCO Cayman Trust: PIMCO Cayman Global Aggregate Exjapan (Yen-Hedged) Income Fund, PIMCO Cayman Trust: PIMCO Cayman Global Aggregate Ex-Japan Bond Fund, PIMCO Cayman Trust: PIMCO Cayman Global Bond (Nzdhedged) Fund, PIMCO Dynamic Credit Income Fund, PIMCO ETF Trust, PIMCO Total Return Active Exchange-Traded Fund, PIMCO Funds: Global Investors Series PLC, Diversified Income Fund, PIMCO Funds: Global Investors Series PLC, Global Bond Fund, PIMCO Funds: Global Investors Series PLC, Global Investment Grade Credit Fund, PIMCO Funds: Global Investors Series PLC, Income Fund, PIMCO Funds: Global Investors Series PLC, PIMCO Credit Absolute Return Fund, PIMCO Funds: Global Investors Series PLC, Unconstrained Bond Fund, PIMCO Funds: PIMCO Commodities Plus Strategy Fund, PIMCO Funds: PIMCO Commodity Real Return Strategy Fund, PIMCO Funds: PIMCO Credit Absolute Return

Fund, PIMCO Funds: PIMCO Diversified Income Fund, PIMCO Funds: PIMCO Floating Income Fund, PIMCO Funds: PIMCO Foreign Bond Fund (Unhedged), PIMCO Funds: PIMCO Global Advantage Strategy Bond Fund, PIMCO Funds: PIMCO Global Bond Fund (Unhedged), PIMCO Funds: PIMCO Income Fund, PIMCO Funds: PIMCO International Stocksplus AR Strategy Fund (U.S. Dollarhedged), PIMCO Funds: PIMCO Investment Grade Corporate Bond Fund, PIMCO Funds: PIMCO Low Duration Fund, PIMCO Funds: PIMCO Low Duration Fund II, PIMCO Funds: PIMCO Low Duration Fund III, PIMCO Funds: PIMCO Real Return Fund, PIMCO Funds: PIMCO Short-Term Fund, PIMCO Funds: PIMCO Total Return Fund, PIMCO Funds: PIMCO Unconstrained Bond Fund, PIMCO Funds: PIMCO Worldwide Fundamental Advantage AR Strategy Fund, PIMCO Funds, Private Account Portfolio Series Emerging Markets Portfolio, PIMCO Funds: Private Account Portfolio Series International Portfolio, PIMCO Funds: Private Account Portfolio Series Mortgage Portfolio, PIMCO Funds: Private Account Portfolio Series Short-Term Portfolio, PIMCO Funds: Private Account Portfolio Series U.S. Government Sector Portfolio, PIMCO Multi-Sector Strategy Fund Ltd., PIMCO Offshore Funds - PIMCO Absolute Return Strategy IV Efund, PIMCO Variable Insurance Trust: PIMCO Global Advantage Strategy Bond Portfolio, PIMCO Variable Insurance Trust: PIMCO Global Bond Portfolio (Unhedged), PIMCO Variable Insurance Trust: PIMCO Low Duration Portfolio, CREF Bond Market Account, CREF Social Choice Account, TIAA Global Public Investments, MBS LLC, TIAA-CREF Bond Fund, TIAA-CREF Bond Plus Fund, TIAA-CREF Life Insurance Company, Prudential Bank & Trust, FSB, Prudential Retirement Insurance and Annuity Company, The Gibraltar Life Insurance Company, Ltd., The Prudential Series Fund, LIICA RE II, Inc., Monumental Life Insurance Company Modified Separate Account, Transamerica Life Insurance Company, Transamerica Premier Life Insurance Company, Kore Advisors LP, and Sealink Funding Limited *vs.* Citibank N.A. (U.S. District Court, Southern District of New York, 14-cv-09373-JMF) Deposition.

35. **November 2016.** Jill Stein, Petitioner, *vs.* Wisconsin Elections

Commission and Members of the Wisconsin Elections Commission, each and only in his or her official capacity: Mark L. Thomsen, Ann S. Jacobs, Beverly Gill, Julie M. Glancey, Steve King, and Don M. Millis, Respondents. (State of Wisconsin Circuit Court, Dane County, Judge Valerie Bailey-Rihn) Trial.

34. **October 2016.** Citizens Oversight, Inc., a Delaware non-profit corporation; and Raymond Lutz, an individual, *vs.* Michael Vu, San Diego Registrar of Voters; Helen N. Robbins-Meyer, San Diego County Chief Administrative Officer; County of San Diego, a public entity; and Does 10–10, Defendants. (Superior Court of California, County of San Diego–Central Division, 37-2016-00020273-CL-MC-CTL) Trial.

33. **July 2016**. Loc Vu-Quoc *vs.* University of Florida. (American Arbitration Association Case no. 01-15-0006-1052). Arbitration.

32. **July 2016**. Memorial University of Newfoundland Faculty Association *vs.* Memorial University of Newfoundland (Arbitration I15-07) Arbitration.

31. **June 2016**. Gasia Thomas, et al., *vs.* First Energy Corporation, et al. (Court Of Common Pleas, Cuyahoga County, Ohio, 13-CV-798520) Deposition.

30. **May 2016**. The Western and Southern Life Insurance Company, et al., *vs.* The Bank of New York Mellon. (Court Of Common Pleas, Hamilton County, Ohio, A1302490) Deposition.

29. **February 2016**. Palms Place, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company, *vs.* Kittrell Garlock & Associates, Architects, AIA, LTD. d/b/a KGA Architecture, a Nevada professional corporation; M.J. Dean Construction, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; Does I through X; Roe Corporations I through X; and Roe LLC I through X, Defendants.

M.J. Dean Construction, Inc., a Nevada corporation, Counterclaimant, *vs.* Palms Place, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company, Does I-X, Roe Corporations I-X, Boe Bonding Companies I-X, Loe Lenders I–X and Toe Tenants I-X, Counterdefendants.

Kittrell Garlock & Associates, Architects, AIA, Ltd. d/b/a KGA Architecture, a Nevada professional corporation, Counterclaimant, *vs.* Palms Place, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company, and Toes I–XV, Counterdefendants.

M.J. Dean Construction, Inc., a Nevada corporation, Third-Party Plaintiff, *vs.* Embassy Glass, Inc., a Nevada corporation; Zetian Systems, Inc., a Nevada corporation; Bombard Mechanical, LLC, a Limited Liability Company; Century Steel, Inc., a Nevada corporation; Pacific Custom Pools, Inc., a Nevada corporation; Superior Tile & Mechanical, Inc., a Nevada corporation; Mesa Mechanical, LLC, a Limited Liability Company; Dean Roofing Co., a Nevada Corporation; Does 1 through 50; Roe Corporations 1 through 50, Third-Party Defendants.

Palms Place, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company, Cross-Claimant, *vs.* Embassy Glass, Inc., a Nevada corporation; Zetian Systems, Inc., a Nevada corporation; Does 1 through 50; Roe Corporations 1 through 50, Cross-Defendants. (Nevada District Court, Clark County, Nevada, A-11-645150-C) Deposition.

28. **September 2015**. Lavastone Capitol LLC *vs.* Coventry First LLC, LST I LLC, LST II LLC, LST Holdings LTD., Montgomery Capital, Inc., Alan Buerger, Reid Buerger, Constance Buerger, and Krista Lake. (U.S. District Court, Southern District of New York, 14-CV-07139 JSR) Trial.

27. **May 2015**. Lavastone Capitol LLC *vs.* Coventry First LLC, LST I LLC, LST II LLC, LST Holdings LTD., Montgomery Capital, Inc., Alan Buerger, Reid Buerger, Constance Buerger, and Krista Lake. (U.S. District Court, Southern District of New York, 14-CV-07139 JSR) Deposition.

26. **April 2015**. Testimony before the California State Assembly Committee on Elections and Redistricting. Legislative hearing. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/ab44-assembly-2015-4-15.htm`

25. **July 2014**. New Jersey Carpenters Health Fund, New Jersey Carpenters Vacation Fund, and Boilermaker Blacksmith National Pension Trust, on Behalf of Themselves and All Others Similarly Situated, *vs.* Residential Capital, LLC; Residential Funding, LLC; Residential Accredit Loans, Inc.; Bruce J. Paradis; Kenneth M. Duncan; Davee L. Olson; Ralph T. Flees; Lisa R. Lundsten; James G. Jones; David M. Bricker; James N. Young; Residential Funding Securities Corporation d/b/a GMAC RFC Securities; Goldman, Sachs & Co.; RBS Securities, Inc. f/k/a Greenwich Capital Markets, Inc. d/b/a RBS Greenwich Capital; Deutsche Bank Securities, Inc.; Citigroup Global Markets, Inc.; Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC; Bank of America Corporation as successor-in-interest to Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Inc.; UBS Securities LLC; JPMorgan Chase & Co., Inc. as successor-in-interest to Bear, Stearns & Co., Inc.; and Morgan Stanley & Co., Inc. (U.S. District Court, Southern District of New York, Case 08-CV-8781 HB) Deposition.

24. **October 2013**. United States, the States of California, Delaware, Florida, Illinois, Indiana, Nevada, New Mexico, New York, and Tennessee, the Commonwealths Of Massachusetts and Virginia, and The District Of Columbia Ex Rel. John Hendrix, Plaintiffs, *vs.* J-M Manufacturing Company, Inc., d/b/a JM Eagle, a Delaware corporation, and Formosa Plastics Corporation, U.S.A., a Delaware corporation (U.S. District Court, Central District of California, Case ED CV 06-00055-GW) Trial.

23. **September 2013**. Tessera, Inc. *vs.* Advanced Micro Devices, Inc., a Delaware corporation; Spansion, LLC, a Delaware limited liability corporation; Spansion, Inc., a Delaware corporation; Spansion Technology, Inc., a Delaware corporation; Advanced Semiconductor Engineering, Inc., a Republic of China corporation; ASE (U.S.), Inc., a California

corporation; ChipMOS Technologies, Inc., a Republic of China corporation; ChipMOS U.S.A., Inc., a California corporation; Siliconware Precision Industries Co., Ltd., a Republic of China corporation; Siliconware USA, Inc., a California corporation; STMicroelectronics N.V., a Netherlands corporation; STMicroelectronics, Inc., a Delaware corporation; STATS ChipPAC, Inc., a Delaware corporation; STATS ChipPAC (BVI), Inc., a British Virgin Islands company; STATS ChipPAC, Ltd., a Singapore company (U.S. District Court, Northern District of California, Case C 05-04063 CW) Deposition.

22. **July 2013**. United States, the States Of California, Delaware, Florida, Illinois, Indiana, Nevada, New Mexico, New York, and Tennessee, the Commonwealths Of Massachusetts And Virginia, and The District Of Columbia Ex Rel. John Hendrix, Plaintiffs, *vs.* J-M Manufacturing Company, Inc., d/b/a JM Eagle, a Delaware corporation, and Formosa Plastics Corporation, U.S.A., a Delaware corporation (U.S. District Court, Central District of California, Case ED CV 06-00055-GW) Deposition.

21. **June 2013**. Free Speech Coalition, Inc., American Society Of Media Photographers, Inc.; Michael Barone; David Conners a/k/a Dave Cummings; Thomas Hymes; Townsend Enterprises, Inc. d/b/a Sinclair Institute; C1R Distribution, LLC d/b/a Channel 1 Releasing; Barbara Alper; Carol Queen; Barbara Nitke; David Steinberg; Marie L. Levine a/k/a Nina Hartley; Dave Levingston; Betty Dodson; Carlin Ross *vs.* Eric H. Holder, Jr., Attorney General of the United States (U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Pennsylvania, Case 2:09–4607 MMB) Trial.

20. **October 2011**. Jonathan Buckheit *vs.* Tony Dennis, Dean Devlugt, Town of Atherton, County of San Mateo, Anthony Kockler and Jerry Carlson (U.S. District Court, Northern District of California, Case CV09-5000 JCS) Deposition.

19. **June 2010**. Testimony before California State Senate Committee

on Elections, Reapportionment and Constitutional Amendments. Legislative hearing. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/ab2023-senate-15-6-10.htm

18. **April 2010**. Testimony before California State Assembly Committee on Elections and Redistricting. Legislative hearing. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/ab2023-assembly-20-4-10.htm

17. **March 2010**. Suzan Sharpley and Robert Abeling *vs.* William Long; Novato Sanitary District; Elaine Ginnold, Marin County Registrar of Voters; Does 1–10. (State of California Superior Court, County of Marin, Case CIV 096368) Trial.

16. **January 2010**. Kastanos et al. *vs.* Central Concrete Supply Co., Inc. (State of California Superior Court, County of Alameda, Lead Case No. HG 07-319366) Trial.

15. **June 2009**. Star Scientific, Inc., *vs.* R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Company, et al. (U.S. District Court, Maryland District, Northern Division, Case Nos. MJG-01 1504 and MJG-02 2504) Trial.

14. **May 2009**. Star Scientific, Inc., *vs.* R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Company, et al. (U.S. District Court, Maryland District, Northern Division, Case Nos. MJG-01 1504 and MJG-02 2504) Deposition.

13. **July 2008**. Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 1550(b)) Cellphone Termination Fee Cases (State of California Superior Court, County of Alameda, Case 4332) Deposition.

12. **April 2008**. Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 1550(b)) Cellphone Termination Fee Cases (State of California Superior Court,

County of Alameda, Case 4332) Deposition.

11. **August 2007**. Self-Insurers' Security Fund *vs.* Gallagher Bassett Services, Inc. (U.S. District Court, Northern District of California, Case No. C 06-02828 JSW) Deposition.

10. **March 2007**. Peter Wachtell *vs.* Capital One Financial Corporation and Capital One Services, Inc. (U.S. District Court, District of Idaho, Case No. CIV03-267-S-MHW) Deposition.

9. **November 2006**. Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 1550(b)) Cellphone Termination Fee Cases (State of California Superior Court, County of Alameda, Case 4332) Deposition.

8. **November 2006**. ACLU *vs.* Gonzales (U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Pennsylvania, Civil Action No. 98-5591) Trial.

7. **August 2006**. ACLU *vs.* Gonzales (U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Pennsylvania, Civil Action No. 98-5591) Deposition.

6. **December 2004**. Star Scientific, Inc., *vs.* R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Company, et al. (U.S. District Court, Maryland District, Northern Division, Case Nos. MJG-01 1504 and MJG-02 2504) Trial.

5. **December 2003**. Richison et al. *vs.* American Cemwood Corporation (State of California Superior Court, San Joaquin County, Case No. 005532) Trial.

4. **December 2003**. Pacific Gas and Electric Co. *vs.* City and County of San Francisco (U.S. District Court, Northern District of California, Case No. C99-2071 VRW) Deposition.

3. **May 2003**. Richison et al. *vs.* American Cemwood Corporation (State of California Superior Court, San Joaquin County, Case No. 005532) Deposition.

2. **May 1998**. Testimony before the U.S. House of Representatives Subcommittee on the Census. Legislative hearing.

1. **1997**. Testimony before the State of California Senate Committee on Natural Resources. Legislative hearing.

`https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/bio.pdf`
Last modified January 4, 2019.

APPENDIX II

Pre-processing Georgia XML Election Data

In [1]:
```
%matplotlib inline
import math
import numpy as np
import scipy as sp
import scipy.optimize
from scipy.stats import hypergeom, binom, norm
from scipy import special
from cryptorandom.cryptorandom import SHA256
from cryptorandom import sample
from permute.utils import binom_conf_interval
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import pandas as pd
from lxml import etree
import csv

elec_fn = '../../Data/detail.xml'
```

In [2]:
```
elec = etree.parse(elec_fn)
```

In [3]:
```
e_root = elec.getroot()
```

GA000827

Example record:

11/9/2018 8:13:23 PM EST November 6, 2018 - General Election
11/6/2018
Richmond

```
<VoterTurnout ballotsCast="70355" totalVoters="122747" voterTurnout="57.32">
  <Precincts>
    <Precinct ballotsCast="536" name="101" percentReporting="4" totalVoters="830" voterTurnout="64.58"/>
  </Precincts>
</VoterTurnout>
```

… … …

In [4]:
```
# Contest key=20000 is Governor, Choice key=40 is Kemp

elec.xpath("sum(Contest[@key='20000']/Choice[@key='40']//County/@votes)")

# elec.xpath("Contest[@text='Governor']/Choice[@text='BRIAN KEMP  (REP)']//County[@votes]")
# elec.find('//Precinct')
```

Out[4]: 1978408.0

Create a CSV file with total ballots cast in each county

Verified matching between CSV, XML, and GA website on 1/4/2019 by KO:

- totals for Appling, Atkinson, Bacon, Baker, Baldwin match
- total ballots cast statewide 3,949,905 matches

In [5]:
```
totals = elec.xpath("ElectionVoterTurnout/Counties/County")

# open a file for writing

total_ballots_by_county = open('../../Data/total_ballots_by_county.csv', 'w')
total = 0

# create the csv writer object

csvwriter = csv.writer(total_ballots_by_county)

csvwriter.writerow(["County", "Ballots cast"])
for v in totals:
    csvwriter.writerow([v.attrib["name"], v.attrib["ballotsCast"]])
    total += int(v.attrib["ballotsCast"])

# close the file

total_ballots_by_county.close()

# assert that the total by county, summed, equals the reported total

assert total == int(elec.xpath("ElectionVoterTurnout/@ballotsCast")[0])
```

Create a CSV file with reported votes by county by contest by candidate

Verified matching between CSV, XML, and GA website on 1/4/2019:

- Votes for Kemp in Bryan county, broken out by vote type, match in CSV and XML. The sum matches the website total 10,507.
- Votes for Geoff Duncan (Lt. Gov) in Ware county, broken out by vote type, match in CSV and XML. The sum matches the website total 7,619.

In [6]:
```
# open a file for writing

votes = open('../../Data/votes_by_candidate_county.csv', 'w')

# create the csv writer object

csvwriter = csv.writer(votes)
csvwriter.writerow(["Contest", "Candidate", "Vote type", "County", "Ballots cast"])

for contest in e_root.iter("Contest"):
    contest_name = contest.attrib["text"]
    for choice in contest.iter("Choice"):
        candidate = choice.attrib["text"]
        for votetype in choice.iter("VoteType"):
            val = votetype.attrib["name"]
            for v in votetype:
                csvwriter.writerow([contest_name, candidate, val, v.attrib["name"], v.attrib["votes"
]])

# close the file

votes.close()
```

Create CSV file with undervotes in the down-ticket statewide contests

Undervotes are counted *relative* to the number of ballots cast in the Governor's race. (We have total voter turnout, but it isn't broken out by vote type. Ballots cast for Governor are broken out by vote type.)

Checked on 1/4/19:

- No negative undervote counts
- For each Vote Type in each County, the Total Ballots is the same for every Contest

In [7]:
```
total_ballots_cast = pd.read_csv('../../Data/total_ballots_by_county.csv')
reported_votes = pd.read_csv('../../Data/votes_by_candidate_county.csv')
```

In [8]:
```
reported_votes.head()
```

Out[8]:

	Contest	Candidate	Vote type	County	Ballots cast
0	Governor	BRIAN KEMP (REP)	Election Day	Appling	2334
1	Governor	BRIAN KEMP (REP)	Election Day	Atkinson	808
2	Governor	BRIAN KEMP (REP)	Election Day	Bacon	609
3	Governor	BRIAN KEMP (REP)	Election Day	Baker	409
4	Governor	BRIAN KEMP (REP)	Election Day	Baldwin	3054

In [9]:
```
statewide_contests = np.array(["Governor", "Lieutenant Governor", "Secretary Of State", \
                               "Attorney General", "Commissioner Of Agriculture", \
                               "Commissioner Of Insurance", "State School Superintendent", "Commissio
ner Of Labor", \
                               "Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta", \
                               "Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western"])
reported_votes = reported_votes[reported_votes["Contest"].isin(statewide_contests)]

reported_votes_by_contest = reported_votes.groupby(["Contest", "County", "Vote type"])["Ballots cast"
].agg(np.sum)
reported_votes_by_contest = reported_votes_by_contest.reset_index()
reported_votes_by_contest.head()
```

Out[9]:

	Contest	County	Vote type	Ballots cast
0	Attorney General	Appling	Absentee by Mail	519
1	Attorney General	Appling	Advance in Person	3180
2	Attorney General	Appling	Election Day	2860
3	Attorney General	Appling	Provisional	3
4	Attorney General	Atkinson	Absentee by Mail	88

In [10]:
```
gov_race = reported_votes_by_contest[reported_votes_by_contest["Contest"]=="Governor"]
gov_race = gov_race.copy()
gov_race.rename(columns={'Ballots cast': 'Total ballots'}, inplace=True)
gov_race = gov_race.drop(columns=["Contest"])
gov_race.head()
```

Out[10]:

	County	Vote type	Total ballots
2544	Appling	Absentee by Mail	530
2545	Appling	Advance in Person	3298
2546	Appling	Election Day	2978
2547	Appling	Provisional	3
2548	Atkinson	Absentee by Mail	88

In [11]:
```
max_votes_estimated = reported_votes_by_contest.groupby(["County", "Vote type"]).agg(np.max)
max_votes_estimated = max_votes_estimated.drop(columns=["Contest"]).reset_index()
max_votes_estimated.rename(columns={'Ballots cast': 'Total ballots'}, inplace=True)
```

In [12]:
```
merged_votes = pd.DataFrame()
for contest in statewide_contests[1:]:
    this_race = reported_votes_by_contest["Contest"]==contest
    merged_votes_contest = pd.merge(max_votes_estimated, reported_votes_by_contest[this_race])
    merged_votes_contest["Undervotes"] = merged_votes_contest["Total ballots"] - merged_votes_contest
["Ballots cast"]
    merged_votes = pd.concat([merged_votes, merged_votes_contest])

merged_votes.head()
```

Out[12]:

	County	Vote type	Total ballots	Contest	Ballots cast	Undervotes
0	Appling	Absentee by Mail	530	Lieutenant Governor	523	7
1	Appling	Advance in Person	3298	Lieutenant Governor	3092	206
2	Appling	Election Day	2978	Lieutenant Governor	2768	210
3	Appling	Provisional	3	Lieutenant Governor	3	0
4	Atkinson	Absentee by Mail	88	Lieutenant Governor	88	0

In [13]:
```
merged_votes.to_csv('../../Data/undervotes_by_county.csv', index=False)
```

In [14]:
```
# version information
%load_ext version_information
%version_information scipy, numpy, csv, pandas, matplotlib, notebook, cryptorandom, permute
```

```
Loading extensions from ~/.ipython/extensions is deprecated. We recommend managing extensions like a
ny other Python packages, in site-packages.
```

```
/anaconda/lib/python3.6/site-packages/IPython/core/formatters.py:839: FormatterWarning: JSON expects
JSONable list/dict containers, not JSON strings
  FormatterWarning)
```

Out[14]:

Software	Version
Python	3.6.7 64bit [GCC 4.2.1 Compatible Clang 4.0.1 (tags/RELEASE_401/final)]
IPython	7.2.0
OS	Darwin 18.2.0 x86_64 i386 64bit
scipy	1.1.0
numpy	1.15.4
csv	1.0
pandas	0.23.1
matplotlib	3.0.2
notebook	5.7.4
cryptorandom	0.2
permute	0.1.alpha4
Sun Jan 06 13:57:02 2019 PST	

GA000834

APPENDIX III

Differential undervote rate in Lt. Gov contest

Compare undervote rates by mode of voting (paper versus electronic) using hypergeometric 2-sample test.

In [1]:
```
%matplotlib inline
import math
import numpy as np
import scipy as sp
import scipy.optimize
from scipy.stats import hypergeom, binom, norm, chi2
from scipy import special
from collections import Counter
from cryptorandom.cryptorandom import SHA256
from cryptorandom import sample
from permute.utils import binom_conf_interval
from permute.npc import fisher
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import pandas as pd
import csv
```

GA000836

In [2]:
```
statewide_contests = np.array(["Lieutenant Governor", "Secretary Of State", \
                               "Attorney General", "Commissioner Of Agriculture", \
                               "Commissioner Of Insurance", "State School Superintendent", "Commissio
ner Of Labor", \
                               "Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta", \
                               "Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western"])
votes = pd.read_csv('../../Data/undervotes_by_county.csv')
votes.head()
```

Out[2]:

	County	Vote type	Total ballots	Contest	Ballots cast	Undervotes
0	Appling	Absentee by Mail	530	Lieutenant Governor	523	7
1	Appling	Advance in Person	3298	Lieutenant Governor	3092	206
2	Appling	Election Day	2978	Lieutenant Governor	2768	210
3	Appling	Provisional	3	Lieutenant Governor	3	0
4	Atkinson	Absentee by Mail	88	Lieutenant Governor	88	0

In [3]:
```
mode_mask = votes['Vote type'].isin(['Advance in Person', 'Election Day', 'Absentee by Mail'])
dre_mask = votes['Vote type'].isin(['Advance in Person', 'Election Day'])

p_thresh = 0.0001
contests_sig = Counter()

for c in statewide_contests:
    cont_mask = votes['Contest'] == c
    for cty in votes['County'].unique():
        cty_mask = votes['County'] == cty
        N = votes[mode_mask & cont_mask & cty_mask]['Total ballots'].sum()
        G = votes[mode_mask & cont_mask & cty_mask]['Undervotes'].sum()
        g = votes[dre_mask & cont_mask & cty_mask]['Undervotes'].sum()
        n = votes[dre_mask & cont_mask & cty_mask]['Ballots cast'].sum() + g
        # pmf(k, M, n, N) = choose(n, k) * choose(M - n, N - k) / choose(M, N),
        p = 2*min(hypergeom.cdf(g, N, G, n),hypergeom.sf(g-1, N, G, n))
        if p <= p_thresh:
            contests_sig[c] = contests_sig[c]+1
        print(c, cty, N, G, n, g, G/N, g/n, p)
```

Lieutenant Governor Appling 6806 423 6276 416 0.06215104319717896 0.0662842574888464 2.1439776587662
654e-08
Lieutenant Governor Atkinson 2520 218 2432 218 0.0865079365079365 0.08963815789473684 0.000601198899
3767051
Lieutenant Governor Bacon 3829 244 3655 242 0.06372420997649517 0.06621067031463748 0.00144527105022
85972
Lieutenant Governor Baker 1291 101 1150 95 0.07823392718822618 0.08260869565217391 0.117291214017724
9
Lieutenant Governor Baldwin 15633 892 14475 882 0.05705878590161837 0.060932642487046634 6.904218122
683707e-19
Lieutenant Governor Banks 6852 220 6629 219 0.03210741389375365 0.033036657112686676 0.0105795886299
9079
Lieutenant Governor Barrow 27398 1060 26135 1049 0.03868895539820425 0.04013774631719916 3.900219802
989691e-11
Lieutenant Governor Bartow 37327 478 35759 476 0.012805743831542852 0.01331133420956962 5.3293861989
1327e-07
Lieutenant Governor Ben Hill 5533 335 5213 332 0.06054581601301283 0.06368693650489161 4.49526479982
811e-06
Lieutenant Governor Berrien 6247 320 5925 320 0.05122458780214503 0.0540084388185654 5.5789533967082
33e-08
Lieutenant Governor Bibb 60460 3049 55999 3014 0.050430036387694346 0.053822389685530096 1.963474639
7364817e-60
Lieutenant Governor Bleckley 4855 214 4598 212 0.04407826982492276 0.046107003044802086 0.0012309494
917529596
Lieutenant Governor Brantley 5689 292 5501 291 0.051327122517138335 0.052899472823123066 0.000969897
9815758128
Lieutenant Governor Brooks 5696 353 5231 344 0.061973314606741575 0.06576180462626649 1.099160869978
3508e-05
Lieutenant Governor Bryan 14970 543 14388 540 0.03627254509018036 0.03753127606338615 1.329546300843
3782e-06
Lieutenant Governor Bulloch 23543 988 22467 972 0.041965764770844835 0.04326345306449459 4.385804568
643126e-07
Lieutenant Governor Burke 8719 483 7751 480 0.05539626103910999 0.06192749322668043 3.34824474118164
02e-21
Lieutenant Governor Butts 8863 355 8483 352 0.04005415773440144 0.041494754214310973 0.0002285992830
354893
Lieutenant Governor Calhoun 1899 116 1714 114 0.061084781463928386 0.06651108518086347 0.00095255580
82105067
Lieutenant Governor Camden 17053 631 15860 622 0.03700228698762681 0.03921815889029004 5.87490475744
2742e-11
Lieutenant Governor Candler 3538 181 3418 179 0.05115884680610514 0.05236980690462258 0.098032171079
81956
Lieutenant Governor Carroll 41739 1548 40221 1541 0.037087615898799685 0.03831331891300564 5.4443703

82616403e-17
Lieutenant Governor Catoosa 23725 890 23185 887 0.0375131717597471 0.03825749406944145 3.23155990066
39145e-06
Lieutenant Governor Charlton 3369 191 3206 191 0.056693380825170676 0.05957579538365564 0.0001157816
1633823924
Lieutenant Governor Chatham 103338 4809 97161 4735 0.04653660802415375 0.04873354535255915 1.1932271
711283063e-54
Lieutenant Governor Chattahoochee 1102 65 1067 65 0.05898366606170599 0.06091846298031865 0.23009572
741168619
Lieutenant Governor Chattooga 7427 313 7202 311 0.042143530362192004 0.043182449319633436 0.00671638
4526635276
Lieutenant Governor Cherokee 106299 2789 100987 2758 0.026237311733882728 0.027310445898977097 9.253
11760914282e-30
Lieutenant Governor Clarke 43261 1655 40239 1623 0.03825616606181087 0.04033400432416313 1.974619000
0816885e-21
Lieutenant Governor Clay 1186 75 1043 72 0.06323777403035413 0.06903163950143816 0.02649754259821546
Lieutenant Governor Clayton 91840 4721 85993 4663 0.05140461672473868 0.0542253439233426 8.702654564
519675e-71
Lieutenant Governor Clinch 2254 163 2145 162 0.07231588287488909 0.07552447552447553 0.0045125102105
991214
Lieutenant Governor Cobb 310381 7920 284480 7718 0.02551702584887606 0.027130202474690664 2.79628003
48733273e-105
Lieutenant Governor Coffee 12595 930 12200 924 0.07383882493052799 0.07573770491803279 1.61202247911
70078e-07
Lieutenant Governor Colquitt 12953 819 12439 816 0.06322859569211765 0.06560012862770319 2.214245812
745641e-11
Lieutenant Governor Columbia 61591 1955 58655 1928 0.03174165056582942 0.03287017304577615 1.7844777
319302836e-16
Lieutenant Governor Cook 5803 285 5559 282 0.04911252800275719 0.050728548300053966 0.00332478691271
6678
Lieutenant Governor Coweta 58056 1929 55244 1909 0.033226539892517566 0.03455578886394903 5.87107139
6746346e-21
Lieutenant Governor Crawford 4931 263 4697 259 0.05333603731494626 0.05514157973174367 0.00785466526
9436633
Lieutenant Governor Crisp 7024 434 6661 430 0.061788154897494306 0.06455487164089475 1.8179405492627
983e-06
Lieutenant Governor Dade 5450 253 5321 249 0.046422018348623854 0.04679571509114828 0.55416253626200
07
Lieutenant Governor Dawson 11570 367 11131 364 0.03171996542783059 0.032701464378762014 0.0007329956
740859268
Lieutenant Governor DeKalb 310968 12765 291296 12458 0.041049239793161996 0.042767494232670546 7.724
111758782382e-98
Lieutenant Governor Decatur 9043 498 8495 497 0.055070220059714695 0.05850500294290759 8.46781550033

1415e-13
Lieutenant Governor Dodge 7051 477 6603 468 0.06764997872642178 0.0708768741481145 3.855428784205159
e-06
Lieutenant Governor Dooly 3793 312 3597 308 0.08225678882151331 0.0856269113149847 0.000369678656833
16676
Lieutenant Governor Dougherty 31297 2043 29353 2021 0.06527782215547816 0.0688515654277246 7.7599128
64954536e-33
Lieutenant Governor Douglas 55197 1894 51964 1874 0.03431345906480425 0.03606342852744208 1.33049764
50251036e-27
Lieutenant Governor Early 4131 192 3658 182 0.04647785039941903 0.04975396391470749 0.00385303859557
69424
Lieutenant Governor Echols 1143 72 1108 72 0.06299212598425197 0.06498194945848375 0.197934412738428
88
Lieutenant Governor Effingham 23365 951 22615 941 0.04070190455809972 0.04160955118284325 1.82246779
2925356e-05
Lieutenant Governor Elbert 7319 364 6756 362 0.0497335701598579 0.05358200118413262 1.10959042218242
58e-10
Lieutenant Governor Emanuel 7710 483 7320 475 0.06264591439688716 0.06489071038251366 0.000112312986
19889423
Lieutenant Governor Evans 3447 223 3248 221 0.06469393675659994 0.06804187192118226 0.00026845534065
73045
Lieutenant Governor Fannin 11203 492 10545 492 0.043916807997857715 0.04665718349928876 1.1645457611
639524e-13
Lieutenant Governor Fayette 57962 1572 55315 1551 0.027121217349297816 0.028039410648106302 8.690550
554891577e-13
Lieutenant Governor Floyd 30225 1231 28965 1224 0.04072787427626137 0.04225789746245469 4.1964765718
189426e-15
Lieutenant Governor Forsyth 93239 1990 88811 1961 0.02134300024667789 0.02208059812410625 1.09785513
0574375e-15
Lieutenant Governor Franklin 8149 357 7761 356 0.04380905632592956 0.04587037752866899 7.20188898122
9395e-07
Lieutenant Governor Fulton 421806 16197 403964 15972 0.03839916928635439 0.03953817666920815 1.45554
19638442526e-98
Lieutenant Governor Gilmer 12471 465 11912 465 0.03728650469088285 0.03903626595030222 7.22605898307
9142e-10
Lieutenant Governor Glascock 1300 77 1219 77 0.05923076923076923 0.06316652994257588 0.0120771800270
17223
Lieutenant Governor Glynn 32501 1384 30689 1371 0.04258330512907295 0.044673987422203396 2.486317727
0637067e-20
Lieutenant Governor Gordon 17772 660 17225 651 0.03713706954760297 0.03779390420899855 0.00656854178
2151812
Lieutenant Governor Grady 8356 382 7956 380 0.04571565342269028 0.04776269482151835 2.07064833429035
35e-06

Lieutenant Governor Greene 8989 383 8366 375 0.04260763154967182 0.04482428878795123 2.2958769173308
86e-05
Lieutenant Governor Gwinnett 312709 11658 292312 11513 0.03728066669011765 0.03938599852212704 1.399
4629399576086e-176
Lieutenant Governor Habersham 15495 528 14642 519 0.034075508228460796 0.03544597732550198 1.4171177
372774471e-05
Lieutenant Governor Hall 67282 2364 63789 2347 0.03513569751196457 0.0367931775070937 1.602331226297
8497e-34
Lieutenant Governor Hancock 3539 311 3049 295 0.08787793161910144 0.09675303378156773 4.194946355796
26e-07
Lieutenant Governor Haralson 10585 387 10192 386 0.03656117146905999 0.037872841444270014 1.09300924
62154292e-05
Lieutenant Governor Harris 15972 639 15243 630 0.040007513148009016 0.041330446762448333 1.353527416
890236e-05
Lieutenant Governor Hart 9618 456 9178 452 0.047411104179663134 0.04924820222270647 8.51111150656934
6e-06
Lieutenant Governor Heard 4051 159 3773 155 0.039249568007899284 0.041081367611979856 0.024533437659
329672
Lieutenant Governor Henry 98365 4093 93368 4044 0.04161032887714126 0.0433124839345386 2.07824648618
2801e-42
Lieutenant Governor Houston 59158 2332 55968 2306 0.039419858683525474 0.04120211549456833 1.1572732
988905158e-28
Lieutenant Governor Irwin 3556 160 3423 160 0.04499437570303712 0.04674262342974 0.00389033731713447
9
Lieutenant Governor Jackson 26889 904 25870 897 0.03361969578638105 0.034673366834170855 1.600015484
786807e-08
Lieutenant Governor Jasper 5907 227 5486 224 0.03842898256306077 0.04083120670798396 8.4776596591885
38e-05
Lieutenant Governor Jeff Davis 4815 201 4586 198 0.041744548286604365 0.043174880069777585 0.0227873
23594074288
Lieutenant Governor Jefferson 6756 540 6149 531 0.07992895204262877 0.08635550496015612 3.9800612435
590653e-13
Lieutenant Governor Jenkins 2856 165 2668 158 0.05777310924369748 0.05922038980509745 0.271929290013
697
Lieutenant Governor Johnson 3483 228 3247 217 0.06546080964685616 0.0668309208500154 0.2782267775812
352
Lieutenant Governor Jones 12432 494 11648 483 0.03973616473616474 0.04146634615384615 2.879050126170
66e-05
Lieutenant Governor Lamar 7346 408 6942 406 0.05554043016607678 0.058484586574474216 3.2800079549672
923e-08
Lieutenant Governor Lanier 2679 193 2571 191 0.07204180664427025 0.07429015947102295 0.0249280329782
87797
Lieutenant Governor Laurens 18939 1045 17653 1024 0.05517714768467184 0.058007137597009005 5.8807646

14422535e-13
Lieutenant Governor Lee 13549 536 13069 529 0.03956011513764854 0.040477465758665544 0.0022382059064
76719
Lieutenant Governor Liberty 15358 648 14408 642 0.04219299387941138 0.04455857856746252 1.0462881031
104879e-11
Lieutenant Governor Lincoln 3967 180 3617 177 0.04537433829089992 0.04893558197401161 9.504773100675
102e-05
Lieutenant Governor Long 3988 195 3819 193 0.04889669007021063 0.05053678973553286 0.017651677668020
054
Lieutenant Governor Lowndes 35212 1577 33322 1552 0.04478586845393616 0.046575835784166617 5.3024197
571485636e-15
Lieutenant Governor Lumpkin 11551 324 11084 323 0.028049519522119298 0.029141104294478526 3.86632785
68148575e-05
Lieutenant Governor Macon 4217 334 3886 330 0.0792032250414987 0.08492022645393721 3.641341478590038
e-08
Lieutenant Governor Madison 11697 516 11170 512 0.04411387535265453 0.04583706356311549 1.0931297889
184002e-06
Lieutenant Governor Marion 2930 219 2689 217 0.07474402730375426 0.08069914466344366 1.5773874571623
568e-06
Lieutenant Governor McDuffie 8792 464 7924 454 0.052775250227479524 0.05729429581019687 3.4328383160
356875e-11
Lieutenant Governor McIntosh 5408 284 4968 278 0.05251479289940828 0.055958132045088566 2.0218421275
230952e-05
Lieutenant Governor Meriwether 8639 496 8156 494 0.05741405255237875 0.0605689063266307 1.7483073782
66469e-10
Lieutenant Governor Miller 2313 163 2181 159 0.07047124945957631 0.07290233837689133 0.0726058909414
2723
Lieutenant Governor Mitchell 7446 516 6882 509 0.0692989524576954 0.07396105783202557 8.634469710268
879e-11
Lieutenant Governor Monroe 12932 621 12236 621 0.04802041447571915 0.05075187969924812 1.00100874889
43323e-15
Lieutenant Governor Montgomery 3528 119 3317 114 0.03373015873015873 0.0343684051854085 0.5469943740
425978
Lieutenant Governor Morgan 9554 398 9144 391 0.04165794431651664 0.04276027996500437 0.0078206467752
18916
Lieutenant Governor Murray 11091 610 10826 604 0.05499954918402308 0.05579161278403843 0.01597215924
4285095
Lieutenant Governor Muscogee 63141 4094 58719 4043 0.06483901110213648 0.06885335240722765 6.4796745
10270547e-72
Lieutenant Governor Newton 43129 1898 40394 1877 0.04400751234668089 0.046467297123335145 1.09764973
87922277e-30
Lieutenant Governor Oconee 20733 507 19802 501 0.02445376935320503 5 0.025300474699525302 4.237284171
762643e-05

Lieutenant Governor Oglethorpe 6484 292 6075 288 0.04503392967304133 0.047407407407407405 6.22006403
0439004e-05
Lieutenant Governor Paulding 61222 2032 57915 2006 0.0331906830877789 0.0346369679703013 8.112218596
994674e-23
Lieutenant Governor Peach 10355 519 9910 511 0.05012071463061323 0.05156407669021191 0.0005915111444
412634
Lieutenant Governor Pickens 13362 451 13140 450 0.03375243227061817 0.03424657534246575 0.0081542322
7632428
Lieutenant Governor Pierce 6883 294 6556 293 0.04271393287810548 0.04469188529591214 1.4457841146303
58e-05
Lieutenant Governor Pike 8534 261 8230 259 0.030583548160299977 0.03147023086269745 0.00801048234551
7312
Lieutenant Governor Polk 12859 674 12412 671 0.05241465121704643 0.05406058652916532 1.4309005546721
282e-07
Lieutenant Governor Pulaski 3622 257 3390 248 0.07095527332965212 0.07315634218289085 0.052194754713
1163
Lieutenant Governor Putnam 9321 299 8723 296 0.03207810320781032 0.03393327983491918 6.3707246267088
47e-06
Lieutenant Governor Quitman 940 100 880 93 0.10638297872340426 0.10568181818181818 0.919681341795930
1
Lieutenant Governor Rabun 7578 249 6735 240 0.03285827395091053 0.035634743875278395 2.5608432948429
7e-05
Lieutenant Governor Randolph 2788 219 2475 214 0.07855093256814921 0.08646464646464647 7.10579981815
3909e-07
Lieutenant Governor Richmond 70043 3533 64729 3474 0.05044044372742458 0.05366991611178915 1.8224838
359746595e-58
Lieutenant Governor Rockdale 36600 1385 34747 1379 0.03784153005464481 0.039686879442829594 8.187473
771259368e-24
Lieutenant Governor Schley 1931 73 1835 73 0.03780424650440187 0.03978201634877384 0.044938385779907
02
Lieutenant Governor Screven 5407 339 5136 333 0.06269650453116331 0.06483644859813084 0.002652991980
636498
Lieutenant Governor Seminole 3214 157 2950 151 0.04884878655880523 0.0511864406779661 0.041348878570
01833
Lieutenant Governor Spalding 24385 1155 23102 1137 0.04736518351445561 0.049216518050385245 4.511771
489746432e-11
Lieutenant Governor Stephens 9069 322 8533 312 0.03550556841989194 0.03656392827844838 0.02851725500
7494285
Lieutenant Governor Stewart 1784 207 1551 204 0.11603139013452915 0.13152804642166344 5.999292501161
934e-10
Lieutenant Governor Sumter 10556 526 9679 511 0.049829480863963625 0.052794710197334435 2.4239787494
43163e-07
Lieutenant Governor Talbot 2952 248 2667 230 0.08401084010840108 0.08623922009748781 0.2157628055432

1856
Lieutenant Governor Taliaferro 917 112 755 101 0.12213740458015267 0.1337748344370861 0.021627247602
774253
Lieutenant Governor Tattnall 6639 386 6247 383 0.05814128633830396 0.06130942852569233 1.91932223701
5416e-07
Lieutenant Governor Taylor 3265 197 3022 196 0.06033690658499234 0.06485771012574454 5.2762312711658
73e-06
Lieutenant Governor Telfair 3631 235 3300 233 0.06472046268245663 0.0706060606060606 5.4805898545713
995e-08
Lieutenant Governor Terrell 3930 239 3603 233 0.06081424936386769 0.06466833194560088 0.000252417579
2445041
Lieutenant Governor Thomas 17241 935 16250 930 0.054231193086247895 0.05723076923076923 2.6515172284
892555e-18
Lieutenant Governor Tift 13669 773 13108 763 0.05655132050625503 0.05820872749465975 6.1892287022707
45e-06
Lieutenant Governor Toombs 8851 388 8170 378 0.04383685459270139 0.04626682986536108 2.0451887838079
66e-05
Lieutenant Governor Towns 6132 223 5848 221 0.036366601435094584 0.0377906976744186 0.00313852224520
41126
Lieutenant Governor Treutlen 2610 122 2464 116 0.04674329501915709 0.04707792207792208 0.93929777065
79292
Lieutenant Governor Troup 23663 1141 22399 1124 0.048218738114355744 0.050180811643376934 1.02437715
26453657e-11
Lieutenant Governor Turner 3269 269 3121 269 0.08228816151728358 0.08619032361422621 4.4438722627993
275e-06
Lieutenant Governor Twiggs 3787 303 3512 301 0.08001056245048851 0.08570615034168565 3.1877502173491
14e-08
Lieutenant Governor Union 11863 406 11381 402 0.03422405799544803 0.035322027941305685 0.00037415637
71868086
Lieutenant Governor Upson 10558 554 9956 545 0.05247205910210267 0.0547408597830454 1.73404993523472
26e-06
Lieutenant Governor Walker 21451 1117 20638 1112 0.05207216446785698 0.05388119003779436 2.190193807
649259e-13
Lieutenant Governor Walton 38635 1444 36866 1431 0.03737543678012165 0.03881625345847122 5.422457677
843359e-16
Lieutenant Governor Ware 11004 522 10377 518 0.04743729552889858 0.04991808807940638 2.6039409576111
68e-09
Lieutenant Governor Warren 2260 156 2073 154 0.06902654867256637 0.0742884708152436 0.00022124924231
213932
Lieutenant Governor Washington 8159 561 7432 544 0.06875842627773011 0.07319698600645856 1.800306751
23674e-08
Lieutenant Governor Wayne 10122 430 9621 429 0.04248172297964829 0.044589959463673216 9.930698663098
321e-09

Lieutenant Governor Webster 1100 62 948 60 0.056363636363636366 0.06329113924050633 0.00991630686830
704
Lieutenant Governor Wheeler 1927 122 1812 122 0.0633108458744162 0.0673289183222958 0.00085186735364
51835
Lieutenant Governor White 11434 309 10897 307 0.027024663284939653 0.028172891621547215 7.8944370114
90734e-05
Lieutenant Governor Whitfield 27302 1489 26285 1476 0.05453812907479306 0.0561536998287997 3.2515413
294185368e-12
Lieutenant Governor Wilcox 2815 164 2610 157 0.05825932504440497 0.06015325670498084 0.1552379603499
0625
Lieutenant Governor Wilkes 4371 274 4070 268 0.0626858842370167 0.06584766584766585 0.00059755758832
92772
Lieutenant Governor Wilkinson 4264 281 3994 279 0.06590056285178236 0.0698547821732599 2.47265762862
2504e-06
Lieutenant Governor Worth 7831 419 7526 415 0.05350529945090027 0.05514217379750199 0.00039348404523
362115
Secretary Of State Appling 6806 140 6276 130 0.020570085218924478 0.0207138304652645 0.9322432634703
502
Secretary Of State Atkinson 2520 91 2432 91 0.03611111111111111 0.03741776315789474 0.07414629418567
2
Secretary Of State Bacon 3829 101 3655 98 0.02637764429354923 0.026812585499316005 0.635782787035308
8
Secretary Of State Baker 1291 56 1150 49 0.043377226955848176 0.042608695652173914 0.826584776020734
8
Secretary Of State Baldwin 15633 307 14475 295 0.019637945371969553 0.020379965457685664 0.015841574
871074335
Secretary Of State Banks 6852 107 6629 106 0.015615878575598366 0.015990345451802685 0.2627169960746
234
Secretary Of State Barrow 27398 285 26135 271 0.010402219140083218 0.010369236655825521 0.8850377042
713522
Secretary Of State Bartow 37327 634 35759 622 0.01698502424518445 0.01739422243351324 0.001939512136
029006
Secretary Of State Ben Hill 5533 87 5213 84 0.015723838785469003 0.01611356224822559 0.5000851195147
797
Secretary Of State Berrien 6247 129 5925 124 0.020649911957739714 0.020928270042194094 0.67837844078
0981
Secretary Of State Bibb 60460 897 55999 866 0.014836255375454846 0.015464561867176199 9.064081253868
293e-07
Secretary Of State Bleckley 4855 124 4598 120 0.02554067971163749 0.02609830361026533 0.410921103842
6934
Secretary Of State Brantley 5689 126 5501 124 0.02214800492177887 0.022541356117069623 0.41391502498
46568
Secretary Of State Brooks 5696 154 5231 143 0.027036516853932584 0.027337029248709614 0.776808121216

839
Secretary Of State Bryan 14970 157 14388 153 0.010487641950567802 0.010633861551292744 0.52918183363
62674
Secretary Of State Bulloch 23543 297 22467 280 0.012615214713502952 0.012462723104998442 0.404947060
42917417
Secretary Of State Burke 8719 80 7751 75 0.009175364147264595 0.009676170816668817 0.215553798216950
64
Secretary Of State Butts 8863 148 8483 141 0.016698634773778632 0.016621478250618885 0.9012343510351
347
Secretary Of State Calhoun 1899 40 1714 37 0.021063717746182202 0.021586931155192533 0.8857027787115
807
Secretary Of State Camden 17053 238 15860 230 0.01395648859438222 0.014501891551071878 0.02473377835
6494665
Secretary Of State Candler 3538 51 3418 50 0.014414923685698135 0.014628437682855471 0.9572156599684
054
Secretary Of State Carroll 41739 508 40221 495 0.012170871367306357 0.012307003803982993 0.228023827
02090762
Secretary Of State Catoosa 23725 367 23185 360 0.015468914646996838 0.015527280569333621 0.802744277
5915851
Secretary Of State Charlton 3369 79 3206 75 0.023449094686850698 0.023393636930754833 1.074248308237
487
Secretary Of State Chatham 103338 1333 97161 1218 0.012899417445663744 0.012535894031555871 0.000126
57508705159594
Secretary Of State Chattahoochee 1102 29 1067 29 0.02631578947368421 0.02717900656044986 0.774800023
8359634
Secretary Of State Chattooga 7427 180 7202 177 0.0242358960549347 0.02457650652596501 0.398540454402
43435
Secretary Of State Cherokee 106299 1093 100987 1050 0.010282316860930018 0.01039737788032123 0.11310
589535338755
Secretary Of State Clarke 43261 603 40239 554 0.013938651441251936 0.013767737766843112 0.3050457024
568224
Secretary Of State Clay 1186 30 1043 26 0.025295109612141653 0.024928092042186004 0.9965336532130891
Secretary Of State Clayton 91840 1334 85993 1254 0.014525261324041812 0.014582582303210726 0.6253570
705799759
Secretary Of State Clinch 2254 87 2145 86 0.0385980479148181 0.04009324009324009 0.1377909353373995
Secretary Of State Cobb 310381 2962 284480 2649 0.0095431099197438 0.009311726659167604 2.5113849324
131564e-05
Secretary Of State Coffee 12595 277 12200 274 0.02199285430726479 0.022459016393442624 0.04741006411
1872124
Secretary Of State Colquitt 12953 315 12439 310 0.024318690650814483 0.024921617493367635 0.02527696
7006223092
Secretary Of State Columbia 61591 503 58655 466 0.008166777613612378 0.007944761742391953 0.01308315
765786439

Secretary Of State Cook 5803 75 5559 73 0.012924349474409787 0.01313185824788631 0.7657029509217086
Secretary Of State Coweta 58056 656 55244 638 0.011299435028248588 0.01154876547679386 0.00949560296
1292421
Secretary Of State Crawford 4931 121 4697 116 0.024538633137294666 0.024696614860549287 0.9669186493
902352
Secretary Of State Crisp 7024 211 6661 200 0.03003986332574032 0.030025521693439423 1.06633479499441
64
Secretary Of State Dade 5450 159 5321 153 0.029174311926605506 0.02875399361022364 0.34828490797157
Secretary Of State Dawson 11570 130 11131 124 0.011235955056179775 0.011140059293863984 0.7437006256
470964
Secretary Of State DeKalb 310968 4655 291296 4257 0.01496938591752206 0.014614000878831154 2.4430495
094698883e-09
Secretary Of State Decatur 9043 217 8495 206 0.023996461351321465 0.024249558563861094 0.65767174869
40105
Secretary Of State Dodge 7051 228 6603 214 0.03233583888810098 0.03240951082841133 1.033195676076569
5
Secretary Of State Dooly 3793 126 3597 121 0.03321908779330345 0.03363914373088685 0.715911536787343
2
Secretary Of State Dougherty 31297 531 29353 513 0.016966482410454675 0.017476918883930093 0.0048250
70781071225
Secretary Of State Douglas 55197 557 51964 532 0.010091128141022157 0.010237856977907783 0.189224711
42592734
Secretary Of State Early 4131 72 3658 62 0.017429193899782137 0.01694915254237288 0.6136830125700878
Secretary Of State Echols 1143 36 1108 36 0.031496062992125984 0.032490974729241874 0.64131691462240
94
Secretary Of State Effingham 23365 195 22615 188 0.008345816392039376 0.008313066548750829 0.8722052
542995543
Secretary Of State Elbert 7319 146 6756 142 0.019948080338844106 0.021018354055654234 0.020038483261
209838
Secretary Of State Emanuel 7710 132 7320 127 0.017120622568093387 0.017349726775956285 0.67151853815
9245
Secretary Of State Evans 3447 63 3248 62 0.018276762402088774 0.019088669950738917 0.224937426477655
77
Secretary Of State Fannin 11203 203 10545 196 0.018120146389359992 0.018587008060692273 0.1687488450
786469
Secretary Of State Fayette 57962 685 55315 655 0.011818087712639315 0.011841272710837928 0.906724939
4162052
Secretary Of State Floyd 30225 475 28965 460 0.015715467328370553 0.015881235974451926 0.31890965321
50855
Secretary Of State Forsyth 93239 925 88811 875 0.009920741320691985 0.009852383150735833 0.383374472
80022063
Secretary Of State Franklin 8149 140 7761 134 0.01718002208859983 0.017265816260791135 0.99442902938
9102

Secretary Of State Fulton 421806 6426 403964 6094 0.01523449168575127 0.015085502668554624 0.0002957
5928021808754
Secretary Of State Gilmer 12471 210 11912 204 0.016839066634592254 0.01712558764271323 0.32661213673
58829
Secretary Of State Glascock 1300 46 1219 45 0.03538461538461538 0.03691550451189499 0.41000530621600
03
Secretary Of State Glynn 32501 465 30689 450 0.014307252084551244 0.01466323438365538 0.024376489077
44263
Secretary Of State Gordon 17772 318 17225 308 0.01789331532748143 0.01788098693759071 1.035388978527
4488
Secretary Of State Grady 8356 146 7956 141 0.017472474868358068 0.017722473604826545 0.5872555996534
752
Secretary Of State Greene 8989 103 8366 93 0.011458449215708088 0.011116423619411906 0.3509551393223
7843
Secretary Of State Gwinnett 312709 3210 292312 3003 0.010265134677927402 0.010273269657078738 0.9006
085547372067
Secretary Of State Habersham 15495 272 14642 259 0.0175540496934495 0.017688840322360334 0.717361438
0836293
Secretary Of State Hall 67282 937 63789 904 0.01392645878541066 0.014171722397278527 0.0188365002513
29668
Secretary Of State Hancock 3539 94 3049 81 0.026561175473297543 0.026566087241718595 1.0966821423178
72
Secretary Of State Haralson 10585 142 10192 139 0.013415210203117619 0.013638147566718996 0.44319445
19840879
Secretary Of State Harris 15972 216 15243 210 0.013523666416228399 0.013776815587482779 0.2632492879
7741143
Secretary Of State Hart 9618 222 9178 215 0.023081721771678103 0.023425582915667903 0.39406598101611
207
Secretary Of State Heard 4051 76 3773 69 0.018760799802517897 0.018287834614365228 0.528621529500135
7
Secretary Of State Henry 98365 1090 93368 1022 0.01108117724800488 0.010945934367235026 0.0991479043
1672615
Secretary Of State Houston 59158 682 55968 649 0.011528449237634809 0.011595911949685534 0.588292528
524563
Secretary Of State Irwin 3556 73 3423 73 0.020528683914510685 0.02132632193981887 0.1201930696629282
Secretary Of State Jackson 26889 295 25870 286 0.010971029045334523 0.011055276381909548 0.631335166
2937055
Secretary Of State Jasper 5907 91 5486 85 0.015405451159641103 0.015493984688297484 1.05087422093164
4
Secretary Of State Jeff Davis 4815 158 4586 152 0.0328141225337487 0.033144352376798955 0.7354387237
632991
Secretary Of State Jefferson 6756 155 6149 144 0.02294256956779159 0.023418442023093185 0.5031651132
629095

Secretary Of State Jenkins 2856 50 2668 48 0.01750700280112045 0.017991004497751123 0.70011761406120
3
Secretary Of State Johnson 3483 112 3247 104 0.03215618719494689 0.032029565753002774 0.985245915187
9734
Secretary Of State Jones 12432 176 11648 165 0.014157014157014158 0.014165521978021978 1.11409091322
85801
Secretary Of State Lamar 7346 104 6942 99 0.014157364552137218 0.014261019878997408 0.97495723110846
24
Secretary Of State Lanier 2679 90 2571 88 0.0335946248600224 0.034227926876701675 0.5742435747364885
Secretary Of State Laurens 18939 382 17653 355 0.020170019536406358 0.020109896334900585 0.885996955
333664
Secretary Of State Lee 13549 154 13069 151 0.011366152483578125 0.011554059224118143 0.4001107856987
1117
Secretary Of State Liberty 15358 163 14408 155 0.01061336111472848 0.010757912270960578 0.6299414845
544223
Secretary Of State Lincoln 3967 69 3617 65 0.01739349634484497 0.017970693945258503 0.51725704749227
81
Secretary Of State Long 3988 70 3819 69 0.017552657973921765 0.018067556952081697 0.3903045191683537
4
Secretary Of State Lowndes 35212 496 33322 466 0.014086107008974212 0.013984754816637657 0.550762398
9728935
Secretary Of State Lumpkin 11551 142 11084 137 0.012293307938706605 0.012360158787441356 0.969669881
7065832
Secretary Of State Macon 4217 155 3886 149 0.036755987668958975 0.03834276891405044 0.06739790146318
843
Secretary Of State Madison 11697 173 11170 169 0.014790117124048902 0.01512981199641898 0.2096126826
548903
Secretary Of State Marion 2930 86 2689 82 0.029351535836177476 0.030494607660840462 0.30188111992384
153
Secretary Of State McDuffie 8792 130 7924 120 0.014786169244767972 0.01514386673397274 0.50226900428
01627
Secretary Of State McIntosh 5408 92 4968 88 0.017011834319526627 0.017713365539452495 0.240752324476
87583
Secretary Of State Meriwether 8639 171 8156 166 0.019793957633985414 0.020353114271701816 0.15514326
741648682
Secretary Of State Miller 2313 106 2181 101 0.04582792909641158 0.04630903255387437 0.85807886321809
62
Secretary Of State Mitchell 7446 139 6882 130 0.018667741069030352 0.01888985759953502 0.76999362943
17602
Secretary Of State Monroe 12932 185 12236 185 0.014305598515310857 0.015119320039228506 6.6580031288
35017e-05
Secretary Of State Montgomery 3528 87 3317 82 0.02465986394557823 0.02472113355441664 1.157944949319
5717

Secretary Of State Morgan 9554 154 9144 144 0.016118903077245134 0.015748031496062992 0.2513252074271596
Secretary Of State Murray 11091 256 10826 247 0.023081778018212967 0.022815444300757437 0.32036524520676857
Secretary Of State Muscogee 63141 1051 58719 982 0.016645285947324243 0.016723718046969465 0.6258184215354338
Secretary Of State Newton 43129 589 40394 552 0.013656704305687589 0.013665395850868942 1.039475587979258
Secretary Of State Oconee 20733 244 19802 229 0.011768677953021753 0.011564488435511564 0.27290702867537564
Secretary Of State Oglethorpe 6484 97 6075 94 0.014959901295496607 0.015473251028806584 0.2611822596309565
Secretary Of State Paulding 61222 726 57915 685 0.011858482244944627 0.011827678494345161 0.8142831929246026
Secretary Of State Peach 10355 172 9910 167 0.016610333172380493 0.016851664984863773 0.49060808679625384
Secretary Of State Pickens 13362 194 13140 191 0.014518784613081873 0.014535768645357687 1.1932336936648675
Secretary Of State Pierce 6883 97 6556 95 0.01409269214005521 0.014490543014032947 0.30636097679376234
Secretary Of State Pike 8534 104 8230 101 0.012186547925943286 0.01227217496962333 0.9792643835611163
Secretary Of State Polk 12859 234 12412 230 0.018197371490784663 0.01853045439896874 0.17271058692974778
Secretary Of State Pulaski 3622 141 3390 132 0.038928768636112644 0.03893805309734513 1.1112084677091894
Secretary Of State Putnam 9321 124 8723 121 0.013303293638021671 0.013871374527112233 0.07623416296365573
Secretary Of State Quitman 940 47 880 39 0.05 0.04431818181818182 0.0149636820006975
Secretary Of State Rabun 7578 127 6735 114 0.01675903932435999 0.01692650334075723 7 0.8858944379026268
Secretary Of State Randolph 2788 89 2475 81 0.031922525107604016 0.03272727272727273 0.6333106678620939
Secretary Of State Richmond 70043 653 64729 597 0.00932284453835501 0.009223068485531987 0.37370316948385573
Secretary Of State Rockdale 36600 438 34747 417 0.011967213114754098 0.012001036060667108 0.9073862986781533
Secretary Of State Schley 1931 55 1835 55 0.02848265147591921 0.02997275204359673 0.11619060019171064
Secretary Of State Screven 5407 93 5136 86 0.01719992602182356 0.01674454828660436 0.3675541762310156
Secretary Of State Seminole 3214 62 2950 56 0.019290603609209707 0.018983050847457626 0.8018490191674059

Secretary Of State Spalding 24385 458 23102 434 0.018782038138199713 0.018786252272530515 1.07653225
7292488
Secretary Of State Stephens 9069 166 8533 159 0.018304112912118205 0.018633540372670808 0.4542660493
9895293
Secretary Of State Stewart 1784 107 1551 100 0.05997757847533632 0.06447453255963895 0.0423880612236
0795
Secretary Of State Sumter 10556 215 9679 195 0.020367563471011745 0.02014670937080277 0.662569108708
8386
Secretary Of State Talbot 2952 118 2667 99 0.03997289972899729 0.03712035995500562 0.032663702304119
07
Secretary Of State Taliaferro 917 52 755 44 0.05670665212649945 0.05827814569536424 0.82410284933431
43
Secretary Of State Tattnall 6639 125 6247 123 0.01882813676758548 0.019689450936449495 0.03752313433
8498854
Secretary Of State Taylor 3265 48 3022 46 0.014701378254211332 0.015221707478491065 0.58946276199061
08
Secretary Of State Telfair 3631 99 3300 93 0.027265216193885982 0.028181818181818183 0.3757141030672
994
Secretary Of State Terrell 3930 85 3603 82 0.021628498727735368 0.022758812101026923 0.1348830099565
9713
Secretary Of State Thomas 17241 359 16250 350 0.02082245809407807 0.021538461538461538 0.00517224338
80905866
Secretary Of State Tift 13669 305 13108 290 0.022313263589143317 0.022123893805309734 0.543828993842
5986
Secretary Of State Toombs 8851 158 8170 147 0.017851090272285618 0.01799265605875153 0.8748190330343
477
Secretary Of State Towns 6132 116 5848 114 0.018917155903457272 0.019493844049247606 0.1784600778870
8088
Secretary Of State Treutlen 2610 97 2464 90 0.0371647509578544 0.036525974025974024 0.59556972068624
Secretary Of State Troup 23663 423 22399 397 0.017876008959134514 0.017724005535961426 0.51403798320
72024
Secretary Of State Turner 3269 89 3121 88 0.027225451208320588 0.02819609099647549 0.163263074522419
9
Secretary Of State Twiggs 3787 99 3512 97 0.026142064959070503 0.027619589977220957 0.04177491883931
587
Secretary Of State Union 11863 188 11381 183 0.015847593357498104 0.016079430629997365 0.43750249428
450155
Secretary Of State Upson 10558 220 9956 212 0.020837279787838607 0.02129369224588188 0.2256877099573
497
Secretary Of State Walker 21451 386 20638 377 0.01799449909095147 0.0182672739606551 0.1537988216299
6392
Secretary Of State Walton 38635 495 36866 477 0.012812216901773003 0.01293875115282374 0.37015022595
088837

Secretary Of State Ware 11004 166 10377 158 0.015085423482370047 0.015225980533872988 0.780510009948
1839
Secretary Of State Warren 2260 65 2073 62 0.028761061946902654 0.029908345393150026 0.39923730524874
63
Secretary Of State Washington 8159 215 7432 202 0.026351268537811005 0.027179763186221746 0.15948333
349004856
Secretary Of State Wayne 10122 183 9621 180 0.018079430942501484 0.018709073900841908 0.035036329744
23772
Secretary Of State Webster 1100 37 948 36 0.03363636363636364 0.0379746835443038 0.05290917060967295
Secretary Of State Wheeler 1927 37 1812 37 0.019200830306175403 0.02041942604856512 0.20072784422698
16
Secretary Of State White 11434 149 10897 139 0.013031310127689348 0.012755804349821051 0.32595493662
28245
Secretary Of State Whitfield 27302 501 26285 488 0.018350304007032452 0.0185657218946167 0.210476896
8619194
Secretary Of State Wilcox 2815 72 2610 68 0.02557726465364121 0.026053639846743294 0.776819869068155
4
Secretary Of State Wilkes 4371 122 4070 112 0.027911233127430792 0.027518427518427518 0.660214150289
0568
Secretary Of State Wilkinson 4264 106 3994 102 0.024859287054409006 0.02553830746119179 0.3768065798
080439
Secretary Of State Worth 7831 140 7526 136 0.01787766568765164 0.01807068828062716 0.716650965946788
2
Attorney General Appling 6806 247 6276 236 0.036291507493388185 0.03760356915232632 0.04872733484430
147
Attorney General Atkinson 2520 110 2432 110 0.04365079365079365 0.04523026315789474 0.03668075336405
5095
Attorney General Bacon 3829 130 3655 127 0.03395142334813267 0.0347469220246238 0.29757942142543037
Attorney General Baker 1291 58 1150 50 0.044926413632842756 0.043478260869565216 0.5892267839200642
Attorney General Baldwin 15633 434 14475 419 0.02776178596558562 0.028946459412780658 0.000722056558
078777
Attorney General Banks 6852 148 6629 145 0.021599532983070636 0.02187358575954141 0.5684141559471659
Attorney General Barrow 27398 472 26135 452 0.01722753485655887 0.017294815381672088 0.8039099863281
152
Attorney General Bartow 37327 741 35759 724 0.0198515819647976 0.02024665119270673 0.006818159095813
29
Attorney General Ben Hill 5533 145 5213 137 0.026206397975781674 0.026280452714367925 1.073259755920
6218
Attorney General Berrien 6247 144 5925 140 0.023051064510965263 0.02362869198312236 0.25621390561014
246
Attorney General Bibb 60460 1294 55999 1247 0.021402580218326167 0.022268254790264112 1.619958983241
5098e-08
Attorney General Bleckley 4855 148 4598 144 0.030484037075180225 0.0313179643323184 0.19914278786730

688
Attorney General Brantley 5689 143 5501 140 0.025136227808050624 0.025449918196691512 0.595499259126
007
Attorney General Brooks 5696 159 5231 151 0.027914325842696628 0.02886637354234372 0.176088292457565
77
Attorney General Bryan 14970 261 14388 251 0.01743486973947896 0.01744509313316652 8 1.12834505330289
31
Attorney General Bulloch 23543 556 22467 533 0.02361636155120418 0.023723683624872035 0.713435089556
6189
Attorney General Burke 8719 213 7751 205 0.024429407042091983 0.0264482002322281 0.00017320570088471
03
Attorney General Butts 8863 202 8483 196 0.022791379893941102 0.023105033596604974 0.460886535527589
1
Attorney General Calhoun 1899 41 1714 39 0.021590310689836755 0.022753792298716453 0.441912350620422
3
Attorney General Camden 17053 304 15860 291 0.017826775347446196 0.018348045397225725 0.065113847499
85474
Attorney General Candler 3538 95 3418 92 0.026851328434143583 0.026916325336454067 1.192360045425824
5
Attorney General Carroll 41739 754 40221 737 0.018064639785332664 0.01832376121926357 0.040522514626
78218
Attorney General Catoosa 23725 429 23185 418 0.01808219178082192 0.018028897994392928 0.773723329220
1403
Attorney General Charlton 3369 83 3206 81 0.024636390620362124 0.025265127885215222 0.44994177731024
73
Attorney General Chatham 103338 2186 97161 2092 0.021153883373008962 0.021531272835808607 0.00057452
22690792011
Attorney General Chattahoochee 1102 37 1067 37 0.03357531760435572 0.03467666354264293 0.59372689937
67594
Attorney General Chattooga 7427 207 7202 201 0.027871280463174903 0.027908914190502638 1.12329960642
4672
Attorney General Cherokee 106299 1697 100987 1639 0.01596440229917497 0.016229811757949044 0.0019240
914438680768
Attorney General Clarke 43261 959 40239 912 0.0221677723584753 0.022664579139640647 0.00921420465410
043
Attorney General Clay 1186 46 1043 43 0.0387858347386172 0.04122722914669223 0.3465042004226767
Attorney General Clayton 91840 1753 85993 1645 0.019087543554006967 0.019129464026141664 0.768701268
0203873
Attorney General Clinch 2254 95 2145 92 0.04214729370008873 0.042890442890442894 0.6293343971869195
Attorney General Cobb 310381 4188 284480 3819 0.013493093971602643 0.01342449381327334 0.28519514116
790384
Attorney General Coffee 12595 435 12200 431 0.03453751488685986 0.03532786885245902 0.00368688052798
36312

Attorney General Colquitt 12953 385 12439 378 0.029722844128773258 0.030388294879009566 0.0257425242
5899527
Attorney General Columbia 61591 848 58655 808 0.013768245360523453 0.013775466712130252 1.0289259329
632725
Attorney General Cook 5803 115 5559 113 0.019817335860761676 0.020327397013851414 0.2620793011304760
6
Attorney General Coweta 58056 908 55244 878 0.015640071654953836 0.01589312866555644 0.0283912044738
92442
Attorney General Crawford 4931 129 4697 125 0.026161022105049687 0.02661273153076432 0.5177983351399
782
Attorney General Crisp 7024 243 6661 233 0.034595671981776766 0.03497973277285693 0.5617022060951884
Attorney General Dade 5450 187 5321 181 0.03431192660550459 0.034016162375493326 0.5630552501225399
Attorney General Dawson 11570 191 11131 189 0.01650821089023336 0.0169796065043572 0.043933516248950
06
Attorney General DeKalb 310968 6110 291296 5697 0.01964832394329963 0.019557426123256068 0.169917455
0027541
Attorney General Decatur 9043 244 8495 235 0.026982196173836116 0.02766333137139494 0.13639457933575
214
Attorney General Dodge 7051 303 6603 286 0.04297262799602893 0.04331364531273663 0.6930149607075318
Attorney General Dooly 3793 132 3597 127 0.03480094911679409 0.035307200444815126 0.6267163647915406
Attorney General Dougherty 31297 666 29353 633 0.021279994887688915 0.02156508704391374 0.1958406124
9412743
Attorney General Douglas 55197 802 51964 761 0.01452977516894034 0.014644754060503425 0.410644716801
67197
Attorney General Early 4131 90 3658 76 0.02178649237472767 0.02077638053581192 0.28608533540539854
Attorney General Echols 1143 38 1108 38 0.033245844269466314 0.03429602888086643 0.6013872312479536
Attorney General Effingham 23365 414 22615 401 0.017718810186175903 0.017731594074729164 1.081055707
9416139
Attorney General Elbert 7319 180 6756 176 0.02459352370542424 0.02605091770278271 0.0027310261984498
057
Attorney General Emanuel 7710 267 7320 263 0.03463035019455253 0.03592896174863388 0.003800661665669
5797
Attorney General Evans 3447 128 3248 127 0.03713373948360894 0.03910098522167488 0.00781588039444276
6
Attorney General Fannin 11203 269 10545 261 0.024011425511023834 0.024751066856330012 0.040792576975
99902
Attorney General Fayette 57962 976 55315 923 0.016838618405162004 0.016686251468860165 0.22388369748
67483
Attorney General Floyd 30225 645 28965 628 0.021339950372208438 0.02168133954773002 0.05016913706028
8735
Attorney General Forsyth 93239 1346 88811 1274 0.014436019262325852 0.014345069867471371 0.327353709
8519695
Attorney General Franklin 8149 160 7761 156 0.019634310958399803 0.020100502512562814 0.230512574238

1245
Attorney General Fulton 421806 8172 403964 7767 0.019373835365073044 0.01922696081829074 0.001412471
975446134
Attorney General Gilmer 12471 271 11912 267 0.02173041456178334 0.022414372061786435 0.0112455816531
48453
Attorney General Glascock 1300 63 1219 61 0.04846153846153846 0.05004101722723544 0.4654757764207057
6
Attorney General Glynn 32501 638 30689 620 0.019630165225685364 0.020202678484147415 0.0012447476515
066622
Attorney General Gordon 17772 409 17225 395 0.023013729462075173 0.02293178519593614 0.7610397488341
429
Attorney General Grady 8356 168 7956 160 0.020105313547151747 0.020110608345902465 1.115805571270411
Attorney General Greene 8989 136 8366 125 0.015129602847925241 0.014941429595983744 0.68450781938357
72
Attorney General Gwinnett 312709 4861 292312 4452 0.015544803635328692 0.01523030186923561 2.6043575
18696583e-07
Attorney General Habersham 15495 316 14642 303 0.020393675379154565 0.02069389427673815 0.3318610338
594116
Attorney General Hall 67282 1256 63789 1221 0.018667697155256978 0.019141231246766685 3.587421047901
384e-05
Attorney General Hancock 3539 159 3049 139 0.04492794574738627 0.04558871761233191 5 0.73924719840791
48
Attorney General Haralson 10585 196 10192 193 0.018516769012753898 0.018936420722135007 0.1263432290
2088624
Attorney General Harris 15972 275 15243 266 0.01721763085399449 0.017450633077478187 0.3779232853507
076
Attorney General Hart 9618 278 9178 269 0.028904138074443753 0.029309217694486817 0.3503130506609658
5
Attorney General Heard 4051 102 3773 97 0.02517896815601086 0.02570898489265836 0.5768382401194749
Attorney General Henry 98365 1551 93368 1474 0.015767803588674835 0.01578699340245052 0.893434617054
4012
Attorney General Houston 59158 928 55968 874 0.015686804827749416 0.015616066323613493 0.60143931767
09136
Attorney General Irwin 3556 89 3423 89 0.025028121484814397 0.026000584282792873 0.06437909987861531
Attorney General Jackson 26889 443 25870 430 0.016475138532485403 0.01662156938538848 0.415893552249
20066
Attorney General Jasper 5907 117 5486 111 0.019807008633824275 0.02023332118118848 0.523044537055168
1
Attorney General Jeff Davis 4815 218 4586 208 0.04527518172377985 0.0453554295682512 1.0701164327212
616
Attorney General Jefferson 6756 271 6149 257 0.04011249259917111 0.04179541388843715 0.0239684056066
9091
Attorney General Jenkins 2856 84 2668 83 0.029411764705882353 0.03110944527736132 0.0427208688981122

7
Attorney General Johnson 3483 134 3247 123 0.03847258110824002 0.03788112103480135 0.594165259321554
1
Attorney General Jones 12432 241 11648 232 0.019385456885456884 0.019917582417582416 0.1121970132646
8917
Attorney General Lamar 7346 144 6942 140 0.019602504764497687 0.020167098818784212 0.191221748419795
7
Attorney General Lanier 2679 112 2571 109 0.04180664427025009 0.042395954881369116 0.658263936765225
Attorney General Laurens 18939 556 17653 529 0.02935741063414119 0.029966577918767348 0.070157158536
02318
Attorney General Lee 13549 227 13069 221 0.016754003985533988 0.0169102456194047 0.6034941683331754
Attorney General Liberty 15358 272 14408 263 0.01771063940617268 0.018253747917823433 0.048303126630
44606
Attorney General Lincoln 3967 93 3617 87 0.02344340811696496 0.024053082665192148 0.5482848157750817
Attorney General Long 3988 140 3819 138 0.03510531594784353 0.03613511390416339 0.11604512819830967
Attorney General Lowndes 35212 584 33322 554 0.016585255026695445 0.016625652721925455 0.89621897938
56914
Attorney General Lumpkin 11551 210 11084 206 0.018180244134706952 0.01858534824972934 0.137954884477
67154
Attorney General Macon 4217 179 3886 174 0.04244723737253972 0.04477611940298507 0.00718045288126518
2
Attorney General Madison 11697 287 11170 281 0.024536205864751647 0.02515666965085049 0.046552949178
765624
Attorney General Marion 2930 88 2689 87 0.030034129692832763 0.03235403495723317 0.00851502846172361
5
Attorney General McDuffie 8792 184 7924 170 0.020928116469517744 0.021453811206461382 0.362193630013
45595
Attorney General McIntosh 5408 138 4968 131 0.02551775147928994 0.026368760064412237 0.2309865659260
501
Attorney General Meriwether 8639 209 8156 203 0.024192614885982174 0.024889651790093184 0.0956600284
713968
Attorney General Miller 2313 99 2181 95 0.042801556420233464 0.04355800091701054 0.6441323613575832
Attorney General Mitchell 7446 155 6882 149 0.020816545796400753 0.02165068294100552 0.0899480430194
3635
Attorney General Monroe 12932 297 12236 293 0.022966285184039592 0.02394573389996731 0.0005594759633
353849
Attorney General Montgomery 3528 131 3317 123 0.03713151927437642 0.037081700331624966 1.05914635994
36135
Attorney General Morgan 9554 198 9144 189 0.020724303956458027 0.02066929133858268 0.957278436237976
Attorney General Murray 11091 340 10826 332 0.030655486430439093 0.03066691298725291 1.1368466481157
062
Attorney General Muscogee 63141 1243 58719 1190 0.01968609936491345 0.020266012704576033 5.943603969
048102e-05

Attorney General Newton 43129 818 40394 783 0.01896635674372232 0.01938406694063475 0.01304391038909
3296
Attorney General Oconee 20733 354 19802 342 0.017074229489220084 0.01727098272901727 0.3835163049536
884
Attorney General Oglethorpe 6484 155 6075 151 0.02390499691548427 0.024855967078189302 0.05697881751
2602684
Attorney General Paulding 61222 962 57915 915 0.01571330567443076 0.015799015799015798 0.52903303781
56441
Attorney General Peach 10355 213 9910 206 0.020569773056494448 0.020787083753784057 0.59668515244496
27
Attorney General Pickens 13362 281 13140 279 0.0210297859601856 0.021232876712328767 0.3006655052601
576
Attorney General Pierce 6883 107 6556 107 0.015545546999854715 0.0163209273947529 0.0104987450690404
65
Attorney General Pike 8534 139 8230 136 0.016287790016404968 0.01652490886998785 0.5291332944469982
Attorney General Polk 12859 291 12412 287 0.02263006454623221 0.023122784402191426 0.048235500216253
28
Attorney General Pulaski 3622 155 3390 147 0.04279403644395362 0.04336283185840708 0.657678294564616
7
Attorney General Putnam 9321 182 8723 178 0.019525801952580194 0.02040582368451221 0.015055711533965
29
Attorney General Quitman 940 55 880 48 0.05851063829787234 0.05454545454545454 0.10823179688226546
Attorney General Rabun 7578 162 6735 145 0.021377672209026127 0.021529324424647365 0.919973467065351
Attorney General Randolph 2788 99 2475 91 0.03550932568149211 0.03676767676767677 0.4026619810810966
Attorney General Richmond 70043 1440 64729 1328 0.020558799594534785 0.020516306446878525 0.81093659
30875038
Attorney General Rockdale 36600 606 34747 575 0.016557377049180328 0.016548191210752006 1.0052771555
1755
Attorney General Schley 1931 59 1835 59 0.030554117037804248 0.032152588555858314 0.0941552758101405
6
Attorney General Screven 5407 189 5136 179 0.03495468836693175 0.03485202492211838 0.954402434099664
4
Attorney General Seminole 3214 68 2950 63 0.021157436216552583 0.02135593220338983 1.018200852585284
1
Attorney General Spalding 24385 606 23102 578 0.024851343038753332 0.02501947883300147 0.54358371597
40328
Attorney General Stephens 9069 208 8533 199 0.022935274010364978 0.023321223485292395 0.413058774707
2856
Attorney General Stewart 1784 137 1551 124 0.07679372197309417 0.07994842037395229 0.241536683050250
03
Attorney General Sumter 10556 265 9679 240 0.025104206138688898 0.024795949994834178 0.5617955915707
189
Attorney General Talbot 2952 124 2667 103 0.04200542005420054 0.0386201724784402 0.01331835357375462

7
Attorney General Taliaferro 917 75 755 64 0.08178844056706652 0.0847682119205298 0.5946586156733218
Attorney General Tattnall 6639 215 6247 210 0.03238439524024703 0.03361613574515768 0.02058405152648
571
Attorney General Taylor 3265 85 3022 81 0.026033690658499236 0.026803441429516878 0.4588987043796040
6
Attorney General Telfair 3631 141 3300 136 0.03883227760947398 0.041212121212121214 0.01647736823146
0916
Attorney General Terrell 3930 113 3603 108 0.02875318066157761 0.02997502081598668 0.161803955453160
7
Attorney General Thomas 17241 392 16250 375 0.022736500203004467 0.023076923076923078 0.265409485571
4124
Attorney General Tift 13669 389 13108 373 0.028458555856317215 0.028455904790967348 1.06635629300984
25
Attorney General Toombs 8851 238 8170 223 0.026889616992430233 0.02729498164014688 0.499066426685503
83
Attorney General Towns 6132 150 5848 148 0.02446183953033268 0.0253077975376197 0.05376747118609046
Attorney General Treutlen 2610 123 2464 116 0.047126436781609195 0.04707792207792208 1.0785782063006
966
Attorney General Troup 23663 510 22399 488 0.021552634915268563 0.02178668690566543 0.34622695542788
37
Attorney General Turner 3269 97 3121 97 0.02967268277760783 0.031079782121115028 0.02086128238227377
3
Attorney General Twiggs 3787 125 3512 123 0.03300765777660417 0.03502277904328018 0.0085043116641639
57
Attorney General Union 11863 258 11381 251 0.021748293011885696 0.02205430102802917 0.34271120997859
2
Attorney General Upson 10558 274 9956 269 0.02595188482667172 0.027018883085576536 0.002605107874176
5182
Attorney General Walker 21451 504 20638 491 0.023495408139480676 0.023791065025680784 0.175499285465
23608
Attorney General Walton 38635 736 36866 715 0.019050084120616022 0.019394564096999946 0.022024659665
033974
Attorney General Ware 11004 228 10377 220 0.020719738276990186 0.021200732388937073 0.18247194244604
256
Attorney General Warren 2260 79 2073 77 0.034955752212389384 0.0371442354076218 0.0677723411705862
Attorney General Washington 8159 317 7432 295 0.03885280058830739 0.03969321851453175 0.243627089854
90328
Attorney General Wayne 10122 239 9621 236 0.023611934400316142 0.024529674669992726 0.00403141302262
8526
Attorney General Webster 1100 39 948 36 0.035454545454545454 0.0379746835443038 0.37757826341280387
Attorney General Wheeler 1927 70 1812 70 0.03632589517384536 0.03863134657836645 0.02482898603026193
6

Attorney General White 11434 185 10897 182 0.016179814588070666 0.01670184454437001 0.04637346651149
586
Attorney General Whitfield 27302 739 26285 721 0.027067614094205553 0.027430093209054592 0.063860591
49077503
Attorney General Wilcox 2815 81 2610 74 0.028774422735346358 0.028352490421455937 0.7525989067070893
Attorney General Wilkes 4371 162 4070 153 0.03706245710363761 0.037592137592137594 0.622838678347312
Attorney General Wilkinson 4264 147 3994 137 0.03447467166979362 0.034301452178267404 0.909992811656
196
Attorney General Worth 7831 206 7526 201 0.02630570808325884 0.026707414297103375 0.3601348339862060
5
Commissioner Of Agriculture Appling 6806 232 6276 219 0.034087569791360565 0.03489483747609943 0.249
68664564419105
Commissioner Of Agriculture Atkinson 2520 117 2432 116 0.04642857142857143 0.047697368421052634 0.15
390622331289305
Commissioner Of Agriculture Bacon 3829 116 3655 114 0.030295116218333768 0.03119015047879617 0.18953
104714338895
Commissioner Of Agriculture Baker 1291 51 1150 44 0.039504260263361735 0.03826086956521739 0.6378685
664111738
Commissioner Of Agriculture Baldwin 15633 498 14475 481 0.03185568988677797 0.03322970639032815 0.00
022088340366465496
Commissioner Of Agriculture Banks 6852 95 6629 95 0.01386456509048453 0.0143309699803892 0.084398166
26460374
Commissioner Of Agriculture Barrow 27398 560 26135 543 0.020439448134900357 0.0207767361775397 0.078
41286106461458
Commissioner Of Agriculture Bartow 37327 631 35759 606 0.016904653467999034 0.016946782628149557 0.8
628226347627213
Commissioner Of Agriculture Ben Hill 5533 106 5213 101 0.01915778058919212 0.019374640322271246 0.83
5938108176268
Commissioner Of Agriculture Berrien 6247 159 5925 156 0.02545221706419081 0.026329113924050632 0.064
34136806679289
Commissioner Of Agriculture Bibb 60460 1563 55999 1484 0.025851802844856102 0.02650047322273612 0.00
022681629140254586
Commissioner Of Agriculture Bleckley 4855 144 4598 137 0.029660144181256436 0.029795563288386256 1.0
060277867655332
Commissioner Of Agriculture Brantley 5689 154 5501 151 0.027069783793285288 0.027449554626431557 0.4
882857904111592
Commissioner Of Agriculture Brooks 5696 139 5231 128 0.02440308988764045 0.02446950869814567 1.07147
24009847922
Commissioner Of Agriculture Bryan 14970 344 14388 333 0.022979291917167667 0.023144286905754794 0.61
84128104955599
Commissioner Of Agriculture Bulloch 23543 608 22467 581 0.025825086012827594 0.02586015044287177 0.9
774441529999084
Commissioner Of Agriculture Burke 8719 271 7751 261 0.031081546048858814 0.03367307444200748 1.43720

66354272987e-05
Commissioner Of Agriculture Butts 8863 231 8483 220 0.026063409680695024 0.02593422138394436 0.80887
00688088121
Commissioner Of Agriculture Calhoun 1899 57 1714 55 0.030015797788309637 0.03208868144690782 0.14342
75268303178
Commissioner Of Agriculture Camden 17053 388 15860 371 0.022752594851345804 0.0233921815889029 0.041
83341438542312
Commissioner Of Agriculture Candler 3538 96 3418 93 0.027133973996608253 0.027208894090111176 1.1768
20049514236
Commissioner Of Agriculture Carroll 41739 902 40221 872 0.02161048419942979 0.02168021680216802 0.69
50096317749289
Commissioner Of Agriculture Catoosa 23725 460 23185 455 0.01938883034773446 0.019624757386241105 0.0
9574073411207201
Commissioner Of Agriculture Charlton 3369 113 3206 108 0.03354111012169783 0.03368683718028696 1.062
6200994551414
Commissioner Of Agriculture Chatham 103338 2940 97161 2812 0.02845032804970098 0.028941653544117495
0.00010063079462759811
Commissioner Of Agriculture Chattahoochee 1102 50 1067 50 0.045372050816696916 0.046860356138706656
0.38356257913487607
Commissioner Of Agriculture Chattooga 7427 197 7202 196 0.026524841793456308 0.027214662593723966 0.
03148077099971497
Commissioner Of Agriculture Cherokee 106299 2294 100987 2182 0.0215806357538641 0.021606741461772307
0.8465004498011864
Commissioner Of Agriculture Clarke 43261 1166 40239 1112 0.026952682554725965 0.027634881582544298
0.0009505378477376474
Commissioner Of Agriculture Clay 1186 52 1043 49 0.04384485666104553 0.04697986577181208 0.215113927
46270938
Commissioner Of Agriculture Clayton 91840 2186 85993 2058 0.02380226480836237 0.02393218052632191 0.
34462063512036245
Commissioner Of Agriculture Clinch 2254 79 2145 79 0.035048802129547474 0.03682983682983683 0.037112
75088413487
Commissioner Of Agriculture Cobb 310381 6452 284480 5959 0.020787354896079333 0.02094699100112486 0.
039092837306397135
Commissioner Of Agriculture Coffee 12595 406 12200 399 0.03223501389440254 0.032704918032786884 0.11
258665942748317
Commissioner Of Agriculture Colquitt 12953 415 12439 407 0.0320389099050413 0.03271967199935686 0.02
848015309767467
Commissioner Of Agriculture Columbia 61591 1185 58655 1120 0.019239824000259777 0.019094706333645895
0.27151964534538564
Commissioner Of Agriculture Cook 5803 115 5559 112 0.019817335860761676 0.020147508544702285 0.56053
76863768651
Commissioner Of Agriculture Coweta 58056 1219 55244 1179 0.020996968444260713 0.021341684164796176
0.00877421896725535

Commissioner Of Agriculture Crawford 4931 150 4697 146 0.03041979314540661 0.031083670427932725 0.30
372564265169194
Commissioner Of Agriculture Crisp 7024 249 6661 239 0.0354498861047836 0.03588049842366011 0.5036995
948080151
Commissioner Of Agriculture Dade 5450 203 5321 194 0.03724770642201835 0.03645931215936854 0.1009526
3679240206
Commissioner Of Agriculture Dawson 11570 238 11131 231 0.020570440795159895 0.020752852394214358 0.6
266424983356282
Commissioner Of Agriculture DeKalb 310968 8250 291296 7723 0.026530060970903758 0.026512550807426122
0.828271681415008
Commissioner Of Agriculture Decatur 9043 234 8495 224 0.025876368461793652 0.02636845203060624 0.304
9790610842529
Commissioner Of Agriculture Dodge 7051 310 6603 295 0.043965394979435544 0.0446766621232773 0.316945
17880674433
Commissioner Of Agriculture Dooly 3793 159 3597 155 0.04191932507250198 0.04309146510981374 0.155704
4631871859
Commissioner Of Agriculture Dougherty 31297 857 29353 810 0.02738281624436847 0.02759513507988962 0.
4143000644498661
Commissioner Of Agriculture Douglas 55197 990 51964 939 0.017935757378118377 0.018070202447848512 0.
37778755559708876
Commissioner Of Agriculture Early 4131 94 3658 80 0.022754780924715567 0.02186987424822307 0.3648720
857091263
Commissioner Of Agriculture Echols 1143 25 1108 25 0.021872265966754154 0.02256317689530686 0.911411
292556818
Commissioner Of Agriculture Effingham 23365 471 22615 460 0.020158356516156644 0.02034048198098607
0.34005816398008565
Commissioner Of Agriculture Elbert 7319 196 6756 193 0.026779614701461948 0.02856719952634695 0.0002
3013770131279806
Commissioner Of Agriculture Emanuel 7710 307 7320 304 0.03981841763942931 0.041530054644808745 0.000
16799128024698828
Commissioner Of Agriculture Evans 3447 137 3248 133 0.03974470554105019 0.040948275862068964 0.18711
484865531006
Commissioner Of Agriculture Fannin 11203 303 10545 295 0.02704632687672945 0.02797534376481745 0.012
262001719124581
Commissioner Of Agriculture Fayette 57962 1279 55315 1221 0.02206618129119078 0.022073578595317726
1.0254804219092852
Commissioner Of Agriculture Floyd 30225 815 28965 782 0.02696443341604632 0.026998101156568272 0.953
1396866862418
Commissioner Of Agriculture Forsyth 93239 1891 88811 1794 0.020281212797220047 0.02020020042562295
0.460076503115602
Commissioner Of Agriculture Franklin 8149 173 7761 171 0.021229598723769786 0.022033243138770777 0.0
19161082839268248
Commissioner Of Agriculture Fulton 421806 11422 403964 10848 0.02707879925842686 0.02685387806834272

5 3.3119222243544795e-05
Commissioner Of Agriculture Gilmer 12471 305 11912 302 0.024456739635955415 0.025352585627938212 0.0
009070083999035691
Commissioner Of Agriculture Glascock 1300 57 1219 56 0.04384615384615385 0.04593929450369155 0.23350
267970530653
Commissioner Of Agriculture Glynn 32501 844 30689 814 0.025968431740561827 0.026524161751767736 0.00
795903188521008
Commissioner Of Agriculture Gordon 17772 434 17225 423 0.02442043664190862 0.02455732946298984 0.623
0860050925833
Commissioner Of Agriculture Grady 8356 197 7956 192 0.02357587362374342 0.024132730015082957 0.16813
711628512507
Commissioner Of Agriculture Greene 8989 171 8366 159 0.019023250639670707 0.019005498446091323 1.051
53854763071
Commissioner Of Agriculture Gwinnett 312709 6309 292312 6027 0.020175306754842363 0.0206183803607104
74 2.45781808203601e-12
Commissioner Of Agriculture Habersham 15495 339 14642 323 0.021878025169409485 0.02205982789236443
0.6205531261369002
Commissioner Of Agriculture Hall 67282 1563 63789 1510 0.02323058173062632 0.023671792942356832 0.00
07559658441609992
Commissioner Of Agriculture Hancock 3539 181 3049 167 0.05114439107092399 0.05477205641193834 0.0135
58280173341132
Commissioner Of Agriculture Haralson 10585 223 10192 217 0.021067548417572034 0.021291208791208792
0.5455904719550516
Commissioner Of Agriculture Harris 15972 370 15243 358 0.023165539694465314 0.023486190382470643 0.2
6389119221341545
Commissioner Of Agriculture Hart 9618 308 9178 298 0.03202328966521106 0.03246894748311179 0.3187543
610308439
Commissioner Of Agriculture Heard 4051 109 3773 104 0.026906936558874352 0.027564272462231646 0.4605
002198297526
Commissioner Of Agriculture Henry 98365 2011 93368 1900 0.02044426371168607 0.02034958444006512 0.38
9889461244887
Commissioner Of Agriculture Houston 59158 1110 55968 1045 0.01876331180905372 0.01867138364779874 0.
5255057640503983
Commissioner Of Agriculture Irwin 3556 93 3423 92 0.026152980877390326 0.026877008472100495 0.259469
868806298
Commissioner Of Agriculture Jackson 26889 431 25870 421 0.016028859384878576 0.016273676072671047 0.
12323502543055316
Commissioner Of Agriculture Jasper 5907 114 5486 110 0.019299136617572373 0.02005103900838498 0.1652
9280130799567
Commissioner Of Agriculture Jeff Davis 4815 170 4586 164 0.035306334371754934 0.035761011774967294
0.5850866391065276
Commissioner Of Agriculture Jefferson 6756 297 6149 287 0.04396092362344583 0.046674255976581557 0.0
0018379212897945128

Commissioner Of Agriculture Jenkins 2856 88 2668 81 0.03081232492997199 0.030359820089955022 0.71701
19942955302
Commissioner Of Agriculture Johnson 3483 160 3247 148 0.04593741027849555 0.045580535879273174 0.800
7521256758079
Commissioner Of Agriculture Jones 12432 275 11648 264 0.02212033462033462 0.022664835164835164 0.129
5329244001534
Commissioner Of Agriculture Lamar 7346 184 6942 180 0.025047644976858154 0.02592912705272256 0.04580
941372151064
Commissioner Of Agriculture Lanier 2679 111 2571 108 0.04143337066069429 0.042007001166861145 0.6722
74149398239
Commissioner Of Agriculture Laurens 18939 571 17653 538 0.030149427108083847 0.03047640627655356 0.3
755251983675794
Commissioner Of Agriculture Lee 13549 274 13069 266 0.020222894678574063 0.020353508302088912 0.7220
35198045769
Commissioner Of Agriculture Liberty 15358 319 14408 306 0.020770933715327518 0.02123820099944475 0.1
309822541670077
Commissioner Of Agriculture Lincoln 3967 118 3617 116 0.02974539954625662 0.032070776886922864 0.002
539200366667369
Commissioner Of Agriculture Long 3988 127 3819 125 0.031845536609829486 0.03273108143493061 0.175274
01687667576
Commissioner Of Agriculture Lowndes 35212 656 33322 629 0.01863001249574009 0.018876417982113917 0.1
6992651780454146
Commissioner Of Agriculture Lumpkin 11551 232 11084 226 0.0200848411392953 0.020389750992421508 0.33
22155798255518
Commissioner Of Agriculture Macon 4217 214 3886 206 0.05074697652359497 0.05301080802882141 0.019949
805650267008
Commissioner Of Agriculture Madison 11697 289 11170 283 0.024707189877746432 0.025335720680393914 0.
04403470494841549
Commissioner Of Agriculture Marion 2930 98 2689 96 0.033447098976109216 0.03570100409074005 0.019541
494396405266
Commissioner Of Agriculture McDuffie 8792 216 7924 206 0.02456778889899909 0.025996971226653204 0.00
6782431813644177
Commissioner Of Agriculture McIntosh 5408 181 4968 174 0.0334689349112426 0.035024154589371984 0.032
07977019931023
Commissioner Of Agriculture Meriwether 8639 264 8156 257 0.03055909248755643 0.03151054438450221 0.0
33758783578200814
Commissioner Of Agriculture Miller 2313 102 2181 98 0.04409857328145266 0.04493351673544246 0.592725
077993648
Commissioner Of Agriculture Mitchell 7446 201 6882 187 0.026994359387590653 0.027172333623946526 0.8
725190842049249
Commissioner Of Agriculture Monroe 12932 325 12236 321 0.025131456851221777 0.02623406341941811 0.00
01619491702448414
Commissioner Of Agriculture Montgomery 3528 105 3317 100 0.02976190476190476 0.030147723846849564 0.

7858202787585566
Commissioner Of Agriculture Morgan 9554 213 9144 203 0.022294326983462426 0.02220034995625547 0.8633
066922100286
Commissioner Of Agriculture Murray 11091 363 10826 358 0.032729239924262916 0.03306853870312211 0.25
94311488053838
Commissioner Of Agriculture Muscogee 63141 1632 58719 1562 0.025846914049508243 0.02660127045760316
4.457055403203225e-06
Commissioner Of Agriculture Newton 43129 965 40394 906 0.022374736256347238 0.02242907362479576 0.83
47019041110842
Commissioner Of Agriculture Oconee 20733 397 19802 378 0.019148217817006704 0.01908898091101909 0.84
19369734817203
Commissioner Of Agriculture Oglethorpe 6484 165 6075 161 0.025447254780999382 0.026502057613168723
0.036796034728722164
Commissioner Of Agriculture Paulding 61222 1224 57915 1162 0.01999281304106367 0.0200638867305534 0.
6545749092300193
Commissioner Of Agriculture Peach 10355 264 9910 257 0.025494929985514243 0.02593340060544904 0.2275
6907382226102
Commissioner Of Agriculture Pickens 13362 318 13140 314 0.023798832510103278 0.023896499238964992 0.
775895701049152
Commissioner Of Agriculture Pierce 6883 136 6556 135 0.01975882609327328 0.020591824283099452 0.0197
66051713961976
Commissioner Of Agriculture Pike 8534 178 8230 173 0.0208577454886337 0.021020656136087484 0.7736584
610786061
Commissioner Of Agriculture Polk 12859 350 12412 346 0.027218290691344585 0.027876248791492104 0.011
248907253610831
Commissioner Of Agriculture Pulaski 3622 139 3390 134 0.038376587520706794 0.03952802359882006 0.217
92720915492161
Commissioner Of Agriculture Putnam 9321 213 8723 211 0.022851625362085612 0.02418892582827009 0.0001
5800048949432007
Commissioner Of Agriculture Quitman 940 57 880 48 0.06063829787234042 0.05454545454545454 0.01514277
5824741057
Commissioner Of Agriculture Rabun 7578 152 6735 134 0.020058062813407232 0.019896065330363772 0.8527
064272130306
Commissioner Of Agriculture Randolph 2788 114 2475 100 0.040889526542324243 0.04040404040404041 0.80
50653605089502
Commissioner Of Agriculture Richmond 70043 1867 64729 1777 0.026655054752080864 0.02745291909345116
1.51485308148801e-06
Commissioner Of Agriculture Rockdale 36600 740 34747 703 0.020218579234972677 0.02023196247158028 1.
0242105739825176
Commissioner Of Agriculture Schley 1931 64 1835 63 0.033143448990160536 0.03433242506811989 0.323181
6572502484
Commissioner Of Agriculture Screven 5407 172 5136 166 0.03181061586831885 0.0323208722741433 0.46460
315141168645

Commissioner Of Agriculture Seminole 3214 79 2950 77 0.024579962663347853 0.02610169491525424 0.0718
3072317375924
Commissioner Of Agriculture Spalding 24385 783 23102 741 0.0321099036292803 0.032075145009090124 0.9
426148358611455
Commissioner Of Agriculture Stephens 9069 213 8533 205 0.023486602712537216 0.024024375952185633 0.2
1984157340157248
Commissioner Of Agriculture Stewart 1784 144 1551 128 0.08071748878923767 0.08252740167633785 0.5637
205151816366
Commissioner Of Agriculture Sumter 10556 309 9679 283 0.029272451686244788 0.029238557702241968 1.00
67470785678843
Commissioner Of Agriculture Talbot 2952 173 2667 151 0.05860433604336043 0.05661792275965504 0.20864
89923570343
Commissioner Of Agriculture Taliaferro 917 73 755 60 0.07960741548527808 0.07947019867549669 1.07781
1397664632
Commissioner Of Agriculture Tattnall 6639 230 6247 224 0.03464377165235728 0.03585721146150152 0.029
26970732106733
Commissioner Of Agriculture Taylor 3265 94 3022 91 0.02879019908116386 0.030112508272667107 0.142539
26273356501
Commissioner Of Agriculture Telfair 3631 141 3300 137 0.03883227760947398 0.04151515151515151 0.0053
08582079090159
Commissioner Of Agriculture Terrell 3930 149 3603 145 0.03791348600508906 0.04024424091035248 0.0077
94963268893247
Commissioner Of Agriculture Thomas 17241 444 16250 428 0.025752566556464244 0.02633846153846154 0.05
102983221980129
Commissioner Of Agriculture Tift 13669 397 13108 384 0.029043821786524253 0.02929508696978944 0.4844
81040127764
Commissioner Of Agriculture Toombs 8851 268 8170 252 0.030279064512484466 0.03084455324357405 0.3382
597394625828
Commissioner Of Agriculture Towns 6132 187 5848 182 0.03049575994781474 0.03112175102599179 0.255896
47467919446
Commissioner Of Agriculture Treutlen 2610 104 2464 96 0.03984674329501916 0.03896103896103896 0.4464
741150926797
Commissioner Of Agriculture Troup 23663 614 22399 587 0.025947682035244897 0.026206527077101655 0.33
58676933311447
Commissioner Of Agriculture Turner 3269 107 3121 106 0.03273172223921689 0.033963473245754564 0.0808
2989289376843
Commissioner Of Agriculture Twiggs 3787 150 3512 146 0.039609189331925004 0.041571753986332574 0.024
582185865480236
Commissioner Of Agriculture Union 11863 288 11381 283 0.02427716429233752 0.024866004744750023 0.042
59094929138161
Commissioner Of Agriculture Upson 10558 320 9956 306 0.03030877060049252 0.030735235034150262 0.3614
208256850461
Commissioner Of Agriculture Walker 21451 507 20638 489 0.02363526175935854 0.023694156410504895 0.89

31048690923495
Commissioner Of Agriculture Walton 38635 883 36866 845 0.02285492429144558 0.02292084847827266 0.769
5640396167929
Commissioner Of Agriculture Ware 11004 278 10377 264 0.025263540530716104 0.025440878866724487 0.750
3093640280006
Commissioner Of Agriculture Warren 2260 92 2073 89 0.04070796460176991 0.04293294741919923 0.0896653
9264026248
Commissioner Of Agriculture Washington 8159 380 7432 358 0.046574335090084566 0.04817007534983853 0.
028638214550277152
Commissioner Of Agriculture Wayne 10122 262 9621 259 0.02588421260620431 0.026920278557322524 0.0015
80179742029864
Commissioner Of Agriculture Webster 1100 32 948 28 0.02909090909090909 0.029535864978902954 1.080491
4512301
Commissioner Of Agriculture Wheeler 1927 73 1812 73 0.03788271925272444 0.04028697571743929 0.020493
746725996857
Commissioner Of Agriculture White 11434 210 10897 207 0.01836627601889103 0.018996053959805453 0.019
277518251516152
Commissioner Of Agriculture Whitfield 27302 778 26285 759 0.028496080873196102 0.028875784668061632
0.05753599069685485
Commissioner Of Agriculture Wilcox 2815 87 2610 82 0.030905861456483125 0.031417624521072794 0.76523
82515687487
Commissioner Of Agriculture Wilkes 4371 170 4070 163 0.03889270189887897 0.04004914004914005 0.18124
978512496914
Commissioner Of Agriculture Wilkinson 4264 184 3994 175 0.043151969981238276 0.043815723585378066 0.
5208404802833192
Commissioner Of Agriculture Worth 7831 220 7526 215 0.028093474652024008 0.028567632208344407 0.2714
376992202313
Commissioner Of Insurance Appling 6806 202 6276 189 0.02967969438730532 0.03011472275334608 0.567983
8956594965
Commissioner Of Insurance Atkinson 2520 116 2432 116 0.046031746031746035 0.047697368421052634 0.029
336390805863755
Commissioner Of Insurance Bacon 3829 108 3655 106 0.02820579785844868 0.029001367989056087 0.2461704
2275254092
Commissioner Of Insurance Baker 1291 62 1150 55 0.048024786986831915 0.04782608695652174 1.050494279
0029186
Commissioner Of Insurance Baldwin 15633 419 14475 402 0.026802277234056163 0.0277720207253886 0.0061
53271306562673
Commissioner Of Insurance Banks 6852 118 6629 115 0.017221249270286048 0.017348016292050083 0.921992
6406934561
Commissioner Of Insurance Barrow 27398 439 26135 422 0.016023067377180816 0.016146929405012434 0.543
6282699429879
Commissioner Of Insurance Bartow 37327 561 35759 552 0.015029335333672676 0.015436673285047121 0.000
8533421633813795

Commissioner Of Insurance Ben Hill 5533 110 5213 104 0.019880715705765408 0.0199501246882793 1.09239
49379629525
Commissioner Of Insurance Berrien 6247 145 5925 141 0.023211141347846968 0.02379746835443038 0.24865
68873329365
Commissioner Of Insurance Bibb 60460 1219 55999 1170 0.020162090638438636 0.02089323023625422 1.2928
559864607981e-06
Commissioner Of Insurance Bleckley 4855 147 4598 142 0.030278063851699278 0.030882992605480643 0.400
82737693002407
Commissioner Of Insurance Brantley 5689 174 5501 170 0.030585340130075585 0.03090347209598255 0.6234
390961414006
Commissioner Of Insurance Brooks 5696 161 5231 148 0.028265449438202247 0.02829286943223093 1.113128
140291477
Commissioner Of Insurance Bryan 14970 253 14388 246 0.016900467601870407 0.017097581317764805 0.4555
345033053648
Commissioner Of Insurance Bulloch 23543 535 22467 518 0.02272437667247165 0.023056037744247117 0.134
47737130398127
Commissioner Of Insurance Burke 8719 206 7751 195 0.02362656267920633 0.025158044123338923 0.0058511
42118709827
Commissioner Of Insurance Butts 8863 179 8483 173 0.020196321787205235 0.020393728633738065 0.695239
1728605432
Commissioner Of Insurance Calhoun 1899 47 1714 42 0.024749868351764088 0.024504084014002333 0.979059
2640046367
Commissioner Of Insurance Camden 17053 302 15860 288 0.017709493930686684 0.01815889029003783 0.1192
8920072353914
Commissioner Of Insurance Candler 3538 87 3418 83 0.02459016393442623 0.024283206553540083 0.6825772
992163125
Commissioner Of Insurance Carroll 41739 585 40221 568 0.014015668798964997 0.014121976082146142 0.40
62706818543072
Commissioner Of Insurance Catoosa 23725 415 23185 408 0.017492096944151738 0.01759758464524477 0.538
2055176232818
Commissioner Of Insurance Charlton 3369 97 3206 94 0.02879192638765212 0.029320024953212728 0.600573
4745549665
Commissioner Of Insurance Chatham 103338 2178 97161 2070 0.02107646751437032 0.021304844536388055 0.
04313203064809183
Commissioner Of Insurance Chattahoochee 1102 39 1067 39 0.03539019963702359 0.03655107778819119 0.55
53261047360464
Commissioner Of Insurance Chattooga 7427 210 7202 209 0.02827521206409048 0.029019716745348513 0.022
088855901694418
Commissioner Of Insurance Cherokee 106299 1623 100987 1535 0.01526825275872774 0.015199976234564845
0.4581307468728878
Commissioner Of Insurance Clarke 43261 1053 40239 999 0.02434063012875338 0.024826660702303736 0.015
629975148665907
Commissioner Of Insurance Clay 1186 40 1043 35 0.03372681281618887 0.03355704697986577 1.07975564337

36193
Commissioner Of Insurance Clayton 91840 1574 85993 1493 0.017138501742160278 0.017361878292419152 0.
046216961774423665
Commissioner Of Insurance Clinch 2254 87 2145 87 0.0385980479148181 0.04055944055944056 0.0245807949
97371844
Commissioner Of Insurance Cobb 310381 4904 284480 4426 0.01579993620743538 0.015558211473565804 0.00
05065731842968168
Commissioner Of Insurance Coffee 12595 395 12200 388 0.03136165144898769 0.03180327868852459 0.13533
078437339383
Commissioner Of Insurance Colquitt 12953 436 12439 432 0.03366015594842894 0.03472947986172522 0.000
19570880762865048
Commissioner Of Insurance Columbia 61591 860 58655 823 0.013963079021285577 0.014031199386241582 0.5
843249477646298
Commissioner Of Insurance Cook 5803 114 5559 112 0.019645011201102878 0.020147508544702285 0.2698651
7204839405
Commissioner Of Insurance Coweta 58056 861 55244 839 0.014830508474576272 0.015187169647382521 0.000
886542152071974
Commissioner Of Insurance Crawford 4931 123 4697 119 0.02494423037923342 0.02533532041728763 0.59583
84458571317
Commissioner Of Insurance Crisp 7024 242 6661 230 0.03445330296127563 0.03452934994745534 1.03158717
75347882
Commissioner Of Insurance Dade 5450 180 5321 173 0.03302752293577982 0.03251268558541628 0.267198176
5473912
Commissioner Of Insurance Dawson 11570 165 11131 162 0.014261019878997408 0.014553948432306172 0.245
4927998904155
Commissioner Of Insurance DeKalb 310968 6840 291296 6430 0.021995832368603842 0.02207376689003625 0.
26402260463226673
Commissioner Of Insurance Decatur 9043 210 8495 205 0.023222381952891738 0.02413184226015303 0.02057
1201467088452
Commissioner Of Insurance Dodge 7051 304 6603 291 0.0431144518508013 0.04407087687414812 0.151175424
0719516
Commissioner Of Insurance Dooly 3793 143 3597 137 0.037701028209860266 0.038087294968028915 0.769163
1433125591
Commissioner Of Insurance Dougherty 31297 711 29353 684 0.02271783238010033 0.02330255851190679 0.00
54996173623880484
Commissioner Of Insurance Douglas 55197 648 51964 620 0.011739768465677482 0.011931337079516588 0.10
221558504020836
Commissioner Of Insurance Early 4131 83 3658 79 0.020091987412248852 0.021596500820120285 0.06214898
139471192
Commissioner Of Insurance Echols 1143 35 1108 35 0.03062117235345582 0.0315884476534296 0.6622359192
934354
Commissioner Of Insurance Effingham 23365 360 22615 346 0.015407661031457309 0.015299579924828654 0.
5379662474384439

Commissioner Of Insurance Elbert 7319 183 6756 181 0.02500341576718131 0.02679100059206631 9.9413912
59928465e-05
Commissioner Of Insurance Emanuel 7710 267 7320 259 0.03463035019455253 0.03538251366120219 0.140121
81180016006
Commissioner Of Insurance Evans 3447 123 3248 121 0.03568320278503046 0.03725369458128079 0.04539806
451063482
Commissioner Of Insurance Fannin 11203 236 10545 224 0.02106578595019191 0.021242294926505454 0.7291
529157583581
Commissioner Of Insurance Fayette 57962 912 55315 868 0.015734446706462856 0.015691946126728737 0.75
10685224899837
Commissioner Of Insurance Floyd 30225 641 28965 625 0.02120760959470637 0.021577766269635767 0.03102
4462166436093
Commissioner Of Insurance Forsyth 93239 1388 88811 1294 0.014886474543914027 0.014570267196631048 0.
0008722981245968133
Commissioner Of Insurance Franklin 8149 132 7761 127 0.016198306540679837 0.01636387063522742 0.7893
912383598669
Commissioner Of Insurance Fulton 421806 9803 403964 9326 0.02324054186047614 0.023086215603370597 0.
0020795471360121314
Commissioner Of Insurance Gilmer 12471 240 11912 234 0.019244647582391146 0.01964405641370047 0.1643
2165058933113
Commissioner Of Insurance Glascock 1300 61 1219 60 0.04692307692307692 0.04922067268252666 0.1887920
603720064
Commissioner Of Insurance Glynn 32501 640 30689 623 0.01969170179379096 0.020300433380038452 0.00054
06635274737328
Commissioner Of Insurance Gordon 17772 397 17225 383 0.022338510015755122 0.02223512336719884 0.6789
228087177381
Commissioner Of Insurance Grady 8356 168 7956 162 0.020105313547151747 0.020361990950226245 0.599397
2867924724
Commissioner Of Insurance Greene 8989 141 8366 132 0.015685838246746023 0.015778149653358834 0.96487
6282138765
Commissioner Of Insurance Gwinnett 312709 4812 292312 4548 0.015388108433079957 0.015558718082049318
0.0029673991111008186
Commissioner Of Insurance Habersham 15495 311 14642 300 0.020070990642142628 0.020489004234394207 0.
14532288038983154
Commissioner Of Insurance Hall 67282 1176 63789 1136 0.017478671858743796 0.017808713101004875 0.004
080794341835784
Commissioner Of Insurance Hancock 3539 155 3049 142 0.0437976829612885 0.0465726467694326 0.04797544
327364643
Commissioner Of Insurance Haralson 10585 154 10192 152 0.014548889938592347 0.014913657770800628 0.1
4135757314066358
Commissioner Of Insurance Harris 15972 307 15243 296 0.019221136989732032 0.019418749589975727 0.501
2584470605063
Commissioner Of Insurance Hart 9618 290 9178 284 0.030151798710750674 0.03094356068860318 0.03728487

8878054276
Commissioner Of Insurance Heard 4051 84 3773 81 0.020735620834361885 0.02146832759077657 0.321847439
65922524
Commissioner Of Insurance Henry 98365 1387 93368 1320 0.014100543892644741 0.01413760603204524 0.727
164629452536
Commissioner Of Insurance Houston 59158 880 55968 826 0.014875418371141688 0.014758433390508863 0.36
095012176213315
Commissioner Of Insurance Irwin 3556 79 3423 78 0.02221597300337458 0.022787028921998246 0.393975710
87897026
Commissioner Of Insurance Jackson 26889 392 25870 381 0.014578452155156383 0.014727483571704678 0.37
492960854285107
Commissioner Of Insurance Jasper 5907 112 5486 109 0.018960555273404435 0.01986875683558148 0.073034
42493254297
Commissioner Of Insurance Jeff Davis 4815 165 4586 159 0.03426791277258567 0.03467073702573049 0.645
1787714063169
Commissioner Of Insurance Jefferson 6756 280 6149 265 0.04144464179988159 0.04309643844527566 0.0300
32860454349275
Commissioner Of Insurance Jenkins 2856 80 2668 76 0.028011204481792718 0.02848575712143928 0.7700539
175804989
Commissioner Of Insurance Johnson 3483 135 3247 130 0.03875968992248062 0.04003695719125346 0.188946
20119986613
Commissioner Of Insurance Jones 12432 250 11648 233 0.02010939510939511 0.020003434065934064 0.81938
74000917354
Commissioner Of Insurance Lamar 7346 148 6942 145 0.020147018785733734 0.020887352348026505 0.067206
51190652573
Commissioner Of Insurance Lanier 2679 105 2571 102 0.03919372900335946 0.039673278879813305 0.760420
480591173
Commissioner Of Insurance Laurens 18939 542 17653 512 0.028618195258461376 0.029003568798504503 0.27
23346880644598
Commissioner Of Insurance Lee 13549 215 13069 209 0.015868329766034393 0.015992042237355574 0.713515
4880272536
Commissioner Of Insurance Liberty 15358 242 14408 233 0.015757260059903633 0.016171571349250416 0.12
65576043217077
Commissioner Of Insurance Lincoln 3967 92 3617 91 0.023191328459793294 0.0251589715233619 0.00372563
00568780593
Commissioner Of Insurance Long 3988 109 3819 108 0.027331995987963893 0.028279654359780047 0.0995081
9086457949
Commissioner Of Insurance Lowndes 35212 586 33322 551 0.016642053845280017 0.01653562211151791 6 0.56
10986593266845
Commissioner Of Insurance Lumpkin 11551 195 11084 189 0.01688165526794217 0.017051605918440994 0.641
7598645388906
Commissioner Of Insurance Macon 4217 203 3886 189 0.048138487076120465 0.048636129696345856 0.723511
1529475178

Commissioner Of Insurance Madison 11697 253 11170 246 0.0216294776438403 0.022023276633840645 0.2221
319144394928
Commissioner Of Insurance Marion 2930 87 2689 84 0.029692832764505118 0.031238378579397545 0.1260339
031313447
Commissioner Of Insurance McDuffie 8792 171 7924 163 0.019449499545040945 0.020570418980312973 0.019
492377535967952
Commissioner Of Insurance McIntosh 5408 138 4968 133 0.02551775147928994 0.02677133655394525 0.05273
99118993246
Commissioner Of Insurance Meriwether 8639 203 8156 200 0.023498090056719528 0.024521824423737126 0.0
057895548263675045
Commissioner Of Insurance Miller 2313 101 2181 95 0.043666234327712924 0.04355800091701054 1.0443027
294471856
Commissioner Of Insurance Mitchell 7446 175 6882 170 0.02350255170561375 0.024702121476315026 0.0136
22770568186419
Commissioner Of Insurance Monroe 12932 268 12236 267 0.020723785957315187 0.021820856489048707 1.028
886370955777e-05
Commissioner Of Insurance Montgomery 3528 104 3317 99 0.02947845804988662 0.029846246608381068 0.804
8672802314136
Commissioner Of Insurance Morgan 9554 186 9144 177 0.01946828553485451 0.01935695538057743 0.8095429
924497335
Commissioner Of Insurance Murray 11091 317 10826 310 0.028581732936615274 0.0286347681507482 1.02323
32872362426
Commissioner Of Insurance Muscogee 63141 1341 58719 1285 0.021238181213474604 0.021883887668386722
1.5091256517073328e-05
Commissioner Of Insurance Newton 43129 756 40394 724 0.017528808922070996 0.01792345397831361 0.0148
68729562152303
Commissioner Of Insurance Oconee 20733 411 19802 396 0.019823469830704675 0.019997980002019997 0.487
9189591194687
Commissioner Of Insurance Oglethorpe 6484 160 6075 155 0.024676125848241828 0.02551440329218107 0.11
137423995000943
Commissioner Of Insurance Paulding 61222 860 57915 812 0.014047237921008787 0.01402054735388068 0.8
569631645273011
Commissioner Of Insurance Peach 10355 224 9910 217 0.021632061805890872 0.021897073662966702 0.49427
104045355846
Commissioner Of Insurance Pickens 13362 260 13140 258 0.01945816494536746 0.019634703196347032 0.379
36204718576033
Commissioner Of Insurance Pierce 6883 124 6556 123 0.018015400261513874 0.0187614399023795 0.0330389
34216622275
Commissioner Of Insurance Pike 8534 129 8230 125 0.015116006561987345 0.015188335358444714 1.0225660
054540902
Commissioner Of Insurance Polk 12859 277 12412 274 0.02154133291857843 0.0220754108926845 0.02355234
526323607
Commissioner Of Insurance Pulaski 3622 146 3390 134 0.04030922142462728 0.03952802359882006 0.445646

5396560294
Commissioner Of Insurance Putnam 9321 170 8723 166 0.01823838643922326 0.019030150177691163 0.026291
30739458383
Commissioner Of Insurance Quitman 940 57 880 50 0.06063829787234042 0.056818181818181816 0.127461222
98293755
Commissioner Of Insurance Rabun 7578 147 6735 128 0.019398258115597783 0.019005196733481812 0.554528
0171146294
Commissioner Of Insurance Randolph 2788 112 2475 106 0.040172166427546625 0.042828282828282827 0.048
22841355147525
Commissioner Of Insurance Richmond 70043 1455 64729 1361 0.020772953756977856 0.021026124302862704
0.10692914339934204
Commissioner Of Insurance Rockdale 36600 535 34747 516 0.014617486338797813 0.014850202895213976 0.1
2088207582654972
Commissioner Of Insurance Schley 1931 59 1835 59 0.030554117037804248 0.032152588555858314 0.0941552
7581014056
Commissioner Of Insurance Screven 5407 180 5136 176 0.03329017939707786 0.03426791277258567 0.094761
8447041712
Commissioner Of Insurance Seminole 3214 66 2950 59 0.020535158680771624 0.02 0.5929414328724583
Commissioner Of Insurance Spalding 24385 577 23102 549 0.02366208734877999 0.023764176261795517 0.74
37899597725126
Commissioner Of Insurance Stephens 9069 186 8533 175 0.020509427720807147 0.020508613617719443 1.088
2079447468032
Commissioner Of Insurance Stewart 1784 142 1551 130 0.0795964125560538 0.08381689232753063 0.1057103
590128202
Commissioner Of Insurance Sumter 10556 269 9679 249 0.02548313755210307 0.02572579811964046 0.697496
3905397773
Commissioner Of Insurance Talbot 2952 152 2667 128 0.051490514905149054 0.047994000749906264 0.01875
488050623625
Commissioner Of Insurance Taliaferro 917 78 755 66 0.08505997818974918 0.08741721854304636 0.7092352
229470019
Commissioner Of Insurance Tattnall 6639 211 6247 206 0.03178189486368429 0.032975828397630864 0.0242
17341602327063
Commissioner Of Insurance Taylor 3265 94 3022 90 0.02879019908116386 0.029781601588352084 0.31796799
25306423
Commissioner Of Insurance Telfair 3631 130 3300 127 0.035802809143486644 0.03848484848484848 0.00321
74486990850263
Commissioner Of Insurance Terrell 3930 116 3603 115 0.029516539440203562 0.031917846239245075 0.0008
528712573486075
Commissioner Of Insurance Thomas 17241 398 16250 387 0.0230845078591729 0.023815384615384617 0.00717
5949411189903
Commissioner Of Insurance Tift 13669 379 13108 369 0.027726973443558418 0.02815074763503204 0.172475
84801358476
Commissioner Of Insurance Toombs 8851 245 8170 232 0.027680488080442888 0.02839657282741738 0.183958

41994439988
Commissioner Of Insurance Towns 6132 145 5848 137 0.02364644487932159 0.023426812585499317 0.7146838
828010001
Commissioner Of Insurance Treutlen 2610 95 2464 87 0.03639846743295019 0.03530844155844156 0.3171974
266823648
Commissioner Of Insurance Troup 23663 480 22399 456 0.020284832861429236 0.02035805169873655 0.83700
61260797561
Commissioner Of Insurance Turner 3269 112 3121 112 0.034261241970021415 0.035885933995514256 0.01017
1015801582785
Commissioner Of Insurance Twiggs 3787 119 3512 116 0.031423290203327174 0.03302961275626424 0.043907
17582182362
Commissioner Of Insurance Union 11863 245 11381 236 0.020652448790356572 0.020736314910816272 0.9198
361614043107
Commissioner Of Insurance Upson 10558 255 9956 244 0.024152301572267474 0.02450783447167537 0.412434
04148678947
Commissioner Of Insurance Walker 21451 446 20638 433 0.020791571488508694 0.02098071518558 0.3989477
5966656193
Commissioner Of Insurance Walton 38635 676 36866 652 0.017497088132522324 0.017685672435306245 0.225
45556399095382
Commissioner Of Insurance Ware 11004 197 10377 189 0.017902580879680115 0.01821335646140503 0.404701
7050157945
Commissioner Of Insurance Warren 2260 76 2073 73 0.033628318584070796 0.035214664737095995 0.2248406
0259869723
Commissioner Of Insurance Washington 8159 326 7432 302 0.03995587694570413 0.040635091496232505 0.36
90963167337582
Commissioner Of Insurance Wayne 10122 247 9621 242 0.02440229203714681 0.025153310466687454 0.029579
619415050117
Commissioner Of Insurance Webster 1100 40 948 37 0.03636363636363636 0.039029535864978905 0.34589408
24533175
Commissioner Of Insurance Wheeler 1927 69 1812 69 0.03580695381421899 0.0380794701986755 0.026467157
79927298
Commissioner Of Insurance White 11434 184 10897 179 0.016092356130837853 0.016426539414517757 0.2620
885052452319
Commissioner Of Insurance Whitfield 27302 702 26285 690 0.0257124020218299 0.026250713334601484 0.00
2542502473291031
Commissioner Of Insurance Wilcox 2815 87 2610 81 0.030905861456483125 0.03103448275862069 1.09976431
68048414
Commissioner Of Insurance Wilkes 4371 170 4070 162 0.03889270189887897 0.039803439803439804 0.321080
677871574
Commissioner Of Insurance Wilkinson 4264 136 3994 129 0.03189493433395872 0.03229844767150726 0.7225
83985586467
Commissioner Of Insurance Worth 7831 190 7526 185 0.02426254629038437 0.02458145096997076 8 0.4877053
221286879

State School Superintendent Appling 6806 195 6276 183 0.028651190126359093 0.029158699808795412 0.47
71120754921914
State School Superintendent Atkinson 2520 113 2432 113 0.04484126984126984 0.046463815789473686 0.03
280599862790262
State School Superintendent Bacon 3829 97 3655 95 0.025332985113606685 0.025991792065663474 0.348067
3720794697
State School Superintendent Baker 1291 57 1150 51 0.044151820294345466 0.04434782608695652 1.1354902
797323818
State School Superintendent Baldwin 15633 431 14475 416 0.02756988421927973 0.028739205526770294 0.0
008253423943003924
State School Superintendent Banks 6852 127 6629 122 0.018534734384121423 0.018403982501131393 0.7955
487551255416
State School Superintendent Barrow 27398 464 26135 436 0.01693554274034601 0.016682609527453606 0.17
987119954884662
State School Superintendent Bartow 37327 786 35759 773 0.02105714362257883 0.021616935596633014 8.67
3972666922682e-05
State School Superintendent Ben Hill 5533 93 5213 88 0.016808241460328936 0.016880874736236333 1.094
8208491943126
State School Superintendent Berrien 6247 124 5925 122 0.0198495277733312 0.020590717299578058 0.0826
7237333754755
State School Superintendent Bibb 60460 1267 55999 1205 0.020956003969566657 0.021518241397167806 0.0
0039100911035865364
State School Superintendent Bleckley 4855 126 4598 120 0.025952626158599383 0.02609830361026533 0.99
17008364000502
State School Superintendent Brantley 5689 117 5501 114 0.02056600457022324 0.020723504817305945 0.91
05558450216902
State School Superintendent Brooks 5696 137 5231 123 0.02405196629213483 0.023513668514624355 0.4523
844118780961
State School Superintendent Bryan 14970 245 14388 238 0.016366065464261857 0.01654156241312204 6 0.51
75495584130659
State School Superintendent Bulloch 23543 489 22467 465 0.020770505033343243 0.02069702229937241 0.7
783302048844566
State School Superintendent Burke 8719 185 7751 174 0.021218029590549376 0.022448716294671656 0.0229
75858202328326
State School Superintendent Butts 8863 180 8483 171 0.020309150400541577 0.020157962984793117 0.7334
204214256573
State School Superintendent Calhoun 1899 39 1714 35 0.020537124802527645 0.020420070011668612 1.0727
328582001299
State School Superintendent Camden 17053 296 15860 271 0.01735764968040814 0.01708701134930643 0.378
7653152910472
State School Superintendent Candler 3538 82 3418 80 0.023176936122102882 0.023405500292568753 0.9371
34799146735
State School Superintendent Carroll 41739 748 40221 724 0.017920889336112508 0.018000546977946844 0.

6089822792818647
State School Superintendent Catoosa 23725 361 23185 353 0.015216016859852476 0.015225361224929911 1.
1235690176103146
State School Superintendent Charlton 3369 64 3206 63 0.018996734936182844 0.019650655021834062 0.350
4614516678216
State School Superintendent Chatham 103338 2082 97161 1953 0.020147477210706612 0.020100657671287863
0.6970026571648539
State School Superintendent Chattahoochee 1102 33 1067 33 0.029945553539019964 0.030927835051546393
0.6784191285226017
State School Superintendent Chattooga 7427 168 7202 166 0.022620169651272386 0.02304915301305193 0.2
215818173438752
State School Superintendent Cherokee 106299 1845 100987 1744 0.017356701380069426 0.0172695495459811
65 0.3691332435033265
State School Superintendent Clarke 43261 978 40239 908 0.022606967014169806 0.022565173090782573 0.8
674453408158112
State School Superintendent Clay 1186 24 1043 17 0.02023608768971332 0.016299137104506232 0.03756037
6346500925
State School Superintendent Clayton 91840 1554 85993 1439 0.016920731707317074 0.016733920202807204
0.10771697419108171
State School Superintendent Clinch 2254 88 2145 86 0.03904170363797693 0.04009324009324009 0.3804064
8297886664
State School Superintendent Cobb 310381 5240 284480 4667 0.016882476697993757 0.01640537120359955 6.
11344115570655e-11
State School Superintendent Coffee 12595 317 12200 310 0.02516871774513696 0.02540983606557377 0.435
6254077327964
State School Superintendent Colquitt 12953 342 12439 332 0.026403149849455725 0.026690248412251788
0.3938880327956586
State School Superintendent Columbia 61591 858 58655 789 0.01393060674449189 0.013451538658255904 4.
05487954930408e-05
State School Superintendent Cook 5803 79 5559 78 0.013613648113044977 0.014031300593631947 0.2963720
8112324825
State School Superintendent Coweta 58056 946 55244 908 0.01629461209866336 0.01643617406415176 0.260
7627961414206
State School Superintendent Crawford 4931 132 4697 124 0.02676941796795782 0.026399829678518203 0.57
78323994484768
State School Superintendent Crisp 7024 250 6661 241 0.03559225512528474 0.036180753640594504 0.31884
863431599786
State School Superintendent Dade 5450 155 5321 150 0.028440366972477066 0.028190189813944746 0.60994
10924283258
State School Superintendent Dawson 11570 200 11131 193 0.01728608470181504 0.017338963255772166 1.01
8667962393448
State School Superintendent DeKalb 310968 7013 291296 6369 0.02255215970775128 0.021864357903987695
8.832174502070971e-21

State School Superintendent Decatur 9043 199 8495 192 0.02200597146964503 0.022601530311948205 0.156
10734854515299
State School Superintendent Dodge 7051 303 6603 288 0.04297262799602893 0.04361653793730123 0.369143
9864099541
State School Superintendent Dooly 3793 147 3597 140 0.038755602425520695 0.03892132332499305 1.01340
92203335676
State School Superintendent Dougherty 31297 609 29353 565 0.01945873406396779 0.019248458419923006
0.33495070196864307
State School Superintendent Douglas 55197 739 51964 690 0.013388408790332807 0.013278423523978138 0.
4064779656017571
State School Superintendent Early 4131 77 3658 63 0.018639554587267005 0.01722252597047567 0.1035389
1913337368
State School Superintendent Echols 1143 30 1108 30 0.026246719160104987 0.02707581227436823 0.777180
3067064711
State School Superintendent Effingham 23365 371 22615 365 0.01587845067408517 0.016139730267521555
0.08892005647010105
State School Superintendent Elbert 7319 90 6756 86 0.01229676185271212 0.012729425695677915 0.335092
8137567028
State School Superintendent Emanuel 7710 246 7320 235 0.031906614785992216 0.03210382513661202 0.810
5044256943981
State School Superintendent Evans 3447 108 3248 103 0.031331592689295036 0.031711822660098525 0.8001
316163212286
State School Superintendent Fannin 11203 244 10545 227 0.02177988038918147 0.02152678994784258 0.533
9469680426268
State School Superintendent Fayette 57962 929 55315 877 0.016027742313929817 0.015854650637259333 0.
15677194276144643
State School Superintendent Floyd 30225 570 28965 547 0.018858560794044667 0.018884861039185224 0.98
07332781140115
State School Superintendent Forsyth 93239 1448 88811 1355 0.015529982089039994 0.01525711905056806
0.0046071886643395624
State School Superintendent Franklin 8149 141 7761 141 0.017302736532089826 0.01816776188635485 0.00
19368824247125872
State School Superintendent Fulton 421806 10056 403964 9505 0.023840343665097224 0.02352932439524314
1.6777942601159474e-09
State School Superintendent Gilmer 12471 272 11912 267 0.021810600593376635 0.022414372061786435 0.0
30792139036562104
State School Superintendent Glascock 1300 54 1219 53 0.04153846153846154 0.043478260869565216 0.2731
5182925752823
State School Superintendent Glynn 32501 572 30689 549 0.017599458478200672 0.017889145948059567 0.11
287474627348418
State School Superintendent Gordon 17772 406 17225 389 0.02284492460049516 0.022583454281567487 0.24
880918180334075
State School Superintendent Grady 8356 134 7956 126 0.016036381043561514 0.01583710407239819 0.62376

71843382813
State School Superintendent Greene 8989 129 8366 118 0.014350873289576148 0.014104709538608654 0.562
7746710775136
State School Superintendent Gwinnett 312709 4599 292312 4335 0.014706963982488512 0.0148300446098689
08 0.030282913547278714
State School Superintendent Habersham 15495 308 14642 292 0.019877379799935462 0.019942630788143696
0.9370345876384635
State School Superintendent Hall 67282 1282 63789 1212 0.019054130376623762 0.01900014109015661 0.69
66727236037483
State School Superintendent Hancock 3539 153 3049 137 0.043232551568239616 0.04493276484093145 0.258
65561780016794
State School Superintendent Haralson 10585 192 10192 189 0.018138875767595656 0.018543956043956044
0.13962300215772466
State School Superintendent Harris 15972 269 15243 255 0.016841973453543702 0.016728990356229087 0.6
910381482065708
State School Superintendent Hart 9618 213 9178 207 0.022145976294447912 0.02255393331880584 0.276358
4981513938
State School Superintendent Heard 4051 83 3773 77 0.020488768205381386 0.02040816326530612 1.0192196
825461242
State School Superintendent Henry 98365 1353 93368 1260 0.013754892492248259 0.013494987576043184 0.
004506562943254488
State School Superintendent Houston 59158 871 55968 813 0.014723283410527739 0.014526157804459691 0.
1182267150190979
State School Superintendent Irwin 3556 66 3423 65 0.01856017997750281 0.018989190768331873 0.5702910
646805474
State School Superintendent Jackson 26889 378 25870 364 0.014057793149615085 0.01407035175879397 1.0
703407333723374
State School Superintendent Jasper 5907 108 5486 103 0.018283392585068562 0.018775063798760483 0.415
8034362786885
State School Superintendent Jeff Davis 4815 183 4586 178 0.038006230529595016 0.03881378107283035 0.
24783972808352797
State School Superintendent Jefferson 6756 225 6149 212 0.03330373001776199 0.03447715075622052 0.09
903750571278594
State School Superintendent Jenkins 2856 73 2668 69 0.025560224089635854 0.02586206896551724 0.93697
53316979214
State School Superintendent Johnson 3483 145 3247 132 0.04163077806488659 0.04065291037881121 0.3612
376643218168
State School Superintendent Jones 12432 225 11648 207 0.018098455598455597 0.017771291208791208 0.35
54373827027357
State School Superintendent Lamar 7346 142 6942 134 0.019330247753879662 0.01930279458369346 1.04898
1239192677
State School Superintendent Lanier 2679 97 2571 94 0.036207540126913025 0.036561649163749516 0.88828
71187382473

State School Superintendent Laurens 18939 482 17653 447 0.025450129362690744 0.02532147510338186 0.7
28489328829162
State School Superintendent Lee 13549 196 13069 190 0.014466012251826704 0.014538220215777794 0.9091
228972568559
State School Superintendent Liberty 15358 221 14408 208 0.014389894517515302 0.014436424208772903 0.
9938912476817579
State School Superintendent Lincoln 3967 83 3617 80 0.0209226115452483 0.02211777716339508 0.1126324
1782844156
State School Superintendent Long 3988 98 3819 95 0.02457372116349047 0.024875621890547265 0.79365960
75699773
State School Superintendent Lowndes 35212 531 33322 491 0.015080086334204249 0.014735009903367145 0.
04036095323253735
State School Superintendent Lumpkin 11551 222 11084 211 0.01921911522811878 0.01903644893540238 0.57
45926613328292
State School Superintendent Macon 4217 170 3886 158 0.04031301873369694 0.040658775090066906 0.83414
32088475947
State School Superintendent Madison 11697 236 11170 229 0.020176113533384627 0.020501342882721575 0.
319435997805081
State School Superintendent Marion 2930 71 2689 70 0.024232081911262797 0.026031982149497954 0.03146
2393825256625
State School Superintendent McDuffie 8792 148 7924 133 0.01683348498635123 0.01678445229681979 0.995
7796303183759
State School Superintendent McIntosh 5408 288 4968 266 0.05325443786982249 0.0535426731078905 0.8574
565138915832
State School Superintendent Meriwether 8639 189 8156 184 0.021877532121773353 0.022560078469838155
0.08487354871435074
State School Superintendent Miller 2313 115 2181 107 0.04971897968006917 0.049060064190738197 0.6650
957126177846
State School Superintendent Mitchell 7446 152 6882 140 0.0204136449100188 0.02034292356873002 0.9693
955395994174
State School Superintendent Monroe 12932 285 12236 277 0.02203835446953294 0.02263811703170971 0.053
743462438742284
State School Superintendent Montgomery 3528 131 3317 126 0.03713151927437642 0.03798613204703045 0.3
8646256017268044
State School Superintendent Morgan 9554 205 9144 193 0.02145698136906008 0.02110673665791776 0.34200
55350378094
State School Superintendent Murray 11091 270 10826 259 0.024344062753583987 0.023923886938850916 0.1
1897870262090533
State School Superintendent Muscogee 63141 1170 58719 1094 0.01852995676343422 0.018631107477988386
0.5357343373652267
State School Superintendent Newton 43129 712 40394 667 0.016508613693802313 0.01651235331979997 1.06
04952221422372
State School Superintendent Oconee 20733 411 19802 392 0.019823469830704675 0.019795980204019795 0.9

633638295129779
State School Superintendent Oglethorpe 6484 141 6075 134 0.021745835903763108 0.02205761316872428 0.
653092603407015
State School Superintendent Paulding 61222 959 57915 901 0.015664303681683055 0.015557282223948891
0.4079777254588365
State School Superintendent Peach 10355 199 9910 191 0.019217769193626267 0.01927346115035318 1.0272
574761231834
State School Superintendent Pickens 13362 281 13140 274 0.0210297859601856 0.02085235920852359 0.375
34702001161835
State School Superintendent Pierce 6883 92 6556 89 0.013366264710155456 0.013575350823672972 0.71417
48939273604
State School Superintendent Pike 8534 130 8230 124 0.015233184907429108 0.015066828675577158 0.63567
19569210915
State School Superintendent Polk 12859 256 12412 249 0.019908235477097753 0.020061231066709637 0.659
1002408179695
State School Superintendent Pulaski 3622 142 3390 128 0.03920485919381557 0.03775811209439528 0.1360
6400142430597
State School Superintendent Putnam 9321 182 8723 176 0.019525801952580194 0.0201765447667087 0.09551
624952773365
State School Superintendent Quitman 940 48 880 39 0.05106382978723404 0.04431818181818182 0.00447759
4306767345
State School Superintendent Rabun 7578 178 6735 154 0.023489047242016364 0.022865627319970303 0.3686
2117342812606
State School Superintendent Randolph 2788 78 2475 74 0.027977044476327116 0.0298989898989899 0.10214
764234631135
State School Superintendent Richmond 70043 1288 64729 1204 0.018388704081778336 0.01860062723045312
0.15582293102431713
State School Superintendent Rockdale 36600 518 34747 489 0.014153005464480874 0.014073157394883011
0.6296995554892242
State School Superintendent Schley 1931 53 1835 52 0.027446918694976695 0.028337874659400544 0.49731
074391743596
State School Superintendent Screven 5407 156 5136 147 0.028851488810800813 0.028621495327102803 0.76
19700449591733
State School Superintendent Seminole 3214 64 2950 58 0.019912881144990666 0.01966101694915254 0.8624
292262561878
State School Superintendent Spalding 24385 601 23102 564 0.02464629895427517 0.024413470695177908 0.
3638435030940898
State School Superintendent Stephens 9069 162 8533 155 0.017863049950380416 0.018164772061408648 0.5
013622039502968
State School Superintendent Stewart 1784 124 1551 111 0.06950672645739911 0.07156673114119923 0.4646
4511045707857
State School Superintendent Sumter 10556 252 9679 238 0.023872679045092837 0.02458931707821056 0.125
48345345390768

State School Superintendent Talbot 2952 133 2667 112 0.04505420054200542 0.04199475065616798 0.02940
3645848459184
State School Superintendent Taliaferro 917 84 755 65 0.0916030534351145 0.08609271523178808 0.273546
7300211541
State School Superintendent Tattnall 6639 192 6247 187 0.028920018075011298 0.029934368496878503 0.0
512304287954861
State School Superintendent Taylor 3265 74 3022 70 0.022664624808575805 0.02316346790205162 0.689222
433862807
State School Superintendent Telfair 3631 118 3300 116 0.032497934453318646 0.03515151515151515 0.001
8225924671151817
State School Superintendent Terrell 3930 104 3603 101 0.026463104325699746 0.028032195392728283 0.04
2944924238965045
State School Superintendent Thomas 17241 332 16250 314 0.01925642364132011 0.019323076923076922 0.91
61424159089993
State School Superintendent Tift 13669 278 13108 266 0.020337991074694563 0.020292950869697894 0.941
9558919724208
State School Superintendent Toombs 8851 220 8170 203 0.024855948480397697 0.02484700122399021 1.0597
873052210895
State School Superintendent Towns 6132 141 5848 133 0.02299412915851272 0.022742818057455542 0.65818
39569738592
State School Superintendent Treutlen 2610 123 2464 113 0.047126436781609195 0.04586038961038961 0.29
27317722836269
State School Superintendent Troup 23663 420 22399 389 0.01774922875375058 0.017366846734229207 0.087
26815668305059
State School Superintendent Turner 3269 88 3121 87 0.02691954726215968 0.02787568087151554 0.1696205
5567395418
State School Superintendent Twiggs 3787 134 3512 127 0.03538420913651967 0.03616173120728929 0.46140
09350453488
State School Superintendent Union 11863 247 11381 234 0.02082104020905336 0.02056058342852122 0.4117
397686890091
State School Superintendent Upson 10558 225 9956 214 0.021310854328471303 0.021494576134993972 0.726
1325043171444
State School Superintendent Walker 21451 396 20638 380 0.018460677823877676 0.018412636883418935 0.8
655142439782261
State School Superintendent Walton 38635 660 36866 636 0.01708295586903067 0.017251668203764985 0.28
00440127239453
State School Superintendent Ware 11004 161 10377 149 0.014631043256997456 0.014358677845234653 0.414
5154713741024
State School Superintendent Warren 2260 73 2073 71 0.032300884955752215 0.03424987940183309 0.100587
98537902545
State School Superintendent Washington 8159 325 7432 300 0.03983331290599338 0.040365984930032295 0.
5003791203270346
State School Superintendent Wayne 10122 217 9621 214 0.021438450899031812 0.022243010082112047 0.009

637923820089336
State School Superintendent Webster 1100 32 948 27 0.02909090909090909 0.028481012658227847 0.919508
5487727427
State School Superintendent Wheeler 1927 43 1812 43 0.022314478463933574 0.023730684326710817 0.1376
5854624008833
State School Superintendent White 11434 203 10897 195 0.017754066818261326 0.01789483344039644 0.762
894854399894
State School Superintendent Whitfield 27302 635 26285 609 0.02325836935023075 0.023169107856191744
0.6761484127392939
State School Superintendent Wilcox 2815 79 2610 73 0.028063943161634103 0.027969348659003832 1.04065
67378425275
State School Superintendent Wilkes 4371 133 4070 120 0.030427819720887667 0.029484029484029485 0.249
66033173770433
State School Superintendent Wilkinson 4264 143 3994 136 0.03353658536585366 0.03405107661492238 0.61
134668209462
State School Superintendent Worth 7831 159 7526 153 0.020303920316690077 0.020329524315705554 1.1490
60650591179
Commissioner Of Labor Appling 6806 217 6276 203 0.03188363208933294 0.03234544295729764 0.5511946596
274736
Commissioner Of Labor Atkinson 2520 118 2432 117 0.046825396825396826 0.04810855263157895 0.14935732
493442994
Commissioner Of Labor Bacon 3829 114 3655 112 0.029772786628362496 0.03064295485636115 0.20247632293
816503
Commissioner Of Labor Baker 1291 62 1150 52 0.048024786986831915 0.04521739130434783 0.2584878767274
842
Commissioner Of Labor Baldwin 15633 455 14475 426 0.02910509818972686 0.029430051813471504 0.4510808
7944703434
Commissioner Of Labor Banks 6852 141 6629 136 0.020577933450087564 0.020515914919294012 0.9752235680
469857
Commissioner Of Labor Barrow 27398 536 26135 500 0.01956347178626177 0.01913143294432753 0.032073695
56063228
Commissioner Of Labor Bartow 37327 726 35759 709 0.019449728078870524 0.019827176375178276 0.0093688
45891485846
Commissioner Of Labor Ben Hill 5533 116 5213 109 0.020965118380625338 0.02090926529829273 1.02086678
833252
Commissioner Of Labor Berrien 6247 157 5925 152 0.025132063390427405 0.025654008438818564 0.34456571
65120737
Commissioner Of Labor Bibb 60460 1455 55999 1355 0.02406549784981806 0.02419686065822604 0.490887477
75698977
Commissioner Of Labor Bleckley 4855 163 4598 156 0.03357363542739444 0.03392779469334493 4 0.72010841
95472242
Commissioner Of Labor Brantley 5689 136 5501 131 0.02390578309017402 0.023813852026904198 0.93892448
00972285

Commissioner Of Labor Brooks 5696 141 5231 126 0.02475421348314607 0.024087172624737144 0.3480779448286483
Commissioner Of Labor Bryan 14970 285 14388 273 0.01903807615230461 0.01897414512093411 0.8610456721179405
Commissioner Of Labor Bulloch 23543 580 22467 554 0.024635772841184216 0.02465838785774692 1.0219336341170084
Commissioner Of Labor Burke 8719 215 7751 197 0.024658791145773597 0.025416075345116758 0.23266626065941182
Commissioner Of Labor Butts 8863 173 8483 166 0.019519350107187184 0.019568548862430743 1.06976805925021
Commissioner Of Labor Calhoun 1899 50 1714 45 0.02632964718272775 0.026254375729288213 1.0932073131246993
Commissioner Of Labor Camden 17053 366 15860 344 0.021462499266991144 0.021689785624211855 0.5311423217904407
Commissioner Of Labor Candler 3538 88 3418 84 0.0248728094968909 0.024575775307197192 0.6982269242208146
Commissioner Of Labor Carroll 41739 771 40221 747 0.018471932724789766 0.018572387558737972 0.5014944420002873
Commissioner Of Labor Catoosa 23725 478 23185 467 0.020147523709167545 0.02014233340521889 1.0559159493296444
Commissioner Of Labor Charlton 3369 90 3206 86 0.026714158504007122 0.026824703680598878 1.1149075857404946
Commissioner Of Labor Chatham 103338 2491 97161 2347 0.02410536298360719 0.024155782670001336 0.7143517068809174
Commissioner Of Labor Chattahoochee 1102 41 1067 41 0.03720508166969147 0.038425492033739454 0.5193425024154349
Commissioner Of Labor Chattooga 7427 207 7202 205 0.027871280463174903 0.028464315467925577 0.09272103578118218
Commissioner Of Labor Cherokee 106299 2291 100987 2175 0.021552413475197322 0.021537425609236834 0.9108038290659155
Commissioner Of Labor Clarke 43261 1217 40239 1132 0.028131573472642796 0.028131911826834662 1.0344290547944495
Commissioner Of Labor Clay 1186 41 1043 30 0.03456998313659359 0.028763183125599234 0.013531866964528613
Commissioner Of Labor Clayton 91840 1788 85993 1653 0.019468641114982577 0.01922249485423232 0.04738114451584041
Commissioner Of Labor Clinch 2254 86 2145 82 0.038154392191659274 0.03822843822843823 1.1933794776713196
Commissioner Of Labor Cobb 310381 6314 284480 5709 0.020342740051742858 0.020068194600674917 0.00046464123434715
Commissioner Of Labor Coffee 12595 392 12200 382 0.03112346169114728 0.031311475409836066 0.6198928265780838
Commissioner Of Labor Colquitt 12953 394 12439 382 0.03041766386165367 0.030709864136988504 0.418081

8727718646
Commissioner Of Labor Columbia 61591 1059 58655 980 0.017194070562257472 0.016707868041940157 0.0001
404338532291466
Commissioner Of Labor Cook 5803 120 5559 118 0.02067895915905566 0.02122683935959705 0.2260421570053
831
Commissioner Of Labor Coweta 58056 1096 55244 1044 0.018878324376464105 0.018897979871117226 0.95000
99352398498
Commissioner Of Labor Crawford 4931 132 4697 124 0.02676941796795782 0.026399829678518203 0.57783239
94484768
Commissioner Of Labor Crisp 7024 247 6661 237 0.03516514806378132 0.03558024320672572 0.522575986650
1862
Commissioner Of Labor Dade 5450 195 5321 185 0.03577981651376147 0.03476790077053186 0.0333167648129
38986
Commissioner Of Labor Dawson 11570 226 11131 219 0.019533275713050993 0.019674782139969454 0.7401358
822146
Commissioner Of Labor DeKalb 310968 8118 291296 7459 0.026105579995369298 0.025606256179281556 1.142
1846292792532e-10
Commissioner Of Labor Decatur 9043 227 8495 211 0.02510228906336393 0.024838140082401414 0.602424688
7862326
Commissioner Of Labor Dodge 7051 299 6603 283 0.042405332576939445 0.04285930637588975 0.55908982178
62827
Commissioner Of Labor Dooly 3793 156 3597 150 0.041128394410756657 0.041701417848206836 0.5883820496
63891
Commissioner Of Labor Dougherty 31297 674 29353 638 0.02153561044189539 0.02173542738391306 0.389651
2928841446
Commissioner Of Labor Douglas 55197 852 51964 804 0.015435621501168542 0.015472250019244092 0.852359
5466196648
Commissioner Of Labor Early 4131 86 3658 73 0.020818203824739773 0.019956260251503554 0.359136683783
6408
Commissioner Of Labor Echols 1143 28 1108 28 0.024496937882764653 0.02527075812274368 0.828393429069
3437
Commissioner Of Labor Effingham 23365 414 22615 404 0.017718810186175903 0.017864249391996462 0.4420
7881864057286
Commissioner Of Labor Elbert 7319 188 6756 183 0.02568656920344309 0.02708703374777975 0.00567399006
7385288
Commissioner Of Labor Emanuel 7710 269 7320 259 0.03488975356679637 0.03538251366120219 0.3832437757
0915313
Commissioner Of Labor Evans 3447 118 3248 112 0.034232666086451986 0.034482758620689655 0.9445354393
746619
Commissioner Of Labor Fannin 11203 287 10545 268 0.025618137998750335 0.02541488857278331 0.65484627
44735842
Commissioner Of Labor Fayette 57962 1223 55315 1153 0.021100031054829025 0.020844255626864323 0.0652
4302953276344

Commissioner Of Labor Floyd 30225 672 28965 637 0.022233250620347395 0.021992059382012773 0.21066665
190756154
Commissioner Of Labor Forsyth 93239 1911 88811 1804 0.020495715312262037 0.02031279909020279 0.09229
919938398527
Commissioner Of Labor Franklin 8149 147 7761 143 0.01803902319302982 0.018425460636515914 0.32797441
44959712
Commissioner Of Labor Fulton 421806 11574 403964 10897 0.027439154492823716 0.026975176005782694 9.3
93862386157765e-17
Commissioner Of Labor Gilmer 12471 317 11912 313 0.025418972015074974 0.0262760241773002 0.002343995
4378141796
Commissioner Of Labor Glascock 1300 66 1219 63 0.05076923076923077 0.051681706316652996 0.7993324540
615825
Commissioner Of Labor Glynn 32501 750 30689 728 0.02307621303959878 0.023721854736224707 0.000769649
3050762975
Commissioner Of Labor Gordon 17772 485 17225 467 0.02729011928876885 0.02711175616835994 0.479642405
6365982
Commissioner Of Labor Grady 8356 164 7956 152 0.019626615605552896 0.019105077928607342 0.1881058582
1613335
Commissioner Of Labor Greene 8989 167 8366 151 0.018578262320614086 0.018049246951948362 0.232758859
41306124
Commissioner Of Labor Gwinnett 312709 5674 292312 5354 0.01814466484814956 0.018316045868797724 0.00
6115840152886886
Commissioner Of Labor Habersham 15495 369 14642 353 0.023814133591481122 0.02410872831580385 0.38193
009948996265
Commissioner Of Labor Hall 67282 1410 63789 1333 0.020956570851044857 0.020897019862358715 0.6783653
617482358
Commissioner Of Labor Hancock 3539 171 3049 154 0.04831873410567957 0.050508363397835356 0.152636762
83021027
Commissioner Of Labor Haralson 10585 181 10192 178 0.017099669343410485 0.017464678178963893 0.18284
510926983782
Commissioner Of Labor Harris 15972 309 15243 291 0.019346356123215627 0.019090730171226138 0.3463550
204715251
Commissioner Of Labor Hart 9618 294 9178 283 0.03056768558951965 0.030834604488995422 0.600326915760
1676
Commissioner Of Labor Heard 4051 94 3773 86 0.023204147124166873 0.02279353299761463 0.6319846961262
696
Commissioner Of Labor Henry 98365 1662 93368 1551 0.01689625374879276 0.01661168708765316 0.00468934
8830035048
Commissioner Of Labor Houston 59158 1002 55968 932 0.01693769228168633 0.016652372784448255 0.034423
185162220336
Commissioner Of Labor Irwin 3556 94 3423 91 0.026434195725534307 0.026584867075664622 1.059441274021
2533
Commissioner Of Labor Jackson 26889 463 25870 448 0.017218937111830115 0.017317356010823348 0.635223

1566706364
Commissioner Of Labor Jasper 5907 133 5486 122 0.022515659387167767 0.022238425082026978 0.697483525
5481626
Commissioner Of Labor Jeff Davis 4815 182 4586 173 0.03779854620976116 0.037723506323593545 1.004059
9541878619
Commissioner Of Labor Jefferson 6756 258 6149 238 0.03818827708703375 0.03870548056594568 0.56427822
92003691
Commissioner Of Labor Jenkins 2856 84 2668 77 0.029411764705882353 0.02886056971514243 0.62927693250
98755
Commissioner Of Labor Johnson 3483 138 3247 124 0.03962101636520241 0.03818909762858023 0.1624211176
8834344
Commissioner Of Labor Jones 12432 274 11648 252 0.02203989703989704 0.021634615384615384 0.289769824
5907884
Commissioner Of Labor Lamar 7346 154 6942 146 0.020963789817587803 0.021031403053874964 1.0497606723
109238
Commissioner Of Labor Lanier 2679 101 2571 98 0.03770063456513625 0.03811746402178141 0.822945884516
5297
Commissioner Of Labor Laurens 18939 521 17653 482 0.027509372194941654 0.02730414093921713 0.5699454
00199552
Commissioner Of Labor Lee 13549 227 13069 218 0.016754003985533988 0.01668069477389242 0.82680062461
65223
Commissioner Of Labor Liberty 15358 258 14408 239 0.01679906237791379 0.01658800666296502 0.49456025
07691656
Commissioner Of Labor Lincoln 3967 102 3617 97 0.025712125031509957 0.026817804810616533 0.203534665
02022266
Commissioner Of Labor Long 3988 120 3819 117 0.03009027081243731 0.030636292223095052 0.485750599073
80325
Commissioner Of Labor Lowndes 35212 636 33322 591 0.018062024309894353 0.017736030250285098 0.073861
49195070599
Commissioner Of Labor Lumpkin 11551 252 11084 240 0.021816292961648342 0.021652832912306026 0.643454
0134048148
Commissioner Of Labor Macon 4217 192 3886 180 0.04552999762864596 0.04632012352032939 0.491407164729
7251
Commissioner Of Labor Madison 11697 285 11170 278 0.024365221851756862 0.024888093106535364 0.105240
81180018868
Commissioner Of Labor Marion 2930 84 2689 83 0.028668941979522185 0.030866493120119003 0.01162623893
759484
Commissioner Of Labor McDuffie 8792 171 7924 150 0.019449499545040945 0.018929833417465926 0.3462276
144484205
Commissioner Of Labor McIntosh 5408 152 4968 139 0.028106508875739646 0.027979066022544283 0.9362738
800740796
Commissioner Of Labor Meriwether 8639 209 8156 196 0.024192614885982174 0.024031387935262383 0.77288
68021541464

Commissioner Of Labor Miller 2313 107 2181 101 0.04626026805015132 0.04630903255387437 1.16087801242
71472
Commissioner Of Labor Mitchell 7446 156 6882 143 0.020950846091861403 0.02077884335948852 0.80359639
30980197
Commissioner Of Labor Monroe 12932 326 12236 316 0.025208784410763997 0.02582543314808761 0.06517589
192503108
Commissioner Of Labor Montgomery 3528 128 3317 122 0.036281179138321996 0.03678022309315647 0.692907
9882704491
Commissioner Of Labor Morgan 9554 241 9144 225 0.02522503663387063 0.024606299212598427 0.1103440413
954272
Commissioner Of Labor Murray 11091 314 10826 299 0.02831124335046434 0.027618695732495844 0.01692100
7071457186
Commissioner Of Labor Muscogee 63141 1294 58719 1209 0.02049381542896058 0.02058958769733817 0.57990
13118970682
Commissioner Of Labor Newton 43129 870 40394 803 0.0201720420134944 0.01987918997870971 0.1175077220
1370216
Commissioner Of Labor Oconee 20733 506 19802 483 0.024405537066512325 0.02439147560852439 1.01326929
99636848
Commissioner Of Labor Oglethorpe 6484 204 6075 196 0.03146206045650833 0.032263374485596706 0.189710
4477377537
Commissioner Of Labor Paulding 61222 1132 57915 1059 0.01849008526346738 0.018285418285418284 0.1375
1304343747006
Commissioner Of Labor Peach 10355 217 9910 205 0.020956059874456784 0.020686175580221997 0.447762879
07977724
Commissioner Of Labor Pickens 13362 354 13140 347 0.026493039964077234 0.026407914764079148 0.748389
4930102144
Commissioner Of Labor Pierce 6883 107 6556 104 0.015545546999854715 0.01586333129957291 0.4889138575
673547
Commissioner Of Labor Pike 8534 146 8230 142 0.017108038434497305 0.017253948967193195 0.80119793121
99574
Commissioner Of Labor Polk 12859 290 12412 284 0.0225522980013998 0.022881082823074442 0.23592657352
89281
Commissioner Of Labor Pulaski 3622 165 3390 152 0.04555494202098288 0.044837758112094395 0.512698959
7048461
Commissioner Of Labor Putnam 9321 207 8723 200 0.022207917605407145 0.022927891780350797 0.080124466
20766424
Commissioner Of Labor Quitman 940 51 880 43 0.05425531914893617 0.048863636363636366 0.0246941254149
00726
Commissioner Of Labor Rabun 7578 202 6735 174 0.026656109791501716 0.025835189309576838 0.2566971995
8189263
Commissioner Of Labor Randolph 2788 87 2475 75 0.031205164992826398 0.030303030303030304 0.532193769
1632392
Commissioner Of Labor Richmond 70043 1449 64729 1352 0.02068729209200063 0.020887083069412473 0.2095

9735264775886
Commissioner Of Labor Rockdale 36600 626 34747 595 0.017103825136612023 0.017123780470256426 0.99293
38763476395
Commissioner Of Labor Schley 1931 55 1835 55 0.02848265147591921 0.02997275204359673 0.1161906001917
1064
Commissioner Of Labor Screven 5407 179 5136 170 0.033105233955982985 0.033099688473520246 1.09201860
01350891
Commissioner Of Labor Seminole 3214 80 2950 72 0.024891101431238332 0.02440677966101695 0.6676739038
433619
Commissioner Of Labor Spalding 24385 662 23102 614 0.027147836784908754 0.02657778547311921 0.031466
828857502745
Commissioner Of Labor Stephens 9069 220 8533 206 0.024258462895578342 0.02414156803000117 0.85424931
91207154
Commissioner Of Labor Stewart 1784 152 1551 135 0.08520179372197309 0.08704061895551257 0.5655020496
055607
Commissioner Of Labor Sumter 10556 285 9679 259 0.026998863205759758 0.02675896270275855 0.674220668
504326
Commissioner Of Labor Talbot 2952 130 2667 108 0.04403794037940379 0.04049493813273341 0.01115260092
5096519
Commissioner Of Labor Taliaferro 917 83 755 66 0.09051254089422028 0.08741721854304636 0.56694770202
91772
Commissioner Of Labor Tattnall 6639 202 6247 195 0.030426269016418137 0.031214983191932128 0.1656651
1709398007
Commissioner Of Labor Taylor 3265 80 3022 73 0.02450229709035222 0.02415618795499669 2 0.771377468520
2974
Commissioner Of Labor Telfair 3631 148 3300 140 0.04076012117873864 0.04242424242424243 0.1315820309
0844894
Commissioner Of Labor Terrell 3930 126 3603 120 0.03206106870229008 0.03330557868442964 0.1781647522
0226904
Commissioner Of Labor Thomas 17241 382 16250 366 0.02215648744272374 0.022523076923076923 0.21810885
978307548
Commissioner Of Labor Tift 13669 390 13108 372 0.028531714097593093 0.02837961550198352 0.6756470108
01235
Commissioner Of Labor Toombs 8851 249 8170 223 0.02813241441645012 0.02729498164014688 0.13517759204
956065
Commissioner Of Labor Towns 6132 167 5848 159 0.027234181343770383 0.027188782489740083 1.0266273283
41716
Commissioner Of Labor Treutlen 2610 120 2464 109 0.04597701149425287 0.044237012987012984 0.13760492
291314372
Commissioner Of Labor Troup 23663 519 22399 482 0.02193297553142036 0.021518817804366267 0.092101945
91001158
Commissioner Of Labor Turner 3269 104 3121 103 0.03181401040073417 0.03300224287087472 0.09104894054
916372

Commissioner Of Labor Twiggs 3787 128 3512 120 0.03379984156324267 0.03416856492027335 0.81692287570
4607
Commissioner Of Labor Union 11863 267 11381 255 0.022506954396021243 0.022405763992619277 0.80393408
54154601
Commissioner Of Labor Upson 10558 250 9956 237 0.023678727031634778 0.023804740859783045 0.864684870
8165615
Commissioner Of Labor Walker 21451 525 20638 505 0.024474383478625704 0.024469425331912008 1.0463056
408162634
Commissioner Of Labor Walton 38635 771 36866 736 0.019955998447004013 0.019964194650897847 1.0476555
301150872
Commissioner Of Labor Ware 11004 198 10377 185 0.017993456924754635 0.017827888599787994 0.678542357
5220211
Commissioner Of Labor Warren 2260 84 2073 79 0.03716814159292035 0.038109020742884706 0.582831478310
848
Commissioner Of Labor Washington 8159 358 7432 332 0.04387792621644809 0.044671689989235736 0.304667
16987234355
Commissioner Of Labor Wayne 10122 274 9621 265 0.027069749061450307 0.027543914354017252 0.244238757
5747966
Commissioner Of Labor Webster 1100 31 948 28 0.028181818181818183 0.029535864978902954 0.71918149850
97557
Commissioner Of Labor Wheeler 1927 56 1812 56 0.029060716139076286 0.03090507726269316 0.06053953572
635422
Commissioner Of Labor White 11434 219 10897 208 0.019153402133986357 0.01908782233642287 0.907500995
2729007
Commissioner Of Labor Whitfield 27302 737 26285 713 0.026994359387590653 0.027125737112421534 0.5734
012454079105
Commissioner Of Labor Wilcox 2815 86 2610 79 0.030550621669627 0.030268199233716476 0.87573781555039
61
Commissioner Of Labor Wilkes 4371 144 4070 130 0.032944406314344546 0.03194103194103194 0.2358355688
5543241
Commissioner Of Labor Wilkinson 4264 167 3994 157 0.039165103189493435 0.03930896344516775 1.0158584
550605307
Commissioner Of Labor Worth 7831 175 7526 170 0.022347082109564553 0.02258836035078395 0.63448805051
47468
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Appling 6806 209 6276 192 0.030708198648251542
0.030592734225621414 0.9254447934484417
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Atkinson 2520 122 2432 122 0.04841269841269841
4 0.05016447368421053 0.02344920419883716
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Bacon 3829 109 3655 106 0.028466962653434316
0.029001367989056087 0.5223390915761899
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Baker 1291 70 1150 62 0.054221533694810226 0.0
5391304347826087 1.0084551242101076
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Baldwin 15633 486 14475 462 0.0310880829015544

04 0.03191709844559586 0.03483727408540803
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Banks 6852 124 6629 119 0.018096906012842966
0.01795142555438226 0.756437111256961
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Barrow 27398 478 26135 450 0.01744652894371852
0.017218289649894778 0.23354041068133985
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Bartow 37327 629 35759 608 0.01685107294987542
7 0.017002712603820018 0.32354622849839587
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Ben Hill 5533 109 5213 101 0.01969998192662208
6 0.019374640322271246 0.5892907233421034
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Berrien 6247 155 5925 149 0.024811909716664 0.
025147679324894513 0.6103228790651312
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Bibb 60460 1306 55999 1247 0.02160105855110817
2 0.022268254790264112 2.6369102642548318e-05
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Bleckley 4855 149 4598 141 0.03069001029866117
2 0.030665506742061765 1.0730445693487998
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Brantley 5689 142 5501 139 0.02496044999121111
0.025268133066715142 0.6060297020735721
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Brooks 5696 126 5231 118 0.02212078651685393
0.02255782833110304 0.576962084783079
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Bryan 14970 251 14388 239 0.01676686706746827
0.016611064776202392 0.5442152496885333
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Bulloch 23543 564 22467 536 0.0239561653145308
58 0.023857212800997018 0.7059365881811132
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Burke 8719 191 7751 171 0.02190618190159422 0.
022061669462004902 0.892027621180314
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Butts 8863 181 8483 176 0.02042197901387792 0.
020747377107155487 0.41067209887672146
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Calhoun 1899 45 1714 41 0.023696682464454975
0.023920653442240373 1.0997313994724436
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Camden 17053 326 15860 308 0.01911687093180085
6 0.019419924337957126 0.34629268116116396
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Candler 3538 106 3418 103 0.029960429621254947
0.03013458162668227 1.0243903128269076
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Carroll 41739 740 40221 709 0.0177292220704856
38 0.017627607468735238 0.4681343795085505
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Catoosa 23725 419 23185 408 0.0176606954689146
47 0.01759758464524477 0.7160023942521647
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Charlton 3369 90 3206 85 0.026714158504007122
0.026512788521522147 0.8850924142668659
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Chatham 103338 2322 97161 2173 0.0224699529698
65876 0.022364940665493355 0.3884404296668458
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Chattahoochee 1102 43 1067 42 0.03901996370235
935 0.03936269915651359 1.1986723818034077

Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Chattooga 7427 187 7202 184 0.0251784031237377
13 0.025548458761455152 0.3517328230062613
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Cherokee 106299 1964 100987 1864 0.01847618510
051835 0.018457821303732164 0.8757433291222609
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Clarke 43261 1018 40239 959 0.0235315873419477
13 0.023832600213723003 0.14278080817561464
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Clay 1186 44 1043 38 0.03709949409780776 0.036
4333652924257 0.8827356216255784
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Clayton 91840 1477 85993 1342 0.01608231707317
0732 0.015605921412207971 3.814075665245147e-05
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Clinch 2254 90 2145 88 0.03992901508429459 0.0
41025641025641026 0.35621103101305374
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Cobb 310381 5372 284480 4883 0.017307760462141
69 0.017164651293588302 0.047478911357229925
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Coffee 12595 350 12200 343 0.02778880508138150
2 0.028114754098360655 0.2740178169262409
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Colquitt 12953 410 12439 402 0.031652898942329
96 0.03231771042688319 0.03199943247636296
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Columbia 61591 965 58655 917 0.015667873552954
164 0.015633790810672576 0.803120023930895
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Cook 5803 102 5559 100 0.01757711528519731 0.0
17988846914912753 0.3801404845351668
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Coweta 58056 988 55244 934 0.01701805153644756
6 0.016906813409601044 0.3951321120489947
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Crawford 4931 116 4697 112 0.02352464003244778
0.02384500745156483 0.6966240816035223
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Crisp 7024 263 6661 252 0.03744305239179954 0.
03783215733373367 0.57022327175284
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Dade 5450 204 5321 194 0.03743119266055046 0.0
3645931215936854 0.04423540062189183
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Dawson 11570 180 11131 177 0.01555747623163353
4 0.0159015362501123 0.17042796741281618
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta DeKalb 310968 6618 291296 6149 0.0212819325461
1407 0.02110911238053389 0.012170672725772132
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Decatur 9043 214 8495 201 0.023664713037708725
0.023660977045320778 1.075979295480614
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Dodge 7051 310 6603 295 0.043965394979435544
0.0446766621232773 0.31694517880674433
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Dooly 3793 147 3597 142 0.038755602425520695
0.03947734222963581 0.4368822628935295
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Dougherty 31297 682 29353 639 0.02179122599610
186 0.021769495451912924 0.9644683976238924
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Douglas 55197 736 51964 686 0.0133340580103991

17 0.013201447155723193 0.3123525624457475
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Early 4131 89 3658 75 0.021544420237230695 0.0
2050300710770913 0.26798559692419754
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Echols 1143 31 1108 31 0.02712160979877515 0.0
27978339350180504 0.7527406744203812
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Effingham 23365 402 22615 386 0.01720522148512
7327 0.01706831748839266 0.4466408993367449
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Elbert 7319 195 6756 191 0.02664298401420959
0.02827116637063351 0.001082690315701129
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Emanuel 7710 271 7320 261 0.03514915693904021
0.035655737704918034 0.3684336584677408
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Evans 3447 124 3248 119 0.03597331012474616 0.
036637931034482756 0.5365832148556765
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Fannin 11203 234 10545 222 0.02088726234044452
3 0.021052631578947368 0.753689530020197
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Fayette 57962 1068 55315 1007 0.01842586522204
2027 0.018204826900479074 0.08978688892748649
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Floyd 30225 654 28965 622 0.02163771712158809
0.021474192991541515 0.39694697797835965
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Forsyth 93239 1665 88811 1551 0.01785733437724
5574 0.017464052876332885 0.00015176334372228606
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Franklin 8149 146 7761 143 0.01791630874953982
0.018425460636515914 0.15482367045283507
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Fulton 421806 9898 403964 9295 0.0234657638819
7418 0.023009476091928983 1.990369173169821e-18
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Gilmer 12471 308 11912 303 0.02469729773073530
6 0.02543653458697112 0.01017729754784005
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Glascock 1300 60 1219 58 0.046153846153846156
0.0475799835931091 0.526004309334265
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Glynn 32501 672 30689 647 0.02067628688348051
0.021082472547166737 0.0337464274848466
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Gordon 17772 441 17225 423 0.02481431465226198
5 0.02455732946298984 0.2750872070975371
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Grady 8356 167 7956 157 0.019985639061752034
0.01973353443941679 0.5563781315136543
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Greene 8989 140 8366 128 0.015574591166981866
0.015300023906287354 0.5270535654160307
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Gwinnett 312709 5075 292312 4762 0.01622914594
7190517 0.016290812556446536 0.31505600822268853
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Habersham 15495 357 14642 339 0.02303969022265
2467 0.023152574784865456 0.8108596788110205
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Hall 67282 1320 63789 1257 0.01961891739246752
3 0.01970559187320698 0.5357867177505299

Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Hancock 3539 156 3049 140 0.04408024865781294 0.045916693998032145 0.22102745958295655
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Haralson 10585 214 10192 208 0.020217288615965 99 0.02040816326530612 0.6261503168233589
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Harris 15972 291 15243 279 0.01821938392186326 0.01830348356622712 0.8559899792430374
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Hart 9618 266 9178 260 0.027656477438136828 0. 028328611898016998 0.0730189961531744
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Heard 4051 87 3773 83 0.02147617872130338 0.02 1998409753511795 0.5534291536074613
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Henry 98365 1470 93368 1363 0.0149443399583185 07 0.014598149258846714 0.00030677849516891066
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Houston 59158 883 55968 816 0.0149261300246796 7 0.014579759862778732 0.006821517819992047
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Irwin 3556 94 3423 93 0.026434195725534307 0.0 2716914986853637 0.25167796990499486
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Jackson 26889 437 25870 420 0.0162519989586819 88 0.01623502126014689 0.9819812002937104
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Jasper 5907 105 5486 100 0.017775520568816656 0.01822821728034998 0.4615034597768304
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Jeff Davis 4815 201 4586 193 0.041744548286604 365 0.04208460532054078 0.7516808967512317
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Jefferson 6756 237 6149 215 0.0350799289520426 3 0.03496503496503497 0.9384682764649193
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Jenkins 2856 76 2668 72 0.02661064425770308 0. 026986506746626688 0.8633944395644235
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Johnson 3483 143 3247 130 0.0410565604364054 0.04003695719125346 0.3363752820770588
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Jones 12432 255 11648 235 0.020511583011583012 0.020175137362637364 0.3688211809698009
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Lamar 7346 143 6942 136 0.019466376259188675 0.01959089599539038 0.9348041829756182
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Lanier 2679 92 2571 88 0.03434117207913401 0.0 34227926876701675 1.026441369608631
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Laurens 18939 530 17653 497 0.0279845820793072 5 0.028153854868860816 0.6772952820273409
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Lee 13549 208 13069 200 0.015351686471326297 0.015303389700818732 0.9148574052590477
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Liberty 15358 244 14408 228 0.0158874853496549 02 0.015824541921154914 0.8834935446829233
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Lincoln 3967 96 3617 95 0.02419964708847996 0. 026264860381531654 0.0026535208505333078
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Long 3988 122 3819 120 0.030591775325977934 0.

031421838177533384 0.20454495005519857
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Lowndes 35212 601 33322 569 0.0170680449846643
2 0.017075805773963146 1.0560552546617912
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Lumpkin 11551 216 11084 207 0.0186996796814128
64 0.018675568386863947 1.0232004831129986
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Macon 4217 178 3886 166 0.042210101968223855
0.04271744724652599 0.6980857551672472
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Madison 11697 279 11170 272 0.0238522698127725
04 0.024350940017905102 0.12177094627647066
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Marion 2930 81 2689 77 0.02764505119453925 0.0
2863518036444775 0.3806764052700257
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta McDuffie 8792 166 7924 154 0.01888080072793448
7 0.019434628975265017 0.305444539490649
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta McIntosh 5408 150 4968 139 0.02773668639053254
4 0.027979066022544283 0.8616540796484612
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Meriwether 8639 207 8156 199 0.023961106609561
293 0.02439921530161844 0.348248976072542
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Miller 2313 114 2181 108 0.04928664072632944
0.04951856946354883 1.042880680668824
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Mitchell 7446 164 6882 156 0.0220252484555466
0.022667829119442023 0.23370259893675166
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Monroe 12932 290 12236 285 0.02242499226724404
4 0.023291925465838508 0.002708709419458632
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Montgomery 3528 124 3317 119 0.035147392290249
435 0.03587579137775098 0.4751254319330232
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Morgan 9554 208 9144 199 0.02177098597446096
0.021762904636920384 1.0771954633157568
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Murray 11091 313 10826 301 0.02822108015508069
7 0.02780343617217809 0.14527811825180753
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Muscogee 63141 1165 58719 1094 0.0184507689140
17833 0.018631107477988386 0.2396873841251743
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Newton 43129 766 40394 724 0.01776067147395024
2 0.01792345397831361 0.3651655457978468
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Oconee 20733 453 19802 433 0.02184922587179858
0.021866478133521865 1.055579621805487
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Oglethorpe 6484 155 6075 149 0.023904996915484
27 0.024526748971193415 0.266783290780498
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Paulding 61222 986 57915 925 0.016105321616412
4 0.015971682638349305 0.3040519329955258
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Peach 10355 210 9910 201 0.020280057943022695
0.020282542885973764 1.103811601966949
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Pickens 13362 303 13140 297 0.0226762460709474
64 0.022602739726027398 0.7802036087309967

Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Pierce 6883 122 6556 119 0.017724829289553972 0.01815131177547285 0.322542856167071
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Pike 8534 133 8230 130 0.015584719943754394 0.015795868772782502 0.5935748502594316
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Polk 12859 264 12412 259 0.020530367835757058 0.02086690299709958 0.1977523758464558
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Pulaski 3622 162 3390 150 0.0447266703478741 0.04424778761061947 0.6846338388841028
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Putnam 9321 177 8723 169 0.018989378822014805 0.019374068554396422 0.3809675956537764
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Quitman 940 54 880 45 0.0574468085106383 0.05113636363636364 0.010439706867671442
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Rabun 7578 178 6735 153 0.023489047242016364 0.02271714922048998 0.25966067001091087
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Randolph 2788 100 2475 86 0.035868005738880916 0.03474747474747475 0.4522814853707721
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Richmond 70043 1462 64729 1345 0.020872892366117956 0.020778939887840072 0.5711053484975658
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Rockdale 36600 566 34747 542 0.01546448087431694 0.015598468932569718 0.4276346108051804
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Schley 1931 57 1835 57 0.029518384256861728 0.03106267029972752 0.10460027814609907
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Screven 5407 168 5136 163 0.03107083410393934 0.03173676012461059 0.2896221362771091
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Seminole 3214 67 2950 62 0.020846297448662104 0.021016949152542375 1.0477245680801428
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Spalding 24385 665 23102 620 0.027270863235595653 0.026837503246472168 0.10181821892902028
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Stephens 9069 206 8533 191 0.022714742529496085 0.022383686862768076 0.47343805780427545
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Stewart 1784 139 1551 124 0.07791479820627803 0.07994842037395229 0.49570133212753414
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Sumter 10556 300 9679 275 0.028419856006062904 0.028412026035747495 1.049587668942012
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Talbot 2952 146 2667 123 0.0494579945799458 0.04611923509561305 0.022383985108337386
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Taliaferro 917 80 755 63 0.08724100327153762 0.08344370860927153 0.45979940314241935
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Tattnall 6639 222 6247 217 0.033438770899231814 0.0347366736033296 0.015429884282350719
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Taylor 3265 80 3022 76 0.02450229709035222 0.02514890800794176 0.5554567146380615
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Telfair 3631 144 3300 141 0.039658496282015974

0.042727272727272725 0.0010761866444948768
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Terrell 3930 115 3603 114 0.029262086513994912
0.03164029975020816 0.0009252207695899329
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Thomas 17241 373 16250 360 0.02163447595847108
7 0.022153846153846152 0.06116311093478877
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Tift 13669 366 13108 357 0.02677591630697198
0.02723527616722612 0.1253186024247169
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Toombs 8851 266 8170 245 0.03005310134448085
0.029987760097919217 0.9688506208736575
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Towns 6132 171 5848 162 0.027886497064579255
0.027701778385772913 0.7913865460967366
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Treutlen 2610 109 2464 98 0.04176245210727969
0.03977272727272727 0.07694426813047514
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Troup 23663 504 22399 473 0.021299074504500696
0.02111701415241752 0.4650765060026326
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Turner 3269 101 3121 100 0.030896298562251453
0.03204101249599487 0.1024869060266126
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Twiggs 3787 121 3512 117 0.031951412727752836
0.033314350797266516 0.10651299103259729
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Union 11863 272 11381 259 0.022928432942763213
0.022757226957209383 0.6269133504108859
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Upson 10558 220 9956 209 0.020837279787838607
0.02099236641221374 0.7886303503667135
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Walker 21451 439 20638 421 0.02046524637546035
3 0.02039926349452466 0.8001853113624319
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Walton 38635 689 36866 661 0.01783357059660929
3 0.0179297998155482 0.5879624587097385
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Ware 11004 206 10377 179 0.01872046528535078
0.017249686807362438 8.483141361476435e-05
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Warren 2260 81 2073 77 0.03584070796460177 0.0
371442354076218 0.37000431416738805
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Washington 8159 336 7432 311 0.041181517342811
62 0.04184607104413348 0.38849929895818364
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Wayne 10122 274 9621 261 0.027069749061450307
0.027128157156220765 1.0183579040593598
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Webster 1100 38 948 32 0.034545454545454546 0.
03375527426160337 0.862815778788582
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Wheeler 1927 46 1812 46 0.023871302542812663
0.025386313465783666 0.11394597381312287
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta White 11434 189 10897 182 0.016529648417001924
0.01670184454437001 0.6624988841931724
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Whitfield 27302 641 26285 622 0.02347813347007
5453 0.02366368651322047 0.35744458091730147

Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Wilcox 2815 79 2610 74 0.028063943161634103 0.028352490421455937 0.9593432621537887
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Wilkes 4371 146 4070 137 0.033401967513154884 0.03366093366093366 0.8886538257438112
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Wilkinson 4264 165 3994 158 0.0386960600375234 54 0.039559339008512766 0.337104462822968
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Worth 7831 179 7526 173 0.022857872557783168 0.022986978474621313 0.8988030857136999
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Appling 6806 226 6276 210 0.03320599471054952 0.0334 60803059273424 0.8054904187792586
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Atkinson 2520 132 2432 132 0.05238095238095238 0.054 276315789473686 0.016122961340751463
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Bacon 3829 118 3655 115 0.03081744580830504 0.031463 74829001368 0.4136270649993572
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Baker 1291 69 1150 61 0.053446940356312936 0.0530434 7826086957 0.9738962828133593
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Baldwin 15633 452 14475 433 0.02891319644342097 0.02 9913644214162347 0.006591322499004788
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Banks 6852 127 6629 124 0.018534734384121423 0.01870 568713229748 0.8047273007916005
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Barrow 27398 477 26135 449 0.01741002992919191 0.017 18002678400612 0.22940550742667565
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Bartow 37327 666 35759 646 0.017842312535162216 0.01 806538214155877 0.134702198867361
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Ben Hill 5533 109 5213 102 0.019699981926622086 0.01 956646844427393 0.8884472670232344
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Berrien 6247 148 5925 144 0.023691371858492075 0.024 30379746835443 0.22710873920044516
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Bibb 60460 1304 55999 1239 0.021567978828977836 0.02 2125395096341007 0.0005451911597622057
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Bleckley 4855 156 4598 148 0.032131822863027806 0.03 218790778599391 1.1103478666393036
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Brantley 5689 164 5501 160 0.028827561961680435 0.02 9085620796218868 0.7261992993684885
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Brooks 5696 144 5231 132 0.025280898876404494 0.0252 34180844962723 1.0299899941910269
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Bryan 14970 276 14388 265 0.01843687374749499 0.0184 18126216291353 1.0202278225083454
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Bulloch 23543 571 22467 545 0.024253493607441702 0.0 24257800329371967 1.0732926005375494
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Burke 8719 214 7751 197 0.024544099093932792 0.02541 6075345116758 0.15903705275728275
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Butts 8863 181 8483 171 0.02042197901387792 0.020157

962984793117 0.4983825292603701
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Calhoun 1899 46 1714 42 0.02422327540810953 0.024504
084014002333 1.0601151460102496
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Camden 17053 319 15860 300 0.018706385973142555 0.01
8915510718789406 0.5450897590784112
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Candler 3538 97 3418 94 0.027416619559072923 0.02750
1462843768285 1.1613193761784721
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Carroll 41739 734 40221 697 0.017585471621265482 0.0
17329255861365953 0.060075556487047446
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Catoosa 23725 454 23185 444 0.019135932560590097 0.0
1915031270217813 1.0811050599217862
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Charlton 3369 99 3206 93 0.029385574354407838 0.0290
08109794135994 0.6911079576182113
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Chatham 103338 2306 97161 2155 0.022315121252588593
0.022179681147785634 0.26198186206711227
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Chattahoochee 1102 35 1067 35 0.03176043557168784 0.
03280224929709466 0.6347021757150405
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Chattooga 7427 199 7202 195 0.026794129527400026 0.0
2707581227436823 0.5469323520529134
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Cherokee 106299 1991 100987 1880 0.01873018560851936
7 0.018616257538098962 0.2548249894278961
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Clarke 43261 1057 40239 996 0.02443309216153117 0.02
475210616566018 0.1258436748503774
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Clay 1186 43 1043 35 0.03625632377740304 0.033557046
97986577 0.27180383270616326
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Clayton 91840 1600 85993 1473 0.017421602787456445
0.017129301222192504 0.013384911573349636
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Clinch 2254 97 2145 95 0.04303460514640639 0.0442890
4428904429 0.2815018577460857
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Cobb 310381 5389 284480 4791 0.017362531856009226 0.
01684125421822272 2.0081819532474214e-12
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Coffee 12595 384 12200 378 0.030488289003572845 0.03
0983606557377048 0.08031946812609643
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Colquitt 12953 426 12439 413 0.032888134023006256 0.
033202025886325266 0.3950841915491175
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Columbia 61591 963 58655 911 0.015635401276160477 0.
015531497741028044 0.3902133060252711
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Cook 5803 119 5559 117 0.020506634499396863 0.021046
950890447922 0.23287667687912428
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Coweta 58056 1048 55244 987 0.018051536447567865 0.0
1786619361378611 0.1628659628535605
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Crawford 4931 136 4697 131 0.027580612451835327 0.02
7890142644241004 0.7352351367354804

Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Crisp 7024 265 6661 250 0.03772779043280182 0.037531
90211679928 0.7912783738379694
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Dade 5450 187 5321 175 0.03431192660550459 0.0328885
54782935536 0.002916133789568996
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Dawson 11570 193 11131 191 0.016681071737251512 0.01
7159284880064685 0.04136901059191216
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western DeKalb 310968 7052 291296 6574 0.022677574541431916
0.022568109414478742 0.12264575517350763
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Decatur 9043 203 8495 194 0.022448302554462014 0.022
836962919364334 0.4114108394989715
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Dodge 7051 316 6603 304 0.04481633810806978 0.046039
67893381796 0.06090673415279711
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Dooly 3793 170 3597 161 0.04481940416556815 0.044759
52182374201 1.0415674011791416
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Dougherty 31297 687 29353 638 0.021950985717480907
0.02173542738391306 0.34984639020223696
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Douglas 55197 740 51964 685 0.013406525716977372 0.0
13182203063659456 0.0859985864728365
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Early 4131 101 3658 85 0.024449285887194385 0.023236
741388737013 0.21904286105509319
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Echols 1143 36 1108 36 0.031496062992125984 0.032490
974729241874 0.6413169146224094
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Effingham 23365 421 22615 403 0.018018403595120906
0.01782003095290736 0.26868027138138983
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Elbert 7319 226 6756 220 0.030878535319032653 0.0325
6364712847839 0.002032951582612749
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Emanuel 7710 286 7320 273 0.037094682230869 0.037295
081967213116 0.8183761770801574
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Evans 3447 140 3248 135 0.040615027560197275 0.04156
403940886699 0.34029955221063424
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Fannin 11203 294 10545 277 0.026242970632866198 0.02
626837363679469 1.0744290948116275
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Fayette 57962 1018 55315 952 0.01756323108243332 0.0
17210521558347645 0.006094672951580157
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Floyd 30225 671 28965 641 0.022200165425971877 0.022
130157086138445 0.7457274302067718
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Forsyth 93239 1656 88811 1533 0.017760808245476677
0.017261375280089176 1.8837798464975777e-06
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Franklin 8149 149 7761 144 0.018284452080009818 0.01
8554310011596443 0.5601609085536978
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Fulton 421806 10398 403964 9746 0.02465114294249015
0.02412591220999891 1.173090842874586e-22
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Gilmer 12471 311 11912 301 0.024937855825515196 0.02

5268636668905307 0.34057429430248476
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Glascock 1300 67 1219 65 0.05153846153846154 0.05332
239540607055 0.393558468869634
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Glynn 32501 649 30689 618 0.019968616350266144 0.020
13750855355339 0.42310815660732837
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Gordon 17772 485 17225 464 0.02729011928876885 0.026
937590711175616 0.14787927263825196
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Grady 8356 169 7956 160 0.02022498803255146 0.020110
608345902465 0.8407083319994644
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Greene 8989 161 8366 144 0.017910779842029146 0.0172
12526894573272 0.10713206483891735
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Gwinnett 312709 5171 292312 4829 0.01653614062914722
5 0.016520019704972768 0.8051367655959298
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Habersham 15495 358 14642 338 0.023104227170054857
0.02308427810408414 1.0122663028762025
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Hall 67282 1344 63789 1272 0.01997562498142148 0.019
94074213422377 0.8171570638734527
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Hancock 3539 167 3049 151 0.0471884713195818 0.04952
443424073467 0.11887908051775431
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Haralson 10585 214 10192 206 0.02021728861596599 0.0
2021193092621664 1.0864801433358255
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Harris 15972 292 15243 274 0.01828199348860506 0.017
97546414747753 0.24236040515255847
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Hart 9618 284 9178 276 0.029527968392597213 0.030071
91109174112 0.18299005779717126
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Heard 4051 91 3773 87 0.022463589237225377 0.0230585
74078982243 0.4814567376073515
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Henry 98365 1498 93368 1369 0.01522899405276267 0.01
466241110444692 1.156010345915356e-08
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Houston 59158 916 55968 857 0.015483958213597484 0.0
1531232132647227 0.18432577933394206
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Irwin 3556 89 3423 88 0.025028121484814397 0.0257084
42886356996 0.2928814702730029
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Jackson 26889 458 25870 442 0.01703298746699394 0.01
7085427135678392 0.8604798787546384
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Jasper 5907 111 5486 102 0.018791264601320468 0.0185
9278162595698 0.7885853504297384
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Jeff Davis 4815 197 4586 190 0.04091381100726895 0.0
4143044047099869 0.5419296903754992
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Jefferson 6756 272 6149 256 0.04026050917702783 0.04
1632785818832334 0.0746451471338513
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Jenkins 2856 85 2668 78 0.02976190476190476 0.029235
38230884558 0.6510448288617523

Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Johnson 3483 146 3247 133 0.04191788687912719 0.0409
6088697259008 0.3739981805513459
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Jones 12432 264 11648 240 0.021235521235521235 0.020
604395604395604 0.09048584097117787
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Lamar 7346 153 6942 141 0.02082766131227879 0.020311
14952463267 0.2713729615006901
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Lanier 2679 104 2571 100 0.03882045539380366 0.03889
5371450797356 1.1798596105129258
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Laurens 18939 574 17653 530 0.03030783040287238 0.03
0023225514076927 0.44014013339862756
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Lee 13549 217 13069 209 0.016015942135950992 0.01599
2042237355574 1.0104475279709573
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Liberty 15358 257 14408 243 0.016733949733038156 0.0
1686563020544142 0.7397947737960499
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Lincoln 3967 97 3617 93 0.024451726745651627 0.02571
191595244678 0.12180560809084388
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Long 3988 131 3819 129 0.03284854563691073 0.0337784
7604084839 0.15463710514728618
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Lowndes 35212 622 33322 581 0.01766443257980234 0.01
74359282155933 0.20649087103919786
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Lumpkin 11551 249 11084 238 0.021556575188295384 0.0
2147239263803681 0.8510427929384123
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Macon 4217 196 3886 183 0.04647853924590942 0.047092
12557900155 0.6268420351113634
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Madison 11697 294 11170 284 0.025134649910233394 0.0
25425246195165622 0.44354517250760017
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Marion 2930 93 2689 91 0.03174061433447099 0.0338415
7679434734 0.02765636651166906
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western McDuffie 8792 172 7924 159 0.019563239308462238 0.02
0065623422513883 0.3718745948389663
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western McIntosh 5408 155 4968 146 0.028661242603550297 0.02
938808373590982 0.355894289944239
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Meriwether 8639 219 8156 207 0.025350156268086583 0.
025380088278567924 1.0940121602665944
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Miller 2313 109 2181 103 0.04712494595763078 0.04722
604309949564 1.1385910463587023
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Mitchell 7446 156 6882 151 0.020950846091861403 0.02
1941296134844523 0.036672432668027076
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Monroe 12932 293 12236 283 0.022656974945870708 0.02
312847335730631 0.15486211657408733
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Montgomery 3528 140 3317 134 0.03968253968253968 0.0
4039794995477841 0.5131907229246476
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Morgan 9554 220 9144 204 0.023027004396064477 0.0223

0971128608924 0.05466533175230605
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Murray 11091 335 10826 324 0.030204670453520873 0.02
9927951228523923 0.3580275547171526
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Muscogee 63141 1274 58719 1188 0.020177064031295038
0.02023195217902212 0.7733221878202343
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Newton 43129 800 40394 757 0.01854900415033968 0.018
7404069911373 0.2880989761521879
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Oconee 20733 476 19802 447 0.02295856846573096 0.022
573477426522572 0.1202837055129326
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Oglethorpe 6484 172 6075 163 0.02652683528685996 0.0
2683127572016461 0.695117645501655
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Paulding 61222 994 57915 927 0.016235993597072947 0.
016006216006216007 0.0766314869492585
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Peach 10355 229 9910 218 0.022114920328343796 0.0219
9798183652876 0.792675496062349
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Pickens 13362 323 13140 316 0.024173027989821884 0.0
24048706240487064 0.5820561037769895
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Pierce 6883 115 6556 113 0.01670783088769432 0.01723
6119585112872 0.16728354434850806
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Pike 8534 145 8230 140 0.016990860089055544 0.017010
93560145808 1.1725803903565406
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Polk 12859 299 12412 295 0.023252196904891515 0.0237
67321946503384 0.03987970208163621
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Pulaski 3622 156 3390 144 0.04307012700165654 0.0424
7787610619469 0.591303853479195
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Putnam 9321 184 8723 177 0.019740371204806352 0.0202
91184225610454 0.1776297378552062
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Quitman 940 56 880 47 0.059574468085106386 0.0534090
90909090906 0.01342285417074256
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Rabun 7578 196 6735 172 0.025864344154130376 0.02553
8233110616183 0.6787100960207484
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Randolph 2788 93 2475 87 0.03335724533715925 0.03515
151515151515 0.17515856030177251
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Richmond 70043 1496 64729 1386 0.021358308467655584
0.02141234995133557 0.7766781701287755
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Rockdale 36600 549 34747 520 0.015 0.014965320747114
859 0.8679756248542636
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Schley 1931 59 1835 59 0.030554117037804248 0.032152
588555858314 0.09415527581014056
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Screven 5407 195 5136 189 0.036064361013501016 0.036
799065420560745 0.2675794565779546
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Seminole 3214 75 2950 67 0.023335407591785935 0.0227
1186440677966 0.5430594967203946

Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Spalding 24385 644 23102 598 0.02640967808078737 0.0
25885204744177992 0.04484866487087142
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Stephens 9069 204 8533 190 0.022494211048627193 0.02
2266494784952538 0.6402134380663298
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Stewart 1784 146 1551 135 0.08183856502242152 0.0870
4061895551257 0.0421204678975389
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Sumter 10556 289 9679 267 0.027377794619173928 0.027
585494369253023 0.7637525828603964
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Talbot 2952 149 2667 126 0.05047425474254742 0.04724
4094488188976 0.02836724592664313
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Taliaferro 917 82 755 67 0.08942202835332606 0.08874
172185430464 0.9750644110224309
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Tattnall 6639 233 6247 224 0.03509564693477933 0.035
85721146150152 0.21954603259521557
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Taylor 3265 96 3022 91 0.029402756508422664 0.030112
508272667107 0.5371054667862808
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Telfair 3631 154 3300 148 0.04241255852382264 0.0448
48484848484846 0.019424675730026663
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Terrell 3930 127 3603 123 0.032315521628498725 0.034
13821815154038 0.03078080554622569
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Thomas 17241 393 16250 377 0.022794501479032538 0.02
32 0.17241499512802666
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Tift 13669 393 13108 384 0.028751188821420734 0.0292
9508696978944 0.07142525881580374
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Toombs 8851 233 8170 215 0.026324709072421196 0.0263
1578947368421 1.0582709695304873
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Towns 6132 170 5848 163 0.027723418134377037 0.02787
277701778386 0.9322587028040169
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Treutlen 2610 114 2464 106 0.04367816091954023 0.043
01948051948052 0.6087605455069713
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Troup 23663 485 22399 451 0.02049613320373579 0.0201
3482744765391 0.1298792381630499
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Turner 3269 110 3121 109 0.0336494340776996 0.034924
70362063441 0.07170857879422024
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Twiggs 3787 135 3512 128 0.03564827039873251 0.03644
646924829157 0.4471770187750836
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Union 11863 293 11381 279 0.02469864283907949 0.0245
14541780159917 0.6090116230548572
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Upson 10558 255 9956 243 0.024152301572267474 0.0244
07392527119324 0.5958370915441289
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Walker 21451 485 20638 466 0.02260966854692089 0.022
57970733598217 0.9489688603052256
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Walton 38635 701 36866 666 0.018144169794228032 0.01

```
8065426137904846 0.6458197747078304
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Ware 11004 209 10377 194 0.01899309342057434 0.01869
5191288426328 0.4247145967232283
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Warren 2260 81 2073 78 0.03584070796460177 0.0376266
2807525326 0.17034714801558465
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Washington 8159 363 7432 337 0.044490746415001836 0.
04534445640473628 0.2678820104022079
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Wayne 10122 261 9621 249 0.025785417901600473 0.0258
80885562831305 0.9364324100734366
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Webster 1100 42 948 37 0.03818181818181818185 0.039029
535864978905 0.930897630835706
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Wheeler 1927 65 1812 62 0.033731188375713546 0.03421
633554083885 0.898138914021616
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western White 11434 198 10897 188 0.017316774532097255 0.017
252454804074516 0.9063220103137342
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Whitfield 27302 705 26285 688 0.02582228408175225 0.
02617462431044322 0.06556951727951156
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Wilcox 2815 80 2610 74 0.028419182948490232 0.028352
490421455937 1.0660068156500393
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Wilkes 4371 163 4070 150 0.03729123770304278 0.03685
5036855036855 0.6621223032847633
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Wilkinson 4264 175 3994 168 0.04104127579737336 0.04
206309464196294 0.2491133660137237
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Worth 7831 198 7526 192 0.025284127186821607 0.02551
1559925591284 0.6850700503010596
```

In [4]:
```
contests_sig
```

Out[4]:
```
Counter({'Lieutenant Governor': 101,
         'Secretary Of State': 4,
         'Attorney General': 4,
         'Commissioner Of Agriculture': 5,
         'Commissioner Of Insurance': 4,
         'State School Superintendent': 5,
         'Commissioner Of Labor': 2,
         'Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta': 4,
         'Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western': 4})
```

In [5]:
```
# version information
%load_ext version_information
%version_information scipy, numpy, csv, pandas, matplotlib, notebook, cryptorandom, permute
```

```
Loading extensions from ~/.ipython/extensions is deprecated. We recommend managing extensions like a
ny other Python packages, in site-packages.
```

```
/anaconda/lib/python3.6/site-packages/IPython/core/formatters.py:839: FormatterWarning: JSON expects
JSONable list/dict containers, not JSON strings
  FormatterWarning)
```

Out[5]:

Software	Version
Python	3.6.7 64bit [GCC 4.2.1 Compatible Clang 4.0.1 (tags/RELEASE_401/final)]
IPython	7.2.0
OS	Darwin 18.2.0 x86_64 i386 64bit
scipy	1.1.0
numpy	1.15.4
csv	1.0
pandas	0.23.1
matplotlib	3.0.2
notebook	5.7.4
cryptorandom	0.2
permute	0.1.alpha4
Sun Jan 06 12:23:30 2019 PST	

GA000905

APPENDIX IV

Vote distribution by machine in Winterville

For each contest, randomly partition votes onto 7 machines. Condition on the number of ballots cast per machine.

Test statistic is $\max_i |R_{im} - R_i|$, where R_{im} is the fraction of Republican votes cast on machine m in contest i, and R_i is the overall fraction of Republican votes in contest i.

Test for contests separately, and use Fisher's combining function for an overall test.

Compare results for the original data with results if D and R were swapped on machine 3.

In [1]:
```
%matplotlib inline
import math
import numpy as np
import scipy as sp
import scipy.optimize
from scipy.stats import hypergeom, binom, norm, chi2
from scipy import special
from cryptorandom.cryptorandom import SHA256
from cryptorandom import sample
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
import pandas as pd
import csv

seed = '2018CV313418 3463593937'  # case caption number [space] 10 rolls of 10-sided dice
prng = SHA256(seed)
```

In [2]:
```
votes = pd.read_csv('../../Data/winterville.csv')
votes.head()
```

Out[2]:

	Contest	Machine	Candidate	Party	Votes
0	Governor	0	B. KEMP (R)	R	40
1	Governor	0	S. ABRAMS (D)	D	73
2	Governor	0	T. METZ (L)	L	4
3	Governor	0	Write-in	W	0
4	Governor	1	B. KEMP (R)	R	51

In [3]:
```
statewide_contests = np.array(["Governor", "Lt Governor", "Secretary of State", \
                               "Attorney General", "Commissioner of Agriculture", \
                               "Commissioner of Insurance", "State School Superintendent", "Commissio
ner of Labor",
                               "PSC Eaton", "PSC Pridemore"])
```

In [4]:
```
# Number of voters per machine taken from poll tape summary
num_voters_per_machine = [117, 135, 131, 133, 135, 144, 135]  # double-checked
cum_voters_per_machine = np.cumsum(num_voters_per_machine)
cum_voters_per_machine = np.insert(cum_voters_per_machine, 0, 0)
num_votes = np.sum(num_voters_per_machine)

# Does any race on any machine has more votes than reported for the machine?
for m in range(len(num_voters_per_machine)):
    tmp = votes[votes["Machine"]==m]
    tot = tmp.groupby("Contest").agg(np.sum)
    assert np.all(tot["Votes"] <= num_voters_per_machine[m])
```

In [5]:
```
# Find winning party within the precinct in each statewide contest
mask_D = votes['Party']=="D"
mask_R = votes['Party']=="R"
for c in statewide_contests:
    mask_c = votes["Contest"] == c
    D_votes = votes[mask_c & mask_D]['Votes'].sum()
    R_votes = votes[mask_c & mask_R]['Votes'].sum()
    print(c, D_votes, R_votes, '\t', ('DEM' if D_votes > R_votes else 'REP'))
```

```
Governor 505 400         DEM
Lt Governor 479 393      DEM
Secretary of State 511 365       DEM
Attorney General 509 390         DEM
Commissioner of Agriculture 475 423      DEM
Commissioner of Insurance 482 382        DEM
State School Superintendent 492 405      DEM
Commissioner of Labor 494 402    DEM
PSC Eaton 494 367        DEM
PSC Pridemore 487 374    DEM
```

In [6]:
```
def get_repub_fraction(df):
    repub = df.loc[df["Party"]=="R"].copy()
    repub["R_votes"] = repub["Votes"]
    valid_votes = df.groupby(["Contest","Machine"]).agg(np.sum).reset_index()
    valid_votes["Tot_votes"] = valid_votes["Votes"]
    combined = pd.merge(repub, valid_votes, on = ["Contest", "Machine"])
    return combined["R_votes"]/combined["Tot_votes"]
```

In [7]:
```
def permute_votes_across_machines(vote_df, reps, prng=np.random):
    """
    Input: votes dataframe, filtered to contain only one contest

    """

    # Votes for Republican, Democrat/other, and undervotes
    votes_per_candidate = vote_df.groupby(["Party"]).agg(np.sum).reset_index()
    r_votes = int(votes_per_candidate.loc[votes_per_candidate["Party"] == "R", "Votes"])
    d_votes = np.sum(votes_per_candidate["Votes"]) - r_votes
    u_votes = num_votes - r_votes - d_votes
    overall_r_proportion = r_votes/(r_votes + d_votes)

    # test statistic = largest % votes for R on a machine
    votes_for_r = get_repub_fraction(vote_df)
    max_votes_for_r = np.max(votes_for_r)

    # Randomly assign r_votes 1s, d_votes 0s, and u_votes np.nans
    vote_list = np.array([1]*r_votes + [0]*d_votes + [np.nan]*u_votes)
    perm_distr = np.zeros(reps)

    for r in range(reps):
        prng.shuffle(vote_list)

    # Find fraction of votes for R on each machine
        votes_for_r_perm = np.zeros(len(num_voters_per_machine))
        for i in range(len(num_voters_per_machine)):
            votes_for_r_perm[i] = np.nanmean(vote_list[cum_voters_per_machine[i]:cum_voters_per_machi
ne[i+1]])
        perm_distr[r] = np.max(votes_for_r_perm)

    # Center the statistic at the expected fraction of R votes
    perm_distr_norm = perm_distr - overall_r_proportion
    statistic_norm = max_votes_for_r - overall_r_proportion

    return {"statistic":max_votes_for_r,
            "pvalue":(1+np.sum(np.abs(perm_distr_norm) >= np.abs(statistic_norm)))/(reps+1)
           }
```

In [8]:
```
reps=10000
ps = {}
for c in statewide_contests:
    vote_df = votes[votes["Contest"] == c]
    res = permute_votes_across_machines(vote_df, reps=reps, prng=prng)
    ps[c] = res['pvalue']
    print(c, "\n   statistic =", res["statistic"], "\n   P-value =", res["pvalue"])

fisher_chi = -2*np.sum([math.log(p) for c, p in ps.items()])
print('Combined:\n   ', fisher_chi, chi2.sf(fisher_chi, df=2*len(statewide_contests)))
```

```
Governor
   statistic = 0.5190839694656488
   P-value = 0.11398860113988601
Lt Governor
   statistic = 0.5645161290322581
   P-value = 0.0245975402459754
Secretary of State
   statistic = 0.5116279069767442
   P-value = 0.0184981501849815
Attorney General
   statistic = 0.515625
   P-value = 0.1506849315068493
Commissioner of Agriculture
   statistic = 0.5813953488372093
   P-value = 0.025997400259974
Commissioner of Insurance
   statistic = 0.5348837209302325
   P-value = 0.030496950304969503
State School Superintendent
   statistic = 0.5419847328244275
   P-value = 0.09669033096690331
Commissioner of Labor
   statistic = 0.5736434108527132
   P-value = 0.007899210078992101
PSC Eaton
   statistic = 0.5114503816793893
   P-value = 0.0456954304569543
PSC Pridemore
   statistic = 0.5267175572519084
   P-value = 0.025297470252974703
Combined:
    65.67868786714891 9.094420735646933e-07
```

What if D and R vote totals were flipped on Machine 3?

In [9]:
```
votes_flipped = votes.copy()
votes_flipped.loc[(votes_flipped.Machine==3) & (votes.Party=="R"), 'Party'] = "D"
votes_flipped.loc[(votes_flipped.Machine==3) & (votes.Party=="D"), 'Party'] = "R"
votes_flipped.head(20)
```

Out[9]:

	Contest	Machine	Candidate	Party	Votes
0	Governor	0	B. KEMP (R)	R	40
1	Governor	0	S. ABRAMS (D)	D	73
2	Governor	0	T. METZ (L)	L	4
3	Governor	0	Write-in	W	0
4	Governor	1	B. KEMP (R)	R	51
5	Governor	1	S. ABRAMS (D)	D	79
6	Governor	1	T. METZ (L)	L	3
7	Governor	1	Write-in	W	0
8	Governor	2	B. KEMP (R)	R	60
9	Governor	2	S. ABRAMS (D)	D	67
10	Governor	2	T. METZ (L)	L	2
11	Governor	2	Write-in	W	0
12	Governor	3	B. KEMP (R)	D	68
13	Governor	3	S. ABRAMS (D)	R	59
14	Governor	3	T. METZ (L)	L	4
15	Governor	3	Write-in	W	0
16	Governor	4	B. KEMP (R)	R	65
17	Governor	4	S. ABRAMS (D)	D	67
18	Governor	4	T. METZ (L)	L	3
19	Governor	4	Write-in	W	0

In [10]:
```
ps_flipped = {}
for c in statewide_contests:
    vote_df2 = votes_flipped[votes_flipped["Contest"] == c]
    res = permute_votes_across_machines(vote_df2, reps=reps, prng=prng)
    ps_flipped[c] = res['pvalue']
    print(c, "\n   statistic =", res["statistic"], "\n   P-value =", res["pvalue"])

fisher_chi = -2*np.sum([math.log(p) for c, p in ps_flipped.items()])
print('Combined:\n   ', fisher_chi, chi2.sf(fisher_chi, df=2*len(statewide_contests)))
```

```
Governor
   statistic = 0.48148148148148145
   P-value = 0.46425357464253575
Lt Governor
   statistic = 0.4728682170542636
   P-value = 0.7945205479452054
Secretary of State
   statistic = 0.4496124031007752
   P-value = 0.44955504449555045
Attorney General
   statistic = 0.484375
   P-value = 0.5433456654334566
Commissioner of Agriculture
   statistic = 0.49230769230769234
   P-value = 0.7339266073392661
Commissioner of Insurance
   statistic = 0.4645669291338583
   P-value = 0.6042395760423958
State School Superintendent
   statistic = 0.48031496062992124
   P-value = 0.8065193480651934
Commissioner of Labor
   statistic = 0.46875
   P-value = 0.7967203279672033
PSC Eaton
   statistic = 0.4732824427480916
   P-value = 0.27987201279872015
PSC Pridemore
   statistic = 0.4307692307692308
   P-value = 0.9387061293870613
Combined:
    9.997865529313279 0.9682106300793477
```

In [11]:
```
# version information
%load_ext version_information
%version_information scipy, numpy, csv, pandas, matplotlib, notebook, cryptorandom, permute
```

```
Loading extensions from ~/.ipython/extensions is deprecated. We recommend managing extensions like a
ny other Python packages, in site-packages.

/anaconda/lib/python3.6/site-packages/IPython/core/formatters.py:839: FormatterWarning: JSON expects
JSONable list/dict containers, not JSON strings
  FormatterWarning)
```

Out[11]:

Software	Version
Python	3.6.7 64bit [GCC 4.2.1 Compatible Clang 4.0.1 (tags/RELEASE_401/final)]
IPython	7.2.0
OS	Darwin 18.2.0 x86_64 i386 64bit
scipy	1.1.0
numpy	1.15.4
csv	1.0
pandas	0.23.1
matplotlib	3.0.2
notebook	5.7.4
cryptorandom	0.2
permute	0.1.alpha4
Sun Jan 06 14:03:18 2019 PST	

EXHIBIT

B

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV31348**

AFFIDAVIT OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL

Appeared before me, the undersigned officer duly authorized to administer oaths, Christopher Brill, who after being duly swon states as follows:

1. Since 2006, I have devoted my career to the study of political processes in the United States generally, with a particular focus on research and analyses of political and electoral data, from precinct level to nationwide in scope.

2. My experience includes, but is not limited to, research and analyses of statewide voter files to identify socio-economic, geographic and other characteristics of voter file data.

3. I also have experience comparing and matching political and electoral data, including voter file data, against large and complex datasets; analyzing the results of such comparisons and matching; and identifying strengths and weaknesses in the methods, protocols and algorithms used in performing these kinds of analyses.

4. My experience also includes identifying reasons for false positive and false negative results when comparing or matching such data across large datasets and developing best practices for optimizing accurate matches and comparisons of data.

5. Since 2013, I have been employed as a Senior Data Analyst with TargetSmart Communications LLC, where my duties and responsibilities include, but are not limited to, collecting and analyzing political, electoral, consumer, demographic and other datasets; product development; and strategic consulting.

6. I obtained a Bachelor of Arts degree in Political Science from the University of New Mexico 2006. My current resume is attached and incorporated herein by reference as Exhibit B.

7. I have been retained by Plaintiffs' counsel in this matter to conduct analyses of the November 6th 2018 general election vote results in GA, with a focus on the under voting that took place with respect to the Lt. Governor's election; to offer my opinions concerning said data and analyses based upon by background,

training and experience; and to prepare a preliminary report summarizing my analyses of this data and opinions.

8. The sources used for the analysis are from officially published election result tablulations made available by the Secretary of State, as well as publicly available individual voter file data.

9. My preliminary report is attached and incorporated herein by reference as Exhibit A.

10. My opinions and preliminary report for the Coalition for Good Governance are based upon the information that has currently been made available to me and is accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief, and I would testify to these opinions if called upon to do so. I reserve the right to amend, supplement and otherwise update my opinions and report if additional information is made known to me during the pendency of this litigation.

Christopher Brill

NATHANIEL EKLUND
Notary Public - Arizona
Maricopa County
My Comm. Expires Feb 18, 2022

Sworn to and subscribed before me:
On this 7th day of January, 2019

Notary Public, State of Arizona
My Commission Expires: Feb. 18, 2022

SEAL

GA000919

EXHIBIT

A

To: Marilyn Marks
From: Christopher Brill, Senior Data Analyst
Date: January 5th 2019
Subject: Exhibit A: Analysis of the 2018 Georgia Lt. Governor undervote

Research Summary

The purpose of this analysis is to examine for possible irregularities in the number of votes cast for the 2018 Lieutenant Governor's election in Georgia. TargetSmart was approached by Marilyn Marks, Executive Director, for the Coalition for Good Governance to examine what appeared to be a significantly lower number of votes being cast for the Lt. Governor's election than all other statewide constitutional offices in the November 6, 2018 election

TargetSmart is considered an industry leader in voter data and political campaign services. In addition to maintaining a nationwide voter file, TargetSmart also maintains a nationwide repository of election results allowing us to examine electoral trends across states and time. For this project, the lead researcher also has over a dozen years' experience collecting and analyzing publicly available election results.

The primary question we want to probe: "was the undervote that occurred during the Lt. Governor election consistent with historic patterns and do the factors that we know contribute to higher rates of under voting apply to this election?"

After an initial examination of the state, county and precinct level results from the 2018 election in Georgia it is our initial conclusion that the vote totals published cast substantial doubt on the final vote total of the Lt. Governor election. The undervote that occurred for the Lt Governor election is simply not consistent with patterns of undervote seen previously in Georgia, or around the country.

Defining Under voting

Before presenting our case, it may help to define terms. Quite simply, an undervote occurs when a voter decides, or by accident, does not vote for a specific office or issue on the ballot. While voters might have countless motivations while in the voting booth on what they do and do not vote for, when it comes to under voting there are generally 3 variables that are most associated with high undervote rates:

1) **Low visibility**: If an election on a ballot is not well known to the public, is further down the ballot, or both then a higher undervote is likely to occur. For instance, an office such as 'Community College District Board' might generally suffer from high rates of under voting because voters are not familiar with the office or do not know any of the candidates.
2) **Non-Partisan/Lack of partisan cues**: If an election on a ballot is non-partisan, that election may experience a higher rate of under voting. Research shows that voters tend to use a candidate's party affiliation as a 'cue' for whether they should vote one way or another, even if they are unsure who the candidate is. When this cue is not present for non-partisan elections, more voters are likely to skip the contest altogether, resulting in higher rates of under voting.
3) **Uncompetitive election/Only one major party on the ballot**: If an election is not competitive, or only one major party has a candidate on the ballot, and is near assured victory before Election Day, under voting tends to be higher. The lower the stakes of the election, the higher the under voting tends to be.

A Focus on the Lt. Governor Election

When the vote totals for the 2018 Lt. Governor election are compared to the other 8 statewide constitutional offices an anomaly becomes visible: Tens of thousands of fewer votes were cast for Lt Governor than any of the other elected offices at the top of the ballot. Table 1 below compares the number of votes cast for Governor in 2018 to the remaining statewide, partisan, constitutional offices.

Table 1: Total 2018 Undervote by Office

Office	2018	Under Vote	Drop Off vs Gov
Governor	3,939,328		
Lt. Governor	3,780,304	-159,024	4.0%
Secretary of State	3,883,594	-55,734	1.4%
Attorney General	3,862,370	-76,958	2.0%
Commissioner of Agriculture	3,843,480	-95,848	2.4%
Commissioner of Insurance	3,861,625	-77,703	2.0%
State School Superintendent	3,862,464	-76,864	2.0%
Commissioner of Labor	3,849,450	-89,878	2.3%

Based on our understanding of the factors that encourage higher rates of under voting (as outlined in the previous section), the Lt. Governor's election would not seem to contain any of the defining variables we usually see when higher than normal under voting occurs. The Lt Governor's election, position wise on the ballot, was directly below one of the most competitive and highly publicized elections for Governor in years. The election was partisan, and the election was extremely competitive (much like other statewide offices on the ballot), with the winner receiving just 51.6% of the vote. **In short, there is little reason to suspect that under voting should be higher for Lt Governor than any of the other 8 constitutional offices based on its competitiveness, position on the ballot or its partisan classification.** Yet, under voting was more than *two times higher* than under voting for Attorney General, and *three times higher* than that for Secretary of State. The question becomes, why?

This anomaly becomes more apparent when examining past elections. Table 2 below compares drop off percentages for each of the state's 8 constitutional offices by election cycle since 2002:

Table 2: % Decrease in votes cast compared to that years gubernatorial contest

	2018	2014	2010	2006	2002
Lt. Governor	**4.0%**	0.8%	0.3%	1.2%	0.9%
Secretary of State	1.4%	0.9%	0.9%	2.8%	1.0%
Attorney General	2.0%	1.0%	0.9%	2.3%	2.8%
Commissioner of Agriculture	2.4%	1.6%	1.2%	1.8%	2.1%
Commissioner of Insurance	2.0%	1.2%	1.2%	2.4%	2.1%
State School Superintendent	2.0%	1.0%	0.9%	1.1%	1.2%
Commissioner of Labor	2.3%	1.7%	1.6%	3.1%	2.8%

In this context, the historic nature of the undervote becomes clear: Since 2002, the undervote percentage compared to Governor, for all constitutional offices has averaged 1.6%. The 4% drop-off seen here is more than 3 standard deviations away from that mean, further indicating the drop-off seen here is an extreme outlier. Overall, the 4% drop-off between Lt Governor and Governor is the largest gap seen in a mid-term this century in Georgia.

Finally, a quick comparison to similar Lt Governor elections that took place elsewhere in 2018 highlights the strangeness of the result in GA. Chart 1 below examines the undervote rate in the 9 states with stand alone elections for Lt. Governor in 2018:



Out of all states, only CA had a higher undervote percentage. Why? Because in 2018, due to California's top two primary set up, just two Democratic candidates were on the ballot- there were no Republican candidates or third-party candidates for voters to choose from; again, an ingredient for higher rates of under voting. Minus California, GA's Lt Governor under vote was the highest among all Lt Governor contests in the country in 2018.

Georgia's 2018 State Representative Elections

Second, an examination of the county and precinct level data from the 2018 election raises additional questions about the reasonableness of the Lt. Governor reported vote tallies. Specifically, if we go even further down the ballot, and examine state representative, otherwise known as 'state house' elections, we see Lt Governor vote totals that are even lower than those for non-competitive state representative elections.

To recap, there were 180 state representative seats up for election in 2018, with as many as 110 of those seats 'uncontested', meaning only 1 major party had a general election candidate on the ballot to choose from. Not surprisingly, this number of uncontested seats resulted in a smaller number of votes cast for state representative. In total just 3,470,967 votes were cast for a state representative in Georgia, or 468,351 fewer votes than cast for Governor, an aggregate drop off of almost 12%. Again, this makes sense based on our knowledge of under voting: uncompetitive or uncontested elections tend to yield smaller vote totals.

With that context present, an analysis of the votes cast across the state's 2,636 precincts show that, inexplicably, more votes were cast for State Representative than Lt Governor in *1,012 precincts, or 38% of all precincts*. Further, in 137 of those 1,012 precincts, the Democratic candidate for State Representative received 100% of the total votes cast for that contest. Ultimately this raises the question: **Why would more voters in a precinct vote in an uncontested or uncompetitive State Representative election than for an election further up the ballot that is contested and competitive?**

To further illustrate this point, we compare state representative vote totals to another statewide election with what appear to be normal rates of under voting: Attorney General. In total there were just 410 precincts where there were more votes cast for State Representative than Attorney General. **In just**

two of those precincts did the Democratic candidate for State Representative receive 100% of the votes. This would line up with our established expectations: if a down ballot election such as state representative, garnered more votes than a statewide election, it would be in precincts with more locally competitive representative elections, and not where candidates are receiving 100% of the vote.

Addressing Voter Choice and Vote Method

We believe that the data presented thus far, combined with the necessary context around what variables accompany higher rates of under voting, shows striking irregularities in the total vote for Lt Governor, that ultimately call into question the accuracy of the vote and the legitimacy of the outcome

With that said, we can't ultimately rule out with 100% certainty that a group of voters decided, *intentionally*, to not vote for Lt. Governor. However, what makes this intention even more unlikely is how the under vote for Lt Governor breaks down when comparing **vote method**. In this context, vote method refers to how a voter decided to cast their ballot- either through mail in absentee, in person early voting (or 'advance voting') and finally Election Day voting at the polls. Early voting and Election Day voting are conducted on electronic machines and mail in absentee is voted on paper ballots.

After examining county level results released by the Secretary of State, we found that there were significant differences in the Lt Governor under vote, depending on the method of vote. For instance, the voting machine election day under vote was approximately 4.5%, while the undervote was as little as 1% among absentee by mail voters, who voted on paper. This is an additional oddity in the data, especially when, as chart 2 demonstrates below, under vote rates are more consistent across method of voting for other offices such as Secretary of State (SOS) and Attorney General (AG):



According to data on the GA voter file, absentee voters tended to skew somewhat older, more Democratic (according to our partisanship modeling) and more African American; but it is unlikely that such a modest skew could have accounted for such a large difference in the under vote between absentee and election day voters. **Therefore, if voters were deliberately under voting in the Lt Governor election, why would that not be consistent across all vote methods? Instead, we would speculate that the key difference here is the technology that is being used to administer absentee votes vs in person votes, and not differences in the voters who selected one vote method or the other.**

Conclusion

In conclusion, based on our analysis of the publicly available data, it is our opinion that the undervote totals reflected in the Lt Governor's race are extremely suspect and irregular and cast a serious doubt over the accuracy of the final vote count and the certified outcome of the Lt. Governor's contest.

EXHIBIT

B

Christopher A. Brill

826 E Lamar Rd
Phoenix AZ 85014

602-295-3389
cbrillaz@gmail.com

Employment History

Senior Data Analyst
TARGETSMART COMMUNICATIONS
Phoenix, AZ. Feb 2013 to Present

- Currently provide support and data analysis to progressive issue and candidate campaigns as well as 501c(3) and c(4) organizations around the United States with a focus on helping clients optimize and execute outreach programs.
- Currently manage the data and targeting efforts for Arizona based progressive coalitions such as Arizona Wins and One Arizona.
- Lead project manager for TargetSmart's 'ElectionBase": a nationwide precinct level election database, merged with voter file and other data sets, in order to provide comprehensive district level profiles and Democratic performance projections.
- Lead client services representative for a wide range of organizations such as America Votes and the Democratic Legislative Campaign Committee (DLCC)

National Data Director
DEMOCRATIC NATIONAL COMMITTEE (DNC)
Washington D.C. Aug 2011 to Jan 2013

- Managed a 7-figure budget as well as a team of 9 people and was responsible for the day to day operations of the DNC data department including data acquisition, analysis and data support services.
- Lead director for the committee's national voter file. Coordinated with the presidential campaign, other national committees and all 50 state parties on voter file, data services and support needs.
- Managed day-to-day vendor and consultant relationships in relation to the national voter file, as well as developed in-house data testing to inform vendor selection process.

Acquisitions Manager
DEMOCRATIC NATIONAL COMMITTEE (DNC)
Washington D.C. Apr 2010 to Aug 2011

- Led the data acquisition team at the DNC and was responsible for acquiring voter file data nationwide as well as establishing a national voter file and data update schedule.
- Provided voter file and data support to state party committees, other national party committees such as the DCCC, DSCC and Organizing for America.

Elections and Targeting Director
ARIZONA DEMOCRATIC PARTY (ADP)
Phoenix AZ. June 2008- April 2010

- Developed and implemented the Coordinated Campaign's vote by mail application chase program in 2008 as well as Permanent Early Voter sign up programs in 2009.
- Compiled and aggregated data to provide daily and weekly briefings with campaign principals, partner organizations and ADP staff.
- Provided voter targeting and data assistance to campaigns, elected officials and party leaders.

Voter File Director
ARIZONA DEMOCRATIC PARTY (ADP)
Phoenix AZ. June 2006- June 2008

- Maintained statewide voter file by coordinating with state, county and city election officials, party staff and data vendors.
- Cultivated relationships with key ADP stakeholders with the goal of promoting the use of a single statewide voter file for local party affiliates and candidates.
- Developed voter file training programs for state party staff, volunteers and candidates.

Skills and Core Competencies

- Core competencies include project management, data acquisition, manipulation and cleaning (data wrangling) visualization, research, and analysis.
- Proficient in multiple progressive software platforms such as NGP-VAN, Blocks, Q-Tool, M-Tool, RegTrak, Grassroots Unwired and Hustle.
- Proficient in data manipulation using tools such as using SQL, R, Vertica and Alteryx.
- Proficient in mapping platforms using ArcGis and Google Fusion Tables.
- Proficient in Microsoft Office products including Outlook, Excel, Word and PowerPoint.

Education

UNIVERSITY OF NEW MEXICO, Albuquerque NM. 2002-2006
Studies leading to a BACHELORS of ARTS in Political Science

EXHIBIT C

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV31348**

AFFIDAVIT OF MICHAEL S. JOHNSON

Appeared before me, the undersigned officer duly authorized to administered oaths, MICHAEL S. JOHNSON ("Affiant") who, after being sworn, states as follows:

1. I am of lawful age and give this affidavit based on my personal knowledge.

2. I am a registered voter in Cobb County, Georgia.

3. I was appointed as a statewide poll watcher for the November 6, 2018 election, by the Libertarian Party of Georgia. I observed activites at various polling places in the metro Atlanta area during early voting and on Election Day.

4. On November 6, Election Day morning, I observed the voting activities at Grady High School polling place which includes precincts, 02J, 02K, and 06G. I spent approximately

twenty minutes observing at the Grady High School polling place. Grady High School is in Atlanta and Fulton County, Georgia.

5. I observed ten (10) DRE voting machines in use during my visit which lasted about 20 minutes. I did not observe any spare DRE units, or units that were not in service.

6. I did not notice any malfunctioning or problems with machines during my brief visit.

7. The line of voters awaiting the use of machines was quite long and snaked around the interior of gymnasium. I noticed the inadequate number of machines for use and asked a pollworker about the bottleneck at the machines. She replied that there were not enough machines.

8. The picture of the Grady High School polling place attached as Exhibit A is an accurate reflection of the set up of the polling place with 10 DRE machines as I observed it on the morning of November 6.

9. I received the picture from Marilyn Marks of Coaltion for Good Governance. I understand that the picture on Election Day morning was taken as part of a video by an HBO film crew. I met this film crew at other polling locations during the day as they were filming Georgia voting activities.

Further affiant sayeth not.

Michael S. Johnson

Sworn to and subscribed before me:
On this ___ day of January, 2019

Notary Public, State of Georgia
My Commission Expires: 05/02/20

CYNTHIA STIRNE
NOTARY PUBLIC
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES
MAY 02, 2020
DEKALB COUNTY, GEORGIA

SEAL

GA000931

EXHIBIT

A

GA000933

EXHIBIT

D

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV31348**

AFFIDAVIT OF TARAN GREENWALD

Appeared before me, the undersigned officer duly authorized to administered oaths, TARAN GREENWALD ("Affiant") who, after being sworn, states as follows:

1. I am an analayst for Coaltion for Good Governance, a Plaintiff in this action.

2. For the November 6, 2018 Georgia general election, I was a volunteer for candidates and various election transparency advocacy groups seeking to document reports of election results by photographing the DRE machine poll tapes once they were posted on the doors of the polling places after the closing of the polls and the printing of the machine tapes.

3. In Fulton County, after the close of the polls, machine results for each machine in operation in the polling place on Election Day are printed and one copy of each DRE machine tape is posted to or near the door of the polling place for public observation.

4. I took photographs at several polling places on the evening of November 6, shortly after the tapes had been posted. I took the photos of 14 DRE machine tapes at Grady High School polling place in Atlanta at approximately 9 p.m.

5. Those photographs are on Exhibit A. I prepared this exhibit from my original photographs and have not altered or edited the contents of the machine tapes.

6. With the assistance of Coalition for Good Governance's other part time analyst, Samantha Whitley, I prepared a transcript of the information on the tape photographs. That transcript on Exhibit B.

7. Ms. Whitley and I also reviewed the officially reported tallies on the Secretary of State's website for each race for each precinct located in the Grady High School polling place. Those were precincts 02J, 02K, and O6G. The Secretary of State's website showing Fulton County results is https://results.enr.clarityelections.com/GA/Fulton/91700/Web02.221448/#/

8. The above referenced Secretary of State results exclude write-in votes, which we accounted for on the worksheet in Exhibit B.

9. Coalition for Good Governance obtained the Grady High School polling place recap sheet (Exhibit C) through a public reords request to Fulton County Elections Office. The recap sheet lists the ten (10) DRE machine by serial numbers that were assigned to the Grady polling place. Eight (8) machine serial numbers match the machine serial numbers on the 14 poll tapes. Severn (7) machine tapes were posted on the door that were not listed on the polling place recap sheet. All 14 poll tapes showed print times of approximately 7:30 pm as would be expected after 7pm poll closing time.

10. I have collected photographs of election night machine poll tapes from a number of other polling places from other voluteers and citizens who shared them with Coalition for Good Governance.

11. One of the sets of election night photos I obtained was Midvale Elementary School in DeKalb County. Exhibit D is a pdf compliation of the photographs that I received from other citizens who took this photographs. The photographs can be compared to DeKalb County's copy of these tapes to verify the accuracy of the data.

12. I prepared Exhibit E by comparing the Secretary of State's vote tallies by precinct for DeKalb County posted at this link, https://results.enr.clarityelections.com/GA/DeKalb/91684/Web02.221448/#/ to the Election Day vote tallies with the Midvale polling place tape on Exhibit D.

Further Affiant sayeth not.

Taran Greenwald

Sworn to and subscribed before me:
On this 7th day of JANUARY, 2019

Notary Public, State of GA
My Commission Expires: 5/16/22

SEAL

CHUNDRA RAMBERT
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES
NOTARY
PUBLIC
MAY 16, 2022
ROCKDALE COUNTY, GEORGIA

EXHIBIT

A

****
ELECTION RESULTS REPORT
****
Fulton County
State of
Georgia General Election

November 6, 2018

DATE: Nov-06-2018
FULL CTR: 280(00
02J, 02K & 08G
MACHINE ID: 2
VERSION: 2 COPY: 0
COUNT: 0 SIZE: 32M
ACCU-VOTE RELEASE: 4.5.2
REPORT: US 1.14.7

TIME: 19:26 11/06/2018
MACHINE SERIAL: 124301
PUBLIC COUNTER: 149
SYSTEM COUNTER: 2122

****
** PRECINCT: 220 **
02J
****
BALLOTS CAST 89
****
GOVERNOR
RACE # 3

B. KEMP (R) 11
S. ABRAMS (D) 75
T. METZ (L) 3
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0

****
BALLOTS CAST 90
****
GOVERNOR
RACE # 3

S. KEMP (R) 71
S. ABRAMS (D) 20
T. METZ (L) 1
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
LT GOVERNOR
RACE # 5

G. DUNCAN (R) 70
S. R. AMICO (D) 19
Write-In 1
WRITE-INS 1
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
92022 DYLAN SAGER
****
SECRETARY OF STATE
RACE # 7

B. RAFFENSPERGER (R) 11
J. BARROW (D) 71
S. DOWL (L) 8
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
TREASURER GEORGIA

****
ATTORNEY GENERAL
RACE # 9

C. CARR (I) R 15
C. BAILEY (D) 72
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 11

G. BLACK (I) R 20
F. SWANN (D) 66
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 13

J. BECK (R) 12
J. LAWS (D) 68
D. FOSTER (L) 7
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates

****

STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT

[illegible] 15

[illegible] HOLDS (I) R 17

O. THORNTON, JR. (D) 69

Write-In 1

$ WRITE-INS 1

Write In Candidates

Ballot Candidate

TOBBOS SID CHAPMAN

****

LABOR COMMISSIONER

RACE # 17

N. BUTLER (I) R 17

R. HEATLEY (D) 69

Write-In 0

$ WRITE-INS 0

Write In Candidates

Ballot Candidate

****

PSC EATON

RACE # 18

[illegible] (I) R [illegible]

[illegible] (D) [illegible]

[illegible] (L) [illegible]

Write-In [illegible]

$ WRITE-INS [illegible]

Write In Candidates

Ballot Candidate

****

****

PSC PRIDEMORE

RACE # 21

T. PRIDEMORE (I) R 12

D. A. RANDOLPH (D) 68

J. TEMPLIN (L) 7

Write-In 0

$ WRITE-INS 0

Write In Candidates

Ballot Candidate

****

US HOUSE 5

RACE # 40

A. R. LEWIS (I) D 40

Write-In 1

$ WRITE-INS 1

Write In Candidates

Ballot Candidate

[illegible] ACHORD JR. [illegible]

****

US HOUSE 3

RACE # 51

[illegible] (I) [illegible]

[illegible] (D) [illegible]

Write-In [illegible]

$ WRITE-INS [illegible]

Write In Candidates

Ballot Candidate

****

[illegible] 11

RACE # [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

N. CHECK (1) 0 01
Write-In 1
WRITE-INS 1
Write In Candidates
DeMot Candidate
TUCKER ALEXANDER PAUL LIBERTY
****
STATE HOUSE 58
RACE # 055

P. CARSON (1) 0 01
Write-In 1
WRITE-INS 1
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
TUCKER ALEXANDER PAUL LIBERTY
****
CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
RACE # 060

R. HITTS (1) 0 01
Write-In 1
WRITE-INS 1
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
TUCKER ALEXANDER PAUL LIBERTY
****
SUP CT JUSTICE
RACE # 067

[illegible]

****
Fulton County
State of
Georgia General Election

November 6, 2018

DATE: Nov-06-2018
POLL CTR: 200100
02J, 02S & 03S
MACHINE ID: 3
VERSION: 2 COPY: 0
COUNT: 0 SIZE: 30M
ACCU-VOTE RELEASE: 4.5.2
REPORT: --US 1, 18, 7

TIME: 19:26 11/06/2018
MACHINE SERIAL: 190051
PUBLIC COUNTER: 181
SYSTEM COUNTER: 1211

****
** PRECINCT: 220 **
02J
****
BALLOTS CAST 78
****
GOVERNOR
RACE # 3

[illegible] (R) 8

GOVERNOR
RACE # 4

R. KIRK (R) 4
R. ABRAMS (D) 86
T. MOTZ (L) 7
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
LT GOVERNOR
RACE # 5

G. DAMON (R) 9
S. R. MATCO (D) 83
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
SECRETARY OF STATE
RACE # 7

G. KAFTOUROSS (R) 5
J. BARNON (D) 88
S. DUAL (L) 4
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
ATTORNEY GENERAL
RACE # 9

C. CARR (I) R 10
C. BAILEY (D) 88
Write-in 1
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate

AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 11

G. BLACK (I) R 21
F. SWANN (D) 64
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 13

J. BECK (R) 13
J. LANG (D) 86
D. FOSTER (L) 4
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT
RACE # 15

R. WOODS (I) R 20
D. THORTON, JR. (D) 76
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
LABOR COMMISSIONER
RACE # 17

R. BAILEY (I) R 14
R. KEATLEY (D) 85
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0

****

PSC EATON

RACE # 19

C. EATON (I) R	7
L. MILLER (D)	62
R. GRAHAM (L)	5
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates

Ballot Candidate

****

PSC PRIDEMORE

RACE # 21

T. PRIDEMORE (I) R	12
D. A. RANDOLPH (D)	58
J. TURPISH (L)	4
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates

Ballot Candidate

****

US HOUSE 5

RACE # 40

J. R. LEWIS (I) D	72
Write-In	1
# WRITE-INS	1

Write In Candidates

Ballot Candidate

[illegible]

****

STATE SENATE 30

RACE # 103

R. DREDDX (I) D	[illegible]
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates

Ballot Candidate

****

STATE HOUSE 59

RACE # 105

P. [illegible] (I) D	[illegible]
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates

Ballot Candidate

****

CO [illegible] [illegible]

RACE # [illegible]

[illegible] (I) D	[illegible]
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates

Ballot Candidate

****

[illegible]

RACE # [illegible]

[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

SECRETARY OF STATE
RACE # 7

G. KAFFENBERGER (R) 22
J. BARROW (D) 107
S. BAAL (L) 11
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
ATTORNEY GENERAL
RACE # 9

C. CARR (D) R 27
C. BAILEY (D) 111
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 11

G. BLACK (I) R 38
C. SWAN (D) 97
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 13

J. BOX (R) 38
J. LAKE (D) 96
S. FOSTER (L) 4
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate

STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT
RACE # 15

R. WOODS (I) R 36
O. THORNTON, JR. (D) 95
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
LABOR COMMISSIONER
RACE # 17

M. BUTLER (I) R 30
R. KEATLEY (D) 100
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
PSC EATON
RACE # 19

C. EATON (I) R 22
L. MILLER (D) 103
K. GRAHAM (L) 7
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates

****
PSC PRIDEMORE
RACE # 21

T. PRIDEMORE (I) R	23
D. A. RANDOLPH (D)	98
J. TURPISH (L)	9
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
US HOUSE 5
RACE # 40

J. R. LEWIS (I) D	121
Write-In	5
# WRITE-INS	5

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
[illegible] ANYBODY ELSE
[illegible] ANYONE ELSE PLEASE
[illegible] ANYWHERE
[illegible] NOT HIM
[illegible] TO [illegible]
****
US HOUSE 6
RACE # [illegible]

[illegible] (I) R	[illegible]
[illegible] (D)	[illegible]
Write-In	[illegible]
# WRITE-INS	[illegible]

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
[illegible]
****
[illegible]

US HOUSE 13
RACE # 73

D. CALLAHAN (R)	0
D. SCOTT (I) (D)	0
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
STATE SENATE 36
RACE # 145

N. ORROCK (I) D	119
Write-In	2
# WRITE-INS	2

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
623667 NO
620920 TIT
****
STATE [illegible] 50

[illegible]

[illegible] TTT

****

STATE HOUSE 58

RACE # 155

P. CANNON (I) 0 [illegible]

Write-In 1

WRITE-INS 1

Write In Candidates

Ballot Candidate

[illegible] NO

****

CO COMM CHAIRPERSON

RACE # 450

R. PITTS (I) 0 118

Write-In 2

WRITE-INS 2

Write In Candidates

Ballot Candidate

[illegible] NO

[illegible]

****

SOIL AND WATER

RACE # 500

[illegible]

****

[illegible]

RACE # [illegible]

[illegible]

****

[illegible]

RACE # [illegible]

[illegible]

****

[illegible]

****

ELECTION RESULTS REPORT

****

Fulton County

State of

Georgia General Election

November 6, 2018

DATE: Nov-06-2018

POLL CTR: 260MED

021, 028 & 080

MACHINE ID: 5

VERSION: 2 COPY: 0

COUNT: 0 SIZE: 32M

ACCU-VOTE RELEASE: 4.5.2

REPORT: US 1.18.7

TIME: 10:29 11/06/2018

MACHINE SERIAL: 120081

PUBLIC COUNTER: 138

SYSTEM COUNTER: 2375

****

*** SUMMARY TOTALS

****

BALLOTS CAST BY PRECINCT

PRECINCT	QUANTITY
220	83
[illegible]	39
[illegible]	16
[illegible]	1
TOTAL BALLOTS	139

****
GOVERNOR
RACE # 1

S. ROW (R)	16
S. BROWN (D)	192
T. MOTT (L)	2
Write-In	1
# WRITE-INS	1

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
ATTORNEY GENERAL AND STATE SENATE
****
LT GOVERNOR
RACE # 3

S. SANCHEZ (R)	16
S. B. ARTIZ (D)	178
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
SECRETARY OF STATE
RACE # 4

D. CASTANEDA (R)	16
J. BARNES (D)	189
E. DEAN (L)	3
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
ATTORNEY GENERAL
RACE # 5

C. CARR (R) #	20
C. BAILEY (D)	177
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****

AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 11

S. BLACK (R) #	22
F. SHEAR (D)	182
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 13

A. BOX (R)	19
A. LANG (D)	186
D. FOSTER (L)	3
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT
RACE # 15

R. WOODS (R) #	22
S. TASSITOS, JR. (D)	180
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
LABOR COMMISSIONER
RACE # 17

R. BUTLER (R) #	2
R. KAMLAY (D)	202
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****

****
PSC EATON
RACE # 19

C. EATON (I) R 20
L. MILLER (D) 105
R. GRAHAM (L) 4
Write-In 2
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
PSC PRESIDENT
RACE # 21

T. PRESIDENT (I) R 19
D. A. RANDOLPH (D) 102
J. TAPTON (L) 5
Write-In 2
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
US HOUSE 3
RACE # 40

L. A. LEWIS (I) R 105
Write-In 3
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
****
US HOUSE 4
RACE # [illegible]

[illegible]

STATE SENATE 36
RACE # 145

OBRIEN (I) D 175
Write-In 2
#WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
128 ANYBODY ELSE
175 TOM HARP
****
STATE HOUSE 58
RACE # 355

LARSEN (I) D 157
Write-In 2
#WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
128 ANYBODY ELSE
175 ROSA LARSEN
****
COMM CONSERVATORS
RACE # 400

[illegible] (I) D 105
Write-In 3
#WRITE-INS 2
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
****
[illegible]

AND WATER
$ 550

REMIC, JR (I)	91
ULSETH	78
-In	1
-In	0
TE-1168	1

In Candidates
1 Candidate
1 Vote
****
PRECINCT 1
1 550

	1
	0

****
PRECINCT 2
550

	0
	0

****
PRECINCT 3

Fulton County
State of
Georgia General Election

November 6, 2018
DATE: Nov-06-2018
FULL CTR: 290000
02A, 02K & 08G
MACHINE ID: 6
VERSION: 2 COPY: 0
COUNT: 0 SIZE: 32M
ACCU-VOTE RELEASE: 4.5.2
REPORT: US 1, 14, 7

TIME: 19:28 11/06/2018
MACHINE SERIAL: 133778
PUBLIC COUNTER: 125
SYSTEM COUNTER: 8130

****
*** SUMMARY TOTALS
****

BALLOTS CAST BY PRECINCT

PRECINCT	QUANTITY
220	61
225	56
700	8
TOTAL BALLOTS	125

****
GOVERNOR
RACE # 3

S. KEMP (R) 78
S. ADAMS (D) 107
T. METZ (L) 2
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
LT GOVERNOR
RACE # 5

S. DAMON (R) 20
S. S. ARTIGO (D) 165
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
SECRETARY OF STATE
RACE # 7

S. RAFFENSPERGER (R) 37
J. BARROW (D) 162
S. DAVIS (L) 5
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
ATTORNEY GENERAL
RACE # 9

C. CARR (D) R 58
C. BAILEY (D) 161
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 11

S. BLACK (I) R 28
F. SWANN (D) 95
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 13

J. SEXTON (R) 19
J. LAKE (D) 97
S. FOSTER (L) 7
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT
RACE # 15

S. ROSS (I) R 22
J. SCANTLE, JR. (D) 101
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
PSC COMMISSIONER
RACE # 17

[illegible] (I) R [illegible]
[illegible] (D) [illegible]
Write-In [illegible]
WRITE-INS [illegible]
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate

****

SC EATON

RACE # 19

EATON (I) R 20

MILLER (D) 97

GRAHAM (L) 9

te-In 0

RITE-INS 0

te In Candidates

llot Candidate

****

PRIDEMORE

21

PRIDEMORE (I) R 20

RANDOLPH (D) 95

BRISH (L) 4

e-In 0

ITE-INS 0

In Candidates

t Candidate

****

20

****

STATE SENATE 39

RACE # 165

N. OMHOCK (I) D 115

Write-In 1

WRITE-INS 1

Write In Candidates

Ballot Candidate

THOMAS CHARLES WALKER

****

STATE HOUSE 59

RACE # 355

P. CANNON (I) D 111

Write-In 2

WRITE-INS 2

Write In Candidates

Ballot Candidate

THOMAS CHARLES WALKER

MARTHA MARY BOWERS

****

(D) CONV CONVENTION

RACE # 650

P. RYTIN (I) D 114

Write-In 1

WRITE-INS 1

Write In Candidates

Ballot Candidate

THOMAS CHARLES WALKER

****

****
NO VOTES
ISSUE 550

MENDEL, JR (I) 89
ULSETH 69
Yes 1
No 1
WRITE-INS 2
Jr Candidates
Candidate
Gerald Fineman
Lisman Jones
****
CONTEST 1
ISSUE 550

[illegible] 110
[illegible] 33
****
CONTEST 2
ISSUE [illegible]

[illegible] 33
[illegible] 33
****
CONTEST 3
ISSUE [illegible]

[illegible] 33
[illegible] 33
****

****
ELECTION RESULTS
****
Bulloch County
State of
Georgia General Election

November 6, 2018

DATE: Nov-06-2018
POLL OPS: 00:00
OPS. OCN & OPS
MACHINE ID: 1
VERSION: 2 COPY: 0
COUNT: 0 SIDE: BOTH
ACCU-VOTE RELEASE: 4.5.2
REPORT: US 1, 14, 1

TIME: 19:27 11/06/2018
MACHINE SERIAL: 110966
PUBLIC COUNTER: 135
SYSTEM COUNTER: 5071

****
*** SUMMARY TOTALS
****

BALLOTS CAST BY PRECINCT

PRECINCT	QUANTITY
220	78
225	40
[illegible]	17

TOTAL BALLOTS 135

****
ENDING

****
GOVERNOR
RACE # 3

B. KEMP (R)	14
S. ABRAMS (D)	117
T. METZ (L)	0
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
LT GOVERNOR
RACE # 5

G. DUNCAN (R)	20
S. R. AMICO (D)	110
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
SECRETARY OF STATE
RACE # 7

B. RAFFENSPERGER (R)	22
J. BARROW (D)	105
S. DUVAL (L)	5
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
ATTORNEY GENERAL
RACE # 9

C. CARR (I) R	24

ATTORNEY GENERAL
RACE # 9

C. CARR (I) R	24
C. BAILEY (D)	107
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 11

G. BLACK (I) R	24
C. SWANN (D)	100
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 13

J. HICK (R)	23
J. LAND (D)	99
G. FORTIN (L)	0
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT
RACE # 15

R. WOOD (I) R	21
[illegible] (D)	[illegible]
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate

****
LABOR COMMISSIONER
RACE # 17

M. BUTLER (I) R	27
R. BEATLEY (D)	101
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
PSC EATON
RACE # 18

C. EATON (I) R	23
L. MILLER (D)	102
S. Gibson (L)	6
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
PSC PERRYMAN
RACE # 19

T. PERRYMAN (I) R	28
D. A. BARRETT (D)	101
J. [illegible] (L)	4
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
[illegible]
RACE # [illegible]

[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]

STATE SENATE 38
RACE # 105

N. ORROCK (I) D	114
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
STATE HOUSE 58
RACE # 355

P. CANNON (I) D	110
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
RACE # 450

K. PITTS (I) R	[illegible]
Write-In	[illegible]
# WRITE-INS	[illegible]

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
[illegible]
****
[illegible]
RACE # [illegible]

[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]

****
CONST AMENDMENT 1
RACE # 560

YES 119
NO 12
****
CONST AMENDMENT 2
RACE # 570

YES 60
NO 59
****
CONST AMENDMENT 3
RACE # 580

YES 64
NO 47
****
CONST AMENDMENT 4
RACE # 590

YES 50
NO 61
****
CONST AMENDMENT 5
RACE # 600

YES 57
NO 54
****
CONST AMENDMENT 6
RACE # 610

YES 57
NO 54
****

****
[illegible]
****
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

****
GOVERNOR
RACE # 3

S. KEMP (R) 75
S. ABRAMS (D) 106
L. METZ (L) 1
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
LT GOVERNOR
RACE # 5

S. DUNCAN (R) 78
S. R. ANTIZO (D) 105
Write-In 1
WRITE-INS 1
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
SECRETARY OF STATE
****
SECRETARY OF STATE
RACE # 7

B. HASTINGS-BERGER (R) 76
A. BARRON (D) 106
S. DAVIS (L) 11
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
ATTORNEY GENERAL
RACE # 9

C. CARR (I) R 32
C. BAILEY (D) 113
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****

****
AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 11

L. BLACK (I) R 31
L. SWANN (D) 103
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 13

J. BECK (R) 20
J. ENNIS (D) 103
J. FOSTER (L) 7
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT
RACE # 15

R. ROSS (I) R 28
J. THORNTON, JR. (D) 102
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
PUBLIC COMMISSIONER
RACE # 17

[illegible] (I) R 31
[illegible] (D) 102
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****

****
ION
8 19

ITON (I) R 20
LLER (D) 108
NAM (L) 8
-In 0
TE-INS 0
In Candidates
t Candidate
****
RIUEMENE
8 21

UEMORE (I) R 18
RANDOLPH (D) 107
PIGH (L) 8
In 0
E-INS 0
In Candidates
Candidate
****
E 5
40

EVIS (I) D 109
0
INS 0
Candidates
candidate
****

****
E 36

D) 0 120
1
1
ndidates
date
E RETIRE
****
58

0 122
0
0
ndates
ate
****
TERSON

121
0
0
ates
ts
****

****
ELECTION RESULTS REPORT
****
Fulton County
State of
Georgia General Election

November 6, 2018

DATE: Nov-06-2018
POLL CTR: 280000
02A, 02X & 06G
MACHINE ID: 9
VERSION: 2 COPY: 0
COUNT: 0 SIZE: 32M
ACCU-VOTE RELEASE: 4.5.2
REPORT: US 1,1A,7

TIME: 19:28 11/06/2018
MACHINE SERIAL: 107677
PUBLIC COUNTER: 136
SYSTEM COUNTER: 5228

****
*** SUMMARY TOTALS
****

BALLOTS CAST BY PRECINCT

PRECINCT	QUANTITY
220	69
225	47
700	20

TOTAL BALLOTS 136

****

GOVERNOR
RACE # 3

B. KEMP (R)	28
S. ABRAMS (D)	105
T. METZ (L)	5
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
LT GOVERNOR
RACE # 5

G. DUNCAN (R)	35
S. R. AMICO (D)	98
Write-In	1
# WRITE-INS	1

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
655732 TED METZ
****
SECRETARY OF STATE
RACE # 7

B. RAFFENSPERGER (R)	28

RACE # 7

G. BATTLEGROUND (R) 29
J. BARRON (D) 99
S. DUVAL (L) 9
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
ATTORNEY GENERAL
RACE # 9

[illegible] CARR (I) R 39
[illegible] BAILEY (D) 101
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 11

G. BLACK (I) R 38
F. SWANN (D) 93
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
INSURANCE COMMISSIONER

****
INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 13

J. BECK (R) 25
J. LAWS (D) 93
D. FOSTER (L) 14
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDEN
RACE # 15

R. WOODS (I) R 38
O. THORNTON, JR. (D) 98
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
LABOR COMMISSIONER
RACE # [illegible]

[illegible]

STATE SENATE 36
RACE # 105

N. ORROCK (I) D 110
Write-In 4
WRITE-INS 4
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
173300 BUCKLEY DUKE
819362 CHRIS CURNICK
468884 KATHERINE HALL
655732 TED METZ
****
STATE HOUSE 58
RACE # 355

P. CANNON (I) D 107
Write-In 4
WRITE-INS 4
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
173300 BUCKLEY DUKE
819362 CHRIS CURNICK
[illegible] MARY VILLOGHBY
655732 TED METZ
****
CO COMM CHAIRPERSON

****

CO COMM CHAIRPERSON

RACE # 450

R. PITTS (I) D	108
Write-In	5
# WRITE-INS	5

Write In Candidates

Ballot Candidate

173300 BUCKLEY DUKE

819362 CHRIS CURNICK

399513 KRISTIN HALLORAN

20890 ME

655732 TED METZ

****

SOIL AND WATER

RACE # 550

W. S. REKUC, JR (I)	89
J. R. ULSETH	79
Write-In	0
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates

Ballot Candidate

****

[illegible]

RACE # [illegible]

****

ELECTION RESULTS REP

****

Fulton County

State of

Georgia General Election

November 6, 2018

DATE: Nov-06-2018

POLL CTR: 280100

02A: 02A # 003

MACHINE ID: 10

VERSION: 2 COPY: 0

COUNT: 0 SIZE: 32M

ACCU-VOTE RELEASE: 4.5.2

REPORT: US 1.14.7

TIME: 19:28 11/06/2018

MACHINE SERIAL: 136173

PUBLIC COUNTER: 37

SYSTEM COUNTER: 11586

****

*** SUMMARY TOTALS

****

[illegible] BY PRECINCT

PRECINCT	QUANTITY
220	19
225	17
700	1

TOTAL BALLOTS 37

****

****
GOVERNOR
RACE # 3

R. KEMP (R) 8
S. ABRAMS (D) 32
T. METZ (L) 0
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
LT. GOVERNOR
RACE # 5

G. DUNCAN (R) 5
S. R. AMTED (D) 32
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
SECRETARY OF STATE
RACE # 7

[illegible] BRATKOWSKI (R) 8
[illegible] BARON (D) 28
[illegible] (L) 1
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
ATTORNEY GENERAL
RACE # 9

C. CARR (I) R 8
C. BAILEY (D) 28
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****

****
AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 11

G. BLACK (I) R 8
F. SWANN (D) 28
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 13

J. DEEN (R) 7
J. LAKE (D) 28
D. FOSTER (L) 1
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT
RACE # 15

R. ADAMS (I) R 8
B. THORNTON JR. (D) 28
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
LABOR COMMISSIONER
RACE # 17

R. MOBLEY (I) R 8
S. HOWLEY (D) 28
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
[illegible]
RACE # 19

[illegible] (I) R 8
[illegible] (D) 28
[illegible] 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****

****

PSC PRIDEMORE

RACE # 21

T. PRIDEMORE (I) R	7
D. A. RANDOLPH (D)	24
L. TURPISH (L)	3
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates

Ballot Candidate

****

US HOUSE 5

RACE # 40

J. R. LEWIS (I) D	32
Write-In	1
# WRITE-INS	1

Write In Candidates

Ballot Candidate

733772 TAYLOR HARRISON

****

****
ELECTION RESULTS REPORT
****
Fulton County
State of
Georgia General Election

November 6, 2018

DATE: Nov-06-2018
POLL CTR: 280A00
02A, 02K & 06G
MACHINE ID: 13
VERSION: 2 COPY: 0
COUNT: 0 SIZE: 32K
ACCU-VOTE RELEASE: 4.5.2
REPORT: US 1, 14, 7

TIME: 19:27 11/06/2018
MACHINE SERIAL: 169744
PUBLIC COUNTER: 66
SYSTEM COUNTER: 5978

****
*** SUMMARY TOTALS
****

BALLOTS CAST BY PRECINCT

PRECINCT	QUANTITY
20	22
25	15
70	7
TOTAL BALLOTS	44

****
GOVERNOR
RACE # 3

0. KEMP (R)	13
0. ABRAMS (D)	28
1. METZ (L)	2
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
LT GOVERNOR
RACE # 5

0. DUNCAN (R)	13
0. S. AMICO (D)	30
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
SECRETARY OF STATE
RACE # 7

0. RAFFENSPERGER (R)	11
0. BARROW (D)	30
0. DUNN (L)	3
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
ATTORNEY GENERAL
RACE # 9

0. CARR (D) &	15
0. BAILEY (D)	28
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****

****
RICULTURE COMMISSIONER
CE # 11

BLACK (I) R 16
SMOAK (D) 28
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Total Candidate
****
SURANCE COMMISSIONER
CE # 13

BECK (R) 13
LANG (D) 27
FISHER (L) 4
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Total Candidate
****
TE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT
CE # 15

ROSS (I) R 17
HUNTER, JR. (D) 28
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Total Candidate
****
R COMMISSIONER
17

COLE (I) R 16
CAUDY (D) 28
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Total Candidate

****
EATON
19

TON (I) R 11
LLER (D) 29
NHAM (L) 3
-In 0
TE-INS 0
In Candidates
Candidate
****
FIDEMORE
21

DEMORE (I) R 12
RANDOLPH (D) 28
PISH (L) 3
In 0
E-INS 0
In Candidates
Candidate
****
CE 5
40

LEWIS (I) D 35
In 1
-INS 1
In Candidates
Candidate
Vote





****
Polling Place
****
Polk County
State of
Georgia General Election

November 6, 2018
DATE: Nov-06-2018
POLL CTR: 28010
GOLD BOX & 006
MACHINE ID: 12
VERSION: 2 EPM: 3
CONT: 3 SIZE: 32K
ACCU-VOTE RELEASE: 4.5.2
REPORT: 06.1.10.3

TIME: 18:28 11/06/2018
MACHINE SERIAL: 168023
PUBLIC COUNTER: 35
SYSTEM COUNTER: 5751

****
*** [illegible] TOTALS ***
****

BALLOTS CAST BY PRECINCT
PRECINCT QUANTITY
020 17
025 15
700 3
*
TOTAL BALLOTS 35

****

GOVERNOR
RACE # 3

S. KEMP (R) 7
S. ADAMS (D) 37
T. KITZ (L) 1
write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
LT GOVERNOR
RACE # 5

S. DUNCAN (R) 6
S. R. AMICO (D) 29
write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
SECRETARY OF STATE
RACE # 7

S. HAYGOOD(R) 3
J. BARROW (D) 35
S. DUNN (L) 1
write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
ATTORNEY GENERAL
RACE # 9

C. DEAN (I) R 3
C. BAILEY (D) 34
write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate

****
AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 11

G. BLACK (I) R 10
F. SWANN (D) 28
write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 13

J. BECK (R) 10
J. LAND (D) 25
G. FOSTER (L) 1
write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT
RACE # 15

S. MOSS (I) R 9
S. THOMPSON, JR. (D) 26
write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
LABOR COMMISSIONER
RACE # 17

WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****

****
PSC EATON
RACE # 18

C. EATON (D) # 3
1. MILLER (D) 22
2. SHAW (D) 1
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
PSC PRIDEMORE
RACE # 21

1. PRIDEMORE (D) # 3
2. A. BAGLEY (D) 23
3. TOPISH (D) 1
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
US HOUSE 3
RACE # 40

1. R. LEWIS (D) # 20
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
Voters who Voted
Ballots Cast Count
****

****
ELECTION RESULTS REPORT
****
Fulton County
State of
Georgia General Election

November 6, 2018

DATE: Nov-06-2018
POLL CTR: 280'00
02J, 02K & 06G
MACHINE ID: 19
VERSION: 2 COPY: 0
COUNT: 0 SIZE: 32M
ACCU-VOTE RELEASE: 4.5.2
REPORT: US 1.14.7

TIME: 19:31 11/06/2018
MACHINE SERIAL: 116073
PUBLIC COUNTER: 37
SYSTEM COUNTER: 4718

****
*** SUMMARY TOTALS
****

BALLOTS CAST BY DISTRICT

PRECINCT	QUANTITY
220	15
225	15
700	7

TOTAL BALLOTS 37

GOVERNOR
RACE # 3

G. KEMP (R)	8
S. ABRAMS (D)	27
T. PETZ (L)	2
write-in	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
LT GOVERNOR
RACE # 5

G. DUNCAN (R)	10
S. R. AMICO (D)	26
write-in	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
SECRETARY OF STATE
RACE # 7

B. RAFFENSPERGER (R)	9
J. BARROW (D)	25
S. DAVIS (L)	2
write-in	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
ATTORNEY GENERAL
RACE # 9

C. CARR (I) R	11
C. BAILEY (D)	24
write-in	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate

AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 11

G. BLACK (I) R 12
T. SAMM (D) 21
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 11

J. BEDY (R) 9
J. LAND (D) 22
G. FOSTER (L) 3
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT
RACE # 15

S. WEBB (I) R 12
G. THORNTON, JR. (D) 20
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
LABOR COMMISSIONER
RACE # 17

R. BOLDEN (I) R 10
E. ADAMS (D) 22
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****

PSC EATON
RACE # 19

C. EATON (I) R 9
L. MILLER (D) 23
R. GRAHAM (L) 3
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
PSC PRIDEMORE
RACE # 21

T. PRIDEMORE (I) R 9
D. A. RANDOLPH (D) 22
J. TURPISH (L) 3
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
US HOUSE 5
RACE # 40

J. R. LEWIS (I) D 26
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****

****
ELECTION RESULTS REPORT
****
Fulton County
State of
Georgia General Election

November 6, 2018

DATE: NOV-06-2018
POLL CTR: 280_00
02J, 02K & 06G
MACHINE ID: 18
VERSION: 2 COPY: 0
COUNT: 0 SIZE: 32M
ACCU-VOTE RELEASE: 4.5.2
REPORT: US 1.14.7

TIME: 10:32 11/06/2018
MACHINE SERIAL: 12843
PUBLIC COUNTER: 39
SYSTEM CO[illegible] 10202

****
*** SUMMARY TOTALS ***
****

BALLOTS CAST BY PRECINCT

PRECINCT	QUANTITY
220	17
225	16
700	6
TOTAL BALLOTS	39

GOVERNOR
RACE # 1

G. KEMP (R) 8
S. ADAMS (D) 38
T. PATTE (L) 1
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
LT GOVERNOR
RACE # 3

S. SOCKWELL (R) 7
S. R. ARTIS (D) 38
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
SECRETARY OF STATE
RACE # 7

B. CHRISTOPHER (R) 8
C. BARROW (D) 38
S. BOWL (L) 1
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
ATTORNEY GENERAL
RACE # 9

S. DAVIS (R) 8
C. BAILEY (D) 38
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 11

[illegible] (R) 8
[illegible] (D) 38
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 13

J. BECK (R) 8
J. LANG (D) 25
D. FOSTER (L) 6
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT
RACE # 15

S. WOODS (R) 8 11
D. THORNTON, JR. (D) 28
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
LABOR COMMISSIONER
RACE # 17

R. BUTLER (R) 8 12
R. BEASLEY (D) 25
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
PSC RATES
RACE # 19

C. CARTER (R) 8 9

PSC EATON
RACE # 19

C. EATON (I) R 9
G. MILLER (D) 28
E. GRAHAM (L) 4
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
PSC PRIDEMORE
RACE # 21

L. PRIDEMORE (I) R 9
D. A. RANDOLPH (D) 25
J. TURPISH (L) 4
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
US HOUSE 5
RACE # 40

J. R. LEWIS (I) D 28
Write-In 1
WRITE-INS 1
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
72/100 BACK FLAP
****

STATE SENATE 36
RACE # 145

R. GREGG (I) D 30
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
STATE HOUSE 59
RACE # 205

F. CARROL (I) D 29
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
CO COMM CONTROLLER
RACE # 60

S. PETTIS (I) D 30
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
SOIL SURVEYOR
RACE # 100

[illegible]

EXHIBIT

B

This spreadsheet may be viewed at https://coaltionforgoodgovernance.sharefile.com/d-s3917ca95b4f4f45a

| | | |

EXHIBIT C

ELECTION: (Check One)
- ☐ General
- ☐ Primary
- ☐ Runoff (if applicable)
- ☒ Special
- ☐ Presidential Preference Primary

USE BALL POINT PEN
Bear Down – You Are Making Three Copies

WHITE sheet to Secretary of State
YELLOW sheet to Superintendent
PINK sheet to Clerk of Superior Court or Municipal Clerk

DATE OF ELECTION December 6, 2018
COUNTY / MUNICIPALITY Fulton
PRECINCT 023/K 1060

TIME LAST VOTER VOTED _____

NUMBER OF REGISTERED VOTERS IN PRECINCT _____

DIRECT RECORD ELECTRONIC VOTING MACHINE RECAP

SECTION A: RECORD EACH UNIT

DRE UNIT NUMBER	Before Polls Open SEAL NUMBER	Before Polls Open COUNT NUMBER	After Polls Close SEAL NUMBER	After Polls Close COUNT NUMBER
103256	0763016			
103610	0591785			
124201	0591769			
136081	0591800			
142044	0591796			
129241	0591778			
133778	0591750			
110124	0591776			
125680	0591741			
107677	0591788			

SECTION B: TOTAL OF ALL VOTES CAST (ALL UNITS COMBINED) _____

SECTION C: NUMBERED LISTS and VOTER CERTIFICATES

ExpressPoll (See ExpressPoll Recap)	Supplemental	Total Voter's Certificates
Democratic Primary _____	Democratic Primary _____	Democratic Primary _____
Republican Primary _____	Republican Primary _____	Republican Primary _____
General/Special _____	General/Special _____	General/Special _____
Total (a) _____	**Total (b)** _____	**Total (c)** _____

SECTION D: TOTAL NUMBER OF PERSONS VOTING AS SHOWN BY:

1. Results Tapes (or Accumulator Tape Results) (Total from Section B above) = _____
2. "Voters Marked" (See ExpressPoll Recap) _____ + Supplemental List _____ = _____
3. Numbered Lists on ExpressPoll (a) _____ + Supplemental (b) _____ = _____
4. Voter's Certificates (c) = _____

NOTE: Numbers from D1, D2, D3, and D4 should match. If not, explain difference here: _____

We, the undersigned Managers, hereby certify that the above is a true and correct accounting on this the _____ day of _____, 2_____. **SIGNED IN TRIPLICATE**

Manager _____ Assistant Manager _____ Assistant Manager _____

Form RS-DRE-10

EXHIBIT

D

2018 General Election

November 6, 2018

DATE: NOV-06-2018
POLL CLOS: 1130:00

MIDVALE ELEM

VERSION: 1 COPY: 0
COUNT: 0 SIZE: 32M
ACCU-VOTE RELEASE: 4.5.2
REPORT: US 1.14.7

TIME: 19:05 11/06/2018
MACHINE SERIAL: 105536
PUBLIC COUNTER: 727
SYSTEM COUNTER: 5081

****
Accumulated Results For:
Machine# Copy Count
1 0 104
2 0 88
3 0 108
4 0 100
5 0 112
6 0 102
7 0 113
****
Total Count: 727
****

****
** PRECINCT: 1070 **
MIDVALE ELEM
****

BLANK VOTED 0
UNDERVOTED 235
WRITE-IN 43
****
Governor
RACE # 10
RUNNING 3
TO VOTE FOR 1

TIMES COUNTED 727
TIMES BLANK VOTED 3
B. KEMP (R) 389
S. ABRAMS (D) 307
T. METZ (L) 25
Write-in 3
WRITE-INS 3
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
923217 GLORIFY JESUS
537209 LAURA ROLLMAN
453453 LEWIS BROWN
****
Lt. Governor
RACE # 30
RUNNING 2
TO VOTE FOR 1

TIMES COUNTED 727
TIMES BLANK VOTED 28
G. DUNCAN (R) 405
S. R. AMICO (D) 292
Write-in 1
WRITE-INS 1

537209 LAURA ROLLMAN
453053 LEWIS BROWN
****
Lt Governor
RACE # 30
RUNNING 2
TO VOTE FOR 1

TIMES COUNTED 727
TIMES BLANK VOTED 28
G. DUNCAN (R) 406
S. R. AMICO (D) 292
Write-In 1
WRITE-INS 1
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
923217 CHRIST ALONE
****
Secretary of State
RACE # 50
RUNNING 3
TO VOTE FOR 1

TIMES COUNTED 727
TIMES BLANK VOTED 19
B. RAFFENSPERGER (R) 390
J. BARROW (D) 282
S. DUVAL (L) 36
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
Attorney General
RACE # 70
RUNNING 2
TO VOTE FOR 1

TIMES COUNTED 727
TIMES BLANK VOTED 18
C. CARR (R) * 426
C. BAILEY (D) 284
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
Commissioner of Agriculture
RACE # 90
RUNNING 2
TO VOTE FOR 1

TIMES COUNTED 727
TIMES BLANK VOTED 24

RUNNING 2
TO VOTE FOR 1

TIMES COUNTED 727
TIMES BLANK VOTED 18
C. CARR (I) R 420
C. BAILEY (D) 289
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
Commissioner of Agriculture
RACE # 90
RUNNING 2
TO VOTE FOR 1

TIMES COUNTED 727
TIMES BLANK VOTED 29
G. BLACK (I) R 445
F. SWANN (D) 253
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
Commissioner of Insurance
RACE # 110
RUNNING 3
TO VOTE FOR 1

TIMES COUNTED 727
TIMES BLANK VOTED 24
J. BECK (R) 398
J. LAWS (D) 257
D. FOSTER (L) 48
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
State School Supt [illegible]
RACE # 130
RUNNING 2
TO VOTE FOR 1

TIMES COUNTED 727
TIMES BLANK VOTED [illegible]
[illegible] (R) [illegible]
[illegible] (D) [illegible]
Write-In [illegible]
WRITE-IN 0
[illegible]

****
State School Superintendent
RACE # 130

# RUNNING	2
# TO VOTE FOR	1
# TIMES COUNTED	727
# TIMES BLANK VOTED	25
R. WOODS (I) R	442
D. THORNTON, JR. (D)	260
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
Commissioner of Labor
RACE # 150

# RUNNING	2
# TO VOTE FOR	1
# TIMES COUNTED	727
# TIMES BLANK VOTED	30
M. BUTLER (I) R	438
R. KEATLEY (D)	259
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
PSC Eaton
RACE # 170

# RUNNING	3
# TO VOTE FOR	1
# TIMES COUNTED	727
# TIMES BLANK VOTED	34
C. EATON (I) R	379
L. MILLER (D)	271
R. GRAHAM (L)	51
Write-In	2
# WRITE-INS	2

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
[illegible] MILLER
[illegible] STEPHANIE R GRAHAM (LIB)
****
PSC Publicans
RACE # 190

# RUNNING	3
# TO VOTE FOR	1
# TIMES COUNTED	[illegible]
# TIMES BLANK VOTED	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]
Write-In	[illegible]
# WRITE-INS	[illegible]

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
[illegible]
RACE # [illegible]
RUNNING [illegible]
TO VOTE FOR [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

****
FSE Primary
RACE # 190
RUNNING 3
TO VOTE FOR 1

TIMES COUNTED 727
TIMES BLANK VOTED 28
T. FRIEDMORE (I) R 398
D. A. RANDOLPH (D) 258
J. TURPISH (L) 50
Write-In 1
WRITE-INS 1
Write In Candidates:
Ballot Candidate
343386 CHARLOTTE HELLER
****
US House 6
RACE # 220
RUNNING 2
TO VOTE FOR 1

TIMES COUNTED 727
TIMES BLANK VOTED 9
K. HANDEL (I) R 414
L. MCBATH (D) 304
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates:
Ballot Candidate
****
State Senate 40
RACE # 250
RUNNING 2
TO VOTE FOR 1

TIMES COUNTED 727
TIMES BLANK VOTED 26
F. MILLAR (I) R 398
S. HARRELL (D) 303
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates:
Ballot Candidate
****
State House 81
RACE # 350
RUNNING 2
TO VOTE FOR 1

TIMES COUNTED 535
TIMES BLANK VOTED 12
E. OTEMI (R) 305
S. HOLSOMB (I) D 218
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates:
Ballot Candidate
****
State Rep 97
RACE # [illegible]
RUNNING 1
TO VOTE FOR 1

TIMES COUNTED [illegible]
TIMES BLANK VOTED [illegible]
[illegible]

EXHIBIT

E

Exhibit D

Midvale Elementary Precinct

DRE Election Night poll tape comparisons to Sec. of State official posted tallies for example races

Candidate/Contest	**Midvale DRE Poll Tape**	**SOS Election Day Tally**
Amico	292	328
Duncan	406	468
Lt. Gov. total	698	796
Total Ballots Cast	727	not available
Governor	724	824
Sec. of State	708	809
Attorney General	709	810

Note: similar patterns continue through all contests for which we have photograph of poll tape.

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDLS
Date: 1/8/2019 4:42 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, *et al*	)
	) Civil Action File No. 2018CV313418
Plaintiff,	)
v.	)
ROBYN A CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, *et al*	)
Defendant	)

DEFENDANT FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS' OBJECTION TO PLAINTIFFS' NOTICE OF PROFFER OF EVIDENCE

COMES NOW, Defendant Fulton County Board of Registration and Elections ("FCBRE"), by and through its undersigned counsel of record, and respectfully files its Objection to Plaintiffs' Proffer of Evidence.

1.

On December 5, 2018, the Court entered an Order setting the following schedule for this litigation:

a) All Motions in this case shall be filed by 5:00 p.m. on December 20, 2018;

b) All filed Motions are set for hearing at 9:00 a.m. January 9, 2019;

c) The case is set for final hearing from January 17, 2019.

2.

Defendants FCRE, Gwinnett County Board of Registration and Elections Secretary State of Georgia Robyn A. Crittenden and Geoff Duncan have each filed Motions to Dismiss as directed by the Court's December 5, 2018 Order.

3.

On January 8, 2019, Plaintiffs filed Plaintiffs' Notice of Proffer of Evidence.

4.

Pursuant to OCGA §§ 9-11-12(b) and 9-11-56, evidence outside the pleadings converts these motions to dismiss to motions for summary judgment and consequently, Defendants are entitled to 30 day' notice. *See Cox Enterprises, Inc. v. Nix*, 273 Ga. 152, 153 (2000). Accordingly, Defendant FCBRE objects to Plaintiffs' Notice of Proffer of Evidence and asserts that this proffer should not be considered at the motions hearing of January 9, 2019.

Respectfully submitted this 8th day of January, 2019.

OFFICE OF THE FULTON COUNTY ATTORNEY
Patrise Perkins-Hooker
County Attorney
Georgia Bar No. 572358
Patrise.perkins-hooker@fultoncountyga.gov

/s/ Kaye Woodard Burwell
Kaye Woodard Burwell
Georgia Bar No. 775060
Kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov
Cheryl Melissa Ann Ringer
Georgia Bar No. 557420
Cheryl.ringer@fultoncountyga.gov

David Lowman
Georgia Bar No. 460298
David.lowman@fultoncountyga.gov
Attorneys for Defendant Fulton County BRE

141 Pryor Street, S.W.
Suite 4038
Atlanta, Georgia 30303
Office: (404) 612-0246
Fax: (404)730-6540

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, *et al*	)
	) Civil Action File No. 2018CV313418
Plaintiff,	)
v.	)
ROBYN A CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, *et al*	)
Defendant	)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that on this day, I have caused to be electronically filed the foregoing **DEFENDANT FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS' OBJECTION TO PLAINTIFFS' NOTICE OF PROFFER OF EVIDENCE** with the Fulton County Superior Court using the Odyssey efile GA system which will provide automatic notification of this filing to all counsel of record.

This 8h day of January, 2019.

/s/ Kaye Woodard Burwell
Kaye Woodard Burwell
Georgia Bar No. 775050

GA000993

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDMH
Date: 1/8/2019 5:07 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, and JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, SECRETARY STATE OF GEORGIA, FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS, GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, DEKALB COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, and GEOFF DUNCAN,** **Defendants.**	**Civil Action No.: 2018CV313418**

SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF GEOFF DUNCAN'S MOTION TO DISMISS

NOW COMES the Defendant, Geoff Duncan, and files this Supplemental Brief in Support of his Motion to Dismiss by showing the following:

Argument

It's About Simple Math

Under Georgia law, "It is presumed that election returns are valid, and the party contesting the election has the burden of showing an irregularity or illegality sufficient to change or place in doubt the result of the election." *Hunt v. Crawford,* 270 Ga. 7(1), 507 S.E.2d 723 (1998); *Baker v. Cole*, 278 Ga. 532, 535 (2004). O.C.G.A. 21-2-527 provides that regardless of

the nature or seriousness of the electoral irregularities claimed, the Petitioners must establish that such irregularities were so sufficient to "place in doubt the result of the entire . . . election."

Numerous cases have addressed what must be shown by the Petitioners to prevail. In *Mead v. Sheffield*, 278 Ga. 268, 271 (2004), the Supreme Court held that the "the focus in an election contest involving illegal ballots is on whether they "exceeded ... the margin of victory." Similarly, in *Howell v. Fears*, 275 Ga. 627, 628 (2002) the Court held that "the contestor must affirmatively show that a sufficient number of voters voted illegally or were irregularly recorded in the contest being challenged to make a difference or cast doubt on the outcome." In *Fuller v. Thomas*, 284 Ga. 397,398 (2008), the Supreme Court set out the required test as follows: "Where the focus is on improperly cast ballots or irregularities in the conduct of the election, the number of illegal or irregular ballots necessary to cast doubt on an election is derived by taking the difference between the total votes cast in the election and the race at issue, and adding the margin of victory in the race at issue."

The Petitioners' claims that the DRE voting machines utilized in Georgia resulted in a flawed election for Lt. Governor. Plaintiffs' Notice of Proffer of Evidence. In support of this they allege the following facts: 1. There were substantially fewer votes cast in the Lt. Governor's race than in other "down ballot" statewide races; 2. The drop off in voting between the Governor and Lt. Governor's race was substantially higher with voters voting electronically than voters voting by paper ballots; and the shortfall in ballots cast electronically disproportionately impacted the Democratic Candidate for Lt. Governor Ms. Sarah Riggs Amico over Mr. Duncan. Petitioners' Petition Paragraphs 52, 53, and 54. Even if these drop offs were the result of malfunctioning election voting, which all Defendants have denied, Petitioners' Petition must as matter of law still be dismissed. <u>The reason is simple math.</u>

According to the Secretary of State's certified results, the total number of ballots cast in the various statewide races in Georgia on November 6, 2018, were as follows:

Governor: 3,939,328

Lt. Governor: 3,780,304

Secretary of State: 3,883,594

Attorney General: 3,862,370

Commissioner of Insurance: 3,861,625

State School Superintendent: 3,862,464

Commissioner of Labor: 3,849,450

PSC, District 3: 3,858,554

PSC, District 5: 3,855,670

Mr. Duncan's margin of victory over Ms. Amico was 123,172 votes (1,951,738 vs. 1,828,566).

Looking first at the difference between the Lt. Governor's race and other down ballot races, the highest number of votes cast in a down ballot race was in the Secretary of State Race in which 103,290 more votes were cast. This is 19,882 votes short of Mr. Duncan's margin of victory.

In relation to the Governor's race, Petitioners argue two things: 1. There was a substantial difference between the drop off voting between the paper ballot voters and the electronic ballot voters; and 2. The greater drop off had a disproportionately higher percentage impact on Ms. Amico.

In regards to the drop off in paper ballot voters versus the drop off in electronic ballot voters, the Petitioners assert that with paper ballots 98.9% of those voters who voted in the Governor's race also in the Lt. Governor's race and argue that the same drop off percentage should have occurred in the electronic balloting. They further argue that this shortfall had a

disproportionate impact on Ms. Amico, asserting that the shortfall resulted in Mr. Duncan receiving 98.5% of votes cast for Mr. Kemp but Ms. Amico only received 94.8% of the vote received by Ms. Abrams. Petitioners' Petition Paragraph 54, Petitioners' Response Brief, p. 13.

First let us look at what this means in terms of a raw vote number increase. Assuming the Petitioners' allegation to be true, it would have still resulted in only 3,895,955 votes being cast in the Lt. Governor's race, an increase in only 115,691 votes, which is once again less than Mr. Duncan's margin of victory.

The shortfall from Mr. Duncan's margin of victory increases further when you accept the Petitioners' assertion that she should have gotten the same percentage of her Democratic Governor running mates' votes that Mr. Duncan received from his Republican counterpart. In this Petitioners' scenario, Mr. Duncan would have received 1,948,732 votes and Ms. Amico should have received 1,894,830 votes. This results in a margin of victory for Mr. Duncan of 53,902 votes.

Conclusion

These simple mathematical flaws in the Petitioners' Petition and Brief is why Ms. Amico herself in a letter attached to the Petition admitted: "The number of residual votes in the Lieutenant Governor's race is unlikely to affect the outcome of my race ..."

Therefore, the Petitioners' Petition seeking a new election should be dismissed as a matter of law.

This 8 day of January, 2019.

DENTONS US LLP

Edward H. Lindsey Jr.
Georgia Bar No. 453075

Samuel S. Olens
Georgia Bar No. 551540

DENTONS US LLP
303 Peachtree St NE, Suite 5300
Atlanta, GA 30308
Telephone: (404) 527-4000
Facsimile: (404) 527-4198

Attorneys for Defendant, Geoff Duncan

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the foregoing SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF GEOFF DUNCAN'S MOTION TO DISMISS has been served upon all interested parties by electronically filing with the Clerk of the Court using the Odyssey eFileGA System, which will automatically send an e mail notification of such filing to counsel for the parties.

This 7th day of January, 2019.

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDMH
Date: 1/9/2019 11:44 AM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT,	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	
v.	)	CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV313418
ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS, GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, DEKALB COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, and GEOFF DUNCAN,	)	
Defendants.	)	

DEFENDANT ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN'S
NOTICE OF LIMITED PROFFER OF EVIDENCE

COMES NOW, Robyn A. Crittenden, in her official capacity as Secretary of State of the State of Georgia ("Defendant" or "Crittenden"), by and through counsel, and respectfully appears specially to proffer a transcript of the testimony of Richard A. DeMillo provided at an evidentiary hearing on September 12, 2018 in *Curling, et al. v. Kemp, et al.*, a case in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia (No. 1:17-CV-2989-AT) in which one of the

Plaintiffs here, Coalition for Good Governance, also was a plaintiff and was represented by the same attorney representing it in this case. (A copy of the transcript is attached as **Exhibit A**.)

Respectfully submitted this 9th day of January, 2019.

Christopher M. Carr
Attorney General
Georgia Bar No. 112505
Annette M. Cowart
Deputy Attorney General
Georgia Bar No. 191199
Russell D. Willard
Senior Assistant Attorney General
Georgia Bar No. 760280
State Law Department
40 Capitol Square, S.W.
Atlanta, GA 30334
Telephone: (404) 656-3357

/s/ Josh Belinfante
Josh Belinfante
Georgia Bar No: 047399
jbelinfante@robbinsfirm.com
Vincent R. Russo
Georgia Bar No. 242628
vrusso@robbinsfirm.com
Brian E. Lake
Georgia Bar No. 575966
blake@robbinsfirm.com
Alexander Denton
Georgia Bar No. 660632
adenton@robbinsfirm.com
Special Assistant Attorneys General
Robbins Ross Alloy Belinfante Littlefield LLC
500 14th Street, N.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30318
Telephone: (678) 701-9381

Facsimile: (404) 856-3250

Attorneys for Defendant Robyn A. Crittenden, Secretary of State of Georgia

EXHIBIT A

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
ATLANTA DIVISION

DONNA CURLING, ET AL.,	:	
PLAINTIFFS,	:	
vs.	:	DOCKET NUMBER
	:	1:17-CV-2989-AT
BRIAN P. KEMP, ET AL.,	:	
DEFENDANTS.	:	

TRANSCRIPT OF EVIDENTIARY HEARING PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE HONORABLE AMY TOTENBERG

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

SEPTEMBER 12, 2018

10:16 A.M.

MECHANICAL STENOGRAPHY OF PROCEEDINGS AND COMPUTER-AIDED

TRANSCRIPT PRODUCED BY:

OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER: ***SHANNON R. WELCH, RMR, CRR***
2394 UNITED STATES COURTHOUSE
75 TED TURNER DRIVE, SOUTHWEST
ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30303
(404) 215-1383

1 A P P E A R A N C E S O F C O U N S E L

2

3 **FOR THE PLAINTIFFS DONNA CURLING, DONNA PRICE, JEFFREY SCHOENBERG:**

4

5 DAVID D. CROSS
CATHERINE L. CHAPPLE
6 JANE P. BENTROTT
ROBERT W. MANOSO
7 MORRISON & FOERSTER, LLP

8 HALSEY G. KNAPP, JR.
KREVOLIN & HORST, LLC

9

10 **FOR THE PLAINTIFF COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE:**

11

ROBERT ALEXANDER McGUIRE, III
12 ROBERT McGUIRE LAW FIRM

13 BRUCE P. BROWN
BRUCE P. BROWN LAW

14

15 **FOR THE PLAINTIFFS COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, LAURA DIGGES, WILLIAM DIGGES, III, AND RICARDO DAVIS:**

16

17 CARY ICHTER
ICHTER DAVIS, LLC

18

19 **FOR THE STATE OF GEORGIA DEFENDANTS:**

20

JOHN FRANK SALTER, JR.
21 ROY E. BARNES
THE BARNES LAW GROUP, LLC

22

23

24

25 (...CONT'D...)

1 (...CONT'D....)

2

3 **FOR THE FULTON COUNTY DEFENDANTS:**

4

5 KAYE WOODARD BURWELL
CHERYL RINGER
DAVID R. LOWMAN

6 OFFICE OF THE FULTON COUNTY ATTORNEY

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

INDEX TO PROCEEDINGS

ELEVENTH AMENDMENT ISSUES REGARDING IMMUNITY AND STANDING

	PAGE
ARGUMENT	
by Mr. McGuire	16
by Ms. Bentrott	20
by Mr. Salter	22
by Mr. McGuire	31
RULING	
by The Court	32

HEARING ON MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

OPENING STATEMENT	
by Mr. Cross	35
by Mr. Manuso	40
by Mr. Brown	44
by Mr. Salter	48
by Ms. Burwell	62
by Mr. Cross	66
by Mr. Brown	70
WITNESS	**PAGE**
J. ALEX HALDERMAN, Ph.D.	
Direct Examination by Ms. Chapple	73
Cross-Examination by Mr. Barnes	96
Cross-Examination by Ms. Burwell	118
RICHARD DeMILLO, Ph.D.	
Direct Examination by Mr. McGuire	130
Direct Examination by Mr. Cross	143

(...cont'd...)

(...cont'd...)

WITNESS	PAGE
Cross-Examination by Mr. Salter	148
Redirect Examination by Mr. Cross	160
CHRIS HARVEY	
Cross-Examination by Mr. Cross	163
Cross-Examination by Mr. McGuire	176
Direct Examination by Mr. Salter	185
Recross-Examination by Mr. Cross	195
Recross-Examination by Mr. McGuire	200
MICHAEL BARNES	
Direct Examination by Mr. Salter	205
Cross-Examination by Mr. Brown	217
Cross-Examination by Mr. Cross	225
Redirect Examination by Mr. Salter	234
CECILIA HOUSTON-TORRENCE	
Direct Examination by Ms. Burwell	236
Cross-Examination by Mr. Brown	241
RICHARD BARRON	
Direct Examination by Ms. Burwell	243
Cross-Examination by Mr. Cross	265
Cross-Examination by Mr. McGuire	271

(...cont'd...)

(...cont'd...)

WITNESS	PAGE
Redirect Examination by Ms. Burwell	272
CATHY COX	
Direct Examination by Mr. Salter	275
Cross-Examination by Mr. Cross	296
Cross-Examination by Mr. McGuire	305

* * *

CERTIFICATE 323

1 MR. SALTER: Yes, Your Honor.
2 THE COURT: Call your next witness.
3 MR. McGUIRE: Your Honor, we would call Richard A.
4 DeMillo.
5 COURTROOM DEPUTY CLERK: Good afternoon, sir. Please
6 raise your right hand.
7 **(Witness sworn)**
8 COURTROOM DEPUTY CLERK: Thank you. Please be
9 seated. Please pull up close to the microphone. You can
10 adjust the microphone if you need. It is very important that
11 we all be able to hear you.
12 I'm going to ask you to state your first and last
13 name again for the record, and then please spell those names
14 for the record.
15 THE WITNESS: Richard DeMillo. R-I-C-H-A-R-D. My
16 last name is spelled D-E, capital, M-I-L-L-O.
17 Whereupon,
18 RICHARD DEMILLO, PH.D.,
19 after having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:
20 DIRECT EXAMINATION
21 BY MR. MCGUIRE:
22 **Q.** Mr. DeMillo, I'm Robert McGuire, counsel for Coalition for
23 Good Governance. You submitted two affidavits in this case;
24 yes?
25 **A.** Yes.

1 **Q.** They were Documents 277 and 285. You said that your
2 primary focus and your experience there was information,
3 communication, cybersecurity, and computer system testing?
4 **A.** That is my background, yes.
5 **Q.** I'm going to ask you some questions in that area of
6 expertise. Mr. DeMillo, do you have an opinion as to
7 whether --
8 THE COURT: And you are a professor at Georgia Tech?
9 THE WITNESS: I'm a professor at Georgia Tech.
10 **Q.** **(BY MR. McGUIRE)** You have also worked for other places as
11 well; yes?
12 **A.** I have, yes.
13 Would you like to know some of them?
14 **Q.** Have you worked at Hewlett-Packard?
15 **A.** I have worked at Hewlett-Packard. I was the chief
16 technology officer at Hewlett-Packard.
17 **Q.** Have you worked for the Department of Defense?
18 **A.** I have worked for the Department of Defense. I ran a
19 project called the software test and evaluation project for the
20 Department of Defense.
21 **Q.** And in those positions, were you dealing with
22 cybersecurity and computer system testing?
23 **A.** Frequently, yes.
24 **Q.** Mr. DeMillo, I'm going to ask you if you have an
25 opinion -- have you formed an opinion on the question of

1 whether Georgia's DRE system can be trusted to accurately
2 deliver election results?
3 **A.** Yes, I have.
4 **Q.** What is your opinion?
5 **A.** My opinion is it is not a trustworthy system.
6 **Q.** Okay. Why do you have that opinion?
7 **A.** Well, cybersecurity depends on three pillars of trust:
8 Protection, detection, and containment. And I think in all
9 three of those areas, there are unanswered questions that
10 experts who have looked at these systems, experts who know
11 about cybersecurity have about Georgia's system, the
12 vulnerabilities we heard about this morning. There needs to be
13 a rather full explanation of what protections are in place in
14 order to address those vulnerabilities. We heard the
15 nonexistence of paper ballots. That makes it difficult,
16 impossible to detect the vulnerability breaches when they
17 occur.
18 And we have yet to hear anything about containment. So in
19 the event of a catastrophic breach, how do you go forward? How
20 do you conduct the election?
21 **Q.** So I want to take that conceptual framework, and I want to
22 put what happened in this case -- the allegations in this case
23 in the context of that framework.
24 So talk about protection. To your knowledge, based on
25 your understanding of the allegations in this case, has that

1 pillar been satisfied?
2 **A.** So you hear about vulnerabilities in computer systems.
3 And these voting machines, like this one, are just computers.
4 And the way that you protect against intrusions is to
5 understand those vulnerabilities and build in safeguards.
6 But you have to go through the vulnerabilities one-by-one,
7 and you have to explain how about that vulnerability is going
8 to be addressed.
9 I can give you just a couple of examples of that if you
10 would like.
11 **Q.** Real briefly.
12 **A.** So you want physical security. You want to know that the
13 equipment has been secured, that someone can't come in and
14 tamper with it. But in the case of Georgia, we have no such
15 assurance. We really don't know very much about the physical
16 security of the systems.
17 You have to be able to have logical security. These
18 systems, particularly the DREs, are built on top of 25-year-old
19 computer technology that really didn't have security
20 subsystems. There is nothing like an AV system, an antivirus
21 system, that sits on this computer.
22 And so all of the things that you would do in the normal
23 course of events with modern computers to say, well, here is
24 how I address this vulnerability is lacking.
25 **Q.** So rather than go through the other pillars, I'm just

1 going to jump into something specific. So this machine -- you
2 see this machine in front of you?
3 **A.** Yes.
4 **Q.** Now, you saw Professor Halderman hack this machine?
5 **A.** Yes.
6 **Q.** So we know this machine is compromised; yes?
7 **A.** Yes.
8 **Q.** If the State of Georgia came to you and said, Mr. DeMillo,
9 we want to find out if this machine is compromised, could you
10 figure that out?
11 **A.** You could conceivably figure it out, yes.
12 **Q.** What would you have to do for this machine to figure that
13 out?
14 **A.** So what I would probably do is open the machine up, attach
15 some probes to terminals in the back of the machine, and read
16 the memory of the machine just like you would read a disc on a
17 PC. I would compare that image to the latest software that we
18 had from Diebold to see if it was a match or not.
19 **Q.** If you did that, how long do you think it would take to do
20 this machine?
21 **A.** I'm guessing, but I would guess about a half hour per
22 machine.
23 **Q.** Now, if Georgia has 27,000 of these machines, how long
24 would it take to do that?
25 **A.** It would take -- it would take 14,000 hours to do that.

1 **Q.** And then if you did that, the system would be good; yes?
2 **A.** No. Because the infection was spread by a contaminated
3 memory card. So as soon as you insert that memory card back
4 into the machine, the machine is contaminated again.
5 **Q.** So you have to check memory cards also?
6 **A.** You have to check all the memory cards.
7 **Q.** Same process?
8 **A.** Basically, the memory card readers are commodity devices.
9 You insert the memory card and check to see what is there.
10 **Q.** We have heard about other components of the system like
11 the GEMS server, the optical scan units, e-poll books.
12 Would those have to be checked also?
13 **A.** Yes. But very different processes for those.
14 **Q.** Shorter? Quicker?
15 **A.** Well, in the case of GEMS servers, it is really a
16 difficult problem because we're talking about software that
17 hides in the deep recesses of the operating system. Sometimes
18 in the software that loads as the machine is starting -- it is
19 there to not be detected. So it is in many cases impossible to
20 find it.
21 **Q.** Let's say you can check every single component that
22 Georgia uses in its election system. You did that, and you had
23 enough time, and you took care of that.
24 Would the system then be trustworthy to accurately deliver
25 election results?

1 **A.** No.
2 **Q.** And why not?
3 **A.** Because the second pillar isn't in place. You have to be
4 able to detect. And without a written record of voter intent
5 to conduct an audit against, you have no way of determining
6 whether or not the votes have been changed.
7 **Q.** So when you say written record, what do you mean?
8 **A.** A paper ballot. Hand-marked paper ballot.
9 **Q.** So do machines like this ever have any kind of written
10 record?
11 **A.** No.
12 **Q.** Does Georgia's DRE system have the capability to produce
13 an independent and paper audit trail of every ballot cast?
14 **A.** No.
15 **Q.** How do you know that?
16 **A.** Because I know the architecture of the machines. When I
17 was at Hewlett-Packard, I used to manufacture machines very
18 similar to this. If the machine is compromised, all the memory
19 in the machine is compromised. It doesn't matter how often you
20 print it it is going to come out to be whatever the malware
21 wants it to be.
22 **Q.** Are you familiar with Georgia's machines in particular?
23 **A.** Am I familiar with Georgia -- so I don't think that there
24 is a Georgia machine. I think these machines are manufactured
25 by companies like Hewlett-Packard, like Diebold for mass

1 market. They are commodity electronics.
2 They have components that come from all over the world.
3 Sometimes those get packaged into machines that are delivered
4 to Georgia, sometimes to California. And so, you know, the
5 idea of a Georgia machine from the point of cybersecurity
6 doesn't really make a lot of sense.
7 **Q.** So you heard the cross-examination of Professor Halderman;
8 yes?
9 **A.** Yes.
10 **Q.** Do you put any significance in the fact that he's looked
11 at versions 4.3, 4.4, and 4.6 but not 4.5?
12 **A.** No, not really. If you -- even if you assumed that the
13 ballot station software were perfect, they were clean, they
14 weren't contaminated, you have to understand that the hardware
15 and software that runs on these machines is commodity
16 electronics.
17 It is a Windows CE operating system that is notoriously
18 insecure. It doesn't have a security subsystem, for example.
19 And that is what you would compromise.
20 If I were going to approach this machine, I probably
21 wouldn't start with the ballot station software. I would start
22 with known compromises for Windows CE.
23 **Q.** Are you familiar with the National Academy of Sciences
24 report?
25 **A.** I am.

1 **Q.** Did that address a system that has been compromised by
2 being available to the internet for six months, or did it
3 address -- what did it address?
4 **A.** Well, it addressed the vulnerabilities of the system.
5 MR. SALTER: Your Honor, one objection for the record
6 if I may. It assumes facts not in evidence. But go ahead.
7 THE COURT: All right. So noted.
8 **Q.** **(BY MR. McGUIRE)** Let me ask you the question again. Your
9 second affidavit attached the National Academy of Sciences
10 report?
11 **A.** Yes.
12 **Q.** Yes?
13 **A.** Yes.
14 **Q.** So did that report look at DREs in their pristine state or
15 DREs in a compromised state?
16 **A.** So the National Academy report looked at the inherent
17 vulnerabilities of DREs, in particular paperless DREs, and said
18 that they are so dangerous that they shouldn't be used in any
19 further elections.
20 **Q.** So if you start with DREs that have been evaluated that
21 way and you make them accessible to anyone in the world over
22 the internet for six months, does that make them in your
23 opinion more or less safe?
24 **A.** Well, it certainly doesn't help. It makes them more
25 vulnerable, I think.

1 **Q.** Now, the defendants have represented in their opening
2 statements that they do testing.
3 **A.** Uh-huh (affirmative).
4 **Q.** Have you observed any of that?
5 **A.** I have observed logic inaccuracy testing.
6 **Q.** Does that set your mind at ease as far as the security of
7 these systems?
8 **A.** No. It really doesn't tell you anything at all about the
9 accuracy of the system as they are used in elections. And in
10 particular, it doesn't tell you anything about the accuracy of
11 the systems when they are under attack.
12 **Q.** Mr. Salter's opening also represented that DREs can be
13 audited. Are you aware of that?
14 **A.** No.
15 **Q.** How would you audit a DRE?
16 **A.** Well, the very idea of an audit requires two independent
17 sources of information or two independent transactions to
18 compare against each other. And the idea of auditing a single
19 machine is sort of circular reasoning.
20 If the machine is compromised, you have to assume that
21 everything in the machine is compromised. Therefore anything
22 the machine tells you about its internal state is unreliable.
23 **Q.** What about the safeguard of being able to run a recount?
24 **A.** Same thing. Same thing. You are just adding the numbers
25 up again and expecting to get the same result.

1 **Q.** We have heard some testimony or some questioning about the
2 accuracy of paper ballots in recording voter intent versus
3 optical scanners -- read by optical scanners --
4 **A.** Yes.
5 **Q.** -- versus DREs?
6 **A.** Right.
7 **Q.** The implication, as I understood it, was that DREs are
8 more accurate. Do you have a view on that?
9 **A.** Well, so let me say at the beginning of my answer that
10 recording human choices is an inherently error prong process.
11 So any way of doing that is going to be subject to error.
12 I'm not aware of any studies that say that DREs are any
13 inherently -- any more inherently reliable at recording human
14 choices than paper ballots.
15 **Q.** How can DRE be inaccurate in recording your choice?
16 **A.** Well, a lot of things happen. So one thing that happens
17 is that when a machine is compromised what gets compromised are
18 the relationship between the touches that a voter makes on the
19 screen and the names of candidates that are supposed to be
20 voted for when the screen is touched. And that would destroy
21 the accuracy of the vote.
22 Even if the machine wasn't compromised by malicious
23 software, these are old machines. And they require constant
24 calibration. Now, in fact, the machines -- the contemporaries
25 of these machines, the little small handheld devices that we

1 used to sell, when you started the machines up would first ask
2 you to calibrate the screen. And that was because they can't
3 really tell when you touch the screen have you touched exactly
4 the mark that you intend to touch.
5 **Q.** So relying on what you know of cybersecurity and computer
6 system testing, what would your solution be to make the
7 security of this system better?
8 **A.** Well, as I said, I think these are designed to be unsafe.
9 It is not clear that you can design in security to the system
10 that we have in front of us here. I think introducing a
11 voter-verified paper trail would help a lot. I think replacing
12 these machines with hand-marked paper ballots would
13 dramatically improve the system.
14 **Q.** Now, the defendants have accused the plaintiffs of being
15 Luddites. Do you know what a Luddite is?
16 **A.** I do know what a Luddite is.
17 **Q.** What is a Luddite?
18 **A.** A Luddite is a person who doesn't like technology and is
19 prone to go out and smash them whenever he finds them.
20 **Q.** Do you consider yourself a Luddite?
21 **A.** This is a strange career for a Luddite.
22 **Q.** And would paper -- going to paper ballots be a Luddite
23 solution to this problem?
24 **A.** No. I think every -- every cybersecurity expert, every
25 computer scientist that I know of who has weighed in on this

1 matter thinks that paper is the safest way to conduct
2 elections.
3 The weaknesses in paper are well-known. But you don't
4 have the unknown weaknesses of cybersecurity to worry about.
5 **Q.** And are you aware of how many Americans vote using paper
6 ballots?
7 **A.** I'm not.
8 MR. McGUIRE: All right. I'm going to stop there and
9 turn it over to Mr. Cross. Thank you.
10 THE COURT: I just want to ask you to clarify what
11 you said about the cards. I just couldn't catch what -- I
12 missed something in terms of how to detect whether a card has
13 been infected.
14 THE WITNESS: So you would read the card. There are
15 card readers. The old-fashioned computers used to have these
16 slots that you would fit these big fat cards into. You would
17 read it just like you would read a disc.
18 Then there are programs that pull the information off
19 those cards. And you can use it to compare it to other files.
20 And if those files match, then you would know that the card
21 wasn't in its original -- or was in its original state.
22 THE COURT: All right. Do you know whether that is
23 being done or not?
24 THE WITNESS: I don't know.
25 THE COURT: All right.

1 MR. CROSS: Very briefly, permission to approach the
2 witness with an exhibit.
3 THE COURT: All right.
4 DIRECT EXAMINATION
5 BY MR. CROSS:
6 **Q.** Mr. DeMillo, I hand you what has been marked as
7 Plaintiffs' Exhibit 5.
8 Let me ask you a couple of foundational questions if I
9 may. Are you familiar with something called the SAFE
10 Commission in Georgia?
11 **A.** I am, yes.
12 **Q.** And what do you understand that to be?
13 **A.** I understand it to be the commission that the Secretary of
14 State formed to make recommendations for acquiring the next
15 generation of voting systems.
16 **Q.** Is there a member of that SAFE Commission selected by
17 Secretary Kemp who is an information technology and
18 cybersecurity expert?
19 **A.** Yes.
20 **Q.** What is his name?
21 **A.** His name is Professor Wenke Lee.
22 **Q.** Do you know him personally?
23 **A.** I do. He is a colleague.
24 **Q.** At Georgia Tech?
25 **A.** Yes.

1 **Q.** And did you attend a meeting of the SAFE Commission just
2 last week?
3 **A.** I did.
4 **Q.** And did Mr. -- did Professor Lee put up a presentation at
5 the meeting?
6 **A.** He did, yes.
7 **Q.** And was that meeting in sort of a private lunch session of
8 the committee as opposed to the public forum?
9 **A.** It was a smaller room. But the public was invited in to
10 view the session.
11 **Q.** And did you observe Professor Lee's presentation?
12 **A.** I did.
13 **Q.** And did that -- what did that presentation concern just
14 very generally and briefly?
15 **A.** It was --
16 MR. SALTER: We're getting into hearsay. I just want
17 to make an objection. I would move to strike.
18 THE COURT: He is talking about the subject matter of
19 the presentation. You may proceed. Objection overruled.
20 But if there is going to be hearsay --
21 MR. CROSS: Let me do this. I'm going to move in
22 Exhibit 5 once he takes a look at it and authenticates it.
23 But the argument on hearsay, I will say, Your Honor,
24 is the SAFE Commission is organized by Secretary Kemp. He runs
25 it. He picked the people. So this would fall under a party

1 opponent admission we would submit, Your Honor, because this
2 is, in fact -- it is funny they laugh. It is almost offensive.
3 But this is the only thing they pointed to in all their
4 briefing that the Secretary of State has done to secure the
5 election. This is it. They say it is his initiative. And
6 this is the man he handpicked to be his cybersecurity expert.
7 MR. BARNES: Bring him in here.
8 THE COURT: All right.
9 MR. CROSS: Please do. Sorry, Your Honor.
10 THE COURT: All right. Enough. Find out what the
11 subject matter is. And if there is a presentation, then he can
12 present -- provide a copy of the presentation.
13 MR. CROSS: Thank you, Your Honor.
14 **A.** It was a tutorial to the commission members on the basics
15 of cybersecurity.
16 **Q.** **(BY MR. CROSS)** Take a look at Exhibit 5 you have in front
17 of you, and let me know if that looks to be a fair and accurate
18 copy of the presentation that Professor Lee made at the SAFE
19 Commission meeting just last week.
20 **A.** Yes, it looks like the same presentation.
21 MR. CROSS: Your Honor, we move Exhibit 5 into
22 evidence.
23 MR. SALTER: Objection, Your Honor.
24 THE COURT: What?
25 MR. SALTER: Objection.

1 THE COURT: You have an objection?
2 MR. SALTER: I have a hearsay objection.
3 THE COURT: All right. Well --
4 MR. CROSS: Your Honor, the additional argument I'll
5 make just briefly, one, it is not hearsay for the reason I
6 said. The additional argument I will make is we don't frankly
7 have to put it in for the truth because it directly contradicts
8 their position. And so even just to get it in front of the
9 Court to show that the statements were made by their own
10 cybersecurity expert --
11 THE COURT: Well, let me see a copy of it.
12 MR. SALTER: I didn't mean to interrupt.
13 THE COURT: You made your objection. We're going
14 to -- I can always in the end determine it is hearsay. But
15 we're going to proceed for now.
16 MR. CROSS: Thank you, Your Honor. I'll also just
17 note for the record, if I may, the rules of evidence don't
18 strictly apply in a preliminary injunction hearing. And Your
19 Honor is entitled to hear hearsay as well.
20 That was all I had, Your Honor. Thank you.
21 THE COURT: Could I see the exhibit?
22 MR. CROSS: Yes, please. I handed him the marked
23 copy, Your Honor.
24 THE COURT: That is all right.
25 So just when we say AV industry in 1998 or in 2000,

1 AV refers to?
2 THE WITNESS: Antivirus.
3 THE COURT: Antivirus. All right.
4 Are you through?
5 MR. CROSS: I just didn't know if you had any
6 questions.
7 THE COURT: No.
8 MR. CROSS: Thank you, Your Honor.
9 MR. SALTER: Can I ask for a ruling from the Court?
10 I'm not sure where my bounds are as to this exhibit that was
11 just tendered by Brother Cross.
12 Is the Court going to admit it or simply just allow
13 some testimony in as kind of a treatise?
14 THE COURT: Well, this is the presentation that was
15 given?
16 THE WITNESS: Yes.
17 THE COURT: I mean, I don't have to accept it for the
18 truth of the matter. But I'm going to allow it for what was --
19 it was presented, and certainly it seems to me one of the
20 points that the state has is that you are moving forward. So I
21 think, you know, it is relevant in that regard. I don't have
22 to accept it all for the truth of the matter asserted in here
23 though. But for the high points, that is something else.
24 MR. SALTER: May I examine from it then, Your Honor,
25 subject to our objection that it is --

1 THE COURT: You haven't been given a copy?
2 MR. SALTER: I have a copy, Your Honor. Counsel
3 provided me with a copy.
4 CROSS-EXAMINATION
5 BY MR. SALTER:
6 **Q.** Mr. DeMillo -- is it DeMillo or DeMillo?
7 **A.** DeMillo.
8 **Q.** I'm John Salter. Good to meet you, sir. I'll try to move
9 along as quickly as I can.
10 You have performed a study of the overall security system
11 at the state before? You participated in one through Georgia
12 Tech?
13 **A.** I supervised one.
14 **Q.** You supervised. Was this back in 2007 for Karen Handel,
15 Secretary of State at the time?
16 **A.** Yes.
17 **Q.** Okay. I will try to --
18 MR. SALTER: May I approach the witness, Your Honor?
19 THE COURT: What?
20 MR. SALTER: May I approach the witness?
21 THE COURT: Yes.
22 MR. SALTER: I apologize. I don't have enough copies
23 for the Mongol horde of lawyers here on the other side of me.
24 Your Honor, if y'all want one.
25 I don't know if we'll tender this or not. But I

1 wanted to have it present if the witness wanted to read it.
2 **Q.** **(BY MR. SALTER)** Can you identify the title page of that
3 document, Professor?
4 **A.** Security Study of the Processes and Procedures Surrounding
5 Electronic Voting in Georgia.
6 **Q.** And I'm going to stick and move. If I confuse you, let me
7 know. But I'm going to try to move along because I promised
8 five minutes, and that was the representation.
9 So when was this study done?
10 **A.** It was delivered -- well, you see on the front page it was
11 delivered May 2008. So it was done in the seven months leading
12 up to that.
13 **Q.** And was this -- was the final report actually made to the
14 Secretary of State at the time?
15 **A.** Yes.
16 **Q.** And did this assume -- did this study basically assume the
17 similar kind of software vulnerabilities that you have
18 testified today --
19 **A.** Well, this was --
20 **Q.** -- as a potential problem?
21 **A.** This was 2007. So 2007 we knew much, much less about the
22 vulnerabilities than we do today.
23 **Q.** Well, it did assume that -- you didn't perform a software
24 audit of e-voting machines because you accepted the findings of
25 previous software security studies; correct?

1 **A.** I'm not an author of the report.
2 MR. CROSS: Your Honor, by Mr. Salter's own
3 objection, this would appear to be hearsay. Nor does this
4 witness appear on this document. Could we --
5 MR. SALTER: It is actually a Government report.
6 MR. CROSS: I'm sorry. Could we just maybe have some
7 context of what it is and what we are doing.
8 MR. SALTER: I'm not tendering it yet. I am using it
9 as a learning treatise. He actually participated in the study.
10 It was actually funded. It is a Georgia Tech -- if you want to
11 call it a public document, Government report, it could come in
12 under Rule 4, the exception to the hearsay rule.
13 I'm just using it to examine this witness as a
14 proffered expert on cybersecurity, including the systems in
15 action in Georgia.
16 THE COURT: All right. Well, you can ask him
17 questions about it, and I'm not sure where you are going
18 though.
19 To the extent you know -- because I know you
20 participated in the review. I'm not sure you participated in
21 the writing of the study.
22 THE WITNESS: So these authors worked for me. So --
23 and I am familiar with the methodology they used. I'm not an
24 author of this report.
25 THE COURT: All right.

1 **Q.** **(BY MR. SALTER)** But you directed some of the study?
2 **A.** I supervised the study.
3 **Q.** Supervised some of the study. Are you familiar with some
4 of the findings that were made and furnished to the Secretary
5 of State?
6 **A.** Some of them, yes. It has been a while since I have
7 looked at it.
8 COURT REPORTER: Y'all were talking at the same time.
9 I cannot get you.
10 **Q.** **(BY MR. SALTER)** She's going to get on to us. Okay. Are
11 you with me?
12 Were you familiar with the findings that were presented to
13 the Secretary of State back in 2007 or '8?
14 **A.** Yes.
15 **Q.** And was some of the findings -- I want to ask you if this
16 sounds familiar. Was some of the findings a concern that a
17 single trusted person could install malicious software on a DRE
18 machine? Was that a finding?
19 **A.** I don't recall.
20 **Q.** Okay. So if you turn to Page 4 of the executive
21 summary -- I'll try not to take you through the whole entire
22 report because we don't have time.
23 MR. SALTER: And if I could, Amy, if you would light
24 up the ELMO here.
25 **Q.** **(BY MR. SALTER)** Do you see where it says election

1 officials would likely be able to detect certain technical
2 attacks by voters discovered in previous studies provided that
3 they are trained to recognize suspicious voter behavior?
4 Did I read that right?
5 **A.** Yes.
6 **Q.** All right. And in terms of what that is referencing, it
7 is talking about the fact that we know even in 2007 and 2008
8 when this was actually published -- we knew that you could
9 install if you had free access to it -- if you assume that,
10 that you could install a memory card with a malicious virus on
11 a DRE machine? We knew that back then, didn't we?
12 **A.** We did, yes.
13 MR. CROSS: Your Honor, again, is this coming in for
14 the truth? What are we doing here? This is clearly hearsay.
15 MR. SALTER: Your Honor, their only theory on why all
16 the other case law doesn't matter is because they told the
17 Court, well, there is a new threat. This isn't a new threat.
18 It is new that it is Russian and coordinated.
19 THE COURT: No. That is not true. The cases you
20 bring in this court often are based on new technology and new
21 efforts. They are not necessarily based on Russia in terms of
22 data breach and hacking.
23 So, you know, you can do this. I think you can
24 examine it. But I don't know that it obliterates everything
25 else. And I really think that we would spend a lot better time

1 if we could also deal with what has happened since that time.
2 But you are welcome to do it. You can spend all your
3 time on 2008 if you want, ten years ago.
4 **A.** Can I respond to your question?
5 **Q.** **(BY MR. SALTER)** Yeah. Was it known in 2008 that you
6 could install -- if you had access to a memory card to install
7 malicious virus malware on a DRE machine?
8 **A.** The question is: Who do you mean by you? So in 2007, we
9 didn't know about the kind of threat called the advanced
10 persistent threat. This is a kind of threat that is mounted by
11 organized crime, by nation states using advanced techniques.
12 The cartoon that the Judge noted in Wenke Lee's
13 presentation makes a humorous point about that. But it
14 nevertheless is the point that what has happened since 2008 is
15 that these people have much more capabilities than they had
16 in -- you know, in this time frame.
17 And so the things that we could do back then can now be
18 done over a long period of time using very complicated tools,
19 using advanced capabilities.
20 THE COURT: Things that we can do back then, what do
21 you mean?
22 THE WITNESS: So what it would take to install
23 malware on a single voting machine in 2007 has now been
24 automated to the point where an advanced persistent threat can
25 make a process of it. They can just like manufacture malware

1 to send out to these machines without even -- without even
2 contacting someone that is internal to the system.
3 **Q. (BY MR. SALTER)** My question -- if I can direct you back
4 to my question, I don't want to be here all day. In terms of
5 the demonstration that was made this morning with Mr. Halderman
6 -- Professor Halderman -- do you recall that? -- where he
7 inserted a memory card. He had a programmed virus on it, and
8 you insert that in -- that was something that has been known
9 for more than a decade as a potential vulnerability with DRE
10 machines; is that fair?
11 **A.** Yes.
12 **Q.** Okay. And one of the things in terms of going in with a
13 memory card and you unlock the key -- remember how he had to
14 unlock the key? You had to have a key; right?
15 **A.** (Witness nods head affirmatively.)
16 **Q.** Can you answer?
17 **A.** Yes. But that misstates what the demonstration was.
18 **Q.** No. He had a key; correct?
19 **A.** But he was playing the role of a poll worker. He wasn't
20 playing the role of an attacker.
21 **Q.** I'm not arguing with you. But he had a key?
22 **A.** Yes.
23 **Q.** He had the memory card?
24 **A.** Yes.
25 **Q.** And so in terms of a poll worker doing that, using the

1 key, putting the memory card in there, is that the kind of
2 thing that in terms of y'all's studying back in 2008 that you
3 referenced that kind of thing if observed by another poll
4 worker would be a suspicious activity and likely to be
5 discovered; fair?
6 **A.** No.
7 **Q.** Okay.
8 **A.** No.
9 **Q.** Let's talk about this. This is Page 4 where it says, even
10 if you were a poll worker, a single trusted person choosing to
11 act against the state may find an opportunity to alter the
12 software on a machine. That is basically what we heard about
13 this morning; fair?
14 **A.** Right.
15 **Q.** Okay. By limiting an individual's access to the voting
16 equipment, reliance on each individual's trust can be reduced;
17 correct? Did I read that correctly?
18 **A.** Yes.
19 **Q.** Do you know whether or not this recommendation was acted
20 on and that there was a reg implemented to increase and train
21 poll workers regarding watching not only voters inserting
22 something but also other poll workers potentially tampering
23 with the machine?
24 **A.** Do I know that?
25 **Q.** Yes.

1 **A.** No, I do not know that.
2 **Q.** Fair enough. In terms of -- I want to flip to this.
3 Well, let me ask one other thing.
4 Are you aware -- let me say this. Is it reasonable to
5 expect any software program to be error-free?
6 **A.** No.
7 **Q.** Absolutely not? Knowing that, isn't it reasonable for
8 people to design a system that has enhanced consistently
9 implemented physical security measures regarding the software
10 components and the chain of custody involved that is implicated
11 by that; fair?
12 **A.** Physical security of software components?
13 **Q.** Locking up the actual software devices that make the
14 memory cards; fair? You would lock that machine up?
15 **A.** Those are connected to the internet.
16 **Q.** Are you -- hold on. Is it your testimony that your
17 opinions are based on the idea that the computers that form --
18 that populate the ballots that make the memory cards -- is it
19 your testimony that that is connected to the internet?
20 **A.** The GEMS servers are from time to time connected to the
21 internet, yes.
22 **Q.** That is the basis of your opinions here today?
23 **A.** I'm reading the training manuals that Diebold distributes
24 with its machines.
25 **Q.** So that -- so yes, the basis of your opinions today is

1 that the critical GEMS servers that populate the ballots for
2 the state and create the memory cards -- that that is sometimes
3 connected to the internet? That is your opinion?
4 **A.** Yes.
5 **Q.** One of the things you have testified that you are talking
6 about here in this presentation or whatever it was last week --
7 do you have a complete and reasonable understanding of the data
8 encryption that is used by the Secretary of State in the
9 Secretary of State's IT department?
10 **A.** No.
11 **Q.** Do you have a -- same question as to the blocking
12 parameters that are used in the Secretary of State's IT
13 department in relation to election security.
14 **A.** I don't know what blocking parameters means.
15 **Q.** In terms of how -- do you have personal direct knowledge
16 regarding how updated the security patches are on the critical
17 infrastructure technology equipment used by the Secretary of
18 State's IT department?
19 **A.** No.
20 **Q.** Do you have personal, direct knowledge regarding the
21 penetration testing and the methodology that is used?
22 THE COURT: All right. Listen, if you want to enter
23 into a stipulation as to all of those questions, since I know
24 none of it has been provided to me and it was very -- and might
25 have all been relevant, could have been under seal -- but there

1 is no indication that any -- because of the affidavits given
2 here that these individuals have been provided that access.
3 MR. SALTER: They haven't. Absolutely not. That is
4 my next question.
5 THE COURT: So then why don't you stipulate? We
6 don't have a jury here. Let's save time. Stipulate and just
7 move on.
8 MR. SALTER: Do y'all stipulate that the plaintiffs
9 have no knowledge regarding penetration technology
10 (unintelligible) --
11 COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, Mr. Salter. I just need
12 you to slow down, please.
13 MR. SALTER: Yes, ma'am. I'm sorry.
14 COURT REPORTER: I got about four words.
15 MR. BROWN: We will stipulate that there is no
16 evidence in the record describing what he is talking about and
17 that we're unaware of whether Professor DeMillo in his capacity
18 at Georgia Tech or otherwise has knowledge of that.
19 And Mr. Salter is way over his time and eating into
20 Fulton County's time and eating into our time later today.
21 MR. SALTER: Fair enough.
22 **Q. (BY MR. SALTER)** But do you have any personal knowledge to
23 that in terms of basing your opinions regarding how we use
24 penetration testing?
25 **A.** I'm not aware that you use penetration testing.

1 MR. SALTER: I will roll through this and wind up and
2 then let --
3 THE COURT: Well, I'm going to -- unless Fulton
4 County wants to -- I'm going to let Fulton County's counsel
5 appear at this point unless --
6 MS. BURWELL: I will concede my time.
7 **Q. (BY MR. SALTER)** Mr. DeMillo, can you testify from your
8 personal knowledge regarding a single instance in which a flash
9 drive or some other medium-type device was inserted -- has been
10 inserted into the server used at the Secretary of State's IT
11 department that led to a vote being changed on the DRE machine?
12 **A.** I'm not -- ask the question again. I'm not sure what you
13 are asking.
14 **Q.** Are you aware of a single instance in which a corrupted
15 flash drive or other medium was inserted into the server at the
16 Secretary of State's office, the one that is kept behind -- I
17 will represent to you is kept behind a locked door -- that
18 somehow resulted in a malicious virus that changed a vote in
19 Georgia?
20 **A.** I don't know how I would be aware of that.
21 **Q.** Do you have -- can you testify to an instance in which
22 that has happened anywhere in the country under election -- in
23 a real election anywhere in the country?
24 **A.** Ask me again what you are saying.
25 **Q.** I was removing it out of the Georgia Secretary of State's

1 server that populates the ballots that creates the memory
2 cards.
3 Are you aware of a single instance anywhere in the country
4 where a virus was propagated down through the server that
5 creates the ballot format, through the memory card, on to a DRE
6 machine?
7 **A.** I don't think so, no.
8 MR. SALTER: I'm over my time, Judge, and I know it,
9 and I'll hush, but I think that establishes where we are.
10 THE COURT: All right. And I trust then that you are
11 going to present some actual affirmative evidence from the
12 Secretary of State's office.
13 MR. SALTER: Could I ask one more question?
14 THE COURT: No. No, you cannot.
15 Is this witness excused?
16 MR. McGUIRE: Yes, Your Honor.
17 MR. CROSS: Your Honor, just one question if I may.
18 THE COURT: Yes.
19 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
20 BY MR. CROSS:
21 **Q.** Professor DeMillo, do you have Professor Lee's
22 presentation in front of you?
23 **A.** Yes, I do.
24 **Q.** So Mr. Salter turned you to the last page, operation and
25 maintenance and some best practices there. Do you see that?

1 **A.** The last page?
2 **Q.** Yes.
3 **A.** Yes.
4 **Q.** That is the one he put up?
5 **A.** Yes.
6 **Q.** Just very briefly, do you see the one on the page a few
7 pages before, same heading, with the subheading specification
8 and design and it says paper ballots done right?
9 **A.** Uh-huh (affirmative).
10 **Q.** Do I read this correctly that even with the best practices
11 that Mr. Salter put up the only specification and design for an
12 election system that Professor Lee offered in this presentation
13 that has the characteristics of verifiably cast as intended,
14 verifiably collected as cast, and verifiably counted as
15 collected, and cannot by completely controlled -- or be
16 completely controlled or manipulated by any cyber component --
17 the only specification design are paper ballots done right with
18 auditing?
19 **A.** Yes.
20 MR. CROSS: Thank you.
21 THE COURT: Do you want your -- what were you
22 planning to do, Mr. Salter?
23 MR. SALTER: Could we tender that, Judge. We'll
24 offer that as D-1.
25 MR. McGUIRE: We have nothing else for the professor.

1 THE COURT: Do you have any objection to the exhibit
2 being offered by Mr. Salter?
3 MR. SALTER: Let me identify it. I think it is the
4 2008 report by Georgia Tech in which Mr. -- is it Professor
5 DeMillo?
6 THE WITNESS: Professor, Dr. DeMillo.
7 MR. SALTER: -- that Dr. DeMillo had some role in
8 supervising the report.
9 Any objection?
10 MR. CROSS: Your Honor, our position would be that
11 they should be received the same way. So we have no objection
12 if he withdraws his objection to ours. If he is going to stand
13 on his objection, then we're forced to make a hearsay
14 objection. I say just let it all come in and let Your Honor
15 figure out the facts.
16 THE COURT: They are both basically presented to the
17 Government as part of the Government process. They are both
18 admitted.
19 MR. CROSS: Thank you, Your Honor.
20 THE COURT: Thank you.
21 Who is your next witness?
22 MR. CROSS: Your Honor, the plaintiffs call to the
23 stand Chris Harvey.
24 THE COURT: How long do you anticipate?
25 MR. CROSS: I'm going to try to stick to 15 minutes,

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served the within and foregoing **DEFENDANT ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN'S NOTICE OF LIMITED PROFFER OF EVIDENCE** on all parties by electronically filing it with the Clerk of the Court using the Odyssey eFileGA system, which will automatically send an email notification of such filing to counsel for the parties.

This 9th day of January, 2019.

/s/ Josh Belinfante
Josh Belinfante

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDQW
Date: 1/9/2019 4:14 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTH DUVAL, and JEANNE DUFORT, Plaintiffs,	)	
v.	)	Civil Action File No. 2018CV313418
ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et. al. Defendants.	)	

ORDER ON DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS COUNT 2 AND COUNT 3 OF PLAINTIFFS' PETITION

The Court having heard oral argument on Defendants' Motion To Dismiss Count 2 and Count 3 of Plaintiffs' Petition and Defendants and Plaintiffs having been heard, and the Plaintiffs' Petition having been read and considered,

It is hereby ordered and adjudged as follows:

1.

"Under O.C.G.A. § 9-11-12(b)(6) a motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted should not be sustained unless 1) the allegations of the complaint disclose with certainty that the claimant would not be entitled to relief under any state of provable facts asserted in support thereof; and (2) the movant establishes that the claimant could not possibly introduce evidence within the framework of the complaint sufficient to warrant a grant of the relief. Nevertheless, where the face of the complaint demonstrates that the plaintiff can prove no set of facts to support an essential element of a claim, dismissal of that claim is appropriate. Even when a complaint is liberally construed, there still must be some legal basis for recovery." Lord v. Lowe 318

Ga. App. 222 (2012)

2.

As to Plaintiff's allegations in Count 2 and Count 3 the Court finds there is no basis for recovery even if all allegations are deemed correct.

3.

Count 2 alleges that the individual Plaintiff's fundamental right to vote have been infringed in violation of the 14th Amendment.

4.

In Favorito v. Handel 285 Ga. 795 (2009) the Supreme Court of Georgia ruled that the establishment of uniform DRE voting system did not violate equal protection.

5.

The Plaintiffs have not alleged that their right to vote was denied or infringed.

6.

In Count 3 the Plaintiffs' allege that they were denied equal protection under the 14th Amendment because voting on DRE is less effective than those voters who used paper ballots.

7.

In Favorito v. Handel 285 Ga. 795 (2009) the Court held

"We cannot see how Georgia has violated the Equal Protection Clause of the 14th Amendment by making available these two alternative paths, neither of which can be assumed to be inherently more burdensome than the other." Jenness v. Fortson 403 U.S. 431, 440-441. (1971)

8.

The Plaintiffs have not alleged whether they voted on DRE by paper ballot.

9.

Applying all the applicable law to the Plaintiffs allegations in Count 2 and Count 3 the Plaintiffs have failed to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

Therefore Count 2 and Count 3 of Plaintiffs' Complaint are dismissed.

SO ORDERED this 9th day of January, 2019.

The Honorable Adele P. Grubbs
Senior Judge, State of Georgia
Assisting per Order of Appointment

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT,	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	
v.	)	CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV313418
ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS, GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, DEKALB COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, and GEOFF DUNCAN,	)	
Defendants.	)	

AFFIDAVIT OF MICHAEL BARNES

COMES NOW Michael Barnes before the undersigned officer, authorized to administer oaths, who deposes and states:

1.

I am over twenty-one years of age and am competent to testify regarding the matters herein. Unless otherwise indicated, statements in this affidavit are based on my personal knowledge.

2.

I currently am the Director of the Center for Election Systems, Office of Secretary of State – Robyn A. Crittenden ("CES"). I was formally Director of the Center for Election Systems at Kennesaw State University ("KSU"), which was an outside contractor with the Office of the Secretary of State, from 2010 until December 31, 2017. Beginning on January 1, 2018, all elections functions that were previously performed at KSU were moved to an internal department in the Office of the Secretary of State. I first began working at CES KSU in 2005. Prior to that time, I was the Assistant Director of Elections for the Georgia Secretary of State, and in that position I directed the State of Georgia's transfer to a uniform system of voting; i.e., DRE (direct electronic voting) machines.

3.

My work at CES and KSU to acceptance test DRE voting machines ("DREs"), build election databases for DREs, and to train and assist counties in preparing and using DREs has caused me to understand both how Georgia's DRE voting machines save and preserve data after an election as well as the operation of the Global Election Management Software ("GEMS") in Georgia.

4.

Georgia's DREs use both internal memory hardware and removable external memory cards. During an election, data files are saved to both the internal memory

and removable external memory cards. These files, contain the data collected and operations performed during the DRE's use in an election. For example, when a voter hits the cast ballot button on a DRE, the audit log records an entry with a timestamp and the words "Ballot Cast". The information about who the voter was or what selections he or she made are not recorded to the audit log. The elector's vote selections are recorded in both the intnernal memory and the removable external memory card. No information about a voter is recorded to any file on the DRE.

5.

Once the external memory card is inserted into the DRE and the DRE is powered on, the DRE begins to store identical copies of dataon both the external memory card and the DRE's internal memory. As the DRE is interacted with by election officials and voters, both the external memory card and internal memory are updated in tandem. When the election is ended on the DRE by a poll manager on election night, the external memory card that is removed in order for votes to be tallied contains a copy of the data collected and operations performed. The DRE's internal memory keeps its identical copy of the same data in a folder specific to that election.

6.

The GEMS database in each county in Georgia is capable of producing numerous reports of election data, including five different reports that speak directly

to the allegations made in this case: (1) Base Precincts With Races Report; (2) Ballot Image Report; (3) Vote Center With Cards Report; (4) Statement Of Votes Cast Report; and (5) Summary Report. If there were irregularities or failures with respect to programming, vote recordation, the appearance of candidates on a ballot in a given election, they would appear in these reports. These reports can be made available electronically or in hard copy.

7.

Providing further access to GEMS presents a significant risk to the security of Georgia's election system because it would expose critical, confidential information that could allow a malicious actor to compromise the integrity of Georgia's elections. If someone had direct access to the GEMS database, he or she would have a roadmap or schematic needed to create malware that could attack Georgia's election technology. Individuals who build malware used to infect voting machines have been trying to get access to a copy of the GEMS database for many years, but Georgia has never released this information. Releasing a copy of the GEMS database, as opposed to letting Plaintiffs view the GEMS data through its reports, would critically affect Georgia's ability to conduct secure elections.

8.

Inspection of the internal memory of a DRE itself would be destructive, because it requires direct access to and removal of the memory chip on the DRE's

motherboard. Viewing the data on the removable memory card that was used in an election provides a copy of the DRE's internal memory recorded in that election, however, and that is accessible without causing damage or destruction to the DRE. However, allowing Plaintiffs to have a copy of a memory card, rather than allowing them to view the data through a DRE as the memory cards are meant to be used, would also critically affect the security of Georgia's elections. A copy of the memory card would contain file names, structures, and other information that a researcher or hacker would want in order to create malware meant to affect the correct operation of those cards. Georgia has received multiple requests for copies of the data from an external memory card but has never released that data. The state has allowed people to view the contents of a memory card through a DRE as it is meant to be used.

9.

Direct examination, inspection, or testing of a DRE machine's internal memory would expose critical, confidential information that could allow a malicious actor to compromise the integrity of Georgia's elections, as would the act of copying data from a removable memory card.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT.

Michael Barnes

Sworn to and subscribed before me
this 11th day of January, 2019.

Breanna Thomas
Notary Public

BREANNA THOMAS
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES
NOTARY
PUBLIC
DECEMBER 15, 2020
DEKALB COUNTY, GEORGIA

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDLS
Date: 1/11/2019 1:51 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, et al., **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV313418**

ORDER ON PENDING MOTIONS

This case came before the Court for a non-evidentiary hearing on the following pending motions: (a) the motions to dismiss filed by each of the defendants: Defendant Robyn A Crittenden ("the Secretary), Defendant Geoff Duncan ("Duncan"), Defendant Fulton County Board of Registration and Elections ("Fulton County Board"), and Defendant Gwinnett County Board of Registration and Elections ("the Gwinnett Board") (collectively "the Motions to Dismiss"); (b) Plaintiffs' Emergency Motion for Inspection of Electronic Election Equipment and Production of Documents ("Plaintiffs' Motion for Inspection"); and (c) Plaintiffs'

Motion for Perservation of Specific Electronic Election Records in Gordon and Murray Counties. After considering the briefs and responses, and arguments of consel, the Court rules as follows:

A. Motions to Dismiss

The Motions to Dismiss raised several distinct issues, ruled upon below:

1. Secretary as Proper Defendant

The Secretary moved to dismiss Count I of the Petition (the Election Contest Claim) as to the Secretary, arguing that she is not a proper "Defendant" for purposes of Plaintiffs' Election Contest Claim. The Court agrees. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2) defines "Defendant" as "(C) the election superintendent or superintendents who conducted the contested primary or election." O.C.G.A. § 21-2-2(35) in term defines "superintendent" as follows:

> Either the judge of the probate court of a county or the county board of elections, the county board of elections and registration, the joint city-county board of election, or the joint city-county board of elections and registrations, if a county has such.

The Secretary does not fall within any of the definitions of "superintendent."

Plaintiffs argue, among other things, that the definition of "election superintendent" found in Chapter 4 of Title 21 should control. O.C.G.A. § 21-4-3(A) defines "election superintendent": "In the case of any elected state officers, the Secretary of State." This definition, however, is found in Chapter 4, not

Chapter 2, and this election contest concerns Chapter 2. The Court disagrees, and holds that the definition of "superintendent" in the election contest chapter controls and, since the Secretary does not fall within that definition, the Secretary is not a proper party defendant to Count I and should be dismissed from that Count.

2. Whether 159 Counties are Necessary Parties

Fulton County Board and Gwinnett County Board argue that the other 157 election superintendents must be joined in the state-wide election contest because all 159 election superintendents "conducted the contested…election." O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2)(C). That section would allow other counties to be joined to this action, but does not require such joinder. In addition, O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(b) gives the Court "plenary power, throughout the area in which the contested primary or election was conducted, to make, issue, and enforce all necessary orders, rules, processes, and decrees for a full and proper understanding and final determination and enforcement of the decision of every such case." The joinder of the other 157 counties, therefore, is not necessary at this time, and the motions to this extent are denied.

3. *Service of Process*

In their briefs, Defendants argued that the Petition should be dismissed because service had not been perfected on the Defendants. Plaintiffs have now served Defendants so the motions to this extent are denied.

4. *Coalition as Party to Count I*

Defendants contend that Plaintiff Coalition for Good Governance is not a proper plaintiff to Count I, the election contest. Coalition does not disagree and has stipulated that it is not a party to Count I. Defendants' motion to this extent is granted as unopposed.

5. *Failure to State a Claim*

Defendants argue that the Petition fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. The Court disagrees in part. Defendants have failed to carry their burden of showing that Plaintiffs "would not be entitled to relief under any state of provable facts asserted" in the complaint or that Plaintiffs "could not possibly introduce evidence within the framework of the complaint sufficient to warrant a grant of the relief sought" as to Count 1 of the Petition. *Scouten v. Amerisave Mortg. Corp.*, 283 Ga. 72, 73 (2008) (citations omitted). The motions for failure to state a claim, therefore, are denied as to Count 1.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, by separate Order dated January 9, 2019, the Court granted the motions in part, dismissing Counts 2 and 3 of the Petition, which sought relief under the Fourteenth Amendment for violations of due process and equal protection, respectively, for failure to state a claim.

B. Plaintiffs' Motion for Inspection

Plaintiffs' Motion for Inspection is granted in part, as follows:

1. Plaintiffs (i.e., only Rhonda J. Martin, Smythe Duval, and Jeanne Dufort) are entitled to inspect the "GEMS" reports or complete electronic copies thereof for the November 2018 elections that are maintained by the Gwinnett County Board and the Fulton County Board. Specifically, Plaintiffs are entitled to the following GEMS reports maintained by the Gwinnett County and Fulton County Boards:

a. Base Precincts With Races Report

b. Ballot Image Report

c. Vote Center With Cards Report

d. Statement of Votes Cast Report

e. Summary Report

Reports shall be produced electronically or in hard copy. Only personnel of the Secretary of State or Fulton or Gwinnett Counties may access the GEMS servers

directly in connection with this inspection. The Plaintiffs shal be provided with copies of the information on CDs upon paying for the

2. Plaintiffs (i.e., only Rhonda J. Martin, Smythe Duval, and Jeanne Dufort) are entitled to inspect the DRE machines in post-election mode, using post-election memory cards that were used in the voting locations identified by Plaintiffs in the Petition, paragraphs 40,41, 44, 45, and 46. Plaintiffs may also examine the Internal memory storage of each such DRE unit. Plaintiffs are ordered not to in any way damage the DRE machines or the information contained therein, nor may Plaintiffs copy, image, save, or retain the DRE machines or the information contained therein. Plaintiffs may not upload or introduce any information into the DRE machines. Destructive testing shall not be permitted.

3. Defendants and Plaintiffs shall enter into an appropriate protective order preserving the confidentiality of confidential information, if any, obtained in this discovery prior to the commencement of any inspection authorized under this Order.

C. Plaintiffs' Motion Relating to Gordon and Murray Counties

This motion, which concerned the January 8, 2019 elections in Gordon and Murray, is denied as moot.

SO ORDERED this 11 day of January, 2019.

Honorable Adele P. Grubbs
Senior Judge, State of Georgia

~~Prepared by: Counsel for Defendants~~

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDQW
Date: 1/14/2019 10:54 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, Plaintiffs, v. ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al., Defendants.	Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE

Plaintiffs move for a continuance of the upcoming trial of this matter, which is currently set for Thursday, January 17, 2019, because (A) Defendants continue to delay in making the electronic election records and voting equipment available for examination; and (B) even if Defendants immediately begin to cooperate, it is not physically possible to complete even a small portion of the essential examination of the sample of DRE machines selected by the Court prior to trial on Thursday. As of the filing of this Motion, just two days before trial of this case, Plaintiffs have still not been granted access to the electronic voting equipment and related electronic records, access that Plaintiffs first sought six weeks ago by Emergency Motion on November 29, 2018. This alone warrants the granting of a continuance. In addition, Plaintiffs have not been afforded any opportunity to

conduct discovery, and Plaintiffs are entitled to discovery under the Election Contest Statute and the Civil Practice Act.

The Election Contest Statute contemplates that this Court will support the discovery efforts of voters who are challenging the legitimacy of a Georgia election by compelling production of evidence "in like manner and to the same extent as in other civil cases litigated before [the] court." O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(b). That right to discovery will be frustrated here if Plaintiffs are required to proceed in the constrained timeframe established by the current trial date. This Court certainly has authority to "proceed without delay to the hearing and determination of [this] contest, postponing for the purpose, if necessary, all other business," *id.*, but expedition at the expense of discovery is not required by the statute, for the Election Code expressly contemplates that a contest may be resolved after the office-holder has been sworn in. *See* O.C.G.A. § 21-2-503(c).

What is paramount is the legitimacy of the election. This election contest should not be expedited at the expense of permitting Plaintiffs to ascertain and prove, and the true extent of, the massive irregularities experienced almost statewide in the 2018 election and the resulting unprecedented abnormalities in the voting patterns that "place in doubt the result" of the election. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-527(d).

The sobering allegations in the Petition (¶ 31-33) regarding Georgia's compromised voting system must be reflected in the scope of the discovery

process, because the voting system, which was exposed to the public internet for at least six months during 2016-2017, has still not been examined for malware or repaired. The KSU server exposure had the potential to expose every voting machine in the state to malicious code or attack. Because of the unknown depth of issues that could stem from this massive compromise of the system, forensic examiners may encounter far more than routine programming errors, and their work cannot be given short shrift, given the serious implications of the entire system compromise.

The current schedule and scope of electronic records review will not provide Plaintiffs with a fair opportunity to prove the allegations and, crucially, will not provide the Court with the "full and proper understanding" of the case as the Election Contest Statute requires. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(b). Plaintiffs have done everything possible to accelerate this process thus far (having moved for an examination of priority of essential records more than six weeks ago) and will do everything in their power to complete the examination as soon as possible. But it is critically important that the Plaintiffs be permitted to choose the discovery necessary to prove their case—choices that will be informed by Plaintiffs' experts who know how DREs and the election system as a whole work and thus know where evidence necessary to prove the contest claim resides.

Crucially, Defendants and the Secretary of State do not contend, and have never contended, that the Plaintiffs' efforts at examination and forensic

investigation are not necessary to determine whether misprogramming, viruses, or malfunction caused the aberrant voting patterns or the alleged statewide machine malfunctions, or the impact of such problems.

Plaintiffs accordingly move for a modest continuance of this matter, to no earlier than three weeks after the date when the Defendants make available for inspection and examination all the electronic election records and equipment, and further move for an order requiring Defendants to make all such equipment and records available for examination by January 17, 2019, the original trial date.[1]

A. Facts

1. Plaintiffs' November 29, 2018 Emergency Motion

After promptly filing suit, Plaintiffs on November 29, 2018, filed an Emergency Motion for Inspection of a limited number of certain priority electronic and paper records and voting machines, to be supplemented or re-prioritized as discovery proceeded. On December 5, 2018, this Court stated that it would

[1] Plaintiffs anticipate filing a separate Motion Relating to the Inspection and Related Discovery Issues ("the Motion Relating to Inspection"). The Motion Relating to Inspection is not being filed this evening because this is a "moving target" and, as of the time of filing, Plaintiffs' expert is still working with Fulton County's technical staff in an effort to determine how best to copy the internal memory and to secure Fulton County's agreement to do so. Another issue presented is whether and to what extent the counties have altered probative evidence of the November 2018 election by using these DRE machines and associated memory cards in the December runoff election, use that can alter or destroy the memory and evidence of mis-programming.

In addition, in the Motion Relating to Inspection, Plaintiffs will be moving for access to the GEMS Microsoft Access databases from Fulton and Gwinnett County. Plaintiffs **will not** be seeking source code or an examination of the GEMS servers themselves, only the Access databases. As explained by Plaintiffs' expert, the GEMS documents that the Court ordered the Defendants to produce is not useful in the forensic examination. *See* Affidavit of M. Bernhard, submitted on January 10, 2019 (notarized copy attached hereto as Exhibit B). Access to the GEMS databases is crucially important in uncovering misprogramming or malfunction, and a more sophisticated forensic review will be impossible without it. *Id.* The Motion Relating to Inspection will also seek, to the extent necessary, expedited discovery on related issues.

address the Emergency Motion on January 9, 2018. Defendants did not file any papers in response to the Emergency Motion, thus conceding it.

2. Hearing on Emergency Motion and Order

The Court held a hearing on January 9, 2018, and, on January 11, 2018, entered an Order on Pending Motions that required Defendants to produce certain reports from the GEMS database and to permit Plaintiffs to inspect the internal memory of the DRE machines in five locations — three polling locations in Fulton County, one in Worth County, and one in Henry County. (Plaintiffs had referenced these polling locations in their Petition as illustrative examples of the alleged statewide problems with the voting equipment, but not as a comprehensive listing of election irregularities.)

The Court's Order on Pending Motions requires the counties to allow Plaintiffs to inspect the internal memory of selected DRE machines, and further states that the machines and contents shall not be damaged. Importantly, the only way the internal memory of the DRE machines may be examined without damaging and altering the internal memory is to make a complete image or copy of the internal memory, and then to examine that copy. The Court's Order does not permit the copying needed for an internal memory examination to be performed by Plaintiff (and it is Plaintiffs' preference to not be the party copying the memory). Thus, the requirements of the Order can be satisfied if the *counties* make a full copy of the internal memory, and then permit the Plaintiffs' experts to examine

that copy on county computers on site at the county location. This is what Plaintiffs proposed to Defendants on January 10, 2019, in an email between counsel. (*See* January 10, 2019 email from Plaintiffs' counsel to counsel for Fulton County, attached as Exhibit B to Affidavit of Matthew D. Bernhard, attached hereto as Exhibit B (and originally submitted to the Court on January 10, 2019)).

3. Defendants Still Have Not Made Election Records and Equipment Available for Inspection

On Wednesday, January 9, 2019, immediately after the motions hearing, Plaintiffs requested that the Defendants make their equipment and electronic records available on Friday, January 11, 2019. After some back-and-forth, Defendants indicated that the equipment and records could not be made available on Friday the 11th. Plaintiffs then requested that the equipment be made available on Saturday, January 12, 2019. No machines were made available on Saturday the 12th.

Meanwhile, in anticipation of conducting the inspection ordered by this Court, Plaintiffs on January 10 and January 13 sent counsel for Fulton County emails describing, step-by-step, how the investigation would need to proceed given the need to preserve the electronic evidence while also complying with the Court's Order. Plaintiffs flew their voting system computer science expert to Atlanta over the weekend to prepare for the inspection

It was not until today, Monday, January 14, 2019, that Fulton County assembled its DREs in the English Street location for potential examination by Plaintiffs' expert, beginning at 9 a.m. *See generally* Exhibit A (January 14, 2019 email from Plaintiffs' Expert Matt Bernhard to Plaintiffs' Counsel).[2] Plaintiff Rhonda Martin and Plaintiffs' expert, Matt Bernhard, arrived at the English Street location, but were unable to conduct any examination or investigation of the DRE machines or their internal memory. As noted above, Plaintiffs had explained to Fulton County last week (on January 10) that the only way for the parties to conduct the equipment examinations while complying with the Order on Pending Motions, and without altering the evidence, is for Fulton County to make a complete and accurate electronic copy of the memory. This morning, however, Fulton County had made no copy of the internal memory and was not prepared to allow Plaintiffs to instruct County personnel on how to make the copy. This prevented any examination of the DREs' internal memory, as the Court's Order on Pending Motions requires. The Fulton County officials told Plaintiffs' expert that they were unaware of the Court's order to permit examination of the internal memory, and further stated that they were unaware of a method to copy the internal memory. Plaintiffs' expert told Fulton's technical staff how internal memory can be copied without damage to the files or machine, based on his experience of

[2] Plaintiffs are filing this email without proper authentication in the interest of time. Plaintiffs will supplement the record with a proper affidavit authenticating the email.

frequently making such copies. The Fulton County officials then stated that they would need to consult with their colleagues, and the proceedings halted; Plaintiffs' expert left the premises without having been able to examine the internal memory of the DRE machines, which review has to precede any other examination of the DREs.

Plaintiffs are continuing to work with Fulton County's technical staff to educate staff on ways to securely make copies of the internal memory, and late this evening sent an additional email with alternative instructions that might enable faster work. Still, it is not certain whether Fulton County will agree to do what is necessary to allow for a proper examination of the DRE memory or when that examination can be expected to begin, let alone finish.

B. Need for Additional Time

In order for Plaintiffs to be able to prove the extent of the impact of misprogramming, viruses, or malfunction affecting the Lieutenant Governor's race, more time is required. Even if Fulton County were immediately to comply with the Court's Order on Pending Motions and start copying the selected DRE machines' memory onto laptops, it will physically take days before the copying is complete and it will require several weeks before the memory can be analyzed to produce evidence that can be presented meaningfully in court. According to Plaintiffs' experts, the time it takes to copy the internal memory of a DRE onto another computer can be quite variable, depending on the age and condition of the

machine and the size of the files. (*See* Exhibit A hereto). The copying can take from one hour per machine to several hours per machine. Though Plaintiffs will only be inspecting a few dozen DRE machines (a small fraction of the 27,000 machines used in the election), it will still take days to complete the copying and a substantial amount of time to forensically review the copies. *Id.* There is not enough time in the current case schedule for Plaintiffs to conduct these required activities.

It must be emphasized that there is no argument by the Defendants that this discovery is not relevant. They *know* it goes to the heart of the matter. Nor is there is any contention by the Defendants that this discovery can be completed prior to the trial of the case this Thursday, January 17, 2019.

Discussion

The Election Contest Statute gives this Court plenary power over discovery and scheduling so that the Court can gain a "full and proper understanding" of the case. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(b). Contrary to repeated statements by the Defendants, there is *nothing* in the Election Contest Statute that anticipates that the trial will be conducted in haste or that requires the Court to deny Plaintiffs a fair opportunity at discovery. The Election Contest Statute states that the contest is to be decided "promptly," but gives no deadline or arbitrary time limit on the proceedings. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(a). The Election Contest Statute states that the case is supposed to proceed "according to the course of practice in other civil

cases," and conducted "in like manner and to the same extent as in other civil cases litigated before such court." O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(b). This is a reference to the Civil Practice Act, of course, which applies to "[a]ll civil cases." O.C.G.A. § 9-11-40. The Civil Practice Act states: "All civil cases, including divorce and other domestic relations cases, shall be triable any time after the last day upon which defensive pleadings were required to be filed therein; provided, ***however, that the court shall in all cases afford to the parties reasonable time for discovery procedures, subsequent to the date that defensive pleadings were required to be filed***." O.C.G.A. § 9-11-40 (emphasis added).

The law governing this Motion for Continuance, therefore, is clear: the Election Contest Statute does not mandate accelerated proceedings or set arbitrary deadlines, but instead contemplates that the trial court use its plenary power to gain a "full and proper understanding" of the matter. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(b). The Election Contest Statute further states that the case is to proceed "in like manner and to the same extent as in other civil cases." *Id.* The Civil Practice Act in turn states that "the court shall in *all* cases afford to the parties reasonable time for discovery." O.C.G.A. § 9-11-40 (emphasis added).

The application of this law to the facts also cannot reasonably be disputed: three days to a week is not a "reasonable time for discovery" in any case, much less a state-wide election contest involving an investigation of why complex electronic voting machines malfunctioned. Here, there is no argument—by either

side—that two or three days is a sufficient, much less a "reasonable," amount of time in which to conduct meaningful discovery. Trying this case this week will also not allow the Court to gain a "full and proper understanding" of the case, as the Election Contest Statute contemplates.

There are a number of cases, many cited in the footnote,[3] which emphasize that time is of the essence in election contest cases. But none of these cases hold that "reasonable" discovery may be denied or even curtailed. Moreover, in each of the reported cases, the plaintiffs appear to have been given full discovery, whether that involved an opportunity to find and count every misspelled absentee ballot, *Mead v. Sheffield,* 278 Ga. 268 (2004), or to investigate allegedly mis-calibrated voting machines, *Taggart v. Phillips*, 242 Ga. 454, 455 (1978). Crucially, in no case found by Plaintiffs has *any* court compromised the full and proper

[3] *E.g., Broughton v. Douglas Cty. Bd. of Elections*, 286 Ga. 528, 528–29 (2010) (swift resolution of election contests is vital for the smooth operation of government); *McCreary v. Martin*, 281 Ga. 668, 670 (2007) ("Statutes providing for election contests are premised on the recognition that election-related appeals must be timely considered. *Jordan v. Cook,* 277 Ga. 155, 156, 587 S.E.2d 52 (2003)."); *Swain v. Thompson*, 281 Ga. 30, 32 (2006) ("While Swain's status as a *pro se* litigant and his initial efforts to correct the deficiencies in service of process evoke sympathy for him, the public policy favoring swift resolution of election contests and the high regard in which appellate courts hold the exercise of discretion by trial courts dictate that we uphold the trial court's determination that the failure to effect timely service of appropriate process in this case requires dismissal of Swain's election contest petition."); *Plyman v. Glynn Cty.,* 276 Ga. 426, 427 (2003) ("Our legislature put a very short fuse on election contest cases. OCGA § 21–2-524 requires cases contesting election results to be brought within five days of certification of the returns. This short time period reflects the legislature's strong desire to avoid election uncertainty and the confusion and prejudice which can come in its wake. Certainly, the swift resolution of election contests is vital for the smooth operation of government.")

adjudication of the issues in order to obtain a faster decision. *E.g., McIntosh Cty. Bd. of Elections v. Deverger*, 282 Ga. 566, 566 (2007) (four months after the general election, the trial court ordered a new election; the Supreme Court affirmed); *Taggart v. Phillips*, 242 Ga. 454, 455 (1978) (two months after election, the Supreme Court reversed the trial court's dismissal of contest petition and remanded for further proceedings).

Plaintiffs are not seeking extended delay. Far from it. Plaintiffs only ask for three weeks beyond the production of necessary records and equipment. -This is a small fraction of the discovery that would be allowed and considered "reasonable" in any other civil case of this complexity, particularly involving forensic examination of electronic records stored on old equipment using out-of-date software technology. The short amount of extra time (three weeks) that Plaintiffs request is the bare minimum that is required for a forensic examination of the DREs. This schedule presumes that Plaintiffs' forensics experts will have very little time to write their reports and that Defendants do not themselves need time to study the findings of Plaintiffs' experts before trial. A forensic examination of this electronic equipment is absolutely essential so that the Court can gain a full and proper understanding of the causes and extent of the misprogramming, irregularities, and malfunctions that plagued the November 2018 Lieutenant Governor's election—and that caused the election to be so defective as "to place in doubt the result." O.C.G.A. § 21-2-527(d).

Plaintiffs' instant Motion, which seeks only a three-week continuance, should therefore be granted. Plaintiffs further request that this three-week period begin to run when the counties have certified to the Court that the counties have fully complied with the Court's orders relating to discovery. Plaintiffs assume Defendants are acting in good faith, but one problem with the current fixed trial date is that Defendants have a powerful disincentive to achieve full and timely compliance with their discovery obligations. Scheduling the trial with reference to the date that Defendants comply is fair to all parties, and will ensure the speedy and just resolution of this matter.

A proposed order is attached.

Respectfully submitted this 14th day of January, 2019.

/s/ Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Georgia Bar No. 064460
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com
Bruce P. Brown Law LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE, Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700

Attorney for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing via the Court's e-filing system to all counsel of record.

This 14th day of January, 2019.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown

EXHIBIT

A

Subject: FW: Confidential Notes about today
Date: Monday, January 14, 2019 at 8:53:10 PM Eastern Standard Time
From: Bruce Brown
To: Bruce Brown

From: Matt Bernhard <mdb92nc@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, January 14, 2019 8:48 PM
To: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Subject: CONFIDENTIAL Notes about today

Hi Bruce,

I just wanted to recap what happened at English Street this morning as well as discuss steps moving forward.

The gist is that the state say that they didn't interpret "internal memory" accurately, and were unwilling to create copies to allow us to perform the inspection of the internal memory without first consulting with counsel.

Below is a detailed description of our time at English Street:

After we signed the confidentiality agreements, we went into the warehouse with Michael Barnes, Ryan Germany, Cheryl Ringer, Kevin Rayburn, Rick Barron, Derek Gilstrap. Ronnie Martin inquired as to why the SoS people were there now that they are no longer party to the lawsuit, and Ms. Ringer informed us that the SoS owns all the equipment and is therefore entitled to be there.

We then discussed how they were accommodating our request to inspect the internal machine memory, and Mr. Barnes (from the SOS office) said they intended to create a copy of the election archive for the November on each machine. I informed them that that is not an accurate interpretation of "examination of the internal memory."

Mr. Barnes said that he did not know how to give us access to the internal memory without ripping out the flash chips. I informed him about the JTAG process I have used in the past on TSx machines, and informed him, the SoS lawyers, and Ms. Ringer that I would be happy to provide them with all commands that I would run ahead of time. Someone (I think Mr. Rayburn) inquired as to whether using JTAG created a security vulnerability, and I told him that it can, but we would be happy for the state or Fulton county to provide the adapter and the laptop on which the copies would be made, and to let an independent inspector observe the process. Obviously, if the state or the county performs these processes as intended then there are fewer security risks, and I strongly prefer that outcome.

Then we went over to the GEMS server and Mr. Gilstrap started copying the reports in the court order to CD. We got all reports except the Ballot Image Report. Mr. Barnes explained that to generate the Ballot Image Report, Mr. Gilstrap would have to manually create over 2,000 reports, as the GEMS software does not support doing this in batches. Essentially, it stores a mapping of precinct->memory card->ballots, and reports can only be generated by selecting each memory card, of which there are 2,084 (I believe). We obtained two ballot image reports and said we'd discuss this with you prior to proceeding. Mr. Gilstrap burned me a CD with four standard reports, which I read and sent over to you later today. Obtaining the GEMS database would be equivalent to all of the above steps, and far more elegant, as it wouldn't require the county to

GA001075

spend countless hours generating Ballot Image Reports for every voting machine in the county.

Then we went over to inspect the machines. All machines that were selected for inspection were TS machines. All but one were still sealed (apparently the seal broke off of one of the Grady High School machines, but I did not record its serial number). The County said that all of the machines were used in the December election, which will impair the value of forensic information we can obtain from them. I decided to contact you about the memory inspection prior to doing the physical inspection, as I didn't feel it made sense to unseal each machine, physically examine it, reseal it, and then do it all again tomorrow after they make copies of the memory. I also did not want to power on the machines, further altering the evidence, until we were sure that the county had made copies of each machine.

I should note that even if we solve the internal memory copying problem with the county, I don't currently have an estimate of how long it would take to copy the memory from each machine. My best estimate is that it will take several hours per machine, and it is not possible to copy more than one at a time unless there are multiple people and multiple laptops, adapters, and so forth for conducting the process. After copying, the other experts and I will need to analyze what has been copied, and that will take possibly weeks to do a thorough analysis of them all. Obviously, even if we start copying the internal memory tomorrow, there is no way we will have any meaningful results prior to the trial scheduled for Thursday.

If you have further questions please let me know.

-Matt

EXHIBIT

B

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV31348**

AFFIDAVIT OF MATTHEW BERNHARD

Appeared before me, the undersigned officer duly authorized to administered oaths, MATTHEW BERNHARD who, after being sworn, states as follows:

1. I am Ph.D. candidate at the University of Michigan in Computer Science with a focus on computer security. I received my Bachelor's degree from Rice University, and my Master's in Computer Science from the University of Michigan.

2. I have focused in the field of computer science, including cyber-security in voting systems since 2012, including specific work on new, secure voting technology (the STAR-Vote system from Austin, Texas), and better exploring the current landscape of voting technology and vulnerabilities. I have worked with the Verified Voting Foundation on gathering data about currently deployed voting systems. I consulted with the Jill Stein recount campaign in 2016 to assess threat models and incident reports in Michigan, Wisconsin, and Pennsylvania. I have also worked with other experts in the field to provide a theoretical survey of properties of election security.

3. During the last year, I have conducted focused research on Diebold AccuVote voting system, of the type used by Georgia, with a specific emphasis on TS and TSX machines. That research has included in-lab testing at the University of Michigan on machines acquired through eBay, during which we performed an in-depth technical analysis of the systems and found significant vulnerabilities. I have also on several occasions observed AccuVote units in the field in Georgia, both at the Fulton County Election Preparation Center on multiple occasions and at the Grady High School precinct during an election. As with lab testing, I observed

a significant number of operational vulnerabilities that make Georgia's election infrastructure fundamentally unsafe and untrustworthy.

4. I have published and spoken extensively about the cybersecurity and other risks of electronic voting systems and have assisted in preparation of other experts for Congressional testimony concerning these topics.

5. A copy of my curriculum vitae is attached as Exhibit A.

6. I am a consulting expert in the area of Georgia's Diebold Voting Systems for Plaintiffs in the voting system security case Curling v Kemp, pending in federal court in the Northern District of Georgia.

7. I am also a consulting expert on the topic of the Diebold Voting System for plaintiffs in this case. I have been asked to address the nature of the testing required for the DRE machines and GEMS database.

8. I have reviewed the proposed order submitted to the Court by the Defendants, particularly paragraphs B(1) and B(2) relating to discovery of the DRE machines and the GEMS database. In summary: as for the DREs: the "inspection" proposed by Defendants of the DREs would be entirely inadequate and of no value. A review of the internal memory is required to assess and identify

any potential programming errors or other malfunctions. As for the GEMS: defendants' proposal, limited to the production of documents that the GEMS system generates, also is no value whatsoever; if there are errors in the GEMS database that has been used to program the election, reports generated by GEMS will only verify what is in the database already.

9. If either the DREs or the GEMS database has been corrupted or incorrectly programmed in any way, relying solely on the reports generated by those pieces of software would be akin to relying solely on the testimony of a potential bank robber to determine his guilt. If we suspect the bank may have been robbed, but don't know exactly how much money may have been stolen, the only way to rule out that hypothesis is a holistic evaluation of all evidence supporting or refuting that hypothesis. The same is true for elections; to determine if any election results are incorrect, we cannot simply ask the voting machines to count the votes again. We must examine how they count the votes, and we do this through thorough forensic software examination (the memory on the DREs internal memory) as well as examining the data with which they were initially programmed (i.e. the GEMS database).

DREs

10. When reviewing an election conducted on Georgia's paperless DRE system, the only method to answer questions regarding anomalous results, or possible incorrect programming, is to review key electronic files and programs that were used in conducting the election. All of these files reside on the internal DRE memory and the memory cards. Such essential electronic records include, but are not limited to:

--Ballot programming and layout files,

--Vote tabulation instructions,

--Programs for reporting tallies and writing reports,

--System operation logs,

--Error messages generated by the system and DRE machines, and

--Votes recorded in internal memory of the DRE machine.

9. The DRE internal memory contains records that are not located anywhere on the system. My understanding is that, for this reason, Georgia law requires the internal memories of the DRE machines to be preserved in the event of an election contest such as this one.

10. Conversely, in a review of a DRE election, the only way to determine the extent and number of votes potentially impacted by programming errors or malfunctions is to review the electronic programming and operating files used during the election. No standard report that is printed by the Diebold system would expose a programming error, in particular because the programming that generates such reports may itself be faulty. Only a review of the electronic files containing the programming would detect errors.

11. Forensic review tools are available to review the internal memory and other electronic files in the voting system to determine if there may have been incorrect programming, tampering, viruses or malfunctions of the machines and related components. Such tools are commonly available and widely used in industry practice, and would in no way damage or otherwise alter the voting machines (or any election equipment) in question.

12. It is crucial to examine the DRE internal memory of electronic records for the first data created on the machine when the voter casts his or her ballot. The examiner will attempt to determine whether that vote was faithfully recorded and tallied as it was written to memory cards and passed through the chain of tallying and reporting functions. There is no way to make such a determination by

reviewing standard system reports, as again such reports may also be faulty if the program that produces them is faulty.

13. Such testing should be conducted on an exact electronic copy of the internal flash chips of the DRE machine. Testing a copy avoids any possibility of damaging the DRE machines or components. Standard protocols for such testing are generally agreed on by computer scientists and subject to little controversy for conducting the types of rather simple tests involved here. Moreover, such tests can be conducted in a transparent way to provide any interested parties sufficient evidence as to their results, as well as entirely preserving the machines being examined.

14. The inspection described by Plaintiffs in the attached email from Plaintiffs' counsel to Fulton County's counsel, will be efficient and useful, will not involve any disruptive testing, and is standard procedure for conducting a forensic examination of this kind. Such testing can be conducted in an expedient manner so as to not impact the administration of elections in the jurisdictions in question.

15. Additionally, only after the copy of the internal memory is created for testing, the DRE machine must be tested in election mode using the same program that was used on Election Day with the goal of determining if the reported anomaly

can be replicated. For example, examiners should select machines for which voters reported that the Lt. Governor was not on their electronic ballot, and determine whether that condition will occur during repeated attempts to vote on the machine. If the electronic ballot fails to display the Lt. Governor race, the expert will obtain clues on what electronic files in the internal memory to check first for a virus or programming error.

16. The same is true for the repeated reports of machines that reportedly cast votes before the voter had a chance to see the review screen to correct any errors. The examiner must be able to attempt to replicate the malfunctioning condition on machines where this malfunction was reported. This cannot be done in post-election mode which is the limitation that Fulton County has set.

GEMS

17. The Defendants' proposed "inspection" relating to the GEMS server and database also is completely inadequate. The documents offered by the Defendants from the GEMS server (Base Precincts With Races Report, Ballot Image Report, Vote Center With Cards Report, Statement of Votes Cast Report, and Summary Report) may be useful to review, but are public records and standard reports that

do not in any way provide an opportunity to detect a programming flaw, a virus, or a malfunction of the machines.

18. The GEMS Database is an unencrypted set of data for programming and tabulating the election that is built by the election official for each election using a generic off-the-shelf Microsoft Access database. Anyone with a Microsoft subscription to Microsoft Access can open and read all files.

19. An examination of the GEMS database to review for anomalies, programming errors, misconfiguration, or other irregularities should generally take about 2 days per county, assuming that no material irregularities were detected.

20. There is no proprietary software or programming in the GEMS database. The database has data related to the election, and it should contain only data that is public record.

21. The information contained in the GEMS server can be shared without risk to election security.

22. The review of the GEMS database can be accomplished without access to the GEMS server, by requiring either the Secretary of State or the County to merely copy their GEMS database CD and deliver to Plaintiffs' experts on a CD.

23. The GEMS database should be reviewed at two stages, at the start of the election programming and after the close of the election. The Secretary maintains a CD copy of the original programming prior to votes being entered into the system. A copy can be made in a matter of minutes and given to the Plaintiff's expert for review. The examiner should compare that database to the post-election database for the possibility of unauthorized changes to instructions coded into the database.

24. It is only with access to the full electronic GEMS database that a basic analysis of the programming of the election can take place.

Further Affiant sayeth not.

Matthew Bernhard

Sworn to and subscribed before me:
On this 11 day of Jan, 2019

Notary Public, State of Texas
My Commission Expires: 12-20-2020

SEAL

ADEWOLE JONATHAN ALIJOKO
Notary Public, State of Texas
Comm. Expires 12-20-2020
Notary ID 132144120

Exhibit A

Matthew D. Bernhard
matber@umich.edu

2260 Hayward Street
Ann Arbor, MI 48109
Main/Cell: 281-725-8544

EDUCATION

University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI (2015 – present)
PhD Candidate Student, Computer Science and Engineering
Advisor: J. Alex Halderman
University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI (2015 – 2018)
Master of Science in Computer Science and Engineering
Rice University, Houston, TX (2012 – 2015)
Bachelor of the Arts, Computer Science
Advisor: Dan Wallach

EXPERIENCE

Expert Witness, *Curling v. Kemp* - 2018 - present
- Examined Georgia's voting system and provided consultation and testimony that the voting machines are insecure and unfit for use.

Verified Voting – 2018 - present
Data Science Consultant, Ann Arbor, MI
- Collected, organized, and wrote up technical information about new voting machines being acquired by localities

Cloudflare – San Francisco, CA
Cryptography Intern, *Summer 2017*
- Developed Certificate Transparency monitoring features. Also built an SSL detector to determine what SSL settings customer sites can support.

Computer Security Lab – Rice University, Houston TX
Lead Software Developer, Fall 2012 - Spring 2015
- Lead a team in upgrading and maintaining STAR-Vote, a pedagogical voting system
- Performed usability study on ballot preparation tool
- Explored leveraging utilities provided by the Chromium project to process sandbox and enforce tighter systems controls
- Examined secure data structures such as authenticated dictionaries for implementing a secure web bulletin board

Microsoft Research – Microsoft, Redmond WA
Research Intern, *Summer 2015,* Advised by Josh Benaloh
- Investigated trusted computing features in the Windows operating system
- Designed ASKVote, the Auditably Secure Voting scheme to provide software assurance and election evidence
- Designed voting client for conference demonstration hall survey

TEACHING

Election Cybersecurity - University of Michigan
Graduate Student Instructor, Fall, 2018

- Designed course content, lectured, led discussion section, graded, and provided course support.

Introduction to Computer Security – University of Michigan
Graduate Student Instructor, Winter, 2018

- Lectured, led discussion section, graded, and provided course support.

Securing Digital Democracy – University of Michigan, Coursera
Course Operations Liaison, 2014 – 2018

- Compiled and maintained course resources and facilitated student discussion in message boards for course introducing the field of voting technology in the context of computer security

Fundamentals of Parallel Programming – COMP 322, Rice University
Teaching Assistant, Spring 2015

- Shaped curriculum and led lab discussions for an introductory course on parallel programming featuring Java parallelism and Apache Spark

Introduction to Program Design – COMP 215, Rice University
Teaching Assistant, Fall 2014

- Led lab discussions and wrote and reviewed assignments and exams for an introductory course on Java and Object Oriented Programming

PEER-REVIEWED PUBLICATIONS

On the Usability of HTTPS Deployment
Matthew Bernhard, Jonathan Sharman, Claudia Ziegler Acemyan, Philip Kortum, Dan S. Wallach, and J. Alex Halderman
To appear in *Proc. of CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems Proceedings* (CHI 2019), May 2019.

Bernoulli Ballot-Polling: A Manifest Improvement for Risk-Limiting Audits
Kellie Ottoboni, Matthew Bernhard, J. Alex Halderman, Ron Rivest, and Philip Stark
To appear in *Proc. 4th Workshop on Advances in Secure Electronic Voting* (Voting '19), February 2019

403 Forbidden: A Global View of Geoblocking
Allison McDonald, Matthew Bernhard, Benjamin VanderSloot, Will Scott, J. Alex Halderman, Roya Ensafi
Proc. of the ACM Internet Measurement Conference 2018 (IMC '18), Boston, Massachussetts. November 2018.

Voting Technologies, Recount Methods and Votes in Wisconsin and Michigan in 2016
Walter R. Mebane, Jr. and Matthew Bernhard
Proc. of the 3rd Workshop on Advances in Secure Electronic Voting (Voting '18). Nieuwpoort, Curaçao. March 2, 2018.

Public Evidence from Secret Ballots
Matthew Bernhard, Josh Benaloh, J. Alex Halderman, Ronald L. Rivest, Peter Y. A. Ryan, Philip B. Stark, Vanessa Teague, Poorvi L. Vora, Dan S. Wallach
Proc. of the Second Annual Joint Conference on Electronic Voting (E-Vote-ID '17). Bregenz, Austria. October 24 - 27, 2017.

Understanding the Mirai Botnet
Manos Antonakakis, Tim April, Michael Bailey, Matthew Bernhard, Elie Bursztein, Jaime Cochran, Zakir Durumeric, J. Alex Halderman, Luca Invernizzi, Michalis Kallitsis, Deepak Kumar, Chaz Lever,Zane Ma, Joshua Mason, Damian Menscher, Chad Seaman, Nick Sullivan, Kurt Thomas, Yi Zhou
Proc. of the 26th USENIX Security Symposium (USENIX Security '17). Vancouver, BC, Canada. August 16 - 18, 2017.

Towards a Complete View of the Certificate Ecosystem
Benjamin VanderSloot, Johanna Amann, Matthew Bernhard, Zakir Durumeric, Michael Bailey, and J. Alex Halderman
Proc. 16th ACM Internet Measurement Conference (IMC '16), Santa Monica, California. November 2016

Implementing Attestable Kiosks
Matthew Bernhard, Gabe Stocco, and J. Alex Halderman
Proc. 14th Annual Conference on Privacy, Security, and Trust (PST '16), Auckland, New Zealand. December 2016

SELECTED OTHER PUBLICATIONS

What Might Go Wrong in the 2016 Election
Matthew Bernhard and J. Alex Halderman
Security at Michigan (a Medium publication), November 7th, 2016

The Security Challenges of Online Voting Have Not Gone Away
Robert Cunningham, Matthew Bernhard, and J. Alex Halderman
IEEE Spectrum, November 3rd, 2016

TALKS

Do We Want to Recount or Not? Presidential Election 2016
Matthew Bernhard and Kimball Brace
Election Verification Network (EVN) Symposium 2017, March 15, 2017

Recount 2016: An Uninvited Audit of the U.S. Presidential Election
Matthew Bernhard and J. Alex Halderman
Roadsec 2017, São Paulo, Brazil, November 11th, 2017
Roadsec Pro 2017, São Paulo, Brazil, November 10th, 2017
Electoral Technology Workshop, SBSeg 2017, Brasília, Brazil, November 6th, 2017
33rd Chaos Communication Congress (33c3), December 28th, 2016

MEDIA APPEARANCES

NPR, Reuters, BBC News, The Guardian, Le Monde, Motherboard, Forbes, The New Republic, Gothamist, The New Political, The Outline, and Voice of America News and others

Subject: Re: GEMS Voting Machines 2 Counties.mov
Date: Thursday, January 10, 2019 at 10:38:15 AM Eastern Standard Time
From: Bruce Brown
To: Ringer, Cheryl, Burwell, Kaye, Lowman, David
CC: Bryan Tyson, Vincent Russo, Josh Belinfante, Lindsey, Jr., Edward H., richard.carothers@carmitch.com

Cheryl, Kaye and David,

In our discussion yesterday evening about the inspection of Fulton machines, we had decided that it would be best for me to set out in writing our proposed protocol for the inspections. I had asked Vince Russo for a draft confidentiality order, but if you have one that Fulton would like to use, that might be best (since the Secretary is out of the case and, I believe, Vince is in meetings all day). We are flying in experts for the work, so please let us know as soon as possible when the machines would be made available (or what machines would be made available at what times). Here is our proposed protocol:

Inspection of Fulton County DRE Machines:

Location: Fulton County English Street location

Time and Date: 11 am through 5pm Friday, January 11, 2019, and continuing on Saturday, and until work is completed.

Machines and their related memory cards for inspection:

Early voting machines for Ponce de Leon Library
All election day machines for Grady High School
Election Day machines for Allen Temple AME Church

Fulton County to identify by machine serial number which machines were used in the December 4 runoff or otherwise used, operated or put through maintenance or testing after the November 6, 2018 election.

Complete recap sheets from all polling places should accompany the machines.

Machines should not be turned on, operated, re-programmed or otherwise altered in any way until Plaintiff's experts are on site.

Fulton County to make a full image of the contents of the internal flash chips of the all machines (or possibly a selected subset of machines to be determined after inspection begins) for forensic examination. These copies will be made under the observation of Plaintiff's experts.

After copies are made, plaintiff's experts will select 1 to 2 machines from each polling place location to have staff put into election mode (operating in exact same manner as the machines were in the polling places on when during the 11/6/18 election.)

Plaintiff's representatives will test votes on the machines, and conduct other tests in pre-election

mode, election-mode and post-election mode.

We appreciate Fulton County's prompt cooperation given the expedited schedule.

Many thanks,

Bruce

From: Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>
Date: Wednesday, January 9, 2019 at 9:47 PM
To: Bryan Tyson <bpt@sbllaw.net>, "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>, Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>
Cc: "Burwell, Kaye" <Kaye.Burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>, "Lowman, David" <David.Lowman@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: Re: GEMS Voting Machines 2 Counties.mov

And I am adding Kaye Burwell and David Lowman for Fulton.

Sent via the Samsung Galaxy S7, an AT&T 4G LTE smartphone

-------- Original message --------
From: Bryan Tyson <bpt@sbllaw.net>
Date: 1/9/19 8:06 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>, Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "Ringer, Cheryl" <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, richard.carothers@carmitch.com
Subject: RE: GEMS Voting Machines 2 Counties.mov

Thanks for sending this. I'm adding Dick Carothers for Gwinnett to this email chain as well.

Thanks,

Bryan

Bryan P. Tyson
Strickland Brockington Lewis LLP
678.347.2203 (direct)
bpt@sbllaw.net

-----Original Message-----
From: Bruce Brown [mailto:bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 09, 2019 7:53 PM
To: Vincent Russo; Josh Belinfante; Cheryl Ringer; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H.; Bryan Tyson
Subject: FW: GEMS Voting Machines 2 Counties.mov

On 1/9/19, 5:18 PM, "Bruce Brown" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com> wrote:

https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A__www.dropbox.com_s_e3z30u2zqd44dqd_GEMS-2520Voting-2520Machines-25202-2520Counties.mov-3Fdl-3D0&d=DwIGaQ&c=HPJvcKF4Kk5Wqru1T_u_fOsw8NVQVa3gp0ReMdlciXw&r=y8ep_8HDwKhdpPvTap-X2A7Mfy5KKxC8wOuT4JMy-p8&m=60rdPa6Tc56n5EZ_18HvFPM7CJyCesLASInKmGSTByY&s=w7w6mu-54_TgwFcC3tC0PY14Qo_oyo09bLfqVFWrh4w&e=

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDQW
Date: 1/14/2019 10:54 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, et al., **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV313418**

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE

Plaintiffs have filed a Motion for Continuance. Good cause having been shown, this Court, pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(a), GRANTS the Motion, and the evidentiary hearing on this matter is continued until no earlier than three weeks after the Defendants have given Plaintiffs full access to the equipment and records described in this Court's January 11, 2019 Order on Pending Motions.

SO ORDERED this __ day of January, 2019.

_____
Honorable Adele P. Grubbs
Senior Judge, State of Georgia

Prepared by: /s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Counsel for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing via the Court's e-filing system to all counsel of record.

This 14th day of January, 2019.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDAC
Date: 1/14/2019 4:09 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF Fulton COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

Coalition For Good Governance
v.
Robyn A. Crittenden, et al.

CASE NO. 2018CV313418

REQUEST TO USE A RECORDING DEVICE PURSUANT TO RULE 22 ON RECORDING OF JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS.

Pursuant to Rule 22 of the Uniform Rules for Superior Court regarding Use of Electronic Devices in Courtrooms and Recording of Judicial Proceedings, the undersigned hereby requests permission to use a recording device in Courtroom 2000 in order to record images and/or sound during (all) (the following portions) of the proceedings in the above captioned case/calendar.

Consistent with the provisions of the rule, the undersigned desires to use the following described recording device(s): Video + Audio Recorders. The proceedings that the undersigned desires to record commence on (date). Subject to direction from the court regarding possible pooled coverage, the undersigned wishes to use this device in the courtroom on (date). The personnel who will be responsible for the use of this recording device are: (identify appropriate personnel).

The undersigned hereby certifies that the device to be used and the locations and operation of such device will be in conformity with Rule 22 and any guidelines issued by the court.

The undersigned understands and acknowledges that a violation of Rule 22 and any guidelines issued by the court may be grounds for removal or exclusion from the courtroom and a willful violation may subject the undersigned to penalties for contempt of court.

This 8th day of January 2019.

George Ballona
(Individual Signature)

Me
(Representing/Firm)

Concerned Citizen
(Position)

APPROVED: _____

_____
Senior Judge, Superior Court

_____ Judicial Circuit

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDMH
Date: 1/15/2019 5:12 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, and JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS, GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, DEKALB COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, and GEOFF DUNCAN,** **Defendants.**	**Civil Action No.: 2018CV313418**

RESPONSE OF DEFENDANT GEOFF DUNCAN TO PLAINTIFFS' RENEWED DISCOVERY DEMANDS AND MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE AND DEFENDANT DUNCAN'S MOTION TO RECONSIDER HIS PREVIOUS MOTION TO DISMISS

NOW COMES the Defendant Geoff Duncan, in response to Plaintiffs' Renewed Discovery Demands and Motion for Continuance, showing the following:

Response to Plaintiffs' Renewed Discovery Argument

Defendant Duncan incorporates herein by reference the response by the Georgia Secretary of State regarding the Plaintiffs' renewed argument regarding the examination of Secretary of State records and county electronic voting machines. This issue has already been litigated before the court and the position and actions of the County Defendants and the Secretary of State is consistent with the Court's previous ruling.

Response to Plaintiffs' Motion for Continuance

The Court set out the schedule for resolving this dispute at its preliminary scheduling conference on December 5, 2018. No objection was raised by any party at that time as to the expedited calendar. The Secretary of State and the local county election boards have provided the Plaintiffs with the discovery documents and equipment access consistent with the Court's ruling of January 11, 2019. Therefore, the are no reasonable grounds for the Plaintiffs' request for a continuance at this time.

Defendant Duncan's Motion to Reconsider his Previous Motion to Dismiss

Defendant Duncan is aware that a Motion to Reconsider ("Motion") is an extraordinary motion and rarely granted. However, the Plaintiffs' attempt to delay final adjudication of this important matter merits the Court's reexamination of its ruling denying our Motion to Dismiss which the court acknowledged was a "close call" in the hearing of January 9, 2019.

In support of our renewed Motion, this Defendant incorporates herein by reference Defendant Duncan's Supplemental Brief in Support of Geoff Duncan's Motion to Dismiss, filed January 8, 2019, which demonstrated that even when the Court accepts the Plaintiffs' factual allegations as true, the simple math does not place the outcome of the race in question in doubt given Lt. Governor Duncan's margin of victory of 123,172 votes. Exhibit A, 2018 Georgia General Election Returns.

The Parties stipulated the admissibility of public election returns maintained by the Secretary of State at the January 9, 2019 hearing. Courts may rely upon stipulated facts in considering a Motion to Dismiss. *See Lee v. Green, 222 Ga 141 (1966)*. The Court may also take judicial notice of public records. *See also Johnson V. Allied*, 323 Ga App. 427 (2013))

Therefore, Defendant Duncan further shows the court the following:

"The setting aside of an election in which the people have chosen their representative is a drastic remedy that should not be undertaken lightly, but instead should be reserved for cases in which the person challenging an election has clearly established that the violation has placed the result of the election in doubt." *Hart v. Crawford*, 270 Ga. 7, 10 (1998)

The Plaintiffs entire argument that a violation of some kind has occurred is their circumstantial factual argument that the total vote count in the Lt. Governor's race was lower than in other statewide races. However, a review of recent electoral history in Georgia demonstrates several valid reasons for the lower voter participation in the race at issue unrelated to any kind of nefarious or negligent activities:

1. *The Impact of Third Party Candidates.* All things being equal, voter participation can be substantially lower in down ballot General Elections were a third party candidate is not on the ballot. This was demonstrated most clearly in 2014, when two Public Service Commission seats were on the ballot. Both races had an incumbent seeking reelection. Both races were decided by a statewide vote. In the race for District 4, there was a Republican incumbent and both a Democratic and Libertarian challenger. The total vote count was 2,512,425 votes. In the District 4 race, there was also a Republican incumbent but only a Democratic challenger. The vote count in that race was only 2,243,060 -- a drop in voter participation of 269,365 votes. Exhibit B, 2014 Georgia General Election Returns.

2. *The Impact of Incumbents.* The Lt. Governor's race in 2016 not only did not have a third party candidate in the race, but it was also the only race in which there was not only no third party but also no incumbent, for whom a voter may be either more or less favorable to his or her record drawing a voter to be either more likely to vote for or against the incumbent. Exhibit A, 2018 Georgia General Election Returns.

3. *Voter Down ballot Drop Off Voting in High Turnout Years.* According to the Secretary of State's public records, 2018 saw a dramatic and unprecedented increase in voter registration in Georgia of 1,237,399 voters between 2014 and 2018. (5,191,182 in 2014 and 6,428,581 in 2018.) Voter turnout also increased from 50.03% in 2014 to 61.44% in 2018. Exhibits A & B, 2014 and 2018 Georgia General Election Returns. This means there were a substantially large number of new or occasional voters casting ballots in the 2018 General Election. In elections in which you have high turnout of such voters there is often a severe drop off from the top ballot races to even high profile down ballot races. For instance, in the 2016 General Election, there was a 76.53% voter turnout. However, there was a drop off in voter participation between the race for President and U.S. Senate of 194,581 votes. The drop off between the Presidential race and the race for Public Service Commission (in which unlike the race for President and U.S. Senate races there was also no third party option) was even more severe -- 501,461 votes. Exhibit C, 2016 Georgia General Election Returns. Therefore, when combined with previously cited factors, the drop off in the race at issue is not out of the ordinary in an election year such as 2018.

Conclusion

As the public record demonstrates, the simple mathematical flaws in the Plaintiffs' Petition is why the Democratic Candidate for Lt. Governor Ms. Sarah Riggs Amico herself in a letter attached to the Petition admitted: "The number of residual votes in the Lieutenant Governor's race is unlikely to affect the outcome of my race ..."

Therefore, the Plaintiffs' Petition seeking a new election should be dismissed as a matter of law.

This 15th day of January, 2019.

DENTONS US LLP

Edward H. Lindsey Jr.

Georgia Bar No. 453075

Samuel S. Olens
Georgia Bar No. 551540

Dentons US LLP
303 Peachtree Street, N.E.
Suite 5300
Atlanta, GA 30308
Telephone: 404-527-4000
Facsimile: 404-527-4198

Attorneys for Defendant, Geoff Duncan

GA001102

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the foregoing **RESPONSE OF DEFENDANT GEOFF DUNCAN TO PLAINTIFFS' DISCOVERY DEMANDS AND MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE AND DEFENDANT DUNCAN'S MOTION TO RECONSIDER HIS PREVIOUS MOTION TO DISMISS** has been served upon all interested parties by electronically filing with the Clerk of the Court using the Odyssey eFileGA System, which will automatically send an e mail notification of such filing to counsel for the parties.

This 15th day of January, 2019.



Exhibit A

GEORGIA SECRETARY OF STATE ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN

GENERAL ELECTION

Official Results

STATE OFFICES

STATEWIDE OFFICES

Governor

Counties/Precincts Reporting: **100 %**	Percentage	Votes
BRIAN KEMP (REP)	50.22%	1,978,408
STACEY ABRAMS (DEM)	48.83%	1,923,685
TED METZ (LIB)	0.95%	37,235
		3,939,328

Lieutenant Governor

Counties/Precincts Reporting: **100 %**	Percentage	Votes
GEOFF DUNCAN (REP)	51.63%	1,951,738
SARAH RIGGS AMICO (DEM)	48.37%	1,828,566
		3,780,304

Secretary Of State

Counties/Precincts Reporting: **100 %**	Percentage	Votes
BRAD RAFFENSPERGER (REP)	49.09%	1,906,588
JOHN BARROW (DEM)	48.67%	1,890,310
SMYTHE DUVAL (LIB)	2.23%	86,696
		3,883,594

Attorney General

Counties/Precincts Reporting: **100 %**	Percentage	Votes
CHRIS CARR (I) (REP)	51.30%	1,981,563
CHARLIE BAILEY (DEM)	48.70%	1,880,807
		3,862,370

Commissioner Of Agriculture

Counties/Precincts Reporting: **100 %**	Percentage	Votes
GARY BLACK (I) (REP)	53.08%	2,040,097
FRED SWANN (DEM)	46.92%	1,803,383
		3,843,480

Commissioner Of Insurance

Counties/Precincts Reporting: **100 %**	Percentage	Votes
JIM BECK (REP)	50.37%	1,944,963
JANICE LAWS (DEM)	46.99%	1,814,499
DONNIE FOSTER (LIB)	2.65%	102,163
		3,861,625

State School Superintendent

Counties/Precincts Reporting: **100 %**	Percentage	Votes
RICHARD WOODS (I) (REP)	53.02%	2,048,003
OTHA E. THORNTON, JR (DEM)	46.98%	1,814,461
		3,862,464

Commissioner Of Labor

Counties/Precincts Reporting: **100 %**	Percentage	Votes
MARK BUTLER (I) (REP)	52.46%	2,019,389
RICHARD KEATLEY (DEM)	47.54%	1,830,061
		3,849,450

GA001106

Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta

Counties/Precincts Reporting: **100 %**	Percentage	Votes
CHUCK EATON (I) (REP)	49.70%	1,917,656
LINDY MILLER (DEM)	47.63%	1,838,020
RYAN GRAHAM (LIB)	2.67%	102,878
		3,858,554

Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western

Counties/Precincts Reporting: **100 %**	Percentage	Votes
TRICIA PRIDEMORE (I) (REP)	50.25%	1,937,599
DAWN A. RANDOLPH (DEM)	47.23%	1,820,868
JOHN TURPISH (LIB)	2.52%	97,203
		3,855,670

Counties/Precincts Reporting

Completely Reported

Not Reporting

Partially Reported



COUNTIES COMPLETE **159**/159

PRECINCTS COMPLETE **2634**/2634

GEORGIA SECRETARY OF STATE ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN

GENERAL ELECTION

Official Results

TURNOUT

11/17/2018 4:27:59 PM EST

- 0% - 10%
- 10% - 20%
- 20% - 30%
- 30% - 40%
- 40% - 50%
- 50% - 60%
- 60% - 70%
- 70% - 80%
- 80% - 90%
- 90% - 100%
- Greater than 100%
- Not Reporting
- Not Participating



Google
(https://maps.google.com/maps?ll=32.853349,-83.977065&z=7&t=m&hl=en-US&gl=US&mapclient=apiv3)

General Turnout	3,949,905 **61.44%**

OUNTIES TURNOUT

County	Precincts Reported	Precincts Participating	Ballots Cast	Registered Voters	Voter Turnout
Appling	9	9	6,827	10,613	64.33%
Atkinson	4	4	2,527	4,252	59.43%
Bacon	1	1	3,836	6,010	63.83%
Baker	5	5	1,297	2,232	58.11%
Baldwin	14	14	15,690	23,399	67.05%
Banks	13	13	6,867	10,807	63.54%
Barrow	16	16	27,459	47,514	57.79%
Bartow	16	16	37,441	64,074	58.43%
Ben Hill	2	2	5,565	9,258	60.11%
Berrien	5	5	6,265	10,247	61.14%
Bibb	31	31	60,867	99,934	60.91%
Bleckley	1	1	4,872	6,944	70.16%
Brantley	3	3	5,711	9,984	57.20%
Brooks	7	7	5,749	9,911	58.01%
Bryan	10	10	15,019	25,712	58.41%
Bulloch	16	16	23,746	39,983	59.39%
Burke	16	16	8,758	14,449	60.61%
Butts	1	1	8,892	15,280	58.19%
			3,949,905	**6,428,581**	**61.44 %**

County	Precincts Reported	Precincts Participating	Ballots Cast	Registered Voters	Voter Turnout
Calhoun	5	5	1,912	2,982	64.12%
Camden	14	14	17,119	31,632	54.12%
Candler	2	2	3,549	5,572	63.69%
Carroll	28	28	41,959	72,908	57.55%
Catoosa	11	11	23,836	40,513	58.84%
Charlton	8	8	3,391	6,072	55.85%
Chatham	90	90	103,841	188,315	55.14%
Chattahoochee	1	1	1,107	3,132	35.34%
Chattooga	13	13	7,464	11,099	67.25%
Cherokee	42	42	106,632	165,612	64.39%
Clarke	24	24	43,450	70,597	61.55%
Clay	5	5	1,191	1,856	64.17%
Clayton	58	58	92,403	169,574	54.49%
Clinch	5	5	2,268	3,884	58.39%
Cobb	141	141	312,488	486,696	64.21%
Coffee	6	6	12,669	21,900	57.85%
Colquitt	19	19	13,001	21,948	59.24%
Columbia	46	46	61,779	95,779	64.50%
Cook	8	8	5,816	9,423	61.72%
Coweta	27	27	58,194	91,585	63.54%
			3,949,905	**6,428,581**	**61.44 %**

County	Precincts Reported	Precincts Participating	Ballots Cast	Registered Voters	Voter Turnout
Crawford	6	6	4,953	7,459	66.40%
Crisp	5	5	7,082	11,674	60.66%
Dade	7	7	5,478	10,496	52.19%
Dawson	3	3	11,606	18,278	63.50%
Decatur	9	9	9,172	15,201	60.34%
DeKalb	193	193	313,552	494,731	63.38%
Dodge	16	16	7,079	11,055	64.03%
Dooly	5	5	3,811	5,669	67.23%
Dougherty	28	28	31,537	57,817	54.55%
Douglas	25	25	55,358	89,305	61.99%
Early	5	5	4,159	6,992	59.48%
Echols	1	1	1,146	1,929	59.41%
Effingham	17	17	23,426	38,132	61.43%
Elbert	11	11	7,418	11,123	66.69%
Emanuel	11	11	7,751	12,343	62.80%
Evans	1	1	3,461	5,569	62.15%
Fannin	12	12	11,250	17,200	65.41%
Fayette	36	36	58,118	83,763	69.38%
Floyd	25	25	30,440	52,469	58.02%
Forsyth	16	16	93,526	143,680	65.09%
			3,949,905	**6,428,581**	**61.44 %**

County	Precincts Reported	Precincts Participating	Ballots Cast	Registered Voters	Voter Turnout
Franklin	7	7	8,171	13,069	62.52%
Fulton	373	373	425,139	703,177	60.46%
Gilmer	13	13	12,554	19,069	65.83%
Glascock	4	4	1,304	1,837	70.99%
Glynn	20	20	32,767	54,274	60.37%
Gordon	12	12	17,858	30,086	59.36%
Grady	13	13	8,398	13,546	62.00%
Greene	5	5	9,025	12,081	74.70%
Gwinnett	157	157	315,815	525,568	60.09%
Habersham	7	7	15,540	24,707	62.90%
Hall	31	31	67,582	114,817	58.86%
Hancock	10	10	3,554	5,601	63.45%
Haralson	12	12	10,612	17,923	59.21%
Harris	12	12	16,019	22,877	70.02%
Hart	7	7	9,666	14,706	65.73%
Heard	6	6	4,065	6,678	60.87%
Henry	37	37	98,743	154,376	63.96%
Houston	16	16	59,370	93,924	63.21%
Irwin	3	3	3,569	5,277	67.63%
Jackson	4	4	26,950	42,272	63.75%
			3,949,905	**6,428,581**	**61.44 %**

County	Precincts Reported	Precincts Participating	Ballots Cast	Registered Voters	Voter Turnout
Jasper	3	3	5,949	9,030	65.88%
Jeff Davis	9	9	4,830	7,556	63.92%
Jefferson	8	8	6,789	10,349	65.60%
Jenkins	5	5	2,889	4,677	61.77%
Johnson	4	4	3,489	5,060	68.95%
Jones	10	10	12,469	18,194	68.53%
Lamar	6	6	7,373	11,288	65.32%
Lanier	1	1	2,689	5,034	53.42%
Laurens	16	16	18,998	28,805	65.95%
Lee	10	10	13,571	21,012	64.59%
Liberty	13	13	15,404	31,051	49.61%
Lincoln	7	7	3,983	5,748	69.29%
Long	7	7	4,007	7,606	52.68%
Lowndes	9	9	35,817	67,459	53.09%
Lumpkin	1	1	11,587	19,567	59.22%
Macon	5	5	4,244	6,569	64.61%
Madison	12	12	11,724	18,018	65.07%
Marion	5	5	2,935	4,468	65.69%
McDuffie	9	9	8,819	13,587	64.91%
McIntosh	6	6	5,425	8,962	60.53%
			3,949,905	**6,428,581**	**61.44 %**

GA001113

County	Precincts Reported	Precincts Participating	Ballots Cast	Registered Voters	Voter Turnout
Meriwether	14	14	8,678	13,710	63.30%
Miller	1	1	2,322	3,814	60.88%
Mitchell	11	11	7,470	13,324	56.06%
Monroe	14	14	12,987	18,724	69.36%
Montgomery	7	7	3,535	5,145	68.71%
Morgan	7	7	9,580	13,100	73.13%
Murray	7	7	11,114	19,170	57.98%
Muscogee	25	25	63,451	112,540	56.38%
Newton	22	22	43,213	69,805	61.91%
Oconee	13	13	20,796	27,538	75.52%
Oglethorpe	3	3	6,500	9,473	68.62%
Paulding	12	12	61,399	98,948	62.05%
Peach	7	7	10,472	16,632	62.96%
Pickens	12	12	13,394	21,151	63.33%
Pierce	8	8	6,897	10,855	63.54%
Pike	8	8	8,561	12,212	70.10%
Polk	7	7	12,901	20,970	61.52%
Pulaski	1	1	3,635	5,293	68.68%
Putnam	5	5	9,363	13,578	68.96%
Quitman	2	2	951	1,519	62.61%
			3,949,905	**6,428,581**	**61.44 %**

County	Precincts Reported	Precincts Participating	Ballots Cast	Registered Voters	Voter Turnout
Rabun	1	1	7,605	11,513	66.06%
Randolph	9	9	2,805	4,154	67.53%
Richmond	68	68	70,360	122,747	57.32%
Rockdale	16	16	36,731	58,299	63.00%
Schley	1	1	1,937	2,645	73.23%
Screven	12	12	5,438	8,594	63.28%
Seminole	5	5	3,248	5,341	60.81%
Spalding	18	18	24,471	41,325	59.22%
Stephens	1	1	9,118	17,058	53.45%
Stewart	4	4	1,829	2,917	62.70%
Sumter	11	11	10,594	16,395	64.62%
Talbot	7	7	2,962	4,334	68.34%
Taliaferro	2	2	938	1,211	77.46%
Tattnall	8	8	6,663	11,036	60.38%
Taylor	3	3	3,301	5,068	65.13%
Telfair	6	6	3,650	5,525	66.06%
Terrell	6	6	3,951	6,366	62.06%
Thomas	20	20	17,297	27,686	62.48%
Tift	12	12	13,702	22,064	62.10%
Toombs	5	5	8,887	15,700	56.61%
			3,949,905	**6,428,581**	**61.44 %**

County	Precincts Reported	Precincts Participating	Ballots Cast	Registered Voters	Voter Turnout
Towns	4	4	6,167	9,386	65.70%
Treutlen	2	2	2,621	4,025	65.12%
Troup	15	15	23,977	38,876	61.68%
Turner	3	3	3,285	5,126	64.09%
Twiggs	5	5	3,807	5,849	65.09%
Union	11	11	11,912	17,800	66.92%
Upson	4	4	10,602	15,930	66.55%
Walker	11	11	21,574	38,613	55.87%
Walton	21	21	38,771	61,655	62.88%
Ware	12	12	11,064	18,506	59.79%
Warren	1	1	2,273	3,519	64.59%
Washington	8	8	8,200	11,988	68.40%
Wayne	12	12	10,158	15,675	64.80%
Webster	1	1	1,102	1,493	73.81%
Wheeler	2	2	1,942	2,751	70.59%
White	11	11	11,479	18,137	63.29%
Whitfield	23	23	27,432	46,058	59.56%
Wilcox	6	6	2,824	4,237	66.65%
Wilkes	7	7	4,394	6,345	69.25%
Wilkinson	9	9	4,276	6,016	71.08%
			3,949,905	**6,428,581**	**61.44 %**

County	Precincts Reported	Precincts Participating	Ballots Cast	Registered Voters	Voter Turnout
Worth	15	15	7,867	12,645	62.21%
			3,949,905	**6,428,581**	**61.44 %**

Counties/Precincts Reporting

Completely Reported

Not Reporting

Partially Reported



COUNTIES COMPLETE **159**/159

PRECINCTS COMPLETE **2634**/2634

Voter Turnout

TOTAL	**61.44%**
Ballots Cast	3,949,905
Registered Voters	6,428,581

Copyright 2018 - www.scytl.com

Exhibit B



Statewide Results

General Election
November 4, 2014

Website last updated 11/10/2014 6:56:24 PM EST

Results by County

Registered Voters: 5,191,182
Ballots Cast: 2,596,947
Voter Turnout: 50.03 %

OFFICIAL RESULTS
Provisional ballots included

Counties Partially Reported: 0 of 159
Counties Completely Reported: 159 of 159
Counties Percent Reported: 100.00 %

Customize My Search
(272 of 272)

Go To Page 1 Display

United States Senator, Chambliss

159 of 159 Counties Reporting

	Percent	Votes
DAVID A. PERDUE (R)	52.89%	1,358,088
M. MICHELLE NUNN (D)	45.21%	1,160,811
AMANDA C. SWAFFORD (L)	1.90%	48,862
		2,567,761

Governor

159 of 159 Counties Reporting

	Percent	Votes
J. NATHAN DEAL (I)R	52.75%	1,345,237
JASON J. CARTER (D)	44.89%	1,144,794
ANDREW T. HUNT (L)	2.36%	60,185
		2,550,216

Lieutenant Governor

159 of 159 Counties Reporting

	Percent	Votes
L. S. 'CASEY' CAGLE (I)R	57.99%	1,466,505
CONNIE J. STOKES (D)	42.01%	1,062,557
		2,529,062

Secretary Of State

159 of 159 Counties Reporting

	Percent	Votes
BRIAN P. KEMP (I)R	57.47%	1,452,554
DOREEN CARTER (D)	42.53%	1,075,101
		2,527,655

Secretary Of State

Attorney General

159 of 159 Counties Reporting

	Percent	Votes
SAMUEL S. OLENS (I)R	56.93%	1,436,987
GREGORY K. 'GREG' HECHT(D)	43.07%	1,087,268
		2,524,255

Commissioner Of Agriculture

159 of 159 Counties Reporting

	Percent	Votes
GARY W. BLACK (I)R	58.26%	1,462,039
CHRISTOPHER JAMES IRVIN(D)	41.74%	1,047,339
		2,509,378

Commissioner Of Insurance

159 of 159 Counties Reporting

	Percent	Votes
RALPH T. HUDGENS(I)R	54.87%	1,382,551
ELIZABETH N. 'LIZ' JOHNSON(D)	41.70%	1,050,883
EDWARD T. 'TED' METZ (L)	3.43%	86,427
		2,519,861

State School Superintendent

159 of 159 Counties Reporting

	Percent	Votes
RICHARD L. WOODS(R)	55.11%	1,391,005
VALARIE D. WILSON(D)	44.89%	1,132,886
		2,523,891

Commissioner Of Labor

159 of 159 Counties Reporting

	Percent	Votes
J. MARK BUTLER (I)R	56.93%	1,427,662
ROBBIN K. SHIPP(D)	43.07%	1,079,898
		2,507,560

Public Service Commission, District 1 - Southern

159 of 159 Counties Reporting

	Percent	Votes
HERMAN D. 'DOUG' EVERETT (I)R	68.33%	1,532,652
JOHN H. MONDS (L)	31.67%	710,408
		2,243,060

Public Service Commission, District 4 - Northern

159 of 159 Counties Reporting

Public Service Commission, District 4 - Northern

	Percent	Votes
LAUREN W. 'BUBBA' MCDONALD (I)R	53.38%	1,341,182
DANIEL A. BLACKMAN (D)	41.75%	1,048,917
ROBIN AARON GILMER (L)	4.87%	122,326
		2,512,425

U.S. Representative, District 1

17 of 17 Counties Reporting

	Percent	Votes
E. L. 'BUDDY' CARTER(R)	60.91%	95,337
BRIAN CORWIN REESE (D)	39.09%	61,175
		156,512

U.S. Representative, District 2

29 of 29 Counties Reporting

	Percent	Votes
GREGORY P. 'GREG' DUKE(R)	40.85%	66,537
SANFORD D. BISHOP (I)D	59.15%	96,363
		162,900

U.S. Representative, District 3

13 of 13 Counties Reporting

	Percent	Votes
LYNN A. WESTMORELAND (I)R	100.00%	156,277
		156,277

U.S. Representative, District 4

4 of 4 Counties Reporting

	Percent	Votes
HENRY C. 'HANK' JOHNSON JR. (I)D	100.00%	161,211
		161,211

U.S. Representative, District 5

3 of 3 Counties Reporting

	Percent	Votes
JOHN R. LEWIS (I) D	100.00%	170,326
		170,326

U.S. Representative, District 6

3 of 3 Counties Reporting

	Percent	Votes
T. E. 'TOM' PRICE (I)R	66.04%	139,018
ROBERT G.MONTIGEL (D)	33.96%	71,486
		210,504

U.S. Representative, District 7

Exhibit C



Statewide Results

General Election
November 8, 2016

Website last updated 12/1/2016 2:06:22 PM EST

Results by County

Registered Voters: 5,443,046
Ballots Cast: 4,165,405
Voter Turnout: 76.53 %

OFFICIAL RESULTS
Provisional ballots are included

Counties Partially Reported: 0 of 159
Counties Completely Reported: 159 of 159

Customize My Search
(299 of 299)

Go To Page 1 Display

President of the United States

159 of 159 Counties Reporting

	Percent	Votes
DONALD J. TRUMP (REP)	51.05%	2,089,104
HILLARY CLINTON (DEM)	45.89%	1,877,963
GARY JOHNSON (LIB)	3.06%	125,306
		4,092,373

United States Senator, Isakson

159 of 159 Counties Reporting

	Percent	Votes
JOHNNY ISAKSON (I) (REP)	54.80%	2,135,806
JIM BARKSDALE (DEM)	41.04%	1,599,726
ALLEN BUCKLEY (LIB)	4.16%	162,260
		3,897,792

Public Service Commission, District 2 - Eastern

159 of 159 Counties Reporting

	Percent	Votes
TIM ECHOLS (I) (REP)	66.58%	2,390,836
ERIC HOSKINS (LIB)	33.42%	1,200,076
		3,590,912

U.S. Representative, District 1

17 of 17 Counties Reporting

	Percent	Votes
EARL "BUDDY" CARTER (I) (REP)	100.00%	210,243
		210,243

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDMH
Date: 1/15/2019 9:06 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

RHONDA J. MARTIN, *et al.*, Plaintiffs, v. BRAD RAFFENSPERGER, Secretary of State of Georgia, *et al.*, Defendants.	Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

DEFENDANT GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS' RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE

Defendant Gwinnett County Board of Registrations and Elections (Gwinnett BORE) opposes Plaintiffs' Motion for Continuance and fully joins and incorporates the responses of the Secretary of State, Fulton County, and Geoff Duncan by reference. The Gwinnett BORE offers several additional arguments for the Court's consideration.

The Georgia Supreme Court recognizes the critical state interest in avoiding "election uncertainty and the confusion and prejudice that can come in its wake." *Broughton v. Douglas Cty. Bd. of Elections*, 286 Ga. 528, 529 (2010). This is why election contests must move far faster than normal litigation—because that uncertainty must be ended quickly. *Id.*

As explained by the other defendants, Plaintiffs are now facing the same problem they faced at the motion-to-dismiss stage: there are not enough votes in question for Plaintiffs to carry their burden. The reported totals for the Lieutenant Governor's race[1] show

[1] Available at https://results.enr.clarityelections.com/GA/91639/Web02-state.221451/#/cid/21000

Geoff Duncan receiving 1,951,738 votes and Sarah Riggs Amico receiving 1,828,566, for a difference of 123,172 votes.

Plaintiffs must show with mathematical precision that the outcome of the Lt. Governor's race would be placed in doubt by their evidence. *Mead v. Sheffield*, 278 Ga. 268, 271 (2004); *Howell v. Fears*, 275 Ga. 627, 628 (2002). Speculation, conjecture, and theories are simply not enough. *Id.*

Plaintiffs' own allegations led to the review of a handful of precincts they have the opportunity to inspect. Despite this limitation, Plaintiffs apparently have not even attended the proposed review of voting machines in several counties. Plaintiffs have never shown this Court how their intrusive—and perhaps destructive—proposed additional inspections would lead to any evidence whatsoever of an additional 123,172 votes in dispute. Without this showing, they cannot carry their burden.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE has spent and will continue to expend staff resources responding to the GEMS server information Plaintiffs were allowed to receive by this Court's Order. Defendant Gwinnett BORE urges the Court to deny the request for a continuance so that the unnecessary expenditure of taxpayer funds required by this case can end.

CONCLUSION

Plaintiffs lack any evidence of a hack of voting machines to affect the Lt. Governor's race. As a result, they now seek more time to engage in a fishing expedition. This Court should deny Plaintiffs' motion and proceed with the hearing on January 17, 2019.

This 15th day of January, 2019.

/s/ Bryan P. Tyson
Frank B. Strickland
Georgia Bar No. 687600
fbs@sbllaw.net
Bryan P. Tyson
Georgia Bar No. 515411
bpt@sbllaw.net
Anne W. Lewis
Georgia Bar No. 737490
awl@sbllaw.net
STRICKLAND BROCKINGTON
LEWIS LLP
Midtown Proscenium Suite 2200
1170 Peachtree Street NE
Atlanta, GA 30309
(678) 347-2200

Richard A. Carothers
Georgia Bar No. 111075
richard.carothers@carmitch.com
Brian R. Dempsey
Georgia Bar No. 217596
Brian.dempsey@carmitch.com
CAROTHERS & MITCHELL, LLC
1809 Buford Highway
Buford, GA 30518
(770) 932-3552

Attorneys for the Gwinnett County Board of Registrations and Elections

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

RHONDA J. MARTIN, *et al.*, Plaintiffs, v. BRAD RAFFENSPERGER, Secretary of State of Georgia, *et al.*, Defendants.	Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day electronically filed the foregoing DEFENDANT GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS' RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE with the Clerk of Court using the Odyssey E-Filing system which will provide automatic notification of such filing to all counsel of record.

This 15th day of January, 2019.

/s/ Bryan P. Tyson
Bryan P. Tyson
Georgia Bar No. 515411

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDLS
Date: 1/15/2019 5:44 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, *et al*	)
	) Civil Action File No. 2018CV313418
Petitioner,	)
v.	)
ROBYN A CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, *et al*	)
Defendant	)

FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS' RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO PETITIONERS' MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE

The intention of the election laws is that election contests should be determined as speedily as possible. OCGA § 21-2-525 (a) provides:

> Within 20 days after the return day fixed in the notice as provided in subsection (a) of Code Section 21-2-524 to the defendant, the presiding judge shall fix a place and time for the hearing of the contest proceeding. Such judge may fix additional hearings at such other times and places as are necessary to decide the contest promptly.

Short time periods reflect the legislature's strong desire to avoid the confusion and prejudice which can come in the wake of election uncertainty. Plyman v. Glynn County, 276 Ga. 426, 578 S.E.2d 124 (2003).

On November 23, 2018, Petitioners filed a 40-page verified petition, excluding exhibits, that set forth the grounds on which they based their election contest. Petitioners' affidavits, attached to the Petition, allege that the "the contested result of the 2018 election for Lieutenant Governor for the State of Georgia is illegal and the return thereof incorrect." Petitioners now

appear to concede that contrary to the affidavits they executed, they do not have sufficient evidence to sustain that Petition. The hearing date has been set since December 5, 2018. Petitioners have had 41 days since the trial date was scheduled to prepare for the hearing. That is more than sufficient notice to prepare for the hearing.

In Head v. Williams, 269 Ga. 894 (1998), the Petitioner sought a continuance of the hearing date on the grounds that he needed time to hire an attorney, develop support for his complaint, and subpoena witnesses. The trial court denied the motion for a continuance. The Georgia Supreme Court upheld the denial of the continuance because

> Head knew that he had the burden of presenting evidence to support his allegations of illegal voting and tampering with absentee ballots. He had six days' notice that a hearing date was being set and three days' notice of the actual date of the hearing. Since he was the petitioner in the election contest, this notice was reasonable. Therefore, the trial court did not abuse its discretion in denying the petitioner's motion for a continuance.

Id. at 895.

In the present case, the Petition was filed on November 23, 2018. In addition to the allegations within the Petition, Petitioners have identified multiple experts. On January 8, 2019, Petitioners also submitted, as a proffer of evidence, the affidavits of two of their experts. Petitioners have obtained documents and information pursuant to Open Records Requests. Petitioners have known since December 5, 2018 that the hearing was scheduled for January 17, 2019. As in Head, Petitioners have had sufficient notice of the hearing date and sufficient opportunity to mount their evidence.

Further, Petitioners seek a continuance of the hearing date in order to obtain "discovery". However, contrary to Petitioners' assertions, OCGA §21-2-525 does not provide for discovery. What it does provide for is process by which testimony at the hearing can be compelled:

> The court shall have authority to subpoena and to compel the attendance of any officer of the primary or election complained of and of any person capable of testifying concerning

> the same; to compel the production of evidence **which may be required at such hearing**, in the like manner and to the same extent as in other civil cases litigated before such court…

There is no common law right to an election contest so Petitioners are constrained by the statutory procedures set forth in the statute. The Georgia Election Code makes no provision for discovery. That more far reaching discovery is available to a litigant under the Civil Practice Act is irrelevant in the instant matter. Election contests are governed by the Georgia Election Code, which differs from the Civil Practice Act in several respects[1].

Although Petitioners filed an Emergency Motion for Inspection on November 29, 2018, Petitioners waited until January 9, 2019 to advance this request. Nevertheless, on January 11, 2019, this Court entered an Order providing Petitioners with certain access. Petitioners are not satisfied with the breadth and depth of that access and now seek a continuance because they are not satisfied with the access granted. Lastly, Petitioners' request for far-reaching discovery has already been denied. Petitioners are unhappy that the production and inspection of the DRE machines that was begun on January 14, 2019 was under the oversight of Michael Barnes, Director of the Center for Election Systems in the Secretary of State's Office[2]. On January 10, 2019, Petitioners' counsel proposed a protocol that was abandoned once the Court entered its January 11, 2019 Order—which Order denied much of what Petitioners' proposed protocol

---

[1] Georgia Election Code (O.C.G.A. § 21-2-524(g)) does not allow amendments that add party defendants while the Civil Practice Act (OCGA §9-11-15) does. The Election Code (O.C.G.A. § 21-2-524(f)) requires special process and an answer date no longer than ten days while the Civil Practice Act (OCGA §§9-11-4, 9-11-12) allow a summons or waiver and a thirty or sixty day answer date.

[2] The Secretary of State is the chief election official in Georgia. Mr. Barnes, an employee of the Secretary of State, provided an affidavit concerning the scope of Petitioners' requested inspection on January 11, 2019. Mr. Barnes was the appropriate person to oversee the inspection because the contest asserts "multiple and complex irregularities created by a technologically flawed electronic voting system" [November 29, 2018 Motion for Clarification at p. 3] and "machine malfunctions and irregularities from all corners of the state" [January 11, 2019 Brief in Support of Proposed Order Regarding Inspection of Equipment at p. 6]. Fulton County utilizes the voting machines dictated by the Election Code.

sought to include. Fulton County BRE has made it abundantly clear that it is constrained to follow state election law. Therefore, it has, and will continue to, defer to the Secretary of State – Georgia's chief election official -- regarding the technicalities and confidentiality of Georgia's state-mandated voting system. Thus, Petitioners were advised that given the sensitivity of the election material sought that the inspection would take place under the supervision of Michael Barnes beginning at 9:00 a.m. on Monday, January 14, 2019. Mr. Barnes was present at that time and access to the applicable DRE machines, in accordance with the January 11, 2019 Order, was granted to Petitioners.

The interests of finality in elections require that challenges to elections be resolved in an expeditious manner. As is abundantly clear in the Election Code, a contest is not treated as an ordinary civil action. The procedure is designed for expeditious and timely resolution of election issues. Thus, the election contest statute does not provide for discovery. It does, however, provide for the prompt resolution of a contest—within 20 days of answer. OCGA §21-2-525(a). The hearing date of January 17, 2019 complies with OCGA §21-2-525(a).

Petitioners received sufficient notice of the hearing date as contemplated by OCGA § 21-2-525 (a). In furtherance of the Election Code's preference for finality and certainty in election results, and for the swift resolution of contests, Petitioners' Motion for Continuance should be denied.

Respectfully submitted this 15th day of January, 2019.

OFFICE OF THE FULTON COUNTY ATTORNEY
Patrise Perkins-Hooker
Georgia Bar No. 572358

/s/ Kaye Woodard Burwell
Kaye Woodard Burwell
Georgia Bar No. 775060
Kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov

Cheryl Melissa Ann Ringer
Georgia Bar No. 557420
Cheryl.ringer@fultoncountyga.gov
David Lowman
Georgia Bar No. 460298
David.lowman@fultoncountyga.gov
Attorneys for Defendant Fulton County BRE

141 Pryor Street, S.W.
Suite 4038
Atlanta, Georgia 30303
Office: (404) 612-0246
Fax: (404)730-6540

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, *et al*	) Civil Action File No. 2018CV313418
Petitioner,	)
v.	)
ROBYN A CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, *et al*	)
Defendant	)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that on this day, I have caused to be electronically filed the foregoing **FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS' RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO PETITIONERS' MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE** with the Fulton County Superior Court using the Odyssey efile GA system which will provide automatic notification of this filing to all counsel of record.

This 15th day of January, 2019.

/s/ Kaye Woodard Burwell
Kaye Woodard Burwell
Georgia Bar No. 775050

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDMH
Date: 1/15/2019 6:22 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, Plaintiffs, v. ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al., Defendants.	Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

PLAINTIFFS' REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE

Plaintiffs file this Reply to the briefs filed by non-party Secretary of State and Defendant Duncan.

On his predecessor's own motion, the Secretary became a non-party to this case and accordingly should not be filing briefs or interfering in discovery, and his brief should be stricken. If he wants to participate in this case, he may file a motion to intervene for the Court's consideration.

Plaintiffs' primary objection to the Secretary's Brief, however, is not that he filed it, but what he says. Defendant Duncan simply reargues his rejected motion to dismiss.

A. Facts

On the facts: the Court ordered the Defendants to allow Plaintiffs to examine the internal memory of the DRE machines. *There is no dispute that Fulton County has not done so.* Fulton County did not even make its machines available until yesterday, three days before trial, and when it did so it was technically unable to give Plaintiffs access to the internal memory. *This is undisputed.* The essential fact remains that Plaintiffs, now 36 hours from trial, have not been granted the limited discovery that this Court ordered – and that Plaintiffs originally sought six weeks ago. *This is not disputed.*

What also is not in dispute is that Fulton County (and now Gwinnett) have no plans to figure out how to make the internal memory available. In the "no good deed goes unpunished" category, the Secretary now blames Plaintiffs' expert for proposing ways that Fulton County can do what the Order requires without damaging the internal memory, by using a special erasable programable read only memory (EPROM) chip. Fulton County does not have to use the EPROM method, but it does have to comply with the Court's Order and, it if does not like the EPROM method, it can do so with the technology of its own selection. What it cannot do is refuse to comply with the Court's Order and "run out the clock."

The Secretary's Brief does *not* disclose Plaintiffs' proposed protocol - a glaring, inexcusable omission. Plaintiffs proposed last week that Fulton County copy the internal memory and allow Plaintiffs to examine the copy – examining a

copy is the only way to conduct a forensic examination without potentially altering the memory and destroying evidence. This protocol was originally explained in an email on January 10, and then explained in greater detailed in Plaintiffs' expert's January 11, 2019 affidavit, another copy of which was filed yesterday with the Motion for Continuance.

Most important, neither the Secretary nor Defendant Duncan disagree that, as a factual matter, it is impossible for Plaintiffs to conduct reasonable discovery in this time frame.

B. Law

Neither Defendant Duncan nor the Secretary have any response to Plaintiffs' legal argument for continuance. But the law is clear: the Election Contest Statute does not mandate accelerated proceedings or set arbitrary deadlines, but instead contemplates that the trial court use its plenary power to gain a "full and proper understanding" of the matter. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(b). The Election Contest Statute further states that the case is to proceed "in like manner and to the same extent as in other civil cases." *Id.* The Civil Practice Act in turn states that "the court shall in *all* cases afford to the parties reasonable time for discovery." O.C.G.A. § 9-11-40 (emphasis added).

And the Secretary and Defendant Duncan do not attempt to apply this law to the undisputed facts, for that too cannot reasonably be disputed: three days to a week is not a "reasonable time for discovery" in any case, much less a state-wide

election contest involving an investigation of why complex electronic voting machines malfunctioned.

The Secretary and Defendant Duncan also to not counter Plaintiffs' argument citing a number of Supreme Court cases, in each of which the plaintiffs appear to have been given full discovery, whether that involved an opportunity to find and count every misspelled absentee ballot, *Mead v. Sheffield,* 278 Ga. 268 (2004), or to investigate allegedly mis-calibrated voting machines, *Taggart v. Phillips*, 242 Ga. 454, 455 (1978). And, they do not disagree that in no case found by Plaintiffs has *any* court compromised the full and proper adjudication of the issues in order to obtain a faster decision. *E.g., McIntosh Cty. Bd. of Elections v. Deverger*, 282 Ga. 566, 566 (2007) (four months after the general election, the trial court ordered a new election; the Supreme Court affirmed); *Taggart v. Phillips*, 242 Ga. 454, 455 (1978) (two months after election, the Supreme Court reversed the trial court's dismissal of contest petition and remanded for further proceedings).

Defendant Duncan's brief is in effect a motion for reconsideration of the denial of his motion to dismiss. Though improper, Plaintiffs will respond briefly. As to the facts relating to the drop-off, none of what Defendant Duncan says explains why the unprecedented drop in votes for the Lt. Governor's race appeared only with the votes on electronic machines, and not on the votes cast on paper ballots. If the drop-off was because of not having a third-party candidate, for

example, why didn't this drop off appear in votes on paper ballots for the same race? (And why didn't that drop off appear on other races, like the race for attorney general, that also did not have a third-party candidate?) What, other than system malfunction, could explain such a difference? Defendant Duncan does not, cannot, answer that question.

This case needs to be tried, and a continuance is necessary for the trial to be fair and to comply with the law.

Respectfully submitted this 15th day of January, 2019.

/s/ Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Georgia Bar No. 064460
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com
Bruce P. Brown Law LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE, Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700

Attorney for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing via the Court's e-filing system to all counsel of record.

This 15th day of January, 2019.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDLW
Date: 1/15/2019 4:52 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY

STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT,	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	CIVIL ACTION
v.	)	FILE NO. 2018CV313418
ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS, GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, DEKALB COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, and GEOFF DUNCAN,	)	
Defendants.	)	

RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE

COMES NOW, Brad Raffensperger, in his official capacity as Secretary of State of the State of Georgia ("Defendant" or "Raffensperger"), by and through counsel, and respectfully responds to Plaintiffs Coalition for Good Governance's ("Coalition"), Rhonda J. Martin's ("Martin"), Smythe Duval's ("Duval"), and

Jeanne Dufort's ("Dufort") (collectively, "Plaintiffs") Motion for Continuance (Plaintiffs' Motion), stating as follows[1]:

INTRODUCTION

Plaintiffs filed this action to contest the Contested Election pursuant to Article 13, O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520, *et seq.*, of the Georgia Election Code.

The election for Lieutenant Governor was on November 6, 2018. It is now over two months since the election and the new Lieutenant Governor, Geoff Duncan, has already been sworn into office. The Georgia State Senate, the legislative body over which Lt. Governor Duncan presides, has already been sworn and is conducting business. While these facts do not moot this lawsuit yet, they currently demonstrate why this Court should rule promptly to allow the Georgia government to conduct legislative business. Under Plaintiffs' proposal (and as shown below, under the standard of proof they have created for themselves), this lawsuit could go on for months, if not years. And, that is before any appeal that may be filed. This is not what election challenges are for, nor is it permitted by the Election Code.

FACTS

On January 9, 2019, all parties appeared before the Court. The Court issued

[1] On January 14, 2019 Secretary Raffensperger was sworn into office as the successor to Secretary Crittenden.

an Order related to pending motions on January 11, 2019 (the "Order"). The Order sets out three specific requirements for the parties to follow related to discovery. (Order at 5.)

As relevant here, Plaintiffs are entitled to "inspect the DRE machines in post-election mode, using post-election memory cards that were used in the voting locations identified by Plaintiffs in the Petition, paragraphs 40, 41, 44, 45, and 46." (Order at 6.) Further, "Plaintiffs may also examine the Internal memory storage of each such DRE unit." (Order at 6.) But Plaintiffs cannot "in any way damage the DRE machines or information contained therein . . . [or] copy, image, save, or retain the DRE machines or the information contained therein . . . [or] upload or introduce any information into the DRE machines." (Order at 6.) "Destructive testing shall not be permitted." (Order at 6.) The Court also ruled that the parties must enter into a protective order.

This Court also decided, via an electronic mail sent to all counsel, that no continuances would be granted in this matter as the case should be expedited given that it is an election case. (Email from Judge Adele Grubbs to Counsel, Jan. 11, 2019 ("Jan. 11, 2019 Email").) The Court went on to state that any discovery issues should be brought to the Court's attention via email or conference call. (Id.)

In response to the Order and after consulting with Plaintiff's Counsel, Defendant Fulton County Board of Registration and Elections ("Fulton County")

and non-party Henry County Board of Registrations and Elections ("Henry County") made the DRE units for the voting locations identified in paragraphs 40, 41, 44, and 46 of Plaintiffs' Petition available on January 14 and January 15, respectively. This required Fulton County and Henry County to not only locate and setup the DRE units, but also locate the sealed memory cards for each machine before the inspection could commence. The Secretary is not aware of any attempt by Plaintiffs to arrange for the inspection of the DRE machines in Worth County referenced in paragraph 45 of the Petition, but the Secretary has notified the county attorney for Worth County of this Court's Order.

In conjunction with the counties, the Secretary proposed a protocol for examination of the internal memory storage on the DRE units (which units are property of the State of Georgia). To access the election result file stored in the internal memory of a DRE, a new memory card containing (1) the election ID and (2) blank ballot information for the election corresponding to the election results being recovered from the internal memory must be inserted into a DRE unit. This allows the DRE unit to locate the specific archive file in its internal memory storage. The archive file is then extracted from the internal memory and saved to the inserted memory card. Thus, the inserted memory card would contain the election information extracted from the DRE's internal memory storage. The internal memory for the election can then be viewed through the DRE. This

protocol tracks the normal process for recovering election data stored on the internal memory of a DRE unit, and it does not damage the DRE machines or otherwise require imaging or the introduction of any information into the DRE machines.

On January 14, 2019, Plaintiffs' counsel proposed a vastly different protocol for examining the DRE machines.[2] Specifically, Plaintiffs rely on the statement of Matt Bernhard, a proffered expert for the Coalition for Good Governance, a non-party. Bernhard demanded that the counties remove the outside case on the DRE machines and to insert outside computer chips or adapters into the machines before imaging the machines. (See Ex. 3.) Thus, Plaintiffs would not only extract but they would alter, even temporarily, the DRE machines hardware. Indeed, Plaintiffs' protocol requires altering the motherboard on certain models of DRE units (TS models), installing new computer chips (EEPROM chips) on those DRE units, and then imaging the DRE units. (Id.) For other models of DRE machines in circulation (TSx models), Plaintiffs' protocol requires an outside adapter (JTAG adapter) to be connected to the DRE unit, a "flash probe" run on the DRE unit, and then an image of the internal memory copied to a laptop. (Id.) These procedures are not confined to an examination of the internal memory for the November 6,

[2] A copy of the January 14, 2019 email from Plaintiffs' counsel is attached as Exhibit 1.

2018 election, but instead copy everything on the DRE unit, including information for past elections and critical files related to the architecture of the machines. (Id.)[3]

When Fulton and Henry Counties offered to allow Plaintiffs to inspect the DRE machines as the Secretary suggested, Plaintiffs refused. Thus, Plaintiffs have not inspected any DRE machines, despite the fact that Fulton and Henry County made them available. Instead, Plaintiff filed their Motion for Continuance with this Court.

ARGUMENT AND CITATION TO AUTHORITY

I. Plaintiffs' Failed to Follow the Court's Order and Email Instructions.

As an initial matter, Plaintiff's Motion for Continuance is improper and in direct contradiction of the Court's instructions. The Court made clear that no continuances would be granted. (Jan. 11, 2019 Email.) Therefore, Plaintiffs' attempt at the last minute is fruitless and is another chance to delay this Court's final decision. Moreover, the Court added that any discovery issues should be brought to the Court's attention by email or conference call, not by motion. (Jan. 11, 2019 Email.) The Court should deny Plaintiffs' motion for failure to properly submit this issue to the Court.

[3] The Order says that Plaintiffs may not "copy, image, save or retain the DRE machines or the information contained therein." (Order at 6.)

II. Election Challenges Must Move Promptly.

The Election Code provides that this Court must "decide the contest promptly." O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(a). While Plaintiffs are correct that the statute does not provide a specific timeline for the Court to issue a final order, there is no doubt that a timely decision must be made. Plaintiffs respond by wrongly comparing the discovery in this case to normal civil discovery and complain that Plaintiffs are not being treated like a normal civil party. (Plaintiffs' Motion for Continuance at 12.)

First, Plaintiffs had argued that the Civil Practice Act does not apply in election contests to the extent that its provisions conflicted with the specific requirements of the Election Code. (Petrs.' Joint Consol. Resp. to Mots. To Dismiss at 15-16.) The conflict here is apparent: O.C.G.A. 21-2-525(a) specifically requires Courts "to decide the contest promptly." There is no equivalent provision in the Civil Practice Act. Therefore, there is no doubt that Plaintiffs should not receive six months of discovery that is usually allotted to parties to conduct ordinary civil discovery.

In addition, Plaintiffs complain that there is insufficient time for them to make copies of the internal memory of the DRE machines. (Plaintiffs' Motion for Continuance at 8-9.) But, this Court made clear that Plaintiffs cannot "copy,

image, save, or retain the DRE machines or the information contained therein." (Order at 6.)

Next, the Secretary has offered a protocol that is consistent with the Order. Plaintiffs would have access to each identified voting machine's archive file from the internal memory storage. They could also view the election information on each machine. This should allow Plaintiffs the ability to test their claims, without damaging or altering the DRE machines. It certainly is more consistent with the Order than Plaintiffs' proposal, which expressly calls for prohibited copying and alters the DRE machine hardware.

Finally, Plaintiffs' request for a three-week extension forecloses a prompt decision – this would cause the decision to be made in February at the earliest and in the middle of the legislative session. An appeal could extend the resolution out even longer. Plaintiffs currently have all of the necessary information and have been given an opportunity to receive anything else this Court's Order allowed.

III. <u>Additional Discovery Will Not Satisfy Plaintiffs' Burden.</u>

Critically, even if the Plaintiffs were permitted to expand the scope of the Order in the manner they seek, the exercise would be one in futility. Plaintiffs' main problem is that they have created a mouse trap from which they cannot escape. Specifically, at the time that Plaintiffs filed the election challenge, they acknowledged that the alleged "programming errors" and "manipulations" they

sought to find would require a "very sophisticated, expensive and **impractical time consuming forensic examination.**" (Pet. ¶¶ 22, 33 (emphasis added)). Now they seek to do just that.

In addition, Plaintiffs' own expert alleged that a sample audit – the very kind Plaintiffs seek here – "is not sufficient for safeguarding of the electronic evidence required to be used in discovery." (Decl. of Richard A DeMillo, attached to Petrs.' Emergency Mot. for Inspection of Electronic Election Equipment and Production of Documents ¶ 25.) The same proffered expert testified in the federal lawsuit regarding the Voting Machines. See Curling v. Kemp, Civil Act. No. 1:17-CV-2989-AT (N.D.Ga. 2017). There, DeMillo said that if he wanted to determine whether a machine was compromised, he would have to "open the machine up, attach some probes to terminals in the back of the machine, and read the memory of the machine just like you would read a disc on a PC. [He] would compare the image to the latest software that [he] had from Diebold to see if it was a match or not." (Curling v. Kemp, Tr. of hearing on September 12, 2018 at 134; entered into evidence in this case with the Secretary's Limited Response to Petitioners' Proffer of Evidence). DeMillo estimated that to do this across the state – as he claimed would be necessary – would take approximately "14,000 hours" or 583 days or 1.6 years. (Id.) Recognizing how unreasonable DeMillo's request is, Plaintiffs now offer something different. They are presumably exchanging (what their experts

deem to be) sufficiency for expediency. This trade-off, however, is inconsistent with the Order and cannot, by Plaintiffs' own terms, prove or disprove their case. It should not, therefore, be permitted to extend it.

The bottom line is that the Plaintiffs have created a universe where the DRE machines are subject to such secret and hidden manipulation that no one could find it this year or perhaps even next year. Thus, no amount of reasonable discovery will satisfy Plaintiffs or allow them to meet the burden of proof they have imposed on themselves. This weighs heavily in favor of denying Plaintiffs' most recent attempt to delay and extend the resolution of this dispute.

CONCLUSION

Plaintiffs' discovery needs were known to them when they filed this action. They have been offered access to the information they seek, but they are unsatisfied with it and this Court's order. Their disappointment provides no basis for continued delay, and their Motion for Continuance should be denied.

This 15th day of January, 2019.

Christopher M. Carr
Attorney General
Georgia Bar No. 112505
Annette M. Cowart
Deputy Attorney General
Georgia Bar No. 191199
Russell D. Willard
Senior Assistant Attorney General
Georgia Bar No. 760280
State Law Department

40 Capitol Square, S.W.
Atlanta, GA 30334
Telephone: (404) 656-3357

/s/ Josh Belinfante
Josh Belinfante
Georgia Bar No: 047399
jbelinfante@robbinsfirm.com
Vincent R. Russo
Georgia Bar No. 242628
vrusso@robbinsfirm.com
Brian E. Lake
Georgia Bar No. 575966
blake@robbinsfirm.com
Alexander Denton
Georgia Bar No. 660632
adenton@robbinsfirm.com
Special Assistant Attorneys General
Robbins Ross Alloy Belinfante Littlefield LLC
500 14th Street, N.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30318
Telephone: (678) 701-9381
Facsimile: (404) 856-3250

Attorneys for Defendant Robyn A. Crittenden, Secretary of State of Georgia

EXHIBIT 1

From: Vincent Russo
To: Bruce Brown
Cc: Patrick Jaugstetter; rgermany@sos.ga.gov; mbarnes@sos.ga.gov; gdavis@co.henry.ga.us; Josh Belinfante; Alexander Denton
Subject: Re: DRE and DRE Internal Memory Examination
Date: Monday, January 14, 2019 8:13:55 PM

Bruce,

The protocol has not changed from today, and we do not expect Henry County to say anything different than Fulton County. The process includes extracting the internal memory of the DREs from the November 2018 election onto memory cards and examining the contents through the DREs.

Vincent

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 14, 2019, at 5:54 PM, Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com> wrote:

Patrick - we have a few questions with respect to the machines for Henry County early voting in November, covered by the court order:

1. Has Henry County preserved the memory cards as they existed after the November election, or have they reprogrammed them for the December election?

2. Has Henry County preserved the DRE machines intact from the November election or did they use them again for the December election?

3. Are Henry County's machines for the early voting site covered by the Court order, Model TS or Model TSX, or a combination of both?

Fulton County did not know how to make copies of the internal memory today, but our expert explained the process to Fulton County and the SOS representative, so we anticipate not having those problems tomorrow.

Attending tomorrow from the Plaintiffs will be our expert Matt Bernhard and my consultant Marilyn Marks.

Thanks,

Bruce

From: "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Date: Monday, January 14, 2019 at 4:22 PM
To: Patrick Jaugstetter <patrick@powerjaug.com>
Cc: "VRusso@robbinsfirm.com" <VRusso@robbinsfirm.com>, "rgermany@sos.ga.gov" <rgermany@sos.ga.gov>, "mbarnes@sos.ga.gov" <mbarnes@sos.ga.gov>, "gdavis@co.henry.ga.us" <gdavis@co.henry.ga.us>
Subject: Re: Court Order in Election Recall Case

Yes. Longer email to follow.

On Jan 14, 2019, at 1:01 PM, Patrick Jaugstetter <patrick@powerjaug.com> wrote:

Bruce:

Are we confirmed for 9am tomorrow?

Patrick D. Jaugstetter

Power•Jaugstetter
P.O. Box 70
108 Atlanta Street
McDonough, GA 30253
770-957-1765 x 305 (office)
678-233-8383 (cell)
770-957-1065 (fax)

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 11, 2019, at 5:07 PM, Patrick Jaugstetter <patrick@powerjaug.com> wrote:

> I serve as County Attorney in Henry County - in that capacity, I represent the Henry County Board of Elections and Registration ("Henry County"). Your email (below) has been forwarded to me.
>
> I have reviewed your email, the accompanying pleadings and the proposed instructions you have provided to Henry County. Please know that the Henry County **will** allow you to inspect the voting machines as required by the Court's Order. The protocol you proposed, however, is **not** acceptable and not compliant with the Court's Order.
>
> To comply with the Court's Order, Henry County will make available all of the voting machines put into use at the Elections Office during the November 6 Election - Henry County will likewise have available the post-election memory card (the "Card"). Henry County will insert the Card into a machine or machines of your choice (the machines will be placed into post-election mode) and you will be allowed to direct County staff to navigate to such screen(s) as you may elect. Henry County will not copy or make images of any data included on any machine or memory card. Likewise, Henry County will not permit the copying, imaging, saving or retention of any data on any machine or memory card.
>
> Of course, preservation of the confidentiality of these records is of utmost importance - please send me any protective order contemplated in the Court's Order so that I may assure the County that there are provisions in place to preserve the confidentiality of the information your clients will be inspecting.
>
> Compliance with this Order will take some effort and require some time on the part of the County. Accordingly, the inspection may proceed on **Tuesday, January 15, beginning at 9am.**
>
> Finally, I have invited representatives of the Secretary of State to join us on Tuesday

morning.

PLEASE NOTE MY NEW EMAIL ADDRESS: patrick@powerjaug.com

Patrick Jaugstetter

Power-Jaugstetter, PC
P.O. Box 70
108 Atlanta Street
McDonough, GA 30253
770-957-1765 x 305 (office)
678-233-8383 (cell)
770-957-1065 (fax)

http://www.powerjaug.com

CONFIDENTIAL
The information contained in this electronic message is legally privileged and confidential intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this electronic message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this electronic message in error, please notify me immediately by telephone and delete the original message.

On Jan 11, 2019, at 3:36 PM, Grayson Davis <gdavis@co.henry.ga.us> wrote:

> Hey Patrick,
>
> We just received this. Tina is at the Hospital and knows about it but can't do anything about it.
>
> Grayson Davis
>
> Henry County Elections & Voter Registration
> 40 Atlanta St.
> McDonough, GA 30253
> Office: 770-288-6462
> Cell: 404-989-3050
> Fax: 770-288-6468
>
> **From:** Bruce Brown [mailto:bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com]
> **Sent:** Friday, January 11, 2019 3:24 PM
> **To:** Tina Lunsford; Grayson Davis
> **Subject:** Court Order in Election Recall Case
>
> Ms. Lunsford and Mr. Davis,
>
> I represent the Plaintiffs in the Coalition for Good Governance vs. Crittenden election contest case involving the Lt. Governor's election. (2018CV313418). The case is set for trial

this next Thursday, January 17, 2019, and this email concerns discovery concerning Henry County that the Judge has ordered to be completed in advance of the trial.

In the attached ruling just issued, the Honorable Judge Adele Grubbs ordered that Plaintiffs' experts may conduct forensic examination of the DRE voting machines (and their internal memory data) used in the Henry County Election Office early voting location for the November 6, 2018 election. I have attached Judge Grubb's order. In Part B of the her Order, Judge Grubbs references paragraph 40 of the Petition, which also is attached; Henry County is referenced in the Petition at paragraph 40. We apologize for the short notice. The order was just entered, and the Court has also just denied our request for a continuance.

We would like to arrange for the logistics for this examination, and I have summarized our proposal for that examination below:

Examination of Henry County DRE Machines:

Location: Henry County Elections Office

Time and Date: 9 am through 5pm, Monday January 14, 2019 and continuing until completion

Machines and their related memory cards for inspection:

Voting machines used in the Henry County Election Office during early voting for the November 6, 2018 election.

Henry County to identify by machine serial number which machines were used in the December 4 runoff or otherwise used, operated or put through maintenance or testing after the November 6, 2018 election.

Machines to be inspected should not be turned on, operated, re-programmed or otherwise altered in any way until Plaintiff's experts are on site.

Henry County will need to make a full image of the contents of the internal flash chips of the all machines for our experts' forensic examination to avoid any damage to the DREs as our experts examine the internal memory of the DREs . These copies should be made under the observation of Plaintiff's experts on site who will review the files on site, presumably on a county laptop. We anticipate that this effort may require a further discussion of protocols and security, as well as confidentiality agreements as anticipated by the Court.

Plaintiff's experts will then select machines from the group to have the experts examine in the "post-election mode" of the November 6, 2018 election.

We appreciate Henry County's prompt cooperation given the expedited schedule.

Please forward this message to your county attorney representing the Election Office. I searched on the Henry County website and did not find contact information for the county attorney's office.

Thanks and again and please give me a call if you have any questions.

Bruce Brown
(404) 881-0700

<20190111 Lt Gov Order on Pending Motions .pdf>

<20181123 LtGov Case Complaint Stamp Filed Final.pdf>

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served the within and foregoing **RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE** on all parties by electronically filing it with the Clerk of the Court using the Odyssey eFileGA system, which will automatically send an email notification of such filing to counsel for the parties.

This 15th day of January, 2019.

/s/ Josh Belinfante
Josh Belinfante

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDLS
Date: 1/16/2019 10:48 AM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, *et al.*, Plaintiffs, v. BRAD RAFFENSPERGER, Secretary of State of Georgia, *et al.*, Defendants.	Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

DEFENDANT GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS' RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO COMPEL

Defendant Gwinnett County Board of Registrations and Elections (Gwinnett BORE) opposes Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel for all the reasons previously stated in its opposition to Plaintiffs' prior motions seeking a continuance. In addition, while Defendant Gwinnett BORE does not have any DREs to inspect under the Court's Order, the Court should deny Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel regarding the GEMS database because is not a motion to compel—it is a motion for a reconsideration of the Court's prior order regarding discovery. The Court specified that only five reports from the GEMS database should be provided, recognizing the confidentiality of the GEMS database, as explained by the Court of Appeals in *Smith v. DeKalb County*, 288 Ga. App. 574 (2007). Plaintiffs now again seek the entire GEMS database in their purported motion to compel.

This Court has already resolved what information Plaintiffs are entitled to receive from the GEMS database. Titling their motion a "motion to compel" does not change the

fact that Plaintiffs are requesting a reconsideration of the Court's prior order. This Court should deny Plaintiffs' Motion and this case should proceed to trial.

This 16th day of January, 2019.

/s/ Bryan P. Tyson
Frank B. Strickland
Georgia Bar No. 687600
fbs@sbllaw.net
Bryan P. Tyson
Georgia Bar No. 515411
bpt@sbllaw.net
Anne W. Lewis
Georgia Bar No. 737490
awl@sbllaw.net
STRICKLAND BROCKINGTON
LEWIS LLP
Midtown Proscenium Suite 2200
1170 Peachtree Street NE
Atlanta, GA 30309
(678) 347-2200

Richard A. Carothers
Georgia Bar No. 111075
richard.carothers@carmitch.com
Brian R. Dempsey
Georgia Bar No. 217596
Brian.dempsey@carmitch.com
CAROTHERS & MITCHELL, LLC
1809 Buford Highway
Buford, GA 30518
(770) 932-3552

Attorneys for the Gwinnett County Board of Registrations and Elections

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, *et al.*, Plaintiffs, v. BRAD RAFFENSPERGER, Secretary of State of Georgia, *et al.*, Defendants.	Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day electronically filed the foregoing DEFENDANT GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS' RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO COMPEL with the Clerk of Court using the Odyssey E-Filing system which will provide automatic notification of such filing to all counsel of record.

This 16th day of January, 2019.

/s/ Bryan P. Tyson
Bryan P. Tyson
Georgia Bar No. 515411

Coalition for Good Governance
v.
Robyn Crittenden et. al.

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDQW
Date: 1/16/2019 11:58 AM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF Fulton COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

(STYLE OF CASE/CALENDAR) CASE NO. 2018CV313418

REQUEST TO USE A RECORDING DEVICE PURSUANT TO RULE 22 ON RECORDING OF JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS.

Pursuant to Rule 22 of the Uniform Rules for Superior Court regarding Use of Electronic Devices in Courtrooms and Recording of Judicial Proceedings, the undersigned hereby requests permission to use a recording device in Courtroom 2000 in order to record images and/or sound during (all) (the following portions) of the proceedings in the above captioned case/calendar.

Consistent with the provisions of the rule, the undersigned desires to use the following described recording device(s): Video & Audio recorders. The proceedings that the undersigned desires to record commence on (date). Subject to direction from the court regarding possible pooled coverage, the undersigned wishes to use this device in the courtroom on (date). The personnel who will be responsible for the use of this recording device are: (identify appropriate personnel).

The undersigned hereby certifies that the device to be used and the locations and operation of such device will be in conformity with Rule 22 and any guidelines issued by the court.

The undersigned understands and acknowledges that a violation of Rule 22 and any guidelines issued by the court may be grounds for removal or exclusion from the courtroom and a willful violation may subject the undersigned to penalties for contempt of court.

This 17th day of January 2019.

George Ballema
(Individual Signature)

Me
(Representing/Firm)

Concerned Citizen
(Position)

APPROVED: _____

_____
Judge, Superior Court

_____ Judicial Circuit

Coalition for Good Governance

v.

Rodney Cathedral, et. al.

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDQW
Date: 1/16/2019 11:58 AM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF Fulton COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

CASE NO. 2018CV313418

REQUEST TO USE A RECORDING DEVICE PURSUANT TO RULE 22 ON RECORDING OF JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS.

Pursuant to Rule 22 of the Uniform Rules for Superior Court regarding Use of Electronic Devices in Courtrooms and Recording of Judicial Proceedings, the undersigned hereby requests permission to use a recording device in Courtroom 2000 in order to record images and/or sound during (all) (the following portions) of the proceedings in the above captioned case/calendar.

Consistent with the provisions of the rule, the undersigned desires to use the following described recording device(s): iPhone. The proceedings that the undersigned desires to record commence on (1/17/19). Subject to direction from the court regarding possible pooled coverage, the undersigned wishes to use this device in the courtroom on (1/17/19). The personnel who will be responsible for the use of this recording device are: (Jordan Wilkie).

The undersigned hereby certifies that the device to be used and the locations and operation of such device will be in conformity with Rule 22 and any guidelines issued by the court.

The undersigned understands and acknowledges that a violation of Rule 22 and any guidelines issued by the court may be grounds for removal or exclusion from the courtroom and a willful violation may subject the undersigned to penalties for contempt of court.

This 15 day of January, 2019.

Jordan Wilkie
(Individual Signature)

(Representing/Firm)

Journalist
(Position)

APPROVED: _____

_____
Senior Judge, Superior Court

_____ Judicial Circuit

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDQW
Date: 1/16/2019 10:30 AM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY

STATE OF GEORGIA

Rhonda Martin, Smythe Duval, Jeanne Dufort	*	
	*	CIVIL ACTION
Plaintiffs,	*	FILE NO.: 2018CV313418
vs.	*	
	*	
Geoff Duncan.	*	
Fulton County Board of Registration	*	
and Elections,	*	
Gwinnett county Board of Registration	*	
And Elections	*	
	*	
Defendants.	*	
	*	

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFFS'MOTION TO CONTINUE

The Plaintiffs having filed a Motion to Continue the above styled case, and the Defendants having individually responded:

It is hereby ordered as follows:

1.

This is an election contest case in which the Plaintiffs filed suit contesting the result of the 2018 election for Lieutenant Governor for the State of Georgia as being illegal and therefore incorrect. The Petition was filed on November 23, 2018.

2.

At the hearing on December 5, 2018 the Court set the scheduling order for the case, including Motions for January 9th 2019 and trial for January 17th 2019. O.C.G..A. Section 21-2-525(a) provides that within 20days after the return date the Court set a date for trial of the matter and that the case be decided promptly and expeditiously.

3.

The Plaintiffs have the burden of going forward. The notice of trial is reasonable. The Plaintiffs' Motion to continue the case is denied.

4

At the hearing on January 9th, 2019 the Court orally pronounced its ruling as to discovery. The order was entered on January 11th, 2019. The Court said that it would handle discovery disputes by e mail or conference call. None were made.

5

The Court notified the parties that the case would not be continued two hours ago. Since that notification the Plaintiffs have filed a Motion to compel and sought additional grounds for a continuance. That Motion does not continue this case.

The trial of this case will go forward on January 17th, 2019 at 9:00 a.m.as was ordered on December 5th, 2018.

SO ORDERED this 16 day of January **2019**

JUDGE ADELE P. GRUBBS
Senior Judge , State of Georgia

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE is attached.

I will enter an Order denying the Motion to Continue today. The case remains on for trial tomorrow as scheduled. I wanted to give all of you notice of my decision as early as possible.

Adele Grubbs

Senior Judge
[Quoted text hidden]

Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com> Wed, Jan 16, 2019 at 10:16 AM
To: Bryan Tyson <bpt@sbllaw.net>
Cc: "Burwell, Kaye" <Kaye.Burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>, Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>, Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>, Laila Tehrani <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.com>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, Vincent Russo <VRusso@robbinsfirm.com>, Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "Perkins-Hooker, Patrise" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, "Ringer, Cheryl" <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>

Counsel,

Attached please find the order denying the continuance I have signed today.

Adele Grubbs
Senior Judge
[Quoted text hidden]

Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com> Wed, Jan 16, 2019 at 10:16 AM
To: Bryan Tyson <bpt@sbllaw.net>
Cc: "Burwell, Kaye" <Kaye.Burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>, Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>, Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>, Laila Tehrani <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.com>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, Vincent Russo <VRusso@robbinsfirm.com>, Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "Perkins-Hooker, Patrise" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, "Ringer, Cheryl" <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>

See Attached
[Quoted text hidden]

20190116101338.pdf
44K

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDQW
Date: 1/16/2019 9:19 AM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, Plaintiffs, v. ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al., Defendants.	Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO COMPEL AND FOR ADDITIONAL DISCOVERY

Plaintiffs move to compel Defendants to comply with the Court's January 11, 2019, Order on Pending Motions and, in addition, seek the immediate production of the Defendants' GEMs databases. In the Order on Pending Motions, the Court stated: "Plaintiffs may also examine the internal memory storage of each such DRE unit," referring to the DREs from five polling locations (three in Fulton County, one in Henry County, and one in Worth County). Defendant Fulton County and Henry County, following the explicit instructions of non-party Secretary of State, have refused to permit Plaintiffs to examine the internal memory of any DRE unit. This is undisputed, and sufficient to warrant the granting of this Motion to Compel.

Defendants may claim that they do not understand what the Court meant by "internal memory" (though that term is well understood) or that they do not know how to allow Plaintiffs to examine the internal memory (though Plaintffs' experts have given Defendants' various options). More likely, Defendants simply disagree with the Court's Order, and believe the Court should have limited Plaintiffs' access to just a portion of the internal memory or reports generated by specific applications (conveniently) of Defendants' choice.

Whatever the reason or excuse, it is bedrock law that a party must obey a court order, even if it disagrees with it or believes that it is wrong. "[I]f the court has jurisdiction to make the order, it must be obeyed however wrong it may be." *Pearson v. George,* 211 Ga. 18, 18 (1954). In addition, if Defendants did not understand the Order, or understand how they could comply with the Order, the burden was on Defendants to obtain clarification, and to do so immediately. The one thing Defendants may not do is simply refuse to comply:

> "The orderly and expeditious administration of justice by the courts requires that an order issued by a court with jurisdiction over the subject matter and person must be obeyed ... until it is reversed by orderly and proper proceedings.... Such orders must be complied with promptly and completely, for the alternative would be to frustrate and disrupt the progress of the [court proceedings] with issues collateral to the central questions in [the case]."

Britt v. State, 282 Ga. 746, 750 (2007) (quoting *Maness v. Meyers,* 419 U.S. 449, 458-459 (1975).

The Court should grant this Motion to Compel and order Defendants, *again,* to allow Plaintiffs to examine the internal memory of each DRE machine identified in the Court's order, and that they must do so immediately. The Court should also order the Gwinnett County and Fulton County to produce their GEMS' database for the 2018 general election, as explained further below.

DISCUSSION

A. DRE Internal Memory

In its January 11, 2019 Order on Pending Motions, this Court stated as follows:

> Plaintiffs (i.e., only Rhonda J. Martin, Smythe Duval, and Jeanne Dufort) are entitled to inspect the DRE machines in post-election mode, using post-election memory cards that were used in the voting machines idenetified by Plaintiffs in the Petition, paragraph 40, 41, 44, 45 and 46. Plaintiffs may also examine the internal memory storage of each such DRE unit. Plaintiffs are ordered not to in any way damage the DRE machines or the information contained therein, nor may Plaintiffs copy, image, save or retain the DRE machines or the information contained therein. Plaintiffs may not upload or introduce any information into the DRE machines. Destructive testify shall not be permitted.

The key language in the Order is the statement that the Plaintiffs "may also examine the internal memory storage of each such DRE unit." Instead of allowing Plaintiffs to examine the internal memory, Defendants on Monday, January 14, 2019, offered to allow Plaintiffs to examine a report generated by one file in the

internal memory, the "Election Archive."[1] (Exhibit A to Bernhard Affidavit, which is attached as Exhibit 1). As concisely explained by Plaintiffs' expert Matthew Bernhard in his affidavit, what Defendants have offered is only an on-screen human readable report and interpretation of a small portion of the internal memory. As Mr. Bernhard explains: a "forensic examination of the data in the Election Archive would be incomplete and almost meaningless." (Bernhard Aff. ¶ 17).

Mr. Bernhard explains the significant difference between internal memory and the election archive on screen report in his affidavit, at paragraphs 3 through 8. They are not remotely the same thing. Separately, what Defendants are offering is just on-screen reports, not the program itself, and a review of on-screen reports related to the results of the prior elections cannot substitute for a review of the programs running on the DRE computer, when searching for the malware or error that caused the Lt. Governnor race issues.

As Mr. Bernhard explains, malicious software can put itself *anywhere* in internal memory, and frequently it will be hidden in the "bootloader" or the operating system, parts of internal memory that the user never sees. (Bernhard Aff. ¶ 9). In fact, published research into the AccuVote systems has demonstrated that malware that can steal votes can be hidden in the bootloader, the operating

[1] Plaintiffs did not take part in any machine demonstrations or review of on-screen reports of election archives prior to a copy of the internal memory being made so to preserve all evidence on the machine. Defendants, following the Secretary's orders, refused to make copies of the internal memory to preserve the machine's electronic evidence.

system, or the BallotStation application itself. (Bernhard Aff. ¶ 10). This is why it is critical to examine all of the internal memory during a forensic examination. No on screen report of past election archives will permit detection of a progamming flaw.

Defendants, therefore, have failed to comply with this Court's Order. Defendants should be compelled to do so immediately.

Defendants may offer up an excuse as to how it is difficult to allow an examination of the internal memory. It is time-consuming, but the internal memory can be copied by the counties using the protocols supplied by Plaintiffs' expert[2] without damage to the internal memory. This issue and need to copy the internal memory, before using it again, is not new to Defendants or the Secretary. Plaintiffs' counsel warned Secretary's counsel in mid-November not to use DREs in the December runoff election without copying the memory, a warning which was ignored. And indeed, Plaintiffs exchanged many emails and had conversations starting the evening of the Janaury 9 hearing in which Plaintiffs made it clear that copying the memory (by the counties) would be necessary for both preservation

[2] In the course of a conversation at the English Street warehouse where the DREs were assembled, Secretary of State official Barnes told Plaintiffs' expert that he did not know how to copy the internal memory without "ripping out of the chip." (Bernhard Aff., Exhibit 1). That evening Mr. Bernhard drafted a protocol (a) involving an EPROM chip that could be employed to safely copy the memory for the older TS models and (b) another protocol for the TSx model that did not require replacing the EPROM chip. Plaintiffs' counsel forwarded those protocols to counsel for Fulton County and counsel for Henry County. (Bernhard Aff., Exhibits B and C). Fulton County has not responded. There is an alternative method as well that Defendants may find more convenient that Plaintiffs' experts can make available to Defendants if they cannot accomplish it independently.

and examination. Defendants now act as if the need to preserve and review memory is a new topic, when it has been under discussion for months.

If the counties prefer a method other than Plaintiffs' recommended method, they are free to do so. But what they cannot do is fail to comply with this Court's Order. Defendants clearly are trying to avoid the embarrassment of the exposure of the cause of the massive number of missing votes in the contested election. The Defendants should therefore be ordered *again* to allow Plaintiffs to "examine the internal memory storage of each such DRE unit." In addition, Defendants failure to comply with this Court's Order is further grounds for the granting of a continuance in this case.

B. GEMS Database

A far easier issue is the production of the GEMS database. *See generally* Bernhard Affidavit, paragraphs 20-31. Unlike the DRE internal memory, it will take the counties just minutes to produce the GEMS database on a CD, and the GEMS database is the first and simplest place experts will look to attempt to detect the cause of the undervote irregularity seen in the Lieutenant Governor's election. In fact, given the widespread nature of that particular problem, it may take only a few hours to pinpoint the cause once experts are given access to the tables in the GEMS database, although there is no assurance that this will be the case.

The county election data is simply loaded into a generic Microsoft Access database to create the GEMS database, which contains no proprietary source code

or highly sensitive information. Unlike the print-outs that the Defendants would produce, the GEMS database likely holds important clues as to where mis-programming, viruses, malfunctions or hacks occurred, and the number of machines and votes affected.

The contents of the GEMS database are simply election data that belongs to the counties and statem (and their voters), and not the vendor, and contains no source code. Various out-of-state jurisdiction's GEMS databases have been posted on line and can be reviewed to confirm that no proprietary source code or private voter data resides on the GEMS database.

Conclusion

There are roughly 127,000 missing votes in the statewide Lieutenant Govenor's race. It is a matter of utmost public importance that the cause of these missing votes be isolated. There is a reasonable possibility that the matter may be easily solved by an immediate examination of the GEMS databases or a review of the internal memory of a sample of DRE machines. There is a compelling public interest to take the short time necessary to access the readily available data to determine the cause of the massive irregularities casting serious doubt upon the result in the Lieutenant Governor's race. This Motion should be granted.

A proposed order is attached.

Respectfully submitted this 16th day of January, 2019.

/s/ Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Georgia Bar No. 064460
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com
Bruce P. Brown Law LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE, Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700

Attorney for Plaintiffs

GA001177

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing via the Court's e-filing system to the attorneys of record who have made appearances.

This 16th day of January, 2019.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown

EXHIBIT

1

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV31348**

AFFIDAVIT OF MATTHEW BERNHARD

Appeared before me, the undersigned officer duly authorized to administered oaths, MATTHEW BERNHARD who, after being sworn, states as follows:

1. I am a consulting expert on the topic of the Diebold Voting System for plaintiffs in this case. I have been asked to address what "internal memory" means and the relative utility of examining internal memory, as I understand the Court's January 11, 2019 Order on Motions requires, compared to examining the

"election archive," which is the limit of what the Defendants are willing to allow. I have also been asked to explain the necessity of reviewing the GEMS database.

2. My qualifications are set forth in detail in my January 10, 2019 affidavit of record in this case.

Internal Memory v. Election Archive

3. All computers rely on som permanent form of storage. In most consumer computers, this storage is a "hard drive," which is made out of spinning platters, or solid-state drives, which rely on flash memory for storage. Computers like the AccuVote voting machines (which are more similar to smart phones and PDAs), rely instead on "internal memory" for their primary storage, which is comprised of read-only flash memory (ROM). Here we are solely concerned with computers relying on internal memory as their primary storage mechanism. These computers use internal to store all the files they use, which on a normal computer might include things like Word documents, PDFs, music, movies, etc. However, there is a lot of other data stored in internal memory, much of which the user never sees.

4. When computers first get powered on, the computer runs a program that sets up all the other components connected to the computer, such as keyboards, screens, USB ports, etc., as well as the rest of the software that runs on

the computer. The program that does this is normally called a "bootloader," and is normally stored in a predesignated location in internal memory (these locations are called partitions).

5. Once the bootloader has been executed, the computer is still running at a fairly low level of functionality, so the next program that gets loaded is typically an operating system (like Windows on a PC, or OSX Mojave on a MacBook). Operating systems provide a much more usable interface to the computer, and they are what most users have experience with when interacting with a computer. The operating system is also stored in internal memory, in a different partition from the bootloader.

6. Once the operating system is running, users can run different programs (called applications) within the operating system. Typically this consists of applications like web browsers (like Internet Explorer) or text editors (like Microsoft Word). On voting machines, this will include applications that allow voters to vote and that can count votes. Georgia's voting machines use BallotStation, a Diebold/Premier application.

7. The operating system gives each application its own piece of internal memory, where it can store data for later use. BallotStation uses its piece of internal memory to store election data as well as to archive past elections.

8. Below is a diagram showing what internal memory looks like:

9. It is important to note that malicious software can put itself *anywhere* in internal memory, and frequently will try to "hide" by infecting the bootloader or operating system, parts of internal memory that users never see (shown pictorially below, with the malware program infecting the bootloader). This is why it is absolutely crucial to examine *all* of internal memory during a forensic examination to determine the source of malfunctions or incorrect programming.



10. In fact, earlier research into the AccuVote TS and TSx systems has demonstrated that malware that can steal votes can be hidden in the bootloader, the operating system, or the BallotStation application itself.[1][2][3][4]

---

[1] Feldman, Ariel J., J. Alex Halderman, and Edward W. Felten. "Security analysis of the Diebold AccuVote-TS voting machine." (2006).

[2] Hursti, Harri. "Critical security issues with Diebold TSx." *Black Box Voting, May* (2006).

[3] McDaniel, Patrick, M. Blaze, and G. Vigna. "EVEREST: Evaluation and validation of election-related equipment, standards and testing." *Ohio Secretary of State's EVEREST Project Report* (2007).

[4] Bowen, D. *Top-to-Bottom Review of voting machines certified for use in California.* Technical report, California Secretary of State, 2007.

11. Below is depicted how the AccuVote machines store election archives, where the boxes labeled "A" represent the data corresponding to each archived election:

12. Plaintiff's forensic experts must review the entire internal memory to determine the source or existence of malware or programming errors, or machine malfunction.

13. In contrast, The Defendants are proposing that Plaintiff's experts' examination of each voting machine be limited to an on screen human readable report (not the code itself) of one "election archive" corresponding to the November 2018 election, shown below outlined in red:



14. If the malware is in the bootloader, as in the above diagram, then there's no way that looking at an election archive will detect it. The same is true if the malware has infected the operating system, or even BallotStation itself. Since the election archive is generated by BallotStation, if BallotStation is corrupted, the archive will reflect whatever the malicious code tells it to.

15. Further, merely reading the information presented on the screen is not remotely equivalent to examining what underlying code is executing on the device and what such code contains, and can be misleading. Programming accidents and malware alike can be notoriously difficult to encounter in normal use of the device, either because the error only affects one small piece of functionality (that may still result in inaccurate election results being report) or because the malware has gone out of its way to avoid detection (this is common, especially in sophisticated malware of the type produced by nation-states who have been actively probing U.S. election infrastructure).[5]

16. A visual representation can illustrate the difference between what Defendants are offering to provide as a review of internal memory and what the Plaintiffs' experts must access to undertake a reasonable and professional review. Below is a pictorial representation of the type of screen information that is available on a DRE machine screen while accessing the ballot archive reports.

[5] Joint Statement from the ODNI, DOJ, FBI and DHS: Combating Foreign Influence in U.S. Elections, 19 October 2018 https://www.dni.gov/index.php/newsroom/press-releases/item/1915-joint-statement-from-the-odni-doj-fbi-and-dhs-combating-foreign-influence-in-u-s-elections



17. This may be contrasted with the first several lines of a print out of a TSx machine internal memory (a hexadecimal encoding of the first 4,464 raw bytes of the internal memory):

```
0000000 fe 03 00 ea 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
0000010 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
*
0000040 45 43 45 43 c4 b1 09 84 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
0000050 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
*
0001000 ae 00 00 ea 05 00 00 ea 20 00 00 ea 3a 00 00 ea
0001010 55 00 00 ea 00 00 a0 e1 6e 00 00 ea 88 00 00 ea
0001020 48 00 9f e5 08 10 a0 e3 00 10 80 e5 48 20 8f e2
0001030 3c 10 9f e5 3c 30 9f e5 08 30 93 e5 04 00 13 e3
0001040 09 00 00 1a 00 30 d2 e5 00 00 53 e3 06 00 00 0a
0001050 14 30 91 e5 40 30 13 e2 fc ff ff 0a 00 30 d2 e5
0001060 00 30 c1 e5 01 20 82 e2 f5 ff ff ea fe ff ff ea
0001070 24 00 e0 bc 00 00 20 bc 00 00 e0 bc 55 6e 64 65
0001080 66 69 6e 65 64 20 48 61 6e 64 6c 65 72 0d 0a 00
0001090 48 00 9f e5 08 10 a0 e3 00 10 80 e5 48 20 8f e2
00010a0 3c 10 9f e5 3c 30 9f e5 08 30 93 e5 04 00 13 e3
00010b0 09 00 00 1a 00 30 d2 e5 00 00 53 e3 06 00 00 0a
00010c0 14 30 91 e5 40 30 13 e2 fc ff ff 0a 00 30 d2 e5
00010d0 00 30 c1 e5 01 20 82 e2 f5 ff ff ea fe ff ff ea
00010e0 24 00 e0 bc 00 00 20 bc 00 00 e0 bc 53 57 49 20
00010f0 48 61 6e 64 6c 65 72 0d 0a 00 00 00 48 00 9f e5
0001100 08 10 a0 e3 00 10 80 e5 48 20 8f e2 3c 10 9f e5
0001110 3c 30 9f e5 08 30 93 e5 04 00 13 e3 09 00 00 1a
0001120 00 30 d2 e5 00 00 53 e3 06 00 00 0a 14 30 91 e5
0001130 40 30 13 e2 fc ff ff 0a 00 30 d2 e5 00 30 c1 e5
0001140 01 20 82 e2 f5 ff ff ea fe ff ff ea 24 00 e0 bc
0001150 00 00 20 bc 00 00 e0 bc 50 72 65 66 65 74 63 68
0001160 20 48 61 6e 64 6c 65 72 0d 0a 00 00 48 00 9f e5
```

18. It is important to stress that the term of art "internal memory" cannot be interpreted to mean one particular application or file that might be contained in the internal memory, but instead refers to all memory internally used in the voting machine. In addition, examining only the election archive is not nearly sufficient to determine if malware or incorrect programming resides in other places in the internal memory.

19. A forensic examination of the data in the Election Archive would be incomplete and almost meaningless, and certainly inconclusive, although even that

is not what is being offered by the Defendants, who want Plaintiffs' experts to merely review an on screen interpretation or report of certain selected Election Archive data, not the full contents of the Election Archive itself.

GEMS

20. The documents that the Defendants have been ordered to produce from the GEMS server (Base Precincts With Races Report, Ballot Image Report, Vote Center With Cards Report, Statement of Votes Cast Report, and Summary Report) may be useful to review, but are public records and standard reports that do not in any way provide an opportunity to detect a programming flaw, a virus, or a malfunction of the machines, such as is suspected in the Lieutenant Governor's race.

21. What is needed is the GEMS Database, which is an unencrypted set of data for programming and tabulating the election that is built by the election official for each election using a generic off-the-shelf Microsoft Access database. Anyone with a Microsoft subscription to Microsoft Access can open and read all files.

22. The video recording of the January 9, 2019 hearing reveals that the Secretary's attorney represented that the GEMS Database includes source code for the Diebold system. This is not correct. There is no source code in the GEMS

database. The GEMS database only contains data related to the election, not any programming.

23. In fact, roughly 1500 jurisdictions across the country, county staff members and sometimes third-party contractors and part time workers use the GEMS database to set up their local elections. I am not aware any need for confidentiality agreements or special clearance to work with the Microsoft Access Database that is called the "GEMS Database," which is a clear indication that there is no proprietary source code in a GEMS Database.

24. I have recently reviewed the Microsoft Access Database from Marin County, California posted online.[6] Attached at Exhibit A are examples of screenshots from miscellaneous pages, demonstrating that the contents are not proprietary source code as claimed by the Secretary.

25. An examination of the GEMS database to review for anomalies, programming errors, misconfiguration, or other irregularities should generally take about 2 days per county, assuming that no material irregularities were detected.

---

[6] http://blackboxvoting.org/docs/diebold/june10-primaryFINAL.zip

26. The information contained in the GEMS server can be shared with the public without risk to election security.

27. The review of the GEMS database can be accomplished without access to the GEMS server, by requiring either the Secretary of State or the County to merely copy their GEMS database CD and deliver to Plaintiffs' experts on a CD or by email.

28. The GEMS database should be reviewed at two stages, at the start of the election programming and after the close of the election.

29. The Secretary maintains a CD copy of the original programming prior to votes being entered into the system. A copy can be made in a matter of minutes and given to the Plaintiff's expert for review. The examiner should compare that database to the post-election database for the possibility of unauthorized changes to instructions coded into the database.

30. It is only with access to the full electronic GEMS database that a basic analysis of the programming of the election can take place, and that the existence of errors can be detected.

31. The errors reported during the November 2018 election, particularly in the Lieutenant Governor's race, are consistent with a pattern of either malicious tampering, software error, or incorrect programming of the election.

32. A forensic analysis is the only method to determine which of these occurred at this point. The only way to faithfully execute a forensic analysis is with access to a full copy of the internal memory of the voting machines in question, and a full copy of the GEMS database.

33. Attached hereto as Exhibit B is a true and correct copy of an email that I sent to Bruce Brown, counsel for Plaintiffs, on January 14, 2019. The statements in that email are true, and provide a fair account of the events at the English Street warehouse that day.

Attached hereto as Exhibit C is an email by Bruce Brown that forwards recommendations that I drafted relating to the copying of the internal memory on the AccuVote TS and TSx machines.

Further Affiant sayeth not.

Matthew Bernhard

Sworn to and subscribed before me:
On this 15 day of January, 2019
Timothy Wallace
Notary Public, State of Georgia
My Commission Expires: Sept 6, 2020

SEAL

TIMOTHY WALLACE
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES
SEPT
6
2020
FULTON CO., GEORGIA
NOTARY PUBLIC

EXHIBIT

A

Exhibit A

All Access Objects

- RaceCBVCRU
- RaceCounterControl
- RaceRotationWithVReg
- RaceVCenterControl
- RaceVCRU
- Reportunit
- ReportunitBaseunit
- ReportunitCat
- ReportunitCounterGroup
- ReportunitDistrict
- ReportunitRace
- ReportunitRaceVReg
- RUBatches
- RUClosed
- RUCounted
- RUGroupDistrict
- RUStatus
- RUSubGroupDist
- RUVCStatus
- SimpleVCCardRot
- SumCGRace
- SumRaceReportunitView
- SumRaceStats
- TDistrict
- V2VCenterSeqCount
- VCClosed
- VCenterDistrict
- VCenterRace
- VCenterRaceCounterGroup
- VCRUBUBallotRot
- VCRUBUCardRot
- VCRUBUCardRotWithLabels
- CandVGroupintExport

ReportunitRaceVReg

ReportunitId	RaceId	TotalVoters
4	2	743
4	3	135
4	4	18
4	5	16
4	6	3
4	7	3
4	8	743
4	9	135
4	10	18
4	11	16
4	12	3
4	13	3
4	14	743
4	15	135
4	16	18
4	17	16
4	18	3
4	19	3
4	20	743
4	21	135
4	22	18
4	23	16
4	24	3
4	25	3
4	26	743
4	27	135
4	28	18
4	29	16
4	30	3
4	31	3
4	32	743
4	33	135
4	34	18
4	35	16
4	36	3
4	37	3
4	38	743

Record: 1 of 14854

Datasheet View


Design
Union
Pass-Through
Data Definition
Insert Rows
Insert Columns
Delete Columns
Builder
Return: All
Property Sheet
Table Names
Results
Query Type
Query Setup
Show/Hide
All Access Objects
ReportunitRaceVReg
Race
KeyId
Label
ShortLabel
SortOrder
RaceType
BaseunitDistrict
BaseunitId
DistrictId
ReportunitBaseunit
ReportunitId
BaseunitId
BaseunitVReg
BaseunitId
VGroupId
TotalVoters
VGroup
KeyId
Label
SortSeq
CandChoice
ShortLabel
Field: ReportunitId | Race.KeyId | TotalVoters: TotalVote | VGroupId | DistrictId | BaseunitId | BaseunitId | KeyId | SeparateBallot | TrackRegistration | VGroupId
Table: ReportunitBaseunit | Race | BaseunitVReg | Race | BaseunitDistrict | ReportunitBaseunit | BaseunitVReg | VGroup | VGroup | VGroup | BaseunitVReg
Total: Group By | Group By | Sum | Where | Where | Where | Where | Where | Where | Where | Where
Sort:
Show:
Criteria: 6
or: 6
Form View

All Access Objects

RaceNumber	RaceID	RaceLabel	RaceVoteGroup	RaceStatus	ReportingID	ReportingTitle	ReportingOrder	RaceCandID	RaceCandLab	TotalVoters
2006	71	SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE, OFFICE 3	NP	1	4017	183	10	8707 0004503		0
2006	71	SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE, OFFICE 3	NP	1	4018	184	10	8703 0004503A		5
2006	71	SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE, OFFICE 3	NP	1	4018	184	20	8704 0004501		140
2006	71	SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE, OFFICE 3	NP	1	4018	184	30	8705 0004502		101
2006	71	SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE, OFFICE 3	NP	1	4018	184	40	8706 0004501A		0
2006	71	SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE, OFFICE 3	NP	1	4101	120	10	8607 0004101		574
2006	71	SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE, OFFICE 3	NP	1	4103	121	10	8608 0004103		799
2006	71	SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE, OFFICE 3	NP	1	4200	122	10	8609 0004200		496
2006	71	SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE, OFFICE 3	NP	1	4300	123	10	8610 0004300		759
2006	71	SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE, OFFICE 3	NP	1	4302	124	10	8611 0004302		589
2006	71	SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE, OFFICE 3	NP	1	4305	125	10	8612 0004305		493
2006	71	SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE, OFFICE 3	NP	1	4400	126	10	8613 0004400		757
2006	71	SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE, OFFICE 3	NP	1	4401	127	10	8614 0004401		781
2006	71	SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE, OFFICE 3	NP	1	4503	128	10	8615 0004503		672
2006	71	SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE, OFFICE 3	NP	1	4600	129	10	8616 0004600		743
2006	71	SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE, OFFICE 3	NP	1	4601	130	10	8617 0004601		936
2006	71	SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE, OFFICE 3	NP	1	4707	131	10	8618 0004707		1014
2006	71	SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE, OFFICE 3	NP	1	4708	132	10	8619 0004708		659
2006	71	SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE, OFFICE 3	NP	1	4709	133	10	8620 0004709		895
2006	71	SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE, OFFICE 3	NP	1	4806	134	10	8621 0004806		424
4011	76	ASSESSOR-RECORDER-COUNTY CLERK	NP	0	1001	168	10	8665 0001000A		4
4011	76	ASSESSOR-RECORDER-COUNTY CLERK	NP	0	1002	4	10	8402 0001000		679
4011	76	ASSESSOR-RECORDER-COUNTY CLERK	NP	0	1002	4	20	8403 0001101		914
4011	76	ASSESSOR-RECORDER-COUNTY CLERK	NP	0	1003	5	10	8404 0001104		545
4011	76	ASSESSOR-RECORDER-COUNTY CLERK	NP	0	1003	5	20	8405 0001105		395
4011	76	ASSESSOR-RECORDER-COUNTY CLERK	NP	0	1004	6	10	8406 0001106		484
4011	76	ASSESSOR-RECORDER-COUNTY CLERK	NP	0	1004	6	20	8407 0001116		452
4011	76	ASSESSOR-RECORDER-COUNTY CLERK	NP	0	1005	7	10	8408 0001109		373
4011	76	ASSESSOR-RECORDER-COUNTY CLERK	NP	0	1005	7	20	8409 0001115		630
4011	76	ASSESSOR-RECORDER-COUNTY CLERK	NP	0	1006	8	10	8410 0001111		382
4011	76	ASSESSOR-RECORDER-COUNTY CLERK	NP	0	1006	8	20	8411 0001119		226
4011	76	ASSESSOR-RECORDER-COUNTY CLERK	NP	0	1006	8	30	8412 0001120		908
4011	76	ASSESSOR-RECORDER-COUNTY CLERK	NP	0	1007	9	10	8413 0001112		541
4011	76	ASSESSOR-RECORDER-COUNTY CLERK	NP	0	1007	9	20	8414 0001126		466
4011	76	ASSESSOR-RECORDER-COUNTY CLERK	NP	0	1008	10	10	8415 0001114		701
4011	76	ASSESSOR-RECORDER-COUNTY CLERK	NP	0	1008	10	20	8416 0001125		656
4011	76	ASSESSOR-RECORDER-COUNTY CLERK	NP	0	1009	11	10	8417 0001117		524
4011	76	ASSESSOR-RECORDER-COUNTY CLERK	NP	0	1009	11	20	8418 0001118		432
4011	76	ASSESSOR-RECORDER-COUNTY CLERK	NP	0	1010	12	10	8419 0001122		351
4011	76	ASSESSOR-RECORDER-COUNTY CLERK	NP	0	1010	12	20	8420 0001123		697
4011	76	ASSESSOR-RECORDER-COUNTY CLERK	NP	0	1011	13	10	8421 0001001		361

All Access Objects

Tables

AuditLog

KeyId	LogTime	LogLevel	UserId	Entry
	1188898053	1		User admin: Created Election
1	1188898053	1		User admin: Open Election: (UDE, Template (UDE, Template) admin host
2	1188898102	1		User admin: Election title changed
3	1188898137	1		User admin: Created Vote Center Category (1): Id 20, Label Absentee Vote Center
4	1188898137	1		User admin: Created CounterGroup (1): Id 20, Label Absentee
5	1188898235	1		User admin: Changed CounterGroup (1): Id 20, Label Absentee
6	1188898315	1		User admin: Created Header (1): Id 10, Label OFFICIAL
7	1188898355	1		User admin: Created Header (2): Id 20, Label MEASURE
8	1188898395	1		User admin: Created Header (3): Id 30, Label SCHOOL
9	1188898417	1		User admin: Changed Race options
10	1188898432	1		User admin: Changed Header (3): Id 30, Label SCHOOL
11	1188898468	1		User admin: Created Header (4): Id 40, Label MUNICIPAL
12	1188898474	1		User admin: Created Header (5): Id 50, Label DISTRICT
13	1188898507	1		User admin: Created Header (6): Id 60, Label MEASURE - SCHOOL
14	1188898531	1		User admin: Created Header (7): Id 70, Label MEASURE - DISTRICT
15	1188898571	1		User admin: Created Header (8): Id 80, Label END
16	1188898712	1		User admin: Created VoteCenter (3): Id 1150, Label Provisional, Cat. 0, NumOSMemCards 0, NumTSMemCards 0, CountMethod 2
17	1188898741	1		User admin: Created VoteCenter (4): Id 1160, Label Mail Ballots, Cat. 0, NumOSMemCards 0, NumTSMemCards 0, CountMethod 2
18	1188898765	1		User admin: Changed VoteCenter (2): Id 1170, Label Absentee Vote Center <Default VC>, Cat. 1, NumOSMemCards 0, NumTSMemCards 0, CountMethod 2
19	1188898781	1		User admin: Created VoteCenter (5): Id 1180, Label Absentee, Cat. 1, NumOSMemCards 0, NumTSMemCards 0, CountMethod 2
20	1188898800	1		User admin: Deleted Vote Center (3): Id 1150 Label Provisional
21	1188898802	1		User admin: Deleted Vote Center (4): Id 1160 Label Mail Ballots
22	1188898807	1		User admin: Deleted Vote Center (5): Id 1180 Label Absentee
23	1188898865	1		User admin: Changed Race options
24	1188898904	1		User admin: Changed Ballot Style options
25	1188898917	1		User admin: Changed Ballot Style options
26	1188898936	1		User admin: Changed AccuVote-OS parameters
27	1188898985	1		User admin: Changed AccuVote-OS parameters
28	1188899008	1		User admin: Backed up election to C:\Program Files\GEMS\Backup\Template\udel Template.gbf
29	1188899055	1		User admin: Delete Vote Center Category (1): Id 20, Label Absentee Vote Center
30	1188899065	1		User admin: Changed CounterGroup (0): Id 10, Label Polling
31	1188899075	1		User admin: Delete CounterGroup (1): Id 20, Label Absentee
32	1188899087	1		User admin: Backed up election to C:\Program Files\GEMS\Backup\Template\udel Template.gbf
33	1188899117	1		User admin: Election title changed
34	1188899133	1		User admin: Backed up election to C:\Program Files\GEMS\Backup\Template\udel Template.gbf
35	1188908695	1		User admin: Changed AccuVote-OS parameters
36	1188259526	1		User admin: Loaded Election

Record: 14 1 of 47 36 Search

Datasheet View

Num Lock

EXHIBIT

B

Gmail

Exhibit B

Matt Bernhard <mdb92nc@gmail.com>

CONFIDENTIAL Revised notes about today

1 message

Matt Bernhard <mdb92nc@gmail.com> Mon, Jan 14, 2019 at 8:48 PM
To: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>

Hi Bruce,

I just wanted to recap what happened at English Street this morning as well as discuss steps moving forward.

The gist is that the state say that they didn't interpret "internal memory" accurately, and were unwilling to create copies to allow us to perform the inspection of the internal memory without first consulting with counsel.

Below is a detailed description of our time at English Street:

After we signed the confidentiality agreements, we went into the warehouse with Michael Barnes, Ryan Germany, Cheryl Ringer, Kevin Rayburn, Rick Barron, Derek Gilstrap. Ronnie Martin inquired as to why the SoS people were there now that they are no longer party to the lawsuit, and Ms. Ringer informed us that the SoS owns all the equipment and is therefore entitled to be there.

We then discussed how they were accommodating our request to inspect the internal machine memory, and Mr. Barnes (from the SOS office) said they intended to create a copy of the election archive for the November on each machine. I informed them that that is not an accurate interpretation of "examination of the internal memory."

Mr. Barnes said that he did not know how to give us access to the internal memory without ripping out the flash chips. I informed him about the JTAG process I have used in the past on TSx machines, and informed him, the SoS lawyers, and Ms. Ringer that I would be happy to provide them with all commands that I would run ahead of time. Someone (I think Mr. Rayburn) inquired as to whether using JTAG created a security vulnerability, and I told him that it can, but we would be happy for the state or Fulton county to provide the adapter and the laptop on which the copies would be made, and to let an independent inspector observe the process. Obviously, if the state or the county performs these processes as intended then there are fewer security risks, and I strongly prefer that outcome.

Then we went over to the GEMS server and Mr. Gilstrap started copying the reports in the court order to CD. We got all reports except the Ballot Image Report. Mr. Barnes explained that to generate the Ballot Image Report, Mr. Gilstrap would have to manually create over 2,000 reports, as the GEMS software does not support doing this in batches. Essentially, it stores a mapping of precinct->memory card->ballots, and reports can only be generated by selecting each memory card, of which there are 2,084 (I believe). We obtained two ballot image reports and said we'd discuss this with you prior to proceeding. Mr. Gilstrap burned me a CD with four standard reports, which I read and sent over to you later today. Obtaining the GEMS database would be equivalent to all of the above steps, and far more elegant, as it wouldn't require the county to spend countless hours generating Ballot Image Reports for every voting machine in the county.

Then we went over to inspect the machines. All machines that were selected for inspection were TS machines. All but one were still sealed (apparently the seal broke off of one of the Grady High School machines, but I did not record its serial number). The County said that all of the machines were used in the December election, which will impair the value of forensic information we can obtain from them. I decided to contact you about the memory inspection prior to doing the physical inspection, as I didn't feel it made sense to unseal each machine, physically examine it, reseal it, and then do it all again tomorrow after they make copies of the memory. I also did not want to power on the machines, further altering the evidence, until we were sure that the county had made copies of each machine.

I should note that even if we solve the internal memory copying problem with the county, I don't currently have an estimate of how long it would take to copy the memory from each machine. My best estimate is that it will take several hours per machine, and it is not possible to copy more than one at a time unless there are multiple people and multiple laptops, adapters, and so forth for conducting the process. After copying, the other experts and I will need to analyze what has been copied, and that will take possibly weeks to do a thorough analysis of them all. Obviously, even if we start copying the internal memory tomorrow, there is no way we will have any meaningful results prior to the trial scheduled for Thursday.

If you have further questions please let me know.

-Matt

EXHIBIT C

Exhibit C

Gmail

Matt Bernhard <mdb92nc@gmail.com>

CONFIDENTIAL Protocol for examining internal memory

Matt Bernhard <mdb92nc@gmail.com> Mon, Jan 14, 2019 at 6:44 PM
To: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>, Marilyn Marks <marilyn@aspenoffice.com>

Bruce and Marilyn,

Below is a summary of the materials and procedures needed to non-destructively examine the internal memory of both the TS and the TSx.

Materials

For the TS, we will need an EEPROM chip with a program that will instruct the machine to copy its flash contents to a compac flash card (of the same kind used to program and store election data). I can provide the chips by tomorrow evening, or the defendants can provide their own.

For the TSX, a JTAG adapter and a copy of OpenOCD will be needed. Again I can provide both, or the defendants can supply their own.

Procedures

For the TS, after removing the case from the machine to get access to the motherboard, we will remove the existing EEPROM a chip and replace it with our own. We will then set jumpers 2 and 8 to “on” and switch 2 to “1” and switch 4 to “2”, all on the motherboard. Booting the machine in this configuration will run the program on our EEPROM chip and copy data to an installed CF card. Once the copy is complete, we will reset the jumpers and switches and put the original EEPROM chip back. This process will likely take about an hour per machine, but I will test it tomorrow once I have materials and verify.

For the TSX, we will remove the case and attach our JTAG adapter. We will then power on the machine and issue a “reset and halt” command in OpenOCD through the connected laptop. Then we will run the “flash probe” command to ensure the state of the machines memory, and then the “read image” command to copy the internal memory to a file on the laptop. We will then unplug the JTAG adapter and reboot the machine. This process will likely take an hour and a half per machine.

-Matt

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDQW
Date: 1/16/2019 9:19 AM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, et al., **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV313418**

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO COMPEL AND ORDERING PRODUCTION OF GEMS DATABASE

Plaintiffs have moved to compel Defendants to comply with this Court's January 11, 2019 Order on Pending Motions by allowing Plaintiffs to examine the internal memory of the subject DRE units. Good cause having been shown, the Motion is GRANTED, and Defendants are ordered to immediately comply with this Court's Order by allowing this access.

Plaintiffs has also moved for an order compelling Defendants to produce their county's GEMS database. Good causing having been shown, this motion also

is GRANTED, and Defendants are ordered to produce, immediately, their county's GEMS database.

SO ORDERED this __ day of January, 2019.

_____
Honorable Adele P. Grubbs
Senior Judge, State of Georgia

Prepared by: /s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Counsel for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing via the Court's e-filing system to all counsel of record.

This 15th day of January, 2019.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDLS
Date: 1/16/2019 4:58 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, *et al*	)
	) Civil Action File No. 2018CV313418
Petitioner,	)
v.	)
ROBYN A CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, *et al*	)
Defendant	)

FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS' RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO PETITIONERS' DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

COMES NOW, Fulton County Board of Registrations and Elections ("FCBRE"), and hereby responds to Petitioners' Demand for Jury Trial, showing as follows:

On December 5, 2018, the Court entered an Order setting the final hearing in this case for January 17, 2019. O.C.GA. § 21-2-525(a) provides that within 20 days after the return date, the Court set a date for trial of the matter and that the case be decided promptly and expeditiously.

After refusing to participate in discovery, as directed in the Court's Order of January 11, 2019, Petitioners filed a Motion for Continuance on January 14, 2019. After being notified that the continuance would be denied, Petitioners filed a Motion to Compel and for Additional Discovery. In its Order of January 16, 2018, the Court notified the parties that the Motion to Compel would not continue the case and the trial would proceed as ordered on January 17, 2019.

Petitioners are now attempting to continue this case by filing their jury demand at the eleventh hour. Petitioners demand recites in its entirety: "Pursuant to O.C.GA. § 21-2-526, Plaintiffs demand a trial by jury."

O.C.GA. § 21-2-526 (a) provides:

> All issues of a contest shall be fully tried and determined by the court without the aid and intervention of a jury, unless a litigant to the contest shall demand a trial by jury at any time prior to the call of the case; and ***the court shall determine that it is an issue which under other laws of this state the litigant is entitled to have tried by a jury***. Upon such determination, a jury shall be impaneled and the cause shall proceed according to the practice and procedure of the court in jury cases. (Emphasis added).

Pursuant to provision, Petitioner must demonstrate there is an issue for a jury to determine. In this instance, Petitioner has made no such assertion or showing. It is in the Court's discretion to deny a jury trial in an election contest when there is no issue which entitles a litigant to a jury. *See Taggart v. Phillips*, 242 Ga. 454 (1978).

> "[U]nlike other situations where demand alone is sufficient, here there are two requirements: 1) demand, and 2) a determination that there are issues which under other laws of this State the litigant is entitled to have tried by a jury."

Henderson v. County Bd. of Registration and Elections, 126 Ga. App. 280 (1972). Because Petitioners have made no such showing, Petitioners are not entitled to a jury trial.

Further, if there were to be a jury trial of the statewide election contest involved in this case, which is based on alleged "machine malfunctions and irregularities from all corners of the state" [January 11, 2019 Brief in Support of Proposed Order Regarding Inspection of Equipment at p. 6]. There would presumably be the need for juries to be impaneled from multiple if not all 159 counties of the State of Georgia.

O.C.GA. § 21-2-52. (b) provides:

> (b) In a case contesting the result of a primary or election held in two or more counties, ***each issue to be tried by a jury shall be tried by a jury impaneled in the county where such issue or a part thereof arose***. Such jury shall be impaneled by the superior court of the county in which the jury trial is to be conducted; such trial shall be presided over by the judge as described in Code Section 21-2-523; and such trial shall proceed, insofar as practicable, as though it were being conducted in the county of the superior court having jurisdiction of the contest. (Emphasis added).

Petitioner has made numerous assertions regarding alleged irregularities in counties other than those who are parties to this case. Consequently, multiple juries would need to be impaneled to try any jury issues, if any exist. The interests of finality in elections require that challenges to elections be resolved in an expeditious manner. As is abundantly clear in the Election Code, a contest is not treated as an ordinary civil action. The procedure is designed for expeditious and timely resolution of election issues. Petitioner's demand for jury is unsupported by evidence or argument that there exists an issue that entitles it to a jury trial; thus, because the interests of justice require an expedient resolution, the Court should deny Petitioners' jury demand.

Accordingly, the Court should deny Plaintiffs' Demand for Jury Trial and this case should proceed to final hearing on January 17, 2019 as ordered.

Respectfully submitted this 16th day of January, 2019.

OFFICE OF THE FULTON COUNTY ATTORNEY
Patrise Perkins-Hooker
Georgia Bar No. 572358

/s/ Kaye Woodard Burwell
Kaye Woodard Burwell
Georgia Bar No. 775060
Kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov

Cheryl Melissa Ann Ringer
Georgia Bar No. 557420
Cheryl.ringer@fultoncountyga.gov
David Lowman
Georgia Bar No. 460298
David.lowman@fultoncountyga.gov
Attorneys for Defendant Fulton County BRE

141 Pryor Street, S.W.
Suite 4038
Atlanta, Georgia 30303
Office: (404) 612-0246
Fax: (404)730-6540

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, *et al*	)
	) Civil Action File No. 2018CV313418
Petitioner,	)
v.	)
ROBYN A CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, *et al*	)
Defendant	)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that on this day, I have caused to be electronically filed the foregoing **FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS' RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO PETITIONERS' DEMAND FIOR JURY TRIAL** with the Fulton County Superior Court using the Odyssey efile GA system which will provide automatic notification of this filing to all counsel of record.

This 16th day of January, 2019.

/s/ Kaye Woodard Burwell
Kaye Woodard Burwell
Georgia Bar No. 775050

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDLW
Date: 1/16/2019 2:11 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, Plaintiffs, v. ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al., Defendants.	Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

PLAINTIFFS' DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 21-2-526, Plaintiffs demand a trial by jury.

Respectfully submitted this 15th day of January, 2019.

/s/ Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Georgia Bar No. 064460
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com
Bruce P. Brown Law LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE, Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700

Attorney for Plaintiffs

GA001210

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing via the Court's e-filing system to all counsel of record.

This 16th day of January, 2019.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDLW
Date: 1/17/2019 9:27 AM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY

STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT,	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	
	)	CIVIL ACTION
v.	)	
	)	FILE NO. 2018CV313418
ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS, GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, DEKALB COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, and GEOFF DUNCAN,	)	
Defendants.	)	

DEFENDANTS GEOFF DUNCAN AND FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS AND AMICUS CURIAE SECRETARY OF STATE BRAD RAFFENSPERGER'S RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS' JURY DEMAND

COMES NOW, Defendants Geoff Duncan ("Duncan") and Gwinnett County Board of Registration and Elections ("Gwinnett BRE"), and amicus curiae Brad Raffensperger, in his official capacity as Secretary of State of the State of Georgia ("Secretary") (collectively "Government Entities"), by and through counsel, and respectfully responds to Plaintiffs' Demand for a Jury Trial.

The Government Entities join the Fulton County Board of Registrations and Elections' ("Fulton County BRE") Opposition to Plaintiffs' Demand for a Jury Trial. The Government Entities write separately only to add that, yet again, Plaintiffs have failed to meet their statutory burden.

As the Fulton County BRE points out, there is no right to a jury trial in election cases. Henderson v. Cty. Bd. of Registration & Elections, 126 Ga. App. 280, 284 (1972). The burden is on the party demanding a jury to demonstrate that there are issues for which a jury is necessary, and this Court is empowered with discretion to decide the issue. See id. at 286-87; O.C.G.A. § 21-2-526(a).

Plaintiffs have failed to even attempt to make the requisite showing. Instead, Plaintiffs filed a one-page demand for a jury trial without any argument or proffered facts. This falls well short of their burden, and this Court should deny Plaintiffs' jury demand as the courts did in Henderson and Fuller v. Thomas, 284 Ga. 397 (2008). In both of those cases, the Supreme Court affirmed the superior courts' decisions.

CONCLUSION

Plaintiffs' attempts to delay this proceeding by re-arguing discovery motions and, at the eleventh hour, seeking a jury trial should be rejected by this Court. Plaintiffs have sat on their hands and failed to litigate this case with the diligence that a prompt decision requires. For these reasons, the reasons outlined in the

Fulton County BRE response, and as set forth above, the Government Entities request that this Court DENY Plaintiffs' Demand for a Jury Trial.

This 17th day of January, 2019.

/s/ Edward H. Lindsey, Jr. (w/ express permission)
Edward H. Lindsey, Jr.
Georgia Bar No. 453075
Samuel S. Olens
Georgia Bar No. 551540

Dentons US LLP
333 Peachtree Street, N.E.
Suite 5300
Atlanta, Georgia 30308
Telephone: (404) 527-4000
Facsimile: (404) 527-4198
Attorneys for Lieutenant Governor Geoff Duncan

/s/ Bryan P. Tyson (w/ express permission)
Frank B. Strickland
Georgia Bar No. 687600
Bryan P. Tyson
Georgia Bar No. 515411
Anne W. Lewis
Georgia Bar No. 737490

STRICKLAND BROCKINGTON
LEWIS LLP
Midtown Proscenium Suite 2200
1170 Peachtree Street NE
Atlanta, Georgia 30309
Telephone: (678) 347-2200

Richard A. Carothers
Georgia Bar No. 111075
Brian R. Dempsey
Georgia Bar No. 217596

CAROTHERS & MITCHELL, LLC
1809 Buford Highway
Buford, Georgia 30518
Telephone: (770) 932-3552
Attorneys for the Gwinnett County Board of Registrations and Elections

Christopher M. Carr
Attorney General
Georgia Bar No. 112505
Annette M. Cowart
Deputy Attorney General
Georgia Bar No. 191199
Russell D. Willard
Senior Assistant Attorney General
Georgia Bar No. 760280

State Law Department
40 Capitol Square, S.W.
Atlanta, GA 30334
Telephone: (404) 656-3357

/s/ Josh Belinfante
Josh Belinfante
Georgia Bar No: 047399
jbelinfante@robbinsfirm.com
Vincent R. Russo
Georgia Bar No. 242628
vrusso@robbinsfirm.com
Brian E. Lake
Georgia Bar No. 575966
blake@robbinsfirm.com
Alexander Denton
Georgia Bar No. 660632
adenton@robbinsfirm.com
Special Assistant Attorneys General

ROBBINS ROSS ALLOY BELINFANTE LITTLEFIELD LLC
500 14th Street, N.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30318
Telephone: (678) 701-9381
Facsimile: (404) 856-3250

Attorneys for Defendant Brad Raffensperger, Secretary of State of Georgia

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day filed the foregoing **DEFENDANTS GEOFF DUNCAN AND FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS AND AMICUS CURIAE SECRETARY OF STATE BRAD RAFFENSPERGER'S IN OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS' JURY DEMAND** by electronically filing it with the Clerk of the Court using the Odyssey eFileGA system, which will automatically send an email notification of such filing to counsel for the parties.

This 17th day of January, 2019.

/s/ Josh Belinfante
Josh Belinfante

Coalition For Good Government

v.

Robyn C. Hayden

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDQW
Date: 1/17/2019 1:57 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF Fulton COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

CASE NO. 2018cv313418

REQUEST TO USE A RECORDING DEVICE PURSUANT TO RULE 22 ON RECORDING OF JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS.

Pursuant to Rule 22 of the Uniform Rules for Superior Court regarding Use of Electronic Devices in Courtrooms and Recording of Judicial Proceedings, the undersigned hereby requests permission to use a recording device in Courtroom ____ in order to record images and/or sound during (all) (the following portions) of the proceedings in the above captioned case/calendar.

Consistent with the provisions of the rule, the undersigned desires to use the following described recording device(s): laptop / smartphone. The proceedings that the undersigned desires to record commence on (date). Subject to direction from the court regarding possible pooled coverage, the undersigned wishes to use this device in the courtroom on (date). The personnel who will be responsible for the use of this recording device are: (identify appropriate personnel).

The undersigned hereby certifies that the device to be used and the locations and operation of such device will be in conformity with Rule 22 and any guidelines issued by the court.

The undersigned understands and acknowledges that a violation of Rule 22 and any guidelines issued by the court may be grounds for removal or exclusion from the courtroom and a willful violation may subject the undersigned to penalties for contempt of court.

This 17 day of Jan, 2019

Maya Prabhu
(Individual Signature)

The Atlanta Journal-Constitution
(Representing/Firm)

Reporter
(Position)

Maya T. Prabhu

APPROVED: _____

_____
Senior Judge, Superior Court

_____ Judicial Circuit

Coalition for Good Governance 2018 CV 313418

v.

Robyn Crittenden

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDQW
Date: 1/17/2019 1:57 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF Fulton
COUNTY STATE OF GEORGIA

Coalition for Good Governance et al v. Crittenden
2018CV313418

REQUEST TO USE A RECORDING DEVICE PURSUANT TO RULE 22 ON RECORDING OF JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS.

Pursuant to Rule 22 of the Uniform Rules for Superior Court regarding Use of Electronic Devices in Courtrooms and Recording of Judicial Proceedings, the undersigned hereby requests permission to bring into **the courtroom of Senior Superior Court Judge Adele Grubbs and use the following devices** during all of the proceedings in the above captioned case.

Consistent with the provisions of the rule, the undersigned desires to use the following described recording device(s): **a personal laptop and a personal cell phone**. The proceedings that the undersigned desires to record commence on **Jan. 17**. Subject to direction from the court regarding possible pooled coverage, the undersigned wishes to use this device in the courtroom on **Jan. 17 and Jan. 18 forward if the trial lasts longer than a day**. The personnel who will be responsible for the use of these recording devices **is R. Robin McDonald, staff writer, The Daily Report, Atlanta**.

The undersigned hereby certifies that the device to be used and the locations and operation of such device will be in conformity with Rule 22 and any guidelines issued by the court.

The undersigned understands and acknowledges that a violation of Rule 22 and any guidelines issued by the court may be grounds for removal or exclusion from the

courtroom and a willful violation may subject the undersigned to penalties for contempt of court.

This **14th day of January, 2019.**

R. Robin McDonald

Staff Writer

The Daily Report (404-358-2103: cell phone)

APPROVED: ✓

Judge, Superior Court

Judicial Circuit

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDLW
Date: 1/18/2019 3:34 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV313418**

AFFIDAVIT OF BRUCE P. BROWN

Appeared before me, the undersigned officer duly authorized to administered oaths, Bruce P. Brown who, after being sworn, states as follows:

1. I represent the Plaintiffs in this action. I am qualified to give this affidavit, which is based on my personal knowledge.

2. Attached hereto are true and correct copies of emails and pleadings in this case:

A. Email from Bruce P. Brown to Judge Grubbs dated January 10, 2019, with attached Plaintiffs' Proposed Order;

B. Plaintiffs' Brief in Support of Proposed Order Regarding Inspection of Certain Equipment dated June 11, 2019.

C. Email from Bruce P. Brown to Judge Grubbs dated June 11, 2019.

D. Email from Judge Grubbs to Counsel dated June 11, 2019.

E. Email from Bruce P. Brown to Kay Burwell dated January 13, 2019.

F. Email from Bruce P. Brown to Kaye Burwell and Cheryl Ringer dated January 14, 2019.

G. Email from Patrick Jaugstetter to Bruce P. Brown dated January 15, 2019.

H. Email from Ryan Germany to Patrick Jaugstetter dated January 14, 2019, received from Secretary's counsel on January 15, 2019.

Further Affiant sayeth not.

Bruce P. Brown

Sworn to and subscribed before me:
On this 18 day of Jan, 2019

Notary Public, State of Georgia
My Commission Expires: 5/16/22

SEAL

CHUNDRA RAMBERT
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES
NOTARY PUBLIC
MAY 16, 2022
ROCKDALE COUNTY, GEORGIA

EXHIBIT

A

Subject: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Date: Thursday, January 10, 2019 at 12:12:36 PM Eastern Standard Time

From: Bruce Brown

To: Adele Grubbs

CC: laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net, richard.carothers@carmitch.com, sue@carmitch.com, brian.dempsey@carmitch.com, bpt@sbllaw.net, Lindsey, Jr., Edward H., Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org, Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov, DWare@hallboothsmith.com, Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov, JOvercash@7Jad.com, csims@7Jad.com, chall@lmjc.net, Josh Belinfante, Vincent Russo, Brian Lake, ccorreia@law.ga.gov, kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov, Alexander Denton

Attachments: 20190110 Lt Gov Proposed Order On Motions.docx

Dear Judge Grubbs:
I have attached Plaintiffs' proposed order on the motions heard by Your Honor yesterday. I circulated a draft of this proposed order yesterday afternoon to defendants' counsel, and again this morning, and have not received a response as to what changes they would recommend to it. Given the expedited nature of these proceedings, I thought it best to go ahead and submit Plaintiffs' proposed order without further delay.
We did have a conference call with defendants' counsel on discovery yesterday evening, both with respect to the terms of the proposed order and logistics. The parties did **not** agree on language for the proposed order with respect to discovery, but we did make progress on logistics, and Fulton County is readying machines for inspection.
I note that Part B(2) of the attached, which address the discovery of the DRE machines, references the paragraphs of the complaint that allege specific instances of machine malfunctions, except for paragraphs 42 and 43, which concerned locations in DeKalb County.
Many thanks,
Bruce Brown
Attorney for Plaintiffs

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, et al., **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV313418**

[PROPOSED] ORDER ON PENDING MOTIONS

This case came before the Court for a non-evidentiary hearing on the following pending motions: (a) the motions to dismiss filed by each of the defendants: Defendant Robyn A Crittenden ("the Secretary), Defendant Geoff Duncan ("Duncan"), Defendant Fulton County Board of Registration and Elections ("Fulton County Board"), and Defendant Gwinnett County Board of Registration and Elections ("the Gwinnett Board") (collectively "the Motions to Dismiss"); (b) Plaintiffs' Emergency Motion for Inspection of Electronic Election Equipment and Production of Documents ("Plaintiffs' Motion for Inspection"); and (c) Plaintiffs'

Motion for Perservation of Specific Electronic Election Records in Gordon and Murray Counties. After considering the briefs and responses, and arguments of consel, the Court rules as follows:

A. Motions to Dismiss

The Motions to Dismiss raised several distinct issues, ruled upon below:

1. Secretary as Proper Defendant

The Secretary moved to dismiss the Secretary as a party to Count I of the Petition (the Election Contest Claim), arguing that it is not a proper "Defendant" as that term is used in the Election Statute, O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2). The Court agrees. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2) defines Defendant as "(C) the election superintendent or superintendents who conducted the contested primary or election." O.C.G.A. § 21-2-2(35) in term defines "superintendent" as follows:

> Either the judge of the probate court of a county or the county board of elections, the county board of elections and registration, the joint city-county board of election, or the joint city-county board of elections and registrations, if a county has such.

The Secretary does not fall within any of the definitions of "superintendent."

Plaintiffs argue, among other things, that the definition of "election superintendent" found in Chapter 4 of Title 21 should control. O.C.G.A. § 21-4-3(A) defines "election superintendent": "In the case of any elected state officers, the Secretary of State." This definition, however, is found in Chapter 4, not

Chapter 2, and this election contest concerns Chapter 2. The Court disagrees, and holds that the definition of "superintendent" in the election contest chapter controls and, since the Secretary does not fall within that definition, the Secretary is not a proper party defendant to Count I and should be dismissed from that Count.

2. Whether 159 Counties are Necessary Parties

Fulton County Board and Gwinnett County Board concede that they are proper defendants, but argue that the other 157 counties must be joined in the state-wide election contest. In support of this argument, Gwinnett County Board cites O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2)(C). That section, however, would allow other counties to be joined to this action, but does not require such joinder. In addition, O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(b) gives the Court "plenary power, throughout the area in which the contested primary or election was conducted, to make, issue, and enforce all necessary orders, rules, processes, and decrees for a full and proper understanding and final determination and enforcement of the decision of every such case." The joinder of the other 157 counties, therefore, is not necessary and the motions to this extent are denied.

3. Service of Process

In their briefs, Defendants argued that the Petition should be dismissed because service had not been perfected on the Defendants. Defendants now

concede that they have been properly served, and the motions to this extent are denied.

4. Coalition as Party to Count I

Defendants contend that Plaintiff Coalition for Good Governance is not a proper plaintiff to Count I, the election contest. Coalition does not disagree and has stipulated that it is not a party to Count I. Defendants' motion to this extent is granted as unopposed.

5. Failure to State a Claim

Defendants argue that the Petition fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. The Court disagrees. Defendants have failed to carry their burden of showing that Plaintiffs "would not be entitled to relief under any state of provable facts asserted" in the complaint or that Plaintiffs "could not possibly introduce evidence within the framework of the complaint sufficient to warrant a grant of the relief sought." *Scouten v. Amerisave Mortg. Corp.,* 283 Ga. 72, 73 (2008) (citations omitted). The motions for failure to state a claim, therefore, are denied.

B. Plaintiffs' Motion for Inspection

Plaintiffs' Motion for Inspection is denied. The Court, however, will allow the following inspections and discovery:

1. Plaintiffs are entitled to inspect the "GEMS" servers and GEMS databases or complete electronic copies thereof for the November 2018 elections that are maintained by the Gwinnett County Board and the Fulton County Board.

2. Plaintiffs are entitled to conduct a forensic inspection of the DRE machines, including the internal memories of those machines, that were used in the voting locations identified by Plaintiffs in the Petition, paragraphs 40 and 41, and paragraphs 44 – 48. Plaintiffs are ordered to conduct the inspection and copying so as to not in any way damage the DRE machines.

3. Defendants and Plaintiff shall enter into an appropriate protective order preserving the confidentiality of confidential information, if any, obtained in this discovery.

C. Plaintiffs' Motion Relating to Gordon and Murray Counties

This motion, which concerned the January 8, 2019 elections in Gordon and Murray, is denied as moot.

SO ORDERED this __ day of January, 2018.

_____
Honorable Adele P. Grubbs
Senior Judge, State of Georgia

Prepared by: /s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Counsel for Plaintiffs

EXHIBIT

B

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, Plaintiffs, v. ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al., Defendants.	Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

PLAINTIFFS' BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED ORDER REGARDING INSPECTION OF CERTAIN EQUIPMENT

This brief addresses the two key differences between the parties' proposed orders on inspection and discovery of electronic election equipment: the GEMS database and the DRE machines.

A. GEMS Database

Defendants contend that discovery of the GEMS database should be limited to the output of the databases that is publicly available under the Open Records Act. As explained by Plaintiffs' expert Matt Bernhard in his affidavit, this information is virtually useless in determining the causes, quantification, and impact of programming errors or other machine malfunctions.

Plaintiffs' proposed order, on the other hand, would simply require Fulton and Gwinnett Counties to provide the GEMS database, an unencrypted and non-

confidential electronic database that can be reviewed efficiently when an expert is provided with an electronic copy. Such copies can be created in a matter of minutes by the Defendant counties. The GEMS database contains routine election data and no proprietary software or trade secrets. The county election data is simply loaded into a generic Microsoft Access database to create the GEMS database, which contains no proprietary source code or highly sensitive information. Unlike the print-outs that the Defendants would produce, the GEMS database may hold important clues as to where mis-programming, viruses, malfunctions or hacks occurred, and the number of machines and votes potentially affected.

The GEMS database would be the first, most efficient, and easiest place an expert would look for the cause and to quantify the impact of the irregularities. Both the County and the Secretary of State keep copies of the pre-election and post-election GEMS databases. Either office could produce and transmit an electronic copy within minutes, as Fulton County was asked to do on Wednesday, January 9.

As noted in the Affidavit of Matthew Bernhard, the GEMS database is merely a commercially available Microsoft database product (MSAccess), and can be read by anyone with a subscription to the Microsoft program. It contains election data, and no proprietary or sensitive information. All information in the database should be public record under Georgia's open record laws. There is no

reasonable way to compromise the security of future elections by reviewing the GEMS database files. To illustrate the non-proprietary nature of the information in a GEMS database, Plaintiffs will promptly provide the Court and parties with a link to the GEMS database from Marin County, California and illustrative screenshots of example contents, in a supplemental exhibit not available at the time of this filing.

Defendants may contend that the GEMS database contains proprietary, sensitive or confidential information. This is simply not the case, as illustrated by the decision of other states' to makes their GEMS' databases a public record. But even if there were some truth to Defendants' assertions, that concern is addressed by the execution of an appropriate confidentiality order. Defendants cannot avoid liability simply by claiming that evidence is confidential – not in an election contest and not in any other case.

B. DRE Machines

There are two basic disagreements between the parties concerning the DRE machines: the scope of what may be examined on each DRE, and which DREs may be examined.

1. Scope of Investigation

As to the scope, Defendants would limit the "inspection" to a running of the machines in "post-election" mode, again, a virtually useless exercise that is unlikely to yield any meaningful information. Plaintiffs instead propose what was

discussed at the January 9, 2019 hearing: a normal forensic examination that would include review of the internal memory of the machines.

As to the internal memory of the DRE machines, Georgia's Election Code Rule contemplates that the internal memory of the DRE machines would be an available record for review by parties in an election contest involving DRE machines. Georgia State Election Board Rule 183-1-12-.02 (6)(d) provides:

> *The election results, ballot styles, ballot images, and other information for each election* ***stored in the internal memory storage of each DRE unit*** *shall be maintained for a minimum of one month following each election after which time the results may be erased provided that there are no election contests pending concerning such election.*

As Mr. Bernhard explains in his affidavit, inspection of the internal memory is vitally necessary to determine the causes and impact of any programming errors. The internal memory includes the programming and operating information, both of which must be reviewed for malfunction, viruses, tampering or mis-programming.

2. DRE Machines to Inspect

Plaintiffs' proposed order is based on its understanding of what the Court ruled at the hearing. At the hearing, the Court referenced the specific location that were identified in the Petition, noting specifically that the locations in DeKalb County were not included. This is why Plaintiffs have referenced the non-DeKalb locations identified in the Petition, which are

paragraphs 40 and 41, and paragraphs 44 – 48, which include Henry County, Fulton County and Worthy County.

Note on Current Status

Just four business days before trial, neither the Defendants nor the Secretary of State (who owns the equipment and has copies of the GEMS databases) have not made any records or equipment available for inspection, and have not given Plaintiffs any indication of when this will be done. This is despite repeated requests from Plaintiffs for this information. Plaintiffs assume Defendants are acting in good faith, but nevertheless the effect of their actions is to "run out the clock" and prevent any meaningful investigation of the equipment prior to trial.

Plaintiffs have been diligent in prosecuting this case and seeking this discovery. Plaintiffs' motion for this inspection was filed six weeks ago, on November 29, 2018, and Plaintiffs have been doing everything possible to expedite this process, including obtaining relevant documents through a cumbersome and expensive process of public records requests to attempt to build a database of election records for quick reference when analyzing records that cannot be reasonably obtained except through the discovery process.

Plaintiffs recognize the impossibility of conducting examinations and preparing meaningful expert's reports for the Court prior to the scheduled trial on January 17, 2019. The election contest statute gives this Court plenary power over discovery and scheduling so that the Court can gain a "full and proper

understanding" of the case. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(b). Although time is of the essence in an election contest case, the election contest statute makes it clear that sufficient time should be taken to fully explore the facts and issues involved. The statute gives the Court the power to fix multiple hearing dates, to issue decrees, to issue subpoenas, and to compel and take testimony. Election contest cases are unusual is some respects, of course, but the election contest statute repeatedly states that the case is supposed to proceed "according to the course of practice in other civil cases," and conducted "in like manner and to the same extent as in other civil cases litigated before such court," all for the purpose of allowing the Court to gain a "full and proper understanding" of the matter. *Id.*

A proper forensic examination of this electronic equipment is absolutely essential so that the Court can gain an understanding of the causes and extent of malfunctions that plagued the November 2018 election. This is not a fishing expedition: Plaintiffs have already obtained hard evidence and eye-witness accounts of machine malfunctions and irregularities from all corners of the state, as well as apparent tabulation errors, and have presented and opinions from two leading experts who have concluded that the only plausible explanation for the aberrant election result pattern is system malfunction. Deliberate and exacting expert review of electronic records stored in the Defendant's equipment and files are essential for this Court's understanding of the impact of the malfunctions on the election results.

Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court order Defendants to immediately produce the specific electronic records and machines as contemplated in the Court's order of January 9, 2019. Undertaking this effort immediately may provide an established path for additional discovery, including certain paper records, such as poll tapes, that will be required and promptly requested by Plaintiffs.

Respectfully submitted this 11th day of January, 2019.

/s/ Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Georgia Bar No. 064460
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com
Bruce P. Brown Law LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE, Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700

Attorney for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing via the Court's e-filing system to the attorneys of record who have made appearances.

This 11th day of January, 2019.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown

EXHIBIT C

Subject: Appointment of Special Master and Continuance: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Date: Friday, January 11, 2019 at 12:45:36 PM Eastern Standard Time

From: Bruce Brown

To: Adele Grubbs

CC: Vincent Russo, Alexander Denton, laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net, richard.carothers@carmitch.com, sue@carmitch.com, brian.dempsey@carmitch.com, bpt@sbllaw.net, Josh Belinfante, Lindsey, Jr., Edward H., Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org, Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov, DWare@hallboothsmith.com, Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov, JOvercash@7Jad.com, csims@7Jad.com, chall@lmjc.net, Brian Lake, ccorreia@law.ga.gov, kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov

Judge Grubbs:

In light of the technical issues involved in the discovery and inspection, and the time constraints involved, Plaintiffs anticipate filing this afternoon a Motion for the Appointment of a Special Master under Uniform Superior Court Rule 46(A)(1)(C) and for a continuance of the trial. Before doing so, however, Plaintiffs will speak with counsel for Defendants to see if such a motion may be filed jointly, or whether Defendants would agree to all or part of such relief.

Many thanks,

Bruce Brown

EXHIBIT

D

Subject: Re: Proposed Order: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Date: Friday, January 11, 2019 at 1:29:39 PM Eastern Standard Time

From: Adele Grubbs

To: Vincent Russo

CC: Bruce Brown, Alexander Denton, laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net, richard.carothers@carmitch.com, sue@carmitch.com, brian.dempsey@carmitch.com, bpt@sbllaw.net, Josh Belinfante, Lindsey, Jr., Edward H., Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org, Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov, DWare@hallboothsmith.com, Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov, JOvercash@7Jad.com, csims@7Jad.com, chall@lmjc.net, Brian Lake, ccorreia@law.ga.gov, kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov

Attachments: image003.jpg, 20190111132600.pdf

Counsel,

Attached is the Order entered in this case. There will b no continuance or Special Master. This is an election contest only case that must be expedited.

If there are issue as the Plaintiffs conduct of discovery I will take them up by e mail or conference call if necessary.

Adele Grubbs
Senior Judge

On Fri, Jan 11, 2019 at 1:01 PM Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com> wrote:

> Judge Grubbs,
>
> Please note that the affidavit of Michael Barnes was signed and notarized. I had a typo in my email below referring to it as unsigned.
>
> Apologies for any confusion.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Vincent
>
> Sent from my iPhone
>
> On Jan 11, 2019, at 12:00 PM, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com> wrote:
>
> Judge Grubbs:
>
> The inspection that Plaintiffs' are now seeking would not only damage the voting machines, but it would expose highly confidential information about Georgia's voting system that would leave it vulnerable to attack. The Georgia Court of Appeals has already stated that the GEMS database should not be released due to the sensitivity of the information on it. Smith v. DeKalb County, 288 Ga. App. 574 (2007) (copy attached). Conversely, the scope of inspection proposed by Defendants would give Plaintiffs access to information sufficient to determine whether the irregularities alleged in the Petition existed while continuing to protect Georgia's voting system. Attached is an unsigned affidavit of Michael Barnes, Director of the Center for Election Systems in the Secretary of State's Office, further discussing these issues.

In regard to the GEMS inspection, Mr. Barnes states that the GEMS reports that Defendants have proposed to generate from GEMS show how races in the database are related to political districts within the county and how those races are then related to the ballots generated. Accordingly, if there was a programming failure (i.e., failure to relate a race to a given district which results in it not be present on the ballot), it would appear in those reports according to Mr. Barnes.

Additionally, the ballot images report generated from GEMS shows which voter selections were collected by race within each ballot recorded by the DRE. The image report contains all races and associated candidates contained on the ballot, the candidate who was selected, and which candidates were not selected. Defendants' proposed reports from GEMS also show which individual races were present on each ballot style configured by GEMS, which also goes to the alleged irregularity regarding missing races and candidates. Finally, the Secretary of State's Office can perform a HASH verification of the GEMS program installed on the county computer, which would confirm whether the program has been altered. As indicated in Mr. Barnes' affidavit, if there were irregularities or failures with respect to programming, vote recordation, the appearance of candidates on a ballot in a given election, they would appear in these reports.

Next, in regard to the DRE machines, the inspection that we have proposed relates directly to the DRE irregularities alleged by Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs have alleged that candidate names were missing from ballots on DRE machines (Pet. at ¶ 41), issues with calibration of DRE touchscreens (Pet. at ¶ 41, 47), cancelled ballots on machines (Pet. at ¶ 44), and discrepancies in the vote counts on precinct poll tapes (Pet. at ¶ 46). As indicated in the attached affidavit of Michael Barnes, inspecting the DRE machines in post-election mode, using post-election memory cards that were used in the voting locations identified by Plaintiffs in the Petition would allow Plaintiffs to discover whether any of these alleged irregularities actually existed, to the extent there were any.

In contrast, the DRE inspection proposed by Plaintiffs would require removing the memory chip from the motherboard on each machine, which damages the machines and prevents elections officials from using them ever again. Like the GEMS access proposed by Plaintiffs, Mr. Barnes has also stated that Defendants' proposed DRE inspection would expose sensitive information that would give a roadmap for hacking the system. The Ballot Station program on the DRE only opens files that reside in a specifically named folder and then only opens files in that folder that have specific file extensions. According to Mr. Barnes' affidavit, the data is copied directly from a memory card, someone would know how files are organized and named on the memory card. If a computer researcher wanted to "hack" the system, that person would want to know what file folders and file extensions Ballot Station recognizes.

Defendants' proposed inspection for the DRE machines includes the post-election memory cards. According to Mr. Barnes, if one has the memory card used in an Election, one has a copy of what is on the machine's Internal Memory already

Defendants' have proposed a scope of discovery that is in line with our understanding of your intent at the hearing on Wednesday. Plaintiffs proposed inspection would not only damage voting machines, but it would also provide a roadmap or schematic of the system that someone would need in order to create virus or show how one could be created. Plaintiffs' proposed Order would sanction a fishing expedition that is beyond the factual allegations in the Petition, so we urge the Court to adopt Defendants' Proposed Order.

Kind regards,

Vincent Russo

Vincent R. Russo |*404.856.3260* | <image002.jpg>

From: Adele Grubbs [mailto:adele.grubbs@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, January 11, 2019 7:09 AM
To: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Cc: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>; laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov
Subject: Re: Proposed Order: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Please have ALL arguments about this order to me by Noon today so I can enter the order today.

Thank you.

Adele Grubbs

Senior Judge

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 11, 2019, at 12:48 AM, Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com> wrote:

Judge Grubbs:

Further to my email below on Defendants' proposed order (attached to

Mr. Denton's 4:59 PM email), Plaintiffs have no objections to the changes Defendants propose to Parts A, but would urge the Court to adopt Plaintiffs' Part B, for the reasons set forth in the Affidavit of Plaintiffs' expert Matt Bernhard, attached. I have attached to this email a Proposed Order that does just this: takes the Defendants' version of Part A, but Plaintiffs' prior version of Part B, the discovery provisions for the specific records and equipment included in the Court's ruling at the January 9, 2017 hearing. Plaintiffs have included in Part B the sentence proposed by Defendants – "Destructive testing shall not be permitted." (I note that no notary public was available tonight to notarize Mr. Bernhard's affidavit (he is out-of-state), but we will submit a notarized affidavit when it becomes available).

Mr. Bernhard explains in his affidavit that the inspection proposed by Defendants would be virtually useless, and how that the inspection that Plaintiffs proposes is the only way to discover system malfunctions and mis-programming.

Mr. Bernhard also references a Georgia law relating to the internal memory that is right on point. Georgia State Election Board Rule 183-1-12-.02 (6)(d) states:

> *The election results, ballot styles, ballot images, and other information for each election stored in the internal memory storage of each DRE unit shall be maintained for a minimum of one month following each election after which time the results may be erased provided that there are no election contests pending concerning such election.*

This Rule requires the counties to preserve the internal memory of the DRE machines for the specific purposes of having that memory available for inspection and review in an election contest. Fulton County's position that this information should not be made available in this election contest, therefore, is inconsistent with this rule and, for the additional reasons explained in Mr. Bernhard's affidavit, completely without merit.

As to the GEMS database, Mr. Bernhard explains that this can easily be produced on a CD to Plaintiffs' experts; it is not proprietary information and is crucially necessary for the examination.

Many thanks,

Bruce Brown

From: "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Date: Thursday, January 10, 2019 at 6:07 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>, "laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net" <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7Jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7Jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, "kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov" <kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: Re: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Dear Judge Grubbs:

With respect to Defendants' proposed order, relating specifically to discovery and inspection, Plaintiffs will be submitting later this evening a statement from one of our experts (a computer scientist specializing in voting systems) which will explain how the limitations that defendants would impose will render the inspection useless for the purposes of detecting the causes of any

malfunction, or misprogramming, either in the GEMS database or DRE machines, and is not a forensic examination that may detect programming errors (which is what we understand the Court instructed). We urge the Court to withhold considering the proposed order until the Court has the opportunity to consider that submission.

Respectfully,

Bruce Brown

From: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>
Date: Thursday, January 10, 2019 at 4:59 PM
To: Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>, Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: "laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net" <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7Jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7Jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, "kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov" <kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: RE: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs,

The Defendants have collaborated to prepare the attached proposed order for your consideration. It tracks the one Plaintiffs' counsel provided earlier today except as to those specific portions where they are not in agreement.

Thank you.

Alexander Denton |*404.856.3276* | ROBBINS

From: Josh Belinfante
Sent: Thursday, January 10, 2019 12:59 PM
To: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>; Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>
Subject: RE: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs:

Good afternoon. We have substantial agreement with portions of the proposed order but are drafting an alternative for your consideration. We are working to submit it as soon as possible today.

Thank you and best regards,

JB

From: Bruce Brown [mailto:bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 10, 2019 12:13 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>
Subject: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Dear Judge Grubbs:

I have attached Plaintiffs' proposed order on the motions heard by Your Honor yesterday. I circulated a draft of this proposed order yesterday afternoon to defendants' counsel, and again this morning, and have not received a response as to what changes they would recommend to it. Given the

expedited nature of these proceedings, I thought it best to go ahead and submit Plaintiffs' proposed order without further delay.

We did have a conference call with defendants' counsel on discovery yesterday evening, both with respect to the terms of the proposed order and logistics. The parties did **not** agree on language for the proposed order with respect to discovery, but we did make progress on logistics, and Fulton County is readying machines for inspection.

I note that Part B(2) of the attached, which address the discovery of the DRE machines, references the paragraphs of the complaint that allege specific instances of machine malfunctions, except for paragraphs 42 and 43, which concerned locations in DeKalb County.

Many thanks,

Bruce Brown

Attorney for Plaintiffs

<20190111 Plaintiffs' Proposed Order.docx>

<20180111 Lt Gov Bernhard Affidavit Final Signed.pdf>

<Smith v. DeKalb County_ 288 Ga. App. 574.pdf>
<Michael Barnes Affidavit re discovery protocol pdf.pdf>

EXHIBIT E

Subject: RE: Protective Order
Date: Sunday, January 13, 2019 at 9:37:08 PM Eastern Standard Time
From: Bruce Brown
To: Burwell, Kaye
CC: Bryan Tyson (bpt@sbllaw.net), josh.belinfante@robbinsfirm.com, Vincent Russo, Ringer, Cheryl, Lowman, David, Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. (edward.lindsey@dentons.com)

Kaye,
The need to copy the internal memory was explained in my June 10 email to you, which email was also attached to our June 11 brief. The need to copy the internal memory also was explained in detail in Matt Berhard's affidavit, which also was attached to our June 11 brief. Finally, there is no way to examine the DRE internal memory consistent with Georgia State Election Board Rule 183-1-12-.02 (6) (d) (requiring the preservation of internal memory) without copying it first.
Thanks,
Bruce

From: Burwell, Kaye <Kaye.Burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Sent: Sunday, January 13, 2019 5:09 PM
To: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Cc: Bryan Tyson (bpt@sbllaw.net) <bpt@sbllaw.net>; josh.belinfante@robbinsfirm.com; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Ringer, Cheryl <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>; Lowman, David <David.Lowman@fultoncountyga.gov>; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. (edward.lindsey@dentons.com) <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>
Subject: FW: Protective Order

This is the first I am hearing about copying the internal memory and having laptops available. I will defer to the SOS on this issue. The inspection will only proceed with Mr. Barnes present unless he has someone else that can attend during his absence. I will review your proposed changes to the protective order and return to you shortly. Thanks.

From: Bruce Brown [mailto:bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com]
Sent: Sunday, January 13, 2019 4:52 PM
To: Burwell, Kaye
Cc: Bryan Tyson (bpt@sbllaw.net)
Subject: RE: Protective Order

Kaye:

First, the Plaintiffs' expert(s) will be at the English Street location at 9 am. The inspection needs to go forward with or without the presence of a representative of non-party Secretary of State. At this time, we do not object to his presence, but Plaintiffs' examination must proceed even if he has to leave for a bit because of another appointment.

Second, I wanted to review the protocol for the examination of the DRE's themselves (see January 10 email). The first crucial step is to preserve the evidence and ensure that no further alteration to the internal memory of the DRE machines occurs to both obey the Court's order and to preserve the evidence for forensic examination. Your staff making a County copy of the DRE internal memory before any examination of the DRE machines begins is therefore essential. So that the inspection may proceed without having any impact

on the existing DRE internal memory, as the Order requires, Plaintiffs' expert will observe the copying of the DRE internal memory onto a laptop that we assume will be provided by you (but we can bring our own, if you don't have one). The laptop will need to have certain utilities required for the examination downloaded from the internet, or we can bring a preloaded jump drive and install the utilities that way, whichever is your preference. You are likely to need multiple laptops so that our expert(s) can be simultaneously reviewing the internal memory on one while your staff is copying the next. We will be selecting the sequence of the machines examined, in the interest of time. To avoid any further alteration of the DRE internal memory, we will not examine the DRE machines themselves until their memory has been copied and checked for completeness.

Third, as I mentioned yesterday (and in prior days), please email or Dropbox the GEMS reports; these are all public records and therefore not subject to the confidentiality order. (See, for example, the GEMS reports that other counties have produced or that are available on public website).

Finally, we are fine with confidentiality agreement that you drafted, with one minor change. The GEMS documents that you are currently producing are public records, not confidential material, so those should not be subject to the agreement. If you would add some language indicating that they are not covered by the confidentiality agreement, we will sign.

Thanks,

Bruce

From: Burwell, Kaye <Kaye.Burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Sent: Sunday, January 13, 2019 2:40 PM
To: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucenbrownlaw.com>
Cc: Bryan Tyson (bpt@sbllaw.net) <bpt@sbllaw.net>
Subject: Protective Order

Bruce, If you have no edits, please execute the protective order, have your experts execute the certification and bring the executed protective order with you in the morning -- if you intend to move forward with the inspection. The Order provides that the commencement of the inspection is subject to the parties entering into a protective order. Thanks.

Kaye Woodard Burwell
Deputy County Attorney
Office of the Fulton County Attorney
141 Pryor Street
Suite 4038
Atlanta, Georgia 30303
(404) 612-0251

EXHIBIT

F

Subject: Internal Memory Examination
Date: Monday, January 14, 2019 at 5:46:12 PM Eastern Standard Time
From: Bruce Brown
To: Burwell, Kaye, Cheryl Ringer

Cheryl and Kaye,

In attempting to confer with experts to consider a possible solutions for the access to the memory of the TS machines set for inspection at Fulton this morning, I have the following questions—

1. Please let us know whether your staff has instructions on how to access the memories of both the TS and TSx machines, or whether our experts need to provide more detailed explanations, or supply the parts necessary to comply with the court's order for examination of the internal memory.

2. Are the original memory cards for the machines on the list for inspection today still preserved just as they were after the November election? Please confirm that they were not programmed for the December runoff.

3. Please let us know what Fulton County voting locations (early and election day) used TS machines and which used TSx machines in the November election. (It may be that staff can more easily retrieve TSx internal memory.)

Thanks,

Bruce Brown

GA001255

EXHIBIT G

Subject: Re: Safe Copying of DRE Internal Memory
Date: Tuesday, January 15, 2019 at 7:30:34 AM Eastern Standard Time
From: Patrick Jaugstetter
To: Bruce Brown
CC: Ringer, Cheryl, VRusso@robbinsfirm.com, Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com, richard.carothers@carmitch.com, bpt@sbllaw.net, Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com, edward.lindsey@dentons.com, Perkins-Hooker, Patrise, Burwell, Kaye, Lowman, David, rgermany@sos.ga.gov, Ruthie Snell

Bruce:

Henry County will be permitting inspection pursuant to the procedure referenced in Ryan Germany's e-mail last night.

Patrick D. Jaugstetter

Power•Jaugstetter
P.O. Box 70
108 Atlanta Street
McDonough, GA 30253
770-957-1765 x 305 (office)
678-233-8383 (cell)
770-957-1065 (fax)

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 14, 2019, at 10:03 PM, Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com> wrote:

> Counsel,
>
> Matt Bernhard, Plaintiffs' expert, has documented his recommendations for safely copying DRE's internal memory for both the TS and TSx model units. Please see his email below. Feel free to contact me or Marilyn if you have questions on the procedure.
>
> Bruce
>
> **From:** Matt Bernhard <mdb92nc@gmail.com>
> **Date:** Monday, January 14, 2019 at 7:44 PM
> **To:** Marilyn Marks <marilyn@aspenoffice.com>
> **Cc:** Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
> **Subject:** Re: CONFIDENTIAL Protocol for examining internal memory
>
> Bruce and Marilyn,
>
> Below is a summary of the materials and procedures needed to non-destructively examine the internal memory of both the TS and the TSx.

Materials

For the TS, the county will need an EEPROM chip with a program that will instruct the machine to copy its flash contents to a compact flash card (of the same kind used to program and store election data). I can provide the chips by tomorrow evening, if the defendants do not have ready access to them.

For the TSX, a JTAG adapter and a copy of OpenOCD will be needed. Again I can loan my equipment to them if helpful.

Procedures

For the TS, after removing the case from the machine to get access to the motherboard, the county staff should remove the existing EEPROM a chip and replace it with the new chip. They should then set jumpers 2 and 8 to "on" and switch 2 to "1" and switch 4 to "2", all on the motherboard. Booting the machine in this configuration will run the program on the new EEPROM chip chip and copy data to an installed CF card. Once the copy is complete, they should reset the jumpers and switches and put the original EEPROM chip back. This process will in no way alter the machine, as once the new EEPROM chip does nothing to alter the state of the machine. Once the old EEPROM chip is reinstalled, the machine will function as if nothing happened. This process will likely take about an hour per machine after a few run throughs; I will test it tomorrow once I have materials and verify. Obviously I'm assuming the best conditions possible for this type of work, so the time may be highly variable depending on the condition of the equipment, as well as unexpected conditions that may crop up and how the county handles them.

For the TSx, the county should remove the case and attach a JTAG adapter. they should then power on the machine and issue a "reset and halt" command in OpenOCD through the connected laptop. Then they should run the "flash probe" command to ensure the state of the machines memory, and then the "read image" command to copy the internal memory to a file on the laptop. They should then unplug the JTAG adapter and reboot the machine. This process will likely take an hour and a half per machine, again assuming ideal conditions.

I would like to be present when copies are being made, to both verify the process as well as to assist in whatever way I can. There are several other experts we can call on for additional support via phone call or skype as necessary.

-Matt

GA001258

EXHIBIT H

Germany, Ryan

From: Germany, Ryan
Sent: Monday, January 14, 2019 12:48 PM
To: 'Patrick Jaugstetter'; 'rpowell@wilmotpowell.com'
Cc: 'Josh Belinfante'; Vincent Russo; Rayburn, Kevin; Barnes, Michael
Subject: discovery update

Patrick and Ralph,

Wanted to give you guys an update on the inspection in Fulton this morning. The plaintiffs did not think that our protocol for how to examine the DRE internal memory was sufficient. They want to attach a computer directly to the motherboard of the DRE (the voting machine) and extract the memory using a special program. That is not something we can allow, and it is not something that we think the judge's order allows. (The order says the Plaintiffs may not copy, image, save, or retain the information from the DREs or upload or introduce any information).

Allowing a third-party device to connect directly to the motherboard would require us to take the machines out of service. It's something we have never done. The plaintiffs are supposedly going to go to the judge today to try to get her to order us to accept their protocol. Will keep you posted.

Also, just a heads up that they had media present at Fulton County today. Fulton County did not allow the media person into the inspection.

Thanks,
Ryan

C. Ryan Germany
General Counsel
Assistant Commissioner of Securities & Charities
Office of Georgia Secretary of State Robyn A. Crittenden
Office: (404) 657-7778 | Cell: (678) 672-9230 | rgermany@sos.ga.gov

This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication may contain information that is proprietary, privileged, confidential or otherwise legally exempt from disclosure. If you are not the named addressee, you are not authorized to read, print, retain, copy or disseminate this message or any part of it. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by e-mail and delete all copies of the message.

1 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY

2 STATE OF GEORGIA

3

4 COALITION FOR GOOD . CIVIL ACTION
GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. . FILE NO.: 2018-CV-313418
5

6 MARTIN, SMYTH DUVAL, and .
JEANNE DUFORT, . Taken at:
7

8 Plaintiffs, . Superior Court of Cobb County

9 vs. . 70 Haynes Street

10 ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, . Courtroom 2000

11 Secretary of State of . Marietta, Georgia 30090
Georgia, et al. .
12

13 Defendants. .
. . . . . .
14

15
TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING PROCEEDINGS
16

17 WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 9, 2019

18 8:59 a.m. to 11:04 a.m.

19

20 STATE OF GEORGIA SENIOR JUDGE ADELE P. GRUBBS

21

22
REPORTED BY:
23

24 PRISCILLA GARCIA, COURT REPORTER

25 NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF GEORGIA

1 APPEARANCES:
2
Plaintiff's Counsel: Bruce P. Brown, Esquire
3
4 Bruce P. Brown Law
Floataway Business Complex
5
6 1123 Zonolite Road N.E.
Suite 6
7
8 Atlanta, Georgia 30306
9 404-881-0700
10 bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com
11
Defendant's Counsel: John Belinfante, Esquire
12
13 Robbins, Ross, Alloy, Belinfante
& Littlefield, LLC
14
15 500 14th Street N.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30318
16
17 404-856-3262
18 jbelinfante@robbinsfirm.com
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:
2
Defendant's Counsel: Vincent R. Russo, Esquire
3
4 Robbins, Ross, Alloy, Belinfante
& Littlefield, LLC
5
6 999 Peachtree Street N.E.
Suite 1120
7
8 Atlanta, Georgia 30309
9 404-856-3260
10 vrusso@robbinsfirm.com
11
Defendant's Associate Counsel:
12
13 Alexander F. Denton, Esquire
Robbins, Ross, Allot, Belinfante
14
15 & Littlefield, LLC
500 14th Street N.W.
16
17 Atlanta, Georgia 30318
18 404-856-3276
adenton@robbinsfirm.com
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:
2
Defendant's Counsel: Edward H. Lindsey, Esquire
3
4 Dentons US, LLP
303 Peachtree Street N.E.
5
6 Suite 5300
Atlanta, Georgia 30308
7
8 404-527-4580
9 edward.lindsey@dentons.com
10
11 Defendant's Counsel: Kaye Woodard Burwell, Esquire
Office of the County Attorney
12
13 141 Pryor Street S.W.
Suite 4038
14
15 Atlanta, Georgia 30303
404-612-0251
16
17 kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov
18
Defendant's Counsel: Bryan P. Tyson, Esquire
19
20 Strickland, Brockington & Lewis,
LLP
21
22 1170 Peachtree Street N.E.
Atlanta, Georgia 30309
23
24 404-219-3160
25 bryan.tyson@sbllaw.com

1 I-N-D-E-X
2 EXHIBITS: DESCRIPTION PAGE
NONE
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Page 6

1 COBB COUNTY, GEORGIA
2 WEDNESDAY, January 9, 2018 - 8:59 p.m.
3
4 * * * * * * *
5
6 THE COURT: On this case we have a request on Rule 22 who is opposed to make -- that request. Is anybody --
7
8 MS. BURWELL: (inaudible)
9 THE COURT: This is on the case. This is on the
10 Coalition case.
11 MS. BURWELL: Right.
THE COURT: Does anyone have any objection to the
12
13 Rule 22? -- the cameraman wants to record the proceedings.
14
15 MR. BELINFANTE: No, Your Honor.
MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor.
16
17 THE COURT: Everyone's saying no.
18 MR. RUSSO: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. Then I will grant it. I find that
19
20 it is covered under Section -- Rule 22. I have an order confirming.
21
22 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you.
THE COURT: I've got the order in front of me. I've
23
24 got it.
25 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Will they know downstairs?

Page 7

1 THE COURT: I'm sorry.
2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Will they know downstairs? If I don't have it signed, they won't let me go through
3
4 security. If I don't have a signed --
THE COURT: I just signed it.
5
6 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Oh, okay.
THE COURT: Pinpoint the rules though. I need to
7
8 explain to you what the rules are. You can only record
9 when I'm in courtroom.
10 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay.
11 THE COURT: Okay. You cannot record anything from counsel's table.
12
13 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay.
THE COURT: Okay. Or any conversation they may have.
14
15 I don't think there are any witnesses. It's not that kind of hearing today, but we'll see. It's not -- yet and
16
17 we'll see. Don't record anything that they've got
18 privilege and all those kinds of things. So be very careful on that.
19
20 The rules say no bench conferences. I can't imagine me trying to hold a bench conference with these people.
21
22 But we'll -- all I'm doing -- okay. So, I will sign the order. When you say downstairs, what do you mean?
23
24 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: To get through security I have to
25 have a signed form to get through.

Page 8

1 THE COURT: Okay.
2 THE BAILIFF: I'll take care of that, Judge.
THE COURT: Okay.
3
4 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you.
THE COURT: There you go. I don't -- I've got a
5
6 couple of them, okay. Jim, I'll let him handle it, okay. Okay. So, we have a good record, this is the Coalition
7
8 for Good Governance and various individuals versus Robyn
9 Crittenden, Fulton County, and Gwinnett County.
10 Can you each, Counsel, introduce yourselves for the
11 record so I have a record of who's here? Yes, sir.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, Bruce Brown for the
12
13 plaintiffs. With me at counsel table is Marilyn Marks, the executive director of plaintiff Coalition for Good
14
15 Governance.
THE COURT: I will allow that right now. We'll see
16
17 where we go. Yes, sir.
18 MR. RUSSO: Good morning, Your Honor. Vincent Russo for the Georgia Secretary of State.
19
20 MR. BELINFANTE: Josh Belinfante also for the Secretary of State, Your Honor.
21
22 THE COURT: Okay.
MR. TYSON: Good morning, Your Honor. Bryan Tyson
23
24 and with me is Dick Carruthers. We represent the Gwinnett
25 Board of Registrations and Elections.

Page 9

1 THE COURT: Okay.
2 MR. LINDSEY: Good morning, Your Honor. Edward Lindsey on behalf of Lieutenant Governor-elect Geoff
3
4 Duncan.
THE COURT: Okay.
5
6 MS. BURWELL: Kaye Burwell here on behalf of Fulton County.
7
8 THE COURT: How did you get stuck with this?
9 MS. BURWELL: There too many lawyers there this
10 morning, Your Honor.
11 THE COURT: We're trying to pretend you're not here. I gotcha. I got it. I know how that works, okay. That's
12
13 it. As I understand it, DeKalb County has been dismissed?
MR. BROWN: That's correct, Your Honor.
14
15 THE COURT: In looking at -- we're here -- let me be clear on this. We're here on motions today. This is not
16
17 evidentiary. Understand the statute of evidence has been
18 supposedly filed, the report's picked it up. That's not relevant today. It's got nothing to do with today.
19
20 That's not what we're here about, okay. We're here for the four corners of the petition; that's all we're
21
22 here about. So I need to be clear about that. I understand though, Mr. Brown, is that you have agreed that
23
24 the Coalition is not a proper party as to the election
25 contest; is that correct?

Page 10

1 MR. BROWN: That is correct, Your Honor. The
2 Coalition is a party to the Federal 1983 claims, and the individual plaintiffs are the contestants in the election
3
4 contest.
THE COURT: Okay. And then with that covered, in
5
6 count 2 and count 3 are federal claims; is that correct?
MR. BROWN: That's correct, Your Honor.
7
8 THE COURT: Okay. Are those not being contested in
9 federal court right now?
10 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor. They're -- similar
11 claims have been raised in federal court, but these are -- these claims are also raised in this case.
12
13 THE COURT: Well, how many times can you raise the same claim? I mean, if this is a federal -- I'm going to
14
15 hear from everybody. If this is a federal issue, then why don't I just stay it and let the federals decide what it
16
17 is?
18 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the plaintiff's claims are right to be asserted here, and it may be that they -- that
19
20 those --
THE COURT: You can't keep asserting them in all
21
22 different kinds of -- of courtrooms.
MR. BROWN: That's correct, Your Honor. But had --
23
24 had the plaintiffs not asserted them, they could have
25 waived their right to the relief, if that relief were

Page 11

1 deemed right before the other ones matured. The federal
2 court --
THE COURT: Let me hear from here from the -- from
3
4 the defendants on that issue. Don't mean to -- if I'm making any sense this morning.
5
6 MR. RUSSO: Good morning, Your Honor. Vincent Russo for the Secretary of State. This -- these are claims that
7
8 are pending and the case before Judge Totenberg in a
9 criminal matter. We don't have any objection to you also
10 considering those claims. We will do as you determine.
11 THE COURT: Okay.
MR. DENTON: And Your Honor, for Gwinnett, we're kind
12
13 of in the same position as Secretary of State.
THE COURT: Okay.
14
15 MR. DENTON: We're in the same position as the Secretary of State's Office, and we don't have necessarily
16
17 an objection. We don't think we're really proper to be
18 part of the federal constitutional claims --
THE COURT: (inaudible)
19
20 MR. DENTON: -- so we can deal with that later. Yes.
THE COURT: We'll take one step at a time.
21
22 MR. DENTON: Yes.
THE COURT: I'm trying to take -- this is today,
23
24 okay. I'm trying to take it one step at a time, okay.
25 I'm fairly simplistic about -- I'm a math major, okay.

Page 12

1 Explain life to me. You know, we're going to do it like
2 that. I do better if I keep my brain in one spot at a time. Fulton County, what do you say?
3
4 MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, on behalf of Fulton County, we take the position that the federal claims are pending
5
6 in federal court, and this is just another opportunity for these same plaintiffs to bring the same claim again, and
7
8 we agree with the Court that you want to stay the federal
9 issues, the constitutional issues they claim that they're
10 raising.
11 THE COURT: Mr. Lindsey.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I don't believe that Geoff
12
13 Duncan is really a party to the second and third count. They're simply a party in regard to the first count,
14
15 seeking a new election.
THE COURT: Is it -- I'm sorry?
16
17 MR. LINDSEY: We're simply a party in regard to the
18 first count, seeking a new election.
THE COURT: Right. But you're not really involved
19
20 in --
MR. LINDSEY: It certainly does, however, make sense
21
22 for you to stay.
THE COURT: You're right.
23
24 MR. LINDSEY: That'll do it.
25 THE COURT: Okay. Then I will hear the motions this

Page 13

1 morning on that, but I'm still not convinced I'm going to
2 stay, okay. Because it's pending somewhere else. With that said, then let's go -- let me see. I'll hear -- let
3
4 me hear the Secretary of State's motions first, okay.
Let -- what we're going to do -- a lot of them -- a
5
6 lot of them are the same. A lot of the motions are the same, so I'm going to -- I'm going to let you go first,
7
8 and then we'll go from there, okay.
9 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. And the
10 defendant's counsel, we did try to split up kind of all
11 arguments so that you aren't hearing --
THE COURT: Whatever you want to do, Mr. Brown.
12
13 MR. RUSSO: We're here on Secretary of State's motion to dismiss, along with the motions to dismiss filed by our
14
15 co-defendants. As you're aware, plaintiffs filed a petition contesting the results of the November 6, 2018,
16
17 general election and the race for lieutenant governor for
18 the State of Georgia.
In that election the margin of victory was 123,172
19
20 votes. The plaintiffs in this case claim that the only explanations for the difference in the number of votes in
21
22 the lieutenant governor's race and other statewide races is that there were malfunctioning or erroneously
23
24 programmed voting machines or malicious manipulation of
25 the voting machines.

Page 14

1 That that -- that those issues place in doubt the
2 results of the election. Now plaintiff's, of course,
completely disregard other reasonable explanations for the
3
4 differences in the votes, such as the fact that there were
third-party candidates in the other races and incumbents,
5
6 but that's for another day.
The Supreme Court has repeatedly stated that Georgia
7
8 law prohibits a contestant from merely speculating or
9 guessing as to the cause of an election contest. Here we
10 have -- the plaintiffs have asserted grounds under 2125 --
11 excuse me, 2102 520, under multiple different sections of
the election page, excuse me.
12
13 And the motion to dismiss, their response to the
motion to dismiss has them discuss -- the plaintiffs have
14
15 expanded the grounds for their contest to -- to additional
ones. So, there are threshold issues that pertain to our
16
17 client, which I'll address first.
18 My partner, Josh Belinfante, will address the federal
constitutional claims, and as I mentioned the other
19
20 parties will address those claims. Your Honor, has
already taken and confirmed the first step here that the
21
22 Coalition for Good Governance lacks standing to file an
election contest claim, so we're going to move past --
23
24 past that.
25 As Your Honor knows, election contests are authorized

Page 15

1 and governed by the Georgia Election Code, which is in
2 Chapter 2 of Title 21. Pursuant to OCGA212520, there are
only certain individuals who can be a defendant in an
3
4 election contest and none of those individuals in -- in
this context would be the Secretary of State, which is the
5
6 first reason for dismissing the Secretary of State in the
election contest.
7
8 THE COURT: Okay. I didn't -- Josh, I didn't realize
9 you had to go back to the courtroom.
10 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Yes.
11 THE COURT: Okay.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: (inaudible)
12
13 THE COURT: I didn't realize that they wouldn't let
you go through. Let me give you a chance to get set up
14
15 because that was not -- I didn't realize that.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: I'm good.
16
17 THE COURT: It gets confusing because everybody has a
18 right to be heard, and I didn't realize they wouldn't let
you bring it in, okay. But the fact that she -- that your
19
20 allegation is the Secretary of State should not be a
defendant, let me hear that. That doesn't apply to
21
22 anybody else. Let me hear that one first.
MR. RUSSO: Yes, ma'am.
23
24 THE COURT: I understand it's a motion to dismiss,
25 but it's an issue that's out in front. Go ahead.

Page 16

1 MR. RUSSO: Of course. Under OCGA212520,
2 subsection 2, a defendant is defined as -- as four
different specific classes. A defendant could be either
3
4 the person whose nomination election is contested such as
the lieutenant governor elect in this case, the person
5
6 whose eligibility to seek any nomination or office in a
runoff primary or election is contested, the election
7
8 superintendent or superintendents who conducted the
9 contested primary or election, or the public officer who
10 formally declared the number of votes for and against a
11 ballot question submitted to the electors at an election.
Under the Georgia Election Code, the Secretary of
12
13 State is not an election superintendent. Secretary of
State does not conduct elections. Elections are conducted
14
15 in the State of Georgia by -- at the county level, by the
county superintendents.
16
17 Under the definitions, the general definitions that
18 are applicable to the Georgia Election Code, a
superintendent is specifically defined in 2122, 2,
19
20 subsection 35, as either a judge of the probate court of a
county or the County Board of Elections, the County Board
21
22 of Elections and Registration, the joint City and County
Board of Elections, or the joint City Board of Elections
23
24 and Registrations, if a county has one.
25 And there are -- there are three other categories,

Page 17

1 which apply to municipal elections. None of those are
2 applicable here. As Your -- as Your Honor can tell here,
you know, the plain language in the definition does not
3
4 include the Secretary of State, and -- and -- and that
really goes to the fact that the Secretary of State,
5
6 again, doesn't conduct elections.
Where the Secretary of State -- the Secretary of
7
8 State is obviously involved in voter registration and must
9 maintain a statewide voter registration database, but that
10 doesn't make the Secretary of State a superintendent. The
11 county -- the -- the plaintiffs have alleged that or have
argued that, you know, since it's a statewide election
12
13 involving a lieutenant governor, that the Secretary of
State must -- must be a proper -- must be a superintendent
14
15 and must be a proper party.
The defendant -- or excuse me, the plaintiffs rely on
16
17 the case Mead vs. Sheffield, which involved a court of
18 appeals election contest, and in that case the -- in that
case, it was a 2004 election contest in which one of the
19
20 -- the contestant missed the runoff by about 380 votes,
because he had -- there was the wrong -- and there was a
21
22 wrong name on the ballot.
We do not know why the Secretary of State in that
23
24 case was a party. The plaintiffs claim that since she was
25 a party in that case, she -- the -- current Secretary of

Page 18

1 State must, you know, must be a party in this case.
2 Of course, there's -- there's no precedent -- precedential value in that case on that precise point.
3
4 That said, what the Mead vs. Sheffield case does highlight is that even in an election contest involving a statewide
5
6 race, election contest is filed against the county superintendents, which it was Laurens County in that case,
7
8 where there alleged irregularities that occurred in that
9 particular county.
10 And that, you know, indicates where there is an
11 alleged irregularity involving an -- an illegal ballot as opposed to an illegal vote, the contestant must show that
12
13 the number of illegal ballots cast exceeds the margin of victory in order to prevail.
14
15 Again, this is -- the -- the reason the case was filed in Laurens County -- against Laurens County was
16
17 because that's where the irregularities occurred. I know
18 my colleagues at the -- that represent the other defendants, the county defendants will probably be
19
20 speaking to this issue, but Mead vs. Sheffield is clearly inapplicable here.
21
22 Plaintiffs also argue that the definition of election superintendent in the Recall Act of 1989, which is
23
24 Chapter 4 of Title 21, must apply to the Election Code.
25 Your Honor, I mean, it's a fundamental rule of

Page 19

1 statutory construction that it's -- it's presumed that the
2 legislature acts intentionally and purposefully when it includes particular language in one section of a statute
3
4 but omits it from another. And that's Tolson v. Sistrunk, 332-Georgia at 324 from 2015.
5
6 Now in this rule of statutory construction is applied to the present case, it's clear that the word
7
8 "superintendent" as used for purposes of election contest
9 is -- is -- is referring to County Boards of Elections and
10 Registration or county probate judges, and that's for
11 counties that don't have, of course, a Board of Registrations and Elections.
12
13 Finally, Your Honor, the plaintiffs have made an argument about -- that -- that a public officer who --
14
15 that -- that the fourth subsection to the definition of "defendant" that discusses, you know, that a defendant can
16
17 be a public officer who declares the number of votes for
18 and against a question, submitted to -- submitted to the electors in an election that that somehow must wrap in the
19
20 Secretary of State also, but, Your Honor, of course, a question -- the question is defined to be, you know,
21
22 constitutional amendments or propositions, ballot referendum items that -- that voters vote on this, that
23
24 has nothing to do with election contests involving
25 candidates for public office.

Page 20

1 And we would say that there's -- there's no basis for
2 making that -- that leap that the plaintiffs are -- are asking the Court to make. As Your Honor knows, election
3
4 laws that are in variegation to common law must be strictly construed here.
5
6 There's -- there's no basis for expanding the definition of "superintendent" to somehow wrap the
7
8 Secretary of State up into this election contest. The
9 proper defendants would be the counties, the county
10 superintendents in each county where the plaintiffs are
11 aware of election irregularities.
Now that moves to the next -- the next issue, and as
12
13 Your Honor pointed -- stated --
THE COURT: (inaudible)
14
15 MR. RUSSO: Well, within the four corners of -- of the complaint or the -- the petition.
16
17 THE COURT: Let me take the one issue, okay. Are you
18 arguing the motion to dismiss now?
MR. RUSSO: I'm still arguing the motion to dismiss,
19
20 just moving past whether --
THE COURT: That's not relevant here.
21
22 MR. RUSSO: Oh, I'm sorry. I apologize.
THE COURT: No, -- go ahead.
23
24 MR. RUSSO: Okay. The plaintiff's election contest
25 is also subject to dismissal for failing to meet the --

Page 21

1 the pleading requirements.
2 THE COURT: Okay. That I'd like to take separately.
MR. RUSSO: Okay.
3
4 THE COURT: Let's take each step. That's what I said in the beginning.
5
6 MR. RUSSO: Okay.
THE COURT: Let's take each step. You'll get to
7
8 argue that.
9 MR. RUSSO: No, I understand. I -- I thought you
10 meant each step -- I got you.
11 THE COURT: Let's -- the first issue before me is, is the Secretary of State a proper -- okay.
12
13 MR. RUSSO: Sure.
THE COURT: All right. Anybody else on the defense
14
15 might want to bring a -- how about you, Mr. Brown?
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Judge. Bruce Brown for the
16
17 plaintiffs. The issue of whether the Secretary of State
18 is a proper defendant is actually -- it touches upon two arguments that they make that are virtually the same. The
19
20 first is whether the Secretary is a proper defendant and second whether the Secretary has sovereign immunity.
21
22 If the Secretary of State is a proper defendant, then the sovereign immunity has been waived. The -- the
23
24 plaintiff's argument with respect to both issues is that
25 the election statute says defendant means election

Page 22

1 superintendent. That is OCGA212250. The code then
2 defines election superintendent.
THE COURT: Are you saying 212250? 520.
3
4 MR. BROWN: I'm -- I'm sorry. 520.
THE COURT: 520. So y'all know, I have the book. I
5
6 don't necessarily have access to this particular computer,
and so I -- bring this book down with me.
7
8 MR. BROWN: I apologize, Your Honor.
9 THE COURT: It's 220?
10 MR. BROWN: 220. I keep transposing those numbers.
11 THE COURT: Yeah. Don't confuse them.
MR. BROWN: And then the phrase "election
12
13 superintendent" means in a statewide election the
Secretary of State. That is defined in OCGA2143. Now, it
14
15 is correct that that definition of "election
superintendent" as the Secretary of State is in a
16
17 different chapter of the code.
18 However, there's no reason to believe that the
legislature, in using the term election superintendent in
19
20 the contest chapter, meant anything different and, in
fact, commonsense would require that in a statewide
21
22 election that the proper defendant who is conducting the
election is the Secretary of State.
23
24 Particularly when the irregularity that is alleged is
25 an irregularity that is statewide in every single case.

Page 23

1 Now the defendants, the Secretary of State option for
2 Your Honor, is to rule that in the statewide election
contest that the plaintiff must join 159 counties, and
3
4 so --
THE COURT: Well, we haven't gotten to that yet,
5
6 either.
MR. BROWN: But this is -- but, Your Honor, it's the
7
8 same -- I would -- it's the same argument in that -- the
9 -- they're saying that they are not proper, but instead
10 the proper defendants are the 159 counties.
11 And we -- we think that's absurd and that's not what
the legislator -- legislature intended. And it's not what
12
13 the legislature said.
Moreover, Your Honor, there has been, as far as we
14
15 know, only one statewide election contest on record, and
that is the Mead vs. Sheffield case, which Mr. Russo
16
17 discussed. And in fact, in the Mead vs. Sheffield case
18 the attorney general defended and did not raise the
sovereign immunity argument at all, and it's a
19
20 jurisdictional argument and it made it to the Supreme
Court.
21
22 And it was clear to the Secretary of State and the
attorney general at the time, Your Honor, that our reading
23
24 of the statute and commonsense meant, of course, that the
25 Secretary of State who is in charge of the elections is

Page 24

1 the proper defendant in the statewide election contest.
2 Now, Mr. Russo said something to the effect, well,
that was several years ago. I'm not sure why the
3
4 Secretary -- Secretary of State did it then, or why the
former Secretary of State and former attorney general took
5
6 that position. I would like -- if I may approach, I found
a case yesterday, Your Honor, which I believe is
7
8 instructed. But I'd like to approach the bench with a
9 copy of some of the papers, if I might.
10 THE COURT: All right. If you want to -- we don't
11 have a clerk because this is a Fulton County case. Thank
you. So, it's just a brief?
12
13 MR. BROWN: It's a brief and then the case is
attached to it, Your Honor.
14
15 THE COURT: I'm not going to -- I'm not going to take
somebody else's brief. You want to argue it, fine, but
16
17 I'm not going to -- somebody else's brief and somebody
18 else's case is not -- and has got nothing to do with that.
MR. BROWN: Fair enough. If the -- the case, which
19
20 follows the copy of the brief, is a case called Dawkins-
Haigler vs. Anderson. It's reported at 301-Georgia-27.
21
22 In that case, the Secretary of State was sued. And I
quote, it's "Brian Kemp in his official capacity as state
23
24 election supervisor." That was the capacity in which he
25 was sued.

Page 25

1 The -- the case was defended by Chris Carr, attorney
2 general, Dennis Dunn, Willard Chris Corea, the same
attorney general law department lawyers who were defending
3
4 this case along with outside counsel from the Robbins
firm. This is a case that was -- was tried last year,
5
6 Your Honor, and in that case the Secretary of State was --
THE COURT: Has there been an appellate decision on
7
8 the case?
9 MR. BROWN: Yes.
10 THE COURT: Okay.
11 MR. BROWN: It is 301-Georgia-27, and in that case
the Secretary of State was sued quote "in its official
12
13 capacity as state election supervisor," and that styling
of the Secretary of State appears in his own brief, which
14
15 is what I had shown to you, but the important thing is the
reported decision, and in that case also the Secretary of
16
17 State stood up and defended the case on the merits.
18 THE COURT: Okay. If you want to give me -- but you
know, if you've got a decision, let me have the final
19
20 decision, but what somebody else may have said and it's on
the report, it's not relevant --
21
22 MR. BROWN: Your Honor the -- what the law department
-- the position the law department said it is not binding
23
24 either on the law department or anybody else. They can
25 change their mind, of course, and not binding on Your

Page 26

1 Honor.
2 However, it is reflective of what the law is, and the law says that defendant means election superintendent and
3
4 the law says "election superintendent" in a statewide race means the Secretary of State. So, our argument is that
5
6 the statute is clear.
There is no case in the history in the State of
7
8 Georgia holding that the Secretary of State has sovereign
9 immunity in an elections case. No case at all. They are
10 asking Your Honor to make a precedent setting, a brand-new
11 decision. It will be the first ever that ever granted this -- this relief.
12
13 THE COURT: If that's meant to intimidate me, it doesn't.
14
15 MR. BROWN: I don't think it should -- should intimidate you, Your Honor.
16
17 THE COURT: Well, you -- I've read a lot of what
18 you've provided me. I have not read the proffer of evidence because it's not relevant today --
19
20 MR. BROWN: I understand.
THE COURT: -- and I understand where you're coming
21
22 from.
MR. BROWN: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. That's our
23
24 argument on the sovereign immunity and the Secretary of
25 State being a proper defendant.

Page 27

1 THE COURT: Okay. Any responses on that issue?
2 MR. RUSSO: Briefly, Your Honor. As you rightly noted these cases, this -- this brief and the cases cited
3
4 by plaintiff's counsel have no precedential value and basically stand for nothing on this -- on this point.
5
6 Plaintiff's counsel stated that the law says that in a statewide case, the superintendent is the Secretary of
7
8 State. Your Honor, I can't find anything on the books or
9 in the code that -- that says such.
10 Even Chapter 4, even the Recall Act that plaintiff's
11 counsel has referred to multiple times, states that in any case of elected state officers the Secretary of State --
12
13 and in short, you know, if somebody wants to challenge -- if there's a group that wants to recall a public officer
14
15 or state officer, they have to go get a petition signed.
And that petition then goes to the Secretary of
16
17 State. It's similar to a third-party candidate or an
18 independent candidate trying to get on the ballot in a statewide race. None of this -- the Recall Act, of
19
20 course, has nothing to do with election contests, similar to independent candidates trying to file in a petition to
21
22 get on the ballot has nothing to do with election contests.
23
24 Your Honor, again, we don't know why or -- or if this
25 issue has -- was raised in -- in the 2004 case. However,

Page 28

1 you know, the Secretary of State is not a statewide
2 election superintendent. Georgia law has long held that elections are -- are conducted at the county level and if
3
4 a contest is going to be filed, then it should be filed against the counties where those irregularities occurred.
5
6 And so again, we would ask you to dismiss the election contest on -- on that basis.
7
8 THE COURT: Okay. This goes to count 1 as I
9 understand it, and 2125 -- this is Section 2 of 21, which
10 is the election contest, okay, it -- it states that the
11 election superintendent was superintendent to conduct a contested -- or election, okay. What it says, in 2- --
12
13 again, this is within the same code, 212235 defines who those are.
14
15 It defines who the superintendents are, and it does not include Secretary of State. That's the law, and
16
17 definitions applicable to Section 2, okay. Now there may
18 be in the recall, which is Section 4, that that may be different, but it's under a different code section. It's
19
20 under a different section of the code. So as far as the election contest goes, count 1, I find the Secretary of
21
22 State is not a proper party, okay. And so, I'll grant your motion. -- I'll put my, you know, -- as to count 1,
23
24 okay.
25 MR. RUSSO: Yes, ma'am. Thank you,

Page 29

1 THE COURT: Okay. What's the next question?
2 MR. RUSSO: I think some of my other motions are mooted at this point, so do you want to --
3
4 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, it would be best at this point for Gwinnett County to make its proper party
5
6 argument or would you rather hear from the Secretary of State on the constitutional claims, counts 2 and 3?
7
8 THE COURT: Well, you've got a motion to dismiss on
9 -- what, just on the general --
10 MR. RUSSO: Well, we have one every --
11 THE COURT: You just got a routine motion to dismiss on the four corners of the petition.
12
13 MR. RUSSO: That's right. We just had -- had other reasons why it should be dismissed.
14
15 THE COURT: I guess, Mr. Brown -- I guess I'd hear from Gwinnett first as to whether they should be a party
16
17 and then Fulton whether they should be a party. And then
18 we'll go into the -- if that's all right with you.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
19
20 THE COURT: Okay. Okay --
MR. TYSON: Thank you, Your Honor. Good morning.
21
22 Bryan Tyson for Gwinnett County Board of Registrations and Elections.
23
24 For our motion to dismiss, Your Honor, I think if we
25 determined now, if we've determined that the Secretary of

Page 30

1 State is not a proper party to an election contest, I
2 think the logical questions is, well, who then is a proper party?
3
4 For -- for us as Gwinnett County, there is no question that the Gwinnett Board is an election
5
6 superintendent who conducted the contested election. So, there's no issue there. The challenge in this scenario is
7
8 that the plaintiffs have not sued all the parties that are
9 required to afford them full relief and Mr. Russo
10 referenced the Mead case where the case was filed against
11 the county's where the problem was alleged in a statewide election contest.
12
13 And if you look at and kind of do a comparison between 520 and 522, I think it helps explain a little bit
14
15 about how there's a connection between the grounds of the contest and who the defendant is in those cases. So,
16
17 under the -- under 520-2A and B, you have a candidate
18 who's the defendant -- the person who was nominated, the person whose eligibility is challenged.
19
20 That lines up to 522-2 where the allegation in the election contest is that the defendant, the candidate is
21
22 not eligible to hold the office. And so, in those cases where you're challenging eligibility, the other candidate
23
24 is obviously a logical defendant.
25 For 3, the election superintendent in 520 who

Page 31

1 conducted the contested primary, that's where you have the
2 allegations on the grounds related to misconduct, related to illegal votes being cast or legal votes being rejected
3
4 or errors in counting.
And in this case the plaintiffs have made a number of
5
6 allegations about the various problems across the state, but they've only sued now Fulton and Gwinnett counties, as
7
8 is evidenced by other motions they filed, they want to
9 preserve evidence in Murray and Gordon counties.
10 There are allegations regarding DeKalb, regarding
11 Worth County, regarding a variety of other Georgia counties that are not parties to this case. And the
12
13 challenge in this situation is they have the evidence that Your Honor needs to be able to figure out is this a valid
14
15 contest or not.
If this is not a scenario where you can just pick a
16
17 county and then make allegations regarding and number of
18 other counties when they're not parties to the case and can't get -- get in front of the court.
19
20 The -- in addition, there is a lack of allegations regarding Gwinnett County as to some of the specifics. A
21
22 lot of the specific allegations involve other counties, many of whom are not parties. The other counties are the
23
24 ones that have the evidence.
25 So, for our position as Gwinnett, we believe this is

Page 32

1 the basis for dismissal, because not only did plaintiffs
2 fail to name the proper parties to start with, but it's now too late for them to amend.
3
4 And while the election contest procedures clearly allow amendment of the petition, there's a difference when
5
6 you're amending to add additional parties. And the only the Supreme Court case en pointe here is Brody vs.
7
8 Champion. And in that case the -- the contestant had
9 filed the case against the director of elections for
10 DeKalb County, but had not sued the Board of Elections.
11 And the Supreme Court said, yes, you can modify, they were on notice within the statute; that's not a problem.
12
13 The Hanson case that the plaintiffs have cited involved a different procedure under a municipal election code that
14
15 involve a direct appeal or an independent lawsuit.
It's not really relevant here. What is relevant is
16
17 we are now beyond the five-day statute or well beyond
18 that. And the relation back under 9/11/15, there's nothing that the plaintiffs needed to know at the time
19
20 they filed their lawsuit where they couldn't have named the counties that are going to have evidence that they
21
22 claim they need.
In their petition they identify. These are the same
23
24 counties are the worst -- have the worst questions about
25 these percentages. They had anecdotes from counties that

Page 33

1 were not included as parties in the case. So now because
2 we're too late to be able to do an amendment and add -- and have that relate back to the original filling date,
3
4 the statute has run as to those counties.
It is our position that those are necessary parties
5
6 in order to give full relief in this case. And because those parties were not joined, that's a basis for a
7
8 dismissal of the entirety of the election contest, as to
9 those allegations that have been made by the plaintiffs.
10 THE COURT: Anybody else on the defendants' side that
11 want to support that position? And pardon me -- argument at the same time.
12
13 MS. BURWELL: Kaye Burwell on behalf of Fulton County. We join in that argument, Your Honor, and we
14
15 would add that when the Court looks at the complaint in Paragraph 38, it references that there were thousands of
16
17 problems they alleged with the DRE machines where clearly
18 Gwinnett and Fulton County aren't in a position to speak to those thousands of problems.
19
20 The only issues we could speak to would be any issues they alleged on our particular counties. And since this
21
22 is a statewide issue, they're alleging the only way they would be able to bring information to the Court and the
23
24 only way the Court would be able to have a full and fair
25 hearing is if all those other counties that they're

Page 34

1 complaining about, where they allege all these issues
2 occurred, were to be able to come in.
And as we noted on our motion to dismiss, Your Honor,
3
4 the Fulton County Board of Registrations and Elections
can't provide the petitioners with the relief they seek
5
6 because the DRE is constrained to follow state law. And
they have to use the DRE machines because that's what
7
8 state law requires.
9 And the only response the plaintiffs provided to
10 that, Your Honor, was that absentee ballots could be used,
11 but clearly the DRE doesn't have the ability to force
every Fulton County voter to use an absentee ballot. The
12
13 voters get to decide how they choose to vote.
THE COURT: You're off the -- you're off the --
14
15 you're off the field. So right now, so they join all the
counties, or shouldn't they?
16
17 MS. BURWELL: They should have joined all of the
18 counties, Your Honor.
THE COURT: That's the issue we're figuring with them
19
20 on this motion.
MS. BURWELL: Okay.
21
22 THE COURT: I'm trying -- I'm trying to do as clean a
record as I can.
23
24 MS. BURWELL: Okay.
25 THE COURT: Okay. So, you'll be heard on that.

Page 35

1 Everybody's going to get heard on everything, okay.
2 MS. BURWELL: Okay.
THE COURT: I don't want to make you -- I'm just
3
4 trying to make a nice, clean record of the -- of the case.
MS. BURWELL: Yes.
5
6 THE COURT: Okay. So, you -- you -- you agree that
they have joined -- they should have seen everybody.
7
8 MS. BURWELL: Yes.
9 THE COURT: Okay? Every county, okay. Anybody else?
10 No? Okay. Then you can, because you didn't find the
11 word --
MR. BROWN: (inaudible)
12
13 THE COURT: (inaudible)
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. The Fulton
14
15 County's argument points to sort of the overall issue of
how the plaintiffs are getting whip shot here. Fulton
16
17 says, we can't defend this because the Secretary of State
18 does everything.
THE COURT: No. Don't go there. The issue we're
19
20 dealing with, the only issue I'm trying to read right this
minute is, should you have joined 159 counties or not?
21
22 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor, and here's why.
THE COURT: Okay. That -- that's the issue.
23
24 MR. BROWN: We -- we have -- first, we have alleged
25 causes of action against Gwinnett and Fulton. Our

Page 36

1 allegations cover -- they are proper defendants as they --
2 I think, believe as they have conceded, that they've --
they conducted the election.
3
4 And we have alleged that the irregularities occurred
in their counties, and they occurred in every county. And
5
6 so, Fulton and Gwinnett are proper defendants, and so they
stay. The question then is, should the other -- should
7
8 157 other counties have been joined?
9 That comes down into two separate questions, okay.
10 First is, what might we need discovery from 157 other
11 counties and from a non-party Secretary of State. The
answer is, yes. We will need discovery from the Secretary
12
13 of State, and we'll need discovery from -- from other
counties, maybe.
14
15 We don't believe actually that substantial discovery
will be required from every county. And the reason for
16
17 that is that the Secretary of State, not as a party, Your
18 Honor, I'm not arguing that piece, but as the repository
of the information.
19
20 The Secretary programs the ballots, programs all the
machines, programs the poll books, tabulates the results,
21
22 announces the results, all of those things. And so, the -
- so the Secretary is here within the jurisdiction of this
23
24 court -- to court -- to respond to discovery.
25 In addition, Your Honor, has plenary power, plenary

Page 37

1 power in the statutes that we have cited, to grant the
2 relief that we're seeking. There's no requirement that we
file suit against every county. Instead, the counties
3
4 that we have sued are proper.
And whether we can prove that is up to the next
5
6 hearing, it's not up to today. But we believe we can
prove that through discovery. And that the 157 counties
7
8 are not necessary to be joined. If they are necessary to
9 join them, then we'll join them and that's a separate
10 issue. Thank you, Your Honor.
11 THE COURT: Anything further? Anything further?
MR. BROWN: I have nothing further, Your Honor.
12
13 THE COURT: We have to do these cases as fast as we
can do them, and I respect that, and I'm trying to do this
14
15 as fast as I can. But given the status of what is
actually before me, not all this 180 pages of evidence and
16
17 all this stuff I've been dumped on, and which -- anyway.
18 I won't go there. It's very hard for me to be able to
download some of that stuff.
19
20 But I've done them. I've taken them off. But in
that status, I'm going to deny your motion. Whether it
21
22 will become relevant at the end of the hearing, I don't
know. But at this point, both those motions as to adding
23
24 the parties and as to them being improper parties --
25 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

Page 38

1 THE COURT: Does Gwinnett have any other motions
2 applicable just to Gwinnett?
MR. RUSSO: No, Your Honor, I don't believe we do.
3
4 We obviously join the other motions regarding kind of the
-- claim.
5
6 THE COURT: (inaudible)
MR. RUSSO: There is a complaint, I think that's it,
7
8 so.
9 THE COURT: Okay. Fulton, you've got it. Anything
10 else that you wanted to say? Come around, if you will.
11 I'm sorry to address you by county, but I have a cheat
sheet here with everybody's name, and I think I've lost
12
13 it.
MS. BURWELL: The -- the point I was making on
14
15 whether or not Fulton County was a proper party was that
the Fulton County Board of Registrations and Elections
16
17 isn't capable of providing the petitioners with the relief
18 that they're seeking, which in essence is changing out DRE
machines.
19
20 They don't want Georgia citizens to use the DRE
voting system. Well, Fulton County, like every other
21
22 county in the state, is constrained by state law to comply
with state law, which requires us to use the DRE machines.
23
24 Now, the response that plaintiffs had to our motion
25 to dismiss was merely, number one, that the Board of

Page 39

1 Registrations and Elections could require citizens to vote
2 via absentee, and that's clearly not the case. Citizens
get to decide how they vote, not the Board of
3
4 Registrations and Elections.
The only other issue they raised was OCGA21-2-366 and
5
6 they raised that for the proposition of saying that
"Fulton County in fact could decide what kind of voting
7
8 system is in use" and that's just not the case. What the
9 Court looks at 21-2-366, it only provides that the
10 governing authority of a county, which is not the Board of
11 Registrations and Elections, it's the Board of
Commissioners -- can authorize the use of optical scanning
12
13 voting systems.
Well, the Board of Commissioners is not a party to
14
15 this action; the Board of Registrations and Elections is.
And so, the -- our position is that the county's position
16
17 with respect to whether or not it is a proper defendant is
18 unrefuted by the plaintiffs.
And then the only other issue we had raised, Your
19
20 Honor, was the lack of service. At the time we filed our
motion to dismiss, they had not served.
21
22 THE COURT: They had not served?
th
MS. BURWELL: Yes, they served us on December 26 .
23
24 THE COURT: All right.
25 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I believe Your Honor's

Page 40

1 already ruled that Fulton and Gwinnett are proper parties.
2 And I'm not sure I understand Fulton's argument that
they're incapable of -- of conducting the elections. I
3
4 think that's an issue that may come later in terms of what
sort of remedy that you offer, Your Honor.
5
6 Our position is that, number one, when you have the
trial, the -- we will be able to show that a new election
7
8 should be ordered; that's the first argument. The second
9 argument is, if you do order a new election, on what
10 machines or what is -- what type of election should that
11 be? Should that be machines, or should that be paper?
And that's what Fulton County is talking about is
12
13 really two or three issues; two steps away from where we
are today. Our position will be that Fulton County is
14
15 capable of -- of conducting a paper ballot election
without using these flawed machines.
16
17 And that that's authorized under the code provisions
18 that -- that we have cited. And that they don't need a
Secretary of State's approval to do that, but certainly
19
20 Your Honor could order them to do so, if you've felt that
was necessary, but again I think that's --
21
22 THE COURT: Asking further from Fulton County?
MS. BURWELL: No.
23
24 THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to deny that, again at
25 this point when you all stop talking, arguing the

Page 41

1 evidence, then the motion to dismiss this is doing a lot,
2 okay. Does that mean -- after we have a -- have a hearing
on the matter, I don't know. But I'm happy to try it.
3
4 But right at this point, I'm bound by what the rules
say. That's if a slight -- allegations are -- I have to
5
6 follow. Okay, so we haven't generalized the motion to
dismiss, did you need to be heard on that as well.
7
8 MR. RUSSO: No, not on that issue.
9 THE COURT: I didn't think so. This is generalized.
10 Everybody seems to have generalized motions dismissed on
11 the pleadings. Let me hear that.
MR. RUSSO: Would you like to hear from --
12
13 THE COURT: On count one.
MR. RUSSO: Sure. Would you still like to hear from
14
15 us or --
THE COURT: Whatever order you want to do it.
16
17 MR. BELINFANTE: I mean, I'm happy to make our
18 argument. I'm happy to make our arguments on it.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, Edward Lindsey once again,
19
20 attorney on behalf of Lieutenant Governor-elect Geoff
Duncan. As was previously stated, Mr. Duncan won his race
21
22 by over 123,000 votes. I'm going to go through some
numbers, Your Honor, and I'm going to ask you to simply
23
24 take judicial notice, which I believe you're entitled to.
25 As a matter of public records; in terms of public records.

Page 42

1 The case I'll cite for taking judicial notice would
2 be Johnson vs. Adams 323-Georgia-PO427 and that particular case that was taking judicial notice of a separate lawsuit
3
4 of a -- separate case in bankruptcy court, but nevertheless, the rule is that the courts in a motion to
5
6 dismiss, I believe, courts can take judicial notice of such matters.
7
8 And the only thing that I'm going to be talking about
9 are the official numbers from the Georgia Secretary of
10 State's office, certified numbers. Court, in such cases
11 such as ours needs to remember that the setting aside of an election in which the people have chosen their
12
13 representative, is a drastic remedy that should not be undertaken lightly, but instead should be reserved for
14
15 cases in which a person challenging an election has clearly established a violation of elections procedures.
16
17 And has demonstrated that the violations have placed
18 the result of the election in doubt; that's not our assertion, Your Honor. That's a big court decision. In
19
20 the case of Hunt vs. Crawford 270-Georgia-7, a 1998 decision in my brief we filed yesterday, Your Honor, we
21
22 sort of outlined that that's -- what's clearly required is that the plaintiffs --
23
24 And at this point, Your Honor, that the pleadings
25 must show that the plaintiffs are asserting that as result

Page 43

1 of the defects that they are alleging, that it places the
2 -- the outcome of the election in doubt. And the courts have established somewhat of a mathematical test.
3
4 And I'm glad to hear that you said you were a math major, so I'm going to simply talk about a little math
5
6 here. The -- the plaintiffs, you know, or the petitioners have alleged several things. On number one they say, that
7
8 "as a result of these nefarious defects, the -- the total
9 number of votes cast in the lieutenant governor's race was
10 dramatically below those of other down-ballot statewide
11 races."
Well, if you look at our particular case, like I
12
13 said, 123,000 votes, 123,172 votes; that's the certified result number. If you look at the -- the -- the highest
14
15 number of votes that were cast in any of the down-ballot races -- that would be the Secretary of State's race. And
16
17 in that race, there were 3,883,594 votes total cast.
18 In the lieutenant governor's race, that's 3,780,304. So even if we were to accept -- and the Court is required
19
20 to do here -- the petitioner's allegations that there was something nefarious or -- or negligent in terms of the
21
22 counts, votes that were counted.
The difference between what took place in the
23
24 lieutenant governor's race total votes and the Secretary
25 of State's total votes is only 103,290 votes. That's

Page 44

1 19,882 votes short of the margin of victory that Mr.
2 Duncan enjoyed.
The next thing that the -- that the petitioner
3
4 asserts in its allegation is that there was a drop-in vote between those -- in -- in terms of the drop-offs, which
5
6 are the number of people who voted in one race but didn't vote in the another. They assert that there's a dramatic
7
8 difference between the drop-off between the governor and
9 the lieutenant governor's race, and between the paper
10 ballots versus the electronic voting.
11 And they assert essentially that in the paper ballots there was a drop-off of only 98.9%, but in the other case
12
13 there was a dramatic drop-off below that number. If you were to accept their assertion that there should have been
14
15 the same drop-off between the governor's race and the lieutenant governor's race, the numbers still come up
16
17 short as a matter of math.
18 In this particular case, Your Honor, if we were to accept the petitioner's allegations that there's -- that
19
20 the drop-off between the governor's race and the lieutenant governor's race should have been the same
21
22 between the write-in, between the paper ballots and electronic ballots that would have raised the number of
23
24 votes cast in the lieutenant governor's race to 3,895,955.
25 That's still, Your Honor, would have only increased

Page 45

1 the number of votes cast to be 115,691 votes, which is
2 still below 123,000-plus votes cast. The third allegation that the petitioner asserts in their petition is that
3
4 there was in terms of the drop-off between -- in the electronic voting between those who voted in the
5
6 governor's race and the lieutenant governor's race had a particularly negative impact on the democratic candidate
7
8 Ms. Miko.
9 If you were to take that as truth and simply allow
10 for the same percentage of votes for Ms. Miko that Mr.
11 Duncan enjoyed in terms of -- of the -- the percentage of votes that they received versus the gubernatorial race,
12
13 you still end up 53,902 votes short of what the -- of the number that is needed that would have closed the gap at
14
15 53,902, so in other words you're still short of 123,000 votes.
16
17 And -- and that's the key -- and that's the key. In
18 all cases, in the Supreme Court cases, is you've got to have a show that the number of votes that were either
19
20 miscounted or not counted properly would have wiped away the margin of victory that the winner enjoyed.
21
22 And we've cited several cases as have everyone else that demonstrates that's the rule of thumb. By the -- in
23
24 terms of petitioner's allegations -- each of their
25 allegations, Your Honor, I want you to take judicial

Page 46

1 notice of certified results from the Secretary of State.
2 They fall short of that golden number, by any number
between 8,000 to 80,000 votes short, depending on the --
3
4 their various allegations.
I would point out, Your Honor, that the petitioners'
5
6 themselves in their exhibit to their petition included an
exhibit from a letter from Ms. Amico who said in the
7
8 letter, "the number of residual votes in the lieutenant
9 governor makes it unlikely to affect the outcome of my
10 race." She's right, Your Honor, because she also
11 understands the math.
So, Your Honor, we would simply ask for a motion to
12
13 dismiss based on the petitioner's petition when you take
judicial notice of the public record regarding the
14
15 certified results from the election from all the races.
Thank you, Your Honor. Does the Court have any
16
17 questions?
18 THE COURT: No. Anybody else --
MR. TYSON: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you, Your Honor.
19
20 Again, Bryan Tyson for Gwinnett County.
I think the thing to keep in mind is that when we're
21
22 in this posture after a certified result from an election,
the election is presumed valid. And if you were in a
23
24 scenario where, let's say you have 100-vote margin.
25 And you allege, you put in your petition that 99

Page 47

1 either illegal votes were cast or legal votes were
2 rejected, that petition would be properly subject for
dismissal for failure -- failure to state a claim, because
3
4 you have to allege a sufficient number of votes that would
have placed the result in doubt or would have been
5
6 sufficient to change the result of the election.
And in this case, the plaintiffs have not made any
7
8 such allegation in their petition. In addition, the --
9 there is conflicting causes of action referenced in the
10 motions to dismiss. In the petition the plaintiffs that
11 they say that they're proceeding under 521-1 and 3.
In their response to the motion to dismiss, they're
12
13 relying on 1, 3, 4, and 5, but I think the most important
thing for the Court to remember in terms of looking at the
14
15 petition itself, is what it stated, is that the
irregularities that the plaintiffs have alleged only
16
17 involve a 100 or so votes here and there across the state.
18 They also involve claims of machine malfunction in
DeKalb County in paragraph 42 of the complaint. Of
19
20 course, the plaintiffs have dismissed DeKalb County from
this lawsuit. And the -- the collection of information
21
22 that's in the petition is a bunch of kind of one-off
programming errors.
23
24 Most of them are unverified and anonymous, and
25 significantly they don't get anywhere close to the

Page 48

1 123,000-vote threshold that they would -- that the
2 plaintiffs had to plead in order to state a claim here
because of the way the Supreme Court precedent works.
3
4 I'm in Ellis vs. Johnson 2623-Georgia-514, the
Supreme Court is very clear that speculation alone is not
5
6 enough. There has to be -- has to be a specificity in
terms of the mathematical certainty of what happened. And
7
8 we see that over in Mead, in Howell, and in just a number
9 of Supreme Court cases, that it is proper for the court to
10 look at motion to dismiss, first of all, because those
11 cases were decided based on motions to dismiss.
But second that it's proper to look at the
12
13 allegations and see have the plaintiffs alleged this
123,000-vote margin that would be sufficient to place the
14
15 result in doubt. And we would submit that they have not
done that in the four corners of their petition. On that
16
17 basis it should be dismissed for failure to state a claim.
18 THE COURT: Does Fulton want to be heard on that?
MS. BURWELL: We join in, all the others.
19
20 THE COURT: You just joining them now?
MS. BURWELL: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor.
21
22 THE COURT: (inaudible)
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. The -- the
23
24 defendants have pointed to one of the most egregious
25 pieces of evidence showing the total system failure of --

Page 49

1 THE COURT: But -- but -- but that's not -- this is
2 -- that's a wonderful opening statement. This is not that
day, okay?
3
4 MR. BROWN: Okay.
THE COURT: Just respond, if you will, to what --
5
6 MR. BROWN: Sure. The --
THE COURT: I don't do well with --
7
8 MR. BROWN: Okay. The --
9 THE COURT: -- inflammatory -- just stay within what
10 we're -- what --
11 MR. BROWN: Sure. The undervote is an illustration
of the system problems that we allege in the complaint.
12
13 Allegations that, as Your Honor has already mentioned,
must be accepted as true.
14
15 THE COURT: All right.
MR. BROWN: The -- the numbers that Mr. Lindsey was
16
17 giving, Your Honor, are not our entire case. Instead what
18 we have alleged is that the system malfunctioned as
reflected by the undervote, which is unexplained in -- in
19
20 ways that happened statewide.
The defendants interpret -- we have a different
21
22 interpretation of the legal requirements here. First in
terms of what's subjectively required, and then second,
23
24 the procedural policy.
25 First, Your Honor, in the Supreme Court in the cases

Page 50

1 in which the nature of the voting problem is not
2 quantifiable, the plaintiff is not required to count up
the votes and show the difference. For example, in the
3
4 Stiles case, 252-Georgia-260, the Supreme Court found that
there were irregularities and misconduct and ordered a new
5
6 election despite no proof of the numbers involved.
Similarly, in the Hunt case, although the contestants
7
8 lost the Hunt case, this is 270-Georgia-7 -- one of the
9 reasons why the plaintiffs lost is that the Court found
10 quote "moreover, there was no evidence introduced at trial
11 from which it can be inferred that the misconduct was more
widespread than the record indicates."
12
13 The Supreme Court is -- is signaling that if there is
evidence introduced at trial from which it can be inferred
14
15 that there was a widespread problem, that the case may be
made.
16
17 And so the -- the cases that they cite we have no
18 quarrel with, Your Honor, but those are cases in which the
impact of a mistake is easily quantifiable because you
19
20 have the illegal ballots sitting there physically in front
of the Court, and you're able to count them up and to
21
22 determine whether or not it's enough to make a difference.
And certainly, if you have seven illegal ballots in
23
24 front of you and the margin and difference is eight, then
25 you know that that's insufficient. But in those cases

Page 51

1 where the mistake is not quantifiable, then that is not
2 the approach that the Court has taken, and that, again, is
the Stiles case.
3
4 And -- but this leads, Your Honor, back to the
procedural posture of the case. In all of these cases --
5
6 and I will just read these to you. What the -- what the
courts are saying about the evidence is after a trial, for
7
8 example -- these are not examples. This is what I found
9 in -- in review of all of the cases they have cited.
10 In Banker vs. Kohl, 378-Georgia-532, what the Court
11 said, quote "Evidence presented at the trial court," and
then went on to explain. In Dawkins-Haigler 30177, the
12
13 Supreme Court says, "after a lengthy hearing the trial
court concluded..."
14
15 And then in Fuller 284-Georgia-397, the Court
explained that the case proceeded to trial. In Hunt vs.
16
17 Crawford, 270-Georgia-7, the Supreme Court said, at trial,
18 "Hunt admitted making telephone calls," and then went into
the evidence of misconduct.
19
20 In Mead vs. Sheffield, the case that's discussed by
both parties, the Court notes, "it was established at the
21
22 evidentiary hearing," and then went in to show how it was
established, that in fact the case had been made for a new
23
24 trial.
25 Scoggins, again, 288-Georgia-26, the Supreme Court

Page 52

1 said, "after hearing on the merits," and then explained
2 how in fact the plaintiff prevailed after hearing on the
merits. Your Honor, there is one case in which the trial
3
4 court did grant a motion to dismiss for failure to state a
claim, and only one.
5
6 And that is the trial court in the Taggart case, 242-
Georgia-454. The trial court, without an evidentiary
7
8 hearing, dismissed the petition for failure to state a
9 claim on similar grounds the defendants urge in this case.
10 So, the trial court decision in that case would be the
11 sole precedent that I can find to support the defendant's
position in that case.
12
13 However, on appeal that holding was reversed by the
Supreme Court. And the Supreme Court held, quote "A
14
15 petition should not be dismissed for failure to state a
claim unless it discloses with certainty that the
16
17 plaintiff would not be entitled to relieve under any set
18 of facts."
Interestingly, the Taggart case also involved the
19
20 type of situation -- it involved actually two different
kinds of challenges, two different problems in the
21
22 election. One problem had to do with quantifiable ballot
issues where you could count them up and you could
23
24 determine whether or not it was enough to make a
25 difference.

Page 53

1 But the other part was the -- the contestant alleged
2 that the machines were -- were operating improperly. More
like our -- more analogous to our case here. And in
3
4 Taggart, the Supreme Court held, quote "Similarly, doubt
may be cast on an election by showing improper maintenance
5
6 of the voting machines resulting in votes being miscast."
And then in their ruling reversing the trial court
7
8 for dismissing the case, the Court notes, "All this is a
9 matter of proof." Now in the Taggart case it came back on
10 appeal and the plaintiff failed to prove it and lost. But
11 it is a matter of proof.
If the plaintiff can prove that improper maintenance
12
13 of the voting machines resulted in votes being miscast,
which is what we allege, Your Honor, then we're entitled
14
15 to victory and entitled to relief, and if we're not, if we
can't prove it, then we're not entitled to relief. And
16
17 that's -- that's clear from the cases.
18 Now in terms of -- of -- I want to return to the
allegations that we make and the -- the breadth of it.
19
20 First, we allege that the state's system is fundamentally
flawed from the start and that we note that the persuasive
21
22 opinion by Judge Totenberg in which she describes the
system as being --
23
24 THE COURT: I'm not bound by it to her at all.
25 MR. BROWN: You're not bound by her ruling at all.

Page 54

1 THE COURT: You can try to hand it to me, but I'm not
2 bound by it.
MR. BROWN: No. It's -- we think it's persuasive
3
4 but, of course, you're not bound by it. But she did find
that the -- that the same system is profoundly vulnerable.
5
6 THE COURT: Okay. I -- I don't care what you've
found. It's got nothing to do with the burden of proof.
7
8 The burden of proof will be on you to get that far.
9 MR. BROWN: Right.
10 THE COURT: The burden of proof is on you.
11 MR. BROWN: Right.
THE COURT: But you can't prove it by -- the judge.
12
13 MR. BROWN: That's -- yes, Your Honor. But it will
be proven with the evidence that persuaded Judge
14
15 Totenberg, we would -- we would suggest. And if -- Your
Honor, it could very well be that at trial we're not
16
17 persuasive.
18 But we do have the opportunity to prove that and as
of -- one of the things that -- what motions to dismiss
19
20 law is, Your Honor, is that -- what the law says is that
there's no reasonable possibility that the plaintiffs can
21
22 prove their case, then they lose.
And what we're suggesting is, having already proven
23
24 some of these things, that it's -- it's important to
25 consider that and projecting what we'll be able to win in

Page 55

1 this case.
2 THE COURT: Yeah, but I don't -- in this courtroom --
MR. BROWN: That is correct, Your Honor, but --
3
4 THE COURT: -- has proven that.
MR. BROWN: That's correct. But in considering the
5
6 motion to dismiss one of the issues is, will they be able
to prove it, and we believe we will.
7
8 Now, what we have alleged, Your Honor, which must be
9 accepted as true, regardless of Judge Totenberg's
10 decision, it must be accepted as true that these systems
11 are fundamentally unreliable, period. That has to be
accepted as a fact for right now.
12
13 Number two, we have given illustrations and alleged
of particular instances in which these machines have,
14
15 throughout the state, malfunctioned.
Three, we have shown the results of these
16
17 malfunctions in an unexplainable pattern of voting in
18 which we will present expert testimony saying that this
cannot be explained except in terms of machine
19
20 malfunction, a statewide systemic machine malfunction.
And it is not just simply the under-vote that Mr. Lindsey
21
22 tries to calculate. It's that the entire voting system
was flawed. And so, the votes for Mr. Duncan, the votes
23
24 for Ms. Amico, that entire election was flawed. And
25 that's what we allege, and we're entitled to be able to

Page 56

1 prove it. And so, under those facts, we believe that
2 we're entitled. We have -- there -- we have alleged
evidence, Your Honor, of the -- this particular race not
3
4 being on the electronic screen, of the screen flipping
between one and the other.
5
6 THE COURT: Is that your petition?
MR. BROWN: It is. And instance after instance of
7
8 this that we have alleged, and we're entitled to prove
9 that, and we believe we will. And so, we have the -- we
10 have alleged a fundamental unreliability of these
11 machines. We have alleged specific incidences that can
only be explained by a malfunctioning system that was
12
13 statewide that can -- and from that, to borrow the
language from the Supreme Court in the Taggart case, from
14
15 which we believe, Your Honor may infer, you don't have to
but we believe we will be persuasive that you can infer a
16
17 much broader problem.
18 And we also believe that as one illustration of the
problem is the under-vote, the totally unexplained
19
20 difference between the electronic vote totals and the
paper vote totals.
21
22 The defendant's offer -- this is not an evidentiary
hearing, but they have not offered any plausible
23
24 explanation for why simply by the mode of electing, there
25 would ever be such a dramatic difference in the drop-off

Page 57

1 rate.
2 And the -- what that shows are not that that
particular margin is wrong, but that the entire race is
3
4 wrong. It's like -- there's that old phrase is the clock
that struck 13, Your Honor. And when a clock strikes 13,
5
6 not only do you know it's not 13 o'clock, but you doubt
every single chime that has come before it because the
7
8 clock isn't working.
9 And the under-vote is the clock that struck 13. And
10 we would -- we would say that for all those reasons we
11 state a claim for relief, and that in -- in no case held
has a motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim in
12
13 election case been sustained and it certainly should not
be the case in this case. Thank you, Your Honor.
14
15 MR. LINDSEY: If I may, Your Honor, please.
THE COURT: (inaudible)
16
17 MR. LINDSEY: The issue in this case is not an
18 allegation of misconduct by anyone advocating for or
against a particular candidate. The allegation in this
19
20 case is that votes were not -- people were not able to
cast votes, and votes were not properly counted. The case
21
22 in which Mr. Brown relies upon and cited to you repeatedly
with the Stiles vs. Earnest -- Earnest who was the
23
24 election superintendent, 252-Georgia-260.
25 That particular case, Your Honor, had nothing to do

Page 58

1 with whether or not votes were properly counted, or the
2 machines were operating properly. Instead, it had to do
with allegations of misconduct by advocates for a
3
4 referendum. Totally different situation.
In our situation, Your Honor, in which we are dealing
5
6 with whether or not votes were properly counted. The
cases that we have cited to you and I'll cite to you once
7
8 again, the Fuller vs. Thomas case, a Georgia Supreme Court
9 case, 284-Georgia-397, in which the Court held, when the
10 focus is on improperly cast ballots or irregularities in
11 the conduct of the election, the number of legal or
irregular ballots necessary to cast doubt on an election
12
13 is derived by taking the difference between the total
votes cast in the election and erase that issue and adding
14
15 the margin of victory in the race issue.
In other words, you've got to show -- to begin with,
16
17 you have to allege that any irregularities in terms of the
18 machines or anyone counting the votes would have an impact
on the margin of victory in which case -- in this case,
19
20 like I stated earlier, with over 123,000 votes.
The fact of the matter is within the four corners of
21
22 the plaintiff's petition, nowhere, nowhere do they allege
that these irregularities closed -- were -- resulted in
23
24 the number of votes that were improperly cast or not
25 properly counted were greater than 123,000 votes.

Page 59

1 That's kind of the fundamental first step that they
2 must allege in order to have a case move forward. When
you then add to that the public records that I've asked
3
4 you to take judicial notice of, that Mr. Brown has not
disputed and I walked through so tediously, it
5
6 demonstrates that even in the worst-case scenario that
were alleged in the Petition, he falls -- the votes fall
7
8 short of the margin of victory.
9 And for that reason, in this particular case we ask
10 for you to grant our motion to dismiss. Thank you.
11 THE COURT: (inaudible)
MR. RUSSO: Very brief, Your Honor. Just cover three
12
13 quick points quickly, Your Honor. First of all, the
allegations here regarding the -- the only -- I should
14
15 say, the only type of election contest where you're not
required to put forward specific evidence or pleading
16
17 regarding a number is in 524(c) when the error of counting
18 the votes is alleged as a ground of contest.
There's a specific provision that says you're not
19
20 required to show evidence to substantiate the allegation.
You -- you're not required in that one type of contest.
21
22 That is not this type of contest.
Mr. Brown has, secondly, has alluded to the idea that
23
24 the lieutenant governor's race did not appear on some
25 ballots. If you look at both Mead and Howell, you'll see

Page 60

1 cases where you had to plead and show the number of
2 ballots where the name of the candidate did not appear.
That's one of the allegations that the plaintiffs
3
4 have not pled that it did not appear on at least 123,000
voting machines along the way. Mr. Brown also continues
5
6 to insist that there's no other valid explanation when any
number of ballot explanations may exist.
7
8 And as we discussed before, the -- Mr. Brown and the
9 plaintiffs may not rely on mere speculation. They have to
10 plead with specificity in their petition that the outcome
11 would have changed or that the irregularities were
sufficient to place the result in doubt. Thank you.
12
13 THE COURT: This is so close. You know, it was
presumed, I'm waiting for -- is that the election returned
14
15 the bath. Okay? Now, the part of your job is above the -
- Your job, Counsel, -- of placing doubt on the results of
16
17 the election.
18 And that's done usually by numbers, through math.
And the math is against you. You have chosen not to
19
20 allege any math in your petition, okay. You have alleged
-- I had it somewhere in front of me, but anyway, you have
21
22 alleged that the result would be different or is in
substantial doubt. But I haven't found it.
23
24 MR. BELINFANTE: Yes, Your Honor.
25 THE COURT: I forgot the exact language. I had it,

Page 61

1 but I've lost it. All right, yeah. The -- the -- to
2 place in doubt the result of the entire election, okay.
The numbers aren't weighty, okay. But you've got some
3
4 generalized arguments about the systemic failure of the
whole system that might -- that might cast -- which makes
5
6 the math an issue.
It's a huge burden for you to carry, but the
7
8 petition, it's sufficient to have a try. I -- I hate to
9 do that, but it's -- it's -- if we have to take the four
10 corners of the petition, it really doesn't matter if it's
11 badly pled. I'm not saying it's badly pled. You didn't
plead numbers.
12
13 But the cover clearly says, "under F of -- of 524,
that the form -- form of process is not material. It's --
14
15 it's -- the election contests are interesting. There was
kind of different than we've seen on things. It's a very
16
17 close question, sir.
18 It is a very close question, and -- and -- and you
need to be aware I don't do well with inflammatory stuff.
19
20 I don't get inflamed by attention -- and -- and what other
judges do is not -- okay. So, understand you've got two
21
22 rules.
I am going to deny the motion to dismiss. I -- I --
23
24 it's extremely close, but on the kind of pleadings, I'm
25 looking for originals in that cover section about, you

Page 62

1 know, don't worry about form and so on and so forth. I'm
2 going to let it go forward, but the plain numbers are
against you. So, you -- you've got some -- an uphill
3
4 battle.
MR. BELINFANTE: Okay then. Thank you, Your Honor.
5
6 THE COURT: Can you take a moment, drop a line -- and
-- and --
7
8 MR. BELINFANTE: Yes, Your Honor.
9 THE COURT: You all know where I am because you've
10 been emailing me, so send it to me, okay. I can't
11 download a pack. Don't ask me why. I am supposed to be
retired next year.
12
13 MR. RUSSO: Your Honor, would you like us to submit a
joint motion?
14
15 THE COURT: What?
MR. RUSSO: Would you like us to submit one motion --
16
17 I mean, one order, one proposed order?
18 THE COURT: You could even do one proposed order, or
if you can agree on it or you can do them separately about
19
20 that.
MR. BELINFANTE: I think we'll probably --
21
22 THE COURT: I'm fixing to go into two full weeks of
work, so you'll be able to get -- okay. What else do we
23
24 have from the defendant?
25 MR. BELINFANTE: Your Honor, we have -- we have two

Page 63

1 motions that are --
2 THE COURT: Well, no, I know what -- what you have.
MR. BELINFANTE: Oh, I'm sorry.
3
4 THE COURT: I don't think I read all this stuff,
okay? Okay?
5
6 MR. RUSSO: Your Honor, for the Secretary of State,
this is joined by the other defendants, I believe.
7
8 THE COURT: Is this on the two constitution --
9 MR. BELINFANTE: On the two constitutional --
10 THE COURT: We have two constitutional claims and
11 then we'll do your motions. And I've got those too; don't
worry about it. All right. Go ahead.
12
13 MR. BELINFANTE: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. I'm
going to address just the two counts. The one for due
14
15 process and the right being identified there is the right
to vote. The second is the Equal Protection Clause.
16
17 Now there's -- we'll rest largely on the briefs in
18 terms of sovereign immunity, but the point to take from it
is that the Equal Protection Clause and the due process
19
20 clause are completely contingent upon what Your Honor
rules on the underlying election challenge, count one.
21
22 Their briefs make that plain. The relief they seek,
it's in paragraph 71 and 75, is the right to vote in a new
23
24 election for lieutenant governor, not any other future
25 one. And in 72 and 76, it says that it would be remedied

Page 64

1 by holding a new election.
2 So, if the Court at the conclusion of the evidence,
or at least at the conclusion of the plaintiff's case,
3
4 decides that there is no basis to go forward, the
constitutional claims equally fail. They -- they rise and
5
6 fall together.
So, the question before you on the motion to dismiss
7
8 is have they alleged sufficient facts to state a claim for
9 due process and for equal protection, and Your Honor, the
10 answer is simply no, based on the Favorito decision from
11 the Supreme Court of Georgia in 2009. I have a copy of
it, if the Court would like, and provide one to opposing
12
13 counsel as well.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, sir.
14
15 MR. BELINFANTE: And I'll be referring to Favorito
throughout the argument. The question on the due process
16
17 claim is what is the standard of review. Is it strict
18 scrutiny, is it rational basis?
And Favorito answers that question in the latter. It
19
20 is a rational basis test. It says on page 796, quoting a
decision from the 11th Circuit, Wexler vs. Anderson, that
21
22 when a state election law provision imposes only
reasonable non-discriminatory restrictions upon the 1st
23
24 and 14th Amendment rights of voters, the state's important
25 regulatory interests are generally sufficient.

Page 65

1 Using the word "reasonable" the Supreme Court noted
2 and applied a rational basis test. And, in fact, it goes
on to say that there is a rational reason to use DRE
3
4 machines on the same page.
It says, "it is the job of democratically-elected
5
6 representatives to weigh the pros and cons of the various
balloting systems. So long as their choice is reasonable
7
8 and neutral, it is free from judicial second guessing,"
9 once again confirming that we're in a rational basis test
10 review.
11 And now using -- applying that standard, looking at
the due process claim, which is paragraph 62 to 72, count
12
13 two, in the complaint. The defendants do not disagree
that the right to vote is fundamental. The disagreement
14
15 is that they have not alleged a burden for which there is
not a rational basis to use the DRE machines.
16
17 And to look -- to see that you need only look at
18 paragraph 69 of the complaint. There the plaintiffs or
the petitioners allege that using defective DRE machines
19
20 in a flawed and inaccurate voter registration and
electronic poll book system, defendants have knowingly and
21
22 severely burdened and infringed upon the fundamental right
to vote.
23
24 Now you compare that to what the Supreme Court
25 described as the cause of action in Favorito. This is at

Page 66

1 page 795 and 796 of that case in the Georgia reports.
2 There the Supreme Court described the petitioner's claims as -- in three counts of their complaint, appellants
3
4 allege that this state's use of the DRE equipment denies them equal protection under the federal and state
5
6 constitutions, and the fundamental right to vote under the due process clause of the 14th Amendment.
7
8 Their claims are virtually identical. And so, then
9 applying the rational basis test that Favorito did, the
10 law is clear. There is a rational basis to use the DRE
11 machines. And in the case, it's important that the Court citing the Weber vs. Shelley decision from the 9th Circuit
12
13 in 2003, acknowledged all the risks that are contained in the petitioners' claims now.
14
15 The court said in Favorito, again citing Weber, page 797, "no balloting system is perfect." Traditional paper
16
17 ballots, as became evident during the 2000 presidential
18 election, are prone to over-votes, under-votes, hanging chads, and other mechanical and human errors that may
19
20 thwart voter intent.
Meanwhile, touchscreen voting systems remedy a number
21
22 of these problems, albeit at the hypothetical price of vulnerability to certain types of fraud. And it's the job
23
24 the Court continued to say of the legislature to decide
25 which of those two risks it is going to use.

Page 67

1 In fact, the Court says expressly, it's the job of
2 democratically-elected representatives to weigh the pros and cons. And so long as their choice is reasonable and
3
4 neutral, it's free from second guessing.
And then it goes on to really put the nail in the
5
6 coffin here, "in this instance Georgia made a reasonable politically neutral and nondiscriminatory choice to
7
8 certify touchscreen systems as an alternative to paper
9 ballots." Nothing in the Constitution prohibits this
10 choice.
11 That forecloses the due-process argument, because despite however it can be dressed up, it is an attack on
12
13 the DRE machines themselves. The DRE machines were attacked in 2006, and by the time they got to the Supreme
14
15 Court in 2009, the Court said it is insufficient.
The legislature is entitled to choose the DRE
16
17 machines understanding and knowing all of the risks that
18 they claim, it is still insufficient to state a claim under due process. If you then look to equal protection,
19
20 Favorito blocks the claims again.
Once again, the allegations are identical from what
21
22 the plaintiffs allege or the petitioners here and what they alleged in Favorito. Paragraph 74 of this complaint
23
24 says, "defendants knowingly treated electors who voted by
25 DRE differently than others similarly situated electors

Page 68

1 voting in the same election in a jurisdiction who voted by
2 absentee ballot."
In other words, if you vote absentee you can do it
3
4 with a piece of paper. If you vote in person you use a DRE machine and that is the distinction that they claim
5
6 sets up the Equal Protection Clause. They make that clear on pages 43 and 44 of their response to the motion to
7
8 dismiss.
9 A state election regulation, which they go on to
10 cite, which discriminates on its face between two classes
11 of people, namely voters who vote in person and voters who vote by absentee mail.
12
13 Now you then look at the Favorito and the court's description of the argument there and it was the
14
15 appellants argued that electronic voters are treated differently from voters who cast absentee ballots on paper
16
17 and the procedure for an accuracy of any recount would
18 differ. The claims are simply identical.
And so, the holding of Favorito is absolutely
19
20 dispositive. And this is at page 798. What the court effectively says is, a voter can choose. You can vote by
21
22 absentee. We have absentee for any reason in this state, and if you have a concern that the DRE machine is flawed,
23
24 then by all means, vote absentee.
25 It is not a distinction that the state mandates,

Page 69

1 which is what is required under equal protection claim.
2 The Court specifically says in Favorito, that "as the trial court found, Georgia voters have an option."
3
4 And then it continues, if the trial court correctly concluded that quote "since every Georgia citizen could
5
6 vote either by absentee ballot or by utilizing touchscreen voting systems," appellants contention that there is some
7
8 state-based classification between voters is false.
9 And it continues then to say, "we cannot see how
10 Georgia has violated the Equal Protection Clause of the
11 14th Amendment by making these two available pacts. The allegations are the same. The case is directly en pointe
12
13 and Equal Protection and Due-Process Clause should be dismissed. Thank you.
14
15 THE COURT: Anybody else for the defendant on that issue?
16
17 MR. TYSON: We'll just join the Secretary of State's
18 argument on that point.
THE COURT: Okay.
19
20 MS. BURWELL: We join in their argument as well, Your Honor. Thank you.
21
22 MR. TYSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
MR. BROWN: In their motion to dismiss the federal
23
24 constitutional claims, first Mr. Belinfante's description
25 of how this claim will interact with the other's claims is

Page 70

1 substantially correct, and that is, what we are -- what
2 the plaintiffs are alleging is that with any new election
going forward prospectively should be conducted on -- not
3
4 on these machines, because doing so would be a violation
of due process and equal protection.
5
6 So that's the -- sort of the procedural posture of
how this claim is seeking prospective relief. Second, the
7
8 defendants rely exclusively on the Favorito and Wexler
9 decisions. The Favorito and Wexler decisions are
10 fundamentally distinguishable because Favorito dealt with
11 a 2006-era DRE system.
What's -- what has happened and what we allege in our
12
13 complaint all are real events in real life that have
changed the system, that make it fundamentally different
14
15 than the system that was being reviewed by the courts in
the -- the -- the -- the Favorito case.
16
17 And as we allege, Your Honor, since the Favorito
18 case, every single government agency that is responsible
for national security has told the State of Georgia that
19
20 the current DRE system is fundamentally insecure.
The House Select Committee, the Senate Select
21
22 Committee, the Department of Homeland Protection. In
addition, every known computer scientist on record has
23
24 said exactly the same thing and has said to Georgia, these
25 are unreliable.

Page 71

1 They can't be trusted to count votes correctly. That
2 comes from every single source and there is no evidence
contrary, Your Honor. And this comes from slews of
3
4 scientists, and it culminated in a report by the National
Academy of Sciences that came out just this September, and
5
6 this is called a Consensus Report, which means that it's a
unanimous of the scientists at the National Academy of
7
8 Sciences have collected to consider this exact issue.
9 And that is, are these -- is the system that Georgia
10 is now using secure enough to be relied upon from -- from
11 attack domestic and foreign. And the National Academy of
Sciences in September said, don't use these machines
12
13 anymore. Don't even use them for the November election,
which Georgia did anyway.
14
15 And so, the -- the environment is different, Your
Honor. The national security concerns are different. In
16
17 2006, the -- the internet system was not getting bombarded
18 daily by nation states. The -- the system hasn't -- the
-- the -- the malware environment is totally different.
19
20 We do not allege that the system right out of the box
is flawed, although it's flawed in that it doesn't have a
21
22 paper backup, but that's not the nature of it. The nature
of it is is that the way Georgia has not maintained the
23
24 system, coupled with the external threat, make it a system
25 -- and if you use it, you're violating the constitutional

Page 72

1 rights of voters because it is fundamentally unreliable.
2 And the right to vote is nothing if their votes are
not counted correctly. And in this system, you never know
3
4 if your votes are being collected, counted correctly or
not. We will never know, because once a voter makes that
5
6 transient decision as to who to vote for, that record is
lost forever.
7
8 We will never know, and this is why the courts who
9 have recently looked at it have concluded that using those
10 machines is substantially likely to violate due process
11 rights and equal production rights of Georgia voters.
And there's no case to the contrary, Your Honor, is
12
13 that the cases now hold our way on those issues. And what
the defendants do not address -- they -- they address a
14
15 sort of hypothetical complaint that was maybe filed 15
years ago.
16
17 They do not address these allegations. They don't
18 address the fundamental problems. They also don't address
an entirely separate issue and that is that, as we alleged
19
20 in the complaint, the State of Georgia left this system --
we like to say, left this system out in the rain for six
21
22 months.
The Secretary of State, we allege, left the system
23
24 open to the internet, to the public internet, from before
25 the 2016 election until well after, even though it was

Page 73

1 informed that the system was open. And when it did so, it
2 -- it left itself open to be infected by any virus, by any
hacker whether it's someone on their sofa in New Jersey or
3
4 in Peenya or in Russia.
That's what we also allege. And so, we have a system
5
6 that according to the National Academy of Sciences, even
without it being left in the rain, is a violation, is so
7
8 fundamentally flawed and vulnerable, it's a violation of
9 constitutional rights.
10 And then to make matters worse, the Secretary of
11 State, even though it knew this was happening, left it
exposed to the public internet to be abused and has not
12
13 undertaken any sort of forensic examination to determine
whether or not it's been actually infected with malware as
14
15 the results of this recent election would suggest that it
has.
16
17 And so, we have established that -- that we have
18 alleged the allegations necessary.
THE COURT: -- it's a question of what you allege.
19
20 MR. BROWN: That's exactly right, Your Honor. I
misspoke. We have alleged --
21
22 THE COURT: -- what you have alleged.
MR. BROWN: We have alleged all the elements of the
23
24 claim, and therefore that motion should be dismissed, or
25 it could be revisited in a motion for summary judgment or

Page 74

1 further motions after the election contest issue is
2 resolved. Thank you, Your Honor.
MR. BELINFANTE: Thank you, Your Honor. I'll be
3
4 brief.
On the subsident due process claim, everything,
5
6 everything that Mr. Brown just talked about was raised in the Favorito decision and cited. And in the long footnote
7
8 that cites to the Weber case, the court adopts that no
9 balloting system is perfect.
10 And in specifically again talking about touchscreen
11 voting systems, it says that the DRE machines do not leave Georgia voters without protection from fraud or any means
12
13 of verifying a vote or a way to audit the recount. The unfortunate reality is that the possibility of electoral
14
15 fraud can never be completely eliminated, no matter which type of ballot is used.
16
17 Even assuming that none of the advantages of the
18 touchscreen systems over traditional methods would be sacrificed if voter-verified paper ballots were added to
19
20 the touchscreen system. And it talks about the choice that the legislature makes and makes very clear nothing in
21
22 the constitution prohibits this choice.
The legislature is presumed to be aware of all of the
23
24 things that Mr. Brown talks about, and I'll get to that in
25 a second. And yet that choice cannot be second guessed by

Page 75

1 the judiciary under the guides of a due process claim.
2 Now let's talk specifically about what the complaint alleges, because that's what we're here to discuss.
3
4 Nowhere does the complaint that I found cite to any specific inherent flaw with the machines. What it says,
5
6 over and over and over again, is that there are "vulnerabilities," potential vulnerabilities.
7
8 The National Academy of Sciences cites
9 vulnerabilities. It's the Homeland Security, cites
10 vulnerabilities. It's paragraphs 25 through 33, you'll
11 see a litany of that.
That is exactly the type of decision and the risk-
12
13 weighing that the general assembly is entitled to do, and the due process clause does not prevent them from doing.
14
15 Secondly, Mr. Brown tries to distinguish the Favorito case based on statements that are frankly not in the
16
17 record regarding the types of machines. If you look at
18 the complaint, the complaint talks about -- in paragraphs 21 to 23 is where it describes the machines and how
19
20 they're used and so on -- it doesn't say that they've been changed since 2006.
21
22 What he's talking about is that people now have additional concerns about them, but that just brings you
23
24 back to the holding of Favorito, which is that those
25 concerns are properly waived by the legislative branch,

Page 76

1 not the judicial branch.
2 That also speaks to -- and I don't know that there was a specific response to the equal protection, but
3
4 certainly Favorito would say and hold here regardless of how it views the machines. As long as voters have a
5
6 choice; it is not a state-imposed distinction and no equal-protection claim can lie.
7
8 Thank you, Judge.
9 THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to have to take that,
10 and I'm going to rule on it, and I'm going to do it this
11 morning. I'll send an email. I want to relook at the petition. I am ruled -- ruled by what that petition says
12
13 this morning on -- on that issue, and I -- I want to look at it again. I've got the issues in my mind about it, and
14
15 I will look at it, okay.
MR. BELINFANTE: Thank you.
16
17 THE COURT: Anything else for the defendant?
18 MR. RUSSO: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay, then. Plaintiff has some other
19
20 motion.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. Yes, we have --
21
22 the -- the main motion that we had, Your Honor, is your November 29 emergency motion for inspection of electronic
23
24 election equipment and production of documents. To get to
25 the bottom of the causes for the machine malfunctions, we

Page 77

1 need some discovery, and that's why in November we moved
2 for a right to inspect the equipment under Rule 34 and 91134, which is the --
3
4 THE COURT: What do -- I -- I seek -- don't propose more of which I'm not going to sign, which is way beyond
5
6 anything I think that I'm required to do or should be doing. Good many other things, obviously. Tell me a
7
8 little bit more specifically what it is you want. What do
9 you want done?
10 MR. BROWN: Well, we -- the plaintiffs would like
11 discovery of DRE machines to inspect the --
THE COURT: Which ones? Where? When? How? What?
12
13 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, we would like to inspect the machines that are identified in Exhibit B to our motion.
14
15 And we would be amenable to meeting with the defendants to determine a reasonable time and space -- place for that
16
17 inspection. And --
18 THE COURT: It's on the three --
MR. BROWN: It's the last two pages of the -- the
19
20 motion and the last two pages of the proposed order.
THE COURT: Yes. I have it now.
21
22 MR. BROWN: And we've identified by -- in -- in many instances by serial number the machines that we would like
23
24 to inspect. And certainly, by precinct, and as I
25 mentioned would be amenable to sitting down with the

Page 78

1 defense counsel and coming up with a -- a protocol for the
2 inspection of this equipment that would be -- be orderly
and helpful. And --
3
4 THE COURT: And when you -- when you mean inspection,
what do you mean, to look at them? Oh, there's a machine.
5
6 Or do you want to do anything to the machine?
MR. BROWN: A full forensic examination to determine
7
8 what's causing these -- these mistakes to happened, Your
9 Honor.
10 THE COURT: What do you mean by a full forensic
11 examination?
MR. BROWN: That would be with computer experts to
12
13 look at the internal memory and programming to see -- to
compare the machines to see what possible defects are
14
15 causing these systems to malfunction.
And they would involve comparing, for example -- one
16
17 -- in addition we would like machines that were not used
th
18 in the -- in the -- in the December 4 runoff elections
because the difficulty is if you keep on using these
19
20 machines, they may overwrite the underlying memory. Our
-- this case is about the November election, not the
21
22 December election, so we would like to look at November
machines that were not used.
23
24 Now some of the ones, some of the hottest and -- and
25 most troublesome machines may have been involved in both;

Page 79

1 they may have continued to use them. And we may have lost
2 some evidence there; we don't know, but we've identified
by serial number and by precinct in Exhibit B the evidence
3
4 that we -- we would like to -- to collect.
And like I said it's -- some logistics are involved.
5
6 We would need the systems to be set up so that they
actually run, they can work, so we need -- would need
7
8 internet connection and an AC tower to boot those up.
9 But, again, we would be happy to sit down with the
10 defendants and -- and quickly set up a protocol for doing
11 that.
THE COURT: Have you sent documents, what you -- did
12
13 you --
MR. BROWN: And then in addition, of the documents
14
15 that -- that we were seeking, I mean, we would like, you
know, general discovery of documentary evidence relating
16
17 to the programming of the machines and so that the -- the
18 computer scientists can better understand exactly what's
going on.
19
20 And we believe that's -- that's reasonable and can be
done expeditiously and -- and may answer many important
21
22 questions that are -- that Your Honor has indicated are of
interest. And the defendants have not responded to our
23
24 motion. I'm not sure what their position is on it.
25 THE COURT: Well, I just got it a couple days ago.

Page 80

1 MR. RUSSO: Thank you, Your Honor. I'm Vince Russo
2 for the Secretary of State.
The plaintiffs are essentially asking for unfettered
3
4 access to voting equipment here in the state of Georgia.
The -- we -- the Secretary of State objects to the motion
5
6 that the plaintiffs have filed in the request. The
plaintiffs, for starters, have not alleged irregularities
7
8 in each of those precincts where the voting machines they
9 would like to inspect are located. So, the machines in
10 those precincts are completely unrelated, as far as we can
11 tell, to their claims, based on the pleadings at least.
Additionally, Your Honor, Mr. -- plaintiffs, one of
12
13 plaintiff's experts, I suppose, Mr. Demila (phonetic),
even testified -- he even included in his affidavit that's
14
15 attached to the second motion that the plaintiffs are --
are going to be arguing, but he -- he stated in his
16
17 affidavit that there's no statistically valid conclusion
18 that can be drawn from a random sample of -- of machines.
Your Honor, at the end of the day here, as my
19
20 colleagues have pointed out repeatedly, the plaintiffs
have to show that there are enough votes in question, over
21
22 123,000 votes that -- that call in doubt the result of the
election for valid election contest. The machines that
23
24 they want to look at are not going to -- they're not going
25 to have the -- they do not have the votes as far as -- as

Page 81

1 far as we can tell and -- and plaintiffs' counsel hasn't
2 indicated that there were enough votes on those machines
to call in doubt the result of the election.
3
4 And as such, Your Honor, we think giving them
unfettered access to highly sensitive information about
5
6 the state voting machines when irregularities alleged by
the plaintiffs would not change the result of the election
7
8 is unnecessary.
9 Additionally, Your Honor, in the filing that the
10 plaintiffs made to -- excuse me, that the Secretary of
11 State made this morning, which was regarding the proffer
of evidence, Mr. Demila testified in the -- in the
12
13 Totenberg case and the Kerling (phonetic) case that it
would take 14,000 hours to examine all of the machines and
14
15 that alone still wouldn't be enough.
And for reference, Your Honor, 14,000 hours is more
16
17 than a year and a half. While the plaintiffs have -- have
18 obviously cut down the scope of the -- of the number of
machines they would like to inspect, Your Honor, there's
19
20 -- there's still no -- there's still no facts pled that
indicate that the -- the precincts and the machines that
21
22 they want to -- that the plaintiffs want to inspect, would
have any effect on the outcome of the election or that
23
24 there are any irregularities in those precincts. So, we
25 would ask that you deny this motion. Thank you.

Page 82

1 Oh, I'm sorry. We have not -- we will be filing this
2 today.
THE COURT: You are.
3
4 MR. RUSSO: I notice proffer of evidence by Secretary
of State, which includes the transcript that I just
5
6 referenced from the testimony of their expert in the -- in
the federal case.
7
8 And I don't know if my colleagues have anything else.
9 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, just briefly on behalf of
10 Gwinnett County. For Gwinnett, we will do obviously
11 whatever the Court directs us to do in terms of making
machines available.
12
13 Our only concern is from a practical perspective.
Obviously, this is voting equipment that is kept in a very
14
15 sensitive -- and lock and key. We keep these machines
under seal with numbered seals and audit trail to
16
17 determine we know who has access to the machines.
18 And we would just want to be absolutely certain that
whatever access is occurring is done in a way in
19
20 coordination with the Secretary of State's office and
others who are involved with this to make sure that
21
22 nothing is altered with the machines themselves.
Gwinnett owns a mix. We have a mix of machines
23
24 partially owned by the State and partially owned by the
25 county, and we will work to determine the specific serial

Page 83

1 numbers and who owns those machines. But that -- we are
2 ready to do whatever the Court directs us to do, but we
just have those security concerns to be sure that nothing
3
4 with the machines themselves is being altered and that
there's enough security regarding the -- this inspection
5
6 that the plaintiffs are proposing.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, if I may be heard briefly.
7
8 THE COURT: -- is this the original?
9 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, we're going to electronically
10 file it for --
11 THE COURT: I don't have one given to me. So, this
is mine?
12
13 MR. TYSON: That's your copy.
MR. BELINFANTE: Which I have provided one to
14
15 opposing counsel and --
THE COURT: Okay. Right here.
16
17 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, if I may be heard very
18 briefly.
THE COURT: Sure.
19
20 MR. LINDSEY: The petitioner's request, I believe, is
irrelevant to the outcome of this case as you -- based on
21
22 your ruling regarding our motion to dismiss in which you
made very clear that the -- ultimately, the plaintiffs
23
24 must show that there were enough irregularities, neither
25 the votes counted improperly or votes not counted to

Page 84

1 amount to 123,000 votes. The petitioner is seeking how
2 many -- how many exact number of -- number of machines?
MR. BROWN: You'd have to ask them.
3
4 MR. LINDSEY: A dozen or so machines? Your Honor,
that's not going to amount to 123,000. Their -- their
5
6 comeback to our specific numbers that we raise was --
well, we raised a general allegation that system wide
7
8 there were failures that resulted in the whole election
9 being cast in doubt.
10 If that's their allegation, Your Honor, merely
11 inspecting a dozen or so machines are not going to prove
or disprove that. And so, for that reason, Your Honor, we
12
13 would oppose their motion.
THE COURT: (inaudible)
14
15 MS. BURWELL: On behalf of Fulton County, Your Honor,
their list attached to their motion included counties
16
17 obviously outside of Fulton County. We don't take a
18 position with respect to those others.
With respect to Fulton County, we would point out to
19
20 the Court that the complaint only addresses two precincts
in Fulton County where they're alleging there were any
21
22 irregularities, yet their request for the review of
machines seeks far more than just those two precincts.
23
24 And so given the limited amount of time, we would
25 request that the Court is going to allow them some leeway

Page 85

1 in doing some of this discovery that it not be as far-
2 reaching as they were requesting and instead that the
Court be very tailored in terms of what they have actually
3
4 alleged in getting to the allegations rather than just a
fishing expedition.
5
6 THE COURT: (inaudible)
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. First, Your
7
8 Honor, we have -- we have alleged irregularities in every
9 precinct, not just Grady, not just some other.
10 We've -- our allegations cover a systemic statewide
11 problem with these electronic voting machine, and we've
only used as illustration some evidence that we have found
12
13 in particular precincts here and there, but those are just
illustrations. Our allegations cover every single one.
14
15 Second, as to the suggestion that this discovery is
unlikely to come up with anything that will allow us to
16
17 carry our burden of proof. That's just fundamentally
18 incorrect. We are also seeking discovery of the GEMS
databases.
19
20 THE COURT: The who?
MR. BROWN: The GEMS is called -- it's an acronym,
21
22 GEMS, which is an acronym for the -- for the servers that
collect the data, and so if there is a flaw in that it's
23
24 going to cover more than just the actual machines.
25 THE COURT: -- but that's not what you told me

Page 86

1 before.
2 MR. BROWN: Yes. My -- the way I was --
THE COURT: That wasn't what you said when I came.
3
4 MR. BROWN: Well, the exhibit that I was referencing covers DRE machines and also covers the GEMS databases
5
6 that are county specific and these databases cover more -- I mean, they are not just one by one. In addition, Your
7
8 Honor, but really much more fundamentally is that if there
9 is a programming --
10 THE COURT: Get back to the GEMS, because I'm not
11 understanding what you're saying.
MR. BROWN: The GEMS database is -- each county has a
12
13 GEMS --
THE COURT: Each county has an individual one.
14
15 MR. BROWN: Individual one.
THE COURT: Okay.
16
17 MR. BROWN: And then the Secretary of State has a
18 system that tabulates all of those. And so there could be a programming flaw anywhere in the system. One of the
19
20 things that the data -- and this is the public information that's available that Mr. Lindsey referred to, is that the
21
22 pattern oddly was found in every single county under votes for the lieutenant governor's race, which no one had ever
23
24 seen before historically ever, and it comes up in every
25 single county.

Page 87

1 And so that it is possible, I won't say likely, but
2 possible that there is a single or several programming mistakes, which have identified, will indicate that
3
4 there's the system wide failure.
But actually, more fundamentally, Your Honor, we'll
5
6 understand this quickly is that what the defendants are sort of analogizing this too is if we're hunting for
7
8 illegal paper ballots, like these cases. As if we're
9 looking for ballots in which Amico's name was misspelled,
10 like the Mead case. Or another case in which there's
11 something wrong with a hard copy of a ballot. And we can get that ballot and we can count them up and we can count
12
13 it up to 124,000 and therefore possibly win.
That's not this case. Because those ballots are gone
14
15 and because of the way the State has chosen to conduct these elections, that record is lost forever.
16
17 Instead what we have is the electronic memory and the
18 programming that drove the way these votes were counted, we think they were counted wrong. And so, we're entitled
19
20 to discovery, we believe, and we think it will be helpful and not necessarily that burdensome.
21
22 It's interesting that they now cite to the federal case for the declaration of Dr. Demila. Indeed, he said
23
24 if you had to look at every single machine and do a
25 forensic examination of every single machine it would have

Page 88

1 took -- it would take a long time.
2 They haven't done it. We do not plan to do that. There's not time or resources to do that. Instead, this
3
4 will be a targeted examination to see if evidence of a systemic programming error either in the DRE machines
5
6 themselves or the databases have caused these problems. Thank you, Your Honor.
7
8 THE COURT: I have problems with words like "there
9 could be a flaw" or "there's a possible programming
10 mistake." And what you're really asking for in this
11 discovery and fishing expedition, and I'm not going to give it to you till - left, okay. I am going to -- I am
12
13 going to the GEMS are for each county?
MR. TYSON: Yes, Your Honor.
14
15 THE COURT: Okay.
MR. RUSSO: Your Honor, the date of the GEMS is
16
17 public -- is public record --
18 THE COURT: Even if it -- were it --
MR. RUSSO: Is highly confidential and the means
19
20 accessing --
THE COURT: Pardon.
21
22 MR. RUSSO: I said the source code and the means of accessing the GEMS, of course, is highly confidential, but
23
24 the data from the GEMS is public record.
25 THE COURT: I am going to allow you to look at the

Page 89

1 GEMS in Fulton and in Gwinnett, okay. So -- will have to
2 access it. He's not to get the confidential access. And then he can look at. If it's public record, and you can
3
4 show him where the public record is, of course you can look at it, but record and you can show him where the
5
6 public record is.
Of course, you can look at all of them, okay. And it
7
8 that's up to you all to work out with and I'm going to let
9 him do it for those two counties because those are the two
10 counties that are a part of this.
11 He's got -- the only allegation he's got -- he's got an allegation in McDonough, he's -- DeKalb and what
12
13 county? Because DeKalb's not here. He's got one in Worth County. He's got Grady High School, -- Library, and then
14
15 Allen Temple A.M.E. are the ones that he's alleging were errors.
16
17 And I'm going to let him look at those, okay. It's
18 got to be supervised by somebody, okay. In the sense of -- somebody has to watch whatever he supposedly does so
19
20 there's no fundamental damage to the system in any way. Needs to be really clear. Got it?
21
22 MR. RUSSO: Your Honor, I mean, we would need to have some kind of confidentiality order for agreement,
23
24 obviously. There's -- when -- when his folks have access
25 to the machines, we don't -- I mean we can watch what

Page 90

1 they're doing. We don't know what they're actually doing
2 and with the data in the -- the -- the computer technology.
3
4 THE COURT: -- any sense. You're not -- you're not going to do it yourself --
5
6 MR. RUSSO: What?
THE COURT: Like I said, if anything on those few
7
8 machines, anything is done to damage them in any way,
9 there will be some absolutely serious ramifications.
10 MR. RUSSO: Okay.
11 THE COURT: And that needs to be fully understood.
MR. RUSSO: Your -- Your Honor, I guess would -- we
12
13 would also want just clarification around what it is exactly -- we've mentioned that there's data machines that
14
15 we can pull --
THE COURT: (inaudible)
16
17 MR. RUSSO: We can pull the data from the GEMS
18 machines to give to them. If that's the extent of it, that's one thing.
19
20 THE COURT: What is it that you want to do on the individual machines?
21
22 MR. BROWN: We want to examine the internal memory, Your Honor, to determine how the program --
23
24 THE COURT: How do you examine the internal memory?
25 MR. BROWN: You do -- I -- our -- our experts will be

Page 91

1 examining the internal memory to see if there are
2 programming flaws in the DRE machines that are causing these problems.
3
4 THE COURT: I got you. For programming flaws only?
MR. BROWN: Pardon me.
5
6 THE COURT: For programming flaws.
MR. BROWN: For programming flaws, yes, Your Honor.
7
8 THE COURT: See if they were programmed wrong, okay.
9 Simple language, okay.
10 MR. RUSSO: Okay. Not entirely sure what it means
11 when they get access to what they're looking at.
THE COURT: (inaudible)
12
13 MR. BROWN: I understand.
THE COURT: That these cases are supposed to be
14
15 disposed of fast, and I'm not going to give continuances. If -- if you would like to work together to do that, if
16
17 you run up on a stumbling block, you can always do it by
18 emails to me and I will email you back.
MR. RUSSO: As you said it would be confidential or
19
20 not be subject to --
THE COURT: (inaudible)
21
22 MR. RUSSO: -- because disclosure that's obviously important for our --
23
24 THE COURT: Once again your people would have to set
25 it up, where he can look at it. If he's just looking at

Page 92

1 the results of it then, if you know how to show him
2 online, he can get it all in the mistakes, okay.
MR. RUSSO: Yeah, we'll be happy to let him see the
3
4 results of the election.
THE COURT: Let him see the results of the state, but
5
6 at that time --
MR. RUSSO: Yes.
7
8 THE COURT: -- all the counties involved and these
9 five places that he has indicated.
10 MR. RUSSO: Okay.
11 THE COURT: Okay.
MR. RUSSO: Thank you.
12
13 THE COURT: I'll let you submit me the order if you can agree on that. If you can't, let me know. Like I
14
15 said, I'm -- the hearing is on the 17th ?
MR. BROWN: Yes.
16
17 MR. RUSSO: I think, Your Honor.
18 THE COURT: I'm -- I'm here but I sleep occasionally, but apart from that time --
19
20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, let me -- I want to recap what, Your Honor, has suggested just so we're very clear
21
22 on -- on this --
THE COURT: You want to do it so that you can expand
23
24 what I just said. Come on, I know that. Is this what you
25 said, Judge? Yeah. I know that but go ahead.

Page 93

1 MR. BROWN: Didn't you say you favored widespread and
2 far-reaching discovery? No, I'm joking, but --
THE COURT: Okay.
3
4 MR. BROWN: Two counties -- limited to two counties?
THE COURT: Two counties. Gwinnett -- go ahead.
5
6 MR. BROWN: Gwinnett and Fulton. In the five.
THE COURT: In the five -- Henry County and
7
8 McDonough. It doesn't say specifically that one was.
9 Fulton, Allen County AME -- and Grady High School.
10 MR. BROWN: Okay. Yes, Your Honor.
11 THE COURT: That's what's alleged on the petition.
MR. BROWN: And that would be examination of the
12
13 machines under the conditions that you've described. In addition, the county servers -- that the county GEMS
14
15 servers under the instructions that you gave.
THE COURT: -- and if there's a way to get that
16
17 information if it is public record, then you can get it in
18 public record.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. At present the
19
20 trial is scheduled -- for the trial -- not at present. The trial is scheduled for the 17th --
21
22 THE COURT: Correct.
MR. BROWN: -- of -- would it make sense to postpone
23
24 that --
25 THE COURT: No, nothing postponed.

Page 94

1 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
2 THE COURT: The rules are -- in fact I'm getting some pressure as to why this isn't going through faster than
3
4 it's been. It needs to be done; it needs to be completed; it needs to be over. I'm not going to move it.
5
6 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: And what I've allowed you to do on those
7
8 things can be done before that date.
9 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
10 THE COURT: If -- if you put your mind to it. Okay?
11 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Judge.
THE COURT: Okay, anything else? You had a motion
12
13 about -- but I guess that's moot.
MR. BROWN: That's moot.
14
15 THE COURT: Okay, anything else?
MR. RUSSO: No, Your Honor.
16
17 THE COURT: Okay.
18 MR. LINDSEY: Just to move the case along quickly and I'm going to stipulate to plaintiff's counsel be in
19
20 agreement -- just taking judicial notice so we don't have to bring somebody in to show the certified election
21
22 results of all the statewide elections.
THE COURT: Do you have an objection to --
23
24 MR. RUSSO: I assume we don't have any objections?
25 Just to the numbers. Do you have an objection? No,

Page 95

1 Judge.
2 THE COURT: The numbers that he gave me are the numbers in the register. Do they have an objection?
3
4 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor.
MR. RUSSO: I just want to make that that's clear.
5
6 THE COURT: Okay. You can put those in without having to --
7
8 MR. RUSSO: No, Your Honor.
9 MR. BELINFANTE: We will be doing the same -- we will
10 be using the same numbers here on, Your Honor.
11 THE COURT: Okay. That's it.
MR. RUSSO: Okay.
12
13 THE COURT: Anything else? Thank you, gentleman.
MR. RUSSO: Thank you.
14
15 MR. BELINFANTE: Thank you, Your Honor.
MR. TYSON: Thank you, Judge.
16
17
18 (Whereupon, the hearing was concluded at 10:34 a.m.)
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Page 96

1 CERTIFICATE
2
STATE OF GEORGIA)
3
4) SS.
COUNTY OF DOUGLAS)
5
6
I, PRISCILLA GARCIA, A COURT REPORTER IN THE STATE OF
7
8 GEORGIA, DO HEREBY STATE THAT THE FOREGOING IS A TRUE AND
9 ACCURATE TRANSCRIPT AS TRANSCRIBED BY ME AT THE TIME,
10 PLACE, AND THE DATE HEREINBEFORE SET FORTH.
11 I DO FURTHER STATE THAT I AM NEITHER A RELATIVE NOR EMPLOYEE NOR ATTORNEY NOR COUNSEL OF ANY OF THE PARTIES TO
12
13 THIS ACTION, AND THAT I AM NEITHER A RELATIVE NOR EMPLOYEE OF SUCH ATTORNEY OR COUNSEL, AND THAT I AM NOT FINANCIALLY
14
15 INTERESTED IN THIS ACTION.
WITNESS MY HAND IN THE CITY OF DOUGLASVILLE, COUNTY
16
17 OF DOUGLAS, STATE OF GEORGIA, ON THIS 18th DAY OF JANUARY
18 2019.
BY: Priscilla Garcia
19
20 PRISCILLA GARCIA, COURT REPORTER
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF GEORGIA
21
22 COMMISSION NO.: W-00379933
COMMISSION EXPIRES: 08/14/2022
23
24 CERTFICATION NO.: 5503-2677-8304-9216
25 LICENSE EXPIRES: 04/01/2019

1

1 28:8,20, 22 47:13
100 47:17
100-vote 46:24
103,290 43:25
115,691 45:1
11th 64:20
123,000 41:22 43:13 45:15 58:20,25 60:4 80:22 84:1,4
123,000-plus 45:2
123,000-vote 48:1
123,172 13:18 43:13
124,000 87:13
13 57:4,6,9
14,000 81:13,15
14th 64:24 66:6 69:11
15 72:15
157 36:8,10 37:6
159 23:2,10 35:20
17 92:15 93:20
180 37:15
19,882 44:1
1983 10:2
1989 18:22
1998 42:20
1st 64:22

2

2 10:6 15:2 16:2,18 28:9,17 29:6
2- 28:11
2000 66:17
2003 66:13
2004 17:18 27:25
2006 67:13 71:17 75:20
2006-era 70:11
2009 64:11 67:15
2015 19:4
2016 72:25
2018 6:2 13:15
21 15:2 18:24 28:9
21-2-366 39:9
2102 14:11
2122 16:18
212235 28:13
212250 22:2
2125 14:10 28:9
22 6:6,13, 20
220 22:9,10
23 75:18
242- 52:6
25 75:10
252-georgia-260 50:4 57:24
26 39:22
2623-georgia-514 48:4
270-georgia-7 42:20 50:8 51:17
284-georgia-397 51:15 58:9
288-georgia-26 51:25
29 76:22

3

3 10:6 29:6 30:25 47:11,13
3,780,304 43:18
3,883,594 43:17
3,895,955 44:24
301-georgia-27 24:20 25:11
30177 51:11
323-georgia-po427 42:2
324 19:4
33 75:10
34 77:2
35 16:20
378-georgia-532 51:10
38 33:15
380 17:20

4

4 18:24

27:10 28:18 47:13 78:18
42 47:18
43 68:6
44 68:6

5

5 47:13
520 14:11 22:2,4 30:13,25
520-2A 30:17
521-1 47:11
522 30:13
522-2 30:20
524 61:13
524(c) 59:17
53,902 45:13,15

6

6 13:15
62 65:11
69 65:18

7

71 63:22
72 63:25
65:11
74 67:22
75 63:22
76 63:25
795 66:1
796 64:20 66:1
798 68:20

8

8,000 46:2
80,000 46:2
8:59 6:2

9

9 6:2
9/11/15 32:18
98.9% 44:11
99 46:25
9th 66:11

A

A.M.E. 89:15
ability 34:11
absentee 34:10,11 39:2 68:2, 11,15,22, 24 69:6
absolutely 68:18 82:18 90:9
absurd 23:11
abused 73:11
AC 79:8
Academy 71:4,6,11 73:6 75:8
accept 43:18 44:13,18
accepted 49:13 55:9,10,11
access 22:6 80:4 81:4 82:17,18 89:2,24 91:11
accessing 88:20,22
accuracy 68:17
acknowledged 66:13
acronym 85:20,22
Act 18:22 27:10,18
action 35:25 39:15 47:9 65:25
acts 19:2
actual 85:24
Adams 42:2
add 32:6 33:2,15 59:2
added 74:18
adding 37:22 58:13
addition 31:20 36:25 47:8 70:22 78:17 79:13 86:6 93:13
additional 14:15 32:6 75:22
Additionally 80:11 81:9
address 14:17,18, 20 38:11 63:13 72:13,17, 18
addresses 84:20
admitted 51:18
adopts 74:8
advantages

74:17

advocates 58:2

advocating 57:18

affect 46:9

affidavit 80:13,17

afford 30:9

agency 70:18

agree 12:8 35:6 62:18 92:13

agreed 9:22

agreement 89:22 94:20

ahead 15:25 20:22 63:11 92:25 93:4

albeit 66:22

allegation 15:20 30:20 44:4 45:2 47:8 57:18 59:20 84:6,10 89:11

allegations 31:2,6,10, 17,20,22 33:9 36:1 41:4 43:20 44:18 45:24,25 46:4 48:13 49:13 53:18 58:2 59:13 60:2 67:20 69:11 72:17 73:18 85:4,10,13

allege 34:1 46:25 47:4 49:11 53:13,20 55:25 58:17,22 59:2 60:20 65:18 66:4 67:22 70:11,17 71:20 72:22 73:4,18

alleged 17:11 18:8,11 22:24 30:11 33:17,20 35:24 36:4 43:6 47:15 48:13 49:18 53:1 55:8,13 56:2,8,10, 11 59:6,18 60:20,22 64:8 65:15 67:22 72:18 73:18,20, 22 80:6 81:6 85:4, 8 93:11

alleges 75:2

alleging 33:22 43:1 70:2 84:20 89:15

Allen 89:15 93:9

allowed 94:6

alluded 59:22

altered 82:22 83:4

alternative 67:8

AME 93:9

amenable 77:15,25

amend 32:2

amending 32:6

amendment 32:4 33:2 64:24 66:6 69:11

amendments 19:22

Amico 46:6 55:24

Amico's 87:9

amount 84:1, 4,24

analogizing 87:6

analogous 53:2

Anderson 24:20 64:20

anecdotes 32:25

announces 36:22

anonymous 47:24

answers 64:18

anymore 71:13

apologize 20:22 22:8

appeal 32:15 52:13 53:10

appeals 17:18

appears 25:13

appellants 66:2 68:15 69:6

appellate 25:6

applicable 16:18 17:2 28:17 38:2

applied 19:6 65:2

apply 15:20 17:1 18:24

applying 65:11 66:9

approach 24:6,8 51:2

approval 40:18

aren't 13:11

argue 18:22 21:8 24:15

argued 17:11 68:15

arguing 20:18 36:18 40:25 80:15

argument 19:13 21:24 23:8,18,20 26:4,24 29:6 33:11,13 35:15 40:2,8,9 41:18 64:15 67:11 68:13 69:18,20

arguments 13:11 21:18 41:18 61:4

assembly 75:13

assert 44:6, 11

asserted 10:18,24 14:10

asserting 10:20 42:25

assertion 42:18 44:13

asserts 44:4 45:2

assume 94:24

assuming 74:17

attached 24:13 80:15 84:15

attack 67:11 71:11

attacked 67:13

attention 61:20

attorney 23:18,22 24:4 25:1, 2 41:20

audit 74:13 82:15

authority 39:10

authorize 39:11

authorized 14:25 40:17

aware 13:15 20:11 61:18 74:22

B

back 15:9 32:18 33:2 51:4 53:9 75:24 86:10 91:18

backup 71:22

badly 61:11

BAILIFF 8:2

ballot 16:11 17:22 18:11 19:22 27:18,22 34:11 40:15 52:22 60:6 68:2 69:6 74:15 87:11

balloting 65:6 66:15 74:9

ballots 18:13 34:10 36:20 44:10,11, 22 50:20, 22 58:10, 11 59:25 60:2 66:17 67:9 68:15 74:18 87:8,9,13

Banker 51:10

bankruptcy 42:4

based 46:13 48:11 64:10 75:15 80:11

83:20

basically 27:4

basis 20:1,6 28:6 32:1 33:6 48:17 64:4,18,20 65:2,9,15 66:9,10

bath 60:15

battle 62:4

begin 58:15

beginning 21:4

behalf 9:2,6 12:4 33:13 41:20 82:9 84:15

Belinfante 6:15 8:20 14:18 41:17 60:24 62:4,8,20, 25 63:2,9, 13 64:15 74:2 76:15 83:13

Belinfante's 69:24

bench 7:20 24:8

big 42:18

binding 25:22,25

bit 30:13 77:8

block 91:17

blocks 67:20

Board 8:25 16:20,22 19:11 29:22 30:4 32:10 34:4 38:15,25 39:2,10, 11,13,15

Boards 19:9

bombarded 71:17

book 22:4,6 65:20

books 27:8 36:20

boot 79:8

borrow 56:13

bottom 76:25

bound 41:4 53:24,25 54:2,4

box 71:20

brain 12:2

branch 75:25 76:1

brand-new 26:10

breadth 53:18

Brian 24:22

briefly 27:2 82:9 83:6, 18

briefs 63:17,22

bring 12:6 15:18 21:15 22:6 33:22 94:20

brings 75:22

broader 56:17

Brody 32:6

Brown 6:15 8:11 9:13, 22 10:1,6, 10,18,22 13:9,11 21:15 22:4,8,10, 11 23:6 24:13,18 25:9,11,22 26:15,20, 22 29:4, 15,18 35:11,13, 22,24 37:11,25 39:25 48:22 49:4,6,8, 11,15 53:25 54:2,9,11, 13 55:2,4 56:6 57:22 59:4,22 60:4,8 64:13 69:22 73:20,22 74:6,24 75:15 76:20 77:10,13, 18,22 78:6,11 79:13 84:2 85:6,20 86:2,4,11, 15,17 90:22,25 91:4,6,13 92:15,20 93:1,4,6, 10,11,18, 22 94:1,6, 9,11,13

Bruce 8:11 21:15

Bryan 8:22 29:22 46:20

bunch 47:22

burden 54:6, 8,10 61:6

65:15
85:17

burdened
65:22

burdensome
87:20

Burwell 6:8, 11 9:6,9 12:4 33:13 34:17,20, 24 35:2,4, 8 38:13 39:22 40:22 48:18,20 69:20 84:15

C

calculate
55:22

call 80:22 81:2

called 24:20 71:6 85:20

calls 51:18

cameraman
6:13

candidate
27:17,18 30:17,20, 22 45:6 57:18 60:2

candidates
14:4 19:25 27:20

can't 7:20 10:20 27:8 31:18 34:4 35:17

capable
38:17 40:15

capacity
24:22,24 25:13

care 8:2 54:6

careful 7:18

Carr 25:1

Carruthers
8:24

carry 61:6 85:17

case 6:6,9, 10 10:11 11:8 13:20 16:4 17:17,18, 24,25 18:1,2,4, 6,15 19:6 22:25 23:15,17 24:6,11, 13,18,20, 22 25:1,4, 6,8,11,15, 17 26:6,9 27:6,11,25 30:10 31:4,11,18 32:6,8,9, 13 33:1,6 35:4 39:2, 8 42:1,2, 4,20 43:11 44:11,18 47:6 49:17 50:4,6,8, 15 51:2,4, 15,20,22 52:2,6,9, 10,11,18 53:2,8,9 54:22 55:1 56:13 57:11,13, 17,20,25 58:8,9,18 59:2,9 64:2 66:1, 11 69:11 70:15,18 72:11 74:8 75:15 78:20 81:13 82:6 83:20 87:10,13, 22 94:18

cases 27:2 30:15,22 37:13 42:10,15 45:18,22 48:9,11 49:25 50:17,18, 25 51:4,9 53:17 58:6 60:1 72:13 87:8 91:13

cast 18:13 31:2 43:9, 15,17 44:24 45:1,2 47:1 53:4 57:20 58:10,11, 13,24 61:4 68:15 84:9

categories
16:25

caused 88:6

causing
78:8,15 91:2

certainty
48:6 52:15

certified
42:10 43:13 46:1,15,22 94:20

certify 67:8

chads 66:18

challenge
27:13 30:6 31:13 63:20

challenged 30:18

challenges 52:20

challenging 30:22 42:15

Champion 32:8

chance 15:13

change 25:25 47:6 81:6

changed 60:11 70:13 75:20

changing 38:18

chapter 15:2 18:24 22:17,20 27:10

charge 23:25

cheat 38:11

chime 57:6

choice 65:6 67:2,6,10 74:20,22, 25 76:6

choose 34:13 67:15 68:20

chosen 42:11 60:18 87:15

Chris 25:1,2

Circuit 64:20 66:11

cite 42:1 50:17 58:6 68:10 75:4 87:22

cited 27:2 32:13 37:1 40:18 45:22 51:9 57:22 58:6 74:6

cites 74:8 75:8,9

citing 66:11,15

citizen 69:4

citizens 38:20 39:1,2

City 16:22

claim 10:13 12:6,9 13:20 14:22 17:24 32:22 38:4 47:2 48:2, 17 52:4,9, 15 57:11 64:8,17 65:11 67:18 68:4 69:1,25 70:6 73:24 74:4 75:1 76:6

claims 10:2, 6,11,18 11:6,10,18 12:4 14:18,20 29:6 47:18 63:10 64:4 66:2,8,13 67:20 68:18 69:24,25 80:11

clarification 90:13

classes 16:2 68:10

classification 69:8

clause 63:15,18, 20 66:6 68:6 69:10,13 75:13

clean 34:22 35:4

clear 9:15, 22 19:6 23:22 26:6 48:4 53:17 66:10 68:6 74:20 83:22 89:20 92:20

clerk 24:11

client 14:17

clock 57:4, 8,9

close 47:25 60:13 61:17,18, 24

closed 45:13 58:22

co-defendants 13:15

Coalition 6:10 8:6, 13 9:24 10:2 14:22

COBB 6:1

code 15:1 16:11,18 18:24 22:1,17 27:9 28:13,18, 20 32:13 40:17 88:22

coffin 67:6

colleagues 18:18 80:20 82:8

collect 79:4 85:22

collected 71:8 72:4

collection 47:20

comeback 84:6

Commissioners 39:11,13

Committee 70:20,22

common 20:4

commonsense 22:20 23:24

compare 65:24 78:13

comparing 78:15

comparison 30:13

complaining 34:1

complaint 20:15 33:15 38:6 47:18 49:11 65:13,18 66:2 67:22 70:13 72:15,20 75:2,4,18 84:20

completed 94:4

completely 14:2 63:20 74:15 80:10

comply 38:22

computer 22:6 70:22 78:11 79:18 90:2

conceded 36:2

concern 68:22 82:13

concerns 71:15 75:22,25 83:2

concluded 51:13 69:4 72:9

conclusion 64:2 80:17

conditions 93:13

conduct 16:13 17:6 28:11 58:11 87:15

conducted 16:8,13 28:2 30:6 31:1 36:2 70:2

conducting 22:22 40:2,15

conference 7:20

conferences 7:20

confidential 88:18,22 89:2 91:18

confidentiality 89:22

confirmed 14:20

confirming 6:20 65:9

conflicting 47:9

confuse 22:11

confusing 15:17

connection 30:15 79:8

cons 65:6 67:2

Consensus 71:6

constitution 63:8 67:9 74:22

constitutional 11:18 12:9 14:18 19:22 29:6 63:9,10 64:4 69:24 71:25 73:9

constitutions 66:6

constrained 34:6 38:22

construction 19:1,6

construed 20:4

contained 66:13

contention 69:6

contest 9:25 10:4 14:9, 15,22 15:4,6 17:18 18:4,6 19:8 20:8, 24 22:20

23:2,15 24:1 28:4, 6,10,20 30:1,11, 15,20 31:15 32:4 33:8 59:15,18, 20,22 74:1 80:22

contestant 14:8 17:20 18:11 32:8 53:1

contestants 10:2 50:6

contested 10:8 16:4, 6,9 28:11 30:6 31:1

contesting 13:15

contests 14:25 19:24 27:20,22 61:15

context 15:4

contingent 63:20

continuances 91:15

continued 66:24 79:1

continues 60:4 69:4, 9

contrary 71:2 72:11

conversation 7:13

convinced 13:1

coordination 82:20

copy 24:9, 20 64:11 83:13 87:11

Corea 25:2

corners 9:20 20:15 29:11 48:15 58:20 61:10

correct 9:13,25 10:1,6,22 22:15 55:2,4 70:1 93:22

correctly 69:4 71:1 72:2,4

couldn't 32:20

counsel 8:10,13 13:10 25:4 27:4,6,11 60:15 64:13 78:1 81:1 83:15 94:18

counsel's 7:11

count 10:6 12:13,18 28:8,20,22 41:13 50:2,20 52:22 63:20 65:11 71:1 87:11

counted 43:22 45:20 57:20 58:1,6,25 72:2,4 83:25 87:18

counties 19:11 20:9 23:2,10 28:4 31:6, 9,11,18,22 32:20,24, 25 33:4, 20,25 34:15,18 35:20 36:4,8,11, 13 37:2,6 84:15 89:9,10 92:8 93:4

counting 31:4 58:18 59:17

counts 29:6 43:22 63:13 66:2

county 6:1 8:9 9:6,13 12:2,4 16:15,20, 22,24 17:11 18:6,9,15, 18 19:9,10 20:9,10 24:11 28:2 29:4,22 30:4 31:11,17, 20 32:10 33:13,18 34:4,11 35:9 36:4, 15 37:2 38:11,15, 20,22 39:6,10 40:11,13, 22 46:20 47:18,20 82:10,25 84:15,17, 18,20

86:6,11,
13,22,25
88:13
89:13
93:6,9,13

county's
30:11
35:15

county's
39:15

couple 8:6
79:25

coupled
71:24

court 6:6,9,
11,17,18,
22 7:1,4,
6,11,13
8:1,2,4,
15,22 9:1,
4,8,11,15
10:4,8,9,
11,13,20
11:2,11,
13,18,20,
22 12:6,8,
11,15,18,
22,25
13:11 14:6
15:8,11,
13,17,24
16:20
17:17
20:2,13,
17,20,22
21:2,4,6,
11,13
22:2,4,9,
11 23:4,20
24:10,15
25:6,10,18
26:13,17,
20 27:1
28:8 29:1,
8,11,15,20
31:18
32:6,11
33:10,15,
22,24
34:13,18,
22,25
35:2,6,9,
13,18,22
36:24
37:11,13
38:1,6,9
39:9,22,24
40:22,24
41:9,13,15
42:4,10,18
43:18
45:18
46:15,18
47:13
48:2,4,9,
18,20,22
49:1,4,6,
9,15,25
50:4,9,13,
20 51:2,
10,11,13,
15,17,20,
25 52:4,6,
10,13
53:4,6,8,
24 54:1,6,
10,11
55:2,4
56:6,13
57:15
58:8,9
59:11
60:13,25
62:6,9,15,
18,22
63:2,4,8,
10 64:2,11
65:1,24
66:2,11,
15,24
67:1,15
68:20
69:2,4,15,
18 73:18,
22 74:8
76:9,17,18
77:4,11,
18,20
78:4,10
79:11,25
82:2,11
83:2,8,11,
15,18
84:13,20,
25 85:2,6,
20,25
86:2,10,
13,15
88:8,15,
18,20,25
90:4,6,11,
15,20,24
91:4,6,8,
11,13,20,
24 92:4,8,
11,13,18,
22 93:2,4,
6,11,15,
22,25
94:2,6,10,
11,15,17,
22

court's
68:13

courtroom
7:9 15:9
55:2

courtrooms
10:22

courts 42:4,
6 43:2
51:6 70:15
72:8

cover 36:1
59:11
61:13,25
85:10,13,
24 86:6

covered 6:20
10:4

covers 86:4

Crawford
42:20
51:17

criminal
11:9

Crittenden 8:9
culminated 71:4
current 17:25 70:20
cut 81:18

D

daily 71:18
damage 89:20 90:8
data 85:22 86:20 88:24 90:2,13,17
database 17:9 86:11
databases 85:18 86:4,6 88:6
date 33:2 88:15 94:8
Dawkins- 24:20
Dawkins-haigler 51:11
day 14:6 49:2 80:18
days 79:25
deal 11:20
dealing 35:20 58:4
dealt 70:10
December 39:22 78:18,22
decide 10:15 34:13 39:2,6 66:24
decided 48:11
decides 64:4
decision 25:6,15, 18,20 26:11 42:18,20 52:10 55:10 64:10,20 66:11 72:6 74:6 75:11
decisions 70:9
declaration 87:22
declared 16:10
declares 19:17
deemed 11:1
defective 65:18
defects 43:1,8 78:13
defend 35:17
defendant 15:2,20 16:2 17:15 19:15 21:18,20, 22,25 22:22 24:1 26:2,25 30:15,18, 20,24 39:17 62:24 69:15 76:17
defendant's 52:11 56:22
defendants 11:4 18:18 20:9 23:1, 10 36:1,6 48:24 49:20 52:9 63:6 65:13,20 67:24 70:8 72:13 77:15 79:10,22 87:6
defendants' 33:10
defendant's 13:10
defended 23:18 25:1,17
defending 25:2
defense 21:13 78:1
defined 16:2,18 19:20 22:13
defines 22:2 28:13,15
definition 17:2 18:22 19:15 20:6 22:15
definitions 16:17 28:17
Dekalb 9:13 31:10 32:10 47:18,20 89:11
Dekalb's 89:13
Demila 80:13 81:11 87:22

democratic
45:6

democratically -elected
65:4 67:2

demonstrated
42:17

demonstrates
45:22 59:6

denies 66:4

Dennis 25:2

DENTON
11:11,15, 20,22

deny 37:20
40:24
61:22
81:25

department
25:2,22,24
70:22

depending
46:2

derived
58:13

describes
53:22
75:18

description
68:13
69:24

determine
11:10
50:22
52:24
73:13
77:15 78:6
82:17,25
90:22

determined
29:25

Dick 8:24

didn't 15:8, 13,18 41:9
44:6 93:1

differ 68:18

difference
13:20 32:4
43:22 44:8
50:2,22,24
52:25
56:20,25
58:13

differences
14:4

differently
67:25
68:15

difficulty
78:18

direct 32:15

directly
69:11

director
8:13 32:9

directs
82:11 83:2

disagree
65:13

disagreement
65:13

discloses
52:15

disclosure
91:22

discovery
36:10,11, 13,15,24
37:6 77:1, 11 79:15
85:1,15,18
87:20
88:11 93:2

discriminates
68:10

discuss
14:13 75:2

discussed
23:17
51:20 60:8

discusses
19:15

dismiss
13:13
14:13
15:24
20:18 28:6
29:8,11,24
34:2 38:25
39:20
41:1,6
42:6 46:13
47:10,11
48:10,11
52:4 54:18
55:6 57:11
59:10
61:22 64:6
68:8 69:22
83:22

dismissal
20:25 32:1
33:8 47:2

dismissed
9:13 29:13
41:10
47:20
48:17
52:8,15
69:13
73:24

dismissing
15:6 53:8

disposed
91:15

dispositive
68:20

disprove
84:11

disputed
59:4

disregard
14:2

distinction
68:4,25
76:6

distinguish 75:15

distinguishable 70:10

documentary 79:15

documents 76:24 79:11,13

doesn't 34:11 75:20

domestic 71:11

don't 7:2,4,17 10:15 11:9,15,17 12:11 19:11 22:11 26:15 27:24 36:15 37:22 38:2,20 40:18 41:2 47:25 49:6 79:2 89:25 90:1 94:20

doubt 14:1 42:18 43:2 47:4 48:15 53:4 57:6 58:11 60:11,15,22 61:2 80:22 81:2 84:9

down-ballot 43:10,15

download 37:18 62:11

downstairs 6:25 7:2,22

dozen 84:4,11

dramatic 44:6,13 56:25

dramatically 43:10

drastic 42:13

drawn 80:18

DRE 33:17 34:6,11 38:18,20,22 65:2,15,18 66:4,10 67:13,15,25 68:4,22 70:11,20 74:11 77:11 86:4 88:4 91:2

dressed 67:11

drop 62:6

drop-in 44:4

drop-off 44:8,11,13,15,20 45:4 56:25

drop-offs 44:4

drove 87:18

due 63:13,18 64:9,15 65:11 66:6 67:18 70:4 72:10 74:4 75:1,13

due-process 67:11 69:13

dumped 37:17

Duncan 9:4 12:13 41:20 44:2 45:11 55:22

Dunn 25:2

E

earlier 58:20

Earnest 57:22

easily 50:18

Edward 9:2 41:18

effect 24:2 81:22

effectively 68:20

egregious 48:24

elect 16:4

elected 27:11

electing 56:24

election 9:24 10:2 12:15,18 13:17,18 14:2,9,11,22,25 15:1,4,6 16:4,6,9,11,13,18 17:11,18 18:4,6,22,24 19:8,18,24 20:2,8,11,24 21:25 22:2,11,13,15,18,22 23:2,15 24:1,24 25:13 26:2,4 27:20,22 28:2,6,10,

11,20 30:1,4,6, 11,20,25 32:4,13 33:8 36:2 40:6,9,10, 15 42:11, 15,18 43:2 46:15,22 47:6 50:6 52:22 53:4 55:24 57:13,24 58:11,13 59:15 60:13,17 61:2,15 63:20,24 64:1,22 66:18 68:1,9 70:2 71:13 72:25 73:15 74:1 76:24 78:20,22 80:22 81:2,6,22 84:8 92:4 94:20

elections 8:25 16:13,20, 22 17:1,6 19:9,11 23:25 26:9 28:2 29:22 32:9,10 34:4 38:15 39:1,4,11, 15 40:2 42:15 78:18 87:15 94:22

electoral 74:13

electors 16:11 19:18 67:24,25

electronic 44:10,22 45:4 56:4, 20 65:20 68:15 76:22 85:11 87:17

electronically 83:9

elements 73:22

eligibility 16:6 30:18,22

eligible 30:22

eliminated 74:15

Ellis 48:4

else's 24:18

else's 24:15,17

email 76:11 91:18

emailing 62:10

emails 91:18

emergency 76:22

en 32:6 69:11

end 37:22 45:13 80:18

enjoyed 44:2 45:11,20

entire 49:17 55:22,24 57:2 61:2

entirety 33:8

entitled 41:24 52:17 53:13,15 55:25 56:2,8 67:15 75:13 87:18

environment 71:15,18

equal 63:15, 18 64:9 66:4 67:18 68:6 69:1, 10,13 70:4 72:11 76:2

equal-protection 76:6

equally 64:4

equipment 66:4 76:24 77:2 78:2 80:4 82:13

erase 58:13

erroneously 13:22

error 59:17 88:4

errors 31:4 47:22 66:18 89:15

essence 38:18

essentially 44:11 80:2

established 42:15 43:2 51:20,22 73:17

events 70:13

everybody's 35:1 38:11

Everyone's

6:17

evidence
9:17 26:18 31:9,13,24 32:20 37:15 41:1 48:25 50:10,13 51:6,11,18 54:13 56:2 59:15,20 64:2 71:2 79:2,15 81:11 82:4 85:11 88:4

evidenced
31:8

evident
66:17

evidentiary
9:17 51:22 52:6 56:22

exact 60:25 71:8 84:2

examination
73:13 78:6,11 87:25 88:4 93:11

examine
81:13 90:22,24

examining
91:1

examples
51:8

exceeds
18:13

exclusively
70:8

excuse 14:11 17:15 81:10

executive
8:13

exhibit 46:6 77:13 79:2 86:4

exist 60:6

expand 92:22

expanded
14:15

expanding
20:6

expedition
85:4 88:11

expeditiously
79:20

expert 55:18 82:6

experts
78:11 80:13 90:25

explain 7:8 12:1 30:13 51:11

explained
51:15 52:1 55:18 56:11

explanation
56:24 60:6

explanations
13:20 14:2 60:6

exposed
73:11

expressly
67:1

extent 90:18

external
71:24

extremely
61:24

F

face 68:10

fact 14:4 15:18 17:4 22:20 23:17 39:6 51:22 52:2 55:11 58:20 65:2 67:1 94:2

facts 52:18 56:1 64:8 81:20

fail 32:2 64:4

failed 53:10

failing
20:25

failure 47:2 48:17,25 52:4,8,15 57:11 61:4 87:4

failures
84:8

fair 24:18 33:24

fairly 11:25

fall 46:2 59:6 64:6

falls 59:6

false 69:8

far- 85:1

far-reaching
93:2

fast 37:13, 15 91:15

faster 94:2

favored 93:1

Favorito
64:10,15, 18 65:25 66:9,15 67:20,22 68:13,18 69:2 70:8, 9,10,15,17 74:6

75:15,24 76:4

federal 10:2,6,9, 11,13,15 11:1,18 12:4,6,8 14:18 66:4 69:22 82:6 87:22

federals 10:15

felt 40:20

field 34:15

figure 31:13

figuring 34:18

file 14:22 27:20 37:2 83:10

filed 9:18 13:13,15 18:6,15 28:4 30:10 31:8 32:9, 20 39:20 42:20 72:15 80:6

filing 81:9 82:1

filling 33:2

final 25:18

Finally 19:13

find 6:18 27:8 28:20 35:10 52:11 54:4

fine 24:15

firm 25:4

fishing 85:4 88:11

five-day 32:17

fixing 62:22

flaw 75:4 85:22 86:18 88:9

flawed 40:15 53:20 55:22,24 65:20 68:22 71:20 73:8

flaws 91:2, 4,6

flipping 56:4

focus 58:10

folks 89:24

follow 34:6 41:6

footnote 74:6

force 34:11

forecloses 67:11

foreign 71:11

forensic 73:13 78:6,10 87:25

forever 72:6 87:15

forgot 60:25

form 7:25 61:13 62:1

formally 16:10

forward 59:2,15 62:2 64:4 70:2

found 24:6 50:4,9 51:8 54:6 60:22 69:2 75:4 85:11 86:22

fourth 19:15

frankly 75:15

fraud 66:22 74:11,15

free 65:8 67:4

front 6:22 15:25 31:18 50:20,24 60:20

full 30:9 33:6,24 62:22 78:6,10

Fuller 51:15 58:8

fully 90:11

Fulton 8:9 9:6 12:2,4 24:11 29:17 31:6 33:13,18 34:4,11 35:13,15, 25 36:6 38:9,15,20 39:6 40:1, 11,13,22 48:18 84:15,17, 18,20 89:1 93:6,9

Fulton's 40:2

fundamental 18:25 56:10 59:1 65:13,22 66:6 72:18 89:20

fundamentally 53:20 55:11 70:10,13, 20 72:1

73:8 85:17 86:8 87:4

future 63:24

G

gap 45:13

gave 93:15

GEMS 85:18, 20,22 86:4,10, 11,13 88:13,15, 22,24 89:1 90:17 93:13

general 13:17 16:17 23:18,22 24:4 25:2 29:9 75:13 79:15 84:6

generalized 41:6,9,10 61:4

generally 64:25

Geoff 9:2 12:11 41:20

Georgia 6:1 8:18 13:18 14:6 15:1 16:11,15, 18 26:8 28:2 31:11 38:20 42:9 58:8 64:11 66:1 67:6 69:2,4,10 70:18,24 71:9,13,22 72:11,20 74:11 80:4

Georgia-454 52:6

give 15:13 25:18 33:6 88:11 90:18 91:15

giving 49:17 81:4

glad 43:4

golden 46:2

good 8:6,8, 13,18,22 9:2 11:6 14:22 15:15 29:20 77:6

Gordon 31:9

gotcha 9:11

Governance 8:8,15 14:22

governed 15:1

governing 39:10

government 70:18

governor 13:17 16:4 17:13 44:8 46:9 63:24

governor's 59:24 86:22

Governor-elect 9:2 41:20

governor's 13:22 43:9,18,24 44:9,15, 20,24 45:6

Grady 85:9 89:13 93:9

grant 6:18 28:22 37:1 52:4 59:10

granted 26:11

greater 58:25

ground 59:18

grounds 14:10,15 30:15 31:2 52:9

group 27:13

gubernatorial 45:11

guess 29:15 90:11 94:13

guessed 74:25

guessing 14:9 65:8 67:4

guides 75:1

Gwinnett 8:9,24 11:11 29:4,15,22 30:4 31:6, 20,25 33:18 35:25 36:6 38:1,2 40:1 46:20 82:10,22 89:1 93:4, 6

H

hacker 73:2

Haigler 24:20

half 81:17

hand 54:1

handle 8:6

hanging 66:18

Hanson 32:13

happened 48:6 49:20 70:11 78:8

happening 73:11

happy 41:2, 17,18 79:9 92:2

hard 37:18 87:11

hate 61:8

haven't 41:6

hear 10:15 11:2 12:25 13:2,4 15:20,22 29:6,15 41:11,13 43:4

heard 15:18 34:25 35:1 41:6 48:18 83:6,17

hearing 7:15 13:11 33:25 37:6,22 41:2 51:13,22 52:1,2,8 56:22 92:15

held 28:2 52:13 53:4 57:11 58:9

helpful 78:2 87:20

helps 30:13

Henry 93:6

here's 35:22

he's 75:22 89:11,13, 15 91:25

High 89:13 93:9

highest 43:13

highlight 18:4

highly 81:4 88:18,22

historically 86:24

history 26:6

hold 7:20 30:22 72:13 76:4

holding 26:8 52:13 64:1 68:18 75:24

Homeland 70:22 75:9

Honor 6:15, 18 8:11, 18,20,22 9:2,10,13 10:1,6,10, 18,22 11:6,11 12:4,11 13:9 14:20,25 17:2 18:25 19:13,20 20:2,13 22:8 23:2, 6,13,22 24:6,13 25:6,22 26:1,10, 15,22 27:2,8,24 29:4,18, 20,24 31:13 33:13 34:2,10,18 35:13,22 36:18,25 37:10,11, 25 38:2 39:20,25 40:4,20 41:18,22 42:18,20, 24 44:18, 25 45:25 46:4,10, 11,15,18 48:20,22 49:13,17, 25 50:18 51:4 52:2 53:13 54:13,15, 20 55:2,8 56:2,15 57:4,13, 15,25 58:4 59:11,13 60:24 62:4,8,13, 25 63:6, 13,20 64:9 69:20,22 70:17 71:2,15 72:11 73:20 74:2 76:18,20, 22 77:13 78:9 79:22 80:1,11,18 81:4,9,15, 18 82:9 83:6,9,17 84:4,10, 11,15 85:6,8 86:8 87:4 88:6,13,15 89:22 90:11,22 91:6 92:17,20 93:10,18 94:1,6,9, 15

Honor's 39:25

hottest 78:24
hours 81:13, 15
House 70:20
Howell 48:8 59:25
huge 61:6
human 66:18
Hunt 42:20 50:6,8 51:15,18
hunting 87:6
hypothetical 66:22 72:15

I

idea 59:22
identical 66:8 67:20 68:18
identified 63:15 77:13,22 79:2 87:2
identify 32:22
illegal 18:11,13 31:2 47:1 50:20,22 87:8
illustration 49:11 56:18 85:11
illustrations 55:13 85:13
imagine 7:20
immunity 21:20,22 23:18 26:9,24 63:18
impact 45:6 50:18 58:18
important 25:15 47:13 54:24 64:24 66:11 79:20 91:22
imposes 64:22
improper 37:24 53:4,11
improperly 53:2 58:10,24 83:25
inaccurate 65:20
inapplicable 18:20
inaudible 6:8 11:18 15:11 20:13 35:11,13 38:6 48:22 57:15 59:11 84:13 85:6 90:15 91:11,20
incapable 40:2
incidences 56:11
include 17:4 28:15
included 33:1 46:6 80:13 84:15
includes 19:2 82:4
incorrect 85:18
increased 44:25
incumbents 14:4
independent 27:18,20 32:15
individual 10:2 86:13,15 90:20
individuals 8:8 15:2,4
infected 73:2,13
infer 56:15
inferred 50:11,13
inflamed 61:20
inflammatory 49:9 61:18
information 33:22 36:18 47:20 81:4 86:20 93:17
informed 73:1
infringed 65:22
inherent 75:4
insecure 70:20
insist 60:6
inspect 77:2,11, 13,24 80:9

81:18,22

inspecting
84:11

inspection
76:22
77:17
78:2,4
83:4

instance
56:6 67:6

instances
55:13
77:22

instructed
24:8

instructions
93:15

insufficient
50:25
67:15,18

intended
23:11

intent 66:20

intentionally
19:2

interact
69:25

interest
79:22

interesting
61:15
87:22

Interestingly
52:18

interests
64:25

internal
78:13
90:22,24
91:1

internet
71:17
72:24
73:11 79:8

interpret
49:20

interpretation
49:22

intimidate
26:13,15

introduce
8:10

introduced
50:10,13

involve
31:22
32:15
47:17,18
78:15

involved
12:18
17:8,17
32:13 50:6
52:18,20
78:25 79:4
82:20 92:8

involving
17:13
18:4,11
19:24

irregular
58:11

irregularities
18:8,17
20:11 28:4
36:4 47:15
50:4
58:10,17,
22 60:11
80:6 81:6,
24 83:24
84:22 85:8

irregularity
18:11
22:24,25

irrelevant
83:20

isn't 38:17

issue 10:15
11:4 15:25
18:20
20:11,17
21:11,17
27:1,25
30:6 33:22
34:18
35:15,18,
20,22
37:10
39:4,18
40:4 41:8
57:17
58:13,15
61:6 69:15
71:8 72:18
74:1 76:13

issues 12:9
14:1,15
21:24
33:20 34:1
40:13
52:22 55:6
72:13
76:13

items 19:22

it's 13:2
17:11
18:25
19:1,6
23:6,8,11,
18 24:11,
13 27:17
28:18 32:2
37:6 39:11
48:11
75:9,10
77:18 79:4

I'd 21:2
24:8

I'll 8:2
13:2 14:17
28:22 42:1
74:24

I'm 7:1,9,
22 10:13
11:22,24,
25 13:1,6
20:18 22:4
24:2,15

36:18 38:11 40:2 41:17,18, 22 42:8 43:4 76:9, 10 88:11 89:8,17 91:15 92:15,18 93:2 94:2, 18

I've 6:22 8:4

J

January 6:2

Jersey 73:2

Jim 8:6

job 60:15 65:4 66:22 67:1

Johnson 42:2 48:4

join 23:2 33:13 34:15 37:9 38:4 48:18 69:17,20

joined 33:6 34:17 35:6,20 36:8 37:8 63:6

joining 48:20

joint 16:22 62:13

joking 93:2

Josh 8:20 14:18 15:8

judge 8:2 11:8 16:20 21:15 53:22 54:11,13 55:9 76:8 92:25 94:11

judges 19:10 61:20

judgment 73:25

judicial 41:24 42:1,2,6 45:25 46:13 59:4 65:8 76:1 94:20

judiciary 75:1

jurisdiction 36:22 68:1

jurisdictional 23:20

K

Kaye 9:6 33:13

Kemp 24:22

Kerling 81:13

key 45:17 82:15

kind 7:15 11:11 13:10 30:13 38:4 39:6 47:22 59:1 61:15,24 89:22

kinds 7:18 10:22 52:20

knew 73:11

knowing 67:17

knowingly 65:20 67:24

Kohl 51:10

L

lack 31:20 39:20

lacks 14:22

language 17:2 19:2 56:13 60:25 91:9

largely 63:17

late 32:2 33:2

Laurens 18:6,15

law 14:8 20:4 25:2, 22,24 26:2,4 27:6 28:2, 15 34:6,8 38:22 54:20 64:22 66:10

laws 20:4

lawsuit 32:15,20 42:2 47:20

lawyers 9:9 25:2

leads 51:4

leap 20:2

leave 74:11

leeway 84:25

left 72:20, 22 73:2,6, 11 88:11

legal 31:2 47:1 49:22 58:11

legislative

75:25

legislator 23:11

legislature 19:2 22:18 23:11,13 66:24 67:15 74:20,22

lengthy 51:13

letter 46:6, 8

let's 13:2 21:4 46:24 75:2

level 16:15 28:2

Library 89:13

lie 76:6

lieutenant 9:2 13:17, 22 16:4 17:13 41:20 43:9,18,24 44:9,15, 20,24 45:6 46:8 59:24 63:24 86:22

life 12:1 70:13

lightly 42:13

limited 84:24 93:4

Lindsey 9:2 12:11,17, 20,24 41:18 49:15 55:20 57:15,17 83:6,17,20 84:4 86:20 94:18

lines 30:20

list 84:15

litany 75:11

located 80:9

lock 82:15

logical 30:2,24

logistics 79:4

long 28:2 65:6 67:2 74:6 76:4 88:1

looked 72:9

lose 54:22

lost 38:11 50:8,9 53:10 61:1 72:6 79:1 87:15

lot 13:4,6 26:17 31:22 41:1

M

machine 47:18 55:18,20 68:4,22 76:25 78:4,6 85:11 87:24,25

machines 13:24,25 33:17 34:6 36:20 38:18,22 40:10,11, 15 53:2,6, 13 55:13 56:11 58:2,18 60:4 65:4, 15,18 66:11 67:13,17 70:4 71:11 72:10 74:11 75:4,17,18 76:4 77:11,13, 22 78:13, 17,20,22, 25 79:17 80:8,9,18, 22 81:2,6, 13,18,20 82:11,15, 17,22 83:1,4 84:2,4,11, 22 85:24 86:4 88:4 89:25 90:8,13, 18,20 91:2 93:13

made 19:13 23:20 31:4 33:9 47:6 50:15 51:22 67:6 81:10,11 83:22

mail 68:11

main 76:22

maintain 17:9

maintained 71:22

maintenance 53:4,11

major 11:25 43:4

make 6:6 12:20 17:10 20:2 21:18

26:10 29:4
31:17
35:2,4
41:17,18
50:22
52:24
53:18
63:22 68:6
70:13
71:24
73:10
82:20
93:22

makes 46:9
61:4 72:4
74:20

making 11:4
20:2 38:13
51:18
69:11
82:11

malfunction
47:18
55:20
78:15

malfunctioned
49:18
55:15

malfunctioning
13:22
56:11

malfunctions
55:17
76:25

malicious
13:24

malware
71:18
73:13

mandates
68:25

manipulation
13:24

margin 13:18
18:13 44:1
45:20
46:24
48:13
50:24 57:2
58:15,18
59:8

Marilyn 8:13

Marks 8:13

material
61:13

math 11:25
43:4 44:17
46:11
60:18,20
61:6

mathematical
43:2 48:6

matter 11:9
41:2,25
44:17
53:9,11
58:20
61:10
74:15

matters 42:6
73:10

matured 11:1

ma'am 15:22
28:25

Mcdonough
89:11 93:8

Mead 17:17
18:4,20
23:15,17
30:10 48:8
51:20
59:25
87:10

means 21:25
22:13
26:2,4
68:24 71:6
74:11
88:18,22
91:10

meant 21:10
22:20
23:24
26:13

mechanical
66:18

meet 20:25

meeting
77:15

memory
78:13,20
87:17
90:22,24
91:1

mentioned
14:18
49:13
77:25
90:13

mere 60:9

merits 25:17
52:1,2

methods
74:18

Miko 45:8,
10

mind 25:25
46:20
76:13
94:10

mine 83:11

minute 35:20

miscast
53:6,13

misconduct
31:2 50:4,
11 51:18
57:18 58:2

miscounted
45:20

missed 17:20

misspelled
87:9

misspoke
73:20

mistake 50:18 51:1 88:10

mistakes 78:8 87:2 92:2

mix 82:22

mode 56:24

modify 32:11

moment 62:6

months 72:22

moot 94:13

mooted 29:2

morning 8:18,22 9:2,10 11:4,6 13:1 29:20 76:11,13 81:11

motion 13:13 14:13 15:24 20:18 28:22 29:8,11,24 34:2,20 37:20 38:24 39:20 41:1,6 42:4 46:11 47:11 48:10 52:4 55:6 57:11 59:10 61:22 62:13,15 64:6 68:6 69:22 73:24,25 76:20,22 77:13,20 79:24 80:4,15 81:25 83:22 84:13,15 94:11

motions 9:15 12:25 13:4,6,13 29:2 31:8 37:22 38:1,4 41:10 47:10 48:11 54:18 63:1,11 74:1

move 14:22 59:2 94:4, 18

moved 77:1

moves 20:11

moving 20:20

multiple 14:11 27:11

municipal 17:1 32:13

Murray 31:9

N

nail 67:4

named 32:20

nation 71:18

national 70:18 71:4,6,11, 15 73:6 75:8

nature 50:1 71:22

necessarily 11:15 22:6 87:20

needed 32:18 45:13

nefarious 43:8,20

negative 45:6

negligent 43:20

neutral 65:8 67:4,6

nice 35:4

nominated 30:18

nomination 16:4,6

non-discriminatory 64:22

non-party 36:11

nondiscriminatory 67:6

note 53:20

noted 27:2 34:2 65:1

notes 51:20 53:8

notice 32:11 41:24 42:1,2,6 46:1,13 59:4 82:4 94:20

November 13:15 71:13 76:22 77:1 78:20,22

number 13:20 16:10 18:13 19:17 31:4,17 38:25 40:6 43:6,9,13, 15 44:6, 13,22 45:1,13,18 46:2,8

47:4 48:8 55:13 58:11,24 59:17 60:1,6 66:20 77:22 79:2 81:18 84:2

numbered 82:15

numbers 22:10 41:22 42:9,10 44:15 49:15 50:6 60:18 61:2,11 62:2 83:1 84:6 94:25

O

objection 6:11 11:9, 17 94:22, 25

objections 94:24

objects 80:4

occasionally 92:18

occurred 18:8,17 28:4 34:2 36:4

occurring 82:18

OCGA21-2-366 39:4

OCGA212250 22:1

OCGA212520 15:2 16:1

OCGA2143 22:13

oddly 86:22

offer 40:4 56:22

offered 56:22

office 11:15 16:6 19:25 30:22 42:10 82:20

officer 16:9 19:13,17 27:13,15

officers 27:11

official 24:22 25:11 42:9

omits 19:4

one-off 47:22

online 92:2

open 72:24 73:1,2

opening 49:2

operating 53:2 58:2

opinion 53:22

opportunity 12:6 54:18

oppose 84:13

opposed 6:6 18:11

opposing 64:11 83:15

optical 39:11

option 23:1 69:2

order 6:20, 22 7:22 18:13 33:6 40:9,20 41:15 48:2 59:2 62:17,18 77:20 89:22 92:13

ordered 40:8 50:4

orderly 78:2

original 33:2 83:8

originals 61:25

other's 69:25

outcome 43:2 46:9 60:10 81:22 83:20

outlined 42:22

over-votes 66:18

overwrite 78:20

owned 82:24

owns 82:22 83:1

P

p.m. 6:2

pack 62:11

pacts 69:11

pages 37:15 68:6 77:18,20

paper 40:11, 15 44:9, 11,22 56:20 66:15 67:8 68:4,15 71:22

74:18 87:8

papers 24:9

paragraph
33:15
47:18
63:22
65:11,18
67:22

paragraphs
75:10,18

pardon 33:11
88:20 91:4

part 11:18
53:1 60:15
89:10

partially
82:24

parties
14:20 30:8
31:11,18,
22 32:2,6
33:1,4,6
37:24 40:1
51:20

partner
14:18

party 9:24
10:2
12:13,17
17:15,24,
25 18:1
28:22
29:4,15,17
30:1,2
36:17
38:15
39:13

past 14:22,
24 20:20

pattern
55:17
86:22

Peenya 73:4

pending 11:8
12:4 13:2

people 7:20
42:11 44:6
57:20
68:11
75:22
91:24

percentage
45:10,11

percentages
32:25

perfect
66:15 74:9

period 55:11

person 16:4
30:18
42:15
68:4,11

perspective
82:13

persuaded
54:13

persuasive
53:20
54:2,17
56:15

pertain
14:15

petition
9:20 13:15
20:15
27:15,20
29:11
32:4,22
45:2 46:6,
13,25
47:2,8,10,
15,22
48:15
52:8,15
56:6 58:22
59:6
60:10,20
61:8,10
76:11
93:11

petitioner
44:2 45:2
84:1

petitioner's
66:2 83:20

petitioners
34:4 38:17
43:6 65:18
67:22

petitioners'
46:4 66:13

petitioner's
43:20
44:18
45:24
46:13

phonetic
80:13
81:13

phrase 22:11
57:4

physically
50:20

pick 31:15

picked 9:18

piece 36:18
68:4

pieces 48:25

Pinpoint 7:6

place 14:1
43:22
48:13
60:11 61:2
77:15

places 43:1
92:9

placing
60:15

plain 17:2
62:2 63:22

plaintiff
8:13 23:2
50:2 52:2,
17 53:10,
11 76:18

plaintiff's
58:22 64:2

80:13

plaintiffs 8:13 10:2, 24 12:6 13:15,20 14:10,13 17:11,15, 24 18:22 19:13 20:2,10 21:17 30:8 31:4 32:1, 13,18 33:9 34:9 35:15 38:24 39:18 42:22,25 43:6 47:6, 10,15,20 48:2,13 50:9 54:20 60:2,9 65:18 67:22 70:2 77:10 80:2,6,11, 15,20 81:6,10, 17,22 83:6,22

plaintiffs' 81:1

plaintiff's 10:18 14:2 20:24 21:24 27:4,6,10 94:18

plan 88:2

plausible 56:22

plead 48:2 60:1,10 61:11

pleading 21:1 59:15

pleadings 41:11 42:24 61:24 80:11

pled 60:4 61:11 81:20

plenary 36:25

point 18:2 27:4 29:2, 4 37:22 38:13 40:25 41:4 42:24 46:4 63:18 69:18 84:18

pointe 32:6 69:11

pointed 20:13 48:24 80:20

points 35:15 59:13

policy 49:24

politically 67:6

poll 36:20 65:20

position 11:13,15 12:4 24:6 25:22 31:25 33:4,11,18 39:15 40:6,13 52:11 79:24 84:18

possibility 54:20 74:13

possibly 87:13

postpone 93:22

postponed 93:25

posture 46:22 51:4 70:6

potential 75:6

power 36:25 37:1

practical 82:13

precedent 18:2 26:10 48:2 52:11

precedential 18:2 27:4

precinct 77:24 79:2 85:9

precincts 80:8,10 81:20,24 84:20,22 85:13

precise 18:2

present 19:6 55:18 93:18,20

presented 51:11

preserve 31:9

presidential 66:17

pressure 94:2

presumed 19:1 46:22 60:13 74:22

pretend 9:11

prevail

18:13

prevailed
52:2

prevent
75:13

previously
41:20

price 66:22

primary
16:6,9
31:1

privilege
7:18

probate
16:20
19:10

problem
30:11
32:11
50:1,15
52:22
56:17,18
85:11

problems
31:6
33:17,18
49:11
52:20
66:22
72:18
88:6,8
91:2

procedural
49:24 51:4
70:6

procedure
32:13
68:17

procedures
32:4 42:15

proceeded
51:15

proceeding
47:11

proceedings
6:13

process
61:13
63:15,18
64:9,15
65:11 66:6
67:18 70:4
72:10 74:4
75:1,13

production
72:11
76:24

proffer
26:18
81:11 82:4

profoundly
54:4

program
90:22

programmed
13:24 91:8

programming
47:22
78:13
79:17
86:9,18
87:2,18
88:4,9
91:2,4,6

programs
36:20

prohibits
14:8 67:9
74:22

projecting
54:25

prone 66:18

proof 50:6
53:9,11
54:6,8,10
85:17

proper 9:24
11:17
17:13,15
20:9
21:11,18,
20,22
22:22
23:9,10
24:1 26:25
28:22 29:4
30:1,2
32:2 36:1,
6 37:4
38:15
39:17 40:1
48:9,11

properly
45:20 47:2
57:20
58:1,2,6,
25 75:25

propose 77:4

proposed
62:17,18
77:20

proposing
83:6

proposition
39:6

propositions
19:22

pros 65:6
67:2

prospective
70:6

prospectively
70:2

protection
63:15,18
64:9 66:4
67:18 68:6
69:1,10,13
70:4,22
74:11 76:2

protocol
78:1 79:10

prove 37:4,6
53:10,11,
15 54:11,
18,22 55:6
56:1,8

84:11

proven 54:13,22 55:4

provide 34:4 64:11

provided 26:18 34:9 83:13

providing 38:17

provision 59:18 64:22

provisions 40:17

public 16:9 19:13,17, 25 27:13 41:25 46:13 59:2 72:24 73:11 86:20 88:17,24 89:2,4,6 93:17,18

pull 90:15, 17

purposefully 19:2

purposes 19:8

Pursuant 15:2

put 28:22 46:25 59:15 67:4 94:10

Q

quantifiable 50:2,18 51:1 52:22

quarrel 50:18

question 16:11 19:18,20 29:1 30:4 36:6 61:17,18 64:6,15,18 73:18 80:20

questions 30:2 32:24 36:9 46:17 79:22

quick 59:13

quickly 59:13 79:10 87:6 94:18

quote 24:22 25:11 50:10 51:11 52:13 53:4 69:4

quoting 64:20

R

race 13:17, 22 18:6 26:4 27:18 41:20 43:9,15, 17,18,24 44:6,9,15, 20,24 45:6,11 46:10 56:2 57:2 58:15 59:24 86:22

races 13:22 14:4 43:11,15 46:15

rain 72:20 73:6

raise 10:13 23:18 84:6

raised 10:11 27:25 39:4,6,18 44:22 74:6 84:6

raising 12:10

ramifications 90:9

random 80:18

rate 57:1

rational 64:18,20 65:2,9,15 66:9,10

reaching 85:2

read 26:17, 18 35:20 51:6 63:4

reading 23:22

ready 83:2

real 70:13

reality 74:13

realize 15:8,13, 15,18

reason 15:6 18:15 22:18 36:15 59:9 65:2 68:22 84:11

reasonable 14:2 54:20 64:22 65:1,6 67:2,6 77:15 79:20

reasons 29:13 50:9 57:10

recall 18:22 27:10,13, 18 28:18

recap 92:20

received 45:11

recent 73:15

recently 72:9

record 6:13 7:8,11,17 8:6,11 23:15 34:22 35:4 46:13 50:11 70:22 72:6 75:17 87:15 88:17,24 89:2,4,6 93:17,18

records 41:25 59:2

recount 68:17 74:13

reference 81:15

referenced 30:10 47:9 82:6

references 33:15

referencing 86:4

referendum 19:22 58:4

referred 27:11 86:20

referring 19:9 64:15

reflected 49:18

reflective 26:2

regard 12:13,17

registration 16:22 17:8,9 19:10 65:20

Registrations 8:25 16:24 19:11 29:22 34:4 38:15 39:1,4,11, 15

regulation 68:9

regulatory 64:25

rejected 31:2 47:2

relate 33:2

related 31:2

relating 79:15

relation 32:18

relevant 9:18 20:20 25:20 26:18 32:15 37:22

relied 71:10

relief 10:25 26:11 30:9 33:6 34:4 37:2 38:17 53:15 57:11 63:22 70:6

relies 57:22

relieve 52:17

relook 76:11

rely 17:15 60:9 70:8

relying 47:13

remedied 63:25

remedy 40:4 42:13 66:20

remember 42:11 47:13

repeatedly 14:6 57:22 80:20

report 25:20 71:4,6

report's 9:18

reported 24:20 25:15

reports 66:1

repository 36:18

represent 8:24 18:18

representative 42:13

representatives 65:6 67:2

request 6:6 80:6 83:20 84:22,25

requesting 85:2

require 22:20 39:1

required 30:9 36:15

42:22
43:18
49:22 50:2
59:15,20
69:1 77:6

requirement
37:2

requirements
21:1 49:22

requires
34:8 38:22

reserved
42:13

residual
46:8

resolved
74:2

resources
88:2

respect
21:24
37:13
39:17
84:18

respond
36:24 49:4

responded
79:22

response
14:13 34:9
38:24
47:11 68:6
76:2

responses
27:1

responsible
70:18

rest 63:17

restrictions
64:22

result
42:18,25
43:8,13
46:22
47:4,6
48:15
60:11,22
61:2 80:22
81:2,6

resulted
53:13
58:22 84:8

resulting
53:6

results
13:15 14:2
36:20,22
46:1,15
55:15
60:15
73:15
92:1,4
94:22

retired
62:11

return 53:18

returned
60:13

reversed
52:13

reversing
53:6

review 51:9
64:17
65:10
84:22

reviewed
70:15

revisited
73:25

rightly 27:2

rights 64:24
72:1,11
73:9

rise 64:4

risk- 75:11

risks 66:13,
25 67:17

Robbins 25:4

Robyn 8:8

routine
29:11

rule 6:6,
13,20
18:25 19:6
23:2 42:4
45:22
76:10 77:2

ruled 40:1
76:11

rules 7:6,8,
20 41:4
61:22
63:20 94:2

ruling 53:6,
25 83:22

run 33:4
79:6 91:17

runoff 16:6
17:20
78:18

Russia 73:4

Russo 6:18
8:18 11:6
13:13
15:22 16:1
20:15,18,
22,24
21:2,6,9,
13 23:15
24:2 27:2
28:25
29:2,10,13
30:9 38:2,
6 41:8,11,
13 59:11
62:13,15
63:6 76:18
80:1 82:4
88:15,18,
22 89:22
90:6,10,
11,17
91:10,18,
22 92:2,6,
10,11,17

94:15,24

S

sacrificed 74:18
sample 80:18
scanning 39:11
scenario 30:6 31:15 46:24 59:6
scheduled 93:20
School 89:13 93:9
Sciences 71:4,8,11 73:6 75:8
scientist 70:22
scientists 71:4,6 79:18
Scoggins 51:25
scope 81:18
screen 56:4
scrutiny 64:18
seal 82:15
seals 82:15
Secretary 8:18,20 11:6,13,15 13:4,13 15:4,6,20 16:11,13 17:4,6,10, 13,22,25 19:20 20:8 21:11,17, 20,22 22:13,15, 22 23:1, 22,25 24:4,22 25:6,11, 13,15 26:4,8,24 27:6,11,15 28:1,15,20 29:6,25 35:17 36:11,17, 20,22 40:18 42:9 43:15,24 46:1 63:6 69:17 72:22 73:10 80:2,4 81:10 82:4,20 86:17
section 6:20 19:2 28:9, 17,18,20 61:25
sections 14:11
secure 71:10
security 7:4,24 70:18 71:15 75:9 83:2,4
seek 16:6 34:4 63:22 77:4
seeking 12:15,18 37:2 38:18 70:6 79:15 84:1 85:18
seeks 84:22
Select 70:20
Senate 70:20
send 62:10 76:11
sense 11:4 12:20 89:18 90:4 93:22
sensitive 81:4 82:15
separate 36:9 37:9 42:2,4 72:18
separately 21:2 62:18
September 71:4,11
serial 77:22 79:2 82:25
served 39:20,22
servers 85:22 93:13,15
service 39:20
set 15:13 52:17 79:6,10 91:24
sets 68:6
setting 26:10 42:11
severely 65:22
sheet 38:11
Sheffield 17:17 18:4,20 23:15,17 51:20
Shelley 66:11
She's 46:10
short 27:13 44:1,17 45:13,15

46:2 59:8

shot 35:15

show 18:11 40:6 42:25 45:18 50:2 51:22 58:15 59:20 60:1 80:20 83:24 89:4 92:1 94:20

showing 48:25 53:4

shown 25:15 55:15

shows 57:2

side 33:10

sign 7:22 77:4

signaling 50:13

signed 7:2, 4,25 27:15

significantly 47:25

similar 10:10 27:17,20 52:9

similarly 50:6 53:4 67:25

Simple 91:9

simplistic 11:25

simply 12:13,17 41:22 43:4 45:9 46:11 55:20 56:24 64:10 68:18

single 22:25 57:6 70:18 71:2 85:13 86:22,25 87:2,24,25

sir 8:11,17 61:17 64:13

Sistrunk 19:4

sit 79:9

sitting 50:20 77:25

situated 67:25

situation 31:13 52:20 58:4

sleep 92:18

slews 71:2

slight 41:4

sofa 73:2

sole 52:11

sort 35:15 40:4 42:22 70:6 72:15 73:13 87:6

source 71:2 88:22

sovereign 21:20,22 23:18 26:8,24 63:18

space 77:15

speak 33:18, 20

speaking 18:20

speaks 76:2

specific 16:2 31:22 56:11 59:15,18 75:4 76:2 82:25 84:6 86:6

specifically 16:18 69:2 74:10 75:2 77:8 93:8

specificity 48:6 60:10

specifics 31:20

speculating 14:8

speculation 48:4 60:9

split 13:10

spot 12:2

stand 27:4

standard 64:17 65:11

standing 14:22

start 32:2 53:20

starters 80:6

state 8:18, 20 11:6,13 13:18 15:4,6,20 16:13,15 17:4,6,8, 10,13,22 18:1 19:20 20:8 21:11,17, 22 22:13, 15,22 23:1,22,25 24:4,22 25:6,11, 13,17 26:4,6,8, 25 27:8, 11,15,17

28:1,15,22 29:6 30:1 31:6 34:6, 8 35:17 36:11,13, 17 38:22 46:1 47:2, 17 48:2,17 52:4,8,15 55:15 57:11 63:6 64:8,22 66:4 67:18 68:9,22,25 70:18 72:20,22 73:11 80:2,4 81:6,11 82:4,24 86:17 87:15 92:4

state's 13:4,13 40:18 42:10 53:20 64:24 66:4 69:17 82:20

state-based 69:8

state-imposed 76:6

stated 14:6 20:13 27:6 41:20 47:15 58:20 80:15

statement 49:2

statements 75:15

states 27:11 28:10 71:18

statewide 13:22 17:9,11 18:4 22:13,20, 25 23:2,15 24:1 26:4 27:6,18 28:1 30:11 33:22 43:10 49:20 55:20 56:13 85:10 94:22

State's 11:15 43:15,25

statistically 80:17

status 37:15,20

statute 9:17 19:2 21:25 23:24 26:6 32:11,17 33:4

statutes 37:1

statutory 19:1,6

stay 10:15 12:8,22 13:2 36:6 49:9

step 11:20, 24 14:20 21:4,6,10 59:1

steps 40:13

Stiles 50:4 51:2 57:22

stipulate 94:18

stood 25:17

stop 40:25

strict 64:17

strictly 20:4

strikes 57:4

struck 57:4, 9

stuck 9:8

stuff 37:17, 18 61:18 63:4

stumbling 91:17

styling 25:13

subject 20:25 47:2 91:20

subjectively 49:22

submit 48:15 62:13,15 92:13

submitted 16:11 19:18

subsection 16:2,20 19:15

subsident 74:4

substantial 36:15 60:22

substantially 70:1 72:10

substantiate 59:20

sued 24:22, 25 25:11 30:8 31:6 32:10 37:4

sufficient 47:4,6 48:13

60:11 61:8
64:8,25

suggest
54:15
73:15

suggested
92:20

suggesting
54:22

suggestion
85:15

suit 37:2

summary
73:25

superintendent
16:8,13,18
17:10,13
18:22 19:8
20:6 22:1,
2,13,15,18
26:2,4
27:6 28:2,
11 30:6,25
57:24

superintendents 16:8,15
18:6 20:10
28:15

supervised
89:18

supervisor
24:24
25:13

support
33:11
52:11

suppose
80:13

supposed
62:11
91:13

supposedly
9:18 89:18

Supreme 14:6
23:20
32:6,11
45:18
48:2,4,9
49:25
50:4,13
51:13,17,
25 52:13
53:4 56:13
58:8 64:11
65:1,24
66:2 67:13

sustained
57:13

system 38:20
39:8 48:25
49:11,18
53:20,22
54:4 55:22
56:11 61:4
65:20
66:15
70:11,13,
15,20
71:9,17,
18,20,24
72:2,20,22
73:1,4
74:9,20
84:6 86:18
87:4 89:20

systemic
55:20 61:4
85:10 88:4

systems
39:13
55:10 65:6
66:20 67:8
69:6
74:11,18
78:15 79:6

T

table 7:11
8:13

tabulates
36:20
86:18

Taggart
52:6,18
53:4,9
56:13

tailored
85:2

taking 42:1,
2 58:13
94:20

talk 43:4
75:2

talked 74:6

talking
40:11,25
42:8 74:10
75:22

talks 74:20,
24 75:18

targeted
88:4

technology
90:2

tediously
59:4

telephone
51:18

Temple 89:15

term 22:18

terms 40:4
41:25
43:20 44:4
45:4,11,24
47:13 48:6
49:22
53:18
55:18
58:17
63:18
82:11 85:2

test 43:2
64:20
65:2,9
66:9

testified
80:13
81:11

testimony 55:18 82:6

That'll 12:24

that's 9:11, 13,18,20 10:6,22 18:17 19:4 23:11 26:22 28:15 29:13 32:11 33:6 34:6 37:9 39:2,8 40:4,8,11, 17,20 42:18,22 43:13,25 44:25 45:17,22 49:1 75:2 77:1 89:8 90:18 91:22

there's 20:1,6 22:18 27:13 32:4,18 37:2 44:6 78:4 88:9 89:24 90:13 93:15

they're 10:10 31:18 38:18 40:2 47:11 75:20 90:1 91:11

they've 31:6 75:20

thing 25:15 42:8 44:2 46:20 47:13 70:24 90:18

things 7:18 36:22 43:6 54:18,24 61:15 74:24 77:6 86:20 94:8

third-party 14:4 27:17

Thomas 58:8

thought 21:9

thousands 33:15,18

threat 71:24

threshold 14:15 48:1

thumb 45:22

thwart 66:20

till 88:11

time 11:20, 24 12:2 23:22 32:18 33:11 39:20 67:13 77:15 84:24 88:1,2 92:6,18

times 10:13 27:11

Title 15:2 18:24

today 7:15 9:15,18 11:22 26:18 37:6 40:13 82:2

told 70:18 85:25

Tolson 19:4

total 43:8, 17,24,25 48:25 58:13

totally 56:18 58:4 71:18

totals 56:20

Totenberg 11:8 53:22 54:15 81:13

Totenberg's 55:9

touches 21:18

touchscreen 66:20 67:8 69:6 74:10,18, 20

tower 79:8

traditional 66:15 74:18

trail 82:15

transcript 82:4

transient 72:6

transposing 22:10

treated 67:24 68:15

trial 40:6 50:10,13 51:6,11, 13,15,17, 24 52:2,6, 10 53:6 54:15 69:2,4 93:20

troublesome 78:25

true 49:13 55:9,10

trusted 71:1

truth 45:9

type 40:10 52:20 59:15,20, 22 74:15 75:11

types 66:22 75:17

Tyson 8:22 29:20,22 46:18,20 69:17,22 82:9 83:9, 13 88:13

U

ultimately 83:22

unanimous 71:6

under-vote 55:20 56:18 57:9

under-votes 66:18

underlying 63:20 78:20

understand 9:13,17,22 15:24 21:9 26:20 28:9 40:2 61:20 79:18 87:6 91:13

understanding 67:17 86:11

understands 46:11

understood 90:11

undertaken 42:13 73:13

undervote 49:11,18

unexplainable 55:17

unexplained 49:18 56:18

unfettered 80:2 81:4

unfortunate 74:13

unnecessary 81:8

unrefuted 39:18

unrelated 80:10

unreliability 56:10

unreliable 55:11 70:25 72:1

unverified 47:24

uphill 62:2

urge 52:9

utilizing 69:6

V

valid 31:13 46:22 60:6 80:17,22

variegation 20:4

variety 31:11

verifying 74:13

versus 8:8 44:10 45:11

victory 13:18 18:13 44:1 45:20 53:15 58:15,18 59:8

views 76:4

Vince 80:1

Vincent 8:18 11:6

violate 72:10

violated 69:10

violating 71:25

violation 42:15 70:4 73:6,8

violations 42:17

virtually 21:18 66:8

virus 73:2

vote 18:11 19:22 34:13 39:1,2 44:4,6 56:20 63:15,22 65:13,22 66:6 68:2, 4,11,20,24 69:6 72:2, 6 74:13

voted 44:6 45:4 67:24 68:1

voter 17:8,9 34:11 65:20 66:20

68:20 72:4

voter-verified 74:18

voters 19:22 34:13 64:24 68:11,15 69:2,8 72:1,11 74:11 76:4

votes 13:20 14:4 16:10 17:20 19:17 31:2 41:22 43:9,13, 15,17,22, 24,25 44:1,24 45:1,2,10, 11,13,15, 18 46:2,8 47:1,4,17 50:2 53:6, 13 55:22 57:20 58:1,6,13, 18,20,24, 25 59:6,18 71:1 72:2, 4 80:20, 22,25 81:2 83:25 84:1 86:22 87:18

voting 13:24,25 38:20 39:6,13 44:10 45:4 50:1 53:6, 13 55:17, 22 60:4 66:20 68:1 69:6 74:11 80:4,8 81:6 82:13 85:11

vulnerabilities 75:6,9,10

vulnerability 66:22

vulnerable 54:4 73:8

W

waiting 60:13

waived 10:25 21:22 75:25

walked 59:4

wanted 38:10

watch 89:18, 25

ways 49:20

Weber 66:11, 15 74:8

WEDNESDAY 6:2

weeks 62:22

weigh 65:6 67:2

weighing 75:13

weighty 61:2

Wexler 64:20 70:8,9

we'll 7:15 11:20 13:8 36:13 92:2

we're 9:11, 15,20 12:17 13:13 33:2 37:2 46:20 92:20

we've 45:22 77:22 79:2 90:13

what's 42:22 78:8

whip 35:15

who's 8:11

wide 84:6 87:4

widespread 50:11,15 93:1

Willard 25:2

win 54:25 87:13

winner 45:20

wiped 45:20

witnesses 7:15

won 41:20

wonderful 49:2

won't 7:2

word 19:6 35:11 65:1

words 45:15 58:15 68:2 88:8

work 62:22 79:6 82:25 89:8 91:15

working 57:8

works 9:11 48:2

worry 62:1 63:11

worse 73:10

worst 32:24

worst-case 59:6

Worth 31:11 89:13

wouldn't 15:13

wrap 19:18 20:6

write-in
44:22

wrong 17:20,
22 57:2,4
87:11,18
91:8

Y

y'all 22:4

year 25:4
62:11
81:17

years 24:2
72:15

yesterday
24:6 42:20

you'll 75:10

you're 26:20
30:22 32:6
34:13
41:24
45:15
88:10

you've 29:8
40:20
45:18
93:13

0001

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J.	.	CIVIL ACTION FILE NO.: 2018-CV-313418
MARTIN, SMYTH DUVAL, and JEANNE DUFORT,	.	Taken at:
Plaintiffs,	.	Superior Court of Cobb County
vs.	.	70 Haynes Street
ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN,	.	Courtroom 2000
Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.	.	Marietta, Georgia 30090
Defendants.	.	

. . . . . .

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING PROCEEDINGS

WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 9, 2019
8:59 a.m. to 11:04 a.m.

STATE OF GEORGIA SENIOR JUDGE ADELE P. GRUBBS

REPORTED BY:

PRISCILLA GARCIA, COURT REPORTER
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF GEORGIA

0002

APPEARANCES:

Plaintiffs Counsel: Bruce P. Brown, Esquire

Bruce P. Brown Law
Floataway Business Complex

1123 Zonolite Road N.E.
Suite 6

Atlanta, Georgia 30306
404-881-0700
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com

Defendants Counsel: John Belinfante, Esquire

Robbins, Ross, Alloy, Belinfante
& Littlefield, LLC

500 14th Street N.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30318

404-856-3262
jbelinfante@robbinsfirm.com

22
23
24
25
0003
1 CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:
2
Defendants Counsel: Vincent R. Russo, Esquire
3
4 Robbins, Ross, Alloy, Belinfante
& Littlefield, LLC
5
6 999 Peachtree Street N.E.
Suite 1120
7
8 Atlanta, Georgia 30309
9 404-856-3260
10 vrusso@robbinsfirm.com
11
Defendants Associate Counsel:
12
13 Alexander F. Denton, Esquire
Robbins, Ross, Allot, Belinfante
14
15 & Littlefield, LLC
500 14th Street N.W.
16
17 Atlanta, Georgia 30318
18 404-856-3276
adenton@robbinsfirm.com
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
0004
1 CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:
2
Defendants Counsel: Edward H. Lindsey, Esquire
3
4 Dentons US, LLP
303 Peachtree Street N.E.
5
6 Suite 5300
Atlanta, Georgia 30308
7
8 404-527-4580
9 edward.lindsey@dentons.com
10
11 Defendants Counsel: Kaye Woodard Burwell, Esquire
Office of the County Attorney
12
13 141 Pryor Street S.W.
Suite 4038
14
15 Atlanta, Georgia 30303
404-612-0251
16

17 kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov
18
Defendants Counsel: Bryan P. Tyson, Esquire
19
20 Strickland, Brockington & Lewis, LLP
21
22 1170 Peachtree Street N.E.
Atlanta, Georgia 30309
23
24 404-219-3160
25 bryan.tyson@sbllaw.com
0005
1 I-N-D-E-X
2 EXHIBITS: DESCRIPTION PAGE
NONE
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
0006
1 COBB COUNTY, GEORGIA
2 WEDNESDAY, January 9, 2018 - 8:59 p.m.
3
4 * * * * * * *
5
6 THE COURT: On this case we have a request on Rule 22 who is opposed to make -- that request. Is anybody --
7
8 MS. BURWELL: (inaudible)
9 THE COURT: This is on the case. This is on the
10 Coalition case.
11 MS. BURWELL: Right.
THE COURT: Does anyone have any objection to the
12
13 Rule 22? -- the cameraman wants to record the proceedings.
14
15 MR. BELINFANTE: No, Your Honor.
MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor.
16

17 THE COURT: Everyone's saying no.
18 MR. RUSSO: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. Then I will grant it. I find that
19
20 it is covered under Section -- Rule 22. I have an order
confirming.
21
22 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you.
THE COURT: Ive got the order in front of me. Ive
23
24 got it.
25 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Will they know downstairs?
0007
1 THE COURT: Im sorry.
2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Will they know downstairs? If I
dont have it signed, they wont let me go through
3
4 security. If I dont have a signed --
THE COURT: I just signed it.
5
6 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Oh, okay.
THE COURT: Pinpoint the rules though. I need to
7
8 explain to you what the rules are. You can only record
9 when Im in courtroom.
10 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay.
11 THE COURT: Okay. You cannot record anything from
counsels table.
12
13 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay.
THE COURT: Okay. Or any conversation they may have.
14
15 I don't think there are any witnesses. It's not that kind
of hearing today, but well see. It's not -- yet and
16
17 we'll see. Dont record anything that they've got
18 privilege and all those kinds of things. So be very
careful on that.
19
20 The rules say no bench conferences. I cant imagine
me trying to hold a bench conference with these people.
21
22 But we'll -- all Im doing -- okay. So, I will sign the
order. When you say downstairs, what do you mean?
23
24 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: To get through security I have to
25 have a signed form to get through.
0008
1 THE COURT: Okay.
2 THE BAILIFF: Ill take care of that, Judge.
THE COURT: Okay.
3
4 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you.
THE COURT: There you go. I don't -- Ive got a
5
6 couple of them, okay. Jim, I'll let him handle it, okay.
Okay. So, we have a good record, this is the Coalition
7
8 for Good Governance and various individuals versus Robyn
9 Crittenden, Fulton County, and Gwinnett County.

10 Can you each, Counsel, introduce yourselves for the
11 record so I have a record of whos here? Yes, sir.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, Bruce Brown for the
12
13 plaintiffs. With me at counsel table is Marilyn Marks,
the executive director of plaintiff Coalition for Good
14
15 Governance.
THE COURT: I will allow that right now. We'll see
16
17 where we go. Yes, sir.
18 MR. RUSSO: Good morning, Your Honor. Vincent Russo
for the Georgia Secretary of State.
19
20 MR. BELINFANTE: Josh Belinfante also for the
Secretary of State, Your Honor.
21
22 THE COURT: Okay.
MR. TYSON: Good morning, Your Honor. Bryan Tyson
23
24 and with me is Dick Carruthers. We represent the Gwinnett
25 Board of Registrations and Elections.
0009
1 THE COURT: Okay.
2 MR. LINDSEY: Good morning, Your Honor. Edward
Lindsey on behalf of Lieutenant Governor-elect Geoff
3
4 Duncan.
THE COURT: Okay.
5
6 MS. BURWELL: Kaye Burwell here on behalf of Fulton
County.
7
8 THE COURT: How did you get stuck with this?
9 MS. BURWELL: There too many lawyers there this
10 morning, Your Honor.
11 THE COURT: Were trying to pretend you're not here.
I gotcha. I got it. I know how that works, okay. Thats
12
13 it. As I understand it, DeKalb County has been dismissed?
MR. BROWN: Thats correct, Your Honor.
14
15 THE COURT: In looking at -- were here -- let me be
clear on this. Were here on motions today. This is not
16
17 evidentiary. Understand the statute of evidence has been
18 supposedly filed, the report's picked it up. Thats not
relevant today. It's got nothing to do with today.
19
20 Thats not what were here about, okay. Were here
for the four corners of the petition; thats all were
21
22 here about. So I need to be clear about that. I
understand though, Mr. Brown, is that you have agreed that
23
24 the Coalition is not a proper party as to the election
25 contest; is that correct?
0010
1 MR. BROWN: That is correct, Your Honor. The
2 Coalition is a party to the Federal 1983 claims, and the

individual plaintiffs are the contestants in the election
3
4 contest.
THE COURT: Okay. And then with that covered, in
5
6 count 2 and count 3 are federal claims; is that correct?
MR. BROWN: Thats correct, Your Honor.
7
8 THE COURT: Okay. Are those not being contested in
9 federal court right now?
10 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor. Theyre -- similar
11 claims have been raised in federal court, but these are --
these claims are also raised in this case.
12
13 THE COURT: Well, how many times can you raise the
same claim? I mean, if this is a federal -- Im going to
14
15 hear from everybody. If this is a federal issue, then why
dont I just stay it and let the federals decide what it
16
17 is?
18 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the plaintiffs claims are
right to be asserted here, and it may be that they -- that
19
20 those --
THE COURT: You cant keep asserting them in all
21
22 different kinds of -- of courtrooms.
MR. BROWN: Thats correct, Your Honor. But had --
23
24 had the plaintiffs not asserted them, they could have
25 waived their right to the relief, if that relief were
0011
1 deemed right before the other ones matured. The federal
2 court --
THE COURT: Let me hear from here from the -- from
3
4 the defendants on that issue. Don't mean to -- if I'm
making any sense this morning.
5
6 MR. RUSSO: Good morning, Your Honor. Vincent Russo
for the Secretary of State. This -- these are claims that
7
8 are pending and the case before Judge Totenberg in a
9 criminal matter. We dont have any objection to you also
10 considering those claims. We will do as you determine.
11 THE COURT: Okay.
MR. DENTON: And Your Honor, for Gwinnett, we're kind
12
13 of in the same position as Secretary of State.
THE COURT: Okay.
14
15 MR. DENTON: We're in the same position as the
Secretary of States Office, and we dont have necessarily
16
17 an objection. We dont think we're really proper to be
18 part of the federal constitutional claims --
THE COURT: (inaudible)
19
20 MR. DENTON: -- so we can deal with that later. Yes.

THE COURT: Well take one step at a time.

21

22 MR. DENTON: Yes.

THE COURT: Im trying to take -- this is today,

23

24 okay. Im trying to take it one step at a time, okay.

25 I'm fairly simplistic about -- Im a math major, okay.

0012

1 Explain life to me. You know, we're going to do it like

2 that. I do better if I keep my brain in one spot at a time. Fulton County, what do you say?

3

4 MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, on behalf of Fulton County, we take the position that the federal claims are pending

5

6 in federal court, and this is just another opportunity for these same plaintiffs to bring the same claim again, and

7

8 we agree with the Court that you want to stay the federal

9 issues, the constitutional issues they claim that they're

10 raising.

11 THE COURT: Mr. Lindsey.

MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I dont believe that Geoff

12

13 Duncan is really a party to the second and third count. They're simply a party in regard to the first count,

14

15 seeking a new election.

THE COURT: Is it -- I'm sorry?

16

17 MR. LINDSEY: Were simply a party in regard to the

18 first count, seeking a new election.

THE COURT: Right. But you're not really involved

19

20 in --

MR. LINDSEY: It certainly does, however, make sense

21

22 for you to stay.

THE COURT: You're right.

23

24 MR. LINDSEY: Thatll do it.

25 THE COURT: Okay. Then I will hear the motions this

0013

1 morning on that, but Im still not convinced I'm going to

2 stay, okay. Because its pending somewhere else. With that said, then lets go -- let me see. Ill hear -- let

3

4 me hear the Secretary of State's motions first, okay.

Let -- what we're going to do -- a lot of them -- a

5

6 lot of them are the same. A lot of the motions are the same, so Im going to -- I'm going to let you go first,

7

8 and then well go from there, okay.

9 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. And the

10 defendants counsel, we did try to split up kind of all

11 arguments so that you arent hearing --

THE COURT: Whatever you want to do, Mr. Brown.

12

13 MR. RUSSO: Were here on Secretary of State's motion

to dismiss, along with the motions to dismiss filed by our
14
15 co-defendants. As you're aware, plaintiffs filed a petition contesting the results of the November 6, 2018,
16
17 general election and the race for lieutenant governor for
18 the State of Georgia.
In that election the margin of victory was 123,172
19
20 votes. The plaintiffs in this case claim that the only explanations for the difference in the number of votes in
21
22 the lieutenant governors race and other statewide races is that there were malfunctioning or erroneously
23
24 programmed voting machines or malicious manipulation of
25 the voting machines.
0014
1 That that -- that those issues place in doubt the
2 results of the election. Now plaintiffs, of course, completely disregard other reasonable explanations for the
3
4 differences in the votes, such as the fact that there were third-party candidates in the other races and incumbents,
5
6 but that's for another day.
The Supreme Court has repeatedly stated that Georgia
7
8 law prohibits a contestant from merely speculating or
9 guessing as to the cause of an election contest. Here we
10 have -- the plaintiffs have asserted grounds under 2125 --
11 excuse me, 2102 520, under multiple different sections of the election page, excuse me.
12
13 And the motion to dismiss, their response to the motion to dismiss has them discuss -- the plaintiffs have
14
15 expanded the grounds for their contest to -- to additional ones. So, there are threshold issues that pertain to our
16
17 client, which Ill address first.
18 My partner, Josh Belinfante, will address the federal constitutional claims, and as I mentioned the other
19
20 parties will address those claims. Your Honor, has already taken and confirmed the first step here that the
21
22 Coalition for Good Governance lacks standing to file an election contest claim, so we're going to move past --
23
24 past that.
25 As Your Honor knows, election contests are authorized
0015
1 and governed by the Georgia Election Code, which is in
2 Chapter 2 of Title 21. Pursuant to OCGA212520, there are only certain individuals who can be a defendant in an
3
4 election contest and none of those individuals in -- in this context would be the Secretary of State, which is the
5

6 first reason for dismissing the Secretary of State in the election contest.
7
8 THE COURT: Okay. I didnt -- Josh, I didn't realize
9 you had to go back to the courtroom.
10 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Yes.
11 THE COURT: Okay.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: (inaudible)
12
13 THE COURT: I didnt realize that they wouldnt let you go through. Let me give you a chance to get set up
14
15 because that was not -- I didn't realize that.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: I'm good.
16
17 THE COURT: It gets confusing because everybody has a
18 right to be heard, and I didnt realize they wouldn't let you bring it in, okay. But the fact that she -- that your
19
20 allegation is the Secretary of State should not be a defendant, let me hear that. That doesn't apply to
21
22 anybody else. Let me hear that one first.
MR. RUSSO: Yes, maam.
23
24 THE COURT: I understand it's a motion to dismiss,
25 but it's an issue that's out in front. Go ahead.
0016
1 MR. RUSSO: Of course. Under OCGA212520,
2 subsection 2, a defendant is defined as -- as four different specific classes. A defendant could be either
3
4 the person whose nomination election is contested such as the lieutenant governor elect in this case, the person
5
6 whose eligibility to seek any nomination or office in a runoff primary or election is contested, the election
7
8 superintendent or superintendents who conducted the
9 contested primary or election, or the public officer who
10 formally declared the number of votes for and against a
11 ballot question submitted to the electors at an election.
Under the Georgia Election Code, the Secretary of
12
13 State is not an election superintendent. Secretary of State does not conduct elections. Elections are conducted
14
15 in the State of Georgia by -- at the county level, by the county superintendents.
16
17 Under the definitions, the general definitions that
18 are applicable to the Georgia Election Code, a superintendent is specifically defined in 2122, 2,
19
20 subsection 35, as either a judge of the probate court of a county or the County Board of Elections, the County Board
21
22 of Elections and Registration, the joint City and County Board of Elections, or the joint City Board of Elections
23

24 and Registrations, if a county has one.
25 And there are -- there are three other categories,
0017
1 which apply to municipal elections. None of those are
2 applicable here. As Your -- as Your Honor can tell here,
you know, the plain language in the definition does not
3
4 include the Secretary of State, and -- and -- and that
really goes to the fact that the Secretary of State,
5
6 again, doesn't conduct elections.
Where the Secretary of State -- the Secretary of
7
8 State is obviously involved in voter registration and must
9 maintain a statewide voter registration database, but that
10 doesn't make the Secretary of State a superintendent. The
11 county -- the -- the plaintiffs have alleged that or have
argued that, you know, since its a statewide election
12
13 involving a lieutenant governor, that the Secretary of
State must -- must be a proper -- must be a superintendent
14
15 and must be a proper party.
The defendant -- or excuse me, the plaintiffs rely on
16
17 the case Mead vs. Sheffield, which involved a court of
18 appeals election contest, and in that case the -- in that
case, it was a 2004 election contest in which one of the
19
20 -- the contestant missed the runoff by about 380 votes,
because he had -- there was the wrong -- and there was a
21
22 wrong name on the ballot.
We do not know why the Secretary of State in that
23
24 case was a party. The plaintiffs claim that since she was
25 a party in that case, she -- the -- current Secretary of
0018
1 State must, you know, must be a party in this case.
2 Of course, there's -- there's no precedent --
precedential value in that case on that precise point.
3
4 That said, what the Mead vs. Sheffield case does highlight
is that even in an election contest involving a statewide
5
6 race, election contest is filed against the county
superintendents, which it was Laurens County in that case,
7
8 where there alleged irregularities that occurred in that
9 particular county.
10 And that, you know, indicates where there is an
11 alleged irregularity involving an -- an illegal ballot as
opposed to an illegal vote, the contestant must show that
12
13 the number of illegal ballots cast exceeds the margin of
victory in order to prevail.
14
15 Again, this is -- the -- the reason the case was
filed in Laurens County -- against Laurens County was
16

17 because thats where the irregularities occurred. I know
18 my colleagues at the -- that represent the other
defendants, the county defendants will probably be
19
20 speaking to this issue, but Mead vs. Sheffield is clearly
inapplicable here.
21
22 Plaintiffs also argue that the definition of election
superintendent in the Recall Act of 1989, which is
23
24 Chapter 4 of Title 21, must apply to the Election Code.
25 Your Honor, I mean, its a fundamental rule of
0019
1 statutory construction that it's -- its presumed that the
2 legislature acts intentionally and purposefully when it
includes particular language in one section of a statute
3
4 but omits it from another. And thats Tolson v. Sistrunk,
332-Georgia at 324 from 2015.
5
6 Now in this rule of statutory construction is applied
to the present case, its clear that the word
7
8 "superintendent" as used for purposes of election contest
9 is -- is -- is referring to County Boards of Elections and
10 Registration or county probate judges, and that's for
11 counties that dont have, of course, a Board of
Registrations and Elections.
12
13 Finally, Your Honor, the plaintiffs have made an
argument about -- that -- that a public officer who --
14
15 that -- that the fourth subsection to the definition of
"defendant" that discusses, you know, that a defendant can
16
17 be a public officer who declares the number of votes for
18 and against a question, submitted to -- submitted to the
electors in an election that that somehow must wrap in the
19
20 Secretary of State also, but, Your Honor, of course, a
question -- the question is defined to be, you know,
21
22 constitutional amendments or propositions, ballot
referendum items that -- that voters vote on this, that
23
24 has nothing to do with election contests involving
25 candidates for public office.
0020
1 And we would say that theres -- there's no basis for
2 making that -- that leap that the plaintiffs are -- are
asking the Court to make. As Your Honor knows, election
3
4 laws that are in variegation to common law must be
strictly construed here.
5
6 Theres -- there's no basis for expanding the
definition of "superintendent" to somehow wrap the
7
8 Secretary of State up into this election contest. The
9 proper defendants would be the counties, the county

10 superintendents in each county where the plaintiffs are
11 aware of election irregularities.
Now that moves to the next -- the next issue, and as
12
13 Your Honor pointed -- stated --
THE COURT: (inaudible)
14
15 MR. RUSSO: Well, within the four corners of -- of
the complaint or the -- the petition.
16
17 THE COURT: Let me take the one issue, okay. Are you
18 arguing the motion to dismiss now?
MR. RUSSO: Im still arguing the motion to dismiss,
19
20 just moving past whether --
THE COURT: That's not relevant here.
21
22 MR. RUSSO: Oh, I'm sorry. I apologize.
THE COURT: No, -- go ahead.
23
24 MR. RUSSO: Okay. The plaintiffs election contest
25 is also subject to dismissal for failing to meet the --
0021
1 the pleading requirements.
2 THE COURT: Okay. That Id like to take separately.
MR. RUSSO: Okay.
3
4 THE COURT: Lets take each step. That's what I said
in the beginning.
5
6 MR. RUSSO: Okay.
THE COURT: Let's take each step. You'll get to
7
8 argue that.
9 MR. RUSSO: No, I understand. I -- I thought you
10 meant each step -- I got you.
11 THE COURT: Let's -- the first issue before me is, is
the Secretary of State a proper -- okay.
12
13 MR. RUSSO: Sure.
THE COURT: All right. Anybody else on the defense
14
15 might want to bring a -- how about you, Mr. Brown?
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Judge. Bruce Brown for the
16
17 plaintiffs. The issue of whether the Secretary of State
18 is a proper defendant is actually -- it touches upon two
arguments that they make that are virtually the same. The
19
20 first is whether the Secretary is a proper defendant and
second whether the Secretary has sovereign immunity.
21
22 If the Secretary of State is a proper defendant, then
the sovereign immunity has been waived. The -- the
23
24 plaintiffs argument with respect to both issues is that
25 the election statute says defendant means election
0022
1 superintendent. That is OCGA212250. The code then
2 defines election superintendent.

THE COURT: Are you saying 212250? 520.

3

4 MR. BROWN: Im -- I'm sorry. 520.

THE COURT: 520. So y'all know, I have the book. I

5

6 don't necessarily have access to this particular computer, and so I -- bring this book down with me.

7

8 MR. BROWN: I apologize, Your Honor.

9 THE COURT: It's 220?

10 MR. BROWN: 220. I keep transposing those numbers.

11 THE COURT: Yeah. Dont confuse them.

MR. BROWN: And then the phrase "election

12

13 superintendent" means in a statewide election the Secretary of State. That is defined in OCGA2143. Now, it

14

15 is correct that that definition of "election superintendent" as the Secretary of State is in a

16

17 different chapter of the code.

18 However, theres no reason to believe that the legislature, in using the term election superintendent in

19

20 the contest chapter, meant anything different and, in fact, commonsense would require that in a statewide

21

22 election that the proper defendant who is conducting the election is the Secretary of State.

23

24 Particularly when the irregularity that is alleged is

25 an irregularity that is statewide in every single case.

0023

1 Now the defendants, the Secretary of State option for

2 Your Honor, is to rule that in the statewide election contest that the plaintiff must join 159 counties, and

3

4 so --

THE COURT: Well, we haven't gotten to that yet,

5

6 either.

MR. BROWN: But this is -- but, Your Honor, its the

7

8 same -- I would -- its the same argument in that -- the

9 -- they're saying that they are not proper, but instead

10 the proper defendants are the 159 counties.

11 And we -- we think thats absurd and thats not what the legislator -- legislature intended. And its not what

12

13 the legislature said.

Moreover, Your Honor, there has been, as far as we

14

15 know, only one statewide election contest on record, and that is the Mead vs. Sheffield case, which Mr. Russo

16

17 discussed. And in fact, in the Mead vs. Sheffield case

18 the attorney general defended and did not raise the sovereign immunity argument at all, and its a

19

20 jurisdictional argument and it made it to the Supreme

Court.

And it was clear to the Secretary of State and the attorney general at the time, Your Honor, that our reading of the statute and commonsense meant, of course, that the Secretary of State who is in charge of the elections is

0024

the proper defendant in the statewide election contest.

Now, Mr. Russo said something to the effect, well, that was several years ago. Im not sure why the Secretary -- Secretary of State did it then, or why the former Secretary of State and former attorney general took that position. I would like -- if I may approach, I found a case yesterday, Your Honor, which I believe is instructed. But Id like to approach the bench with a copy of some of the papers, if I might.

THE COURT: All right. If you want to -- we don't have a clerk because this is a Fulton County case. Thank you. So, its just a brief?

MR. BROWN: Its a brief and then the case is attached to it, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Im not going to -- I'm not going to take somebody elses brief. You want to argue it, fine, but I'm not going to -- somebody elses brief and somebody else's case is not -- and has got nothing to do with that.

MR. BROWN: Fair enough. If the -- the case, which follows the copy of the brief, is a case called Dawkins-Haigler vs. Anderson. It's reported at 301-Georgia-27. In that case, the Secretary of State was sued. And I quote, it's "Brian Kemp in his official capacity as state election supervisor. That was the capacity in which he was sued.

0025

The -- the case was defended by Chris Carr, attorney general, Dennis Dunn, Willard Chris Corea, the same attorney general law department lawyers who were defending this case along with outside counsel from the Robbins firm. This is a case that was -- was tried last year, Your Honor, and in that case the Secretary of State was --

THE COURT: Has there been an appellate decision on the case?

MR. BROWN: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. BROWN: It is 301-Georgia-27, and in that case the Secretary of State was sued quote in its official capacity as state election supervisor, and that styling

of the Secretary of State appears in his own brief, which
14
15 is what I had shown to you, but the important thing is the reported decision, and in that case also the Secretary of
16
17 State stood up and defended the case on the merits.
18 THE COURT: Okay. If you want to give me -- but you know, if you've got a decision, let me have the final
19
20 decision, but what somebody else may have said and it's on the report, it's not relevant --
21
22 MR. BROWN: Your Honor the -- what the law department -- the position the law department said it is not binding
23
24 either on the law department or anybody else. They can
25 change their mind, of course, and not binding on Your
0026
1 Honor.
2 However, it is reflective of what the law is, and the law says that defendant means election superintendent and
3
4 the law says "election superintendent" in a statewide race means the Secretary of State. So, our argument is that
5
6 the statute is clear.
There is no case in the history in the State of
7
8 Georgia holding that the Secretary of State has sovereign
9 immunity in an elections case. No case at all. They are
10 asking Your Honor to make a precedent setting, a brand-new
11 decision. It will be the first ever that ever granted this -- this relief.
12
13 THE COURT: If that's meant to intimidate me, it doesn't.
14
15 MR. BROWN: I dont think it should -- should intimidate you, Your Honor.
16
17 THE COURT: Well, you -- I've read a lot of what
18 you've provided me. I have not read the proffer of evidence because it's not relevant today --
19
20 MR. BROWN: I understand.
THE COURT: -- and I understand where youre coming
21
22 from.
MR. BROWN: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. Thats our
23
24 argument on the sovereign immunity and the Secretary of
25 State being a proper defendant.
0027
1 THE COURT: Okay. Any responses on that issue?
2 MR. RUSSO: Briefly, Your Honor. As you rightly noted these cases, this -- this brief and the cases cited
3
4 by plaintiffs counsel have no precedential value and basically stand for nothing on this -- on this point.
5

6 Plaintiffs counsel stated that the law says that in
a statewide case, the superintendent is the Secretary of
7
8 State. Your Honor, I cant find anything on the books or
9 in the code that -- that says such.
10 Even Chapter 4, even the Recall Act that plaintiffs
11 counsel has referred to multiple times, states that in any
case of elected state officers the Secretary of State --
12
13 and in short, you know, if somebody wants to challenge --
if theres a group that wants to recall a public officer
14
15 or state officer, they have to go get a petition signed.
And that petition then goes to the Secretary of
16
17 State. Its similar to a third-party candidate or an
18 independent candidate trying to get on the ballot in a
statewide race. None of this -- the Recall Act, of
19
20 course, has nothing to do with election contests, similar
to independent candidates trying to file in a petition to
21
22 get on the ballot has nothing to do with election
contests.
23
24 Your Honor, again, we dont know why or -- or if this
25 issue has -- was raised in -- in the 2004 case. However,
0028
1 you know, the Secretary of State is not a statewide
2 election superintendent. Georgia law has long held that
elections are -- are conducted at the county level and if
3
4 a contest is going to be filed, then it should be filed
against the counties where those irregularities occurred.
5
6 And so again, we would ask you to dismiss the
election contest on -- on that basis.
7
8 THE COURT: Okay. This goes to count 1 as I
9 understand it, and 2125 -- this is Section 2 of 21, which
10 is the election contest, okay, it -- it states that the
11 election superintendent was superintendent to conduct a
contested -- or election, okay. What it says, in 2- --
12
13 again, this is within the same code, 212235 defines who
those are.
14
15 It defines who the superintendents are, and it does
not include Secretary of State. Thats the law, and
16
17 definitions applicable to Section 2, okay. Now there may
18 be in the recall, which is Section 4, that that may be
different, but it's under a different code section. Its
19
20 under a different section of the code. So as far as the
election contest goes, count 1, I find the Secretary of
21
22 State is not a proper party, okay. And so, Ill grant
your motion. -- I'll put my, you know, -- as to count 1,
23

24 okay.
25 MR. RUSSO: Yes, maam. Thank you,
0029
1 THE COURT: Okay. What's the next question?
2 MR. RUSSO: I think some of my other motions are mooted at this point, so do you want to --
3
4 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, it would be best at this point for Gwinnett County to make its proper party
5
6 argument or would you rather hear from the Secretary of State on the constitutional claims, counts 2 and 3?
7
8 THE COURT: Well, youve got a motion to dismiss on
9 -- what, just on the general --
10 MR. RUSSO: Well, we have one every --
11 THE COURT: You just got a routine motion to dismiss on the four corners of the petition.
12
13 MR. RUSSO: Thats right. We just had -- had other reasons why it should be dismissed.
14
15 THE COURT: I guess, Mr. Brown -- I guess I'd hear from Gwinnett first as to whether they should be a party
16
17 and then Fulton whether they should be a party. And then
18 we'll go into the -- if that's all right with you.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
19
20 THE COURT: Okay. Okay --
MR. TYSON: Thank you, Your Honor. Good morning.
21
22 Bryan Tyson for Gwinnett County Board of Registrations and Elections.
23
24 For our motion to dismiss, Your Honor, I think if we
25 determined now, if we've determined that the Secretary of
0030
1 State is not a proper party to an election contest, I
2 think the logical questions is, well, who then is a proper party?
3
4 For -- for us as Gwinnett County, there is no question that the Gwinnett Board is an election
5
6 superintendent who conducted the contested election. So, there's no issue there. The challenge in this scenario is
7
8 that the plaintiffs have not sued all the parties that are
9 required to afford them full relief and Mr. Russo
10 referenced the Mead case where the case was filed against
11 the county's where the problem was alleged in a statewide election contest.
12
13 And if you look at and kind of do a comparison between 520 and 522, I think it helps explain a little bit
14
15 about how there's a connection between the grounds of the contest and who the defendant is in those cases. So,
16

17 under the -- under 520-2A and B, you have a candidate
18 who's the defendant -- the person who was nominated, the
person whose eligibility is challenged.
19
20 That lines up to 522-2 where the allegation in the
election contest is that the defendant, the candidate is
21
22 not eligible to hold the office. And so, in those cases
where youre challenging eligibility, the other candidate
23
24 is obviously a logical defendant.
25 For 3, the election superintendent in 520 who
0031
1 conducted the contested primary, that's where you have the
2 allegations on the grounds related to misconduct, related
to illegal votes being cast or legal votes being rejected
3
4 or errors in counting.
And in this case the plaintiffs have made a number of
5
6 allegations about the various problems across the state,
but theyve only sued now Fulton and Gwinnett counties, as
7
8 is evidenced by other motions they filed, they want to
9 preserve evidence in Murray and Gordon counties.
10 There are allegations regarding DeKalb, regarding
11 Worth County, regarding a variety of other Georgia
counties that are not parties to this case. And the
12
13 challenge in this situation is they have the evidence that
Your Honor needs to be able to figure out is this a valid
14
15 contest or not.
If this is not a scenario where you can just pick a
16
17 county and then make allegations regarding and number of
18 other counties when theyre not parties to the case and
cant get -- get in front of the court.
19
20 The -- in addition, there is a lack of allegations
regarding Gwinnett County as to some of the specifics. A
21
22 lot of the specific allegations involve other counties,
many of whom are not parties. The other counties are the
23
24 ones that have the evidence.
25 So, for our position as Gwinnett, we believe this is
0032
1 the basis for dismissal, because not only did plaintiffs
2 fail to name the proper parties to start with, but its
now too late for them to amend.
3
4 And while the election contest procedures clearly
allow amendment of the petition, theres a difference when
5
6 youre amending to add additional parties. And the only
the Supreme Court case en pointe here is Brody vs.
7
8 Champion. And in that case the -- the contestant had
9 filed the case against the director of elections for

10 DeKalb County, but had not sued the Board of Elections.
11 And the Supreme Court said, yes, you can modify, they were on notice within the statute; thats not a problem.
12
13 The Hanson case that the plaintiffs have cited involved a different procedure under a municipal election code that
14
15 involve a direct appeal or an independent lawsuit.
It's not really relevant here. What is relevant is
16
17 we are now beyond the five-day statute or well beyond
18 that. And the relation back under 9/11/15, theres nothing that the plaintiffs needed to know at the time
19
20 they filed their lawsuit where they couldnt have named the counties that are going to have evidence that they
21
22 claim they need.
In their petition they identify. These are the same
23
24 counties are the worst -- have the worst questions about
25 these percentages. They had anecdotes from counties that
0033
1 were not included as parties in the case. So now because
2 were too late to be able to do an amendment and add -- and have that relate back to the original filling date,
3
4 the statute has run as to those counties.
It is our position that those are necessary parties
5
6 in order to give full relief in this case. And because those parties were not joined, thats a basis for a
7
8 dismissal of the entirety of the election contest, as to
9 those allegations that have been made by the plaintiffs.
10 THE COURT: Anybody else on the defendants' side that
11 want to support that position? And pardon me -- argument at the same time.
12
13 MS. BURWELL: Kaye Burwell on behalf of Fulton County. We join in that argument, Your Honor, and we
14
15 would add that when the Court looks at the complaint in Paragraph 38, it references that there were thousands of
16
17 problems they alleged with the DRE machines where clearly
18 Gwinnett and Fulton County aren't in a position to speak to those thousands of problems.
19
20 The only issues we could speak to would be any issues they alleged on our particular counties. And since this
21
22 is a statewide issue, they're alleging the only way they would be able to bring information to the Court and the
23
24 only way the Court would be able to have a full and fair
25 hearing is if all those other counties that they're
0034
1 complaining about, where they allege all these issues
2 occurred, were to be able to come in.

And as we noted on our motion to dismiss, Your Honor,
3
4 the Fulton County Board of Registrations and Elections
cant provide the petitioners with the relief they seek
5
6 because the DRE is constrained to follow state law. And
they have to use the DRE machines because thats what
7
8 state law requires.
9 And the only response the plaintiffs provided to
10 that, Your Honor, was that absentee ballots could be used,
11 but clearly the DRE doesnt have the ability to force
every Fulton County voter to use an absentee ballot. The
12
13 voters get to decide how they choose to vote.
THE COURT: Youre off the -- you're off the --
14
15 you're off the field. So right now, so they join all the
counties, or shouldn't they?
16
17 MS. BURWELL: They should have joined all of the
18 counties, Your Honor.
THE COURT: That's the issue we're figuring with them
19
20 on this motion.
MS. BURWELL: Okay.
21
22 THE COURT: I'm trying -- I'm trying to do as clean a
record as I can.
23
24 MS. BURWELL: Okay.
25 THE COURT: Okay. So, you'll be heard on that.
0035
1 Everybody's going to get heard on everything, okay.
2 MS. BURWELL: Okay.
THE COURT: I don't want to make you -- I'm just
3
4 trying to make a nice, clean record of the -- of the case.
MS. BURWELL: Yes.
5
6 THE COURT: Okay. So, you -- you -- you agree that
they have joined -- they should have seen everybody.
7
8 MS. BURWELL: Yes.
9 THE COURT: Okay? Every county, okay. Anybody else?
10 No? Okay. Then you can, because you didn't find the
11 word --
MR. BROWN: (inaudible)
12
13 THE COURT: (inaudible)
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. The Fulton
14
15 County's argument points to sort of the overall issue of
how the plaintiffs are getting whip shot here. Fulton
16
17 says, we cant defend this because the Secretary of State
18 does everything.
THE COURT: No. Don't go there. The issue we're
19
20 dealing with, the only issue I'm trying to read right this

minute is, should you have joined 159 counties or not?

MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor, and heres why.

THE COURT: Okay. That -- that's the issue.

MR. BROWN: We -- we have -- first, we have alleged causes of action against Gwinnett and Fulton. Our

0036

allegations cover -- they are proper defendants as they -- I think, believe as they have conceded, that they've -- they conducted the election.

And we have alleged that the irregularities occurred in their counties, and they occurred in every county. And

so, Fulton and Gwinnett are proper defendants, and so they stay. The question then is, should the other -- should

157 other counties have been joined?

That comes down into two separate questions, okay. First is, what might we need discovery from 157 other counties and from a non-party Secretary of State. The answer is, yes. We will need discovery from the Secretary

of State, and well need discovery from -- from other counties, maybe.

We dont believe actually that substantial discovery will be required from every county. And the reason for

that is that the Secretary of State, not as a party, Your Honor, Im not arguing that piece, but as the repository of the information.

The Secretary programs the ballots, programs all the machines, programs the poll books, tabulates the results,

announces the results, all of those things. And so, the -- so the Secretary is here within the jurisdiction of this

court -- to court -- to respond to discovery.

In addition, Your Honor, has plenary power, plenary

0037

power in the statutes that we have cited, to grant the relief that were seeking. Theres no requirement that we file suit against every county. Instead, the counties

that we have sued are proper.

And whether we can prove that is up to the next

hearing, its not up to today. But we believe we can prove that through discovery. And that the 157 counties

are not necessary to be joined. If they are necessary to join them, then we'll join them and thats a separate issue. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Anything further? Anything further?

MR. BROWN: I have nothing further, Your Honor.

THE COURT: We have to do these cases as fast as we

can do them, and I respect that, and I'm trying to do this
14
15 as fast as I can. But given the status of what is
actually before me, not all this 180 pages of evidence and
16
17 all this stuff I've been dumped on, and which -- anyway.
18 I won't go there. It's very hard for me to be able to
download some of that stuff.
19
20 But I've done them. I've taken them off. But in
that status, I'm going to deny your motion. Whether it
21
22 will become relevant at the end of the hearing, I dont
know. But at this point, both those motions as to adding
23
24 the parties and as to them being improper parties --
25 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
0038
1 THE COURT: Does Gwinnett have any other motions
2 applicable just to Gwinnett?
MR. RUSSO: No, Your Honor, I dont believe we do.
3
4 We obviously join the other motions regarding kind of the
-- claim.
5
6 THE COURT: (inaudible)
MR. RUSSO: There is a complaint, I think that's it,
7
8 so.
9 THE COURT: Okay. Fulton, you've got it. Anything
10 else that you wanted to say? Come around, if you will.
11 Im sorry to address you by county, but I have a cheat
sheet here with everybody's name, and I think I've lost
12
13 it.
MS. BURWELL: The -- the point I was making on
14
15 whether or not Fulton County was a proper party was that
the Fulton County Board of Registrations and Elections
16
17 isnt capable of providing the petitioners with the relief
18 that theyre seeking, which in essence is changing out DRE
machines.
19
20 They dont want Georgia citizens to use the DRE
voting system. Well, Fulton County, like every other
21
22 county in the state, is constrained by state law to comply
with state law, which requires us to use the DRE machines.
23
24 Now, the response that plaintiffs had to our motion
25 to dismiss was merely, number one, that the Board of
0039
1 Registrations and Elections could require citizens to vote
2 via absentee, and thats clearly not the case. Citizens
get to decide how they vote, not the Board of
3
4 Registrations and Elections.
The only other issue they raised was OCGA21-2-366 and
5

6 they raised that for the proposition of saying that
Fulton County in fact could decide what kind of voting
7
8 system is in use and thats just not the case. What the
9 Court looks at 21-2-366, it only provides that the
10 governing authority of a county, which is not the Board of
11 Registrations and Elections, its the Board of
Commissioners -- can authorize the use of optical scanning
12
13 voting systems.
Well, the Board of Commissioners is not a party to
14
15 this action; the Board of Registrations and Elections is.
And so, the -- our position is that the countys position
16
17 with respect to whether or not it is a proper defendant is
18 unrefuted by the plaintiffs.
And then the only other issue we had raised, Your
19
20 Honor, was the lack of service. At the time we filed our
motion to dismiss, they had not served.
21
22 THE COURT: They had not served?
th
MS. BURWELL: Yes, they served us on December 26 .
23
24 THE COURT: All right.
25 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I believe Your Honor's
0040
1 already ruled that Fulton and Gwinnett are proper parties.
2 And Im not sure I understand Fultons argument that
theyre incapable of -- of conducting the elections. I
3
4 think thats an issue that may come later in terms of what
sort of remedy that you offer, Your Honor.
5
6 Our position is that, number one, when you have the
trial, the -- we will be able to show that a new election
7
8 should be ordered; thats the first argument. The second
9 argument is, if you do order a new election, on what
10 machines or what is -- what type of election should that
11 be? Should that be machines, or should that be paper?
And thats what Fulton County is talking about is
12
13 really two or three issues; two steps away from where we
are today. Our position will be that Fulton County is
14
15 capable of -- of conducting a paper ballot election
without using these flawed machines.
16
17 And that thats authorized under the code provisions
18 that -- that we have cited. And that they dont need a
Secretary of State's approval to do that, but certainly
19
20 Your Honor could order them to do so, if youve felt that
was necessary, but again I think thats --
21
22 THE COURT: Asking further from Fulton County?
MS. BURWELL: No.

23
24 THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to deny that, again at
25 this point when you all stop talking, arguing the
0041
1 evidence, then the motion to dismiss this is doing a lot,
2 okay. Does that mean -- after we have a -- have a hearing
on the matter, I dont know. But I'm happy to try it.
3
4 But right at this point, I'm bound by what the rules
say. That's if a slight -- allegations are -- I have to
5
6 follow. Okay, so we havent generalized the motion to
dismiss, did you need to be heard on that as well.
7
8 MR. RUSSO: No, not on that issue.
9 THE COURT: I didnt think so. This is generalized.
10 Everybody seems to have generalized motions dismissed on
11 the pleadings. Let me hear that.
MR. RUSSO: Would you like to hear from --
12
13 THE COURT: On count one.
MR. RUSSO: Sure. Would you still like to hear from
14
15 us or --
THE COURT: Whatever order you want to do it.
16
17 MR. BELINFANTE: I mean, Im happy to make our
18 argument. Im happy to make our arguments on it.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, Edward Lindsey once again,
19
20 attorney on behalf of Lieutenant Governor-elect Geoff
Duncan. As was previously stated, Mr. Duncan won his race
21
22 by over 123,000 votes. Im going to go through some
numbers, Your Honor, and Im going to ask you to simply
23
24 take judicial notice, which I believe youre entitled to.
25 As a matter of public records; in terms of public records.
0042
1 The case Ill cite for taking judicial notice would
2 be Johnson vs. Adams 323-Georgia-PO427 and that particular
case that was taking judicial notice of a separate lawsuit
3
4 of a -- separate case in bankruptcy court, but
nevertheless, the rule is that the courts in a motion to
5
6 dismiss, I believe, courts can take judicial notice of
such matters.
7
8 And the only thing that Im going to be talking about
9 are the official numbers from the Georgia Secretary of
10 State's office, certified numbers. Court, in such cases
11 such as ours needs to remember that the setting aside of
an election in which the people have chosen their
12
13 representative, is a drastic remedy that should not be
undertaken lightly, but instead should be reserved for
14
15 cases in which a person challenging an election has
clearly established a violation of elections procedures.

16
17 And has demonstrated that the violations have placed
18 the result of the election in doubt; thats not our
assertion, Your Honor. Thats a big court decision. In
19
20 the case of Hunt vs. Crawford 270-Georgia-7, a 1998
decision in my brief we filed yesterday, Your Honor, we
21
22 sort of outlined that thats -- whats clearly required is
that the plaintiffs --
23
24 And at this point, Your Honor, that the pleadings
25 must show that the plaintiffs are asserting that as result
0043
1 of the defects that they are alleging, that it places the
2 -- the outcome of the election in doubt. And the courts
have established somewhat of a mathematical test.
3
4 And I'm glad to hear that you said you were a math
major, so Im going to simply talk about a little math
5
6 here. The -- the plaintiffs, you know, or the petitioners
have alleged several things. On number one they say, that
7
8 as a result of these nefarious defects, the -- the total
9 number of votes cast in the lieutenant governors race was
10 dramatically below those of other down-ballot statewide
11 races.
Well, if you look at our particular case, like I
12
13 said, 123,000 votes, 123,172 votes; thats the certified
result number. If you look at the -- the -- the highest
14
15 number of votes that were cast in any of the down-ballot
races -- that would be the Secretary of States race. And
16
17 in that race, there were 3,883,594 votes total cast.
18 In the lieutenant governors race, that's 3,780,304.
So even if we were to accept -- and the Court is required
19
20 to do here -- the petitioners allegations that there was
something nefarious or -- or negligent in terms of the
21
22 counts, votes that were counted.
The difference between what took place in the
23
24 lieutenant governors race total votes and the Secretary
25 of States total votes is only 103,290 votes. Thats
0044
1 19,882 votes short of the margin of victory that Mr.
2 Duncan enjoyed.
The next thing that the -- that the petitioner
3
4 asserts in its allegation is that there was a drop-in vote
between those -- in -- in terms of the drop-offs, which
5
6 are the number of people who voted in one race but didnt
vote in the another. They assert that theres a dramatic
7
8 difference between the drop-off between the governor and

9 the lieutenant governors race, and between the paper
10 ballots versus the electronic voting.
11 And they assert essentially that in the paper ballots
there was a drop-off of only 98.9%, but in the other case
12
13 there was a dramatic drop-off below that number. If you
were to accept their assertion that there should have been
14
15 the same drop-off between the governors race and the
lieutenant governors race, the numbers still come up
16
17 short as a matter of math.
18 In this particular case, Your Honor, if we were to
accept the petitioners allegations that there's -- that
19
20 the drop-off between the governors race and the
lieutenant governors race should have been the same
21
22 between the write-in, between the paper ballots and
electronic ballots that would have raised the number of
23
24 votes cast in the lieutenant governors race to 3,895,955.
25 Thats still, Your Honor, would have only increased
0045
1 the number of votes cast to be 115,691 votes, which is
2 still below 123,000-plus votes cast. The third allegation
that the petitioner asserts in their petition is that
3
4 there was in terms of the drop-off between -- in the
electronic voting between those who voted in the
5
6 governors race and the lieutenant governors race had a
particularly negative impact on the democratic candidate
7
8 Ms. Miko.
9 If you were to take that as truth and simply allow
10 for the same percentage of votes for Ms. Miko that Mr.
11 Duncan enjoyed in terms of -- of the -- the percentage of
votes that they received versus the gubernatorial race,
12
13 you still end up 53,902 votes short of what the -- of the
number that is needed that would have closed the gap at
14
15 53,902, so in other words youre still short of 123,000
votes.
16
17 And -- and thats the key -- and that's the key. In
18 all cases, in the Supreme Court cases, is youve got to
have a show that the number of votes that were either
19
20 miscounted or not counted properly would have wiped away
the margin of victory that the winner enjoyed.
21
22 And weve cited several cases as have everyone else
that demonstrates thats the rule of thumb. By the -- in
23
24 terms of petitioners allegations -- each of their
25 allegations, Your Honor, I want you to take judicial
0046
1 notice of certified results from the Secretary of State.

2 They fall short of that golden number, by any number
between 8,000 to 80,000 votes short, depending on the --
3
4 their various allegations.
I would point out, Your Honor, that the petitioners'
5
6 themselves in their exhibit to their petition included an
exhibit from a letter from Ms. Amico who said in the
7
8 letter, the number of residual votes in the lieutenant
9 governor makes it unlikely to affect the outcome of my
10 race. Shes right, Your Honor, because she also
11 understands the math.
So, Your Honor, we would simply ask for a motion to
12
13 dismiss based on the petitioners petition when you take
judicial notice of the public record regarding the
14
15 certified results from the election from all the races.
Thank you, Your Honor. Does the Court have any
16
17 questions?
18 THE COURT: No. Anybody else --
MR. TYSON: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you, Your Honor.
19
20 Again, Bryan Tyson for Gwinnett County.
I think the thing to keep in mind is that when were
21
22 in this posture after a certified result from an election,
the election is presumed valid. And if you were in a
23
24 scenario where, lets say you have 100-vote margin.
25 And you allege, you put in your petition that 99
0047
1 either illegal votes were cast or legal votes were
2 rejected, that petition would be properly subject for
dismissal for failure -- failure to state a claim, because
3
4 you have to allege a sufficient number of votes that would
have placed the result in doubt or would have been
5
6 sufficient to change the result of the election.
And in this case, the plaintiffs have not made any
7
8 such allegation in their petition. In addition, the --
9 there is conflicting causes of action referenced in the
10 motions to dismiss. In the petition the plaintiffs that
11 they say that they're proceeding under 521-1 and 3.
In their response to the motion to dismiss, theyre
12
13 relying on 1, 3, 4, and 5, but I think the most important
thing for the Court to remember in terms of looking at the
14
15 petition itself, is what it stated, is that the
irregularities that the plaintiffs have alleged only
16
17 involve a 100 or so votes here and there across the state.
18 They also involve claims of machine malfunction in
DeKalb County in paragraph 42 of the complaint. Of
19

20 course, the plaintiffs have dismissed DeKalb County from
this lawsuit. And the -- the collection of information
21
22 that's in the petition is a bunch of kind of one-off
programming errors.
23
24 Most of them are unverified and anonymous, and
25 significantly they dont get anywhere close to the
0048
1 123,000-vote threshold that they would -- that the
2 plaintiffs had to plead in order to state a claim here
because of the way the Supreme Court precedent works.
3
4 I'm in Ellis vs. Johnson 2623-Georgia-514, the
Supreme Court is very clear that speculation alone is not
5
6 enough. There has to be -- has to be a specificity in
terms of the mathematical certainty of what happened. And
7
8 we see that over in Mead, in Howell, and in just a number
9 of Supreme Court cases, that it is proper for the court to
10 look at motion to dismiss, first of all, because those
11 cases were decided based on motions to dismiss.
But second that its proper to look at the
12
13 allegations and see have the plaintiffs alleged this
123,000-vote margin that would be sufficient to place the
14
15 result in doubt. And we would submit that they have not
done that in the four corners of their petition. On that
16
17 basis it should be dismissed for failure to state a claim.
18 THE COURT: Does Fulton want to be heard on that?
MS. BURWELL: We join in, all the others.
19
20 THE COURT: You just joining them now?
MS. BURWELL: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor.
21
22 THE COURT: (inaudible)
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. The -- the
23
24 defendants have pointed to one of the most egregious
25 pieces of evidence showing the total system failure of --
0049
1 THE COURT: But -- but -- but thats not -- this is
2 -- that's a wonderful opening statement. This is not that
day, okay?
3
4 MR. BROWN: Okay.
THE COURT: Just respond, if you will, to what --
5
6 MR. BROWN: Sure. The --
THE COURT: I dont do well with --
7
8 MR. BROWN: Okay. The --
9 THE COURT: -- inflammatory -- just stay within what
10 we're -- what --
11 MR. BROWN: Sure. The undervote is an illustration
of the system problems that we allege in the complaint.
12

13 Allegations that, as Your Honor has already mentioned,
must be accepted as true.
14
15 THE COURT: All right.
MR. BROWN: The -- the numbers that Mr. Lindsey was
16
17 giving, Your Honor, are not our entire case. Instead what
18 we have alleged is that the system malfunctioned as
reflected by the undervote, which is unexplained in -- in
19
20 ways that happened statewide.
The defendants interpret -- we have a different
21
22 interpretation of the legal requirements here. First in
terms of what's subjectively required, and then second,
23
24 the procedural policy.
25 First, Your Honor, in the Supreme Court in the cases
0050
1 in which the nature of the voting problem is not
2 quantifiable, the plaintiff is not required to count up
the votes and show the difference. For example, in the
3
4 Stiles case, 252-Georgia-260, the Supreme Court found that
there were irregularities and misconduct and ordered a new
5
6 election despite no proof of the numbers involved.
Similarly, in the Hunt case, although the contestants
7
8 lost the Hunt case, this is 270-Georgia-7 -- one of the
9 reasons why the plaintiffs lost is that the Court found
10 quote "moreover, there was no evidence introduced at trial
11 from which it can be inferred that the misconduct was more
widespread than the record indicates."
12
13 The Supreme Court is -- is signaling that if there is
evidence introduced at trial from which it can be inferred
14
15 that there was a widespread problem, that the case may be
made.
16
17 And so the -- the cases that they cite we have no
18 quarrel with, Your Honor, but those are cases in which the
impact of a mistake is easily quantifiable because you
19
20 have the illegal ballots sitting there physically in front
of the Court, and you're able to count them up and to
21
22 determine whether or not it's enough to make a difference.
And certainly, if you have seven illegal ballots in
23
24 front of you and the margin and difference is eight, then
25 you know that that's insufficient. But in those cases
0051
1 where the mistake is not quantifiable, then that is not
2 the approach that the Court has taken, and that, again, is
the Stiles case.
3
4 And -- but this leads, Your Honor, back to the
procedural posture of the case. In all of these cases --

5
6 and I will just read these to you. What the -- what the courts are saying about the evidence is after a trial, for
7
8 example -- these are not examples. This is what I found
9 in -- in review of all of the cases they have cited.
10 In Banker vs. Kohl, 378-Georgia-532, what the Court
11 said, quote "Evidence presented at the trial court," and then went on to explain. In Dawkins-Haigler 30177, the
12
13 Supreme Court says, "after a lengthy hearing the trial court concluded..."
14
15 And then in Fuller 284-Georgia-397, the Court explained that the case proceeded to trial. In Hunt vs.
16
17 Crawford, 270-Georgia-7, the Supreme Court said, at trial,
18 "Hunt admitted making telephone calls," and then went into the evidence of misconduct.
19
20 In Mead vs. Sheffield, the case that's discussed by both parties, the Court notes, "it was established at the
21
22 evidentiary hearing," and then went in to show how it was established, that in fact the case had been made for a new
23
24 trial.
25 Scoggins, again, 288-Georgia-26, the Supreme Court
0052
1 said, "after hearing on the merits," and then explained
2 how in fact the plaintiff prevailed after hearing on the merits. Your Honor, there is one case in which the trial
3
4 court did grant a motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim, and only one.
5
6 And that is the trial court in the Taggart case, 242-Georgia-454. The trial court, without an evidentiary
7
8 hearing, dismissed the petition for failure to state a
9 claim on similar grounds the defendants urge in this case.
10 So, the trial court decision in that case would be the
11 sole precedent that I can find to support the defendant's position in that case.
12
13 However, on appeal that holding was reversed by the Supreme Court. And the Supreme Court held, quote "A
14
15 petition should not be dismissed for failure to state a claim unless it discloses with certainty that the
16
17 plaintiff would not be entitled to relieve under any set
18 of facts."
Interestingly, the Taggart case also involved the
19
20 type of situation -- it involved actually two different kinds of challenges, two different problems in the
21
22 election. One problem had to do with quantifiable ballot issues where you could count them up and you could

23
24 determine whether or not it was enough to make a
25 difference.
0053
1 But the other part was the -- the contestant alleged
2 that the machines were -- were operating improperly. More
like our -- more analogous to our case here. And in
3
4 Taggart, the Supreme Court held, quote "Similarly, doubt
may be cast on an election by showing improper maintenance
5
6 of the voting machines resulting in votes being miscast."
And then in their ruling reversing the trial court
7
8 for dismissing the case, the Court notes, "All this is a
9 matter of proof." Now in the Taggart case it came back on
10 appeal and the plaintiff failed to prove it and lost. But
11 it is a matter of proof.
If the plaintiff can prove that improper maintenance
12
13 of the voting machines resulted in votes being miscast,
which is what we allege, Your Honor, then we're entitled
14
15 to victory and entitled to relief, and if we're not, if we
can't prove it, then we're not entitled to relief. And
16
17 that's -- that's clear from the cases.
18 Now in terms of -- of -- I want to return to the
allegations that we make and the -- the breadth of it.
19
20 First, we allege that the state's system is fundamentally
flawed from the start and that we note that the persuasive
21
22 opinion by Judge Totenberg in which she describes the
system as being --
23
24 THE COURT: I'm not bound by it to her at all.
25 MR. BROWN: You're not bound by her ruling at all.
0054
1 THE COURT: You can try to hand it to me, but I'm not
2 bound by it.
MR. BROWN: No. It's -- we think it's persuasive
3
4 but, of course, you're not bound by it. But she did find
that the -- that the same system is profoundly vulnerable.
5
6 THE COURT: Okay. I -- I don't care what you've
found. It's got nothing to do with the burden of proof.
7
8 The burden of proof will be on you to get that far.
9 MR. BROWN: Right.
10 THE COURT: The burden of proof is on you.
11 MR. BROWN: Right.
THE COURT: But you can't prove it by -- the judge.
12
13 MR. BROWN: That's -- yes, Your Honor. But it will
be proven with the evidence that persuaded Judge
14
15 Totenberg, we would -- we would suggest. And if -- Your
Honor, it could very well be that at trial we're not

16
17 persuasive.
18 But we do have the opportunity to prove that and as
of -- one of the things that -- what motions to dismiss
19
20 law is, Your Honor, is that -- what the law says is that
there's no reasonable possibility that the plaintiffs can
21
22 prove their case, then they lose.
And what we're suggesting is, having already proven
23
24 some of these things, that it's -- it's important to
25 consider that and projecting what we'll be able to win in
0055
1 this case.
2 THE COURT: Yeah, but I don't -- in this courtroom --
MR. BROWN: That is correct, Your Honor, but --
3
4 THE COURT: -- has proven that.
MR. BROWN: That's correct. But in considering the
5
6 motion to dismiss one of the issues is, will they be able
to prove it, and we believe we will.
7
8 Now, what we have alleged, Your Honor, which must be
9 accepted as true, regardless of Judge Totenberg's
10 decision, it must be accepted as true that these systems
11 are fundamentally unreliable, period. That has to be
accepted as a fact for right now.
12
13 Number two, we have given illustrations and alleged
of particular instances in which these machines have,
14
15 throughout the state, malfunctioned.
Three, we have shown the results of these
16
17 malfunctions in an unexplainable pattern of voting in
18 which we will present expert testimony saying that this
cannot be explained except in terms of machine
19
20 malfunction, a statewide systemic machine malfunction.
And it is not just simply the under-vote that Mr. Lindsey
21
22 tries to calculate. It's that the entire voting system
was flawed. And so, the votes for Mr. Duncan, the votes
23
24 for Ms. Amico, that entire election was flawed. And
25 that's what we allege, and we're entitled to be able to
0056
1 prove it. And so, under those facts, we believe that
2 we're entitled. We have -- there -- we have alleged
evidence, Your Honor, of the -- this particular race not
3
4 being on the electronic screen, of the screen flipping
between one and the other.
5
6 THE COURT: Is that your petition?
MR. BROWN: It is. And instance after instance of
7
8 this that we have alleged, and we're entitled to prove

9 that, and we believe we will. And so, we have the -- we
10 have alleged a fundamental unreliability of these
11 machines. We have alleged specific incidences that can
only be explained by a malfunctioning system that was
12
13 statewide that can -- and from that, to borrow the
language from the Supreme Court in the Taggart case, from
14
15 which we believe, Your Honor may infer, you don't have to
but we believe we will be persuasive that you can infer a
16
17 much broader problem.
18 And we also believe that as one illustration of the
problem is the under-vote, the totally unexplained
19
20 difference between the electronic vote totals and the
paper vote totals.
21
22 The defendant's offer -- this is not an evidentiary
hearing, but they have not offered any plausible
23
24 explanation for why simply by the mode of electing, there
25 would ever be such a dramatic difference in the drop-off
0057
1 rate.
2 And the -- what that shows are not that that
particular margin is wrong, but that the entire race is
3
4 wrong. It's like -- there's that old phrase is the clock
that struck 13, Your Honor. And when a clock strikes 13,
5
6 not only do you know it's not 13 o'clock, but you doubt
every single chime that has come before it because the
7
8 clock isn't working.
9 And the under-vote is the clock that struck 13. And
10 we would -- we would say that for all those reasons we
11 state a claim for relief, and that in -- in no case held
has a motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim in
12
13 election case been sustained and it certainly should not
be the case in this case. Thank you, Your Honor.
14
15 MR. LINDSEY: If I may, Your Honor, please.
THE COURT: (inaudible)
16
17 MR. LINDSEY: The issue in this case is not an
18 allegation of misconduct by anyone advocating for or
against a particular candidate. The allegation in this
19
20 case is that votes were not -- people were not able to
cast votes, and votes were not properly counted. The case
21
22 in which Mr. Brown relies upon and cited to you repeatedly
with the Stiles vs. Earnest -- Earnest who was the
23
24 election superintendent, 252-Georgia-260.
25 That particular case, Your Honor, had nothing to do
0058
1 with whether or not votes were properly counted, or the

2 machines were operating properly. Instead, it had to do
with allegations of misconduct by advocates for a
3
4 referendum. Totally different situation.
In our situation, Your Honor, in which we are dealing
5
6 with whether or not votes were properly counted. The
cases that we have cited to you and I'll cite to you once
7
8 again, the Fuller vs. Thomas case, a Georgia Supreme Court
9 case, 284-Georgia-397, in which the Court held, when the
10 focus is on improperly cast ballots or irregularities in
11 the conduct of the election, the number of legal or
irregular ballots necessary to cast doubt on an election
12
13 is derived by taking the difference between the total
votes cast in the election and erase that issue and adding
14
15 the margin of victory in the race issue.
In other words, you've got to show -- to begin with,
16
17 you have to allege that any irregularities in terms of the
18 machines or anyone counting the votes would have an impact
on the margin of victory in which case -- in this case,
19
20 like I stated earlier, with over 123,000 votes.
The fact of the matter is within the four corners of
21
22 the plaintiff's petition, nowhere, nowhere do they allege
that these irregularities closed -- were -- resulted in
23
24 the number of votes that were improperly cast or not
25 properly counted were greater than 123,000 votes.
0059
1 That's kind of the fundamental first step that they
2 must allege in order to have a case move forward. When
you then add to that the public records that I've asked
3
4 you to take judicial notice of, that Mr. Brown has not
disputed and I walked through so tediously, it
5
6 demonstrates that even in the worst-case scenario that
were alleged in the Petition, he falls -- the votes fall
7
8 short of the margin of victory.
9 And for that reason, in this particular case we ask
10 for you to grant our motion to dismiss. Thank you.
11 THE COURT: (inaudible)
MR. RUSSO: Very brief, Your Honor. Just cover three
12
13 quick points quickly, Your Honor. First of all, the
allegations here regarding the -- the only -- I should
14
15 say, the only type of election contest where you're not
required to put forward specific evidence or pleading
16
17 regarding a number is in 524(c) when the error of counting
18 the votes is alleged as a ground of contest.
There's a specific provision that says you're not
19

20 required to show evidence to substantiate the allegation.
You -- you're not required in that one type of contest.
21
22 That is not this type of contest.
Mr. Brown has, secondly, has alluded to the idea that
23
24 the lieutenant governor's race did not appear on some
25 ballots. If you look at both Mead and Howell, you'll see
0060
1 cases where you had to plead and show the number of
2 ballots where the name of the candidate did not appear.
That's one of the allegations that the plaintiffs
3
4 have not pled that it did not appear on at least 123,000
voting machines along the way. Mr. Brown also continues
5
6 to insist that there's no other valid explanation when any
number of ballot explanations may exist.
7
8 And as we discussed before, the -- Mr. Brown and the
9 plaintiffs may not rely on mere speculation. They have to
10 plead with specificity in their petition that the outcome
11 would have changed or that the irregularities were
sufficient to place the result in doubt. Thank you.
12
13 THE COURT: This is so close. You know, it was
presumed, I'm waiting for -- is that the election returned
14
15 the bath. Okay? Now, the part of your job is above the -
- Your job, Counsel, -- of placing doubt on the results of
16
17 the election.
18 And that's done usually by numbers, through math.
And the math is against you. You have chosen not to
19
20 allege any math in your petition, okay. You have alleged
-- I had it somewhere in front of me, but anyway, you have
21
22 alleged that the result would be different or is in
substantial doubt. But I haven't found it.
23
24 MR. BELINFANTE: Yes, Your Honor.
25 THE COURT: I forgot the exact language. I had it,
0061
1 but I've lost it. All right, yeah. The -- the -- to
2 place in doubt the result of the entire election, okay.
The numbers aren't weighty, okay. But you've got some
3
4 generalized arguments about the systemic failure of the
whole system that might -- that might cast -- which makes
5
6 the math an issue.
It's a huge burden for you to carry, but the
7
8 petition, it's sufficient to have a try. I -- I hate to
9 do that, but it's -- it's -- if we have to take the four
10 corners of the petition, it really doesn't matter if it's
11 badly pled. I'm not saying it's badly pled. You didn't
plead numbers.
12

13 But the cover clearly says, "under F of -- of 524, that the form -- form of process is not material. It's --
14
15 it's -- the election contests are interesting. There was kind of different than we've seen on things. It's a very
16
17 close question, sir.
18 It is a very close question, and -- and -- and you need to be aware I don't do well with inflammatory stuff.
19
20 I don't get inflamed by attention -- and -- and what other judges do is not -- okay. So, understand you've got two
21
22 rules.
I am going to deny the motion to dismiss. I -- I --
23
24 it's extremely close, but on the kind of pleadings, I'm
25 looking for originals in that cover section about, you
0062
1 know, don't worry about form and so on and so forth. I'm
2 going to let it go forward, but the plain numbers are against you. So, you -- you've got some -- an uphill
3
4 battle.
MR. BELINFANTE: Okay then. Thank you, Your Honor.
5
6 THE COURT: Can you take a moment, drop a line -- and -- and --
7
8 MR. BELINFANTE: Yes, Your Honor.
9 THE COURT: You all know where I am because you've
10 been emailing me, so send it to me, okay. I can't
11 download a pack. Don't ask me why. I am supposed to be retired next year.
12
13 MR. RUSSO: Your Honor, would you like us to submit a joint motion?
14
15 THE COURT: What?
MR. RUSSO: Would you like us to submit one motion --
16
17 I mean, one order, one proposed order?
18 THE COURT: You could even do one proposed order, or if you can agree on it or you can do them separately about
19
20 that.
MR. BELINFANTE: I think we'll probably --
21
22 THE COURT: I'm fixing to go into two full weeks of work, so you'll be able to get -- okay. What else do we
23
24 have from the defendant?
25 MR. BELINFANTE: Your Honor, we have -- we have two
0063
1 motions that are --
2 THE COURT: Well, no, I know what -- what you have.
MR. BELINFANTE: Oh, I'm sorry.
3
4 THE COURT: I don't think I read all this stuff, okay? Okay?

5
6 MR. RUSSO: Your Honor, for the Secretary of State, this is joined by the other defendants, I believe.
7
8 THE COURT: Is this on the two constitution --
9 MR. BELINFANTE: On the two constitutional --
10 THE COURT: We have two constitutional claims and
11 then we'll do your motions. And I've got those too; don't worry about it. All right. Go ahead.
12
13 MR. BELINFANTE: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. I'm going to address just the two counts. The one for due
14
15 process and the right being identified there is the right to vote. The second is the Equal Protection Clause.
16
17 Now there's -- we'll rest largely on the briefs in
18 terms of sovereign immunity, but the point to take from it is that the Equal Protection Clause and the due process
19
20 clause are completely contingent upon what Your Honor rules on the underlying election challenge, count one.
21
22 Their briefs make that plain. The relief they seek, it's in paragraph 71 and 75, is the right to vote in a new
23
24 election for lieutenant governor, not any other future
25 one. And in 72 and 76, it says that it would be remedied
0064
1 by holding a new election.
2 So, if the Court at the conclusion of the evidence, or at least at the conclusion of the plaintiff's case,
3
4 decides that there is no basis to go forward, the constitutional claims equally fail. They -- they rise and
5
6 fall together.
So, the question before you on the motion to dismiss
7
8 is have they alleged sufficient facts to state a claim for
9 due process and for equal protection, and Your Honor, the
10 answer is simply no, based on the Favorito decision from
11 the Supreme Court of Georgia in 2009. I have a copy of it, if the Court would like, and provide one to opposing
12
13 counsel as well.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, sir.
14
15 MR. BELINFANTE: And I'll be referring to Favorito throughout the argument. The question on the due process
16
17 claim is what is the standard of review. Is it strict
18 scrutiny, is it rational basis?
And Favorito answers that question in the latter. It
19
20 is a rational basis test. It says on page 796, quoting a decision from the 11th Circuit, Wexler vs. Anderson, that
21
22 when a state election law provision imposes only reasonable non-discriminatory restrictions upon the 1st

23
24 and 14th Amendment rights of voters, the state's important
25 regulatory interests are generally sufficient.
0065
1 Using the word "reasonable" the Supreme Court noted
2 and applied a rational basis test. And, in fact, it goes
on to say that there is a rational reason to use DRE
3
4 machines on the same page.
It says, "it is the job of democratically-elected
5
6 representatives to weigh the pros and cons of the various
balloting systems. So long as their choice is reasonable
7
8 and neutral, it is free from judicial second guessing,"
9 once again confirming that we're in a rational basis test
10 review.
11 And now using -- applying that standard, looking at
the due process claim, which is paragraph 62 to 72, count
12
13 two, in the complaint. The defendants do not disagree
that the right to vote is fundamental. The disagreement
14
15 is that they have not alleged a burden for which there is
not a rational basis to use the DRE machines.
16
17 And to look -- to see that you need only look at
18 paragraph 69 of the complaint. There the plaintiffs or
the petitioners allege that using defective DRE machines
19
20 in a flawed and inaccurate voter registration and
electronic poll book system, defendants have knowingly and
21
22 severely burdened and infringed upon the fundamental right
to vote.
23
24 Now you compare that to what the Supreme Court
25 described as the cause of action in Favorito. This is at
0066
1 page 795 and 796 of that case in the Georgia reports.
2 There the Supreme Court described the petitioner's claims
as -- in three counts of their complaint, appellants
3
4 allege that this state's use of the DRE equipment denies
them equal protection under the federal and state
5
6 constitutions, and the fundamental right to vote under the
due process clause of the 14th Amendment.
7
8 Their claims are virtually identical. And so, then
9 applying the rational basis test that Favorito did, the
10 law is clear. There is a rational basis to use the DRE
11 machines. And in the case, it's important that the Court
citing the Weber vs. Shelley decision from the 9th Circuit
12
13 in 2003, acknowledged all the risks that are contained in
the petitioners' claims now.
14
15 The court said in Favorito, again citing Weber, page
797, "no balloting system is perfect." Traditional paper

16
17 ballots, as became evident during the 2000 presidential
18 election, are prone to over-votes, under-votes, hanging
chads, and other mechanical and human errors that may
19
20 thwart voter intent.
Meanwhile, touchscreen voting systems remedy a number
21
22 of these problems, albeit at the hypothetical price of
vulnerability to certain types of fraud. And it's the job
23
24 the Court continued to say of the legislature to decide
25 which of those two risks it is going to use.
0067
1 In fact, the Court says expressly, it's the job of
2 democratically-elected representatives to weigh the pros
and cons. And so long as their choice is reasonable and
3
4 neutral, it's free from second guessing.
And then it goes on to really put the nail in the
5
6 coffin here, "in this instance Georgia made a reasonable
politically neutral and nondiscriminatory choice to
7
8 certify touchscreen systems as an alternative to paper
9 ballots." Nothing in the Constitution prohibits this
10 choice.
11 That forecloses the due-process argument, because
despite however it can be dressed up, it is an attack on
12
13 the DRE machines themselves. The DRE machines were
attacked in 2006, and by the time they got to the Supreme
14
15 Court in 2009, the Court said it is insufficient.
The legislature is entitled to choose the DRE
16
17 machines understanding and knowing all of the risks that
18 they claim, it is still insufficient to state a claim
under due process. If you then look to equal protection,
19
20 Favorito blocks the claims again.
Once again, the allegations are identical from what
21
22 the plaintiffs allege or the petitioners here and what
they alleged in Favorito. Paragraph 74 of this complaint
23
24 says, "defendants knowingly treated electors who voted by
25 DRE differently than others similarly situated electors
0068
1 voting in the same election in a jurisdiction who voted by
2 absentee ballot."
In other words, if you vote absentee you can do it
3
4 with a piece of paper. If you vote in person you use a
DRE machine and that is the distinction that they claim
5
6 sets up the Equal Protection Clause. They make that clear
on pages 43 and 44 of their response to the motion to
7
8 dismiss.

9 A state election regulation, which they go on to
10 cite, which discriminates on its face between two classes
11 of people, namely voters who vote in person and voters who vote by absentee mail.
12
13 Now you then look at the Favorito and the court's description of the argument there and it was the
14
15 appellants argued that electronic voters are treated differently from voters who cast absentee ballots on paper
16
17 and the procedure for an accuracy of any recount would
18 differ. The claims are simply identical.
And so, the holding of Favorito is absolutely
19
20 dispositive. And this is at page 798. What the court effectively says is, a voter can choose. You can vote by
21
22 absentee. We have absentee for any reason in this state, and if you have a concern that the DRE machine is flawed,
23
24 then by all means, vote absentee.
25 It is not a distinction that the state mandates,
0069
1 which is what is required under equal protection claim.
2 The Court specifically says in Favorito, that "as the trial court found, Georgia voters have an option."
3
4 And then it continues, if the trial court correctly concluded that quote "since every Georgia citizen could
5
6 vote either by absentee ballot or by utilizing touchscreen voting systems," appellants contention that there is some
7
8 state-based classification between voters is false.
9 And it continues then to say, "we cannot see how
10 Georgia has violated the Equal Protection Clause of the
11 14th Amendment by making these two available pacts. The allegations are the same. The case is directly en pointe
12
13 and Equal Protection and Due-Process Clause should be dismissed. Thank you.
14
15 THE COURT: Anybody else for the defendant on that issue?
16
17 MR. TYSON: We'll just join the Secretary of State's
18 argument on that point.
THE COURT: Okay.
19
20 MS. BURWELL: We join in their argument as well, Your Honor. Thank you.
21
22 MR. TYSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
MR. BROWN: In their motion to dismiss the federal
23
24 constitutional claims, first Mr. Belinfante's description
25 of how this claim will interact with the other's claims is
0070
1 substantially correct, and that is, what we are -- what

2 the plaintiffs are alleging is that with any new election
going forward prospectively should be conducted on -- not
3
4 on these machines, because doing so would be a violation
of due process and equal protection.
5
6 So that's the -- sort of the procedural posture of
how this claim is seeking prospective relief. Second, the
7
8 defendants rely exclusively on the Favorito and Wexler
9 decisions. The Favorito and Wexler decisions are
10 fundamentally distinguishable because Favorito dealt with
11 a 2006-era DRE system.
What's -- what has happened and what we allege in our
12
13 complaint all are real events in real life that have
changed the system, that make it fundamentally different
14
15 than the system that was being reviewed by the courts in
the -- the -- the -- the Favorito case.
16
17 And as we allege, Your Honor, since the Favorito
18 case, every single government agency that is responsible
for national security has told the State of Georgia that
19
20 the current DRE system is fundamentally insecure.
The House Select Committee, the Senate Select
21
22 Committee, the Department of Homeland Protection. In
addition, every known computer scientist on record has
23
24 said exactly the same thing and has said to Georgia, these
25 are unreliable.
0071
1 They can't be trusted to count votes correctly. That
2 comes from every single source and there is no evidence
contrary, Your Honor. And this comes from slews of
3
4 scientists, and it culminated in a report by the National
Academy of Sciences that came out just this September, and
5
6 this is called a Consensus Report, which means that it's a
unanimous of the scientists at the National Academy of
7
8 Sciences have collected to consider this exact issue.
9 And that is, are these -- is the system that Georgia
10 is now using secure enough to be relied upon from -- from
11 attack domestic and foreign. And the National Academy of
Sciences in September said, don't use these machines
12
13 anymore. Don't even use them for the November election,
which Georgia did anyway.
14
15 And so, the -- the environment is different, Your
Honor. The national security concerns are different. In
16
17 2006, the -- the internet system was not getting bombarded
18 daily by nation states. The -- the system hasn't -- the
-- the -- the malware environment is totally different.
19

20 We do not allege that the system right out of the box
is flawed, although it's flawed in that it doesn't have a
21
22 paper backup, but that's not the nature of it. The nature
of it is is that the way Georgia has not maintained the
23
24 system, coupled with the external threat, make it a system
25 -- and if you use it, you're violating the constitutional
0072
1 rights of voters because it is fundamentally unreliable.
2 And the right to vote is nothing if their votes are
not counted correctly. And in this system, you never know
3
4 if your votes are being collected, counted correctly or
not. We will never know, because once a voter makes that
5
6 transient decision as to who to vote for, that record is
lost forever.
7
8 We will never know, and this is why the courts who
9 have recently looked at it have concluded that using those
10 machines is substantially likely to violate due process
11 rights and equal production rights of Georgia voters.
And there's no case to the contrary, Your Honor, is
12
13 that the cases now hold our way on those issues. And what
the defendants do not address -- they -- they address a
14
15 sort of hypothetical complaint that was maybe filed 15
years ago.
16
17 They do not address these allegations. They don't
18 address the fundamental problems. They also don't address
an entirely separate issue and that is that, as we alleged
19
20 in the complaint, the State of Georgia left this system --
we like to say, left this system out in the rain for six
21
22 months.
The Secretary of State, we allege, left the system
23
24 open to the internet, to the public internet, from before
25 the 2016 election until well after, even though it was
0073
1 informed that the system was open. And when it did so, it
2 -- it left itself open to be infected by any virus, by any
hacker whether it's someone on their sofa in New Jersey or
3
4 in Peenya or in Russia.
That's what we also allege. And so, we have a system
5
6 that according to the National Academy of Sciences, even
without it being left in the rain, is a violation, is so
7
8 fundamentally flawed and vulnerable, it's a violation of
9 constitutional rights.
10 And then to make matters worse, the Secretary of
11 State, even though it knew this was happening, left it
exposed to the public internet to be abused and has not
12

13 undertaken any sort of forensic examination to determine
whether or not it's been actually infected with malware as
14
15 the results of this recent election would suggest that it
has.
16
17 And so, we have established that -- that we have
18 alleged the allegations necessary.
THE COURT: -- it's a question of what you allege.
19
20 MR. BROWN: That's exactly right, Your Honor. I
misspoke. We have alleged --
21
22 THE COURT: -- what you have alleged.
MR. BROWN: We have alleged all the elements of the
23
24 claim, and therefore that motion should be dismissed, or
25 it could be revisited in a motion for summary judgment or
0074
1 further motions after the election contest issue is
2 resolved. Thank you, Your Honor.
MR. BELINFANTE: Thank you, Your Honor. I'll be
3
4 brief.
On the subsident due process claim, everything,
5
6 everything that Mr. Brown just talked about was raised in
the Favorito decision and cited. And in the long footnote
7
8 that cites to the Weber case, the court adopts that no
9 balloting system is perfect.
10 And in specifically again talking about touchscreen
11 voting systems, it says that the DRE machines do not leave
Georgia voters without protection from fraud or any means
12
13 of verifying a vote or a way to audit the recount. The
unfortunate reality is that the possibility of electoral
14
15 fraud can never be completely eliminated, no matter which
type of ballot is used.
16
17 Even assuming that none of the advantages of the
18 touchscreen systems over traditional methods would be
sacrificed if voter-verified paper ballots were added to
19
20 the touchscreen system. And it talks about the choice
that the legislature makes and makes very clear nothing in
21
22 the constitution prohibits this choice.
The legislature is presumed to be aware of all of the
23
24 things that Mr. Brown talks about, and Ill get to that in
25 a second. And yet that choice cannot be second guessed by
0075
1 the judiciary under the guides of a due process claim.
2 Now lets talk specifically about what the complaint
alleges, because thats what we're here to discuss.
3
4 Nowhere does the complaint that I found cite to any
specific inherent flaw with the machines. What it says,

5
6 over and over and over again, is that there are vulnerabilities, potential vulnerabilities.
7
8 The National Academy of Sciences cites
9 vulnerabilities. Its the Homeland Security, cites
10 vulnerabilities. Its paragraphs 25 through 33, youll
11 see a litany of that.
That is exactly the type of decision and the risk-
12
13 weighing that the general assembly is entitled to do, and the due process clause does not prevent them from doing.
14
15 Secondly, Mr. Brown tries to distinguish the Favorito case based on statements that are frankly not in the
16
17 record regarding the types of machines. If you look at
18 the complaint, the complaint talks about -- in paragraphs 21 to 23 is where it describes the machines and how
19
20 theyre used and so on -- it doesnt say that theyve been changed since 2006.
21
22 What hes talking about is that people now have additional concerns about them, but that just brings you
23
24 back to the holding of Favorito, which is that those
25 concerns are properly waived by the legislative branch,
0076
1 not the judicial branch.
2 That also speaks to -- and I don't know that there was a specific response to the equal protection, but
3
4 certainly Favorito would say and hold here regardless of how it views the machines. As long as voters have a
5
6 choice; it is not a state-imposed distinction and no equal-protection claim can lie.
7
8 Thank you, Judge.
9 THE COURT: Okay. Im going to have to take that,
10 and Im going to rule on it, and Im going to do it this
11 morning. I'll send an email. I want to relook at the petition. I am ruled -- ruled by what that petition says
12
13 this morning on -- on that issue, and I -- I want to look at it again. I've got the issues in my mind about it, and
14
15 I will look at it, okay.
MR. BELINFANTE: Thank you.
16
17 THE COURT: Anything else for the defendant?
18 MR. RUSSO: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay, then. Plaintiff has some other
19
20 motion.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. Yes, we have --
21
22 the -- the main motion that we had, Your Honor, is your November 29 emergency motion for inspection of electronic

23
24 election equipment and production of documents. To get to
25 the bottom of the causes for the machine malfunctions, we
0077
1 need some discovery, and thats why in November we moved
2 for a right to inspect the equipment under Rule 34 and
91134, which is the --
3
4 THE COURT: What do -- I -- I seek -- don't propose
more of which I'm not going to sign, which is way beyond
5
6 anything I think that I'm required to do or should be
doing. Good many other things, obviously. Tell me a
7
8 little bit more specifically what it is you want. What do
9 you want done?
10 MR. BROWN: Well, we -- the plaintiffs would like
11 discovery of DRE machines to inspect the --
THE COURT: Which ones? Where? When? How? What?
12
13 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, we would like to inspect the
machines that are identified in Exhibit B to our motion.
14
15 And we would be amenable to meeting with the defendants to
determine a reasonable time and space -- place for that
16
17 inspection. And --
18 THE COURT: Its on the three --
MR. BROWN: Its the last two pages of the -- the
19
20 motion and the last two pages of the proposed order.
THE COURT: Yes. I have it now.
21
22 MR. BROWN: And weve identified by -- in -- in many
instances by serial number the machines that we would like
23
24 to inspect. And certainly, by precinct, and as I
25 mentioned would be amenable to sitting down with the
0078
1 defense counsel and coming up with a -- a protocol for the
2 inspection of this equipment that would be -- be orderly
and helpful. And --
3
4 THE COURT: And when you -- when you mean inspection,
what do you mean, to look at them? Oh, theres a machine.
5
6 Or do you want to do anything to the machine?
MR. BROWN: A full forensic examination to determine
7
8 whats causing these -- these mistakes to happened, Your
9 Honor.
10 THE COURT: What do you mean by a full forensic
11 examination?
MR. BROWN: That would be with computer experts to
12
13 look at the internal memory and programming to see -- to
compare the machines to see what possible defects are
14
15 causing these systems to malfunction.
And they would involve comparing, for example -- one

16
17 -- in addition we would like machines that were not used
th
18 in the -- in the -- in the December 4 runoff elections
because the difficulty is if you keep on using these
19
20 machines, they may overwrite the underlying memory. Our
-- this case is about the November election, not the
21
22 December election, so we would like to look at November
machines that were not used.
23
24 Now some of the ones, some of the hottest and -- and
25 most troublesome machines may have been involved in both;
0079
1 they may have continued to use them. And we may have lost
2 some evidence there; we dont know, but weve identified
by serial number and by precinct in Exhibit B the evidence
3
4 that we -- we would like to -- to collect.
And like I said its -- some logistics are involved.
5
6 We would need the systems to be set up so that they
actually run, they can work, so we need -- would need
7
8 internet connection and an AC tower to boot those up.
9 But, again, we would be happy to sit down with the
10 defendants and -- and quickly set up a protocol for doing
11 that.
THE COURT: Have you sent documents, what you -- did
12
13 you --
MR. BROWN: And then in addition, of the documents
14
15 that -- that we were seeking, I mean, we would like, you
know, general discovery of documentary evidence relating
16
17 to the programming of the machines and so that the -- the
18 computer scientists can better understand exactly what's
going on.
19
20 And we believe that's -- that's reasonable and can be
done expeditiously and -- and may answer many important
21
22 questions that are -- that Your Honor has indicated are of
interest. And the defendants have not responded to our
23
24 motion. I'm not sure what their position is on it.
25 THE COURT: Well, I just got it a couple days ago.
0080
1 MR. RUSSO: Thank you, Your Honor. I'm Vince Russo
2 for the Secretary of State.
The plaintiffs are essentially asking for unfettered
3
4 access to voting equipment here in the state of Georgia.
The -- we -- the Secretary of State objects to the motion
5
6 that the plaintiffs have filed in the request. The
plaintiffs, for starters, have not alleged irregularities
7

8 in each of those precincts where the voting machines they
9 would like to inspect are located. So, the machines in
10 those precincts are completely unrelated, as far as we can
11 tell, to their claims, based on the pleadings at least.
Additionally, Your Honor, Mr. -- plaintiffs, one of
12
13 plaintiff's experts, I suppose, Mr. Demila (phonetic),
even testified -- he even included in his affidavit that's
14
15 attached to the second motion that the plaintiffs are --
are going to be arguing, but he -- he stated in his
16
17 affidavit that there's no statistically valid conclusion
18 that can be drawn from a random sample of -- of machines.
Your Honor, at the end of the day here, as my
19
20 colleagues have pointed out repeatedly, the plaintiffs
have to show that there are enough votes in question, over
21
22 123,000 votes that -- that call in doubt the result of the
election for valid election contest. The machines that
23
24 they want to look at are not going to -- they're not going
25 to have the -- they do not have the votes as far as -- as
0081
1 far as we can tell and -- and plaintiffs' counsel hasn't
2 indicated that there were enough votes on those machines
to call in doubt the result of the election.
3
4 And as such, Your Honor, we think giving them
unfettered access to highly sensitive information about
5
6 the state voting machines when irregularities alleged by
the plaintiffs would not change the result of the election
7
8 is unnecessary.
9 Additionally, Your Honor, in the filing that the
10 plaintiffs made to -- excuse me, that the Secretary of
11 State made this morning, which was regarding the proffer
of evidence, Mr. Demila testified in the -- in the
12
13 Totenberg case and the Kerling (phonetic) case that it
would take 14,000 hours to examine all of the machines and
14
15 that alone still wouldn't be enough.
And for reference, Your Honor, 14,000 hours is more
16
17 than a year and a half. While the plaintiffs have -- have
18 obviously cut down the scope of the -- of the number of
machines they would like to inspect, Your Honor, there's
19
20 -- there's still no -- there's still no facts pled that
indicate that the -- the precincts and the machines that
21
22 they want to -- that the plaintiffs want to inspect, would
have any effect on the outcome of the election or that
23
24 there are any irregularities in those precincts. So, we
25 would ask that you deny this motion. Thank you.
0082

1 Oh, I'm sorry. We have not -- we will be filing this
2 today.
THE COURT: You are.
3
4 MR. RUSSO: I notice proffer of evidence by Secretary
of State, which includes the transcript that I just
5
6 referenced from the testimony of their expert in the -- in
the federal case.
7
8 And I don't know if my colleagues have anything else.
9 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, just briefly on behalf of
10 Gwinnett County. For Gwinnett, we will do obviously
11 whatever the Court directs us to do in terms of making
machines available.
12
13 Our only concern is from a practical perspective.
Obviously, this is voting equipment that is kept in a very
14
15 sensitive -- and lock and key. We keep these machines
under seal with numbered seals and audit trail to
16
17 determine we know who has access to the machines.
18 And we would just want to be absolutely certain that
whatever access is occurring is done in a way in
19
20 coordination with the Secretary of State's office and
others who are involved with this to make sure that
21
22 nothing is altered with the machines themselves.
Gwinnett owns a mix. We have a mix of machines
23
24 partially owned by the State and partially owned by the
25 county, and we will work to determine the specific serial
0083
1 numbers and who owns those machines. But that -- we are
2 ready to do whatever the Court directs us to do, but we
just have those security concerns to be sure that nothing
3
4 with the machines themselves is being altered and that
there's enough security regarding the -- this inspection
5
6 that the plaintiffs are proposing.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, if I may be heard briefly.
7
8 THE COURT: -- is this the original?
9 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, we're going to electronically
10 file it for --
11 THE COURT: I don't have one given to me. So, this
is mine?
12
13 MR. TYSON: That's your copy.
MR. BELINFANTE: Which I have provided one to
14
15 opposing counsel and --
THE COURT: Okay. Right here.
16
17 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, if I may be heard very
18 briefly.
THE COURT: Sure.

19
20 MR. LINDSEY: The petitioner's request, I believe, is irrelevant to the outcome of this case as you -- based on
21
22 your ruling regarding our motion to dismiss in which you made very clear that the -- ultimately, the plaintiffs
23
24 must show that there were enough irregularities, neither
25 the votes counted improperly or votes not counted to
0084
1 amount to 123,000 votes. The petitioner is seeking how
2 many -- how many exact number of -- number of machines?
MR. BROWN: You'd have to ask them.
3
4 MR. LINDSEY: A dozen or so machines? Your Honor, that's not going to amount to 123,000. Their -- their
5
6 comeback to our specific numbers that we raise was -- well, we raised a general allegation that system wide
7
8 there were failures that resulted in the whole election
9 being cast in doubt.
10 If that's their allegation, Your Honor, merely
11 inspecting a dozen or so machines are not going to prove or disprove that. And so, for that reason, Your Honor, we
12
13 would oppose their motion.
THE COURT: (inaudible)
14
15 MS. BURWELL: On behalf of Fulton County, Your Honor, their list attached to their motion included counties
16
17 obviously outside of Fulton County. We don't take a
18 position with respect to those others.
With respect to Fulton County, we would point out to
19
20 the Court that the complaint only addresses two precincts in Fulton County where they're alleging there were any
21
22 irregularities, yet their request for the review of machines seeks far more than just those two precincts.
23
24 And so given the limited amount of time, we would
25 request that the Court is going to allow them some leeway
0085
1 in doing some of this discovery that it not be as far-
2 reaching as they were requesting and instead that the Court be very tailored in terms of what they have actually
3
4 alleged in getting to the allegations rather than just a fishing expedition.
5
6 THE COURT: (inaudible)
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. First, Your
7
8 Honor, we have -- we have alleged irregularities in every
9 precinct, not just Grady, not just some other.
10 We've -- our allegations cover a systemic statewide
11 problem with these electronic voting machine, and we've only used as illustration some evidence that we have found

12
13 in particular precincts here and there, but those are just illustrations. Our allegations cover every single one.
14
15 Second, as to the suggestion that this discovery is unlikely to come up with anything that will allow us to
16
17 carry our burden of proof. That's just fundamentally
18 incorrect. We are also seeking discovery of the GEMS databases.
19
20 THE COURT: The who?
MR. BROWN: The GEMS is called -- it's an acronym,
21
22 GEMS, which is an acronym for the -- for the servers that collect the data, and so if there is a flaw in that it's
23
24 going to cover more than just the actual machines.
25 THE COURT: -- but that's not what you told me
0086
1 before.
2 MR. BROWN: Yes. My -- the way I was --
THE COURT: That wasn't what you said when I came.
3
4 MR. BROWN: Well, the exhibit that I was referencing covers DRE machines and also covers the GEMS databases
5
6 that are county specific and these databases cover more -- I mean, they are not just one by one. In addition, Your
7
8 Honor, but really much more fundamentally is that if there
9 is a programming --
10 THE COURT: Get back to the GEMS, because I'm not
11 understanding what you're saying.
MR. BROWN: The GEMS database is -- each county has a
12
13 GEMS --
THE COURT: Each county has an individual one.
14
15 MR. BROWN: Individual one.
THE COURT: Okay.
16
17 MR. BROWN: And then the Secretary of State has a
18 system that tabulates all of those. And so there could be a programming flaw anywhere in the system. One of the
19
20 things that the data -- and this is the public information that's available that Mr. Lindsey referred to, is that the
21
22 pattern oddly was found in every single county under votes for the lieutenant governor's race, which no one had ever
23
24 seen before historically ever, and it comes up in every
25 single county.
0087
1 And so that it is possible, I won't say likely, but
2 possible that there is a single or several programming mistakes, which have identified, will indicate that
3
4 there's the system wide failure.

But actually, more fundamentally, Your Honor, we'll
5
6 understand this quickly is that what the defendants are
sort of analogizing this too is if we're hunting for
7
8 illegal paper ballots, like these cases. As if we're
9 looking for ballots in which Amico's name was misspelled,
10 like the Mead case. Or another case in which there's
11 something wrong with a hard copy of a ballot. And we can
get that ballot and we can count them up and we can count
12
13 it up to 124,000 and therefore possibly win.
That's not this case. Because those ballots are gone
14
15 and because of the way the State has chosen to conduct
these elections, that record is lost forever.
16
17 Instead what we have is the electronic memory and the
18 programming that drove the way these votes were counted,
we think they were counted wrong. And so, we're entitled
19
20 to discovery, we believe, and we think it will be helpful
and not necessarily that burdensome.
21
22 It's interesting that they now cite to the federal
case for the declaration of Dr. Demila. Indeed, he said
23
24 if you had to look at every single machine and do a
25 forensic examination of every single machine it would have
0088
1 took -- it would take a long time.
2 They haven't done it. We do not plan to do that.
There's not time or resources to do that. Instead, this
3
4 will be a targeted examination to see if evidence of a
systemic programming error either in the DRE machines
5
6 themselves or the databases have caused these problems.
Thank you, Your Honor.
7
8 THE COURT: I have problems with words like "there
9 could be a flaw" or "theres a possible programming
10 mistake." And what youre really asking for in this
11 discovery and fishing expedition, and Im not going to
give it to you till left, okay. I am going to -- I am
12
13 going to the GEMS are for each county?
MR. TYSON: Yes, Your Honor.
14
15 THE COURT: Okay.
MR. RUSSO: Your Honor, the date of the GEMS is
16
17 public -- is public record --
18 THE COURT: Even if it -- were it --
MR. RUSSO: Is highly confidential and the means
19
20 accessing --
THE COURT: Pardon.
21
22 MR. RUSSO: I said the source code and the means of

accessing the GEMS, of course, is highly confidential, but
23
24 the data from the GEMS is public record.
25 THE COURT: I am going to allow you to look at the
0089
1 GEMS in Fulton and in Gwinnett, okay. So -- will have to
2 access it. He's not to get the confidential access. And
then he can look at. If it's public record, and you can
3
4 show him where the public record is, of course you can
look at it, but record and you can show him where the
5
6 public record is.
Of course, you can look at all of them, okay. And it
7
8 thats up to you all to work out with and Im going to let
9 him do it for those two counties because those are the two
10 counties that are a part of this.
11 Hes got -- the only allegation hes got -- he's got
an allegation in McDonough, he's -- DeKalb and what
12
13 county? Because DeKalb's not here. He's got one in Worth
County. Hes got Grady High School, -- Library, and then
14
15 Allen Temple A.M.E. are the ones that hes alleging were
errors.
16
17 And Im going to let him look at those, okay. It's
18 got to be supervised by somebody, okay. In the sense of
-- somebody has to watch whatever he supposedly does so
19
20 there's no fundamental damage to the system in any way.
Needs to be really clear. Got it?
21
22 MR. RUSSO: Your Honor, I mean, we would need to have
some kind of confidentiality order for agreement,
23
24 obviously. Theres -- when -- when his folks have access
25 to the machines, we dont -- I mean we can watch what
0090
1 theyre doing. We dont know what theyre actually doing
2 and with the data in the -- the -- the computer
technology.
3
4 THE COURT: -- any sense. You're not -- you're not
going to do it yourself --
5
6 MR. RUSSO: What?
THE COURT: Like I said, if anything on those few
7
8 machines, anything is done to damage them in any way,
9 there will be some absolutely serious ramifications.
10 MR. RUSSO: Okay.
11 THE COURT: And that needs to be fully understood.
MR. RUSSO: Your -- Your Honor, I guess would -- we
12
13 would also want just clarification around what it is
exactly -- weve mentioned that theres data machines that
14
15 we can pull --

THE COURT: (inaudible)

16

17 MR. RUSSO: We can pull the data from the GEMS

18 machines to give to them. If thats the extent of it, thats one thing.

19

20 THE COURT: What is it that you want to do on the individual machines?

21

22 MR. BROWN: We want to examine the internal memory, Your Honor, to determine how the program --

23

24 THE COURT: How do you examine the internal memory?

25 MR. BROWN: You do -- I -- our -- our experts will be

0091

1 examining the internal memory to see if there are

2 programming flaws in the DRE machines that are causing these problems.

3

4 THE COURT: I got you. For programming flaws only?

MR. BROWN: Pardon me.

5

6 THE COURT: For programming flaws.

MR. BROWN: For programming flaws, yes, Your Honor.

7

8 THE COURT: See if they were programmed wrong, okay.

9 Simple language, okay.

10 MR. RUSSO: Okay. Not entirely sure what it means

11 when they get access to what theyre looking at.

THE COURT: (inaudible)

12

13 MR. BROWN: I understand.

THE COURT: That these cases are supposed to be

14

15 disposed of fast, and Im not going to give continuances. If -- if you would like to work together to do that, if

16

17 you run up on a stumbling block, you can always do it by

18 emails to me and I will email you back.

MR. RUSSO: As you said it would be confidential or

19

20 not be subject to --

THE COURT: (inaudible)

21

22 MR. RUSSO: -- because disclosure thats obviously important for our --

23

24 THE COURT: Once again your people would have to set

25 it up, where he can look at it. If hes just looking at

0092

1 the results of it then, if you know how to show him

2 online, he can get it all in the mistakes, okay.

MR. RUSSO: Yeah, well be happy to let him see the

3

4 results of the election.

THE COURT: Let him see the results of the state, but

5

6 at that time --

MR. RUSSO: Yes.

7

8 THE COURT: -- all the counties involved and these
9 five places that he has indicated.
10 MR. RUSSO: Okay.
11 THE COURT: Okay.
MR. RUSSO: Thank you.
12
13 THE COURT: I'll let you submit me the order if you
can agree on that. If you can't, let me know. Like I
14
th
15 said, Im -- the hearing is on the 17 ?
MR. BROWN: Yes.
16
17 MR. RUSSO: I think, Your Honor.
18 THE COURT: Im -- I'm here but I sleep occasionally,
but apart from that time --
19
20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, let me -- I want to recap
what, Your Honor, has suggested just so were very clear
21
22 on -- on this --
THE COURT: You want to do it so that you can expand
23
24 what I just said. Come on, I know that. Is this what you
25 said, Judge? Yeah. I know that but go ahead.
0093
1 MR. BROWN: Didnt you say you favored widespread and
2 far-reaching discovery? No, Im joking, but --
THE COURT: Okay.
3
4 MR. BROWN: Two counties -- limited to two counties?
THE COURT: Two counties. Gwinnett -- go ahead.
5
6 MR. BROWN: Gwinnett and Fulton. In the five.
THE COURT: In the five -- Henry County and
7
8 McDonough. It doesn't say specifically that one was.
9 Fulton, Allen County AME -- and Grady High School.
10 MR. BROWN: Okay. Yes, Your Honor.
11 THE COURT: That's what's alleged on the petition.
MR. BROWN: And that would be examination of the
12
13 machines under the conditions that youve described. In
addition, the county servers -- that the county GEMS
14
15 servers under the instructions that you gave.
THE COURT: -- and if theres a way to get that
16
17 information if it is public record, then you can get it in
18 public record.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. At present the
19
20 trial is scheduled -- for the trial -- not at present.
th
The trial is scheduled for the 17 --
21
22 THE COURT: Correct.
MR. BROWN: -- of -- would it make sense to postpone
23
24 that --

25 THE COURT: No, nothing postponed.

0094

1 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

2 THE COURT: The rules are -- in fact Im getting some pressure as to why this isn't going through faster than

3

4 it's been. It needs to be done; it needs to be completed; it needs to be over. I'm not going to move it.

5

6 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And what I've allowed you to do on those

7

8 things can be done before that date.

9 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

10 THE COURT: If -- if you put your mind to it. Okay?

11 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: Okay, anything else? You had a motion

12

13 about -- but I guess that's moot.

MR. BROWN: That's moot.

14

15 THE COURT: Okay, anything else?

MR. RUSSO: No, Your Honor.

16

17 THE COURT: Okay.

18 MR. LINDSEY: Just to move the case along quickly and Im going to stipulate to plaintiffs counsel be in

19

20 agreement -- just taking judicial notice so we dont have to bring somebody in to show the certified election

21

22 results of all the statewide elections.

THE COURT: Do you have an objection to --

23

24 MR. RUSSO: I assume we don't have any objections?

25 Just to the numbers. Do you have an objection? No,

0095

1 Judge.

2 THE COURT: The numbers that he gave me are the numbers in the register. Do they have an objection?

3

4 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor.

MR. RUSSO: I just want to make that that's clear.

5

6 THE COURT: Okay. You can put those in without having to --

7

8 MR. RUSSO: No, Your Honor.

9 MR. BELINFANTE: We will be doing the same -- we will

10 be using the same numbers here on, Your Honor.

11 THE COURT: Okay. Thats it.

MR. RUSSO: Okay.

12

13 THE COURT: Anything else? Thank you, gentleman.

MR. RUSSO: Thank you.

14

15 MR. BELINFANTE: Thank you, Your Honor.

MR. TYSON: Thank you, Judge.

16

17

18 (Whereupon, the hearing was concluded at 10:34 a.m.)
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
0096
1 CERTIFICATE
2
STATE OF GEORGIA)
3
4) SS.
COUNTY OF DOUGLAS)
5
6
I, PRISCILLA GARCIA, A COURT REPORTER IN THE STATE OF
7
8 GEORGIA, DO HEREBY STATE THAT THE FOREGOING IS A TRUE AND
9 ACCURATE TRANSCRIPT AS TRANSCRIBED BY ME AT THE TIME,
10 PLACE, AND THE DATE HEREINBEFORE SET FORTH.
11 I DO FURTHER STATE THAT I AM NEITHER A RELATIVE NOR
EMPLOYEE NOR ATTORNEY NOR COUNSEL OF ANY OF THE PARTIES TO
12
13 THIS ACTION, AND THAT I AM NEITHER A RELATIVE NOR EMPLOYEE
OF SUCH ATTORNEY OR COUNSEL, AND THAT I AM NOT FINANCIALLY
14
15 INTERESTED IN THIS ACTION.
WITNESS MY HAND IN THE CITY OF DOUGLASVILLE, COUNTY
16
17 OF DOUGLAS, STATE OF GEORGIA, ON THIS 18th DAY OF JANUARY
18 2019.
BY: _____
19
20 PRISCILLA GARCIA, COURT REPORTER
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF GEORGIA
21
22 COMMISSION NO.: W-00379933
COMMISSION EXPIRES: 08/14/2022
23
24 CERTFICATION NO.: 5503-2677-8304-9216
25 LICENSE EXPIRES: 04/01/2019

1 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY

2 STATE OF GEORGIA

3

4 COALITION FOR GOOD . CIVIL ACTION
GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. . FILE NO.: 2018-CV-313418
5

6 MARTIN, SMYTH DUVAL, and .
JEANNE DUFORT, . Taken at:
7

8 Plaintiffs, . Superior Court of Cobb County

9 vs. . 70 Haynes Street

10 ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, . Courtroom 2000

11 Secretary of State of . Marietta, Georgia 30090
Georgia, et al. .
12

13 Defendants. .
. . . . . .
14

15
TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING PROCEEDINGS
16

17 WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 9, 2019

18 8:59 a.m. to 11:04 a.m.

19

20 STATE OF GEORGIA SENIOR JUDGE ADELE P. GRUBBS

21

22
REPORTED BY:
23

24 PRISCILLA GARCIA, COURT REPORTER

25 NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF GEORGIA

1 APPEARANCES:

2
Plaintiff's Counsel: Bruce P. Brown, Esquire
3
4 Bruce P. Brown Law
Floataway Business Complex
5
6 1123 Zonolite Road N.E.
Suite 6
7
8 Atlanta, Georgia 30306
9 404-881-0700
10 bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com
11
Defendant's Counsel: John Belinfante, Esquire
12
13 Robbins, Ross, Alloy, Belinfante
& Littlefield, LLC
14
15 500 14th Street N.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30318
16
17 404-856-3262
18 jbelinfante@robbinsfirm.com
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:

2
Defendant's Counsel: Vincent R. Russo, Esquire
3
4 Robbins, Ross, Alloy, Belinfante
& Littlefield, LLC
5
6 999 Peachtree Street N.E.
Suite 1120
7
8 Atlanta, Georgia 30309
9 404-856-3260
10 vrusso@robbinsfirm.com
11
Defendant's Associate Counsel:
12
13 Alexander F. Denton, Esquire
Robbins, Ross, Allot, Belinfante
14
15 & Littlefield, LLC
500 14th Street N.W.
16
17 Atlanta, Georgia 30318
18 404-856-3276
adenton@robbinsfirm.com
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:

2
Defendant's Counsel: Edward H. Lindsey, Esquire
3
4 Dentons US, LLP
303 Peachtree Street N.E.
5
6 Suite 5300
Atlanta, Georgia 30308
7
8 404-527-4580
9 edward.lindsey@dentons.com
10
11 Defendant's Counsel: Kaye Woodard Burwell, Esquire
Office of the County Attorney
12
13 141 Pryor Street S.W.
Suite 4038
14
15 Atlanta, Georgia 30303
404-612-0251
16
17 kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov
18
Defendant's Counsel: Bryan P. Tyson, Esquire
19
20 Strickland, Brockington & Lewis,
LLP
21
22 1170 Peachtree Street N.E.
Atlanta, Georgia 30309
23
24 404-219-3160
25 bryan.tyson@sbllaw.com

1 I-N-D-E-X

2 EXHIBITS: DESCRIPTION PAGE
NONE

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 COBB COUNTY, GEORGIA

2 WEDNESDAY, January 9, 2018 - 8:59 p.m.

3

4 * * * * * * *

5

6 THE COURT: On this case we have a request on Rule 22 who is opposed to make -- that request. Is anybody --

7

8 MS. BURWELL: (inaudible)

9 THE COURT: This is on the case. This is on the

10 Coalition case.

11 MS. BURWELL: Right.
THE COURT: Does anyone have any objection to the

12

13 Rule 22? -- the cameraman wants to record the proceedings.

14

15 MR. BELINFANTE: No, Your Honor.
MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor.

16

17 THE COURT: Everyone's saying no.

18 MR. RUSSO: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. Then I will grant it. I find that

19

20 it is covered under Section -- Rule 22. I have an order confirming.

21

22 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you.
THE COURT: I've got the order in front of me. I've

23

24 got it.

25 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Will they know downstairs?

1 THE COURT: I'm sorry.

2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Will they know downstairs? If I don't have it signed, they won't let me go through
3

4 security. If I don't have a signed --
THE COURT: I just signed it.
5

6 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Oh, okay.
THE COURT: Pinpoint the rules though. I need to
7

8 explain to you what the rules are. You can only record

9 when I'm in courtroom.

10 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay.

11 THE COURT: Okay. You cannot record anything from counsel's table.
12

13 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay.
THE COURT: Okay. Or any conversation they may have.
14

15 I don't think there are any witnesses. It's not that kind of hearing today, but we'll see. It's not -- yet and
16

17 we'll see. Don't record anything that they've got

18 privilege and all those kinds of things. So be very careful on that.
19

20 The rules say no bench conferences. I can't imagine me trying to hold a bench conference with these people.
21

22 But we'll -- all I'm doing -- okay. So, I will sign the order. When you say downstairs, what do you mean?
23

24 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: To get through security I have to

25 have a signed form to get through.

1 THE COURT: Okay.

2 THE BAILIFF: I'll take care of that, Judge.
THE COURT: Okay.
3

4 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you.
THE COURT: There you go. I don't -- I've got a
5

6 couple of them, okay. Jim, I'll let him handle it, okay.
Okay. So, we have a good record, this is the Coalition
7

8 for Good Governance and various individuals versus Robyn

9 Crittenden, Fulton County, and Gwinnett County.

10 Can you each, Counsel, introduce yourselves for the

11 record so I have a record of who's here? Yes, sir.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, Bruce Brown for the
12

13 plaintiffs. With me at counsel table is Marilyn Marks,
the executive director of plaintiff Coalition for Good
14

15 Governance.
THE COURT: I will allow that right now. We'll see
16

17 where we go. Yes, sir.

18 MR. RUSSO: Good morning, Your Honor. Vincent Russo
for the Georgia Secretary of State.
19

20 MR. BELINFANTE: Josh Belinfante also for the
Secretary of State, Your Honor.
21

22 THE COURT: Okay.
MR. TYSON: Good morning, Your Honor. Bryan Tyson
23

24 and with me is Dick Carruthers. We represent the Gwinnett

25 Board of Registrations and Elections.

1 THE COURT: Okay.

2 MR. LINDSEY: Good morning, Your Honor. Edward
Lindsey on behalf of Lieutenant Governor-elect Geoff
3

4 Duncan.
THE COURT: Okay.
5

6 MS. BURWELL: Kaye Burwell here on behalf of Fulton
County.
7

8 THE COURT: How did you get stuck with this?

9 MS. BURWELL: There too many lawyers there this

10 morning, Your Honor.

11 THE COURT: We're trying to pretend you're not here.
I gotcha. I got it. I know how that works, okay. That's
12

13 it. As I understand it, DeKalb County has been dismissed?
MR. BROWN: That's correct, Your Honor.
14

15 THE COURT: In looking at -- we're here -- let me be
clear on this. We're here on motions today. This is not
16

17 evidentiary. Understand the statute of evidence has been

18 supposedly filed, the report's picked it up. That's not
relevant today. It's got nothing to do with today.
19

20 That's not what we're here about, okay. We're here
for the four corners of the petition; that's all we're
21

22 here about. So I need to be clear about that. I
understand though, Mr. Brown, is that you have agreed that
23

24 the Coalition is not a proper party as to the election

25 contest; is that correct?

1 MR. BROWN: That is correct, Your Honor. The
2 Coalition is a party to the Federal 1983 claims, and the individual plaintiffs are the contestants in the election
3
4 contest.
THE COURT: Okay. And then with that covered, in
5
6 count 2 and count 3 are federal claims; is that correct?
MR. BROWN: That's correct, Your Honor.
7
8 THE COURT: Okay. Are those not being contested in
9 federal court right now?
10 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor. They're -- similar
11 claims have been raised in federal court, but these are -- these claims are also raised in this case.
12
13 THE COURT: Well, how many times can you raise the same claim? I mean, if this is a federal -- I'm going to
14
15 hear from everybody. If this is a federal issue, then why don't I just stay it and let the federals decide what it
16
17 is?
18 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the plaintiff's claims are right to be asserted here, and it may be that they -- that
19
20 those --
THE COURT: You can't keep asserting them in all
21
22 different kinds of -- of courtrooms.
MR. BROWN: That's correct, Your Honor. But had --
23
24 had the plaintiffs not asserted them, they could have
25 waived their right to the relief, if that relief were

1 deemed right before the other ones matured. The federal
2 court --
THE COURT: Let me hear from here from the -- from
3
4 the defendants on that issue. Don't mean to -- if I'm
making any sense this morning.
5
6 MR. RUSSO: Good morning, Your Honor. Vincent Russo
for the Secretary of State. This -- these are claims that
7
8 are pending and the case before Judge Totenberg in a
9 criminal matter. We don't have any objection to you also
10 considering those claims. We will do as you determine.
11 THE COURT: Okay.
MR. DENTON: And Your Honor, for Gwinnett, we're kind
12
13 of in the same position as Secretary of State.
THE COURT: Okay.
14
15 MR. DENTON: We're in the same position as the
Secretary of State's Office, and we don't have necessarily
16
17 an objection. We don't think we're really proper to be
18 part of the federal constitutional claims --
THE COURT: (inaudible)
19
20 MR. DENTON: -- so we can deal with that later. Yes.
THE COURT: We'll take one step at a time.
21
22 MR. DENTON: Yes.
THE COURT: I'm trying to take -- this is today,
23
24 okay. I'm trying to take it one step at a time, okay.
25 I'm fairly simplistic about -- I'm a math major, okay.

1 Explain life to me. You know, we're going to do it like
2 that. I do better if I keep my brain in one spot at a time. Fulton County, what do you say?
3
4 MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, on behalf of Fulton County, we take the position that the federal claims are pending
5
6 in federal court, and this is just another opportunity for these same plaintiffs to bring the same claim again, and
7
8 we agree with the Court that you want to stay the federal
9 issues, the constitutional issues they claim that they're
10 raising.
11 THE COURT: Mr. Lindsey.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I don't believe that Geoff
12
13 Duncan is really a party to the second and third count. They're simply a party in regard to the first count,
14
15 seeking a new election.
THE COURT: Is it -- I'm sorry?
16
17 MR. LINDSEY: We're simply a party in regard to the
18 first count, seeking a new election.
THE COURT: Right. But you're not really involved
19
20 in --
MR. LINDSEY: It certainly does, however, make sense
21
22 for you to stay.
THE COURT: You're right.
23
24 MR. LINDSEY: That'll do it.
25 THE COURT: Okay. Then I will hear the motions this

1 morning on that, but I'm still not convinced I'm going to
2 stay, okay. Because it's pending somewhere else. With that said, then let's go -- let me see. I'll hear -- let
3
4 me hear the Secretary of State's motions first, okay. Let -- what we're going to do -- a lot of them -- a
5
6 lot of them are the same. A lot of the motions are the same, so I'm going to -- I'm going to let you go first,
7
8 and then we'll go from there, okay.
9 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. And the
10 defendant's counsel, we did try to split up kind of all
11 arguments so that you aren't hearing -- THE COURT: Whatever you want to do, Mr. Brown.
12
13 MR. RUSSO: We're here on Secretary of State's motion to dismiss, along with the motions to dismiss filed by our
14
15 co-defendants. As you're aware, plaintiffs filed a petition contesting the results of the November 6, 2018,
16
17 general election and the race for lieutenant governor for
18 the State of Georgia. In that election the margin of victory was 123,172
19
20 votes. The plaintiffs in this case claim that the only explanations for the difference in the number of votes in
21
22 the lieutenant governor's race and other statewide races is that there were malfunctioning or erroneously
23
24 programmed voting machines or malicious manipulation of
25 the voting machines.

1 That that -- that those issues place in doubt the
2 results of the election. Now plaintiff's, of course,
3 completely disregard other reasonable explanations for the
4 differences in the votes, such as the fact that there were
5 third-party candidates in the other races and incumbents,
6 but that's for another day.
7 The Supreme Court has repeatedly stated that Georgia
8 law prohibits a contestant from merely speculating or
9 guessing as to the cause of an election contest. Here we
10 have -- the plaintiffs have asserted grounds under 2125 --
11 excuse me, 2102 520, under multiple different sections of
12 the election page, excuse me.
13 And the motion to dismiss, their response to the
14 motion to dismiss has them discuss -- the plaintiffs have
15 expanded the grounds for their contest to -- to additional
16 ones. So, there are threshold issues that pertain to our
17 client, which I'll address first.
18 My partner, Josh Belinfante, will address the federal
19 constitutional claims, and as I mentioned the other
20 parties will address those claims. Your Honor, has
21 already taken and confirmed the first step here that the
22 Coalition for Good Governance lacks standing to file an
23 election contest claim, so we're going to move past --
24 past that.
25 As Your Honor knows, election contests are authorized

1 and governed by the Georgia Election Code, which is in
2 Chapter 2 of Title 21. Pursuant to OCGA212520, there are only certain individuals who can be a defendant in an
3 election contest and none of those individuals in -- in this context would be the Secretary of State, which is the
4 first reason for dismissing the Secretary of State in the election contest.
5 THE COURT: Okay. I didn't -- Josh, I didn't realize
6 you had to go back to the courtroom.
7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Yes.
8 THE COURT: Okay.
9 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: (inaudible)
10 THE COURT: I didn't realize that they wouldn't let you go through. Let me give you a chance to get set up
11 because that was not -- I didn't realize that.
12 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: I'm good.
13 THE COURT: It gets confusing because everybody has a
14 right to be heard, and I didn't realize they wouldn't let you bring it in, okay. But the fact that she -- that your
15 allegation is the Secretary of State should not be a defendant, let me hear that. That doesn't apply to
16 anybody else. Let me hear that one first.
17 MR. RUSSO: Yes, ma'am.
18 THE COURT: I understand it's a motion to dismiss,
19 but it's an issue that's out in front. Go ahead.

1 MR. RUSSO: Of course. Under OCGA212520,
2 subsection 2, a defendant is defined as -- as four different specific classes. A defendant could be either
3
4 the person whose nomination election is contested such as the lieutenant governor elect in this case, the person
5
6 whose eligibility to seek any nomination or office in a runoff primary or election is contested, the election
7
8 superintendent or superintendents who conducted the
9 contested primary or election, or the public officer who
10 formally declared the number of votes for and against a
11 ballot question submitted to the electors at an election. Under the Georgia Election Code, the Secretary of
12
13 State is not an election superintendent. Secretary of State does not conduct elections. Elections are conducted
14
15 in the State of Georgia by -- at the county level, by the county superintendents.
16
17 Under the definitions, the general definitions that
18 are applicable to the Georgia Election Code, a superintendent is specifically defined in 2122, 2,
19
20 subsection 35, as either a judge of the probate court of a county or the County Board of Elections, the County Board
21
22 of Elections and Registration, the joint City and County Board of Elections, or the joint City Board of Elections
23
24 and Registrations, if a county has one.
25 And there are -- there are three other categories,

1 which apply to municipal elections. None of those are
2 applicable here. As Your -- as Your Honor can tell here, you know, the plain language in the definition does not
3 include the Secretary of State, and -- and -- and that really goes to the fact that the Secretary of State,
4 again, doesn't conduct elections.
5 Where the Secretary of State -- the Secretary of
6 State is obviously involved in voter registration and must
7 maintain a statewide voter registration database, but that
8 doesn't make the Secretary of State a superintendent. The
9 county -- the -- the plaintiffs have alleged that or have argued that, you know, since it's a statewide election
10 involving a lieutenant governor, that the Secretary of State must -- must be a proper -- must be a superintendent
11 and must be a proper party.
12 The defendant -- or excuse me, the plaintiffs rely on
13 the case Mead vs. Sheffield, which involved a court of
14 appeals election contest, and in that case the -- in that case, it was a 2004 election contest in which one of the
15 -- the contestant missed the runoff by about 380 votes, because he had -- there was the wrong -- and there was a
16 wrong name on the ballot.
17 We do not know why the Secretary of State in that
18 case was a party. The plaintiffs claim that since she was
19 a party in that case, she -- the -- current Secretary of

1 State must, you know, must be a party in this case.

2 Of course, there's -- there's no precedent -- precedential value in that case on that precise point.

3

4 That said, what the Mead vs. Sheffield case does highlight is that even in an election contest involving a statewide

5

6 race, election contest is filed against the county superintendents, which it was Laurens County in that case,

7

8 where there alleged irregularities that occurred in that

9 particular county.

10 And that, you know, indicates where there is an

11 alleged irregularity involving an -- an illegal ballot as opposed to an illegal vote, the contestant must show that

12

13 the number of illegal ballots cast exceeds the margin of victory in order to prevail.

14

15 Again, this is -- the -- the reason the case was filed in Laurens County -- against Laurens County was

16

17 because that's where the irregularities occurred. I know

18 my colleagues at the -- that represent the other defendants, the county defendants will probably be

19

20 speaking to this issue, but Mead vs. Sheffield is clearly inapplicable here.

21

22 Plaintiffs also argue that the definition of election superintendent in the Recall Act of 1989, which is

23

24 Chapter 4 of Title 21, must apply to the Election Code.

25 Your Honor, I mean, it's a fundamental rule of

1 statutory construction that it's -- it's presumed that the
2 legislature acts intentionally and purposefully when it includes particular language in one section of a statute
3
4 but omits it from another. And that's Tolson v. Sistrunk, 332-Georgia at 324 from 2015.
5
6 Now in this rule of statutory construction is applied to the present case, it's clear that the word
7
8 "superintendent" as used for purposes of election contest
9 is -- is -- is referring to County Boards of Elections and
10 Registration or county probate judges, and that's for
11 counties that don't have, of course, a Board of Registrations and Elections.
12
13 Finally, Your Honor, the plaintiffs have made an argument about -- that -- that a public officer who --
14
15 that -- that the fourth subsection to the definition of "defendant" that discusses, you know, that a defendant can
16
17 be a public officer who declares the number of votes for
18 and against a question, submitted to -- submitted to the electors in an election that that somehow must wrap in the
19
20 Secretary of State also, but, Your Honor, of course, a question -- the question is defined to be, you know,
21
22 constitutional amendments or propositions, ballot referendum items that -- that voters vote on this, that
23
24 has nothing to do with election contests involving
25 candidates for public office.

1 And we would say that there's -- there's no basis for
2 making that -- that leap that the plaintiffs are -- are asking the Court to make. As Your Honor knows, election
3
4 laws that are in variegation to common law must be strictly construed here.
5
6 There's -- there's no basis for expanding the definition of "superintendent" to somehow wrap the
7
8 Secretary of State up into this election contest. The
9 proper defendants would be the counties, the county
10 superintendents in each county where the plaintiffs are
11 aware of election irregularities.
Now that moves to the next -- the next issue, and as
12
13 Your Honor pointed -- stated --
THE COURT: (inaudible)
14
15 MR. RUSSO: Well, within the four corners of -- of the complaint or the -- the petition.
16
17 THE COURT: Let me take the one issue, okay. Are you
18 arguing the motion to dismiss now?
MR. RUSSO: I'm still arguing the motion to dismiss,
19
20 just moving past whether --
THE COURT: That's not relevant here.
21
22 MR. RUSSO: Oh, I'm sorry. I apologize.
THE COURT: No, -- go ahead.
23
24 MR. RUSSO: Okay. The plaintiff's election contest
25 is also subject to dismissal for failing to meet the --

1 the pleading requirements.

2 THE COURT: Okay. That I'd like to take separately.
MR. RUSSO: Okay.
3

4 THE COURT: Let's take each step. That's what I said
in the beginning.
5

6 MR. RUSSO: Okay.
THE COURT: Let's take each step. You'll get to
7

8 argue that.

9 MR. RUSSO: No, I understand. I -- I thought you

10 meant each step -- I got you.

11 THE COURT: Let's -- the first issue before me is, is
the Secretary of State a proper -- okay.
12

13 MR. RUSSO: Sure.
THE COURT: All right. Anybody else on the defense
14

15 might want to bring a -- how about you, Mr. Brown?
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Judge. Bruce Brown for the
16

17 plaintiffs. The issue of whether the Secretary of State

18 is a proper defendant is actually -- it touches upon two
arguments that they make that are virtually the same. The
19

20 first is whether the Secretary is a proper defendant and
second whether the Secretary has sovereign immunity.
21

22 If the Secretary of State is a proper defendant, then
the sovereign immunity has been waived. The -- the
23

24 plaintiff's argument with respect to both issues is that

25 the election statute says defendant means election

1 superintendent. That is OCGA212250. The code then
2 defines election superintendent.
THE COURT: Are you saying 212250? 520.
3
4 MR. BROWN: I'm -- I'm sorry. 520.
THE COURT: 520. So y'all know, I have the book. I
5
6 don't necessarily have access to this particular computer,
and so I -- bring this book down with me.
7
8 MR. BROWN: I apologize, Your Honor.
9 THE COURT: It's 220?
10 MR. BROWN: 220. I keep transposing those numbers.
11 THE COURT: Yeah. Don't confuse them.
MR. BROWN: And then the phrase "election
12
13 superintendent" means in a statewide election the
Secretary of State. That is defined in OCGA2143. Now, it
14
15 is correct that that definition of "election
superintendent" as the Secretary of State is in a
16
17 different chapter of the code.
18 However, there's no reason to believe that the
legislature, in using the term election superintendent in
19
20 the contest chapter, meant anything different and, in
fact, commonsense would require that in a statewide
21
22 election that the proper defendant who is conducting the
election is the Secretary of State.
23
24 Particularly when the irregularity that is alleged is
25 an irregularity that is statewide in every single case.

1 Now the defendants, the Secretary of State option for
2 Your Honor, is to rule that in the statewide election contest that the plaintiff must join 159 counties, and
3
4 so --
THE COURT: Well, we haven't gotten to that yet,
5
6 either.
MR. BROWN: But this is -- but, Your Honor, it's the
7
8 same -- I would -- it's the same argument in that -- the
9 -- they're saying that they are not proper, but instead
10 the proper defendants are the 159 counties.
11 And we -- we think that's absurd and that's not what the legislator -- legislature intended. And it's not what
12
13 the legislature said.
Moreover, Your Honor, there has been, as far as we
14
15 know, only one statewide election contest on record, and that is the Mead vs. Sheffield case, which Mr. Russo
16
17 discussed. And in fact, in the Mead vs. Sheffield case
18 the attorney general defended and did not raise the sovereign immunity argument at all, and it's a
19
20 jurisdictional argument and it made it to the Supreme Court.
21
22 And it was clear to the Secretary of State and the attorney general at the time, Your Honor, that our reading
23
24 of the statute and commonsense meant, of course, that the
25 Secretary of State who is in charge of the elections is

1 the proper defendant in the statewide election contest.

2 Now, Mr. Russo said something to the effect, well, that was several years ago. I'm not sure why the

3

4 Secretary -- Secretary of State did it then, or why the former Secretary of State and former attorney general took

5

6 that position. I would like -- if I may approach, I found a case yesterday, Your Honor, which I believe is

7

8 instructed. But I'd like to approach the bench with a

9 copy of some of the papers, if I might.

10 THE COURT: All right. If you want to -- we don't

11 have a clerk because this is a Fulton County case. Thank you. So, it's just a brief?

12

13 MR. BROWN: It's a brief and then the case is attached to it, Your Honor.

14

15 THE COURT: I'm not going to -- I'm not going to take somebody else's brief. You want to argue it, fine, but

16

17 I'm not going to -- somebody else's brief and somebody

18 else's case is not -- and has got nothing to do with that.
MR. BROWN: Fair enough. If the -- the case, which

19

20 follows the copy of the brief, is a case called Dawkins-Haigler vs. Anderson. It's reported at 301-Georgia-27.

21

22 In that case, the Secretary of State was sued. And I quote, it's "Brian Kemp in his official capacity as state

23

24 election supervisor." That was the capacity in which he

25 was sued.

1 The -- the case was defended by Chris Carr, attorney
2 general, Dennis Dunn, Willard Chris Corea, the same attorney general law department lawyers who were defending
3
4 this case along with outside counsel from the Robbins firm. This is a case that was -- was tried last year,
5
6 Your Honor, and in that case the Secretary of State was --
THE COURT: Has there been an appellate decision on
7
8 the case?
9 MR. BROWN: Yes.
10 THE COURT: Okay.
11 MR. BROWN: It is 301-Georgia-27, and in that case the Secretary of State was sued quote "in its official
12
13 capacity as state election supervisor," and that styling of the Secretary of State appears in his own brief, which
14
15 is what I had shown to you, but the important thing is the reported decision, and in that case also the Secretary of
16
17 State stood up and defended the case on the merits.
18 THE COURT: Okay. If you want to give me -- but you know, if you've got a decision, let me have the final
19
20 decision, but what somebody else may have said and it's on the report, it's not relevant --
21
22 MR. BROWN: Your Honor the -- what the law department -- the position the law department said it is not binding
23
24 either on the law department or anybody else. They can
25 change their mind, of course, and not binding on Your

1 Honor.

2 However, it is reflective of what the law is, and the law says that defendant means election superintendent and

3

4 the law says "election superintendent" in a statewide race means the Secretary of State. So, our argument is that

5

6 the statute is clear.
There is no case in the history in the State of

7

8 Georgia holding that the Secretary of State has sovereign

9 immunity in an elections case. No case at all. They are

10 asking Your Honor to make a precedent setting, a brand-new

11 decision. It will be the first ever that ever granted this -- this relief.

12

13 THE COURT: If that's meant to intimidate me, it doesn't.

14

15 MR. BROWN: I don't think it should -- should intimidate you, Your Honor.

16

17 THE COURT: Well, you -- I've read a lot of what

18 you've provided me. I have not read the proffer of evidence because it's not relevant today --

19

20 MR. BROWN: I understand.
THE COURT: -- and I understand where you're coming

21

22 from.
MR. BROWN: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. That's our

23

24 argument on the sovereign immunity and the Secretary of

25 State being a proper defendant.

1 THE COURT: Okay. Any responses on that issue?

2 MR. RUSSO: Briefly, Your Honor. As you rightly noted these cases, this -- this brief and the cases cited
3

4 by plaintiff's counsel have no precedential value and basically stand for nothing on this -- on this point.
5

6 Plaintiff's counsel stated that the law says that in a statewide case, the superintendent is the Secretary of
7

8 State. Your Honor, I can't find anything on the books or

9 in the code that -- that says such.

10 Even Chapter 4, even the Recall Act that plaintiff's

11 counsel has referred to multiple times, states that in any case of elected state officers the Secretary of State --
12

13 and in short, you know, if somebody wants to challenge -- if there's a group that wants to recall a public officer
14

15 or state officer, they have to go get a petition signed. And that petition then goes to the Secretary of
16

17 State. It's similar to a third-party candidate or an

18 independent candidate trying to get on the ballot in a statewide race. None of this -- the Recall Act, of
19

20 course, has nothing to do with election contests, similar to independent candidates trying to file in a petition to
21

22 get on the ballot has nothing to do with election contests.
23

24 Your Honor, again, we don't know why or -- or if this

25 issue has -- was raised in -- in the 2004 case. However,

1 you know, the Secretary of State is not a statewide
2 election superintendent. Georgia law has long held that elections are -- are conducted at the county level and if
3
4 a contest is going to be filed, then it should be filed against the counties where those irregularities occurred.
5
6 And so again, we would ask you to dismiss the election contest on -- on that basis.
7
8 THE COURT: Okay. This goes to count 1 as I
9 understand it, and 2125 -- this is Section 2 of 21, which
10 is the election contest, okay, it -- it states that the
11 election superintendent was superintendent to conduct a contested -- or election, okay. What it says, in 2- --
12
13 again, this is within the same code, 212235 defines who those are.
14
15 It defines who the superintendents are, and it does not include Secretary of State. That's the law, and
16
17 definitions applicable to Section 2, okay. Now there may
18 be in the recall, which is Section 4, that that may be different, but it's under a different code section. It's
19
20 under a different section of the code. So as far as the election contest goes, count 1, I find the Secretary of
21
22 State is not a proper party, okay. And so, I'll grant your motion. -- I'll put my, you know, -- as to count 1,
23
24 okay.
25 MR. RUSSO: Yes, ma'am. Thank you,

1 THE COURT: Okay. What's the next question?

2 MR. RUSSO: I think some of my other motions are mooted at this point, so do you want to --

3

4 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, it would be best at this point for Gwinnett County to make its proper party

5

6 argument or would you rather hear from the Secretary of State on the constitutional claims, counts 2 and 3?

7

8 THE COURT: Well, you've got a motion to dismiss on

9 -- what, just on the general --

10 MR. RUSSO: Well, we have one every --

11 THE COURT: You just got a routine motion to dismiss on the four corners of the petition.

12

13 MR. RUSSO: That's right. We just had -- had other reasons why it should be dismissed.

14

15 THE COURT: I guess, Mr. Brown -- I guess I'd hear from Gwinnett first as to whether they should be a party

16

17 and then Fulton whether they should be a party. And then

18 we'll go into the -- if that's all right with you.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

19

20 THE COURT: Okay. Okay --
MR. TYSON: Thank you, Your Honor. Good morning.

21

22 Bryan Tyson for Gwinnett County Board of Registrations and Elections.

23

24 For our motion to dismiss, Your Honor, I think if we

25 determined now, if we've determined that the Secretary of

1 State is not a proper party to an election contest, I
2 think the logical questions is, well, who then is a proper party?
3
4 For -- for us as Gwinnett County, there is no question that the Gwinnett Board is an election
5
6 superintendent who conducted the contested election. So, there's no issue there. The challenge in this scenario is
7
8 that the plaintiffs have not sued all the parties that are
9 required to afford them full relief and Mr. Russo
10 referenced the Mead case where the case was filed against
11 the county's where the problem was alleged in a statewide election contest.
12
13 And if you look at and kind of do a comparison between 520 and 522, I think it helps explain a little bit
14
15 about how there's a connection between the grounds of the contest and who the defendant is in those cases. So,
16
17 under the -- under 520-2A and B, you have a candidate
18 who's the defendant -- the person who was nominated, the person whose eligibility is challenged.
19
20 That lines up to 522-2 where the allegation in the election contest is that the defendant, the candidate is
21
22 not eligible to hold the office. And so, in those cases where you're challenging eligibility, the other candidate
23
24 is obviously a logical defendant.
25 For 3, the election superintendent in 520 who

1 conducted the contested primary, that's where you have the
2 allegations on the grounds related to misconduct, related to illegal votes being cast or legal votes being rejected
3
4 or errors in counting.
And in this case the plaintiffs have made a number of
5
6 allegations about the various problems across the state, but they've only sued now Fulton and Gwinnett counties, as
7
8 is evidenced by other motions they filed, they want to
9 preserve evidence in Murray and Gordon counties.
10 There are allegations regarding DeKalb, regarding
11 Worth County, regarding a variety of other Georgia counties that are not parties to this case. And the
12
13 challenge in this situation is they have the evidence that Your Honor needs to be able to figure out is this a valid
14
15 contest or not.
If this is not a scenario where you can just pick a
16
17 county and then make allegations regarding and number of
18 other counties when they're not parties to the case and can't get -- get in front of the court.
19
20 The -- in addition, there is a lack of allegations regarding Gwinnett County as to some of the specifics. A
21
22 lot of the specific allegations involve other counties, many of whom are not parties. The other counties are the
23
24 ones that have the evidence.
25 So, for our position as Gwinnett, we believe this is

1 the basis for dismissal, because not only did plaintiffs
2 fail to name the proper parties to start with, but it's now too late for them to amend.
3
4 And while the election contest procedures clearly allow amendment of the petition, there's a difference when
5
6 you're amending to add additional parties. And the only the Supreme Court case en pointe here is Brody vs.
7
8 Champion. And in that case the -- the contestant had
9 filed the case against the director of elections for
10 DeKalb County, but had not sued the Board of Elections.
11 And the Supreme Court said, yes, you can modify, they were on notice within the statute; that's not a problem.
12
13 The Hanson case that the plaintiffs have cited involved a different procedure under a municipal election code that
14
15 involve a direct appeal or an independent lawsuit.
It's not really relevant here. What is relevant is
16
17 we are now beyond the five-day statute or well beyond
18 that. And the relation back under 9/11/15, there's nothing that the plaintiffs needed to know at the time
19
20 they filed their lawsuit where they couldn't have named the counties that are going to have evidence that they
21
22 claim they need.
In their petition they identify. These are the same
23
24 counties are the worst -- have the worst questions about
25 these percentages. They had anecdotes from counties that

1 were not included as parties in the case. So now because
2 we're too late to be able to do an amendment and add -- and have that relate back to the original filling date,
3
4 the statute has run as to those counties.
It is our position that those are necessary parties
5
6 in order to give full relief in this case. And because those parties were not joined, that's a basis for a
7
8 dismissal of the entirety of the election contest, as to
9 those allegations that have been made by the plaintiffs.
10 THE COURT: Anybody else on the defendants' side that
11 want to support that position? And pardon me -- argument at the same time.
12
13 MS. BURWELL: Kaye Burwell on behalf of Fulton County. We join in that argument, Your Honor, and we
14
15 would add that when the Court looks at the complaint in Paragraph 38, it references that there were thousands of
16
17 problems they alleged with the DRE machines where clearly
18 Gwinnett and Fulton County aren't in a position to speak to those thousands of problems.
19
20 The only issues we could speak to would be any issues they alleged on our particular counties. And since this
21
22 is a statewide issue, they're alleging the only way they would be able to bring information to the Court and the
23
24 only way the Court would be able to have a full and fair
25 hearing is if all those other counties that they're

1 complaining about, where they allege all these issues
2 occurred, were to be able to come in.
And as we noted on our motion to dismiss, Your Honor,
3
4 the Fulton County Board of Registrations and Elections
can't provide the petitioners with the relief they seek
5
6 because the DRE is constrained to follow state law. And
they have to use the DRE machines because that's what
7
8 state law requires.
9 And the only response the plaintiffs provided to
10 that, Your Honor, was that absentee ballots could be used,
11 but clearly the DRE doesn't have the ability to force
every Fulton County voter to use an absentee ballot. The
12
13 voters get to decide how they choose to vote.
THE COURT: You're off the -- you're off the --
14
15 you're off the field. So right now, so they join all the
counties, or shouldn't they?
16
17 MS. BURWELL: They should have joined all of the
18 counties, Your Honor.
THE COURT: That's the issue we're figuring with them
19
20 on this motion.
MS. BURWELL: Okay.
21
22 THE COURT: I'm trying -- I'm trying to do as clean a
record as I can.
23
24 MS. BURWELL: Okay.
25 THE COURT: Okay. So, you'll be heard on that.

1 Everybody's going to get heard on everything, okay.

2 MS. BURWELL: Okay.
THE COURT: I don't want to make you -- I'm just
3

4 trying to make a nice, clean record of the -- of the case.
MS. BURWELL: Yes.
5

6 THE COURT: Okay. So, you -- you -- you agree that they have joined -- they should have seen everybody.
7

8 MS. BURWELL: Yes.

9 THE COURT: Okay? Every county, okay. Anybody else?

10 No? Okay. Then you can, because you didn't find the

11 word --
MR. BROWN: (inaudible)
12

13 THE COURT: (inaudible)
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. The Fulton
14

15 County's argument points to sort of the overall issue of how the plaintiffs are getting whip shot here. Fulton
16

17 says, we can't defend this because the Secretary of State

18 does everything.
THE COURT: No. Don't go there. The issue we're
19

20 dealing with, the only issue I'm trying to read right this minute is, should you have joined 159 counties or not?
21

22 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor, and here's why.
THE COURT: Okay. That -- that's the issue.
23

24 MR. BROWN: We -- we have -- first, we have alleged

25 causes of action against Gwinnett and Fulton. Our

1 allegations cover -- they are proper defendants as they --
2 I think, believe as they have conceded, that they've -- they conducted the election.
3
4 And we have alleged that the irregularities occurred in their counties, and they occurred in every county. And
5
6 so, Fulton and Gwinnett are proper defendants, and so they stay. The question then is, should the other -- should
7
8 157 other counties have been joined?
9 That comes down into two separate questions, okay.
10 First is, what might we need discovery from 157 other
11 counties and from a non-party Secretary of State. The answer is, yes. We will need discovery from the Secretary
12
13 of State, and we'll need discovery from -- from other counties, maybe.
14
15 We don't believe actually that substantial discovery will be required from every county. And the reason for
16
17 that is that the Secretary of State, not as a party, Your
18 Honor, I'm not arguing that piece, but as the repository of the information.
19
20 The Secretary programs the ballots, programs all the machines, programs the poll books, tabulates the results,
21
22 announces the results, all of those things. And so, the -- so the Secretary is here within the jurisdiction of this
23
24 court -- to court -- to respond to discovery.
25 In addition, Your Honor, has plenary power, plenary

1 power in the statutes that we have cited, to grant the
2 relief that we're seeking. There's no requirement that we
file suit against every county. Instead, the counties
3
4 that we have sued are proper.
And whether we can prove that is up to the next
5
6 hearing, it's not up to today. But we believe we can
prove that through discovery. And that the 157 counties
7
8 are not necessary to be joined. If they are necessary to
9 join them, then we'll join them and that's a separate
10 issue. Thank you, Your Honor.
11 THE COURT: Anything further? Anything further?
MR. BROWN: I have nothing further, Your Honor.
12
13 THE COURT: We have to do these cases as fast as we
can do them, and I respect that, and I'm trying to do this
14
15 as fast as I can. But given the status of what is
actually before me, not all this 180 pages of evidence and
16
17 all this stuff I've been dumped on, and which -- anyway.
18 I won't go there. It's very hard for me to be able to
download some of that stuff.
19
20 But I've done them. I've taken them off. But in
that status, I'm going to deny your motion. Whether it
21
22 will become relevant at the end of the hearing, I don't
know. But at this point, both those motions as to adding
23
24 the parties and as to them being improper parties --
25 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Does Gwinnett have any other motions
2 applicable just to Gwinnett?
MR. RUSSO: No, Your Honor, I don't believe we do.
3
4 We obviously join the other motions regarding kind of the
-- claim.
5
6 THE COURT: (inaudible)
MR. RUSSO: There is a complaint, I think that's it,
7
8 so.
9 THE COURT: Okay. Fulton, you've got it. Anything
10 else that you wanted to say? Come around, if you will.
11 I'm sorry to address you by county, but I have a cheat
sheet here with everybody's name, and I think I've lost
12
13 it.
MS. BURWELL: The -- the point I was making on
14
15 whether or not Fulton County was a proper party was that
the Fulton County Board of Registrations and Elections
16
17 isn't capable of providing the petitioners with the relief
18 that they're seeking, which in essence is changing out DRE
machines.
19
20 They don't want Georgia citizens to use the DRE
voting system. Well, Fulton County, like every other
21
22 county in the state, is constrained by state law to comply
with state law, which requires us to use the DRE machines.
23
24 Now, the response that plaintiffs had to our motion
25 to dismiss was merely, number one, that the Board of

1 Registrations and Elections could require citizens to vote
2 via absentee, and that's clearly not the case. Citizens get to decide how they vote, not the Board of
3
4 Registrations and Elections.
The only other issue they raised was OCGA21-2-366 and
5
6 they raised that for the proposition of saying that "Fulton County in fact could decide what kind of voting
7
8 system is in use" and that's just not the case. What the
9 Court looks at 21-2-366, it only provides that the
10 governing authority of a county, which is not the Board of
11 Registrations and Elections, it's the Board of Commissioners -- can authorize the use of optical scanning
12
13 voting systems.
Well, the Board of Commissioners is not a party to
14
15 this action; the Board of Registrations and Elections is. And so, the -- our position is that the county's position
16
17 with respect to whether or not it is a proper defendant is
18 unrefuted by the plaintiffs.
And then the only other issue we had raised, Your
19
20 Honor, was the lack of service. At the time we filed our motion to dismiss, they had not served.
21
22 THE COURT: They had not served?
MS. BURWELL: Yes, they served us on December 26th.
23
24 THE COURT: All right.
25 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I believe Your Honor's

1 already ruled that Fulton and Gwinnett are proper parties.
2 And I'm not sure I understand Fulton's argument that they're incapable of -- of conducting the elections. I
3
4 think that's an issue that may come later in terms of what sort of remedy that you offer, Your Honor.
5
6 Our position is that, number one, when you have the trial, the -- we will be able to show that a new election
7
8 should be ordered; that's the first argument. The second
9 argument is, if you do order a new election, on what
10 machines or what is -- what type of election should that
11 be? Should that be machines, or should that be paper? And that's what Fulton County is talking about is
12
13 really two or three issues; two steps away from where we are today. Our position will be that Fulton County is
14
15 capable of -- of conducting a paper ballot election without using these flawed machines.
16
17 And that that's authorized under the code provisions
18 that -- that we have cited. And that they don't need a Secretary of State's approval to do that, but certainly
19
20 Your Honor could order them to do so, if you've felt that was necessary, but again I think that's --
21
22 THE COURT: Asking further from Fulton County? MS. BURWELL: No.
23
24 THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to deny that, again at
25 this point when you all stop talking, arguing the

1 evidence, then the motion to dismiss this is doing a lot,
2 okay. Does that mean -- after we have a -- have a hearing on the matter, I don't know. But I'm happy to try it.
3
4 But right at this point, I'm bound by what the rules say. That's if a slight -- allegations are -- I have to
5
6 follow. Okay, so we haven't generalized the motion to dismiss, did you need to be heard on that as well.
7
8 MR. RUSSO: No, not on that issue.
9 THE COURT: I didn't think so. This is generalized.
10 Everybody seems to have generalized motions dismissed on
11 the pleadings. Let me hear that.
MR. RUSSO: Would you like to hear from --
12
13 THE COURT: On count one.
MR. RUSSO: Sure. Would you still like to hear from
14
15 us or --
THE COURT: Whatever order you want to do it.
16
17 MR. BELINFANTE: I mean, I'm happy to make our
18 argument. I'm happy to make our arguments on it.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, Edward Lindsey once again,
19
20 attorney on behalf of Lieutenant Governor-elect Geoff Duncan. As was previously stated, Mr. Duncan won his race
21
22 by over 123,000 votes. I'm going to go through some numbers, Your Honor, and I'm going to ask you to simply
23
24 take judicial notice, which I believe you're entitled to.
25 As a matter of public records; in terms of public records.

1 The case I'll cite for taking judicial notice would
2 be Johnson vs. Adams 323-Georgia-PO427 and that particular case that was taking judicial notice of a separate lawsuit
3
4 of a -- separate case in bankruptcy court, but nevertheless, the rule is that the courts in a motion to
5
6 dismiss, I believe, courts can take judicial notice of such matters.
7
8 And the only thing that I'm going to be talking about
9 are the official numbers from the Georgia Secretary of
10 State's office, certified numbers. Court, in such cases
11 such as ours needs to remember that the setting aside of an election in which the people have chosen their
12
13 representative, is a drastic remedy that should not be undertaken lightly, but instead should be reserved for
14
15 cases in which a person challenging an election has clearly established a violation of elections procedures.
16
17 And has demonstrated that the violations have placed
18 the result of the election in doubt; that's not our assertion, Your Honor. That's a big court decision. In
19
20 the case of Hunt vs. Crawford 270-Georgia-7, a 1998 decision in my brief we filed yesterday, Your Honor, we
21
22 sort of outlined that that's -- what's clearly required is that the plaintiffs --
23
24 And at this point, Your Honor, that the pleadings
25 must show that the plaintiffs are asserting that as result

1 of the defects that they are alleging, that it places the
2 -- the outcome of the election in doubt. And the courts have established somewhat of a mathematical test.
3
4 And I'm glad to hear that you said you were a math major, so I'm going to simply talk about a little math
5
6 here. The -- the plaintiffs, you know, or the petitioners have alleged several things. On number one they say, that
7
8 "as a result of these nefarious defects, the -- the total
9 number of votes cast in the lieutenant governor's race was
10 dramatically below those of other down-ballot statewide
11 races."
Well, if you look at our particular case, like I
12
13 said, 123,000 votes, 123,172 votes; that's the certified result number. If you look at the -- the -- the highest
14
15 number of votes that were cast in any of the down-ballot races -- that would be the Secretary of State's race. And
16
17 in that race, there were 3,883,594 votes total cast.
18 In the lieutenant governor's race, that's 3,780,304. So even if we were to accept -- and the Court is required
19
20 to do here -- the petitioner's allegations that there was something nefarious or -- or negligent in terms of the
21
22 counts, votes that were counted.
The difference between what took place in the
23
24 lieutenant governor's race total votes and the Secretary
25 of State's total votes is only 103,290 votes. That's

1 19,882 votes short of the margin of victory that Mr.
2 Duncan enjoyed.
The next thing that the -- that the petitioner
3
4 asserts in its allegation is that there was a drop-in vote
between those -- in -- in terms of the drop-offs, which
5
6 are the number of people who voted in one race but didn't
vote in the another. They assert that there's a dramatic
7
8 difference between the drop-off between the governor and
9 the lieutenant governor's race, and between the paper
10 ballots versus the electronic voting.
11 And they assert essentially that in the paper ballots
there was a drop-off of only 98.9%, but in the other case
12
13 there was a dramatic drop-off below that number. If you
were to accept their assertion that there should have been
14
15 the same drop-off between the governor's race and the
lieutenant governor's race, the numbers still come up
16
17 short as a matter of math.
18 In this particular case, Your Honor, if we were to
accept the petitioner's allegations that there's -- that
19
20 the drop-off between the governor's race and the
lieutenant governor's race should have been the same
21
22 between the write-in, between the paper ballots and
electronic ballots that would have raised the number of
23
24 votes cast in the lieutenant governor's race to 3,895,955.
25 That's still, Your Honor, would have only increased

1 the number of votes cast to be 115,691 votes, which is
2 still below 123,000-plus votes cast. The third allegation that the petitioner asserts in their petition is that
3
4 there was in terms of the drop-off between -- in the electronic voting between those who voted in the
5
6 governor's race and the lieutenant governor's race had a particularly negative impact on the democratic candidate
7
8 Ms. Miko.
9 If you were to take that as truth and simply allow
10 for the same percentage of votes for Ms. Miko that Mr.
11 Duncan enjoyed in terms of -- of the -- the percentage of votes that they received versus the gubernatorial race,
12
13 you still end up 53,902 votes short of what the -- of the number that is needed that would have closed the gap at
14
15 53,902, so in other words you're still short of 123,000 votes.
16
17 And -- and that's the key -- and that's the key. In
18 all cases, in the Supreme Court cases, is you've got to have a show that the number of votes that were either
19
20 miscounted or not counted properly would have wiped away the margin of victory that the winner enjoyed.
21
22 And we've cited several cases as have everyone else that demonstrates that's the rule of thumb. By the -- in
23
24 terms of petitioner's allegations -- each of their
25 allegations, Your Honor, I want you to take judicial

1 notice of certified results from the Secretary of State.

2 They fall short of that golden number, by any number
between 8,000 to 80,000 votes short, depending on the --
3

4 their various allegations.
I would point out, Your Honor, that the petitioners'
5

6 themselves in their exhibit to their petition included an
exhibit from a letter from Ms. Amico who said in the
7

8 letter, "the number of residual votes in the lieutenant

9 governor makes it unlikely to affect the outcome of my

10 race." She's right, Your Honor, because she also

11 understands the math.
So, Your Honor, we would simply ask for a motion to
12

13 dismiss based on the petitioner's petition when you take
judicial notice of the public record regarding the
14

15 certified results from the election from all the races.
Thank you, Your Honor. Does the Court have any
16

17 questions?

18 THE COURT: No. Anybody else --
MR. TYSON: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you, Your Honor.
19

20 Again, Bryan Tyson for Gwinnett County.
I think the thing to keep in mind is that when we're
21

22 in this posture after a certified result from an election,
the election is presumed valid. And if you were in a
23

24 scenario where, let's say you have 100-vote margin.

25 And you allege, you put in your petition that 99

1 either illegal votes were cast or legal votes were
2 rejected, that petition would be properly subject for dismissal for failure -- failure to state a claim, because
3
4 you have to allege a sufficient number of votes that would have placed the result in doubt or would have been
5
6 sufficient to change the result of the election.
And in this case, the plaintiffs have not made any
7
8 such allegation in their petition. In addition, the --
9 there is conflicting causes of action referenced in the
10 motions to dismiss. In the petition the plaintiffs that
11 they say that they're proceeding under 521-1 and 3.
In their response to the motion to dismiss, they're
12
13 relying on 1, 3, 4, and 5, but I think the most important thing for the Court to remember in terms of looking at the
14
15 petition itself, is what it stated, is that the irregularities that the plaintiffs have alleged only
16
17 involve a 100 or so votes here and there across the state.
18 They also involve claims of machine malfunction in DeKalb County in paragraph 42 of the complaint. Of
19
20 course, the plaintiffs have dismissed DeKalb County from this lawsuit. And the -- the collection of information
21
22 that's in the petition is a bunch of kind of one-off programming errors.
23
24 Most of them are unverified and anonymous, and
25 significantly they don't get anywhere close to the

1 123,000-vote threshold that they would -- that the
2 plaintiffs had to plead in order to state a claim here because of the way the Supreme Court precedent works.
3
4 I'm in Ellis vs. Johnson 2623-Georgia-514, the Supreme Court is very clear that speculation alone is not
5
6 enough. There has to be -- has to be a specificity in terms of the mathematical certainty of what happened. And
7
8 we see that over in Mead, in Howell, and in just a number
9 of Supreme Court cases, that it is proper for the court to
10 look at motion to dismiss, first of all, because those
11 cases were decided based on motions to dismiss.
But second that it's proper to look at the
12
13 allegations and see have the plaintiffs alleged this 123,000-vote margin that would be sufficient to place the
14
15 result in doubt. And we would submit that they have not done that in the four corners of their petition. On that
16
17 basis it should be dismissed for failure to state a claim.
18 THE COURT: Does Fulton want to be heard on that?
MS. BURWELL: We join in, all the others.
19
20 THE COURT: You just joining them now?
MS. BURWELL: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor.
21
22 THE COURT: (inaudible)
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. The -- the
23
24 defendants have pointed to one of the most egregious
25 pieces of evidence showing the total system failure of --

1 THE COURT: But -- but -- but that's not -- this is
2 -- that's a wonderful opening statement. This is not that day, okay?
3
4 MR. BROWN: Okay.
THE COURT: Just respond, if you will, to what --
5
6 MR. BROWN: Sure. The --
THE COURT: I don't do well with --
7
8 MR. BROWN: Okay. The --
9 THE COURT: -- inflammatory -- just stay within what
10 we're -- what --
11 MR. BROWN: Sure. The undervote is an illustration of the system problems that we allege in the complaint.
12
13 Allegations that, as Your Honor has already mentioned, must be accepted as true.
14
15 THE COURT: All right.
MR. BROWN: The -- the numbers that Mr. Lindsey was
16
17 giving, Your Honor, are not our entire case. Instead what
18 we have alleged is that the system malfunctioned as reflected by the undervote, which is unexplained in -- in
19
20 ways that happened statewide.
The defendants interpret -- we have a different
21
22 interpretation of the legal requirements here. First in terms of what's subjectively required, and then second,
23
24 the procedural policy.
25 First, Your Honor, in the Supreme Court in the cases

1 in which the nature of the voting problem is not
2 quantifiable, the plaintiff is not required to count up the votes and show the difference. For example, in the
3 Stiles case, 252-Georgia-260, the Supreme Court found that there were irregularities and misconduct and ordered a new
5 election despite no proof of the numbers involved.
Similarly, in the Hunt case, although the contestants
7 lost the Hunt case, this is 270-Georgia-7 -- one of the
9 reasons why the plaintiffs lost is that the Court found
10 quote "moreover, there was no evidence introduced at trial
11 from which it can be inferred that the misconduct was more widespread than the record indicates."
12
13 The Supreme Court is -- is signaling that if there is evidence introduced at trial from which it can be inferred
14 that there was a widespread problem, that the case may be made.
16
17 And so the -- the cases that they cite we have no
18 quarrel with, Your Honor, but those are cases in which the impact of a mistake is easily quantifiable because you
19 have the illegal ballots sitting there physically in front of the Court, and you're able to count them up and to
21 determine whether or not it's enough to make a difference.
And certainly, if you have seven illegal ballots in
23 front of you and the margin and difference is eight, then
25 you know that that's insufficient. But in those cases

1 where the mistake is not quantifiable, then that is not
2 the approach that the Court has taken, and that, again, is the Stiles case.
3
4 And -- but this leads, Your Honor, back to the procedural posture of the case. In all of these cases --
5
6 and I will just read these to you. What the -- what the courts are saying about the evidence is after a trial, for
7
8 example -- these are not examples. This is what I found
9 in -- in review of all of the cases they have cited.
10 In Banker vs. Kohl, 378-Georgia-532, what the Court
11 said, quote "Evidence presented at the trial court," and then went on to explain. In Dawkins-Haigler 30177, the
12
13 Supreme Court says, "after a lengthy hearing the trial court concluded..."
14
15 And then in Fuller 284-Georgia-397, the Court explained that the case proceeded to trial. In Hunt vs.
16
17 Crawford, 270-Georgia-7, the Supreme Court said, at trial,
18 "Hunt admitted making telephone calls," and then went into the evidence of misconduct.
19
20 In Mead vs. Sheffield, the case that's discussed by both parties, the Court notes, "it was established at the
21
22 evidentiary hearing," and then went in to show how it was established, that in fact the case had been made for a new
23
24 trial.
25 Scoggins, again, 288-Georgia-26, the Supreme Court

1 said, "after hearing on the merits," and then explained
2 how in fact the plaintiff prevailed after hearing on the merits. Your Honor, there is one case in which the trial
3
4 court did grant a motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim, and only one.
5
6 And that is the trial court in the Taggart case, 242-Georgia-454. The trial court, without an evidentiary
7
8 hearing, dismissed the petition for failure to state a
9 claim on similar grounds the defendants urge in this case.
10 So, the trial court decision in that case would be the
11 sole precedent that I can find to support the defendant's position in that case.
12
13 However, on appeal that holding was reversed by the Supreme Court. And the Supreme Court held, quote "A
14
15 petition should not be dismissed for failure to state a claim unless it discloses with certainty that the
16
17 plaintiff would not be entitled to relieve under any set
18 of facts."
Interestingly, the Taggart case also involved the
19
20 type of situation -- it involved actually two different kinds of challenges, two different problems in the
21
22 election. One problem had to do with quantifiable ballot issues where you could count them up and you could
23
24 determine whether or not it was enough to make a
25 difference.

1 But the other part was the -- the contestant alleged
2 that the machines were -- were operating improperly. More like our -- more analogous to our case here. And in
3
4 Taggart, the Supreme Court held, quote "Similarly, doubt may be cast on an election by showing improper maintenance
5
6 of the voting machines resulting in votes being miscast." And then in their ruling reversing the trial court
7
8 for dismissing the case, the Court notes, "All this is a
9 matter of proof." Now in the Taggart case it came back on
10 appeal and the plaintiff failed to prove it and lost. But
11 it is a matter of proof. If the plaintiff can prove that improper maintenance
12
13 of the voting machines resulted in votes being miscast, which is what we allege, Your Honor, then we're entitled
14
15 to victory and entitled to relief, and if we're not, if we can't prove it, then we're not entitled to relief. And
16
17 that's -- that's clear from the cases.
18 Now in terms of -- of -- I want to return to the allegations that we make and the -- the breadth of it.
19
20 First, we allege that the state's system is fundamentally flawed from the start and that we note that the persuasive
21
22 opinion by Judge Totenberg in which she describes the system as being --
23
24 THE COURT: I'm not bound by it to her at all.
25 MR. BROWN: You're not bound by her ruling at all.

1 THE COURT: You can try to hand it to me, but I'm not
2 bound by it.
3 MR. BROWN: No. It's -- we think it's persuasive
4 but, of course, you're not bound by it. But she did find
5 that the -- that the same system is profoundly vulnerable.
6 THE COURT: Okay. I -- I don't care what you've
7 found. It's got nothing to do with the burden of proof.
8 The burden of proof will be on you to get that far.
9 MR. BROWN: Right.
10 THE COURT: The burden of proof is on you.
11 MR. BROWN: Right.
12 THE COURT: But you can't prove it by -- the judge.
13 MR. BROWN: That's -- yes, Your Honor. But it will
14 be proven with the evidence that persuaded Judge
15 Totenberg, we would -- we would suggest. And if -- Your
16 Honor, it could very well be that at trial we're not
17 persuasive.
18 But we do have the opportunity to prove that and as
19 of -- one of the things that -- what motions to dismiss
20 law is, Your Honor, is that -- what the law says is that
21 there's no reasonable possibility that the plaintiffs can
22 prove their case, then they lose.
23 And what we're suggesting is, having already proven
24 some of these things, that it's -- it's important to
25 consider that and projecting what we'll be able to win in

1 this case.

2 THE COURT: Yeah, but I don't -- in this courtroom --
MR. BROWN: That is correct, Your Honor, but --
3

4 THE COURT: -- has proven that.
MR. BROWN: That's correct. But in considering the
5

6 motion to dismiss one of the issues is, will they be able
to prove it, and we believe we will.
7

8 Now, what we have alleged, Your Honor, which must be

9 accepted as true, regardless of Judge Totenberg's

10 decision, it must be accepted as true that these systems

11 are fundamentally unreliable, period. That has to be
accepted as a fact for right now.
12

13 Number two, we have given illustrations and alleged
of particular instances in which these machines have,
14

15 throughout the state, malfunctioned.
Three, we have shown the results of these
16

17 malfunctions in an unexplainable pattern of voting in

18 which we will present expert testimony saying that this
cannot be explained except in terms of machine
19

20 malfunction, a statewide systemic machine malfunction.
And it is not just simply the under-vote that Mr. Lindsey
21

22 tries to calculate. It's that the entire voting system
was flawed. And so, the votes for Mr. Duncan, the votes
23

24 for Ms. Amico, that entire election was flawed. And

25 that's what we allege, and we're entitled to be able to

1 prove it. And so, under those facts, we believe that
2 we're entitled. We have -- there -- we have alleged evidence, Your Honor, of the -- this particular race not
3
4 being on the electronic screen, of the screen flipping between one and the other.
5
6 THE COURT: Is that your petition?
MR. BROWN: It is. And instance after instance of
7
8 this that we have alleged, and we're entitled to prove
9 that, and we believe we will. And so, we have the -- we
10 have alleged a fundamental unreliability of these
11 machines. We have alleged specific incidences that can only be explained by a malfunctioning system that was
12
13 statewide that can -- and from that, to borrow the language from the Supreme Court in the Taggart case, from
14
15 which we believe, Your Honor may infer, you don't have to but we believe we will be persuasive that you can infer a
16
17 much broader problem.
18 And we also believe that as one illustration of the problem is the under-vote, the totally unexplained
19
20 difference between the electronic vote totals and the paper vote totals.
21
22 The defendant's offer -- this is not an evidentiary hearing, but they have not offered any plausible
23
24 explanation for why simply by the mode of electing, there
25 would ever be such a dramatic difference in the drop-off

1 rate.

2 And the -- what that shows are not that that particular margin is wrong, but that the entire race is

3 wrong. It's like -- there's that old phrase is the clock that struck 13, Your Honor. And when a clock strikes 13,

5 not only do you know it's not 13 o'clock, but you doubt every single chime that has come before it because the

7 clock isn't working.

9 And the under-vote is the clock that struck 13. And

10 we would -- we would say that for all those reasons we

11 state a claim for relief, and that in -- in no case held has a motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim in

12 election case been sustained and it certainly should not be the case in this case. Thank you, Your Honor.

14

15 MR. LINDSEY: If I may, Your Honor, please.
THE COURT: (inaudible)

16

17 MR. LINDSEY: The issue in this case is not an

18 allegation of misconduct by anyone advocating for or against a particular candidate. The allegation in this

19 case is that votes were not -- people were not able to cast votes, and votes were not properly counted. The case

21 in which Mr. Brown relies upon and cited to you repeatedly with the Stiles vs. Earnest -- Earnest who was the

23 election superintendent, 252-Georgia-260.

25 That particular case, Your Honor, had nothing to do

1 with whether or not votes were properly counted, or the
2 machines were operating properly. Instead, it had to do with allegations of misconduct by advocates for a
3 referendum. Totally different situation.
4 In our situation, Your Honor, in which we are dealing
5 with whether or not votes were properly counted. The cases that we have cited to you and I'll cite to you once
6 again, the Fuller vs. Thomas case, a Georgia Supreme Court
7 case, 284-Georgia-397, in which the Court held, when the
8 focus is on improperly cast ballots or irregularities in
9 the conduct of the election, the number of legal or irregular ballots necessary to cast doubt on an election
10 is derived by taking the difference between the total votes cast in the election and erase that issue and adding
11 the margin of victory in the race issue.
12 In other words, you've got to show -- to begin with,
13 you have to allege that any irregularities in terms of the
14 machines or anyone counting the votes would have an impact on the margin of victory in which case -- in this case,
15 like I stated earlier, with over 123,000 votes.
16 The fact of the matter is within the four corners of
17 the plaintiff's petition, nowhere, nowhere do they allege that these irregularities closed -- were -- resulted in
18 the number of votes that were improperly cast or not
19 properly counted were greater than 123,000 votes.

1 That's kind of the fundamental first step that they
2 must allege in order to have a case move forward. When you then add to that the public records that I've asked
3
4 you to take judicial notice of, that Mr. Brown has not disputed and I walked through so tediously, it
5
6 demonstrates that even in the worst-case scenario that were alleged in the Petition, he falls -- the votes fall
7
8 short of the margin of victory.
9 And for that reason, in this particular case we ask
10 for you to grant our motion to dismiss. Thank you.
11 THE COURT: (inaudible)
MR. RUSSO: Very brief, Your Honor. Just cover three
12
13 quick points quickly, Your Honor. First of all, the allegations here regarding the -- the only -- I should
14
15 say, the only type of election contest where you're not required to put forward specific evidence or pleading
16
17 regarding a number is in 524(c) when the error of counting
18 the votes is alleged as a ground of contest.
There's a specific provision that says you're not
19
20 required to show evidence to substantiate the allegation. You -- you're not required in that one type of contest.
21
22 That is not this type of contest.
Mr. Brown has, secondly, has alluded to the idea that
23
24 the lieutenant governor's race did not appear on some
25 ballots. If you look at both Mead and Howell, you'll see

1 cases where you had to plead and show the number of
2 ballots where the name of the candidate did not appear.
That's one of the allegations that the plaintiffs
3
4 have not pled that it did not appear on at least 123,000
voting machines along the way. Mr. Brown also continues
5
6 to insist that there's no other valid explanation when any
number of ballot explanations may exist.
7
8 And as we discussed before, the -- Mr. Brown and the
9 plaintiffs may not rely on mere speculation. They have to
10 plead with specificity in their petition that the outcome
11 would have changed or that the irregularities were
sufficient to place the result in doubt. Thank you.
12
13 THE COURT: This is so close. You know, it was
presumed, I'm waiting for -- is that the election returned
14
15 the bath. Okay? Now, the part of your job is above the -
- Your job, Counsel, -- of placing doubt on the results of
16
17 the election.
18 And that's done usually by numbers, through math.
And the math is against you. You have chosen not to
19
20 allege any math in your petition, okay. You have alleged
-- I had it somewhere in front of me, but anyway, you have
21
22 alleged that the result would be different or is in
substantial doubt. But I haven't found it.
23
24 MR. BELINFANTE: Yes, Your Honor.
25 THE COURT: I forgot the exact language. I had it,

1 but I've lost it. All right, yeah. The -- the -- to
2 place in doubt the result of the entire election, okay.
The numbers aren't weighty, okay. But you've got some
3
4 generalized arguments about the systemic failure of the
whole system that might -- that might cast -- which makes
5
6 the math an issue.
It's a huge burden for you to carry, but the
7
8 petition, it's sufficient to have a try. I -- I hate to
9 do that, but it's -- it's -- if we have to take the four
10 corners of the petition, it really doesn't matter if it's
11 badly pled. I'm not saying it's badly pled. You didn't
plead numbers.
12
13 But the cover clearly says, "under F of -- of 524,
that the form -- form of process is not material. It's --
14
15 it's -- the election contests are interesting. There was
kind of different than we've seen on things. It's a very
16
17 close question, sir.
18 It is a very close question, and -- and -- and you
need to be aware I don't do well with inflammatory stuff.
19
20 I don't get inflamed by attention -- and -- and what other
judges do is not -- okay. So, understand you've got two
21
22 rules.
I am going to deny the motion to dismiss. I -- I --
23
24 it's extremely close, but on the kind of pleadings, I'm
25 looking for originals in that cover section about, you

1 know, don't worry about form and so on and so forth. I'm
2 going to let it go forward, but the plain numbers are against you. So, you -- you've got some -- an uphill
3
4 battle.
MR. BELINFANTE: Okay then. Thank you, Your Honor.
5
6 THE COURT: Can you take a moment, drop a line -- and -- and --
7
8 MR. BELINFANTE: Yes, Your Honor.
9 THE COURT: You all know where I am because you've
10 been emailing me, so send it to me, okay. I can't
11 download a pack. Don't ask me why. I am supposed to be retired next year.
12
13 MR. RUSSO: Your Honor, would you like us to submit a joint motion?
14
15 THE COURT: What?
MR. RUSSO: Would you like us to submit one motion --
16
17 I mean, one order, one proposed order?
18 THE COURT: You could even do one proposed order, or if you can agree on it or you can do them separately about
19
20 that.
MR. BELINFANTE: I think we'll probably --
21
22 THE COURT: I'm fixing to go into two full weeks of work, so you'll be able to get -- okay. What else do we
23
24 have from the defendant?
25 MR. BELINFANTE: Your Honor, we have -- we have two

1 motions that are --

2 THE COURT: Well, no, I know what -- what you have.
MR. BELINFANTE: Oh, I'm sorry.
3

4 THE COURT: I don't think I read all this stuff,
okay? Okay?
5

6 MR. RUSSO: Your Honor, for the Secretary of State,
this is joined by the other defendants, I believe.
7

8 THE COURT: Is this on the two constitution --

9 MR. BELINFANTE: On the two constitutional --

10 THE COURT: We have two constitutional claims and

11 then we'll do your motions. And I've got those too; don't
worry about it. All right. Go ahead.
12

13 MR. BELINFANTE: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. I'm
going to address just the two counts. The one for due
14

15 process and the right being identified there is the right
to vote. The second is the Equal Protection Clause.
16

17 Now there's -- we'll rest largely on the briefs in

18 terms of sovereign immunity, but the point to take from it
is that the Equal Protection Clause and the due process
19

20 clause are completely contingent upon what Your Honor
rules on the underlying election challenge, count one.
21

22 Their briefs make that plain. The relief they seek,
it's in paragraph 71 and 75, is the right to vote in a new
23

24 election for lieutenant governor, not any other future

25 one. And in 72 and 76, it says that it would be remedied

1 by holding a new election.

2 So, if the Court at the conclusion of the evidence, or at least at the conclusion of the plaintiff's case,

3

4 decides that there is no basis to go forward, the constitutional claims equally fail. They -- they rise and

5

6 fall together.
So, the question before you on the motion to dismiss

7

8 is have they alleged sufficient facts to state a claim for

9 due process and for equal protection, and Your Honor, the

10 answer is simply no, based on the Favorito decision from

11 the Supreme Court of Georgia in 2009. I have a copy of it, if the Court would like, and provide one to opposing

12

13 counsel as well.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, sir.

14

15 MR. BELINFANTE: And I'll be referring to Favorito throughout the argument. The question on the due process

16

17 claim is what is the standard of review. Is it strict

18 scrutiny, is it rational basis?
And Favorito answers that question in the latter. It

19

20 is a rational basis test. It says on page 796, quoting a decision from the 11th Circuit, Wexler vs. Anderson, that

21

22 when a state election law provision imposes only reasonable non-discriminatory restrictions upon the 1st

23

24 and 14th Amendment rights of voters, the state's important

25 regulatory interests are generally sufficient.

1 Using the word "reasonable" the Supreme Court noted
2 and applied a rational basis test. And, in fact, it goes on to say that there is a rational reason to use DRE
3
4 machines on the same page.
It says, "it is the job of democratically-elected
5
6 representatives to weigh the pros and cons of the various balloting systems. So long as their choice is reasonable
7
8 and neutral, it is free from judicial second guessing,"
9 once again confirming that we're in a rational basis test
10 review.
11 And now using -- applying that standard, looking at the due process claim, which is paragraph 62 to 72, count
12
13 two, in the complaint. The defendants do not disagree that the right to vote is fundamental. The disagreement
14
15 is that they have not alleged a burden for which there is not a rational basis to use the DRE machines.
16
17 And to look -- to see that you need only look at
18 paragraph 69 of the complaint. There the plaintiffs or the petitioners allege that using defective DRE machines
19
20 in a flawed and inaccurate voter registration and electronic poll book system, defendants have knowingly and
21
22 severely burdened and infringed upon the fundamental right to vote.
23
24 Now you compare that to what the Supreme Court
25 described as the cause of action in Favorito. This is at

1 page 795 and 796 of that case in the Georgia reports.

2 There the Supreme Court described the petitioner's claims as -- in three counts of their complaint, appellants

3

4 allege that this state's use of the DRE equipment denies them equal protection under the federal and state

5

6 constitutions, and the fundamental right to vote under the due process clause of the 14th Amendment.

7

8 Their claims are virtually identical. And so, then

9 applying the rational basis test that Favorito did, the

10 law is clear. There is a rational basis to use the DRE

11 machines. And in the case, it's important that the Court citing the Weber vs. Shelley decision from the 9th Circuit

12

13 in 2003, acknowledged all the risks that are contained in the petitioners' claims now.

14

15 The court said in Favorito, again citing Weber, page 797, "no balloting system is perfect." Traditional paper

16

17 ballots, as became evident during the 2000 presidential

18 election, are prone to over-votes, under-votes, hanging chads, and other mechanical and human errors that may

19

20 thwart voter intent.
Meanwhile, touchscreen voting systems remedy a number

21

22 of these problems, albeit at the hypothetical price of vulnerability to certain types of fraud. And it's the job

23

24 the Court continued to say of the legislature to decide

25 which of those two risks it is going to use.

1 In fact, the Court says expressly, it's the job of
2 democratically-elected representatives to weigh the pros and cons. And so long as their choice is reasonable and
3
4 neutral, it's free from second guessing.
And then it goes on to really put the nail in the
5
6 coffin here, "in this instance Georgia made a reasonable politically neutral and nondiscriminatory choice to
7
8 certify touchscreen systems as an alternative to paper
9 ballots." Nothing in the Constitution prohibits this
10 choice.
11 That forecloses the due-process argument, because despite however it can be dressed up, it is an attack on
12
13 the DRE machines themselves. The DRE machines were attacked in 2006, and by the time they got to the Supreme
14
15 Court in 2009, the Court said it is insufficient.
The legislature is entitled to choose the DRE
16
17 machines understanding and knowing all of the risks that
18 they claim, it is still insufficient to state a claim under due process. If you then look to equal protection,
19
20 Favorito blocks the claims again.
Once again, the allegations are identical from what
21
22 the plaintiffs allege or the petitioners here and what they alleged in Favorito. Paragraph 74 of this complaint
23
24 says, "defendants knowingly treated electors who voted by
25 DRE differently than others similarly situated electors

1 voting in the same election in a jurisdiction who voted by
2 absentee ballot."
In other words, if you vote absentee you can do it
3
4 with a piece of paper. If you vote in person you use a
DRE machine and that is the distinction that they claim
5
6 sets up the Equal Protection Clause. They make that clear
on pages 43 and 44 of their response to the motion to
7
8 dismiss.
9 A state election regulation, which they go on to
10 cite, which discriminates on its face between two classes
11 of people, namely voters who vote in person and voters who
vote by absentee mail.
12
13 Now you then look at the Favorito and the court's
description of the argument there and it was the
14
15 appellants argued that electronic voters are treated
differently from voters who cast absentee ballots on paper
16
17 and the procedure for an accuracy of any recount would
18 differ. The claims are simply identical.
And so, the holding of Favorito is absolutely
19
20 dispositive. And this is at page 798. What the court
effectively says is, a voter can choose. You can vote by
21
22 absentee. We have absentee for any reason in this state,
and if you have a concern that the DRE machine is flawed,
23
24 then by all means, vote absentee.
25 It is not a distinction that the state mandates,

1 which is what is required under equal protection claim.
2 The Court specifically says in Favorito, that "as the trial court found, Georgia voters have an option."
3
4 And then it continues, if the trial court correctly concluded that quote "since every Georgia citizen could
5
6 vote either by absentee ballot or by utilizing touchscreen voting systems," appellants contention that there is some
7
8 state-based classification between voters is false.
9 And it continues then to say, "we cannot see how
10 Georgia has violated the Equal Protection Clause of the
11 14th Amendment by making these two available pacts. The allegations are the same. The case is directly en pointe
12
13 and Equal Protection and Due-Process Clause should be dismissed. Thank you.
14
15 THE COURT: Anybody else for the defendant on that issue?
16
17 MR. TYSON: We'll just join the Secretary of State's
18 argument on that point.
THE COURT: Okay.
19
20 MS. BURWELL: We join in their argument as well, Your Honor. Thank you.
21
22 MR. TYSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
MR. BROWN: In their motion to dismiss the federal
23
24 constitutional claims, first Mr. Belinfante's description
25 of how this claim will interact with the other's claims is

1 substantially correct, and that is, what we are -- what
2 the plaintiffs are alleging is that with any new election going forward prospectively should be conducted on -- not
3
4 on these machines, because doing so would be a violation of due process and equal protection.
5
6 So that's the -- sort of the procedural posture of how this claim is seeking prospective relief. Second, the
7
8 defendants rely exclusively on the Favorito and Wexler
9 decisions. The Favorito and Wexler decisions are
10 fundamentally distinguishable because Favorito dealt with
11 a 2006-era DRE system.
What's -- what has happened and what we allege in our
12
13 complaint all are real events in real life that have changed the system, that make it fundamentally different
14
15 than the system that was being reviewed by the courts in the -- the -- the -- the Favorito case.
16
17 And as we allege, Your Honor, since the Favorito
18 case, every single government agency that is responsible for national security has told the State of Georgia that
19
20 the current DRE system is fundamentally insecure.
The House Select Committee, the Senate Select
21
22 Committee, the Department of Homeland Protection. In addition, every known computer scientist on record has
23
24 said exactly the same thing and has said to Georgia, these
25 are unreliable.

1 They can't be trusted to count votes correctly. That
2 comes from every single source and there is no evidence contrary, Your Honor. And this comes from slews of
3
4 scientists, and it culminated in a report by the National Academy of Sciences that came out just this September, and
5
6 this is called a Consensus Report, which means that it's a unanimous of the scientists at the National Academy of
7
8 Sciences have collected to consider this exact issue.
9 And that is, are these -- is the system that Georgia
10 is now using secure enough to be relied upon from -- from
11 attack domestic and foreign. And the National Academy of Sciences in September said, don't use these machines
12
13 anymore. Don't even use them for the November election, which Georgia did anyway.
14
15 And so, the -- the environment is different, Your Honor. The national security concerns are different. In
16
17 2006, the -- the internet system was not getting bombarded
18 daily by nation states. The -- the system hasn't -- the -- the -- the malware environment is totally different.
19
20 We do not allege that the system right out of the box is flawed, although it's flawed in that it doesn't have a
21
22 paper backup, but that's not the nature of it. The nature of it is is that the way Georgia has not maintained the
23
24 system, coupled with the external threat, make it a system
25 -- and if you use it, you're violating the constitutional

1 rights of voters because it is fundamentally unreliable.

2 And the right to vote is nothing if their votes are not counted correctly. And in this system, you never know

3

4 if your votes are being collected, counted correctly or not. We will never know, because once a voter makes that

5

6 transient decision as to who to vote for, that record is lost forever.

7

8 We will never know, and this is why the courts who

9 have recently looked at it have concluded that using those

10 machines is substantially likely to violate due process

11 rights and equal production rights of Georgia voters. And there's no case to the contrary, Your Honor, is

12

13 that the cases now hold our way on those issues. And what the defendants do not address -- they -- they address a

14

15 sort of hypothetical complaint that was maybe filed 15 years ago.

16

17 They do not address these allegations. They don't

18 address the fundamental problems. They also don't address an entirely separate issue and that is that, as we alleged

19

20 in the complaint, the State of Georgia left this system -- we like to say, left this system out in the rain for six

21

22 months. The Secretary of State, we allege, left the system

23

24 open to the internet, to the public internet, from before

25 the 2016 election until well after, even though it was

1 informed that the system was open. And when it did so, it
2 -- it left itself open to be infected by any virus, by any hacker whether it's someone on their sofa in New Jersey or
3
4 in Peenya or in Russia.
That's what we also allege. And so, we have a system
5
6 that according to the National Academy of Sciences, even without it being left in the rain, is a violation, is so
7
8 fundamentally flawed and vulnerable, it's a violation of
9 constitutional rights.
10 And then to make matters worse, the Secretary of
11 State, even though it knew this was happening, left it exposed to the public internet to be abused and has not
12
13 undertaken any sort of forensic examination to determine whether or not it's been actually infected with malware as
14
15 the results of this recent election would suggest that it has.
16
17 And so, we have established that -- that we have
18 alleged the allegations necessary.
THE COURT: -- it's a question of what you allege.
19
20 MR. BROWN: That's exactly right, Your Honor. I misspoke. We have alleged --
21
22 THE COURT: -- what you have alleged.
MR. BROWN: We have alleged all the elements of the
23
24 claim, and therefore that motion should be dismissed, or
25 it could be revisited in a motion for summary judgment or

1 further motions after the election contest issue is
2 resolved. Thank you, Your Honor.
MR. BELINFANTE: Thank you, Your Honor. I'll be
3
4 brief.
On the subsident due process claim, everything,
5
6 everything that Mr. Brown just talked about was raised in
the Favorito decision and cited. And in the long footnote
7
8 that cites to the Weber case, the court adopts that no
9 balloting system is perfect.
10 And in specifically again talking about touchscreen
11 voting systems, it says that the DRE machines do not leave
Georgia voters without protection from fraud or any means
12
13 of verifying a vote or a way to audit the recount. The
unfortunate reality is that the possibility of electoral
14
15 fraud can never be completely eliminated, no matter which
type of ballot is used.
16
17 Even assuming that none of the advantages of the
18 touchscreen systems over traditional methods would be
sacrificed if voter-verified paper ballots were added to
19
20 the touchscreen system. And it talks about the choice
that the legislature makes and makes very clear nothing in
21
22 the constitution prohibits this choice.
The legislature is presumed to be aware of all of the
23
24 things that Mr. Brown talks about, and I'll get to that in
25 a second. And yet that choice cannot be second guessed by

1 the judiciary under the guides of a due process claim.

2 Now let's talk specifically about what the complaint alleges, because that's what we're here to discuss.

3

4 Nowhere does the complaint that I found cite to any specific inherent flaw with the machines. What it says,

5

6 over and over and over again, is that there are "vulnerabilities," potential vulnerabilities.

7

8 The National Academy of Sciences cites

9 vulnerabilities. It's the Homeland Security, cites

10 vulnerabilities. It's paragraphs 25 through 33, you'll

11 see a litany of that.
That is exactly the type of decision and the risk-

12

13 weighing that the general assembly is entitled to do, and the due process clause does not prevent them from doing.

14

15 Secondly, Mr. Brown tries to distinguish the Favorito case based on statements that are frankly not in the

16

17 record regarding the types of machines. If you look at

18 the complaint, the complaint talks about -- in paragraphs 21 to 23 is where it describes the machines and how

19

20 they're used and so on -- it doesn't say that they've been changed since 2006.

21

22 What he's talking about is that people now have additional concerns about them, but that just brings you

23

24 back to the holding of Favorito, which is that those

25 concerns are properly waived by the legislative branch,

1 not the judicial branch.

2 That also speaks to -- and I don't know that there was a specific response to the equal protection, but

3 certainly Favorito would say and hold here regardless of how it views the machines. As long as voters have a

4 choice; it is not a state-imposed distinction and no equal-protection claim can lie.

5 Thank you, Judge.

6 THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to have to take that,

7 and I'm going to rule on it, and I'm going to do it this

8 morning. I'll send an email. I want to relook at the petition. I am ruled -- ruled by what that petition says

9 this morning on -- on that issue, and I -- I want to look at it again. I've got the issues in my mind about it, and

10 I will look at it, okay.

11 MR. BELINFANTE: Thank you.

12 THE COURT: Anything else for the defendant?

13 MR. RUSSO: No, Your Honor.

14 THE COURT: Okay, then. Plaintiff has some other

15 motion.

16 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. Yes, we have --

17 the -- the main motion that we had, Your Honor, is your November 29 emergency motion for inspection of electronic

18 election equipment and production of documents. To get to

19 the bottom of the causes for the machine malfunctions, we

1 need some discovery, and that's why in November we moved
2 for a right to inspect the equipment under Rule 34 and 91134, which is the --
3
4 THE COURT: What do -- I -- I seek -- don't propose more of which I'm not going to sign, which is way beyond
5
6 anything I think that I'm required to do or should be doing. Good many other things, obviously. Tell me a
7
8 little bit more specifically what it is you want. What do
9 you want done?
10 MR. BROWN: Well, we -- the plaintiffs would like
11 discovery of DRE machines to inspect the --
THE COURT: Which ones? Where? When? How? What?
12
13 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, we would like to inspect the machines that are identified in Exhibit B to our motion.
14
15 And we would be amenable to meeting with the defendants to determine a reasonable time and space -- place for that
16
17 inspection. And --
18 THE COURT: It's on the three --
MR. BROWN: It's the last two pages of the -- the
19
20 motion and the last two pages of the proposed order.
THE COURT: Yes. I have it now.
21
22 MR. BROWN: And we've identified by -- in -- in many instances by serial number the machines that we would like
23
24 to inspect. And certainly, by precinct, and as I
25 mentioned would be amenable to sitting down with the

1 defense counsel and coming up with a -- a protocol for the
2 inspection of this equipment that would be -- be orderly and helpful. And --
3
4 THE COURT: And when you -- when you mean inspection, what do you mean, to look at them? Oh, there's a machine.
5
6 Or do you want to do anything to the machine?
MR. BROWN: A full forensic examination to determine
7
8 what's causing these -- these mistakes to happened, Your
9 Honor.
10 THE COURT: What do you mean by a full forensic
11 examination?
MR. BROWN: That would be with computer experts to
12
13 look at the internal memory and programming to see -- to compare the machines to see what possible defects are
14
15 causing these systems to malfunction.
And they would involve comparing, for example -- one
16
17 -- in addition we would like machines that were not used
18 in the -- in the -- in the December 4th runoff elections because the difficulty is if you keep on using these
19
20 machines, they may overwrite the underlying memory. Our -- this case is about the November election, not the
21
22 December election, so we would like to look at November machines that were not used.
23
24 Now some of the ones, some of the hottest and -- and
25 most troublesome machines may have been involved in both;

1 they may have continued to use them. And we may have lost
2 some evidence there; we don't know, but we've identified
by serial number and by precinct in Exhibit B the evidence
3
4 that we -- we would like to -- to collect.
And like I said it's -- some logistics are involved.
5
6 We would need the systems to be set up so that they
actually run, they can work, so we need -- would need
7
8 internet connection and an AC tower to boot those up.
9 But, again, we would be happy to sit down with the
10 defendants and -- and quickly set up a protocol for doing
11 that.
THE COURT: Have you sent documents, what you -- did
12
13 you --
MR. BROWN: And then in addition, of the documents
14
15 that -- that we were seeking, I mean, we would like, you
know, general discovery of documentary evidence relating
16
17 to the programming of the machines and so that the -- the
18 computer scientists can better understand exactly what's
going on.
19
20 And we believe that's -- that's reasonable and can be
done expeditiously and -- and may answer many important
21
22 questions that are -- that Your Honor has indicated are of
interest. And the defendants have not responded to our
23
24 motion. I'm not sure what their position is on it.
25 THE COURT: Well, I just got it a couple days ago.

1 MR. RUSSO: Thank you, Your Honor. I'm Vince Russo
2 for the Secretary of State.
3 The plaintiffs are essentially asking for unfettered
4 access to voting equipment here in the state of Georgia.
5 The -- we -- the Secretary of State objects to the motion
6 that the plaintiffs have filed in the request. The
7 plaintiffs, for starters, have not alleged irregularities
8 in each of those precincts where the voting machines they
9 would like to inspect are located. So, the machines in
10 those precincts are completely unrelated, as far as we can
11 tell, to their claims, based on the pleadings at least.
12 Additionally, Your Honor, Mr. -- plaintiffs, one of
13 plaintiff's experts, I suppose, Mr. Demila (phonetic),
14 even testified -- he even included in his affidavit that's
15 attached to the second motion that the plaintiffs are --
16 are going to be arguing, but he -- he stated in his
17 affidavit that there's no statistically valid conclusion
18 that can be drawn from a random sample of -- of machines.
19 Your Honor, at the end of the day here, as my
20 colleagues have pointed out repeatedly, the plaintiffs
21 have to show that there are enough votes in question, over
22 123,000 votes that -- that call in doubt the result of the
23 election for valid election contest. The machines that
24 they want to look at are not going to -- they're not going
25 to have the -- they do not have the votes as far as -- as

1 far as we can tell and -- and plaintiffs' counsel hasn't
2 indicated that there were enough votes on those machines
to call in doubt the result of the election.
3
4 And as such, Your Honor, we think giving them
unfettered access to highly sensitive information about
5
6 the state voting machines when irregularities alleged by
the plaintiffs would not change the result of the election
7
8 is unnecessary.
9 Additionally, Your Honor, in the filing that the
10 plaintiffs made to -- excuse me, that the Secretary of
11 State made this morning, which was regarding the proffer
of evidence, Mr. Demila testified in the -- in the
12
13 Totenberg case and the Kerling (phonetic) case that it
would take 14,000 hours to examine all of the machines and
14
15 that alone still wouldn't be enough.
And for reference, Your Honor, 14,000 hours is more
16
17 than a year and a half. While the plaintiffs have -- have
18 obviously cut down the scope of the -- of the number of
machines they would like to inspect, Your Honor, there's
19
20 -- there's still no -- there's still no facts pled that
indicate that the -- the precincts and the machines that
21
22 they want to -- that the plaintiffs want to inspect, would
have any effect on the outcome of the election or that
23
24 there are any irregularities in those precincts. So, we
25 would ask that you deny this motion. Thank you.

1 Oh, I'm sorry. We have not -- we will be filing this
2 today.
THE COURT: You are.
3
4 MR. RUSSO: I notice proffer of evidence by Secretary
of State, which includes the transcript that I just
5
6 referenced from the testimony of their expert in the -- in
the federal case.
7
8 And I don't know if my colleagues have anything else.
9 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, just briefly on behalf of
10 Gwinnett County. For Gwinnett, we will do obviously
11 whatever the Court directs us to do in terms of making
machines available.
12
13 Our only concern is from a practical perspective.
Obviously, this is voting equipment that is kept in a very
14
15 sensitive -- and lock and key. We keep these machines
under seal with numbered seals and audit trail to
16
17 determine we know who has access to the machines.
18 And we would just want to be absolutely certain that
whatever access is occurring is done in a way in
19
20 coordination with the Secretary of State's office and
others who are involved with this to make sure that
21
22 nothing is altered with the machines themselves.
Gwinnett owns a mix. We have a mix of machines
23
24 partially owned by the State and partially owned by the
25 county, and we will work to determine the specific serial

1 numbers and who owns those machines. But that -- we are
2 ready to do whatever the Court directs us to do, but we just have those security concerns to be sure that nothing
3 with the machines themselves is being altered and that there's enough security regarding the -- this inspection
5 that the plaintiffs are proposing.
6 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, if I may be heard briefly.
7 THE COURT: -- is this the original?
8 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, we're going to electronically
9 file it for --
10 THE COURT: I don't have one given to me. So, this is mine?
11 MR. TYSON: That's your copy.
12 MR. BELINFANTE: Which I have provided one to
13 opposing counsel and --
14 THE COURT: Okay. Right here.
16 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, if I may be heard very
17 briefly.
18 THE COURT: Sure.
19 MR. LINDSEY: The petitioner's request, I believe, is irrelevant to the outcome of this case as you -- based on
20 your ruling regarding our motion to dismiss in which you made very clear that the -- ultimately, the plaintiffs
21 must show that there were enough irregularities, neither
22 the votes counted improperly or votes not counted to
23
24
25

1 amount to 123,000 votes. The petitioner is seeking how
2 many -- how many exact number of -- number of machines?
MR. BROWN: You'd have to ask them.
3
4 MR. LINDSEY: A dozen or so machines? Your Honor,
that's not going to amount to 123,000. Their -- their
5
6 comeback to our specific numbers that we raise was --
well, we raised a general allegation that system wide
7
8 there were failures that resulted in the whole election
9 being cast in doubt.
10 If that's their allegation, Your Honor, merely
11 inspecting a dozen or so machines are not going to prove
or disprove that. And so, for that reason, Your Honor, we
12
13 would oppose their motion.
THE COURT: (inaudible)
14
15 MS. BURWELL: On behalf of Fulton County, Your Honor,
their list attached to their motion included counties
16
17 obviously outside of Fulton County. We don't take a
18 position with respect to those others.
With respect to Fulton County, we would point out to
19
20 the Court that the complaint only addresses two precincts
in Fulton County where they're alleging there were any
21
22 irregularities, yet their request for the review of
machines seeks far more than just those two precincts.
23
24 And so given the limited amount of time, we would
25 request that the Court is going to allow them some leeway

1 in doing some of this discovery that it not be as far-
2 reaching as they were requesting and instead that the Court be very tailored in terms of what they have actually
3
4 alleged in getting to the allegations rather than just a fishing expedition.
5
6 THE COURT: (inaudible)
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. First, Your
7
8 Honor, we have -- we have alleged irregularities in every
9 precinct, not just Grady, not just some other.
10 We've -- our allegations cover a systemic statewide
11 problem with these electronic voting machine, and we've only used as illustration some evidence that we have found
12
13 in particular precincts here and there, but those are just illustrations. Our allegations cover every single one.
14
15 Second, as to the suggestion that this discovery is unlikely to come up with anything that will allow us to
16
17 carry our burden of proof. That's just fundamentally
18 incorrect. We are also seeking discovery of the GEMS databases.
19
20 THE COURT: The who?
MR. BROWN: The GEMS is called -- it's an acronym,
21
22 GEMS, which is an acronym for the -- for the servers that collect the data, and so if there is a flaw in that it's
23
24 going to cover more than just the actual machines.
25 THE COURT: -- but that's not what you told me

1 before.

2 MR. BROWN: Yes. My -- the way I was --
THE COURT: That wasn't what you said when I came.
3

4 MR. BROWN: Well, the exhibit that I was referencing
covers DRE machines and also covers the GEMS databases
5

6 that are county specific and these databases cover more --
I mean, they are not just one by one. In addition, Your
7

8 Honor, but really much more fundamentally is that if there

9 is a programming --

10 THE COURT: Get back to the GEMS, because I'm not

11 understanding what you're saying.
MR. BROWN: The GEMS database is -- each county has a
12

13 GEMS --
THE COURT: Each county has an individual one.
14

15 MR. BROWN: Individual one.
THE COURT: Okay.
16

17 MR. BROWN: And then the Secretary of State has a

18 system that tabulates all of those. And so there could be
a programming flaw anywhere in the system. One of the
19

20 things that the data -- and this is the public information
that's available that Mr. Lindsey referred to, is that the
21

22 pattern oddly was found in every single county under votes
for the lieutenant governor's race, which no one had ever
23

24 seen before historically ever, and it comes up in every

25 single county.

1 And so that it is possible, I won't say likely, but
2 possible that there is a single or several programming mistakes, which have identified, will indicate that
3
4 there's the system wide failure.
But actually, more fundamentally, Your Honor, we'll
5
6 understand this quickly is that what the defendants are sort of analogizing this too is if we're hunting for
7
8 illegal paper ballots, like these cases. As if we're
9 looking for ballots in which Amico's name was misspelled,
10 like the Mead case. Or another case in which there's
11 something wrong with a hard copy of a ballot. And we can get that ballot and we can count them up and we can count
12
13 it up to 124,000 and therefore possibly win.
That's not this case. Because those ballots are gone
14
15 and because of the way the State has chosen to conduct these elections, that record is lost forever.
16
17 Instead what we have is the electronic memory and the
18 programming that drove the way these votes were counted, we think they were counted wrong. And so, we're entitled
19
20 to discovery, we believe, and we think it will be helpful and not necessarily that burdensome.
21
22 It's interesting that they now cite to the federal case for the declaration of Dr. Demila. Indeed, he said
23
24 if you had to look at every single machine and do a
25 forensic examination of every single machine it would have

1 took -- it would take a long time.

2 They haven't done it. We do not plan to do that. There's not time or resources to do that. Instead, this

3

4 will be a targeted examination to see if evidence of a systemic programming error either in the DRE machines

5

6 themselves or the databases have caused these problems. Thank you, Your Honor.

7

8 THE COURT: I have problems with words like "there

9 could be a flaw" or "there's a possible programming

10 mistake." And what you're really asking for in this

11 discovery and fishing expedition, and I'm not going to give it to you till - left, okay. I am going to -- I am

12

13 going to the GEMS are for each county?
MR. TYSON: Yes, Your Honor.

14

15 THE COURT: Okay.
MR. RUSSO: Your Honor, the date of the GEMS is

16

17 public -- is public record --

18 THE COURT: Even if it -- were it --
MR. RUSSO: Is highly confidential and the means

19

20 accessing --
THE COURT: Pardon.

21

22 MR. RUSSO: I said the source code and the means of accessing the GEMS, of course, is highly confidential, but

23

24 the data from the GEMS is public record.

25 THE COURT: I am going to allow you to look at the

1 GEMS in Fulton and in Gwinnett, okay. So -- will have to
2 access it. He's not to get the confidential access. And then he can look at. If it's public record, and you can
3
4 show him where the public record is, of course you can look at it, but record and you can show him where the
5
6 public record is.
Of course, you can look at all of them, okay. And it
7
8 that's up to you all to work out with and I'm going to let
9 him do it for those two counties because those are the two
10 counties that are a part of this.
11 He's got -- the only allegation he's got -- he's got an allegation in McDonough, he's -- DeKalb and what
12
13 county? Because DeKalb's not here. He's got one in Worth County. He's got Grady High School, -- Library, and then
14
15 Allen Temple A.M.E. are the ones that he's alleging were errors.
16
17 And I'm going to let him look at those, okay. It's
18 got to be supervised by somebody, okay. In the sense of -- somebody has to watch whatever he supposedly does so
19
20 there's no fundamental damage to the system in any way. Needs to be really clear. Got it?
21
22 MR. RUSSO: Your Honor, I mean, we would need to have some kind of confidentiality order for agreement,
23
24 obviously. There's -- when -- when his folks have access
25 to the machines, we don't -- I mean we can watch what

1 they're doing. We don't know what they're actually doing
2 and with the data in the -- the -- the computer technology.
3
4 THE COURT: -- any sense. You're not -- you're not going to do it yourself --
5
6 MR. RUSSO: What?
THE COURT: Like I said, if anything on those few
7
8 machines, anything is done to damage them in any way,
9 there will be some absolutely serious ramifications.
10 MR. RUSSO: Okay.
11 THE COURT: And that needs to be fully understood.
MR. RUSSO: Your -- Your Honor, I guess would -- we
12
13 would also want just clarification around what it is exactly -- we've mentioned that there's data machines that
14
15 we can pull --
THE COURT: (inaudible)
16
17 MR. RUSSO: We can pull the data from the GEMS
18 machines to give to them. If that's the extent of it, that's one thing.
19
20 THE COURT: What is it that you want to do on the individual machines?
21
22 MR. BROWN: We want to examine the internal memory, Your Honor, to determine how the program --
23
24 THE COURT: How do you examine the internal memory?
25 MR. BROWN: You do -- I -- our -- our experts will be

1 examining the internal memory to see if there are
2 programming flaws in the DRE machines that are causing these problems.
3
4 THE COURT: I got you. For programming flaws only?
MR. BROWN: Pardon me.
5
6 THE COURT: For programming flaws.
MR. BROWN: For programming flaws, yes, Your Honor.
7
8 THE COURT: See if they were programmed wrong, okay.
9 Simple language, okay.
10 MR. RUSSO: Okay. Not entirely sure what it means
11 when they get access to what they're looking at.
THE COURT: (inaudible)
12
13 MR. BROWN: I understand.
THE COURT: That these cases are supposed to be
14
15 disposed of fast, and I'm not going to give continuances. If -- if you would like to work together to do that, if
16
17 you run up on a stumbling block, you can always do it by
18 emails to me and I will email you back.
MR. RUSSO: As you said it would be confidential or
19
20 not be subject to --
THE COURT: (inaudible)
21
22 MR. RUSSO: -- because disclosure that's obviously important for our --
23
24 THE COURT: Once again your people would have to set
25 it up, where he can look at it. If he's just looking at

1 the results of it then, if you know how to show him
2 online, he can get it all in the mistakes, okay.
3 MR. RUSSO: Yeah, we'll be happy to let him see the
4 results of the election.
5 THE COURT: Let him see the results of the state, but
6 at that time --
7 MR. RUSSO: Yes.
8 THE COURT: -- all the counties involved and these
9 five places that he has indicated.
10 MR. RUSSO: Okay.
11 THE COURT: Okay.
12 MR. RUSSO: Thank you.
13 THE COURT: I'll let you submit me the order if you can agree on that. If you can't, let me know. Like I
14 said, I'm -- the hearing is on the 17th ?
15 MR. BROWN: Yes.
16 MR. RUSSO: I think, Your Honor.
17 THE COURT: I'm -- I'm here but I sleep occasionally, but apart from that time --
18 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, let me -- I want to recap what, Your Honor, has suggested just so we're very clear
19 on -- on this --
20 THE COURT: You want to do it so that you can expand
21 what I just said. Come on, I know that. Is this what you
22 said, Judge? Yeah. I know that but go ahead.
23
24
25

1 MR. BROWN: Didn't you say you favored widespread and
2 far-reaching discovery? No, I'm joking, but --
THE COURT: Okay.
3
4 MR. BROWN: Two counties -- limited to two counties?
THE COURT: Two counties. Gwinnett -- go ahead.
5
6 MR. BROWN: Gwinnett and Fulton. In the five.
THE COURT: In the five -- Henry County and
7
8 McDonough. It doesn't say specifically that one was.
9 Fulton, Allen County AME -- and Grady High School.
10 MR. BROWN: Okay. Yes, Your Honor.
11 THE COURT: That's what's alleged on the petition.
MR. BROWN: And that would be examination of the
12
13 machines under the conditions that you've described. In addition, the county servers -- that the county GEMS
14
15 servers under the instructions that you gave.
THE COURT: -- and if there's a way to get that
16
17 information if it is public record, then you can get it in
18 public record.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. At present the
19
20 trial is scheduled -- for the trial -- not at present. The trial is scheduled for the 17th --
21
22 THE COURT: Correct.
MR. BROWN: -- of -- would it make sense to postpone
23
24 that --
25 THE COURT: No, nothing postponed.

1 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

2 THE COURT: The rules are -- in fact I'm getting some pressure as to why this isn't going through faster than
3

4 it's been. It needs to be done; it needs to be completed; it needs to be over. I'm not going to move it.
5

6 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: And what I've allowed you to do on those
7

8 things can be done before that date.

9 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

10 THE COURT: If -- if you put your mind to it. Okay?

11 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Judge.
THE COURT: Okay, anything else? You had a motion
12

13 about -- but I guess that's moot.
MR. BROWN: That's moot.
14

15 THE COURT: Okay, anything else?
MR. RUSSO: No, Your Honor.
16

17 THE COURT: Okay.

18 MR. LINDSEY: Just to move the case along quickly and I'm going to stipulate to plaintiff's counsel be in
19

20 agreement -- just taking judicial notice so we don't have to bring somebody in to show the certified election
21

22 results of all the statewide elections.
THE COURT: Do you have an objection to --
23

24 MR. RUSSO: I assume we don't have any objections?

25 Just to the numbers. Do you have an objection? No,

1 Judge.

2 THE COURT: The numbers that he gave me are the numbers in the register. Do they have an objection?

3

4 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor.
MR. RUSSO: I just want to make that that's clear.

5

6 THE COURT: Okay. You can put those in without having to --

7

8 MR. RUSSO: No, Your Honor.

9 MR. BELINFANTE: We will be doing the same -- we will

10 be using the same numbers here on, Your Honor.

11 THE COURT: Okay. That’s it.
MR. RUSSO: Okay.

12

13 THE COURT: Anything else? Thank you, gentleman.
MR. RUSSO: Thank you.

14

15 MR. BELINFANTE: Thank you, Your Honor.
MR. TYSON: Thank you, Judge.

16

17

18 (Whereupon, the hearing was concluded at 10:34 a.m.)

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 CERTIFICATE

2
STATE OF GEORGIA)
3

4) SS.
COUNTY OF DOUGLAS)
5

6
I, PRISCILLA GARCIA, A COURT REPORTER IN THE STATE OF
7

8 GEORGIA, DO HEREBY STATE THAT THE FOREGOING IS A TRUE AND

9 ACCURATE TRANSCRIPT AS TRANSCRIBED BY ME AT THE TIME,

10 PLACE, AND THE DATE HEREINBEFORE SET FORTH.

11 I DO FURTHER STATE THAT I AM NEITHER A RELATIVE NOR
EMPLOYEE NOR ATTORNEY NOR COUNSEL OF ANY OF THE PARTIES TO
12

13 THIS ACTION, AND THAT I AM NEITHER A RELATIVE NOR EMPLOYEE
OF SUCH ATTORNEY OR COUNSEL, AND THAT I AM NOT FINANCIALLY
14

15 INTERESTED IN THIS ACTION.
WITNESS MY HAND IN THE CITY OF DOUGLASVILLE, COUNTY
16

17 OF DOUGLAS, STATE OF GEORGIA, ON THIS 18th DAY OF JANUARY

18 2019. BY: Priscilla Garcia
19

20 PRISCILLA GARCIA, COURT REPORTER
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF GEORGIA
21

22 COMMISSION NO.: W-00379933
COMMISSION EXPIRES: 08/14/2022
23

24 CERTFICATION NO.: 5503-2677-8304-9216

25 LICENSE EXPIRES: 04/01/2019

1

1 28:8,20, 22 47:13
100 47:17
100-vote 46:24
103,290 43:25
115,691 45:1
11th 64:20
123,000 41:22 43:13 45:15 58:20,25 60:4 80:22 84:1,4
123,000-plus 45:2
123,000-vote 48:1
123,172 13:18 43:13
124,000 87:13
13 57:4,6,9
14,000 81:13,15
14th 64:24 66:6 69:11
15 72:15
157 36:8,10 37:6
159 23:2,10 35:20
17 92:15 93:20
180 37:15
19,882 44:1
1983 10:2
1989 18:22
1998 42:20
1st 64:22

2

2 10:6 15:2 16:2,18 28:9,17 29:6
2- 28:11
2000 66:17
2003 66:13
2004 17:18 27:25
2006 67:13 71:17 75:20
2006-era 70:11
2009 64:11 67:15
2015 19:4
2016 72:25
2018 6:2 13:15
21 15:2 18:24 28:9
21-2-366 39:9
2102 14:11
2122 16:18
212235 28:13
212250 22:2
2125 14:10 28:9
22 6:6,13, 20
220 22:9,10
23 75:18
242- 52:6
25 75:10
252-georgia-260 50:4 57:24
26 39:22
2623-georgia-514 48:4
270-georgia-7 42:20 50:8 51:17
284-georgia-397 51:15 58:9
288-georgia-26 51:25
29 76:22

3

3 10:6 29:6 30:25 47:11,13
3,780,304 43:18
3,883,594 43:17
3,895,955 44:24
301-georgia-27 24:20 25:11
30177 51:11
323-georgia-po427 42:2
324 19:4
33 75:10
34 77:2
35 16:20
378-georgia-532 51:10
38 33:15
380 17:20

4

4 18:24

27:10 28:18 47:13 78:18
42 47:18
43 68:6
44 68:6

5

5 47:13
520 14:11 22:2,4 30:13,25
520-2A 30:17
521-1 47:11
522 30:13
522-2 30:20
524 61:13
524(c) 59:17
53,902 45:13,15

6

6 13:15
62 65:11
69 65:18

7

71 63:22
72 63:25
65:11
74 67:22
75 63:22
76 63:25
795 66:1
796 64:20 66:1
798 68:20

8

8,000 46:2
80,000 46:2
8:59 6:2

9

9 6:2
9/11/15 32:18
98.9% 44:11
99 46:25
9th 66:11

A

A.M.E. 89:15
ability 34:11
absentee 34:10,11 39:2 68:2, 11,15,22, 24 69:6
absolutely 68:18 82:18 90:9
absurd 23:11
abused 73:11
AC 79:8
Academy 71:4,6,11 73:6 75:8
accept 43:18 44:13,18
accepted 49:13 55:9,10,11
access 22:6 80:4 81:4 82:17,18 89:2,24 91:11
accessing 88:20,22
accuracy 68:17
acknowledged 66:13
acronym 85:20,22
Act 18:22 27:10,18
action 35:25 39:15 47:9 65:25
acts 19:2
actual 85:24
Adams 42:2
add 32:6 33:2,15 59:2
added 74:18
adding 37:22 58:13
addition 31:20 36:25 47:8 70:22 78:17 79:13 86:6 93:13
additional 14:15 32:6 75:22
Additionally 80:11 81:9
address 14:17,18, 20 38:11 63:13 72:13,17, 18
addresses 84:20
admitted 51:18
adopts 74:8
advantages

74:17

advocates
58:2

advocating
57:18

affect 46:9

affidavit
80:13,17

afford 30:9

agency 70:18

agree 12:8
35:6 62:18
92:13

agreed 9:22

agreement
89:22
94:20

ahead 15:25
20:22
63:11
92:25 93:4

albeit 66:22

allegation
15:20
30:20 44:4
45:2 47:8
57:18
59:20
84:6,10
89:11

allegations
31:2,6,10,
17,20,22
33:9 36:1
41:4 43:20
44:18
45:24,25
46:4 48:13
49:13
53:18 58:2
59:13 60:2
67:20
69:11
72:17
73:18
85:4,10,13

allege 34:1
46:25 47:4
49:11
53:13,20
55:25
58:17,22
59:2 60:20
65:18 66:4
67:22
70:11,17
71:20
72:22
73:4,18

alleged
17:11
18:8,11
22:24
30:11
33:17,20
35:24 36:4
43:6 47:15
48:13
49:18 53:1
55:8,13
56:2,8,10,
11 59:6,18
60:20,22
64:8 65:15
67:22
72:18
73:18,20,
22 80:6
81:6 85:4,
8 93:11

alleges 75:2

alleging
33:22 43:1
70:2 84:20
89:15

Allen 89:15
93:9

allowed 94:6

alluded
59:22

altered
82:22 83:4

alternative
67:8

AME 93:9

amenable
77:15,25

amend 32:2

amending
32:6

amendment
32:4 33:2
64:24 66:6
69:11

amendments
19:22

Amico 46:6
55:24

Amico's 87:9

amount 84:1,
4,24

analogizing
87:6

analogous
53:2

Anderson
24:20
64:20

anecdotes
32:25

announces
36:22

anonymous
47:24

answers
64:18

anymore
71:13

apologize
20:22 22:8

appeal 32:15
52:13
53:10

appeals
17:18

appears
25:13

appellants 66:2 68:15 69:6

appellate 25:6

applicable 16:18 17:2 28:17 38:2

applied 19:6 65:2

apply 15:20 17:1 18:24

applying 65:11 66:9

approach 24:6,8 51:2

approval 40:18

aren't 13:11

argue 18:22 21:8 24:15

argued 17:11 68:15

arguing 20:18 36:18 40:25 80:15

argument 19:13 21:24 23:8,18,20 26:4,24 29:6 33:11,13 35:15 40:2,8,9 41:18 64:15 67:11 68:13 69:18,20

arguments 13:11 21:18 41:18 61:4

assembly 75:13

assert 44:6, 11

asserted 10:18,24 14:10

asserting 10:20 42:25

assertion 42:18 44:13

asserts 44:4 45:2

assume 94:24

assuming 74:17

attached 24:13 80:15 84:15

attack 67:11 71:11

attacked 67:13

attention 61:20

attorney 23:18,22 24:4 25:1, 2 41:20

audit 74:13 82:15

authority 39:10

authorize 39:11

authorized 14:25 40:17

aware 13:15 20:11 61:18 74:22

B

back 15:9 32:18 33:2 51:4 53:9 75:24 86:10 91:18

backup 71:22

badly 61:11

BAILIFF 8:2

ballot 16:11 17:22 18:11 19:22 27:18,22 34:11 40:15 52:22 60:6 68:2 69:6 74:15 87:11

balloting 65:6 66:15 74:9

ballots 18:13 34:10 36:20 44:10,11, 22 50:20, 22 58:10, 11 59:25 60:2 66:17 67:9 68:15 74:18 87:8,9,13

Banker 51:10

bankruptcy 42:4

based 46:13 48:11 64:10 75:15 80:11

83:20

basically 27:4

basis 20:1,6 28:6 32:1 33:6 48:17 64:4,18,20 65:2,9,15 66:9,10

bath 60:15

battle 62:4

begin 58:15

beginning 21:4

behalf 9:2,6 12:4 33:13 41:20 82:9 84:15

Belinfante 6:15 8:20 14:18 41:17 60:24 62:4,8,20, 25 63:2,9, 13 64:15 74:2 76:15 83:13

Belinfante's 69:24

bench 7:20 24:8

big 42:18

binding 25:22,25

bit 30:13 77:8

block 91:17

blocks 67:20

Board 8:25 16:20,22 19:11 29:22 30:4 32:10 34:4 38:15,25 39:2,10, 11,13,15

Boards 19:9

bombarded 71:17

book 22:4,6 65:20

books 27:8 36:20

boot 79:8

borrow 56:13

bottom 76:25

bound 41:4 53:24,25 54:2,4

box 71:20

brain 12:2

branch 75:25 76:1

brand-new 26:10

breadth 53:18

Brian 24:22

briefly 27:2 82:9 83:6, 18

briefs 63:17,22

bring 12:6 15:18 21:15 22:6 33:22 94:20

brings 75:22

broader 56:17

Brody 32:6

Brown 6:15 8:11 9:13, 22 10:1,6, 10,18,22 13:9,11 21:15 22:4,8,10, 11 23:6 24:13,18 25:9,11,22 26:15,20, 22 29:4, 15,18 35:11,13, 22,24 37:11,25 39:25 48:22 49:4,6,8, 11,15 53:25 54:2,9,11, 13 55:2,4 56:6 57:22 59:4,22 60:4,8 64:13 69:22 73:20,22 74:6,24 75:15 76:20 77:10,13, 18,22 78:6,11 79:13 84:2 85:6,20 86:2,4,11, 15,17 90:22,25 91:4,6,13 92:15,20 93:1,4,6, 10,11,18, 22 94:1,6, 9,11,13

Bruce 8:11 21:15

Bryan 8:22 29:22 46:20

bunch 47:22

burden 54:6, 8,10 61:6

65:15
85:17

burdened
65:22

burdensome
87:20

Burwell 6:8, 11 9:6,9 12:4 33:13 34:17,20, 24 35:2,4, 8 38:13 39:22 40:22 48:18,20 69:20 84:15

C

calculate
55:22

call 80:22 81:2

called 24:20 71:6 85:20

calls 51:18

cameraman
6:13

candidate
27:17,18 30:17,20, 22 45:6 57:18 60:2

candidates
14:4 19:25 27:20

can't 7:20 10:20 27:8 31:18 34:4 35:17

capable
38:17 40:15

capacity
24:22,24 25:13

care 8:2 54:6

careful 7:18

Carr 25:1

Carruthers
8:24

carry 61:6 85:17

case 6:6,9, 10 10:11 11:8 13:20 16:4 17:17,18, 24,25 18:1,2,4, 6,15 19:6 22:25 23:15,17 24:6,11, 13,18,20, 22 25:1,4, 6,8,11,15, 17 26:6,9 27:6,11,25 30:10 31:4,11,18 32:6,8,9, 13 33:1,6 35:4 39:2, 8 42:1,2, 4,20 43:11 44:11,18 47:6 49:17 50:4,6,8, 15 51:2,4, 15,20,22 52:2,6,9, 10,11,18 53:2,8,9 54:22 55:1 56:13 57:11,13, 17,20,25 58:8,9,18 59:2,9 64:2 66:1, 11 69:11 70:15,18 72:11 74:8 75:15 78:20 81:13 82:6 83:20 87:10,13, 22 94:18

cases 27:2 30:15,22 37:13 42:10,15 45:18,22 48:9,11 49:25 50:17,18, 25 51:4,9 53:17 58:6 60:1 72:13 87:8 91:13

cast 18:13 31:2 43:9, 15,17 44:24 45:1,2 47:1 53:4 57:20 58:10,11, 13,24 61:4 68:15 84:9

categories
16:25

caused 88:6

causing
78:8,15 91:2

certainty
48:6 52:15

certified
42:10 43:13 46:1,15,22 94:20

certify 67:8

chads 66:18

challenge
27:13 30:6 31:13 63:20

challenged 30:18

challenges 52:20

challenging 30:22 42:15

Champion 32:8

chance 15:13

change 25:25 47:6 81:6

changed 60:11 70:13 75:20

changing 38:18

chapter 15:2 18:24 22:17,20 27:10

charge 23:25

cheat 38:11

chime 57:6

choice 65:6 67:2,6,10 74:20,22, 25 76:6

choose 34:13 67:15 68:20

chosen 42:11 60:18 87:15

Chris 25:1,2

Circuit 64:20 66:11

cite 42:1 50:17 58:6 68:10 75:4 87:22

cited 27:2 32:13 37:1 40:18 45:22 51:9 57:22 58:6 74:6

cites 74:8 75:8,9

citing 66:11,15

citizen 69:4

citizens 38:20 39:1,2

City 16:22

claim 10:13 12:6,9 13:20 14:22 17:24 32:22 38:4 47:2 48:2, 17 52:4,9, 15 57:11 64:8,17 65:11 67:18 68:4 69:1,25 70:6 73:24 74:4 75:1 76:6

claims 10:2, 6,11,18 11:6,10,18 12:4 14:18,20 29:6 47:18 63:10 64:4 66:2,8,13 67:20 68:18 69:24,25 80:11

clarification 90:13

classes 16:2 68:10

classification 69:8

clause 63:15,18, 20 66:6 68:6 69:10,13 75:13

clean 34:22 35:4

clear 9:15, 22 19:6 23:22 26:6 48:4 53:17 66:10 68:6 74:20 83:22 89:20 92:20

clerk 24:11

client 14:17

clock 57:4, 8,9

close 47:25 60:13 61:17,18, 24

closed 45:13 58:22

co-defendants 13:15

Coalition 6:10 8:6, 13 9:24 10:2 14:22

COBB 6:1

code 15:1 16:11,18 18:24 22:1,17 27:9 28:13,18, 20 32:13 40:17 88:22

coffin 67:6

colleagues 18:18 80:20 82:8

collect 79:4 85:22

collected 71:8 72:4

collection 47:20

comeback 84:6

Commissioners 39:11,13

Committee 70:20,22

common 20:4

commonsense 22:20 23:24

compare 65:24 78:13

comparing 78:15

comparison 30:13

complaining 34:1

complaint 20:15 33:15 38:6 47:18 49:11 65:13,18 66:2 67:22 70:13 72:15,20 75:2,4,18 84:20

completed 94:4

completely 14:2 63:20 74:15 80:10

comply 38:22

computer 22:6 70:22 78:11 79:18 90:2

conceded 36:2

concern 68:22 82:13

concerns 71:15 75:22,25 83:2

concluded 51:13 69:4 72:9

conclusion 64:2 80:17

conditions 93:13

conduct 16:13 17:6 28:11 58:11 87:15

conducted 16:8,13 28:2 30:6 31:1 36:2 70:2

conducting 22:22 40:2,15

conference 7:20

conferences 7:20

confidential 88:18,22 89:2 91:18

confidentiality 89:22

confirmed 14:20

confirming 6:20 65:9

conflicting 47:9

confuse 22:11

confusing 15:17

connection 30:15 79:8

cons 65:6 67:2

Consensus 71:6

constitution 63:8 67:9 74:22

constitutional 11:18 12:9 14:18 19:22 29:6 63:9,10 64:4 69:24 71:25 73:9

constitutions 66:6

constrained 34:6 38:22

construction 19:1,6

construed 20:4

contained 66:13

contention 69:6

contest 9:25 10:4 14:9, 15,22 15:4,6 17:18 18:4,6 19:8 20:8, 24 22:20

23:2,15 24:1 28:4, 6,10,20 30:1,11, 15,20 31:15 32:4 33:8 59:15,18, 20,22 74:1 80:22

contestant 14:8 17:20 18:11 32:8 53:1

contestants 10:2 50:6

contested 10:8 16:4, 6,9 28:11 30:6 31:1

contesting 13:15

contests 14:25 19:24 27:20,22 61:15

context 15:4

contingent 63:20

continuances 91:15

continued 66:24 79:1

continues 60:4 69:4, 9

contrary 71:2 72:11

conversation 7:13

convinced 13:1

coordination 82:20

copy 24:9, 20 64:11 83:13 87:11

Corea 25:2

corners 9:20 20:15 29:11 48:15 58:20 61:10

correct 9:13,25 10:1,6,22 22:15 55:2,4 70:1 93:22

correctly 69:4 71:1 72:2,4

couldn't 32:20

counsel 8:10,13 13:10 25:4 27:4,6,11 60:15 64:13 78:1 81:1 83:15 94:18

counsel's 7:11

count 10:6 12:13,18 28:8,20,22 41:13 50:2,20 52:22 63:20 65:11 71:1 87:11

counted 43:22 45:20 57:20 58:1,6,25 72:2,4 83:25 87:18

counties 19:11 20:9 23:2,10 28:4 31:6, 9,11,18,22 32:20,24, 25 33:4, 20,25 34:15,18 35:20 36:4,8,11, 13 37:2,6 84:15 89:9,10 92:8 93:4

counting 31:4 58:18 59:17

counts 29:6 43:22 63:13 66:2

county 6:1 8:9 9:6,13 12:2,4 16:15,20, 22,24 17:11 18:6,9,15, 18 19:9,10 20:9,10 24:11 28:2 29:4,22 30:4 31:11,17, 20 32:10 33:13,18 34:4,11 35:9 36:4, 15 37:2 38:11,15, 20,22 39:6,10 40:11,13, 22 46:20 47:18,20 82:10,25 84:15,17, 18,20

86:6,11,
13,22,25
88:13
89:13
93:6,9,13

county's
30:11
35:15

county's
39:15

couple 8:6
79:25

coupled
71:24

court 6:6,9,
11,17,18,
22 7:1,4,
6,11,13
8:1,2,4,
15,22 9:1,
4,8,11,15
10:4,8,9,
11,13,20
11:2,11,
13,18,20,
22 12:6,8,
11,15,18,
22,25
13:11 14:6
15:8,11,
13,17,24
16:20
17:17
20:2,13,
17,20,22
21:2,4,6,
11,13
22:2,4,9,
11 23:4,20
24:10,15
25:6,10,18
26:13,17,
20 27:1
28:8 29:1,
8,11,15,20
31:18
32:6,11
33:10,15,
22,24
34:13,18,
22,25
35:2,6,9,
13,18,22
36:24
37:11,13
38:1,6,9
39:9,22,24
40:22,24
41:9,13,15
42:4,10,18
43:18
45:18
46:15,18
47:13
48:2,4,9,
18,20,22
49:1,4,6,
9,15,25
50:4,9,13,
20 51:2,
10,11,13,
15,17,20,
25 52:4,6,
10,13
53:4,6,8,
24 54:1,6,
10,11
55:2,4
56:6,13
57:15
58:8,9
59:11
60:13,25
62:6,9,15,
18,22
63:2,4,8,
10 64:2,11
65:1,24
66:2,11,
15,24
67:1,15
68:20
69:2,4,15,
18 73:18,
22 74:8
76:9,17,18
77:4,11,
18,20
78:4,10
79:11,25
82:2,11
83:2,8,11,
15,18
84:13,20,
25 85:2,6,
20,25
86:2,10,
13,15
88:8,15,
18,20,25
90:4,6,11,
15,20,24
91:4,6,8,
11,13,20,
24 92:4,8,
11,13,18,
22 93:2,4,
6,11,15,
22,25
94:2,6,10,
11,15,17,
22

court's
68:13

courtroom
7:9 15:9
55:2

courtrooms
10:22

courts 42:4,
6 43:2
51:6 70:15
72:8

cover 36:1
59:11
61:13,25
85:10,13,
24 86:6

covered 6:20
10:4

covers 86:4

Crawford
42:20
51:17

criminal
11:9

Crittenden 8:9
culminated 71:4
current 17:25 70:20
cut 81:18

D

daily 71:18
damage 89:20 90:8
data 85:22 86:20 88:24 90:2,13,17
database 17:9 86:11
databases 85:18 86:4,6 88:6
date 33:2 88:15 94:8
Dawkins- 24:20
Dawkins-haigler 51:11
day 14:6 49:2 80:18
days 79:25
deal 11:20
dealing 35:20 58:4
dealt 70:10
December 39:22 78:18,22
decide 10:15 34:13 39:2,6 66:24
decided 48:11
decides 64:4
decision 25:6,15, 18,20 26:11 42:18,20 52:10 55:10 64:10,20 66:11 72:6 74:6 75:11
decisions 70:9
declaration 87:22
declared 16:10
declares 19:17
deemed 11:1
defective 65:18
defects 43:1,8 78:13
defend 35:17
defendant 15:2,20 16:2 17:15 19:15 21:18,20, 22,25 22:22 24:1 26:2,25 30:15,18, 20,24 39:17 62:24 69:15 76:17
defendant's 52:11 56:22
defendants 11:4 18:18 20:9 23:1, 10 36:1,6 48:24 49:20 52:9 63:6 65:13,20 67:24 70:8 72:13 77:15 79:10,22 87:6
defendants' 33:10
defendant's 13:10
defended 23:18 25:1,17
defending 25:2
defense 21:13 78:1
defined 16:2,18 19:20 22:13
defines 22:2 28:13,15
definition 17:2 18:22 19:15 20:6 22:15
definitions 16:17 28:17
Dekalb 9:13 31:10 32:10 47:18,20 89:11
Dekalb's 89:13
Demila 80:13 81:11 87:22

democratic
45:6

democratically -elected
65:4 67:2

demonstrated
42:17

demonstrates
45:22 59:6

denies 66:4

Dennis 25:2

DENTON
11:11,15, 20,22

deny 37:20
40:24
61:22
81:25

department
25:2,22,24
70:22

depending
46:2

derived
58:13

describes
53:22
75:18

description
68:13
69:24

determine
11:10
50:22
52:24
73:13
77:15 78:6
82:17,25
90:22

determined
29:25

Dick 8:24

didn't 15:8, 13,18 41:9
44:6 93:1

differ 68:18

difference
13:20 32:4
43:22 44:8
50:2,22,24
52:25
56:20,25
58:13

differences
14:4

differently
67:25
68:15

difficulty
78:18

direct 32:15

directly
69:11

director
8:13 32:9

directs
82:11 83:2

disagree
65:13

disagreement
65:13

discloses
52:15

disclosure
91:22

discovery
36:10,11, 13,15,24
37:6 77:1, 11 79:15
85:1,15,18
87:20
88:11 93:2

discriminates
68:10

discuss
14:13 75:2

discussed
23:17
51:20 60:8

discusses
19:15

dismiss
13:13
14:13
15:24
20:18 28:6
29:8,11,24
34:2 38:25
39:20
41:1,6
42:6 46:13
47:10,11
48:10,11
52:4 54:18
55:6 57:11
59:10
61:22 64:6
68:8 69:22
83:22

dismissal
20:25 32:1
33:8 47:2

dismissed
9:13 29:13
41:10
47:20
48:17
52:8,15
69:13
73:24

dismissing
15:6 53:8

disposed
91:15

dispositive
68:20

disprove
84:11

disputed
59:4

disregard
14:2

distinction
68:4,25
76:6

distinguish 75:15

distinguishable 70:10

documentary 79:15

documents 76:24 79:11,13

doesn't 34:11 75:20

domestic 71:11

don't 7:2,4,17 10:15 11:9,15,17 12:11 19:11 22:11 26:15 27:24 36:15 37:22 38:2,20 40:18 41:2 47:25 49:6 79:2 89:25 90:1 94:20

doubt 14:1 42:18 43:2 47:4 48:15 53:4 57:6 58:11 60:11,15,22 61:2 80:22 81:2 84:9

down-ballot 43:10,15

download 37:18 62:11

downstairs 6:25 7:2,22

dozen 84:4,11

dramatic 44:6,13 56:25

dramatically 43:10

drastic 42:13

drawn 80:18

DRE 33:17 34:6,11 38:18,20,22 65:2,15,18 66:4,10 67:13,15,25 68:4,22 70:11,20 74:11 77:11 86:4 88:4 91:2

dressed 67:11

drop 62:6

drop-in 44:4

drop-off 44:8,11,13,15,20 45:4 56:25

drop-offs 44:4

drove 87:18

due 63:13,18 64:9,15 65:11 66:6 67:18 70:4 72:10 74:4 75:1,13

due-process 67:11 69:13

dumped 37:17

Duncan 9:4 12:13 41:20 44:2 45:11 55:22

Dunn 25:2

E

earlier 58:20

Earnest 57:22

easily 50:18

Edward 9:2 41:18

effect 24:2 81:22

effectively 68:20

egregious 48:24

elect 16:4

elected 27:11

electing 56:24

election 9:24 10:2 12:15,18 13:17,18 14:2,9,11,22,25 15:1,4,6 16:4,6,9,11,13,18 17:11,18 18:4,6,22,24 19:8,18,24 20:2,8,11,24 21:25 22:2,11,13,15,18,22 23:2,15 24:1,24 25:13 26:2,4 27:20,22 28:2,6,10,

11,20 30:1,4,6, 11,20,25 32:4,13 33:8 36:2 40:6,9,10, 15 42:11, 15,18 43:2 46:15,22 47:6 50:6 52:22 53:4 55:24 57:13,24 58:11,13 59:15 60:13,17 61:2,15 63:20,24 64:1,22 66:18 68:1,9 70:2 71:13 72:25 73:15 74:1 76:24 78:20,22 80:22 81:2,6,22 84:8 92:4 94:20

elections 8:25 16:13,20, 22 17:1,6 19:9,11 23:25 26:9 28:2 29:22 32:9,10 34:4 38:15 39:1,4,11, 15 40:2 42:15 78:18 87:15 94:22

electoral 74:13

electors 16:11 19:18 67:24,25

electronic 44:10,22 45:4 56:4, 20 65:20 68:15 76:22 85:11 87:17

electronically 83:9

elements 73:22

eligibility 16:6 30:18,22

eligible 30:22

eliminated 74:15

Ellis 48:4

else's 24:18

else's 24:15,17

email 76:11 91:18

emailing 62:10

emails 91:18

emergency 76:22

en 32:6 69:11

end 37:22 45:13 80:18

enjoyed 44:2 45:11,20

entire 49:17 55:22,24 57:2 61:2

entirety 33:8

entitled 41:24 52:17 53:13,15 55:25 56:2,8 67:15 75:13 87:18

environment 71:15,18

equal 63:15, 18 64:9 66:4 67:18 68:6 69:1, 10,13 70:4 72:11 76:2

equal-protection 76:6

equally 64:4

equipment 66:4 76:24 77:2 78:2 80:4 82:13

erase 58:13

erroneously 13:22

error 59:17 88:4

errors 31:4 47:22 66:18 89:15

essence 38:18

essentially 44:11 80:2

established 42:15 43:2 51:20,22 73:17

events 70:13

everybody's 35:1 38:11

Everyone's

6:17

evidence
9:17 26:18 31:9,13,24 32:20 37:15 41:1 48:25 50:10,13 51:6,11,18 54:13 56:2 59:15,20 64:2 71:2 79:2,15 81:11 82:4 85:11 88:4

evidenced
31:8

evident
66:17

evidentiary
9:17 51:22 52:6 56:22

exact 60:25 71:8 84:2

examination
73:13 78:6,11 87:25 88:4 93:11

examine
81:13 90:22,24

examining
91:1

examples
51:8

exceeds
18:13

exclusively
70:8

excuse 14:11 17:15 81:10

executive
8:13

exhibit 46:6 77:13 79:2 86:4

exist 60:6

expand 92:22

expanded
14:15

expanding
20:6

expedition
85:4 88:11

expeditiously
79:20

expert 55:18 82:6

experts
78:11 80:13 90:25

explain 7:8 12:1 30:13 51:11

explained
51:15 52:1 55:18 56:11

explanation
56:24 60:6

explanations
13:20 14:2 60:6

exposed
73:11

expressly
67:1

extent 90:18

external
71:24

extremely
61:24

F

face 68:10

fact 14:4 15:18 17:4 22:20 23:17 39:6 51:22 52:2 55:11 58:20 65:2 67:1 94:2

facts 52:18 56:1 64:8 81:20

fail 32:2 64:4

failed 53:10

failing
20:25

failure 47:2 48:17,25 52:4,8,15 57:11 61:4 87:4

failures
84:8

fair 24:18 33:24

fairly 11:25

fall 46:2 59:6 64:6

falls 59:6

false 69:8

far- 85:1

far-reaching
93:2

fast 37:13, 15 91:15

faster 94:2

favored 93:1

Favorito
64:10,15, 18 65:25 66:9,15 67:20,22 68:13,18 69:2 70:8, 9,10,15,17 74:6

75:15,24 76:4

federal 10:2,6,9, 11,13,15 11:1,18 12:4,6,8 14:18 66:4 69:22 82:6 87:22

federals 10:15

felt 40:20

field 34:15

figure 31:13

figuring 34:18

file 14:22 27:20 37:2 83:10

filed 9:18 13:13,15 18:6,15 28:4 30:10 31:8 32:9, 20 39:20 42:20 72:15 80:6

filing 81:9 82:1

filling 33:2

final 25:18

Finally 19:13

find 6:18 27:8 28:20 35:10 52:11 54:4

fine 24:15

firm 25:4

fishing 85:4 88:11

five-day 32:17

fixing 62:22

flaw 75:4 85:22 86:18 88:9

flawed 40:15 53:20 55:22,24 65:20 68:22 71:20 73:8

flaws 91:2, 4,6

flipping 56:4

focus 58:10

folks 89:24

follow 34:6 41:6

footnote 74:6

force 34:11

forecloses 67:11

foreign 71:11

forensic 73:13 78:6,10 87:25

forever 72:6 87:15

forgot 60:25

form 7:25 61:13 62:1

formally 16:10

forward 59:2,15 62:2 64:4 70:2

found 24:6 50:4,9 51:8 54:6 60:22 69:2 75:4 85:11 86:22

fourth 19:15

frankly 75:15

fraud 66:22 74:11,15

free 65:8 67:4

front 6:22 15:25 31:18 50:20,24 60:20

full 30:9 33:6,24 62:22 78:6,10

Fuller 51:15 58:8

fully 90:11

Fulton 8:9 9:6 12:2,4 24:11 29:17 31:6 33:13,18 34:4,11 35:13,15, 25 36:6 38:9,15,20 39:6 40:1, 11,13,22 48:18 84:15,17, 18,20 89:1 93:6,9

Fulton's 40:2

fundamental 18:25 56:10 59:1 65:13,22 66:6 72:18 89:20

fundamentally 53:20 55:11 70:10,13, 20 72:1

73:8 85:17 86:8 87:4

future 63:24

G

gap 45:13

gave 93:15

GEMS 85:18, 20,22 86:4,10, 11,13 88:13,15, 22,24 89:1 90:17 93:13

general 13:17 16:17 23:18,22 24:4 25:2 29:9 75:13 79:15 84:6

generalized 41:6,9,10 61:4

generally 64:25

Geoff 9:2 12:11 41:20

Georgia 6:1 8:18 13:18 14:6 15:1 16:11,15, 18 26:8 28:2 31:11 38:20 42:9 58:8 64:11 66:1 67:6 69:2,4,10 70:18,24 71:9,13,22 72:11,20 74:11 80:4

Georgia-454 52:6

give 15:13 25:18 33:6 88:11 90:18 91:15

giving 49:17 81:4

glad 43:4

golden 46:2

good 8:6,8, 13,18,22 9:2 11:6 14:22 15:15 29:20 77:6

Gordon 31:9

gotcha 9:11

Governance 8:8,15 14:22

governed 15:1

governing 39:10

government 70:18

governor 13:17 16:4 17:13 44:8 46:9 63:24

governor's 59:24 86:22

Governor-elect 9:2 41:20

governor's 13:22 43:9,18,24 44:9,15, 20,24 45:6

Grady 85:9 89:13 93:9

grant 6:18 28:22 37:1 52:4 59:10

granted 26:11

greater 58:25

ground 59:18

grounds 14:10,15 30:15 31:2 52:9

group 27:13

gubernatorial 45:11

guess 29:15 90:11 94:13

guessed 74:25

guessing 14:9 65:8 67:4

guides 75:1

Gwinnett 8:9,24 11:11 29:4,15,22 30:4 31:6, 20,25 33:18 35:25 36:6 38:1,2 40:1 46:20 82:10,22 89:1 93:4, 6

H

hacker 73:2

Haigler 24:20

half 81:17

hand 54:1

handle 8:6

hanging 66:18

Hanson 32:13

happened 48:6 49:20 70:11 78:8

happening 73:11

happy 41:2, 17,18 79:9 92:2

hard 37:18 87:11

hate 61:8

haven't 41:6

hear 10:15 11:2 12:25 13:2,4 15:20,22 29:6,15 41:11,13 43:4

heard 15:18 34:25 35:1 41:6 48:18 83:6,17

hearing 7:15 13:11 33:25 37:6,22 41:2 51:13,22 52:1,2,8 56:22 92:15

held 28:2 52:13 53:4 57:11 58:9

helpful 78:2 87:20

helps 30:13

Henry 93:6

here's 35:22

he's 75:22 89:11,13, 15 91:25

High 89:13 93:9

highest 43:13

highlight 18:4

highly 81:4 88:18,22

historically 86:24

history 26:6

hold 7:20 30:22 72:13 76:4

holding 26:8 52:13 64:1 68:18 75:24

Homeland 70:22 75:9

Honor 6:15, 18 8:11, 18,20,22 9:2,10,13 10:1,6,10, 18,22 11:6,11 12:4,11 13:9 14:20,25 17:2 18:25 19:13,20 20:2,13 22:8 23:2, 6,13,22 24:6,13 25:6,22 26:1,10, 15,22 27:2,8,24 29:4,18, 20,24 31:13 33:13 34:2,10,18 35:13,22 36:18,25 37:10,11, 25 38:2 39:20,25 40:4,20 41:18,22 42:18,20, 24 44:18, 25 45:25 46:4,10, 11,15,18 48:20,22 49:13,17, 25 50:18 51:4 52:2 53:13 54:13,15, 20 55:2,8 56:2,15 57:4,13, 15,25 58:4 59:11,13 60:24 62:4,8,13, 25 63:6, 13,20 64:9 69:20,22 70:17 71:2,15 72:11 73:20 74:2 76:18,20, 22 77:13 78:9 79:22 80:1,11,18 81:4,9,15, 18 82:9 83:6,9,17 84:4,10, 11,15 85:6,8 86:8 87:4 88:6,13,15 89:22 90:11,22 91:6 92:17,20 93:10,18 94:1,6,9, 15

Honor's 39:25

hottest 78:24
hours 81:13, 15
House 70:20
Howell 48:8 59:25
huge 61:6
human 66:18
Hunt 42:20 50:6,8 51:15,18
hunting 87:6
hypothetical 66:22 72:15

I

idea 59:22
identical 66:8 67:20 68:18
identified 63:15 77:13,22 79:2 87:2
identify 32:22
illegal 18:11,13 31:2 47:1 50:20,22 87:8
illustration 49:11 56:18 85:11
illustrations 55:13 85:13
imagine 7:20
immunity 21:20,22 23:18 26:9,24 63:18
impact 45:6 50:18 58:18
important 25:15 47:13 54:24 64:24 66:11 79:20 91:22
imposes 64:22
improper 37:24 53:4,11
improperly 53:2 58:10,24 83:25
inaccurate 65:20
inapplicable 18:20
inaudible 6:8 11:18 15:11 20:13 35:11,13 38:6 48:22 57:15 59:11 84:13 85:6 90:15 91:11,20
incapable 40:2
incidences 56:11
include 17:4 28:15
included 33:1 46:6 80:13 84:15
includes 19:2 82:4
incorrect 85:18
increased 44:25
incumbents 14:4
independent 27:18,20 32:15
individual 10:2 86:13,15 90:20
individuals 8:8 15:2,4
infected 73:2,13
infer 56:15
inferred 50:11,13
inflamed 61:20
inflammatory 49:9 61:18
information 33:22 36:18 47:20 81:4 86:20 93:17
informed 73:1
infringed 65:22
inherent 75:4
insecure 70:20
insist 60:6
inspect 77:2,11, 13,24 80:9

81:18,22

inspecting
84:11

inspection
76:22
77:17
78:2,4
83:4

instance
56:6 67:6

instances
55:13
77:22

instructed
24:8

instructions
93:15

insufficient
50:25
67:15,18

intended
23:11

intent 66:20

intentionally
19:2

interact
69:25

interest
79:22

interesting
61:15
87:22

Interestingly
52:18

interests
64:25

internal
78:13
90:22,24
91:1

internet
71:17
72:24
73:11 79:8

interpret
49:20

interpretation
49:22

intimidate
26:13,15

introduce
8:10

introduced
50:10,13

involve
31:22
32:15
47:17,18
78:15

involved
12:18
17:8,17
32:13 50:6
52:18,20
78:25 79:4
82:20 92:8

involving
17:13
18:4,11
19:24

irregular
58:11

irregularities
18:8,17
20:11 28:4
36:4 47:15
50:4
58:10,17,
22 60:11
80:6 81:6,
24 83:24
84:22 85:8

irregularity
18:11
22:24,25

irrelevant
83:20

isn't 38:17

issue 10:15
11:4 15:25
18:20
20:11,17
21:11,17
27:1,25
30:6 33:22
34:18
35:15,18,
20,22
37:10
39:4,18
40:4 41:8
57:17
58:13,15
61:6 69:15
71:8 72:18
74:1 76:13

issues 12:9
14:1,15
21:24
33:20 34:1
40:13
52:22 55:6
72:13
76:13

items 19:22

it's 13:2
17:11
18:25
19:1,6
23:6,8,11,
18 24:11,
13 27:17
28:18 32:2
37:6 39:11
48:11
75:9,10
77:18 79:4

I'd 21:2
24:8

I'll 8:2
13:2 14:17
28:22 42:1
74:24

I'm 7:1,9,
22 10:13
11:22,24,
25 13:1,6
20:18 22:4
24:2,15

36:18 38:11 40:2 41:17,18, 22 42:8 43:4 76:9, 10 88:11 89:8,17 91:15 92:15,18 93:2 94:2, 18

I've 6:22 8:4

J

January 6:2

Jersey 73:2

Jim 8:6

job 60:15 65:4 66:22 67:1

Johnson 42:2 48:4

join 23:2 33:13 34:15 37:9 38:4 48:18 69:17,20

joined 33:6 34:17 35:6,20 36:8 37:8 63:6

joining 48:20

joint 16:22 62:13

joking 93:2

Josh 8:20 14:18 15:8

judge 8:2 11:8 16:20 21:15 53:22 54:11,13 55:9 76:8 92:25 94:11

judges 19:10 61:20

judgment 73:25

judicial 41:24 42:1,2,6 45:25 46:13 59:4 65:8 76:1 94:20

judiciary 75:1

jurisdiction 36:22 68:1

jurisdictional 23:20

K

Kaye 9:6 33:13

Kemp 24:22

Kerling 81:13

key 45:17 82:15

kind 7:15 11:11 13:10 30:13 38:4 39:6 47:22 59:1 61:15,24 89:22

kinds 7:18 10:22 52:20

knew 73:11

knowing 67:17

knowingly 65:20 67:24

Kohl 51:10

L

lack 31:20 39:20

lacks 14:22

language 17:2 19:2 56:13 60:25 91:9

largely 63:17

late 32:2 33:2

Laurens 18:6,15

law 14:8 20:4 25:2, 22,24 26:2,4 27:6 28:2, 15 34:6,8 38:22 54:20 64:22 66:10

laws 20:4

lawsuit 32:15,20 42:2 47:20

lawyers 9:9 25:2

leads 51:4

leap 20:2

leave 74:11

leeway 84:25

left 72:20, 22 73:2,6, 11 88:11

legal 31:2 47:1 49:22 58:11

legislative

75:25

legislator 23:11

legislature 19:2 22:18 23:11,13 66:24 67:15 74:20,22

lengthy 51:13

letter 46:6, 8

let's 13:2 21:4 46:24 75:2

level 16:15 28:2

Library 89:13

lie 76:6

lieutenant 9:2 13:17, 22 16:4 17:13 41:20 43:9,18,24 44:9,15, 20,24 45:6 46:8 59:24 63:24 86:22

life 12:1 70:13

lightly 42:13

limited 84:24 93:4

Lindsey 9:2 12:11,17, 20,24 41:18 49:15 55:20 57:15,17 83:6,17,20 84:4 86:20 94:18

lines 30:20

list 84:15

litany 75:11

located 80:9

lock 82:15

logical 30:2,24

logistics 79:4

long 28:2 65:6 67:2 74:6 76:4 88:1

looked 72:9

lose 54:22

lost 38:11 50:8,9 53:10 61:1 72:6 79:1 87:15

lot 13:4,6 26:17 31:22 41:1

M

machine 47:18 55:18,20 68:4,22 76:25 78:4,6 85:11 87:24,25

machines 13:24,25 33:17 34:6 36:20 38:18,22 40:10,11, 15 53:2,6, 13 55:13 56:11 58:2,18 60:4 65:4, 15,18 66:11 67:13,17 70:4 71:11 72:10 74:11 75:4,17,18 76:4 77:11,13, 22 78:13, 17,20,22, 25 79:17 80:8,9,18, 22 81:2,6, 13,18,20 82:11,15, 17,22 83:1,4 84:2,4,11, 22 85:24 86:4 88:4 89:25 90:8,13, 18,20 91:2 93:13

made 19:13 23:20 31:4 33:9 47:6 50:15 51:22 67:6 81:10,11 83:22

mail 68:11

main 76:22

maintain 17:9

maintained 71:22

maintenance 53:4,11

major 11:25 43:4

make 6:6 12:20 17:10 20:2 21:18

26:10 29:4
31:17
35:2,4
41:17,18
50:22
52:24
53:18
63:22 68:6
70:13
71:24
73:10
82:20
93:22

makes 46:9
61:4 72:4
74:20

making 11:4
20:2 38:13
51:18
69:11
82:11

malfunction
47:18
55:20
78:15

malfunctioned
49:18
55:15

malfunctioning
13:22
56:11

malfunctions
55:17
76:25

malicious
13:24

malware
71:18
73:13

mandates
68:25

manipulation
13:24

margin 13:18
18:13 44:1
45:20
46:24
48:13
50:24 57:2
58:15,18
59:8

Marilyn 8:13

Marks 8:13

material
61:13

math 11:25
43:4 44:17
46:11
60:18,20
61:6

mathematical
43:2 48:6

matter 11:9
41:2,25
44:17
53:9,11
58:20
61:10
74:15

matters 42:6
73:10

matured 11:1

ma'am 15:22
28:25

Mcdonough
89:11 93:8

Mead 17:17
18:4,20
23:15,17
30:10 48:8
51:20
59:25
87:10

means 21:25
22:13
26:2,4
68:24 71:6
74:11
88:18,22
91:10

meant 21:10
22:20
23:24
26:13

mechanical
66:18

meet 20:25

meeting
77:15

memory
78:13,20
87:17
90:22,24
91:1

mentioned
14:18
49:13
77:25
90:13

mere 60:9

merits 25:17
52:1,2

methods
74:18

Miko 45:8,
10

mind 25:25
46:20
76:13
94:10

mine 83:11

minute 35:20

miscast
53:6,13

misconduct
31:2 50:4,
11 51:18
57:18 58:2

miscounted
45:20

missed 17:20

misspelled
87:9

misspoke
73:20

mistake 50:18 51:1 88:10

mistakes 78:8 87:2 92:2

mix 82:22

mode 56:24

modify 32:11

moment 62:6

months 72:22

moot 94:13

mooted 29:2

morning 8:18,22 9:2,10 11:4,6 13:1 29:20 76:11,13 81:11

motion 13:13 14:13 15:24 20:18 28:22 29:8,11,24 34:2,20 37:20 38:24 39:20 41:1,6 42:4 46:11 47:11 48:10 52:4 55:6 57:11 59:10 61:22 62:13,15 64:6 68:6 69:22 73:24,25 76:20,22 77:13,20 79:24 80:4,15 81:25 83:22 84:13,15 94:11

motions 9:15 12:25 13:4,6,13 29:2 31:8 37:22 38:1,4 41:10 47:10 48:11 54:18 63:1,11 74:1

move 14:22 59:2 94:4, 18

moved 77:1

moves 20:11

moving 20:20

multiple 14:11 27:11

municipal 17:1 32:13

Murray 31:9

N

nail 67:4

named 32:20

nation 71:18

national 70:18 71:4,6,11, 15 73:6 75:8

nature 50:1 71:22

necessarily 11:15 22:6 87:20

needed 32:18 45:13

nefarious 43:8,20

negative 45:6

negligent 43:20

neutral 65:8 67:4,6

nice 35:4

nominated 30:18

nomination 16:4,6

non-discriminatory 64:22

non-party 36:11

nondiscriminatory 67:6

note 53:20

noted 27:2 34:2 65:1

notes 51:20 53:8

notice 32:11 41:24 42:1,2,6 46:1,13 59:4 82:4 94:20

November 13:15 71:13 76:22 77:1 78:20,22

number 13:20 16:10 18:13 19:17 31:4,17 38:25 40:6 43:6,9,13, 15 44:6, 13,22 45:1,13,18 46:2,8

47:4 48:8 55:13 58:11,24 59:17 60:1,6 66:20 77:22 79:2 81:18 84:2

numbered 82:15

numbers 22:10 41:22 42:9,10 44:15 49:15 50:6 60:18 61:2,11 62:2 83:1 84:6 94:25

O

objection 6:11 11:9, 17 94:22, 25

objections 94:24

objects 80:4

occasionally 92:18

occurred 18:8,17 28:4 34:2 36:4

occurring 82:18

OCGA21-2-366 39:4

OCGA212250 22:1

OCGA212520 15:2 16:1

OCGA2143 22:13

oddly 86:22

offer 40:4 56:22

offered 56:22

office 11:15 16:6 19:25 30:22 42:10 82:20

officer 16:9 19:13,17 27:13,15

officers 27:11

official 24:22 25:11 42:9

omits 19:4

one-off 47:22

online 92:2

open 72:24 73:1,2

opening 49:2

operating 53:2 58:2

opinion 53:22

opportunity 12:6 54:18

oppose 84:13

opposed 6:6 18:11

opposing 64:11 83:15

optical 39:11

option 23:1 69:2

order 6:20, 22 7:22 18:13 33:6 40:9,20 41:15 48:2 59:2 62:17,18 77:20 89:22 92:13

ordered 40:8 50:4

orderly 78:2

original 33:2 83:8

originals 61:25

other's 69:25

outcome 43:2 46:9 60:10 81:22 83:20

outlined 42:22

over-votes 66:18

overwrite 78:20

owned 82:24

owns 82:22 83:1

P

p.m. 6:2

pack 62:11

pacts 69:11

pages 37:15 68:6 77:18,20

paper 40:11, 15 44:9, 11,22 56:20 66:15 67:8 68:4,15 71:22

74:18 87:8

papers 24:9

paragraph
33:15
47:18
63:22
65:11,18
67:22

paragraphs
75:10,18

pardon 33:11
88:20 91:4

part 11:18
53:1 60:15
89:10

partially
82:24

parties
14:20 30:8
31:11,18,
22 32:2,6
33:1,4,6
37:24 40:1
51:20

partner
14:18

party 9:24
10:2
12:13,17
17:15,24,
25 18:1
28:22
29:4,15,17
30:1,2
36:17
38:15
39:13

past 14:22,
24 20:20

pattern
55:17
86:22

Peenya 73:4

pending 11:8
12:4 13:2

people 7:20
42:11 44:6
57:20
68:11
75:22
91:24

percentage
45:10,11

percentages
32:25

perfect
66:15 74:9

period 55:11

person 16:4
30:18
42:15
68:4,11

perspective
82:13

persuaded
54:13

persuasive
53:20
54:2,17
56:15

pertain
14:15

petition
9:20 13:15
20:15
27:15,20
29:11
32:4,22
45:2 46:6,
13,25
47:2,8,10,
15,22
48:15
52:8,15
56:6 58:22
59:6
60:10,20
61:8,10
76:11
93:11

petitioner
44:2 45:2
84:1

petitioner's
66:2 83:20

petitioners
34:4 38:17
43:6 65:18
67:22

petitioners'
46:4 66:13

petitioner's
43:20
44:18
45:24
46:13

phonetic
80:13
81:13

phrase 22:11
57:4

physically
50:20

pick 31:15

picked 9:18

piece 36:18
68:4

pieces 48:25

Pinpoint 7:6

place 14:1
43:22
48:13
60:11 61:2
77:15

places 43:1
92:9

placing
60:15

plain 17:2
62:2 63:22

plaintiff
8:13 23:2
50:2 52:2,
17 53:10,
11 76:18

plaintiff's
58:22 64:2

80:13

plaintiffs 8:13 10:2, 24 12:6 13:15,20 14:10,13 17:11,15, 24 18:22 19:13 20:2,10 21:17 30:8 31:4 32:1, 13,18 33:9 34:9 35:15 38:24 39:18 42:22,25 43:6 47:6, 10,15,20 48:2,13 50:9 54:20 60:2,9 65:18 67:22 70:2 77:10 80:2,6,11, 15,20 81:6,10, 17,22 83:6,22

plaintiffs' 81:1

plaintiff's 10:18 14:2 20:24 21:24 27:4,6,10 94:18

plan 88:2

plausible 56:22

plead 48:2 60:1,10 61:11

pleading 21:1 59:15

pleadings 41:11 42:24 61:24 80:11

pled 60:4 61:11 81:20

plenary 36:25

point 18:2 27:4 29:2, 4 37:22 38:13 40:25 41:4 42:24 46:4 63:18 69:18 84:18

pointe 32:6 69:11

pointed 20:13 48:24 80:20

points 35:15 59:13

policy 49:24

politically 67:6

poll 36:20 65:20

position 11:13,15 12:4 24:6 25:22 31:25 33:4,11,18 39:15 40:6,13 52:11 79:24 84:18

possibility 54:20 74:13

possibly 87:13

postpone 93:22

postponed 93:25

posture 46:22 51:4 70:6

potential 75:6

power 36:25 37:1

practical 82:13

precedent 18:2 26:10 48:2 52:11

precedential 18:2 27:4

precinct 77:24 79:2 85:9

precincts 80:8,10 81:20,24 84:20,22 85:13

precise 18:2

present 19:6 55:18 93:18,20

presented 51:11

preserve 31:9

presidential 66:17

pressure 94:2

presumed 19:1 46:22 60:13 74:22

pretend 9:11

prevail

18:13

prevailed
52:2

prevent
75:13

previously
41:20

price 66:22

primary
16:6,9
31:1

privilege
7:18

probate
16:20
19:10

problem
30:11
32:11
50:1,15
52:22
56:17,18
85:11

problems
31:6
33:17,18
49:11
52:20
66:22
72:18
88:6,8
91:2

procedural
49:24 51:4
70:6

procedure
32:13
68:17

procedures
32:4 42:15

proceeded
51:15

proceeding
47:11

proceedings
6:13

process
61:13
63:15,18
64:9,15
65:11 66:6
67:18 70:4
72:10 74:4
75:1,13

production
72:11
76:24

proffer
26:18
81:11 82:4

profoundly
54:4

program
90:22

programmed
13:24 91:8

programming
47:22
78:13
79:17
86:9,18
87:2,18
88:4,9
91:2,4,6

programs
36:20

prohibits
14:8 67:9
74:22

projecting
54:25

prone 66:18

proof 50:6
53:9,11
54:6,8,10
85:17

proper 9:24
11:17
17:13,15
20:9
21:11,18,
20,22
22:22
23:9,10
24:1 26:25
28:22 29:4
30:1,2
32:2 36:1,
6 37:4
38:15
39:17 40:1
48:9,11

properly
45:20 47:2
57:20
58:1,2,6,
25 75:25

propose 77:4

proposed
62:17,18
77:20

proposing
83:6

proposition
39:6

propositions
19:22

pros 65:6
67:2

prospective
70:6

prospectively
70:2

protection
63:15,18
64:9 66:4
67:18 68:6
69:1,10,13
70:4,22
74:11 76:2

protocol
78:1 79:10

prove 37:4,6
53:10,11,
15 54:11,
18,22 55:6
56:1,8

84:11

proven 54:13,22 55:4

provide 34:4 64:11

provided 26:18 34:9 83:13

providing 38:17

provision 59:18 64:22

provisions 40:17

public 16:9 19:13,17, 25 27:13 41:25 46:13 59:2 72:24 73:11 86:20 88:17,24 89:2,4,6 93:17,18

pull 90:15, 17

purposefully 19:2

purposes 19:8

Pursuant 15:2

put 28:22 46:25 59:15 67:4 94:10

Q

quantifiable 50:2,18 51:1 52:22

quarrel 50:18

question 16:11 19:18,20 29:1 30:4 36:6 61:17,18 64:6,15,18 73:18 80:20

questions 30:2 32:24 36:9 46:17 79:22

quick 59:13

quickly 59:13 79:10 87:6 94:18

quote 24:22 25:11 50:10 51:11 52:13 53:4 69:4

quoting 64:20

R

race 13:17, 22 18:6 26:4 27:18 41:20 43:9,15, 17,18,24 44:6,9,15, 20,24 45:6,11 46:10 56:2 57:2 58:15 59:24 86:22

races 13:22 14:4 43:11,15 46:15

rain 72:20 73:6

raise 10:13 23:18 84:6

raised 10:11 27:25 39:4,6,18 44:22 74:6 84:6

raising 12:10

ramifications 90:9

random 80:18

rate 57:1

rational 64:18,20 65:2,9,15 66:9,10

reaching 85:2

read 26:17, 18 35:20 51:6 63:4

reading 23:22

ready 83:2

real 70:13

reality 74:13

realize 15:8,13, 15,18

reason 15:6 18:15 22:18 36:15 59:9 65:2 68:22 84:11

reasonable 14:2 54:20 64:22 65:1,6 67:2,6 77:15 79:20

reasons 29:13 50:9 57:10

recall 18:22 27:10,13, 18 28:18

recap 92:20

received 45:11

recent 73:15

recently 72:9

record 6:13 7:8,11,17 8:6,11 23:15 34:22 35:4 46:13 50:11 70:22 72:6 75:17 87:15 88:17,24 89:2,4,6 93:17,18

records 41:25 59:2

recount 68:17 74:13

reference 81:15

referenced 30:10 47:9 82:6

references 33:15

referencing 86:4

referendum 19:22 58:4

referred 27:11 86:20

referring 19:9 64:15

reflected 49:18

reflective 26:2

regard 12:13,17

registration 16:22 17:8,9 19:10 65:20

Registrations 8:25 16:24 19:11 29:22 34:4 38:15 39:1,4,11, 15

regulation 68:9

regulatory 64:25

rejected 31:2 47:2

relate 33:2

related 31:2

relating 79:15

relation 32:18

relevant 9:18 20:20 25:20 26:18 32:15 37:22

relied 71:10

relief 10:25 26:11 30:9 33:6 34:4 37:2 38:17 53:15 57:11 63:22 70:6

relies 57:22

relieve 52:17

relook 76:11

rely 17:15 60:9 70:8

relying 47:13

remedied 63:25

remedy 40:4 42:13 66:20

remember 42:11 47:13

repeatedly 14:6 57:22 80:20

report 25:20 71:4,6

report's 9:18

reported 24:20 25:15

reports 66:1

repository 36:18

represent 8:24 18:18

representative 42:13

representatives 65:6 67:2

request 6:6 80:6 83:20 84:22,25

requesting 85:2

require 22:20 39:1

required 30:9 36:15

42:22
43:18
49:22 50:2
59:15,20
69:1 77:6

requirement
37:2

requirements
21:1 49:22

requires
34:8 38:22

reserved
42:13

residual
46:8

resolved
74:2

resources
88:2

respect
21:24
37:13
39:17
84:18

respond
36:24 49:4

responded
79:22

response
14:13 34:9
38:24
47:11 68:6
76:2

responses
27:1

responsible
70:18

rest 63:17

restrictions
64:22

result
42:18,25
43:8,13
46:22
47:4,6
48:15
60:11,22
61:2 80:22
81:2,6

resulted
53:13
58:22 84:8

resulting
53:6

results
13:15 14:2
36:20,22
46:1,15
55:15
60:15
73:15
92:1,4
94:22

retired
62:11

return 53:18

returned
60:13

reversed
52:13

reversing
53:6

review 51:9
64:17
65:10
84:22

reviewed
70:15

revisited
73:25

rightly 27:2

rights 64:24
72:1,11
73:9

rise 64:4

risk- 75:11

risks 66:13,
25 67:17

Robbins 25:4

Robyn 8:8

routine
29:11

rule 6:6,
13,20
18:25 19:6
23:2 42:4
45:22
76:10 77:2

ruled 40:1
76:11

rules 7:6,8,
20 41:4
61:22
63:20 94:2

ruling 53:6,
25 83:22

run 33:4
79:6 91:17

runoff 16:6
17:20
78:18

Russia 73:4

Russo 6:18
8:18 11:6
13:13
15:22 16:1
20:15,18,
22,24
21:2,6,9,
13 23:15
24:2 27:2
28:25
29:2,10,13
30:9 38:2,
6 41:8,11,
13 59:11
62:13,15
63:6 76:18
80:1 82:4
88:15,18,
22 89:22
90:6,10,
11,17
91:10,18,
22 92:2,6,
10,11,17

94:15,24

S

sacrificed 74:18
sample 80:18
scanning 39:11
scenario 30:6 31:15 46:24 59:6
scheduled 93:20
School 89:13 93:9
Sciences 71:4,8,11 73:6 75:8
scientist 70:22
scientists 71:4,6 79:18
Scoggins 51:25
scope 81:18
screen 56:4
scrutiny 64:18
seal 82:15
seals 82:15
Secretary 8:18,20 11:6,13,15 13:4,13 15:4,6,20 16:11,13 17:4,6,10, 13,22,25 19:20 20:8 21:11,17, 20,22 22:13,15, 22 23:1, 22,25 24:4,22 25:6,11, 13,15 26:4,8,24 27:6,11,15 28:1,15,20 29:6,25 35:17 36:11,17, 20,22 40:18 42:9 43:15,24 46:1 63:6 69:17 72:22 73:10 80:2,4 81:10 82:4,20 86:17
section 6:20 19:2 28:9, 17,18,20 61:25
sections 14:11
secure 71:10
security 7:4,24 70:18 71:15 75:9 83:2,4
seek 16:6 34:4 63:22 77:4
seeking 12:15,18 37:2 38:18 70:6 79:15 84:1 85:18
seeks 84:22
Select 70:20
Senate 70:20
send 62:10 76:11
sense 11:4 12:20 89:18 90:4 93:22
sensitive 81:4 82:15
separate 36:9 37:9 42:2,4 72:18
separately 21:2 62:18
September 71:4,11
serial 77:22 79:2 82:25
served 39:20,22
servers 85:22 93:13,15
service 39:20
set 15:13 52:17 79:6,10 91:24
sets 68:6
setting 26:10 42:11
severely 65:22
sheet 38:11
Sheffield 17:17 18:4,20 23:15,17 51:20
Shelley 66:11
She's 46:10
short 27:13 44:1,17 45:13,15

46:2 59:8

shot 35:15

show 18:11 40:6 42:25 45:18 50:2 51:22 58:15 59:20 60:1 80:20 83:24 89:4 92:1 94:20

showing 48:25 53:4

shown 25:15 55:15

shows 57:2

side 33:10

sign 7:22 77:4

signaling 50:13

signed 7:2, 4,25 27:15

significantly 47:25

similar 10:10 27:17,20 52:9

similarly 50:6 53:4 67:25

Simple 91:9

simplistic 11:25

simply 12:13,17 41:22 43:4 45:9 46:11 55:20 56:24 64:10 68:18

single 22:25 57:6 70:18 71:2 85:13 86:22,25 87:2,24,25

sir 8:11,17 61:17 64:13

Sistrunk 19:4

sit 79:9

sitting 50:20 77:25

situated 67:25

situation 31:13 52:20 58:4

sleep 92:18

slews 71:2

slight 41:4

sofa 73:2

sole 52:11

sort 35:15 40:4 42:22 70:6 72:15 73:13 87:6

source 71:2 88:22

sovereign 21:20,22 23:18 26:8,24 63:18

space 77:15

speak 33:18, 20

speaking 18:20

speaks 76:2

specific 16:2 31:22 56:11 59:15,18 75:4 76:2 82:25 84:6 86:6

specifically 16:18 69:2 74:10 75:2 77:8 93:8

specificity 48:6 60:10

specifics 31:20

speculating 14:8

speculation 48:4 60:9

split 13:10

spot 12:2

stand 27:4

standard 64:17 65:11

standing 14:22

start 32:2 53:20

starters 80:6

state 8:18, 20 11:6,13 13:18 15:4,6,20 16:13,15 17:4,6,8, 10,13,22 18:1 19:20 20:8 21:11,17, 22 22:13, 15,22 23:1,22,25 24:4,22 25:6,11, 13,17 26:4,6,8, 25 27:8, 11,15,17

28:1,15,22 29:6 30:1 31:6 34:6, 8 35:17 36:11,13, 17 38:22 46:1 47:2, 17 48:2,17 52:4,8,15 55:15 57:11 63:6 64:8,22 66:4 67:18 68:9,22,25 70:18 72:20,22 73:11 80:2,4 81:6,11 82:4,24 86:17 87:15 92:4

state's 13:4,13 40:18 42:10 53:20 64:24 66:4 69:17 82:20

state-based 69:8

state-imposed 76:6

stated 14:6 20:13 27:6 41:20 47:15 58:20 80:15

statement 49:2

statements 75:15

states 27:11 28:10 71:18

statewide 13:22 17:9,11 18:4 22:13,20, 25 23:2,15 24:1 26:4 27:6,18 28:1 30:11 33:22 43:10 49:20 55:20 56:13 85:10 94:22

State's 11:15 43:15,25

statistically 80:17

status 37:15,20

statute 9:17 19:2 21:25 23:24 26:6 32:11,17 33:4

statutes 37:1

statutory 19:1,6

stay 10:15 12:8,22 13:2 36:6 49:9

step 11:20, 24 14:20 21:4,6,10 59:1

steps 40:13

Stiles 50:4 51:2 57:22

stipulate 94:18

stood 25:17

stop 40:25

strict 64:17

strictly 20:4

strikes 57:4

struck 57:4, 9

stuck 9:8

stuff 37:17, 18 61:18 63:4

stumbling 91:17

styling 25:13

subject 20:25 47:2 91:20

subjectively 49:22

submit 48:15 62:13,15 92:13

submitted 16:11 19:18

subsection 16:2,20 19:15

subsident 74:4

substantial 36:15 60:22

substantially 70:1 72:10

substantiate 59:20

sued 24:22, 25 25:11 30:8 31:6 32:10 37:4

sufficient 47:4,6 48:13

60:11 61:8
64:8,25

suggest
54:15
73:15

suggested
92:20

suggesting
54:22

suggestion
85:15

suit 37:2

summary
73:25

superintendent
16:8,13,18
17:10,13
18:22 19:8
20:6 22:1,
2,13,15,18
26:2,4
27:6 28:2,
11 30:6,25
57:24

superintendents 16:8,15
18:6 20:10
28:15

supervised
89:18

supervisor
24:24
25:13

support
33:11
52:11

suppose
80:13

supposed
62:11
91:13

supposedly
9:18 89:18

Supreme 14:6
23:20
32:6,11
45:18
48:2,4,9
49:25
50:4,13
51:13,17,
25 52:13
53:4 56:13
58:8 64:11
65:1,24
66:2 67:13

sustained
57:13

system 38:20
39:8 48:25
49:11,18
53:20,22
54:4 55:22
56:11 61:4
65:20
66:15
70:11,13,
15,20
71:9,17,
18,20,24
72:2,20,22
73:1,4
74:9,20
84:6 86:18
87:4 89:20

systemic
55:20 61:4
85:10 88:4

systems
39:13
55:10 65:6
66:20 67:8
69:6
74:11,18
78:15 79:6

T

table 7:11
8:13

tabulates
36:20
86:18

Taggart
52:6,18
53:4,9
56:13

tailored
85:2

taking 42:1,
2 58:13
94:20

talk 43:4
75:2

talked 74:6

talking
40:11,25
42:8 74:10
75:22

talks 74:20,
24 75:18

targeted
88:4

technology
90:2

tediously
59:4

telephone
51:18

Temple 89:15

term 22:18

terms 40:4
41:25
43:20 44:4
45:4,11,24
47:13 48:6
49:22
53:18
55:18
58:17
63:18
82:11 85:2

test 43:2
64:20
65:2,9
66:9

testified
80:13
81:11

testimony 55:18 82:6

That'll 12:24

that's 9:11, 13,18,20 10:6,22 18:17 19:4 23:11 26:22 28:15 29:13 32:11 33:6 34:6 37:9 39:2,8 40:4,8,11, 17,20 42:18,22 43:13,25 44:25 45:17,22 49:1 75:2 77:1 89:8 90:18 91:22

there's 20:1,6 22:18 27:13 32:4,18 37:2 44:6 78:4 88:9 89:24 90:13 93:15

they're 10:10 31:18 38:18 40:2 47:11 75:20 90:1 91:11

they've 31:6 75:20

thing 25:15 42:8 44:2 46:20 47:13 70:24 90:18

things 7:18 36:22 43:6 54:18,24 61:15 74:24 77:6 86:20 94:8

third-party 14:4 27:17

Thomas 58:8

thought 21:9

thousands 33:15,18

threat 71:24

threshold 14:15 48:1

thumb 45:22

thwart 66:20

till 88:11

time 11:20, 24 12:2 23:22 32:18 33:11 39:20 67:13 77:15 84:24 88:1,2 92:6,18

times 10:13 27:11

Title 15:2 18:24

today 7:15 9:15,18 11:22 26:18 37:6 40:13 82:2

told 70:18 85:25

Tolson 19:4

total 43:8, 17,24,25 48:25 58:13

totally 56:18 58:4 71:18

totals 56:20

Totenberg 11:8 53:22 54:15 81:13

Totenberg's 55:9

touches 21:18

touchscreen 66:20 67:8 69:6 74:10,18, 20

tower 79:8

traditional 66:15 74:18

trail 82:15

transcript 82:4

transient 72:6

transposing 22:10

treated 67:24 68:15

trial 40:6 50:10,13 51:6,11, 13,15,17, 24 52:2,6, 10 53:6 54:15 69:2,4 93:20

troublesome 78:25

true 49:13 55:9,10
trusted 71:1
truth 45:9
type 40:10 52:20 59:15,20, 22 74:15 75:11
types 66:22 75:17
Tyson 8:22 29:20,22 46:18,20 69:17,22 82:9 83:9, 13 88:13

U

ultimately 83:22
unanimous 71:6
under-vote 55:20 56:18 57:9
under-votes 66:18
underlying 63:20 78:20
understand 9:13,17,22 15:24 21:9 26:20 28:9 40:2 61:20 79:18 87:6 91:13
understanding 67:17 86:11
understands 46:11
understood 90:11
undertaken 42:13 73:13
undervote 49:11,18
unexplainable 55:17
unexplained 49:18 56:18
unfettered 80:2 81:4
unfortunate 74:13
unnecessary 81:8
unrefuted 39:18
unrelated 80:10
unreliability 56:10
unreliable 55:11 70:25 72:1
unverified 47:24
uphill 62:2
urge 52:9
utilizing 69:6

V

valid 31:13 46:22 60:6 80:17,22
variegation 20:4
variety 31:11
verifying 74:13
versus 8:8 44:10 45:11
victory 13:18 18:13 44:1 45:20 53:15 58:15,18 59:8
views 76:4
Vince 80:1
Vincent 8:18 11:6
violate 72:10
violated 69:10
violating 71:25
violation 42:15 70:4 73:6,8
violations 42:17
virtually 21:18 66:8
virus 73:2
vote 18:11 19:22 34:13 39:1,2 44:4,6 56:20 63:15,22 65:13,22 66:6 68:2, 4,11,20,24 69:6 72:2, 6 74:13
voted 44:6 45:4 67:24 68:1
voter 17:8,9 34:11 65:20 66:20

68:20 72:4

voter-verified 74:18

voters 19:22 34:13 64:24 68:11,15 69:2,8 72:1,11 74:11 76:4

votes 13:20 14:4 16:10 17:20 19:17 31:2 41:22 43:9,13, 15,17,22, 24,25 44:1,24 45:1,2,10, 11,13,15, 18 46:2,8 47:1,4,17 50:2 53:6, 13 55:22 57:20 58:1,6,13, 18,20,24, 25 59:6,18 71:1 72:2, 4 80:20, 22,25 81:2 83:25 84:1 86:22 87:18

voting 13:24,25 38:20 39:6,13 44:10 45:4 50:1 53:6, 13 55:17, 22 60:4 66:20 68:1 69:6 74:11 80:4,8 81:6 82:13 85:11

vulnerabilities 75:6,9,10

vulnerability 66:22

vulnerable 54:4 73:8

W

waiting 60:13

waived 10:25 21:22 75:25

walked 59:4

wanted 38:10

watch 89:18, 25

ways 49:20

Weber 66:11, 15 74:8

WEDNESDAY 6:2

weeks 62:22

weigh 65:6 67:2

weighing 75:13

weighty 61:2

Wexler 64:20 70:8,9

we'll 7:15 11:20 13:8 36:13 92:2

we're 9:11, 15,20 12:17 13:13 33:2 37:2 46:20 92:20

we've 45:22 77:22 79:2 90:13

what's 42:22 78:8

whip 35:15

who's 8:11

wide 84:6 87:4

widespread 50:11,15 93:1

Willard 25:2

win 54:25 87:13

winner 45:20

wiped 45:20

witnesses 7:15

won 41:20

wonderful 49:2

won't 7:2

word 19:6 35:11 65:1

words 45:15 58:15 68:2 88:8

work 62:22 79:6 82:25 89:8 91:15

working 57:8

works 9:11 48:2

worry 62:1 63:11

worse 73:10

worst 32:24

worst-case 59:6

Worth 31:11 89:13

wouldn't 15:13

wrap 19:18 20:6

write-in
44:22

wrong 17:20,
22 57:2,4
87:11,18
91:8

Y

y'all 22:4

year 25:4
62:11
81:17

years 24:2
72:15

yesterday
24:6 42:20

you'll 75:10

you're 26:20
30:22 32:6
34:13
41:24
45:15
88:10

you've 29:8
40:20
45:18
93:13

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDLS
Date: 1/18/2019 5:09 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY

STATE OF GEORGIA

Rhonda Martin, Smythe Duval, Jeanne Dufort	*	
	*	CIVIL ACTION
Plaintiffs,	*	FILE NO.: 2018CV313418
vs.	*	
	*	
Geoff Duncan.	*	
Fulton County Board of Registration	*	
and Elections,	*	
Gwinnett county Board of Registration	*	
And Elections	*	
	*	
Defendants.	*	
	*	

ORDER DISMISSING PLAINTIFFS' PETITION

The above and forgoing case having come on regularly to be heard with all parties represented by counsel and,

Plaintiffs having made Motions for Jury trial, see Fuller v. Thomas 284 Ga. 397 (2008), Continuance and to Compel Discovery and the Court having denied the same, and,

Plaintiffs having presented evidence and rested their case, and,

The Defendants having made a Motion for Involuntary Dismissal Pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 9-11-41(b),

It is hereby ordered as follows:

1.

O.C.G.A. § 9-11-41(b) states in material part –

"After the plaintiff, in an action tried by the court without a jury, has completed the presentation of his evidence, the defendant, without waiving his right to offer evidence in the event the motion is not granted, may move for dismissal on the ground that upon the fasts and the law the plaintiff has shown no right to relief."

2.

In this case the Plaintiffs contest the result of the election for Lieutenant Governor held November 6th, 2018, and request that the result be set aside and that a new election be held on paper ballots.

3.

The Plaintiffs have not shown any evidence that illegal votes have been received or legal votes rejected at the polls sufficient to change or place in doubt the result in the race for Lieutenant Governor held on November 6, 2018. O.C.G.A. §21-2-522.

4.

The Plaintiffs did presented evidence that the DRE system of voting used in Georgia has many problems and irregularities and is regarded as an outdated and inaccurate system of conducting a vote.

5.

In the particular race for Lieutenant Governor at issue here, the Plaintiffs showed that there were five instances of problems with voting at two precincts, that, of the 8 voting machines at the Winterville precinct in Clark County Georgia, 7 went decidedly Democratic and 1 went decidedly Republican and that there was a 4.5% undervote in the Lieutenant Governor race. There was no evidence of misconduct, fraud, or irregularity by any primary or election official or officials.

6.

"It is presumed that election results are valid, and the party contesting the Election has the burden of showing an irregularity or illegality sufficient to change place in doubt the result of the election" Hunt v. Crawford 270 GA.7 (1998). That case goes on to say "the setting aside of an election in which the people have chosen their representative is a drastic remedy that should not be undertaken lightly, but, instead should be reserved for cases in which the person challenging the election has clearly established a violation of election procedures and has demonstrated that the violation has placed the result of the election in doubt."

7.

In the Lieutenant Governor's race Geoff Duncan received 1,951,738 votes

and Sarah Amico received 1,828,566 votes, a difference of 123,172 votes. In the Lieutenant Governor's race there were 4.5% fewer voters than in the Governor's race. The numbers also show that Sarah Amico, a Democrat, also received more votes than those cast for the Democrat in the State-wide races for Commissioner of Agriculture, Commissioner of Insurance, State School Superintendent, and Commissioner of Labor. These number do not show any irregularity or illegality in themselves.

8.

"Where the focus is on improperly cast ballots or irregularities in the conduct of the election, the number of illegal of irregular ballots necessary to cast doubt on an election is derived by taking the difference between the total votes cast in the election and the race at issue, and adding the margin of victory in the race at issue" Fuller v. Thomas 284 Ga. 397 (2008)

9.

In the present case the most votes that the Plaintiff has shown that could be

in any way arguably considered irregular or illegal is approximately 32,000 votes. That assumes that all such votes would have been cast for Sarah Amico.

10.

Therefore, Plaintiffs Petition is hereby dismissed.

SO ORDERED this 18th day of January, **2019**

JUDGE ADELE P. GRUBBS
Senior Judge, State of Georgia

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE is attached.

Gmail

Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>

Plaintiffs' Demand for Jury Trial: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com> Fri, Jan 18, 2019 at 3:12 PM
To: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Cc: "Burwell, Kaye" <Kaye.Burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>, Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>, Laila Tehrani <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.com>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, Vincent Russo <VRusso@robbinsfirm.com>, Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "Perkins-Hooker, Patrise" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, "Ringer, Cheryl" <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, Bryan Tyson <bpt@sbllaw.net>

Attached please find the Order dismissing Plaintiff's Petition.

Adele Grubbs
Senior Judge
[Quoted text hidden]

20190118151003.pdf
88K

IN THE SUPREME COURT

STATE OF GEORGIA

CASE NO. _____

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL AND JEANNE DUFORT

Appellants,

v.

BRAD RAFFENSPERGER, Secretary of State, FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, and GEOFF DUNCAN

Appellee.

MOTION FOR EXPEDITED CONSIDERATION OF APPEAL OF ELECTION CONTEST

SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
CASE NUMBER 2018CV313418

BRUCE P. BROWN
Georgia Bar No. 064460
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com
Bruce P. Brown Law LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE
Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

I. STATEMENT OF JURISDICTION 1

II. PROCEDURAL SUMMARY 2

III. DISCUSSION 4

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

Cases

McCreary v. Martin, 261 Ga. 668 (2007)……1, 4.
Payne v. Chatman, 267 Ga. 873 (1997)……...1, 4
Plymn v. Glynn County, 276 Ga. 426 (2003)……..……..4

Statutes

Official Code of Georgia Annotated

§ 5-6-34……2
§ 21-2-503……4
§ 21-2-526……3
§ 21-2-527(d)……..……2
§ 21-2-528……..…1, 2.

GA001524

MOTION FOR EXPEDITED CONSIDERATION

Appellants Coalition for Good Governance, Rhonda J. Martin, Smythe DuVal and Jeanne Dufort file this Motion for Expedited Consideration. Specifically, Appellants move for the following briefing schedule:

Brief of Appellants:	January 28, 2019
Brief of Appellees:	February 4, 2019
Reply Brief of Appellants:	February 8, 2019

Appellants will also be filing a motion for oral argument.

This motion is being filed in this Court at this time because "litigants should make every effort to dispose of election disputes with dispatch." *Payne v. Chatman,* 267 Ga. 873, 876 (1997).

I. STATEMENT OF JURISDICTION

This Court has exclusive jurisdiction of this appeal pursuant to Article VI, Section 6, Paragraph II of the Georgia Constitution because this case is an election contest. This is an appeal from an order of Senior Judge Adele Grubbs dismissing the petition dated January 18, 2019 (attached hereto as Exhibit A).

This motion is filed prior to the filing of a notice of appeal in the Superior Court or the docketing of the case in the Supreme Court. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-528 provides: "The appellant may apply to the appellate court for a stay or supersedeas, and such court shall consider applications for stays or supersedeas in such cases without regard to whether any notice of appeal has been filed or the record

docketed in such cases." As the Court stated in *McCreary v. Martin,* 261 Ga. 668 (2007), "O.C.G.A. § 21-2-528 allows a request for extraordinary relief from this Court even prior to the filing of a notice of appeal or the docketing of the record in an appeal from a final determination in an election contest."

The Superior Court's Order is a "final judgment" for purposes of O.C.G.A. § 5-6-34 and an appealable "final determination" by the Superior Court pursuant O.C.G.A. § 21-2-528. The Superior Court's Order has not, however, been filed with the Superior Court clerk, nor has a document styled "final judgment" been entered. A notice of appeal will be filed with the Superior Court promptly, and in any event during the next few days.

II. PROCEDURAL SUMMARY

Appellants filed the Petition below contesting the Lieutenant Governor election because the extreme unexplained disparity in the voting pattern in the race compared to other statewide races, between votes cast on electronic voting machines and votes cast on paper ballots, the proven vulnerability of the electronic voting machines to innocent misprogramming, malfunction or malicious interference, and the numerous instances of voting system malfunctions in the November 2018 elections combined to render the election "so defective" "as to place in doubt the result" so as to require a new election under O.C.G.A. § 21-2-527(d).

The Petition was timely filed on November 23, 2018. On November 29, 2018, Appellants filed an Emergency Motion for Inspection of Electronic Equipment and Production of Documents. The Superior Court did not rule on the

November 29, 2018 Emergency Motion until Friday, January 11, 2019, three business days before the scheduled trial of the case, and generally denied Appellants discovery, save a small sample of electronic records chosen by the Superior Court. Appellants filed a Motion for Continuance on January 14, 2019, which the Superior Court denied on January 16, 2019. Meanwhile, Appellants, claiming that Appellees had refused to grant Appellants the opportunity to examine the sample of the electronic records that the Court had ordered, filed a Motion to Compel on January 16, 2019. The Superior Court denied the Motion to Compel on January 17, 2019, the first day of trial.

On January 15, 2019, Appellants filed a timely demand for a jury trial under O.C.G.A. § 21-2-526. The Superior Court denied the demand for jury trial on January 17, 2019. At the conclusion of Appellants' presentation of evidence, the Superior Court, on January 18, 2019, granted Appellees' Motion for Involuntary Dismissal.

Separately, as to other claims and parties, the Superior Court on January 9, 2019 granted Appellees' motions to dismiss Counts 2 and 3 of the Petition, which sought relief under the Fourteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution for violations of due process and equal protection. On January 11, 2019, the Superior Court granted the Secretary of State's motion to dismiss the election contest claim as to the Secretary of State, but denied the motion to dismiss the election contest claims as to the other defendants.

III. DISCUSSION

As this Court stated in *McCreary*, 281 Ga. at 670, "[s]tatutes providing for election contests are premised on the recognition that election-related appeals must be timely considered."[1] *See also Plymn v. Glynn County,* 276 Ga. 426, 427 (2003) ("swift resolution of election contests is vital for the smooth operation of government"). The proposed briefing schedule is reasonable and assures that this election contest will be addressed thoroughly, but with "dispatch." *Payne,* 267 Ga. at 876.

The Motion for Expedited Consideration, therefore, should be granted.

Respectfully submitted this 21st day of January, 2019.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Georgia Bar No. 064460
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com
Bruce P. Brown Law LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE
Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700

Counsel for Appellants

[1] The swearing-in of Mr. Duncan does not, however, moot the case. *See* O.C.G.A. § 21-2-503.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that I have this day served a copy of the foregoing Motion for Expedited Consideration upon all counsel of record by email addressed to:

Kaye Woodard Burwell
Office of the Fulton County Attorney
141 Pryor Street, NW
Suite 4038
Atlanta, Georgia 30303
Kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov

Counsel for Appellee Fulton County Board of Registrations and Elections

Bryan P. Tyson
Strickland Brockingham Lewis LLC
Midtown Proscenium Suite 2200
1170 Peachtree St. NE
Atlanta, Georgia 30309
bpt@sbllaw.net

Counsel for Appellee Gwinnett County Board of Registrations and Elections

Josh Belinfante
Robbins Ross Alloy Belinfante Littlefield LLC
500 Fourteenth St. NW
Atlanta, Georgia 30318
Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com

Counsel for Appellee Secretary of State

Edward H. Lindsey, Jr.
Dentons
303 Peachtree St. NE
Suite 5300
Atlanta, Georgia 30308
edward.lindsey@dentons.com

Counsel for Appellee Geoff Duncan

This 21st day of January, 2019.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Counsel for Appellants

EXHIBIT

A

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

Rhonda Martin, Smythe Duval, Jeanne Dufort	*	
	*	CIVIL ACTION
Plaintiffs,	*	FILE NO.: 2018CV313418
vs.	*	
	*	
Geoff Duncan.	*	
Fulton County Board of Registration and Elections,	*	
Gwinnett county Board of Registration And Elections	*	
	*	
Defendants.	*	
	*	

ORDER DISMISSING PLAINTIFFS' PETITION

The above and forgoing case having come on regularly to be heard with all parties represented by counsel and,

Plaintiffs having made Motions for Jury trial, see Fuller v. Thomas 284 Ga. 397 (2008), Continuance and to Compel Discovery and the Court having denied the same, and,

Plaintiffs having presented evidence and rested their case, and,

The Defendants having made a Motion for Involuntary Dismissal Pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 9-11-41(b),

It is hereby ordered as follows:

1.

O.C.G.A. § 9-11-41(b) states in material part –

"After the plaintiff, in an action tried by the court without a jury, has completed the presentation of his evidence, the defendant, without waiving his right to offer evidence in the event the motion is not granted, may move for dismissal on the ground that upon the fasts and the law the plaintiff has shown no right to relief."

2.

In this case the Plaintiffs contest the result of the election for Lieutenant Governor held November 6th, 2018, and request that the result be set aside and that a new election be held on paper ballots.

3.

The Plaintiffs have not shown any evidence that illegal votes have been received or legal votes rejected at the polls sufficient to change or place in doubt the result in the race for Lieutenant Governor held on November 6, 2018. O.C.G.A. §21-2-522.

4.

The Plaintiffs did presented evidence that the DRE system of voting used in Georgia has many problems and irregularities and is regarded as an outdated and inaccurate system of conducting a vote.

5.

In the particular race for Lieutenant Governor at issue here, the Plaintiffs showed that there were five instances of problems with voting at two precincts, that, of the 8 voting machines at the Winterville precinct in Clark County Georgia, 7 went decidedly Democratic and 1 went decidedly Republican and that there was a 4.5% undervote in the Lieutenant Governor race. There was no evidence of misconduct, fraud, or irregularity by any primary or election official or officials.

6.

"It is presumed that election results are valid, and the party contesting the Election has the burden of showing an irregularity or illegality sufficient to change place in doubt the result of the election" Hunt v. Crawford 270 GA.7 (1998). That case goes on to say "the setting aside of an election in which the people have chosen their representative is a drastic remedy that should not be undertaken lightly, but, instead should be reserved for cases in which the person challenging the election has clearly established a violation of election procedures and has demonstrated that the violation has placed the result of the election in doubt."

7.

In the Lieutenant Governor's race Geoff Duncan received 1,951,738 votes

and Sarah Amico received 1,828,566 votes, a difference of 123,172 votes. In the Lieutenant Governor's race there were 4.5% fewer voters than in the Governor's race. The numbers also show that Sarah Amico, a Democrat, also received more votes than those cast for the Democrat in the State-wide races for Commissioner of Agriculture, Commissioner of Insurance, State School Superintendent, and Commissioner of Labor. These number do not show any irregularity or illegality in themselves.

8.

"Where the focus is on improperly cast ballots or irregularities in the conduct of the election, the number of illegal of irregular ballots necessary to cast doubt on an election is derived by taking the difference between the total votes cast in the election and the race at issue, and adding the margin of victory in the race at issue" Fuller v. Thomas 284 Ga. 397 (2008)

9.

In the present case the most votes that the Plaintiff has shown that could be

in any way arguably considered irregular or illegal is approximately 32,000 votes. That assumes that all such votes would have been cast for Sarah Amico.

10.

Therefore, Plaintiffs Petition is hereby dismissed.

SO ORDERED this 18th day of January, **2019**



JUDGE ADELE P. GRUBBS
Senior Judge, State of Georgia

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE is attached.

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDDG
Date: 1/23/2019 12:28 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, Plaintiffs, v. ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al., Defendants.	Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO SUPPLEMENT THE RECORD

Plaintiffs move to supplement the record in this case with email communications between the Court and counsel, true and correct copies of which are attached hereto as Exhibit 1. A proposed order is attached hereto as Exhibit 2.

Respectfully submitted this 23rd day of January, 2019.

/s/ Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Georgia Bar No. 064460
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com
Bruce P. Brown Law LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE, Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700

Attorney for Plaintiffs

GA001536

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing via the Court's e-filing system to all counsel of record.

This 23rd day of January, 2019.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown

EXHIBIT

1

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV31348**

AFFIDAVIT OF BRUCE P. BROWN

Appeared before me, the undersigned officer duly authorized to administered oaths, Bruce P. Brown who, after being sworn, states as follows:

1. I represent the Plaintiffs in this action. I am qualified to give this affidavit, which is based on my personal knowledge.

2. Attached hereto are true and correct copies of emails between the Superior Court and counsel in this case:

A. Email from Judge Grubbs to Counsel dated December 7, 2018;

B. Email from Bruce P. Brown to Judge Grubbs dated January 10, 2019, with attached Plaintiffs' Proposed Order;

C. Email from Bruce P. Brown to Judge Grubbs dated January 11, 2019 (12:04 p.m.), with attached Plaintiffs' Brief in Support of Proposed Order Regarding Inspection of Certain Equipment dated January 11, 2019;

D. Email from Bruce P. Brown to Judge Grubbs dated January 11, 2019 (12:45 p.m.);

E. Email from Judge Grubbs to Counsel dated January 11, 2019 (1:29 p.m.);

F. Email from Bruce P. Brown to Judge Grubbs dated January 14, 2019 (11:05 p.m.);

G. Email from Bruce P. Brown to Judge Grubbs dated January 15, 2019 (6:18 p.m.);

H. Email from Bruce P. Brown to Judge Grubbs dated January 15, 2019 (5:23 p.m.);

I. Email from Bruce P. Brown to Judge Grubbs dated January 16, 2019 (2:06 p.m.);

J. Email from Bruce P. Brown to Judge Grubbs dated January 11, 2019 (9:30 a.m.).

Further Affiant sayeth not.

Bruce P. Brown

Sworn to and subscribed before me:
On this 23 day of January, 2019

Notary Public, State of Lorain
My Commission Expires: ~~My Commission Expires~~ February 16, 2020

SEAL

EXHIBIT

A

Subject: Re: Proposed Preservation Order; Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418 [IWOV-imanage.FID780744]

Date: Friday, December 7, 2018 at 11:31:36 AM Eastern Standard Time

From: Adele Grubbs

To: DWare@hallboothsmith.com

CC: Bruce Brown, richard.carothers@carmitch.com, sue@carmitch.com, brian.dempsey@carmitch.com, bpt@sbllaw.net, edward.lindsey@dentons.com, vernstes@dekalbcountyga.gov, Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov, Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov, bdbryan@dekalbcountyga.gov, chall@lmjc.net, Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com, Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com, Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com, ccorreia@law.ga.gov, RBritt@hallboothsmith.com, PCunningham@hallboothsmith.com, lkjohnson@dekalbcountyga.gov, vrusso@robbinsfirm.com

Attachments: image001.jpg, image001.jpg

Counsel,

The Plaintiff's Motion for expedited discovery will be heard on January 9th 2019 along with all the other Motions. If it is deemed necessary to move the trial date at that time the Court will consider it.

Adele Grubbs
Senior Judge

On Fri, Dec 7, 2018 at 10:40 AM David Ware <DWare@hallboothsmith.com> wrote:

> Judge Grubbs: good morning Your Honor.
>
> On behalf of the DeKalb County Board of Registration and Elections (DBRE), we just wanted to make the court aware that once served DBRE intends to move to dismiss the complaint as to it. Moreover, DeKalb County opposes the proffered "Emergency" Request for Inspection and believe it to lack merit. Once served, DBRE plans to file a response in opposition.
>
> Thank you for your time and consideration.
>
> R. David Ware
>
> Partner
>
> Hall Booth Smith, P.C., Attorneys at Law

191 Peachtree Street

Suite 2900

Atlanta, GA. 30303

dware@hallboothsmith.com

(404) 586-6619

(404) 402-0204 (cell)

www.hallboothsmith.com

Notice of Confidentiality:

This communication constitutes an electronic communication within the meaning of the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. Section 2510, and its disclosure is strictly limited to the recipient intended by the sender of this message. This communication, and any attachments thereto, contain confidential attorney-client privileged information and attorney work product. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, viewing, copying, distribution or use of any of the information contained in or attached to this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by replying to the message and deleting it from your computer. Thank you.

From: Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>
Sent: Friday, December 07, 2018 8:34 AM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; David Ware <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>; vernstes@dekalbcountyga.gov; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; bdbryan@dekalbcountyga.gov; chall@lmjc.net; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>; Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; Russell Britt <RBritt@hallboothsmith.com>; Pearson Cunningham <PCunningham@hallboothsmith.com>; Laura K. Johnson <lkjohnson@dekalbcountyga.gov>
Subject: Re: Proposed Preservation Order; Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418 [IWOV-imanage.FID780744]

Judge Grubbs:

If Plaintiffs' counsel would like to argue the discovery and preservation issues, we can be available today for a hearing. Fundamental problems with Plaintiffs' preservation and purported emergency discovery request were noted at the hearing. The runoff election has already occurred and special elections are forthcoming. More importantly, Defendant Crittenden has a pending Motion to Dismiss, which speaks to several threshold issues warranting dismissal of Plaintiffs' specious election contest. To date, Plaintiffs have not filed a response to our

motion, and instead continue requesting unnecessary discovery.

Defendants' Proposed Preservation Order makes clear that evidence relevant to the claims should be preserved, but it also takes a reasonable and practical approach to the preservation process. Please let us know how you would like us to proceed.

Kind regards,

Vincent Russo

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 7, 2018, at 7:56 AM, Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com> wrote:

Judge Grubbs,

Further to the issue of the proposed preservation orders, I have attached a document that compares Plaintiffs' Proposed Preservation Order and Defendants' Proposed Preservation Order. I note several things:

1. The parties agree on the description of the electronic and other evidence that is to be covered in the indented paragraphs 1, 2 and 3.

2. The long paragraphs added by the Defendants beneath the indented paragraphs concern the issue that I mentioned at the hearing Wednesday about how the continued use of the machines in election after election wipes the memory clean, according to our experts, and thus destroys potential evidence explaining why these machines have been malfunctioning. We therefore strongly object to an order that would give the State carte blanche to continue the destruction this evidence by overwriting the memory and changing the programming. Particularly inappropriate, Plaintiffs' believe, is the sentence added by Defendants stating: "Toward that end, this Order does not prohibit or otherwise limit the Defendants' use of any voting or election system equipment referenced herein, or alter any obligations of Defendants under Georgia law with respect to storage or preservation of election materials." This sentence renders the entire Preservation Order ineffective, and would allow the State to erase the evidence explaining the causes and extent of the systems' malfunctions.

   In Plaintiffs' view, the Court's instructions Wednesday at the hearing reasonably accommodates any legitimate concern that Defendants may have. The Court twice instructed the undersigned to draft the Order to say: "defendants shall preserve evidence as far as possible." That is what Plaintiffs' Proposed

Order provides.

3. However the preservation issue is resolved, Plaintiffs agree that it is imperative that discovery proceed immediately so that this evidence can be fully examined before there is any need (or temptation) to use this equipment (and wipe or overwrite the evidence) in upcoming elections.

Plaintiffs therefore request that the Court consider Plaintiffs' Emergency Motion for Inspection, filed on Thursday, November 29 (copy attached, with proposed order attached to it). Since defendants are not using the equipment now for elections, this is an ideal time to perform the inspections necessary to determine the causes of the system's malfunctioning. A proper inspection of the equipment may take some time, and the trial in January will be upon us quickly. Such an inspection will also be in the public interest and can be undertaken without prejudice to Defendants' opportunity to file and argue motions closer to trial.

Respectfully submitted,

Bruce Brown

Attorney for Plaintiffs

From: Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>
Date: Thursday, December 6, 2018 at 7:21 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, David Ware <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, "vernstes@dekalbcountyga.gov" <vernstes@dekalbcountyga.gov>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "bdbryan@dekalbcountyga.gov" <bdbryan@dekalbcountyga.gov>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>, Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>,

"ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, Russell Britt <RBritt@hallboothsmith.com>, Pearson Cunningham <PCunningham@hallboothsmith.com>, "Laura K. Johnson" <lkjohnson@dekalbcountyga.gov>
Subject: RE: Proposed Preservation Order; Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418 [IWOV-imanage.FID780744]

Judge Grubbs:

The attached Proposed Preservation Order is submitted on behalf of all Defendants, with the express permission of their counsel. Despite a good faith effort, the parties were unable to reach an agreement on reasonable terms for a joint Proposed Preservation Order. Accordingly, Defendants respectfully submit the attached Proposed Preservation Order for your consideration.

Kind regards,

Vincent Russo

Vincent R. Russo

Member
ROBBINS ♦ ROSS ♦ ALLOY ♦ BELINFANTE ♦ LITTLEFIELD LLC
500 14th Street, NW
Atlanta, GA 30318
404.856.3260 (Direct)
678.701.9381 (Main)
404.856.3250 (Fax)

www.robbinsfirm.com

From: Bruce Brown [mailto:bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com]
Sent: Thursday, December 06, 2018 6:06 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; David Ware <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>; vernstes@dekalbcountyga.gov; Patrise.Perkins-

Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; bdbryan@dekalbcountyga.gov; chall@lmjc.net; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>; Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; Russell Britt <RBritt@hallboothsmith.com>; Pearson Cunningham <PCunningham@hallboothsmith.com>; Laura K. Johnson <lkjohnson@dekalbcountyga.gov>
Subject: Proposed Preservation Order; Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418 [IWOV-imanage.FID780744]

Judge Grubbs:

I have attached Plaintiffs' Proposed Preservation Order. Defendants reviewed a prior draft and suggested significant changes; Plaintiffs agreed to some edits, but the parties were unfortunately unable to reach an agreement on the terms. Defendants will accordingly be submitting their own proposal to your Honor.

Respectfully submitted,

Bruce Brown

Attorney for Plaintiffs

<Comparison of Proposed Orders.docx>

<20181129 LtGov Final Stamped Rule 34 Motion with Exhibits (4).pdf>

EXHIBIT

B

Subject: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Date: Thursday, January 10, 2019 at 12:12:36 PM Eastern Standard Time

From: Bruce Brown

To: Adele Grubbs

CC: laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net, richard.carothers@carmitch.com, sue@carmitch.com, brian.dempsey@carmitch.com, bpt@sbllaw.net, Lindsey, Jr., Edward H., Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org, Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov, DWare@hallboothsmith.com, Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov, JOvercash@7Jad.com, csims@7Jad.com, chall@lmjc.net, Josh Belinfante, Vincent Russo, Brian Lake, ccorreia@law.ga.gov, kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov, Alexander Denton

Attachments: 20190110 Lt Gov Proposed Order On Motions.docx

Dear Judge Grubbs:
I have attached Plaintiffs' proposed order on the motions heard by Your Honor yesterday. I circulated a draft of this proposed order yesterday afternoon to defendants' counsel, and again this morning, and have not received a response as to what changes they would recommend to it. Given the expedited nature of these proceedings, I thought it best to go ahead and submit Plaintiffs' proposed order without further delay.
We did have a conference call with defendants' counsel on discovery yesterday evening, both with respect to the terms of the proposed order and logistics. The parties did **not** agree on language for the proposed order with respect to discovery, but we did make progress on logistics, and Fulton County is readying machines for inspection.
I note that Part B(2) of the attached, which address the discovery of the DRE machines, references the paragraphs of the complaint that allege specific instances of machine malfunctions, except for paragraphs 42 and 43, which concerned locations in DeKalb County.
Many thanks,
Bruce Brown
Attorney for Plaintiffs

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, et al., **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV313418**

[PROPOSED] ORDER ON PENDING MOTIONS

This case came before the Court for a non-evidentiary hearing on the following pending motions: (a) the motions to dismiss filed by each of the defendants: Defendant Robyn A Crittenden ("the Secretary), Defendant Geoff Duncan ("Duncan"), Defendant Fulton County Board of Registration and Elections ("Fulton County Board"), and Defendant Gwinnett County Board of Registration and Elections ("the Gwinnett Board") (collectively "the Motions to Dismiss"); (b) Plaintiffs' Emergency Motion for Inspection of Electronic Election Equipment and Production of Documents ("Plaintiffs' Motion for Inspection"); and (c) Plaintiffs'

Motion for Perservation of Specific Electronic Election Records in Gordon and Murray Counties. After considering the briefs and responses, and arguments of consel, the Court rules as follows:

A. Motions to Dismiss

The Motions to Dismiss raised several distinct issues, ruled upon below:

1. Secretary as Proper Defendant

The Secretary moved to dismiss the Secretary as a party to Count I of the Petition (the Election Contest Claim), arguing that it is not a proper "Defendant" as that term is used in the Election Statute, O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2). The Court agrees. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2) defines Defendant as "(C) the election superintendent or superintendents who conducted the contested primary or election." O.C.G.A. § 21-2-2(35) in term defines "superintendent" as follows:

> Either the judge of the probate court of a county or the county board of elections, the county board of elections and registration, the joint city-county board of election, or the joint city-county board of elections and registrations, if a county has such.

The Secretary does not fall within any of the definitions of "superintendent."

Plaintiffs argue, among other things, that the definition of "election superintendent" found in Chapter 4 of Title 21 should control. O.C.G.A. § 21-4-3(A) defines "election superintendent": "In the case of any elected state officers, the Secretary of State." This definition, however, is found in Chapter 4, not

Chapter 2, and this election contest concerns Chapter 2. The Court disagrees, and holds that the definition of "superintendent" in the election contest chapter controls and, since the Secretary does not fall within that definition, the Secretary is not a proper party defendant to Count I and should be dismissed from that Count.

2. Whether 159 Counties are Necessary Parties

Fulton County Board and Gwinnett County Board concede that they are proper defendants, but argue that the other 157 counties must be joined in the state-wide election contest. In support of this argument, Gwinnett County Board cites O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2)(C). That section, however, would allow other counties to be joined to this action, but does not require such joinder. In addition, O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(b) gives the Court "plenary power, throughout the area in which the contested primary or election was conducted, to make, issue, and enforce all necessary orders, rules, processes, and decrees for a full and proper understanding and final determination and enforcement of the decision of every such case." The joinder of the other 157 counties, therefore, is not necessary and the motions to this extent are denied.

3. Service of Process

In their briefs, Defendants argued that the Petition should be dismissed because service had not been perfected on the Defendants. Defendants now

concede that they have been properly served, and the motions to this extent are denied.

4. Coalition as Party to Count I

Defendants contend that Plaintiff Coalition for Good Governance is not a proper plaintiff to Count I, the election contest. Coalition does not disagree and has stipulated that it is not a party to Count I. Defendants' motion to this extent is granted as unopposed.

5. Failure to State a Claim

Defendants argue that the Petition fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. The Court disagrees. Defendants have failed to carry their burden of showing that Plaintiffs "would not be entitled to relief under any state of provable facts asserted" in the complaint or that Plaintiffs "could not possibly introduce evidence within the framework of the complaint sufficient to warrant a grant of the relief sought." *Scouten v. Amerisave Mortg. Corp.,* 283 Ga. 72, 73 (2008) (citations omitted). The motions for failure to state a claim, therefore, are denied.

B. Plaintiffs' Motion for Inspection

Plaintiffs' Motion for Inspection is denied. The Court, however, will allow the following inspections and discovery:

1. Plaintiffs are entitled to inspect the "GEMS" servers and GEMS databases or complete electronic copies thereof for the November 2018 elections that are maintained by the Gwinnett County Board and the Fulton County Board.

2. Plaintiffs are entitled to conduct a forensic inspection of the DRE machines, including the internal memories of those machines, that were used in the voting locations identified by Plaintiffs in the Petition, paragraphs 40 and 41, and paragraphs 44 – 48. Plaintiffs are ordered to conduct the inspection and copying so as to not in any way damage the DRE machines.

3. Defendants and Plaintiff shall enter into an appropriate protective order preserving the confidentiality of confidential information, if any, obtained in this discovery.

C. Plaintiffs' Motion Relating to Gordon and Murray Counties

This motion, which concerned the January 8, 2019 elections in Gordon and Murray, is denied as moot.

SO ORDERED this __ day of January, 2018.

_____
Honorable Adele P. Grubbs
Senior Judge, State of Georgia

Prepared by: /s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Counsel for Plaintiffs

EXHIBIT C

Subject: Re: Proposed Order: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Date: Friday, January 11, 2019 at 12:04:59 PM Eastern Standard Time

From: Bruce Brown

To: Adele Grubbs

CC: Vincent Russo, Alexander Denton, laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net, richard.carothers@carmitch.com, sue@carmitch.com, brian.dempsey@carmitch.com, bpt@sbllaw.net, Josh Belinfante, Lindsey, Jr., Edward H., Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org, Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov, DWare@hallboothsmith.com, Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov, JOvercash@7Jad.com, csims@7Jad.com, chall@lmjc.net, Brian Lake, ccorreia@law.ga.gov, kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov

Attachments: 20190111 Lt Gov Plaintiffs' Brief ISO propsed order.pdf, image001.jpg, image002.jpg

Judge,
Please see the attached brief.
Many thanks,
Bruce Brown

From: Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>
Date: Friday, January 11, 2019 at 12:01 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>, "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Cc: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>, "laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net" <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, "kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov" <kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: RE: Proposed Order: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs:

The inspection that Plaintiffs' are now seeking would not only damage the voting machines, but it would expose highly confidential information about Georgia's voting system that would leave it vulnerable to attack. The Georgia Court of Appeals has already stated that the GEMS database should not be released due to the sensitivity of the information on it. Smith v. DeKalb County, 288 Ga. App. 574 (2007) (copy attached). Conversely, the scope of inspection proposed by Defendants would give Plaintiffs access to information sufficient to determine whether the irregularities alleged in the Petition existed while continuing to protect Georgia's voting system. Attached is an unsigned affidavit of Michael Barnes, Director of the Center for Election Systems in the Secretary of State's Office, further discussing these issues.

In regard to the GEMS inspection, Mr. Barnes states that the GEMS reports that Defendants have proposed to generate from GEMS show how races in the database are related to political districts within the county and how those races are then related to the ballots generated. Accordingly, if there was a programming failure (i.e., failure to relate a race to a given district which results in it not be present on the ballot), it would appear in those reports according to Mr. Barnes.

Additionally, the ballot images report generated from GEMS shows which voter selections were collected by race within each ballot recorded by the DRE. The image report contains all races and associated candidates contained on the ballot, the candidate who was selected, and which candidates were not selected. Defendants' proposed reports from GEMS also show which individual races were present on each ballot style configured by GEMS, which also goes to the alleged irregularity regarding missing races and candidates. Finally, the Secretary of State's Office can perform a HASH verification of the GEMS program installed on the county computer, which would confirm whether the program has been altered. As indicated in Mr. Barnes' affidavit, if there were irregularities or failures with respect to programming, vote recordation, the appearance of candidates on a ballot in a given election, they would appear in these reports.

Next, in regard to the DRE machines, the inspection that we have proposed relates directly to the DRE irregularities alleged by Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs have alleged that candidate names were missing from ballots on DRE machines (Pet. at ¶ 41), issues with calibration of DRE touchscreens (Pet. at ¶ 41, 47), cancelled ballots on machines (Pet. at ¶ 44), and discrepancies in the vote counts on precinct poll tapes (Pet. at ¶ 46). As indicated in the attached affidavit of Michael Barnes, inspecting the DRE machines in post-election mode, using post-election memory cards that were used in the voting locations identified by Plaintiffs in the Petition would allow Plaintiffs to discover whether any of these alleged irregularities actually existed, to the extent there were any.

In contrast, the DRE inspection proposed by Plaintiffs would require removing the memory chip from the motherboard on each machine, which damages the machines and prevents elections officials from using them ever again. Like the GEMS access proposed by Plaintiffs, Mr. Barnes has also stated that Defendants' proposed DRE inspection would expose sensitive information that would give a roadmap for hacking the system. The Ballot Station program on the DRE only opens files that reside in a specifically named folder and then only opens files in that folder that have specific file extensions. According to Mr. Barnes' affidavit, the data is copied directly from a memory card, someone would know how files are organized and named on the memory card. If a computer researcher wanted to "hack" the system, that person would want to know what file folders and file extensions Ballot Station recognizes.

Defendants' proposed inspection for the DRE machines includes the post-election memory cards. According to Mr. Barnes, if one has the memory card used in an Election, one has a copy of what is on the machine's Internal Memory already

Defendants' have proposed a scope of discovery that is in line with our understanding of your intent at the hearing on Wednesday. Plaintiffs proposed inspection would not only damage voting machines, but it would also provide a roadmap or schematic of the system that someone would need in order to create virus or show how one could be created. Plaintiffs' proposed Order would sanction a fishing expedition that is beyond the factual allegations in the Petition, so we urge the Court to adopt Defendants' Proposed Order.

Kind regards,

Vincent Russo

From: Adele Grubbs [mailto:adele.grubbs@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, January 11, 2019 7:09 AM
To: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Cc: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>; laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov
Subject: Re: Proposed Order: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Please have ALL arguments about this order to me by Noon today so I can enter the order today.
Thank you.

Adele Grubbs
Senior Judge

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 11, 2019, at 12:48 AM, Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com> wrote:

Judge Grubbs:

Further to my email below on Defendants' proposed order (attached to Mr. Denton's 4:59 PM email), Plaintiffs have no objections to the changes Defendants propose to Parts A, but would urge the Court to adopt Plaintiffs' Part B, for the reasons set forth in the Affidavit of Plaintiffs' expert Matt Bernhard, attached. I have attached to this email a Proposed Order that does just this: takes the Defendants' version of Part A, but Plaintiffs' prior version of Part B, the discovery provisions for the specific records and equipment included in the Court's ruling at the January 9, 2017 hearing. Plaintiffs have included in Part B the sentence proposed by Defendants – "Destructive testing shall not be permitted." (I note that no notary public was available tonight to notarize Mr. Bernhard's affidavit (he is out-of-state), but we will submit a notarized affidavit when it becomes available).

Mr. Bernhard explains in his affidavit that the inspection proposed by Defendants would be virtually useless, and how that the inspection that

Plaintiffs proposes is the only way to discover system malfunctions and mis-programming.

Mr. Bernhard also references a Georgia law relating to the internal memory that is right on point. Georgia State Election Board Rule 183-1-12-.02 (6)(d) states:

> *The election results, ballot styles, ballot images, and other information for each election stored in the internal memory storage of each DRE unit shall be maintained for a minimum of one month following each election after which time the results may be erased provided that there are no election contests pending concerning such election.*

This Rule requires the counties to preserve the internal memory of the DRE machines for the specific purposes of having that memory available for inspection and review in an election contest. Fulton County's position that this information should not be made available in this election contest, therefore, is inconsistent with this rule and, for the additional reasons explained in Mr. Bernhard's affidavit, completely without merit.

As to the GEMS database, Mr. Bernhard explains that this can easily be produced on a CD to Plaintiffs' experts; it is not proprietary information and is crucially necessary for the examination.

Many thanks,

Bruce Brown

From: "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Date: Thursday, January 10, 2019 at 6:07 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>, "laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net" <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>,

"JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7Jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7Jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, "kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov" <kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: Re: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Dear Judge Grubbs:

With respect to Defendants' proposed order, relating specifically to discovery and inspection, Plaintiffs will be submitting later this evening a statement from one of our experts (a computer scientist specializing in voting systems) which will explain how the limitations that defendants would impose will render the inspection useless for the purposes of detecting the causes of any malfunction, or misprogramming, either in the GEMS database or DRE machines, and is not a forensic examination that may detect programming errors (which is what we understand the Court instructed). We urge the Court to withhold considering the proposed order until the Court has the opportunity to consider that submission.

Respectfully,

Bruce Brown

From: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>
Date: Thursday, January 10, 2019 at 4:59 PM
To: Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>, Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: "laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net" <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7Jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7Jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, "kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov" <kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: RE: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs,

The Defendants have collaborated to prepare the attached proposed order for your consideration. It tracks the one Plaintiffs' counsel provided earlier today except as to those specific portions where they are not in agreement.

Thank you.

Alexander Denton |*404.856.3276* | ROBBINS

From: Josh Belinfante
Sent: Thursday, January 10, 2019 12:59 PM
To: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>; Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chail@lmjc.net; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>
Subject: RE: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs:

Good afternoon. We have substantial agreement with portions of the proposed order but are drafting an alternative for your consideration. We are working to submit it as soon as possible today.

Thank you and best regards,
JB

From: Bruce Brown [mailto:bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 10, 2019 12:13 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chail@lmjc.net; Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>
Subject: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Dear Judge Grubbs:
I have attached Plaintiffs' proposed order on the motions heard by Your Honor yesterday. I circulated a draft of this proposed order yesterday afternoon to defendants' counsel, and again this morning, and have not received a response as to what changes they would recommend to it. Given the expedited nature of these proceedings, I thought it best to go ahead and submit Plaintiffs' proposed order without further delay.
We did have a conference call with defendants' counsel on discovery yesterday evening, both with respect to the terms of the proposed order and logistics. The parties did **not** agree on language for the proposed order with respect to discovery, but we did make progress on

logistics, and Fulton County is readying machines for inspection.
I note that Part B(2) of the attached, which address the discovery of the DRE machines, references the paragraphs of the complaint that allege specific instances of machine malfunctions, except for paragraphs 42 and 43, which concerned locations in DeKalb County.
Many thanks,
Bruce Brown
Attorney for Plaintiffs

<20190111 Plaintiffs' Proposed Order.docx>

<20180111 Lt Gov Bernhard Affidavit Final Signed.pdf>

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, Plaintiffs, v. ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al., Defendants.	Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

PLAINTIFFS' BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED ORDER REGARDING INSPECTION OF CERTAIN EQUIPMENT

This brief addresses the two key differences between the parties' proposed orders on inspection and discovery of electronic election equipment: the GEMS database and the DRE machines.

A. GEMS Database

Defendants contend that discovery of the GEMS database should be limited to the output of the databases that is publicly available under the Open Records Act. As explained by Plaintiffs' expert Matt Bernhard in his affidavit, this information is virtually useless in determining the causes, quantification, and impact of programming errors or other machine malfunctions.

Plaintiffs' proposed order, on the other hand, would simply require Fulton and Gwinnett Counties to provide the GEMS database, an unencrypted and non-

confidential electronic database that can be reviewed efficiently when an expert is provided with an electronic copy. Such copies can be created in a matter of minutes by the Defendant counties. The GEMS database contains routine election data and no proprietary software or trade secrets. The county election data is simply loaded into a generic Microsoft Access database to create the GEMS database, which contains no proprietary source code or highly sensitive information. Unlike the print-outs that the Defendants would produce, the GEMS database may hold important clues as to where mis-programming, viruses, malfunctions or hacks occurred, and the number of machines and votes potentially affected.

The GEMS database would be the first, most efficient, and easiest place an expert would look for the cause and to quantify the impact of the irregularities. Both the County and the Secretary of State keep copies of the pre-election and post-election GEMS databases. Either office could produce and transmit an electronic copy within minutes, as Fulton County was asked to do on Wednesday, January 9.

As noted in the Affidavit of Matthew Bernhard, the GEMS database is merely a commercially available Microsoft database product (MSAccess), and can be read by anyone with a subscription to the Microsoft program. It contains election data, and no proprietary or sensitive information. All information in the database should be public record under Georgia's open record laws. There is no

reasonable way to compromise the security of future elections by reviewing the GEMS database files. To illustrate the non-proprietary nature of the information in a GEMS database, Plaintiffs will promptly provide the Court and parties with a link to the GEMS database from Marin County, California and illustrative screenshots of example contents, in a supplemental exhibit not available at the time of this filing.

Defendants may contend that the GEMS database contains proprietary, sensitive or confidential information. This is simply not the case, as illustrated by the decision of other states' to makes their GEMS' databases a public record. But even if there were some truth to Defendants' assertions, that concern is addressed by the execution of an appropriate confidentiality order. Defendants cannot avoid liability simply by claiming that evidence is confidential – not in an election contest and not in any other case.

B. DRE Machines

There are two basic disagreements between the parties concerning the DRE machines: the scope of what may be examined on each DRE, and which DREs may be examined.

1. Scope of Investigation

As to the scope, Defendants would limit the "inspection" to a running of the machines in "post-election" mode, again, a virtually useless exercise that is unlikely to yield any meaningful information. Plaintiffs instead propose what was

discussed at the January 9, 2019 hearing: a normal forensic examination that would include review of the internal memory of the machines.

As to the internal memory of the DRE machines, Georgia's Election Code Rule contemplates that the internal memory of the DRE machines would be an available record for review by parties in an election contest involving DRE machines. Georgia State Election Board Rule 183-1-12-.02 (6)(d) provides:

> *The election results, ballot styles, ballot images, and other information for each election* ***stored in the internal memory storage of each DRE unit*** *shall be maintained for a minimum of one month following each election after which time the results may be erased provided that there are no election contests pending concerning such election.*

As Mr. Bernhard explains in his affidavit, inspection of the internal memory is vitally necessary to determine the causes and impact of any programming errors. The internal memory includes the programming and operating information, both of which must be reviewed for malfunction, viruses, tampering or mis-programming.

2. DRE Machines to Inspect

Plaintiffs' proposed order is based on its understanding of what the Court ruled at the hearing. At the hearing, the Court referenced the specific location that were identified in the Petition, noting specifically that the locations in DeKalb County were not included. This is why Plaintiffs have referenced the non-DeKalb locations identified in the Petition, which are

paragraphs 40 and 41, and paragraphs 44 – 48, which include Henry County, Fulton County and Worthy County.

Note on Current Status

Just four business days before trial, neither the Defendants nor the Secretary of State (who owns the equipment and has copies of the GEMS databases) have not made any records or equipment available for inspection, and have not given Plaintiffs any indication of when this will be done. This is despite repeated requests from Plaintiffs for this information. Plaintiffs assume Defendants are acting in good faith, but nevertheless the effect of their actions is to "run out the clock" and prevent any meaningful investigation of the equipment prior to trial.

Plaintiffs have been diligent in prosecuting this case and seeking this discovery. Plaintiffs' motion for this inspection was filed six weeks ago, on November 29, 2018, and Plaintiffs have been doing everything possible to expedite this process, including obtaining relevant documents through a cumbersome and expensive process of public records requests to attempt to build a database of election records for quick reference when analyzing records that cannot be reasonably obtained except through the discovery process.

Plaintiffs recognize the impossibility of conducting examinations and preparing meaningful expert's reports for the Court prior to the scheduled trial on January 17, 2019. The election contest statute gives this Court plenary power over discovery and scheduling so that the Court can gain a "full and proper

understanding" of the case. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(b). Although time is of the essence in an election contest case, the election contest statute makes it clear that sufficient time should be taken to fully explore the facts and issues involved. The statute gives the Court the power to fix multiple hearing dates, to issue decrees, to issue subpoenas, and to compel and take testimony. Election contest cases are unusual is some respects, of course, but the election contest statute repeatedly states that the case is supposed to proceed "according to the course of practice in other civil cases," and conducted "in like manner and to the same extent as in other civil cases litigated before such court," all for the purpose of allowing the Court to gain a "full and proper understanding" of the matter. *Id.*

A proper forensic examination of this electronic equipment is absolutely essential so that the Court can gain an understanding of the causes and extent of malfunctions that plagued the November 2018 election. This is not a fishing expedition: Plaintiffs have already obtained hard evidence and eye-witness accounts of machine malfunctions and irregularities from all corners of the state, as well as apparent tabulation errors, and have presented and opinions from two leading experts who have concluded that the only plausible explanation for the aberrant election result pattern is system malfunction. Deliberate and exacting expert review of electronic records stored in the Defendant's equipment and files are essential for this Court's understanding of the impact of the malfunctions on the election results.

Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court order Defendants to immediately produce the specific electronic records and machines as contemplated in the Court's order of January 9, 2019. Undertaking this effort immediately may provide an established path for additional discovery, including certain paper records, such as poll tapes, that will be required and promptly requested by Plaintiffs.

Respectfully submitted this 11th day of January, 2019.

/s/ Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Georgia Bar No. 064460
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com
Bruce P. Brown Law LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE, Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700

Attorney for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing via the Court's e-filing system to the attorneys of record who have made appearances.

This 11th day of January, 2019.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown

EXHIBIT

D

Subject: Appointment of Special Master and Continuance: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Date: Friday, January 11, 2019 at 12:45:36 PM Eastern Standard Time

From: Bruce Brown

To: Adele Grubbs

CC: Vincent Russo, Alexander Denton, laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net, richard.carothers@carmitch.com, sue@carmitch.com, brian.dempsey@carmitch.com, bpt@sbllaw.net, Josh Belinfante, Lindsey, Jr., Edward H., Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org, Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov, DWare@hallboothsmith.com, Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov, JOvercash@7Jad.com, csims@7Jad.com, chall@lmjc.net, Brian Lake, ccorreia@law.ga.gov, kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov

Judge Grubbs:

In light of the technical issues involved in the discovery and inspection, and the time constraints involved, Plaintiffs anticipate filing this afternoon a Motion for the Appointment of a Special Master under Uniform Superior Court Rule 46(A)(1)(C) and for a continuance of the trial. Before doing so, however, Plaintiffs will speak with counsel for Defendants to see if such a motion may be filed jointly, or whether Defendants would agree to all or part of such relief.

Many thanks,

Bruce Brown

EXHIBIT E

Subject: Re: Proposed Order: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Date: Friday, January 11, 2019 at 1:29:39 PM Eastern Standard Time

From: Adele Grubbs

To: Vincent Russo

CC: Bruce Brown, Alexander Denton, laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net, richard.carothers@carmitch.com, sue@carmitch.com, brian.dempsey@carmitch.com, bpt@sbllaw.net, Josh Belinfante, Lindsey, Jr., Edward H., Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org, Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov, DWare@hallboothsmith.com, Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov, JOvercash@7Jad.com, csims@7Jad.com, chall@lmjc.net, Brian Lake, ccorreia@law.ga.gov, kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov

Attachments: image003.jpg, 20190111132600.pdf

Counsel,

Attached is the Order entered in this case. There will b no continuance or Special Master. This is an election contest only case that must be expedited.

If there are issue as the Plaintiffs conduct of discovery I will take them up by e mail or conference call if necessary.

Adele Grubbs
Senior Judge

On Fri, Jan 11, 2019 at 1:01 PM Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com> wrote:

> Judge Grubbs,
>
> Please note that the affidavit of Michael Barnes was signed and notarized. I had a typo in my email below referring to it as unsigned.
>
> Apologies for any confusion.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Vincent
>
> Sent from my iPhone
>
> On Jan 11, 2019, at 12:00 PM, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com> wrote:
>
> Judge Grubbs:
>
> The inspection that Plaintiffs' are now seeking would not only damage the voting machines, but it would expose highly confidential information about Georgia's voting system that would leave it vulnerable to attack. The Georgia Court of Appeals has already stated that the GEMS database should not be released due to the sensitivity of the information on it. Smith v. DeKalb County, 288 Ga. App. 574 (2007) (copy attached). Conversely, the scope of inspection proposed by Defendants would give Plaintiffs access to information sufficient to determine whether the irregularities alleged in the Petition existed while continuing to protect Georgia's voting system. Attached is an unsigned affidavit of Michael Barnes, Director of the Center for Election Systems in the Secretary of State's Office, further discussing these issues.

In regard to the GEMS inspection, Mr. Barnes states that the GEMS reports that Defendants have proposed to generate from GEMS show how races in the database are related to political districts within the county and how those races are then related to the ballots generated. Accordingly, if there was a programming failure (i.e., failure to relate a race to a given district which results in it not be present on the ballot), it would appear in those reports according to Mr. Barnes.

Additionally, the ballot images report generated from GEMS shows which voter selections were collected by race within each ballot recorded by the DRE. The image report contains all races and associated candidates contained on the ballot, the candidate who was selected, and which candidates were not selected. Defendants' proposed reports from GEMS also show which individual races were present on each ballot style configured by GEMS, which also goes to the alleged irregularity regarding missing races and candidates. Finally, the Secretary of State's Office can perform a HASH verification of the GEMS program installed on the county computer, which would confirm whether the program has been altered. As indicated in Mr. Barnes' affidavit, if there were irregularities or failures with respect to programming, vote recordation, the appearance of candidates on a ballot in a given election, they would appear in these reports.

Next, in regard to the DRE machines, the inspection that we have proposed relates directly to the DRE irregularities alleged by Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs have alleged that candidate names were missing from ballots on DRE machines (Pet. at ¶ 41), issues with calibration of DRE touchscreens (Pet. at ¶ 41, 47), cancelled ballots on machines (Pet. at ¶ 44), and discrepancies in the vote counts on precinct poll tapes (Pet. at ¶ 46). As indicated in the attached affidavit of Michael Barnes, inspecting the DRE machines in post-election mode, using post-election memory cards that were used in the voting locations identified by Plaintiffs in the Petition would allow Plaintiffs to discover whether any of these alleged irregularities actually existed, to the extent there were any.

In contrast, the DRE inspection proposed by Plaintiffs would require removing the memory chip from the motherboard on each machine, which damages the machines and prevents elections officials from using them ever again. Like the GEMS access proposed by Plaintiffs, Mr. Barnes has also stated that Defendants' proposed DRE inspection would expose sensitive information that would give a roadmap for hacking the system. The Ballot Station program on the DRE only opens files that reside in a specifically named folder and then only opens files in that folder that have specific file extensions. According to Mr. Barnes' affidavit, the data is copied directly from a memory card, someone would know how files are organized and named on the memory card. If a computer researcher wanted to "hack" the system, that person would want to know what file folders and file extensions Ballot Station recognizes.

Defendants' proposed inspection for the DRE machines includes the post-election memory cards. According to Mr. Barnes, if one has the memory card used in an Election, one has a copy of what is on the machine's Internal Memory already

Defendants' have proposed a scope of discovery that is in line with our understanding of your intent at the hearing on Wednesday. Plaintiffs proposed inspection would not only damage voting machines, but it would also provide a roadmap or schematic of the system that someone would need in order to create virus or show how one could be created. Plaintiffs' proposed Order would sanction a fishing expedition that is beyond the factual allegations in the Petition, so we urge the Court to adopt Defendants' Proposed Order.

Kind regards,

Vincent Russo

Vincent R. Russo |*404.856.3260* | <image002.jpg>

From: Adele Grubbs [mailto:adele.grubbs@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, January 11, 2019 7:09 AM
To: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Cc: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>; laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov
Subject: Re: Proposed Order: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Please have ALL arguments about this order to me by Noon today so I can enter the order today.

Thank you.

Adele Grubbs

Senior Judge

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 11, 2019, at 12:48 AM, Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com> wrote:

Judge Grubbs:

Further to my email below on Defendants' proposed order (attached to

Mr. Denton's 4:59 PM email), Plaintiffs have no objections to the changes Defendants propose to Parts A, but would urge the Court to adopt Plaintiffs' Part B, for the reasons set forth in the Affidavit of Plaintiffs' expert Matt Bernhard, attached. I have attached to this email a Proposed Order that does just this: takes the Defendants' version of Part A, but Plaintiffs' prior version of Part B, the discovery provisions for the specific records and equipment included in the Court's ruling at the January 9, 2017 hearing. Plaintiffs have included in Part B the sentence proposed by Defendants – "Destructive testing shall not be permitted." (I note that no notary public was available tonight to notarize Mr. Bernhard's affidavit (he is out-of-state), but we will submit a notarized affidavit when it becomes available).

Mr. Bernhard explains in his affidavit that the inspection proposed by Defendants would be virtually useless, and how that the inspection that Plaintiffs proposes is the only way to discover system malfunctions and mis-programming.

Mr. Bernhard also references a Georgia law relating to the internal memory that is right on point. Georgia State Election Board Rule 183-1-12-.02 (6)(d) states:

> *The election results, ballot styles, ballot images, and other information for each election stored in the internal memory storage of each DRE unit shall be maintained for a minimum of one month following each election after which time the results may be erased provided that there are no election contests pending concerning such election.*

This Rule requires the counties to preserve the internal memory of the DRE machines for the specific purposes of having that memory available for inspection and review in an election contest. Fulton County's position that this information should not be made available in this election contest, therefore, is inconsistent with this rule and, for the additional reasons explained in Mr. Bernhard's affidavit, completely without merit.

As to the GEMS database, Mr. Bernhard explains that this can easily be produced on a CD to Plaintiffs' experts; it is not proprietary information and is crucially necessary for the examination.

Many thanks,

Bruce Brown

From: "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Date: Thursday, January 10, 2019 at 6:07 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>, "laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net" <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7Jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7Jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, "kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov" <kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: Re: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Dear Judge Grubbs:

With respect to Defendants' proposed order, relating specifically to discovery and inspection, Plaintiffs will be submitting later this evening a statement from one of our experts (a computer scientist specializing in voting systems) which will explain how the limitations that defendants would impose will render the inspection useless for the purposes of detecting the causes of any

malfunction, or misprogramming, either in the GEMS database or DRE machines, and is not a forensic examination that may detect programming errors (which is what we understand the Court instructed). We urge the Court to withhold considering the proposed order until the Court has the opportunity to consider that submission.

Respectfully,

Bruce Brown

From: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>
Date: Thursday, January 10, 2019 at 4:59 PM
To: Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>, Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: "laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net" <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7Jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7Jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, "kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov" <kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: RE: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs,

The Defendants have collaborated to prepare the attached proposed order for your consideration. It tracks the one Plaintiffs' counsel provided earlier today except as to those specific portions where they are not in agreement.

Thank you.

Alexander Denton |*404.856.3276* | ROBBINS

From: Josh Belinfante
Sent: Thursday, January 10, 2019 12:59 PM
To: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>; Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>
Subject: RE: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs:

Good afternoon. We have substantial agreement with portions of the proposed order but are drafting an alternative for your consideration. We are working to submit it as soon as possible today.

Thank you and best regards,

JB

From: Bruce Brown [mailto:bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 10, 2019 12:13 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>
Subject: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Dear Judge Grubbs:

I have attached Plaintiffs' proposed order on the motions heard by Your Honor yesterday. I circulated a draft of this proposed order yesterday afternoon to defendants' counsel, and again this morning, and have not received a response as to what changes they would recommend to it. Given the

expedited nature of these proceedings, I thought it best to go ahead and submit Plaintiffs' proposed order without further delay.

We did have a conference call with defendants' counsel on discovery yesterday evening, both with respect to the terms of the proposed order and logistics. The parties did **not** agree on language for the proposed order with respect to discovery, but we did make progress on logistics, and Fulton County is readying machines for inspection.

I note that Part B(2) of the attached, which address the discovery of the DRE machines, references the paragraphs of the complaint that allege specific instances of machine malfunctions, except for paragraphs 42 and 43, which concerned locations in DeKalb County.

Many thanks,

Bruce Brown

Attorney for Plaintiffs

<20190111 Plaintiffs' Proposed Order.docx>

<20180111 Lt Gov Bernhard Affidavit Final Signed.pdf>

<Smith v. DeKalb County_ 288 Ga. App. 574.pdf>
<Michael Barnes Affidavit re discovery protocol pdf.pdf>

EXHIBIT

F

From:	Bruce Brown
To:	Adele Grubbs
Cc:	Alexander Denton; laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; hpt@sbllaw.net; Josh Belinfante; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H.; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Vincent Russo; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@ZJad.com; csims@ZJad.com; chall@lmic.net; Brian Lake; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov
Subject:	Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418
Date:	Monday, January 14, 2019 11:05:18 PM
Attachments:	20190114 Lt Gov Plaintiffs' Motion for Continuance Final with Exhibits A and B.pdf 20190114 Lt Gov Proposed Order Granting Motion for Continuance Final.pdf

Judge Grubbs:

I have attached a Motion for Continuance that I e-filed this evening, and a proposed order. If the attachments do not transmit, the filings may also be accessed via this DropBox link: https://www.dropbox.com/sh/60rnzdp55n2ui6h/AADyKzh4VXGX8VDx86VBkdR-a?dl=0

Many thanks for your consideration of this Motion.

Respectfully submitted,

Bruce Brown
Counsel for Plaintiffs

EXHIBIT G

From:	Bruce Brown
To:	Adele Grubbs
Cc:	Alexander Denton; laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H.; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Vincent Russo; Josh Belinfante; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Brian Lake; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov
Subject:	Re: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418
Date:	Tuesday, January 15, 2019 6:18:17 PM
Attachments:	20190115 Lt Gov Plaintiffs' Reply in Support of Motion for Continuance Final.pdf

Judge Grubbs,
Please find attached a Reply Brief in Support of Motion for Continuance. I will be e-filing it shortly.
Many thanks,
Bruce Brown

From: "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Date: Tuesday, January 15, 2019 at 5:23 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>, "laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net" <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, Vincent Russo <VRusso@robbinsfirm.com>, Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, "kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov" <kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: Re: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs:

I will be filing a Reply to the Briefs filed by non-party Secretary of State and Defendant Duncan before 6 PM. As these briefs (particularly the Secretary's) contains materially incorrect statements of fact, Plaintiffs urge you to withhold ruling on the Motion until you receive our Reply.
Many thanks,
Bruce Brown

From: Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>

Date: Tuesday, January 15, 2019 at 4:54 PM
To: "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>, Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>, "laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net" <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, "kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov" <kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: RE: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs:

Please find the Secretary's response to the Motion for Continuance.

Best regards,
JB

From: Bruce Brown [mailto:bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com]
Sent: Monday, January 14, 2019 11:05 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>; laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov
Subject: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs:

I have attached a Motion for Continuance that I e-filed this evening, and a proposed order. If the attachments do not transmit, the filings may also be accessed via this DropBox link:

https://www.dropbox.com/sh/60rnzdo55n2ui6h/AADvKzh4VXGX8VDx86VBkdR-a?dl=0

Many thanks for your consideration of this Motion.

Respectfully submitted,

Bruce Brown
Counsel for Plaintiffs

EXHIBIT

H

From: Bruce Brown
To: Adele Grubbs
Cc: Alexander Denton; laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H.; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Vincent Russo; Josh Belinfante; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Brian Lake; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov
Subject: Re: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418
Date: Tuesday, January 15, 2019 5:23:34 PM

Judge Grubbs:

I will be filing a Reply to the Briefs filed by non-party Secretary of State and Defendant Duncan before 6 PM. As these briefs (particularly the Secretary's) contains materially incorrect statements of fact, Plaintiffs urge you to withhold ruling on the Motion until you receive our Reply.
Many thanks,
Bruce Brown

From: Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>
Date: Tuesday, January 15, 2019 at 4:54 PM
To: "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>, Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>, "laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net" <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, "kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov" <kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: RE: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs:

Please find the Secretary's response to the Motion for Continuance.

Best regards,
JB

From: Bruce Brown [mailto:bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com]
Sent: Monday, January 14, 2019 11:05 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>; laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov
Subject: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs:

I have attached a Motion for Continuance that I e-filed this evening, and a proposed order. If the attachments do not transmit, the filings may also be accessed via this DropBox link: https://www.dropbox.com/sh/60rnzdp55n2ui6h/AADyKzh4VXGX8VDx86VBkdR-a?dl=0

Many thanks for your consideration of this Motion.

Respectfully submitted,

Bruce Brown
Counsel for Plaintiffs

EXHIBIT I

From:	Bruce Brown
To:	Adele Grubbs
Cc:	Burwell, Kaye; Alexander Denton; Laila Tehrani; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H.; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Vincent Russo; Josh Belinfante; Perkins-Hooker, Patrise; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Ringer, Cheryl; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmic.net; Brian Lake; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; Bryan Tyson
Subject:	Plaintiffs" Demand for Jury Trial: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418
Date:	Wednesday, January 16, 2019 2:06:07 PM
Attachments:	20190116 Lt Gov Plaintiffs" Jury Demand.pdf

Judge,

We are filing today a demand for trial by jury pursuant to O.C.G.A. 21-2-526, copy attached.

Respectfully,

Bruce Brown

EXHIBIT

J

Subject: Re: Proposed Order: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Date: Friday, January 11, 2019 at 9:30:22 AM Eastern Standard Time

From: Bruce Brown

To: Adele Grubbs

CC: Alexander Denton, laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net, richard.carothers@carmitch.com, sue@carmitch.com, brian.dempsey@carmitch.com, bpt@sbllaw.net, Josh Belinfante, Lindsey, Jr., Edward H., Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org, Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov, DWare@hallboothsmith.com, Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov, JOvercash@7Jad.com, csims@7Jad.com, chall@lmjc.net, Vincent Russo, Brian Lake, ccorreia@law.ga.gov, kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov

Attachments: image001.jpg

Judge,
You may also be able to access the two files using this link:
https://www.dropbox.com/sh/7moph297xw9gdy8/AAA6L0CXAHvN_6WHvjcbnl3Oa?dl=0.
Thanks,
Bruce Brown

From: "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Date: Friday, January 11, 2019 at 9:20 AM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>, "laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net" <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, "kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov" <kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: Re: Proposed Order: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge,
I am sorry but do not know what the technical issue is. The two attachments appear on the "Sent" version of my email to you late last night, early this morning. I am attaching them again, and please let me know if you did not receive them. (In addition, if any counsel do not receive the attachments, please let me know).
Many thanks and very sorry for the inconvenience.
Bruce Brown

From: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Date: Friday, January 11, 2019 at 9:04 AM

To: "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Cc: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>, "laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net" <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, "kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov" <kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: Re: Proposed Order: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Nor do I have the new proposed order of the Plaintiffs.There are no attachments to today's e mail from the Plaintiffs.

Adele Grubbs

On Fri, Jan 11, 2019 at 9:01 AM Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com> wrote:

> I am in receipt of various emails. However I have not received an affidavit of Mr. Bernhart.
>
> Adele Grubbs
>
> On Fri, Jan 11, 2019 at 7:09 AM Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> > Please have ALL arguments about this order to me by Noon today so I can enter the order today. Thank you.
> >
> > Adele Grubbs
> > Senior Judge
> >
> > Sent from my iPhone
> >
> > On Jan 11, 2019, at 12:48 AM, Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com> wrote:

Judge Grubbs:

Further to my email below on Defendants' proposed order (attached to Mr. Denton's 4:59 PM email), Plaintiffs have no objections to the changes Defendants propose to Parts A, but would urge the Court to adopt Plaintiffs' Part B, for the reasons set forth in the Affidavit of Plaintiffs' expert Matt Bernhard, attached. I have attached to this email a Proposed Order that does just this: takes the Defendants' version of Part A, but Plaintiffs' prior version of Part B, the discovery provisions for the specific records and equipment included in the Court's ruling at the January 9, 2017 hearing. Plaintiffs have included in Part B the sentence proposed by Defendants – "Destructive testing shall not be permitted." (I note that no notary public was available tonight to notarize Mr. Bernhard's affidavit (he is out-of-state), but we will submit a notarized affidavit when it becomes available).

Mr. Bernhard explains in his affidavit that the inspection proposed by Defendants would be virtually useless, and how that the inspection that Plaintiffs proposes is the only way to discover system malfunctions and mis-programming.

Mr. Bernhard also references a Georgia law relating to the internal memory that is right on point. Georgia State Election Board Rule 183-1-12-.02 (6)(d) states:

> *The election results, ballot styles, ballot images, and other information for each election stored in the internal memory storage of each DRE unit shall be maintained for a minimum of one month following each election after which time the results may be erased provided that there are no election contests pending concerning such election.*

This Rule requires the counties to preserve the internal memory of the

DRE machines for the specific purposes of having that memory available for inspection and review in an election contest. Fulton County's position that this information should not be made available in this election contest, therefore, is inconsistent with this rule and, for the additional reasons explained in Mr. Bernhard's affidavit, completely without merit.

As to the GEMS database, Mr. Bernhard explains that this can easily be produced on a CD to Plaintiffs' experts; it is not proprietary information and is crucially necessary for the examination.

Many thanks,

Bruce Brown

From: "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Date: Thursday, January 10, 2019 at 6:07 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>, "laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net" <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7Jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7Jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, "kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov" <kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: Re: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Dear Judge Grubbs:

With respect to Defendants' proposed order, relating specifically to discovery and inspection, Plaintiffs will be submitting later this evening a statement from one of our experts (a computer scientist specializing in voting systems) which will explain how the limitations that defendants would impose will render the inspection useless for the purposes of detecting the causes of any malfunction, or misprogramming, either in the GEMS database or DRE machines, and is not a forensic examination that may detect programming errors (which is what we understand the Court instructed). We urge the Court to withhold considering the proposed order until the Court has the opportunity to consider that submission.

Respectfully,

Bruce Brown

From: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>
Date: Thursday, January 10, 2019 at 4:59 PM
To: Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>, Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: "laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net" <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7Jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7Jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, "kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov" <kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: RE: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs,

The Defendants have collaborated to prepare the attached proposed order for your consideration. It tracks the one Plaintiffs' counsel provided earlier today except as to those specific portions where they are not in agreement.

Thank you.

Alexander Denton |*404.856.3276* | ROBBINS

From: Josh Belinfante
Sent: Thursday, January 10, 2019 12:59 PM
To: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>; Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>
Subject: RE: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs:

Good afternoon. We have substantial agreement with portions of the proposed order but are drafting an alternative for your consideration. We are working to submit it as soon as possible today.

Thank you and best regards,
JB

From: Bruce Brown [mailto:bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 10, 2019 12:13 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com;

Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmic.net; Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>
Subject: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Dear Judge Grubbs:
I have attached Plaintiffs' proposed order on the motions heard by Your Honor yesterday. I circulated a draft of this proposed order yesterday afternoon to defendants' counsel, and again this morning, and have not received a response as to what changes they would recommend to it. Given the expedited nature of these proceedings, I thought it best to go ahead and submit Plaintiffs' proposed order without further delay.
We did have a conference call with defendants' counsel on discovery yesterday evening, both with respect to the terms of the proposed order and logistics. The parties did **not** agree on language for the proposed order with respect to discovery, but we did make progress on logistics, and Fulton County is readying machines for inspection.
I note that Part B(2) of the attached, which address the discovery of the DRE machines, references the paragraphs of the complaint that allege specific instances of machine malfunctions, except for paragraphs 42 and 43, which concerned locations in DeKalb County.
Many thanks,
Bruce Brown
Attorney for Plaintiffs

<20190111 Plaintiffs' Proposed Order.docx>

<20180111 Lt Gov Bernhard Affidavit Final Signed.pdf>

EXHIBIT

2

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, et al., **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV313418**

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO SUPPLEMENT THE RECOFD

Plaintiffs' Motion to Supplement the Record, having been considered and with good cause shown, is GRANTED.

SO ORDERED this __ day of January, 2019.

_____
Honorable Adele P. Grubbs
Senior Judge, State of Georgia

Prepared by: /s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Counsel for Plaintiffs

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, Plaintiffs, v. ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al., Defendants.	Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE

Plaintiffs move for a continuance of the upcoming trial of this matter, which is currently set for Thursday, January 17, 2019, because (A) Defendants continue to delay in making the electronic election records and voting equipment available for examination; and (B) even if Defendants immediately begin to cooperate, it is not physically possible to complete even a small portion of the essential examination of the sample of DRE machines selected by the Court prior to trial on Thursday. As of the filing of this Motion, just two days before trial of this case, Plaintiffs have still not been granted access to the electronic voting equipment and related electronic records, access that Plaintiffs first sought six weeks ago by Emergency Motion on November 29, 2018. This alone warrants the granting of a continuance. In addition, Plaintiffs have not been afforded any opportunity to

conduct discovery, and Plaintiffs are entitled to discovery under the Election Contest Statute and the Civil Practice Act.

The Election Contest Statute contemplates that this Court will support the discovery efforts of voters who are challenging the legitimacy of a Georgia election by compelling production of evidence "in like manner and to the same extent as in other civil cases litigated before [the] court." O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(b). That right to discovery will be frustrated here if Plaintiffs are required to proceed in the constrained timeframe established by the current trial date. This Court certainly has authority to "proceed without delay to the hearing and determination of [this] contest, postponing for the purpose, if necessary, all other business," *id.*, but expedition at the expense of discovery is not required by the statute, for the Election Code expressly contemplates that a contest may be resolved after the office-holder has been sworn in. *See* O.C.G.A. § 21-2-503(c).

What is paramount is the legitimacy of the election. This election contest should not be expedited at the expense of permitting Plaintiffs to ascertain and prove, and the true extent of, the massive irregularities experienced almost statewide in the 2018 election and the resulting unprecedented abnormalities in the voting patterns that "place in doubt the result" of the election. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-527(d).

The sobering allegations in the Petition (¶ 31-33) regarding Georgia's compromised voting system must be reflected in the scope of the discovery

process, because the voting system, which was exposed to the public internet for at least six months during 2016-2017, has still not been examined for malware or repaired. The KSU server exposure had the potential to expose every voting machine in the state to malicious code or attack. Because of the unknown depth of issues that could stem from this massive compromise of the system, forensic examiners may encounter far more than routine programming errors, and their work cannot be given short shrift, given the serious implications of the entire system compromise.

The current schedule and scope of electronic records review will not provide Plaintiffs with a fair opportunity to prove the allegations and, crucially, will not provide the Court with the "full and proper understanding" of the case as the Election Contest Statute requires. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(b). Plaintiffs have done everything possible to accelerate this process thus far (having moved for an examination of priority of essential records more than six weeks ago) and will do everything in their power to complete the examination as soon as possible. But it is critically important that the Plaintiffs be permitted to choose the discovery necessary to prove their case—choices that will be informed by Plaintiffs' experts who know how DREs and the election system as a whole work and thus know where evidence necessary to prove the contest claim resides.

Crucially, Defendants and the Secretary of State do not contend, and have never contended, that the Plaintiffs' efforts at examination and forensic

investigation are not necessary to determine whether misprogramming, viruses, or malfunction caused the aberrant voting patterns or the alleged statewide machine malfunctions, or the impact of such problems.

Plaintiffs accordingly move for a modest continuance of this matter, to no earlier than three weeks after the date when the Defendants make available for inspection and examination all the electronic election records and equipment, and further move for an order requiring Defendants to make all such equipment and records available for examination by January 17, 2019, the original trial date.[1]

A. Facts

1. Plaintiffs' November 29, 2018 Emergency Motion

After promptly filing suit, Plaintiffs on November 29, 2018, filed an Emergency Motion for Inspection of a limited number of certain priority electronic and paper records and voting machines, to be supplemented or re-prioritized as discovery proceeded. On December 5, 2018, this Court stated that it would

---

[1] Plaintiffs anticipate filing a separate Motion Relating to the Inspection and Related Discovery Issues ("the Motion Relating to Inspection"). The Motion Relating to Inspection is not being filed this evening because this is a "moving target" and, as of the time of filing, Plaintiffs' expert is still working with Fulton County's technical staff in an effort to determine how best to copy the internal memory and to secure Fulton County's agreement to do so. Another issue presented is whether and to what extent the counties have altered probative evidence of the November 2018 election by using these DRE machines and associated memory cards in the December runoff election, use that can alter or destroy the memory and evidence of mis-programming.

In addition, in the Motion Relating to Inspection, Plaintiffs will be moving for access to the GEMS Microsoft Access databases from Fulton and Gwinnett County. Plaintiffs **will not** be seeking source code or an examination of the GEMS servers themselves, only the Access databases. As explained by Plaintiffs' expert, the GEMS documents that the Court ordered the Defendants to produce is not useful in the forensic examination. *See* Affidavit of M. Bernhard, submitted on January 10, 2019 (notarized copy attached hereto as Exhibit B). Access to the GEMS databases is crucially important in uncovering misprogramming or malfunction, and a more sophisticated forensic review will be impossible without it. *Id.* The Motion Relating to Inspection will also seek, to the extent necessary, expedited discovery on related issues.

GA001607

address the Emergency Motion on January 9, 2018. Defendants did not file any papers in response to the Emergency Motion, thus conceding it.

2. Hearing on Emergency Motion and Order

The Court held a hearing on January 9, 2018, and, on January 11, 2018, entered an Order on Pending Motions that required Defendants to produce certain reports from the GEMS database and to permit Plaintiffs to inspect the internal memory of the DRE machines in five locations — three polling locations in Fulton County, one in Worth County, and one in Henry County. (Plaintiffs had referenced these polling locations in their Petition as illustrative examples of the alleged statewide problems with the voting equipment, but not as a comprehensive listing of election irregularities.)

The Court's Order on Pending Motions requires the counties to allow Plaintiffs to inspect the internal memory of selected DRE machines, and further states that the machines and contents shall not be damaged. Importantly, the only way the internal memory of the DRE machines may be examined without damaging and altering the internal memory is to make a complete image or copy of the internal memory, and then to examine that copy. The Court's Order does not permit the copying needed for an internal memory examination to be performed by Plaintiff (and it is Plaintiffs' preference to not be the party copying the memory). Thus, the requirements of the Order can be satisfied if the *counties* make a full copy of the internal memory, and then permit the Plaintiffs' experts to examine

that copy on county computers on site at the county location. This is what Plaintiffs proposed to Defendants on January 10, 2019, in an email between counsel. (*See* January 10, 2019 email from Plaintiffs' counsel to counsel for Fulton County, attached as Exhibit B to Affidavit of Matthew D. Bernhard, attached hereto as Exhibit B (and originally submitted to the Court on January 10, 2019)).

3. Defendants Still Have Not Made Election Records and Equipment Available for Inspection

On Wednesday, January 9, 2019, immediately after the motions hearing, Plaintiffs requested that the Defendants make their equipment and electronic records available on Friday, January 11, 2019. After some back-and-forth, Defendants indicated that the equipment and records could not be made available on Friday the 11th. Plaintiffs then requested that the equipment be made available on Saturday, January 12, 2019. No machines were made available on Saturday the 12th.

Meanwhile, in anticipation of conducting the inspection ordered by this Court, Plaintiffs on January 10 and January 13 sent counsel for Fulton County emails describing, step-by-step, how the investigation would need to proceed given the need to preserve the electronic evidence while also complying with the Court's Order. Plaintiffs flew their voting system computer science expert to Atlanta over the weekend to prepare for the inspection

It was not until today, Monday, January 14, 2019, that Fulton County assembled its DREs in the English Street location for potential examination by Plaintiffs' expert, beginning at 9 a.m. *See generally* Exhibit A (January 14, 2019 email from Plaintiffs' Expert Matt Bernhard to Plaintiffs' Counsel).[2] Plaintiff Rhonda Martin and Plaintiffs' expert, Matt Bernhard, arrived at the English Street location, but were unable to conduct any examination or investigation of the DRE machines or their internal memory. As noted above, Plaintiffs had explained to Fulton County last week (on January 10) that the only way for the parties to conduct the equipment examinations while complying with the Order on Pending Motions, and without altering the evidence, is for Fulton County to make a complete and accurate electronic copy of the memory. This morning, however, Fulton County had made no copy of the internal memory and was not prepared to allow Plaintiffs to instruct County personnel on how to make the copy. This prevented any examination of the DREs' internal memory, as the Court's Order on Pending Motions requires. The Fulton County officials told Plaintiffs' expert that they were unaware of the Court's order to permit examination of the internal memory, and further stated that they were unaware of a method to copy the internal memory. Plaintiffs' expert told Fulton's technical staff how internal memory can be copied without damage to the files or machine, based on his experience of

[2] Plaintiffs are filing this email without proper authentication in the interest of time. Plaintiffs will supplement the record with a proper affidavit authenticating the email.

frequently making such copies. The Fulton County officials then stated that they would need to consult with their colleagues, and the proceedings halted; Plaintiffs' expert left the premises without having been able to examine the internal memory of the DRE machines, which review has to precede any other examination of the DREs.

Plaintiffs are continuing to work with Fulton County's technical staff to educate staff on ways to securely make copies of the internal memory, and late this evening sent an additional email with alternative instructions that might enable faster work. Still, it is not certain whether Fulton County will agree to do what is necessary to allow for a proper examination of the DRE memory or when that examination can be expected to begin, let alone finish.

B. Need for Additional Time

In order for Plaintiffs to be able to prove the extent of the impact of misprogramming, viruses, or malfunction affecting the Lieutenant Governor's race, more time is required. Even if Fulton County were immediately to comply with the Court's Order on Pending Motions and start copying the selected DRE machines' memory onto laptops, it will physically take days before the copying is complete and it will require several weeks before the memory can be analyzed to produce evidence that can be presented meaningfully in court. According to Plaintiffs' experts, the time it takes to copy the internal memory of a DRE onto another computer can be quite variable, depending on the age and condition of the

machine and the size of the files. (*See* Exhibit A hereto). The copying can take from one hour per machine to several hours per machine. Though Plaintiffs will only be inspecting a few dozen DRE machines (a small fraction of the 27,000 machines used in the election), it will still take days to complete the copying and a substantial amount of time to forensically review the copies. *Id.* There is not enough time in the current case schedule for Plaintiffs to conduct these required activities.

It must be emphasized that there is no argument by the Defendants that this discovery is not relevant. They *know* it goes to the heart of the matter. Nor is there is any contention by the Defendants that this discovery can be completed prior to the trial of the case this Thursday, January 17, 2019.

Discussion

The Election Contest Statute gives this Court plenary power over discovery and scheduling so that the Court can gain a "full and proper understanding" of the case. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(b). Contrary to repeated statements by the Defendants, there is *nothing* in the Election Contest Statute that anticipates that the trial will be conducted in haste or that requires the Court to deny Plaintiffs a fair opportunity at discovery. The Election Contest Statute states that the contest is to be decided "promptly," but gives no deadline or arbitrary time limit on the proceedings. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(a). The Election Contest Statute states that the case is supposed to proceed "according to the course of practice in other civil

cases," and conducted "in like manner and to the same extent as in other civil cases litigated before such court." O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(b). This is a reference to the Civil Practice Act, of course, which applies to "[a]ll civil cases." O.C.G.A. § 9-11-40. The Civil Practice Act states: "All civil cases, including divorce and other domestic relations cases, shall be triable any time after the last day upon which defensive pleadings were required to be filed therein; provided, ***however, that the court shall in all cases afford to the parties reasonable time for discovery procedures, subsequent to the date that defensive pleadings were required to be filed***." O.C.G.A. § 9-11-40 (emphasis added).

The law governing this Motion for Continuance, therefore, is clear: the Election Contest Statute does not mandate accelerated proceedings or set arbitrary deadlines, but instead contemplates that the trial court use its plenary power to gain a "full and proper understanding" of the matter. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(b). The Election Contest Statute further states that the case is to proceed "in like manner and to the same extent as in other civil cases." *Id.* The Civil Practice Act in turn states that "the court shall in *all* cases afford to the parties reasonable time for discovery." O.C.G.A. § 9-11-40 (emphasis added).

The application of this law to the facts also cannot reasonably be disputed: three days to a week is not a "reasonable time for discovery" in any case, much less a state-wide election contest involving an investigation of why complex electronic voting machines malfunctioned. Here, there is no argument—by either

side—that two or three days is a sufficient, much less a "reasonable," amount of time in which to conduct meaningful discovery. Trying this case this week will also not allow the Court to gain a "full and proper understanding" of the case, as the Election Contest Statute contemplates.

There are a number of cases, many cited in the footnote,[3] which emphasize that time is of the essence in election contest cases. But none of these cases hold that "reasonable" discovery may be denied or even curtailed. Moreover, in each of the reported cases, the plaintiffs appear to have been given full discovery, whether that involved an opportunity to find and count every misspelled absentee ballot, *Mead v. Sheffield,* 278 Ga. 268 (2004), or to investigate allegedly mis-calibrated voting machines, *Taggart v. Phillips*, 242 Ga. 454, 455 (1978). Crucially, in no case found by Plaintiffs has *any* court compromised the full and proper

[3] *E.g., Broughton v. Douglas Cty. Bd. of Elections*, 286 Ga. 528, 528–29 (2010) (swift resolution of election contests is vital for the smooth operation of government); *McCreary v. Martin*, 281 Ga. 668, 670 (2007) ("Statutes providing for election contests are premised on the recognition that election-related appeals must be timely considered. *Jordan v. Cook,* 277 Ga. 155, 156, 587 S.E.2d 52 (2003)."); *Swain v. Thompson*, 281 Ga. 30, 32 (2006) ("While Swain's status as a *pro se* litigant and his initial efforts to correct the deficiencies in service of process evoke sympathy for him, the public policy favoring swift resolution of election contests and the high regard in which appellate courts hold the exercise of discretion by trial courts dictate that we uphold the trial court's determination that the failure to effect timely service of appropriate process in this case requires dismissal of Swain's election contest petition."); *Plyman v. Glynn Cty.,* 276 Ga. 426, 427 (2003) ("Our legislature put a very short fuse on election contest cases. OCGA § 21–2-524 requires cases contesting election results to be brought within five days of certification of the returns. This short time period reflects the legislature's strong desire to avoid election uncertainty and the confusion and prejudice which can come in its wake. Certainly, the swift resolution of election contests is vital for the smooth operation of government.")

adjudication of the issues in order to obtain a faster decision. *E.g., McIntosh Cty. Bd. of Elections v. Deverger*, 282 Ga. 566, 566 (2007) (four months after the general election, the trial court ordered a new election; the Supreme Court affirmed); *Taggart v. Phillips*, 242 Ga. 454, 455 (1978) (two months after election, the Supreme Court reversed the trial court's dismissal of contest petition and remanded for further proceedings).

Plaintiffs are not seeking extended delay. Far from it. Plaintiffs only ask for three weeks beyond the production of necessary records and equipment. -This is a small fraction of the discovery that would be allowed and considered "reasonable" in any other civil case of this complexity, particularly involving forensic examination of electronic records stored on old equipment using out-of-date software technology. The short amount of extra time (three weeks) that Plaintiffs request is the bare minimum that is required for a forensic examination of the DREs. This schedule presumes that Plaintiffs' forensics experts will have very little time to write their reports and that Defendants do not themselves need time to study the findings of Plaintiffs' experts before trial. A forensic examination of this electronic equipment is absolutely essential so that the Court can gain a full and proper understanding of the causes and extent of the misprogramming, irregularities, and malfunctions that plagued the November 2018 Lieutenant Governor's election—and that caused the election to be so defective as "to place in doubt the result." O.C.G.A. § 21-2-527(d).

Plaintiffs' instant Motion, which seeks only a three-week continuance, should therefore be granted. Plaintiffs further request that this three-week period begin to run when the counties have certified to the Court that the counties have fully complied with the Court's orders relating to discovery. Plaintiffs assume Defendants are acting in good faith, but one problem with the current fixed trial date is that Defendants have a powerful disincentive to achieve full and timely compliance with their discovery obligations. Scheduling the trial with reference to the date that Defendants comply is fair to all parties, and will ensure the speedy and just resolution of this matter.

A proposed order is attached.

Respectfully submitted this 14th day of January, 2019.

/s/ Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Georgia Bar No. 064460
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com
Bruce P. Brown Law LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE, Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700

Attorney for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing via the Court's e-filing system to all counsel of record.

This 14th day of January, 2019.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown

EXHIBIT

A

Subject: FW: Confidential Notes about today
Date: Monday, January 14, 2019 at 8:53:10 PM Eastern Standard Time
From: Bruce Brown
To: Bruce Brown

From: Matt Bernhard <mdb92nc@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, January 14, 2019 8:48 PM
To: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Subject: CONFIDENTIAL Notes about today

Hi Bruce,

I just wanted to recap what happened at English Street this morning as well as discuss steps moving forward.

The gist is that the state say that they didn't interpret "internal memory" accurately, and were unwilling to create copies to allow us to perform the inspection of the internal memory without first consulting with counsel.

Below is a detailed description of our time at English Street:

After we signed the confidentiality agreements, we went into the warehouse with Michael Barnes, Ryan Germany, Cheryl Ringer, Kevin Rayburn, Rick Barron, Derek Gilstrap. Ronnie Martin inquired as to why the SoS people were there now that they are no longer party to the lawsuit, and Ms. Ringer informed us that the SoS owns all the equipment and is therefore entitled to be there.

We then discussed how they were accommodating our request to inspect the internal machine memory, and Mr. Barnes (from the SOS office) said they intended to create a copy of the election archive for the November on each machine. I informed them that that is not an accurate interpretation of "examination of the internal memory."

Mr. Barnes said that he did not know how to give us access to the internal memory without ripping out the flash chips. I informed him about the JTAG process I have used in the past on TSx machines, and informed him, the SoS lawyers, and Ms. Ringer that I would be happy to provide them with all commands that I would run ahead of time. Someone (I think Mr. Rayburn) inquired as to whether using JTAG created a security vulnerability, and I told him that it can, but we would be happy for the state or Fulton county to provide the adapter and the laptop on which the copies would be made, and to let an independent inspector observe the process. Obviously, if the state or the county performs these processes as intended then there are fewer security risks, and I strongly prefer that outcome.

Then we went over to the GEMS server and Mr. Gilstrap started copying the reports in the court order to CD. We got all reports except the Ballot Image Report. Mr. Barnes explained that to generate the Ballot Image Report, Mr. Gilstrap would have to manually create over 2,000 reports, as the GEMS software does not support doing this in batches. Essentially, it stores a mapping of precinct->memory card->ballots, and reports can only be generated by selecting each memory card, of which there are 2,084 (I believe). We obtained two ballot image reports and said we'd discuss this with you prior to proceeding. Mr. Gilstrap burned me a CD with four standard reports, which I read and sent over to you later today. Obtaining the GEMS database would be equivalent to all of the above steps, and far more elegant, as it wouldn't require the county to

GA001619

spend countless hours generating Ballot Image Reports for every voting machine in the county.

Then we went over to inspect the machines. All machines that were selected for inspection were TS machines. All but one were still sealed (apparently the seal broke off of one of the Grady High School machines, but I did not record its serial number). The County said that all of the machines were used in the December election, which will impair the value of forensic information we can obtain from them. I decided to contact you about the memory inspection prior to doing the physical inspection, as I didn't feel it made sense to unseal each machine, physically examine it, reseal it, and then do it all again tomorrow after they make copies of the memory. I also did not want to power on the machines, further altering the evidence, until we were sure that the county had made copies of each machine.

I should note that even if we solve the internal memory copying problem with the county, I don't currently have an estimate of how long it would take to copy the memory from each machine. My best estimate is that it will take several hours per machine, and it is not possible to copy more than one at a time unless there are multiple people and multiple laptops, adapters, and so forth for conducting the process. After copying, the other experts and I will need to analyze what has been copied, and that will take possibly weeks to do a thorough analysis of them all. Obviously, even if we start copying the internal memory tomorrow, there is no way we will have any meaningful results prior to the trial scheduled for Thursday.

If you have further questions please let me know.

-Matt

EXHIBIT

B

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV31348**

AFFIDAVIT OF MATTHEW BERNHARD

Appeared before me, the undersigned officer duly authorized to administered oaths, MATTHEW BERNHARD who, after being sworn, states as follows:

1. I am Ph.D. candidate at the University of Michigan in Computer Science with a focus on computer security. I received my Bachelor's degree from Rice University, and my Master's in Computer Science from the University of Michigan.

2. I have focused in the field of computer science, including cyber-security in voting systems since 2012, including specific work on new, secure voting technology (the STAR-Vote system from Austin, Texas), and better exploring the current landscape of voting technology and vulnerabilities. I have worked with the Verified Voting Foundation on gathering data about currently deployed voting systems. I consulted with the Jill Stein recount campaign in 2016 to assess threat models and incident reports in Michigan, Wisconsin, and Pennsylvania. I have also worked with other experts in the field to provide a theoretical survey of properties of election security.

3. During the last year, I have conducted focused research on Diebold AccuVote voting system, of the type used by Georgia, with a specific emphasis on TS and TSX machines. That research has included in-lab testing at the University of Michigan on machines acquired through eBay, during which we performed an in-depth technical analysis of the systems and found significant vulnerabilities. I have also on several occasions observed AccuVote units in the field in Georgia, both at the Fulton County Election Preparation Center on multiple occasions and at the Grady High School precinct during an election. As with lab testing, I observed

a significant number of operational vulnerabilities that make Georgia's election infrastructure fundamentally unsafe and untrustworthy.

4. I have published and spoken extensively about the cybersecurity and other risks of electronic voting systems and have assisted in preparation of other experts for Congressional testimony concerning these topics.

5. A copy of my curriculum vitae is attached as Exhibit A.

6. I am a consulting expert in the area of Georgia's Diebold Voting Systems for Plaintiffs in the voting system security case Curling v Kemp, pending in federal court in the Northern District of Georgia.

7. I am also a consulting expert on the topic of the Diebold Voting System for plaintiffs in this case. I have been asked to address the nature of the testing required for the DRE machines and GEMS database.

8. I have reviewed the proposed order submitted to the Court by the Defendants, particularly paragraphs B(1) and B(2) relating to discovery of the DRE machines and the GEMS database. In summary: as for the DREs: the "inspection" proposed by Defendants of the DREs would be entirely inadequate and of no value. A review of the internal memory is required to assess and identify

any potential programming errors or other malfunctions. As for the GEMS: defendants' proposal, limited to the production of documents that the GEMS system generates, also is no value whatsoever; if there are errors in the GEMS database that has been used to program the election, reports generated by GEMS will only verify what is in the database already.

9. If either the DREs or the GEMS database has been corrupted or incorrectly programmed in any way, relying solely on the reports generated by those pieces of software would be akin to relying solely on the testimony of a potential bank robber to determine his guilt. If we suspect the bank may have been robbed, but don't know exactly how much money may have been stolen, the only way to rule out that hypothesis is a holistic evaluation of all evidence supporting or refuting that hypothesis. The same is true for elections; to determine if any election results are incorrect, we cannot simply ask the voting machines to count the votes again. We must examine how they count the votes, and we do this through thorough forensic software examination (the memory on the DREs internal memory) as well as examining the data with which they were initially programmed (i.e. the GEMS database).

DREs

10. When reviewing an election conducted on Georgia's paperless DRE system, the only method to answer questions regarding anomalous results, or possible incorrect programming, is to review key electronic files and programs that were used in conducting the election. All of these files reside on the internal DRE memory and the memory cards. Such essential electronic records include, but are not limited to:

--Ballot programming and layout files,

--Vote tabulation instructions,

--Programs for reporting tallies and writing reports,

--System operation logs,

--Error messages generated by the system and DRE machines, and

--Votes recorded in internal memory of the DRE machine.

9. The DRE internal memory contains records that are not located anywhere on the system. My understanding is that, for this reason, Georgia law requires the internal memories of the DRE machines to be preserved in the event of an election contest such as this one.

10. Conversely, in a review of a DRE election, the only way to determine the extent and number of votes potentially impacted by programming errors or malfunctions is to review the electronic programming and operating files used during the election. No standard report that is printed by the Diebold system would expose a programming error, in particular because the programming that generates such reports may itself be faulty. Only a review of the electronic files containing the programming would detect errors.

11. Forensic review tools are available to review the internal memory and other electronic files in the voting system to determine if there may have been incorrect programming, tampering, viruses or malfunctions of the machines and related components. Such tools are commonly available and widely used in industry practice, and would in no way damage or otherwise alter the voting machines (or any election equipment) in question.

12. It is crucial to examine the DRE internal memory of electronic records for the first data created on the machine when the voter casts his or her ballot. The examiner will attempt to determine whether that vote was faithfully recorded and tallied as it was written to memory cards and passed through the chain of tallying and reporting functions. There is no way to make such a determination by

reviewing standard system reports, as again such reports may also be faulty if the program that produces them is faulty.

13. Such testing should be conducted on an exact electronic copy of the internal flash chips of the DRE machine. Testing a copy avoids any possibility of damaging the DRE machines or components. Standard protocols for such testing are generally agreed on by computer scientists and subject to little controversy for conducting the types of rather simple tests involved here. Moreover, such tests can be conducted in a transparent way to provide any interested parties sufficient evidence as to their results, as well as entirely preserving the machines being examined.

14. The inspection described by Plaintiffs in the attached email from Plaintiffs' counsel to Fulton County's counsel, will be efficient and useful, will not involve any disruptive testing, and is standard procedure for conducting a forensic examination of this kind. Such testing can be conducted in an expedient manner so as to not impact the administration of elections in the jurisdictions in question.

15. Additionally, only after the copy of the internal memory is created for testing, the DRE machine must be tested in election mode using the same program that was used on Election Day with the goal of determining if the reported anomaly

can be replicated. For example, examiners should select machines for which voters reported that the Lt. Governor was not on their electronic ballot, and determine whether that condition will occur during repeated attempts to vote on the machine. If the electronic ballot fails to display the Lt. Governor race, the expert will obtain clues on what electronic files in the internal memory to check first for a virus or programming error.

16. The same is true for the repeated reports of machines that reportedly cast votes before the voter had a chance to see the review screen to correct any errors. The examiner must be able to attempt to replicate the malfunctioning condition on machines where this malfunction was reported. This cannot be done in post-election mode which is the limitation that Fulton County has set.

GEMS

17. The Defendants' proposed "inspection" relating to the GEMS server and database also is completely inadequate. The documents offered by the Defendants from the GEMS server (Base Precincts With Races Report, Ballot Image Report, Vote Center With Cards Report, Statement of Votes Cast Report, and Summary Report) may be useful to review, but are public records and standard reports that

do not in any way provide an opportunity to detect a programming flaw, a virus, or a malfunction of the machines.

18. The GEMS Database is an unencrypted set of data for programming and tabulating the election that is built by the election official for each election using a generic off-the-shelf Microsoft Access database. Anyone with a Microsoft subscription to Microsoft Access can open and read all files.

19. An examination of the GEMS database to review for anomalies, programming errors, misconfiguration, or other irregularities should generally take about 2 days per county, assuming that no material irregularities were detected.

20. There is no proprietary software or programming in the GEMS database. The database has data related to the election, and it should contain only data that is public record.

21. The information contained in the GEMS server can be shared without risk to election security.

22. The review of the GEMS database can be accomplished without access to the GEMS server, by requiring either the Secretary of State or the County to merely copy their GEMS database CD and deliver to Plaintiffs' experts on a CD.

23. The GEMS database should be reviewed at two stages, at the start of the election programming and after the close of the election. The Secretary maintains a CD copy of the original programming prior to votes being entered into the system. A copy can be made in a matter of minutes and given to the Plaintiff's expert for review. The examiner should compare that database to the post-election database for the possibility of unauthorized changes to instructions coded into the database.

24. It is only with access to the full electronic GEMS database that a basic analysis of the programming of the election can take place.

Further Affiant sayeth not.

Matthew Bernhard

Sworn to and subscribed before me:
On this 11 day of Jan, 2019

Notary Public, State of Texas
My Commission Expires: 12-20-2020

SEAL

ADEWOLE JUNAITH NAJIMOU
Notary Public, State of Texas
Comm. Expires 12-20-2020
Notary ID 129144120

Exhibit A

Matthew D. Bernhard
matber@umich.edu

2260 Hayward Street
Ann Arbor, MI 48109
Main/Cell: 281-725-8544

EDUCATION

University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI (2015 – present)
PhD Candidate Student, Computer Science and Engineering
Advisor: J. Alex Halderman
University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI (2015 – 2018)
Master of Science in Computer Science and Engineering
Rice University, Houston, TX (2012 – 2015)
Bachelor of the Arts, Computer Science
Advisor: Dan Wallach

EXPERIENCE

Expert Witness, *Curling v. Kemp* - 2018 - present
- Examined Georgia's voting system and provided consultation and testimony that the voting machines are insecure and unfit for use.

Verified Voting – 2018 - present
Data Science Consultant, Ann Arbor, MI
- Collected, organized, and wrote up technical information about new voting machines being acquired by localities

Cloudflare – San Francisco, CA
Cryptography Intern, *Summer 2017*
- Developed Certificate Transparency monitoring features. Also built an SSL detector to determine what SSL settings customer sites can support.

Computer Security Lab – Rice University, Houston TX
Lead Software Developer, Fall 2012 - Spring 2015
- Lead a team in upgrading and maintaining STAR-Vote, a pedagogical voting system
- Performed usability study on ballot preparation tool
- Explored leveraging utilities provided by the Chromium project to process sandbox and enforce tighter systems controls
- Examined secure data structures such as authenticated dictionaries for implementing a secure web bulletin board

Microsoft Research – Microsoft, Redmond WA
Research Intern, *Summer 2015,* Advised by Josh Benaloh
- Investigated trusted computing features in the Windows operating system
- Designed ASKVote, the Auditably Secure Voting scheme to provide software assurance and election evidence
- Designed voting client for conference demonstration hall survey

TEACHING

Election Cybersecurity - University of Michigan
Graduate Student Instructor, Fall, 2018

- Designed course content, lectured, led discussion section, graded, and provided course support.

Introduction to Computer Security – University of Michigan
Graduate Student Instructor, Winter, 2018

- Lectured, led discussion section, graded, and provided course support.

Securing Digital Democracy – University of Michigan, Coursera
Course Operations Liaison, 2014 – 2018

- Compiled and maintained course resources and facilitated student discussion in message boards for course introducing the field of voting technology in the context of computer security

Fundamentals of Parallel Programming – COMP 322, Rice University
Teaching Assistant, Spring 2015

- Shaped curriculum and led lab discussions for an introductory course on parallel programming featuring Java parallelism and Apache Spark

Introduction to Program Design – COMP 215, Rice University
Teaching Assistant, Fall 2014

- Led lab discussions and wrote and reviewed assignments and exams for an introductory course on Java and Object Oriented Programming

PEER-REVIEWED PUBLICATIONS

On the Usability of HTTPS Deployment
Matthew Bernhard, Jonathan Sharman, Claudia Ziegler Acemyan, Philip Kortum, Dan S. Wallach, and J. Alex Halderman
To appear in *Proc. of CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems Proceedings* (CHI 2019), May 2019.

Bernoulli Ballot-Polling: A Manifest Improvement for Risk-Limiting Audits
Kellie Ottoboni, Matthew Bernhard, J. Alex Halderman, Ron Rivest, and Philip Stark
To appear in *Proc. 4th Workshop on Advances in Secure Electronic Voting* (Voting '19), February 2019

403 Forbidden: A Global View of Geoblocking
Allison McDonald, Matthew Bernhard, Benjamin VanderSloot, Will Scott, J. Alex Halderman, Roya Ensafi
Proc. of the ACM Internet Measurement Conference 2018 (IMC '18), Boston, Massachussetts. November 2018.

Voting Technologies, Recount Methods and Votes in Wisconsin and Michigan in 2016
Walter R. Mebane, Jr. and Matthew Bernhard
Proc. of the 3rd Workshop on Advances in Secure Electronic Voting (Voting '18). Nieuwpoort, Curaçao. March 2, 2018.

Public Evidence from Secret Ballots
Matthew Bernhard, Josh Benaloh, J. Alex Halderman, Ronald L. Rivest, Peter Y. A. Ryan, Philip B. Stark, Vanessa Teague, Poorvi L. Vora, Dan S. Wallach
Proc. of the Second Annual Joint Conference on Electronic Voting (E-Vote-ID '17). Bregenz, Austria. October 24 - 27, 2017.

Understanding the Mirai Botnet
Manos Antonakakis, Tim April, Michael Bailey, Matthew Bernhard, Elie Bursztein, Jaime Cochran, Zakir Durumeric, J. Alex Halderman, Luca Invernizzi, Michalis Kallitsis, Deepak Kumar, Chaz Lever,Zane Ma, Joshua Mason, Damian Menscher, Chad Seaman, Nick Sullivan, Kurt Thomas, Yi Zhou
Proc. of the 26th USENIX Security Symposium (USENIX Security '17). Vancouver, BC, Canada. August 16 - 18, 2017.

Towards a Complete View of the Certificate Ecosystem
Benjamin VanderSloot, Johanna Amann, Matthew Bernhard, Zakir Durumeric, Michael Bailey, and J. Alex Halderman
Proc. 16th ACM Internet Measurement Conference (IMC '16), Santa Monica, California. November 2016

Implementing Attestable Kiosks
Matthew Bernhard, Gabe Stocco, and J. Alex Halderman
Proc. 14th Annual Conference on Privacy, Security, and Trust (PST '16), Auckland, New Zealand. December 2016

SELECTED OTHER PUBLICATIONS

What Might Go Wrong in the 2016 Election
Matthew Bernhard and J. Alex Halderman
Security at Michigan (a Medium publication), November 7th, 2016

The Security Challenges of Online Voting Have Not Gone Away
Robert Cunningham, Matthew Bernhard, and J. Alex Halderman
IEEE Spectrum, November 3rd, 2016

TALKS

Do We Want to Recount or Not? Presidential Election 2016
Matthew Bernhard and Kimball Brace
Election Verification Network (EVN) Symposium 2017, March 15, 2017

Recount 2016: An Uninvited Audit of the U.S. Presidential Election
Matthew Bernhard and J. Alex Halderman
Roadsec 2017, São Paulo, Brazil, November 11th, 2017
Roadsec Pro 2017, São Paulo, Brazil, November 10th, 2017
Electoral Technology Workshop, SBSeg 2017, Brasília, Brazil, November 6th, 2017
33rd Chaos Communication Congress (33c3), December 28th, 2016

MEDIA APPEARANCES

NPR, Reuters, BBC News, The Guardian, Le Monde, Motherboard, Forbes, The New Republic, Gothamist, The New Political, The Outline, and Voice of America News and others

Subject: Re: GEMS Voting Machines 2 Counties.mov
Date: Thursday, January 10, 2019 at 10:38:15 AM Eastern Standard Time
From: Bruce Brown
To: Ringer, Cheryl, Burwell, Kaye, Lowman, David
CC: Bryan Tyson, Vincent Russo, Josh Belinfante, Lindsey, Jr., Edward H., richard.carothers@carmitch.com

Cheryl, Kaye and David,

In our discussion yesterday evening about the inspection of Fulton machines, we had decided that it would be best for me to set out in writing our proposed protocol for the inspections. I had asked Vince Russo for a draft confidentiality order, but if you have one that Fulton would like to use, that might be best (since the Secretary is out of the case and, I believe, Vince is in meetings all day). We are flying in experts for the work, so please let us know as soon as possible when the machines would be made available (or what machines would be made available at what times). Here is our proposed protocol:

Inspection of Fulton County DRE Machines:

Location: Fulton County English Street location

Time and Date: 11 am through 5pm Friday, January 11, 2019, and continuing on Saturday, and until work is completed.

Machines and their related memory cards for inspection:

Early voting machines for Ponce de Leon Library
All election day machines for Grady High School
Election Day machines for Allen Temple AME Church

Fulton County to identify by machine serial number which machines were used in the December 4 runoff or otherwise used, operated or put through maintenance or testing after the November 6, 2018 election.

Complete recap sheets from all polling places should accompany the machines.

Machines should not be turned on, operated, re-programmed or otherwise altered in any way until Plaintiff's experts are on site.

Fulton County to make a full image of the contents of the internal flash chips of the all machines (or possibly a selected subset of machines to be determined after inspection begins) for forensic examination. These copies will be made under the observation of Plaintiff's experts.

After copies are made, plaintiff's experts will select 1 to 2 machines from each polling place location to have staff put into election mode (operating in exact same manner as the machines were in the polling places on when during the 11/6/18 election.)

Plaintiff's representatives will test votes on the machines, and conduct other tests in pre-election

mode, election-mode and post-election mode.

We appreciate Fulton County's prompt cooperation given the expedited schedule.

Many thanks,

Bruce

From: Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>
Date: Wednesday, January 9, 2019 at 9:47 PM
To: Bryan Tyson <bpt@sbllaw.net>, "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>, Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>
Cc: "Burwell, Kaye" <Kaye.Burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>, "Lowman, David" <David.Lowman@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: Re: GEMS Voting Machines 2 Counties.mov

And I am adding Kaye Burwell and David Lowman for Fulton.

Sent via the Samsung Galaxy S7, an AT&T 4G LTE smartphone

-------- Original message --------
From: Bryan Tyson <bpt@sbllaw.net>
Date: 1/9/19 8:06 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>, Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "Ringer, Cheryl" <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, richard.carothers@carmitch.com
Subject: RE: GEMS Voting Machines 2 Counties.mov

Thanks for sending this. I'm adding Dick Carothers for Gwinnett to this email chain as well.

Thanks,

Bryan

Bryan P. Tyson
Strickland Brockington Lewis LLP
678.347.2203 (direct)
bpt@sbllaw.net

-----Original Message-----
From: Bruce Brown [mailto:bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 09, 2019 7:53 PM
To: Vincent Russo; Josh Belinfante; Cheryl Ringer; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H.; Bryan Tyson
Subject: FW: GEMS Voting Machines 2 Counties.mov

On 1/9/19, 5:18 PM, "Bruce Brown" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com> wrote:

https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A__www.dropbox.com_s_e3z30u2zqd44dqd_GEMS-2520Voting-2520Machines-25202-2520Counties.mov-3Fdl-3D0&d=DwIGaQ&c=HPJvcKF4Kk5Wqru1T_u_fOsw8NVQVa3gp0ReMdlciXw&r=y8ep_8HDwKhdpPvTap-X2A7Mfy5KKxC8wOuT4JMy-p8&m=60rdPa6Tc56n5EZ_18HvFPM7CJyCesLASInKmGSTByY&s=w7w6mu-54_TgwFcC3tC0PY14Qo_oyo09bLfqVFWrh4w&e=

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, et al., **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV313418**

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE

Plaintiffs have filed a Motion for Continuance. Good cause having been shown, this Court, pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(a), GRANTS the Motion, and the evidentiary hearing on this matter is continued until no earlier than three weeks after the Defendants have given Plaintiffs full access to the equipment and records described in this Court's January 11, 2019 Order on Pending Motions.

SO ORDERED this __ day of January, 2019.

_____
Honorable Adele P. Grubbs
Senior Judge, State of Georgia

Prepared by: /s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Counsel for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing via the Court's e-filing system to all counsel of record.

This 14th day of January, 2019.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, Plaintiffs, v. ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al., Defendants.	Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

PLAINTIFFS' REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE

Plaintiffs file this Reply to the briefs filed by non-party Secretary of State and Defendant Duncan.

On his predecessor's own motion, the Secretary became a non-party to this case and accordingly should not be filing briefs or interfering in discovery, and his brief should be stricken. If he wants to participate in this case, he may file a motion to intervene for the Court's consideration.

Plaintiffs' primary objection to the Secretary's Brief, however, is not that he filed it, but what he says. Defendant Duncan simply reargues his rejected motion to dismiss.

A. Facts

On the facts: the Court ordered the Defendants to allow Plaintiffs to examine the internal memory of the DRE machines. *There is no dispute that Fulton County has not done so.* Fulton County did not even make its machines available until yesterday, three days before trial, and when it did so it was technically unable to give Plaintiffs access to the internal memory. *This is undisputed.* The essential fact remains that Plaintiffs, now 36 hours from trial, have not been granted the limited discovery that this Court ordered – and that Plaintiffs originally sought six weeks ago. *This is not disputed.*

What also is not in dispute is that Fulton County (and now Gwinnett) have no plans to figure out how to make the internal memory available. In the "no good deed goes unpunished" category, the Secretary now blames Plaintiffs' expert for proposing ways that Fulton County can do what the Order requires without damaging the internal memory, by using a special erasable programable read only memory (EPROM) chip. Fulton County does not have to use the EPROM method, but it does have to comply with the Court's Order and, it if does not like the EPROM method, it can do so with the technology of its own selection. What it cannot do is refuse to comply with the Court's Order and "run out the clock."

The Secretary's Brief does *not* disclose Plaintiffs' proposed protocol - a glaring, inexcusable omission. Plaintiffs proposed last week that Fulton County copy the internal memory and allow Plaintiffs to examine the copy – examining a

copy is the only way to conduct a forensic examination without potentially altering the memory and destroying evidence. This protocol was originally explained in an email on January 10, and then explained in greater detailed in Plaintiffs' expert's January 11, 2019 affidavit, another copy of which was filed yesterday with the Motion for Continuance.

Most important, neither the Secretary nor Defendant Duncan disagree that, as a factual matter, it is impossible for Plaintiffs to conduct reasonable discovery in this time frame.

B. Law

Neither Defendant Duncan nor the Secretary have any response to Plaintiffs' legal argument for continuance. But the law is clear: the Election Contest Statute does not mandate accelerated proceedings or set arbitrary deadlines, but instead contemplates that the trial court use its plenary power to gain a "full and proper understanding" of the matter. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(b). The Election Contest Statute further states that the case is to proceed "in like manner and to the same extent as in other civil cases." *Id.* The Civil Practice Act in turn states that "the court shall in *all* cases afford to the parties reasonable time for discovery." O.C.G.A. § 9-11-40 (emphasis added).

And the Secretary and Defendant Duncan do not attempt to apply this law to the undisputed facts, for that too cannot reasonably be disputed: three days to a week is not a "reasonable time for discovery" in any case, much less a state-wide

election contest involving an investigation of why complex electronic voting machines malfunctioned.

The Secretary and Defendant Duncan also to not counter Plaintiffs' argument citing a number of Supreme Court cases, in each of which the plaintiffs appear to have been given full discovery, whether that involved an opportunity to find and count every misspelled absentee ballot, *Mead v. Sheffield,* 278 Ga. 268 (2004), or to investigate allegedly mis-calibrated voting machines, *Taggart v. Phillips*, 242 Ga. 454, 455 (1978). And, they do not disagree that in no case found by Plaintiffs has *any* court compromised the full and proper adjudication of the issues in order to obtain a faster decision. *E.g., McIntosh Cty. Bd. of Elections v. Deverger*, 282 Ga. 566, 566 (2007) (four months after the general election, the trial court ordered a new election; the Supreme Court affirmed); *Taggart v. Phillips*, 242 Ga. 454, 455 (1978) (two months after election, the Supreme Court reversed the trial court's dismissal of contest petition and remanded for further proceedings).

Defendant Duncan's brief is in effect a motion for reconsideration of the denial of his motion to dismiss. Though improper, Plaintiffs will respond briefly. As to the facts relating to the drop-off, none of what Defendant Duncan says explains why the unprecedented drop in votes for the Lt. Governor's race appeared only with the votes on electronic machines, and not on the votes cast on paper ballots. If the drop-off was because of not having a third-party candidate, for

example, why didn't this drop off appear in votes on paper ballots for the same race? (And why didn't that drop off appear on other races, like the race for attorney general, that also did not have a third-party candidate?) What, other than system malfunction, could explain such a difference? Defendant Duncan does not, cannot, answer that question.

This case needs to be tried, and a continuance is necessary for the trial to be fair and to comply with the law.

Respectfully submitted this 15th day of January, 2019.

/s/ Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Georgia Bar No. 064460
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com
Bruce P. Brown Law LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE, Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700

Attorney for Plaintiffs

GA001644

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing via the Court's e-filing system to all counsel of record.

This 15th day of January, 2019.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, Plaintiffs, v. ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al., Defendants.	Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

PLAINTIFFS' DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 21-2-526, Plaintiffs demand a trial by jury.

Respectfully submitted this 15th day of January, 2019.

/s/ Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Georgia Bar No. 064460
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com
Bruce P. Brown Law LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE, Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700

Attorney for Plaintiffs

GA001646

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing via the Court's e-filing system to all counsel of record.

This 16th day of January, 2019.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown

SUPREME COURT OF GEORGIA
Case No. S19M0652

Atlanta January 24, 2019

The Honorable Supreme Court met pursuant to adjournment. The following order was passed.

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNMENT et al. v. BRAD RAFFENSPERGER et al.

Given that a notice of appeal has not been filed below and this matter has not yet been docketed in this Court, the Appellants' "Motion for Expedited Consideration of Appeal of Election Contest" is hereby denied without prejudice.

All the Justices concur.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF GEORGIA

Clerk's Office, Atlanta

I certify that the above is a true extract from the minutes of the Supreme Court of Georgia.
Witness my signature and the seal of said court hereto affixed the day and year last above written.

Sue C. Fulton, Chief Deputy Clerk

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDEM
Date: 1/24/2019 11:40 AM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV313418**

NOTICE OF APPEAL

Notice is hereby given that Plaintiffs, pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 5-6-34(a)(1) and O.C.G.A. § 51-2-526, hereby appeal to the Supreme Court of Georgia from the trial court's January 18, 2019 Order Dismissing Plaintiffs' Petition to Contest Election Result. A copy of the Order is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

The clerk will please omit nothing from the record on appeal; provided, however, that Plaintiffs may subsequently request that certain portions of the record and certain transcripts be omitted.

The Supreme Court, rather than the Court of Appeals, has jurisdiction of this case on appeal for the reason that Article 6, Section 6, Paragraph II of the Georgia

Constitution states that the "Supreme Court shall be a court of review and shall exercise exclusive appellate jurisdiction" over "[a]ll cases of election contest."

DATED: January 23, 2019.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
BRUCE P. BROWN LAW LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE
Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700

Attorney for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing via the Court's e-filing system to all counsel of record.

This 23rd day of January, 2019.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown

EXHIBIT

A

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

Rhonda Martin, Smythe Duval, Jeanne Dufort	*	
	*	CIVIL ACTION
Plaintiffs,	*	FILE NO.: 2018CV313418
vs.	*	
	*	
Geoff Duncan.	*	
Fulton County Board of Registration and Elections,	*	
Gwinnett county Board of Registration And Elections	*	
	*	
Defendants.	*	
	*	

ORDER DISMISSING PLAINTIFFS' PETITION

The above and forgoing case having come on regularly to be heard with all parties represented by counsel and,

Plaintiffs having made Motions for Jury trial, see Fuller v. Thomas 284 Ga. 397 (2008), Continuance and to Compel Discovery and the Court having denied the same, and,

Plaintiffs having presented evidence and rested their case, and,

The Defendants having made a Motion for Involuntary Dismissal Pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 9-11-41(b),

It is hereby ordered as follows:

1.

O.C.G.A. § 9-11-41(b) states in material part –

"After the plaintiff, in an action tried by the court without a jury, has completed the presentation of his evidence, the defendant, without waiving his right to offer evidence in the event the motion is not granted, may move for dismissal on the ground that upon the fasts and the law the plaintiff has shown no right to relief."

2.

In this case the Plaintiffs contest the result of the election for Lieutenant Governor held November 6th, 2018, and request that the result be set aside and that a new election be held on paper ballots.

3.

The Plaintiffs have not shown any evidence that illegal votes have been received or legal votes rejected at the polls sufficient to change or place in doubt the result in the race for Lieutenant Governor held on November 6, 2018. O.C.G.A. §21-2-522.

4.

The Plaintiffs did presented evidence that the DRE system of voting used in Georgia has many problems and irregularities and is regarded as an outdated and inaccurate system of conducting a vote.

5.

In the particular race for Lieutenant Governor at issue here, the Plaintiffs showed that there were five instances of problems with voting at two precincts, that, of the 8 voting machines at the Winterville precinct in Clark County Georgia, 7 went decidedly Democratic and 1 went decidedly Republican and that there was a 4.5% undervote in the Lieutenant Governor race. There was no evidence of misconduct, fraud, or irregularity by any primary or election official or officials.

6.

"It is presumed that election results are valid, and the party contesting the Election has the burden of showing an irregularity or illegality sufficient to change place in doubt the result of the election" Hunt v. Crawford 270 GA.7 (1998). That case goes on to say "the setting aside of an election in which the people have chosen their representative is a drastic remedy that should not be undertaken lightly, but, instead should be reserved for cases in which the person challenging the election has clearly established a violation of election procedures and has demonstrated that the violation has placed the result of the election in doubt."

7.

In the Lieutenant Governor's race Geoff Duncan received 1,951,738 votes

and Sarah Amico received 1,828,566 votes, a difference of 123,172 votes. In the Lieutenant Governor's race there were 4.5% fewer voters than in the Governor's race. The numbers also show that Sarah Amico, a Democrat, also received more votes than those cast for the Democrat in the State-wide races for Commissioner of Agriculture, Commissioner of Insurance, State School Superintendent, and Commissioner of Labor. These number do not show any irregularity or illegality in themselves.

8.

"Where the focus is on improperly cast ballots or irregularities in the conduct of the election, the number of illegal of irregular ballots necessary to cast doubt on an election is derived by taking the difference between the total votes cast in the election and the race at issue, and adding the margin of victory in the race at issue" Fuller v. Thomas 284 Ga. 397 (2008)

9.

In the present case the most votes that the Plaintiff has shown that could be

in any way arguably considered irregular or illegal is approximately 32,000 votes. That assumes that all such votes would have been cast for Sarah Amico.

10.

Therefore, Plaintiffs Petition is hereby dismissed.

SO ORDERED this 18th day of January, **2019**



JUDGE ADELE P. GRUBBS
Senior Judge, State of Georgia

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE is attached.

1 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY

2 STATE OF GEORGIA

3

4 COALITION FOR GOOD . CIVIL ACTION
GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. . FILE NO.: 2018-CV-313418
5

6 MARTIN, SMYTH DUVAL, and .
JEANNE DUFORT, . Taken at:
7

8 Plaintiffs, . Superior Court of Cobb County

9 vs. . 70 Haynes Street

10 ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, . Courtroom 2000

11 Secretary of State of . Marietta, Georgia 30090
Georgia, et. al. .
12

13 Defendants. .
. . . .
14

15
TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING PROCEEDINGS
16

17 THURSDAY, JANUARY 17, 2019

18 9:02 a.m. to 4:53 p.m.

19

20 STATE OF GEORGIA SENIOR JUDGE ADELE P. GRUBBS
REPORTED BY:
21

22 PRISCILLA GARCIA, COURT REPORTER
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF GEORGIA
23

24 TRANSCRIBED BY:

25 CHRISTIAN NAADEN

Page 2

APPEARANCES:

Plaintiff's Counsel: BRUCE P. BROWN, ESQUIRE
BRUCE P. BROWN LAW
FLOATAWAY BUSINESS COMPLEX
1123 ZONOLITE ROAD N.E.
SUITE 6
ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30306
404-881-0700
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com

Plaintiff's Counsel: MARILYN MARKS, ESQUIRE
COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE
7035 MARCHING DUCK DRIVE
SUITE E-504
CHARLOTTE, NORTH CAROLINA 28210
704-552-1618
marilyn@uscgg.org

Defendant's Counsel: JOHN BELINFANTE, ESQUIRE
ROBBINS, ROSS, ALLOT, BELINFANTE
& LITTLEFIELD, LLC
500 14TH STREET N.W.

Page 3

ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30318
404-856-3262
jbelinfante@robbinsfirm.com

CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:

Defendant's Counsel: VINCENT R. RUSSO, ESQUIRE
ROBBINS, ROSS, ALLOT, BELINFANTE
& LITTLEFIELD, LLC
999 PEACHTREE STREET N.E.
SUITE 1120
ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30309
404-856-3260
vrusso@robbinsfirm.com

Defendant's Associate Counsel:
ALEXANDER F. DENTON, ESQUIRE
ROBBINS, ROSS, ALLOT, BELINFANTE
& LITTLEFIELD, LLC
500 14TH STREET N.W.
ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30318
404-856-3276
adenton@robbinsfirm.com

Page 4

CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:

Defendant's Counsel: EDWARD H. LINDSEY, JR., ESQUIRE
DENTONS US, LLP
303 PEACHTREE STREET N.E.
SUITE 5300
ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30308
404-527-4580
edward.lindsey@dentons.com

Defendant's Counsel: SAMUEL S. OLENS, ESQUIRE
DENTONS US, LLP
303 PEACHTREE STREET N.E.
SUITE 5300
ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30308
404-527-4108
sam.olens@dentons.com

Defendant's Counsel: KAYE WOODARD BURWELL, ESQUIRE
OFFICE OF THE COUNTY ATTORNEY
141 PRYOR STREET S.W.
SUITE 4038

Page 5

ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30303
404-612-0251
kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov

CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:

Defendant's Counsel: BRYAN P. TYSON, ESQUIRE
STRICKLAND, BROCKINGTON &
LEWIS, LLP
1170 PEACHTREE STREET N.E.
ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30309
404-219-3160
bryan.tyson@sbllaw.com

Defendant's Co-Counsel: RICHARD A. CAROTHERS, ESQUIRE
CAROTHERS & MITCHELL, LLC
1809 BUFORD HIGHWAY
BUFORD, GEORGIA 30518
770-932-3552
richard.carothers@carsmith.com

I N D E X

WITNESS	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
WITNESSES CALLED ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF				
Marilyn Marks	31	38,51,54	43,56	50
Sara LeClerc	60,72	74,77,78		
Jeanne Dufort	80			
Christopher Brill	84	89,91,127,128	93,120	113,114
Matthew Bernhard	137	150,188,198	156,187,204	
Michael Barnes	210,243	254,276	279	286,287
WITNESSES CALLED ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT				
None				

EXHIBITS MARKED ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF

NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE OFFERED	PAGE RECEIVED
P-1	- Secretary of State Email	41	Not Admitted
P-2	- LBJ Notes Excel Spreadsheet	64	66
P-3	- Brill's Affidavit	98	98
P-7	- National Academies of Science Engineering and Medicine's report, Secured Boot, from 2018	168	168
P-8	- Center for Election Systems at Kennesaw State Email Communication 2017	243	243

EXHIBITS MARKED ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT

NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE OFFERED	PAGE RECEIVED
1	- Duncan-Tweet Regarding Fundraising	52	54
2	- Ballot Design	236	236
3	- Base Precinct with Reports	264	265
4	- Base Precinct with Reports	264	265
5	- Active Voter TS Status	266	266
6	- Active Voter TS Status	266	266
7	- Certified Returns Fulton County	273	273
8	- Certified Returns Gwinnett County	273	273
9	- Certified Returns Dekalb County	273	273
10	- Official Election Returns 2010	273	274
11	- Official Election Returns 2014	273	274
12	- Official Election Returns 2018	273	274
13	- Turnout Percentage Document	273	274

COBB COUNTY, GEORGIA

THURSDAY, January 17, 2018 - 9:02 a.m.

* * * * * * *

THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.

MALE: Good morning, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Take your seats, if you will.

THE COURT: First of all, if you are here for the uncontested divorce calendar, that includes name changes and all those kind of things, you are in the wrong place. That is in Juvenile Court Number One. You have to go back across the bridge if you're in here by mistake.

Okay. And we have some recordings. Rule 22. Is everybody in here on -- you're here on the -- supposed to be some others. Ms. McDonald.

MS. MCDONALD: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: You're here. Mr. Wilke?

MR. WILKE: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Yeah, he's here. And who's the other one?

THE COURT: Kauffman. Mr. Kauffman.

MR. KAUFFMAN: That's me.

THE COURT: How'd you get up there?

MR. KAUFFMAN: I asked Mr. Davis earlier if it was okay if I sit here because recording.

THE COURT: And you did what, Mr. Davis?

MR. DAVIS: [Inaudible].

THE COURT: Why did he get preference than everybody else?

MR. DAVIS: No one else asked.

THE COURT: Nobody else asked. Okay. Good statement. Anybody else want to come in and [inaudible] -- okay. We'll do that.

This is mainly on the case of Rhonda Martin, Smyth Duval, and Jeanne Dufort versus Geoff Duncan, Fulton County Board of Registration and Elections, Gwinnett County Board of Registration and Elections. Mr. Brown, where are your clients?

MR. BROWN: Jeanne Dufort is here.

THE COURT: She's what?

MR. BROWN: Your question was what, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Where are your clients?

MR. BROWN: My client, this is Jeanne Dufort.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. BROWN: She is the plaintiff in the case.

THE COURT: Okay. And are the other two here too?

MR. BROWN: Smyth Duvall.

THE COURT: Mr. Duvall is here?

Page 10

1 MR. BROWN: He is here. Yes, Your Honor.
2 THE COURT: Okay. Just trying to identify. Do you need seats to bring them to the table?
3
4 MR. BROWN: We're sort of crowded so this is fine.
THE COURT: You all need to be at this table?
5
6 MR. BROWN: No. He doesn't -- you're fine. I mean, we're okay.
7
8 THE COURT: You are?
9 MR. BROWN: Yeah.
10 THE COURT: And then on this side. Everybody's
11 together.
MR. BROWN: I would like to make a request that
12
13 Marilyn Marks, who is the executive director of the former plaintiff, Coalition, and it's been -- helping me in this
14
15 case, it would be an -- it would be a great assistance to me, just professionally, if she could also ask specific --
16
17 THE COURT: She can't sit at the table.
18 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: She can't sit at the table.
19
20 MALE: My only concern is that we do intend to invoke the Rule. She going be a witness?
21
22 MR. BROWN: She may and we will decide whether she goes first or not. So she can stay out here.
23
24 MR. LINDSEY: If she stays -- if she's the first
25 witness then I would object to her staying.

Page 11

1 THE COURT: I'm sorry?
2 MR. LINDSEY: If she's the first witness knows the Rule, which I guess I have no objections --
3
4 THE COURT: Okay.
MR. LINDSEY: -- to her staying.
5
6 THE COURT: Okay. We'll follow that. Everybody else agree? I see Fulton and Gwinnett?
7
8 MS. BURWELL: Yes, Your Honor.
9 THE COURT: Okay.
10 MR. LINDSEY: [Inaudible] she could come in.
11 THE COURT: Okay. On the Rule 22 request, you cannot take anything at each individual table to the lobbies.
12
13 Okay. I know you were here last time and you did a good job, but you can't -- or any conversation between lawyers
14
15 and witnesses. You have to honor the confidentiality.
MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.
16
17 THE COURT: Okay. You all are standing up. I think
18 that Mr. Brown we filed a mandatory trial.
MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.
19
20 THE COURT: And I know I got a response from Fulton County.
21
22 MALE: Yeah. We also have a response as well that simply mimic the county's and we are filing it today.
23
24 THE COURT: Okay.
25 MALE: Can I approach the bench?

Page 12

1 THE COURT: Mr. Groton does that. There's no clerk
2 because this is a Fulton County case. So he has to do that. Okay. I guess -- will I hear first from is -- let
3
4 me hear from -- Mr. Brown, why do you think juror demand is appropriate?
5
6 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the statute is very clear. It says that the case should be tried to the court unless
7
8 a jury demand is made. And that is explicit and without
9 exception. And we have made a demand for a jury trial and
10 the facts that will be tried, or triable to a jury both in
11 the election case and in any other case.
THE COURT: Okay.
12
13 MR. BROWN: So that's our request. Thank you.
THE COURT: What order are you going in over at that
14
15 time?
MALE: She filed -- Fulton County filed first of all
16
17 --
18 THE COURT: Are you Ms. Blackwell?
MS. BURWELL: Burwell. Burwell.
19
20 THE COURT: Burwell. I'm trying to get names right. Okay.
21
22 MS. BURWELL: Kaye. Right. Yes. Kaye Burwell on behalf of Fulton County.
23
24 MALE: Judge --
25 THE COURT: I got it.

Page 13

1 MALE: Judge, I'm sorry. We have one more Rule 22
2 from the AJC that you haven't had a chance to review.
THE COURT: So I have not written [inaudible].
3
4 Anybody -- who's here from the AJC?
FEMALE: I am.
5
6 THE COURT: Okay. Anybody have an objection to the AJC covering this? Mr. Brown.
7
8 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor.
9 MR. LINDSEY: In fact, they're more than welcome to
10 sit in the jury.
11 THE COURT: You're welcome to sit in the jury box. Come sit over here if you want to.
12
13 MS. BURWELL: Think at a better angle.
THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.
14
15 MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, it is Fulton County's position that the demand for a jury trial by the
16
17 petitioners is insufficient. We cited the Court to the
18 Henderson case, which clearly provides that there is a two-step analysis for determining whether or not a jury
19
20 trial is available to a petitioner and they have satisfied the first, which was merely to demand.
21
22 They haven't satisfied the second. They haven't provided this Court with any information or argument to
23
24 support that under other laws at issue in the case, there
25 is a matter upon which they are entitled to have a trial

Page 14

1 by jury. And that's the second part and they haven't
2 presented this Court with anything that would be
sufficient for them to satisfy that burden. And so based
3
4 upon the statute itself, which is 21-2-522, as well as the
Henderson case, because they utterly failed to allege
5
6 issues that would be triable to a jury, we believe that a
jury trial demand is inappropriate.
7
8 Further, Your Honor, as we pointed out in our
9 response, the statute provides that if there are issues
10 that need to be tried, a jury from that particular county
11 needs to be impaneled. And in this instance, they are --
they have allegations in their complaint about Worth
12
13 County, Henry County, DeKalb County, and perhaps other
counties that we don't know about yet. And so the Court
14
15 would be required if they had alleged something that was
triable to a jury, would need to impanel juries from each
16
17 of those jurisdictions in order to hear whatever issues
18 would need to be heard.
And for that reason, Your Honor, obviously the ins of
19
20 the election contest statute, which is the swift and
expedient determination of a contest, would be forwarded.
21
22 Thank you.
THE COURT: Thank you. Any additional arguments?
23
24 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, Bryan Tyson on behalf of
25 Gwinnett County, just very briefly. As the Fulton County

Page 15

1 counsel, Ms. Burwell, has correctly pointed out, the cases
2 that they have cited control there is no constitutional
right to a jury trial in an election contest case.
3
4 And I think under the circumstances that the demand
only came after the motion of continuance had been denied
5
6 in the case that this is an attempt by the plaintiffs to
delay this case when this case under the Election Code
7
8 needs to be resolved quickly and brought to a conclusion,
9 especially considering that the Legislature is already in
10 session and the lieutenant governor is presiding this
11 morning, even in the State Senate. Thank you.
THE COURT: Anything from you, Mr. Lindsey?
12
13 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we simply just joined with
two other co-defendants with their positions.
14
15 THE COURT: Mr. Brown.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, quickly, three points.
16
17 Gwinnett raises the timeliness issue. Again, the statute
18 is clear and the demand needs to be made before the case
is called.
19
20 Second, counsel for Fulton County says that we
haven't made allegations about facts that are triable to a
21
22 jury. We have a very detailed fact-bound complaint and
each of the factual allegations in there, in any civil
23
24 case, would be triable to a jury and I know of no
25 exception. For example, were significant programming

Page 16

1 errors made? Can the vote tallies be reconciled? Are
2 there signs of malicious manipulation of the vote tallies?
All of those things are factual issues. Thank you, Your
3
4 Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. I strike the demand for jury.
5
6 This is a bench trial. It does not comport with the
statute. Okay. You want to make an opening statement --
7
8 whoa. Let's not do that. Okay.
9 MR. LINDSEY: I'm sorry. Sorry. And just as a
10 reminder, Your Honor, we have invoked the Rule.
11 THE COURT: The Rule is invoked. So witnesses, you
have need to be outside.
12
13 MR. BROWN: Starting now, Your Honor?
THE COURT: Starting right now.
14
15 MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Starting right now.
16
17 MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, on behalf of Fulton County,
18 we have our [inaudible] representative, Mr. Barron. We'd
like him to be able to stay to my --
19
20 THE COURT: He's who?
MS. BURWELL: Mr. Barron. Mr. Richard Barron. He's
21
22 the election superintendent for --
THE COURT: Is he being told to stay?
23
24 MS. BURWELL: -- Fulton County.
25 MR. BROWN: Clarification, Your Honor.

Page 17

1 THE COURT: Yes, sir.
2 MR. BROWN: We have two expert witnesses and
typically they are not sequestered because they may be
3
4 relying upon the client testimony. And so we would
request Your Honor's clarification that it does not apply
5
6 to expert witnesses.
MR. LINDSEY: And we believe that in this case that
7
8 it should unless they intend -- we have no objection to
9 them remaining in the courtroom --
10 THE COURT: Once they testify.
11 MR. LINDSEY: -- once they testify in case they need
to be brought back up on rebuttal based on any fact that
12
13 need to be brought. But in terms of their initial
testimony, we would ask the rule be [inaudible].
14
15 THE COURT: I think Mr. Brown, you -- we do that when
you have experts and they have experts. We have dueling
16
17 experts, but you've got two of the same. So once they've
18 testified, they can stay.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
19
20 THE COURT: Okay. And that means you cannot discuss
each other's testimony.
21
22 MR. LINDSEY: And for that matter, Your Honor, I just
wanted to clarify for both sides. Once the witness has
23
24 testified, as long as there is an assurance by the lawyer
25 that that person will not be brought back up for any

Page 18

1 further reason, I -- any purpose, but I don't have any
2 problem with them remaining in the courtroom once they've
testified.
3
4 THE COURT: Okay.
MR. BROWN: My understanding of the rule, Your Honor,
5
6 is that if a witness is in the courtroom, the witness is
thereafter disqualified from being called.
7
8 MR. LINDSEY: Yeah. That's all I'm saying.
9 THE COURT: That's correct.
10 MR. LINDSEY: But I'm saying I don't have a problem
11 with them staying after they've testified.
THE COURT: Okay. Opening statement, Mr. Brown.
12
13 Open? Want to make an opening statement?
MR. BROWN: Oh, yes, Your Honor. Initially, we -- as
14
15 a matter of procedure, we have still pending a motion to
compel.
16
17 THE COURT: I've denied that motion to compel.
18 MR. BROWN: Okay. In addition -- just for the
record, Your Honor, we would like to make a motion for
19
20 additional discovery under the Civil Practice Act.
THE COURT: Sir, I've denied all that.
21
22 MR. BROWN: I understand.
THE COURT: We've been through it. I looked. I have
23
24 17 filings in this case in the last two days; okay?
25 Nobody's going to believe my list of work in this case.

Page 19

1 Those all have been denied. They are preserved for the
2 record.
MR. BROWN: Right.
3
4 THE COURT: I've ruled on them. The record is clear
that -- I've ruled. If that's what you're concerned
5
6 about, I've ruled; okay?
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, the
7
8 issue in this case is whether the election was so
9 defective as to place in doubt the result of the
10 lieutenant governor's contest. This case is not like any
11 other election case. In every other election case the
evidence of defectiveness, of a defective election, is
12
13 hard documentary evidence. It is misspelled names on an
absentee ballot.
14
15 It is a person who was ineligible to vote. It is
some flaw in the electoral process that can be
16
17 demonstrated with hard evidence in court. The State of
18 Georgia has chosen a voting system which embeds in it the
results, the true results of an election never to be
19
20 discovered after the vote is made.
The distinction between this case and every other
21
22 voting case is that there is no tangible evidence of the
result of the election. There is none at all. Instead,
23
24 all we have are traces, secondary evidence, giving some
25 hint as to whether or not the voting totals are correct or

Page 20

1 not.
2 And what are those and what are those hints here?
What evidence do we have that this vote is reliable? We
3
4 have none, Your Honor. What -- instead, what we have, and
our experts will testify -- Your Honor, is there a issue
5
6 that I should address or is there --
THE COURT: Mm-hmm?
7
8 MR. BROWN: Are you -- let me --
9 THE COURT: A gentleman just came in and I'm not sure
10 if he's trying to record, that's the only thing.
11 MR. BROWN: Okay.
THE COURT: Sir, do you have anything to record?
12
13 MALE: No, Your Honor. I was sitting back here and I
was having trouble hearing so I moved up.
14
15 THE COURT: No problem. Go ahead.
MR. BROWN: Sorry, Your Honor.
16
17 THE COURT: Sure.
18 MR. BROWN: Thank you. The --
THE COURT: I'm just trying to preserve the
19
20 courtroom. Go ahead. But I'm hearing you.
MR. BROWN: But what the evidence we do have are the
21
22 traces of what happened and all of that evidence shows
that this election was defective. The most obvious
23
24 evidence is the vote patterns. And as Your Honor has read
25 in our briefs already, these voting patterns were aberrant

Page 21

1 in the extreme.
2 And the reason we know they were aberrant, the
reasons we know they were aberrant is that this has never
3
4 -- nothing like this has ever happened before, but to me
most probative is that the pattern that we see in the
5
6 electronic voting, the under-vote for the lieutenant
governor's contest, is not seen in the paper votes. We
7
8 have 200,000 paper votes, Your Honor.
9 It's a huge sample of people in all walks of life,
10 all around the state, of every political persuasion, and
11 for some reason they all, or 99 percent of them, voted in
the lieutenant governor's race. One or a little bit more
12
13 than one or less than one out of 100 decided not to vote.
Historically, that is exactly what you would expect. For
14
15 the last 20 years, the consistent under-vote for the
lieutenant governor's contest in Georgia is .8 percent,
16
17 less than 1 in 100.
18 In Georgia, the history is if you're going to take
the trouble to vote, and not everybody does sadly, but if
19
20 you're going to take the trouble to vote, whether in-
person, early, absentee, or live, is that 1 out of 100
21
22 will not vote for the lieutenant governor. That is what
happened in the paper ballots this year. One out of 100
23
24 decided not to vote for the lieutenant governor's race.
25 Now for some reason we have many, many thousands more on

Page 22

1 the electronic ballot, a gap of 4 or 5 percent in the
2 electronic vote.
There is no legitimate explanation for that. It
3
4 could not have happened by chance. The samples are too
large for that to be simply random chance. So it's not
5
6 chance. Could it be because the lieutenant governor's
race was not very interesting to people? There's no
7
8 reason to believe that, Your Honor. And even if you were
9 inclined to believe that prima facie, then why did 99 out
10 of 100 vote on paper?
11 The same goes for every other explanation for this
anomaly. Let the defendants make that out. In a criminal
12
13 case a convicted -- a defendant may be sent to the
electric chair if the prosecution eliminates every
14
15 plausible alternative to their guilt. Here what we will
do, and what the evidence will show, is that every other
16
17 plausible explanation other than machine defect caused
18 this other vote and because it was machine defect it's a
defective election; so defective that its results are in
19
20 doubt.
A couple things to clarify our position to make sure
21
22 that Your Honor understands what our claim is. The
defendants claim that this is a matter of simple math.
23
24 Our response to that is two-fold. First is that their
25 simple math is wrong. It's just bad arithmetic, Your

Page 23

1 Honor. Our second response -- and we'll explain that to
2 Your Honor in the course of these proceedings.
The second response to that is that it's not simple
3
4 math. You can't -- Your Honor understands this quite well
is that it's not simple math if you have multiple unknown
5
6 variables. Because this election was so defective the
State does not know the true vote got Mr. Duncan, does not
7
8 know the true for Ms. Amico. And third, it doesn't know
9 why there's a gap in the under-vote.
10 Our position isn't that there were some votes that
11 were lost. Our position is that the entire contents is
tainted because the under-vote shows that the machines
12
13 simply were not working -- that they were defective; so
defective as to cast the entire election in doubt and
14
15 require a new election.
The second point I wanted to make sure we were clear
16
17 on in terms of our position is that what we need to show
18 today and tomorrow is that the election was defective. We
do not need to show malice. We do not need to show that
19
20 the defendants or anybody else did something intentionally
to rig the vote or anything like that. That's not what
21
22 this case is about.
This case is about machines and whether or not they
23
24 worked and the evidence will show manifestly that they did
25 not. Your Honor, the course of these proceedings, and I

Page 24

1 caution your understanding of this, is that this argument
2 is not rearguing the motions that we have lost, but is
instead to take the case as it's presented right now. And
3
4 that is the plaintiffs have shown prima facie and will
show prima facie that this election was defective.
5
6 I call Your Honor's attention to OCGA 24-14-22. That
statute says, and I'll quote it, "If a party has evidence
7
8 in such party's power and within such party's reach by
9 which he or she may repel a claim or charge against him,
10 but omits to produce it or if a party has more certain and
11 satisfactory evidence in his or her power but relies on
that which is of weaker or inferior nature, a presumption
12
13 arises that the charge or claim against such party is well
founded; but this presumption may be rebutted."
14
15 Your Honor, what this law -- this law is commonsense
but it's also fundamentally important in terms of fairness
16
17 and accuracy and decision-making by the courts. We will
18 show that there's no plausible explanation other than
machine malfunction. The defendants can escape that
19
20 charge if they prove that they have investigated, they've
done their own, and they can bring into court evidence
21
22 that this -- these machines are working.
Instead, they will not do so and as Your Honor is
23
24 well aware, they have resisted doing so, and they have not
25 done so in discovery. And so when the plaintiff makes his

Page 25

1 case, or her cas, and the defendant's position is, well,
2 it's just a black box; we don't know what's in that black
box, then the plaintiff wins, Your Honor.
3
4 Your Honor, in terms of the order of proof we have
some witnesses -- the sequence of the witnesses may change
5
6 a little bit because of the sequestration. In addition,
we will have applied witnesses, people who actually
7
8 attended -- actually voted or trying to vote. We will
9 have an expert on forensics and on these particular DRE
10 machines, and we will have an expert on the numbers and on
11 the politics behind the numbers.
And at the conclusion of this matter, we believe that
12
13 the Court will be authorized, if not compelled, to
conclude that this election was so defective as to place
14
15 the results in doubt. Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: [Inaudible] Mr. Lindsey? Okay.
16
17 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, on
18 behalf of myself and Mr. Olens, we are here representing
the Lieutenant Governor Geoff Duncan who won his election
19
20 on November 6, 2018, by a significant margin, 123,172
votes.
21
22 The statutory in case law is clear as set forth in
the case of Hart versus Crawford, which said, and I quote,
23
24 "The setting aside of an election in which the people have
25 chosen the representative is a dramatic remedy that should

Page 26

1 not be taken lightly but instead should be reserved for
2 cases in which the person challenging an election has clearly established that the violation has placed the
3
4 result of the election in doubt."
This requires, Your Honor, more than mere speculation
5
6 or guess work. More than saying that things might have possibly happened but they must show by clear evidence
7
8 that a -- that some type of problem occurred and that it
9 was a problem of great enough significance to wipe out a
10 margin of victory of over 123,000 votes.
11 In this case, not only are the plaintiffs not going to be able to show that they were the breach of Georgia's
12
13 election system, but we will also show why Ms. Amico received the vote counts she did, which I want to state
14
15 right here at the beginning, which is that within the range of the other unsuccessful Democratic candidates in
16
17 2006 [sic]. Ms. Amico received 1,828,566 votes.
18 Granted, this was less than the votes received by the more profiled Democratic candidates, such as Ms. Abrams,
19
20 but it is a vote count much higher than four other Democratic candidates and is within a few thousand votes
21
22 of two other ones. So in other words, she -- her vote count as a Democratic in the 2016 election was right
23
24 square in the middle among all the various Democrats who
25 ran statewide here in Georgia. That's number one.

Page 27

1 Number two, in terms we will be able to establish why
2 the vote count for Ms. Amico was what it was and why there was a differentiation between the paper and electronic
3
4 ballots. The fact of the matter is, Your Honor, we were dealing with an ahistorical election; and never before has
5
6 Georgia had this many new voters vote in one election. In 2014, there were approximately 98,000 new voters that
7
8 voted.
9 In 2018, there were almost four times that number
10 that voted. The fact of the matter is when you have this
11 many new voters, you have a greater number amount of confusion that takes place. You also have the fact that
12
13 the way in which the ballot was configured on paper ballots versus the electronic system could have added to
14
15 that confusion inadvertently by virtue of how the various individuals on the ballot were placed.
16
17 In the case of the electronic ballots, they were in a
18 -- what you might call an east-west position. In the paper ballots they were in a north-south position right
19
20 next to each other, which would add to the confusion for many voters, particularly many new voters here in Georgia
21
22 as to whether or not Ms. Amico and Ms. Abrams were running on a ticket.
23
24 This is aggravated further by the way in which Ms.
25 Amico chose to run her campaign, which was to seek to run

Page 28

1 as a team with Ms. Abrams. So that alone would suffice to
2 show why there was a difference between the paper and the electronic ballots. In addition, quite frankly, unlike a
3
4 lot of the other candidates, Ms. Amico received a lot of negative press in the closing days of the campaign.
5
6 And that closing -- that negative press was particularly being likely to dampen Democratic enthusiasm
7
8 for her candidacy given the fact that there were
9 allegations while she was a senior officer of a
10 corporation, that that corporation was engaged in
11 systematic racial discrimination.
So there were multiple reasons, Your Honor, not
12
13 simply one as to why there was the down-ballot voter gap that existed in this race as opposed to others. And we
14
15 will look forward to presenting those to you. Thank you, Your Honor.
16
17 THE COURT: Okay. [inaudible]?
18 MS. BURWELL: Thank you, Your Honor. The Fulton County Board of Registrations and Elections is charged
19
20 with following State law and to follow State law in order to ensure that citizens of Fulton County receive a fair
21
22 and accurate election when they go to the polls. And we believe the evidence will show that the Fulton County
23
24 Board of Registrations and Elections did just that.
25 We believe the evidence will show that the Board

Page 29

1 accurately recorded the will of the Fulton County voters.
2 As this Court knows, there's no such thing as a perfect election. There never has been and there never will be.
3
4 But in this instance, these petitioners have a heavy burden and a burden we believe the evidence, with respect
5
6 to Fulton County, will now bear out their claims. And that's because the case is very clear that not every
7
8 garden variety irregularity entitles anyone to a new
9 election and that's because elections are designed to
10 carry out the will of the people.
11 And again, we believe that this election did just that. And at the close of the evidence we're going to ask
12
13 the Court to deny petitioner's request.
THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Tyson.
14
15 MR. TYSON: Thank you, Your Honor. Bryan Tyson for Gwinnett County. We are in agreement with the prior two
16
17 defendants. The key point for this Court is that the
18 burden on the plaintiffs is high.
The election is presumed valid. These are the
19
20 certified results, unless the plaintiffs can bring forward specific evidence of what was the irregularity that could
21
22 have caused the result to be placed in doubt, they cannot succeed in their claim. The availability of any number of
23
24 plausible explanations, which the evidence will show, will
25 defeat that. The ballot design question, the fact that

Page 30

1 this election was the first time in a governor's election
2 that we've not had a U.S. Senate race also happening at
the same time, and so that affected the ballot design
3
4 worked and affected the way -- on the DRE's particularly
that voters were looking at those ballots.
5
6 Voter decisions obviously could play a role. Lack of
a third party candidate could play a role. Any number of
7
8 factors could explain the dip in the votes for Ms. Amico's
9 candidacy. And the Supreme Court is abundantly clear that
10 speculation alone is not enough to overturn an election.
11 Plaintiffs have the burden of showing hard evidence,
of demonstrating exactly what the cause was, and also
12
13 demonstrating that literally no other cause could have
happened. They have to come forward with affirmative
14
15 evidence that demonstrate that fact. The constitutional
claims, Your Honor, had been dismissed.
16
17 The only thing left in this case is a statutory
18 election contest and that is ultimately a mathematical
issue. 123,000 votes have to be shown to be in question
19
20 in addition to the -- so that the plaintiffs can carry
their burden and if they're not able to demonstrate that
21
22 or show an irregularity that places the entire result in
doubt through hard evidence, then they will not be able to
23
24 succeed in their claims and we do not believe they'll be
25 able to and believe the election should be upheld. Thank

Page 31

1 you.
2 THE COURT: First witness, Mr. Brown.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, we will call Marilyn Marks as
3
4 our first witness.
THE COURT: Would you swear the witness, please, Mr.
5
6 Brown?
MR. BROWN: Ms. Marks, please raise your right hand.
7
8 Do you promise to tell the truth, the whole truth, and
9 nothing but the truth?
10 MS. MARKS: Yes.
11 MR. BROWN: Have a seat.
12
13 Thereupon:
14
15 MARILYN MARKS
16
17 was called as a witness by the Petitioner; and,
18 having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
19
20 DIRECT EXAMINATION
OF MARILYN MARKS
21
22
BY MR. BROWN:
23
24 Q. Please state your full name for the record?
25 A. Marilyn Marks.

Page 32

1 Q. Ms. Marks, by whom are you currently
2 employed?
A. I am the executive director of Coalition
3
4 for Good Governance.
Q. And what is the Coalition for Good
5
6 Governance?
A. Coalition for Good Governance is a
7
8 nonprofit, charitable organization, non-partisan
9 organization, that works on election transparency,
10 election integrity, voter privacy. We have a few
11 core issues of that nature that we really focused on
and we're a small organization.
12
13 Q. Is electronic voting one of those core
issues?
14
15 A. In fact, we probably -- yes, it is. In
fact, we've probably spent the better part of the
16
17 last three years with 100 percent of our resources
18 focused on problems with electronic voting in the
fact that electronic voting is not verifiable --
19
20 cannot be on the table --
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we object [inaudible] --
21
22 THE COURT: [inaudible] not responsive to the
question.
23
24 MR. BROWN: That's right. That's fine. Thank you.
25 THE COURT: Just answer -- you'll get there.

Page 33

1 MR. BROWN: That's right.
2 THE COURT: He'll get to everything you need to say.
Give him a chance; okay?
3
4 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. And what in particular about electronic
5
6 voting has caused the Coalition to focus upon that as
one of their issues?
7
8 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'm going to object. She
9 hasn't been qualified as an expert and this isn't a case
10 as to whether or not there are doubts. It's the terms of
11 whether or not electronic voting versus paper ballots or
some other system would be better. That's an argument
12
13 that needs to be done -- as a matter of fact, being done
down at the State Capitol.
14
15 The question today is whether or not there was an
actual taking place in this election, in this particular
16
17 election. So there are two objections. Number one, she
18 hasn't been qualified as an expert; and number two, the
question is irrelevant to the issues before the Court at
19
20 this time.
THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
21
22 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I asked her a factual
question as to what the Coalition's focus was on and she
23
24 was answering it.
25 THE COURT: Well, but she got beyond -- she started

Page 34

1 to testify as an expert and she can't testify as an expert
2 unless you can qualify her. I understand your position
and I know what the law is. I'm going to give them a
3
4 little latitude in this case.
MR. BROWN: I understand. Sure.
5
6 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. What is your -- I'm going to ask you some
7
8 questions about your background. And first, your
9 organization is based where?
10 A. Yes. Our headquarters is in Charlotte,
11 North Carolina.
Q. And what is your personal connection to
12
13 Georgia?
A. Well, actually I had my business career
14
15 here before I retired.
Q. And what was your business career?
16
17 A. I was the CEO and owner of a truck-trailer
18 manufacturing reorganization and we're headquartered
here in Atlanta.
19
20 Q. And skipping back to -- so you've lived
many years in Georgia; correct?
21
22 A. I lived in -- I plan to move back shortly.
Q. Okay.
23
24 A. I've been spending the vast majority of my
25 time here for the last four or five months.

Page 35

1 Q. Ms. Marks, what is your experience
2 generally with electronic voting systems and whether
that's a good method of recording votes?
3
4 A. Okay. Ten years ago I got very interested
in elections; in fact, ran for Mayor of Aspen,
5
6 Colorado. And at that time, they were using the
Diebold voting system that is also used in Georgia.
7
8 They were using it slightly differently. But at that
9 time is when I began to be concerned about the
10 electronic portion of the system.
11 And I tested the system as part of my work as a
citizen and I became an election judge, and ended up
12
13 spending a considerable amount of time getting to
know the system. And over the last ten years I
14
15 devoted myself -- having lost the election I devoted
myself to the problems that were begin to be
16
17 uncovered in my own loss in the election.
18 And I have spent the last ten years doing work
around troubled elections [inaudible] organization
19
20 tends to called into troubled elections, particularly
when there are unverifiable electronic elections
21
22 where the results cannot be audited like this one.
Q. Have you ever been involved in a post-
23
24 election audit?
25 A. I have.

Page 36

1 THE WITNESS: Your Honor, would it be all right if I
2 have a cup of water?
MR. BROWN: Yeah. Here. I'll get that, Your Honor.
3
4 THE COURT: Actually, your lawyer's got some.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
5
6 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. I believe you were testifying about post-
7
8 election audits, Ms. Marks.
9 A. Yes. I have been involved in various forms
10 of post-election audits starting with my own loss in
11 the election in 2009 and then primarily in Colorado,
although some in North Carolina, South Carolina, and
12
13 whereas in Georgia there is no post-election audit.
But, yes, I've been both in server; I've helped plan;
14
15 I've a poll watcher for post-election audits.
I've trained what in Colorado called canvas
16
17 board members who conduct the post-election audit.
18 So I've trained those for Libertarian Party and some
of the nonpartisan candidates -- not candidates,
19
20 excuse me, members of canvas boards. So I've spent
hundreds of hours in post-election auditing.
21
22 Q. Have you testified in court before, Ms.
Marks?
23
24 A. Yes, many times. Coalition for Good
25 Governance has done a lot of work in litigat- -- in

Page 37

1 election litigation and so I have been in court a
2 lot.
Q. You mentioned paper ballots and the --
3
4 based upon your understanding of the allegations in
this petition, do you understand that the petitioners
5
6 are seeking a new election; do you understand that?
A. Yes.
7
8 Q. And do you have an understanding of whether
9 the petitioners want the new election to be on paper
10 ballot on the one hand or on the electronic ballot on
11 the other?
A. It is clear that the petitioners want only
12
13 a verifiable election, which has to be on paper
ballots.
14
15 Q. And you -- based upon your work, have you
become familiar with Georgia's processes and
16
17 procedures for conducting electronic and paper
18 elections?
A. Yes, I'm very familiar with those
19
20 procedures. I began my work in Georgia in the spring
of 2017 and began to analyze the problems in the
21
22 unverifiable DRE system. The debunked DRE system at
the time actually -- should I tell you about when --
23
24 Q. Just wait.
25 A. Okay.

Page 38

1 Q. Based upon your understanding of Georgia's
2 practices and procedures in voting, would it be
feasible for Georgia to conduct a paper ballot for
3
4 any re-elect new election?
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object to that question.
5
6 The foundation hasn't be laid for that; it's a legal
conclusion. She's not qualified as an expert.
7
8 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would -- thank you, Mr.
9 Tyson, if I may interrupt. I should have before asking
10 that question and I'll withdraw it -- ask that Ms. Marks
11 be qualified as a witness about DRE machines generally and
their use in Georgia and the practices and procedures of
12
13 the State of Georgia for paper ballots and for electronic
ballots.
14
15 MR. TYSON: If I could ask [inaudible] show of
questions of Ms. Marks.
16
17 THE COURT: Surely. Go ahead.
18 MR. TYSON: Good morning, Ms. Marks. My name is
Bryan Tyson. I represent the Gwinnett County Board of
19
20 Elections -- Registrations and Elections. I just had a
couple additional questions for you. You mentioned you
21
22 testified in court before.
23
24 CROSS-EXAMINATION
25 OF MARILYN MARKS

Page 39

1
2 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. Have you ever qualified as an expert in a
3
4 court proceeding?
A. No. And have never intended to be.
5
6 Q. Okay. Have you ever been involved in the
administration of an election in Georgia a member of
7
8 the Board of Elections, a staff member in elections
9 office?
10 A. No, I have not been a Georgia resident so I
11 would not have been qualified for that. I have been
a poll watcher several times for candidates and for
12
13 the Libertarian Party in Georgia.
Q. Mr. Brown asked you about your work with
14
15 electronic voting machines. Do you have any degrees
or specialized training in computer science?
16
17 A. No. I just have a practical hands-on
18 experience.
Q. Do you -- have you -- I'm sorry. It's
19
20 correct, isn't it, that you have no first-hand
knowledge of any DRE machine that you personally
21
22 reviewed for the 2018 Georgia general election; is
that correct?
23
24 A. I'm sorry. Do you mind repeating the
25 question?

Page 40

1 Q. Sure. It's correct, isn't it, that you
2 have not personally reviewed any DRE machines that
were used in the 2018 Georgia general election?
3
4 A. No. That is incorrect.
Q. Okay. Which machines have you reviewed?
5
6 A. Okay. So I'll not be able to tell you the
serial numbers, not off the top of my head,
7
8 certainly. The machines that I observed as I was a
9 poll watcher and I watched them operate -- I looked
10 at the data that was coming up on the screen in a
11 number of poll locations, and did so in a number of
the 2018 elections.
12
13 So, I did watch the operations, I watched the
shutdown of the machines, and then watched the
14
15 progression records through the compilation tallies.
So, yes, I have observed the operation of the
16
17 machines as a authorized statewide poll watcher.
18 Q. So, it would be correct to say, wouldn't
it, that you've observed them from a distance, but
19
20 you've not personally examined any of the DRE
machines; is that correct?
21
22 A. That would not be correct.
Q. Have you personally ever touched a DRE
23
24 machine?
25 A. Oh, certainly.

Page 41

1 Q. Have you ever voted on a DRE machine?
2 A. I have.
Q. Have you voted on a Georgia DRE machine?
3
4 A. I have not voted in -- wait, excuse me. I
think I was about to not get that correct. When I
5
6 was a resident here, I believe they were just
bringing in the DRE machines and so I believe I did
7
8 vote many years ago on the DRE machine.
9 Q. But you're not sure?
10 A. I -- I voted on a DRE machine in North
11 Carolina.
Q. And you personally believe that Georgia
12
13 should move to a paper ballot system; correct?
A. Certainly.
14
15 MR. TYSON: I don't have any other questions at the
moment.
16
17 THE COURT: Anybody else have questions for her?
18 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, we renew our objection.
She's never been qualified as an expert.
19
20 THE COURT: Go ahead.
MR. TYSON: For one, she just finished saying that
21
22 she doesn't consider herself an expert. So -- and she's
not demonstrated any expertise on the technical issues
23
24 that are involved in this case. She certainly has some
25 very strong opinions and we understand it's good for her

Page 42

1 to get up on the stand to sort of lay out what the
2 plaintiffs want. But in terms of providing any factual
evidence to support their claim, she's simply not been
3
4 qualified as an expert [inaudible].
MR. LINDSEY: And, Your Honor, we would also object
5
6 to Ms. Marks being qualified as an expert. We already her
expertise on the DRE machines. She's testified that it's
7
8 limited to just observing them from a distance.
9 And that we don't believe that that will in any way
10 assist the Court in determining fact in issue under 702(b)
11 because that's not one of the issues here in terms of what
someone saw on the machine. The issue is what was the
12
13 vote in that issue.
In addition, we would also object to her
14
15 qualification regarding Georgia practices and procedures
in that those are ensconced in the election code and Your
16
17 Honor is capable of determining those without the
18 assistance from an expert.
THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
19
20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, she's not being -- a lot of
what Mr. Lindsey said I didn't quite follow, but she is
21
22 not being qualified as to the technical aspects of the
DRE. We have another expert for that. She instead is
23
24 being qualified for the procedure. Thank you.
25 THE COURT: The question you asked would have

Page 43

1 required her to be an expert and she's not qualified as an
2 expert. You asked, you know, could Georgia conduct paper
-- I am listening. I don't look like I am sometimes but
3
4 that helps me intensely listen.
You asked her really about whether paper ballots
5
6 could be done and she has no expertise to be able to
testify to something like that.
7
8 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
9
10 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
11 OF MARILYN MARKS
12
13 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Let me ask you some questions and you --
14
15 you have not been qualified as an expert, so when I
ask you a question it's going to have be based on
16
17 your personal knowledge; do you understand that?
18 A. Yes. Yes, I do. Uh-huh.
Q. Okay. Who is Taran Greenwald?
19
20 A. Taran Greenwald is an analyst for Coalition
for Good Governance.
21
22 Q. Okay.
MR. BROWN: And has the Coalition -- let me hand you
23
24 what I'll have marked --
25 THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.

Page 44

1 MR. BROWN: -- as Exhibit 1.
2
[Thereupon, the referred-to document was entered into
3
4 evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 1]
5
6 MR. TYSON: If we may, Your Honor, could we see a
copy first? Thank you, Your Honor.
7
8 THE COURT: [inaudible].
9 BY MR. BROWN:
10 Q. Ms. Marks, what is Exhibit 1?
11 A. Exhibit 1 is a voting records request that
was made by Mr. Greenwald and the response from the
12
13 Secretary of State to his request to review certain
of the records.
14
15 Q. Did Coalition for Good Governance send a
number of Open Records Act requests to the Secretary?
16
17 A. Yes, we did.
18 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I object. I'm not real
sure where we're going here.
19
20 THE COURT:
MR. LINDSEY: The Court -- I assume you're trying to
21
22 -- just trying to lay down proper evidence -- evidence you
couldn't get in. No, you couldn't obtain.
23
24 THE COURT: Well, so far -- so far -- I haven't seen
25 the document; okay, he hasn't admitted. So far he hasn't

Page 45

1 asked --
2 MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible]
THE COURT: -- an objectionable question yet.
3
4 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: I think -- I think both Mr. Lindsey --
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: I'm anticipating -- my apologies, Your
Honor.
7
8 THE COURT: -- Mr. Lindsay and I are anticipating; we
9 may be absolutely wrong. Go ahead.
10 MR. LINDSEY: My apologies, Your Honor.
11 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Ms. Marks, is this a true and correct copy
12
13 of a January 9 email from open records at the
Secretary of State to your company's analyst, Taran
14
15 Greenwald?
A. Yes. It is.
16
17 Q. And without going through everything, what
18 does the letter say?
THE COURT: Well, you'll have to tender it before --
19
20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would move to introduce
Exhibit 1 into evidence.
21
22 THE COURT: Now, Mr. Lindsay.
MR. LINDSEY: Objection, Your Honor. It's hearsay
23
24 and it's lay -- you have to also lay a foundation as to
25 what's relevant to the particular issue before us.

Page 46

1 THE COURT: Is it certified, Mr. Brown?
2 MR. BROWN: It is not. It's an email. It's a business record, Your Honor. It's an email from the
3
4 Secretary of State to her organization.
THE COURT: I -- it's not -- it's not tendered --
5
6 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: -- I mean, it's tendered; it's not
7
8 admitted.
9 MR. BROWN: Okay.
10 BY MR. BROWN:
11 Q. Ms. Marks, you testified that you were a poll watcher.
12
13 A. Yes.
Q. And was that in the November 2018 election?
14
15 A. I did. I wasn't a poll watcher in 2018, but I was also a poll watcher in previous 2018
16
17 elections and in Georgia.
18 Q. Okay. And were you ever a poll watcher in early voting for the 2018 election?
19
20 A. Yes. I was a statewide poll watcher for the Libertarian Party and the poll watcher
21
22 credentials covered the period of early voting through the of the election.
23
24 Q. Were you a poll watcher at the Ponce de
25 León Library polling location?

Page 47

1 A. Yes, I was. And the way it works is that
2 we're a poll watcher for all locations.
Q. And -- but you were a poll watcher -- you
3
4 went to poll -- you went to the Ponce de León Library polling location; correct?
5
6 A. Yes. During early voting.
Q. And what did you personally observe at the
7
8 -- that polling location?
9 A. The first thing I noticed when I got there
10 were two-hour long lines for people to get in and
11 then how hard it was for people to get into vote, and I saw many people leaving because they couldn't vote.
12
13 And I could go through with you the troublesome --
Q. Well, let me ask you. Let me ask you that.
14
15 A. Uh-huh.
Q. Did you observe the DREs in operation from
16
17 an appropriate distance?
18 A. I did.
Q. Were they operating correctly?
19
20 A. They were not.
Q. And why so?
21
22 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'll object. I think this requires Ms. Marks to have a degree of expertise regarding
23
24 the operation of the DRE machines for her to opine about
25 whether they were operating properly or not. There's not

Page 48

1 a foundation for that.
2 THE COURT: I -- I --
MR. BROWN: I'll withdraw the question, Your Honor --
3
4 THE COURT: Withdraw --
MR. BROWN: -- and make it easier. I can make this
5
6 easy.
BY MR. BROWN:
7
8 Q. Were some of the voting machines not
9 working in that they were not taking votes at all?
10 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'll object again.
11 [inaudible] foundation, Ms. Marks can testify to that.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor --
12
13 THE COURT: She -- I think you're making much too general of a question. I understand there's a specific
14
15 allegation. Get to the specific allegation.
MR. BROWN: Okay.
16
17 THE COURT: Okay.
18 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Ms. Marks, was the long line the result of
19
20 some of the machines not working?
A. Yes, it was.
21
22 Q. And how do you know that?
A. I -- the work of a poll watcher is to
23
24 observe the entirety of what's going on.
25 THE COURT: Okay. Just answer the question, please,

Page 49

1 ma'am.
2 MR. BROWN: Yeah, just --
THE WITNESS: And I could see that the machines were
3
4 malfunctioning. I could see the screen, the machines were malfunctioning. It was taking a very long time for those
5
6 voters to go back --
BY MR. BROWN:
7
8 Q. How were they malfunctioning, from your
9 perspective?
10 A. Okay. I was standing about five feet away
11 --
Q. And what --
12
13 A. -- it was a small place.
Q. And what did the machines do?
14
15 A. And so what would happen is a screen would come up that would say, vote cancelled. As the voter
16
17 was attempting to enter their vote and a big red
18 warning sign came up, and the machine stopped working. I observed that happening three times
19
20 during the 30 minutes I was at the library.
Q. Did you speak with any officials at the
21
22 polling location about that problem?
A. I attempted to. I was denied the ability
23
24 to talk to them.
25 Q. Okay. Thank you. Other than the

Page 50

1 malfunctioning machines and the long lines, anything
2 else about the Ponce de León poll watching experience
that is -- that struck you as unusual?
3
4 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'll just object to the
question as worded. I don't think there's of the machine
5
6 were malfunctioning. I think there is testimony they
displayed a red box; I don't think there's any testimony
7
8 that indicates that's that a malfunction.
9 MR. BROWN: I'll withdraw the question.
10 THE COURT: You'll have her on direct.
11 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Ms. Marks.
12
13 RECROSS-EXAMINATION
OF MARILYN MARKS
14
15
BY MR. TYSON:
16
17 Q. Ms. Marks, just one question before you
18 leave. I'll try to keep it short. You said that you
saw three instances of the machine saying, vote
19
20 cancelling; is that correct?
A. That is correct.
21
22 Q. And you didn't witness any other
indications of red screens coming on the machines
23
24 while you were at the Ponce precinct; is that
25 correct?

Page 51

1 A. I witnessed three in 30 minutes and that is
2 all --
Q. Thank you.
3
4 A. -- and that was a sign that the machine
malfunctioning and the [inaudible] --
5
6 Q. I'm sorry. Yes. I don't believe there's
any evidence to explain it --
7
8 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, that's not a question. That
9 is a statement and I'd like to strike that from the
10 record.
11 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I request that the rest of
Ms. Marks' answer about the machine malfunction be
12
13 stricken; that there was no foundation for that statement.
THE COURT: You need to just answer the question. I
14
15 know -- I know your position; I know where you're coming
from; okay? No problem. I've already got that. You
16
17 don't have to impress me with that. Just answer the
18 questions.
MR. TYSON: All right. That's all I have. Thank
19
20 you.
THE COURT: Anybody else?
21
22 MR. LINDSEY: Just real briefly.
23
24 CROSS-EXAMINATION
25 OF MARILYN MARKS

Page 52

1
2 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. Ms. Marks, is your position at the
3
4 Coalition a volunteer or paid position?
A. It is a volunteer position.
5
6 Q. Okay. Do you receive any compensation for
it?
7
8 A. None at all.
9 Q. Okay. Nevertheless, Ms. Marks, is it not
10 true --
11 MR. LINDSEY: Let me show you what we'll tender as
Duncan Defense 1.
12
13
[Thereupon, the referred-to document was entered into
14
15 evidence as Defendant's Duncan Exhibit No. 1]
16
17 BY MR. LINDSEY:
18 Q. This is a Tweet you sent out last night; is
it not? Is that not a Tweet you sent out last night?
19
20 A. It is.
Q. And does it not show that basically you are
21
22 using this trial as a fundraising mechanism for your
organization?
23
24 A. No, it does not show that.
25 Q. It does show that you are seeking to raise

Page 53

1 money as part of this trial; correct?
2 A. Not as part of this trial; in order to pay
attorney's fees and other legal cost.
3
4 Q. Okay.
A. That this trial will cost.
5
6 Q. Okay. And then you just sent out
soliciting contributions as part of this trial;
7
8 correct?
9 A. Not as part of this trial, sir, but as part
10 -- routine requirements to raise money for a very
11 small organization with a high level of expenses.
Q. Okay.
12
13 A. We've been raising money for many years.
Q. All right. And this trial -- you sent this
14
15 out in anticipation of the trial today; correct?
A. This is consistent with fundraising
16
17 messages I've been sending out for years.
18 Q. Okay. So otherwise, you send other
fundraising messages out when there are other trials
19
20 that are going on; correct?
A. Whether or not there are trials going on,
21
22 we are always in fundraising mode.
Q. Okay.
23
24 A. We need to be more.
25 Q. All right.

Page 54

1 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we would tender this
2 Exhibit.
THE COURT: [inaudible] Let me have it, please.
3
4 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor. No objection, Your
Honor.
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: Defense Exhibit -- I guess it would be
Duncan 1.
7
8 THE COURT: Duncan 1. [inaudible], admitted. Go
9 ahead.
10
11 CROSS-EXAMINATION
OF MARILYN MARKS
12
13
BY MS. BURWELL:
14
15 Q. Ms. Marks, are you familiar with the
process for disabled voting on Georgia's DRE
16
17 machines?
18 A. I'm generally familiar with it. I've never
tested it myself as I have in other states.
19
20 Q. And so, what is your understanding of the
process?
21
22 A. To make sure I understand your question,
are you asking about an in-person disabled voter who
23
24 needs to use the assistive devices, is that what
25 you're --

Page 55

1 Q. Yes.
2 A. -- asking me?
Q. Yes.
3
4 A. Okay. So I think that the process
generally is that the disabled voter can identify
5
6 themselves as needing the assistive device and the
poll worker ushers to a device, make sure they know
7
8 how to use it, and it's generally a vision-impaired
9 voter who would need the type of assistive device
10 that Georgia polls use and that they begin to mark
11 the ballots generally through a pad as they are
listening to what's called an audio ballot.
12
13 Q. So, are you familiar with how the actual
machine is set up for a disabled voter?
14
15 A. I am.
Q. Okay. And how would you be familiar with
16
17 that?
18 A. I've set one up before. Not in Georgia,
but just like them in other locations and I've tested
19
20 audio in other locations on the machine.
Q. So, are you familiar with what happens if
21
22 it is in disabled mode and someone uses it who is not
disabled?
23
24 A. Generally nothing very different happens,
25 not supposed to. Those machines are used frequently,

Page 56

1 particularly when there are long lines. Those
2 machines are used frequently by voters in the
standard mode.
3
4 Q. When you say, are used by voters in the
standard mode, you mean a disabled voter?
5
6 A. No. I meant that while they do have the
DREs with assistive devices, that they are not -- any
7
8 voter can, and they're not required to use the
9 assistive device.
10 Q. So, my question is, if -- and for a Georgia
11 machine it is set up for using an assistive device,
but the person doesn't need it -- my question is, do
12
13 you know what happens on the machine? Do you know if
it reveals anything or do you know what the machine
14
15 will show under those circumstances?
A. I don't think it shows if it is -- operates
16
17 as I believe it does -- I don't believe it shows
18 anything different than it does -- than a normal
standard DRE setup does.
19
20 Q. Okay.
MR. BURWELL: Thank you.
21
22 THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
23
24 RE-DIRECT EXAMINATION
25 OF MARILYN MARKS

Page 57

1
2 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Ms. Marks, if you would turn to Duncan 1, I
3
4 have a follow-up question for you.
A: I need a copy of it.
5
6 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, would you like my copy?
THE COURT: That's all right. I have it. But I
7
8 need to keep it in the stack.
9 BY MR. BROWN:
10 Q. Ms. Marks, your Tweet, which has been
11 identified as -- and introduced into evidence as
Duncan Number 1. In that you state, the state and
12
13 counties are opposing us at every turn in the court.
Do you see that?
14
15 A. I do.
Q. How has the Secretary opposed your efforts
16
17 in this matter?
18 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, objection to relevance.
Those have all been issues that have been raised to the
19
20 Court and by their very able attorney, Mr. Brown. He's
raised motions and you have denied each and every one of
21
22 those motions. And so --
THE COURT: Mr. Brown, why is that relevant to the
23
24 election contest we're trying right now? That's all we're
25 trying, is the election contest.

Page 58

1 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, Mr. Lindsey opened the door
2 by introducing this into evidence in an effort to smear
Ms. Marks and I'm --
3
4 THE COURT: He's not talking -- let's -- you know, I
told you the other day about inflammatory language. He's
5
6 not trying to smear. He's trying to challenge her
credibility.
7
8 MR. BROWN: Sure.
9 THE COURT: That's a whole -- that's a different
10 thing.
11 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. It was -- it was
not my intention to smear Mr. Lindsey, I assure you.
12
13 THE COURT: I understand.
MR. BROWN: And -- thank you. I have no further
14
15 questions. Oh, well, I do. I'm sorry. If I may, Your
Honor.
16
17 THE COURT: Go ahead.
18 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. HAVA. Do you know what HAVA is?
19
20 A. I do.
Q. And what does it stand for?
21
22 A. The Help America Vote Act.
Q. And are the -- is the examination of --
23
24 from Ms. Burwell, did that relate to that federal
25 law?

Page 59

1 MR. LINDSAY: Your Honor, I'll object. That calls
2 for a legal conclusion.
MR. BROWN: Okay. Let me back up. I'll withdraw the
3
4 question.
THE COURT: [inaudible]. Sometimes it's just
5
6 rephrasing.
MR. BROWN: True. Thank you, Your Honor.
7
8 BY MR. BROWN:
9 Q. You -- is it your understanding, and this
10 is to follow up on the questions from Ms. Burwell --
11 is it your understanding that in some instances it is
required to use a DRE system that has the mechanisms
12
13 for assisting disabled voters?
MR. LINDSAY: Your Honor, I'll object again. I don't
14
15 think there's a foundation laid for this question and I
think we're back to a relevance objection again, as well,
16
17 that this is not relevant to the election contest.
18 THE COURT: I think -- and he's right. You've got
other experts coming in, so it's really neces- -- she's
19
20 not been qualified as an expert; she's not an expert. She
has a lot of information but she's not an expert. So I
21
22 think you need to wait for your experts for some of this.
MR. BROWN: That's fine, Your Honor. Thank you. I
23
24 have no further questions. Thank you, Ms. Marks.
25 THE COURT: You may go down. Next witness?

Page 60

1 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, just to confirm, we are
2 excusing Ms. Marks -- is Ms. Marks excused so she can
remain in the courtroom?
3
4 THE COURT: Yes. She is excused.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
5
6
[Thereupon, the testimony of the witness concluded at
7
8 10:25 a.m.]
9
10 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the plaintiffs would call
11 Sara LeClerc.
THE COURT: You'll have to go get her. We -- we only
12
13 see to have one deputy so you'll have to get her, or have
someone go get her.
14
15 MR. BROWN: Please raise your right hand. Do you
promise to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but
16
17 the truth?
18 THE WITNESS: I do.
19
20 Thereupon:
21
22 SARA LECLERC
23
24 was called as a witness by the Petitioner; and,
25 having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

Page 61

1
2 DIRECT EXAMINATION
OF SARA LECLERC
3
4
BY MR. BROWN:
5
6 Q. Please state your full name for the record.
A. Sara M. LeClerc.
7
8 Q. Please have a seat. Okay. Could you spell
9 your last name for the court reporter, please?
10 A. Absolutely. It's L-E- capital C-L-E-R-C.
11 Q. Is it LeClerc; is that correct?
A. It's LeClerc, but --
12
13 Q. LeClerc.
A. -- [inaudible] doesn't matter.
14
15 Q. Ms. LeClerc, by whom are you currently
employed?
16
17 A. I work for myself. I'm an attorney and I -
18 -
THE COURT: I'm sorry.
19
20 THE WITNESS: I just work for myself. And I'm an
attorney and I -- so I work on a contract basis for other
21
22 firms.
BY MR. BROWN:
23
24 Q. And where did you go to law school?
25 A. The University of Virginia.

Page 62

1 Q. Okay. And when did you graduate?
2 A. 2007.
Q. And did there come a time that you
3
4 participated in the 2018 elections in any way?
A. Yes.
5
6 Q. And what was your role?
A. Well, my first role I would say other --
7
8 well, other than actually in the election as a
9 citizen, I volunteered to observe, be a poll watcher,
10 a poll observer, so.
11 Q. And with whom did you volunteer? Was it an
organization that --
12
13 A. Yeah. I went to a training with the
Georgia Democrats.
14
15 Q. And did you end up observing any election?
A. Yes, I did.
16
17 Q. And where did you -- where were you?
18 A. Well, I did some early voting observations,
as well as Election Day, and then also the runoff
19
20 Election Day in December. So I was at different
locations for each of those days.
21
22 Q. And on November 7th, where were you
located?
23
24 A. It was November 6th.
25 Q. November 6th, I'm sorry.

Page 63

1 A. And November 6th I was at Allen Temple AME
2 Church.
THE COURT: Which one?
3
4 A. Allen Temple AME. It's on Joseph Boone
Boulevard in Atlanta.
5
6 Q. And in the course of being an observer, do
you take contemporaneous notes of what you are
7
8 observing?
9 A. Yes. So, if something happens, it's not
10 just a perfectly smooth process, then I use my iPhone
11 and I have an app at the direction of to an LBJ
reporting tool. So I took notes directly to the
12
13 iPhone and website.
Q. And what does LBJ stand for, if you know?
14
15 In this instance.
A. Probably Lyndon Baines Johnson.
16
17 Q. Okay.
18 A. I believe it was named after him, given
[inaudible].
19
20 Q. Okay. And did you, in fact, enter your
notes and observations when you were at the AME
21
22 location on November 6th?
A. Yeah, I did; well, multiple times
23
24 throughout that day.
25 MR. BROWN: And I'm going to hand you a -- an Exhibit

Page 64

1 and let me -- if I may explain this to counsel.
2
[Thereupon, the referred-to document was entered into
3
4 evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 2]
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible].
MR. BROWN: Understood. Put it on the record while I
7
8 hand it to you because it's hard to read.
9 MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible] with my glasses.
10 BY MR. BROWN:
11 Q. And what I've handed to counsel is a large-
print version for Mr. Lindsey, like the books you get
12
13 from Amazon, and then the actual Excel spreadsheet,
which is too small even for me to read, and you could
14
15 just see that these blocks were copied onto this.
MR. LINDSEY: I understand. Which one do I get?
16
17 Both of these?
18 MR. BROWN: This is what I'm using as evidence.
MR. LINDSEY: Okay. Do I have a copy of that?
19
20 MR. BROWN: And you have that so you can verify it.
MR. LINDSEY: For the record, Your Honor, I had the
21
22 Lasix surgery. I can still read this.
THE COURT: This one? This is P-2?
23
24 MR. BROWN: This is P-2, Your Honor.
25 BY MR. BROWN:

Page 65

1 Q. Now, Ms. LeClerc, the notes that you take
2 on the LBJ system appear on what looks like an Excel
spreadsheet; is that correct? And that is not what's
3
4 in front of you. That's what I handed to Mr.
Lindsey.
5
6 A. I have the large print of what you said is
the Excel spreadsheet.
7
8 Q. And does that appear to be a large-print
9 version of the very small print on the Excel
10 spreadsheet?
11 A. Yes.
Q. And does the Excel spreadsheet have a true
12
13 and correct recording of the notes that you took on
the LBJ system as you were observing things in the
14
15 AME voting location?
A. Yes. All of the notes that start with Sara
16
17 LeClerc, that's -- those notes are all on, yes.
18 Q. And if it's someone else -- excuse me. And
if it's someone else, their name would appear, like,
19
20 Benjamin Thorpe [ph]; correct?
A. Right. There were maybe one or two notes
21
22 by a different person and their name appears next to
those notes.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would like to introduce
25 Defendant's 2 into the record. I mean, sorry. P-2 into

Page 66

1 the record.
2 MR. LINDSEY: If I understand it, these are contemporaneous notes that are from your observations; is
3
4 that correct?
THE WITNESS: Yes.
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: Okay. No objection.
THE COURT: [inaudible]. Yeah. Thank you.
7
8 BY MR. BROWN:
9 Q. Now, Ms. LeClerc, the way this prints out,
10 where do we start?
11 A. You actually start at the back, so these are my first pages and my last.
12
13 Q. Okay.
A. [inaudible].
14
15 Q. And let's just walk through your notes and I'll ask you some questions on what you were
16
17 observing. Looking at page four --
18 A. If I can clarify that.
Q. Sure.
19
20 A. Actually these are by incidence --
Q. Okay.
21
22 A. -- so the first incident actually starts at the bottom of page three.
23
24 Q. Okay. And what was that incident that you
25 observed?

Page 67

1 A. There was an issue where the Express
2 machines were to pick up their ballots. The number that was on the machines -- well, there -- there were
3
4 two machines at this location. So, they -- the two poll workers were comparing the machines and noticed
5
6 that one machine was a couple numbers different from the other machine's count. So they seemed to be out
7
8 of sync and having discrepancies.
9 Q. And was that issue resolved?
10 A. Yes. That did get resolved. The poll
11 manager called in for a technical help but was told that it would self-correct in time and it did self-
12
13 correct [inaudible].
Q. And then what was the next incident?
14
15 A. The next incident that I started taking was that just one of the voters who came in had --
16
17 started ac- -- oh, I'm sorry.
18 Q. Could you -- I was going to ask you to refer to your notes, so maybe we could follow along
19
20 with your observations. Was this the senior voter?
A. Yes.
21
22 Q. Okay. Turn with me to the bottom of page two.
23
24 A. Yes.
25 Q. Are those your notes relating to that

Page 68

1 senior voter?
2 A. Yes.
Q. And just go ahead and explain without
3
4 looking at this what you recall. That's fine.
A. So, I noticed that -- well, a voter came in
5
6 to check in, got their ballot. She was a rather elderly lady walking on a cane, very kind, and she
7
8 went to the machine to vote. At that point, nothing
9 out of the ordinary had happened, but she started
10 turning around and asking for some help with the
11 machine.
So, we pulled to help her, to assist her. And
12
13 the two of them were at the machine for a brief time. I think the manager left and the voter continued
14
15 voting. Then she called the manager back again and the manager went back to assist. And so the two of
16
17 them were at the machine together for a little while
18 and appear to me ordinary but the manager is allowed to assist the voter if the voter asks for help.
19
20 It lasted for a little while longer than I would have anticipated and at the end of that, the voter
21
22 went down -- went over to some chairs to sit and wait, and I noticed that the manager started shutting
23
24 that DRE machine down, closing it up, and so that was
25 unusual to me and I wanted to figure out what was

Page 69

1 going on. Why was that machine getting closed? Was
2 there a problem?
So at first I was just observing. I didn't want
3
4 to get in the way of whatever the manager was doing. And I went over to talk to the voter. She was
5
6 actually just sitting and waiting for her ride so that she could get back to her home. So I went up to
7
8 her and asked her if everything okay. Was she able
9 to vote? And --
10 MR. LINDSEY: And, Your Honor, I'll object. Ms.
11 LeClerc's been asked [inaudible] what the voter said to be hearsay.
12
13 THE COURT: [inaudible]. She asked her, fine. Go ahead, what's next?
14
15 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Did you have a conversation with the voter?
16
17 A. I had a conversation with the voter.
18 Q. And based upon that conversation, did you have an understanding of what was happening?
19
20 THE COURT: No. Understanding what's happening is hearsay.
21
22 MR. BROWN: Okay.
THE COURT: Did you did anything as a result of it?
23
24 BY MR. BROWN:
25 Q. What did you do in response to receiving

Page 70

1 the information from the voter?
2 A. So, I waited for the manager to finish up what she was doing with the machine and then
3
4 approached her to ask what happened, what -- why was the machine had been closed, what was going on.
5
6 Q. And what did the manager tell you?
MR. LINDSEY: Again, I'll object, on hearsay.
7
8 MR. BROWN: That is an admission. The manager is
9 employed by the defendants. That's an admission.
10 THE COURT: Well, does Fulton County -- does Fulton
11 County have anything to say about that?
MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible].
12
13 MS. BURWELL: Well, Your Honor, the -- it is true that the poll manager would be employed by Fulton County,
14
15 but I don't believe that the poll manager is in a position to bind the County.
16
17 THE COURT: I -- I -- I agree with that, but I'm
18 going to let the witness say what she said.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
19
20 THE WITNESS: So, the poll manager told me that she needed to close the machine because that machine had self-
21
22 cast the voter's ballot before the voter had finished voting. And the manager told me that she was assisting
23
24 the voter on the review screen. So after you make your
25 selection to get the review screen.

Page 71

1 And the manager noticed that the -- there was no
2 selection made for the race for lieutenant governor and for one other race, which the manager didn't name to me.
3
4 And so she had asked the voter -- the voter intended to vote in those races. The voter said, yes --
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: That would be an objection, Your Honor. That's what the voter said.
7
8 THE COURT: That's hearsay at this point.
9 MR. LINDSEY: Yes.
10 THE COURT: But as a result of that -- I'm going to
11 let it go ahead on this one.
MR. BROWN: Okay.
12
13 THE COURT: Uh --
MR. BROWN: Go ahead, Ms. LeClerc.
14
15 THE WITNESS: So, the manager pointed to where the lieutenant governor race was, and the voter put her finger
16
17 on the area for the lieutenant governor race selection so
18 she could vote for the lieutenant governor race. And instead -- which is nowhere near the area for submit
19
20 ballot, but when she touched lieutenant governor, the machine said, your ballot has been submitted and there was
21
22 nothing they could do at that point.
So, it just self-cast before the voter could actually
23
24 make her selection on the lieutenant governor or the other
25 race.

Page 72

1 BY MR. BROWN:
2 Q. Did you observe whether the poll officials took that machine out of service at that time?
3
4 A. Yes. The manager did take it out of service immediately.
5
6 Q. And then was that machine put back in service?
7
8 A. It was put back in service later in the
9 day. Yes.
10 THE COURT: Let's -- let's take a morning break, take
11 a 10-minute break.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
12
13
[Off the record at 10:25 a.m., and back on the record
14
15 at 10:37 a.m.]
16
17 THE COURT:
18
CONTINUATION DIRECT EXAMINATION
19
20 OF SARA LECLERC
21
22 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Ms. LeClerc, returning to your testimony
23
24 about your observations at the AME Church, did you
25 take any photographs of the poll tapes when you were

Page 73

1 there?
2 A. Yes. I took photographs of the tops of the poll tapes that were able to print.
3
4 Q. And did you have the opportunity to observe any issues with the electronic polling?
5
6 A. Yes. And --
Q. And what did you see? What did you
7
8 observe?
9 A. So as far as the poll tapes were concerned
10 it's -- was my understanding that he receives at the
11 end of the night they print out a tape giving a record of whatever votes have been cast or at least a
12
13 breakdown by races, by each race.
At this location there were five DRE machines,
14
15 but this instance one of the machines would not print at all and the manager and workers tried many
16
17 different ways to try to get it to print. They
18 called the technical support, but they were never able to successfully from the fifth machine. So I
19
20 was not able to observe the tape of that fifth machine.
21
22 Q. Ms. LeClerc, what was the manager's reaction, if any, to the difficulties that you
23
24 described that the senior voter experienced?
25 A. To me she appeared very alarmed by what had

Page 74

1 happened. When I spoke with her, she — her tone of
2 voice was just kind of frantic and her -- you know,
she just with her arms and she couldn't believe that
3
4 the vote had cast because the voter's finger was
nowhere near the area for the submit ballot. So she
5
6 was just — just incredulous to me.
Q. Did she indicate to you whether she was
7
8 going to file some sort of complaint with the
9 Secretary of State?
10 A. She did at one point that day. She said
11 she was going to — she planned to file some
complaints because there were multiple technical
12
13 issues that day that they experienced.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, that is the last question I
14
15 have for Ms. LeClerc. I have misplaced the photographs
that I was going to have tendered to her and I will --
16
17 THE COURT: [inaudible] to put them in, [inaudible].
18 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: [inaudible].
19
20 MR. BROWN: Oh, okay.
MS. LECLERC: May -- I'm sorry; may I make --
21
22 THE COURT: No. You can't say anything else.
23
24 CROSS-EXAMINATION
25 OF SARA LECLERC

Page 75

1
2 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. Ms. LeClerc, your primary role that day was
3
4 to try to tabulate the votes that were being cast
from Ms. Abrams, correct --
5
6 A. Uh --
Q. -- and report back to the Democratic Party;
7
8 would that be fair?
9 A. No. No. That would not be fair.
10 Q. Well, that's what you did, though, didn't
11 you?
A. That was one component of what I did but it
12
13 was not about Ms. Abrams. It was just about
reporting total numbers --
14
15 Q. Oh, okay.
A. -- of votes cast, not by race or anything
16
17 like that. It wouldn't -- [inaudible] like that.
18 Q. I'm sorry. No problem. What I'm saying --
so some — I want to make sure I understand. Your
19
20 job was to try to report back to the Democratic Party
the total votes that were cast from that precinct;
21
22 correct?
A. That was one of the [inaudible].
23
24 Q. Okay. Thank you.
25 A. [inaudible].

Page 76

1 Q. And you reported what you understood to be
2 the total number of votes that were cast in that
precinct; correct?
3
4 A. Yes, I did.
Q. Okay. Were you ever informed that there
5
6 was any kind of discrepancy with what was ultimately
reported to the Secretary of State's office about the
7
8 total number of votes that were cast in -- from that
9 precinct?
10 A. I don't know what was reported to the
11 Secretary of State --
Q. Okay.
12
13 A. -- I just know what the poll workers and
managers told me and what was printed on the --
14
15 Q. Okay.
A. -- four out of five tapes that printed.
16
17 Q. Okay. And you reported that -- my question
18 is, did you ever receive back word from the
Democratic Party that they was a discrepancy?
19
20 A. No.
Q. Okay. Thank you. In regards to this
21
22 elderly worker from this report that you did, the
elderly worker thought -- rather the elderly voter
23
24 thought that her vote had been properly cast;
25 correct?

Page 77

1 A. She thought it had been submitted; that her
2 ballot had been submitted.
Q. Yes. And she didn't have any objections,
3
4 correct, when you talked to her?
A. No.
5
6 Q. Let me — I think I've asked in the form of
a negative. Let me clean it up. My momma told me
7
8 never to do that. Did this voter ever report to you
9 any complaints about whether her vote had been
10 properly recorded?
11 A. No.
Q. Okay.
12
13
CROSS-EXAMINATION
14
15 OF SARA LECLERC
16
17 BY MR. TYSON:
18 Q. Good morning, Ms. LeClerc. My name's Bryan
Tyson with the Gwinnett Board of Elections. I just
19
20 wanted to ask you briefly. You indicated you were a
poll watcher, but later you said you were observing.
21
22 Were you a registered poll watcher or were you still
an observer?
23
24 A. Yes. I was a -- I was officially appointed
25 as a, I'm not sure if it's called poll watcher or

Page 78

1 poll observer. But yes, I got a credential so that I
2 could be there and observe.
Q. And that was by the Democratic Party;
3
4 correct?
A. Yes.
5
6 Q. Okay. And regarding the voter that you
testified about, do you know what her name was?
7
8 A. I do not.
9 Q. Okay.
10 MR. TYSON: Thank you.
11
CROSS-EXAMINATION
12
13 OF SARA LECLERC
14
15 BY MS. BURWELL:
Q. Ms. LeClerc, the Exhibit 2 that had your
16
17 notes?
18 A. Yes.
Q. Does that include the incidents -- all the
19
20 incidents you testified about today?
A. Yes. Everything I talked about today,
21
22 those incidents are in the notes.
Q. Okay. Is there anything that's not in your
23
24 notes that you found -- excuse me?
25 A. Yes -- I mean, of course there -- I had

Page 79

1 many observations that I didn't put in my notes, yes.
2 Q. But the things that you thought were
important were the things that you put in the notes
3
4 that are Exhibit 2?
A. That's right.
5
6 Q. Okay. Thank you.
MR. BROWN: I don't have any further questions, Your
7
8 Honor.
9 THE COURT: Okay. You may go back to your seat.
10 Thank you. Next witness?
11
[Thereupon, the testimony of the witness concluded at
12
13 _ a.m.]
14
15 MR. BROWN: The plaintiffs would call plaintiff
Jeanne Dufort.
16
17 Please raise your right hand. Do you promise to tell
18 the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?
THE WITNESS: I do.
19
20 MR. BROWN: Please have a seat.
21
22 Thereupon:
23
24 JEANNE DUFORT
25

Page 80

1 was called as a witness by the Petitioner; and,
2 having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
3
4 DIRECT EXAMINATION
OF JEANNE DUFORT
5
6
BY MR. BROWN:
7
8 Q. Please have a seat so we can hear you
9 through the microphone. Please state your full name
10 for the record.
11 A. My name is Jeanne Dufort.
Q. Ms. Dufort, are you a plaintiff in this
12
13 election?
A. I am.
14
15 Q. In this litigation? Where are you from?
A. I live in Madison, Georgia, in Morgan
16
17 County.
18 Q. Are you a registered elector of the State
of Georgia?
19
20 A. I am.
Q. Are you a resident of Morgan County?
21
22 A. I am.
Q. And were you entitled to vote for either
23
24 Mr. Duncan or Ms. Amico in the contested election?
25 A. Yes.

Page 81

1 Q. Mr. Dufort, what interest, just generally
2 and be very brief, but just to introduce yourself to
the Court. What is your interest generally in
3
4 political activities and the election activities?
A. So, when I turned 18, I did two things. I
5
6 registered to vote and I registered to donate funds.
And I've been doing both ever since. Gave --
7
8 THE COURT: [inaudible]
9 THE WITNESS: -- because I can do that, right? And
10 both of them --
11 THE COURT: It wasn't in the context. Go ahead.
THE WITNESS: Both of them lifelong habits, just
12
13 depends. Thanks to Sister Aletha, my high school
civics teacher, I became very interested in American
14
15 political science and I was a major in that at the
University of Chicago, which also while I was there as a
16
17 student was the first time, I was a poll watcher. So I
18 would say it's a lifelong habit of mine to be passionate
about moving and about the wonderful and amazing system we
19
20 have of self-governance in the United States.
BY MR. BROWN:
21
22 Q. Ms. Dufort, have you been a poll watcher in
Georgia?
23
24 A. Yes. Most recently in the 2018 general
25 election I was a registered poll watcher.

Page 82

1 Q. Thank you.
2 MR. BROWN: I don't have any further questions.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I have four pages of
3
4 detailed cross-examination [inaudible].
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: Just have to thank you for being a poll
watcher and I apologize to the young woman for not
7
8 thanking her for being a poll watcher as well. That's
9 hard work, all.
10 THE COURT: I assume you're just trying to establish
11 qualifications as planned.
MR. BROWN: That's correct.
12
13 THE COURT: Okay.
MALE: [inaudible]
14
15 MS. BURWELL: Nothing for Fulton County.
THE COURT: Okay.
16
17 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
18
[Thereupon, the testimony of the witness concluded at
19
20 11:41 a.m.]
21
22 MR. BROWN: As long as you stipulate that they are
voters and they voted in the election. That's all
23
24 [inaudible].
25 We're going to enter into a stipulation, Your Honor,

Page 83

1 to accelerate this and that is that the plaintiffs are
2 registered voters, that they were entitled to vote in the
November 6th election for the contested election and that
3
4 would satisfy -- it would eliminate the need to call the
other -- thank you, Your Honor.
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: No objection
THE COURT: And does Gwinnett agree with that --
7
8 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
9 THE COURT: Fulton agree with that?
10 MR. BROWN: Thank you.
11 THE COURT: Yeah. They have to be. I understand.
MR. BROWN: Just a short break, Your Honor.
12
13 THE COURT: Okay. Can we go forward, please?
MR. BROWN: The plaintiffs will call Chris Brill and
14
15 we're going to get him now.
THE COURT: Yeah. [inaudible] go do that.
16
17 [inaudible].
18 MR. BROWN: Please raise your right hand. Do you
promise to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but
19
20 the truth?
THE WITNESS: I do.
21
22 MR. BROWN: Please have a seat.
23
24 Thereupon:
25

Page 84

1 CHRISTOPHER BRILL
2
was called as a witness by the Petitioner; and,
3
4 having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
5
6 DIRECT EXAMINATION
OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL
7
8
9 BY MR. BROWN:
10 Q. Please state your name for the record.
11 A. Christopher Brill.
Q. And Mr. Brill, by whom are you currently
12
13 employed?
A. A company called TargetSmart. TargetSmart.
14
15 Q. And have you been engaged by the plaintiffs
to give expert advice in this matter?
16
17 A. Yes.
18 Q. I would like to go through your background
a little bit and your experience, if I may. Where
19
20 did you graduate from college?
A. University of New Mexico.
21
22 Q. And what did you study?
A. Political science.
23
24 Q. And what have you been doing since
25 graduating from University of New Mexico?

Page 85

1 A. I'm working mostly with political
2 consulting, so working with political campaigns,
nonprofits, activist organizations on electoral
3
4 strategies and how to reach hard-to-reach
electorates.
5
6 Q. And have you -- you've at TargetSmart since
graduating?
7
8 A. No, I have not. Just for the past six
9 years.
10 Q. Okay. And to get a little better idea of
11 your actual work experience, describe the business of
TargetSmart.
12
13 A. So, TargetSmart puts together -- our core
business is putting together databases, such as
14
15 individual voter -- voter-level databases using the
statewide voter files, election results, other types
16
17 of publicly available information, consumer data, to
18 try to build advice and help clients determine how to
target voters, how to reach voters, and how to engage
19
20 in local campaigns. So that's kind of our main
business focus.
21
22 Q. And describe who your clients would be or
the different categories of clients.
23
24 A. So, clients could be (C) -- you know (C)(3)
25 or (C)(4) organizations so that our nonprofits or

Page 86

other organizations. They could political committees such as national party committees. They could also be other nonprofits. So kind of a range of -- from nonprofits to political campaigns and the like.

Q. And describe, if you will, a -- the kind of work that you would do for a nonprofit or a political party, let's say, or political organization, and go through exactly what you, Chris Brill, would do if you could.

A. Yes. So if a political party or candidate, you know, approached us, usually it's about they want to study the election they're about to run in and, you know, how they want -- how to go about trying to get to essentially a win. So we go in and -- myself. I'll analyze the election.

I will see what happened the past, how many votes were cast in the past; you know, how different candidates perform and then we usually try to -- we call it election math, essentially, so we try to, you know, game out, okay, this is what's happened before, this is what we think is likely to happen in this next election, and so let's develop a strategy to kind of get you potentially to win.

So we use the voter file. We use election results. We use other types of modeling and other

Page 87

strategies to try to help them be as efficient as they can with the resources usually limited and how they can go about trying to be successful, and so on.

Q. Mr. Brill, do you have any experience evaluating the voting trends among various demographics?

A. Yes.

Q. And do you have -- describe that experience.

A. Yes. So generally it usually breaks down. We look at individual -- different individual demographics and trends. So, for instance, we'll look at everything from a voter's age to race, gender, ethnicity. We use polling to try to tease out, you know, where our voters kind of coming down on an issue or candidate based on those demographics.

We'll also use the geographic information that's available so, you know, whatever comes in from the census. There's also election results and try to package all that together into a strategy that they could use to try and contact these specific voters in certain areas. And again, it's really about trying to find these deficiencies because there's a lot of voters and trying to figure out which ones you want to try to engage with and how to engage with them.

Page 88

Q. And I take it in your experience you have experience analyzing voting trends; correct?

A. Yes.

Q. Do you have experience -- have you ever been an expert witness before?

A. I have not, no.

Q. Okay. Have you -- do you have experience in evaluating voting participation?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And what is that experience?

A. Generally, that will -- we'll look at, you know, past turnout trends. So we'll see, you know, what does turnout look like in previous elections. We will look at things like drop-off, especially for our clients that are down-ticket to try to determine -- you know, how to mitigate drop-off if you can, and we'll work with, you know, trying to, you know, use again, the voter file, kind of geographic data to kind of craft -- you know, look at the trends that especially, you know, demographically is also politically to try to see, you know, how leverage those trends to be, again, successful in their campaign and for everyone.

Q. Let me take one piece of that and ask you another question on it. Did you say that you might

Page 89

be engaged by a down-ballot candidate?

A. Yes.

Q. And is one of the concerns they would have being under-voting? Is that -- might be a concern?

A. Correct. Yes.

Q. Okay. And would your work involve evaluating why people don't vote for a particular race if they are voting at all?

A. Correct. Yes.

Q. Okay.

MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would like to tender Mr. Brill as an expert witness on voting trends and on reasons for under-voting and for his evaluation of specific results of the 2018 election.

MR. TYSON: I'd like to ask more questions of Mr. Brill, if I may.

THE COURT: Yes. Go ahead.

MR. TYSON: Good morning, Mr. Brill. My name is Bryan Tyson. I represent the Gwinnett County Board of Registrations and Elections. I just had a couple of questions for you.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL

BY MR. TYSON:
Q. You were explaining earlier to Mr. Brown about your role with voting trends and those kinds of things. Have you ever had any study or done any analysis of ballot design?
A. Ballot design? No.
Q. Have you had any experience in election administration in terms of you personally helping administer an election?
A. No.
Q. Do you have any knowledge of DRE machines in Georgia or how they function technically?
A. No.
Q. Okay. So it's correct, isn't it, you have no firsthand knowledge of any DRE machine in the state of Georgia that was used in the 2018 general election; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay.
MR. TYSON: All right, Your Honor, at this time we would -- Gwinnett County would object mostly on those questions I'd asked first.
THE COURT: Anybody else got any questions?
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, we object to Mr. Brill's --
THE COURT: No, I understand that. Let me do --

MR. TYSON: Yes. I'm sorry.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, just a couple questions.

CROSS-EXAMINATION
OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL

BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. You've never worked on a campaign in Georgia; is that correct?
A. Like a candidate campaign?
Q. Yeah.
A. No.
Q. Okay.
MR. LINDSEY: No further questions.
MS. BURWELL: I have no questions.
THE COURT: Okay.
MS. BURWELL: Okay.
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, we do object to Mr. Brill's admission as an expert on this case. First of all, on the issue of voting trends, that has nothing to -- under 702(b) facts and issues of the case; voting trends are not an issue in this case.
As to -- in terms of the reasons for the under-vote, Mr. Brill just testified that he has no experience in terms of ballot design, election administration, what's

going on with the DREs; and as to the specific results of this particular under-vote we would, on the same issue, that he has no experience that's relevant to the Court to determine the issue of this particular case.
THE COURT: Anyone else at this time? Nope? Okay.
MR. LINDSEY: We would join in the objection, Your Honor.
MR. BROWN: Yeah, Your Honor, the argument that Mr. Tyson made was not about what he's being tendered as an expert in. In that end, I have established that he does have expertise in what he's going to testify about. And it is abundantly clear under Georgia law that he should be qualified as a witness to both discuss the actual election results and what possible reasons for the under-vote there might be. And it may go to wait, but it certainly does not go to admissibility. Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: I'm not going to qualify him as an expert. I don't think that's an area of expertise. And I'm having trouble with that. He's going to say why the numbers were this way and what could have happened. An expert usually says what has happened, and I'm not going to let you qualify him as an expert. You can ask him questions and see where we go.
MR. BROWN: Sure. I'm going to ask you some questions about what has happened.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION
OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL

BY MR. BROWN:
Q. You with me, Mr. Brill?
A. Yes.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, this is a borderline issue and it may be rearguing and if it is, I apologize, but I -- this is an important witness to us and an important line of inquiry.
We would be asking Mr. Brill to explain possible causes for under-voting and the point of that testimony is to rebut the -- is to address the issues of why other than machine malfunction there might be for an under-vote. And he has testified that he advises clients on that issue and that that is something that he is knowledgeable about.
THE COURT: Years ago I was trying a case in front of old Judge [inaudible], he was quite a character, and me and the DA -- I was defending -- me and the DA were going at it and he goes, doesn't a judge get time to think?
Give me time to think about this one a little bit. Let me -- and make sure I understand you. He wants to put up a bunch of ideas about why there was an under-vote. And you think that's an expertise?

Page 94

1 MR. BROWN: Based upon his experience and his
2 knowledge about what to address, the possible areas of under-voting, of why it would be under-voting and why it
3
4 might not be based upon his knowledge and experience.
THE COURT: Well, I don't see that he has any
5
6 expertise. I'm having trouble with that. You know, he's done some things and he's got some ideas; that doesn't
7
8 make him an expert. He doesn't have any training; he
9 doesn't have any background; he doesn't have -- I'll let
10 you ask the questions and we'll see where we go.
11 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Uh-huh.
12
13 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Did you review the results of the 2018
14
15 election in Georgia?
A. Yes.
16
17 Q. And describe for the Court where you looked
18 at and what you reviewed.
A. Yeah. So I examined the totals that were
19
20 cast for eight ticket races that were on the ballot from governor down to I believe commissioner of
21
22 labor. And then I examined the under-vote that took place for each of those races to try to see, you
23
24 know, what that trend looked like and that's when I
25 first saw, you know, the outlier and the anomaly that

Page 95

1 was the lieutenant governor's vote totals.
2 So what my analysis kind of focused on was trying to figure out exactly what -- where that
3
4 under-vote came from, what counties, why -- you know, why vote the way it did and what kind of reasons, you
5
6 know, that could possibly, you know, entail for it.
Q. And the information that you received came
7
8 from the Secretary of State's databases; is that
9 right?
10 A. Correct. Yes.
11 Q. And in the course of your work, do you sometimes also review data from other states?
12
13 A. Correct. Uh-huh.
Q. And do you also review historical data,
14
15 like say, from Georgia?
A. Yes.
16
17 Q. And did you look at the Secretary of
18 State's information in Georgia on older Georgia races?
19
20 A. Yes.
Q. And did you compare the voting trends and
21
22 patterns between this race and prior Georgia races in other states, lieutenant governor races?
23
24 A. Yes.
25 Q. And is that the kind of work that you do

Page 96

1 for a living also for your clients?
2 A. Yes.
Q. And does that require you to look at
3
4 various databases and to sift a lot of different information in a systematic way?
5
6 A. Yes.
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, Mr. Brown is leading the
7
8 witness on a lot of these points and he's testifying. I
9 think he needs to ask questions that are directly
10 addressing the witness.
11 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Brill, in your experience, have you
12
13 developed an expertise or understanding of reasons for under-voting?
14
15 A. Yes.
Q. And without disclosing the reasons for
16
17 under-voting can you testify as to how you gained
18 that experience and expertise?
A. By examining thousands of elections across
19
20 all 50 states.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would like to retender Mr.
21
22 Brill as an expert on under-voting and the particular reasons for under-voting, and how his understanding of
23
24 under-voting relates to what he's observed in the results
25 which are in evidence of the Georgia election.

Page 97

1 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, we'll renew our objection on
2 this point as to Mr. Brill; that he certainly could have looked at a lot of numbers, but numbers alone are not
3
4 going to tell you why something is happening and I think that's what Mr. Brown is trying to get at as to Mr.
5
6 Brill's opinion about why and he has not shown Mr. Brill has expertise from just looking at various numbers on that
7
8 point.
9 MR. LINDSEY: I'll also add to that objection, Your
10 Honor. There's been no testimony whatsoever that this
11 individual has any intimate knowledge regarding the details of this particular race that took place;
12
13 therefore, he would not be qualified to be a --
THE COURT: I'm not going to qualify him as an
14
15 expert. I mean, the reasons why, I mean, there are some pretty obvious simple statements in any race, but I have
16
17 trouble with reasons why. You can testify to numbers and
18 all that kind of thing. I don't see -- reasons why, this isn't going. Next question.
19
20 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: P-3?
21
22 MR. BROWN: Yes.
BY MR. BROWN:
23
24 Q. Mr. Brill, is P-3 your affidavit?
25 A. I'm sorry?

Page 98

1 Q. Is P-3 your --
2 MR. BROWN: Well, Plaintiff's Exhibit 3 your affidavit?
3
4 [Thereupon, the referred-to document was entered into
5 evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 3]
6
7 THE WITNESS: Oh, I -- yes.
8 BY MR. BROWN:
9 Q. And without disclosing any contents yet --
10 MR. TYSON: Counsel, could I get a copy?
11 MR. BROWN: Oh, I'm very sorry. Sorry about that.
12
13 THE COURT: Let me cycle the record to everybody. Various people made proffers of evidence; I did not look
14 at any of them. Everything should come in the courtroom.
15 BY MR. BROWN:
16
17 Q. Mr. Brill, without disclosing the contents
18 of it yet, at the plaintiff's request you prepared a report on the analysis of the 2018 Georgia lieutenant
19 governor under-vote; correct?
20 A. Correct.
21
22 Q. And in the course of that you observed certain numbers that came from the Secretary of
23 State; correct?
24 A. Correct.
25

Page 99

1 Q. And did you analyze those -- well, did you
2 present those numbers on page two of your report to the Coalition?
3
4 A. Yes. I did.
5 Q. Okay. Let me refer you to Table 1, which
6 is entitled, Total 2018 Under-Vote by Office; do you see that?
7
8 A. Yes.
9 Q. Does that -- is that table an accurate
10 report of information from the Secretary of State's
11 website?
12 A. Yes.
13 Q. And it shows -- and just explain in terms of your terminology these columns. The first column
14 is the name of the race; correct?
15 A. Correct.
16
17 Q. The second one is the total number of votes
18 that that race attracted; correct?
19 A. Correct.
20 Q. And the third is what you call the under-vote; is that right?
21
22 A. Yes.
23 Q. And here -- the way you do it, the under-
24 vote is a comparison between the particular race and
25 the governor's race; is that --

Page 100

1 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, if I could object. Mr.
2 Brill can testify to what these terms mean instead of Mr. Brown explaining those and he's the leading the witness
3
4 again on that.
5 MR. BROWN: Sure. I'll withdraw the question. I'm
6 just trying to speed it up, Your Honor.
7 BY MR. BROWN:
8 Q. Mr. Brill, what is the under-vote -- what
9 is the calculation for the under-vote?
10 A. I calculated it by comparing the total that
11 was cast for the governor's election and comparing that against the total that was cast for every other
12 election [inaudible].
13 Q. Is the under-vote different than the under-
14 vote of the total number of people who voted?
15 A. It would be, yes. Yes.
16
17 Q. Is that because some people don't even vote
18 for a governor?
19 A. Correct.
20 Q. Okay. Do you have an understanding of how many, just in general terms, people in this election
21 did not vote for governor?
22 A. I would have to double check, but I think
23 it was something along the lines of 10 to 15,000,
24 maybe. I don't have an exact number in front of me.
25

Page 101

1 Q. Fair to say it would be a small portion of
2 even 1 percent?
3 A. It's a fraction of a percent.
4 Q. And then in the last column it says, dropout versus governor; do you see that?
5
6 A. Yes.
7 Q. And that would reflect the percent -- what
8 does that -- what is that calculation?
9 A. So that calculation again is just taking
10 the under-vote and -- as the percentage of the total
11 cast for governor. So, example, lieutenant governor, the under-vote was about 4 percent lower than the
12 total that was cast for governor; and then secretary
13 of state, 1.4 percent and so forth. It's just taking
14 the under-vote number there and dividing it by the total votes cast for governor.
15
16 Q. Let me direct your -- you testified earlier
17 that you reviewed data on the Secretary of State's
18 website relating to prior elections in Georgia; is
19 that correct?
20 A. Yes.
21
22 Q. And are those presented in Table 2?
23 A. Yes, they are.
24 Q. And if you would just describe to the Court
25 what those rows and columns show.

Page 102

A. Yes. So, it's again the same calculation as in Table 1, except looking at elections from 2002 to 2018, and so essentially in calculating that under-vote percentage for each of those races across election -- across each election cycle up to 2018.

Q. And which has the highest under-vote total?
A. The 2018 lieutenant governor's race.

Q. And looking at the four prior races, have you calculate the average under-vote for the lieutenant governor's race for those four years?
A. Yes. It was around 0.8 percent.
Q. And can you do in your head -- doing the math it would be necessary to determine what the under-vote number would be if the historical trend of .8 were applied today?
A. If you have a percentage, the under-vote would be around 31,532 -- thousand votes on under-vote assumption.
THE COURT: Say that again. Someone coughed.

THE WITNESS: 31,532, I believe. In that range. I can double check exactly -- that exact amount.

BY MR. BROWN:
Q. And so rather than the under-vote being -- let me direct your attention back up to Table 1.
A. Uh-huh.

Page 103

Q. Rather than the under-vote being 159,024, if it had tracked the historical trend, it would be that number less 31,000-something; correct?

A. Correct, yeah.
Q. Okay.

A. Given all the -- what we know about elections, yes. That would be correct.

Q. Okay. Now, did you review data from other states lieutenant governor elections?
A. I did, yes.
Q. And is -- does Chart 1 on the next page of your affidavit show that information?

A. Yes.
Q. That chart --

MR. BROWN: Your Honor, did I neglect to give you a copy of this affidavit?

THE COURT: No. That's not in evidence.
BY MR. BROWN:
Q. The long bar here is from California?

A. Correct.
Q. And did you do research to determine why California had a much higher under-vote percentage?
A. Yes.

Q. And did you -- and what did you find?
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object. Mr. Brill's

Page 104

going to be testifying to why California had a higher under-vote percentage. I think under your prior explanation of terms in the opinion that's not an appropriate area for him to testify to.
THE COURT: Sustained.

BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Brill, I'm not going to ask you about why California looked that way. My next question is, apart from California, what state had the highest under-vote total?
A. Georgia.
Q. Let me direct your attention to the next page of your analysis.
Did you have the opportunity to compare the under-voting in the Georgia race between votes that were cast electronically on the one hand in votes that were cast on paper?
A. Yes.
Q. And where did you get that information from?
A. From the Secretary of State's website.

They make that county-level canvas available that I use.

Q. Okay. And does Chart 2, on the next page of your report, show the under-vote percentage by

Page 105

office and vote method?
A. It does.
Q. And if you would just explain to the Court, first for the lieutenant governor, what those bars show.

A. So, I had to look at three here. The first was Election Day under-vote and what that shows is that lieutenant governor was about 4.5 percent rate of under-vote. Advanced voting of the early vote person was around 3.9 percent. But then absentee voting was down to 1 percent.
Q. And is your understanding that advanced voting is electronic voting?
A. That's my understanding, yes.

Q. And so looking at the -- these bars, paper voting had only a 1 percent under-vote; is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. But the electronic had over 4 percent under-vote; is that correct?
A. Correct.

Q. Okay. Did you see that same -- those same types of numbers when you reviewed the Secretary of State race or the attorney general race?
A. I did not.

Page 106

1 Q. And what did you find when you reviewed
2 those?
A. When I reviewed those, I found that the
3
4 under-vote percentages were relatively even across
all those methods.
5
6 THE COURT: Say that again. Way too fast.
THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I found that the under-vote
7
8 was relatively consistent percentage-wise across all three
9 methods of voting when you look at Secretary of State,
10 attorney general.
11 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. And so, you don't -- to make sure I'm clear
12
13 on this. So you don't see the disparity between
paper votes and electronic votes in the Secretary of
14
15 State's race or the attorney general's race that you
saw in the lieutenant governor's race; is that
16
17 correct?
18 MR. TYSON: Objection, Your Honor. Leading.
THE COURT: Rephrase. Sometimes when there's an
19
20 objection you don't bother responding and I -- on the
record it looks like I didn't give you a chance to speak.
21
22 Well, I'm giving you a chance to speak; okay?
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I'll withdraw the question.
23
24 THE COURT: Okay.
25 BY MR. BROWN:

Page 107

1 Q. Let's go through these individual numbers,
2 Mr. Brill. The Secretary of State's office, what was
the Election Day percent under-vote?
3
4 A. 1.5 percent.
Q. What was the advanced voting percentage
5
6 under-vote for the Secretary of State?
A. 1.3 percent.
7
8 Q. And then what was the absentee voting
9 percent?
10 A. 1.4 percent.
11 Q. And then for the attorney general, what was
the under-vote for Election Day?
12
13 A. 2.2 percent.
Q. What was the percentage for advanced
14
15 voting?
A. 1.8 percent.
16
17 Q. And what was the percentage for absentee
18 voting?
A. 1.7 percent.
19
20 Q. And just to review for the record to make
sure I have it in there, on the lieutenant governor's
21
22 race, what was the percentage for Election Day
voting?
23
24 A. 4.5.
25 THE COURT: 4.5 percent. 3.9 percent, 1 percent.

Page 108

1 Got it.
2 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Paying attention.
3
4 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. Thank you, Your
Honor.
5
6 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Brill, in your experience have you seen
7
8 a voting pattern ever before that looks like the
9 voting pattern in the vote for the lieutenant
10 governor's race in Georgia?
11 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object that Mr. Brill is
not qualified as an expert on this.
12
13 THE COURT: He's not qualified as an expert, Mr.
Brown. I'm not -- he's putting numbers -- he can put in
14
15 the numbers. There's other things you can argue.
MR. BROWN: May I ask him what numbers he's never
16
17 seen that resemble this, Your Honor.
18 THE COURT: Well, just -- just watch where you go. I
mean, you ask the questions, I'll rule as we go.
19
20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I'll ask this.
BY MR. BROWN:
21
22 Q. And Mr. Brill, don't answer, because there
may be an objection. Have you seen numbers in your
23
24 review of all of the races that you've reviewed in
25 the course of your work that resemble the pattern

Page 109

1 seen in Georgia, ever?
2 MR. TYSON: And Your Honor, I'll object on that
point, as we haven't laid a foundation for any --
3
4 THE COURT: We're dealing with this -- this race.
I'm not dealing with California, Nevada, wherever. I'm
5
6 dealing with Georgia.
MR. BROWN: And I would like to ask a question for
7
8 the record.
9 BY MR. BROWN:
10 Q. Were you able to reach any conclusions
11 about whether the data that you reviewed cast a doubt
over the accuracy of the final vote? And don't
12
13 answer yet.
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, objection for the same reason
14
15 that was stated.
THE COURT: I mean, you got the information from the
16
17 Secretary of State. Whether it's valid or not; who knows?
18 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, let me --
THE COURT: He -- he got the number [inaudible] that
19
20 what's he testified to. So I'm taking the numbers.
MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor. The reason for asking
21
22 it is that it is, in my view, it is probative and it goes
to weight as to whether this witness based upon his
23
24 experience believes that the numbers cast doubt upon the
25 election. That's the reason for asking.

Page 110

1 THE COURT: No. He can't -- he can't say that one
2 way or the other. That's -- that's -- can be my decision,
I'm afraid. Okay.
3
4 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, if I may have just a --
THE COURT: Surely.
5
6 MR. BROWN: -- minute.
BY MR. BROWN:
7
8 Q. Mr. Brill, have you had the opportunity to
9 review the voting numbers that appear in the brief
10 that was filed by Defendant Duncan in this case?
11 A. I believe so, yes.
THE COURT: Didn't you stipulate that at the last
12
13 hearing?
MR. BROWN: Huh?
14
15 THE COURT: Wasn't there a stipulation that those
numbers were correct at the last hearing?
16
17 MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.
18 THE COURT: Hmm? I thought there was. I thought we
--
19
20 MR. BROWN: Yes.
THE COURT: So you would -- because I remember the
21
22 statement that you wouldn't have to prove them again. So
they're stipulated so you can talk about them.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor. Okay.
25 BY MR. BROWN:

Page 111

1 Q. Mr. Brill, did you identify any misleading
2 or incorrect statements in the brief?
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'm going to object to --
3
4 THE COURT: You stipulated, Mr. Brown.
MR. LINDSEY: I'm going to object to this.
5
6 THE COURT: You stipulated.
MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor, this is --
7
8 THE COURT: You can talk about -- okay. And the
9 language misleading and all this kind of stuff. Are your
10 numbers different from their numbers?
11 MR. LINDSEY: Yes. Your Honor, we're here today to
listen to -- to present evidence to you as to our
12
13 particular points. Both sides have delivered a brief to
you beforehand. I have no intention to simply bring up
14
15 their briefs and, you know, let's stick to the evidence as
presented here today. That being said --
16
17 THE COURT: Get -- get to your --
18 MR. LINDSEY: -- got my math off a little, Your
Honor.
19
20 THE COURT: Get to your point.
MR. LINDSEY: Right.
21
22 THE COURT: If you will, Mr. Brown.
BY MR. BROWN:
23
24 Q. Did you have a chance to review the numbers
25 reflected in Paragraph 1 under District 4?

Page 112

1 THE COURT: Paragraph 1, Exhibit 4.
2 A. I don't think I have that document with me.
Q. Okay.
3
4 THE COURT: Exhibit A, you mean? You're talking
about Exhibit A?
5
6 MR. BROWN: This is in their brief, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Well, let me see what I'm looking at.
7
8 [inaudible] numbers, okay. Go ahead, see where we're
9 headed.
10 BY MR. BROWN:
11 Q. Looking at brief -- do you have that in
front of you?
12
13 A. I do.
THE COURT: Which brief? There are --
14
15 MR. BROWN: This is Exhibit 4. This is the response
to Defendant Geoff Duncan to plaintiff's renewed discovery
16
17 demands and motion for continuance and --
18 THE COURT: That's not the one I'm looking at. I'm
looking at the numbers on the back of the opposition to
19
20 plaintiff's motion for continuance.
MR. BROWN: Sorry.
21
22 THE COURT: I don't know which other set you got.
MR. BROWN: Okay. Sorry.
23
24 THE COURT: Go ahead. Ask him the question.
25 BY MR. BROWN:

Page 113

1 Q. Did you have an opportunity to -- if you
2 would look at that -- point number one, the impact of
third-party candidates; do you see that?
3
4 A. I don't have that in front of me at the
moment. I remember going over it but that's not the
5
6 brief that's in front of me.
Q. Okay. Okay.
7
8 MR. BROWN: I don't have any further questions.
9 Thank you.
10 MR. TYSON: Very briefly, Your Honor.
11
RECROSS-EXAMINATION
12
13 OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL
14
15 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. Mr. Brill, when you looked at the historic
16
17 patterns, the numbers you testified to earlier, the
18 past Georgia races, did you look at any races for
Public Service Commission?
19
20 A. I did not, no.
Q. And you know that's a statewide race in
21
22 Georgia; correct?
A. I do, yes.
23
24 Q. Did you look at any races where Georgia had
25 a U.S. Senate race at the same time as a governor's

1 race?
2 A. I did not.
Q. Thank you.
3
4 MR. LINDSEY: Just a few questions, sir.
5
6 RECROSS-EXAMINATION
OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL
7
8
9 BY MR. LINDSEY:
10 Q. Did you in any way do any review of the
11 conduct of the campaign in the closing days of the
campaign?
12
13 A. I did not.
Q. Okay. You, as someone who's been a
14
15 political consultant does, that the conduct in the
campaign can often change how voters vote in the last
16
17 days; correct?
18 A. Right.
Q. Okay. A candidate, and sometimes that
19
20 changes the numbers between early voting and the day
of the voting; correct?
21
22 A. Correct.
Q. And sometimes it can even change whether or
23
24 not voters choose to vote in a particular race on
25 Election Day that earlier voters may vote for;

1 correct?
2 A. That would -- I mean, that would, I
suppose.
3
4 Q. Yeah, fair enough. That would [inaudible].
For instance, if you had a particular candidate
5
6 running for lieutenant governor as a Democrat and in
the closing days of the campaign she had several very
7
8 negative press articles about her firm, about her
9 company that she headed up, in which there was
10 allegation of racial discrimination, [inaudible]
11 racial discrimination, that would have a negative
impact on Democratic voters in particular; correct?
12
13 A. It could, yeah.
Q. It probably would dampen their enthusiasm
14
15 to vote for her; correct?
A. It could, but I don't know the context, so
16
17 I'd have to [inaudible]. It would take quite a bit
18 of effort, thought to --
Q. Okay.
19
20 A. -- [inaudible] votes.
Q. And you don't know the context because you
21
22 didn't follow the details of this race; correct?
A. Correct.
23
24 Q. Okay. And you have no knowledge as -- for
25 instance, as to tracking polling that took place in

1 the closing days of the campaign; correct?
2 A. Correct.
Q. Now Ms. Amico -- now, you sort of focused
3
4 on the total number of votes that were cast. Ms.
Amico did not receive the least number of votes for a
5
6 Democrat in this -- in the 2018 -- in statewide races
in 2018; correct?
7
8 A. Correct.
9 Q. As a matter of fact, her votes were
10 somewhere in the middle of all the votes along the
11 various folks who ran statewide in Georgia who were
Democrats; correct?
12
13 A. I'd have to look into that [inaudible] --
Q. Okay.
14
15 A. -- [inaudible].
Q. All right. So -- but you didn't -- but you
16
17 are familiar that she did sort of run -- one the
18 better term, the middle one, the pack among
Democrats; correct?
19
20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, this is not relevant. The
claim is that there were under-votes for this election,
21
22 not that one particular candidate received less votes.
Obviously, it's the total number of votes that are
23
24 claimed.
25 THE COURT: He went into what the attorney general

1 did, what the secretary of state, so I think -- you did,
2 so he's entitled to respond to that.
MR. LINDSEY: Yeah.
3
4 THE WITNESS: I didn't specifically analyze
performance in this analysis. It was mostly just actual
5
6 vote totals.
BY MR. LINDSEY:
7
8 Q. Okay. For instance, you're not aware that
9 the Democratic candidates for agriculture, school
10 board superintendent, Public Service Commission, and
11 commissioner of insurance, Democratic candidates all
received fewer votes than Ms. Amico in this
12
13 particular race?
A. And again, I'd have to double-check.
14
15 Q. Okay. And in fact, for two other races,
those being another Public Service Commission and
16
17 Labor Commission race, Ms. Amico was within 8,000 or
18 less votes of the Democrats in those races; are you
aware of that?
19
20 A. Again, I have to study that independent
study --
21
22 Q. Okay.
A. -- candidate performance.
23
24 Q. All right. In fact, the only races in
25 which she received fewer votes than other Democrats

Page 118

1 was Ms. Abrams' race, Mr. Barrow's race, and Mr.
2 Charles Bailey's race for attorney general. Are you aware of that?
3
4 A. Again, I'd have to study --
Q. Okay.
5
6 A. -- I didn't study candidate performance.
Q. All right. Even though you haven't studied
7
8 the performance, you are aware of the fact that Ms.
9 Abrams was a very high-profile candidate for
10 governor?
11 A. Correct.
Q. Okay. And are you familiar with the fact
12
13 that Mr. Barrow was a very high-profile candidate for Secretary of State on the Democratic side?
14
15 A. I'm not aware.
Q. Okay. Are you aware of the fact, for
16
17 instance, that he had been prior to this race a five-
18 term Congressman in Georgia?
A. I was not aware of that.
19
20 Q. Okay. And voter ID is very important in regards to individuals -- in regards to folks --
21
22 getting folks to vote for you; correct?
A. Voter ID?
23
24 Q. Yeah.
25 A. I'm sorry. I don't quite understand that,

Page 119

1 the link.
2 THE COURT: Explain that application. I think you mean one thing and he's thinking another thing.
3
4 MR. LINDSEY: Okay.
BY MR. LINDSEY:
5
6 Q. You said you looked at other races in Georgia's past. Did you look at the number of new
7
8 voters that were -- that cast votes in 2018 versus
9 previous years?
10 A. No, I did not.
11 Q. Okay. So, are you aware of the fact that there was almost -- where there was a -- possibly a
12
13 three-and-a-half-time increase in new voters?
A. That I -- I don't know that exact number
14
15 but that would not surprise me.
Q. Okay. And based on your experience as a
16
17 political consultant, you know, that when it comes to
18 new voter, particularly down-ballot, it takes a lot more education to try to educate those new voters
19
20 than someone who's voted year in and year out; correct?
21
22 A. That's right.
MR. LINDSEY: No further questions.
23
24 THE COURT: [inaudible]?
25 MS. BURWELL: No questions, Your Honor.

Page 120

1 [inaudible]
2 THE COURT: [inaudible]
3
4 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL
5
6
BY MR. BROWN:
7
8 Q. You testified in response to a question by
9 Mr. Lindsey, about whether you looked at the
10 lieutenant governor total in a race in which there
11 was a U.S. Senate race and there was a race in the governor's race; do you recall that?
12
13 A. Yes.
Q. And let me direct your attention to the
14
15 year 2014 and was there a Senate -- a U.S. Senate race in Georgia in 2014 involving David Perdue?
16
17 A. I believe so, yes.
18 Q. And what was the under-vote total in 2014?
A. Between -- I'm sorry?
19
20 Q. What was the under-vote for lieutenant governor in 2014?
21
22 A. The percentage?
Q. Yes.
23
24 A. 0.8 percent.
25 Q. Okay. You were asked some questions, and I

Page 121

1 want to explore your answers to the questions on
2 cross-examination a little bit more. In particular, you were asked to give your opinion on some matters.
3
4 Now, you were asked whether under-voting could be caused by new voters being -- not having enough
5
6 attention on the -- about it; do you recall that testimony?
7
8 A. Yes.
9 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object to that. I don't
10 believe that's the question Mr. Brill was asked, but the
11 question was --
THE COURT: He didn't -- he didn't phrase it that
12
13 way. I will let you ask a question --
MR. BROWN: Okay.
14
15 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. In that regard, in your experience, what
16
17 are the more common reasons for under-voting?
18 THE COURT: No. That's -- that's not the appropriate question.
19
20 MR. TYSON: Yeah.
THE COURT: The question is about new voters. You
21
22 can ask him about new voters.
MR. BROWN: Just for the record, Your Honor, the
23
24 question called for the same type of expertise that my
25 question calls for.

Page 122

1 THE COURT: Sir, I said you can -- you can only go
2 into what he talked about as to new voters.
MR. BROWN: Okay.
3
4 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Are -- do new voters sometimes vote less in
5
6 campaigns for various reasons?
A. It depends on the context of the election,
7
8 but new voters -- you know, we don't find any sort
9 of, you know, when they under-vote generally -- or it
10 can be -- for starters, we don't know exactly who
11 under-votes. I mean, I think that's point number
one. We don't know that. We don't have any way of
12
13 getting that information.
It's speculation when we see high turnout
14
15 elections and that encourages more infrequent newer
voters that come to the polls and if we see higher
16
17 rates of under-voting, that is sort of, you know,
18 something that we consider, but generally when we see
that under-vote it's very consistent, and so it
19
20 starts at one level at the top of the ballot and then
it goes down, then that under-vote kind of -- it will
21
22 increase as you go down the ballot.
I've never seen a type of under-vote where
23
24 voters, who generally don't know who these candidates
25 are anyway, decides to skip one race and then start

Page 123

1 voting for commission of agriculture at higher rates
2 or commissioner of insurance at higher rates. That
would be the first time I've ever seen something like
3
4 those.
Q. Thank you. You were asked by Mr. Lindsey a
5
6 number of questions of whether there were some other
candidates who had received fewer votes than Ms.
7
8 Amico; do you recall that?
9 A. Yes.
10 Q. And in fact he actually had better
11 questions to ask and one would have been, isn't it
true that the libertarian candidate got way more
12
13 votes -- way fewer votes than Ms. Amico in the
governor's race?
14
15 A. I believe so, yeah.
Q. And so is it germane to your understanding
16
17 of the voting patterns that there might be some
18 candidates that got fewer votes down ballot or up
ballot if they're third-party candidates or not
19
20 popular? Do you have anything to do with that?
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, gets back to the why. It
21
22 gets back to the why. He can testify as to what, but he's
now entering into the why again. He's trying to get his
23
24 witness as an expert to the back door. He couldn't do it
25 through the front, he shouldn't be allowed to do it

Page 124

1 through the back. [inaudible]
2 MR. BROWN: I'll withdraw the question, Your Honor.
BY MR. BROWN:
3
4 Q. I want to get back to the numbers because
you were asked about other down ballot races by Mr.
5
6 Lindsey, did you review the numbers on the state
representative seats up for election in 2018?
7
8 A. I did, yes.
9 Q. And did -- what did you find with respect
10 to whether -- about the voting patterns with respect
11 to those contests?
A. So, in those contests I examined the number
12
13 of votes that were cast for state representative to
try to establish the baseline in an election that I
14
15 know usually has, you know, high rates of under-
voting. What I found in that case was that there
16
17 were about a thousand precincts statewide where there
18 were actually fewer votes cast for lieutenant
governor than there were for state representative,
19
20 which again is odd.
Q. Let me direct your -- well, did you --
21
22 THE COURT: [inaudible]
THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I'm sorry. I'm sorry, Your
23
24 Honor.
25 BY MR. BROWN:

Page 125

1 Q. Did you determine in how many of the
2 precinct -- precincts the Democratic candidate for
state representative received all of the votes cast?
3
4 A. One -- yeah. Yes. 137 precincts.
Q. Okay. And in those -- did you determine
5
6 whether in those precincts --
THE COURT: 137 precincts?
7
8 THE WITNESS: Correct. 137.
9 THE COURT: What counties?
10 THE WITNESS: Across the state.
11 THE COURT: Are those [inaudible] 137 precincts in
the whole state?
12
13 THE WITNESS: No, no. There are about 137 precincts
where the candidate for state representative for the
14
15 Democratic --
THE COURT: Got more votes --
16
17 THE WITNESS: Got 100 percent of the votes, which
18 goes back to my points in the first part of the paper,
which generally when a race is --
19
20 THE COURT: Okay. Don't go -- don't go --
MR. BROWN: Sorry. Thanks.
21
22 THE COURT: Because that'll get [inaudible].
MR. BROWN: Okay.
23
24 BY MR. BROWN:
25 Q. Did you determine in the races for state

1 representative in how many precincts the state
2 representative race got more votes than the
lieutenant governor race?
3
4 A. Yes.
Q. And what did you find?
5
6 A. I found a thousand -- 1,012 precincts; 38
percent of the precincts statewide when the state
7
8 representative had more votes cast than the
9 lieutenant governor.
10 Q. Did you have the opportunity -- or did you
11 undertake to -- you were talking about other races.
Did you compare the lieutenant governor race to the
12
13 race for attorney general?
A. I compared. Yes.
14
15 Q. And what did you find?
A. So in that case I found that there were
16
17 much fewer -- I'd have to go, I'd have to see the
18 exact number, but it was --
Q. Let me correct that. My question was
19
20 poorly framed.
A. 410.
21
22 Q. Did you compare the votes for state
representative and attorney general?
23
24 A. Yes.
25 Q. And what did you find there?

1 A. What I found there, there were about 410
2 precincts statewide where there were more votes cast
for state representative for -- than lieutenant
3
4 governor.
Q. Okay. Thank you. [inaudible]
5
6 MR. TYSON: Just briefly, Your Honor.
7
8 CROSS-EXAMINATION
9 OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL
10
11 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. Mr. Brill, you'd agree with me, wouldn't
12
13 you, that the 2018 election in Georgia was a very
high turnout election, right?
14
15 A. Yes.
Q. And I asked you a question that I had not
16
17 worded quite correctly previously. Did you in any of
18 your analyses look at a race where there was a
governor's race and no U.S. Senate race happening at
19
20 the same time, like what happened in 2018?
A. A governor's race with no U.S. Senate race?
21
22 Q. Yes.
A. I didn't look at that specifically.
23
24 Q. Okay. Mr. Brown asked you about the
25 precincts you analyzed for state representative; did

1 you break out those based on the DRE machines versus
2 absentee by mail or early voting categories, or did
you look totally -- solely at precinct totals?
3
4 A. For this I looked at solely precinct
totals.
5
6 Q. Okay. Thank you.
MR. TYSON: That's all I have.
7
8 MR. LINDSEY: Just real briefly.
9
10 CROSS-EXAMINATION
11 OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL
12
13 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. You were aware that in the AG's race there
14
15 was an incumbent running; correct?
A. Yes.
16
17 Q. And in the lieutenant governor's race there
18 were two newcomers coming -- running; correct?
A. Correct.
19
20 MR. LINDSEY: No further questions.
THE COURT: Mr. Brown, anything else?
21
22 MR. BROWN: I do not have anything further.
THE COURT: Okay. The witness may be excused.
23
24 Whatever he says. He's in charge of all that.
25 [inaudible].

1 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, at this point we have another
2 --
THE COURT: [inaudible] Are you taking notes on the
3
4 laptop?
THE NEWS REPORTER: Yes.
5
6 THE COURT: Did you comply with Rule 22?
THE NEWS REPORTER: Yes. [inaudible]
7
8 THE COURT: [inaudible]
9 THE NEWS REPORTER: Jordan [inaudible].
10 THE COURT: Who?
11 THE NEWS REPORTER: Jordan [inaudible], Your Honor.
MALE: [inaudible]
12
13 THE COURT: Okay.
MALE: Mr. [inaudible] did.
14
15 THE COURT: Making me nervous. Go ahead.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, logistically we have another
16
17 long witness. It would be convenient to have that go more
18 smoothly if we took our lunch break now, but of course
I'll defer to your schedule.
19
20 THE COURT: Anybody have an objection to taking lunch
now and coming back at 1:00? Let's take lunch now and
21
22 we'll back at 1:00.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
23
24
25 [Off the record at 11:41 a.m., and back on the record

Page 130

1 at 1:00 p.m.]
2
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, in order to keep things
3
4 moving along, I just want to make sure I get all my witnesses here or are here. This is our last witness.
5
6 THE COURT: You need time to do something?
MR. LINDSEY: No, no. I just want to make sure -- if
7
8 this is his last witness, I want to make sure I have
9 everybody here ready to go.
10 MR. BROWN: It depends on the ruling that she will
11 make. I have one live witness and one witness who I would like to testify by telephone if the Court allows. Just to
12
13 give you an idea.
MR. LINDSEY: Okay, that's fine. I just want to --
14
15 I'll call my folks and make sure everybody's here. That's all I wanted to know.
16
17 THE COURT: Okay. You understand that I cannot see
18 the clock from here because it's dark back there. I cannot see that clock. I see the circle and I see the
19
20 exit sign but I can't see the hands from back here because it's black; there's no light back there. So I have to go
21
22 by my own watch, which is not --
MR. LINDSEY: Well, let the record reflect that Mr.
23
24 Brown has already insulted my eyesight once today.
25 Therefore [inaudible].

Page 131

1 THE COURT: Ready when you're ready.
2 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, two introductory items. First, we would like to tender into evidence Defendant
3
4 Robyn A. Crittenden's notice of limited offer -- proffer of evidence. And let me explain this. The -- when she
5
6 was a party, the Secretary of State submitted this as a proffer of evidence and it is the testimony of a witness
7
8 in the Curling versus Kemp case, and so I'm going to
9 accept their proffer and I'm tendering it into evidence.
10 And so it --
11 THE COURT: Any comment on that?
MR. LINDSEY: I'm going to object to it, Your Honor.
12
13 The Secretary of State is no longer in the case and we haven't had a chance to cross-examine that witness.
14
15 THE COURT: It's hearsay. I'm absolute hearsay; I'm not going to allow it into evidence. And as I said, I
16
17 didn't read it. I didn't read any of the stuff that was -
18 - I'm sorry. I'm old school. I believe that the evidence should come out in the courtroom; there ought to be a rite
19
20 of cross-examination.
I happen to believe in the Constitution because I
21
22 wasn't raised with one; okay? So I'm partial to it. I'm not going to let that in. It's not evidence.
23
24 MR. BROWN: May I respond just briefly, Your Honor?
25 THE COURT: You may respond.

Page 132

1 MR. BROWN: This is evidence that the other side
2 introduced into evidence.
THE COURT: I don't -- I don't care who introduced
3
4 it. It's not admissible. It's hearsay. And one party who's not in the case introduced it, the rest [inaudible].
5
6 I'm not going to allow it.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. I'll go ahead and
7
8 mark it for --
9 THE COURT: You can put it in the record as a
10 proffer. Just --
11 MR. BROWN: -- as a proffer and proffer it as evidence.
12
13 THE COURT: -- it's hearsay. They have a right, as Mr. Lindsay said, to cross-examine it, and they didn't. So
14
15 --
MR. BROWN: That will -- what number is that? That's
16
17 number 4. Thank you, Your Honor.
18 The next witness we would like to call is Philip Stark and Professor Stark is a professor at Berkeley and
19
20 we would like to introduce his testimony, with your Court's permission, by telephone. And so, we would move
21
22 for that --
THE COURT: What does the defense say?
23
24 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, that's usually something
25 that's worked out before. There is a lot to be learned by

Page 133

1 having the witness live to be able to cross-examine them
2 live right here. Now there are circumstances in which the parties do agree to do things by telephone. I've done it
3
4 a hundred times, if not more.
But this was not something that was worked out
5
6 previously. We would prefer to be able to cross-examine someone, and I'm sure Mr. Tyson would as well be able to
7
8 see someone in the eye to cross-examine them directly. So
9 we would object.
10 THE COURT: Mr. Tyson?
11 MR. TYSON: Yes, Your Honor. I'm pulling up the Rule right now but we'd be in the same boat. We'd like to have
12
13 -- this hearing has been on the books for over a month. We know it was going to be; we want to talk to Professor
14
15 Stark and cross-examine him live.
THE COURT: This case actually was set back December
16
17 5th. I'm reading things that say that's not so but it is
18 so. It was set November 5th [sic]; everybody's know- -- December 5th. Everybody's known about it.
19
20 I'm not going to do a witness by telephone. Part of -- it isn't words they say sometimes; it's the mannerisms.
21
22 And I've done them by Skype. I did some dear old ladies who've been ripped with that -- grandson calls and says
23
24 he's in the jail, those kinds of cases.
25 And we did those by Skype, which is much better and

Page 134

1 you've got a full view and you can see the witnesses. But
2 I'm not going to do this one by telephone.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. If we have the
3
4 opportunity, could we set up a Skype tomorrow for this
witness?
5
6 THE COURT: It's up to you. I'm not telling you.
I'm saying what I won't do; I'm not going to tell you what
7
8 to do.
9 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, we
10 would call --
11 THE COURT: And the defense haven't been heard on
that. They should --
12
13 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, our position is, we're here
today. [inaudible]
14
15 THE COURT: Okay. Next witness.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, we would call Matthew
16
17 Bernhard as a witness.
18 THE COURT: Okay.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I apologize. My client has
19
20 Skype on her laptop and --
THE COURT: Has what on her laptop?
21
22 MR. BROWN: Has Skype on her laptop and we would move
to -- for -- to allow us to examine Mr. Stark by Skype.
23
24 THE COURT: What says the defense?
25 MR. TYSON: Same objection, Your Honor.

Page 135

1 MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, I would ask the Court to
2 look at Superior Court Rule 9.2 with respect to video
conferencing, which requires any request for video
3
4 conference be done by notice of intention prior to the
[inaudible]
5
6 THE COURT: Rule 9.2?
MS. BURWELL: Yes.
7
8 THE COURT: At this time I've got the computer where
9 I'm supposed to be able to get into it, and I can see.
10 Let me look at it.
11 Okay. Let's see. I'll get it; just a minute. I've
got LexisNexus. It's Superior Court Rule 9.2?
12
13 MS. BURWELL: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. Let's see. [inaudible]. Okay.
14
15 Yeah, Rule -- yeah. 9.2(c) says that you have to file as
of your intent 30 days prior to the date scheduled and
16
17 then we have to have a hearing on the matter. That's what
18 it says.
MR, BROWN: Your Honor, with all due respect, I
19
20 believe that the -- some of these rules like the jury
demand need to be read in context of the nature of this
21
22 proceeding. The nature of this proceeding is an
accelerated process.
23
24 I understand that Your Honor did schedule this on
25 December 5th. Nevertheless, given the challenges

Page 136

1 preparing a case of this complexity, we would ask for your
2 indulgence to -- as an exception to that rule to allow the
testimony.
3
4 THE COURT: Mr. Lindsey?
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we've had several weeks to
5
6 prepare for trial and would have been available to do it
by deposition, notice the person that's necessary to go --
7
8 to take a deposition. The plaintiffs have chosen not to
9 do so, but instead to do so at trial to set up [inaudible]
10 procedure. So we state our objections.
11 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, [inaudible] declaration he
filed for him much earlier in the case as recently as 10
12
13 days ago, possibly earlier than that, opportunity to bring
that before the Court [inaudible].
14
15 THE COURT: Well, I understand. We're in an
expedited situation and we've had some service and other
16
17 issues. But we did have a hearing on January 9 and I
18 think that's the notice should have been given. Given the
nature of the case I understand bending the rules because
19
20 of where we are.
But to suddenly walk in in the afternoon of trial and
21
22 say I want to call somebody by Skype or by phone is not in
compliance with the rules at all. And so, yeah, if you
23
24 got up on January 9th and said you were going to do it,
25 then I'd given you a chance to be heard and we'd gone

Page 137

1 through it. But I'm not going to violate the rule at this
2 time.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. And I believe --
3
4 THE COURT: What are you doing, ma'am? Go sit down,
please.
5
6 MR. BROWN: I had lost something. I have a copy now.
I'm just looking for my copies.
7
8 THE COURT: If you need to ask for something you can.
9 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. We would call --
10 THE COURT: Matthew Bernhard.
11 MR. BROWN: Yes.
Please raise your right hand. Do you promise to tell
12
13 the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?
THE WITNESS: I do.
14
15
Thereupon:
16
17
18 MATTHEW BERNHARD
19
20 was called as a witness by the Petitioner; and,
having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
21
22
DIRECT EXAMINATION
23
24 OF MATTHEW BERNHARD
25

Page 138

1 BY MR. BROWN:
2 Q. Please sit down and state your name for the record.
3
4 A. My name is Matthew Bernhard.
Q. Mr. Bernhard, what do you do?
5
6 A. I am a Ph.D. candidate at the University of Michigan studying computer science.
7
8 Q. Have you been engaged by the plaintiffs as
9 an expert in this case?
10 A. Yes.
11 THE COURT: You're a PhD candidate where?
THE WITNESS: At the University of Michigan.
12
13 THE COURT: Michigan. Okay.
THE WITNESS: Yes.
14
15 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Bernhard, I would like to go over your
16
17 qualifications, and do you have a CV that you have
18 prepared?
A. Yes.
19
20 Q. I'd like to briefly go over your education and experience. You -- where'd you go to college?
21
22 A. I went to Georgia Tech and graduated from Rice University.
23
24 Q. Okay. And what did you study at Rice?
25 A. Computer science.

Page 139

1 Q. And did you go to graduate school?
2 A. Yes.
Q. And what did you study at graduate school?
3
4 A. Computer science.
Q. And that was --
5
6 THE COURT: You're going to have to speak up because you're mumbling and I can't hear you. I got the Georgia
7
8 Tech bit for reasons of my allegiance but what was the
9 rest of it?
10 THE WITNESS: Rice University.
11 THE COURT: Rice. Okay.
THE WITNESS: Yes.
12
13 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. And then did you attend graduate school?
14
15 A. Yes. At the University of Michigan.
Q. And what did you study at the University of
16
17 Michigan?
18 A. Computer science.
Q. And did you obtain a degree at Michigan?
19
20 A. Yes, I got a Master's degree.
Q. And did you continue your studies at
21
22 Michigan?
A. I am currently still there.
23
24 Q. And how far are you along in your Ph.D.
25 work?

Page 140

1 A. I'm -- this is my fourth year.
2 Q. Okay. And so if you graduated or obtained your degree, when would that be?
3
4 A. Probably about a year from now.
Q. Okay. And are you working on a
5
6 dissertation?
A. Yes, sir.
7
8 Q. And what's the subject matter of your
9 dissertation?
10 A. Election integrity and election security.
11 Q. Okay. Now have you --
THE WITNESS: Security.
12
13 THE COURT: Okay. [inaudible]
THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
14
15 THE COURT: [inaudible]
BY MR. BROWN:
16
17 Q. Have you -- do you teach?
18 A. Yes, sir. I taught the undergraduate cyber security course, introductory course, and I've also
19
20 recently conducted a -- an undergraduate research seminar in election security.
21
22 Q. Okay. Have you ever published anything?
A. Yes. I have published several papers
23
24 pertaining to election security and, you know,
25 election audits, and in several other things that

Page 141

1 aren't related to election [inaudible].
2 Q. I mean, are these -- have you published peer review articles?
3
4 A. I guess almost every article I publish has been peer review.
5
6 Q. Okay. Have you -- outside of your academic work, have you worked with election systems?
7
8 A. Yes, sir. I -- in addition to working for
9 Verified Voting, who collects data about elections, I
10 worked with election officials all over the country
11 helping run audits, you know. And helping them better secure their election [inaudible].
12
13 Q. Let me go over your work experience, also then. You mentioned Verified Voting. You -- are you
14
15 -- do you work for them now?
A. Currently, yes, as a consultant.
16
17 Q. Okay. And what sort of work do you do for
18 Verified Voting?
A. I collect data about current -- the current
19
20 generation of election technology and its security properties, what kinds of operating systems they run
21
22 and, you know, what program languages it's programmed in and so forth.
23
24 Q. And what employment did you have
25 immediately before Verified Voting?

Page 142

1 A. So before that I did a summer internship at
2 a company named CloudPlayer and then before that I
was at Microsoft Research.
3
4 Q. And what did you do for Microsoft?
A. I researched Trusted Boot, which is a
5
6 particular way that computers can ensure the
application if the running is the right one.
7
8 Q. Did you say boot?
9 A. Yeah. Boot.
10 Q. Okay.
11 A. With specific applications for voting
machines.
12
13 Q. And why was Microsoft -- what's the
connection between voting machines and working for
14
15 Microsoft?
A. Well, so most of the machines run through
16
17 Windows operating system, the ones in Georgia do, and
18 so they have a vested interest in making sure that,
you know, they can support it and develop technology
19
20 scoring.
Q. And did your work at Microsoft relate to
21
22 the operating systems that are used generally or just
for voting systems or both?
23
24 A. Generally; it's all Windows. I was mostly
25 focused on the more recent versions of Windows,

Page 143

1 Windows 8 and Windows 10, both of which are used in
2 the current generation of voting technology.
Q. And skipping ahead, is that the voting
3
4 system used in Georgia --
A: No.
5
6 Q. -- the operating system used in Georgia?
A. No.
7
8 Q. Okay.
9 A. It is a -- the -- that operating system is
10 about 15 years down the line from the one that's used
11 in Georgia voting machines.
Q. Have you ever been qualified as an expert
12
13 in a case?
A. Yes, sir. I'm an expert -- qualified expert
14
15 witness in the Curling v. Kemp case in the Northern
District of Georgia.
16
17 Q. It --
18 A. [inaudible] federal court.
Q. And has -- have your opinions, your expert
19
20 opinions ever been cited by a court with approval or
indicating that the court does not disagree with your
21
22 conclusions?
A. Yes. In one of the rulings in the
23
24 [inaudible] and the Curling v. Kemp case she did cite
25 my opinions in the affirmative.

Page 144

1 Q. Do you have either academic or hands-on
2 experience and knowledge about the operations
generally of a DRE machine?
3
4 A. Yes, sir. I have worked in many different
ways with DREs.
5
6 Q. And have you worked with the Diebold DREs?
A. Yes. We have about ten of them in my lab
7
8 in Michigan.
9 Q. And you have access to those?
10 A. Yes.
11 Q. And did you obtain those machines legally?
A. Yes. On Ebay.
12
13 Q. Are you able to tear it apart, study all
the components?
14
15 A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Do you have knowledge and experience
16
17 through your training and your education about
18 whether DREs as a class of voting systems are
vulnerable or not vulnerable?
19
20 A. Yes, I do.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor --
21
22 Q. And how have you --
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I would at this point
23
24 object. The questions today are not -- whether or not the
25 system could potentially be vulnerable and whether or not

Page 145

1 some other system would be better. That's a decision
2 that's now being debated at the capitol. The questions
today are whether the system was in fact compromised.
3
4 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I don't -- I'm not quite sure
what the form of that objection was.
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: We object to the relevancy. I mean,
I'm trying to keep the case focused on what not that was
7
8 in fact a compromise of the system.
9 MR. BROWN: Okay. Your Honor, I can ask him more
10 questions that establish the -- that connect the dots.
11 THE COURT: Do that.
BY MR. BROWN:
12
13 Q. Would the background vulnerability of a
system like these DREs increase the likelihood that
14
15 it's defective today in Georgia?
A. Yes.
16
17 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, [inaudible] background
18 vulnerabilities [inaudible] foundation yet for what
vulnerability is or [inaudible] this thing. If we're
19
20 trying to qualify him, that's one thing; but if we're
trying get into the facts I think that's something else.
21
22 MR. BROWN: May I respond, Your Honor?
THE COURT: Well, whether the machines generally are
23
24 not very good doesn't really matter in this case. I'm
25 going to let you, if you're using it, to lay a foundation

Page 146

1 to get somewhere, I'm going to let you do that for a
2 little bit.
But the fact that the machines, all wherever they may
3
4 be used, aren't the best is not relevant --
MR. BROWN: Your Honor --
5
6 THE COURT: -- in this case is the one [inaudible].
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, if I may. The witness'
7
8 testimony is different than what Your Honor said. What
9 his testimony was that it --
10 THE COURT: I'm going to let you ask that question;
11 okay?
MR. BROWN: Okay. Thank you.
12
13 THE COURT: I was just trying to give you some
direction.
14
15 MR. BROWN: Okay.
THE COURT: If not, they can get exercise jumping up
16
17 and down.
18 MR. BROWN: Okay. I want to get -- to focus your
attention more closely on the machines that were used in
19
20 this case.
BY MR. BROWN:
21
22 Q. Do you have any experience or knowledge or
expertise about whether Georgia's systems are more
23
24 vulnerable or less vulnerable than these machines are
25 generally?

Page 147

1 A. Yes, I do.
2 Q. What's the basis for your expertise in
that?
3
4 A. I reviewed -- so in addition to my
knowledge about the Diebold voting system, in
5
6 particular, I reviewed Georgia's use of the system.
I've been into, you know, particularly the Fulton
7
8 County election preparations and multiple times.
9 I've reviewed testimony from Michael Barnes, Rick
10 Barron, and other election officials in the state of
11 Georgia and have been observing Georgia's election
since 2016.
12
13 Q. Have you -- in the course of your education
and your experience, have you -- do you have
14
15 experience and expertise about problems, specific
types of problems, that DREs can experience, Georgia
16
17 or elsewhere?
18 A. Yes.
Q. Would that include software problems?
19
20 A. Yes.
Q. And hardware problems also? You need to
21
22 say, yes.
A. Yes.
23
24 Q. Okay. Have you developed -- and now I'm
25 going to explore this in a little bit greater detail,

Page 148

1 but have you developed an -- do you have experience
2 or developed expertise in how to detect problems with
DREs forensically?
3
4 A. Yes. In fact, in 2017 I published a paper
looking at how to do that with the machines.
5
6 Q. And when I use the word -- we use the word,
forensically, when you use it -- and the reason I'm
7
8 asking this is that to many it might have connotation
9 of a criminal conduct; do you follow me?
10 A. Yes.
11 Q. In your field when you use the word,
forensic investigation, do you mean to imply that
12
13 you're looking necessarily for criminal activity?
A. No. Typically it's a post hoc application
14
15 of the scientific method to determine what happens,
whether it be criminal or not.
16
17 Q. But it's looks -- is it looking for the
18 causes of a mistake or a problem?
A. Yes, and to try to understand how that
19
20 problem came to be.
Q. Do you have any expertise in determining or
21
22 identifying what I would call the telltale signs from
the outside as to whether there are system defects on
23
24 the inside?
25 A. Yes. See, for example, that paper that I

Page 149

1 just mentioned.
2 Q. Okay. And have you -- do you have real
hands-on experience with DREs trying to determine
3
4 whether and how they are defected?
A. Yes. In fact, we just talked to some
5
6 undergraduates how to do a forensic analysis of the
Diebold DREs in particular.
7
8 Q. Do you have any experience in post-election
9 auditing?
10 A. Yes. I assisted the State of Michigan and
11 observed the audits in Colorado, the responding
audits in Colorado.
12
13 Q. And what is a risk limiting audit?
A. The risk limiting audit is a statistical
14
15 way where you define hypothesis tests and you use
that to sample a small subset of ballots and answer
16
17 the question, is the reporting elections all correct
18 or not.
Q. And just -- does your work -- does that
19
20 work require knowledge and use of methods of
statistics?
21
22 A. Yes, it does. In particular, the
development of new [inaudible] paper we just
23
24 published.
25 Q. Okay.

Page 150

1 MR. BROWN: And, Your Honor, I would like to qualify
2 and tender Mr. Bernhard as an expert in DRE operation, DRE vulnerability, Georgia; and generally, the internal
3
4 mechanics and software of the DREs, problems with DREs, how to detect those problems, the telltale signs of those
5
6 problems --
THE COURT: Okay, you're drawing a huge, huge list of
7
8 stuff. Let's be a little bit -- yeah, I'm not going to go
9 through and say yes, no, yes, no. What you're wanting to
10 qualify him as an expert generally in what, DRE?
11 MR. BROWN: DREs, their problems, their repair, how to detect them, and how to fix them.
12
13 THE COURT: Okay. You keep adding to it. What say the defendant?
14
15 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, a few more questions for Bernhard.
16
17
18 CROSS-EXAMINATION
OF MATTHEW BERNHARD
19
20
BY MR. TYSON:
21
22 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Bernhard. My name is Bryan Tyson. I represent the Gwinnett County Board
23
24 of Registrations and Elections. So you mentioned to
25 Mr. Brown that you worked for Verified Voting. Does

Page 151

1 Verified Voting have a position on the use of DREs,
2 generally?
A. Yes.
3
4 Q. And what is that position?
A. That DREs are unfit for us in U.S.
5
6 elections.
Q. And it would be correct to say that
7
8 Verified Voting wants to return to an all-paper
9 ballot system; correct?
10 A. A handwritten paper ballot system; correct.
11 Q. You mentioned that you were qualified as expert in Curling versus Kemp case; do -- can you
12
13 explain to the Court briefly what the issues are in that case that you're -- gave expert testimony on?
14
15 A. Yeah. The argument is that the inherent vulnerability of Georgia's DRE voting system puts
16
17 voters in Georgia at a fundamentally different level
18 of -- you know, it abridges their constitutional rights to a fair election in comparison to other
19
20 states, like Alabama next door
Q. And those claims were all [inaudible] in
21
22 that case? And are the same plaintiffs involved in that case?
23
24 A. I don't believe so.
25 Q. Okay. And is Mr. Brown counsel for the

Page 152

1 plaintiffs in that case?
2 A. Yes, sir.
Q. Okay. You mentioned you had several DREs
3
4 in your lab; do any of those DREs -- the Diebold DREs specifically, run the same operating system as in use
5
6 in Georgia?
A. Yes, sir.
7
8 Q. Okay. How many of those run the same
9 operating system?
10 A. All of them.
11 Q. Do all of them run the same version of the software that's used for voting in Diebold machines
12
13 in Georgia?
A. No, sir.
14
15 Q. And so do any of them have the same software that is use in Georgia for running the
16
17 actual voting system?
18 A. No, sir. They all have the -- a more recent version.
19
20 Q. So, it would be correct to say that none of the Diebold machines in your lab are -- will match up
21
22 to any of the Diebold machines that are in Georgia from a software perspective; correct?
23
24 A. There will be a significant amount of
25 similarities, but it won't be 100 percent the same.

Page 153

1 Q. Okay. Great. Do you have any experience
2 in the field of election administration?
A. Yes, sir. I'm a trained poll worker in the
3
4 state of Michigan.
Q. Okay. Have you done any personal review of
5
6 any voting machines that were used in the November 2018 general election in Georgia?
7
8 A. [inaudible] personal reviews.
9 Q. So have you physically touched the
10 machines, inspected them, taken any steps to review
11 any machines that were used in the 2018 general election in Georgia?
12
13 A. No, sir.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, that's compound.
14
15 THE COURT: [inaudible]
MR. BROWN: He asked about eight questions in --
16
17 MR. TYSON: I'll -- I'm going to try to rephrase.
18 I'm sorry.
THE COURT: Rephrase.
19
20 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. Mr. Bernhard, have you personally inspected
21
22 any machines that were used in 2018 election in Georgia in general election?
23
24 A. No, sir.
25 Q. And so it would be correct to say, isn't

it, that you have no firsthand knowledge of any DRE machine that was used in the 2018 Georgia election because you haven't inspected them; correct?

A. I suppose that's correct.

MR. TYSON: Okay. That's all I have.

THE COURT: Anybody else for the defendant have questions?

MR. LINDSEY: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. BROWN: Just one follow-up question. I don't have any further questions for him.

THE COURT: Okay. Anyone want to be heard on whether he's an expert on DREs? That's basically what the issue is. All that other stuff I'm not going to rule on, [inaudible] credibility [inaudible].

MR. TYSON: Yes, Your Honor. So we would object to Mr. Bernhard serving as an expert in this case, both under 702(b) because he's testified he has no personal knowledge about the voting machines in use in Georgia. The machines in his lab do not line up to ones that were in use in Georgia.

He has not personally inspected the voting machines that were used in the 2018 general election, so we don't believe that he can offer anything to help the Court determine if that's an issue which is required by that.

In addition, we don't believe there is anything relevant that relates to the issue in the case. Mr. Bernhard can certainly testify about DREs generally. The issue for this Court is focused on the lieutenant governor's election and Mr. Bernhard has not indicated he has anything that would be useful to finder of facts in this case.

MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we adopt the issue of Gwinnett County and also make the same objections.

MR. BROWN: First -- the first objection was based upon his line of personal knowledge. That, of course, is not an objection to an expert qualification of an expert. The second was personal knowledge about inspection. That's also not a relevant objection.

I mean, if there's another idea lurking behind that, I'd like to hear it and respond to it, but that's not a proper objection. The single objection that I could understand, that's applicable to here, was that his particular model -- models that he has and that he can take apart, is a different -- he uses a different version of the Microsoft operating system.

He testified that it is substantially identical and that is -- even if it were different, he has been established as a leading expert in this field.

THE COURT: I'm not going to say he's a leading

expert. I have no evidence of him being an expert. But leading expert -- I am going to allow him to testify as an expert. The weight and credibility of his testimony is for me, and I can listen to it and I can [inaudible].

MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

OF MATTHEW BERNHARD

BY MR. BROWN:

Q. Mr. Bernhard, the first part, I would like to review your -- of what materials you have reviewed in connection with your work on this case. Are you with me?

A. Uh-huh.

Q. And have you reviewed the scholarly literature?

A. Yes, sir. I've done a thorough survey of all of the academic works specifically about the Diebold machines and [inaudible].

Q. Have you reviewed government publications on [inaudible]?

A. Yes, sir. [inaudible] National Academy of Sciences as well as various other government agencies.

Q. Have you ever reviewed the source code for the TS system?

A. Yes, sir. I've reviewed an early release of the source code.

Q. Have you reviewed the voting results in the Georgia 2018 election?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. Have reviewed studies of those results?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. Have you reviewed statements from voters with their accounts of trying to vote on the machines in Georgia?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. And who in -- focusing just on the -- on those individual statements, what statements have you -- let me back up a little bit. Let me finish. Have you reviewed the testimony of the agents of the defendants, including Michael Barnes and Rick Barron in other cases?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. Have you reviewed the reported vulnerability in the Georgia voter registration page recorded during the 2018 election?

A. Yes.

Q. Have you spoken with other people who have

Page 158

1 expert knowledge to gain a better understanding of
2 the issues?
A. Yes, sir.
3
4 Q. And who would those be?
A. For one, [inaudible] who published one of
5
6 the original security analyses of the DREs, I've
spoken with -- excuse me [inaudible] Philip Stark,
7
8 who is a leading expert on audits and [inaudible],
9 among others.
10 Q. Okay. Okay. Let's dive into then to some
11 of your opinions. First, with respect to the Diebold
DRE machine, do you have an opinion as to whether
12
13 that machine generally is defective or not defective?
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'm going to object to the
14
15 way that the question is asked. I don't think we've
established if there one [inaudible] DRE machine. We've
16
17 already heard there's different versions of software, are
18 there different hardware -- I think we need to be specific
about what's being asked.
19
20 MR. BROWN: Fair enough, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Well, it's a lot of different types of
21
22 machines. At least be able to identify which ones he's
done and which ones he hasn't.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Exactly. Your Honor, exact -- the
25 objection is well taken and let me reframe it.

Page 159

1 BY MR. BROWN:
2 Q. Have you developed an opinion as to whether
the DRE systems like the ones used in Georgia
3
4 generally are defective?
A. Yes.
5
6 Q. And to get to the point of different DRE
machines, other states use Diebold DRE machines; is
7
8 that correct?
9 A. Correct.
10 Q. Are they all identical or are they
11 different?
A. From a hardware perspective, they're all
12
13 identical. The software is different depending on
the state; though there are only three major versions
14
15 of the software out there.
Q. With respect to whether they are defective
16
17 or not, is there any different -- material difference
18 in the different types of Diebold DRE machines?
A. No, sir. They all come with the same
19
20 software and they have the same aging hardware.
Q. And what is your opinion about the
21
22 defectiveness of the DRE machines that are used
nationwide and in Georgia?
23
24 A. They're defective both from an
25 architectural standpoint, the actual implementation,

Page 160

1 and the way they were designed and built, as well as
2 the way they are used in many places.
Again, these machines are 15 years old at this
3
4 point. The glue that holds the screens together is
starting to come apart, you know, as well as, you
5
6 know, who knows how many times they've been dropped
and so forth. And so I think it's -- they were
7
8 defective to begin with but they've only become more
9 defective over time.
10 Q. Mr. Bernhard, have you reviewed academic
11 literature on the subject of whether DRE systems are
generally defective?
12
13 A. Yes, sir.
Q. And just give me an example of some of the
14
15 things that you reviewed.
A. Yeah, so there was a forensic study in 2006
16
17 of the Diebold system that was actually published by
18 [inaudible]. There was the California top to bottom
review. There was the Ohio Everest report; the state
19
20 of Florida and Maryland both issued independent
reports. And then more recently we've seen the
21
22 National Academy of Science's report on the usage of
DREs, as well as numerous others.
23
24 Q. And what -- do they have a general
25 consensus or not?

Page 161

1 A. Yeah, they -- unanimous conclusion is that
2 DRE machines, and particularly the kind of DRE that's
used in Georgia are unsafe and unfit for use for
3
4 voting.
Q. And are the unsafe and unfit for voting
5
6 because they don't -- they're not accurate or they
might not be accurate?
7
8 A. Yeah. They are, you know -- as I mentioned
9 before, inherently defective and on top of that, they
10 don't provide any recourse if the defect is detected.
11 Q. And what do you mean by no recourse?
A. You know, typically there's this notion of
12
13 software independence where even if the software does
go bad, we can, one, detect it if it's happened; and
14
15 two, correct for it.
Because the DRE machines don't have any -- you
16
17 know, there's no paper trail. There's no external
18 source of evidence of how many votes are cast, who
they were cast for. There is no way that the DREs
19
20 can provide significant evidence to the outcome of an
election.
21
22 Q. But isn't that the case in any sort of
computer program that there's no independence --
23
24 A. Yes.
25 Q. -- of verification?

Page 162

1 A. Anything that doesn't have an external
2 source of evidence, yes.
Q. The -- let me ask the same question. You
3
4 were -- you responded with discussion of governmental
agencies. Have you reviewed opinions or publications
5
6 from the computer science community --
A. Yes.
7
8 Q. -- about the vulnerability or defectiveness
9 of the DREs?
10 A. Yes. That was the majority of the
11 literature is from.
Q. Okay. And what is the computer science --
12
13 is there a consensus or differences of opinion in the
computer science community with respect to the
14
15 defectiveness of the DREs?
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I object on the relevancy.
16
17 This has been going on for a little while. I'm not sure
18 when -- where general problems of the DREs is relevant to
allegations regarding the Georgia lieutenant governor's
19
20 election on a specific [inaudible] Georgia machines.
These are general allegations, not something specific.
21
22 MR. BROWN: Your Honor --
THE COURT: I understand that. He can answer that
23
24 question [inaudible].
25 MR. BROWN: Okay.

Page 163

1 THE WITNESS: Again, the overall consensus is that
2 the machines are vulnerable and can cause problems.
MR. BROWN:
3
4 Q. Are you aware of any peer review computer
science publication that takes a contrary view to the
5
6 view that the DREs are defective?
A. No, sir. The community is unanimous.
7
8 Q. Have you developed an opinion about whether
9 Georgia's system is less defective than the systems
10 used in other parts of the country or more defective?
11 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll just object for lack of
foundation. I don't think we've had testimony about
12
13 Georgia yet. We've talked generally about that --
[inaudible]
14
15 THE COURT: I'll let you ask the question but if he
doesn't give a basis for it I'll disregard it.
16
17 THE WITNESS: Okay. Yes, sir, I have.
18 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. And if I asked you this before, I
19
20 apologize. But have you talked to people or have you
done some investigation about the vulnerability of
21
22 Georgia's system in particular, not as a general
matter?
23
24 A. Yes, sir.
25 Q. And what's -- what did you do or what have

Page 164

1 you done?
2 A. Again, reviewing testimony and interviewing
voters in Georgia, reviewing Georgia electronic
3
4 regulation; like I said, testimony from Michael
Barnes and Rick Barron in the Curling v. Kemp where
5
6 they explain more carefully the layout of the system.
I talked with Michael Barnes a couple times, also,
7
8 about how Georgia's election system works.
9 Q. And have you evaluated, for example, the
10 testimony of Logan Lamb?
11 A. Yes.
THE COURT: Of who?
12
13 MR. BROWN: Logan Lamb. And have you --
THE COURT: So he's repeating somebody else's
14
15 testimony in another case? Is that what you're saying?
MR. BROWN: That's not the purpose. It was to
16
17 provide a foundation for his knowledge in determining --
18 THE COURT: Does he have any personal knowledge?
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, he's an expert in --
19
20 THE COURT: I understand he's an expert. Go ahead.
[inaudible].
21
22 MR. BROWN: Okay.
BY MR. BROWN:
23
24 Q. Have you personally examined the
25 architecture of the system, from your perspective,

Page 165

1 sufficient to understand whether the State of Georgia
2 by leaving it open to the internet for six months
might have exposed the system to greater danger?
3
4 Have you looked at that personally?
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object to that again. I
5
6 don't think there's any evidence about an exposure for six
months on the internet. There's no foundation for the
7
8 question -- that's also compounds [inaudible].
9 THE COURT: [inaudible] no time, no -- we're talking
10 about one day of one election. That's all we're talking
11 about.
MR. BROWN: Yeah. I'll establish a foundation for
12
13 that. The relevance -- I think there was a -- related to
relevancy.
14
15 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Bernhard, in your experience and in
16
17 your expertise, would the likelihood of a defect in
18 the election on November the 6th, 2018, be increased
or decreased based upon how Georgia's particular
19
20 system was maintained?
A. It would be increased.
21
22 Q. Okay. Now what did Georgia do or not do to
increase the vulnerability of the DRE machine that it
23
24 uses?
25 A. We first found in 2016 from Logan Lamb that

Page 166

1 there was a server that is connected to the
2 [inaudible] system or at least adjacent to it that
was open to the internet running an incredibly
3
4 vulnerable version of a software called Drupal. To
give you an idea of how vulnerable it is, I set up my
5
6 Drupal residence and within 24 hours it was
compromised.
7
8 We also saw in 2018 leading up to the election
9 that the voter registration page has significant
10 vulnerabilities in it. And all of these could serve
11 as potential records for malware or, you know, other
-- they all show a tendency for a lack of
12
13 fastidiousness and, you know, a general carelessness
from a technical perspective that may indicate that
14
15 errors were made or there was malware in the system
or -- you know.
16
17 Q. And did that contribute to your assessment
18 of the level risk in Georgia?
A. Yes.
19
20 Q. And what was your conclusion about whether
the risk was increased or decreased?
21
22 A. So, based on the inherent vulnerability of
the DREs, they're used and based on the repeated
23
24 security mistakes by the State of Georgia, my opinion
25 is that the -- Georgia's elections are far more

Page 167

1 vulnerable than most other states and most other
2 elections in general.
Q. Now you identified -- you stated mistakes,
3
4 of what I interpreted to be mistakes. Could you list
those again for us, please?
5
6 A. Yeah. The file system that used to
distribute sample ballots and voter registration data
7
8 was left open to the internet. And the voter
9 registration page had two major vulnerabilities and
10 then one less significant vulnerability in it; and
11 because of the central nature of Georgia's election
system, you know, they -- the Secretary of State's
12
13 office does distribute programming to all of the
machines indirectly.
14
15 Those two vulnerabilities at the state level
could potentially cause trouble, you know, everywhere
16
17 in the state; as well as, you know, again, show a
18 general lack of care for technical correctness, you
know. Getting the technical stuff right and making
19
20 programming errors or for other things are more
likely as well.
21
22 Q. Okay. Anything else? Any other problems,
any other mistakes?
23
24 A. None come to mind.
25 Q. Okay. Let me -- to back up a little bit, I

Page 168

1 do want to get something into the record.
2 THE COURT: This P-5? Is that P-5? P-7. Okay. I
don't look at it until it's been admitted.
3
4 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Do you have Plaintiff's Exhibit 7 in front
5
6 of you?
A. Yes, sir.
7
8 Q. And what is Plaintiff's 7?
9 A. It is the National Academies of Science
10 Engineering and Medicine's report, Secured Boot, from
11 2018.
Q. And is it your understanding the entire
12
13 report is public and on the internet?
A. Yes, it is.
14
15 Q. And is Plaintiff 7 just a selection of
those pages?
16
17 A. Yes, it appears to be.
18 Q. And what is your understanding of what the
National Academy of Science's -- what study it
19
20 undertook and what the purpose of that was.
A. The National Academy of Scientists
21
22 attempted to kind of understand the overall --
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'm going to pose an
23
24 objection as to what another group of experts may have
25 said. Plus, it is what he said and he's the expert here.

Page 169

1 He's the one that we can cross-examine. These folks
2 aren't here so we would object this line of testimony.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, he already testified.
3
4 [inaudible] kind of information -- he already testified
that this is the --
5
6 THE COURT: He can testify to what he's read and who
he's talked to, what all he's done. He can't just read
7
8 off a document that somebody else has -- based on having
9 done all that, then he has certain opinions, which I've
10 heard pretty loud and clear. Those are all his opinions
11 based on all this information and training and everything
he's done.
12
13 But we're not having the documents here.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I believe that it's
14
15 admissible because it is -- he has established that this
is the kind of information that expert --
16
17 THE COURT: No. No.
18 MR. BROWN: Okay.
THE COURT: It becomes a substitute for evidence and
19
20 we're not going to do that.
MR. BROWN: Okay.
21
22 THE COURT: But I understand. He read it; it formed
part of the decision that he's made in this case.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Is the -- and let me ask a foundation --
25 I understand your ruling but to get on the record, is --

Page 170

1 BY MR. BROWN:
2 Q. Are opinions such as the one in the National Academy of Sciences, things that experts in
3 your field rely upon to develop your expert opinion?
4 A. Yes.
5 Q. And is it standard in your profession to rely upon that information?
6 A. Yes.
7 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would suggest again this is
8 the kind -- albeit hearsay, it is exactly the kind of
9 hearsay --
10 THE COURT: No. It's hearsay. He's relied on it;
11 he's read it; it's part of what -- you know, if he's up to PhD he's probably read thousands of pages of stuff. We're
12 not putting it all in.
13 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
14 BY MR. BROWN:
15 Q. You testified before that there are some telltale signs of actual defective machines; do you
16 recall that?
17 A. Yes, sir.
18 Q. And have you reached an opinion about whether those telltale signs were apparent in Georgia
19 for the 2018 election?
20 A. Yes, sir.
21
22
23
24
25

Page 171

1 Q. And what in your expert opinion were those
2 telltale signs and what is the basis that you have for saying that it is?
3 A. So there is a -- to say that the literature
4 is the [inaudible] where they lay out the foundation
5 for forensic election investigation --
6 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, same objection.
7 THE COURT: Hm?
8 MR. LINDSEY: You know, he could -- the question here
9 is, what were the telltale signs, not what another paper
10 may say --
11 THE COURT: You cannot quote what the paper said.
12 MR. LINDSEY: -- [inaudible] what another paper said.
13 MR. BROWN: That's right.
14 THE COURT: Let me make that -- you can say I relied on it to make my decision; don't have any problem with
15 that. That's what experts do. To get an expert in
16 anything you've got to read lots of stuff. But you can't say what it said; okay?
17 MR. BROWN: Bear that in mind.
18 BY MR. BROWN:
19 Q. Mr. Bernhard, what telltale signs did you observe of system defectiveness?
20 A. The significant under-vote rate in the
21 lieutenant governor's race, as well as reporting
22 various errors, you know. There were errors with the
23 review screen; voters were reporting the machines were [inaudible] in the middle of the voting
24 sessions, that error messages were popping up.
25 Q. Let's -- let me -- I can't -- I'm sorry.

Page 172

1 Focusing on the election results, you said there was
2 a significant under-vote and what is the basis of
3 your opinion that there was a significant under-vote?
4 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, first off, the objection is
5 that he hasn't established any kind of expert regarding
6 under-voting and whether or not the under-voting could be caught by machine or through other sources. He hasn't
7 laid that foundation.
8 As a matter of fact, they tried to lay that
9 foundation with the last expert and the judge ruled against them. So he simply has not laid any proper
10 foundation of kind of testimony.
11 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, the same objection, that
12 there's not a foundation. Mr. Bernhard is not an expert
13 on election administration or under-votes. I think the numbers are already in the evidence -- we know what the
14 numbers are, so --
15 THE COURT: Yeah. We've already had testimony about
16 it live. So I sustain the objection.
17 MR. BROWN: Let me reframe it.
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Page 173

1 BY MR. BROWN:
2 Q. Do the voting results in some instances tell an expert like you that there may be a defect in
3 the system that needs to be investigated?
4 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, same objection as before.
5 THE COURT: That's a little bit different.
6 MR. LINDSEY: Same objection as before. I might also
7 add that he's asking for speculation of the word "may."
8 The --
9 THE COURT: I said weight and credibility is mine.
10 MR. LINDSEY: Huh?
11 THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to let him answer that
12 question.
13 MR. LINDSEY: Okay. Thank you.
14 THE WITNESS: Yes. I do believe that based on the data there were defects.
15 BY MR. BROWN:
16 Q. And what is it about the data that leads you to the opinion that there were defects in the
17 machines?
18 A. There would be -- there's a statically
19 significant correlation between the mode of voting and the amount of under-voting; and there's also a
20 statistic -- at least in one statistically
21 significant aberration in the [inaudible] where one
22
23
24
25

Page 174

1 voting machine reported, you know, significantly
2 different results than every other voting machine in
the precinct, which is highly unlikely.
3
4 Q. In your field -- well, let me back up a
little bit. You said statistically significant
5
6 under-voting. Do you mean under-voting in the
abstract or the comparison between under-voting on
7
8 the electronic machines and under-voting on the paper
9 machines?
10 A. Yes, that's correct. The under-voting rate
11 for paper ballots was significantly lower than it was
for DRE machines in a statistically significant
12
13 [inaudible].
Q. And what is the statistical basis for what
14
15 you're saying?
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, objection. He's laid no
16
17 basis that he's in any way an expert on statistics.
18 MR. TYSON: Same objection, Your Honor.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor --
19
20 THE COURT: I mean, we've got all the figures.
[inaudible] We've got all the figures. We know what
21
22 happened. We know what the numbers are. He says it's
because the machines are defective. That's his opinion,
23
24 expert opinion, it's why all that happened.
25 Okay, beyond that, where are we going?

Page 175

1 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the -- if I may back up a
2 little bit. With any phenomena, there's either direct
evidence, eyewitness testimony, or you go through and you
3
4 eliminate every other alternative. That's -- you do --
THE COURT: But I've taken his opinion. His opinion
5
6 is because of those numbers and because of the
differential between the paper and the machines, something
7
8 has to be wrong with the machines; am I right?
9 MR. BROWN: Okay.
10 THE COURT: I'm simplistic; I'm sorry.
11 MR. BROWN: Is there a way to --
THE COURT: I don't have a PhD.
12
13 MR. BROWN: Okay.
BY MR. BROWN:
14
15 Q. And let me ask this. Is there a way to
quantify the likelihood of that voting pattern
16
17 happening simply by chance?
18 A. Yes, there is.
Q. And what is the answer?
19
20 A. Typically, you use a hypothesis test. In
the two examples I cited [inaudible] one of them, the
21
22 -- I believe the under-vote has a less -- less than 1
to 10,000 chance of being -- happen -- sorry. A
23
24 less than 1 in 10,000 chance of appearing at random
25 or monthly in the course of an election.

Page 176

1 Q. And then you -- you also testified about
2 Winterville?
A. Yes. The -- assuming that the voters were
3
4 randomly -- you know, that you didn't go to a machine
based on your party or something like that. The odds
5
6 that one machine would have significantly more votes
for one party but not the other machines have votes
7
8 for the other party is also incredibly low.
9 Q. And could you just explain -- we -- in case
10 the court has not heard about the Winterville
11 problem, could you just explain to the Court what you
observed about the numbers at the Winterville voting
12
13 location?
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor --
14
15 THE COURT: About what? I missed the question. You
went round and round and I didn't get it.
16
17 MR. BROWN: The question is, just to get -- he
18 testified about Winterville and what happened in
Winterville, and I was just asking him to explain in
19
20 greater detail what did happen in Winterville in case that
hadn't been made clear.
21
22 MR. TYSON: And Your Honor, this assumes facts that
are not in evidence and I believe the evidence that
23
24 [inaudible] was submitted was not an avenue that Mr.
25 Bernhard regarding that precinct. So Mr. Barnhard [sic]

Page 177

1 may need to lay a foundation and Mr. Barnhard needs to
2 talk about his personal analysis, if he conducted one.
BY MR. BROWN:
3
4 Q. Again, are you familiar with the voting
totals from the Winterville district?
5
6 A. Yes, sir.
Q. And where did you get that from?
7
8 A. The Secretary of State's vote results.
9 Q. And what did those numbers show?
10 A. In that precinct, I believe there were
11 eight DRE machines. On every DRE machine the
Democratic candidate won every race, except one where
12
13 a Republican candidate won every race by
approximately the same margin as the Democrat voting
14
15 on the other machines.
Q. And as a forensic expert, why is that -- is
16
17 that a telltale sign of a system defect?
18 A. Yeah. If you assume that the voters are
probably going to machines at random, you know, not
19
20 based on the party affiliation or anything like that,
it's extremely unlikely that you would see seven
21
22 machines with this incredibly regular pattern and
then one machine that's completely opposite of those
23
24 same machines.
25 Q. And from your background and experience in

Page 178

1 software, is there an explanation for why that might
2 happen?
A. To me it seems like it might be a
3
4 programming error where you, you know -- all of the
machines you have A as the Republican, B as the
5
6 Democrat, but on this one machine for whatever reason
it was B as the Democrat -- or A as the Democrat, B
7
8 as the Republican.
9 Q. Just a code problem?
10 A. Yeah. Or I believe the other could be, you
11 know, obviously some other kind of error that the
machine incurred that wasn't even -- that was
12
13 programmed into it.
Q. And it could be an innocent mistake or a
14
15 malicious virus; correct?
A. Correct.
16
17 Q. Okay. And -- now, did you review
18 statements by eyewitnesses that led you to consider
one way or the other whether there may be telltale
19
20 signs of a defective system?
A. Yes.
21
22 Q. And what did you review?
A. I reviewed three affidavits from voters, as
23
24 well as the voter hotline report for -- for one
25 precinct.

Page 179

1 Q. And from your perspective as an expert,
2 what sort of issues were reported that might have
been telltale signs of defective equipment?
3
4 A. Voters were reporting machines were
rebooting spontaneously. Some people were citing
5
6 that there were raw error codes showing up in logs on
the machines, which is exceptionally rare in good
7
8 software.
9 There were also voters who had issues with the
10 review screen where they, you know -- one voter
11 reported that they voted their whole ballot, but they
didn't see the lieutenant governor choice on it at
12
13 all. And then they get to the review screen and they
see that it's there. Other voters were reporting
14
15 once they got to their review screen, they quit on a
particular race to go back and change their answer or
16
17 review it or whatever. And it would just cast their
18 vote instead of taking them back to that screen.
There were numerous errors that seemed to have
19
20 manifested.
Q. From your perspective, and based upon your
21
22 expertise, is there a way to -- just -- is there a
way, one or the other, whether you can generalize
23
24 from those specific instances, any system-wide sort
25 of problems?

Page 180

1 A. Generalized, no, I don't think so.
2 Q. And what would you need to do to determine
whether the extent of the defect?
3
4 A. A forensic analysis of the software that
was running on those machines would be necessary.
5
6 Q. Okay. And have you undertaken or started
or tried to do a forensic analysis of these DRE
7
8 machines?
9 A. Yes, sir. I showed up to Fulton County
10 Election [inaudible] on Monday and was told that I
11 would not be allowed to do it.
Q. Okay. And this is just this Monday, three
12
13 days ago?
A. Correct.
14
15 Q. Okay. And have you had the opportunity to
review the internal memory or examine the internal
16
17 memory of any of these machines?
18 A. No, sir.
Q. And if -- explain, if you will, sort of the
19
20 back and forth of the industry and I know it's all
collegial, but just what the back and forth was
21
22 between you and the technicians down there.
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, objection to the relevance.
23
24 The Court has heard their motions to compel, have heard
25 the motions for discovery. The Court has heard their

Page 181

1 proffer regarding what they believe that she should have
2 been entitled to, but they -- but the Court ruled against
them. So I would object as this is not relevant. The
3
4 Court's already ruled on this.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we further object on this
5
6 as it is hearsay, the conversations he had with the
technicians. He can say what he said, but what the
7
8 technician said is hearsay.
9 MR. BROWN: I'll take that as -- in reverse. It's
10 not hearsay because it's statements by the adverse party
11 and --
THE COURT: Well, I have seen everybody's briefs on
12
13 this point, and I see both sides of it. I did not order a
forensic investigation. I specifically left that word
14
15 out.
I set out certain things to be done. Did you get a
16
17 forensic? No, we didn't get a forensic because I didn't
18 order a forensic. I'm not going beyond that.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, would you take testimony of
19
20 what it means -- what the word, internal memory, means?
THE COURT: I have some knowledge.
21
22 MR. BROWN: And I understand.
THE COURT: I'm not completely stupid.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Your Honor --
25 THE COURT: I happen to be a math/physics major.

Page 182

1 MR. BROWN: Your Honor.
2 THE COURT: Yeah. I got sidetracked. Yeah. So if you want to do it, go ahead. Don't worry about it.
3
4 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Were you offered access to the internal
5
6 memory of a DRE machine?
THE COURT: I'm not going into that either. That's
7
8 what they objected to.
9 MR. BROWN: Okay.
10 THE COURT: Okay? The question was, how did he do
11 it? Okay? That was the issue. I was available; nobody called, except Mr. [inaudible].
12
13 MR. BROWN: Okay.
THE COURT: He emailed; right? Repeatedly. And I
14
15 repeatedly responded. This case has been my life for a week and a half. Go ahead.
16
17 MR. BROWN: I think we've --
18 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Bernhard, why didn't you inspect the
19
20 DRE machine?
A. Turning on the machine would affect the
21
22 internal state, so we were ordered to review the internal memory of the machine. That includes the
23
24 ROM, the read-only memory where the operating system
25 and the boot loader as well as the election data is

Page 183

1 stored. Turning on the machine would necessarily
2 alter that data. There are ways to extract that data without damaging it in that way. But, of course, we
3
4 couldn't perform that operation.
Q. So, you could have accessed it -- is it --
5
6 let me try to unpack that a little bit. Had you accessed it -- you could access but you would have
7
8 altered the evidence? Is that what you're saying?
9 A. I would have altered some of the evidence
10 and would only have seen a very small part, but I
11 would have only seen the election archive, which is not the internal memory. It's just a very small part
12
13 of it.
Q. Okay. And so the -- faced with the
14
15 alternative of altering evidence and not getting the evidence that you were looking for, you decided not
16
17 to conduct the investigation; correct?
18 A. That's correct.
Q. And can you explain to the Court in a
19
20 little bit greater detail how and why booting the system without making a copy of the internal memory
21
22 first can alter evidence.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, this was an issue that was
23
24 raised to the Court; both sides briefed it. The Court
25 ordered if there were any further questions to request a

Page 184

1 conference call or anything like that. No such call was
2 requested.
The Court then heard, I believe -- I may be terming -
3
4 - the term [inaudible] -- but that you didn't file a very extensive brief saying that you needed additional
5
6 discovery that wasn't being allowed to you. The Court considered that brief and ruled against you. And now
7
8 today we're dealing with an evidentiary trial as to
9 whether or not there was a defect in the election system
10 in Georgia, that it -- was it great enough to overturn a
11 margin of victory over 123,000 votes.
That's the sole issue here today. If all of this is
12
13 simply regurgitating the same concerns that were previously raised and [inaudible].
14
15 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, we just object on relevance along the same line. What -- how did you turn on the
16
17 machines and a forensic examination not relevant to the
18 lieutenant governor's race, [inaudible].
THE COURT: Mr. Brown.
19
20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the -- this is the foundation for establishing that the petitioners have not been given
21
22 any --
THE COURT: No. The petitioner was given. You
23
24 refused to take it; you wanted to do it some other way.
25 That issue is done. So go -- move on to another subject.

Page 185

1 MR. BROWN: Okay. Your Honor, if I may -- and I'm
2 not --
THE COURT: No. Not if you may. You always want an
3
4 if you may. No. We've been through this over and over and over again. You email me at 11:00 at night. You
5
6 email me at 2:30 in the morning. You would not believe all the stuff in this case.
7
8 I've looked at it. I've made the best decisions I
9 know how; move on to something else. Question of
10 discovery is done.
11 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, just one more thing. I'm going to --
12
13 THE COURT: You don't listen to me, do you, sir?
MR. BROWN: It's a different topic.
14
15 THE COURT: Okay. Different topic's fine.
MR. BROWN: This is a different topic. Different
16
17 topic. The diff -- but I -- I would like to say the
18 different topic is going to sound like the same topic. It's different.
19
20 MR. LINDSEY: Can I just object now?
THE COURT: This is just -- I guess I'm on the record
21
22 -- this is just really good lawyering on both sides. I mean, it's like -- no. If it sounds -- what is it? If it
23
24 looks like a duck and it quacks like a duck, it is a duck;
25 is that the one where you talk about in the South?

Page 186

1 MR. BROWN: And I never agree with that, Your Honor.
2 THE COURT: Try one more question and we'll see where we go. You might get to testify. Go ahead.
3
4 MR. BROWN: Yeah.
BY MR. BROWN:
5
6 Q. If the State of Georgia wanted to investigate the causes of apparent anomalies, what
7
8 could it do?
9 A. It could perform a --
10 MR. LINDSEY: Objection, Your Honor.
11 THE COURT: I'm going to let him. I'm going to let him answer that, what the state could do.
12
13 THE WITNESS: It could perform a forensic investigation, which are the best practices recommended by
14
15 experts and have been for decades.
BY MR. BROWN:
16
17 Q. And based upon your interactions with the
18 State and your knowledge of the --
THE COURT: No. Not based upon his interactions with
19
20 the State. We're not going there.
BY MR. BROWN:
21
22 Q. Did the State conduct that kind of forensic examination?
23
24 A. No.
25 Q. Okay.

Page 187

1 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would like to take a break
2 just to get a glass of water and then finish up with this witness, quickly.
3
4 THE COURT:
MR. BROWN: Thank you. Thank you, Your Honor.
5
6
[Off the record at 2:09 p.m., and back on the record
7
8 at 2:22 p.m.]
9
10 THE COURT: [inaudible]
11
CONTINUATION OF REDIRECT EXAMINATION
12
13 OF MATTHEW BERNHARD
14
15 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Bernhard, do you have experience
16
17 evaluating GEMS databases?
18 A. Yes, sir.
Q. Have you in the course of your work
19
20 reviewed or had access to GEMS databases?
A. Yes, sir. I've been sent to GEMS databases
21
22 from the State of Colorado, State of California, I believe the State of Alaska as well.
23
24 Q. And were those treated as nonconfidential?
25 A. Yeah. They were public records.

Page 188

1 Q. Okay. Have you received that from the
2 State of Georgia?
A. No.
3
4 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, he's going back down the same rabbit hole again and I object. This is once again a
5
6 matter that should have been taken up regarding discovery. We're here today to hear the evidence as to whether or not
7
8 there was some kind of breach and whether or not it was
9 significant enough to change the election votes, not
10 whether or not they should get something they didn't get.
11 THE COURT: I sustain the objection.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. No further
12
13 questions.
14
15 CROSS-EXAMINATION
OF MATTHEW BERNHARD
16
17
18 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. [inaudible], Mr. Bernhard. So let me ask
19
20 you first, is it your personal belief that only paper should be used for elections, hand-marked paper
21
22 ballots?
A. Hand-marked paper ballots that are
23
24 [inaudible].
25 THE COURT: [inaudible]

Page 189

1 THE WITNESS: Hand-marked paper ballots [inaudible].
BY MR. TYSON:
Q. Have you ever worked on a political
3
4 campaign before?
A. No, sir.
5
6 Q. Now you talked about your research and various studies and what you've looked at. It's
7
8 correct, isn't it, that you're not aware of a single
9 instance anywhere in Georgia where there was a piece
10 of malware that was somehow propagated from a server
11 that creates the ballot format, down through memory card, onto the DRE; is that correct?
12
13 A. Correct. But again, that's just because they're machines. There's no [inaudible].
14
15 Q. But you're not aware of an instance where that's occurred; correct? Okay. And you're not
16
17 aware of an instance where anywhere in the nation
18 where a piece of malware has been propagated onto a DRE in an actual election, are you?
19
20 A. Not on the DRE voter registration systems, I guess.
21
22 Q. But as the DRE you're not?
A. [inaudible]
23
24 Q. Okay. Now you talked a little bit about
25 the Winterville precinct in Clarke County; is that

Page 190

1 correct?
2 A. Yes, sir.
Q. Okay. And you said that -- did you perform
3
4 a statistical analysis at that precinct?
A. No, sir.
5
6 Q. Who performed that statistical analysis?
A. I think it was Philip Stark.
7
8 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor?
9 MR. TYSON: And so -- sorry.
10 MR. LINDSEY: He testified earlier as to the results
11 from that machine as if he himself performed that
examination after this Court specifically ruled that that
12
13 testimony from Mr. Stark was hearsay.
I'm going to move that that testimony that had been
14
15 previously raised regarding this be stricken from the
record because it's contrary to a prior ruling that he
16
17 could not testify as to what other people had done. And -
18 -
THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
19
20 MR. LINDSEY: -- and [inaudible] that he
mischaracterizes earlier testimony as well.
21
22 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I believe that the record
would say what the record says about what he says and
23
24 [inaudible] attempt to characterize it. The testimony was
25 that he had reviewed the evidence relating to the

Page 191

1 Winterville.
2 I asked him what telltale signs and he used that as
an example. We further established early on that the
3
4 reports of people like Stark are something that an expert
in his capacity looks at and that he reviews the evidence
5
6 from all different sources.
MR. LINDSEY: He -- I'm sorry, go ahead. I'm sorry.
7
8 MR. BROWN: I don't --
9 MR. LINDSEY: I didn't mean to --
10 MR. BROWN: I don't remember him saying that he did
11 the math on Winterville, but that he knew the answer and
he knew how to get it.
12
13 MR. LINDSEY: But he -- but -- but he has been
specifically told on multiple occasions despite attempts
14
15 to solicit such testimony that he was not to testify as to
what other people had done or what other people reported.
16
17 He was specifically admonished not to do that; and through
18 ambiguity and a question, he led this Court to believe
that he, himself -- and I'm sorry, I --
19
20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor --
MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible]
21
22 THE COURT: Let him finish.
MR. LINDSEY: That he, himself, had conducted this
23
24 investigation of these tapes. He has not admitted that he
25 has not and I ask that his previous testimony be stricken.

Page 192

1 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, same response. I would -- to
2 get at the truth --
THE COURT: Well, he gets -- it's his motion, so I'm
3
4 -- he testified as that he got the numbers from the
Secretary of State, and that I will accept.
5
6 MR. TYSON: But he's now [inaudible].
THE COURT: But the rest of it I will not accept.
7
8 MR. TYSON: Okay. But he's now testified that he
9 didn't actually do that investigation.
10 MR. BROWN: But now [inaudible]?
11 THE COURT: Not yet. But that's how I heard it. I
heard it that he got the numbers from Clarke County and
12
13 the rest of it -- he sounded -- he moved into it as if
he'd done it all, but no, he -- obviously he hadn't do it
14
15 all --
MR. LINDSEY: Just by testifying that he didn't do
16
17 it, that's [inaudible].
18 THE COURT: -- it wouldn't be admissible. Okay.
MR. LINDSEY: Make sure that's on [inaudible]. I'm
19
20 sorry.
BY MR. TYSON:
21
22 Q. Mr. Bernhard, so you -- I believe we had
just been through that Professor Stark had done the
23
24 statistical analysis of the Winterville precinct; is
25 that correct?

Page 193

1 A. That's correct. I pulled the numbers
2 though beforehand.
Q. And so, when you say you pulled the
3
4 numbers, could you explain to us what that means?
A. I --
5
6 THE COURT: Did you pull the numbers?
THE WITNESS: Yes, I pulled the numbers.
7
8 THE COURT: I thought you said he pulled the numbers.
9 THE WITNESS: Okay.
10 THE COURT: And by moving into that you make it sound
11 like he did it all, but he didn't. He just pulled the
numbers.
12
13 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. So, Mr. Bernhard, after you pulled the
14
15 numbers it's correct isn't it that Professor Stark
did then the statistical analysis?
16
17 A. Correct.
18 Q. Okay. And you didn't personally perform
the statistical analysis in that precinct?
19
20 A. No, sir. Because Philip had already done
it. I didn't see a point in doing it again.
21
22 Q. How did you go about selecting or had -- do
you know how anybody selected the Winterville
23
24 precinct?
25 A. It was brought to my attention just because

Page 194

1 of this oddity of his one machine having drastically
2 different vote totals than the other seven.
Q. And was that from plaintiff's counsel?
3
4 A. I believe so.
Q. And if I'm recalling correctly, the
5
6 machines on that precinct had anywhere between 117
and 144 votes per machine; is that correct?
7
8 A. That sounds about right.
9 Q. Okay. So the maximum number of votes on
10 the one machine would have been 144 votes; is that
11 correct?
A. That sounds about right.
12
13 Q. Okay. Mr. Bernhard, you don't have any
expertise in the process of ballot design; is that
14
15 correct?
A. I have designed ballots, but I suppose I
16
17 don't have that expertise; that's correct.
18 Q. And you never read any literature or
studies regarding design -- design of ballots and
19
20 voter behavior?
A. I have, yes.
21
22 Q. On voter behavior specifically?
A. Yes.
23
24 Q. Okay. So in scenarios where voters face a
25 high turnout a year -- strike that.

Page 195

1 I believe your testimony earlier was that there
2 was an analysis of two precincts, the Winterville
precinct and what was the other precinct?
3
4 A. I don't think I said there was an analysis.
I -- two precincts were pointed out to me -- well,
5
6 more than two actually. Winterville [inaudible] the
numbers off. Grady High School had machine errors
7
8 that were reported. The AME Church had errors that
9 were reported.
10 Q. So, in terms of an analysis though in your
11 expert opinion, you've only looked at the Winterville
precinct; is that correct?
12
13 A. In terms of numerical analysis, yes. In
terms of assessing how many errors that occurred, no.
14
15 Q. But let me just make sure I'm clear on
that. So I thought you testified that Professor
16
17 Stark did the analysis on Winterville.
18 MR. BROWN: Objection.
Q. So have you --
19
20 MR. BROWN: Object, Your Honor. It's unclear as to
what analysis he's talking about and there's a confusion
21
22 between the forensic [inaudible] --
THE COURT: Okay. Rephrase the question.
23
24 MR. TYSON: Okay. I'll be happy to rephrase. Thank
25 you.

Page 196

1 BY MR. TYSON:
2 Q. So, correct me if I'm wrong, but I believe
you testified Professor Stark performed the
3
4 statistical analysis of the Winterville precinct;
correct?
5
6 A. That's correct, yes.
Q. Did you perform a statistical analysis of
7
8 any precinct?
9 A. No, sir.
10 Q. Did you conduct a forensic analysis of any
11 DRE machine in the state of Georgia for the November
2018 election?
12
13 A. No, sir.
Q. And so sitting here today, it's correct to
14
15 say that you have no idea whether any malware resides
on any DRE machine in the state of Georgia; is that
16
17 correct.
18 A. Yes, that's correct and I reckon that no
one else does either.
19
20 MR. TYSON: All right. That's all I've got.
21
22 CROSS-EXAMINATION
OF MATTHEW BERNHARD
23
24
25 BY MR. LINDSEY:

Page 197

1 Q. Winterville's located in Clarke County;
2 correct?
A. I believe so.
3
4 Q. Okay. Clarke County is not in this
lawsuit, you're aware of that, right?
5
6 MR. BROWN: I object. It calls for a legal
conclusion and it's the wrong conclusion.
7
8 MR. TYSON: Clarke County is not a named party to
9 this case.
10 THE COURT: Well, Mr. Lindsay, what he said is in the
11 lawsuit --
MR. TYSON: I apologize.
12
13 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. Clarke County is not a named party in the
14
15 lawsuit; correct?
A. I believe so, yes.
16
17 Q. Okay. The voting strips haven't been --
18 you didn't bring the voting strips with you, did you?
A. You mean the pap- -- the poll tapes?
19
20 Q. Yeah.
A. No, sir. I don't have those [inaudible].
21
22 Q. And as you previously testified, it was Mr.
Stark that did the analysis of the eight machines
23
24 [inaudible]; correct?
25 A. He did the statistical analysis.

Page 198

1 [inaudible].
2 Q. Okay. Now let's talk for a minute about your -- the odds that you raised. You've never done
3
4 any kind of -- well, let me back up. You're not a political science expert; correct?
5
6 A. No, sir.
Q. Okay. So you don't know the impact that an
7
8 -- that a large influx of new voters would have in
9 terms of their voting patterns with down-ballot
10 races? You don't know anything about that?
11 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I'll object to that and here's my objection. Cross-examination needs to be based
12
13 upon a good faith belief that there's going to be some submission of evidence to support the inference or the
14
15 predicate of the question.
That's the first point. In this case we know that
16
17 the State does not have any predicate because there's no
18 person on earth who could be qualified as an expert to render that opinion.
19
20 THE COURT: You're arguing. That's an argument. I'll overrule that objection.
21
22 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question?
BY MR. TYSON:
23
24 Q. I don't remember the question. I was
25 simply just by establishing that you have no

Page 199

1 knowledge as to the pattern, behavior of new voters.
2 A. That's not true. I have -- I mean I've reviewed the political science literature insofar as
3
4 it informs my work.
Q. Okay. And if I understand correctly, you
5
6 don't have -- or rather you haven't done any investigation as to the particularities of this race;
7
8 correct?
9 A. I'm familiar with the races and the
10 candidates.
11 Q. Yeah. Are you familiar, for instance, with the fact -- with how the paper ballots were
12
13 configured in terms of the names versus the configuration of candidates on the electronic?
14
15 A. I can't say that I'm familiar with every electronic configuration because there can be
16
17 different -- on a county-by-county basis; the same
18 with paper ballots, but I'm generally familiar, yes.
Q. Okay. You are aware that the configuration
19
20 was different from the paper ballots versus the electronic; correct?
21
22 A. Yes, sir.
Q. Okay. Have you done any kind of analysis
23
24 regarding voter familiarity with Ms. Amico in terms
25 of how well they knew her? In terms of -- strike

Page 200

1 that.
2 Are you familiar with any kind of data regarding Ms. Amico's name identification versus other
3
4 candidates running?
A. No, sir.
5
6 Q. You hadn't -- you hadn't reviewed any kind of polling that was conducted in this race prior to
7
8 the election; correct?
9 A. Not in this particular race, no.
10 Q. You haven't considered any kind of negative
11 treatment Ms. Amico received in the press shortly before the election; correct?
12
13 A. Correct. All of these factors can impact [inaudible].
14
15 Q. Uh-huh.
A. But the fact that this under-vote is so
16
17 incredibly different from all the other races on the
18 ballot, that seems incredibly suspicious. It's way different than, you know, any other case in Georgia
19
20 or anywhere else in the nation.
So even if there are factors like likability,
21
22 like lagging the polls, like ballot design, you would not normally expect to see that level of under-voting
23
24 distributed as uniformly across the state of Georgia
25 as it is and to defer between the votes voted [ph].

Page 201

1 Q. Okay. Quite frankly -- and you're not
2 familiar with how the other races went in this state either are you?
3
4 A. I would with some of them.
Q. Yeah. You're familiar with the fact that
5
6 Ms. Abrams was, for want of a better term, on the Democratic side considered a superstar?
7
8 A. Yes, sir.
9 Q. Yeah. And you're familiar with the fact
10 that John Barrow running for Secretary of State, for
11 instance, was a well-known figure in Georgia politics?
12
13 A. Yes, sir.
Q. And are you aware of the fact that Mr.
14
15 Amico was still a relative unknown?
A. Yes. But I want to stress that the
16
17 particulars of the candidate would not necessarily
18 impact the under-votes. We saw under-votes impacting both candidates in this race.
19
20 Q. Uh-huh. And you don't know -- let me ask you this. While there was under-votes, you are aware
21
22 of the fact that, you know, in terms of the overall vote count for Ms. Amico vis-à-vis other Democratic
23
24 candidates, it's not like she got the least number of
25 votes; correct?

Page 202

1 A. I'm afraid I don't understand your
2 question.
Q. Let me ask you this. There were ten
3
4 Democrats on the State ballot in 2006; correct?
A. 2006?
5
6 Q. I'm sorry. Wait a minute. 2018.
A. Yes.
7
8 Q. Okay. [inaudible] And there were other
9 Democratic candidates who received significantly
10 fewer number of votes that Ms. Amico received;
11 correct?
A. In the State races?
12
13 Q. Yes.
A. Statewide races? [inaudible].
14
15 Q. Yeah. As a matter of fact, Ms. Amico was
somewhere in the middle of the pack in terms of the
16
17 voters that she received versus other Democrats
18 running; correct?
A. Sure.
19
20 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I renew my motion -- my
objection to his testimony regarding Winterville and ask
21
22 that it be stricken in as much as he was not the
individual who did the analysis nor has the plaintiff
23
24 brought forth any of this --
25 THE COURT: I think I've ruled that the fact that --

Page 203

1 MR. LINDSEY: -- [inaudible] testimony.
2 THE COURT: -- he looked at the numbers is admissible
and the rest of it's not.
3
4 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor. Oh, one last
question.
5
6 THE COURT: I'm glad you have Mr. Olens to help you,
Mr. Lindsey.
7
8 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you.
9 BY MR. LINDSEY:
10 Q. You've been asked about Georgia when it
11 comes to machines and a -- [inaudible] involving the
machines. You're not aware of any actual election,
12
13 not -- not a tested election but an actual election,
where an actual vote cast on a DRE machine was -- was
14
15 not counted, are you?
A. No. But again, that's because of the way
16
17 the machine built, it's impossible to tell. You
18 cannot know.
Q. Okay.
19
20 MR. LINDSEY: No further questions.
THE COURT: Ms. Burwell, do you have anything?
21
22 MS. BURWELL: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Brown?
23
24 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
25

Page 204

1 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
2 OF MATTHEW BERNHARD
3
4 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Bernhard, I want to go -- I want to go
5
6 over some of your answers.
When you were speaking about the under-vote as
7
8 being suspicious, were you referring to the under-
9 vote for the Democratic candidate or were you
10 referring to the under-vote the Democratic candidate
11 and the Republican candidate?
A. I was referring to both candidates.
12
13 Q. You testified that there -- I think Mr.
Tyson asked you whether they're in the actual
14
15 election any bad code had been found or something to
that effect; do you recall that?
16
17 A. Malware specifically.
18 Q. Malware. And I believe your response was
not what the DREs, but yes in the registration
19
20 system?
A. Correct.
21
22 Q. And could you explain your answer a little
bit in greater detail?
23
24 MR. TYSON: I'll object to the question. The voter
25 registration system is not an issue as far as I know at

Page 205

1 any point in this lawsuit. This is about the election and
2 the DREs; it's not the voter registration system.
MR. LINDSEY: And it -- I'd add to that, Judge.
3
4 THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
MR. BROWN: Is the vote -- let me ask one more
5
6 question if I may help.
BY MR. BROWN:
7
8 Q. Is the voter registration system also a
9 part of the Diebold DRE system generally or is it
10 something else?
11 A. Yes, sir. Diebold makes the Express Poll
units.
12
13 THE COURT: Voter registration is not involved in
this matter.
14
15 MR. BROWN: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.
BY MR. BROWN:
16
17 Q. You testified and then you were asked very
18 quickly a question. I'm not sure if you finished
your answer, but that the anomalous voting pattern
19
20 was distributed evenly throughout Georgia. What did
you -- what was the basis of that testimony?
21
22 A. I believe something like 101, 159 counties
had an under-vote rate that was abnormal, and it
23
24 didn't seem to be, you know, tied to -- are they
25 left-leaning counties or right-leaning counties or

Page 206

1 anything like that. It was only tied in with the
2 equipment.
Q. And would you -- sorry, you testified
3
4 earlier that you have expertise in a post-election
audit; is that right?
5
6 A. That's correct. Yes.
Q. And based upon your past post-election
7
8 audit experience and expertise, what would you
9 recommend the State of Georgia do to audit these
10 systems?
11 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object. What Mr.
Bernhard thinks or what he should do is a policy question
12
13 about a legislator is not relevant or pertaining to this
proceeding.
14
15 THE COURT: I'm going to let him say that solely
because they're asking for a brand-new election with paper
16
17 ballots and it would go to that issue should I get that
18 far.
MR. TYSON: Thank you, Your Honor. I just want to
19
20 object to one point related to that.
THE COURT: Yes.
21
22 MR. TYSON: I believe that the new election paper
ballots are the constitutional claims that are gone at
23
24 this point.
25 THE COURT: I understand that.

Page 207

1 MR. TYSON: I think.
2 THE COURT: I understand that.
MR. BROWN: That's -- that's not correct. That's not
3
4 correct. That's not correct.
THE COURT: I understand what I can and can't do;
5
6 okay?
MR. BROWN: Okay.
7
8 THE WITNESS: Yes. I do recommend that Georgia run a
9 post-election audit. Given the anomalies that we saw, you
10 would likely have to target your auditing a little bit
11 more specifically. If we had a paper ballot system, we'd
be counting more ballots than if they weren't all these
12
13 [inaudible].
BY MR. BROWN:
14
15 Q. If you were doing a forensic examination in
place of an actual recounting audit, what would be
16
17 the first thing that you would look at if you were
18 the State of Georgia?
A. I would start with the programming. You
19
20 know, starting with the most innocent explanation and
working our way through all others, so looking at the
21
22 GEMS database, making sure it was coded correctly,
that there weren't errors with what you saw in
23
24 Winterville that may be a coding error. And making
25 sure, then after that you proceed.

Page 208

1 Q. You -- I believe, your testimony was that
2 the first opportunity that you had to forensically
inspect a DRE machine was Monday?
3
4 THE COURT: Let's not go there. I told you not o go
there. You just did it and had the question and nobody
5
6 objected; they stood up but you didn't see them.
MR. BROWN: Okay.
7
8 THE COURT: Don't go there. The ruling has been
9 made.
10 MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.
11 THE COURT: I don't care what his was. This case was
set for December 5th, period.
12
13 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor, we -- this is --
THE COURT: Sir, I don't want to hear --
14
15 MR. BROWN: I'll move on.
THE COURT: -- any more about that.
16
17 MR. BROWN: All right.
18 THE COURT: I am tired about hearing about that. I
have gobs and gobs of paperwork about it; I've ruled.
19
20 Let's move on.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor.
21
22 THE COURT: To what real issue is here today.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I'm moving on.
23
24 THE COURT: You always want to get the last word;
25 I've noticed that, Mr. Brown.

Page 209

1 MR. BROWN: No. I -- I want to not get the last word
2 out. I want to ---
THE COURT: You want to what?
3
4 MR. BROWN: I will -- I will stop right now.
THE COURT: Okay. Anybody else?
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: You may go. Thank you, sir.
7
8 MR. BROWN: Now, Your Honor, I'm not -- it sounds
9 like I'm arguing with you and this is just simply a matter
10 of procedure. We do not have the ruling yet on --- if you
11 issued a -- I mean clearly --
THE COURT: Ruling on what?
12
13 MR. BROWN: On the motion to compel.
THE COURT: I denied the motion to compel.
14
15 MR. BROWN: Okay. We -- was that -- you don't have
that?
16
17 MR. LINDSEY: I think she said, she denied all that.
18 THE COURT: It was somewhere in that last order I put
-- was it -- if it wasn't clear to you, it should be clear
19
20 now. The motion to compel was denied.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. We -- we -- just
21
22 for the record we did not know that.
THE COURT: Okay.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the petitioners would call
25 Michael Barnes.

1 THE COURT: Okay. Someone get Michael -- he's in the
2 courtroom. What's he -- who are those people?
MR. BROWN: Please raise your right hand. Do you
3
4 promise to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but
the truth?
5
6 THE WITNESS: I do.
7
8 Thereupon:
9
10 MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES
11
was called as a witness by the Petitioner; and,
12
13 having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
14
15 DIRECT EXAMINATION
OF MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES
16
17
18 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Please state your full name for the record?
19
20 A. Michael William Barnes.
Q. By whom are you currently employed?
21
22 A. The Secretary of State's office.
MR. BROWN: You may sit down. Thank you.
23
24 BY MR. BROWN:
25 Q. And what is your position with the

1 Secretary of State?
2 A. I am the director for the Center for
Election Systems.
3
4 Q. And what is the Center for Election
Systems?
5
6 A. We oversee the voting for in the state of
Georgia. We also deal with the database programming
7
8 for all county elections executed in the state.
9 Q. Does --- was CES formally housed at
10 Kennesaw State University, for a lack of a better
11 expression?
A. It was.
12
13 Q. Okay. And when did it move to be a part of
the Secretary of State's Office?
14
15 A. It ceased operations at Kennesaw State on
December 31st of 2017 and was relocated to the
16
17 Secretary of State's office on January 1st.
18 Q. And when that happened, which staff members
came -- which staff members were let go and which
19
20 staff members came with them?
A. I was the only staff member that came from
21
22 Kennesaw to the Secretary of State's office.
Q. And the other people were fired or let go
23
24 or whatever?
25 A. The other people were retained by the

1 university I believe for -- through the end of
2 January 3- -- January of 2018 and then with no
funding to maintain the operation of the center, the
3
4 center was closed.
Q. Did your office manage the state's election
5
6 system in the November 2018 election?
A. Our center, as I stated earlier, oversees
7
8 the voting equipment that's used in the state of
9 Georgia for federal, state and county elections. And
10 we've constructed the GEMS database for all the 159
11 counties for the November 2018 election.
Q. And you said, "You constructed it for all
12
13 of the counties;" does each county get a different
GEMS database?
14
15 A. Yes.
Q. And the GEMS database is a Microsoft Access
16
17 product, is that correct?
18 A. The GEMS database is -- stands for Global
Election Management Systems and a data file is
19
20 produced from that executable program.
Q. And what is the executable program called?
21
22 A. GEMS, Global Elections Management System.
Q. Okay. And does the GEMS database contain
23
24 programming for the DRE machine memory cards?
25 A. The GEMS database is where -- from the

1 memory cards that are used to power the DRE units.
2 They're used for advance voting and in-person
Election Day use. The memory cards are created from
3
4 GEMS database.
Q. And could you just describe to the court
5
6 sort of physically the chain of custody of the -- of
the programming and I'm -- the purpose for this is to
7
8 distinguish between what the secretary does and what
9 the county does having to do with the county's
10 office? You said, that "the Secretary of State's
11 office is responsible for creating," I think you
said, "the GEMS database for all 159 counties," is
12
13 that right?
A. That's correct.
14
15 Q. All right. So, the counties then get a
GEMS database that's already done, for a lack of a
16
17 better expression?
18 A. Correct.
Q. And then what do the counties do with that
19
20 GEMS database or what is it used for?
A. Okay. Let me start first with the
21
22 Secretary of State's office; constructs the GEMS
database for the need of the given election. So
23
24 what's qualified and closest and we know what races
25 will be in specific election.

Page 214

1 We know the candidates. We know the precincts
2 that will be involved based upon what the county has
relayed back to us as their need for a given
3
4 election. With that information collected from the
county, a database is then constructed for that given
5
6 election.
Once the database has been constructed by the
7
8 Secretary of State's office, it's then reviewed at
9 the Secretary of State's office for completeness to
10 validate that all of the races for that given
11 election and that have been included in the database,
not only all of the races, but also all the
12
13 candidates.
Once our review of that process has been
14
15 completed we then provide to the jurisdiction, for
lack of a better word -- sample ballots that are
16
17 produced from the GEMS database along with reports
18 that are detailing what ballots' files will be
related to which precincts and to what district
19
20 combinational values those ballots are related to
within those given precincts.
21
22 And that report packet is forwarded to each and
every individual county with copies of their sample
23
24 ballots for them to review for their completeness,
25 for correctness. Once we then receive signoff from

Page 215

1 the county and not until you receive signoff from the
2 county -- but once the signoff is received from the
county, then the database is saved to a CD, burned to
3
4 a CD and that CD encrypted, and then that CD is
forwarded to the local jurisdiction for them to then
5
6 take that CD, contact our office via phone, provide a
verification ID and return the CD back to our office,
7
8 so that we know that they have the CD that we've
9 provided.
10 And then once we've validated, we're talking to
11 who we're supposed to be talking to at the county, we
then give them the passcode to be able to then
12
13 decrypt the CD to access the data file that's saved
to the CD; and then that file is loaded to the
14
15 county's local GEMS computer where they then -- the
county -- inspects the file to validate that what has
16
17 then been provided to them is what they signed off
18 on.
Once it is loaded to their system then the
19
20 county begins configuring the database for that
election. And what I mean by that is, is putting
21
22 information into the database that says we will be
using x number of devices at these given locations
23
24 for advance voting, for Election Day voting, and also
25 preparing the memory cards that are used for the

Page 216

1 optic scanners that scan the mailout absentee
2 ballots.
Q. And then just the -- what -- what in that
3
4 process then drives the actual programing of a
specific DRE machine, taking it from the GEMS
5
6 database to me voting or you voting on a machine?
A. Okay. All right. Once the county has
7
8 loaded the GEMS database into their GEMS computer,
9 they then tell -- instruct the database that they
10 need to correct a certain number of individual memory
11 cards.
So if they have a voting location that has the -
12
13 - I think they use five DRE units -- they have to put
that information into the GEMS database and say that
14
15 for location A we will be creating a five memory
cards. They then go through a process of
16
17 transferring the information within GEMS onto a
18 memory card that is read by a DRE machine.
Once that memory card is created, that memory
19
20 card is then taken into the DRE unit, which it will
married with for that given election. When that
21
22 process takes place there're labels on the memory
cards that notate what machine ID this memory card
23
24 is.
25 The county's also normally notate on those

Page 217

1 little print-on labels the physical device and put
2 the physical device serial number to which they are
placing that memory card into, so that when the
3
4 memory card is removed at a later point in time and
something happens, they know what machine to give it
5
6 back to if they needed to access the machine for some
reason.
7
8 The memory card is inserted, and then the
9 counties go through a logic and accuracy test where
10 they bring up -- they power on the device and they go
11 through testing it. This testing is done to
validate, to calibrate the test screen to make sure
12
13 it's receptive to touch. They also set the date and
time on the device to make sure it's set to the
14
15 proper date so that when tapes are printed out of the
machine pre- and post-election, so that it has a
16
17 proper date stamp.
18 The date stamp doesn't affect the operation of
the system, but it's helpful to have when you're
19
20 trying to make sure that things are done in the
proper order. Once the memory card's loaded and they
21
22 go through their diagnostics testing, which is where
they're testing the printer, they're testing
23
24 calibration or setting the calibration to make sure
25 it's proper, saving the date and time, testing the

Page 218

1 printer.
2 Also testing the card reader that's used to read the voter access card that the voter will be
3
4 inserting. Once they complete that diagnostic testing, then they start doing -- they start
5
6 inputting test ballots, where they will take normally a test date that is prefilled by the jurisdiction.
7
8 It says we're going to cast X number of votes to
9 validate all touch positions on the DRE are
10 responsive; and also to validate that all races and
11 candidates are visible on the screen and are showing up on the all ballot as needed for the given
12
13 election.
That logic [inaudible] testing is publicized.
14
15 It is put into notification so that any member of the public that would wish to observe the testing and
16
17 come and observe the testing.
18 And it has to be included by a certain point in time so that the machines, once it clears logic and
19
20 active testing, they can be set for election, sealed and get ready for distribution to the polling
21
22 location or to the advance voting location.
Q. Have -- are you aware of any time when a
23
24 logic and accuracy test has picked up a programming
25 error?

Page 219

1 A. Can you provide me -- programming errors,
2 what do you mean by programming errors?
Q. Sure. Let me give you an example. Suppose
3
4 -- well, let me back up two steps. The -- there would be some programming to instruct the system and
5
6 then if the voter presses here the vote goes there, are you with me?
7
8 A. Mm-hmm.
9 Q. The mapping of the votes from the screen to
10 the actual vote, are you with me?
11 A. Sure.
Q. Now, it would --- if you want, I'm not
12
13 suggesting you did this, but if you wanted to switch that, so that pressing, you know, voting for the
14
15 Republican recorded a Democrat voter or a Libertarian, where would that programming reside, and
16
17 could you do it?
18 A. As my knowledge based on the system, I can speak to it as a user of the program --
19
20 Q. Sure.
A. -- of how you lay candidates out
21
22 specifically within a race. I'm not aware of a way to organize the candidates to get one display and
23
24 then once where you touch it next to a candidate,
25 that their results show up next to another candidate

Page 220

1 in another order. I'm not aware of how you would do
2 that.
Q. Now, there has been some testimony about
3
4 voting totals in a particular district where you had -- it was heavily -- I'll just give you like a hypo
5
6 and then the purpose of this is not to or get you to agree with the facts, but simply to pull out your
7
8 testimony on the program, but -- but just assume
9 there is a voting location in which is heavily
10 Democrat voting location and six of the machines show
11 heavily Democrat votes and the seventh machine shows very heavy Republican votes, which some might lead
12
13 some access it didn't have, like you know, a six-pack of beer on it or something that was attracting
14
15 everybody to win a particular vote, but that they went evenly, there might be some sort of coding error
16
17 in which it was switching from one candidate to the
18 other now by mistake.
If that were a programming error and I just want
19
20 to know where would that be?
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'm going to object to
21
22 the questioning; assuming facts that are not in evidence that the court has already stricken.
23
24 MR. BROWN: That's not --
25 THE COURT: Let him --

Page 221

1 MR. BROWN: I'm -- I'm sorry. I'm sorry. I'm
2 sorry.
MR. LINDSEY: I believe the court has ruled to
3
4 strike that analogy that it lead to those to -- to that allegation and for that reason, Your Honor, we
5
6 would object.
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, that also calls for Mr.
7
8 Brown speculates as far as what might have happened
9 or what did happen.
10 THE COURT: Well, it's a hypothetical and I'm
11 going to let him answer to the best of his knowledge.
MR. LINDSEY: Okay, Your Honor.
12
13 THE COURT: He's one of the experts on this stuff. But I take it as a hypothetical.
14
15 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Do you understand all that?
16
17 THE WITNESS: I'm -- I'm trying, yes, I am. I'm
18 trying to figure out the best way to answer the question to the best of my knowledge.
19
20 THE COURT: Okay.
THE WITNESS: When -- when the GEMS database is
21
22 built, it builds a particular race, with a particular set of candidates, in a particular order. When it
23
24 creates a memory card for a given location and it
25 creates that image and places it to the memory card.

Page 222

1 And then that memory card is loaded to a device.
2 If that -- if I create five memory cards for a given location, those five memory cards are the same
3
4 content in structure and layout and in operation; they don't know what machine their going to be placed
5
6 in. The memory card just contains data. The memory card is read by the machine; the machine then shows
7
8 then that.
9 Q. And so, have you ever seen it in doing the
10 logic and accuracy test? Has that ever yielded, you
11 know, if it's not logical; it's not accurate and we need to go back and look at the coding to see why
12
13 that happened?
A. I have never seen in any of the testing
14
15 that we have -- that I've been involved with since 2001, with using this equipment where something that
16
17 was placed in during the testing environment did not
18 feedback the expected result that was in the testing.
Also, when doing various tests within elections
19
20 mode -- my work within -- at Kennesaw State and also with the Secretary of State's office -- not just
21
22 testing in election -- pre-election test mode, which is where an LMA testing is done -- but also testing
23
24 in election mode which is when election -- the mode
25 where elections are executed, I have never

Page 223

1 encountered a situation where I put in one particular
2 vote and this way and it came out differently in the report.
3
4 Q. Is it -- in one of the responses is collecting and reviewing complaints that you've
5
6 received from voters about problems with elections?
A. We -- if we do receive a complaint -- and
7
8 the majority of the complaints come into the
9 elections division, that arm of the office. Our main
10 collection point are election officials themselves.
11 And if we get a notification from a county election official that, you know, we encountered this
12
13 instance when doing our testing, then that would be something that we would go and look into, find out if
14
15 there was something out of order.
Q. Now, you've received complaints about the -
16
17 - the operations of the machines in the November
18 election, right?
A. In November -- I mean, it was a general
19
20 election where there were a few million people voting, so we did get complaints about a touch screen
21
22 not powering on. We got complaints about a voter access card being rejected prematurely; about a
23
24 touchscreen apparently not being calibrated properly.
25 Things that we hear about with every election and

Page 224

1 we've heard every election since 2002.
2 Q. How -- the -- did the complainant know that the screen was miscalibrated?
3
4 A. How do I know that they know --
Q. No. How did --
5
6 A. How did they know it was miscalibrated?
Q. Right?
7
8 A. I can't speak for how they knew. It's just
9 that they said that, you know, they encountered a
10 calibration issue.
11 Q. So, what the original touchscreen was different then the summary screen or something like
12
13 that?
A. Or they, you know, felt like they were
14
15 touching one area, but another area it was -- was appearing.
16
17 Q. Okay. What other complaints -- you've
18 mentioned a number, but what other complaints did you receive in 2018?
19
20 A. Any of the complaints that I've already outlined are the general complaints that I've heard.
21
22 And I did not personally take a lot of complaints on Election Day. I think I wrote down in my notes maybe
23
24 five or six complaints on Election Day.
25 Q. Okay. And then what was the office that

Page 225

1 you said takes the ones from voters themselves, not
2 from officials?
A. That's the election division.
3
4 Q. Okay.
A. That's a different section, Secretary of
5
6 State's office.
Q. And -- and those -- okay. Let me take you
7
8 back to the weekend before the November election; are
9 you familiar with the alleged hacking of the voter
10 registration database on that weekend?
11 A. [inaudible] --
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I would object on this. This
12
13 does not -- in any issue of the voter registration database in this case; lieutenant governor's race. This
14
15 is not about the DREs. I don't think it's relevant to anything in this court.
16
17 MR. LINDSEY: Same objection, Your Honor. We're here
18 to deal with one race and one election.
THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
19
20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, this is hacking into the election system that the Secretary of State --
21
22 THE COURT: I'm not going to let you go into that unless you can show hacking into the -- into the actual
23
24 voting system, not the voter registration system.
25 MR. BROWN: Let me ask a ---

Page 226

1 BY MR. BROWN:
2 Q. Could -- could -- could hacking into the registration --- the hacking into the registration
3
4 system could affect the number of people who are allowed to vote for a particular election; correct?
5
6 MR. TYSON: Your Honor.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, the same objection as
7
8 before. That's not the issue here today. The issue here
9 today that you've raised to overturn this election is that
10 there was some type of -- of breakdown in the election
11 system; not that there - a certain voter was not allowed to vote, which we would also deny, but that's a different
12
13 issue. That's the issue here, so we object to the relevance.
14
15 THE COURT: Sustained.
MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
16
17 BY MR. BROWN:
18 Q. All right. Let me ask you this; are you aware of any investigation that the Secretary of
19
20 State did into hacking relating to the 2018 election?
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, he's being vague.
21
22 THE COURT: That's the same question.
MR. LINDSEY: It's just leading.
23
24 THE COURT: Artfully. Phrased differently.
25 MR. TYSON: I disagree that it was artful, and I

Page 227

1 disagree it was different; it's just simply broader.
2 MR. BROWN: I'm asking if there was any.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'm asking --
3
4 THE COURT: If it goes to the election system itself, not to the voter registration, the actual -- actual -- was
5
6 there any hacking in the actual voting system?
THE WITNESS: [inaudible].
7
8 BY MR. BROWN:
9 Q. And you did not investigate any hacking
10 into the election system as distinguished from the
11 registration system; correct?
A. Correct.
12
13 Q. And the Secretary of State's -- okay -- you've testified about it before I know there's been
14
15 a lot of testimony about the exposure of the system at Kennesaw State in 2016 and 2017; are you familiar
16
17 generally with that issue?
18 A. I am.
Q. What has -- has the state undertaken a
19
20 forensic examination of the components of the election's system to determine whether or not it was
21
22 infected with any malware because of that it's the -- -
23
24 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, once again, we're talking
25 about two entirely different systems; and unless he's

Page 228

1 dealing with specifically the voter system we're going to
2 object to relevancy.
MR. TYSON: We would object to the lack of foundation
3
4 as far as the 2016 incident that affected any sort of like databases. This is two years ago. There's no explanation
5
6 as to how --
THE COURT: I sustain it as to whether there's been
7
8 something because of something that happened that isn't in
9 front of me. I mean, you know, did they investigate
10 routinely for malware? I mean, that's one thing. But --
11 not going back and try to put something else on the record that's not before me.
12
13 MR. BROWN: Well, I'll get it before you, Your Honor.
BY MR. BROWN:
14
15 Q. Now, Mr. Barnes, the -- what was exposed to the public internet in 2016 and 2017?
16
17 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, we going to renew the
18 objection again.
THE COURT: Sustained.
19
20 MR. BROWN: Okay.
BY MR. BROWN:
21
22 Q. Mr. Barnes, what forensic review has your office done with respect to the DRE machine voting
23
24 systems that were used in the 2018 election?
25 A. The Secretary of State's office in 2017

Page 229

1 undertook a recertification of the voting system and
2 examined the equipment in three separate counties; Muskogee County, Richmond County and Bibb County, to
3
4 examine and verify the voting equipment was working as had been previously certified. And the
5
6 examination found that the equipment was working in the same fashion and the same way as it had done
7
8 through previous certification tests.
9 Q. I had asked you if you -- if the Secretary
10 of State had done a forensic analysis and I guess the
11 answer is no, but they have done -- they have recertified it, is that fair to say?
12
13 A. That's fair to say.
Q. Okay. Has the Secretary of State
14
15 undertaken an investigation into possible causes of the voting pattern that was seen on the May 2018
16
17 election?
18 A. Undertaken an investigation, like, opened an investigation to present to the state election
19
20 board?
Q. I -- I didn't mean to -- to wrap too much
21
22 into the word investigation, what I mean is if have they looked into it to see what happened?
23
24 A. With every election with the Secretary of
25 State's office and elections division always look at

Page 230

1 their election to see is there anything that we can
2 learn from this election.
And every election there's always an opportunity
3
4 to learn more about doing the next election even
better. So to the circumstance, has the Secretary of
5
6 State's office looked at the elections to, you know,
garner what can we do better in the future?
7
8 Absolutely.
9 Q. Has the Secretary of State considered that
10 whether there was a system error that caused the
11 voting pattern that was actually experienced in the
2018 race?
12
13 A. To my knowledge, no.
Q. Has that issues been raised just in casual
14
15 discussion in -- in your office?
MR. TYSON: I'll object to that. That requires
16
17 hearsay. What other people have said to Mr. Barnes in his
18 office is not at issue, Your Honor.
MR. BROWN: I'll -- I'll rephrase it.
19
20 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Have -- have -- have you discussed with
21
22 your colleagues' potential causes of the -- of the
voting pattern that was going on 2018?
23
24 A. Well, being hypothetical of what, you know,
25 what may cause people to act the way they do in

Page 231

1 certain situations?
2 Q. No. This is -- this is -- I'm not -- back
up a little bit. I'm not -- this is a factual
3
4 question.
THE COURT: Well, it's kind of very, very broad and
5
6 he's interpreting it one way and you're meaning it some
other way.
7
8 MR. BROWN: Right. Have -- let me ask it in several
9 different ways, okay.
10 BY MR. BROWN:
11 Q. Has the Secretary of State undertaken an
investigation to determine whether system defects
12
13 caused the voting pattern in the 2018 race?
A. No, sir.
14
15 Q. Has the Secretary of State done any
forensic examination of any of the components of the
16
17 system to determine whether or not they're were
18 infected with any malware or misprogramming that
might have affected the vote, period?
19
20 A. The Secretary of State's office received a
letter from one of the lieutenant governor candidates
21
22 post-election stating one of -- stating a concern and
listed some counties in that letter. Upon receiving
23
24 that letter, Secretary of State's office went to one
25 of the counties in question and did look at the

Page 232

1 uploaded records into the GEMS computer.
2 They also extracted information from individual
machines that wer used on Election Day to validate
3
4 that the records contained on the machine matched
what has been uploaded previously into the GEMS
5
6 computer. Those valid images were produced and
examined and found that everything was balancing and
7
8 showing as what was reported initially by the county
9 on Election Night.
10 Q. So that if the vote was wrong at the
11 precinct level, it was wrong exactly the same way at
the Secretary of State's office, is that what I'm
12
13 hearing?
MR. TYSON: I'll object. I think that assumes facts
14
15 that aren't in evidence. I don't understand what the
question is on that.
16
17 MR. BROWN: I think he can answer it. I think he
18 knows where I'm going.
THE COURT: No. I sustain the objection. You've got
19
20 to rephrase it. You keep assuming a bunch of things.
That's just --
21
22 MR. BROWN: Okay. [inaudible]
BY MR. BROWN:
23
24 Q. So the analysis showed that the vote total
25 in the precinct matched the vote total at the

Page 233

1 Secretary of State's office; correct?
2 A. The analysis showed that the vote total
calculated by the individual devices examined and the
3
4 vote total tabulated by the separate GEMS computer
using the data extracted directly from the machine
5
6 and compared against the data uploaded from the
memory card used on Election Day was identically the
7
8 same, in that the vote totals calculated being
9 exactly the same in all instances examined.
10 Q. Okay. If the machine itself had
11 incorrectly recorded the vote, your testing would not
have detected that; correct?
12
13 A. The testing that was done was to validate
that -- what the machine had produced, the machine
14
15 continued to produce and show that result
[inaudible].
16
17 Q. Okay. Let me ask it to you again because
18 you didn't answer the question. I'm going to get
there, okay. If the machine did not correctly record
19
20 the vote, you would not have detected then in your
review; correct?
21
22 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'm going to object to that.
I guess assuming things are in evidence here as far was
23
24 what's happening in the sequence of the technology. I
25 don't -- I don't see how this --

Page 234

1 THE COURT: I understand what you're saying.
2 MR. TYSON: Okay.
BY MR. BROWN:
3
4 Q. Go ahead and answer.
A. What our examination did is show that the
5
6 machine collected a result and reported what it
collected. All of my testing has always shown in
7
8 pre-election, in the testing, that anything that goes
9 in is what the machine shows coming out. And we were
10 seeing the back end of what the machine was pushing
11 outward and it was matching up on what was reported
on Election Night, what was printed on Election Night
12
13 from the memory card, extracted from the device. It
was matching up with what was calculated by the GEMS
14
15 computer upon receipt of that memory card and also it
was matching of what was on the record contained by
16
17 the devices, so.
18 Q. But none of that -- again, none of that
could tell you if the machine itself is recording the
19
20 vote, right?
A. All I can speak to is what the machine has
21
22 in memory, what it reported, and what it's saying is
on record.
23
24 Q. And you're familiar with the expression
25 garbage in, garbage out?

Page 235

1 A. I've heard it before, yes, sir.
2 Q. Okay. And in response to Candidate Amico's
letter, did the Secretary of State conduct any
3
4 machine-level investigation with any of the memory
chips or anything like to determine if there were any
5
6 flaws there?
A. We inspected two machines on site in Ben
7
8 Hill County. We recovered from the machine's
9 internal memory the election result file that was
10 stored on the machine. We then -- once that image
11 file was restored, we then looked at the ballot
images that were collected in that file through the
12
13 DRE.
So we looked at the physical DRE display of
14
15 those collected ballots and that requires us to touch
the screen to maneuver through and we did not
16
17 encounter any issues when touching the screen that
18 lead us to believe that the screen was not responsive
to proper touch or use. And we then from those
19
20 devices printed out new totals from that device and
those totals match all previous introduced totals.
21
22 Q. You say you looked at the election reports
[inaudible]?
23
24 A. The election result data. The file --
25 result file.

Page 236

1 THE COURT: I have no idea what that is.
2 MR. BROWN: It sounds like a machine.
THE COURT: Huh?
3
4 MR. BROWN: Printing machine.
THE COURT: The printing machine.
5
6 MR. BROWN: [inaudible]
THE COURT: What printing machine?
7
8 MR. BROWN: It's very fast.
9 THE COURT: I was going to say it was on the roof but
10 we're on the second floor and there's seven floors on this
11 building. It's information for the presiding judge who's
not in here. Yeah. It's information for the presiding
12
13 judge that's not in here, so. There's some -- they move
us all around all the time. So I'll put that back there.
14
15 There's Judge [inaudible]. Go ahead.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
16
17 BY MR. BROWN:
18 Q. Is the election -- well, what was the name
of that file again?
19
20 A. The ballot results on.
Q. The ballot results on. Okay. And so the
21
22 Secretary of State didn't look at other parts of the
internal memory, did it?
23
24 A. No.
25 Q. Okay. So it didn't look at the boot

Page 237

1 loader?
2 A. No.
Q. It didn't look at the operating system?
3
4 A. When the machine powered on we did a review
of what operating system was displayed and it was the
5
6 standard certified operating system that was found on
those devices; as well as the install [inaudible] for
7
8 servers, that certified for use in the State of
9 Georgia.
10 Q. And the Secretary of State didn't give you
11 any actual sort of code-level review to look for
malware in the data?
12
13 A. Correct.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I caution that I'm entering
14
15 in an area that you've already ruled upon, but I want to
make a clarification.
16
17 The suggestion was made by the defendants in your
18 objection [inaudible] our case, it's not raised, issues
involving the registration --
19
20 THE COURT: Just ask the questions, please. Just ask
the questions. Don't ask me to prove judgment. Let's
21
22 just -- for the question, ask it, and we'll go from there.
MR. BROWN: I want to be careful --
23
24 THE COURT: If they don't like, they'll jump, and
25 we'll do it then.

Page 238

1 MR. BROWN: I just want to be careful [inaudible]
2 respect to —
THE COURT: [inaudible]
3
4 MR. BROWN: Okay.
BY MR. BROWN:
5
6 Q. Now the election's registration system has been plagued with difficulties over the last --
7
8 THE COURT: I have ruled on that. Don't go there.
9 MR. BROWN: Okay. The reason I'm asking —
10 THE COURT: And like I said, they jumped up. Okay.
11 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would just point out just for the record is that is our allegation paragraph
12
13 [inaudible].
THE COURT: I ruled on it. Quit pushing me all the
14
15 time.
BY MR. BROWN:
16
17 Q. Let me direct your attention to the ballot
18 design. Now what kind of role does your office have in ballot design for the electronic system?
19
20 A. When using GEMS, we first lay out the ballots so that — structurally is what we focus on
21
22 first, make sure we have the right local districts in play, have the right precincts in play, and have the
23
24 right split precincts in play when a precinct is
25 divided.

Page 239

1 Q. Okay.
2 A. And then have the right races and have the right candidates and have the right polling
3
4 locations. GEMS then takes all of that relationship information and produces a ballot style. It is a
5
6 layout of individual races. It does it in two formats.
7
8 It lays out a ballot style for optical scan
9 purposes that we set the number of columns in the
10 ballot format for the general election in 2013. The
11 predominant format was three columns on the front side of the ballot and three columns on the back side
12
13 of the ballot. Some locations had to go to a four column in the front and four column in the back
14
15 because of the sheer number of races that were included on the ballot.
16
17 That's the configuration that we set up for the
18 printer op scan ballot. For the configuration of the touch screen we set up a two-column ballot and that
19
20 has been the format that we've had in place since before 2010. When we initially started using the
21
22 DREs in 2002, it was three-column ballot on the DRE. It's now currently transitioned to a two-column
23
24 ballot around 2008 or 2002. The decision was made at
25 that time that the State wanted to give more space on

Page 240

1 the ballot for each individual race that was seen on
2 the DRE. So we set up a configuration from building the data set that we're going to have a two-column
3
4 ballot displayed on the DRE.
Q. Okay. Now the -- in terms of this
5
6 accessibility or fairness, are there some guidelines that you use for designing a ballot?
7
8 A. There are rules in the -- State Election
9 Board rules for displaying of candidate name, the
10 font size that you use, whether you do all caps. The
11 position of candidates is set by statute in general elections whether the Republican candidate or the
12
13 Democratic candidate is listed first or second. The order of races is set again by statute of what race
14
15 comes first in the ballot, what race is second, third, and so forth and so on.
16
17 Q. Are there rules or regulations governing
18 whether a ballot can go from one -- if a race can be on two pages? In other words, the first candidate on
19
20 one page and then the second candidate on the other page.
21
22 A. When we are building the database, I'm not aware there are rules that says it can't be that way.
23
24 But when we are building the database we -- one of
25 the things that we look at once we have built that

Page 241

1 structure, we look at on a DRE to see if any of these
2 circumstances may be arising. Are the number of candidates so large in a given race that we have to
3
4 change the — what we call the scaling of the image that is shown on the screen?
5
6 We did encounter this in 2016 with the presidential preference primary on the Republican
7
8 side. When we first built the database there — when
9 we first built the first arrangement of the database
10 for proof of purpose it had 16 candidates. The
11 scaling size that we used was actually pushing candidates to a second screen. We adjusted the
12
13 scaling -- reduced the scaling so that all of the candidates showed up on one screen all together under
14
15 the same header so that we would produce any voter confusion.
16
17 Q. Now in this election, 2018, did you design
18 any ballots that had the lieutenant governor's race split between one screen and the next?
19
20 A. To my knowledge the -- every voter in the state of Georgia on Election Day when they were using
21
22 a DRE unit and it is the normal display, the standard display, it had two races on the first frame. It had
23
24 -- on the left-hand side it had the governor's race
25 and all the candidates, and on the right-hand side

Page 242

1 it had the lieutenant governor's race and all
2 candidates. And those were the only two races on the screen.
3
4 Q. And if some other configuration appeared it would have been the result of a machine mistake or
5
6 error; correct?
A. If a voter had put it into magnifying mode,
7
8 which the voter has the ability to do so, they would
9 have only been showing one race on the first screen,
10 and that would have been the governor, and then the
11 second race would have been the lieutenant governor on the second screen.
12
13 Q. By that orientation, where would the splitting of the lieutenant governor race that you're
14
15 aware of [inaudible].
A. That I am aware of.
16
17 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, if I could take a minute
18 break --
THE COURT: Sure.
19
20 MR. BROWN: -- [inaudible]
THE COURT: Why don't we take a few minutes then.
21
22 I'll be back in about ten minutes. Okay.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
23
24 MR. TYSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
25 [Off the record at 3:30 p.m., and back on the record

Page 243

1 at 3:37 p.m.]
2
CONTINUATION DIRECT EXAMINATION
3
4 OF MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES
5
6 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. I'm going to hand you what's been marked as
7
8 Plaintiff's 8 and [inaudible]
9 THE COURT: Exhibit 8? Okay.
10 Q. Let me direct you to the last page of
11 Exhibit 8. Is the first email on the last page of Exhibit 8 an email from a Steven [phonetic] Clay to
12
13 you and others?
MR. TYSON: I object on this. This is related to the
14
15 [inaudible] state issue in 2016 it looks like in these emails, so.
16
17 THE COURT: Okay. You're going to identify what 8 is
18 --
MR. BROWN: All right.
19
20 THE COURT: I know you're trying to identify the last page, but what's the dates on it?
21
22 MR. BROWN: I can do all of [inaudible].
BY MR. BROWN:
23
24 Q. Mr. Barnes, does Exhibit 8 appear to be
25 true and correct [inaudible] emails between various people

Page 244

1 at your office [inaudible]?
2 A. It appears to be email communications from the Center for Election Systems at Kennesaw State in
3
4 2017.
MR. BROWN: Move to admit, Your Honor.
5
6 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, this appears to be once again related to the incident at Kennesaw State --
7
8 THE COURT: In '17? In '17?
9 MR. BROWN: In '17 is related to the Kennesaw State
10 matter that does [inaudible].
11 THE COURT: You're going to have to [inaudible]. Based on what you just said does not [inaudible] for me to
12
13 admit. We're talking about 2018, November race, that's all we're talking about.
14
15 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, we have alleged and proven that [inaudible] in 2017 could still impact the system --
16
17 THE COURT: No, you haven't proven that.
18 MR. BROWN: Well, I haven't [inaudible]
THE COURT: [inaudible] You haven't done that. I'll
19
20 let it in; okay? I'm going to let it in, because you misstate so many things, Mr. Brown. You really do. And
21
22 if it's -- [inaudible]. I'll shut up and let you run the show.
23
24 MR. BROWN: No, ma'am, the reason why I said that is
25 the record was --

Page 245

1 THE COURT: Sir, you have not proved it. I am the
2 one that determines what's proved. Whether you will, I don't know, let's find out.
3
4 MR. BROWN: I understand. Let me back up a little bit and I understand --
5
6 THE COURT: Don't argue with me all the time. Just ask the gentleman questions, please, sir.
7
8 MR. BROWN: Okay. [inaudible] I move to admit this
9 Exhibit --
10 THE COURT: I said I'll admit it. How many more
11 times do you want me to say that?
MR. BROWN: Turn to page 8.
12
13 THE COURT: Can I get a copy of it now, please? [inaudible] discretion.
14
15 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Barnes, what is the compromise that is
16
17 referred to on page 8 of Exhibit 8? And it's in the
18 email from Mr. Gay [ph] to Michael Barnes [inaudible].
19
20 A. I believe this is referring to the web server that was attacked at the Center for Election
21
22 Systems.
Q. And the web server housed what programs or
23
24 information?
25 A. It didn't house any programs. It was a

1 distribution server that counties would obtain, like,
2 ballot proofs, data files that power their Express
Poll devices; data that the Center for Election
3
4 Systems constructed at Kennesaw State while it was
under contract with the Secretary of State's office
5
6 and supporting counties in state and federal
elections.
7
8 Q. And how was the -- that site compromised?
9 A. It was a web server and somebody
10 infiltrated the portions of the web server files that
11 were behind password protection.
Q. Let me direct your attention to the next
12
13 page [inaudible] and this may not be in [inaudible]
sequence so I'm going to assume that they are
14
15 [inaudible]. On page 7 in Exhibit 8, do you recall
receiving this email? Or recall being cc'd on this
16
17 email?
18 A. [inaudible]
Q. Did you have an understanding when you
19
20 received this what the author meant, or what it meant
to you, that obviously this is kind of untenable in
21
22 the current atmosphere?
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, while you have
23
24 admitted this document --
25 THE COURT: [inaudible] I've just got to see it. The

1 first page refers to [inaudible] investigation in regard
2 to voter registration records.
MR. LINDSEY: Yes, Your Honor.
3
4 THE COURT: I've already ruled that out repeatedly;
ruled that out.
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible] with whether or not the
system that --
7
8 THE COURT: And these are not in any kind of order.
9 MR. LINDSEY: -- whether or not the system has --
10 whether or not this has anything to do with the system
11 that collects voters' actual votes in 2018. What about
the system [inaudible] --
12
13 MR. BROWN: [inaudible]
MR. LINDSEY: Well, let me back up. I'm here to
14
15 state my [inaudible]. My objection, Your Honor, this
dealt with an entirely different system. You've already
16
17 ruled it dealt with an entirely different system and we
18 would object --
THE COURT: I think the question was, there were
19
20 errors then, have they been corrected? That's the only
question on the floor.
21
22 MR. LINDSEY: My --
THE COURT: [inaudible] isn't relevant.
23
24 MR. LINDSEY: Yeah, my objection is that this dealt
25 with a situation you've already ruled on, which was

1 [inaudible]
2 THE COURT: I can see we might want to [inaudible].
MR. LINDSEY: Is there a -- I mean, if we could
3
4 stipulate that whatever [inaudible] have not been fixed,
maybe that will speed things up. [inaudible] stipulate
5
6 that it's relevant because it's not [inaudible] with the
system at issue here regarding the 2018 election.
7
8 MR. BROWN: I would love to put Mr. Lindsey on the
9 witness stand for that but --
10 THE COURT: Why do you talk over the top of
11 everybody? Now you're talking over the top of Mr.
Lindsey.
12
13 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'm simply just restating
the fact that you've already previously ruled that the
14
15 issue at Kennesaw State had nothing to do with the
election in 2018 because it dealt with [inaudible]
16
17 THE COURT: I sustain your objection, Mr. Lindsey.
18 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
BY MR. BROWN:
19
20 Q. When did the Secretary of State change the
system after Kennesaw State?
21
22 A. The -- when operations transitioned from
Kennesaw State to the Secretary of State's office --
23
24 the transition began before the physical transition.
25 The Secretary of State began constructing their

1 internal --
2 Q. I apologize for interrupting you, but I'm
asking you a date question.
3
4 A. January 1, 2018.
Q. [inaudible] January 1, 2018. And what did
5
6 they change?
A. The entire air gap private network that's
7
8 used to -- that houses the election programming
9 software, GEMS, at the state level was transitioned
10 to a new air gap system maintained by the Secretary
11 of State Information Technology office and became
operational when the Center for Election Systems took
12
13 up residency within the Secretary of State's office.
Prior to the use of that system, that system was put
14
15 through an analysis by [inaudible], which the voting
system testing lab out of Huntsville, Alabama to
16
17 validate that the installation of GEMS owned that
18 system matches the installation that [inaudible] had
previously inspected.
19
20 Q. Okay. So is it your testimony that the --
that everything was changed over on January 1, 2018?
21
22 A. That the system that's used to generate the
GEMS databases that are designed -- that are
23
24 developed and issued to counties to execute their
25 elections, the system that those -- that work was

Page 250

1 done on was a brand new system as of January 1, 2018.
2 Q. Okay. A brand new what? A brand new hardware, brand new programming?
3
4 A. Brand new hardware.
Q. Okay. What about --
5
6 A. We were still using the certified copy of GEMS that we had been using in the state of Georgia
7
8 since 2000 -- late 2011.
9 Q. Okay. So it was the same GEMS system?
10 A. We have been using the same GEMS program
11 throughout the state of Georgia uniformly since late 2011.
12
13 Q. And that same program that has continued in use was on -- was it on the web server --
14
15 A. No, sir.
Q. Okay. Was it put on a web server?
16
17 A. No, sir.
18 Q. Okay. So did anything on the web server get put on the same place as the GEMS database?
19
20 A. No, sir.
Q. Okay. The -- if the GEMS -- well, so you
21
22 said it's -- Mr. Lindsey said everything was changed, it's a different system, the GEMS database is the
23
24 same; correct?
25 A. We have been using the same GEMS program in

Page 251

1 Georgia since late 2011.
2 Q. Thank you. Sorry to interrupt [inaudible]. Let me direct your attention to page 4 on Exhibit 8.
3
4 THE COURT: These were written before he said everything was changed. So let's not go into --
5
6 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, he said the GEMS database was not a change.
7
8 THE COURT: I understand.
9 MR. BROWN: [inaudible] Let me get right to the point
10 [inaudible] overtake I think an objection.
11 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Barnes, did any of the 45-plus critical
12
13 vulnerabilities -- 40-plus critical vulnerabilities relate in any way to any -- to the GEMS database?
14
15 A. No, sir.
Q. Did the critical vulnerabilities relate to
16
17 -- into the DREs or in the way the DREs were current?
18 A. No, sir.
Q. What did they relate to?
19
20 A. They're related to a web server that was distributing the data to counties -- counties for
21
22 elections. It was the portal that the Center for Elections use as their outside communication tool,
23
24 their website.
25 Elections.Kennesaw.edu was housed on that

Page 252

1 server. Counties have a sign-in to that location to
2 obtain some information like ballot proofs for review purposes, where they could portal in and access that
3
4 information.
Q. Mr. Barnes, I want to go back to your
5
6 testimony about the ballot design; do you recall that? And I want to clarify first, you were
7
8 describing the scalability of the ballot design,
9 different challenges. There's a lot of candidates,
10 like, in the presidential preference primary for the
11 Republicans in 2016. Does the county have an opportunity to participate in ballot designs?
12
13 A. The county has an opportunity to review the data set at any given time during the review process.
14
15 Most counties take the reports that we generate and provide to them that are sample ballots in the
16
17 optical scan format, because that's the easiest thing
18 to produce, the PDF format, and provide the counties for a layout -- of validating that the right races
19
20 are in the right place on the ballot.
But some counties choose, Gwinnett County being
21
22 one of them in November of 2018, to obtain a copy of the GEMS database while it is in production. For
23
24 them then to then take that database, install it onto
25 a DRE so they can see what it's going to look like on

Page 253

1 the DRE themselves. And if they see anything that
2 they would like adjusted, then they notify our office of what needs to be adjusted and that adjustment is
3
4 made.
Q. [inaudible] and Gwinnett was a longer
5
6 ballot because it was in two languages; is that right? Was that one of the issues?
7
8 A. It was a more complicated ballot. It was
9 the first election that Gwinnett County was having
10 with multiple language, so they were doing a lot of
11 proofing in multiple languages to make sure the ballot was being displayed as they needed it
12
13 displayed to be in adherence with federal statute.
Q. I asked you before with respect to the work
14
15 that you -- your office had done with respect to the ballot design whether in any other various -- the
16
17 ballots had been out, the election for the lieutenant
18 governor was split between two pages and you said, no. Do you know if that's the case with respect to
19
20 what the counties ended up using [inaudible]?
A. We have the same DRE equipment at our
21
22 disposal as the counties have at their disposal. The image that we can generate on the DRE should be equal
23
24 to what a county is seeing on display when they are
25 generating. That's the best to which I can answer

Page 254

1 that question.
2 Q. To the best of your knowledge.
A. To the best of my knowledge.
3
4 Q. To the best of your knowledge the ballots displayed in the county, did -- would not have shown
5
6 on the lieutenant governor's race on two different screens; is that right?
7
8 A. That is correct.
9 Q. That's all I have. Thanks, again.
10 THE COURT: Any questions?
11
CROSS-EXAMINATION
12
13 OF MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES
14
15 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. Mr. Barnes, let's pick up where Mr. Brown
16
17 had been talking ballot design. Let me show you what
18 would be marked as -- where is it I'm at? Duncan 2? Duncan 2.
19
20 And I'm going to ask you if you will -- if you could identify this and tell me what it -- what is
21
22 shows, [inaudible] design of the [inaudible] ballots in I believe 200- -- 2018, 2014, and 2010. Does this
23
24 accurately show the ballot design of the governor and
25 lieutenant governor's races for those three election

Page 255

1 cycles? [inaudible]
2 A. Yes, sir, it does.
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I would tender these into
3
4 evidence.
MR. BROWN: No objection, Your Honor.
5
6 THE COURT: Received.
MR. TYSON: [inaudible]
7
8 BY MR. LINDSEY [inaudible]:
9 Q. There is in this packet two pictures of
10 each year. Could you explain to the Court why?
11 A. Sure. The two pictures -- the picture -- there are two DRE models that are used in the state
12
13 of Georgia. There is the TSx model and there is the R6 model.
14
15 The TSx is -- has the gray case around it. The screen and the R6 model has the white case around the
16
17 screen. So these are images of both models
18 displaying the ballot from November of 2018.
Q. And let me go back and show to the 2010
19
20 screen and that screen -- rather, in these screens the U.S. Senate race and the governor's race is on
21
22 the first page and the next page has the lieutenant governor and other races; correct?
23
24 A. Correct.
25 Q. In 2014, there was once again U.S. Senate

Page 256

1 race and once again the U.S. Senate is -- and the
2 governor's race is on the first page and the race for lieutenant governor and other state constitutional
3
4 offices are on the next page; correct?
A. Correct.
5
6 Q. Now, the situation is different in 2018; is it not?
7
8 A. That's correct.
9 Q. Why?
10 A. There was no United States Senate race on
11 the ballot in 2018. The first race on all ballots across the state was for governor.
12
13 Q. So as a result, so instead of being on two different screens, the governor and lieutenant
14
15 governor's race are both on the same screen.
A. That's correct.
16
17 Q. Correct? Okay. Now, you are as part of
18 your job, concerned about ballot design; correct?
A. Correct.
19
20 Q. And for instance you are knowledgeable, for instance, what happened in Broward County; correct?
21
22 A. Correct.
Q. Tell the Judge what happened in Broward
23
24 County.
25 A. In November 2018 in Broward County, they

Page 257

1 had a -- I believe it's -- the United States Senate
2 race was at the bottom of the first column on the ballot.
3
4 It came below the set instructions. So at the very -- after those instructions ended, they had
5
6 enough space at the bottom of this piece of paper that they could include the United States senate race
7
8 at the bottom of that column and then the governor's
9 race was at the top of the second column on the
10 ballot. Other counties -- that was Broward County --
11 is the United States senate was at the bottom of -- underneath the instructions.
12
13 Q. So as a result in Broward County fewer people voted in the U.S. Senate race than they did in
14
15 other counties?
A. The layout in Broward County was different
16
17 than it was in other counties.
18 Q. Yeah.
A. In other counties the United States Senate
19
20 race was at the top of the second column. There was no race below the instructions on other county
21
22 ballots in Florida.
Q. And did that have an impact on voter
23
24 participation? Was that the concern that had
25 impacted the voter [inaudible]?

Page 258

1 A. There was concern that because the race was
2 at the -- below the instructions that voters might
not have noticed that it was below the instructions
3
4 and then may have just bypassed it and ignored it.
Q. Yeah. Now after this election, Mr. Brown
5
6 asked you, had you had any discussions about why
there's a difference between the paper ballot down-
7
8 ballot voting for lieutenant governor and the
9 electronic ballot down-ballot race? Do you recall
10 him asking you that?
11 A. Yes, sir.
Q. And have you in fact had any discussion
12
13 about whether or not the ballot design may have
impacted that race?
14
15 A. Post-election, you know, looking at the
design of the ballot, we have always been very
16
17 pleased with the two-column ballot because it gives,
18 you know -- you know, two races very clearly separate
apart but when we, you know, start thinking about the
19
20 election of what all was going on with the given
election, could this ballot layout been confusing to
21
22 voters.
And there's a possibility that it could have
23
24 been confusing, especially for new voters that are
25 voting for the first time in the state of Georgia

Page 259

1 that may not have had an interaction in voting in
2 Georgia or using a DRE. You know, a cursory glance
of the screen like this you may feel like because the
3
4 Republican candidates are line up and the Democratic
candidates are lining up that you make one selection
5
6 and you're voting for a ticket.
So that could be how a voter interprets that or
7
8 it may not be. I could just be that they see the
9 first race and they are focused on when they get to
10 the next element. Each individual voter's actions
11 that -- are their own.
Q. And in fact you are aware that program's
12
13 [inaudible]?
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, this is already leading and,
14
15 Your Honor, I would [inaudible] leading the witness.
MR. LINDSEY: That doesn't matter. It has to be
16
17 adverse. [inaudible].
18 THE COURT: [inaudible], therefore he's got him on
cross.
19
20 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
21
22 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. So obviously, you know, you're aware of the
23
24 fact that the president and my president, for instance,
25 run on a ticket; correct?

Page 260

1 A. Correct.
2 Q. and are you aware that, I believe, in 25
states that have lieutenant governors and governors, they
3
4 run on a ticket; are you aware of that?
A. I learned something today that -- I know
5
6 that there are some jurisdictions where they on a ticket
with a number.
7
8 Q. Okay. Are you aware that, for instance, in
9 Florida they run on a ticket?
10 A. Yes.
11 Q. Are you aware that in South Carolina, two
of our neighboring states, they also run on a ticket?
12
13 A. Yes.
Q. So it is common, is it not, that in many
14
15 states that the governor and lieutenant governor
weren't on a ticket?
16
17 A. Yes.
18 Q. Okay. Now, in addition to the general
design, did you also do a analysis in terms of the
19
20 number of new voters voting in Georgia for the first
time --
21
22 A. Post --
Q. -- in 2014 and 2018? Post-election.
23
24 A. Secretary of State's office did reach out
25 to their vendor of the voter registration system to

Page 261

1 ask the question of how many new voters participated
2 for the -- or how many voters participated for the
first time in 2018, also in 2014. In 2014, I believe
3
4 the number was --
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I object. This is hearsay.
5
6 It's [inaudible].
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, he's doing this in his
7
8 official capacity to basically analyze the number of new
9 voters.
10 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I'm not aware of any official
11 capacity except to the hearsay ruled upon.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, this is part of his
12
13 official duties is to --
THE COURT: We've talked about numbers all over the
14
15 place.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, these are not --
16
17 THE COURT: And all other kinds of ways. I'll allow
18 it.
MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
19
20 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. That in 2014, there were just over 98,000
21
22 voters in Georgia that participated for the first
time in the November 2014 election, but in the 2018
23
24 election there were a number of 336,000 voters or a
25 little over that that voted for the first time on --

Page 262

1 in November of 2018. And as far as your discussions,
2 was the concern about confusion by new voters coming
in from other states?
3
4 A. Yes.
Q. And that's part of the concerns you had in
5
6 terms of the inadvertent layout that was created as a
result of the U.S. Senate race not being on the
7
8 ballot?
9 A. Correct.
10 Q. Okay. Now Mr. Brown also asked you about
11 -- several questions about getting necessary reports
from GEMS and elsewhere about verifying that the --
12
13 that the election results.
And at one point you were asked to run a report
14
15 that formed this lawsuit, a report that was given to
the parties assuring every voter received lieutenant
16
17 governor on the ballot. Do you recall being asked
18 about that before?
A. Yes.
19
20 Q. Okay. You did not run a report for every
county; correct?
21
22 A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. But you did run a report on the
23
24 counties that are all on -- named parties in this
25 lawsuit; correct?

Page 263

1 A. That's correct.
2 Q. And that would be Fulton and Gwinnett;
correct?
3
4 A. Correct.
MR. TYSON [inaudible]: [inaudible] what?
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: Fulton and Gwinnett.
Q. Let me first show you Gwinnett -- let me
7
8 show you both [inaudible]. First let me show you
9 Gwinnett and ask you to please identify Gwinnett
10 [inaudible]. If you could identify that for the
11 Court.
A. This is the base precinct with reports --
12
13 base precinct with races report for Gwinnett County
and note there were 2018 election.
14
15 Q. And you -- tell the Court what that means
-- what that means.
16
17 A. What this report shows is every -- a voter
18 is connected to a base precinct within a GEMS
database. And what this shows is the base precinct
19
20 associated to the precinct and then what races are
associated to that base precinct. So this show --
21
22 and a voter is going to be associated to the base
precinct. So this is showing what races each
23
24 individual voter within a precinct would see when
25 they were given their ballot.

Page 264

1 Q. Okay.
2 MR. LINDSEY: We would tender Exhibits 3 and 4.
[inaudible] 3 and 4. [inaudible]
3
4 MR. BROWN: I have no objection, Your Honor.
THE COURT: They're admitted.
5
6 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. And what do these reports -- you would tell
7
8 the Court what these reports show.
9 A. It shows, again, all races for that given
10 base precinct or present all statewide races that
11 were being contested in November are shown with every
base precinct listed in the report.
12
13 Q. Okay. So if I understand you correctly
what you're telling me is that Mr. Duncan and Ms.
14
15 Amico appeared on every electronic ballot then in
those two counties?
16
17 A. Yes.
18 Q. And did you ever receive any complaint from
anywhere in the state that this race did not appear
19
20 on an electronic ballot?
A. We did not.
21
22 Q. To go back to the issue of configuration
about how was the ballot that we just finished
23
24 discussing, the electronic ballot, differed from the
25 paper ballots that folks would receive when they did

Page 265

1 write-in?
2 A. In relation to layout?
Q. Layout, particularly the governor and
3
4 lieutenant governor's race.
A. The optical scan ballot, again being three
5
6 or four columns in display, front and back, the
governor's race would have been the first race below
7
8 the ballot that are -- in the -- on the left -- far
9 left column and then the race right below that would
10 have been the lieutenant governor and then the race
11 below that would have been Secretary of State.
Q. So you wouldn't have had simply the
12
13 governor and lieutenant governors on the -- on only
one page?
14
15 A. That's correct.
Q. Plus you had a north-south situation as
16
17 opposed to east-west?
18 A. Correct.
Q. Now in addition to checking to make sure
19
20 that this race appeared on all the ballots, you also
were asked as part of the discovery in this case, to
21
22 prepare from GEMS a report testing to ensure that the
votes that are made on a machine are actually
23
24 calculated in the system; correct?
25 A. Correct.

Page 266

1 Q. Okay. And you did this for both Fulton and
2 Gwinnett, correct --
A. Correct.
3
4 Q. -- were named parties in this case. And if
you would explain to the Court -- let me show you the
5
6 -- and ask you if this is [inaudible] would be and
then explain to the Court what actually this report
7
8 shows.
9 A. These are the active voter TS status
10 reports from the Fulton and Gwinnett county databases
11 and what these reports show are the number of memory
cards created for their voting locations and the
12
13 upload status of those memory cards.
Q. Okay. In English what do they [inaudible]?
14
15 A. It shows that the touch screen unit that
was used that the information collected by that
16
17 machine has been uploaded into GEMS [inaudible].
18 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we would tender these two
Exhibits which would be 5 and 6 [inaudible].
19
20 MR. BROWN: No objection, Your Honor.
THE COURT: So admitted..
21
22 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. And after analyzing the report once again,
23
24 what are they -- tell the Court what they showed.
25 A. They showed that there are no outstanding

Page 267

1 memory cards. That all memory cards that were
2 created that would have collected that would have
collected votes, have been uploaded and accounted for
3
4 by GEMS.
Q. Okay. Now you were asked by Mr. Brown also
5
6 about what you at the Secretary of State's office
does beforehand to get ballots ready for voting. Do
7
8 you recall that last question?
9 A. Yes.
10 Q. Let me ask you, do you do any testing,
11 parallel testing for instance, on Election Day to
make sure that the systems are operating correctly?
12
13 A. We do.
Q. Tell the Judge what you do.
14
15 A. In the days leading up to the election we
will make -- Secretary of State's office will make a
16
17 request to a county in Georgia to provide to us a
18 copy of their GEMS database from their GEMS computer.
The State constructs the database and sends it to the
19
20 county.
But for parallel testing we ask that whatever
21
22 the county has loaded into their system, that they
make a copy of that database and send it back to the
23
24 State so that we at the Secretary of State's office
25 can then create our own memory cards from their copy

Page 268

1 of their database, load into touch screen equipment
2 that we have in our possession, do a logic and
accuracy test on the device to make sure it's working
3
4 as it should, and then on Election Day itself we
videotape the input of a test deck into the device in
5
6 election mode.
So we will have an individual that is holding
7
8 the pre-filled ballot out and instructing the test
9 voter on what selections to make while that is all
10 being videotaped. And that's done within the voting
11 period on Election Day. And then at the end of that
timeframe we'll end the election on those devices and
12
13 printout tapes from those devices, and validate the
results being produced by the device match the known
14
15 results of the test deck.
Q. Okay. And you do that to make sure that if
16
17 Edward Lindsey cast a vote for Mike Boland for
18 governor, that it's properly counting?
A. Correct.
19
20 Q. And when you did that parallel testing on
Election Day, did you discover any irregularities?
21
22 A. We did not.
Q. And among the races that you tested for was
23
24 the lieutenant governor's race; correct?
25 A. That's correct.

Page 269

1 Q. Thank you. Now you were talking about
2 after the election Ms. Amico came and raised some
concerns. And you mentioned that you went and
3
4 checked a county. What county was that, just for the
record?
5
6 A. Ben Hill County.
Q. Ben Hill County. And you went to Ben Hill
7
8 because that was somebody Ms. Amico was concerned
9 about; correct?
10 A. Correct.
11 Q. Okay. And the results were the same things
that were tabulated on the card were being uploaded
12
13 to the Secretary of State's office; correct?
A. That's correct.
14
15 Q. Okay. Now were you asked earlier why --
well, you were asked earlier whether the Secretary of
16
17 State had done any testing or discovered there was
18 any kind of malware on the system; correct?
A. Correct.
19
20 Q. Been asked that. Given what you do on the
parallel testing, the outcome, did you believe there
21
22 was any reason to do any forensic testing for
malware?
23
24 A. Based on what we have encountered, no, sir.
25 Q. Okay. Now you -- there have been several

Page 270

1 questions raised about hacking, this, that, and the
2 other; could you explain -- from my understanding,
and I'm not a math major and a physics major, I'm a
3
4 history major with a specialty in Florentine
brimstone, so --
5
6 THE COURT: That's really helpful
Q. -- so I'm going to talk really slowly to
7
8 make this explanation here. Could you please explain
9 the difference between an open system that would be
10 on the web page, and a closed system?
11 A. A closed system has no external connection
port is that it is a device directly connected to
12
13 another device and there is not a line from that pair
going outward placing so that someone can portal
14
15 inward into that device. They can't access an IP
address. It's closed off.
16
17 The only way to interact with the system is
18 through direct interaction with the system. An open
network is akin to the internet. When you have
19
20 computers and multiple locations communicating to one
another through a public means.
21
22 Q. So someone [inaudible] Putin in Russia
could not use a computer there utilizing the internet
23
24 to be able to get into your system and hack it;
25 correct?

Page 271

1 A. Correct.
2 Q. Okay. Were there any other anomalies in
this election, particularly regarding the lieutenant
3
4 governor's race, in regards to write-in votes?
A. When looking at the certified returns for
5
6 various counties in the Metro area, we saw that there
were -- for the statewide races that the lieutenant
7
8 governor's office seemed to have the highest number
9 of write-in votes collected.
10 Q. Okay. Now you didn't check every county,
11 did you?
A. No, sir.
12
13 Q. All right. You checked, I believe, three
counties; Fulton, Gwinnett, and DeKalb; correct?
14
15 A. Correct.
Q. Let me show you Fulton [inaudible] and let
16
17 me ask you to explain what these three documents --
18 certified documents I might add, show.
A. These appear to be the certified returns
19
20 from Dekalb County, Gwinnett County, and Fulton
County, and they are -- they contain the election
21
22 summary reports for those counties.
And the election summary report shows each
23
24 individual race that was present in the database for
25 that given county. It shows the total number of

Page 272

1 precincts involved in that election shows the number
2 of precincts reporting by race. It shows the number
of times that particular race was seen by the
3
4 tabulating system.
It shows the total number of votes that were
5
6 counted for that race and it shows the number of
votes collected for each individual candidate listed
7
8 in that race, including write-ins.
9 Q. All right. Write-ins, if I understand
10 correctly, they are write-ins in which someone goes
11 to the trouble to get their vote tabulated and there
are write-ins for Mickey Mouse, that sort of thing.
12
13 A. That's correct. There are certified write-
in candidates and then there are candidates that are
14
15 not certified write-ins.
Q. And that includes those who are not
16
17 certified write-in candidates; correct?
18 A. This includes all write-ins collected by
the system.
19
20 Q. When you reviewed that, what did you
discover for these three counties, for instance,
21
22 using [inaudible] because they were named parties in
this case? What did you discover about the number of
23
24 write-ins in the lieutenant governor's race versus
25 other races that were statewide?

Page 273

1 A. That the highest number of write-ins cast
2 for statewide office was in the lieutenant governor's
office.
3
4 Q. Okay.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we would tender Exhibits --
5
6 [inaudible] 7, 8, and 9.
THE COURT: Mr. Brown.
7
8 MR. BROWN: You're tendering those?
9 MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible] I'm tendering --
10 THE COURT: He tendered his exhibits.
11 MR. LINDSEY: I'm tendering the exhibits.
MR. BROWN: [inaudible]
12
13 THE COURT: Okay. No objection. That's [inaudible].
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I just have one last
14
15 [inaudible] and I will release him, I guess, [inaudible].
Your Honor, I believe the parties have stipulated to the
16
17 admissibility of Secretary of State certified statewide
18 races.
I just want to let it [inaudible] in the record. I
19
20 would, first of all, tender Exhibits 10, 11, and 12. Is
that what I'm up to? Ten being the election for 2010, 11
21
22 being for '14, and 12 being for '18. Let me show you what
I have here. '18 and '14, rather, and '10.
23
24 BY MR. LINDSEY:
25 Q. [inaudible] Let me simply ask you to look

Page 274

1 at those. Those are in fact the official election
2 returns from those three years that are located on
the Secretary of State's website; correct?
3
4 [inaudible] I don't think I have those
[inaudible]. Okay. These are in fact the election
5
6 returns from the Secretary of State's office
[inaudible]; correct?
7
8 A. Appear to be.
9 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we tender the Exhibits.
10 THE COURT: Any objections from --
11 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. Do you have extra copies of those,
12
13 the last three, Mr. Lindsey?
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, [inaudible].
14
15 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. And looking at the front page of '10 and
16
17 '14, does it not show, sir, the percentage of voters
18 from that year? For both '10 and '14 at the top?
A. The turnout percentage?
19
20 Q. Yes, sir.
A. The turnout percentage for November of '14
21
22 -- 2014, voter turnout 50.03 percent?
Q. Yes, sir. Now for a '18 -- for '18
23
24 [inaudible].
25 MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible], Your Honor. [inaudible].

Page 275

1 And also, Your Honor, we tender this next Exhibit,
2 [inaudible] turnout and '18, just for the record.
Q. If you would identify what would be marked
3
4 as Exhibit 13, tell us what that is.
A. This is for November 2018 --
5
6 Q Yes, sir.
A. -- general turnout 61.34 percent.
7
8 MR. LINDSEY: We tender this document as well.
9 MR. BROWN: No objection.
10 BY MR. LINDSEY:
11 Q. So the turnout -- well, first of all, if
you were to look at '14 and '18, we've already talked
12
13 about the new votes. Let me just also sort of close
the loop on this line of questioning. If you would
14
15 look at '14 first and tell me how many registered
voters there were in '14.
16
17 A. In '14, there were 5,191,182.
18 Q. Okay. And in '18, how many were there?
A. 6,400,200 -- let's see. 6,428,584.
19
20 Q. Okay. And you've already testified that we
had high voter participation in '18?
21
22 A. [inaudible]
Q. So in '18, we have a larger number of
23
24 registered voters than in '14; correct?
25 A. Correct.

Page 276

1 Q. And in '18, we had a larger turnout than we
2 did in '14; correct?
A. Correct.
3
4 Q. And in '18, we had a increase of about
three-and-a-half times the number of new voters
5
6 voting for the first time; correct?
A. Correct.
7
8 Q. All of those, based on your concern about -
9 - your knowledge about Broward County as you're
10 looking at the web design -- at the design of the
11 electronic ballot could have led to greater voter
confusion when they voted electronically versus when
12
13 they voted on a paper ballot; correct?
A. Correct.
14
15 MR. LINDSEY: That's all I have, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Mr. Tyson.
16
17 MR. TYSON: Thank you, Your Honor. I'll be brief.
18
CROSS-EXAMINATION
19
20 OF MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES
21
22 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. [inaudible] pick up real quickly on the
23
24 Broward review, that senate race that Mr. Lindsey was
25 asking you about. Do you know if Broward County uses

Page 277

1 paper or DRE ballots?
2 A. I believe Broward uses centralized
[inaudible].
3
4 Q. Okay. So those would be paper ballots;
correct?
5
6 A. Yes.
Q. Mr. Brown earlier asked you about
7
8 complaints that he received around the election
9 administration, were the complaints in 2018 any more
10 unusual than complaints in prior years?
11 A. No.
Q. And Mr. Brown also asked you now
12
13 [inaudible] issues he said that, you know, if you
push a button [inaudible] record votes somewhere else
14
15 for somebody else. Now would you consider that a
programming issue or a calibration issue?
16
17 A. I would consider that a calibration issue.
18 Q. And is -- if the machine doesn't respond as
expected on a calibration issue during testing, what
19
20 was the process for addressing that?
A. The counties normally do not allow that
21
22 system to pass. It is set aside and not used for
that given election.
23
24 Q. And in the calibration you repair or fixed
25 electronic voting machines?

Page 278

1 A. You can recalibrate the screen as part of
2 the initial LMA process of, say, [inaudible]
calibration on the machines is like one of the first
3
4 steps done. But even after setting calibration if
the county interacts with the system and they feel
5
6 like it's not responsive as it should be, then they
will take that unit out of operation.
7
8 Q. And so a programming error then would be
9 something like a candidate's name is missing; is that
10 correct?
11 A. That's correct.
Q. And how would you know that it was a
12
13 programming error of that nature where somebody's
name was omitted, for example?
14
15 A. It would be not present on the ballot. You
would not see the name or if there was a voting
16
17 position with no name associated to it, you would see
18 that.
Q. And how would you do that? Would you do
19
20 that from a GEMS report or some other method?
A. You do that for a GEMS reports. You do
21
22 that through the sample ballots that are generated
and provided to the counties for proofing purposes.
23
24 Q. And reviewing the DRE post-election mode
25 also show that problem with the candidate missing

Page 279

1 name?
2 A. In post-election mode you can print out
reports that show candidates listed by race. You
3
4 also have the ability to access the ballot -- the
ballot view, which is the reported ballots that the
5
6 system recorded and it shows what races were on that
ballot and what candidates were listed under those
7
8 races.
9 Q. So lieutenant governor's race didn't appear
10 on a DRE. The machine in post-election [inaudible]
11 to determine that [inaudible].
A. That's correct.
12
13 Q. Okay. Thank you.
MR. LINDSEY: I don't have anything else.
14
15 THE COURT: Wait a minute. Wait, wait, wait.
MR. BROWN: I'm sorry.
16
17 MS. BURWELL: I have no questions.
18 THE COURT: She's got -- okay. Okay. Now you can
go.
19
20 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
21
22 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
OF MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES
23
24
25 BY MR. BROWN:

Page 280

1 Q. When did you first hear of the theory that
2 the under-vote might be -- have been the result of
people thinking that it was a [inaudible]
3
4 A. It would probably be close to the time of
certification.
5
6 Q. And who did you hear it from?
A. It was just sort of hypothesized. There
7
8 was no one person that said it. It was just sort of
9 again looking at the election and seeing, you know,
10 what could -- what could be reasons for these things.
11 Q. For the under-vote that you noticed, right?
A. Yes.
12
13 Q. Okay. So you noticed the under-vote at the
Secretary of State's office and one of the things
14
15 that was just thrown out was, maybe people thought it
was a ticket, right?
16
17 A. Correct.
18 Q. And have you undertaken -- get any sort of
expert opinion or analysis of that theory?
19
20 A. We have heard from people in relation to
ballot design that are starting that for ballot
21
22 design moving forward that it's best for voters to
see one race per screen when they're interacting with
23
24 a DRE device or a ballot-marking device. So, you
25 know, that's -- to eliminate that confusion that may

Page 281

1 be present.
2 Q. Right. But that would mean that -- that
doesn't relate to under-votes, does it; or does it
3
4 [inaudible]?
A. I'm not sure of the question.
5
6 Q. Is the concern there that people will skip
a vote if there's more than one ballot on a page? Is
7
8 that the concern?
9 A. There's always concern that voters are not,
10 you know, receptive of what they're seeing. But each
11 individual voter is going to do what they want to do.
Q. Right. And in -- but in this election, if
12
13 you turn the page for this election, you have
multiple ballots; correct?
14
15 A. If you hit the next button you go to the
next screen, you have multiple races appearing, yes.
16
17 Q. And which races appeared on the second page
18 of November 6th ballot?
A. Let's see --
19
20 Q. And just go ahead and look at the
[inaudible].
21
22 A. The November '18 -- for the 2018 ballot?
Q. Yes, sir.
23
24 A. There are four races present. Secretary of
25 State, attorney general, commissioner of agriculture,

Page 282

1 commissioner of insurance.
2 Q. Okay. So in those -- even though there were four ballots on that screen, the voters were
3
4 able -- electronic and paper ballot voters had a significantly higher participation rate than the
5
6 lieutenant governor's race; correct?
MR. TYSON: Objection, Your Honor. It's leading.
7
8 THE COURT: Sustained.
9 BY MR. BROWN:
10 Q. Mr. Barnes, did -- before the other races
11 that were on the second page have a higher voter participation rate than lieutenant governor's race?
12
13 A. Based on the certified results from those counties that there were fewer under-votes in those
14
15 races, yes.
Q. Okay. Thank you. Now the -- there was
16
17 some testimony about calibration issue. Are you with
18 me?
A. Uh-huh.
19
20 Q. Okay. And what -- one calibration problem is a screen dysfunctioning, physical screen problem,
21
22 if you follow me, where what you're pushing doesn't connect with the code -- with whatever electronics
23
24 [inaudible]. You with me? It's a physical problem
25 with the screen. Is that a calibration problem?

Page 283

1 A. Where -- the point that you're touching is
2 -- the response is showing in a different location? The response where you touch --
3
4 Q. Or doesn't respond or it blinks or something else, is that a calibration problem?
5
6 A. Potentially.
Q. The -- but there is software that tells the
7
8 computer, if you press this button, put another --
9 add one to the voter total for Mr. Duncan; correct?
10 A. The test screen basically is a grid. It's
11 a pressure-point grid. It says -- [inaudible] analysis and, you know, it comes up with an average
12
13 of pressure it says, if you see pressure here, then that should be indicated as a mark in this position
14
15 on the ballot.
Q. Right. And that's programming that does
16
17 that; correct? That says, if you [inaudible], add
18 one to the vote total for Amico, right?
A. Correct.
19
20 Q. Okay. And that program resides where?
A. That program resides on the DRE.
21
22 Q. On the individual DRE machines.
A. That's correct.
23
24 Q. And is that -- and is that -- and where on
25 those DRE machines does it reside?

Page 284

1 A. That resides on an EPROM chip that's
2 connected to the motherboard.
Q. And is the EPROM chip connected to the
3
4 motherboard of something that the State --
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, bear with me here. I just
5
6 have one question on this.
Q. Is the EPROM chip that you referred to that
7
8 contains the programming for doing that something the
9 State offered to allow the petitioners to inspect?
10 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, objection again. He's once
11 again [inaudible] re-litigate what the Court has already ruled upon in discovery [inaudible].
12
13 THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MR. BROWN:
14
15 Q. Is the EPROM the same thing as the election archive?
16
17 A. The election archive information is saved
18 to the internal memory on the device and the internal memory is contained in EPROM. [inaudible]
19
20 Q. Okay. And the internal -- okay. Can you say that again?
21
22 A. The internal memory is where the archive file is maintained and the internal memory resides on
23
24 EPROM.
25 Q. Okay. So the answer is no if they're on

Page 285

1 the same thing, right?
2 A. The election archives is a data file that is saved to an internal memory and the internal
3
4 memory is -- resides on the EPROM.
Q. And other things other than the election
5
6 archive are on the internal memory, right?
A. I believe I already said that.
7
8 Q. Just yes or no.
9 A. Yes.
10 Q. Okay. And one of those other things
11 controls the mapping of the vote from the touch screen to the place in your system where it says, add
12
13 one more vote to somebody; correct?
A. Correct.
14
15 Q. Let me direct your attention to one of Mr. Lindsey's printouts and I'll just give you -- you
16
17 don't need to look at it.
18 Of course you may, but the -- he was asking you about write-in votes and how the lieutenant
19
20 governor's race had a lot of write-in votes, do you know whether that was because Libertarians who were
21
22 voting on the lieutenant governor's race did not have an option, therefore wrote in? Do you know --
23
24 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I believe that calls for
25 speculation [inaudible].

Page 286

1 THE COURT: That would be speculation. [inaudible]
2 argue that it's not. [inaudible].
MR. BROWN: Thank you.
3
4 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Now the EPROM chip is the erasable, read-
5
6 only [inaudible], right? Or I guess erasable program
[inaudible], right?
7
8 A. Well, that's what EPROM stands for, yes.
9 Q. Now does the software reside on an EPROM
10 chip or in [inaudible] memory, if you know?
11 A. I can't answer that in an absolutely -- I
don't [inaudible].
12
13 Q. Okay.
MR. BROWN: That's all I have [inaudible].
14
15 THE COURT: [inaudible]
16
17 RECROSS EXAMINATION
18 OF MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES
19
20 BY MALE:
Q. Mr. Brown -- I've just got [inaudible].
21
22 Mr. Brown did ask about whether it was a touch screen
problem [inaudible] whether or not that could be a
23
24 system error; correct?
25 A. Correct.

Page 287

1 Q. That would have shown up if it was a system
2 problem in your parallel testing on Election Day;
correct?
3
4 A. Correct.
Q. And you didn't detect any problems;
5
6 correct?
A. We did not detect any problems.
7
8 MALE: No further questions.
9
10 RECROSS EXAMINATION
11 OF MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES
12
13 BY MR. TYSON [inaudible]:
Q. Mr. Barnes, Mr. Brown asked you about when
14
15 the voter information was recorded. When you push a
button, when you push the part of the screen, it's
16
17 [inaudible] cast ballot that is recorded in the
18 internal memory of the unit; correct?
A. That is correct.
19
20 Q. All right. Are you familiar with the
notices that are posted to voter -- polling places
21
22 regarding pressing the cast ballot button?
A. I am.
23
24 Q. I'm going to hand you what's been marked as
25 Gwinnett 1. Is this the notice for voter to be

Page 288

1 posted in the polling places regarding pressing the
2 cast ballot button [inaudible], I'm sorry.
A. It is.
3
4 Q. And it very specifically says, you should
notify a poll worker if you have a problem or
5
6 question about the unit before you press the cast
ballot button; correct?
7
8 A. That's correct.
9 Q. Okay. Thank you.
10 MR. TYSON: I have no further questions. Oh, I'm
11 sorry, Your Honor. I tender Gwinnett 1.
MR. BROWN: No objection.
12
13 THE COURT: It's admitted.
THE COURT: Ms. Burwell, questions?
14
15 MS. BURWELL: [inaudible]
THE COURT: Mr. Brown.
16
17 MR. BROWN: I don't have anything further.
18 THE COURT: Okay. You may get down.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
19
20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, may this witness be excused?
THE COURT: Yes.
21
22 MR. BROWN: [inaudible]
THE COURT: Let me ask you, Mr. Brown, how much
23
24 longer do you think your case is going to last? I'm just
25 trying to work out logistics and various other things.

Page 289

1 MR. BROWN: If I may have literally 30 seconds, I can
2 give you an answer if I could talk to my clients.
THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.
3
4 MR. BROWN: Your Honor.
THE COURT: Go ahead.
5
6 MR. BROWN: We have about an hour with Mr. Barron
probably total. He is here. He has a -- Mr. Barron is an
7
8 employee of Fulton County. [inaudible] Fulton County. He
9 has a childcare issue and we would be happy that he
10 [inaudible] in the morning and then starting [inaudible].
11 THE COURT: Start in the morning. You've got about
another hour?
12
13 MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: What does the defendants -- I'm looking
14
15 -- can we finish tomorrow?
MALE: [inaudible], Your Honor. I don't think the
16
17 [inaudible].
18 THE COURT: You want to take an oath on that?
MALE: What?
19
20 THE COURT: Do you want to take an oath on that?
MALE: No, Your Honor. I will not take an oath and I
21
22 will not [inaudible] in my place.
I will not take an oath. But [inaudible] some of my
23
24 preceding circumstances --
25 THE COURT: Okay. Tomorrow, so you know -- now you

have to understand I'm a senior judge [inaudible] courtroom and therefore we won't have a courtroom [inaudible] in two or three weeks with luck. But in the meantime, they move us around.

So tomorrow we're back in the Magistrate's court on the fourth floor. It's the old courtroom annex, 402. Okay. Mr. Groban [ph] will be over there early to let you in. I always bring my -- I've got so much stuff I'll bring it.

MALE: Is there anywhere that we can store some of our stuff? Because of the stuff I don't necessarily [inaudible].

THE COURT: That's why I'm looking at all that but I don't have a choice. They've got a mock trial in here tomorrow.

MALE: No, I understand. [inaudible]

THE COURT: I don't know they were locked. Okay. There's a jury room in here that you could use, but you'd have to come real early to get in it and get it out.

MALE: I'd be happy to. I mean, that's -- [inaudible] take home.

THE COURT: Yeah. The other place that might do it is the Bar office. Dekalb [inaudible] County Bar office is right over there.

MALE: All right.

THE COURT: It's on this floor and they might let you keep some stuff in there.

MALE: I'll tell you, we'll [inaudible].

THE COURT: Well, I mean they might let you -- they've got two conference rooms over there. They're empty. You go out here and turn left. You know where it is Mr. [inaudible].

MALE: Yes. [inaudible]

THE COURT: Okay. [inaudible] I'll see you in M-42 tomorrow. And if you want to use the [inaudible] now he gets here at the crack of dawn anyway.

MALE: Well, I mean, do you think that that's a safe place? If you get here at the crack of dawn, would it be okay [inaudible]? I mean, is it inconveniencing you? [inaudible] It's just a couple of boxes. [inaudible]

MALE: I get here by 7:30, 20 minutes to 8.

THE COURT: We start at 9:00 tomorrow.

MALE: [inaudible]

THE COURT: Okay.

MALE: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: We'll see you tomorrow.

[Whereupon, the hearing was concluded at 4:53 p.m.]

CERTIFICATE

STATE OF GEORGIA]
] SS.
COUNTY OF DOUGLAS]

I, PRISCILLA GARCIA, A COURT REPORTER IN THE STATE OF GEORGIA, DO HEREBY STATE THAT THE FOREGOING IS A TRUE AND ACCURATE TRANSCRIPT AS TAKEN DOWN BY ME AT THE TIME, PLACE, AND THE DATE HEREINBEFORE SET FORTH.

I DO FURTHER STATE THAT I AM NEITHER A RELATIVE NOR EMPLOYEE NOR ATTORNEY NOR COUNSEL OF ANY OF THE PARTIES TO THIS ACTION, AND THAT I AM NEITHER A RELATIVE NOR EMPLOYEE OF SUCH ATTORNEY OR COUNSEL, AND THAT I AM NOT FINANCIALLY INTERESTED IN THIS ACTION.

WITNESS MY HAND IN THE CITY OF DOUGLASVILLE, COUNTY OF DOUGLAS, STATE OF GEORGIA, ON THIS 28th DAY OF JANUARY 2019.

BY: Priscilla Garcia

PRISCILLA GARCIA, COURT REPORTER
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF GEORGIA

COMMISSION NO.: W-00379933
COMMISSION EXPIRES: 08/14/2022

CERTIFICATE NO.: 5503-2677-8304-9216
LICENSE EXPIRES: 04/01/2019

I, Chris Naaden, a transcriber, hereby declare under penalty of perjury that to the best of my ability the above 291 pages contain a full, true and correct transcription of the tape-recording that I received regarding the event listed on the caption on page 1.

I further declare that I have no interest in the event of the action.

January 28, 2019

Chris Naaden

(Transcript of Hearing Proceedings)

(

(C) 85:24
(C)(3) 85:24
(C)(4) 85:25

0

0.8 102:11 120:24

1

1 21:17,20 44:1,4,10, 11 45:20 52:11,15 54:6,8 57:2,11 99:4 101:2 102:2,24 103:11 105:11,15 107:25 111:25 112:1 175:22,24 249:4,20 250:1 287:25 288:11
1,012 126:6
1,828,566 26:17
1.3 107:6
1.4 101:13 107:10
1.5 107:4
1.7 107:18
1.8 107:15
10 100:24 136:11 143:1 273:20,22 274:15,18
10,000 175:22,24
10-minute 72:11
100 21:13, 17,20,22 22:10 32:17 125:17 152:25
101 205:22
10:25 60:8 72:13
10:37 72:15
11 273:20
117 194:6
11:00 185:4
11:41 82:20 129:25
12 273:20, 22
123,000 26:10 30:18 184:11
123,172 25:20
13 275:4
137 125:4, 6,8,11,13
14 273:22 274:17,18, 20 275:11, 15,17,24 276:2
144 194:6, 10
15 143:10 160:2
15,000 100:24
159 205:22 212:10 213:11
159,024 103:1
16 241:10
17 8:2 18:24 244:8,9
18 81:4 273:22 274:22 275:2,11, 18,20,22 276:1,4 281:22
1:00 129:20,22 130:1
1st 211:17

2

2 64:4 65:25 78:15 79:4 101:22 104:24 254:18
2.2 107:13
20 21:15 291:15
200,000 21:8
200- 254:22
2000 250:8
2002 102:2 224:1 239:22,24
2006 26:17 160:15 202:4
2007 62:2
2008 239:24
2009 36:11
2010 239:20 254:22 255:18 273:20

2011 250:8 251:1
2013 239:10
2014 120:15,18, 20 254:22 255:25 260:22 261:2,20, 22 274:22
2016 26:22 147:11 165:25 227:15 228:4,15 241:6 243:15 252:11
2017 37:20 148:4 211:15 227:15 228:15,25 244:4,15
2018 8:2 25:20 27:9 39:22 40:2,11 46:13,15, 18 62:4 81:24 89:13 90:15 94:13 98:18 99:6 102:2,4,6 116:6 119:8 124:6 127:13,20 153:11,22 154:2,22 157:6,22 165:18 166:8 168:11 170:24 202:6 212:2,6,11 224:18 226:20 228:24 229:15 230:22 231:13 241:17 244:13 247:11 248:6,15 249:4,20 250:1 252:22 254:22 255:18 256:6,11, 25 260:22 261:2,22 262:1 263:13 275:4 277:9 281:22
21-2-522 14:4
22 8:14 11:11 13:1 129:6
24 166:6
24-14-22 24:6
25 260:2
2:09 187:6
2:22 187:8
2:30 185:6

3

3 98:2,6 264:2
3- 212:2
3.9 105:10 107:25
30 49:20 51:1 135:15 289:1
31,000-something 103:2
31,532 102:17,20
31st 211:15
336,000 261:24
38 126:6
3:30 242:25
3:37 243:1

4

4 22:1 101:11 105:18 111:25 112:1,15 132:17 251:2 264:2
4.5 105:8 107:24,25
40-plus 251:13
402 290:6
410 126:20 127:1
45-plus 251:11
4:53 291:22

5

5 22:1 266:18
5,191,182 275:17
50 96:20
50.03 274:22
5th 133:17, 18 135:25 208:11

6

6 25:20 266:18
6,400,200 275:18
6,428,584 275:18
61.34 275:6
6th 62:24, 25 63:1,22 83:2 165:18 281:18

7

7 168:4,8, 15 246:15 273:6
702(b) 42:10 154:18
7:30 291:15
7th 62:22

8

8 21:15 102:15 143:1 243:8,9, 11,17,24 245:11,17 246:15 251:2 273:6 291:15
8,000 117:17

9

9 45:13 136:17 273:6
9.2 135:2, 6,11
9.2(c) 135:15
98,000 27:6 261:20
99 21:11 22:9
9:00 291:17
9:02 8:2
9th 136:24

A

a.m. 8:2 60:8 72:13,15 79:13 82:20 129:25
aberrant 20:25 21:2
aberration 173:25
ability 49:22 242:8 279:4
abnormal 205:22
Abrams 26:18 27:22 28:1 75:4,13 118:9 201:6
Abrams' 118:1
abridges 151:18
absentee 19:13 21:20 105:10 107:8,17 128:2 216:1
absolute 131:15
absolutely 45:9 61:10 230:8 286:11
abstract 174:6
abundantly 30:9 92:11
ac- 67:17
academic 141:6 144:1 156:18 160:10
Academies 168:9
Academy 156:22 160:22 168:18,20 170:2
accelerate 83:1
accelerated 135:22
accept 131:9 192:4,6
access 144:9 182:4 183:6 187:20 212:15 215:13 217:6 218:2 220:13 223:22 252:2 270:15 279:4
accessed 183:4,6
accessibility 240:6
accounted 267:2

accounts
157:11

accuracy
24:17
109:11
217:9
218:24
222:10
268:2

accurate
28:22 99:9
161:6
222:11

accurately
29:1
254:24

act 18:20
44:15
58:22
230:25

actions
259:10

active
218:20
266:9

activist
85:2

activities
81:4

activity
148:13

actual 33:15
55:13
64:13
85:11
92:13
117:4
152:17
159:25
170:18
189:18
203:11,13
204:13
207:15
216:4
219:10
225:22
227:4,6
237:11
247:11

add 27:20
97:9 173:8
205:2
271:18
283:9,17
285:11

added 27:13

adding
150:13

addition
18:18 25:6
28:2 30:20
42:13
141:8
147:4
155:1
260:18
265:18

additional
14:22
18:20
38:20
184:4

address 20:6
93:13 94:2
270:15

addressing
96:10
277:20

adherence
253:13

adjacent
166:2

adjusted
241:11
253:2

adjustment
253:2

administer
90:9

administration
39:6 90:8
91:25
153:2
172:20
277:9

admissibility
92:15
273:17

admissible
132:4
169:15
192:18
203:2

admission
70:8,9
91:18

admit 244:4,
13 245:8,
10

admitted
44:25 46:8
54:8 168:2
191:24
246:24
264:4
266:20
288:13

admonished
191:17

adopt 155:8

advance
213:2
215:24
218:22

advanced
105:9,11
107:4,13

adverse
181:10
259:17

advice 84:15
85:18

advises
93:15

affect
182:20
217:18

226:4

affected
30:2,4
228:4
231:18

affidavit
97:24 98:2
103:11,15

affidavits
178:22

affiliation
177:20

affirmative
30:13
143:25

afraid 110:2
202:1

afternoon
136:20
150:22

AG's 128:13

age 87:13

agencies
156:25
162:4

agents
157:17

aggravated
27:24

aging 159:20

agree 11:6
70:17
83:6,9
127:11
133:2
186:1
220:6

agreement
29:15

agriculture
117:9
123:1
281:25

ahead 13:13
20:15,20
38:17
41:20 45:9
54:9 58:17
68:2 69:13
71:11,13
81:11
89:17
112:8,24
129:15
132:6
143:2
164:20
182:2,15
186:2
191:6
234:4
236:15
281:20
289:2,4

ahistorical
27:4

air 249:6,
10

AJC 13:2,4,
6

akin 270:18

Alabama
151:20
249:15

alarmed
73:25

Alaska
187:22

albeit
170:10

Aletha 81:13

all-paper
151:8

allegation
48:15
115:10
221:4
238:11

allegations
14:11
15:20,22
28:9 37:4
162:18,20

allege 14:4

alleged
14:15
225:9
244:15

allegiance
139:8

Allen 63:1,4

allowed
68:18
123:25
180:11
184:6
226:4,11

alter 183:2,
22

altered
183:8,9

altering
183:15

alternative
22:15
175:4
183:15

amazing
81:18

Amazon 64:13

ambiguity
191:18

AME 63:1,4,
20 65:15
72:24
195:8

America
58:22

American
81:13

Amico 23:8
26:13,17
27:2,22,25
28:4 80:24
116:2,4
117:11,17

123:8,13
199:24
200:11
201:15,22
202:10,15
264:15
269:2,8
283:18

Amico's 30:8
200:2
235:2

amount 27:11
35:13
102:20
152:24
173:22

analogy
221:4

analyses
127:18
158:6

analysis
13:18 90:4
95:2 98:18
104:13
117:4
149:6
177:2
180:4,6
190:4,6
192:24
193:15,18
195:2,4,
10,13,17,
20 196:4,
6,10
197:22,25
199:22
202:22
229:10
232:24
233:2
249:15
260:18
280:18
283:11

analyst
43:20
45:13

analyze
37:20
86:15 99:1
117:4
261:8

analyzed
127:25

analyzing
88:2
266:22

angle 13:13

annex 290:6

anomalies
186:6
207:9
271:2

anomalous
205:18

anomaly
22:11
94:25

answering
33:24

answers
121:1
204:6

anticipated
68:20

anticipating
45:6,8

anticipation
53:15

apologies
45:6,10

apologize
82:6 93:9
134:18
163:20
197:11
249:2

app 63:11

apparent
170:22
186:6

apparently
223:24

appeared
73:25
242:4
264:15
265:20
281:17

appearing
175:24
224:15
281:15

appears
65:22
168:17
244:2,6

applicable
155:18

application
119:2
142:6
148:13

applications
142:11

applied 25:6
102:15

apply 17:4

appointed
77:24

approach
11:25

approached
70:4 86:11

approval
143:20

approximately
27:6
177:13

architectural
159:25

architecture
164:25

archive
183:11

284:15,17, 22 285:6

archives 285:2

area 71:17, 18 74:4 92:18 104:4 224:15 237:15 271:6

areas 87:22 94:2

argue 108:15 245:6 286:2

arguing 198:20 209:9

argument 13:22 24:1 33:11 92:8 151:15 198:20

arguments 14:22

arises 24:13

arising 241:2

arithmetic 22:25

arm 223:9

arms 74:2

arrangement 241:9

artful 226:25

Artfully 226:24

article 141:4

articles 115:8 141:2

asks 68:18

aspects 42:22

Aspen 35:4

assessing 195:13

assessment 166:17

assist 42:10 68:11,15, 18

assistance 10:15 42:18

assisted 149:10

assisting 59:13 70:22

assistive 54:24 55:6,9 56:6,9,11

assume 44:20 82:10 177:18 220:8 246:13

assumes 176:22 232:13

assuming 176:2 220:22 232:20 233:22

assumption 102:18

assurance 17:24

assure 58:11

assuring 262:15

Atlanta 34:18 63:4

atmosphere 246:22

attacked 245:20

attempt 15:6 190:24

attempted 49:22 168:22

attempting 49:17

attempts 191:13

attend 139:13

attended 25:8

attention 24:6 102:24 104:11 108:2 120:13 121:6 146:18 193:25 238:17 246:11 251:2 285:15

attorney 57:20 61:17,20 105:24 106:10,15 107:11 116:25 118:2 126:13,22 281:25

attorney's 53:2

attracted 99:18

attracting

220:13

audio 55:11, 20

audit 35:24 36:13,17 149:13 206:4,8,9 207:9,15

audited 35:22

auditing 36:20 149:9 207:10

audits 36:8, 10,15 140:25 141:11 149:11 158:8

author 246:20

authorized 25:13 40:17

availability 29:22

avenue 176:24

average 102:9 283:11

aware 24:24 117:8,18 118:2,8, 15,18 119:11 128:13 163:4 189:8,15, 17 197:4 199:18 201:13,20 203:11 218:22 219:22 220:1 226:18 240:22 242:15 259:11,22 260:2,4,8, 11 261:10

B

back 8:12 17:11,25 20:13 34:20,22 49:6 59:2, 15 66:11 68:15 69:6 72:6,8,13 75:6,20 76:18 79:9 102:24 112:18 123:20,22, 24 124:1,4 125:18 129:20,22, 25 130:18, 20 133:15 157:15 167:25 174:4 175:1 179:15,18 180:20 187:6 188:4 198:4 214:2 215:6 217:6 219:4 222:11 225:8 228:11 231:2 234:10 236:13 239:11,13 242:22,25 245:4 247:13 252:4 255:18 264:22 265:6 267:22 290:4

background 34:8 84:18 94:9 145:13,17 177:25

bad 22:25 161:13 204:15

Bailey's 118:2

Baines 63:15

balancing 232:6

ballot 19:13 22:1 27:13,15 29:25 30:2 37:10 38:2 41:13 55:11 68:6 70:22 71:20 74:4 77:2 90:4, 6 91:25 94:20 122:20,22 123:18 124:4 151:9,10 179:11 189:11 194:13 200:18,22 202:4 207:11 218:11 235:11 236:20 238:17,18 239:4,8, 10,11,13, 15,18,22, 24 240:1,

4,6,15,18
246:2
252:2,6,8,
11,20
253:6,8,
11,15
254:17,24
255:18
256:11,18
257:2,10
258:6,8,9,
13,15,17,
20 262:8,
17 263:25
264:15,20,
22,24
265:4,8
268:8
276:11,13
278:15
279:4,6
280:20
281:6,18,
22 282:4
283:15
287:17,22
288:2,6

ballot-marking
280:24

ballots
21:22
27:4,13,
17,18 28:2
30:4 33:11
37:2,13
38:13 43:4
55:11 67:2
149:15
167:6
174:11
188:22
189:1
194:15,18
199:11,18,
20 206:17,
22 207:11
214:15,20,
24 216:2
218:6
235:15
238:20
241:18
252:15
253:17
254:4,22
256:11
257:22
264:25
265:20
267:6
277:1,4
278:22
279:4
281:13
282:2

ballots'
214:18

bar 103:18
290:22

Barnes 147:9
157:18
164:4,6
209:25
210:10,15,
20 228:15,
22 230:17
243:4,24
245:15,18
251:11
252:4
254:13,15
276:20
279:22
282:10
286:18
287:11,13

Barnhard
176:25
177:1

Barron
16:18,20
147:10
157:18
164:4
289:6

Barrow
118:13
201:10

Barrow's
118:1

bars 105:4,
15

base
263:11,13,
18,20,22
264:10,11

based 14:2
17:11 34:9
37:4,15
38:1 43:15
69:18
87:15
94:1,4
109:22
119:15
128:1
155:10
165:18
166:22
169:8,11
173:15
176:4
177:20
179:20
186:17,18
198:11
206:6
214:2
219:18
244:11
269:24
276:8
282:13

baseline
124:13

basically
52:20
154:13
261:8
283:10

basis 61:20
147:2
163:15
171:2
172:6
174:13,17

199:17
205:20

bear 29:6
171:20
284:4

beer 220:13

began 35:9
37:20
248:24,25

begin 35:15
55:10
160:8

beginning
26:15

begins
215:20

behalf 12:22
14:24
16:17
25:18

behavior
194:20,22
199:1

belief
188:20
198:13

believes
109:24

Ben 235:6
269:6

bench 11:25
16:6

bending
136:18

Benjamin
65:20

Berkeley
132:18

Bernhard
134:17
137:10,18,
24 138:4,
15 150:2,
15,18,22
153:20
154:17
155:2,4
156:8,11
160:10
165:15
171:22
172:18
176:25
182:18
187:13,15
188:15,18
192:22
193:13
194:13
196:22
204:2,4
206:11

Bibb 229:2

big 49:17

bind 70:15

bit 21:11
25:6 84:18
93:22
115:17
121:2
139:8
146:2
147:25
150:8
157:15
167:25
173:6
174:4
175:2
183:6,20
189:24
204:22
207:10
231:2
245:4

black 25:2
130:20

Blackwell
12:18

blinks 283:4

blocks 64:15

board 9:13
28:18,24,
25 36:17
38:18 39:8
77:18
89:18
117:10
150:22
229:20
240:9

boards 36:20

boat 133:11

Boland
268:17

books 64:11
133:13

Boone 63:4

boot 142:4,
8,9 168:10
182:25
236:25

booting
183:20

borderline
93:8

bother
106:20

bottom 66:22
67:22
160:18
257:2,6,8,
11

Boulevard
63:4

box 13:11
25:2 50:6

boxes 291:15

brand 250:1,
2,4

brand-new
206:15

breach 26:11
188:8

break 72:10,
11 83:11
128:1

129:18
187:1
242:18

breakdown
73:13
226:10

breaks 87:10

bridge 8:13

briefed
183:24

briefly
14:25
51:22
77:20
113:10
127:6
128:8
131:24
138:20
151:13

briefs 20:25
111:15
181:11

Brill 83:13
84:1,6,11
86:8 87:4
89:11,15,
18,24
91:4,24
93:2,6,11
96:11,22
97:2,6,24
98:17
100:2,8
104:6
107:2
108:6,11,
22 110:8
111:1
113:13,15
114:6
120:4
121:10
127:9,11
128:11

Brill's
90:24
91:18 97:6
103:25

brimstone
270:4

bring 10:2
24:20
29:20
111:13
136:13
197:18
217:10
290:8,9

bringing
41:6

broad 231:4

broader
227:1

brought 15:8
17:11,13,
25 193:25
202:24

Broward
256:20,22,
25 257:10,
13,15
276:9,24,
25 277:2

Brown 9:13,
15,18,20,
22,24
10:1,4,6,
9,11,18,22
11:15,18
12:4,6,13
13:6,8
15:15
16:13,15,
25 17:2,
15,18
18:4,11,
13,18,22
19:2,6
20:8,11,
15,18,20
31:2,6,11,
22 32:24
33:1,4,20,
22 34:4,6
36:2,6
38:8 39:13
42:18,20
43:8,13,22
44:1,9
45:11,20
46:1,2,6,
9,10 48:2,
4,6,11,15,
18 49:2,6
50:9,11
51:8 54:4
57:2,6,9,
20,22
58:1,8,11,
13,18
59:2,6,8,
22 60:1,4,
10,15
61:4,22
63:25
64:6,10,
18,20,24,
25 65:24
66:8
69:15,22,
24 70:8,18
71:11,13
72:1,11,22
74:13,18,
20 79:6,
15,20 80:6
81:20
82:2,11,22
83:8,10,
11,13,18,
22 84:9
89:11 90:2
92:8,24
93:4,8
94:1,11,13
96:6,11,20
97:4,20,22
98:2,9,11,
15 100:2,
4,6 102:22
103:15,18
104:6
106:11,22,
25 108:2,
4,6,13,15,
20 109:6,

9,18,20
110:4,6,
13,17,20,
24,25
111:4,6,22
112:6,10,
15,20,22,
25 113:8
116:20
120:6
121:13,15,
22 122:2,4
124:2,25
125:20,22,
24 127:24
128:20,22
129:1,15,
22 130:10,
24 131:2,
24 132:1,
6,11,15
134:2,9,
15,18,22
135:18
137:2,6,9,
11 138:1,
15 139:13
140:15
145:4,9,
11,22
146:4,6,
11,15,18,
20 150:1,
11,25
151:25
153:13,15
154:10
155:10
156:4,10
158:20,24
159:1
162:22,25
163:2,18
164:13,15,
18,22
165:11,15
168:4
169:2,13,
18,20,24
170:1,9,
15,17
171:13,20
172:25
173:1,17
174:18
175:1,9,
11,13
176:17
177:2
181:9,18,
22,24
182:1,4,9,
13,17,18
184:18,20
185:1,11,
13,15
186:1,4,
15,20
187:1,4,15
188:11
190:18,22
191:8,10,
20 192:1,
10 195:18,
20 197:6
198:11
203:22,24
204:4
205:4,6,15
207:2,6,13
208:6,10,
13,15,17,
20,22,25
209:1,4,8,
13,15,20,
24 210:2,
18,22,24
220:24
221:1,8
225:18,20,
25 226:1,
17 227:2,8
228:13,20
230:18,20
231:8,10
232:17,22
234:2
236:2,4,6,
8,15,17
237:13,22
238:1,4,9,
11,15
242:17,20,
22 243:6,
18,22
244:4,9,
15,18,20,
24 245:4,
8,11,15
247:13
248:8,18
251:6,9,11
254:15
255:4
258:4
259:13,20
261:4,10
262:10
264:4
266:20
267:4
273:6,8,11
274:11
275:9
277:6,11
279:15,20,
25 282:9
284:4,13
286:2,4,
13,20,22
287:13
288:11,15,
17,20,22
289:1,4,6,
13

Bryan 14:24
29:15
38:18
77:18
89:18
150:22

build 85:18

building
236:11
240:2,22,
24

builds
221:22

built 160:1
203:17

221:22
240:25
241:8,9

bunch 93:24
232:20

burden 14:2
29:4,18
30:11,20

burned 215:2

Burwell 11:8
12:18,20,
22 13:13,
15 15:1
16:17,20,
24 28:18
54:13
56:20
58:24
59:10
70:13
78:15
82:15
91:15,17
119:25
135:1,6,13
203:20,22
279:17
288:13,15

business
34:13,15
46:2
85:11,13,
20

button
277:13
281:15
283:8
287:15,22
288:2,6

bypassed
258:4

C

C-L-E-R-C
61:10

calculate
102:9

calculated
100:10
233:2,8
234:13
265:24

calculating
102:2

calculation
100:9
101:8,9
102:1

calendar
8:10

calibrate
217:11

calibrated
223:24

calibration
217:24
224:10
277:15,17,
18,24
278:2,4
282:17,20,
25 283:4

California
103:18,22
104:1,8,9
109:4
160:18
187:22

call 24:6
27:18 31:2
60:10
79:15
83:4,13
86:18
99:20
130:15
132:18
134:10,15
136:22
137:9
148:22
184:1
209:24
241:4

called 15:18
18:6 31:17
35:20
36:15
55:11
60:24
67:11
68:15
73:18
77:25 80:1
84:2,13
121:24
137:20
166:4
182:11
210:11
212:20

calls 59:1
121:25
133:22
197:6
221:6
285:24

campaign
27:25 28:4
88:22
91:8,10
114:11,15
115:6
116:1
189:4

campaigns
85:2,20
86:4 122:6

cancelled
49:15

cancelling
50:20

candidacy
28:8 30:9

candidate
30:6 86:10
87:15 89:1
91:10
114:18
115:4
116:22
117:22

118:6,9,13
123:11
125:2,13
138:6,11
177:11,13
201:17
204:9,10,
11 219:24,
25 220:17
235:2
240:9,11,
13,18,20
272:6
278:25

candidate's
278:9

candidates
26:15,18,
20 28:4
36:18
39:11
86:18
113:2
117:9,11
122:24
123:6,18
199:10,13
200:4
201:18,24
202:9
204:11
214:1,13
218:11
219:20,22
221:22
231:20
239:2
240:11
241:2,10,
11,13,25
242:2
252:9
259:4
272:13,17
279:2,6

cane 68:6

canvas
36:15,20
104:22

capable
42:17

capacity
191:4
261:8,11

capital
61:10

capitol
33:13
145:2

caps 240:10

card 189:11
216:18,20,
22 217:2,
4,8 218:2
221:24,25
222:1,6
223:22
233:6
234:13,15
269:11

card's
217:20

cards 212:24
213:1,2
215:25
216:11,15,
22 222:2
266:11,13
267:1,25

care 132:2
167:18
208:11

career
34:13,15

careful
237:22
238:1

carefully
164:6

carelessness
166:13

Carolina
34:11
36:11
41:11
260:11

carry 29:10
30:20

cas 25:1

case 9:11,
22 10:15
12:2,6,11
13:18,24
14:4 15:2,
6,18,24
17:6,11
18:24,25
19:8,10,
11,20,22
22:13
23:22 24:2
25:1,22
26:11
27:17 29:6
30:17 33:9
34:4 41:24
91:18,20,
22 92:4
93:18
110:10
124:15
126:15
131:8,13
132:4
133:15
136:1,11,
18 138:9
143:13,15,
24 145:6,
24 146:6,
20 151:11,
13,22
152:1
154:17
155:2,6
156:13
161:22
164:15
169:22
176:9,20
182:15
185:6
197:9
198:15
200:18

208:11
225:13
237:18
253:18
255:15
265:20
266:4
272:22
288:24

cases 15:1
26:2
133:24
157:18

cast 23:13
70:22
73:11 74:4
75:4,15,20
76:2,8,24
86:17
94:20
100:11
101:11,13,
15 104:15,
17 109:11,
24 116:4
119:8
124:13,18
125:2
126:8
127:2
161:18
179:17
203:13
218:8
268:17
273:1
287:17,22
288:2,6

casual
230:13

categories
85:22
128:2

caught
172:11

caused 22:17
29:22 33:6
121:4
230:10
231:13

caution 24:1
237:13

cc'd 246:15

CD 215:2,4,
6,8,13

ceased
211:15

census 87:18

center
211:2,4
212:2,4,6
244:2
245:20
246:2
249:11
251:22

central
167:11

centralized
277:2

CEO 34:17

certification
229:8
280:4

certified
29:20 46:1
229:4
237:6,8
250:6
271:4,18
272:13,15,
17 273:17
282:13

CES 211:9

chain 213:6

chair 22:13

chairs 68:22

challenge
58:6

challenges
135:25
252:9

challenging
26:2

chance 13:2
22:4,6
33:2
106:20,22
111:24
131:13
136:25
175:17,22,
24

change 25:4
114:15,22
179:15
188:9
241:4
248:20
249:6
251:6

changed
249:20
250:22
251:4

character
93:18

characterize
190:24

charge 24:9,
13,20
128:24

charged
28:18

charitable
32:8

Charles
118:2

Charlotte
34:10

chart
103:11,13
104:24

check 68:6
100:22
102:20
271:10

checked

269:4
271:13

checking
265:18

Chicago
81:15

childcare
289:9

chip 284:1, 2,6 286:4, 10

chips 235:4

choice
179:11
290:13

choose
114:24
252:20

chose 27:25

chosen 19:18
25:25
136:8

Chris 83:13
86:8

Christopher
84:1,6,11
89:24 91:4
93:2
113:13
114:6
120:4
127:9
128:11

Church 63:2
72:24
195:8

circle
130:18

circumstance
230:4

circumstances
15:4 56:15
133:2
241:2
289:24

cite 143:24

cited 13:17
15:2
143:20
175:20

citing 179:4

citizen
35:11 62:9

citizens
28:20

civics 81:13

civil 15:22
18:20

claim 22:22
24:9,13
29:22 42:2
116:20

claimed
116:24

claims 29:6
30:15,24
151:20
206:22

clarification
16:25 17:4
237:15

clarify
17:22
22:20
66:18
252:6

Clarke
189:25
192:11
197:1,4,8, 13

class 144:18

Clay 243:11

clean 77:6

clear 12:6
15:18 19:4
23:15
25:22 26:6
29:6 30:9
37:11
92:11
106:11
169:10
176:20
195:15
209:18

clears
218:18

clerk 12:1

client 9:20
17:4
134:18

clients
9:15,18
85:18,22, 24 88:15
93:15 96:1
289:2

clock 130:18

close 29:11
70:20
275:13
280:4

closed 69:1
70:4 212:4
270:10,11, 15

closely
146:18

closest
213:24

closing
28:4,6
68:24
114:11
115:6
116:1

Cloudplayer
142:2

co-defendants
15:13

Coalition
10:13
32:2,4,6

33:6 36:24
43:20,22
44:15 52:4
99:2

Coalition's
33:22

COBB 8:1

code 15:6
42:15
157:1,4
178:9
204:15
282:22

code-level
237:11

coded 207:22

codes 179:6

coding
207:24
220:15
222:11

colleagues'
230:22

collect
141:18

collected
214:4
234:6
235:11,15
266:15
267:2
271:9
272:6,18

collecting
223:4

collection
223:10

collects
141:9
247:11

college
84:20
138:20

collegial
180:20

Colorado
35:6
36:11,15
149:11
187:22

column 99:13
101:4
239:13
257:2,8,9,
20 265:9

columns
99:13
101:25
239:9,11
265:6

combinational
214:20

comment
131:11

commission
113:18
117:10,15,
17 123:1

commissioner
94:20
117:11
123:2
281:25
282:1

committees
86:1,2

common
121:17
260:13

commonsense
24:15

communicating
270:20

communication
251:22

communications
244:2

community
162:6,13
163:6

company
84:13
115:9
142:2

company's
45:13

compare
95:20
104:13
126:11,22

compared
126:13
233:6

comparing
67:4
100:10,11

comparison
99:24
151:18
174:6

compel
18:15,17
180:24
209:13,20

compelled
25:13

compensation
52:6

compilation
40:15

complainant
224:2

complaint
14:11
15:22 74:8
223:6
264:18

complaints
74:11 77:9
223:4,8,
15,20,22
224:17,18,
20,22,24
277:8,9,10

complete
218:4

completed
214:15

completely
177:22
181:22

completeness
214:9,24

complexity
136:1

compliance
136:22

complicated
253:8

comply 129:6

component
75:11

components
144:13
227:20
231:15

comport 16:6

compound
153:13

compounds
165:8

compromise
145:8
245:15

compromised
145:2
166:6
246:8

computer
39:15
135:8
138:6,25
139:4,18
161:22
162:6,11,13 163:4
215:15
216:8
232:1,6
233:4
234:15
267:18
270:22
283:8

computers
142:6
270:20

concern
10:20 89:4
231:22
257:24
258:1
262:2
276:8
281:6,8,9

concerned
19:4 35:9
73:9
256:18
269:8

concerns
89:2
184:13
262:4
269:2

conclude
25:13

concluded
60:6 79:11
82:18
291:22

conclusion
15:8 25:11
38:6 59:2
161:1
166:20
197:6

conclusions
109:10
143:22

conduct
36:17 38:2
43:2
114:11,15
148:9
183:17
186:22
196:10
235:2

conducted
140:20
177:2
191:22
200:6

conducting
37:17

conference
135:4
184:1
291:4

conferencing
135:2

confidentiality 11:15

configuration
199:13,15,18 239:17,18 240:2
242:4
264:22

configured
27:13
199:13

configuring
215:20

confirm 60:1

confusing
258:20,24

confusion
27:11,15,20 195:20
241:15
262:2
276:11
280:25

Congressman
118:18

connect
145:10
282:22

connected
166:1
263:18
270:11
284:2

connection
34:11
142:13
156:13
270:11

connotation
148:8

consensus
160:25
162:13
163:1

considerable
35:13

considered
184:6
200:10
201:6
230:9

consistent
21:15
53:15
106:8
122:18

Constitution
131:20

constitutional
15:2 30:15
151:18
206:22
256:2

constructed
212:10,11
214:4,6
246:4

constructing
248:25

constructs
213:22
267:18

consultant
114:15
119:17
141:15

consulting
85:2

consumer
85:17

contact
87:20
215:6

contained
232:4
234:15
284:18

contemporaneous 63:6 66:2

content
222:4

contents
23:11
98:10,17

contest
14:20 15:2
19:10
21:6,15
30:18
57:24,25
59:17

contested
80:24 83:2
264:11

contests
124:11

context
81:11
115:15,20
122:6
135:20

continuance
15:4
112:17,20

CONTINUATION
72:18
187:11
243:2

continue
139:20

continued
68:13
233:15
250:13

contract
61:20
246:4

contrary
163:4
190:15

contribute
166:17

contributions
53:6

control 15:2

controls
285:11

convenient
129:17

conversation
11:13
69:15,17,
18

conversations
181:6

convicted
22:13

copied 64:15

copies 137:6
214:22
274:11

copy 44:6
45:11
57:4,6
64:18
98:11
103:15
137:6
183:20
245:13
250:6
252:22
267:18,22,
25

core 32:11,
13 85:13

corporation
28:10

correct 18:9

19:25
34:20
39:20,22
40:1,18,
20,22
41:4,13
45:11 47:4
50:20,25
53:1,8,15,
20 61:11
65:2,13,20
66:4 67:13
75:4,22
76:2,25
77:4 78:4
82:11 88:2
89:4,9
90:13,17,
18 91:9
95:10,13
98:20,24,
25 99:15,
18 100:18
101:20
103:2,4,6,
20 105:17,
20 106:17
110:15
113:22
114:17,20,
22 115:1,
11,15,22
116:1,2,6,
8,11,18
118:11,22
119:20
125:8
126:18
128:15,18
149:17
151:6,9,10
152:20,22
153:25
154:2,4
159:8,9
161:15
174:10
178:15
180:13
183:17,18
189:8,11,
13,15
190:1
192:25
193:1,15,
17 194:6,
11,15,17
195:11
196:2,4,6,
13,17,18
197:2,15,
24 198:4
199:8,20
200:8,11,
13 201:25
202:4,11,
18 204:20
206:6
207:2,4
212:17
213:13,18
216:10
226:4
227:11
233:1,11,
20 237:13
242:6
243:25
250:24
254:8
255:22,24
256:4,8,
15,17,18,
20,22
259:25
260:1
262:9,20,
22,25
263:1,2,4
265:15,18,
24,25
266:2
268:18,24,
25 269:9,
10,13,18
270:25
271:1,13,
15 272:13,
17 274:2,6
275:24,25
276:2,6,13
277:4
278:10,11
279:11
280:17
281:13
282:6
283:9,17,
18,22
285:13
286:24,25
287:2,4,6,
18 288:6,8

corrected
247:20

correctly
15:1 47:18
127:17
194:4
199:4
207:22
233:18
264:13
267:11
272:10

correctness
167:18
214:25

correlation
173:22

cost 53:2,4

coughed
102:18

counsel
15:1,20
64:1,11
98:11
151:25
194:2

count 26:20,
22 27:2
67:6
201:22

counted
203:15
272:6

counties
14:13

57:13 95:4
125:9
205:22,25
212:11,13
213:11,15,
18 217:9
229:2
231:22,25
246:1,6
249:24
251:20
252:1,15,
18,20
253:20,22
257:10,15,
17,18
262:24
264:15
271:6,13,
22 272:20
277:20
278:22
282:13

counting
207:11
268:18

country
141:10
163:10

counts 26:13

county 8:1
9:13 11:20
12:2,15,22
14:10,13,
25 15:20
16:17,24
28:18,20,
22 29:1,6,
15 38:18
70:10,11,
13,15
80:17,20
82:15
89:18
90:20
147:8
150:22
155:9
180:9
189:25
192:11
197:1,4,8,
13 211:8
212:9,13
213:9
214:2,4,22
215:1,2,
11,15,20
216:6
223:11
229:2
232:8
235:8
252:11,13,
20 253:9,
24 254:4
256:20,24,
25 257:10,
13,15,20
262:20
263:13
266:10
267:17,20,
22 269:4,6
271:10,20,
25 276:9,
25 278:4
289:8
290:22

county's
11:22
13:15
213:9
215:15
216:25

county-by-county
199:17

county-level
104:22

couple 22:20
38:20 67:6
89:20 91:2
164:6
291:15

court 8:6,8,
9,12,18,
20,22,24
9:2,4,8,
17,18,20,
22,25
10:2,4,8,
10,17,18
11:1,4,6,
9,11,17,
20,24
12:1,6,11,
13,18,20,
25 13:2,6,
11,13,17,
22 14:2,
13,22
15:11,15
16:4,11,
13,15,20,
22 17:1,
10,15,20
18:4,9,11,
17,20,22
19:4,17
20:6,9,11,
15,17,18
24:20
25:13,15
28:17
29:2,13,17
30:9 31:2,
4 32:22,25
33:2,18,
20,25
36:4,22
37:1
38:17,22
39:4
41:17,20
42:10,18,
25 44:8,
20,24
45:2,4,8,
18,22
46:1,4,6
48:2,4,13,
17,25
50:10
51:13,20
54:2,8
57:6,13,
20,22
58:4,9,13,

17 59:4,
18,25
60:4,11
61:9,18
63:2 64:22
66:6
69:13,20,
22 70:10,
17 71:8,
10,13
72:10,17
74:17,18,
22 79:9
81:2,8,11
82:10,13,
15 83:6,9,
11,13,15
89:17
90:22,25
91:15
92:2,4,17
93:18
94:4,11,17
97:13,20
98:13
101:24
102:18
103:17
104:4
105:2
106:6,18,
24 107:25
108:2,13,
18 109:4,
15,18
110:1,4,
11,15,18,
20 111:4,
6,8,17,20,
22 112:1,
4,6,13,18,
22,24
116:25
119:2,24
120:2
121:11,18,
20 122:1
124:22
125:6,9,
11,15,20,
22 128:20,
22 129:2,
6,8,10,13,
15,20
130:6,11,
17 131:1,
11,15,25
132:2,9,
13,22
133:10,15
134:6,11,
15,18,20,
24 135:1,
2,6,8,11,
13 136:4,
13,15
137:4,8,10
138:11,13
139:6,11
140:13,15
143:18,20
145:11,22
146:6,10,
13,15
150:6,13
151:13
153:15,18
154:6,9,
11,24
155:4,25
158:20
162:22
163:15
164:11,13,
18,20
165:9
168:2
169:6,17,
18,22
170:11
171:8,11,
15 172:22
173:6,10,
11 174:20
175:4,10,
11 176:10,
11,15
180:24,25
181:2,11,
20,22,25
182:2,6,
10,13
183:18,24
184:2,6,
18,22
185:2,13,
15,20
186:2,11,
18 187:4,
10 188:11,
25 190:11,
18 191:18,
22 192:2,
6,11,18
193:6,8,10
195:22
197:10
198:20
202:25
203:2,6,
20,22
205:4,13
206:15,20,
25 207:2,4
208:4,8,
11,13,15,
18,22,24
209:2,4,6,
11,13,18,
22 210:1
213:4
220:22,25
221:2,10,
13,15,20
225:15,18,
22 226:15,
22,24
227:4
228:6,18
231:4
232:18
234:1
236:1,2,4,
6,9
237:20,24
238:2,8,
10,13
242:18,20
243:9,17,
20 244:8,
11,17,18
245:1,6,

10,13
246:25
247:4,8,
18,22
248:2,10,
17 251:4,8
254:10
255:6,10
259:18
261:13,17
263:11,15
264:4,8
266:4,6,
20,24
270:6
273:6,10,
13 274:10,
11 276:15
279:15,18
282:8
284:11,13
286:1,15
288:13,15,
18,20,22
289:2,4,
11,13,18,
20,25
290:4,13,
17,22
291:1,4,9,
17,18,20

Court's
132:20
181:4

courtroom
17:9 18:2,
6 20:20
60:2 98:15
131:18
210:2
290:2,6

courts 24:17

covered
46:22

covering
13:6

crack
291:11,13

craft 88:18

Crawford
25:22

create 222:2
267:25

created
213:2
216:18
262:6
266:11
267:2

creates
189:11
221:24,25

creating
213:11
216:15

credential
78:1

credentials
46:22

credibility
58:6
154:15
156:2
173:10

criminal
22:11
148:9,13,
15

critical
251:11,13,
15

Crittenden's
131:4

cross 259:18

cross-examination
38:24
51:24
54:11
74:24
77:13
78:11 82:4
89:22 91:4
121:2
127:8
128:10
131:20
150:18
188:15
196:22
198:11
254:11
276:18

cross-examine
131:13
132:13
133:1,6,8,
15 169:1

crowded 10:4

cup 36:2

Curling
131:8
143:15,24
151:11
164:4

current
141:18
143:2
246:22
251:17

cursory
259:2

custody
213:6

CV 138:17

cyber 140:18

cycle 98:13
102:4

cycles 255:1

D

DA 93:20

damaging
183:2

dampen 28:6
115:13

danger 165:2

dark 130:18

data 40:10
85:17
88:18
95:11,13
101:18
103:8
109:11
141:9,18
167:6
173:15,18
182:25
183:2
200:2
212:18
215:13
222:6
233:4,6
235:24
237:11
240:2
246:2
251:20
252:13
285:2

database
207:22
211:6
212:10,13,
15,18,22,
25 213:4,
11,15,20,
22 214:4,
6,11,17
215:2,20,
22 216:6,
8,9,13
221:20
225:10,13
240:22,24
241:8,9
250:18,22
251:6,13
252:22,24
263:18
267:18,22
268:1
271:24

databases
85:13,15
95:8 96:4
187:17,20
228:4
249:22
266:10

date 135:15
217:13,15,
17,18,25
218:6
249:2

dates 243:20

David 120:15

Davis 9:1,2,
4,6

dawn
291:11,13

day 58:4
62:18,20
63:24 72:9
74:10,13
75:2 105:6
107:2,11,
22 114:20,
25 165:10
213:2
215:24
224:22,24
232:2
233:6
241:20
267:11
268:4,11,
20 287:2

days 18:24
28:4 62:20
114:11,17
115:6
116:1
135:15
136:13
180:13
267:15

de 46:24
47:4 50:2

deal 211:6
225:18

dealing 27:4
109:4,6
184:8
228:1

dealt
247:15,17,
24 248:15

dear 133:22

debated
145:2

debunked
37:22

decades
186:15

December
62:20
133:15,18
135:25
208:11
211:15

decide 10:22

decided
21:13,24
183:15

decides
122:25

decision
110:2
145:1
169:22
171:15
239:24

decision-making 24:17

decisions
30:6 185:8

deck 268:4,
15

declaration
136:11

decreased
165:18
166:20

decrypt
215:13

defeat 29:25

defect
22:17,18
161:10
165:17
173:2
177:17
180:2
184:9

defected
149:4

defective
19:9,11
20:22
22:18
23:6,13,18
24:4 25:13
145:15
158:13
159:4,15,
24 160:8,
9,11 161:9
163:6,9,10
170:18
174:22
178:20
179:2

defectiveness
19:11
159:22
162:8,15
171:22

defects
148:22
173:15,18
231:11

defendant
22:13
110:10
112:15
131:2
150:13
154:6

defendant's
25:1 52:15
65:25

defendants
22:11,22
23:20
24:18
29:17 70:9
157:18
237:17
289:13

defending
93:20

defense
52:11 54:6
132:22
134:11,24

defer 129:18
200:25

deficiencies
87:22

define
149:15

degree 47:22
139:18,20
140:2

degrees
39:15

Dekalb 14:13
271:13,20
290:22

delay 15:6

delivered
111:13

demand 12:4,
8,9 13:15,
20 14:6
15:4,18
16:4
135:20

demands
112:17

Democrat
115:6
116:6
177:13
178:6
219:15
220:10,11

Democratic
26:15,18,
20,22 28:6
75:6,20
76:18 78:2
115:11
117:9,11
118:13
125:2,15
177:11
201:6,22
202:9
204:9,10
240:13
259:4

Democrats
26:24
62:13
116:11,18
117:18,25
202:4,17

demographicall y 88:20

demographics
87:6,11,15

demonstrate
30:15,20

demonstrated
19:17
41:22

demonstrating
30:11,13

denied 15:4
18:17,20
19:1 49:22
57:20
209:13,17,
20

deny 29:13
226:11

depending
159:13

depends
81:13
122:6
130:10

deposition
136:6,8

deputy 60:13

describe
85:11,22
86:4 87:8
94:17
101:24
213:4

describing
252:8

design 29:25
30:2 90:4,
6 91:25
194:13,18
200:22
238:18
241:17
252:6,8
253:15
254:17,22,
24 256:18
258:13,15
260:18
276:10
280:20,22

designed
29:9 160:1
194:15
249:22

designing
240:6

designs
252:11

detail
147:25
176:20
183:20
204:22

detailed
15:22 82:4

detailing
214:18

details
97:11
115:22

detect 148:2
150:4,11
161:13
287:4,6

detected
161:10
233:11,20

determination
14:20

determine
85:18
88:15 92:4
102:13
103:20
125:1,4,25
148:15
149:2
154:25
180:2
227:20
231:11,17
235:4
279:11

determines
245:2

determining
13:18
42:10,17
148:20
164:17

develop
86:22
142:18
170:4

developed
96:13
147:24
148:1,2
159:2
163:8
249:24

development
149:22

device 55:6,
9 56:9,11
217:1,2,
10,13
222:1
234:13
235:20
268:2,4,13
270:11,13,
15 280:24
284:18

devices
54:24 56:6
215:22
233:2
234:17
235:20
237:6
246:2
268:11,13

devoted
35:15

diagnostic
218:4

diagnostics
217:22

Diebold 35:6
144:6
147:4
149:6
152:4,11,
20,22
156:18
158:11
159:6,18
160:17
205:9,11

diff 185:17

differed
264:24

difference
28:2
159:17
258:6
270:9

differences
162:13

differential
175:6

differentiatio
n 27:2

differently
35:8 223:2
226:24

difficulties

73:22
238:6

dip 30:8

direct 31:20
50:10 61:2
72:18 80:4
84:6
101:17
102:24
104:11
120:13
124:20
137:22
175:2
210:15
238:17
243:2,10
246:11
251:2
270:18
285:15

direction
63:11
146:13

directly
63:11 96:9
133:8
233:4
270:11

director
10:13 32:2
211:2

disabled
54:15,22
55:4,13,22
56:4 59:13

disagree
143:20
226:25
227:1

disclosing
96:15
98:10,17

discover
268:20
272:20,22

discovered
19:20
269:17

discovery
18:20
24:25
112:15
180:25
184:6
185:10
188:6
265:20
284:11

discrepancies
67:8

discrepancy
76:6,18

discretion
245:13

discrimination
28:11
115:10,11

discuss
17:20
92:13

discussed
230:20

discussing
264:24

discussion
162:4
230:15
258:11

discussions
258:6
262:1

dismissed
30:15

disparity
106:13

display
219:22
235:13
241:22
253:24
265:6

displayed
50:6 237:4
240:4
253:11,13
254:4

displaying
240:9
255:18

disposal
253:22

disqualified
18:6

disregard
163:15

dissertation
140:6,9

distance
40:18 42:8
47:17

distinction
19:20

distinguish
213:8

distinguished
227:10

distribute
167:6,13

distributed
200:24
205:20

distributing
251:20

distribution
218:20
246:1

district
111:25
143:15
177:4
214:18
220:4

districts
238:22

dive 158:10

divided
238:25

dividing
101:15

division
223:9
225:2
229:25

divorce 8:10

document
44:2,25
52:13 64:2
98:4 112:2
169:8
246:24
275:8

documentary
19:13

documents
169:13
271:17,18

donate 81:6

door 58:1
123:24
151:20

dots 145:10

double
100:22
102:20

double-check
117:13

doubt 19:9
22:20
23:13
25:15 26:4
29:22
30:22
109:11,24

doubts 33:10

down- 258:6

down-ballot
28:13 89:1
119:18
198:9
258:9

down-ticket
88:15

dramatic
25:25

drastically
194:1

drawing
150:6

DRE 25:9
37:22
38:11
39:20
40:2,20,22
41:1,2,6,
8,10 42:6,
22 47:24
54:15
56:18
59:11
68:24
73:13
90:11,15
128:1
144:2
150:2,10
151:15
154:1
158:11,15
159:2,6,
18,22
160:11
161:2,15
165:22
174:11
177:11
180:6
182:6,20
189:11,18,
20,22
196:11,15
203:13
205:9
208:2
212:24
213:1
216:4,13,
18,20
218:9
228:22
235:13
239:22
240:2,4
241:1,22
252:25
253:1,20,
22 255:11
259:2
277:1
278:24
279:10
280:24
283:20,22,
25

DRE's 30:4

DRES 47:15
56:6 92:1
144:4,6,18
145:13
147:15
148:2
149:2,6
150:4,11
151:1,4
152:2,4
154:13
155:2
158:6
160:22
161:18
162:9,15,
18 163:6
166:22
204:18
205:2
225:15
239:22
251:17

drives 216:4

drop-off
88:13,15

dropout
101:4

dropped
160:6

Drupal
166:4,6

duck 185:24

due 135:18

dueling
17:15

Dufort 9:11, 15,20
79:15,24
80:4,11
81:1,22

duly 31:18
60:25 80:2
84:4
137:20
210:13

Duncan 9:11
23:6 25:18
52:11,15
54:6,8
57:2,11
80:24
110:10
112:15
254:18
264:13
283:9

duties
261:13

Duval 9:11

Duvall 9:24, 25

dysfunctioning
282:20

E

earlier 9:1
90:2
101:17
113:17
114:25
136:11,13
190:10,20
195:1
206:4
212:6
269:15
277:6

early 21:20
46:18,22
47:6 62:18
105:9
114:20
128:2
157:2
191:2
290:6,18

earth 198:18

easier 48:4

easiest
252:17

east-west
27:18
265:17

easy 48:6

Ebay 144:11

educate
119:18

education
119:18
138:20
144:17
147:13

Edward
268:17

effect
204:15

efficient
87:1

effort 58:2
115:18

efforts
57:15

elderly 68:6
76:22

election
12:11
14:20
15:2,6
16:22
19:8,11, 18,22
20:22
22:18
23:6,13, 15,18 24:4
25:13,18, 24 26:2,4, 13,22
27:4,6
28:22
29:2,9,11, 18 30:1, 10,18,25
32:9,10
33:15,17
35:11,15, 17,24
36:8,11
37:1,6,9, 13 38:4
39:6,22
40:2 42:15
46:13,18, 22 57:24, 25 59:17
62:8,15, 18,20
80:13,24
81:4,25
82:22 83:2
85:15
86:11,15, 18,22,24
87:18
89:13
90:6,9,17
91:25
92:13
94:15
96:25
100:11,13, 20 102:4
105:6
107:2,11, 22 109:25
114:25
116:20
122:6
124:6,13
127:13
140:10,20, 24,25
141:1,6, 10,11,20
147:8,10, 11 151:18

153:2,6,
11,22
154:2,22
155:4
157:6,22
161:20
162:20
164:8
165:10,18
166:8
167:11
170:24
171:6
172:6,20
175:25
180:10
182:25
183:11
184:9
188:9
189:18
196:11
200:8,11
203:11,13
204:15
205:1
206:15,22
211:2,4
212:4,6,
11,18
213:2,22,
25 214:4,
6,11
215:20,24
216:20
218:13,20
222:22,24
223:10,11,
18,20,25
224:1,22,
24 225:2,
8,18,20
226:4,9,
10,20
227:4,10
228:24
229:17,18,
24 230:1,
2,4 232:2,
9 233:6
234:11
235:9,22,
24 236:18
239:10
240:8
241:17,20
244:2
245:20
246:2
248:6,15
249:8,11
253:9,17
254:25
258:4,20
261:22,24
262:13
263:13
267:11,15
268:4,6,
11,20
269:2
271:2,20,
22 272:1
273:20
274:1,4
277:8,22
280:9
281:11,13
284:15,17
285:2,4
287:2

election's
227:20
238:6

elections
9:13
28:18,24
29:9 35:4,
18,20
37:18
38:20 39:8
40:11
46:17 62:4
77:18
88:13
89:20
96:18
101:18
102:2
103:6,9
122:15
141:9
149:17
150:24
151:6
166:25
167:2
188:20
211:8
212:9,22
222:18,25
223:6,9
229:25
230:6
240:11
246:6
249:25
251:22

Elections.kennesaw.edu
251:25

elector
80:18

electoral
19:15 85:2

electorates
85:4

electric
22:13

electronic
21:6 22:1,
2 27:2,13,
17 28:2
32:13,18
33:4,11
35:2,10,20
37:10,17
38:13
39:15 73:4
105:13,18
106:13
164:2
174:8
199:13,15,
20 238:18
258:9
264:15,20,
24 276:11
277:25

282:4

electronically
104:15
276:11

electronics
282:22

element
259:10

eliminate
83:4 175:4
280:25

eliminates
22:13

else's
164:13

email 45:13
46:2
185:4,6
243:11
244:2
245:18
246:15,17

emailed
182:13

emails
243:15,25

embeds 19:18

employed
32:2 61:15
70:9,13
84:13
210:20

employee
289:8

employment
141:24

empty 291:6

encounter
235:17
241:6

encountered
223:1,11
224:9
269:24

encourages
122:15

encrypted
215:4

end 62:15
68:20
73:11
92:10
212:1
234:10
268:11

ended 35:11
253:20
257:4

engage 85:18
87:25

engaged
28:10
84:15 89:1
138:8

Engineering
168:10

English
266:13

ensconced
42:15

ensure 28:20
142:6
265:22

entail 95:6

enter 49:17
63:20
82:25

entered 44:2
52:13 64:2
98:4

entering
123:22
237:13

enthusiasm
28:6
115:13

entire
23:11,13
30:22
168:11
249:6

entirety
48:24

entitled
13:25
80:22 83:2
99:6 117:2
181:2

entitles
29:8

environment
222:17

EPROM 284:1,
2,6,15,18,
24 285:4
286:4,8,9

equal 253:22

equipment
179:2
206:2
212:8
222:15
229:2,4,6
253:20
268:1

erasable
286:4,6

error 172:4
178:4,11
179:6
207:24
218:25
220:15,18
230:10
242:6
278:8,13
286:24

errors 16:1
166:15
167:20
172:1
179:18
195:6,8,13
207:22
219:1,2
247:20

escape 24:18

essentially
86:13,18
102:2

establish
27:1 82:10
124:13
145:10
165:11

established
26:2 92:10
155:24
158:15
169:15
172:10
191:2

establishing
184:20
198:25

ethnicity
87:13

evaluated
164:9

evaluating
87:4 88:8
89:6
187:17

evaluation
89:13

evenly
205:20
220:15

Everest
160:18

everybody's
10:10
130:15
133:18
181:11

evidence
19:11,13,
17,22,24
20:2,20,
22,24
22:15
23:24
24:6,11,20
26:6
28:22,25
29:4,11,
20,24
30:11,15,
22 42:2
44:4,22
45:20 51:6
52:15
57:11 58:2
64:4,18
96:25
98:6,13
103:17
111:11,15
131:2,4,6,
9,15,18,22
132:1,2,11
156:1
161:18,20
162:2
165:6
169:18
172:20
175:2
176:22
183:8,9,
15,22
188:6
190:25
191:4
198:13
220:22
232:15
233:22
255:4

evidentiary
184:8

exact 100:25
102:20
119:13
126:18
158:24

examination
31:20
43:10
56:24
58:22 61:2
72:18 80:4
84:6 93:2
120:4
137:22
156:6
184:17
186:22
187:11
190:11
204:1
207:15
210:15
227:20
229:6
231:15
234:4
243:2
279:22
286:17
287:10

examine
134:22
180:15
229:4

examined
40:20
94:18,22
124:11
164:24
229:2
232:6
233:2,9

examining
96:18

examples
175:20

Excel 64:13
65:2,6,9,
11

exception
12:9 15:25
136:2

exceptionally
179:6

excuse 36:20
41:4 65:18
78:24
158:6

excused
60:2,4

128:22
288:20

excusing
60:2

executable
212:20

execute
249:24

executed
211:8
222:25

executive
10:13 32:2

exercise
146:15

Exhibit
44:1,4,10,
11 45:20
52:15
54:2,6
63:25 64:4
78:15 79:4
98:2,6
112:1,4,15
168:4
243:9,11,
24 245:9,
17 246:15
251:2
275:1,4

exhibits
264:2
266:18
273:4,10,
11,20
274:9

existed
28:13

exit 130:20

expect 21:13
200:22

expected
222:18
277:18

expedient
14:20

expedited
136:15

expenses
53:11

experience
35:1 39:18
50:2 84:18
85:11
87:4,9
88:1,2,4,
6,10 90:6
91:24 92:2
94:1,4
96:11,18
108:6
109:24
119:15
121:15
138:20
141:13
144:2,15
146:22
147:13,15
148:1
149:2,8
153:1
165:15
177:25
187:15
206:8

experienced
73:24
74:13
230:11

expert 17:2,
6 25:9,10
33:9,18
34:1 38:6
39:2
41:18,22
42:4,6,18,
22 43:1,2,
15 59:20
84:15 88:4
89:11
91:18
92:10,18,
20,22 94:8
96:22
97:15
108:11,13
123:24
138:9
143:11,13,
18 150:2,
10 151:11,
13 154:13,
17 155:11,
24 156:1,2
158:1,8
164:18,20
168:25
169:15
170:4
171:1,17
172:10,15,
18 173:2
174:17,24
177:15
179:1
191:4
195:11
198:4,18
280:18

expertise
41:22 42:6
43:6 47:22
92:11,18
93:25 94:6
96:13,18
97:6
121:24
146:22
147:2,15
148:2,20
165:17
179:22
194:13,17
206:4,8

experts
17:15,17
20:4
59:18,22
168:24
170:2
171:17
186:15
221:13

explain 23:1 30:8 51:6 64:1 68:2 93:11 99:13 105:2 119:2 131:4 151:13 164:6 176:9,11, 18 180:18 183:18 193:4 204:22 255:10 266:4,6 270:2,8 271:17

explaining 90:2 100:2

explanation 22:2,11,17 24:18 104:2 178:1 207:20 228:4 270:8

explanations 29:24

explicit 12:8

explore 121:1 147:25

exposed 165:2 228:15

exposure 165:6 227:15

Express 67:1 205:11 246:2

expression 211:11 213:17 234:24

extensive 184:4

extent 180:2

external 161:17 162:1 270:11

extra 274:11

extract 183:2

extracted 232:2 233:4 234:13

extreme 21:1

extremely 177:20

eye 133:8

eyesight 130:24

eyewitness 175:2

eyewitnesses 178:18

F

face 194:24

faced 183:13

facie 22:9 24:4

fact 13:9 17:11 27:4,10,11 28:8 29:25 30:15 32:15,18 33:13 35:4 42:10 63:20 116:9 117:15,24 118:8,11, 15 119:11 123:10 145:2,8 146:2 148:4 149:4 172:13 199:11 200:15 201:4,9, 13,22 202:15,25 248:13 258:11 259:11,24 274:1,4

fact-bound 15:22

factors 30:8 200:13,20

facts 12:10 15:20 91:20 145:20 155:6 176:22 220:6,22 232:13

factual 15:22 16:2 33:22 42:2 231:2

failed 14:4

fair 28:20 75:8,9 101:1 115:4 151:18 158:20 229:11,13

fairness 24:15 240:6

faith 198:13

familiar 37:15,18 54:15,18 55:13,15, 20 116:17

118:11
177:4
199:9,11,
15,18
200:2
201:2,4,9
225:9
227:15
234:24
287:20

familiarity
199:24

fashion
229:6

fast 106:6
236:8

fastidiousness
166:13

feasible
38:2

federal
58:24
143:18
212:9
246:6
253:13

feedback
222:18

feel 259:2
278:4

fees 53:2

feet 49:10

felt 224:13

FEMALE 13:4

fewer
117:11,25
123:6,13,
18 124:18
126:17
202:10
257:13
282:13

field 148:11
153:2
155:24
170:4
174:4

figure 68:25
87:24 95:2
201:11
221:18

figures
174:20

file 74:8,
11 86:24
88:18
135:15
167:6
184:4
212:18
215:13,15
235:9,11,
24,25
236:18
284:22
285:2

filed 11:18
12:15
110:10
136:11

files 85:15
214:18
246:2,10

filing 11:22

filings
18:24

final 109:11

find 87:22
103:24
106:1
122:8
124:9
126:4,15,
25 223:13
245:2

finder 155:6

fine 10:4,6
32:24
59:22 68:4
69:13
130:13
185:15

finger 71:15
74:4

finish 70:2
157:15
187:2
191:22
289:15

finished
41:20
70:22
205:18
264:22

fired 211:22

firm 115:8

firms 61:22

first-hand
39:20

firsthand
90:15
154:1

five- 118:17

fix 150:11

fixed 248:4
277:24

flaw 19:15

flaws 235:6

floor 236:10
247:20
290:6
291:1

floors
236:10

Florentine
270:4

Florida
160:20
257:22
260:9

focus 33:6,
22 85:20
146:18
238:20

focused

32:11,18
95:2 116:2
142:25
145:6
155:4
259:9

focusing
157:13
172:6

folks 116:11
118:20,22
130:15
169:1
264:25

follow 11:6
28:20
42:20
59:10
67:18
115:22
148:9
282:22

follow-up
57:4
154:10

font 240:10

forensic
148:11
149:6
160:15
171:6
177:15
180:4,6
181:13,17,
18 184:17
186:13,22
195:22
196:10
207:15
227:20
228:22
229:10
231:15
269:22

forensically
148:2,6
208:2

forensics
25:9

form 77:6
145:4

formally
211:9

format
189:11
239:10,11,
20 252:17,
18

formats
239:6

formed
169:22
262:15

forms 36:9

forward
28:15
29:20
30:13
83:13
280:22

forwarded
14:20
214:22
215:4

found 78:24
106:2,6
124:15
126:6,15
127:1
165:25
204:15
229:6
232:6
237:6

foundation
38:6 45:24
48:1,11
51:13
59:15
109:2
145:18,25
163:11
164:17
165:6,11
169:24
171:4
172:13,15,
17,18
177:1
184:20
228:2

founded
24:13

fourth 140:1
290:6

fraction
101:2

frame 241:22

framed
126:20

frankly 28:2
201:1

frantic 74:2

frequently
55:25 56:2

front 65:4
93:18
100:25
112:11
113:4,6
123:25
168:4
228:9
239:11,13
265:6
274:15

full 31:24
61:6 80:9
134:1
210:18

Fulton 9:11
11:6,20
12:2,15,22
13:15
14:25
15:20
16:17,24
28:18,20,
22 29:1,6
70:10,13
82:15 83:9

147:6
180:9
263:2,6
266:1,10
271:13,15,
20 289:8

function
90:11

fundamentally
24:15
151:17

funding
212:2

fundraising
52:22
53:15,18,
22

funds 81:6

future 230:6

G

gain 158:1

gained 96:17

game 86:20

gap 22:1
23:9 28:13
249:6,10

garbage
234:25

garden 29:8

garner 230:6

gave 81:6
151:13

Gay 245:18

GEMS
187:17,20
207:22
212:10,13,
15,18,22,
25 213:4,
11,15,20,
22 214:17
215:15
216:4,8,
13,17
221:20
232:1,4
233:4
234:13
238:20
239:4
249:9,17,
22 250:6,
9,10,18,
20,22,25
251:6,13
252:22
262:11
263:18
265:22
266:17
267:4,18
278:20

gender 87:13

general
39:22 40:2
48:13
81:24
90:15
100:20
105:24
106:10
107:11
116:25
118:2
126:13,22
153:6,11,
22 154:22
160:24
162:18,20
163:22
166:13
167:2,18
223:18
224:20
239:10
240:11
260:18
275:6
281:25

general's
106:15

generalize
179:22

Generalized
180:1

generally
35:2 38:11
54:18
55:4,8,11,
24 81:1,2
87:10
88:11
122:9,18,
24 125:18
142:22,24
144:2
145:22
146:25
150:2,10
151:2
155:2
158:13
159:4
160:11
163:13
199:18
205:9
227:17

generate
249:22
252:15
253:22

generated
278:22

generating
253:25

generation
141:20
143:2

gentleman
20:9 245:6

gentlemen
8:6

Geoff 9:11
25:18
112:15

geographic
87:17
88:18

Georgia 8:1

19:18
21:15,18
26:25
27:6,20
34:13,20
35:6 36:13
37:20
38:2,11,13
39:6,10,
13,22 40:2
41:2,11
42:15 43:2
46:17
55:10,18
56:10
62:13
80:15,18
81:22
90:11,15
91:9 92:11
94:15
95:15,18,
22 96:25
98:18
101:18
104:11,15
108:10
109:1,6
113:18,22,
24 116:11
118:18
120:15
127:13
138:22
139:6
142:17
143:4,6,
11,15
145:15
147:11,15
150:2
151:17
152:6,13,
15,22
153:6,11,
22 154:2,
18,20
157:6,11,
22 159:2,
22 161:2
162:18,20
163:13
164:2
165:1,22
166:18,24
170:22
184:10
186:6
188:2
189:9
196:11,15
200:18,24
201:11
203:10
205:20
206:9
207:8,18
211:6
212:9
237:9
241:20
250:6,11
251:1
255:13
258:25
259:2
260:20
261:22
267:17

Georgia's
26:11
37:15 38:1
54:15
119:6
146:22
147:6,11
151:15
163:9,22
164:8
165:18
166:25
167:11

germane
123:15

get all
130:4

give 33:2
34:2 84:15
93:22
103:15
106:20
121:2
130:13
146:13
160:13
163:15
166:4
215:11
217:4
219:2
220:4
237:10
239:25
285:15
289:2

giving 19:24
73:11
106:22

glad 203:6

glance 259:2

glass 187:2

glasses 64:9

Global
212:18,22

glue 160:4

gobs 208:18

good 8:6,7
9:8 11:13
32:4,6
35:2 36:24
38:18
41:25
43:20
44:15
77:18
89:18
145:24
150:22
179:6
185:22
198:13

Governance
32:4,6
36:25
43:20
44:15

governing

240:17

government
156:20,24

governmental
162:4

governor
15:10
21:22
25:18
71:2,15,
17,18,20,
24 94:20
95:22
98:20
100:18,22
101:4,11,
13,15
103:9
105:4,8
115:6
118:10
120:10,20
124:18
126:2,9,11
127:4
179:11
231:20
242:10,11,
13 253:18
254:24
255:22
256:2,11,
13 258:8
260:15
262:17
265:2,10,
13 268:18

governor's
19:10
21:6,11,
15,24 22:6
30:1 95:1
99:25
100:11
102:6,10
106:15
107:20
108:10
113:25
120:11
123:13
127:18,20
128:17
155:4
162:18
171:25
184:18
225:13
241:18,24
242:1
254:6,25
255:20
256:2,15
257:8
265:4,6
268:24
271:4,8
272:24
273:2
279:9
282:6,11
285:20,22

governors
260:2
265:13

graduate
62:1 84:20
139:1,2,13

graduated
138:22
140:2

graduating
84:25 85:6

Grady 195:6

grandson
133:22

Granted
26:18

gray 255:15

great 10:15
26:9 153:1
184:10

greater
27:11
147:25
165:2
176:20
183:20
204:22
276:11

Greenwald
43:18,20
44:11
45:15

grid
283:10,11

Groban 290:6

Groton 12:1

group 168:24

guess 11:2
12:2 26:6
54:6 141:4
185:20
189:20
229:10
233:22
273:15
286:6

guidelines
240:6

guilt 22:15

Gwinnett
9:13 11:6
14:25
15:17
29:15
38:18
77:18 83:6
89:18
90:20
150:22
155:9
252:20
253:4,9
263:2,6,9,
13 266:2,
10 271:13,
20 287:25
288:11

H

habit 81:18

habits 81:11

hack 270:24

hacking
225:9,20, 22 226:2, 20 227:6,9 270:1

half 182:15

hand 31:6
37:10
43:22
60:15
63:25 64:8
79:17
83:18
104:15
137:11
210:2
243:6
287:24

hand-marked
188:20,22
189:1

handed 64:11
65:4

hands 130:20

hands-on
39:17
144:1
149:2

handwritten
151:10

happen 49:15
86:20
131:20
175:22
176:20
178:2
181:25
221:9

happened
20:22
21:4,22
22:4 26:6
30:13 68:9
70:4 74:1
86:15,20
92:20,25
127:20
161:13
174:22,24
176:18
211:18
221:8
222:13
228:8
229:22
256:20,22

happening
30:2 49:18
69:18,20
97:4
127:18
175:17
233:24

happy 195:24
289:9
290:20

hard 19:13, 17 30:11, 22 47:11
64:8 82:9

hard-to-reach
85:4

hardware
147:20
158:18
159:11,20
250:2,4

Hart 25:22

HAVA 58:18

He'll 33:2

head 40:6
102:11

headed 112:9
115:9

header
241:15

headquartered
34:18

headquarters
34:10

hear 12:2,4
14:17 80:8
139:6
155:15
188:6
208:13
223:25
280:1,6

heard 14:18
134:11
136:25
154:11
158:17
169:10
176:10
180:24,25
184:2
192:11
224:1,20
235:1
280:20

hearing
20:13,20
110:13,15
133:13
135:17
136:17
208:18
232:13
291:22

hearsay
45:22
69:11,20
70:6 71:8
131:15
132:4,13
170:10,11
181:6,8,10
190:13
230:17
261:4,11

heavily
220:4,9,11

heavy 29:4
220:11

helped 36:13

helpful
217:18
270:6

helping 10:13 90:8 141:11

helps 43:4

Henderson 13:18 14:4

Henry 14:13

high 29:18 53:11 81:13 122:13 124:15 127:13 194:25 195:6 275:20

high-profile 118:9,13

higher 26:20 103:22 104:1 122:15 123:1,2 282:4,11

highest 102:6 104:9 271:8 273:1

highly 174:2

Hill 235:8 269:6

hint 19:25

hints 20:2

historic 113:15

historical 95:13 102:13 103:2

Historically 21:13

history 21:18 270:4

hit 281:15

Hm 171:8

Hmm 110:18

hoc 148:13

holding 268:6

holds 160:4

hole 188:4

home 69:6 290:20

honor 8:7 9:18 10:1, 18 11:8, 15,18 12:6 13:8,15 14:8,18,24 15:13,15 16:4,10, 13,15,17, 25 17:18, 22 18:4, 13,18 19:6 20:4,13, 15,24 21:8 22:8,22 23:1,2,4, 25 24:15, 22 25:2,4, 15,17 26:4 27:4 28:11,15, 18 29:15 30:15 31:2 32:20 33:8,22 36:1,2 38:4,8 41:18 42:4,17,20 43:8 44:6, 18 45:4,6, 10,20,22 46:2,6 47:22 48:2,10,11 50:4 51:8, 11 54:1,4 57:6,18 58:1,11,15 59:1,6,13, 22 60:1,4, 10 64:20, 24 65:24 69:10 70:13,18 71:6 72:11 74:13,18 79:8 82:2, 25 83:4,8, 11 89:11 90:20,24 91:2,18 92:6,8,15 93:8 94:11 96:6,20 97:1,10,20 100:1,6 103:15,25 106:18,22 108:2,4, 11,17,20 109:2,13, 18,20 110:4,17, 24 111:2, 6,11,18 112:6 113:10 116:20 119:25 121:9,22 123:20 124:2,24 127:6 129:1,11, 15,22 130:2 131:2,11, 24 132:6, 17,24 133:11 134:2,9, 13,15,18, 25 135:1, 13,18,24 136:4,11 137:2,9 144:20,22 145:4,9,

17,22
146:4,6,8
150:1,15
153:13
154:8,15
155:8
156:4
158:13,20,
24 162:15,
22 163:11
164:18
165:4
168:22
169:2,13
170:9,15
171:6
172:9,18
173:4
174:15,18
175:1
176:13,22
180:22
181:4,18,
24 182:1
183:22
184:15,20
185:1,11
186:1,10
187:1,4
188:4,11
190:8,22
191:20
192:1
195:20
198:11
202:20
203:4,22,
24 205:15
206:11,18
208:10,13,
20,22
209:6,8,
20,24
220:20
221:4,6,
11,15
225:11,17,
20 226:6,
15,20
227:2,24
228:13,17
230:18
233:22
236:15
237:13
238:11
242:17,22,
24 244:4,
6,15
246:22
247:2,15
248:13,18
251:6
255:2,4
259:13,15,
20 261:4,
6,10,11,
15,18
264:4
266:18,20
273:4,13,
15 274:9,
11,13,25
275:1
276:15,17
279:20
282:6
284:4,10
285:24
288:11,20
289:4,13,
15,20
291:20

Honor's 17:4
24:6

hotline
178:24

hour 289:6,
11

hours 36:20
166:6

house 245:25

housed 211:9
245:22
251:25

houses 249:8

How'd 8:24

huge 21:9
150:6

hundred
133:4

hundreds
36:20

Huntsville
249:15

hypo 220:4

hypothesis
149:15
175:20

hypothesized
280:6

hypothetical
221:10,13
230:24

I

ID 118:20,
22 215:6
216:22

idea 85:10
130:13
155:15
166:4
196:15
236:1

ideas 93:24
94:6

identical
155:22
159:10,13

identically
233:6

identification
200:2

identified
57:11
167:2

identify
10:2 55:4
111:1
158:22
243:17,20
254:20

263:9,10
275:2

identifying
148:22

image 221:25
235:10
241:4
253:22

images 232:6
235:11
255:17

immediately
72:4
141:25

impact 113:2
115:11
198:6
200:13
201:18
244:15
257:22

impacted
257:25
258:13

impacting
201:18

impanel
14:15

impaneled
14:11

implementation
159:25

imply 148:11

important
24:15 79:2
93:10
118:20

impossible
203:17

impress
51:17

in- 21:20

in-person
54:22
213:2

inadvertent
262:6

inadvertently
27:15

inappropriate
14:6

inaudible
9:4,9
11:10 13:2
16:18
17:13
25:15
28:17
32:20,22
35:18
38:15 42:4
44:8 45:2
48:11 51:4
54:2,8
59:4 61:13
63:18
64:6,9
66:6,13
67:13
69:11,13
70:11
74:17,18
75:17,22,
25 81:8
82:4,13,24
83:15,17
93:18
100:13
109:18
112:8
115:4,10,
17,20
116:13,15
119:24
120:1,2
124:1,22
125:11,22
127:4
128:25
129:2,6,8,
9,11,13
130:25
132:4
134:13
135:4,13
136:9,11,
13 140:13,
15 141:1,
11 143:18,
24 145:17,
18 146:6
149:22
151:20
153:8,15
154:15
156:4,20,
22 158:4,
6,8,15
160:18
162:20,24
163:13
164:20
165:8,9
166:2
169:4
171:4,13
172:2
173:25
174:13,20
175:20
176:24
180:10
182:11
184:4,13,
18 187:10
188:18,24,
25 189:1,
13,22
190:20,24
191:20
192:6,10,
17,18
195:6,22
197:20,24
198:1
200:13
202:8,13
203:1,11
207:13
218:13
225:11
227:6
232:22
233:15

235:22
236:6,15
237:6,18
238:1,2,13
242:15,20
243:8,15,
22,25
244:1,10,
11,15,18,
22 245:8,
13,18
246:13,15,
18,25
247:1,6,
11,13,15,
22 248:1,
2,4,6,15
249:4,15,
18 251:2,
9,10
253:4,20
254:22
255:1,6,8
257:25
259:13,15,
17,18
261:6
263:4,8,10
264:2
266:6,13,
17,18
270:22
271:15
272:22
273:6,9,
11,13,15,
18,25
274:4,6,
13,24,25
275:2,22
276:22
277:2,13
278:2
279:10,11
280:2
281:4,20
282:24
283:11,17
284:11,18
285:25
286:1,2,6,
10,11,13,
15,20,22
287:13,17
288:2,15,
22 289:8,
10,15,17,
22 290:1,
2,11,15,
20,22
291:2,6,8,
9,10,13,
15,18

incidence
66:20

incident
66:22,24
67:13,15
228:4
244:6

incidents
78:18,20,
22

inclined
22:9

include
78:18
147:18
257:6

included
214:11
218:18
239:15

includes
8:10
182:22
272:15,18

including
157:18
272:8

inconveniencin g 291:13

incorrect
40:4 111:2

incorrectly
233:11

increase
119:13
122:22
145:13
165:22
276:4

increased
165:18,20
166:20

incredibly
166:2
176:8
177:22
200:17,18

incredulous
74:6

incumbent
128:15

incurred
178:11

independence
161:13,22

independent
117:20
160:20

indicating
143:20

indications
50:22

indirectly
167:13

individual
11:11
85:15
87:11
97:11
107:1
157:15
202:22
214:22
216:10
232:2
233:2
239:6
240:1
259:10
263:24
268:6
271:24

272:6
281:11
283:22

individuals
27:15
118:20

indulgence
136:2

industry
180:20

ineligible
19:15

infected
227:22
231:18

inference
198:13

inferior
24:11

infiltrated
246:10

inflammatory
58:4

influx 198:8

information
13:22
59:20 70:1
85:17
87:17
95:6,18
96:4 99:10
103:11
104:18
109:15
122:13
169:4,11,
15 170:6
214:4
215:22
216:13,17
232:2
236:11
239:4
245:24
249:11
252:2,4
266:15
284:17
287:15

informed
76:4

informs
199:4

infrequent
122:15

inherent
151:15
166:22

inherently
161:9

initial
17:13
278:2

initially
18:13
232:8
239:20

innocent
178:13
207:20

input 268:4

inputting
218:6

inquiry
93:11

ins 14:18

inserted
217:8

inserting
218:4

inside
148:24

inspect
182:18
208:2
284:9

inspected
153:10,20
154:2,22
235:6
249:18

inspection
155:13

inspects
215:15

install
237:6
252:24

installation
249:17,18

instance
14:11 29:4
63:15
73:15
87:11
115:4,25
117:8
118:17
189:9,15,
17 199:11
201:11
223:13
256:20
259:24
260:8
267:11
272:20

instances
50:18
59:11
173:2
179:24
233:9

instruct
216:9
219:4

instructing
268:8

instructions
257:4,11,
20 258:2

insufficient
13:17

insulted
130:24

insurance
117:11

123:2
282:1

integrity
32:10
140:10

intend 10:20
17:8

intended
39:4 71:4

intensely
43:4

intent
135:15

intention
58:11
111:13
135:4

intentionally
23:20

interact
270:17

interacting
280:22

interaction
259:1
270:18

interactions
186:17,18

interacts
278:4

interest
81:1,2
142:18

interested
35:4 81:13

interesting
22:6

internal
150:2
180:15
181:20
182:4,22
183:11,20
235:9
236:22
249:1
284:18,20,
22 285:2,6
287:18

internet
165:2,6
166:2
167:8
168:13
228:15
270:18,22

internship
142:1

interpreted
167:4

interpreting
231:6

interprets
259:6

interrupt
38:9 251:2

interrupting
249:2

interviewing
164:2

intimate
97:11

introduce
45:20
65:24 81:2
132:20

introduced
57:11
132:2,4
235:20

introducing
58:2

introductory
131:2
140:18

investigate
186:6
227:9
228:9

investigated
24:20
173:4

investigation
148:11
163:20
171:6
181:13
183:17
186:13
191:24
192:9
199:6
226:18
229:15,18,
22 231:11
235:4
247:1

invoke 10:20

invoked
16:10,11

involve 89:6

involved
35:22 36:9
39:6 41:24
151:22
205:13
214:2
222:15
272:1

involving
120:15
203:11
237:18

IP 270:15

iphone
63:10,13

irregularities
268:20

irregularity
29:8,20
30:22

irrelevant
33:18

issue 13:24
15:17 19:8
20:4 30:18
42:10,11,

13 45:25
67:1,9
87:15
91:20,22
92:2,4
93:8,15
154:13,25
155:2,4,8
182:11
183:22
184:11,25
204:25
206:17
208:22
224:10
225:13
226:8,13
227:17
230:18
243:15
248:6,15
264:22
277:15,17,
18 282:17
289:9

issued
160:20
209:11
249:24

issues 14:6,
9,17 16:2
32:11,13
33:6,18
41:22
42:11
57:18 73:4
74:13
91:20
93:13
136:17
151:13
158:2
179:2,9
230:13
235:17
237:18
253:6
277:13

items 131:2

J

jail 133:24

January 8:2
45:13
136:17,24
211:17
212:2
249:4,20
250:1

Jeanne 9:11,
15,20
79:15,24
80:4,11

job 11:13
75:20
256:18

John 201:10

Johnson
63:15

join 92:6

joined 15:13

Jordan
129:9,11

Joseph 63:4

judge 12:24
13:1 35:11
93:18,20
172:15
205:2
236:11,13,
15 256:22
267:13
290:1

judgment
237:20

jump 237:24

jumped
238:10

jumping
146:15

juries 14:15

jurisdiction
214:15
215:4
218:6

jurisdictions
14:17
260:6

juror 12:4

jury 12:8,
9,10
13:10,11,
15,18
14:1,6,10,
15 15:2,
22,24 16:4
135:20
290:18

Juvenile
8:12

K

Kauffman
8:22,23
9:1

Kaye 12:22

Kemp 131:8
143:15,24
151:11
164:4

Kennesaw
211:10,15,
22 222:20
227:15
244:2,6,9
246:4
248:15,20,
22

key 29:17

kind 8:11
68:6 74:2
76:6 85:20
86:2,4,22
87:15
88:18
95:2,4,25
97:18
111:9
122:20
161:2

168:22
169:4,15
170:10
172:10,17
178:11
186:22
188:8
198:4
199:22
200:2,6,10
231:4
238:18
246:20
247:8
269:18

kinds 90:2
133:24
141:20
261:17

knew 191:11
199:25
224:8

know- 133:18

knowledge
39:20
43:17
90:11,15
94:2,4
97:11
115:24
144:2,15
146:22
147:4
149:20
154:1,18
155:11,13
158:1
164:17,18
181:20
186:18
199:1
219:18
221:11,18
230:13
241:20
254:2,4
276:9

knowledgeable
93:17
256:20

L

L-E- 61:10

lab 144:6
152:4,20
154:20
249:15

labels
216:22
217:1

labor 94:22
117:17

lack 30:6
163:11
166:11
167:18
211:10
213:15
214:15
228:2

ladies 8:6
133:22

lady 68:6

lagging
200:22

laid 38:6
59:15
109:2
172:13,15
174:15

Lamb
164:10,13
165:25

language
58:4 111:9
253:10

languages
141:22
253:6,11

laptop 129:4
134:20,22

large 22:4
65:6 198:8
241:2

large- 64:11

large-print
65:8

larger
275:22
276:1

Lasix 64:22

lasted 68:20

late 250:8,
11 251:1

latitude
34:4

law 24:15
25:22
28:20 34:2
58:25
61:24
92:11

laws 13:24

lawsuit
197:4,11,
15 205:1
262:15,25

lawyer 17:24

lawyer's
36:4

lawyering
185:22

lawyers
11:13

lay 42:1
44:22
45:24
145:25
171:4
172:13
177:1
219:20
238:20

layout 164:6
222:4
239:6
252:18
257:15
258:20
262:6

265:2

lays 239:8

LBJ 63:11, 13 65:2,13

lead 220:11 221:4 235:18

leading 96:6 100:2 106:18 155:24,25 156:2 158:8 166:8 226:22 259:13,15 267:15 282:6

leads 173:18

learn 230:2, 4

learned 132:25 260:4

leave 50:18

leaving 47:11 165:2

Leclerc 60:11,22 61:2,6,11, 13,15 65:1,17 66:9 71:13 72:20,22 73:22 74:15,20, 25 75:2 77:15,18 78:13,15

Leclerc's 69:11

led 178:18 191:18 276:11

left 30:17 68:13 167:8 181:13 265:8,9 291:6

left-hand 241:24

left-leaning 205:25

legal 38:6 53:2 59:2 197:6

legally 144:11

legislator 206:13

Legislature 15:9

legitimate 22:2

letter 45:18 231:20,22, 24 235:2

level 53:11 122:20 151:17 166:18 167:15 200:22 232:11 249:9

leverage 88:20

Lexisnexus 135:11

León 46:25 47:4 50:2

libertarian 36:18 39:13 46:20 123:11 219:15

Libertarians 285:20

library 46:25 47:4 49:20

lieutenant 15:10 19:10 21:6,11, 15,22,24 22:6 25:18 71:2,15, 17,18,20, 24 95:1,22 98:18 101:11 102:6,10 103:9 105:4,8 106:15 107:20 108:9 115:6 120:10,20 124:18 126:2,9,11 127:2 128:17 155:4 162:18 171:25 179:11 184:18 225:13 231:20 241:18 242:1,11, 13 253:17 254:6,25 255:22 256:2,13 258:8 260:2,15 262:15 265:4,10, 13 268:24 271:2,6 272:24 273:2 279:9 282:6,11 285:18,22

life 21:9
182:15

lifelong
81:11,18

light 130:20

lightly 26:1

likability
200:20

likelihood
145:13
165:17
175:15

limited 42:8
87:2 131:4

limiting
149:13

Lindsay
45:8,22
59:1,13
132:13
197:10

Lindsey
10:24
11:2,4,10
13:9
15:11,13
16:9 17:6,
11,22
18:8,10
25:15,17
32:20 33:8
42:4,20
44:18,20
45:2,4,6,
10,22
47:22
48:10 50:4
51:22
52:2,11,17
54:1,6
58:1,11
64:6,9,11,
15,18,20
65:4 66:2,
6 69:10
70:6,11
71:6,9
75:2 82:2,
6 83:6
91:2,6,13
92:6 97:9
100:1
111:2,4,
11,18,20
114:4,9
117:2,6
119:4,22
120:9
123:4
124:6
128:8,13,
20 130:2,
6,13,22
131:11
132:24
136:4
144:20,22
145:6,17
154:8
155:8
162:15
171:6,9,13
172:9
173:4,6,
11,13
174:15
176:13
181:4
183:22
185:20
186:10
188:4
190:8,10,
20 191:6,
9,13,20,22
192:15,18
196:25
202:20
203:1,4,6,
8,9,20
205:2
209:6,17
220:20
221:2,11,
15 225:17
226:6,15,
20,22
227:2,24
246:22
247:2,6,9,
13,22,24
248:2,8,
11,13,17,
18 250:22
255:8
259:15,20,
22 261:6,
11,15,18,
20 263:6
264:2,6
266:18,22
268:17
273:4,9,
11,13,24
274:9,13,
15,25
275:8,10
276:15,24
279:13
284:10
285:24

Lindsey's
285:15

lines 47:10
50:1 56:1
100:24

lining 259:4

link 119:1

list 18:25
150:6
167:4

listed
231:22
240:13
264:11
272:6
279:2,6

listen 43:4
111:11
156:4
185:13

listening
43:2 55:11

literally
30:13
289:1

literature 156:17 160:11 162:11 171:4 194:18 199:2

litigat- 36:25

litigation 37:1 80:15

live 21:20 80:15 130:11 133:1,2,15 172:24

lived 34:20, 22

living 96:1

LMA 222:22 278:2

load 268:1

loaded 215:13,18 216:8 217:20 222:1 267:22

loader 182:25 237:1

lobbies 11:11

local 85:20 215:4,15 238:22

located 62:22 197:1 274:2

location 46:25 47:4,8 49:22 63:22 65:15 67:4 73:13 176:13 216:11,15 218:22 220:9,10 221:24 222:2 252:1 283:2

locations 40:11 47:2 55:18,20 62:20 215:22 239:4,13 266:11 270:20

locked 290:17

Logan 164:10,13 165:25

logic 217:9 218:13,18, 24 222:10 268:2

logical 222:11

logistically 129:15

logistics 288:25

logs 179:6

long 17:24 47:10 48:18 49:4 50:1 56:1 82:22 103:18 129:17

longer 68:20 131:13 253:4 288:24

looked 18:22 40:9 94:17,24 97:2 104:8 113:15 119:6 120:9 128:4 165:4 185:8 189:6 195:11 203:2 229:22 230:6 235:11,13, 22

loop 275:13

loss 35:17 36:10

lost 23:11 24:2 35:15 137:6

lot 28:4 36:25 37:2 42:20 59:20 87:22 96:4,8 97:2 119:18 132:25 158:20 224:22 227:15 252:9 253:10 285:20

lots 171:18

loud 169:10

love 248:8

low 176:8

lower 101:11 174:11

luck 290:2

lunch 129:18,20

lurking 155:15

Lyndon 63:15

M

M-42 291:9

machine
22:17,18
24:18
39:20
40:24
41:1,2,8,
10 42:11
49:18
50:4,18
51:4,11
55:13,20
56:11,13
67:6 68:8,
11,13,17,
24 69:1
70:2,4,20
71:20
72:2,6
73:18,20
90:15
93:15
144:2
154:2
158:11,13,
15 165:22
172:11
174:1,2
176:4,6
177:11,22
178:6,11
182:6,20,
22 183:1
190:11
194:1,6,10
195:6
196:11,15
203:13,17
208:2
212:24
216:4,6,
18,22
217:4,6,15
220:11
222:4,6
228:22
232:4
233:4,10,
13,18
234:6,9,
10,18,20
235:10
236:2,4,6
237:4
242:4
265:22
266:17
277:18
279:10

machine's
67:6 235:8

machine-level
235:4

machines
23:11,22
24:22
25:10
38:11
39:15
40:2,4,8,
13,17,20
41:6 42:6
47:24
48:8,20
49:2,4,13
50:1,22
54:17
55:25 56:2
67:2,4
73:13,15
90:11
128:1
142:11,13,
15 143:11
144:11
145:22
146:2,18,
24 148:4
152:11,20,
22 153:6,
10,11,22
154:18,22
156:20
157:11
158:22
159:6,18,
22 160:2
161:2,15
162:20
163:2
167:13
170:18
172:2
173:20
174:8,9,
11,22
175:6,8
176:6
177:11,15,
18,22,24
178:4
179:4,6
180:4,8,17
184:17
189:13
194:6
197:22
203:11
218:18
220:10
223:17
232:2
235:6
277:25
278:2
283:22,25

made 12:8,9
15:18,20
16:1 19:20
44:11 71:2
92:9 98:13
166:15
169:22
176:20
185:8
208:9
237:17
239:24
253:4
265:22

Madison
80:15

Magistrate's
290:4

magnifying
242:6

mail 128:2

mailout
216:1

main 85:20
223:9

maintain
212:2

maintained
165:20
249:10
284:22

major 81:15
159:13
167:9
181:25
270:2,4

majority
34:24
162:10
223:8

make 10:11
16:6
18:13,18
22:11,20
23:15 48:4
54:22 55:6
70:24
71:24
74:20
75:18
93:22 94:8
104:22
106:11
107:20
130:4,6,8,
11,15
155:9
171:15
192:18
193:10
195:15
217:11,13,
20,24
237:15
238:22
253:11
259:4
265:18
267:11,15,
22 268:2,
9,15 270:8

makes 24:25
205:11

making 48:13
129:15
142:18
167:18
183:20
207:22,24

MALE 8:7
10:20
11:22,25
12:15,24
13:1 20:13
82:13
129:11,13
286:20
287:8
289:15,18,
20 290:10,
15,20,25
291:2,8,
11,15,18,
20

malfunction
24:18 50:8
51:11
93:15

malfunctioning
49:4,8
50:1,6
51:4

malice 23:18

malicious
16:2
178:15

malware
166:11,15
189:10,18
196:15
204:17,18
227:22
228:10
231:18
237:11
269:18,22

manage 212:4

Management
212:18,22

manager
67:11
68:13,15,
18,22 69:4
70:2,6,8,
13,15,20,
22 71:1,2,
15 72:4
73:15

manager's
73:22

managers
76:13

mandatory
11:18

maneuver
235:15

manifested
179:20

manifestly
23:24

manipulation
16:2

mannerisms
133:20

manufacturing
34:18

mapping
219:9
285:11

margin 25:20
26:10
177:13
184:11

Marilyn
10:13
31:2,15,
20,25
38:25
43:11
50:13
51:25

54:11
56:25

mark 55:10
132:8
283:13

marked 43:24
243:6
254:18
275:2
287:24

Marks 10:13
31:2,6,10,
15,20,25
32:1 35:1
36:8,22
38:10,15,
18,25 42:6
43:11
44:10
45:11
46:11
47:22
48:11,18
50:11,13,
17 51:25
52:2,9
54:11,15
56:25
57:2,10
58:2 59:24
60:2

Marks' 51:11

married
216:20

Martin 9:11

Maryland
160:20

Master's
139:20

match 152:20
235:20
268:13

matched
232:4,25

matches
249:18

matching
234:11,13,
15

material
159:17

materials
156:11

math 22:22,
25 23:4
86:18
102:13
111:18
191:11
270:2

math/physics
181:25

mathematical
30:18

matter 13:25
17:22
18:15
22:22
25:11
27:4,10
33:13
57:17
61:13
84:15
116:9
135:17
140:8
145:24
163:22
172:13
188:6
202:15
205:13
209:9
244:10
259:15

matters
121:2

Matthew
134:15
137:10,18,
24 138:4
150:18
156:8
187:13
188:15
196:22
204:2

maximum
194:9

Mayor 35:4

Mcdonald
8:16,17

meaning
231:6

means 17:20
181:20
193:4
263:15
270:20

meant 56:6
246:20

meantime
290:4

mechanics
150:4

mechanism
52:22

mechanisms
59:11

Medicine's
168:10

member 39:6,
8 211:20
218:15

members
36:17,20
211:18,20

memory
180:15,17
181:20
182:6,22,
24 183:11,
20 189:11
212:24
213:1,2
215:25
216:10,15,
18,22
217:2,4,8,

20 221:24, 25 222:1, 2,6 233:6 234:13,15, 22 235:4,9 236:22 266:11,13 267:1,25 284:18,22 285:2,4,6 286:10 287:18

mentioned 37:2 38:20 141:13 149:1 150:24 151:11 152:2 161:8 224:18 269:2

mere 26:4

messages 53:17,18 172:4

method 35:2 105:1 148:15 278:20

methods 106:4,9 149:20

Metro 271:6

Mexico 84:20,25

Michael 147:9 157:18 164:4,6 209:25 210:1,10, 15,20 243:4 245:18 254:13 276:20 279:22 286:18 287:11

Michigan 138:6,11, 13 139:15, 17,18,22 144:8 149:10 153:4

Mickey 272:11

microphone 80:9

Microsoft 142:2,4, 13,15,20 155:20 212:15

middle 26:24 116:10,18 172:2 202:15

Mike 268:17

million 223:20

mimic 11:22

mind 39:24 167:24 171:20

mine 81:18 173:10

minute 110:6 135:11 198:2 202:6 242:17 279:15

minutes 49:20 51:1 242:20,22 291:15

miscalibrated 224:2,6

mischaracterizes 190:20

misleading 111:1,9

misplaced 74:15

misprogramming 231:18

missed 176:15

missing 278:9,25

misspelled 19:13

misstate 244:20

mistake 8:13 148:18 178:13 220:18 242:4

mistakes 166:24 167:2,4,22

mitigate 88:15

Mm-hmm 20:6 219:8

mock 290:13

mode 53:22 55:22 56:2,4 173:22 222:20,22, 24 242:6 268:6 278:24 279:2

model 155:18 255:13,15

modeling 86:25

models 155:18 255:11,17

moment 41:15

113:4

momma 77:6

Monday
180:10,11
208:2

money 53:1,
10,13

month 133:13

monthly
175:25

months 34:25
165:2,6

Morgan
80:15,20

morning 8:6,
7 15:11
38:18
72:10
77:18
89:18
185:6
289:10,11

motherboard
284:2,4

motion 15:4
18:15,17,
18 112:17,
20 192:2
202:20
209:13,20

motions 24:2
57:20,22
180:24,25

Mouse 272:11

move 34:22
41:13
45:20
132:20
134:22
184:25
185:9
190:13
208:15,20
211:13
236:13
244:4
245:8
290:4

moved 20:13
192:13

moving 81:18
130:4
193:10
208:22
280:22

multiple
23:4 28:11
63:22
74:11
147:8
191:13
253:10,11
270:20
281:13,15

mumbling
139:6

Muskogee
229:2

N

name's 77:18

named 63:18
142:2
197:8,13
262:24
266:4
272:22

names 12:20
19:13
199:13

nation
189:17
200:20

national
86:2
156:22
160:22
168:9,18,
20 170:2

nationwide
159:22

nature 24:11
32:11
135:20,22
136:18
167:11
278:13

neces- 59:18

necessarily
148:13
183:1
201:17
290:11

needed 70:20
184:4
217:6
218:11
253:11

needing 55:6

negative
28:4,6
77:6
115:8,11
200:10

neglect
103:15

neighboring
260:11

nervous
129:15

network
249:6
270:18

Nevada 109:4

newcomers
128:18

newer 122:15

NEWS 129:4,
6,9,11

night 52:18
73:11
185:4
232:9
234:11

Nobody's
18:25

non-partisan 32:8

nonconfidential 187:24

nonpartisan 36:18

nonprofit 32:8 86:6

nonprofits 85:2,25 86:2,4

normal 56:18 241:22

North 34:11 36:11 41:10

north-south 27:18 265:15

Northern 143:15

notate 216:22,25

note 263:13

notes 63:6, 11,20 65:1,13, 15,17,20, 22 66:2,15 67:18,25 78:17,22, 24 79:1,2 129:2 224:22

notice 131:4 135:4 136:6,18 287:25

noticed 47:9 67:4 68:4, 22 71:1 208:25 258:2 280:11,13

notices 287:20

notification 218:15 223:11

notify 253:2 288:4

notion 161:11

November 25:20 46:13 62:22,24, 25 63:1,22 83:2 133:18 153:6 165:18 196:11 212:6,11 223:17,18 225:8 244:13 252:22 255:18 256:25 261:22 262:1 264:11 274:20 275:4 281:18,22

number 8:12 26:25 27:1,9,11 29:22 30:6 33:17,18 40:11 44:15 57:11 67:2 76:2,8 99:17 100:15,25 101:15 102:13 103:2 109:18 113:2 116:4,22 119:6,13 122:11 123:6 124:11 126:18 132:15,17 194:9 201:24 202:10 215:22 216:10 217:2 218:8 224:18 226:4 239:9,15 241:2 260:6,20 261:4,8,24 266:11 271:8,25 272:1,2,4, 6,22 273:1 275:22 276:4

numbers 25:10,11 40:6 67:6 75:13 92:20 97:2,6,17 98:22 99:2 105:22 107:1 108:13,15, 22 109:20, 24 110:9, 15 111:10, 24 112:8, 18 113:17 114:20 124:4,6 172:20,22 174:22 175:6 176:11 177:9 192:4,11 193:1,4,6, 8,11,15 195:6 203:2 261:13

numerical
195:13

numerous
160:22
179:18

O

oath
289:18,20,
22

object 10:25
32:20 33:8
38:4 42:4,
13 44:18
47:22
48:10 50:4
59:1,13
69:10 70:6
90:20,24
91:18
100:1
103:25
108:11
109:2
111:2,4
121:9
131:11
133:9
144:24
145:6
154:15
158:13
162:15
163:11
165:4
169:2
181:2,4
184:15
185:20
188:4
195:20
197:6
198:11
204:24
206:11,20
220:20
221:6
225:11
226:13
228:2
230:15
232:13
233:22
243:13
247:18
261:4

objected
182:8
208:6

objection
13:6 17:8
41:18
45:22 54:4
57:18
59:15 66:6
71:6 83:6
92:6 97:1,
9 106:18,
20 108:22
109:13
129:20
134:25
145:4
155:10,11,
13,17
158:25
168:24
171:6
172:9,18,
24 173:4,6
174:15,18
180:22
186:10
188:11
195:18
198:11,20
202:20
225:17
226:6
228:18
232:18
237:18
247:15,24
248:17
251:10
255:4
264:4
266:20
273:13
275:9
282:6
284:10
288:11

objectionable
45:2

objections
11:2 33:17
77:2
136:10
155:9
274:10

observations
62:18
63:20 66:2
67:20
72:24 79:1

observe
47:6,15
48:24 62:9
72:2 73:4,
8,20 78:2
171:22
218:15,17

observed
40:8,15,18
49:18
66:25
96:24
98:22
149:11
176:11

observer
62:10 63:6
77:22 78:1

observing
42:8 62:15
63:8 65:13
66:17 69:2
77:20
147:11

obtain 44:22
139:18
144:11
246:1
252:2,22

obtained
140:2

obvious
20:22
97:15

occasions
191:13

occurred
26:8
189:15
195:13

OCGA 24:6

odd 124:20

oddity 194:1

odds 176:4
198:2

offer 131:4
154:24

offered
182:4
284:9

office 39:9
76:6 99:6
105:1
107:2
167:13
210:22
211:13,17,
22 212:4
213:10,11,
22 214:8,9
215:6
222:20
223:9
224:25
225:6
228:22,25
229:25
230:6,15,
18 231:20,
24 232:11
233:1
238:18
244:1
246:4
248:22
249:11,13
253:2,15
260:24
267:6,15,
24 269:13
271:8
273:2
274:6
280:13
290:22

officer 28:9

offices
256:4

official
223:11
261:8,10,
13 274:1

officially
77:24

officials
49:20 72:2
141:10
147:10
223:10
225:2

Ohio 160:18

older 95:18

Olens 25:18
203:6

omits 24:10

omitted
278:13

op 239:18

open 18:13
44:15
45:13
165:2
166:2
167:8
270:9,18

opened 58:1
229:18

opening 16:6
18:11,13

operate 40:9

operates
56:15

operating
47:18,25
141:20
142:17,22
143:6,9
152:4,9
155:20
182:24
237:2,4,6
267:11

operation
40:15
47:15,24
150:2
183:4
212:2
217:18
222:4
278:6

operational
249:11

operations
40:13
144:2
211:15
223:17
248:22

opine 47:24

opinion 97:6
104:2
121:2
158:11
159:2,20
162:13
163:8
166:24
170:4,22
171:1
172:8
173:18
174:22,24
175:4
195:11
198:18
280:18

opinions

41:25 143:18,20, 25 158:11 162:4 169:9,10 170:2

opportunity 73:4 104:13 110:8 113:1 126:10 134:4 136:13 180:15 208:2 230:2 252:11,13

opposed 28:13 57:15 265:17

opposing 57:13

opposite 177:22

opposition 112:18

optic 216:1

optical 239:8 252:17 265:4

option 285:22

order 12:13 14:17 25:4 28:20 53:2 130:2 181:13,18 209:18 217:20 220:1 221:22 223:15 240:13 247:8

ordered 182:22 183:25

ordinary 68:9,18

organization 32:8,9,11 34:9 35:18 46:4 52:22 53:11 62:11 86:6

organizations 85:2,25 86:1

organize 219:22

orientation 242:13

original 158:6 224:11

other's 17:20

outcome 161:20 269:20

outlier 94:25

outlined 224:20

outstanding 266:25

outward 234:11 270:13

overrule 198:20

oversee 211:6

oversees 212:6

overtake 251:10

overturn 30:10 184:10 226:9

owned 249:17

owner 34:17

P

P-2 64:22, 24 65:25

P-3 97:20, 24 98:1

P-5 168:2

P-7 168:2

p.m. 130:1 187:6,8 242:25 243:1 291:22

pack 116:18 202:15

package 87:20

packet 214:22 255:9

pad 55:11

pages 66:11 82:2 168:15 170:13 240:18 253:18

paid 52:4

pair 270:13

pap- 197:18

paper 21:6, 8,22 22:10 27:2,13,18 28:2 33:11 37:2,9,13, 17 38:2,13 41:13 43:2,4 104:17 105:15

106:13
125:18
148:4,25
149:22
151:10
161:17
171:10,11,
13 174:8,
11 175:6
188:20,22
189:1
199:11,18,
20 206:15,
22 207:11
257:6
258:6
264:25
276:13
277:1,4
282:4

papers
140:22

paperwork
208:18

paragraph
111:25
112:1
238:11

parallel
267:11,20
268:20
269:20
287:2

part 14:1
32:15
35:11
53:1,2,6,9
125:18
133:20
156:11
169:22
170:13
183:10,11
205:9
211:13
256:17
261:11
262:4
265:20
278:1
287:15

partial
131:22

participate
252:11

participated
62:4
261:1,2,22

participation
88:8
257:24
275:20
282:4,11

particularities 199:6

particulars
201:17

parties
133:2
262:15,24
266:4
272:22
273:15

parts 163:10
236:22

party 24:6,
10,13 30:6
36:18
39:13
46:20
75:6,20
76:18 78:2
86:2,6,10
131:6
132:4
176:4,6,8
177:20
181:10
197:8,13

party's 24:8

pass 277:22

passcode
215:11

passionate
81:18

password
246:11

past 85:8
86:15,17
88:11
113:18
119:6
206:6

pattern 21:4
108:8,9,25
175:15
177:22
199:1
205:18
229:15
230:11,22
231:13

patterns
20:24,25
95:22
113:17
123:17
124:10
198:9

pay 53:2

Paying 108:2

PDF 252:18

peer 141:2,
4 163:4

pending
18:15

people 21:9
22:6 25:6,
24 29:10
47:10,11
89:6 98:13
100:15,17,
20 157:25
163:20
179:4
190:17
191:4,15
210:2
211:22,25
223:20
226:4
230:17,25

243:25
257:13
280:2,15,
20 281:6

percent
21:11,15
22:1 32:17
101:2,6,
11,13
102:11
105:8,10,
11,15,18
107:2,4,6,
9,10,13,
15,18,25
120:24
125:17
126:6
152:25
274:22
275:6

percentage
101:10
102:4,15
103:22
104:2,25
107:4,13,
17,22
120:22
274:17,18,
20

percentage-wise 106:8

percentages
106:4

Perdue
120:15

perfect 29:2

perfectly
63:10

perform
86:18
183:4
186:9,13
190:2
193:18
196:6

performance
117:4,22
118:6,8

performed
190:6,11
196:2

period 46:22
208:11
231:18
268:11

permission
132:20

person 17:25
19:15
21:20 26:2
56:11
65:22
105:10
136:6
198:18
280:8

personal
34:11
43:17
153:4,8
154:18
155:11,13
164:18
177:2
188:20

personally
39:20
40:2,20,22
41:11 47:6
90:8
153:20
154:22
164:24
165:4
193:18
224:22

perspective
49:9
152:22
159:11
164:25
166:13
179:1,20

persuasion
21:10

pertaining
140:24
206:13

petition
37:4

petitioner
13:20
31:17
60:24 80:1
84:2
137:20
184:22
210:11

petitioner's
29:13

petitioners
13:17 29:4
37:4,9,11
184:20
209:24
284:9

ph 65:20
200:25
245:18
290:6

Ph.d. 138:6
139:24

Phd 138:11
170:13
175:11

phenomena
175:2

Philip
132:18
158:6
190:6
193:20

phone 136:22
215:6

phonetic
243:11

photographs
72:25 73:2
74:15

phrase
121:11

Phrased
226:24

physical
217:1,2
235:13
248:24
282:20,24

physically
153:9
213:6

physics
270:2

pick 67:2
254:15
276:22

picked
218:24

picture
255:11

pictures
255:9,11

piece 88:24
189:9,18
257:6

place 8:11
19:9 25:13
27:11
33:15
49:13
94:22
97:11
115:25
207:15
216:22
239:20
250:18
252:20
261:15
285:11
289:22
290:22
291:13

places 30:22
160:2
221:25
287:20
288:1

placing
217:2
270:13

plagued
238:6

plaintiff
9:22 10:13
24:25 25:2
79:15
80:11
168:15
202:22

plaintiff's
44:4 64:4
98:2,6,18
112:15,20
168:4,8
194:2
243:8

plaintiffs
15:6 24:4
26:11
29:18,20
30:11,20
42:2 60:10
79:15
83:1,13
84:15
136:8
138:8
151:22
152:1

plan 34:22
36:13

planned
74:11
82:11

plausible
22:15,17
24:18
29:24

play 30:6
238:22,24

pleased
258:17

point 23:15
29:17 68:8
71:8,22
74:10
93:13
97:2,8
109:2
111:20
113:2
122:11
129:1
144:22
159:6
160:4
181:13
193:20
198:15
205:1
206:20,24
217:4
218:18
223:10
238:11
251:9
262:13
283:1

pointed 14:8
15:1 71:15
195:4

points 15:15
96:8
111:13
125:18

policy
206:11

political
21:10
81:4,15
84:22
85:1,2
86:1,4,6,
10 114:15
119:17
189:2
198:4
199:2

politically
88:20

politics
25:11
201:11

poll 36:15
39:11
40:9,11,17
46:11,15,
18,20,24
47:2,4
48:22 50:2
55:6 62:9,
10 67:4,10
70:13,15,
20 72:2,25
73:2,9
76:13
77:20,22,
25 78:1
81:17,22,
25 82:6,8
153:2
197:18
205:11
246:2
288:4

polling
46:25
47:4,8
49:22 73:4
87:13
115:25
200:6
218:20
239:2
287:20
288:1

polls 28:22
55:10
122:15
200:22

Ponce 46:24
47:4 50:2,
24

poorly
126:20

popping
172:4

popular
123:20

port 270:11

portal
251:22
252:2
270:13

portion
35:10
101:1

portions
246:10

pose 168:22

position
13:15
22:20
23:10,11,
17 25:1
27:18 34:2
51:15
52:2,4
70:15
134:13
151:1,4
210:25
240:11
278:17
283:13

positions
15:13
218:9

possession
268:2

possibility
258:22

possibly
26:6 95:6
119:11
136:13

post 148:13
260:22

post- 35:22
36:6

post-election
36:10,13,
15,17,20
149:8
206:4,6
207:9
217:15
231:22
258:15
260:22
278:24
279:2,10

posted
287:20
288:1

potential
166:11
230:22

potentially
86:22
144:25
167:15
283:6

power 24:8,
11 213:1
217:10
246:2

powered
237:4

powering
223:22

practical
39:17

Practice
18:20

practices
38:2,11
42:15
186:13

pre- 217:15

pre-election
222:22
234:8

pre-filled
268:8

preceding
289:24

precinct
50:24
75:20

76:2,9
125:2
128:2,4
174:2
176:25
177:10
178:25
189:25
190:4
192:24
193:18,24
194:6
195:2,11
196:4,8
232:11,25
238:24
263:11,13,
18,20,22,
24 264:10,
11

precincts
124:17
125:2,4,6,
11,13
126:1,6
127:2,25
195:2,4
214:1,18,
20 238:22,
24 272:1,2

predicate
198:15,17

predominant
239:11

prefer 133:6

preference
9:4 241:6
252:10

prefilled
218:6

prematurely
223:22

preparations
147:8

prepare
136:6
265:22

prepared
98:18
138:18

preparing
136:1
215:25

present 99:2
111:11
229:18
264:10
271:24
278:15
281:1,24

presented
14:2 24:2
101:22
111:15

presenting
28:15

preserve
20:18

preserved
19:1

president
259:24

presidential
241:6
252:10

presiding
15:10
236:11

press 28:4,6
115:8
200:11
283:8
288:6

presses
219:6

pressing
219:13
287:22
288:1

pressure
283:13

pressure-point
283:11

presumed
29:18

presumption
24:11,13

pretty 97:15
169:10

previous
46:15
88:13
119:9
191:25
229:8
235:20

previously
127:17
133:6
184:13
190:15
197:22
229:4
232:4
248:13
249:18

prima 22:9
24:4

primarily
36:11

primary 75:2
241:6
252:10

print 64:11
65:6,9
73:2,11,
15,17
279:2

print-on
217:1

printed
76:13,15
217:15
234:11
235:20

printer
217:22
218:1
239:18

printing
236:4,6

printout
268:13

printouts
285:15

prints 66:9

prior 29:15
95:22
101:18
102:8
104:2
118:17
135:4,15
190:15
200:6
249:13
277:10

privacy
32:10

private
249:6

probative
21:4
109:22

problem
18:2,10
20:15
26:8,9
49:22
51:15 69:2
75:18
148:18,20
171:15
176:11
178:9
278:25
282:20,24,
25 283:4
286:22
287:2
288:4

problems
32:18
35:15
37:20
147:15,18,
20 148:2
150:4,6,11
162:18
163:2
167:22
179:25
223:6
287:4,6

procedure
18:15
42:24
136:10
209:10

procedures
37:17,20
38:2,11
42:15

proceed
207:25

proceeding
39:4
135:22
206:13

proceedings
23:2,25

process
19:15
54:15,20
55:4 63:10
135:22
194:13
214:13
216:4,15,
22 252:13
277:20
278:2

processes
37:15

produce
24:10
233:15
241:15
252:18

produced
212:20
214:17
232:6
233:13
268:13

produces
239:4

product
212:17

production
252:22

profession
170:6

professionally
10:15

professor
132:18
133:13
192:22
193:15
195:15
196:2

proffer
131:4,6,9
132:10,11
181:1

proffers
98:13

profiled
26:18

program
141:22
161:22
212:20
219:18
220:8
250:10,13,
25 283:20
286:6

program's
259:11

programing
216:4

programmed
141:22
178:13

programming
15:25
167:13,20
178:4

207:18
211:6
212:24
213:6
218:24
219:1,2,4,
15 220:18
249:8
250:2
277:15
278:8,13
283:15
284:8

programs
245:22,25

progression
40:15

promise 31:8
60:15
79:17
83:18
137:11
210:4

proof 25:4
241:10

proofing
253:11
278:22

proofs 246:2
252:2

propagated
189:10,18

proper 44:22
155:17
172:15
217:15,17,
20,25
235:18

properly
47:25
76:24
77:10
223:24
268:18

properties
141:20

prosecution
22:13

protection
246:11

prove 24:20
110:22
237:20

proved
245:1,2

proven
244:15,17

provide
161:10,20
164:17
214:15
215:6
219:1
252:15,18
267:17

provided
13:22
215:9,17
278:22

providing
42:2

public
113:18
117:10,15
168:13
187:25
218:15
228:15
270:20

publication
163:4

publications
156:20
162:4

publicized
218:13

publicly
85:17

publish
141:4

published
140:22
141:2
148:4
149:24
158:4
160:17

pull 193:6
220:6

pulled 68:11
193:1,2,6,
8,11,13

pulling
133:11

purpose 18:1
164:15
168:20
213:6
220:6
241:10

purposes
239:9
252:2
278:22

push 277:13
287:15

pushing
234:10
238:13
241:11
282:22

put 64:6
71:15
72:6,8
74:17
79:1,2
93:22
108:13
132:9
209:18
216:13
217:1
218:15
223:1
228:11
236:13
242:6
248:8
249:13

250:15,18
283:8

Putin 270:22

puts 85:13
151:15

putting
85:13
108:13
170:15
215:20

Q

quacks
185:24

qualification
42:15
155:11

qualifications
82:11
138:17

qualified
33:9,18
38:6,11
39:2,11
41:18
42:4,6,22,
24 43:1,15
59:20
92:13
97:13
108:11,13
143:11,13
151:11
198:18
213:24

qualify 34:2
92:17,22
97:13
145:20
150:1,10

quantify
175:15

question
9:18 29:25
30:18
32:22
33:15,18,
22 38:4,10
39:25
42:25
43:15 45:2
48:2,13,25
50:4,9,17
51:8,13
54:22
56:10,11
57:4 59:4,
15 74:13
76:17
88:25
97:18
100:4
104:8
106:22
109:6
112:24
120:8
121:10,11,
13,18,20,
24,25
124:2
126:18
127:15
146:10
149:17
154:10
158:15
162:2,24
163:15
165:8
171:9
173:13
176:15,17
182:10
185:9
186:2
191:18
195:22
198:15,22,
24 202:2
203:4
204:24
205:6,18
206:11
208:4
221:18
226:22
231:4,25
232:15
233:18
237:22
247:18,20
249:2
254:1
261:1
267:8
281:4
284:6
288:6

questioning
220:22
275:13

questions
34:8
38:15,20
41:15,17
43:13
51:18
58:15
59:10,24
66:15 79:6
82:2
89:15,20
90:22
91:2,13,15
92:22,25
94:10 96:9
108:18
113:8
114:4
119:22,25
120:25
121:1
123:6,11
128:20
144:24
145:2,10
150:15
153:15
154:6,11
183:25
188:13
203:20
237:20
245:6
254:10
262:11

270:1
279:17
287:8
288:10,13

quickly
15:8,15
187:2
205:18
276:22

quit 179:15
238:13

quote 24:6
25:22
171:11

R

R6 255:13, 15

rabbit 188:4

race 21:11, 24 22:6
28:13 30:2
71:2,15, 17,18,25
73:13
75:15
87:13 89:8
95:22
97:11,15
99:15,18, 24,25
102:6,10
104:15
105:24
106:15
107:22
108:10
109:4
113:20,25
114:1,24
115:22
117:13,17
118:1,2,17
120:10,11, 15 122:25
123:13
125:18
126:2,11, 13 127:18, 20 128:13, 17 171:25
177:11,13
179:15
184:18
199:6
200:6,9
201:18
219:22
221:22
225:13,18
230:11
231:13
240:1,13, 15,18
241:2,18, 24 242:1, 9,11,13
244:13
254:6
255:20
256:1,2, 10,11,15
257:2,6,9, 13,20
258:1,9,13
259:9
262:6
264:18
265:4,6,9, 10,20
268:24
271:4,24
272:2,6,8, 24 276:24
279:2,9
280:22
282:6,11
285:20,22

races 71:4
73:13
94:20,22
95:18,22
102:4,8
108:24
113:18,24
116:6
117:15,18, 24 119:6
124:4
125:25
126:11
198:10
199:9
200:17
201:2
202:11,13
213:24
214:10,11
218:10
239:2,6,15
240:13
241:22
242:2
252:18
254:25
255:22
258:18
263:13,20, 22 264:9, 10 268:22
271:6
272:25
273:18
279:6,8
281:15,17, 24 282:10, 15

racial 28:11
115:10,11

raise 31:6
52:25
53:10
60:15
79:17
83:18
137:11
210:2

raised
57:18,20
131:22
183:24
184:13
190:15
198:2
226:9
230:13
237:18
269:2

270:1

raises 15:17

raising
53:13

ran 26:25
35:4
116:11

random 22:4
175:24
177:18

randomly
176:4

range 26:15
86:2
102:20

rare 179:6

rate 105:8
171:24
174:10
205:22
282:4,11

rates 122:17
123:1,2
124:15

raw 179:6

RE-DIRECT
56:24

re-elect
38:4

re-litigate
284:11

reach 24:8
85:4,18
109:10
260:24

reached
170:22

reaction
73:22

read 20:24
64:8,13,22
131:17
135:20
169:6,22
170:13
171:18
194:18
216:18
218:2
222:6

read- 286:4

read-only
182:24

reader 218:2

reading
133:17

ready 130:9
131:1
218:20
267:6

real 44:18
51:22
128:8
149:2
208:22
276:22
290:18

rearguing
24:2 93:9

reason 14:18
18:1 21:2,
11,25 22:8
109:13,20,
25 148:6
178:6
217:6
221:4
238:9
244:24
269:22

reasons 21:2
28:11
89:11
91:22
92:13 95:4
96:13,15,
22 97:15,
17,18
121:17
122:6
139:8
280:10

rebooting
179:4

rebut 93:13

rebuttal
17:11

rebutted
24:13

recalibrate
278:1

recall 68:4
120:11
121:6
123:8
170:20
204:15
246:15
252:6
258:9
262:17
267:8

recalling
194:4

receipt
234:15

receive
28:20 52:6
76:18
116:4
214:25
215:1
223:6
224:18
264:18,25

received
26:13,17,
18 28:4
95:6
116:22
117:11,25
123:6
125:2
188:1
200:11
202:9,10,
17 215:2
223:6,15
231:20

246:20
255:6
262:15
277:8

receives
73:10

receiving
69:25
231:22
246:15

recent
142:25
152:18

recently
81:24
136:11
140:20
160:20

receptive
217:13
281:10

recertification 229:1

recertified
229:11

reckon
196:18

recommend
206:9
207:8

recommended
186:13

reconciled
16:1

record 18:18
19:2,4
20:10,11
31:24 46:2
51:10 61:6
64:6,20
65:25 66:1
72:13
73:11
80:10
84:10
98:13
106:20
107:20
109:8
121:22
129:25
130:22
132:9
138:2
168:1
169:25
185:20
187:6
190:15,22
209:22
210:18
228:11
233:18
234:15,22
238:11
242:25
244:25
269:4
273:18
275:2
277:13

recorded
29:1 77:10
157:22
219:15
233:11
279:6
287:15,17

recording
9:2 35:2
65:13
234:18

recordings
8:14

records
40:15
44:11,13,
15 45:13
166:11
187:25
232:1,4
247:2

recounting
207:15

recourse
161:10,11

recovered
235:8

RECROSS
286:17
287:10

RECROSS-EXAMINATION
50:13
113:11
114:6

red 49:17
50:6,22

REDIRECT
43:10 93:2
120:4
156:6
187:11
204:1
279:22

reduced
241:13

refer 67:18
99:4

referred
245:17
284:6

referred-to
44:2 52:13
64:2 98:4

referring
204:8,10,
11 245:20

refers 247:1

reflect
101:6
130:22

reflected
111:25

reframe
158:25
172:25

refused
184:24

regard
121:15
247:1

registered
77:22
80:18
81:6,25
83:2
275:15,24

registration
9:13
157:22
166:9
167:6,9
189:20
204:18,25
205:2,8,13
225:10,13,
24 226:2
227:4,11
237:18
238:6
247:2
260:25

Registrations
28:18,24
38:20
89:20
150:24

regular
177:22

regulation
164:4

regulations
240:17

regurgitating
184:13

rejected
223:22

relate 58:24
142:20
251:13,15,
18 281:2

related
141:1
165:13
206:20
214:18,20
243:13
244:6,9
251:20

relates
96:24
155:2

relating
67:25
101:18
190:25
226:20

relation
265:2
280:20

relationship
239:4

relative
201:15

relayed
214:2

release
157:2
273:15

relevance
57:18
59:15
165:13
180:22
184:15
226:13

relevancy
145:6
162:15
165:13
228:2

relevant
45:25
57:22
59:17 92:2
116:20
146:4
155:2,13
162:18
181:2
184:17
206:13
225:15
247:22
248:6

reliable
20:2

relied
170:11
171:15

relies 24:11

relocated
211:15

rely 170:4,
6

relying 17:4

remain 60:2

remaining
17:9 18:2

remedy 25:25

remember
110:20
113:4
191:10
198:24

reminder
16:10

removed
217:4

render
198:18

renew 41:18
97:1
202:20
228:17

renewed
112:15

reorganization
34:18

repair
150:11
277:24

repeat
198:22

repeated
166:22

repeatedly
182:13,15
247:4

repeating
39:24
164:13

repel 24:9

rephrase
106:18
153:17,18
195:22,24
230:18
232:20

rephrasing
59:6

report 75:6, 20 76:22
77:8 98:18
99:2,10
104:25
160:18,22
168:10,13
178:24
214:22
223:2
262:13,15, 20,22
263:13,17
264:11
265:22
266:6,22
271:22
278:20

reported
76:1,6,10, 17 157:20
174:1
179:2,11
191:15
195:8,9
232:8
234:6,11, 22 279:4

reporter
61:9
129:4,6,9, 11

reporting
63:11
75:13
149:17
171:25
172:2
179:4,13
272:2

reports
160:20
191:4
214:17
235:22
252:15
262:11
263:11
264:6,8
266:10,11
271:22
278:20
279:2

represent
38:18
89:18
150:22

representative
16:18
25:25
124:6,13, 18 125:2, 13 126:1, 2,8,22
127:2,25

representing
25:18

Republican
177:13
178:4,8
204:11
219:15
220:11
240:11
241:6
259:4

Republicans
252:11

request
10:11
11:11
12:13 17:4
29:13
44:11,13
51:11
98:18
135:2
183:25
267:17

requested
184:2

requests
44:15

require
23:15 96:2
149:20

required
14:15 43:1
56:8 59:11
154:25

requirements
53:10

requires
26:4 47:22
135:2
230:15
235:15

research
103:20
140:20
142:2
189:6

researched
142:4

resemble
108:17,25

reserved
26:1

reside
219:15
283:25
286:9

residence
166:6

residency

249:13

resident
39:10 41:6
80:20

resides
196:15
283:20
284:1,22
285:4

resisted
24:24

resolved
15:8 67:9,
10

resources
32:17 87:2

respect 29:4
124:9,10
135:2,18
158:11
159:15
162:13
228:22
238:2
253:13,15,
18

respond
117:2
131:24,25
145:22
155:15
277:18
283:4

responded
162:4
182:15

responding
106:20
149:11

response
11:20,22
14:9 22:24
23:1,2
44:11
69:25
112:15
120:8
192:1
204:18
235:2
283:2

responses
223:4

responsible
213:11

responsive
32:22
218:10
235:18
278:6

rest 51:11
132:4
139:9
192:6,13
203:2

restating
248:13

restored
235:11

result 19:9,
22 26:4
29:22
30:22
48:18
69:22
71:10
222:18
233:15
234:6
235:9,24,
25 242:4
256:13
257:13
262:6
280:2

results
19:18
22:18
25:15
29:20
35:22
85:15
86:25
87:18
89:13
92:1,13
94:13
96:24
157:4,8
172:6
173:2
174:2
177:8
190:10
219:25
236:20
262:13
268:13,15
269:11
282:13

retained
211:25

retender
96:20

retired
34:15

return 151:8
215:6

returning
72:22

returns
271:4,18
274:2,6

reveals
56:13

reverse
181:9

review 13:2
44:13
70:24,25
94:13
95:11,13
103:8
107:20
108:24
110:9
111:24
114:10
124:6
141:2,4
153:4,10
156:11
160:18

163:4
172:2
178:17,22
179:10,13,
15,17
180:15
182:22
214:13,24
228:22
233:20
237:4,11
252:2,13
276:24

reviewed
39:22
40:2,4
94:18
101:18
105:22
106:1,2
108:24
109:11
147:4,6,9
156:11,15,
20 157:1,
2,4,8,10,
17,20
160:10,15
162:4
178:22
187:20
190:25
199:2
200:6
214:8
272:20

reviewing
164:2
223:4
278:24

reviews
153:8
191:4

Rhonda 9:11

Rice
138:22,24
139:10,11

Richard
16:20

Richmond
229:2

Rick 147:9
157:18
164:4

ride 69:6

rig 23:20

right-hand
241:25

right-leaning
205:25

rights
151:18

ripped
133:22

risk 149:13
166:18,20

rite 131:18

Robyn 131:4

role 30:6
62:6 75:2
90:2
238:18

ROM 182:24

roof 236:9

room 290:18

rooms 291:4

round 176:15

routine
53:10

routinely
228:10

rows 101:25

rule 8:14
10:20
11:2,11
13:1
16:10,11
17:13 18:4
108:18
129:6
133:11
135:2,6,
11,15
136:2
137:1
154:13

ruled 19:4,6
172:15
181:2,4
184:6
190:11
202:25
208:18
221:2
237:15
238:8,13
247:4,17,
25 248:13
261:11
284:11

rules 135:20
136:18,22
240:8,9,
17,22

ruling
130:10
169:25
190:15
208:8
209:10,11

rulings
143:22

run 27:25
86:11
116:17
141:11,20
142:15
152:4,8,11
207:8
244:22
259:25
260:4,9,11
262:13,20,
22

running
27:22
115:6
128:15,18
142:6

152:15
166:2
180:4
200:4
201:10
202:18

runoff 62:18

Russia
270:22

S

sadly 21:18

safe 291:11

sample 21:9
149:15
167:6
214:15,22
252:15
278:22

samples 22:4

Sara 60:11,
22 61:2,6
65:15
72:20
74:25
77:15
78:13

satisfactory
24:11

satisfied
13:20,22

satisfy 14:2
83:4

saved 215:2,
13 284:17
285:2

saving
217:25

scalability
252:8

scaling
241:4,11,
13

scan 216:1
239:8,18
252:17
265:4

scanners
216:1

scenarios
194:24

schedule
129:18
135:24

scheduled
135:15

scholarly
156:15

school 61:24
81:13
117:9
131:18
139:1,2,13
195:6

science
39:15
81:15
84:22
138:6,25
139:4,18
162:6,11,
13 163:4
168:9
198:4
199:2

Science's
160:22
168:18

Sciences
156:24
170:2

scientific
148:15

Scientists
168:20

scoring
142:20

screen 40:10
49:4,15
70:24,25
172:2
179:10,13,
15,18
217:11
218:11
219:9
223:20
224:2,11
235:15,17,
18 239:18
241:4,11,
13,18
242:2,9,11
255:15,17,
20 256:15
259:2
266:15
268:1
278:1
280:22
281:15
282:2,20,
25 283:10
285:11
286:22
287:15

screens
50:22
160:4
254:6
255:20
256:13

sealed
218:20

seat 31:11
61:8 79:9,
20 80:8
83:22

seats 8:8
10:2 124:6

secondary
19:24

seconds
289:1

secretary
44:13,15
45:13 46:4
57:15 74:9

76:6,11
95:8,17
98:22
99:10
101:13,18
104:20
105:22
106:9,13
107:2,6
109:17
117:1
118:13
131:6,13
167:11
177:8
192:4
201:10
210:22
211:1,13,
17,22
213:8,10,
22 214:8,9
222:20
225:4,20
226:18
227:13
228:25
229:9,13,
24 230:4,9
231:11,15,
20,24
232:11
233:1
235:2
236:22
237:10
246:4
248:20,22,
25 249:10,
13 260:24
265:11
267:6,15,
24 269:13,
15 273:17
274:2,6
280:13
281:24

section
225:4

secure
141:11

Secured
168:10

security
140:10,11,
18,20,24
141:20
158:6
166:24

seek 27:25

seeking 37:6
52:25

selected
193:22

selecting
193:22

selection
70:25
71:2,17,24
168:15
259:4

selections
268:9

self- 67:11
70:20

self-cast
71:22

self-correct
67:11

self-governance
81:20

seminar
140:20

senate 15:11
30:2
113:25
120:11,15
127:18,20
255:20,25
256:1,10
257:1,6,
11,13,18
262:6
276:24

send 44:15
53:18
267:22

sending
53:17

sends 267:18

senior 28:9
67:20 68:1
73:24
290:1

separate
229:2
233:4
258:18

sequence
25:4
233:24
246:13

sequestered
17:2

sequestration
25:6

serial 40:6
217:2

serve 166:10

server 36:13
166:1
189:10
245:20,22
246:1,9,10
250:13,15,
18 251:20
252:1

servers
237:8

service
72:2,4,6,8
113:18
117:10,15
136:15

serving
154:17

session
15:10

sessions

172:4

set 25:22
55:13,18
56:11
112:22
133:15,18
134:4
136:9
166:4
181:15
208:11
217:13
218:20
221:22
239:9,17,
18 240:2,
11,13
252:13
257:4
277:22

setting
25:24
217:24
278:4

setup 56:18

seventh
220:11

sheer 239:15

short 50:18
83:11

shortly
34:22
200:11

show 22:15
23:17,18,
24 24:4,18
26:6,11,13
28:2,22,25
29:24
30:22
38:15
52:11,20,
24,25
56:15
101:25
103:11
104:25
105:4
166:11
167:17
177:9
219:25
220:10
225:22
233:15
234:4
244:22
254:17,24
255:18
263:6,8,20
264:8
266:4,11
271:15,18
273:22
274:17
278:25
279:2

showed 180:9
232:24
233:2
241:13
266:24,25

showing
30:11
179:6
218:11
232:8
242:9
263:22
283:2

shown 24:4
30:18 97:6
234:6
241:4
254:4
264:11
287:1

shows 20:22
23:11
56:15,17
99:13
105:6
220:11
222:6
234:9
254:22
263:17,18
264:9
266:8,15
271:22,25
272:1,2,4,
6 279:6

shut 244:22

shutdown
40:13

shutting
68:22

sic 26:17
133:18
176:25

side 10:10
118:13
132:1
201:6
239:11
241:8,24,
25

sides 17:22
111:13
181:13
183:24
185:22

sidetracked
182:2

sift 96:4

sign 49:18
51:4
130:20
177:17

sign-in
252:1

signed
215:17

significance
26:9

significant
15:25
25:20
152:24
161:20
166:9
167:10
171:24

172:6,8
173:22,25
174:4,11
188:9

significantly
174:1,11
176:6
202:9
282:4

signoff
214:25
215:1,2

signs 16:2
148:22
150:4
170:18,22
171:2,10,
22 178:20
179:2
191:2

similarities
152:25

simple
22:22,25
23:2,4
97:15

simplistic
175:10

simply 11:22
15:13 22:4
23:13
28:13 42:2
111:13
172:15
175:17
184:13
198:25
209:9
220:6
227:1
248:13
265:11
273:25

single
155:17
189:8

sir 17:1
18:20
20:11 53:9
114:4
122:1
140:6,18
141:8
143:13
144:4
152:2,6,
13,18
153:2,13,
24 156:18,
22 157:2,
6,9,13,20
158:2
159:18
160:13
163:6,17,
24 168:6
170:20,25
177:6
180:9,18
185:13
187:18,20
189:4
190:2,4
193:20
196:9,13
197:20
198:6
199:22
200:4
201:8,13
205:11
208:13
209:6
231:13
235:1
245:1,6
250:15,17,
20 251:15,
18 255:2
258:11
269:24
271:11
274:17,20,
22 275:6
281:22

Sister 81:13

sit 9:2
10:17,18
13:10,11
68:22
137:4
138:2
210:22

site 235:6
246:8

sitting
20:13 69:6
196:13

situation
136:15
223:1
247:25
256:6
265:15

situations
231:1

six-pack
220:13

size 240:10
241:11

skip 122:25
281:6

skipping
34:20
143:2

Skype
133:22,25
134:4,20,
22 136:22

slightly
35:8

slowly 270:6

small 32:11
49:13
53:11
64:13 65:9
101:1
149:15
183:10,11

smear 58:2,
6,11

smooth 63:10

smoothly 129:18

Smyth 9:11, 24

software 147:18 150:4 152:11,15, 22 158:17 159:13,15, 20 161:13 166:4 178:1 179:8 180:4 249:9 283:6 286:9

sole 184:11

solely 128:2,4 206:15

solicit 191:15

soliciting 53:6

somebody's 278:13

sort 10:4 42:1 74:8 116:2,17 122:8,17 141:17 161:22 179:2,24 180:18 213:6 220:15 228:4 237:11 272:11 275:13 280:6,8,18

sound 185:18 193:10

sounded 192:13

sounds 185:22 194:8,11 209:8 236:2

source 157:1,4 161:18 162:2

sources 172:11 191:6

South 36:11 185:25 260:11

space 239:25 257:6

speak 49:20 106:20,22 139:6 219:18 224:8 234:20

speaking 204:6

specialized 39:15

specialty 270:4

specific 10:15 29:20 48:13,15 87:20 89:13 92:1 142:11 147:15 158:18 162:20 179:24 213:25 216:4

specifically 117:4 127:22 152:4 156:18 181:13 190:11 191:13,17 194:22 204:17 207:11 219:22 228:1 288:4

speculates 221:8

speculation 26:4 30:10 122:13 173:8 285:25 286:1

speed 100:6 248:4

spell 61:8

spending 34:24 35:13

spent 32:15 35:18 36:20

split 238:24 241:18 253:18

splitting 242:13

spoke 74:1

spoken 157:25 158:6

spontaneously 179:4

spreadsheet 64:13 65:2,6,10, 11

spring 37:20

square 26:24

stack 57:8

staff 39:8

211:18,20

stamp
217:17,18

stand 42:1
58:20
63:13
248:9

standard
56:2,4,18
170:6
237:6
241:22

standing
11:17
49:10

standpoint
159:25

stands
212:18
286:8

Stark 132:18
133:15
134:22
158:6
190:6,13
191:4
192:22
193:15
195:17
196:2
197:22

start 65:15
66:10,11
122:25
207:18
213:20
218:4
258:18
289:11
291:17

started
33:25
67:15,17
68:9,22
180:6
239:20

starters
122:10

starting
16:13,15
36:10
160:4
207:20
280:20
289:10

starts 66:22
122:20

state 15:11
19:17
21:10 23:6
26:13
28:20
31:24
33:13
38:13
44:13
45:13 46:4
57:11 61:6
74:9 76:11
80:9,18
84:10
90:15
98:24
101:13
104:9
105:24
106:9
107:6
109:17
117:1
118:13
124:6,13,
18 125:2,
10,11,13,
25 126:1,
6,22
127:2,25
131:6,13
136:10
138:2
147:10
149:10
153:4
159:13
160:18
165:1
166:24
167:15,17
182:22
186:6,11,
18,20,22
187:22
188:2
192:4
196:11,15
198:17
200:24
201:2,10
202:4,11
206:9
207:18
210:18
211:1,6,8,
10,15
212:8,9
222:20
225:20
226:20
227:15,18
229:10,13,
18 230:9
231:11,15
235:2
236:22
237:8,10
239:25
240:8
241:20
243:15
244:2,6,9
246:4,6
247:15
248:15,20,
22,25
249:9,11
250:6,11
255:11
256:2,11
258:25
264:18
265:11
267:18,24
269:17
273:17
281:25
284:4,9

state's 76:6
95:8,18
99:10
101:18
104:20
106:15
107:2
167:11
177:8
210:22
211:13,17,
22 212:4
213:10,22
214:8,9
222:20
225:6
227:13
228:25
229:25
230:6
231:20,24
232:11
233:1
246:4
248:22
249:13
260:24
267:6,15,
24 269:13
274:2,6
280:13

stated
109:15
167:2
212:6

statement
9:8 16:6
18:11,13
51:9,13
110:22

statements
97:15
111:2
157:10,15
178:18
181:10

states 54:18
81:20
95:11,22
96:20
103:9
151:20
159:6
167:1
256:10
257:1,6,
11,18
260:2,11,
15 262:2

statewide
26:25
40:17
46:20
85:15
113:20
116:6,11
124:17
126:6
127:2
202:13
264:10
271:6
272:25
273:2,17

statically
173:20

stating
231:22

statistic
173:24

statistical
149:13
174:13
190:4,6
192:24
193:15,18
196:4,6
197:25

statistically
173:24
174:4,11

statistics
149:20
174:17

status
266:9,13

statute 12:6
14:4,9,20
15:17 16:6
24:6
240:11,13
253:13

statutory
25:22
30:17

stay 10:22
16:18,22
17:18

staying
10:25 11:4
18:11

stays 10:24

steps 153:10
219:4
278:4

Steven
243:11

stick 111:15

stipulate
82:22
110:11
248:4

stipulated
110:22
111:4,6
273:15

stipulation
82:25
110:15

stood 208:6

stop 209:4

stopped
49:18

store 290:10

stored 183:1
235:10

strategies
85:4 87:1

strategy
86:22
87:20

stress
201:15

stricken
51:13
190:15
191:25
202:22
220:22

strike 16:4
51:9
194:25
199:25
221:4

strips
197:17,18

strong 41:25

struck 50:2

structurally
238:20

structure
222:4
241:1

student
81:17

studied
118:6

studies
139:20
157:8
189:6
194:18

study 84:22
86:11 90:4
117:20
118:4,6
138:24
139:2,15
144:13
160:15
168:18

studying
138:6

stuff 111:9
131:17
150:8
154:13
167:18
170:13
171:18
185:6
221:13
290:8,11
291:2

stupid
181:22

style 239:4,8

subject
140:8
160:11
184:25

submission
198:13

submit 71:18
74:4

submitted
71:20
77:1,2
131:6
176:24

subset
149:15

substantially
155:22

substitute
169:18

succeed
29:22
30:24

successful
87:2 88:22

successfully
73:18

suddenly
136:20

suffice 28:1

sufficient
14:2 165:1

suggest
170:9

suggesting
219:13

suggestion
237:17

summary
224:11
271:22

summer 142:1

superintendent
16:22
117:10

Superior
135:2,11

superstar
201:6

support
13:24 42:2
73:18
142:18
198:13

supporting
246:6

suppose
115:2
154:4
194:15
219:2

supposed
8:15 55:25
135:9
215:11

Supreme 30:9

Surely 38:17
110:4

surgery
64:22

surprise
119:15

survey
156:18

suspicious
200:18
204:8

sustain
172:24

188:11
228:6
232:18
248:17

Sustained
104:4
226:15
228:18
282:8
284:13

swear 31:4

swift 14:20

switch
219:13

switching
220:17

sworn 31:18
60:25 80:2
84:4
137:20
210:13

sync 67:8

system 19:18
26:13
27:13
33:11
35:6,10,
11,13
37:22
41:13
59:11
65:2,13
81:18
142:17
143:4,6,9
144:25
145:1,2,8,
13 147:4,6
148:22
151:9,10,
15 152:4,
9,17
155:20
157:2
160:17
163:9,22
164:6,8,25
165:2,20
166:2,15
167:6,11
171:22
173:4
177:17
178:20
182:24
183:20
184:9
204:20,25
205:2,8,9
207:11
212:6,22
215:18
217:18
219:4,18
225:20,24
226:4,11
227:4,6,
10,11,15,
20 228:1
229:1
230:10
231:11,17
237:2,4,6
238:6,18
244:15
247:6,9,
10,11,15,
17 248:6,
20 249:10,
13,15,18,
22,25
250:1,9,22
260:25
265:24
267:22
269:18
270:9,10,
11,17,18,
24 272:4,
18 277:22
278:4
279:6
285:11
286:24
287:1

system-wide
179:24

systematic
28:11 96:4

systems 35:2
141:6,20
142:22
144:18
146:22
159:2
160:11
163:9
189:20
206:10
211:2,4
212:18
227:25
228:24
244:2
245:22
246:4
249:11
267:11

T

table 10:2,
4,17,18
11:11
32:20
99:4,9
101:22
102:2,24

tabulate
75:4

tabulated
233:4
269:11
272:11

tabulating
272:4

tainted
23:11

takes 27:11
119:18
163:4
216:22
225:1
239:4

taking 33:15
48:9 49:4

67:15
101:9,13
109:20
129:2,20
179:18
216:4

talk 49:24
69:4
110:22
111:8
133:13
177:2
185:25
198:2
248:10
270:6
289:2

talked 77:4
78:20
122:2
149:4
163:13,20
164:6
169:6
189:6,24
261:13
275:11

talking 58:4
112:4
126:11
165:9,10
195:20
215:10,11
227:24
244:13
248:11
254:17
269:1

tallies
16:1,2
40:15

tangible
19:22

tape 73:11,
20

tapes 72:25
73:2,9
76:15
191:24
197:18
217:15
268:13

Taran 43:18,
20 45:13

target 85:18
207:10

Targetsmart
84:13
85:6,11,13

taught
140:18

teach 140:17

teacher
81:13

team 28:1

tear 144:13

tease 87:13

Tech 138:22
139:8

technical
41:22
42:22
67:11
73:18
74:11
166:13
167:18

technically
90:11

technician
181:8

technicians
180:22
181:6

technology
141:20
142:18
143:2
233:24
249:11

telephone
130:11
132:20
133:2,20
134:2

telling
134:6
264:13

tells 283:6

telltale
148:22
150:4
170:18,22
171:2,10,
22 177:17
178:18
179:2
191:2

Temple 63:1,
4

ten 35:4,
13,18
144:6
202:2
242:22
273:20

tendency
166:11

tender 45:18
52:11 54:1
89:11
131:2
150:2
255:2
264:2
266:18
273:4,20
274:9
275:1,8
288:11

tendered
46:4,6
74:15 92:9
273:10

tendering
131:9
273:8,9,11

term 116:18
118:18
184:4

201:6

terming
184:2

terminology
99:13

terms 17:13
23:17
24:15 25:4
27:1 33:10
42:2,11
90:8
91:22,25
99:13
100:2,20
104:2
195:10,13
198:9
199:13,24,
25 201:22
202:15
240:4
260:18
262:6

test 175:20
217:9,11
218:6,24
222:10,22
268:2,4,8,
15 283:10

tested 35:11
54:18
55:18
203:13
268:22

testified
17:18,24
18:2,11
31:18
36:22
38:22 42:6
46:11
60:25
78:6,20
80:2 84:4
91:24
93:15
101:17
109:20
113:17
120:8
137:20
154:18
155:22
169:2,4
170:18
176:1,18
190:10
192:4,8
195:15
196:2
197:22
204:13
205:17
206:2
210:13
227:13
275:20

testify
17:10,11
20:4 34:1
43:6 48:11
92:11
96:17
97:17
100:2
104:4
123:22
130:11
155:2
156:2
169:6
186:2
190:17
191:15

testifying
36:6 96:8
104:1
192:15

testimony
17:4,13,20
50:6 60:6
72:22
79:11
82:18
93:13
97:10
121:6
131:6
132:20
136:2
146:8,9
147:9
151:13
156:2
157:17
163:11
164:2,4,
10,15
169:2
172:17,22
175:2
181:18
190:13,20,
24 191:15,
25 195:1
202:20
203:1
205:20
208:1
220:2,8
227:15
249:20
252:6
282:17

testing
217:11,22,
25 218:2,
4,13,15,
17,20
222:13,17,
18,22
223:13
233:11,13
234:6,8
249:15
265:22
267:10,11,
20 268:20
269:17,20,
22 277:18
287:2

tests 149:15
222:18
229:8

thanking
82:8

that'll
125:22

theory
280:1,18

there're
216:22

thing 20:10
29:2 30:17
47:9 58:10
97:18
119:2
145:18,20
185:11
207:17
228:10
252:17
272:11
284:15
285:1

things 8:11
16:2 22:20
26:6 65:13
79:2 81:4
88:13 90:4
94:6
108:15
130:2
133:2,17
140:25
160:15
167:20
170:2
181:15
217:20
223:25
232:20
233:22
240:25
244:20
248:4
269:11
280:10,13
285:4,10
288:25

thinking
119:2
258:18
280:2

thinks
206:11

third-party
113:2
123:18

Thorpe 65:20

thought
76:22,24
77:1 79:2
110:18
115:18
193:8
195:15
280:15

thousand
26:20
102:17
124:17
126:6

thousands
21:25
96:18
170:13

three-and-a-half 276:4

three-and-a-half-time
119:13

three-column
239:22

thrown
280:15

THURSDAY 8:2

ticket 27:22
94:20
259:6,25
260:4,6,9,11,15
280:15

tied 205:24
206:1

time 11:13
12:15
30:1,2
33:20
34:25
35:6,9,13
37:22 49:4
62:2 67:11
68:13 72:2
81:17
90:20 92:4
93:20,22
113:25
123:2
127:20
130:6
135:8
137:2
160:9
165:9
217:4,13,25 218:18,22 236:13
238:15
239:25
245:6
252:13
258:25
260:20
261:2,22,25 276:6
280:4

timeframe
268:11

timeliness
15:17

times 27:9
36:24
39:11
49:18
63:22
133:4
147:8
160:6
164:6
245:11
272:2
276:4

tired 208:18

today 11:22
23:18
33:15
53:15
78:20
102:15
111:11,15

130:24
134:13
144:24
145:2,15
184:8,11
188:6
196:13
208:22
226:8,9
260:4

told 16:22
58:4 67:11
70:20,22
76:13 77:6
180:10
191:13
208:4

tomorrow
23:18
134:4
289:15,25
290:4,15
291:10,17,
20

tone 74:1

tool 63:11
251:22

top 40:6
122:20
160:18
161:9
248:10,11
257:9,20
274:18

topic
185:13,15,
17,18

topic's
185:15

tops 73:2

total 75:13,
20 76:2,8
99:6,17
100:10,11,
15 101:10,
13,15
102:6
104:10
116:4,22
120:10,18
232:24,25
233:2,4
271:25
272:4
283:9,18
289:6

totally
128:2

totals 19:25
94:18 95:1
117:6
128:2,4
177:4
194:2
220:4
233:8
235:20

touch 217:13
218:9
219:24
223:20
235:15,18
239:18
266:15
268:1
283:2
285:11
286:22

touched
40:22
71:20
153:9

touching
224:15
235:17
283:1

touchscreen
223:24
224:11

traces 19:24
20:22

tracked
103:2

tracking
115:25

trail 161:17

trained
36:15,18
153:2

training
39:15
62:13 94:8
144:17
169:11

transferring
216:17

transition
248:24

transitioned
239:22
248:22
249:9

transparency
32:9

treated
187:24

treatment
200:11

trend 94:24
102:13
103:2

trends 87:4,
11 88:2,
11,18,22
89:11 90:2
91:20
95:20

triable
12:10
14:6,15
15:20,24

trial 11:18
12:9
13:15,20,
25 14:6
15:2 16:6
52:22
53:1,2,4,
6,9,13,15
136:6,9,20
184:8

290:13

trials
53:18,20

trouble
20:13
21:18,20
92:18 94:6
97:17
167:15
272:11

troubled
35:18,20

troublesome
47:13

truck-trailer
34:17

true 19:18
23:6,8
45:11
52:10 59:6
65:11
70:13
123:11
199:2
243:25

Trusted
142:4

truth 31:8,9
60:15,17
79:18
83:18,20
137:13
192:2
210:4

TS 157:2
266:9

TSX 255:13,
15

turn 57:2,
13 67:22
184:15
245:11
281:13
291:6

turned 81:4

turning
68:10
182:20
183:1

turnout
88:11,13
122:13
127:13
194:25
274:18,20,
22 275:2,
6,11 276:1

Tweet 52:18
57:10

two-column
239:18,22
240:2
258:17

two-fold
22:24

two-hour
47:10

two-step
13:18

type 26:8
55:9
121:24
122:22
226:10

types 85:15
86:25
105:22
147:15
158:20
159:18

typically
17:2
148:13
161:11
175:20

Tyson 14:24
29:13,15
38:4,9,15,
18 39:2
41:15,18,
20 44:6
50:15
51:11,18
57:18
77:17,18
78:10
89:15,18
90:1,20,24
91:1,18
92:9 96:6
97:1 98:11
103:25
106:18
108:11
109:2,13
113:10,15
121:9,20
123:20
127:6,11
128:6
133:6,10,
11 134:13,
25 136:11
150:15,20,
22 153:17,
20 154:4,
15 158:13
163:11
165:4
168:22
172:18
174:18
176:22
180:22
184:15
188:18
189:2
190:9
192:6,8,20
193:13
195:24
196:1,20
197:8,11,
13 198:22
204:13,24
206:11,18,
22 207:1
221:6
225:11
226:6,25
228:2,17
230:15
232:13
233:22

234:2
242:24
243:13
244:6
254:15
255:2,6
263:4
276:15,17,
22 282:6
287:13
288:10

U

U.S. 30:2
113:25
120:11,15
127:18,20
151:4
255:20,25
256:1
257:13
262:6

Uh-huh
43:18,25
47:15
56:22
94:11
95:13
102:25
156:15
200:15
201:20
282:18

ultimately
30:18 76:6

unanimous
161:1
163:6

unclear
195:20

uncontested
8:10

uncovered
35:17

under-
99:20,22
100:13
102:17
124:15
204:8

under-vote
21:6,15
23:9,11
91:22
92:2,13
93:15,24
94:22 95:4
98:20 99:6
100:8,9,13
101:10,11,
15 102:4,
6,9,13,15,
22 103:1,
22 104:2,
10,25
105:6,9,
15,20
106:4,6
107:2,6,11
120:18,20
122:9,18,
20,22
171:24
172:6,8
175:22
200:15
204:6,10
205:22
280:2,11,
13

under-votes
116:20
122:11
172:20
201:18,20
281:2
282:13

under-voting
89:4,13
93:13 94:2
96:13,17,
22,24
104:15
121:4,17
122:17
172:11
173:22
174:6,8,10
200:22

undergraduate
140:18,20

undergraduates
149:6

underneath
257:11

understand
18:22
34:2,4
37:4,6
41:25
43:17
48:13
54:22
58:13
64:15 66:2
75:18
83:11
90:25
93:22
118:25
130:17
135:24
136:15,18
148:18
155:18
162:22
164:20
165:1
168:22
169:22,25
181:22
199:4
202:1
206:25
207:2,4
221:15
232:15
234:1
245:4
251:8
264:13
272:9
290:1,15

understanding
18:4 24:1
37:4,8

38:1 54:20
59:9,11
69:18,20
73:10
96:13,22
100:20
105:11,13
123:15
158:1
168:11,18
246:18
270:2

understands
22:22 23:4

understood
64:6 76:1

undertake
126:11

undertaken
180:6
227:18
229:15,18
231:11
280:18

undertook
168:20
229:1

unfit 151:4
161:2,4

uniformly
200:24
250:11

unit 216:20
241:22
266:15
278:6
287:18
288:6

United 81:20
256:10
257:1,6,
11,18

units 205:11
213:1
216:13

university
61:25
81:15
84:20,25
138:6,11,
22 139:10,
15 211:10
212:1

unknown 23:4
201:15

unlike 28:2

unpack 183:6

unsafe
161:2,4

unsuccessful
26:15

untenable
246:20

unusual 50:2
68:25
277:10

unverifiable
35:20
37:22

upheld 30:25

upload
266:13

uploaded
232:1,4
233:6
266:17
267:2
269:11

usage 160:22

user 219:18

ushers 55:6

utilizing
270:22

utterly 14:4

V

vague 226:20

valid 29:18
109:17
232:6

validate
214:10
215:15
217:11
218:9,10
232:2
233:13
249:17
268:13

validated
215:10

validating
252:18

values
214:20

variables
23:6

variety 29:8

vast 34:24

vendor
260:25

verifiable
32:18
37:13

verification
161:25
215:6

Verified
141:9,13,
18,25
150:25
151:1,8

verify 64:20
229:4

verifying
262:11

version
64:11 65:9
152:11,18
155:20
166:4

versions
142:25
158:17
159:13

versus 9:11
25:22
27:13
33:11
101:4
119:8
128:1
131:8
151:11
199:13,20
200:2
202:17
272:24
276:11

vested
142:18

victory
26:10
184:11

video 135:2

videotape
268:4

view 109:22
134:1
163:4,6
279:4

violate
137:1

violation
26:2

Virginia
61:25

virtue 27:15

virus 178:15

vis-à-vis
201:22

visible
218:11

vision-impaired
55:8

voice 74:2

volunteer
52:4 62:11

volunteered
62:9

vote 16:1,2
19:15,20
20:2,24
21:13,18,
20,22,24
22:2,10,18
23:6,20
25:8
26:13,20,
22 27:2,6
41:8 42:13
47:11
49:15,17
50:18
58:22 68:8
69:9 71:4,
18 74:4
76:24 77:9
80:22 81:6
83:2 89:6
95:1,4
99:20,24
100:15,17,
22 102:18
105:1,9
108:9
109:11
114:15,24,
25 115:15
117:6
118:22
122:4
157:11
177:8
179:18
194:2
201:22
203:13
204:9
205:4
219:6,10
220:15
223:2
226:4,11
231:18
232:10,24,
25 233:2,
4,8,11,20
234:20
268:17
272:11
281:6
283:18
285:11,13

voted 21:11
25:8 27:8,
10 41:1,2,
4,10 82:22
100:15
119:20
179:11
200:25
257:13
261:25
276:11,13

voter 28:13
30:6 32:10
49:15
54:22
55:4,9,13
56:4,8
67:20
68:1,4,13,
18,20
69:4,11,
15,17
70:1,22,24
71:4,6,15,
22 73:24
76:22 77:8
78:6 85:15
86:24
88:18
118:20,22
119:18
157:22
166:9
167:6,8
178:24
179:10
189:20
194:20,22
199:24
204:24
205:2,8,13
218:2
219:6,15
223:22
225:9,13,
24 226:11

227:4
228:1
241:15,20
242:6,8
247:2
257:22,25
259:6
260:25
262:15
263:17,22,
24 266:9
268:9
274:22
275:20
276:11
281:11
282:11
283:9
287:15,20,
25

voter's
70:22 74:4
87:13
259:10

voter-level
85:15

voters 27:6,
11,20 29:1
30:4 49:6
56:2,4
59:13
67:15
82:22 83:2
85:18
87:15,20,
24 114:15,
24,25
115:11
119:8,13,
18 121:4,
20,22
122:2,4,8,
15,24
151:17
157:10
164:2
172:2
176:2
177:18
178:22
179:4,9,13
194:24
198:8
199:1
202:17
223:6
225:1
258:2,22,
24 260:20
261:1,2,9,
22,24
262:2
274:17
275:15,24
276:4
280:22
281:9
282:2,4

voters'
247:11

votes 21:6,8
23:10
25:20
26:10,17,
18,20
30:8,18
35:2 48:9
73:11
75:4,15,20
76:2,8
86:17
99:17
101:15
102:17
104:15
106:13
115:20
116:4,9,
10,22
117:11,18,
25 119:8
123:6,13,
18 124:13,
18 125:2,
15,17
126:2,8,22
127:2
161:18
176:6
184:11
188:9
194:6,9,10
200:25
201:25
202:10
218:8
219:9
220:11
247:11
265:22
267:2
271:4,9
272:4,6
275:13
277:13
285:18,20

voting
19:18,22,
25 20:25
21:6
32:13,18
33:6,11
35:2,6
38:2 39:15
44:11
46:18,22
47:6 48:8
54:15
62:18
65:15
68:15
70:22 87:4
88:2,8
89:8,11
90:2 91:20
95:20
105:9,11,
13,15
106:9
107:4,8,
15,18,22
108:8,9
110:9
114:20
123:1,17
124:10,15
128:2
141:9,13,
18,25
142:11,13,

22 143:2,
11 144:18
147:4
150:25
151:1,8,15
152:11,17
153:6
154:18,22
157:4
161:4
172:2
173:2,22
174:1,2
175:15
176:11
177:4,13
197:17,18
198:9
205:18
211:6
212:8
213:2
215:24
216:6,11
218:22
219:13
220:4,9,10
223:20
225:24
227:6
228:22
229:1,4,15
230:11,22
231:13
249:15
258:8,25
259:1,6
260:20
266:11
267:6
268:10
276:6
277:25
278:15
285:22

vulnerabilities 145:18
166:10
167:9,15
251:13,15

vulnerability
145:13,18
150:2
151:15
157:22
162:8
163:20
165:22
166:22
167:10

vulnerable
144:18,25
146:24
163:2
166:4
167:1

W

wait 37:24
41:4 59:22
68:22
92:15
202:6
279:15

waited 70:2

waiting 69:6

walk 66:15
136:20

walking 68:6

walks 21:9

wanted 17:22
23:15
68:25
77:20
130:15
184:24
186:6
219:13
239:25

wanting
150:9

warning
49:18

watch 40:13
108:18
130:22

watched
40:9,13

watcher
36:15
39:11
40:9,17
46:11,15,
18,20,24
47:2 48:22
62:9
77:20,22,
25 81:17,
22,25
82:6,8

watching
50:2

water 36:2
187:2

ways 73:17
144:4
183:2
231:9
261:17

weaker 24:11

web 245:20,
22 246:9,
10 250:13,
15,18
251:20
270:10
276:10

website
63:13
99:11
101:18
104:20
251:24
274:2

week 182:15

weekend
225:8,10

weeks 136:4
290:2

weight
109:22
156:2

173:10

well-known 201:11

wer 232:2

whatsoever 97:10

where'd 138:20

white 255:15

who've 133:22

whoa 16:8

Wilke 8:18, 19

William 210:10,15, 20 243:4 254:13 276:20 279:22 286:18 287:11

win 86:13, 22 220:15

Windows 142:17,24, 25 143:1

wins 25:2

Winterville 176:2,10, 11,18,20 177:4 189:25 191:1,11 192:24 193:22 195:2,6, 11,17 196:4 202:20 207:24

Winterville's 197:1

wipe 26:9

withdraw 38:10 48:2,4 50:9 59:2 100:4 106:22 124:2

witness' 146:6

witnessed 51:1

witnesses 11:15 16:11 17:2,6 25:4,6 130:4 134:1

woman 82:6

won 25:18 177:11,13

wonderful 81:18

word 76:18 148:6,11 173:8 181:13,20 208:24 209:1 214:15 229:22

worded 50:4 127:17

words 26:22 133:20 240:18

work 18:25 26:6 35:11,18 36:25 37:15,20 39:13 48:22 61:17,20 82:9 85:11 86:6 88:17 89:6 95:11,25 108:25 139:25 141:6,13, 15,17 142:20 149:18,20 156:13 187:18 199:4 222:20 249:25 253:13 288:25

worked 23:24 30:4 91:8 132:25 133:4 141:6,10 144:4,6 150:25 189:2

worker 55:6 76:22 153:2 288:4

workers 67:4 73:15 76:13

working 23:13 24:22 48:9,20 49:18 85:1,2 140:4 141:8 142:13 207:20 229:4,6 268:2

works 32:9 47:1 156:18 164:8

worry 182:2

Worth 14:11

wrap 229:20

write-
272:13

write-in
265:1
271:4,9
272:17
285:18,20

write-ins
272:8,9,
10,11,15,
18,24
273:1

written 13:2
251:4

wrong 8:11
22:25 45:9
175:8
196:2
197:6
232:10,11

wrote 224:22
285:22

Y

year 21:22
119:20
120:15
140:1,4
194:25
255:10
274:18

years 21:15
32:17
34:20
35:4,13,18
41:8
53:13,17
85:9 93:18
102:10
119:9
143:10
160:2
228:4
274:2
277:10

yielded
222:10

young 82:6

0001

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTH DUVAL, and JEANNE DUFORT,	CIVIL ACTION FILE NO.: 2018-CV-313418
Plaintiffs, vs. ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et. al.	Taken at: Superior Court of Cobb County 70 Haynes Street Courtroom 2000 Marietta, Georgia 30090
Defendants.	

. . . . . .

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING PROCEEDINGS

THURSDAY, JANUARY 17, 2019
9:02 a.m. to 4:53 p.m.

STATE OF GEORGIA SENIOR JUDGE ADELE P. GRUBBS

REPORTED BY:

PRISCILLA GARCIA, COURT REPORTER
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF GEORGIA

TRANSCRIBED BY:
CHRISTIAN NAADEN

0002

APPEARANCES:

Plaintiff's Counsel: BRUCE P. BROWN, ESQUIRE

BRUCE P. BROWN LAW
FLOATAWAY BUSINESS COMPLEX
1123 ZONOLITE ROAD N.E.
SUITE 6
ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30306
404-881-0700
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com

Plaintiff's Counsel: MARILYN MARKS, ESQUIRE

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE
7035 MARCHING DUCK DRIVE
SUITE E-504
CHARLOTTE, NORTH CAROLINA 28210
704-552-1618
marilyn@uscgg.org

21
22 Defendant's Counsel: JOHN BELINFANTE, ESQUIRE
ROBBINS, ROSS, ALLOT, BELINFANTE
23
24 & LITTLEFIELD, LLC
25 500 14TH STREET N.W.
0003
1 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30318
2 404-856-3262
jbelinfante@robbinsfirm.com
3
4 CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:
5
6 Defendant's Counsel: VINCENT R. RUSSO, ESQUIRE
ROBBINS, ROSS, ALLOT, BELINFANTE
7
8 & LITTLEFIELD, LLC
9 999 PEACHTREE STREET N.E.
10 SUITE 1120
11 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30309
404-856-3260
12
13 vrusso@robbinsfirm.com
14
15 Defendant's Associate Counsel:
ALEXANDER F. DENTON, ESQUIRE
16
17 ROBBINS, ROSS, ALLOT, BELINFANTE
18 & LITTLEFIELD, LLC
500 14TH STREET N.W.
19
20 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30318
404-856-3276
21
22 adenton@robbinsfirm.com
23
24
25
0004
1
2
3
4 CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:
5
6 Defendant's Counsel: EDWARD H. LINDSEY, JR., ESQUIRE
DENTONS US, LLP
7
8 303 PEACHTREE STREET N.E.
9 SUITE 5300
10 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30308
11 404-527-4580
edward.lindsey@dentons.com
12
13
Defendant's Counsel: SAMUEL S. OLENS, ESQUIRE
14
15 DENTONS US, LLP
303 PEACHTREE STREET N.E.
16
17 SUITE 5300

18 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30308
404-527-4108
19
20 sam.olens@dentons.com
21
22 Defendant's Counsel: KAYE WOODARD BURWELL, ESQUIRE
OFFICE OF THE COUNTY ATTORNEY
23
24 141 PRYOR STREET S.W.
25 SUITE 4038
0005
1 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30303
2 404-612-0251
kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov
3
4 CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:
5
6 Defendant's Counsel: BRYAN P. TYSON, ESQUIRE
STRICKLAND, BROCKINGTON &
7
8 LEWIS, LLP
9 1170 PEACHTREE STREET N.E.
10 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30309
11 404-219-3160
bryan.tyson@sbllaw.com
12
13
Defendant's Co-Counsel: RICHARD A. CAROTHERS, ESQUIRE
14
15 CAROTHERS & MITCHELL, LLC
1809 BUFORD HIGHWAY
16
17 BUFORD, GEORGIA 30518
18 770-932-3552
richard.carothers@carsmith.com
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
0006
1
2
3
4 I N D E X

WITNESS	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
5				
6 WITNESSES CALLED ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF				
Marilyn Marks	31	38,51,54	43,56	50
7				
8 Sara LeClerc	60,72	74,77,78		
9 Jeanne Dufort	80			
10 Christopher Brill	84	89,91,127,128	93,120	113,114
11 Matthew Bernhard	137	150,188,198	156,187,204	
Michael Barnes	210,243	254,276	279	286,287
12				
13				
WITNESSES CALLED ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT				

14

15 None

EXHIBITS MARKED ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF

16

NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE OFFERED	PAGE RECEIVED
P-1	- Secretary of State Email	41	Not Admitted
P-2	- LBJ Notes Excel Spreadsheet	64	66
P-3	- Brill's Affidavit	98	98
P-7	- National Academies of Science Engineering and Medicine's report, Secured Boot, from 2018	168	168
P-8	- Center for Election Systems at Kennesaw State Email Communication 2017	243	243

EXHIBITS MARKED ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT

NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE OFFERED	PAGE RECEIVED
1	- Duncan-Tweet Regarding Fundraising	52	54
2	- Ballot Design	236	236
3	- Base Precinct with Reports	264	265
4	- Base Precinct with Reports	264	265
5	- Active Voter TS Status	266	266
6	- Active Voter TS Status	266	266
7	- Certified Returns Fulton County	273	273
8	- Certified Returns Gwinnett County	273	273
9	- Certified Returns Dekalb County	273	273
10	- Official Election Returns 2010	273	274
11	- Official Election Returns 2014	273	274
12	- Official Election Returns 2018	273	274
13	- Turnout Percentage Document	273	274

COBB COUNTY, GEORGIA

THURSDAY, January 17, 2018 - 9:02 a.m.

* * * * * * *

THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.

MALE: Good morning, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Take your seats, if you will.

THE COURT: First of all, if you are here for the uncontested divorce calendar, that includes name changes and all those kind of things, you are in the wrong place. That is in Juvenile Court Number One. You have to go back across the bridge if you're in here by mistake.

Okay. And we have some recordings. Rule 22. Is everybody in here on -- you're here on the -- supposed to be some others. Ms. McDonald.

MS. MCDONALD: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: You're here. Mr. Wilke?

MR. WILKE: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Yeah, he's here. And who's the other one?

THE COURT: Kauffman. Mr. Kauffman.

MR. KAUFFMAN: That's me.

THE COURT: How'd you get up there?

0009

MR. KAUFFMAN: I asked Mr. Davis earlier if it was okay if I sit here because recording.

THE COURT: And you did what, Mr. Davis?

MR. DAVIS: [Inaudible].

THE COURT: Why did he get preference than everybody else?

MR. DAVIS: No one else asked.

THE COURT: Nobody else asked. Okay. Good statement. Anybody else want to come in and [inaudible] -- okay. We'll do that.

This is mainly on the case of Rhonda Martin, Smyth Duval, and Jeanne Dufort versus Geoff Duncan, Fulton

12
13 County Board of Registration and Elections, Gwinnett
County Board of Registration and Elections. Mr. Brown,
14
15 where are your clients?
MR. BROWN: Jeanne Dufort is here.
16
17 THE COURT: She's what?
18 MR. BROWN: Your question was what, Your Honor?
THE COURT: Where are your clients?
19
20 MR. BROWN: My client, this is Jeanne Dufort.
THE COURT: Okay.
21
22 MR. BROWN: She is the plaintiff in the case.
THE COURT: Okay. And are the other two here too?
23
24 MR. BROWN: Smyth Duvall.
25 THE COURT: Mr. Duvall is here?
0010
1 MR. BROWN: He is here. Yes, Your Honor.
2 THE COURT: Okay. Just trying to identify. Do you
need seats to bring them to the table?
3
4 MR. BROWN: We're sort of crowded so this is fine.
THE COURT: You all need to be at this table?
5
6 MR. BROWN: No. He doesn't -- you're fine. I mean,
we're okay.
7
8 THE COURT: You are?
9 MR. BROWN: Yeah.
10 THE COURT: And then on this side. Everybody's
11 together.
MR. BROWN: I would like to make a request that
12
13 Marilyn Marks, who is the executive director of the former
plaintiff, Coalition, and it's been -- helping me in this
14
15 case, it would be an -- it would be a great assistance to
me, just professionally, if she could also ask specific --
16
17 THE COURT: She can't sit at the table.
18 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: She can't sit at the table.
19
20 MALE: My only concern is that we do intend to invoke
the Rule. She going be a witness?
21
22 MR. BROWN: She may and we will decide whether she
goes first or not. So she can stay out here.
23
24 MR. LINDSEY: If she stays -- if she's the first
25 witness then I would object to her staying.
0011
1 THE COURT: I'm sorry?
2 MR. LINDSEY: If she's the first witness knows the
Rule, which I guess I have no objections --
3
4 THE COURT: Okay.

MR. LINDSEY: -- to her staying.

5

6 THE COURT: Okay. We'll follow that. Everybody else agree? I see Fulton and Gwinnett?

7

8 MS. BURWELL: Yes, Your Honor.

9 THE COURT: Okay.

10 MR. LINDSEY: [Inaudible] she could come in.

11 THE COURT: Okay. On the Rule 22 request, you cannot take anything at each individual table to the lobbies.

12

13 Okay. I know you were here last time and you did a good job, but you can't -- or any conversation between lawyers

14

15 and witnesses. You have to honor the confidentiality.

MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.

16

17 THE COURT: Okay. You all are standing up. I think

18 that Mr. Brown we filed a mandatory trial.

MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.

19

20 THE COURT: And I know I got a response from Fulton County.

21

22 MALE: Yeah. We also have a response as well that simply mimic the county's and we are filing it today.

23

24 THE COURT: Okay.

25 MALE: Can I approach the bench?

0012

1 THE COURT: Mr. Groton does that. There's no clerk

2 because this is a Fulton County case. So he has to do that. Okay. I guess -- will I hear first from is -- let

3

4 me hear from -- Mr. Brown, why do you think juror demand is appropriate?

5

6 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the statute is very clear. It says that the case should be tried to the court unless

7

8 a jury demand is made. And that is explicit and without

9 exception. And we have made a demand for a jury trial and

10 the facts that will be tried, or triable to a jury both in

11 the election case and in any other case.

THE COURT: Okay.

12

13 MR. BROWN: So that's our request. Thank you.

THE COURT: What order are you going in over at that

14

15 time?

MALE: She filed -- Fulton County filed first of all

16

17 --

18 THE COURT: Are you Ms. Blackwell?

MS. BURWELL: Burwell. Burwell.

19

20 THE COURT: Burwell. I'm trying to get names right. Okay.

21

22 MS. BURWELL: Kaye. Right. Yes. Kaye Burwell on

behalf of Fulton County.

23

24 MALE: Judge --

25 THE COURT: I got it.

0013

1 MALE: Judge, I'm sorry. We have one more Rule 22

2 from the AJC that you haven't had a chance to review.

THE COURT: So I have not written [inaudible].

3

4 Anybody -- who's here from the AJC?

FEMALE: I am.

5

6 THE COURT: Okay. Anybody have an objection to the AJC covering this? Mr. Brown.

7

8 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor.

9 MR. LINDSEY: In fact, they're more than welcome to

10 sit in the jury.

11 THE COURT: You're welcome to sit in the jury box. Come sit over here if you want to.

12

13 MS. BURWELL: Think at a better angle.

THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.

14

15 MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, it is Fulton County's position that the demand for a jury trial by the

16

17 petitioners is insufficient. We cited the Court to the

18 Henderson case, which clearly provides that there is a two-step analysis for determining whether or not a jury

19

20 trial is available to a petitioner and they have satisfied the first, which was merely to demand.

21

22 They haven't satisfied the second. They haven't provided this Court with any information or argument to

23

24 support that under other laws at issue in the case, there

25 is a matter upon which they are entitled to have a trial

0014

1 by jury. And that's the second part and they haven't

2 presented this Court with anything that would be sufficient for them to satisfy that burden. And so based

3

4 upon the statute itself, which is 21-2-522, as well as the Henderson case, because they utterly failed to allege

5

6 issues that would be triable to a jury, we believe that a jury trial demand is inappropriate.

7

8 Further, Your Honor, as we pointed out in our

9 response, the statute provides that if there are issues

10 that need to be tried, a jury from that particular county

11 needs to be impaneled. And in this instance, they are -- they have allegations in their complaint about Worth

12

13 County, Henry County, DeKalb County, and perhaps other counties that we don't know about yet. And so the Court

14

15 would be required if they had alleged something that was

triable to a jury, would need to impanel juries from each
16
17 of those jurisdictions in order to hear whatever issues
18 would need to be heard.
And for that reason, Your Honor, obviously the ins of
19
20 the election contest statute, which is the swift and
expedient determination of a contest, would be forwarded.
21
22 Thank you.
THE COURT: Thank you. Any additional arguments?
23
24 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, Bryan Tyson on behalf of
25 Gwinnett County, just very briefly. As the Fulton County
0015
1 counsel, Ms. Burwell, has correctly pointed out, the cases
2 that they have cited control there is no constitutional
right to a jury trial in an election contest case.
3
4 And I think under the circumstances that the demand
only came after the motion of continuance had been denied
5
6 in the case that this is an attempt by the plaintiffs to
delay this case when this case under the Election Code
7
8 needs to be resolved quickly and brought to a conclusion,
9 especially considering that the Legislature is already in
10 session and the lieutenant governor is presiding this
11 morning, even in the State Senate. Thank you.
THE COURT: Anything from you, Mr. Lindsey?
12
13 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we simply just joined with
two other co-defendants with their positions.
14
15 THE COURT: Mr. Brown.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, quickly, three points.
16
17 Gwinnett raises the timeliness issue. Again, the statute
18 is clear and the demand needs to be made before the case
is called.
19
20 Second, counsel for Fulton County says that we
haven't made allegations about facts that are triable to a
21
22 jury. We have a very detailed fact-bound complaint and
each of the factual allegations in there, in any civil
23
24 case, would be triable to a jury and I know of no
25 exception. For example, were significant programming
0016
1 errors made? Can the vote tallies be reconciled? Are
2 there signs of malicious manipulation of the vote tallies?
All of those things are factual issues. Thank you, Your
3
4 Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. I strike the demand for jury.
5
6 This is a bench trial. It does not comport with the
statute. Okay. You want to make an opening statement --
7

8 whoa. Let's not do that. Okay.
9 MR. LINDSEY: I'm sorry. Sorry. And just as a
10 reminder, Your Honor, we have invoked the Rule.
11 THE COURT: The Rule is invoked. So witnesses, you have need to be outside.
12
13 MR. BROWN: Starting now, Your Honor?
THE COURT: Starting right now.
14
15 MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Starting right now.
16
17 MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, on behalf of Fulton County,
18 we have our [inaudible] representative, Mr. Barron. We'd like him to be able to stay to my --
19
20 THE COURT: He's who?
MS. BURWELL: Mr. Barron. Mr. Richard Barron. He's
21
22 the election superintendent for --
THE COURT: Is he being told to stay?
23
24 MS. BURWELL: -- Fulton County.
25 MR. BROWN: Clarification, Your Honor.
0017
1 THE COURT: Yes, sir.
2 MR. BROWN: We have two expert witnesses and typically they are not sequestered because they may be
3
4 relying upon the client testimony. And so we would request Your Honor's clarification that it does not apply
5
6 to expert witnesses.
MR. LINDSEY: And we believe that in this case that
7
8 it should unless they intend -- we have no objection to
9 them remaining in the courtroom --
10 THE COURT: Once they testify.
11 MR. LINDSEY: -- once they testify in case they need to be brought back up on rebuttal based on any fact that
12
13 need to be brought. But in terms of their initial testimony, we would ask the rule be [inaudible].
14
15 THE COURT: I think Mr. Brown, you -- we do that when you have experts and they have experts. We have dueling
16
17 experts, but you've got two of the same. So once they've
18 testified, they can stay.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
19
20 THE COURT: Okay. And that means you cannot discuss each other's testimony.
21
22 MR. LINDSEY: And for that matter, Your Honor, I just wanted to clarify for both sides. Once the witness has
23
24 testified, as long as there is an assurance by the lawyer
25 that that person will not be brought back up for any
0018

1 further reason, I -- any purpose, but I don't have any
2 problem with them remaining in the courtroom once they've
testified.
3
4 THE COURT: Okay.
MR. BROWN: My understanding of the rule, Your Honor,
5
6 is that if a witness is in the courtroom, the witness is
thereafter disqualified from being called.
7
8 MR. LINDSEY: Yeah. That's all I'm saying.
9 THE COURT: That's correct.
10 MR. LINDSEY: But I'm saying I don't have a problem
11 with them staying after they've testified.
THE COURT: Okay. Opening statement, Mr. Brown.
12
13 Open? Want to make an opening statement?
MR. BROWN: Oh, yes, Your Honor. Initially, we -- as
14
15 a matter of procedure, we have still pending a motion to
compel.
16
17 THE COURT: I've denied that motion to compel.
18 MR. BROWN: Okay. In addition -- just for the
record, Your Honor, we would like to make a motion for
19
20 additional discovery under the Civil Practice Act.
THE COURT: Sir, I've denied all that.
21
22 MR. BROWN: I understand.
THE COURT: We've been through it. I looked. I have
23
24 17 filings in this case in the last two days; okay?
25 Nobody's going to believe my list of work in this case.
0019
1 Those all have been denied. They are preserved for the
2 record.
MR. BROWN: Right.
3
4 THE COURT: I've ruled on them. The record is clear
that -- I've ruled. If that's what you're concerned
5
6 about, I've ruled; okay?
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, the
7
8 issue in this case is whether the election was so
9 defective as to place in doubt the result of the
10 lieutenant governor's contest. This case is not like any
11 other election case. In every other election case the
evidence of defectiveness, of a defective election, is
12
13 hard documentary evidence. It is misspelled names on an
absentee ballot.
14
15 It is a person who was ineligible to vote. It is
some flaw in the electoral process that can be
16
17 demonstrated with hard evidence in court. The State of
18 Georgia has chosen a voting system which embeds in it the
results, the true results of an election never to be

19
20 discovered after the vote is made.
The distinction between this case and every other
21
22 voting case is that there is no tangible evidence of the result of the election. There is none at all. Instead,
23
24 all we have are traces, secondary evidence, giving some
25 hint as to whether or not the voting totals are correct or
0020
1 not.
2 And what are those and what are those hints here? What evidence do we have that this vote is reliable? We
3
4 have none, Your Honor. What -- instead, what we have, and our experts will testify -- Your Honor, is there a issue
5
6 that I should address or is there --
THE COURT: Mm-hmm?
7
8 MR. BROWN: Are you -- let me --
9 THE COURT: A gentleman just came in and I'm not sure
10 if he's trying to record, that's the only thing.
11 MR. BROWN: Okay.
THE COURT: Sir, do you have anything to record?
12
13 MALE: No, Your Honor. I was sitting back here and I was having trouble hearing so I moved up.
14
15 THE COURT: No problem. Go ahead.
MR. BROWN: Sorry, Your Honor.
16
17 THE COURT: Sure.
18 MR. BROWN: Thank you. The --
THE COURT: I'm just trying to preserve the
19
20 courtroom. Go ahead. But I'm hearing you.
MR. BROWN: But what the evidence we do have are the
21
22 traces of what happened and all of that evidence shows that this election was defective. The most obvious
23
24 evidence is the vote patterns. And as Your Honor has read
25 in our briefs already, these voting patterns were aberrant
0021
1 in the extreme.
2 And the reason we know they were aberrant, the reasons we know they were aberrant is that this has never
3
4 -- nothing like this has ever happened before, but to me most probative is that the pattern that we see in the
5
6 electronic voting, the under-vote for the lieutenant governor's contest, is not seen in the paper votes. We
7
8 have 200,000 paper votes, Your Honor.
9 It's a huge sample of people in all walks of life,
10 all around the state, of every political persuasion, and
11 for some reason they all, or 99 percent of them, voted in the lieutenant governor's race. One or a little bit more

12
13 than one or less than one out of 100 decided not to vote. Historically, that is exactly what you would expect. For
14
15 the last 20 years, the consistent under-vote for the lieutenant governor's contest in Georgia is .8 percent,
16
17 less than 1 in 100.
18 In Georgia, the history is if you're going to take the trouble to vote, and not everybody does sadly, but if
19
20 you're going to take the trouble to vote, whether in-person, early, absentee, or live, is that 1 out of 100
21
22 will not vote for the lieutenant governor. That is what happened in the paper ballots this year. One out of 100
23
24 decided not to vote for the lieutenant governor's race.
25 Now for some reason we have many, many thousands more on
0022
1 the electronic ballot, a gap of 4 or 5 percent in the
2 electronic vote.
There is no legitimate explanation for that. It
3
4 could not have happened by chance. The samples are too large for that to be simply random chance. So it's not
5
6 chance. Could it be because the lieutenant governor's race was not very interesting to people? There's no
7
8 reason to believe that, Your Honor. And even if you were
9 inclined to believe that prima facie, then why did 99 out
10 of 100 vote on paper?
11 The same goes for every other explanation for this anomaly. Let the defendants make that out. In a criminal
12
13 case a convicted -- a defendant may be sent to the electric chair if the prosecution eliminates every
14
15 plausible alternative to their guilt. Here what we will do, and what the evidence will show, is that every other
16
17 plausible explanation other than machine defect caused
18 this other vote and because it was machine defect it's a defective election; so defective that its results are in
19
20 doubt.
A couple things to clarify our position to make sure
21
22 that Your Honor understands what our claim is. The defendants claim that this is a matter of simple math.
23
24 Our response to that is two-fold. First is that their
25 simple math is wrong. It's just bad arithmetic, Your
0023
1 Honor. Our second response -- and we'll explain that to
2 Your Honor in the course of these proceedings.
The second response to that is that it's not simple
3
4 math. You can't -- Your Honor understands this quite well

is that it's not simple math if you have multiple unknown
5
6 variables. Because this election was so defective the
State does not know the true vote got Mr. Duncan, does not
7
8 know the true for Ms. Amico. And third, it doesn't know
9 why there's a gap in the under-vote.
10 Our position isn't that there were some votes that
11 were lost. Our position is that the entire contents is
tainted because the under-vote shows that the machines
12
13 simply were not working -- that they were defective; so
defective as to cast the entire election in doubt and
14
15 require a new election.
The second point I wanted to make sure we were clear
16
17 on in terms of our position is that what we need to show
18 today and tomorrow is that the election was defective. We
do not need to show malice. We do not need to show that
19
20 the defendants or anybody else did something intentionally
to rig the vote or anything like that. That's not what
21
22 this case is about.
This case is about machines and whether or not they
23
24 worked and the evidence will show manifestly that they did
25 not. Your Honor, the course of these proceedings, and I
0024
1 caution your understanding of this, is that this argument
2 is not rearguing the motions that we have lost, but is
instead to take the case as it's presented right now. And
3
4 that is the plaintiffs have shown prima facie and will
show prima facie that this election was defective.
5
6 I call Your Honor's attention to OCGA 24-14-22. That
statute says, and I'll quote it, "If a party has evidence
7
8 in such party's power and within such party's reach by
9 which he or she may repel a claim or charge against him,
10 but omits to produce it or if a party has more certain and
11 satisfactory evidence in his or her power but relies on
that which is of weaker or inferior nature, a presumption
12
13 arises that the charge or claim against such party is well
founded; but this presumption may be rebutted."
14
15 Your Honor, what this law -- this law is commonsense
but it's also fundamentally important in terms of fairness
16
17 and accuracy and decision-making by the courts. We will
18 show that there's no plausible explanation other than
machine malfunction. The defendants can escape that
19
20 charge if they prove that they have investigated, they've
done their own, and they can bring into court evidence
21
22 that this -- these machines are working.

Instead, they will not do so and as Your Honor is
23
24 well aware, they have resisted doing so, and they have not
25 done so in discovery. And so when the plaintiff makes his
0025
1 case, or her cas, and the defendant's position is, well,
2 it's just a black box; we don't know what's in that black
box, then the plaintiff wins, Your Honor.
3
4 Your Honor, in terms of the order of proof we have
some witnesses -- the sequence of the witnesses may change
5
6 a little bit because of the sequestration. In addition,
we will have applied witnesses, people who actually
7
8 attended -- actually voted or trying to vote. We will
9 have an expert on forensics and on these particular DRE
10 machines, and we will have an expert on the numbers and on
11 the politics behind the numbers.
And at the conclusion of this matter, we believe that
12
13 the Court will be authorized, if not compelled, to
conclude that this election was so defective as to place
14
15 the results in doubt. Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: [Inaudible] Mr. Lindsey? Okay.
16
17 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, on
18 behalf of myself and Mr. Olens, we are here representing
the Lieutenant Governor Geoff Duncan who won his election
19
20 on November 6, 2018, by a significant margin, 123,172
votes.
21
22 The statutory in case law is clear as set forth in
the case of Hart versus Crawford, which said, and I quote,
23
24 "The setting aside of an election in which the people have
25 chosen the representative is a dramatic remedy that should
0026
1 not be taken lightly but instead should be reserved for
2 cases in which the person challenging an election has
clearly established that the violation has placed the
3
4 result of the election in doubt."
This requires, Your Honor, more than mere speculation
5
6 or guess work. More than saying that things might have
possibly happened but they must show by clear evidence
7
8 that a -- that some type of problem occurred and that it
9 was a problem of great enough significance to wipe out a
10 margin of victory of over 123,000 votes.
11 In this case, not only are the plaintiffs not going
to be able to show that they were the breach of Georgia's
12
13 election system, but we will also show why Ms. Amico
received the vote counts she did, which I want to state
14
15 right here at the beginning, which is that within the

range of the other unsuccessful Democratic candidates in
16
17 2006 [sic]. Ms. Amico received 1,828,566 votes.
18 Granted, this was less than the votes received by the more profiled Democratic candidates, such as Ms. Abrams,
19
20 but it is a vote count much higher than four other Democratic candidates and is within a few thousand votes
21
22 of two other ones. So in other words, she -- her vote count as a Democratic in the 2016 election was right
23
24 square in the middle among all the various Democrats who
25 ran statewide here in Georgia. That's number one.
0027
1 Number two, in terms we will be able to establish why
2 the vote count for Ms. Amico was what it was and why there was a differentiation between the paper and electronic
3
4 ballots. The fact of the matter is, Your Honor, we were dealing with an ahistorical election; and never before has
5
6 Georgia had this many new voters vote in one election. In 2014, there were approximately 98,000 new voters that
7
8 voted.
9 In 2018, there were almost four times that number
10 that voted. The fact of the matter is when you have this
11 many new voters, you have a greater number amount of confusion that takes place. You also have the fact that
12
13 the way in which the ballot was configured on paper ballots versus the electronic system could have added to
14
15 that confusion inadvertently by virtue of how the various individuals on the ballot were placed.
16
17 In the case of the electronic ballots, they were in a
18 -- what you might call an east-west position. In the paper ballots they were in a north-south position right
19
20 next to each other, which would add to the confusion for many voters, particularly many new voters here in Georgia
21
22 as to whether or not Ms. Amico and Ms. Abrams were running on a ticket.
23
24 This is aggravated further by the way in which Ms.
25 Amico chose to run her campaign, which was to seek to run
0028
1 as a team with Ms. Abrams. So that alone would suffice to
2 show why there was a difference between the paper and the electronic ballots. In addition, quite frankly, unlike a
3
4 lot of the other candidates, Ms. Amico received a lot of negative press in the closing days of the campaign.
5
6 And that closing -- that negative press was particularly being likely to dampen Democratic enthusiasm
7

8 for her candidacy given the fact that there were
9 allegations while she was a senior officer of a
10 corporation, that that corporation was engaged in
11 systematic racial discrimination.
So there were multiple reasons, Your Honor, not
12
13 simply one as to why there was the down-ballot voter gap
that existed in this race as opposed to others. And we
14
15 will look forward to presenting those to you. Thank you,
Your Honor.
16
17 THE COURT: Okay. [inaudible]?
18 MS. BURWELL: Thank you, Your Honor. The Fulton
County Board of Registrations and Elections is charged
19
20 with following State law and to follow State law in order
to ensure that citizens of Fulton County receive a fair
21
22 and accurate election when they go to the polls. And we
believe the evidence will show that the Fulton County
23
24 Board of Registrations and Elections did just that.
25 We believe the evidence will show that the Board
0029
1 accurately recorded the will of the Fulton County voters.
2 As this Court knows, there's no such thing as a perfect
election. There never has been and there never will be.
3
4 But in this instance, these petitioners have a heavy
burden and a burden we believe the evidence, with respect
5
6 to Fulton County, will now bear out their claims. And
that's because the case is very clear that not every
7
8 garden variety irregularity entitles anyone to a new
9 election and that's because elections are designed to
10 carry out the will of the people.
11 And again, we believe that this election did just
that. And at the close of the evidence we're going to ask
12
13 the Court to deny petitioner's request.
THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Tyson.
14
15 MR. TYSON: Thank you, Your Honor. Bryan Tyson for
Gwinnett County. We are in agreement with the prior two
16
17 defendants. The key point for this Court is that the
18 burden on the plaintiffs is high.
The election is presumed valid. These are the
19
20 certified results, unless the plaintiffs can bring forward
specific evidence of what was the irregularity that could
21
22 have caused the result to be placed in doubt, they cannot
succeed in their claim. The availability of any number of
23
24 plausible explanations, which the evidence will show, will
25 defeat that. The ballot design question, the fact that
0030

1 this election was the first time in a governor's election
2 that we've not had a U.S. Senate race also happening at
the same time, and so that affected the ballot design
3
4 worked and affected the way -- on the DRE's particularly
that voters were looking at those ballots.
5
6 Voter decisions obviously could play a role. Lack of
a third party candidate could play a role. Any number of
7
8 factors could explain the dip in the votes for Ms. Amico's
9 candidacy. And the Supreme Court is abundantly clear that
10 speculation alone is not enough to overturn an election.
11 Plaintiffs have the burden of showing hard evidence,
of demonstrating exactly what the cause was, and also
12
13 demonstrating that literally no other cause could have
happened. They have to come forward with affirmative
14
15 evidence that demonstrate that fact. The constitutional
claims, Your Honor, had been dismissed.
16
17 The only thing left in this case is a statutory
18 election contest and that is ultimately a mathematical
issue. 123,000 votes have to be shown to be in question
19
20 in addition to the -- so that the plaintiffs can carry
their burden and if they're not able to demonstrate that
21
22 or show an irregularity that places the entire result in
doubt through hard evidence, then they will not be able to
23
24 succeed in their claims and we do not believe they'll be
25 able to and believe the election should be upheld. Thank
0031
1 you.
2 THE COURT: First witness, Mr. Brown.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, we will call Marilyn Marks as
3
4 our first witness.
THE COURT: Would you swear the witness, please, Mr.
5
6 Brown?
MR. BROWN: Ms. Marks, please raise your right hand.
7
8 Do you promise to tell the truth, the whole truth, and
9 nothing but the truth?
10 MS. MARKS: Yes.
11 MR. BROWN: Have a seat.
12
13 Thereupon:
14
15 MARILYN MARKS
16
17 was called as a witness by the Petitioner; and,
18 having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
19
20 DIRECT EXAMINATION
OF MARILYN MARKS
21

22
BY MR. BROWN:
23
24 Q. Please state your full name for the record?
25 A. Marilyn Marks.
0032
1 Q. Ms. Marks, by whom are you currently
2 employed?
A. I am the executive director of Coalition
3
4 for Good Governance.
Q. And what is the Coalition for Good
5
6 Governance?
A. Coalition for Good Governance is a
7
8 nonprofit, charitable organization, non-partisan
9 organization, that works on election transparency,
10 election integrity, voter privacy. We have a few
11 core issues of that nature that we really focused on
and we're a small organization.
12
13 Q. Is electronic voting one of those core
issues?
14
15 A. In fact, we probably -- yes, it is. In
fact, we've probably spent the better part of the
16
17 last three years with 100 percent of our resources
18 focused on problems with electronic voting in the
fact that electronic voting is not verifiable --
19
20 cannot be on the table --
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we object [inaudible] --
21
22 THE COURT: [inaudible] not responsive to the
question.
23
24 MR. BROWN: That's right. That's fine. Thank you.
25 THE COURT: Just answer -- you'll get there.
0033
1 MR. BROWN: That's right.
2 THE COURT: He'll get to everything you need to say.
Give him a chance; okay?
3
4 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. And what in particular about electronic
5
6 voting has caused the Coalition to focus upon that as
one of their issues?
7
8 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'm going to object. She
9 hasn't been qualified as an expert and this isn't a case
10 as to whether or not there are doubts. It's the terms of
11 whether or not electronic voting versus paper ballots or
some other system would be better. That's an argument
12
13 that needs to be done -- as a matter of fact, being done
down at the State Capitol.
14

15 The question today is whether or not there was an actual taking place in this election, in this particular
16
17 election. So there are two objections. Number one, she
18 hasn't been qualified as an expert; and number two, the question is irrelevant to the issues before the Court at
19
20 this time.
THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
21
22 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I asked her a factual question as to what the Coalition's focus was on and she
23
24 was answering it.
25 THE COURT: Well, but she got beyond -- she started
0034
1 to testify as an expert and she can't testify as an expert
2 unless you can qualify her. I understand your position and I know what the law is. I'm going to give them a
3
4 little latitude in this case.
MR. BROWN: I understand. Sure.
5
6 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. What is your -- I'm going to ask you some
7
8 questions about your background. And first, your
9 organization is based where?
10 A. Yes. Our headquarters is in Charlotte,
11 North Carolina.
Q. And what is your personal connection to
12
13 Georgia?
A. Well, actually I had my business career
14
15 here before I retired.
Q. And what was your business career?
16
17 A. I was the CEO and owner of a truck-trailer
18 manufacturing reorganization and we're headquartered here in Atlanta.
19
20 Q. And skipping back to -- so you've lived many years in Georgia; correct?
21
22 A. I lived in -- I plan to move back shortly.
Q. Okay.
23
24 A. I've been spending the vast majority of my
25 time here for the last four or five months.
0035
1 Q. Ms. Marks, what is your experience
2 generally with electronic voting systems and whether that's a good method of recording votes?
3
4 A. Okay. Ten years ago I got very interested in elections; in fact, ran for Mayor of Aspen,
5
6 Colorado. And at that time, they were using the Diebold voting system that is also used in Georgia.

7
8 They were using it slightly differently. But at that
9 time is when I began to be concerned about the
10 electronic portion of the system.
11 And I tested the system as part of my work as a
citizen and I became an election judge, and ended up
12
13 spending a considerable amount of time getting to
know the system. And over the last ten years I
14
15 devoted myself -- having lost the election I devoted
myself to the problems that were begin to be
16
17 uncovered in my own loss in the election.
18 And I have spent the last ten years doing work
around troubled elections [inaudible] organization
19
20 tends to called into troubled elections, particularly
when there are unverifiable electronic elections
21
22 where the results cannot be audited like this one.
Q. Have you ever been involved in a post-
23
24 election audit?
25 A. I have.
0036
1 THE WITNESS: Your Honor, would it be all right if I
2 have a cup of water?
MR. BROWN: Yeah. Here. I'll get that, Your Honor.
3
4 THE COURT: Actually, your lawyer's got some.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
5
6 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. I believe you were testifying about post-
7
8 election audits, Ms. Marks.
9 A. Yes. I have been involved in various forms
10 of post-election audits starting with my own loss in
11 the election in 2009 and then primarily in Colorado,
although some in North Carolina, South Carolina, and
12
13 whereas in Georgia there is no post-election audit.
But, yes, I've been both in server; I've helped plan;
14
15 I've a poll watcher for post-election audits.
I've trained what in Colorado called canvas
16
17 board members who conduct the post-election audit.
18 So I've trained those for Libertarian Party and some
of the nonpartisan candidates -- not candidates,
19
20 excuse me, members of canvas boards. So I've spent
hundreds of hours in post-election auditing.
21
22 Q. Have you testified in court before, Ms.
Marks?
23
24 A. Yes, many times. Coalition for Good
25 Governance has done a lot of work in litigat- -- in

0037
1 election litigation and so I have been in court a
2 lot.
Q. You mentioned paper ballots and the --
3
4 based upon your understanding of the allegations in
this petition, do you understand that the petitioners
5
6 are seeking a new election; do you understand that?
A. Yes.
7
8 Q. And do you have an understanding of whether
9 the petitioners want the new election to be on paper
10 ballot on the one hand or on the electronic ballot on
11 the other?
A. It is clear that the petitioners want only
12
13 a verifiable election, which has to be on paper
ballots.
14
15 Q. And you -- based upon your work, have you
become familiar with Georgia's processes and
16
17 procedures for conducting electronic and paper
18 elections?
A. Yes, I'm very familiar with those
19
20 procedures. I began my work in Georgia in the spring
of 2017 and began to analyze the problems in the
21
22 unverifiable DRE system. The debunked DRE system at
the time actually -- should I tell you about when --
23
24 Q. Just wait.
25 A. Okay.
0038
1 Q. Based upon your understanding of Georgia's
2 practices and procedures in voting, would it be
feasible for Georgia to conduct a paper ballot for
3
4 any re-elect new election?
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object to that question.
5
6 The foundation hasn't be laid for that; it's a legal
conclusion. She's not qualified as an expert.
7
8 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would -- thank you, Mr.
9 Tyson, if I may interrupt. I should have before asking
10 that question and I'll withdraw it -- ask that Ms. Marks
11 be qualified as a witness about DRE machines generally and
their use in Georgia and the practices and procedures of
12
13 the State of Georgia for paper ballots and for electronic
ballots.
14
15 MR. TYSON: If I could ask [inaudible] show of
questions of Ms. Marks.
16
17 THE COURT: Surely. Go ahead.
18 MR. TYSON: Good morning, Ms. Marks. My name is

Bryan Tyson. I represent the Gwinnett County Board of
19
20 Elections -- Registrations and Elections. I just had a couple additional questions for you. You mentioned you
21
22 testified in court before.
23
24 CROSS-EXAMINATION
25 OF MARILYN MARKS
0039
1
2 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. Have you ever qualified as an expert in a
3
4 court proceeding?
A. No. And have never intended to be.
5
6 Q. Okay. Have you ever been involved in the administration of an election in Georgia a member of
7
8 the Board of Elections, a staff member in elections
9 office?
10 A. No, I have not been a Georgia resident so I
11 would not have been qualified for that. I have been a poll watcher several times for candidates and for
12
13 the Libertarian Party in Georgia.
Q. Mr. Brown asked you about your work with
14
15 electronic voting machines. Do you have any degrees or specialized training in computer science?
16
17 A. No. I just have a practical hands-on
18 experience.
Q. Do you -- have you -- I'm sorry. It's
19
20 correct, isn't it, that you have no first-hand knowledge of any DRE machine that you personally
21
22 reviewed for the 2018 Georgia general election; is that correct?
23
24 A. I'm sorry. Do you mind repeating the
25 question?
0040
1 Q. Sure. It's correct, isn't it, that you
2 have not personally reviewed any DRE machines that were used in the 2018 Georgia general election?
3
4 A. No. That is incorrect.
Q. Okay. Which machines have you reviewed?
5
6 A. Okay. So I'll not be able to tell you the serial numbers, not off the top of my head,
7
8 certainly. The machines that I observed as I was a
9 poll watcher and I watched them operate -- I looked
10 at the data that was coming up on the screen in a
11 number of poll locations, and did so in a number of the 2018 elections.

12
13 So, I did watch the operations, I watched the shutdown of the machines, and then watched the
14
15 progression records through the compilation tallies. So, yes, I have observed the operation of the
16
17 machines as a authorized statewide poll watcher.
18 Q. So, it would be correct to say, wouldn't it, that you've observed them from a distance, but
19
20 you've not personally examined any of the DRE machines; is that correct?
21
22 A. That would not be correct.
Q. Have you personally ever touched a DRE
23
24 machine?
25 A. Oh, certainly.
0041
1 Q. Have you ever voted on a DRE machine?
2 A. I have.
Q. Have you voted on a Georgia DRE machine?
3
4 A. I have not voted in -- wait, excuse me. I think I was about to not get that correct. When I
5
6 was a resident here, I believe they were just bringing in the DRE machines and so I believe I did
7
8 vote many years ago on the DRE machine.
9 Q. But you're not sure?
10 A. I -- I voted on a DRE machine in North
11 Carolina.
Q. And you personally believe that Georgia
12
13 should move to a paper ballot system; correct?
A. Certainly.
14
15 MR. TYSON: I don't have any other questions at the moment.
16
17 THE COURT: Anybody else have questions for her?
18 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, we renew our objection. She's never been qualified as an expert.
19
20 THE COURT: Go ahead.
MR. TYSON: For one, she just finished saying that
21
22 she doesn't consider herself an expert. So -- and she's not demonstrated any expertise on the technical issues
23
24 that are involved in this case. She certainly has some
25 very strong opinions and we understand it's good for her
0042
1 to get up on the stand to sort of lay out what the
2 plaintiffs want. But in terms of providing any factual evidence to support their claim, she's simply not been
3
4 qualified as an expert [inaudible].

MR. LINDSEY: And, Your Honor, we would also object
5
6 to Ms. Marks being qualified as an expert. We already her expertise on the DRE machines. She's testified that it's
7
8 limited to just observing them from a distance.
9 And that we don't believe that that will in any way
10 assist the Court in determining fact in issue under 702(b)
11 because that's not one of the issues here in terms of what someone saw on the machine. The issue is what was the
12
13 vote in that issue.
In addition, we would also object to her
14
15 qualification regarding Georgia practices and procedures in that those are ensconced in the election code and Your
16
17 Honor is capable of determining those without the
18 assistance from an expert.
THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
19
20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, she's not being -- a lot of what Mr. Lindsey said I didn't quite follow, but she is
21
22 not being qualified as to the technical aspects of the DRE. We have another expert for that. She instead is
23
24 being qualified for the procedure. Thank you.
25 THE COURT: The question you asked would have
0043
1 required her to be an expert and she's not qualified as an
2 expert. You asked, you know, could Georgia conduct paper -- I am listening. I don't look like I am sometimes but
3
4 that helps me intensely listen.
You asked her really about whether paper ballots
5
6 could be done and she has no expertise to be able to testify to something like that.
7
8 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
9
10 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
11 OF MARILYN MARKS
12
13 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Let me ask you some questions and you --
14
15 you have not been qualified as an expert, so when I ask you a question it's going to have be based on
16
17 your personal knowledge; do you understand that?
18 A. Yes. Yes, I do. Uh-huh.
Q. Okay. Who is Taran Greenwald?
19
20 A. Taran Greenwald is an analyst for Coalition for Good Governance.
21
22 Q. Okay.
MR. BROWN: And has the Coalition -- let me hand you

23
24 what I'll have marked --
25 THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
0044
1 MR. BROWN: -- as Exhibit 1.
2
[Thereupon, the referred-to document was entered into
3
4 evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 1]
5
6 MR. TYSON: If we may, Your Honor, could we see a
copy first? Thank you, Your Honor.
7
8 THE COURT: [inaudible].
9 BY MR. BROWN:
10 Q. Ms. Marks, what is Exhibit 1?
11 A. Exhibit 1 is a voting records request that
was made by Mr. Greenwald and the response from the
12
13 Secretary of State to his request to review certain
of the records.
14
15 Q. Did Coalition for Good Governance send a
number of Open Records Act requests to the Secretary?
16
17 A. Yes, we did.
18 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I object. I'm not real
sure where we're going here.
19
20 THE COURT:
MR. LINDSEY: The Court -- I assume you're trying to
21
22 -- just trying to lay down proper evidence -- evidence you
couldn't get in. No, you couldn't obtain.
23
24 THE COURT: Well, so far -- so far -- I haven't seen
25 the document; okay, he hasn't admitted. So far he hasn't
0045
1 asked --
2 MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible]
THE COURT: -- an objectionable question yet.
3
4 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: I think -- I think both Mr. Lindsey --
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: I'm anticipating -- my apologies, Your
Honor.
7
8 THE COURT: -- Mr. Lindsay and I are anticipating; we
9 may be absolutely wrong. Go ahead.
10 MR. LINDSEY: My apologies, Your Honor.
11 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Ms. Marks, is this a true and correct copy
12
13 of a January 9 email from open records at the
Secretary of State to your company's analyst, Taran
14
15 Greenwald?
A. Yes. It is.
16

17 Q. And without going through everything, what
18 does the letter say?
THE COURT: Well, you'll have to tender it before --
19
20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would move to introduce
Exhibit 1 into evidence.
21
22 THE COURT: Now, Mr. Lindsay.
MR. LINDSEY: Objection, Your Honor. It's hearsay
23
24 and it's lay -- you have to also lay a foundation as to
25 what's relevant to the particular issue before us.
0046
1 THE COURT: Is it certified, Mr. Brown?
2 MR. BROWN: It is not. It's an email. It's a
business record, Your Honor. It's an email from the
3
4 Secretary of State to her organization.
THE COURT: I -- it's not -- it's not tendered --
5
6 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: -- I mean, it's tendered; it's not
7
8 admitted.
9 MR. BROWN: Okay.
10 BY MR. BROWN:
11 Q. Ms. Marks, you testified that you were a
poll watcher.
12
13 A. Yes.
Q. And was that in the November 2018 election?
14
15 A. I did. I wasn't a poll watcher in 2018,
but I was also a poll watcher in previous 2018
16
17 elections and in Georgia.
18 Q. Okay. And were you ever a poll watcher in
early voting for the 2018 election?
19
20 A. Yes. I was a statewide poll watcher for
the Libertarian Party and the poll watcher
21
22 credentials covered the period of early voting
through the of the election.
23
24 Q. Were you a poll watcher at the Ponce de
25 Len Library polling location?
0047
1 A. Yes, I was. And the way it works is that
2 we're a poll watcher for all locations.
Q. And -- but you were a poll watcher -- you
3
4 went to poll -- you went to the Ponce de Len Library
polling location; correct?
5
6 A. Yes. During early voting.
Q. And what did you personally observe at the
7
8 -- that polling location?
9 A. The first thing I noticed when I got there

10 were two-hour long lines for people to get in and
11 then how hard it was for people to get into vote, and
I saw many people leaving because they couldn't vote.
12
13 And I could go through with you the troublesome --
Q. Well, let me ask you. Let me ask you that.
14
15 A. Uh-huh.
Q. Did you observe the DREs in operation from
16
17 an appropriate distance?
18 A. I did.
Q. Were they operating correctly?
19
20 A. They were not.
Q. And why so?
21
22 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'll object. I think this
requires Ms. Marks to have a degree of expertise regarding
23
24 the operation of the DRE machines for her to opine about
25 whether they were operating properly or not. There's not
0048
1 a foundation for that.
2 THE COURT: I -- I --
MR. BROWN: I'll withdraw the question, Your Honor --
3
4 THE COURT: Withdraw --
MR. BROWN: -- and make it easier. I can make this
5
6 easy.
BY MR. BROWN:
7
8 Q. Were some of the voting machines not
9 working in that they were not taking votes at all?
10 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'll object again.
11 [inaudible] foundation, Ms. Marks can testify to that.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor --
12
13 THE COURT: She -- I think you're making much too
general of a question. I understand there's a specific
14
15 allegation. Get to the specific allegation.
MR. BROWN: Okay.
16
17 THE COURT: Okay.
18 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Ms. Marks, was the long line the result of
19
20 some of the machines not working?
A. Yes, it was.
21
22 Q. And how do you know that?
A. I -- the work of a poll watcher is to
23
24 observe the entirety of what's going on.
25 THE COURT: Okay. Just answer the question, please,
0049
1 ma'am.
2 MR. BROWN: Yeah, just --

THE WITNESS: And I could see that the machines were
3
4 malfunctioning. I could see the screen, the machines were malfunctioning. It was taking a very long time for those
5
6 voters to go back --
BY MR. BROWN:
7
8 Q. How were they malfunctioning, from your
9 perspective?
10 A. Okay. I was standing about five feet away
11 --
Q. And what --
12
13 A. -- it was a small place.
Q. And what did the machines do?
14
15 A. And so what would happen is a screen would come up that would say, vote cancelled. As the voter
16
17 was attempting to enter their vote and a big red
18 warning sign came up, and the machine stopped working. I observed that happening three times
19
20 during the 30 minutes I was at the library.
Q. Did you speak with any officials at the
21
22 polling location about that problem?
A. I attempted to. I was denied the ability
23
24 to talk to them.
25 Q. Okay. Thank you. Other than the
0050
1 malfunctioning machines and the long lines, anything
2 else about the Ponce de Len poll watching experience that is -- that struck you as unusual?
3
4 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'll just object to the question as worded. I don't think there's of the machine
5
6 were malfunctioning. I think there is testimony they displayed a red box; I don't think there's any testimony
7
8 that indicates that's that a malfunction.
9 MR. BROWN: I'll withdraw the question.
10 THE COURT: You'll have her on direct.
11 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Ms. Marks.
12
13 RECROSS-EXAMINATION
OF MARILYN MARKS
14
15
BY MR. TYSON:
16
17 Q. Ms. Marks, just one question before you
18 leave. I'll try to keep it short. You said that you saw three instances of the machine saying, vote
19
20 cancelling; is that correct?
A. That is correct.

21
22 Q. And you didn't witness any other indications of red screens coming on the machines
23
24 while you were at the Ponce precinct; is that
25 correct?
0051
1 A. I witnessed three in 30 minutes and that is
2 all --
Q. Thank you.
3
4 A. -- and that was a sign that the machine malfunctioning and the [inaudible] --
5
6 Q. I'm sorry. Yes. I don't believe there's any evidence to explain it --
7
8 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, that's not a question. That
9 is a statement and I'd like to strike that from the
10 record.
11 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I request that the rest of Ms. Marks' answer about the machine malfunction be
12
13 stricken; that there was no foundation for that statement.
THE COURT: You need to just answer the question. I
14
15 know -- I know your position; I know where you're coming from; okay? No problem. I've already got that. You
16
17 don't have to impress me with that. Just answer the
18 questions.
MR. TYSON: All right. That's all I have. Thank
19
20 you.
THE COURT: Anybody else?
21
22 MR. LINDSEY: Just real briefly.
23
24 CROSS-EXAMINATION
25 OF MARILYN MARKS
0052
1
2 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. Ms. Marks, is your position at the
3
4 Coalition a volunteer or paid position?
A. It is a volunteer position.
5
6 Q. Okay. Do you receive any compensation for it?
7
8 A. None at all.
9 Q. Okay. Nevertheless, Ms. Marks, is it not
10 true --
11 MR. LINDSEY: Let me show you what we'll tender as Duncan Defense 1.
12
13
[Thereupon, the referred-to document was entered into
14

15 evidence as Defendant's Duncan Exhibit No. 1]
16
17 BY MR. LINDSEY:
18 Q. This is a Tweet you sent out last night; is it not? Is that not a Tweet you sent out last night?
19
20 A. It is.
Q. And does it not show that basically you are
21
22 using this trial as a fundraising mechanism for your organization?
23
24 A. No, it does not show that.
25 Q. It does show that you are seeking to raise
0053
1 money as part of this trial; correct?
2 A. Not as part of this trial; in order to pay attorney's fees and other legal cost.
3
4 Q. Okay.
A. That this trial will cost.
5
6 Q. Okay. And then you just sent out soliciting contributions as part of this trial;
7
8 correct?
9 A. Not as part of this trial, sir, but as part
10 -- routine requirements to raise money for a very
11 small organization with a high level of expenses.
Q. Okay.
12
13 A. We've been raising money for many years.
Q. All right. And this trial -- you sent this
14
15 out in anticipation of the trial today; correct?
A. This is consistent with fundraising
16
17 messages I've been sending out for years.
18 Q. Okay. So otherwise, you send other fundraising messages out when there are other trials
19
20 that are going on; correct?
A. Whether or not there are trials going on,
21
22 we are always in fundraising mode.
Q. Okay.
23
24 A. We need to be more.
25 Q. All right.
0054
1 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we would tender this
2 Exhibit.
THE COURT: [inaudible] Let me have it, please.
3
4 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor. No objection, Your Honor.
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: Defense Exhibit -- I guess it would be Duncan 1.
7

8 THE COURT: Duncan 1. [inaudible], admitted. Go
9 ahead.
10
11 CROSS-EXAMINATION
OF MARILYN MARKS
12
13
BY MS. BURWELL:
14
15 Q. Ms. Marks, are you familiar with the
process for disabled voting on Georgia's DRE
16
17 machines?
18 A. I'm generally familiar with it. I've never
tested it myself as I have in other states.
19
20 Q. And so, what is your understanding of the
process?
21
22 A. To make sure I understand your question,
are you asking about an in-person disabled voter who
23
24 needs to use the assistive devices, is that what
25 you're --
0055
1 Q. Yes.
2 A. -- asking me?
Q. Yes.
3
4 A. Okay. So I think that the process
generally is that the disabled voter can identify
5
6 themselves as needing the assistive device and the
poll worker ushers to a device, make sure they know
7
8 how to use it, and it's generally a vision-impaired
9 voter who would need the type of assistive device
10 that Georgia polls use and that they begin to mark
11 the ballots generally through a pad as they are
listening to what's called an audio ballot.
12
13 Q. So, are you familiar with how the actual
machine is set up for a disabled voter?
14
15 A. I am.
Q. Okay. And how would you be familiar with
16
17 that?
18 A. I've set one up before. Not in Georgia,
but just like them in other locations and I've tested
19
20 audio in other locations on the machine.
Q. So, are you familiar with what happens if
21
22 it is in disabled mode and someone uses it who is not
disabled?
23
24 A. Generally nothing very different happens,
25 not supposed to. Those machines are used frequently,
0056

1 particularly when there are long lines. Those
2 machines are used frequently by voters in the
standard mode.
3
4 Q. When you say, are used by voters in the
standard mode, you mean a disabled voter?
5
6 A. No. I meant that while they do have the
DREs with assistive devices, that they are not -- any
7
8 voter can, and they're not required to use the
9 assistive device.
10 Q. So, my question is, if -- and for a Georgia
11 machine it is set up for using an assistive device,
but the person doesn't need it -- my question is, do
12
13 you know what happens on the machine? Do you know if
it reveals anything or do you know what the machine
14
15 will show under those circumstances?
A. I don't think it shows if it is -- operates
16
17 as I believe it does -- I don't believe it shows
18 anything different than it does -- than a normal
standard DRE setup does.
19
20 Q. Okay.
MR. BURWELL: Thank you.
21
22 THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
23
24 RE-DIRECT EXAMINATION
25 OF MARILYN MARKS
0057
1
2 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Ms. Marks, if you would turn to Duncan 1, I
3
4 have a follow-up question for you.
A: I need a copy of it.
5
6 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, would you like my copy?
THE COURT: That's all right. I have it. But I
7
8 need to keep it in the stack.
9 BY MR. BROWN:
10 Q. Ms. Marks, your Tweet, which has been
11 identified as -- and introduced into evidence as
Duncan Number 1. In that you state, the state and
12
13 counties are opposing us at every turn in the court.
Do you see that?
14
15 A. I do.
Q. How has the Secretary opposed your efforts
16
17 in this matter?
18 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, objection to relevance.
Those have all been issues that have been raised to the
19

20 Court and by their very able attorney, Mr. Brown. He's raised motions and you have denied each and every one of
21
22 those motions. And so --
THE COURT: Mr. Brown, why is that relevant to the
23
24 election contest we're trying right now? That's all we're
25 trying, is the election contest.
0058
1 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, Mr. Lindsey opened the door
2 by introducing this into evidence in an effort to smear Ms. Marks and I'm --
3
4 THE COURT: He's not talking -- let's -- you know, I told you the other day about inflammatory language. He's
5
6 not trying to smear. He's trying to challenge her credibility.
7
8 MR. BROWN: Sure.
9 THE COURT: That's a whole -- that's a different
10 thing.
11 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. It was -- it was not my intention to smear Mr. Lindsey, I assure you.
12
13 THE COURT: I understand.
MR. BROWN: And -- thank you. I have no further
14
15 questions. Oh, well, I do. I'm sorry. If I may, Your Honor.
16
17 THE COURT: Go ahead.
18 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. HAVA. Do you know what HAVA is?
19
20 A. I do.
Q. And what does it stand for?
21
22 A. The Help America Vote Act.
Q. And are the -- is the examination of --
23
24 from Ms. Burwell, did that relate to that federal
25 law?
0059
1 MR. LINDSAY: Your Honor, I'll object. That calls
2 for a legal conclusion.
MR. BROWN: Okay. Let me back up. I'll withdraw the
3
4 question.
THE COURT: [inaudible]. Sometimes it's just
5
6 rephrasing.
MR. BROWN: True. Thank you, Your Honor.
7
8 BY MR. BROWN:
9 Q. You -- is it your understanding, and this
10 is to follow up on the questions from Ms. Burwell --
11 is it your understanding that in some instances it is required to use a DRE system that has the mechanisms
12

13 for assisting disabled voters?
MR. LINDSAY: Your Honor, I'll object again. I don't
14
15 think there's a foundation laid for this question and I think we're back to a relevance objection again, as well,
16
17 that this is not relevant to the election contest.
18 THE COURT: I think -- and he's right. You've got other experts coming in, so it's really neces- -- she's
19
20 not been qualified as an expert; she's not an expert. She has a lot of information but she's not an expert. So I
21
22 think you need to wait for your experts for some of this.
MR. BROWN: That's fine, Your Honor. Thank you. I
23
24 have no further questions. Thank you, Ms. Marks.
25 THE COURT: You may go down. Next witness?
0060
1 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, just to confirm, we are
2 excusing Ms. Marks -- is Ms. Marks excused so she can remain in the courtroom?
3
4 THE COURT: Yes. She is excused.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
5
6
[Thereupon, the testimony of the witness concluded at
7
8 10:25 a.m.]
9
10 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the plaintiffs would call
11 Sara LeClerc.
THE COURT: You'll have to go get her. We -- we only
12
13 see to have one deputy so you'll have to get her, or have someone go get her.
14
15 MR. BROWN: Please raise your right hand. Do you promise to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but
16
17 the truth?
18 THE WITNESS: I do.
19
20 Thereupon:
21
22 SARA LECLERC
23
24 was called as a witness by the Petitioner; and,
25 having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
0061
1
2 DIRECT EXAMINATION
OF SARA LECLERC
3
4
BY MR. BROWN:
5
6 Q. Please state your full name for the record.
A. Sara M. LeClerc.

7
8 Q. Please have a seat. Okay. Could you spell
9 your last name for the court reporter, please?
10 A. Absolutely. It's L-E- capital C-L-E-R-C.
11 Q. Is it LeClerc; is that correct?
A. It's LeClerc, but --
12
13 Q. LeClerc.
A. -- [inaudible] doesn't matter.
14
15 Q. Ms. LeClerc, by whom are you currently
employed?
16
17 A. I work for myself. I'm an attorney and I -
18 -
THE COURT: I'm sorry.
19
20 THE WITNESS: I just work for myself. And I'm an
attorney and I -- so I work on a contract basis for other
21
22 firms.
BY MR. BROWN:
23
24 Q. And where did you go to law school?
25 A. The University of Virginia.
0062
1 Q. Okay. And when did you graduate?
2 A. 2007.
Q. And did there come a time that you
3
4 participated in the 2018 elections in any way?
A. Yes.
5
6 Q. And what was your role?
A. Well, my first role I would say other --
7
8 well, other than actually in the election as a
9 citizen, I volunteered to observe, be a poll watcher,
10 a poll observer, so.
11 Q. And with whom did you volunteer? Was it an
organization that --
12
13 A. Yeah. I went to a training with the
Georgia Democrats.
14
15 Q. And did you end up observing any election?
A. Yes, I did.
16
17 Q. And where did you -- where were you?
18 A. Well, I did some early voting observations,
as well as Election Day, and then also the runoff
19
20 Election Day in December. So I was at different
locations for each of those days.
21
22 Q. And on November 7th, where were you
located?
23
24 A. It was November 6th.
25 Q. November 6th, I'm sorry.

0063
1 A. And November 6th I was at Allen Temple AME
2 Church.
THE COURT: Which one?
3
4 A. Allen Temple AME. It's on Joseph Boone
Boulevard in Atlanta.
5
6 Q. And in the course of being an observer, do
you take contemporaneous notes of what you are
7
8 observing?
9 A. Yes. So, if something happens, it's not
10 just a perfectly smooth process, then I use my iPhone
11 and I have an app at the direction of to an LBJ
reporting tool. So I took notes directly to the
12
13 iPhone and website.
Q. And what does LBJ stand for, if you know?
14
15 In this instance.
A. Probably Lyndon Baines Johnson.
16
17 Q. Okay.
18 A. I believe it was named after him, given
[inaudible].
19
20 Q. Okay. And did you, in fact, enter your
notes and observations when you were at the AME
21
22 location on November 6th?
A. Yeah, I did; well, multiple times
23
24 throughout that day.
25 MR. BROWN: And I'm going to hand you a -- an Exhibit
0064
1 and let me -- if I may explain this to counsel.
2
[Thereupon, the referred-to document was entered into
3
4 evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 2]
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible].
MR. BROWN: Understood. Put it on the record while I
7
8 hand it to you because it's hard to read.
9 MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible] with my glasses.
10 BY MR. BROWN:
11 Q. And what I've handed to counsel is a large-
print version for Mr. Lindsey, like the books you get
12
13 from Amazon, and then the actual Excel spreadsheet,
which is too small even for me to read, and you could
14
15 just see that these blocks were copied onto this.
MR. LINDSEY: I understand. Which one do I get?
16
17 Both of these?
18 MR. BROWN: This is what I'm using as evidence.
MR. LINDSEY: Okay. Do I have a copy of that?

19
20 MR. BROWN: And you have that so you can verify it.
MR. LINDSEY: For the record, Your Honor, I had the
21
22 Lasix surgery. I can still read this.
THE COURT: This one? This is P-2?
23
24 MR. BROWN: This is P-2, Your Honor.
25 BY MR. BROWN:
0065
1 Q. Now, Ms. LeClerc, the notes that you take
2 on the LBJ system appear on what looks like an Excel
spreadsheet; is that correct? And that is not what's
3
4 in front of you. That's what I handed to Mr.
Lindsey.
5
6 A. I have the large print of what you said is
the Excel spreadsheet.
7
8 Q. And does that appear to be a large-print
9 version of the very small print on the Excel
10 spreadsheet?
11 A. Yes.
Q. And does the Excel spreadsheet have a true
12
13 and correct recording of the notes that you took on
the LBJ system as you were observing things in the
14
15 AME voting location?
A. Yes. All of the notes that start with Sara
16
17 LeClerc, that's -- those notes are all on, yes.
18 Q. And if it's someone else -- excuse me. And
if it's someone else, their name would appear, like,
19
20 Benjamin Thorpe [ph]; correct?
A. Right. There were maybe one or two notes
21
22 by a different person and their name appears next to
those notes.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would like to introduce
25 Defendant's 2 into the record. I mean, sorry. P-2 into
0066
1 the record.
2 MR. LINDSEY: If I understand it, these are
contemporaneous notes that are from your observations; is
3
4 that correct?
THE WITNESS: Yes.
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: Okay. No objection.
THE COURT: [inaudible]. Yeah. Thank you.
7
8 BY MR. BROWN:
9 Q. Now, Ms. LeClerc, the way this prints out,
10 where do we start?
11 A. You actually start at the back, so these
are my first pages and my last.

12
13 Q. Okay.
A. [inaudible].
14
15 Q. And let's just walk through your notes and
I'll ask you some questions on what you were
16
17 observing. Looking at page four --
18 A. If I can clarify that.
Q. Sure.
19
20 A. Actually these are by incidence --
Q. Okay.
21
22 A. -- so the first incident actually starts at
the bottom of page three.
23
24 Q. Okay. And what was that incident that you
25 observed?
0067
1 A. There was an issue where the Express
2 machines were to pick up their ballots. The number
that was on the machines -- well, there -- there were
3
4 two machines at this location. So, they -- the two
poll workers were comparing the machines and noticed
5
6 that one machine was a couple numbers different from
the other machine's count. So they seemed to be out
7
8 of sync and having discrepancies.
9 Q. And was that issue resolved?
10 A. Yes. That did get resolved. The poll
11 manager called in for a technical help but was told
that it would self-correct in time and it did self-
12
13 correct [inaudible].
Q. And then what was the next incident?
14
15 A. The next incident that I started taking was
that just one of the voters who came in had --
16
17 started ac- -- oh, I'm sorry.
18 Q. Could you -- I was going to ask you to
refer to your notes, so maybe we could follow along
19
20 with your observations. Was this the senior voter?
A. Yes.
21
22 Q. Okay. Turn with me to the bottom of page
two.
23
24 A. Yes.
25 Q. Are those your notes relating to that
0068
1 senior voter?
2 A. Yes.
Q. And just go ahead and explain without
3
4 looking at this what you recall. That's fine.

A. So, I noticed that -- well, a voter came in
5
6 to check in, got their ballot. She was a rather elderly lady walking on a cane, very kind, and she
7
8 went to the machine to vote. At that point, nothing
9 out of the ordinary had happened, but she started
10 turning around and asking for some help with the
11 machine.
So, we pulled to help her, to assist her. And
12
13 the two of them were at the machine for a brief time. I think the manager left and the voter continued
14
15 voting. Then she called the manager back again and the manager went back to assist. And so the two of
16
17 them were at the machine together for a little while
18 and appear to me ordinary but the manager is allowed to assist the voter if the voter asks for help.
19
20 It lasted for a little while longer than I would have anticipated and at the end of that, the voter
21
22 went down -- went over to some chairs to sit and wait, and I noticed that the manager started shutting
23
24 that DRE machine down, closing it up, and so that was
25 unusual to me and I wanted to figure out what was
0069
1 going on. Why was that machine getting closed? Was
2 there a problem?
So at first I was just observing. I didn't want
3
4 to get in the way of whatever the manager was doing. And I went over to talk to the voter. She was
5
6 actually just sitting and waiting for her ride so that she could get back to her home. So I went up to
7
8 her and asked her if everything okay. Was she able
9 to vote? And --
10 MR. LINDSEY: And, Your Honor, I'll object. Ms.
11 LeClerc's been asked [inaudible] what the voter said to be hearsay.
12
13 THE COURT: [inaudible]. She asked her, fine. Go ahead, what's next?
14
15 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Did you have a conversation with the voter?
16
17 A. I had a conversation with the voter.
18 Q. And based upon that conversation, did you have an understanding of what was happening?
19
20 THE COURT: No. Understanding what's happening is hearsay.
21
22 MR. BROWN: Okay.

THE COURT: Did you did anything as a result of it?

23

24 BY MR. BROWN:

25 Q. What did you do in response to receiving

0070

1 the information from the voter?

2 A. So, I waited for the manager to finish up what she was doing with the machine and then

3

4 approached her to ask what happened, what -- why was the machine had been closed, what was going on.

5

6 Q. And what did the manager tell you?

MR. LINDSEY: Again, I'll object, on hearsay.

7

8 MR. BROWN: That is an admission. The manager is

9 employed by the defendants. That's an admission.

10 THE COURT: Well, does Fulton County -- does Fulton

11 County have anything to say about that?

MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible].

12

13 MS. BURWELL: Well, Your Honor, the -- it is true that the poll manager would be employed by Fulton County,

14

15 but I don't believe that the poll manager is in a position to bind the County.

16

17 THE COURT: I -- I -- I agree with that, but I'm

18 going to let the witness say what she said.

MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

19

20 THE WITNESS: So, the poll manager told me that she needed to close the machine because that machine had self-

21

22 cast the voter's ballot before the voter had finished voting. And the manager told me that she was assisting

23

24 the voter on the review screen. So after you make your

25 selection to get the review screen.

0071

1 And the manager noticed that the -- there was no

2 selection made for the race for lieutenant governor and for one other race, which the manager didn't name to me.

3

4 And so she had asked the voter -- the voter intended to vote in those races. The voter said, yes --

5

6 MR. LINDSEY: That would be an objection, Your Honor. That's what the voter said.

7

8 THE COURT: That's hearsay at this point.

9 MR. LINDSEY: Yes.

10 THE COURT: But as a result of that -- I'm going to

11 let it go ahead on this one.

MR. BROWN: Okay.

12

13 THE COURT: Uh --

MR. BROWN: Go ahead, Ms. LeClerc.

14

15 THE WITNESS: So, the manager pointed to where the

lieutenant governor race was, and the voter put her finger
16
17 on the area for the lieutenant governor race selection so
18 she could vote for the lieutenant governor race. And
instead -- which is nowhere near the area for submit
19
20 ballot, but when she touched lieutenant governor, the
machine said, your ballot has been submitted and there was
21
22 nothing they could do at that point.
So, it just self-cast before the voter could actually
23
24 make her selection on the lieutenant governor or the other
25 race.
0072
1 BY MR. BROWN:
2 Q. Did you observe whether the poll officials
took that machine out of service at that time?
3
4 A. Yes. The manager did take it out of
service immediately.
5
6 Q. And then was that machine put back in
service?
7
8 A. It was put back in service later in the
9 day. Yes.
10 THE COURT: Let's -- let's take a morning break, take
11 a 10-minute break.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
12
13
[Off the record at 10:25 a.m., and back on the record
14
15 at 10:37 a.m.]
16
17 THE COURT:
18
CONTINUATION DIRECT EXAMINATION
19
20 OF SARA LECLERC
21
22 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Ms. LeClerc, returning to your testimony
23
24 about your observations at the AME Church, did you
25 take any photographs of the poll tapes when you were
0073
1 there?
2 A. Yes. I took photographs of the tops of the
poll tapes that were able to print.
3
4 Q. And did you have the opportunity to observe
any issues with the electronic polling?
5
6 A. Yes. And --
Q. And what did you see? What did you
7
8 observe?
9 A. So as far as the poll tapes were concerned

10 it's -- was my understanding that he receives at the
11 end of the night they print out a tape giving a
record of whatever votes have been cast or at least a
12
13 breakdown by races, by each race.
At this location there were five DRE machines,
14
15 but this instance one of the machines would not print
at all and the manager and workers tried many
16
17 different ways to try to get it to print. They
18 called the technical support, but they were never
able to successfully from the fifth machine. So I
19
20 was not able to observe the tape of that fifth
machine.
21
22 Q. Ms. LeClerc, what was the manager's
reaction, if any, to the difficulties that you
23
24 described that the senior voter experienced?
25 A. To me she appeared very alarmed by what had
0074
1 happened. When I spoke with her, she -- her tone of
2 voice was just kind of frantic and her -- you know,
she just with her arms and she couldn't believe that
3
4 the vote had cast because the voter's finger was
nowhere near the area for the submit ballot. So she
5
6 was just -- just incredulous to me.
Q. Did she indicate to you whether she was
7
8 going to file some sort of complaint with the
9 Secretary of State?
10 A. She did at one point that day. She said
11 she was going to -- she planned to file some
complaints because there were multiple technical
12
13 issues that day that they experienced.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, that is the last question I
14
15 have for Ms. LeClerc. I have misplaced the photographs
that I was going to have tendered to her and I will --
16
17 THE COURT: [inaudible] to put them in, [inaudible].
18 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: [inaudible].
19
20 MR. BROWN: Oh, okay.
MS. LECLERC: May -- I'm sorry; may I make --
21
22 THE COURT: No. You can't say anything else.
23
24 CROSS-EXAMINATION
25 OF SARA LECLERC
0075
1
2 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. Ms. LeClerc, your primary role that day was

3
4 to try to tabulate the votes that were being cast
from Ms. Abrams, correct --
5
6 A. Uh --
Q. -- and report back to the Democratic Party;
7
8 would that be fair?
9 A. No. No. That would not be fair.
10 Q. Well, that's what you did, though, didn't
11 you?
A. That was one component of what I did but it
12
13 was not about Ms. Abrams. It was just about
reporting total numbers --
14
15 Q. Oh, okay.
A. -- of votes cast, not by race or anything
16
17 like that. It wouldn't -- [inaudible] like that.
18 Q. I'm sorry. No problem. What I'm saying --
so some -- I want to make sure I understand. Your
19
20 job was to try to report back to the Democratic Party
the total votes that were cast from that precinct;
21
22 correct?
A. That was one of the [inaudible].
23
24 Q. Okay. Thank you.
25 A. [inaudible].
0076
1 Q. And you reported what you understood to be
2 the total number of votes that were cast in that
precinct; correct?
3
4 A. Yes, I did.
Q. Okay. Were you ever informed that there
5
6 was any kind of discrepancy with what was ultimately
reported to the Secretary of State's office about the
7
8 total number of votes that were cast in -- from that
9 precinct?
10 A. I don't know what was reported to the
11 Secretary of State --
Q. Okay.
12
13 A. -- I just know what the poll workers and
managers told me and what was printed on the --
14
15 Q. Okay.
A. -- four out of five tapes that printed.
16
17 Q. Okay. And you reported that -- my question
18 is, did you ever receive back word from the
Democratic Party that they was a discrepancy?
19
20 A. No.
Q. Okay. Thank you. In regards to this

21
22 elderly worker from this report that you did, the elderly worker thought -- rather the elderly voter
23
24 thought that her vote had been properly cast;
25 correct?
0077
1 A. She thought it had been submitted; that her
2 ballot had been submitted.
Q. Yes. And she didn't have any objections,
3
4 correct, when you talked to her?
A. No.
5
6 Q. Let me -- I think I've asked in the form of a negative. Let me clean it up. My momma told me
7
8 never to do that. Did this voter ever report to you
9 any complaints about whether her vote had been
10 properly recorded?
11 A. No.
Q. Okay.
12
13
CROSS-EXAMINATION
14
15 OF SARA LECLERC
16
17 BY MR. TYSON:
18 Q. Good morning, Ms. LeClerc. My name's Bryan Tyson with the Gwinnett Board of Elections. I just
19
20 wanted to ask you briefly. You indicated you were a poll watcher, but later you said you were observing.
21
22 Were you a registered poll watcher or were you still an observer?
23
24 A. Yes. I was a -- I was officially appointed
25 as a, I'm not sure if it's called poll watcher or
0078
1 poll observer. But yes, I got a credential so that I
2 could be there and observe.
Q. And that was by the Democratic Party;
3
4 correct?
A. Yes.
5
6 Q. Okay. And regarding the voter that you testified about, do you know what her name was?
7
8 A. I do not.
9 Q. Okay.
10 MR. TYSON: Thank you.
11
CROSS-EXAMINATION
12
13 OF SARA LECLERC
14
15 BY MS. BURWELL:

Q. Ms. LeClerc, the Exhibit 2 that had your
16
17 notes?
18 A. Yes.
Q. Does that include the incidents -- all the
19
20 incidents you testified about today?
A. Yes. Everything I talked about today,
21
22 those incidents are in the notes.
Q. Okay. Is there anything that's not in your
23
24 notes that you found -- excuse me?
25 A. Yes -- I mean, of course there -- I had
0079
1 many observations that I didn't put in my notes, yes.
2 Q. But the things that you thought were
important were the things that you put in the notes
3
4 that are Exhibit 2?
A. That's right.
5
6 Q. Okay. Thank you.
MR. BROWN: I don't have any further questions, Your
7
8 Honor.
9 THE COURT: Okay. You may go back to your seat.
10 Thank you. Next witness?
11
[Thereupon, the testimony of the witness concluded at
12
13 _ a.m.]
14
15 MR. BROWN: The plaintiffs would call plaintiff
Jeanne Dufort.
16
17 Please raise your right hand. Do you promise to tell
18 the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?
THE WITNESS: I do.
19
20 MR. BROWN: Please have a seat.
21
22 Thereupon:
23
24 JEANNE DUFORT
25
0080
1 was called as a witness by the Petitioner; and,
2 having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
3
4 DIRECT EXAMINATION
OF JEANNE DUFORT
5
6
BY MR. BROWN:
7
8 Q. Please have a seat so we can hear you
9 through the microphone. Please state your full name
10 for the record.
11 A. My name is Jeanne Dufort.

Q. Ms. Dufort, are you a plaintiff in this
12
13 election?
A. I am.
14
15 Q. In this litigation? Where are you from?
A. I live in Madison, Georgia, in Morgan
16
17 County.
18 Q. Are you a registered elector of the State
of Georgia?
19
20 A. I am.
Q. Are you a resident of Morgan County?
21
22 A. I am.
Q. And were you entitled to vote for either
23
24 Mr. Duncan or Ms. Amico in the contested election?
25 A. Yes.
0081
1 Q. Mr. Dufort, what interest, just generally
2 and be very brief, but just to introduce yourself to
the Court. What is your interest generally in
3
4 political activities and the election activities?
A. So, when I turned 18, I did two things. I
5
6 registered to vote and I registered to donate funds.
And I've been doing both ever since. Gave --
7
8 THE COURT: [inaudible]
9 THE WITNESS: -- because I can do that, right? And
10 both of them --
11 THE COURT: It wasn't in the context. Go ahead.
THE WITNESS: Both of them lifelong habits, just
12
13 depends. Thanks to Sister Aletha, my high school
civics teacher, I became very interested in American
14
15 political science and I was a major in that at the
University of Chicago, which also while I was there as a
16
17 student was the first time, I was a poll watcher. So I
18 would say it's a lifelong habit of mine to be passionate
about moving and about the wonderful and amazing system we
19
20 have of self-governance in the United States.
BY MR. BROWN:
21
22 Q. Ms. Dufort, have you been a poll watcher in
Georgia?
23
24 A. Yes. Most recently in the 2018 general
25 election I was a registered poll watcher.
0082
1 Q. Thank you.
2 MR. BROWN: I don't have any further questions.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I have four pages of
3

4 detailed cross-examination [inaudible].
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: Just have to thank you for being a poll watcher and I apologize to the young woman for not
7
8 thanking her for being a poll watcher as well. That's
9 hard work, all.
10 THE COURT: I assume you're just trying to establish
11 qualifications as planned.
MR. BROWN: That's correct.
12
13 THE COURT: Okay.
MALE: [inaudible]
14
15 MS. BURWELL: Nothing for Fulton County.
THE COURT: Okay.
16
17 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
18
[Thereupon, the testimony of the witness concluded at
19
20 11:41 a.m.]
21
22 MR. BROWN: As long as you stipulate that they are voters and they voted in the election. That's all
23
24 [inaudible].
25 We're going to enter into a stipulation, Your Honor,
0083
1 to accelerate this and that is that the plaintiffs are
2 registered voters, that they were entitled to vote in the November 6th election for the contested election and that
3
4 would satisfy -- it would eliminate the need to call the other -- thank you, Your Honor.
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: No objection
THE COURT: And does Gwinnett agree with that --
7
8 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
9 THE COURT: Fulton agree with that?
10 MR. BROWN: Thank you.
11 THE COURT: Yeah. They have to be. I understand.
MR. BROWN: Just a short break, Your Honor.
12
13 THE COURT: Okay. Can we go forward, please?
MR. BROWN: The plaintiffs will call Chris Brill and
14
15 we're going to get him now.
THE COURT: Yeah. [inaudible] go do that.
16
17 [inaudible].
18 MR. BROWN: Please raise your right hand. Do you promise to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but
19
20 the truth?
THE WITNESS: I do.
21
22 MR. BROWN: Please have a seat.

23
24 Thereupon:
25
0084
1 CHRISTOPHER BRILL
2
was called as a witness by the Petitioner; and,
3
4 having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
5
6 DIRECT EXAMINATION
OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL
7
8
9 BY MR. BROWN:
10 Q. Please state your name for the record.
11 A. Christopher Brill.
Q. And Mr. Brill, by whom are you currently
12
13 employed?
A. A company called TargetSmart. TargetSmart.
14
15 Q. And have you been engaged by the plaintiffs
to give expert advice in this matter?
16
17 A. Yes.
18 Q. I would like to go through your background
a little bit and your experience, if I may. Where
19
20 did you graduate from college?
A. University of New Mexico.
21
22 Q. And what did you study?
A. Political science.
23
24 Q. And what have you been doing since
25 graduating from University of New Mexico?
0085
1 A. I'm working mostly with political
2 consulting, so working with political campaigns,
nonprofits, activist organizations on electoral
3
4 strategies and how to reach hard-to-reach
electorates.
5
6 Q. And have you -- you've at TargetSmart since
graduating?
7
8 A. No, I have not. Just for the past six
9 years.
10 Q. Okay. And to get a little better idea of
11 your actual work experience, describe the business of
TargetSmart.
12
13 A. So, TargetSmart puts together -- our core
business is putting together databases, such as
14
15 individual voter -- voter-level databases using the
statewide voter files, election results, other types
16

17 of publicly available information, consumer data, to
18 try to build advice and help clients determine how to
target voters, how to reach voters, and how to engage
19
20 in local campaigns. So that's kind of our main
business focus.
21
22 Q. And describe who your clients would be or
the different categories of clients.
23
24 A. So, clients could be (C) -- you know (C)(3)
25 or (C)(4) organizations so that our nonprofits or
0086
1 other organizations. They could political committees
2 such as national party committees. They could also
be other nonprofits. So kind of a range of -- from
3
4 nonprofits to political campaigns and the like.
Q. And describe, if you will, a -- the kind of
5
6 work that you would do for a nonprofit or a political
party, let's say, or political organization, and go
7
8 through exactly what you, Chris Brill, would do if
9 you could.
10 A. Yes. So if a political party or candidate,
11 you know, approached us, usually it's about they want
to study the election they're about to run in and,
12
13 you know, how they want -- how to go about trying to
get to essentially a win. So we go in and -- myself.
14
15 I'll analyze the election.
I will see what happened the past, how many
16
17 votes were cast in the past; you know, how different
18 candidates perform and then we usually try to -- we
call it election math, essentially, so we try to, you
19
20 know, game out, okay, this is what's happened before,
this is what we think is likely to happen in this
21
22 next election, and so let's develop a strategy to
kind of get you potentially to win.
23
24 So we use the voter file. We use election
25 results. We use other types of modeling and other
0087
1 strategies to try to help them be as efficient as
2 they can with the resources usually limited and how
they can go about trying to be successful, and so on.
3
4 Q. Mr. Brill, do you have any experience
evaluating the voting trends among various
5
6 demographics?
A. Yes.
7
8 Q. And do you have -- describe that
9 experience.

10 A. Yes. So generally it usually breaks down.
11 We look at individual -- different individual demographics and trends. So, for instance, we'll
12
13 look at everything from a voter's age to race, gender, ethnicity. We use polling to try to tease
14
15 out, you know, where our voters kind of coming down on an issue or candidate based on those demographics.
16
17 We'll also use the geographic information that's
18 available so, you know, whatever comes in from the census. There's also election results and try to
19
20 package all that together into a strategy that they could use to try and contact these specific voters in
21
22 certain areas. And again, it's really about trying to find these deficiencies because there's a lot of
23
24 voters and trying to figure out which ones you want
25 to try to engage with and how to engage with them.
0088
1 Q. And I take it in your experience you have
2 experience analyzing voting trends; correct?
A. Yes.
3
4 Q. Do you have experience -- have you ever been an expert witness before?
5
6 A. I have not, no.
Q. Okay. Have you -- do you have experience
7
8 in evaluating voting participation?
9 A. Yes.
10 Q. Okay. And what is that experience?
11 A. Generally, that will -- we'll look at, you know, past turnout trends. So we'll see, you know,
12
13 what does turnout look like in previous elections. We will look at things like drop-off, especially for
14
15 our clients that are down-ticket to try to determine -- you know, how to mitigate drop-off if you can, and
16
17 we'll work with, you know, trying to, you know, use
18 again, the voter file, kind of geographic data to kind of craft -- you know, look at the trends that
19
20 especially, you know, demographically is also politically to try to see, you know, how leverage
21
22 those trends to be, again, successful in their campaign and for everyone.
23
24 Q. Let me take one piece of that and ask you
25 another question on it. Did you say that you might
0089
1 be engaged by a down-ballot candidate?
2 A. Yes.

Q. And is one of the concerns they would have
3
4 being under-voting? Is that -- might be a concern?
A. Correct. Yes.
5
6 Q. Okay. And would your work involve
evaluating why people don't vote for a particular
7
8 race if they are voting at all?
9 A. Correct. Yes.
10 Q. Okay.
11 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would like to tender Mr.
Brill as an expert witness on voting trends and on reasons
12
13 for under-voting and for his evaluation of specific
results of the 2018 election.
14
15 MR. TYSON: I'd like to ask more questions of Mr.
Brill, if I may.
16
17 THE COURT: Yes. Go ahead.
18 MR. TYSON: Good morning, Mr. Brill. My name is
Bryan Tyson. I represent the Gwinnett County Board of
19
20 Registrations and Elections. I just had a couple of
questions for you.
21
22
CROSS-EXAMINATION
23
24 OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL
25
0090
1 BY MR. TYSON:
2 Q. You were explaining earlier to Mr. Brown
about your role with voting trends and those kinds of
3
4 things. Have you ever had any study or done any
analysis of ballot design?
5
6 A. Ballot design? No.
Q. Have you had any experience in election
7
8 administration in terms of you personally helping
9 administer an election?
10 A. No.
11 Q. Do you have any knowledge of DRE machines
in Georgia or how they function technically?
12
13 A. No.
Q. Okay. So it's correct, isn't it, you have
14
15 no firsthand knowledge of any DRE machine in the
state of Georgia that was used in the 2018 general
16
17 election; correct?
18 A. Correct.
Q. Okay.
19
20 MR. TYSON: All right, Your Honor, at this time we

would -- Gwinnett County would object mostly on those
21
22 questions I'd asked first.
THE COURT: Anybody else got any questions?
23
24 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, we object to Mr. Brill's --
25 THE COURT: No, I understand that. Let me do --
0091
1 MR. TYSON: Yes. I'm sorry.
2 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, just a couple questions.
3
4 CROSS-EXAMINATION
OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL
5
6
BY MR. LINDSEY:
7
8 Q. You've never worked on a campaign in
9 Georgia; is that correct?
10 A. Like a candidate campaign?
11 Q. Yeah.
A. No.
12
13 Q. Okay.
MR. LINDSEY: No further questions.
14
15 MS. BURWELL: I have no questions.
THE COURT: Okay.
16
17 MS. BURWELL: Okay.
18 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, we do object to Mr. Brill's
admission as an expert on this case. First of all, on the
19
20 issue of voting trends, that has nothing to -- under
702(b) facts and issues of the case; voting trends are not
21
22 an issue in this case.
As to -- in terms of the reasons for the under-vote,
23
24 Mr. Brill just testified that he has no experience in
25 terms of ballot design, election administration, what's
0092
1 going on with the DREs; and as to the specific results of
2 this particular under-vote we would, on the same issue,
that he has no experience that's relevant to the Court to
3
4 determine the issue of this particular case.
THE COURT: Anyone else at this time? Nope? Okay.
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: We would join in the objection, Your
Honor.
7
8 MR. BROWN: Yeah, Your Honor, the argument that Mr.
9 Tyson made was not about what he's being tendered as an
10 expert in. In that end, I have established that he does
11 have expertise in what he's going to testify about. And
it is abundantly clear under Georgia law that he should be
12
13 qualified as a witness to both discuss the actual election
results and what possible reasons for the under-vote there

14
15 might be. And it may go to wait, but it certainly does not go to admissibility. Thank you, Your Honor.
16
17 THE COURT: I'm not going to qualify him as an
18 expert. I don't think that's an area of expertise. And I'm having trouble with that. He's going to say why the
19
20 numbers were this way and what could have happened. An expert usually says what has happened, and I'm not going
21
22 to let you qualify him as an expert. You can ask him questions and see where we go.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Sure. I'm going to ask you some
25 questions about what has happened.
0093
1
2 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL
3
4
BY MR. BROWN:
5
6 Q. You with me, Mr. Brill?
A. Yes.
7
8 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, this is a borderline issue
9 and it may be rearguing and if it is, I apologize, but I -
10 - this is an important witness to us and an important line
11 of inquiry.
We would be asking Mr. Brill to explain possible
12
13 causes for under-voting and the point of that testimony is to rebut the -- is to address the issues of why other than
14
15 machine malfunction there might be for an under-vote. And he has testified that he advises clients on that issue and
16
17 that that is something that he is knowledgeable about.
18 THE COURT: Years ago I was trying a case in front of old Judge [inaudible], he was quite a character, and me
19
20 and the DA -- I was defending -- me and the DA were going at it and he goes, doesn't a judge get time to think?
21
22 Give me time to think about this one a little bit. Let me -- and make sure I understand you. He wants to put
23
24 up a bunch of ideas about why there was an under-vote.
25 And you think that's an expertise?
0094
1 MR. BROWN: Based upon his experience and his
2 knowledge about what to address, the possible areas of under-voting, of why it would be under-voting and why it
3
4 might not be based upon his knowledge and experience.
THE COURT: Well, I don't see that he has any
5
6 expertise. I'm having trouble with that. You know, he's

done some things and he's got some ideas; that doesn't
7
8 make him an expert. He doesn't have any training; he
9 doesn't have any background; he doesn't have -- I'll let
10 you ask the questions and we'll see where we go.
11 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Uh-huh.
12
13 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Did you review the results of the 2018
14
15 election in Georgia?
A. Yes.
16
17 Q. And describe for the Court where you looked
18 at and what you reviewed.
A. Yeah. So I examined the totals that were
19
20 cast for eight ticket races that were on the ballot
from governor down to I believe commissioner of
21
22 labor. And then I examined the under-vote that took
place for each of those races to try to see, you
23
24 know, what that trend looked like and that's when I
25 first saw, you know, the outlier and the anomaly that
0095
1 was the lieutenant governor's vote totals.
2 So what my analysis kind of focused on was
trying to figure out exactly what -- where that
3
4 under-vote came from, what counties, why -- you know,
why vote the way it did and what kind of reasons, you
5
6 know, that could possibly, you know, entail for it.
Q. And the information that you received came
7
8 from the Secretary of State's databases; is that
9 right?
10 A. Correct. Yes.
11 Q. And in the course of your work, do you
sometimes also review data from other states?
12
13 A. Correct. Uh-huh.
Q. And do you also review historical data,
14
15 like say, from Georgia?
A. Yes.
16
17 Q. And did you look at the Secretary of
18 State's information in Georgia on older Georgia
races?
19
20 A. Yes.
Q. And did you compare the voting trends and
21
22 patterns between this race and prior Georgia races in
other states, lieutenant governor races?
23
24 A. Yes.

25 Q. And is that the kind of work that you do
0096
1 for a living also for your clients?
2 A. Yes.
Q. And does that require you to look at
3
4 various databases and to sift a lot of different
information in a systematic way?
5
6 A. Yes.
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, Mr. Brown is leading the
7
8 witness on a lot of these points and he's testifying. I
9 think he needs to ask questions that are directly
10 addressing the witness.
11 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Brill, in your experience, have you
12
13 developed an expertise or understanding of reasons
for under-voting?
14
15 A. Yes.
Q. And without disclosing the reasons for
16
17 under-voting can you testify as to how you gained
18 that experience and expertise?
A. By examining thousands of elections across
19
20 all 50 states.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would like to retender Mr.
21
22 Brill as an expert on under-voting and the particular
reasons for under-voting, and how his understanding of
23
24 under-voting relates to what he's observed in the results
25 which are in evidence of the Georgia election.
0097
1 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, we'll renew our objection on
2 this point as to Mr. Brill; that he certainly could have
looked at a lot of numbers, but numbers alone are not
3
4 going to tell you why something is happening and I think
that's what Mr. Brown is trying to get at as to Mr.
5
6 Brill's opinion about why and he has not shown Mr. Brill
has expertise from just looking at various numbers on that
7
8 point.
9 MR. LINDSEY: I'll also add to that objection, Your
10 Honor. There's been no testimony whatsoever that this
11 individual has any intimate knowledge regarding the
details of this particular race that took place;
12
13 therefore, he would not be qualified to be a --
THE COURT: I'm not going to qualify him as an
14
15 expert. I mean, the reasons why, I mean, there are some
pretty obvious simple statements in any race, but I have
16
17 trouble with reasons why. You can testify to numbers and

18 all that kind of thing. I don't see -- reasons why, this isn't going. Next question.
19
20 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: P-3?
21
22 MR. BROWN: Yes.
BY MR. BROWN:
23
24 Q. Mr. Brill, is P-3 your affidavit?
25 A. I'm sorry?
0098
1 Q. Is P-3 your -
2 MR. BROWN: Well, Plaintiff's Exhibit 3 your affidavit?
3
4
[Thereupon, the referred-to document was entered into
5
6 evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 3]
7
8 THE WITNESS: Oh, I -- yes.
9 BY MR. BROWN:
10 Q. And without disclosing any contents yet --
11 MR. TYSON: Counsel, could I get a copy?
MR. BROWN: Oh, I'm very sorry. Sorry about that.
12
13 THE COURT: Let me cycle the record to everybody. Various people made proffers of evidence; I did not look
14
15 at any of them. Everything should come in the courtroom.
BY MR. BROWN:
16
17 Q. Mr. Brill, without disclosing the contents
18 of it yet, at the plaintiff's request you prepared a report on the analysis of the 2018 Georgia lieutenant
19
20 governor under-vote; correct?
A. Correct.
21
22 Q. And in the course of that you observed certain numbers that came from the Secretary of
23
24 State; correct?
25 A. Correct.
0099
1 Q. And did you analyze those -- well, did you
2 present those numbers on page two of your report to the Coalition?
3
4 A. Yes. I did.
Q. Okay. Let me refer you to Table 1, which
5
6 is entitled, Total 2018 Under-Vote by Office; do you see that?
7
8 A. Yes.
9 Q. Does that -- is that table an accurate
10 report of information from the Secretary of State's
11 website?

A. Yes.

Q. And it shows -- and just explain in terms of your terminology these columns. The first column is the name of the race; correct?

A. Correct.

Q. The second one is the total number of votes that that race attracted; correct?

A. Correct.

Q. And the third is what you call the under-vote; is that right?

A. Yes.

Q. And here -- the way you do it, the under-vote is a comparison between the particular race and the governor's race; is that --

0100

MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, if I could object. Mr. Brill can testify to what these terms mean instead of Mr. Brown explaining those and he's the leading the witness again on that.

MR. BROWN: Sure. I'll withdraw the question. I'm just trying to speed it up, Your Honor.

BY MR. BROWN:

Q. Mr. Brill, what is the under-vote -- what is the calculation for the under-vote?

A. I calculated it by comparing the total that was cast for the governor's election and comparing that against the total that was cast for every other election [inaudible].

Q. Is the under-vote different than the under-vote of the total number of people who voted?

A. It would be, yes. Yes.

Q. Is that because some people don't even vote for a governor?

A. Correct.

Q. Okay. Do you have an understanding of how many, just in general terms, people in this election did not vote for governor?

A. I would have to double check, but I think it was something along the lines of 10 to 15,000, maybe. I don't have an exact number in front of me.

0101

Q. Fair to say it would be a small portion of even 1 percent?

A. It's a fraction of a percent.

4 Q. And then in the last column it says,
dropout versus governor; do you see that?
5
6 A. Yes.
Q. And that would reflect the percent -- what
7
8 does that -- what is that calculation?
9 A. So that calculation again is just taking
10 the under-vote and -- as the percentage of the total
11 cast for governor. So, example, lieutenant governor,
the under-vote was about 4 percent lower than the
12
13 total that was cast for governor; and then secretary
of state, 1.4 percent and so forth. It's just taking
14
15 the under-vote number there and dividing it by the
total votes cast for governor.
16
17 Q. Let me direct your -- you testified earlier
18 that you reviewed data on the Secretary of State's
website relating to prior elections in Georgia; is
19
20 that correct?
A. Yes.
21
22 Q. And are those presented in Table 2?
A. Yes, they are.
23
24 Q. And if you would just describe to the Court
25 what those rows and columns show.
0102
1 A. Yes. So, it's again the same calculation
2 as in Table 1, except looking at elections from 2002
to 2018, and so essentially in calculating that
3
4 under-vote percentage for each of those races across
election -- across each election cycle up to 2018.
5
6 Q. And which has the highest under-vote total?
A. The 2018 lieutenant governor's race.
7
8 Q. And looking at the four prior races, have
9 you calculate the average under-vote for the
10 lieutenant governor's race for those four years?
11 A. Yes. It was around 0.8 percent.
Q. And can you do in your head -- doing the
12
13 math it would be necessary to determine what the
under-vote number would be if the historical trend of
14
15 .8 were applied today?
A. If you have a percentage, the under-vote
16
17 would be around 31,532 -- thousand votes on under-
18 vote assumption.
THE COURT: Say that again. Someone coughed.
19
20 THE WITNESS: 31,532, I believe. In that range. I
can double check exactly -- that exact amount.
21

22 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. And so rather than the under-vote being --
23
24 let me direct your attention back up to Table 1.
25 A. Uh-huh.
0103
1 Q. Rather than the under-vote being 159,024,
2 if it had tracked the historical trend, it would be
that number less 31,000-something; correct?
3
4 A. Correct, yeah.
Q. Okay.
5
6 A. Given all the -- what we know about
elections, yes. That would be correct.
7
8 Q. Okay. Now, did you review data from other
9 states lieutenant governor elections?
10 A. I did, yes.
11 Q. And is -- does Chart 1 on the next page of
your affidavit show that information?
12
13 A. Yes.
Q. That chart --
14
15 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, did I neglect to give you a
copy of this affidavit?
16
17 THE COURT: No. That's not in evidence.
18 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. The long bar here is from California?
19
20 A. Correct.
Q. And did you do research to determine why
21
22 California had a much higher under-vote percentage?
A. Yes.
23
24 Q. And did you -- and what did you find?
25 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object. Mr. Brill's
0104
1 going to be testifying to why California had a higher
2 under-vote percentage. I think under your prior
explanation of terms in the opinion that's not an
3
4 appropriate area for him to testify to.
THE COURT: Sustained.
5
6 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Brill, I'm not going to ask you about
7
8 why California looked that way. My next question is,
9 apart from California, what state had the highest
10 under-vote total?
11 A. Georgia.
Q. Let me direct your attention to the next
12
13 page of your analysis.
Did you have the opportunity to compare the
14

15 under-voting in the Georgia race between votes that were cast electronically on the one hand in votes
16
17 that were cast on paper?
18 A. Yes.
Q. And where did you get that information
19
20 from?
A. From the Secretary of State's website.
21
22 They make that county-level canvas available that I use.
23
24 Q. Okay. And does Chart 2, on the next page
25 of your report, show the under-vote percentage by
0105
1 office and vote method?
2 A. It does.
Q. And if you would just explain to the Court,
3
4 first for the lieutenant governor, what those bars show.
5
6 A. So, I had to look at three here. The first was Election Day under-vote and what that shows is
7
8 that lieutenant governor was about 4.5 percent rate
9 of under-vote. Advanced voting of the early vote
10 person was around 3.9 percent. But then absentee
11 voting was down to 1 percent.
Q. And is your understanding that advanced
12
13 voting is electronic voting?
A. That's my understanding, yes.
14
15 Q. And so looking at the -- these bars, paper voting had only a 1 percent under-vote; is that
16
17 correct?
18 A. Yes.
Q. But the electronic had over 4 percent
19
20 under-vote; is that correct?
A. Correct.
21
22 Q. Okay. Did you see that same -- those same types of numbers when you reviewed the Secretary of
23
24 State race or the attorney general race?
25 A. I did not.
0106
1 Q. And what did you find when you reviewed
2 those?
A. When I reviewed those, I found that the
3
4 under-vote percentages were relatively even across all those methods.
5
6 THE COURT: Say that again. Way too fast.
THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I found that the under-vote

7
8 was relatively consistent percentage-wise across all three
9 methods of voting when you look at Secretary of State,
10 attorney general.
11 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. And so, you don't -- to make sure I'm clear
12
13 on this. So you don't see the disparity between
paper votes and electronic votes in the Secretary of
14
15 State's race or the attorney general's race that you
saw in the lieutenant governor's race; is that
16
17 correct?
18 MR. TYSON: Objection, Your Honor. Leading.
THE COURT: Rephrase. Sometimes when there's an
19
20 objection you don't bother responding and I -- on the
record it looks like I didn't give you a chance to speak.
21
22 Well, I'm giving you a chance to speak; okay?
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I'll withdraw the question.
23
24 THE COURT: Okay.
25 BY MR. BROWN:
0107
1 Q. Let's go through these individual numbers,
2 Mr. Brill. The Secretary of State's office, what was
the Election Day percent under-vote?
3
4 A. 1.5 percent.
Q. What was the advanced voting percentage
5
6 under-vote for the Secretary of State?
A. 1.3 percent.
7
8 Q. And then what was the absentee voting
9 percent?
10 A. 1.4 percent.
11 Q. And then for the attorney general, what was
the under-vote for Election Day?
12
13 A. 2.2 percent.
Q. What was the percentage for advanced
14
15 voting?
A. 1.8 percent.
16
17 Q. And what was the percentage for absentee
18 voting?
A. 1.7 percent.
19
20 Q. And just to review for the record to make
sure I have it in there, on the lieutenant governor's
21
22 race, what was the percentage for Election Day
voting?
23
24 A. 4.5.
25 THE COURT: 4.5 percent. 3.9 percent, 1 percent.

0108
1 Got it.
2 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Paying attention.
3
4 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. Thank you, Your Honor.
5
6 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Brill, in your experience have you seen
7
8 a voting pattern ever before that looks like the
9 voting pattern in the vote for the lieutenant
10 governor's race in Georgia?
11 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object that Mr. Brill is not qualified as an expert on this.
12
13 THE COURT: He's not qualified as an expert, Mr. Brown. I'm not -- he's putting numbers -- he can put in
14
15 the numbers. There's other things you can argue.
MR. BROWN: May I ask him what numbers he's never
16
17 seen that resemble this, Your Honor.
18 THE COURT: Well, just -- just watch where you go. I mean, you ask the questions, I'll rule as we go.
19
20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I'll ask this.
BY MR. BROWN:
21
22 Q. And Mr. Brill, don't answer, because there
may be an objection. Have you seen numbers in your
23
24 review of all of the races that you've reviewed in
25 the course of your work that resemble the pattern
0109
1 seen in Georgia, ever?
2 MR. TYSON: And Your Honor, I'll object on that point, as we haven't laid a foundation for any --
3
4 THE COURT: We're dealing with this -- this race. I'm not dealing with California, Nevada, wherever. I'm
5
6 dealing with Georgia.
MR. BROWN: And I would like to ask a question for
7
8 the record.
9 BY MR. BROWN:
10 Q. Were you able to reach any conclusions
11 about whether the data that you reviewed cast a doubt
over the accuracy of the final vote? And don't
12
13 answer yet.
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, objection for the same reason
14
15 that was stated.
THE COURT: I mean, you got the information from the
16
17 Secretary of State. Whether it's valid or not; who knows?
18 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, let me --

THE COURT: He -- he got the number [inaudible] that
19
20 what's he testified to. So I'm taking the numbers.
MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor. The reason for asking
21
22 it is that it is, in my view, it is probative and it goes to weight as to whether this witness based upon his
23
24 experience believes that the numbers cast doubt upon the
25 election. That's the reason for asking.
0110
1 THE COURT: No. He can't -- he can't say that one
2 way or the other. That's -- that's -- can be my decision, I'm afraid. Okay.
3
4 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, if I may have just a --
THE COURT: Surely.
5
6 MR. BROWN: -- minute.
BY MR. BROWN:
7
8 Q. Mr. Brill, have you had the opportunity to
9 review the voting numbers that appear in the brief
10 that was filed by Defendant Duncan in this case?
11 A. I believe so, yes.
THE COURT: Didn't you stipulate that at the last
12
13 hearing?
MR. BROWN: Huh?
14
15 THE COURT: Wasn't there a stipulation that those numbers were correct at the last hearing?
16
17 MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.
18 THE COURT: Hmm? I thought there was. I thought we --
19
20 MR. BROWN: Yes.
THE COURT: So you would -- because I remember the
21
22 statement that you wouldn't have to prove them again. So they're stipulated so you can talk about them.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor. Okay.
25 BY MR. BROWN:
0111
1 Q. Mr. Brill, did you identify any misleading
2 or incorrect statements in the brief?
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'm going to object to --
3
4 THE COURT: You stipulated, Mr. Brown.
MR. LINDSEY: I'm going to object to this.
5
6 THE COURT: You stipulated.
MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor, this is --
7
8 THE COURT: You can talk about -- okay. And the
9 language misleading and all this kind of stuff. Are your
10 numbers different from their numbers?
11 MR. LINDSEY: Yes. Your Honor, we're here today to

listen to -- to present evidence to you as to our
12
13 particular points. Both sides have delivered a brief to you beforehand. I have no intention to simply bring up
14
15 their briefs and, you know, let's stick to the evidence as presented here today. That being said --
16
17 THE COURT: Get -- get to your --
18 MR. LINDSEY: -- got my math off a little, Your Honor.
19
20 THE COURT: Get to your point.
MR. LINDSEY: Right.
21
22 THE COURT: If you will, Mr. Brown.
BY MR. BROWN:
23
24 Q. Did you have a chance to review the numbers
25 reflected in Paragraph 1 under District 4?
0112
1 THE COURT: Paragraph 1, Exhibit 4.
2 A. I don't think I have that document with me.
Q. Okay.
3
4 THE COURT: Exhibit A, you mean? You're talking about Exhibit A?
5
6 MR. BROWN: This is in their brief, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Well, let me see what I'm looking at.
7
8 [inaudible] numbers, okay. Go ahead, see where we're
9 headed.
10 BY MR. BROWN:
11 Q. Looking at brief -- do you have that in front of you?
12
13 A. I do.
THE COURT: Which brief? There are --
14
15 MR. BROWN: This is Exhibit 4. This is the response to Defendant Geoff Duncan to plaintiff's renewed discovery
16
17 demands and motion for continuance and --
18 THE COURT: That's not the one I'm looking at. I'm looking at the numbers on the back of the opposition to
19
20 plaintiff's motion for continuance.
MR. BROWN: Sorry.
21
22 THE COURT: I don't know which other set you got.
MR. BROWN: Okay. Sorry.
23
24 THE COURT: Go ahead. Ask him the question.
25 BY MR. BROWN:
0113
1 Q. Did you have an opportunity to -- if you
2 would look at that -- point number one, the impact of third-party candidates; do you see that?
3

4 A. I don't have that in front of me at the moment. I remember going over it but that's not the
5
6 brief that's in front of me.
Q. Okay. Okay.
7
8 MR. BROWN: I don't have any further questions.
9 Thank you.
10 MR. TYSON: Very briefly, Your Honor.
11
RECROSS-EXAMINATION
12
13 OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL
14
15 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. Mr. Brill, when you looked at the historic
16
17 patterns, the numbers you testified to earlier, the
18 past Georgia races, did you look at any races for Public Service Commission?
19
20 A. I did not, no.
Q. And you know that's a statewide race in
21
22 Georgia; correct?
A. I do, yes.
23
24 Q. Did you look at any races where Georgia had
25 a U.S. Senate race at the same time as a governor's
0114
1 race?
2 A. I did not.
Q. Thank you.
3
4 MR. LINDSEY: Just a few questions, sir.
5
6 RECROSS-EXAMINATION
OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL
7
8
9 BY MR. LINDSEY:
10 Q. Did you in any way do any review of the
11 conduct of the campaign in the closing days of the campaign?
12
13 A. I did not.
Q. Okay. You, as someone who's been a
14
15 political consultant does, that the conduct in the campaign can often change how voters vote in the last
16
17 days; correct?
18 A. Right.
Q. Okay. A candidate, and sometimes that
19
20 changes the numbers between early voting and the day of the voting; correct?
21
22 A. Correct.
Q. And sometimes it can even change whether or

23
24 not voters choose to vote in a particular race on
25 Election Day that earlier voters may vote for;
0115
1 correct?
2 A. That would -- I mean, that would, I
suppose.
3
4 Q. Yeah, fair enough. That would [inaudible].
For instance, if you had a particular candidate
5
6 running for lieutenant governor as a Democrat and in
the closing days of the campaign she had several very
7
8 negative press articles about her firm, about her
9 company that she headed up, in which there was
10 allegation of racial discrimination, [inaudible]
11 racial discrimination, that would have a negative
impact on Democratic voters in particular; correct?
12
13 A. It could, yeah.
Q. It probably would dampen their enthusiasm
14
15 to vote for her; correct?
A. It could, but I don't know the context, so
16
17 I'd have to [inaudible]. It would take quite a bit
18 of effort, thought to --
Q. Okay.
19
20 A. -- [inaudible] votes.
Q. And you don't know the context because you
21
22 didn't follow the details of this race; correct?
A. Correct.
23
24 Q. Okay. And you have no knowledge as -- for
25 instance, as to tracking polling that took place in
0116
1 the closing days of the campaign; correct?
2 A. Correct.
Q. Now Ms. Amico -- now, you sort of focused
3
4 on the total number of votes that were cast. Ms.
Amico did not receive the least number of votes for a
5
6 Democrat in this -- in the 2018 -- in statewide races
in 2018; correct?
7
8 A. Correct.
9 Q. As a matter of fact, her votes were
10 somewhere in the middle of all the votes along the
11 various folks who ran statewide in Georgia who were
Democrats; correct?
12
13 A. I'd have to look into that [inaudible] --
Q. Okay.
14
15 A. -- [inaudible].
Q. All right. So -- but you didn't -- but you

16
17 are familiar that she did sort of run -- one the
18 better term, the middle one, the pack among
Democrats; correct?
19
20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, this is not relevant. The
claim is that there were under-votes for this election,
21
22 not that one particular candidate received less votes.
Obviously, it's the total number of votes that are
23
24 claimed.
25 THE COURT: He went into what the attorney general
0117
1 did, what the secretary of state, so I think -- you did,
2 so he's entitled to respond to that.
MR. LINDSEY: Yeah.
3
4 THE WITNESS: I didn't specifically analyze
performance in this analysis. It was mostly just actual
5
6 vote totals.
BY MR. LINDSEY:
7
8 Q. Okay. For instance, you're not aware that
9 the Democratic candidates for agriculture, school
10 board superintendent, Public Service Commission, and
11 commissioner of insurance, Democratic candidates all
received fewer votes than Ms. Amico in this
12
13 particular race?
A. And again, I'd have to double-check.
14
15 Q. Okay. And in fact, for two other races,
those being another Public Service Commission and
16
17 Labor Commission race, Ms. Amico was within 8,000 or
18 less votes of the Democrats in those races; are you
aware of that?
19
20 A. Again, I have to study that independent
study --
21
22 Q. Okay.
A. -- candidate performance.
23
24 Q. All right. In fact, the only races in
25 which she received fewer votes than other Democrats
0118
1 was Ms. Abrams' race, Mr. Barrow's race, and Mr.
2 Charles Bailey's race for attorney general. Are you
aware of that?
3
4 A. Again, I'd have to study --
Q. Okay.
5
6 A. -- I didn't study candidate performance.
Q. All right. Even though you haven't studied
7
8 the performance, you are aware of the fact that Ms.

9 Abrams was a very high-profile candidate for
10 governor?
11 A. Correct.
Q. Okay. And are you familiar with the fact
12
13 that Mr. Barrow was a very high-profile candidate for
Secretary of State on the Democratic side?
14
15 A. I'm not aware.
Q. Okay. Are you aware of the fact, for
16
17 instance, that he had been prior to this race a five-
18 term Congressman in Georgia?
A. I was not aware of that.
19
20 Q. Okay. And voter ID is very important in
regards to individuals -- in regards to folks --
21
22 getting folks to vote for you; correct?
A. Voter ID?
23
24 Q. Yeah.
25 A. I'm sorry. I don't quite understand that,
0119
1 the link.
2 THE COURT: Explain that application. I think you
mean one thing and he's thinking another thing.
3
4 MR. LINDSEY: Okay.
BY MR. LINDSEY:
5
6 Q. You said you looked at other races in
Georgia's past. Did you look at the number of new
7
8 voters that were -- that cast votes in 2018 versus
9 previous years?
10 A. No, I did not.
11 Q. Okay. So, are you aware of the fact that
there was almost -- where there was a -- possibly a
12
13 three-and-a-half-time increase in new voters?
A. That I -- I don't know that exact number
14
15 but that would not surprise me.
Q. Okay. And based on your experience as a
16
17 political consultant, you know, that when it comes to
18 new voter, particularly down-ballot, it takes a lot
more education to try to educate those new voters
19
20 than someone who's voted year in and year out;
correct?
21
22 A. That's right.
MR. LINDSEY: No further questions.
23
24 THE COURT: [inaudible]?
25 MS. BURWELL: No questions, Your Honor.
0120
1 [inaudible]

2 THE COURT: [inaudible]
3
4 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL
5
6
BY MR. BROWN:
7
8 Q. You testified in response to a question by
9 Mr. Lindsey, about whether you looked at the
10 lieutenant governor total in a race in which there
11 was a U.S. Senate race and there was a race in the
governor's race; do you recall that?
12
13 A. Yes.
Q. And let me direct your attention to the
14
15 year 2014 and was there a Senate -- a U.S. Senate
race in Georgia in 2014 involving David Perdue?
16
17 A. I believe so, yes.
18 Q. And what was the under-vote total in 2014?
A. Between -- I'm sorry?
19
20 Q. What was the under-vote for lieutenant
governor in 2014?
21
22 A. The percentage?
Q. Yes.
23
24 A. 0.8 percent.
25 Q. Okay. You were asked some questions, and I
0121
1 want to explore your answers to the questions on
2 cross-examination a little bit more. In particular,
you were asked to give your opinion on some matters.
3
4 Now, you were asked whether under-voting could be
caused by new voters being -- not having enough
5
6 attention on the -- about it; do you recall that
testimony?
7
8 A. Yes.
9 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object to that. I don't
10 believe that's the question Mr. Brill was asked, but the
11 question was --
THE COURT: He didn't -- he didn't phrase it that
12
13 way. I will let you ask a question --
MR. BROWN: Okay.
14
15 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. In that regard, in your experience, what
16
17 are the more common reasons for under-voting?
18 THE COURT: No. That's -- that's not the appropriate
question.
19
20 MR. TYSON: Yeah.

THE COURT: The question is about new voters. You
21
22 can ask him about new voters.
MR. BROWN: Just for the record, Your Honor, the
23
24 question called for the same type of expertise that my
25 question calls for.
0122
1 THE COURT: Sir, I said you can -- you can only go
2 into what he talked about as to new voters.
MR. BROWN: Okay.
3
4 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Are -- do new voters sometimes vote less in
5
6 campaigns for various reasons?
A. It depends on the context of the election,
7
8 but new voters -- you know, we don't find any sort
9 of, you know, when they under-vote generally -- or it
10 can be -- for starters, we don't know exactly who
11 under-votes. I mean, I think that's point number
one. We don't know that. We don't have any way of
12
13 getting that information.
It's speculation when we see high turnout
14
15 elections and that encourages more infrequent newer
voters that come to the polls and if we see higher
16
17 rates of under-voting, that is sort of, you know,
18 something that we consider, but generally when we see
that under-vote it's very consistent, and so it
19
20 starts at one level at the top of the ballot and then
it goes down, then that under-vote kind of -- it will
21
22 increase as you go down the ballot.
I've never seen a type of under-vote where
23
24 voters, who generally don't know who these candidates
25 are anyway, decides to skip one race and then start
0123
1 voting for commission of agriculture at higher rates
2 or commissioner of insurance at higher rates. That
would be the first time I've ever seen something like
3
4 those.
Q. Thank you. You were asked by Mr. Lindsey a
5
6 number of questions of whether there were some other
candidates who had received fewer votes than Ms.
7
8 Amico; do you recall that?
9 A. Yes.
10 Q. And in fact he actually had better
11 questions to ask and one would have been, isn't it
true that the libertarian candidate got way more
12
13 votes -- way fewer votes than Ms. Amico in the

governor's race?

A. I believe so, yeah.

Q. And so is it germane to your understanding of the voting patterns that there might be some candidates that got fewer votes down ballot or up ballot if they're third-party candidates or not popular? Do you have anything to do with that?

MR. TYSON: Your Honor, gets back to the why. It gets back to the why. He can testify as to what, but he's now entering into the why again. He's trying to get his witness as an expert to the back door. He couldn't do it through the front, he shouldn't be allowed to do it

0124

through the back. [inaudible]

MR. BROWN: I'll withdraw the question, Your Honor.

BY MR. BROWN:

Q. I want to get back to the numbers because you were asked about other down ballot races by Mr. Lindsey, did you review the numbers on the state representative seats up for election in 2018?

A. I did, yes.

Q. And did -- what did you find with respect to whether -- about the voting patterns with respect to those contests?

A. So, in those contests I examined the number of votes that were cast for state representative to try to establish the baseline in an election that I know usually has, you know, high rates of under-voting. What I found in that case was that there were about a thousand precincts statewide where there were actually fewer votes cast for lieutenant governor than there were for state representative, which again is odd.

Q. Let me direct your -- well, did you --

THE COURT: [inaudible]

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I'm sorry. I'm sorry, Your Honor.

BY MR. BROWN:

0125

Q. Did you determine in how many of the precinct -- precincts the Democratic candidate for state representative received all of the votes cast?

A. One -- yeah. Yes. 137 precincts.

Q. Okay. And in those -- did you determine

6 whether in those precincts --
THE COURT: 137 precincts?
7
8 THE WITNESS: Correct. 137.
9 THE COURT: What counties?
10 THE WITNESS: Across the state.
11 THE COURT: Are those [inaudible] 137 precincts in the whole state?
12
13 THE WITNESS: No, no. There are about 137 precincts where the candidate for state representative for the
14
15 Democratic --
THE COURT: Got more votes --
16
17 THE WITNESS: Got 100 percent of the votes, which
18 goes back to my points in the first part of the paper, which generally when a race is --
19
20 THE COURT: Okay. Don't go -- don't go --
MR. BROWN: Sorry. Thanks.
21
22 THE COURT: Because that'll get [inaudible].
MR. BROWN: Okay.
23
24 BY MR. BROWN:
25 Q. Did you determine in the races for state
0126
1 representative in how many precincts the state
2 representative race got more votes than the lieutenant governor race?
3
4 A. Yes.
Q. And what did you find?
5
6 A. I found a thousand -- 1,012 precincts; 38 percent of the precincts statewide when the state
7
8 representative had more votes cast than the
9 lieutenant governor.
10 Q. Did you have the opportunity -- or did you
11 undertake to -- you were talking about other races. Did you compare the lieutenant governor race to the
12
13 race for attorney general?
A. I compared. Yes.
14
15 Q. And what did you find?
A. So in that case I found that there were
16
17 much fewer -- I'd have to go, I'd have to see the
18 exact number, but it was --
Q. Let me correct that. My question was
19
20 poorly framed.
A. 410.
21
22 Q. Did you compare the votes for state representative and attorney general?
23

24 A. Yes.
25 Q. And what did you find there?
0127
1 A. What I found there, there were about 410
2 precincts statewide where there were more votes cast
for state representative for -- than lieutenant
3
4 governor.
Q. Okay. Thank you. [inaudible]
5
6 MR. TYSON: Just briefly, Your Honor.
7
8 CROSS-EXAMINATION
9 OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL
10
11 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. Mr. Brill, you'd agree with me, wouldn't
12
13 you, that the 2018 election in Georgia was a very
high turnout election, right?
14
15 A. Yes.
Q. And I asked you a question that I had not
16
17 worded quite correctly previously. Did you in any of
18 your analyses look at a race where there was a
governor's race and no U.S. Senate race happening at
19
20 the same time, like what happened in 2018?
A. A governor's race with no U.S. Senate race?
21
22 Q. Yes.
A. I didn't look at that specifically.
23
24 Q. Okay. Mr. Brown asked you about the
25 precincts you analyzed for state representative; did
0128
1 you break out those based on the DRE machines versus
2 absentee by mail or early voting categories, or did
you look totally -- solely at precinct totals?
3
4 A. For this I looked at solely precinct
totals.
5
6 Q. Okay. Thank you.
MR. TYSON: That's all I have.
7
8 MR. LINDSEY: Just real briefly.
9
10 CROSS-EXAMINATION
11 OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL
12
13 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. You were aware that in the AG's race there
14
15 was an incumbent running; correct?
A. Yes.
16
17 Q. And in the lieutenant governor's race there
18 were two newcomers coming -- running; correct?

A. Correct.

19

20 MR. LINDSEY: No further questions.

THE COURT: Mr. Brown, anything else?

21

22 MR. BROWN: I do not have anything further.

THE COURT: Okay. The witness may be excused.

23

24 Whatever he says. He's in charge of all that.

25 [inaudible].

0129

1 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, at this point we have another

2 --

THE COURT: [inaudible] Are you taking notes on the

3

4 laptop?

THE NEWS REPORTER: Yes.

5

6 THE COURT: Did you comply with Rule 22?

THE NEWS REPORTER: Yes. [inaudible]

7

8 THE COURT: [inaudible]

9 THE NEWS REPORTER: Jordan [inaudible].

10 THE COURT: Who?

11 THE NEWS REPORTER: Jordan [inaudible], Your Honor.

MALE: [inaudible]

12

13 THE COURT: Okay.

MALE: Mr. [inaudible] did.

14

15 THE COURT: Making me nervous. Go ahead.

MR. BROWN: Your Honor, logistically we have another

16

17 long witness. It would be convenient to have that go more

18 smoothly if we took our lunch break now, but of course

I'll defer to your schedule.

19

20 THE COURT: Anybody have an objection to taking lunch

now and coming back at 1:00? Let's take lunch now and

21

22 we'll back at 1:00.

MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

23

24

25 [Off the record at 11:41 a.m., and back on the record

0130

1 at 1:00 p.m.]

2

MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, in order to keep things

3

4 moving along, I just want to make sure I get all my

witnesses here or are here. This is our last witness.

5

6 THE COURT: You need time to do something?

MR. LINDSEY: No, no. I just want to make sure -- if

7

8 this is his last witness, I want to make sure I have

9 everybody here ready to go.

10 MR. BROWN: It depends on the ruling that she will

11 make. I have one live witness and one witness who I would

like to testify by telephone if the Court allows. Just to
12
13 give you an idea.
MR. LINDSEY: Okay, that's fine. I just want to --
14
15 I'll call my folks and make sure everybody's here. That's
all I wanted to know.
16
17 THE COURT: Okay. You understand that I cannot see
18 the clock from here because it's dark back there. I
cannot see that clock. I see the circle and I see the
19
20 exit sign but I can't see the hands from back here because
it's black; there's no light back there. So I have to go
21
22 by my own watch, which is not --
MR. LINDSEY: Well, let the record reflect that Mr.
23
24 Brown has already insulted my eyesight once today.
25 Therefore [inaudible].
0131
1 THE COURT: Ready when you're ready.
2 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, two introductory items.
First, we would like to tender into evidence Defendant
3
4 Robyn A. Crittenden's notice of limited offer -- proffer
of evidence. And let me explain this. The -- when she
5
6 was a party, the Secretary of State submitted this as a
proffer of evidence and it is the testimony of a witness
7
8 in the Curling versus Kemp case, and so I'm going to
9 accept their proffer and I'm tendering it into evidence.
10 And so it --
11 THE COURT: Any comment on that?
MR. LINDSEY: I'm going to object to it, Your Honor.
12
13 The Secretary of State is no longer in the case and we
haven't had a chance to cross-examine that witness.
14
15 THE COURT: It's hearsay. I'm absolute hearsay; I'm
not going to allow it into evidence. And as I said, I
16
17 didn't read it. I didn't read any of the stuff that was -
18 - I'm sorry. I'm old school. I believe that the evidence
should come out in the courtroom; there ought to be a rite
19
20 of cross-examination.
I happen to believe in the Constitution because I
21
22 wasn't raised with one; okay? So I'm partial to it. I'm
not going to let that in. It's not evidence.
23
24 MR. BROWN: May I respond just briefly, Your Honor?
25 THE COURT: You may respond.
0132
1 MR. BROWN: This is evidence that the other side
2 introduced into evidence.
THE COURT: I don't -- I don't care who introduced
3

4 it. It's not admissible. It's hearsay. And one party who's not in the case introduced it, the rest [inaudible].
5
6 I'm not going to allow it.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. I'll go ahead and
7
8 mark it for --
9 THE COURT: You can put it in the record as a
10 proffer. Just --
11 MR. BROWN: -- as a proffer and proffer it as evidence.
12
13 THE COURT: -- it's hearsay. They have a right, as Mr. Lindsay said, to cross-examine it, and they didn't. So
14
15 --
MR. BROWN: That will -- what number is that? That's
16
17 number 4. Thank you, Your Honor.
18 The next witness we would like to call is Philip Stark and Professor Stark is a professor at Berkeley and
19
20 we would like to introduce his testimony, with your Court's permission, by telephone. And so, we would move
21
22 for that --
THE COURT: What does the defense say?
23
24 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, that's usually something
25 that's worked out before. There is a lot to be learned by
0133
1 having the witness live to be able to cross-examine them
2 live right here. Now there are circumstances in which the parties do agree to do things by telephone. I've done it
3
4 a hundred times, if not more.
But this was not something that was worked out
5
6 previously. We would prefer to be able to cross-examine someone, and I'm sure Mr. Tyson would as well be able to
7
8 see someone in the eye to cross-examine them directly. So
9 we would object.
10 THE COURT: Mr. Tyson?
11 MR. TYSON: Yes, Your Honor. I'm pulling up the Rule right now but we'd be in the same boat. We'd like to have
12
13 -- this hearing has been on the books for over a month. We know it was going to be; we want to talk to Professor
14
15 Stark and cross-examine him live.
THE COURT: This case actually was set back December
16
17 5th. I'm reading things that say that's not so but it is
18 so. It was set November 5th [sic]; everybody's know- -- December 5th. Everybody's known about it.
19
20 I'm not going to do a witness by telephone. Part of -- it isn't words they say sometimes; it's the mannerisms.
21

22 And I've done them by Skype. I did some dear old ladies
who've been ripped with that -- grandson calls and says
23
24 he's in the jail, those kinds of cases.
25 And we did those by Skype, which is much better and
0134
1 you've got a full view and you can see the witnesses. But
2 I'm not going to do this one by telephone.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. If we have the
3
4 opportunity, could we set up a Skype tomorrow for this
witness?
5
6 THE COURT: It's up to you. I'm not telling you.
I'm saying what I won't do; I'm not going to tell you what
7
8 to do.
9 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, we
10 would call --
11 THE COURT: And the defense haven't been heard on
that. They should --
12
13 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, our position is, we're here
today. [inaudible]
14
15 THE COURT: Okay. Next witness.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, we would call Matthew
16
17 Bernhard as a witness.
18 THE COURT: Okay.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I apologize. My client has
19
20 Skype on her laptop and --
THE COURT: Has what on her laptop?
21
22 MR. BROWN: Has Skype on her laptop and we would move
to -- for -- to allow us to examine Mr. Stark by Skype.
23
24 THE COURT: What says the defense?
25 MR. TYSON: Same objection, Your Honor.
0135
1 MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, I would ask the Court to
2 look at Superior Court Rule 9.2 with respect to video
conferencing, which requires any request for video
3
4 conference be done by notice of intention prior to the
[inaudible]
5
6 THE COURT: Rule 9.2?
MS. BURWELL: Yes.
7
8 THE COURT: At this time I've got the computer where
9 I'm supposed to be able to get into it, and I can see.
10 Let me look at it.
11 Okay. Let's see. I'll get it; just a minute. I've
got LexisNexus. It's Superior Court Rule 9.2?
12
13 MS. BURWELL: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. Let's see. [inaudible]. Okay.
14

15 Yeah, Rule -- yeah. 9.2(c) says that you have to file as of your intent 30 days prior to the date scheduled and
16
17 then we have to have a hearing on the matter. That's what
18 it says.
MR, BROWN: Your Honor, with all due respect, I
19
20 believe that the -- some of these rules like the jury demand need to be read in context of the nature of this
21
22 proceeding. The nature of this proceeding is an accelerated process.
23
24 I understand that Your Honor did schedule this on
25 December 5th. Nevertheless, given the challenges
0136
1 preparing a case of this complexity, we would ask for your
2 indulgence to -- as an exception to that rule to allow the testimony.
3
4 THE COURT: Mr. Lindsey?
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we've had several weeks to
5
6 prepare for trial and would have been available to do it by deposition, notice the person that's necessary to go --
7
8 to take a deposition. The plaintiffs have chosen not to
9 do so, but instead to do so at trial to set up [inaudible]
10 procedure. So we state our objections.
11 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, [inaudible] declaration he filed for him much earlier in the case as recently as 10
12
13 days ago, possibly earlier than that, opportunity to bring that before the Court [inaudible].
14
15 THE COURT: Well, I understand. We're in an expedited situation and we've had some service and other
16
17 issues. But we did have a hearing on January 9 and I
18 think that's the notice should have been given. Given the nature of the case I understand bending the rules because
19
20 of where we are.
But to suddenly walk in in the afternoon of trial and
21
22 say I want to call somebody by Skype or by phone is not in compliance with the rules at all. And so, yeah, if you
23
24 got up on January 9th and said you were going to do it,
25 then I'd given you a chance to be heard and we'd gone
0137
1 through it. But I'm not going to violate the rule at this
2 time.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. And I believe --
3
4 THE COURT: What are you doing, ma'am? Go sit down, please.
5
6 MR. BROWN: I had lost something. I have a copy now. I'm just looking for my copies.

7
8 THE COURT: If you need to ask for something you can.
9 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. We would call --
10 THE COURT: Matthew Bernhard.
11 MR. BROWN: Yes.
Please raise your right hand. Do you promise to tell
12
13 the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?
THE WITNESS: I do.
14
15
Thereupon:
16
17
18 MATTHEW BERNHARD
19
20 was called as a witness by the Petitioner; and,
having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
21
22
DIRECT EXAMINATION
23
24 OF MATTHEW BERNHARD
25
0138
1 BY MR. BROWN:
2 Q. Please sit down and state your name for the
record.
3
4 A. My name is Matthew Bernhard.
Q. Mr. Bernhard, what do you do?
5
6 A. I am a Ph.D. candidate at the University of
Michigan studying computer science.
7
8 Q. Have you been engaged by the plaintiffs as
9 an expert in this case?
10 A. Yes.
11 THE COURT: You're a PhD candidate where?
THE WITNESS: At the University of Michigan.
12
13 THE COURT: Michigan. Okay.
THE WITNESS: Yes.
14
15 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Bernhard, I would like to go over your
16
17 qualifications, and do you have a CV that you have
18 prepared?
A. Yes.
19
20 Q. I'd like to briefly go over your education
and experience. You -- where'd you go to college?
21
22 A. I went to Georgia Tech and graduated from
Rice University.
23
24 Q. Okay. And what did you study at Rice?
25 A. Computer science.
0139

1 Q. And did you go to graduate school?
2 A. Yes.
Q. And what did you study at graduate school?
3
4 A. Computer science.
Q. And that was --
5
6 THE COURT: You're going to have to speak up because
you're mumbling and I can't hear you. I got the Georgia
7
8 Tech bit for reasons of my allegiance but what was the
9 rest of it?
10 THE WITNESS: Rice University.
11 THE COURT: Rice. Okay.
THE WITNESS: Yes.
12
13 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. And then did you attend graduate school?
14
15 A. Yes. At the University of Michigan.
Q. And what did you study at the University of
16
17 Michigan?
18 A. Computer science.
Q. And did you obtain a degree at Michigan?
19
20 A. Yes, I got a Master's degree.
Q. And did you continue your studies at
21
22 Michigan?
A. I am currently still there.
23
24 Q. And how far are you along in your Ph.D.
25 work?
0140
1 A. I'm -- this is my fourth year.
2 Q. Okay. And so if you graduated or obtained
your degree, when would that be?
3
4 A. Probably about a year from now.
Q. Okay. And are you working on a
5
6 dissertation?
A. Yes, sir.
7
8 Q. And what's the subject matter of your
9 dissertation?
10 A. Election integrity and election security.
11 Q. Okay. Now have you --
THE WITNESS: Security.
12
13 THE COURT: Okay. [inaudible]
THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
14
15 THE COURT: [inaudible]
BY MR. BROWN:
16
17 Q. Have you -- do you teach?
18 A. Yes, sir. I taught the undergraduate cyber
security course, introductory course, and I've also

19
20 recently conducted a -- an undergraduate research seminar in election security.
21
22 Q. Okay. Have you ever published anything?
A. Yes. I have published several papers
23
24 pertaining to election security and, you know,
25 election audits, and in several other things that
0141
1 aren't related to election [inaudible].
2 Q. I mean, are these -- have you published peer review articles?
3
4 A. I guess almost every article I publish has been peer review.
5
6 Q. Okay. Have you -- outside of your academic work, have you worked with election systems?
7
8 A. Yes, sir. I -- in addition to working for
9 Verified Voting, who collects data about elections, I
10 worked with election officials all over the country
11 helping run audits, you know. And helping them better secure their election [inaudible].
12
13 Q. Let me go over your work experience, also then. You mentioned Verified Voting. You -- are you
14
15 -- do you work for them now?
A. Currently, yes, as a consultant.
16
17 Q. Okay. And what sort of work do you do for
18 Verified Voting?
A. I collect data about current -- the current
19
20 generation of election technology and its security properties, what kinds of operating systems they run
21
22 and, you know, what program languages it's programmed in and so forth.
23
24 Q. And what employment did you have
25 immediately before Verified Voting?
0142
1 A. So before that I did a summer internship at
2 a company named CloudPlayer and then before that I was at Microsoft Research.
3
4 Q. And what did you do for Microsoft?
A. I researched Trusted Boot, which is a
5
6 particular way that computers can ensure the application if the running is the right one.
7
8 Q. Did you say boot?
9 A. Yeah. Boot.
10 Q. Okay.
11 A. With specific applications for voting machines.

12
13 Q. And why was Microsoft -- what's the connection between voting machines and working for
14
15 Microsoft?
A. Well, so most of the machines run through
16
17 Windows operating system, the ones in Georgia do, and
18 so they have a vested interest in making sure that, you know, they can support it and develop technology
19
20 scoring.
Q. And did your work at Microsoft relate to
21
22 the operating systems that are used generally or just for voting systems or both?
23
24 A. Generally; it's all Windows. I was mostly
25 focused on the more recent versions of Windows,
0143
1 Windows 8 and Windows 10, both of which are used in
2 the current generation of voting technology.
Q. And skipping ahead, is that the voting
3
4 system used in Georgia --
A: No.
5
6 Q. -- the operating system used in Georgia?
A. No.
7
8 Q. Okay.
9 A. It is a -- the -- that operating system is
10 about 15 years down the line from the one that's used
11 in Georgia voting machines.
Q. Have you ever been qualified as an expert
12
13 in a case?
A. Yes, sir. I'm an expert -- qualified expert
14
15 witness in the Curling v. Kemp case in the Northern District of Georgia.
16
17 Q. It --
18 A. [inaudible] federal court.
Q. And has -- have your opinions, your expert
19
20 opinions ever been cited by a court with approval or indicating that the court does not disagree with your
21
22 conclusions?
A. Yes. In one of the rulings in the
23
24 [inaudible] and the Curling v. Kemp case she did cite
25 my opinions in the affirmative.
0144
1 Q. Do you have either academic or hands-on
2 experience and knowledge about the operations generally of a DRE machine?
3
4 A. Yes, sir. I have worked in many different

ways with DREs.

Q. And have you worked with the Diebold DREs?

A. Yes. We have about ten of them in my lab in Michigan.

Q. And you have access to those?

A. Yes.

Q. And did you obtain those machines legally?

A. Yes. On Ebay.

Q. Are you able to tear it apart, study all the components?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. Do you have knowledge and experience through your training and your education about whether DREs as a class of voting systems are vulnerable or not vulnerable?

A. Yes, I do.

MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor --

Q. And how have you --

MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I would at this point object. The questions today are not -- whether or not the system could potentially be vulnerable and whether or not

0145

some other system would be better. That's a decision that's now being debated at the capitol. The questions today are whether the system was in fact compromised.

MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I don't -- I'm not quite sure what the form of that objection was.

MR. LINDSEY: We object to the relevancy. I mean, I'm trying to keep the case focused on what not that was in fact a compromise of the system.

MR. BROWN: Okay. Your Honor, I can ask him more questions that establish the -- that connect the dots.

THE COURT: Do that.

BY MR. BROWN:

Q. Would the background vulnerability of a system like these DREs increase the likelihood that it's defective today in Georgia?

A. Yes.

MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, [inaudible] background vulnerabilities [inaudible] foundation yet for what vulnerability is or [inaudible] this thing. If we're trying to qualify him, that's one thing; but if we're trying get into the facts I think that's something else.

MR. BROWN: May I respond, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Well, whether the machines generally are
23
24 not very good doesn't really matter in this case. I'm
25 going to let you, if you're using it, to lay a foundation
0146
1 to get somewhere, I'm going to let you do that for a
2 little bit.
But the fact that the machines, all wherever they may
3
4 be used, aren't the best is not relevant --
MR. BROWN: Your Honor --
5
6 THE COURT: -- in this case is the one [inaudible].
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, if I may. The witness'
7
8 testimony is different than what Your Honor said. What
9 his testimony was that it --
10 THE COURT: I'm going to let you ask that question;
11 okay?
MR. BROWN: Okay. Thank you.
12
13 THE COURT: I was just trying to give you some
direction.
14
15 MR. BROWN: Okay.
THE COURT: If not, they can get exercise jumping up
16
17 and down.
18 MR. BROWN: Okay. I want to get -- to focus your
attention more closely on the machines that were used in
19
20 this case.
BY MR. BROWN:
21
22 Q. Do you have any experience or knowledge or
expertise about whether Georgia's systems are more
23
24 vulnerable or less vulnerable than these machines are
25 generally?
0147
1 A. Yes, I do.
2 Q. What's the basis for your expertise in
that?
3
4 A. I reviewed -- so in addition to my
knowledge about the Diebold voting system, in
5
6 particular, I reviewed Georgia's use of the system.
I've been into, you know, particularly the Fulton
7
8 County election preparations and multiple times.
9 I've reviewed testimony from Michael Barnes, Rick
10 Barron, and other election officials in the state of
11 Georgia and have been observing Georgia's election
since 2016.
12
13 Q. Have you -- in the course of your education
and your experience, have you -- do you have
14
15 experience and expertise about problems, specific

types of problems, that DREs can experience, Georgia
16
17 or elsewhere?
18 A. Yes.
Q. Would that include software problems?
19
20 A. Yes.
Q. And hardware problems also? You need to
21
22 say, yes.
A. Yes.
23
24 Q. Okay. Have you developed -- and now I'm
25 going to explore this in a little bit greater detail,
0148
1 but have you developed an -- do you have experience
2 or developed expertise in how to detect problems with
DREs forensically?
3
4 A. Yes. In fact, in 2017 I published a paper
looking at how to do that with the machines.
5
6 Q. And when I use the word -- we use the word,
forensically, when you use it -- and the reason I'm
7
8 asking this is that to many it might have connotation
9 of a criminal conduct; do you follow me?
10 A. Yes.
11 Q. In your field when you use the word,
forensic investigation, do you mean to imply that
12
13 you're looking necessarily for criminal activity?
A. No. Typically it's a post hoc application
14
15 of the scientific method to determine what happens,
whether it be criminal or not.
16
17 Q. But it's looks -- is it looking for the
18 causes of a mistake or a problem?
A. Yes, and to try to understand how that
19
20 problem came to be.
Q. Do you have any expertise in determining or
21
22 identifying what I would call the telltale signs from
the outside as to whether there are system defects on
23
24 the inside?
25 A. Yes. See, for example, that paper that I
0149
1 just mentioned.
2 Q. Okay. And have you -- do you have real
hands-on experience with DREs trying to determine
3
4 whether and how they are defected?
A. Yes. In fact, we just talked to some
5
6 undergraduates how to do a forensic analysis of the
Diebold DREs in particular.
7

8 Q. Do you have any experience in post-election
9 auditing?
10 A. Yes. I assisted the State of Michigan and
11 observed the audits in Colorado, the responding
audits in Colorado.
12
13 Q. And what is a risk limiting audit?
A. The risk limiting audit is a statistical
14
15 way where you define hypothesis tests and you use
that to sample a small subset of ballots and answer
16
17 the question, is the reporting elections all correct
18 or not.
Q. And just -- does your work -- does that
19
20 work require knowledge and use of methods of
statistics?
21
22 A. Yes, it does. In particular, the
development of new [inaudible] paper we just
23
24 published.
25 Q. Okay.
0150
1 MR. BROWN: And, Your Honor, I would like to qualify
2 and tender Mr. Bernhard as an expert in DRE operation, DRE
vulnerability, Georgia; and generally, the internal
3
4 mechanics and software of the DREs, problems with DREs,
how to detect those problems, the telltale signs of those
5
6 problems --
THE COURT: Okay, you're drawing a huge, huge list of
7
8 stuff. Let's be a little bit -- yeah, I'm not going to go
9 through and say yes, no, yes, no. What you're wanting to
10 qualify him as an expert generally in what, DRE?
11 MR. BROWN: DREs, their problems, their repair, how
to detect them, and how to fix them.
12
13 THE COURT: Okay. You keep adding to it. What say
the defendant?
14
15 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, a few more questions for
Bernhard.
16
17
18 CROSS-EXAMINATION
OF MATTHEW BERNHARD
19
20
BY MR. TYSON:
21
22 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Bernhard. My name is
Bryan Tyson. I represent the Gwinnett County Board
23
24 of Registrations and Elections. So you mentioned to
25 Mr. Brown that you worked for Verified Voting. Does
0151

1 Verified Voting have a position on the use of DREs,
2 generally?
A. Yes.
3
4 Q. And what is that position?
A. That DREs are unfit for us in U.S.
5
6 elections.
Q. And it would be correct to say that
7
8 Verified Voting wants to return to an all-paper
9 ballot system; correct?
10 A. A handwritten paper ballot system; correct.
11 Q. You mentioned that you were qualified as
expert in Curling versus Kemp case; do -- can you
12
13 explain to the Court briefly what the issues are in
that case that you're -- gave expert testimony on?
14
15 A. Yeah. The argument is that the inherent
vulnerability of Georgia's DRE voting system puts
16
17 voters in Georgia at a fundamentally different level
18 of -- you know, it abridges their constitutional
rights to a fair election in comparison to other
19
20 states, like Alabama next door
Q. And those claims were all [inaudible] in
21
22 that case? And are the same plaintiffs involved in
that case?
23
24 A. I don't believe so.
25 Q. Okay. And is Mr. Brown counsel for the
0152
1 plaintiffs in that case?
2 A. Yes, sir.
Q. Okay. You mentioned you had several DREs
3
4 in your lab; do any of those DREs -- the Diebold DREs
specifically, run the same operating system as in use
5
6 in Georgia?
A. Yes, sir.
7
8 Q. Okay. How many of those run the same
9 operating system?
10 A. All of them.
11 Q. Do all of them run the same version of the
software that's used for voting in Diebold machines
12
13 in Georgia?
A. No, sir.
14
15 Q. And so do any of them have the same
software that is use in Georgia for running the
16
17 actual voting system?
18 A. No, sir. They all have the -- a more
recent version.

19
20 Q. So, it would be correct to say that none of the Diebold machines in your lab are -- will match up
21
22 to any of the Diebold machines that are in Georgia from a software perspective; correct?
23
24 A. There will be a significant amount of
25 similarities, but it won't be 100 percent the same.
0153
1 Q. Okay. Great. Do you have any experience
2 in the field of election administration?
A. Yes, sir. I'm a trained poll worker in the
3
4 state of Michigan.
Q. Okay. Have you done any personal review of
5
6 any voting machines that were used in the November 2018 general election in Georgia?
7
8 A. [inaudible] personal reviews.
9 Q. So have you physically touched the
10 machines, inspected them, taken any steps to review
11 any machines that were used in the 2018 general election in Georgia?
12
13 A. No, sir.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, that's compound.
14
15 THE COURT: [inaudible]
MR. BROWN: He asked about eight questions in --
16
17 MR. TYSON: I'll -- I'm going to try to rephrase.
18 I'm sorry.
THE COURT: Rephrase.
19
20 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. Mr. Bernhard, have you personally inspected
21
22 any machines that were used in 2018 election in Georgia in general election?
23
24 A. No, sir.
25 Q. And so it would be correct to say, isn't
0154
1 it, that you have no firsthand knowledge of any DRE
2 machine that was used in the 2018 Georgia election because you haven't inspected them; correct?
3
4 A. I suppose that's correct.
MR. TYSON: Okay. That's all I have.
5
6 THE COURT: Anybody else for the defendant have questions?
7
8 MR. LINDSEY: No, Your Honor.
9 THE COURT: Okay.
10 MR. BROWN: Just one follow-up question.
11 I don't have any further questions for him.
THE COURT: Okay. Anyone want to be heard on whether

12
13 he's an expert on DREs? That's basically what the issue is. All that other stuff I'm not going to rule on,
14
15 [inaudible] credibility [inaudible].
MR. TYSON: Yes, Your Honor. So we would object to
16
17 Mr. Bernhard serving as an expert in this case, both under
18 702(b) because he's testified he has no personal knowledge about the voting machines in use in Georgia. The machines
19
20 in his lab do not line up to ones that were in use in Georgia.
21
22 He has not personally inspected the voting machines that were used in the 2018 general election, so we don't
23
24 believe that he can offer anything to help the Court
25 determine if that's an issue which is required by that.
0155
1 In addition, we don't believe there is anything
2 relevant that relates to the issue in the case. Mr. Bernhard can certainly testify about DREs generally. The
3
4 issue for this Court is focused on the lieutenant governor's election and Mr. Bernhard has not indicated he
5
6 has anything that would be useful to finder of facts in this case.
7
8 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we adopt the issue of
9 Gwinnett County and also make the same objections.
10 MR. BROWN: First -- the first objection was based
11 upon his line of personal knowledge. That, of course, is not an objection to an expert qualification of an expert.
12
13 The second was personal knowledge about inspection. That's also not a relevant objection.
14
15 I mean, if there's another idea lurking behind that, I'd like to hear it and respond to it, but that's not a
16
17 proper objection. The single objection that I could
18 understand, that's applicable to here, was that his particular model -- models that he has and that he can
19
20 take apart, is a different -- he uses a different version of the Microsoft operating system.
21
22 He testified that it is substantially identical and that is -- even if it were different, he has been
23
24 established as a leading expert in this field.
25 THE COURT: I'm not going to say he's a leading
0156
1 expert. I have no evidence of him being an expert. But
2 leading expert -- I am going to allow him to testify as an expert. The weight and credibility of his testimony is
3
4 for me, and I can listen to it and I can [inaudible].

MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

5

6

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

7

8 OF MATTHEW BERNHARD

9

10 BY MR. BROWN:

11 Q. Mr. Bernhard, the first part, I would like to review your -- of what materials you have reviewed

12

13 in connection with your work on this case. Are you with me?

14

15 A. Uh-huh.

Q. And have you reviewed the scholarly

16

17 literature?

18 A. Yes, sir. I've done a thorough survey of all of the academic works specifically about the Diebold

19

20 machines and [inaudible].

Q. Have you reviewed government publications

21

22 on [inaudible]?

A. Yes, sir. [inaudible] National Academy of

23

24 Sciences as well as various other government

25 agencies.

0157

1 Q. Have you ever reviewed the source code for

2 the TS system?

A. Yes, sir. I've reviewed an early release

3

4 of the source code.

Q. Have you reviewed the voting results in the

5

6 Georgia 2018 election?

A. Yes, sir.

7

8 Q. Have reviewed studies of those results?

9 A. Yes, sir.

10 Q. Have you reviewed statements from voters

11 with their accounts of trying to vote on the machines in Georgia?

12

13 A. Yes, sir.

Q. And who in -- focusing just on the -- on

14

15 those individual statements, what statements have you -- let me back up a little bit. Let me finish. Have

16

17 you reviewed the testimony of the agents of the

18 defendants, including Michael Barnes and Rick Barron in other cases?

19

20 A. Yes, sir.

Q. Have you reviewed the reported

21

22 vulnerability in the Georgia voter registration page

recorded during the 2018 election?
23
24 A. Yes.
25 Q. Have you spoken with other people who have
0158
1 expert knowledge to gain a better understanding of
2 the issues?
A. Yes, sir.
3
4 Q. And who would those be?
A. For one, [inaudible] who published one of
5
6 the original security analyses of the DREs, I've
spoken with -- excuse me [inaudible] Philip Stark,
7
8 who is a leading expert on audits and [inaudible],
9 among others.
10 Q. Okay. Okay. Let's dive into then to some
11 of your opinions. First, with respect to the Diebold
DRE machine, do you have an opinion as to whether
12
13 that machine generally is defective or not defective?
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'm going to object to the
14
15 way that the question is asked. I don't think we've
established if there one [inaudible] DRE machine. We've
16
17 already heard there's different versions of software, are
18 there different hardware -- I think we need to be specific
about what's being asked.
19
20 MR. BROWN: Fair enough, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Well, it's a lot of different types of
21
22 machines. At least be able to identify which ones he's
done and which ones he hasn't.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Exactly. Your Honor, exact -- the
25 objection is well taken and let me reframe it.
0159
1 BY MR. BROWN:
2 Q. Have you developed an opinion as to whether
the DRE systems like the ones used in Georgia
3
4 generally are defective?
A. Yes.
5
6 Q. And to get to the point of different DRE
machines, other states use Diebold DRE machines; is
7
8 that correct?
9 A. Correct.
10 Q. Are they all identical or are they
11 different?
A. From a hardware perspective, they're all
12
13 identical. The software is different depending on
the state; though there are only three major versions
14
15 of the software out there.

Q. With respect to whether they are defective
16
17 or not, is there any different -- material difference
18 in the different types of Diebold DRE machines?
A. No, sir. They all come with the same
19
20 software and they have the same aging hardware.
Q. And what is your opinion about the
21
22 defectiveness of the DRE machines that are used
nationwide and in Georgia?
23
24 A. They're defective both from an
25 architectural standpoint, the actual implementation,
0160
1 and the way they were designed and built, as well as
2 the way they are used in many places.
Again, these machines are 15 years old at this
3
4 point. The glue that holds the screens together is
starting to come apart, you know, as well as, you
5
6 know, who knows how many times they've been dropped
and so forth. And so I think it's -- they were
7
8 defective to begin with but they've only become more
9 defective over time.
10 Q. Mr. Bernhard, have you reviewed academic
11 literature on the subject of whether DRE systems are
generally defective?
12
13 A. Yes, sir.
Q. And just give me an example of some of the
14
15 things that you reviewed.
A. Yeah, so there was a forensic study in 2006
16
17 of the Diebold system that was actually published by
18 [inaudible]. There was the California top to bottom
review. There was the Ohio Everest report; the state
19
20 of Florida and Maryland both issued independent
reports. And then more recently we've seen the
21
22 National Academy of Science's report on the usage of
DREs, as well as numerous others.
23
24 Q. And what -- do they have a general
25 consensus or not?
0161
1 A. Yeah, they -- unanimous conclusion is that
2 DRE machines, and particularly the kind of DRE that's
used in Georgia are unsafe and unfit for use for
3
4 voting.
Q. And are the unsafe and unfit for voting
5
6 because they don't -- they're not accurate or they
might not be accurate?
7

8 A. Yeah. They are, you know -- as I mentioned
9 before, inherently defective and on top of that, they
10 don't provide any recourse if the defect is detected.
11 Q. And what do you mean by no recourse?
A. You know, typically there's this notion of
12
13 software independence where even if the software does
go bad, we can, one, detect it if it's happened; and
14
15 two, correct for it.
Because the DRE machines don't have any -- you
16
17 know, there's no paper trail. There's no external
18 source of evidence of how many votes are cast, who
they were cast for. There is no way that the DREs
19
20 can provide significant evidence to the outcome of an
election.
21
22 Q. But isn't that the case in any sort of
computer program that there's no independence --
23
24 A. Yes.
25 Q. -- of verification?
0162
1 A. Anything that doesn't have an external
2 source of evidence, yes.
Q. The -- let me ask the same question. You
3
4 were -- you responded with discussion of governmental
agencies. Have you reviewed opinions or publications
5
6 from the computer science community --
A. Yes.
7
8 Q. -- about the vulnerability or defectiveness
9 of the DREs?
10 A. Yes. That was the majority of the
11 literature is from.
Q. Okay. And what is the computer science --
12
13 is there a consensus or differences of opinion in the
computer science community with respect to the
14
15 defectiveness of the DREs?
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I object on the relevancy.
16
17 This has been going on for a little while. I'm not sure
18 when -- where general problems of the DREs is relevant to
allegations regarding the Georgia lieutenant governor's
19
20 election on a specific [inaudible] Georgia machines.
These are general allegations, not something specific.
21
22 MR. BROWN: Your Honor --
THE COURT: I understand that. He can answer that
23
24 question [inaudible].
25 MR. BROWN: Okay.
0163

1 THE WITNESS: Again, the overall consensus is that
2 the machines are vulnerable and can cause problems.
MR. BROWN:
3
4 Q. Are you aware of any peer review computer
science publication that takes a contrary view to the
5
6 view that the DREs are defective?
A. No, sir. The community is unanimous.
7
8 Q. Have you developed an opinion about whether
9 Georgia's system is less defective than the systems
10 used in other parts of the country or more defective?
11 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll just object for lack of
foundation. I don't think we've had testimony about
12
13 Georgia yet. We've talked generally about that --
[inaudible]
14
15 THE COURT: I'll let you ask the question but if he
doesn't give a basis for it I'll disregard it.
16
17 THE WITNESS: Okay. Yes, sir, I have.
18 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. And if I asked you this before, I
19
20 apologize. But have you talked to people or have you
done some investigation about the vulnerability of
21
22 Georgia's system in particular, not as a general
matter?
23
24 A. Yes, sir.
25 Q. And what's -- what did you do or what have
0164
1 you done?
2 A. Again, reviewing testimony and interviewing
voters in Georgia, reviewing Georgia electronic
3
4 regulation; like I said, testimony from Michael
Barnes and Rick Barron in the Curling v. Kemp where
5
6 they explain more carefully the layout of the system.
I talked with Michael Barnes a couple times, also,
7
8 about how Georgia's election system works.
9 Q. And have you evaluated, for example, the
10 testimony of Logan Lamb?
11 A. Yes.
THE COURT: Of who?
12
13 MR. BROWN: Logan Lamb. And have you --
THE COURT: So he's repeating somebody else's
14
15 testimony in another case? Is that what you're saying?
MR. BROWN: That's not the purpose. It was to
16
17 provide a foundation for his knowledge in determining --
18 THE COURT: Does he have any personal knowledge?
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, he's an expert in --

19
20 THE COURT: I understand he's an expert. Go ahead. [inaudible].
21
22 MR. BROWN: Okay.
BY MR. BROWN:
23
24 Q. Have you personally examined the
25 architecture of the system, from your perspective,
0165
1 sufficient to understand whether the State of Georgia
2 by leaving it open to the internet for six months might have exposed the system to greater danger?
3
4 Have you looked at that personally?
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object to that again. I
5
6 don't think there's any evidence about an exposure for six months on the internet. There's no foundation for the
7
8 question -- that's also compounds [inaudible].
9 THE COURT: [inaudible] no time, no -- we're talking
10 about one day of one election. That's all we're talking
11 about.
MR. BROWN: Yeah. I'll establish a foundation for
12
13 that. The relevance -- I think there was a -- related to relevancy.
14
15 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Bernhard, in your experience and in
16
17 your expertise, would the likelihood of a defect in
18 the election on November the 6th, 2018, be increased or decreased based upon how Georgia's particular
19
20 system was maintained?
A. It would be increased.
21
22 Q. Okay. Now what did Georgia do or not do to increase the vulnerability of the DRE machine that it
23
24 uses?
25 A. We first found in 2016 from Logan Lamb that
0166
1 there was a server that is connected to the
2 [inaudible] system or at least adjacent to it that was open to the internet running an incredibly
3
4 vulnerable version of a software called Drupal. To give you an idea of how vulnerable it is, I set up my
5
6 Drupal residence and within 24 hours it was compromised.
7
8 We also saw in 2018 leading up to the election
9 that the voter registration page has significant
10 vulnerabilities in it. And all of these could serve
11 as potential records for malware or, you know, other -- they all show a tendency for a lack of

12
13 fastidiousness and, you know, a general carelessness
from a technical perspective that may indicate that
14
15 errors were made or there was malware in the system
or -- you know.
16
17 Q. And did that contribute to your assessment
18 of the level risk in Georgia?
A. Yes.
19
20 Q. And what was your conclusion about whether
the risk was increased or decreased?
21
22 A. So, based on the inherent vulnerability of
the DREs, they're used and based on the repeated
23
24 security mistakes by the State of Georgia, my opinion
25 is that the -- Georgia's elections are far more
0167
1 vulnerable than most other states and most other
2 elections in general.
Q. Now you identified -- you stated mistakes,
3
4 of what I interpreted to be mistakes. Could you list
those again for us, please?
5
6 A. Yeah. The file system that used to
distribute sample ballots and voter registration data
7
8 was left open to the internet. And the voter
9 registration page had two major vulnerabilities and
10 then one less significant vulnerability in it; and
11 because of the central nature of Georgia's election
system, you know, they -- the Secretary of State's
12
13 office does distribute programming to all of the
machines indirectly.
14
15 Those two vulnerabilities at the state level
could potentially cause trouble, you know, everywhere
16
17 in the state; as well as, you know, again, show a
18 general lack of care for technical correctness, you
know. Getting the technical stuff right and making
19
20 programming errors or for other things are more
likely as well.
21
22 Q. Okay. Anything else? Any other problems,
any other mistakes?
23
24 A. None come to mind.
25 Q. Okay. Let me -- to back up a little bit, I
0168
1 do want to get something into the record.
2 THE COURT: This P-5? Is that P-5? P-7. Okay. I
don't look at it until it's been admitted.
3
4 BY MR. BROWN:

Q. Do you have Plaintiff's Exhibit 7 in front
5
6 of you?
A. Yes, sir.
7
8 Q. And what is Plaintiff's 7?
9 A. It is the National Academies of Science
10 Engineering and Medicine's report, Secured Boot, from
11 2018.
Q. And is it your understanding the entire
12
13 report is public and on the internet?
A. Yes, it is.
14
15 Q. And is Plaintiff 7 just a selection of
those pages?
16
17 A. Yes, it appears to be.
18 Q. And what is your understanding of what the
National Academy of Science's -- what study it
19
20 undertook and what the purpose of that was.
A. The National Academy of Scientists
21
22 attempted to kind of understand the overall --
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'm going to pose an
23
24 objection as to what another group of experts may have
25 said. Plus, it is what he said and he's the expert here.
0169
1 He's the one that we can cross-examine. These folks
2 aren't here so we would object this line of testimony.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, he already testified.
3
4 [inaudible] kind of information -- he already testified
that this is the --
5
6 THE COURT: He can testify to what he's read and who
he's talked to, what all he's done. He can't just read
7
8 off a document that somebody else has -- based on having
9 done all that, then he has certain opinions, which I've
10 heard pretty loud and clear. Those are all his opinions
11 based on all this information and training and everything
he's done.
12
13 But we're not having the documents here.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I believe that it's
14
15 admissible because it is -- he has established that this
is the kind of information that expert --
16
17 THE COURT: No. No.
18 MR. BROWN: Okay.
THE COURT: It becomes a substitute for evidence and
19
20 we're not going to do that.
MR. BROWN: Okay.
21
22 THE COURT: But I understand. He read it; it formed

part of the decision that he's made in this case.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Is the -- and let me ask a foundation --
25 I understand your ruling but to get on the record, is --
0170
1 BY MR. BROWN:
2 Q. Are opinions such as the one in the
National Academy of Sciences, things that experts in
3
4 your field rely upon to develop your expert opinion?
A. Yes.
5
6 Q. And is it standard in your profession to
rely upon that information?
7
8 A. Yes.
9 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would suggest again this is
10 the kind -- albeit hearsay, it is exactly the kind of
11 hearsay --
THE COURT: No. It's hearsay. He's relied on it;
12
13 he's read it; it's part of what -- you know, if he's up to
PhD he's probably read thousands of pages of stuff. We're
14
15 not putting it all in.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
16
17 BY MR. BROWN:
18 Q. You testified before that there are some
telltale signs of actual defective machines; do you
19
20 recall that?
A. Yes, sir.
21
22 Q. And have you reached an opinion about
whether those telltale signs were apparent in Georgia
23
24 for the 2018 election?
25 A. Yes, sir.
0171
1 Q. And what in your expert opinion were those
2 telltale signs and what is the basis that you have
for saying that it is?
3
4 A. So there is a -- to say that the literature
is the [inaudible] where they lay out the foundation
5
6 for forensic election investigation --
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, same objection.
7
8 THE COURT: Hm?
9 MR. LINDSEY: You know, he could -- the question here
10 is, what were the telltale signs, not what another paper
11 may say --
THE COURT: You cannot quote what the paper said.
12
13 MR. LINDSEY: -- [inaudible] what another paper said.
MR. BROWN: That's right.
14
15 THE COURT: Let me make that -- you can say I relied

on it to make my decision; don't have any problem with
16
17 that. That's what experts do. To get an expert in
18 anything you've got to read lots of stuff. But you can't say what it said; okay?
19
20 MR. BROWN: Bear that in mind.
BY MR. BROWN:
21
22 Q. Mr. Bernhard, what telltale signs did you observe of system defectiveness?
23
24 A. The significant under-vote rate in the
25 lieutenant governor's race, as well as reporting
0172
1 various errors, you know. There were errors with the
2 review screen; voters were reporting the machines were [inaudible] in the middle of the voting
3
4 sessions, that error messages were popping up.
Q. Let's -- let me -- I can't -- I'm sorry.
5
6 Focusing on the election results, you said there was a significant under-vote and what is the basis of
7
8 your opinion that there was a significant under-vote?
9 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, first off, the objection is
10 that he hasn't established any kind of expert regarding
11 under-voting and whether or not the under-voting could be caught by machine or through other sources. He hasn't
12
13 laid that foundation.
As a matter of fact, they tried to lay that
14
15 foundation with the last expert and the judge ruled against them. So he simply has not laid any proper
16
17 foundation of kind of testimony.
18 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, the same objection, that there's not a foundation. Mr. Bernhard is not an expert
19
20 on election administration or under-votes. I think the numbers are already in the evidence -- we know what the
21
22 numbers are, so --
THE COURT: Yeah. We've already had testimony about
23
24 it live. So I sustain the objection.
25 MR. BROWN: Let me reframe it.
0173
1 BY MR. BROWN:
2 Q. Do the voting results in some instances tell an expert like you that there may be a defect in
3
4 the system that needs to be investigated?
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, same objection as before.
5
6 THE COURT: That's a little bit different.
MR. LINDSEY: Same objection as before. I might also
7

8 add that he's asking for speculation of the word "may."
9 The --
10 THE COURT: I said weight and credibility is mine.
11 MR. LINDSEY: Huh?
THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to let him answer that
12
13 question.
MR. LINDSEY: Okay. Thank you.
14
15 THE WITNESS: Yes. I do believe that based on the
data there were defects.
16
17 BY MR. BROWN:
18 Q. And what is it about the data that leads
you to the opinion that there were defects in the
19
20 machines?
A. There would be -- there's a statically
21
22 significant correlation between the mode of voting
and the amount of under-voting; and there's also a
23
24 statistic -- at least in one statistically
25 significant aberration in the [inaudible] where one
0174
1 voting machine reported, you know, significantly
2 different results than every other voting machine in
the precinct, which is highly unlikely.
3
4 Q. In your field -- well, let me back up a
little bit. You said statistically significant
5
6 under-voting. Do you mean under-voting in the
abstract or the comparison between under-voting on
7
8 the electronic machines and under-voting on the paper
9 machines?
10 A. Yes, that's correct. The under-voting rate
11 for paper ballots was significantly lower than it was
for DRE machines in a statistically significant
12
13 [inaudible].
Q. And what is the statistical basis for what
14
15 you're saying?
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, objection. He's laid no
16
17 basis that he's in any way an expert on statistics.
18 MR. TYSON: Same objection, Your Honor.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor --
19
20 THE COURT: I mean, we've got all the figures.
[inaudible] We've got all the figures. We know what
21
22 happened. We know what the numbers are. He says it's
because the machines are defective. That's his opinion,
23
24 expert opinion, it's why all that happened.
25 Okay, beyond that, where are we going?
0175

1 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the -- if I may back up a
2 little bit. With any phenomena, there's either direct
evidence, eyewitness testimony, or you go through and you
3
4 eliminate every other alternative. That's -- you do --
THE COURT: But I've taken his opinion. His opinion
5
6 is because of those numbers and because of the
differential between the paper and the machines, something
7
8 has to be wrong with the machines; am I right?
9 MR. BROWN: Okay.
10 THE COURT: I'm simplistic; I'm sorry.
11 MR. BROWN: Is there a way to --
THE COURT: I don't have a PhD.
12
13 MR. BROWN: Okay.
BY MR. BROWN:
14
15 Q. And let me ask this. Is there a way to
quantify the likelihood of that voting pattern
16
17 happening simply by chance?
18 A. Yes, there is.
Q. And what is the answer?
19
20 A. Typically, you use a hypothesis test. In
the two examples I cited [inaudible] one of them, the
21
22 -- I believe the under-vote has a less -- less than 1
to 10,000 chance of being -- happen -- sorry. A
23
24 less than 1 in 10,000 chance of appearing at random
25 or monthly in the course of an election.
0176
1 Q. And then you -- you also testified about
2 Winterville?
A. Yes. The -- assuming that the voters were
3
4 randomly -- you know, that you didn't go to a machine
based on your party or something like that. The odds
5
6 that one machine would have significantly more votes
for one party but not the other machines have votes
7
8 for the other party is also incredibly low.
9 Q. And could you just explain -- we -- in case
10 the court has not heard about the Winterville
11 problem, could you just explain to the Court what you
observed about the numbers at the Winterville voting
12
13 location?
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor --
14
15 THE COURT: About what? I missed the question. You
went round and round and I didn't get it.
16
17 MR. BROWN: The question is, just to get -- he
18 testified about Winterville and what happened in
Winterville, and I was just asking him to explain in

19
20 greater detail what did happen in Winterville in case that hadn't been made clear.
21
22 MR. TYSON: And Your Honor, this assumes facts that are not in evidence and I believe the evidence that
23
24 [inaudible] was submitted was not an avenue that Mr.
25 Bernhard regarding that precinct. So Mr. Barnhard [sic]
0177
1 may need to lay a foundation and Mr. Barnhard needs to
2 talk about his personal analysis, if he conducted one.
BY MR. BROWN:
3
4 Q. Again, are you familiar with the voting totals from the Winterville district?
5
6 A. Yes, sir.
Q. And where did you get that from?
7
8 A. The Secretary of State's vote results.
9 Q. And what did those numbers show?
10 A. In that precinct, I believe there were
11 eight DRE machines. On every DRE machine the Democratic candidate won every race, except one where
12
13 a Republican candidate won every race by approximately the same margin as the Democrat voting
14
15 on the other machines.
Q. And as a forensic expert, why is that -- is
16
17 that a telltale sign of a system defect?
18 A. Yeah. If you assume that the voters are probably going to machines at random, you know, not
19
20 based on the party affiliation or anything like that, it's extremely unlikely that you would see seven
21
22 machines with this incredibly regular pattern and then one machine that's completely opposite of those
23
24 same machines.
25 Q. And from your background and experience in
0178
1 software, is there an explanation for why that might
2 happen?
A. To me it seems like it might be a
3
4 programming error where you, you know -- all of the machines you have A as the Republican, B as the
5
6 Democrat, but on this one machine for whatever reason it was B as the Democrat -- or A as the Democrat, B
7
8 as the Republican.
9 Q. Just a code problem?
10 A. Yeah. Or I believe the other could be, you
11 know, obviously some other kind of error that the machine incurred that wasn't even -- that was

12
13 programmed into it.
Q. And it could be an innocent mistake or a
14
15 malicious virus; correct?
A. Correct.
16
17 Q. Okay. And -- now, did you review
18 statements by eyewitnesses that led you to consider
one way or the other whether there may be telltale
19
20 signs of a defective system?
A. Yes.
21
22 Q. And what did you review?
A. I reviewed three affidavits from voters, as
23
24 well as the voter hotline report for -- for one
25 precinct.
0179
1 Q. And from your perspective as an expert,
2 what sort of issues were reported that might have
been telltale signs of defective equipment?
3
4 A. Voters were reporting machines were
rebooting spontaneously. Some people were citing
5
6 that there were raw error codes showing up in logs on
the machines, which is exceptionally rare in good
7
8 software.
9 There were also voters who had issues with the
10 review screen where they, you know -- one voter
11 reported that they voted their whole ballot, but they
didn't see the lieutenant governor choice on it at
12
13 all. And then they get to the review screen and they
see that it's there. Other voters were reporting
14
15 once they got to their review screen, they quit on a
particular race to go back and change their answer or
16
17 review it or whatever. And it would just cast their
18 vote instead of taking them back to that screen.
There were numerous errors that seemed to have
19
20 manifested.
Q. From your perspective, and based upon your
21
22 expertise, is there a way to -- just -- is there a
way, one or the other, whether you can generalize
23
24 from those specific instances, any system-wide sort
25 of problems?
0180
1 A. Generalized, no, I don't think so.
2 Q. And what would you need to do to determine
whether the extent of the defect?
3
4 A. A forensic analysis of the software that

was running on those machines would be necessary.
5
6 Q. Okay. And have you undertaken or started
or tried to do a forensic analysis of these DRE
7
8 machines?
9 A. Yes, sir. I showed up to Fulton County
10 Election [inaudible] on Monday and was told that I
11 would not be allowed to do it.
Q. Okay. And this is just this Monday, three
12
13 days ago?
A. Correct.
14
15 Q. Okay. And have you had the opportunity to
review the internal memory or examine the internal
16
17 memory of any of these machines?
18 A. No, sir.
Q. And if -- explain, if you will, sort of the
19
20 back and forth of the industry and I know it's all
collegial, but just what the back and forth was
21
22 between you and the technicians down there.
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, objection to the relevance.
23
24 The Court has heard their motions to compel, have heard
25 the motions for discovery. The Court has heard their
0181
1 proffer regarding what they believe that she should have
2 been entitled to, but they -- but the Court ruled against
them. So I would object as this is not relevant. The
3
4 Court's already ruled on this.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we further object on this
5
6 as it is hearsay, the conversations he had with the
technicians. He can say what he said, but what the
7
8 technician said is hearsay.
9 MR. BROWN: I'll take that as -- in reverse. It's
10 not hearsay because it's statements by the adverse party
11 and --
THE COURT: Well, I have seen everybody's briefs on
12
13 this point, and I see both sides of it. I did not order a
forensic investigation. I specifically left that word
14
15 out.
I set out certain things to be done. Did you get a
16
17 forensic? No, we didn't get a forensic because I didn't
18 order a forensic. I'm not going beyond that.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, would you take testimony of
19
20 what it means -- what the word, internal memory, means?
THE COURT: I have some knowledge.
21
22 MR. BROWN: And I understand.

THE COURT: I'm not completely stupid.

23

24 MR. BROWN: Your Honor --

25 THE COURT: I happen to be a math/physics major.

0182

1 MR. BROWN: Your Honor.

2 THE COURT: Yeah. I got sidetracked. Yeah. So if you want to do it, go ahead. Don't worry about it.

3

4 BY MR. BROWN:

Q. Were you offered access to the internal

5

6 memory of a DRE machine?

THE COURT: I'm not going into that either. That's

7

8 what they objected to.

9 MR. BROWN: Okay.

10 THE COURT: Okay? The question was, how did he do

11 it? Okay? That was the issue. I was available; nobody called, except Mr. [inaudible].

12

13 MR. BROWN: Okay.

THE COURT: He emailed; right? Repeatedly. And I

14

15 repeatedly responded. This case has been my life for a week and a half. Go ahead.

16

17 MR. BROWN: I think we've --

18 BY MR. BROWN:

Q. Mr. Bernhard, why didn't you inspect the

19

20 DRE machine?

A. Turning on the machine would affect the

21

22 internal state, so we were ordered to review the internal memory of the machine. That includes the

23

24 ROM, the read-only memory where the operating system

25 and the boot loader as well as the election data is

0183

1 stored. Turning on the machine would necessarily

2 alter that data. There are ways to extract that data without damaging it in that way. But, of course, we

3

4 couldn't perform that operation.

Q. So, you could have accessed it -- is it --

5

6 let me try to unpack that a little bit. Had you accessed it -- you could access but you would have

7

8 altered the evidence? Is that what you're saying?

9 A. I would have altered some of the evidence

10 and would only have seen a very small part, but I

11 would have only seen the election archive, which is not the internal memory. It's just a very small part

12

13 of it.

Q. Okay. And so the -- faced with the

14

15 alternative of altering evidence and not getting the

evidence that you were looking for, you decided not
16
17 to conduct the investigation; correct?
18 A. That's correct.
Q. And can you explain to the Court in a
19
20 little bit greater detail how and why booting the
system without making a copy of the internal memory
21
22 first can alter evidence.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, this was an issue that was
23
24 raised to the Court; both sides briefed it. The Court
25 ordered if there were any further questions to request a
0184
1 conference call or anything like that. No such call was
2 requested.
The Court then heard, I believe -- I may be terming -
3
4 - the term [inaudible] -- but that you didn't file a very
extensive brief saying that you needed additional
5
6 discovery that wasn't being allowed to you. The Court
considered that brief and ruled against you. And now
7
8 today we're dealing with an evidentiary trial as to
9 whether or not there was a defect in the election system
10 in Georgia, that it -- was it great enough to overturn a
11 margin of victory over 123,000 votes.
That's the sole issue here today. If all of this is
12
13 simply regurgitating the same concerns that were
previously raised and [inaudible].
14
15 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, we just object on relevance
along the same line. What -- how did you turn on the
16
17 machines and a forensic examination not relevant to the
18 lieutenant governor's race, [inaudible].
THE COURT: Mr. Brown.
19
20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the -- this is the foundation
for establishing that the petitioners have not been given
21
22 any --
THE COURT: No. The petitioner was given. You
23
24 refused to take it; you wanted to do it some other way.
25 That issue is done. So go -- move on to another subject.
0185
1 MR. BROWN: Okay. Your Honor, if I may -- and I'm
2 not --
THE COURT: No. Not if you may. You always want an
3
4 if you may. No. We've been through this over and over
and over again. You email me at 11:00 at night. You
5
6 email me at 2:30 in the morning. You would not believe
all the stuff in this case.
7

8 I've looked at it. I've made the best decisions I
9 know how; move on to something else. Question of
10 discovery is done.
11 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, just one more thing. I'm
going to --
12
13 THE COURT: You don't listen to me, do you, sir?
MR. BROWN: It's a different topic.
14
15 THE COURT: Okay. Different topic's fine.
MR. BROWN: This is a different topic. Different
16
17 topic. The diff -- but I -- I would like to say the
18 different topic is going to sound like the same topic.
It's different.
19
20 MR. LINDSEY: Can I just object now?
THE COURT: This is just -- I guess I'm on the record
21
22 -- this is just really good lawyering on both sides. I
mean, it's like -- no. If it sounds -- what is it? If it
23
24 looks like a duck and it quacks like a duck, it is a duck;
25 is that the one where you talk about in the South?
0186
1 MR. BROWN: And I never agree with that, Your Honor.
2 THE COURT: Try one more question and we'll see where
we go. You might get to testify. Go ahead.
3
4 MR. BROWN: Yeah.
BY MR. BROWN:
5
6 Q. If the State of Georgia wanted to
investigate the causes of apparent anomalies, what
7
8 could it do?
9 A. It could perform a --
10 MR. LINDSEY: Objection, Your Honor.
11 THE COURT: I'm going to let him. I'm going to let
him answer that, what the state could do.
12
13 THE WITNESS: It could perform a forensic
investigation, which are the best practices recommended by
14
15 experts and have been for decades.
BY MR. BROWN:
16
17 Q. And based upon your interactions with the
18 State and your knowledge of the --
THE COURT: No. Not based upon his interactions with
19
20 the State. We're not going there.
BY MR. BROWN:
21
22 Q. Did the State conduct that kind of forensic
examination?
23
24 A. No.
25 Q. Okay.
0187

1 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would like to take a break
2 just to get a glass of water and then finish up with this
witness, quickly.
3
4 THE COURT:
MR. BROWN: Thank you. Thank you, Your Honor.
5
6
[Off the record at 2:09 p.m., and back on the record
7
8 at 2:22 p.m.]
9
10 THE COURT: [inaudible]
11
CONTINUATION OF REDIRECT EXAMINATION
12
13 OF MATTHEW BERNHARD
14
15 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Bernhard, do you have experience
16
17 evaluating GEMS databases?
18 A. Yes, sir.
Q. Have you in the course of your work
19
20 reviewed or had access to GEMS databases?
A. Yes, sir. I've been sent to GEMS databases
21
22 from the State of Colorado, State of California, I
believe the State of Alaska as well.
23
24 Q. And were those treated as nonconfidential?
25 A. Yeah. They were public records.
0188
1 Q. Okay. Have you received that from the
2 State of Georgia?
A. No.
3
4 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, he's going back down the
same rabbit hole again and I object. This is once again a
5
6 matter that should have been taken up regarding discovery.
We're here today to hear the evidence as to whether or not
7
8 there was some kind of breach and whether or not it was
9 significant enough to change the election votes, not
10 whether or not they should get something they didn't get.
11 THE COURT: I sustain the objection.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. No further
12
13 questions.
14
15 CROSS-EXAMINATION
OF MATTHEW BERNHARD
16
17
18 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. [inaudible], Mr. Bernhard. So let me ask
19
20 you first, is it your personal belief that only paper

should be used for elections, hand-marked paper
21
22 ballots?
A. Hand-marked paper ballots that are
23
24 [inaudible].
25 THE COURT: [inaudible]
0189
1 THE WITNESS: Hand-marked paper ballots [inaudible].
2 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. Have you ever worked on a political
3
4 campaign before?
A. No, sir.
5
6 Q. Now you talked about your research and
various studies and what you've looked at. It's
7
8 correct, isn't it, that you're not aware of a single
9 instance anywhere in Georgia where there was a piece
10 of malware that was somehow propagated from a server
11 that creates the ballot format, down through memory
card, onto the DRE; is that correct?
12
13 A. Correct. But again, that's just because
they're machines. There's no [inaudible].
14
15 Q. But you're not aware of an instance where
that's occurred; correct? Okay. And you're not
16
17 aware of an instance where anywhere in the nation
18 where a piece of malware has been propagated onto a
DRE in an actual election, are you?
19
20 A. Not on the DRE voter registration systems,
I guess.
21
22 Q. But as the DRE you're not?
A. [inaudible]
23
24 Q. Okay. Now you talked a little bit about
25 the Winterville precinct in Clarke County; is that
0190
1 correct?
2 A. Yes, sir.
Q. Okay. And you said that -- did you perform
3
4 a statistical analysis at that precinct?
A. No, sir.
5
6 Q. Who performed that statistical analysis?
A. I think it was Philip Stark.
7
8 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor?
9 MR. TYSON: And so -- sorry.
10 MR. LINDSEY: He testified earlier as to the results
11 from that machine as if he himself performed that
examination after this Court specifically ruled that that
12
13 testimony from Mr. Stark was hearsay.

I'm going to move that that testimony that had been
14
15 previously raised regarding this be stricken from the record because it's contrary to a prior ruling that he
16
17 could not testify as to what other people had done. And --
18
THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
19
20 MR. LINDSEY: -- and [inaudible] that he mischaracterizes earlier testimony as well.
21
22 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I believe that the record would say what the record says about what he says and
23
24 [inaudible] attempt to characterize it. The testimony was
25 that he had reviewed the evidence relating to the
0191
1 Winterville.
2 I asked him what telltale signs and he used that as an example. We further established early on that the
3
4 reports of people like Stark are something that an expert in his capacity looks at and that he reviews the evidence
5
6 from all different sources.
MR. LINDSEY: He -- I'm sorry, go ahead. I'm sorry.
7
8 MR. BROWN: I don't --
9 MR. LINDSEY: I didn't mean to --
10 MR. BROWN: I don't remember him saying that he did
11 the math on Winterville, but that he knew the answer and he knew how to get it.
12
13 MR. LINDSEY: But he -- but -- but he has been specifically told on multiple occasions despite attempts
14
15 to solicit such testimony that he was not to testify as to what other people had done or what other people reported.
16
17 He was specifically admonished not to do that; and through
18 ambiguity and a question, he led this Court to believe that he, himself -- and I'm sorry, I --
19
20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor --
MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible]
21
22 THE COURT: Let him finish.
MR. LINDSEY: That he, himself, had conducted this
23
24 investigation of these tapes. He has not admitted that he
25 has not and I ask that his previous testimony be stricken.
0192
1 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, same response. I would -- to
2 get at the truth --
THE COURT: Well, he gets -- it's his motion, so I'm
3
4 -- he testified as that he got the numbers from the Secretary of State, and that I will accept.
5

6 MR. TYSON: But he's now [inaudible].
THE COURT: But the rest of it I will not accept.
7
8 MR. TYSON: Okay. But he's now testified that he
9 didn't actually do that investigation.
10 MR. BROWN: But now [inaudible]?
11 THE COURT: Not yet. But that's how I heard it. I
heard it that he got the numbers from Clarke County and
12
13 the rest of it -- he sounded -- he moved into it as if
he'd done it all, but no, he -- obviously he hadn't do it
14
15 all --
MR. LINDSEY: Just by testifying that he didn't do
16
17 it, that's [inaudible].
18 THE COURT: -- it wouldn't be admissible. Okay.
MR. LINDSEY: Make sure that's on [inaudible]. I'm
19
20 sorry.
BY MR. TYSON:
21
22 Q. Mr. Bernhard, so you -- I believe we had
just been through that Professor Stark had done the
23
24 statistical analysis of the Winterville precinct; is
25 that correct?
0193
1 A. That's correct. I pulled the numbers
2 though beforehand.
Q. And so, when you say you pulled the
3
4 numbers, could you explain to us what that means?
A. I --
5
6 THE COURT: Did you pull the numbers?
THE WITNESS: Yes, I pulled the numbers.
7
8 THE COURT: I thought you said he pulled the numbers.
9 THE WITNESS: Okay.
10 THE COURT: And by moving into that you make it sound
11 like he did it all, but he didn't. He just pulled the
numbers.
12
13 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. So, Mr. Bernhard, after you pulled the
14
15 numbers it's correct isn't it that Professor Stark
did then the statistical analysis?
16
17 A. Correct.
18 Q. Okay. And you didn't personally perform
the statistical analysis in that precinct?
19
20 A. No, sir. Because Philip had already done
it. I didn't see a point in doing it again.
21
22 Q. How did you go about selecting or had -- do
you know how anybody selected the Winterville
23

24 precinct?
25 A. It was brought to my attention just because
0194
1 of this oddity of his one machine having drastically
2 different vote totals than the other seven.
Q. And was that from plaintiff's counsel?
3
4 A. I believe so.
Q. And if I'm recalling correctly, the
5
6 machines on that precinct had anywhere between 117
and 144 votes per machine; is that correct?
7
8 A. That sounds about right.
9 Q. Okay. So the maximum number of votes on
10 the one machine would have been 144 votes; is that
11 correct?
A. That sounds about right.
12
13 Q. Okay. Mr. Bernhard, you don't have any
expertise in the process of ballot design; is that
14
15 correct?
A. I have designed ballots, but I suppose I
16
17 don't have that expertise; that's correct.
18 Q. And you never read any literature or
studies regarding design -- design of ballots and
19
20 voter behavior?
A. I have, yes.
21
22 Q. On voter behavior specifically?
A. Yes.
23
24 Q. Okay. So in scenarios where voters face a
25 high turnout a year -- strike that.
0195
1 I believe your testimony earlier was that there
2 was an analysis of two precincts, the Winterville
precinct and what was the other precinct?
3
4 A. I don't think I said there was an analysis.
I -- two precincts were pointed out to me -- well,
5
6 more than two actually. Winterville [inaudible] the
numbers off. Grady High School had machine errors
7
8 that were reported. The AME Church had errors that
9 were reported.
10 Q. So, in terms of an analysis though in your
11 expert opinion, you've only looked at the Winterville
precinct; is that correct?
12
13 A. In terms of numerical analysis, yes. In
terms of assessing how many errors that occurred, no.
14
15 Q. But let me just make sure I'm clear on
that. So I thought you testified that Professor
16

17 Stark did the analysis on Winterville.
18 MR. BROWN: Objection.
Q. So have you --
19
20 MR. BROWN: Object, Your Honor. It's unclear as to
what analysis he's talking about and there's a confusion
21
22 between the forensic [inaudible] --
THE COURT: Okay. Rephrase the question.
23
24 MR. TYSON: Okay. I'll be happy to rephrase. Thank
25 you.
0196
1 BY MR. TYSON:
2 Q. So, correct me if I'm wrong, but I believe
you testified Professor Stark performed the
3
4 statistical analysis of the Winterville precinct;
correct?
5
6 A. That's correct, yes.
Q. Did you perform a statistical analysis of
7
8 any precinct?
9 A. No, sir.
10 Q. Did you conduct a forensic analysis of any
11 DRE machine in the state of Georgia for the November
2018 election?
12
13 A. No, sir.
Q. And so sitting here today, it's correct to
14
15 say that you have no idea whether any malware resides
on any DRE machine in the state of Georgia; is that
16
17 correct.
18 A. Yes, that's correct and I reckon that no
one else does either.
19
20 MR. TYSON: All right. That's all I've got.
21
22 CROSS-EXAMINATION
OF MATTHEW BERNHARD
23
24
25 BY MR. LINDSEY:
0197
1 Q. Winterville's located in Clarke County;
2 correct?
A. I believe so.
3
4 Q. Okay. Clarke County is not in this
lawsuit, you're aware of that, right?
5
6 MR. BROWN: I object. It calls for a legal
conclusion and it's the wrong conclusion.
7
8 MR. TYSON: Clarke County is not a named party to
9 this case.
10 THE COURT: Well, Mr. Lindsay, what he said is in the

11 lawsuit --
MR. TYSON: I apologize.
12
13 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. Clarke County is not a named party in the
14
15 lawsuit; correct?
A. I believe so, yes.
16
17 Q. Okay. The voting strips haven't been --
18 you didn't bring the voting strips with you, did you?
A. You mean the pap- -- the poll tapes?
19
20 Q. Yeah.
A. No, sir. I don't have those [inaudible].
21
22 Q. And as you previously testified, it was Mr.
Stark that did the analysis of the eight machines
23
24 [inaudible]; correct?
25 A. He did the statistical analysis.
0198
1 [inaudible].
2 Q. Okay. Now let's talk for a minute about
your -- the odds that you raised. You've never done
3
4 any kind of -- well, let me back up. You're not a
political science expert; correct?
5
6 A. No, sir.
Q. Okay. So you don't know the impact that an
7
8 -- that a large influx of new voters would have in
9 terms of their voting patterns with down-ballot
10 races? You don't know anything about that?
11 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I'll object to that and
here's my objection. Cross-examination needs to be based
12
13 upon a good faith belief that there's going to be some
submission of evidence to support the inference or the
14
15 predicate of the question.
That's the first point. In this case we know that
16
17 the State does not have any predicate because there's no
18 person on earth who could be qualified as an expert to
render that opinion.
19
20 THE COURT: You're arguing. That's an argument.
I'll overrule that objection.
21
22 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question?
BY MR. TYSON:
23
24 Q. I don't remember the question. I was
25 simply just by establishing that you have no
0199
1 knowledge as to the pattern, behavior of new voters.
2 A. That's not true. I have -- I mean I've
reviewed the political science literature insofar as

3
4 it informs my work.
Q. Okay. And if I understand correctly, you
5
6 don't have -- or rather you haven't done any
investigation as to the particularities of this race;
7
8 correct?
9 A. I'm familiar with the races and the
10 candidates.
11 Q. Yeah. Are you familiar, for instance, with
the fact -- with how the paper ballots were
12
13 configured in terms of the names versus the
configuration of candidates on the electronic?
14
15 A. I can't say that I'm familiar with every
electronic configuration because there can be
16
17 different -- on a county-by-county basis; the same
18 with paper ballots, but I'm generally familiar, yes.
Q. Okay. You are aware that the configuration
19
20 was different from the paper ballots versus the
electronic; correct?
21
22 A. Yes, sir.
Q. Okay. Have you done any kind of analysis
23
24 regarding voter familiarity with Ms. Amico in terms
25 of how well they knew her? In terms of -- strike
0200
1 that.
2 Are you familiar with any kind of data regarding
Ms. Amico's name identification versus other
3
4 candidates running?
A. No, sir.
5
6 Q. You hadn't -- you hadn't reviewed any kind
of polling that was conducted in this race prior to
7
8 the election; correct?
9 A. Not in this particular race, no.
10 Q. You haven't considered any kind of negative
11 treatment Ms. Amico received in the press shortly
before the election; correct?
12
13 A. Correct. All of these factors can impact
[inaudible].
14
15 Q. Uh-huh.
A. But the fact that this under-vote is so
16
17 incredibly different from all the other races on the
18 ballot, that seems incredibly suspicious. It's way
different than, you know, any other case in Georgia
19
20 or anywhere else in the nation.
So even if there are factors like likability,

21
22 like lagging the polls, like ballot design, you would
not normally expect to see that level of under-voting
23
24 distributed as uniformly across the state of Georgia
25 as it is and to defer between the votes voted [ph].
0201
1 Q. Okay. Quite frankly -- and you're not
2 familiar with how the other races went in this state
either are you?
3
4 A. I would with some of them.
Q. Yeah. You're familiar with the fact that
5
6 Ms. Abrams was, for want of a better term, on the
Democratic side considered a superstar?
7
8 A. Yes, sir.
9 Q. Yeah. And you're familiar with the fact
10 that John Barrow running for Secretary of State, for
11 instance, was a well-known figure in Georgia
politics?
12
13 A. Yes, sir.
Q. And are you aware of the fact that Mr.
14
15 Amico was still a relative unknown?
A. Yes. But I want to stress that the
16
17 particulars of the candidate would not necessarily
18 impact the under-votes. We saw under-votes impacting
both candidates in this race.
19
20 Q. Uh-huh. And you don't know -- let me ask
you this. While there was under-votes, you are aware
21
22 of the fact that, you know, in terms of the overall
vote count for Ms. Amico vis--vis other Democratic
23
24 candidates, it's not like she got the least number of
25 votes; correct?
0202
1 A. I'm afraid I don't understand your
2 question.
Q. Let me ask you this. There were ten
3
4 Democrats on the State ballot in 2006; correct?
A. 2006?
5
6 Q. I'm sorry. Wait a minute. 2018.
A. Yes.
7
8 Q. Okay. [inaudible] And there were other
9 Democratic candidates who received significantly
10 fewer number of votes that Ms. Amico received;
11 correct?
A. In the State races?
12
13 Q. Yes.
A. Statewide races? [inaudible].

14
15 Q. Yeah. As a matter of fact, Ms. Amico was somewhere in the middle of the pack in terms of the
16
17 voters that she received versus other Democrats
18 running; correct?
A. Sure.
19
20 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I renew my motion -- my objection to his testimony regarding Winterville and ask
21
22 that it be stricken in as much as he was not the individual who did the analysis nor has the plaintiff
23
24 brought forth any of this --
25 THE COURT: I think I've ruled that the fact that --
0203
1 MR. LINDSEY: -- [inaudible] testimony.
2 THE COURT: -- he looked at the numbers is admissible and the rest of it's not.
3
4 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor. Oh, one last question.
5
6 THE COURT: I'm glad you have Mr. Olens to help you, Mr. Lindsey.
7
8 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you.
9 BY MR. LINDSEY:
10 Q. You've been asked about Georgia when it
11 comes to machines and a -- [inaudible] involving the machines. You're not aware of any actual election,
12
13 not -- not a tested election but an actual election, where an actual vote cast on a DRE machine was -- was
14
15 not counted, are you?
A. No. But again, that's because of the way
16
17 the machine built, it's impossible to tell. You
18 cannot know.
Q. Okay.
19
20 MR. LINDSEY: No further questions.
THE COURT: Ms. Burwell, do you have anything?
21
22 MS. BURWELL: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Brown?
23
24 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
25
0204
1 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
2 OF MATTHEW BERNHARD
3
4 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Bernhard, I want to go -- I want to go
5
6 over some of your answers.
When you were speaking about the under-vote as

7
8 being suspicious, were you referring to the under-
9 vote for the Democratic candidate or were you
10 referring to the under-vote the Democratic candidate
11 and the Republican candidate?
A. I was referring to both candidates.
12
13 Q. You testified that there -- I think Mr.
Tyson asked you whether they're in the actual
14
15 election any bad code had been found or something to
that effect; do you recall that?
16
17 A. Malware specifically.
18 Q. Malware. And I believe your response was
not what the DREs, but yes in the registration
19
20 system?
A. Correct.
21
22 Q. And could you explain your answer a little
bit in greater detail?
23
24 MR. TYSON: I'll object to the question. The voter
25 registration system is not an issue as far as I know at
0205
1 any point in this lawsuit. This is about the election and
2 the DREs; it's not the voter registration system.
MR. LINDSEY: And it -- I'd add to that, Judge.
3
4 THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
MR. BROWN: Is the vote -- let me ask one more
5
6 question if I may help.
BY MR. BROWN:
7
8 Q. Is the voter registration system also a
9 part of the Diebold DRE system generally or is it
10 something else?
11 A. Yes, sir. Diebold makes the Express Poll
units.
12
13 THE COURT: Voter registration is not involved in
this matter.
14
15 MR. BROWN: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.
BY MR. BROWN:
16
17 Q. You testified and then you were asked very
18 quickly a question. I'm not sure if you finished
your answer, but that the anomalous voting pattern
19
20 was distributed evenly throughout Georgia. What did
you -- what was the basis of that testimony?
21
22 A. I believe something like 101, 159 counties
had an under-vote rate that was abnormal, and it
23
24 didn't seem to be, you know, tied to -- are they
25 left-leaning counties or right-leaning counties or

0206
1 anything like that. It was only tied in with the
2 equipment.
Q. And would you -- sorry, you testified
3
4 earlier that you have expertise in a post-election
audit; is that right?
5
6 A. That's correct. Yes.
Q. And based upon your past post-election
7
8 audit experience and expertise, what would you
9 recommend the State of Georgia do to audit these
10 systems?
11 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object. What Mr.
Bernhard thinks or what he should do is a policy question
12
13 about a legislator is not relevant or pertaining to this
proceeding.
14
15 THE COURT: I'm going to let him say that solely
because they're asking for a brand-new election with paper
16
17 ballots and it would go to that issue should I get that
18 far.
MR. TYSON: Thank you, Your Honor. I just want to
19
20 object to one point related to that.
THE COURT: Yes.
21
22 MR. TYSON: I believe that the new election paper
ballots are the constitutional claims that are gone at
23
24 this point.
25 THE COURT: I understand that.
0207
1 MR. TYSON: I think.
2 THE COURT: I understand that.
MR. BROWN: That's -- that's not correct. That's not
3
4 correct. That's not correct.
THE COURT: I understand what I can and can't do;
5
6 okay?
MR. BROWN: Okay.
7
8 THE WITNESS: Yes. I do recommend that Georgia run a
9 post-election audit. Given the anomalies that we saw, you
10 would likely have to target your auditing a little bit
11 more specifically. If we had a paper ballot system, we'd
be counting more ballots than if they weren't all these
12
13 [inaudible].
BY MR. BROWN:
14
15 Q. If you were doing a forensic examination in
place of an actual recounting audit, what would be
16
17 the first thing that you would look at if you were
18 the State of Georgia?

A. I would start with the programming. You
19
20 know, starting with the most innocent explanation and
working our way through all others, so looking at the
21
22 GEMS database, making sure it was coded correctly,
that there weren't errors with what you saw in
23
24 Winterville that may be a coding error. And making
25 sure, then after that you proceed.
0208
1 Q. You -- I believe, your testimony was that
2 the first opportunity that you had to forensically
inspect a DRE machine was Monday?
3
4 THE COURT: Let's not go there. I told you not o go
there. You just did it and had the question and nobody
5
6 objected; they stood up but you didn't see them.
MR. BROWN: Okay.
7
8 THE COURT: Don't go there. The ruling has been
9 made.
10 MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.
11 THE COURT: I don't care what his was. This case was
set for December 5th, period.
12
13 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor, we -- this is --
THE COURT: Sir, I don't want to hear --
14
15 MR. BROWN: I'll move on.
THE COURT: -- any more about that.
16
17 MR. BROWN: All right.
18 THE COURT: I am tired about hearing about that. I
have gobs and gobs of paperwork about it; I've ruled.
19
20 Let's move on.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor.
21
22 THE COURT: To what real issue is here today.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I'm moving on.
23
24 THE COURT: You always want to get the last word;
25 I've noticed that, Mr. Brown.
0209
1 MR. BROWN: No. I -- I want to not get the last word
2 out. I want to ---
THE COURT: You want to what?
3
4 MR. BROWN: I will -- I will stop right now.
THE COURT: Okay. Anybody else?
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: You may go. Thank you, sir.
7
8 MR. BROWN: Now, Your Honor, I'm not -- it sounds
9 like I'm arguing with you and this is just simply a matter
10 of procedure. We do not have the ruling yet on --- if you
11 issued a -- I mean clearly --

THE COURT: Ruling on what?

MR. BROWN: On the motion to compel.

THE COURT: I denied the motion to compel.

MR. BROWN: Okay. We -- was that -- you don't have that?

MR. LINDSEY: I think she said, she denied all that.

THE COURT: It was somewhere in that last order I put -- was it -- if it wasn't clear to you, it should be clear now. The motion to compel was denied.

MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. We -- we -- just for the record we did not know that.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the petitioners would call Michael Barnes.

0210

THE COURT: Okay. Someone get Michael -- he's in the courtroom. What's he -- who are those people?

MR. BROWN: Please raise your right hand. Do you promise to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?

THE WITNESS: I do.

Thereupon:

MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES

was called as a witness by the Petitioner; and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION
OF MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES

BY MR. BROWN:

Q. Please state your full name for the record?

A. Michael William Barnes.

Q. By whom are you currently employed?

A. The Secretary of State's office.

MR. BROWN: You may sit down. Thank you.

BY MR. BROWN:

Q. And what is your position with the Secretary of State?

0211

A. I am the director for the Center for Election Systems.

Q. And what is the Center for Election Systems?

5
6 A. We oversee the voting for in the state of Georgia. We also deal with the database programming
7
8 for all county elections executed in the state.
9 Q. Does --- was CES formally housed at
10 Kennesaw State University, for a lack of a better
11 expression?
A. It was.
12
13 Q. Okay. And when did it move to be a part of the Secretary of State's Office?
14
15 A. It ceased operations at Kennesaw State on December 31st of 2017 and was relocated to the
16
17 Secretary of State's office on January 1st.
18 Q. And when that happened, which staff members came -- which staff members were let go and which
19
20 staff members came with them?
A. I was the only staff member that came from
21
22 Kennesaw to the Secretary of State's office.
Q. And the other people were fired or let go
23
24 or whatever?
25 A. The other people were retained by the
0212
1 university I believe for -- through the end of
2 January 3- -- January of 2018 and then with no funding to maintain the operation of the center, the
3
4 center was closed.
Q. Did your office manage the state's election
5
6 system in the November 2018 election?
A. Our center, as I stated earlier, oversees
7
8 the voting equipment that's used in the state of
9 Georgia for federal, state and county elections. And
10 we've constructed the GEMS database for all the 159
11 counties for the November 2018 election.
Q. And you said, "You constructed it for all
12
13 of the counties;" does each county get a different GEMS database?
14
15 A. Yes.
Q. And the GEMS database is a Microsoft Access
16
17 product, is that correct?
18 A. The GEMS database is -- stands for Global Election Management Systems and a data file is
19
20 produced from that executable program.
Q. And what is the executable program called?
21
22 A. GEMS, Global Elections Management System.
Q. Okay. And does the GEMS database contain

23
24 programming for the DRE machine memory cards?
25 A. The GEMS database is where -- from the
0213
1 memory cards that are used to power the DRE units.
2 They're used for advance voting and in-person
Election Day use. The memory cards are created from
3
4 GEMS database.
Q. And could you just describe to the court
5
6 sort of physically the chain of custody of the -- of
the programming and I'm -- the purpose for this is to
7
8 distinguish between what the secretary does and what
9 the county does having to do with the county's
10 office? You said, that "the Secretary of State's
11 office is responsible for creating," I think you
said, "the GEMS database for all 159 counties," is
12
13 that right?
A. That's correct.
14
15 Q. All right. So, the counties then get a
GEMS database that's already done, for a lack of a
16
17 better expression?
18 A. Correct.
Q. And then what do the counties do with that
19
20 GEMS database or what is it used for?
A. Okay. Let me start first with the
21
22 Secretary of State's office; constructs the GEMS
database for the need of the given election. So
23
24 what's qualified and closest and we know what races
25 will be in specific election.
0214
1 We know the candidates. We know the precincts
2 that will be involved based upon what the county has
relayed back to us as their need for a given
3
4 election. With that information collected from the
county, a database is then constructed for that given
5
6 election.
Once the database has been constructed by the
7
8 Secretary of State's office, it's then reviewed at
9 the Secretary of State's office for completeness to
10 validate that all of the races for that given
11 election and that have been included in the database,
not only all of the races, but also all the
12
13 candidates.
Once our review of that process has been
14
15 completed we then provide to the jurisdiction, for
lack of a better word -- sample ballots that are

16
17 produced from the GEMS database along with reports
18 that are detailing what ballots' files will be
related to which precincts and to what district
19
20 combinational values those ballots are related to
within those given precincts.
21
22 And that report packet is forwarded to each and
every individual county with copies of their sample
23
24 ballots for them to review for their completeness,
25 for correctness. Once we then receive signoff from
0215
1 the county and not until you receive signoff from the
2 county -- but once the signoff is received from the
county, then the database is saved to a CD, burned to
3
4 a CD and that CD encrypted, and then that CD is
forwarded to the local jurisdiction for them to then
5
6 take that CD, contact our office via phone, provide a
verification ID and return the CD back to our office,
7
8 so that we know that they have the CD that we've
9 provided.
10 And then once we've validated, we're talking to
11 who we're supposed to be talking to at the county, we
then give them the passcode to be able to then
12
13 decrypt the CD to access the data file that's saved
to the CD; and then that file is loaded to the
14
15 county's local GEMS computer where they then -- the
county -- inspects the file to validate that what has
16
17 then been provided to them is what they signed off
18 on.
Once it is loaded to their system then the
19
20 county begins configuring the database for that
election. And what I mean by that is, is putting
21
22 information into the database that says we will be
using x number of devices at these given locations
23
24 for advance voting, for Election Day voting, and also
25 preparing the memory cards that are used for the
0216
1 optic scanners that scan the mailout absentee
2 ballots.
Q. And then just the -- what -- what in that
3
4 process then drives the actual programing of a
specific DRE machine, taking it from the GEMS
5
6 database to me voting or you voting on a machine?
A. Okay. All right. Once the county has
7
8 loaded the GEMS database into their GEMS computer,

9 they then tell -- instruct the database that they
10 need to correct a certain number of individual memory
11 cards.
So if they have a voting location that has the -
12
13 - I think they use five DRE units -- they have to put
that information into the GEMS database and say that
14
15 for location A we will be creating a five memory
cards. They then go through a process of
16
17 transferring the information within GEMS onto a
18 memory card that is read by a DRE machine.
Once that memory card is created, that memory
19
20 card is then taken into the DRE unit, which it will
married with for that given election. When that
21
22 process takes place there're labels on the memory
cards that notate what machine ID this memory card
23
24 is.
25 The county's also normally notate on those
0217
1 little print-on labels the physical device and put
2 the physical device serial number to which they are
placing that memory card into, so that when the
3
4 memory card is removed at a later point in time and
something happens, they know what machine to give it
5
6 back to if they needed to access the machine for some
reason.
7
8 The memory card is inserted, and then the
9 counties go through a logic and accuracy test where
10 they bring up -- they power on the device and they go
11 through testing it. This testing is done to
validate, to calibrate the test screen to make sure
12
13 it's receptive to touch. They also set the date and
time on the device to make sure it's set to the
14
15 proper date so that when tapes are printed out of the
machine pre- and post-election, so that it has a
16
17 proper date stamp.
18 The date stamp doesn't affect the operation of
the system, but it's helpful to have when you're
19
20 trying to make sure that things are done in the
proper order. Once the memory card's loaded and they
21
22 go through their diagnostics testing, which is where
they're testing the printer, they're testing
23
24 calibration or setting the calibration to make sure
25 it's proper, saving the date and time, testing the
0218
1 printer.

2 Also testing the card reader that's used to read
the voter access card that the voter will be
3
4 inserting. Once they complete that diagnostic
testing, then they start doing -- they start
5
6 inputting test ballots, where they will take normally
a test date that is prefilled by the jurisdiction.
7
8 It says we're going to cast X number of votes to
9 validate all touch positions on the DRE are
10 responsive; and also to validate that all races and
11 candidates are visible on the screen and are showing
up on the all ballot as needed for the given
12
13 election.
That logic [inaudible] testing is publicized.
14
15 It is put into notification so that any member of the
public that would wish to observe the testing and
16
17 come and observe the testing.
18 And it has to be included by a certain point in
time so that the machines, once it clears logic and
19
20 active testing, they can be set for election, sealed
and get ready for distribution to the polling
21
22 location or to the advance voting location.
Q. Have -- are you aware of any time when a
23
24 logic and accuracy test has picked up a programming
25 error?
0219
1 A. Can you provide me -- programming errors,
2 what do you mean by programming errors?
Q. Sure. Let me give you an example. Suppose
3
4 -- well, let me back up two steps. The -- there
would be some programming to instruct the system and
5
6 then if the voter presses here the vote goes there,
are you with me?
7
8 A. Mm-hmm.
9 Q. The mapping of the votes from the screen to
10 the actual vote, are you with me?
11 A. Sure.
Q. Now, it would --- if you want, I'm not
12
13 suggesting you did this, but if you wanted to switch
that, so that pressing, you know, voting for the
14
15 Republican recorded a Democrat voter or a
Libertarian, where would that programming reside, and
16
17 could you do it?
18 A. As my knowledge based on the system, I can
speak to it as a user of the program --
19

20 Q. Sure.
A. -- of how you lay candidates out
21
22 specifically within a race. I'm not aware of a way
to organize the candidates to get one display and
23
24 then once where you touch it next to a candidate,
25 that their results show up next to another candidate
0220
1 in another order. I'm not aware of how you would do
2 that.
Q. Now, there has been some testimony about
3
4 voting totals in a particular district where you had
-- it was heavily -- I'll just give you like a hypo
5
6 and then the purpose of this is not to or get you to
agree with the facts, but simply to pull out your
7
8 testimony on the program, but -- but just assume
9 there is a voting location in which is heavily
10 Democrat voting location and six of the machines show
11 heavily Democrat votes and the seventh machine shows
very heavy Republican votes, which some might lead
12
13 some access it didn't have, like you know, a six-pack
of beer on it or something that was attracting
14
15 everybody to win a particular vote, but that they
went evenly, there might be some sort of coding error
16
17 in which it was switching from one candidate to the
18 other now by mistake.
If that were a programming error and I just want
19
20 to know where would that be?
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'm going to object to
21
22 the questioning; assuming facts that are not in
evidence that the court has already stricken.
23
24 MR. BROWN: That's not --
25 THE COURT: Let him --
0221
1 MR. BROWN: I'm -- I'm sorry. I'm sorry. I'm
2 sorry.
MR. LINDSEY: I believe the court has ruled to
3
4 strike that analogy that it lead to those to -- to
that allegation and for that reason, Your Honor, we
5
6 would object.
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, that also calls for Mr.
7
8 Brown speculates as far as what might have happened
9 or what did happen.
10 THE COURT: Well, it's a hypothetical and I'm
11 going to let him answer to the best of his knowledge.
MR. LINDSEY: Okay, Your Honor.
12

13 THE COURT: He's one of the experts on this stuff. But I take it as a hypothetical.
14
15 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Do you understand all that?
16
17 THE WITNESS: I'm -- I'm trying, yes, I am. I'm
18 trying to figure out the best way to answer the question to the best of my knowledge.
19
20 THE COURT: Okay.
THE WITNESS: When -- when the GEMS database is
21
22 built, it builds a particular race, with a particular set of candidates, in a particular order. When it
23
24 creates a memory card for a given location and it
25 creates that image and places it to the memory card.
0222
1 And then that memory card is loaded to a device.
2 If that -- if I create five memory cards for a given location, those five memory cards are the same
3
4 content in structure and layout and in operation; they don't know what machine their going to be placed
5
6 in. The memory card just contains data. The memory card is read by the machine; the machine then shows
7
8 then that.
9 Q. And so, have you ever seen it in doing the
10 logic and accuracy test? Has that ever yielded, you
11 know, if it's not logical; it's not accurate and we need to go back and look at the coding to see why
12
13 that happened?
A. I have never seen in any of the testing
14
15 that we have -- that I've been involved with since 2001, with using this equipment where something that
16
17 was placed in during the testing environment did not
18 feedback the expected result that was in the testing.
Also, when doing various tests within elections
19
20 mode -- my work within -- at Kennesaw State and also with the Secretary of State's office -- not just
21
22 testing in election -- pre-election test mode, which is where an LMA testing is done -- but also testing
23
24 in election mode which is when election -- the mode
25 where elections are executed, I have never
0223
1 encountered a situation where I put in one particular
2 vote and this way and it came out differently in the report.
3
4 Q. Is it -- in one of the responses is collecting and reviewing complaints that you've

5
6 received from voters about problems with elections?
A. We -- if we do receive a complaint -- and
7
8 the majority of the complaints come into the
9 elections division, that arm of the office. Our main
10 collection point are election officials themselves.
11 And if we get a notification from a county
election official that, you know, we encountered this
12
13 instance when doing our testing, then that would be
something that we would go and look into, find out if
14
15 there was something out of order.
Q. Now, you've received complaints about the -
16
17 - the operations of the machines in the November
18 election, right?
A. In November -- I mean, it was a general
19
20 election where there were a few million people
voting, so we did get complaints about a touch screen
21
22 not powering on. We got complaints about a voter
access card being rejected prematurely; about a
23
24 touchscreen apparently not being calibrated properly.
25 Things that we hear about with every election and
0224
1 we've heard every election since 2002.
2 Q. How -- the -- did the complainant know that
the screen was miscalibrated?
3
4 A. How do I know that they know --
Q. No. How did --
5
6 A. How did they know it was miscalibrated?
Q. Right?
7
8 A. I can't speak for how they knew. It's just
9 that they said that, you know, they encountered a
10 calibration issue.
11 Q. So, what the original touchscreen was
different then the summary screen or something like
12
13 that?
A. Or they, you know, felt like they were
14
15 touching one area, but another area it was -- was
appearing.
16
17 Q. Okay. What other complaints -- you've
18 mentioned a number, but what other complaints did you
receive in 2018?
19
20 A. Any of the complaints that I've already
outlined are the general complaints that I've heard.
21
22 And I did not personally take a lot of complaints on
Election Day. I think I wrote down in my notes maybe

23
24 five or six complaints on Election Day.
25 Q. Okay. And then what was the office that
0225
1 you said takes the ones from voters themselves, not
2 from officials?
A. That's the election division.
3
4 Q. Okay.
A. That's a different section, Secretary of
5
6 State's office.
Q. And -- and those -- okay. Let me take you
7
8 back to the weekend before the November election; are
9 you familiar with the alleged hacking of the voter
10 registration database on that weekend?
11 A. [inaudible] --
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I would object on this. This
12
13 does not -- in any issue of the voter registration
database in this case; lieutenant governor's race. This
14
15 is not about the DREs. I don't think it's relevant to
anything in this court.
16
17 MR. LINDSEY: Same objection, Your Honor. We're here
18 to deal with one race and one election.
THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
19
20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, this is hacking into the
election system that the Secretary of State --
21
22 THE COURT: I'm not going to let you go into that
unless you can show hacking into the -- into the actual
23
24 voting system, not the voter registration system.
25 MR. BROWN: Let me ask a ---
0226
1 BY MR. BROWN:
2 Q. Could -- could -- could hacking into the
registration --- the hacking into the registration
3
4 system could affect the number of people who are
allowed to vote for a particular election; correct?
5
6 MR. TYSON: Your Honor.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, the same objection as
7
8 before. That's not the issue here today. The issue here
9 today that you've raised to overturn this election is that
10 there was some type of -- of breakdown in the election
11 system; not that there - a certain voter was not allowed
to vote, which we would also deny, but that's a different
12
13 issue. That's the issue here, so we object to the
relevance.
14
15 THE COURT: Sustained.
MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.

16
17 BY MR. BROWN:
18 Q. All right. Let me ask you this; are you
aware of any investigation that the Secretary of
19
20 State did into hacking relating to the 2018 election?
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, he's being vague.
21
22 THE COURT: That's the same question.
MR. LINDSEY: It's just leading.
23
24 THE COURT: Artfully. Phrased differently.
25 MR. TYSON: I disagree that it was artful, and I
0227
1 disagree it was different; it's just simply broader.
2 MR. BROWN: I'm asking if there was any.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'm asking --
3
4 THE COURT: If it goes to the election system itself,
not to the voter registration, the actual -- actual -- was
5
6 there any hacking in the actual voting system?
THE WITNESS: [inaudible].
7
8 BY MR. BROWN:
9 Q. And you did not investigate any hacking
10 into the election system as distinguished from the
11 registration system; correct?
A. Correct.
12
13 Q. And the Secretary of State's -- okay --
you've testified about it before I know there's been
14
15 a lot of testimony about the exposure of the system
at Kennesaw State in 2016 and 2017; are you familiar
16
17 generally with that issue?
18 A. I am.
Q. What has -- has the state undertaken a
19
20 forensic examination of the components of the
election's system to determine whether or not it was
21
22 infected with any malware because of that it's the --
-
23
24 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, once again, we're talking
25 about two entirely different systems; and unless he's
0228
1 dealing with specifically the voter system we're going to
2 object to relevancy.
MR. TYSON: We would object to the lack of foundation
3
4 as far as the 2016 incident that affected any sort of like
databases. This is two years ago. There's no explanation
5
6 as to how --
THE COURT: I sustain it as to whether there's been
7
8 something because of something that happened that isn't in

9 front of me. I mean, you know, did they investigate
10 routinely for malware? I mean, that's one thing. But --
11 not going back and try to put something else on the record
that's not before me.
12
13 MR. BROWN: Well, I'll get it before you, Your Honor.
BY MR. BROWN:
14
15 Q. Now, Mr. Barnes, the -- what was exposed to
the public internet in 2016 and 2017?
16
17 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, we going to renew the
18 objection again.
THE COURT: Sustained.
19
20 MR. BROWN: Okay.
BY MR. BROWN:
21
22 Q. Mr. Barnes, what forensic review has your
office done with respect to the DRE machine voting
23
24 systems that were used in the 2018 election?
25 A. The Secretary of State's office in 2017
0229
1 undertook a recertification of the voting system and
2 examined the equipment in three separate counties;
Muskogee County, Richmond County and Bibb County, to
3
4 examine and verify the voting equipment was working
as had been previously certified. And the
5
6 examination found that the equipment was working in
the same fashion and the same way as it had done
7
8 through previous certification tests.
9 Q. I had asked you if you -- if the Secretary
10 of State had done a forensic analysis and I guess the
11 answer is no, but they have done -- they have
recertified it, is that fair to say?
12
13 A. That's fair to say.
Q. Okay. Has the Secretary of State
14
15 undertaken an investigation into possible causes of
the voting pattern that was seen on the May 2018
16
17 election?
18 A. Undertaken an investigation, like, opened
an investigation to present to the state election
19
20 board?
Q. I -- I didn't mean to - to wrap too much
21
22 into the word investigation, what I mean is if have
they looked into it to see what happened?
23
24 A. With every election with the Secretary of
25 State's office and elections division always look at
0230
1 their election to see is there anything that we can

2 learn from this election.
And every election there's always an opportunity
3
4 to learn more about doing the next election even
better. So to the circumstance, has the Secretary of
5
6 State's office looked at the elections to, you know,
garner what can we do better in the future?
7
8 Absolutely.
9 Q. Has the Secretary of State considered that
10 whether there was a system error that caused the
11 voting pattern that was actually experienced in the
2018 race?
12
13 A. To my knowledge, no.
Q. Has that issues been raised just in casual
14
15 discussion in -- in your office?
MR. TYSON: I'll object to that. That requires
16
17 hearsay. What other people have said to Mr. Barnes in his
18 office is not at issue, Your Honor.
MR. BROWN: I'll -- I'll rephrase it.
19
20 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Have -- have -- have you discussed with
21
22 your colleagues' potential causes of the -- of the
voting pattern that was going on 2018?
23
24 A. Well, being hypothetical of what, you know,
25 what may cause people to act the way they do in
0231
1 certain situations?
2 Q. No. This is -- this is -- I'm not -- back
up a little bit. I'm not -- this is a factual
3
4 question.
THE COURT: Well, it's kind of very, very broad and
5
6 he's interpreting it one way and you're meaning it some
other way.
7
8 MR. BROWN: Right. Have -- let me ask it in several
9 different ways, okay.
10 BY MR. BROWN:
11 Q. Has the Secretary of State undertaken an
investigation to determine whether system defects
12
13 caused the voting pattern in the 2018 race?
A. No, sir.
14
15 Q. Has the Secretary of State done any
forensic examination of any of the components of the
16
17 system to determine whether or not they're were
18 infected with any malware or misprogramming that
might have affected the vote, period?
19

20 A. The Secretary of State's office received a letter from one of the lieutenant governor candidates
21
22 post-election stating one of -- stating a concern and listed some counties in that letter. Upon receiving
23
24 that letter, Secretary of State's office went to one
25 of the counties in question and did look at the
0232
1 uploaded records into the GEMS computer.
2 They also extracted information from individual machines that wer used on Election Day to validate
3
4 that the records contained on the machine matched what has been uploaded previously into the GEMS
5
6 computer. Those valid images were produced and examined and found that everything was balancing and
7
8 showing as what was reported initially by the county
9 on Election Night.
10 Q. So that if the vote was wrong at the
11 precinct level, it was wrong exactly the same way at the Secretary of State's office, is that what I'm
12
13 hearing?
MR. TYSON: I'll object. I think that assumes facts
14
15 that aren't in evidence. I don't understand what the question is on that.
16
17 MR. BROWN: I think he can answer it. I think he
18 knows where I'm going.
THE COURT: No. I sustain the objection. You've got
19
20 to rephrase it. You keep assuming a bunch of things. That's just --
21
22 MR. BROWN: Okay. [inaudible]
BY MR. BROWN:
23
24 Q. So the analysis showed that the vote total
25 in the precinct matched the vote total at the
0233
1 Secretary of State's office; correct?
2 A. The analysis showed that the vote total calculated by the individual devices examined and the
3
4 vote total tabulated by the separate GEMS computer using the data extracted directly from the machine
5
6 and compared against the data uploaded from the memory card used on Election Day was identically the
7
8 same, in that the vote totals calculated being
9 exactly the same in all instances examined.
10 Q. Okay. If the machine itself had
11 incorrectly recorded the vote, your testing would not have detected that; correct?
12

13 A. The testing that was done was to validate
that -- what the machine had produced, the machine
14
15 continued to produce and show that result
[inaudible].
16
17 Q. Okay. Let me ask it to you again because
18 you didn't answer the question. I'm going to get
there, okay. If the machine did not correctly record
19
20 the vote, you would not have detected then in your
review; correct?
21
22 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'm going to object to that.
I guess assuming things are in evidence here as far was
23
24 what's happening in the sequence of the technology. I
25 don't -- I don't see how this --
0234
1 THE COURT: I understand what you're saying.
2 MR. TYSON: Okay.
BY MR. BROWN:
3
4 Q. Go ahead and answer.
A. What our examination did is show that the
5
6 machine collected a result and reported what it
collected. All of my testing has always shown in
7
8 pre-election, in the testing, that anything that goes
9 in is what the machine shows coming out. And we were
10 seeing the back end of what the machine was pushing
11 outward and it was matching up on what was reported
on Election Night, what was printed on Election Night
12
13 from the memory card, extracted from the device. It
was matching up with what was calculated by the GEMS
14
15 computer upon receipt of that memory card and also it
was matching of what was on the record contained by
16
17 the devices, so.
18 Q. But none of that -- again, none of that
could tell you if the machine itself is recording the
19
20 vote, right?
A. All I can speak to is what the machine has
21
22 in memory, what it reported, and what it's saying is
on record.
23
24 Q. And you're familiar with the expression
25 garbage in, garbage out?
0235
1 A. I've heard it before, yes, sir.
2 Q. Okay. And in response to Candidate Amico's
letter, did the Secretary of State conduct any
3
4 machine-level investigation with any of the memory
chips or anything like to determine if there were any

5
6 flaws there?
A. We inspected two machines on site in Ben
7
8 Hill County. We recovered from the machine's
9 internal memory the election result file that was
10 stored on the machine. We then -- once that image
11 file was restored, we then looked at the ballot
images that were collected in that file through the
12
13 DRE.
So we looked at the physical DRE display of
14
15 those collected ballots and that requires us to touch
the screen to maneuver through and we did not
16
17 encounter any issues when touching the screen that
18 lead us to believe that the screen was not responsive
to proper touch or use. And we then from those
19
20 devices printed out new totals from that device and
those totals match all previous introduced totals.
21
22 Q. You say you looked at the election reports
[inaudible]?
23
24 A. The election result data. The file --
25 result file.
0236
1 THE COURT: I have no idea what that is.
2 MR. BROWN: It sounds like a machine.
THE COURT: Huh?
3
4 MR. BROWN: Printing machine.
THE COURT: The printing machine.
5
6 MR. BROWN: [inaudible]
THE COURT: What printing machine?
7
8 MR. BROWN: It's very fast.
9 THE COURT: I was going to say it was on the roof but
10 we're on the second floor and there's seven floors on this
11 building. It's information for the presiding judge who's
not in here. Yeah. It's information for the presiding
12
13 judge that's not in here, so. There's some -- they move
us all around all the time. So I'll put that back there.
14
15 There's Judge [inaudible]. Go ahead.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
16
17 BY MR. BROWN:
18 Q. Is the election -- well, what was the name
of that file again?
19
20 A. The ballot results on.
Q. The ballot results on. Okay. And so the
21
22 Secretary of State didn't look at other parts of the
internal memory, did it?

23
24 A. No.
25 Q. Okay. So it didn't look at the boot
0237
1 loader?
2 A. No.
Q. It didn't look at the operating system?
3
4 A. When the machine powered on we did a review of what operating system was displayed and it was the
5
6 standard certified operating system that was found on those devices; as well as the install [inaudible] for
7
8 servers, that certified for use in the State of
9 Georgia.
10 Q. And the Secretary of State didn't give you
11 any actual sort of code-level review to look for malware in the data?
12
13 A. Correct.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I caution that I'm entering
14
15 in an area that you've already ruled upon, but I want to make a clarification.
16
17 The suggestion was made by the defendants in your
18 objection [inaudible] our case, it's not raised, issues involving the registration --
19
20 THE COURT: Just ask the questions, please. Just ask the questions. Don't ask me to prove judgment. Let's
21
22 just -- for the question, ask it, and we'll go from there.
MR. BROWN: I want to be careful --
23
24 THE COURT: If they don't like, they'll jump, and
25 we'll do it then.
0238
1 MR. BROWN: I just want to be careful [inaudible]
2 respect to --
THE COURT: [inaudible]
3
4 MR. BROWN: Okay.
BY MR. BROWN:
5
6 Q. Now the election's registration system has been plagued with difficulties over the last --
7
8 THE COURT: I have ruled on that. Don't go there.
9 MR. BROWN: Okay. The reason I'm asking --
10 THE COURT: And like I said, they jumped up. Okay.
11 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would just point out just for the record is that is our allegation paragraph
12
13 [inaudible].
THE COURT: I ruled on it. Quit pushing me all the
14
15 time.
BY MR. BROWN:

16
17 Q. Let me direct your attention to the ballot
18 design. Now what kind of role does your office have
in ballot design for the electronic system?
19
20 A. When using GEMS, we first lay out the
ballots so that -- structurally is what we focus on
21
22 first, make sure we have the right local districts in
play, have the right precincts in play, and have the
23
24 right split precincts in play when a precinct is
25 divided.
0239
1 Q. Okay.
2 A. And then have the right races and have the
right candidates and have the right polling
3
4 locations. GEMS then takes all of that relationship
information and produces a ballot style. It is a
5
6 layout of individual races. It does it in two
formats.
7
8 It lays out a ballot style for optical scan
9 purposes that we set the number of columns in the
10 ballot format for the general election in 2013. The
11 predominant format was three columns on the front
side of the ballot and three columns on the back side
12
13 of the ballot. Some locations had to go to a four
column in the front and four column in the back
14
15 because of the sheer number of races that were
included on the ballot.
16
17 That's the configuration that we set up for the
18 printer op scan ballot. For the configuration of the
touch screen we set up a two-column ballot and that
19
20 has been the format that we've had in place since
before 2010. When we initially started using the
21
22 DREs in 2002, it was three-column ballot on the DRE.
It's now currently transitioned to a two-column
23
24 ballot around 2008 or 2002. The decision was made at
25 that time that the State wanted to give more space on
0240
1 the ballot for each individual race that was seen on
2 the DRE. So we set up a configuration from building
the data set that we're going to have a two-column
3
4 ballot displayed on the DRE.
Q. Okay. Now the -- in terms of this
5
6 accessibility or fairness, are there some guidelines
that you use for designing a ballot?
7
8 A. There are rules in the -- State Election

9 Board rules for displaying of candidate name, the
10 font size that you use, whether you do all caps. The
11 position of candidates is set by statute in general
elections whether the Republican candidate or the
12
13 Democratic candidate is listed first or second. The
order of races is set again by statute of what race
14
15 comes first in the ballot, what race is second,
third, and so forth and so on.
16
17 Q. Are there rules or regulations governing
18 whether a ballot can go from one -- if a race can be
on two pages? In other words, the first candidate on
19
20 one page and then the second candidate on the other
page.
21
22 A. When we are building the database, I'm not
aware there are rules that says it can't be that way.
23
24 But when we are building the database we -- one of
25 the things that we look at once we have built that
0241
1 structure, we look at on a DRE to see if any of these
2 circumstances may be arising. Are the number of
candidates so large in a given race that we have to
3
4 change the -- what we call the scaling of the image
that is shown on the screen?
5
6 We did encounter this in 2016 with the
presidential preference primary on the Republican
7
8 side. When we first built the database there -- when
9 we first built the first arrangement of the database
10 for proof of purpose it had 16 candidates. The
11 scaling size that we used was actually pushing
candidates to a second screen. We adjusted the
12
13 scaling -- reduced the scaling so that all of the
candidates showed up on one screen all together under
14
15 the same header so that we would produce any voter
confusion.
16
17 Q. Now in this election, 2018, did you design
18 any ballots that had the lieutenant governor's race
split between one screen and the next?
19
20 A. To my knowledge the -- every voter in the
state of Georgia on Election Day when they were using
21
22 a DRE unit and it is the normal display, the standard
display, it had two races on the first frame. It had
23
24 -- on the left-hand side it had the governor's race
25 and all the candidates, and on the right-hand side
0242
1 it had the lieutenant governor's race and all

2 candidates. And those were the only two races on the screen.
3
4 Q. And if some other configuration appeared it would have been the result of a machine mistake or
5
6 error; correct?
A. If a voter had put it into magnifying mode,
7
8 which the voter has the ability to do so, they would
9 have only been showing one race on the first screen,
10 and that would have been the governor, and then the
11 second race would have been the lieutenant governor on the second screen.
12
13 Q. By that orientation, where would the splitting of the lieutenant governor race that you're
14
15 aware of [inaudible].
A. That I am aware of.
16
17 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, if I could take a minute
18 break --
THE COURT: Sure.
19
20 MR. BROWN: -- [inaudible]
THE COURT: Why don't we take a few minutes then.
21
22 I'll be back in about ten minutes. Okay.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
23
24 MR. TYSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
25 [Off the record at 3:30 p.m., and back on the record
0243
1 at 3:37 p.m.]
2
CONTINUATION DIRECT EXAMINATION
3
4 OF MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES
5
6 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. I'm going to hand you what's been marked as
7
8 Plaintiff's 8 and [inaudible]
9 THE COURT: Exhibit 8? Okay.
10 Q. Let me direct you to the last page of
11 Exhibit 8. Is the first email on the last page of Exhibit 8 an email from a Steven [phonetic] Clay to
12
13 you and others?
MR. TYSON: I object on this. This is related to the
14
15 [inaudible] state issue in 2016 it looks like in these emails, so.
16
17 THE COURT: Okay. You're going to identify what 8 is
18 --
MR. BROWN: All right.
19
20 THE COURT: I know you're trying to identify the last

page, but what's the dates on it?

21

22 MR. BROWN: I can do all of [inaudible].

BY MR. BROWN:

23

24 Q. Mr. Barnes, does Exhibit 8 appear to be

25 true and correct [inaudible] emails between various people

0244

1 at your office [inaudible]?

2 A. It appears to be email communications from the Center for Election Systems at Kennesaw State in

3

4 2017.

MR. BROWN: Move to admit, Your Honor.

5

6 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, this appears to be once again related to the incident at Kennesaw State --

7

8 THE COURT: In '17? In '17?

9 MR. BROWN: In '17 is related to the Kennesaw State

10 matter that does [inaudible].

11 THE COURT: You're going to have to [inaudible]. Based on what you just said does not [inaudible] for me to

12

13 admit. We're talking about 2018, November race, that's all we're talking about.

14

15 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, we have alleged and proven that [inaudible] in 2017 could still impact the system --

16

17 THE COURT: No, you haven't proven that.

18 MR. BROWN: Well, I haven't [inaudible]

THE COURT: [inaudible] You haven't done that. I'll

19

20 let it in; okay? I'm going to let it in, because you misstate so many things, Mr. Brown. You really do. And

21

22 if it's -- [inaudible]. I'll shut up and let you run the show.

23

24 MR. BROWN: No, ma'am, the reason why I said that is

25 the record was --

0245

1 THE COURT: Sir, you have not proved it. I am the

2 one that determines what's proved. Whether you will, I don't know, let's find out.

3

4 MR. BROWN: I understand. Let me back up a little bit and I understand --

5

6 THE COURT: Don't argue with me all the time. Just ask the gentleman questions, please, sir.

7

8 MR. BROWN: Okay. [inaudible] I move to admit this

9 Exhibit --

10 THE COURT: I said I'll admit it. How many more

11 times do you want me to say that?

MR. BROWN: Turn to page 8.

12

13 THE COURT: Can I get a copy of it now, please?

[inaudible] discretion.

BY MR. BROWN:

Q. Mr. Barnes, what is the compromise that is referred to on page 8 of Exhibit 8? And it's in the email from Mr. Gay [ph] to Michael Barnes [inaudible].

A. I believe this is referring to the web server that was attacked at the Center for Election Systems.

Q. And the web server housed what programs or information?

A. It didn't house any programs. It was a

0246

distribution server that counties would obtain, like, ballot proofs, data files that power their Express Poll devices; data that the Center for Election Systems constructed at Kennesaw State while it was under contract with the Secretary of State's office and supporting counties in state and federal elections.

Q. And how was the -- that site compromised?

A. It was a web server and somebody infiltrated the portions of the web server files that were behind password protection.

Q. Let me direct your attention to the next page [inaudible] and this may not be in [inaudible] sequence so I'm going to assume that they are [inaudible]. On page 7 in Exhibit 8, do you recall receiving this email? Or recall being cc'd on this email?

A. [inaudible]

Q. Did you have an understanding when you received this what the author meant, or what it meant to you, that obviously this is kind of untenable in the current atmosphere?

MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, while you have admitted this document --

THE COURT: [inaudible] I've just got to see it. The

0247

first page refers to [inaudible] investigation in regard to voter registration records.

MR. LINDSEY: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I've already ruled that out repeatedly; ruled that out.

6 MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible] with whether or not the system that --

7

8 THE COURT: And these are not in any kind of order.

9 MR. LINDSEY: -- whether or not the system has --

10 whether or not this has anything to do with the system

11 that collects voters' actual votes in 2018. What about the system [inaudible] --

12

13 MR. BROWN: [inaudible]

MR. LINDSEY: Well, let me back up. I'm here to

14

15 state my [inaudible]. My objection, Your Honor, this dealt with an entirely different system. You've already

16

17 ruled it dealt with an entirely different system and we

18 would object --

THE COURT: I think the question was, there were

19

20 errors then, have they been corrected? That's the only question on the floor.

21

22 MR. LINDSEY: My --

THE COURT: [inaudible] isn't relevant.

23

24 MR. LINDSEY: Yeah, my objection is that this dealt

25 with a situation you've already ruled on, which was

0248

1 [inaudible]

2 THE COURT: I can see we might want to [inaudible].

MR. LINDSEY: Is there a -- I mean, if we could

3

4 stipulate that whatever [inaudible] have not been fixed, maybe that will speed things up. [inaudible] stipulate

5

6 that it's relevant because it's not [inaudible] with the system at issue here regarding the 2018 election.

7

8 MR. BROWN: I would love to put Mr. Lindsey on the

9 witness stand for that but --

10 THE COURT: Why do you talk over the top of

11 everybody? Now you're talking over the top of Mr. Lindsey.

12

13 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'm simply just restating the fact that you've already previously ruled that the

14

15 issue at Kennesaw State had nothing to do with the election in 2018 because it dealt with [inaudible]

16

17 THE COURT: I sustain your objection, Mr. Lindsey.

18 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.

BY MR. BROWN:

19

20 Q. When did the Secretary of State change the system after Kennesaw State?

21

22 A. The -- when operations transitioned from Kennesaw State to the Secretary of State's office --

23

24 the transition began before the physical transition.
25 The Secretary of State began constructing their
0249
1 internal --
2 Q. I apologize for interrupting you, but I'm asking you a date question.
3
4 A. January 1, 2018.
Q. [inaudible] January 1, 2018. And what did
5
6 they change?
A. The entire air gap private network that's
7
8 used to -- that houses the election programming
9 software, GEMS, at the state level was transitioned
10 to a new air gap system maintained by the Secretary
11 of State Information Technology office and became operational when the Center for Election Systems took
12
13 up residency within the Secretary of State's office. Prior to the use of that system, that system was put
14
15 through an analysis by [inaudible], which the voting system testing lab out of Huntsville, Alabama to
16
17 validate that the installation of GEMS owned that
18 system matches the installation that [inaudible] had previously inspected.
19
20 Q. Okay. So is it your testimony that the -- that everything was changed over on January 1, 2018?
21
22 A. That the system that's used to generate the GEMS databases that are designed -- that are
23
24 developed and issued to counties to execute their
25 elections, the system that those -- that work was
0250
1 done on was a brand new system as of January 1, 2018.
2 Q. Okay. A brand new what? A brand new hardware, brand new programming?
3
4 A. Brand new hardware.
Q. Okay. What about --
5
6 A. We were still using the certified copy of GEMS that we had been using in the state of Georgia
7
8 since 2000 -- late 2011.
9 Q. Okay. So it was the same GEMS system?
10 A. We have been using the same GEMS program
11 throughout the state of Georgia uniformly since late 2011.
12
13 Q. And that same program that has continued in use was on -- was it on the web server --
14
15 A. No, sir.
Q. Okay. Was it put on a web server?
16

17 A. No, sir.
18 Q. Okay. So did anything on the web server
get put on the same place as the GEMS database?
19
20 A. No, sir.
Q. Okay. The -- if the GEMS -- well, so you
21
22 said it's -- Mr. Lindsey said everything was changed,
it's a different system, the GEMS database is the
23
24 same; correct?
25 A. We have been using the same GEMS program in
0251
1 Georgia since late 2011.
2 Q. Thank you. Sorry to interrupt [inaudible].
Let me direct your attention to page 4 on Exhibit 8.
3
4 THE COURT: These were written before he said
everything was changed. So let's not go into --
5
6 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, he said the GEMS database
was not a change.
7
8 THE COURT: I understand.
9 MR. BROWN: [inaudible] Let me get right to the point
10 [inaudible] overtake I think an objection.
11 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Barnes, did any of the 45-plus critical
12
13 vulnerabilities -- 40-plus critical vulnerabilities
relate in any way to any -- to the GEMS database?
14
15 A. No, sir.
Q. Did the critical vulnerabilities relate to
16
17 -- into the DREs or in the way the DREs were current?
18 A. No, sir.
Q. What did they relate to?
19
20 A. They're related to a web server that was
distributing the data to counties -- counties for
21
22 elections. It was the portal that the Center for
Elections use as their outside communication tool,
23
24 their website.
25 Elections.Kennesaw.edu was housed on that
0252
1 server. Counties have a sign-in to that location to
2 obtain some information like ballot proofs for review
purposes, where they could portal in and access that
3
4 information.
Q. Mr. Barnes, I want to go back to your
5
6 testimony about the ballot design; do you recall
that? And I want to clarify first, you were
7
8 describing the scalability of the ballot design,
9 different challenges. There's a lot of candidates,

10 like, in the presidential preference primary for the
11 Republicans in 2016. Does the county have an
opportunity to participate in ballot designs?
12
13 A. The county has an opportunity to review the
data set at any given time during the review process.
14
15 Most counties take the reports that we generate and
provide to them that are sample ballots in the
16
17 optical scan format, because that's the easiest thing
18 to produce, the PDF format, and provide the counties
for a layout -- of validating that the right races
19
20 are in the right place on the ballot.
But some counties choose, Gwinnett County being
21
22 one of them in November of 2018, to obtain a copy of
the GEMS database while it is in production. For
23
24 them then to then take that database, install it onto
25 a DRE so they can see what it's going to look like on
0253
1 the DRE themselves. And if they see anything that
2 they would like adjusted, then they notify our office
of what needs to be adjusted and that adjustment is
3
4 made.
Q. [inaudible] and Gwinnett was a longer
5
6 ballot because it was in two languages; is that
right? Was that one of the issues?
7
8 A. It was a more complicated ballot. It was
9 the first election that Gwinnett County was having
10 with multiple language, so they were doing a lot of
11 proofing in multiple languages to make sure the
ballot was being displayed as they needed it
12
13 displayed to be in adherence with federal statute.
Q. I asked you before with respect to the work
14
15 that you -- your office had done with respect to the
ballot design whether in any other various -- the
16
17 ballots had been out, the election for the lieutenant
18 governor was split between two pages and you said,
no. Do you know if that's the case with respect to
19
20 what the counties ended up using [inaudible]?
A. We have the same DRE equipment at our
21
22 disposal as the counties have at their disposal. The
image that we can generate on the DRE should be equal
23
24 to what a county is seeing on display when they are
25 generating. That's the best to which I can answer
0254
1 that question.
2 Q. To the best of your knowledge.

A. To the best of my knowledge.

Q. To the best of your knowledge the ballots displayed in the county, did -- would not have shown on the lieutenant governor's race on two different screens; is that right?

A. That is correct.

Q. That's all I have. Thanks, again.

THE COURT: Any questions?

CROSS-EXAMINATION

OF MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES

BY MR. TYSON:

Q. Mr. Barnes, let's pick up where Mr. Brown had been talking ballot design. Let me show you what would be marked as -- where is it I'm at? Duncan 2? Duncan 2.

And I'm going to ask you if you will -- if you could identify this and tell me what it -- what is shows, [inaudible] design of the [inaudible] ballots in I believe 200- -- 2018, 2014, and 2010. Does this accurately show the ballot design of the governor and lieutenant governor's races for those three election

0255

cycles? [inaudible]

A. Yes, sir, it does.

MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I would tender these into evidence.

MR. BROWN: No objection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Received.

MR. TYSON: [inaudible]

BY MR. LINDSEY [inaudible]:

Q. There is in this packet two pictures of each year. Could you explain to the Court why?

A. Sure. The two pictures -- the picture -- there are two DRE models that are used in the state of Georgia. There is the TSx model and there is the R6 model.

The TSx is -- has the gray case around it. The screen and the R6 model has the white case around the screen. So these are images of both models displaying the ballot from November of 2018.

Q. And let me go back and show to the 2010 screen and that screen -- rather, in these screens the U.S. Senate race and the governor's race is on

21
22 the first page and the next page has the lieutenant
governor and other races; correct?
23
24 A. Correct.
25 Q. In 2014, there was once again U.S. Senate
0256
1 race and once again the U.S. Senate is -- and the
2 governor's race is on the first page and the race for
lieutenant governor and other state constitutional
3
4 offices are on the next page; correct?
A. Correct.
5
6 Q. Now, the situation is different in 2018; is
it not?
7
8 A. That's correct.
9 Q. Why?
10 A. There was no United States Senate race on
11 the ballot in 2018. The first race on all ballots
across the state was for governor.
12
13 Q. So as a result, so instead of being on two
different screens, the governor and lieutenant
14
15 governor's race are both on the same screen.
A. That's correct.
16
17 Q. Correct? Okay. Now, you are as part of
18 your job, concerned about ballot design; correct?
A. Correct.
19
20 Q. And for instance you are knowledgeable, for
instance, what happened in Broward County; correct?
21
22 A. Correct.
Q. Tell the Judge what happened in Broward
23
24 County.
25 A. In November 2018 in Broward County, they
0257
1 had a -- I believe it's -- the United States Senate
2 race was at the bottom of the first column on the
ballot.
3
4 It came below the set instructions. So at the
very -- after those instructions ended, they had
5
6 enough space at the bottom of this piece of paper
that they could include the United States senate race
7
8 at the bottom of that column and then the governor's
9 race was at the top of the second column on the
10 ballot. Other counties -- that was Broward County --
11 is the United States senate was at the bottom of --
underneath the instructions.
12
13 Q. So as a result in Broward County fewer
people voted in the U.S. Senate race than they did in

14
15 other counties?
A. The layout in Broward County was different
16
17 than it was in other counties.
18 Q. Yeah.
A. In other counties the United States Senate
19
20 race was at the top of the second column. There was
no race below the instructions on other county
21
22 ballots in Florida.
Q. And did that have an impact on voter
23
24 participation? Was that the concern that had
25 impacted the voter [inaudible]?
0258
1 A. There was concern that because the race was
2 at the -- below the instructions that voters might
not have noticed that it was below the instructions
3
4 and then may have just bypassed it and ignored it.
Q. Yeah. Now after this election, Mr. Brown
5
6 asked you, had you had any discussions about why
there's a difference between the paper ballot down-
7
8 ballot voting for lieutenant governor and the
9 electronic ballot down-ballot race? Do you recall
10 him asking you that?
11 A. Yes, sir.
Q. And have you in fact had any discussion
12
13 about whether or not the ballot design may have
impacted that race?
14
15 A. Post-election, you know, looking at the
design of the ballot, we have always been very
16
17 pleased with the two-column ballot because it gives,
18 you know -- you know, two races very clearly separate
apart but when we, you know, start thinking about the
19
20 election of what all was going on with the given
election, could this ballot layout been confusing to
21
22 voters.
And there's a possibility that it could have
23
24 been confusing, especially for new voters that are
25 voting for the first time in the state of Georgia
0259
1 that may not have had an interaction in voting in
2 Georgia or using a DRE. You know, a cursory glance
of the screen like this you may feel like because the
3
4 Republican candidates are line up and the Democratic
candidates are lining up that you make one selection
5
6 and you're voting for a ticket.

So that could be how a voter interprets that or

it may not be. I could just be that they see the first race and they are focused on when they get to the next element. Each individual voter's actions that -- are their own.

Q. And in fact you are aware that program's

[inaudible]?

MR. BROWN: Your Honor, this is already leading and,

Your Honor, I would [inaudible] leading the witness.

MR. LINDSEY: That doesn't matter. It has to be

adverse. [inaudible].

THE COURT: [inaudible], therefore he's got him on cross.

MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.

MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

BY MR. LINDSEY:

Q. So obviously, you know, you're aware of the

fact that the president and my president, for instance, run on a ticket; correct?

0260

A. Correct.

Q. and are you aware that, I believe, in 25 states that have lieutenant governors and governors, they

run on a ticket; are you aware of that?

A. I learned something today that -- I know

that there are some jurisdictions where they on a ticket with a number.

Q. Okay. Are you aware that, for instance, in Florida they run on a ticket?

A. Yes.

Q. Are you aware that in South Carolina, two of our neighboring states, they also run on a ticket?

A. Yes.

Q. So it is common, is it not, that in many

states that the governor and lieutenant governor weren't on a ticket?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. Now, in addition to the general design, did you also do a analysis in terms of the

number of new voters voting in Georgia for the first time --

A. Post --

Q. -- in 2014 and 2018? Post-election.

A. Secretary of State's office did reach out

25 to their vendor of the voter registration system to
0261
1 ask the question of how many new voters participated
2 for the -- or how many voters participated for the
first time in 2018, also in 2014. In 2014, I believe
3
4 the number was --
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I object. This is hearsay.
5
6 It's [inaudible].
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, he's doing this in his
7
8 official capacity to basically analyze the number of new
9 voters.
10 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I'm not aware of any official
11 capacity except to the hearsay ruled upon.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, this is part of his
12
13 official duties is to --
THE COURT: We've talked about numbers all over the
14
15 place.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, these are not --
16
17 THE COURT: And all other kinds of ways. I'll allow
18 it.
MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
19
20 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. That in 2014, there were just over 98,000
21
22 voters in Georgia that participated for the first
time in the November 2014 election, but in the 2018
23
24 election there were a number of 336,000 voters or a
25 little over that that voted for the first time on --
0262
1 in November of 2018. And as far as your discussions,
2 was the concern about confusion by new voters coming
in from other states?
3
4 A. Yes.
Q. And that's part of the concerns you had in
5
6 terms of the inadvertent layout that was created as a
result of the U.S. Senate race not being on the
7
8 ballot?
9 A. Correct.
10 Q. Okay. Now Mr. Brown also asked you about
11 -- several questions about getting necessary reports
from GEMS and elsewhere about verifying that the --
12
13 that the election results.
And at one point you were asked to run a report
14
15 that formed this lawsuit, a report that was given to
the parties assuring every voter received lieutenant
16
17 governor on the ballot. Do you recall being asked

18 about that before?
A. Yes.
19
20 Q. Okay. You did not run a report for every
county; correct?
21
22 A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. But you did run a report on the
23
24 counties that are all on -- named parties in this
25 lawsuit; correct?
0263
1 A. That's correct.
2 Q. And that would be Fulton and Gwinnett;
correct?
3
4 A. Correct.
MR. TYSON [inaudible]: [inaudible] what?
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: Fulton and Gwinnett.
Q. Let me first show you Gwinnett -- let me
7
8 show you both [inaudible]. First let me show you
9 Gwinnett and ask you to please identify Gwinnett
10 [inaudible]. If you could identify that for the
11 Court.
A. This is the base precinct with reports --
12
13 base precinct with races report for Gwinnett County
and note there were 2018 election.
14
15 Q. And you -- tell the Court what that means
-- what that means.
16
17 A. What this report shows is every -- a voter
18 is connected to a base precinct within a GEMS
database. And what this shows is the base precinct
19
20 associated to the precinct and then what races are
associated to that base precinct. So this show --
21
22 and a voter is going to be associated to the base
precinct. So this is showing what races each
23
24 individual voter within a precinct would see when
25 they were given their ballot.
0264
1 Q. Okay.
2 MR. LINDSEY: We would tender Exhibits 3 and 4.
[inaudible] 3 and 4. [inaudible]
3
4 MR. BROWN: I have no objection, Your Honor.
THE COURT: They're admitted.
5
6 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. And what do these reports -- you would tell
7
8 the Court what these reports show.
9 A. It shows, again, all races for that given
10 base precinct or present all statewide races that

11 were being contested in November are shown with every
base precinct listed in the report.
12
13 Q. Okay. So if I understand you correctly
what you're telling me is that Mr. Duncan and Ms.
14
15 Amico appeared on every electronic ballot then in
those two counties?
16
17 A. Yes.
18 Q. And did you ever receive any complaint from
anywhere in the state that this race did not appear
19
20 on an electronic ballot?
A. We did not.
21
22 Q. To go back to the issue of configuration
about how was the ballot that we just finished
23
24 discussing, the electronic ballot, differed from the
25 paper ballots that folks would receive when they did
0265
1 write-in?
2 A. In relation to layout?
Q. Layout, particularly the governor and
3
4 lieutenant governor's race.
A. The optical scan ballot, again being three
5
6 or four columns in display, front and back, the
governor's race would have been the first race below
7
8 the ballot that are -- in the -- on the left -- far
9 left column and then the race right below that would
10 have been the lieutenant governor and then the race
11 below that would have been Secretary of State.
Q. So you wouldn't have had simply the
12
13 governor and lieutenant governors on the -- on only
one page?
14
15 A. That's correct.
Q. Plus you had a north-south situation as
16
17 opposed to east-west?
18 A. Correct.
Q. Now in addition to checking to make sure
19
20 that this race appeared on all the ballots, you also
were asked as part of the discovery in this case, to
21
22 prepare from GEMS a report testing to ensure that the
votes that are made on a machine are actually
23
24 calculated in the system; correct?
25 A. Correct.
0266
1 Q. Okay. And you did this for both Fulton and
2 Gwinnett, correct --
A. Correct.

3
4 Q. -- were named parties in this case. And if
you would explain to the Court -- let me show you the
5
6 -- and ask you if this is [inaudible] would be and
then explain to the Court what actually this report
7
8 shows.
9 A. These are the active voter TS status
10 reports from the Fulton and Gwinnett county databases
11 and what these reports show are the number of memory
cards created for their voting locations and the
12
13 upload status of those memory cards.
Q. Okay. In English what do they [inaudible]?
14
15 A. It shows that the touch screen unit that
was used that the information collected by that
16
17 machine has been uploaded into GEMS [inaudible].
18 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we would tender these two
Exhibits which would be 5 and 6 [inaudible].
19
20 MR. BROWN: No objection, Your Honor.
THE COURT: So admitted..
21
22 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. And after analyzing the report once again,
23
24 what are they -- tell the Court what they showed.
25 A. They showed that there are no outstanding
0267
1 memory cards. That all memory cards that were
2 created that would have collected that would have
collected votes, have been uploaded and accounted for
3
4 by GEMS.
Q. Okay. Now you were asked by Mr. Brown also
5
6 about what you at the Secretary of State's office
does beforehand to get ballots ready for voting. Do
7
8 you recall that last question?
9 A. Yes.
10 Q. Let me ask you, do you do any testing,
11 parallel testing for instance, on Election Day to
make sure that the systems are operating correctly?
12
13 A. We do.
Q. Tell the Judge what you do.
14
15 A. In the days leading up to the election we
will make -- Secretary of State's office will make a
16
17 request to a county in Georgia to provide to us a
18 copy of their GEMS database from their GEMS computer.
The State constructs the database and sends it to the
19
20 county.
But for parallel testing we ask that whatever

21
22 the county has loaded into their system, that they make a copy of that database and send it back to the
23
24 State so that we at the Secretary of State's office
25 can then create our own memory cards from their copy
0268
1 of their database, load into touch screen equipment
2 that we have in our possession, do a logic and accuracy test on the device to make sure it's working
3
4 as it should, and then on Election Day itself we videotape the input of a test deck into the device in
5
6 election mode.
So we will have an individual that is holding
7
8 the pre-filled ballot out and instructing the test
9 voter on what selections to make while that is all
10 being videotaped. And that's done within the voting
11 period on Election Day. And then at the end of that timeframe we'll end the election on those devices and
12
13 printout tapes from those devices, and validate the results being produced by the device match the known
14
15 results of the test deck.
Q. Okay. And you do that to make sure that if
16
17 Edward Lindsey cast a vote for Mike Boland for
18 governor, that it's properly counting?
A. Correct.
19
20 Q. And when you did that parallel testing on Election Day, did you discover any irregularities?
21
22 A. We did not.
Q. And among the races that you tested for was
23
24 the lieutenant governor's race; correct?
25 A. That's correct.
0269
1 Q. Thank you. Now you were talking about
2 after the election Ms. Amico came and raised some concerns. And you mentioned that you went and
3
4 checked a county. What county was that, just for the record?
5
6 A. Ben Hill County.
Q. Ben Hill County. And you went to Ben Hill
7
8 because that was somebody Ms. Amico was concerned
9 about; correct?
10 A. Correct.
11 Q. Okay. And the results were the same things that were tabulated on the card were being uploaded
12
13 to the Secretary of State's office; correct?
A. That's correct.

14
15 Q. Okay. Now were you asked earlier why -- well, you were asked earlier whether the Secretary of
16
17 State had done any testing or discovered there was
18 any kind of malware on the system; correct?
A. Correct.
19
20 Q. Been asked that. Given what you do on the parallel testing, the outcome, did you believe there
21
22 was any reason to do any forensic testing for malware?
23
24 A. Based on what we have encountered, no, sir.
25 Q. Okay. Now you -- there have been several
0270
1 questions raised about hacking, this, that, and the
2 other; could you explain -- from my understanding, and I'm not a math major and a physics major, I'm a
3
4 history major with a specialty in Florentine brimstone, so --
5
6 THE COURT: That's really helpful
Q. -- so I'm going to talk really slowly to
7
8 make this explanation here. Could you please explain
9 the difference between an open system that would be
10 on the web page, and a closed system?
11 A. A closed system has no external connection port is that it is a device directly connected to
12
13 another device and there is not a line from that pair going outward placing so that someone can portal
14
15 inward into that device. They can't access an IP address. It's closed off.
16
17 The only way to interact with the system is
18 through direct interaction with the system. An open network is akin to the internet. When you have
19
20 computers and multiple locations communicating to one another through a public means.
21
22 Q. So someone [inaudible] Putin in Russia could not use a computer there utilizing the internet
23
24 to be able to get into your system and hack it;
25 correct?
0271
1 A. Correct.
2 Q. Okay. Were there any other anomalies in this election, particularly regarding the lieutenant
3
4 governor's race, in regards to write-in votes?
A. When looking at the certified returns for
5
6 various counties in the Metro area, we saw that there

were -- for the statewide races that the lieutenant
7
8 governor's office seemed to have the highest number
9 of write-in votes collected.
10 Q. Okay. Now you didn't check every county,
11 did you?
A. No, sir.
12
13 Q. All right. You checked, I believe, three
counties; Fulton, Gwinnett, and DeKalb; correct?
14
15 A. Correct.
Q. Let me show you Fulton [inaudible] and let
16
17 me ask you to explain what these three documents --
18 certified documents I might add, show.
A. These appear to be the certified returns
19
20 from Dekalb County, Gwinnett County, and Fulton
County, and they are -- they contain the election
21
22 summary reports for those counties.
And the election summary report shows each
23
24 individual race that was present in the database for
25 that given county. It shows the total number of
0272
1 precincts involved in that election shows the number
2 of precincts reporting by race. It shows the number
of times that particular race was seen by the
3
4 tabulating system.
It shows the total number of votes that were
5
6 counted for that race and it shows the number of
votes collected for each individual candidate listed
7
8 in that race, including write-ins.
9 Q. All right. Write-ins, if I understand
10 correctly, they are write-ins in which someone goes
11 to the trouble to get their vote tabulated and there
are write-ins for Mickey Mouse, that sort of thing.
12
13 A. That's correct. There are certified write-
in candidates and then there are candidates that are
14
15 not certified write-ins.
Q. And that includes those who are not
16
17 certified write-in candidates; correct?
18 A. This includes all write-ins collected by
the system.
19
20 Q. When you reviewed that, what did you
discover for these three counties, for instance,
21
22 using [inaudible] because they were named parties in
this case? What did you discover about the number of
23
24 write-ins in the lieutenant governor's race versus

25 other races that were statewide?

0273

1 A. That the highest number of write-ins cast

2 for statewide office was in the lieutenant governor's office.

3

4 Q. Okay.

MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we would tender Exhibits --

5

6 [inaudible] 7, 8, and 9.

THE COURT: Mr. Brown.

7

8 MR. BROWN: You're tendering those?

9 MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible] I'm tendering --

10 THE COURT: He tendered his exhibits.

11 MR. LINDSEY: I'm tendering the exhibits.

MR. BROWN: [inaudible]

12

13 THE COURT: Okay. No objection. That's [inaudible].

MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I just have one last

14

15 [inaudible] and I will release him, I guess, [inaudible]. Your Honor, I believe the parties have stipulated to the

16

17 admissibility of Secretary of State certified statewide

18 races.

I just want to let it [inaudible] in the record. I

19

20 would, first of all, tender Exhibits 10, 11, and 12. Is that what I'm up to? Ten being the election for 2010, 11

21

22 being for '14, and 12 being for '18. Let me show you what I have here. '18 and '14, rather, and '10.

23

24 BY MR. LINDSEY:

25 Q. [inaudible] Let me simply ask you to look

0274

1 at those. Those are in fact the official election

2 returns from those three years that are located on the Secretary of State's website; correct?

3

4 [inaudible] I don't think I have those [inaudible]. Okay. These are in fact the election

5

6 returns from the Secretary of State's office [inaudible]; correct?

7

8 A. Appear to be.

9 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we tender the Exhibits.

10 THE COURT: Any objections from --

11 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Do you have extra copies of those,

12

13 the last three, Mr. Lindsey?

MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, [inaudible].

14

15 BY MR. LINDSEY:

Q. And looking at the front page of '10 and

16

17 '14, does it not show, sir, the percentage of voters

18 from that year? For both '10 and '14 at the top?
A. The turnout percentage?
19
20 Q. Yes, sir.
A. The turnout percentage for November of '14
21
22 -- 2014, voter turnout 50.03 percent?
Q. Yes, sir. Now for a '18 -- for '18
23
24 [inaudible].
25 MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible], Your Honor. [inaudible].
0275
1 And also, Your Honor, we tender this next Exhibit,
2 [inaudible] turnout and '18, just for the record.
Q. If you would identify what would be marked
3
4 as Exhibit 13, tell us what that is.
A. This is for November 2018 --
5
6 Q Yes, sir.
A. -- general turnout 61.34 percent.
7
8 MR. LINDSEY: We tender this document as well.
9 MR. BROWN: No objection.
10 BY MR. LINDSEY:
11 Q. So the turnout -- well, first of all, if
you were to look at '14 and '18, we've already talked
12
13 about the new votes. Let me just also sort of close
the loop on this line of questioning. If you would
14
15 look at '14 first and tell me how many registered
voters there were in '14.
16
17 A. In '14, there were 5,191,182.
18 Q. Okay. And in '18, how many were there?
A. 6,400,200 -- let's see. 6,428,584.
19
20 Q. Okay. And you've already testified that we
had high voter participation in '18?
21
22 A. [inaudible]
Q. So in '18, we have a larger number of
23
24 registered voters than in '14; correct?
25 A. Correct.
0276
1 Q. And in '18, we had a larger turnout than we
2 did in '14; correct?
A. Correct.
3
4 Q. And in '18, we had a increase of about
three-and-a-half times the number of new voters
5
6 voting for the first time; correct?
A. Correct.
7
8 Q. All of those, based on your concern about -
9 - your knowledge about Broward County as you're
10 looking at the web design -- at the design of the

11 electronic ballot could have led to greater voter
confusion when they voted electronically versus when
12
13 they voted on a paper ballot; correct?
A. Correct.
14
15 MR. LINDSEY: That's all I have, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Mr. Tyson.
16
17 MR. TYSON: Thank you, Your Honor. I'll be brief.
18
CROSS-EXAMINATION
19
20 OF MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES
21
22 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. [inaudible] pick up real quickly on the
23
24 Broward review, that senate race that Mr. Lindsey was
25 asking you about. Do you know if Broward County uses
0277
1 paper or DRE ballots?
2 A. I believe Broward uses centralized
[inaudible].
3
4 Q. Okay. So those would be paper ballots;
correct?
5
6 A. Yes.
Q. Mr. Brown earlier asked you about
7
8 complaints that he received around the election
9 administration, were the complaints in 2018 any more
10 unusual than complaints in prior years?
11 A. No.
Q. And Mr. Brown also asked you now
12
13 [inaudible] issues he said that, you know, if you
push a button [inaudible] record votes somewhere else
14
15 for somebody else. Now would you consider that a
programming issue or a calibration issue?
16
17 A. I would consider that a calibration issue.
18 Q. And is -- if the machine doesn't respond as
expected on a calibration issue during testing, what
19
20 was the process for addressing that?
A. The counties normally do not allow that
21
22 system to pass. It is set aside and not used for
that given election.
23
24 Q. And in the calibration you repair or fixed
25 electronic voting machines?
0278
1 A. You can recalibrate the screen as part of
2 the initial LMA process of, say, [inaudible]
calibration on the machines is like one of the first
3

4 steps done. But even after setting calibration if
the county interacts with the system and they feel
5
6 like it's not responsive as it should be, then they
will take that unit out of operation.
7
8 Q. And so a programming error then would be
9 something like a candidate's name is missing; is that
10 correct?
11 A. That's correct.
Q. And how would you know that it was a
12
13 programming error of that nature where somebody's
name was omitted, for example?
14
15 A. It would be not present on the ballot. You
would not see the name or if there was a voting
16
17 position with no name associated to it, you would see
18 that.
Q. And how would you do that? Would you do
19
20 that from a GEMS report or some other method?
A. You do that for a GEMS reports. You do
21
22 that through the sample ballots that are generated
and provided to the counties for proofing purposes.
23
24 Q. And reviewing the DRE post-election mode
25 also show that problem with the candidate missing
0279
1 name?
2 A. In post-election mode you can print out
reports that show candidates listed by race. You
3
4 also have the ability to access the ballot -- the
ballot view, which is the reported ballots that the
5
6 system recorded and it shows what races were on that
ballot and what candidates were listed under those
7
8 races.
9 Q. So lieutenant governor's race didn't appear
10 on a DRE. The machine in post-election [inaudible]
11 to determine that [inaudible].
A. That's correct.
12
13 Q. Okay. Thank you.
MR. LINDSEY: I don't have anything else.
14
15 THE COURT: Wait a minute. Wait, wait, wait.
MR. BROWN: I'm sorry.
16
17 MS. BURWELL: I have no questions.
18 THE COURT: She's got -- okay. Okay. Now you can
go.
19
20 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
21
22 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

23
24
25 BY MR. BROWN:
0280
1 Q. When did you first hear of the theory that
2 the under-vote might be -- have been the result of people thinking that it was a [inaudible]
3
4 A. It would probably be close to the time of certification.
5
6 Q. And who did you hear it from?
A. It was just sort of hypothesized. There
7
8 was no one person that said it. It was just sort of
9 again looking at the election and seeing, you know,
10 what could -- what could be reasons for these things.
11 Q. For the under-vote that you noticed, right?
A. Yes.
12
13 Q. Okay. So you noticed the under-vote at the Secretary of State's office and one of the things
14
15 that was just thrown out was, maybe people thought it was a ticket, right?
16
17 A. Correct.
18 Q. And have you undertaken -- get any sort of expert opinion or analysis of that theory?
19
20 A. We have heard from people in relation to ballot design that are starting that for ballot
21
22 design moving forward that it's best for voters to see one race per screen when they're interacting with
23
24 a DRE device or a ballot-marking device. So, you
25 know, that's -- to eliminate that confusion that may
0281
1 be present.
2 Q. Right. But that would mean that -- that doesn't relate to under-votes, does it; or does it
3
4 [inaudible]?
A. I'm not sure of the question.
5
6 Q. Is the concern there that people will skip a vote if there's more than one ballot on a page? Is
7
8 that the concern?
9 A. There's always concern that voters are not,
10 you know, receptive of what they're seeing. But each
11 individual voter is going to do what they want to do.
Q. Right. And in -- but in this election, if
12
13 you turn the page for this election, you have multiple ballots; correct?
14
15 A. If you hit the next button you go to the

next screen, you have multiple races appearing, yes.
16
17 Q. And which races appeared on the second page
18 of November 6th ballot?
A. Let's see --
19
20 Q. And just go ahead and look at the
[inaudible].
21
22 A. The November '18 -- for the 2018 ballot?
Q. Yes, sir.
23
24 A. There are four races present. Secretary of
25 State, attorney general, commissioner of agriculture,
0282
1 commissioner of insurance.
2 Q. Okay. So in those -- even though there
were four ballots on that screen, the voters were
3
4 able -- electronic and paper ballot voters had a
significantly higher participation rate than the
5
6 lieutenant governor's race; correct?
MR. TYSON: Objection, Your Honor. It's leading.
7
8 THE COURT: Sustained.
9 BY MR. BROWN:
10 Q. Mr. Barnes, did -- before the other races
11 that were on the second page have a higher voter
participation rate than lieutenant governor's race?
12
13 A. Based on the certified results from those
counties that there were fewer under-votes in those
14
15 races, yes.
Q. Okay. Thank you. Now the -- there was
16
17 some testimony about calibration issue. Are you with
18 me?
A. Uh-huh.
19
20 Q. Okay. And what -- one calibration problem
is a screen dysfunctioning, physical screen problem,
21
22 if you follow me, where what you're pushing doesn't
connect with the code -- with whatever electronics
23
24 [inaudible]. You with me? It's a physical problem
25 with the screen. Is that a calibration problem?
0283
1 A. Where -- the point that you're touching is
2 -- the response is showing in a different location?
The response where you touch --
3
4 Q. Or doesn't respond or it blinks or
something else, is that a calibration problem?
5
6 A. Potentially.
Q. The -- but there is software that tells the
7

8 computer, if you press this button, put another --
9 add one to the voter total for Mr. Duncan; correct?
10 A. The test screen basically is a grid. It's
11 a pressure-point grid. It says -- [inaudible]
analysis and, you know, it comes up with an average
12
13 of pressure it says, if you see pressure here, then
that should be indicated as a mark in this position
14
15 on the ballot.
Q. Right. And that's programming that does
16
17 that; correct? That says, if you [inaudible], add
18 one to the vote total for Amico, right?
A. Correct.
19
20 Q. Okay. And that program resides where?
A. That program resides on the DRE.
21
22 Q. On the individual DRE machines.
A. That's correct.
23
24 Q. And is that -- and is that -- and where on
25 those DRE machines does it reside?
0284
1 A. That resides on an EPROM chip that's
2 connected to the motherboard.
Q. And is the EPROM chip connected to the
3
4 motherboard of something that the State --
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, bear with me here. I just
5
6 have one question on this.
Q. Is the EPROM chip that you referred to that
7
8 contains the programming for doing that something the
9 State offered to allow the petitioners to inspect?
10 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, objection again. He's once
11 again [inaudible] re-litigate what the Court has already
ruled upon in discovery [inaudible].
12
13 THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MR. BROWN:
14
15 Q. Is the EPROM the same thing as the election
archive?
16
17 A. The election archive information is saved
18 to the internal memory on the device and the internal
memory is contained in EPROM. [inaudible]
19
20 Q. Okay. And the internal -- okay. Can you
say that again?
21
22 A. The internal memory is where the archive
file is maintained and the internal memory resides on
23
24 EPROM.
25 Q. Okay. So the answer is no if they're on
0285

1 the same thing, right?
2 A. The election archives is a data file that
is saved to an internal memory and the internal
3
4 memory is -- resides on the EPROM.
Q. And other things other than the election
5
6 archive are on the internal memory, right?
A. I believe I already said that.
7
8 Q. Just yes or no.
9 A. Yes.
10 Q. Okay. And one of those other things
11 controls the mapping of the vote from the touch
screen to the place in your system where it says, add
12
13 one more vote to somebody; correct?
A. Correct.
14
15 Q. Let me direct your attention to one of Mr.
Lindsey's printouts and I'll just give you -- you
16
17 don't need to look at it.
18 Of course you may, but the -- he was asking you
about write-in votes and how the lieutenant
19
20 governor's race had a lot of write-in votes, do you
know whether that was because Libertarians who were
21
22 voting on the lieutenant governor's race did not have
an option, therefore wrote in? Do you know --
23
24 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I believe that calls for
25 speculation [inaudible].
0286
1 THE COURT: That would be speculation. [inaudible]
2 argue that it's not. [inaudible].
MR. BROWN: Thank you.
3
4 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Now the EPROM chip is the erasable, read-
5
6 only [inaudible], right? Or I guess erasable program
[inaudible], right?
7
8 A. Well, that's what EPROM stands for, yes.
9 Q. Now does the software reside on an EPROM
10 chip or in [inaudible] memory, if you know?
11 A. I can't answer that in an absolutely -- I
don't [inaudible].
12
13 Q. Okay.
MR. BROWN: That's all I have [inaudible].
14
15 THE COURT: [inaudible]
16
17 RECROSS EXAMINATION
18 OF MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES
19
20 BY MALE:

Q. Mr. Brown -- I've just got [inaudible].
21
22 Mr. Brown did ask about whether it was a touch screen problem [inaudible] whether or not that could be a
23
24 system error; correct?
25 A. Correct.
0287
1 Q. That would have shown up if it was a system
2 problem in your parallel testing on Election Day; correct?
3
4 A. Correct.
Q. And you didn't detect any problems;
5
6 correct?
A. We did not detect any problems.
7
8 MALE: No further questions.
9
10 RECROSS EXAMINATION
11 OF MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES
12
13 BY MR. TYSON [inaudible]:
Q. Mr. Barnes, Mr. Brown asked you about when
14
15 the voter information was recorded. When you push a button, when you push the part of the screen, it's
16
17 [inaudible] cast ballot that is recorded in the
18 internal memory of the unit; correct?
A. That is correct.
19
20 Q. All right. Are you familiar with the notices that are posted to voter -- polling places
21
22 regarding pressing the cast ballot button?
A. I am.
23
24 Q. I'm going to hand you what's been marked as
25 Gwinnett 1. Is this the notice for voter to be
0288
1 posted in the polling places regarding pressing the
2 cast ballot button [inaudible], I'm sorry.
A. It is.
3
4 Q. And it very specifically says, you should notify a poll worker if you have a problem or
5
6 question about the unit before you press the cast ballot button; correct?
7
8 A. That's correct.
9 Q. Okay. Thank you.
10 MR. TYSON: I have no further questions. Oh, I'm
11 sorry, Your Honor. I tender Gwinnett 1.
MR. BROWN: No objection.
12
13 THE COURT: It's admitted.
THE COURT: Ms. Burwell, questions?

14
15 MS. BURWELL: [inaudible]
THE COURT: Mr. Brown.
16
17 MR. BROWN: I don't have anything further.
18 THE COURT: Okay. You may get down.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
19
20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, may this witness be excused?
THE COURT: Yes.
21
22 MR. BROWN: [inaudible]
THE COURT: Let me ask you, Mr. Brown, how much
23
24 longer do you think your case is going to last? I'm just
25 trying to work out logistics and various other things.
0289
1 MR. BROWN: If I may have literally 30 seconds, I can
2 give you an answer if I could talk to my clients.
THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.
3
4 MR. BROWN: Your Honor.
THE COURT: Go ahead.
5
6 MR. BROWN: We have about an hour with Mr. Barron
probably total. He is here. He has a -- Mr. Barron is an
7
8 employee of Fulton County. [inaudible] Fulton County. He
9 has a childcare issue and we would be happy that he
10 [inaudible] in the morning and then starting [inaudible].
11 THE COURT: Start in the morning. You've got about
another hour?
12
13 MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: What does the defendants -- I'm looking
14
15 -- can we finish tomorrow?
MALE: [inaudible], Your Honor. I don't think the
16
17 [inaudible].
18 THE COURT: You want to take an oath on that?
MALE: What?
19
20 THE COURT: Do you want to take an oath on that?
MALE: No, Your Honor. I will not take an oath and I
21
22 will not [inaudible] in my place.
I will not take an oath. But [inaudible] some of my
23
24 preceding circumstances --
25 THE COURT: Okay. Tomorrow, so you know -- now you
0290
1 have to understand I'm a senior judge [inaudible]
2 courtroom and therefore we won't have a courtroom
[inaudible] in two or three weeks with luck. But in the
3
4 meantime, they move us around.
So tomorrow we're back in the Magistrate's court on
5
6 the fourth floor. It's the old courtroom annex, 402.

Okay. Mr. Groban [ph] will be over there early to let you
7
8 in. I always bring my -- I've got so much stuff I'll
9 bring it.
10 MALE: Is there anywhere that we can store some of
11 our stuff? Because of the stuff I don't necessarily
[inaudible].
12
13 THE COURT: That's why I'm looking at all that but I
don't have a choice. They've got a mock trial in here
14
15 tomorrow.
MALE: No, I understand. [inaudible]
16
17 THE COURT: I don't know they were locked. Okay.
18 There's a jury room in here that you could use, but you'd
have to come real early to get in it and get it out.
19
20 MALE: I'd be happy to. I mean, that's --
[inaudible] take home.
21
22 THE COURT: Yeah. The other place that might do it
is the Bar office. Dekalb [inaudible] County Bar office
23
24 is right over there.
25 MALE: All right.
0291
1 THE COURT: It's on this floor and they might let you
2 keep some stuff in there.
MALE: I'll tell you, we'll [inaudible].
3
4 THE COURT: Well, I mean they might let you --
they've got two conference rooms over there. They're
5
6 empty. You go out here and turn left. You know where it
is Mr. [inaudible].
7
8 MALE: Yes. [inaudible]
9 THE COURT: Okay. [inaudible] I'll see you in M-42
10 tomorrow. And if you want to use the [inaudible] now he
11 gets here at the crack of dawn anyway.
MALE: Well, I mean, do you think that that's a safe
12
13 place? If you get here at the crack of dawn, would it be
okay [inaudible]? I mean, is it inconveniencing you?
14
15 [inaudible] It's just a couple of boxes. [inaudible]
MALE: I get here by 7:30, 20 minutes to 8.
16
17 THE COURT: We start at 9:00 tomorrow.
18 MALE: [inaudible]
THE COURT: Okay.
19
20 MALE: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: We'll see you tomorrow.
21
22 [Whereupon, the hearing was concluded at 4:53 p.m.]
23
24
25

0292

CERTIFICATE

STATE OF GEORGIA]
] SS.

COUNTY OF DOUGLAS]

I, PRISCILLA GARCIA, A COURT REPORTER IN THE STATE OF GEORGIA, DO HEREBY STATE THAT THE FOREGOING IS A TRUE AND ACCURATE TRANSCRIPT AS TAKEN DOWN BY ME AT THE TIME, PLACE, AND THE DATE HEREINBEFORE SET FORTH.

I DO FURTHER STATE THAT I AM NEITHER A RELATIVE NOR EMPLOYEE NOR ATTORNEY NOR COUNSEL OF ANY OF THE PARTIES TO THIS ACTION, AND THAT I AM NEITHER A RELATIVE NOR EMPLOYEE OF SUCH ATTORNEY OR COUNSEL, AND THAT I AM NOT FINANCIALLY INTERESTED IN THIS ACTION.

WITNESS MY HAND IN THE CITY OF DOUGLASVILLE, COUNTY OF DOUGLAS, STATE OF GEORGIA, ON THIS 28th DAY OF JANUARY 2019.

BY: _____

PRISCILLA GARCIA, COURT REPORTER
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF GEORGIA

COMMISSION NO.: W-00379933
COMMISSION EXPIRES: 08/14/2022

CERTIFICATE NO.: 5503-2677-8304-9216
LICENSE EXPIRES: 04/01/2019

0293

I, Chris Naaden, a transcriber, hereby declare under penalty of perjury that to the best of my ability the above 291 pages contain a full, true and correct transcription of the tape-recording that I received regarding the event listed on the caption on page 1.

I further declare that I have no interest in the event of the action.

January 28, 2019

Chris Naaden

(Transcript of Hearing Proceedings)

1 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY

2 STATE OF GEORGIA

3

4 COALITION FOR GOOD . CIVIL ACTION
GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. . FILE NO.: 2018-CV-313418
5

6 MARTIN, SMYTH DUVAL, and .
JEANNE DUFORT, . Taken at:
7

8 Plaintiffs, . Superior Court of Cobb County

9 vs. . 70 Haynes Street

10 ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, . Courtroom 2000

11 Secretary of State of . Marietta, Georgia 30090
Georgia, et. al. .
12

13 Defendants. .
. . . .
14

15
TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING PROCEEDINGS
16

17 THURSDAY, JANUARY 17, 2019

18 9:02 a.m. to 4:53 p.m.

19

20 STATE OF GEORGIA SENIOR JUDGE ADELE P. GRUBBS
REPORTED BY:
21

22 PRISCILLA GARCIA, COURT REPORTER
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF GEORGIA
23

24 TRANSCRIBED BY:

25 CHRISTIAN NAADEN

1

2

3

4 APPEARANCES:

Plaintiff's Counsel: BRUCE P. BROWN, ESQUIRE

5

6 BRUCE P. BROWN LAW
FLOATAWAY BUSINESS COMPLEX

7

8 1123 ZONOLITE ROAD N.E.

9 SUITE 6

10 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30306

11 404-881-0700
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com

12

13

Plaintiff's Counsel: MARILYN MARKS, ESQUIRE

14

15 COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE
7035 MARCHING DUCK DRIVE

16

17 SUITE E-504

18 CHARLOTTE, NORTH CAROLINA 28210
704-552-1618

19

20 marilyn@uscgg.org

21

22 Defendant's Counsel: JOHN BELINFANTE, ESQUIRE
ROBBINS, ROSS, ALLOT, BELINFANTE

23

24 & LITTLEFIELD, LLC

25 500 14TH STREET N.W.

1 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30318
2 404-856-3262
jbelinfante@robbinsfirm.com
3
4 CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:
5
6 Defendant's Counsel: VINCENT R. RUSSO, ESQUIRE
ROBBINS, ROSS, ALLOT, BELINFANTE
7
8 & LITTLEFIELD, LLC
9 999 PEACHTREE STREET N.E.
10 SUITE 1120
11 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30309
404-856-3260
12
13 vrusso@robbinsfirm.com
14
15 Defendant's Associate Counsel:
ALEXANDER F. DENTON, ESQUIRE
16
17 ROBBINS, ROSS, ALLOT, BELINFANTE
18 & LITTLEFIELD, LLC
500 14TH STREET N.W.
19
20 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30318
404-856-3276
21
22 adenton@robbinsfirm.com
23
24
25

1

2

3

4 CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:

5

6 Defendant's Counsel: EDWARD H. LINDSEY, JR., ESQUIRE
DENTONS US, LLP
7

8 303 PEACHTREE STREET N.E.

9 SUITE 5300

10 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30308

11 404-527-4580
edward.lindsey@dentons.com
12

13 Defendant's Counsel: SAMUEL S. OLENS, ESQUIRE
14

15 DENTONS US, LLP
303 PEACHTREE STREET N.E.
16

17 SUITE 5300

18 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30308
404-527-4108
19

20 sam.olens@dentons.com

21

22 Defendant's Counsel: KAYE WOODARD BURWELL, ESQUIRE
OFFICE OF THE COUNTY ATTORNEY
23

24 141 PRYOR STREET S.W.

25 SUITE 4038

1 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30303

2 404-612-0251
kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov

3

4 CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:

5

6 Defendant's Counsel: BRYAN P. TYSON, ESQUIRE
STRICKLAND, BROCKINGTON &

7

8 LEWIS, LLP

9 1170 PEACHTREE STREET N.E.

10 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30309

11 404-219-3160
bryan.tyson@sbllaw.com

12

13 Defendant's Co-Counsel: RICHARD A. CAROTHERS, ESQUIRE

14

15 CAROTHERS & MITCHELL, LLC
1809 BUFORD HIGHWAY

16

17 BUFORD, GEORGIA 30518

18 770-932-3552
richard.carothers@carsmith.com

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4 I N D E X

WITNESS	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
WITNESSES CALLED ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF				
Marilyn Marks	31	38,51,54	43,56	50
Sara LeClerc	60,72	74,77,78		
Jeanne Dufort	80			
Christopher Brill	84	89,91,127,128	93,120	113,114
Matthew Bernhard	137	150,188,198	156,187,204	
Michael Barnes	210,243	254,276	279	286,287
WITNESSES CALLED ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT				
None				

EXHIBITS MARKED ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF

NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE OFFERED	PAGE RECEIVED
P-1	- Secretary of State Email	41	Not Admitted
P-2	- LBJ Notes Excel Spreadsheet	64	66
P-3	- Brill's Affidavit	98	98
P-7	- National Academies of Science Engineering and Medicine's report, Secured Boot, from 2018	168	168
P-8	- Center for Election Systems at		

1 Kennesaw State Email

2 Communication 2017 243 243

3

4 EXHIBITS MARKED ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT

5

NO.		DESCRIPTION	PAGE OFFERED	PAGE RECEIVED
1	-	Duncan-Tweet Regarding Fundraising	52	54
2	-	Ballot Design	236	236
3	-	Base Precinct with Reports	264	265
4	-	Base Precinct with Reports	264	265
5	-	Active Voter TS Status	266	266
6	-	Active Voter TS Status	266	266
7	-	Certified Returns Fulton County	273	273
8	-	Certified Returns Gwinnett County	273	273
9	-	Certified Returns Dekalb County	273	273
10	-	Official Election Returns 2010	273	274
11	-	Official Election Returns 2014	273	274
12	-	Official Election Returns 2018	273	274
13	-	Turnout Percentage Document	273	274

6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1
COBB COUNTY, GEORGIA
2
THURSDAY, January 17, 2018 - 9:02 a.m.
3
4
* * * * * * *
5
6
THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.
7
MALE: Good morning, Your Honor.
8
THE COURT: Take your seats, if you will.
9
THE COURT: First of all, if you are here for the
10
uncontested divorce calendar, that includes name changes
11
and all those kind of things, you are in the wrong place.
12
That is in Juvenile Court Number One. You have to go back
13
across the bridge if you're in here by mistake.
14
Okay. And we have some recordings. Rule 22. Is
15
everybody in here on -- you're here on the -- supposed to
16
be some others. Ms. McDonald.
17
MS. MCDONALD: Yes, ma'am.
18
THE COURT: You're here. Mr. Wilke?
19
MR. WILKE: Yes, ma'am.
20
THE COURT: Yeah, he's here. And who's the other
21
one?
22
THE COURT: Kauffman. Mr. Kauffman.
23
MR. KAUFFMAN: That's me.
24
THE COURT: How'd you get up there?
25

1 MR. KAUFFMAN: I asked Mr. Davis earlier if it was
2 okay if I sit here because recording.
THE COURT: And you did what, Mr. Davis?
3
4 MR. DAVIS: [Inaudible].
THE COURT: Why did he get preference than everybody
5
6 else?
MR. DAVIS: No one else asked.
7
8 THE COURT: Nobody else asked. Okay. Good statement.
9 Anybody else want to come in and [inaudible] -- okay.
10 We'll do that.
11 This is mainly on the case of Rhonda Martin, Smyth
Duval, and Jeanne Dufort versus Geoff Duncan, Fulton
12
13 County Board of Registration and Elections, Gwinnett
County Board of Registration and Elections. Mr. Brown,
14
15 where are your clients?
MR. BROWN: Jeanne Dufort is here.
16
17 THE COURT: She's what?
18 MR. BROWN: Your question was what, Your Honor?
THE COURT: Where are your clients?
19
20 MR. BROWN: My client, this is Jeanne Dufort.
THE COURT: Okay.
21
22 MR. BROWN: She is the plaintiff in the case.
THE COURT: Okay. And are the other two here too?
23
24 MR. BROWN: Smyth Duvall.
25 THE COURT: Mr. Duvall is here?

1 MR. BROWN: He is here. Yes, Your Honor.

2 THE COURT: Okay. Just trying to identify. Do you need seats to bring them to the table?

3

4 MR. BROWN: We're sort of crowded so this is fine.
THE COURT: You all need to be at this table?

5

6 MR. BROWN: No. He doesn't -- you're fine. I mean, we're okay.

7

8 THE COURT: You are?

9 MR. BROWN: Yeah.

10 THE COURT: And then on this side. Everybody's

11 together.
MR. BROWN: I would like to make a request that

12

13 Marilyn Marks, who is the executive director of the former plaintiff, Coalition, and it's been -- helping me in this

14

15 case, it would be an -- it would be a great assistance to me, just professionally, if she could also ask specific --

16

17 THE COURT: She can't sit at the table.

18 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: She can't sit at the table.

19

20 MALE: My only concern is that we do intend to invoke the Rule. She going be a witness?

21

22 MR. BROWN: She may and we will decide whether she goes first or not. So she can stay out here.

23

24 MR. LINDSEY: If she stays -- if she's the first

25 witness then I would object to her staying.

1 THE COURT: I'm sorry?

2 MR. LINDSEY: If she's the first witness knows the Rule, which I guess I have no objections --

3

4 THE COURT: Okay.
MR. LINDSEY: -- to her staying.

5

6 THE COURT: Okay. We'll follow that. Everybody else agree? I see Fulton and Gwinnett?

7

8 MS. BURWELL: Yes, Your Honor.

9 THE COURT: Okay.

10 MR. LINDSEY: [Inaudible] she could come in.

11 THE COURT: Okay. On the Rule 22 request, you cannot take anything at each individual table to the lobbies.

12

13 Okay. I know you were here last time and you did a good job, but you can't -- or any conversation between lawyers

14

15 and witnesses. You have to honor the confidentiality.
MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.

16

17 THE COURT: Okay. You all are standing up. I think

18 that Mr. Brown we filed a mandatory trial.
MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.

19

20 THE COURT: And I know I got a response from Fulton County.

21

22 MALE: Yeah. We also have a response as well that simply mimic the county's and we are filing it today.

23

24 THE COURT: Okay.

25 MALE: Can I approach the bench?

1 THE COURT: Mr. Groton does that. There's no clerk
2 because this is a Fulton County case. So he has to do that. Okay. I guess -- will I hear first from is -- let
3
4 me hear from -- Mr. Brown, why do you think juror demand is appropriate?
5
6 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the statute is very clear. It says that the case should be tried to the court unless
7
8 a jury demand is made. And that is explicit and without
9 exception. And we have made a demand for a jury trial and
10 the facts that will be tried, or triable to a jury both in
11 the election case and in any other case.
THE COURT: Okay.
12
13 MR. BROWN: So that's our request. Thank you.
THE COURT: What order are you going in over at that
14
15 time?
MALE: She filed -- Fulton County filed first of all
16
17 --
18 THE COURT: Are you Ms. Blackwell?
MS. BURWELL: Burwell. Burwell.
19
20 THE COURT: Burwell. I'm trying to get names right. Okay.
21
22 MS. BURWELL: Kaye. Right. Yes. Kaye Burwell on behalf of Fulton County.
23
24 MALE: Judge --
25 THE COURT: I got it.

1 MALE: Judge, I'm sorry. We have one more Rule 22
2 from the AJC that you haven't had a chance to review.
THE COURT: So I have not written [inaudible].
3
4 Anybody -- who's here from the AJC?
FEMALE: I am.
5
6 THE COURT: Okay. Anybody have an objection to the
AJC covering this? Mr. Brown.
7
8 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor.
9 MR. LINDSEY: In fact, they're more than welcome to
10 sit in the jury.
11 THE COURT: You're welcome to sit in the jury box.
Come sit over here if you want to.
12
13 MS. BURWELL: Think at a better angle.
THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.
14
15 MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, it is Fulton County's
position that the demand for a jury trial by the
16
17 petitioners is insufficient. We cited the Court to the
18 Henderson case, which clearly provides that there is a
two-step analysis for determining whether or not a jury
19
20 trial is available to a petitioner and they have satisfied
the first, which was merely to demand.
21
22 They haven't satisfied the second. They haven't
provided this Court with any information or argument to
23
24 support that under other laws at issue in the case, there
25 is a matter upon which they are entitled to have a trial

1 by jury. And that's the second part and they haven't
2 presented this Court with anything that would be sufficient for them to satisfy that burden. And so based
3
4 upon the statute itself, which is 21-2-522, as well as the Henderson case, because they utterly failed to allege
5
6 issues that would be triable to a jury, we believe that a jury trial demand is inappropriate.
7
8 Further, Your Honor, as we pointed out in our
9 response, the statute provides that if there are issues
10 that need to be tried, a jury from that particular county
11 needs to be impaneled. And in this instance, they are -- they have allegations in their complaint about Worth
12
13 County, Henry County, DeKalb County, and perhaps other counties that we don't know about yet. And so the Court
14
15 would be required if they had alleged something that was triable to a jury, would need to impanel juries from each
16
17 of those jurisdictions in order to hear whatever issues
18 would need to be heard.
And for that reason, Your Honor, obviously the ins of
19
20 the election contest statute, which is the swift and expedient determination of a contest, would be forwarded.
21
22 Thank you.
THE COURT: Thank you. Any additional arguments?
23
24 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, Bryan Tyson on behalf of
25 Gwinnett County, just very briefly. As the Fulton County

1 counsel, Ms. Burwell, has correctly pointed out, the cases
2 that they have cited control there is no constitutional right to a jury trial in an election contest case.
3
4 And I think under the circumstances that the demand only came after the motion of continuance had been denied
5
6 in the case that this is an attempt by the plaintiffs to delay this case when this case under the Election Code
7
8 needs to be resolved quickly and brought to a conclusion,
9 especially considering that the Legislature is already in
10 session and the lieutenant governor is presiding this
11 morning, even in the State Senate. Thank you.
THE COURT: Anything from you, Mr. Lindsey?
12
13 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we simply just joined with two other co-defendants with their positions.
14
15 THE COURT: Mr. Brown.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, quickly, three points.
16
17 Gwinnett raises the timeliness issue. Again, the statute
18 is clear and the demand needs to be made before the case is called.
19
20 Second, counsel for Fulton County says that we haven't made allegations about facts that are triable to a
21
22 jury. We have a very detailed fact-bound complaint and each of the factual allegations in there, in any civil
23
24 case, would be triable to a jury and I know of no
25 exception. For example, were significant programming

1 errors made? Can the vote tallies be reconciled? Are
2 there signs of malicious manipulation of the vote tallies? All of those things are factual issues. Thank you, Your
3
4 Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. I strike the demand for jury.
5
6 This is a bench trial. It does not comport with the statute. Okay. You want to make an opening statement --
7
8 whoa. Let's not do that. Okay.
9 MR. LINDSEY: I'm sorry. Sorry. And just as a
10 reminder, Your Honor, we have invoked the Rule.
11 THE COURT: The Rule is invoked. So witnesses, you have need to be outside.
12
13 MR. BROWN: Starting now, Your Honor?
THE COURT: Starting right now.
14
15 MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Starting right now.
16
17 MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, on behalf of Fulton County,
18 we have our [inaudible] representative, Mr. Barron. We'd like him to be able to stay to my --
19
20 THE COURT: He's who?
MS. BURWELL: Mr. Barron. Mr. Richard Barron. He's
21
22 the election superintendent for --
THE COURT: Is he being told to stay?
23
24 MS. BURWELL: -- Fulton County.
25 MR. BROWN: Clarification, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Yes, sir.

2 MR. BROWN: We have two expert witnesses and typically they are not sequestered because they may be
3 relying upon the client testimony. And so we would request Your Honor's clarification that it does not apply
5 to expert witnesses.

6 MR. LINDSEY: And we believe that in this case that
7 it should unless they intend -- we have no objection to
9 them remaining in the courtroom --

10 THE COURT: Once they testify.

11 MR. LINDSEY: -- once they testify in case they need to be brought back up on rebuttal based on any fact that
12 need to be brought. But in terms of their initial testimony, we would ask the rule be [inaudible].
14

15 THE COURT: I think Mr. Brown, you -- we do that when you have experts and they have experts. We have dueling
16 experts, but you've got two of the same. So once they've
18 testified, they can stay.

19 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

20 THE COURT: Okay. And that means you cannot discuss each other's testimony.
21

22 MR. LINDSEY: And for that matter, Your Honor, I just wanted to clarify for both sides. Once the witness has
23 testified, as long as there is an assurance by the lawyer
25 that that person will not be brought back up for any

1 further reason, I -- any purpose, but I don't have any
2 problem with them remaining in the courtroom once they've testified.
3
4 THE COURT: Okay.
MR. BROWN: My understanding of the rule, Your Honor,
5
6 is that if a witness is in the courtroom, the witness is thereafter disqualified from being called.
7
8 MR. LINDSEY: Yeah. That's all I'm saying.
9 THE COURT: That's correct.
10 MR. LINDSEY: But I'm saying I don't have a problem
11 with them staying after they've testified.
THE COURT: Okay. Opening statement, Mr. Brown.
12
13 Open? Want to make an opening statement?
MR. BROWN: Oh, yes, Your Honor. Initially, we -- as
14
15 a matter of procedure, we have still pending a motion to compel.
16
17 THE COURT: I've denied that motion to compel.
18 MR. BROWN: Okay. In addition -- just for the record, Your Honor, we would like to make a motion for
19
20 additional discovery under the Civil Practice Act.
THE COURT: Sir, I've denied all that.
21
22 MR. BROWN: I understand.
THE COURT: We've been through it. I looked. I have
23
24 17 filings in this case in the last two days; okay?
25 Nobody's going to believe my list of work in this case.

1 Those all have been denied. They are preserved for the
2 record.
MR. BROWN: Right.
3
4 THE COURT: I've ruled on them. The record is clear that -- I've ruled. If that's what you're concerned
5
6 about, I've ruled; okay?
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, the
7
8 issue in this case is whether the election was so
9 defective as to place in doubt the result of the
10 lieutenant governor's contest. This case is not like any
11 other election case. In every other election case the evidence of defectiveness, of a defective election, is
12
13 hard documentary evidence. It is misspelled names on an absentee ballot.
14
15 It is a person who was ineligible to vote. It is some flaw in the electoral process that can be
16
17 demonstrated with hard evidence in court. The State of
18 Georgia has chosen a voting system which embeds in it the results, the true results of an election never to be
19
20 discovered after the vote is made.
The distinction between this case and every other
21
22 voting case is that there is no tangible evidence of the result of the election. There is none at all. Instead,
23
24 all we have are traces, secondary evidence, giving some
25 hint as to whether or not the voting totals are correct or

1 not.

2 And what are those and what are those hints here? What evidence do we have that this vote is reliable? We

3

4 have none, Your Honor. What -- instead, what we have, and our experts will testify -- Your Honor, is there a issue

5

6 that I should address or is there --

THE COURT: Mm-hmm?

7

8 MR. BROWN: Are you -- let me --

9 THE COURT: A gentleman just came in and I'm not sure

10 if he's trying to record, that's the only thing.

11 MR. BROWN: Okay.

THE COURT: Sir, do you have anything to record?

12

13 MALE: No, Your Honor. I was sitting back here and I was having trouble hearing so I moved up.

14

15 THE COURT: No problem. Go ahead.

MR. BROWN: Sorry, Your Honor.

16

17 THE COURT: Sure.

18 MR. BROWN: Thank you. The --

THE COURT: I'm just trying to preserve the

19

20 courtroom. Go ahead. But I'm hearing you.

MR. BROWN: But what the evidence we do have are the

21

22 traces of what happened and all of that evidence shows that this election was defective. The most obvious

23

24 evidence is the vote patterns. And as Your Honor has read

25 in our briefs already, these voting patterns were aberrant

1 in the extreme.

2 And the reason we know they were aberrant, the reasons we know they were aberrant is that this has never

3

4 -- nothing like this has ever happened before, but to me most probative is that the pattern that we see in the

5

6 electronic voting, the under-vote for the lieutenant governor's contest, is not seen in the paper votes. We

7

8 have 200,000 paper votes, Your Honor.

9 It's a huge sample of people in all walks of life,

10 all around the state, of every political persuasion, and

11 for some reason they all, or 99 percent of them, voted in the lieutenant governor's race. One or a little bit more

12

13 than one or less than one out of 100 decided not to vote. Historically, that is exactly what you would expect. For

14

15 the last 20 years, the consistent under-vote for the lieutenant governor's contest in Georgia is .8 percent,

16

17 less than 1 in 100.

18 In Georgia, the history is if you're going to take the trouble to vote, and not everybody does sadly, but if

19

20 you're going to take the trouble to vote, whether in-person, early, absentee, or live, is that 1 out of 100

21

22 will not vote for the lieutenant governor. That is what happened in the paper ballots this year. One out of 100

23

24 decided not to vote for the lieutenant governor's race.

25 Now for some reason we have many, many thousands more on

1 the electronic ballot, a gap of 4 or 5 percent in the
2 electronic vote.
There is no legitimate explanation for that. It
3
4 could not have happened by chance. The samples are too
large for that to be simply random chance. So it's not
5
6 chance. Could it be because the lieutenant governor's
race was not very interesting to people? There's no
7
8 reason to believe that, Your Honor. And even if you were
9 inclined to believe that prima facie, then why did 99 out
10 of 100 vote on paper?
11 The same goes for every other explanation for this
anomaly. Let the defendants make that out. In a criminal
12
13 case a convicted -- a defendant may be sent to the
electric chair if the prosecution eliminates every
14
15 plausible alternative to their guilt. Here what we will
do, and what the evidence will show, is that every other
16
17 plausible explanation other than machine defect caused
18 this other vote and because it was machine defect it's a
defective election; so defective that its results are in
19
20 doubt.
A couple things to clarify our position to make sure
21
22 that Your Honor understands what our claim is. The
defendants claim that this is a matter of simple math.
23
24 Our response to that is two-fold. First is that their
25 simple math is wrong. It's just bad arithmetic, Your

1 Honor. Our second response -- and we'll explain that to
2 Your Honor in the course of these proceedings.
3 The second response to that is that it's not simple
4 math. You can't -- Your Honor understands this quite well
5 is that it's not simple math if you have multiple unknown
6 variables. Because this election was so defective the
7 State does not know the true vote got Mr. Duncan, does not
8 know the true for Ms. Amico. And third, it doesn't know
9 why there's a gap in the under-vote.
10 Our position isn't that there were some votes that
11 were lost. Our position is that the entire contents is
12 tainted because the under-vote shows that the machines
13 simply were not working -- that they were defective; so
14 defective as to cast the entire election in doubt and
15 require a new election.
16 The second point I wanted to make sure we were clear
17 on in terms of our position is that what we need to show
18 today and tomorrow is that the election was defective. We
19 do not need to show malice. We do not need to show that
20 the defendants or anybody else did something intentionally
21 to rig the vote or anything like that. That's not what
22 this case is about.
23 This case is about machines and whether or not they
24 worked and the evidence will show manifestly that they did
25 not. Your Honor, the course of these proceedings, and I

1 caution your understanding of this, is that this argument
2 is not rearguing the motions that we have lost, but is instead to take the case as it's presented right now. And
3
4 that is the plaintiffs have shown prima facie and will show prima facie that this election was defective.
5
6 I call Your Honor's attention to OCGA 24-14-22. That statute says, and I'll quote it, "If a party has evidence
7
8 in such party's power and within such party's reach by
9 which he or she may repel a claim or charge against him,
10 but omits to produce it or if a party has more certain and
11 satisfactory evidence in his or her power but relies on that which is of weaker or inferior nature, a presumption
12
13 arises that the charge or claim against such party is well founded; but this presumption may be rebutted."
14
15 Your Honor, what this law -- this law is commonsense but it's also fundamentally important in terms of fairness
16
17 and accuracy and decision-making by the courts. We will
18 show that there's no plausible explanation other than machine malfunction. The defendants can escape that
19
20 charge if they prove that they have investigated, they've done their own, and they can bring into court evidence
21
22 that this -- these machines are working.
Instead, they will not do so and as Your Honor is
23
24 well aware, they have resisted doing so, and they have not
25 done so in discovery. And so when the plaintiff makes his

1 case, or her cas, and the defendant's position is, well,
2 it's just a black box; we don't know what's in that black box, then the plaintiff wins, Your Honor.
3
4 Your Honor, in terms of the order of proof we have some witnesses -- the sequence of the witnesses may change
5
6 a little bit because of the sequestration. In addition, we will have applied witnesses, people who actually
7
8 attended -- actually voted or trying to vote. We will
9 have an expert on forensics and on these particular DRE
10 machines, and we will have an expert on the numbers and on
11 the politics behind the numbers.
And at the conclusion of this matter, we believe that
12
13 the Court will be authorized, if not compelled, to conclude that this election was so defective as to place
14
15 the results in doubt. Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: [Inaudible] Mr. Lindsey? Okay.
16
17 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, on
18 behalf of myself and Mr. Olens, we are here representing the Lieutenant Governor Geoff Duncan who won his election
19
20 on November 6, 2018, by a significant margin, 123,172 votes.
21
22 The statutory in case law is clear as set forth in the case of Hart versus Crawford, which said, and I quote,
23
24 "The setting aside of an election in which the people have
25 chosen the representative is a dramatic remedy that should

1 not be taken lightly but instead should be reserved for
2 cases in which the person challenging an election has clearly established that the violation has placed the
3 result of the election in doubt."
4 This requires, Your Honor, more than mere speculation
5 or guess work. More than saying that things might have possibly happened but they must show by clear evidence
6 that a -- that some type of problem occurred and that it
7 was a problem of great enough significance to wipe out a
8 margin of victory of over 123,000 votes.
9 In this case, not only are the plaintiffs not going to be able to show that they were the breach of Georgia's
10 election system, but we will also show why Ms. Amico received the vote counts she did, which I want to state
11 right here at the beginning, which is that within the range of the other unsuccessful Democratic candidates in
12 2006 [sic]. Ms. Amico received 1,828,566 votes.
13 Granted, this was less than the votes received by the more profiled Democratic candidates, such as Ms. Abrams,
14 but it is a vote count much higher than four other Democratic candidates and is within a few thousand votes
15 of two other ones. So in other words, she -- her vote count as a Democratic in the 2016 election was right
16 square in the middle among all the various Democrats who
17 ran statewide here in Georgia. That's number one.

1 Number two, in terms we will be able to establish why
2 the vote count for Ms. Amico was what it was and why there was a differentiation between the paper and electronic
3
4 ballots. The fact of the matter is, Your Honor, we were dealing with an ahistorical election; and never before has
5
6 Georgia had this many new voters vote in one election. In 2014, there were approximately 98,000 new voters that
7
8 voted.
9 In 2018, there were almost four times that number
10 that voted. The fact of the matter is when you have this
11 many new voters, you have a greater number amount of confusion that takes place. You also have the fact that
12
13 the way in which the ballot was configured on paper ballots versus the electronic system could have added to
14
15 that confusion inadvertently by virtue of how the various individuals on the ballot were placed.
16
17 In the case of the electronic ballots, they were in a
18 -- what you might call an east-west position. In the paper ballots they were in a north-south position right
19
20 next to each other, which would add to the confusion for many voters, particularly many new voters here in Georgia
21
22 as to whether or not Ms. Amico and Ms. Abrams were running on a ticket.
23
24 This is aggravated further by the way in which Ms.
25 Amico chose to run her campaign, which was to seek to run

1 as a team with Ms. Abrams. So that alone would suffice to
2 show why there was a difference between the paper and the electronic ballots. In addition, quite frankly, unlike a
3 lot of the other candidates, Ms. Amico received a lot of negative press in the closing days of the campaign.
4
5 And that closing -- that negative press was
6 particularly being likely to dampen Democratic enthusiasm
7 for her candidacy given the fact that there were
8 allegations while she was a senior officer of a
9 corporation, that that corporation was engaged in
10 systematic racial discrimination.
11 So there were multiple reasons, Your Honor, not
12 simply one as to why there was the down-ballot voter gap
13 that existed in this race as opposed to others. And we
14 will look forward to presenting those to you. Thank you,
15 Your Honor.
16
17 THE COURT: Okay. [inaudible]?
18 MS. BURWELL: Thank you, Your Honor. The Fulton
19 County Board of Registrations and Elections is charged
20 with following State law and to follow State law in order
21 to ensure that citizens of Fulton County receive a fair
22 and accurate election when they go to the polls. And we
23 believe the evidence will show that the Fulton County
24 Board of Registrations and Elections did just that.
25 We believe the evidence will show that the Board

1 accurately recorded the will of the Fulton County voters.

2 As this Court knows, there's no such thing as a perfect election. There never has been and there never will be.

3

4 But in this instance, these petitioners have a heavy burden and a burden we believe the evidence, with respect

5

6 to Fulton County, will now bear out their claims. And that's because the case is very clear that not every

7

8 garden variety irregularity entitles anyone to a new

9 election and that's because elections are designed to

10 carry out the will of the people.

11 And again, we believe that this election did just that. And at the close of the evidence we're going to ask

12

13 the Court to deny petitioner's request.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Tyson.

14

15 MR. TYSON: Thank you, Your Honor. Bryan Tyson for Gwinnett County. We are in agreement with the prior two

16

17 defendants. The key point for this Court is that the

18 burden on the plaintiffs is high.

The election is presumed valid. These are the

19

20 certified results, unless the plaintiffs can bring forward specific evidence of what was the irregularity that could

21

22 have caused the result to be placed in doubt, they cannot succeed in their claim. The availability of any number of

23

24 plausible explanations, which the evidence will show, will

25 defeat that. The ballot design question, the fact that

1 this election was the first time in a governor's election
2 that we've not had a U.S. Senate race also happening at the same time, and so that affected the ballot design
3
4 worked and affected the way -- on the DRE's particularly that voters were looking at those ballots.
5
6 Voter decisions obviously could play a role. Lack of a third party candidate could play a role. Any number of
7
8 factors could explain the dip in the votes for Ms. Amico's
9 candidacy. And the Supreme Court is abundantly clear that
10 speculation alone is not enough to overturn an election.
11 Plaintiffs have the burden of showing hard evidence, of demonstrating exactly what the cause was, and also
12
13 demonstrating that literally no other cause could have happened. They have to come forward with affirmative
14
15 evidence that demonstrate that fact. The constitutional claims, Your Honor, had been dismissed.
16
17 The only thing left in this case is a statutory
18 election contest and that is ultimately a mathematical issue. 123,000 votes have to be shown to be in question
19
20 in addition to the -- so that the plaintiffs can carry their burden and if they're not able to demonstrate that
21
22 or show an irregularity that places the entire result in doubt through hard evidence, then they will not be able to
23
24 succeed in their claims and we do not believe they'll be
25 able to and believe the election should be upheld. Thank

1 you.

2 THE COURT: First witness, Mr. Brown.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, we will call Marilyn Marks as
3

4 our first witness.
THE COURT: Would you swear the witness, please, Mr.
5

6 Brown?
MR. BROWN: Ms. Marks, please raise your right hand.
7

8 Do you promise to tell the truth, the whole truth, and

9 nothing but the truth?

10 MS. MARKS: Yes.

11 MR. BROWN: Have a seat.

12

13 Thereupon:

14

15 MARILYN MARKS

16

17 was called as a witness by the Petitioner; and,

18 having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

19

20 DIRECT EXAMINATION
OF MARILYN MARKS

21

22
BY MR. BROWN:
23

24 Q. Please state your full name for the record?

25 A. Marilyn Marks.

1 Q. Ms. Marks, by whom are you currently
2 employed?
A. I am the executive director of Coalition
3
4 for Good Governance.
Q. And what is the Coalition for Good
5
6 Governance?
A. Coalition for Good Governance is a
7
8 nonprofit, charitable organization, non-partisan
9 organization, that works on election transparency,
10 election integrity, voter privacy. We have a few
11 core issues of that nature that we really focused on
and we're a small organization.
12
13 Q. Is electronic voting one of those core
issues?
14
15 A. In fact, we probably -- yes, it is. In
fact, we've probably spent the better part of the
16
17 last three years with 100 percent of our resources
18 focused on problems with electronic voting in the
fact that electronic voting is not verifiable --
19
20 cannot be on the table --
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we object [inaudible] --
21
22 THE COURT: [inaudible] not responsive to the
question.
23
24 MR. BROWN: That's right. That's fine. Thank you.
25 THE COURT: Just answer -- you'll get there.

1 MR. BROWN: That's right.

2 THE COURT: He'll get to everything you need to say. Give him a chance; okay?

3

4 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. And what in particular about electronic

5

6 voting has caused the Coalition to focus upon that as one of their issues?

7

8 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'm going to object. She

9 hasn't been qualified as an expert and this isn't a case

10 as to whether or not there are doubts. It's the terms of

11 whether or not electronic voting versus paper ballots or some other system would be better. That's an argument

12

13 that needs to be done -- as a matter of fact, being done down at the State Capitol.

14

15 The question today is whether or not there was an actual taking place in this election, in this particular

16

17 election. So there are two objections. Number one, she

18 hasn't been qualified as an expert; and number two, the question is irrelevant to the issues before the Court at

19

20 this time.
THE COURT: Mr. Brown?

21

22 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I asked her a factual question as to what the Coalition's focus was on and she

23

24 was answering it.

25 THE COURT: Well, but she got beyond -- she started

1 to testify as an expert and she can't testify as an expert
2 unless you can qualify her. I understand your position and I know what the law is. I'm going to give them a
3
4 little latitude in this case.
MR. BROWN: I understand. Sure.
5
6 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. What is your -- I'm going to ask you some
7
8 questions about your background. And first, your
9 organization is based where?
10 A. Yes. Our headquarters is in Charlotte,
11 North Carolina.
Q. And what is your personal connection to
12
13 Georgia?
A. Well, actually I had my business career
14
15 here before I retired.
Q. And what was your business career?
16
17 A. I was the CEO and owner of a truck-trailer
18 manufacturing reorganization and we're headquartered here in Atlanta.
19
20 Q. And skipping back to -- so you've lived many years in Georgia; correct?
21
22 A. I lived in -- I plan to move back shortly.
Q. Okay.
23
24 A. I've been spending the vast majority of my
25 time here for the last four or five months.

1 Q. Ms. Marks, what is your experience
2 generally with electronic voting systems and whether that's a good method of recording votes?
3
4 A. Okay. Ten years ago I got very interested in elections; in fact, ran for Mayor of Aspen,
5
6 Colorado. And at that time, they were using the Diebold voting system that is also used in Georgia.
7
8 They were using it slightly differently. But at that
9 time is when I began to be concerned about the
10 electronic portion of the system.
11 And I tested the system as part of my work as a citizen and I became an election judge, and ended up
12
13 spending a considerable amount of time getting to know the system. And over the last ten years I
14
15 devoted myself -- having lost the election I devoted myself to the problems that were begin to be
16
17 uncovered in my own loss in the election.
18 And I have spent the last ten years doing work around troubled elections [inaudible] organization
19
20 tends to called into troubled elections, particularly when there are unverifiable electronic elections
21
22 where the results cannot be audited like this one.
Q. Have you ever been involved in a post-
23
24 election audit?
25 A. I have.

1 THE WITNESS: Your Honor, would it be all right if I
2 have a cup of water?
MR. BROWN: Yeah. Here. I'll get that, Your Honor.
3
4 THE COURT: Actually, your lawyer's got some.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
5
6 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. I believe you were testifying about post-
7
8 election audits, Ms. Marks.
9 A. Yes. I have been involved in various forms
10 of post-election audits starting with my own loss in
11 the election in 2009 and then primarily in Colorado,
although some in North Carolina, South Carolina, and
12
13 whereas in Georgia there is no post-election audit.
But, yes, I've been both in server; I've helped plan;
14
15 I've a poll watcher for post-election audits.
I've trained what in Colorado called canvas
16
17 board members who conduct the post-election audit.
18 So I've trained those for Libertarian Party and some
of the nonpartisan candidates -- not candidates,
19
20 excuse me, members of canvas boards. So I've spent
hundreds of hours in post-election auditing.
21
22 Q. Have you testified in court before, Ms.
Marks?
23
24 A. Yes, many times. Coalition for Good
25 Governance has done a lot of work in litigat- -- in

1 election litigation and so I have been in court a
2 lot.
3 Q. You mentioned paper ballots and the --
4 based upon your understanding of the allegations in
5 this petition, do you understand that the petitioners
6 are seeking a new election; do you understand that?
7 A. Yes.
8 Q. And do you have an understanding of whether
9 the petitioners want the new election to be on paper
10 ballot on the one hand or on the electronic ballot on
11 the other?
12 A. It is clear that the petitioners want only
13 a verifiable election, which has to be on paper
14 ballots.
15 Q. And you -- based upon your work, have you
16 become familiar with Georgia's processes and
17 procedures for conducting electronic and paper
18 elections?
19 A. Yes, I'm very familiar with those
20 procedures. I began my work in Georgia in the spring
21 of 2017 and began to analyze the problems in the
22 unverifiable DRE system. The debunked DRE system at
23 the time actually -- should I tell you about when --
24 Q. Just wait.
25 A. Okay.

1 Q. Based upon your understanding of Georgia's
2 practices and procedures in voting, would it be feasible for Georgia to conduct a paper ballot for
3
4 any re-elect new election?
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object to that question.
5
6 The foundation hasn't be laid for that; it's a legal conclusion. She's not qualified as an expert.
7
8 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would -- thank you, Mr.
9 Tyson, if I may interrupt. I should have before asking
10 that question and I'll withdraw it -- ask that Ms. Marks
11 be qualified as a witness about DRE machines generally and their use in Georgia and the practices and procedures of
12
13 the State of Georgia for paper ballots and for electronic ballots.
14
15 MR. TYSON: If I could ask [inaudible] show of questions of Ms. Marks.
16
17 THE COURT: Surely. Go ahead.
18 MR. TYSON: Good morning, Ms. Marks. My name is Bryan Tyson. I represent the Gwinnett County Board of
19
20 Elections -- Registrations and Elections. I just had a couple additional questions for you. You mentioned you
21
22 testified in court before.
23
24 CROSS-EXAMINATION
25 OF MARILYN MARKS

1

2 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. Have you ever qualified as an expert in a
3

4 court proceeding?
A. No. And have never intended to be.
5

6 Q. Okay. Have you ever been involved in the
administration of an election in Georgia a member of
7

8 the Board of Elections, a staff member in elections

9 office?

10 A. No, I have not been a Georgia resident so I

11 would not have been qualified for that. I have been
a poll watcher several times for candidates and for
12

13 the Libertarian Party in Georgia.
Q. Mr. Brown asked you about your work with
14

15 electronic voting machines. Do you have any degrees
or specialized training in computer science?
16

17 A. No. I just have a practical hands-on

18 experience.
Q. Do you -- have you -- I'm sorry. It's
19

20 correct, isn't it, that you have no first-hand
knowledge of any DRE machine that you personally
21

22 reviewed for the 2018 Georgia general election; is
that correct?
23

24 A. I'm sorry. Do you mind repeating the

25 question?

1 Q. Sure. It's correct, isn't it, that you
2 have not personally reviewed any DRE machines that were used in the 2018 Georgia general election?
3
4 A. No. That is incorrect.
Q. Okay. Which machines have you reviewed?
5
6 A. Okay. So I'll not be able to tell you the serial numbers, not off the top of my head,
7
8 certainly. The machines that I observed as I was a
9 poll watcher and I watched them operate -- I looked
10 at the data that was coming up on the screen in a
11 number of poll locations, and did so in a number of the 2018 elections.
12
13 So, I did watch the operations, I watched the shutdown of the machines, and then watched the
14
15 progression records through the compilation tallies. So, yes, I have observed the operation of the
16
17 machines as a authorized statewide poll watcher.
18 Q. So, it would be correct to say, wouldn't it, that you've observed them from a distance, but
19
20 you've not personally examined any of the DRE machines; is that correct?
21
22 A. That would not be correct.
Q. Have you personally ever touched a DRE
23
24 machine?
25 A. Oh, certainly.

1 Q. Have you ever voted on a DRE machine?

2 A. I have.
Q. Have you voted on a Georgia DRE machine?
3

4 A. I have not voted in -- wait, excuse me. I
think I was about to not get that correct. When I
5

6 was a resident here, I believe they were just
bringing in the DRE machines and so I believe I did
7

8 vote many years ago on the DRE machine.

9 Q. But you're not sure?

10 A. I -- I voted on a DRE machine in North

11 Carolina.
Q. And you personally believe that Georgia
12

13 should move to a paper ballot system; correct?
A. Certainly.
14

15 MR. TYSON: I don't have any other questions at the
moment.
16

17 THE COURT: Anybody else have questions for her?

18 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, we renew our objection.
She's never been qualified as an expert.
19

20 THE COURT: Go ahead.
MR. TYSON: For one, she just finished saying that
21

22 she doesn't consider herself an expert. So -- and she's
not demonstrated any expertise on the technical issues
23

24 that are involved in this case. She certainly has some

25 very strong opinions and we understand it's good for her

1 to get up on the stand to sort of lay out what the
2 plaintiffs want. But in terms of providing any factual evidence to support their claim, she's simply not been
3
4 qualified as an expert [inaudible].
MR. LINDSEY: And, Your Honor, we would also object
5
6 to Ms. Marks being qualified as an expert. We already her expertise on the DRE machines. She's testified that it's
7
8 limited to just observing them from a distance.
9 And that we don't believe that that will in any way
10 assist the Court in determining fact in issue under 702(b)
11 because that's not one of the issues here in terms of what someone saw on the machine. The issue is what was the
12
13 vote in that issue.
In addition, we would also object to her
14
15 qualification regarding Georgia practices and procedures in that those are ensconced in the election code and Your
16
17 Honor is capable of determining those without the
18 assistance from an expert.
THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
19
20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, she's not being -- a lot of what Mr. Lindsey said I didn't quite follow, but she is
21
22 not being qualified as to the technical aspects of the DRE. We have another expert for that. She instead is
23
24 being qualified for the procedure. Thank you.
25 THE COURT: The question you asked would have

1 required her to be an expert and she's not qualified as an
2 expert. You asked, you know, could Georgia conduct paper
-- I am listening. I don't look like I am sometimes but
3
4 that helps me intensely listen.
You asked her really about whether paper ballots
5
6 could be done and she has no expertise to be able to
testify to something like that.
7
8 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
9
10 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
11 OF MARILYN MARKS
12
13 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Let me ask you some questions and you --
14
15 you have not been qualified as an expert, so when I
ask you a question it's going to have be based on
16
17 your personal knowledge; do you understand that?
18 A. Yes. Yes, I do. Uh-huh.
Q. Okay. Who is Taran Greenwald?
19
20 A. Taran Greenwald is an analyst for Coalition
for Good Governance.
21
22 Q. Okay.
MR. BROWN: And has the Coalition -- let me hand you
23
24 what I'll have marked --
25 THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.

1 MR. BROWN: -- as Exhibit 1.

2 [Thereupon, the referred-to document was entered into

3 evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 1]

5

6 MR. TYSON: If we may, Your Honor, could we see a copy first? Thank you, Your Honor.

7 THE COURT: [inaudible].

8 BY MR. BROWN:

9 Q. Ms. Marks, what is Exhibit 1?

10 A. Exhibit 1 is a voting records request that was made by Mr. Greenwald and the response from the

11 Secretary of State to his request to review certain of the records.

12 Q. Did Coalition for Good Governance send a number of Open Records Act requests to the Secretary?

13 A. Yes, we did.

14 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I object. I'm not real sure where we're going here.

15 THE COURT:

16 MR. LINDSEY: The Court -- I assume you're trying to

17 -- just trying to lay down proper evidence -- evidence you couldn't get in. No, you couldn't obtain.

18 THE COURT: Well, so far -- so far -- I haven't seen

19 the document; okay, he hasn't admitted. So far he hasn't

1 asked --

2 MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible]
THE COURT: -- an objectionable question yet.
3

4 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: I think -- I think both Mr. Lindsey --
5

6 MR. LINDSEY: I'm anticipating -- my apologies, Your Honor.
7

8 THE COURT: -- Mr. Lindsay and I are anticipating; we

9 may be absolutely wrong. Go ahead.

10 MR. LINDSEY: My apologies, Your Honor.

11 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Ms. Marks, is this a true and correct copy
12

13 of a January 9 email from open records at the Secretary of State to your company's analyst, Taran
14

15 Greenwald?
A. Yes. It is.
16

17 Q. And without going through everything, what

18 does the letter say?
THE COURT: Well, you'll have to tender it before --
19

20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would move to introduce Exhibit 1 into evidence.
21

22 THE COURT: Now, Mr. Lindsay.
MR. LINDSEY: Objection, Your Honor. It's hearsay
23

24 and it's lay -- you have to also lay a foundation as to

25 what's relevant to the particular issue before us.

1 THE COURT: Is it certified, Mr. Brown?

2 MR. BROWN: It is not. It's an email. It's a business record, Your Honor. It's an email from the

3 Secretary of State to her organization.

4 THE COURT: I -- it's not -- it's not tendered --

5 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

6 THE COURT: -- I mean, it's tendered; it's not

7 admitted.

8 MR. BROWN: Okay.

9 BY MR. BROWN:

10 Q. Ms. Marks, you testified that you were a poll watcher.

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. And was that in the November 2018 election?

13 A. I did. I wasn't a poll watcher in 2018, but I was also a poll watcher in previous 2018

14 elections and in Georgia.

15 Q. Okay. And were you ever a poll watcher in early voting for the 2018 election?

16 A. Yes. I was a statewide poll watcher for the Libertarian Party and the poll watcher

17 credentials covered the period of early voting through the of the election.

18 Q. Were you a poll watcher at the Ponce de

19 León Library polling location?

1 A. Yes, I was. And the way it works is that
2 we're a poll watcher for all locations.
Q. And -- but you were a poll watcher -- you
3 went to poll -- you went to the Ponce de León Library
polling location; correct?
5
6 A. Yes. During early voting.
Q. And what did you personally observe at the
7 -- that polling location?
8
9 A. The first thing I noticed when I got there
10 were two-hour long lines for people to get in and
11 then how hard it was for people to get into vote, and
I saw many people leaving because they couldn't vote.
12 And I could go through with you the troublesome --
13 Q. Well, let me ask you. Let me ask you that.
14
15 A. Uh-huh.
Q. Did you observe the DREs in operation from
16 an appropriate distance?
17
18 A. I did.
Q. Were they operating correctly?
19
20 A. They were not.
Q. And why so?
21
22 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'll object. I think this
requires Ms. Marks to have a degree of expertise regarding
23 the operation of the DRE machines for her to opine about
24 whether they were operating properly or not. There's not
25

1 a foundation for that.

2 THE COURT: I -- I --
MR. BROWN: I'll withdraw the question, Your Honor --
3

4 THE COURT: Withdraw --
MR. BROWN: -- and make it easier. I can make this
5

6 easy.
BY MR. BROWN:
7

8 Q. Were some of the voting machines not

9 working in that they were not taking votes at all?

10 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'll object again.

11 [inaudible] foundation, Ms. Marks can testify to that.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor --
12

13 THE COURT: She -- I think you're making much too
general of a question. I understand there's a specific
14

15 allegation. Get to the specific allegation.
MR. BROWN: Okay.
16

17 THE COURT: Okay.

18 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Ms. Marks, was the long line the result of
19

20 some of the machines not working?
A. Yes, it was.
21

22 Q. And how do you know that?
A. I -- the work of a poll watcher is to
23

24 observe the entirety of what's going on.

25 THE COURT: Okay. Just answer the question, please,

1 ma'am.

2 MR. BROWN: Yeah, just --
THE WITNESS: And I could see that the machines were
3

4 malfunctioning. I could see the screen, the machines were
malfunctioning. It was taking a very long time for those
5

6 voters to go back --
BY MR. BROWN:
7

8 Q. How were they malfunctioning, from your

9 perspective?

10 A. Okay. I was standing about five feet away

11 --
Q. And what --
12

13 A. -- it was a small place.
Q. And what did the machines do?
14

15 A. And so what would happen is a screen would
come up that would say, vote cancelled. As the voter
16

17 was attempting to enter their vote and a big red

18 warning sign came up, and the machine stopped
working. I observed that happening three times
19

20 during the 30 minutes I was at the library.
Q. Did you speak with any officials at the
21

22 polling location about that problem?
A. I attempted to. I was denied the ability
23

24 to talk to them.

25 Q. Okay. Thank you. Other than the

1 malfunctioning machines and the long lines, anything
2 else about the Ponce de León poll watching experience that is -- that struck you as unusual?
3
4 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'll just object to the question as worded. I don't think there's of the machine
5
6 were malfunctioning. I think there is testimony they displayed a red box; I don't think there's any testimony
7
8 that indicates that's that a malfunction.
9 MR. BROWN: I'll withdraw the question.
10 THE COURT: You'll have her on direct.
11 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Ms. Marks.
12
13 RECROSS-EXAMINATION
OF MARILYN MARKS
14
15 BY MR. TYSON:
16
17 Q. Ms. Marks, just one question before you
18 leave. I'll try to keep it short. You said that you saw three instances of the machine saying, vote
19
20 cancelling; is that correct?
A. That is correct.
21
22 Q. And you didn't witness any other indications of red screens coming on the machines
23
24 while you were at the Ponce precinct; is that
25 correct?

1 A. I witnessed three in 30 minutes and that is
2 all --
Q. Thank you.
3
4 A. -- and that was a sign that the machine
malfunctioning and the [inaudible] --
5
6 Q. I'm sorry. Yes. I don't believe there's
any evidence to explain it --
7
8 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, that's not a question. That
9 is a statement and I'd like to strike that from the
10 record.
11 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I request that the rest of
Ms. Marks' answer about the machine malfunction be
12
13 stricken; that there was no foundation for that statement.
THE COURT: You need to just answer the question. I
14
15 know -- I know your position; I know where you're coming
from; okay? No problem. I've already got that. You
16
17 don't have to impress me with that. Just answer the
18 questions.
MR. TYSON: All right. That's all I have. Thank
19
20 you.
THE COURT: Anybody else?
21
22 MR. LINDSEY: Just real briefly.
23
24 CROSS-EXAMINATION
25 OF MARILYN MARKS

1

2 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. Ms. Marks, is your position at the
3

4 Coalition a volunteer or paid position?
A. It is a volunteer position.
5

6 Q. Okay. Do you receive any compensation for
it?
7

8 A. None at all.

9 Q. Okay. Nevertheless, Ms. Marks, is it not

10 true --

11 MR. LINDSEY: Let me show you what we'll tender as
Duncan Defense 1.
12

13
[Thereupon, the referred-to document was entered into
14

15 evidence as Defendant's Duncan Exhibit No. 1]

16

17 BY MR. LINDSEY:

18 Q. This is a Tweet you sent out last night; is
it not? Is that not a Tweet you sent out last night?
19

20 A. It is.
Q. And does it not show that basically you are
21

22 using this trial as a fundraising mechanism for your
organization?
23

24 A. No, it does not show that.

25 Q. It does show that you are seeking to raise

1 money as part of this trial; correct?

2 A. Not as part of this trial; in order to pay attorney's fees and other legal cost.

3

4 Q. Okay.

A. That this trial will cost.

5

6 Q. Okay. And then you just sent out soliciting contributions as part of this trial;

7

8 correct?

9 A. Not as part of this trial, sir, but as part

10 -- routine requirements to raise money for a very

11 small organization with a high level of expenses.

Q. Okay.

12

13 A. We've been raising money for many years.

Q. All right. And this trial -- you sent this

14

15 out in anticipation of the trial today; correct?

A. This is consistent with fundraising

16

17 messages I've been sending out for years.

18 Q. Okay. So otherwise, you send other fundraising messages out when there are other trials

19

20 that are going on; correct?

A. Whether or not there are trials going on,

21

22 we are always in fundraising mode.

Q. Okay.

23

24 A. We need to be more.

25 Q. All right.

1 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we would tender this
2 Exhibit.
THE COURT: [inaudible] Let me have it, please.
3
4 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor. No objection, Your
Honor.
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: Defense Exhibit -- I guess it would be
Duncan 1.
7
8 THE COURT: Duncan 1. [inaudible], admitted. Go
9 ahead.
10
11 CROSS-EXAMINATION
OF MARILYN MARKS
12
13
BY MS. BURWELL:
14
15 Q. Ms. Marks, are you familiar with the
process for disabled voting on Georgia's DRE
16
17 machines?
18 A. I'm generally familiar with it. I've never
tested it myself as I have in other states.
19
20 Q. And so, what is your understanding of the
process?
21
22 A. To make sure I understand your question,
are you asking about an in-person disabled voter who
23
24 needs to use the assistive devices, is that what
25 you're --

1 Q. Yes.

2 A. -- asking me?
Q. Yes.
3

4 A. Okay. So I think that the process
generally is that the disabled voter can identify
5

6 themselves as needing the assistive device and the
poll worker ushers to a device, make sure they know
7

8 how to use it, and it's generally a vision-impaired

9 voter who would need the type of assistive device

10 that Georgia polls use and that they begin to mark

11 the ballots generally through a pad as they are
listening to what's called an audio ballot.
12

13 Q. So, are you familiar with how the actual
machine is set up for a disabled voter?
14

15 A. I am.
Q. Okay. And how would you be familiar with
16

17 that?

18 A. I've set one up before. Not in Georgia,
but just like them in other locations and I've tested
19

20 audio in other locations on the machine.
Q. So, are you familiar with what happens if
21

22 it is in disabled mode and someone uses it who is not
disabled?
23

24 A. Generally nothing very different happens,

25 not supposed to. Those machines are used frequently,

1 particularly when there are long lines. Those
2 machines are used frequently by voters in the standard mode.
3
4 Q. When you say, are used by voters in the standard mode, you mean a disabled voter?
5
6 A. No. I meant that while they do have the DREs with assistive devices, that they are not -- any
7
8 voter can, and they're not required to use the
9 assistive device.
10 Q. So, my question is, if -- and for a Georgia
11 machine it is set up for using an assistive device, but the person doesn't need it -- my question is, do
12
13 you know what happens on the machine? Do you know if it reveals anything or do you know what the machine
14
15 will show under those circumstances?
A. I don't think it shows if it is -- operates
16
17 as I believe it does -- I don't believe it shows
18 anything different than it does -- than a normal standard DRE setup does.
19
20 Q. Okay.
MR. BURWELL: Thank you.
21
22 THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
23
24 RE-DIRECT EXAMINATION
25 OF MARILYN MARKS

1

2 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Ms. Marks, if you would turn to Duncan 1, I
3

4 have a follow-up question for you.
A: I need a copy of it.
5

6 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, would you like my copy?
THE COURT: That's all right. I have it. But I
7

8 need to keep it in the stack.

9 BY MR. BROWN:

10 Q. Ms. Marks, your Tweet, which has been

11 identified as -- and introduced into evidence as
Duncan Number 1. In that you state, the state and
12

13 counties are opposing us at every turn in the court.
Do you see that?
14

15 A. I do.
Q. How has the Secretary opposed your efforts
16

17 in this matter?

18 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, objection to relevance.
Those have all been issues that have been raised to the
19

20 Court and by their very able attorney, Mr. Brown. He's
raised motions and you have denied each and every one of
21

22 those motions. And so --
THE COURT: Mr. Brown, why is that relevant to the
23

24 election contest we're trying right now? That's all we're

25 trying, is the election contest.

1 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, Mr. Lindsey opened the door
2 by introducing this into evidence in an effort to smear Ms. Marks and I'm --
3
4 THE COURT: He's not talking -- let's -- you know, I told you the other day about inflammatory language. He's
5
6 not trying to smear. He's trying to challenge her credibility.
7
8 MR. BROWN: Sure.
9 THE COURT: That's a whole -- that's a different
10 thing.
11 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. It was -- it was not my intention to smear Mr. Lindsey, I assure you.
12
13 THE COURT: I understand.
MR. BROWN: And -- thank you. I have no further
14
15 questions. Oh, well, I do. I'm sorry. If I may, Your Honor.
16
17 THE COURT: Go ahead.
18 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. HAVA. Do you know what HAVA is?
19
20 A. I do.
Q. And what does it stand for?
21
22 A. The Help America Vote Act.
Q. And are the -- is the examination of --
23
24 from Ms. Burwell, did that relate to that federal
25 law?

1 MR. LINDSAY: Your Honor, I'll object. That calls
2 for a legal conclusion.
3 MR. BROWN: Okay. Let me back up. I'll withdraw the
4 question.
5 THE COURT: [inaudible]. Sometimes it's just
6 rephrasing.
7 MR. BROWN: True. Thank you, Your Honor.
8 BY MR. BROWN:
9 Q. You -- is it your understanding, and this
10 is to follow up on the questions from Ms. Burwell --
11 is it your understanding that in some instances it is
12 required to use a DRE system that has the mechanisms
13 for assisting disabled voters?
14 MR. LINDSAY: Your Honor, I'll object again. I don't
15 think there's a foundation laid for this question and I
16 think we're back to a relevance objection again, as well,
17 that this is not relevant to the election contest.
18 THE COURT: I think -- and he's right. You've got
19 other experts coming in, so it's really neces- -- she's
20 not been qualified as an expert; she's not an expert. She
21 has a lot of information but she's not an expert. So I
22 think you need to wait for your experts for some of this.
23 MR. BROWN: That's fine, Your Honor. Thank you. I
24 have no further questions. Thank you, Ms. Marks.
25 THE COURT: You may go down. Next witness?

1 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, just to confirm, we are
2 excusing Ms. Marks -- is Ms. Marks excused so she can remain in the courtroom?
3
4 THE COURT: Yes. She is excused.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
5
6
[Thereupon, the testimony of the witness concluded at
7
8 10:25 a.m.]
9
10 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the plaintiffs would call
11 Sara LeClerc.
THE COURT: You'll have to go get her. We -- we only
12
13 see to have one deputy so you'll have to get her, or have someone go get her.
14
15 MR. BROWN: Please raise your right hand. Do you promise to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but
16
17 the truth?
18 THE WITNESS: I do.
19
20 Thereupon:
21
22 SARA LECLERC
23
24 was called as a witness by the Petitioner; and,
25 having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

1

2 DIRECT EXAMINATION
OF SARA LECLERC

3

4 BY MR. BROWN:

5

6 Q. Please state your full name for the record.
A. Sara M. LeClerc.

7

8 Q. Please have a seat. Okay. Could you spell

9 your last name for the court reporter, please?

10 A. Absolutely. It's L-E- capital C-L-E-R-C.

11 Q. Is it LeClerc; is that correct?
A. It's LeClerc, but --

12

13 Q. LeClerc.
A. -- [inaudible] doesn't matter.

14

15 Q. Ms. LeClerc, by whom are you currently
employed?

16

17 A. I work for myself. I'm an attorney and I -

18 -
THE COURT: I'm sorry.

19

20 THE WITNESS: I just work for myself. And I'm an
attorney and I -- so I work on a contract basis for other

21

22 firms.
BY MR. BROWN:

23

24 Q. And where did you go to law school?

25 A. The University of Virginia.

1 Q. Okay. And when did you graduate?
2 A. 2007.
3 Q. And did there come a time that you
4 participated in the 2018 elections in any way?
5 A. Yes.
6 Q. And what was your role?
7 A. Well, my first role I would say other --
8 well, other than actually in the election as a
9 citizen, I volunteered to observe, be a poll watcher,
10 a poll observer, so.
11 Q. And with whom did you volunteer? Was it an
12 organization that --
13 A. Yeah. I went to a training with the
14 Georgia Democrats.
15 Q. And did you end up observing any election?
16 A. Yes, I did.
17 Q. And where did you -- where were you?
18 A. Well, I did some early voting observations,
19 as well as Election Day, and then also the runoff
20 Election Day in December. So I was at different
21 locations for each of those days.
22 Q. And on November 7th, where were you
23 located?
24 A. It was November 6th.
25 Q. November 6th, I'm sorry.

1 A. And November 6th I was at Allen Temple AME
2 Church.
THE COURT: Which one?
3
4 A. Allen Temple AME. It's on Joseph Boone
Boulevard in Atlanta.
5
6 Q. And in the course of being an observer, do
you take contemporaneous notes of what you are
7
8 observing?
9 A. Yes. So, if something happens, it's not
10 just a perfectly smooth process, then I use my iPhone
11 and I have an app at the direction of to an LBJ
reporting tool. So I took notes directly to the
12
13 iPhone and website.
Q. And what does LBJ stand for, if you know?
14
15 In this instance.
A. Probably Lyndon Baines Johnson.
16
17 Q. Okay.
18 A. I believe it was named after him, given
[inaudible].
19
20 Q. Okay. And did you, in fact, enter your
notes and observations when you were at the AME
21
22 location on November 6th?
A. Yeah, I did; well, multiple times
23
24 throughout that day.
25 MR. BROWN: And I'm going to hand you a -- an Exhibit

1 and let me -- if I may explain this to counsel.

2 [Thereupon, the referred-to document was entered into

3 evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 2]

5

6 MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible].
MR. BROWN: Understood. Put it on the record while I

7 hand it to you because it's hard to read.

9 MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible] with my glasses.

10 BY MR. BROWN:

11 Q. And what I've handed to counsel is a large-
print version for Mr. Lindsey, like the books you get

12 from Amazon, and then the actual Excel spreadsheet,
which is too small even for me to read, and you could

14 just see that these blocks were copied onto this.
MR. LINDSEY: I understand. Which one do I get?

16 Both of these?

18 MR. BROWN: This is what I'm using as evidence.
MR. LINDSEY: Okay. Do I have a copy of that?

19 MR. BROWN: And you have that so you can verify it.
MR. LINDSEY: For the record, Your Honor, I had the

21 Lasix surgery. I can still read this.
THE COURT: This one? This is P-2?

23 MR. BROWN: This is P-2, Your Honor.

25 BY MR. BROWN:

1 Q. Now, Ms. LeClerc, the notes that you take
2 on the LBJ system appear on what looks like an Excel spreadsheet; is that correct? And that is not what's
3
4 in front of you. That's what I handed to Mr. Lindsey.
5
6 A. I have the large print of what you said is the Excel spreadsheet.
7
8 Q. And does that appear to be a large-print
9 version of the very small print on the Excel
10 spreadsheet?
11 A. Yes.
Q. And does the Excel spreadsheet have a true
12
13 and correct recording of the notes that you took on the LBJ system as you were observing things in the
14
15 AME voting location?
A. Yes. All of the notes that start with Sara
16
17 LeClerc, that's -- those notes are all on, yes.
18 Q. And if it's someone else -- excuse me. And if it's someone else, their name would appear, like,
19
20 Benjamin Thorpe [ph]; correct?
A. Right. There were maybe one or two notes
21
22 by a different person and their name appears next to those notes.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would like to introduce
25 Defendant's 2 into the record. I mean, sorry. P-2 into

1 the record.

2 MR. LINDSEY: If I understand it, these are contemporaneous notes that are from your observations; is

3

4 that correct?
THE WITNESS: Yes.

5

6 MR. LINDSEY: Okay. No objection.
THE COURT: [inaudible]. Yeah. Thank you.

7

8 BY MR. BROWN:

9 Q. Now, Ms. LeClerc, the way this prints out,

10 where do we start?

11 A. You actually start at the back, so these are my first pages and my last.

12

13 Q. Okay.
A. [inaudible].

14

15 Q. And let's just walk through your notes and I'll ask you some questions on what you were

16

17 observing. Looking at page four --

18 A. If I can clarify that.
Q. Sure.

19

20 A. Actually these are by incidence --
Q. Okay.

21

22 A. -- so the first incident actually starts at the bottom of page three.

23

24 Q. Okay. And what was that incident that you

25 observed?

1 A. There was an issue where the Express
2 machines were to pick up their ballots. The number that was on the machines -- well, there -- there were
3
4 two machines at this location. So, they -- the two poll workers were comparing the machines and noticed
5
6 that one machine was a couple numbers different from the other machine's count. So they seemed to be out
7
8 of sync and having discrepancies.
9 Q. And was that issue resolved?
10 A. Yes. That did get resolved. The poll
11 manager called in for a technical help but was told that it would self-correct in time and it did self-
12
13 correct [inaudible].
Q. And then what was the next incident?
14
15 A. The next incident that I started taking was that just one of the voters who came in had --
16
17 started ac- -- oh, I'm sorry.
18 Q. Could you -- I was going to ask you to refer to your notes, so maybe we could follow along
19
20 with your observations. Was this the senior voter?
A. Yes.
21
22 Q. Okay. Turn with me to the bottom of page two.
23
24 A. Yes.
25 Q. Are those your notes relating to that

1 senior voter?

2 A. Yes.
Q. And just go ahead and explain without
3

4 looking at this what you recall. That's fine.
A. So, I noticed that -- well, a voter came in
5

6 to check in, got their ballot. She was a rather
elderly lady walking on a cane, very kind, and she
7

8 went to the machine to vote. At that point, nothing

9 out of the ordinary had happened, but she started

10 turning around and asking for some help with the

11 machine.
So, we pulled to help her, to assist her. And
12

13 the two of them were at the machine for a brief time.
I think the manager left and the voter continued
14

15 voting. Then she called the manager back again and
the manager went back to assist. And so the two of
16

17 them were at the machine together for a little while

18 and appear to me ordinary but the manager is allowed
to assist the voter if the voter asks for help.
19

20 It lasted for a little while longer than I would
have anticipated and at the end of that, the voter
21

22 went down -- went over to some chairs to sit and
wait, and I noticed that the manager started shutting
23

24 that DRE machine down, closing it up, and so that was

25 unusual to me and I wanted to figure out what was

1 going on. Why was that machine getting closed? Was
2 there a problem?
So at first I was just observing. I didn't want
3
4 to get in the way of whatever the manager was doing.
And I went over to talk to the voter. She was
5
6 actually just sitting and waiting for her ride so
that she could get back to her home. So I went up to
7
8 her and asked her if everything okay. Was she able
9 to vote? And --
10 MR. LINDSEY: And, Your Honor, I'll object. Ms.
11 LeClerc's been asked [inaudible] what the voter said to be
hearsay.
12
13 THE COURT: [inaudible]. She asked her, fine. Go
ahead, what's next?
14
15 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Did you have a conversation with the voter?
16
17 A. I had a conversation with the voter.
18 Q. And based upon that conversation, did you
have an understanding of what was happening?
19
20 THE COURT: No. Understanding what's happening is
hearsay.
21
22 MR. BROWN: Okay.
THE COURT: Did you did anything as a result of it?
23
24 BY MR. BROWN:
25 Q. What did you do in response to receiving

1 the information from the voter?

2 A. So, I waited for the manager to finish up what she was doing with the machine and then

3

4 approached her to ask what happened, what -- why was the machine had been closed, what was going on.

5

6 Q. And what did the manager tell you?
MR. LINDSEY: Again, I'll object, on hearsay.

7

8 MR. BROWN: That is an admission. The manager is

9 employed by the defendants. That's an admission.

10 THE COURT: Well, does Fulton County -- does Fulton

11 County have anything to say about that?
MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible].

12

13 MS. BURWELL: Well, Your Honor, the -- it is true that the poll manager would be employed by Fulton County,

14

15 but I don't believe that the poll manager is in a position to bind the County.

16

17 THE COURT: I -- I -- I agree with that, but I'm

18 going to let the witness say what she said.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

19

20 THE WITNESS: So, the poll manager told me that she needed to close the machine because that machine had self-

21

22 cast the voter's ballot before the voter had finished voting. And the manager told me that she was assisting

23

24 the voter on the review screen. So after you make your

25 selection to get the review screen.

1 And the manager noticed that the -- there was no
2 selection made for the race for lieutenant governor and for one other race, which the manager didn't name to me.
3
4 And so she had asked the voter -- the voter intended to vote in those races. The voter said, yes --
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: That would be an objection, Your Honor. That's what the voter said.
7
8 THE COURT: That's hearsay at this point.
9 MR. LINDSEY: Yes.
10 THE COURT: But as a result of that -- I'm going to
11 let it go ahead on this one.
MR. BROWN: Okay.
12
13 THE COURT: Uh --
MR. BROWN: Go ahead, Ms. LeClerc.
14
15 THE WITNESS: So, the manager pointed to where the lieutenant governor race was, and the voter put her finger
16
17 on the area for the lieutenant governor race selection so
18 she could vote for the lieutenant governor race. And instead -- which is nowhere near the area for submit
19
20 ballot, but when she touched lieutenant governor, the machine said, your ballot has been submitted and there was
21
22 nothing they could do at that point.
So, it just self-cast before the voter could actually
23
24 make her selection on the lieutenant governor or the other
25 race.

1 BY MR. BROWN:

2 Q. Did you observe whether the poll officials took that machine out of service at that time?

3

4 A. Yes. The manager did take it out of service immediately.

5

6 Q. And then was that machine put back in service?

7

8 A. It was put back in service later in the

9 day. Yes.

10 THE COURT: Let's -- let's take a morning break, take

11 a 10-minute break.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

12

13
[Off the record at 10:25 a.m., and back on the record

14

15 at 10:37 a.m.]

16

17 THE COURT:

18
CONTINUATION DIRECT EXAMINATION

19

20 OF SARA LECLERC

21

22 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Ms. LeClerc, returning to your testimony

23

24 about your observations at the AME Church, did you

25 take any photographs of the poll tapes when you were

1 there?

2 A. Yes. I took photographs of the tops of the poll tapes that were able to print.

3

4 Q. And did you have the opportunity to observe any issues with the electronic polling?

5

6 A. Yes. And --
Q. And what did you see? What did you

7

8 observe?

9 A. So as far as the poll tapes were concerned

10 it's -- was my understanding that he receives at the

11 end of the night they print out a tape giving a record of whatever votes have been cast or at least a

12

13 breakdown by races, by each race.
At this location there were five DRE machines,

14

15 but this instance one of the machines would not print at all and the manager and workers tried many

16

17 different ways to try to get it to print. They

18 called the technical support, but they were never able to successfully from the fifth machine. So I

19

20 was not able to observe the tape of that fifth machine.

21

22 Q. Ms. LeClerc, what was the manager's reaction, if any, to the difficulties that you

23

24 described that the senior voter experienced?

25 A. To me she appeared very alarmed by what had

1 happened. When I spoke with her, she -- her tone of
2 voice was just kind of frantic and her -- you know, she just with her arms and she couldn't believe that
3
4 the vote had cast because the voter's finger was nowhere near the area for the submit ballot. So she
5
6 was just -- just incredulous to me.
Q. Did she indicate to you whether she was
7
8 going to file some sort of complaint with the
9 Secretary of State?
10 A. She did at one point that day. She said
11 she was going to -- she planned to file some complaints because there were multiple technical
12
13 issues that day that they experienced.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, that is the last question I
14
15 have for Ms. LeClerc. I have misplaced the photographs that I was going to have tendered to her and I will --
16
17 THE COURT: [inaudible] to put them in, [inaudible].
18 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: [inaudible].
19
20 MR. BROWN: Oh, okay.
MS. LECLERC: May -- I'm sorry; may I make --
21
22 THE COURT: No. You can't say anything else.
23
24 CROSS-EXAMINATION
25 OF SARA LECLERC

1

2 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. Ms. LeClerc, your primary role that day was
3

4 to try to tabulate the votes that were being cast
from Ms. Abrams, correct --
5

6 A. Uh --
Q. -- and report back to the Democratic Party;
7

8 would that be fair?

9 A. No. No. That would not be fair.

10 Q. Well, that's what you did, though, didn't

11 you?
A. That was one component of what I did but it
12

13 was not about Ms. Abrams. It was just about
reporting total numbers --
14

15 Q. Oh, okay.
A. -- of votes cast, not by race or anything
16

17 like that. It wouldn't -- [inaudible] like that.

18 Q. I'm sorry. No problem. What I'm saying --
so some -- I want to make sure I understand. Your
19

20 job was to try to report back to the Democratic Party
the total votes that were cast from that precinct;
21

22 correct?
A. That was one of the [inaudible].
23

24 Q. Okay. Thank you.

25 A. [inaudible].

1 Q. And you reported what you understood to be
2 the total number of votes that were cast in that precinct; correct?
3
4 A. Yes, I did.
5 Q. Okay. Were you ever informed that there
6 was any kind of discrepancy with what was ultimately reported to the Secretary of State's office about the
7
8 total number of votes that were cast in -- from that
9 precinct?
10 A. I don't know what was reported to the
11 Secretary of State --
12 Q. Okay.
13 A. -- I just know what the poll workers and managers told me and what was printed on the --
14
15 Q. Okay.
16 A. -- four out of five tapes that printed.
17 Q. Okay. And you reported that -- my question
18 is, did you ever receive back word from the Democratic Party that they was a discrepancy?
19
20 A. No.
21 Q. Okay. Thank you. In regards to this
22 elderly worker from this report that you did, the elderly worker thought -- rather the elderly voter
23
24 thought that her vote had been properly cast;
25 correct?

1 A. She thought it had been submitted; that her
2 ballot had been submitted.
Q. Yes. And she didn't have any objections,
3
4 correct, when you talked to her?
A. No.
5
6 Q. Let me -- I think I've asked in the form of
a negative. Let me clean it up. My momma told me
7
8 never to do that. Did this voter ever report to you
9 any complaints about whether her vote had been
10 properly recorded?
11 A. No.
Q. Okay.
12
13
CROSS-EXAMINATION
14
15 OF SARA LECLERC
16
17 BY MR. TYSON:
18 Q. Good morning, Ms. LeClerc. My name's Bryan
Tyson with the Gwinnett Board of Elections. I just
19
20 wanted to ask you briefly. You indicated you were a
poll watcher, but later you said you were observing.
21
22 Were you a registered poll watcher or were you still
an observer?
23
24 A. Yes. I was a -- I was officially appointed
25 as a, I'm not sure if it's called poll watcher or

1 poll observer. But yes, I got a credential so that I
2 could be there and observe.
Q. And that was by the Democratic Party;
3
4 correct?
A. Yes.
5
6 Q. Okay. And regarding the voter that you
testified about, do you know what her name was?
7
8 A. I do not.
9 Q. Okay.
10 MR. TYSON: Thank you.
11
CROSS-EXAMINATION
12
13 OF SARA LECLERC
14
15 BY MS. BURWELL:
Q. Ms. LeClerc, the Exhibit 2 that had your
16
17 notes?
18 A. Yes.
Q. Does that include the incidents -- all the
19
20 incidents you testified about today?
A. Yes. Everything I talked about today,
21
22 those incidents are in the notes.
Q. Okay. Is there anything that's not in your
23
24 notes that you found -- excuse me?
25 A. Yes -- I mean, of course there -- I had

1 many observations that I didn't put in my notes, yes.

2 Q. But the things that you thought were important were the things that you put in the notes

3

4 that are Exhibit 2?
A. That's right.

5

6 Q. Okay. Thank you.
MR. BROWN: I don't have any further questions, Your

7

8 Honor.

9 THE COURT: Okay. You may go back to your seat.

10 Thank you. Next witness?

11
[Thereupon, the testimony of the witness concluded at

12

13 _ a.m.]

14

15 MR. BROWN: The plaintiffs would call plaintiff Jeanne Dufort.

16

17 Please raise your right hand. Do you promise to tell

18 the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?
THE WITNESS: I do.

19

20 MR. BROWN: Please have a seat.

21

22 Thereupon:

23

24 JEANNE DUFORT

25

1 was called as a witness by the Petitioner; and,
2 having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
3
4 DIRECT EXAMINATION
OF JEANNE DUFORT
5
6
BY MR. BROWN:
7
8 Q. Please have a seat so we can hear you
9 through the microphone. Please state your full name
10 for the record.
11 A. My name is Jeanne Dufort.
Q. Ms. Dufort, are you a plaintiff in this
12
13 election?
A. I am.
14
15 Q. In this litigation? Where are you from?
A. I live in Madison, Georgia, in Morgan
16
17 County.
18 Q. Are you a registered elector of the State
of Georgia?
19
20 A. I am.
Q. Are you a resident of Morgan County?
21
22 A. I am.
Q. And were you entitled to vote for either
23
24 Mr. Duncan or Ms. Amico in the contested election?
25 A. Yes.

1 Q. Mr. Dufort, what interest, just generally
2 and be very brief, but just to introduce yourself to the Court. What is your interest generally in
3
4 political activities and the election activities?
A. So, when I turned 18, I did two things. I
5
6 registered to vote and I registered to donate funds. And I've been doing both ever since. Gave --
7
8 THE COURT: [inaudible]
9 THE WITNESS: -- because I can do that, right? And
10 both of them --
11 THE COURT: It wasn't in the context. Go ahead.
THE WITNESS: Both of them lifelong habits, just
12
13 depends. Thanks to Sister Aletha, my high school civics teacher, I became very interested in American
14
15 political science and I was a major in that at the University of Chicago, which also while I was there as a
16
17 student was the first time, I was a poll watcher. So I
18 would say it's a lifelong habit of mine to be passionate about moving and about the wonderful and amazing system we
19
20 have of self-governance in the United States.
BY MR. BROWN:
21
22 Q. Ms. Dufort, have you been a poll watcher in Georgia?
23
24 A. Yes. Most recently in the 2018 general
25 election I was a registered poll watcher.

1 Q. Thank you.

2 MR. BROWN: I don't have any further questions.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I have four pages of
3

4 detailed cross-examination [inaudible].
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
5

6 MR. LINDSEY: Just have to thank you for being a poll
watcher and I apologize to the young woman for not
7

8 thanking her for being a poll watcher as well. That's

9 hard work, all.

10 THE COURT: I assume you're just trying to establish

11 qualifications as planned.
MR. BROWN: That's correct.
12

13 THE COURT: Okay.
MALE: [inaudible]
14

15 MS. BURWELL: Nothing for Fulton County.
THE COURT: Okay.
16

17 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

18
[Thereupon, the testimony of the witness concluded at
19

20 11:41 a.m.]

21

22 MR. BROWN: As long as you stipulate that they are
voters and they voted in the election. That's all
23

24 [inaudible].

25 We're going to enter into a stipulation, Your Honor,

1 to accelerate this and that is that the plaintiffs are
2 registered voters, that they were entitled to vote in the November 6th election for the contested election and that
3
4 would satisfy -- it would eliminate the need to call the other -- thank you, Your Honor.
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: No objection
THE COURT: And does Gwinnett agree with that --
7
8 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
9 THE COURT: Fulton agree with that?
10 MR. BROWN: Thank you.
11 THE COURT: Yeah. They have to be. I understand.
MR. BROWN: Just a short break, Your Honor.
12
13 THE COURT: Okay. Can we go forward, please?
MR. BROWN: The plaintiffs will call Chris Brill and
14
15 we're going to get him now.
THE COURT: Yeah. [inaudible] go do that.
16
17 [inaudible].
18 MR. BROWN: Please raise your right hand. Do you promise to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but
19
20 the truth?
THE WITNESS: I do.
21
22 MR. BROWN: Please have a seat.
23
24 Thereupon:
25

1 CHRISTOPHER BRILL

2 was called as a witness by the Petitioner; and,

3 having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

4

5

6 DIRECT EXAMINATION
OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL

7

8

9 BY MR. BROWN:

10 Q. Please state your name for the record.

11 A. Christopher Brill.

12 Q. And Mr. Brill, by whom are you currently employed?

13 A. A company called TargetSmart. TargetSmart.

14 Q. And have you been engaged by the plaintiffs to give expert advice in this matter?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. I would like to go through your background a little bit and your experience, if I may. Where did you graduate from college?

17 A. University of New Mexico.

18 Q. And what did you study?

19 A. Political science.

20 Q. And what have you been doing since graduating from University of New Mexico?

21

22

23

24

25

1 A. I'm working mostly with political
2 consulting, so working with political campaigns, nonprofits, activist organizations on electoral
3
4 strategies and how to reach hard-to-reach electorates.
5
6 Q. And have you -- you've at TargetSmart since graduating?
7
8 A. No, I have not. Just for the past six
9 years.
10 Q. Okay. And to get a little better idea of
11 your actual work experience, describe the business of TargetSmart.
12
13 A. So, TargetSmart puts together -- our core business is putting together databases, such as
14
15 individual voter -- voter-level databases using the statewide voter files, election results, other types
16
17 of publicly available information, consumer data, to
18 try to build advice and help clients determine how to target voters, how to reach voters, and how to engage
19
20 in local campaigns. So that's kind of our main business focus.
21
22 Q. And describe who your clients would be or the different categories of clients.
23
24 A. So, clients could be (C) -- you know (C)(3)
25 or (C)(4) organizations so that our nonprofits or

1 other organizations. They could political committees
2 such as national party committees. They could also
be other nonprofits. So kind of a range of -- from
3
4 nonprofits to political campaigns and the like.
Q. And describe, if you will, a -- the kind of
5
6 work that you would do for a nonprofit or a political
party, let's say, or political organization, and go
7
8 through exactly what you, Chris Brill, would do if
9 you could.
10 A. Yes. So if a political party or candidate,
11 you know, approached us, usually it's about they want
to study the election they're about to run in and,
12
13 you know, how they want -- how to go about trying to
get to essentially a win. So we go in and -- myself.
14
15 I'll analyze the election.
I will see what happened the past, how many
16
17 votes were cast in the past; you know, how different
18 candidates perform and then we usually try to -- we
call it election math, essentially, so we try to, you
19
20 know, game out, okay, this is what's happened before,
this is what we think is likely to happen in this
21
22 next election, and so let's develop a strategy to
kind of get you potentially to win.
23
24 So we use the voter file. We use election
25 results. We use other types of modeling and other

1 strategies to try to help them be as efficient as
2 they can with the resources usually limited and how they can go about trying to be successful, and so on.
3
4 Q. Mr. Brill, do you have any experience evaluating the voting trends among various
5
6 demographics?
A. Yes.
7
8 Q. And do you have -- describe that
9 experience.
10 A. Yes. So generally it usually breaks down.
11 We look at individual -- different individual demographics and trends. So, for instance, we'll
12
13 look at everything from a voter's age to race, gender, ethnicity. We use polling to try to tease
14
15 out, you know, where our voters kind of coming down on an issue or candidate based on those demographics.
16
17 We'll also use the geographic information that's
18 available so, you know, whatever comes in from the census. There's also election results and try to
19
20 package all that together into a strategy that they could use to try and contact these specific voters in
21
22 certain areas. And again, it's really about trying to find these deficiencies because there's a lot of
23
24 voters and trying to figure out which ones you want
25 to try to engage with and how to engage with them.

1 Q. And I take it in your experience you have
2 experience analyzing voting trends; correct?
A. Yes.
3
4 Q. Do you have experience -- have you ever
been an expert witness before?
5
6 A. I have not, no.
Q. Okay. Have you -- do you have experience
7
8 in evaluating voting participation?
9 A. Yes.
10 Q. Okay. And what is that experience?
11 A. Generally, that will -- we'll look at, you
know, past turnout trends. So we'll see, you know,
12
13 what does turnout look like in previous elections.
We will look at things like drop-off, especially for
14
15 our clients that are down-ticket to try to determine
-- you know, how to mitigate drop-off if you can, and
16
17 we'll work with, you know, trying to, you know, use
18 again, the voter file, kind of geographic data to
kind of craft -- you know, look at the trends that
19
20 especially, you know, demographically is also
politically to try to see, you know, how leverage
21
22 those trends to be, again, successful in their
campaign and for everyone.
23
24 Q. Let me take one piece of that and ask you
25 another question on it. Did you say that you might

1 be engaged by a down-ballot candidate?

2 A. Yes.
Q. And is one of the concerns they would have
3

4 being under-voting? Is that -- might be a concern?
A. Correct. Yes.
5

6 Q. Okay. And would your work involve
evaluating why people don't vote for a particular
7

8 race if they are voting at all?

9 A. Correct. Yes.

10 Q. Okay.

11 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would like to tender Mr.
Brill as an expert witness on voting trends and on reasons
12

13 for under-voting and for his evaluation of specific
results of the 2018 election.
14

15 MR. TYSON: I'd like to ask more questions of Mr.
Brill, if I may.
16

17 THE COURT: Yes. Go ahead.

18 MR. TYSON: Good morning, Mr. Brill. My name is
Bryan Tyson. I represent the Gwinnett County Board of
19

20 Registrations and Elections. I just had a couple of
questions for you.
21

22

CROSS-EXAMINATION
23

24 OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL

25

1 BY MR. TYSON:

2 Q. You were explaining earlier to Mr. Brown about your role with voting trends and those kinds of

3 things. Have you ever had any study or done any analysis of ballot design?

5 A. Ballot design? No.

6 Q. Have you had any experience in election

7 administration in terms of you personally helping

8 administer an election?

9 A. No.

10 Q. Do you have any knowledge of DRE machines in Georgia or how they function technically?

11 A. No.

12 Q. Okay. So it's correct, isn't it, you have

13 no firsthand knowledge of any DRE machine in the state of Georgia that was used in the 2018 general

14 election; correct?

15 A. Correct.

16 Q. Okay.

17 MR. TYSON: All right, Your Honor, at this time we would -- Gwinnett County would object mostly on those

18 questions I'd asked first.

19 THE COURT: Anybody else got any questions?

20 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, we object to Mr. Brill's --

21 THE COURT: No, I understand that. Let me do --

1 MR. TYSON: Yes. I'm sorry.

2 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, just a couple questions.

3

4 CROSS-EXAMINATION
OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL

5

6 BY MR. LINDSEY:

7

8 Q. You've never worked on a campaign in

9 Georgia; is that correct?

10 A. Like a candidate campaign?

11 Q. Yeah.
A. No.

12

13 Q. Okay.
MR. LINDSEY: No further questions.

14

15 MS. BURWELL: I have no questions.
THE COURT: Okay.

16

17 MS. BURWELL: Okay.

18 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, we do object to Mr. Brill's
admission as an expert on this case. First of all, on the

19

20 issue of voting trends, that has nothing to -- under
702(b) facts and issues of the case; voting trends are not

21

22 an issue in this case.
As to -- in terms of the reasons for the under-vote,

23

24 Mr. Brill just testified that he has no experience in

25 terms of ballot design, election administration, what's

1 going on with the DREs; and as to the specific results of
2 this particular under-vote we would, on the same issue,
that he has no experience that's relevant to the Court to
3
4 determine the issue of this particular case.
THE COURT: Anyone else at this time? Nope? Okay.
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: We would join in the objection, Your
Honor.
7
8 MR. BROWN: Yeah, Your Honor, the argument that Mr.
9 Tyson made was not about what he's being tendered as an
10 expert in. In that end, I have established that he does
11 have expertise in what he's going to testify about. And
it is abundantly clear under Georgia law that he should be
12
13 qualified as a witness to both discuss the actual election
results and what possible reasons for the under-vote there
14
15 might be. And it may go to wait, but it certainly does
not go to admissibility. Thank you, Your Honor.
16
17 THE COURT: I'm not going to qualify him as an
18 expert. I don't think that's an area of expertise. And
I'm having trouble with that. He's going to say why the
19
20 numbers were this way and what could have happened. An
expert usually says what has happened, and I'm not going
21
22 to let you qualify him as an expert. You can ask him
questions and see where we go.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Sure. I'm going to ask you some
25 questions about what has happened.

1

2 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL

3

4 BY MR. BROWN:

5

6 Q. You with me, Mr. Brill?
A. Yes.

7

8 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, this is a borderline issue
9 and it may be rearguing and if it is, I apologize, but I -
10 - this is an important witness to us and an important line
11 of inquiry.
We would be asking Mr. Brill to explain possible
12
13 causes for under-voting and the point of that testimony is
to rebut the -- is to address the issues of why other than
14
15 machine malfunction there might be for an under-vote. And
he has testified that he advises clients on that issue and
16
17 that that is something that he is knowledgeable about.
18 THE COURT: Years ago I was trying a case in front of
old Judge [inaudible], he was quite a character, and me
19
20 and the DA -- I was defending -- me and the DA were going
at it and he goes, doesn't a judge get time to think?
21
22 Give me time to think about this one a little bit.
Let me -- and make sure I understand you. He wants to put
23
24 up a bunch of ideas about why there was an under-vote.
25 And you think that's an expertise?

1 MR. BROWN: Based upon his experience and his
2 knowledge about what to address, the possible areas of under-voting, of why it would be under-voting and why it
3
4 might not be based upon his knowledge and experience.
THE COURT: Well, I don't see that he has any
5
6 expertise. I'm having trouble with that. You know, he's done some things and he's got some ideas; that doesn't
7
8 make him an expert. He doesn't have any training; he
9 doesn't have any background; he doesn't have -- I'll let
10 you ask the questions and we'll see where we go.
11 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Uh-huh.
12
13 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Did you review the results of the 2018
14
15 election in Georgia?
A. Yes.
16
17 Q. And describe for the Court where you looked
18 at and what you reviewed.
A. Yeah. So I examined the totals that were
19
20 cast for eight ticket races that were on the ballot from governor down to I believe commissioner of
21
22 labor. And then I examined the under-vote that took place for each of those races to try to see, you
23
24 know, what that trend looked like and that's when I
25 first saw, you know, the outlier and the anomaly that

1 was the lieutenant governor's vote totals.

2 So what my analysis kind of focused on was trying to figure out exactly what -- where that

3

4 under-vote came from, what counties, why -- you know, why vote the way it did and what kind of reasons, you

5

6 know, that could possibly, you know, entail for it.
Q. And the information that you received came

7

8 from the Secretary of State's databases; is that

9 right?

10 A. Correct. Yes.

11 Q. And in the course of your work, do you sometimes also review data from other states?

12

13 A. Correct. Uh-huh.
Q. And do you also review historical data,

14

15 like say, from Georgia?
A. Yes.

16

17 Q. And did you look at the Secretary of

18 State's information in Georgia on older Georgia races?

19

20 A. Yes.
Q. And did you compare the voting trends and

21

22 patterns between this race and prior Georgia races in other states, lieutenant governor races?

23

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. And is that the kind of work that you do

1 for a living also for your clients?

2 A. Yes.
Q. And does that require you to look at
3

4 various databases and to sift a lot of different
information in a systematic way?
5

6 A. Yes.
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, Mr. Brown is leading the
7

8 witness on a lot of these points and he's testifying. I

9 think he needs to ask questions that are directly

10 addressing the witness.

11 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Brill, in your experience, have you
12

13 developed an expertise or understanding of reasons
for under-voting?
14

15 A. Yes.
Q. And without disclosing the reasons for
16

17 under-voting can you testify as to how you gained

18 that experience and expertise?
A. By examining thousands of elections across
19

20 all 50 states.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would like to retender Mr.
21

22 Brill as an expert on under-voting and the particular
reasons for under-voting, and how his understanding of
23

24 under-voting relates to what he's observed in the results

25 which are in evidence of the Georgia election.

1 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, we'll renew our objection on
2 this point as to Mr. Brill; that he certainly could have looked at a lot of numbers, but numbers alone are not
3
4 going to tell you why something is happening and I think that's what Mr. Brown is trying to get at as to Mr.
5
6 Brill's opinion about why and he has not shown Mr. Brill has expertise from just looking at various numbers on that
7
8 point.
9 MR. LINDSEY: I'll also add to that objection, Your
10 Honor. There's been no testimony whatsoever that this
11 individual has any intimate knowledge regarding the details of this particular race that took place;
12
13 therefore, he would not be qualified to be a --
THE COURT: I'm not going to qualify him as an
14
15 expert. I mean, the reasons why, I mean, there are some pretty obvious simple statements in any race, but I have
16
17 trouble with reasons why. You can testify to numbers and
18 all that kind of thing. I don't see -- reasons why, this isn't going. Next question.
19
20 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: P-3?
21
22 MR. BROWN: Yes.
BY MR. BROWN:
23
24 Q. Mr. Brill, is P-3 your affidavit?
25 A. I'm sorry?

1 Q. Is P-3 your --

2 MR. BROWN: Well, Plaintiff's Exhibit 3 your affidavit?

3

4 [Thereupon, the referred-to document was entered into

5

6 evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 3]

7

8 THE WITNESS: Oh, I -- yes.

9 BY MR. BROWN:

10 Q. And without disclosing any contents yet --

11 MR. TYSON: Counsel, could I get a copy?
MR. BROWN: Oh, I'm very sorry. Sorry about that.

12

13 THE COURT: Let me cycle the record to everybody. Various people made proffers of evidence; I did not look

14

15 at any of them. Everything should come in the courtroom.
BY MR. BROWN:

16

17 Q. Mr. Brill, without disclosing the contents

18 of it yet, at the plaintiff's request you prepared a report on the analysis of the 2018 Georgia lieutenant

19

20 governor under-vote; correct?
A. Correct.

21

22 Q. And in the course of that you observed certain numbers that came from the Secretary of

23

24 State; correct?

25 A. Correct.

1 Q. And did you analyze those -- well, did you
2 present those numbers on page two of your report to the Coalition?
3
4 A. Yes. I did.
5 Q. Okay. Let me refer you to Table 1, which
6 is entitled, Total 2018 Under-Vote by Office; do you see that?
7
8 A. Yes.
9 Q. Does that -- is that table an accurate
10 report of information from the Secretary of State's
11 website?
12 A. Yes.
13 Q. And it shows -- and just explain in terms of your terminology these columns. The first column
14
15 is the name of the race; correct?
16 A. Correct.
17 Q. The second one is the total number of votes
18 that that race attracted; correct?
19 A. Correct.
20 Q. And the third is what you call the under-vote; is that right?
21
22 A. Yes.
23 Q. And here -- the way you do it, the under-
24 vote is a comparison between the particular race and
25 the governor's race; is that --

1 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, if I could object. Mr.
2 Brill can testify to what these terms mean instead of Mr. Brown explaining those and he's the leading the witness
3
4 again on that.
MR. BROWN: Sure. I'll withdraw the question. I'm
5
6 just trying to speed it up, Your Honor.
BY MR. BROWN:
7
8 Q. Mr. Brill, what is the under-vote -- what
9 is the calculation for the under-vote?
10 A. I calculated it by comparing the total that
11 was cast for the governor's election and comparing that against the total that was cast for every other
12
13 election [inaudible].
Q. Is the under-vote different than the under-
14
15 vote of the total number of people who voted?
A. It would be, yes. Yes.
16
17 Q. Is that because some people don't even vote
18 for a governor?
A. Correct.
19
20 Q. Okay. Do you have an understanding of how many, just in general terms, people in this election
21
22 did not vote for governor?
A. I would have to double check, but I think
23
24 it was something along the lines of 10 to 15,000,
25 maybe. I don't have an exact number in front of me.

1 Q. Fair to say it would be a small portion of
2 even 1 percent?
A. It's a fraction of a percent.
3
4 Q. And then in the last column it says,
dropout versus governor; do you see that?
5
6 A. Yes.
Q. And that would reflect the percent -- what
7
8 does that -- what is that calculation?
9 A. So that calculation again is just taking
10 the under-vote and -- as the percentage of the total
11 cast for governor. So, example, lieutenant governor,
the under-vote was about 4 percent lower than the
12
13 total that was cast for governor; and then secretary
of state, 1.4 percent and so forth. It's just taking
14
15 the under-vote number there and dividing it by the
total votes cast for governor.
16
17 Q. Let me direct your -- you testified earlier
18 that you reviewed data on the Secretary of State's
website relating to prior elections in Georgia; is
19
20 that correct?
A. Yes.
21
22 Q. And are those presented in Table 2?
A. Yes, they are.
23
24 Q. And if you would just describe to the Court
25 what those rows and columns show.

1 A. Yes. So, it's again the same calculation
2 as in Table 1, except looking at elections from 2002 to 2018, and so essentially in calculating that
3
4 under-vote percentage for each of those races across election -- across each election cycle up to 2018.
5
6 Q. And which has the highest under-vote total?
A. The 2018 lieutenant governor's race.
7
8 Q. And looking at the four prior races, have
9 you calculate the average under-vote for the
10 lieutenant governor's race for those four years?
11 A. Yes. It was around 0.8 percent.
Q. And can you do in your head -- doing the
12
13 math it would be necessary to determine what the under-vote number would be if the historical trend of
14
15 .8 were applied today?
A. If you have a percentage, the under-vote
16
17 would be around 31,532 -- thousand votes on under-
18 vote assumption.
THE COURT: Say that again. Someone coughed.
19
20 THE WITNESS: 31,532, I believe. In that range. I can double check exactly -- that exact amount.
21
22 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. And so rather than the under-vote being --
23
24 let me direct your attention back up to Table 1.
25 A. Uh-huh.

1 Q. Rather than the under-vote being 159,024,
2 if it had tracked the historical trend, it would be that number less 31,000-something; correct?
3
4 A. Correct, yeah.
Q. Okay.
5
6 A. Given all the -- what we know about elections, yes. That would be correct.
7
8 Q. Okay. Now, did you review data from other
9 states lieutenant governor elections?
10 A. I did, yes.
11 Q. And is -- does Chart 1 on the next page of your affidavit show that information?
12
13 A. Yes.
Q. That chart --
14
15 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, did I neglect to give you a copy of this affidavit?
16
17 THE COURT: No. That's not in evidence.
18 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. The long bar here is from California?
19
20 A. Correct.
Q. And did you do research to determine why
21
22 California had a much higher under-vote percentage?
A. Yes.
23
24 Q. And did you -- and what did you find?
25 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object. Mr. Brill's

1 going to be testifying to why California had a higher
2 under-vote percentage. I think under your prior explanation of terms in the opinion that's not an
3
4 appropriate area for him to testify to.
THE COURT: Sustained.
5
6 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Brill, I'm not going to ask you about
7
8 why California looked that way. My next question is,
9 apart from California, what state had the highest
10 under-vote total?
11 A. Georgia.
Q. Let me direct your attention to the next
12
13 page of your analysis.
Did you have the opportunity to compare the
14
15 under-voting in the Georgia race between votes that were cast electronically on the one hand in votes
16
17 that were cast on paper?
18 A. Yes.
Q. And where did you get that information
19
20 from?
A. From the Secretary of State's website.
21
22 They make that county-level canvas available that I use.
23
24 Q. Okay. And does Chart 2, on the next page
25 of your report, show the under-vote percentage by

1 office and vote method?

2 A. It does.
Q. And if you would just explain to the Court,
3

4 first for the lieutenant governor, what those bars
show.
5

6 A. So, I had to look at three here. The first
was Election Day under-vote and what that shows is
7

8 that lieutenant governor was about 4.5 percent rate

9 of under-vote. Advanced voting of the early vote

10 person was around 3.9 percent. But then absentee

11 voting was down to 1 percent.
Q. And is your understanding that advanced
12

13 voting is electronic voting?
A. That's my understanding, yes.
14

15 Q. And so looking at the -- these bars, paper
voting had only a 1 percent under-vote; is that
16

17 correct?

18 A. Yes.
Q. But the electronic had over 4 percent
19

20 under-vote; is that correct?
A. Correct.
21

22 Q. Okay. Did you see that same -- those same
types of numbers when you reviewed the Secretary of
23

24 State race or the attorney general race?

25 A. I did not.

1 Q. And what did you find when you reviewed
2 those?
3 A. When I reviewed those, I found that the
4 under-vote percentages were relatively even across
5 all those methods.
6 THE COURT: Say that again. Way too fast.
7 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I found that the under-vote
8 was relatively consistent percentage-wise across all three
9 methods of voting when you look at Secretary of State,
10 attorney general.
11 BY MR. BROWN:
12 Q. And so, you don't -- to make sure I'm clear
13 on this. So you don't see the disparity between
14 paper votes and electronic votes in the Secretary of
15 State's race or the attorney general's race that you
16 saw in the lieutenant governor's race; is that
17 correct?
18 MR. TYSON: Objection, Your Honor. Leading.
19 THE COURT: Rephrase. Sometimes when there's an
20 objection you don't bother responding and I -- on the
21 record it looks like I didn't give you a chance to speak.
22 Well, I'm giving you a chance to speak; okay?
23 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I'll withdraw the question.
24 THE COURT: Okay.
25 BY MR. BROWN:

1 Q. Let's go through these individual numbers,
2 Mr. Brill. The Secretary of State's office, what was the Election Day percent under-vote?
3
4 A. 1.5 percent.
5 Q. What was the advanced voting percentage
6 under-vote for the Secretary of State?
7 A. 1.3 percent.
8 Q. And then what was the absentee voting
9 percent?
10 A. 1.4 percent.
11 Q. And then for the attorney general, what was the under-vote for Election Day?
12
13 A. 2.2 percent.
14 Q. What was the percentage for advanced
15 voting?
16 A. 1.8 percent.
17 Q. And what was the percentage for absentee
18 voting?
19 A. 1.7 percent.
20 Q. And just to review for the record to make sure I have it in there, on the lieutenant governor's
21
22 race, what was the percentage for Election Day voting?
23
24 A. 4.5.
25 THE COURT: 4.5 percent. 3.9 percent, 1 percent.

1 Got it.

2 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Paying attention.
3

4 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. Thank you, Your Honor.
5

6 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Brill, in your experience have you seen
7

8 a voting pattern ever before that looks like the

9 voting pattern in the vote for the lieutenant

10 governor's race in Georgia?

11 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object that Mr. Brill is not qualified as an expert on this.
12

13 THE COURT: He's not qualified as an expert, Mr. Brown. I'm not -- he's putting numbers -- he can put in
14

15 the numbers. There's other things you can argue.
MR. BROWN: May I ask him what numbers he's never
16

17 seen that resemble this, Your Honor.

18 THE COURT: Well, just -- just watch where you go. I mean, you ask the questions, I'll rule as we go.
19

20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I'll ask this.
BY MR. BROWN:
21

22 Q. And Mr. Brill, don't answer, because there
may be an objection. Have you seen numbers in your
23

24 review of all of the races that you've reviewed in

25 the course of your work that resemble the pattern

1 seen in Georgia, ever?

2 MR. TYSON: And Your Honor, I'll object on that point, as we haven't laid a foundation for any --

3

4 THE COURT: We're dealing with this -- this race. I'm not dealing with California, Nevada, wherever. I'm

5

6 dealing with Georgia.
MR. BROWN: And I would like to ask a question for

7

8 the record.

9 BY MR. BROWN:

10 Q. Were you able to reach any conclusions

11 about whether the data that you reviewed cast a doubt over the accuracy of the final vote? And don't

12

13 answer yet.
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, objection for the same reason

14

15 that was stated.
THE COURT: I mean, you got the information from the

16

17 Secretary of State. Whether it's valid or not; who knows?

18 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, let me --
THE COURT: He -- he got the number [inaudible] that

19

20 what's he testified to. So I'm taking the numbers.
MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor. The reason for asking

21

22 it is that it is, in my view, it is probative and it goes to weight as to whether this witness based upon his

23

24 experience believes that the numbers cast doubt upon the

25 election. That's the reason for asking.

1 THE COURT: No. He can't -- he can't say that one
2 way or the other. That's -- that's -- can be my decision, I'm afraid. Okay.
3
4 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, if I may have just a --
THE COURT: Surely.
5
6 MR. BROWN: -- minute.
BY MR. BROWN:
7
8 Q. Mr. Brill, have you had the opportunity to
9 review the voting numbers that appear in the brief
10 that was filed by Defendant Duncan in this case?
11 A. I believe so, yes.
THE COURT: Didn't you stipulate that at the last
12
13 hearing?
MR. BROWN: Huh?
14
15 THE COURT: Wasn't there a stipulation that those numbers were correct at the last hearing?
16
17 MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.
18 THE COURT: Hmm? I thought there was. I thought we --
19
20 MR. BROWN: Yes.
THE COURT: So you would -- because I remember the
21
22 statement that you wouldn't have to prove them again. So they're stipulated so you can talk about them.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor. Okay.
25 BY MR. BROWN:

1 Q. Mr. Brill, did you identify any misleading
2 or incorrect statements in the brief?
3 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'm going to object to --
4 THE COURT: You stipulated, Mr. Brown.
5 MR. LINDSEY: I'm going to object to this.
6 THE COURT: You stipulated.
7 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor, this is --
8 THE COURT: You can talk about -- okay. And the
9 language misleading and all this kind of stuff. Are your
10 numbers different from their numbers?
11 MR. LINDSEY: Yes. Your Honor, we're here today to
12 listen to -- to present evidence to you as to our
13 particular points. Both sides have delivered a brief to
14 you beforehand. I have no intention to simply bring up
15 their briefs and, you know, let's stick to the evidence as
16 presented here today. That being said --
17 THE COURT: Get -- get to your --
18 MR. LINDSEY: -- got my math off a little, Your
19 Honor.
20 THE COURT: Get to your point.
21 MR. LINDSEY: Right.
22 THE COURT: If you will, Mr. Brown.
23 BY MR. BROWN:
24 Q. Did you have a chance to review the numbers
25 reflected in Paragraph 1 under District 4?

1 THE COURT: Paragraph 1, Exhibit 4.

2 A. I don't think I have that document with me.
Q. Okay.
3

4 THE COURT: Exhibit A, you mean? You're talking
about Exhibit A?
5

6 MR. BROWN: This is in their brief, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Well, let me see what I'm looking at.
7

8 [inaudible] numbers, okay. Go ahead, see where we're

9 headed.

10 BY MR. BROWN:

11 Q. Looking at brief -- do you have that in
front of you?
12

13 A. I do.
THE COURT: Which brief? There are --
14

15 MR. BROWN: This is Exhibit 4. This is the response
to Defendant Geoff Duncan to plaintiff's renewed discovery
16

17 demands and motion for continuance and --

18 THE COURT: That's not the one I'm looking at. I'm
looking at the numbers on the back of the opposition to
19

20 plaintiff's motion for continuance.
MR. BROWN: Sorry.
21

22 THE COURT: I don't know which other set you got.
MR. BROWN: Okay. Sorry.
23

24 THE COURT: Go ahead. Ask him the question.

25 BY MR. BROWN:

1 Q. Did you have an opportunity to -- if you
2 would look at that -- point number one, the impact of
third-party candidates; do you see that?
3
4 A. I don't have that in front of me at the
moment. I remember going over it but that's not the
5
6 brief that's in front of me.
Q. Okay. Okay.
7
8 MR. BROWN: I don't have any further questions.
9 Thank you.
10 MR. TYSON: Very briefly, Your Honor.
11
RECROSS-EXAMINATION
12
13 OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL
14
15 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. Mr. Brill, when you looked at the historic
16
17 patterns, the numbers you testified to earlier, the
18 past Georgia races, did you look at any races for
Public Service Commission?
19
20 A. I did not, no.
Q. And you know that's a statewide race in
21
22 Georgia; correct?
A. I do, yes.
23
24 Q. Did you look at any races where Georgia had
25 a U.S. Senate race at the same time as a governor's

1 race?

2 A. I did not.
Q. Thank you.
3

4 MR. LINDSEY: Just a few questions, sir.

5

6 RECROSS-EXAMINATION
OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL
7

8

9 BY MR. LINDSEY:

10 Q. Did you in any way do any review of the

11 conduct of the campaign in the closing days of the
campaign?
12

13 A. I did not.
Q. Okay. You, as someone who's been a
14

15 political consultant does, that the conduct in the
campaign can often change how voters vote in the last
16

17 days; correct?

18 A. Right.
Q. Okay. A candidate, and sometimes that
19

20 changes the numbers between early voting and the day
of the voting; correct?
21

22 A. Correct.
Q. And sometimes it can even change whether or
23

24 not voters choose to vote in a particular race on

25 Election Day that earlier voters may vote for;

1 correct?

2 A. That would -- I mean, that would, I suppose.

3

4 Q. Yeah, fair enough. That would [inaudible]. For instance, if you had a particular candidate

5

6 running for lieutenant governor as a Democrat and in the closing days of the campaign she had several very

7

8 negative press articles about her firm, about her

9 company that she headed up, in which there was

10 allegation of racial discrimination, [inaudible]

11 racial discrimination, that would have a negative impact on Democratic voters in particular; correct?

12

13 A. It could, yeah.
Q. It probably would dampen their enthusiasm

14

15 to vote for her; correct?
A. It could, but I don't know the context, so

16

17 I'd have to [inaudible]. It would take quite a bit

18 of effort, thought to --
Q. Okay.

19

20 A. -- [inaudible] votes.
Q. And you don't know the context because you

21

22 didn't follow the details of this race; correct?
A. Correct.

23

24 Q. Okay. And you have no knowledge as -- for

25 instance, as to tracking polling that took place in

1 the closing days of the campaign; correct?

2 A. Correct.
Q. Now Ms. Amico -- now, you sort of focused
3

4 on the total number of votes that were cast. Ms.
Amico did not receive the least number of votes for a
5

6 Democrat in this -- in the 2018 -- in statewide races
in 2018; correct?
7

8 A. Correct.

9 Q. As a matter of fact, her votes were

10 somewhere in the middle of all the votes along the

11 various folks who ran statewide in Georgia who were
Democrats; correct?
12

13 A. I'd have to look into that [inaudible] --
Q. Okay.
14

15 A. -- [inaudible].
Q. All right. So -- but you didn't -- but you
16

17 are familiar that she did sort of run -- one the

18 better term, the middle one, the pack among
Democrats; correct?
19

20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, this is not relevant. The
claim is that there were under-votes for this election,
21

22 not that one particular candidate received less votes.
Obviously, it's the total number of votes that are
23

24 claimed.

25 THE COURT: He went into what the attorney general

1 did, what the secretary of state, so I think -- you did,
2 so he's entitled to respond to that.
MR. LINDSEY: Yeah.
3
4 THE WITNESS: I didn't specifically analyze performance in this analysis. It was mostly just actual
5
6 vote totals.
BY MR. LINDSEY:
7
8 Q. Okay. For instance, you're not aware that
9 the Democratic candidates for agriculture, school
10 board superintendent, Public Service Commission, and
11 commissioner of insurance, Democratic candidates all received fewer votes than Ms. Amico in this
12
13 particular race?
A. And again, I'd have to double-check.
14
15 Q. Okay. And in fact, for two other races, those being another Public Service Commission and
16
17 Labor Commission race, Ms. Amico was within 8,000 or
18 less votes of the Democrats in those races; are you aware of that?
19
20 A. Again, I have to study that independent study --
21
22 Q. Okay.
A. -- candidate performance.
23
24 Q. All right. In fact, the only races in
25 which she received fewer votes than other Democrats

1 was Ms. Abrams' race, Mr. Barrow's race, and Mr.
2 Charles Bailey's race for attorney general. Are you aware of that?
3
4 A. Again, I'd have to study --
Q. Okay.
5
6 A. -- I didn't study candidate performance.
Q. All right. Even though you haven't studied
7
8 the performance, you are aware of the fact that Ms.
9 Abrams was a very high-profile candidate for
10 governor?
11 A. Correct.
Q. Okay. And are you familiar with the fact
12
13 that Mr. Barrow was a very high-profile candidate for Secretary of State on the Democratic side?
14
15 A. I'm not aware.
Q. Okay. Are you aware of the fact, for
16
17 instance, that he had been prior to this race a five-
18 term Congressman in Georgia?
A. I was not aware of that.
19
20 Q. Okay. And voter ID is very important in regards to individuals -- in regards to folks --
21
22 getting folks to vote for you; correct?
A. Voter ID?
23
24 Q. Yeah.
25 A. I'm sorry. I don't quite understand that,

1 the link.

2 THE COURT: Explain that application. I think you mean one thing and he's thinking another thing.

3

4 MR. LINDSEY: Okay.
BY MR. LINDSEY:

5

6 Q. You said you looked at other races in Georgia's past. Did you look at the number of new

7

8 voters that were -- that cast votes in 2018 versus

9 previous years?

10 A. No, I did not.

11 Q. Okay. So, are you aware of the fact that there was almost -- where there was a -- possibly a

12

13 three-and-a-half-time increase in new voters?
A. That I -- I don't know that exact number

14

15 but that would not surprise me.
Q. Okay. And based on your experience as a

16

17 political consultant, you know, that when it comes to

18 new voter, particularly down-ballot, it takes a lot more education to try to educate those new voters

19

20 than someone who's voted year in and year out; correct?

21

22 A. That's right.
MR. LINDSEY: No further questions.

23

24 THE COURT: [inaudible]?

25 MS. BURWELL: No questions, Your Honor.

1 [inaudible]

2 THE COURT: [inaudible]

3

4 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL

5

6 BY MR. BROWN:

7

8 Q. You testified in response to a question by

9 Mr. Lindsey, about whether you looked at the

10 lieutenant governor total in a race in which there

11 was a U.S. Senate race and there was a race in the governor's race; do you recall that?

12

13 A. Yes.
Q. And let me direct your attention to the

14

15 year 2014 and was there a Senate -- a U.S. Senate race in Georgia in 2014 involving David Perdue?

16

17 A. I believe so, yes.

18 Q. And what was the under-vote total in 2014?
A. Between -- I'm sorry?

19

20 Q. What was the under-vote for lieutenant governor in 2014?

21

22 A. The percentage?
Q. Yes.

23

24 A. 0.8 percent.

25 Q. Okay. You were asked some questions, and I

1 want to explore your answers to the questions on
2 cross-examination a little bit more. In particular, you were asked to give your opinion on some matters.
3
4 Now, you were asked whether under-voting could be caused by new voters being -- not having enough
5
6 attention on the -- about it; do you recall that testimony?
7
8 A. Yes.
9 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object to that. I don't
10 believe that's the question Mr. Brill was asked, but the
11 question was --
THE COURT: He didn't -- he didn't phrase it that
12
13 way. I will let you ask a question --
MR. BROWN: Okay.
14
15 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. In that regard, in your experience, what
16
17 are the more common reasons for under-voting?
18 THE COURT: No. That's -- that's not the appropriate question.
19
20 MR. TYSON: Yeah.
THE COURT: The question is about new voters. You
21
22 can ask him about new voters.
MR. BROWN: Just for the record, Your Honor, the
23
24 question called for the same type of expertise that my
25 question calls for.

1 THE COURT: Sir, I said you can -- you can only go
2 into what he talked about as to new voters.
MR. BROWN: Okay.
3
4 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Are -- do new voters sometimes vote less in
5
6 campaigns for various reasons?
A. It depends on the context of the election,
7
8 but new voters -- you know, we don't find any sort
9 of, you know, when they under-vote generally -- or it
10 can be -- for starters, we don't know exactly who
11 under-votes. I mean, I think that's point number
one. We don't know that. We don't have any way of
12
13 getting that information.
It's speculation when we see high turnout
14
15 elections and that encourages more infrequent newer
voters that come to the polls and if we see higher
16
17 rates of under-voting, that is sort of, you know,
18 something that we consider, but generally when we see
that under-vote it's very consistent, and so it
19
20 starts at one level at the top of the ballot and then
it goes down, then that under-vote kind of -- it will
21
22 increase as you go down the ballot.
I've never seen a type of under-vote where
23
24 voters, who generally don't know who these candidates
25 are anyway, decides to skip one race and then start

1 voting for commission of agriculture at higher rates
2 or commissioner of insurance at higher rates. That would be the first time I've ever seen something like
3
4 those.
Q. Thank you. You were asked by Mr. Lindsey a
5
6 number of questions of whether there were some other candidates who had received fewer votes than Ms.
7
8 Amico; do you recall that?
9 A. Yes.
10 Q. And in fact he actually had better
11 questions to ask and one would have been, isn't it true that the libertarian candidate got way more
12
13 votes -- way fewer votes than Ms. Amico in the governor's race?
14
15 A. I believe so, yeah.
Q. And so is it germane to your understanding
16
17 of the voting patterns that there might be some
18 candidates that got fewer votes down ballot or up ballot if they're third-party candidates or not
19
20 popular? Do you have anything to do with that?
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, gets back to the why. It
21
22 gets back to the why. He can testify as to what, but he's now entering into the why again. He's trying to get his
23
24 witness as an expert to the back door. He couldn't do it
25 through the front, he shouldn't be allowed to do it

1 through the back. [inaudible]

2 MR. BROWN: I'll withdraw the question, Your Honor.
BY MR. BROWN:

3

4 Q. I want to get back to the numbers because
you were asked about other down ballot races by Mr.

5

6 Lindsey, did you review the numbers on the state
representative seats up for election in 2018?

7

8 A. I did, yes.

9 Q. And did -- what did you find with respect

10 to whether -- about the voting patterns with respect

11 to those contests?
A. So, in those contests I examined the number

12

13 of votes that were cast for state representative to
try to establish the baseline in an election that I

14

15 know usually has, you know, high rates of under-
voting. What I found in that case was that there

16

17 were about a thousand precincts statewide where there

18 were actually fewer votes cast for lieutenant
governor than there were for state representative,

19

20 which again is odd.
Q. Let me direct your -- well, did you --

21

22 THE COURT: [inaudible]
THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I'm sorry. I'm sorry, Your

23

24 Honor.

25 BY MR. BROWN:

1 Q. Did you determine in how many of the
2 precinct -- precincts the Democratic candidate for state representative received all of the votes cast?
3
4 A. One -- yeah. Yes. 137 precincts.
Q. Okay. And in those -- did you determine
5
6 whether in those precincts --
THE COURT: 137 precincts?
7
8 THE WITNESS: Correct. 137.
9 THE COURT: What counties?
10 THE WITNESS: Across the state.
11 THE COURT: Are those [inaudible] 137 precincts in the whole state?
12
13 THE WITNESS: No, no. There are about 137 precincts where the candidate for state representative for the
14
15 Democratic --
THE COURT: Got more votes --
16
17 THE WITNESS: Got 100 percent of the votes, which
18 goes back to my points in the first part of the paper, which generally when a race is --
19
20 THE COURT: Okay. Don't go -- don't go --
MR. BROWN: Sorry. Thanks.
21
22 THE COURT: Because that'll get [inaudible].
MR. BROWN: Okay.
23
24 BY MR. BROWN:
25 Q. Did you determine in the races for state

1 representative in how many precincts the state
2 representative race got more votes than the lieutenant governor race?
3
4 A. Yes.
Q. And what did you find?
5
6 A. I found a thousand -- 1,012 precincts; 38 percent of the precincts statewide when the state
7
8 representative had more votes cast than the
9 lieutenant governor.
10 Q. Did you have the opportunity -- or did you
11 undertake to -- you were talking about other races. Did you compare the lieutenant governor race to the
12
13 race for attorney general?
A. I compared. Yes.
14
15 Q. And what did you find?
A. So in that case I found that there were
16
17 much fewer -- I'd have to go, I'd have to see the
18 exact number, but it was --
Q. Let me correct that. My question was
19
20 poorly framed.
A. 410.
21
22 Q. Did you compare the votes for state representative and attorney general?
23
24 A. Yes.
25 Q. And what did you find there?

1 A. What I found there, there were about 410
2 precincts statewide where there were more votes cast for state representative for -- than lieutenant
3
4 governor.
Q. Okay. Thank you. [inaudible]
5
6 MR. TYSON: Just briefly, Your Honor.
7
8 CROSS-EXAMINATION
9 OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL
10
11 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. Mr. Brill, you'd agree with me, wouldn't
12
13 you, that the 2018 election in Georgia was a very high turnout election, right?
14
15 A. Yes.
Q. And I asked you a question that I had not
16
17 worded quite correctly previously. Did you in any of
18 your analyses look at a race where there was a governor's race and no U.S. Senate race happening at
19
20 the same time, like what happened in 2018?
A. A governor's race with no U.S. Senate race?
21
22 Q. Yes.
A. I didn't look at that specifically.
23
24 Q. Okay. Mr. Brown asked you about the
25 precincts you analyzed for state representative; did

1 you break out those based on the DRE machines versus
2 absentee by mail or early voting categories, or did you look totally -- solely at precinct totals?
3
4 A. For this I looked at solely precinct totals.
5
6 Q. Okay. Thank you.
MR. TYSON: That's all I have.
7
8 MR. LINDSEY: Just real briefly.
9
10 CROSS-EXAMINATION
11 OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL
12
13 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. You were aware that in the AG's race there
14
15 was an incumbent running; correct?
A. Yes.
16
17 Q. And in the lieutenant governor's race there
18 were two newcomers coming -- running; correct?
A. Correct.
19
20 MR. LINDSEY: No further questions.
THE COURT: Mr. Brown, anything else?
21
22 MR. BROWN: I do not have anything further.
THE COURT: Okay. The witness may be excused.
23
24 Whatever he says. He's in charge of all that.
25 [inaudible].

1 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, at this point we have another
2 --
THE COURT: [inaudible] Are you taking notes on the
3
4 laptop?
THE NEWS REPORTER: Yes.
5
6 THE COURT: Did you comply with Rule 22?
THE NEWS REPORTER: Yes. [inaudible]
7
8 THE COURT: [inaudible]
9 THE NEWS REPORTER: Jordan [inaudible].
10 THE COURT: Who?
11 THE NEWS REPORTER: Jordan [inaudible], Your Honor.
MALE: [inaudible]
12
13 THE COURT: Okay.
MALE: Mr. [inaudible] did.
14
15 THE COURT: Making me nervous. Go ahead.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, logistically we have another
16
17 long witness. It would be convenient to have that go more
18 smoothly if we took our lunch break now, but of course
I'll defer to your schedule.
19
20 THE COURT: Anybody have an objection to taking lunch
now and coming back at 1:00? Let's take lunch now and
21
22 we'll back at 1:00.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
23
24
25 [Off the record at 11:41 a.m., and back on the record

1 at 1:00 p.m.]

2 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, in order to keep things

3 moving along, I just want to make sure I get all my
witnesses here or are here. This is our last witness.

5 THE COURT: You need time to do something?

6 MR. LINDSEY: No, no. I just want to make sure -- if

7 this is his last witness, I want to make sure I have

8 everybody here ready to go.

9 MR. BROWN: It depends on the ruling that she will

10 make. I have one live witness and one witness who I would
like to testify by telephone if the Court allows. Just to

12 give you an idea.

13 MR. LINDSEY: Okay, that's fine. I just want to --

14 I'll call my folks and make sure everybody's here. That's
all I wanted to know.

16 THE COURT: Okay. You understand that I cannot see

17 the clock from here because it's dark back there. I
cannot see that clock. I see the circle and I see the

19 exit sign but I can't see the hands from back here because
it's black; there's no light back there. So I have to go

21 by my own watch, which is not --

22 MR. LINDSEY: Well, let the record reflect that Mr.

23 Brown has already insulted my eyesight once today.

24 Therefore [inaudible].

25

1 THE COURT: Ready when you're ready.

2 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, two introductory items.
First, we would like to tender into evidence Defendant
3

4 Robyn A. Crittenden's notice of limited offer -- proffer
of evidence. And let me explain this. The -- when she
5

6 was a party, the Secretary of State submitted this as a
proffer of evidence and it is the testimony of a witness
7

8 in the Curling versus Kemp case, and so I'm going to

9 accept their proffer and I'm tendering it into evidence.

10 And so it --

11 THE COURT: Any comment on that?
MR. LINDSEY: I'm going to object to it, Your Honor.
12

13 The Secretary of State is no longer in the case and we
haven't had a chance to cross-examine that witness.
14

15 THE COURT: It's hearsay. I'm absolute hearsay; I'm
not going to allow it into evidence. And as I said, I
16

17 didn't read it. I didn't read any of the stuff that was -

18 - I'm sorry. I'm old school. I believe that the evidence
should come out in the courtroom; there ought to be a rite
19

20 of cross-examination.
I happen to believe in the Constitution because I
21

22 wasn't raised with one; okay? So I'm partial to it. I'm
not going to let that in. It's not evidence.
23

24 MR. BROWN: May I respond just briefly, Your Honor?

25 THE COURT: You may respond.

1 MR. BROWN: This is evidence that the other side
2 introduced into evidence.
3 THE COURT: I don't -- I don't care who introduced
4 it. It's not admissible. It's hearsay. And one party
5 who's not in the case introduced it, the rest [inaudible].
6 I'm not going to allow it.
7 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. I'll go ahead and
8 mark it for --
9 THE COURT: You can put it in the record as a
10 proffer. Just --
11 MR. BROWN: -- as a proffer and proffer it as
12 evidence.
13 THE COURT: -- it's hearsay. They have a right, as
14 Mr. Lindsay said, to cross-examine it, and they didn't. So
15 --
16 MR. BROWN: That will -- what number is that? That's
17 number 4. Thank you, Your Honor.
18 The next witness we would like to call is Philip
19 Stark and Professor Stark is a professor at Berkeley and
20 we would like to introduce his testimony, with your
21 Court's permission, by telephone. And so, we would move
22 for that --
23 THE COURT: What does the defense say?
24 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, that's usually something
25 that's worked out before. There is a lot to be learned by

1 having the witness live to be able to cross-examine them
2 live right here. Now there are circumstances in which the parties do agree to do things by telephone. I've done it
3
4 a hundred times, if not more.
But this was not something that was worked out
5
6 previously. We would prefer to be able to cross-examine someone, and I'm sure Mr. Tyson would as well be able to
7
8 see someone in the eye to cross-examine them directly. So
9 we would object.
10 THE COURT: Mr. Tyson?
11 MR. TYSON: Yes, Your Honor. I'm pulling up the Rule right now but we'd be in the same boat. We'd like to have
12
13 -- this hearing has been on the books for over a month. We know it was going to be; we want to talk to Professor
14
15 Stark and cross-examine him live.
THE COURT: This case actually was set back December
16
17 5th. I'm reading things that say that's not so but it is
18 so. It was set November 5th [sic]; everybody's know- -- December 5th. Everybody's known about it.
19
20 I'm not going to do a witness by telephone. Part of -- it isn't words they say sometimes; it's the mannerisms.
21
22 And I've done them by Skype. I did some dear old ladies who've been ripped with that -- grandson calls and says
23
24 he's in the jail, those kinds of cases.
25 And we did those by Skype, which is much better and

1 you've got a full view and you can see the witnesses. But
2 I'm not going to do this one by telephone.
3 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. If we have the
4 opportunity, could we set up a Skype tomorrow for this witness?
5
6 THE COURT: It's up to you. I'm not telling you. I'm saying what I won't do; I'm not going to tell you what
7
8 to do.
9 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, we
10 would call --
11 THE COURT: And the defense haven't been heard on that. They should --
12
13 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, our position is, we're here today. [inaudible]
14
15 THE COURT: Okay. Next witness.
16 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, we would call Matthew
17 Bernhard as a witness.
18 THE COURT: Okay.
19 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I apologize. My client has
20 Skype on her laptop and --
21 THE COURT: Has what on her laptop?
22 MR. BROWN: Has Skype on her laptop and we would move to -- for -- to allow us to examine Mr. Stark by Skype.
23
24 THE COURT: What says the defense?
25 MR. TYSON: Same objection, Your Honor.

1 MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, I would ask the Court to
2 look at Superior Court Rule 9.2 with respect to video conferencing, which requires any request for video
3
4 conference be done by notice of intention prior to the [inaudible]
5
6 THE COURT: Rule 9.2?
MS. BURWELL: Yes.
7
8 THE COURT: At this time I've got the computer where
9 I'm supposed to be able to get into it, and I can see.
10 Let me look at it.
11 Okay. Let's see. I'll get it; just a minute. I've got LexisNexus. It's Superior Court Rule 9.2?
12
13 MS. BURWELL: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. Let's see. [inaudible]. Okay.
14
15 Yeah, Rule -- yeah. 9.2(c) says that you have to file as of your intent 30 days prior to the date scheduled and
16
17 then we have to have a hearing on the matter. That's what
18 it says.
MR, BROWN: Your Honor, with all due respect, I
19
20 believe that the -- some of these rules like the jury demand need to be read in context of the nature of this
21
22 proceeding. The nature of this proceeding is an accelerated process.
23
24 I understand that Your Honor did schedule this on
25 December 5th. Nevertheless, given the challenges

1 preparing a case of this complexity, we would ask for your
2 indulgence to -- as an exception to that rule to allow the testimony.
3
4 THE COURT: Mr. Lindsey?
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we've had several weeks to
5
6 prepare for trial and would have been available to do it by deposition, notice the person that's necessary to go --
7
8 to take a deposition. The plaintiffs have chosen not to
9 do so, but instead to do so at trial to set up [inaudible]
10 procedure. So we state our objections.
11 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, [inaudible] declaration he filed for him much earlier in the case as recently as 10
12
13 days ago, possibly earlier than that, opportunity to bring that before the Court [inaudible].
14
15 THE COURT: Well, I understand. We're in an expedited situation and we've had some service and other
16
17 issues. But we did have a hearing on January 9 and I
18 think that's the notice should have been given. Given the nature of the case I understand bending the rules because
19
20 of where we are.
But to suddenly walk in in the afternoon of trial and
21
22 say I want to call somebody by Skype or by phone is not in compliance with the rules at all. And so, yeah, if you
23
24 got up on January 9th and said you were going to do it,
25 then I'd given you a chance to be heard and we'd gone

1 through it. But I'm not going to violate the rule at this
2 time.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. And I believe --
3
4 THE COURT: What are you doing, ma'am? Go sit down, please.
5
6 MR. BROWN: I had lost something. I have a copy now. I'm just looking for my copies.
7
8 THE COURT: If you need to ask for something you can.
9 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. We would call --
10 THE COURT: Matthew Bernhard.
11 MR. BROWN: Yes.
Please raise your right hand. Do you promise to tell
12
13 the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?
THE WITNESS: I do.
14
15 Thereupon:
16
17
18 MATTHEW BERNHARD
19
20 was called as a witness by the Petitioner; and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
21
22
DIRECT EXAMINATION
23
24 OF MATTHEW BERNHARD
25

1 BY MR. BROWN:

2 Q. Please sit down and state your name for the record.

3

4 A. My name is Matthew Bernhard.
Q. Mr. Bernhard, what do you do?

5

6 A. I am a Ph.D. candidate at the University of Michigan studying computer science.

7

8 Q. Have you been engaged by the plaintiffs as

9 an expert in this case?

10 A. Yes.

11 THE COURT: You're a PhD candidate where?
THE WITNESS: At the University of Michigan.

12

13 THE COURT: Michigan. Okay.
THE WITNESS: Yes.

14

15 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Bernhard, I would like to go over your

16

17 qualifications, and do you have a CV that you have

18 prepared?
A. Yes.

19

20 Q. I'd like to briefly go over your education and experience. You -- where'd you go to college?

21

22 A. I went to Georgia Tech and graduated from Rice University.

23

24 Q. Okay. And what did you study at Rice?

25 A. Computer science.

1 Q. And did you go to graduate school?

2 A. Yes.
Q. And what did you study at graduate school?
3

4 A. Computer science.
Q. And that was --
5

6 THE COURT: You're going to have to speak up because
you're mumbling and I can't hear you. I got the Georgia
7

8 Tech bit for reasons of my allegiance but what was the

9 rest of it?

10 THE WITNESS: Rice University.

11 THE COURT: Rice. Okay.
THE WITNESS: Yes.
12

13 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. And then did you attend graduate school?
14

15 A. Yes. At the University of Michigan.
Q. And what did you study at the University of
16

17 Michigan?

18 A. Computer science.
Q. And did you obtain a degree at Michigan?
19

20 A. Yes, I got a Master's degree.
Q. And did you continue your studies at
21

22 Michigan?
A. I am currently still there.
23

24 Q. And how far are you along in your Ph.D.

25 work?

1 A. I'm -- this is my fourth year.

2 Q. Okay. And so if you graduated or obtained your degree, when would that be?

3

4 A. Probably about a year from now.

Q. Okay. And are you working on a

5

6 dissertation?

A. Yes, sir.

7

8 Q. And what's the subject matter of your

9 dissertation?

10 A. Election integrity and election security.

11 Q. Okay. Now have you --

THE WITNESS: Security.

12

13 THE COURT: Okay. [inaudible]

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.

14

15 THE COURT: [inaudible]

BY MR. BROWN:

16

17 Q. Have you -- do you teach?

18 A. Yes, sir. I taught the undergraduate cyber security course, introductory course, and I've also

19

20 recently conducted a -- an undergraduate research seminar in election security.

21

22 Q. Okay. Have you ever published anything?

A. Yes. I have published several papers

23

24 pertaining to election security and, you know,

25 election audits, and in several other things that

1 aren't related to election [inaudible].

2 Q. I mean, are these -- have you published peer review articles?

3

4 A. I guess almost every article I publish has been peer review.

5

6 Q. Okay. Have you -- outside of your academic work, have you worked with election systems?

7

8 A. Yes, sir. I -- in addition to working for

9 Verified Voting, who collects data about elections, I

10 worked with election officials all over the country

11 helping run audits, you know. And helping them better secure their election [inaudible].

12

13 Q. Let me go over your work experience, also then. You mentioned Verified Voting. You -- are you

14

15 -- do you work for them now?
A. Currently, yes, as a consultant.

16

17 Q. Okay. And what sort of work do you do for

18 Verified Voting?
A. I collect data about current -- the current

19

20 generation of election technology and its security properties, what kinds of operating systems they run

21

22 and, you know, what program languages it's programmed in and so forth.

23

24 Q. And what employment did you have

25 immediately before Verified Voting?

1 A. So before that I did a summer internship at
2 a company named CloudPlayer and then before that I was at Microsoft Research.
3
4 Q. And what did you do for Microsoft?
5 A. I researched Trusted Boot, which is a
6 particular way that computers can ensure the application if the running is the right one.
7
8 Q. Did you say boot?
9 A. Yeah. Boot.
10 Q. Okay.
11 A. With specific applications for voting machines.
12
13 Q. And why was Microsoft -- what's the connection between voting machines and working for
14
15 Microsoft?
16 A. Well, so most of the machines run through
17 Windows operating system, the ones in Georgia do, and
18 so they have a vested interest in making sure that, you know, they can support it and develop technology
19
20 scoring.
21 Q. And did your work at Microsoft relate to
22 the operating systems that are used generally or just for voting systems or both?
23
24 A. Generally; it's all Windows. I was mostly
25 focused on the more recent versions of Windows,

1 Windows 8 and Windows 10, both of which are used in
2 the current generation of voting technology.
3 Q. And skipping ahead, is that the voting
4 system used in Georgia --
5 A: No.
6 Q. -- the operating system used in Georgia?
7 A. No.
8 Q. Okay.
9 A. It is a -- the -- that operating system is
10 about 15 years down the line from the one that's used
11 in Georgia voting machines.
12 Q. Have you ever been qualified as an expert
13 in a case?
14 A. Yes, sir. I'm an expert -- qualified expert
15 witness in the Curling v. Kemp case in the Northern
District of Georgia.
16 Q. It --
17 A. [inaudible] federal court.
18 Q. And has -- have your opinions, your expert
19 opinions ever been cited by a court with approval or
indicating that the court does not disagree with your
20 conclusions?
21 A. Yes. In one of the rulings in the
22 [inaudible] and the Curling v. Kemp case she did cite
23 my opinions in the affirmative.
24
25

1 Q. Do you have either academic or hands-on
2 experience and knowledge about the operations generally of a DRE machine?
3
4 A. Yes, sir. I have worked in many different ways with DREs.
5
6 Q. And have you worked with the Diebold DREs?
A. Yes. We have about ten of them in my lab
7
8 in Michigan.
9 Q. And you have access to those?
10 A. Yes.
11 Q. And did you obtain those machines legally?
A. Yes. On Ebay.
12
13 Q. Are you able to tear it apart, study all the components?
14
15 A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Do you have knowledge and experience
16
17 through your training and your education about
18 whether DREs as a class of voting systems are vulnerable or not vulnerable?
19
20 A. Yes, I do.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor --
21
22 Q. And how have you --
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I would at this point
23
24 object. The questions today are not -- whether or not the
25 system could potentially be vulnerable and whether or not

1 some other system would be better. That's a decision
2 that's now being debated at the capitol. The questions today are whether the system was in fact compromised.
3
4 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I don't -- I'm not quite sure what the form of that objection was.
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: We object to the relevancy. I mean, I'm trying to keep the case focused on what not that was
7
8 in fact a compromise of the system.
9 MR. BROWN: Okay. Your Honor, I can ask him more
10 questions that establish the -- that connect the dots.
11 THE COURT: Do that.
BY MR. BROWN:
12
13 Q. Would the background vulnerability of a system like these DREs increase the likelihood that
14
15 it's defective today in Georgia?
A. Yes.
16
17 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, [inaudible] background
18 vulnerabilities [inaudible] foundation yet for what vulnerability is or [inaudible] this thing. If we're
19
20 trying to qualify him, that's one thing; but if we're trying get into the facts I think that's something else.
21
22 MR. BROWN: May I respond, Your Honor?
THE COURT: Well, whether the machines generally are
23
24 not very good doesn't really matter in this case. I'm
25 going to let you, if you're using it, to lay a foundation

1 to get somewhere, I'm going to let you do that for a
2 little bit.
But the fact that the machines, all wherever they may
3
4 be used, aren't the best is not relevant --
MR. BROWN: Your Honor --
5
6 THE COURT: -- in this case is the one [inaudible].
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, if I may. The witness'
7
8 testimony is different than what Your Honor said. What
9 his testimony was that it --
10 THE COURT: I'm going to let you ask that question;
11 okay?
MR. BROWN: Okay. Thank you.
12
13 THE COURT: I was just trying to give you some
direction.
14
15 MR. BROWN: Okay.
THE COURT: If not, they can get exercise jumping up
16
17 and down.
18 MR. BROWN: Okay. I want to get -- to focus your
attention more closely on the machines that were used in
19
20 this case.
BY MR. BROWN:
21
22 Q. Do you have any experience or knowledge or
expertise about whether Georgia's systems are more
23
24 vulnerable or less vulnerable than these machines are
25 generally?

1 A. Yes, I do.

2 Q. What's the basis for your expertise in that?

3

4 A. I reviewed -- so in addition to my knowledge about the Diebold voting system, in

5

6 particular, I reviewed Georgia's use of the system. I've been into, you know, particularly the Fulton

7

8 County election preparations and multiple times.

9 I've reviewed testimony from Michael Barnes, Rick

10 Barron, and other election officials in the state of

11 Georgia and have been observing Georgia's election since 2016.

12

13 Q. Have you -- in the course of your education and your experience, have you -- do you have

14

15 experience and expertise about problems, specific types of problems, that DREs can experience, Georgia

16

17 or elsewhere?

18 A. Yes.
Q. Would that include software problems?

19

20 A. Yes.
Q. And hardware problems also? You need to

21

22 say, yes.
A. Yes.

23

24 Q. Okay. Have you developed -- and now I'm

25 going to explore this in a little bit greater detail,

1 but have you developed an -- do you have experience
2 or developed expertise in how to detect problems with DREs forensically?
3
4 A. Yes. In fact, in 2017 I published a paper looking at how to do that with the machines.
5
6 Q. And when I use the word -- we use the word, forensically, when you use it -- and the reason I'm
7
8 asking this is that to many it might have connotation
9 of a criminal conduct; do you follow me?
10 A. Yes.
11 Q. In your field when you use the word, forensic investigation, do you mean to imply that
12
13 you're looking necessarily for criminal activity?
A. No. Typically it's a post hoc application
14
15 of the scientific method to determine what happens, whether it be criminal or not.
16
17 Q. But it's looks -- is it looking for the
18 causes of a mistake or a problem?
A. Yes, and to try to understand how that
19
20 problem came to be.
Q. Do you have any expertise in determining or
21
22 identifying what I would call the telltale signs from the outside as to whether there are system defects on
23
24 the inside?
25 A. Yes. See, for example, that paper that I

1 just mentioned.

2 Q. Okay. And have you -- do you have real hands-on experience with DREs trying to determine

3

4 whether and how they are defected?

A. Yes. In fact, we just talked to some

5

6 undergraduates how to do a forensic analysis of the Diebold DREs in particular.

7

8 Q. Do you have any experience in post-election

9 auditing?

10 A. Yes. I assisted the State of Michigan and

11 observed the audits in Colorado, the responding audits in Colorado.

12

13 Q. And what is a risk limiting audit?

A. The risk limiting audit is a statistical

14

15 way where you define hypothesis tests and you use that to sample a small subset of ballots and answer

16

17 the question, is the reporting elections all correct

18 or not.

Q. And just -- does your work -- does that

19

20 work require knowledge and use of methods of statistics?

21

22 A. Yes, it does. In particular, the development of new [inaudible] paper we just

23

24 published.

25 Q. Okay.

1 MR. BROWN: And, Your Honor, I would like to qualify
2 and tender Mr. Bernhard as an expert in DRE operation, DRE vulnerability, Georgia; and generally, the internal
3
4 mechanics and software of the DREs, problems with DREs, how to detect those problems, the telltale signs of those
5
6 problems --
THE COURT: Okay, you're drawing a huge, huge list of
7
8 stuff. Let's be a little bit -- yeah, I'm not going to go
9 through and say yes, no, yes, no. What you're wanting to
10 qualify him as an expert generally in what, DRE?
11 MR. BROWN: DREs, their problems, their repair, how to detect them, and how to fix them.
12
13 THE COURT: Okay. You keep adding to it. What say the defendant?
14
15 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, a few more questions for Bernhard.
16
17
18 CROSS-EXAMINATION
OF MATTHEW BERNHARD
19
20 BY MR. TYSON:
21
22 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Bernhard. My name is Bryan Tyson. I represent the Gwinnett County Board
23
24 of Registrations and Elections. So you mentioned to
25 Mr. Brown that you worked for Verified Voting. Does

1 Verified Voting have a position on the use of DREs,
2 generally?
A. Yes.
3
4 Q. And what is that position?
A. That DREs are unfit for us in U.S.
5
6 elections.
Q. And it would be correct to say that
7
8 Verified Voting wants to return to an all-paper
9 ballot system; correct?
10 A. A handwritten paper ballot system; correct.
11 Q. You mentioned that you were qualified as
expert in Curling versus Kemp case; do -- can you
12
13 explain to the Court briefly what the issues are in
that case that you're -- gave expert testimony on?
14
15 A. Yeah. The argument is that the inherent
vulnerability of Georgia's DRE voting system puts
16
17 voters in Georgia at a fundamentally different level
18 of -- you know, it abridges their constitutional
rights to a fair election in comparison to other
19
20 states, like Alabama next door
Q. And those claims were all [inaudible] in
21
22 that case? And are the same plaintiffs involved in
that case?
23
24 A. I don't believe so.
25 Q. Okay. And is Mr. Brown counsel for the

1 plaintiffs in that case?

2 A. Yes, sir.
Q. Okay. You mentioned you had several DREs
3

4 in your lab; do any of those DREs -- the Diebold DREs
specifically, run the same operating system as in use
5

6 in Georgia?
A. Yes, sir.
7

8 Q. Okay. How many of those run the same

9 operating system?

10 A. All of them.

11 Q. Do all of them run the same version of the
software that's used for voting in Diebold machines
12

13 in Georgia?
A. No, sir.
14

15 Q. And so do any of them have the same
software that is use in Georgia for running the
16

17 actual voting system?

18 A. No, sir. They all have the -- a more
recent version.
19

20 Q. So, it would be correct to say that none of
the Diebold machines in your lab are -- will match up
21

22 to any of the Diebold machines that are in Georgia
from a software perspective; correct?
23

24 A. There will be a significant amount of

25 similarities, but it won't be 100 percent the same.

1 Q. Okay. Great. Do you have any experience
2 in the field of election administration?
A. Yes, sir. I'm a trained poll worker in the
3
4 state of Michigan.
Q. Okay. Have you done any personal review of
5
6 any voting machines that were used in the November
2018 general election in Georgia?
7
8 A. [inaudible] personal reviews.
9 Q. So have you physically touched the
10 machines, inspected them, taken any steps to review
11 any machines that were used in the 2018 general
election in Georgia?
12
13 A. No, sir.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, that's compound.
14
15 THE COURT: [inaudible]
MR. BROWN: He asked about eight questions in --
16
17 MR. TYSON: I'll -- I'm going to try to rephrase.
18 I'm sorry.
THE COURT: Rephrase.
19
20 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. Mr. Bernhard, have you personally inspected
21
22 any machines that were used in 2018 election in
Georgia in general election?
23
24 A. No, sir.
25 Q. And so it would be correct to say, isn't

1 it, that you have no firsthand knowledge of any DRE
2 machine that was used in the 2018 Georgia election because you haven't inspected them; correct?
3
4 A. I suppose that's correct.
MR. TYSON: Okay. That's all I have.
5
6 THE COURT: Anybody else for the defendant have questions?
7
8 MR. LINDSEY: No, Your Honor.
9 THE COURT: Okay.
10 MR. BROWN: Just one follow-up question.
11 I don't have any further questions for him.
THE COURT: Okay. Anyone want to be heard on whether
12
13 he's an expert on DREs? That's basically what the issue is. All that other stuff I'm not going to rule on,
14
15 [inaudible] credibility [inaudible].
MR. TYSON: Yes, Your Honor. So we would object to
16
17 Mr. Bernhard serving as an expert in this case, both under
18 702(b) because he's testified he has no personal knowledge about the voting machines in use in Georgia. The machines
19
20 in his lab do not line up to ones that were in use in Georgia.
21
22 He has not personally inspected the voting machines that were used in the 2018 general election, so we don't
23
24 believe that he can offer anything to help the Court
25 determine if that's an issue which is required by that.

1 In addition, we don't believe there is anything
2 relevant that relates to the issue in the case. Mr. Bernhard can certainly testify about DREs generally. The
3
4 issue for this Court is focused on the lieutenant governor's election and Mr. Bernhard has not indicated he
5
6 has anything that would be useful to finder of facts in this case.
7
8 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we adopt the issue of
9 Gwinnett County and also make the same objections.
10 MR. BROWN: First -- the first objection was based
11 upon his line of personal knowledge. That, of course, is not an objection to an expert qualification of an expert.
12
13 The second was personal knowledge about inspection. That's also not a relevant objection.
14
15 I mean, if there's another idea lurking behind that, I'd like to hear it and respond to it, but that's not a
16
17 proper objection. The single objection that I could
18 understand, that's applicable to here, was that his particular model -- models that he has and that he can
19
20 take apart, is a different -- he uses a different version of the Microsoft operating system.
21
22 He testified that it is substantially identical and that is -- even if it were different, he has been
23
24 established as a leading expert in this field.
25 THE COURT: I'm not going to say he's a leading

1 expert. I have no evidence of him being an expert. But
2 leading expert -- I am going to allow him to testify as an expert. The weight and credibility of his testimony is
3
4 for me, and I can listen to it and I can [inaudible].
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
5
6
REDIRECT EXAMINATION
7
8 OF MATTHEW BERNHARD
9
10 BY MR. BROWN:
11 Q. Mr. Bernhard, the first part, I would like to review your -- of what materials you have reviewed
12
13 in connection with your work on this case. Are you with me?
14
15 A. Uh-huh.
Q. And have you reviewed the scholarly
16
17 literature?
18 A. Yes, sir. I've done a thorough survey of all of the academic works specifically about the Diebold
19
20 machines and [inaudible].
Q. Have you reviewed government publications
21
22 on [inaudible]?
A. Yes, sir. [inaudible] National Academy of
23
24 Sciences as well as various other government
25 agencies.

1 Q. Have you ever reviewed the source code for
2 the TS system?
3 A. Yes, sir. I've reviewed an early release
4 of the source code.
5 Q. Have you reviewed the voting results in the
6 Georgia 2018 election?
7 A. Yes, sir.
8 Q. Have reviewed studies of those results?
9 A. Yes, sir.
10 Q. Have you reviewed statements from voters
11 with their accounts of trying to vote on the machines
12 in Georgia?
13 A. Yes, sir.
14 Q. And who in -- focusing just on the -- on
15 those individual statements, what statements have you
16 -- let me back up a little bit. Let me finish. Have
17 you reviewed the testimony of the agents of the
18 defendants, including Michael Barnes and Rick Barron
19 in other cases?
20 A. Yes, sir.
21 Q. Have you reviewed the reported
22 vulnerability in the Georgia voter registration page
23 recorded during the 2018 election?
24 A. Yes.
25 Q. Have you spoken with other people who have

1 expert knowledge to gain a better understanding of
2 the issues?
A. Yes, sir.
3
4 Q. And who would those be?
A. For one, [inaudible] who published one of
5
6 the original security analyses of the DREs, I've
spoken with -- excuse me [inaudible] Philip Stark,
7
8 who is a leading expert on audits and [inaudible],
9 among others.
10 Q. Okay. Okay. Let's dive into then to some
11 of your opinions. First, with respect to the Diebold
DRE machine, do you have an opinion as to whether
12
13 that machine generally is defective or not defective?
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'm going to object to the
14
15 way that the question is asked. I don't think we've
established if there one [inaudible] DRE machine. We've
16
17 already heard there's different versions of software, are
18 there different hardware -- I think we need to be specific
about what's being asked.
19
20 MR. BROWN: Fair enough, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Well, it's a lot of different types of
21
22 machines. At least be able to identify which ones he's
done and which ones he hasn't.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Exactly. Your Honor, exact -- the
25 objection is well taken and let me reframe it.

1 BY MR. BROWN:

2 Q. Have you developed an opinion as to whether the DRE systems like the ones used in Georgia

3

4 generally are defective?
A. Yes.

5

6 Q. And to get to the point of different DRE machines, other states use Diebold DRE machines; is

7

8 that correct?

9 A. Correct.

10 Q. Are they all identical or are they

11 different?
A. From a hardware perspective, they're all

12

13 identical. The software is different depending on the state; though there are only three major versions

14

15 of the software out there.
Q. With respect to whether they are defective

16

17 or not, is there any different -- material difference

18 in the different types of Diebold DRE machines?
A. No, sir. They all come with the same

19

20 software and they have the same aging hardware.
Q. And what is your opinion about the

21

22 defectiveness of the DRE machines that are used nationwide and in Georgia?

23

24 A. They're defective both from an

25 architectural standpoint, the actual implementation,

1 and the way they were designed and built, as well as
2 the way they are used in many places.
Again, these machines are 15 years old at this
3
4 point. The glue that holds the screens together is
starting to come apart, you know, as well as, you
5
6 know, who knows how many times they've been dropped
and so forth. And so I think it's -- they were
7
8 defective to begin with but they've only become more
9 defective over time.
10 Q. Mr. Bernhard, have you reviewed academic
11 literature on the subject of whether DRE systems are
generally defective?
12
13 A. Yes, sir.
Q. And just give me an example of some of the
14
15 things that you reviewed.
A. Yeah, so there was a forensic study in 2006
16
17 of the Diebold system that was actually published by
18 [inaudible]. There was the California top to bottom
review. There was the Ohio Everest report; the state
19
20 of Florida and Maryland both issued independent
reports. And then more recently we've seen the
21
22 National Academy of Science's report on the usage of
DREs, as well as numerous others.
23
24 Q. And what -- do they have a general
25 consensus or not?

1 A. Yeah, they -- unanimous conclusion is that
2 DRE machines, and particularly the kind of DRE that's used in Georgia are unsafe and unfit for use for
3
4 voting.
Q. And are the unsafe and unfit for voting
5
6 because they don't -- they're not accurate or they might not be accurate?
7
8 A. Yeah. They are, you know -- as I mentioned
9 before, inherently defective and on top of that, they
10 don't provide any recourse if the defect is detected.
11 Q. And what do you mean by no recourse?
A. You know, typically there's this notion of
12
13 software independence where even if the software does go bad, we can, one, detect it if it's happened; and
14
15 two, correct for it.
Because the DRE machines don't have any -- you
16
17 know, there's no paper trail. There's no external
18 source of evidence of how many votes are cast, who they were cast for. There is no way that the DREs
19
20 can provide significant evidence to the outcome of an election.
21
22 Q. But isn't that the case in any sort of computer program that there's no independence --
23
24 A. Yes.
25 Q. -- of verification?

1 A. Anything that doesn't have an external
2 source of evidence, yes.
3 Q. The -- let me ask the same question. You
4 were -- you responded with discussion of governmental
5 agencies. Have you reviewed opinions or publications
6 from the computer science community --
7 A. Yes.
8 Q. -- about the vulnerability or defectiveness
9 of the DREs?
10 A. Yes. That was the majority of the
11 literature is from.
12 Q. Okay. And what is the computer science --
13 is there a consensus or differences of opinion in the
14 computer science community with respect to the
15 defectiveness of the DREs?
16 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I object on the relevancy.
17 This has been going on for a little while. I'm not sure
18 when -- where general problems of the DREs is relevant to
19 allegations regarding the Georgia lieutenant governor's
20 election on a specific [inaudible] Georgia machines.
21 These are general allegations, not something specific.
22 MR. BROWN: Your Honor --
23 THE COURT: I understand that. He can answer that
24 question [inaudible].
25 MR. BROWN: Okay.

1 THE WITNESS: Again, the overall consensus is that
2 the machines are vulnerable and can cause problems.
MR. BROWN:
3
4 Q. Are you aware of any peer review computer
science publication that takes a contrary view to the
5
6 view that the DREs are defective?
A. No, sir. The community is unanimous.
7
8 Q. Have you developed an opinion about whether
9 Georgia's system is less defective than the systems
10 used in other parts of the country or more defective?
11 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll just object for lack of
foundation. I don't think we've had testimony about
12
13 Georgia yet. We've talked generally about that --
[inaudible]
14
15 THE COURT: I'll let you ask the question but if he
doesn't give a basis for it I'll disregard it.
16
17 THE WITNESS: Okay. Yes, sir, I have.
18 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. And if I asked you this before, I
19
20 apologize. But have you talked to people or have you
done some investigation about the vulnerability of
21
22 Georgia's system in particular, not as a general
matter?
23
24 A. Yes, sir.
25 Q. And what's -- what did you do or what have

1 you done?

2 A. Again, reviewing testimony and interviewing voters in Georgia, reviewing Georgia electronic

3 regulation; like I said, testimony from Michael Barnes and Rick Barron in the Curling v. Kemp where

5 they explain more carefully the layout of the system. I talked with Michael Barnes a couple times, also,

7 about how Georgia's election system works.

9 Q. And have you evaluated, for example, the

10 testimony of Logan Lamb?

11 A. Yes.
THE COURT: Of who?

12 MR. BROWN: Logan Lamb. And have you --
THE COURT: So he's repeating somebody else's

14 testimony in another case? Is that what you're saying?
MR. BROWN: That's not the purpose. It was to

16 provide a foundation for his knowledge in determining --

18 THE COURT: Does he have any personal knowledge?
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, he's an expert in --

19 THE COURT: I understand he's an expert. Go ahead. [inaudible].

21 MR. BROWN: Okay.
BY MR. BROWN:

23 Q. Have you personally examined the

25 architecture of the system, from your perspective,

1 sufficient to understand whether the State of Georgia
2 by leaving it open to the internet for six months
3 might have exposed the system to greater danger?
4 Have you looked at that personally?
5 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object to that again. I
6 don't think there's any evidence about an exposure for six
7 months on the internet. There's no foundation for the
8 question -- that's also compounds [inaudible].
9 THE COURT: [inaudible] no time, no -- we're talking
10 about one day of one election. That's all we're talking
11 about.
12 MR. BROWN: Yeah. I'll establish a foundation for
13 that. The relevance -- I think there was a -- related to
14 relevancy.
15 BY MR. BROWN:
16 Q. Mr. Bernhard, in your experience and in
17 your expertise, would the likelihood of a defect in
18 the election on November the 6th, 2018, be increased
19 or decreased based upon how Georgia's particular
20 system was maintained?
21 A. It would be increased.
22 Q. Okay. Now what did Georgia do or not do to
23 increase the vulnerability of the DRE machine that it
24 uses?
25 A. We first found in 2016 from Logan Lamb that

1 there was a server that is connected to the
2 [inaudible] system or at least adjacent to it that was open to the internet running an incredibly
3
4 vulnerable version of a software called Drupal. To give you an idea of how vulnerable it is, I set up my
5
6 Drupal residence and within 24 hours it was compromised.
7
8 We also saw in 2018 leading up to the election
9 that the voter registration page has significant
10 vulnerabilities in it. And all of these could serve
11 as potential records for malware or, you know, other -- they all show a tendency for a lack of
12
13 fastidiousness and, you know, a general carelessness from a technical perspective that may indicate that
14
15 errors were made or there was malware in the system or -- you know.
16
17 Q. And did that contribute to your assessment
18 of the level risk in Georgia?
A. Yes.
19
20 Q. And what was your conclusion about whether the risk was increased or decreased?
21
22 A. So, based on the inherent vulnerability of the DREs, they're used and based on the repeated
23
24 security mistakes by the State of Georgia, my opinion
25 is that the -- Georgia's elections are far more

1 vulnerable than most other states and most other
2 elections in general.
Q. Now you identified -- you stated mistakes,
3
4 of what I interpreted to be mistakes. Could you list those again for us, please?
5
6 A. Yeah. The file system that used to distribute sample ballots and voter registration data
7
8 was left open to the internet. And the voter
9 registration page had two major vulnerabilities and
10 then one less significant vulnerability in it; and
11 because of the central nature of Georgia's election system, you know, they -- the Secretary of State's
12
13 office does distribute programming to all of the machines indirectly.
14
15 Those two vulnerabilities at the state level could potentially cause trouble, you know, everywhere
16
17 in the state; as well as, you know, again, show a
18 general lack of care for technical correctness, you know. Getting the technical stuff right and making
19
20 programming errors or for other things are more likely as well.
21
22 Q. Okay. Anything else? Any other problems, any other mistakes?
23
24 A. None come to mind.
25 Q. Okay. Let me -- to back up a little bit, I

1 do want to get something into the record.

2 THE COURT: This P-5? Is that P-5? P-7. Okay. I don't look at it until it's been admitted.

3

4 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Do you have Plaintiff's Exhibit 7 in front

5

6 of you?
A. Yes, sir.

7

8 Q. And what is Plaintiff's 7?

9 A. It is the National Academies of Science

10 Engineering and Medicine's report, Secured Boot, from

11 2018.
Q. And is it your understanding the entire

12

13 report is public and on the internet?
A. Yes, it is.

14

15 Q. And is Plaintiff 7 just a selection of those pages?

16

17 A. Yes, it appears to be.

18 Q. And what is your understanding of what the National Academy of Science's -- what study it

19

20 undertook and what the purpose of that was.
A. The National Academy of Scientists

21

22 attempted to kind of understand the overall --
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'm going to pose an

23

24 objection as to what another group of experts may have

25 said. Plus, it is what he said and he's the expert here.

1 He's the one that we can cross-examine. These folks
2 aren't here so we would object this line of testimony.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, he already testified.
3
4 [inaudible] kind of information -- he already testified
that this is the --
5
6 THE COURT: He can testify to what he's read and who
he's talked to, what all he's done. He can't just read
7
8 off a document that somebody else has -- based on having
9 done all that, then he has certain opinions, which I've
10 heard pretty loud and clear. Those are all his opinions
11 based on all this information and training and everything
he's done.
12
13 But we're not having the documents here.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I believe that it's
14
15 admissible because it is -- he has established that this
is the kind of information that expert --
16
17 THE COURT: No. No.
18 MR. BROWN: Okay.
THE COURT: It becomes a substitute for evidence and
19
20 we're not going to do that.
MR. BROWN: Okay.
21
22 THE COURT: But I understand. He read it; it formed
part of the decision that he's made in this case.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Is the -- and let me ask a foundation --
25 I understand your ruling but to get on the record, is --

1 BY MR. BROWN:

2 Q. Are opinions such as the one in the National Academy of Sciences, things that experts in

3 your field rely upon to develop your expert opinion?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. And is it standard in your profession to rely upon that information?

6 A. Yes.

7 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would suggest again this is

8 the kind -- albeit hearsay, it is exactly the kind of

9 hearsay --

10 THE COURT: No. It's hearsay. He's relied on it;

11 he's read it; it's part of what -- you know, if he's up to PhD he's probably read thousands of pages of stuff. We're

12 not putting it all in.

13 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

14 BY MR. BROWN:

15 Q. You testified before that there are some telltale signs of actual defective machines; do you

16 recall that?

17 A. Yes, sir.

18 Q. And have you reached an opinion about whether those telltale signs were apparent in Georgia

19 for the 2018 election?

20 A. Yes, sir.

1 Q. And what in your expert opinion were those
2 telltale signs and what is the basis that you have for saying that it is?
3
4 A. So there is a -- to say that the literature is the [inaudible] where they lay out the foundation
5
6 for forensic election investigation --
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, same objection.
7
8 THE COURT: Hm?
9 MR. LINDSEY: You know, he could -- the question here
10 is, what were the telltale signs, not what another paper
11 may say --
THE COURT: You cannot quote what the paper said.
12
13 MR. LINDSEY: -- [inaudible] what another paper said.
MR. BROWN: That's right.
14
15 THE COURT: Let me make that -- you can say I relied on it to make my decision; don't have any problem with
16
17 that. That's what experts do. To get an expert in
18 anything you've got to read lots of stuff. But you can't say what it said; okay?
19
20 MR. BROWN: Bear that in mind.
BY MR. BROWN:
21
22 Q. Mr. Bernhard, what telltale signs did you observe of system defectiveness?
23
24 A. The significant under-vote rate in the
25 lieutenant governor's race, as well as reporting

1 various errors, you know. There were errors with the
2 review screen; voters were reporting the machines were [inaudible] in the middle of the voting
3
4 sessions, that error messages were popping up.
Q. Let's -- let me -- I can't -- I'm sorry.
5
6 Focusing on the election results, you said there was a significant under-vote and what is the basis of
7
8 your opinion that there was a significant under-vote?
9 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, first off, the objection is
10 that he hasn't established any kind of expert regarding
11 under-voting and whether or not the under-voting could be caught by machine or through other sources. He hasn't
12
13 laid that foundation.
As a matter of fact, they tried to lay that
14
15 foundation with the last expert and the judge ruled against them. So he simply has not laid any proper
16
17 foundation of kind of testimony.
18 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, the same objection, that there's not a foundation. Mr. Bernhard is not an expert
19
20 on election administration or under-votes. I think the numbers are already in the evidence -- we know what the
21
22 numbers are, so --
THE COURT: Yeah. We've already had testimony about
23
24 it live. So I sustain the objection.
25 MR. BROWN: Let me reframe it.

1 BY MR. BROWN:

2 Q. Do the voting results in some instances tell an expert like you that there may be a defect in

3 the system that needs to be investigated?

4 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, same objection as before.

5 THE COURT: That's a little bit different.

6 MR. LINDSEY: Same objection as before. I might also

7 add that he's asking for speculation of the word "may."

8 The --

9 THE COURT: I said weight and credibility is mine.

10 MR. LINDSEY: Huh?

11 THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to let him answer that

12 question.

13 MR. LINDSEY: Okay. Thank you.

14 THE WITNESS: Yes. I do believe that based on the data there were defects.

15 BY MR. BROWN:

16 Q. And what is it about the data that leads you to the opinion that there were defects in the

17 machines?

18 A. There would be -- there's a statically

19 significant correlation between the mode of voting and the amount of under-voting; and there's also a

20 statistic -- at least in one statistically

21 significant aberration in the [inaudible] where one

22

23

24

25

1 voting machine reported, you know, significantly
2 different results than every other voting machine in the precinct, which is highly unlikely.
3
4 Q. In your field -- well, let me back up a little bit. You said statistically significant
5
6 under-voting. Do you mean under-voting in the abstract or the comparison between under-voting on
7
8 the electronic machines and under-voting on the paper
9 machines?
10 A. Yes, that's correct. The under-voting rate
11 for paper ballots was significantly lower than it was for DRE machines in a statistically significant
12
13 [inaudible].
Q. And what is the statistical basis for what
14
15 you're saying?
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, objection. He's laid no
16
17 basis that he's in any way an expert on statistics.
18 MR. TYSON: Same objection, Your Honor.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor --
19
20 THE COURT: I mean, we've got all the figures. [inaudible] We've got all the figures. We know what
21
22 happened. We know what the numbers are. He says it's because the machines are defective. That's his opinion,
23
24 expert opinion, it's why all that happened.
25 Okay, beyond that, where are we going?

1 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the -- if I may back up a
2 little bit. With any phenomena, there's either direct evidence, eyewitness testimony, or you go through and you
3
4 eliminate every other alternative. That's -- you do --
THE COURT: But I've taken his opinion. His opinion
5
6 is because of those numbers and because of the differential between the paper and the machines, something
7
8 has to be wrong with the machines; am I right?
9 MR. BROWN: Okay.
10 THE COURT: I'm simplistic; I'm sorry.
11 MR. BROWN: Is there a way to --
THE COURT: I don't have a PhD.
12
13 MR. BROWN: Okay.
BY MR. BROWN:
14
15 Q. And let me ask this. Is there a way to quantify the likelihood of that voting pattern
16
17 happening simply by chance?
18 A. Yes, there is.
Q. And what is the answer?
19
20 A. Typically, you use a hypothesis test. In the two examples I cited [inaudible] one of them, the
21
22 -- I believe the under-vote has a less -- less than 1 to 10,000 chance of being -- happen -- sorry. A
23
24 less than 1 in 10,000 chance of appearing at random
25 or monthly in the course of an election.

1 Q. And then you -- you also testified about
2 Winterville?
3 A. Yes. The -- assuming that the voters were
4 randomly -- you know, that you didn't go to a machine based on your party or something like that. The odds
5
6 that one machine would have significantly more votes for one party but not the other machines have votes
7
8 for the other party is also incredibly low.
9 Q. And could you just explain -- we -- in case
10 the court has not heard about the Winterville
11 problem, could you just explain to the Court what you observed about the numbers at the Winterville voting
12
13 location?
14 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor --
15 THE COURT: About what? I missed the question. You went round and round and I didn't get it.
16
17 MR. BROWN: The question is, just to get -- he
18 testified about Winterville and what happened in Winterville, and I was just asking him to explain in
19
20 greater detail what did happen in Winterville in case that hadn't been made clear.
21
22 MR. TYSON: And Your Honor, this assumes facts that are not in evidence and I believe the evidence that
23
24 [inaudible] was submitted was not an avenue that Mr.
25 Bernhard regarding that precinct. So Mr. Barnhard [sic]

1 may need to lay a foundation and Mr. Barnhard needs to
2 talk about his personal analysis, if he conducted one.
BY MR. BROWN:
3
4 Q. Again, are you familiar with the voting
totals from the Winterville district?
5
6 A. Yes, sir.
Q. And where did you get that from?
7
8 A. The Secretary of State's vote results.
9 Q. And what did those numbers show?
10 A. In that precinct, I believe there were
11 eight DRE machines. On every DRE machine the
Democratic candidate won every race, except one where
12
13 a Republican candidate won every race by
approximately the same margin as the Democrat voting
14
15 on the other machines.
Q. And as a forensic expert, why is that -- is
16
17 that a telltale sign of a system defect?
18 A. Yeah. If you assume that the voters are
probably going to machines at random, you know, not
19
20 based on the party affiliation or anything like that,
it's extremely unlikely that you would see seven
21
22 machines with this incredibly regular pattern and
then one machine that's completely opposite of those
23
24 same machines.
25 Q. And from your background and experience in

1 software, is there an explanation for why that might
2 happen?
A. To me it seems like it might be a
3
4 programming error where you, you know -- all of the
machines you have A as the Republican, B as the
5
6 Democrat, but on this one machine for whatever reason
it was B as the Democrat -- or A as the Democrat, B
7
8 as the Republican.
9 Q. Just a code problem?
10 A. Yeah. Or I believe the other could be, you
11 know, obviously some other kind of error that the
machine incurred that wasn't even -- that was
12
13 programmed into it.
Q. And it could be an innocent mistake or a
14
15 malicious virus; correct?
A. Correct.
16
17 Q. Okay. And -- now, did you review
18 statements by eyewitnesses that led you to consider
one way or the other whether there may be telltale
19
20 signs of a defective system?
A. Yes.
21
22 Q. And what did you review?
A. I reviewed three affidavits from voters, as
23
24 well as the voter hotline report for -- for one
25 precinct.

1 Q. And from your perspective as an expert,
2 what sort of issues were reported that might have been telltale signs of defective equipment?
3
4 A. Voters were reporting machines were rebooting spontaneously. Some people were citing
5
6 that there were raw error codes showing up in logs on the machines, which is exceptionally rare in good
7
8 software.
9 There were also voters who had issues with the
10 review screen where they, you know -- one voter
11 reported that they voted their whole ballot, but they didn't see the lieutenant governor choice on it at
12
13 all. And then they get to the review screen and they see that it's there. Other voters were reporting
14
15 once they got to their review screen, they quit on a particular race to go back and change their answer or
16
17 review it or whatever. And it would just cast their
18 vote instead of taking them back to that screen. There were numerous errors that seemed to have
19
20 manifested.
Q. From your perspective, and based upon your
21
22 expertise, is there a way to -- just -- is there a way, one or the other, whether you can generalize
23
24 from those specific instances, any system-wide sort
25 of problems?

1 A. Generalized, no, I don't think so.

2 Q. And what would you need to do to determine
whether the extent of the defect?
3

4 A. A forensic analysis of the software that
was running on those machines would be necessary.
5

6 Q. Okay. And have you undertaken or started
or tried to do a forensic analysis of these DRE
7

8 machines?

9 A. Yes, sir. I showed up to Fulton County

10 Election [inaudible] on Monday and was told that I

11 would not be allowed to do it.
Q. Okay. And this is just this Monday, three
12

13 days ago?
A. Correct.
14

15 Q. Okay. And have you had the opportunity to
review the internal memory or examine the internal
16

17 memory of any of these machines?

18 A. No, sir.
Q. And if -- explain, if you will, sort of the
19

20 back and forth of the industry and I know it's all
collegial, but just what the back and forth was
21

22 between you and the technicians down there.
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, objection to the relevance.
23

24 The Court has heard their motions to compel, have heard

25 the motions for discovery. The Court has heard their

1 proffer regarding what they believe that she should have
2 been entitled to, but they -- but the Court ruled against them. So I would object as this is not relevant. The
3
4 Court's already ruled on this.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we further object on this
5
6 as it is hearsay, the conversations he had with the technicians. He can say what he said, but what the
7
8 technician said is hearsay.
9 MR. BROWN: I'll take that as -- in reverse. It's
10 not hearsay because it's statements by the adverse party
11 and --
THE COURT: Well, I have seen everybody's briefs on
12
13 this point, and I see both sides of it. I did not order a forensic investigation. I specifically left that word
14
15 out.
I set out certain things to be done. Did you get a
16
17 forensic? No, we didn't get a forensic because I didn't
18 order a forensic. I'm not going beyond that.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, would you take testimony of
19
20 what it means -- what the word, internal memory, means?
THE COURT: I have some knowledge.
21
22 MR. BROWN: And I understand.
THE COURT: I'm not completely stupid.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Your Honor --
25 THE COURT: I happen to be a math/physics major.

1 MR. BROWN: Your Honor.

2 THE COURT: Yeah. I got sidetracked. Yeah. So if you want to do it, go ahead. Don't worry about it.

3

4 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Were you offered access to the internal

5

6 memory of a DRE machine?
THE COURT: I'm not going into that either. That's

7

8 what they objected to.

9 MR. BROWN: Okay.

10 THE COURT: Okay? The question was, how did he do

11 it? Okay? That was the issue. I was available; nobody called, except Mr. [inaudible].

12

13 MR. BROWN: Okay.
THE COURT: He emailed; right? Repeatedly. And I

14

15 repeatedly responded. This case has been my life for a week and a half. Go ahead.

16

17 MR. BROWN: I think we've --

18 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Bernhard, why didn't you inspect the

19

20 DRE machine?
A. Turning on the machine would affect the

21

22 internal state, so we were ordered to review the internal memory of the machine. That includes the

23

24 ROM, the read-only memory where the operating system

25 and the boot loader as well as the election data is

1 stored. Turning on the machine would necessarily
2 alter that data. There are ways to extract that data
without damaging it in that way. But, of course, we
3
4 couldn't perform that operation.
Q. So, you could have accessed it -- is it --
5
6 let me try to unpack that a little bit. Had you
accessed it -- you could access but you would have
7
8 altered the evidence? Is that what you're saying?
9 A. I would have altered some of the evidence
10 and would only have seen a very small part, but I
11 would have only seen the election archive, which is
not the internal memory. It's just a very small part
12
13 of it.
Q. Okay. And so the -- faced with the
14
15 alternative of altering evidence and not getting the
evidence that you were looking for, you decided not
16
17 to conduct the investigation; correct?
18 A. That's correct.
Q. And can you explain to the Court in a
19
20 little bit greater detail how and why booting the
system without making a copy of the internal memory
21
22 first can alter evidence.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, this was an issue that was
23
24 raised to the Court; both sides briefed it. The Court
25 ordered if there were any further questions to request a

1 conference call or anything like that. No such call was
2 requested.
The Court then heard, I believe -- I may be terming -
3
4 - the term [inaudible] -- but that you didn't file a very
extensive brief saying that you needed additional
5
6 discovery that wasn't being allowed to you. The Court
considered that brief and ruled against you. And now
7
8 today we're dealing with an evidentiary trial as to
9 whether or not there was a defect in the election system
10 in Georgia, that it -- was it great enough to overturn a
11 margin of victory over 123,000 votes.
That's the sole issue here today. If all of this is
12
13 simply regurgitating the same concerns that were
previously raised and [inaudible].
14
15 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, we just object on relevance
along the same line. What -- how did you turn on the
16
17 machines and a forensic examination not relevant to the
18 lieutenant governor's race, [inaudible].
THE COURT: Mr. Brown.
19
20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the -- this is the foundation
for establishing that the petitioners have not been given
21
22 any --
THE COURT: No. The petitioner was given. You
23
24 refused to take it; you wanted to do it some other way.
25 That issue is done. So go -- move on to another subject.

1 MR. BROWN: Okay. Your Honor, if I may -- and I'm
2 not --
3 THE COURT: No. Not if you may. You always want an
4 if you may. No. We've been through this over and over
5 and over again. You email me at 11:00 at night. You
6 email me at 2:30 in the morning. You would not believe
7 all the stuff in this case.
8 I've looked at it. I've made the best decisions I
9 know how; move on to something else. Question of
10 discovery is done.
11 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, just one more thing. I'm
12 going to --
13 THE COURT: You don't listen to me, do you, sir?
14 MR. BROWN: It's a different topic.
15 THE COURT: Okay. Different topic's fine.
16 MR. BROWN: This is a different topic. Different
17 topic. The diff -- but I -- I would like to say the
18 different topic is going to sound like the same topic.
19 It's different.
20 MR. LINDSEY: Can I just object now?
21 THE COURT: This is just -- I guess I'm on the record
22 -- this is just really good lawyering on both sides. I
23 mean, it's like -- no. If it sounds -- what is it? If it
24 looks like a duck and it quacks like a duck, it is a duck;
25 is that the one where you talk about in the South?

1 MR. BROWN: And I never agree with that, Your Honor.

2 THE COURT: Try one more question and we'll see where we go. You might get to testify. Go ahead.
3

4 MR. BROWN: Yeah.
BY MR. BROWN:
5

6 Q. If the State of Georgia wanted to investigate the causes of apparent anomalies, what
7

8 could it do?

9 A. It could perform a --

10 MR. LINDSEY: Objection, Your Honor.

11 THE COURT: I'm going to let him. I'm going to let him answer that, what the state could do.
12

13 THE WITNESS: It could perform a forensic investigation, which are the best practices recommended by
14

15 experts and have been for decades.
BY MR. BROWN:
16

17 Q. And based upon your interactions with the

18 State and your knowledge of the --
THE COURT: No. Not based upon his interactions with
19

20 the State. We're not going there.
BY MR. BROWN:
21

22 Q. Did the State conduct that kind of forensic examination?
23

24 A. No.

25 Q. Okay.

1 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would like to take a break
2 just to get a glass of water and then finish up with this witness, quickly.
3
4 THE COURT:
MR. BROWN: Thank you. Thank you, Your Honor.
5
6
[Off the record at 2:09 p.m., and back on the record
7
8 at 2:22 p.m.]
9
10 THE COURT: [inaudible]
11
CONTINUATION OF REDIRECT EXAMINATION
12
13 OF MATTHEW BERNHARD
14
15 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Bernhard, do you have experience
16
17 evaluating GEMS databases?
18 A. Yes, sir.
Q. Have you in the course of your work
19
20 reviewed or had access to GEMS databases?
A. Yes, sir. I've been sent to GEMS databases
21
22 from the State of Colorado, State of California, I believe the State of Alaska as well.
23
24 Q. And were those treated as nonconfidential?
25 A. Yeah. They were public records.

1 Q. Okay. Have you received that from the
2 State of Georgia?
A. No.
3
4 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, he's going back down the
same rabbit hole again and I object. This is once again a
5
6 matter that should have been taken up regarding discovery.
We're here today to hear the evidence as to whether or not
7
8 there was some kind of breach and whether or not it was
9 significant enough to change the election votes, not
10 whether or not they should get something they didn't get.
11 THE COURT: I sustain the objection.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. No further
12
13 questions.
14
15 CROSS-EXAMINATION
OF MATTHEW BERNHARD
16
17
18 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. [inaudible], Mr. Bernhard. So let me ask
19
20 you first, is it your personal belief that only paper
should be used for elections, hand-marked paper
21
22 ballots?
A. Hand-marked paper ballots that are
23
24 [inaudible].
25 THE COURT: [inaudible]

1 THE WITNESS: Hand-marked paper ballots [inaudible].
2 BY MR. TYSON:
3 Q. Have you ever worked on a political
4 campaign before?
5 A. No, sir.
6 Q. Now you talked about your research and various studies and what you've looked at. It's
7 correct, isn't it, that you're not aware of a single
8 instance anywhere in Georgia where there was a piece
9 of malware that was somehow propagated from a server
10 that creates the ballot format, down through memory card, onto the DRE; is that correct?
11 A. Correct. But again, that's just because they're machines. There's no [inaudible].
12 Q. But you're not aware of an instance where that's occurred; correct? Okay. And you're not
13 aware of an instance where anywhere in the nation
14 where a piece of malware has been propagated onto a DRE in an actual election, are you?
15 A. Not on the DRE voter registration systems, I guess.
16 Q. But as the DRE you're not?
17 A. [inaudible]
18 Q. Okay. Now you talked a little bit about
19 the Winterville precinct in Clarke County; is that

1 correct?

2 A. Yes, sir.
Q. Okay. And you said that -- did you perform
3

4 a statistical analysis at that precinct?
A. No, sir.
5

6 Q. Who performed that statistical analysis?
A. I think it was Philip Stark.
7

8 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor?

9 MR. TYSON: And so -- sorry.

10 MR. LINDSEY: He testified earlier as to the results

11 from that machine as if he himself performed that
examination after this Court specifically ruled that that
12

13 testimony from Mr. Stark was hearsay.
I'm going to move that that testimony that had been
14

15 previously raised regarding this be stricken from the
record because it's contrary to a prior ruling that he
16

17 could not testify as to what other people had done. And -

18 -
THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
19

20 MR. LINDSEY: -- and [inaudible] that he
mischaracterizes earlier testimony as well.
21

22 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I believe that the record
would say what the record says about what he says and
23

24 [inaudible] attempt to characterize it. The testimony was

25 that he had reviewed the evidence relating to the

1 Winterville.

2 I asked him what telltale signs and he used that as an example. We further established early on that the

3 reports of people like Stark are something that an expert in his capacity looks at and that he reviews the evidence

4 from all different sources.

5 MR. LINDSEY: He -- I'm sorry, go ahead. I'm sorry.

6 MR. BROWN: I don't --

7 MR. LINDSEY: I didn't mean to --

8 MR. BROWN: I don't remember him saying that he did

9 the math on Winterville, but that he knew the answer and he knew how to get it.

10 MR. LINDSEY: But he -- but -- but he has been specifically told on multiple occasions despite attempts

11 to solicit such testimony that he was not to testify as to what other people had done or what other people reported.

12 He was specifically admonished not to do that; and through

13 ambiguity and a question, he led this Court to believe that he, himself -- and I'm sorry, I --

14 MR. BROWN: Your Honor --

15 MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible]

16 THE COURT: Let him finish.

17 MR. LINDSEY: That he, himself, had conducted this

18 investigation of these tapes. He has not admitted that he

19 has not and I ask that his previous testimony be stricken.

1 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, same response. I would -- to
2 get at the truth --
3 THE COURT: Well, he gets -- it's his motion, so I'm
4 -- he testified as that he got the numbers from the Secretary of State, and that I will accept.
5
6 MR. TYSON: But he's now [inaudible].
7 THE COURT: But the rest of it I will not accept.
8 MR. TYSON: Okay. But he's now testified that he
9 didn't actually do that investigation.
10 MR. BROWN: But now [inaudible]?
11 THE COURT: Not yet. But that's how I heard it. I heard it that he got the numbers from Clarke County and
12
13 the rest of it -- he sounded -- he moved into it as if he'd done it all, but no, he -- obviously he hadn't do it
14
15 all --
16 MR. LINDSEY: Just by testifying that he didn't do
17 it, that's [inaudible].
18 THE COURT: -- it wouldn't be admissible. Okay.
19 MR. LINDSEY: Make sure that's on [inaudible]. I'm
20 sorry.
21 BY MR. TYSON:
22 Q. Mr. Bernhard, so you -- I believe we had just been through that Professor Stark had done the
23
24 statistical analysis of the Winterville precinct; is
25 that correct?

1 A. That's correct. I pulled the numbers
2 though beforehand.
Q. And so, when you say you pulled the
3
4 numbers, could you explain to us what that means?
A. I --
5
6 THE COURT: Did you pull the numbers?
THE WITNESS: Yes, I pulled the numbers.
7
8 THE COURT: I thought you said he pulled the numbers.
9 THE WITNESS: Okay.
10 THE COURT: And by moving into that you make it sound
11 like he did it all, but he didn't. He just pulled the
numbers.
12
13 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. So, Mr. Bernhard, after you pulled the
14
15 numbers it's correct isn't it that Professor Stark
did then the statistical analysis?
16
17 A. Correct.
18 Q. Okay. And you didn't personally perform
the statistical analysis in that precinct?
19
20 A. No, sir. Because Philip had already done
it. I didn't see a point in doing it again.
21
22 Q. How did you go about selecting or had -- do
you know how anybody selected the Winterville
23
24 precinct?
25 A. It was brought to my attention just because

1 of this oddity of his one machine having drastically
2 different vote totals than the other seven.
3 Q. And was that from plaintiff's counsel?
4 A. I believe so.
5 Q. And if I'm recalling correctly, the
6 machines on that precinct had anywhere between 117
7 and 144 votes per machine; is that correct?
8 A. That sounds about right.
9 Q. Okay. So the maximum number of votes on
10 the one machine would have been 144 votes; is that
11 correct?
12 A. That sounds about right.
13 Q. Okay. Mr. Bernhard, you don't have any
14 expertise in the process of ballot design; is that
15 correct?
16 A. I have designed ballots, but I suppose I
17 don't have that expertise; that's correct.
18 Q. And you never read any literature or
19 studies regarding design -- design of ballots and
20 voter behavior?
21 A. I have, yes.
22 Q. On voter behavior specifically?
23 A. Yes.
24 Q. Okay. So in scenarios where voters face a
25 high turnout a year -- strike that.

1 I believe your testimony earlier was that there
2 was an analysis of two precincts, the Winterville precinct and what was the other precinct?
3
4 A. I don't think I said there was an analysis. I -- two precincts were pointed out to me -- well,
5
6 more than two actually. Winterville [inaudible] the numbers off. Grady High School had machine errors
7
8 that were reported. The AME Church had errors that
9 were reported.
10 Q. So, in terms of an analysis though in your
11 expert opinion, you've only looked at the Winterville precinct; is that correct?
12
13 A. In terms of numerical analysis, yes. In terms of assessing how many errors that occurred, no.
14
15 Q. But let me just make sure I'm clear on that. So I thought you testified that Professor
16
17 Stark did the analysis on Winterville.
18 MR. BROWN: Objection.
Q. So have you --
19
20 MR. BROWN: Object, Your Honor. It's unclear as to what analysis he's talking about and there's a confusion
21
22 between the forensic [inaudible] --
THE COURT: Okay. Rephrase the question.
23
24 MR. TYSON: Okay. I'll be happy to rephrase. Thank
25 you.

1 BY MR. TYSON:

2 Q. So, correct me if I'm wrong, but I believe you testified Professor Stark performed the

3 statistical analysis of the Winterville precinct; correct?

4 A. That's correct, yes.

5 Q. Did you perform a statistical analysis of any precinct?

6 A. No, sir.

7 Q. Did you conduct a forensic analysis of any DRE machine in the state of Georgia for the November 2018 election?

8 A. No, sir.

9 Q. And so sitting here today, it's correct to say that you have no idea whether any malware resides on any DRE machine in the state of Georgia; is that correct.

10 A. Yes, that's correct and I reckon that no one else does either.

11 MR. TYSON: All right. That's all I've got.

12 CROSS-EXAMINATION
OF MATTHEW BERNHARD

13 BY MR. LINDSEY:

1 Q. Winterville's located in Clarke County;
2 correct?
A. I believe so.
3
4 Q. Okay. Clarke County is not in this
lawsuit, you're aware of that, right?
5
6 MR. BROWN: I object. It calls for a legal
conclusion and it's the wrong conclusion.
7
8 MR. TYSON: Clarke County is not a named party to
9 this case.
10 THE COURT: Well, Mr. Lindsay, what he said is in the
11 lawsuit --
MR. TYSON: I apologize.
12
13 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. Clarke County is not a named party in the
14
15 lawsuit; correct?
A. I believe so, yes.
16
17 Q. Okay. The voting strips haven't been --
18 you didn't bring the voting strips with you, did you?
A. You mean the pap- -- the poll tapes?
19
20 Q. Yeah.
A. No, sir. I don't have those [inaudible].
21
22 Q. And as you previously testified, it was Mr.
Stark that did the analysis of the eight machines
23
24 [inaudible]; correct?
25 A. He did the statistical analysis.

1 [inaudible].

2 Q. Okay. Now let's talk for a minute about your -- the odds that you raised. You've never done

3

4 any kind of -- well, let me back up. You're not a political science expert; correct?

5

6 A. No, sir.
Q. Okay. So you don't know the impact that an

7

8 -- that a large influx of new voters would have in

9 terms of their voting patterns with down-ballot

10 races? You don't know anything about that?

11 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I'll object to that and here's my objection. Cross-examination needs to be based

12

13 upon a good faith belief that there's going to be some submission of evidence to support the inference or the

14

15 predicate of the question.
That's the first point. In this case we know that

16

17 the State does not have any predicate because there's no

18 person on earth who could be qualified as an expert to render that opinion.

19

20 THE COURT: You're arguing. That's an argument. I'll overrule that objection.

21

22 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question?
BY MR. TYSON:

23

24 Q. I don't remember the question. I was

25 simply just by establishing that you have no

1 knowledge as to the pattern, behavior of new voters.
2 A. That's not true. I have -- I mean I've reviewed the political science literature insofar as
3 it informs my work.
4 Q. Okay. And if I understand correctly, you
5 don't have -- or rather you haven't done any investigation as to the particularities of this race;
6 correct?
7 A. I'm familiar with the races and the
8 candidates.
9 Q. Yeah. Are you familiar, for instance, with the fact -- with how the paper ballots were
10 configured in terms of the names versus the configuration of candidates on the electronic?
11 A. I can't say that I'm familiar with every electronic configuration because there can be
12 different -- on a county-by-county basis; the same
13 with paper ballots, but I'm generally familiar, yes.
14 Q. Okay. You are aware that the configuration
15 was different from the paper ballots versus the electronic; correct?
16 A. Yes, sir.
17 Q. Okay. Have you done any kind of analysis
18 regarding voter familiarity with Ms. Amico in terms
19 of how well they knew her? In terms of -- strike

1 that.

2 Are you familiar with any kind of data regarding Ms. Amico's name identification versus other

3 candidates running?

4 A. No, sir.

5 Q. You hadn't -- you hadn't reviewed any kind of polling that was conducted in this race prior to

6 the election; correct?

7 A. Not in this particular race, no.

8 Q. You haven't considered any kind of negative

9 treatment Ms. Amico received in the press shortly before the election; correct?

10 A. Correct. All of these factors can impact [inaudible].

11 Q. Uh-huh.

12 A. But the fact that this under-vote is so

13 incredibly different from all the other races on the

14 ballot, that seems incredibly suspicious. It's way different than, you know, any other case in Georgia

15 or anywhere else in the nation.

16 So even if there are factors like likability,

17 like lagging the polls, like ballot design, you would not normally expect to see that level of under-voting

18 distributed as uniformly across the state of Georgia

19 as it is and to defer between the votes voted [ph].

1 Q. Okay. Quite frankly -- and you're not
2 familiar with how the other races went in this state either are you?
3
4 A. I would with some of them.
5 Q. Yeah. You're familiar with the fact that
6 Ms. Abrams was, for want of a better term, on the Democratic side considered a superstar?
7
8 A. Yes, sir.
9 Q. Yeah. And you're familiar with the fact
10 that John Barrow running for Secretary of State, for
11 instance, was a well-known figure in Georgia politics?
12
13 A. Yes, sir.
14 Q. And are you aware of the fact that Mr.
15 Amico was still a relative unknown?
16 A. Yes. But I want to stress that the
17 particulars of the candidate would not necessarily
18 impact the under-votes. We saw under-votes impacting both candidates in this race.
19
20 Q. Uh-huh. And you don't know -- let me ask you this. While there was under-votes, you are aware
21
22 of the fact that, you know, in terms of the overall vote count for Ms. Amico vis-à-vis other Democratic
23
24 candidates, it's not like she got the least number of
25 votes; correct?

1 A. I'm afraid I don't understand your
2 question.
3 Q. Let me ask you this. There were ten
4 Democrats on the State ballot in 2006; correct?
5 A. 2006?
6 Q. I'm sorry. Wait a minute. 2018.
7 A. Yes.
8 Q. Okay. [inaudible] And there were other
9 Democratic candidates who received significantly
10 fewer number of votes that Ms. Amico received;
11 correct?
12 A. In the State races?
13 Q. Yes.
14 A. Statewide races? [inaudible].
15 Q. Yeah. As a matter of fact, Ms. Amico was somewhere in the middle of the pack in terms of the
16 voters that she received versus other Democrats
17 running; correct?
18 A. Sure.
19 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I renew my motion -- my objection to his testimony regarding Winterville and ask
20 that it be stricken in as much as he was not the individual who did the analysis nor has the plaintiff
21 brought forth any of this --
22 THE COURT: I think I've ruled that the fact that --
23
24
25

1 MR. LINDSEY: -- [inaudible] testimony.

2 THE COURT: -- he looked at the numbers is admissible and the rest of it's not.

3

4 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor. Oh, one last question.

5

6 THE COURT: I'm glad you have Mr. Olens to help you, Mr. Lindsey.

7

8 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you.

9 BY MR. LINDSEY:

10 Q. You've been asked about Georgia when it

11 comes to machines and a -- [inaudible] involving the machines. You're not aware of any actual election,

12

13 not -- not a tested election but an actual election, where an actual vote cast on a DRE machine was -- was

14

15 not counted, are you?
A. No. But again, that's because of the way

16

17 the machine built, it's impossible to tell. You

18 cannot know.
Q. Okay.

19

20 MR. LINDSEY: No further questions.
THE COURT: Ms. Burwell, do you have anything?

21

22 MS. BURWELL: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Brown?

23

24 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

25

1 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

2 OF MATTHEW BERNHARD

3

4 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Bernhard, I want to go -- I want to go
5

6 over some of your answers.
When you were speaking about the under-vote as
7

8 being suspicious, were you referring to the under-

9 vote for the Democratic candidate or were you

10 referring to the under-vote the Democratic candidate

11 and the Republican candidate?
A. I was referring to both candidates.
12

13 Q. You testified that there -- I think Mr.
Tyson asked you whether they're in the actual
14

15 election any bad code had been found or something to
that effect; do you recall that?
16

17 A. Malware specifically.

18 Q. Malware. And I believe your response was
not what the DREs, but yes in the registration
19

20 system?
A. Correct.
21

22 Q. And could you explain your answer a little
bit in greater detail?
23

24 MR. TYSON: I'll object to the question. The voter

25 registration system is not an issue as far as I know at

1 any point in this lawsuit. This is about the election and
2 the DREs; it's not the voter registration system.
MR. LINDSEY: And it -- I'd add to that, Judge.
3
4 THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
MR. BROWN: Is the vote -- let me ask one more
5
6 question if I may help.
BY MR. BROWN:
7
8 Q. Is the voter registration system also a
9 part of the Diebold DRE system generally or is it
10 something else?
11 A. Yes, sir. Diebold makes the Express Poll
units.
12
13 THE COURT: Voter registration is not involved in
this matter.
14
15 MR. BROWN: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.
BY MR. BROWN:
16
17 Q. You testified and then you were asked very
18 quickly a question. I'm not sure if you finished
your answer, but that the anomalous voting pattern
19
20 was distributed evenly throughout Georgia. What did
you -- what was the basis of that testimony?
21
22 A. I believe something like 101, 159 counties
had an under-vote rate that was abnormal, and it
23
24 didn't seem to be, you know, tied to -- are they
25 left-leaning counties or right-leaning counties or

1 anything like that. It was only tied in with the
2 equipment.
Q. And would you -- sorry, you testified
3 earlier that you have expertise in a post-election
audit; is that right?
5
6 A. That's correct. Yes.
Q. And based upon your past post-election
7 audit experience and expertise, what would you
8 recommend the State of Georgia do to audit these
9 systems?
10
11 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object. What Mr.
Bernhard thinks or what he should do is a policy question
12 about a legislator is not relevant or pertaining to this
proceeding.
13
14 THE COURT: I'm going to let him say that solely
because they're asking for a brand-new election with paper
15 ballots and it would go to that issue should I get that
16 far.
MR. TYSON: Thank you, Your Honor. I just want to
17 object to one point related to that.
THE COURT: Yes.
18
19 MR. TYSON: I believe that the new election paper
ballots are the constitutional claims that are gone at
20 this point.
21
22 THE COURT: I understand that.
23
24
25

1 MR. TYSON: I think.

2 THE COURT: I understand that.
MR. BROWN: That's -- that's not correct. That's not
3

4 correct. That's not correct.
THE COURT: I understand what I can and can't do;
5

6 okay?
MR. BROWN: Okay.
7

8 THE WITNESS: Yes. I do recommend that Georgia run a

9 post-election audit. Given the anomalies that we saw, you

10 would likely have to target your auditing a little bit

11 more specifically. If we had a paper ballot system, we'd
be counting more ballots than if they weren't all these
12

13 [inaudible].
BY MR. BROWN:
14

15 Q. If you were doing a forensic examination in
place of an actual recounting audit, what would be
16

17 the first thing that you would look at if you were

18 the State of Georgia?
A. I would start with the programming. You
19

20 know, starting with the most innocent explanation and
working our way through all others, so looking at the
21

22 GEMS database, making sure it was coded correctly,
that there weren't errors with what you saw in
23

24 Winterville that may be a coding error. And making

25 sure, then after that you proceed.

1 Q. You -- I believe, your testimony was that
2 the first opportunity that you had to forensically inspect a DRE machine was Monday?
3
4 THE COURT: Let's not go there. I told you not o go there. You just did it and had the question and nobody
5
6 objected; they stood up but you didn't see them.
MR. BROWN: Okay.
7
8 THE COURT: Don't go there. The ruling has been
9 made.
10 MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.
11 THE COURT: I don't care what his was. This case was set for December 5th, period.
12
13 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor, we -- this is --
THE COURT: Sir, I don't want to hear --
14
15 MR. BROWN: I'll move on.
THE COURT: -- any more about that.
16
17 MR. BROWN: All right.
18 THE COURT: I am tired about hearing about that. I have gobs and gobs of paperwork about it; I've ruled.
19
20 Let's move on.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor.
21
22 THE COURT: To what real issue is here today.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I'm moving on.
23
24 THE COURT: You always want to get the last word;
25 I've noticed that, Mr. Brown.

1 MR. BROWN: No. I -- I want to not get the last word
2 out. I want to ---
THE COURT: You want to what?
3
4 MR. BROWN: I will -- I will stop right now.
THE COURT: Okay. Anybody else?
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: You may go. Thank you, sir.
7
8 MR. BROWN: Now, Your Honor, I'm not -- it sounds
9 like I'm arguing with you and this is just simply a matter
10 of procedure. We do not have the ruling yet on --- if you
11 issued a -- I mean clearly --
THE COURT: Ruling on what?
12
13 MR. BROWN: On the motion to compel.
THE COURT: I denied the motion to compel.
14
15 MR. BROWN: Okay. We -- was that -- you don't have
that?
16
17 MR. LINDSEY: I think she said, she denied all that.
18 THE COURT: It was somewhere in that last order I put
-- was it -- if it wasn't clear to you, it should be clear
19
20 now. The motion to compel was denied.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. We -- we -- just
21
22 for the record we did not know that.
THE COURT: Okay.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the petitioners would call
25 Michael Barnes.

1 THE COURT: Okay. Someone get Michael -- he's in the
2 courtroom. What's he -- who are those people?
3 MR. BROWN: Please raise your right hand. Do you
4 promise to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?
5
6 THE WITNESS: I do.
7
8 Thereupon:
9
10 MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES
11
12 was called as a witness by the Petitioner; and,
13 having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
14
15 DIRECT EXAMINATION
OF MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES
16
17
18 BY MR. BROWN:
19 Q. Please state your full name for the record?
20 A. Michael William Barnes.
21 Q. By whom are you currently employed?
22 A. The Secretary of State's office.
23 MR. BROWN: You may sit down. Thank you.
24 BY MR. BROWN:
25 Q. And what is your position with the

1 Secretary of State?

2 A. I am the director for the Center for Election Systems.

3

4 Q. And what is the Center for Election Systems?

5

6 A. We oversee the voting for in the state of Georgia. We also deal with the database programming

7

8 for all county elections executed in the state.

9 Q. Does --- was CES formally housed at

10 Kennesaw State University, for a lack of a better

11 expression?
A. It was.

12

13 Q. Okay. And when did it move to be a part of the Secretary of State's Office?

14

15 A. It ceased operations at Kennesaw State on December 31st of 2017 and was relocated to the

16

17 Secretary of State's office on January 1st.

18 Q. And when that happened, which staff members came -- which staff members were let go and which

19

20 staff members came with them?
A. I was the only staff member that came from

21

22 Kennesaw to the Secretary of State's office.
Q. And the other people were fired or let go

23

24 or whatever?

25 A. The other people were retained by the

1 university I believe for -- through the end of
2 January 3- -- January of 2018 and then with no funding to maintain the operation of the center, the
3
4 center was closed.
Q. Did your office manage the state's election
5
6 system in the November 2018 election?
A. Our center, as I stated earlier, oversees
7
8 the voting equipment that's used in the state of
9 Georgia for federal, state and county elections. And
10 we've constructed the GEMS database for all the 159
11 counties for the November 2018 election.
Q. And you said, "You constructed it for all
12
13 of the counties;" does each county get a different GEMS database?
14
15 A. Yes.
Q. And the GEMS database is a Microsoft Access
16
17 product, is that correct?
18 A. The GEMS database is -- stands for Global Election Management Systems and a data file is
19
20 produced from that executable program.
Q. And what is the executable program called?
21
22 A. GEMS, Global Elections Management System.
Q. Okay. And does the GEMS database contain
23
24 programming for the DRE machine memory cards?
25 A. The GEMS database is where -- from the

1 memory cards that are used to power the DRE units.
2 They're used for advance voting and in-person
Election Day use. The memory cards are created from
3
4 GEMS database.
Q. And could you just describe to the court
5
6 sort of physically the chain of custody of the -- of
the programming and I'm -- the purpose for this is to
7
8 distinguish between what the secretary does and what
9 the county does having to do with the county's
10 office? You said, that "the Secretary of State's
11 office is responsible for creating," I think you
said, "the GEMS database for all 159 counties," is
12
13 that right?
A. That's correct.
14
15 Q. All right. So, the counties then get a
GEMS database that's already done, for a lack of a
16
17 better expression?
18 A. Correct.
Q. And then what do the counties do with that
19
20 GEMS database or what is it used for?
A. Okay. Let me start first with the
21
22 Secretary of State's office; constructs the GEMS
database for the need of the given election. So
23
24 what's qualified and closest and we know what races
25 will be in specific election.

1 We know the candidates. We know the precincts
2 that will be involved based upon what the county has relayed back to us as their need for a given
3
4 election. With that information collected from the county, a database is then constructed for that given
5
6 election.
7 Once the database has been constructed by the
8 Secretary of State's office, it's then reviewed at
9 the Secretary of State's office for completeness to
10 validate that all of the races for that given
11 election and that have been included in the database, not only all of the races, but also all the
12
13 candidates.
14 Once our review of that process has been
15 completed we then provide to the jurisdiction, for lack of a better word -- sample ballots that are
16
17 produced from the GEMS database along with reports
18 that are detailing what ballots' files will be related to which precincts and to what district
19
20 combinational values those ballots are related to within those given precincts.
21
22 And that report packet is forwarded to each and every individual county with copies of their sample
23
24 ballots for them to review for their completeness,
25 for correctness. Once we then receive signoff from

1 the county and not until you receive signoff from the
2 county -- but once the signoff is received from the county, then the database is saved to a CD, burned to
3
4 a CD and that CD encrypted, and then that CD is forwarded to the local jurisdiction for them to then
5
6 take that CD, contact our office via phone, provide a verification ID and return the CD back to our office,
7
8 so that we know that they have the CD that we've
9 provided.
10 And then once we've validated, we're talking to
11 who we're supposed to be talking to at the county, we then give them the passcode to be able to then
12
13 decrypt the CD to access the data file that's saved to the CD; and then that file is loaded to the
14
15 county's local GEMS computer where they then -- the county -- inspects the file to validate that what has
16
17 then been provided to them is what they signed off
18 on.
Once it is loaded to their system then the
19
20 county begins configuring the database for that election. And what I mean by that is, is putting
21
22 information into the database that says we will be using x number of devices at these given locations
23
24 for advance voting, for Election Day voting, and also
25 preparing the memory cards that are used for the

1 optic scanners that scan the mailout absentee
2 ballots.
Q. And then just the -- what -- what in that
3 process then drives the actual programing of a
4 specific DRE machine, taking it from the GEMS
5 database to me voting or you voting on a machine?
A. Okay. All right. Once the county has
6 loaded the GEMS database into their GEMS computer,
7 they then tell -- instruct the database that they
8 need to correct a certain number of individual memory
9 cards.
So if they have a voting location that has the -
10 - I think they use five DRE units -- they have to put
11 that information into the GEMS database and say that
12 for location A we will be creating a five memory
13 cards. They then go through a process of
14 transferring the information within GEMS onto a
15 memory card that is read by a DRE machine.
Once that memory card is created, that memory
16 card is then taken into the DRE unit, which it will
17 married with for that given election. When that
18 process takes place there're labels on the memory
19 cards that notate what machine ID this memory card
20 is.
21 The county's also normally notate on those

1 little print-on labels the physical device and put
2 the physical device serial number to which they are placing that memory card into, so that when the
3
4 memory card is removed at a later point in time and something happens, they know what machine to give it
5
6 back to if they needed to access the machine for some reason.
7
8 The memory card is inserted, and then the
9 counties go through a logic and accuracy test where
10 they bring up -- they power on the device and they go
11 through testing it. This testing is done to validate, to calibrate the test screen to make sure
12
13 it's receptive to touch. They also set the date and time on the device to make sure it's set to the
14
15 proper date so that when tapes are printed out of the machine pre- and post-election, so that it has a
16
17 proper date stamp.
18 The date stamp doesn't affect the operation of the system, but it's helpful to have when you're
19
20 trying to make sure that things are done in the proper order. Once the memory card's loaded and they
21
22 go through their diagnostics testing, which is where they're testing the printer, they're testing
23
24 calibration or setting the calibration to make sure
25 it's proper, saving the date and time, testing the

1 printer.

2 Also testing the card reader that's used to read the voter access card that the voter will be

3 inserting. Once they complete that diagnostic testing, then they start doing -- they start

4 inputting test ballots, where they will take normally a test date that is prefilled by the jurisdiction.

5 It says we're going to cast X number of votes to

6 validate all touch positions on the DRE are

7 responsive; and also to validate that all races and

8 candidates are visible on the screen and are showing up on the all ballot as needed for the given

9 election.

10 That logic [inaudible] testing is publicized.

11 It is put into notification so that any member of the public that would wish to observe the testing and

12 come and observe the testing.

13 And it has to be included by a certain point in time so that the machines, once it clears logic and

14 active testing, they can be set for election, sealed and get ready for distribution to the polling

15 location or to the advance voting location.

16 Q. Have -- are you aware of any time when a

17 logic and accuracy test has picked up a programming

18 error?

1 A. Can you provide me -- programming errors,
2 what do you mean by programming errors?
Q. Sure. Let me give you an example. Suppose
3
4 -- well, let me back up two steps. The -- there
would be some programming to instruct the system and
5
6 then if the voter presses here the vote goes there,
are you with me?
7
8 A. Mm-hmm.
9 Q. The mapping of the votes from the screen to
10 the actual vote, are you with me?
11 A. Sure.
Q. Now, it would --- if you want, I'm not
12
13 suggesting you did this, but if you wanted to switch
that, so that pressing, you know, voting for the
14
15 Republican recorded a Democrat voter or a
Libertarian, where would that programming reside, and
16
17 could you do it?
18 A. As my knowledge based on the system, I can
speak to it as a user of the program --
19
20 Q. Sure.
A. -- of how you lay candidates out
21
22 specifically within a race. I'm not aware of a way
to organize the candidates to get one display and
23
24 then once where you touch it next to a candidate,
25 that their results show up next to another candidate

1 in another order. I'm not aware of how you would do
2 that.
Q. Now, there has been some testimony about
3 voting totals in a particular district where you had
-- it was heavily -- I'll just give you like a hypo
5 and then the purpose of this is not to or get you to
agree with the facts, but simply to pull out your
7 testimony on the program, but -- but just assume
9 there is a voting location in which is heavily
10 Democrat voting location and six of the machines show
11 heavily Democrat votes and the seventh machine shows
very heavy Republican votes, which some might lead
12 some access it didn't have, like you know, a six-pack
of beer on it or something that was attracting
14 everybody to win a particular vote, but that they
went evenly, there might be some sort of coding error
16 in which it was switching from one candidate to the
18 other now by mistake.
If that were a programming error and I just want
19 to know where would that be?
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'm going to object to
21 the questioning; assuming facts that are not in
evidence that the court has already stricken.
23 MR. BROWN: That's not --
25 THE COURT: Let him --

1 MR. BROWN: I'm -- I'm sorry. I'm sorry. I'm
2 sorry.
MR. LINDSEY: I believe the court has ruled to
3
4 strike that analogy that it lead to those to -- to
that allegation and for that reason, Your Honor, we
5
6 would object.
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, that also calls for Mr.
7
8 Brown speculates as far as what might have happened
9 or what did happen.
10 THE COURT: Well, it's a hypothetical and I'm
11 going to let him answer to the best of his knowledge.
MR. LINDSEY: Okay, Your Honor.
12
13 THE COURT: He's one of the experts on this
stuff. But I take it as a hypothetical.
14
15 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Do you understand all that?
16
17 THE WITNESS: I'm -- I'm trying, yes, I am. I'm
18 trying to figure out the best way to answer the
question to the best of my knowledge.
19
20 THE COURT: Okay.
THE WITNESS: When -- when the GEMS database is
21
22 built, it builds a particular race, with a particular
set of candidates, in a particular order. When it
23
24 creates a memory card for a given location and it
25 creates that image and places it to the memory card.

1 And then that memory card is loaded to a device.

2 If that -- if I create five memory cards for a given location, those five memory cards are the same

3

4 content in structure and layout and in operation; they don't know what machine their going to be placed

5

6 in. The memory card just contains data. The memory card is read by the machine; the machine then shows

7

8 then that.

9 Q. And so, have you ever seen it in doing the

10 logic and accuracy test? Has that ever yielded, you

11 know, if it's not logical; it's not accurate and we need to go back and look at the coding to see why

12

13 that happened?
A. I have never seen in any of the testing

14

15 that we have -- that I've been involved with since 2001, with using this equipment where something that

16

17 was placed in during the testing environment did not

18 feedback the expected result that was in the testing.
Also, when doing various tests within elections

19

20 mode -- my work within -- at Kennesaw State and also with the Secretary of State's office -- not just

21

22 testing in election -- pre-election test mode, which is where an LMA testing is done -- but also testing

23

24 in election mode which is when election -- the mode

25 where elections are executed, I have never

1 encountered a situation where I put in one particular
2 vote and this way and it came out differently in the report.
3
4 Q. Is it -- in one of the responses is collecting and reviewing complaints that you've
5
6 received from voters about problems with elections?
A. We -- if we do receive a complaint -- and
7
8 the majority of the complaints come into the
9 elections division, that arm of the office. Our main
10 collection point are election officials themselves.
11 And if we get a notification from a county election official that, you know, we encountered this
12
13 instance when doing our testing, then that would be something that we would go and look into, find out if
14
15 there was something out of order.
Q. Now, you've received complaints about the -
16
17 - the operations of the machines in the November
18 election, right?
A. In November -- I mean, it was a general
19
20 election where there were a few million people voting, so we did get complaints about a touch screen
21
22 not powering on. We got complaints about a voter access card being rejected prematurely; about a
23
24 touchscreen apparently not being calibrated properly.
25 Things that we hear about with every election and

1 we've heard every election since 2002.

2 Q. How -- the -- did the complainant know that the screen was miscalibrated?

3

4 A. How do I know that they know --
Q. No. How did --

5

6 A. How did they know it was miscalibrated?
Q. Right?

7

8 A. I can't speak for how they knew. It's just

9 that they said that, you know, they encountered a

10 calibration issue.

11 Q. So, what the original touchscreen was different then the summary screen or something like

12

13 that?
A. Or they, you know, felt like they were

14

15 touching one area, but another area it was -- was appearing.

16

17 Q. Okay. What other complaints -- you've

18 mentioned a number, but what other complaints did you receive in 2018?

19

20 A. Any of the complaints that I've already outlined are the general complaints that I've heard.

21

22 And I did not personally take a lot of complaints on Election Day. I think I wrote down in my notes maybe

23

24 five or six complaints on Election Day.

25 Q. Okay. And then what was the office that

1 you said takes the ones from voters themselves, not
2 from officials?
A. That's the election division.
3
4 Q. Okay.
A. That's a different section, Secretary of
5
6 State's office.
Q. And -- and those -- okay. Let me take you
7
8 back to the weekend before the November election; are
9 you familiar with the alleged hacking of the voter
10 registration database on that weekend?
11 A. [inaudible] --
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I would object on this. This
12
13 does not -- in any issue of the voter registration
database in this case; lieutenant governor's race. This
14
15 is not about the DREs. I don't think it's relevant to
anything in this court.
16
17 MR. LINDSEY: Same objection, Your Honor. We're here
18 to deal with one race and one election.
THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
19
20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, this is hacking into the
election system that the Secretary of State --
21
22 THE COURT: I'm not going to let you go into that
unless you can show hacking into the -- into the actual
23
24 voting system, not the voter registration system.
25 MR. BROWN: Let me ask a ---

1 BY MR. BROWN:

2 Q. Could -- could -- could hacking into the registration --- the hacking into the registration

3 system could affect the number of people who are allowed to vote for a particular election; correct?

4 MR. TYSON: Your Honor.

5 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, the same objection as

6 before. That's not the issue here today. The issue here

7 today that you've raised to overturn this election is that

8 there was some type of -- of breakdown in the election

9 system; not that there - a certain voter was not allowed to vote, which we would also deny, but that's a different

10 issue. That's the issue here, so we object to the relevance.

11 THE COURT: Sustained.

12 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.

13 BY MR. BROWN:

14 Q. All right. Let me ask you this; are you aware of any investigation that the Secretary of

15 State did into hacking relating to the 2018 election?

16 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, he's being vague.

17 THE COURT: That's the same question.

18 MR. LINDSEY: It's just leading.

19 THE COURT: Artfully. Phrased differently.

20 MR. TYSON: I disagree that it was artful, and I

1 disagree it was different; it's just simply broader.

2 MR. BROWN: I'm asking if there was any.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'm asking --
3

4 THE COURT: If it goes to the election system itself,
not to the voter registration, the actual -- actual -- was
5

6 there any hacking in the actual voting system?
THE WITNESS: [inaudible].
7

8 BY MR. BROWN:

9 Q. And you did not investigate any hacking

10 into the election system as distinguished from the

11 registration system; correct?
A. Correct.
12

13 Q. And the Secretary of State's -- okay --
you've testified about it before I know there's been
14

15 a lot of testimony about the exposure of the system
at Kennesaw State in 2016 and 2017; are you familiar
16

17 generally with that issue?

18 A. I am.
Q. What has -- has the state undertaken a
19

20 forensic examination of the components of the
election's system to determine whether or not it was
21

22 infected with any malware because of that it's the --
-
23

24 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, once again, we're talking

25 about two entirely different systems; and unless he's

1 dealing with specifically the voter system we're going to
2 object to relevancy.
MR. TYSON: We would object to the lack of foundation
3
4 as far as the 2016 incident that affected any sort of like
databases. This is two years ago. There's no explanation
5
6 as to how --
THE COURT: I sustain it as to whether there's been
7
8 something because of something that happened that isn't in
9 front of me. I mean, you know, did they investigate
10 routinely for malware? I mean, that's one thing. But --
11 not going back and try to put something else on the record
that's not before me.
12
13 MR. BROWN: Well, I'll get it before you, Your Honor.
BY MR. BROWN:
14
15 Q. Now, Mr. Barnes, the -- what was exposed to
the public internet in 2016 and 2017?
16
17 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, we going to renew the
18 objection again.
THE COURT: Sustained.
19
20 MR. BROWN: Okay.
BY MR. BROWN:
21
22 Q. Mr. Barnes, what forensic review has your
office done with respect to the DRE machine voting
23
24 systems that were used in the 2018 election?
25 A. The Secretary of State's office in 2017

1 undertook a recertification of the voting system and
2 examined the equipment in three separate counties;
Muskogee County, Richmond County and Bibb County, to
3
4 examine and verify the voting equipment was working
as had been previously certified. And the
5
6 examination found that the equipment was working in
the same fashion and the same way as it had done
7
8 through previous certification tests.
9 Q. I had asked you if you -- if the Secretary
10 of State had done a forensic analysis and I guess the
11 answer is no, but they have done -- they have
recertified it, is that fair to say?
12
13 A. That's fair to say.
Q. Okay. Has the Secretary of State
14
15 undertaken an investigation into possible causes of
the voting pattern that was seen on the May 2018
16
17 election?
18 A. Undertaken an investigation, like, opened
an investigation to present to the state election
19
20 board?
Q. I -- I didn't mean to -- to wrap too much
21
22 into the word investigation, what I mean is if have
they looked into it to see what happened?
23
24 A. With every election with the Secretary of
25 State's office and elections division always look at

1 their election to see is there anything that we can
2 learn from this election.
And every election there's always an opportunity
3
4 to learn more about doing the next election even
better. So to the circumstance, has the Secretary of
5
6 State's office looked at the elections to, you know,
garner what can we do better in the future?
7
8 Absolutely.
9 Q. Has the Secretary of State considered that
10 whether there was a system error that caused the
11 voting pattern that was actually experienced in the
2018 race?
12
13 A. To my knowledge, no.
Q. Has that issues been raised just in casual
14
15 discussion in -- in your office?
MR. TYSON: I'll object to that. That requires
16
17 hearsay. What other people have said to Mr. Barnes in his
18 office is not at issue, Your Honor.
MR. BROWN: I'll -- I'll rephrase it.
19
20 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Have -- have -- have you discussed with
21
22 your colleagues' potential causes of the -- of the
voting pattern that was going on 2018?
23
24 A. Well, being hypothetical of what, you know,
25 what may cause people to act the way they do in

1 certain situations?

2 Q. No. This is -- this is -- I'm not -- back up a little bit. I'm not -- this is a factual

3

4 question.
THE COURT: Well, it's kind of very, very broad and

5

6 he's interpreting it one way and you're meaning it some other way.

7

8 MR. BROWN: Right. Have -- let me ask it in several

9 different ways, okay.

10 BY MR. BROWN:

11 Q. Has the Secretary of State undertaken an investigation to determine whether system defects

12

13 caused the voting pattern in the 2018 race?
A. No, sir.

14

15 Q. Has the Secretary of State done any forensic examination of any of the components of the

16

17 system to determine whether or not they're were

18 infected with any malware or misprogramming that might have affected the vote, period?

19

20 A. The Secretary of State's office received a letter from one of the lieutenant governor candidates

21

22 post-election stating one of -- stating a concern and listed some counties in that letter. Upon receiving

23

24 that letter, Secretary of State's office went to one

25 of the counties in question and did look at the

1 uploaded records into the GEMS computer.

2 They also extracted information from individual machines that wer used on Election Day to validate

3

4 that the records contained on the machine matched what has been uploaded previously into the GEMS

5

6 computer. Those valid images were produced and examined and found that everything was balancing and

7

8 showing as what was reported initially by the county

9 on Election Night.

10 Q. So that if the vote was wrong at the

11 precinct level, it was wrong exactly the same way at the Secretary of State's office, is that what I'm

12

13 hearing?
MR. TYSON: I'll object. I think that assumes facts

14

15 that aren't in evidence. I don't understand what the question is on that.

16

17 MR. BROWN: I think he can answer it. I think he

18 knows where I'm going.
THE COURT: No. I sustain the objection. You've got

19

20 to rephrase it. You keep assuming a bunch of things. That's just --

21

22 MR. BROWN: Okay. [inaudible]
BY MR. BROWN:

23

24 Q. So the analysis showed that the vote total

25 in the precinct matched the vote total at the

1 Secretary of State's office; correct?

2 A. The analysis showed that the vote total calculated by the individual devices examined and the

3

4 vote total tabulated by the separate GEMS computer using the data extracted directly from the machine

5

6 and compared against the data uploaded from the memory card used on Election Day was identically the

7

8 same, in that the vote totals calculated being

9 exactly the same in all instances examined.

10 Q. Okay. If the machine itself had

11 incorrectly recorded the vote, your testing would not have detected that; correct?

12

13 A. The testing that was done was to validate that -- what the machine had produced, the machine

14

15 continued to produce and show that result [inaudible].

16

17 Q. Okay. Let me ask it to you again because

18 you didn't answer the question. I'm going to get there, okay. If the machine did not correctly record

19

20 the vote, you would not have detected then in your review; correct?

21

22 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'm going to object to that. I guess assuming things are in evidence here as far was

23

24 what's happening in the sequence of the technology. I

25 don't -- I don't see how this --

1 THE COURT: I understand what you're saying.

2 MR. TYSON: Okay.
BY MR. BROWN:
3

4 Q. Go ahead and answer.
A. What our examination did is show that the
5

6 machine collected a result and reported what it
collected. All of my testing has always shown in
7

8 pre-election, in the testing, that anything that goes

9 in is what the machine shows coming out. And we were

10 seeing the back end of what the machine was pushing

11 outward and it was matching up on what was reported
on Election Night, what was printed on Election Night
12

13 from the memory card, extracted from the device. It
was matching up with what was calculated by the GEMS
14

15 computer upon receipt of that memory card and also it
was matching of what was on the record contained by
16

17 the devices, so.

18 Q. But none of that -- again, none of that
could tell you if the machine itself is recording the
19

20 vote, right?
A. All I can speak to is what the machine has
21

22 in memory, what it reported, and what it's saying is
on record.
23

24 Q. And you're familiar with the expression

25 garbage in, garbage out?

1 A. I've heard it before, yes, sir.

2 Q. Okay. And in response to Candidate Amico's letter, did the Secretary of State conduct any

3

4 machine-level investigation with any of the memory chips or anything like to determine if there were any

5

6 flaws there?
A. We inspected two machines on site in Ben

7

8 Hill County. We recovered from the machine's

9 internal memory the election result file that was

10 stored on the machine. We then -- once that image

11 file was restored, we then looked at the ballot images that were collected in that file through the

12

13 DRE.
So we looked at the physical DRE display of

14

15 those collected ballots and that requires us to touch the screen to maneuver through and we did not

16

17 encounter any issues when touching the screen that

18 lead us to believe that the screen was not responsive to proper touch or use. And we then from those

19

20 devices printed out new totals from that device and those totals match all previous introduced totals.

21

22 Q. You say you looked at the election reports [inaudible]?

23

24 A. The election result data. The file --

25 result file.

1 THE COURT: I have no idea what that is.

2 MR. BROWN: It sounds like a machine.
THE COURT: Huh?
3

4 MR. BROWN: Printing machine.
THE COURT: The printing machine.
5

6 MR. BROWN: [inaudible]
THE COURT: What printing machine?
7

8 MR. BROWN: It's very fast.

9 THE COURT: I was going to say it was on the roof but
10 we're on the second floor and there's seven floors on this
11 building. It's information for the presiding judge who's
not in here. Yeah. It's information for the presiding
12
13 judge that's not in here, so. There's some -- they move
us all around all the time. So I'll put that back there.
14
15 There's Judge [inaudible]. Go ahead.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
16
17 BY MR. BROWN:
18 Q. Is the election -- well, what was the name
of that file again?
19
20 A. The ballot results on.
Q. The ballot results on. Okay. And so the
21
22 Secretary of State didn't look at other parts of the
internal memory, did it?
23
24 A. No.
25 Q. Okay. So it didn't look at the boot

1 loader?

2 A. No.
Q. It didn't look at the operating system?
3

4 A. When the machine powered on we did a review
of what operating system was displayed and it was the
5

6 standard certified operating system that was found on
those devices; as well as the install [inaudible] for
7

8 servers, that certified for use in the State of

9 Georgia.

10 Q. And the Secretary of State didn't give you

11 any actual sort of code-level review to look for
malware in the data?
12

13 A. Correct.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I caution that I'm entering
14

15 in an area that you've already ruled upon, but I want to
make a clarification.
16

17 The suggestion was made by the defendants in your

18 objection [inaudible] our case, it's not raised, issues
involving the registration --
19

20 THE COURT: Just ask the questions, please. Just ask
the questions. Don't ask me to prove judgment. Let's
21

22 just -- for the question, ask it, and we'll go from there.
MR. BROWN: I want to be careful --
23

24 THE COURT: If they don't like, they'll jump, and

25 we'll do it then.

1 MR. BROWN: I just want to be careful [inaudible]
2 respect to --
THE COURT: [inaudible]
3
4 MR. BROWN: Okay.
BY MR. BROWN:
5
6 Q. Now the election's registration system has been plagued with difficulties over the last --
7
8 THE COURT: I have ruled on that. Don't go there.
9 MR. BROWN: Okay. The reason I'm asking --
10 THE COURT: And like I said, they jumped up. Okay.
11 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would just point out just for the record is that is our allegation paragraph
12
13 [inaudible].
THE COURT: I ruled on it. Quit pushing me all the
14
15 time.
BY MR. BROWN:
16
17 Q. Let me direct your attention to the ballot
18 design. Now what kind of role does your office have in ballot design for the electronic system?
19
20 A. When using GEMS, we first lay out the ballots so that -- structurally is what we focus on
21
22 first, make sure we have the right local districts in play, have the right precincts in play, and have the
23
24 right split precincts in play when a precinct is
25 divided.

1 Q. Okay.

2 A. And then have the right races and have the right candidates and have the right polling

3

4 locations. GEMS then takes all of that relationship information and produces a ballot style. It is a

5

6 layout of individual races. It does it in two formats.

7

8 It lays out a ballot style for optical scan

9 purposes that we set the number of columns in the

10 ballot format for the general election in 2013. The

11 predominant format was three columns on the front side of the ballot and three columns on the back side

12

13 of the ballot. Some locations had to go to a four column in the front and four column in the back

14

15 because of the sheer number of races that were included on the ballot.

16

17 That's the configuration that we set up for the

18 printer op scan ballot. For the configuration of the touch screen we set up a two-column ballot and that

19

20 has been the format that we've had in place since before 2010. When we initially started using the

21

22 DREs in 2002, it was three-column ballot on the DRE. It's now currently transitioned to a two-column

23

24 ballot around 2008 or 2002. The decision was made at

25 that time that the State wanted to give more space on

1 the ballot for each individual race that was seen on
2 the DRE. So we set up a configuration from building the data set that we're going to have a two-column
3
4 ballot displayed on the DRE.
Q. Okay. Now the -- in terms of this
5
6 accessibility or fairness, are there some guidelines that you use for designing a ballot?
7
8 A. There are rules in the -- State Election
9 Board rules for displaying of candidate name, the
10 font size that you use, whether you do all caps. The
11 position of candidates is set by statute in general elections whether the Republican candidate or the
12
13 Democratic candidate is listed first or second. The order of races is set again by statute of what race
14
15 comes first in the ballot, what race is second, third, and so forth and so on.
16
17 Q. Are there rules or regulations governing
18 whether a ballot can go from one -- if a race can be on two pages? In other words, the first candidate on
19
20 one page and then the second candidate on the other page.
21
22 A. When we are building the database, I'm not aware there are rules that says it can't be that way.
23
24 But when we are building the database we -- one of
25 the things that we look at once we have built that

1 structure, we look at on a DRE to see if any of these
2 circumstances may be arising. Are the number of candidates so large in a given race that we have to
3 change the -- what we call the scaling of the image that is shown on the screen?
5
6 We did encounter this in 2016 with the presidential preference primary on the Republican
7 side. When we first built the database there -- when
9 we first built the first arrangement of the database
10 for proof of purpose it had 16 candidates. The
11 scaling size that we used was actually pushing candidates to a second screen. We adjusted the
12 scaling -- reduced the scaling so that all of the candidates showed up on one screen all together under
14 the same header so that we would produce any voter confusion.
16
17 Q. Now in this election, 2018, did you design
18 any ballots that had the lieutenant governor's race split between one screen and the next?
19
20 A. To my knowledge the -- every voter in the state of Georgia on Election Day when they were using
21 a DRE unit and it is the normal display, the standard display, it had two races on the first frame. It had
23 -- on the left-hand side it had the governor's race
25 and all the candidates, and on the right-hand side

1 it had the lieutenant governor's race and all
2 candidates. And those were the only two races on the screen.
3
4 Q. And if some other configuration appeared it would have been the result of a machine mistake or
5
6 error; correct?
A. If a voter had put it into magnifying mode,
7
8 which the voter has the ability to do so, they would
9 have only been showing one race on the first screen,
10 and that would have been the governor, and then the
11 second race would have been the lieutenant governor on the second screen.
12
13 Q. By that orientation, where would the splitting of the lieutenant governor race that you're
14
15 aware of [inaudible].
A. That I am aware of.
16
17 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, if I could take a minute
18 break --
THE COURT: Sure.
19
20 MR. BROWN: -- [inaudible]
THE COURT: Why don't we take a few minutes then.
21
22 I'll be back in about ten minutes. Okay.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
23
24 MR. TYSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
25 [Off the record at 3:30 p.m., and back on the record

1 at 3:37 p.m.]

2

CONTINUATION DIRECT EXAMINATION

3

4 OF MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES

5

6 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. I'm going to hand you what's been marked as

7

8 Plaintiff's 8 and [inaudible]

9 THE COURT: Exhibit 8? Okay.

10 Q. Let me direct you to the last page of

11 Exhibit 8. Is the first email on the last page of
Exhibit 8 an email from a Steven [phonetic] Clay to

12

13 you and others?
MR. TYSON: I object on this. This is related to the

14

15 [inaudible] state issue in 2016 it looks like in these
emails, so.

16

17 THE COURT: Okay. You're going to identify what 8 is

18 --
MR. BROWN: All right.

19

20 THE COURT: I know you're trying to identify the last
page, but what's the dates on it?

21

22 MR. BROWN: I can do all of [inaudible].
BY MR. BROWN:

23

24 Q. Mr. Barnes, does Exhibit 8 appear to be

25 true and correct [inaudible] emails between various people

1 at your office [inaudible]?

2 A. It appears to be email communications from the Center for Election Systems at Kennesaw State in

3

4 2017.
MR. BROWN: Move to admit, Your Honor.

5

6 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, this appears to be once again related to the incident at Kennesaw State --

7

8 THE COURT: In '17? In '17?

9 MR. BROWN: In '17 is related to the Kennesaw State

10 matter that does [inaudible].

11 THE COURT: You're going to have to [inaudible]. Based on what you just said does not [inaudible] for me to

12

13 admit. We're talking about 2018, November race, that's all we're talking about.

14

15 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, we have alleged and proven that [inaudible] in 2017 could still impact the system --

16

17 THE COURT: No, you haven't proven that.

18 MR. BROWN: Well, I haven't [inaudible]
THE COURT: [inaudible] You haven't done that. I'll

19

20 let it in; okay? I'm going to let it in, because you misstate so many things, Mr. Brown. You really do. And

21

22 if it's -- [inaudible]. I'll shut up and let you run the show.

23

24 MR. BROWN: No, ma'am, the reason why I said that is

25 the record was --

1 THE COURT: Sir, you have not proved it. I am the
2 one that determines what's proved. Whether you will, I don't know, let's find out.
3
4 MR. BROWN: I understand. Let me back up a little bit and I understand --
5
6 THE COURT: Don't argue with me all the time. Just ask the gentleman questions, please, sir.
7
8 MR. BROWN: Okay. [inaudible] I move to admit this
9 Exhibit --
10 THE COURT: I said I'll admit it. How many more
11 times do you want me to say that?
MR. BROWN: Turn to page 8.
12
13 THE COURT: Can I get a copy of it now, please? [inaudible] discretion.
14
15 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Barnes, what is the compromise that is
16
17 referred to on page 8 of Exhibit 8? And it's in the
18 email from Mr. Gay [ph] to Michael Barnes [inaudible].
19
20 A. I believe this is referring to the web server that was attacked at the Center for Election
21
22 Systems.
Q. And the web server housed what programs or
23
24 information?
25 A. It didn't house any programs. It was a

1 distribution server that counties would obtain, like,
2 ballot proofs, data files that power their Express Poll devices; data that the Center for Election
3
4 Systems constructed at Kennesaw State while it was under contract with the Secretary of State's office
5
6 and supporting counties in state and federal elections.
7
8 Q. And how was the -- that site compromised?
9 A. It was a web server and somebody
10 infiltrated the portions of the web server files that
11 were behind password protection.
Q. Let me direct your attention to the next
12
13 page [inaudible] and this may not be in [inaudible] sequence so I'm going to assume that they are
14
15 [inaudible]. On page 7 in Exhibit 8, do you recall receiving this email? Or recall being cc'd on this
16
17 email?
18 A. [inaudible]
Q. Did you have an understanding when you
19
20 received this what the author meant, or what it meant to you, that obviously this is kind of untenable in
21
22 the current atmosphere?
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, while you have
23
24 admitted this document --
25 THE COURT: [inaudible] I've just got to see it. The

1 first page refers to [inaudible] investigation in regard
2 to voter registration records.
MR. LINDSEY: Yes, Your Honor.
3
4 THE COURT: I've already ruled that out repeatedly; ruled that out.
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible] with whether or not the system that --
7
8 THE COURT: And these are not in any kind of order.
9 MR. LINDSEY: -- whether or not the system has --
10 whether or not this has anything to do with the system
11 that collects voters' actual votes in 2018. What about the system [inaudible] --
12
13 MR. BROWN: [inaudible]
MR. LINDSEY: Well, let me back up. I'm here to
14
15 state my [inaudible]. My objection, Your Honor, this dealt with an entirely different system. You've already
16
17 ruled it dealt with an entirely different system and we
18 would object --
THE COURT: I think the question was, there were
19
20 errors then, have they been corrected? That's the only question on the floor.
21
22 MR. LINDSEY: My --
THE COURT: [inaudible] isn't relevant.
23
24 MR. LINDSEY: Yeah, my objection is that this dealt
25 with a situation you've already ruled on, which was

1 [inaudible]

2 THE COURT: I can see we might want to [inaudible].
MR. LINDSEY: Is there a -- I mean, if we could
3

4 stipulate that whatever [inaudible] have not been fixed,
maybe that will speed things up. [inaudible] stipulate
5

6 that it's relevant because it's not [inaudible] with the
system at issue here regarding the 2018 election.
7

8 MR. BROWN: I would love to put Mr. Lindsey on the

9 witness stand for that but --

10 THE COURT: Why do you talk over the top of

11 everybody? Now you're talking over the top of Mr.
Lindsey.
12

13 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'm simply just restating
the fact that you've already previously ruled that the
14

15 issue at Kennesaw State had nothing to do with the
election in 2018 because it dealt with [inaudible]
16

17 THE COURT: I sustain your objection, Mr. Lindsey.

18 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
BY MR. BROWN:
19

20 Q. When did the Secretary of State change the
system after Kennesaw State?
21

22 A. The -- when operations transitioned from
Kennesaw State to the Secretary of State's office --
23

24 the transition began before the physical transition.

25 The Secretary of State began constructing their

1 internal --

2 Q. I apologize for interrupting you, but I'm asking you a date question.

3

4 A. January 1, 2018.
Q. [inaudible] January 1, 2018. And what did

5

6 they change?
A. The entire air gap private network that's

7

8 used to -- that houses the election programming

9 software, GEMS, at the state level was transitioned

10 to a new air gap system maintained by the Secretary

11 of State Information Technology office and became operational when the Center for Election Systems took

12

13 up residency within the Secretary of State's office. Prior to the use of that system, that system was put

14

15 through an analysis by [inaudible], which the voting system testing lab out of Huntsville, Alabama to

16

17 validate that the installation of GEMS owned that

18 system matches the installation that [inaudible] had previously inspected.

19

20 Q. Okay. So is it your testimony that the -- that everything was changed over on January 1, 2018?

21

22 A. That the system that's used to generate the GEMS databases that are designed -- that are

23

24 developed and issued to counties to execute their

25 elections, the system that those -- that work was

1 done on was a brand new system as of January 1, 2018.

2 Q. Okay. A brand new what? A brand new hardware, brand new programming?

3

4 A. Brand new hardware.
Q. Okay. What about --

5

6 A. We were still using the certified copy of GEMS that we had been using in the state of Georgia

7

8 since 2000 -- late 2011.

9 Q. Okay. So it was the same GEMS system?

10 A. We have been using the same GEMS program

11 throughout the state of Georgia uniformly since late 2011.

12

13 Q. And that same program that has continued in use was on -- was it on the web server --

14

15 A. No, sir.
Q. Okay. Was it put on a web server?

16

17 A. No, sir.

18 Q. Okay. So did anything on the web server get put on the same place as the GEMS database?

19

20 A. No, sir.
Q. Okay. The -- if the GEMS -- well, so you

21

22 said it's -- Mr. Lindsey said everything was changed, it's a different system, the GEMS database is the

23

24 same; correct?

25 A. We have been using the same GEMS program in

1 Georgia since late 2011.

2 Q. Thank you. Sorry to interrupt [inaudible].
Let me direct your attention to page 4 on Exhibit 8.
3

4 THE COURT: These were written before he said
everything was changed. So let's not go into --
5

6 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, he said the GEMS database
was not a change.
7

8 THE COURT: I understand.

9 MR. BROWN: [inaudible] Let me get right to the point

10 [inaudible] overtake I think an objection.

11 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Barnes, did any of the 45-plus critical
12

13 vulnerabilities -- 40-plus critical vulnerabilities
relate in any way to any -- to the GEMS database?
14

15 A. No, sir.
Q. Did the critical vulnerabilities relate to
16

17 -- into the DREs or in the way the DREs were current?

18 A. No, sir.
Q. What did they relate to?
19

20 A. They're related to a web server that was
distributing the data to counties -- counties for
21

22 elections. It was the portal that the Center for
Elections use as their outside communication tool,
23

24 their website.

25 Elections.Kennesaw.edu was housed on that

1 server. Counties have a sign-in to that location to
2 obtain some information like ballot proofs for review purposes, where they could portal in and access that
3
4 information.
Q. Mr. Barnes, I want to go back to your
5
6 testimony about the ballot design; do you recall that? And I want to clarify first, you were
7
8 describing the scalability of the ballot design,
9 different challenges. There's a lot of candidates,
10 like, in the presidential preference primary for the
11 Republicans in 2016. Does the county have an opportunity to participate in ballot designs?
12
13 A. The county has an opportunity to review the data set at any given time during the review process.
14
15 Most counties take the reports that we generate and provide to them that are sample ballots in the
16
17 optical scan format, because that's the easiest thing
18 to produce, the PDF format, and provide the counties for a layout -- of validating that the right races
19
20 are in the right place on the ballot.
But some counties choose, Gwinnett County being
21
22 one of them in November of 2018, to obtain a copy of the GEMS database while it is in production. For
23
24 them then to then take that database, install it onto
25 a DRE so they can see what it's going to look like on

1 the DRE themselves. And if they see anything that
2 they would like adjusted, then they notify our office of what needs to be adjusted and that adjustment is
3
4 made.
Q. [inaudible] and Gwinnett was a longer
5
6 ballot because it was in two languages; is that right? Was that one of the issues?
7
8 A. It was a more complicated ballot. It was
9 the first election that Gwinnett County was having
10 with multiple language, so they were doing a lot of
11 proofing in multiple languages to make sure the ballot was being displayed as they needed it
12
13 displayed to be in adherence with federal statute.
Q. I asked you before with respect to the work
14
15 that you -- your office had done with respect to the ballot design whether in any other various -- the
16
17 ballots had been out, the election for the lieutenant
18 governor was split between two pages and you said, no. Do you know if that's the case with respect to
19
20 what the counties ended up using [inaudible]?
A. We have the same DRE equipment at our
21
22 disposal as the counties have at their disposal. The image that we can generate on the DRE should be equal
23
24 to what a county is seeing on display when they are
25 generating. That's the best to which I can answer

1 that question.

2 Q. To the best of your knowledge.
A. To the best of my knowledge.

3

4 Q. To the best of your knowledge the ballots
displayed in the county, did -- would not have shown

5

6 on the lieutenant governor's race on two different
screens; is that right?

7

8 A. That is correct.

9 Q. That's all I have. Thanks, again.

10 THE COURT: Any questions?

11

CROSS-EXAMINATION

12

13 OF MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES

14

15 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. Mr. Barnes, let's pick up where Mr. Brown

16

17 had been talking ballot design. Let me show you what

18 would be marked as -- where is it I'm at? Duncan 2?
Duncan 2.

19

20 And I'm going to ask you if you will -- if you
could identify this and tell me what it -- what is

21

22 shows, [inaudible] design of the [inaudible] ballots
in I believe 200- -- 2018, 2014, and 2010. Does this

23

24 accurately show the ballot design of the governor and

25 lieutenant governor's races for those three election

1 cycles? [inaudible]

2 A. Yes, sir, it does.
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I would tender these into
3

4 evidence.
MR. BROWN: No objection, Your Honor.
5

6 THE COURT: Received.
MR. TYSON: [inaudible]
7

8 BY MR. LINDSEY [inaudible]:

9 Q. There is in this packet two pictures of

10 each year. Could you explain to the Court why?

11 A. Sure. The two pictures -- the picture --
there are two DRE models that are used in the state
12

13 of Georgia. There is the TSx model and there is the
R6 model.
14

15 The TSx is -- has the gray case around it. The
screen and the R6 model has the white case around the
16

17 screen. So these are images of both models

18 displaying the ballot from November of 2018.
Q. And let me go back and show to the 2010
19

20 screen and that screen -- rather, in these screens
the U.S. Senate race and the governor's race is on
21

22 the first page and the next page has the lieutenant
governor and other races; correct?
23

24 A. Correct.

25 Q. In 2014, there was once again U.S. Senate

1 race and once again the U.S. Senate is -- and the
2 governor's race is on the first page and the race for lieutenant governor and other state constitutional
3
4 offices are on the next page; correct?
A. Correct.
5
6 Q. Now, the situation is different in 2018; is it not?
7
8 A. That's correct.
9 Q. Why?
10 A. There was no United States Senate race on
11 the ballot in 2018. The first race on all ballots across the state was for governor.
12
13 Q. So as a result, so instead of being on two different screens, the governor and lieutenant
14
15 governor's race are both on the same screen.
A. That's correct.
16
17 Q. Correct? Okay. Now, you are as part of
18 your job, concerned about ballot design; correct?
A. Correct.
19
20 Q. And for instance you are knowledgeable, for instance, what happened in Broward County; correct?
21
22 A. Correct.
Q. Tell the Judge what happened in Broward
23
24 County.
25 A. In November 2018 in Broward County, they

1 had a -- I believe it's -- the United States Senate
2 race was at the bottom of the first column on the ballot.
3
4 It came below the set instructions. So at the very -- after those instructions ended, they had
5
6 enough space at the bottom of this piece of paper that they could include the United States senate race
7
8 at the bottom of that column and then the governor's
9 race was at the top of the second column on the
10 ballot. Other counties -- that was Broward County --
11 is the United States senate was at the bottom of -- underneath the instructions.
12
13 Q. So as a result in Broward County fewer people voted in the U.S. Senate race than they did in
14
15 other counties?
A. The layout in Broward County was different
16
17 than it was in other counties.
18 Q. Yeah.
A. In other counties the United States Senate
19
20 race was at the top of the second column. There was no race below the instructions on other county
21
22 ballots in Florida.
Q. And did that have an impact on voter
23
24 participation? Was that the concern that had
25 impacted the voter [inaudible]?

1 A. There was concern that because the race was
2 at the -- below the instructions that voters might not have noticed that it was below the instructions
3
4 and then may have just bypassed it and ignored it.
Q. Yeah. Now after this election, Mr. Brown
5
6 asked you, had you had any discussions about why there's a difference between the paper ballot down-
7
8 ballot voting for lieutenant governor and the
9 electronic ballot down-ballot race? Do you recall
10 him asking you that?
11 A. Yes, sir.
Q. And have you in fact had any discussion
12
13 about whether or not the ballot design may have impacted that race?
14
15 A. Post-election, you know, looking at the design of the ballot, we have always been very
16
17 pleased with the two-column ballot because it gives,
18 you know -- you know, two races very clearly separate apart but when we, you know, start thinking about the
19
20 election of what all was going on with the given election, could this ballot layout been confusing to
21
22 voters.
And there's a possibility that it could have
23
24 been confusing, especially for new voters that are
25 voting for the first time in the state of Georgia

1 that may not have had an interaction in voting in
2 Georgia or using a DRE. You know, a cursory glance of the screen like this you may feel like because the
3
4 Republican candidates are line up and the Democratic candidates are lining up that you make one selection
5
6 and you're voting for a ticket.
So that could be how a voter interprets that or
7
8 it may not be. I could just be that they see the
9 first race and they are focused on when they get to
10 the next element. Each individual voter's actions
11 that -- are their own.
Q. And in fact you are aware that program's
12
13 [inaudible]?
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, this is already leading and,
14
15 Your Honor, I would [inaudible] leading the witness.
MR. LINDSEY: That doesn't matter. It has to be
16
17 adverse. [inaudible].
18 THE COURT: [inaudible], therefore he's got him on cross.
19
20 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
21
22 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. So obviously, you know, you're aware of the
23
24 fact that the president and my president, for instance,
25 run on a ticket; correct?

1 A. Correct.

2 Q. and are you aware that, I believe, in 25 states that have lieutenant governors and governors, they

3

4 run on a ticket; are you aware of that?
A. I learned something today that -- I know

5

6 that there are some jurisdictions where they on a ticket with a number.

7

8 Q. Okay. Are you aware that, for instance, in

9 Florida they run on a ticket?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. Are you aware that in South Carolina, two of our neighboring states, they also run on a ticket?

12

13 A. Yes.
Q. So it is common, is it not, that in many

14

15 states that the governor and lieutenant governor weren't on a ticket?

16

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Okay. Now, in addition to the general design, did you also do a analysis in terms of the

19

20 number of new voters voting in Georgia for the first time --

21

22 A. Post --
Q. -- in 2014 and 2018? Post-election.

23

24 A. Secretary of State's office did reach out

25 to their vendor of the voter registration system to

1 ask the question of how many new voters participated
2 for the -- or how many voters participated for the first time in 2018, also in 2014. In 2014, I believe
3
4 the number was --
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I object. This is hearsay.
5
6 It's [inaudible].
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, he's doing this in his
7
8 official capacity to basically analyze the number of new
9 voters.
10 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I'm not aware of any official
11 capacity except to the hearsay ruled upon.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, this is part of his
12
13 official duties is to --
THE COURT: We've talked about numbers all over the
14
15 place.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, these are not --
16
17 THE COURT: And all other kinds of ways. I'll allow
18 it.
MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
19
20 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. That in 2014, there were just over 98,000
21
22 voters in Georgia that participated for the first time in the November 2014 election, but in the 2018
23
24 election there were a number of 336,000 voters or a
25 little over that that voted for the first time on --

1 in November of 2018. And as far as your discussions,
2 was the concern about confusion by new voters coming in from other states?
3
4 A. Yes.
Q. And that's part of the concerns you had in
5
6 terms of the inadvertent layout that was created as a result of the U.S. Senate race not being on the
7
8 ballot?
9 A. Correct.
10 Q. Okay. Now Mr. Brown also asked you about
11 -- several questions about getting necessary reports from GEMS and elsewhere about verifying that the --
12
13 that the election results.
And at one point you were asked to run a report
14
15 that formed this lawsuit, a report that was given to the parties assuring every voter received lieutenant
16
17 governor on the ballot. Do you recall being asked
18 about that before?
A. Yes.
19
20 Q. Okay. You did not run a report for every county; correct?
21
22 A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. But you did run a report on the
23
24 counties that are all on -- named parties in this
25 lawsuit; correct?

1 A. That's correct.

2 Q. And that would be Fulton and Gwinnett; correct?

3

4 A. Correct.
MR. TYSON [inaudible]: [inaudible] what?

5

6 MR. LINDSEY: Fulton and Gwinnett.
Q. Let me first show you Gwinnett -- let me

7

8 show you both [inaudible]. First let me show you

9 Gwinnett and ask you to please identify Gwinnett

10 [inaudible]. If you could identify that for the

11 Court.
A. This is the base precinct with reports --

12

13 base precinct with races report for Gwinnett County and note there were 2018 election.

14

15 Q. And you -- tell the Court what that means -- what that means.

16

17 A. What this report shows is every -- a voter

18 is connected to a base precinct within a GEMS database. And what this shows is the base precinct

19

20 associated to the precinct and then what races are associated to that base precinct. So this show --

21

22 and a voter is going to be associated to the base precinct. So this is showing what races each

23

24 individual voter within a precinct would see when

25 they were given their ballot.

1 Q. Okay.

2 MR. LINDSEY: We would tender Exhibits 3 and 4. [inaudible] 3 and 4. [inaudible]

3

4 MR. BROWN: I have no objection, Your Honor.
THE COURT: They're admitted.

5

6 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. And what do these reports -- you would tell

7

8 the Court what these reports show.

9 A. It shows, again, all races for that given

10 base precinct or present all statewide races that

11 were being contested in November are shown with every base precinct listed in the report.

12

13 Q. Okay. So if I understand you correctly what you're telling me is that Mr. Duncan and Ms.

14

15 Amico appeared on every electronic ballot then in those two counties?

16

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. And did you ever receive any complaint from anywhere in the state that this race did not appear

19

20 on an electronic ballot?
A. We did not.

21

22 Q. To go back to the issue of configuration about how was the ballot that we just finished

23

24 discussing, the electronic ballot, differed from the

25 paper ballots that folks would receive when they did

1 write-in?

2 A. In relation to layout?
Q. Layout, particularly the governor and
3

4 lieutenant governor's race.
A. The optical scan ballot, again being three
5

6 or four columns in display, front and back, the
governor's race would have been the first race below
7

8 the ballot that are -- in the -- on the left -- far

9 left column and then the race right below that would

10 have been the lieutenant governor and then the race

11 below that would have been Secretary of State.
Q. So you wouldn't have had simply the
12

13 governor and lieutenant governors on the -- on only
one page?
14

15 A. That's correct.
Q. Plus you had a north-south situation as
16

17 opposed to east-west?

18 A. Correct.
Q. Now in addition to checking to make sure
19

20 that this race appeared on all the ballots, you also
were asked as part of the discovery in this case, to
21

22 prepare from GEMS a report testing to ensure that the
votes that are made on a machine are actually
23

24 calculated in the system; correct?

25 A. Correct.

1 Q. Okay. And you did this for both Fulton and
2 Gwinnett, correct --
A. Correct.
3
4 Q. -- were named parties in this case. And if
you would explain to the Court -- let me show you the
5
6 -- and ask you if this is [inaudible] would be and
then explain to the Court what actually this report
7
8 shows.
9 A. These are the active voter TS status
10 reports from the Fulton and Gwinnett county databases
11 and what these reports show are the number of memory
cards created for their voting locations and the
12
13 upload status of those memory cards.
Q. Okay. In English what do they [inaudible]?
14
15 A. It shows that the touch screen unit that
was used that the information collected by that
16
17 machine has been uploaded into GEMS [inaudible].
18 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we would tender these two
Exhibits which would be 5 and 6 [inaudible].
19
20 MR. BROWN: No objection, Your Honor.
THE COURT: So admitted..
21
22 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. And after analyzing the report once again,
23
24 what are they -- tell the Court what they showed.
25 A. They showed that there are no outstanding

1 memory cards. That all memory cards that were
2 created that would have collected that would have collected votes, have been uploaded and accounted for
3
4 by GEMS.
Q. Okay. Now you were asked by Mr. Brown also
5
6 about what you at the Secretary of State's office does beforehand to get ballots ready for voting. Do
7
8 you recall that last question?
9 A. Yes.
10 Q. Let me ask you, do you do any testing,
11 parallel testing for instance, on Election Day to make sure that the systems are operating correctly?
12
13 A. We do.
Q. Tell the Judge what you do.
14
15 A. In the days leading up to the election we will make -- Secretary of State's office will make a
16
17 request to a county in Georgia to provide to us a
18 copy of their GEMS database from their GEMS computer. The State constructs the database and sends it to the
19
20 county.
But for parallel testing we ask that whatever
21
22 the county has loaded into their system, that they make a copy of that database and send it back to the
23
24 State so that we at the Secretary of State's office
25 can then create our own memory cards from their copy

1 of their database, load into touch screen equipment
2 that we have in our possession, do a logic and accuracy test on the device to make sure it's working
3
4 as it should, and then on Election Day itself we videotape the input of a test deck into the device in
5
6 election mode.
So we will have an individual that is holding
7
8 the pre-filled ballot out and instructing the test
9 voter on what selections to make while that is all
10 being videotaped. And that's done within the voting
11 period on Election Day. And then at the end of that timeframe we'll end the election on those devices and
12
13 printout tapes from those devices, and validate the results being produced by the device match the known
14
15 results of the test deck.
Q. Okay. And you do that to make sure that if
16
17 Edward Lindsey cast a vote for Mike Boland for
18 governor, that it's properly counting?
A. Correct.
19
20 Q. And when you did that parallel testing on Election Day, did you discover any irregularities?
21
22 A. We did not.
Q. And among the races that you tested for was
23
24 the lieutenant governor's race; correct?
25 A. That's correct.

1 Q. Thank you. Now you were talking about
2 after the election Ms. Amico came and raised some concerns. And you mentioned that you went and
3
4 checked a county. What county was that, just for the record?
5
6 A. Ben Hill County.
7 Q. Ben Hill County. And you went to Ben Hill
8 because that was somebody Ms. Amico was concerned
9 about; correct?
10 A. Correct.
11 Q. Okay. And the results were the same things that were tabulated on the card were being uploaded
12
13 to the Secretary of State's office; correct?
14 A. That's correct.
15 Q. Okay. Now were you asked earlier why -- well, you were asked earlier whether the Secretary of
16
17 State had done any testing or discovered there was
18 any kind of malware on the system; correct?
19 A. Correct.
20 Q. Been asked that. Given what you do on the parallel testing, the outcome, did you believe there
21
22 was any reason to do any forensic testing for malware?
23
24 A. Based on what we have encountered, no, sir.
25 Q. Okay. Now you -- there have been several

1 questions raised about hacking, this, that, and the
2 other; could you explain -- from my understanding,
and I'm not a math major and a physics major, I'm a
3
4 history major with a specialty in Florentine
brimstone, so --
5
6 THE COURT: That's really helpful
Q. -- so I'm going to talk really slowly to
7
8 make this explanation here. Could you please explain
9 the difference between an open system that would be
10 on the web page, and a closed system?
11 A. A closed system has no external connection
port is that it is a device directly connected to
12
13 another device and there is not a line from that pair
going outward placing so that someone can portal
14
15 inward into that device. They can't access an IP
address. It's closed off.
16
17 The only way to interact with the system is
18 through direct interaction with the system. An open
network is akin to the internet. When you have
19
20 computers and multiple locations communicating to one
another through a public means.
21
22 Q. So someone [inaudible] Putin in Russia
could not use a computer there utilizing the internet
23
24 to be able to get into your system and hack it;
25 correct?

1 A. Correct.

2 Q. Okay. Were there any other anomalies in
this election, particularly regarding the lieutenant
3

4 governor's race, in regards to write-in votes?
A. When looking at the certified returns for
5

6 various counties in the Metro area, we saw that there
were -- for the statewide races that the lieutenant
7

8 governor's office seemed to have the highest number

9 of write-in votes collected.

10 Q. Okay. Now you didn't check every county,

11 did you?
A. No, sir.
12

13 Q. All right. You checked, I believe, three
counties; Fulton, Gwinnett, and DeKalb; correct?
14

15 A. Correct.
Q. Let me show you Fulton [inaudible] and let
16

17 me ask you to explain what these three documents --

18 certified documents I might add, show.
A. These appear to be the certified returns
19

20 from Dekalb County, Gwinnett County, and Fulton
County, and they are -- they contain the election
21

22 summary reports for those counties.
And the election summary report shows each
23

24 individual race that was present in the database for

25 that given county. It shows the total number of

1 precincts involved in that election shows the number
2 of precincts reporting by race. It shows the number of times that particular race was seen by the
3
4 tabulating system.
It shows the total number of votes that were
5
6 counted for that race and it shows the number of votes collected for each individual candidate listed
7
8 in that race, including write-ins.
9 Q. All right. Write-ins, if I understand
10 correctly, they are write-ins in which someone goes
11 to the trouble to get their vote tabulated and there are write-ins for Mickey Mouse, that sort of thing.
12
13 A. That's correct. There are certified write-in candidates and then there are candidates that are
14
15 not certified write-ins.
Q. And that includes those who are not
16
17 certified write-in candidates; correct?
18 A. This includes all write-ins collected by the system.
19
20 Q. When you reviewed that, what did you discover for these three counties, for instance,
21
22 using [inaudible] because they were named parties in this case? What did you discover about the number of
23
24 write-ins in the lieutenant governor's race versus
25 other races that were statewide?

1 A. That the highest number of write-ins cast
2 for statewide office was in the lieutenant governor's office.
3
4 Q. Okay.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we would tender Exhibits --
5
6 [inaudible] 7, 8, and 9.
THE COURT: Mr. Brown.
7
8 MR. BROWN: You're tendering those?
9 MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible] I'm tendering --
10 THE COURT: He tendered his exhibits.
11 MR. LINDSEY: I'm tendering the exhibits.
MR. BROWN: [inaudible]
12
13 THE COURT: Okay. No objection. That's [inaudible].
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I just have one last
14
15 [inaudible] and I will release him, I guess, [inaudible]. Your Honor, I believe the parties have stipulated to the
16
17 admissibility of Secretary of State certified statewide
18 races.
I just want to let it [inaudible] in the record. I
19
20 would, first of all, tender Exhibits 10, 11, and 12. Is that what I'm up to? Ten being the election for 2010, 11
21
22 being for '14, and 12 being for '18. Let me show you what I have here. '18 and '14, rather, and '10.
23
24 BY MR. LINDSEY:
25 Q. [inaudible] Let me simply ask you to look

1 at those. Those are in fact the official election
2 returns from those three years that are located on the Secretary of State's website; correct?
3
4 [inaudible] I don't think I have those [inaudible]. Okay. These are in fact the election
5
6 returns from the Secretary of State's office [inaudible]; correct?
7
8 A. Appear to be.
9 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we tender the Exhibits.
10 THE COURT: Any objections from --
11 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. Do you have extra copies of those,
12
13 the last three, Mr. Lindsey?
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, [inaudible].
14
15 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. And looking at the front page of '10 and
16
17 '14, does it not show, sir, the percentage of voters
18 from that year? For both '10 and '14 at the top?
A. The turnout percentage?
19
20 Q. Yes, sir.
A. The turnout percentage for November of '14
21
22 -- 2014, voter turnout 50.03 percent?
Q. Yes, sir. Now for a '18 -- for '18
23
24 [inaudible].
25 MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible], Your Honor. [inaudible].

1 And also, Your Honor, we tender this next Exhibit,

2 [inaudible] turnout and '18, just for the record.
Q. If you would identify what would be marked
3

4 as Exhibit 13, tell us what that is.
A. This is for November 2018 --
5

6 Q Yes, sir.
A. -- general turnout 61.34 percent.
7

8 MR. LINDSEY: We tender this document as well.

9 MR. BROWN: No objection.

10 BY MR. LINDSEY:

11 Q. So the turnout -- well, first of all, if
you were to look at '14 and '18, we've already talked
12

13 about the new votes. Let me just also sort of close
the loop on this line of questioning. If you would
14

15 look at '14 first and tell me how many registered
voters there were in '14.
16

17 A. In '14, there were 5,191,182.

18 Q. Okay. And in '18, how many were there?
A. 6,400,200 -- let's see. 6,428,584.
19

20 Q. Okay. And you've already testified that we
had high voter participation in '18?
21

22 A. [inaudible]
Q. So in '18, we have a larger number of
23

24 registered voters than in '14; correct?

25 A. Correct.

1 Q. And in '18, we had a larger turnout than we
2 did in '14; correct?
A. Correct.
3
4 Q. And in '18, we had a increase of about
three-and-a-half times the number of new voters
5
6 voting for the first time; correct?
A. Correct.
7
8 Q. All of those, based on your concern about -
9 - your knowledge about Broward County as you're
10 looking at the web design -- at the design of the
11 electronic ballot could have led to greater voter
confusion when they voted electronically versus when
12
13 they voted on a paper ballot; correct?
A. Correct.
14
15 MR. LINDSEY: That's all I have, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Mr. Tyson.
16
17 MR. TYSON: Thank you, Your Honor. I'll be brief.
18
CROSS-EXAMINATION
19
20 OF MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES
21
22 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. [inaudible] pick up real quickly on the
23
24 Broward review, that senate race that Mr. Lindsey was
25 asking you about. Do you know if Broward County uses

1 paper or DRE ballots?

2 A. I believe Broward uses centralized [inaudible].

3

4 Q. Okay. So those would be paper ballots; correct?

5

6 A. Yes.

Q. Mr. Brown earlier asked you about

7

8 complaints that he received around the election

9 administration, were the complaints in 2018 any more

10 unusual than complaints in prior years?

11 A. No.

Q. And Mr. Brown also asked you now

12

13 [inaudible] issues he said that, you know, if you push a button [inaudible] record votes somewhere else

14

15 for somebody else. Now would you consider that a programming issue or a calibration issue?

16

17 A. I would consider that a calibration issue.

18 Q. And is -- if the machine doesn't respond as expected on a calibration issue during testing, what

19

20 was the process for addressing that?

A. The counties normally do not allow that

21

22 system to pass. It is set aside and not used for that given election.

23

24 Q. And in the calibration you repair or fixed

25 electronic voting machines?

1 A. You can recalibrate the screen as part of
2 the initial LMA process of, say, [inaudible]
calibration on the machines is like one of the first
3
4 steps done. But even after setting calibration if
the county interacts with the system and they feel
5
6 like it's not responsive as it should be, then they
will take that unit out of operation.
7
8 Q. And so a programming error then would be
9 something like a candidate's name is missing; is that
10 correct?
11 A. That's correct.
Q. And how would you know that it was a
12
13 programming error of that nature where somebody's
name was omitted, for example?
14
15 A. It would be not present on the ballot. You
would not see the name or if there was a voting
16
17 position with no name associated to it, you would see
18 that.
Q. And how would you do that? Would you do
19
20 that from a GEMS report or some other method?
A. You do that for a GEMS reports. You do
21
22 that through the sample ballots that are generated
and provided to the counties for proofing purposes.
23
24 Q. And reviewing the DRE post-election mode
25 also show that problem with the candidate missing

1 name?

2 A. In post-election mode you can print out reports that show candidates listed by race. You

3 also have the ability to access the ballot -- the ballot view, which is the reported ballots that the

4 system recorded and it shows what races were on that ballot and what candidates were listed under those

5 races.

6 Q. So lieutenant governor's race didn't appear

7 on a DRE. The machine in post-election [inaudible]

8 to determine that [inaudible].

9 A. That's correct.

10 Q. Okay. Thank you.

11 MR. LINDSEY: I don't have anything else.

12 THE COURT: Wait a minute. Wait, wait, wait.

13 MR. BROWN: I'm sorry.

14 MS. BURWELL: I have no questions.

15 THE COURT: She's got -- okay. Okay. Now you can go.

16 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

17

18 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
OF MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES

19

20

21 BY MR. BROWN:

1 Q. When did you first hear of the theory that
2 the under-vote might be -- have been the result of people thinking that it was a [inaudible]
3
4 A. It would probably be close to the time of certification.
5
6 Q. And who did you hear it from?
A. It was just sort of hypothesized. There
7
8 was no one person that said it. It was just sort of
9 again looking at the election and seeing, you know,
10 what could -- what could be reasons for these things.
11 Q. For the under-vote that you noticed, right?
A. Yes.
12
13 Q. Okay. So you noticed the under-vote at the Secretary of State's office and one of the things
14
15 that was just thrown out was, maybe people thought it was a ticket, right?
16
17 A. Correct.
18 Q. And have you undertaken -- get any sort of expert opinion or analysis of that theory?
19
20 A. We have heard from people in relation to ballot design that are starting that for ballot
21
22 design moving forward that it's best for voters to see one race per screen when they're interacting with
23
24 a DRE device or a ballot-marking device. So, you
25 know, that's -- to eliminate that confusion that may

1 be present.

2 Q. Right. But that would mean that -- that doesn't relate to under-votes, does it; or does it

3 [inaudible]?

4 A. I'm not sure of the question.

5 Q. Is the concern there that people will skip a vote if there's more than one ballot on a page? Is

6 that the concern?

7 A. There's always concern that voters are not,

8 you know, receptive of what they're seeing. But each

9 individual voter is going to do what they want to do.

10 Q. Right. And in -- but in this election, if

11 you turn the page for this election, you have multiple ballots; correct?

12 A. If you hit the next button you go to the next screen, you have multiple races appearing, yes.

13 Q. And which races appeared on the second page

14 of November 6th ballot?

15 A. Let's see --

16 Q. And just go ahead and look at the [inaudible].

17 A. The November '18 -- for the 2018 ballot?

18 Q. Yes, sir.

19 A. There are four races present. Secretary of

20 State, attorney general, commissioner of agriculture,

1 commissioner of insurance.

2 Q. Okay. So in those -- even though there were four ballots on that screen, the voters were

3

4 able -- electronic and paper ballot voters had a significantly higher participation rate than the

5

6 lieutenant governor's race; correct?
MR. TYSON: Objection, Your Honor. It's leading.

7

8 THE COURT: Sustained.

9 BY MR. BROWN:

10 Q. Mr. Barnes, did -- before the other races

11 that were on the second page have a higher voter participation rate than lieutenant governor's race?

12

13 A. Based on the certified results from those counties that there were fewer under-votes in those

14

15 races, yes.
Q. Okay. Thank you. Now the -- there was

16

17 some testimony about calibration issue. Are you with

18 me?
A. Uh-huh.

19

20 Q. Okay. And what -- one calibration problem is a screen dysfunctioning, physical screen problem,

21

22 if you follow me, where what you're pushing doesn't connect with the code -- with whatever electronics

23

24 [inaudible]. You with me? It's a physical problem

25 with the screen. Is that a calibration problem?

1 A. Where -- the point that you're touching is
2 -- the response is showing in a different location? The response where you touch --
3
4 Q. Or doesn't respond or it blinks or something else, is that a calibration problem?
5
6 A. Potentially.
7 Q. The -- but there is software that tells the
8 computer, if you press this button, put another --
9 add one to the voter total for Mr. Duncan; correct?
10 A. The test screen basically is a grid. It's
11 a pressure-point grid. It says -- [inaudible] analysis and, you know, it comes up with an average
12
13 of pressure it says, if you see pressure here, then that should be indicated as a mark in this position
14
15 on the ballot.
16 Q. Right. And that's programming that does
17 that; correct? That says, if you [inaudible], add
18 one to the vote total for Amico, right?
19 A. Correct.
20 Q. Okay. And that program resides where?
21 A. That program resides on the DRE.
22 Q. On the individual DRE machines.
23 A. That's correct.
24 Q. And is that -- and is that -- and where on
25 those DRE machines does it reside?

1 A. That resides on an EPROM chip that's
2 connected to the motherboard.
Q. And is the EPROM chip connected to the
3
4 motherboard of something that the State --
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, bear with me here. I just
5
6 have one question on this.
Q. Is the EPROM chip that you referred to that
7
8 contains the programming for doing that something the
9 State offered to allow the petitioners to inspect?
10 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, objection again. He's once
11 again [inaudible] re-litigate what the Court has already
ruled upon in discovery [inaudible].
12
13 THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MR. BROWN:
14
15 Q. Is the EPROM the same thing as the election
archive?
16
17 A. The election archive information is saved
18 to the internal memory on the device and the internal
memory is contained in EPROM. [inaudible]
19
20 Q. Okay. And the internal -- okay. Can you
say that again?
21
22 A. The internal memory is where the archive
file is maintained and the internal memory resides on
23
24 EPROM.
25 Q. Okay. So the answer is no if they're on

1 the same thing, right?

2 A. The election archives is a data file that is saved to an internal memory and the internal

3 memory is -- resides on the EPROM.

4 Q. And other things other than the election

5 archive are on the internal memory, right?

6 A. I believe I already said that.

7 Q. Just yes or no.

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. Okay. And one of those other things

10 controls the mapping of the vote from the touch

11 screen to the place in your system where it says, add

12 one more vote to somebody; correct?

13 A. Correct.

14 Q. Let me direct your attention to one of Mr.

15 Lindsey's printouts and I'll just give you -- you

16 don't need to look at it.

17 Of course you may, but the -- he was asking you

18 about write-in votes and how the lieutenant

19 governor's race had a lot of write-in votes, do you

20 know whether that was because Libertarians who were

21 voting on the lieutenant governor's race did not have

22 an option, therefore wrote in? Do you know --

23 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I believe that calls for

24 speculation [inaudible].

25

1 THE COURT: That would be speculation. [inaudible]
2 argue that it's not. [inaudible].
MR. BROWN: Thank you.
3
4 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Now the EPROM chip is the erasable, read-
5
6 only [inaudible], right? Or I guess erasable program
[inaudible], right?
7
8 A. Well, that's what EPROM stands for, yes.
9 Q. Now does the software reside on an EPROM
10 chip or in [inaudible] memory, if you know?
11 A. I can't answer that in an absolutely -- I
don't [inaudible].
12
13 Q. Okay.
MR. BROWN: That's all I have [inaudible].
14
15 THE COURT: [inaudible]
16
17 RECROSS EXAMINATION
18 OF MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES
19
20 BY MALE:
Q. Mr. Brown -- I've just got [inaudible].
21
22 Mr. Brown did ask about whether it was a touch screen
problem [inaudible] whether or not that could be a
23
24 system error; correct?
25 A. Correct.

1 Q. That would have shown up if it was a system
2 problem in your parallel testing on Election Day; correct?
3
4 A. Correct.
Q. And you didn't detect any problems;
5
6 correct?
A. We did not detect any problems.
7
8 MALE: No further questions.
9
10 RECROSS EXAMINATION
11 OF MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES
12
13 BY MR. TYSON [inaudible]:
Q. Mr. Barnes, Mr. Brown asked you about when
14
15 the voter information was recorded. When you push a button, when you push the part of the screen, it's
16
17 [inaudible] cast ballot that is recorded in the
18 internal memory of the unit; correct?
A. That is correct.
19
20 Q. All right. Are you familiar with the notices that are posted to voter -- polling places
21
22 regarding pressing the cast ballot button?
A. I am.
23
24 Q. I'm going to hand you what's been marked as
25 Gwinnett 1. Is this the notice for voter to be

1 posted in the polling places regarding pressing the
2 cast ballot button [inaudible], I'm sorry.
A. It is.
3
4 Q. And it very specifically says, you should
notify a poll worker if you have a problem or
5
6 question about the unit before you press the cast
ballot button; correct?
7
8 A. That's correct.
9 Q. Okay. Thank you.
10 MR. TYSON: I have no further questions. Oh, I'm
11 sorry, Your Honor. I tender Gwinnett 1.
MR. BROWN: No objection.
12
13 THE COURT: It's admitted.
THE COURT: Ms. Burwell, questions?
14
15 MS. BURWELL: [inaudible]
THE COURT: Mr. Brown.
16
17 MR. BROWN: I don't have anything further.
18 THE COURT: Okay. You may get down.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
19
20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, may this witness be excused?
THE COURT: Yes.
21
22 MR. BROWN: [inaudible]
THE COURT: Let me ask you, Mr. Brown, how much
23
24 longer do you think your case is going to last? I'm just
25 trying to work out logistics and various other things.

1 MR. BROWN: If I may have literally 30 seconds, I can
2 give you an answer if I could talk to my clients.
THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.
3
4 MR. BROWN: Your Honor.
THE COURT: Go ahead.
5
6 MR. BROWN: We have about an hour with Mr. Barron
probably total. He is here. He has a -- Mr. Barron is an
7
8 employee of Fulton County. [inaudible] Fulton County. He
9 has a childcare issue and we would be happy that he
10 [inaudible] in the morning and then starting [inaudible].
11 THE COURT: Start in the morning. You've got about
another hour?
12
13 MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: What does the defendants -- I'm looking
14
15 -- can we finish tomorrow?
MALE: [inaudible], Your Honor. I don't think the
16
17 [inaudible].
18 THE COURT: You want to take an oath on that?
MALE: What?
19
20 THE COURT: Do you want to take an oath on that?
MALE: No, Your Honor. I will not take an oath and I
21
22 will not [inaudible] in my place.
I will not take an oath. But [inaudible] some of my
23
24 preceding circumstances --
25 THE COURT: Okay. Tomorrow, so you know -- now you

1 have to understand I'm a senior judge [inaudible]
2 courtroom and therefore we won't have a courtroom
[inaudible] in two or three weeks with luck. But in the
3
4 meantime, they move us around.
So tomorrow we're back in the Magistrate's court on
5
6 the fourth floor. It's the old courtroom annex, 402.
Okay. Mr. Groban [ph] will be over there early to let you
7
8 in. I always bring my -- I've got so much stuff I'll
9 bring it.
10 MALE: Is there anywhere that we can store some of
11 our stuff? Because of the stuff I don't necessarily
[inaudible].
12
13 THE COURT: That's why I'm looking at all that but I
don't have a choice. They've got a mock trial in here
14
15 tomorrow.
MALE: No, I understand. [inaudible]
16
17 THE COURT: I don't know they were locked. Okay.
18 There's a jury room in here that you could use, but you'd
have to come real early to get in it and get it out.
19
20 MALE: I'd be happy to. I mean, that's --
[inaudible] take home.
21
22 THE COURT: Yeah. The other place that might do it
is the Bar office. Dekalb [inaudible] County Bar office
23
24 is right over there.
25 MALE: All right.

1 THE COURT: It's on this floor and they might let you
2 keep some stuff in there.
MALE: I'll tell you, we'll [inaudible].
3
4 THE COURT: Well, I mean they might let you --
they've got two conference rooms over there. They're
5
6 empty. You go out here and turn left. You know where it
is Mr. [inaudible].
7
8 MALE: Yes. [inaudible]
9 THE COURT: Okay. [inaudible] I'll see you in M-42
10 tomorrow. And if you want to use the [inaudible] now he
11 gets here at the crack of dawn anyway.
MALE: Well, I mean, do you think that that's a safe
12
13 place? If you get here at the crack of dawn, would it be
okay [inaudible]? I mean, is it inconveniencing you?
14
15 [inaudible] It's just a couple of boxes. [inaudible]
MALE: I get here by 7:30, 20 minutes to 8.
16
17 THE COURT: We start at 9:00 tomorrow.
18 MALE: [inaudible]
THE COURT: Okay.
19
20 MALE: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: We'll see you tomorrow.
21
22 [Whereupon, the hearing was concluded at 4:53 p.m.]
23
24
25

1 CERTIFICATE

2 STATE OF GEORGIA]
] SS.
3

4 COUNTY OF DOUGLAS]

5

6 I, PRISCILLA GARCIA, A COURT REPORTER IN THE STATE OF GEORGIA, DO HEREBY STATE THAT THE FOREGOING IS A TRUE AND
7

8 ACCURATE TRANSCRIPT AS TAKEN DOWN BY ME AT THE TIME,

9 PLACE, AND THE DATE HEREINBEFORE SET FORTH.

10 I DO FURTHER STATE THAT I AM NEITHER A RELATIVE NOR

11 EMPLOYEE NOR ATTORNEY NOR COUNSEL OF ANY OF THE PARTIES TO THIS ACTION, AND THAT I AM NEITHER A RELATIVE NOR EMPLOYEE
12

13 OF SUCH ATTORNEY OR COUNSEL, AND THAT I AM NOT FINANCIALLY INTERESTED IN THIS ACTION.
14

15 WITNESS MY HAND IN THE CITY OF DOUGLASVILLE, COUNTY
16

17 OF DOUGLAS, STATE OF GEORGIA, ON THIS 28th DAY OF JANUARY

18 2019. BY: Priscilla Garcia
19

20 PRISCILLA GARCIA, COURT REPORTER
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF GEORGIA
21

22 COMMISSION NO.: W-00379933
COMMISSION EXPIRES: 08/14/2022
23

24 CERTIFICATE NO.: 5503-2677-8304-9216

25 LICENSE EXPIRES: 04/01/2019

1
2
3 I, Chris Naaden, a transcriber, hereby declare
4 under penalty of perjury that to the best of my
5 ability the above 291 pages contain a full, true and
6 correct transcription of the tape-recording that I
7 received regarding the event listed on the caption on
8 page 1.
9
10 I further declare that I have no interest in the
11 event of the action.
12
13 January 28, 2019
14
15
16 Chris Naaden
17
18
19
20 (Transcript of Hearing Proceedings)
21
22
23
24
25

(

(C) 85:24
(C)(3) 85:24
(C)(4) 85:25

0

0.8 102:11 120:24

1

1 21:17,20 44:1,4,10, 11 45:20 52:11,15 54:6,8 57:2,11 99:4 101:2 102:2,24 103:11 105:11,15 107:25 111:25 112:1 175:22,24 249:4,20 250:1 287:25 288:11
1,012 126:6
1,828,566 26:17
1.3 107:6
1.4 101:13 107:10
1.5 107:4
1.7 107:18
1.8 107:15
10 100:24 136:11 143:1 273:20,22 274:15,18
10,000 175:22,24
10-minute 72:11
100 21:13, 17,20,22 22:10 32:17 125:17 152:25
101 205:22
10:25 60:8 72:13
10:37 72:15
11 273:20
117 194:6
11:00 185:4
11:41 82:20 129:25
12 273:20, 22
123,000 26:10 30:18 184:11
123,172 25:20
13 275:4
137 125:4, 6,8,11,13
14 273:22 274:17,18, 20 275:11, 15,17,24 276:2
144 194:6, 10
15 143:10 160:2
15,000 100:24
159 205:22 212:10 213:11
159,024 103:1
16 241:10
17 8:2 18:24 244:8,9
18 81:4 273:22 274:22 275:2,11, 18,20,22 276:1,4 281:22
1:00 129:20,22 130:1
1st 211:17

2

2 64:4 65:25 78:15 79:4 101:22 104:24 254:18
2.2 107:13
20 21:15 291:15
200,000 21:8
200- 254:22
2000 250:8
2002 102:2 224:1 239:22,24
2006 26:17 160:15 202:4
2007 62:2
2008 239:24
2009 36:11
2010 239:20 254:22 255:18 273:20

2011 250:8 251:1
2013 239:10
2014 120:15,18, 20 254:22 255:25 260:22 261:2,20, 22 274:22
2016 26:22 147:11 165:25 227:15 228:4,15 241:6 243:15 252:11
2017 37:20 148:4 211:15 227:15 228:15,25 244:4,15
2018 8:2 25:20 27:9 39:22 40:2,11 46:13,15, 18 62:4 81:24 89:13 90:15 94:13 98:18 99:6 102:2,4,6 116:6 119:8 124:6 127:13,20 153:11,22 154:2,22 157:6,22 165:18 166:8 168:11 170:24 202:6 212:2,6,11 224:18 226:20 228:24 229:15 230:22 231:13 241:17 244:13 247:11 248:6,15 249:4,20 250:1 252:22 254:22 255:18 256:6,11, 25 260:22 261:2,22 262:1 263:13 275:4 277:9 281:22
21-2-522 14:4
22 8:14 11:11 13:1 129:6
24 166:6
24-14-22 24:6
25 260:2
2:09 187:6
2:22 187:8
2:30 185:6

3

3 98:2,6 264:2
3- 212:2
3.9 105:10 107:25
30 49:20 51:1 135:15 289:1
31,000-something 103:2
31,532 102:17,20
31st 211:15
336,000 261:24
38 126:6
3:30 242:25
3:37 243:1

4

4 22:1 101:11 105:18 111:25 112:1,15 132:17 251:2 264:2
4.5 105:8 107:24,25
40-plus 251:13
402 290:6
410 126:20 127:1
45-plus 251:11
4:53 291:22

5

5 22:1 266:18
5,191,182 275:17
50 96:20
50.03 274:22
5th 133:17, 18 135:25 208:11

6

6 25:20 266:18
6,400,200 275:18
6,428,584 275:18
61.34 275:6
6th 62:24, 25 63:1,22 83:2 165:18 281:18

7

7 168:4,8, 15 246:15 273:6
702(b) 42:10 154:18
7:30 291:15
7th 62:22

8

8 21:15 102:15 143:1 243:8,9, 11,17,24 245:11,17 246:15 251:2 273:6 291:15
8,000 117:17

9

9 45:13 136:17 273:6
9.2 135:2, 6,11
9.2(c) 135:15
98,000 27:6 261:20
99 21:11 22:9
9:00 291:17
9:02 8:2
9th 136:24

A

a.m. 8:2 60:8 72:13,15 79:13 82:20 129:25
aberrant 20:25 21:2
aberration 173:25
ability 49:22 242:8 279:4
abnormal 205:22
Abrams 26:18 27:22 28:1 75:4,13 118:9 201:6
Abrams' 118:1
abridges 151:18
absentee 19:13 21:20 105:10 107:8,17 128:2 216:1
absolute 131:15
absolutely 45:9 61:10 230:8 286:11
abstract 174:6
abundantly 30:9 92:11
ac- 67:17
academic 141:6 144:1 156:18 160:10
Academies 168:9
Academy 156:22 160:22 168:18,20 170:2
accelerate 83:1
accelerated 135:22
accept 131:9 192:4,6
access 144:9 182:4 183:6 187:20 212:15 215:13 217:6 218:2 220:13 223:22 252:2 270:15 279:4
accessed 183:4,6
accessibility 240:6
accounted 267:2

accounts
157:11

accuracy
24:17
109:11
217:9
218:24
222:10
268:2

accurate
28:22 99:9
161:6
222:11

accurately
29:1
254:24

act 18:20
44:15
58:22
230:25

actions
259:10

active
218:20
266:9

activist
85:2

activities
81:4

activity
148:13

actual 33:15
55:13
64:13
85:11
92:13
117:4
152:17
159:25
170:18
189:18
203:11,13
204:13
207:15
216:4
219:10
225:22
227:4,6
237:11
247:11

add 27:20
97:9 173:8
205:2
271:18
283:9,17
285:11

added 27:13

adding
150:13

addition
18:18 25:6
28:2 30:20
42:13
141:8
147:4
155:1
260:18
265:18

additional
14:22
18:20
38:20
184:4

address 20:6
93:13 94:2
270:15

addressing
96:10
277:20

adherence
253:13

adjacent
166:2

adjusted
241:11
253:2

adjustment
253:2

administer
90:9

administration
39:6 90:8
91:25
153:2
172:20
277:9

admissibility
92:15
273:17

admissible
132:4
169:15
192:18
203:2

admission
70:8,9
91:18

admit 244:4,
13 245:8,
10

admitted
44:25 46:8
54:8 168:2
191:24
246:24
264:4
266:20
288:13

admonished
191:17

adopt 155:8

advance
213:2
215:24
218:22

advanced
105:9,11
107:4,13

adverse
181:10
259:17

advice 84:15
85:18

advises
93:15

affect
182:20
217:18

226:4

affected
30:2,4
228:4
231:18

affidavit
97:24 98:2
103:11,15

affidavits
178:22

affiliation
177:20

affirmative
30:13
143:25

afraid 110:2
202:1

afternoon
136:20
150:22

AG's 128:13

age 87:13

agencies
156:25
162:4

agents
157:17

aggravated
27:24

aging 159:20

agree 11:6
70:17
83:6,9
127:11
133:2
186:1
220:6

agreement
29:15

agriculture
117:9
123:1
281:25

ahead 13:13
20:15,20
38:17
41:20 45:9
54:9 58:17
68:2 69:13
71:11,13
81:11
89:17
112:8,24
129:15
132:6
143:2
164:20
182:2,15
186:2
191:6
234:4
236:15
281:20
289:2,4

ahistorical
27:4

air 249:6,
10

AJC 13:2,4,
6

akin 270:18

Alabama
151:20
249:15

alarmed
73:25

Alaska
187:22

albeit
170:10

Aletha 81:13

all-paper
151:8

allegation
48:15
115:10
221:4
238:11

allegations
14:11
15:20,22
28:9 37:4
162:18,20

allege 14:4

alleged
14:15
225:9
244:15

allegiance
139:8

Allen 63:1,4

allowed
68:18
123:25
180:11
184:6
226:4,11

alter 183:2,
22

altered
183:8,9

altering
183:15

alternative
22:15
175:4
183:15

amazing
81:18

Amazon 64:13

ambiguity
191:18

AME 63:1,4,
20 65:15
72:24
195:8

America
58:22

American
81:13

Amico 23:8
26:13,17
27:2,22,25
28:4 80:24
116:2,4
117:11,17

123:8,13
199:24
200:11
201:15,22
202:10,15
264:15
269:2,8
283:18

Amico's 30:8
200:2
235:2

amount 27:11
35:13
102:20
152:24
173:22

analogy
221:4

analyses
127:18
158:6

analysis
13:18 90:4
95:2 98:18
104:13
117:4
149:6
177:2
180:4,6
190:4,6
192:24
193:15,18
195:2,4,
10,13,17,
20 196:4,
6,10
197:22,25
199:22
202:22
229:10
232:24
233:2
249:15
260:18
280:18
283:11

analyst
43:20
45:13

analyze
37:20
86:15 99:1
117:4
261:8

analyzed
127:25

analyzing
88:2
266:22

angle 13:13

annex 290:6

anomalies
186:6
207:9
271:2

anomalous
205:18

anomaly
22:11
94:25

answering
33:24

answers
121:1
204:6

anticipated
68:20

anticipating
45:6,8

anticipation
53:15

apologies
45:6,10

apologize
82:6 93:9
134:18
163:20
197:11
249:2

app 63:11

apparent
170:22
186:6

apparently
223:24

appeared
73:25
242:4
264:15
265:20
281:17

appearing
175:24
224:15
281:15

appears
65:22
168:17
244:2,6

applicable
155:18

application
119:2
142:6
148:13

applications
142:11

applied 25:6
102:15

apply 17:4

appointed
77:24

approach
11:25

approached
70:4 86:11

approval
143:20

approximately
27:6
177:13

architectural
159:25

architecture
164:25

archive
183:11

284:15,17, 22 285:6

archives 285:2

area 71:17, 18 74:4 92:18 104:4 224:15 237:15 271:6

areas 87:22 94:2

argue 108:15 245:6 286:2

arguing 198:20 209:9

argument 13:22 24:1 33:11 92:8 151:15 198:20

arguments 14:22

arises 24:13

arising 241:2

arithmetic 22:25

arm 223:9

arms 74:2

arrangement 241:9

artful 226:25

Artfully 226:24

article 141:4

articles 115:8 141:2

asks 68:18

aspects 42:22

Aspen 35:4

assessing 195:13

assessment 166:17

assist 42:10 68:11,15, 18

assistance 10:15 42:18

assisted 149:10

assisting 59:13 70:22

assistive 54:24 55:6,9 56:6,9,11

assume 44:20 82:10 177:18 220:8 246:13

assumes 176:22 232:13

assuming 176:2 220:22 232:20 233:22

assumption 102:18

assurance 17:24

assure 58:11

assuring 262:15

Atlanta 34:18 63:4

atmosphere 246:22

attacked 245:20

attempt 15:6 190:24

attempted 49:22 168:22

attempting 49:17

attempts 191:13

attend 139:13

attended 25:8

attention 24:6 102:24 104:11 108:2 120:13 121:6 146:18 193:25 238:17 246:11 251:2 285:15

attorney 57:20 61:17,20 105:24 106:10,15 107:11 116:25 118:2 126:13,22 281:25

attorney's 53:2

attracted 99:18

attracting

220:13

audio 55:11, 20

audit 35:24 36:13,17 149:13 206:4,8,9 207:9,15

audited 35:22

auditing 36:20 149:9 207:10

audits 36:8, 10,15 140:25 141:11 149:11 158:8

author 246:20

authorized 25:13 40:17

availability 29:22

avenue 176:24

average 102:9 283:11

aware 24:24 117:8,18 118:2,8, 15,18 119:11 128:13 163:4 189:8,15, 17 197:4 199:18 201:13,20 203:11 218:22 219:22 220:1 226:18 240:22 242:15 259:11,22 260:2,4,8, 11 261:10

B

back 8:12 17:11,25 20:13 34:20,22 49:6 59:2, 15 66:11 68:15 69:6 72:6,8,13 75:6,20 76:18 79:9 102:24 112:18 123:20,22, 24 124:1,4 125:18 129:20,22, 25 130:18, 20 133:15 157:15 167:25 174:4 175:1 179:15,18 180:20 187:6 188:4 198:4 214:2 215:6 217:6 219:4 222:11 225:8 228:11 231:2 234:10 236:13 239:11,13 242:22,25 245:4 247:13 252:4 255:18 264:22 265:6 267:22 290:4

background 34:8 84:18 94:9 145:13,17 177:25

bad 22:25 161:13 204:15

Bailey's 118:2

Baines 63:15

balancing 232:6

ballot 19:13 22:1 27:13,15 29:25 30:2 37:10 38:2 41:13 55:11 68:6 70:22 71:20 74:4 77:2 90:4, 6 91:25 94:20 122:20,22 123:18 124:4 151:9,10 179:11 189:11 194:13 200:18,22 202:4 207:11 218:11 235:11 236:20 238:17,18 239:4,8, 10,11,13, 15,18,22, 24 240:1,

4,6,15,18
246:2
252:2,6,8,
11,20
253:6,8,
11,15
254:17,24
255:18
256:11,18
257:2,10
258:6,8,9,
13,15,17,
20 262:8,
17 263:25
264:15,20,
22,24
265:4,8
268:8
276:11,13
278:15
279:4,6
280:20
281:6,18,
22 282:4
283:15
287:17,22
288:2,6

ballot-marking
280:24

ballots
21:22
27:4,13,
17,18 28:2
30:4 33:11
37:2,13
38:13 43:4
55:11 67:2
149:15
167:6
174:11
188:22
189:1
194:15,18
199:11,18,
20 206:17,
22 207:11
214:15,20,
24 216:2
218:6
235:15
238:20
241:18
252:15
253:17
254:4,22
256:11
257:22
264:25
265:20
267:6
277:1,4
278:22
279:4
281:13
282:2

ballots'
214:18

bar 103:18
290:22

Barnes 147:9
157:18
164:4,6
209:25
210:10,15,
20 228:15,
22 230:17
243:4,24
245:15,18
251:11
252:4
254:13,15
276:20
279:22
282:10
286:18
287:11,13

Barnhard
176:25
177:1

Barron
16:18,20
147:10
157:18
164:4
289:6

Barrow
118:13
201:10

Barrow's
118:1

bars 105:4,
15

base
263:11,13,
18,20,22
264:10,11

based 14:2
17:11 34:9
37:4,15
38:1 43:15
69:18
87:15
94:1,4
109:22
119:15
128:1
155:10
165:18
166:22
169:8,11
173:15
176:4
177:20
179:20
186:17,18
198:11
206:6
214:2
219:18
244:11
269:24
276:8
282:13

baseline
124:13

basically
52:20
154:13
261:8
283:10

basis 61:20
147:2
163:15
171:2
172:6
174:13,17

199:17
205:20

bear 29:6
171:20
284:4

beer 220:13

began 35:9
37:20
248:24,25

begin 35:15
55:10
160:8

beginning
26:15

begins
215:20

behalf 12:22
14:24
16:17
25:18

behavior
194:20,22
199:1

belief
188:20
198:13

believes
109:24

Ben 235:6
269:6

bench 11:25
16:6

bending
136:18

Benjamin
65:20

Berkeley
132:18

Bernhard
134:17
137:10,18,
24 138:4,
15 150:2,
15,18,22
153:20
154:17
155:2,4
156:8,11
160:10
165:15
171:22
172:18
176:25
182:18
187:13,15
188:15,18
192:22
193:13
194:13
196:22
204:2,4
206:11

Bibb 229:2

big 49:17

bind 70:15

bit 21:11
25:6 84:18
93:22
115:17
121:2
139:8
146:2
147:25
150:8
157:15
167:25
173:6
174:4
175:2
183:6,20
189:24
204:22
207:10
231:2
245:4

black 25:2
130:20

Blackwell
12:18

blinks 283:4

blocks 64:15

board 9:13
28:18,24,
25 36:17
38:18 39:8
77:18
89:18
117:10
150:22
229:20
240:9

boards 36:20

boat 133:11

Boland
268:17

books 64:11
133:13

Boone 63:4

boot 142:4,
8,9 168:10
182:25
236:25

booting
183:20

borderline
93:8

bother
106:20

bottom 66:22
67:22
160:18
257:2,6,8,
11

Boulevard
63:4

box 13:11
25:2 50:6

boxes 291:15

brand 250:1,
2,4

brand-new
206:15

breach 26:11
188:8

break 72:10,
11 83:11
128:1

129:18
187:1
242:18

breakdown
73:13
226:10

breaks 87:10

bridge 8:13

briefed
183:24

briefly
14:25
51:22
77:20
113:10
127:6
128:8
131:24
138:20
151:13

briefs 20:25
111:15
181:11

Brill 83:13
84:1,6,11
86:8 87:4
89:11,15,
18,24
91:4,24
93:2,6,11
96:11,22
97:2,6,24
98:17
100:2,8
104:6
107:2
108:6,11,
22 110:8
111:1
113:13,15
114:6
120:4
121:10
127:9,11
128:11

Brill's
90:24
91:18 97:6
103:25

brimstone
270:4

bring 10:2
24:20
29:20
111:13
136:13
197:18
217:10
290:8,9

bringing
41:6

broad 231:4

broader
227:1

brought 15:8
17:11,13,
25 193:25
202:24

Broward
256:20,22,
25 257:10,
13,15
276:9,24,
25 277:2

Brown 9:13,
15,18,20,
22,24
10:1,4,6,
9,11,18,22
11:15,18
12:4,6,13
13:6,8
15:15
16:13,15,
25 17:2,
15,18
18:4,11,
13,18,22
19:2,6
20:8,11,
15,18,20
31:2,6,11,
22 32:24
33:1,4,20,
22 34:4,6
36:2,6
38:8 39:13
42:18,20
43:8,13,22
44:1,9
45:11,20
46:1,2,6,
9,10 48:2,
4,6,11,15,
18 49:2,6
50:9,11
51:8 54:4
57:2,6,9,
20,22
58:1,8,11,
13,18
59:2,6,8,
22 60:1,4,
10,15
61:4,22
63:25
64:6,10,
18,20,24,
25 65:24
66:8
69:15,22,
24 70:8,18
71:11,13
72:1,11,22
74:13,18,
20 79:6,
15,20 80:6
81:20
82:2,11,22
83:8,10,
11,13,18,
22 84:9
89:11 90:2
92:8,24
93:4,8
94:1,11,13
96:6,11,20
97:4,20,22
98:2,9,11,
15 100:2,
4,6 102:22
103:15,18
104:6
106:11,22,
25 108:2,
4,6,13,15,
20 109:6,

9,18,20
110:4,6,
13,17,20,
24,25
111:4,6,22
112:6,10,
15,20,22,
25 113:8
116:20
120:6
121:13,15,
22 122:2,4
124:2,25
125:20,22,
24 127:24
128:20,22
129:1,15,
22 130:10,
24 131:2,
24 132:1,
6,11,15
134:2,9,
15,18,22
135:18
137:2,6,9,
11 138:1,
15 139:13
140:15
145:4,9,
11,22
146:4,6,
11,15,18,
20 150:1,
11,25
151:25
153:13,15
154:10
155:10
156:4,10
158:20,24
159:1
162:22,25
163:2,18
164:13,15,
18,22
165:11,15
168:4
169:2,13,
18,20,24
170:1,9,
15,17
171:13,20
172:25
173:1,17
174:18
175:1,9,
11,13
176:17
177:2
181:9,18,
22,24
182:1,4,9,
13,17,18
184:18,20
185:1,11,
13,15
186:1,4,
15,20
187:1,4,15
188:11
190:18,22
191:8,10,
20 192:1,
10 195:18,
20 197:6
198:11
203:22,24
204:4
205:4,6,15
207:2,6,13
208:6,10,
13,15,17,
20,22,25
209:1,4,8,
13,15,20,
24 210:2,
18,22,24
220:24
221:1,8
225:18,20,
25 226:1,
17 227:2,8
228:13,20
230:18,20
231:8,10
232:17,22
234:2
236:2,4,6,
8,15,17
237:13,22
238:1,4,9,
11,15
242:17,20,
22 243:6,
18,22
244:4,9,
15,18,20,
24 245:4,
8,11,15
247:13
248:8,18
251:6,9,11
254:15
255:4
258:4
259:13,20
261:4,10
262:10
264:4
266:20
267:4
273:6,8,11
274:11
275:9
277:6,11
279:15,20,
25 282:9
284:4,13
286:2,4,
13,20,22
287:13
288:11,15,
17,20,22
289:1,4,6,
13

Bryan 14:24
29:15
38:18
77:18
89:18
150:22

build 85:18

building
236:11
240:2,22,
24

builds
221:22

built 160:1
203:17

221:22
240:25
241:8,9

bunch 93:24
232:20

burden 14:2
29:4,18
30:11,20

burned 215:2

Burwell 11:8
12:18,20,
22 13:13,
15 15:1
16:17,20,
24 28:18
54:13
56:20
58:24
59:10
70:13
78:15
82:15
91:15,17
119:25
135:1,6,13
203:20,22
279:17
288:13,15

business
34:13,15
46:2
85:11,13,
20

button
277:13
281:15
283:8
287:15,22
288:2,6

bypassed
258:4

C

C-L-E-R-C
61:10

calculate
102:9

calculated
100:10
233:2,8
234:13
265:24

calculating
102:2

calculation
100:9
101:8,9
102:1

calendar
8:10

calibrate
217:11

calibrated
223:24

calibration
217:24
224:10
277:15,17,
18,24
278:2,4
282:17,20,
25 283:4

California
103:18,22
104:1,8,9
109:4
160:18
187:22

call 24:6
27:18 31:2
60:10
79:15
83:4,13
86:18
99:20
130:15
132:18
134:10,15
136:22
137:9
148:22
184:1
209:24
241:4

called 15:18
18:6 31:17
35:20
36:15
55:11
60:24
67:11
68:15
73:18
77:25 80:1
84:2,13
121:24
137:20
166:4
182:11
210:11
212:20

calls 59:1
121:25
133:22
197:6
221:6
285:24

campaign
27:25 28:4
88:22
91:8,10
114:11,15
115:6
116:1
189:4

campaigns
85:2,20
86:4 122:6

cancelled
49:15

cancelling
50:20

candidacy
28:8 30:9

candidate
30:6 86:10
87:15 89:1
91:10
114:18
115:4
116:22
117:22

118:6,9,13
123:11
125:2,13
138:6,11
177:11,13
201:17
204:9,10,
11 219:24,
25 220:17
235:2
240:9,11,
13,18,20
272:6
278:25

candidate's
278:9

candidates
26:15,18,
20 28:4
36:18
39:11
86:18
113:2
117:9,11
122:24
123:6,18
199:10,13
200:4
201:18,24
202:9
204:11
214:1,13
218:11
219:20,22
221:22
231:20
239:2
240:11
241:2,10,
11,13,25
242:2
252:9
259:4
272:13,17
279:2,6

cane 68:6

canvas
36:15,20
104:22

capable
42:17

capacity
191:4
261:8,11

capital
61:10

capitol
33:13
145:2

caps 240:10

card 189:11
216:18,20,
22 217:2,
4,8 218:2
221:24,25
222:1,6
223:22
233:6
234:13,15
269:11

card's
217:20

cards 212:24
213:1,2
215:25
216:11,15,
22 222:2
266:11,13
267:1,25

care 132:2
167:18
208:11

career
34:13,15

careful
237:22
238:1

carefully
164:6

carelessness
166:13

Carolina
34:11
36:11
41:11
260:11

carry 29:10
30:20

cas 25:1

case 9:11,
22 10:15
12:2,6,11
13:18,24
14:4 15:2,
6,18,24
17:6,11
18:24,25
19:8,10,
11,20,22
22:13
23:22 24:2
25:1,22
26:11
27:17 29:6
30:17 33:9
34:4 41:24
91:18,20,
22 92:4
93:18
110:10
124:15
126:15
131:8,13
132:4
133:15
136:1,11,
18 138:9
143:13,15,
24 145:6,
24 146:6,
20 151:11,
13,22
152:1
154:17
155:2,6
156:13
161:22
164:15
169:22
176:9,20
182:15
185:6
197:9
198:15
200:18

208:11
225:13
237:18
253:18
255:15
265:20
266:4
272:22
288:24

cases 15:1
26:2
133:24
157:18

cast 23:13
70:22
73:11 74:4
75:4,15,20
76:2,8,24
86:17
94:20
100:11
101:11,13,
15 104:15,
17 109:11,
24 116:4
119:8
124:13,18
125:2
126:8
127:2
161:18
179:17
203:13
218:8
268:17
273:1
287:17,22
288:2,6

casual
230:13

categories
85:22
128:2

caught
172:11

caused 22:17
29:22 33:6
121:4
230:10
231:13

caution 24:1
237:13

cc'd 246:15

CD 215:2,4,
6,8,13

ceased
211:15

census 87:18

center
211:2,4
212:2,4,6
244:2
245:20
246:2
249:11
251:22

central
167:11

centralized
277:2

CEO 34:17

certification
229:8
280:4

certified
29:20 46:1
229:4
237:6,8
250:6
271:4,18
272:13,15,
17 273:17
282:13

CES 211:9

chain 213:6

chair 22:13

chairs 68:22

challenge
58:6

challenges
135:25
252:9

challenging
26:2

chance 13:2
22:4,6
33:2
106:20,22
111:24
131:13
136:25
175:17,22,
24

change 25:4
114:15,22
179:15
188:9
241:4
248:20
249:6
251:6

changed
249:20
250:22
251:4

character
93:18

characterize
190:24

charge 24:9,
13,20
128:24

charged
28:18

charitable
32:8

Charles
118:2

Charlotte
34:10

chart
103:11,13
104:24

check 68:6
100:22
102:20
271:10

checked

269:4
271:13

checking
265:18

Chicago
81:15

childcare
289:9

chip 284:1, 2,6 286:4, 10

chips 235:4

choice
179:11
290:13

choose
114:24
252:20

chose 27:25

chosen 19:18
25:25
136:8

Chris 83:13
86:8

Christopher
84:1,6,11
89:24 91:4
93:2
113:13
114:6
120:4
127:9
128:11

Church 63:2
72:24
195:8

circle
130:18

circumstance
230:4

circumstances
15:4 56:15
133:2
241:2
289:24

cite 143:24

cited 13:17
15:2
143:20
175:20

citing 179:4

citizen
35:11 62:9

citizens
28:20

civics 81:13

civil 15:22
18:20

claim 22:22
24:9,13
29:22 42:2
116:20

claimed
116:24

claims 29:6
30:15,24
151:20
206:22

clarification
16:25 17:4
237:15

clarify
17:22
22:20
66:18
252:6

Clarke
189:25
192:11
197:1,4,8, 13

class 144:18

Clay 243:11

clean 77:6

clear 12:6
15:18 19:4
23:15
25:22 26:6
29:6 30:9
37:11
92:11
106:11
169:10
176:20
195:15
209:18

clears
218:18

clerk 12:1

client 9:20
17:4
134:18

clients
9:15,18
85:18,22, 24 88:15
93:15 96:1
289:2

clock 130:18

close 29:11
70:20
275:13
280:4

closed 69:1
70:4 212:4
270:10,11, 15

closely
146:18

closest
213:24

closing
28:4,6
68:24
114:11
115:6
116:1

Cloudplayer
142:2

co-defendants
15:13

Coalition
10:13
32:2,4,6

33:6 36:24
43:20,22
44:15 52:4
99:2

Coalition's
33:22

COBB 8:1

code 15:6
42:15
157:1,4
178:9
204:15
282:22

code-level
237:11

coded 207:22

codes 179:6

coding
207:24
220:15
222:11

colleagues'
230:22

collect
141:18

collected
214:4
234:6
235:11,15
266:15
267:2
271:9
272:6,18

collecting
223:4

collection
223:10

collects
141:9
247:11

college
84:20
138:20

collegial
180:20

Colorado
35:6
36:11,15
149:11
187:22

column 99:13
101:4
239:13
257:2,8,9,
20 265:9

columns
99:13
101:25
239:9,11
265:6

combinational
214:20

comment
131:11

commission
113:18
117:10,15,
17 123:1

commissioner
94:20
117:11
123:2
281:25
282:1

committees
86:1,2

common
121:17
260:13

commonsense
24:15

communicating
270:20

communication
251:22

communications
244:2

community
162:6,13
163:6

company
84:13
115:9
142:2

company's
45:13

compare
95:20
104:13
126:11,22

compared
126:13
233:6

comparing
67:4
100:10,11

comparison
99:24
151:18
174:6

compel
18:15,17
180:24
209:13,20

compelled
25:13

compensation
52:6

compilation
40:15

complainant
224:2

complaint
14:11
15:22 74:8
223:6
264:18

complaints
74:11 77:9
223:4,8,
15,20,22
224:17,18,
20,22,24
277:8,9,10

complete
218:4

completed
214:15

completely
177:22
181:22

completeness
214:9,24

complexity
136:1

compliance
136:22

complicated
253:8

comply 129:6

component
75:11

components
144:13
227:20
231:15

comport 16:6

compound
153:13

compounds
165:8

compromise
145:8
245:15

compromised
145:2
166:6
246:8

computer
39:15
135:8
138:6,25
139:4,18
161:22
162:6,11,13 163:4
215:15
216:8
232:1,6
233:4
234:15
267:18
270:22
283:8

computers
142:6
270:20

concern
10:20 89:4
231:22
257:24
258:1
262:2
276:8
281:6,8,9

concerned
19:4 35:9
73:9
256:18
269:8

concerns
89:2
184:13
262:4
269:2

conclude
25:13

concluded
60:6 79:11
82:18
291:22

conclusion
15:8 25:11
38:6 59:2
161:1
166:20
197:6

conclusions
109:10
143:22

conduct
36:17 38:2
43:2
114:11,15
148:9
183:17
186:22
196:10
235:2

conducted
140:20
177:2
191:22
200:6

conducting
37:17

conference
135:4
184:1
291:4

conferencing
135:2

confidentiality 11:15

configuration
199:13,15,18 239:17,18 240:2
242:4
264:22

configured
27:13
199:13

configuring
215:20

confirm 60:1

confusing
258:20,24

confusion
27:11,15,20 195:20
241:15
262:2
276:11
280:25

Congressman
118:18

connect
145:10
282:22

connected
166:1
263:18
270:11
284:2

connection
34:11
142:13
156:13
270:11

connotation
148:8

consensus
160:25
162:13
163:1

considerable
35:13

considered
184:6
200:10
201:6
230:9

consistent
21:15
53:15
106:8
122:18

Constitution
131:20

constitutional
15:2 30:15
151:18
206:22
256:2

constructed
212:10,11
214:4,6
246:4

constructing
248:25

constructs
213:22
267:18

consultant
114:15
119:17
141:15

consulting
85:2

consumer
85:17

contact
87:20
215:6

contained
232:4
234:15
284:18

contemporaneous 63:6 66:2

content
222:4

contents
23:11
98:10,17

contest
14:20 15:2
19:10
21:6,15
30:18
57:24,25
59:17

contested
80:24 83:2
264:11

contests
124:11

context
81:11
115:15,20
122:6
135:20

continuance
15:4
112:17,20

CONTINUATION
72:18
187:11
243:2

continue
139:20

continued
68:13
233:15
250:13

contract
61:20
246:4

contrary
163:4
190:15

contribute
166:17

contributions
53:6

control 15:2

controls
285:11

convenient
129:17

conversation
11:13
69:15,17,
18

conversations
181:6

convicted
22:13

copied 64:15

copies 137:6
214:22
274:11

copy 44:6
45:11
57:4,6
64:18
98:11
103:15
137:6
183:20
245:13
250:6
252:22
267:18,22,
25

core 32:11,
13 85:13

corporation
28:10

correct 18:9

19:25
34:20
39:20,22
40:1,18,
20,22
41:4,13
45:11 47:4
50:20,25
53:1,8,15,
20 61:11
65:2,13,20
66:4 67:13
75:4,22
76:2,25
77:4 78:4
82:11 88:2
89:4,9
90:13,17,
18 91:9
95:10,13
98:20,24,
25 99:15,
18 100:18
101:20
103:2,4,6,
20 105:17,
20 106:17
110:15
113:22
114:17,20,
22 115:1,
11,15,22
116:1,2,6,
8,11,18
118:11,22
119:20
125:8
126:18
128:15,18
149:17
151:6,9,10
152:20,22
153:25
154:2,4
159:8,9
161:15
174:10
178:15
180:13
183:17,18
189:8,11,
13,15
190:1
192:25
193:1,15,
17 194:6,
11,15,17
195:11
196:2,4,6,
13,17,18
197:2,15,
24 198:4
199:8,20
200:8,11,
13 201:25
202:4,11,
18 204:20
206:6
207:2,4
212:17
213:13,18
216:10
226:4
227:11
233:1,11,
20 237:13
242:6
243:25
250:24
254:8
255:22,24
256:4,8,
15,17,18,
20,22
259:25
260:1
262:9,20,
22,25
263:1,2,4
265:15,18,
24,25
266:2
268:18,24,
25 269:9,
10,13,18
270:25
271:1,13,
15 272:13,
17 274:2,6
275:24,25
276:2,6,13
277:4
278:10,11
279:11
280:17
281:13
282:6
283:9,17,
18,22
285:13
286:24,25
287:2,4,6,
18 288:6,8

corrected
247:20

correctly
15:1 47:18
127:17
194:4
199:4
207:22
233:18
264:13
267:11
272:10

correctness
167:18
214:25

correlation
173:22

cost 53:2,4

coughed
102:18

counsel
15:1,20
64:1,11
98:11
151:25
194:2

count 26:20,
22 27:2
67:6
201:22

counted
203:15
272:6

counties
14:13

57:13 95:4
125:9
205:22,25
212:11,13
213:11,15,
18 217:9
229:2
231:22,25
246:1,6
249:24
251:20
252:1,15,
18,20
253:20,22
257:10,15,
17,18
262:24
264:15
271:6,13,
22 272:20
277:20
278:22
282:13

counting
207:11
268:18

country
141:10
163:10

counts 26:13

county 8:1
9:13 11:20
12:2,15,22
14:10,13,
25 15:20
16:17,24
28:18,20,
22 29:1,6,
15 38:18
70:10,11,
13,15
80:17,20
82:15
89:18
90:20
147:8
150:22
155:9
180:9
189:25
192:11
197:1,4,8,
13 211:8
212:9,13
213:9
214:2,4,22
215:1,2,
11,15,20
216:6
223:11
229:2
232:8
235:8
252:11,13,
20 253:9,
24 254:4
256:20,24,
25 257:10,
13,15,20
262:20
263:13
266:10
267:17,20,
22 269:4,6
271:10,20,
25 276:9,
25 278:4
289:8
290:22

county's
11:22
13:15
213:9
215:15
216:25

county-by-county
199:17

county-level
104:22

couple 22:20
38:20 67:6
89:20 91:2
164:6
291:15

court 8:6,8,
9,12,18,
20,22,24
9:2,4,8,
17,18,20,
22,25
10:2,4,8,
10,17,18
11:1,4,6,
9,11,17,
20,24
12:1,6,11,
13,18,20,
25 13:2,6,
11,13,17,
22 14:2,
13,22
15:11,15
16:4,11,
13,15,20,
22 17:1,
10,15,20
18:4,9,11,
17,20,22
19:4,17
20:6,9,11,
15,17,18
24:20
25:13,15
28:17
29:2,13,17
30:9 31:2,
4 32:22,25
33:2,18,
20,25
36:4,22
37:1
38:17,22
39:4
41:17,20
42:10,18,
25 44:8,
20,24
45:2,4,8,
18,22
46:1,4,6
48:2,4,13,
17,25
50:10
51:13,20
54:2,8
57:6,13,
20,22
58:4,9,13,

17 59:4,
18,25
60:4,11
61:9,18
63:2 64:22
66:6
69:13,20,
22 70:10,
17 71:8,
10,13
72:10,17
74:17,18,
22 79:9
81:2,8,11
82:10,13,
15 83:6,9,
11,13,15
89:17
90:22,25
91:15
92:2,4,17
93:18
94:4,11,17
97:13,20
98:13
101:24
102:18
103:17
104:4
105:2
106:6,18,
24 107:25
108:2,13,
18 109:4,
15,18
110:1,4,
11,15,18,
20 111:4,
6,8,17,20,
22 112:1,
4,6,13,18,
22,24
116:25
119:2,24
120:2
121:11,18,
20 122:1
124:22
125:6,9,
11,15,20,
22 128:20,
22 129:2,
6,8,10,13,
15,20
130:6,11,
17 131:1,
11,15,25
132:2,9,
13,22
133:10,15
134:6,11,
15,18,20,
24 135:1,
2,6,8,11,
13 136:4,
13,15
137:4,8,10
138:11,13
139:6,11
140:13,15
143:18,20
145:11,22
146:6,10,
13,15
150:6,13
151:13
153:15,18
154:6,9,
11,24
155:4,25
158:20
162:22
163:15
164:11,13,
18,20
165:9
168:2
169:6,17,
18,22
170:11
171:8,11,
15 172:22
173:6,10,
11 174:20
175:4,10,
11 176:10,
11,15
180:24,25
181:2,11,
20,22,25
182:2,6,
10,13
183:18,24
184:2,6,
18,22
185:2,13,
15,20
186:2,11,
18 187:4,
10 188:11,
25 190:11,
18 191:18,
22 192:2,
6,11,18
193:6,8,10
195:22
197:10
198:20
202:25
203:2,6,
20,22
205:4,13
206:15,20,
25 207:2,4
208:4,8,
11,13,15,
18,22,24
209:2,4,6,
11,13,18,
22 210:1
213:4
220:22,25
221:2,10,
13,15,20
225:15,18,
22 226:15,
22,24
227:4
228:6,18
231:4
232:18
234:1
236:1,2,4,
6,9
237:20,24
238:2,8,
10,13
242:18,20
243:9,17,
20 244:8,
11,17,18
245:1,6,

10,13
246:25
247:4,8,
18,22
248:2,10,
17 251:4,8
254:10
255:6,10
259:18
261:13,17
263:11,15
264:4,8
266:4,6,
20,24
270:6
273:6,10,
13 274:10,
11 276:15
279:15,18
282:8
284:11,13
286:1,15
288:13,15,
18,20,22
289:2,4,
11,13,18,
20,25
290:4,13,
17,22
291:1,4,9,
17,18,20

Court's
132:20
181:4

courtroom
17:9 18:2,
6 20:20
60:2 98:15
131:18
210:2
290:2,6

courts 24:17

covered
46:22

covering
13:6

crack
291:11,13

craft 88:18

Crawford
25:22

create 222:2
267:25

created
213:2
216:18
262:6
266:11
267:2

creates
189:11
221:24,25

creating
213:11
216:15

credential
78:1

credentials
46:22

credibility
58:6
154:15
156:2
173:10

criminal
22:11
148:9,13,
15

critical
251:11,13,
15

Crittenden's
131:4

cross 259:18

cross-examination
38:24
51:24
54:11
74:24
77:13
78:11 82:4
89:22 91:4
121:2
127:8
128:10
131:20
150:18
188:15
196:22
198:11
254:11
276:18

cross-examine
131:13
132:13
133:1,6,8,
15 169:1

crowded 10:4

cup 36:2

Curling
131:8
143:15,24
151:11
164:4

current
141:18
143:2
246:22
251:17

cursory
259:2

custody
213:6

CV 138:17

cyber 140:18

cycle 98:13
102:4

cycles 255:1

D

DA 93:20

damaging
183:2

dampen 28:6
115:13

danger 165:2

dark 130:18

data 40:10
85:17
88:18
95:11,13
101:18
103:8
109:11
141:9,18
167:6
173:15,18
182:25
183:2
200:2
212:18
215:13
222:6
233:4,6
235:24
237:11
240:2
246:2
251:20
252:13
285:2

database
207:22
211:6
212:10,13,
15,18,22,
25 213:4,
11,15,20,
22 214:4,
6,11,17
215:2,20,
22 216:6,
8,9,13
221:20
225:10,13
240:22,24
241:8,9
250:18,22
251:6,13
252:22,24
263:18
267:18,22
268:1
271:24

databases
85:13,15
95:8 96:4
187:17,20
228:4
249:22
266:10

date 135:15
217:13,15,
17,18,25
218:6
249:2

dates 243:20

David 120:15

Davis 9:1,2,
4,6

dawn
291:11,13

day 58:4
62:18,20
63:24 72:9
74:10,13
75:2 105:6
107:2,11,
22 114:20,
25 165:10
213:2
215:24
224:22,24
232:2
233:6
241:20
267:11
268:4,11,
20 287:2

days 18:24
28:4 62:20
114:11,17
115:6
116:1
135:15
136:13
180:13
267:15

de 46:24
47:4 50:2

deal 211:6
225:18

dealing 27:4
109:4,6
184:8
228:1

dealt
247:15,17,
24 248:15

dear 133:22

debated
145:2

debunked
37:22

decades
186:15

December
62:20
133:15,18
135:25
208:11
211:15

decide 10:22

decided
21:13,24
183:15

decides
122:25

decision
110:2
145:1
169:22
171:15
239:24

decision-making 24:17

decisions
30:6 185:8

deck 268:4,
15

declaration
136:11

decreased
165:18
166:20

decrypt
215:13

defeat 29:25

defect
22:17,18
161:10
165:17
173:2
177:17
180:2
184:9

defected
149:4

defective
19:9,11
20:22
22:18
23:6,13,18
24:4 25:13
145:15
158:13
159:4,15,
24 160:8,
9,11 161:9
163:6,9,10
170:18
174:22
178:20
179:2

defectiveness
19:11
159:22
162:8,15
171:22

defects
148:22
173:15,18
231:11

defendant
22:13
110:10
112:15
131:2
150:13
154:6

defendant's
25:1 52:15
65:25

defendants
22:11,22
23:20
24:18
29:17 70:9
157:18
237:17
289:13

defending
93:20

defense
52:11 54:6
132:22
134:11,24

defer 129:18
200:25

deficiencies
87:22

define
149:15

degree 47:22
139:18,20
140:2

degrees
39:15

Dekalb 14:13
271:13,20
290:22

delay 15:6

delivered
111:13

demand 12:4,
8,9 13:15,
20 14:6
15:4,18
16:4
135:20

demands
112:17

Democrat
115:6
116:6
177:13
178:6
219:15
220:10,11

Democratic
26:15,18,
20,22 28:6
75:6,20
76:18 78:2
115:11
117:9,11
118:13
125:2,15
177:11
201:6,22
202:9
204:9,10
240:13
259:4

Democrats
26:24
62:13
116:11,18
117:18,25
202:4,17

demographicall y 88:20

demographics
87:6,11,15

demonstrate
30:15,20

demonstrated
19:17
41:22

demonstrating
30:11,13

denied 15:4
18:17,20
19:1 49:22
57:20
209:13,17,
20

deny 29:13
226:11

depending
159:13

depends
81:13
122:6
130:10

deposition
136:6,8

deputy 60:13

describe
85:11,22
86:4 87:8
94:17
101:24
213:4

describing
252:8

design 29:25
30:2 90:4,
6 91:25
194:13,18
200:22
238:18
241:17
252:6,8
253:15
254:17,22,
24 256:18
258:13,15
260:18
276:10
280:20,22

designed
29:9 160:1
194:15
249:22

designing
240:6

designs
252:11

detail
147:25
176:20
183:20
204:22

detailed
15:22 82:4

detailing
214:18

details
97:11
115:22

detect 148:2
150:4,11
161:13
287:4,6

detected
161:10
233:11,20

determination
14:20

determine
85:18
88:15 92:4
102:13
103:20
125:1,4,25
148:15
149:2
154:25
180:2
227:20
231:11,17
235:4
279:11

determines
245:2

determining
13:18
42:10,17
148:20
164:17

develop
86:22
142:18
170:4

developed
96:13
147:24
148:1,2
159:2
163:8
249:24

development
149:22

device 55:6,
9 56:9,11
217:1,2,
10,13
222:1
234:13
235:20
268:2,4,13
270:11,13,
15 280:24
284:18

devices
54:24 56:6
215:22
233:2
234:17
235:20
237:6
246:2
268:11,13

devoted
35:15

diagnostic
218:4

diagnostics
217:22

Diebold 35:6
144:6
147:4
149:6
152:4,11,
20,22
156:18
158:11
159:6,18
160:17
205:9,11

diff 185:17

differed
264:24

difference
28:2
159:17
258:6
270:9

differences
162:13

differential
175:6

differentiatio
n 27:2

differently
35:8 223:2
226:24

difficulties

73:22
238:6

dip 30:8

direct 31:20
50:10 61:2
72:18 80:4
84:6
101:17
102:24
104:11
120:13
124:20
137:22
175:2
210:15
238:17
243:2,10
246:11
251:2
270:18
285:15

direction
63:11
146:13

directly
63:11 96:9
133:8
233:4
270:11

director
10:13 32:2
211:2

disabled
54:15,22
55:4,13,22
56:4 59:13

disagree
143:20
226:25
227:1

disclosing
96:15
98:10,17

discover
268:20
272:20,22

discovered
19:20
269:17

discovery
18:20
24:25
112:15
180:25
184:6
185:10
188:6
265:20
284:11

discrepancies
67:8

discrepancy
76:6,18

discretion
245:13

discrimination
28:11
115:10,11

discuss
17:20
92:13

discussed
230:20

discussing
264:24

discussion
162:4
230:15
258:11

discussions
258:6
262:1

dismissed
30:15

disparity
106:13

display
219:22
235:13
241:22
253:24
265:6

displayed
50:6 237:4
240:4
253:11,13
254:4

displaying
240:9
255:18

disposal
253:22

disqualified
18:6

disregard
163:15

dissertation
140:6,9

distance
40:18 42:8
47:17

distinction
19:20

distinguish
213:8

distinguished
227:10

distribute
167:6,13

distributed
200:24
205:20

distributing
251:20

distribution
218:20
246:1

district
111:25
143:15
177:4
214:18
220:4

districts
238:22

dive 158:10

divided
238:25

dividing
101:15

division
223:9
225:2
229:25

divorce 8:10

document
44:2,25
52:13 64:2
98:4 112:2
169:8
246:24
275:8

documentary
19:13

documents
169:13
271:17,18

donate 81:6

door 58:1
123:24
151:20

dots 145:10

double
100:22
102:20

double-check
117:13

doubt 19:9
22:20
23:13
25:15 26:4
29:22
30:22
109:11,24

doubts 33:10

down- 258:6

down-ballot
28:13 89:1
119:18
198:9
258:9

down-ticket
88:15

dramatic
25:25

drastically
194:1

drawing
150:6

DRE 25:9
37:22
38:11
39:20
40:2,20,22
41:1,2,6,
8,10 42:6,
22 47:24
54:15
56:18
59:11
68:24
73:13
90:11,15
128:1
144:2
150:2,10
151:15
154:1
158:11,15
159:2,6,
18,22
160:11
161:2,15
165:22
174:11
177:11
180:6
182:6,20
189:11,18,
20,22
196:11,15
203:13
205:9
208:2
212:24
213:1
216:4,13,
18,20
218:9
228:22
235:13
239:22
240:2,4
241:1,22
252:25
253:1,20,
22 255:11
259:2
277:1
278:24
279:10
280:24
283:20,22,
25

DRE's 30:4

DRES 47:15
56:6 92:1
144:4,6,18
145:13
147:15
148:2
149:2,6
150:4,11
151:1,4
152:2,4
154:13
155:2
158:6
160:22
161:18
162:9,15,
18 163:6
166:22
204:18
205:2
225:15
239:22
251:17

drives 216:4

drop-off
88:13,15

dropout
101:4

dropped
160:6

Drupal
166:4,6

duck 185:24

due 135:18

dueling
17:15

Dufort 9:11, 15,20
79:15,24
80:4,11
81:1,22

duly 31:18
60:25 80:2
84:4
137:20
210:13

Duncan 9:11
23:6 25:18
52:11,15
54:6,8
57:2,11
80:24
110:10
112:15
254:18
264:13
283:9

duties
261:13

Duval 9:11

Duvall 9:24, 25

dysfunctioning
282:20

E

earlier 9:1
90:2
101:17
113:17
114:25
136:11,13
190:10,20
195:1
206:4
212:6
269:15
277:6

early 21:20
46:18,22
47:6 62:18
105:9
114:20
128:2
157:2
191:2
290:6,18

earth 198:18

easier 48:4

easiest
252:17

east-west
27:18
265:17

easy 48:6

Ebay 144:11

educate
119:18

education
119:18
138:20
144:17
147:13

Edward
268:17

effect
204:15

efficient
87:1

effort 58:2
115:18

efforts
57:15

elderly 68:6
76:22

election
12:11
14:20
15:2,6
16:22
19:8,11, 18,22
20:22
22:18
23:6,13, 15,18 24:4
25:13,18, 24 26:2,4, 13,22
27:4,6
28:22
29:2,9,11, 18 30:1, 10,18,25
32:9,10
33:15,17
35:11,15, 17,24
36:8,11
37:1,6,9, 13 38:4
39:6,22
40:2 42:15
46:13,18, 22 57:24, 25 59:17
62:8,15, 18,20
80:13,24
81:4,25
82:22 83:2
85:15
86:11,15, 18,22,24
87:18
89:13
90:6,9,17
91:25
92:13
94:15
96:25
100:11,13, 20 102:4
105:6
107:2,11, 22 109:25
114:25
116:20
122:6
124:6,13
127:13
140:10,20, 24,25
141:1,6, 10,11,20
147:8,10, 11 151:18

153:2,6,
11,22
154:2,22
155:4
157:6,22
161:20
162:20
164:8
165:10,18
166:8
167:11
170:24
171:6
172:6,20
175:25
180:10
182:25
183:11
184:9
188:9
189:18
196:11
200:8,11
203:11,13
204:15
205:1
206:15,22
211:2,4
212:4,6,
11,18
213:2,22,
25 214:4,
6,11
215:20,24
216:20
218:13,20
222:22,24
223:10,11,
18,20,25
224:1,22,
24 225:2,
8,18,20
226:4,9,
10,20
227:4,10
228:24
229:17,18,
24 230:1,
2,4 232:2,
9 233:6
234:11
235:9,22,
24 236:18
239:10
240:8
241:17,20
244:2
245:20
246:2
248:6,15
249:8,11
253:9,17
254:25
258:4,20
261:22,24
262:13
263:13
267:11,15
268:4,6,
11,20
269:2
271:2,20,
22 272:1
273:20
274:1,4
277:8,22
280:9
281:11,13
284:15,17
285:2,4
287:2

election's
227:20
238:6

elections
9:13
28:18,24
29:9 35:4,
18,20
37:18
38:20 39:8
40:11
46:17 62:4
77:18
88:13
89:20
96:18
101:18
102:2
103:6,9
122:15
141:9
149:17
150:24
151:6
166:25
167:2
188:20
211:8
212:9,22
222:18,25
223:6,9
229:25
230:6
240:11
246:6
249:25
251:22

Elections.kennesaw.edu
251:25

elector
80:18

electoral
19:15 85:2

electorates
85:4

electric
22:13

electronic
21:6 22:1,
2 27:2,13,
17 28:2
32:13,18
33:4,11
35:2,10,20
37:10,17
38:13
39:15 73:4
105:13,18
106:13
164:2
174:8
199:13,15,
20 238:18
258:9
264:15,20,
24 276:11
277:25

282:4

electronically
104:15
276:11

electronics
282:22

element
259:10

eliminate
83:4 175:4
280:25

eliminates
22:13

else's
164:13

email 45:13
46:2
185:4,6
243:11
244:2
245:18
246:15,17

emailed
182:13

emails
243:15,25

embeds 19:18

employed
32:2 61:15
70:9,13
84:13
210:20

employee
289:8

employment
141:24

empty 291:6

encounter
235:17
241:6

encountered
223:1,11
224:9
269:24

encourages
122:15

encrypted
215:4

end 62:15
68:20
73:11
92:10
212:1
234:10
268:11

ended 35:11
253:20
257:4

engage 85:18
87:25

engaged
28:10
84:15 89:1
138:8

Engineering
168:10

English
266:13

ensconced
42:15

ensure 28:20
142:6
265:22

entail 95:6

enter 49:17
63:20
82:25

entered 44:2
52:13 64:2
98:4

entering
123:22
237:13

enthusiasm
28:6
115:13

entire
23:11,13
30:22
168:11
249:6

entirety
48:24

entitled
13:25
80:22 83:2
99:6 117:2
181:2

entitles
29:8

environment
222:17

EPROM 284:1,
2,6,15,18,
24 285:4
286:4,8,9

equal 253:22

equipment
179:2
206:2
212:8
222:15
229:2,4,6
253:20
268:1

erasable
286:4,6

error 172:4
178:4,11
179:6
207:24
218:25
220:15,18
230:10
242:6
278:8,13
286:24

errors 16:1
166:15
167:20
172:1
179:18
195:6,8,13
207:22
219:1,2
247:20

escape 24:18

essentially
86:13,18
102:2

establish
27:1 82:10
124:13
145:10
165:11

established
26:2 92:10
155:24
158:15
169:15
172:10
191:2

establishing
184:20
198:25

ethnicity
87:13

evaluated
164:9

evaluating
87:4 88:8
89:6
187:17

evaluation
89:13

evenly
205:20
220:15

Everest
160:18

everybody's
10:10
130:15
133:18
181:11

evidence
19:11,13,
17,22,24
20:2,20,
22,24
22:15
23:24
24:6,11,20
26:6
28:22,25
29:4,11,
20,24
30:11,15,
22 42:2
44:4,22
45:20 51:6
52:15
57:11 58:2
64:4,18
96:25
98:6,13
103:17
111:11,15
131:2,4,6,
9,15,18,22
132:1,2,11
156:1
161:18,20
162:2
165:6
169:18
172:20
175:2
176:22
183:8,9,
15,22
188:6
190:25
191:4
198:13
220:22
232:15
233:22
255:4

evidentiary
184:8

exact 100:25
102:20
119:13
126:18
158:24

examination
31:20
43:10
56:24
58:22 61:2
72:18 80:4
84:6 93:2
120:4
137:22
156:6
184:17
186:22
187:11
190:11
204:1
207:15
210:15
227:20
229:6
231:15
234:4
243:2
279:22
286:17
287:10

examine
134:22
180:15
229:4

examined
40:20
94:18,22
124:11
164:24
229:2
232:6
233:2,9

examining
96:18

examples
175:20

Excel 64:13
65:2,6,9,
11

exception
12:9 15:25
136:2

exceptionally
179:6

excuse 36:20
41:4 65:18
78:24
158:6

excused
60:2,4

128:22
288:20

excusing
60:2

executable
212:20

execute
249:24

executed
211:8
222:25

executive
10:13 32:2

exercise
146:15

Exhibit
44:1,4,10,
11 45:20
52:15
54:2,6
63:25 64:4
78:15 79:4
98:2,6
112:1,4,15
168:4
243:9,11,
24 245:9,
17 246:15
251:2
275:1,4

exhibits
264:2
266:18
273:4,10,
11,20
274:9

existed
28:13

exit 130:20

expect 21:13
200:22

expected
222:18
277:18

expedient
14:20

expedited
136:15

expenses
53:11

experience
35:1 39:18
50:2 84:18
85:11
87:4,9
88:1,2,4,
6,10 90:6
91:24 92:2
94:1,4
96:11,18
108:6
109:24
119:15
121:15
138:20
141:13
144:2,15
146:22
147:13,15
148:1
149:2,8
153:1
165:15
177:25
187:15
206:8

experienced
73:24
74:13
230:11

expert 17:2,
6 25:9,10
33:9,18
34:1 38:6
39:2
41:18,22
42:4,6,18,
22 43:1,2,
15 59:20
84:15 88:4
89:11
91:18
92:10,18,
20,22 94:8
96:22
97:15
108:11,13
123:24
138:9
143:11,13,
18 150:2,
10 151:11,
13 154:13,
17 155:11,
24 156:1,2
158:1,8
164:18,20
168:25
169:15
170:4
171:1,17
172:10,15,
18 173:2
174:17,24
177:15
179:1
191:4
195:11
198:4,18
280:18

expertise
41:22 42:6
43:6 47:22
92:11,18
93:25 94:6
96:13,18
97:6
121:24
146:22
147:2,15
148:2,20
165:17
179:22
194:13,17
206:4,8

experts
17:15,17
20:4
59:18,22
168:24
170:2
171:17
186:15
221:13

explain 23:1 30:8 51:6 64:1 68:2 93:11 99:13 105:2 119:2 131:4 151:13 164:6 176:9,11, 18 180:18 183:18 193:4 204:22 255:10 266:4,6 270:2,8 271:17

explaining 90:2 100:2

explanation 22:2,11,17 24:18 104:2 178:1 207:20 228:4 270:8

explanations 29:24

explicit 12:8

explore 121:1 147:25

exposed 165:2 228:15

exposure 165:6 227:15

Express 67:1 205:11 246:2

expression 211:11 213:17 234:24

extensive 184:4

extent 180:2

external 161:17 162:1 270:11

extra 274:11

extract 183:2

extracted 232:2 233:4 234:13

extreme 21:1

extremely 177:20

eye 133:8

eyesight 130:24

eyewitness 175:2

eyewitnesses 178:18

F

face 194:24

faced 183:13

facie 22:9 24:4

fact 13:9 17:11 27:4,10,11 28:8 29:25 30:15 32:15,18 33:13 35:4 42:10 63:20 116:9 117:15,24 118:8,11, 15 119:11 123:10 145:2,8 146:2 148:4 149:4 172:13 199:11 200:15 201:4,9, 13,22 202:15,25 248:13 258:11 259:11,24 274:1,4

fact-bound 15:22

factors 30:8 200:13,20

facts 12:10 15:20 91:20 145:20 155:6 176:22 220:6,22 232:13

factual 15:22 16:2 33:22 42:2 231:2

failed 14:4

fair 28:20 75:8,9 101:1 115:4 151:18 158:20 229:11,13

fairness 24:15 240:6

faith 198:13

familiar 37:15,18 54:15,18 55:13,15, 20 116:17

118:11
177:4
199:9,11,
15,18
200:2
201:2,4,9
225:9
227:15
234:24
287:20

familiarity
199:24

fashion
229:6

fast 106:6
236:8

fastidiousness
166:13

feasible
38:2

federal
58:24
143:18
212:9
246:6
253:13

feedback
222:18

feel 259:2
278:4

fees 53:2

feet 49:10

felt 224:13

FEMALE 13:4

fewer
117:11,25
123:6,13,
18 124:18
126:17
202:10
257:13
282:13

field 148:11
153:2
155:24
170:4
174:4

figure 68:25
87:24 95:2
201:11
221:18

figures
174:20

file 74:8,
11 86:24
88:18
135:15
167:6
184:4
212:18
215:13,15
235:9,11,
24,25
236:18
284:22
285:2

filed 11:18
12:15
110:10
136:11

files 85:15
214:18
246:2,10

filing 11:22

filings
18:24

final 109:11

find 87:22
103:24
106:1
122:8
124:9
126:4,15,
25 223:13
245:2

finder 155:6

fine 10:4,6
32:24
59:22 68:4
69:13
130:13
185:15

finger 71:15
74:4

finish 70:2
157:15
187:2
191:22
289:15

finished
41:20
70:22
205:18
264:22

fired 211:22

firm 115:8

firms 61:22

first-hand
39:20

firsthand
90:15
154:1

five- 118:17

fix 150:11

fixed 248:4
277:24

flaw 19:15

flaws 235:6

floor 236:10
247:20
290:6
291:1

floors
236:10

Florentine
270:4

Florida
160:20
257:22
260:9

focus 33:6,
22 85:20
146:18
238:20

focused

32:11,18
95:2 116:2
142:25
145:6
155:4
259:9

focusing
157:13
172:6

folks 116:11
118:20,22
130:15
169:1
264:25

follow 11:6
28:20
42:20
59:10
67:18
115:22
148:9
282:22

follow-up
57:4
154:10

font 240:10

forensic
148:11
149:6
160:15
171:6
177:15
180:4,6
181:13,17,
18 184:17
186:13,22
195:22
196:10
207:15
227:20
228:22
229:10
231:15
269:22

forensically
148:2,6
208:2

forensics
25:9

form 77:6
145:4

formally
211:9

format
189:11
239:10,11,
20 252:17,
18

formats
239:6

formed
169:22
262:15

forms 36:9

forward
28:15
29:20
30:13
83:13
280:22

forwarded
14:20
214:22
215:4

found 78:24
106:2,6
124:15
126:6,15
127:1
165:25
204:15
229:6
232:6
237:6

foundation
38:6 45:24
48:1,11
51:13
59:15
109:2
145:18,25
163:11
164:17
165:6,11
169:24
171:4
172:13,15,
17,18
177:1
184:20
228:2

founded
24:13

fourth 140:1
290:6

fraction
101:2

frame 241:22

framed
126:20

frankly 28:2
201:1

frantic 74:2

frequently
55:25 56:2

front 65:4
93:18
100:25
112:11
113:4,6
123:25
168:4
228:9
239:11,13
265:6
274:15

full 31:24
61:6 80:9
134:1
210:18

Fulton 9:11
11:6,20
12:2,15,22
13:15
14:25
15:20
16:17,24
28:18,20,
22 29:1,6
70:10,13
82:15 83:9

147:6
180:9
263:2,6
266:1,10
271:13,15,
20 289:8

function
90:11

fundamentally
24:15
151:17

funding
212:2

fundraising
52:22
53:15,18,
22

funds 81:6

future 230:6

G

gain 158:1

gained 96:17

game 86:20

gap 22:1
23:9 28:13
249:6,10

garbage
234:25

garden 29:8

garner 230:6

gave 81:6
151:13

Gay 245:18

GEMS
187:17,20
207:22
212:10,13,
15,18,22,
25 213:4,
11,15,20,
22 214:17
215:15
216:4,8,
13,17
221:20
232:1,4
233:4
234:13
238:20
239:4
249:9,17,
22 250:6,
9,10,18,
20,22,25
251:6,13
252:22
262:11
263:18
265:22
266:17
267:4,18
278:20

gender 87:13

general
39:22 40:2
48:13
81:24
90:15
100:20
105:24
106:10
107:11
116:25
118:2
126:13,22
153:6,11,
22 154:22
160:24
162:18,20
163:22
166:13
167:2,18
223:18
224:20
239:10
240:11
260:18
275:6
281:25

general's
106:15

generalize
179:22

Generalized
180:1

generally
35:2 38:11
54:18
55:4,8,11,
24 81:1,2
87:10
88:11
122:9,18,
24 125:18
142:22,24
144:2
145:22
146:25
150:2,10
151:2
155:2
158:13
159:4
160:11
163:13
199:18
205:9
227:17

generate
249:22
252:15
253:22

generated
278:22

generating
253:25

generation
141:20
143:2

gentleman
20:9 245:6

gentlemen
8:6

Geoff 9:11
25:18
112:15

geographic
87:17
88:18

Georgia 8:1

19:18
21:15,18
26:25
27:6,20
34:13,20
35:6 36:13
37:20
38:2,11,13
39:6,10,
13,22 40:2
41:2,11
42:15 43:2
46:17
55:10,18
56:10
62:13
80:15,18
81:22
90:11,15
91:9 92:11
94:15
95:15,18,
22 96:25
98:18
101:18
104:11,15
108:10
109:1,6
113:18,22,
24 116:11
118:18
120:15
127:13
138:22
139:6
142:17
143:4,6,
11,15
145:15
147:11,15
150:2
151:17
152:6,13,
15,22
153:6,11,
22 154:2,
18,20
157:6,11,
22 159:2,
22 161:2
162:18,20
163:13
164:2
165:1,22
166:18,24
170:22
184:10
186:6
188:2
189:9
196:11,15
200:18,24
201:11
203:10
205:20
206:9
207:8,18
211:6
212:9
237:9
241:20
250:6,11
251:1
255:13
258:25
259:2
260:20
261:22
267:17

Georgia's
26:11
37:15 38:1
54:15
119:6
146:22
147:6,11
151:15
163:9,22
164:8
165:18
166:25
167:11

germane
123:15

get all
130:4

give 33:2
34:2 84:15
93:22
103:15
106:20
121:2
130:13
146:13
160:13
163:15
166:4
215:11
217:4
219:2
220:4
237:10
239:25
285:15
289:2

giving 19:24
73:11
106:22

glad 203:6

glance 259:2

glass 187:2

glasses 64:9

Global
212:18,22

glue 160:4

gobs 208:18

good 8:6,7
9:8 11:13
32:4,6
35:2 36:24
38:18
41:25
43:20
44:15
77:18
89:18
145:24
150:22
179:6
185:22
198:13

Governance
32:4,6
36:25
43:20
44:15

governing

240:17

government
156:20,24

governmental
162:4

governor
15:10
21:22
25:18
71:2,15,
17,18,20,
24 94:20
95:22
98:20
100:18,22
101:4,11,
13,15
103:9
105:4,8
115:6
118:10
120:10,20
124:18
126:2,9,11
127:4
179:11
231:20
242:10,11,
13 253:18
254:24
255:22
256:2,11,
13 258:8
260:15
262:17
265:2,10,
13 268:18

governor's
19:10
21:6,11,
15,24 22:6
30:1 95:1
99:25
100:11
102:6,10
106:15
107:20
108:10
113:25
120:11
123:13
127:18,20
128:17
155:4
162:18
171:25
184:18
225:13
241:18,24
242:1
254:6,25
255:20
256:2,15
257:8
265:4,6
268:24
271:4,8
272:24
273:2
279:9
282:6,11
285:20,22

governors
260:2
265:13

graduate
62:1 84:20
139:1,2,13

graduated
138:22
140:2

graduating
84:25 85:6

Grady 195:6

grandson
133:22

Granted
26:18

gray 255:15

great 10:15
26:9 153:1
184:10

greater
27:11
147:25
165:2
176:20
183:20
204:22
276:11

Greenwald
43:18,20
44:11
45:15

grid
283:10,11

Groban 290:6

Groton 12:1

group 168:24

guess 11:2
12:2 26:6
54:6 141:4
185:20
189:20
229:10
233:22
273:15
286:6

guidelines
240:6

guilt 22:15

Gwinnett
9:13 11:6
14:25
15:17
29:15
38:18
77:18 83:6
89:18
90:20
150:22
155:9
252:20
253:4,9
263:2,6,9,
13 266:2,
10 271:13,
20 287:25
288:11

H

habit 81:18

habits 81:11

hack 270:24

hacking
225:9,20, 22 226:2, 20 227:6,9 270:1

half 182:15

hand 31:6
37:10
43:22
60:15
63:25 64:8
79:17
83:18
104:15
137:11
210:2
243:6
287:24

hand-marked
188:20,22
189:1

handed 64:11
65:4

hands 130:20

hands-on
39:17
144:1
149:2

handwritten
151:10

happen 49:15
86:20
131:20
175:22
176:20
178:2
181:25
221:9

happened
20:22
21:4,22
22:4 26:6
30:13 68:9
70:4 74:1
86:15,20
92:20,25
127:20
161:13
174:22,24
176:18
211:18
221:8
222:13
228:8
229:22
256:20,22

happening
30:2 49:18
69:18,20
97:4
127:18
175:17
233:24

happy 195:24
289:9
290:20

hard 19:13, 17 30:11, 22 47:11
64:8 82:9

hard-to-reach
85:4

hardware
147:20
158:18
159:11,20
250:2,4

Hart 25:22

HAVA 58:18

He'll 33:2

head 40:6
102:11

headed 112:9
115:9

header
241:15

headquartered
34:18

headquarters
34:10

hear 12:2,4
14:17 80:8
139:6
155:15
188:6
208:13
223:25
280:1,6

heard 14:18
134:11
136:25
154:11
158:17
169:10
176:10
180:24,25
184:2
192:11
224:1,20
235:1
280:20

hearing
20:13,20
110:13,15
133:13
135:17
136:17
208:18
232:13
291:22

hearsay
45:22
69:11,20
70:6 71:8
131:15
132:4,13
170:10,11
181:6,8,10
190:13
230:17
261:4,11

heavily
220:4,9,11

heavy 29:4
220:11

helped 36:13

helpful
217:18
270:6

helping 10:13 90:8 141:11

helps 43:4

Henderson 13:18 14:4

Henry 14:13

high 29:18 53:11 81:13 122:13 124:15 127:13 194:25 195:6 275:20

high-profile 118:9,13

higher 26:20 103:22 104:1 122:15 123:1,2 282:4,11

highest 102:6 104:9 271:8 273:1

highly 174:2

Hill 235:8 269:6

hint 19:25

hints 20:2

historic 113:15

historical 95:13 102:13 103:2

Historically 21:13

history 21:18 270:4

hit 281:15

Hm 171:8

Hmm 110:18

hoc 148:13

holding 268:6

holds 160:4

hole 188:4

home 69:6 290:20

honor 8:7 9:18 10:1, 18 11:8, 15,18 12:6 13:8,15 14:8,18,24 15:13,15 16:4,10, 13,15,17, 25 17:18, 22 18:4, 13,18 19:6 20:4,13, 15,24 21:8 22:8,22 23:1,2,4, 25 24:15, 22 25:2,4, 15,17 26:4 27:4 28:11,15, 18 29:15 30:15 31:2 32:20 33:8,22 36:1,2 38:4,8 41:18 42:4,17,20 43:8 44:6, 18 45:4,6, 10,20,22 46:2,6 47:22 48:2,10,11 50:4 51:8, 11 54:1,4 57:6,18 58:1,11,15 59:1,6,13, 22 60:1,4, 10 64:20, 24 65:24 69:10 70:13,18 71:6 72:11 74:13,18 79:8 82:2, 25 83:4,8, 11 89:11 90:20,24 91:2,18 92:6,8,15 93:8 94:11 96:6,20 97:1,10,20 100:1,6 103:15,25 106:18,22 108:2,4, 11,17,20 109:2,13, 18,20 110:4,17, 24 111:2, 6,11,18 112:6 113:10 116:20 119:25 121:9,22 123:20 124:2,24 127:6 129:1,11, 15,22 130:2 131:2,11, 24 132:6, 17,24 133:11 134:2,9, 13,15,18, 25 135:1, 13,18,24 136:4,11 137:2,9 144:20,22 145:4,9,

17,22
146:4,6,8
150:1,15
153:13
154:8,15
155:8
156:4
158:13,20,
24 162:15,
22 163:11
164:18
165:4
168:22
169:2,13
170:9,15
171:6
172:9,18
173:4
174:15,18
175:1
176:13,22
180:22
181:4,18,
24 182:1
183:22
184:15,20
185:1,11
186:1,10
187:1,4
188:4,11
190:8,22
191:20
192:1
195:20
198:11
202:20
203:4,22,
24 205:15
206:11,18
208:10,13,
20,22
209:6,8,
20,24
220:20
221:4,6,
11,15
225:11,17,
20 226:6,
15,20
227:2,24
228:13,17
230:18
233:22
236:15
237:13
238:11
242:17,22,
24 244:4,
6,15
246:22
247:2,15
248:13,18
251:6
255:2,4
259:13,15,
20 261:4,
6,10,11,
15,18
264:4
266:18,20
273:4,13,
15 274:9,
11,13,25
275:1
276:15,17
279:20
282:6
284:4,10
285:24
288:11,20
289:4,13,
15,20
291:20

Honor's 17:4
24:6

hotline
178:24

hour 289:6,
11

hours 36:20
166:6

house 245:25

housed 211:9
245:22
251:25

houses 249:8

How'd 8:24

huge 21:9
150:6

hundred
133:4

hundreds
36:20

Huntsville
249:15

hypo 220:4

hypothesis
149:15
175:20

hypothesized
280:6

hypothetical
221:10,13
230:24

I

ID 118:20,
22 215:6
216:22

idea 85:10
130:13
155:15
166:4
196:15
236:1

ideas 93:24
94:6

identical
155:22
159:10,13

identically
233:6

identification
200:2

identified
57:11
167:2

identify
10:2 55:4
111:1
158:22
243:17,20
254:20

263:9,10
275:2

identifying
148:22

image 221:25
235:10
241:4
253:22

images 232:6
235:11
255:17

immediately
72:4
141:25

impact 113:2
115:11
198:6
200:13
201:18
244:15
257:22

impacted
257:25
258:13

impacting
201:18

impanel
14:15

impaneled
14:11

implementation
159:25

imply 148:11

important
24:15 79:2
93:10
118:20

impossible
203:17

impress
51:17

in- 21:20

in-person
54:22
213:2

inadvertent
262:6

inadvertently
27:15

inappropriate
14:6

inaudible
9:4,9
11:10 13:2
16:18
17:13
25:15
28:17
32:20,22
35:18
38:15 42:4
44:8 45:2
48:11 51:4
54:2,8
59:4 61:13
63:18
64:6,9
66:6,13
67:13
69:11,13
70:11
74:17,18
75:17,22,
25 81:8
82:4,13,24
83:15,17
93:18
100:13
109:18
112:8
115:4,10,
17,20
116:13,15
119:24
120:1,2
124:1,22
125:11,22
127:4
128:25
129:2,6,8,
9,11,13
130:25
132:4
134:13
135:4,13
136:9,11,
13 140:13,
15 141:1,
11 143:18,
24 145:17,
18 146:6
149:22
151:20
153:8,15
154:15
156:4,20,
22 158:4,
6,8,15
160:18
162:20,24
163:13
164:20
165:8,9
166:2
169:4
171:4,13
172:2
173:25
174:13,20
175:20
176:24
180:10
182:11
184:4,13,
18 187:10
188:18,24,
25 189:1,
13,22
190:20,24
191:20
192:6,10,
17,18
195:6,22
197:20,24
198:1
200:13
202:8,13
203:1,11
207:13
218:13
225:11
227:6
232:22
233:15

235:22
236:6,15
237:6,18
238:1,2,13
242:15,20
243:8,15,
22,25
244:1,10,
11,15,18,
22 245:8,
13,18
246:13,15,
18,25
247:1,6,
11,13,15,
22 248:1,
2,4,6,15
249:4,15,
18 251:2,
9,10
253:4,20
254:22
255:1,6,8
257:25
259:13,15,
17,18
261:6
263:4,8,10
264:2
266:6,13,
17,18
270:22
271:15
272:22
273:6,9,
11,13,15,
18,25
274:4,6,
13,24,25
275:2,22
276:22
277:2,13
278:2
279:10,11
280:2
281:4,20
282:24
283:11,17
284:11,18
285:25
286:1,2,6,
10,11,13,
15,20,22
287:13,17
288:2,15,
22 289:8,
10,15,17,
22 290:1,
2,11,15,
20,22
291:2,6,8,
9,10,13,
15,18

incidence
66:20

incident
66:22,24
67:13,15
228:4
244:6

incidents
78:18,20,
22

inclined
22:9

include
78:18
147:18
257:6

included
214:11
218:18
239:15

includes
8:10
182:22
272:15,18

including
157:18
272:8

inconveniencin g 291:13

incorrect
40:4 111:2

incorrectly
233:11

increase
119:13
122:22
145:13
165:22
276:4

increased
165:18,20
166:20

incredibly
166:2
176:8
177:22
200:17,18

incredulous
74:6

incumbent
128:15

incurred
178:11

independence
161:13,22

independent
117:20
160:20

indicating
143:20

indications
50:22

indirectly
167:13

individual
11:11
85:15
87:11
97:11
107:1
157:15
202:22
214:22
216:10
232:2
233:2
239:6
240:1
259:10
263:24
268:6
271:24

272:6
281:11
283:22

individuals
27:15
118:20

indulgence
136:2

industry
180:20

ineligible
19:15

infected
227:22
231:18

inference
198:13

inferior
24:11

infiltrated
246:10

inflammatory
58:4

influx 198:8

information
13:22
59:20 70:1
85:17
87:17
95:6,18
96:4 99:10
103:11
104:18
109:15
122:13
169:4,11,
15 170:6
214:4
215:22
216:13,17
232:2
236:11
239:4
245:24
249:11
252:2,4
266:15
284:17
287:15

informed
76:4

informs
199:4

infrequent
122:15

inherent
151:15
166:22

inherently
161:9

initial
17:13
278:2

initially
18:13
232:8
239:20

innocent
178:13
207:20

input 268:4

inputting
218:6

inquiry
93:11

ins 14:18

inserted
217:8

inserting
218:4

inside
148:24

inspect
182:18
208:2
284:9

inspected
153:10,20
154:2,22
235:6
249:18

inspection
155:13

inspects
215:15

install
237:6
252:24

installation
249:17,18

instance
14:11 29:4
63:15
73:15
87:11
115:4,25
117:8
118:17
189:9,15,
17 199:11
201:11
223:13
256:20
259:24
260:8
267:11
272:20

instances
50:18
59:11
173:2
179:24
233:9

instruct
216:9
219:4

instructing
268:8

instructions
257:4,11,
20 258:2

insufficient
13:17

insulted
130:24

insurance
117:11

123:2
282:1

integrity
32:10
140:10

intend 10:20
17:8

intended
39:4 71:4

intensely
43:4

intent
135:15

intention
58:11
111:13
135:4

intentionally
23:20

interact
270:17

interacting
280:22

interaction
259:1
270:18

interactions
186:17,18

interacts
278:4

interest
81:1,2
142:18

interested
35:4 81:13

interesting
22:6

internal
150:2
180:15
181:20
182:4,22
183:11,20
235:9
236:22
249:1
284:18,20,
22 285:2,6
287:18

internet
165:2,6
166:2
167:8
168:13
228:15
270:18,22

internship
142:1

interpreted
167:4

interpreting
231:6

interprets
259:6

interrupt
38:9 251:2

interrupting
249:2

interviewing
164:2

intimate
97:11

introduce
45:20
65:24 81:2
132:20

introduced
57:11
132:2,4
235:20

introducing
58:2

introductory
131:2
140:18

investigate
186:6
227:9
228:9

investigated
24:20
173:4

investigation
148:11
163:20
171:6
181:13
183:17
186:13
191:24
192:9
199:6
226:18
229:15,18,
22 231:11
235:4
247:1

invoke 10:20

invoked
16:10,11

involve 89:6

involved
35:22 36:9
39:6 41:24
151:22
205:13
214:2
222:15
272:1

involving
120:15
203:11
237:18

IP 270:15

iphone
63:10,13

irregularities
268:20

irregularity
29:8,20
30:22

irrelevant
33:18

issue 13:24
15:17 19:8
20:4 30:18
42:10,11,

13 45:25
67:1,9
87:15
91:20,22
92:2,4
93:8,15
154:13,25
155:2,4,8
182:11
183:22
184:11,25
204:25
206:17
208:22
224:10
225:13
226:8,13
227:17
230:18
243:15
248:6,15
264:22
277:15,17,
18 282:17
289:9

issued
160:20
209:11
249:24

issues 14:6,
9,17 16:2
32:11,13
33:6,18
41:22
42:11
57:18 73:4
74:13
91:20
93:13
136:17
151:13
158:2
179:2,9
230:13
235:17
237:18
253:6
277:13

items 131:2

J

jail 133:24

January 8:2
45:13
136:17,24
211:17
212:2
249:4,20
250:1

Jeanne 9:11,
15,20
79:15,24
80:4,11

job 11:13
75:20
256:18

John 201:10

Johnson
63:15

join 92:6

joined 15:13

Jordan
129:9,11

Joseph 63:4

judge 12:24
13:1 35:11
93:18,20
172:15
205:2
236:11,13,
15 256:22
267:13
290:1

judgment
237:20

jump 237:24

jumped
238:10

jumping
146:15

juries 14:15

jurisdiction
214:15
215:4
218:6

jurisdictions
14:17
260:6

juror 12:4

jury 12:8,
9,10
13:10,11,
15,18
14:1,6,10,
15 15:2,
22,24 16:4
135:20
290:18

Juvenile
8:12

K

Kauffman
8:22,23
9:1

Kaye 12:22

Kemp 131:8
143:15,24
151:11
164:4

Kennesaw
211:10,15,
22 222:20
227:15
244:2,6,9
246:4
248:15,20,
22

key 29:17

kind 8:11
68:6 74:2
76:6 85:20
86:2,4,22
87:15
88:18
95:2,4,25
97:18
111:9
122:20
161:2

168:22
169:4,15
170:10
172:10,17
178:11
186:22
188:8
198:4
199:22
200:2,6,10
231:4
238:18
246:20
247:8
269:18

kinds 90:2
133:24
141:20
261:17

knew 191:11
199:25
224:8

know- 133:18

knowledge
39:20
43:17
90:11,15
94:2,4
97:11
115:24
144:2,15
146:22
147:4
149:20
154:1,18
155:11,13
158:1
164:17,18
181:20
186:18
199:1
219:18
221:11,18
230:13
241:20
254:2,4
276:9

knowledgeable
93:17
256:20

L

L-E- 61:10

lab 144:6
152:4,20
154:20
249:15

labels
216:22
217:1

labor 94:22
117:17

lack 30:6
163:11
166:11
167:18
211:10
213:15
214:15
228:2

ladies 8:6
133:22

lady 68:6

lagging
200:22

laid 38:6
59:15
109:2
172:13,15
174:15

Lamb
164:10,13
165:25

language
58:4 111:9
253:10

languages
141:22
253:6,11

laptop 129:4
134:20,22

large 22:4
65:6 198:8
241:2

large- 64:11

large-print
65:8

larger
275:22
276:1

Lasix 64:22

lasted 68:20

late 250:8,
11 251:1

latitude
34:4

law 24:15
25:22
28:20 34:2
58:25
61:24
92:11

laws 13:24

lawsuit
197:4,11,
15 205:1
262:15,25

lawyer 17:24

lawyer's
36:4

lawyering
185:22

lawyers
11:13

lay 42:1
44:22
45:24
145:25
171:4
172:13
177:1
219:20
238:20

layout 164:6
222:4
239:6
252:18
257:15
258:20
262:6

265:2

lays 239:8

LBJ 63:11, 13 65:2,13

lead 220:11 221:4 235:18

leading 96:6 100:2 106:18 155:24,25 156:2 158:8 166:8 226:22 259:13,15 267:15 282:6

leads 173:18

learn 230:2, 4

learned 132:25 260:4

leave 50:18

leaving 47:11 165:2

Leclerc 60:11,22 61:2,6,11, 13,15 65:1,17 66:9 71:13 72:20,22 73:22 74:15,20, 25 75:2 77:15,18 78:13,15

Leclerc's 69:11

led 178:18 191:18 276:11

left 30:17 68:13 167:8 181:13 265:8,9 291:6

left-hand 241:24

left-leaning 205:25

legal 38:6 53:2 59:2 197:6

legally 144:11

legislator 206:13

Legislature 15:9

legitimate 22:2

letter 45:18 231:20,22, 24 235:2

level 53:11 122:20 151:17 166:18 167:15 200:22 232:11 249:9

leverage 88:20

Lexisnexus 135:11

León 46:25 47:4 50:2

libertarian 36:18 39:13 46:20 123:11 219:15

Libertarians 285:20

library 46:25 47:4 49:20

lieutenant 15:10 19:10 21:6,11, 15,22,24 22:6 25:18 71:2,15, 17,18,20, 24 95:1,22 98:18 101:11 102:6,10 103:9 105:4,8 106:15 107:20 108:9 115:6 120:10,20 124:18 126:2,9,11 127:2 128:17 155:4 162:18 171:25 179:11 184:18 225:13 231:20 241:18 242:1,11, 13 253:17 254:6,25 255:22 256:2,13 258:8 260:2,15 262:15 265:4,10, 13 268:24 271:2,6 272:24 273:2 279:9 282:6,11 285:18,22

life 21:9
182:15

lifelong
81:11,18

light 130:20

lightly 26:1

likability
200:20

likelihood
145:13
165:17
175:15

limited 42:8
87:2 131:4

limiting
149:13

Lindsay
45:8,22
59:1,13
132:13
197:10

Lindsey
10:24
11:2,4,10
13:9
15:11,13
16:9 17:6,
11,22
18:8,10
25:15,17
32:20 33:8
42:4,20
44:18,20
45:2,4,6,
10,22
47:22
48:10 50:4
51:22
52:2,11,17
54:1,6
58:1,11
64:6,9,11,
15,18,20
65:4 66:2,
6 69:10
70:6,11
71:6,9
75:2 82:2,
6 83:6
91:2,6,13
92:6 97:9
100:1
111:2,4,
11,18,20
114:4,9
117:2,6
119:4,22
120:9
123:4
124:6
128:8,13,
20 130:2,
6,13,22
131:11
132:24
136:4
144:20,22
145:6,17
154:8
155:8
162:15
171:6,9,13
172:9
173:4,6,
11,13
174:15
176:13
181:4
183:22
185:20
186:10
188:4
190:8,10,
20 191:6,
9,13,20,22
192:15,18
196:25
202:20
203:1,4,6,
8,9,20
205:2
209:6,17
220:20
221:2,11,
15 225:17
226:6,15,
20,22
227:2,24
246:22
247:2,6,9,
13,22,24
248:2,8,
11,13,17,
18 250:22
255:8
259:15,20,
22 261:6,
11,15,18,
20 263:6
264:2,6
266:18,22
268:17
273:4,9,
11,13,24
274:9,13,
15,25
275:8,10
276:15,24
279:13
284:10
285:24

Lindsey's
285:15

lines 47:10
50:1 56:1
100:24

lining 259:4

link 119:1

list 18:25
150:6
167:4

listed
231:22
240:13
264:11
272:6
279:2,6

listen 43:4
111:11
156:4
185:13

listening
43:2 55:11

literally
30:13
289:1

literature
156:17
160:11
162:11
171:4
194:18
199:2

litigat-
36:25

litigation
37:1 80:15

live 21:20
80:15
130:11
133:1,2,15
172:24

lived 34:20,
22

living 96:1

LMA 222:22
278:2

load 268:1

loaded
215:13,18
216:8
217:20
222:1
267:22

loader
182:25
237:1

lobbies
11:11

local 85:20
215:4,15
238:22

located
62:22
197:1
274:2

location
46:25
47:4,8
49:22
63:22
65:15 67:4
73:13
176:13
216:11,15
218:22
220:9,10
221:24
222:2
252:1
283:2

locations
40:11 47:2
55:18,20
62:20
215:22
239:4,13
266:11
270:20

locked
290:17

Logan
164:10,13
165:25

logic 217:9
218:13,18,
24 222:10
268:2

logical
222:11

logistically
129:15

logistics
288:25

logs 179:6

long 17:24
47:10
48:18 49:4
50:1 56:1
82:22
103:18
129:17

longer 68:20
131:13
253:4
288:24

looked 18:22
40:9
94:17,24
97:2 104:8
113:15
119:6
120:9
128:4
165:4
185:8
189:6
195:11
203:2
229:22
230:6
235:11,13,
22

loop 275:13

loss 35:17
36:10

lost 23:11
24:2 35:15
137:6

lot 28:4
36:25 37:2
42:20
59:20
87:22
96:4,8
97:2
119:18
132:25
158:20
224:22
227:15
252:9
253:10
285:20

lots 171:18

loud 169:10

love 248:8

low 176:8

lower 101:11
174:11

luck 290:2

lunch
129:18,20

lurking
155:15

Lyndon 63:15

M

M-42 291:9

machine
22:17,18
24:18
39:20
40:24
41:1,2,8,
10 42:11
49:18
50:4,18
51:4,11
55:13,20
56:11,13
67:6 68:8,
11,13,17,
24 69:1
70:2,4,20
71:20
72:2,6
73:18,20
90:15
93:15
144:2
154:2
158:11,13,
15 165:22
172:11
174:1,2
176:4,6
177:11,22
178:6,11
182:6,20,
22 183:1
190:11
194:1,6,10
195:6
196:11,15
203:13,17
208:2
212:24
216:4,6,
18,22
217:4,6,15
220:11
222:4,6
228:22
232:4
233:4,10,
13,18
234:6,9,
10,18,20
235:10
236:2,4,6
237:4
242:4
265:22
266:17
277:18
279:10

machine's
67:6 235:8

machine-level
235:4

machines
23:11,22
24:22
25:10
38:11
39:15
40:2,4,8,
13,17,20
41:6 42:6
47:24
48:8,20
49:2,4,13
50:1,22
54:17
55:25 56:2
67:2,4
73:13,15
90:11
128:1
142:11,13,
15 143:11
144:11
145:22
146:2,18,
24 148:4
152:11,20,
22 153:6,
10,11,22
154:18,22
156:20
157:11
158:22
159:6,18,
22 160:2
161:2,15
162:20
163:2
167:13
170:18
172:2
173:20
174:8,9,
11,22
175:6,8
176:6
177:11,15,
18,22,24
178:4
179:4,6
180:4,8,17
184:17
189:13
194:6
197:22
203:11
218:18
220:10
223:17
232:2
235:6
277:25
278:2
283:22,25

made 12:8,9
15:18,20
16:1 19:20
44:11 71:2
92:9 98:13
166:15
169:22
176:20
185:8
208:9
237:17
239:24
253:4
265:22

Madison
80:15

Magistrate's
290:4

magnifying
242:6

mail 128:2

mailout
216:1

main 85:20
223:9

maintain
212:2

maintained
165:20
249:10
284:22

major 81:15
159:13
167:9
181:25
270:2,4

majority
34:24
162:10
223:8

make 10:11
16:6
18:13,18
22:11,20
23:15 48:4
54:22 55:6
70:24
71:24
74:20
75:18
93:22 94:8
104:22
106:11
107:20
130:4,6,8,
11,15
155:9
171:15
192:18
193:10
195:15
217:11,13,
20,24
237:15
238:22
253:11
259:4
265:18
267:11,15,
22 268:2,
9,15 270:8

makes 24:25
205:11

making 48:13
129:15
142:18
167:18
183:20
207:22,24

MALE 8:7
10:20
11:22,25
12:15,24
13:1 20:13
82:13
129:11,13
286:20
287:8
289:15,18,
20 290:10,
15,20,25
291:2,8,
11,15,18,
20

malfunction
24:18 50:8
51:11
93:15

malfunctioning
49:4,8
50:1,6
51:4

malice 23:18

malicious
16:2
178:15

malware
166:11,15
189:10,18
196:15
204:17,18
227:22
228:10
231:18
237:11
269:18,22

manage 212:4

Management
212:18,22

manager
67:11
68:13,15,
18,22 69:4
70:2,6,8,
13,15,20,
22 71:1,2,
15 72:4
73:15

manager's
73:22

managers
76:13

mandatory
11:18

maneuver
235:15

manifested
179:20

manifestly
23:24

manipulation
16:2

mannerisms
133:20

manufacturing
34:18

mapping
219:9
285:11

margin 25:20
26:10
177:13
184:11

Marilyn
10:13
31:2,15,
20,25
38:25
43:11
50:13
51:25

54:11
56:25

mark 55:10
132:8
283:13

marked 43:24
243:6
254:18
275:2
287:24

Marks 10:13
31:2,6,10,
15,20,25
32:1 35:1
36:8,22
38:10,15,
18,25 42:6
43:11
44:10
45:11
46:11
47:22
48:11,18
50:11,13,
17 51:25
52:2,9
54:11,15
56:25
57:2,10
58:2 59:24
60:2

Marks' 51:11

married
216:20

Martin 9:11

Maryland
160:20

Master's
139:20

match 152:20
235:20
268:13

matched
232:4,25

matches
249:18

matching
234:11,13,
15

material
159:17

materials
156:11

math 22:22,
25 23:4
86:18
102:13
111:18
191:11
270:2

math/physics
181:25

mathematical
30:18

matter 13:25
17:22
18:15
22:22
25:11
27:4,10
33:13
57:17
61:13
84:15
116:9
135:17
140:8
145:24
163:22
172:13
188:6
202:15
205:13
209:9
244:10
259:15

matters
121:2

Matthew
134:15
137:10,18,
24 138:4
150:18
156:8
187:13
188:15
196:22
204:2

maximum
194:9

Mayor 35:4

Mcdonald
8:16,17

meaning
231:6

means 17:20
181:20
193:4
263:15
270:20

meant 56:6
246:20

meantime
290:4

mechanics
150:4

mechanism
52:22

mechanisms
59:11

Medicine's
168:10

member 39:6,
8 211:20
218:15

members
36:17,20
211:18,20

memory
180:15,17
181:20
182:6,22,
24 183:11,
20 189:11
212:24
213:1,2
215:25
216:10,15,
18,22
217:2,4,8,

20 221:24, 25 222:1, 2,6 233:6 234:13,15, 22 235:4,9 236:22 266:11,13 267:1,25 284:18,22 285:2,4,6 286:10 287:18

mentioned 37:2 38:20 141:13 149:1 150:24 151:11 152:2 161:8 224:18 269:2

mere 26:4

messages 53:17,18 172:4

method 35:2 105:1 148:15 278:20

methods 106:4,9 149:20

Metro 271:6

Mexico 84:20,25

Michael 147:9 157:18 164:4,6 209:25 210:1,10, 15,20 243:4 245:18 254:13 276:20 279:22 286:18 287:11

Michigan 138:6,11, 13 139:15, 17,18,22 144:8 149:10 153:4

Mickey 272:11

microphone 80:9

Microsoft 142:2,4, 13,15,20 155:20 212:15

middle 26:24 116:10,18 172:2 202:15

Mike 268:17

million 223:20

mimic 11:22

mind 39:24 167:24 171:20

mine 81:18 173:10

minute 110:6 135:11 198:2 202:6 242:17 279:15

minutes 49:20 51:1 242:20,22 291:15

miscalibrated 224:2,6

mischaracterizes 190:20

misleading 111:1,9

misplaced 74:15

misprogramming 231:18

missed 176:15

missing 278:9,25

misspelled 19:13

misstate 244:20

mistake 8:13 148:18 178:13 220:18 242:4

mistakes 166:24 167:2,4,22

mitigate 88:15

Mm-hmm 20:6 219:8

mock 290:13

mode 53:22 55:22 56:2,4 173:22 222:20,22, 24 242:6 268:6 278:24 279:2

model 155:18 255:13,15

modeling 86:25

models 155:18 255:11,17

moment 41:15

113:4

momma 77:6

Monday
180:10,11
208:2

money 53:1,
10,13

month 133:13

monthly
175:25

months 34:25
165:2,6

Morgan
80:15,20

morning 8:6,
7 15:11
38:18
72:10
77:18
89:18
185:6
289:10,11

motherboard
284:2,4

motion 15:4
18:15,17,
18 112:17,
20 192:2
202:20
209:13,20

motions 24:2
57:20,22
180:24,25

Mouse 272:11

move 34:22
41:13
45:20
132:20
134:22
184:25
185:9
190:13
208:15,20
211:13
236:13
244:4
245:8
290:4

moved 20:13
192:13

moving 81:18
130:4
193:10
208:22
280:22

multiple
23:4 28:11
63:22
74:11
147:8
191:13
253:10,11
270:20
281:13,15

mumbling
139:6

Muskogee
229:2

N

name's 77:18

named 63:18
142:2
197:8,13
262:24
266:4
272:22

names 12:20
19:13
199:13

nation
189:17
200:20

national
86:2
156:22
160:22
168:9,18,
20 170:2

nationwide
159:22

nature 24:11
32:11
135:20,22
136:18
167:11
278:13

neces- 59:18

necessarily
148:13
183:1
201:17
290:11

needed 70:20
184:4
217:6
218:11
253:11

needing 55:6

negative
28:4,6
77:6
115:8,11
200:10

neglect
103:15

neighboring
260:11

nervous
129:15

network
249:6
270:18

Nevada 109:4

newcomers
128:18

newer 122:15

NEWS 129:4,
6,9,11

night 52:18
73:11
185:4
232:9
234:11

Nobody's
18:25

non-partisan 32:8

nonconfidential 187:24

nonpartisan 36:18

nonprofit 32:8 86:6

nonprofits 85:2,25 86:2,4

normal 56:18 241:22

North 34:11 36:11 41:10

north-south 27:18 265:15

Northern 143:15

notate 216:22,25

note 263:13

notes 63:6, 11,20 65:1,13, 15,17,20, 22 66:2,15 67:18,25 78:17,22, 24 79:1,2 129:2 224:22

notice 131:4 135:4 136:6,18 287:25

noticed 47:9 67:4 68:4, 22 71:1 208:25 258:2 280:11,13

notices 287:20

notification 218:15 223:11

notify 253:2 288:4

notion 161:11

November 25:20 46:13 62:22,24, 25 63:1,22 83:2 133:18 153:6 165:18 196:11 212:6,11 223:17,18 225:8 244:13 252:22 255:18 256:25 261:22 262:1 264:11 274:20 275:4 281:18,22

number 8:12 26:25 27:1,9,11 29:22 30:6 33:17,18 40:11 44:15 57:11 67:2 76:2,8 99:17 100:15,25 101:15 102:13 103:2 109:18 113:2 116:4,22 119:6,13 122:11 123:6 124:11 126:18 132:15,17 194:9 201:24 202:10 215:22 216:10 217:2 218:8 224:18 226:4 239:9,15 241:2 260:6,20 261:4,8,24 266:11 271:8,25 272:1,2,4, 6,22 273:1 275:22 276:4

numbers 25:10,11 40:6 67:6 75:13 92:20 97:2,6,17 98:22 99:2 105:22 107:1 108:13,15, 22 109:20, 24 110:9, 15 111:10, 24 112:8, 18 113:17 114:20 124:4,6 172:20,22 174:22 175:6 176:11 177:9 192:4,11 193:1,4,6, 8,11,15 195:6 203:2 261:13

numerical
195:13

numerous
160:22
179:18

O

oath
289:18,20,
22

object 10:25
32:20 33:8
38:4 42:4,
13 44:18
47:22
48:10 50:4
59:1,13
69:10 70:6
90:20,24
91:18
100:1
103:25
108:11
109:2
111:2,4
121:9
131:11
133:9
144:24
145:6
154:15
158:13
162:15
163:11
165:4
169:2
181:2,4
184:15
185:20
188:4
195:20
197:6
198:11
204:24
206:11,20
220:20
221:6
225:11
226:13
228:2
230:15
232:13
233:22
243:13
247:18
261:4

objected
182:8
208:6

objection
13:6 17:8
41:18
45:22 54:4
57:18
59:15 66:6
71:6 83:6
92:6 97:1,
9 106:18,
20 108:22
109:13
129:20
134:25
145:4
155:10,11,
13,17
158:25
168:24
171:6
172:9,18,
24 173:4,6
174:15,18
180:22
186:10
188:11
195:18
198:11,20
202:20
225:17
226:6
228:18
232:18
237:18
247:15,24
248:17
251:10
255:4
264:4
266:20
273:13
275:9
282:6
284:10
288:11

objectionable
45:2

objections
11:2 33:17
77:2
136:10
155:9
274:10

observations
62:18
63:20 66:2
67:20
72:24 79:1

observe
47:6,15
48:24 62:9
72:2 73:4,
8,20 78:2
171:22
218:15,17

observed
40:8,15,18
49:18
66:25
96:24
98:22
149:11
176:11

observer
62:10 63:6
77:22 78:1

observing
42:8 62:15
63:8 65:13
66:17 69:2
77:20
147:11

obtain 44:22
139:18
144:11
246:1
252:2,22

obtained
140:2

obvious
20:22
97:15

occasions
191:13

occurred
26:8
189:15
195:13

OCGA 24:6

odd 124:20

oddity 194:1

odds 176:4
198:2

offer 131:4
154:24

offered
182:4
284:9

office 39:9
76:6 99:6
105:1
107:2
167:13
210:22
211:13,17,
22 212:4
213:10,11,
22 214:8,9
215:6
222:20
223:9
224:25
225:6
228:22,25
229:25
230:6,15,
18 231:20,
24 232:11
233:1
238:18
244:1
246:4
248:22
249:11,13
253:2,15
260:24
267:6,15,
24 269:13
271:8
273:2
274:6
280:13
290:22

officer 28:9

offices
256:4

official
223:11
261:8,10,
13 274:1

officially
77:24

officials
49:20 72:2
141:10
147:10
223:10
225:2

Ohio 160:18

older 95:18

Olens 25:18
203:6

omits 24:10

omitted
278:13

op 239:18

open 18:13
44:15
45:13
165:2
166:2
167:8
270:9,18

opened 58:1
229:18

opening 16:6
18:11,13

operate 40:9

operates
56:15

operating
47:18,25
141:20
142:17,22
143:6,9
152:4,9
155:20
182:24
237:2,4,6
267:11

operation
40:15
47:15,24
150:2
183:4
212:2
217:18
222:4
278:6

operational
249:11

operations
40:13
144:2
211:15
223:17
248:22

opine 47:24

opinion 97:6
104:2
121:2
158:11
159:2,20
162:13
163:8
166:24
170:4,22
171:1
172:8
173:18
174:22,24
175:4
195:11
198:18
280:18

opinions

41:25 143:18,20, 25 158:11 162:4 169:9,10 170:2

opportunity 73:4 104:13 110:8 113:1 126:10 134:4 136:13 180:15 208:2 230:2 252:11,13

opposed 28:13 57:15 265:17

opposing 57:13

opposite 177:22

opposition 112:18

optic 216:1

optical 239:8 252:17 265:4

option 285:22

order 12:13 14:17 25:4 28:20 53:2 130:2 181:13,18 209:18 217:20 220:1 221:22 223:15 240:13 247:8

ordered 182:22 183:25

ordinary 68:9,18

organization 32:8,9,11 34:9 35:18 46:4 52:22 53:11 62:11 86:6

organizations 85:2,25 86:1

organize 219:22

orientation 242:13

original 158:6 224:11

other's 17:20

outcome 161:20 269:20

outlier 94:25

outlined 224:20

outstanding 266:25

outward 234:11 270:13

overrule 198:20

oversee 211:6

oversees 212:6

overtake 251:10

overturn 30:10 184:10 226:9

owned 249:17

owner 34:17

P

P-2 64:22, 24 65:25

P-3 97:20, 24 98:1

P-5 168:2

P-7 168:2

p.m. 130:1 187:6,8 242:25 243:1 291:22

pack 116:18 202:15

package 87:20

packet 214:22 255:9

pad 55:11

pages 66:11 82:2 168:15 170:13 240:18 253:18

paid 52:4

pair 270:13

pap- 197:18

paper 21:6, 8,22 22:10 27:2,13,18 28:2 33:11 37:2,9,13, 17 38:2,13 41:13 43:2,4 104:17 105:15

106:13
125:18
148:4,25
149:22
151:10
161:17
171:10,11,
13 174:8,
11 175:6
188:20,22
189:1
199:11,18,
20 206:15,
22 207:11
257:6
258:6
264:25
276:13
277:1,4
282:4

papers
140:22

paperwork
208:18

paragraph
111:25
112:1
238:11

parallel
267:11,20
268:20
269:20
287:2

part 14:1
32:15
35:11
53:1,2,6,9
125:18
133:20
156:11
169:22
170:13
183:10,11
205:9
211:13
256:17
261:11
262:4
265:20
278:1
287:15

partial
131:22

participate
252:11

participated
62:4
261:1,2,22

participation
88:8
257:24
275:20
282:4,11

particularities 199:6

particulars
201:17

parties
133:2
262:15,24
266:4
272:22
273:15

parts 163:10
236:22

party 24:6,
10,13 30:6
36:18
39:13
46:20
75:6,20
76:18 78:2
86:2,6,10
131:6
132:4
176:4,6,8
177:20
181:10
197:8,13

party's 24:8

pass 277:22

passcode
215:11

passionate
81:18

password
246:11

past 85:8
86:15,17
88:11
113:18
119:6
206:6

pattern 21:4
108:8,9,25
175:15
177:22
199:1
205:18
229:15
230:11,22
231:13

patterns
20:24,25
95:22
113:17
123:17
124:10
198:9

pay 53:2

Paying 108:2

PDF 252:18

peer 141:2,
4 163:4

pending
18:15

people 21:9
22:6 25:6,
24 29:10
47:10,11
89:6 98:13
100:15,17,
20 157:25
163:20
179:4
190:17
191:4,15
210:2
211:22,25
223:20
226:4
230:17,25

243:25
257:13
280:2,15,
20 281:6

percent
21:11,15
22:1 32:17
101:2,6,
11,13
102:11
105:8,10,
11,15,18
107:2,4,6,
9,10,13,
15,18,25
120:24
125:17
126:6
152:25
274:22
275:6

percentage
101:10
102:4,15
103:22
104:2,25
107:4,13,
17,22
120:22
274:17,18,
20

percentage-wise 106:8

percentages
106:4

Perdue
120:15

perfect 29:2

perfectly
63:10

perform
86:18
183:4
186:9,13
190:2
193:18
196:6

performance
117:4,22
118:6,8

performed
190:6,11
196:2

period 46:22
208:11
231:18
268:11

permission
132:20

person 17:25
19:15
21:20 26:2
56:11
65:22
105:10
136:6
198:18
280:8

personal
34:11
43:17
153:4,8
154:18
155:11,13
164:18
177:2
188:20

personally
39:20
40:2,20,22
41:11 47:6
90:8
153:20
154:22
164:24
165:4
193:18
224:22

perspective
49:9
152:22
159:11
164:25
166:13
179:1,20

persuasion
21:10

pertaining
140:24
206:13

petition
37:4

petitioner
13:20
31:17
60:24 80:1
84:2
137:20
184:22
210:11

petitioner's
29:13

petitioners
13:17 29:4
37:4,9,11
184:20
209:24
284:9

ph 65:20
200:25
245:18
290:6

Ph.d. 138:6
139:24

Phd 138:11
170:13
175:11

phenomena
175:2

Philip
132:18
158:6
190:6
193:20

phone 136:22
215:6

phonetic
243:11

photographs
72:25 73:2
74:15

phrase
121:11

Phrased
226:24

physical
217:1,2
235:13
248:24
282:20,24

physically
153:9
213:6

physics
270:2

pick 67:2
254:15
276:22

picked
218:24

picture
255:11

pictures
255:9,11

piece 88:24
189:9,18
257:6

place 8:11
19:9 25:13
27:11
33:15
49:13
94:22
97:11
115:25
207:15
216:22
239:20
250:18
252:20
261:15
285:11
289:22
290:22
291:13

places 30:22
160:2
221:25
287:20
288:1

placing
217:2
270:13

plagued
238:6

plaintiff
9:22 10:13
24:25 25:2
79:15
80:11
168:15
202:22

plaintiff's
44:4 64:4
98:2,6,18
112:15,20
168:4,8
194:2
243:8

plaintiffs
15:6 24:4
26:11
29:18,20
30:11,20
42:2 60:10
79:15
83:1,13
84:15
136:8
138:8
151:22
152:1

plan 34:22
36:13

planned
74:11
82:11

plausible
22:15,17
24:18
29:24

play 30:6
238:22,24

pleased
258:17

point 23:15
29:17 68:8
71:8,22
74:10
93:13
97:2,8
109:2
111:20
113:2
122:11
129:1
144:22
159:6
160:4
181:13
193:20
198:15
205:1
206:20,24
217:4
218:18
223:10
238:11
251:9
262:13
283:1

pointed 14:8
15:1 71:15
195:4

points 15:15
96:8
111:13
125:18

policy
206:11

political
21:10
81:4,15
84:22
85:1,2
86:1,4,6,
10 114:15
119:17
189:2
198:4
199:2

politically
88:20

politics
25:11
201:11

poll 36:15
39:11
40:9,11,17
46:11,15,
18,20,24
47:2,4
48:22 50:2
55:6 62:9,
10 67:4,10
70:13,15,
20 72:2,25
73:2,9
76:13
77:20,22,
25 78:1
81:17,22,
25 82:6,8
153:2
197:18
205:11
246:2
288:4

polling
46:25
47:4,8
49:22 73:4
87:13
115:25
200:6
218:20
239:2
287:20
288:1

polls 28:22
55:10
122:15
200:22

Ponce 46:24
47:4 50:2,
24

poorly
126:20

popping
172:4

popular
123:20

port 270:11

portal
251:22
252:2
270:13

portion
35:10
101:1

portions
246:10

pose 168:22

position
13:15
22:20
23:10,11,
17 25:1
27:18 34:2
51:15
52:2,4
70:15
134:13
151:1,4
210:25
240:11
278:17
283:13

positions
15:13
218:9

possession
268:2

possibility
258:22

possibly
26:6 95:6
119:11
136:13

post 148:13
260:22

post- 35:22
36:6

post-election
36:10,13,
15,17,20
149:8
206:4,6
207:9
217:15
231:22
258:15
260:22
278:24
279:2,10

posted
287:20
288:1

potential
166:11
230:22

potentially
86:22
144:25
167:15
283:6

power 24:8,
11 213:1
217:10
246:2

powered
237:4

powering
223:22

practical
39:17

Practice
18:20

practices
38:2,11
42:15
186:13

pre- 217:15

pre-election
222:22
234:8

pre-filled
268:8

preceding
289:24

precinct
50:24
75:20

76:2,9
125:2
128:2,4
174:2
176:25
177:10
178:25
189:25
190:4
192:24
193:18,24
194:6
195:2,11
196:4,8
232:11,25
238:24
263:11,13,
18,20,22,
24 264:10,
11

precincts
124:17
125:2,4,6,
11,13
126:1,6
127:2,25
195:2,4
214:1,18,
20 238:22,
24 272:1,2

predicate
198:15,17

predominant
239:11

prefer 133:6

preference
9:4 241:6
252:10

prefilled
218:6

prematurely
223:22

preparations
147:8

prepare
136:6
265:22

prepared
98:18
138:18

preparing
136:1
215:25

present 99:2
111:11
229:18
264:10
271:24
278:15
281:1,24

presented
14:2 24:2
101:22
111:15

presenting
28:15

preserve
20:18

preserved
19:1

president
259:24

presidential
241:6
252:10

presiding
15:10
236:11

press 28:4,6
115:8
200:11
283:8
288:6

presses
219:6

pressing
219:13
287:22
288:1

pressure
283:13

pressure-point
283:11

presumed
29:18

presumption
24:11,13

pretty 97:15
169:10

previous
46:15
88:13
119:9
191:25
229:8
235:20

previously
127:17
133:6
184:13
190:15
197:22
229:4
232:4
248:13
249:18

prima 22:9
24:4

primarily
36:11

primary 75:2
241:6
252:10

print 64:11
65:6,9
73:2,11,
15,17
279:2

print-on
217:1

printed
76:13,15
217:15
234:11
235:20

printer
217:22
218:1
239:18

printing
236:4,6

printout
268:13

printouts
285:15

prints 66:9

prior 29:15
95:22
101:18
102:8
104:2
118:17
135:4,15
190:15
200:6
249:13
277:10

privacy
32:10

private
249:6

probative
21:4
109:22

problem
18:2,10
20:15
26:8,9
49:22
51:15 69:2
75:18
148:18,20
171:15
176:11
178:9
278:25
282:20,24,
25 283:4
286:22
287:2
288:4

problems
32:18
35:15
37:20
147:15,18,
20 148:2
150:4,6,11
162:18
163:2
167:22
179:25
223:6
287:4,6

procedure
18:15
42:24
136:10
209:10

procedures
37:17,20
38:2,11
42:15

proceed
207:25

proceeding
39:4
135:22
206:13

proceedings
23:2,25

process
19:15
54:15,20
55:4 63:10
135:22
194:13
214:13
216:4,15,
22 252:13
277:20
278:2

processes
37:15

produce
24:10
233:15
241:15
252:18

produced
212:20
214:17
232:6
233:13
268:13

produces
239:4

product
212:17

production
252:22

profession
170:6

professionally
10:15

professor
132:18
133:13
192:22
193:15
195:15
196:2

proffer
131:4,6,9
132:10,11
181:1

proffers
98:13

profiled
26:18

program
141:22
161:22
212:20
219:18
220:8
250:10,13,
25 283:20
286:6

program's
259:11

programing
216:4

programmed
141:22
178:13

programming
15:25
167:13,20
178:4

207:18
211:6
212:24
213:6
218:24
219:1,2,4,
15 220:18
249:8
250:2
277:15
278:8,13
283:15
284:8

programs
245:22,25

progression
40:15

promise 31:8
60:15
79:17
83:18
137:11
210:4

proof 25:4
241:10

proofing
253:11
278:22

proofs 246:2
252:2

propagated
189:10,18

proper 44:22
155:17
172:15
217:15,17,
20,25
235:18

properly
47:25
76:24
77:10
223:24
268:18

properties
141:20

prosecution
22:13

protection
246:11

prove 24:20
110:22
237:20

proved
245:1,2

proven
244:15,17

provide
161:10,20
164:17
214:15
215:6
219:1
252:15,18
267:17

provided
13:22
215:9,17
278:22

providing
42:2

public
113:18
117:10,15
168:13
187:25
218:15
228:15
270:20

publication
163:4

publications
156:20
162:4

publicized
218:13

publicly
85:17

publish
141:4

published
140:22
141:2
148:4
149:24
158:4
160:17

pull 193:6
220:6

pulled 68:11
193:1,2,6,
8,11,13

pulling
133:11

purpose 18:1
164:15
168:20
213:6
220:6
241:10

purposes
239:9
252:2
278:22

push 277:13
287:15

pushing
234:10
238:13
241:11
282:22

put 64:6
71:15
72:6,8
74:17
79:1,2
93:22
108:13
132:9
209:18
216:13
217:1
218:15
223:1
228:11
236:13
242:6
248:8
249:13

250:15,18
283:8

Putin 270:22

puts 85:13
151:15

putting
85:13
108:13
170:15
215:20

Q

quacks
185:24

qualification
42:15
155:11

qualifications
82:11
138:17

qualified
33:9,18
38:6,11
39:2,11
41:18
42:4,6,22,
24 43:1,15
59:20
92:13
97:13
108:11,13
143:11,13
151:11
198:18
213:24

qualify 34:2
92:17,22
97:13
145:20
150:1,10

quantify
175:15

question
9:18 29:25
30:18
32:22
33:15,18,
22 38:4,10
39:25
42:25
43:15 45:2
48:2,13,25
50:4,9,17
51:8,13
54:22
56:10,11
57:4 59:4,
15 74:13
76:17
88:25
97:18
100:4
104:8
106:22
109:6
112:24
120:8
121:10,11,
13,18,20,
24,25
124:2
126:18
127:15
146:10
149:17
154:10
158:15
162:2,24
163:15
165:8
171:9
173:13
176:15,17
182:10
185:9
186:2
191:18
195:22
198:15,22,
24 202:2
203:4
204:24
205:6,18
206:11
208:4
221:18
226:22
231:4,25
232:15
233:18
237:22
247:18,20
249:2
254:1
261:1
267:8
281:4
284:6
288:6

questioning
220:22
275:13

questions
34:8
38:15,20
41:15,17
43:13
51:18
58:15
59:10,24
66:15 79:6
82:2
89:15,20
90:22
91:2,13,15
92:22,25
94:10 96:9
108:18
113:8
114:4
119:22,25
120:25
121:1
123:6,11
128:20
144:24
145:2,10
150:15
153:15
154:6,11
183:25
188:13
203:20
237:20
245:6
254:10
262:11

270:1
279:17
287:8
288:10,13

quickly
15:8,15
187:2
205:18
276:22

quit 179:15
238:13

quote 24:6
25:22
171:11

R

R6 255:13, 15

rabbit 188:4

race 21:11, 24 22:6
28:13 30:2
71:2,15, 17,18,25
73:13
75:15
87:13 89:8
95:22
97:11,15
99:15,18, 24,25
102:6,10
104:15
105:24
106:15
107:22
108:10
109:4
113:20,25
114:1,24
115:22
117:13,17
118:1,2,17
120:10,11, 15 122:25
123:13
125:18
126:2,11, 13 127:18, 20 128:13, 17 171:25
177:11,13
179:15
184:18
199:6
200:6,9
201:18
219:22
221:22
225:13,18
230:11
231:13
240:1,13, 15,18
241:2,18, 24 242:1, 9,11,13
244:13
254:6
255:20
256:1,2, 10,11,15
257:2,6,9, 13,20
258:1,9,13
259:9
262:6
264:18
265:4,6,9, 10,20
268:24
271:4,24
272:2,6,8, 24 276:24
279:2,9
280:22
282:6,11
285:20,22

races 71:4
73:13
94:20,22
95:18,22
102:4,8
108:24
113:18,24
116:6
117:15,18, 24 119:6
124:4
125:25
126:11
198:10
199:9
200:17
201:2
202:11,13
213:24
214:10,11
218:10
239:2,6,15
240:13
241:22
242:2
252:18
254:25
255:22
258:18
263:13,20, 22 264:9, 10 268:22
271:6
272:25
273:18
279:6,8
281:15,17, 24 282:10, 15

racial 28:11
115:10,11

raise 31:6
52:25
53:10
60:15
79:17
83:18
137:11
210:2

raised
57:18,20
131:22
183:24
184:13
190:15
198:2
226:9
230:13
237:18
269:2

270:1

raises 15:17

raising
53:13

ran 26:25
35:4
116:11

random 22:4
175:24
177:18

randomly
176:4

range 26:15
86:2
102:20

rare 179:6

rate 105:8
171:24
174:10
205:22
282:4,11

rates 122:17
123:1,2
124:15

raw 179:6

RE-DIRECT
56:24

re-elect
38:4

re-litigate
284:11

reach 24:8
85:4,18
109:10
260:24

reached
170:22

reaction
73:22

read 20:24
64:8,13,22
131:17
135:20
169:6,22
170:13
171:18
194:18
216:18
218:2
222:6

read- 286:4

read-only
182:24

reader 218:2

reading
133:17

ready 130:9
131:1
218:20
267:6

real 44:18
51:22
128:8
149:2
208:22
276:22
290:18

rearguing
24:2 93:9

reason 14:18
18:1 21:2,
11,25 22:8
109:13,20,
25 148:6
178:6
217:6
221:4
238:9
244:24
269:22

reasons 21:2
28:11
89:11
91:22
92:13 95:4
96:13,15,
22 97:15,
17,18
121:17
122:6
139:8
280:10

rebooting
179:4

rebut 93:13

rebuttal
17:11

rebutted
24:13

recalibrate
278:1

recall 68:4
120:11
121:6
123:8
170:20
204:15
246:15
252:6
258:9
262:17
267:8

recalling
194:4

receipt
234:15

receive
28:20 52:6
76:18
116:4
214:25
215:1
223:6
224:18
264:18,25

received
26:13,17,
18 28:4
95:6
116:22
117:11,25
123:6
125:2
188:1
200:11
202:9,10,
17 215:2
223:6,15
231:20

246:20
255:6
262:15
277:8

receives
73:10

receiving
69:25
231:22
246:15

recent
142:25
152:18

recently
81:24
136:11
140:20
160:20

receptive
217:13
281:10

recertification 229:1

recertified
229:11

reckon
196:18

recommend
206:9
207:8

recommended
186:13

reconciled
16:1

record 18:18
19:2,4
20:10,11
31:24 46:2
51:10 61:6
64:6,20
65:25 66:1
72:13
73:11
80:10
84:10
98:13
106:20
107:20
109:8
121:22
129:25
130:22
132:9
138:2
168:1
169:25
185:20
187:6
190:15,22
209:22
210:18
228:11
233:18
234:15,22
238:11
242:25
244:25
269:4
273:18
275:2
277:13

recorded
29:1 77:10
157:22
219:15
233:11
279:6
287:15,17

recording
9:2 35:2
65:13
234:18

recordings
8:14

records
40:15
44:11,13,
15 45:13
166:11
187:25
232:1,4
247:2

recounting
207:15

recourse
161:10,11

recovered
235:8

RECROSS
286:17
287:10

RECROSS-EXAMINATION
50:13
113:11
114:6

red 49:17
50:6,22

REDIRECT
43:10 93:2
120:4
156:6
187:11
204:1
279:22

reduced
241:13

refer 67:18
99:4

referred
245:17
284:6

referred-to
44:2 52:13
64:2 98:4

referring
204:8,10,
11 245:20

refers 247:1

reflect
101:6
130:22

reflected
111:25

reframe
158:25
172:25

refused
184:24

regard
121:15
247:1

registered
77:22
80:18
81:6,25
83:2
275:15,24

registration
9:13
157:22
166:9
167:6,9
189:20
204:18,25
205:2,8,13
225:10,13,
24 226:2
227:4,11
237:18
238:6
247:2
260:25

Registrations
28:18,24
38:20
89:20
150:24

regular
177:22

regulation
164:4

regulations
240:17

regurgitating
184:13

rejected
223:22

relate 58:24
142:20
251:13,15,
18 281:2

related
141:1
165:13
206:20
214:18,20
243:13
244:6,9
251:20

relates
96:24
155:2

relating
67:25
101:18
190:25
226:20

relation
265:2
280:20

relationship
239:4

relative
201:15

relayed
214:2

release
157:2
273:15

relevance
57:18
59:15
165:13
180:22
184:15
226:13

relevancy
145:6
162:15
165:13
228:2

relevant
45:25
57:22
59:17 92:2
116:20
146:4
155:2,13
162:18
181:2
184:17
206:13
225:15
247:22
248:6

reliable
20:2

relied
170:11
171:15

relies 24:11

relocated
211:15

rely 170:4,
6

relying 17:4

remain 60:2

remaining
17:9 18:2

remedy 25:25

remember
110:20
113:4
191:10
198:24

reminder
16:10

removed
217:4

render
198:18

renew 41:18
97:1
202:20
228:17

renewed
112:15

reorganization
34:18

repair
150:11
277:24

repeat
198:22

repeated
166:22

repeatedly
182:13,15
247:4

repeating
39:24
164:13

repel 24:9

rephrase
106:18
153:17,18
195:22,24
230:18
232:20

rephrasing
59:6

report 75:6, 20 76:22
77:8 98:18
99:2,10
104:25
160:18,22
168:10,13
178:24
214:22
223:2
262:13,15, 20,22
263:13,17
264:11
265:22
266:6,22
271:22
278:20

reported
76:1,6,10, 17 157:20
174:1
179:2,11
191:15
195:8,9
232:8
234:6,11, 22 279:4

reporter
61:9
129:4,6,9, 11

reporting
63:11
75:13
149:17
171:25
172:2
179:4,13
272:2

reports
160:20
191:4
214:17
235:22
252:15
262:11
263:11
264:6,8
266:10,11
271:22
278:20
279:2

represent
38:18
89:18
150:22

representative
16:18
25:25
124:6,13, 18 125:2, 13 126:1, 2,8,22
127:2,25

representing
25:18

Republican
177:13
178:4,8
204:11
219:15
220:11
240:11
241:6
259:4

Republicans
252:11

request
10:11
11:11
12:13 17:4
29:13
44:11,13
51:11
98:18
135:2
183:25
267:17

requested
184:2

requests
44:15

require
23:15 96:2
149:20

required
14:15 43:1
56:8 59:11
154:25

requirements
53:10

requires
26:4 47:22
135:2
230:15
235:15

research
103:20
140:20
142:2
189:6

researched
142:4

resemble
108:17,25

reserved
26:1

reside
219:15
283:25
286:9

residence
166:6

residency

249:13

resident
39:10 41:6
80:20

resides
196:15
283:20
284:1,22
285:4

resisted
24:24

resolved
15:8 67:9,
10

resources
32:17 87:2

respect 29:4
124:9,10
135:2,18
158:11
159:15
162:13
228:22
238:2
253:13,15,
18

respond
117:2
131:24,25
145:22
155:15
277:18
283:4

responded
162:4
182:15

responding
106:20
149:11

response
11:20,22
14:9 22:24
23:1,2
44:11
69:25
112:15
120:8
192:1
204:18
235:2
283:2

responses
223:4

responsible
213:11

responsive
32:22
218:10
235:18
278:6

rest 51:11
132:4
139:9
192:6,13
203:2

restating
248:13

restored
235:11

result 19:9,
22 26:4
29:22
30:22
48:18
69:22
71:10
222:18
233:15
234:6
235:9,24,
25 242:4
256:13
257:13
262:6
280:2

results
19:18
22:18
25:15
29:20
35:22
85:15
86:25
87:18
89:13
92:1,13
94:13
96:24
157:4,8
172:6
173:2
174:2
177:8
190:10
219:25
236:20
262:13
268:13,15
269:11
282:13

retained
211:25

retender
96:20

retired
34:15

return 151:8
215:6

returning
72:22

returns
271:4,18
274:2,6

reveals
56:13

reverse
181:9

review 13:2
44:13
70:24,25
94:13
95:11,13
103:8
107:20
108:24
110:9
111:24
114:10
124:6
141:2,4
153:4,10
156:11
160:18

163:4
172:2
178:17,22
179:10,13,
15,17
180:15
182:22
214:13,24
228:22
233:20
237:4,11
252:2,13
276:24

reviewed
39:22
40:2,4
94:18
101:18
105:22
106:1,2
108:24
109:11
147:4,6,9
156:11,15,
20 157:1,
2,4,8,10,
17,20
160:10,15
162:4
178:22
187:20
190:25
199:2
200:6
214:8
272:20

reviewing
164:2
223:4
278:24

reviews
153:8
191:4

Rhonda 9:11

Rice
138:22,24
139:10,11

Richard
16:20

Richmond
229:2

Rick 147:9
157:18
164:4

ride 69:6

rig 23:20

right-hand
241:25

right-leaning
205:25

rights
151:18

ripped
133:22

risk 149:13
166:18,20

rite 131:18

Robyn 131:4

role 30:6
62:6 75:2
90:2
238:18

ROM 182:24

roof 236:9

room 290:18

rooms 291:4

round 176:15

routine
53:10

routinely
228:10

rows 101:25

rule 8:14
10:20
11:2,11
13:1
16:10,11
17:13 18:4
108:18
129:6
133:11
135:2,6,
11,15
136:2
137:1
154:13

ruled 19:4,6
172:15
181:2,4
184:6
190:11
202:25
208:18
221:2
237:15
238:8,13
247:4,17,
25 248:13
261:11
284:11

rules 135:20
136:18,22
240:8,9,
17,22

ruling
130:10
169:25
190:15
208:8
209:10,11

rulings
143:22

run 27:25
86:11
116:17
141:11,20
142:15
152:4,8,11
207:8
244:22
259:25
260:4,9,11
262:13,20,
22

running
27:22
115:6
128:15,18
142:6

152:15
166:2
180:4
200:4
201:10
202:18

runoff 62:18

Russia
270:22

S

sadly 21:18

safe 291:11

sample 21:9
149:15
167:6
214:15,22
252:15
278:22

samples 22:4

Sara 60:11,
22 61:2,6
65:15
72:20
74:25
77:15
78:13

satisfactory
24:11

satisfied
13:20,22

satisfy 14:2
83:4

saved 215:2,
13 284:17
285:2

saving
217:25

scalability
252:8

scaling
241:4,11,
13

scan 216:1
239:8,18
252:17
265:4

scanners
216:1

scenarios
194:24

schedule
129:18
135:24

scheduled
135:15

scholarly
156:15

school 61:24
81:13
117:9
131:18
139:1,2,13
195:6

science
39:15
81:15
84:22
138:6,25
139:4,18
162:6,11,
13 163:4
168:9
198:4
199:2

Science's
160:22
168:18

Sciences
156:24
170:2

scientific
148:15

Scientists
168:20

scoring
142:20

screen 40:10
49:4,15
70:24,25
172:2
179:10,13,
15,18
217:11
218:11
219:9
223:20
224:2,11
235:15,17,
18 239:18
241:4,11,
13,18
242:2,9,11
255:15,17,
20 256:15
259:2
266:15
268:1
278:1
280:22
281:15
282:2,20,
25 283:10
285:11
286:22
287:15

screens
50:22
160:4
254:6
255:20
256:13

sealed
218:20

seat 31:11
61:8 79:9,
20 80:8
83:22

seats 8:8
10:2 124:6

secondary
19:24

seconds
289:1

secretary
44:13,15
45:13 46:4
57:15 74:9

76:6,11
95:8,17
98:22
99:10
101:13,18
104:20
105:22
106:9,13
107:2,6
109:17
117:1
118:13
131:6,13
167:11
177:8
192:4
201:10
210:22
211:1,13,
17,22
213:8,10,
22 214:8,9
222:20
225:4,20
226:18
227:13
228:25
229:9,13,
24 230:4,9
231:11,15,
20,24
232:11
233:1
235:2
236:22
237:10
246:4
248:20,22,
25 249:10,
13 260:24
265:11
267:6,15,
24 269:13,
15 273:17
274:2,6
280:13
281:24

section
225:4

secure
141:11

Secured
168:10

security
140:10,11,
18,20,24
141:20
158:6
166:24

seek 27:25

seeking 37:6
52:25

selected
193:22

selecting
193:22

selection
70:25
71:2,17,24
168:15
259:4

selections
268:9

self- 67:11
70:20

self-cast
71:22

self-correct
67:11

self-governance
81:20

seminar
140:20

senate 15:11
30:2
113:25
120:11,15
127:18,20
255:20,25
256:1,10
257:1,6,
11,13,18
262:6
276:24

send 44:15
53:18
267:22

sending
53:17

sends 267:18

senior 28:9
67:20 68:1
73:24
290:1

separate
229:2
233:4
258:18

sequence
25:4
233:24
246:13

sequestered
17:2

sequestration
25:6

serial 40:6
217:2

serve 166:10

server 36:13
166:1
189:10
245:20,22
246:1,9,10
250:13,15,
18 251:20
252:1

servers
237:8

service
72:2,4,6,8
113:18
117:10,15
136:15

serving
154:17

session
15:10

sessions

172:4

set 25:22
55:13,18
56:11
112:22
133:15,18
134:4
136:9
166:4
181:15
208:11
217:13
218:20
221:22
239:9,17,
18 240:2,
11,13
252:13
257:4
277:22

setting
25:24
217:24
278:4

setup 56:18

seventh
220:11

sheer 239:15

short 50:18
83:11

shortly
34:22
200:11

show 22:15
23:17,18,
24 24:4,18
26:6,11,13
28:2,22,25
29:24
30:22
38:15
52:11,20,
24,25
56:15
101:25
103:11
104:25
105:4
166:11
167:17
177:9
219:25
220:10
225:22
233:15
234:4
244:22
254:17,24
255:18
263:6,8,20
264:8
266:4,11
271:15,18
273:22
274:17
278:25
279:2

showed 180:9
232:24
233:2
241:13
266:24,25

showing
30:11
179:6
218:11
232:8
242:9
263:22
283:2

shown 24:4
30:18 97:6
234:6
241:4
254:4
264:11
287:1

shows 20:22
23:11
56:15,17
99:13
105:6
220:11
222:6
234:9
254:22
263:17,18
264:9
266:8,15
271:22,25
272:1,2,4,
6 279:6

shut 244:22

shutdown
40:13

shutting
68:22

sic 26:17
133:18
176:25

side 10:10
118:13
132:1
201:6
239:11
241:8,24,
25

sides 17:22
111:13
181:13
183:24
185:22

sidetracked
182:2

sift 96:4

sign 49:18
51:4
130:20
177:17

sign-in
252:1

signed
215:17

significance
26:9

significant
15:25
25:20
152:24
161:20
166:9
167:10
171:24

172:6,8
173:22,25
174:4,11
188:9

significantly
174:1,11
176:6
202:9
282:4

signoff
214:25
215:1,2

signs 16:2
148:22
150:4
170:18,22
171:2,10,
22 178:20
179:2
191:2

similarities
152:25

simple
22:22,25
23:2,4
97:15

simplistic
175:10

simply 11:22
15:13 22:4
23:13
28:13 42:2
111:13
172:15
175:17
184:13
198:25
209:9
220:6
227:1
248:13
265:11
273:25

single
155:17
189:8

sir 17:1
18:20
20:11 53:9
114:4
122:1
140:6,18
141:8
143:13
144:4
152:2,6,
13,18
153:2,13,
24 156:18,
22 157:2,
6,9,13,20
158:2
159:18
160:13
163:6,17,
24 168:6
170:20,25
177:6
180:9,18
185:13
187:18,20
189:4
190:2,4
193:20
196:9,13
197:20
198:6
199:22
200:4
201:8,13
205:11
208:13
209:6
231:13
235:1
245:1,6
250:15,17,
20 251:15,
18 255:2
258:11
269:24
271:11
274:17,20,
22 275:6
281:22

Sister 81:13

sit 9:2
10:17,18
13:10,11
68:22
137:4
138:2
210:22

site 235:6
246:8

sitting
20:13 69:6
196:13

situation
136:15
223:1
247:25
256:6
265:15

situations
231:1

six-pack
220:13

size 240:10
241:11

skip 122:25
281:6

skipping
34:20
143:2

Skype
133:22,25
134:4,20,
22 136:22

slightly
35:8

slowly 270:6

small 32:11
49:13
53:11
64:13 65:9
101:1
149:15
183:10,11

smear 58:2,
6,11

smooth 63:10

smoothly 129:18

Smyth 9:11, 24

software 147:18 150:4 152:11,15, 22 158:17 159:13,15, 20 161:13 166:4 178:1 179:8 180:4 249:9 283:6 286:9

sole 184:11

solely 128:2,4 206:15

solicit 191:15

soliciting 53:6

somebody's 278:13

sort 10:4 42:1 74:8 116:2,17 122:8,17 141:17 161:22 179:2,24 180:18 213:6 220:15 228:4 237:11 272:11 275:13 280:6,8,18

sound 185:18 193:10

sounded 192:13

sounds 185:22 194:8,11 209:8 236:2

source 157:1,4 161:18 162:2

sources 172:11 191:6

South 36:11 185:25 260:11

space 239:25 257:6

speak 49:20 106:20,22 139:6 219:18 224:8 234:20

speaking 204:6

specialized 39:15

specialty 270:4

specific 10:15 29:20 48:13,15 87:20 89:13 92:1 142:11 147:15 158:18 162:20 179:24 213:25 216:4

specifically 117:4 127:22 152:4 156:18 181:13 190:11 191:13,17 194:22 204:17 207:11 219:22 228:1 288:4

speculates 221:8

speculation 26:4 30:10 122:13 173:8 285:25 286:1

speed 100:6 248:4

spell 61:8

spending 34:24 35:13

spent 32:15 35:18 36:20

split 238:24 241:18 253:18

splitting 242:13

spoke 74:1

spoken 157:25 158:6

spontaneously 179:4

spreadsheet 64:13 65:2,6,10, 11

spring 37:20

square 26:24

stack 57:8

staff 39:8

211:18,20

stamp
217:17,18

stand 42:1
58:20
63:13
248:9

standard
56:2,4,18
170:6
237:6
241:22

standing
11:17
49:10

standpoint
159:25

stands
212:18
286:8

Stark 132:18
133:15
134:22
158:6
190:6,13
191:4
192:22
193:15
195:17
196:2
197:22

start 65:15
66:10,11
122:25
207:18
213:20
218:4
258:18
289:11
291:17

started
33:25
67:15,17
68:9,22
180:6
239:20

starters
122:10

starting
16:13,15
36:10
160:4
207:20
280:20
289:10

starts 66:22
122:20

state 15:11
19:17
21:10 23:6
26:13
28:20
31:24
33:13
38:13
44:13
45:13 46:4
57:11 61:6
74:9 76:11
80:9,18
84:10
90:15
98:24
101:13
104:9
105:24
106:9
107:6
109:17
117:1
118:13
124:6,13,
18 125:2,
10,11,13,
25 126:1,
6,22
127:2,25
131:6,13
136:10
138:2
147:10
149:10
153:4
159:13
160:18
165:1
166:24
167:15,17
182:22
186:6,11,
18,20,22
187:22
188:2
192:4
196:11,15
198:17
200:24
201:2,10
202:4,11
206:9
207:18
210:18
211:1,6,8,
10,15
212:8,9
222:20
225:20
226:20
227:15,18
229:10,13,
18 230:9
231:11,15
235:2
236:22
237:8,10
239:25
240:8
241:20
243:15
244:2,6,9
246:4,6
247:15
248:15,20,
22,25
249:9,11
250:6,11
255:11
256:2,11
258:25
264:18
265:11
267:18,24
269:17
273:17
281:25
284:4,9

state's 76:6
95:8,18
99:10
101:18
104:20
106:15
107:2
167:11
177:8
210:22
211:13,17,
22 212:4
213:10,22
214:8,9
222:20
225:6
227:13
228:25
229:25
230:6
231:20,24
232:11
233:1
246:4
248:22
249:13
260:24
267:6,15,
24 269:13
274:2,6
280:13

stated
109:15
167:2
212:6

statement
9:8 16:6
18:11,13
51:9,13
110:22

statements
97:15
111:2
157:10,15
178:18
181:10

states 54:18
81:20
95:11,22
96:20
103:9
151:20
159:6
167:1
256:10
257:1,6,
11,18
260:2,11,
15 262:2

statewide
26:25
40:17
46:20
85:15
113:20
116:6,11
124:17
126:6
127:2
202:13
264:10
271:6
272:25
273:2,17

statically
173:20

stating
231:22

statistic
173:24

statistical
149:13
174:13
190:4,6
192:24
193:15,18
196:4,6
197:25

statistically
173:24
174:4,11

statistics
149:20
174:17

status
266:9,13

statute 12:6
14:4,9,20
15:17 16:6
24:6
240:11,13
253:13

statutory
25:22
30:17

stay 10:22
16:18,22
17:18

staying
10:25 11:4
18:11

stays 10:24

steps 153:10
219:4
278:4

Steven
243:11

stick 111:15

stipulate
82:22
110:11
248:4

stipulated
110:22
111:4,6
273:15

stipulation
82:25
110:15

stood 208:6

stop 209:4

stopped
49:18

store 290:10

stored 183:1
235:10

strategies
85:4 87:1

strategy
86:22
87:20

stress
201:15

stricken
51:13
190:15
191:25
202:22
220:22

strike 16:4
51:9
194:25
199:25
221:4

strips
197:17,18

strong 41:25

struck 50:2

structurally
238:20

structure
222:4
241:1

student
81:17

studied
118:6

studies
139:20
157:8
189:6
194:18

study 84:22
86:11 90:4
117:20
118:4,6
138:24
139:2,15
144:13
160:15
168:18

studying
138:6

stuff 111:9
131:17
150:8
154:13
167:18
170:13
171:18
185:6
221:13
290:8,11
291:2

stupid
181:22

style 239:4,8

subject
140:8
160:11
184:25

submission
198:13

submit 71:18
74:4

submitted
71:20
77:1,2
131:6
176:24

subset
149:15

substantially
155:22

substitute
169:18

succeed
29:22
30:24

successful
87:2 88:22

successfully
73:18

suddenly
136:20

suffice 28:1

sufficient
14:2 165:1

suggest
170:9

suggesting
219:13

suggestion
237:17

summary
224:11
271:22

summer 142:1

superintendent
16:22
117:10

Superior
135:2,11

superstar
201:6

support
13:24 42:2
73:18
142:18
198:13

supporting
246:6

suppose
115:2
154:4
194:15
219:2

supposed
8:15 55:25
135:9
215:11

Supreme 30:9

Surely 38:17
110:4

surgery
64:22

surprise
119:15

survey
156:18

suspicious
200:18
204:8

sustain
172:24

188:11
228:6
232:18
248:17

Sustained
104:4
226:15
228:18
282:8
284:13

swear 31:4

swift 14:20

switch
219:13

switching
220:17

sworn 31:18
60:25 80:2
84:4
137:20
210:13

sync 67:8

system 19:18
26:13
27:13
33:11
35:6,10,
11,13
37:22
41:13
59:11
65:2,13
81:18
142:17
143:4,6,9
144:25
145:1,2,8,
13 147:4,6
148:22
151:9,10,
15 152:4,
9,17
155:20
157:2
160:17
163:9,22
164:6,8,25
165:2,20
166:2,15
167:6,11
171:22
173:4
177:17
178:20
182:24
183:20
184:9
204:20,25
205:2,8,9
207:11
212:6,22
215:18
217:18
219:4,18
225:20,24
226:4,11
227:4,6,
10,11,15,
20 228:1
229:1
230:10
231:11,17
237:2,4,6
238:6,18
244:15
247:6,9,
10,11,15,
17 248:6,
20 249:10,
13,15,18,
22,25
250:1,9,22
260:25
265:24
267:22
269:18
270:9,10,
11,17,18,
24 272:4,
18 277:22
278:4
279:6
285:11
286:24
287:1

system-wide
179:24

systematic
28:11 96:4

systems 35:2
141:6,20
142:22
144:18
146:22
159:2
160:11
163:9
189:20
206:10
211:2,4
212:18
227:25
228:24
244:2
245:22
246:4
249:11
267:11

T

table 10:2,
4,17,18
11:11
32:20
99:4,9
101:22
102:2,24

tabulate
75:4

tabulated
233:4
269:11
272:11

tabulating
272:4

tainted
23:11

takes 27:11
119:18
163:4
216:22
225:1
239:4

taking 33:15
48:9 49:4

67:15
101:9,13
109:20
129:2,20
179:18
216:4

talk 49:24
69:4
110:22
111:8
133:13
177:2
185:25
198:2
248:10
270:6
289:2

talked 77:4
78:20
122:2
149:4
163:13,20
164:6
169:6
189:6,24
261:13
275:11

talking 58:4
112:4
126:11
165:9,10
195:20
215:10,11
227:24
244:13
248:11
254:17
269:1

tallies
16:1,2
40:15

tangible
19:22

tape 73:11,
20

tapes 72:25
73:2,9
76:15
191:24
197:18
217:15
268:13

Taran 43:18,
20 45:13

target 85:18
207:10

Targetsmart
84:13
85:6,11,13

taught
140:18

teach 140:17

teacher
81:13

team 28:1

tear 144:13

tease 87:13

Tech 138:22
139:8

technical
41:22
42:22
67:11
73:18
74:11
166:13
167:18

technically
90:11

technician
181:8

technicians
180:22
181:6

technology
141:20
142:18
143:2
233:24
249:11

telephone
130:11
132:20
133:2,20
134:2

telling
134:6
264:13

tells 283:6

telltale
148:22
150:4
170:18,22
171:2,10,
22 177:17
178:18
179:2
191:2

Temple 63:1,
4

ten 35:4,
13,18
144:6
202:2
242:22
273:20

tendency
166:11

tender 45:18
52:11 54:1
89:11
131:2
150:2
255:2
264:2
266:18
273:4,20
274:9
275:1,8
288:11

tendered
46:4,6
74:15 92:9
273:10

tendering
131:9
273:8,9,11

term 116:18
118:18
184:4

201:6

terming
184:2

terminology
99:13

terms 17:13
23:17
24:15 25:4
27:1 33:10
42:2,11
90:8
91:22,25
99:13
100:2,20
104:2
195:10,13
198:9
199:13,24,
25 201:22
202:15
240:4
260:18
262:6

test 175:20
217:9,11
218:6,24
222:10,22
268:2,4,8,
15 283:10

tested 35:11
54:18
55:18
203:13
268:22

testified
17:18,24
18:2,11
31:18
36:22
38:22 42:6
46:11
60:25
78:6,20
80:2 84:4
91:24
93:15
101:17
109:20
113:17
120:8
137:20
154:18
155:22
169:2,4
170:18
176:1,18
190:10
192:4,8
195:15
196:2
197:22
204:13
205:17
206:2
210:13
227:13
275:20

testify
17:10,11
20:4 34:1
43:6 48:11
92:11
96:17
97:17
100:2
104:4
123:22
130:11
155:2
156:2
169:6
186:2
190:17
191:15

testifying
36:6 96:8
104:1
192:15

testimony
17:4,13,20
50:6 60:6
72:22
79:11
82:18
93:13
97:10
121:6
131:6
132:20
136:2
146:8,9
147:9
151:13
156:2
157:17
163:11
164:2,4,
10,15
169:2
172:17,22
175:2
181:18
190:13,20,
24 191:15,
25 195:1
202:20
203:1
205:20
208:1
220:2,8
227:15
249:20
252:6
282:17

testing
217:11,22,
25 218:2,
4,13,15,
17,20
222:13,17,
18,22
223:13
233:11,13
234:6,8
249:15
265:22
267:10,11,
20 268:20
269:17,20,
22 277:18
287:2

tests 149:15
222:18
229:8

thanking
82:8

that'll
125:22

theory
280:1,18

there're
216:22

thing 20:10
29:2 30:17
47:9 58:10
97:18
119:2
145:18,20
185:11
207:17
228:10
252:17
272:11
284:15
285:1

things 8:11
16:2 22:20
26:6 65:13
79:2 81:4
88:13 90:4
94:6
108:15
130:2
133:2,17
140:25
160:15
167:20
170:2
181:15
217:20
223:25
232:20
233:22
240:25
244:20
248:4
269:11
280:10,13
285:4,10
288:25

thinking
119:2
258:18
280:2

thinks
206:11

third-party
113:2
123:18

Thorpe 65:20

thought
76:22,24
77:1 79:2
110:18
115:18
193:8
195:15
280:15

thousand
26:20
102:17
124:17
126:6

thousands
21:25
96:18
170:13

three-and-a-half 276:4

three-and-a-half-time
119:13

three-column
239:22

thrown
280:15

THURSDAY 8:2

ticket 27:22
94:20
259:6,25
260:4,6,9,11,15
280:15

tied 205:24
206:1

time 11:13
12:15
30:1,2
33:20
34:25
35:6,9,13
37:22 49:4
62:2 67:11
68:13 72:2
81:17
90:20 92:4
93:20,22
113:25
123:2
127:20
130:6
135:8
137:2
160:9
165:9
217:4,13,25 218:18,22 236:13
238:15
239:25
245:6
252:13
258:25
260:20
261:2,22,25 276:6
280:4

timeframe
268:11

timeliness
15:17

times 27:9
36:24
39:11
49:18
63:22
133:4
147:8
160:6
164:6
245:11
272:2
276:4

tired 208:18

today 11:22
23:18
33:15
53:15
78:20
102:15
111:11,15

130:24
134:13
144:24
145:2,15
184:8,11
188:6
196:13
208:22
226:8,9
260:4

told 16:22
58:4 67:11
70:20,22
76:13 77:6
180:10
191:13
208:4

tomorrow
23:18
134:4
289:15,25
290:4,15
291:10,17,
20

tone 74:1

tool 63:11
251:22

top 40:6
122:20
160:18
161:9
248:10,11
257:9,20
274:18

topic
185:13,15,
17,18

topic's
185:15

tops 73:2

total 75:13,
20 76:2,8
99:6,17
100:10,11,
15 101:10,
13,15
102:6
104:10
116:4,22
120:10,18
232:24,25
233:2,4
271:25
272:4
283:9,18
289:6

totally
128:2

totals 19:25
94:18 95:1
117:6
128:2,4
177:4
194:2
220:4
233:8
235:20

touch 217:13
218:9
219:24
223:20
235:15,18
239:18
266:15
268:1
283:2
285:11
286:22

touched
40:22
71:20
153:9

touching
224:15
235:17
283:1

touchscreen
223:24
224:11

traces 19:24
20:22

tracked
103:2

tracking
115:25

trail 161:17

trained
36:15,18
153:2

training
39:15
62:13 94:8
144:17
169:11

transferring
216:17

transition
248:24

transitioned
239:22
248:22
249:9

transparency
32:9

treated
187:24

treatment
200:11

trend 94:24
102:13
103:2

trends 87:4,
11 88:2,
11,18,22
89:11 90:2
91:20
95:20

triable
12:10
14:6,15
15:20,24

trial 11:18
12:9
13:15,20,
25 14:6
15:2 16:6
52:22
53:1,2,4,
6,9,13,15
136:6,9,20
184:8

290:13

trials 53:18,20

trouble 20:13 21:18,20 92:18 94:6 97:17 167:15 272:11

troubled 35:18,20

troublesome 47:13

truck-trailer 34:17

true 19:18 23:6,8 45:11 52:10 59:6 65:11 70:13 123:11 199:2 243:25

Trusted 142:4

truth 31:8,9 60:15,17 79:18 83:18,20 137:13 192:2 210:4

TS 157:2 266:9

TSX 255:13, 15

turn 57:2, 13 67:22 184:15 245:11 281:13 291:6

turned 81:4

turning 68:10 182:20 183:1

turnout 88:11,13 122:13 127:13 194:25 274:18,20, 22 275:2, 6,11 276:1

Tweet 52:18 57:10

two-column 239:18,22 240:2 258:17

two-fold 22:24

two-hour 47:10

two-step 13:18

type 26:8 55:9 121:24 122:22 226:10

types 85:15 86:25 105:22 147:15 158:20 159:18

typically 17:2 148:13 161:11 175:20

Tyson 14:24 29:13,15 38:4,9,15, 18 39:2 41:15,18, 20 44:6 50:15 51:11,18 57:18 77:17,18 78:10 89:15,18 90:1,20,24 91:1,18 92:9 96:6 97:1 98:11 103:25 106:18 108:11 109:2,13 113:10,15 121:9,20 123:20 127:6,11 128:6 133:6,10, 11 134:13, 25 136:11 150:15,20, 22 153:17, 20 154:4, 15 158:13 163:11 165:4 168:22 172:18 174:18 176:22 180:22 184:15 188:18 189:2 190:9 192:6,8,20 193:13 195:24 196:1,20 197:8,11, 13 198:22 204:13,24 206:11,18, 22 207:1 221:6 225:11 226:6,25 228:2,17 230:15 232:13 233:22

234:2
242:24
243:13
244:6
254:15
255:2,6
263:4
276:15,17,
22 282:6
287:13
288:10

U

U.S. 30:2
113:25
120:11,15
127:18,20
151:4
255:20,25
256:1
257:13
262:6

Uh-huh
43:18,25
47:15
56:22
94:11
95:13
102:25
156:15
200:15
201:20
282:18

ultimately
30:18 76:6

unanimous
161:1
163:6

unclear
195:20

uncontested
8:10

uncovered
35:17

under-
99:20,22
100:13
102:17
124:15
204:8

under-vote
21:6,15
23:9,11
91:22
92:2,13
93:15,24
94:22 95:4
98:20 99:6
100:8,9,13
101:10,11,
15 102:4,
6,9,13,15,
22 103:1,
22 104:2,
10,25
105:6,9,
15,20
106:4,6
107:2,6,11
120:18,20
122:9,18,
20,22
171:24
172:6,8
175:22
200:15
204:6,10
205:22
280:2,11,
13

under-votes
116:20
122:11
172:20
201:18,20
281:2
282:13

under-voting
89:4,13
93:13 94:2
96:13,17,
22,24
104:15
121:4,17
122:17
172:11
173:22
174:6,8,10
200:22

undergraduate
140:18,20

undergraduates
149:6

underneath
257:11

understand
18:22
34:2,4
37:4,6
41:25
43:17
48:13
54:22
58:13
64:15 66:2
75:18
83:11
90:25
93:22
118:25
130:17
135:24
136:15,18
148:18
155:18
162:22
164:20
165:1
168:22
169:22,25
181:22
199:4
202:1
206:25
207:2,4
221:15
232:15
234:1
245:4
251:8
264:13
272:9
290:1,15

understanding
18:4 24:1
37:4,8

38:1 54:20
59:9,11
69:18,20
73:10
96:13,22
100:20
105:11,13
123:15
158:1
168:11,18
246:18
270:2

understands
22:22 23:4

understood
64:6 76:1

undertake
126:11

undertaken
180:6
227:18
229:15,18
231:11
280:18

undertook
168:20
229:1

unfit 151:4
161:2,4

uniformly
200:24
250:11

unit 216:20
241:22
266:15
278:6
287:18
288:6

United 81:20
256:10
257:1,6,
11,18

units 205:11
213:1
216:13

university
61:25
81:15
84:20,25
138:6,11,
22 139:10,
15 211:10
212:1

unknown 23:4
201:15

unlike 28:2

unpack 183:6

unsafe
161:2,4

unsuccessful
26:15

untenable
246:20

unusual 50:2
68:25
277:10

unverifiable
35:20
37:22

upheld 30:25

upload
266:13

uploaded
232:1,4
233:6
266:17
267:2
269:11

usage 160:22

user 219:18

ushers 55:6

utilizing
270:22

utterly 14:4

V

vague 226:20

valid 29:18
109:17
232:6

validate
214:10
215:15
217:11
218:9,10
232:2
233:13
249:17
268:13

validated
215:10

validating
252:18

values
214:20

variables
23:6

variety 29:8

vast 34:24

vendor
260:25

verifiable
32:18
37:13

verification
161:25
215:6

Verified
141:9,13,
18,25
150:25
151:1,8

verify 64:20
229:4

verifying
262:11

version
64:11 65:9
152:11,18
155:20
166:4

versions
142:25
158:17
159:13

versus 9:11
25:22
27:13
33:11
101:4
119:8
128:1
131:8
151:11
199:13,20
200:2
202:17
272:24
276:11

vested
142:18

victory
26:10
184:11

video 135:2

videotape
268:4

view 109:22
134:1
163:4,6
279:4

violate
137:1

violation
26:2

Virginia
61:25

virtue 27:15

virus 178:15

vis-à-vis
201:22

visible
218:11

vision-impaired
55:8

voice 74:2

volunteer
52:4 62:11

volunteered
62:9

vote 16:1,2
19:15,20
20:2,24
21:13,18,
20,22,24
22:2,10,18
23:6,20
25:8
26:13,20,
22 27:2,6
41:8 42:13
47:11
49:15,17
50:18
58:22 68:8
69:9 71:4,
18 74:4
76:24 77:9
80:22 81:6
83:2 89:6
95:1,4
99:20,24
100:15,17,
22 102:18
105:1,9
108:9
109:11
114:15,24,
25 115:15
117:6
118:22
122:4
157:11
177:8
179:18
194:2
201:22
203:13
204:9
205:4
219:6,10
220:15
223:2
226:4,11
231:18
232:10,24,
25 233:2,
4,8,11,20
234:20
268:17
272:11
281:6
283:18
285:11,13

voted 21:11
25:8 27:8,
10 41:1,2,
4,10 82:22
100:15
119:20
179:11
200:25
257:13
261:25
276:11,13

voter 28:13
30:6 32:10
49:15
54:22
55:4,9,13
56:4,8
67:20
68:1,4,13,
18,20
69:4,11,
15,17
70:1,22,24
71:4,6,15,
22 73:24
76:22 77:8
78:6 85:15
86:24
88:18
118:20,22
119:18
157:22
166:9
167:6,8
178:24
179:10
189:20
194:20,22
199:24
204:24
205:2,8,13
218:2
219:6,15
223:22
225:9,13,
24 226:11

227:4
228:1
241:15,20
242:6,8
247:2
257:22,25
259:6
260:25
262:15
263:17,22,
24 266:9
268:9
274:22
275:20
276:11
281:11
282:11
283:9
287:15,20,
25

voter's
70:22 74:4
87:13
259:10

voter-level
85:15

voters 27:6,
11,20 29:1
30:4 49:6
56:2,4
59:13
67:15
82:22 83:2
85:18
87:15,20,
24 114:15,
24,25
115:11
119:8,13,
18 121:4,
20,22
122:2,4,8,
15,24
151:17
157:10
164:2
172:2
176:2
177:18
178:22
179:4,9,13
194:24
198:8
199:1
202:17
223:6
225:1
258:2,22,
24 260:20
261:1,2,9,
22,24
262:2
274:17
275:15,24
276:4
280:22
281:9
282:2,4

voters'
247:11

votes 21:6,8
23:10
25:20
26:10,17,
18,20
30:8,18
35:2 48:9
73:11
75:4,15,20
76:2,8
86:17
99:17
101:15
102:17
104:15
106:13
115:20
116:4,9,
10,22
117:11,18,
25 119:8
123:6,13,
18 124:13,
18 125:2,
15,17
126:2,8,22
127:2
161:18
176:6
184:11
188:9
194:6,9,10
200:25
201:25
202:10
218:8
219:9
220:11
247:11
265:22
267:2
271:4,9
272:4,6
275:13
277:13
285:18,20

voting
19:18,22,
25 20:25
21:6
32:13,18
33:6,11
35:2,6
38:2 39:15
44:11
46:18,22
47:6 48:8
54:15
62:18
65:15
68:15
70:22 87:4
88:2,8
89:8,11
90:2 91:20
95:20
105:9,11,
13,15
106:9
107:4,8,
15,18,22
108:8,9
110:9
114:20
123:1,17
124:10,15
128:2
141:9,13,
18,25
142:11,13,

22 143:2,
11 144:18
147:4
150:25
151:1,8,15
152:11,17
153:6
154:18,22
157:4
161:4
172:2
173:2,22
174:1,2
175:15
176:11
177:4,13
197:17,18
198:9
205:18
211:6
212:8
213:2
215:24
216:6,11
218:22
219:13
220:4,9,10
223:20
225:24
227:6
228:22
229:1,4,15
230:11,22
231:13
249:15
258:8,25
259:1,6
260:20
266:11
267:6
268:10
276:6
277:25
278:15
285:22

vulnerabilities 145:18
166:10
167:9,15
251:13,15

vulnerability
145:13,18
150:2
151:15
157:22
162:8
163:20
165:22
166:22
167:10

vulnerable
144:18,25
146:24
163:2
166:4
167:1

W

wait 37:24
41:4 59:22
68:22
92:15
202:6
279:15

waited 70:2

waiting 69:6

walk 66:15
136:20

walking 68:6

walks 21:9

wanted 17:22
23:15
68:25
77:20
130:15
184:24
186:6
219:13
239:25

wanting
150:9

warning
49:18

watch 40:13
108:18
130:22

watched
40:9,13

watcher
36:15
39:11
40:9,17
46:11,15,
18,20,24
47:2 48:22
62:9
77:20,22,
25 81:17,
22,25
82:6,8

watching
50:2

water 36:2
187:2

ways 73:17
144:4
183:2
231:9
261:17

weaker 24:11

web 245:20,
22 246:9,
10 250:13,
15,18
251:20
270:10
276:10

website
63:13
99:11
101:18
104:20
251:24
274:2

week 182:15

weekend
225:8,10

weeks 136:4
290:2

weight
109:22
156:2

173:10

well-known 201:11

wer 232:2

whatsoever 97:10

where'd 138:20

white 255:15

who've 133:22

whoa 16:8

Wilke 8:18, 19

William 210:10,15, 20 243:4 254:13 276:20 279:22 286:18 287:11

win 86:13, 22 220:15

Windows 142:17,24, 25 143:1

wins 25:2

Winterville 176:2,10, 11,18,20 177:4 189:25 191:1,11 192:24 193:22 195:2,6, 11,17 196:4 202:20 207:24

Winterville's 197:1

wipe 26:9

withdraw 38:10 48:2,4 50:9 59:2 100:4 106:22 124:2

witness' 146:6

witnessed 51:1

witnesses 11:15 16:11 17:2,6 25:4,6 130:4 134:1

woman 82:6

won 25:18 177:11,13

wonderful 81:18

word 76:18 148:6,11 173:8 181:13,20 208:24 209:1 214:15 229:22

worded 50:4 127:17

words 26:22 133:20 240:18

work 18:25 26:6 35:11,18 36:25 37:15,20 39:13 48:22 61:17,20 82:9 85:11 86:6 88:17 89:6 95:11,25 108:25 139:25 141:6,13, 15,17 142:20 149:18,20 156:13 187:18 199:4 222:20 249:25 253:13 288:25

worked 23:24 30:4 91:8 132:25 133:4 141:6,10 144:4,6 150:25 189:2

worker 55:6 76:22 153:2 288:4

workers 67:4 73:15 76:13

working 23:13 24:22 48:9,20 49:18 85:1,2 140:4 141:8 142:13 207:20 229:4,6 268:2

works 32:9 47:1 156:18 164:8

worry 182:2

Worth 14:11

wrap 229:20

write-
272:13

write-in
265:1
271:4,9
272:17
285:18,20

write-ins
272:8,9,
10,11,15,
18,24
273:1

written 13:2
251:4

wrong 8:11
22:25 45:9
175:8
196:2
197:6
232:10,11

wrote 224:22
285:22

Y

year 21:22
119:20
120:15
140:1,4
194:25
255:10
274:18

years 21:15
32:17
34:20
35:4,13,18
41:8
53:13,17
85:9 93:18
102:10
119:9
143:10
160:2
228:4
274:2
277:10

yielded
222:10

young 82:6

1 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY

2 STATE OF GEORGIA

3

4 COALITION FOR GOOD . CIVIL ACTION
GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. . FILE NO.: 2018-CV-313418
5

6 MARTIN, SMYTH DUVAL, and .
JEANNE DUFORT, . Taken at:
7

8 Plaintiffs, . Superior Court of Cobb County

9 vs. . 70 Haynes Street

10 ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, . Courtroom 402-M

11 Secretary of State of . Marietta, Georgia 30090
Georgia, et. al .
12

13 Defendants. .
. . . .
14

15
TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL PROCEEDINGS
16

17 FRIDAY, JANUARY 18, 2019

18 9:04 a.m. to 10:49 a.m.

19

20 STATE OF GEORGIA SENIOR JUDGE ADELE P. GRUBBS
REPORTED BY:
21

22 PRISCILLA GARCIA, COURT REPORTER
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF GEORGIA
23

24 TRANSCRIBED BY:

25 CHRISTIAN NAADEN

APPEARANCES:

Plaintiff's Counsel: Bruce P. Brown, Esquire

Bruce P. Brown Law
Floataway Business Complex

1123 Zonolite Road N.E.
Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
404-881-0700
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com

Defendant's Counsel: John Belinfante, Esquire

Robbins, Ross, Allot, Belinfante
& Littlefield, LLC

500 14th Street N.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30318
404-856-3262

jbelinfante@robbinsfirm.com

CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:

Defendant's Counsel: Vincent R. Russo, Esquire

Robbins, Ross, Allot, Belinfante
& Littlefield, LLC

999 Peachtree Street N.E.
Suite 1120
Atlanta, Georgia 30309
404-856-3260
vrusso@robbinsfirm.com

Defendant's Associate Counsel:

Alexander F. Denton, Esquire
Robbins, Ross, Allot, Belinfante

& Littlefield, LLC
500 14th Street N.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30318

404-856-3276
adenton@robbinsfirm.com

CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:

Defendant's Counsel: Edward H. Lindsey, Jr., Esquire

Dentons US, LLP
303 Peachtree Street N.E.

Suite 5300
Atlanta, Georgia 30308
404-527-4580
edward.lindsey@dentons.com

Defendant's Counsel: SAMUEL S. OLENS, ESQUIRE
Dentons US, LLP

303 Peachtree Street N.E.
Suite 5300

Atlanta, Georgia 30308
404-527-4108
sam.olens@dentons.com

Defendant's Counsel: Kaye Woodard Burwell, Esquire

Office of the County Attorney
141 Pryor Street S.W.

Suite 4038
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

404-612-0251
kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov

CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:

Defendant's Counsel: Bryan P. Tyson, Esquire

Strickland, Brockington
& Lewis, LLP

1170 Peachtree Street N.E.
Atlanta, Georgia 30309
404-219-3160
bryan.tyson@sbllaw.com

Defendant's Co-Counsel: Richard A. Carothers, Esquire
Carothers & Mitchell, LLC

1809 Buford Highway
Atlanta, Georgia 30518

770-932-3552
richard.carothers@carsmith.com

I-N-D-E-X

WITNESS	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
Terri R. Thomas	9	14		
Richard Barron	18	33		

EXHIBITS:	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
NONE		

COBB COUNTY, GEORGIA
FRIDAY, January 18, 2018 - 9:04 a.m.

* * * * * * *

THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. Take your seats, if you will. I am a minute or two late, but they wanted us to move again at noon.
MR. LINDSEY: Oh.
THE COURT: And I refused, so I've been working that little problem out; okay?
MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
MR. TYSON: Thank you.
THE COURT: One move is enough; we shouldn't have had to do that. So we'll see where we go. Okay. Day two, where are we, Mr. Brown? Good morning.
MR. BROWN: Good morning, Your Honor. We have an eye witness who will testify as to her voting experience who is going through security right now.
THE COURT: Going through security; okay?
MS. BROWN: And then we'd like to put up her first, but if we don't do that we would, you know --
THE COURT: We'll give -- we'll give her a minute,

if --
MS. BROWN: And then we have --
THE COURT: Twice the bailiffs in the box, is that what we've got? Boy, if you were a jury like -- that -- that's an interesting jury up there in that box.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I want to see if you [inaudible] making sure everything's in line as to the rule of [inaudible] in place.
THE COURT: Do what?
MR. LINDSEY: The rules.
THE COURT: The rules of sequestration is in force.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor --
THE COURT: Okay?
MR. BROWN: -- as to the rule of sequestration --
THE COURT: What?
MR. BROWN: The -- the question that -- there's law that the rule of sequestration does not apply to a rebuttal expert witnesses, and so we would --
THE COURT: Only if it's a rebuttal expert to an expert.
MR. BROWN: Or to expert testimony or to --
THE COURT: We'll -- we'll address the issue when we get there.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, we would call Terri Thomas to the witness stand.

THE COURT: Whose is this? Okay.
MR. BROWN: Please raise your right hand. Do you promise to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?
THE WITNESS: I do.
MR. BROWN: Have a seat.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.

P R O C E E D I N G S
* * * * * * *

Thereupon:

TERRI R. THOMAS

was called as a witness, and having been first duly sworn in, and testified upon her oath as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

OF TERRI R. THOMAS

BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Please state your name for the record?
A. Terri R. Thomas.
MR. BROWN: Ms. Thomas, my name is Bruce Brown, and I

Page 10

1 am the attorney for the petitioners in this case.
2 THE WITNESS: Okay.
BY MR. BROWN:
3
4 Q. Where are you from?
A. I'm from Los Angeles.
5
6 Q. And where do you live now?
A. I live in Lithonia, Georgia.
7
8 Q. And did you drive from Lithonia this
9 morning here?
10 A. Yes.
11 Q. Did you vote on November 20, 2018?
A. I did.
12
13 Q. And where did you vote?
A. At Lithonia High School.
14
15 Q. And when -- and did you vote on an
electronic machine with a screen?
16
17 A. I did.
18 Q. And when you -- did you pull up the ballot
on the screen?
19
20 A. I put the little card in the machine, yes.
Q. Did the ballot then appear for the
21
22 governor's race?
A. Yes, sir.
23
24 Q. Did the lieutenant governor's race appear
25 on the first several pages of the ballot?

Page 11

1 A. No.
2 Q. How do you -- how do you know? How do you
remember that?
3
4 A. Well, like I said, I'm from Los Angeles,
and when I first -- the first thing that popped up
5
6 was the governor's race, and the first thing that I
saw were these two large squares. And I was thinking
7
8 to myself, "Georgia sure is making sure we know which
9 candidate we're voting for. There are only --
10 there's only one category on this page, and I didn't
11 even -- it didn't even dawn on me that there was a
third person running, because their little box was so
12
13 small on the writing outside.
Q. Did you -- did you see at any point in
14
15 going through the ballot, the election -- the race
between Amico and Duncan?
16
17 A. Not initially.
18 Q. When was the first time you saw Amico's
name?
19
20 A. It was -- I had gone through -- I had voted
for everything except one amendments because I wasn't
21
22 familiar with them, and then it was at the end of my
ballot, and it dawned on me that I hadn't voted for
23
24 her, so I just kept going previous and went all the
25 way back to the beginning of the ballot.

Page 12

1 Q. And when you went back to the beginning of
2 the ballot, did you see her -- her name on the race?
A. I did.
3
4 Q. Okay. And did you -- were you able to cast
a vote then?
5
6 A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And do you have any -- and then you
7
8 went forward to the summary?
9 A. Correct, to the end, yes.
10 Q. Okay. And you cast your ballot?
11 A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Were there any other malfunctions in
12
13 the screen?
A. Not that I noticed.
14
15 Q. Not that you noticed. And did you complain
to anyone about the -- about the voting?
16
17 A. Not at the poll.
18 Q. Okay. Did you thereafter?
A. I'm sorry?
19
20 Q. Thereafter that, did you?
A. I did. I did. The first person I talked
21
22 to was my daughter, because we usually go vote
together and she left me that morning. And so she
23
24 had already voted, and when I got back home I was
25 telling her what happened to me. And she told me

Page 13

1 that's not what she experienced.
2 Q. Okay.
MR. BROWN: Thank you very much.
3
4 THE WITNESS: Oh, you're welcome.
THE COURT: Any questions?
5
6 THE WITNESS: Excuse me.
MR. LINDSEY: Just a few quick questions, ma'am.
7
8 THE WITNESS: Yes. I was just going to finish my
9 statement here. I didn't finish.
10 MR. LINDSEY: Oh.
11 THE COURT: You're not here -- you have to be asked
questions; okay? If they don't ask you the direct
12
13 question you want them to ask you, you don't get to tell
it.
14
15 THE WITNESS: Okay.
THE COURT: Okay?
16
17 THE WITNESS: But it was about the previous question
18 he asked.
THE COURT: Well --
19
20 THE WITNESS: That's fine.
THE COURT: What do you think, Mr. Lindsey?
21
22 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, she's a good voter from
Georgia. Please let her go ahead and finish it.
23
24 THE COURT: Okay.
25 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.

Page 14

1 THE COURT: Okay, finish then.
2 MR. LINDSEY: Go ahead, ma'am.
THE WITNESS: Oh. I'm sorry. You had asked if I
3
4 complained about that. Like I said, the first person was my daughter. The next thing I did that night or the next
5
6 morning, I wrote an email.
MR. BROWN: Okay.
7
8 BY MR. BROWN:
9 Q. And when -- the first time that you looked
10 at the ballot screen?
11 A. Yes.
Q. When you first looked at it?
12
13 A. Yes.
Q. Was Amico's name on there?
14
15 A. No, sir.
Q. And were you looking to vote for her?
16
17 A. Yes.
18 Q. And you didn't see it on the first screen?
A. Correct.
19
20 MR. BROWN: Thank you.
21
22 CROSS-EXAMINATION
OF TERRI R. THOMAS
23
24
25 BY MR. LINDSEY:

Page 15

1 Q. Was this the first time that you've voted
2 in Georgia?
A. No, sir.
3
4 Q. Okay. I hate to ask a woman this, but how -- how old are you, ma'am?
5
6 A. I am 62.
Q. Thank you. And you were -- how many times
7
8 have you voted in Georgia?
9 A. Probably about seven to eight times.
10 Q. Okay. And so, you went all the way
11 through?
A. Correct.
12
13 Q. And then when -- then you went back, and it was on the screen; correct?
14
15 A. Yes, sir.
Q. You voted for Ms. -- I take it you voted
16
17 for Ms. Amico?
18 A. I did.
Q. Okay. And when you talked to your
19
20 daughter, your daughter said that she didn't have any problems?
21
22 A. Correct.
Q. Okay.
23
24 MR. LINDSEY: No further questions.
25 THE COURT: Anything else, Mr. -- anyone else? Oh,

Page 16

1 Mr. Tyson.
2 MR. TYSON: Yes. Just briefly. Hello, Ms. Thomas, my name is Bryan Tyson. I represent the Gwinnett County
3
4 Board of Registrations and Elections.
BY MR. TYSON:
5
6 Q. I just wanted to ask, when you said, when you first looked at the ballot you said, you saw "two
7
8 squares" when they came on there?
9 A. Correct.
10 Q. And you -- I'm assuming you were looking to
11 vote in the governor's race. Is it possible that the lieutenant governor's race was there, and you just
12
13 missed it the first time?
A. No, sir. No, sir. I'm retired; I have
14
15 plenty of time.
Q. Okay. And then your daughter, is she
16
17 involved at all with the coalition or any groups that
18 are interested in voting issues?
A. No, sir.
19
20 Q. Okay. And then, you said that there was a third person running. Did you say that it was
21
22 "smaller or difficult to see?" Can you describe for me what that was on that first screen?
23
24 A. I'm guessing it was -- it was Republican
25 and Democrat and Independent --

Page 17

1 Q. Yes?
2 A. -- but his square was so small. Like I said, I was amazed because I was thinking -- you're
3
4 going to make sure I know who I'm voting. This is the only category on this page, and the squares were
5
6 so large, and he had a little square [inaudible] just looking at him.
7
8 Q. Thank you.
9 MR. TYSON: I don't have any further questions.
10 THE WITNESS: You're welcome.
11 THE COURT: Okay.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I have no further questions,
12
13 and may the witness be excused?
THE COURT: The witness may be excused.
14
15 MR. BROWN: Thank you very much.
THE COURT: Have a safe drive back to your home.
16
17 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
18 MR. BROWN: Thank you very much.
THE WITNESS: You're welcome.
19
20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the plaintiffs would call Richard Barron. Please state -- do you promise to tell
21
22 the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
THE WITNESS: Yes.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Have a seat.
25

Page 18

1 P R O C E E D I N G S
2 * * * * * * *
3
4 Thereupon:
5
6 RICHARD BARRON
7
8 was called as a witness, and having been first duly
9 sworn in, and testified upon his oath as follows:
10
11 DIRECT EXAMINATION
OF RICHARD BARRON
12
13
BY MR. BROWN:
14
15 Q. Please state your full name for the record?
A. Richard Barron.
16
17 Q. And by whom are you currently employed?
18 A. Fulton County.
Q. And what is your position with Fulton
19
20 County?
A. Director of Registration and Elections.
21
22 Q. How long have you had that position?
A. Five and a half years.
23
24 Q. What does a director of registration and
25 elections do?

Page 19

1 A. I'm in charge of -- of administering all
2 the elections for Fulton County, taking care of all
the polling places, training the poll workers,
3
4 dealing with the media, and overseeing the voter
registration rolls.
5
6 Q. And how many full-time employees do you
have?
7
8 A. 18.
9 Q. And during the election, how many part-time
10 employees do you have or contractors?
11 A. Well, it depends on -- it's usually about
60. That increases during early voting.
12
13 Q. Okay. You were here in yesterday's --
during yesterday's testimony; correct?
14
15 A. Yes.
Q. Okay. I would like to ask you some
16
17 questions, and I want to make sure I'm clear. There
18 is an election server at the Secretary of State's
office, to the best of your understanding; correct?
19
20 A. Correct.
Q. And then separately, there's what we would
21
22 -- what some people call the GEM server at the
county; is that correct?
23
24 A. Yes.
25 Q. And is it your understanding that the

Page 20

1 election server at the Secretary of State was
2 reinstalled with a trusted copy of the GEMS software
after it had been compromised in 2016?
3
4 A. I have no --
MR. TYSON: Object on that, Your Honor. I don't
5
6 think there is any foundation that's Mr. Barron's going to
have knowledge about what the Secretary of State's servers
7
8 going to have or anything else.
9 THE COURT: But -- I --
10 MR. LINDSEY: I believe that they haven't laid a
11 proper foundation that there has been any type of
compromise with the GEMS system.
12
13 THE COURT: We had testimony yesterday. You actually
have him on direct and you're leading. That question
14
15 wasn't what you had previously. I sustain the objection
to it.
16
17 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, may I treat him as a -- treat
18 him on cross as an adverse?
THE COURT: No, sir. You called him for -- you
19
20 called him on direct.
MR. BROWN: Okay.
21
22 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Was Fulton County's GEMS server replaced in
23
24 2016 or 2017?
25 A. I don't recall.

Page 21

1 Q. Okay. Did Fulton County take efforts after
2 2016 to disinfect Fulton County's GEMS database?
MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, I am going to object to
3
4 assuming facts.
MR. BROWN: Let the record reflect that the witness
5
6 shook his head "No." Go ahead.
MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, his question assumes facts
7
8 that are not in evidence that there was something that
9 needed to be done. He hasn't laid a foundation.
10 THE COURT: Well, he just asked did they do it after
11 2016. That doesn't reflect -- he just -- I don't know why
I don't have a mic this morning. He just -- the question
12
13 is okay the way it is; okay?
THE WITNESS: I don't -- I don't understand what you
14
15 mean by "disinfect."
BY MR. BROWN:
16
17 Q. Was it examined for malware or any other
18 defects?
A. Not that I'm aware of.
19
20 Q. Was any of Fulton County's memory cards
that they used, were any of those memory cards
21
22 disinfected after 2016?
A. No.
23
24 Q. In 2018, you would have created a new
25 memory card for the 2018 election; correct?

Page 22

A. Yes.
Q. And those -- those memory cards would have been the same memory cards that had been used in previous elections; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Elections prior to 2016; correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And you would have -- did you make those memory cards from your GEMS -- your county GEMS database?
A. Yeah. They -- that's where everything originates, yes.
Q. Do you have any reason to believe that the process that you go through in making the -- the well, let me back up a little bit. Do you know if other counties disinfected their memory cards after 2016 or not?
A. I still don't understand what you mean by "disinfect," but I have no knowledge of any other counties.
Q. Okay. Fair enough. Let me make sure you're understanding my question. When I -- when I say "disinfect," what I mean is to do anything to remove any malware or mis-program [sic] that might be on that particular device?

Page 23

A. No.
Q. And so the answer is, your -- you didn't do that with the memory cards; correct?
A. No.
Q. Okay. And you, to -- to the best of your knowledge, did any other counties do that?
A. I have no -- no knowledge of that.
Q. One way or the other?
A. No.
Q. Fair enough. Prior to the -- to the -- the November 2018 election, did Fulton County review its GEMS database to determine if there were any coding errors on that GEMS database?
A. No.
Q. You were here yesterday, and did you -- do you recall the testimony of Sara LeClerc?
A. Was she the poll watcher?
Q. Yes?
A. Yes.
Q. Were you -- do you recall what county she was -- had been overseeing?
A. What county?
Q. It was Fulton County, correct, and AME church?
A. Yes.

Page 24

Q. That's one of your precincts; correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Did you get a report of the incidents that she described when you were in -- during the election?
A. I didn't personally, no.
Q. Are you aware of someone in your office getting a report like that? A report from AME or about AME?
A. I -- I became aware of it through this -- this process, the court case.
Q. And -- and what is your understanding of -- of the information that was received by your office?
MS. BURWELL: Objection, Your Honor. He said that he learned of it though this lawsuit, not through his office.
THE COURT: Sustained.

BY MR. BROWN:
Q. At any time, not in the November election or not contemporaneously, but did you gain an understanding of what happened at the AME Temple at the church -- the AME Temple precinct?
A. I -- I've been made aware of the complaint, yes.
Q. And did you or anybody under your supervision do any kind of investigation to determine

Page 25

why that happened?
A. Yes.
Q. And what did you find?
A. We found -- well, we found that the -- the poll worker -- the poll worker had an issue with that voter, and then she -- she took down the machine, and then later she reopened the machine later in the day.
Q. Did anybody on your staff inspect the machine to see if there were any coding errors or anything like that?
A. It's my understanding -- no, we didn't look for coding errors.
Q. Did you look for any mechanical type of errors that weren't electronic, like with the screen operation?
A. No, not that day.
Q. Ever?
A. I don't think so, no.
Q. Did you do any sort of examination of -- of any of the DRE machines that Fulton County used after the 2018 election?
A. We -- no. We immediately had to turn those around for the -- the December election.
Q. And when you -- you said you had to use them for the December runoff?

A. Yes.
Q. And when you used those for the December runoff, do you reprogram them with a different election memory card?
A. Yes. And they're -- yes.
Q. Okay. And so, at that point it would be -- describe that process for me, please?
A. Well, we have to run them through -- once we get the database in, and we load the election, we run them through a live -- a logic and accuracy testing. We also -- we -- we calibrate them to make sure the time and date are correct. Just some basic things that we do to get ready for them -- to get ready for the election and then the logic and accuracy testing.
Q. Does that involve reprogramming the machines?
A. Well, we -- we insert a new card in there, and that has the new election on it.
Q. And does -- and does the insertion of the new card and the running of these tests alter the internal memory?
A. No.
Q. And how do you know?
A. I mean, it's not my understanding that it

does. It doesn't erase anything that's on there. There's a hard packet that stays on there from the beginning, the life of the machine.
Q. How -- how do you know that?
A. That's -- well, because that's what I've learned using these -- this equipment.
Q. Have you done any -- any forensic examination of the -- the unit to determine if any changes are made to that memory by reprogramming?
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object to that. I don't think the foundation laid by Mr. Barron would have the type of capability to observe or the skill to do that. It needs to be laid first before we get into that.
THE COURT: Well, he can answer the question. He's only talking for himself.
THE WITNESS: No.
BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Has anybody under your supervision done that?
A. No.
Q. Are you aware of any scientific or computer scientific opinion to the effect that reprogramming the machines for the subsequent election has altered the memory of the machines?
A. No.

Q. You're not aware of that at all? You never heard of that opinion?
A. No.
Q. You never heard that opinion expressed by Mr. Bernhard?
THE COURT: Enough; okay? That -- that -- and I understand you're an advocate and I respect that, but that's far enough on that line of thought.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
BY MR. BROWN:
Q. What is a voter access card?
A. That is -- that is the -- the yellow card that has a chip on it that you -- when -- when a voter checks in, you put it in -- the poll worker puts it into the Express Poll to -- so that it -- it will indicate which ballot on the DRE is accessible by that voter.
Q. It's -- if you will, it's created by the express electronic poll booth?
A. Yes, the Express Poll.
Q. The Express Poll. And is the Express Poll part of the registration system in any way?
A. Well, the -- the voter registration database is loaded with the information for the -- for everyone that's eligible to vote in that election

is put onto the Express Poll.
Q. I want to make sure that I have this correct. The -- the registration database, for lack of a better expression, tells the poll books what each voter access card should have on it, right?
A. No.
MS. BURWELL: Objection, Your Honor.
MR. BROWN: He said, no.
MS. BURWELL: First of all, it's leading; and second of all he hasn't defined some of those terms.
THE COURT: He said "No" anyway; okay? And -- and I -- I understand you're reading some questions you've got and they're very scientific, but sometimes when you use scientific words, you may use one word and he may use another word, and you may not be talking in the same language. And I think we're having some issues with that this morning.
BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Help me out on the terminology, Mr. Barron. What is the system called, the biggest system in the process?
A. The biggest system?
Q. Right. It's called the registration system?
A. E-net, Electionet is the voter registration

Page 30

1 system.
2 Q. And that is maintained by who?
A. Well, it's maintained by the state.
3
4 Q. How does the Enet system inform -- does the Enet system at the state level inform the county as
5
6 to which voter should vote where?
A. Well, every -- every voter, based on their
7
8 address, is given a ballot code and the only thing
9 that's -- the only information that is put onto the
10 voter access card is the -- the ballot code for that
11 voter, so that -- because all the ballots, the different ballots for each precinct or during early
12
13 voting all the ballots are on there. The voter access card enables the voter to access his or her
14
15 ballot.
Q. And to make sure I have my terminology
16
17 straight, the voter access cards are inserted at
18 Election Day into the Express Poll book's server; correct?
19
20 A. Yeah. It's not a server; it's just a standalone unit that -- the information is in the
21
22 Express Poll, the card goes in, the poll worker looks up the voter, selects the name, that ballot code
23
24 information is put on there, and what it does is it
25 tells the DRE to access this ballot.

Page 31

1 Q. Thank you for that. And the Express Poll
2 machine in turn gets its information from the state's Enet system; correct?
3
4 A. Not -- it's not why we're connected from it, but yeah, the source of information is from
5
6 there.
Q. And how does -- it's not connected live;
7
8 how does the information get from the Enet to the
9 county?
10 A. We -- we get -- we get a card, like, a
11 flash memory card that we -- we reproduce to put in the Express Polls, or the state reproduces all the
12
13 cards. We put them into the Express Polls for each precinct.
14
15 Q. Are you aware of reports that the Enet system was vulnerable to hacking prior to the
16
17 November 2018 election?
18 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object to that. I don't think there's a foundation about that, that any reports
19
20 about that. If Mr. Brown wants to reference a specific report, I think he can, but --
21
22 THE COURT: It's the way that you phrase the question. You -- you make it as if it were a statement of
23
24 fact. You can ask in that area, but you've got to
25 inquire, not make these false statements that you keep

Page 32

1 making about things.
2 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Right. Are you aware of any reports
3
4 related to hacking involving the Enet system?
A. I read that in the AJC.
5
6 Q. And after you read it in the AJC, did you follow up?
7
8 A. No.
9 Q. Did you investigate it at all?
10 A. No.
11 Q. Are you aware of anyone else investigating that?
12
13 A. No.
Q. Was it a -- was it a concern to you?
14
15 A. I didn't -- you know, when I read the story, I didn't know how seriously to take it. It
16
17 didn't -- I -- no.
18 Q. Were you aware -- or did the Secretary of State have an investigation?
19
20 A. I don't know.
Q. Just for the record, how many voting
21
22 machines does Fulton County have?
A. 3,108.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Let me take just a moment here. That's
25 all that I have at this time.

Page 33

1 THE COURT: Questions? Thank you.
2 MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, did you want me to do all my questions now or just the ones related to what he's
3
4 been asked this morning?
THE COURT: You can ask him anything you want to ask
5
6 him.
MS. BURWELL: I can ask as much as I'd like to ask?
7
8 THE COURT: Go ahead.
9 MS. BURWELL: Okay. Thank you.
10 THE COURT: We don't have a podium.
11 MS. BURWELL: Okay.
THE COURT: I know some of you are used to a podium.
12
13 I don't know that I like podiums, but is it -- we have no clerk, so you can use any of the clerk's area.
14
15 MS. BURWELL: Oh; okay? Well, maybe I can just --
THE COURT: Put it way over there on the end if you
16
17 want to be closer and seeing and not have the computer in
18 the way. Yeah, that's a good place.
MS. BURWELL: Okay.
19
20
CROSS-EXAMINATION
21
22
OF RICHARD BARRON
BY MS. BURWELL:
23
24 Q. Mr. Barron, can you tell the court how long
25 you've been involved in elections?

Page 34

A. Since December of 1999.
Q. Okay. And can you tell the judge a little bit about your background with elections?

A. I've worked with Travis County elections in Austin, Texas, from 1999 to 2003. I also worked for Sequoia Voting Systems from 2004 to 2005. In 2006, I worked for Hartman Civic, and then I went to work for Williamson County in Brown -- Georgetown, Texas, from 2007 to 2013, and then I came here.
Q. So, you've been with Fulton County since 2013?
A. Yes.

Q. And so in connection with overseeing the election activities for the Fulton County Board of Registration and Elections, are you required to follow state law?

A. Yes.
Q. And where do you find the state law that you're supposed to follow?

A. The Georgia election code and also there's an SED rule book.

Q. And what's the SED rule book?
A. It -- it -- it's -- from my understand, it's basically an -- the state election board has some rules that they interpret from the law, and I

Page 35

think they also make some -- some rules in addition to that.
Q. And you're required to follow those as well?
A. Yes.

Q. Does the state oversee all of your election activities?

MR. BROWN: Object, object, Your Honor. She's asking the witness what someone else does.
MS. BURWELL: May I respond, Your Honor?
THE COURT: Yes. We -- we -- we -- I think it's the way it's phrased. I -- I think you can ask the question. Go ahead.
BY MS. BURWELL:

Q. Does anyone oversee the election activities that you undertake?

A. The state election board has the -- the ultimate authority over the boards, the -- the Fulton County Board of Registration and Elections.

Q. And are there -- are you personally or is the Board of Registration and Elections subject to penalties for not following the code and rules?
A. Yes.

Q. Can you tell the Court why Fulton County uses the DRE-based voting system?

Page 36

A. That is part of -- there -- there's a uniform voting law in Georgia, and every county uses the same equipment. It's a state law.

Q. Do you have a choice on whether or not to use that equipment?

A. No.
Q. Who owns the DRE machines that are used in Fulton County?
A. 70 to 80 percent of them were purchased by the state, and Fulton County purchased the other 20 to 30 percent.
Q. Can you tell the Court whether or not Fulton County has ever had any software issues with its DRE machines?

A. No.
Q. Are you aware of any viruses that have ever infiltrated a Fulton County DRE machine?
A. No.
Q. Let me ask you now the same questions about the poll book that you used. Are you aware of any software issues with that poll book?

A. No.
Q. What about the viruses with that poll book?

A. No.
Q. And let me ask you now about the GEMS

Page 37

server, which is a -- yet a third component of the system; correct?
A. Yes.

Q. Are you -- has Fulton County ever had any software issues with the -- the GEM system?

A. No.
Q. And are you aware of any viruses with that GEM system?
A. No.
Q. Are you aware -- is it -- are you aware of any external attacks that Fulton County has had on any of its machines?

A. No.
Q. Is it possible for there -- is -- is the DRE machine hooked up to the Internet?
A. No.

Q. Is the DRE machine hooked up to anything external, the Web, or anything like that?
A. No.

Q. Now, are the DRE machines tested by Fulton County?

A. Yes.
Q. And do -- did you test the machines before the November 6th election?
A. Yes.

Page 38

Q. Is each DRE machine that's used in the election tested?
A. Yes.

Q. And are DRE machines that are used in the early voting tested as well?

A. Yes.
Q. Are any of the machines connected to each other?
A. Only by electricity.
Q. Okay. So one DRE machine in a room isn't connected to another DRE machine in a room?
A. No.

Q. They're both potentially plugged into the electricity in the wall, but other than that --

A. Correct.
Q. Now, can you tell the judge in terms of the November 6th general election what you did to prepare for that election?
A. Well, we began in August. We have to -- we have to get the ballot ready. We have to make sure we get all the information from all of the local candidates or from the municipalities that are having elections to the state, so that they can build a ballot.
We have to get all the -- the poll workers

Page 39

recruited, poll workers trained; polling places we have to make sure are -- are set.
We have to get all of our workers set up for early voting. We have to get all the machines ready. We -- we also make sure we get all of the -- the -- all of the voter registration applications processed that are timely, and there are lots of little, sundry duties that go along with all those.
Q. And those are the same -- the procedures you go through for every election; is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Now, can you tell the judge about ballot styles and what that means?
A. Well, we had 115 ballot styles in -- in the November election. Those are based on -- on all the -- the precincts and the districts, all the different districts that -- that are within the county, from state senate districts to -- to city boundaries to House of Representative districts, all the -- all the political districts are taken into account, along with the precincts.

Q. So let me ask you about early voting. And can you explain to the judge how early voting works?

A. During early voting, all of the ballots are available at every polling place. You can vote

Page 40

anywhere during early voting.
Q. So what does that mean in terms of ballot styles?

A. Well, for our 370-plus precincts that we have, all of those are available with the 115 different ballot styles.
Q. How does that differ from Election Day?

A. On Election Day, the voters have to go to their -- their assigned precinct to vote. So those -- those precincts are what are available in each polling place.
Q. So on -- for early voting, if you live in Roswell, you can vote in Chattahoochee Hills, and they can pull up your ballot?

A. Correct.
Q. But on Election Day, you can only vote in Roswell?
A. Yes, at whatever assigned place in Roswell, yes.

Q. So during early voting, are there things that could occur that would cause a machine to say "Cancel" on it?
A. Well, if it -- if they -- if the screen comes up and there's a cancel sign on there, that -- that indicates that the ballot was created by the

Page 41

Express Poll in -- in the disabled mode, for a disabled voter.
Q. Okay. So explain for the -- to the judge what that means?
A. There's -- the Express Poll has two different modes. You can have the regular mode where -- where the ballot comes up, or there's a -- there's also a mode for -- for disabled voters, because those voters, the ballot doesn't appear on the screen.
The only thing that appears is -- is a "canceled" button, so -- to cancel that out. Now if the voter goes up, he can put -- it's in the disabled mode, the Express Poll operator has to select the option to go back to regular mode.

If they don't, the next card they create is going to be in disabled mode.

Q. And so is that what makes it flash "Cancel"?
A. Yeah.

Q. Does that mean that there's a problem with the machine?

A. No.
Q. Okay. What does that mean?

A. The DRE's doing what it's told.
Q. So what happens to the voter in that

Page 42

1 instance, if it comes up and says "Cancel"?
2 A. Well, they would have to cancel the ballot and then go back to the Express Poll. They're
3
4 supposed to get -- all of our machines have a sign in them that say, "If there's anything wrong with your
5
6 ballot, whether it be that you've -- you think you have the wrong ballot, then you need to go get a poll
7
8 worker to help you."
9 Like to go back to the Express Poll and tell
10 them or show them what's on the screen. From there a
11 new card needs to be created that it's in the regular mode.
12
13 Q. Right. And it's not in disabled mode?
A. Correct.
14
15 Q. So then the voter can go and cast a ballot?
A. Yes.
16
17 Q. So the fact that it says -- that it had
18 come up cancelled doesn't mean that the voter was unable to cast a vote --
19
20 A. Correct.
Q. -- on that day? Now let me ask you about
21
22 if an issue comes up with a DRE machine, and Mr. Brown asked you about something that happened at, I
23
24 believe, church. So you weren't at the church that
25 particular day, were you?

Page 43

1 A. No.
2 Q. So you don't have any first-hand knowledge about what particularly happened; correct?
3
4 A. Correct.
Q. But you listened to Ms. LeClerc's testimony
5
6 about what she observed?
A. Yes.
7
8 Q. Can you tell the judge what the process is
9 under those circumstances, if they're -- if this
10 particular voter has an issue?
11 A. Well, we have a help desk that -- there're are different ways that a poll worker can contact the
12
13 office. They should call the help desk, and then a ticket is created, and then a technician would be
14
15 sent to the polling place.
In the meantime, if the poll worker has a
16
17 concern about the machine, they -- they shut it down,
18 as they did, and so -- and that's what they're supposed to do.
19
20 Q. Okay. Do you, as the director of elections, have any concerns about the reliability of
21
22 the DRE machines that have been used in Fulton County?
23
24 A. No.
25 Q. Do you have any concern about any memory

Page 44

1 cards having ever been improperly accessed?
2 A. No.
Q. Can you tell the judge what the process is
3
4 for safety with respect to the memory cards?
A. All the -- all the machines will -- like,
5
6 in early voting, for example, all the machines are, after they're tested, all of them are sealed to seal
7
8 the equipment, the serial numbers are recorded, and
9 the seal numbers are recorded, and those go out with
10 -- with the poll workers.
11 Every day those -- those machines are -- the seals are broken, they're verified, they -- they seal
12
13 them up again, and it goes -- the -- the process goes through the 19 days of early voting.
14
15 They're -- on Election Day, when we send those out, they're -- they're sealed after the vote --
16
17 after, like, an accuracy is done. Those are also
18 delivered out, and they -- they go to the polling place.
19
20 They're -- they are -- there is a recap sheet with it, and they have the serial numbers and the --
21
22 the seal numbers are also recorded on there. The poll workers verify that those -- the seals are not
23
24 broken, and if -- if one was to be broken, they're to
25 contact our office and to let us know that the seal

Page 45

1 was -- is broken.
2 Q. So can you tell the judge what the process is for security with respect to the actual DRE
3
4 machines themselves? You told us about the cards being sealed and kept. What about the actual DRE
5
6 machines?
A. In our warehouse, they -- they are kept in
7
8 a -- in a large warehouse. There's a keypad to get
9 in. It's also -- the warehouse is alarmed, and we
10 also have cameras in -- in the warehouse.
11 Q. Do you have chain-of-custody forms --
A. Yes.
12
13 Q. -- for the machines? Are those kept under lock and key?
14
15 A. Yes.
Q. And how are the machines delivered to the
16
17 actual locations where voting occurs?
18 A. We have different either -- usually, it's either active-duty fire- -- firemen or officers or
19
20 retired firemen or officers that -- police officers that do -- do the deliveries.
21
22 Q. And when those DRE machines are delivered, they have seals on them?
23
24 A. They have seals on them, and there's a
25 cable through the door -- through the -- through the

Page 46

1 handles --
2 Q. Can you --
A. -- to make sure that they're all kept
3
4 together.
Q. Can you explain to the judge what the seal
5
6 looks like and what it's made out of and what's on it?
7
8 A. When they go out, it's a -- it's a metal
9 seal, and it has -- it has a number on there that
10 identifies that seal, and it's unique to that seal.
11 Q. And so what do poll workers do when they get the machines?
12
13 A. After they -- they will verify that the seal is -- that we recorded in the warehouse is the
14
15 same that it is on that recap sheet, and then they break the seals to open the machines.
16
17 Q. Are you aware of any instance where a seal
18 was broken or tampered with while at a polling location?
19
20 A. No.
Q. Now, once the election is over and the
21
22 machines are returned to the warehouse, is there a seal on it when it's originally returned to the
23
24 warehouse?
25 A. Yes.

Page 47

1 Q. And how long are you required to maintain
2 that seal?
A. 30 days.
3
4 Q. So if -- if a machine is sealed, is there any way someone can access the door where the media
5
6 cards are located?
A. No.
7
8 Q. Okay, why is that?
9 A. Well, they would have to break the seal to
10 get in there, cut the cable, break the seal.
11 Q. Are you aware of that ever happening?
A. No. Also on the early voting machines,
12
13 there's a metal plate that is put over the -- the doors on those. You'd have to crowbar those off, and
14
15 it would break the unit.
Q. Can you now tell the judge about the
16
17 security measures for the GEMS server?
18 A. That's password-protected. It's in the same warehouse with the -- with the DREs, so there's
19
20 -- you have to have the access code to get in the door. There's also an alarm, and there are cameras
21
22 in there.
MS. BURWELL: I have no further questions.
23
24 THE COURT: Yes, sir, Mr. Tyson. Mr. Lindsey?
25 MR. LINDSEY: I have some questions, Your Honor.

Page 48

1 I think you've alluded to it, Mr. Barron, but perhaps
2 it would be a good idea to go ahead and explain.
Before I do that, my co-counsel has admonished
3
4 me for asking a woman her age, so to be fair, sure that I'm not a sexist, what's your age?
5
6 THE WITNESS: 52.
MR. LINDSEY: All right. That's the last time I'm
7
8 going to ask a woman her age.
9 THE COURT: I think everybody in here's younger than
10 I am anyway, so don't worry about that. Go ahead.
11 MR. LINDSEY: Yes. All jokes aside, let's get to the serious part.
12
13 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. You've alluded to it a couple times, but
14
15 let's tell the judge a little more specifically.
Prior to the election, for each machine, it is my
16
17 understanding that according to your testimony that
18 you conduct what is called a logic and accuracy testing?
19
20 A. Yes.
Q. Explain to the judge exactly what that is?
21
22 A. We have a script that we -- we vote, and then once you input those votes, then you run the
23
24 tape and make sure that -- that the results match the
25 script that was voted.

Page 49

1 Q. Okay. And do you do that for each machine?
2 A. For each machine.
Q. Okay. And did all of the machines that
3
4 were used on November 6th, 2018 pass that test?
A. Yes.
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: No further questions.
THE COURT: Mr. --
7
8 MR. RUSSO: Briefly, I just -- only care about one
9 point.
10 BY MR. RUSSO:
11 Q. Mr. Barron, you indicated a script was run.
Does that -- does that require someone actually
12
13 pushing the screen and voting it, or is that a software run on the computer?
14
15 A. No. We -- we actually input those manually to make sure that all the positions are recording
16
17 votes.
18 MR. RUSSO: Thank you.
THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
19
20 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Barron, you were asked about a law
21
22 relating to -- that required you to use the DRE machines, right?
23
24 A. Um-hmm.
25 Q. And is it -- was it your understanding that

Page 50

1 the law does require you to use the DRE machines?
2 A. Yes.
Q. And are you aware of -- of a court opinion
3
4 that says --
THE COURT: Okay. You -- you -- you -- that's what
5
6 we're having trouble with, and I can see movement over here at this table. "Are you aware," you say that "are
7
8 you aware" as if it was a matter of fact. And it's fact
9 that's not in evidence, so rephrase the question.
10 BY MR. BROWN:
11 Q. Have you read the federal court decision, Curling vs. Kemp?
12
13 A. No.
Q. Are you -- what is the statute that says --
14
15 do -- do you know what the statute is that says you have to use DRE machines?
16
17 A. Not offhand.
18 Q. Are you -- are you -- do you know of any statute that says that?
19
20 A. I could -- if I had an election code, I could probably find it, but --
21
22 Q. And -- and you're -- what you're saying is that the Georgia code says that it requires the use
23
24 of DREs?
25 A. Yes, and that was clarified by the

Page 51

1 Secretary of State's office to all the counties
2 leading up to the fall election cycle.
Q. Did that opinion change after a ruling by
3
4 the federal court?
MR. LINDSEY: I'm going to objection to Mr. Brown.
5
6 He is an election official. He's got some general knowledge, perhaps, of the law --
7
8 THE COURT: Yeah, you're using -- see, you used the
9 word "code," at one point. I -- whether he knows the
10 difference between the code and the law, which are two
11 different things, I don't know, but you're still -- you're still -- it's the way you're asking the questions, Mr.
12
13 Brown.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I -- the -- if I might just
14
15 say one thing.
THE COURT: What?
16
17 MR. BROWN: They asked him, "What is your
18 understanding of the law?"
THE COURT: But -- and you can ask him what -- you --
19
20 you can test that, but you can ask, "Are you aware that -- that rule ever changed?"
21
22 MR. BROWN: Are you aware --
THE COURT: That does the same thing. See where I'm
23
24 coming from?
25 MR. BROWN: Yes.

Page 52

1 THE COURT: You -- you can get the information. It's
2 just the way you phrase the question that's objectionable.
BY MR. BROWN:
3
4 Q. Mr. Barron, are you aware if that rule was ever changed or interpreted differently as not
5
6 requiring --
A. No.
7
8 Q. -- the use of DREs? Okay. Were you, in
9 your position as the director of elections for Fulton
10 County, were you briefed on court orders from the
11 federal court in the Curling case?
A. Yes.
12
13 Q. And did that briefing include telling you, quote, "But OCGA 21-2-383(b) does not require the use
14
15 of DREs," as defendant claims it does?
MS. BURWELL: Objection, Your Honor. First and
16
17 foremost, it appears that he's attempting to ask about a
18 privileged communication.
THE COURT: It's not in -- it's -- it's -- I sustain
19
20 the objection.
BY MR. BROWN:
21
22 Q. Is it your working understanding, Mr. Barron, that the Georgia Code requires the use of
23
24 DREs?
25 MR. TYSON: Yeah, objection, on behalf of

Page 53

1 [inaudible].
2 THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MR. BROWN:
3
4 Q. Mr. Barron, you talked about secure facilities and about how to secure the facilities.
5
6 You mentioned the security with the GEMS server. Do you recall that?
7
8 A. Yes.
9 Q. And you weren't talking about the GEMS
10 database; you were talking about the actual GEMS
11 server; correct?
A. Correct.
12
13 Q. Okay. You -- you were describing how the machines are marked up, is that right? When they're
14
15 in -- after they're in use; correct?
A. At the poll, yes.
16
17 Q. And you testified about how the memory
18 cards are secured in a secure location; correct?
A. Yes.
19
20 Q. Those are the memory cards that have been used for years; correct?
21
22 A. Yes.
Q. You testified about the tickets that are
23
24 created as a result of complaints from -- well, let
25 me ask you this. The ticket system from your prior

Page 54

1 testimony, could you describe that for us in greater
2 detail?
A. Well, a poller would call into our help
3
4 desk on Election Day, and -- and then a ticket is
created basically to -- if -- if we need to send a
5
6 technician to that area. We have roaming technicians
that oversee certain precincts, and then we can -- we
7
8 can dispatch somebody.
9 Q. And where are those tickets saved?
10 A. We have -- we just have a database that
11 keeps a log of our tickets.
Q. What is that database called?
12
13 A. Help desk. I don't think it has a name. I
mean, it's just a help -- it's just our own internal
14
15 help desk.
Q. Is that --is that a record that you make
16
17 available to the public?
18 A. It's -- I mean, I guess it would be
available if -- if somebody put in an open records
19
20 request, yes.
Q. And how many tickets were created for the
21
22 November '18 election?
A. I don't know.
23
24 Q. Scores, hundreds?
25 A. I don't -- I don't think it was that many,

Page 55

1 but I don't know.
2 Q. And what about for the runoff?
A. I don't know.
3
4 MR. BROWN: Just one second. I'd like to take one
minute.
5
6 THE COURT: Sure.
BY MR. BROWN:
7
8 Q. You testified that Fulton County has put
9 the machines, the DRE machines through logic and
10 accuracy tests?
11 A. Correct.
Q. You -- has Fulton County forensically
12
13 examined the machines?
A. No.
14
15 Q. And just for the record, has -- has Fulton
County allowed plaintiffs access to the machines for
16
17 that testing?
18 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor. Here we go. Once again,
plaintiff's counsel is trying to bring back up discovery
19
20 issues that have arisen in this case that have all been
brought before the judge on multiple occasions.
21
22 Judge in this court has ruled after hearing
plaintiff's counsel giving him a reasonable opportunity to
23
24 argue, and the Court has overruled those objections, so
25 this entire line of questioning is found to be

Page 56

1 interrupting.
2 THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, this goes back to the statute
3
4 that I quoted in my opening statement --
THE COURT: Okay.
5
6 MR. BROWN: -- and that has to do with the --
THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
7
8 MR. BROWN: Thank you.
9 MS. BURWELL: If I could, Your Honor, just for one
10 second?
11 THE COURT: Mm-hmm.
BY MS. BURWELL:
12
13 Q. Mr. Barron, I'm going to show you
OCGA 21-2-300. Is that what you were referring to
14
15 earlier about being required to use the state-
mandated DRE machines?
16
17 A. You said 302? Or 300?
18 Q. 300.
MR. BROWN: Excuse me, excuse me, Your Honor. I've
19
20 just -- in the here --
THE COURT: Let me -- let me say this. You can all
21
22 read the law to me in closing.
MS. BURWELL: Okay. All right.
23
24 THE COURT: You don't -- don't -- don't make a lay
25 witness a lawyer.

Page 57

1 MS. BURWELL: Okay.
2 THE COURT: I'm sure he doesn't want to be one.
BY MS. BURWELL:
3
4 Q. Last thing, Mr. Barron. In Fulton County
you didn't receive any complaints of someone saying
5
6 that a race, specifically the lieutenant governor
race didn't appear on their ballot?
7
8 A. I don't recall that, no.
9 MS. BURWELL: Thank you.
10 MR. LINDSEY: For a follow-up to that.
11 THE COURT: Right.
BY MR. LINDSEY:
12
13 Q. If there had been something as serious as
that, do you believe that you would have been alerted
14
15 to it?
A. Yes.
16
17 MR. BROWN: Objection, Your Honor. That calls for
18 speculation as to reading the minds of other people who
might --
19
20 MR. LINDSEY: He's -- he's ahead --
THE COURT: Sustained.
21
22 MR. LINDSEY: -- of the office now.
THE COURT: Sir, I understand. "Did you get one" is
23
24 enough?
25 BY MR. LINDSEY:

Page 58

1 Q. Did you ever get one that day that a race
2 was not on, that particularly the lieutenant
governor's race was not on the ballot?
3
4 A. No.
MR. LINDSEY: Thank you.
5
6 MR. BROWN: No further questions, Mr. Barron.
THE COURT: Okay. You may go now. Thank you.
7
8 THE WITNESS: Thanks.
9 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, at this point we have no
10 further witnesses, but I would like to make one
11 evidentiary argument that may be well. And it is to renew
our request for the admission of the National Academy of
12
13 Sciences report. And let me just very briefly describe
the law on that.
14
15 The -- when it was tendered, I made the argument that
-- that the basis of it being admissible by experts is
16
17 prinicipally -- it's hearsay, but the -- but the law
18 requires is that --
And this is what the law says, and I'm quoting 24-7-
19
20 703, "Such facts or data that are otherwise inadmissible
shall not be disclosed to the jury by the proponent of the
21
22 opinion or inference unless the court determines that the
probative value in assisting the jury to evaluate the
23
24 expert's opinion substantially outweighs their prejudicial
25 effect."

Page 59

1 And so I would ask, Your Honor, to -- to allow the
2 opinion of -- to allow the National Academy of Science's
report, because their probative value greatly outweighs
3
4 the prejudicial effect, and I would also, at this point,
note that the report is independently admissible under
5
6 OCGA 24-7-803 (8)(C), which is the public records and
reports exception of hearsay.
7
8 And I would cite the case of Chrysler v. Walden, 339
9 Ga. App. In that case, the court allowed the firm
10 allowance of a report of the National Highway Traffic
11 Safety Administration opposite defects investigation about
a recall of a particular defective product.
12
13 We think that case is right on point. We believe,
Your Honor, that -- I understand that Your Honor has not
14
15 reviewed this evidence because it wasn't admitted in the
evidence.
16
17 THE COURT: Well, I thought it was unfair to
18 everybody for me to start reading stuff people were
sending me to read. There was going to be evidence when
19
20 there was no -- I didn't know whether it was admissible or
not, so I didn't --
21
22 MR. BROWN: We would urge Your Honor to consider
reading it and to conclude that, since a consensus report
23
24 from the National Academy of Science is the best that this
25 country has.

Page 60

1 And it's right on point about securing elections,
2 which is exactly what this case is about, it would be
helpful to the triers of fact to have the best evidence
3
4 that this country could ever have about the issue in this
case and that is the security of this machine.
5
6 MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, I would just note that this
is not -- does not appear to be a complete copy. It looks
7
8 like the -- the cover page says -- looks like the document
9 is supposed to be 180 pages. This appears to be maybe the
10 first 8 pages of that document, because it begins at page
11 8 in here, but it's not a complete --
You can see that the -- the last paragraph continues
12
13 beyond this page, so it is not -- it's not even a complete
copy.
14
15 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, admittedly I'm having to
deal with what's on the line. But according to the
16
17 National Academy of Sciences on the webpage, the National
18 Academy of Sciences is not a public entity, not a
governmental entity.
19
20 It is a private, nonprofit organization, therefore
doesn't fit within the exception that gentleman has -- has
21
22 alluded to. The expert has already stated that he
reviewed it, allowed it when he was giving his opinion.
23
24 It goes back once again to hearsay.
25 It's also irrelevant, Your Honor, because the

Page 61

1 question here is whether or not the system that Georgia
2 has is the most secure possible, but whether or not in
this particular case a breach actually occurred, and to
3
4 the extent that the results of the election weren't valid.
That's the question, and --
5
6 THE COURT: Mr. Tyson? Sorry.
MR. BROWN: -- the NAS report, that's something
7
8 that's better argued downtown under the goal of the
9 academy.
10 MR. TYSON: And, Your Honor, we do agree with the
11 prior statements, and just would add that, to my
knowledge, that there is nothing specific about the
12
13 Diebold Georgia voting machines in this report. It's
about DREs generally.
14
15 As Mr. Lindsey said, the expert has already relied
upon this in testifying yesterday, and unless there is
16
17 something specific about this election, I don't see that
18 there's any relevance for the Court to consider to admit
it in evidence.
19
20 THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
MR. BROWN: First, Your Honor, just for the record,
21
22 as Your Honor is aware from the evidence, the -- the
evidence is entirely, entirely contrary to what counsel
23
24 says.
25 THE COURT: No, it's not. You see, you -- and I

Page 62

1 respect you, because you're an advocate. And you
2 absolutely believe your side of the case, and a lawyer is
supposed to do that. But that doesn't make it all right.
3
4 That's your view of it.
It's not -- yeah, I have to look at both sides. So
5
6 -- so that's really got nothing to do with it. I've got
-- let me say -- say what I -- go ahead and finish
7
8 arguing, because I very much know what I'm going to do on
9 it.
10 MR. BROWN: The evidence as we perceive it to be,
11 Your Honor, is -- and we're just simply not aware of the
contrary evidence, is that the defects are national, and
12
13 there's no difference, material difference between it in
Georgia, and we're not aware of any material testimony.
14
15 Therefore the unanswered court decision, I would instruct
it to leave it up to Your Honor [inaudible].
16
17 THE COURT: Okay. I -- I find it's not admissible.
18 It doesn't come in under public record, because it's not
public, okay? Number two, it was something that he relied
19
20 on in giving his opinion, and I've had his opinion. His
opinion is these -- if you listen to him, these pretty
21
22 much useless machines. I understand all that, and I'm not
going to read something that's hearsay.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
25 THE COURT: Okay? I mean, I understood what he was

Page 63

1 saying.
2 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. At this time, we
would also like to renew our demand for a jury. And the
3
4 reason why I'm renewing the demand, Your Honor, is that
there is -- there is case law that may not be the best law
5
6 around, but it suggests that if you don't continue the
demand --
7
8 THE COURT: I -- so we already in this hearing,
9 already in this trial, I have ruled. I'm one of these
10 judges, I'm afraid, who rules, you know. I make pretty
11 clear rulings, as we pointed out yesterday. I'm not an
English major, so my stuff comes out ching-ching-ching.
12
13 I've ruled. Don't run in the face of it, okay?
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
14
15 THE COURT: Thank you. Do you rest?
MR. BROWN: We do.
16
17 THE COURT: Okay. Let me do this. Let me take ten
18 minutes, and then we'll hear from the other side.
MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
19
20 MR. BROWN: Thank you.
21
22 [Off the record at 10:16 a.m., and back on the record
at 10:21 a.m.]
23
24
25 BALIFF: Please take a seat. Court comes to order.

Page 64

1 MALE: Oh, sorry.
2 THE COURT: Okay. Which defendant is to go first?
Oh, you have a motion?
3
4 MS. BURWELL: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay.
5
6 MS. BURWELL: On behalf of Fulton County, Your Honor,
I will move for an involuntary dismissal pursuant to 911
7
8 41(b) on the grounds that this court as the trier of fact
9 is allowed to adjudicate a motion to dismiss at the close
10 of the plaintiff's case.
11 And that is because the court is the finder of fact
as well as the determiner of the law and the cases are
12
13 clear and I'd cite the court to Chalk v. Poletto 346 Ga.
App 491 which is a 2018 case as well as Smith v. Georgia
14
15 Kaolin Company, Inc., 269 Georgia 475 both of which
provide that a dismissal in a non-jury matter under
16
17 91141(b) does not require the court to construe the
18 evidence most favorably for the nonliving plaintiff. And
that again is because the court determines the facts as
19
20 well as the law.
And in the instant case, the petitioner's under have
21
22 filed an election contest under 2125-22, Sections 1 and 3;
and Section 1 requires the plaintiffs to have shown
23
24 misconduct, fraud, or irregularity in the election, by an
25 election official sufficient to change, replace, and doubt

Page 65

1 the result.
2 Instead what these petitioners are alleging, is that
just the DRE machines themselves, nothing that an election
3
4 official did, but just the machines themselves are -- they
don't -- they don't care for the machines and think that
5
6 they're vulnerable.
Under section 2125-22(3), they had to show that
7
8 illegal votes were received or illegal votes rejected,
9 sufficient to change or place in doubt the results. And
10 there's been no evidence at all that there have been the
11 rejection, the receipt of illegal votes, or the rejection
of votes at the polls sufficient to change or place in the
12
13 result. They brought in one woman who said she didn't see
it, but then she was able to vote for that, which was Ms.
14
15 Thomas -- I think her name was -- this morning.
And the only other information was information from a
16
17 poll worker who said she got second hand information that
18 someone wasn't able to vote, but that is one vote at issue
and there were over a 100,000 vote margin between the two
19
20 candidates.
So there is not sufficient information back. There
21
22 was no information in the record which would allow this
court to find that there were legal votes rejected,
23
24 sufficient to change or place in doubt the result.
25 There's been no evidence of impropriety that led to this

Page 66

1 under-vote. There's no evidence of any sort of tampering
2 that led to this under-vote.
And instead what they have done is they have said
3
4 there's a purely mathematical computation and they believe
that a four percent under-vote for the Lieutenant
5
6 Governor's race is inappropriate.
And there is no evidence in the record about how or
7
8 why individuals choose not to vote for Lieutenant
9 Governor's race. But the one thing we do know is that
10 even based on the information they provided in every
11 single election, there are under-votes. Every single
election.
12
13 The only difference here is that they complain that
they believe that the amount of four percent is too large.
14
15 But again, there was no tie in between why there's an
under-vote and any sort of impropriety on behalf of an
16
17 election official or any legal votes that have been
18 rejected. Thank you.
MR. TYSON: Your Honor -- Your Honor? Yeah. Your
19
20 Honor, just to briefly Gwinnett County Board of
Registrations in elections joins in Fulton County's motion
21
22 requesting that this be treated as an adjudication on the
merits which is allowed under 41(b) just to echo what Ms.
23
24 Burwell has raised the evidence before the court for the
25 reports that is that the Lieutenant Governor's race

Page 67

1 appeared on every ballot in the state according to the
2 base precinct report with races.
That the -- all the cards were counted according to
3
4 the TS report and that there are a number of possible
reasons as the various witnesses testified about why an
5
6 under-vote may occur. It have been ballot design with the
lack of the US Senate race, the lack of a third party,
7
8 there were a number of additional write-in candidates.
9 Any of those issues could go to why the under-votes are
10 there, including the most important one, voters chose not
11 to vote at the race as was their option.
There is no evidence in the record that all -- that
12
13 any of those have been disproven as a possible basis. And
as a result, Mr. Brown and the plaintiff's elections
14
15 contest and must fail the election is presumed valid and
there was no evidence of irregularities sufficient to
16
17 place the results in doubt.
18 To the contrary, there is evidence that the results
should be placed, should be given the presumption that
19
20 it's favored because of the evidence in the record. And
as Ms. Burwell indicated, there is no indication that the
21
22 number of illegal votes cast or legal votes rejected was
anywhere near the margin of victory in this case, 123,000
23
24 votes. So we fully join in Fulton's motion.
25 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, first off, on behalf of the

Page 68

1 Lieutenant Governor Jeff Thompkin [ph] we will adopt the
2 argument raised by both attorneys for Fulton County and
Gwinnett County. And simply once again, I want to read it
3
4 off again, into Hart v. Crawford, which I read at the
beginning, 20 Ga. 7, 1998 decision which clearly lays our
5
6 the fact that [inaudible] with the extraordinary, drastic
-- I believe the word used by the board is drastic. There
7
8 must be clear evidence of some kind of issue in terms of
9 either malicious or negligent kind of issue that created
10 the problem. The fact of the matter is --
11 THE COURT: Quick, which case are you referring to?
Sorry to interrupt you.
12
13 MR. LINDSEY: Hart [ph].
THE COURT: Hart.
14
15 MR. LINDSEY: Versus Crawford, 270 Ga. 7, 1998.
THE COURT: I got it. Okay.
16
17 MR. LINDSEY: In regards to the evidence that you've
18 heard that the plaintiff's expert himself admitted that he
was not familiar with the particularities of this race in
19
20 terms of what was going on in this race, but simply doing
so by doing mathematical formulations. He admitted that
21
22 he has no evidence of any kind of malware or malicious
conduct by any individual, would have led to the under
23
24 voting that they have cited from the testimony of the
25 plaintiff's own witnesses.

Page 69

1 That was never rebutted by any other witness of the
2 plaintiff. The -- there -- there -- there are ample
reasons why there was an under-vote including the fact
3
4 that we had a high number of new voters, voter confusion
in terms of the -- as a result of the layout of the ballot
5
6 Governor, Lieutenant Governor. Given the history of
Governors that Lieutenant Governors of other states
7
8 running as a ticket. And that, that is a reasonable
9 reason for why there was an under-vote, in addition to
10 other evidence that was elicited from the plaintiff's on
11 their reports.
There's also testimony, I think this is extremely
12
13 important. a lot of plaintiff's expert testify as to what
might have, could have, should have happened. The
14
15 undisputed testimony from both the Secretary of State and
from the Fulton County election official from the
16
17 plaintiffs' themselves called on direct, testified as to
18 the safe guards that are taken both before an election and
on election day to ensure that the system is operating
19
20 correctly in terms of the voter being able to cast a vote
for the candidate of their choice and that vote being
21
22 accurately reported by the state; that the testimony has
not been contradicted by anyone in terms of the safeguards
23
24 that were put in place.
25 Nor has -- while the plaintiff's expert talked about

Page 70

1 systems that are on the web, we have undisputed testimony
2 that the system that deals with the actual recording --
accurate recording of a voters vote all the way up to the
3
4 counting of that vote is within a closed system that is
not susceptible to the hacking at the plaintiff's expert
5
6 has outlined as part of their concerns. That testimony is
uncontroverted.
7
8 So for those reasons as well as the reasons set forth
9 by my colleagues from Gwinnett and Fulton County, we
10 actually -- we also make this much at this time.
11 THE COURT: If -- I'm going to hear from Mr. Brown
but before I do, do you have 911 with you?
12
13 MS. BURWELL: Okay.
THE COURT: Everybody -- everybody's only got the --
14
15 okay. I don't have a computer and I'm one of those -- I
just have to go read it myself because I had forgotten
16
17 that you could do that. So if 911-41?
18 MS. BURWELL: Yes.
MR. LINDSEY: And Your Honor, since you don't have a
19
20 computer, would you like the Hart decision that I --
THE COURT: I've got it.
21
22 MR. LINDSEY: Okay.
THE COURT: I did some work.
23
24 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
25 THE COURT: I won't say how much. 911? Let me look

Page 71

1 at -- here it is. Oh, I got one of these somewhere, let
2 me see 41. Let me see. Let me see. What subsection?
MS. BURWELL: Forty-one (b).
3
4 THE COURT: B. Okay. Go ahead, Mr. Brown.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the evidence established
5
6 first a national consensus on the [inaudible] are highly
vulnerable and shouldn't be used. This -- there was no
7
8 contrary evidence as to national consensus, either by
9 governmental agencies or assigned this.
10 So the background is undisputed, and that is that
11 these machines for vulnerable to attack and that they
should not be used. Second, there was a lot of evidence
12
13 about whether Georgia's particular DREs are worse than the
national decrepitude because of the way George has not
14
15 maintained these machines over the last couple of years.
Our position is that Georgia has not done set on --
16
17 that these machines are worse even than the national
18 average, which worse than general and in general -- even
if it were as good as general, they should not be used.
19
20 We believe Georgia's are much worse than even the bad ones
in other states that should not be used. And we had
21
22 substantial evidence that is the case. At all -- since --
since they're defective anyway, I don't want to spend too
23
24 much time on the evidence that they're very, very
25 effective.

Page 72

1 But these are -- and I want to go through the
2 evidence very quickly. The -- Michael Barnes testified
yesterday in response to my questions about the compromise
3
4 of the election server, that they had replaced one partial
-- they replaced one piece of their system we established
5
6 today from Mr. Barren that the other components were not
replaced and they were not disaffected. And so we believe
7
8 that Georgia's system is much more vulnerable than the
9 systems described by the national campus [ph] -- described
10 by our expert, recounted by our expert.
11 That the unanimous opinion of defense agencies, the
scholars and the scientists, that they should not be used
12
13 and that Georgia's is even more vulnerable. And that is
important because they're vulnerable that it naturally
14
15 increases the chances of what we're alleging in this
election actually happened.
16
17 And then that was also the opinion of our expert or
18 at least un-rebutted yet. The other evidence that we have
is the evidence that the results in this case, if you
19
20 compare the electronics and the paper ballot suggest that
there's something about the electronic that was causing
21
22 the different vote. If Your Honor -- as the defendants'
positions' has evolved, that seems to be where they're
23
24 headed also.
25 There is as -- as the evidence has shown, there is a

Page 73

1 microscopic chance that the results on paper are different
2 than the results on the voting machines because of voter
choice or chance. Instead, two different scenarios have
3
4 been presented to you. One is that it is caused by
malware or misprogramming or it's a sham [ph].
5
6 The other, and that evidence was presented by our
expert, it was informed by the national consensus that
7
8 something like that was likely and that the particular
9 results and evidence about voters experiences were
10 telltale signs that there is a system problem within --
11 that the -- with the computers that was causing this to
happen.
12
13 What is the other evidence? The way that -- that --
that we view the case, Your Honor, is that for this
14
15 freakish result to happen and I believe the evidence is
one in 10,000, for that to happen, on the one hand, it
16
17 could have been this thoroughly discredited, highly
18 vulnerable election system did not function properly.
That's one option.
19
20 The other option is the one presented -- suggested by
Mr. Lindsey in his questions of Mr. Barnes yesterday and
21
22 that is the -- that the voters thought that there was a
tick. That the -- that for some reason they looked at the
23
24 screen and they thought, oh, we only need to vote for the
25 Republican ticket and the Democratic ticket.

Page 74

1 There is, apart from the question by Mr. Lindsey and
2 the answer by Mr. Barnes, there's no evidence supporting
that speculation. Your Honor remarked that we're more
3
4 concerned with why people did this. There -- the -- and -
- and that was the basis -- one of the basis for not
5
6 allowing some of our testimony.
The -- the here, there is no testimony that any voter
7
8 did not vote for the Lieutenant Governor ticket because
9 they thought it was -- the Lieutenant Governor because
10 they thought it was the ticket. Instead -- and they
11 didn't even have an expert -- they don't even have an
expert. They don't even try to get an expert.
12
13 THE COURT: Well, they haven't got that far yet. But
the issue -- the issue as we're all --
14
15 MR. BROWN: We're all. So they have -- just the
nature of, well, we can throw this idea out. Maybe this
16
17 is an explanation for it. We don't have any evidence.
18 It's not particularly plausible that a voter would do that
particularly as many times and it's not based upon any
19
20 evidence whatsoever.
It really is speculation. But the defendants rule
21
22 that the defendants would have Your Honor adopt, is that
if you challenge the result of a statewide election in
23
24 Georgia that it's done on electronic machine, is that you
25 have to find some bad code. That is what they would have

Page 75

1 Your Honor rule. We got to find the code. There's no bad
2 code, there's no misprogramming, then the plaintiff will
lose. But we're not going to let you find that bad code
3
4 ever.
And so the rule that the defendants want, the
5
6 Secretary of State wants would insulate decisions in
Georgia -- would insulate elections on electronic
7
8 equipment in Georgia from any judicial review because
9 you've got approved bad code, but you're not going to be
10 able to look at it to find it.
11 And we believe that the combination of that rule and
the inability to discover it is inconsistent with the
12
13 statute, which was written by the legislature to allow
petitioners to show that -- to allow that them to show,
14
15 and they have the court decide that at the election, so
the fact that you can -- we believe a better reading of
16
17 the law is that once the petitioner has made a prima facie
18 showing of the likelihood that the machines caused the
difference, and here there's -- we have proven that Your
19
20 Honor, we believe to a very high degree.
There -- the evidence is that there's a 99.95 percent
21
22 chance that there was something about the machines that
caused the election results and we believe that in that
23
24 event, that we have survived and that we -- that the
25 election should be overturned [inaudible, paper shuffling]

Page 76

1 then in additional, that Your Honor exercise your
2 discretion to call another hearing for the code to be --
after the code be evaluated.
3
4 THE COURT: Anything -- any -- any response to that?
MR. LINDSEY: Yes. Very briefly, Your Honor. The
5
6 fact of the matter is that tests were conducted, this is
uncontroversial. As a matter of fact, the bi-witnesses
7
8 that the plaintiff chose to put on this stand, tests were
9 conducted that would have revealed if malware was in
10 place.
11 Tests were conducted prior to the election. Most
importantly, tests were conducted on the day of the
12
13 election to see whether or not there was any kind of
systemic problem with this -- with the voting system.
14
15 And that was great details as to what that costed, in
which they had cameras on one individual actually live,
16
17 making sure -- making choices and then they had a parallel
18 system and then seeing whether or not there was any kind
of deviation. That testimony is uncontroverted that these
19
20 tests were done and the testimony is also uncontroverted
that if a malware had existed it would have come out at
21
22 that point.
It was also uncontroverted testimony today regarding
23
24 the specific inspections that are done on each machine
25 prior to the election to make sure that the machines are

Page 77

1 operating correctly and that if a voter cast his vote for
2 individual A, it was going to be recorded as A. That
testimony is uncontroverted. So we actually do have, here
3
4 in Georgia, tests to make sure that when Edward Lindsey
cast a vote for Mr. Brown, that that's going to be
5
6 properly recorded by the Secretary of State, certified
correctly.
7
8 There is no controversy to that. There's no --
9 there's no evidence to the contrary that these tests took
10 place or what the results were. And as to the other
11 matters regarding if there was no malware, what was the
cause, we have presented -- by the plaintiff through the -
12
13 - with their own expert, with their own witnesses on cross
examination have admitted that there were plausible other
14
15 explanations. For that reason, Your Honor -- we believe
that this motion be passed.
16
17 THE COURT: Any -- anything else?
18 MR. TYSON: Yeah. Can I just briefly, again, for
what Mr. Brown explained, there's no prima facie showing
19
20 here at all as far as that all we can say is that they
might be vulnerable, they might be -- there might be a
21
22 problem, there might be an issue. Georgia Supreme Court
is clear in Middleton v. Smith, 273 GA. 202 in 2000 which
23
24 you cannot overturn an election on the basis of mere
25 speculation. And that's what we have here. We have no

Page 78

1 evidence of additional [ph] votes. We have no evidence of
2 an irregularity happening.
Mr. Brown has also conveniently chosen to ignore the
3
4 fact that the ballot design that in 2018 you did not have
a U.S. Senate race on the elect- -- on the ballot and
5
6 that's a deviation from a number of our past Governor's
elections.
7
8 And so when a voter was presented with that
9 electronic machine, they saw the first two races instead
10 of being U.S. Senate and Governor, they were Governor and
11 Lieutenant Governor, which was a change from past years,
which is also a very reasonable explanation for the
12
13 potential country vote.
So at the end of the day, the plaintiffs are left
14
15 with, we think maybe something might have happened and the
Georgia Supreme Court is clear that mere speculation
16
17 cannot be the basis for overturning an election.
18 THE COURT: Anything further from you?
MS. BURWELL: Nothing further.
19
20 THE COURT: Okay. I had -- I guess I'm used to
trying stuff to jury. I hadn't realized that I was in
21
22 this position.
And 91141(b) says, after the plaintiff in an action
23
24 tried by the court without a jury has completed the
25 presentation of his evidence, the defendant without

Page 79

1 waiving his right to offer evidence in the event the
2 motion is not granted, may move for dismissal on the
grounds at upon the facts and the law, the plaintiff has
3
4 shown note right to relief.
Taking everything the plaintiffs have said, your
5
6 attack is on the whole system and I respect that. And
there is authority that says that scientific authority
7
8 that says that DRE -- DRE system is terrible and all those
9 kinds of things. I'm very aware of that.
10 But what I'm here on is one race. Just one race.
11 You haven't sued the state to get rid of the system; okay?
That's one thing. I wouldn't be in this position if
12
13 that's where we were, but we're not, we're looking at one
race.
14
15 And you brought it on 212522 one and three. There
was absolutely nothing to support one. And then let me
16
17 look at three. There was no illegal votes received.
18 There's no legal votes rejected and in this race in the
evidence. Now, I understand you've got the under-vote
19
20 issue. I'll get to that.
The law is very clear that it's presumed there is a
21
22 legal presumption that the election returns of ballot;
okay? There's the presumption in ballot. The plaintiff
23
24 has the burden of showing an irregularity or illegality
25 sufficient to change all place in doubt the result of

Page 80

1 election; okay? The result of this particular election,
2 not all of them, not the DRE, not any of that. One -- the
one thing. It's a narrow issue.
3
4 It says the setting aside of an election, I'm reading
the law, of an election in which the people have chosen
5
6 their representative is a drastic remedy that should not
be undertaken lightly, but instead should be reserved for
7
8 cases in which a person challenging an election has
9 clearly established a violation of election's procedures
10 and has demonstrated the violation has placed the result
11 of the election in doubt. There is no -- the only thing
you've got is the under -- is the under-vote and the --
12
13 144 votes in Winterville; okay?
If the numbers should have been equal and there is no
14
15 evidence to show of the under-vote, but let's assume
somehow that was an error and there should have been 31
16
17 more thousand votes.
18 And let's assume that the one precinct in Winterville
was 144 votes, should all have been given to Ms. Amico
19
20 and we'll take the 31,000 as all being given to Ms.
Amico. We're still nowhere near -- the numbers do not --
21
22 there is -- it's not even close.
There is absolutely no evidence of the number of
23
24 votes sufficient to overcome. And there is no evidence
25 that this system didn't work during this election.

Page 81

1 So on that, I'm going to grant the motion to dismiss.
2 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, with respect, the evidence
with the --
3
4 THE COURT: Sir, I've ruled. This is one thing you
haven't handled well with me because I rule. And now some
5
6 judges, you can keep talking and they change their mind.
Old Judge Raven [ph] was that way. As long as you kept
7
8 talking, he would eventually change his mind. I don't do
9 that; okay?
10 MR. BROWN: [inaudible]
11 THE COURT: So let's not. I've ruled, I'm done.
I've just got to clean my desk a minute.
12
13 MR. BROWN: We --
THE COURT: This is your book.
14
15 MR. BROWN: Would you like an discussion about the
number you cited?
16
17 THE COURT: No, sir.
18 MR. BROWN: Okay.
THE COURT: Nothing.
19
20 MR. TYSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Thank you.
21
22 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor, thank you for your
time.
23
24 THE COURT: It's going to take a minute, I have a
25 briefcase; you all just go. I've got to go way back in

1 the other building.
2 MR. LINDSEY: I -- you have a briefcase Your Honor --
3
4 [Whereupon, the hearing was concluded at 10:49 a.m.]
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 CERTIFICATE
2
STATE OF GEORGIA]
3
4] SS.
COUNTY OF DOUGLAS]
5
6
I, PRISCILLA GARCIA, A COURT REPORTER IN THE STATE OF
7
8 GEORGIA, DO HEREBY STATE THAT THE FOREGOING IS A TRUE AND
9 ACCURATE TRANSCRIPT AS TAKEN DOWN BY ME AT THE TIME,
10 PLACE, AND THE DATE HEREINBEFORE SET FORTH.
11 I DO FURTHER STATE THAT I AM NEITHER A RELATIVE NOR
EMPLOYEE NOR ATTORNEY NOR COUNSEL OF ANY OF THE PARTIES TO
12
13 THIS ACTION, AND THAT I AM NEITHER A RELATIVE NOR EMPLOYEE
OF SUCH ATTORNEY OR COUNSEL, AND THAT I AM NOT FINANCIALLY
14
15 INTERESTED IN THIS ACTION.
WITNESS MY HAND IN THE CITY OF DOUGLASVILLE, COUNTY
16
17 OF DOUGLAS, STATE OF GEORGIA, ON THIS 28TH DAY OF JANUARY
18 2019.
BY: Priscilla Garcia
19
20 PRISCILLA GARCIA, COURT REPORTER
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF GEORGIA
21
22 COMMISSION NO.: W-00379933
COMMISSION EXPIRES: 08/14/2022
23
24 CERTIFICATION NO.: 5503-2677-8304-9216
25 LICENSE EXPIRES: 04/01/2019

1
2
3 I, Chris Naaden, a transcriber, hereby declare
4 under penalty of perjury that to the best of my
5 ability the above 82 pages contain a full, true and
6 correct transcription of the tape-recording that I
7 received regarding the event listed on the caption on
8 page 1.
9
10 I further declare that I have no interest in the
11 event of the action.
12
13 January 28, 2019
14
15
16 Chris Naaden
17
18
19
20 (Transcript of Trial Proceedings)
21
22
23
24
25

(

(8)(C) 59:6
(b) 71:2

–

--is 54:15

1

1 64:22
10,000 73:15
100,000 65:18
10:16 63:22
10:21 63:22
10:49 82:4
115 39:13 40:4
123,000 67:22
144 80:13, 18
18 7:4 19:8 54:22
180 60:9
19 44:13
1998 68:4, 15
1999 34:1,4

2

20 10:11 36:10 68:4
2000 77:22
2003 34:4
2004 34:6
2005 34:6
2006 34:6
2007 34:9
2013 34:9, 11
2016 20:2, 24 21:2, 11,22 22:6,17
2017 20:24
2018 7:4 10:11 21:24,25 23:11 25:20 31:17 49:4 64:13 78:4
202 77:22
21-2-300 56:13
21-2-383(b) 52:13
2125-22 64:22
2125-22(3) 65:6
212522 79:15
24-7- 58:18
24-7-803 59:6
269 64:15
270 68:15
273 77:22

3

3 64:22
3,108 32:22
30 36:11 47:2
300 56:17, 18
302 56:17
31 80:15
31,000 80:20
339 59:8
346 64:13
370-plus 40:4

4

41 71:2
41(b) 64:8 66:22
475 64:15
491 64:13

5

52 48:6

6

62 15:6
6th 37:24 38:17 49:4

7

7 68:4,15
70 36:9
703 58:20

8

8 60:10,11
80 36:9

9

911 64:6 70:11,25
911-41 70:17
91141(b) 64:17 78:22
99.95 75:20
9:04 7:4

A

a.m. 7:4 63:22 82:4
absolutely 62:2 79:15 80:22
academy 58:11 59:2,24 60:17,18 61:9
access 28:11 29:4 30:10,13, 17,25 47:4,20 55:15
accessed 44:1
accessible 28:15
account 39:20
accuracy 26:10,15 44:17 48:18 55:10
accurate 70:2
accurately 69:22
action 78:22
active-duty 45:18
activities 34:13 35:6,15
actual 45:2, 4,17 53:10 70:2
add 61:11
addition 35:1 69:9
additional 67:8 76:1 78:1
address 8:22 30:8
adjudicate 64:9
adjudication 66:22
administering 19:1
Administration 59:11
admissible 58:15 59:4,20 62:17
admission 58:11
admit 61:18
admitted 59:15 68:18,20 77:13
admittedly 60:15
admonished 48:2
adopt 68:1 74:22
adverse 20:18
advocate 28:6 62:1
afraid 63:10
age 48:4,8
agencies 71:9 72:11
agree 61:10
ahead 13:22 14:2 21:6 33:8 35:13 48:2,10 57:20 62:6 71:4
AJC 32:4,6
alarm 47:20
alarmed 45:9
alerted 57:13
alleging 65:2 72:15
allowance 59:10
allowed 55:15 59:9 60:22 64:9 66:22
allowing 74:6
alluded 48:1,13 60:22
alter 26:20
altered 27:22
amazed 17:2
AME 23:22 24:8,9,20
amendments 11:20
Amico 11:15 15:17 80:18,20
Amico's 11:18 14:13
amount 66:13
ample 69:2
Angeles 10:4 11:4
App 59:9 64:13
appeared 67:1
appears 41:10

52:17 60:9

applications 39:6

apply 8:17

approved 75:9

area 31:24 33:13 54:6

argue 55:24

argued 61:8

arguing 62:8

argument 58:11,15 68:2

arisen 55:20

assigned 40:9,18 71:9

assisting 58:22

assume 80:15,18

assumes 21:6

assuming 16:10 21:4

attack 71:11 79:6

attacks 37:11

attempting 52:17

attorney 10:1

attorneys 68:2

August 38:18

Austin 34:4

authority 35:18 79:6

average 71:18

aware 21:18 24:6,10,22 27:20 28:1 31:15 32:2,11,18 36:15,20 37:6,10 46:17 47:11 50:2,6,8 51:20,22 52:4 61:22 62:11,13 79:9

B

back 11:25 12:1,24 15:13 17:15 22:15 41:13 42:2,9 55:18 56:2 60:24 63:22 65:20 81:25

background 34:2 71:10

bad 71:20 74:25 75:1,2,9

bailiffs 8:2

BALIFF 63:25

ballot 10:18,20, 25 11:15, 22,25 12:2,10 14:10 16:6 28:15 30:8,10, 15,22,25 38:20,24 39:11,13 40:2,6,13, 25 41:6,9 42:2,6,15 57:6 58:2 67:1,6 69:4 72:20 78:4 79:22

ballots 30:11,13 39:24

Barnes 72:2 73:20 74:2

Barren 72:6

Barron 17:20 18:6,11,15 27:11 29:18 33:22,24 48:1 49:11,20 52:4,22 53:4 56:13 57:4 58:6

Barron's 20:6

base 67:2

based 30:6 39:15 66:10 74:18

basic 26:11

basically 34:24 54:4

basis 58:15 67:13 74:4 77:24 78:17

began 38:18

beginning 11:25 12:1 27:2 68:4

begins 60:10

behalf 52:25 64:6 66:15 67:25

Bernhard 28:4

bi-witnesses 76:6

biggest 29:20,22

bit 22:15 34:2

board 16:4 34:13,24 35:17,18, 20 66:20 68:6

boards 35:18

book 34:20, 22 36:20, 22 81:13

book's 30:18

books 29:4

booth 28:18

boundaries 39:18

box 8:2,4 11:11

Boy 8:4

breach 61:2

break 46:15 47:9,10,15

briefcase 81:25 82:2

briefed 52:10

briefing 52:13

briefly 16:2 49:8 58:13 66:20 76:4 77:18

bring 55:18

broken 44:11,24 45:1 46:18

brought 55:20 65:13 79:15

Brown 7:18, 22 8:2,11, 13,15,20, 24 9:2,6, 22,25 10:2 13:2 14:6, 8,20 17:11,15, 18,20,24 18:13 20:17,20, 22 21:4,15 24:17 27:17 28:9,10 29:8,18 31:20 32:2,24 34:8 35:8 42:22 49:18,20 50:10 51:4,13, 17,22,25 52:2,20 53:2 55:4, 6 56:2,6, 8,18 57:17 58:6,9 59:22 61:6,20 62:10,24 63:2,13, 15,20 67:13 70:11 71:4 74:15 77:4,18 78:2 81:2, 10,13,15, 18,22

Bruce 9:25

Bryan 16:2

build 38:22

building 82:1

burden 79:24

Burwell 21:2,6 24:13 29:6,9 33:2,6,9, 11,15,18, 22 35:10, 13 47:22 52:15 56:9,11,22 57:1,2,9 60:6 64:4, 6 66:24 67:20 70:13,18 71:2 78:18

button 41:11

C

cable 45:25 47:10

calibrate 26:11

call 8:25 17:20 19:22 43:13 54:2 76:2

called 9:15 18:8 20:18,20 29:20,22 48:18 54:11 69:17

calls 57:17

cameras 45:10 47:20 76:15

campus 72:9

cancel 40:22,24 41:11,18 42:1,2

canceled 41:11

cancelled 42:18

candidate 11:9 69:20

candidates 38:22 65:20 67:8

capability 27:11

card 10:20 21:25 26:4,18,20 28:11 29:4 30:10,13, 22 31:10, 11 41:15 42:11

cards 21:20 22:2,9,15 23:2 30:17 31:13 44:1,4 45:4 47:6 53:18,20 67:2

care 19:2 49:8 65:4

case 10:1 24:11 52:11 55:20 59:8,9,13 60:2,4 61:2 62:2 63:4 64:10,13, 20 67:22 68:11 71:22 72:18 73:13

cases 64:11 80:8

cast 12:4, 10 42:15, 18 67:22 69:20 77:1,4

category 11:10 17:4

caused 73:4 75:18,22

causing 72:20 73:11

certified 77:6

chain-of-custody 45:11

Chalk 64:13

challenge 74:22

challenging 80:8

chance 73:1, 2 75:22

chances 72:15

change 51:2 64:25 65:9,11,24 78:11 79:25 81:6,8

changed 51:20 52:4

charge 19:1

Chattahoochee 40:13

checks 28:13

ching-ching-ching 63:11

chip 28:13

choice 36:4 69:20 73:2

choices 76:17

choose 66:8

chose 67:10 76:8

chosen 78:2 80:4

Chrysler 59:8

church 23:24 24:20 42:24

circumstances 43:9

cite 59:8 64:13

cited 68:24 81:15

city 39:18

Civic 34:6

claims 52:15

clarified 50:25

clean 81:11

clear 19:17 63:11 64:13 68:8 77:22 78:15 79:20

clerk 33:13

clerk's 33:13

close 64:9 80:22

closed 70:4

closer 33:17

closing 56:22

co-counsel 48:2

coalition 16:17

COBB 7:4

code 30:8, 10,22 34:20 35:22

47:20
50:20,22
51:9,10
52:22
74:25
75:1,2,9
76:2

coding 23:11
25:9,11

colleagues
70:9

combination
75:11

communication
52:18

Company
64:15

compare
72:20

complain
12:15
66:13

complained
14:4

complaint
24:22

complaints
53:24 57:4

complete
60:6,11,13

completed
78:24

component
37:1

components
72:6

compromise
20:11 72:2

compromised
20:2

computation
66:4

computer
27:20
33:17
49:13
70:15,20

computers
73:11

concern
32:13
43:17,25

concerned
74:4

concerns
43:20 70:6

conclude
59:22

concluded
82:4

conduct
48:18
68:22

conducted
76:6,9,11

confusion
69:4

connected
31:4,6
38:6,11

connection
34:13

consensus
59:22
71:6,8
73:6

construe
64:17

contact
43:11
44:25

contemporaneously 24:18

contest
64:22
67:15

continue
63:6

continues
60:11

contractors
19:10

contradicted
69:22

contrary
61:22
62:11
67:18 71:8
77:9

controversy
77:8

conveniently
78:2

copy 20:2
60:6,13

correct 12:9
14:18
15:11,13,
22 16:9
19:13,18,
20,22
21:25
22:4,6
23:2,22
24:1,2
26:11 29:2
30:18 31:2
37:2 38:15
39:10,11
40:15
42:13,20
43:2,4
53:11,15,
18,20
55:11

correctly
69:20
77:1,6

costed 76:15

counsel
55:18,22
61:22

counted 67:2

counties

22:15,20 23:6 51:1

counting 70:4

country 59:25 60:4 78:13

county 7:4 16:2 18:18,20 19:2,22 21:1 22:9 23:11,20, 22 25:20 30:4 31:9 32:22 34:4,8,10, 13 35:18, 24 36:2,8, 10,13,17 37:4,11,20 39:17 43:22 52:10 55:8,11,15 57:4 64:6 66:20 68:2 69:15 70:9

County's 20:22 21:2,20 66:20

couple 48:13 71:15

court 7:8, 11,15,22, 25 8:2,9, 11,13,15, 18,22 9:1 13:4,11, 15,18,20, 24 14:1 15:25 17:11,13, 15 20:9, 13,18 21:10 24:11,15 27:13 28:6 29:11 31:22 33:1,4,8, 10,11,15, 24 35:11, 24 36:11 47:24 48:9 49:6,18 50:2,4,11 51:4,8,15, 18,22 52:1,10, 11,18 53:2 55:6,22,24 56:2,4,6, 11,20,24 57:2,11, 20,22 58:6,22 59:9,17 61:6,18, 20,25 62:15,17, 25 63:8, 15,17,25 64:2,4,8, 11,13,17, 18 65:22 66:24 68:11,13, 15 70:11, 13,20,22, 25 71:4 74:13 75:15 76:4 77:17,22 78:15,18, 20,24 81:4,11, 13,17,18, 20,24

cover 60:8

Crawford 68:4,15

create 41:15

created 21:24 28:18 40:25 42:11 43:13 53:24 54:4,20 68:9

cross 20:18 77:13

CROSS-EXAMINATION 14:22 33:20

crowbar 47:13

Curling 50:11 52:11

cut 47:10

cycle 51:2

D

data 58:20

database 21:2 22:10 23:11,13 26:9 28:24 29:2 53:10 54:10,11

date 26:11

daughter 12:22 14:4 15:20 16:15

dawn 11:11

dawned 11:22

day 7:17 25:6,15 30:18 40:6,8,15 42:20,25 44:11,15 54:4 58:1 69:18 76:11 78:13

days 44:13 47:2
deal 60:15
dealing 19:4
deals 70:2
December 25:22,25 26:2 34:1
decide 75:15
decision 50:11 62:15 68:4 70:20
decisions 75:6
decrepitude 71:13
defective 59:11 71:22
defects 21:18 59:11 62:11
defendant 52:15 64:2 78:25
defendants 74:20,22 75:4
defendants' 72:22
defense 72:11
defined 29:10
degree 75:20
delivered 44:18 45:15,22
deliveries 45:20
demand 63:2, 4,6
Democrat 16:25
Democratic 73:25
demonstrated 80:10
depends 19:11
describe 16:22 26:6 54:1 58:13
describing 53:13
design 67:6 78:4
desk 43:11, 13 54:4, 13,15 81:11
detail 54:2
details 76:15
determine 23:11 24:25 27:8
determiner 64:11
determines 58:22 64:18
deviation 76:18 78:6
device 22:25
Diebold 61:13
differ 40:6
difference 51:10 62:13 66:13 75:18
differently 52:4
difficult 16:22
direct 9:18 13:11 18:11 20:13,20 69:17
director 18:20,24 43:20 52:9
disabled 41:1,2,8, 11,15 42:13
disaffected 72:6
disclosed 58:20
discover 75:11
discovery 55:18
discredited 73:17
discretion 76:2
discussion 81:15
disinfect 21:2,15 22:18,22
disinfected 21:22 22:15
dismiss 64:9 81:1
dismissal 64:6,15 79:2
dispatch 54:8
disproven 67:13
districts 39:15,17, 18,20

document 60:8,10

door 45:25 47:4,20

doors 47:13

doubt 64:25 65:9,24 67:17 79:25 80:11

downtown 61:8

drastic 68:6 80:6

DRE 25:20 28:15 30:25 36:6,13,17 37:15,17, 20 38:1,4, 10,11 42:22 43:22 45:2,4,22 49:22 50:1,15 55:9 56:15 65:2 79:8 80:2

DRE's 41:24

DRE-BASED 35:25

DRES 47:18 50:24 52:8,15,24 61:13 71:13

drive 10:8 17:15

duly 9:15 18:8

Duncan 11:15

duties 39:8

E

E-NET 29:25

earlier 56:15

early 19:11 30:11 38:4 39:4,22,24 40:1,11,20 44:6,13 47:11

echo 66:22

Edward 77:4

effect 27:22 58:25 59:4

effective 71:25

efforts 21:1

elect- 78:4

election 11:15 19:9,18 20:1 21:25 23:11 24:4,18 25:20,22 26:4,9,13, 18 27:22 28:25 30:18 31:17 34:13,20, 24 35:6, 15,17 37:24 38:2,17,18 39:10,15 40:6,8,15 44:15 46:20 48:15 50:20 51:2,6 54:4,22 61:4,17 64:22,24, 25 65:2 66:11,17 67:15 69:15,18 72:4,15 73:18 74:22 75:15,22, 25 76:11, 13,25 77:24 78:17 79:22 80:1,4,8, 11,25

election's 80:9

Electionet 29:25

elections 16:4 18:20,25 19:2 22:4, 6 33:25 34:2,4,15 35:18,20 38:22 43:20 52:9 60:1 66:20 67:13 75:6 78:6

electricity 38:9,13

electronic 10:15 25:13 28:18 72:20 74:24 75:6 78:9

electronics 72:20

elicited 69:10

eligible 28:25

email 14:6

employed 18:17

employees 19:6,10
enables 30:13
end 11:22 12:9 33:15 78:13
Enet 30:4 31:2,8,15 32:4
English 63:11
ensure 69:18
entire 55:25
entity 60:18
equal 80:13
equipment 27:6 36:2, 4 44:8 75:8
erase 27:1
error 80:15
errors 23:13 25:9,11,13
established 71:4 72:4 80:9
evaluate 58:22
evaluated 76:2
event 75:24 79:1
eventually 81:8
everybody's 70:13
everything's 8:6
evidence 21:8 50:9 59:15,18 60:2 61:18,22 62:10,11 64:18 65:10,25 66:1,6,24 67:11,15, 18,20 68:8,17,22 69:10 71:4,8,11, 22,24 72:2,18,25 73:6,9,13, 15 74:2, 17,20 75:20 77:9 78:1,25 79:1,18 80:15,22, 24 81:2
evidentiary 58:11
evolved 72:22
examination 9:18 18:11 25:18 27:8 77:13
examined 21:17 55:13
exception 59:6 60:20
excuse 13:6 56:18
excused 17:13
exercise 76:1
existed 76:20
experience 7:20
experienced 13:1
experiences 73:9
expert 8:18, 20 60:22 61:15 68:18 69:13,25 70:4 72:10,17 73:6 74:11 77:13
expert's 58:24
experts 58:15
explain 39:22 41:2 46:4 48:2, 20
explained 77:18
explanation 74:17 78:11
explanations 77:15
express 28:15,18, 20 29:1 30:18,22 31:1,11,13 41:1,4,13 42:2,9
expressed 28:4
expression 29:4
extent 61:4
external 37:11,18
extraordinary 68:6
extremely 69:11
eye 7:18

F

face 63:13

facie 75:17 77:18

facilities 53:4

fact 31:24 42:17 50:8 60:2 64:8, 11 68:6,10 69:2 75:15 76:6 78:4

facts 21:4,6 58:20 64:18 79:2

fail 67:15

fair 22:20 23:10 48:4

fall 51:2

false 31:25

familiar 11:22 68:18

favorably 64:18

favored 67:20

federal 50:11 51:4 52:11

filed 64:22

find 25:2 34:18 50:20 62:17 65:22 74:25 75:1,2,10

finder 64:11

fine 13:20

finish 13:8, 9,22 14:1 62:6

fire- 45:18

firemen 45:18,20

firm 59:9

first-hand 43:2

fit 60:20

flash 31:11 41:17

follow 32:6 34:15,18 35:2

follow-up 57:10

force 8:11

foremost 52:17

forensic 27:6

forensically 55:11

forgotten 70:15

forms 45:11

formulations 68:20

Forty-one 71:2

forward 12:8

found 25:4 55:25

foundation 20:6,11 21:9 27:11 31:18

fraud 64:24

freakish 73:15

FRIDAY 7:4

full 18:15

full-time 19:6

fully 67:24

Fulton 18:18 19:2 20:22 21:1,2,20 23:11,22 25:20 32:22 34:10,13 35:18,24 36:8,10, 13,17 37:4,11,20 43:22 52:9 55:8,11,15 57:4 64:6 66:20 68:2 69:15 70:9

Fulton's 67:24

function 73:18

G

Ga 59:9 64:13 68:4,15 77:22

gain 24:18

GEM 19:22 37:4,8

GEMS 20:2, 11,22 21:2 22:9 23:11,13 36:25 47:17 53:6,9,10

general 38:17 51:6 71:18

generally 61:13

gentleman 60:20

gentlemen 7:8

George 71:13

Georgetown 34:8

Georgia 7:4 10:6 11:8 13:22 15:2,8 34:20 36:2 50:22 52:22 61:1,13 62:13 64:13,15 71:15 74:24 75:6,8 77:4,22 78:15

Georgia's 71:13,20 72:8,13

get all 38:20,25 39:2,4

give 7:25

giving 55:22 60:22 62:20

goal 61:8

good 7:8,18 13:22 33:18 48:2 71:18

governmental 60:18 71:9

governor 57:6 68:1 69:6 74:8,9 78:10,11

governor's 10:22,24 11:6 16:11 58:2 66:6,9,25 78:6

Governors 69:6

grant 81:1

granted 79:2

great 76:15

greater 54:1

greatly 59:2

grounds 64:8 79:2

groups 16:17

guards 69:18

guess 54:18 78:20

guessing 16:24

Gwinnett 16:2 66:20 68:2 70:9

H

hacking 31:15 32:4 70:4

half 18:22

hand 9:2 65:17 73:15

handled 81:4

handles 46:1

happen 73:11,15

happened 12:25 24:20 25:1 42:22 43:2 69:13 72:15 78:15

happening 47:11 78:2

hard 27:2

Hart 68:4,13 70:20

Hartman 34:6

hate 15:4

head 21:6

headed 72:24

hear 63:18 70:11

heard 28:2,4 68:18

hearing 55:22 63:8 76:2 82:4

hearsay 58:17 59:6 60:24 62:22

helpful 60:2

high 10:13 69:4 75:20

highly 71:6 73:17

Highway 59:10

Hills 40:13

history 69:6

home 12:24 17:15

Honor 7:13,18 8:6,11,24 13:22 17:11,20 20:4,17 21:2,6 24:13 27:10 28:9 29:6 31:18 33:2 35:8,10 47:25 51:13 52:15 55:18 56:2,9,18 57:17 58:9 59:1,13,22 60:6,15,25 61:10,20,22 62:11,15,24

63:2,4,13, 18 64:6 66:18,20 67:25 70:18,24 71:4 72:22 73:13 74:2,22 75:1,20 76:1,4 77:15 81:2,20,22 82:2

hooked 37:15,17

House 39:18

hundreds 54:24

I

idea 48:2 74:15

identifies 46:10

ignore 78:2

illegal 65:8,11 67:22 79:17

illegality 79:24

immediately 25:22

important 67:10 69:13 72:13

importantly 76:11

improperly 44:1

impropriety 65:25 66:15

inability 75:11

inadmissible 58:20

inappropriate 66:6

inaudible 8:6,8 17:6 53:1 62:15 68:6 71:6 75:25 81:10

incidents 24:2

include 52:13

including 67:10 69:2

inconsistent 75:11

increases 19:11 72:15

Independent 16:25

independently 59:4

indication 67:20

individual 68:22 76:15 77:2

individuals 66:8

inference 58:22

infiltrated 36:17

inform 30:4

information 24:13 28:24 30:9,20,24 31:2,4,8 38:20 52:1 65:15,17, 20,22 66:10

informed 73:6

initially 11:17

input 48:22 49:15

inquire 31:25

insert 26:18

inserted 30:17

insertion 26:20

inspect 25:8

inspections 76:24

instance 42:1 46:17

instant 64:20

instruct 62:15

insulate 75:6

interested 16:18

interesting 8:4

internal 26:22 54:13

Internet 37:15

interpret 34:25

interpreted 52:4

interrupt 68:11

interrupting

56:1

investigate 32:9

investigating 32:11

investigation 24:25 32:18 59:11

involuntary 64:6

involve 26:15

involved 16:17 33:25

involving 32:4

irregularities 67:15

irregularity 64:24 78:2 79:24

irrelevant 60:25

issue 8:22 25:4 42:22 43:10 60:4 65:18 68:8,9 74:13 77:22 79:20 80:2

issues 16:18 29:15 36:13,20 37:4 55:20 67:9

J

January 7:4

Jeff 68:1

join 67:24

joins 66:20

jokes 48:11

judge 34:2 38:15 39:11,22 41:2 43:8 44:2 45:2 46:4 47:15 48:15,20 55:20,22 81:6

judges 63:10 81:6

judicial 75:8

jury 8:4 58:20,22 63:2 78:20,24

K

Kaolin 64:15

Kemp 50:11

key 45:13

keypad 45:8

kind 24:25 68:8,9,22 76:13,18

kinds 79:9

knowledge 20:6 22:18 23:6 43:2 51:6 61:11

L

lack 29:2 67:6

ladies 7:8

laid 20:10 21:9 27:11,13

language 29:15

large 11:6 17:6 45:8 66:13

late 7:9

law 8:15 34:15,18, 25 36:2 49:20 50:1 51:6,10,18 56:22 58:13,17, 18 63:4 64:11,20 75:17 79:2,20 80:4

lawsuit 24:15

lawyer 56:25 62:2

lay 56:24

layout 69:4

lays 68:4

leading 20:13 29:9 51:2

learned 24:15 27:6

leave 62:15

Leclerc 23:15

Leclerc's 43:4

led 65:25 66:2 68:22

left 12:22 78:13

legal 65:22 66:17 67:22 79:18,22

legislature 75:13

level 30:4

lieutenant 10:24

16:11 57:6 58:2 66:4, 8,25 68:1 69:6 74:8, 9 78:11

life 27:2

lightly 80:6

likelihood 75:18

Lindsey 7:11,13 8:6,10 13:6,10, 20,22 14:2,25 15:24 20:10 47:24,25 48:6,11,13 49:6 51:4 55:18 57:10,11, 20,22,25 58:4 60:15 61:15 63:18 67:25 68:13,15, 17 70:18, 22,24 73:20 74:1 76:4 77:4 82:2

listen 62:20

listened 43:4

Lithonia 10:6,8,13

live 10:6 26:10 31:6 40:11 76:15

load 26:9

loaded 28:24

local 38:20

located 47:6

location 46:18 53:18

locations 45:17

lock 45:13

log 54:11

logic 26:10, 13 48:18 55:9

long 18:22 33:24 47:1 81:6

looked 14:9, 11 16:6 73:22

Los 10:4 11:4

lose 75:2

lot 69:13 71:11

lots 39:6

M

machine 10:15,20 25:6,9 27:2 31:2 36:17 37:15,17 38:1,10,11 40:20 41:20 42:22 43:17 47:4 48:15 49:1,2 60:4 74:24 76:24 78:9

machines 25:20 26:17 27:22,24 32:22 36:6,13 37:11,20, 22 38:4,6 39:4 42:4 43:22 44:4,6,11 45:4,6,13, 15,22 46:11,15, 22 47:11 49:2,22 50:1,15 53:13 55:9,13,15 56:15 61:13 62:22 65:2,4 71:11,15, 17 73:2 75:18,22 76:25

made 24:22 27:9 46:6 58:15 75:17

maintain 47:1

maintained 30:2 71:15

major 63:11

make 17:4 19:17 22:8,20 26:11 29:2 30:15 31:22,25 35:1 38:20 39:2,4 46:2 48:24 49:15 54:15 56:24 58:10 62:2 63:10 70:10 76:25 77:4

makes 41:17

making 8:6 11:8 22:13

32:1 76:17
MALE 64:1
malfunctions 12:11
malicious 68:9,22
malware 21:17 22:24 68:22 73:4 76:9,20 77:11
mandated 56:15
manually 49:15
margin 65:18 67:22
marked 53:13
match 48:24
material 62:13
mathematical 66:4 68:20
matter 50:8 64:15 68:10 76:6
matters 77:11
means 39:13 41:4
meantime 43:15
measures 47:17
mechanical 25:13
media 19:4 47:4
memory 21:20,25 22:2,9,15 23:2 26:4, 22 27:9,24 31:11 43:25 44:4 53:17,20
mentioned 53:6
mere 77:24 78:15
merits 66:22
metal 46:8 47:13
mic 21:11
Michael 72:2
microscopic 73:1
Middleton 77:22
mind 81:6,8
minds 57:18
minute 7:9, 25 55:4 81:11,24
minutes 63:18
mis-program 22:24
misconduct 64:24
misprogramming 73:4 75:2
missed 16:13
Mm-hmm 56:11
mode 41:1, 6,8,13,15 42:11,13
modes 41:6
moment 32:24
morning 7:8, 18 10:9 12:22 14:6 21:11 29:17 33:4 65:15
motion 64:2, 9 66:20 67:24 77:15 79:2 81:1
move 7:10, 15 64:6 79:2
movement 50:6
multiple 55:20
municipalities 38:22

N

narrow 80:2
NAS 61:6
national 58:11 59:2,10,24 60:17 62:11 71:6,8,13, 17 72:9 73:6
naturally 72:13
nature 74:15
needed 21:9
negligent 68:9
night 14:4
non-jury 64:15
nonliving 64:18
nonprofit 60:20
noon 7:10
note 59:4 60:6 79:4
noticed 12:13,15

November 10:11 23:11 24:18 31:17 37:24 38:17 39:15 49:4 54:22

number 46:9 62:18 67:4,8,22 69:4 78:6 80:22 81:15

numbers 44:8,9,20, 22 80:13, 20

O

oath 9:17 18:9

object 20:4 21:2 27:10 31:18 35:8

objection 20:15 24:13 29:6 51:4 52:15,20, 25 56:6 57:17

objectionable 52:2

objections 55:24

observe 27:11

observed 43:6

occasions 55:20

occur 40:20 67:6

occurred 61:2

occurs 45:17

OCGA 52:13 56:13 59:6

offer 79:1

offhand 50:17

office 19:18 24:6,13,15 43:13 44:25 51:1 57:22

officers 45:18,20

official 51:6 64:25 65:4 66:17 69:15

open 46:15 54:18

opening 56:4

operating 69:18 77:1

operation 25:15

operator 41:13

opinion 27:22 28:2,4 50:2 51:2 58:22,24 59:2 60:22 62:20 72:11,17

opportunity 55:22

opposite 59:11

option 41:13 67:11 73:18,20

order 63:25

orders 52:10

organization 60:20

originally 46:22

originates 22:11

outlined 70:6

outweighs 58:24 59:2

overcome 80:24

overruled 55:24

oversee 35:6,15 54:6

overseeing 19:4 23:20 34:13

overturn 77:24

overturned 75:25

overturning 78:17

owns 36:6

P

packet 27:2

pages 10:25 60:9,10

paper 72:20 73:1 75:25

paragraph 60:11

parallel 76:17

part 28:22 36:1 48:11 70:6

part-time

19:9

partial 72:4

particularities 68:18

party 67:6

pass 49:4

passed 77:15

password-protected 47:18

past 78:6, 11

penalties 35:22

people 19:22 57:18 59:18 74:4 80:4

perceive 62:10

percent 36:9,11 66:4,13 75:20

person 11:11 12:20 14:4 16:20 80:8

personally 24:6 35:20

petitioner 75:17

petitioner's 64:20

petitioners 10:1 65:2 75:13

ph 68:1,13 72:9 73:4 78:1 81:6

phrase 31:22 52:2

phrased 35:11

piece 72:4

place 8:8 33:18 39:25 40:11,18 43:15 44:18 65:9,11,24 67:17 69:24 76:10 77:10 79:25

places 19:2 39:1

plaintiff 64:18 69:2 75:2 76:8 77:11 78:22 79:2,22

plaintiff's 55:18,22 64:10 67:13 68:18,25 69:10,13, 25 70:4

plaintiffs 17:20 55:15 64:22 78:13 79:4

plaintiffs' 69:17

plate 47:13

plausible 74:18 77:13

plenty 16:15

plugged 38:13

podium 33:10,11

podiums 33:13

point 11:13 26:6 49:9 51:9 58:9 59:4,13 60:1 76:22

pointed 63:11

Poletto 64:13

police 45:20

political 39:20

poll 12:17 19:2 23:17 25:4 28:13,15, 18,20 29:1,4 30:18,22 31:1 36:20,22 38:25 39:1 41:1,4,13 42:2,6,9 43:11,15 44:10,22 46:11 53:15 65:17

poller 54:2

polling 19:2 39:1,25 40:11 43:15 44:18 46:18

polls 31:11, 13 65:11

popped 11:4

position 18:18,22 52:9 71:15 78:22 79:11

positions 49:15

positions'

72:22

potential
78:13

potentially
38:13

precinct
24:20
30:11
31:13 40:9
67:2 80:18

precincts
24:1
39:15,20
40:4,10
54:6

prejudicial
58:24 59:4

prepare
38:17

presentation
78:25

presented
73:4,6,20
77:11 78:8

presumed
67:15
79:20

presumption
67:18
79:22

pretty 62:20
63:10

previous
11:24
13:17 22:4

previously
20:15

prima 75:17
77:18

prinicipally
58:17

prior 22:6
23:10
31:15
48:15
53:25
61:11
76:11,25

private
60:20

privileged
52:18

probative
58:22 59:2

problem 7:13
41:20
68:10
73:10
76:13
77:22

problems
15:20

procedures
39:9 80:9

process
22:13
24:11 26:6
29:20 43:8
44:2,13
45:2

processed
39:6

product
59:11

promise 9:2
17:20

proper 20:11

properly
73:18 77:6

proponent
58:20

proven 75:18

provide
64:15

provided
66:10

public 54:17
59:6 60:18
62:18

pull 10:18
40:13

purchased
36:9,10

purely 66:4

pursuant
64:6

pushing
49:13

put 7:22
10:20
28:13 29:1
30:9,24
31:11,13
33:15
41:11
47:13
54:18 55:8
69:24 76:8

puts 28:15

Q

question
8:15
13:13,17
20:13
21:6,11
22:22
27:13
31:22
35:11 50:9
52:2 61:1,
4 74:1

questioning
55:25

questions
13:4,6,11
15:24
17:9,11
19:17
29:11
33:1,2
36:18
47:22,25
49:6 51:11
58:6 72:2
73:20

quick 13:6 68:11
quickly 72:2
quote 52:13
quoted 56:4
quoting 58:18

R

race 10:22, 24 11:6,15 12:2 16:11 57:6 58:1, 2 66:6,9, 25 67:6,11 68:18,20 78:4 79:10,13, 18
races 67:2 78:9
raise 9:2
raised 66:24 68:2
Raven 81:6
read 32:4, 6,15 50:11 56:22 59:18 62:22 68:2,4 70:15
reading 29:11 57:18 59:18,22 75:15 80:4
ready 26:13 38:20 39:4
realized 78:20
reason 22:13 63:4 69:9 73:22 77:15
reasonable 55:22 69:8 78:11
reasons 67:4 69:2 70:8
rebuttal 8:18
rebutted 69:1
recall 20:25 23:15,20 53:6 57:8 59:11
recap 44:20 46:15
receipt 65:11
receive 57:4
received 24:13 65:8 79:17
record 9:22 18:15 21:4 32:20 54:15 55:15 61:20 62:18 63:22 65:22 66:6 67:11,20
recorded 44:8,9,22 46:13 77:2,6
recording 49:15 70:2
records 54:18 59:6
recounted 72:10
recruited 39:1
reference 31:20
referring 56:13 68:11
reflect 21:4,11
refused 7:11
registration 18:20,24 19:4 28:22 29:2,22,25 34:15 35:18,20 39:6
Registrations 16:4 66:20
regular 41:6,13 42:11
reinstalled 20:2
rejected 65:8,22 66:18 67:22 79:18
rejection 65:11
related 32:4 33:2
relating 49:22
relevance 61:18
reliability 43:20
relied 61:15 62:18
relief 79:4
remarked 74:2
remedy 80:6
remember 11:2

remove 22:24
renew 58:11 63:2
renewing 63:4
reopened 25:6
rephrase 50:9
replace 64:25
replaced 20:22 72:4,6
report 24:2, 8 31:20 58:13 59:2,4,10, 22 61:6,13 67:2,4
reported 69:22
reports 31:15,18 32:2 59:6 66:25 69:11
represent 16:2
representative 39:18 80:6
reproduce 31:11
reproduces 31:11
reprogram 26:2
reprogramming 26:15 27:9,22
Republican 16:24 73:25
request 54:20 58:11
requesting 66:22
require 49:11 50:1 52:13 64:17
required 34:15 35:2 47:1 49:22 56:15
requires 50:22 52:22 58:18 64:22
requiring 52:6
reserved 80:6
respect 28:6 44:4 45:2 62:1 79:6 81:2
respond 35:10
response 72:2 76:4
rest 63:15
result 53:24 65:1,13,24 67:13 69:4 73:15 74:22 79:25 80:1,10
results 48:24 61:4 65:9 67:17,18 72:18 73:1,2,9 75:22 77:10
retired 16:13 45:20
returned 46:22
returns 79:22
revealed 76:9
review 23:11 75:8
reviewed 59:15 60:22
Richard 17:20 18:6,11,15 33:22
rid 79:11
roaming 54:6
rolls 19:4
room 38:10, 11
Roswell 40:13,17, 18
rule 8:8, 13,17 34:20,22 51:20 52:4 74:20 75:1,4,11 81:4
ruled 55:22 63:9,13 81:4,11
rules 8:10, 11 34:25 35:1,22 63:10
ruling 51:2
rulings 63:11
run 26:8,10 48:22 49:11,13

63:13

running 11:11 16:20 26:20 69:8

runoff 25:25 26:2 55:2

RUSSO 49:8, 10,18

S

safe 17:15 69:18

safeguards 69:22

safety 44:4 59:11

Sara 23:15

saved 54:9

scenarios 73:2

scholars 72:11

School 10:13

Science 59:24

Science's 59:2

Sciences 58:13 60:17,18

scientific 27:20,22 29:13 79:6

scientists 72:11

Scores 54:24

screen 10:15,18 12:13 14:10,18 15:13 16:22 25:13 40:22 41:9 42:10 49:13 73:24

script 48:22,25 49:11

seal 44:6, 9,11,22,25 46:4,9,10, 13,17,22 47:2,9,10

sealed 44:6, 15 45:4 47:4

seals 44:11, 22 45:22, 24 46:15

seat 9:6 17:24 63:25

seats 7:9

Secretary 19:18 20:1,6 32:18 51:1 69:15 75:6 77:6

section 64:22 65:6

Sections 64:22

secure 53:4, 18 61:2

secured 53:18

securing 60:1

security 7:20,22 45:2 47:17 53:6 60:4

SED 34:20, 22

select 41:13

selects 30:22

senate 39:18 67:6 78:4, 10

send 44:15 54:4

sending 59:18

separately 19:20

sequestration 8:11,13,17

Sequoia 34:6

serial 44:8, 20

server 19:18,22 20:1,22 30:18,20 37:1 47:17 53:6,11 72:4

servers 20:6

set 39:2 70:8 71:15

setting 80:4

sexist 48:4

sham 73:4

sheet 44:20 46:15

shook 21:6

show 42:10 56:13 65:6 75:13 80:15

showing 75:18 77:18 79:24

shown 64:22 72:25 79:4

shuffling 75:25
shut 43:17
sic 22:24
side 62:2 63:18
sides 62:4
sign 40:24 42:4
signs 73:10
simply 62:11 68:2,20
single 66:11
sir 10:22 14:15 15:2,15 16:13,18 20:18 47:24 57:22 81:4,17
skill 27:11
small 11:13 17:2
smaller 16:22
Smith 64:13 77:22
software 20:2 36:13,20 37:4 49:13
sort 25:18 66:1,15
source 31:4
specific 31:20 61:11,17 76:24
specifically 48:15 57:6
speculation 57:18 74:2,20 77:25 78:15
spend 71:22
square 17:2, 6
squares 11:6 16:8 17:4
staff 25:8
stand 8:25 76:8
standalone 30:20
start 59:18
state 9:22 17:20 18:15 20:1 30:2,4 31:11 32:18 34:15,18, 24 35:6,17 36:2,10 38:22 39:18 67:1 69:15,22 75:6 77:6 79:11
state's 19:18 20:6 31:2 51:1
state- 56:15
stated 60:22
statement 13:9 31:22 56:4
statements 31:25 61:11
states 69:6 71:20
statewide 74:22
statute 50:13,15, 18 56:2 75:13
stays 27:2
story 32:15
straight 30:17
stuff 59:18 63:11 78:20
styles 39:13 40:2,6
subject 35:20
subsection 71:2
subsequent 27:22
substantial 71:22
substantially 58:24
sued 79:11
sufficient 64:25 65:9,11, 20,24 67:15 79:25 80:24
suggest 72:20
suggested 73:20
suggests 63:6
summary 12:8
sundry 39:6
supervision 24:25 27:18
support 79:15
supporting 74:2

supposed 34:18 42:4 43:18 60:9 62:2

Supreme 77:22 78:15

survived 75:24

susceptible 70:4

sustain 20:15 52:18

sustained 24:15 53:2 56:6 57:20

sworn 9:17 18:9

system 20:11 28:22 29:20,22, 24 30:1,4 31:2,15 32:4 35:25 37:2,4,8 53:25 61:1 69:18 70:2,4 72:4,8 73:10,18 76:13,18 79:6,8,11 80:25

systemic 76:13

systems 34:6 70:1 72:9

T

table 50:6

taking 19:2 79:4

talked 12:20 15:18 53:4 69:25

talking 27:15 29:15 53:9,10 81:6,8

tampered 46:18

tampering 66:1

tape 48:24

technician 43:13 54:6

technicians 54:6

telling 12:25 52:13

tells 29:4 30:25

telltale 73:10

Temple 24:20

ten 63:17

tendered 58:15

terminology 29:18 30:15

terms 29:10 38:15 40:2 68:8,20 69:4,20,22

Terri 8:25 9:13,20,24 14:22

terrible 79:8

test 37:22 49:4 51:20

tested 37:20 38:2,4 44:6

testified 9:17 18:9 53:17,22 55:8 67:4 69:17 72:2

testify 7:20 69:13

testifying 61:15

testimony 8:20 19:13 20:13 23:15 43:4 48:17 54:1 62:13 68:24 69:11,15, 22 70:1,6 74:6 76:18,20, 22 77:2

testing 26:11,15 48:18 55:17

tests 26:20 55:10 76:6,8,11, 20 77:4,9

Texas 34:4,8

there're 43:11

thing 11:4,6 14:4 30:8 41:10 51:15,22 57:4 66:9 79:11 80:2,11 81:4

things 26:13 32:1 40:20 51:11 79:9

thinking 11:6 17:2

Thomas 8:25 9:13,20, 24,25

14:22 16:2
65:15

Thompkin 68:1

thought 28:8
59:17
73:22,24
74:9,10

thousand 80:17

throw 74:15

tick 73:22

ticket 43:13
53:25 54:4
69:8 73:25
74:8,10

tickets
53:22
54:9,11,20

tie 66:15

time 11:18
14:9 15:1
16:13,15
24:18
26:11
32:25 48:6
63:2 70:10
71:24
81:22

timely 39:6

times 15:6,9
48:13
74:18

today 72:6
76:22

told 12:25
41:24 45:4

Traffic 59:10

trained 39:1

training 19:2

Travis 34:4

treat 20:17

treated 66:22

trial 63:9

trier 64:8

triers 60:2

trouble 50:6

trusted 20:2

truth 9:2,4
17:22

TS 67:4

turn 25:22
31:2

type 20:11
25:13
27:11

Tyson 7:15
16:1,2,4
17:9 20:4
27:10
31:18
47:24
52:25
61:6,10
66:18
77:18
81:20

U

U.S. 78:4,10

ultimate 35:18

Um-hmm 49:24

un-rebutted 72:18

unable 42:18

unanimous 72:11

unanswered 62:15

uncontroversial 76:6

uncontroverted
70:6
76:18,20,22 77:2

under-vote
66:1,2,4,15 67:6
69:2,9
79:18
80:11,15

under-votes
66:11 67:9

understand
21:13
22:18 28:6
29:11
34:22
57:22
59:13
62:22
79:18

understanding
19:18,25
22:22
24:11,20
25:11
26:25
48:17
49:25
51:18
52:22

understood 62:25

undertake 35:15

undertaken 80:6

undisputed
69:15 70:1
71:10

unfair 59:17

uniform 36:2

unique 46:10

unit 27:8
30:20
47:15

urge 59:22

useless 62:22

V

valid 61:4 67:15

verified 44:11

verify 44:22 46:13

Versus 68:15

victory 67:22

view 62:4 73:13

violation 80:9,10

viruses 36:15,22 37:6

vote 10:11, 13,15 12:4,22 14:15 16:11 28:25 30:6 39:25 40:9,13,15 42:18 44:15 48:22 65:13,18 66:8 67:11 69:20 70:2,4 72:22 73:24 74:8 77:1,4 78:13

voted 11:20, 22 12:24 15:1,8,15 48:25

voter 13:22 19:4 25:6 28:11,13, 17,22 29:4,25 30:6,10, 11,13,17, 22 39:6 41:2,11,25 42:15,18 43:10 69:4,20 73:2 74:6, 18 77:1 78:8

voters 40:8 41:8,9 67:10 69:4 70:2 73:9, 22

votes 48:22 49:17 65:8,11,22 66:17 67:22,24 78:1 79:17,18 80:13,17, 18,24

voting 7:20 11:9 12:15 16:18 17:4 19:11 30:13 32:20 34:6 35:25 36:2 38:4 39:4, 22,24 40:1,11,20 44:6,13 45:17 47:11 49:13 61:13 68:24 73:2 76:13

vulnerable 31:15 65:6 71:6,11 72:8,13 73:18 77:20

W

waiving 79:1

Walden 59:8

wall 38:13

wanted 7:10 16:6

warehouse 45:6,8,9, 10 46:13, 22,24 47:18

watcher 23:17

ways 43:11

web 37:18 70:1

webpage 60:17

whatsoever 74:20

Williamson 34:8

Winterville 80:13,18

witnesses 8:18 58:10 67:4 68:25 77:13

woman 15:4 48:4,8 65:13

word 29:13, 15 51:9 68:6

words 29:13

work 34:6 70:22 80:25

worked 34:4, 6

worker 25:4 28:13

30:22 42:8
43:11,15
65:17

workers 19:2
38:25
39:1,2
44:10,22
46:11

working 7:11
52:22

works 39:22

worry 48:10

worse 71:13,
17,18,20

write-in
67:8

writing
11:13

written
75:13

wrong 42:4,6

wrote 14:6

Y

years 18:22
53:20
71:15
78:11

yellow 28:11

yesterday
20:13
23:15
61:15
63:11 72:2
73:20

yesterday's
19:13

younger 48:9

0001

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J.	.	CIVIL ACTION FILE NO.: 2018-CV-313418
MARTIN, SMYTH DUVAL, and JEANNE DUFORT,	.	Taken at:
Plaintiffs,	.	Superior Court of Cobb County
vs.	.	70 Haynes Street
ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN,	.	Courtroom 402-M
Secretary of State of Georgia, et. al	.	Marietta, Georgia 30090
Defendants.	.	

. . . . . .

TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL PROCEEDINGS

FRIDAY, JANUARY 18, 2019
9:04 a.m. to 10:49 a.m.

STATE OF GEORGIA SENIOR JUDGE ADELE P. GRUBBS

REPORTED BY:

PRISCILLA GARCIA, COURT REPORTER
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF GEORGIA

TRANSCRIBED BY:
CHRISTIAN NAADEN

0002

APPEARANCES:

Plaintiff's Counsel: Bruce P. Brown, Esquire

Bruce P. Brown Law
Floataway Business Complex

1123 Zonolite Road N.E.
Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
404-881-0700
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com

Defendant's Counsel: John Belinfante, Esquire

Robbins, Ross, Allot, Belinfante
& Littlefield, LLC

500 14th Street N.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30318
404-856-3262

20 jbelinfante@robbinsfirm.com
21
22
23
24
25
0003
1
2
CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:
3
4
Defendant's Counsel: Vincent R. Russo, Esquire
5
6 Robbins, Ross, Allot, Belinfante
& Littlefield, LLC
7
8 999 Peachtree Street N.E.
9 Suite 1120
10 Atlanta, Georgia 30309
11 404-856-3260
vrusso@robbinsfirm.com
12
13
Defendant's Associate Counsel:
14
15 Alexander F. Denton, Esquire
Robbins, Ross, Allot, Belinfante
16
17 & Littlefield, LLC
18 500 14th Street N.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30318
19
20 404-856-3276
adenton@robbinsfirm.com
21
22
23
24
25
0004
1
2
CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:
3
4
Defendant's Counsel: Edward H. Lindsey, Jr., Esquire
5
6 Dentons US, LLP
303 Peachtree Street N.E.
7
8 Suite 5300
9 Atlanta, Georgia 30308
10 404-527-4580
11 edward.lindsey@dentons.com
12
13 Defendant's Counsel: SAMUEL S. OLENS, ESQUIRE
Dentons US, LLP
14
15 303 Peachtree Street N.E.

Suite 5300

Atlanta, Georgia 30308
404-527-4108
sam.olens@dentons.com

Defendant's Counsel: Kaye Woodard Burwell, Esquire

Office of the County Attorney
141 Pryor Street S.W.

Suite 4038
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

0005

404-612-0251
kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov

CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:

Defendant's Counsel: Bryan P. Tyson, Esquire

Strickland, Brockington
& Lewis, LLP

1170 Peachtree Street N.E.
Atlanta, Georgia 30309
404-219-3160
bryan.tyson@sbllaw.com

Defendant's Co-Counsel: Richard A. Carothers, Esquire
Carothers & Mitchell, LLC

1809 Buford Highway
Atlanta, Georgia 30518

770-932-3552
richard.carothers@carsmith.com

0006

I-N-D-E-X

WITNESS	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
Terri R. Thomas	9	14		
Richard Barron	18	33		

EXHIBITS:	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
NONE		

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
0007
1
2
3
4 COBB COUNTY, GEORGIA
FRIDAY, January 18, 2018 - 9:04 a.m.
5
6 * * * * * * *
7
8 THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. Take
9 your seats, if you will. I am a minute or two late, but
10 they wanted us to move again at noon.
11 MR. LINDSEY: Oh.
THE COURT: And I refused, so I've been working that
12
13 little problem out; okay?
MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
14
15 MR. TYSON: Thank you.
THE COURT: One move is enough; we shouldn't have had
16
17 to do that. So we'll see where we go. Okay. Day two,
18 where are we, Mr. Brown? Good morning.
MR. BROWN: Good morning, Your Honor. We have an eye
19
20 witness who will testify as to her voting experience who
is going through security right now.
21
22 THE COURT: Going through security; okay?
MS. BROWN: And then we'd like to put up her first,
23
24 but if we don't do that we would, you know --
25 THE COURT: We'll give -- we'll give her a minute,
0008
1 if --
2 MS. BROWN: And then we have --
THE COURT: Twice the bailiffs in the box, is that
3
4 what we've got? Boy, if you were a jury like -- that --
that's an interesting jury up there in that box.
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I want to see if you
[inaudible] making sure everything's in line as to the
7
8 rule of [inaudible] in place.
9 THE COURT: Do what?

10 MR. LINDSEY: The rules.
11 THE COURT: The rules of sequestration is in force.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor --
12
13 THE COURT: Okay?
MR. BROWN: -- as to the rule of sequestration --
14
15 THE COURT: What?
MR. BROWN: The -- the question that -- there's law
16
17 that the rule of sequestration does not apply to a
18 rebuttal expert witnesses, and so we would --
THE COURT: Only if it's a rebuttal expert to an
19
20 expert.
MR. BROWN: Or to expert testimony or to --
21
22 THE COURT: We'll -- we'll address the issue when we
get there.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, we
25 would call Terri Thomas to the witness stand.
0009
1 THE COURT: Whose is this? Okay.
2 MR. BROWN: Please raise your right hand. Do you
promise to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but
3
4 the truth?
THE WITNESS: I do.
5
6 MR. BROWN: Have a seat.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
7
8
9 P R O C E E D I N G S
10 * * * * * * *
11
Thereupon:
12
13
TERRI R. THOMAS
14
15
was called as a witness, and having been first duly
16
17 sworn in, and testified upon her oath as follows:
18
DIRECT EXAMINATION
19
20 OF TERRI R. THOMAS
21
22 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Please state your name for the record?
23
24 A. Terri R. Thomas.
25 MR. BROWN: Ms. Thomas, my name is Bruce Brown, and I
0010
1 am the attorney for the petitioners in this case.
2 THE WITNESS: Okay.
BY MR. BROWN:

3
4 Q. Where are you from?
A. I'm from Los Angeles.
5
6 Q. And where do you live now?
A. I live in Lithonia, Georgia.
7
8 Q. And did you drive from Lithonia this
9 morning here?
10 A. Yes.
11 Q. Did you vote on November 20, 2018?
A. I did.
12
13 Q. And where did you vote?
A. At Lithonia High School.
14
15 Q. And when -- and did you vote on an
electronic machine with a screen?
16
17 A. I did.
18 Q. And when you -- did you pull up the ballot
on the screen?
19
20 A. I put the little card in the machine, yes.
Q. Did the ballot then appear for the
21
22 governor's race?
A. Yes, sir.
23
24 Q. Did the lieutenant governor's race appear
25 on the first several pages of the ballot?
0011
1 A. No.
2 Q. How do you -- how do you know? How do you
remember that?
3
4 A. Well, like I said, I'm from Los Angeles,
and when I first -- the first thing that popped up
5
6 was the governor's race, and the first thing that I
saw were these two large squares. And I was thinking
7
8 to myself, "Georgia sure is making sure we know which
9 candidate we're voting for. There are only --
10 there's only one category on this page, and I didn't
11 even -- it didn't even dawn on me that there was a
third person running, because their little box was so
12
13 small on the writing outside.
Q. Did you -- did you see at any point in
14
15 going through the ballot, the election -- the race
between Amico and Duncan?
16
17 A. Not initially.
18 Q. When was the first time you saw Amico's
name?
19
20 A. It was -- I had gone through -- I had voted
for everything except one amendments because I wasn't

21
22 familiar with them, and then it was at the end of my
ballot, and it dawned on me that I hadn't voted for
23
24 her, so I just kept going previous and went all the
25 way back to the beginning of the ballot.
0012
1 Q. And when you went back to the beginning of
2 the ballot, did you see her -- her name on the race?
A. I did.
3
4 Q. Okay. And did you -- were you able to cast
a vote then?
5
6 A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And do you have any -- and then you
7
8 went forward to the summary?
9 A. Correct, to the end, yes.
10 Q. Okay. And you cast your ballot?
11 A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Were there any other malfunctions in
12
13 the screen?
A. Not that I noticed.
14
15 Q. Not that you noticed. And did you complain
to anyone about the -- about the voting?
16
17 A. Not at the poll.
18 Q. Okay. Did you thereafter?
A. I'm sorry?
19
20 Q. Thereafter that, did you?
A. I did. I did. The first person I talked
21
22 to was my daughter, because we usually go vote
together and she left me that morning. And so she
23
24 had already voted, and when I got back home I was
25 telling her what happened to me. And she told me
0013
1 that's not what she experienced.
2 Q. Okay.
MR. BROWN: Thank you very much.
3
4 THE WITNESS: Oh, you're welcome.
THE COURT: Any questions?
5
6 THE WITNESS: Excuse me.
MR. LINDSEY: Just a few quick questions, ma'am.
7
8 THE WITNESS: Yes. I was just going to finish my
9 statement here. I didn't finish.
10 MR. LINDSEY: Oh.
11 THE COURT: You're not here -- you have to be asked
questions; okay? If they don't ask you the direct
12
13 question you want them to ask you, you don't get to tell
it.

14
15 THE WITNESS: Okay.
THE COURT: Okay?
16
17 THE WITNESS: But it was about the previous question
18 he asked.
THE COURT: Well --
19
20 THE WITNESS: That's fine.
THE COURT: What do you think, Mr. Lindsey?
21
22 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, she's a good voter from
Georgia. Please let her go ahead and finish it.
23
24 THE COURT: Okay.
25 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
0014
1 THE COURT: Okay, finish then.
2 MR. LINDSEY: Go ahead, ma'am.
THE WITNESS: Oh. I'm sorry. You had asked if I
3
4 complained about that. Like I said, the first person was
my daughter. The next thing I did that night or the next
5
6 morning, I wrote an email.
MR. BROWN: Okay.
7
8 BY MR. BROWN:
9 Q. And when -- the first time that you looked
10 at the ballot screen?
11 A. Yes.
Q. When you first looked at it?
12
13 A. Yes.
Q. Was Amico's name on there?
14
15 A. No, sir.
Q. And were you looking to vote for her?
16
17 A. Yes.
18 Q. And you didn't see it on the first screen?
A. Correct.
19
20 MR. BROWN: Thank you.
21
22 CROSS-EXAMINATION
OF TERRI R. THOMAS
23
24
25 BY MR. LINDSEY:
0015
1 Q. Was this the first time that you've voted
2 in Georgia?
A. No, sir.
3
4 Q. Okay. I hate to ask a woman this, but how
-- how old are you, ma'am?
5
6 A. I am 62.
Q. Thank you. And you were -- how many times

7
8 have you voted in Georgia?
9 A. Probably about seven to eight times.
10 Q. Okay. And so, you went all the way
11 through?
A. Correct.
12
13 Q. And then when -- then you went back, and it
was on the screen; correct?
14
15 A. Yes, sir.
Q. You voted for Ms. -- I take it you voted
16
17 for Ms. Amico?
18 A. I did.
Q. Okay. And when you talked to your
19
20 daughter, your daughter said that she didn't have any
problems?
21
22 A. Correct.
Q. Okay.
23
24 MR. LINDSEY: No further questions.
25 THE COURT: Anything else, Mr. -- anyone else? Oh,
0016
1 Mr. Tyson.
2 MR. TYSON: Yes. Just briefly. Hello, Ms. Thomas,
my name is Bryan Tyson. I represent the Gwinnett County
3
4 Board of Registrations and Elections.
BY MR. TYSON:
5
6 Q. I just wanted to ask, when you said, when
you first looked at the ballot you said, you saw "two
7
8 squares" when they came on there?
9 A. Correct.
10 Q. And you -- I'm assuming you were looking to
11 vote in the governor's race. Is it possible that the
lieutenant governor's race was there, and you just
12
13 missed it the first time?
A. No, sir. No, sir. I'm retired; I have
14
15 plenty of time.
Q. Okay. And then your daughter, is she
16
17 involved at all with the coalition or any groups that
18 are interested in voting issues?
A. No, sir.
19
20 Q. Okay. And then, you said that there was a
third person running. Did you say that it was
21
22 "smaller or difficult to see?" Can you describe for
me what that was on that first screen?
23
24 A. I'm guessing it was -- it was Republican
25 and Democrat and Independent --

0017
1 Q. Yes?
2 A. -- but his square was so small. Like I said, I was amazed because I was thinking -- you're
3
4 going to make sure I know who I'm voting. This is the only category on this page, and the squares were
5
6 so large, and he had a little square [inaudible] just looking at him.
7
8 Q. Thank you.
9 MR. TYSON: I don't have any further questions.
10 THE WITNESS: You're welcome.
11 THE COURT: Okay.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I have no further questions,
12
13 and may the witness be excused?
THE COURT: The witness may be excused.
14
15 MR. BROWN: Thank you very much.
THE COURT: Have a safe drive back to your home.
16
17 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
18 MR. BROWN: Thank you very much.
THE WITNESS: You're welcome.
19
20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the plaintiffs would call Richard Barron. Please state -- do you promise to tell
21
22 the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
THE WITNESS: Yes.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Have a seat.
25
0018
1 P R O C E E D I N G S
2 * * * * * * *
3
4 Thereupon:
5
6 RICHARD BARRON
7
8 was called as a witness, and having been first duly
9 sworn in, and testified upon his oath as follows:
10
11 DIRECT EXAMINATION
OF RICHARD BARRON
12
13
BY MR. BROWN:
14
15 Q. Please state your full name for the record?
A. Richard Barron.
16
17 Q. And by whom are you currently employed?
18 A. Fulton County.
Q. And what is your position with Fulton
19
20 County?

A. Director of Registration and Elections.
21
22 Q. How long have you had that position?
A. Five and a half years.
23
24 Q. What does a director of registration and
25 elections do?
0019
1 A. I'm in charge of -- of administering all
2 the elections for Fulton County, taking care of all
the polling places, training the poll workers,
3
4 dealing with the media, and overseeing the voter
registration rolls.
5
6 Q. And how many full-time employees do you
have?
7
8 A. 18.
9 Q. And during the election, how many part-time
10 employees do you have or contractors?
11 A. Well, it depends on -- it's usually about
60. That increases during early voting.
12
13 Q. Okay. You were here in yesterday's --
during yesterday's testimony; correct?
14
15 A. Yes.
Q. Okay. I would like to ask you some
16
17 questions, and I want to make sure I'm clear. There
18 is an election server at the Secretary of State's
office, to the best of your understanding; correct?
19
20 A. Correct.
Q. And then separately, there's what we would
21
22 -- what some people call the GEM server at the
county; is that correct?
23
24 A. Yes.
25 Q. And is it your understanding that the
0020
1 election server at the Secretary of State was
2 reinstalled with a trusted copy of the GEMS software
after it had been compromised in 2016?
3
4 A. I have no --
MR. TYSON: Object on that, Your Honor. I don't
5
6 think there is any foundation that's Mr. Barron's going to
have knowledge about what the Secretary of State's servers
7
8 going to have or anything else.
9 THE COURT: But -- I --
10 MR. LINDSEY: I believe that they haven't laid a
11 proper foundation that there has been any type of
compromise with the GEMS system.
12
13 THE COURT: We had testimony yesterday. You actually

have him on direct and you're leading. That question
14
15 wasn't what you had previously. I sustain the objection
to it.
16
17 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, may I treat him as a -- treat
18 him on cross as an adverse?
THE COURT: No, sir. You called him for -- you
19
20 called him on direct.
MR. BROWN: Okay.
21
22 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Was Fulton County's GEMS server replaced in
23
24 2016 or 2017?
25 A. I don't recall.
0021
1 Q. Okay. Did Fulton County take efforts after
2 2016 to disinfect Fulton County's GEMS database?
MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, I am going to object to
3
4 assuming facts.
MR. BROWN: Let the record reflect that the witness
5
6 shook his head "No." Go ahead.
MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, his question assumes facts
7
8 that are not in evidence that there was something that
9 needed to be done. He hasn't laid a foundation.
10 THE COURT: Well, he just asked did they do it after
11 2016. That doesn't reflect -- he just -- I don't know why
I don't have a mic this morning. He just -- the question
12
13 is okay the way it is; okay?
THE WITNESS: I don't -- I don't understand what you
14
15 mean by "disinfect."
BY MR. BROWN:
16
17 Q. Was it examined for malware or any other
18 defects?
A. Not that I'm aware of.
19
20 Q. Was any of Fulton County's memory cards
that they used, were any of those memory cards
21
22 disinfected after 2016?
A. No.
23
24 Q. In 2018, you would have created a new
25 memory card for the 2018 election; correct?
0022
1 A. Yes.
2 Q. And those -- those memory cards would have
been the same memory cards that had been used in
3
4 previous elections; correct?
A. Yes.
5

6 Q. Elections prior to 2016; correct?
A. Yes.
7
8 Q. And you would have -- did you make those
9 memory cards from your GEMS -- your county GEMS
10 database?
11 A. Yeah. They -- that's where everything
originates, yes.
12
13 Q. Do you have any reason to believe that the
process that you go through in making the -- the
14
15 well, let me back up a little bit. Do you know if
other counties disinfected their memory cards after
16
17 2016 or not?
18 A. I still don't understand what you mean by
"disinfect," but I have no knowledge of any other
19
20 counties.
Q. Okay. Fair enough. Let me make sure
21
22 you're understanding my question. When I -- when I
say "disinfect," what I mean is to do anything to
23
24 remove any malware or mis-program [sic] that might be
25 on that particular device?
0023
1 A. No.
2 Q. And so the answer is, your -- you didn't do
that with the memory cards; correct?
3
4 A. No.
Q. Okay. And you, to -- to the best of your
5
6 knowledge, did any other counties do that?
A. I have no -- no knowledge of that.
7
8 Q. One way or the other?
9 A. No.
10 Q. Fair enough. Prior to the -- to the -- the
11 November 2018 election, did Fulton County review its
GEMS database to determine if there were any coding
12
13 errors on that GEMS database?
A. No.
14
15 Q. You were here yesterday, and did you -- do
you recall the testimony of Sara LeClerc?
16
17 A. Was she the poll watcher?
18 Q. Yes?
A. Yes.
19
20 Q. Were you -- do you recall what county she
was -- had been overseeing?
21
22 A. What county?
Q. It was Fulton County, correct, and AME
23

24 church?
25 A. Yes.
0024
1 Q. That's one of your precincts; correct?
2 A. Correct.
Q. Did you get a report of the incidents that
3
4 she described when you were in -- during the
election?
5
6 A. I didn't personally, no.
Q. Are you aware of someone in your office
7
8 getting a report like that? A report from AME or
9 about AME?
10 A. I -- I became aware of it through this --
11 this process, the court case.
Q. And -- and what is your understanding of --
12
13 of the information that was received by your office?
MS. BURWELL: Objection, Your Honor. He said that he
14
15 learned of it though this lawsuit, not through his office.
THE COURT: Sustained.
16
17 BY MR. BROWN:
18 Q. At any time, not in the November election
or not contemporaneously, but did you gain an
19
20 understanding of what happened at the AME Temple at
the church -- the AME Temple precinct?
21
22 A. I -- I've been made aware of the complaint,
yes.
23
24 Q. And did you or anybody under your
25 supervision do any kind of investigation to determine
0025
1 why that happened?
2 A. Yes.
Q. And what did you find?
3
4 A. We found -- well, we found that the -- the
poll worker -- the poll worker had an issue with that
5
6 voter, and then she -- she took down the machine, and
then later she reopened the machine later in the day.
7
8 Q. Did anybody on your staff inspect the
9 machine to see if there were any coding errors or
10 anything like that?
11 A. It's my understanding -- no, we didn't look
for coding errors.
12
13 Q. Did you look for any mechanical type of
errors that weren't electronic, like with the screen
14
15 operation?
A. No, not that day.
16

17 Q. Ever?
18 A. I don't think so, no.
Q. Did you do any sort of examination of -- of
19
20 any of the DRE machines that Fulton County used after
the 2018 election?
21
22 A. We -- no. We immediately had to turn those
around for the -- the December election.
23
24 Q. And when you -- you said you had to use
25 them for the December runoff?
0026
1 A. Yes.
2 Q. And when you used those for the December
runoff, do you reprogram them with a different
3
4 election memory card?
A. Yes. And they're -- yes.
5
6 Q. Okay. And so, at that point it would be --
describe that process for me, please?
7
8 A. Well, we have to run them through -- once
9 we get the database in, and we load the election, we
10 run them through a live -- a logic and accuracy
11 testing. We also -- we -- we calibrate them to make
sure the time and date are correct. Just some basic
12
13 things that we do to get ready for them -- to get
ready for the election and then the logic and
14
15 accuracy testing.
Q. Does that involve reprogramming the
16
17 machines?
18 A. Well, we -- we insert a new card in there,
and that has the new election on it.
19
20 Q. And does -- and does the insertion of the
new card and the running of these tests alter the
21
22 internal memory?
A. No.
23
24 Q. And how do you know?
25 A. I mean, it's not my understanding that it
0027
1 does. It doesn't erase anything that's on there.
2 There's a hard packet that stays on there from the
beginning, the life of the machine.
3
4 Q. How -- how do you know that?
A. That's -- well, because that's what I've
5
6 learned using these -- this equipment.
Q. Have you done any -- any forensic
7
8 examination of the -- the unit to determine if any
9 changes are made to that memory by reprogramming?

10 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object to that. I don't
11 think the foundation laid by Mr. Barron would have the
type of capability to observe or the skill to do that. It
12
13 needs to be laid first before we get into that.
THE COURT: Well, he can answer the question. He's
14
15 only talking for himself.
THE WITNESS: No.
16
17 BY MR. BROWN:
18 Q. Has anybody under your supervision done
that?
19
20 A. No.
Q. Are you aware of any scientific or computer
21
22 scientific opinion to the effect that reprogramming
the machines for the subsequent election has altered
23
24 the memory of the machines?
25 A. No.
0028
1 Q. You're not aware of that at all? You never
2 heard of that opinion?
A. No.
3
4 Q. You never heard that opinion expressed by
Mr. Bernhard?
5
6 THE COURT: Enough; okay? That -- that -- and I
understand you're an advocate and I respect that, but
7
8 that's far enough on that line of thought.
9 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
10 BY MR. BROWN:
11 Q. What is a voter access card?
A. That is -- that is the -- the yellow card
12
13 that has a chip on it that you -- when -- when a
voter checks in, you put it in -- the poll worker
14
15 puts it into the Express Poll to -- so that it -- it
will indicate which ballot on the DRE is accessible
16
17 by that voter.
18 Q. It's -- if you will, it's created by the
express electronic poll booth?
19
20 A. Yes, the Express Poll.
Q. The Express Poll. And is the Express Poll
21
22 part of the registration system in any way?
A. Well, the -- the voter registration
23
24 database is loaded with the information for the --
25 for everyone that's eligible to vote in that election
0029
1 is put onto the Express Poll.
2 Q. I want to make sure that I have this

correct. The -- the registration database, for lack
3
4 of a better expression, tells the poll books what
each voter access card should have on it, right?
5
6 A. No.
MS. BURWELL: Objection, Your Honor.
7
8 MR. BROWN: He said, no.
9 MS. BURWELL: First of all, it's leading; and second
10 of all he hasn't defined some of those terms.
11 THE COURT: He said "No" anyway; okay? And -- and I
-- I understand you're reading some questions you've got
12
13 and they're very scientific, but sometimes when you use
scientific words, you may use one word and he may use
14
15 another word, and you may not be talking in the same
language. And I think we're having some issues with that
16
17 this morning.
18 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Help me out on the terminology, Mr. Barron.
19
20 What is the system called, the biggest system in the
process?
21
22 A. The biggest system?
Q. Right. It's called the registration
23
24 system?
25 A. E-net, Electionet is the voter registration
0030
1 system.
2 Q. And that is maintained by who?
A. Well, it's maintained by the state.
3
4 Q. How does the Enet system inform -- does the
Enet system at the state level inform the county as
5
6 to which voter should vote where?
A. Well, every -- every voter, based on their
7
8 address, is given a ballot code and the only thing
9 that's -- the only information that is put onto the
10 voter access card is the -- the ballot code for that
11 voter, so that -- because all the ballots, the
different ballots for each precinct or during early
12
13 voting all the ballots are on there. The voter
access card enables the voter to access his or her
14
15 ballot.
Q. And to make sure I have my terminology
16
17 straight, the voter access cards are inserted at
18 Election Day into the Express Poll book's server;
correct?
19
20 A. Yeah. It's not a server; it's just a

standalone unit that -- the information is in the
21
22 Express Poll, the card goes in, the poll worker looks up the voter, selects the name, that ballot code
23
24 information is put on there, and what it does is it
25 tells the DRE to access this ballot.
0031
1 Q. Thank you for that. And the Express Poll
2 machine in turn gets its information from the state's Enet system; correct?
3
4 A. Not -- it's not why we're connected from it, but yeah, the source of information is from
5
6 there.
Q. And how does -- it's not connected live;
7
8 how does the information get from the Enet to the
9 county?
10 A. We -- we get -- we get a card, like, a
11 flash memory card that we -- we reproduce to put in the Express Polls, or the state reproduces all the
12
13 cards. We put them into the Express Polls for each precinct.
14
15 Q. Are you aware of reports that the Enet system was vulnerable to hacking prior to the
16
17 November 2018 election?
18 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object to that. I don't think there's a foundation about that, that any reports
19
20 about that. If Mr. Brown wants to reference a specific report, I think he can, but --
21
22 THE COURT: It's the way that you phrase the question. You -- you make it as if it were a statement of
23
24 fact. You can ask in that area, but you've got to
25 inquire, not make these false statements that you keep
0032
1 making about things.
2 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Right. Are you aware of any reports
3
4 related to hacking involving the Enet system?
A. I read that in the AJC.
5
6 Q. And after you read it in the AJC, did you follow up?
7
8 A. No.
9 Q. Did you investigate it at all?
10 A. No.
11 Q. Are you aware of anyone else investigating that?
12
13 A. No.

Q. Was it a -- was it a concern to you?
14
15 A. I didn't -- you know, when I read the story, I didn't know how seriously to take it. It
16
17 didn't -- I -- no.
18 Q. Were you aware -- or did the Secretary of State have an investigation?
19
20 A. I don't know.
Q. Just for the record, how many voting
21
22 machines does Fulton County have?
A. 3,108.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Let me take just a moment here. That's
25 all that I have at this time.
0033
1 THE COURT: Questions? Thank you.
2 MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, did you want me to do all my questions now or just the ones related to what he's
3
4 been asked this morning?
THE COURT: You can ask him anything you want to ask
5
6 him.
MS. BURWELL: I can ask as much as I'd like to ask?
7
8 THE COURT: Go ahead.
9 MS. BURWELL: Okay. Thank you.
10 THE COURT: We don't have a podium.
11 MS. BURWELL: Okay.
THE COURT: I know some of you are used to a podium.
12
13 I don't know that I like podiums, but is it -- we have no clerk, so you can use any of the clerk's area.
14
15 MS. BURWELL: Oh; okay? Well, maybe I can just --
THE COURT: Put it way over there on the end if you
16
17 want to be closer and seeing and not have the computer in
18 the way. Yeah, that's a good place.
MS. BURWELL: Okay.
19
20
CROSS-EXAMINATION
21
22 OF RICHARD BARRON
BY MS. BURWELL:
23
24 Q. Mr. Barron, can you tell the court how long
25 you've been involved in elections?
0034
1 A. Since December of 1999.
2 Q. Okay. And can you tell the judge a little bit about your background with elections?
3
4 A. I've worked with Travis County elections in Austin, Texas, from 1999 to 2003. I also worked for
5

6 Sequoia Voting Systems from 2004 to 2005. In 2006, I worked for Hartman Civic, and then I went to work for
7
8 Williamson County in Brown -- Georgetown, Texas, from
9 2007 to 2013, and then I came here.
10 Q. So, you've been with Fulton County since
11 2013?
A. Yes.
12
13 Q. And so in connection with overseeing the election activities for the Fulton County Board of
14
15 Registration and Elections, are you required to follow state law?
16
17 A. Yes.
18 Q. And where do you find the state law that you're supposed to follow?
19
20 A. The Georgia election code and also there's an SED rule book.
21
22 Q. And what's the SED rule book?
A. It -- it -- it's -- from my understand,
23
24 it's basically an -- the state election board has
25 some rules that they interpret from the law, and I
0035
1 think they also make some -- some rules in addition
2 to that.
Q. And you're required to follow those as
3
4 well?
A. Yes.
5
6 Q. Does the state oversee all of your election activities?
7
8 MR. BROWN: Object, object, Your Honor. She's asking
9 the witness what someone else does.
10 MS. BURWELL: May I respond, Your Honor?
11 THE COURT: Yes. We -- we -- we -- I think it's the way it's phrased. I -- I think you can ask the question.
12
13 Go ahead.
BY MS. BURWELL:
14
15 Q. Does anyone oversee the election activities that you undertake?
16
17 A. The state election board has the -- the
18 ultimate authority over the boards, the -- the Fulton County Board of Registration and Elections.
19
20 Q. And are there -- are you personally or is the Board of Registration and Elections subject to
21
22 penalties for not following the code and rules?
A. Yes.
23

24 Q. Can you tell the Court why Fulton County
25 uses the DRE-based voting system?
0036
1 A. That is part of -- there -- there's a
2 uniform voting law in Georgia, and every county uses
the same equipment. It's a state law.
3
4 Q. Do you have a choice on whether or not to
use that equipment?
5
6 A. No.
Q. Who owns the DRE machines that are used in
7
8 Fulton County?
9 A. 70 to 80 percent of them were purchased by
10 the state, and Fulton County purchased the other 20
11 to 30 percent.
Q. Can you tell the Court whether or not
12
13 Fulton County has ever had any software issues with
its DRE machines?
14
15 A. No.
Q. Are you aware of any viruses that have ever
16
17 infiltrated a Fulton County DRE machine?
18 A. No.
Q. Let me ask you now the same questions about
19
20 the poll book that you used. Are you aware of any
software issues with that poll book?
21
22 A. No.
Q. What about the viruses with that poll book?
23
24 A. No.
25 Q. And let me ask you now about the GEMS
0037
1 server, which is a -- yet a third component of the
2 system; correct?
A. Yes.
3
4 Q. Are you -- has Fulton County ever had any
software issues with the -- the GEM system?
5
6 A. No.
Q. And are you aware of any viruses with that
7
8 GEM system?
9 A. No.
10 Q. Are you aware -- is it -- are you aware of
11 any external attacks that Fulton County has had on
any of its machines?
12
13 A. No.
Q. Is it possible for there -- is -- is the
14
15 DRE machine hooked up to the Internet?
A. No.
16

17 Q. Is the DRE machine hooked up to anything
18 external, the Web, or anything like that?
A. No.
19
20 Q. Now, are the DRE machines tested by Fulton
County?
21
22 A. Yes.
Q. And do -- did you test the machines before
23
24 the November 6th election?
25 A. Yes.
0038
1 Q. Is each DRE machine that's used in the
2 election tested?
A. Yes.
3
4 Q. And are DRE machines that are used in the
early voting tested as well?
5
6 A. Yes.
Q. Are any of the machines connected to each
7
8 other?
9 A. Only by electricity.
10 Q. Okay. So one DRE machine in a room isn't
11 connected to another DRE machine in a room?
A. No.
12
13 Q. They're both potentially plugged into the
electricity in the wall, but other than that --
14
15 A. Correct.
Q. Now, can you tell the judge in terms of the
16
17 November 6th general election what you did to prepare
18 for that election?
A. Well, we began in August. We have to -- we
19
20 have to get the ballot ready. We have to make sure
we get all the information from all of the local
21
22 candidates or from the municipalities that are having
elections to the state, so that they can build a
23
24 ballot.
25 We have to get all the -- the poll workers
0039
1 recruited, poll workers trained; polling places we
2 have to make sure are -- are set.
We have to get all of our workers set up for
3
4 early voting. We have to get all the machines ready.
We -- we also make sure we get all of the -- the --
5
6 all of the voter registration applications processed
that are timely, and there are lots of little, sundry
7
8 duties that go along with all those.
9 Q. And those are the same -- the procedures

10 you go through for every election; is that correct?
11 A. Correct.
Q. Now, can you tell the judge about ballot
12
13 styles and what that means?
A. Well, we had 115 ballot styles in -- in the
14
15 November election. Those are based on -- on all the
-- the precincts and the districts, all the different
16
17 districts that -- that are within the county, from
18 state senate districts to -- to city boundaries to
House of Representative districts, all the -- all the
19
20 political districts are taken into account, along
with the precincts.
21
22 Q. So let me ask you about early voting. And
can you explain to the judge how early voting works?
23
24 A. During early voting, all of the ballots are
25 available at every polling place. You can vote
0040
1 anywhere during early voting.
2 Q. So what does that mean in terms of ballot
styles?
3
4 A. Well, for our 370-plus precincts that we
have, all of those are available with the 115
5
6 different ballot styles.
Q. How does that differ from Election Day?
7
8 A. On Election Day, the voters have to go to
9 their -- their assigned precinct to vote. So those
10 -- those precincts are what are available in each
11 polling place.
Q. So on -- for early voting, if you live in
12
13 Roswell, you can vote in Chattahoochee Hills, and
they can pull up your ballot?
14
15 A. Correct.
Q. But on Election Day, you can only vote in
16
17 Roswell?
18 A. Yes, at whatever assigned place in Roswell,
yes.
19
20 Q. So during early voting, are there things
that could occur that would cause a machine to say
21
22 "Cancel" on it?
A. Well, if it -- if they -- if the screen
23
24 comes up and there's a cancel sign on there, that --
25 that indicates that the ballot was created by the
0041
1 Express Poll in -- in the disabled mode, for a
2 disabled voter.

Q. Okay. So explain for the -- to the judge
3
4 what that means?
A. There's -- the Express Poll has two
5
6 different modes. You can have the regular mode where
-- where the ballot comes up, or there's a -- there's
7
8 also a mode for -- for disabled voters, because those
9 voters, the ballot doesn't appear on the screen.
10 The only thing that appears is -- is a
11 "canceled" button, so -- to cancel that out. Now if
the voter goes up, he can put -- it's in the disabled
12
13 mode, the Express Poll operator has to select the
option to go back to regular mode.
14
15 If they don't, the next card they create is
going to be in disabled mode.
16
17 Q. And so is that what makes it flash
18 "Cancel"?
A. Yeah.
19
20 Q. Does that mean that there's a problem with
the machine?
21
22 A. No.
Q. Okay. What does that mean?
23
24 A. The DRE's doing what it's told.
25 Q. So what happens to the voter in that
0042
1 instance, if it comes up and says "Cancel"?
2 A. Well, they would have to cancel the ballot
and then go back to the Express Poll. They're
3
4 supposed to get -- all of our machines have a sign in
them that say, "If there's anything wrong with your
5
6 ballot, whether it be that you've -- you think you
have the wrong ballot, then you need to go get a poll
7
8 worker to help you."
9 Like to go back to the Express Poll and tell
10 them or show them what's on the screen. From there a
11 new card needs to be created that it's in the regular
mode.
12
13 Q. Right. And it's not in disabled mode?
A. Correct.
14
15 Q. So then the voter can go and cast a ballot?
A. Yes.
16
17 Q. So the fact that it says -- that it had
18 come up cancelled doesn't mean that the voter was
unable to cast a vote --
19
20 A. Correct.

Q. -- on that day? Now let me ask you about
21
22 if an issue comes up with a DRE machine, and Mr.
Brown asked you about something that happened at, I
23
24 believe, church. So you weren't at the church that
25 particular day, were you?
0043
1 A. No.
2 Q. So you don't have any first-hand knowledge
about what particularly happened; correct?
3
4 A. Correct.
Q. But you listened to Ms. LeClerc's testimony
5
6 about what she observed?
A. Yes.
7
8 Q. Can you tell the judge what the process is
9 under those circumstances, if they're -- if this
10 particular voter has an issue?
11 A. Well, we have a help desk that -- there're
are different ways that a poll worker can contact the
12
13 office. They should call the help desk, and then a
ticket is created, and then a technician would be
14
15 sent to the polling place.
In the meantime, if the poll worker has a
16
17 concern about the machine, they -- they shut it down,
18 as they did, and so -- and that's what they're
supposed to do.
19
20 Q. Okay. Do you, as the director of
elections, have any concerns about the reliability of
21
22 the DRE machines that have been used in Fulton
County?
23
24 A. No.
25 Q. Do you have any concern about any memory
0044
1 cards having ever been improperly accessed?
2 A. No.
Q. Can you tell the judge what the process is
3
4 for safety with respect to the memory cards?
A. All the -- all the machines will -- like,
5
6 in early voting, for example, all the machines are,
after they're tested, all of them are sealed to seal
7
8 the equipment, the serial numbers are recorded, and
9 the seal numbers are recorded, and those go out with
10 -- with the poll workers.
11 Every day those -- those machines are -- the
seals are broken, they're verified, they -- they seal
12
13 them up again, and it goes -- the -- the process goes

through the 19 days of early voting.
14
15 They're -- on Election Day, when we send those out, they're -- they're sealed after the vote --
16
17 after, like, an accuracy is done. Those are also
18 delivered out, and they -- they go to the polling place.
19
20 They're -- they are -- there is a recap sheet with it, and they have the serial numbers and the --
21
22 the seal numbers are also recorded on there. The poll workers verify that those -- the seals are not
23
24 broken, and if -- if one was to be broken, they're to
25 contact our office and to let us know that the seal
0045
1 was -- is broken.
2 Q. So can you tell the judge what the process is for security with respect to the actual DRE
3
4 machines themselves? You told us about the cards being sealed and kept. What about the actual DRE
5
6 machines?
A. In our warehouse, they -- they are kept in
7
8 a -- in a large warehouse. There's a keypad to get
9 in. It's also -- the warehouse is alarmed, and we
10 also have cameras in -- in the warehouse.
11 Q. Do you have chain-of-custody forms --
A. Yes.
12
13 Q. -- for the machines? Are those kept under lock and key?
14
15 A. Yes.
Q. And how are the machines delivered to the
16
17 actual locations where voting occurs?
18 A. We have different either -- usually, it's either active-duty fire- -- firemen or officers or
19
20 retired firemen or officers that -- police officers that do -- do the deliveries.
21
22 Q. And when those DRE machines are delivered, they have seals on them?
23
24 A. They have seals on them, and there's a
25 cable through the door -- through the -- through the
0046
1 handles --
2 Q. Can you --
A. -- to make sure that they're all kept
3
4 together.
Q. Can you explain to the judge what the seal
5

6 looks like and what it's made out of and what's on it?

7

8 A. When they go out, it's a -- it's a metal

9 seal, and it has -- it has a number on there that

10 identifies that seal, and it's unique to that seal.

11 Q. And so what do poll workers do when they get the machines?

12

13 A. After they -- they will verify that the seal is -- that we recorded in the warehouse is the

14

15 same that it is on that recap sheet, and then they break the seals to open the machines.

16

17 Q. Are you aware of any instance where a seal

18 was broken or tampered with while at a polling location?

19

20 A. No.

Q. Now, once the election is over and the

21

22 machines are returned to the warehouse, is there a seal on it when it's originally returned to the

23

24 warehouse?

25 A. Yes.

0047

1 Q. And how long are you required to maintain

2 that seal?

A. 30 days.

3

4 Q. So if -- if a machine is sealed, is there any way someone can access the door where the media

5

6 cards are located?

A. No.

7

8 Q. Okay, why is that?

9 A. Well, they would have to break the seal to

10 get in there, cut the cable, break the seal.

11 Q. Are you aware of that ever happening?

A. No. Also on the early voting machines,

12

13 there's a metal plate that is put over the -- the doors on those. You'd have to crowbar those off, and

14

15 it would break the unit.

Q. Can you now tell the judge about the

16

17 security measures for the GEMS server?

18 A. That's password-protected. It's in the same warehouse with the -- with the DREs, so there's

19

20 -- you have to have the access code to get in the door. There's also an alarm, and there are cameras

21

22 in there.

MS. BURWELL: I have no further questions.

23

24 THE COURT: Yes, sir, Mr. Tyson. Mr. Lindsey?
25 MR. LINDSEY: I have some questions, Your Honor.
0048
1 I think you've alluded to it, Mr. Barron, but perhaps
2 it would be a good idea to go ahead and explain.
Before I do that, my co-counsel has admonished
3
4 me for asking a woman her age, so to be fair, sure that
I'm not a sexist, what's your age?
5
6 THE WITNESS: 52.
MR. LINDSEY: All right. That's the last time I'm
7
8 going to ask a woman her age.
9 THE COURT: I think everybody in here's younger than
10 I am anyway, so don't worry about that. Go ahead.
11 MR. LINDSEY: Yes. All jokes aside, let's get to the
serious part.
12
13 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. You've alluded to it a couple times, but
14
15 let's tell the judge a little more specifically.
Prior to the election, for each machine, it is my
16
17 understanding that according to your testimony that
18 you conduct what is called a logic and accuracy
testing?
19
20 A. Yes.
Q. Explain to the judge exactly what that is?
21
22 A. We have a script that we -- we vote, and
then once you input those votes, then you run the
23
24 tape and make sure that -- that the results match the
25 script that was voted.
0049
1 Q. Okay. And do you do that for each machine?
2 A. For each machine.
Q. Okay. And did all of the machines that
3
4 were used on November 6th, 2018 pass that test?
A. Yes.
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: No further questions.
THE COURT: Mr. --
7
8 MR. RUSSO: Briefly, I just -- only care about one
9 point.
10 BY MR. RUSSO:
11 Q. Mr. Barron, you indicated a script was run.
Does that -- does that require someone actually
12
13 pushing the screen and voting it, or is that a
software run on the computer?
14
15 A. No. We -- we actually input those manually
to make sure that all the positions are recording
16

17 votes.
18 MR. RUSSO: Thank you.
THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
19
20 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Barron, you were asked about a law
21
22 relating to -- that required you to use the DRE
machines, right?
23
24 A. Um-hmm.
25 Q. And is it -- was it your understanding that
0050
1 the law does require you to use the DRE machines?
2 A. Yes.
Q. And are you aware of -- of a court opinion
3
4 that says --
THE COURT: Okay. You -- you -- you -- that's what
5
6 we're having trouble with, and I can see movement over
here at this table. "Are you aware," you say that "are
7
8 you aware" as if it was a matter of fact. And it's fact
9 that's not in evidence, so rephrase the question.
10 BY MR. BROWN:
11 Q. Have you read the federal court decision,
Curling vs. Kemp?
12
13 A. No.
Q. Are you -- what is the statute that says --
14
15 do -- do you know what the statute is that says you
have to use DRE machines?
16
17 A. Not offhand.
18 Q. Are you -- are you -- do you know of any
statute that says that?
19
20 A. I could -- if I had an election code, I
could probably find it, but --
21
22 Q. And -- and you're -- what you're saying is
that the Georgia code says that it requires the use
23
24 of DREs?
25 A. Yes, and that was clarified by the
0051
1 Secretary of State's office to all the counties
2 leading up to the fall election cycle.
Q. Did that opinion change after a ruling by
3
4 the federal court?
MR. LINDSEY: I'm going to objection to Mr. Brown.
5
6 He is an election official. He's got some general
knowledge, perhaps, of the law --
7
8 THE COURT: Yeah, you're using -- see, you used the
9 word "code," at one point. I -- whether he knows the

10 difference between the code and the law, which are two
11 different things, I don't know, but you're still -- you're
still -- it's the way you're asking the questions, Mr.
12
13 Brown.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I -- the -- if I might just
14
15 say one thing.
THE COURT: What?
16
17 MR. BROWN: They asked him, "What is your
18 understanding of the law?"
THE COURT: But -- and you can ask him what -- you --
19
20 you can test that, but you can ask, "Are you aware that --
that rule ever changed?"
21
22 MR. BROWN: Are you aware --
THE COURT: That does the same thing. See where I'm
23
24 coming from?
25 MR. BROWN: Yes.
0052
1 THE COURT: You -- you can get the information. It's
2 just the way you phrase the question that's objectionable.
BY MR. BROWN:
3
4 Q. Mr. Barron, are you aware if that rule was
ever changed or interpreted differently as not
5
6 requiring --
A. No.
7
8 Q. -- the use of DREs? Okay. Were you, in
9 your position as the director of elections for Fulton
10 County, were you briefed on court orders from the
11 federal court in the Curling case?
A. Yes.
12
13 Q. And did that briefing include telling you,
quote, "But OCGA 21-2-383(b) does not require the use
14
15 of DREs," as defendant claims it does?
MS. BURWELL: Objection, Your Honor. First and
16
17 foremost, it appears that he's attempting to ask about a
18 privileged communication.
THE COURT: It's not in -- it's -- it's -- I sustain
19
20 the objection.
BY MR. BROWN:
21
22 Q. Is it your working understanding, Mr.
Barron, that the Georgia Code requires the use of
23
24 DREs?
25 MR. TYSON: Yeah, objection, on behalf of
0053
1 [inaudible].
2 THE COURT: Sustained.

BY MR. BROWN:

Q. Mr. Barron, you talked about secure facilities and about how to secure the facilities. You mentioned the security with the GEMS server. Do you recall that?

A. Yes.

Q. And you weren't talking about the GEMS database; you were talking about the actual GEMS server; correct?

A. Correct.

Q. Okay. You -- you were describing how the machines are marked up, is that right? When they're in -- after they're in use; correct?

A. At the poll, yes.

Q. And you testified about how the memory cards are secured in a secure location; correct?

A. Yes.

Q. Those are the memory cards that have been used for years; correct?

A. Yes.

Q. You testified about the tickets that are created as a result of complaints from -- well, let me ask you this. The ticket system from your prior

0054

testimony, could you describe that for us in greater detail?

A. Well, a poller would call into our help desk on Election Day, and -- and then a ticket is created basically to -- if -- if we need to send a technician to that area. We have roaming technicians that oversee certain precincts, and then we can -- we can dispatch somebody.

Q. And where are those tickets saved?

A. We have -- we just have a database that keeps a log of our tickets.

Q. What is that database called?

A. Help desk. I don't think it has a name. I mean, it's just a help -- it's just our own internal help desk.

Q. Is that --is that a record that you make available to the public?

A. It's -- I mean, I guess it would be available if -- if somebody put in an open records request, yes.

Q. And how many tickets were created for the
21
22 November '18 election?
A. I don't know.
23
24 Q. Scores, hundreds?
25 A. I don't -- I don't think it was that many,
0055
1 but I don't know.
2 Q. And what about for the runoff?
A. I don't know.
3
4 MR. BROWN: Just one second. I'd like to take one
minute.
5
6 THE COURT: Sure.
BY MR. BROWN:
7
8 Q. You testified that Fulton County has put
9 the machines, the DRE machines through logic and
10 accuracy tests?
11 A. Correct.
Q. You -- has Fulton County forensically
12
13 examined the machines?
A. No.
14
15 Q. And just for the record, has -- has Fulton
County allowed plaintiffs access to the machines for
16
17 that testing?
18 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor. Here we go. Once again,
plaintiff's counsel is trying to bring back up discovery
19
20 issues that have arisen in this case that have all been
brought before the judge on multiple occasions.
21
22 Judge in this court has ruled after hearing
plaintiff's counsel giving him a reasonable opportunity to
23
24 argue, and the Court has overruled those objections, so
25 this entire line of questioning is found to be
0056
1 interrupting.
2 THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, this goes back to the statute
3
4 that I quoted in my opening statement --
THE COURT: Okay.
5
6 MR. BROWN: -- and that has to do with the --
THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
7
8 MR. BROWN: Thank you.
9 MS. BURWELL: If I could, Your Honor, just for one
10 second?
11 THE COURT: Mm-hmm.
BY MS. BURWELL:
12
13 Q. Mr. Barron, I'm going to show you

OCGA 21-2-300. Is that what you were referring to
14
15 earlier about being required to use the state-
mandated DRE machines?
16
17 A. You said 302? Or 300?
18 Q. 300.
MR. BROWN: Excuse me, excuse me, Your Honor. I've
19
20 just -- in the here --
THE COURT: Let me -- let me say this. You can all
21
22 read the law to me in closing.
MS. BURWELL: Okay. All right.
23
24 THE COURT: You don't -- don't -- don't make a lay
25 witness a lawyer.
0057
1 MS. BURWELL: Okay.
2 THE COURT: I'm sure he doesn't want to be one.
BY MS. BURWELL:
3
4 Q. Last thing, Mr. Barron. In Fulton County
you didn't receive any complaints of someone saying
5
6 that a race, specifically the lieutenant governor
race didn't appear on their ballot?
7
8 A. I don't recall that, no.
9 MS. BURWELL: Thank you.
10 MR. LINDSEY: For a follow-up to that.
11 THE COURT: Right.
BY MR. LINDSEY:
12
13 Q. If there had been something as serious as
that, do you believe that you would have been alerted
14
15 to it?
A. Yes.
16
17 MR. BROWN: Objection, Your Honor. That calls for
18 speculation as to reading the minds of other people who
might --
19
20 MR. LINDSEY: He's -- he's ahead --
THE COURT: Sustained.
21
22 MR. LINDSEY: -- of the office now.
THE COURT: Sir, I understand. "Did you get one" is
23
24 enough?
25 BY MR. LINDSEY:
0058
1 Q. Did you ever get one that day that a race
2 was not on, that particularly the lieutenant
governor's race was not on the ballot?
3
4 A. No.
MR. LINDSEY: Thank you.
5

6 MR. BROWN: No further questions, Mr. Barron.
THE COURT: Okay. You may go now. Thank you.
7
8 THE WITNESS: Thanks.
9 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, at this point we have no
10 further witnesses, but I would like to make one
11 evidentiary argument that may be well. And it is to renew
our request for the admission of the National Academy of
12
13 Sciences report. And let me just very briefly describe
the law on that.
14
15 The -- when it was tendered, I made the argument that
-- that the basis of it being admissible by experts is
16
17 prinicipally -- it's hearsay, but the -- but the law
18 requires is that --
And this is what the law says, and I'm quoting 24-7-
19
20 703, "Such facts or data that are otherwise inadmissible
shall not be disclosed to the jury by the proponent of the
21
22 opinion or inference unless the court determines that the
probative value in assisting the jury to evaluate the
23
24 expert's opinion substantially outweighs their prejudicial
25 effect."
0059
1 And so I would ask, Your Honor, to -- to allow the
2 opinion of -- to allow the National Academy of Science's
report, because their probative value greatly outweighs
3
4 the prejudicial effect, and I would also, at this point,
note that the report is independently admissible under
5
6 OCGA 24-7-803 (8)(C), which is the public records and
reports exception of hearsay.
7
8 And I would cite the case of Chrysler v. Walden, 339
9 Ga. App. In that case, the court allowed the firm
10 allowance of a report of the National Highway Traffic
11 Safety Administration opposite defects investigation about
a recall of a particular defective product.
12
13 We think that case is right on point. We believe,
Your Honor, that -- I understand that Your Honor has not
14
15 reviewed this evidence because it wasn't admitted in the
evidence.
16
17 THE COURT: Well, I thought it was unfair to
18 everybody for me to start reading stuff people were
sending me to read. There was going to be evidence when
19
20 there was no -- I didn't know whether it was admissible or
not, so I didn't --
21
22 MR. BROWN: We would urge Your Honor to consider
reading it and to conclude that, since a consensus report
23

24 from the National Academy of Science is the best that this
25 country has.
0060
1 And it's right on point about securing elections,
2 which is exactly what this case is about, it would be helpful to the triers of fact to have the best evidence
3
4 that this country could ever have about the issue in this case and that is the security of this machine.
5
6 MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, I would just note that this is not -- does not appear to be a complete copy. It looks
7
8 like the -- the cover page says -- looks like the document
9 is supposed to be 180 pages. This appears to be maybe the
10 first 8 pages of that document, because it begins at page
11 8 in here, but it's not a complete --
You can see that the -- the last paragraph continues
12
13 beyond this page, so it is not -- it's not even a complete copy.
14
15 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, admittedly I'm having to deal with what's on the line. But according to the
16
17 National Academy of Sciences on the webpage, the National
18 Academy of Sciences is not a public entity, not a governmental entity.
19
20 It is a private, nonprofit organization, therefore doesn't fit within the exception that gentleman has -- has
21
22 alluded to. The expert has already stated that he reviewed it, allowed it when he was giving his opinion.
23
24 It goes back once again to hearsay.
25 It's also irrelevant, Your Honor, because the
0061
1 question here is whether or not the system that Georgia
2 has is the most secure possible, but whether or not in this particular case a breach actually occurred, and to
3
4 the extent that the results of the election weren't valid. That's the question, and --
5
6 THE COURT: Mr. Tyson? Sorry.
MR. BROWN: -- the NAS report, that's something
7
8 that's better argued downtown under the goal of the
9 academy.
10 MR. TYSON: And, Your Honor, we do agree with the
11 prior statements, and just would add that, to my knowledge, that there is nothing specific about the
12
13 Diebold Georgia voting machines in this report. It's about DREs generally.
14
15 As Mr. Lindsey said, the expert has already relied upon this in testifying yesterday, and unless there is
16

17 something specific about this election, I don't see that
18 there's any relevance for the Court to consider to admit
it in evidence.
19
20 THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
MR. BROWN: First, Your Honor, just for the record,
21
22 as Your Honor is aware from the evidence, the -- the
evidence is entirely, entirely contrary to what counsel
23
24 says.
25 THE COURT: No, it's not. You see, you -- and I
0062
1 respect you, because you're an advocate. And you
2 absolutely believe your side of the case, and a lawyer is
supposed to do that. But that doesn't make it all right.
3
4 That's your view of it.
It's not -- yeah, I have to look at both sides. So
5
6 -- so that's really got nothing to do with it. I've got
-- let me say -- say what I -- go ahead and finish
7
8 arguing, because I very much know what I'm going to do on
9 it.
10 MR. BROWN: The evidence as we perceive it to be,
11 Your Honor, is -- and we're just simply not aware of the
contrary evidence, is that the defects are national, and
12
13 there's no difference, material difference between it in
Georgia, and we're not aware of any material testimony.
14
15 Therefore the unanswered court decision, I would instruct
it to leave it up to Your Honor [inaudible].
16
17 THE COURT: Okay. I -- I find it's not admissible.
18 It doesn't come in under public record, because it's not
public, okay? Number two, it was something that he relied
19
20 on in giving his opinion, and I've had his opinion. His
opinion is these -- if you listen to him, these pretty
21
22 much useless machines. I understand all that, and I'm not
going to read something that's hearsay.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
25 THE COURT: Okay? I mean, I understood what he was
0063
1 saying.
2 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. At this time, we
would also like to renew our demand for a jury. And the
3
4 reason why I'm renewing the demand, Your Honor, is that
there is -- there is case law that may not be the best law
5
6 around, but it suggests that if you don't continue the
demand --
7
8 THE COURT: I -- so we already in this hearing,
9 already in this trial, I have ruled. I'm one of these

10 judges, I'm afraid, who rules, you know. I make pretty
11 clear rulings, as we pointed out yesterday. I'm not an
English major, so my stuff comes out ching-ching-ching.
12
13 I've ruled. Don't run in the face of it, okay?
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
14
15 THE COURT: Thank you. Do you rest?
MR. BROWN: We do.
16
17 THE COURT: Okay. Let me do this. Let me take ten
18 minutes, and then we'll hear from the other side.
MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
19
20 MR. BROWN: Thank you.
21
22 [Off the record at 10:16 a.m., and back on the record
at 10:21 a.m.]
23
24
25 BALIFF: Please take a seat. Court comes to order.
0064
1 MALE: Oh, sorry.
2 THE COURT: Okay. Which defendant is to go first?
Oh, you have a motion?
3
4 MS. BURWELL: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay.
5
6 MS. BURWELL: On behalf of Fulton County, Your Honor,
I will move for an involuntary dismissal pursuant to 911
7
8 41(b) on the grounds that this court as the trier of fact
9 is allowed to adjudicate a motion to dismiss at the close
10 of the plaintiff's case.
11 And that is because the court is the finder of fact
as well as the determiner of the law and the cases are
12
13 clear and I'd cite the court to Chalk v. Poletto 346 Ga.
App 491 which is a 2018 case as well as Smith v. Georgia
14
15 Kaolin Company, Inc., 269 Georgia 475 both of which
provide that a dismissal in a non-jury matter under
16
17 91141(b) does not require the court to construe the
18 evidence most favorably for the nonliving plaintiff. And
that again is because the court determines the facts as
19
20 well as the law.
And in the instant case, the petitioner's under have
21
22 filed an election contest under 2125-22, Sections 1 and 3;
and Section 1 requires the plaintiffs to have shown
23
24 misconduct, fraud, or irregularity in the election, by an
25 election official sufficient to change, replace, and doubt
0065
1 the result.
2 Instead what these petitioners are alleging, is that
just the DRE machines themselves, nothing that an election

3
4 official did, but just the machines themselves are -- they don't -- they don't care for the machines and think that
5
6 they're vulnerable.
Under section 2125-22(3), they had to show that
7
8 illegal votes were received or illegal votes rejected,
9 sufficient to change or place in doubt the results. And
10 there's been no evidence at all that there have been the
11 rejection, the receipt of illegal votes, or the rejection of votes at the polls sufficient to change or place in the
12
13 result. They brought in one woman who said she didn't see it, but then she was able to vote for that, which was Ms.
14
15 Thomas -- I think her name was -- this morning.
And the only other information was information from a
16
17 poll worker who said she got second hand information that
18 someone wasn't able to vote, but that is one vote at issue and there were over a 100,000 vote margin between the two
19
20 candidates.
So there is not sufficient information back. There
21
22 was no information in the record which would allow this court to find that there were legal votes rejected,
23
24 sufficient to change or place in doubt the result.
25 There's been no evidence of impropriety that led to this
0066
1 under-vote. There's no evidence of any sort of tampering
2 that led to this under-vote.
And instead what they have done is they have said
3
4 there's a purely mathematical computation and they believe that a four percent under-vote for the Lieutenant
5
6 Governor's race is inappropriate.
And there is no evidence in the record about how or
7
8 why individuals choose not to vote for Lieutenant
9 Governor's race. But the one thing we do know is that
10 even based on the information they provided in every
11 single election, there are under-votes. Every single election.
12
13 The only difference here is that they complain that they believe that the amount of four percent is too large.
14
15 But again, there was no tie in between why there's an under-vote and any sort of impropriety on behalf of an
16
17 election official or any legal votes that have been
18 rejected. Thank you.
MR. TYSON: Your Honor -- Your Honor? Yeah. Your
19
20 Honor, just to briefly Gwinnett County Board of Registrations in elections joins in Fulton County's motion

21
22 requesting that this be treated as an adjudication on the merits which is allowed under 41(b) just to echo what Ms.
23
24 Burwell has raised the evidence before the court for the
25 reports that is that the Lieutenant Governor's race
0067
1 appeared on every ballot in the state according to the
2 base precinct report with races.
That the -- all the cards were counted according to
3
4 the TS report and that there are a number of possible reasons as the various witnesses testified about why an
5
6 under-vote may occur. It have been ballot design with the lack of the US Senate race, the lack of a third party,
7
8 there were a number of additional write-in candidates.
9 Any of those issues could go to why the under-votes are
10 there, including the most important one, voters chose not
11 to vote at the race as was their option.
There is no evidence in the record that all -- that
12
13 any of those have been disproven as a possible basis. And as a result, Mr. Brown and the plaintiff's elections
14
15 contest and must fail the election is presumed valid and there was no evidence of irregularities sufficient to
16
17 place the results in doubt.
18 To the contrary, there is evidence that the results should be placed, should be given the presumption that
19
20 it's favored because of the evidence in the record. And as Ms. Burwell indicated, there is no indication that the
21
22 number of illegal votes cast or legal votes rejected was anywhere near the margin of victory in this case, 123,000
23
24 votes. So we fully join in Fulton's motion.
25 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, first off, on behalf of the
0068
1 Lieutenant Governor Jeff Thompkin [ph] we will adopt the
2 argument raised by both attorneys for Fulton County and Gwinnett County. And simply once again, I want to read it
3
4 off again, into Hart v. Crawford, which I read at the beginning, 20 Ga. 7, 1998 decision which clearly lays our
5
6 the fact that [inaudible] with the extraordinary, drastic -- I believe the word used by the board is drastic. There
7
8 must be clear evidence of some kind of issue in terms of
9 either malicious or negligent kind of issue that created
10 the problem. The fact of the matter is --
11 THE COURT: Quick, which case are you referring to? Sorry to interrupt you.
12
13 MR. LINDSEY: Hart [ph].
THE COURT: Hart.

14
15 MR. LINDSEY: Versus Crawford, 270 Ga. 7, 1998.
THE COURT: I got it. Okay.
16
17 MR. LINDSEY: In regards to the evidence that you've
18 heard that the plaintiff's expert himself admitted that he
was not familiar with the particularities of this race in
19
20 terms of what was going on in this race, but simply doing
so by doing mathematical formulations. He admitted that
21
22 he has no evidence of any kind of malware or malicious
conduct by any individual, would have led to the under
23
24 voting that they have cited from the testimony of the
25 plaintiff's own witnesses.
0069
1 That was never rebutted by any other witness of the
2 plaintiff. The -- there -- there -- there are ample
reasons why there was an under-vote including the fact
3
4 that we had a high number of new voters, voter confusion
in terms of the -- as a result of the layout of the ballot
5
6 Governor, Lieutenant Governor. Given the history of
Governors that Lieutenant Governors of other states
7
8 running as a ticket. And that, that is a reasonable
9 reason for why there was an under-vote, in addition to
10 other evidence that was elicited from the plaintiff's on
11 their reports.
There's also testimony, I think this is extremely
12
13 important. a lot of plaintiff's expert testify as to what
might have, could have, should have happened. The
14
15 undisputed testimony from both the Secretary of State and
from the Fulton County election official from the
16
17 plaintiffs' themselves called on direct, testified as to
18 the safe guards that are taken both before an election and
on election day to ensure that the system is operating
19
20 correctly in terms of the voter being able to cast a vote
for the candidate of their choice and that vote being
21
22 accurately reported by the state; that the testimony has
not been contradicted by anyone in terms of the safeguards
23
24 that were put in place.
25 Nor has -- while the plaintiff's expert talked about
0070
1 systems that are on the web, we have undisputed testimony
2 that the system that deals with the actual recording --
accurate recording of a voters vote all the way up to the
3
4 counting of that vote is within a closed system that is
not susceptible to the hacking at the plaintiff's expert
5
6 has outlined as part of their concerns. That testimony is

uncontroverted.

7

8 So for those reasons as well as the reasons set forth

9 by my colleagues from Gwinnett and Fulton County, we

10 actually -- we also make this much at this time.

11 THE COURT: If -- I'm going to hear from Mr. Brown but before I do, do you have 911 with you?

12

13 MS. BURWELL: Okay.

THE COURT: Everybody -- everybody's only got the --

14

15 okay. I don't have a computer and I'm one of those -- I just have to go read it myself because I had forgotten

16

17 that you could do that. So if 911-41?

18 MS. BURWELL: Yes.

MR. LINDSEY: And Your Honor, since you don't have a

19

20 computer, would you like the Hart decision that I --

THE COURT: I've got it.

21

22 MR. LINDSEY: Okay.

THE COURT: I did some work.

23

24 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.

25 THE COURT: I won't say how much. 911? Let me look

0071

1 at -- here it is. Oh, I got one of these somewhere, let

2 me see 41. Let me see. Let me see. What subsection?

MS. BURWELL: Forty-one (b).

3

4 THE COURT: B. Okay. Go ahead, Mr. Brown.

MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the evidence established

5

6 first a national consensus on the [inaudible] are highly vulnerable and shouldn't be used. This -- there was no

7

8 contrary evidence as to national consensus, either by

9 governmental agencies or assigned this.

10 So the background is undisputed, and that is that

11 these machines for vulnerable to attack and that they should not be used. Second, there was a lot of evidence

12

13 about whether Georgia's particular DREs are worse than the national decrepitude because of the way George has not

14

15 maintained these machines over the last couple of years.

Our position is that Georgia has not done set on --

16

17 that these machines are worse even than the national

18 average, which worse than general and in general -- even if it were as good as general, they should not be used.

19

20 We believe Georgia's are much worse than even the bad ones in other states that should not be used. And we had

21

22 substantial evidence that is the case. At all -- since -- since they're defective anyway, I don't want to spend too

23

24 much time on the evidence that they're very, very

25 effective.

0072

1 But these are -- and I want to go through the
2 evidence very quickly. The -- Michael Barnes testified yesterday in response to my questions about the compromise
3
4 of the election server, that they had replaced one partial -- they replaced one piece of their system we established
5
6 today from Mr. Barren that the other components were not replaced and they were not disaffected. And so we believe
7
8 that Georgia's system is much more vulnerable than the
9 systems described by the national campus [ph] -- described
10 by our expert, recounted by our expert.
11 That the unanimous opinion of defense agencies, the scholars and the scientists, that they should not be used
12
13 and that Georgia's is even more vulnerable. And that is important because they're vulnerable that it naturally
14
15 increases the chances of what we're alleging in this election actually happened.
16
17 And then that was also the opinion of our expert or
18 at least un-rebutted yet. The other evidence that we have is the evidence that the results in this case, if you
19
20 compare the electronics and the paper ballot suggest that there's something about the electronic that was causing
21
22 the different vote. If Your Honor -- as the defendants' positions' has evolved, that seems to be where they're
23
24 headed also.
25 There is as -- as the evidence has shown, there is a

0073

1 microscopic chance that the results on paper are different
2 than the results on the voting machines because of voter choice or chance. Instead, two different scenarios have
3
4 been presented to you. One is that it is caused by malware or misprogramming or it's a sham [ph].
5
6 The other, and that evidence was presented by our expert, it was informed by the national consensus that
7
8 something like that was likely and that the particular
9 results and evidence about voters experiences were
10 telltale signs that there is a system problem within --
11 that the -- with the computers that was causing this to happen.
12
13 What is the other evidence? The way that -- that -- that we view the case, Your Honor, is that for this
14
15 freakish result to happen and I believe the evidence is one in 10,000, for that to happen, on the one hand, it
16
17 could have been this thoroughly discredited, highly

18 vulnerable election system did not function properly.
That's one option.
19
20 The other option is the one presented -- suggested by
Mr. Lindsey in his questions of Mr. Barnes yesterday and
21
22 that is the -- that the voters thought that there was a
tick. That the -- that for some reason they looked at the
23
24 screen and they thought, oh, we only need to vote for the
25 Republican ticket and the Democratic ticket.
0074
1 There is, apart from the question by Mr. Lindsey and
2 the answer by Mr. Barnes, there's no evidence supporting
that speculation. Your Honor remarked that we're more
3
4 concerned with why people did this. There -- the -- and -
- and that was the basis -- one of the basis for not
5
6 allowing some of our testimony.
The -- the here, there is no testimony that any voter
7
8 did not vote for the Lieutenant Governor ticket because
9 they thought it was -- the Lieutenant Governor because
10 they thought it was the ticket. Instead -- and they
11 didn't even have an expert -- they don't even have an
expert. They don't even try to get an expert.
12
13 THE COURT: Well, they haven't got that far yet. But
the issue -- the issue as we're all --
14
15 MR. BROWN: We're all. So they have -- just the
nature of, well, we can throw this idea out. Maybe this
16
17 is an explanation for it. We don't have any evidence.
18 It's not particularly plausible that a voter would do that
particularly as many times and it's not based upon any
19
20 evidence whatsoever.
It really is speculation. But the defendants rule
21
22 that the defendants would have Your Honor adopt, is that
if you challenge the result of a statewide election in
23
24 Georgia that it's done on electronic machine, is that you
25 have to find some bad code. That is what they would have
0075
1 Your Honor rule. We got to find the code. There's no bad
2 code, there's no misprogramming, then the plaintiff will
lose. But we're not going to let you find that bad code
3
4 ever.
And so the rule that the defendants want, the
5
6 Secretary of State wants would insulate decisions in
Georgia -- would insulate elections on electronic
7
8 equipment in Georgia from any judicial review because
9 you've got approved bad code, but you're not going to be
10 able to look at it to find it.

11 And we believe that the combination of that rule and the inability to discover it is inconsistent with the
12
13 statute, which was written by the legislature to allow petitioners to show that -- to allow that them to show,
14
15 and they have the court decide that at the election, so the fact that you can -- we believe a better reading of
16
17 the law is that once the petitioner has made a prima facie
18 showing of the likelihood that the machines caused the difference, and here there's -- we have proven that Your
19
20 Honor, we believe to a very high degree.
There -- the evidence is that there's a 99.95 percent
21
22 chance that there was something about the machines that caused the election results and we believe that in that
23
24 event, that we have survived and that we -- that the
25 election should be overturned [inaudible, paper shuffling]
0076
1 then in additional, that Your Honor exercise your
2 discretion to call another hearing for the code to be -- after the code be evaluated.
3
4 THE COURT: Anything -- any -- any response to that?
MR. LINDSEY: Yes. Very briefly, Your Honor. The
5
6 fact of the matter is that tests were conducted, this is uncontroversial. As a matter of fact, the bi-witnesses
7
8 that the plaintiff chose to put on this stand, tests were
9 conducted that would have revealed if malware was in
10 place.
11 Tests were conducted prior to the election. Most importantly, tests were conducted on the day of the
12
13 election to see whether or not there was any kind of systemic problem with this -- with the voting system.
14
15 And that was great details as to what that costed, in which they had cameras on one individual actually live,
16
17 making sure -- making choices and then they had a parallel
18 system and then seeing whether or not there was any kind of deviation. That testimony is uncontroverted that these
19
20 tests were done and the testimony is also uncontroverted that if a malware had existed it would have come out at
21
22 that point.
It was also uncontroverted testimony today regarding
23
24 the specific inspections that are done on each machine
25 prior to the election to make sure that the machines are
0077
1 operating correctly and that if a voter cast his vote for
2 individual A, it was going to be recorded as A. That testimony is uncontroverted. So we actually do have, here

3
4 in Georgia, tests to make sure that when Edward Lindsey cast a vote for Mr. Brown, that that's going to be
5
6 properly recorded by the Secretary of State, certified correctly.
7
8 There is no controversy to that. There's no --
9 there's no evidence to the contrary that these tests took
10 place or what the results were. And as to the other
11 matters regarding if there was no malware, what was the cause, we have presented -- by the plaintiff through the -
12
13 - with their own expert, with their own witnesses on cross examination have admitted that there were plausible other
14
15 explanations. For that reason, Your Honor -- we believe that this motion be passed.
16
17 THE COURT: Any -- anything else?
18 MR. TYSON: Yeah. Can I just briefly, again, for what Mr. Brown explained, there's no prima facie showing
19
20 here at all as far as that all we can say is that they might be vulnerable, they might be -- there might be a
21
22 problem, there might be an issue. Georgia Supreme Court is clear in Middleton v. Smith, 273 GA. 202 in 2000 which
23
24 you cannot overturn an election on the basis of mere
25 speculation. And that's what we have here. We have no
0078
1 evidence of additional [ph] votes. We have no evidence of
2 an irregularity happening.
Mr. Brown has also conveniently chosen to ignore the
3
4 fact that the ballot design that in 2018 you did not have a U.S. Senate race on the elect- -- on the ballot and
5
6 that's a deviation from a number of our past Governor's elections.
7
8 And so when a voter was presented with that
9 electronic machine, they saw the first two races instead
10 of being U.S. Senate and Governor, they were Governor and
11 Lieutenant Governor, which was a change from past years, which is also a very reasonable explanation for the
12
13 potential country vote.
So at the end of the day, the plaintiffs are left
14
15 with, we think maybe something might have happened and the Georgia Supreme Court is clear that mere speculation
16
17 cannot be the basis for overturning an election.
18 THE COURT: Anything further from you?
MS. BURWELL: Nothing further.
19
20 THE COURT: Okay. I had -- I guess I'm used to trying stuff to jury. I hadn't realized that I was in

21
22 this position.
And 91141(b) says, after the plaintiff in an action
23
24 tried by the court without a jury has completed the
25 presentation of his evidence, the defendant without
0079
1 waiving his right to offer evidence in the event the
2 motion is not granted, may move for dismissal on the
grounds at upon the facts and the law, the plaintiff has
3
4 shown note right to relief.
Taking everything the plaintiffs have said, your
5
6 attack is on the whole system and I respect that. And
there is authority that says that scientific authority
7
8 that says that DRE -- DRE system is terrible and all those
9 kinds of things. I'm very aware of that.
10 But what I'm here on is one race. Just one race.
11 You haven't sued the state to get rid of the system; okay?
That's one thing. I wouldn't be in this position if
12
13 that's where we were, but we're not, we're looking at one
race.
14
15 And you brought it on 212522 one and three. There
was absolutely nothing to support one. And then let me
16
17 look at three. There was no illegal votes received.
18 There's no legal votes rejected and in this race in the
evidence. Now, I understand you've got the under-vote
19
20 issue. I'll get to that.
The law is very clear that it's presumed there is a
21
22 legal presumption that the election returns of ballot;
okay? There's the presumption in ballot. The plaintiff
23
24 has the burden of showing an irregularity or illegality
25 sufficient to change all place in doubt the result of
0080
1 election; okay? The result of this particular election,
2 not all of them, not the DRE, not any of that. One -- the
one thing. It's a narrow issue.
3
4 It says the setting aside of an election, I'm reading
the law, of an election in which the people have chosen
5
6 their representative is a drastic remedy that should not
be undertaken lightly, but instead should be reserved for
7
8 cases in which a person challenging an election has
9 clearly established a violation of election's procedures
10 and has demonstrated the violation has placed the result
11 of the election in doubt. There is no -- the only thing
you've got is the under -- is the under-vote and the --
12
13 144 votes in Winterville; okay?
If the numbers should have been equal and there is no

14
15 evidence to show of the under-vote, but let's assume somehow that was an error and there should have been 31
16
17 more thousand votes.
18 And let's assume that the one precinct in Winterville was 144 votes, should all have been given to Ms. Amico
19
20 and we'll take the 31,000 as all being given to Ms. Amico. We're still nowhere near -- the numbers do not --
21
22 there is -- it's not even close.
There is absolutely no evidence of the number of
23
24 votes sufficient to overcome. And there is no evidence
25 that this system didn't work during this election.
0081
1 So on that, I'm going to grant the motion to dismiss.
2 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, with respect, the evidence with the --
3
4 THE COURT: Sir, I've ruled. This is one thing you haven't handled well with me because I rule. And now some
5
6 judges, you can keep talking and they change their mind. Old Judge Raven [ph] was that way. As long as you kept
7
8 talking, he would eventually change his mind. I don't do
9 that; okay?
10 MR. BROWN: [inaudible]
11 THE COURT: So let's not. I've ruled, I'm done. I've just got to clean my desk a minute.
12
13 MR. BROWN: We --
THE COURT: This is your book.
14
15 MR. BROWN: Would you like an discussion about the number you cited?
16
17 THE COURT: No, sir.
18 MR. BROWN: Okay.
THE COURT: Nothing.
19
20 MR. TYSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Thank you.
21
22 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor, thank you for your time.
23
24 THE COURT: It's going to take a minute, I have a
25 briefcase; you all just go. I've got to go way back in
0082
1 the other building.
2 MR. LINDSEY: I -- you have a briefcase Your Honor --
3
4 [Whereupon, the hearing was concluded at 10:49 a.m.]
5
6
7
8

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
0083
1 CERTIFICATE
2
STATE OF GEORGIA]
3
4] SS.
COUNTY OF DOUGLAS]
5
6
I, PRISCILLA GARCIA, A COURT REPORTER IN THE STATE OF
7
8 GEORGIA, DO HEREBY STATE THAT THE FOREGOING IS A TRUE AND
9 ACCURATE TRANSCRIPT AS TAKEN DOWN BY ME AT THE TIME,
10 PLACE, AND THE DATE HEREINBEFORE SET FORTH.
11 I DO FURTHER STATE THAT I AM NEITHER A RELATIVE NOR
EMPLOYEE NOR ATTORNEY NOR COUNSEL OF ANY OF THE PARTIES TO
12
13 THIS ACTION, AND THAT I AM NEITHER A RELATIVE NOR EMPLOYEE
OF SUCH ATTORNEY OR COUNSEL, AND THAT I AM NOT FINANCIALLY
14
15 INTERESTED IN THIS ACTION.
WITNESS MY HAND IN THE CITY OF DOUGLASVILLE, COUNTY
16
17 OF DOUGLAS, STATE OF GEORGIA, ON THIS 28TH DAY OF JANUARY
18 2019.
BY: _____
19
20 PRISCILLA GARCIA, COURT REPORTER
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF GEORGIA
21
22 COMMISSION NO.: W-00379933
COMMISSION EXPIRES: 08/14/2022
23
24 CERTIFICATION NO.: 5503-2677-8304-9216
25 LICENSE EXPIRES: 04/01/2019
0084
1
2
3 I, Chris Naaden, a transcriber, hereby declare
4 under penalty of perjury that to the best of my
5 ability the above 82 pages contain a full, true and
6 correct transcription of the tape-recording that I
7 received regarding the event listed on the caption on

8 page 1.
9
10 I further declare that I have no interest in the
11 event of the action.
12
13 January 28, 2019
14
15
16 Chris Naaden
17
18
19
20 (Transcript of Trial Proceedings)
21
22
23
24
25

1 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY

2 STATE OF GEORGIA

3

4 COALITION FOR GOOD . CIVIL ACTION
GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. . FILE NO.: 2018-CV-313418
5

6 MARTIN, SMYTH DUVAL, and .
JEANNE DUFORT, . Taken at:
7

8 Plaintiffs, . Superior Court of Cobb County

9 vs. . 70 Haynes Street

10 ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, . Courtroom 402-M

11 Secretary of State of . Marietta, Georgia 30090
Georgia, et. al .
12

13 Defendants. .
. . . .
14

15
TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL PROCEEDINGS
16

17 FRIDAY, JANUARY 18, 2019

18 9:04 a.m. to 10:49 a.m.

19

20 STATE OF GEORGIA SENIOR JUDGE ADELE P. GRUBBS
REPORTED BY:
21

22 PRISCILLA GARCIA, COURT REPORTER
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF GEORGIA
23

24 TRANSCRIBED BY:

25 CHRISTIAN NAADEN

1

2 APPEARANCES:

3

4 Plaintiff's Counsel: Bruce P. Brown, Esquire

5

6 Bruce P. Brown Law
Floataway Business Complex

7

8 1123 Zonolite Road N.E.

9 Suite 6

10 Atlanta, Georgia 30306

11 404-881-0700
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com

12

13 Defendant's Counsel: John Belinfante, Esquire

14

15 Robbins, Ross, Allot, Belinfante
& Littlefield, LLC

16

17 500 14th Street N.W.

18 Atlanta, Georgia 30318
404-856-3262

19

20 jbelinfante@robbinsfirm.com

21

22

23

24

25

1

2 CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:

3

4 Defendant's Counsel: Vincent R. Russo, Esquire

5

6 Robbins, Ross, Allot, Belinfante
& Littlefield, LLC

7

8 999 Peachtree Street N.E.

9 Suite 1120

10 Atlanta, Georgia 30309

11 404-856-3260
vrusso@robbinsfirm.com

12

13 Defendant's Associate Counsel:

14

15 Alexander F. Denton, Esquire
Robbins, Ross, Allot, Belinfante

16

17 & Littlefield, LLC

18 500 14th Street N.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30318

19

20 404-856-3276
adenton@robbinsfirm.com

21

22

23

24

25

1

2 CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:

3

4 Defendant's Counsel: Edward H. Lindsey, Jr., Esquire

5

6 Dentons US, LLP
303 Peachtree Street N.E.

7

8 Suite 5300

9 Atlanta, Georgia 30308

10 404-527-4580

11 edward.lindsey@dentons.com

12

13 Defendant's Counsel: SAMUEL S. OLENS, ESQUIRE
Dentons US, LLP

14

15 303 Peachtree Street N.E.
Suite 5300

16

17 Atlanta, Georgia 30308

18 404-527-4108
sam.olens@dentons.com

19

20 Defendant's Counsel: Kaye Woodard Burwell, Esquire

21

22 Office of the County Attorney
141 Pryor Street S.W.

23

24 Suite 4038

25 Atlanta, Georgia 30303

1 404-612-0251

2 kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov

CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:

3

4

Defendant's Counsel: Bryan P. Tyson, Esquire

5

6 Strickland, Brockington
& Lewis, LLP

7

8 1170 Peachtree Street N.E.

9 Atlanta, Georgia 30309

10 404-219-3160

11 bryan.tyson@sbllaw.com

12

13 Defendant's Co-Counsel: Richard A. Carothers, Esquire
Carothers & Mitchell, LLC

14

15 1809 Buford Highway
Atlanta, Georgia 30518

16

17 770-932-3552

18 richard.carothers@carsmith.com

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

I-N-D-E-X

3

4 WITNESS	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
Terri R. Thomas	9	14		
5				
6 Richard Barron	18	33		

EXHIBITS:	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
7		
8 NONE		

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1
2
3
4 COBB COUNTY, GEORGIA
FRIDAY, January 18, 2018 - 9:04 a.m.
5
6 * * * * * * *
7
8 THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. Take
9 your seats, if you will. I am a minute or two late, but
10 they wanted us to move again at noon.
11 MR. LINDSEY: Oh.
THE COURT: And I refused, so I've been working that
12
13 little problem out; okay?
MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
14
15 MR. TYSON: Thank you.
THE COURT: One move is enough; we shouldn't have had
16
17 to do that. So we'll see where we go. Okay. Day two,
18 where are we, Mr. Brown? Good morning.
MR. BROWN: Good morning, Your Honor. We have an eye
19
20 witness who will testify as to her voting experience who
is going through security right now.
21
22 THE COURT: Going through security; okay?
MS. BROWN: And then we'd like to put up her first,
23
24 but if we don't do that we would, you know --
25 THE COURT: We'll give -- we'll give her a minute,

1 if --

2 MS. BROWN: And then we have --
THE COURT: Twice the bailiffs in the box, is that
3

4 what we've got? Boy, if you were a jury like -- that --
that's an interesting jury up there in that box.
5

6 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I want to see if you
[inaudible] making sure everything's in line as to the
7

8 rule of [inaudible] in place.

9 THE COURT: Do what?

10 MR. LINDSEY: The rules.

11 THE COURT: The rules of sequestration is in force.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor --
12

13 THE COURT: Okay?
MR. BROWN: -- as to the rule of sequestration --
14

15 THE COURT: What?
MR. BROWN: The -- the question that -- there's law
16

17 that the rule of sequestration does not apply to a

18 rebuttal expert witnesses, and so we would --
THE COURT: Only if it's a rebuttal expert to an
19

20 expert.
MR. BROWN: Or to expert testimony or to --
21

22 THE COURT: We'll -- we'll address the issue when we
get there.
23

24 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, we

25 would call Terri Thomas to the witness stand.

1 THE COURT: Whose is this? Okay.

2 MR. BROWN: Please raise your right hand. Do you promise to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but
3

4 the truth?
THE WITNESS: I do.
5

6 MR. BROWN: Have a seat.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
7

8

9 P R O C E E D I N G S

10 * * * * * * *

11
Thereupon:
12

13
TERRI R. THOMAS
14

15
was called as a witness, and having been first duly
16

17 sworn in, and testified upon her oath as follows:

18
DIRECT EXAMINATION
19

20 OF TERRI R. THOMAS

21

22 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Please state your name for the record?
23

24 A. Terri R. Thomas.

25 MR. BROWN: Ms. Thomas, my name is Bruce Brown, and I

1 am the attorney for the petitioners in this case.

2 THE WITNESS: Okay.
BY MR. BROWN:
3

4 Q. Where are you from?
A. I'm from Los Angeles.
5

6 Q. And where do you live now?
A. I live in Lithonia, Georgia.
7

8 Q. And did you drive from Lithonia this

9 morning here?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. Did you vote on November 20, 2018?
A. I did.
12

13 Q. And where did you vote?
A. At Lithonia High School.
14

15 Q. And when -- and did you vote on an
electronic machine with a screen?
16

17 A. I did.

18 Q. And when you -- did you pull up the ballot
on the screen?
19

20 A. I put the little card in the machine, yes.
Q. Did the ballot then appear for the
21

22 governor's race?
A. Yes, sir.
23

24 Q. Did the lieutenant governor's race appear

25 on the first several pages of the ballot?

1 A. No.

2 Q. How do you -- how do you know? How do you remember that?

3

4 A. Well, like I said, I'm from Los Angeles, and when I first -- the first thing that popped up

5

6 was the governor's race, and the first thing that I saw were these two large squares. And I was thinking

7

8 to myself, "Georgia sure is making sure we know which

9 candidate we're voting for. There are only --

10 there's only one category on this page, and I didn't

11 even -- it didn't even dawn on me that there was a third person running, because their little box was so

12

13 small on the writing outside.

Q. Did you -- did you see at any point in

14

15 going through the ballot, the election -- the race between Amico and Duncan?

16

17 A. Not initially.

18 Q. When was the first time you saw Amico's name?

19

20 A. It was -- I had gone through -- I had voted for everything except one amendments because I wasn't

21

22 familiar with them, and then it was at the end of my ballot, and it dawned on me that I hadn't voted for

23

24 her, so I just kept going previous and went all the

25 way back to the beginning of the ballot.

1 Q. And when you went back to the beginning of
2 the ballot, did you see her -- her name on the race?
A. I did.
3
4 Q. Okay. And did you -- were you able to cast
a vote then?
5
6 A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And do you have any -- and then you
7
8 went forward to the summary?
9 A. Correct, to the end, yes.
10 Q. Okay. And you cast your ballot?
11 A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Were there any other malfunctions in
12
13 the screen?
A. Not that I noticed.
14
15 Q. Not that you noticed. And did you complain
to anyone about the -- about the voting?
16
17 A. Not at the poll.
18 Q. Okay. Did you thereafter?
A. I'm sorry?
19
20 Q. Thereafter that, did you?
A. I did. I did. The first person I talked
21
22 to was my daughter, because we usually go vote
together and she left me that morning. And so she
23
24 had already voted, and when I got back home I was
25 telling her what happened to me. And she told me

1 that's not what she experienced.

2 Q. Okay.
MR. BROWN: Thank you very much.
3

4 THE WITNESS: Oh, you're welcome.
THE COURT: Any questions?
5

6 THE WITNESS: Excuse me.
MR. LINDSEY: Just a few quick questions, ma'am.
7

8 THE WITNESS: Yes. I was just going to finish my

9 statement here. I didn't finish.

10 MR. LINDSEY: Oh.

11 THE COURT: You're not here -- you have to be asked questions; okay? If they don't ask you the direct
12

13 question you want them to ask you, you don't get to tell it.
14

15 THE WITNESS: Okay.
THE COURT: Okay?
16

17 THE WITNESS: But it was about the previous question

18 he asked.
THE COURT: Well --
19

20 THE WITNESS: That's fine.
THE COURT: What do you think, Mr. Lindsey?
21

22 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, she's a good voter from Georgia. Please let her go ahead and finish it.
23

24 THE COURT: Okay.

25 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.

1 THE COURT: Okay, finish then.

2 MR. LINDSEY: Go ahead, ma'am.
THE WITNESS: Oh. I'm sorry. You had asked if I
3

4 complained about that. Like I said, the first person was
my daughter. The next thing I did that night or the next
5

6 morning, I wrote an email.
MR. BROWN: Okay.
7

8 BY MR. BROWN:

9 Q. And when -- the first time that you looked

10 at the ballot screen?

11 A. Yes.
Q. When you first looked at it?
12

13 A. Yes.
Q. Was Amico's name on there?
14

15 A. No, sir.
Q. And were you looking to vote for her?
16

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. And you didn't see it on the first screen?
A. Correct.
19

20 MR. BROWN: Thank you.

21

22 CROSS-EXAMINATION
OF TERRI R. THOMAS
23

24

25 BY MR. LINDSEY:

1 Q. Was this the first time that you've voted
2 in Georgia?
A. No, sir.
3
4 Q. Okay. I hate to ask a woman this, but how
-- how old are you, ma'am?
5
6 A. I am 62.
Q. Thank you. And you were -- how many times
7
8 have you voted in Georgia?
9 A. Probably about seven to eight times.
10 Q. Okay. And so, you went all the way
11 through?
A. Correct.
12
13 Q. And then when -- then you went back, and it
was on the screen; correct?
14
15 A. Yes, sir.
Q. You voted for Ms. -- I take it you voted
16
17 for Ms. Amico?
18 A. I did.
Q. Okay. And when you talked to your
19
20 daughter, your daughter said that she didn't have any
problems?
21
22 A. Correct.
Q. Okay.
23
24 MR. LINDSEY: No further questions.
25 THE COURT: Anything else, Mr. -- anyone else? Oh,

1 Mr. Tyson.

2 MR. TYSON: Yes. Just briefly. Hello, Ms. Thomas, my name is Bryan Tyson. I represent the Gwinnett County

3

4 Board of Registrations and Elections.
BY MR. TYSON:

5

6 Q. I just wanted to ask, when you said, when you first looked at the ballot you said, you saw "two

7

8 squares" when they came on there?

9 A. Correct.

10 Q. And you -- I'm assuming you were looking to

11 vote in the governor's race. Is it possible that the lieutenant governor's race was there, and you just

12

13 missed it the first time?
A. No, sir. No, sir. I'm retired; I have

14

15 plenty of time.
Q. Okay. And then your daughter, is she

16

17 involved at all with the coalition or any groups that

18 are interested in voting issues?
A. No, sir.

19

20 Q. Okay. And then, you said that there was a third person running. Did you say that it was

21

22 "smaller or difficult to see?" Can you describe for me what that was on that first screen?

23

24 A. I'm guessing it was -- it was Republican

25 and Democrat and Independent --

1 Q. Yes?

2 A. -- but his square was so small. Like I said, I was amazed because I was thinking -- you're

3

4 going to make sure I know who I'm voting. This is the only category on this page, and the squares were

5

6 so large, and he had a little square [inaudible] just looking at him.

7

8 Q. Thank you.

9 MR. TYSON: I don't have any further questions.

10 THE WITNESS: You're welcome.

11 THE COURT: Okay.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I have no further questions,

12

13 and may the witness be excused?
THE COURT: The witness may be excused.

14

15 MR. BROWN: Thank you very much.
THE COURT: Have a safe drive back to your home.

16

17 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

18 MR. BROWN: Thank you very much.
THE WITNESS: You're welcome.

19

20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the plaintiffs would call Richard Barron. Please state -- do you promise to tell

21

22 the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
THE WITNESS: Yes.

23

24 MR. BROWN: Have a seat.

25

1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 * * * * * * *

3

4 Thereupon:

5

6 RICHARD BARRON

7

8 was called as a witness, and having been first duly

9 sworn in, and testified upon his oath as follows:

10

11 DIRECT EXAMINATION
OF RICHARD BARRON

12

13 BY MR. BROWN:

14

15 Q. Please state your full name for the record?
A. Richard Barron.

16

17 Q. And by whom are you currently employed?

18 A. Fulton County.
Q. And what is your position with Fulton

19

20 County?
A. Director of Registration and Elections.

21

22 Q. How long have you had that position?
A. Five and a half years.

23

24 Q. What does a director of registration and

25 elections do?

1 A. I'm in charge of -- of administering all
2 the elections for Fulton County, taking care of all the polling places, training the poll workers,
3
4 dealing with the media, and overseeing the voter registration rolls.
5
6 Q. And how many full-time employees do you have?
7
8 A. 18.
9 Q. And during the election, how many part-time
10 employees do you have or contractors?
11 A. Well, it depends on -- it's usually about 60. That increases during early voting.
12
13 Q. Okay. You were here in yesterday's -- during yesterday's testimony; correct?
14
15 A. Yes.
Q. Okay. I would like to ask you some
16
17 questions, and I want to make sure I'm clear. There
18 is an election server at the Secretary of State's office, to the best of your understanding; correct?
19
20 A. Correct.
Q. And then separately, there's what we would
21
22 -- what some people call the GEM server at the county; is that correct?
23
24 A. Yes.
25 Q. And is it your understanding that the

1 election server at the Secretary of State was
2 reinstalled with a trusted copy of the GEMS software after it had been compromised in 2016?
3
4 A. I have no --
MR. TYSON: Object on that, Your Honor. I don't
5
6 think there is any foundation that's Mr. Barron's going to have knowledge about what the Secretary of State's servers
7
8 going to have or anything else.
9 THE COURT: But -- I --
10 MR. LINDSEY: I believe that they haven't laid a
11 proper foundation that there has been any type of compromise with the GEMS system.
12
13 THE COURT: We had testimony yesterday. You actually have him on direct and you're leading. That question
14
15 wasn't what you had previously. I sustain the objection to it.
16
17 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, may I treat him as a -- treat
18 him on cross as an adverse?
THE COURT: No, sir. You called him for -- you
19
20 called him on direct.
MR. BROWN: Okay.
21
22 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Was Fulton County's GEMS server replaced in
23
24 2016 or 2017?
25 A. I don't recall.

1 Q. Okay. Did Fulton County take efforts after
2 2016 to disinfect Fulton County's GEMS database?
MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, I am going to object to
3
4 assuming facts.
MR. BROWN: Let the record reflect that the witness
5
6 shook his head "No." Go ahead.
MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, his question assumes facts
7
8 that are not in evidence that there was something that
9 needed to be done. He hasn't laid a foundation.
10 THE COURT: Well, he just asked did they do it after
11 2016. That doesn't reflect -- he just -- I don't know why
I don't have a mic this morning. He just -- the question
12
13 is okay the way it is; okay?
THE WITNESS: I don't -- I don't understand what you
14
15 mean by "disinfect."
BY MR. BROWN:
16
17 Q. Was it examined for malware or any other
18 defects?
A. Not that I'm aware of.
19
20 Q. Was any of Fulton County's memory cards
that they used, were any of those memory cards
21
22 disinfected after 2016?
A. No.
23
24 Q. In 2018, you would have created a new
25 memory card for the 2018 election; correct?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. And those -- those memory cards would have been the same memory cards that had been used in

3

4 previous elections; correct?
A. Yes.

5

6 Q. Elections prior to 2016; correct?
A. Yes.

7

8 Q. And you would have -- did you make those

9 memory cards from your GEMS -- your county GEMS

10 database?

11 A. Yeah. They -- that's where everything originates, yes.

12

13 Q. Do you have any reason to believe that the process that you go through in making the -- the

14

15 well, let me back up a little bit. Do you know if other counties disinfected their memory cards after

16

17 2016 or not?

18 A. I still don't understand what you mean by "disinfect," but I have no knowledge of any other

19

20 counties.
Q. Okay. Fair enough. Let me make sure

21

22 you're understanding my question. When I -- when I say "disinfect," what I mean is to do anything to

23

24 remove any malware or mis-program [sic] that might be

25 on that particular device?

1 A. No.

2 Q. And so the answer is, your -- you didn't do that with the memory cards; correct?

3 A. No.

4 Q. Okay. And you, to -- to the best of your knowledge, did any other counties do that?

5 A. I have no -- no knowledge of that.

6 Q. One way or the other?

7 A. No.

8 Q. Fair enough. Prior to the -- to the -- the November 2018 election, did Fulton County review its GEMS database to determine if there were any coding errors on that GEMS database?

9 A. No.

10 Q. You were here yesterday, and did you -- do you recall the testimony of Sara LeClerc?

11 A. Was she the poll watcher?

12 Q. Yes?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. Were you -- do you recall what county she was -- had been overseeing?

15 A. What county?

16 Q. It was Fulton County, correct, and AME church?

17 A. Yes.

1 Q. That's one of your precincts; correct?
2 A. Correct.
3 Q. Did you get a report of the incidents that
4 she described when you were in -- during the election?
5
6 A. I didn't personally, no.
7 Q. Are you aware of someone in your office
8 getting a report like that? A report from AME or
9 about AME?
10 A. I -- I became aware of it through this --
11 this process, the court case.
12 Q. And -- and what is your understanding of --
13 of the information that was received by your office?
14 MS. BURWELL: Objection, Your Honor. He said that he
15 learned of it though this lawsuit, not through his office.
16 THE COURT: Sustained.
17 BY MR. BROWN:
18 Q. At any time, not in the November election
19 or not contemporaneously, but did you gain an
20 understanding of what happened at the AME Temple at
21 the church -- the AME Temple precinct?
22 A. I -- I've been made aware of the complaint,
23 yes.
24 Q. And did you or anybody under your
25 supervision do any kind of investigation to determine

1 why that happened?

2 A. Yes.
Q. And what did you find?
3

4 A. We found -- well, we found that the -- the
poll worker -- the poll worker had an issue with that
5

6 voter, and then she -- she took down the machine, and
then later she reopened the machine later in the day.
7

8 Q. Did anybody on your staff inspect the

9 machine to see if there were any coding errors or

10 anything like that?

11 A. It's my understanding -- no, we didn't look
for coding errors.
12

13 Q. Did you look for any mechanical type of
errors that weren't electronic, like with the screen
14

15 operation?
A. No, not that day.
16

17 Q. Ever?

18 A. I don't think so, no.
Q. Did you do any sort of examination of -- of
19

20 any of the DRE machines that Fulton County used after
the 2018 election?
21

22 A. We -- no. We immediately had to turn those
around for the -- the December election.
23

24 Q. And when you -- you said you had to use

25 them for the December runoff?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. And when you used those for the December runoff, do you reprogram them with a different

3

4 election memory card?
A. Yes. And they're -- yes.

5

6 Q. Okay. And so, at that point it would be -- describe that process for me, please?

7

8 A. Well, we have to run them through -- once

9 we get the database in, and we load the election, we

10 run them through a live -- a logic and accuracy

11 testing. We also -- we -- we calibrate them to make sure the time and date are correct. Just some basic

12

13 things that we do to get ready for them -- to get ready for the election and then the logic and

14

15 accuracy testing.
Q. Does that involve reprogramming the

16

17 machines?

18 A. Well, we -- we insert a new card in there, and that has the new election on it.

19

20 Q. And does -- and does the insertion of the new card and the running of these tests alter the

21

22 internal memory?
A. No.

23

24 Q. And how do you know?

25 A. I mean, it's not my understanding that it

1 does. It doesn't erase anything that's on there.
2 There's a hard packet that stays on there from the beginning, the life of the machine.
3
4 Q. How -- how do you know that?
A. That's -- well, because that's what I've
5
6 learned using these -- this equipment.
Q. Have you done any -- any forensic
7
8 examination of the -- the unit to determine if any
9 changes are made to that memory by reprogramming?
10 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object to that. I don't
11 think the foundation laid by Mr. Barron would have the type of capability to observe or the skill to do that. It
12
13 needs to be laid first before we get into that.
THE COURT: Well, he can answer the question. He's
14
15 only talking for himself.
THE WITNESS: No.
16
17 BY MR. BROWN:
18 Q. Has anybody under your supervision done that?
19
20 A. No.
Q. Are you aware of any scientific or computer
21
22 scientific opinion to the effect that reprogramming the machines for the subsequent election has altered
23
24 the memory of the machines?
25 A. No.

1 Q. You're not aware of that at all? You never
2 heard of that opinion?
A. No.
3
4 Q. You never heard that opinion expressed by
Mr. Bernhard?
5
6 THE COURT: Enough; okay? That -- that -- and I
understand you're an advocate and I respect that, but
7
8 that's far enough on that line of thought.
9 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
10 BY MR. BROWN:
11 Q. What is a voter access card?
A. That is -- that is the -- the yellow card
12
13 that has a chip on it that you -- when -- when a
voter checks in, you put it in -- the poll worker
14
15 puts it into the Express Poll to -- so that it -- it
will indicate which ballot on the DRE is accessible
16
17 by that voter.
18 Q. It's -- if you will, it's created by the
express electronic poll booth?
19
20 A. Yes, the Express Poll.
Q. The Express Poll. And is the Express Poll
21
22 part of the registration system in any way?
A. Well, the -- the voter registration
23
24 database is loaded with the information for the --
25 for everyone that's eligible to vote in that election

1 is put onto the Express Poll.

2 Q. I want to make sure that I have this correct. The -- the registration database, for lack

3 of a better expression, tells the poll books what each voter access card should have on it, right?

5 A. No.

6 MS. BURWELL: Objection, Your Honor.

7 MR. BROWN: He said, no.

8 MS. BURWELL: First of all, it's leading; and second

9 of all he hasn't defined some of those terms.

10 THE COURT: He said "No" anyway; okay? And -- and I -- I understand you're reading some questions you've got

12 and they're very scientific, but sometimes when you use scientific words, you may use one word and he may use

14 another word, and you may not be talking in the same language. And I think we're having some issues with that

16 this morning.

17 BY MR. BROWN:

18 Q. Help me out on the terminology, Mr. Barron.

19 What is the system called, the biggest system in the process?

21 A. The biggest system?

22 Q. Right. It's called the registration

23 system?

24 A. E-net, Electionet is the voter registration

25

1 system.

2 Q. And that is maintained by who?
A. Well, it's maintained by the state.
3

4 Q. How does the Enet system inform -- does the
Enet system at the state level inform the county as
5

6 to which voter should vote where?
A. Well, every -- every voter, based on their
7

8 address, is given a ballot code and the only thing

9 that's -- the only information that is put onto the

10 voter access card is the -- the ballot code for that

11 voter, so that -- because all the ballots, the
different ballots for each precinct or during early
12

13 voting all the ballots are on there. The voter
access card enables the voter to access his or her
14

15 ballot.
Q. And to make sure I have my terminology
16

17 straight, the voter access cards are inserted at

18 Election Day into the Express Poll book's server;
correct?
19

20 A. Yeah. It's not a server; it's just a
standalone unit that -- the information is in the
21

22 Express Poll, the card goes in, the poll worker looks
up the voter, selects the name, that ballot code
23

24 information is put on there, and what it does is it

25 tells the DRE to access this ballot.

1 Q. Thank you for that. And the Express Poll
2 machine in turn gets its information from the state's Enet system; correct?
3
4 A. Not -- it's not why we're connected from it, but yeah, the source of information is from
5
6 there.
Q. And how does -- it's not connected live;
7
8 how does the information get from the Enet to the
9 county?
10 A. We -- we get -- we get a card, like, a
11 flash memory card that we -- we reproduce to put in the Express Polls, or the state reproduces all the
12
13 cards. We put them into the Express Polls for each precinct.
14
15 Q. Are you aware of reports that the Enet system was vulnerable to hacking prior to the
16
17 November 2018 election?
18 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object to that. I don't think there's a foundation about that, that any reports
19
20 about that. If Mr. Brown wants to reference a specific report, I think he can, but --
21
22 THE COURT: It's the way that you phrase the question. You -- you make it as if it were a statement of
23
24 fact. You can ask in that area, but you've got to
25 inquire, not make these false statements that you keep

1 making about things.

2 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Right. Are you aware of any reports
3

4 related to hacking involving the Enet system?
A. I read that in the AJC.
5

6 Q. And after you read it in the AJC, did you
follow up?
7

8 A. No.

9 Q. Did you investigate it at all?

10 A. No.

11 Q. Are you aware of anyone else investigating
that?
12

13 A. No.
Q. Was it a -- was it a concern to you?
14

15 A. I didn't -- you know, when I read the
story, I didn't know how seriously to take it. It
16

17 didn't -- I -- no.

18 Q. Were you aware -- or did the Secretary of
State have an investigation?
19

20 A. I don't know.
Q. Just for the record, how many voting
21

22 machines does Fulton County have?
A. 3,108.
23

24 MR. BROWN: Let me take just a moment here. That's

25 all that I have at this time.

1 THE COURT: Questions? Thank you.

2 MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, did you want me to do all
my questions now or just the ones related to what he's
3

4 been asked this morning?
THE COURT: You can ask him anything you want to ask
5

6 him.
MS. BURWELL: I can ask as much as I'd like to ask?
7

8 THE COURT: Go ahead.

9 MS. BURWELL: Okay. Thank you.

10 THE COURT: We don't have a podium.

11 MS. BURWELL: Okay.
THE COURT: I know some of you are used to a podium.
12

13 I don't know that I like podiums, but is it -- we have no
clerk, so you can use any of the clerk's area.
14

15 MS. BURWELL: Oh; okay? Well, maybe I can just --
THE COURT: Put it way over there on the end if you
16

17 want to be closer and seeing and not have the computer in

18 the way. Yeah, that's a good place.
MS. BURWELL: Okay.
19

20
CROSS-EXAMINATION
21

22 OF RICHARD BARRON
BY MS. BURWELL:
23

24 Q. Mr. Barron, can you tell the court how long

25 you've been involved in elections?

1 A. Since December of 1999.

2 Q. Okay. And can you tell the judge a little bit about your background with elections?

3

4 A. I've worked with Travis County elections in Austin, Texas, from 1999 to 2003. I also worked for

5

6 Sequoia Voting Systems from 2004 to 2005. In 2006, I worked for Hartman Civic, and then I went to work for

7

8 Williamson County in Brown -- Georgetown, Texas, from

9 2007 to 2013, and then I came here.

10 Q. So, you've been with Fulton County since

11 2013?
A. Yes.

12

13 Q. And so in connection with overseeing the election activities for the Fulton County Board of

14

15 Registration and Elections, are you required to follow state law?

16

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. And where do you find the state law that you're supposed to follow?

19

20 A. The Georgia election code and also there's an SED rule book.

21

22 Q. And what's the SED rule book?
A. It -- it -- it's -- from my understand,

23

24 it's basically an -- the state election board has

25 some rules that they interpret from the law, and I

1 think they also make some -- some rules in addition
2 to that.
Q. And you're required to follow those as
3
4 well?
A. Yes.
5
6 Q. Does the state oversee all of your election activities?
7
8 MR. BROWN: Object, object, Your Honor. She's asking
9 the witness what someone else does.
10 MS. BURWELL: May I respond, Your Honor?
11 THE COURT: Yes. We -- we -- we -- I think it's the way it's phrased. I -- I think you can ask the question.
12
13 Go ahead.
BY MS. BURWELL:
14
15 Q. Does anyone oversee the election activities that you undertake?
16
17 A. The state election board has the -- the
18 ultimate authority over the boards, the -- the Fulton County Board of Registration and Elections.
19
20 Q. And are there -- are you personally or is the Board of Registration and Elections subject to
21
22 penalties for not following the code and rules?
A. Yes.
23
24 Q. Can you tell the Court why Fulton County
25 uses the DRE-based voting system?

1 A. That is part of -- there -- there's a
2 uniform voting law in Georgia, and every county uses the same equipment. It's a state law.
3
4 Q. Do you have a choice on whether or not to use that equipment?
5
6 A. No.
7 Q. Who owns the DRE machines that are used in
8 Fulton County?
9 A. 70 to 80 percent of them were purchased by
10 the state, and Fulton County purchased the other 20
11 to 30 percent.
12 Q. Can you tell the Court whether or not
13 Fulton County has ever had any software issues with its DRE machines?
14
15 A. No.
16 Q. Are you aware of any viruses that have ever
17 infiltrated a Fulton County DRE machine?
18 A. No.
19 Q. Let me ask you now the same questions about
20 the poll book that you used. Are you aware of any software issues with that poll book?
21
22 A. No.
23 Q. What about the viruses with that poll book?
24 A. No.
25 Q. And let me ask you now about the GEMS

1 server, which is a -- yet a third component of the
2 system; correct?
A. Yes.
3
4 Q. Are you -- has Fulton County ever had any
software issues with the -- the GEM system?
5
6 A. No.
Q. And are you aware of any viruses with that
7
8 GEM system?
9 A. No.
10 Q. Are you aware -- is it -- are you aware of
11 any external attacks that Fulton County has had on
any of its machines?
12
13 A. No.
Q. Is it possible for there -- is -- is the
14
15 DRE machine hooked up to the Internet?
A. No.
16
17 Q. Is the DRE machine hooked up to anything
18 external, the Web, or anything like that?
A. No.
19
20 Q. Now, are the DRE machines tested by Fulton
County?
21
22 A. Yes.
Q. And do -- did you test the machines before
23
24 the November 6th election?
25 A. Yes.

1 Q. Is each DRE machine that's used in the
2 election tested?
A. Yes.
3
4 Q. And are DRE machines that are used in the
early voting tested as well?
5
6 A. Yes.
Q. Are any of the machines connected to each
7
8 other?
9 A. Only by electricity.
10 Q. Okay. So one DRE machine in a room isn't
11 connected to another DRE machine in a room?
A. No.
12
13 Q. They're both potentially plugged into the
electricity in the wall, but other than that --
14
15 A. Correct.
Q. Now, can you tell the judge in terms of the
16
17 November 6th general election what you did to prepare
18 for that election?
A. Well, we began in August. We have to -- we
19
20 have to get the ballot ready. We have to make sure
we get all the information from all of the local
21
22 candidates or from the municipalities that are having
elections to the state, so that they can build a
23
24 ballot.
25 We have to get all the -- the poll workers

1 recruited, poll workers trained; polling places we
2 have to make sure are -- are set.
We have to get all of our workers set up for
3
4 early voting. We have to get all the machines ready.
We -- we also make sure we get all of the -- the --
5
6 all of the voter registration applications processed
that are timely, and there are lots of little, sundry
7
8 duties that go along with all those.
9 Q. And those are the same -- the procedures
10 you go through for every election; is that correct?
11 A. Correct.
Q. Now, can you tell the judge about ballot
12
13 styles and what that means?
A. Well, we had 115 ballot styles in -- in the
14
15 November election. Those are based on -- on all the
-- the precincts and the districts, all the different
16
17 districts that -- that are within the county, from
18 state senate districts to -- to city boundaries to
House of Representative districts, all the -- all the
19
20 political districts are taken into account, along
with the precincts.
21
22 Q. So let me ask you about early voting. And
can you explain to the judge how early voting works?
23
24 A. During early voting, all of the ballots are
25 available at every polling place. You can vote

1 anywhere during early voting.
2 Q. So what does that mean in terms of ballot styles?
3
4 A. Well, for our 370-plus precincts that we have, all of those are available with the 115
5
6 different ballot styles.
Q. How does that differ from Election Day?
7
8 A. On Election Day, the voters have to go to
9 their -- their assigned precinct to vote. So those
10 -- those precincts are what are available in each
11 polling place.
Q. So on -- for early voting, if you live in
12
13 Roswell, you can vote in Chattahoochee Hills, and they can pull up your ballot?
14
15 A. Correct.
Q. But on Election Day, you can only vote in
16
17 Roswell?
18 A. Yes, at whatever assigned place in Roswell, yes.
19
20 Q. So during early voting, are there things that could occur that would cause a machine to say
21
22 "Cancel" on it?
A. Well, if it -- if they -- if the screen
23
24 comes up and there's a cancel sign on there, that --
25 that indicates that the ballot was created by the

1 Express Poll in -- in the disabled mode, for a
2 disabled voter.
Q. Okay. So explain for the -- to the judge
3
4 what that means?
A. There's -- the Express Poll has two
5
6 different modes. You can have the regular mode where
-- where the ballot comes up, or there's a -- there's
7
8 also a mode for -- for disabled voters, because those
9 voters, the ballot doesn't appear on the screen.
10 The only thing that appears is -- is a
11 "canceled" button, so -- to cancel that out. Now if
the voter goes up, he can put -- it's in the disabled
12
13 mode, the Express Poll operator has to select the
option to go back to regular mode.
14
15 If they don't, the next card they create is
going to be in disabled mode.
16
17 Q. And so is that what makes it flash
18 "Cancel"?
A. Yeah.
19
20 Q. Does that mean that there's a problem with
the machine?
21
22 A. No.
Q. Okay. What does that mean?
23
24 A. The DRE's doing what it's told.
25 Q. So what happens to the voter in that

1 instance, if it comes up and says "Cancel"?

2 A. Well, they would have to cancel the ballot and then go back to the Express Poll. They're

3 supposed to get -- all of our machines have a sign in them that say, "If there's anything wrong with your

4 ballot, whether it be that you've -- you think you have the wrong ballot, then you need to go get a poll

5 worker to help you."

6 Like to go back to the Express Poll and tell

7 them or show them what's on the screen. From there a

8 new card needs to be created that it's in the regular mode.

9 Q. Right. And it's not in disabled mode?

10 A. Correct.

11 Q. So then the voter can go and cast a ballot?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. So the fact that it says -- that it had

14 come up cancelled doesn't mean that the voter was unable to cast a vote --

15 A. Correct.

16 Q. -- on that day? Now let me ask you about

17 if an issue comes up with a DRE machine, and Mr. Brown asked you about something that happened at, I

18 believe, church. So you weren't at the church that

19 particular day, were you?

1 A. No.

2 Q. So you don't have any first-hand knowledge
about what particularly happened; correct?
3

4 A. Correct.
Q. But you listened to Ms. LeClerc's testimony
5

6 about what she observed?
A. Yes.
7

8 Q. Can you tell the judge what the process is

9 under those circumstances, if they're -- if this

10 particular voter has an issue?

11 A. Well, we have a help desk that -- there're
are different ways that a poll worker can contact the
12

13 office. They should call the help desk, and then a
ticket is created, and then a technician would be
14

15 sent to the polling place.
In the meantime, if the poll worker has a
16

17 concern about the machine, they -- they shut it down,

18 as they did, and so -- and that's what they're
supposed to do.
19

20 Q. Okay. Do you, as the director of
elections, have any concerns about the reliability of
21

22 the DRE machines that have been used in Fulton
County?
23

24 A. No.

25 Q. Do you have any concern about any memory

1 cards having ever been improperly accessed?

2 A. No.

Q. Can you tell the judge what the process is

3

4 for safety with respect to the memory cards?

A. All the -- all the machines will -- like,

5

6 in early voting, for example, all the machines are, after they're tested, all of them are sealed to seal

7

8 the equipment, the serial numbers are recorded, and

9 the seal numbers are recorded, and those go out with

10 -- with the poll workers.

11 Every day those -- those machines are -- the seals are broken, they're verified, they -- they seal

12

13 them up again, and it goes -- the -- the process goes through the 19 days of early voting.

14

15 They're -- on Election Day, when we send those out, they're -- they're sealed after the vote --

16

17 after, like, an accuracy is done. Those are also

18 delivered out, and they -- they go to the polling place.

19

20 They're -- they are -- there is a recap sheet with it, and they have the serial numbers and the --

21

22 the seal numbers are also recorded on there. The poll workers verify that those -- the seals are not

23

24 broken, and if -- if one was to be broken, they're to

25 contact our office and to let us know that the seal

1 was -- is broken.

2 Q. So can you tell the judge what the process is for security with respect to the actual DRE

3 machines themselves? You told us about the cards being sealed and kept. What about the actual DRE

5 machines?

6 A. In our warehouse, they -- they are kept in

7 a -- in a large warehouse. There's a keypad to get

8 in. It's also -- the warehouse is alarmed, and we

9 also have cameras in -- in the warehouse.

10 Q. Do you have chain-of-custody forms --

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. -- for the machines? Are those kept under lock and key?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. And how are the machines delivered to the

15 actual locations where voting occurs?

16 A. We have different either -- usually, it's either active-duty fire- -- firemen or officers or

17 retired firemen or officers that -- police officers that do -- do the deliveries.

18 Q. And when those DRE machines are delivered, they have seals on them?

19 A. They have seals on them, and there's a

20 cable through the door -- through the -- through the

21

22

23

24

25

1 handles --

2 Q. Can you --
A. -- to make sure that they're all kept
3

4 together.
Q. Can you explain to the judge what the seal
5

6 looks like and what it's made out of and what's on it?
7

8 A. When they go out, it's a -- it's a metal

9 seal, and it has -- it has a number on there that

10 identifies that seal, and it's unique to that seal.

11 Q. And so what do poll workers do when they get the machines?
12

13 A. After they -- they will verify that the seal is -- that we recorded in the warehouse is the
14

15 same that it is on that recap sheet, and then they break the seals to open the machines.
16

17 Q. Are you aware of any instance where a seal

18 was broken or tampered with while at a polling location?
19

20 A. No.
Q. Now, once the election is over and the
21

22 machines are returned to the warehouse, is there a seal on it when it's originally returned to the
23

24 warehouse?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. And how long are you required to maintain
2 that seal?
A. 30 days.
3
4 Q. So if -- if a machine is sealed, is there
any way someone can access the door where the media
5
6 cards are located?
A. No.
7
8 Q. Okay, why is that?
9 A. Well, they would have to break the seal to
10 get in there, cut the cable, break the seal.
11 Q. Are you aware of that ever happening?
A. No. Also on the early voting machines,
12
13 there's a metal plate that is put over the -- the
doors on those. You'd have to crowbar those off, and
14
15 it would break the unit.
Q. Can you now tell the judge about the
16
17 security measures for the GEMS server?
18 A. That's password-protected. It's in the
same warehouse with the -- with the DREs, so there's
19
20 -- you have to have the access code to get in the
door. There's also an alarm, and there are cameras
21
22 in there.
MS. BURWELL: I have no further questions.
23
24 THE COURT: Yes, sir, Mr. Tyson. Mr. Lindsey?
25 MR. LINDSEY: I have some questions, Your Honor.

1 I think you've alluded to it, Mr. Barron, but perhaps
2 it would be a good idea to go ahead and explain.
3 Before I do that, my co-counsel has admonished
4 me for asking a woman her age, so to be fair, sure that
5 I'm not a sexist, what's your age?
6 THE WITNESS: 52.
7 MR. LINDSEY: All right. That's the last time I'm
8 going to ask a woman her age.
9 THE COURT: I think everybody in here's younger than
10 I am anyway, so don't worry about that. Go ahead.
11 MR. LINDSEY: Yes. All jokes aside, let's get to the
12 serious part.
13 BY MR. LINDSEY:
14 Q. You've alluded to it a couple times, but
15 let's tell the judge a little more specifically.
16 Prior to the election, for each machine, it is my
17 understanding that according to your testimony that
18 you conduct what is called a logic and accuracy
19 testing?
20 A. Yes.
21 Q. Explain to the judge exactly what that is?
22 A. We have a script that we -- we vote, and
23 then once you input those votes, then you run the
24 tape and make sure that -- that the results match the
25 script that was voted.

1 Q. Okay. And do you do that for each machine?
2 A. For each machine.
3 Q. Okay. And did all of the machines that
4 were used on November 6th, 2018 pass that test?
5 A. Yes.
6 MR. LINDSEY: No further questions.
7 THE COURT: Mr. --
8 MR. RUSSO: Briefly, I just -- only care about one
9 point.
10 BY MR. RUSSO:
11 Q. Mr. Barron, you indicated a script was run.
12 Does that -- does that require someone actually
13 pushing the screen and voting it, or is that a
14 software run on the computer?
15 A. No. We -- we actually input those manually
16 to make sure that all the positions are recording
17 votes.
18 MR. RUSSO: Thank you.
19 THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
20 BY MR. BROWN:
21 Q. Mr. Barron, you were asked about a law
22 relating to -- that required you to use the DRE
23 machines, right?
24 A. Um-hmm.
25 Q. And is it -- was it your understanding that

1 the law does require you to use the DRE machines?

2 A. Yes.
Q. And are you aware of -- of a court opinion
3

4 that says --
THE COURT: Okay. You -- you -- you -- that's what
5

6 we're having trouble with, and I can see movement over
here at this table. "Are you aware," you say that "are
7

8 you aware" as if it was a matter of fact. And it's fact

9 that's not in evidence, so rephrase the question.

10 BY MR. BROWN:

11 Q. Have you read the federal court decision,
Curling vs. Kemp?
12

13 A. No.
Q. Are you -- what is the statute that says --
14

15 do -- do you know what the statute is that says you
have to use DRE machines?
16

17 A. Not offhand.

18 Q. Are you -- are you -- do you know of any
statute that says that?
19

20 A. I could -- if I had an election code, I
could probably find it, but --
21

22 Q. And -- and you're -- what you're saying is
that the Georgia code says that it requires the use
23

24 of DREs?

25 A. Yes, and that was clarified by the

1 Secretary of State's office to all the counties
2 leading up to the fall election cycle.
Q. Did that opinion change after a ruling by
3
4 the federal court?
MR. LINDSEY: I'm going to objection to Mr. Brown.
5
6 He is an election official. He's got some general
knowledge, perhaps, of the law --
7
8 THE COURT: Yeah, you're using -- see, you used the
9 word "code," at one point. I -- whether he knows the
10 difference between the code and the law, which are two
11 different things, I don't know, but you're still -- you're
still -- it's the way you're asking the questions, Mr.
12
13 Brown.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I -- the -- if I might just
14
15 say one thing.
THE COURT: What?
16
17 MR. BROWN: They asked him, "What is your
18 understanding of the law?"
THE COURT: But -- and you can ask him what -- you --
19
20 you can test that, but you can ask, "Are you aware that --
that rule ever changed?"
21
22 MR. BROWN: Are you aware --
THE COURT: That does the same thing. See where I'm
23
24 coming from?
25 MR. BROWN: Yes.

1 THE COURT: You -- you can get the information. It's
2 just the way you phrase the question that's objectionable.
BY MR. BROWN:
3
4 Q. Mr. Barron, are you aware if that rule was
ever changed or interpreted differently as not
5
6 requiring --
A. No.
7
8 Q. -- the use of DREs? Okay. Were you, in
9 your position as the director of elections for Fulton
10 County, were you briefed on court orders from the
11 federal court in the Curling case?
A. Yes.
12
13 Q. And did that briefing include telling you,
quote, "But OCGA 21-2-383(b) does not require the use
14
15 of DREs," as defendant claims it does?
MS. BURWELL: Objection, Your Honor. First and
16
17 foremost, it appears that he's attempting to ask about a
18 privileged communication.
THE COURT: It's not in -- it's -- it's -- I sustain
19
20 the objection.
BY MR. BROWN:
21
22 Q. Is it your working understanding, Mr.
Barron, that the Georgia Code requires the use of
23
24 DREs?
25 MR. TYSON: Yeah, objection, on behalf of

1 [inaudible].

2 THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MR. BROWN:
3

4 Q. Mr. Barron, you talked about secure facilities and about how to secure the facilities.
5

6 You mentioned the security with the GEMS server. Do you recall that?
7

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. And you weren't talking about the GEMS

10 database; you were talking about the actual GEMS

11 server; correct?
A. Correct.
12

13 Q. Okay. You -- you were describing how the machines are marked up, is that right? When they're
14

15 in -- after they're in use; correct?
A. At the poll, yes.
16

17 Q. And you testified about how the memory

18 cards are secured in a secure location; correct?
A. Yes.
19

20 Q. Those are the memory cards that have been used for years; correct?
21

22 A. Yes.
Q. You testified about the tickets that are
23

24 created as a result of complaints from -- well, let

25 me ask you this. The ticket system from your prior

1 testimony, could you describe that for us in greater
2 detail?
A. Well, a poller would call into our help
3
4 desk on Election Day, and -- and then a ticket is
created basically to -- if -- if we need to send a
5
6 technician to that area. We have roaming technicians
that oversee certain precincts, and then we can -- we
7
8 can dispatch somebody.
9 Q. And where are those tickets saved?
10 A. We have -- we just have a database that
11 keeps a log of our tickets.
Q. What is that database called?
12
13 A. Help desk. I don't think it has a name. I
mean, it's just a help -- it's just our own internal
14
15 help desk.
Q. Is that --is that a record that you make
16
17 available to the public?
18 A. It's -- I mean, I guess it would be
available if -- if somebody put in an open records
19
20 request, yes.
Q. And how many tickets were created for the
21
22 November '18 election?
A. I don't know.
23
24 Q. Scores, hundreds?
25 A. I don't -- I don't think it was that many,

1 but I don't know.

2 Q. And what about for the runoff?
A. I don't know.
3

4 MR. BROWN: Just one second. I'd like to take one minute.
5

6 THE COURT: Sure.
BY MR. BROWN:
7

8 Q. You testified that Fulton County has put

9 the machines, the DRE machines through logic and

10 accuracy tests?

11 A. Correct.
Q. You -- has Fulton County forensically
12

13 examined the machines?
A. No.
14

15 Q. And just for the record, has -- has Fulton County allowed plaintiffs access to the machines for
16

17 that testing?

18 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor. Here we go. Once again, plaintiff's counsel is trying to bring back up discovery
19

20 issues that have arisen in this case that have all been brought before the judge on multiple occasions.
21

22 Judge in this court has ruled after hearing plaintiff's counsel giving him a reasonable opportunity to
23

24 argue, and the Court has overruled those objections, so

25 this entire line of questioning is found to be

1 interrupting.

2 THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, this goes back to the statute
3

4 that I quoted in my opening statement --
THE COURT: Okay.
5

6 MR. BROWN: -- and that has to do with the --
THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
7

8 MR. BROWN: Thank you.

9 MS. BURWELL: If I could, Your Honor, just for one

10 second?

11 THE COURT: Mm-hmm.
BY MS. BURWELL:
12

13 Q. Mr. Barron, I'm going to show you
OCGA 21-2-300. Is that what you were referring to
14

15 earlier about being required to use the state-
mandated DRE machines?
16

17 A. You said 302? Or 300?

18 Q. 300.
MR. BROWN: Excuse me, excuse me, Your Honor. I've
19

20 just -- in the here --
THE COURT: Let me -- let me say this. You can all
21

22 read the law to me in closing.
MS. BURWELL: Okay. All right.
23

24 THE COURT: You don't -- don't -- don't make a lay

25 witness a lawyer.

1 MS. BURWELL: Okay.

2 THE COURT: I'm sure he doesn't want to be one.
BY MS. BURWELL:
3

4 Q. Last thing, Mr. Barron. In Fulton County
you didn't receive any complaints of someone saying
5

6 that a race, specifically the lieutenant governor
race didn't appear on their ballot?
7

8 A. I don't recall that, no.

9 MS. BURWELL: Thank you.

10 MR. LINDSEY: For a follow-up to that.

11 THE COURT: Right.
BY MR. LINDSEY:
12

13 Q. If there had been something as serious as
that, do you believe that you would have been alerted
14

15 to it?
A. Yes.
16

17 MR. BROWN: Objection, Your Honor. That calls for

18 speculation as to reading the minds of other people who
might --
19

20 MR. LINDSEY: He's -- he's ahead --
THE COURT: Sustained.
21

22 MR. LINDSEY: -- of the office now.
THE COURT: Sir, I understand. "Did you get one" is
23

24 enough?

25 BY MR. LINDSEY:

1 Q. Did you ever get one that day that a race
2 was not on, that particularly the lieutenant
governor's race was not on the ballot?
3
4 A. No.
MR. LINDSEY: Thank you.
5
6 MR. BROWN: No further questions, Mr. Barron.
THE COURT: Okay. You may go now. Thank you.
7
8 THE WITNESS: Thanks.
9 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, at this point we have no
10 further witnesses, but I would like to make one
11 evidentiary argument that may be well. And it is to renew
our request for the admission of the National Academy of
12
13 Sciences report. And let me just very briefly describe
the law on that.
14
15 The -- when it was tendered, I made the argument that
-- that the basis of it being admissible by experts is
16
17 prinicipally -- it's hearsay, but the -- but the law
18 requires is that --
And this is what the law says, and I'm quoting 24-7-
19
20 703, "Such facts or data that are otherwise inadmissible
shall not be disclosed to the jury by the proponent of the
21
22 opinion or inference unless the court determines that the
probative value in assisting the jury to evaluate the
23
24 expert's opinion substantially outweighs their prejudicial
25 effect."

1 And so I would ask, Your Honor, to -- to allow the
2 opinion of -- to allow the National Academy of Science's report, because their probative value greatly outweighs
3
4 the prejudicial effect, and I would also, at this point, note that the report is independently admissible under
5
6 OCGA 24-7-803 (8)(C), which is the public records and reports exception of hearsay.
7
8 And I would cite the case of Chrysler v. Walden, 339
9 Ga. App. In that case, the court allowed the firm
10 allowance of a report of the National Highway Traffic
11 Safety Administration opposite defects investigation about a recall of a particular defective product.
12
13 We think that case is right on point. We believe, Your Honor, that -- I understand that Your Honor has not
14
15 reviewed this evidence because it wasn't admitted in the evidence.
16
17 THE COURT: Well, I thought it was unfair to
18 everybody for me to start reading stuff people were sending me to read. There was going to be evidence when
19
20 there was no -- I didn't know whether it was admissible or not, so I didn't --
21
22 MR. BROWN: We would urge Your Honor to consider reading it and to conclude that, since a consensus report
23
24 from the National Academy of Science is the best that this
25 country has.

1 And it's right on point about securing elections,
2 which is exactly what this case is about, it would be helpful to the triers of fact to have the best evidence
3
4 that this country could ever have about the issue in this case and that is the security of this machine.
5
6 MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, I would just note that this is not -- does not appear to be a complete copy. It looks
7
8 like the -- the cover page says -- looks like the document
9 is supposed to be 180 pages. This appears to be maybe the
10 first 8 pages of that document, because it begins at page
11 8 in here, but it's not a complete --
You can see that the -- the last paragraph continues
12
13 beyond this page, so it is not -- it's not even a complete copy.
14
15 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, admittedly I'm having to deal with what's on the line. But according to the
16
17 National Academy of Sciences on the webpage, the National
18 Academy of Sciences is not a public entity, not a governmental entity.
19
20 It is a private, nonprofit organization, therefore doesn't fit within the exception that gentleman has -- has
21
22 alluded to. The expert has already stated that he reviewed it, allowed it when he was giving his opinion.
23
24 It goes back once again to hearsay.
25 It's also irrelevant, Your Honor, because the

1 question here is whether or not the system that Georgia
2 has is the most secure possible, but whether or not in this particular case a breach actually occurred, and to
3
4 the extent that the results of the election weren't valid. That's the question, and --
5
6 THE COURT: Mr. Tyson? Sorry.
MR. BROWN: -- the NAS report, that's something
7
8 that's better argued downtown under the goal of the
9 academy.
10 MR. TYSON: And, Your Honor, we do agree with the
11 prior statements, and just would add that, to my knowledge, that there is nothing specific about the
12
13 Diebold Georgia voting machines in this report. It's about DREs generally.
14
15 As Mr. Lindsey said, the expert has already relied upon this in testifying yesterday, and unless there is
16
17 something specific about this election, I don't see that
18 there's any relevance for the Court to consider to admit it in evidence.
19
20 THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
MR. BROWN: First, Your Honor, just for the record,
21
22 as Your Honor is aware from the evidence, the -- the evidence is entirely, entirely contrary to what counsel
23
24 says.
25 THE COURT: No, it's not. You see, you -- and I

1 respect you, because you're an advocate. And you
2 absolutely believe your side of the case, and a lawyer is
supposed to do that. But that doesn't make it all right.
3
4 That's your view of it.
It's not -- yeah, I have to look at both sides. So
5
6 -- so that's really got nothing to do with it. I've got
-- let me say -- say what I -- go ahead and finish
7
8 arguing, because I very much know what I'm going to do on
9 it.
10 MR. BROWN: The evidence as we perceive it to be,
11 Your Honor, is -- and we're just simply not aware of the
contrary evidence, is that the defects are national, and
12
13 there's no difference, material difference between it in
Georgia, and we're not aware of any material testimony.
14
15 Therefore the unanswered court decision, I would instruct
it to leave it up to Your Honor [inaudible].
16
17 THE COURT: Okay. I -- I find it's not admissible.
18 It doesn't come in under public record, because it's not
public, okay? Number two, it was something that he relied
19
20 on in giving his opinion, and I've had his opinion. His
opinion is these -- if you listen to him, these pretty
21
22 much useless machines. I understand all that, and I'm not
going to read something that's hearsay.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
25 THE COURT: Okay? I mean, I understood what he was

1 saying.

2 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. At this time, we would also like to renew our demand for a jury. And the

3

4 reason why I'm renewing the demand, Your Honor, is that there is -- there is case law that may not be the best law

5

6 around, but it suggests that if you don't continue the demand --

7

8 THE COURT: I -- so we already in this hearing,

9 already in this trial, I have ruled. I'm one of these

10 judges, I'm afraid, who rules, you know. I make pretty

11 clear rulings, as we pointed out yesterday. I'm not an English major, so my stuff comes out ching-ching-ching.

12

13 I've ruled. Don't run in the face of it, okay?
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

14

15 THE COURT: Thank you. Do you rest?
MR. BROWN: We do.

16

17 THE COURT: Okay. Let me do this. Let me take ten

18 minutes, and then we'll hear from the other side.
MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.

19

20 MR. BROWN: Thank you.

21

22 [Off the record at 10:16 a.m., and back on the record at 10:21 a.m.]

23

24

25 BALIFF: Please take a seat. Court comes to order.

1 MALE: Oh, sorry.

2 THE COURT: Okay. Which defendant is to go first? Oh, you have a motion?

3

4 MS. BURWELL: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay.

5

6 MS. BURWELL: On behalf of Fulton County, Your Honor, I will move for an involuntary dismissal pursuant to 911

7

8 41(b) on the grounds that this court as the trier of fact

9 is allowed to adjudicate a motion to dismiss at the close

10 of the plaintiff's case.

11 And that is because the court is the finder of fact as well as the determiner of the law and the cases are

12

13 clear and I'd cite the court to Chalk v. Poletto 346 Ga. App 491 which is a 2018 case as well as Smith v. Georgia

14

15 Kaolin Company, Inc., 269 Georgia 475 both of which provide that a dismissal in a non-jury matter under

16

17 91141(b) does not require the court to construe the

18 evidence most favorably for the nonliving plaintiff. And that again is because the court determines the facts as

19

20 well as the law.
And in the instant case, the petitioner's under have

21

22 filed an election contest under 2125-22, Sections 1 and 3; and Section 1 requires the plaintiffs to have shown

23

24 misconduct, fraud, or irregularity in the election, by an

25 election official sufficient to change, replace, and doubt

1 the result.

2 Instead what these petitioners are alleging, is that just the DRE machines themselves, nothing that an election

3

4 official did, but just the machines themselves are -- they don't -- they don't care for the machines and think that

5

6 they're vulnerable.
Under section 2125-22(3), they had to show that

7

8 illegal votes were received or illegal votes rejected,

9 sufficient to change or place in doubt the results. And

10 there's been no evidence at all that there have been the

11 rejection, the receipt of illegal votes, or the rejection of votes at the polls sufficient to change or place in the

12

13 result. They brought in one woman who said she didn't see it, but then she was able to vote for that, which was Ms.

14

15 Thomas -- I think her name was -- this morning.
And the only other information was information from a

16

17 poll worker who said she got second hand information that

18 someone wasn't able to vote, but that is one vote at issue and there were over a 100,000 vote margin between the two

19

20 candidates.
So there is not sufficient information back. There

21

22 was no information in the record which would allow this court to find that there were legal votes rejected,

23

24 sufficient to change or place in doubt the result.

25 There's been no evidence of impropriety that led to this

1 under-vote. There's no evidence of any sort of tampering
2 that led to this under-vote.
3 And instead what they have done is they have said
4 there's a purely mathematical computation and they believe that a four percent under-vote for the Lieutenant
5 Governor's race is inappropriate.
6 And there is no evidence in the record about how or
7 why individuals choose not to vote for Lieutenant
8 Governor's race. But the one thing we do know is that
9 even based on the information they provided in every
10 single election, there are under-votes. Every single election.
11 The only difference here is that they complain that they believe that the amount of four percent is too large.
12 But again, there was no tie in between why there's an under-vote and any sort of impropriety on behalf of an
13 election official or any legal votes that have been
14 rejected. Thank you.
15 MR. TYSON: Your Honor -- Your Honor? Yeah. Your
16 Honor, just to briefly Gwinnett County Board of Registrations in elections joins in Fulton County's motion
17 requesting that this be treated as an adjudication on the merits which is allowed under 41(b) just to echo what Ms.
18 Burwell has raised the evidence before the court for the
19 reports that is that the Lieutenant Governor's race

1 appeared on every ballot in the state according to the
2 base precinct report with races.
That the -- all the cards were counted according to
3
4 the TS report and that there are a number of possible
reasons as the various witnesses testified about why an
5
6 under-vote may occur. It have been ballot design with the
lack of the US Senate race, the lack of a third party,
7
8 there were a number of additional write-in candidates.
9 Any of those issues could go to why the under-votes are
10 there, including the most important one, voters chose not
11 to vote at the race as was their option.
There is no evidence in the record that all -- that
12
13 any of those have been disproven as a possible basis. And
as a result, Mr. Brown and the plaintiff's elections
14
15 contest and must fail the election is presumed valid and
there was no evidence of irregularities sufficient to
16
17 place the results in doubt.
18 To the contrary, there is evidence that the results
should be placed, should be given the presumption that
19
20 it's favored because of the evidence in the record. And
as Ms. Burwell indicated, there is no indication that the
21
22 number of illegal votes cast or legal votes rejected was
anywhere near the margin of victory in this case, 123,000
23
24 votes. So we fully join in Fulton's motion.
25 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, first off, on behalf of the

1 Lieutenant Governor Jeff Thompkin [ph] we will adopt the
2 argument raised by both attorneys for Fulton County and Gwinnett County. And simply once again, I want to read it
3
4 off again, into Hart v. Crawford, which I read at the beginning, 20 Ga. 7, 1998 decision which clearly lays our
5
6 the fact that [inaudible] with the extraordinary, drastic -- I believe the word used by the board is drastic. There
7
8 must be clear evidence of some kind of issue in terms of
9 either malicious or negligent kind of issue that created
10 the problem. The fact of the matter is --
11 THE COURT: Quick, which case are you referring to? Sorry to interrupt you.
12
13 MR. LINDSEY: Hart [ph].
THE COURT: Hart.
14
15 MR. LINDSEY: Versus Crawford, 270 Ga. 7, 1998.
THE COURT: I got it. Okay.
16
17 MR. LINDSEY: In regards to the evidence that you've
18 heard that the plaintiff's expert himself admitted that he was not familiar with the particularities of this race in
19
20 terms of what was going on in this race, but simply doing so by doing mathematical formulations. He admitted that
21
22 he has no evidence of any kind of malware or malicious conduct by any individual, would have led to the under
23
24 voting that they have cited from the testimony of the
25 plaintiff's own witnesses.

1 That was never rebutted by any other witness of the
2 plaintiff. The -- there -- there -- there are ample reasons why there was an under-vote including the fact
3
4 that we had a high number of new voters, voter confusion in terms of the -- as a result of the layout of the ballot
5
6 Governor, Lieutenant Governor. Given the history of Governors that Lieutenant Governors of other states
7
8 running as a ticket. And that, that is a reasonable
9 reason for why there was an under-vote, in addition to
10 other evidence that was elicited from the plaintiff's on
11 their reports.
There's also testimony, I think this is extremely
12
13 important. a lot of plaintiff's expert testify as to what might have, could have, should have happened. The
14
15 undisputed testimony from both the Secretary of State and from the Fulton County election official from the
16
17 plaintiffs' themselves called on direct, testified as to
18 the safe guards that are taken both before an election and on election day to ensure that the system is operating
19
20 correctly in terms of the voter being able to cast a vote for the candidate of their choice and that vote being
21
22 accurately reported by the state; that the testimony has not been contradicted by anyone in terms of the safeguards
23
24 that were put in place.
25 Nor has -- while the plaintiff's expert talked about

1 systems that are on the web, we have undisputed testimony
2 that the system that deals with the actual recording -- accurate recording of a voters vote all the way up to the
3
4 counting of that vote is within a closed system that is not susceptible to the hacking at the plaintiff's expert
5
6 has outlined as part of their concerns. That testimony is uncontroverted.
7
8 So for those reasons as well as the reasons set forth
9 by my colleagues from Gwinnett and Fulton County, we
10 actually -- we also make this much at this time.
11 THE COURT: If -- I'm going to hear from Mr. Brown but before I do, do you have 911 with you?
12
13 MS. BURWELL: Okay.
THE COURT: Everybody -- everybody's only got the --
14
15 okay. I don't have a computer and I'm one of those -- I just have to go read it myself because I had forgotten
16
17 that you could do that. So if 911-41?
18 MS. BURWELL: Yes.
MR. LINDSEY: And Your Honor, since you don't have a
19
20 computer, would you like the Hart decision that I --
THE COURT: I've got it.
21
22 MR. LINDSEY: Okay.
THE COURT: I did some work.
23
24 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
25 THE COURT: I won't say how much. 911? Let me look

1 at -- here it is. Oh, I got one of these somewhere, let
2 me see 41. Let me see. Let me see. What subsection?
MS. BURWELL: Forty-one (b).
3
4 THE COURT: B. Okay. Go ahead, Mr. Brown.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the evidence established
5
6 first a national consensus on the [inaudible] are highly
vulnerable and shouldn't be used. This -- there was no
7
8 contrary evidence as to national consensus, either by
9 governmental agencies or assigned this.
10 So the background is undisputed, and that is that
11 these machines for vulnerable to attack and that they
should not be used. Second, there was a lot of evidence
12
13 about whether Georgia's particular DREs are worse than the
national decrepitude because of the way George has not
14
15 maintained these machines over the last couple of years.
Our position is that Georgia has not done set on --
16
17 that these machines are worse even than the national
18 average, which worse than general and in general -- even
if it were as good as general, they should not be used.
19
20 We believe Georgia's are much worse than even the bad ones
in other states that should not be used. And we had
21
22 substantial evidence that is the case. At all -- since --
since they're defective anyway, I don't want to spend too
23
24 much time on the evidence that they're very, very
25 effective.

1 But these are -- and I want to go through the
2 evidence very quickly. The -- Michael Barnes testified yesterday in response to my questions about the compromise
3
4 of the election server, that they had replaced one partial -- they replaced one piece of their system we established
5
6 today from Mr. Barren that the other components were not replaced and they were not disaffected. And so we believe
7
8 that Georgia's system is much more vulnerable than the
9 systems described by the national campus [ph] -- described
10 by our expert, recounted by our expert.
11 That the unanimous opinion of defense agencies, the scholars and the scientists, that they should not be used
12
13 and that Georgia's is even more vulnerable. And that is important because they're vulnerable that it naturally
14
15 increases the chances of what we're alleging in this election actually happened.
16
17 And then that was also the opinion of our expert or
18 at least un-rebutted yet. The other evidence that we have is the evidence that the results in this case, if you
19
20 compare the electronics and the paper ballot suggest that there's something about the electronic that was causing
21
22 the different vote. If Your Honor -- as the defendants' positions' has evolved, that seems to be where they're
23
24 headed also.
25 There is as -- as the evidence has shown, there is a

1 microscopic chance that the results on paper are different
2 than the results on the voting machines because of voter choice or chance. Instead, two different scenarios have
3
4 been presented to you. One is that it is caused by malware or misprogramming or it's a sham [ph].
5
6 The other, and that evidence was presented by our expert, it was informed by the national consensus that
7
8 something like that was likely and that the particular
9 results and evidence about voters experiences were
10 telltale signs that there is a system problem within --
11 that the -- with the computers that was causing this to happen.
12
13 What is the other evidence? The way that -- that -- that we view the case, Your Honor, is that for this
14
15 freakish result to happen and I believe the evidence is one in 10,000, for that to happen, on the one hand, it
16
17 could have been this thoroughly discredited, highly
18 vulnerable election system did not function properly. That's one option.
19
20 The other option is the one presented -- suggested by Mr. Lindsey in his questions of Mr. Barnes yesterday and
21
22 that is the -- that the voters thought that there was a tick. That the -- that for some reason they looked at the
23
24 screen and they thought, oh, we only need to vote for the
25 Republican ticket and the Democratic ticket.

1 There is, apart from the question by Mr. Lindsey and
2 the answer by Mr. Barnes, there's no evidence supporting that speculation. Your Honor remarked that we're more
3
4 concerned with why people did this. There -- the -- and -- and that was the basis -- one of the basis for not
5
6 allowing some of our testimony.
The -- the here, there is no testimony that any voter
7
8 did not vote for the Lieutenant Governor ticket because
9 they thought it was -- the Lieutenant Governor because
10 they thought it was the ticket. Instead -- and they
11 didn't even have an expert -- they don't even have an expert. They don't even try to get an expert.
12
13 THE COURT: Well, they haven't got that far yet. But the issue -- the issue as we're all --
14
15 MR. BROWN: We're all. So they have -- just the nature of, well, we can throw this idea out. Maybe this
16
17 is an explanation for it. We don't have any evidence.
18 It's not particularly plausible that a voter would do that particularly as many times and it's not based upon any
19
20 evidence whatsoever.
It really is speculation. But the defendants rule
21
22 that the defendants would have Your Honor adopt, is that if you challenge the result of a statewide election in
23
24 Georgia that it's done on electronic machine, is that you
25 have to find some bad code. That is what they would have

1 Your Honor rule. We got to find the code. There's no bad
2 code, there's no misprogramming, then the plaintiff will
lose. But we're not going to let you find that bad code
3
4 ever.
And so the rule that the defendants want, the
5
6 Secretary of State wants would insulate decisions in
Georgia -- would insulate elections on electronic
7
8 equipment in Georgia from any judicial review because
9 you've got approved bad code, but you're not going to be
10 able to look at it to find it.
11 And we believe that the combination of that rule and
the inability to discover it is inconsistent with the
12
13 statute, which was written by the legislature to allow
petitioners to show that -- to allow that them to show,
14
15 and they have the court decide that at the election, so
the fact that you can -- we believe a better reading of
16
17 the law is that once the petitioner has made a prima facie
18 showing of the likelihood that the machines caused the
difference, and here there's -- we have proven that Your
19
20 Honor, we believe to a very high degree.
There -- the evidence is that there's a 99.95 percent
21
22 chance that there was something about the machines that
caused the election results and we believe that in that
23
24 event, that we have survived and that we -- that the
25 election should be overturned [inaudible, paper shuffling]

1 then in additional, that Your Honor exercise your
2 discretion to call another hearing for the code to be -- after the code be evaluated.
3
4 THE COURT: Anything -- any -- any response to that?
MR. LINDSEY: Yes. Very briefly, Your Honor. The
5
6 fact of the matter is that tests were conducted, this is uncontroversial. As a matter of fact, the bi-witnesses
7
8 that the plaintiff chose to put on this stand, tests were
9 conducted that would have revealed if malware was in
10 place.
11 Tests were conducted prior to the election. Most importantly, tests were conducted on the day of the
12
13 election to see whether or not there was any kind of systemic problem with this -- with the voting system.
14
15 And that was great details as to what that costed, in which they had cameras on one individual actually live,
16
17 making sure -- making choices and then they had a parallel
18 system and then seeing whether or not there was any kind of deviation. That testimony is uncontroverted that these
19
20 tests were done and the testimony is also uncontroverted that if a malware had existed it would have come out at
21
22 that point.
It was also uncontroverted testimony today regarding
23
24 the specific inspections that are done on each machine
25 prior to the election to make sure that the machines are

1 operating correctly and that if a voter cast his vote for
2 individual A, it was going to be recorded as A. That
testimony is uncontroverted. So we actually do have, here
3
4 in Georgia, tests to make sure that when Edward Lindsey
cast a vote for Mr. Brown, that that's going to be
5
6 properly recorded by the Secretary of State, certified
correctly.
7
8 There is no controversy to that. There's no --
9 there's no evidence to the contrary that these tests took
10 place or what the results were. And as to the other
11 matters regarding if there was no malware, what was the
cause, we have presented -- by the plaintiff through the -
12
13 - with their own expert, with their own witnesses on cross
examination have admitted that there were plausible other
14
15 explanations. For that reason, Your Honor -- we believe
that this motion be passed.
16
17 THE COURT: Any -- anything else?
18 MR. TYSON: Yeah. Can I just briefly, again, for
what Mr. Brown explained, there's no prima facie showing
19
20 here at all as far as that all we can say is that they
might be vulnerable, they might be -- there might be a
21
22 problem, there might be an issue. Georgia Supreme Court
is clear in Middleton v. Smith, 273 GA. 202 in 2000 which
23
24 you cannot overturn an election on the basis of mere
25 speculation. And that's what we have here. We have no

1 evidence of additional [ph] votes. We have no evidence of
2 an irregularity happening.
3 Mr. Brown has also conveniently chosen to ignore the
4 fact that the ballot design that in 2018 you did not have
5 a U.S. Senate race on the elect- -- on the ballot and
6 that's a deviation from a number of our past Governor's
7 elections.
8 And so when a voter was presented with that
9 electronic machine, they saw the first two races instead
10 of being U.S. Senate and Governor, they were Governor and
11 Lieutenant Governor, which was a change from past years,
12 which is also a very reasonable explanation for the
13 potential country vote.
14 So at the end of the day, the plaintiffs are left
15 with, we think maybe something might have happened and the
16 Georgia Supreme Court is clear that mere speculation
17 cannot be the basis for overturning an election.
18 THE COURT: Anything further from you?
19 MS. BURWELL: Nothing further.
20 THE COURT: Okay. I had -- I guess I'm used to
21 trying stuff to jury. I hadn't realized that I was in
22 this position.
23 And 91141(b) says, after the plaintiff in an action
24 tried by the court without a jury has completed the
25 presentation of his evidence, the defendant without

1 waiving his right to offer evidence in the event the
2 motion is not granted, may move for dismissal on the grounds at upon the facts and the law, the plaintiff has
3
4 shown note right to relief.
Taking everything the plaintiffs have said, your
5
6 attack is on the whole system and I respect that. And there is authority that says that scientific authority
7
8 that says that DRE -- DRE system is terrible and all those
9 kinds of things. I'm very aware of that.
10 But what I'm here on is one race. Just one race.
11 You haven't sued the state to get rid of the system; okay? That's one thing. I wouldn't be in this position if
12
13 that's where we were, but we're not, we're looking at one race.
14
15 And you brought it on 212522 one and three. There was absolutely nothing to support one. And then let me
16
17 look at three. There was no illegal votes received.
18 There's no legal votes rejected and in this race in the evidence. Now, I understand you've got the under-vote
19
20 issue. I'll get to that.
The law is very clear that it's presumed there is a
21
22 legal presumption that the election returns of ballot; okay? There's the presumption in ballot. The plaintiff
23
24 has the burden of showing an irregularity or illegality
25 sufficient to change all place in doubt the result of

1 election; okay? The result of this particular election,
2 not all of them, not the DRE, not any of that. One -- the one thing. It's a narrow issue.
3
4 It says the setting aside of an election, I'm reading the law, of an election in which the people have chosen
5
6 their representative is a drastic remedy that should not be undertaken lightly, but instead should be reserved for
7
8 cases in which a person challenging an election has
9 clearly established a violation of election's procedures
10 and has demonstrated the violation has placed the result
11 of the election in doubt. There is no -- the only thing you've got is the under -- is the under-vote and the --
12
13 144 votes in Winterville; okay?
If the numbers should have been equal and there is no
14
15 evidence to show of the under-vote, but let's assume somehow that was an error and there should have been 31
16
17 more thousand votes.
18 And let's assume that the one precinct in Winterville was 144 votes, should all have been given to Ms. Amico
19
20 and we'll take the 31,000 as all being given to Ms. Amico. We're still nowhere near -- the numbers do not --
21
22 there is -- it's not even close.
There is absolutely no evidence of the number of
23
24 votes sufficient to overcome. And there is no evidence
25 that this system didn't work during this election.

1 So on that, I'm going to grant the motion to dismiss.

2 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, with respect, the evidence with the --

3

4 THE COURT: Sir, I've ruled. This is one thing you haven't handled well with me because I rule. And now some

5

6 judges, you can keep talking and they change their mind. Old Judge Raven [ph] was that way. As long as you kept

7

8 talking, he would eventually change his mind. I don't do

9 that; okay?

10 MR. BROWN: [inaudible]

11 THE COURT: So let's not. I've ruled, I'm done. I've just got to clean my desk a minute.

12

13 MR. BROWN: We --
THE COURT: This is your book.

14

15 MR. BROWN: Would you like an discussion about the number you cited?

16

17 THE COURT: No, sir.

18 MR. BROWN: Okay.
THE COURT: Nothing.

19

20 MR. TYSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Thank you.

21

22 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor, thank you for your time.

23

24 THE COURT: It's going to take a minute, I have a

25 briefcase; you all just go. I've got to go way back in

1 the other building.

2 MR. LINDSEY: I -- you have a briefcase Your Honor --

3

4 [Whereupon, the hearing was concluded at 10:49 a.m.]

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 CERTIFICATE

2
STATE OF GEORGIA]
3

4] SS.
COUNTY OF DOUGLAS]
5

6
I, PRISCILLA GARCIA, A COURT REPORTER IN THE STATE OF
7

8 GEORGIA, DO HEREBY STATE THAT THE FOREGOING IS A TRUE AND

9 ACCURATE TRANSCRIPT AS TAKEN DOWN BY ME AT THE TIME,

10 PLACE, AND THE DATE HEREINBEFORE SET FORTH.

11 I DO FURTHER STATE THAT I AM NEITHER A RELATIVE NOR
EMPLOYEE NOR ATTORNEY NOR COUNSEL OF ANY OF THE PARTIES TO
12

13 THIS ACTION, AND THAT I AM NEITHER A RELATIVE NOR EMPLOYEE
OF SUCH ATTORNEY OR COUNSEL, AND THAT I AM NOT FINANCIALLY
14

15 INTERESTED IN THIS ACTION.
WITNESS MY HAND IN THE CITY OF DOUGLASVILLE, COUNTY
16

17 OF DOUGLAS, STATE OF GEORGIA, ON THIS 28TH DAY OF JANUARY

18 2019. BY: Priscilla Garcia
19

20 PRISCILLA GARCIA, COURT REPORTER
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF GEORGIA
21

22 COMMISSION NO.: W-00379933
COMMISSION EXPIRES: 08/14/2022
23

24 CERTIFICATION NO.: 5503-2677-8304-9216

25 LICENSE EXPIRES: 04/01/2019

1
2
3 I, Chris Naaden, a transcriber, hereby declare
4 under penalty of perjury that to the best of my
5 ability the above 82 pages contain a full, true and
6 correct transcription of the tape-recording that I
7 received regarding the event listed on the caption on
8 page 1.
9
10 I further declare that I have no interest in the
11 event of the action.
12
13 January 28, 2019
14
15
16 Chris Naaden
17
18
19
20 (Transcript of Trial Proceedings)
21
22
23
24
25

(

(8)(C) 59:6
(b) 71:2

-

--is 54:15

1

1 64:22
10,000 73:15
100,000 65:18
10:16 63:22
10:21 63:22
10:49 82:4
115 39:13 40:4
123,000 67:22
144 80:13, 18
18 7:4 19:8 54:22
180 60:9
19 44:13
1998 68:4, 15
1999 34:1,4

2

20 10:11 36:10 68:4
2000 77:22
2003 34:4
2004 34:6
2005 34:6
2006 34:6
2007 34:9
2013 34:9, 11
2016 20:2, 24 21:2, 11,22 22:6,17
2017 20:24
2018 7:4 10:11 21:24,25 23:11 25:20 31:17 49:4 64:13 78:4
202 77:22
21-2-300 56:13
21-2-383(b) 52:13
2125-22 64:22
2125-22(3) 65:6
212522 79:15
24-7- 58:18
24-7-803 59:6
269 64:15
270 68:15
273 77:22

3

3 64:22
3,108 32:22
30 36:11 47:2
300 56:17, 18
302 56:17
31 80:15
31,000 80:20
339 59:8
346 64:13
370-plus 40:4

4

41 71:2
41(b) 64:8 66:22
475 64:15
491 64:13

5

52 48:6

6

62 15:6
6th 37:24 38:17 49:4

7

7 68:4,15
70 36:9
703 58:20

8

8 60:10,11
80 36:9

9

911 64:6 70:11,25
911-41 70:17
91141(b) 64:17 78:22
99.95 75:20
9:04 7:4

A

a.m. 7:4 63:22 82:4
absolutely 62:2 79:15 80:22
academy 58:11 59:2,24 60:17,18 61:9
access 28:11 29:4 30:10,13, 17,25 47:4,20 55:15
accessed 44:1
accessible 28:15
account 39:20
accuracy 26:10,15 44:17 48:18 55:10
accurate 70:2
accurately 69:22
action 78:22
active-duty 45:18
activities 34:13 35:6,15
actual 45:2, 4,17 53:10 70:2
add 61:11
addition 35:1 69:9
additional 67:8 76:1 78:1
address 8:22 30:8
adjudicate 64:9
adjudication 66:22
administering 19:1
Administration 59:11
admissible 58:15 59:4,20 62:17
admission 58:11
admit 61:18
admitted 59:15 68:18,20 77:13
admittedly 60:15
admonished 48:2
adopt 68:1 74:22
adverse 20:18
advocate 28:6 62:1
afraid 63:10
age 48:4,8
agencies 71:9 72:11
agree 61:10
ahead 13:22 14:2 21:6 33:8 35:13 48:2,10 57:20 62:6 71:4
AJC 32:4,6
alarm 47:20
alarmed 45:9
alerted 57:13
alleging 65:2 72:15
allowance 59:10
allowed 55:15 59:9 60:22 64:9 66:22
allowing 74:6
alluded 48:1,13 60:22
alter 26:20
altered 27:22
amazed 17:2
AME 23:22 24:8,9,20
amendments 11:20
Amico 11:15 15:17 80:18,20
Amico's 11:18 14:13
amount 66:13
ample 69:2
Angeles 10:4 11:4
App 59:9 64:13
appeared 67:1
appears 41:10

52:17 60:9

applications 39:6

apply 8:17

approved 75:9

area 31:24 33:13 54:6

argue 55:24

argued 61:8

arguing 62:8

argument 58:11,15 68:2

arisen 55:20

assigned 40:9,18 71:9

assisting 58:22

assume 80:15,18

assumes 21:6

assuming 16:10 21:4

attack 71:11 79:6

attacks 37:11

attempting 52:17

attorney 10:1

attorneys 68:2

August 38:18

Austin 34:4

authority 35:18 79:6

average 71:18

aware 21:18 24:6,10,22 27:20 28:1 31:15 32:2,11,18 36:15,20 37:6,10 46:17 47:11 50:2,6,8 51:20,22 52:4 61:22 62:11,13 79:9

B

back 11:25 12:1,24 15:13 17:15 22:15 41:13 42:2,9 55:18 56:2 60:24 63:22 65:20 81:25

background 34:2 71:10

bad 71:20 74:25 75:1,2,9

bailiffs 8:2

BALIFF 63:25

ballot 10:18,20, 25 11:15, 22,25 12:2,10 14:10 16:6 28:15 30:8,10, 15,22,25 38:20,24 39:11,13 40:2,6,13, 25 41:6,9 42:2,6,15 57:6 58:2 67:1,6 69:4 72:20 78:4 79:22

ballots 30:11,13 39:24

Barnes 72:2 73:20 74:2

Barren 72:6

Barron 17:20 18:6,11,15 27:11 29:18 33:22,24 48:1 49:11,20 52:4,22 53:4 56:13 57:4 58:6

Barron's 20:6

base 67:2

based 30:6 39:15 66:10 74:18

basic 26:11

basically 34:24 54:4

basis 58:15 67:13 74:4 77:24 78:17

began 38:18

beginning 11:25 12:1 27:2 68:4

begins 60:10

behalf 52:25 64:6 66:15 67:25

Bernhard 28:4

bi-witnesses 76:6

biggest 29:20,22

bit 22:15 34:2

board 16:4 34:13,24 35:17,18, 20 66:20 68:6

boards 35:18

book 34:20, 22 36:20, 22 81:13

book's 30:18

books 29:4

booth 28:18

boundaries 39:18

box 8:2,4 11:11

Boy 8:4

breach 61:2

break 46:15 47:9,10,15

briefcase 81:25 82:2

briefed 52:10

briefing 52:13

briefly 16:2 49:8 58:13 66:20 76:4 77:18

bring 55:18

broken 44:11,24 45:1 46:18

brought 55:20 65:13 79:15

Brown 7:18, 22 8:2,11, 13,15,20, 24 9:2,6, 22,25 10:2 13:2 14:6, 8,20 17:11,15, 18,20,24 18:13 20:17,20, 22 21:4,15 24:17 27:17 28:9,10 29:8,18 31:20 32:2,24 34:8 35:8 42:22 49:18,20 50:10 51:4,13, 17,22,25 52:2,20 53:2 55:4, 6 56:2,6, 8,18 57:17 58:6,9 59:22 61:6,20 62:10,24 63:2,13, 15,20 67:13 70:11 71:4 74:15 77:4,18 78:2 81:2, 10,13,15, 18,22

Bruce 9:25

Bryan 16:2

build 38:22

building 82:1

burden 79:24

Burwell 21:2,6 24:13 29:6,9 33:2,6,9, 11,15,18, 22 35:10, 13 47:22 52:15 56:9,11,22 57:1,2,9 60:6 64:4, 6 66:24 67:20 70:13,18 71:2 78:18

button 41:11

C

cable 45:25 47:10

calibrate 26:11

call 8:25 17:20 19:22 43:13 54:2 76:2

called 9:15 18:8 20:18,20 29:20,22 48:18 54:11 69:17

calls 57:17

cameras 45:10 47:20 76:15

campus 72:9

cancel 40:22,24 41:11,18 42:1,2

canceled 41:11

cancelled 42:18

candidate 11:9 69:20

candidates 38:22 65:20 67:8

capability 27:11

card 10:20 21:25 26:4,18,20 28:11 29:4 30:10,13, 22 31:10, 11 41:15 42:11

cards 21:20 22:2,9,15 23:2 30:17 31:13 44:1,4 45:4 47:6 53:18,20 67:2

care 19:2 49:8 65:4

case 10:1 24:11 52:11 55:20 59:8,9,13 60:2,4 61:2 62:2 63:4 64:10,13, 20 67:22 68:11 71:22 72:18 73:13

cases 64:11 80:8

cast 12:4, 10 42:15, 18 67:22 69:20 77:1,4

category 11:10 17:4

caused 73:4 75:18,22

causing 72:20 73:11

certified 77:6

chain-of-custody 45:11

Chalk 64:13

challenge 74:22

challenging 80:8

chance 73:1, 2 75:22

chances 72:15

change 51:2 64:25 65:9,11,24 78:11 79:25 81:6,8

changed 51:20 52:4

charge 19:1

Chattahoochee 40:13

checks 28:13

ching-ching-ching 63:11

chip 28:13

choice 36:4 69:20 73:2

choices 76:17

choose 66:8

chose 67:10 76:8

chosen 78:2 80:4

Chrysler 59:8

church 23:24 24:20 42:24

circumstances 43:9

cite 59:8 64:13

cited 68:24 81:15

city 39:18

Civic 34:6

claims 52:15

clarified 50:25

clean 81:11

clear 19:17 63:11 64:13 68:8 77:22 78:15 79:20

clerk 33:13

clerk's 33:13

close 64:9 80:22

closed 70:4

closer 33:17

closing 56:22

co-counsel 48:2

coalition 16:17

COBB 7:4

code 30:8, 10,22 34:20 35:22

47:20
50:20,22
51:9,10
52:22
74:25
75:1,2,9
76:2

coding 23:11
25:9,11

colleagues
70:9

combination
75:11

communication
52:18

Company
64:15

compare
72:20

complain
12:15
66:13

complained
14:4

complaint
24:22

complaints
53:24 57:4

complete
60:6,11,13

completed
78:24

component
37:1

components
72:6

compromise
20:11 72:2

compromised
20:2

computation
66:4

computer
27:20
33:17
49:13
70:15,20

computers
73:11

concern
32:13
43:17,25

concerned
74:4

concerns
43:20 70:6

conclude
59:22

concluded
82:4

conduct
48:18
68:22

conducted
76:6,9,11

confusion
69:4

connected
31:4,6
38:6,11

connection
34:13

consensus
59:22
71:6,8
73:6

construe
64:17

contact
43:11
44:25

contemporaneously 24:18

contest
64:22
67:15

continue
63:6

continues
60:11

contractors
19:10

contradicted
69:22

contrary
61:22
62:11
67:18 71:8
77:9

controversy
77:8

conveniently
78:2

copy 20:2
60:6,13

correct 12:9
14:18
15:11,13,
22 16:9
19:13,18,
20,22
21:25
22:4,6
23:2,22
24:1,2
26:11 29:2
30:18 31:2
37:2 38:15
39:10,11
40:15
42:13,20
43:2,4
53:11,15,
18,20
55:11

correctly
69:20
77:1,6

costed 76:15

counsel
55:18,22
61:22

counted 67:2

counties

22:15,20 23:6 51:1

counting 70:4

country 59:25 60:4 78:13

county 7:4 16:2 18:18,20 19:2,22 21:1 22:9 23:11,20, 22 25:20 30:4 31:9 32:22 34:4,8,10, 13 35:18, 24 36:2,8, 10,13,17 37:4,11,20 39:17 43:22 52:10 55:8,11,15 57:4 64:6 66:20 68:2 69:15 70:9

County's 20:22 21:2,20 66:20

couple 48:13 71:15

court 7:8, 11,15,22, 25 8:2,9, 11,13,15, 18,22 9:1 13:4,11, 15,18,20, 24 14:1 15:25 17:11,13, 15 20:9, 13,18 21:10 24:11,15 27:13 28:6 29:11 31:22 33:1,4,8, 10,11,15, 24 35:11, 24 36:11 47:24 48:9 49:6,18 50:2,4,11 51:4,8,15, 18,22 52:1,10, 11,18 53:2 55:6,22,24 56:2,4,6, 11,20,24 57:2,11, 20,22 58:6,22 59:9,17 61:6,18, 20,25 62:15,17, 25 63:8, 15,17,25 64:2,4,8, 11,13,17, 18 65:22 66:24 68:11,13, 15 70:11, 13,20,22, 25 71:4 74:13 75:15 76:4 77:17,22 78:15,18, 20,24 81:4,11, 13,17,18, 20,24

cover 60:8

Crawford 68:4,15

create 41:15

created 21:24 28:18 40:25 42:11 43:13 53:24 54:4,20 68:9

cross 20:18 77:13

CROSS-EXAMINATION 14:22 33:20

crowbar 47:13

Curling 50:11 52:11

cut 47:10

cycle 51:2

D

data 58:20

database 21:2 22:10 23:11,13 26:9 28:24 29:2 53:10 54:10,11

date 26:11

daughter 12:22 14:4 15:20 16:15

dawn 11:11

dawned 11:22

day 7:17 25:6,15 30:18 40:6,8,15 42:20,25 44:11,15 54:4 58:1 69:18 76:11 78:13

days 44:13 47:2
deal 60:15
dealing 19:4
deals 70:2
December 25:22,25 26:2 34:1
decide 75:15
decision 50:11 62:15 68:4 70:20
decisions 75:6
decrepitude 71:13
defective 59:11 71:22
defects 21:18 59:11 62:11
defendant 52:15 64:2 78:25
defendants 74:20,22 75:4
defendants' 72:22
defense 72:11
defined 29:10
degree 75:20
delivered 44:18 45:15,22
deliveries 45:20
demand 63:2, 4,6
Democrat 16:25
Democratic 73:25
demonstrated 80:10
depends 19:11
describe 16:22 26:6 54:1 58:13
describing 53:13
design 67:6 78:4
desk 43:11, 13 54:4, 13,15 81:11
detail 54:2
details 76:15
determine 23:11 24:25 27:8
determiner 64:11
determines 58:22 64:18
deviation 76:18 78:6
device 22:25
Diebold 61:13
differ 40:6
difference 51:10 62:13 66:13 75:18
differently 52:4
difficult 16:22
direct 9:18 13:11 18:11 20:13,20 69:17
director 18:20,24 43:20 52:9
disabled 41:1,2,8, 11,15 42:13
disaffected 72:6
disclosed 58:20
discover 75:11
discovery 55:18
discredited 73:17
discretion 76:2
discussion 81:15
disinfect 21:2,15 22:18,22
disinfected 21:22 22:15
dismiss 64:9 81:1
dismissal 64:6,15 79:2
dispatch 54:8
disproven 67:13
districts 39:15,17, 18,20

document
60:8,10

door 45:25
47:4,20

doors 47:13

doubt 64:25
65:9,24
67:17
79:25
80:11

downtown
61:8

drastic 68:6
80:6

DRE 25:20
28:15
30:25
36:6,13,17
37:15,17,
20 38:1,4,
10,11
42:22
43:22
45:2,4,22
49:22
50:1,15
55:9 56:15
65:2 79:8
80:2

DRE's 41:24

DRE-BASED
35:25

DRES 47:18
50:24
52:8,15,24
61:13
71:13

drive 10:8
17:15

duly 9:15
18:8

Duncan 11:15

duties 39:8

E

E-NET 29:25

earlier
56:15

early 19:11
30:11 38:4
39:4,22,24
40:1,11,20
44:6,13
47:11

echo 66:22

Edward 77:4

effect 27:22
58:25 59:4

effective
71:25

efforts 21:1

elect- 78:4

election
11:15
19:9,18
20:1 21:25
23:11
24:4,18
25:20,22
26:4,9,13,
18 27:22
28:25
30:18
31:17
34:13,20,
24 35:6,
15,17
37:24
38:2,17,18
39:10,15
40:6,8,15
44:15
46:20
48:15
50:20
51:2,6
54:4,22
61:4,17
64:22,24,
25 65:2
66:11,17
67:15
69:15,18
72:4,15
73:18
74:22
75:15,22,
25 76:11,
13,25
77:24
78:17
79:22
80:1,4,8,
11,25

election's
80:9

Electionet
29:25

elections
16:4
18:20,25
19:2 22:4,
6 33:25
34:2,4,15
35:18,20
38:22
43:20 52:9
60:1 66:20
67:13 75:6
78:6

electricity
38:9,13

electronic
10:15
25:13
28:18
72:20
74:24 75:6
78:9

electronics
72:20

elicited
69:10

eligible
28:25

email 14:6

employed
18:17

employees 19:6,10
enables 30:13
end 11:22 12:9 33:15 78:13
Enet 30:4 31:2,8,15 32:4
English 63:11
ensure 69:18
entire 55:25
entity 60:18
equal 80:13
equipment 27:6 36:2, 4 44:8 75:8
erase 27:1
error 80:15
errors 23:13 25:9,11,13
established 71:4 72:4 80:9
evaluate 58:22
evaluated 76:2
event 75:24 79:1
eventually 81:8
everybody's 70:13
everything's 8:6
evidence 21:8 50:9 59:15,18 60:2 61:18,22 62:10,11 64:18 65:10,25 66:1,6,24 67:11,15, 18,20 68:8,17,22 69:10 71:4,8,11, 22,24 72:2,18,25 73:6,9,13, 15 74:2, 17,20 75:20 77:9 78:1,25 79:1,18 80:15,22, 24 81:2
evidentiary 58:11
evolved 72:22
examination 9:18 18:11 25:18 27:8 77:13
examined 21:17 55:13
exception 59:6 60:20
excuse 13:6 56:18
excused 17:13
exercise 76:1
existed 76:20
experience 7:20
experienced 13:1
experiences 73:9
expert 8:18, 20 60:22 61:15 68:18 69:13,25 70:4 72:10,17 73:6 74:11 77:13
expert's 58:24
experts 58:15
explain 39:22 41:2 46:4 48:2, 20
explained 77:18
explanation 74:17 78:11
explanations 77:15
express 28:15,18, 20 29:1 30:18,22 31:1,11,13 41:1,4,13 42:2,9
expressed 28:4
expression 29:4
extent 61:4
external 37:11,18
extraordinary 68:6
extremely 69:11
eye 7:18

F

face 63:13

facie 75:17 77:18

facilities 53:4

fact 31:24 42:17 50:8 60:2 64:8, 11 68:6,10 69:2 75:15 76:6 78:4

facts 21:4,6 58:20 64:18 79:2

fail 67:15

fair 22:20 23:10 48:4

fall 51:2

false 31:25

familiar 11:22 68:18

favorably 64:18

favored 67:20

federal 50:11 51:4 52:11

filed 64:22

find 25:2 34:18 50:20 62:17 65:22 74:25 75:1,2,10

finder 64:11

fine 13:20

finish 13:8, 9,22 14:1 62:6

fire- 45:18

firemen 45:18,20

firm 59:9

first-hand 43:2

fit 60:20

flash 31:11 41:17

follow 32:6 34:15,18 35:2

follow-up 57:10

force 8:11

foremost 52:17

forensic 27:6

forensically 55:11

forgotten 70:15

forms 45:11

formulations 68:20

Forty-one 71:2

forward 12:8

found 25:4 55:25

foundation 20:6,11 21:9 27:11 31:18

fraud 64:24

freakish 73:15

FRIDAY 7:4

full 18:15

full-time 19:6

fully 67:24

Fulton 18:18 19:2 20:22 21:1,2,20 23:11,22 25:20 32:22 34:10,13 35:18,24 36:8,10, 13,17 37:4,11,20 43:22 52:9 55:8,11,15 57:4 64:6 66:20 68:2 69:15 70:9

Fulton's 67:24

function 73:18

G

Ga 59:9 64:13 68:4,15 77:22

gain 24:18

GEM 19:22 37:4,8

GEMS 20:2, 11,22 21:2 22:9 23:11,13 36:25 47:17 53:6,9,10

general 38:17 51:6 71:18

generally 61:13

gentleman 60:20

gentlemen 7:8

George 71:13

Georgetown 34:8

Georgia 7:4 10:6 11:8 13:22 15:2,8 34:20 36:2 50:22 52:22 61:1,13 62:13 64:13,15 71:15 74:24 75:6,8 77:4,22 78:15

Georgia's 71:13,20 72:8,13

get all 38:20,25 39:2,4

give 7:25

giving 55:22 60:22 62:20

goal 61:8

good 7:8,18 13:22 33:18 48:2 71:18

governmental 60:18 71:9

governor 57:6 68:1 69:6 74:8,9 78:10,11

governor's 10:22,24 11:6 16:11 58:2 66:6,9,25 78:6

Governors 69:6

grant 81:1

granted 79:2

great 76:15

greater 54:1

greatly 59:2

grounds 64:8 79:2

groups 16:17

guards 69:18

guess 54:18 78:20

guessing 16:24

Gwinnett 16:2 66:20 68:2 70:9

H

hacking 31:15 32:4 70:4

half 18:22

hand 9:2 65:17 73:15

handled 81:4

handles 46:1

happen 73:11,15

happened 12:25 24:20 25:1 42:22 43:2 69:13 72:15 78:15

happening 47:11 78:2

hard 27:2

Hart 68:4,13 70:20

Hartman 34:6

hate 15:4

head 21:6

headed 72:24

hear 63:18 70:11

heard 28:2,4 68:18

hearing 55:22 63:8 76:2 82:4

hearsay 58:17 59:6 60:24 62:22

helpful 60:2

high 10:13 69:4 75:20

highly 71:6 73:17

Highway 59:10

Hills 40:13

history 69:6

home 12:24 17:15

Honor 7:13,18 8:6,11,24 13:22 17:11,20 20:4,17 21:2,6 24:13 27:10 28:9 29:6 31:18 33:2 35:8,10 47:25 51:13 52:15 55:18 56:2,9,18 57:17 58:9 59:1,13,22 60:6,15,25 61:10,20,22 62:11,15,24

63:2,4,13, 18 64:6 66:18,20 67:25 70:18,24 71:4 72:22 73:13 74:2,22 75:1,20 76:1,4 77:15 81:2,20,22 82:2

hooked 37:15,17

House 39:18

hundreds 54:24

I

idea 48:2 74:15

identifies 46:10

ignore 78:2

illegal 65:8,11 67:22 79:17

illegality 79:24

immediately 25:22

important 67:10 69:13 72:13

importantly 76:11

improperly 44:1

impropriety 65:25 66:15

inability 75:11

inadmissible 58:20

inappropriate 66:6

inaudible 8:6,8 17:6 53:1 62:15 68:6 71:6 75:25 81:10

incidents 24:2

include 52:13

including 67:10 69:2

inconsistent 75:11

increases 19:11 72:15

Independent 16:25

independently 59:4

indication 67:20

individual 68:22 76:15 77:2

individuals 66:8

inference 58:22

infiltrated 36:17

inform 30:4

information 24:13 28:24 30:9,20,24 31:2,4,8 38:20 52:1 65:15,17, 20,22 66:10

informed 73:6

initially 11:17

input 48:22 49:15

inquire 31:25

insert 26:18

inserted 30:17

insertion 26:20

inspect 25:8

inspections 76:24

instance 42:1 46:17

instant 64:20

instruct 62:15

insulate 75:6

interested 16:18

interesting 8:4

internal 26:22 54:13

Internet 37:15

interpret 34:25

interpreted 52:4

interrupt 68:11

interrupting

56:1

investigate 32:9

investigating 32:11

investigation 24:25 32:18 59:11

involuntary 64:6

involve 26:15

involved 16:17 33:25

involving 32:4

irregularities 67:15

irregularity 64:24 78:2 79:24

irrelevant 60:25

issue 8:22 25:4 42:22 43:10 60:4 65:18 68:8,9 74:13 77:22 79:20 80:2

issues 16:18 29:15 36:13,20 37:4 55:20 67:9

J

January 7:4

Jeff 68:1

join 67:24

joins 66:20

jokes 48:11

judge 34:2 38:15 39:11,22 41:2 43:8 44:2 45:2 46:4 47:15 48:15,20 55:20,22 81:6

judges 63:10 81:6

judicial 75:8

jury 8:4 58:20,22 63:2 78:20,24

K

Kaolin 64:15

Kemp 50:11

key 45:13

keypad 45:8

kind 24:25 68:8,9,22 76:13,18

kinds 79:9

knowledge 20:6 22:18 23:6 43:2 51:6 61:11

L

lack 29:2 67:6

ladies 7:8

laid 20:10 21:9 27:11,13

language 29:15

large 11:6 17:6 45:8 66:13

late 7:9

law 8:15 34:15,18, 25 36:2 49:20 50:1 51:6,10,18 56:22 58:13,17, 18 63:4 64:11,20 75:17 79:2,20 80:4

lawsuit 24:15

lawyer 56:25 62:2

lay 56:24

layout 69:4

lays 68:4

leading 20:13 29:9 51:2

learned 24:15 27:6

leave 62:15

Leclerc 23:15

Leclerc's 43:4

led 65:25 66:2 68:22

left 12:22 78:13

legal 65:22 66:17 67:22 79:18,22

legislature 75:13

level 30:4

lieutenant 10:24

16:11 57:6 58:2 66:4, 8,25 68:1 69:6 74:8, 9 78:11

life 27:2

lightly 80:6

likelihood 75:18

Lindsey 7:11,13 8:6,10 13:6,10, 20,22 14:2,25 15:24 20:10 47:24,25 48:6,11,13 49:6 51:4 55:18 57:10,11, 20,22,25 58:4 60:15 61:15 63:18 67:25 68:13,15, 17 70:18, 22,24 73:20 74:1 76:4 77:4 82:2

listen 62:20

listened 43:4

Lithonia 10:6,8,13

live 10:6 26:10 31:6 40:11 76:15

load 26:9

loaded 28:24

local 38:20

located 47:6

location 46:18 53:18

locations 45:17

lock 45:13

log 54:11

logic 26:10, 13 48:18 55:9

long 18:22 33:24 47:1 81:6

looked 14:9, 11 16:6 73:22

Los 10:4 11:4

lose 75:2

lot 69:13 71:11

lots 39:6

M

machine 10:15,20 25:6,9 27:2 31:2 36:17 37:15,17 38:1,10,11 40:20 41:20 42:22 43:17 47:4 48:15 49:1,2 60:4 74:24 76:24 78:9

machines 25:20 26:17 27:22,24 32:22 36:6,13 37:11,20, 22 38:4,6 39:4 42:4 43:22 44:4,6,11 45:4,6,13, 15,22 46:11,15, 22 47:11 49:2,22 50:1,15 53:13 55:9,13,15 56:15 61:13 62:22 65:2,4 71:11,15, 17 73:2 75:18,22 76:25

made 24:22 27:9 46:6 58:15 75:17

maintain 47:1

maintained 30:2 71:15

major 63:11

make 17:4 19:17 22:8,20 26:11 29:2 30:15 31:22,25 35:1 38:20 39:2,4 46:2 48:24 49:15 54:15 56:24 58:10 62:2 63:10 70:10 76:25 77:4

makes 41:17

making 8:6 11:8 22:13

32:1 76:17
MALE 64:1
malfunctions 12:11
malicious 68:9,22
malware 21:17 22:24 68:22 73:4 76:9,20 77:11
mandated 56:15
manually 49:15
margin 65:18 67:22
marked 53:13
match 48:24
material 62:13
mathematical 66:4 68:20
matter 50:8 64:15 68:10 76:6
matters 77:11
means 39:13 41:4
meantime 43:15
measures 47:17
mechanical 25:13
media 19:4 47:4
memory 21:20,25 22:2,9,15 23:2 26:4, 22 27:9,24 31:11 43:25 44:4 53:17,20
mentioned 53:6
mere 77:24 78:15
merits 66:22
metal 46:8 47:13
mic 21:11
Michael 72:2
microscopic 73:1
Middleton 77:22
mind 81:6,8
minds 57:18
minute 7:9, 25 55:4 81:11,24
minutes 63:18
mis-program 22:24
misconduct 64:24
misprogramming 73:4 75:2
missed 16:13
Mm-hmm 56:11
mode 41:1, 6,8,13,15 42:11,13
modes 41:6
moment 32:24
morning 7:8, 18 10:9 12:22 14:6 21:11 29:17 33:4 65:15
motion 64:2, 9 66:20 67:24 77:15 79:2 81:1
move 7:10, 15 64:6 79:2
movement 50:6
multiple 55:20
municipalities 38:22

N

narrow 80:2
NAS 61:6
national 58:11 59:2,10,24 60:17 62:11 71:6,8,13, 17 72:9 73:6
naturally 72:13
nature 74:15
needed 21:9
negligent 68:9
night 14:4
non-jury 64:15
nonliving 64:18
nonprofit 60:20
noon 7:10
note 59:4 60:6 79:4
noticed 12:13,15

November 10:11 23:11 24:18 31:17 37:24 38:17 39:15 49:4 54:22

number 46:9 62:18 67:4,8,22 69:4 78:6 80:22 81:15

numbers 44:8,9,20, 22 80:13, 20

O

oath 9:17 18:9

object 20:4 21:2 27:10 31:18 35:8

objection 20:15 24:13 29:6 51:4 52:15,20, 25 56:6 57:17

objectionable 52:2

objections 55:24

observe 27:11

observed 43:6

occasions 55:20

occur 40:20 67:6

occurred 61:2

occurs 45:17

OCGA 52:13 56:13 59:6

offer 79:1

offhand 50:17

office 19:18 24:6,13,15 43:13 44:25 51:1 57:22

officers 45:18,20

official 51:6 64:25 65:4 66:17 69:15

open 46:15 54:18

opening 56:4

operating 69:18 77:1

operation 25:15

operator 41:13

opinion 27:22 28:2,4 50:2 51:2 58:22,24 59:2 60:22 62:20 72:11,17

opportunity 55:22

opposite 59:11

option 41:13 67:11 73:18,20

order 63:25

orders 52:10

organization 60:20

originally 46:22

originates 22:11

outlined 70:6

outweighs 58:24 59:2

overcome 80:24

overruled 55:24

oversee 35:6,15 54:6

overseeing 19:4 23:20 34:13

overturn 77:24

overturned 75:25

overturning 78:17

owns 36:6

P

packet 27:2

pages 10:25 60:9,10

paper 72:20 73:1 75:25

paragraph 60:11

parallel 76:17

part 28:22 36:1 48:11 70:6

part-time

19:9

partial 72:4

particularities 68:18

party 67:6

pass 49:4

passed 77:15

password-protected 47:18

past 78:6, 11

penalties 35:22

people 19:22 57:18 59:18 74:4 80:4

perceive 62:10

percent 36:9,11 66:4,13 75:20

person 11:11 12:20 14:4 16:20 80:8

personally 24:6 35:20

petitioner 75:17

petitioner's 64:20

petitioners 10:1 65:2 75:13

ph 68:1,13 72:9 73:4 78:1 81:6

phrase 31:22 52:2

phrased 35:11

piece 72:4

place 8:8 33:18 39:25 40:11,18 43:15 44:18 65:9,11,24 67:17 69:24 76:10 77:10 79:25

places 19:2 39:1

plaintiff 64:18 69:2 75:2 76:8 77:11 78:22 79:2,22

plaintiff's 55:18,22 64:10 67:13 68:18,25 69:10,13, 25 70:4

plaintiffs 17:20 55:15 64:22 78:13 79:4

plaintiffs' 69:17

plate 47:13

plausible 74:18 77:13

plenty 16:15

plugged 38:13

podium 33:10,11

podiums 33:13

point 11:13 26:6 49:9 51:9 58:9 59:4,13 60:1 76:22

pointed 63:11

Poletto 64:13

police 45:20

political 39:20

poll 12:17 19:2 23:17 25:4 28:13,15, 18,20 29:1,4 30:18,22 31:1 36:20,22 38:25 39:1 41:1,4,13 42:2,6,9 43:11,15 44:10,22 46:11 53:15 65:17

poller 54:2

polling 19:2 39:1,25 40:11 43:15 44:18 46:18

polls 31:11, 13 65:11

popped 11:4

position 18:18,22 52:9 71:15 78:22 79:11

positions 49:15

positions'

72:22

potential
78:13

potentially
38:13

precinct
24:20
30:11
31:13 40:9
67:2 80:18

precincts
24:1
39:15,20
40:4,10
54:6

prejudicial
58:24 59:4

prepare
38:17

presentation
78:25

presented
73:4,6,20
77:11 78:8

presumed
67:15
79:20

presumption
67:18
79:22

pretty 62:20
63:10

previous
11:24
13:17 22:4

previously
20:15

prima 75:17
77:18

prinicipally
58:17

prior 22:6
23:10
31:15
48:15
53:25
61:11
76:11,25

private
60:20

privileged
52:18

probative
58:22 59:2

problem 7:13
41:20
68:10
73:10
76:13
77:22

problems
15:20

procedures
39:9 80:9

process
22:13
24:11 26:6
29:20 43:8
44:2,13
45:2

processed
39:6

product
59:11

promise 9:2
17:20

proper 20:11

properly
73:18 77:6

proponent
58:20

proven 75:18

provide
64:15

provided
66:10

public 54:17
59:6 60:18
62:18

pull 10:18
40:13

purchased
36:9,10

purely 66:4

pursuant
64:6

pushing
49:13

put 7:22
10:20
28:13 29:1
30:9,24
31:11,13
33:15
41:11
47:13
54:18 55:8
69:24 76:8

puts 28:15

Q

question
8:15
13:13,17
20:13
21:6,11
22:22
27:13
31:22
35:11 50:9
52:2 61:1,
4 74:1

questioning
55:25

questions
13:4,6,11
15:24
17:9,11
19:17
29:11
33:1,2
36:18
47:22,25
49:6 51:11
58:6 72:2
73:20

quick 13:6 68:11
quickly 72:2
quote 52:13
quoted 56:4
quoting 58:18

R

race 10:22, 24 11:6,15 12:2 16:11 57:6 58:1, 2 66:6,9, 25 67:6,11 68:18,20 78:4 79:10,13, 18
races 67:2 78:9
raise 9:2
raised 66:24 68:2
Raven 81:6
read 32:4, 6,15 50:11 56:22 59:18 62:22 68:2,4 70:15
reading 29:11 57:18 59:18,22 75:15 80:4
ready 26:13 38:20 39:4
realized 78:20
reason 22:13 63:4 69:9 73:22 77:15
reasonable 55:22 69:8 78:11
reasons 67:4 69:2 70:8
rebuttal 8:18
rebutted 69:1
recall 20:25 23:15,20 53:6 57:8 59:11
recap 44:20 46:15
receipt 65:11
receive 57:4
received 24:13 65:8 79:17
record 9:22 18:15 21:4 32:20 54:15 55:15 61:20 62:18 63:22 65:22 66:6 67:11,20
recorded 44:8,9,22 46:13 77:2,6
recording 49:15 70:2
records 54:18 59:6
recounted 72:10
recruited 39:1
reference 31:20
referring 56:13 68:11
reflect 21:4,11
refused 7:11
registration 18:20,24 19:4 28:22 29:2,22,25 34:15 35:18,20 39:6
Registrations 16:4 66:20
regular 41:6,13 42:11
reinstalled 20:2
rejected 65:8,22 66:18 67:22 79:18
rejection 65:11
related 32:4 33:2
relating 49:22
relevance 61:18
reliability 43:20
relied 61:15 62:18
relief 79:4
remarked 74:2
remedy 80:6
remember 11:2

remove 22:24
renew 58:11 63:2
renewing 63:4
reopened 25:6
rephrase 50:9
replace 64:25
replaced 20:22 72:4,6
report 24:2, 8 31:20 58:13 59:2,4,10, 22 61:6,13 67:2,4
reported 69:22
reports 31:15,18 32:2 59:6 66:25 69:11
represent 16:2
representative 39:18 80:6
reproduce 31:11
reproduces 31:11
reprogram 26:2
reprogramming 26:15 27:9,22
Republican 16:24 73:25
request 54:20 58:11
requesting 66:22
require 49:11 50:1 52:13 64:17
required 34:15 35:2 47:1 49:22 56:15
requires 50:22 52:22 58:18 64:22
requiring 52:6
reserved 80:6
respect 28:6 44:4 45:2 62:1 79:6 81:2
respond 35:10
response 72:2 76:4
rest 63:15
result 53:24 65:1,13,24 67:13 69:4 73:15 74:22 79:25 80:1,10
results 48:24 61:4 65:9 67:17,18 72:18 73:1,2,9 75:22 77:10
retired 16:13 45:20
returned 46:22
returns 79:22
revealed 76:9
review 23:11 75:8
reviewed 59:15 60:22
Richard 17:20 18:6,11,15 33:22
rid 79:11
roaming 54:6
rolls 19:4
room 38:10, 11
Roswell 40:13,17, 18
rule 8:8, 13,17 34:20,22 51:20 52:4 74:20 75:1,4,11 81:4
ruled 55:22 63:9,13 81:4,11
rules 8:10, 11 34:25 35:1,22 63:10
ruling 51:2
rulings 63:11
run 26:8,10 48:22 49:11,13

63:13

running 11:11 16:20 26:20 69:8

runoff 25:25 26:2 55:2

RUSSO 49:8, 10,18

S

safe 17:15 69:18

safeguards 69:22

safety 44:4 59:11

Sara 23:15

saved 54:9

scenarios 73:2

scholars 72:11

School 10:13

Science 59:24

Science's 59:2

Sciences 58:13 60:17,18

scientific 27:20,22 29:13 79:6

scientists 72:11

Scores 54:24

screen 10:15,18 12:13 14:10,18 15:13 16:22 25:13 40:22 41:9 42:10 49:13 73:24

script 48:22,25 49:11

seal 44:6, 9,11,22,25 46:4,9,10, 13,17,22 47:2,9,10

sealed 44:6, 15 45:4 47:4

seals 44:11, 22 45:22, 24 46:15

seat 9:6 17:24 63:25

seats 7:9

Secretary 19:18 20:1,6 32:18 51:1 69:15 75:6 77:6

section 64:22 65:6

Sections 64:22

secure 53:4, 18 61:2

secured 53:18

securing 60:1

security 7:20,22 45:2 47:17 53:6 60:4

SED 34:20, 22

select 41:13

selects 30:22

senate 39:18 67:6 78:4, 10

send 44:15 54:4

sending 59:18

separately 19:20

sequestration 8:11,13,17

Sequoia 34:6

serial 44:8, 20

server 19:18,22 20:1,22 30:18,20 37:1 47:17 53:6,11 72:4

servers 20:6

set 39:2 70:8 71:15

setting 80:4

sexist 48:4

sham 73:4

sheet 44:20 46:15

shook 21:6

show 42:10 56:13 65:6 75:13 80:15

showing 75:18 77:18 79:24

shown 64:22 72:25 79:4

shuffling 75:25
shut 43:17
sic 22:24
side 62:2 63:18
sides 62:4
sign 40:24 42:4
signs 73:10
simply 62:11 68:2,20
single 66:11
sir 10:22 14:15 15:2,15 16:13,18 20:18 47:24 57:22 81:4,17
skill 27:11
small 11:13 17:2
smaller 16:22
Smith 64:13 77:22
software 20:2 36:13,20 37:4 49:13
sort 25:18 66:1,15
source 31:4
specific 31:20 61:11,17 76:24
specifically 48:15 57:6
speculation 57:18 74:2,20 77:25 78:15
spend 71:22
square 17:2, 6
squares 11:6 16:8 17:4
staff 25:8
stand 8:25 76:8
standalone 30:20
start 59:18
state 9:22 17:20 18:15 20:1 30:2,4 31:11 32:18 34:15,18, 24 35:6,17 36:2,10 38:22 39:18 67:1 69:15,22 75:6 77:6 79:11
state's 19:18 20:6 31:2 51:1
state- 56:15
stated 60:22
statement 13:9 31:22 56:4
statements 31:25 61:11
states 69:6 71:20
statewide 74:22
statute 50:13,15, 18 56:2 75:13
stays 27:2
story 32:15
straight 30:17
stuff 59:18 63:11 78:20
styles 39:13 40:2,6
subject 35:20
subsection 71:2
subsequent 27:22
substantial 71:22
substantially 58:24
sued 79:11
sufficient 64:25 65:9,11, 20,24 67:15 79:25 80:24
suggest 72:20
suggested 73:20
suggests 63:6
summary 12:8
sundry 39:6
supervision 24:25 27:18
support 79:15
supporting 74:2

supposed 34:18 42:4 43:18 60:9 62:2

Supreme 77:22 78:15

survived 75:24

susceptible 70:4

sustain 20:15 52:18

sustained 24:15 53:2 56:6 57:20

sworn 9:17 18:9

system 20:11 28:22 29:20,22, 24 30:1,4 31:2,15 32:4 35:25 37:2,4,8 53:25 61:1 69:18 70:2,4 72:4,8 73:10,18 76:13,18 79:6,8,11 80:25

systemic 76:13

systems 34:6 70:1 72:9

T

table 50:6

taking 19:2 79:4

talked 12:20 15:18 53:4 69:25

talking 27:15 29:15 53:9,10 81:6,8

tampered 46:18

tampering 66:1

tape 48:24

technician 43:13 54:6

technicians 54:6

telling 12:25 52:13

tells 29:4 30:25

telltale 73:10

Temple 24:20

ten 63:17

tendered 58:15

terminology 29:18 30:15

terms 29:10 38:15 40:2 68:8,20 69:4,20,22

Terri 8:25 9:13,20,24 14:22

terrible 79:8

test 37:22 49:4 51:20

tested 37:20 38:2,4 44:6

testified 9:17 18:9 53:17,22 55:8 67:4 69:17 72:2

testify 7:20 69:13

testifying 61:15

testimony 8:20 19:13 20:13 23:15 43:4 48:17 54:1 62:13 68:24 69:11,15, 22 70:1,6 74:6 76:18,20, 22 77:2

testing 26:11,15 48:18 55:17

tests 26:20 55:10 76:6,8,11, 20 77:4,9

Texas 34:4,8

there're 43:11

thing 11:4,6 14:4 30:8 41:10 51:15,22 57:4 66:9 79:11 80:2,11 81:4

things 26:13 32:1 40:20 51:11 79:9

thinking 11:6 17:2

Thomas 8:25 9:13,20, 24,25

14:22 16:2
65:15

Thompkin
68:1

thought 28:8
59:17
73:22,24
74:9,10

thousand
80:17

throw 74:15

tick 73:22

ticket 43:13
53:25 54:4
69:8 73:25
74:8,10

tickets
53:22
54:9,11,20

tie 66:15

time 11:18
14:9 15:1
16:13,15
24:18
26:11
32:25 48:6
63:2 70:10
71:24
81:22

timely 39:6

times 15:6,9
48:13
74:18

today 72:6
76:22

told 12:25
41:24 45:4

Traffic
59:10

trained 39:1

training
19:2

Travis 34:4

treat 20:17

treated
66:22

trial 63:9

trier 64:8

triers 60:2

trouble 50:6

trusted 20:2

truth 9:2,4
17:22

TS 67:4

turn 25:22
31:2

type 20:11
25:13
27:11

Tyson 7:15
16:1,2,4
17:9 20:4
27:10
31:18
47:24
52:25
61:6,10
66:18
77:18
81:20

U

U.S. 78:4,
10

ultimate
35:18

Um-hmm 49:24

un-rebutted
72:18

unable 42:18

unanimous
72:11

unanswered
62:15

uncontroversial 76:6

uncontroverted
70:6
76:18,20,
22 77:2

under-vote
66:1,2,4,
15 67:6
69:2,9
79:18
80:11,15

under-votes
66:11 67:9

understand
21:13
22:18 28:6
29:11
34:22
57:22
59:13
62:22
79:18

understanding
19:18,25
22:22
24:11,20
25:11
26:25
48:17
49:25
51:18
52:22

understood
62:25

undertake
35:15

undertaken
80:6

undisputed
69:15 70:1
71:10

unfair 59:17

uniform 36:2

unique 46:10

unit 27:8
30:20
47:15

urge 59:22

useless 62:22

V

valid 61:4 67:15

verified 44:11

verify 44:22 46:13

Versus 68:15

victory 67:22

view 62:4 73:13

violation 80:9,10

viruses 36:15,22 37:6

vote 10:11, 13,15 12:4,22 14:15 16:11 28:25 30:6 39:25 40:9,13,15 42:18 44:15 48:22 65:13,18 66:8 67:11 69:20 70:2,4 72:22 73:24 74:8 77:1,4 78:13

voted 11:20, 22 12:24 15:1,8,15 48:25

voter 13:22 19:4 25:6 28:11,13, 17,22 29:4,25 30:6,10, 11,13,17, 22 39:6 41:2,11,25 42:15,18 43:10 69:4,20 73:2 74:6, 18 77:1 78:8

voters 40:8 41:8,9 67:10 69:4 70:2 73:9, 22

votes 48:22 49:17 65:8,11,22 66:17 67:22,24 78:1 79:17,18 80:13,17, 18,24

voting 7:20 11:9 12:15 16:18 17:4 19:11 30:13 32:20 34:6 35:25 36:2 38:4 39:4, 22,24 40:1,11,20 44:6,13 45:17 47:11 49:13 61:13 68:24 73:2 76:13

vulnerable 31:15 65:6 71:6,11 72:8,13 73:18 77:20

W

waiving 79:1

Walden 59:8

wall 38:13

wanted 7:10 16:6

warehouse 45:6,8,9, 10 46:13, 22,24 47:18

watcher 23:17

ways 43:11

web 37:18 70:1

webpage 60:17

whatsoever 74:20

Williamson 34:8

Winterville 80:13,18

witnesses 8:18 58:10 67:4 68:25 77:13

woman 15:4 48:4,8 65:13

word 29:13, 15 51:9 68:6

words 29:13

work 34:6 70:22 80:25

worked 34:4, 6

worker 25:4 28:13

30:22 42:8
43:11,15
65:17

workers 19:2
38:25
39:1,2
44:10,22
46:11

working 7:11
52:22

works 39:22

worry 48:10

worse 71:13,
17,18,20

write-in
67:8

writing
11:13

written
75:13

wrong 42:4,6

wrote 14:6

Y

years 18:22
53:20
71:15
78:11

yellow 28:11

yesterday
20:13
23:15
61:15
63:11 72:2
73:20

yesterday's
19:13

younger 48:9

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDQW
Date: 1/30/2019 10:23 AM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY

STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, et al,	*	
Plaintiffs,	*	CIVIL ACTION FILE
VS.	*	NUMBER: 2018CV313418
ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Et al,	*	
Defendants	*	

ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'SMOTION TO SUPPLEMENT THE RECORD

Plaintiff's Motion to Supplement the Record, having been considered and all parties having agreed and the Court's Exhibit "A" attached being added thereto the Motion to Supplement the Record is GRANTED.

SO ORDERED, this the 30 day of January 2019.

SENIOR JUDGE ADELE P. GRUBBS
STATE OF GEORGIA

Grubbs, Adele

From: Grubbs, Adele
Sent: Monday, January 14, 2019 11:03 AM
To: Davis, Thomas
Subject: Re: Message from WILKIE JORDAN (2143921888)

Tom,

If you would. You know more about getting records than I do.

Thank you

Adeke

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 14, 2019, at 10:52 AM, Davis, Thomas <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org> wrote:

> Judge,
>
> This is a message from a journalist, he does not say from what outlet, who wants to talk to you about getting access to some sort of records in Fulton County regarding the election challenge, how would you like me to respond?
>
> Thank you,
>
> Thomas L. Davis
> Staff Attorney to the Senior Judges
> Cobb County Superior Court
> (770) 528-8091
> Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org
> **From:** unityconnection
> **Sent:** Monday, January 14, 2019 9:56 AM
> **To:** Davis, Thomas <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>
> **Subject:** Message from WILKIE JORDAN (2143921888)
>
> <VoiceMessage.wav>

Exhibit A

I have been in touch with the attorneys in this matter. There is a confidentiality agreement in regard to discovery in this case, therefore the press does not have access to the discovery process including observing as far as anything to do with the lawsuit is concerned. However, the trial will , of course, be public.

Adele Grubbs
Senior Judge

On Mon, Jan 14, 2019 at 10:18 AM Jordan Wilkie <wilkie.jordant@gmail.com> wrote:
Dear Judge Grubbs,

I am a reporter focused on election security and integrity. I was in your courtroom last week.

I am currently at Fulton County election warehouse where both parties just went back into the back room to inspect the machines.

Could I have clarity on the level of access that I, as a member of the press, should be allowed?

I understand that there is a confidentiality agreement for viewing internal memor ----- Message truncated -----

---

Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com> Mon, Jan 14, 2019 at 11:47 AM
To: josh.belinfonte@robbinslawfirm.com

[Quoted text hidden]
---------- Forwarded message ----------
From: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
To: Jordan Wilkie <wilkie.jordant@gmail.com>, bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com, josh.belinfonte@robbinslaw.com
Cc:
Bcc:
Date: Mon, 14 Jan 2019 11:45:11 -0500
Subject: Re: Journalistic access to Lt. Gov challenge
Mr. Wilkie,

I have been in touch with the attorneys in this matter. There is a confidentiality agreement in regard to discovery in this case, therefore the press does not have access to the discovery process including observing as far as anything to do with the lawsuit is concerned. However, the trial will , of course, be public.

Adele Grubbs
Senior Judge

On Mon, Jan 14, 2019 at 10:18 AM Jordan Wilkie <wilkie.jordant@gmail.com> wrote:
Dear Judge Grubbs,

I am a reporter focused on election security and integrity. I was in your courtroom last week.

I am currently at Fulton County election warehouse where both parties just went back into the back room to inspect the machines.

Could I have clarity on the level of access that I, as a member of the press, should be allowed?

I understand that there is a confidentiality agreement for viewing internal memor ----- Message truncated -----

Jordan Wilkie <wilkie.jordant@gmail.com> Mon, Jan 14, 2019 at 12:02 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com, josh.belinfonte@robbinslaw.com

Your honor,

Thank you for the speedy response. Does that pertain to the public records that will be a part of discovery (as per your court order)?

Even if I cannot be party to the discovery itself, can I observe or can you grant permission to the parties to tell me what level of access is being granted to the machines? That should avoid all issues of confidentiality, as I understand them, and I apologize if I'm off base. It would also answer the main question I need in my reporting. Are cyber security experts actually getting to review the internal memory and programming of DRE machines?

Finally, could you tell me which parties protested my attendence? What would be the case if the defendents and a county would allow me to be there, but not the secretary of state's office? Since they're not a party, would they have a say? I have had many issues with gaining access to public information and public records through their office before and am therefore concerned about their stance.

Thank you,
Jordan Wilkie
214.392.1888

P.S. Please forgive any typos as I am writing from my phone.
[Quoted text hidden]

Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com> Mon, Jan 14, 2019 at 12:11 PM
To: Jordan Wilkie <wilkie.jordant@gmail.com>
Cc: bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com, josh.belinfonte@robbinslaw.com

Mr. Wilkie,

You do not have access to the process of discovery or the items produced. Nor may you observe at this time. there is a Court order indicating what is discoverable and it is available to the public.

Adele Grubbs

Senior Judge
[Quoted text hidden]

Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com> Mon, Jan 14, 2019 at 12:11 PM
To: adele.grubbs@gmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

Final-Recipient: rfc822; josh.belinfonte@robbinslaw.com
Action: failed
Status: 5.1.1
Remote-MTA: dns; mx1.smtp.exch081.serverdata.net. (64.78.25.102, the server for the domain robbinslaw.com.)
Diagnostic-Code: smtp; 550 5.1.1 <josh.belinfonte@robbinslaw.com>: Recipient address rejected: User unknown in relay recipient table
Last-Attempt-Date: Mon, 14 Jan 2019 09:11:42 -0800 (PST)

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDMH
Date: 1/30/2019 3:55 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV313418**

AMENDED NOTICE OF APPEAL

On January 24, 2019, Plaintiffs gave timely notice of appeal ("the Notice of Appeal") of Plaintiffs' appeal to the Supreme Court of Georgia from the Superior Court of Fulton County's January 18, 2019 Order Dismissing Plaintiffs' Petition to Contest Election Result, a copy of which was attached to the Notice of Appeal, and another copy of which is attached to this Amended Notice of Appeal.

Pursuant to notice from the Office of the Clerk of the Superior Court of Fulton County dated January 24, 2019, received on January 28, 2019, Plaintiffs file this Amended Notice of Appeal.

The Notice of Appeal was filed on January 24, 2019, within thirty days of the January 18, 2019 Order of the Superior Court being appealed. *See* O.C.G.A. § 5-6-38(a).

The clerk will please omit nothing from the record on appeal, and please note:

1. Please transmit the transcript of the following hearings in this case: (a) Hearing held on December 5, 2018; (b) Hearing held on January 9, 2019; and (c) Hearing held on January 17 and January 18, 2019.
2. Please also include in the record Plaintiffs' January 23, 2019 Motion to Supplement the Record, as well as the trial court's January 30, 2019 Order Granting said Motion to Supplement the Record.

The Supreme Court, rather than the Court of Appeals, has jurisdiction of this case on appeal for the reason that Article 6, Section 6, Paragraph II of the Georgia Constitution states that the "Supreme Court shall be a court of review and shall exercise exclusive appellate jurisdiction" over "[a]ll cases of election contest."

DATED: January 30, 2019.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Georgia Bar No. 064460
BRUCE P. BROWN LAW LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE, Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700
Attorney for Plaintiffs

GA002601

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing via the Court's e-filing system, and separately via direct email, to the following counsel of record for defendants/appellees:

Kaye Woodard Burwell
Office of the Fulton County Attorney
141 Pryor Street, NW
Suite 4038
Atlanta, Georgia 30303
Kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov

Counsel for Defendant Fulton County Board of Registrations and Elections

Bryan P. Tyson
Strickland Brockingham Lewis LLC
Midtown Proscenium Suite 2200
1170 Peachtree St. NE
Atlanta, Georgia 30309
bpt@sbllaw.net

Counsel for Defendant Gwinnett County Board of Registrations and Elections

Josh Belinfante
Robbins Ross Alloy Belinfante Littlefield LLC
500 Fourteenth St. NW
Atlanta, Georgia 30318
Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com

Counsel for Defendant Secretary of State

Edward H. Lindsey, Jr.
Dentons
303 Peachtree St. NE
Suite 5300
Atlanta, Georgia 30308
edward.lindsey@dentons.com

Counsel for Defendant Geoff Duncan

This 30th day of January, 2019.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Georgia Bar No. 064460
BRUCE P. BROWN LAW LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE, Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700

Attorney for Plaintiffs

EXHIBIT

A

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

Rhonda Martin, Smythe Duval, Jeanne Dufort	*	
	*	CIVIL ACTION
Plaintiffs,	*	FILE NO.: 2018CV313418
vs.	*	
	*	
Geoff Duncan.	*	
Fulton County Board of Registration and Elections,	*	
Gwinnett county Board of Registration And Elections	*	
	*	
Defendants.	*	
	*	

ORDER DISMISSING PLAINTIFFS' PETITION

The above and forgoing case having come on regularly to be heard with all parties represented by counsel and,

Plaintiffs having made Motions for Jury trial, see Fuller v. Thomas 284 Ga. 397 (2008), Continuance and to Compel Discovery and the Court having denied the same, and,

Plaintiffs having presented evidence and rested their case, and,

The Defendants having made a Motion for Involuntary Dismissal Pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 9-11-41(b),

It is hereby ordered as follows:

1.

O.C.G.A. § 9-11-41(b) states in material part –

"After the plaintiff, in an action tried by the court without a jury, has completed the presentation of his evidence, the defendant, without waiving his right to offer evidence in the event the motion is not granted, may move for dismissal on the ground that upon the fasts and the law the plaintiff has shown no right to relief."

2.

In this case the Plaintiffs contest the result of the election for Lieutenant Governor held November 6th, 2018, and request that the result be set aside and that a new election be held on paper ballots.

3.

The Plaintiffs have not shown any evidence that illegal votes have been received or legal votes rejected at the polls sufficient to change or place in doubt the result in the race for Lieutenant Governor held on November 6, 2018. O.C.G.A. §21-2-522.

4.

The Plaintiffs did presented evidence that the DRE system of voting used in Georgia has many problems and irregularities and is regarded as an outdated and inaccurate system of conducting a vote.

5.

In the particular race for Lieutenant Governor at issue here, the Plaintiffs showed that there were five instances of problems with voting at two precincts, that, of the 8 voting machines at the Winterville precinct in Clark County Georgia, 7 went decidedly Democratic and 1 went decidedly Republican and that there was a 4.5% undervote in the Lieutenant Governor race. There was no evidence of misconduct, fraud, or irregularity by any primary or election official or officials.

6.

"It is presumed that election results are valid, and the party contesting the Election has the burden of showing an irregularity or illegality sufficient to change place in doubt the result of the election" Hunt v. Crawford 270 GA.7 (1998). That case goes on to say "the setting aside of an election in which the people have chosen their representative is a drastic remedy that should not be undertaken lightly, but, instead should be reserved for cases in which the person challenging the election has clearly established a violation of election procedures and has demonstrated that the violation has placed the result of the election in doubt."

7.

In the Lieutenant Governor's race Geoff Duncan received 1,951,738 votes

and Sarah Amico received 1,828,566 votes, a difference of 123,172 votes. In the Lieutenant Governor's race there were 4.5% fewer voters than in the Governor's race. The numbers also show that Sarah Amico, a Democrat, also received more votes than those cast for the Democrat in the State-wide races for Commissioner of Agriculture, Commissioner of Insurance, State School Superintendent, and Commissioner of Labor. These number do not show any irregularity or illegality in themselves.

8.

"Where the focus is on improperly cast ballots or irregularities in the conduct of the election, the number of illegal of irregular ballots necessary to cast doubt on an election is derived by taking the difference between the total votes cast in the election and the race at issue, and adding the margin of victory in the race at issue" Fuller v. Thomas 284 Ga. 397 (2008)

9.

In the present case the most votes that the Plaintiff has shown that could be

in any way arguably considered irregular or illegal is approximately 32,000 votes. That assumes that all such votes would have been cast for Sarah Amico.

10.

Therefore, Plaintiffs Petition is hereby dismissed.

SO ORDERED this 18th day of January, **2019**



JUDGE ADELE P. GRUBBS
Senior Judge, State of Georgia

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE is attached.

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDLW
Date: 2/1/2019 2:30 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV313418**

NOTICE OF FILING ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPTS

On February 1, 2019, Plaintiffs filed the following original transcripts with the Superior Court Clerk:

1. December 5, 2018 Hearing Transcript;
2. December 9, 2018 Hearing Transcript;
3. January 17, 2019 Hearing Transcript;
4. January 18, 2019 Hearing Transcript.

There being no other transcripts to be filed, this case is ready for transmittal to the Supreme Court of Georgia.

DATED: February 1, 2019.

/s/Bruce P. Brown

Bruce P. Brown
Georgia Bar No. 064460
BRUCE P. BROWN LAW LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE, Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700
Attorney for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing via the Court's e-filing system to all counsel or record.

This 1st day of February, 2019.



Bruce P. Brown
Georgia Bar No. 064460
BRUCE P. BROWN LAW LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE, Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700

Attorney for Plaintiffs

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
FULTON JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
STATE OF GEORGIA

FULTON COUNTY COALITION FOR	)	
	)	
GOOD GOVERNANCE, ET AL	)	
	)	
Plaintiffs	)	**Case Number**
	)	
Versus	)	**2018-CV-313418**
	)	
ROBYN CRITTENDEN, ET AL	)	
	)	
Defendants	)	

STATUS HEARING

A transcript of the proceedings before the **HONORABLE ADELE P. GRUBBS**, on December 5, 2018, at the Cobb County Courthouse, Marietta, Cobb County, Georgia.

APPEARANCE OF COUNSEL:

FOR PLAINTIFFS:	**BRUCE P. BROWN** Attorney at Law 1123 Zonolite Rd., Ste. 6 Atlanta, Ga. 30306 404-881-0700
FOR DEFENDANT CRITTENDEN:	**JOSH BELINFANTE, VINCENT R. RUSSO and ALEX DENTON** Attorneys at Law 500 14th St. NW Atlanta, Ga. 30318 678-701-9381
FOR DEFENDANT FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS:	**CHERYL RINGER & KAYE BURWELL** Attorneys at Law 141 Pryor St. SW, Ste. 4038 Atlanta, Ga. 30303 404-612-0263
FOR DEFENDANT GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS:	**BRYAN P. TYSON & DICK CAROTHERS** Attorney at Law P.O. Box 73053 Marietta, Ga. 30007 404-219-3160
FOR DEFENDANT DEKALB COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS:	**DAVID WARE, PEARSON CUNNINGHAM, RUSSELL BRITT, MR. BRYAN** Attorneys at Law 191 Peachtree St. NE, Ste. 2900 Atlanta, Ga. 30303 678-539-1611
FOR DEFENDANT GEOFF DUNCAN:	**EDWARD LINDSEY** Attorney at Law 303 Peachtree St., Ste 5300 Atlanta, Ga. 30308 404-527-4720

Paul D. Crowder, CCR, CVR
264 Mountain View Dr.
Woodstock, Ga. 30188 404-457-9289

P R O C E E D I N G S

* * * * *

THE COURT: Fulton County Coalition for Good Governance versus Robyn Crittenden. With due respect to y'all, I need to kind of know who is who and who represents who and so on and so forth. There's one face I recognize. The rest of you I've never seen before. Good morning Mr. Lindsey. Go ahead.

MR. BROWN: Judge, my name is Bruce Brown. I represent the Plaintiffs.

THE COURT: Okay. And are all the Plaintiffs here?

MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor. Two of the Plaintiffs are here, Rhonda Martin and Jeanne Dufort.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. BROWN: And Marilyn Morris, who is the executive director of The Coalition is here at counsel's table. So three of the Plaintiffs are here.

THE COURT: Okay. Then let me ask about on the Defense side.

MR. BELINFANTE: Good morning, Judge, Special Assistant Attorney General representing Secretary of State Robyn Crittenden. I'm joined by my partner Vincent Russo and Alex Denton.

THE COURT: Okay. So just take a seat. Have you made an appearance of counsel? I don't have everything, I

1 don't think. I know this is really a Fulton County case
2 and we probably need to be doing this in Fulton County
3 from now on. This is more of a status, where are we,
4 where are we headed type hearing this morning, so I'll get
5 your names properly at some point. If I call you wrong,
6 please excuse me.
7 MR. LINDSEY: Good morning, Your Honor.
8 THE COURT: Good morning.
9 MR. LINDSEY: My name is Edward Lindsey. I represent
10 Lieutenant Governor Elect Geoff Duncan.
11 THE COURT: Who?
12 MR. LINDSEY: Geoff Duncan who's the Lieutenant
13 Governor Elect.
14 THE COURT: Okay. Yes, sir.
15 MR. TYSON: Good morning, Your Honor. I'm Bryan
16 Tyson, joined by Dick Carothers. We represent the
17 Gwinnett County Board of Registrations and Elections.
18 THE COURT: Yes.
19 MR. WARE: Good morning, Judge Grubbs. David Ware
20 for DeKalb County Board of Registration and Elections,
21 along with Pearson Cunningham, Russell Britt, and Mr.
22 Bryan.
23 THE COURT: All y'all four together?
24 MR. WARE: Yes, we're all together for DeKalb County.
25 THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.

1 MS. BURWELL: Kaye Burwell with Cheryl Ringer on
2 behalf of Fulton County.
3 THE COURT: Okay. So each county is represented, and
4 one of the candidates is represented. Okay, let me ask
5 you, Mr. Brown. I know there's been some confusion
6 because this was a recusal and I was told to take it. Is
7 there service on everybody?
8 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor. Should I stand at the
9 podium?
10 THE COURT: Whichever makes you more comfortable.
11 You will find that I'm a fairly -- Despite my reputation,
12 I'm a fairly easy going kind of person as long as you're
13 doing what you're supposed to do.
14 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. The Plaintiffs
15 have made every effort to effect service in accordance
16 with the special provisions of the election contest
17 statute. And what those provisions contemplate is that
18 actually the clerk and the assigned Judge perfect the
19 service.
20 THE COURT: Right.
21 MR. BROWN: And the necessary steps to that --
22 THE COURT: They sign the service.
23 MR. BROWN: Right. And so we tried it in Fulton
24 County.
25 THE COURT: Fulton didn't work.

1 MR. BROWN: It didn't work. And this is in the
2 record but I alerted the clerk when I filed the petition
3 that there were special provisions, both for the
4 assignment of the Judge and for the special summons.
5 THE COURT: Right.
6 MR. BROWN: That didn't make it through the court
7 system down there until finally the chief Judge took
8 control of it and assigned -- and made arrangements for
9 your assignment. And so one of the first things that I
10 would ask is that the Court direct the clerk in Fulton
11 County to issue the summons and to perfect service of the
12 summons upon the Defendants. We have drafted -- With
13 corrections from Judge Russell we have drafted the special
14 statutory summons and so I would be able to transmit to
15 your clerk or to your staff a Word copy of the summons
16 that could be modified to include what Your Honor would
17 specify as the answer date.
18 THE COURT: Okay.
19 MR. BROWN: One of the things that this law says is
20 that it's not 30 days. It's what you say their answer
21 date is.
22 THE COURT: I have a book.
23 MR. BROWN: So that would be one of the first things
24 that you did.
25 THE COURT: I knew I wouldn't have a computer so I

1 brought the book.
2 MR. BROWN: That would be one of the first things on
3 my list of things that would need to be covered would be
4 making sure that Your Honor has the paperwork necessary to
5 set this.
6 THE COURT: And you think it's necessary to process
7 it through my clerk or do I process it through Fulton
8 County?
9 MR. BROWN: Through Fulton County.
10 THE COURT: Okay.
11 MR. BROWN: So that would be the first thing we'd ask
12 for and we'd be happy to --
13 THE COURT: And you've got that paperwork with you.
14 MR. BROWN: I don't have it with me. It is with -- I
15 can have it tendered to you immediately.
16 THE COURT: You can email it to me.
17 MR. BROWN: Yes, ma'am.
18 THE COURT: Any response to all that about the
19 service, from anybody? Has anybody actually been served?
20 MR. RUSSO: The Secretary of State has not been
21 served.
22 THE COURT: But y'all got here.
23 MR. BELINFANTE: We're here special, Judge.
24 THE COURT: Okay. So I'm going to do that. If
25 you'll get that to me. I'll give you a card. I'll find

one before we get through.

MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I'll get you an address to go to. What is next on your side, Mr. Brown?

MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the next thing on the agenda would be several things. First would be, if Your Honor is prepared to do so, to maybe anticipate a trial date. Second would be to discuss the necessary parties. And the third would be our request for emergency discovery so that the evidence, which could be vanishing now that the elections are done, is preserved and inspected for purposes of determining the causes of action.

THE COURT: Let me handle that backwards. Let me ask everybody else, okay. Do you have any objection to an order to preserve the evidence? I'm not -- I didn't say anything about inspect. I just said preserve.

MR. BELINFANTE: Your Honor, Josh Belinfante again, for Secretary Crittenden. And I should say -- I should have said in the beginning, we are appearing specially given the service issue.

THE COURT: I'm assuming everybody is appearing specially because there's no service.

MR. BELINFANTE: Yes, Your Honor. In terms of preserving the evidence, I think the problem here is that the allegations seem to indicate or seem to question

1 whether that's even possible. And so the position of the
2 Secretary of State's Office is that there will be no
3 destruction or willful destruction of the evidence. But I
4 don't know that the Plaintiffs take the position that
5 that's even feasible.
6 THE COURT: Let me rephrase it. That you will
7 preserve the evidence as far as is possible.
8 MR. BELINFANTE: Yes, Your Honor.
9 THE COURT: I mean, I don't know -- I've read the
10 pleadings. I don't know about all the technicalities and
11 what's what.
12 MR. BELINFANTE: Right.
13 THE COURT: And we're all lawyers and not tech
14 people. So I would think, Mr. Brown, an order that they
15 preserve the evidence as far as is possible would be
16 appropriate at this minute. I'm not inclined to go
17 further than that, because there's been no service and I
18 haven't seen any answers and they haven't had a right to
19 challenge anything.
20 MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.
21 THE COURT: Let me hear from each one in turn.
22 MR. TYSON: Yes, Your Honor. For Gwinnett, also, we
23 wouldn't have a problem with that as far as possible.
24 Obviously we have to use the machines. We had to use them
25 yesterday.

1 THE COURT: As far as possible. There's to be no
2 destruction, deliberate destruction. What the machine
3 does to itself, who knows.
4 MR. TYSON: Right. So we have no objection, Your
5 Honor.
6 THE COURT: Okay.
7 MR. WARE: On behalf of DeKalb, Your Honor, we will
8 follow Your Honor's direction.
9 THE COURT: No problem with DeKalb. Fulton?
10 MS. RINGER: We, as well, will follow the order.
11 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Lindsey doesn't really have
12 any.
13 MR. LINDSEY: Given the fact, Your Honor, that we're
14 not in possession of any of the documents.
15 THE COURT: You're not in possession of anything.
16 MR. LINDSEY: We simply want everyone to behave.
17 THE COURT: I gotcha. Anybody I left out?
18 (No response.)
19 THE COURT: Okay. So if you'll do an order for me,
20 Mr. Brown. Like I say, I'm going to give everybody my
21 card when we're done. Unfortunately, Senior Judges are
22 movable people that wander all over the world. Well, I
23 don't go below McDonough but I've been to Ringgold. So
24 finding us sometimes is an issue and I give you my
25 personal email so that you can find me whenever you need

me. So just get it to me.

MR. BROWN: Your Honor, one point of clarification in terms of as much as possible.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. BROWN: It is the Plaintiff's position that if these machines are reused in subsequent elections, that that will wipe the memory.

THE COURT: Okay. We're going to move as fast as we can move on this case. As I'm looking at it, somebody has a MARTA election in March. I don't know which -- I don't know whether that involves anybody in this case.

MR. TYSON: Yes, that's Gwinnett County.

THE COURT: That's Gwinnett. Okay. We'll have handled this before we get to March.

MR. BROWN: Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. So right now there isn't anything else that I know of. There aren't any other elections. If something comes up, let me know.

MR. RUSSO: I think the City of Atlanta has one --

THE COURT: Huh?

MR. RUSSO: I think the City of Atlanta has one also and I believe they use Fulton County's.

THE COURT: When is it, do you know?

MR. BELINFANTE: City Councilman Ivory Young.

THE COURT: Oh, yes, that's right. Okay. We'll move

1 as fast as we can move.
2 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. If it's okay with
3 Your Honor, what we will put in the draft preservation
4 order is that the Defendants will use other machines for
5 these smaller elections.
6 THE COURT: No, I didn't say that.
7 MR. BROWN: Okay.
8 THE COURT: That's not what I said.
9 MR. BROWN: I understand, Your Honor.
10 THE COURT: I mean, you're asking me something. At
11 this point I have no idea about the case.
12 MR. BROWN: I understand.
13 THE COURT: All I'm trying to do is maintain the
14 status quo as well as I can while everybody gets served.
15 Everybody has a right to file answers, motions, whatever
16 may be coming at you. That's all I'm trying to do is
17 preserve the status quo.
18 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
19 THE COURT: Okay. Now you mentioned something about
20 parties?
21 MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor. The Secretary of State
22 filed a Motion to Dismiss late last night, and the
23 Secretary of State is taking the position that the
24 Secretary of State is not a proper party to this.
25 THE COURT: Well I'm not going to rule on that. See,

1 that's one of the things I'm concerned about. I don't
2 know what kind of motions are coming. I'm assuming --
3 With due respect -- I don't know how many lawyers we have
4 in this courtroom -- but they're not going to just roll
5 over and play dead. They're going to be responsive. Is
6 that a good word? Responsive, okay. So I want to give
7 them the proper time to do that, and I'm not going to deal
8 with anything -- Now if there's a -- I haven't seen it.
9 If it came I'm -- My office is supposed to be served with
10 it up here. As I say, I'll give everybody a card so you
11 can -- You can send me copies direct of everything you've
12 got. So if I'm somewhere else, I can still get copies of
13 them and I can still print them and know what I'm looking
14 at and line them up. But no, I'm not dealing with any of
15 that.
16 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
17 THE COURT: Now, trial date. Having said there is a
18 motion pending -- And we've got to have service. If you
19 get me that order and I think I am required -- It's five
20 to ten days after service as I understand what the code
21 section says. So they have to do that. So you've got to
22 get me the order. We're probably looking at after
23 Christmas and it would seem to me that we could set it for
24 what I would term motion hearings or whatever else they
25 may file. And, of course, you may want to respond to some

1 of them, too. I understand all that. I think we just set
2 it for a date. Getting y'all together, though you've done
3 good today, can be somewhat difficult.
4 My suggestion would be either the 7th or the 9th of
5 January. Would you look and see if somebody has something
6 that would require -- I'm thinking one of those two days
7 just to hear whatever may be filed. And at that point
8 then we can set it down for trial. And we're looking at
9 probably the first week in February for trial is what
10 we're looking at. Let me give y'all a chance to talk
11 about that and see where you are on those dates. Y'all
12 take your time.
13 (Brief pause.)
14 MR. LINDSEY: Sorry about that, Your Honor.
15 THE COURT: No, no. I want to --
16 MR. LINDSEY: It's actually fairly --
17 THE COURT: It's just, is that enough time? What's
18 y'all's position?
19 MR. BELINFANTE: The Defendants' collective position,
20 Your Honor, is that, you know, there are statutory
21 deadlines set in there and so once service is done that
22 triggers one and triggers another. As long as we're not
23 waiving any defenses based on those statutory timelines,
24 we think that having motions due to the Court on or before
25 December 20 would be appropriate. And then if the Court

1 wants to have a hearing on the 7th or the 9th, all parties
2 -- at least all Defendants are available to do so. But we
3 would want to have a hearing on the merits presuming the
4 Court even chooses to do that or get that far, as soon as
5 possible thereafter.
6 THE COURT: I understand that and I was looking at
7 that. Say we did February the 7th for motions.
8 MR. BELINFANTE: January 7th, Your Honor?
9 THE COURT: January 7th for motions. We could do
10 trial the 17th and 18th.
11 MR. BELINFANTE: Of?
12 THE COURT: January. So motions due by December
13 20th, January the 7th will be motion hearings, and then
14 trial will be -- And we can do it all in one order,
15 because I think I'm required to do that. What did I say,
16 17th and 18th of February? I've got to find -- Well we'll
17 talk about that in a minute. Not February, of January.
18 Trial would be January 17th. So motions hearings on the
19 7th and trial on the 17th. Any problem with that, Mr.
20 Brown?
21 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor. If it's an open day for
22 the Court and for the Defendants, for the Plaintiffs the
23 9th would be marginally better for the initial hearing
24 rather than the 7th; however, we will be here whenever
25 we're ordered to do so, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Any issue with the 7th or the 9th?
2 MR. BELINFANTE: It does not appear to be, Your
3 Honor.
4 THE COURT: Everybody all right? Okay. We'll say
5 the motions are on the 9th. I've got to rule on them.
6 That gives me time. Motions on the 9th, trial on the 17th
7 and 18th. And I can do that order. I'll do that order.
8 MR. BELINFANTE: The only issue, Your Honor, I'm
9 truly not trying to be difficult, but I just want to --
10 THE COURT: No, no.
11 MR. BELINFANTE: I don't want the Court to think that
12 at some point we surprised it or anything of that nature.
13 Depending on when service happens. The statute appears to
14 indicate that there's about 20 days between the appearance
15 that is filed and then the actual trial date. This may,
16 again, depending on service, fall outside that. I just
17 want to make clear for the record that we're not waiving
18 any defenses based on those dates.
19 THE COURT: Right. And we're doing these days -- and
20 I'll do an order on the days, just to protect on the
21 different rules and regulations. We can move them. It
22 doesn't say we can't move them. And we can move them.
23 And if service doesn't get done -- He'll give me the
24 service papers. We'll send them to the clerk. The clerk
25 will send them to the sheriff. It's Christmas. I don't

1 know. But we'll just see where it goes. And I trust
2 we'll have a return of service on each one so that we will
3 not.
4 MR. BELINFANTE: Great. All right. Thank you,
5 Judge.
6 THE COURT: Okay. The issue is this, and let me say
7 this -- As I said, I go to Ringgold, so I go wherever I
8 need to go. Do you want to hear it in Cobb or do you need
9 to hear it in Fulton? Whatever is easier for y'all.
10 MR. BELINFANTE: On behalf of the secretary, we're
11 indifferent. Whatever is easiest for the Court is easiest
12 for us.
13 THE COURT: With due respect, when you come to Cobb
14 in the morning you're going the opposite way with the
15 traffic. I was trying to work out how to phrase that.
16 And so it may be just that we can keep doing this in Cobb.
17 If someone objects, I'll try and move it to Fulton.
18 MR. BROWN: No objection, Your Honor.
19 THE COURT: No objection. Nobody's objecting right
20 now.
21 MR. LINDSEY: No objection, Your Honor.
22 THE COURT: No objection. So we'll do it in Cobb. I
23 will try -- I will go right now and see which courtroom I
24 can get to do it in. How many lawyers do we have? We
25 have 16 lawyers. So we ought to get the big courtroom.

1 Let's see what I can do. And then, Madam Clerk, would you
2 put these out? I hope there's enough. That's one for
3 everybody. That's my contact information. It has my --
4 That's for everybody. It has my cell phone number on it.
5 It has everything that you need, because, as I say, we
6 move around a lot. Anything else we need to do this
7 morning?
8 (No response.)
9 THE COURT: We're done. If you get that service to
10 me as fast as possible, I'll get it filed and done. I do
11 have a law clerk. He's not here yet. And we'll get it
12 done.
13 MR. BELINFANTE: Judge, just so I'm clear, whenever
14 we filed something is it your preference that we also
15 email you a copy of what we file?
16 THE COURT: Yes. Just email me a copy so I've got it
17 and I can start looking at it and see where we are.
18 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
19 THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you, gentlemen.
20 (End of Proceedings).
21
22
23
24
25

1 **C E R T I F I C A T E**

2

3 STATE OF GEORGIA)

4 COUNTY OF COBB)

5

6 I hereby certify that the foregoing transcript was taken
7 down, as stated in the caption, and the questions and answers
8 thereto were reduced to type-written form by me personally;
9 that the foregoing pages 01 through 18 represent a true,
10 correct and complete transcript of the evidence given upon said
11 hearing; and I further certify that I am not of kin or counsel
12 to the parties in the case, am not in the regular employ of
13 counsel for any of the said parties, nor am I anywise
14 interested in the result of said case.

15 This the 23rd day of January, 2019.

16 The above certification is expressly withdrawn and denied
17 upon the alteration, disassembly or photocopying of foregoing
18 transcript, including exhibits, unless said alteration,
19 disassembly or photocopying is done under the auspices of Paul
20 D. Crowder, C.C.R., C.V.R., and the original signature and
21 original seal is attached thereto.

22

23 Paul D. Crowder

24 Paul D. Crowder, C.C.R., C.V.R.

25 Certified Court Reporter, B-1579

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDMH
Date: 2/6/2019 3:45 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV313418**

NOTICE OF FILING ELECTRONIC COPIES OF TRANSCRIPTS

Plaintiffs file the following electronic copies of transcripts pursuant to the instructions of the Superior Court Clerk (Ms. Quin Watson):

1. December 5, 2018 Hearing Transcript;
2. December 9, 2018 Hearing Transcript;
3. January 17, 2019 Hearing Transcript;
4. January 18, 2019 Hearing Transcript.

These transcripts were tendered physically on February 1, 2019, pursuant to the Clerk's instructions, but then rejected; then e-filed on February 1, 2019, and again rejected.

DATED: February 6, 2019.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Georgia Bar No. 064460
BRUCE P. BROWN LAW LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE, Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700
Attorney for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing via the Court's e-filing system to all counsel or record.

This 6st day of February, 2019.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Georgia Bar No. 064460

BRUCE P. BROWN LAW LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE, Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700

Attorney for Plaintiffs

E

Type text here

X

H

I

B

I

T

1

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
FULTON JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
STATE OF GEORGIA

FULTON COUNTY COALITION FOR	)	
	)	
GOOD GOVERNANCE, ET AL	)	
	)	
Plaintiffs	)	**Case Number**
	)	
Versus	)	**2018-CV-313418**
	)	
ROBYN CRITTENDEN, ET AL	)	
	)	
Defendants	)	

STATUS HEARING

A transcript of the proceedings before the **HONORABLE ADELE P. GRUBBS**, on December 5, 2018, at the Cobb County Courthouse, Marietta, Cobb County, Georgia.

APPEARANCE OF COUNSEL:

FOR PLAINTIFFS:	**BRUCE P. BROWN** Attorney at Law 1123 Zonolite Rd., Ste. 6 Atlanta, Ga. 30306 404-881-0700
FOR DEFENDANT CRITTENDEN:	**JOSH BELINFANTE, VINCENT R. RUSSO and ALEX DENTON** Attorneys at Law 500 14th St. NW Atlanta, Ga. 30318 678-701-9381
FOR DEFENDANT FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS:	**CHERYL RINGER & KAYE BURWELL** Attorneys at Law 141 Pryor St. SW, Ste. 4038 Atlanta, Ga. 30303 404-612-0263
FOR DEFENDANT GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS:	**BRYAN P. TYSON & DICK CAROTHERS** Attorney at Law P.O. Box 73053 Marietta, Ga. 30007 404-219-3160
FOR DEFENDANT DEKALB COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS:	**DAVID WARE, PEARSON CUNNINGHAM, RUSSELL BRITT, MR. BRYAN** Attorneys at Law 191 Peachtree St. NE, Ste. 2900 Atlanta, Ga. 30303 678-539-1611
FOR DEFENDANT GEOFF DUNCAN:	**EDWARD LINDSEY** Attorney at Law 303 Peachtree St., Ste 5300 Atlanta, Ga. 30308 404-527-4720

Paul D. Crowder, CCR, CVR
264 Mountain View Dr.
Woodstock, Ga. 30188 404-457-9289

1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 * * * * *

3 THE COURT: Fulton County Coalition for Good

4 Governance versus Robyn Crittenden. With due respect to

5 y'all, I need to kind of know who is who and who

6 represents who and so on and so forth. There's one face I

7 recognize. The rest of you I've never seen before. Good

8 morning Mr. Lindsey. Go ahead.

9 MR. BROWN: Judge, my name is Bruce Brown. I

10 represent the Plaintiffs.

11 THE COURT: Okay. And are all the Plaintiffs here?

12 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor. Two of the Plaintiffs

13 are here, Rhonda Martin and Jeanne Dufort.

14 THE COURT: Okay.

15 MR. BROWN: And Marilyn Morris, who is the executive

16 director of The Coalition is here at counsel's table. So

17 three of the Plaintiffs are here.

18 THE COURT: Okay. Then let me ask about on the

19 Defense side.

20 MR. BELINFANTE: Good morning, Judge, Special

21 Assistant Attorney General representing Secretary of State

22 Robyn Crittenden. I'm joined by my partner Vincent Russo

23 and Alex Denton.

24 THE COURT: Okay. So just take a seat. Have you

25 made an appearance of counsel? I don't have everything, I

1 don't think. I know this is really a Fulton County case
2 and we probably need to be doing this in Fulton County
3 from now on. This is more of a status, where are we,
4 where are we headed type hearing this morning, so I'll get
5 your names properly at some point. If I call you wrong,
6 please excuse me.
7 MR. LINDSEY: Good morning, Your Honor.
8 THE COURT: Good morning.
9 MR. LINDSEY: My name is Edward Lindsey. I represent
10 Lieutenant Governor Elect Geoff Duncan.
11 THE COURT: Who?
12 MR. LINDSEY: Geoff Duncan who's the Lieutenant
13 Governor Elect.
14 THE COURT: Okay. Yes, sir.
15 MR. TYSON: Good morning, Your Honor. I'm Bryan
16 Tyson, joined by Dick Carothers. We represent the
17 Gwinnett County Board of Registrations and Elections.
18 THE COURT: Yes.
19 MR. WARE: Good morning, Judge Grubbs. David Ware
20 for DeKalb County Board of Registration and Elections,
21 along with Pearson Cunningham, Russell Britt, and Mr.
22 Bryan.
23 THE COURT: All y'all four together?
24 MR. WARE: Yes, we're all together for DeKalb County.
25 THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.

1 MS. BURWELL: Kaye Burwell with Cheryl Ringer on
2 behalf of Fulton County.
3 THE COURT: Okay. So each county is represented, and
4 one of the candidates is represented. Okay, let me ask
5 you, Mr. Brown. I know there's been some confusion
6 because this was a recusal and I was told to take it. Is
7 there service on everybody?
8 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor. Should I stand at the
9 podium?
10 THE COURT: Whichever makes you more comfortable.
11 You will find that I'm a fairly -- Despite my reputation,
12 I'm a fairly easy going kind of person as long as you're
13 doing what you're supposed to do.
14 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. The Plaintiffs
15 have made every effort to effect service in accordance
16 with the special provisions of the election contest
17 statute. And what those provisions contemplate is that
18 actually the clerk and the assigned Judge perfect the
19 service.
20 THE COURT: Right.
21 MR. BROWN: And the necessary steps to that --
22 THE COURT: They sign the service.
23 MR. BROWN: Right. And so we tried it in Fulton
24 County.
25 THE COURT: Fulton didn't work.

1 MR. BROWN: It didn't work. And this is in the
2 record but I alerted the clerk when I filed the petition
3 that there were special provisions, both for the
4 assignment of the Judge and for the special summons.
5 THE COURT: Right.
6 MR. BROWN: That didn't make it through the court
7 system down there until finally the chief Judge took
8 control of it and assigned -- and made arrangements for
9 your assignment. And so one of the first things that I
10 would ask is that the Court direct the clerk in Fulton
11 County to issue the summons and to perfect service of the
12 summons upon the Defendants. We have drafted -- With
13 corrections from Judge Russell we have drafted the special
14 statutory summons and so I would be able to transmit to
15 your clerk or to your staff a Word copy of the summons
16 that could be modified to include what Your Honor would
17 specify as the answer date.
18 THE COURT: Okay.
19 MR. BROWN: One of the things that this law says is
20 that it's not 30 days. It's what you say their answer
21 date is.
22 THE COURT: I have a book.
23 MR. BROWN: So that would be one of the first things
24 that you did.
25 THE COURT: I knew I wouldn't have a computer so I

1 brought the book.
2 MR. BROWN: That would be one of the first things on
3 my list of things that would need to be covered would be
4 making sure that Your Honor has the paperwork necessary to
5 set this.
6 THE COURT: And you think it's necessary to process
7 it through my clerk or do I process it through Fulton
8 County?
9 MR. BROWN: Through Fulton County.
10 THE COURT: Okay.
11 MR. BROWN: So that would be the first thing we'd ask
12 for and we'd be happy to --
13 THE COURT: And you've got that paperwork with you.
14 MR. BROWN: I don't have it with me. It is with -- I
15 can have it tendered to you immediately.
16 THE COURT: You can email it to me.
17 MR. BROWN: Yes, ma'am.
18 THE COURT: Any response to all that about the
19 service, from anybody? Has anybody actually been served?
20 MR. RUSSO: The Secretary of State has not been
21 served.
22 THE COURT: But y'all got here.
23 MR. BELINFANTE: We're here special, Judge.
24 THE COURT: Okay. So I'm going to do that. If
25 you'll get that to me. I'll give you a card. I'll find

one before we get through.

MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I'll get you an address to go to. What is next on your side, Mr. Brown?

MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the next thing on the agenda would be several things. First would be, if Your Honor is prepared to do so, to maybe anticipate a trial date. Second would be to discuss the necessary parties. And the third would be our request for emergency discovery so that the evidence, which could be vanishing now that the elections are done, is preserved and inspected for purposes of determining the causes of action.

THE COURT: Let me handle that backwards. Let me ask everybody else, okay. Do you have any objection to an order to preserve the evidence? I'm not -- I didn't say anything about inspect. I just said preserve.

MR. BELINFANTE: Your Honor, Josh Belinfante again, for Secretary Crittenden. And I should say -- I should have said in the beginning, we are appearing specially given the service issue.

THE COURT: I'm assuming everybody is appearing specially because there's no service.

MR. BELINFANTE: Yes, Your Honor. In terms of preserving the evidence, I think the problem here is that the allegations seem to indicate or seem to question

1 whether that's even possible. And so the position of the
2 Secretary of State's Office is that there will be no
3 destruction or willful destruction of the evidence. But I
4 don't know that the Plaintiffs take the position that
5 that's even feasible.
6 THE COURT: Let me rephrase it. That you will
7 preserve the evidence as far as is possible.
8 MR. BELINFANTE: Yes, Your Honor.
9 THE COURT: I mean, I don't know -- I've read the
10 pleadings. I don't know about all the technicalities and
11 what's what.
12 MR. BELINFANTE: Right.
13 THE COURT: And we're all lawyers and not tech
14 people. So I would think, Mr. Brown, an order that they
15 preserve the evidence as far as is possible would be
16 appropriate at this minute. I'm not inclined to go
17 further than that, because there's been no service and I
18 haven't seen any answers and they haven't had a right to
19 challenge anything.
20 MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.
21 THE COURT: Let me hear from each one in turn.
22 MR. TYSON: Yes, Your Honor. For Gwinnett, also, we
23 wouldn't have a problem with that as far as possible.
24 Obviously we have to use the machines. We had to use them
25 yesterday.

1 THE COURT: As far as possible. There's to be no
2 destruction, deliberate destruction. What the machine
3 does to itself, who knows.
4 MR. TYSON: Right. So we have no objection, Your
5 Honor.
6 THE COURT: Okay.
7 MR. WARE: On behalf of DeKalb, Your Honor, we will
8 follow Your Honor's direction.
9 THE COURT: No problem with DeKalb. Fulton?
10 MS. RINGER: We, as well, will follow the order.
11 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Lindsey doesn't really have
12 any.
13 MR. LINDSEY: Given the fact, Your Honor, that we're
14 not in possession of any of the documents.
15 THE COURT: You're not in possession of anything.
16 MR. LINDSEY: We simply want everyone to behave.
17 THE COURT: I gotcha. Anybody I left out?
18 (No response.)
19 THE COURT: Okay. So if you'll do an order for me,
20 Mr. Brown. Like I say, I'm going to give everybody my
21 card when we're done. Unfortunately, Senior Judges are
22 movable people that wander all over the world. Well, I
23 don't go below McDonough but I've been to Ringgold. So
24 finding us sometimes is an issue and I give you my
25 personal email so that you can find me whenever you need

1 me. So just get it to me.
2 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, one point of clarification in
3 terms of as much as possible.
4 THE COURT: Right.
5 MR. BROWN: It is the Plaintiff's position that if
6 these machines are reused in subsequent elections, that
7 that will wipe the memory.
8 THE COURT: Okay. We're going to move as fast as we
9 can move on this case. As I'm looking at it, somebody has
10 a MARTA election in March. I don't know which -- I don't
11 know whether that involves anybody in this case.
12 MR. TYSON: Yes, that's Gwinnett County.
13 THE COURT: That's Gwinnett. Okay. We'll have
14 handled this before we get to March.
15 MR. BROWN: Thank you.
16 THE COURT: Okay. So right now there isn't anything
17 else that I know of. There aren't any other elections.
18 If something comes up, let me know.
19 MR. RUSSO: I think the City of Atlanta has one --
20 THE COURT: Huh?
21 MR. RUSSO: I think the City of Atlanta has one also
22 and I believe they use Fulton County's.
23 THE COURT: When is it, do you know?
24 MR. BELINFANTE: City Councilman Ivory Young.
25 THE COURT: Oh, yes, that's right. Okay. We'll move

1 as fast as we can move.
2 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. If it's okay with
3 Your Honor, what we will put in the draft preservation
4 order is that the Defendants will use other machines for
5 these smaller elections.
6 THE COURT: No, I didn't say that.
7 MR. BROWN: Okay.
8 THE COURT: That's not what I said.
9 MR. BROWN: I understand, Your Honor.
10 THE COURT: I mean, you're asking me something. At
11 this point I have no idea about the case.
12 MR. BROWN: I understand.
13 THE COURT: All I'm trying to do is maintain the
14 status quo as well as I can while everybody gets served.
15 Everybody has a right to file answers, motions, whatever
16 may be coming at you. That's all I'm trying to do is
17 preserve the status quo.
18 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
19 THE COURT: Okay. Now you mentioned something about
20 parties?
21 MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor. The Secretary of State
22 filed a Motion to Dismiss late last night, and the
23 Secretary of State is taking the position that the
24 Secretary of State is not a proper party to this.
25 THE COURT: Well I'm not going to rule on that. See,

1 that's one of the things I'm concerned about. I don't
2 know what kind of motions are coming. I'm assuming --
3 With due respect -- I don't know how many lawyers we have
4 in this courtroom -- but they're not going to just roll
5 over and play dead. They're going to be responsive. Is
6 that a good word? Responsive, okay. So I want to give
7 them the proper time to do that, and I'm not going to deal
8 with anything -- Now if there's a -- I haven't seen it.
9 If it came I'm -- My office is supposed to be served with
10 it up here. As I say, I'll give everybody a card so you
11 can -- You can send me copies direct of everything you've
12 got. So if I'm somewhere else, I can still get copies of
13 them and I can still print them and know what I'm looking
14 at and line them up. But no, I'm not dealing with any of
15 that.
16 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
17 THE COURT: Now, trial date. Having said there is a
18 motion pending -- And we've got to have service. If you
19 get me that order and I think I am required -- It's five
20 to ten days after service as I understand what the code
21 section says. So they have to do that. So you've got to
22 get me the order. We're probably looking at after
23 Christmas and it would seem to me that we could set it for
24 what I would term motion hearings or whatever else they
25 may file. And, of course, you may want to respond to some

1 of them, too. I understand all that. I think we just set
2 it for a date. Getting y'all together, though you've done
3 good today, can be somewhat difficult.
4 My suggestion would be either the 7th or the 9th of
5 January. Would you look and see if somebody has something
6 that would require -- I'm thinking one of those two days
7 just to hear whatever may be filed. And at that point
8 then we can set it down for trial. And we're looking at
9 probably the first week in February for trial is what
10 we're looking at. Let me give y'all a chance to talk
11 about that and see where you are on those dates. Y'all
12 take your time.
13 (Brief pause.)
14 MR. LINDSEY: Sorry about that, Your Honor.
15 THE COURT: No, no. I want to --
16 MR. LINDSEY: It's actually fairly --
17 THE COURT: It's just, is that enough time? What's
18 y'all's position?
19 MR. BELINFANTE: The Defendants' collective position,
20 Your Honor, is that, you know, there are statutory
21 deadlines set in there and so once service is done that
22 triggers one and triggers another. As long as we're not
23 waiving any defenses based on those statutory timelines,
24 we think that having motions due to the Court on or before
25 December 20 would be appropriate. And then if the Court

1 wants to have a hearing on the 7th or the 9th, all parties
2 -- at least all Defendants are available to do so. But we
3 would want to have a hearing on the merits presuming the
4 Court even chooses to do that or get that far, as soon as
5 possible thereafter.
6 THE COURT: I understand that and I was looking at
7 that. Say we did February the 7th for motions.
8 MR. BELINFANTE: January 7th, Your Honor?
9 THE COURT: January 7th for motions. We could do
10 trial the 17th and 18th.
11 MR. BELINFANTE: Of?
12 THE COURT: January. So motions due by December
13 20th, January the 7th will be motion hearings, and then
14 trial will be -- And we can do it all in one order,
15 because I think I'm required to do that. What did I say,
16 17th and 18th of February? I've got to find -- Well we'll
17 talk about that in a minute. Not February, of January.
18 Trial would be January 17th. So motions hearings on the
19 7th and trial on the 17th. Any problem with that, Mr.
20 Brown?
21 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor. If it's an open day for
22 the Court and for the Defendants, for the Plaintiffs the
23 9th would be marginally better for the initial hearing
24 rather than the 7th; however, we will be here whenever
25 we're ordered to do so, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Any issue with the 7th or the 9th?
2 MR. BELINFANTE: It does not appear to be, Your
3 Honor.
4 THE COURT: Everybody all right? Okay. We'll say
5 the motions are on the 9th. I've got to rule on them.
6 That gives me time. Motions on the 9th, trial on the 17th
7 and 18th. And I can do that order. I'll do that order.
8 MR. BELINFANTE: The only issue, Your Honor, I'm
9 truly not trying to be difficult, but I just want to --
10 THE COURT: No, no.
11 MR. BELINFANTE: I don't want the Court to think that
12 at some point we surprised it or anything of that nature.
13 Depending on when service happens. The statute appears to
14 indicate that there's about 20 days between the appearance
15 that is filed and then the actual trial date. This may,
16 again, depending on service, fall outside that. I just
17 want to make clear for the record that we're not waiving
18 any defenses based on those dates.
19 THE COURT: Right. And we're doing these days -- and
20 I'll do an order on the days, just to protect on the
21 different rules and regulations. We can move them. It
22 doesn't say we can't move them. And we can move them.
23 And if service doesn't get done -- He'll give me the
24 service papers. We'll send them to the clerk. The clerk
25 will send them to the sheriff. It's Christmas. I don't

1 know. But we'll just see where it goes. And I trust
2 we'll have a return of service on each one so that we will
3 not.
4 MR. BELINFANTE: Great. All right. Thank you,
5 Judge.
6 THE COURT: Okay. The issue is this, and let me say
7 this -- As I said, I go to Ringgold, so I go wherever I
8 need to go. Do you want to hear it in Cobb or do you need
9 to hear it in Fulton? Whatever is easier for y'all.
10 MR. BELINFANTE: On behalf of the secretary, we're
11 indifferent. Whatever is easiest for the Court is easiest
12 for us.
13 THE COURT: With due respect, when you come to Cobb
14 in the morning you're going the opposite way with the
15 traffic. I was trying to work out how to phrase that.
16 And so it may be just that we can keep doing this in Cobb.
17 If someone objects, I'll try and move it to Fulton.
18 MR. BROWN: No objection, Your Honor.
19 THE COURT: No objection. Nobody's objecting right
20 now.
21 MR. LINDSEY: No objection, Your Honor.
22 THE COURT: No objection. So we'll do it in Cobb. I
23 will try -- I will go right now and see which courtroom I
24 can get to do it in. How many lawyers do we have? We
25 have 16 lawyers. So we ought to get the big courtroom.

1 Let's see what I can do. And then, Madam Clerk, would you
2 put these out? I hope there's enough. That's one for
3 everybody. That's my contact information. It has my --
4 That's for everybody. It has my cell phone number on it.
5 It has everything that you need, because, as I say, we
6 move around a lot. Anything else we need to do this
7 morning?
8 (No response.)
9 THE COURT: We're done. If you get that service to
10 me as fast as possible, I'll get it filed and done. I do
11 have a law clerk. He's not here yet. And we'll get it
12 done.
13 MR. BELINFANTE: Judge, just so I'm clear, whenever
14 we filed something is it your preference that we also
15 email you a copy of what we file?
16 THE COURT: Yes. Just email me a copy so I've got it
17 and I can start looking at it and see where we are.
18 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
19 THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you, gentlemen.
20 (End of Proceedings).
21
22
23
24
25

1 **C E R T I F I C A T E**

2

3 STATE OF GEORGIA)

4 COUNTY OF COBB)

5

6 I hereby certify that the foregoing transcript was taken
7 down, as stated in the caption, and the questions and answers
8 thereto were reduced to type-written form by me personally;
9 that the foregoing pages 01 through 18 represent a true,
10 correct and complete transcript of the evidence given upon said
11 hearing; and I further certify that I am not of kin or counsel
12 to the parties in the case, am not in the regular employ of
13 counsel for any of the said parties, nor am I anywise
14 interested in the result of said case.

15 This the 23rd day of January, 2019.

16 The above certification is expressly withdrawn and denied
17 upon the alteration, disassembly or photocopying of foregoing
18 transcript, including exhibits, unless said alteration,
19 disassembly or photocopying is done under the auspices of Paul
20 D. Crowder, C.C.R., C.V.R., and the original signature and
21 original seal is attached thereto.

22

23 Paul D. Crowder

24 Paul D. Crowder, C.C.R., C.V.R.

25 Certified Court Reporter, B-1579

E

X

H

I

B

I

T

2

1 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY

2 STATE OF GEORGIA

3

4 COALITION FOR GOOD . CIVIL ACTION
GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. . FILE NO.: 2018-CV-313418
5

6 MARTIN, SMYTH DUVAL, and .
JEANNE DUFORT, . Taken at:
7

8 Plaintiffs, . Superior Court of Cobb County

9 vs. . 70 Haynes Street

10 ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, . Courtroom 2000

11 Secretary of State of . Marietta, Georgia 30090
Georgia, et al. .
12

13 Defendants. .
. . . . . .
14

15
TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING PROCEEDINGS
16

17 WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 9, 2019

18 8:59 a.m. to 11:04 a.m.

19

20 STATE OF GEORGIA SENIOR JUDGE ADELE P. GRUBBS

21

22
REPORTED BY:
23

24 PRISCILLA GARCIA, COURT REPORTER

25 NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF GEORGIA

1 APPEARANCES:

2
Plaintiff's Counsel: Bruce P. Brown, Esquire
3
4 Bruce P. Brown Law
Floataway Business Complex
5
6 1123 Zonolite Road N.E.
Suite 6
7
8 Atlanta, Georgia 30306
9 404-881-0700
10 bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com
11
Defendant's Counsel: John Belinfante, Esquire
12
13 Robbins, Ross, Alloy, Belinfante
& Littlefield, LLC
14
15 500 14th Street N.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30318
16
17 404-856-3262
18 jbelinfante@robbinsfirm.com
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:

2
Defendant's Counsel: Vincent R. Russo, Esquire
3
4 Robbins, Ross, Alloy, Belinfante
& Littlefield, LLC
5
6 999 Peachtree Street N.E.
Suite 1120
7
8 Atlanta, Georgia 30309
9 404-856-3260
10 vrusso@robbinsfirm.com
11
Defendant's Associate Counsel:
12
13 Alexander F. Denton, Esquire
Robbins, Ross, Allot, Belinfante
14
15 & Littlefield, LLC
500 14th Street N.W.
16
17 Atlanta, Georgia 30318
18 404-856-3276
adenton@robbinsfirm.com
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:

2
Defendant's Counsel: Edward H. Lindsey, Esquire
3
4 Dentons US, LLP
303 Peachtree Street N.E.
5
6 Suite 5300
Atlanta, Georgia 30308
7
8 404-527-4580
9 edward.lindsey@dentons.com
10
11 Defendant's Counsel: Kaye Woodard Burwell, Esquire
Office of the County Attorney
12
13 141 Pryor Street S.W.
Suite 4038
14
15 Atlanta, Georgia 30303
404-612-0251
16
17 kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov
18
Defendant's Counsel: Bryan P. Tyson, Esquire
19
20 Strickland, Brockington & Lewis,
LLP
21
22 1170 Peachtree Street N.E.
Atlanta, Georgia 30309
23
24 404-219-3160
25 bryan.tyson@sbllaw.com

1 I-N-D-E-X

2 EXHIBITS: DESCRIPTION PAGE
NONE

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 COBB COUNTY, GEORGIA

2 WEDNESDAY, January 9, 2018 - 8:59 p.m.

3

4 * * * * * * *

5

6 THE COURT: On this case we have a request on Rule 22 who is opposed to make -- that request. Is anybody --

7

8 MS. BURWELL: (inaudible)

9 THE COURT: This is on the case. This is on the

10 Coalition case.

11 MS. BURWELL: Right.
THE COURT: Does anyone have any objection to the

12

13 Rule 22? -- the cameraman wants to record the proceedings.

14

15 MR. BELINFANTE: No, Your Honor.
MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor.

16

17 THE COURT: Everyone's saying no.

18 MR. RUSSO: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. Then I will grant it. I find that

19

20 it is covered under Section -- Rule 22. I have an order confirming.

21

22 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you.
THE COURT: I've got the order in front of me. I've

23

24 got it.

25 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Will they know downstairs?

1 THE COURT: I'm sorry.

2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Will they know downstairs? If I don't have it signed, they won't let me go through
3

4 security. If I don't have a signed --
THE COURT: I just signed it.
5

6 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Oh, okay.
THE COURT: Pinpoint the rules though. I need to
7

8 explain to you what the rules are. You can only record

9 when I'm in courtroom.

10 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay.

11 THE COURT: Okay. You cannot record anything from counsel's table.
12

13 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay.
THE COURT: Okay. Or any conversation they may have.
14

15 I don't think there are any witnesses. It's not that kind of hearing today, but we'll see. It's not -- yet and
16

17 we'll see. Don't record anything that they've got

18 privilege and all those kinds of things. So be very careful on that.
19

20 The rules say no bench conferences. I can't imagine me trying to hold a bench conference with these people.
21

22 But we'll -- all I'm doing -- okay. So, I will sign the order. When you say downstairs, what do you mean?
23

24 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: To get through security I have to

25 have a signed form to get through.

1 THE COURT: Okay.

2 THE BAILIFF: I'll take care of that, Judge.
THE COURT: Okay.
3

4 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you.
THE COURT: There you go. I don't -- I've got a
5

6 couple of them, okay. Jim, I'll let him handle it, okay.
Okay. So, we have a good record, this is the Coalition
7

8 for Good Governance and various individuals versus Robyn

9 Crittenden, Fulton County, and Gwinnett County.

10 Can you each, Counsel, introduce yourselves for the

11 record so I have a record of who's here? Yes, sir.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, Bruce Brown for the
12

13 plaintiffs. With me at counsel table is Marilyn Marks,
the executive director of plaintiff Coalition for Good
14

15 Governance.
THE COURT: I will allow that right now. We'll see
16

17 where we go. Yes, sir.

18 MR. RUSSO: Good morning, Your Honor. Vincent Russo
for the Georgia Secretary of State.
19

20 MR. BELINFANTE: Josh Belinfante also for the
Secretary of State, Your Honor.
21

22 THE COURT: Okay.
MR. TYSON: Good morning, Your Honor. Bryan Tyson
23

24 and with me is Dick Carruthers. We represent the Gwinnett

25 Board of Registrations and Elections.

1 THE COURT: Okay.

2 MR. LINDSEY: Good morning, Your Honor. Edward
Lindsey on behalf of Lieutenant Governor-elect Geoff
3

4 Duncan.
THE COURT: Okay.
5

6 MS. BURWELL: Kaye Burwell here on behalf of Fulton
County.
7

8 THE COURT: How did you get stuck with this?

9 MS. BURWELL: There too many lawyers there this

10 morning, Your Honor.

11 THE COURT: We're trying to pretend you're not here.
I gotcha. I got it. I know how that works, okay. That's
12

13 it. As I understand it, DeKalb County has been dismissed?
MR. BROWN: That's correct, Your Honor.
14

15 THE COURT: In looking at -- we're here -- let me be
clear on this. We're here on motions today. This is not
16

17 evidentiary. Understand the statute of evidence has been

18 supposedly filed, the report's picked it up. That's not
relevant today. It's got nothing to do with today.
19

20 That's not what we're here about, okay. We're here
for the four corners of the petition; that's all we're
21

22 here about. So I need to be clear about that. I
understand though, Mr. Brown, is that you have agreed that
23

24 the Coalition is not a proper party as to the election

25 contest; is that correct?

1 MR. BROWN: That is correct, Your Honor. The
2 Coalition is a party to the Federal 1983 claims, and the individual plaintiffs are the contestants in the election
3
4 contest.
THE COURT: Okay. And then with that covered, in
5
6 count 2 and count 3 are federal claims; is that correct?
MR. BROWN: That's correct, Your Honor.
7
8 THE COURT: Okay. Are those not being contested in
9 federal court right now?
10 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor. They're -- similar
11 claims have been raised in federal court, but these are -- these claims are also raised in this case.
12
13 THE COURT: Well, how many times can you raise the same claim? I mean, if this is a federal -- I'm going to
14
15 hear from everybody. If this is a federal issue, then why don't I just stay it and let the federals decide what it
16
17 is?
18 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the plaintiff's claims are right to be asserted here, and it may be that they -- that
19
20 those --
THE COURT: You can't keep asserting them in all
21
22 different kinds of -- of courtrooms.
MR. BROWN: That's correct, Your Honor. But had --
23
24 had the plaintiffs not asserted them, they could have
25 waived their right to the relief, if that relief were

1 deemed right before the other ones matured. The federal
2 court --
THE COURT: Let me hear from here from the -- from
3
4 the defendants on that issue. Don't mean to -- if I'm
making any sense this morning.
5
6 MR. RUSSO: Good morning, Your Honor. Vincent Russo
for the Secretary of State. This -- these are claims that
7
8 are pending and the case before Judge Totenberg in a
9 criminal matter. We don't have any objection to you also
10 considering those claims. We will do as you determine.
11 THE COURT: Okay.
MR. DENTON: And Your Honor, for Gwinnett, we're kind
12
13 of in the same position as Secretary of State.
THE COURT: Okay.
14
15 MR. DENTON: We're in the same position as the
Secretary of State's Office, and we don't have necessarily
16
17 an objection. We don't think we're really proper to be
18 part of the federal constitutional claims --
THE COURT: (inaudible)
19
20 MR. DENTON: -- so we can deal with that later. Yes.
THE COURT: We'll take one step at a time.
21
22 MR. DENTON: Yes.
THE COURT: I'm trying to take -- this is today,
23
24 okay. I'm trying to take it one step at a time, okay.
25 I'm fairly simplistic about -- I'm a math major, okay.

1 Explain life to me. You know, we're going to do it like
2 that. I do better if I keep my brain in one spot at a time. Fulton County, what do you say?
3
4 MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, on behalf of Fulton County, we take the position that the federal claims are pending
5
6 in federal court, and this is just another opportunity for these same plaintiffs to bring the same claim again, and
7
8 we agree with the Court that you want to stay the federal
9 issues, the constitutional issues they claim that they're
10 raising.
11 THE COURT: Mr. Lindsey.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I don’t believe that Geoff
12
13 Duncan is really a party to the second and third count. They're simply a party in regard to the first count,
14
15 seeking a new election.
THE COURT: Is it -- I'm sorry?
16
17 MR. LINDSEY: We’re simply a party in regard to the
18 first count, seeking a new election.
THE COURT: Right. But you're not really involved
19
20 in --
MR. LINDSEY: It certainly does, however, make sense
21
22 for you to stay.
THE COURT: You're right.
23
24 MR. LINDSEY: That’ll do it.
25 THE COURT: Okay. Then I will hear the motions this

1 morning on that, but I'm still not convinced I'm going to
2 stay, okay. Because it's pending somewhere else. With
that said, then let's go -- let me see. I'll hear -- let
3
4 me hear the Secretary of State's motions first, okay.
Let -- what we're going to do -- a lot of them -- a
5
6 lot of them are the same. A lot of the motions are the
same, so I'm going to -- I'm going to let you go first,
7
8 and then we'll go from there, okay.
9 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. And the
10 defendant's counsel, we did try to split up kind of all
11 arguments so that you aren't hearing --
THE COURT: Whatever you want to do, Mr. Brown.
12
13 MR. RUSSO: We're here on Secretary of State's motion
to dismiss, along with the motions to dismiss filed by our
14
15 co-defendants. As you're aware, plaintiffs filed a
petition contesting the results of the November 6, 2018,
16
17 general election and the race for lieutenant governor for
18 the State of Georgia.
In that election the margin of victory was 123,172
19
20 votes. The plaintiffs in this case claim that the only
explanations for the difference in the number of votes in
21
22 the lieutenant governor's race and other statewide races
is that there were malfunctioning or erroneously
23
24 programmed voting machines or malicious manipulation of
25 the voting machines.

1 That that -- that those issues place in doubt the
2 results of the election. Now plaintiff's, of course,
3 completely disregard other reasonable explanations for the
4 differences in the votes, such as the fact that there were
5 third-party candidates in the other races and incumbents,
6 but that's for another day.
7 The Supreme Court has repeatedly stated that Georgia
8 law prohibits a contestant from merely speculating or
9 guessing as to the cause of an election contest. Here we
10 have -- the plaintiffs have asserted grounds under 2125 --
11 excuse me, 2102 520, under multiple different sections of
12 the election page, excuse me.
13 And the motion to dismiss, their response to the
14 motion to dismiss has them discuss -- the plaintiffs have
15 expanded the grounds for their contest to -- to additional
16 ones. So, there are threshold issues that pertain to our
17 client, which I'll address first.
18 My partner, Josh Belinfante, will address the federal
19 constitutional claims, and as I mentioned the other
20 parties will address those claims. Your Honor, has
21 already taken and confirmed the first step here that the
22 Coalition for Good Governance lacks standing to file an
23 election contest claim, so we're going to move past --
24 past that.
25 As Your Honor knows, election contests are authorized

1 and governed by the Georgia Election Code, which is in
2 Chapter 2 of Title 21. Pursuant to OCGA212520, there are only certain individuals who can be a defendant in an
3 election contest and none of those individuals in -- in this context would be the Secretary of State, which is the
4 first reason for dismissing the Secretary of State in the election contest.
5 THE COURT: Okay. I didn't -- Josh, I didn't realize
6 you had to go back to the courtroom.
7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Yes.
8 THE COURT: Okay.
9 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: (inaudible)
10 THE COURT: I didn't realize that they wouldn't let you go through. Let me give you a chance to get set up
11 because that was not -- I didn't realize that.
12 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: I'm good.
13 THE COURT: It gets confusing because everybody has a
14 right to be heard, and I didn't realize they wouldn't let you bring it in, okay. But the fact that she -- that your
15 allegation is the Secretary of State should not be a defendant, let me hear that. That doesn't apply to
16 anybody else. Let me hear that one first.
17 MR. RUSSO: Yes, ma'am.
18 THE COURT: I understand it's a motion to dismiss,
19 but it's an issue that's out in front. Go ahead.

1 MR. RUSSO: Of course. Under OCGA212520,
2 subsection 2, a defendant is defined as -- as four different specific classes. A defendant could be either
3
4 the person whose nomination election is contested such as the lieutenant governor elect in this case, the person
5
6 whose eligibility to seek any nomination or office in a runoff primary or election is contested, the election
7
8 superintendent or superintendents who conducted the
9 contested primary or election, or the public officer who
10 formally declared the number of votes for and against a
11 ballot question submitted to the electors at an election. Under the Georgia Election Code, the Secretary of
12
13 State is not an election superintendent. Secretary of State does not conduct elections. Elections are conducted
14
15 in the State of Georgia by -- at the county level, by the county superintendents.
16
17 Under the definitions, the general definitions that
18 are applicable to the Georgia Election Code, a superintendent is specifically defined in 2122, 2,
19
20 subsection 35, as either a judge of the probate court of a county or the County Board of Elections, the County Board
21
22 of Elections and Registration, the joint City and County Board of Elections, or the joint City Board of Elections
23
24 and Registrations, if a county has one.
25 And there are -- there are three other categories,

1 which apply to municipal elections. None of those are
2 applicable here. As Your -- as Your Honor can tell here, you know, the plain language in the definition does not
3 include the Secretary of State, and -- and -- and that really goes to the fact that the Secretary of State,
4 again, doesn't conduct elections.
5 Where the Secretary of State -- the Secretary of
6 State is obviously involved in voter registration and must
7 maintain a statewide voter registration database, but that
8 doesn't make the Secretary of State a superintendent. The
9 county -- the -- the plaintiffs have alleged that or have argued that, you know, since it's a statewide election
10 involving a lieutenant governor, that the Secretary of State must -- must be a proper -- must be a superintendent
11 and must be a proper party.
12 The defendant -- or excuse me, the plaintiffs rely on
13 the case Mead vs. Sheffield, which involved a court of
14 appeals election contest, and in that case the -- in that case, it was a 2004 election contest in which one of the
15 -- the contestant missed the runoff by about 380 votes, because he had -- there was the wrong -- and there was a
16 wrong name on the ballot.
17 We do not know why the Secretary of State in that
18 case was a party. The plaintiffs claim that since she was
19 a party in that case, she -- the -- current Secretary of

1 State must, you know, must be a party in this case.

2 Of course, there's -- there's no precedent -- precedential value in that case on that precise point.

3

4 That said, what the Mead vs. Sheffield case does highlight is that even in an election contest involving a statewide

5

6 race, election contest is filed against the county superintendents, which it was Laurens County in that case,

7

8 where there alleged irregularities that occurred in that

9 particular county.

10 And that, you know, indicates where there is an

11 alleged irregularity involving an -- an illegal ballot as opposed to an illegal vote, the contestant must show that

12

13 the number of illegal ballots cast exceeds the margin of victory in order to prevail.

14

15 Again, this is -- the -- the reason the case was filed in Laurens County -- against Laurens County was

16

17 because that's where the irregularities occurred. I know

18 my colleagues at the -- that represent the other defendants, the county defendants will probably be

19

20 speaking to this issue, but Mead vs. Sheffield is clearly inapplicable here.

21

22 Plaintiffs also argue that the definition of election superintendent in the Recall Act of 1989, which is

23

24 Chapter 4 of Title 21, must apply to the Election Code.

25 Your Honor, I mean, it's a fundamental rule of

1 statutory construction that it's -- it's presumed that the
2 legislature acts intentionally and purposefully when it includes particular language in one section of a statute
3
4 but omits it from another. And that's Tolson v. Sistrunk, 332-Georgia at 324 from 2015.
5
6 Now in this rule of statutory construction is applied to the present case, it's clear that the word
7
8 "superintendent" as used for purposes of election contest
9 is -- is -- is referring to County Boards of Elections and
10 Registration or county probate judges, and that's for
11 counties that don't have, of course, a Board of Registrations and Elections.
12
13 Finally, Your Honor, the plaintiffs have made an argument about -- that -- that a public officer who --
14
15 that -- that the fourth subsection to the definition of "defendant" that discusses, you know, that a defendant can
16
17 be a public officer who declares the number of votes for
18 and against a question, submitted to -- submitted to the electors in an election that that somehow must wrap in the
19
20 Secretary of State also, but, Your Honor, of course, a question -- the question is defined to be, you know,
21
22 constitutional amendments or propositions, ballot referendum items that -- that voters vote on this, that
23
24 has nothing to do with election contests involving
25 candidates for public office.

1 And we would say that there's -- there's no basis for
2 making that -- that leap that the plaintiffs are -- are asking the Court to make. As Your Honor knows, election
3
4 laws that are in variegation to common law must be strictly construed here.
5
6 There's -- there's no basis for expanding the definition of "superintendent" to somehow wrap the
7
8 Secretary of State up into this election contest. The
9 proper defendants would be the counties, the county
10 superintendents in each county where the plaintiffs are
11 aware of election irregularities.
Now that moves to the next -- the next issue, and as
12
13 Your Honor pointed -- stated --
THE COURT: (inaudible)
14
15 MR. RUSSO: Well, within the four corners of -- of the complaint or the -- the petition.
16
17 THE COURT: Let me take the one issue, okay. Are you
18 arguing the motion to dismiss now?
MR. RUSSO: I'm still arguing the motion to dismiss,
19
20 just moving past whether --
THE COURT: That's not relevant here.
21
22 MR. RUSSO: Oh, I'm sorry. I apologize.
THE COURT: No, -- go ahead.
23
24 MR. RUSSO: Okay. The plaintiff's election contest
25 is also subject to dismissal for failing to meet the --

1 the pleading requirements.

2 THE COURT: Okay. That I'd like to take separately.
MR. RUSSO: Okay.
3

4 THE COURT: Let's take each step. That's what I said
in the beginning.
5

6 MR. RUSSO: Okay.
THE COURT: Let's take each step. You'll get to
7

8 argue that.

9 MR. RUSSO: No, I understand. I -- I thought you

10 meant each step -- I got you.

11 THE COURT: Let's -- the first issue before me is, is
the Secretary of State a proper -- okay.
12

13 MR. RUSSO: Sure.
THE COURT: All right. Anybody else on the defense
14

15 might want to bring a -- how about you, Mr. Brown?
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Judge. Bruce Brown for the
16

17 plaintiffs. The issue of whether the Secretary of State

18 is a proper defendant is actually -- it touches upon two
arguments that they make that are virtually the same. The
19

20 first is whether the Secretary is a proper defendant and
second whether the Secretary has sovereign immunity.
21

22 If the Secretary of State is a proper defendant, then
the sovereign immunity has been waived. The -- the
23

24 plaintiff's argument with respect to both issues is that

25 the election statute says defendant means election

1 superintendent. That is OCGA212250. The code then
2 defines election superintendent.
THE COURT: Are you saying 212250? 520.
3
4 MR. BROWN: I'm -- I'm sorry. 520.
THE COURT: 520. So y'all know, I have the book. I
5
6 don't necessarily have access to this particular computer,
and so I -- bring this book down with me.
7
8 MR. BROWN: I apologize, Your Honor.
9 THE COURT: It's 220?
10 MR. BROWN: 220. I keep transposing those numbers.
11 THE COURT: Yeah. Don't confuse them.
MR. BROWN: And then the phrase "election
12
13 superintendent" means in a statewide election the
Secretary of State. That is defined in OCGA2143. Now, it
14
15 is correct that that definition of "election
superintendent" as the Secretary of State is in a
16
17 different chapter of the code.
18 However, there's no reason to believe that the
legislature, in using the term election superintendent in
19
20 the contest chapter, meant anything different and, in
fact, commonsense would require that in a statewide
21
22 election that the proper defendant who is conducting the
election is the Secretary of State.
23
24 Particularly when the irregularity that is alleged is
25 an irregularity that is statewide in every single case.

1 Now the defendants, the Secretary of State option for
2 Your Honor, is to rule that in the statewide election contest that the plaintiff must join 159 counties, and
3
4 so --
THE COURT: Well, we haven't gotten to that yet,
5
6 either.
MR. BROWN: But this is -- but, Your Honor, it's the
7
8 same -- I would -- it's the same argument in that -- the
9 -- they're saying that they are not proper, but instead
10 the proper defendants are the 159 counties.
11 And we -- we think that's absurd and that's not what the legislator -- legislature intended. And it's not what
12
13 the legislature said.
Moreover, Your Honor, there has been, as far as we
14
15 know, only one statewide election contest on record, and that is the Mead vs. Sheffield case, which Mr. Russo
16
17 discussed. And in fact, in the Mead vs. Sheffield case
18 the attorney general defended and did not raise the sovereign immunity argument at all, and it's a
19
20 jurisdictional argument and it made it to the Supreme Court.
21
22 And it was clear to the Secretary of State and the attorney general at the time, Your Honor, that our reading
23
24 of the statute and commonsense meant, of course, that the
25 Secretary of State who is in charge of the elections is

1 the proper defendant in the statewide election contest.

2 Now, Mr. Russo said something to the effect, well, that was several years ago. I'm not sure why the

3

4 Secretary -- Secretary of State did it then, or why the former Secretary of State and former attorney general took

5

6 that position. I would like -- if I may approach, I found a case yesterday, Your Honor, which I believe is

7

8 instructed. But I'd like to approach the bench with a

9 copy of some of the papers, if I might.

10 THE COURT: All right. If you want to -- we don't

11 have a clerk because this is a Fulton County case. Thank you. So, it's just a brief?

12

13 MR. BROWN: It's a brief and then the case is attached to it, Your Honor.

14

15 THE COURT: I'm not going to -- I'm not going to take somebody else's brief. You want to argue it, fine, but

16

17 I'm not going to -- somebody else's brief and somebody

18 else's case is not -- and has got nothing to do with that.
MR. BROWN: Fair enough. If the -- the case, which

19

20 follows the copy of the brief, is a case called Dawkins-Haigler vs. Anderson. It's reported at 301-Georgia-27.

21

22 In that case, the Secretary of State was sued. And I quote, it's "Brian Kemp in his official capacity as state

23

24 election supervisor." That was the capacity in which he

25 was sued.

1 The -- the case was defended by Chris Carr, attorney
2 general, Dennis Dunn, Willard Chris Corea, the same attorney general law department lawyers who were defending
3
4 this case along with outside counsel from the Robbins firm. This is a case that was -- was tried last year,
5
6 Your Honor, and in that case the Secretary of State was -- THE COURT: Has there been an appellate decision on
7
8 the case?
9 MR. BROWN: Yes.
10 THE COURT: Okay.
11 MR. BROWN: It is 301-Georgia-27, and in that case the Secretary of State was sued quote "in its official
12
13 capacity as state election supervisor," and that styling of the Secretary of State appears in his own brief, which
14
15 is what I had shown to you, but the important thing is the reported decision, and in that case also the Secretary of
16
17 State stood up and defended the case on the merits.
18 THE COURT: Okay. If you want to give me -- but you know, if you've got a decision, let me have the final
19
20 decision, but what somebody else may have said and it's on the report, it's not relevant --
21
22 MR. BROWN: Your Honor the -- what the law department -- the position the law department said it is not binding
23
24 either on the law department or anybody else. They can
25 change their mind, of course, and not binding on Your

1 Honor.

2 However, it is reflective of what the law is, and the law says that defendant means election superintendent and

3

4 the law says "election superintendent" in a statewide race means the Secretary of State. So, our argument is that

5

6 the statute is clear.
There is no case in the history in the State of

7

8 Georgia holding that the Secretary of State has sovereign

9 immunity in an elections case. No case at all. They are

10 asking Your Honor to make a precedent setting, a brand-new

11 decision. It will be the first ever that ever granted this -- this relief.

12

13 THE COURT: If that's meant to intimidate me, it doesn't.

14

15 MR. BROWN: I don't think it should -- should intimidate you, Your Honor.

16

17 THE COURT: Well, you -- I've read a lot of what

18 you've provided me. I have not read the proffer of evidence because it's not relevant today --

19

20 MR. BROWN: I understand.
THE COURT: -- and I understand where you're coming

21

22 from.
MR. BROWN: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. That's our

23

24 argument on the sovereign immunity and the Secretary of

25 State being a proper defendant.

1 THE COURT: Okay. Any responses on that issue?

2 MR. RUSSO: Briefly, Your Honor. As you rightly noted these cases, this -- this brief and the cases cited
3

4 by plaintiff's counsel have no precedential value and basically stand for nothing on this -- on this point.
5

6 Plaintiff's counsel stated that the law says that in a statewide case, the superintendent is the Secretary of
7

8 State. Your Honor, I can't find anything on the books or

9 in the code that -- that says such.

10 Even Chapter 4, even the Recall Act that plaintiff's

11 counsel has referred to multiple times, states that in any case of elected state officers the Secretary of State --
12

13 and in short, you know, if somebody wants to challenge -- if there's a group that wants to recall a public officer
14

15 or state officer, they have to go get a petition signed. And that petition then goes to the Secretary of
16

17 State. It's similar to a third-party candidate or an

18 independent candidate trying to get on the ballot in a statewide race. None of this -- the Recall Act, of
19

20 course, has nothing to do with election contests, similar to independent candidates trying to file in a petition to
21

22 get on the ballot has nothing to do with election contests.
23

24 Your Honor, again, we don't know why or -- or if this

25 issue has -- was raised in -- in the 2004 case. However,

1 you know, the Secretary of State is not a statewide
2 election superintendent. Georgia law has long held that elections are -- are conducted at the county level and if
3
4 a contest is going to be filed, then it should be filed against the counties where those irregularities occurred.
5
6 And so again, we would ask you to dismiss the election contest on -- on that basis.
7
8 THE COURT: Okay. This goes to count 1 as I
9 understand it, and 2125 -- this is Section 2 of 21, which
10 is the election contest, okay, it -- it states that the
11 election superintendent was superintendent to conduct a contested -- or election, okay. What it says, in 2- --
12
13 again, this is within the same code, 212235 defines who those are.
14
15 It defines who the superintendents are, and it does not include Secretary of State. That's the law, and
16
17 definitions applicable to Section 2, okay. Now there may
18 be in the recall, which is Section 4, that that may be different, but it's under a different code section. It's
19
20 under a different section of the code. So as far as the election contest goes, count 1, I find the Secretary of
21
22 State is not a proper party, okay. And so, I'll grant your motion. -- I'll put my, you know, -- as to count 1,
23
24 okay.
25 MR. RUSSO: Yes, ma'am. Thank you,

1 THE COURT: Okay. What's the next question?

2 MR. RUSSO: I think some of my other motions are mooted at this point, so do you want to --

3

4 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, it would be best at this point for Gwinnett County to make its proper party

5

6 argument or would you rather hear from the Secretary of State on the constitutional claims, counts 2 and 3?

7

8 THE COURT: Well, you've got a motion to dismiss on

9 -- what, just on the general --

10 MR. RUSSO: Well, we have one every --

11 THE COURT: You just got a routine motion to dismiss on the four corners of the petition.

12

13 MR. RUSSO: That's right. We just had -- had other reasons why it should be dismissed.

14

15 THE COURT: I guess, Mr. Brown -- I guess I'd hear from Gwinnett first as to whether they should be a party

16

17 and then Fulton whether they should be a party. And then

18 we'll go into the -- if that's all right with you.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

19

20 THE COURT: Okay. Okay --
MR. TYSON: Thank you, Your Honor. Good morning.

21

22 Bryan Tyson for Gwinnett County Board of Registrations and Elections.

23

24 For our motion to dismiss, Your Honor, I think if we

25 determined now, if we've determined that the Secretary of

1 State is not a proper party to an election contest, I
2 think the logical questions is, well, who then is a proper party?
3
4 For -- for us as Gwinnett County, there is no question that the Gwinnett Board is an election
5
6 superintendent who conducted the contested election. So, there's no issue there. The challenge in this scenario is
7
8 that the plaintiffs have not sued all the parties that are
9 required to afford them full relief and Mr. Russo
10 referenced the Mead case where the case was filed against
11 the county's where the problem was alleged in a statewide election contest.
12
13 And if you look at and kind of do a comparison between 520 and 522, I think it helps explain a little bit
14
15 about how there's a connection between the grounds of the contest and who the defendant is in those cases. So,
16
17 under the -- under 520-2A and B, you have a candidate
18 who's the defendant -- the person who was nominated, the person whose eligibility is challenged.
19
20 That lines up to 522-2 where the allegation in the election contest is that the defendant, the candidate is
21
22 not eligible to hold the office. And so, in those cases where you're challenging eligibility, the other candidate
23
24 is obviously a logical defendant.
25 For 3, the election superintendent in 520 who

1 conducted the contested primary, that's where you have the
2 allegations on the grounds related to misconduct, related to illegal votes being cast or legal votes being rejected
3
4 or errors in counting.
And in this case the plaintiffs have made a number of
5
6 allegations about the various problems across the state, but they've only sued now Fulton and Gwinnett counties, as
7
8 is evidenced by other motions they filed, they want to
9 preserve evidence in Murray and Gordon counties.
10 There are allegations regarding DeKalb, regarding
11 Worth County, regarding a variety of other Georgia counties that are not parties to this case. And the
12
13 challenge in this situation is they have the evidence that Your Honor needs to be able to figure out is this a valid
14
15 contest or not.
If this is not a scenario where you can just pick a
16
17 county and then make allegations regarding and number of
18 other counties when they're not parties to the case and can't get -- get in front of the court.
19
20 The -- in addition, there is a lack of allegations regarding Gwinnett County as to some of the specifics. A
21
22 lot of the specific allegations involve other counties, many of whom are not parties. The other counties are the
23
24 ones that have the evidence.
25 So, for our position as Gwinnett, we believe this is

1 the basis for dismissal, because not only did plaintiffs
2 fail to name the proper parties to start with, but it's now too late for them to amend.
3
4 And while the election contest procedures clearly allow amendment of the petition, there's a difference when
5
6 you're amending to add additional parties. And the only the Supreme Court case en pointe here is Brody vs.
7
8 Champion. And in that case the -- the contestant had
9 filed the case against the director of elections for
10 DeKalb County, but had not sued the Board of Elections.
11 And the Supreme Court said, yes, you can modify, they were on notice within the statute; that's not a problem.
12
13 The Hanson case that the plaintiffs have cited involved a different procedure under a municipal election code that
14
15 involve a direct appeal or an independent lawsuit.
It's not really relevant here. What is relevant is
16
17 we are now beyond the five-day statute or well beyond
18 that. And the relation back under 9/11/15, there's nothing that the plaintiffs needed to know at the time
19
20 they filed their lawsuit where they couldn't have named the counties that are going to have evidence that they
21
22 claim they need.
In their petition they identify. These are the same
23
24 counties are the worst -- have the worst questions about
25 these percentages. They had anecdotes from counties that

1 were not included as parties in the case. So now because
2 we're too late to be able to do an amendment and add -- and have that relate back to the original filling date,
3
4 the statute has run as to those counties.
It is our position that those are necessary parties
5
6 in order to give full relief in this case. And because those parties were not joined, that's a basis for a
7
8 dismissal of the entirety of the election contest, as to
9 those allegations that have been made by the plaintiffs.
10 THE COURT: Anybody else on the defendants' side that
11 want to support that position? And pardon me -- argument at the same time.
12
13 MS. BURWELL: Kaye Burwell on behalf of Fulton County. We join in that argument, Your Honor, and we
14
15 would add that when the Court looks at the complaint in Paragraph 38, it references that there were thousands of
16
17 problems they alleged with the DRE machines where clearly
18 Gwinnett and Fulton County aren't in a position to speak to those thousands of problems.
19
20 The only issues we could speak to would be any issues they alleged on our particular counties. And since this
21
22 is a statewide issue, they're alleging the only way they would be able to bring information to the Court and the
23
24 only way the Court would be able to have a full and fair
25 hearing is if all those other counties that they're

1 complaining about, where they allege all these issues
2 occurred, were to be able to come in.
And as we noted on our motion to dismiss, Your Honor,
3
4 the Fulton County Board of Registrations and Elections
can't provide the petitioners with the relief they seek
5
6 because the DRE is constrained to follow state law. And
they have to use the DRE machines because that's what
7
8 state law requires.
9 And the only response the plaintiffs provided to
10 that, Your Honor, was that absentee ballots could be used,
11 but clearly the DRE doesn't have the ability to force
every Fulton County voter to use an absentee ballot. The
12
13 voters get to decide how they choose to vote.
THE COURT: You're off the -- you're off the --
14
15 you're off the field. So right now, so they join all the
counties, or shouldn't they?
16
17 MS. BURWELL: They should have joined all of the
18 counties, Your Honor.
THE COURT: That's the issue we're figuring with them
19
20 on this motion.
MS. BURWELL: Okay.
21
22 THE COURT: I'm trying -- I'm trying to do as clean a
record as I can.
23
24 MS. BURWELL: Okay.
25 THE COURT: Okay. So, you'll be heard on that.

1 Everybody's going to get heard on everything, okay.

2 MS. BURWELL: Okay.
THE COURT: I don't want to make you -- I'm just
3

4 trying to make a nice, clean record of the -- of the case.
MS. BURWELL: Yes.
5

6 THE COURT: Okay. So, you -- you -- you agree that
they have joined -- they should have seen everybody.
7

8 MS. BURWELL: Yes.

9 THE COURT: Okay? Every county, okay. Anybody else?

10 No? Okay. Then you can, because you didn't find the

11 word --
MR. BROWN: (inaudible)
12

13 THE COURT: (inaudible)
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. The Fulton
14

15 County's argument points to sort of the overall issue of
how the plaintiffs are getting whip shot here. Fulton
16

17 says, we can't defend this because the Secretary of State

18 does everything.
THE COURT: No. Don't go there. The issue we're
19

20 dealing with, the only issue I'm trying to read right this
minute is, should you have joined 159 counties or not?
21

22 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor, and here's why.
THE COURT: Okay. That -- that's the issue.
23

24 MR. BROWN: We -- we have -- first, we have alleged

25 causes of action against Gwinnett and Fulton. Our

1 allegations cover -- they are proper defendants as they --
2 I think, believe as they have conceded, that they've -- they conducted the election.
3
4 And we have alleged that the irregularities occurred in their counties, and they occurred in every county. And
5
6 so, Fulton and Gwinnett are proper defendants, and so they stay. The question then is, should the other -- should
7
8 157 other counties have been joined?
9 That comes down into two separate questions, okay.
10 First is, what might we need discovery from 157 other
11 counties and from a non-party Secretary of State. The answer is, yes. We will need discovery from the Secretary
12
13 of State, and we'll need discovery from -- from other counties, maybe.
14
15 We don't believe actually that substantial discovery will be required from every county. And the reason for
16
17 that is that the Secretary of State, not as a party, Your
18 Honor, I'm not arguing that piece, but as the repository of the information.
19
20 The Secretary programs the ballots, programs all the machines, programs the poll books, tabulates the results,
21
22 announces the results, all of those things. And so, the -- so the Secretary is here within the jurisdiction of this
23
24 court -- to court -- to respond to discovery.
25 In addition, Your Honor, has plenary power, plenary

1 power in the statutes that we have cited, to grant the
2 relief that we're seeking. There's no requirement that we file suit against every county. Instead, the counties
3
4 that we have sued are proper.
And whether we can prove that is up to the next
5
6 hearing, it's not up to today. But we believe we can prove that through discovery. And that the 157 counties
7
8 are not necessary to be joined. If they are necessary to
9 join them, then we'll join them and that's a separate
10 issue. Thank you, Your Honor.
11 THE COURT: Anything further? Anything further?
MR. BROWN: I have nothing further, Your Honor.
12
13 THE COURT: We have to do these cases as fast as we can do them, and I respect that, and I'm trying to do this
14
15 as fast as I can. But given the status of what is actually before me, not all this 180 pages of evidence and
16
17 all this stuff I've been dumped on, and which -- anyway.
18 I won't go there. It's very hard for me to be able to download some of that stuff.
19
20 But I've done them. I've taken them off. But in that status, I'm going to deny your motion. Whether it
21
22 will become relevant at the end of the hearing, I don't know. But at this point, both those motions as to adding
23
24 the parties and as to them being improper parties --
25 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Does Gwinnett have any other motions
2 applicable just to Gwinnett?
3 MR. RUSSO: No, Your Honor, I don't believe we do.
4 We obviously join the other motions regarding kind of the
5 -- claim.
6 THE COURT: (inaudible)
7 MR. RUSSO: There is a complaint, I think that's it,
8 so.
9 THE COURT: Okay. Fulton, you've got it. Anything
10 else that you wanted to say? Come around, if you will.
11 I'm sorry to address you by county, but I have a cheat
12 sheet here with everybody's name, and I think I've lost
13 it.
14 MS. BURWELL: The -- the point I was making on
15 whether or not Fulton County was a proper party was that
16 the Fulton County Board of Registrations and Elections
17 isn't capable of providing the petitioners with the relief
18 that they're seeking, which in essence is changing out DRE
19 machines.
20 They don't want Georgia citizens to use the DRE
21 voting system. Well, Fulton County, like every other
22 county in the state, is constrained by state law to comply
23 with state law, which requires us to use the DRE machines.
24 Now, the response that plaintiffs had to our motion
25 to dismiss was merely, number one, that the Board of

1 Registrations and Elections could require citizens to vote
2 via absentee, and that's clearly not the case. Citizens get to decide how they vote, not the Board of
3
4 Registrations and Elections.
The only other issue they raised was OCGA21-2-366 and
5
6 they raised that for the proposition of saying that "Fulton County in fact could decide what kind of voting
7
8 system is in use" and that's just not the case. What the
9 Court looks at 21-2-366, it only provides that the
10 governing authority of a county, which is not the Board of
11 Registrations and Elections, it's the Board of Commissioners -- can authorize the use of optical scanning
12
13 voting systems.
Well, the Board of Commissioners is not a party to
14
15 this action; the Board of Registrations and Elections is. And so, the -- our position is that the county's position
16
17 with respect to whether or not it is a proper defendant is
18 unrefuted by the plaintiffs.
And then the only other issue we had raised, Your
19
20 Honor, was the lack of service. At the time we filed our motion to dismiss, they had not served.
21
22 THE COURT: They had not served?
MS. BURWELL: Yes, they served us on December 26th.
23
24 THE COURT: All right.
25 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I believe Your Honor's

1 already ruled that Fulton and Gwinnett are proper parties.
2 And I'm not sure I understand Fulton's argument that they're incapable of -- of conducting the elections. I
3
4 think that's an issue that may come later in terms of what sort of remedy that you offer, Your Honor.
5
6 Our position is that, number one, when you have the trial, the -- we will be able to show that a new election
7
8 should be ordered; that's the first argument. The second
9 argument is, if you do order a new election, on what
10 machines or what is -- what type of election should that
11 be? Should that be machines, or should that be paper? And that's what Fulton County is talking about is
12
13 really two or three issues; two steps away from where we are today. Our position will be that Fulton County is
14
15 capable of -- of conducting a paper ballot election without using these flawed machines.
16
17 And that that's authorized under the code provisions
18 that -- that we have cited. And that they don't need a Secretary of State's approval to do that, but certainly
19
20 Your Honor could order them to do so, if you've felt that was necessary, but again I think that's --
21
22 THE COURT: Asking further from Fulton County? MS. BURWELL: No.
23
24 THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to deny that, again at
25 this point when you all stop talking, arguing the

1 evidence, then the motion to dismiss this is doing a lot,
2 okay. Does that mean -- after we have a -- have a hearing on the matter, I don't know. But I'm happy to try it.
3
4 But right at this point, I'm bound by what the rules say. That's if a slight -- allegations are -- I have to
5
6 follow. Okay, so we haven't generalized the motion to dismiss, did you need to be heard on that as well.
7
8 MR. RUSSO: No, not on that issue.
9 THE COURT: I didn't think so. This is generalized.
10 Everybody seems to have generalized motions dismissed on
11 the pleadings. Let me hear that.
MR. RUSSO: Would you like to hear from --
12
13 THE COURT: On count one.
MR. RUSSO: Sure. Would you still like to hear from
14
15 us or --
THE COURT: Whatever order you want to do it.
16
17 MR. BELINFANTE: I mean, I'm happy to make our
18 argument. I'm happy to make our arguments on it.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, Edward Lindsey once again,
19
20 attorney on behalf of Lieutenant Governor-elect Geoff Duncan. As was previously stated, Mr. Duncan won his race
21
22 by over 123,000 votes. I'm going to go through some numbers, Your Honor, and I'm going to ask you to simply
23
24 take judicial notice, which I believe you're entitled to.
25 As a matter of public records; in terms of public records.

1 The case I'll cite for taking judicial notice would
2 be Johnson vs. Adams 323-Georgia-PO427 and that particular case that was taking judicial notice of a separate lawsuit
3
4 of a -- separate case in bankruptcy court, but nevertheless, the rule is that the courts in a motion to
5
6 dismiss, I believe, courts can take judicial notice of such matters.
7
8 And the only thing that I'm going to be talking about
9 are the official numbers from the Georgia Secretary of
10 State's office, certified numbers. Court, in such cases
11 such as ours needs to remember that the setting aside of an election in which the people have chosen their
12
13 representative, is a drastic remedy that should not be undertaken lightly, but instead should be reserved for
14
15 cases in which a person challenging an election has clearly established a violation of elections procedures.
16
17 And has demonstrated that the violations have placed
18 the result of the election in doubt; that's not our assertion, Your Honor. That's a big court decision. In
19
20 the case of Hunt vs. Crawford 270-Georgia-7, a 1998 decision in my brief we filed yesterday, Your Honor, we
21
22 sort of outlined that that's -- what's clearly required is that the plaintiffs --
23
24 And at this point, Your Honor, that the pleadings
25 must show that the plaintiffs are asserting that as result

1 of the defects that they are alleging, that it places the
2 -- the outcome of the election in doubt. And the courts have established somewhat of a mathematical test.
3
4 And I'm glad to hear that you said you were a math major, so I'm going to simply talk about a little math
5
6 here. The -- the plaintiffs, you know, or the petitioners have alleged several things. On number one they say, that
7
8 "as a result of these nefarious defects, the -- the total
9 number of votes cast in the lieutenant governor's race was
10 dramatically below those of other down-ballot statewide
11 races."
Well, if you look at our particular case, like I
12
13 said, 123,000 votes, 123,172 votes; that's the certified result number. If you look at the -- the -- the highest
14
15 number of votes that were cast in any of the down-ballot races -- that would be the Secretary of State's race. And
16
17 in that race, there were 3,883,594 votes total cast.
18 In the lieutenant governor's race, that's 3,780,304. So even if we were to accept -- and the Court is required
19
20 to do here -- the petitioner's allegations that there was something nefarious or -- or negligent in terms of the
21
22 counts, votes that were counted.
The difference between what took place in the
23
24 lieutenant governor's race total votes and the Secretary
25 of State's total votes is only 103,290 votes. That's

1 19,882 votes short of the margin of victory that Mr.
2 Duncan enjoyed.
The next thing that the -- that the petitioner
3
4 asserts in its allegation is that there was a drop-in vote
between those -- in -- in terms of the drop-offs, which
5
6 are the number of people who voted in one race but didn't
vote in the another. They assert that there's a dramatic
7
8 difference between the drop-off between the governor and
9 the lieutenant governor's race, and between the paper
10 ballots versus the electronic voting.
11 And they assert essentially that in the paper ballots
there was a drop-off of only 98.9%, but in the other case
12
13 there was a dramatic drop-off below that number. If you
were to accept their assertion that there should have been
14
15 the same drop-off between the governor's race and the
lieutenant governor's race, the numbers still come up
16
17 short as a matter of math.
18 In this particular case, Your Honor, if we were to
accept the petitioner's allegations that there's -- that
19
20 the drop-off between the governor's race and the
lieutenant governor's race should have been the same
21
22 between the write-in, between the paper ballots and
electronic ballots that would have raised the number of
23
24 votes cast in the lieutenant governor's race to 3,895,955.
25 That's still, Your Honor, would have only increased

1 the number of votes cast to be 115,691 votes, which is
2 still below 123,000-plus votes cast. The third allegation that the petitioner asserts in their petition is that
3
4 there was in terms of the drop-off between -- in the electronic voting between those who voted in the
5
6 governor's race and the lieutenant governor's race had a particularly negative impact on the democratic candidate
7
8 Ms. Miko.
9 If you were to take that as truth and simply allow
10 for the same percentage of votes for Ms. Miko that Mr.
11 Duncan enjoyed in terms of -- of the -- the percentage of votes that they received versus the gubernatorial race,
12
13 you still end up 53,902 votes short of what the -- of the number that is needed that would have closed the gap at
14
15 53,902, so in other words you're still short of 123,000 votes.
16
17 And -- and that's the key -- and that's the key. In
18 all cases, in the Supreme Court cases, is you've got to have a show that the number of votes that were either
19
20 miscounted or not counted properly would have wiped away the margin of victory that the winner enjoyed.
21
22 And we've cited several cases as have everyone else that demonstrates that's the rule of thumb. By the -- in
23
24 terms of petitioner's allegations -- each of their
25 allegations, Your Honor, I want you to take judicial

1 notice of certified results from the Secretary of State.

2 They fall short of that golden number, by any number
between 8,000 to 80,000 votes short, depending on the --
3

4 their various allegations.
I would point out, Your Honor, that the petitioners'
5

6 themselves in their exhibit to their petition included an
exhibit from a letter from Ms. Amico who said in the
7

8 letter, "the number of residual votes in the lieutenant

9 governor makes it unlikely to affect the outcome of my

10 race." She's right, Your Honor, because she also

11 understands the math.
So, Your Honor, we would simply ask for a motion to
12

13 dismiss based on the petitioner's petition when you take
judicial notice of the public record regarding the
14

15 certified results from the election from all the races.
Thank you, Your Honor. Does the Court have any
16

17 questions?

18 THE COURT: No. Anybody else --
MR. TYSON: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you, Your Honor.
19

20 Again, Bryan Tyson for Gwinnett County.
I think the thing to keep in mind is that when we're
21

22 in this posture after a certified result from an election,
the election is presumed valid. And if you were in a
23

24 scenario where, let's say you have 100-vote margin.

25 And you allege, you put in your petition that 99

1 either illegal votes were cast or legal votes were
2 rejected, that petition would be properly subject for dismissal for failure -- failure to state a claim, because
3
4 you have to allege a sufficient number of votes that would have placed the result in doubt or would have been
5
6 sufficient to change the result of the election.
And in this case, the plaintiffs have not made any
7
8 such allegation in their petition. In addition, the --
9 there is conflicting causes of action referenced in the
10 motions to dismiss. In the petition the plaintiffs that
11 they say that they're proceeding under 521-1 and 3.
In their response to the motion to dismiss, they're
12
13 relying on 1, 3, 4, and 5, but I think the most important thing for the Court to remember in terms of looking at the
14
15 petition itself, is what it stated, is that the irregularities that the plaintiffs have alleged only
16
17 involve a 100 or so votes here and there across the state.
18 They also involve claims of machine malfunction in DeKalb County in paragraph 42 of the complaint. Of
19
20 course, the plaintiffs have dismissed DeKalb County from this lawsuit. And the -- the collection of information
21
22 that's in the petition is a bunch of kind of one-off programming errors.
23
24 Most of them are unverified and anonymous, and
25 significantly they don't get anywhere close to the

1 123,000-vote threshold that they would -- that the
2 plaintiffs had to plead in order to state a claim here because of the way the Supreme Court precedent works.
3
4 I'm in Ellis vs. Johnson 2623-Georgia-514, the Supreme Court is very clear that speculation alone is not
5
6 enough. There has to be -- has to be a specificity in terms of the mathematical certainty of what happened. And
7
8 we see that over in Mead, in Howell, and in just a number
9 of Supreme Court cases, that it is proper for the court to
10 look at motion to dismiss, first of all, because those
11 cases were decided based on motions to dismiss.
But second that it's proper to look at the
12
13 allegations and see have the plaintiffs alleged this 123,000-vote margin that would be sufficient to place the
14
15 result in doubt. And we would submit that they have not done that in the four corners of their petition. On that
16
17 basis it should be dismissed for failure to state a claim.
18 THE COURT: Does Fulton want to be heard on that?
MS. BURWELL: We join in, all the others.
19
20 THE COURT: You just joining them now?
MS. BURWELL: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor.
21
22 THE COURT: (inaudible)
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. The -- the
23
24 defendants have pointed to one of the most egregious
25 pieces of evidence showing the total system failure of --

1 THE COURT: But -- but -- but that's not -- this is
2 -- that's a wonderful opening statement. This is not that day, okay?
3
4 MR. BROWN: Okay.
THE COURT: Just respond, if you will, to what --
5
6 MR. BROWN: Sure. The --
THE COURT: I don't do well with --
7
8 MR. BROWN: Okay. The --
9 THE COURT: -- inflammatory -- just stay within what
10 we're -- what --
11 MR. BROWN: Sure. The undervote is an illustration of the system problems that we allege in the complaint.
12
13 Allegations that, as Your Honor has already mentioned, must be accepted as true.
14
15 THE COURT: All right.
MR. BROWN: The -- the numbers that Mr. Lindsey was
16
17 giving, Your Honor, are not our entire case. Instead what
18 we have alleged is that the system malfunctioned as reflected by the undervote, which is unexplained in -- in
19
20 ways that happened statewide.
The defendants interpret -- we have a different
21
22 interpretation of the legal requirements here. First in terms of what's subjectively required, and then second,
23
24 the procedural policy.
25 First, Your Honor, in the Supreme Court in the cases

1 in which the nature of the voting problem is not
2 quantifiable, the plaintiff is not required to count up the votes and show the difference. For example, in the
3 Stiles case, 252-Georgia-260, the Supreme Court found that there were irregularities and misconduct and ordered a new
4 election despite no proof of the numbers involved.
5 Similarly, in the Hunt case, although the contestants
6 lost the Hunt case, this is 270-Georgia-7 -- one of the
7 reasons why the plaintiffs lost is that the Court found
8 quote "moreover, there was no evidence introduced at trial
9 from which it can be inferred that the misconduct was more widespread than the record indicates."
10 The Supreme Court is -- is signaling that if there is evidence introduced at trial from which it can be inferred
11 that there was a widespread problem, that the case may be made.
12 And so the -- the cases that they cite we have no
13 quarrel with, Your Honor, but those are cases in which the impact of a mistake is easily quantifiable because you
14 have the illegal ballots sitting there physically in front of the Court, and you're able to count them up and to
15 determine whether or not it's enough to make a difference.
16 And certainly, if you have seven illegal ballots in
17 front of you and the margin and difference is eight, then
18 you know that that's insufficient. But in those cases

1 where the mistake is not quantifiable, then that is not
2 the approach that the Court has taken, and that, again, is the Stiles case.
3
4 And -- but this leads, Your Honor, back to the procedural posture of the case. In all of these cases --
5
6 and I will just read these to you. What the -- what the courts are saying about the evidence is after a trial, for
7
8 example -- these are not examples. This is what I found
9 in -- in review of all of the cases they have cited.
10 In Banker vs. Kohl, 378-Georgia-532, what the Court
11 said, quote "Evidence presented at the trial court," and then went on to explain. In Dawkins-Haigler 30177, the
12
13 Supreme Court says, "after a lengthy hearing the trial court concluded..."
14
15 And then in Fuller 284-Georgia-397, the Court explained that the case proceeded to trial. In Hunt vs.
16
17 Crawford, 270-Georgia-7, the Supreme Court said, at trial,
18 "Hunt admitted making telephone calls," and then went into the evidence of misconduct.
19
20 In Mead vs. Sheffield, the case that's discussed by both parties, the Court notes, "it was established at the
21
22 evidentiary hearing," and then went in to show how it was established, that in fact the case had been made for a new
23
24 trial.
25 Scoggins, again, 288-Georgia-26, the Supreme Court

1 said, "after hearing on the merits," and then explained
2 how in fact the plaintiff prevailed after hearing on the merits. Your Honor, there is one case in which the trial
3
4 court did grant a motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim, and only one.
5
6 And that is the trial court in the Taggart case, 242-Georgia-454. The trial court, without an evidentiary
7
8 hearing, dismissed the petition for failure to state a
9 claim on similar grounds the defendants urge in this case.
10 So, the trial court decision in that case would be the
11 sole precedent that I can find to support the defendant's position in that case.
12
13 However, on appeal that holding was reversed by the Supreme Court. And the Supreme Court held, quote "A
14
15 petition should not be dismissed for failure to state a claim unless it discloses with certainty that the
16
17 plaintiff would not be entitled to relieve under any set
18 of facts."
Interestingly, the Taggart case also involved the
19
20 type of situation -- it involved actually two different kinds of challenges, two different problems in the
21
22 election. One problem had to do with quantifiable ballot issues where you could count them up and you could
23
24 determine whether or not it was enough to make a
25 difference.

1 But the other part was the -- the contestant alleged
2 that the machines were -- were operating improperly. More like our -- more analogous to our case here. And in
3
4 Taggart, the Supreme Court held, quote "Similarly, doubt may be cast on an election by showing improper maintenance
5
6 of the voting machines resulting in votes being miscast." And then in their ruling reversing the trial court
7
8 for dismissing the case, the Court notes, "All this is a
9 matter of proof." Now in the Taggart case it came back on
10 appeal and the plaintiff failed to prove it and lost. But
11 it is a matter of proof. If the plaintiff can prove that improper maintenance
12
13 of the voting machines resulted in votes being miscast, which is what we allege, Your Honor, then we're entitled
14
15 to victory and entitled to relief, and if we're not, if we can't prove it, then we're not entitled to relief. And
16
17 that's -- that's clear from the cases.
18 Now in terms of -- of -- I want to return to the allegations that we make and the -- the breadth of it.
19
20 First, we allege that the state's system is fundamentally flawed from the start and that we note that the persuasive
21
22 opinion by Judge Totenberg in which she describes the system as being --
23
24 THE COURT: I'm not bound by it to her at all.
25 MR. BROWN: You're not bound by her ruling at all.

1 THE COURT: You can try to hand it to me, but I'm not
2 bound by it.
3 MR. BROWN: No. It's -- we think it's persuasive
4 but, of course, you're not bound by it. But she did find
5 that the -- that the same system is profoundly vulnerable.
6 THE COURT: Okay. I -- I don't care what you've
7 found. It's got nothing to do with the burden of proof.
8 The burden of proof will be on you to get that far.
9 MR. BROWN: Right.
10 THE COURT: The burden of proof is on you.
11 MR. BROWN: Right.
12 THE COURT: But you can't prove it by -- the judge.
13 MR. BROWN: That's -- yes, Your Honor. But it will
14 be proven with the evidence that persuaded Judge
15 Totenberg, we would -- we would suggest. And if -- Your
16 Honor, it could very well be that at trial we're not
17 persuasive.
18 But we do have the opportunity to prove that and as
19 of -- one of the things that -- what motions to dismiss
20 law is, Your Honor, is that -- what the law says is that
21 there's no reasonable possibility that the plaintiffs can
22 prove their case, then they lose.
23 And what we're suggesting is, having already proven
24 some of these things, that it's -- it's important to
25 consider that and projecting what we'll be able to win in

1 this case.

2 THE COURT: Yeah, but I don't -- in this courtroom --
MR. BROWN: That is correct, Your Honor, but --
3

4 THE COURT: -- has proven that.
MR. BROWN: That's correct. But in considering the
5

6 motion to dismiss one of the issues is, will they be able
to prove it, and we believe we will.
7

8 Now, what we have alleged, Your Honor, which must be

9 accepted as true, regardless of Judge Totenberg's

10 decision, it must be accepted as true that these systems

11 are fundamentally unreliable, period. That has to be
accepted as a fact for right now.
12

13 Number two, we have given illustrations and alleged
of particular instances in which these machines have,
14

15 throughout the state, malfunctioned.
Three, we have shown the results of these
16

17 malfunctions in an unexplainable pattern of voting in

18 which we will present expert testimony saying that this
cannot be explained except in terms of machine
19

20 malfunction, a statewide systemic machine malfunction.
And it is not just simply the under-vote that Mr. Lindsey
21

22 tries to calculate. It's that the entire voting system
was flawed. And so, the votes for Mr. Duncan, the votes
23

24 for Ms. Amico, that entire election was flawed. And

25 that's what we allege, and we're entitled to be able to

1 prove it. And so, under those facts, we believe that
2 we're entitled. We have -- there -- we have alleged evidence, Your Honor, of the -- this particular race not
3
4 being on the electronic screen, of the screen flipping between one and the other.
5
6 THE COURT: Is that your petition?
MR. BROWN: It is. And instance after instance of
7
8 this that we have alleged, and we're entitled to prove
9 that, and we believe we will. And so, we have the -- we
10 have alleged a fundamental unreliability of these
11 machines. We have alleged specific incidences that can only be explained by a malfunctioning system that was
12
13 statewide that can -- and from that, to borrow the language from the Supreme Court in the Taggart case, from
14
15 which we believe, Your Honor may infer, you don't have to but we believe we will be persuasive that you can infer a
16
17 much broader problem.
18 And we also believe that as one illustration of the problem is the under-vote, the totally unexplained
19
20 difference between the electronic vote totals and the paper vote totals.
21
22 The defendant's offer -- this is not an evidentiary hearing, but they have not offered any plausible
23
24 explanation for why simply by the mode of electing, there
25 would ever be such a dramatic difference in the drop-off

1 rate.

2 And the -- what that shows are not that that particular margin is wrong, but that the entire race is

3

4 wrong. It's like -- there's that old phrase is the clock that struck 13, Your Honor. And when a clock strikes 13,

5

6 not only do you know it's not 13 o'clock, but you doubt every single chime that has come before it because the

7

8 clock isn't working.

9 And the under-vote is the clock that struck 13. And

10 we would -- we would say that for all those reasons we

11 state a claim for relief, and that in -- in no case held has a motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim in

12

13 election case been sustained and it certainly should not be the case in this case. Thank you, Your Honor.

14

15 MR. LINDSEY: If I may, Your Honor, please.
THE COURT: (inaudible)

16

17 MR. LINDSEY: The issue in this case is not an

18 allegation of misconduct by anyone advocating for or against a particular candidate. The allegation in this

19

20 case is that votes were not -- people were not able to cast votes, and votes were not properly counted. The case

21

22 in which Mr. Brown relies upon and cited to you repeatedly with the Stiles vs. Earnest -- Earnest who was the

23

24 election superintendent, 252-Georgia-260.

25 That particular case, Your Honor, had nothing to do

1 with whether or not votes were properly counted, or the
2 machines were operating properly. Instead, it had to do with allegations of misconduct by advocates for a
3 referendum. Totally different situation.
4 In our situation, Your Honor, in which we are dealing
5 with whether or not votes were properly counted. The cases that we have cited to you and I'll cite to you once
6 again, the Fuller vs. Thomas case, a Georgia Supreme Court
7 case, 284-Georgia-397, in which the Court held, when the
8 focus is on improperly cast ballots or irregularities in
9 the conduct of the election, the number of legal or irregular ballots necessary to cast doubt on an election
10 is derived by taking the difference between the total votes cast in the election and erase that issue and adding
11 the margin of victory in the race issue.
12 In other words, you've got to show -- to begin with,
13 you have to allege that any irregularities in terms of the
14 machines or anyone counting the votes would have an impact on the margin of victory in which case -- in this case,
15 like I stated earlier, with over 123,000 votes.
16 The fact of the matter is within the four corners of
17 the plaintiff's petition, nowhere, nowhere do they allege that these irregularities closed -- were -- resulted in
18 the number of votes that were improperly cast or not
19 properly counted were greater than 123,000 votes.

1 That's kind of the fundamental first step that they
2 must allege in order to have a case move forward. When you then add to that the public records that I've asked
3
4 you to take judicial notice of, that Mr. Brown has not disputed and I walked through so tediously, it
5
6 demonstrates that even in the worst-case scenario that were alleged in the Petition, he falls -- the votes fall
7
8 short of the margin of victory.
9 And for that reason, in this particular case we ask
10 for you to grant our motion to dismiss. Thank you.
11 THE COURT: (inaudible)
MR. RUSSO: Very brief, Your Honor. Just cover three
12
13 quick points quickly, Your Honor. First of all, the allegations here regarding the -- the only -- I should
14
15 say, the only type of election contest where you're not required to put forward specific evidence or pleading
16
17 regarding a number is in 524(c) when the error of counting
18 the votes is alleged as a ground of contest.
There's a specific provision that says you're not
19
20 required to show evidence to substantiate the allegation. You -- you're not required in that one type of contest.
21
22 That is not this type of contest.
Mr. Brown has, secondly, has alluded to the idea that
23
24 the lieutenant governor's race did not appear on some
25 ballots. If you look at both Mead and Howell, you'll see

1 cases where you had to plead and show the number of
2 ballots where the name of the candidate did not appear.
That's one of the allegations that the plaintiffs
3
4 have not pled that it did not appear on at least 123,000
voting machines along the way. Mr. Brown also continues
5
6 to insist that there's no other valid explanation when any
number of ballot explanations may exist.
7
8 And as we discussed before, the -- Mr. Brown and the
9 plaintiffs may not rely on mere speculation. They have to
10 plead with specificity in their petition that the outcome
11 would have changed or that the irregularities were
sufficient to place the result in doubt. Thank you.
12
13 THE COURT: This is so close. You know, it was
presumed, I'm waiting for -- is that the election returned
14
15 the bath. Okay? Now, the part of your job is above the -
- Your job, Counsel, -- of placing doubt on the results of
16
17 the election.
18 And that's done usually by numbers, through math.
And the math is against you. You have chosen not to
19
20 allege any math in your petition, okay. You have alleged
-- I had it somewhere in front of me, but anyway, you have
21
22 alleged that the result would be different or is in
substantial doubt. But I haven't found it.
23
24 MR. BELINFANTE: Yes, Your Honor.
25 THE COURT: I forgot the exact language. I had it,

1 but I've lost it. All right, yeah. The -- the -- to
2 place in doubt the result of the entire election, okay.
The numbers aren't weighty, okay. But you've got some
3
4 generalized arguments about the systemic failure of the
whole system that might -- that might cast -- which makes
5
6 the math an issue.
It's a huge burden for you to carry, but the
7
8 petition, it's sufficient to have a try. I -- I hate to
9 do that, but it's -- it's -- if we have to take the four
10 corners of the petition, it really doesn't matter if it's
11 badly pled. I'm not saying it's badly pled. You didn't
plead numbers.
12
13 But the cover clearly says, "under F of -- of 524,
that the form -- form of process is not material. It's --
14
15 it's -- the election contests are interesting. There was
kind of different than we've seen on things. It's a very
16
17 close question, sir.
18 It is a very close question, and -- and -- and you
need to be aware I don't do well with inflammatory stuff.
19
20 I don't get inflamed by attention -- and -- and what other
judges do is not -- okay. So, understand you've got two
21
22 rules.
I am going to deny the motion to dismiss. I -- I --
23
24 it's extremely close, but on the kind of pleadings, I'm
25 looking for originals in that cover section about, you

1 know, don't worry about form and so on and so forth. I'm
2 going to let it go forward, but the plain numbers are
against you. So, you -- you've got some -- an uphill
3
4 battle.
MR. BELINFANTE: Okay then. Thank you, Your Honor.
5
6 THE COURT: Can you take a moment, drop a line -- and
-- and --
7
8 MR. BELINFANTE: Yes, Your Honor.
9 THE COURT: You all know where I am because you've
10 been emailing me, so send it to me, okay. I can't
11 download a pack. Don't ask me why. I am supposed to be
retired next year.
12
13 MR. RUSSO: Your Honor, would you like us to submit a
joint motion?
14
15 THE COURT: What?
MR. RUSSO: Would you like us to submit one motion --
16
17 I mean, one order, one proposed order?
18 THE COURT: You could even do one proposed order, or
if you can agree on it or you can do them separately about
19
20 that.
MR. BELINFANTE: I think we'll probably --
21
22 THE COURT: I'm fixing to go into two full weeks of
work, so you'll be able to get -- okay. What else do we
23
24 have from the defendant?
25 MR. BELINFANTE: Your Honor, we have -- we have two

1 motions that are --

2 THE COURT: Well, no, I know what -- what you have.
MR. BELINFANTE: Oh, I'm sorry.

3

4 THE COURT: I don't think I read all this stuff,
okay? Okay?

5

6 MR. RUSSO: Your Honor, for the Secretary of State,
this is joined by the other defendants, I believe.

7

8 THE COURT: Is this on the two constitution --

9 MR. BELINFANTE: On the two constitutional --

10 THE COURT: We have two constitutional claims and

11 then we'll do your motions. And I've got those too; don't
worry about it. All right. Go ahead.

12

13 MR. BELINFANTE: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. I'm
going to address just the two counts. The one for due

14

15 process and the right being identified there is the right
to vote. The second is the Equal Protection Clause.

16

17 Now there's -- we'll rest largely on the briefs in

18 terms of sovereign immunity, but the point to take from it
is that the Equal Protection Clause and the due process

19

20 clause are completely contingent upon what Your Honor
rules on the underlying election challenge, count one.

21

22 Their briefs make that plain. The relief they seek,
it's in paragraph 71 and 75, is the right to vote in a new

23

24 election for lieutenant governor, not any other future

25 one. And in 72 and 76, it says that it would be remedied

1 by holding a new election.

2 So, if the Court at the conclusion of the evidence, or at least at the conclusion of the plaintiff's case,

3

4 decides that there is no basis to go forward, the constitutional claims equally fail. They -- they rise and

5

6 fall together.
So, the question before you on the motion to dismiss

7

8 is have they alleged sufficient facts to state a claim for

9 due process and for equal protection, and Your Honor, the

10 answer is simply no, based on the Favorito decision from

11 the Supreme Court of Georgia in 2009. I have a copy of it, if the Court would like, and provide one to opposing

12

13 counsel as well.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, sir.

14

15 MR. BELINFANTE: And I'll be referring to Favorito throughout the argument. The question on the due process

16

17 claim is what is the standard of review. Is it strict

18 scrutiny, is it rational basis?
And Favorito answers that question in the latter. It

19

20 is a rational basis test. It says on page 796, quoting a decision from the 11th Circuit, Wexler vs. Anderson, that

21

22 when a state election law provision imposes only reasonable non-discriminatory restrictions upon the 1st

23

24 and 14th Amendment rights of voters, the state's important

25 regulatory interests are generally sufficient.

1 Using the word "reasonable" the Supreme Court noted
2 and applied a rational basis test. And, in fact, it goes on to say that there is a rational reason to use DRE
3
4 machines on the same page.
It says, "it is the job of democratically-elected
5
6 representatives to weigh the pros and cons of the various balloting systems. So long as their choice is reasonable
7
8 and neutral, it is free from judicial second guessing,"
9 once again confirming that we're in a rational basis test
10 review.
11 And now using -- applying that standard, looking at the due process claim, which is paragraph 62 to 72, count
12
13 two, in the complaint. The defendants do not disagree that the right to vote is fundamental. The disagreement
14
15 is that they have not alleged a burden for which there is not a rational basis to use the DRE machines.
16
17 And to look -- to see that you need only look at
18 paragraph 69 of the complaint. There the plaintiffs or the petitioners allege that using defective DRE machines
19
20 in a flawed and inaccurate voter registration and electronic poll book system, defendants have knowingly and
21
22 severely burdened and infringed upon the fundamental right to vote.
23
24 Now you compare that to what the Supreme Court
25 described as the cause of action in Favorito. This is at

1 page 795 and 796 of that case in the Georgia reports.

2 There the Supreme Court described the petitioner's claims as -- in three counts of their complaint, appellants

3

4 allege that this state's use of the DRE equipment denies them equal protection under the federal and state

5

6 constitutions, and the fundamental right to vote under the due process clause of the 14th Amendment.

7

8 Their claims are virtually identical. And so, then

9 applying the rational basis test that Favorito did, the

10 law is clear. There is a rational basis to use the DRE

11 machines. And in the case, it's important that the Court citing the Weber vs. Shelley decision from the 9th Circuit

12

13 in 2003, acknowledged all the risks that are contained in the petitioners' claims now.

14

15 The court said in Favorito, again citing Weber, page 797, "no balloting system is perfect." Traditional paper

16

17 ballots, as became evident during the 2000 presidential

18 election, are prone to over-votes, under-votes, hanging chads, and other mechanical and human errors that may

19

20 thwart voter intent.
Meanwhile, touchscreen voting systems remedy a number

21

22 of these problems, albeit at the hypothetical price of vulnerability to certain types of fraud. And it's the job

23

24 the Court continued to say of the legislature to decide

25 which of those two risks it is going to use.

1 In fact, the Court says expressly, it's the job of
2 democratically-elected representatives to weigh the pros and cons. And so long as their choice is reasonable and
3
4 neutral, it's free from second guessing.
5 And then it goes on to really put the nail in the
6 coffin here, "in this instance Georgia made a reasonable politically neutral and nondiscriminatory choice to
7
8 certify touchscreen systems as an alternative to paper
9 ballots." Nothing in the Constitution prohibits this
10 choice.
11 That forecloses the due-process argument, because despite however it can be dressed up, it is an attack on
12
13 the DRE machines themselves. The DRE machines were attacked in 2006, and by the time they got to the Supreme
14
15 Court in 2009, the Court said it is insufficient.
16 The legislature is entitled to choose the DRE
17 machines understanding and knowing all of the risks that
18 they claim, it is still insufficient to state a claim under due process. If you then look to equal protection,
19
20 Favorito blocks the claims again.
21 Once again, the allegations are identical from what
22 the plaintiffs allege or the petitioners here and what they alleged in Favorito. Paragraph 74 of this complaint
23
24 says, "defendants knowingly treated electors who voted by
25 DRE differently than others similarly situated electors

1 voting in the same election in a jurisdiction who voted by
2 absentee ballot."
In other words, if you vote absentee you can do it
3
4 with a piece of paper. If you vote in person you use a
DRE machine and that is the distinction that they claim
5
6 sets up the Equal Protection Clause. They make that clear
on pages 43 and 44 of their response to the motion to
7
8 dismiss.
9 A state election regulation, which they go on to
10 cite, which discriminates on its face between two classes
11 of people, namely voters who vote in person and voters who
vote by absentee mail.
12
13 Now you then look at the Favorito and the court's
description of the argument there and it was the
14
15 appellants argued that electronic voters are treated
differently from voters who cast absentee ballots on paper
16
17 and the procedure for an accuracy of any recount would
18 differ. The claims are simply identical.
And so, the holding of Favorito is absolutely
19
20 dispositive. And this is at page 798. What the court
effectively says is, a voter can choose. You can vote by
21
22 absentee. We have absentee for any reason in this state,
and if you have a concern that the DRE machine is flawed,
23
24 then by all means, vote absentee.
25 It is not a distinction that the state mandates,

1 which is what is required under equal protection claim.
2 The Court specifically says in Favorito, that "as the trial court found, Georgia voters have an option."
3
4 And then it continues, if the trial court correctly concluded that quote "since every Georgia citizen could
5
6 vote either by absentee ballot or by utilizing touchscreen voting systems," appellants contention that there is some
7
8 state-based classification between voters is false.
9 And it continues then to say, "we cannot see how
10 Georgia has violated the Equal Protection Clause of the
11 14th Amendment by making these two available pacts. The allegations are the same. The case is directly en pointe
12
13 and Equal Protection and Due-Process Clause should be dismissed. Thank you.
14
15 THE COURT: Anybody else for the defendant on that issue?
16
17 MR. TYSON: We'll just join the Secretary of State's
18 argument on that point.
THE COURT: Okay.
19
20 MS. BURWELL: We join in their argument as well, Your Honor. Thank you.
21
22 MR. TYSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
MR. BROWN: In their motion to dismiss the federal
23
24 constitutional claims, first Mr. Belinfante's description
25 of how this claim will interact with the other's claims is

1 substantially correct, and that is, what we are -- what
2 the plaintiffs are alleging is that with any new election going forward prospectively should be conducted on -- not
3
4 on these machines, because doing so would be a violation of due process and equal protection.
5
6 So that's the -- sort of the procedural posture of how this claim is seeking prospective relief. Second, the
7
8 defendants rely exclusively on the Favorito and Wexler
9 decisions. The Favorito and Wexler decisions are
10 fundamentally distinguishable because Favorito dealt with
11 a 2006-era DRE system.
What's -- what has happened and what we allege in our
12
13 complaint all are real events in real life that have changed the system, that make it fundamentally different
14
15 than the system that was being reviewed by the courts in the -- the -- the -- the Favorito case.
16
17 And as we allege, Your Honor, since the Favorito
18 case, every single government agency that is responsible for national security has told the State of Georgia that
19
20 the current DRE system is fundamentally insecure.
The House Select Committee, the Senate Select
21
22 Committee, the Department of Homeland Protection. In addition, every known computer scientist on record has
23
24 said exactly the same thing and has said to Georgia, these
25 are unreliable.

1 They can't be trusted to count votes correctly. That
2 comes from every single source and there is no evidence contrary, Your Honor. And this comes from slews of
3
4 scientists, and it culminated in a report by the National Academy of Sciences that came out just this September, and
5
6 this is called a Consensus Report, which means that it's a unanimous of the scientists at the National Academy of
7
8 Sciences have collected to consider this exact issue.
9 And that is, are these -- is the system that Georgia
10 is now using secure enough to be relied upon from -- from
11 attack domestic and foreign. And the National Academy of Sciences in September said, don't use these machines
12
13 anymore. Don't even use them for the November election, which Georgia did anyway.
14
15 And so, the -- the environment is different, Your Honor. The national security concerns are different. In
16
17 2006, the -- the internet system was not getting bombarded
18 daily by nation states. The -- the system hasn't -- the -- the -- the malware environment is totally different.
19
20 We do not allege that the system right out of the box is flawed, although it's flawed in that it doesn't have a
21
22 paper backup, but that's not the nature of it. The nature of it is is that the way Georgia has not maintained the
23
24 system, coupled with the external threat, make it a system
25 -- and if you use it, you're violating the constitutional

1 rights of voters because it is fundamentally unreliable.

2 And the right to vote is nothing if their votes are not counted correctly. And in this system, you never know

3

4 if your votes are being collected, counted correctly or not. We will never know, because once a voter makes that

5

6 transient decision as to who to vote for, that record is lost forever.

7

8 We will never know, and this is why the courts who

9 have recently looked at it have concluded that using those

10 machines is substantially likely to violate due process

11 rights and equal production rights of Georgia voters. And there's no case to the contrary, Your Honor, is

12

13 that the cases now hold our way on those issues. And what the defendants do not address -- they -- they address a

14

15 sort of hypothetical complaint that was maybe filed 15 years ago.

16

17 They do not address these allegations. They don't

18 address the fundamental problems. They also don't address an entirely separate issue and that is that, as we alleged

19

20 in the complaint, the State of Georgia left this system -- we like to say, left this system out in the rain for six

21

22 months. The Secretary of State, we allege, left the system

23

24 open to the internet, to the public internet, from before

25 the 2016 election until well after, even though it was

1 informed that the system was open. And when it did so, it
2 -- it left itself open to be infected by any virus, by any hacker whether it's someone on their sofa in New Jersey or
3
4 in Peenya or in Russia.
That's what we also allege. And so, we have a system
5
6 that according to the National Academy of Sciences, even without it being left in the rain, is a violation, is so
7
8 fundamentally flawed and vulnerable, it's a violation of
9 constitutional rights.
10 And then to make matters worse, the Secretary of
11 State, even though it knew this was happening, left it exposed to the public internet to be abused and has not
12
13 undertaken any sort of forensic examination to determine whether or not it's been actually infected with malware as
14
15 the results of this recent election would suggest that it has.
16
17 And so, we have established that -- that we have
18 alleged the allegations necessary.
THE COURT: -- it's a question of what you allege.
19
20 MR. BROWN: That's exactly right, Your Honor. I misspoke. We have alleged --
21
22 THE COURT: -- what you have alleged.
MR. BROWN: We have alleged all the elements of the
23
24 claim, and therefore that motion should be dismissed, or
25 it could be revisited in a motion for summary judgment or

1 further motions after the election contest issue is
2 resolved. Thank you, Your Honor.
MR. BELINFANTE: Thank you, Your Honor. I'll be
3
4 brief.
On the subsident due process claim, everything,
5
6 everything that Mr. Brown just talked about was raised in
the Favorito decision and cited. And in the long footnote
7
8 that cites to the Weber case, the court adopts that no
9 balloting system is perfect.
10 And in specifically again talking about touchscreen
11 voting systems, it says that the DRE machines do not leave
Georgia voters without protection from fraud or any means
12
13 of verifying a vote or a way to audit the recount. The
unfortunate reality is that the possibility of electoral
14
15 fraud can never be completely eliminated, no matter which
type of ballot is used.
16
17 Even assuming that none of the advantages of the
18 touchscreen systems over traditional methods would be
sacrificed if voter-verified paper ballots were added to
19
20 the touchscreen system. And it talks about the choice
that the legislature makes and makes very clear nothing in
21
22 the constitution prohibits this choice.
The legislature is presumed to be aware of all of the
23
24 things that Mr. Brown talks about, and I'll get to that in
25 a second. And yet that choice cannot be second guessed by

1 the judiciary under the guides of a due process claim.

2 Now let's talk specifically about what the complaint alleges, because that's what we're here to discuss.

3

4 Nowhere does the complaint that I found cite to any specific inherent flaw with the machines. What it says,

5

6 over and over and over again, is that there are "vulnerabilities," potential vulnerabilities.

7

8 The National Academy of Sciences cites

9 vulnerabilities. It's the Homeland Security, cites

10 vulnerabilities. It's paragraphs 25 through 33, you'll

11 see a litany of that.
That is exactly the type of decision and the risk-

12

13 weighing that the general assembly is entitled to do, and the due process clause does not prevent them from doing.

14

15 Secondly, Mr. Brown tries to distinguish the Favorito case based on statements that are frankly not in the

16

17 record regarding the types of machines. If you look at

18 the complaint, the complaint talks about -- in paragraphs 21 to 23 is where it describes the machines and how

19

20 they're used and so on -- it doesn't say that they've been changed since 2006.

21

22 What he's talking about is that people now have additional concerns about them, but that just brings you

23

24 back to the holding of Favorito, which is that those

25 concerns are properly waived by the legislative branch,

1 not the judicial branch.

2 That also speaks to -- and I don't know that there was a specific response to the equal protection, but

3 certainly Favorito would say and hold here regardless of how it views the machines. As long as voters have a

4 choice; it is not a state-imposed distinction and no equal-protection claim can lie.

5 Thank you, Judge.

6 THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to have to take that,

7 and I'm going to rule on it, and I'm going to do it this

8 morning. I'll send an email. I want to relook at the petition. I am ruled -- ruled by what that petition says

9 this morning on -- on that issue, and I -- I want to look at it again. I've got the issues in my mind about it, and

10 I will look at it, okay.

11 MR. BELINFANTE: Thank you.

12 THE COURT: Anything else for the defendant?

13 MR. RUSSO: No, Your Honor.

14 THE COURT: Okay, then. Plaintiff has some other

15 motion.

16 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. Yes, we have --

17 the -- the main motion that we had, Your Honor, is your November 29 emergency motion for inspection of electronic

18 election equipment and production of documents. To get to

19 the bottom of the causes for the machine malfunctions, we

1 need some discovery, and that's why in November we moved
2 for a right to inspect the equipment under Rule 34 and
91134, which is the --
3
4 THE COURT: What do -- I -- I seek -- don't propose
more of which I'm not going to sign, which is way beyond
5
6 anything I think that I'm required to do or should be
doing. Good many other things, obviously. Tell me a
7
8 little bit more specifically what it is you want. What do
9 you want done?
10 MR. BROWN: Well, we -- the plaintiffs would like
11 discovery of DRE machines to inspect the --
THE COURT: Which ones? Where? When? How? What?
12
13 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, we would like to inspect the
machines that are identified in Exhibit B to our motion.
14
15 And we would be amenable to meeting with the defendants to
determine a reasonable time and space -- place for that
16
17 inspection. And --
18 THE COURT: It's on the three --
MR. BROWN: It's the last two pages of the -- the
19
20 motion and the last two pages of the proposed order.
THE COURT: Yes. I have it now.
21
22 MR. BROWN: And we've identified by -- in -- in many
instances by serial number the machines that we would like
23
24 to inspect. And certainly, by precinct, and as I
25 mentioned would be amenable to sitting down with the

1 defense counsel and coming up with a -- a protocol for the
2 inspection of this equipment that would be -- be orderly and helpful. And --
3
4 THE COURT: And when you -- when you mean inspection, what do you mean, to look at them? Oh, there's a machine.
5
6 Or do you want to do anything to the machine?
MR. BROWN: A full forensic examination to determine
7
8 what's causing these -- these mistakes to happened, Your
9 Honor.
10 THE COURT: What do you mean by a full forensic
11 examination?
MR. BROWN: That would be with computer experts to
12
13 look at the internal memory and programming to see -- to compare the machines to see what possible defects are
14
15 causing these systems to malfunction.
And they would involve comparing, for example -- one
16
17 -- in addition we would like machines that were not used
18 in the -- in the -- in the December 4th runoff elections because the difficulty is if you keep on using these
19
20 machines, they may overwrite the underlying memory. Our -- this case is about the November election, not the
21
22 December election, so we would like to look at November machines that were not used.
23
24 Now some of the ones, some of the hottest and -- and
25 most troublesome machines may have been involved in both;

1 they may have continued to use them. And we may have lost
2 some evidence there; we don't know, but we've identified by serial number and by precinct in Exhibit B the evidence
3
4 that we -- we would like to -- to collect.
And like I said it's -- some logistics are involved.
5
6 We would need the systems to be set up so that they actually run, they can work, so we need -- would need
7
8 internet connection and an AC tower to boot those up.
9 But, again, we would be happy to sit down with the
10 defendants and -- and quickly set up a protocol for doing
11 that.
THE COURT: Have you sent documents, what you -- did
12
13 you --
MR. BROWN: And then in addition, of the documents
14
15 that -- that we were seeking, I mean, we would like, you know, general discovery of documentary evidence relating
16
17 to the programming of the machines and so that the -- the
18 computer scientists can better understand exactly what's going on.
19
20 And we believe that's -- that's reasonable and can be done expeditiously and -- and may answer many important
21
22 questions that are -- that Your Honor has indicated are of interest. And the defendants have not responded to our
23
24 motion. I'm not sure what their position is on it.
25 THE COURT: Well, I just got it a couple days ago.

1 MR. RUSSO: Thank you, Your Honor. I'm Vince Russo
2 for the Secretary of State.
3 The plaintiffs are essentially asking for unfettered
4 access to voting equipment here in the state of Georgia.
5 The -- we -- the Secretary of State objects to the motion
6 that the plaintiffs have filed in the request. The
7 plaintiffs, for starters, have not alleged irregularities
8 in each of those precincts where the voting machines they
9 would like to inspect are located. So, the machines in
10 those precincts are completely unrelated, as far as we can
11 tell, to their claims, based on the pleadings at least.
12 Additionally, Your Honor, Mr. -- plaintiffs, one of
13 plaintiff's experts, I suppose, Mr. Demila (phonetic),
14 even testified -- he even included in his affidavit that's
15 attached to the second motion that the plaintiffs are --
16 are going to be arguing, but he -- he stated in his
17 affidavit that there's no statistically valid conclusion
18 that can be drawn from a random sample of -- of machines.
19 Your Honor, at the end of the day here, as my
20 colleagues have pointed out repeatedly, the plaintiffs
21 have to show that there are enough votes in question, over
22 123,000 votes that -- that call in doubt the result of the
23 election for valid election contest. The machines that
24 they want to look at are not going to -- they're not going
25 to have the -- they do not have the votes as far as -- as

1 far as we can tell and -- and plaintiffs' counsel hasn't
2 indicated that there were enough votes on those machines to call in doubt the result of the election.
3
4 And as such, Your Honor, we think giving them unfettered access to highly sensitive information about
5
6 the state voting machines when irregularities alleged by the plaintiffs would not change the result of the election
7
8 is unnecessary.
9 Additionally, Your Honor, in the filing that the
10 plaintiffs made to -- excuse me, that the Secretary of
11 State made this morning, which was regarding the proffer of evidence, Mr. Demila testified in the -- in the
12
13 Totenberg case and the Kerling (phonetic) case that it would take 14,000 hours to examine all of the machines and
14
15 that alone still wouldn't be enough.
And for reference, Your Honor, 14,000 hours is more
16
17 than a year and a half. While the plaintiffs have -- have
18 obviously cut down the scope of the -- of the number of machines they would like to inspect, Your Honor, there's
19
20 -- there's still no -- there's still no facts pled that indicate that the -- the precincts and the machines that
21
22 they want to -- that the plaintiffs want to inspect, would have any effect on the outcome of the election or that
23
24 there are any irregularities in those precincts. So, we
25 would ask that you deny this motion. Thank you.

1 Oh, I'm sorry. We have not -- we will be filing this
2 today.
THE COURT: You are.
3
4 MR. RUSSO: I notice proffer of evidence by Secretary
of State, which includes the transcript that I just
5
6 referenced from the testimony of their expert in the -- in
the federal case.
7
8 And I don't know if my colleagues have anything else.
9 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, just briefly on behalf of
10 Gwinnett County. For Gwinnett, we will do obviously
11 whatever the Court directs us to do in terms of making
machines available.
12
13 Our only concern is from a practical perspective.
Obviously, this is voting equipment that is kept in a very
14
15 sensitive -- and lock and key. We keep these machines
under seal with numbered seals and audit trail to
16
17 determine we know who has access to the machines.
18 And we would just want to be absolutely certain that
whatever access is occurring is done in a way in
19
20 coordination with the Secretary of State's office and
others who are involved with this to make sure that
21
22 nothing is altered with the machines themselves.
Gwinnett owns a mix. We have a mix of machines
23
24 partially owned by the State and partially owned by the
25 county, and we will work to determine the specific serial

1 numbers and who owns those machines. But that -- we are
2 ready to do whatever the Court directs us to do, but we just have those security concerns to be sure that nothing
3 with the machines themselves is being altered and that there's enough security regarding the -- this inspection
5 that the plaintiffs are proposing.
6 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, if I may be heard briefly.
7 THE COURT: -- is this the original?
8 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, we're going to electronically
9 file it for --
10 THE COURT: I don't have one given to me. So, this is mine?
11 MR. TYSON: That's your copy.
12 MR. BELINFANTE: Which I have provided one to
13 opposing counsel and --
14 THE COURT: Okay. Right here.
15 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, if I may be heard very
16 briefly.
17 THE COURT: Sure.
18 MR. LINDSEY: The petitioner's request, I believe, is irrelevant to the outcome of this case as you -- based on
19 your ruling regarding our motion to dismiss in which you made very clear that the -- ultimately, the plaintiffs
20 must show that there were enough irregularities, neither
21 the votes counted improperly or votes not counted to

1 amount to 123,000 votes. The petitioner is seeking how
2 many -- how many exact number of -- number of machines?
MR. BROWN: You'd have to ask them.
3
4 MR. LINDSEY: A dozen or so machines? Your Honor,
that's not going to amount to 123,000. Their -- their
5
6 comeback to our specific numbers that we raise was --
well, we raised a general allegation that system wide
7
8 there were failures that resulted in the whole election
9 being cast in doubt.
10 If that's their allegation, Your Honor, merely
11 inspecting a dozen or so machines are not going to prove
or disprove that. And so, for that reason, Your Honor, we
12
13 would oppose their motion.
THE COURT: (inaudible)
14
15 MS. BURWELL: On behalf of Fulton County, Your Honor,
their list attached to their motion included counties
16
17 obviously outside of Fulton County. We don't take a
18 position with respect to those others.
With respect to Fulton County, we would point out to
19
20 the Court that the complaint only addresses two precincts
in Fulton County where they're alleging there were any
21
22 irregularities, yet their request for the review of
machines seeks far more than just those two precincts.
23
24 And so given the limited amount of time, we would
25 request that the Court is going to allow them some leeway

1 in doing some of this discovery that it not be as far-
2 reaching as they were requesting and instead that the Court be very tailored in terms of what they have actually
3
4 alleged in getting to the allegations rather than just a fishing expedition.
5
6 THE COURT: (inaudible)
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. First, Your
7
8 Honor, we have -- we have alleged irregularities in every
9 precinct, not just Grady, not just some other.
10 We've -- our allegations cover a systemic statewide
11 problem with these electronic voting machine, and we've only used as illustration some evidence that we have found
12
13 in particular precincts here and there, but those are just illustrations. Our allegations cover every single one.
14
15 Second, as to the suggestion that this discovery is unlikely to come up with anything that will allow us to
16
17 carry our burden of proof. That's just fundamentally
18 incorrect. We are also seeking discovery of the GEMS databases.
19
20 THE COURT: The who?
MR. BROWN: The GEMS is called -- it's an acronym,
21
22 GEMS, which is an acronym for the -- for the servers that collect the data, and so if there is a flaw in that it's
23
24 going to cover more than just the actual machines.
25 THE COURT: -- but that's not what you told me

1 before.

2 MR. BROWN: Yes. My -- the way I was --
THE COURT: That wasn't what you said when I came.
3

4 MR. BROWN: Well, the exhibit that I was referencing
covers DRE machines and also covers the GEMS databases
5

6 that are county specific and these databases cover more --
I mean, they are not just one by one. In addition, Your
7

8 Honor, but really much more fundamentally is that if there

9 is a programming --

10 THE COURT: Get back to the GEMS, because I'm not

11 understanding what you're saying.
MR. BROWN: The GEMS database is -- each county has a
12

13 GEMS --
THE COURT: Each county has an individual one.
14

15 MR. BROWN: Individual one.
THE COURT: Okay.
16

17 MR. BROWN: And then the Secretary of State has a

18 system that tabulates all of those. And so there could be
a programming flaw anywhere in the system. One of the
19

20 things that the data -- and this is the public information
that's available that Mr. Lindsey referred to, is that the
21

22 pattern oddly was found in every single county under votes
for the lieutenant governor's race, which no one had ever
23

24 seen before historically ever, and it comes up in every

25 single county.

1 And so that it is possible, I won't say likely, but
2 possible that there is a single or several programming mistakes, which have identified, will indicate that
3
4 there's the system wide failure.
But actually, more fundamentally, Your Honor, we'll
5
6 understand this quickly is that what the defendants are sort of analogizing this too is if we're hunting for
7
8 illegal paper ballots, like these cases. As if we're
9 looking for ballots in which Amico's name was misspelled,
10 like the Mead case. Or another case in which there's
11 something wrong with a hard copy of a ballot. And we can get that ballot and we can count them up and we can count
12
13 it up to 124,000 and therefore possibly win.
That's not this case. Because those ballots are gone
14
15 and because of the way the State has chosen to conduct these elections, that record is lost forever.
16
17 Instead what we have is the electronic memory and the
18 programming that drove the way these votes were counted, we think they were counted wrong. And so, we're entitled
19
20 to discovery, we believe, and we think it will be helpful and not necessarily that burdensome.
21
22 It's interesting that they now cite to the federal case for the declaration of Dr. Demila. Indeed, he said
23
24 if you had to look at every single machine and do a
25 forensic examination of every single machine it would have

1 took -- it would take a long time.

2 They haven't done it. We do not plan to do that. There's not time or resources to do that. Instead, this

3

4 will be a targeted examination to see if evidence of a systemic programming error either in the DRE machines

5

6 themselves or the databases have caused these problems. Thank you, Your Honor.

7

8 THE COURT: I have problems with words like "there

9 could be a flaw" or "there's a possible programming

10 mistake." And what you're really asking for in this

11 discovery and fishing expedition, and I'm not going to give it to you till - left, okay. I am going to -- I am

12

13 going to the GEMS are for each county?
MR. TYSON: Yes, Your Honor.

14

15 THE COURT: Okay.
MR. RUSSO: Your Honor, the date of the GEMS is

16

17 public -- is public record --

18 THE COURT: Even if it -- were it --
MR. RUSSO: Is highly confidential and the means

19

20 accessing --
THE COURT: Pardon.

21

22 MR. RUSSO: I said the source code and the means of accessing the GEMS, of course, is highly confidential, but

23

24 the data from the GEMS is public record.

25 THE COURT: I am going to allow you to look at the

1 GEMS in Fulton and in Gwinnett, okay. So -- will have to
2 access it. He's not to get the confidential access. And then he can look at. If it's public record, and you can
3
4 show him where the public record is, of course you can look at it, but record and you can show him where the
5
6 public record is.
Of course, you can look at all of them, okay. And it
7
8 that's up to you all to work out with and I'm going to let
9 him do it for those two counties because those are the two
10 counties that are a part of this.
11 He's got -- the only allegation he's got -- he's got an allegation in McDonough, he's -- DeKalb and what
12
13 county? Because DeKalb's not here. He's got one in Worth County. He's got Grady High School, -- Library, and then
14
15 Allen Temple A.M.E. are the ones that he's alleging were errors.
16
17 And I'm going to let him look at those, okay. It's
18 got to be supervised by somebody, okay. In the sense of -- somebody has to watch whatever he supposedly does so
19
20 there's no fundamental damage to the system in any way. Needs to be really clear. Got it?
21
22 MR. RUSSO: Your Honor, I mean, we would need to have some kind of confidentiality order for agreement,
23
24 obviously. There's -- when -- when his folks have access
25 to the machines, we don't -- I mean we can watch what

1 they're doing. We don't know what they're actually doing
2 and with the data in the -- the -- the computer technology.
3
4 THE COURT: -- any sense. You're not -- you're not going to do it yourself --
5
6 MR. RUSSO: What?
THE COURT: Like I said, if anything on those few
7
8 machines, anything is done to damage them in any way,
9 there will be some absolutely serious ramifications.
10 MR. RUSSO: Okay.
11 THE COURT: And that needs to be fully understood.
MR. RUSSO: Your -- Your Honor, I guess would -- we
12
13 would also want just clarification around what it is exactly -- we've mentioned that there's data machines that
14
15 we can pull --
THE COURT: (inaudible)
16
17 MR. RUSSO: We can pull the data from the GEMS
18 machines to give to them. If that's the extent of it, that's one thing.
19
20 THE COURT: What is it that you want to do on the individual machines?
21
22 MR. BROWN: We want to examine the internal memory, Your Honor, to determine how the program --
23
24 THE COURT: How do you examine the internal memory?
25 MR. BROWN: You do -- I -- our -- our experts will be

1 examining the internal memory to see if there are
2 programming flaws in the DRE machines that are causing these problems.
3
4 THE COURT: I got you. For programming flaws only?
MR. BROWN: Pardon me.
5
6 THE COURT: For programming flaws.
MR. BROWN: For programming flaws, yes, Your Honor.
7
8 THE COURT: See if they were programmed wrong, okay.
9 Simple language, okay.
10 MR. RUSSO: Okay. Not entirely sure what it means
11 when they get access to what they're looking at.
THE COURT: (inaudible)
12
13 MR. BROWN: I understand.
THE COURT: That these cases are supposed to be
14
15 disposed of fast, and I'm not going to give continuances. If -- if you would like to work together to do that, if
16
17 you run up on a stumbling block, you can always do it by
18 emails to me and I will email you back.
MR. RUSSO: As you said it would be confidential or
19
20 not be subject to --
THE COURT: (inaudible)
21
22 MR. RUSSO: -- because disclosure that's obviously important for our --
23
24 THE COURT: Once again your people would have to set
25 it up, where he can look at it. If he's just looking at

1 the results of it then, if you know how to show him
2 online, he can get it all in the mistakes, okay.
3 MR. RUSSO: Yeah, we'll be happy to let him see the
4 results of the election.
5 THE COURT: Let him see the results of the state, but
6 at that time --
7 MR. RUSSO: Yes.
8 THE COURT: -- all the counties involved and these
9 five places that he has indicated.
10 MR. RUSSO: Okay.
11 THE COURT: Okay.
12 MR. RUSSO: Thank you.
13 THE COURT: I'll let you submit me the order if you can agree on that. If you can't, let me know. Like I
14 said, I'm -- the hearing is on the 17th ?
15 MR. BROWN: Yes.
16 MR. RUSSO: I think, Your Honor.
17 THE COURT: I'm -- I'm here but I sleep occasionally, but apart from that time --
18 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, let me -- I want to recap what, Your Honor, has suggested just so we're very clear
19 on -- on this --
20 THE COURT: You want to do it so that you can expand
21 what I just said. Come on, I know that. Is this what you
22 said, Judge? Yeah. I know that but go ahead.
23
24
25

1 MR. BROWN: Didn't you say you favored widespread and
2 far-reaching discovery? No, I'm joking, but --
THE COURT: Okay.
3
4 MR. BROWN: Two counties -- limited to two counties?
THE COURT: Two counties. Gwinnett -- go ahead.
5
6 MR. BROWN: Gwinnett and Fulton. In the five.
THE COURT: In the five -- Henry County and
7
8 McDonough. It doesn't say specifically that one was.
9 Fulton, Allen County AME -- and Grady High School.
10 MR. BROWN: Okay. Yes, Your Honor.
11 THE COURT: That's what's alleged on the petition.
MR. BROWN: And that would be examination of the
12
13 machines under the conditions that you've described. In addition, the county servers -- that the county GEMS
14
15 servers under the instructions that you gave.
THE COURT: -- and if there's a way to get that
16
17 information if it is public record, then you can get it in
18 public record.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. At present the
19
20 trial is scheduled -- for the trial -- not at present. The trial is scheduled for the 17th --
21
22 THE COURT: Correct.
MR. BROWN: -- of -- would it make sense to postpone
23
24 that --
25 THE COURT: No, nothing postponed.

1 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

2 THE COURT: The rules are -- in fact I'm getting some pressure as to why this isn't going through faster than
3

4 it's been. It needs to be done; it needs to be completed; it needs to be over. I'm not going to move it.
5

6 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: And what I've allowed you to do on those
7

8 things can be done before that date.

9 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

10 THE COURT: If -- if you put your mind to it. Okay?

11 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Judge.
THE COURT: Okay, anything else? You had a motion
12

13 about -- but I guess that's moot.
MR. BROWN: That's moot.
14

15 THE COURT: Okay, anything else?
MR. RUSSO: No, Your Honor.
16

17 THE COURT: Okay.

18 MR. LINDSEY: Just to move the case along quickly and I'm going to stipulate to plaintiff's counsel be in
19

20 agreement -- just taking judicial notice so we don't have to bring somebody in to show the certified election
21

22 results of all the statewide elections.
THE COURT: Do you have an objection to --
23

24 MR. RUSSO: I assume we don't have any objections?

25 Just to the numbers. Do you have an objection? No,

1 Judge.

2 THE COURT: The numbers that he gave me are the numbers in the register. Do they have an objection?

3

4 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor.
MR. RUSSO: I just want to make that that's clear.

5

6 THE COURT: Okay. You can put those in without having to --

7

8 MR. RUSSO: No, Your Honor.

9 MR. BELINFANTE: We will be doing the same -- we will

10 be using the same numbers here on, Your Honor.

11 THE COURT: Okay. That’s it.
MR. RUSSO: Okay.

12

13 THE COURT: Anything else? Thank you, gentleman.
MR. RUSSO: Thank you.

14

15 MR. BELINFANTE: Thank you, Your Honor.
MR. TYSON: Thank you, Judge.

16

17

18 (Whereupon, the hearing was concluded at 10:34 a.m.)

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 CERTIFICATE

2
STATE OF GEORGIA)
3

4) SS.
COUNTY OF DOUGLAS)
5

6
I, PRISCILLA GARCIA, A COURT REPORTER IN THE STATE OF
7

8 GEORGIA, DO HEREBY STATE THAT THE FOREGOING IS A TRUE AND

9 ACCURATE TRANSCRIPT AS TRANSCRIBED BY ME AT THE TIME,

10 PLACE, AND THE DATE HEREINBEFORE SET FORTH.

11 I DO FURTHER STATE THAT I AM NEITHER A RELATIVE NOR
EMPLOYEE NOR ATTORNEY NOR COUNSEL OF ANY OF THE PARTIES TO
12

13 THIS ACTION, AND THAT I AM NEITHER A RELATIVE NOR EMPLOYEE
OF SUCH ATTORNEY OR COUNSEL, AND THAT I AM NOT FINANCIALLY
14

15 INTERESTED IN THIS ACTION.
WITNESS MY HAND IN THE CITY OF DOUGLASVILLE, COUNTY
16

17 OF DOUGLAS, STATE OF GEORGIA, ON THIS 18th DAY OF JANUARY

18 2019. BY: Priscilla Garcia
19

20 PRISCILLA GARCIA, COURT REPORTER
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF GEORGIA
21

22 COMMISSION NO.: W-00379933
COMMISSION EXPIRES: 08/14/2022
23

24 CERTFICATION NO.: 5503-2677-8304-9216

25 LICENSE EXPIRES: 04/01/2019

1

1 28:8,20, 22 47:13
100 47:17
100-vote 46:24
103,290 43:25
115,691 45:1
11th 64:20
123,000 41:22 43:13 45:15 58:20,25 60:4 80:22 84:1,4
123,000-plus 45:2
123,000-vote 48:1
123,172 13:18 43:13
124,000 87:13
13 57:4,6,9
14,000 81:13,15
14th 64:24 66:6 69:11
15 72:15
157 36:8,10 37:6
159 23:2,10 35:20
17 92:15 93:20
180 37:15
19,882 44:1
1983 10:2
1989 18:22
1998 42:20
1st 64:22

2

2 10:6 15:2 16:2,18 28:9,17 29:6
2- 28:11
2000 66:17
2003 66:13
2004 17:18 27:25
2006 67:13 71:17 75:20
2006-era 70:11
2009 64:11 67:15
2015 19:4
2016 72:25
2018 6:2 13:15
21 15:2 18:24 28:9
21-2-366 39:9
2102 14:11
2122 16:18
212235 28:13
212250 22:2
2125 14:10 28:9
22 6:6,13, 20
220 22:9,10
23 75:18
242- 52:6
25 75:10
252-georgia-260 50:4 57:24
26 39:22
2623-georgia-514 48:4
270-georgia-7 42:20 50:8 51:17
284-georgia-397 51:15 58:9
288-georgia-26 51:25
29 76:22

3

3 10:6 29:6 30:25 47:11,13
3,780,304 43:18
3,883,594 43:17
3,895,955 44:24
301-georgia-27 24:20 25:11
30177 51:11
323-georgia-po427 42:2
324 19:4
33 75:10
34 77:2
35 16:20
378-georgia-532 51:10
38 33:15
380 17:20

4

4 18:24

27:10 28:18 47:13 78:18
42 47:18
43 68:6
44 68:6

5

5 47:13
520 14:11 22:2,4 30:13,25
520-2A 30:17
521-1 47:11
522 30:13
522-2 30:20
524 61:13
524(c) 59:17
53,902 45:13,15

6

6 13:15
62 65:11
69 65:18

7

71 63:22
72 63:25
65:11
74 67:22
75 63:22
76 63:25
795 66:1
796 64:20 66:1
798 68:20

8

8,000 46:2
80,000 46:2
8:59 6:2

9

9 6:2
9/11/15 32:18
98.9% 44:11
99 46:25
9th 66:11

A

A.M.E. 89:15
ability 34:11
absentee 34:10,11 39:2 68:2, 11,15,22, 24 69:6
absolutely 68:18 82:18 90:9
absurd 23:11
abused 73:11
AC 79:8
Academy 71:4,6,11 73:6 75:8
accept 43:18 44:13,18
accepted 49:13 55:9,10,11
access 22:6 80:4 81:4 82:17,18 89:2,24 91:11
accessing 88:20,22
accuracy 68:17
acknowledged 66:13
acronym 85:20,22
Act 18:22 27:10,18
action 35:25 39:15 47:9 65:25
acts 19:2
actual 85:24
Adams 42:2
add 32:6 33:2,15 59:2
added 74:18
adding 37:22 58:13
addition 31:20 36:25 47:8 70:22 78:17 79:13 86:6 93:13
additional 14:15 32:6 75:22
Additionally 80:11 81:9
address 14:17,18, 20 38:11 63:13 72:13,17, 18
addresses 84:20
admitted 51:18
adopts 74:8
advantages

74:17

advocates
58:2

advocating
57:18

affect 46:9

affidavit
80:13,17

afford 30:9

agency 70:18

agree 12:8
35:6 62:18
92:13

agreed 9:22

agreement
89:22
94:20

ahead 15:25
20:22
63:11
92:25 93:4

albeit 66:22

allegation
15:20
30:20 44:4
45:2 47:8
57:18
59:20
84:6,10
89:11

allegations
31:2,6,10,
17,20,22
33:9 36:1
41:4 43:20
44:18
45:24,25
46:4 48:13
49:13
53:18 58:2
59:13 60:2
67:20
69:11
72:17
73:18
85:4,10,13

allege 34:1
46:25 47:4
49:11
53:13,20
55:25
58:17,22
59:2 60:20
65:18 66:4
67:22
70:11,17
71:20
72:22
73:4,18

alleged
17:11
18:8,11
22:24
30:11
33:17,20
35:24 36:4
43:6 47:15
48:13
49:18 53:1
55:8,13
56:2,8,10,
11 59:6,18
60:20,22
64:8 65:15
67:22
72:18
73:18,20,
22 80:6
81:6 85:4,
8 93:11

alleges 75:2

alleging
33:22 43:1
70:2 84:20
89:15

Allen 89:15
93:9

allowed 94:6

alluded
59:22

altered
82:22 83:4

alternative
67:8

AME 93:9

amenable
77:15,25

amend 32:2

amending
32:6

amendment
32:4 33:2
64:24 66:6
69:11

amendments
19:22

Amico 46:6
55:24

Amico's 87:9

amount 84:1,
4,24

analogizing
87:6

analogous
53:2

Anderson
24:20
64:20

anecdotes
32:25

announces
36:22

anonymous
47:24

answers
64:18

anymore
71:13

apologize
20:22 22:8

appeal 32:15
52:13
53:10

appeals
17:18

appears
25:13

appellants 66:2 68:15 69:6

appellate 25:6

applicable 16:18 17:2 28:17 38:2

applied 19:6 65:2

apply 15:20 17:1 18:24

applying 65:11 66:9

approach 24:6,8 51:2

approval 40:18

aren't 13:11

argue 18:22 21:8 24:15

argued 17:11 68:15

arguing 20:18 36:18 40:25 80:15

argument 19:13 21:24 23:8,18,20 26:4,24 29:6 33:11,13 35:15 40:2,8,9 41:18 64:15 67:11 68:13 69:18,20

arguments 13:11 21:18 41:18 61:4

assembly 75:13

assert 44:6, 11

asserted 10:18,24 14:10

asserting 10:20 42:25

assertion 42:18 44:13

asserts 44:4 45:2

assume 94:24

assuming 74:17

attached 24:13 80:15 84:15

attack 67:11 71:11

attacked 67:13

attention 61:20

attorney 23:18,22 24:4 25:1, 2 41:20

audit 74:13 82:15

authority 39:10

authorize 39:11

authorized 14:25 40:17

aware 13:15 20:11 61:18 74:22

B

back 15:9 32:18 33:2 51:4 53:9 75:24 86:10 91:18

backup 71:22

badly 61:11

BAILIFF 8:2

ballot 16:11 17:22 18:11 19:22 27:18,22 34:11 40:15 52:22 60:6 68:2 69:6 74:15 87:11

balloting 65:6 66:15 74:9

ballots 18:13 34:10 36:20 44:10,11, 22 50:20, 22 58:10, 11 59:25 60:2 66:17 67:9 68:15 74:18 87:8,9,13

Banker 51:10

bankruptcy 42:4

based 46:13 48:11 64:10 75:15 80:11

83:20

basically 27:4

basis 20:1,6 28:6 32:1 33:6 48:17 64:4,18,20 65:2,9,15 66:9,10

bath 60:15

battle 62:4

begin 58:15

beginning 21:4

behalf 9:2,6 12:4 33:13 41:20 82:9 84:15

Belinfante 6:15 8:20 14:18 41:17 60:24 62:4,8,20, 25 63:2,9, 13 64:15 74:2 76:15 83:13

Belinfante's 69:24

bench 7:20 24:8

big 42:18

binding 25:22,25

bit 30:13 77:8

block 91:17

blocks 67:20

Board 8:25 16:20,22 19:11 29:22 30:4 32:10 34:4 38:15,25 39:2,10, 11,13,15

Boards 19:9

bombarded 71:17

book 22:4,6 65:20

books 27:8 36:20

boot 79:8

borrow 56:13

bottom 76:25

bound 41:4 53:24,25 54:2,4

box 71:20

brain 12:2

branch 75:25 76:1

brand-new 26:10

breadth 53:18

Brian 24:22

briefly 27:2 82:9 83:6, 18

briefs 63:17,22

bring 12:6 15:18 21:15 22:6 33:22 94:20

brings 75:22

broader 56:17

Brody 32:6

Brown 6:15 8:11 9:13, 22 10:1,6, 10,18,22 13:9,11 21:15 22:4,8,10, 11 23:6 24:13,18 25:9,11,22 26:15,20, 22 29:4, 15,18 35:11,13, 22,24 37:11,25 39:25 48:22 49:4,6,8, 11,15 53:25 54:2,9,11, 13 55:2,4 56:6 57:22 59:4,22 60:4,8 64:13 69:22 73:20,22 74:6,24 75:15 76:20 77:10,13, 18,22 78:6,11 79:13 84:2 85:6,20 86:2,4,11, 15,17 90:22,25 91:4,6,13 92:15,20 93:1,4,6, 10,11,18, 22 94:1,6, 9,11,13

Bruce 8:11 21:15

Bryan 8:22 29:22 46:20

bunch 47:22

burden 54:6, 8,10 61:6

65:15
85:17

burdened
65:22

burdensome
87:20

Burwell 6:8, 11 9:6,9 12:4 33:13 34:17,20, 24 35:2,4, 8 38:13 39:22 40:22 48:18,20 69:20 84:15

C

calculate
55:22

call 80:22 81:2

called 24:20 71:6 85:20

calls 51:18

cameraman
6:13

candidate
27:17,18 30:17,20, 22 45:6 57:18 60:2

candidates
14:4 19:25 27:20

can't 7:20 10:20 27:8 31:18 34:4 35:17

capable
38:17 40:15

capacity
24:22,24 25:13

care 8:2 54:6

careful 7:18

Carr 25:1

Carruthers
8:24

carry 61:6 85:17

case 6:6,9, 10 10:11 11:8 13:20 16:4 17:17,18, 24,25 18:1,2,4, 6,15 19:6 22:25 23:15,17 24:6,11, 13,18,20, 22 25:1,4, 6,8,11,15, 17 26:6,9 27:6,11,25 30:10 31:4,11,18 32:6,8,9, 13 33:1,6 35:4 39:2, 8 42:1,2, 4,20 43:11 44:11,18 47:6 49:17 50:4,6,8, 15 51:2,4, 15,20,22 52:2,6,9, 10,11,18 53:2,8,9 54:22 55:1 56:13 57:11,13, 17,20,25 58:8,9,18 59:2,9 64:2 66:1, 11 69:11 70:15,18 72:11 74:8 75:15 78:20 81:13 82:6 83:20 87:10,13, 22 94:18

cases 27:2 30:15,22 37:13 42:10,15 45:18,22 48:9,11 49:25 50:17,18, 25 51:4,9 53:17 58:6 60:1 72:13 87:8 91:13

cast 18:13 31:2 43:9, 15,17 44:24 45:1,2 47:1 53:4 57:20 58:10,11, 13,24 61:4 68:15 84:9

categories
16:25

caused 88:6

causing
78:8,15 91:2

certainty
48:6 52:15

certified
42:10 43:13 46:1,15,22 94:20

certify 67:8

chads 66:18

challenge
27:13 30:6 31:13 63:20

challenged 30:18

challenges 52:20

challenging 30:22 42:15

Champion 32:8

chance 15:13

change 25:25 47:6 81:6

changed 60:11 70:13 75:20

changing 38:18

chapter 15:2 18:24 22:17,20 27:10

charge 23:25

cheat 38:11

chime 57:6

choice 65:6 67:2,6,10 74:20,22, 25 76:6

choose 34:13 67:15 68:20

chosen 42:11 60:18 87:15

Chris 25:1,2

Circuit 64:20 66:11

cite 42:1 50:17 58:6 68:10 75:4 87:22

cited 27:2 32:13 37:1 40:18 45:22 51:9 57:22 58:6 74:6

cites 74:8 75:8,9

citing 66:11,15

citizen 69:4

citizens 38:20 39:1,2

City 16:22

claim 10:13 12:6,9 13:20 14:22 17:24 32:22 38:4 47:2 48:2, 17 52:4,9, 15 57:11 64:8,17 65:11 67:18 68:4 69:1,25 70:6 73:24 74:4 75:1 76:6

claims 10:2, 6,11,18 11:6,10,18 12:4 14:18,20 29:6 47:18 63:10 64:4 66:2,8,13 67:20 68:18 69:24,25 80:11

clarification 90:13

classes 16:2 68:10

classification 69:8

clause 63:15,18, 20 66:6 68:6 69:10,13 75:13

clean 34:22 35:4

clear 9:15, 22 19:6 23:22 26:6 48:4 53:17 66:10 68:6 74:20 83:22 89:20 92:20

clerk 24:11

client 14:17

clock 57:4, 8,9

close 47:25 60:13 61:17,18, 24

closed 45:13 58:22

co-defendants 13:15

Coalition 6:10 8:6, 13 9:24 10:2 14:22

COBB 6:1

code 15:1 16:11,18 18:24 22:1,17 27:9 28:13,18, 20 32:13 40:17 88:22

coffin 67:6

colleagues 18:18 80:20 82:8

collect 79:4 85:22

collected 71:8 72:4

collection 47:20

comeback 84:6

Commissioners 39:11,13

Committee 70:20,22

common 20:4

commonsense 22:20 23:24

compare 65:24 78:13

comparing 78:15

comparison 30:13

complaining 34:1

complaint 20:15 33:15 38:6 47:18 49:11 65:13,18 66:2 67:22 70:13 72:15,20 75:2,4,18 84:20

completed 94:4

completely 14:2 63:20 74:15 80:10

comply 38:22

computer 22:6 70:22 78:11 79:18 90:2

conceded 36:2

concern 68:22 82:13

concerns 71:15 75:22,25 83:2

concluded 51:13 69:4 72:9

conclusion 64:2 80:17

conditions 93:13

conduct 16:13 17:6 28:11 58:11 87:15

conducted 16:8,13 28:2 30:6 31:1 36:2 70:2

conducting 22:22 40:2,15

conference 7:20

conferences 7:20

confidential 88:18,22 89:2 91:18

confidentiality 89:22

confirmed 14:20

confirming 6:20 65:9

conflicting 47:9

confuse 22:11

confusing 15:17

connection 30:15 79:8

cons 65:6 67:2

Consensus 71:6

constitution 63:8 67:9 74:22

constitutional 11:18 12:9 14:18 19:22 29:6 63:9,10 64:4 69:24 71:25 73:9

constitutions 66:6

constrained 34:6 38:22

construction 19:1,6

construed 20:4

contained 66:13

contention 69:6

contest 9:25 10:4 14:9, 15,22 15:4,6 17:18 18:4,6 19:8 20:8, 24 22:20

23:2,15 24:1 28:4, 6,10,20 30:1,11, 15,20 31:15 32:4 33:8 59:15,18, 20,22 74:1 80:22

contestant 14:8 17:20 18:11 32:8 53:1

contestants 10:2 50:6

contested 10:8 16:4, 6,9 28:11 30:6 31:1

contesting 13:15

contests 14:25 19:24 27:20,22 61:15

context 15:4

contingent 63:20

continuances 91:15

continued 66:24 79:1

continues 60:4 69:4, 9

contrary 71:2 72:11

conversation 7:13

convinced 13:1

coordination 82:20

copy 24:9, 20 64:11 83:13 87:11

Corea 25:2

corners 9:20 20:15 29:11 48:15 58:20 61:10

correct 9:13,25 10:1,6,22 22:15 55:2,4 70:1 93:22

correctly 69:4 71:1 72:2,4

couldn't 32:20

counsel 8:10,13 13:10 25:4 27:4,6,11 60:15 64:13 78:1 81:1 83:15 94:18

counsel's 7:11

count 10:6 12:13,18 28:8,20,22 41:13 50:2,20 52:22 63:20 65:11 71:1 87:11

counted 43:22 45:20 57:20 58:1,6,25 72:2,4 83:25 87:18

counties 19:11 20:9 23:2,10 28:4 31:6, 9,11,18,22 32:20,24, 25 33:4, 20,25 34:15,18 35:20 36:4,8,11, 13 37:2,6 84:15 89:9,10 92:8 93:4

counting 31:4 58:18 59:17

counts 29:6 43:22 63:13 66:2

county 6:1 8:9 9:6,13 12:2,4 16:15,20, 22,24 17:11 18:6,9,15, 18 19:9,10 20:9,10 24:11 28:2 29:4,22 30:4 31:11,17, 20 32:10 33:13,18 34:4,11 35:9 36:4, 15 37:2 38:11,15, 20,22 39:6,10 40:11,13, 22 46:20 47:18,20 82:10,25 84:15,17, 18,20

86:6,11,
13,22,25
88:13
89:13
93:6,9,13

county's
30:11
35:15

county's
39:15

couple 8:6
79:25

coupled
71:24

court 6:6,9,
11,17,18,
22 7:1,4,
6,11,13
8:1,2,4,
15,22 9:1,
4,8,11,15
10:4,8,9,
11,13,20
11:2,11,
13,18,20,
22 12:6,8,
11,15,18,
22,25
13:11 14:6
15:8,11,
13,17,24
16:20
17:17
20:2,13,
17,20,22
21:2,4,6,
11,13
22:2,4,9,
11 23:4,20
24:10,15
25:6,10,18
26:13,17,
20 27:1
28:8 29:1,
8,11,15,20
31:18
32:6,11
33:10,15,
22,24
34:13,18,
22,25
35:2,6,9,
13,18,22
36:24
37:11,13
38:1,6,9
39:9,22,24
40:22,24
41:9,13,15
42:4,10,18
43:18
45:18
46:15,18
47:13
48:2,4,9,
18,20,22
49:1,4,6,
9,15,25
50:4,9,13,
20 51:2,
10,11,13,
15,17,20,
25 52:4,6,
10,13
53:4,6,8,
24 54:1,6,
10,11
55:2,4
56:6,13
57:15
58:8,9
59:11
60:13,25
62:6,9,15,
18,22
63:2,4,8,
10 64:2,11
65:1,24
66:2,11,
15,24
67:1,15
68:20
69:2,4,15,
18 73:18,
22 74:8
76:9,17,18
77:4,11,
18,20
78:4,10
79:11,25
82:2,11
83:2,8,11,
15,18
84:13,20,
25 85:2,6,
20,25
86:2,10,
13,15
88:8,15,
18,20,25
90:4,6,11,
15,20,24
91:4,6,8,
11,13,20,
24 92:4,8,
11,13,18,
22 93:2,4,
6,11,15,
22,25
94:2,6,10,
11,15,17,
22

court's
68:13

courtroom
7:9 15:9
55:2

courtrooms
10:22

courts 42:4,
6 43:2
51:6 70:15
72:8

cover 36:1
59:11
61:13,25
85:10,13,
24 86:6

covered 6:20
10:4

covers 86:4

Crawford
42:20
51:17

criminal
11:9

Crittenden 8:9
culminated 71:4
current 17:25 70:20
cut 81:18

D

daily 71:18
damage 89:20 90:8
data 85:22 86:20 88:24 90:2,13,17
database 17:9 86:11
databases 85:18 86:4,6 88:6
date 33:2 88:15 94:8
Dawkins- 24:20
Dawkins-haigler 51:11
day 14:6 49:2 80:18
days 79:25
deal 11:20
dealing 35:20 58:4
dealt 70:10
December 39:22 78:18,22
decide 10:15 34:13 39:2,6 66:24
decided 48:11
decides 64:4
decision 25:6,15, 18,20 26:11 42:18,20 52:10 55:10 64:10,20 66:11 72:6 74:6 75:11
decisions 70:9
declaration 87:22
declared 16:10
declares 19:17
deemed 11:1
defective 65:18
defects 43:1,8 78:13
defend 35:17
defendant 15:2,20 16:2 17:15 19:15 21:18,20, 22,25 22:22 24:1 26:2,25 30:15,18, 20,24 39:17 62:24 69:15 76:17
defendant's 52:11 56:22
defendants 11:4 18:18 20:9 23:1, 10 36:1,6 48:24 49:20 52:9 63:6 65:13,20 67:24 70:8 72:13 77:15 79:10,22 87:6
defendants' 33:10
defendant's 13:10
defended 23:18 25:1,17
defending 25:2
defense 21:13 78:1
defined 16:2,18 19:20 22:13
defines 22:2 28:13,15
definition 17:2 18:22 19:15 20:6 22:15
definitions 16:17 28:17
Dekalb 9:13 31:10 32:10 47:18,20 89:11
Dekalb's 89:13
Demila 80:13 81:11 87:22

democratic
45:6

democratically -elected
65:4 67:2

demonstrated
42:17

demonstrates
45:22 59:6

denies 66:4

Dennis 25:2

DENTON
11:11,15, 20,22

deny 37:20
40:24
61:22
81:25

department
25:2,22,24
70:22

depending
46:2

derived
58:13

describes
53:22
75:18

description
68:13
69:24

determine
11:10
50:22
52:24
73:13
77:15 78:6
82:17,25
90:22

determined
29:25

Dick 8:24

didn't 15:8, 13,18 41:9
44:6 93:1

differ 68:18

difference
13:20 32:4
43:22 44:8
50:2,22,24
52:25
56:20,25
58:13

differences
14:4

differently
67:25
68:15

difficulty
78:18

direct 32:15

directly
69:11

director
8:13 32:9

directs
82:11 83:2

disagree
65:13

disagreement
65:13

discloses
52:15

disclosure
91:22

discovery
36:10,11, 13,15,24
37:6 77:1, 11 79:15
85:1,15,18
87:20
88:11 93:2

discriminates
68:10

discuss
14:13 75:2

discussed
23:17
51:20 60:8

discusses
19:15

dismiss
13:13
14:13
15:24
20:18 28:6
29:8,11,24
34:2 38:25
39:20
41:1,6
42:6 46:13
47:10,11
48:10,11
52:4 54:18
55:6 57:11
59:10
61:22 64:6
68:8 69:22
83:22

dismissal
20:25 32:1
33:8 47:2

dismissed
9:13 29:13
41:10
47:20
48:17
52:8,15
69:13
73:24

dismissing
15:6 53:8

disposed
91:15

dispositive
68:20

disprove
84:11

disputed
59:4

disregard
14:2

distinction
68:4,25
76:6

distinguish 75:15

distinguishable 70:10

documentary 79:15

documents 76:24 79:11,13

doesn't 34:11 75:20

domestic 71:11

don't 7:2,4,17 10:15 11:9,15,17 12:11 19:11 22:11 26:15 27:24 36:15 37:22 38:2,20 40:18 41:2 47:25 49:6 79:2 89:25 90:1 94:20

doubt 14:1 42:18 43:2 47:4 48:15 53:4 57:6 58:11 60:11,15,22 61:2 80:22 81:2 84:9

down-ballot 43:10,15

download 37:18 62:11

downstairs 6:25 7:2,22

dozen 84:4,11

dramatic 44:6,13 56:25

dramatically 43:10

drastic 42:13

drawn 80:18

DRE 33:17 34:6,11 38:18,20,22 65:2,15,18 66:4,10 67:13,15,25 68:4,22 70:11,20 74:11 77:11 86:4 88:4 91:2

dressed 67:11

drop 62:6

drop-in 44:4

drop-off 44:8,11,13,15,20 45:4 56:25

drop-offs 44:4

drove 87:18

due 63:13,18 64:9,15 65:11 66:6 67:18 70:4 72:10 74:4 75:1,13

due-process 67:11 69:13

dumped 37:17

Duncan 9:4 12:13 41:20 44:2 45:11 55:22

Dunn 25:2

E

earlier 58:20

Earnest 57:22

easily 50:18

Edward 9:2 41:18

effect 24:2 81:22

effectively 68:20

egregious 48:24

elect 16:4

elected 27:11

electing 56:24

election 9:24 10:2 12:15,18 13:17,18 14:2,9,11,22,25 15:1,4,6 16:4,6,9,11,13,18 17:11,18 18:4,6,22,24 19:8,18,24 20:2,8,11,24 21:25 22:2,11,13,15,18,22 23:2,15 24:1,24 25:13 26:2,4 27:20,22 28:2,6,10,

11,20 30:1,4,6, 11,20,25 32:4,13 33:8 36:2 40:6,9,10, 15 42:11, 15,18 43:2 46:15,22 47:6 50:6 52:22 53:4 55:24 57:13,24 58:11,13 59:15 60:13,17 61:2,15 63:20,24 64:1,22 66:18 68:1,9 70:2 71:13 72:25 73:15 74:1 76:24 78:20,22 80:22 81:2,6,22 84:8 92:4 94:20

elections 8:25 16:13,20, 22 17:1,6 19:9,11 23:25 26:9 28:2 29:22 32:9,10 34:4 38:15 39:1,4,11, 15 40:2 42:15 78:18 87:15 94:22

electoral 74:13

electors 16:11 19:18 67:24,25

electronic 44:10,22 45:4 56:4, 20 65:20 68:15 76:22 85:11 87:17

electronically 83:9

elements 73:22

eligibility 16:6 30:18,22

eligible 30:22

eliminated 74:15

Ellis 48:4

else's 24:18

else's 24:15,17

email 76:11 91:18

emailing 62:10

emails 91:18

emergency 76:22

en 32:6 69:11

end 37:22 45:13 80:18

enjoyed 44:2 45:11,20

entire 49:17 55:22,24 57:2 61:2

entirety 33:8

entitled 41:24 52:17 53:13,15 55:25 56:2,8 67:15 75:13 87:18

environment 71:15,18

equal 63:15, 18 64:9 66:4 67:18 68:6 69:1, 10,13 70:4 72:11 76:2

equal-protection 76:6

equally 64:4

equipment 66:4 76:24 77:2 78:2 80:4 82:13

erase 58:13

erroneously 13:22

error 59:17 88:4

errors 31:4 47:22 66:18 89:15

essence 38:18

essentially 44:11 80:2

established 42:15 43:2 51:20,22 73:17

events 70:13

everybody's 35:1 38:11

Everyone's

6:17

evidence
9:17 26:18 31:9,13,24 32:20 37:15 41:1 48:25 50:10,13 51:6,11,18 54:13 56:2 59:15,20 64:2 71:2 79:2,15 81:11 82:4 85:11 88:4

evidenced
31:8

evident
66:17

evidentiary
9:17 51:22 52:6 56:22

exact 60:25 71:8 84:2

examination
73:13 78:6,11 87:25 88:4 93:11

examine
81:13 90:22,24

examining
91:1

examples
51:8

exceeds
18:13

exclusively
70:8

excuse 14:11 17:15 81:10

executive
8:13

exhibit 46:6 77:13 79:2 86:4

exist 60:6

expand 92:22

expanded
14:15

expanding
20:6

expedition
85:4 88:11

expeditiously
79:20

expert 55:18 82:6

experts
78:11 80:13 90:25

explain 7:8 12:1 30:13 51:11

explained
51:15 52:1 55:18 56:11

explanation
56:24 60:6

explanations
13:20 14:2 60:6

exposed
73:11

expressly
67:1

extent 90:18

external
71:24

extremely
61:24

F

face 68:10

fact 14:4 15:18 17:4 22:20 23:17 39:6 51:22 52:2 55:11 58:20 65:2 67:1 94:2

facts 52:18 56:1 64:8 81:20

fail 32:2 64:4

failed 53:10

failing
20:25

failure 47:2 48:17,25 52:4,8,15 57:11 61:4 87:4

failures
84:8

fair 24:18 33:24

fairly 11:25

fall 46:2 59:6 64:6

falls 59:6

false 69:8

far- 85:1

far-reaching
93:2

fast 37:13, 15 91:15

faster 94:2

favored 93:1

Favorito
64:10,15, 18 65:25 66:9,15 67:20,22 68:13,18 69:2 70:8, 9,10,15,17 74:6

75:15,24 76:4

federal 10:2,6,9, 11,13,15 11:1,18 12:4,6,8 14:18 66:4 69:22 82:6 87:22

federals 10:15

felt 40:20

field 34:15

figure 31:13

figuring 34:18

file 14:22 27:20 37:2 83:10

filed 9:18 13:13,15 18:6,15 28:4 30:10 31:8 32:9, 20 39:20 42:20 72:15 80:6

filing 81:9 82:1

filling 33:2

final 25:18

Finally 19:13

find 6:18 27:8 28:20 35:10 52:11 54:4

fine 24:15

firm 25:4

fishing 85:4 88:11

five-day 32:17

fixing 62:22

flaw 75:4 85:22 86:18 88:9

flawed 40:15 53:20 55:22,24 65:20 68:22 71:20 73:8

flaws 91:2, 4,6

flipping 56:4

focus 58:10

folks 89:24

follow 34:6 41:6

footnote 74:6

force 34:11

forecloses 67:11

foreign 71:11

forensic 73:13 78:6,10 87:25

forever 72:6 87:15

forgot 60:25

form 7:25 61:13 62:1

formally 16:10

forward 59:2,15 62:2 64:4 70:2

found 24:6 50:4,9 51:8 54:6 60:22 69:2 75:4 85:11 86:22

fourth 19:15

frankly 75:15

fraud 66:22 74:11,15

free 65:8 67:4

front 6:22 15:25 31:18 50:20,24 60:20

full 30:9 33:6,24 62:22 78:6,10

Fuller 51:15 58:8

fully 90:11

Fulton 8:9 9:6 12:2,4 24:11 29:17 31:6 33:13,18 34:4,11 35:13,15, 25 36:6 38:9,15,20 39:6 40:1, 11,13,22 48:18 84:15,17, 18,20 89:1 93:6,9

Fulton's 40:2

fundamental 18:25 56:10 59:1 65:13,22 66:6 72:18 89:20

fundamentally 53:20 55:11 70:10,13, 20 72:1

73:8 85:17 86:8 87:4

future 63:24

G

gap 45:13

gave 93:15

GEMS 85:18, 20,22 86:4,10, 11,13 88:13,15, 22,24 89:1 90:17 93:13

general 13:17 16:17 23:18,22 24:4 25:2 29:9 75:13 79:15 84:6

generalized 41:6,9,10 61:4

generally 64:25

Geoff 9:2 12:11 41:20

Georgia 6:1 8:18 13:18 14:6 15:1 16:11,15, 18 26:8 28:2 31:11 38:20 42:9 58:8 64:11 66:1 67:6 69:2,4,10 70:18,24 71:9,13,22 72:11,20 74:11 80:4

Georgia-454 52:6

give 15:13 25:18 33:6 88:11 90:18 91:15

giving 49:17 81:4

glad 43:4

golden 46:2

good 8:6,8, 13,18,22 9:2 11:6 14:22 15:15 29:20 77:6

Gordon 31:9

gotcha 9:11

Governance 8:8,15 14:22

governed 15:1

governing 39:10

government 70:18

governor 13:17 16:4 17:13 44:8 46:9 63:24

governor's 59:24 86:22

Governor-elect 9:2 41:20

governor's 13:22 43:9,18,24 44:9,15, 20,24 45:6

Grady 85:9 89:13 93:9

grant 6:18 28:22 37:1 52:4 59:10

granted 26:11

greater 58:25

ground 59:18

grounds 14:10,15 30:15 31:2 52:9

group 27:13

gubernatorial 45:11

guess 29:15 90:11 94:13

guessed 74:25

guessing 14:9 65:8 67:4

guides 75:1

Gwinnett 8:9,24 11:11 29:4,15,22 30:4 31:6, 20,25 33:18 35:25 36:6 38:1,2 40:1 46:20 82:10,22 89:1 93:4, 6

H

hacker 73:2

Haigler 24:20

half 81:17

hand 54:1

handle 8:6

hanging 66:18

Hanson 32:13

happened 48:6 49:20 70:11 78:8

happening 73:11

happy 41:2, 17,18 79:9 92:2

hard 37:18 87:11

hate 61:8

haven't 41:6

hear 10:15 11:2 12:25 13:2,4 15:20,22 29:6,15 41:11,13 43:4

heard 15:18 34:25 35:1 41:6 48:18 83:6,17

hearing 7:15 13:11 33:25 37:6,22 41:2 51:13,22 52:1,2,8 56:22 92:15

held 28:2 52:13 53:4 57:11 58:9

helpful 78:2 87:20

helps 30:13

Henry 93:6

here's 35:22

he's 75:22 89:11,13, 15 91:25

High 89:13 93:9

highest 43:13

highlight 18:4

highly 81:4 88:18,22

historically 86:24

history 26:6

hold 7:20 30:22 72:13 76:4

holding 26:8 52:13 64:1 68:18 75:24

Homeland 70:22 75:9

Honor 6:15, 18 8:11, 18,20,22 9:2,10,13 10:1,6,10, 18,22 11:6,11 12:4,11 13:9 14:20,25 17:2 18:25 19:13,20 20:2,13 22:8 23:2, 6,13,22 24:6,13 25:6,22 26:1,10, 15,22 27:2,8,24 29:4,18, 20,24 31:13 33:13 34:2,10,18 35:13,22 36:18,25 37:10,11, 25 38:2 39:20,25 40:4,20 41:18,22 42:18,20, 24 44:18, 25 45:25 46:4,10, 11,15,18 48:20,22 49:13,17, 25 50:18 51:4 52:2 53:13 54:13,15, 20 55:2,8 56:2,15 57:4,13, 15,25 58:4 59:11,13 60:24 62:4,8,13, 25 63:6, 13,20 64:9 69:20,22 70:17 71:2,15 72:11 73:20 74:2 76:18,20, 22 77:13 78:9 79:22 80:1,11,18 81:4,9,15, 18 82:9 83:6,9,17 84:4,10, 11,15 85:6,8 86:8 87:4 88:6,13,15 89:22 90:11,22 91:6 92:17,20 93:10,18 94:1,6,9, 15

Honor's 39:25

hottest 78:24
hours 81:13, 15
House 70:20
Howell 48:8 59:25
huge 61:6
human 66:18
Hunt 42:20 50:6,8 51:15,18
hunting 87:6
hypothetical 66:22 72:15

I

idea 59:22
identical 66:8 67:20 68:18
identified 63:15 77:13,22 79:2 87:2
identify 32:22
illegal 18:11,13 31:2 47:1 50:20,22 87:8
illustration 49:11 56:18 85:11
illustrations 55:13 85:13
imagine 7:20
immunity 21:20,22 23:18 26:9,24 63:18
impact 45:6 50:18 58:18
important 25:15 47:13 54:24 64:24 66:11 79:20 91:22
imposes 64:22
improper 37:24 53:4,11
improperly 53:2 58:10,24 83:25
inaccurate 65:20
inapplicable 18:20
inaudible 6:8 11:18 15:11 20:13 35:11,13 38:6 48:22 57:15 59:11 84:13 85:6 90:15 91:11,20
incapable 40:2
incidences 56:11
include 17:4 28:15
included 33:1 46:6 80:13 84:15
includes 19:2 82:4
incorrect 85:18
increased 44:25
incumbents 14:4
independent 27:18,20 32:15
individual 10:2 86:13,15 90:20
individuals 8:8 15:2,4
infected 73:2,13
infer 56:15
inferred 50:11,13
inflamed 61:20
inflammatory 49:9 61:18
information 33:22 36:18 47:20 81:4 86:20 93:17
informed 73:1
infringed 65:22
inherent 75:4
insecure 70:20
insist 60:6
inspect 77:2,11, 13,24 80:9

81:18,22

inspecting
84:11

inspection
76:22
77:17
78:2,4
83:4

instance
56:6 67:6

instances
55:13
77:22

instructed
24:8

instructions
93:15

insufficient
50:25
67:15,18

intended
23:11

intent 66:20

intentionally
19:2

interact
69:25

interest
79:22

interesting
61:15
87:22

Interestingly
52:18

interests
64:25

internal
78:13
90:22,24
91:1

internet
71:17
72:24
73:11 79:8

interpret
49:20

interpretation
49:22

intimidate
26:13,15

introduce
8:10

introduced
50:10,13

involve
31:22
32:15
47:17,18
78:15

involved
12:18
17:8,17
32:13 50:6
52:18,20
78:25 79:4
82:20 92:8

involving
17:13
18:4,11
19:24

irregular
58:11

irregularities
18:8,17
20:11 28:4
36:4 47:15
50:4
58:10,17,
22 60:11
80:6 81:6,
24 83:24
84:22 85:8

irregularity
18:11
22:24,25

irrelevant
83:20

isn't 38:17

issue 10:15
11:4 15:25
18:20
20:11,17
21:11,17
27:1,25
30:6 33:22
34:18
35:15,18,
20,22
37:10
39:4,18
40:4 41:8
57:17
58:13,15
61:6 69:15
71:8 72:18
74:1 76:13

issues 12:9
14:1,15
21:24
33:20 34:1
40:13
52:22 55:6
72:13
76:13

items 19:22

it's 13:2
17:11
18:25
19:1,6
23:6,8,11,
18 24:11,
13 27:17
28:18 32:2
37:6 39:11
48:11
75:9,10
77:18 79:4

I'd 21:2
24:8

I'll 8:2
13:2 14:17
28:22 42:1
74:24

I'm 7:1,9,
22 10:13
11:22,24,
25 13:1,6
20:18 22:4
24:2,15

36:18 38:11 40:2 41:17,18, 22 42:8 43:4 76:9, 10 88:11 89:8,17 91:15 92:15,18 93:2 94:2, 18

I've 6:22 8:4

J

January 6:2

Jersey 73:2

Jim 8:6

job 60:15 65:4 66:22 67:1

Johnson 42:2 48:4

join 23:2 33:13 34:15 37:9 38:4 48:18 69:17,20

joined 33:6 34:17 35:6,20 36:8 37:8 63:6

joining 48:20

joint 16:22 62:13

joking 93:2

Josh 8:20 14:18 15:8

judge 8:2 11:8 16:20 21:15 53:22 54:11,13 55:9 76:8 92:25 94:11

judges 19:10 61:20

judgment 73:25

judicial 41:24 42:1,2,6 45:25 46:13 59:4 65:8 76:1 94:20

judiciary 75:1

jurisdiction 36:22 68:1

jurisdictional 23:20

K

Kaye 9:6 33:13

Kemp 24:22

Kerling 81:13

key 45:17 82:15

kind 7:15 11:11 13:10 30:13 38:4 39:6 47:22 59:1 61:15,24 89:22

kinds 7:18 10:22 52:20

knew 73:11

knowing 67:17

knowingly 65:20 67:24

Kohl 51:10

L

lack 31:20 39:20

lacks 14:22

language 17:2 19:2 56:13 60:25 91:9

largely 63:17

late 32:2 33:2

Laurens 18:6,15

law 14:8 20:4 25:2, 22,24 26:2,4 27:6 28:2, 15 34:6,8 38:22 54:20 64:22 66:10

laws 20:4

lawsuit 32:15,20 42:2 47:20

lawyers 9:9 25:2

leads 51:4

leap 20:2

leave 74:11

leeway 84:25

left 72:20, 22 73:2,6, 11 88:11

legal 31:2 47:1 49:22 58:11

legislative

75:25

legislator 23:11

legislature 19:2 22:18 23:11,13 66:24 67:15 74:20,22

lengthy 51:13

letter 46:6, 8

let's 13:2 21:4 46:24 75:2

level 16:15 28:2

Library 89:13

lie 76:6

lieutenant 9:2 13:17, 22 16:4 17:13 41:20 43:9,18,24 44:9,15, 20,24 45:6 46:8 59:24 63:24 86:22

life 12:1 70:13

lightly 42:13

limited 84:24 93:4

Lindsey 9:2 12:11,17, 20,24 41:18 49:15 55:20 57:15,17 83:6,17,20 84:4 86:20 94:18

lines 30:20

list 84:15

litany 75:11

located 80:9

lock 82:15

logical 30:2,24

logistics 79:4

long 28:2 65:6 67:2 74:6 76:4 88:1

looked 72:9

lose 54:22

lost 38:11 50:8,9 53:10 61:1 72:6 79:1 87:15

lot 13:4,6 26:17 31:22 41:1

M

machine 47:18 55:18,20 68:4,22 76:25 78:4,6 85:11 87:24,25

machines 13:24,25 33:17 34:6 36:20 38:18,22 40:10,11, 15 53:2,6, 13 55:13 56:11 58:2,18 60:4 65:4, 15,18 66:11 67:13,17 70:4 71:11 72:10 74:11 75:4,17,18 76:4 77:11,13, 22 78:13, 17,20,22, 25 79:17 80:8,9,18, 22 81:2,6, 13,18,20 82:11,15, 17,22 83:1,4 84:2,4,11, 22 85:24 86:4 88:4 89:25 90:8,13, 18,20 91:2 93:13

made 19:13 23:20 31:4 33:9 47:6 50:15 51:22 67:6 81:10,11 83:22

mail 68:11

main 76:22

maintain 17:9

maintained 71:22

maintenance 53:4,11

major 11:25 43:4

make 6:6 12:20 17:10 20:2 21:18

26:10 29:4
31:17
35:2,4
41:17,18
50:22
52:24
53:18
63:22 68:6
70:13
71:24
73:10
82:20
93:22

makes 46:9
61:4 72:4
74:20

making 11:4
20:2 38:13
51:18
69:11
82:11

malfunction
47:18
55:20
78:15

malfunctioned
49:18
55:15

malfunctioning
13:22
56:11

malfunctions
55:17
76:25

malicious
13:24

malware
71:18
73:13

mandates
68:25

manipulation
13:24

margin 13:18
18:13 44:1
45:20
46:24
48:13
50:24 57:2
58:15,18
59:8

Marilyn 8:13

Marks 8:13

material
61:13

math 11:25
43:4 44:17
46:11
60:18,20
61:6

mathematical
43:2 48:6

matter 11:9
41:2,25
44:17
53:9,11
58:20
61:10
74:15

matters 42:6
73:10

matured 11:1

ma'am 15:22
28:25

Mcdonough
89:11 93:8

Mead 17:17
18:4,20
23:15,17
30:10 48:8
51:20
59:25
87:10

means 21:25
22:13
26:2,4
68:24 71:6
74:11
88:18,22
91:10

meant 21:10
22:20
23:24
26:13

mechanical
66:18

meet 20:25

meeting
77:15

memory
78:13,20
87:17
90:22,24
91:1

mentioned
14:18
49:13
77:25
90:13

mere 60:9

merits 25:17
52:1,2

methods
74:18

Miko 45:8,
10

mind 25:25
46:20
76:13
94:10

mine 83:11

minute 35:20

miscast
53:6,13

misconduct
31:2 50:4,
11 51:18
57:18 58:2

miscounted
45:20

missed 17:20

misspelled
87:9

misspoke
73:20

mistake 50:18 51:1 88:10

mistakes 78:8 87:2 92:2

mix 82:22

mode 56:24

modify 32:11

moment 62:6

months 72:22

moot 94:13

mooted 29:2

morning 8:18,22 9:2,10 11:4,6 13:1 29:20 76:11,13 81:11

motion 13:13 14:13 15:24 20:18 28:22 29:8,11,24 34:2,20 37:20 38:24 39:20 41:1,6 42:4 46:11 47:11 48:10 52:4 55:6 57:11 59:10 61:22 62:13,15 64:6 68:6 69:22 73:24,25 76:20,22 77:13,20 79:24 80:4,15 81:25 83:22 84:13,15 94:11

motions 9:15 12:25 13:4,6,13 29:2 31:8 37:22 38:1,4 41:10 47:10 48:11 54:18 63:1,11 74:1

move 14:22 59:2 94:4, 18

moved 77:1

moves 20:11

moving 20:20

multiple 14:11 27:11

municipal 17:1 32:13

Murray 31:9

N

nail 67:4

named 32:20

nation 71:18

national 70:18 71:4,6,11, 15 73:6 75:8

nature 50:1 71:22

necessarily 11:15 22:6 87:20

needed 32:18 45:13

nefarious 43:8,20

negative 45:6

negligent 43:20

neutral 65:8 67:4,6

nice 35:4

nominated 30:18

nomination 16:4,6

non-discriminatory 64:22

non-party 36:11

nondiscriminatory 67:6

note 53:20

noted 27:2 34:2 65:1

notes 51:20 53:8

notice 32:11 41:24 42:1,2,6 46:1,13 59:4 82:4 94:20

November 13:15 71:13 76:22 77:1 78:20,22

number 13:20 16:10 18:13 19:17 31:4,17 38:25 40:6 43:6,9,13, 15 44:6, 13,22 45:1,13,18 46:2,8

47:4 48:8 55:13 58:11,24 59:17 60:1,6 66:20 77:22 79:2 81:18 84:2

numbered 82:15

numbers 22:10 41:22 42:9,10 44:15 49:15 50:6 60:18 61:2,11 62:2 83:1 84:6 94:25

O

objection 6:11 11:9, 17 94:22, 25

objections 94:24

objects 80:4

occasionally 92:18

occurred 18:8,17 28:4 34:2 36:4

occurring 82:18

OCGA21-2-366 39:4

OCGA212250 22:1

OCGA212520 15:2 16:1

OCGA2143 22:13

oddly 86:22

offer 40:4 56:22

offered 56:22

office 11:15 16:6 19:25 30:22 42:10 82:20

officer 16:9 19:13,17 27:13,15

officers 27:11

official 24:22 25:11 42:9

omits 19:4

one-off 47:22

online 92:2

open 72:24 73:1,2

opening 49:2

operating 53:2 58:2

opinion 53:22

opportunity 12:6 54:18

oppose 84:13

opposed 6:6 18:11

opposing 64:11 83:15

optical 39:11

option 23:1 69:2

order 6:20, 22 7:22 18:13 33:6 40:9,20 41:15 48:2 59:2 62:17,18 77:20 89:22 92:13

ordered 40:8 50:4

orderly 78:2

original 33:2 83:8

originals 61:25

other's 69:25

outcome 43:2 46:9 60:10 81:22 83:20

outlined 42:22

over-votes 66:18

overwrite 78:20

owned 82:24

owns 82:22 83:1

P

p.m. 6:2

pack 62:11

pacts 69:11

pages 37:15 68:6 77:18,20

paper 40:11, 15 44:9, 11,22 56:20 66:15 67:8 68:4,15 71:22

74:18 87:8

papers 24:9

paragraph
33:15
47:18
63:22
65:11,18
67:22

paragraphs
75:10,18

pardon 33:11
88:20 91:4

part 11:18
53:1 60:15
89:10

partially
82:24

parties
14:20 30:8
31:11,18,
22 32:2,6
33:1,4,6
37:24 40:1
51:20

partner
14:18

party 9:24
10:2
12:13,17
17:15,24,
25 18:1
28:22
29:4,15,17
30:1,2
36:17
38:15
39:13

past 14:22,
24 20:20

pattern
55:17
86:22

Peenya 73:4

pending 11:8
12:4 13:2

people 7:20
42:11 44:6
57:20
68:11
75:22
91:24

percentage
45:10,11

percentages
32:25

perfect
66:15 74:9

period 55:11

person 16:4
30:18
42:15
68:4,11

perspective
82:13

persuaded
54:13

persuasive
53:20
54:2,17
56:15

pertain
14:15

petition
9:20 13:15
20:15
27:15,20
29:11
32:4,22
45:2 46:6,
13,25
47:2,8,10,
15,22
48:15
52:8,15
56:6 58:22
59:6
60:10,20
61:8,10
76:11
93:11

petitioner
44:2 45:2
84:1

petitioner's
66:2 83:20

petitioners
34:4 38:17
43:6 65:18
67:22

petitioners'
46:4 66:13

petitioner's
43:20
44:18
45:24
46:13

phonetic
80:13
81:13

phrase 22:11
57:4

physically
50:20

pick 31:15

picked 9:18

piece 36:18
68:4

pieces 48:25

Pinpoint 7:6

place 14:1
43:22
48:13
60:11 61:2
77:15

places 43:1
92:9

placing
60:15

plain 17:2
62:2 63:22

plaintiff
8:13 23:2
50:2 52:2,
17 53:10,
11 76:18

plaintiff's
58:22 64:2

80:13

plaintiffs 8:13 10:2, 24 12:6 13:15,20 14:10,13 17:11,15, 24 18:22 19:13 20:2,10 21:17 30:8 31:4 32:1, 13,18 33:9 34:9 35:15 38:24 39:18 42:22,25 43:6 47:6, 10,15,20 48:2,13 50:9 54:20 60:2,9 65:18 67:22 70:2 77:10 80:2,6,11, 15,20 81:6,10, 17,22 83:6,22

plaintiffs' 81:1

plaintiff's 10:18 14:2 20:24 21:24 27:4,6,10 94:18

plan 88:2

plausible 56:22

plead 48:2 60:1,10 61:11

pleading 21:1 59:15

pleadings 41:11 42:24 61:24 80:11

pled 60:4 61:11 81:20

plenary 36:25

point 18:2 27:4 29:2, 4 37:22 38:13 40:25 41:4 42:24 46:4 63:18 69:18 84:18

pointe 32:6 69:11

pointed 20:13 48:24 80:20

points 35:15 59:13

policy 49:24

politically 67:6

poll 36:20 65:20

position 11:13,15 12:4 24:6 25:22 31:25 33:4,11,18 39:15 40:6,13 52:11 79:24 84:18

possibility 54:20 74:13

possibly 87:13

postpone 93:22

postponed 93:25

posture 46:22 51:4 70:6

potential 75:6

power 36:25 37:1

practical 82:13

precedent 18:2 26:10 48:2 52:11

precedential 18:2 27:4

precinct 77:24 79:2 85:9

precincts 80:8,10 81:20,24 84:20,22 85:13

precise 18:2

present 19:6 55:18 93:18,20

presented 51:11

preserve 31:9

presidential 66:17

pressure 94:2

presumed 19:1 46:22 60:13 74:22

pretend 9:11

prevail

18:13

prevailed
52:2

prevent
75:13

previously
41:20

price 66:22

primary
16:6,9
31:1

privilege
7:18

probate
16:20
19:10

problem
30:11
32:11
50:1,15
52:22
56:17,18
85:11

problems
31:6
33:17,18
49:11
52:20
66:22
72:18
88:6,8
91:2

procedural
49:24 51:4
70:6

procedure
32:13
68:17

procedures
32:4 42:15

proceeded
51:15

proceeding
47:11

proceedings
6:13

process
61:13
63:15,18
64:9,15
65:11 66:6
67:18 70:4
72:10 74:4
75:1,13

production
72:11
76:24

proffer
26:18
81:11 82:4

profoundly
54:4

program
90:22

programmed
13:24 91:8

programming
47:22
78:13
79:17
86:9,18
87:2,18
88:4,9
91:2,4,6

programs
36:20

prohibits
14:8 67:9
74:22

projecting
54:25

prone 66:18

proof 50:6
53:9,11
54:6,8,10
85:17

proper 9:24
11:17
17:13,15
20:9
21:11,18,
20,22
22:22
23:9,10
24:1 26:25
28:22 29:4
30:1,2
32:2 36:1,
6 37:4
38:15
39:17 40:1
48:9,11

properly
45:20 47:2
57:20
58:1,2,6,
25 75:25

propose 77:4

proposed
62:17,18
77:20

proposing
83:6

proposition
39:6

propositions
19:22

pros 65:6
67:2

prospective
70:6

prospectively
70:2

protection
63:15,18
64:9 66:4
67:18 68:6
69:1,10,13
70:4,22
74:11 76:2

protocol
78:1 79:10

prove 37:4,6
53:10,11,
15 54:11,
18,22 55:6
56:1,8

84:11

proven 54:13,22 55:4

provide 34:4 64:11

provided 26:18 34:9 83:13

providing 38:17

provision 59:18 64:22

provisions 40:17

public 16:9 19:13,17, 25 27:13 41:25 46:13 59:2 72:24 73:11 86:20 88:17,24 89:2,4,6 93:17,18

pull 90:15, 17

purposefully 19:2

purposes 19:8

Pursuant 15:2

put 28:22 46:25 59:15 67:4 94:10

Q

quantifiable 50:2,18 51:1 52:22

quarrel 50:18

question 16:11 19:18,20 29:1 30:4 36:6 61:17,18 64:6,15,18 73:18 80:20

questions 30:2 32:24 36:9 46:17 79:22

quick 59:13

quickly 59:13 79:10 87:6 94:18

quote 24:22 25:11 50:10 51:11 52:13 53:4 69:4

quoting 64:20

R

race 13:17, 22 18:6 26:4 27:18 41:20 43:9,15, 17,18,24 44:6,9,15, 20,24 45:6,11 46:10 56:2 57:2 58:15 59:24 86:22

races 13:22 14:4 43:11,15 46:15

rain 72:20 73:6

raise 10:13 23:18 84:6

raised 10:11 27:25 39:4,6,18 44:22 74:6 84:6

raising 12:10

ramifications 90:9

random 80:18

rate 57:1

rational 64:18,20 65:2,9,15 66:9,10

reaching 85:2

read 26:17, 18 35:20 51:6 63:4

reading 23:22

ready 83:2

real 70:13

reality 74:13

realize 15:8,13, 15,18

reason 15:6 18:15 22:18 36:15 59:9 65:2 68:22 84:11

reasonable 14:2 54:20 64:22 65:1,6 67:2,6 77:15 79:20

reasons 29:13 50:9 57:10

recall 18:22 27:10,13, 18 28:18

recap 92:20

received 45:11

recent 73:15

recently 72:9

record 6:13 7:8,11,17 8:6,11 23:15 34:22 35:4 46:13 50:11 70:22 72:6 75:17 87:15 88:17,24 89:2,4,6 93:17,18

records 41:25 59:2

recount 68:17 74:13

reference 81:15

referenced 30:10 47:9 82:6

references 33:15

referencing 86:4

referendum 19:22 58:4

referred 27:11 86:20

referring 19:9 64:15

reflected 49:18

reflective 26:2

regard 12:13,17

registration 16:22 17:8,9 19:10 65:20

Registrations 8:25 16:24 19:11 29:22 34:4 38:15 39:1,4,11, 15

regulation 68:9

regulatory 64:25

rejected 31:2 47:2

relate 33:2

related 31:2

relating 79:15

relation 32:18

relevant 9:18 20:20 25:20 26:18 32:15 37:22

relied 71:10

relief 10:25 26:11 30:9 33:6 34:4 37:2 38:17 53:15 57:11 63:22 70:6

relies 57:22

relieve 52:17

relook 76:11

rely 17:15 60:9 70:8

relying 47:13

remedied 63:25

remedy 40:4 42:13 66:20

remember 42:11 47:13

repeatedly 14:6 57:22 80:20

report 25:20 71:4,6

report's 9:18

reported 24:20 25:15

reports 66:1

repository 36:18

represent 8:24 18:18

representative 42:13

representatives 65:6 67:2

request 6:6 80:6 83:20 84:22,25

requesting 85:2

require 22:20 39:1

required 30:9 36:15

42:22
43:18
49:22 50:2
59:15,20
69:1 77:6

requirement
37:2

requirements
21:1 49:22

requires
34:8 38:22

reserved
42:13

residual
46:8

resolved
74:2

resources
88:2

respect
21:24
37:13
39:17
84:18

respond
36:24 49:4

responded
79:22

response
14:13 34:9
38:24
47:11 68:6
76:2

responses
27:1

responsible
70:18

rest 63:17

restrictions
64:22

result
42:18,25
43:8,13
46:22
47:4,6
48:15
60:11,22
61:2 80:22
81:2,6

resulted
53:13
58:22 84:8

resulting
53:6

results
13:15 14:2
36:20,22
46:1,15
55:15
60:15
73:15
92:1,4
94:22

retired
62:11

return 53:18

returned
60:13

reversed
52:13

reversing
53:6

review 51:9
64:17
65:10
84:22

reviewed
70:15

revisited
73:25

rightly 27:2

rights 64:24
72:1,11
73:9

rise 64:4

risk- 75:11

risks 66:13,
25 67:17

Robbins 25:4

Robyn 8:8

routine
29:11

rule 6:6,
13,20
18:25 19:6
23:2 42:4
45:22
76:10 77:2

ruled 40:1
76:11

rules 7:6,8,
20 41:4
61:22
63:20 94:2

ruling 53:6,
25 83:22

run 33:4
79:6 91:17

runoff 16:6
17:20
78:18

Russia 73:4

Russo 6:18
8:18 11:6
13:13
15:22 16:1
20:15,18,
22,24
21:2,6,9,
13 23:15
24:2 27:2
28:25
29:2,10,13
30:9 38:2,
6 41:8,11,
13 59:11
62:13,15
63:6 76:18
80:1 82:4
88:15,18,
22 89:22
90:6,10,
11,17
91:10,18,
22 92:2,6,
10,11,17

94:15,24

S

sacrificed 74:18
sample 80:18
scanning 39:11
scenario 30:6 31:15 46:24 59:6
scheduled 93:20
School 89:13 93:9
Sciences 71:4,8,11 73:6 75:8
scientist 70:22
scientists 71:4,6 79:18
Scoggins 51:25
scope 81:18
screen 56:4
scrutiny 64:18
seal 82:15
seals 82:15
Secretary 8:18,20 11:6,13,15 13:4,13 15:4,6,20 16:11,13 17:4,6,10, 13,22,25 19:20 20:8 21:11,17, 20,22 22:13,15, 22 23:1, 22,25 24:4,22 25:6,11, 13,15 26:4,8,24 27:6,11,15 28:1,15,20 29:6,25 35:17 36:11,17, 20,22 40:18 42:9 43:15,24 46:1 63:6 69:17 72:22 73:10 80:2,4 81:10 82:4,20 86:17
section 6:20 19:2 28:9, 17,18,20 61:25
sections 14:11
secure 71:10
security 7:4,24 70:18 71:15 75:9 83:2,4
seek 16:6 34:4 63:22 77:4
seeking 12:15,18 37:2 38:18 70:6 79:15 84:1 85:18
seeks 84:22
Select 70:20
Senate 70:20
send 62:10 76:11
sense 11:4 12:20 89:18 90:4 93:22
sensitive 81:4 82:15
separate 36:9 37:9 42:2,4 72:18
separately 21:2 62:18
September 71:4,11
serial 77:22 79:2 82:25
served 39:20,22
servers 85:22 93:13,15
service 39:20
set 15:13 52:17 79:6,10 91:24
sets 68:6
setting 26:10 42:11
severely 65:22
sheet 38:11
Sheffield 17:17 18:4,20 23:15,17 51:20
Shelley 66:11
She's 46:10
short 27:13 44:1,17 45:13,15

46:2 59:8

shot 35:15

show 18:11 40:6 42:25 45:18 50:2 51:22 58:15 59:20 60:1 80:20 83:24 89:4 92:1 94:20

showing 48:25 53:4

shown 25:15 55:15

shows 57:2

side 33:10

sign 7:22 77:4

signaling 50:13

signed 7:2, 4,25 27:15

significantly 47:25

similar 10:10 27:17,20 52:9

similarly 50:6 53:4 67:25

Simple 91:9

simplistic 11:25

simply 12:13,17 41:22 43:4 45:9 46:11 55:20 56:24 64:10 68:18

single 22:25 57:6 70:18 71:2 85:13 86:22,25 87:2,24,25

sir 8:11,17 61:17 64:13

Sistrunk 19:4

sit 79:9

sitting 50:20 77:25

situated 67:25

situation 31:13 52:20 58:4

sleep 92:18

slews 71:2

slight 41:4

sofa 73:2

sole 52:11

sort 35:15 40:4 42:22 70:6 72:15 73:13 87:6

source 71:2 88:22

sovereign 21:20,22 23:18 26:8,24 63:18

space 77:15

speak 33:18, 20

speaking 18:20

speaks 76:2

specific 16:2 31:22 56:11 59:15,18 75:4 76:2 82:25 84:6 86:6

specifically 16:18 69:2 74:10 75:2 77:8 93:8

specificity 48:6 60:10

specifics 31:20

speculating 14:8

speculation 48:4 60:9

split 13:10

spot 12:2

stand 27:4

standard 64:17 65:11

standing 14:22

start 32:2 53:20

starters 80:6

state 8:18, 20 11:6,13 13:18 15:4,6,20 16:13,15 17:4,6,8, 10,13,22 18:1 19:20 20:8 21:11,17, 22 22:13, 15,22 23:1,22,25 24:4,22 25:6,11, 13,17 26:4,6,8, 25 27:8, 11,15,17

28:1,15,22 29:6 30:1 31:6 34:6, 8 35:17 36:11,13, 17 38:22 46:1 47:2, 17 48:2,17 52:4,8,15 55:15 57:11 63:6 64:8,22 66:4 67:18 68:9,22,25 70:18 72:20,22 73:11 80:2,4 81:6,11 82:4,24 86:17 87:15 92:4

state's 13:4,13 40:18 42:10 53:20 64:24 66:4 69:17 82:20

state-based 69:8

state-imposed 76:6

stated 14:6 20:13 27:6 41:20 47:15 58:20 80:15

statement 49:2

statements 75:15

states 27:11 28:10 71:18

statewide 13:22 17:9,11 18:4 22:13,20, 25 23:2,15 24:1 26:4 27:6,18 28:1 30:11 33:22 43:10 49:20 55:20 56:13 85:10 94:22

State's 11:15 43:15,25

statistically 80:17

status 37:15,20

statute 9:17 19:2 21:25 23:24 26:6 32:11,17 33:4

statutes 37:1

statutory 19:1,6

stay 10:15 12:8,22 13:2 36:6 49:9

step 11:20, 24 14:20 21:4,6,10 59:1

steps 40:13

Stiles 50:4 51:2 57:22

stipulate 94:18

stood 25:17

stop 40:25

strict 64:17

strictly 20:4

strikes 57:4

struck 57:4, 9

stuck 9:8

stuff 37:17, 18 61:18 63:4

stumbling 91:17

styling 25:13

subject 20:25 47:2 91:20

subjectively 49:22

submit 48:15 62:13,15 92:13

submitted 16:11 19:18

subsection 16:2,20 19:15

subsident 74:4

substantial 36:15 60:22

substantially 70:1 72:10

substantiate 59:20

sued 24:22, 25 25:11 30:8 31:6 32:10 37:4

sufficient 47:4,6 48:13

60:11 61:8 64:8,25

suggest 54:15 73:15

suggested 92:20

suggesting 54:22

suggestion 85:15

suit 37:2

summary 73:25

superintendent 16:8,13,18 17:10,13 18:22 19:8 20:6 22:1, 2,13,15,18 26:2,4 27:6 28:2, 11 30:6,25 57:24

superintendents 16:8,15 18:6 20:10 28:15

supervised 89:18

supervisor 24:24 25:13

support 33:11 52:11

suppose 80:13

supposed 62:11 91:13

supposedly 9:18 89:18

Supreme 14:6 23:20 32:6,11 45:18 48:2,4,9 49:25 50:4,13 51:13,17, 25 52:13 53:4 56:13 58:8 64:11 65:1,24 66:2 67:13

sustained 57:13

system 38:20 39:8 48:25 49:11,18 53:20,22 54:4 55:22 56:11 61:4 65:20 66:15 70:11,13, 15,20 71:9,17, 18,20,24 72:2,20,22 73:1,4 74:9,20 84:6 86:18 87:4 89:20

systemic 55:20 61:4 85:10 88:4

systems 39:13 55:10 65:6 66:20 67:8 69:6 74:11,18 78:15 79:6

T

table 7:11 8:13

tabulates 36:20 86:18

Taggart 52:6,18 53:4,9 56:13

tailored 85:2

taking 42:1, 2 58:13 94:20

talk 43:4 75:2

talked 74:6

talking 40:11,25 42:8 74:10 75:22

talks 74:20, 24 75:18

targeted 88:4

technology 90:2

tediously 59:4

telephone 51:18

Temple 89:15

term 22:18

terms 40:4 41:25 43:20 44:4 45:4,11,24 47:13 48:6 49:22 53:18 55:18 58:17 63:18 82:11 85:2

test 43:2 64:20 65:2,9 66:9

testified 80:13 81:11

testimony 55:18 82:6

That'll 12:24

that's 9:11, 13,18,20 10:6,22 18:17 19:4 23:11 26:22 28:15 29:13 32:11 33:6 34:6 37:9 39:2,8 40:4,8,11, 17,20 42:18,22 43:13,25 44:25 45:17,22 49:1 75:2 77:1 89:8 90:18 91:22

there's 20:1,6 22:18 27:13 32:4,18 37:2 44:6 78:4 88:9 89:24 90:13 93:15

they're 10:10 31:18 38:18 40:2 47:11 75:20 90:1 91:11

they've 31:6 75:20

thing 25:15 42:8 44:2 46:20 47:13 70:24 90:18

things 7:18 36:22 43:6 54:18,24 61:15 74:24 77:6 86:20 94:8

third-party 14:4 27:17

Thomas 58:8

thought 21:9

thousands 33:15,18

threat 71:24

threshold 14:15 48:1

thumb 45:22

thwart 66:20

till 88:11

time 11:20, 24 12:2 23:22 32:18 33:11 39:20 67:13 77:15 84:24 88:1,2 92:6,18

times 10:13 27:11

Title 15:2 18:24

today 7:15 9:15,18 11:22 26:18 37:6 40:13 82:2

told 70:18 85:25

Tolson 19:4

total 43:8, 17,24,25 48:25 58:13

totally 56:18 58:4 71:18

totals 56:20

Totenberg 11:8 53:22 54:15 81:13

Totenberg's 55:9

touches 21:18

touchscreen 66:20 67:8 69:6 74:10,18, 20

tower 79:8

traditional 66:15 74:18

trail 82:15

transcript 82:4

transient 72:6

transposing 22:10

treated 67:24 68:15

trial 40:6 50:10,13 51:6,11, 13,15,17, 24 52:2,6, 10 53:6 54:15 69:2,4 93:20

troublesome 78:25

true 49:13 55:9,10
trusted 71:1
truth 45:9
type 40:10 52:20 59:15,20, 22 74:15 75:11
types 66:22 75:17
Tyson 8:22 29:20,22 46:18,20 69:17,22 82:9 83:9, 13 88:13

U

ultimately 83:22
unanimous 71:6
under-vote 55:20 56:18 57:9
under-votes 66:18
underlying 63:20 78:20
understand 9:13,17,22 15:24 21:9 26:20 28:9 40:2 61:20 79:18 87:6 91:13
understanding 67:17 86:11
understands 46:11
understood 90:11
undertaken 42:13 73:13
undervote 49:11,18
unexplainable 55:17
unexplained 49:18 56:18
unfettered 80:2 81:4
unfortunate 74:13
unnecessary 81:8
unrefuted 39:18
unrelated 80:10
unreliability 56:10
unreliable 55:11 70:25 72:1
unverified 47:24
uphill 62:2
urge 52:9
utilizing 69:6

V

valid 31:13 46:22 60:6 80:17,22
variegation 20:4
variety 31:11
verifying 74:13
versus 8:8 44:10 45:11
victory 13:18 18:13 44:1 45:20 53:15 58:15,18 59:8
views 76:4
Vince 80:1
Vincent 8:18 11:6
violate 72:10
violated 69:10
violating 71:25
violation 42:15 70:4 73:6,8
violations 42:17
virtually 21:18 66:8
virus 73:2
vote 18:11 19:22 34:13 39:1,2 44:4,6 56:20 63:15,22 65:13,22 66:6 68:2, 4,11,20,24 69:6 72:2, 6 74:13
voted 44:6 45:4 67:24 68:1
voter 17:8,9 34:11 65:20 66:20

68:20 72:4

voter-verified 74:18

voters 19:22 34:13 64:24 68:11,15 69:2,8 72:1,11 74:11 76:4

votes 13:20 14:4 16:10 17:20 19:17 31:2 41:22 43:9,13, 15,17,22, 24,25 44:1,24 45:1,2,10, 11,13,15, 18 46:2,8 47:1,4,17 50:2 53:6, 13 55:22 57:20 58:1,6,13, 18,20,24, 25 59:6,18 71:1 72:2, 4 80:20, 22,25 81:2 83:25 84:1 86:22 87:18

voting 13:24,25 38:20 39:6,13 44:10 45:4 50:1 53:6, 13 55:17, 22 60:4 66:20 68:1 69:6 74:11 80:4,8 81:6 82:13 85:11

vulnerabilities 75:6,9,10

vulnerability 66:22

vulnerable 54:4 73:8

W

waiting 60:13

waived 10:25 21:22 75:25

walked 59:4

wanted 38:10

watch 89:18, 25

ways 49:20

Weber 66:11, 15 74:8

WEDNESDAY 6:2

weeks 62:22

weigh 65:6 67:2

weighing 75:13

weighty 61:2

Wexler 64:20 70:8,9

we'll 7:15 11:20 13:8 36:13 92:2

we're 9:11, 15,20 12:17 13:13 33:2 37:2 46:20 92:20

we've 45:22 77:22 79:2 90:13

what's 42:22 78:8

whip 35:15

who's 8:11

wide 84:6 87:4

widespread 50:11,15 93:1

Willard 25:2

win 54:25 87:13

winner 45:20

wiped 45:20

witnesses 7:15

won 41:20

wonderful 49:2

won't 7:2

word 19:6 35:11 65:1

words 45:15 58:15 68:2 88:8

work 62:22 79:6 82:25 89:8 91:15

working 57:8

works 9:11 48:2

worry 62:1 63:11

worse 73:10

worst 32:24

worst-case 59:6

Worth 31:11 89:13

wouldn't 15:13

wrap 19:18 20:6

write-in
44:22

wrong 17:20,
22 57:2,4
87:11,18
91:8

Y

y'all 22:4

year 25:4
62:11
81:17

years 24:2
72:15

yesterday
24:6 42:20

you'll 75:10

you're 26:20
30:22 32:6
34:13
41:24
45:15
88:10

you've 29:8
40:20
45:18
93:13

EXHIBIT

3

1 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY

2 STATE OF GEORGIA

3

4 COALITION FOR GOOD . CIVIL ACTION
GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. . FILE NO.: 2018-CV-313418
5

6 MARTIN, SMYTH DUVAL, and .
JEANNE DUFORT, . Taken at:
7

8 Plaintiffs, . Superior Court of Cobb County

9 vs. . 70 Haynes Street

10 ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, . Courtroom 2000

11 Secretary of State of . Marietta, Georgia 30090
Georgia, et. al. .
12

13 Defendants. .
. . . .
14

15
TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING PROCEEDINGS
16

17 THURSDAY, JANUARY 17, 2019

18 9:02 a.m. to 4:53 p.m.

19

20 STATE OF GEORGIA SENIOR JUDGE ADELE P. GRUBBS
REPORTED BY:
21

22 PRISCILLA GARCIA, COURT REPORTER
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF GEORGIA
23

24 TRANSCRIBED BY:

25 CHRISTIAN NAADEN

1

2

3

4 APPEARANCES:

Plaintiff's Counsel: BRUCE P. BROWN, ESQUIRE

5

6 BRUCE P. BROWN LAW
FLOATAWAY BUSINESS COMPLEX

7

8 1123 ZONOLITE ROAD N.E.

9 SUITE 6

10 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30306

11 404-881-0700
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com

12

13

Plaintiff's Counsel: MARILYN MARKS, ESQUIRE

14

15 COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE
7035 MARCHING DUCK DRIVE

16

17 SUITE E-504

18 CHARLOTTE, NORTH CAROLINA 28210
704-552-1618

19

20 marilyn@uscgg.org

21

22 Defendant's Counsel: JOHN BELINFANTE, ESQUIRE
ROBBINS, ROSS, ALLOT, BELINFANTE

23

24 & LITTLEFIELD, LLC

25 500 14TH STREET N.W.

1 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30318

2 404-856-3262
jbelinfante@robbinsfirm.com

3

4 CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:

5

6 Defendant's Counsel: VINCENT R. RUSSO, ESQUIRE
ROBBINS, ROSS, ALLOT, BELINFANTE

7

8 & LITTLEFIELD, LLC

9 999 PEACHTREE STREET N.E.

10 SUITE 1120

11 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30309
404-856-3260

12

13 vrusso@robbinsfirm.com

14

15 Defendant's Associate Counsel:
ALEXANDER F. DENTON, ESQUIRE

16

17 ROBBINS, ROSS, ALLOT, BELINFANTE

18 & LITTLEFIELD, LLC
500 14TH STREET N.W.

19

20 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30318
404-856-3276

21

22 adenton@robbinsfirm.com

23

24

25

1
2
3
4 CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:
5
6 Defendant's Counsel: EDWARD H. LINDSEY, JR., ESQUIRE
DENTONS US, LLP
7
8 303 PEACHTREE STREET N.E.
9 SUITE 5300
10 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30308
11 404-527-4580
edward.lindsey@dentons.com
12
13 Defendant's Counsel: SAMUEL S. OLENS, ESQUIRE
14
15 DENTONS US, LLP
303 PEACHTREE STREET N.E.
16
17 SUITE 5300
18 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30308
404-527-4108
19
20 sam.olens@dentons.com
21
22 Defendant's Counsel: KAYE WOODARD BURWELL, ESQUIRE
OFFICE OF THE COUNTY ATTORNEY
23
24 141 PRYOR STREET S.W.
25 SUITE 4038

1 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30303

2 404-612-0251
kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov

3

4 CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:

5

6 Defendant's Counsel: BRYAN P. TYSON, ESQUIRE
STRICKLAND, BROCKINGTON &

7

8 LEWIS, LLP

9 1170 PEACHTREE STREET N.E.

10 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30309

11 404-219-3160
bryan.tyson@sbllaw.com

12

13 Defendant's Co-Counsel: RICHARD A. CAROTHERS, ESQUIRE

14

15 CAROTHERS & MITCHELL, LLC
1809 BUFORD HIGHWAY

16

17 BUFORD, GEORGIA 30518

18 770-932-3552
richard.carothers@carsmith.com

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4 I N D E X

WITNESS	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
WITNESSES CALLED ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF				
Marilyn Marks	31	38,51,54	43,56	50
Sara LeClerc	60,72	74,77,78		
Jeanne Dufort	80			
Christopher Brill	84	89,91,127,128	93,120	113,114
Matthew Bernhard	137	150,188,198	156,187,204	
Michael Barnes	210,243	254,276	279	286,287
WITNESSES CALLED ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT				
None				

EXHIBITS MARKED ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF

NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE OFFERED	PAGE RECEIVED
P-1	- Secretary of State Email	41	Not Admitted
P-2	- LBJ Notes Excel Spreadsheet	64	66
P-3	- Brill's Affidavit	98	98
P-7	- National Academies of Science Engineering and Medicine's report, Secured Boot, from 2018	168	168
P-8	- Center for Election Systems at		

1 Kennesaw State Email

2 Communication 2017 243 243

3

4 EXHIBITS MARKED ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT

5

	NO.		DESCRIPTION	PAGE OFFERED	PAGE RECEIVED
6	1	-	Duncan-Tweet Regarding Fundraising	52	54
7	2	-	Ballot Design	236	236
8	3	-	Base Precinct with Reports	264	265
9	4	-	Base Precinct with Reports	264	265
10	5	-	Active Voter TS Status	266	266
11	6	-	Active Voter TS Status	266	266
12	7	-	Certified Returns Fulton County	273	273
13	8	-	Certified Returns Gwinnett County	273	273
14	9	-	Certified Returns Dekalb County	273	273
15	10	-	Official Election Returns 2010	273	274
16	11	-	Official Election Returns 2014	273	274
17	12	-	Official Election Returns 2018	273	274
18	13	-	Turnout Percentage Document	273	274

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1
COBB COUNTY, GEORGIA
2
THURSDAY, January 17, 2018 - 9:02 a.m.
3
4
* * * * * * *
5
6
THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.
7
MALE: Good morning, Your Honor.
8
THE COURT: Take your seats, if you will.
9
THE COURT: First of all, if you are here for the
10
uncontested divorce calendar, that includes name changes
11
and all those kind of things, you are in the wrong place.
12
That is in Juvenile Court Number One. You have to go back
13
across the bridge if you're in here by mistake.
14
Okay. And we have some recordings. Rule 22. Is
15
everybody in here on -- you're here on the -- supposed to
16
be some others. Ms. McDonald.
17
MS. MCDONALD: Yes, ma'am.
18
THE COURT: You're here. Mr. Wilke?
19
MR. WILKE: Yes, ma'am.
20
THE COURT: Yeah, he's here. And who's the other
21
one?
22
THE COURT: Kauffman. Mr. Kauffman.
23
MR. KAUFFMAN: That's me.
24
THE COURT: How'd you get up there?
25

1 MR. KAUFFMAN: I asked Mr. Davis earlier if it was
2 okay if I sit here because recording.
THE COURT: And you did what, Mr. Davis?
3
4 MR. DAVIS: [Inaudible].
THE COURT: Why did he get preference than everybody
5
6 else?
MR. DAVIS: No one else asked.
7
8 THE COURT: Nobody else asked. Okay. Good statement.
9 Anybody else want to come in and [inaudible] -- okay.
10 We'll do that.
11 This is mainly on the case of Rhonda Martin, Smyth
Duval, and Jeanne Dufort versus Geoff Duncan, Fulton
12
13 County Board of Registration and Elections, Gwinnett
County Board of Registration and Elections. Mr. Brown,
14
15 where are your clients?
MR. BROWN: Jeanne Dufort is here.
16
17 THE COURT: She's what?
18 MR. BROWN: Your question was what, Your Honor?
THE COURT: Where are your clients?
19
20 MR. BROWN: My client, this is Jeanne Dufort.
THE COURT: Okay.
21
22 MR. BROWN: She is the plaintiff in the case.
THE COURT: Okay. And are the other two here too?
23
24 MR. BROWN: Smyth Duvall.
25 THE COURT: Mr. Duvall is here?

1 MR. BROWN: He is here. Yes, Your Honor.

2 THE COURT: Okay. Just trying to identify. Do you need seats to bring them to the table?

3

4 MR. BROWN: We're sort of crowded so this is fine.
THE COURT: You all need to be at this table?

5

6 MR. BROWN: No. He doesn't -- you're fine. I mean, we're okay.

7

8 THE COURT: You are?

9 MR. BROWN: Yeah.

10 THE COURT: And then on this side. Everybody's

11 together.
MR. BROWN: I would like to make a request that

12

13 Marilyn Marks, who is the executive director of the former plaintiff, Coalition, and it's been -- helping me in this

14

15 case, it would be an -- it would be a great assistance to me, just professionally, if she could also ask specific --

16

17 THE COURT: She can't sit at the table.

18 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: She can't sit at the table.

19

20 MALE: My only concern is that we do intend to invoke the Rule. She going be a witness?

21

22 MR. BROWN: She may and we will decide whether she goes first or not. So she can stay out here.

23

24 MR. LINDSEY: If she stays -- if she's the first

25 witness then I would object to her staying.

1 THE COURT: I'm sorry?

2 MR. LINDSEY: If she's the first witness knows the Rule, which I guess I have no objections --

3

4 THE COURT: Okay.
MR. LINDSEY: -- to her staying.

5

6 THE COURT: Okay. We'll follow that. Everybody else agree? I see Fulton and Gwinnett?

7

8 MS. BURWELL: Yes, Your Honor.

9 THE COURT: Okay.

10 MR. LINDSEY: [Inaudible] she could come in.

11 THE COURT: Okay. On the Rule 22 request, you cannot take anything at each individual table to the lobbies.

12

13 Okay. I know you were here last time and you did a good job, but you can't -- or any conversation between lawyers

14

15 and witnesses. You have to honor the confidentiality.
MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.

16

17 THE COURT: Okay. You all are standing up. I think

18 that Mr. Brown we filed a mandatory trial.
MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.

19

20 THE COURT: And I know I got a response from Fulton County.

21

22 MALE: Yeah. We also have a response as well that simply mimic the county's and we are filing it today.

23

24 THE COURT: Okay.

25 MALE: Can I approach the bench?

1 THE COURT: Mr. Groton does that. There's no clerk
2 because this is a Fulton County case. So he has to do that. Okay. I guess -- will I hear first from is -- let
3
4 me hear from -- Mr. Brown, why do you think juror demand is appropriate?
5
6 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the statute is very clear. It says that the case should be tried to the court unless
7
8 a jury demand is made. And that is explicit and without
9 exception. And we have made a demand for a jury trial and
10 the facts that will be tried, or triable to a jury both in
11 the election case and in any other case.
THE COURT: Okay.
12
13 MR. BROWN: So that's our request. Thank you.
THE COURT: What order are you going in over at that
14
15 time?
MALE: She filed -- Fulton County filed first of all
16
17 --
18 THE COURT: Are you Ms. Blackwell?
MS. BURWELL: Burwell. Burwell.
19
20 THE COURT: Burwell. I'm trying to get names right. Okay.
21
22 MS. BURWELL: Kaye. Right. Yes. Kaye Burwell on behalf of Fulton County.
23
24 MALE: Judge --
25 THE COURT: I got it.

1 MALE: Judge, I'm sorry. We have one more Rule 22
2 from the AJC that you haven't had a chance to review.
THE COURT: So I have not written [inaudible].
3
4 Anybody -- who's here from the AJC?
FEMALE: I am.
5
6 THE COURT: Okay. Anybody have an objection to the
AJC covering this? Mr. Brown.
7
8 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor.
9 MR. LINDSEY: In fact, they're more than welcome to
10 sit in the jury.
11 THE COURT: You're welcome to sit in the jury box.
Come sit over here if you want to.
12
13 MS. BURWELL: Think at a better angle.
THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.
14
15 MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, it is Fulton County's
position that the demand for a jury trial by the
16
17 petitioners is insufficient. We cited the Court to the
18 Henderson case, which clearly provides that there is a
two-step analysis for determining whether or not a jury
19
20 trial is available to a petitioner and they have satisfied
the first, which was merely to demand.
21
22 They haven't satisfied the second. They haven't
provided this Court with any information or argument to
23
24 support that under other laws at issue in the case, there
25 is a matter upon which they are entitled to have a trial

1 by jury. And that's the second part and they haven't
2 presented this Court with anything that would be sufficient for them to satisfy that burden. And so based
3
4 upon the statute itself, which is 21-2-522, as well as the Henderson case, because they utterly failed to allege
5
6 issues that would be triable to a jury, we believe that a jury trial demand is inappropriate.
7
8 Further, Your Honor, as we pointed out in our
9 response, the statute provides that if there are issues
10 that need to be tried, a jury from that particular county
11 needs to be impaneled. And in this instance, they are -- they have allegations in their complaint about Worth
12
13 County, Henry County, DeKalb County, and perhaps other counties that we don't know about yet. And so the Court
14
15 would be required if they had alleged something that was triable to a jury, would need to impanel juries from each
16
17 of those jurisdictions in order to hear whatever issues
18 would need to be heard.
And for that reason, Your Honor, obviously the ins of
19
20 the election contest statute, which is the swift and expedient determination of a contest, would be forwarded.
21
22 Thank you.
THE COURT: Thank you. Any additional arguments?
23
24 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, Bryan Tyson on behalf of
25 Gwinnett County, just very briefly. As the Fulton County

1 counsel, Ms. Burwell, has correctly pointed out, the cases
2 that they have cited control there is no constitutional right to a jury trial in an election contest case.
3
4 And I think under the circumstances that the demand only came after the motion of continuance had been denied
5
6 in the case that this is an attempt by the plaintiffs to delay this case when this case under the Election Code
7
8 needs to be resolved quickly and brought to a conclusion,
9 especially considering that the Legislature is already in
10 session and the lieutenant governor is presiding this
11 morning, even in the State Senate. Thank you.
THE COURT: Anything from you, Mr. Lindsey?
12
13 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we simply just joined with two other co-defendants with their positions.
14
15 THE COURT: Mr. Brown.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, quickly, three points.
16
17 Gwinnett raises the timeliness issue. Again, the statute
18 is clear and the demand needs to be made before the case is called.
19
20 Second, counsel for Fulton County says that we haven't made allegations about facts that are triable to a
21
22 jury. We have a very detailed fact-bound complaint and each of the factual allegations in there, in any civil
23
24 case, would be triable to a jury and I know of no
25 exception. For example, were significant programming

1 errors made? Can the vote tallies be reconciled? Are
2 there signs of malicious manipulation of the vote tallies? All of those things are factual issues. Thank you, Your
3
4 Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. I strike the demand for jury.
5
6 This is a bench trial. It does not comport with the statute. Okay. You want to make an opening statement --
7
8 whoa. Let's not do that. Okay.
9 MR. LINDSEY: I'm sorry. Sorry. And just as a
10 reminder, Your Honor, we have invoked the Rule.
11 THE COURT: The Rule is invoked. So witnesses, you have need to be outside.
12
13 MR. BROWN: Starting now, Your Honor?
THE COURT: Starting right now.
14
15 MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Starting right now.
16
17 MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, on behalf of Fulton County,
18 we have our [inaudible] representative, Mr. Barron. We'd like him to be able to stay to my --
19
20 THE COURT: He's who?
MS. BURWELL: Mr. Barron. Mr. Richard Barron. He's
21
22 the election superintendent for --
THE COURT: Is he being told to stay?
23
24 MS. BURWELL: -- Fulton County.
25 MR. BROWN: Clarification, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Yes, sir.

2 MR. BROWN: We have two expert witnesses and typically they are not sequestered because they may be
3

4 relying upon the client testimony. And so we would request Your Honor's clarification that it does not apply
5

6 to expert witnesses.
MR. LINDSEY: And we believe that in this case that
7

8 it should unless they intend -- we have no objection to

9 them remaining in the courtroom --

10 THE COURT: Once they testify.

11 MR. LINDSEY: -- once they testify in case they need to be brought back up on rebuttal based on any fact that
12

13 need to be brought. But in terms of their initial testimony, we would ask the rule be [inaudible].
14

15 THE COURT: I think Mr. Brown, you -- we do that when you have experts and they have experts. We have dueling
16

17 experts, but you've got two of the same. So once they've

18 testified, they can stay.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
19

20 THE COURT: Okay. And that means you cannot discuss each other's testimony.
21

22 MR. LINDSEY: And for that matter, Your Honor, I just wanted to clarify for both sides. Once the witness has
23

24 testified, as long as there is an assurance by the lawyer

25 that that person will not be brought back up for any

1 further reason, I -- any purpose, but I don't have any
2 problem with them remaining in the courtroom once they've testified.
3
4 THE COURT: Okay.
MR. BROWN: My understanding of the rule, Your Honor,
5
6 is that if a witness is in the courtroom, the witness is thereafter disqualified from being called.
7
8 MR. LINDSEY: Yeah. That's all I'm saying.
9 THE COURT: That's correct.
10 MR. LINDSEY: But I'm saying I don't have a problem
11 with them staying after they've testified.
THE COURT: Okay. Opening statement, Mr. Brown.
12
13 Open? Want to make an opening statement?
MR. BROWN: Oh, yes, Your Honor. Initially, we -- as
14
15 a matter of procedure, we have still pending a motion to compel.
16
17 THE COURT: I've denied that motion to compel.
18 MR. BROWN: Okay. In addition -- just for the record, Your Honor, we would like to make a motion for
19
20 additional discovery under the Civil Practice Act.
THE COURT: Sir, I've denied all that.
21
22 MR. BROWN: I understand.
THE COURT: We've been through it. I looked. I have
23
24 17 filings in this case in the last two days; okay?
25 Nobody's going to believe my list of work in this case.

1 Those all have been denied. They are preserved for the
2 record.
MR. BROWN: Right.
3
4 THE COURT: I've ruled on them. The record is clear that -- I've ruled. If that's what you're concerned
5
6 about, I've ruled; okay?
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, the
7
8 issue in this case is whether the election was so
9 defective as to place in doubt the result of the
10 lieutenant governor's contest. This case is not like any
11 other election case. In every other election case the evidence of defectiveness, of a defective election, is
12
13 hard documentary evidence. It is misspelled names on an absentee ballot.
14
15 It is a person who was ineligible to vote. It is some flaw in the electoral process that can be
16
17 demonstrated with hard evidence in court. The State of
18 Georgia has chosen a voting system which embeds in it the results, the true results of an election never to be
19
20 discovered after the vote is made.
The distinction between this case and every other
21
22 voting case is that there is no tangible evidence of the result of the election. There is none at all. Instead,
23
24 all we have are traces, secondary evidence, giving some
25 hint as to whether or not the voting totals are correct or

1 not.

2 And what are those and what are those hints here? What evidence do we have that this vote is reliable? We

3

4 have none, Your Honor. What -- instead, what we have, and our experts will testify -- Your Honor, is there a issue

5

6 that I should address or is there --

THE COURT: Mm-hmm?

7

8 MR. BROWN: Are you -- let me --

9 THE COURT: A gentleman just came in and I'm not sure

10 if he's trying to record, that's the only thing.

11 MR. BROWN: Okay.

THE COURT: Sir, do you have anything to record?

12

13 MALE: No, Your Honor. I was sitting back here and I was having trouble hearing so I moved up.

14

15 THE COURT: No problem. Go ahead.

MR. BROWN: Sorry, Your Honor.

16

17 THE COURT: Sure.

18 MR. BROWN: Thank you. The --

THE COURT: I'm just trying to preserve the

19

20 courtroom. Go ahead. But I'm hearing you.

MR. BROWN: But what the evidence we do have are the

21

22 traces of what happened and all of that evidence shows that this election was defective. The most obvious

23

24 evidence is the vote patterns. And as Your Honor has read

25 in our briefs already, these voting patterns were aberrant

1 in the extreme.

2 And the reason we know they were aberrant, the reasons we know they were aberrant is that this has never

3

4 -- nothing like this has ever happened before, but to me most probative is that the pattern that we see in the

5

6 electronic voting, the under-vote for the lieutenant governor's contest, is not seen in the paper votes. We

7

8 have 200,000 paper votes, Your Honor.

9 It's a huge sample of people in all walks of life,

10 all around the state, of every political persuasion, and

11 for some reason they all, or 99 percent of them, voted in the lieutenant governor's race. One or a little bit more

12

13 than one or less than one out of 100 decided not to vote. Historically, that is exactly what you would expect. For

14

15 the last 20 years, the consistent under-vote for the lieutenant governor's contest in Georgia is .8 percent,

16

17 less than 1 in 100.

18 In Georgia, the history is if you're going to take the trouble to vote, and not everybody does sadly, but if

19

20 you're going to take the trouble to vote, whether in-person, early, absentee, or live, is that 1 out of 100

21

22 will not vote for the lieutenant governor. That is what happened in the paper ballots this year. One out of 100

23

24 decided not to vote for the lieutenant governor's race.

25 Now for some reason we have many, many thousands more on

1 the electronic ballot, a gap of 4 or 5 percent in the
2 electronic vote.
There is no legitimate explanation for that. It
3
4 could not have happened by chance. The samples are too
large for that to be simply random chance. So it's not
5
6 chance. Could it be because the lieutenant governor's
race was not very interesting to people? There's no
7
8 reason to believe that, Your Honor. And even if you were
9 inclined to believe that prima facie, then why did 99 out
10 of 100 vote on paper?
11 The same goes for every other explanation for this
anomaly. Let the defendants make that out. In a criminal
12
13 case a convicted -- a defendant may be sent to the
electric chair if the prosecution eliminates every
14
15 plausible alternative to their guilt. Here what we will
do, and what the evidence will show, is that every other
16
17 plausible explanation other than machine defect caused
18 this other vote and because it was machine defect it's a
defective election; so defective that its results are in
19
20 doubt.
A couple things to clarify our position to make sure
21
22 that Your Honor understands what our claim is. The
defendants claim that this is a matter of simple math.
23
24 Our response to that is two-fold. First is that their
25 simple math is wrong. It's just bad arithmetic, Your

1 Honor. Our second response -- and we'll explain that to
2 Your Honor in the course of these proceedings.
3 The second response to that is that it's not simple
4 math. You can't -- Your Honor understands this quite well
5 is that it's not simple math if you have multiple unknown
6 variables. Because this election was so defective the
7 State does not know the true vote got Mr. Duncan, does not
8 know the true for Ms. Amico. And third, it doesn't know
9 why there's a gap in the under-vote.
10 Our position isn't that there were some votes that
11 were lost. Our position is that the entire contents is
12 tainted because the under-vote shows that the machines
13 simply were not working -- that they were defective; so
14 defective as to cast the entire election in doubt and
15 require a new election.
16 The second point I wanted to make sure we were clear
17 on in terms of our position is that what we need to show
18 today and tomorrow is that the election was defective. We
19 do not need to show malice. We do not need to show that
20 the defendants or anybody else did something intentionally
21 to rig the vote or anything like that. That's not what
22 this case is about.
23 This case is about machines and whether or not they
24 worked and the evidence will show manifestly that they did
25 not. Your Honor, the course of these proceedings, and I

1 caution your understanding of this, is that this argument
2 is not rearguing the motions that we have lost, but is instead to take the case as it's presented right now. And
3
4 that is the plaintiffs have shown prima facie and will show prima facie that this election was defective.
5
6 I call Your Honor's attention to OCGA 24-14-22. That statute says, and I'll quote it, "If a party has evidence
7
8 in such party's power and within such party's reach by
9 which he or she may repel a claim or charge against him,
10 but omits to produce it or if a party has more certain and
11 satisfactory evidence in his or her power but relies on that which is of weaker or inferior nature, a presumption
12
13 arises that the charge or claim against such party is well founded; but this presumption may be rebutted."
14
15 Your Honor, what this law -- this law is commonsense but it's also fundamentally important in terms of fairness
16
17 and accuracy and decision-making by the courts. We will
18 show that there's no plausible explanation other than machine malfunction. The defendants can escape that
19
20 charge if they prove that they have investigated, they've done their own, and they can bring into court evidence
21
22 that this -- these machines are working.
Instead, they will not do so and as Your Honor is
23
24 well aware, they have resisted doing so, and they have not
25 done so in discovery. And so when the plaintiff makes his

1 case, or her cas, and the defendant's position is, well,
2 it's just a black box; we don't know what's in that black box, then the plaintiff wins, Your Honor.
3
4 Your Honor, in terms of the order of proof we have some witnesses -- the sequence of the witnesses may change
5
6 a little bit because of the sequestration. In addition, we will have applied witnesses, people who actually
7
8 attended -- actually voted or trying to vote. We will
9 have an expert on forensics and on these particular DRE
10 machines, and we will have an expert on the numbers and on
11 the politics behind the numbers.
And at the conclusion of this matter, we believe that
12
13 the Court will be authorized, if not compelled, to conclude that this election was so defective as to place
14
15 the results in doubt. Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: [Inaudible] Mr. Lindsey? Okay.
16
17 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, on
18 behalf of myself and Mr. Olens, we are here representing the Lieutenant Governor Geoff Duncan who won his election
19
20 on November 6, 2018, by a significant margin, 123,172 votes.
21
22 The statutory in case law is clear as set forth in the case of Hart versus Crawford, which said, and I quote,
23
24 "The setting aside of an election in which the people have
25 chosen the representative is a dramatic remedy that should

1 not be taken lightly but instead should be reserved for
2 cases in which the person challenging an election has clearly established that the violation has placed the
3 result of the election in doubt."
4 This requires, Your Honor, more than mere speculation
5 or guess work. More than saying that things might have possibly happened but they must show by clear evidence
6 that a -- that some type of problem occurred and that it
7 was a problem of great enough significance to wipe out a
8 margin of victory of over 123,000 votes.
9 In this case, not only are the plaintiffs not going to be able to show that they were the breach of Georgia's
10 election system, but we will also show why Ms. Amico received the vote counts she did, which I want to state
11 right here at the beginning, which is that within the range of the other unsuccessful Democratic candidates in
12 2006 [sic]. Ms. Amico received 1,828,566 votes.
13 Granted, this was less than the votes received by the more profiled Democratic candidates, such as Ms. Abrams,
14 but it is a vote count much higher than four other Democratic candidates and is within a few thousand votes
15 of two other ones. So in other words, she -- her vote count as a Democratic in the 2016 election was right
16 square in the middle among all the various Democrats who
17 ran statewide here in Georgia. That's number one.

1 Number two, in terms we will be able to establish why
2 the vote count for Ms. Amico was what it was and why there was a differentiation between the paper and electronic
3
4 ballots. The fact of the matter is, Your Honor, we were dealing with an ahistorical election; and never before has
5
6 Georgia had this many new voters vote in one election. In 2014, there were approximately 98,000 new voters that
7
8 voted.
9 In 2018, there were almost four times that number
10 that voted. The fact of the matter is when you have this
11 many new voters, you have a greater number amount of confusion that takes place. You also have the fact that
12
13 the way in which the ballot was configured on paper ballots versus the electronic system could have added to
14
15 that confusion inadvertently by virtue of how the various individuals on the ballot were placed.
16
17 In the case of the electronic ballots, they were in a
18 -- what you might call an east-west position. In the paper ballots they were in a north-south position right
19
20 next to each other, which would add to the confusion for many voters, particularly many new voters here in Georgia
21
22 as to whether or not Ms. Amico and Ms. Abrams were running on a ticket.
23
24 This is aggravated further by the way in which Ms.
25 Amico chose to run her campaign, which was to seek to run

1 as a team with Ms. Abrams. So that alone would suffice to
2 show why there was a difference between the paper and the electronic ballots. In addition, quite frankly, unlike a
3 lot of the other candidates, Ms. Amico received a lot of negative press in the closing days of the campaign.
4 And that closing -- that negative press was particularly being likely to dampen Democratic enthusiasm
5 for her candidacy given the fact that there were
6 allegations while she was a senior officer of a
7 corporation, that that corporation was engaged in
8 systematic racial discrimination.
So there were multiple reasons, Your Honor, not
9 simply one as to why there was the down-ballot voter gap that existed in this race as opposed to others. And we
10 will look forward to presenting those to you. Thank you, Your Honor.
11 THE COURT: Okay. [inaudible]?
12 MS. BURWELL: Thank you, Your Honor. The Fulton County Board of Registrations and Elections is charged
13 with following State law and to follow State law in order to ensure that citizens of Fulton County receive a fair
14 and accurate election when they go to the polls. And we believe the evidence will show that the Fulton County
15 Board of Registrations and Elections did just that.
16 We believe the evidence will show that the Board

1 accurately recorded the will of the Fulton County voters.
2 As this Court knows, there's no such thing as a perfect election. There never has been and there never will be.
3
4 But in this instance, these petitioners have a heavy burden and a burden we believe the evidence, with respect
5
6 to Fulton County, will now bear out their claims. And that's because the case is very clear that not every
7
8 garden variety irregularity entitles anyone to a new
9 election and that's because elections are designed to
10 carry out the will of the people.
11 And again, we believe that this election did just that. And at the close of the evidence we're going to ask
12
13 the Court to deny petitioner's request.
THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Tyson.
14
15 MR. TYSON: Thank you, Your Honor. Bryan Tyson for Gwinnett County. We are in agreement with the prior two
16
17 defendants. The key point for this Court is that the
18 burden on the plaintiffs is high.
The election is presumed valid. These are the
19
20 certified results, unless the plaintiffs can bring forward specific evidence of what was the irregularity that could
21
22 have caused the result to be placed in doubt, they cannot succeed in their claim. The availability of any number of
23
24 plausible explanations, which the evidence will show, will
25 defeat that. The ballot design question, the fact that

1 this election was the first time in a governor's election
2 that we've not had a U.S. Senate race also happening at the same time, and so that affected the ballot design
3
4 worked and affected the way -- on the DRE's particularly that voters were looking at those ballots.
5
6 Voter decisions obviously could play a role. Lack of a third party candidate could play a role. Any number of
7
8 factors could explain the dip in the votes for Ms. Amico's
9 candidacy. And the Supreme Court is abundantly clear that
10 speculation alone is not enough to overturn an election.
11 Plaintiffs have the burden of showing hard evidence, of demonstrating exactly what the cause was, and also
12
13 demonstrating that literally no other cause could have happened. They have to come forward with affirmative
14
15 evidence that demonstrate that fact. The constitutional claims, Your Honor, had been dismissed.
16
17 The only thing left in this case is a statutory
18 election contest and that is ultimately a mathematical issue. 123,000 votes have to be shown to be in question
19
20 in addition to the -- so that the plaintiffs can carry their burden and if they're not able to demonstrate that
21
22 or show an irregularity that places the entire result in doubt through hard evidence, then they will not be able to
23
24 succeed in their claims and we do not believe they'll be
25 able to and believe the election should be upheld. Thank

1 you.

2 THE COURT: First witness, Mr. Brown.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, we will call Marilyn Marks as
3

4 our first witness.
THE COURT: Would you swear the witness, please, Mr.
5

6 Brown?
MR. BROWN: Ms. Marks, please raise your right hand.
7

8 Do you promise to tell the truth, the whole truth, and

9 nothing but the truth?

10 MS. MARKS: Yes.

11 MR. BROWN: Have a seat.

12

13 Thereupon:

14

15 MARILYN MARKS

16

17 was called as a witness by the Petitioner; and,

18 having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

19

20 DIRECT EXAMINATION
OF MARILYN MARKS

21

22
BY MR. BROWN:
23

24 Q. Please state your full name for the record?

25 A. Marilyn Marks.

1 Q. Ms. Marks, by whom are you currently
2 employed?
3 A. I am the executive director of Coalition
4 for Good Governance.
5 Q. And what is the Coalition for Good
6 Governance?
7 A. Coalition for Good Governance is a
8 nonprofit, charitable organization, non-partisan
9 organization, that works on election transparency,
10 election integrity, voter privacy. We have a few
11 core issues of that nature that we really focused on
12 and we're a small organization.
13 Q. Is electronic voting one of those core
14 issues?
15 A. In fact, we probably -- yes, it is. In
16 fact, we've probably spent the better part of the
17 last three years with 100 percent of our resources
18 focused on problems with electronic voting in the
19 fact that electronic voting is not verifiable --
20 cannot be on the table --
21 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we object [inaudible] --
22 THE COURT: [inaudible] not responsive to the
23 question.
24 MR. BROWN: That's right. That's fine. Thank you.
25 THE COURT: Just answer -- you'll get there.

1 MR. BROWN: That's right.

2 THE COURT: He'll get to everything you need to say. Give him a chance; okay?

3

4 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. And what in particular about electronic

5

6 voting has caused the Coalition to focus upon that as one of their issues?

7

8 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'm going to object. She

9 hasn't been qualified as an expert and this isn't a case

10 as to whether or not there are doubts. It's the terms of

11 whether or not electronic voting versus paper ballots or some other system would be better. That's an argument

12

13 that needs to be done -- as a matter of fact, being done down at the State Capitol.

14

15 The question today is whether or not there was an actual taking place in this election, in this particular

16

17 election. So there are two objections. Number one, she

18 hasn't been qualified as an expert; and number two, the question is irrelevant to the issues before the Court at

19

20 this time.
THE COURT: Mr. Brown?

21

22 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I asked her a factual question as to what the Coalition's focus was on and she

23

24 was answering it.

25 THE COURT: Well, but she got beyond -- she started

1 to testify as an expert and she can't testify as an expert
2 unless you can qualify her. I understand your position
and I know what the law is. I'm going to give them a
3
4 little latitude in this case.
MR. BROWN: I understand. Sure.
5
6 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. What is your -- I'm going to ask you some
7
8 questions about your background. And first, your
9 organization is based where?
10 A. Yes. Our headquarters is in Charlotte,
11 North Carolina.
Q. And what is your personal connection to
12
13 Georgia?
A. Well, actually I had my business career
14
15 here before I retired.
Q. And what was your business career?
16
17 A. I was the CEO and owner of a truck-trailer
18 manufacturing reorganization and we're headquartered
here in Atlanta.
19
20 Q. And skipping back to -- so you've lived
many years in Georgia; correct?
21
22 A. I lived in -- I plan to move back shortly.
Q. Okay.
23
24 A. I've been spending the vast majority of my
25 time here for the last four or five months.

1 Q. Ms. Marks, what is your experience
2 generally with electronic voting systems and whether that's a good method of recording votes?
3
4 A. Okay. Ten years ago I got very interested in elections; in fact, ran for Mayor of Aspen,
5
6 Colorado. And at that time, they were using the Diebold voting system that is also used in Georgia.
7
8 They were using it slightly differently. But at that
9 time is when I began to be concerned about the
10 electronic portion of the system.
11 And I tested the system as part of my work as a citizen and I became an election judge, and ended up
12
13 spending a considerable amount of time getting to know the system. And over the last ten years I
14
15 devoted myself -- having lost the election I devoted myself to the problems that were begin to be
16
17 uncovered in my own loss in the election.
18 And I have spent the last ten years doing work around troubled elections [inaudible] organization
19
20 tends to called into troubled elections, particularly when there are unverifiable electronic elections
21
22 where the results cannot be audited like this one.
Q. Have you ever been involved in a post-
23
24 election audit?
25 A. I have.

1 THE WITNESS: Your Honor, would it be all right if I
2 have a cup of water?
MR. BROWN: Yeah. Here. I'll get that, Your Honor.
3
4 THE COURT: Actually, your lawyer's got some.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
5
6 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. I believe you were testifying about post-
7
8 election audits, Ms. Marks.
9 A. Yes. I have been involved in various forms
10 of post-election audits starting with my own loss in
11 the election in 2009 and then primarily in Colorado,
although some in North Carolina, South Carolina, and
12
13 whereas in Georgia there is no post-election audit.
But, yes, I've been both in server; I've helped plan;
14
15 I've a poll watcher for post-election audits.
I've trained what in Colorado called canvas
16
17 board members who conduct the post-election audit.
18 So I've trained those for Libertarian Party and some
of the nonpartisan candidates -- not candidates,
19
20 excuse me, members of canvas boards. So I've spent
hundreds of hours in post-election auditing.
21
22 Q. Have you testified in court before, Ms.
Marks?
23
24 A. Yes, many times. Coalition for Good
25 Governance has done a lot of work in litigat- -- in

1 election litigation and so I have been in court a
2 lot.
3 Q. You mentioned paper ballots and the --
4 based upon your understanding of the allegations in
this petition, do you understand that the petitioners
5
6 are seeking a new election; do you understand that?
7 A. Yes.
8 Q. And do you have an understanding of whether
9 the petitioners want the new election to be on paper
10 ballot on the one hand or on the electronic ballot on
11 the other?
12 A. It is clear that the petitioners want only
13 a verifiable election, which has to be on paper
ballots.
14
15 Q. And you -- based upon your work, have you
become familiar with Georgia's processes and
16
17 procedures for conducting electronic and paper
18 elections?
19 A. Yes, I'm very familiar with those
20 procedures. I began my work in Georgia in the spring
of 2017 and began to analyze the problems in the
21
22 unverifiable DRE system. The debunked DRE system at
the time actually -- should I tell you about when --
23
24 Q. Just wait.
25 A. Okay.

1 Q. Based upon your understanding of Georgia's
2 practices and procedures in voting, would it be feasible for Georgia to conduct a paper ballot for
3
4 any re-elect new election?
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object to that question.
5
6 The foundation hasn't be laid for that; it's a legal conclusion. She's not qualified as an expert.
7
8 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would -- thank you, Mr.
9 Tyson, if I may interrupt. I should have before asking
10 that question and I'll withdraw it -- ask that Ms. Marks
11 be qualified as a witness about DRE machines generally and their use in Georgia and the practices and procedures of
12
13 the State of Georgia for paper ballots and for electronic ballots.
14
15 MR. TYSON: If I could ask [inaudible] show of questions of Ms. Marks.
16
17 THE COURT: Surely. Go ahead.
18 MR. TYSON: Good morning, Ms. Marks. My name is Bryan Tyson. I represent the Gwinnett County Board of
19
20 Elections -- Registrations and Elections. I just had a couple additional questions for you. You mentioned you
21
22 testified in court before.
23
24 CROSS-EXAMINATION
25 OF MARILYN MARKS

1

2 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. Have you ever qualified as an expert in a
3

4 court proceeding?
A. No. And have never intended to be.
5

6 Q. Okay. Have you ever been involved in the
administration of an election in Georgia a member of
7

8 the Board of Elections, a staff member in elections

9 office?

10 A. No, I have not been a Georgia resident so I

11 would not have been qualified for that. I have been
a poll watcher several times for candidates and for
12

13 the Libertarian Party in Georgia.
Q. Mr. Brown asked you about your work with
14

15 electronic voting machines. Do you have any degrees
or specialized training in computer science?
16

17 A. No. I just have a practical hands-on

18 experience.
Q. Do you -- have you -- I'm sorry. It's
19

20 correct, isn't it, that you have no first-hand
knowledge of any DRE machine that you personally
21

22 reviewed for the 2018 Georgia general election; is
that correct?
23

24 A. I'm sorry. Do you mind repeating the

25 question?

1 Q. Sure. It's correct, isn't it, that you
2 have not personally reviewed any DRE machines that were used in the 2018 Georgia general election?
3
4 A. No. That is incorrect.
Q. Okay. Which machines have you reviewed?
5
6 A. Okay. So I'll not be able to tell you the serial numbers, not off the top of my head,
7
8 certainly. The machines that I observed as I was a
9 poll watcher and I watched them operate -- I looked
10 at the data that was coming up on the screen in a
11 number of poll locations, and did so in a number of the 2018 elections.
12
13 So, I did watch the operations, I watched the shutdown of the machines, and then watched the
14
15 progression records through the compilation tallies. So, yes, I have observed the operation of the
16
17 machines as a authorized statewide poll watcher.
18 Q. So, it would be correct to say, wouldn't it, that you've observed them from a distance, but
19
20 you've not personally examined any of the DRE machines; is that correct?
21
22 A. That would not be correct.
Q. Have you personally ever touched a DRE
23
24 machine?
25 A. Oh, certainly.

1 Q. Have you ever voted on a DRE machine?
2 A. I have.
3 Q. Have you voted on a Georgia DRE machine?
4 A. I have not voted in -- wait, excuse me. I
5 think I was about to not get that correct. When I
6 was a resident here, I believe they were just
7 bringing in the DRE machines and so I believe I did
8 vote many years ago on the DRE machine.
9 Q. But you're not sure?
10 A. I -- I voted on a DRE machine in North
11 Carolina.
12 Q. And you personally believe that Georgia
13 should move to a paper ballot system; correct?
14 A. Certainly.
15 MR. TYSON: I don't have any other questions at the
16 moment.
17 THE COURT: Anybody else have questions for her?
18 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, we renew our objection.
19 She's never been qualified as an expert.
20 THE COURT: Go ahead.
21 MR. TYSON: For one, she just finished saying that
22 she doesn't consider herself an expert. So -- and she's
23 not demonstrated any expertise on the technical issues
24 that are involved in this case. She certainly has some
25 very strong opinions and we understand it's good for her

1 to get up on the stand to sort of lay out what the
2 plaintiffs want. But in terms of providing any factual evidence to support their claim, she's simply not been
3
4 qualified as an expert [inaudible].
MR. LINDSEY: And, Your Honor, we would also object
5
6 to Ms. Marks being qualified as an expert. We already her expertise on the DRE machines. She's testified that it's
7
8 limited to just observing them from a distance.
9 And that we don't believe that that will in any way
10 assist the Court in determining fact in issue under 702(b)
11 because that's not one of the issues here in terms of what someone saw on the machine. The issue is what was the
12
13 vote in that issue.
In addition, we would also object to her
14
15 qualification regarding Georgia practices and procedures in that those are ensconced in the election code and Your
16
17 Honor is capable of determining those without the
18 assistance from an expert.
THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
19
20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, she's not being -- a lot of what Mr. Lindsey said I didn't quite follow, but she is
21
22 not being qualified as to the technical aspects of the DRE. We have another expert for that. She instead is
23
24 being qualified for the procedure. Thank you.
25 THE COURT: The question you asked would have

1 required her to be an expert and she's not qualified as an
2 expert. You asked, you know, could Georgia conduct paper
-- I am listening. I don't look like I am sometimes but
3
4 that helps me intensely listen.
You asked her really about whether paper ballots
5
6 could be done and she has no expertise to be able to
testify to something like that.
7
8 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
9
10 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
11 OF MARILYN MARKS
12
13 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Let me ask you some questions and you --
14
15 you have not been qualified as an expert, so when I
ask you a question it's going to have be based on
16
17 your personal knowledge; do you understand that?
18 A. Yes. Yes, I do. Uh-huh.
Q. Okay. Who is Taran Greenwald?
19
20 A. Taran Greenwald is an analyst for Coalition
for Good Governance.
21
22 Q. Okay.
MR. BROWN: And has the Coalition -- let me hand you
23
24 what I'll have marked --
25 THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.

1 MR. BROWN: -- as Exhibit 1.

2 [Thereupon, the referred-to document was entered into

3 evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 1]

5

6 MR. TYSON: If we may, Your Honor, could we see a copy first? Thank you, Your Honor.

7 THE COURT: [inaudible].

9 BY MR. BROWN:

10 Q. Ms. Marks, what is Exhibit 1?

11 A. Exhibit 1 is a voting records request that was made by Mr. Greenwald and the response from the

12 Secretary of State to his request to review certain of the records.

14 Q. Did Coalition for Good Governance send a number of Open Records Act requests to the Secretary?

16 A. Yes, we did.

18 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I object. I'm not real sure where we're going here.

19 THE COURT:

20 MR. LINDSEY: The Court -- I assume you're trying to

21 -- just trying to lay down proper evidence -- evidence you couldn't get in. No, you couldn't obtain.

23 THE COURT: Well, so far -- so far -- I haven't seen

25 the document; okay, he hasn't admitted. So far he hasn't

1 asked --

2 MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible]
THE COURT: -- an objectionable question yet.
3

4 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: I think -- I think both Mr. Lindsey --
5

6 MR. LINDSEY: I'm anticipating -- my apologies, Your
Honor.
7

8 THE COURT: -- Mr. Lindsay and I are anticipating; we

9 may be absolutely wrong. Go ahead.

10 MR. LINDSEY: My apologies, Your Honor.

11 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Ms. Marks, is this a true and correct copy
12

13 of a January 9 email from open records at the
Secretary of State to your company's analyst, Taran
14

15 Greenwald?
A. Yes. It is.
16

17 Q. And without going through everything, what

18 does the letter say?
THE COURT: Well, you'll have to tender it before --
19

20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would move to introduce
Exhibit 1 into evidence.
21

22 THE COURT: Now, Mr. Lindsay.
MR. LINDSEY: Objection, Your Honor. It's hearsay
23

24 and it's lay -- you have to also lay a foundation as to

25 what's relevant to the particular issue before us.

1 THE COURT: Is it certified, Mr. Brown?

2 MR. BROWN: It is not. It's an email. It's a business record, Your Honor. It's an email from the

3

4 Secretary of State to her organization.
THE COURT: I -- it's not -- it's not tendered --

5

6 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: -- I mean, it's tendered; it's not

7

8 admitted.

9 MR. BROWN: Okay.

10 BY MR. BROWN:

11 Q. Ms. Marks, you testified that you were a poll watcher.

12

13 A. Yes.
Q. And was that in the November 2018 election?

14

15 A. I did. I wasn't a poll watcher in 2018, but I was also a poll watcher in previous 2018

16

17 elections and in Georgia.

18 Q. Okay. And were you ever a poll watcher in early voting for the 2018 election?

19

20 A. Yes. I was a statewide poll watcher for the Libertarian Party and the poll watcher

21

22 credentials covered the period of early voting through the of the election.

23

24 Q. Were you a poll watcher at the Ponce de

25 León Library polling location?

1 A. Yes, I was. And the way it works is that
2 we're a poll watcher for all locations.
3 Q. And -- but you were a poll watcher -- you
4 went to poll -- you went to the Ponce de León Library
5 polling location; correct?
6 A. Yes. During early voting.
7 Q. And what did you personally observe at the
8 -- that polling location?
9 A. The first thing I noticed when I got there
10 were two-hour long lines for people to get in and
11 then how hard it was for people to get into vote, and
12 I saw many people leaving because they couldn't vote.
13 And I could go through with you the troublesome --
14 Q. Well, let me ask you. Let me ask you that.
15 A. Uh-huh.
16 Q. Did you observe the DREs in operation from
17 an appropriate distance?
18 A. I did.
19 Q. Were they operating correctly?
20 A. They were not.
21 Q. And why so?
22 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'll object. I think this
23 requires Ms. Marks to have a degree of expertise regarding
24 the operation of the DRE machines for her to opine about
25 whether they were operating properly or not. There's not

1 a foundation for that.

2 THE COURT: I -- I --
MR. BROWN: I'll withdraw the question, Your Honor --
3

4 THE COURT: Withdraw --
MR. BROWN: -- and make it easier. I can make this
5

6 easy.
BY MR. BROWN:
7

8 Q. Were some of the voting machines not

9 working in that they were not taking votes at all?

10 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'll object again.

11 [inaudible] foundation, Ms. Marks can testify to that.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor --
12

13 THE COURT: She -- I think you're making much too
general of a question. I understand there's a specific
14

15 allegation. Get to the specific allegation.
MR. BROWN: Okay.
16

17 THE COURT: Okay.

18 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Ms. Marks, was the long line the result of
19

20 some of the machines not working?
A. Yes, it was.
21

22 Q. And how do you know that?
A. I -- the work of a poll watcher is to
23

24 observe the entirety of what's going on.

25 THE COURT: Okay. Just answer the question, please,

1 ma'am.

2 MR. BROWN: Yeah, just --
THE WITNESS: And I could see that the machines were
3

4 malfunctioning. I could see the screen, the machines were
malfunctioning. It was taking a very long time for those
5

6 voters to go back --
BY MR. BROWN:
7

8 Q. How were they malfunctioning, from your

9 perspective?

10 A. Okay. I was standing about five feet away

11 --
Q. And what --
12

13 A. -- it was a small place.
Q. And what did the machines do?
14

15 A. And so what would happen is a screen would
come up that would say, vote cancelled. As the voter
16

17 was attempting to enter their vote and a big red

18 warning sign came up, and the machine stopped
working. I observed that happening three times
19

20 during the 30 minutes I was at the library.
Q. Did you speak with any officials at the
21

22 polling location about that problem?
A. I attempted to. I was denied the ability
23

24 to talk to them.

25 Q. Okay. Thank you. Other than the

1 malfunctioning machines and the long lines, anything
2 else about the Ponce de León poll watching experience that is -- that struck you as unusual?
3
4 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'll just object to the question as worded. I don't think there's of the machine
5
6 were malfunctioning. I think there is testimony they displayed a red box; I don't think there's any testimony
7
8 that indicates that's that a malfunction.
9 MR. BROWN: I'll withdraw the question.
10 THE COURT: You'll have her on direct.
11 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Ms. Marks.
12
13 RECROSS-EXAMINATION
OF MARILYN MARKS
14
15 BY MR. TYSON:
16
17 Q. Ms. Marks, just one question before you
18 leave. I'll try to keep it short. You said that you saw three instances of the machine saying, vote
19
20 cancelling; is that correct?
A. That is correct.
21
22 Q. And you didn't witness any other indications of red screens coming on the machines
23
24 while you were at the Ponce precinct; is that
25 correct?

1 A. I witnessed three in 30 minutes and that is
2 all --
Q. Thank you.
3
4 A. -- and that was a sign that the machine
malfunctioning and the [inaudible] --
5
6 Q. I'm sorry. Yes. I don't believe there's
any evidence to explain it --
7
8 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, that's not a question. That
9 is a statement and I'd like to strike that from the
10 record.
11 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I request that the rest of
Ms. Marks' answer about the machine malfunction be
12
13 stricken; that there was no foundation for that statement.
THE COURT: You need to just answer the question. I
14
15 know -- I know your position; I know where you're coming
from; okay? No problem. I've already got that. You
16
17 don't have to impress me with that. Just answer the
18 questions.
MR. TYSON: All right. That's all I have. Thank
19
20 you.
THE COURT: Anybody else?
21
22 MR. LINDSEY: Just real briefly.
23
24 CROSS-EXAMINATION
25 OF MARILYN MARKS

1

2 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. Ms. Marks, is your position at the
3

4 Coalition a volunteer or paid position?
A. It is a volunteer position.
5

6 Q. Okay. Do you receive any compensation for
it?
7

8 A. None at all.

9 Q. Okay. Nevertheless, Ms. Marks, is it not

10 true --

11 MR. LINDSEY: Let me show you what we'll tender as
Duncan Defense 1.
12

13
[Thereupon, the referred-to document was entered into
14

15 evidence as Defendant's Duncan Exhibit No. 1]

16

17 BY MR. LINDSEY:

18 Q. This is a Tweet you sent out last night; is
it not? Is that not a Tweet you sent out last night?
19

20 A. It is.
Q. And does it not show that basically you are
21

22 using this trial as a fundraising mechanism for your
organization?
23

24 A. No, it does not show that.

25 Q. It does show that you are seeking to raise

1 money as part of this trial; correct?

2 A. Not as part of this trial; in order to pay attorney's fees and other legal cost.

3

4 Q. Okay.

A. That this trial will cost.

5

6 Q. Okay. And then you just sent out soliciting contributions as part of this trial;

7

8 correct?

9 A. Not as part of this trial, sir, but as part

10 -- routine requirements to raise money for a very

11 small organization with a high level of expenses.

Q. Okay.

12

13 A. We've been raising money for many years.

Q. All right. And this trial -- you sent this

14

15 out in anticipation of the trial today; correct?

A. This is consistent with fundraising

16

17 messages I've been sending out for years.

18 Q. Okay. So otherwise, you send other fundraising messages out when there are other trials

19

20 that are going on; correct?

A. Whether or not there are trials going on,

21

22 we are always in fundraising mode.

Q. Okay.

23

24 A. We need to be more.

25 Q. All right.

1 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we would tender this
2 Exhibit.
THE COURT: [inaudible] Let me have it, please.
3
4 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor. No objection, Your
Honor.
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: Defense Exhibit -- I guess it would be
Duncan 1.
7
8 THE COURT: Duncan 1. [inaudible], admitted. Go
9 ahead.
10
11 CROSS-EXAMINATION
OF MARILYN MARKS
12
13
BY MS. BURWELL:
14
15 Q. Ms. Marks, are you familiar with the
process for disabled voting on Georgia's DRE
16
17 machines?
18 A. I'm generally familiar with it. I've never
tested it myself as I have in other states.
19
20 Q. And so, what is your understanding of the
process?
21
22 A. To make sure I understand your question,
are you asking about an in-person disabled voter who
23
24 needs to use the assistive devices, is that what
25 you're --

1 Q. Yes.

2 A. -- asking me?
Q. Yes.
3

4 A. Okay. So I think that the process
generally is that the disabled voter can identify
5

6 themselves as needing the assistive device and the
poll worker ushers to a device, make sure they know
7

8 how to use it, and it's generally a vision-impaired

9 voter who would need the type of assistive device

10 that Georgia polls use and that they begin to mark

11 the ballots generally through a pad as they are
listening to what's called an audio ballot.
12

13 Q. So, are you familiar with how the actual
machine is set up for a disabled voter?
14

15 A. I am.
Q. Okay. And how would you be familiar with
16

17 that?

18 A. I've set one up before. Not in Georgia,
but just like them in other locations and I've tested
19

20 audio in other locations on the machine.
Q. So, are you familiar with what happens if
21

22 it is in disabled mode and someone uses it who is not
disabled?
23

24 A. Generally nothing very different happens,

25 not supposed to. Those machines are used frequently,

1 particularly when there are long lines. Those
2 machines are used frequently by voters in the standard mode.
3
4 Q. When you say, are used by voters in the standard mode, you mean a disabled voter?
5
6 A. No. I meant that while they do have the DREs with assistive devices, that they are not -- any
7
8 voter can, and they're not required to use the
9 assistive device.
10 Q. So, my question is, if -- and for a Georgia
11 machine it is set up for using an assistive device, but the person doesn't need it -- my question is, do
12
13 you know what happens on the machine? Do you know if it reveals anything or do you know what the machine
14
15 will show under those circumstances?
A. I don't think it shows if it is -- operates
16
17 as I believe it does -- I don't believe it shows
18 anything different than it does -- than a normal standard DRE setup does.
19
20 Q. Okay.
MR. BURWELL: Thank you.
21
22 THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
23
24 RE-DIRECT EXAMINATION
25 OF MARILYN MARKS

1

2 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Ms. Marks, if you would turn to Duncan 1, I
3

4 have a follow-up question for you.
A: I need a copy of it.
5

6 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, would you like my copy?
THE COURT: That's all right. I have it. But I
7

8 need to keep it in the stack.

9 BY MR. BROWN:

10 Q. Ms. Marks, your Tweet, which has been

11 identified as -- and introduced into evidence as
Duncan Number 1. In that you state, the state and
12

13 counties are opposing us at every turn in the court.
Do you see that?
14

15 A. I do.
Q. How has the Secretary opposed your efforts
16

17 in this matter?

18 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, objection to relevance.
Those have all been issues that have been raised to the
19

20 Court and by their very able attorney, Mr. Brown. He's
raised motions and you have denied each and every one of
21

22 those motions. And so --
THE COURT: Mr. Brown, why is that relevant to the
23

24 election contest we're trying right now? That's all we're

25 trying, is the election contest.

1 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, Mr. Lindsey opened the door
2 by introducing this into evidence in an effort to smear Ms. Marks and I'm --
3
4 THE COURT: He's not talking -- let's -- you know, I told you the other day about inflammatory language. He's
5
6 not trying to smear. He's trying to challenge her credibility.
7
8 MR. BROWN: Sure.
9 THE COURT: That's a whole -- that's a different
10 thing.
11 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. It was -- it was not my intention to smear Mr. Lindsey, I assure you.
12
13 THE COURT: I understand.
MR. BROWN: And -- thank you. I have no further
14
15 questions. Oh, well, I do. I'm sorry. If I may, Your Honor.
16
17 THE COURT: Go ahead.
18 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. HAVA. Do you know what HAVA is?
19
20 A. I do.
Q. And what does it stand for?
21
22 A. The Help America Vote Act.
Q. And are the -- is the examination of --
23
24 from Ms. Burwell, did that relate to that federal
25 law?

1 MR. LINDSAY: Your Honor, I'll object. That calls
2 for a legal conclusion.
3 MR. BROWN: Okay. Let me back up. I'll withdraw the
4 question.
5 THE COURT: [inaudible]. Sometimes it's just
6 rephrasing.
7 MR. BROWN: True. Thank you, Your Honor.
8 BY MR. BROWN:
9 Q. You -- is it your understanding, and this
10 is to follow up on the questions from Ms. Burwell --
11 is it your understanding that in some instances it is
12 required to use a DRE system that has the mechanisms
13 for assisting disabled voters?
14 MR. LINDSAY: Your Honor, I'll object again. I don't
15 think there's a foundation laid for this question and I
16 think we're back to a relevance objection again, as well,
17 that this is not relevant to the election contest.
18 THE COURT: I think -- and he's right. You've got
19 other experts coming in, so it's really neces- -- she's
20 not been qualified as an expert; she's not an expert. She
21 has a lot of information but she's not an expert. So I
22 think you need to wait for your experts for some of this.
23 MR. BROWN: That's fine, Your Honor. Thank you. I
24 have no further questions. Thank you, Ms. Marks.
25 THE COURT: You may go down. Next witness?

1 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, just to confirm, we are
2 excusing Ms. Marks -- is Ms. Marks excused so she can remain in the courtroom?
3
4 THE COURT: Yes. She is excused.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
5
6
[Thereupon, the testimony of the witness concluded at
7
8 10:25 a.m.]
9
10 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the plaintiffs would call
11 Sara LeClerc.
THE COURT: You'll have to go get her. We -- we only
12
13 see to have one deputy so you'll have to get her, or have someone go get her.
14
15 MR. BROWN: Please raise your right hand. Do you promise to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but
16
17 the truth?
18 THE WITNESS: I do.
19
20 Thereupon:
21
22 SARA LECLERC
23
24 was called as a witness by the Petitioner; and,
25 having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

1

2 DIRECT EXAMINATION
OF SARA LECLERC

3

4 BY MR. BROWN:

5

6 Q. Please state your full name for the record.
A. Sara M. LeClerc.

7

8 Q. Please have a seat. Okay. Could you spell

9 your last name for the court reporter, please?

10 A. Absolutely. It's L-E- capital C-L-E-R-C.

11 Q. Is it LeClerc; is that correct?
A. It's LeClerc, but --

12

13 Q. LeClerc.
A. -- [inaudible] doesn't matter.

14

15 Q. Ms. LeClerc, by whom are you currently
employed?

16

17 A. I work for myself. I'm an attorney and I -

18 -
THE COURT: I'm sorry.

19

20 THE WITNESS: I just work for myself. And I'm an
attorney and I -- so I work on a contract basis for other

21

22 firms.
BY MR. BROWN:

23

24 Q. And where did you go to law school?

25 A. The University of Virginia.

1 Q. Okay. And when did you graduate?
2 A. 2007.
3 Q. And did there come a time that you
4 participated in the 2018 elections in any way?
5 A. Yes.
6 Q. And what was your role?
7 A. Well, my first role I would say other --
8 well, other than actually in the election as a
9 citizen, I volunteered to observe, be a poll watcher,
10 a poll observer, so.
11 Q. And with whom did you volunteer? Was it an
12 organization that --
13 A. Yeah. I went to a training with the
14 Georgia Democrats.
15 Q. And did you end up observing any election?
16 A. Yes, I did.
17 Q. And where did you -- where were you?
18 A. Well, I did some early voting observations,
19 as well as Election Day, and then also the runoff
20 Election Day in December. So I was at different
21 locations for each of those days.
22 Q. And on November 7th, where were you
23 located?
24 A. It was November 6th.
25 Q. November 6th, I'm sorry.

1 A. And November 6th I was at Allen Temple AME
2 Church.
THE COURT: Which one?
3
4 A. Allen Temple AME. It's on Joseph Boone
Boulevard in Atlanta.
5
6 Q. And in the course of being an observer, do
you take contemporaneous notes of what you are
7
8 observing?
9 A. Yes. So, if something happens, it's not
10 just a perfectly smooth process, then I use my iPhone
11 and I have an app at the direction of to an LBJ
reporting tool. So I took notes directly to the
12
13 iPhone and website.
Q. And what does LBJ stand for, if you know?
14
15 In this instance.
A. Probably Lyndon Baines Johnson.
16
17 Q. Okay.
18 A. I believe it was named after him, given
[inaudible].
19
20 Q. Okay. And did you, in fact, enter your
notes and observations when you were at the AME
21
22 location on November 6th?
A. Yeah, I did; well, multiple times
23
24 throughout that day.
25 MR. BROWN: And I'm going to hand you a -- an Exhibit

1 and let me -- if I may explain this to counsel.

2 [Thereupon, the referred-to document was entered into

3 evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 2]

5

6 MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible].
MR. BROWN: Understood. Put it on the record while I

7 hand it to you because it's hard to read.

9 MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible] with my glasses.

10 BY MR. BROWN:

11 Q. And what I've handed to counsel is a large-
print version for Mr. Lindsey, like the books you get

12 from Amazon, and then the actual Excel spreadsheet,
which is too small even for me to read, and you could

14 just see that these blocks were copied onto this.
MR. LINDSEY: I understand. Which one do I get?

16 Both of these?

18 MR. BROWN: This is what I'm using as evidence.
MR. LINDSEY: Okay. Do I have a copy of that?

19 MR. BROWN: And you have that so you can verify it.
MR. LINDSEY: For the record, Your Honor, I had the

21 Lasix surgery. I can still read this.
THE COURT: This one? This is P-2?

23 MR. BROWN: This is P-2, Your Honor.

25 BY MR. BROWN:

1 Q. Now, Ms. LeClerc, the notes that you take
2 on the LBJ system appear on what looks like an Excel spreadsheet; is that correct? And that is not what's
3
4 in front of you. That's what I handed to Mr. Lindsey.
5
6 A. I have the large print of what you said is the Excel spreadsheet.
7
8 Q. And does that appear to be a large-print
9 version of the very small print on the Excel
10 spreadsheet?
11 A. Yes.
Q. And does the Excel spreadsheet have a true
12
13 and correct recording of the notes that you took on the LBJ system as you were observing things in the
14
15 AME voting location?
A. Yes. All of the notes that start with Sara
16
17 LeClerc, that's -- those notes are all on, yes.
18 Q. And if it's someone else -- excuse me. And if it's someone else, their name would appear, like,
19
20 Benjamin Thorpe [ph]; correct?
A. Right. There were maybe one or two notes
21
22 by a different person and their name appears next to those notes.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would like to introduce
25 Defendant's 2 into the record. I mean, sorry. P-2 into

1 the record.

2 MR. LINDSEY: If I understand it, these are contemporaneous notes that are from your observations; is

3

4 that correct?
THE WITNESS: Yes.

5

6 MR. LINDSEY: Okay. No objection.
THE COURT: [inaudible]. Yeah. Thank you.

7

8 BY MR. BROWN:

9 Q. Now, Ms. LeClerc, the way this prints out,

10 where do we start?

11 A. You actually start at the back, so these are my first pages and my last.

12

13 Q. Okay.
A. [inaudible].

14

15 Q. And let's just walk through your notes and I'll ask you some questions on what you were

16

17 observing. Looking at page four --

18 A. If I can clarify that.
Q. Sure.

19

20 A. Actually these are by incidence --
Q. Okay.

21

22 A. -- so the first incident actually starts at the bottom of page three.

23

24 Q. Okay. And what was that incident that you

25 observed?

1 A. There was an issue where the Express
2 machines were to pick up their ballots. The number that was on the machines -- well, there -- there were
3
4 two machines at this location. So, they -- the two poll workers were comparing the machines and noticed
5
6 that one machine was a couple numbers different from the other machine's count. So they seemed to be out
7
8 of sync and having discrepancies.
9 Q. And was that issue resolved?
10 A. Yes. That did get resolved. The poll
11 manager called in for a technical help but was told that it would self-correct in time and it did self-
12
13 correct [inaudible].
Q. And then what was the next incident?
14
15 A. The next incident that I started taking was that just one of the voters who came in had --
16
17 started ac- -- oh, I'm sorry.
18 Q. Could you -- I was going to ask you to refer to your notes, so maybe we could follow along
19
20 with your observations. Was this the senior voter?
A. Yes.
21
22 Q. Okay. Turn with me to the bottom of page two.
23
24 A. Yes.
25 Q. Are those your notes relating to that

1 senior voter?

2 A. Yes.
Q. And just go ahead and explain without
3

4 looking at this what you recall. That's fine.
A. So, I noticed that -- well, a voter came in
5

6 to check in, got their ballot. She was a rather
elderly lady walking on a cane, very kind, and she
7

8 went to the machine to vote. At that point, nothing

9 out of the ordinary had happened, but she started

10 turning around and asking for some help with the

11 machine.
So, we pulled to help her, to assist her. And
12

13 the two of them were at the machine for a brief time.
I think the manager left and the voter continued
14

15 voting. Then she called the manager back again and
the manager went back to assist. And so the two of
16

17 them were at the machine together for a little while

18 and appear to me ordinary but the manager is allowed
to assist the voter if the voter asks for help.
19

20 It lasted for a little while longer than I would
have anticipated and at the end of that, the voter
21

22 went down -- went over to some chairs to sit and
wait, and I noticed that the manager started shutting
23

24 that DRE machine down, closing it up, and so that was

25 unusual to me and I wanted to figure out what was

1 going on. Why was that machine getting closed? Was
2 there a problem?
So at first I was just observing. I didn't want
3
4 to get in the way of whatever the manager was doing.
And I went over to talk to the voter. She was
5
6 actually just sitting and waiting for her ride so
that she could get back to her home. So I went up to
7
8 her and asked her if everything okay. Was she able
9 to vote? And --
10 MR. LINDSEY: And, Your Honor, I'll object. Ms.
11 LeClerc's been asked [inaudible] what the voter said to be
hearsay.
12
13 THE COURT: [inaudible]. She asked her, fine. Go
ahead, what's next?
14
15 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Did you have a conversation with the voter?
16
17 A. I had a conversation with the voter.
18 Q. And based upon that conversation, did you
have an understanding of what was happening?
19
20 THE COURT: No. Understanding what's happening is
hearsay.
21
22 MR. BROWN: Okay.
THE COURT: Did you did anything as a result of it?
23
24 BY MR. BROWN:
25 Q. What did you do in response to receiving

1 the information from the voter?

2 A. So, I waited for the manager to finish up what she was doing with the machine and then

3

4 approached her to ask what happened, what -- why was the machine had been closed, what was going on.

5

6 Q. And what did the manager tell you?
MR. LINDSEY: Again, I'll object, on hearsay.

7

8 MR. BROWN: That is an admission. The manager is

9 employed by the defendants. That's an admission.

10 THE COURT: Well, does Fulton County -- does Fulton

11 County have anything to say about that?
MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible].

12

13 MS. BURWELL: Well, Your Honor, the -- it is true that the poll manager would be employed by Fulton County,

14

15 but I don't believe that the poll manager is in a position to bind the County.

16

17 THE COURT: I -- I -- I agree with that, but I'm

18 going to let the witness say what she said.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

19

20 THE WITNESS: So, the poll manager told me that she needed to close the machine because that machine had self-

21

22 cast the voter's ballot before the voter had finished voting. And the manager told me that she was assisting

23

24 the voter on the review screen. So after you make your

25 selection to get the review screen.

1 And the manager noticed that the -- there was no
2 selection made for the race for lieutenant governor and for one other race, which the manager didn't name to me.
3
4 And so she had asked the voter -- the voter intended to vote in those races. The voter said, yes --
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: That would be an objection, Your Honor. That's what the voter said.
7
8 THE COURT: That's hearsay at this point.
9 MR. LINDSEY: Yes.
10 THE COURT: But as a result of that -- I'm going to
11 let it go ahead on this one.
MR. BROWN: Okay.
12
13 THE COURT: Uh --
MR. BROWN: Go ahead, Ms. LeClerc.
14
15 THE WITNESS: So, the manager pointed to where the lieutenant governor race was, and the voter put her finger
16
17 on the area for the lieutenant governor race selection so
18 she could vote for the lieutenant governor race. And instead -- which is nowhere near the area for submit
19
20 ballot, but when she touched lieutenant governor, the machine said, your ballot has been submitted and there was
21
22 nothing they could do at that point.
So, it just self-cast before the voter could actually
23
24 make her selection on the lieutenant governor or the other
25 race.

1 BY MR. BROWN:

2 Q. Did you observe whether the poll officials took that machine out of service at that time?

3

4 A. Yes. The manager did take it out of service immediately.

5

6 Q. And then was that machine put back in service?

7

8 A. It was put back in service later in the

9 day. Yes.

10 THE COURT: Let's -- let's take a morning break, take

11 a 10-minute break.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

12

13
[Off the record at 10:25 a.m., and back on the record

14

15 at 10:37 a.m.]

16

17 THE COURT:

18
CONTINUATION DIRECT EXAMINATION

19

20 OF SARA LECLERC

21

22 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Ms. LeClerc, returning to your testimony

23

24 about your observations at the AME Church, did you

25 take any photographs of the poll tapes when you were

1 there?

2 A. Yes. I took photographs of the tops of the poll tapes that were able to print.

3

4 Q. And did you have the opportunity to observe any issues with the electronic polling?

5

6 A. Yes. And --

Q. And what did you see? What did you

7

8 observe?

9 A. So as far as the poll tapes were concerned

10 it's -- was my understanding that he receives at the

11 end of the night they print out a tape giving a record of whatever votes have been cast or at least a

12

13 breakdown by races, by each race.

At this location there were five DRE machines,

14

15 but this instance one of the machines would not print at all and the manager and workers tried many

16

17 different ways to try to get it to print. They

18 called the technical support, but they were never able to successfully from the fifth machine. So I

19

20 was not able to observe the tape of that fifth machine.

21

22 Q. Ms. LeClerc, what was the manager's reaction, if any, to the difficulties that you

23

24 described that the senior voter experienced?

25 A. To me she appeared very alarmed by what had

1 happened. When I spoke with her, she -- her tone of
2 voice was just kind of frantic and her -- you know,
3 she just with her arms and she couldn't believe that
4 the vote had cast because the voter's finger was
5 nowhere near the area for the submit ballot. So she
6 was just -- just incredulous to me.
7 Q. Did she indicate to you whether she was
8 going to file some sort of complaint with the
9 Secretary of State?
10 A. She did at one point that day. She said
11 she was going to -- she planned to file some
12 complaints because there were multiple technical
13 issues that day that they experienced.
14 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, that is the last question I
15 have for Ms. LeClerc. I have misplaced the photographs
16 that I was going to have tendered to her and I will --
17 THE COURT: [inaudible] to put them in, [inaudible].
18 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
19 THE COURT: [inaudible].
20 MR. BROWN: Oh, okay.
21 MS. LECLERC: May -- I'm sorry; may I make --
22 THE COURT: No. You can't say anything else.
23
24 CROSS-EXAMINATION
25 OF SARA LECLERC

1

2 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. Ms. LeClerc, your primary role that day was
3

4 to try to tabulate the votes that were being cast
from Ms. Abrams, correct --
5

6 A. Uh --
Q. -- and report back to the Democratic Party;
7

8 would that be fair?

9 A. No. No. That would not be fair.

10 Q. Well, that's what you did, though, didn't

11 you?
A. That was one component of what I did but it
12

13 was not about Ms. Abrams. It was just about
reporting total numbers --
14

15 Q. Oh, okay.
A. -- of votes cast, not by race or anything
16

17 like that. It wouldn't -- [inaudible] like that.

18 Q. I'm sorry. No problem. What I'm saying --
so some -- I want to make sure I understand. Your
19

20 job was to try to report back to the Democratic Party
the total votes that were cast from that precinct;
21

22 correct?
A. That was one of the [inaudible].
23

24 Q. Okay. Thank you.

25 A. [inaudible].

1 Q. And you reported what you understood to be
2 the total number of votes that were cast in that precinct; correct?
3
4 A. Yes, I did.
5 Q. Okay. Were you ever informed that there
6 was any kind of discrepancy with what was ultimately reported to the Secretary of State's office about the
7
8 total number of votes that were cast in -- from that
9 precinct?
10 A. I don't know what was reported to the
11 Secretary of State --
12 Q. Okay.
13 A. -- I just know what the poll workers and managers told me and what was printed on the --
14
15 Q. Okay.
16 A. -- four out of five tapes that printed.
17 Q. Okay. And you reported that -- my question
18 is, did you ever receive back word from the Democratic Party that they was a discrepancy?
19
20 A. No.
21 Q. Okay. Thank you. In regards to this
22 elderly worker from this report that you did, the elderly worker thought -- rather the elderly voter
23
24 thought that her vote had been properly cast;
25 correct?

1 A. She thought it had been submitted; that her
2 ballot had been submitted.
3 Q. Yes. And she didn't have any objections,
4 correct, when you talked to her?
5 A. No.
6 Q. Let me -- I think I've asked in the form of
7 a negative. Let me clean it up. My momma told me
8 never to do that. Did this voter ever report to you
9 any complaints about whether her vote had been
10 properly recorded?
11 A. No.
12 Q. Okay.
13
14 CROSS-EXAMINATION
15 OF SARA LECLERC
16
17 BY MR. TYSON:
18 Q. Good morning, Ms. LeClerc. My name's Bryan
19 Tyson with the Gwinnett Board of Elections. I just
20 wanted to ask you briefly. You indicated you were a
21 poll watcher, but later you said you were observing.
22 Were you a registered poll watcher or were you still
23 an observer?
24 A. Yes. I was a -- I was officially appointed
25 as a, I'm not sure if it's called poll watcher or

1 poll observer. But yes, I got a credential so that I
2 could be there and observe.
Q. And that was by the Democratic Party;
3
4 correct?
A. Yes.
5
6 Q. Okay. And regarding the voter that you
testified about, do you know what her name was?
7
8 A. I do not.
9 Q. Okay.
10 MR. TYSON: Thank you.
11
CROSS-EXAMINATION
12
13 OF SARA LECLERC
14
15 BY MS. BURWELL:
Q. Ms. LeClerc, the Exhibit 2 that had your
16
17 notes?
18 A. Yes.
Q. Does that include the incidents -- all the
19
20 incidents you testified about today?
A. Yes. Everything I talked about today,
21
22 those incidents are in the notes.
Q. Okay. Is there anything that's not in your
23
24 notes that you found -- excuse me?
25 A. Yes -- I mean, of course there -- I had

1 many observations that I didn't put in my notes, yes.

2 Q. But the things that you thought were important were the things that you put in the notes

3

4 that are Exhibit 2?

A. That's right.

5

6 Q. Okay. Thank you.

MR. BROWN: I don't have any further questions, Your

7

8 Honor.

9 THE COURT: Okay. You may go back to your seat.

10 Thank you. Next witness?

11

[Thereupon, the testimony of the witness concluded at

12

13 _ a.m.]

14

15 MR. BROWN: The plaintiffs would call plaintiff Jeanne Dufort.

16

17 Please raise your right hand. Do you promise to tell

18 the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?

THE WITNESS: I do.

19

20 MR. BROWN: Please have a seat.

21

22 Thereupon:

23

24 JEANNE DUFORT

25

1 was called as a witness by the Petitioner; and,
2 having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
3
4 DIRECT EXAMINATION
OF JEANNE DUFORT
5
6
BY MR. BROWN:
7
8 Q. Please have a seat so we can hear you
9 through the microphone. Please state your full name
10 for the record.
11 A. My name is Jeanne Dufort.
Q. Ms. Dufort, are you a plaintiff in this
12
13 election?
A. I am.
14
15 Q. In this litigation? Where are you from?
A. I live in Madison, Georgia, in Morgan
16
17 County.
18 Q. Are you a registered elector of the State
of Georgia?
19
20 A. I am.
Q. Are you a resident of Morgan County?
21
22 A. I am.
Q. And were you entitled to vote for either
23
24 Mr. Duncan or Ms. Amico in the contested election?
25 A. Yes.

1 Q. Mr. Dufort, what interest, just generally
2 and be very brief, but just to introduce yourself to the Court. What is your interest generally in
3
4 political activities and the election activities?
A. So, when I turned 18, I did two things. I
5
6 registered to vote and I registered to donate funds. And I've been doing both ever since. Gave --
7
8 THE COURT: [inaudible]
9 THE WITNESS: -- because I can do that, right? And
10 both of them --
11 THE COURT: It wasn't in the context. Go ahead.
THE WITNESS: Both of them lifelong habits, just
12
13 depends. Thanks to Sister Aletha, my high school civics teacher, I became very interested in American
14
15 political science and I was a major in that at the University of Chicago, which also while I was there as a
16
17 student was the first time, I was a poll watcher. So I
18 would say it's a lifelong habit of mine to be passionate about moving and about the wonderful and amazing system we
19
20 have of self-governance in the United States.
BY MR. BROWN:
21
22 Q. Ms. Dufort, have you been a poll watcher in Georgia?
23
24 A. Yes. Most recently in the 2018 general
25 election I was a registered poll watcher.

1 Q. Thank you.

2 MR. BROWN: I don't have any further questions.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I have four pages of
3

4 detailed cross-examination [inaudible].
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
5

6 MR. LINDSEY: Just have to thank you for being a poll
watcher and I apologize to the young woman for not
7

8 thanking her for being a poll watcher as well. That's

9 hard work, all.

10 THE COURT: I assume you're just trying to establish

11 qualifications as planned.
MR. BROWN: That's correct.
12

13 THE COURT: Okay.
MALE: [inaudible]
14

15 MS. BURWELL: Nothing for Fulton County.
THE COURT: Okay.
16

17 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

18
[Thereupon, the testimony of the witness concluded at
19

20 11:41 a.m.]

21

22 MR. BROWN: As long as you stipulate that they are
voters and they voted in the election. That's all
23

24 [inaudible].

25 We're going to enter into a stipulation, Your Honor,

1 to accelerate this and that is that the plaintiffs are
2 registered voters, that they were entitled to vote in the November 6th election for the contested election and that
3
4 would satisfy -- it would eliminate the need to call the other -- thank you, Your Honor.
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: No objection
THE COURT: And does Gwinnett agree with that --
7
8 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
9 THE COURT: Fulton agree with that?
10 MR. BROWN: Thank you.
11 THE COURT: Yeah. They have to be. I understand.
MR. BROWN: Just a short break, Your Honor.
12
13 THE COURT: Okay. Can we go forward, please?
MR. BROWN: The plaintiffs will call Chris Brill and
14
15 we're going to get him now.
THE COURT: Yeah. [inaudible] go do that.
16
17 [inaudible].
18 MR. BROWN: Please raise your right hand. Do you promise to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but
19
20 the truth?
THE WITNESS: I do.
21
22 MR. BROWN: Please have a seat.
23
24 Thereupon:
25

1 CHRISTOPHER BRILL

2 was called as a witness by the Petitioner; and,

3 having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

4

5

6 DIRECT EXAMINATION
OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL

7

8

9 BY MR. BROWN:

10 Q. Please state your name for the record.

11 A. Christopher Brill.

12 Q. And Mr. Brill, by whom are you currently employed?

13 A. A company called TargetSmart. TargetSmart.

14 Q. And have you been engaged by the plaintiffs to give expert advice in this matter?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. I would like to go through your background a little bit and your experience, if I may. Where did you graduate from college?

17 A. University of New Mexico.

18 Q. And what did you study?

19 A. Political science.

20 Q. And what have you been doing since graduating from University of New Mexico?

21

22

23

24

25

1 A. I'm working mostly with political
2 consulting, so working with political campaigns, nonprofits, activist organizations on electoral
3
4 strategies and how to reach hard-to-reach electorates.
5
6 Q. And have you -- you've at TargetSmart since graduating?
7
8 A. No, I have not. Just for the past six
9 years.
10 Q. Okay. And to get a little better idea of
11 your actual work experience, describe the business of TargetSmart.
12
13 A. So, TargetSmart puts together -- our core business is putting together databases, such as
14
15 individual voter -- voter-level databases using the statewide voter files, election results, other types
16
17 of publicly available information, consumer data, to
18 try to build advice and help clients determine how to target voters, how to reach voters, and how to engage
19
20 in local campaigns. So that's kind of our main business focus.
21
22 Q. And describe who your clients would be or the different categories of clients.
23
24 A. So, clients could be (C) -- you know (C)(3)
25 or (C)(4) organizations so that our nonprofits or

1 other organizations. They could political committees
2 such as national party committees. They could also
be other nonprofits. So kind of a range of -- from
3
4 nonprofits to political campaigns and the like.
Q. And describe, if you will, a -- the kind of
5
6 work that you would do for a nonprofit or a political
party, let's say, or political organization, and go
7
8 through exactly what you, Chris Brill, would do if
9 you could.
10 A. Yes. So if a political party or candidate,
11 you know, approached us, usually it's about they want
to study the election they're about to run in and,
12
13 you know, how they want -- how to go about trying to
get to essentially a win. So we go in and -- myself.
14
15 I'll analyze the election.
I will see what happened the past, how many
16
17 votes were cast in the past; you know, how different
18 candidates perform and then we usually try to -- we
call it election math, essentially, so we try to, you
19
20 know, game out, okay, this is what's happened before,
this is what we think is likely to happen in this
21
22 next election, and so let's develop a strategy to
kind of get you potentially to win.
23
24 So we use the voter file. We use election
25 results. We use other types of modeling and other

1 strategies to try to help them be as efficient as
2 they can with the resources usually limited and how they can go about trying to be successful, and so on.
3
4 Q. Mr. Brill, do you have any experience evaluating the voting trends among various
5
6 demographics?
A. Yes.
7
8 Q. And do you have -- describe that
9 experience.
10 A. Yes. So generally it usually breaks down.
11 We look at individual -- different individual demographics and trends. So, for instance, we'll
12
13 look at everything from a voter's age to race, gender, ethnicity. We use polling to try to tease
14
15 out, you know, where our voters kind of coming down on an issue or candidate based on those demographics.
16
17 We'll also use the geographic information that's
18 available so, you know, whatever comes in from the census. There's also election results and try to
19
20 package all that together into a strategy that they could use to try and contact these specific voters in
21
22 certain areas. And again, it's really about trying to find these deficiencies because there's a lot of
23
24 voters and trying to figure out which ones you want
25 to try to engage with and how to engage with them.

1 Q. And I take it in your experience you have
2 experience analyzing voting trends; correct?
A. Yes.
3
4 Q. Do you have experience -- have you ever
been an expert witness before?
5
6 A. I have not, no.
Q. Okay. Have you -- do you have experience
7
8 in evaluating voting participation?
9 A. Yes.
10 Q. Okay. And what is that experience?
11 A. Generally, that will -- we'll look at, you
know, past turnout trends. So we'll see, you know,
12
13 what does turnout look like in previous elections.
We will look at things like drop-off, especially for
14
15 our clients that are down-ticket to try to determine
-- you know, how to mitigate drop-off if you can, and
16
17 we'll work with, you know, trying to, you know, use
18 again, the voter file, kind of geographic data to
kind of craft -- you know, look at the trends that
19
20 especially, you know, demographically is also
politically to try to see, you know, how leverage
21
22 those trends to be, again, successful in their
campaign and for everyone.
23
24 Q. Let me take one piece of that and ask you
25 another question on it. Did you say that you might

1 be engaged by a down-ballot candidate?

2 A. Yes.
Q. And is one of the concerns they would have
3

4 being under-voting? Is that -- might be a concern?
A. Correct. Yes.
5

6 Q. Okay. And would your work involve
evaluating why people don't vote for a particular
7

8 race if they are voting at all?

9 A. Correct. Yes.

10 Q. Okay.

11 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would like to tender Mr.
Brill as an expert witness on voting trends and on reasons
12

13 for under-voting and for his evaluation of specific
results of the 2018 election.
14

15 MR. TYSON: I'd like to ask more questions of Mr.
Brill, if I may.
16

17 THE COURT: Yes. Go ahead.

18 MR. TYSON: Good morning, Mr. Brill. My name is
Bryan Tyson. I represent the Gwinnett County Board of
19

20 Registrations and Elections. I just had a couple of
questions for you.
21

22

CROSS-EXAMINATION
23

24 OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL

25

1 BY MR. TYSON:

2 Q. You were explaining earlier to Mr. Brown about your role with voting trends and those kinds of

3 things. Have you ever had any study or done any analysis of ballot design?

5 A. Ballot design? No.

6 Q. Have you had any experience in election

7 administration in terms of you personally helping

8 administer an election?

9 A. No.

10 Q. Do you have any knowledge of DRE machines in Georgia or how they function technically?

11 A. No.

12 Q. Okay. So it's correct, isn't it, you have

13 no firsthand knowledge of any DRE machine in the state of Georgia that was used in the 2018 general

14 election; correct?

15 A. Correct.

16 Q. Okay.

17 MR. TYSON: All right, Your Honor, at this time we would -- Gwinnett County would object mostly on those

18 questions I'd asked first.

19 THE COURT: Anybody else got any questions?

20 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, we object to Mr. Brill's --

21 THE COURT: No, I understand that. Let me do --

1 MR. TYSON: Yes. I'm sorry.

2 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, just a couple questions.

3

4 CROSS-EXAMINATION
OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL

5

6 BY MR. LINDSEY:

7

8 Q. You've never worked on a campaign in

9 Georgia; is that correct?

10 A. Like a candidate campaign?

11 Q. Yeah.
A. No.

12

13 Q. Okay.
MR. LINDSEY: No further questions.

14

15 MS. BURWELL: I have no questions.
THE COURT: Okay.

16

17 MS. BURWELL: Okay.

18 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, we do object to Mr. Brill's
admission as an expert on this case. First of all, on the

19

20 issue of voting trends, that has nothing to -- under
702(b) facts and issues of the case; voting trends are not

21

22 an issue in this case.
As to -- in terms of the reasons for the under-vote,

23

24 Mr. Brill just testified that he has no experience in

25 terms of ballot design, election administration, what's

1 going on with the DREs; and as to the specific results of
2 this particular under-vote we would, on the same issue,
that he has no experience that's relevant to the Court to
3
4 determine the issue of this particular case.
THE COURT: Anyone else at this time? Nope? Okay.
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: We would join in the objection, Your
Honor.
7
8 MR. BROWN: Yeah, Your Honor, the argument that Mr.
9 Tyson made was not about what he's being tendered as an
10 expert in. In that end, I have established that he does
11 have expertise in what he's going to testify about. And
it is abundantly clear under Georgia law that he should be
12
13 qualified as a witness to both discuss the actual election
results and what possible reasons for the under-vote there
14
15 might be. And it may go to wait, but it certainly does
not go to admissibility. Thank you, Your Honor.
16
17 THE COURT: I'm not going to qualify him as an
18 expert. I don't think that's an area of expertise. And
I'm having trouble with that. He's going to say why the
19
20 numbers were this way and what could have happened. An
expert usually says what has happened, and I'm not going
21
22 to let you qualify him as an expert. You can ask him
questions and see where we go.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Sure. I'm going to ask you some
25 questions about what has happened.

1

2 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL

3

4 BY MR. BROWN:

5

6 Q. You with me, Mr. Brill?
A. Yes.

7

8 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, this is a borderline issue
9 and it may be rearguing and if it is, I apologize, but I -
10 - this is an important witness to us and an important line
11 of inquiry.
We would be asking Mr. Brill to explain possible
12
13 causes for under-voting and the point of that testimony is
to rebut the -- is to address the issues of why other than
14
15 machine malfunction there might be for an under-vote. And
he has testified that he advises clients on that issue and
16
17 that that is something that he is knowledgeable about.
18 THE COURT: Years ago I was trying a case in front of
old Judge [inaudible], he was quite a character, and me
19
20 and the DA -- I was defending -- me and the DA were going
at it and he goes, doesn't a judge get time to think?
21
22 Give me time to think about this one a little bit.
Let me -- and make sure I understand you. He wants to put
23
24 up a bunch of ideas about why there was an under-vote.
25 And you think that's an expertise?

1 MR. BROWN: Based upon his experience and his
2 knowledge about what to address, the possible areas of under-voting, of why it would be under-voting and why it
3
4 might not be based upon his knowledge and experience.
THE COURT: Well, I don't see that he has any
5
6 expertise. I'm having trouble with that. You know, he's done some things and he's got some ideas; that doesn't
7
8 make him an expert. He doesn't have any training; he
9 doesn't have any background; he doesn't have -- I'll let
10 you ask the questions and we'll see where we go.
11 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Uh-huh.
12
13 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Did you review the results of the 2018
14
15 election in Georgia?
A. Yes.
16
17 Q. And describe for the Court where you looked
18 at and what you reviewed.
A. Yeah. So I examined the totals that were
19
20 cast for eight ticket races that were on the ballot from governor down to I believe commissioner of
21
22 labor. And then I examined the under-vote that took place for each of those races to try to see, you
23
24 know, what that trend looked like and that's when I
25 first saw, you know, the outlier and the anomaly that

1 was the lieutenant governor's vote totals.

2 So what my analysis kind of focused on was trying to figure out exactly what -- where that

3

4 under-vote came from, what counties, why -- you know, why vote the way it did and what kind of reasons, you

5

6 know, that could possibly, you know, entail for it.
Q. And the information that you received came

7

8 from the Secretary of State's databases; is that

9 right?

10 A. Correct. Yes.

11 Q. And in the course of your work, do you sometimes also review data from other states?

12

13 A. Correct. Uh-huh.
Q. And do you also review historical data,

14

15 like say, from Georgia?
A. Yes.

16

17 Q. And did you look at the Secretary of

18 State's information in Georgia on older Georgia races?

19

20 A. Yes.
Q. And did you compare the voting trends and

21

22 patterns between this race and prior Georgia races in other states, lieutenant governor races?

23

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. And is that the kind of work that you do

1 for a living also for your clients?
2 A. Yes.
Q. And does that require you to look at
3
4 various databases and to sift a lot of different
information in a systematic way?
5
6 A. Yes.
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, Mr. Brown is leading the
7
8 witness on a lot of these points and he's testifying. I
9 think he needs to ask questions that are directly
10 addressing the witness.
11 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Brill, in your experience, have you
12
13 developed an expertise or understanding of reasons
for under-voting?
14
15 A. Yes.
Q. And without disclosing the reasons for
16
17 under-voting can you testify as to how you gained
18 that experience and expertise?
A. By examining thousands of elections across
19
20 all 50 states.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would like to retender Mr.
21
22 Brill as an expert on under-voting and the particular
reasons for under-voting, and how his understanding of
23
24 under-voting relates to what he's observed in the results
25 which are in evidence of the Georgia election.

1 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, we'll renew our objection on
2 this point as to Mr. Brill; that he certainly could have looked at a lot of numbers, but numbers alone are not
3
4 going to tell you why something is happening and I think that's what Mr. Brown is trying to get at as to Mr.
5
6 Brill's opinion about why and he has not shown Mr. Brill has expertise from just looking at various numbers on that
7
8 point.
9 MR. LINDSEY: I'll also add to that objection, Your
10 Honor. There's been no testimony whatsoever that this
11 individual has any intimate knowledge regarding the details of this particular race that took place;
12
13 therefore, he would not be qualified to be a --
THE COURT: I'm not going to qualify him as an
14
15 expert. I mean, the reasons why, I mean, there are some pretty obvious simple statements in any race, but I have
16
17 trouble with reasons why. You can testify to numbers and
18 all that kind of thing. I don't see -- reasons why, this isn't going. Next question.
19
20 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: P-3?
21
22 MR. BROWN: Yes.
BY MR. BROWN:
23
24 Q. Mr. Brill, is P-3 your affidavit?
25 A. I'm sorry?

1 Q. Is P-3 your --

2 MR. BROWN: Well, Plaintiff's Exhibit 3 your affidavit?

3

4 [Thereupon, the referred-to document was entered into

5 evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 3]

6

7

8 THE WITNESS: Oh, I -- yes.

9 BY MR. BROWN:

10 Q. And without disclosing any contents yet --

11 MR. TYSON: Counsel, could I get a copy?
MR. BROWN: Oh, I'm very sorry. Sorry about that.

12

13 THE COURT: Let me cycle the record to everybody. Various people made proffers of evidence; I did not look

14

15 at any of them. Everything should come in the courtroom.
BY MR. BROWN:

16

17 Q. Mr. Brill, without disclosing the contents

18 of it yet, at the plaintiff's request you prepared a report on the analysis of the 2018 Georgia lieutenant

19

20 governor under-vote; correct?
A. Correct.

21

22 Q. And in the course of that you observed certain numbers that came from the Secretary of

23

24 State; correct?

25 A. Correct.

1 Q. And did you analyze those -- well, did you
2 present those numbers on page two of your report to the Coalition?
3
4 A. Yes. I did.
5 Q. Okay. Let me refer you to Table 1, which
6 is entitled, Total 2018 Under-Vote by Office; do you see that?
7
8 A. Yes.
9 Q. Does that -- is that table an accurate
10 report of information from the Secretary of State's
11 website?
12 A. Yes.
13 Q. And it shows -- and just explain in terms of your terminology these columns. The first column
14
15 is the name of the race; correct?
16 A. Correct.
17 Q. The second one is the total number of votes
18 that that race attracted; correct?
19 A. Correct.
20 Q. And the third is what you call the under-vote; is that right?
21
22 A. Yes.
23 Q. And here -- the way you do it, the under-
24 vote is a comparison between the particular race and
25 the governor's race; is that --

1 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, if I could object. Mr.
2 Brill can testify to what these terms mean instead of Mr. Brown explaining those and he's the leading the witness
3
4 again on that.
MR. BROWN: Sure. I'll withdraw the question. I'm
5
6 just trying to speed it up, Your Honor.
BY MR. BROWN:
7
8 Q. Mr. Brill, what is the under-vote -- what
9 is the calculation for the under-vote?
10 A. I calculated it by comparing the total that
11 was cast for the governor's election and comparing that against the total that was cast for every other
12
13 election [inaudible].
Q. Is the under-vote different than the under-
14
15 vote of the total number of people who voted?
A. It would be, yes. Yes.
16
17 Q. Is that because some people don't even vote
18 for a governor?
A. Correct.
19
20 Q. Okay. Do you have an understanding of how many, just in general terms, people in this election
21
22 did not vote for governor?
A. I would have to double check, but I think
23
24 it was something along the lines of 10 to 15,000,
25 maybe. I don't have an exact number in front of me.

1 Q. Fair to say it would be a small portion of
2 even 1 percent?
A. It's a fraction of a percent.
3
4 Q. And then in the last column it says,
dropout versus governor; do you see that?
5
6 A. Yes.
Q. And that would reflect the percent -- what
7
8 does that -- what is that calculation?
9 A. So that calculation again is just taking
10 the under-vote and -- as the percentage of the total
11 cast for governor. So, example, lieutenant governor,
the under-vote was about 4 percent lower than the
12
13 total that was cast for governor; and then secretary
of state, 1.4 percent and so forth. It's just taking
14
15 the under-vote number there and dividing it by the
total votes cast for governor.
16
17 Q. Let me direct your -- you testified earlier
18 that you reviewed data on the Secretary of State's
website relating to prior elections in Georgia; is
19
20 that correct?
A. Yes.
21
22 Q. And are those presented in Table 2?
A. Yes, they are.
23
24 Q. And if you would just describe to the Court
25 what those rows and columns show.

1 A. Yes. So, it's again the same calculation
2 as in Table 1, except looking at elections from 2002 to 2018, and so essentially in calculating that
3
4 under-vote percentage for each of those races across election -- across each election cycle up to 2018.
5
6 Q. And which has the highest under-vote total?
A. The 2018 lieutenant governor's race.
7
8 Q. And looking at the four prior races, have
9 you calculate the average under-vote for the
10 lieutenant governor's race for those four years?
11 A. Yes. It was around 0.8 percent.
Q. And can you do in your head -- doing the
12
13 math it would be necessary to determine what the under-vote number would be if the historical trend of
14
15 .8 were applied today?
A. If you have a percentage, the under-vote
16
17 would be around 31,532 -- thousand votes on under-
18 vote assumption.
THE COURT: Say that again. Someone coughed.
19
20 THE WITNESS: 31,532, I believe. In that range. I can double check exactly -- that exact amount.
21
22 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. And so rather than the under-vote being --
23
24 let me direct your attention back up to Table 1.
25 A. Uh-huh.

1 Q. Rather than the under-vote being 159,024,
2 if it had tracked the historical trend, it would be that number less 31,000-something; correct?
3
4 A. Correct, yeah.
Q. Okay.
5
6 A. Given all the -- what we know about elections, yes. That would be correct.
7
8 Q. Okay. Now, did you review data from other
9 states lieutenant governor elections?
10 A. I did, yes.
11 Q. And is -- does Chart 1 on the next page of your affidavit show that information?
12
13 A. Yes.
Q. That chart --
14
15 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, did I neglect to give you a copy of this affidavit?
16
17 THE COURT: No. That's not in evidence.
18 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. The long bar here is from California?
19
20 A. Correct.
Q. And did you do research to determine why
21
22 California had a much higher under-vote percentage?
A. Yes.
23
24 Q. And did you -- and what did you find?
25 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object. Mr. Brill's

1 going to be testifying to why California had a higher
2 under-vote percentage. I think under your prior explanation of terms in the opinion that's not an
3
4 appropriate area for him to testify to.
THE COURT: Sustained.
5
6 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Brill, I'm not going to ask you about
7
8 why California looked that way. My next question is,
9 apart from California, what state had the highest
10 under-vote total?
11 A. Georgia.
Q. Let me direct your attention to the next
12
13 page of your analysis.
Did you have the opportunity to compare the
14
15 under-voting in the Georgia race between votes that were cast electronically on the one hand in votes
16
17 that were cast on paper?
18 A. Yes.
Q. And where did you get that information
19
20 from?
A. From the Secretary of State's website.
21
22 They make that county-level canvas available that I use.
23
24 Q. Okay. And does Chart 2, on the next page
25 of your report, show the under-vote percentage by

1 office and vote method?

2 A. It does.
Q. And if you would just explain to the Court,
3

4 first for the lieutenant governor, what those bars
show.
5

6 A. So, I had to look at three here. The first
was Election Day under-vote and what that shows is
7

8 that lieutenant governor was about 4.5 percent rate

9 of under-vote. Advanced voting of the early vote

10 person was around 3.9 percent. But then absentee

11 voting was down to 1 percent.
Q. And is your understanding that advanced
12

13 voting is electronic voting?
A. That's my understanding, yes.
14

15 Q. And so looking at the -- these bars, paper
voting had only a 1 percent under-vote; is that
16

17 correct?

18 A. Yes.
Q. But the electronic had over 4 percent
19

20 under-vote; is that correct?
A. Correct.
21

22 Q. Okay. Did you see that same -- those same
types of numbers when you reviewed the Secretary of
23

24 State race or the attorney general race?

25 A. I did not.

1 Q. And what did you find when you reviewed
2 those?
3 A. When I reviewed those, I found that the
4 under-vote percentages were relatively even across
5 all those methods.
6 THE COURT: Say that again. Way too fast.
7 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I found that the under-vote
8 was relatively consistent percentage-wise across all three
9 methods of voting when you look at Secretary of State,
10 attorney general.
11 BY MR. BROWN:
12 Q. And so, you don't -- to make sure I'm clear
13 on this. So you don't see the disparity between
14 paper votes and electronic votes in the Secretary of
15 State's race or the attorney general's race that you
16 saw in the lieutenant governor's race; is that
17 correct?
18 MR. TYSON: Objection, Your Honor. Leading.
19 THE COURT: Rephrase. Sometimes when there's an
20 objection you don't bother responding and I -- on the
21 record it looks like I didn't give you a chance to speak.
22 Well, I'm giving you a chance to speak; okay?
23 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I'll withdraw the question.
24 THE COURT: Okay.
25 BY MR. BROWN:

1 Q. Let's go through these individual numbers,
2 Mr. Brill. The Secretary of State's office, what was the Election Day percent under-vote?
3
4 A. 1.5 percent.
Q. What was the advanced voting percentage
5
6 under-vote for the Secretary of State?
A. 1.3 percent.
7
8 Q. And then what was the absentee voting
9 percent?
10 A. 1.4 percent.
11 Q. And then for the attorney general, what was the under-vote for Election Day?
12
13 A. 2.2 percent.
Q. What was the percentage for advanced
14
15 voting?
A. 1.8 percent.
16
17 Q. And what was the percentage for absentee
18 voting?
A. 1.7 percent.
19
20 Q. And just to review for the record to make sure I have it in there, on the lieutenant governor's
21
22 race, what was the percentage for Election Day voting?
23
24 A. 4.5.
25 THE COURT: 4.5 percent. 3.9 percent, 1 percent.

1 Got it.

2 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Paying attention.
3

4 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. Thank you, Your Honor.
5

6 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Brill, in your experience have you seen
7

8 a voting pattern ever before that looks like the

9 voting pattern in the vote for the lieutenant

10 governor's race in Georgia?

11 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object that Mr. Brill is not qualified as an expert on this.
12

13 THE COURT: He's not qualified as an expert, Mr. Brown. I'm not -- he's putting numbers -- he can put in
14

15 the numbers. There's other things you can argue.
MR. BROWN: May I ask him what numbers he's never
16

17 seen that resemble this, Your Honor.

18 THE COURT: Well, just -- just watch where you go. I mean, you ask the questions, I'll rule as we go.
19

20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I'll ask this.
BY MR. BROWN:
21

22 Q. And Mr. Brill, don't answer, because there
may be an objection. Have you seen numbers in your
23

24 review of all of the races that you've reviewed in

25 the course of your work that resemble the pattern

1 seen in Georgia, ever?

2 MR. TYSON: And Your Honor, I'll object on that point, as we haven't laid a foundation for any --

3

4 THE COURT: We're dealing with this -- this race. I'm not dealing with California, Nevada, wherever. I'm

5

6 dealing with Georgia.
MR. BROWN: And I would like to ask a question for

7

8 the record.

9 BY MR. BROWN:

10 Q. Were you able to reach any conclusions

11 about whether the data that you reviewed cast a doubt over the accuracy of the final vote? And don't

12

13 answer yet.
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, objection for the same reason

14

15 that was stated.
THE COURT: I mean, you got the information from the

16

17 Secretary of State. Whether it's valid or not; who knows?

18 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, let me --
THE COURT: He -- he got the number [inaudible] that

19

20 what's he testified to. So I'm taking the numbers.
MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor. The reason for asking

21

22 it is that it is, in my view, it is probative and it goes to weight as to whether this witness based upon his

23

24 experience believes that the numbers cast doubt upon the

25 election. That's the reason for asking.

1 THE COURT: No. He can't -- he can't say that one
2 way or the other. That's -- that's -- can be my decision, I'm afraid. Okay.
3
4 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, if I may have just a --
THE COURT: Surely.
5
6 MR. BROWN: -- minute.
BY MR. BROWN:
7
8 Q. Mr. Brill, have you had the opportunity to
9 review the voting numbers that appear in the brief
10 that was filed by Defendant Duncan in this case?
11 A. I believe so, yes.
THE COURT: Didn't you stipulate that at the last
12
13 hearing?
MR. BROWN: Huh?
14
15 THE COURT: Wasn't there a stipulation that those numbers were correct at the last hearing?
16
17 MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.
18 THE COURT: Hmm? I thought there was. I thought we --
19
20 MR. BROWN: Yes.
THE COURT: So you would -- because I remember the
21
22 statement that you wouldn't have to prove them again. So they're stipulated so you can talk about them.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor. Okay.
25 BY MR. BROWN:

1 Q. Mr. Brill, did you identify any misleading
2 or incorrect statements in the brief?
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'm going to object to --
3
4 THE COURT: You stipulated, Mr. Brown.
MR. LINDSEY: I'm going to object to this.
5
6 THE COURT: You stipulated.
MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor, this is --
7
8 THE COURT: You can talk about -- okay. And the
9 language misleading and all this kind of stuff. Are your
10 numbers different from their numbers?
11 MR. LINDSEY: Yes. Your Honor, we're here today to
listen to -- to present evidence to you as to our
12
13 particular points. Both sides have delivered a brief to
you beforehand. I have no intention to simply bring up
14
15 their briefs and, you know, let's stick to the evidence as
presented here today. That being said --
16
17 THE COURT: Get -- get to your --
18 MR. LINDSEY: -- got my math off a little, Your
Honor.
19
20 THE COURT: Get to your point.
MR. LINDSEY: Right.
21
22 THE COURT: If you will, Mr. Brown.
BY MR. BROWN:
23
24 Q. Did you have a chance to review the numbers
25 reflected in Paragraph 1 under District 4?

1 THE COURT: Paragraph 1, Exhibit 4.

2 A. I don't think I have that document with me.
Q. Okay.
3

4 THE COURT: Exhibit A, you mean? You're talking
about Exhibit A?
5

6 MR. BROWN: This is in their brief, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Well, let me see what I'm looking at.
7

8 [inaudible] numbers, okay. Go ahead, see where we're

9 headed.

10 BY MR. BROWN:

11 Q. Looking at brief -- do you have that in
front of you?
12

13 A. I do.
THE COURT: Which brief? There are --
14

15 MR. BROWN: This is Exhibit 4. This is the response
to Defendant Geoff Duncan to plaintiff's renewed discovery
16

17 demands and motion for continuance and --

18 THE COURT: That's not the one I'm looking at. I'm
looking at the numbers on the back of the opposition to
19

20 plaintiff's motion for continuance.
MR. BROWN: Sorry.
21

22 THE COURT: I don't know which other set you got.
MR. BROWN: Okay. Sorry.
23

24 THE COURT: Go ahead. Ask him the question.

25 BY MR. BROWN:

1 Q. Did you have an opportunity to -- if you
2 would look at that -- point number one, the impact of
3 third-party candidates; do you see that?
4 A. I don't have that in front of me at the
5 moment. I remember going over it but that's not the
6 brief that's in front of me.
7 Q. Okay. Okay.
8 MR. BROWN: I don't have any further questions.
9 Thank you.
10 MR. TYSON: Very briefly, Your Honor.
11
12 RECROSS-EXAMINATION
13 OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL
14
15 BY MR. TYSON:
16 Q. Mr. Brill, when you looked at the historic
17 patterns, the numbers you testified to earlier, the
18 past Georgia races, did you look at any races for
19 Public Service Commission?
20 A. I did not, no.
21 Q. And you know that's a statewide race in
22 Georgia; correct?
23 A. I do, yes.
24 Q. Did you look at any races where Georgia had
25 a U.S. Senate race at the same time as a governor's

1 race?

2 A. I did not.
Q. Thank you.
3

4 MR. LINDSEY: Just a few questions, sir.

5

6 RECROSS-EXAMINATION
OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL
7

8

9 BY MR. LINDSEY:

10 Q. Did you in any way do any review of the

11 conduct of the campaign in the closing days of the
campaign?
12

13 A. I did not.
Q. Okay. You, as someone who's been a
14

15 political consultant does, that the conduct in the
campaign can often change how voters vote in the last
16

17 days; correct?

18 A. Right.
Q. Okay. A candidate, and sometimes that
19

20 changes the numbers between early voting and the day
of the voting; correct?
21

22 A. Correct.
Q. And sometimes it can even change whether or
23

24 not voters choose to vote in a particular race on

25 Election Day that earlier voters may vote for;

1 correct?

2 A. That would -- I mean, that would, I suppose.

3

4 Q. Yeah, fair enough. That would [inaudible]. For instance, if you had a particular candidate

5

6 running for lieutenant governor as a Democrat and in the closing days of the campaign she had several very

7

8 negative press articles about her firm, about her

9 company that she headed up, in which there was

10 allegation of racial discrimination, [inaudible]

11 racial discrimination, that would have a negative impact on Democratic voters in particular; correct?

12

13 A. It could, yeah.
Q. It probably would dampen their enthusiasm

14

15 to vote for her; correct?
A. It could, but I don't know the context, so

16

17 I'd have to [inaudible]. It would take quite a bit

18 of effort, thought to --
Q. Okay.

19

20 A. -- [inaudible] votes.
Q. And you don't know the context because you

21

22 didn't follow the details of this race; correct?
A. Correct.

23

24 Q. Okay. And you have no knowledge as -- for

25 instance, as to tracking polling that took place in

1 the closing days of the campaign; correct?

2 A. Correct.
Q. Now Ms. Amico -- now, you sort of focused
3

4 on the total number of votes that were cast. Ms.
Amico did not receive the least number of votes for a
5

6 Democrat in this -- in the 2018 -- in statewide races
in 2018; correct?
7

8 A. Correct.

9 Q. As a matter of fact, her votes were

10 somewhere in the middle of all the votes along the

11 various folks who ran statewide in Georgia who were
Democrats; correct?
12

13 A. I'd have to look into that [inaudible] --
Q. Okay.
14

15 A. -- [inaudible].
Q. All right. So -- but you didn't -- but you
16

17 are familiar that she did sort of run -- one the

18 better term, the middle one, the pack among
Democrats; correct?
19

20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, this is not relevant. The
claim is that there were under-votes for this election,
21

22 not that one particular candidate received less votes.
Obviously, it's the total number of votes that are
23

24 claimed.

25 THE COURT: He went into what the attorney general

1 did, what the secretary of state, so I think -- you did,
2 so he's entitled to respond to that.
MR. LINDSEY: Yeah.
3
4 THE WITNESS: I didn't specifically analyze performance in this analysis. It was mostly just actual
5
6 vote totals.
BY MR. LINDSEY:
7
8 Q. Okay. For instance, you're not aware that
9 the Democratic candidates for agriculture, school
10 board superintendent, Public Service Commission, and
11 commissioner of insurance, Democratic candidates all received fewer votes than Ms. Amico in this
12
13 particular race?
A. And again, I'd have to double-check.
14
15 Q. Okay. And in fact, for two other races, those being another Public Service Commission and
16
17 Labor Commission race, Ms. Amico was within 8,000 or
18 less votes of the Democrats in those races; are you aware of that?
19
20 A. Again, I have to study that independent study --
21
22 Q. Okay.
A. -- candidate performance.
23
24 Q. All right. In fact, the only races in
25 which she received fewer votes than other Democrats

1 was Ms. Abrams' race, Mr. Barrow's race, and Mr.
2 Charles Bailey's race for attorney general. Are you aware of that?
3
4 A. Again, I'd have to study --
Q. Okay.
5
6 A. -- I didn't study candidate performance.
Q. All right. Even though you haven't studied
7
8 the performance, you are aware of the fact that Ms.
9 Abrams was a very high-profile candidate for
10 governor?
11 A. Correct.
Q. Okay. And are you familiar with the fact
12
13 that Mr. Barrow was a very high-profile candidate for Secretary of State on the Democratic side?
14
15 A. I'm not aware.
Q. Okay. Are you aware of the fact, for
16
17 instance, that he had been prior to this race a five-
18 term Congressman in Georgia?
A. I was not aware of that.
19
20 Q. Okay. And voter ID is very important in regards to individuals -- in regards to folks --
21
22 getting folks to vote for you; correct?
A. Voter ID?
23
24 Q. Yeah.
25 A. I'm sorry. I don't quite understand that,

1 the link.

2 THE COURT: Explain that application. I think you mean one thing and he's thinking another thing.

3

4 MR. LINDSEY: Okay.
BY MR. LINDSEY:

5

6 Q. You said you looked at other races in Georgia's past. Did you look at the number of new

7

8 voters that were -- that cast votes in 2018 versus

9 previous years?

10 A. No, I did not.

11 Q. Okay. So, are you aware of the fact that there was almost -- where there was a -- possibly a

12

13 three-and-a-half-time increase in new voters?
A. That I -- I don't know that exact number

14

15 but that would not surprise me.
Q. Okay. And based on your experience as a

16

17 political consultant, you know, that when it comes to

18 new voter, particularly down-ballot, it takes a lot more education to try to educate those new voters

19

20 than someone who's voted year in and year out; correct?

21

22 A. That's right.
MR. LINDSEY: No further questions.

23

24 THE COURT: [inaudible]?

25 MS. BURWELL: No questions, Your Honor.

1 [inaudible]

2 THE COURT: [inaudible]

3

4 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL

5

6 BY MR. BROWN:

7

8 Q. You testified in response to a question by

9 Mr. Lindsey, about whether you looked at the

10 lieutenant governor total in a race in which there

11 was a U.S. Senate race and there was a race in the governor's race; do you recall that?

12

13 A. Yes.
Q. And let me direct your attention to the

14

15 year 2014 and was there a Senate -- a U.S. Senate race in Georgia in 2014 involving David Perdue?

16

17 A. I believe so, yes.

18 Q. And what was the under-vote total in 2014?
A. Between -- I'm sorry?

19

20 Q. What was the under-vote for lieutenant governor in 2014?

21

22 A. The percentage?
Q. Yes.

23

24 A. 0.8 percent.

25 Q. Okay. You were asked some questions, and I

1 want to explore your answers to the questions on
2 cross-examination a little bit more. In particular, you were asked to give your opinion on some matters.
3
4 Now, you were asked whether under-voting could be caused by new voters being -- not having enough
5
6 attention on the -- about it; do you recall that testimony?
7
8 A. Yes.
9 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object to that. I don't
10 believe that's the question Mr. Brill was asked, but the
11 question was --
THE COURT: He didn't -- he didn't phrase it that
12
13 way. I will let you ask a question --
MR. BROWN: Okay.
14
15 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. In that regard, in your experience, what
16
17 are the more common reasons for under-voting?
18 THE COURT: No. That's -- that's not the appropriate question.
19
20 MR. TYSON: Yeah.
THE COURT: The question is about new voters. You
21
22 can ask him about new voters.
MR. BROWN: Just for the record, Your Honor, the
23
24 question called for the same type of expertise that my
25 question calls for.

1 THE COURT: Sir, I said you can -- you can only go
2 into what he talked about as to new voters.
MR. BROWN: Okay.
3
4 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Are -- do new voters sometimes vote less in
5
6 campaigns for various reasons?
A. It depends on the context of the election,
7
8 but new voters -- you know, we don't find any sort
9 of, you know, when they under-vote generally -- or it
10 can be -- for starters, we don't know exactly who
11 under-votes. I mean, I think that's point number
one. We don't know that. We don't have any way of
12
13 getting that information.
It's speculation when we see high turnout
14
15 elections and that encourages more infrequent newer
voters that come to the polls and if we see higher
16
17 rates of under-voting, that is sort of, you know,
18 something that we consider, but generally when we see
that under-vote it's very consistent, and so it
19
20 starts at one level at the top of the ballot and then
it goes down, then that under-vote kind of -- it will
21
22 increase as you go down the ballot.
I've never seen a type of under-vote where
23
24 voters, who generally don't know who these candidates
25 are anyway, decides to skip one race and then start

1 voting for commission of agriculture at higher rates
2 or commissioner of insurance at higher rates. That would be the first time I've ever seen something like
3
4 those.
Q. Thank you. You were asked by Mr. Lindsey a
5
6 number of questions of whether there were some other candidates who had received fewer votes than Ms.
7
8 Amico; do you recall that?
9 A. Yes.
10 Q. And in fact he actually had better
11 questions to ask and one would have been, isn't it true that the libertarian candidate got way more
12
13 votes -- way fewer votes than Ms. Amico in the governor's race?
14
15 A. I believe so, yeah.
Q. And so is it germane to your understanding
16
17 of the voting patterns that there might be some
18 candidates that got fewer votes down ballot or up ballot if they're third-party candidates or not
19
20 popular? Do you have anything to do with that?
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, gets back to the why. It
21
22 gets back to the why. He can testify as to what, but he's now entering into the why again. He's trying to get his
23
24 witness as an expert to the back door. He couldn't do it
25 through the front, he shouldn't be allowed to do it

1 through the back. [inaudible]

2 MR. BROWN: I'll withdraw the question, Your Honor.
BY MR. BROWN:

3

4 Q. I want to get back to the numbers because
you were asked about other down ballot races by Mr.

5

6 Lindsey, did you review the numbers on the state
representative seats up for election in 2018?

7

8 A. I did, yes.

9 Q. And did -- what did you find with respect

10 to whether -- about the voting patterns with respect

11 to those contests?
A. So, in those contests I examined the number

12

13 of votes that were cast for state representative to
try to establish the baseline in an election that I

14

15 know usually has, you know, high rates of under-
voting. What I found in that case was that there

16

17 were about a thousand precincts statewide where there

18 were actually fewer votes cast for lieutenant
governor than there were for state representative,

19

20 which again is odd.
Q. Let me direct your -- well, did you --

21

22 THE COURT: [inaudible]
THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I'm sorry. I'm sorry, Your

23

24 Honor.

25 BY MR. BROWN:

1 Q. Did you determine in how many of the
2 precinct -- precincts the Democratic candidate for state representative received all of the votes cast?
3
4 A. One -- yeah. Yes. 137 precincts.
Q. Okay. And in those -- did you determine
5
6 whether in those precincts --
THE COURT: 137 precincts?
7
8 THE WITNESS: Correct. 137.
9 THE COURT: What counties?
10 THE WITNESS: Across the state.
11 THE COURT: Are those [inaudible] 137 precincts in the whole state?
12
13 THE WITNESS: No, no. There are about 137 precincts where the candidate for state representative for the
14
15 Democratic --
THE COURT: Got more votes --
16
17 THE WITNESS: Got 100 percent of the votes, which
18 goes back to my points in the first part of the paper, which generally when a race is --
19
20 THE COURT: Okay. Don't go -- don't go --
MR. BROWN: Sorry. Thanks.
21
22 THE COURT: Because that'll get [inaudible].
MR. BROWN: Okay.
23
24 BY MR. BROWN:
25 Q. Did you determine in the races for state

1 representative in how many precincts the state
2 representative race got more votes than the lieutenant governor race?
3
4 A. Yes.
Q. And what did you find?
5
6 A. I found a thousand -- 1,012 precincts; 38 percent of the precincts statewide when the state
7
8 representative had more votes cast than the
9 lieutenant governor.
10 Q. Did you have the opportunity -- or did you
11 undertake to -- you were talking about other races. Did you compare the lieutenant governor race to the
12
13 race for attorney general?
A. I compared. Yes.
14
15 Q. And what did you find?
A. So in that case I found that there were
16
17 much fewer -- I'd have to go, I'd have to see the
18 exact number, but it was --
Q. Let me correct that. My question was
19
20 poorly framed.
A. 410.
21
22 Q. Did you compare the votes for state representative and attorney general?
23
24 A. Yes.
25 Q. And what did you find there?

1 A. What I found there, there were about 410
2 precincts statewide where there were more votes cast for state representative for -- than lieutenant
3
4 governor.
Q. Okay. Thank you. [inaudible]
5
6 MR. TYSON: Just briefly, Your Honor.
7
8 CROSS-EXAMINATION
9 OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL
10
11 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. Mr. Brill, you'd agree with me, wouldn't
12
13 you, that the 2018 election in Georgia was a very high turnout election, right?
14
15 A. Yes.
Q. And I asked you a question that I had not
16
17 worded quite correctly previously. Did you in any of
18 your analyses look at a race where there was a governor's race and no U.S. Senate race happening at
19
20 the same time, like what happened in 2018?
A. A governor's race with no U.S. Senate race?
21
22 Q. Yes.
A. I didn't look at that specifically.
23
24 Q. Okay. Mr. Brown asked you about the
25 precincts you analyzed for state representative; did

1 you break out those based on the DRE machines versus
2 absentee by mail or early voting categories, or did you look totally -- solely at precinct totals?
3
4 A. For this I looked at solely precinct totals.
5
6 Q. Okay. Thank you.
MR. TYSON: That's all I have.
7
8 MR. LINDSEY: Just real briefly.
9
10 CROSS-EXAMINATION
11 OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL
12
13 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. You were aware that in the AG's race there
14
15 was an incumbent running; correct?
A. Yes.
16
17 Q. And in the lieutenant governor's race there
18 were two newcomers coming -- running; correct?
A. Correct.
19
20 MR. LINDSEY: No further questions.
THE COURT: Mr. Brown, anything else?
21
22 MR. BROWN: I do not have anything further.
THE COURT: Okay. The witness may be excused.
23
24 Whatever he says. He's in charge of all that.
25 [inaudible].

1 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, at this point we have another
2 --
THE COURT: [inaudible] Are you taking notes on the
3
4 laptop?
THE NEWS REPORTER: Yes.
5
6 THE COURT: Did you comply with Rule 22?
THE NEWS REPORTER: Yes. [inaudible]
7
8 THE COURT: [inaudible]
9 THE NEWS REPORTER: Jordan [inaudible].
10 THE COURT: Who?
11 THE NEWS REPORTER: Jordan [inaudible], Your Honor.
MALE: [inaudible]
12
13 THE COURT: Okay.
MALE: Mr. [inaudible] did.
14
15 THE COURT: Making me nervous. Go ahead.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, logistically we have another
16
17 long witness. It would be convenient to have that go more
18 smoothly if we took our lunch break now, but of course
I'll defer to your schedule.
19
20 THE COURT: Anybody have an objection to taking lunch
now and coming back at 1:00? Let's take lunch now and
21
22 we'll back at 1:00.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
23
24
25 [Off the record at 11:41 a.m., and back on the record

1 at 1:00 p.m.]

2 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, in order to keep things

3 moving along, I just want to make sure I get all my
witnesses here or are here. This is our last witness.

5 THE COURT: You need time to do something?

6 MR. LINDSEY: No, no. I just want to make sure -- if

7 this is his last witness, I want to make sure I have

8 everybody here ready to go.

9 MR. BROWN: It depends on the ruling that she will

10 make. I have one live witness and one witness who I would
like to testify by telephone if the Court allows. Just to

12 give you an idea.

13 MR. LINDSEY: Okay, that's fine. I just want to --

14 I'll call my folks and make sure everybody's here. That's
all I wanted to know.

16 THE COURT: Okay. You understand that I cannot see

17 the clock from here because it's dark back there. I
cannot see that clock. I see the circle and I see the

19 exit sign but I can't see the hands from back here because
it's black; there's no light back there. So I have to go

21 by my own watch, which is not --

22 MR. LINDSEY: Well, let the record reflect that Mr.

23 Brown has already insulted my eyesight once today.

24 Therefore [inaudible].

25

1 THE COURT: Ready when you're ready.

2 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, two introductory items.
First, we would like to tender into evidence Defendant
3

4 Robyn A. Crittenden's notice of limited offer -- proffer
of evidence. And let me explain this. The -- when she
5

6 was a party, the Secretary of State submitted this as a
proffer of evidence and it is the testimony of a witness
7

8 in the Curling versus Kemp case, and so I'm going to

9 accept their proffer and I'm tendering it into evidence.

10 And so it --

11 THE COURT: Any comment on that?
MR. LINDSEY: I'm going to object to it, Your Honor.
12

13 The Secretary of State is no longer in the case and we
haven't had a chance to cross-examine that witness.
14

15 THE COURT: It's hearsay. I'm absolute hearsay; I'm
not going to allow it into evidence. And as I said, I
16

17 didn't read it. I didn't read any of the stuff that was -

18 - I'm sorry. I'm old school. I believe that the evidence
should come out in the courtroom; there ought to be a rite
19

20 of cross-examination.
I happen to believe in the Constitution because I
21

22 wasn't raised with one; okay? So I'm partial to it. I'm
not going to let that in. It's not evidence.
23

24 MR. BROWN: May I respond just briefly, Your Honor?

25 THE COURT: You may respond.

1 MR. BROWN: This is evidence that the other side
2 introduced into evidence.
3 THE COURT: I don't -- I don't care who introduced
4 it. It's not admissible. It's hearsay. And one party who's not in the case introduced it, the rest [inaudible].
5
6 I'm not going to allow it.
7 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. I'll go ahead and
8 mark it for --
9 THE COURT: You can put it in the record as a
10 proffer. Just --
11 MR. BROWN: -- as a proffer and proffer it as evidence.
12
13 THE COURT: -- it's hearsay. They have a right, as Mr. Lindsay said, to cross-examine it, and they didn't. So
14
15 --
16 MR. BROWN: That will -- what number is that? That's
17 number 4. Thank you, Your Honor.
18 The next witness we would like to call is Philip Stark and Professor Stark is a professor at Berkeley and
19
20 we would like to introduce his testimony, with your Court's permission, by telephone. And so, we would move
21
22 for that --
23 THE COURT: What does the defense say?
24 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, that's usually something
25 that's worked out before. There is a lot to be learned by

1 having the witness live to be able to cross-examine them
2 live right here. Now there are circumstances in which the parties do agree to do things by telephone. I've done it
3
4 a hundred times, if not more.
But this was not something that was worked out
5
6 previously. We would prefer to be able to cross-examine someone, and I'm sure Mr. Tyson would as well be able to
7
8 see someone in the eye to cross-examine them directly. So
9 we would object.
10 THE COURT: Mr. Tyson?
11 MR. TYSON: Yes, Your Honor. I'm pulling up the Rule right now but we'd be in the same boat. We'd like to have
12
13 -- this hearing has been on the books for over a month. We know it was going to be; we want to talk to Professor
14
15 Stark and cross-examine him live.
THE COURT: This case actually was set back December
16
17 5th. I'm reading things that say that's not so but it is
18 so. It was set November 5th [sic]; everybody's know- -- December 5th. Everybody's known about it.
19
20 I'm not going to do a witness by telephone. Part of -- it isn't words they say sometimes; it's the mannerisms.
21
22 And I've done them by Skype. I did some dear old ladies who've been ripped with that -- grandson calls and says
23
24 he's in the jail, those kinds of cases.
25 And we did those by Skype, which is much better and

1 you've got a full view and you can see the witnesses. But
2 I'm not going to do this one by telephone.
3 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. If we have the
4 opportunity, could we set up a Skype tomorrow for this witness?
5
6 THE COURT: It's up to you. I'm not telling you. I'm saying what I won't do; I'm not going to tell you what
7
8 to do.
9 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, we
10 would call --
11 THE COURT: And the defense haven't been heard on that. They should --
12
13 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, our position is, we're here today. [inaudible]
14
15 THE COURT: Okay. Next witness.
16 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, we would call Matthew
17 Bernhard as a witness.
18 THE COURT: Okay.
19 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I apologize. My client has
20 Skype on her laptop and --
21 THE COURT: Has what on her laptop?
22 MR. BROWN: Has Skype on her laptop and we would move to -- for -- to allow us to examine Mr. Stark by Skype.
23
24 THE COURT: What says the defense?
25 MR. TYSON: Same objection, Your Honor.

1 MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, I would ask the Court to
2 look at Superior Court Rule 9.2 with respect to video conferencing, which requires any request for video
3
4 conference be done by notice of intention prior to the [inaudible]
5
6 THE COURT: Rule 9.2?
MS. BURWELL: Yes.
7
8 THE COURT: At this time I've got the computer where
9 I'm supposed to be able to get into it, and I can see.
10 Let me look at it.
11 Okay. Let's see. I'll get it; just a minute. I've got LexisNexus. It's Superior Court Rule 9.2?
12
13 MS. BURWELL: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. Let's see. [inaudible]. Okay.
14
15 Yeah, Rule -- yeah. 9.2(c) says that you have to file as of your intent 30 days prior to the date scheduled and
16
17 then we have to have a hearing on the matter. That's what
18 it says.
MR, BROWN: Your Honor, with all due respect, I
19
20 believe that the -- some of these rules like the jury demand need to be read in context of the nature of this
21
22 proceeding. The nature of this proceeding is an accelerated process.
23
24 I understand that Your Honor did schedule this on
25 December 5th. Nevertheless, given the challenges

1 preparing a case of this complexity, we would ask for your
2 indulgence to -- as an exception to that rule to allow the testimony.
3
4 THE COURT: Mr. Lindsey?
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we've had several weeks to
5
6 prepare for trial and would have been available to do it by deposition, notice the person that's necessary to go --
7
8 to take a deposition. The plaintiffs have chosen not to
9 do so, but instead to do so at trial to set up [inaudible]
10 procedure. So we state our objections.
11 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, [inaudible] declaration he filed for him much earlier in the case as recently as 10
12
13 days ago, possibly earlier than that, opportunity to bring that before the Court [inaudible].
14
15 THE COURT: Well, I understand. We're in an expedited situation and we've had some service and other
16
17 issues. But we did have a hearing on January 9 and I
18 think that's the notice should have been given. Given the nature of the case I understand bending the rules because
19
20 of where we are.
But to suddenly walk in in the afternoon of trial and
21
22 say I want to call somebody by Skype or by phone is not in compliance with the rules at all. And so, yeah, if you
23
24 got up on January 9th and said you were going to do it,
25 then I'd given you a chance to be heard and we'd gone

1 through it. But I'm not going to violate the rule at this
2 time.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. And I believe --
3
4 THE COURT: What are you doing, ma'am? Go sit down, please.
5
6 MR. BROWN: I had lost something. I have a copy now. I'm just looking for my copies.
7
8 THE COURT: If you need to ask for something you can.
9 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. We would call --
10 THE COURT: Matthew Bernhard.
11 MR. BROWN: Yes.
Please raise your right hand. Do you promise to tell
12
13 the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?
THE WITNESS: I do.
14
15 Thereupon:
16
17
18 MATTHEW BERNHARD
19
20 was called as a witness by the Petitioner; and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
21
22
DIRECT EXAMINATION
23
24 OF MATTHEW BERNHARD
25

1 BY MR. BROWN:

2 Q. Please sit down and state your name for the record.

3

4 A. My name is Matthew Bernhard.
Q. Mr. Bernhard, what do you do?

5

6 A. I am a Ph.D. candidate at the University of Michigan studying computer science.

7

8 Q. Have you been engaged by the plaintiffs as

9 an expert in this case?

10 A. Yes.

11 THE COURT: You're a PhD candidate where?
THE WITNESS: At the University of Michigan.

12

13 THE COURT: Michigan. Okay.
THE WITNESS: Yes.

14

15 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Bernhard, I would like to go over your

16

17 qualifications, and do you have a CV that you have

18 prepared?
A. Yes.

19

20 Q. I'd like to briefly go over your education and experience. You -- where'd you go to college?

21

22 A. I went to Georgia Tech and graduated from Rice University.

23

24 Q. Okay. And what did you study at Rice?

25 A. Computer science.

1 Q. And did you go to graduate school?

2 A. Yes.
Q. And what did you study at graduate school?
3

4 A. Computer science.
Q. And that was --
5

6 THE COURT: You're going to have to speak up because
you're mumbling and I can't hear you. I got the Georgia
7

8 Tech bit for reasons of my allegiance but what was the

9 rest of it?

10 THE WITNESS: Rice University.

11 THE COURT: Rice. Okay.
THE WITNESS: Yes.
12

13 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. And then did you attend graduate school?
14

15 A. Yes. At the University of Michigan.
Q. And what did you study at the University of
16

17 Michigan?

18 A. Computer science.
Q. And did you obtain a degree at Michigan?
19

20 A. Yes, I got a Master's degree.
Q. And did you continue your studies at
21

22 Michigan?
A. I am currently still there.
23

24 Q. And how far are you along in your Ph.D.

25 work?

1 A. I'm -- this is my fourth year.

2 Q. Okay. And so if you graduated or obtained your degree, when would that be?

3

4 A. Probably about a year from now.

Q. Okay. And are you working on a

5

6 dissertation?

A. Yes, sir.

7

8 Q. And what's the subject matter of your

9 dissertation?

10 A. Election integrity and election security.

11 Q. Okay. Now have you --

THE WITNESS: Security.

12

13 THE COURT: Okay. [inaudible]

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.

14

15 THE COURT: [inaudible]

BY MR. BROWN:

16

17 Q. Have you -- do you teach?

18 A. Yes, sir. I taught the undergraduate cyber security course, introductory course, and I've also

19

20 recently conducted a -- an undergraduate research seminar in election security.

21

22 Q. Okay. Have you ever published anything?

A. Yes. I have published several papers

23

24 pertaining to election security and, you know,

25 election audits, and in several other things that

1 aren't related to election [inaudible].

2 Q. I mean, are these -- have you published peer review articles?

3

4 A. I guess almost every article I publish has been peer review.

5

6 Q. Okay. Have you -- outside of your academic work, have you worked with election systems?

7

8 A. Yes, sir. I -- in addition to working for

9 Verified Voting, who collects data about elections, I

10 worked with election officials all over the country

11 helping run audits, you know. And helping them better secure their election [inaudible].

12

13 Q. Let me go over your work experience, also then. You mentioned Verified Voting. You -- are you

14

15 -- do you work for them now?
A. Currently, yes, as a consultant.

16

17 Q. Okay. And what sort of work do you do for

18 Verified Voting?
A. I collect data about current -- the current

19

20 generation of election technology and its security properties, what kinds of operating systems they run

21

22 and, you know, what program languages it's programmed in and so forth.

23

24 Q. And what employment did you have

25 immediately before Verified Voting?

1 A. So before that I did a summer internship at
2 a company named CloudPlayer and then before that I was at Microsoft Research.
3
4 Q. And what did you do for Microsoft?
5 A. I researched Trusted Boot, which is a
6 particular way that computers can ensure the application if the running is the right one.
7
8 Q. Did you say boot?
9 A. Yeah. Boot.
10 Q. Okay.
11 A. With specific applications for voting machines.
12
13 Q. And why was Microsoft -- what's the connection between voting machines and working for
14
15 Microsoft?
16 A. Well, so most of the machines run through
17 Windows operating system, the ones in Georgia do, and
18 so they have a vested interest in making sure that, you know, they can support it and develop technology
19
20 scoring.
21 Q. And did your work at Microsoft relate to
22 the operating systems that are used generally or just for voting systems or both?
23
24 A. Generally; it's all Windows. I was mostly
25 focused on the more recent versions of Windows,

1 Windows 8 and Windows 10, both of which are used in
2 the current generation of voting technology.
3 Q. And skipping ahead, is that the voting
4 system used in Georgia --
5 A: No.
6 Q. -- the operating system used in Georgia?
7 A. No.
8 Q. Okay.
9 A. It is a -- the -- that operating system is
10 about 15 years down the line from the one that's used
11 in Georgia voting machines.
12 Q. Have you ever been qualified as an expert
13 in a case?
14 A. Yes, sir. I'm an expert -- qualified expert
15 witness in the Curling v. Kemp case in the Northern District of Georgia.
16 Q. It --
17 A. [inaudible] federal court.
18 Q. And has -- have your opinions, your expert
19 opinions ever been cited by a court with approval or indicating that the court does not disagree with your
20 conclusions?
21 A. Yes. In one of the rulings in the
22 [inaudible] and the Curling v. Kemp case she did cite
23 my opinions in the affirmative.
24
25

1 Q. Do you have either academic or hands-on
2 experience and knowledge about the operations generally of a DRE machine?
3
4 A. Yes, sir. I have worked in many different ways with DREs.
5
6 Q. And have you worked with the Diebold DREs?
A. Yes. We have about ten of them in my lab
7
8 in Michigan.
9 Q. And you have access to those?
10 A. Yes.
11 Q. And did you obtain those machines legally?
A. Yes. On Ebay.
12
13 Q. Are you able to tear it apart, study all the components?
14
15 A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Do you have knowledge and experience
16
17 through your training and your education about
18 whether DREs as a class of voting systems are vulnerable or not vulnerable?
19
20 A. Yes, I do.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor --
21
22 Q. And how have you --
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I would at this point
23
24 object. The questions today are not -- whether or not the
25 system could potentially be vulnerable and whether or not

1 some other system would be better. That's a decision
2 that's now being debated at the capitol. The questions today are whether the system was in fact compromised.
3
4 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I don't -- I'm not quite sure what the form of that objection was.
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: We object to the relevancy. I mean, I'm trying to keep the case focused on what not that was
7
8 in fact a compromise of the system.
9 MR. BROWN: Okay. Your Honor, I can ask him more
10 questions that establish the -- that connect the dots.
11 THE COURT: Do that.
BY MR. BROWN:
12
13 Q. Would the background vulnerability of a system like these DREs increase the likelihood that
14
15 it's defective today in Georgia?
A. Yes.
16
17 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, [inaudible] background
18 vulnerabilities [inaudible] foundation yet for what vulnerability is or [inaudible] this thing. If we're
19
20 trying to qualify him, that's one thing; but if we're trying get into the facts I think that's something else.
21
22 MR. BROWN: May I respond, Your Honor?
THE COURT: Well, whether the machines generally are
23
24 not very good doesn't really matter in this case. I'm
25 going to let you, if you're using it, to lay a foundation

1 to get somewhere, I'm going to let you do that for a
2 little bit.
But the fact that the machines, all wherever they may
3
4 be used, aren't the best is not relevant --
MR. BROWN: Your Honor --
5
6 THE COURT: -- in this case is the one [inaudible].
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, if I may. The witness'
7
8 testimony is different than what Your Honor said. What
9 his testimony was that it --
10 THE COURT: I'm going to let you ask that question;
11 okay?
MR. BROWN: Okay. Thank you.
12
13 THE COURT: I was just trying to give you some
direction.
14
15 MR. BROWN: Okay.
THE COURT: If not, they can get exercise jumping up
16
17 and down.
18 MR. BROWN: Okay. I want to get -- to focus your
attention more closely on the machines that were used in
19
20 this case.
BY MR. BROWN:
21
22 Q. Do you have any experience or knowledge or
expertise about whether Georgia's systems are more
23
24 vulnerable or less vulnerable than these machines are
25 generally?

1 A. Yes, I do.

2 Q. What's the basis for your expertise in that?

3

4 A. I reviewed -- so in addition to my knowledge about the Diebold voting system, in

5

6 particular, I reviewed Georgia's use of the system. I've been into, you know, particularly the Fulton

7

8 County election preparations and multiple times.

9 I've reviewed testimony from Michael Barnes, Rick

10 Barron, and other election officials in the state of

11 Georgia and have been observing Georgia's election since 2016.

12

13 Q. Have you -- in the course of your education and your experience, have you -- do you have

14

15 experience and expertise about problems, specific types of problems, that DREs can experience, Georgia

16

17 or elsewhere?

18 A. Yes.
Q. Would that include software problems?

19

20 A. Yes.
Q. And hardware problems also? You need to

21

22 say, yes.
A. Yes.

23

24 Q. Okay. Have you developed -- and now I'm

25 going to explore this in a little bit greater detail,

1 but have you developed an -- do you have experience
2 or developed expertise in how to detect problems with DREs forensically?
3
4 A. Yes. In fact, in 2017 I published a paper looking at how to do that with the machines.
5
6 Q. And when I use the word -- we use the word, forensically, when you use it -- and the reason I'm
7
8 asking this is that to many it might have connotation
9 of a criminal conduct; do you follow me?
10 A. Yes.
11 Q. In your field when you use the word, forensic investigation, do you mean to imply that
12
13 you're looking necessarily for criminal activity?
A. No. Typically it's a post hoc application
14
15 of the scientific method to determine what happens, whether it be criminal or not.
16
17 Q. But it's looks -- is it looking for the
18 causes of a mistake or a problem?
A. Yes, and to try to understand how that
19
20 problem came to be.
Q. Do you have any expertise in determining or
21
22 identifying what I would call the telltale signs from the outside as to whether there are system defects on
23
24 the inside?
25 A. Yes. See, for example, that paper that I

1 just mentioned.

2 Q. Okay. And have you -- do you have real hands-on experience with DREs trying to determine

3

4 whether and how they are defected?

A. Yes. In fact, we just talked to some

5

6 undergraduates how to do a forensic analysis of the Diebold DREs in particular.

7

8 Q. Do you have any experience in post-election

9 auditing?

10 A. Yes. I assisted the State of Michigan and

11 observed the audits in Colorado, the responding audits in Colorado.

12

13 Q. And what is a risk limiting audit?

A. The risk limiting audit is a statistical

14

15 way where you define hypothesis tests and you use that to sample a small subset of ballots and answer

16

17 the question, is the reporting elections all correct

18 or not.

Q. And just -- does your work -- does that

19

20 work require knowledge and use of methods of statistics?

21

22 A. Yes, it does. In particular, the development of new [inaudible] paper we just

23

24 published.

25 Q. Okay.

1 MR. BROWN: And, Your Honor, I would like to qualify
2 and tender Mr. Bernhard as an expert in DRE operation, DRE vulnerability, Georgia; and generally, the internal
3
4 mechanics and software of the DREs, problems with DREs, how to detect those problems, the telltale signs of those
5
6 problems --
THE COURT: Okay, you're drawing a huge, huge list of
7
8 stuff. Let's be a little bit -- yeah, I'm not going to go
9 through and say yes, no, yes, no. What you're wanting to
10 qualify him as an expert generally in what, DRE?
11 MR. BROWN: DREs, their problems, their repair, how to detect them, and how to fix them.
12
13 THE COURT: Okay. You keep adding to it. What say the defendant?
14
15 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, a few more questions for Bernhard.
16
17
18 CROSS-EXAMINATION
OF MATTHEW BERNHARD
19
20 BY MR. TYSON:
21
22 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Bernhard. My name is Bryan Tyson. I represent the Gwinnett County Board
23
24 of Registrations and Elections. So you mentioned to
25 Mr. Brown that you worked for Verified Voting. Does

1 Verified Voting have a position on the use of DREs,
2 generally?
A. Yes.
3
4 Q. And what is that position?
A. That DREs are unfit for us in U.S.
5
6 elections.
Q. And it would be correct to say that
7
8 Verified Voting wants to return to an all-paper
9 ballot system; correct?
10 A. A handwritten paper ballot system; correct.
11 Q. You mentioned that you were qualified as
expert in Curling versus Kemp case; do -- can you
12
13 explain to the Court briefly what the issues are in
that case that you're -- gave expert testimony on?
14
15 A. Yeah. The argument is that the inherent
vulnerability of Georgia's DRE voting system puts
16
17 voters in Georgia at a fundamentally different level
18 of -- you know, it abridges their constitutional
rights to a fair election in comparison to other
19
20 states, like Alabama next door
Q. And those claims were all [inaudible] in
21
22 that case? And are the same plaintiffs involved in
that case?
23
24 A. I don't believe so.
25 Q. Okay. And is Mr. Brown counsel for the

1 plaintiffs in that case?

2 A. Yes, sir.

3 Q. Okay. You mentioned you had several DREs

4 in your lab; do any of those DREs -- the Diebold DREs specifically, run the same operating system as in use

5

6 in Georgia?

7 A. Yes, sir.

8 Q. Okay. How many of those run the same

9 operating system?

10 A. All of them.

11 Q. Do all of them run the same version of the software that's used for voting in Diebold machines

12

13 in Georgia?

14 A. No, sir.

15 Q. And so do any of them have the same software that is use in Georgia for running the

16

17 actual voting system?

18 A. No, sir. They all have the -- a more recent version.

19

20 Q. So, it would be correct to say that none of the Diebold machines in your lab are -- will match up

21

22 to any of the Diebold machines that are in Georgia from a software perspective; correct?

23

24 A. There will be a significant amount of

25 similarities, but it won't be 100 percent the same.

1 Q. Okay. Great. Do you have any experience
2 in the field of election administration?
3 A. Yes, sir. I'm a trained poll worker in the
4 state of Michigan.
5 Q. Okay. Have you done any personal review of
6 any voting machines that were used in the November
7 2018 general election in Georgia?
8 A. [inaudible] personal reviews.
9 Q. So have you physically touched the
10 machines, inspected them, taken any steps to review
11 any machines that were used in the 2018 general
12 election in Georgia?
13 A. No, sir.
14 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, that's compound.
15 THE COURT: [inaudible]
16 MR. BROWN: He asked about eight questions in --
17 MR. TYSON: I'll -- I'm going to try to rephrase.
18 I'm sorry.
19 THE COURT: Rephrase.
20 BY MR. TYSON:
21 Q. Mr. Bernhard, have you personally inspected
22 any machines that were used in 2018 election in
23 Georgia in general election?
24 A. No, sir.
25 Q. And so it would be correct to say, isn't

1 it, that you have no firsthand knowledge of any DRE
2 machine that was used in the 2018 Georgia election because you haven't inspected them; correct?
3
4 A. I suppose that's correct.
MR. TYSON: Okay. That's all I have.
5
6 THE COURT: Anybody else for the defendant have questions?
7
8 MR. LINDSEY: No, Your Honor.
9 THE COURT: Okay.
10 MR. BROWN: Just one follow-up question.
11 I don't have any further questions for him.
THE COURT: Okay. Anyone want to be heard on whether
12
13 he's an expert on DREs? That's basically what the issue is. All that other stuff I'm not going to rule on,
14
15 [inaudible] credibility [inaudible].
MR. TYSON: Yes, Your Honor. So we would object to
16
17 Mr. Bernhard serving as an expert in this case, both under
18 702(b) because he's testified he has no personal knowledge about the voting machines in use in Georgia. The machines
19
20 in his lab do not line up to ones that were in use in Georgia.
21
22 He has not personally inspected the voting machines that were used in the 2018 general election, so we don't
23
24 believe that he can offer anything to help the Court
25 determine if that's an issue which is required by that.

1 In addition, we don't believe there is anything
2 relevant that relates to the issue in the case. Mr. Bernhard can certainly testify about DREs generally. The
3
4 issue for this Court is focused on the lieutenant governor's election and Mr. Bernhard has not indicated he
5
6 has anything that would be useful to finder of facts in this case.
7
8 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we adopt the issue of
9 Gwinnett County and also make the same objections.
10 MR. BROWN: First -- the first objection was based
11 upon his line of personal knowledge. That, of course, is not an objection to an expert qualification of an expert.
12
13 The second was personal knowledge about inspection. That's also not a relevant objection.
14
15 I mean, if there's another idea lurking behind that, I'd like to hear it and respond to it, but that's not a
16
17 proper objection. The single objection that I could
18 understand, that's applicable to here, was that his particular model -- models that he has and that he can
19
20 take apart, is a different -- he uses a different version of the Microsoft operating system.
21
22 He testified that it is substantially identical and that is -- even if it were different, he has been
23
24 established as a leading expert in this field.
25 THE COURT: I'm not going to say he's a leading

1 expert. I have no evidence of him being an expert. But
2 leading expert -- I am going to allow him to testify as an expert. The weight and credibility of his testimony is
3
4 for me, and I can listen to it and I can [inaudible].
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
5
6
REDIRECT EXAMINATION
7
8 OF MATTHEW BERNHARD
9
10 BY MR. BROWN:
11 Q. Mr. Bernhard, the first part, I would like to review your -- of what materials you have reviewed
12
13 in connection with your work on this case. Are you with me?
14
15 A. Uh-huh.
Q. And have you reviewed the scholarly
16
17 literature?
18 A. Yes, sir. I've done a thorough survey of all of the academic works specifically about the Diebold
19
20 machines and [inaudible].
Q. Have you reviewed government publications
21
22 on [inaudible]?
A. Yes, sir. [inaudible] National Academy of
23
24 Sciences as well as various other government
25 agencies.

1 Q. Have you ever reviewed the source code for
2 the TS system?
3 A. Yes, sir. I've reviewed an early release
4 of the source code.
5 Q. Have you reviewed the voting results in the
6 Georgia 2018 election?
7 A. Yes, sir.
8 Q. Have reviewed studies of those results?
9 A. Yes, sir.
10 Q. Have you reviewed statements from voters
11 with their accounts of trying to vote on the machines
12 in Georgia?
13 A. Yes, sir.
14 Q. And who in -- focusing just on the -- on
15 those individual statements, what statements have you
16 -- let me back up a little bit. Let me finish. Have
17 you reviewed the testimony of the agents of the
18 defendants, including Michael Barnes and Rick Barron
19 in other cases?
20 A. Yes, sir.
21 Q. Have you reviewed the reported
22 vulnerability in the Georgia voter registration page
23 recorded during the 2018 election?
24 A. Yes.
25 Q. Have you spoken with other people who have

1 expert knowledge to gain a better understanding of
2 the issues?
A. Yes, sir.
3
4 Q. And who would those be?
A. For one, [inaudible] who published one of
5
6 the original security analyses of the DREs, I've
spoken with -- excuse me [inaudible] Philip Stark,
7
8 who is a leading expert on audits and [inaudible],
9 among others.
10 Q. Okay. Okay. Let's dive into then to some
11 of your opinions. First, with respect to the Diebold
DRE machine, do you have an opinion as to whether
12
13 that machine generally is defective or not defective?
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'm going to object to the
14
15 way that the question is asked. I don't think we've
established if there one [inaudible] DRE machine. We've
16
17 already heard there's different versions of software, are
18 there different hardware -- I think we need to be specific
about what's being asked.
19
20 MR. BROWN: Fair enough, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Well, it's a lot of different types of
21
22 machines. At least be able to identify which ones he's
done and which ones he hasn't.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Exactly. Your Honor, exact -- the
25 objection is well taken and let me reframe it.

1 BY MR. BROWN:

2 Q. Have you developed an opinion as to whether the DRE systems like the ones used in Georgia

3 generally are defective?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. And to get to the point of different DRE machines, other states use Diebold DRE machines; is

6 that correct?

7 A. Correct.

8 Q. Are they all identical or are they

9 different?

10 A. From a hardware perspective, they're all

11 identical. The software is different depending on the state; though there are only three major versions

12 of the software out there.

13 Q. With respect to whether they are defective

14 or not, is there any different -- material difference

15 in the different types of Diebold DRE machines?

16 A. No, sir. They all come with the same

17 software and they have the same aging hardware.

18 Q. And what is your opinion about the

19 defectiveness of the DRE machines that are used nationwide and in Georgia?

20 A. They're defective both from an

21 architectural standpoint, the actual implementation,

1 and the way they were designed and built, as well as
2 the way they are used in many places.
3 Again, these machines are 15 years old at this
4 point. The glue that holds the screens together is
5 starting to come apart, you know, as well as, you
6 know, who knows how many times they've been dropped
7 and so forth. And so I think it's -- they were
8 defective to begin with but they've only become more
9 defective over time.
10 Q. Mr. Bernhard, have you reviewed academic
11 literature on the subject of whether DRE systems are
12 generally defective?
13 A. Yes, sir.
14 Q. And just give me an example of some of the
15 things that you reviewed.
16 A. Yeah, so there was a forensic study in 2006
17 of the Diebold system that was actually published by
18 [inaudible]. There was the California top to bottom
19 review. There was the Ohio Everest report; the state
20 of Florida and Maryland both issued independent
21 reports. And then more recently we've seen the
22 National Academy of Science's report on the usage of
23 DREs, as well as numerous others.
24 Q. And what -- do they have a general
25 consensus or not?

1 A. Yeah, they -- unanimous conclusion is that
2 DRE machines, and particularly the kind of DRE that's used in Georgia are unsafe and unfit for use for
3
4 voting.
Q. And are the unsafe and unfit for voting
5
6 because they don't -- they're not accurate or they might not be accurate?
7
8 A. Yeah. They are, you know -- as I mentioned
9 before, inherently defective and on top of that, they
10 don't provide any recourse if the defect is detected.
11 Q. And what do you mean by no recourse?
A. You know, typically there's this notion of
12
13 software independence where even if the software does go bad, we can, one, detect it if it's happened; and
14
15 two, correct for it.
Because the DRE machines don't have any -- you
16
17 know, there's no paper trail. There's no external
18 source of evidence of how many votes are cast, who they were cast for. There is no way that the DREs
19
20 can provide significant evidence to the outcome of an election.
21
22 Q. But isn't that the case in any sort of computer program that there's no independence --
23
24 A. Yes.
25 Q. -- of verification?

1 A. Anything that doesn't have an external
2 source of evidence, yes.
3 Q. The -- let me ask the same question. You
4 were -- you responded with discussion of governmental
5 agencies. Have you reviewed opinions or publications
6 from the computer science community --
7 A. Yes.
8 Q. -- about the vulnerability or defectiveness
9 of the DREs?
10 A. Yes. That was the majority of the
11 literature is from.
12 Q. Okay. And what is the computer science --
13 is there a consensus or differences of opinion in the
14 computer science community with respect to the
15 defectiveness of the DREs?
16 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I object on the relevancy.
17 This has been going on for a little while. I'm not sure
18 when -- where general problems of the DREs is relevant to
19 allegations regarding the Georgia lieutenant governor's
20 election on a specific [inaudible] Georgia machines.
21 These are general allegations, not something specific.
22 MR. BROWN: Your Honor --
23 THE COURT: I understand that. He can answer that
24 question [inaudible].
25 MR. BROWN: Okay.

1 THE WITNESS: Again, the overall consensus is that
2 the machines are vulnerable and can cause problems.
MR. BROWN:
3
4 Q. Are you aware of any peer review computer
science publication that takes a contrary view to the
5
6 view that the DREs are defective?
A. No, sir. The community is unanimous.
7
8 Q. Have you developed an opinion about whether
9 Georgia's system is less defective than the systems
10 used in other parts of the country or more defective?
11 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll just object for lack of
foundation. I don't think we've had testimony about
12
13 Georgia yet. We've talked generally about that --
[inaudible]
14
15 THE COURT: I'll let you ask the question but if he
doesn't give a basis for it I'll disregard it.
16
17 THE WITNESS: Okay. Yes, sir, I have.
18 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. And if I asked you this before, I
19
20 apologize. But have you talked to people or have you
done some investigation about the vulnerability of
21
22 Georgia's system in particular, not as a general
matter?
23
24 A. Yes, sir.
25 Q. And what's -- what did you do or what have

1 you done?

2 A. Again, reviewing testimony and interviewing voters in Georgia, reviewing Georgia electronic

3 regulation; like I said, testimony from Michael Barnes and Rick Barron in the Curling v. Kemp where

4 they explain more carefully the layout of the system. I talked with Michael Barnes a couple times, also,

5 about how Georgia's election system works.

6 Q. And have you evaluated, for example, the

7 testimony of Logan Lamb?

8 A. Yes.

9 THE COURT: Of who?

10 MR. BROWN: Logan Lamb. And have you --

11 THE COURT: So he's repeating somebody else's

12 testimony in another case? Is that what you're saying?

13 MR. BROWN: That's not the purpose. It was to

14 provide a foundation for his knowledge in determining --

15 THE COURT: Does he have any personal knowledge?

16 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, he's an expert in --

17 THE COURT: I understand he's an expert. Go ahead. [inaudible].

18 MR. BROWN: Okay.

19 BY MR. BROWN:

20 Q. Have you personally examined the

21 architecture of the system, from your perspective,

1 sufficient to understand whether the State of Georgia
2 by leaving it open to the internet for six months might have exposed the system to greater danger?
3
4 Have you looked at that personally?
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object to that again. I
5
6 don't think there's any evidence about an exposure for six months on the internet. There's no foundation for the
7
8 question -- that's also compounds [inaudible].
9 THE COURT: [inaudible] no time, no -- we're talking
10 about one day of one election. That's all we're talking
11 about.
MR. BROWN: Yeah. I'll establish a foundation for
12
13 that. The relevance -- I think there was a -- related to relevancy.
14
15 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Bernhard, in your experience and in
16
17 your expertise, would the likelihood of a defect in
18 the election on November the 6th, 2018, be increased or decreased based upon how Georgia's particular
19
20 system was maintained?
A. It would be increased.
21
22 Q. Okay. Now what did Georgia do or not do to increase the vulnerability of the DRE machine that it
23
24 uses?
25 A. We first found in 2016 from Logan Lamb that

1 there was a server that is connected to the
2 [inaudible] system or at least adjacent to it that was open to the internet running an incredibly
3
4 vulnerable version of a software called Drupal. To give you an idea of how vulnerable it is, I set up my
5
6 Drupal residence and within 24 hours it was compromised.
7
8 We also saw in 2018 leading up to the election
9 that the voter registration page has significant
10 vulnerabilities in it. And all of these could serve
11 as potential records for malware or, you know, other -- they all show a tendency for a lack of
12
13 fastidiousness and, you know, a general carelessness from a technical perspective that may indicate that
14
15 errors were made or there was malware in the system or -- you know.
16
17 Q. And did that contribute to your assessment
18 of the level risk in Georgia?
A. Yes.
19
20 Q. And what was your conclusion about whether the risk was increased or decreased?
21
22 A. So, based on the inherent vulnerability of the DREs, they're used and based on the repeated
23
24 security mistakes by the State of Georgia, my opinion
25 is that the -- Georgia's elections are far more

1 vulnerable than most other states and most other
2 elections in general.
Q. Now you identified -- you stated mistakes,
3
4 of what I interpreted to be mistakes. Could you list those again for us, please?
5
6 A. Yeah. The file system that used to distribute sample ballots and voter registration data
7
8 was left open to the internet. And the voter
9 registration page had two major vulnerabilities and
10 then one less significant vulnerability in it; and
11 because of the central nature of Georgia's election system, you know, they -- the Secretary of State's
12
13 office does distribute programming to all of the machines indirectly.
14
15 Those two vulnerabilities at the state level could potentially cause trouble, you know, everywhere
16
17 in the state; as well as, you know, again, show a
18 general lack of care for technical correctness, you know. Getting the technical stuff right and making
19
20 programming errors or for other things are more likely as well.
21
22 Q. Okay. Anything else? Any other problems, any other mistakes?
23
24 A. None come to mind.
25 Q. Okay. Let me -- to back up a little bit, I

1 do want to get something into the record.

2 THE COURT: This P-5? Is that P-5? P-7. Okay. I don't look at it until it's been admitted.

3

4 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Do you have Plaintiff's Exhibit 7 in front

5

6 of you?
A. Yes, sir.

7

8 Q. And what is Plaintiff's 7?

9 A. It is the National Academies of Science

10 Engineering and Medicine's report, Secured Boot, from

11 2018.
Q. And is it your understanding the entire

12

13 report is public and on the internet?
A. Yes, it is.

14

15 Q. And is Plaintiff 7 just a selection of those pages?

16

17 A. Yes, it appears to be.

18 Q. And what is your understanding of what the National Academy of Science's -- what study it

19

20 undertook and what the purpose of that was.
A. The National Academy of Scientists

21

22 attempted to kind of understand the overall --
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'm going to pose an

23

24 objection as to what another group of experts may have

25 said. Plus, it is what he said and he's the expert here.

1 He's the one that we can cross-examine. These folks
2 aren't here so we would object this line of testimony.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, he already testified.
3
4 [inaudible] kind of information -- he already testified
that this is the --
5
6 THE COURT: He can testify to what he's read and who
he's talked to, what all he's done. He can't just read
7
8 off a document that somebody else has -- based on having
9 done all that, then he has certain opinions, which I've
10 heard pretty loud and clear. Those are all his opinions
11 based on all this information and training and everything
he's done.
12
13 But we're not having the documents here.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I believe that it's
14
15 admissible because it is -- he has established that this
is the kind of information that expert --
16
17 THE COURT: No. No.
18 MR. BROWN: Okay.
THE COURT: It becomes a substitute for evidence and
19
20 we're not going to do that.
MR. BROWN: Okay.
21
22 THE COURT: But I understand. He read it; it formed
part of the decision that he's made in this case.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Is the -- and let me ask a foundation --
25 I understand your ruling but to get on the record, is --

1 BY MR. BROWN:

2 Q. Are opinions such as the one in the National Academy of Sciences, things that experts in

3 your field rely upon to develop your expert opinion?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. And is it standard in your profession to rely upon that information?

6 A. Yes.

7 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would suggest again this is

8 the kind -- albeit hearsay, it is exactly the kind of

9 hearsay --

10 THE COURT: No. It's hearsay. He's relied on it;

11 he's read it; it's part of what -- you know, if he's up to PhD he's probably read thousands of pages of stuff. We're

12 not putting it all in.

13 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

14 BY MR. BROWN:

15 Q. You testified before that there are some telltale signs of actual defective machines; do you

16 recall that?

17 A. Yes, sir.

18 Q. And have you reached an opinion about whether those telltale signs were apparent in Georgia

19 for the 2018 election?

20 A. Yes, sir.

1 Q. And what in your expert opinion were those
2 telltale signs and what is the basis that you have for saying that it is?
3
4 A. So there is a -- to say that the literature is the [inaudible] where they lay out the foundation
5
6 for forensic election investigation --
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, same objection.
7
8 THE COURT: Hm?
9 MR. LINDSEY: You know, he could -- the question here
10 is, what were the telltale signs, not what another paper
11 may say --
THE COURT: You cannot quote what the paper said.
12
13 MR. LINDSEY: -- [inaudible] what another paper said.
MR. BROWN: That's right.
14
15 THE COURT: Let me make that -- you can say I relied on it to make my decision; don't have any problem with
16
17 that. That's what experts do. To get an expert in
18 anything you've got to read lots of stuff. But you can't say what it said; okay?
19
20 MR. BROWN: Bear that in mind.
BY MR. BROWN:
21
22 Q. Mr. Bernhard, what telltale signs did you observe of system defectiveness?
23
24 A. The significant under-vote rate in the
25 lieutenant governor's race, as well as reporting

1 various errors, you know. There were errors with the
2 review screen; voters were reporting the machines were [inaudible] in the middle of the voting
3
4 sessions, that error messages were popping up.
Q. Let's -- let me -- I can't -- I'm sorry.
5
6 Focusing on the election results, you said there was a significant under-vote and what is the basis of
7
8 your opinion that there was a significant under-vote?
9 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, first off, the objection is
10 that he hasn't established any kind of expert regarding
11 under-voting and whether or not the under-voting could be caught by machine or through other sources. He hasn't
12
13 laid that foundation.
As a matter of fact, they tried to lay that
14
15 foundation with the last expert and the judge ruled against them. So he simply has not laid any proper
16
17 foundation of kind of testimony.
18 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, the same objection, that there's not a foundation. Mr. Bernhard is not an expert
19
20 on election administration or under-votes. I think the numbers are already in the evidence -- we know what the
21
22 numbers are, so --
THE COURT: Yeah. We've already had testimony about
23
24 it live. So I sustain the objection.
25 MR. BROWN: Let me reframe it.

1 BY MR. BROWN:

2 Q. Do the voting results in some instances tell an expert like you that there may be a defect in

3 the system that needs to be investigated?

4 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, same objection as before.

5 THE COURT: That's a little bit different.

6 MR. LINDSEY: Same objection as before. I might also

7 add that he's asking for speculation of the word "may."

8 The --

9 THE COURT: I said weight and credibility is mine.

10 MR. LINDSEY: Huh?

11 THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to let him answer that

12 question.

13 MR. LINDSEY: Okay. Thank you.

14 THE WITNESS: Yes. I do believe that based on the data there were defects.

15 BY MR. BROWN:

16 Q. And what is it about the data that leads you to the opinion that there were defects in the

17 machines?

18 A. There would be -- there's a statically

19 significant correlation between the mode of voting and the amount of under-voting; and there's also a

20 statistic -- at least in one statistically

21 significant aberration in the [inaudible] where one

22

23

24

25

1 voting machine reported, you know, significantly
2 different results than every other voting machine in the precinct, which is highly unlikely.
3
4 Q. In your field -- well, let me back up a little bit. You said statistically significant
5
6 under-voting. Do you mean under-voting in the abstract or the comparison between under-voting on
7
8 the electronic machines and under-voting on the paper
9 machines?
10 A. Yes, that's correct. The under-voting rate
11 for paper ballots was significantly lower than it was for DRE machines in a statistically significant
12
13 [inaudible].
Q. And what is the statistical basis for what
14
15 you're saying?
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, objection. He's laid no
16
17 basis that he's in any way an expert on statistics.
18 MR. TYSON: Same objection, Your Honor.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor --
19
20 THE COURT: I mean, we've got all the figures. [inaudible] We've got all the figures. We know what
21
22 happened. We know what the numbers are. He says it's because the machines are defective. That's his opinion,
23
24 expert opinion, it's why all that happened.
25 Okay, beyond that, where are we going?

1 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the -- if I may back up a
2 little bit. With any phenomena, there's either direct evidence, eyewitness testimony, or you go through and you
3
4 eliminate every other alternative. That's -- you do --
THE COURT: But I've taken his opinion. His opinion
5
6 is because of those numbers and because of the differential between the paper and the machines, something
7
8 has to be wrong with the machines; am I right?
9 MR. BROWN: Okay.
10 THE COURT: I'm simplistic; I'm sorry.
11 MR. BROWN: Is there a way to --
THE COURT: I don't have a PhD.
12
13 MR. BROWN: Okay.
BY MR. BROWN:
14
15 Q. And let me ask this. Is there a way to quantify the likelihood of that voting pattern
16
17 happening simply by chance?
18 A. Yes, there is.
Q. And what is the answer?
19
20 A. Typically, you use a hypothesis test. In the two examples I cited [inaudible] one of them, the
21
22 -- I believe the under-vote has a less -- less than 1 to 10,000 chance of being -- happen -- sorry. A
23
24 less than 1 in 10,000 chance of appearing at random
25 or monthly in the course of an election.

1 Q. And then you -- you also testified about
2 Winterville?
3 A. Yes. The -- assuming that the voters were
4 randomly -- you know, that you didn't go to a machine based on your party or something like that. The odds
5
6 that one machine would have significantly more votes for one party but not the other machines have votes
7
8 for the other party is also incredibly low.
9 Q. And could you just explain -- we -- in case
10 the court has not heard about the Winterville
11 problem, could you just explain to the Court what you observed about the numbers at the Winterville voting
12
13 location?
14 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor --
15 THE COURT: About what? I missed the question. You went round and round and I didn't get it.
16
17 MR. BROWN: The question is, just to get -- he
18 testified about Winterville and what happened in Winterville, and I was just asking him to explain in
19
20 greater detail what did happen in Winterville in case that hadn't been made clear.
21
22 MR. TYSON: And Your Honor, this assumes facts that are not in evidence and I believe the evidence that
23
24 [inaudible] was submitted was not an avenue that Mr.
25 Bernhard regarding that precinct. So Mr. Barnhard [sic]

1 may need to lay a foundation and Mr. Barnhard needs to
2 talk about his personal analysis, if he conducted one.
BY MR. BROWN:
3
4 Q. Again, are you familiar with the voting
totals from the Winterville district?
5
6 A. Yes, sir.
Q. And where did you get that from?
7
8 A. The Secretary of State's vote results.
9 Q. And what did those numbers show?
10 A. In that precinct, I believe there were
11 eight DRE machines. On every DRE machine the
Democratic candidate won every race, except one where
12
13 a Republican candidate won every race by
approximately the same margin as the Democrat voting
14
15 on the other machines.
Q. And as a forensic expert, why is that -- is
16
17 that a telltale sign of a system defect?
18 A. Yeah. If you assume that the voters are
probably going to machines at random, you know, not
19
20 based on the party affiliation or anything like that,
it's extremely unlikely that you would see seven
21
22 machines with this incredibly regular pattern and
then one machine that's completely opposite of those
23
24 same machines.
25 Q. And from your background and experience in

1 software, is there an explanation for why that might
2 happen?
A. To me it seems like it might be a
3
4 programming error where you, you know -- all of the
machines you have A as the Republican, B as the
5
6 Democrat, but on this one machine for whatever reason
it was B as the Democrat -- or A as the Democrat, B
7
8 as the Republican.
9 Q. Just a code problem?
10 A. Yeah. Or I believe the other could be, you
11 know, obviously some other kind of error that the
machine incurred that wasn't even -- that was
12
13 programmed into it.
Q. And it could be an innocent mistake or a
14
15 malicious virus; correct?
A. Correct.
16
17 Q. Okay. And -- now, did you review
18 statements by eyewitnesses that led you to consider
one way or the other whether there may be telltale
19
20 signs of a defective system?
A. Yes.
21
22 Q. And what did you review?
A. I reviewed three affidavits from voters, as
23
24 well as the voter hotline report for -- for one
25 precinct.

1 Q. And from your perspective as an expert,
2 what sort of issues were reported that might have been telltale signs of defective equipment?
3
4 A. Voters were reporting machines were rebooting spontaneously. Some people were citing
5
6 that there were raw error codes showing up in logs on the machines, which is exceptionally rare in good
7
8 software.
9 There were also voters who had issues with the
10 review screen where they, you know -- one voter
11 reported that they voted their whole ballot, but they didn't see the lieutenant governor choice on it at
12
13 all. And then they get to the review screen and they see that it's there. Other voters were reporting
14
15 once they got to their review screen, they quit on a particular race to go back and change their answer or
16
17 review it or whatever. And it would just cast their
18 vote instead of taking them back to that screen. There were numerous errors that seemed to have
19
20 manifested.
Q. From your perspective, and based upon your
21
22 expertise, is there a way to -- just -- is there a way, one or the other, whether you can generalize
23
24 from those specific instances, any system-wide sort
25 of problems?

1 A. Generalized, no, I don't think so.

2 Q. And what would you need to do to determine whether the extent of the defect?

3

4 A. A forensic analysis of the software that was running on those machines would be necessary.

5

6 Q. Okay. And have you undertaken or started or tried to do a forensic analysis of these DRE

7

8 machines?

9 A. Yes, sir. I showed up to Fulton County

10 Election [inaudible] on Monday and was told that I

11 would not be allowed to do it.
Q. Okay. And this is just this Monday, three

12

13 days ago?
A. Correct.

14

15 Q. Okay. And have you had the opportunity to review the internal memory or examine the internal

16

17 memory of any of these machines?

18 A. No, sir.
Q. And if -- explain, if you will, sort of the

19

20 back and forth of the industry and I know it's all collegial, but just what the back and forth was

21

22 between you and the technicians down there.
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, objection to the relevance.

23

24 The Court has heard their motions to compel, have heard

25 the motions for discovery. The Court has heard their

1 proffer regarding what they believe that she should have
2 been entitled to, but they -- but the Court ruled against them. So I would object as this is not relevant. The
3
4 Court's already ruled on this.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we further object on this
5
6 as it is hearsay, the conversations he had with the technicians. He can say what he said, but what the
7
8 technician said is hearsay.
9 MR. BROWN: I'll take that as -- in reverse. It's
10 not hearsay because it's statements by the adverse party
11 and --
THE COURT: Well, I have seen everybody's briefs on
12
13 this point, and I see both sides of it. I did not order a forensic investigation. I specifically left that word
14
15 out.
I set out certain things to be done. Did you get a
16
17 forensic? No, we didn't get a forensic because I didn't
18 order a forensic. I'm not going beyond that.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, would you take testimony of
19
20 what it means -- what the word, internal memory, means?
THE COURT: I have some knowledge.
21
22 MR. BROWN: And I understand.
THE COURT: I'm not completely stupid.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Your Honor --
25 THE COURT: I happen to be a math/physics major.

1 MR. BROWN: Your Honor.

2 THE COURT: Yeah. I got sidetracked. Yeah. So if you want to do it, go ahead. Don't worry about it.

3

4 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Were you offered access to the internal

5

6 memory of a DRE machine?
THE COURT: I'm not going into that either. That's

7

8 what they objected to.

9 MR. BROWN: Okay.

10 THE COURT: Okay? The question was, how did he do

11 it? Okay? That was the issue. I was available; nobody called, except Mr. [inaudible].

12

13 MR. BROWN: Okay.
THE COURT: He emailed; right? Repeatedly. And I

14

15 repeatedly responded. This case has been my life for a week and a half. Go ahead.

16

17 MR. BROWN: I think we've --

18 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Bernhard, why didn't you inspect the

19

20 DRE machine?
A. Turning on the machine would affect the

21

22 internal state, so we were ordered to review the internal memory of the machine. That includes the

23

24 ROM, the read-only memory where the operating system

25 and the boot loader as well as the election data is

1 stored. Turning on the machine would necessarily
2 alter that data. There are ways to extract that data
without damaging it in that way. But, of course, we
3
4 couldn't perform that operation.
Q. So, you could have accessed it -- is it --
5
6 let me try to unpack that a little bit. Had you
accessed it -- you could access but you would have
7
8 altered the evidence? Is that what you're saying?
9 A. I would have altered some of the evidence
10 and would only have seen a very small part, but I
11 would have only seen the election archive, which is
not the internal memory. It's just a very small part
12
13 of it.
Q. Okay. And so the -- faced with the
14
15 alternative of altering evidence and not getting the
evidence that you were looking for, you decided not
16
17 to conduct the investigation; correct?
18 A. That's correct.
Q. And can you explain to the Court in a
19
20 little bit greater detail how and why booting the
system without making a copy of the internal memory
21
22 first can alter evidence.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, this was an issue that was
23
24 raised to the Court; both sides briefed it. The Court
25 ordered if there were any further questions to request a

1 conference call or anything like that. No such call was
2 requested.
The Court then heard, I believe -- I may be terming -
3
4 - the term [inaudible] -- but that you didn't file a very
extensive brief saying that you needed additional
5
6 discovery that wasn't being allowed to you. The Court
considered that brief and ruled against you. And now
7
8 today we're dealing with an evidentiary trial as to
9 whether or not there was a defect in the election system
10 in Georgia, that it -- was it great enough to overturn a
11 margin of victory over 123,000 votes.
That's the sole issue here today. If all of this is
12
13 simply regurgitating the same concerns that were
previously raised and [inaudible].
14
15 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, we just object on relevance
along the same line. What -- how did you turn on the
16
17 machines and a forensic examination not relevant to the
18 lieutenant governor's race, [inaudible].
THE COURT: Mr. Brown.
19
20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the -- this is the foundation
for establishing that the petitioners have not been given
21
22 any --
THE COURT: No. The petitioner was given. You
23
24 refused to take it; you wanted to do it some other way.
25 That issue is done. So go -- move on to another subject.

1 MR. BROWN: Okay. Your Honor, if I may -- and I'm
2 not --
3 THE COURT: No. Not if you may. You always want an
4 if you may. No. We've been through this over and over
5 and over again. You email me at 11:00 at night. You
6 email me at 2:30 in the morning. You would not believe
7 all the stuff in this case.
8 I've looked at it. I've made the best decisions I
9 know how; move on to something else. Question of
10 discovery is done.
11 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, just one more thing. I'm
12 going to --
13 THE COURT: You don't listen to me, do you, sir?
14 MR. BROWN: It's a different topic.
15 THE COURT: Okay. Different topic's fine.
16 MR. BROWN: This is a different topic. Different
17 topic. The diff -- but I -- I would like to say the
18 different topic is going to sound like the same topic.
19 It's different.
20 MR. LINDSEY: Can I just object now?
21 THE COURT: This is just -- I guess I'm on the record
22 -- this is just really good lawyering on both sides. I
23 mean, it's like -- no. If it sounds -- what is it? If it
24 looks like a duck and it quacks like a duck, it is a duck;
25 is that the one where you talk about in the South?

1 MR. BROWN: And I never agree with that, Your Honor.

2 THE COURT: Try one more question and we'll see where we go. You might get to testify. Go ahead.
3

4 MR. BROWN: Yeah.
BY MR. BROWN:
5

6 Q. If the State of Georgia wanted to investigate the causes of apparent anomalies, what
7

8 could it do?

9 A. It could perform a --

10 MR. LINDSEY: Objection, Your Honor.

11 THE COURT: I'm going to let him. I'm going to let him answer that, what the state could do.
12

13 THE WITNESS: It could perform a forensic investigation, which are the best practices recommended by
14

15 experts and have been for decades.
BY MR. BROWN:
16

17 Q. And based upon your interactions with the

18 State and your knowledge of the --
THE COURT: No. Not based upon his interactions with
19

20 the State. We're not going there.
BY MR. BROWN:
21

22 Q. Did the State conduct that kind of forensic examination?
23

24 A. No.

25 Q. Okay.

1 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would like to take a break
2 just to get a glass of water and then finish up with this witness, quickly.
3
4 THE COURT:
MR. BROWN: Thank you. Thank you, Your Honor.
5
6
[Off the record at 2:09 p.m., and back on the record
7
8 at 2:22 p.m.]
9
10 THE COURT: [inaudible]
11
CONTINUATION OF REDIRECT EXAMINATION
12
13 OF MATTHEW BERNHARD
14
15 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Bernhard, do you have experience
16
17 evaluating GEMS databases?
18 A. Yes, sir.
Q. Have you in the course of your work
19
20 reviewed or had access to GEMS databases?
A. Yes, sir. I've been sent to GEMS databases
21
22 from the State of Colorado, State of California, I believe the State of Alaska as well.
23
24 Q. And were those treated as nonconfidential?
25 A. Yeah. They were public records.

1 Q. Okay. Have you received that from the
2 State of Georgia?
A. No.
3
4 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, he's going back down the
same rabbit hole again and I object. This is once again a
5
6 matter that should have been taken up regarding discovery.
We're here today to hear the evidence as to whether or not
7
8 there was some kind of breach and whether or not it was
9 significant enough to change the election votes, not
10 whether or not they should get something they didn't get.
11 THE COURT: I sustain the objection.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. No further
12
13 questions.
14
15 CROSS-EXAMINATION
OF MATTHEW BERNHARD
16
17
18 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. [inaudible], Mr. Bernhard. So let me ask
19
20 you first, is it your personal belief that only paper
should be used for elections, hand-marked paper
21
22 ballots?
A. Hand-marked paper ballots that are
23
24 [inaudible].
25 THE COURT: [inaudible]

1 THE WITNESS: Hand-marked paper ballots [inaudible].
2 BY MR. TYSON:
3 Q. Have you ever worked on a political
4 campaign before?
5 A. No, sir.
6 Q. Now you talked about your research and various studies and what you've looked at. It's
7 correct, isn't it, that you're not aware of a single
8 instance anywhere in Georgia where there was a piece
9 of malware that was somehow propagated from a server
10 that creates the ballot format, down through memory card, onto the DRE; is that correct?
11 A. Correct. But again, that's just because they're machines. There's no [inaudible].
12 Q. But you're not aware of an instance where that's occurred; correct? Okay. And you're not
13 aware of an instance where anywhere in the nation
14 where a piece of malware has been propagated onto a DRE in an actual election, are you?
15 A. Not on the DRE voter registration systems, I guess.
16 Q. But as the DRE you're not?
17 A. [inaudible]
18 Q. Okay. Now you talked a little bit about
19 the Winterville precinct in Clarke County; is that

1 correct?

2 A. Yes, sir.
Q. Okay. And you said that -- did you perform
3

4 a statistical analysis at that precinct?
A. No, sir.
5

6 Q. Who performed that statistical analysis?
A. I think it was Philip Stark.
7

8 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor?

9 MR. TYSON: And so -- sorry.

10 MR. LINDSEY: He testified earlier as to the results

11 from that machine as if he himself performed that
examination after this Court specifically ruled that that
12

13 testimony from Mr. Stark was hearsay.
I'm going to move that that testimony that had been
14

15 previously raised regarding this be stricken from the
record because it's contrary to a prior ruling that he
16

17 could not testify as to what other people had done. And -

18 -
THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
19

20 MR. LINDSEY: -- and [inaudible] that he
mischaracterizes earlier testimony as well.
21

22 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I believe that the record
would say what the record says about what he says and
23

24 [inaudible] attempt to characterize it. The testimony was

25 that he had reviewed the evidence relating to the

1 Winterville.

2 I asked him what telltale signs and he used that as an example. We further established early on that the

3 reports of people like Stark are something that an expert in his capacity looks at and that he reviews the evidence

4 from all different sources.

5 MR. LINDSEY: He -- I'm sorry, go ahead. I'm sorry.

6 MR. BROWN: I don't --

7 MR. LINDSEY: I didn't mean to --

8 MR. BROWN: I don't remember him saying that he did

9 the math on Winterville, but that he knew the answer and he knew how to get it.

10 MR. LINDSEY: But he -- but -- but he has been specifically told on multiple occasions despite attempts

11 to solicit such testimony that he was not to testify as to what other people had done or what other people reported.

12 He was specifically admonished not to do that; and through

13 ambiguity and a question, he led this Court to believe that he, himself -- and I'm sorry, I --

14 MR. BROWN: Your Honor --

15 MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible]

16 THE COURT: Let him finish.

17 MR. LINDSEY: That he, himself, had conducted this

18 investigation of these tapes. He has not admitted that he

19 has not and I ask that his previous testimony be stricken.

1 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, same response. I would -- to
2 get at the truth --
3 THE COURT: Well, he gets -- it's his motion, so I'm
4 -- he testified as that he got the numbers from the Secretary of State, and that I will accept.
5
6 MR. TYSON: But he's now [inaudible].
7 THE COURT: But the rest of it I will not accept.
8 MR. TYSON: Okay. But he's now testified that he
9 didn't actually do that investigation.
10 MR. BROWN: But now [inaudible]?
11 THE COURT: Not yet. But that's how I heard it. I heard it that he got the numbers from Clarke County and
12
13 the rest of it -- he sounded -- he moved into it as if he'd done it all, but no, he -- obviously he hadn't do it
14
15 all --
16 MR. LINDSEY: Just by testifying that he didn't do
17 it, that's [inaudible].
18 THE COURT: -- it wouldn't be admissible. Okay.
19 MR. LINDSEY: Make sure that's on [inaudible]. I'm
20 sorry.
21 BY MR. TYSON:
22 Q. Mr. Bernhard, so you -- I believe we had just been through that Professor Stark had done the
23
24 statistical analysis of the Winterville precinct; is
25 that correct?

1 A. That's correct. I pulled the numbers
2 though beforehand.
Q. And so, when you say you pulled the
3
4 numbers, could you explain to us what that means?
A. I --
5
6 THE COURT: Did you pull the numbers?
THE WITNESS: Yes, I pulled the numbers.
7
8 THE COURT: I thought you said he pulled the numbers.
9 THE WITNESS: Okay.
10 THE COURT: And by moving into that you make it sound
11 like he did it all, but he didn't. He just pulled the
numbers.
12
13 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. So, Mr. Bernhard, after you pulled the
14
15 numbers it's correct isn't it that Professor Stark
did then the statistical analysis?
16
17 A. Correct.
18 Q. Okay. And you didn't personally perform
the statistical analysis in that precinct?
19
20 A. No, sir. Because Philip had already done
it. I didn't see a point in doing it again.
21
22 Q. How did you go about selecting or had -- do
you know how anybody selected the Winterville
23
24 precinct?
25 A. It was brought to my attention just because

1 of this oddity of his one machine having drastically
2 different vote totals than the other seven.
3 Q. And was that from plaintiff's counsel?
4 A. I believe so.
5 Q. And if I'm recalling correctly, the
6 machines on that precinct had anywhere between 117
7 and 144 votes per machine; is that correct?
8 A. That sounds about right.
9 Q. Okay. So the maximum number of votes on
10 the one machine would have been 144 votes; is that
11 correct?
12 A. That sounds about right.
13 Q. Okay. Mr. Bernhard, you don't have any
14 expertise in the process of ballot design; is that
15 correct?
16 A. I have designed ballots, but I suppose I
17 don't have that expertise; that's correct.
18 Q. And you never read any literature or
19 studies regarding design -- design of ballots and
20 voter behavior?
21 A. I have, yes.
22 Q. On voter behavior specifically?
23 A. Yes.
24 Q. Okay. So in scenarios where voters face a
25 high turnout a year -- strike that.

1 I believe your testimony earlier was that there
2 was an analysis of two precincts, the Winterville precinct and what was the other precinct?
3
4 A. I don't think I said there was an analysis. I -- two precincts were pointed out to me -- well,
5
6 more than two actually. Winterville [inaudible] the numbers off. Grady High School had machine errors
7
8 that were reported. The AME Church had errors that
9 were reported.
10 Q. So, in terms of an analysis though in your
11 expert opinion, you've only looked at the Winterville precinct; is that correct?
12
13 A. In terms of numerical analysis, yes. In terms of assessing how many errors that occurred, no.
14
15 Q. But let me just make sure I'm clear on that. So I thought you testified that Professor
16
17 Stark did the analysis on Winterville.
18 MR. BROWN: Objection.
Q. So have you --
19
20 MR. BROWN: Object, Your Honor. It's unclear as to what analysis he's talking about and there's a confusion
21
22 between the forensic [inaudible] --
THE COURT: Okay. Rephrase the question.
23
24 MR. TYSON: Okay. I'll be happy to rephrase. Thank
25 you.

1 BY MR. TYSON:

2 Q. So, correct me if I'm wrong, but I believe you testified Professor Stark performed the

3 statistical analysis of the Winterville precinct; correct?

4 A. That's correct, yes.

5 Q. Did you perform a statistical analysis of any precinct?

6 A. No, sir.

7 Q. Did you conduct a forensic analysis of any DRE machine in the state of Georgia for the November 2018 election?

8 A. No, sir.

9 Q. And so sitting here today, it's correct to say that you have no idea whether any malware resides on any DRE machine in the state of Georgia; is that correct.

10 A. Yes, that's correct and I reckon that no one else does either.

11 MR. TYSON: All right. That's all I've got.

12 CROSS-EXAMINATION
OF MATTHEW BERNHARD

13 BY MR. LINDSEY:

1 Q. Winterville's located in Clarke County;
2 correct?
A. I believe so.
3
4 Q. Okay. Clarke County is not in this
lawsuit, you're aware of that, right?
5
6 MR. BROWN: I object. It calls for a legal
conclusion and it's the wrong conclusion.
7
8 MR. TYSON: Clarke County is not a named party to
9 this case.
10 THE COURT: Well, Mr. Lindsay, what he said is in the
11 lawsuit --
MR. TYSON: I apologize.
12
13 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. Clarke County is not a named party in the
14
15 lawsuit; correct?
A. I believe so, yes.
16
17 Q. Okay. The voting strips haven't been --
18 you didn't bring the voting strips with you, did you?
A. You mean the pap- -- the poll tapes?
19
20 Q. Yeah.
A. No, sir. I don't have those [inaudible].
21
22 Q. And as you previously testified, it was Mr.
Stark that did the analysis of the eight machines
23
24 [inaudible]; correct?
25 A. He did the statistical analysis.

1 [inaudible].

2 Q. Okay. Now let's talk for a minute about your -- the odds that you raised. You've never done

3

4 any kind of -- well, let me back up. You're not a political science expert; correct?

5

6 A. No, sir.
Q. Okay. So you don't know the impact that an

7

8 -- that a large influx of new voters would have in

9 terms of their voting patterns with down-ballot

10 races? You don't know anything about that?

11 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I'll object to that and here's my objection. Cross-examination needs to be based

12

13 upon a good faith belief that there's going to be some submission of evidence to support the inference or the

14

15 predicate of the question.
That's the first point. In this case we know that

16

17 the State does not have any predicate because there's no

18 person on earth who could be qualified as an expert to render that opinion.

19

20 THE COURT: You're arguing. That's an argument. I'll overrule that objection.

21

22 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question?
BY MR. TYSON:

23

24 Q. I don't remember the question. I was

25 simply just by establishing that you have no

1 knowledge as to the pattern, behavior of new voters.
2 A. That's not true. I have -- I mean I've reviewed the political science literature insofar as
3 it informs my work.
4 Q. Okay. And if I understand correctly, you
5 don't have -- or rather you haven't done any investigation as to the particularities of this race;
6 correct?
7 A. I'm familiar with the races and the
8 candidates.
9 Q. Yeah. Are you familiar, for instance, with the fact -- with how the paper ballots were
10 configured in terms of the names versus the configuration of candidates on the electronic?
11 A. I can't say that I'm familiar with every electronic configuration because there can be
12 different -- on a county-by-county basis; the same
13 with paper ballots, but I'm generally familiar, yes.
14 Q. Okay. You are aware that the configuration
15 was different from the paper ballots versus the electronic; correct?
16 A. Yes, sir.
17 Q. Okay. Have you done any kind of analysis
18 regarding voter familiarity with Ms. Amico in terms
19 of how well they knew her? In terms of -- strike

1 that.

2 Are you familiar with any kind of data regarding Ms. Amico's name identification versus other

3 candidates running?

4 A. No, sir.

5 Q. You hadn't -- you hadn't reviewed any kind of polling that was conducted in this race prior to

6 the election; correct?

7 A. Not in this particular race, no.

8 Q. You haven't considered any kind of negative

9 treatment Ms. Amico received in the press shortly before the election; correct?

10 A. Correct. All of these factors can impact [inaudible].

11 Q. Uh-huh.

12 A. But the fact that this under-vote is so

13 incredibly different from all the other races on the

14 ballot, that seems incredibly suspicious. It's way different than, you know, any other case in Georgia

15 or anywhere else in the nation.

16 So even if there are factors like likability,

17 like lagging the polls, like ballot design, you would not normally expect to see that level of under-voting

18 distributed as uniformly across the state of Georgia

19 as it is and to defer between the votes voted [ph].

1 Q. Okay. Quite frankly -- and you're not
2 familiar with how the other races went in this state either are you?
3
4 A. I would with some of them.
5 Q. Yeah. You're familiar with the fact that
6 Ms. Abrams was, for want of a better term, on the Democratic side considered a superstar?
7
8 A. Yes, sir.
9 Q. Yeah. And you're familiar with the fact
10 that John Barrow running for Secretary of State, for
11 instance, was a well-known figure in Georgia politics?
12
13 A. Yes, sir.
14 Q. And are you aware of the fact that Mr.
15 Amico was still a relative unknown?
16 A. Yes. But I want to stress that the
17 particulars of the candidate would not necessarily
18 impact the under-votes. We saw under-votes impacting both candidates in this race.
19
20 Q. Uh-huh. And you don't know -- let me ask you this. While there was under-votes, you are aware
21
22 of the fact that, you know, in terms of the overall vote count for Ms. Amico vis-à-vis other Democratic
23
24 candidates, it's not like she got the least number of
25 votes; correct?

1 A. I'm afraid I don't understand your
2 question.
3 Q. Let me ask you this. There were ten
4 Democrats on the State ballot in 2006; correct?
5 A. 2006?
6 Q. I'm sorry. Wait a minute. 2018.
7 A. Yes.
8 Q. Okay. [inaudible] And there were other
9 Democratic candidates who received significantly
10 fewer number of votes that Ms. Amico received;
11 correct?
12 A. In the State races?
13 Q. Yes.
14 A. Statewide races? [inaudible].
15 Q. Yeah. As a matter of fact, Ms. Amico was
16 somewhere in the middle of the pack in terms of the
17 voters that she received versus other Democrats
18 running; correct?
19 A. Sure.
20 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I renew my motion -- my
21 objection to his testimony regarding Winterville and ask
22 that it be stricken in as much as he was not the
23 individual who did the analysis nor has the plaintiff
24 brought forth any of this --
25 THE COURT: I think I've ruled that the fact that --

1 MR. LINDSEY: -- [inaudible] testimony.

2 THE COURT: -- he looked at the numbers is admissible and the rest of it's not.

3

4 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor. Oh, one last question.

5

6 THE COURT: I'm glad you have Mr. Olens to help you, Mr. Lindsey.

7

8 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you.

9 BY MR. LINDSEY:

10 Q. You've been asked about Georgia when it

11 comes to machines and a -- [inaudible] involving the machines. You're not aware of any actual election,

12

13 not -- not a tested election but an actual election, where an actual vote cast on a DRE machine was -- was

14

15 not counted, are you?
A. No. But again, that's because of the way

16

17 the machine built, it's impossible to tell. You

18 cannot know.
Q. Okay.

19

20 MR. LINDSEY: No further questions.
THE COURT: Ms. Burwell, do you have anything?

21

22 MS. BURWELL: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Brown?

23

24 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

25

1 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

2 OF MATTHEW BERNHARD

3

4 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Bernhard, I want to go -- I want to go
5

6 over some of your answers.
When you were speaking about the under-vote as
7

8 being suspicious, were you referring to the under-

9 vote for the Democratic candidate or were you

10 referring to the under-vote the Democratic candidate

11 and the Republican candidate?
A. I was referring to both candidates.
12

13 Q. You testified that there -- I think Mr.
Tyson asked you whether they're in the actual
14

15 election any bad code had been found or something to
that effect; do you recall that?
16

17 A. Malware specifically.

18 Q. Malware. And I believe your response was
not what the DREs, but yes in the registration
19

20 system?
A. Correct.
21

22 Q. And could you explain your answer a little
bit in greater detail?
23

24 MR. TYSON: I'll object to the question. The voter

25 registration system is not an issue as far as I know at

1 any point in this lawsuit. This is about the election and
2 the DREs; it's not the voter registration system.
MR. LINDSEY: And it -- I'd add to that, Judge.
3
4 THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
MR. BROWN: Is the vote -- let me ask one more
5
6 question if I may help.
BY MR. BROWN:
7
8 Q. Is the voter registration system also a
9 part of the Diebold DRE system generally or is it
10 something else?
11 A. Yes, sir. Diebold makes the Express Poll
units.
12
13 THE COURT: Voter registration is not involved in
this matter.
14
15 MR. BROWN: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.
BY MR. BROWN:
16
17 Q. You testified and then you were asked very
18 quickly a question. I'm not sure if you finished
your answer, but that the anomalous voting pattern
19
20 was distributed evenly throughout Georgia. What did
you -- what was the basis of that testimony?
21
22 A. I believe something like 101, 159 counties
had an under-vote rate that was abnormal, and it
23
24 didn't seem to be, you know, tied to -- are they
25 left-leaning counties or right-leaning counties or

1 anything like that. It was only tied in with the
2 equipment.
Q. And would you -- sorry, you testified
3 earlier that you have expertise in a post-election
audit; is that right?
5
6 A. That's correct. Yes.
Q. And based upon your past post-election
7 audit experience and expertise, what would you
8 recommend the State of Georgia do to audit these
9 systems?
10
11 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object. What Mr.
Bernhard thinks or what he should do is a policy question
12 about a legislator is not relevant or pertaining to this
proceeding.
14
15 THE COURT: I'm going to let him say that solely
because they're asking for a brand-new election with paper
16 ballots and it would go to that issue should I get that
18 far.
MR. TYSON: Thank you, Your Honor. I just want to
19 object to one point related to that.
THE COURT: Yes.
21
22 MR. TYSON: I believe that the new election paper
ballots are the constitutional claims that are gone at
23 this point.
24
25 THE COURT: I understand that.

1 MR. TYSON: I think.

2 THE COURT: I understand that.
MR. BROWN: That's -- that's not correct. That's not
3

4 correct. That's not correct.
THE COURT: I understand what I can and can't do;
5

6 okay?
MR. BROWN: Okay.
7

8 THE WITNESS: Yes. I do recommend that Georgia run a

9 post-election audit. Given the anomalies that we saw, you

10 would likely have to target your auditing a little bit

11 more specifically. If we had a paper ballot system, we'd
be counting more ballots than if they weren't all these
12

13 [inaudible].
BY MR. BROWN:
14

15 Q. If you were doing a forensic examination in
place of an actual recounting audit, what would be
16

17 the first thing that you would look at if you were

18 the State of Georgia?
A. I would start with the programming. You
19

20 know, starting with the most innocent explanation and
working our way through all others, so looking at the
21

22 GEMS database, making sure it was coded correctly,
that there weren't errors with what you saw in
23

24 Winterville that may be a coding error. And making

25 sure, then after that you proceed.

1 Q. You -- I believe, your testimony was that
2 the first opportunity that you had to forensically inspect a DRE machine was Monday?
3
4 THE COURT: Let's not go there. I told you not o go there. You just did it and had the question and nobody
5
6 objected; they stood up but you didn't see them.
MR. BROWN: Okay.
7
8 THE COURT: Don't go there. The ruling has been
9 made.
10 MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.
11 THE COURT: I don't care what his was. This case was set for December 5th, period.
12
13 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor, we -- this is --
THE COURT: Sir, I don't want to hear --
14
15 MR. BROWN: I'll move on.
THE COURT: -- any more about that.
16
17 MR. BROWN: All right.
18 THE COURT: I am tired about hearing about that. I have gobs and gobs of paperwork about it; I've ruled.
19
20 Let's move on.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor.
21
22 THE COURT: To what real issue is here today.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I'm moving on.
23
24 THE COURT: You always want to get the last word;
25 I've noticed that, Mr. Brown.

1 MR. BROWN: No. I -- I want to not get the last word
2 out. I want to ---
THE COURT: You want to what?
3
4 MR. BROWN: I will -- I will stop right now.
THE COURT: Okay. Anybody else?
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: You may go. Thank you, sir.
7
8 MR. BROWN: Now, Your Honor, I'm not -- it sounds
9 like I'm arguing with you and this is just simply a matter
10 of procedure. We do not have the ruling yet on --- if you
11 issued a -- I mean clearly --
THE COURT: Ruling on what?
12
13 MR. BROWN: On the motion to compel.
THE COURT: I denied the motion to compel.
14
15 MR. BROWN: Okay. We -- was that -- you don't have
that?
16
17 MR. LINDSEY: I think she said, she denied all that.
18 THE COURT: It was somewhere in that last order I put
-- was it -- if it wasn't clear to you, it should be clear
19
20 now. The motion to compel was denied.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. We -- we -- just
21
22 for the record we did not know that.
THE COURT: Okay.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the petitioners would call
25 Michael Barnes.

1 THE COURT: Okay. Someone get Michael -- he's in the
2 courtroom. What's he -- who are those people?
3 MR. BROWN: Please raise your right hand. Do you
4 promise to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?
5
6 THE WITNESS: I do.
7
8 Thereupon:
9
10 MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES
11
12 was called as a witness by the Petitioner; and,
13 having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
14
15 DIRECT EXAMINATION
16 OF MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES
17
18 BY MR. BROWN:
19 Q. Please state your full name for the record?
20 A. Michael William Barnes.
21 Q. By whom are you currently employed?
22 A. The Secretary of State's office.
23 MR. BROWN: You may sit down. Thank you.
24 BY MR. BROWN:
25 Q. And what is your position with the

1 Secretary of State?

2 A. I am the director for the Center for Election Systems.

3

4 Q. And what is the Center for Election Systems?

5

6 A. We oversee the voting for in the state of Georgia. We also deal with the database programming

7

8 for all county elections executed in the state.

9 Q. Does --- was CES formally housed at

10 Kennesaw State University, for a lack of a better

11 expression?
A. It was.

12

13 Q. Okay. And when did it move to be a part of the Secretary of State's Office?

14

15 A. It ceased operations at Kennesaw State on December 31st of 2017 and was relocated to the

16

17 Secretary of State's office on January 1st.

18 Q. And when that happened, which staff members came -- which staff members were let go and which

19

20 staff members came with them?
A. I was the only staff member that came from

21

22 Kennesaw to the Secretary of State's office.
Q. And the other people were fired or let go

23

24 or whatever?

25 A. The other people were retained by the

1 university I believe for -- through the end of
2 January 3- -- January of 2018 and then with no funding to maintain the operation of the center, the
3
4 center was closed.
Q. Did your office manage the state's election
5
6 system in the November 2018 election?
A. Our center, as I stated earlier, oversees
7
8 the voting equipment that's used in the state of
9 Georgia for federal, state and county elections. And
10 we've constructed the GEMS database for all the 159
11 counties for the November 2018 election.
Q. And you said, "You constructed it for all
12
13 of the counties;" does each county get a different GEMS database?
14
15 A. Yes.
Q. And the GEMS database is a Microsoft Access
16
17 product, is that correct?
18 A. The GEMS database is -- stands for Global Election Management Systems and a data file is
19
20 produced from that executable program.
Q. And what is the executable program called?
21
22 A. GEMS, Global Elections Management System.
Q. Okay. And does the GEMS database contain
23
24 programming for the DRE machine memory cards?
25 A. The GEMS database is where -- from the

1 memory cards that are used to power the DRE units.
2 They're used for advance voting and in-person
Election Day use. The memory cards are created from
3
4 GEMS database.
Q. And could you just describe to the court
5
6 sort of physically the chain of custody of the -- of
the programming and I'm -- the purpose for this is to
7
8 distinguish between what the secretary does and what
9 the county does having to do with the county's
10 office? You said, that "the Secretary of State's
11 office is responsible for creating," I think you
said, "the GEMS database for all 159 counties," is
12
13 that right?
A. That's correct.
14
15 Q. All right. So, the counties then get a
GEMS database that's already done, for a lack of a
16
17 better expression?
18 A. Correct.
Q. And then what do the counties do with that
19
20 GEMS database or what is it used for?
A. Okay. Let me start first with the
21
22 Secretary of State's office; constructs the GEMS
database for the need of the given election. So
23
24 what's qualified and closest and we know what races
25 will be in specific election.

1 We know the candidates. We know the precincts
2 that will be involved based upon what the county has relayed back to us as their need for a given
3
4 election. With that information collected from the county, a database is then constructed for that given
5
6 election.
7 Once the database has been constructed by the
8 Secretary of State's office, it's then reviewed at
9 the Secretary of State's office for completeness to
10 validate that all of the races for that given
11 election and that have been included in the database, not only all of the races, but also all the
12
13 candidates.
14 Once our review of that process has been
15 completed we then provide to the jurisdiction, for lack of a better word -- sample ballots that are
16
17 produced from the GEMS database along with reports
18 that are detailing what ballots' files will be related to which precincts and to what district
19
20 combinational values those ballots are related to within those given precincts.
21
22 And that report packet is forwarded to each and every individual county with copies of their sample
23
24 ballots for them to review for their completeness,
25 for correctness. Once we then receive signoff from

1 the county and not until you receive signoff from the
2 county -- but once the signoff is received from the county, then the database is saved to a CD, burned to
3
4 a CD and that CD encrypted, and then that CD is forwarded to the local jurisdiction for them to then
5
6 take that CD, contact our office via phone, provide a verification ID and return the CD back to our office,
7
8 so that we know that they have the CD that we've
9 provided.
10 And then once we've validated, we're talking to
11 who we're supposed to be talking to at the county, we then give them the passcode to be able to then
12
13 decrypt the CD to access the data file that's saved to the CD; and then that file is loaded to the
14
15 county's local GEMS computer where they then -- the county -- inspects the file to validate that what has
16
17 then been provided to them is what they signed off
18 on.
Once it is loaded to their system then the
19
20 county begins configuring the database for that election. And what I mean by that is, is putting
21
22 information into the database that says we will be using x number of devices at these given locations
23
24 for advance voting, for Election Day voting, and also
25 preparing the memory cards that are used for the

1 optic scanners that scan the mailout absentee
2 ballots.
Q. And then just the -- what -- what in that
3 process then drives the actual programing of a
4 specific DRE machine, taking it from the GEMS
5 database to me voting or you voting on a machine?
A. Okay. All right. Once the county has
6 loaded the GEMS database into their GEMS computer,
7 they then tell -- instruct the database that they
8 need to correct a certain number of individual memory
9 cards.
So if they have a voting location that has the -
10 - I think they use five DRE units -- they have to put
11 that information into the GEMS database and say that
12 for location A we will be creating a five memory
13 cards. They then go through a process of
14 transferring the information within GEMS onto a
15 memory card that is read by a DRE machine.
Once that memory card is created, that memory
16 card is then taken into the DRE unit, which it will
17 married with for that given election. When that
18 process takes place there're labels on the memory
19 cards that notate what machine ID this memory card
20 is.
21 The county's also normally notate on those

1 little print-on labels the physical device and put
2 the physical device serial number to which they are placing that memory card into, so that when the
3
4 memory card is removed at a later point in time and something happens, they know what machine to give it
5
6 back to if they needed to access the machine for some reason.
7
8 The memory card is inserted, and then the
9 counties go through a logic and accuracy test where
10 they bring up -- they power on the device and they go
11 through testing it. This testing is done to validate, to calibrate the test screen to make sure
12
13 it's receptive to touch. They also set the date and time on the device to make sure it's set to the
14
15 proper date so that when tapes are printed out of the machine pre- and post-election, so that it has a
16
17 proper date stamp.
18 The date stamp doesn't affect the operation of the system, but it's helpful to have when you're
19
20 trying to make sure that things are done in the proper order. Once the memory card's loaded and they
21
22 go through their diagnostics testing, which is where they're testing the printer, they're testing
23
24 calibration or setting the calibration to make sure
25 it's proper, saving the date and time, testing the

1 printer.

2 Also testing the card reader that's used to read the voter access card that the voter will be

3 inserting. Once they complete that diagnostic testing, then they start doing -- they start

4 inputting test ballots, where they will take normally a test date that is prefilled by the jurisdiction.

5 It says we're going to cast X number of votes to

6 validate all touch positions on the DRE are

7 responsive; and also to validate that all races and

8 candidates are visible on the screen and are showing up on the all ballot as needed for the given

9 election.

10 That logic [inaudible] testing is publicized.

11 It is put into notification so that any member of the public that would wish to observe the testing and

12 come and observe the testing.

13 And it has to be included by a certain point in time so that the machines, once it clears logic and

14 active testing, they can be set for election, sealed and get ready for distribution to the polling

15 location or to the advance voting location.

16 Q. Have -- are you aware of any time when a

17 logic and accuracy test has picked up a programming

18 error?

1 A. Can you provide me -- programming errors,
2 what do you mean by programming errors?
Q. Sure. Let me give you an example. Suppose
3
4 -- well, let me back up two steps. The -- there
would be some programming to instruct the system and
5
6 then if the voter presses here the vote goes there,
are you with me?
7
8 A. Mm-hmm.
9 Q. The mapping of the votes from the screen to
10 the actual vote, are you with me?
11 A. Sure.
Q. Now, it would --- if you want, I'm not
12
13 suggesting you did this, but if you wanted to switch
that, so that pressing, you know, voting for the
14
15 Republican recorded a Democrat voter or a
Libertarian, where would that programming reside, and
16
17 could you do it?
18 A. As my knowledge based on the system, I can
speak to it as a user of the program --
19
20 Q. Sure.
A. -- of how you lay candidates out
21
22 specifically within a race. I'm not aware of a way
to organize the candidates to get one display and
23
24 then once where you touch it next to a candidate,
25 that their results show up next to another candidate

1 in another order. I'm not aware of how you would do
2 that.
3 Q. Now, there has been some testimony about
4 voting totals in a particular district where you had
5 -- it was heavily -- I'll just give you like a hypo
6 and then the purpose of this is not to or get you to
7 agree with the facts, but simply to pull out your
8 testimony on the program, but -- but just assume
9 there is a voting location in which is heavily
10 Democrat voting location and six of the machines show
11 heavily Democrat votes and the seventh machine shows
12 very heavy Republican votes, which some might lead
13 some access it didn't have, like you know, a six-pack
14 of beer on it or something that was attracting
15 everybody to win a particular vote, but that they
16 went evenly, there might be some sort of coding error
17 in which it was switching from one candidate to the
18 other now by mistake.
19 If that were a programming error and I just want
20 to know where would that be?
21 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'm going to object to
22 the questioning; assuming facts that are not in
23 evidence that the court has already stricken.
24 MR. BROWN: That's not --
25 THE COURT: Let him --

1 MR. BROWN: I'm -- I'm sorry. I'm sorry. I'm
2 sorry.
MR. LINDSEY: I believe the court has ruled to
3
4 strike that analogy that it lead to those to -- to
that allegation and for that reason, Your Honor, we
5
6 would object.
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, that also calls for Mr.
7
8 Brown speculates as far as what might have happened
9 or what did happen.
10 THE COURT: Well, it's a hypothetical and I'm
11 going to let him answer to the best of his knowledge.
MR. LINDSEY: Okay, Your Honor.
12
13 THE COURT: He's one of the experts on this
stuff. But I take it as a hypothetical.
14
15 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Do you understand all that?
16
17 THE WITNESS: I'm -- I'm trying, yes, I am. I'm
18 trying to figure out the best way to answer the
question to the best of my knowledge.
19
20 THE COURT: Okay.
THE WITNESS: When -- when the GEMS database is
21
22 built, it builds a particular race, with a particular
set of candidates, in a particular order. When it
23
24 creates a memory card for a given location and it
25 creates that image and places it to the memory card.

1 And then that memory card is loaded to a device.

2 If that -- if I create five memory cards for a given location, those five memory cards are the same

3

4 content in structure and layout and in operation; they don't know what machine their going to be placed

5

6 in. The memory card just contains data. The memory card is read by the machine; the machine then shows

7

8 then that.

9 Q. And so, have you ever seen it in doing the

10 logic and accuracy test? Has that ever yielded, you

11 know, if it's not logical; it's not accurate and we need to go back and look at the coding to see why

12

13 that happened?
A. I have never seen in any of the testing

14

15 that we have -- that I've been involved with since 2001, with using this equipment where something that

16

17 was placed in during the testing environment did not

18 feedback the expected result that was in the testing.
Also, when doing various tests within elections

19

20 mode -- my work within -- at Kennesaw State and also with the Secretary of State's office -- not just

21

22 testing in election -- pre-election test mode, which is where an LMA testing is done -- but also testing

23

24 in election mode which is when election -- the mode

25 where elections are executed, I have never

1 encountered a situation where I put in one particular
2 vote and this way and it came out differently in the report.
3
4 Q. Is it -- in one of the responses is collecting and reviewing complaints that you've
5
6 received from voters about problems with elections?
A. We -- if we do receive a complaint -- and
7
8 the majority of the complaints come into the
9 elections division, that arm of the office. Our main
10 collection point are election officials themselves.
11 And if we get a notification from a county election official that, you know, we encountered this
12
13 instance when doing our testing, then that would be something that we would go and look into, find out if
14
15 there was something out of order.
Q. Now, you've received complaints about the -
16
17 - the operations of the machines in the November
18 election, right?
A. In November -- I mean, it was a general
19
20 election where there were a few million people voting, so we did get complaints about a touch screen
21
22 not powering on. We got complaints about a voter access card being rejected prematurely; about a
23
24 touchscreen apparently not being calibrated properly.
25 Things that we hear about with every election and

1 we've heard every election since 2002.

2 Q. How -- the -- did the complainant know that the screen was miscalibrated?

3

4 A. How do I know that they know --
Q. No. How did --

5

6 A. How did they know it was miscalibrated?
Q. Right?

7

8 A. I can't speak for how they knew. It's just

9 that they said that, you know, they encountered a

10 calibration issue.

11 Q. So, what the original touchscreen was different then the summary screen or something like

12

13 that?
A. Or they, you know, felt like they were

14

15 touching one area, but another area it was -- was appearing.

16

17 Q. Okay. What other complaints -- you've

18 mentioned a number, but what other complaints did you receive in 2018?

19

20 A. Any of the complaints that I've already outlined are the general complaints that I've heard.

21

22 And I did not personally take a lot of complaints on Election Day. I think I wrote down in my notes maybe

23

24 five or six complaints on Election Day.

25 Q. Okay. And then what was the office that

1 you said takes the ones from voters themselves, not
2 from officials?
A. That's the election division.
3
4 Q. Okay.
A. That's a different section, Secretary of
5
6 State's office.
Q. And -- and those -- okay. Let me take you
7
8 back to the weekend before the November election; are
9 you familiar with the alleged hacking of the voter
10 registration database on that weekend?
11 A. [inaudible] --
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I would object on this. This
12
13 does not -- in any issue of the voter registration
database in this case; lieutenant governor's race. This
14
15 is not about the DREs. I don't think it's relevant to
anything in this court.
16
17 MR. LINDSEY: Same objection, Your Honor. We're here
18 to deal with one race and one election.
THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
19
20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, this is hacking into the
election system that the Secretary of State --
21
22 THE COURT: I'm not going to let you go into that
unless you can show hacking into the -- into the actual
23
24 voting system, not the voter registration system.
25 MR. BROWN: Let me ask a ---

1 BY MR. BROWN:

2 Q. Could -- could -- could hacking into the registration --- the hacking into the registration

3 system could affect the number of people who are allowed to vote for a particular election; correct?

4 MR. TYSON: Your Honor.

5 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, the same objection as

6 before. That's not the issue here today. The issue here

7 today that you've raised to overturn this election is that

8 there was some type of -- of breakdown in the election

9 system; not that there - a certain voter was not allowed to vote, which we would also deny, but that's a different

10 issue. That's the issue here, so we object to the relevance.

11 THE COURT: Sustained.

12 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.

13 BY MR. BROWN:

14 Q. All right. Let me ask you this; are you aware of any investigation that the Secretary of

15 State did into hacking relating to the 2018 election?

16 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, he's being vague.

17 THE COURT: That's the same question.

18 MR. LINDSEY: It's just leading.

19 THE COURT: Artfully. Phrased differently.

20 MR. TYSON: I disagree that it was artful, and I

1 disagree it was different; it's just simply broader.

2 MR. BROWN: I'm asking if there was any.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'm asking --
3

4 THE COURT: If it goes to the election system itself,
not to the voter registration, the actual -- actual -- was
5

6 there any hacking in the actual voting system?
THE WITNESS: [inaudible].
7

8 BY MR. BROWN:

9 Q. And you did not investigate any hacking

10 into the election system as distinguished from the

11 registration system; correct?
A. Correct.
12

13 Q. And the Secretary of State's -- okay --
you've testified about it before I know there's been
14

15 a lot of testimony about the exposure of the system
at Kennesaw State in 2016 and 2017; are you familiar
16

17 generally with that issue?

18 A. I am.
Q. What has -- has the state undertaken a
19

20 forensic examination of the components of the
election's system to determine whether or not it was
21

22 infected with any malware because of that it's the --
-
23

24 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, once again, we're talking

25 about two entirely different systems; and unless he's

1 dealing with specifically the voter system we're going to
2 object to relevancy.
MR. TYSON: We would object to the lack of foundation
3
4 as far as the 2016 incident that affected any sort of like
databases. This is two years ago. There's no explanation
5
6 as to how --
THE COURT: I sustain it as to whether there's been
7
8 something because of something that happened that isn't in
9 front of me. I mean, you know, did they investigate
10 routinely for malware? I mean, that's one thing. But --
11 not going back and try to put something else on the record
that's not before me.
12
13 MR. BROWN: Well, I'll get it before you, Your Honor.
BY MR. BROWN:
14
15 Q. Now, Mr. Barnes, the -- what was exposed to
the public internet in 2016 and 2017?
16
17 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, we going to renew the
18 objection again.
THE COURT: Sustained.
19
20 MR. BROWN: Okay.
BY MR. BROWN:
21
22 Q. Mr. Barnes, what forensic review has your
office done with respect to the DRE machine voting
23
24 systems that were used in the 2018 election?
25 A. The Secretary of State's office in 2017

1 undertook a recertification of the voting system and
2 examined the equipment in three separate counties;
Muskogee County, Richmond County and Bibb County, to
3
4 examine and verify the voting equipment was working
as had been previously certified. And the
5
6 examination found that the equipment was working in
the same fashion and the same way as it had done
7
8 through previous certification tests.
9 Q. I had asked you if you -- if the Secretary
10 of State had done a forensic analysis and I guess the
11 answer is no, but they have done -- they have
recertified it, is that fair to say?
12
13 A. That's fair to say.
Q. Okay. Has the Secretary of State
14
15 undertaken an investigation into possible causes of
the voting pattern that was seen on the May 2018
16
17 election?
18 A. Undertaken an investigation, like, opened
an investigation to present to the state election
19
20 board?
Q. I -- I didn't mean to -- to wrap too much
21
22 into the word investigation, what I mean is if have
they looked into it to see what happened?
23
24 A. With every election with the Secretary of
25 State's office and elections division always look at

1 their election to see is there anything that we can
2 learn from this election.
And every election there's always an opportunity
3
4 to learn more about doing the next election even
better. So to the circumstance, has the Secretary of
5
6 State's office looked at the elections to, you know,
garner what can we do better in the future?
7
8 Absolutely.
9 Q. Has the Secretary of State considered that
10 whether there was a system error that caused the
11 voting pattern that was actually experienced in the
2018 race?
12
13 A. To my knowledge, no.
Q. Has that issues been raised just in casual
14
15 discussion in -- in your office?
MR. TYSON: I'll object to that. That requires
16
17 hearsay. What other people have said to Mr. Barnes in his
18 office is not at issue, Your Honor.
MR. BROWN: I'll -- I'll rephrase it.
19
20 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Have -- have -- have you discussed with
21
22 your colleagues' potential causes of the -- of the
voting pattern that was going on 2018?
23
24 A. Well, being hypothetical of what, you know,
25 what may cause people to act the way they do in

1 certain situations?

2 Q. No. This is -- this is -- I'm not -- back up a little bit. I'm not -- this is a factual

3

4 question.
THE COURT: Well, it's kind of very, very broad and

5

6 he's interpreting it one way and you're meaning it some other way.

7

8 MR. BROWN: Right. Have -- let me ask it in several

9 different ways, okay.

10 BY MR. BROWN:

11 Q. Has the Secretary of State undertaken an investigation to determine whether system defects

12

13 caused the voting pattern in the 2018 race?
A. No, sir.

14

15 Q. Has the Secretary of State done any forensic examination of any of the components of the

16

17 system to determine whether or not they're were

18 infected with any malware or misprogramming that might have affected the vote, period?

19

20 A. The Secretary of State's office received a letter from one of the lieutenant governor candidates

21

22 post-election stating one of -- stating a concern and listed some counties in that letter. Upon receiving

23

24 that letter, Secretary of State's office went to one

25 of the counties in question and did look at the

1 uploaded records into the GEMS computer.

2 They also extracted information from individual machines that wer used on Election Day to validate

3

4 that the records contained on the machine matched what has been uploaded previously into the GEMS

5

6 computer. Those valid images were produced and examined and found that everything was balancing and

7

8 showing as what was reported initially by the county

9 on Election Night.

10 Q. So that if the vote was wrong at the

11 precinct level, it was wrong exactly the same way at the Secretary of State's office, is that what I'm

12

13 hearing?
MR. TYSON: I'll object. I think that assumes facts

14

15 that aren't in evidence. I don't understand what the question is on that.

16

17 MR. BROWN: I think he can answer it. I think he

18 knows where I'm going.
THE COURT: No. I sustain the objection. You've got

19

20 to rephrase it. You keep assuming a bunch of things. That's just --

21

22 MR. BROWN: Okay. [inaudible]
BY MR. BROWN:

23

24 Q. So the analysis showed that the vote total

25 in the precinct matched the vote total at the

1 Secretary of State's office; correct?

2 A. The analysis showed that the vote total calculated by the individual devices examined and the

3 vote total tabulated by the separate GEMS computer using the data extracted directly from the machine

5 and compared against the data uploaded from the memory card used on Election Day was identically the

7 same, in that the vote totals calculated being

9 exactly the same in all instances examined.

10 Q. Okay. If the machine itself had

11 incorrectly recorded the vote, your testing would not have detected that; correct?

12 A. The testing that was done was to validate that -- what the machine had produced, the machine

14 continued to produce and show that result [inaudible].

16 Q. Okay. Let me ask it to you again because

18 you didn't answer the question. I'm going to get there, okay. If the machine did not correctly record

19 the vote, you would not have detected then in your review; correct?

21 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'm going to object to that. I guess assuming things are in evidence here as far was

23 what's happening in the sequence of the technology. I

25 don't -- I don't see how this --

1 THE COURT: I understand what you're saying.

2 MR. TYSON: Okay.
BY MR. BROWN:
3

4 Q. Go ahead and answer.
A. What our examination did is show that the
5

6 machine collected a result and reported what it
collected. All of my testing has always shown in
7

8 pre-election, in the testing, that anything that goes

9 in is what the machine shows coming out. And we were

10 seeing the back end of what the machine was pushing

11 outward and it was matching up on what was reported
on Election Night, what was printed on Election Night
12

13 from the memory card, extracted from the device. It
was matching up with what was calculated by the GEMS
14

15 computer upon receipt of that memory card and also it
was matching of what was on the record contained by
16

17 the devices, so.

18 Q. But none of that -- again, none of that
could tell you if the machine itself is recording the
19

20 vote, right?
A. All I can speak to is what the machine has
21

22 in memory, what it reported, and what it's saying is
on record.
23

24 Q. And you're familiar with the expression

25 garbage in, garbage out?

1 A. I've heard it before, yes, sir.

2 Q. Okay. And in response to Candidate Amico's letter, did the Secretary of State conduct any

3

4 machine-level investigation with any of the memory chips or anything like to determine if there were any

5

6 flaws there?
A. We inspected two machines on site in Ben

7

8 Hill County. We recovered from the machine's

9 internal memory the election result file that was

10 stored on the machine. We then -- once that image

11 file was restored, we then looked at the ballot images that were collected in that file through the

12

13 DRE.
So we looked at the physical DRE display of

14

15 those collected ballots and that requires us to touch the screen to maneuver through and we did not

16

17 encounter any issues when touching the screen that

18 lead us to believe that the screen was not responsive to proper touch or use. And we then from those

19

20 devices printed out new totals from that device and those totals match all previous introduced totals.

21

22 Q. You say you looked at the election reports [inaudible]?

23

24 A. The election result data. The file --

25 result file.

1 THE COURT: I have no idea what that is.

2 MR. BROWN: It sounds like a machine.
THE COURT: Huh?
3

4 MR. BROWN: Printing machine.
THE COURT: The printing machine.
5

6 MR. BROWN: [inaudible]
THE COURT: What printing machine?
7

8 MR. BROWN: It's very fast.

9 THE COURT: I was going to say it was on the roof but
10 we're on the second floor and there's seven floors on this
11 building. It's information for the presiding judge who's
not in here. Yeah. It's information for the presiding
12
13 judge that's not in here, so. There's some -- they move
us all around all the time. So I'll put that back there.
14
15 There's Judge [inaudible]. Go ahead.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
16
17 BY MR. BROWN:
18 Q. Is the election -- well, what was the name
of that file again?
19
20 A. The ballot results on.
Q. The ballot results on. Okay. And so the
21
22 Secretary of State didn't look at other parts of the
internal memory, did it?
23
24 A. No.
25 Q. Okay. So it didn't look at the boot

1 loader?

2 A. No.
Q. It didn't look at the operating system?
3

4 A. When the machine powered on we did a review
of what operating system was displayed and it was the
5

6 standard certified operating system that was found on
those devices; as well as the install [inaudible] for
7

8 servers, that certified for use in the State of

9 Georgia.

10 Q. And the Secretary of State didn't give you

11 any actual sort of code-level review to look for
malware in the data?
12

13 A. Correct.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I caution that I'm entering
14

15 in an area that you've already ruled upon, but I want to
make a clarification.
16

17 The suggestion was made by the defendants in your

18 objection [inaudible] our case, it's not raised, issues
involving the registration --
19

20 THE COURT: Just ask the questions, please. Just ask
the questions. Don't ask me to prove judgment. Let's
21

22 just -- for the question, ask it, and we'll go from there.
MR. BROWN: I want to be careful --
23

24 THE COURT: If they don't like, they'll jump, and

25 we'll do it then.

1 MR. BROWN: I just want to be careful [inaudible]
2 respect to --
THE COURT: [inaudible]
3
4 MR. BROWN: Okay.
BY MR. BROWN:
5
6 Q. Now the election's registration system has been plagued with difficulties over the last --
7
8 THE COURT: I have ruled on that. Don't go there.
9 MR. BROWN: Okay. The reason I'm asking --
10 THE COURT: And like I said, they jumped up. Okay.
11 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would just point out just for the record is that is our allegation paragraph
12
13 [inaudible].
THE COURT: I ruled on it. Quit pushing me all the
14
15 time.
BY MR. BROWN:
16
17 Q. Let me direct your attention to the ballot
18 design. Now what kind of role does your office have in ballot design for the electronic system?
19
20 A. When using GEMS, we first lay out the ballots so that -- structurally is what we focus on
21
22 first, make sure we have the right local districts in play, have the right precincts in play, and have the
23
24 right split precincts in play when a precinct is
25 divided.

1 Q. Okay.

2 A. And then have the right races and have the right candidates and have the right polling

3

4 locations. GEMS then takes all of that relationship information and produces a ballot style. It is a

5

6 layout of individual races. It does it in two formats.

7

8 It lays out a ballot style for optical scan

9 purposes that we set the number of columns in the

10 ballot format for the general election in 2013. The

11 predominant format was three columns on the front side of the ballot and three columns on the back side

12

13 of the ballot. Some locations had to go to a four column in the front and four column in the back

14

15 because of the sheer number of races that were included on the ballot.

16

17 That's the configuration that we set up for the

18 printer op scan ballot. For the configuration of the touch screen we set up a two-column ballot and that

19

20 has been the format that we've had in place since before 2010. When we initially started using the

21

22 DREs in 2002, it was three-column ballot on the DRE. It's now currently transitioned to a two-column

23

24 ballot around 2008 or 2002. The decision was made at

25 that time that the State wanted to give more space on

1 the ballot for each individual race that was seen on
2 the DRE. So we set up a configuration from building the data set that we're going to have a two-column
3
4 ballot displayed on the DRE.
Q. Okay. Now the -- in terms of this
5
6 accessibility or fairness, are there some guidelines that you use for designing a ballot?
7
8 A. There are rules in the -- State Election
9 Board rules for displaying of candidate name, the
10 font size that you use, whether you do all caps. The
11 position of candidates is set by statute in general elections whether the Republican candidate or the
12
13 Democratic candidate is listed first or second. The order of races is set again by statute of what race
14
15 comes first in the ballot, what race is second, third, and so forth and so on.
16
17 Q. Are there rules or regulations governing
18 whether a ballot can go from one -- if a race can be on two pages? In other words, the first candidate on
19
20 one page and then the second candidate on the other page.
21
22 A. When we are building the database, I'm not aware there are rules that says it can't be that way.
23
24 But when we are building the database we -- one of
25 the things that we look at once we have built that

1 structure, we look at on a DRE to see if any of these
2 circumstances may be arising. Are the number of candidates so large in a given race that we have to
3 change the -- what we call the scaling of the image that is shown on the screen?
5
6 We did encounter this in 2016 with the presidential preference primary on the Republican
7 side. When we first built the database there -- when
9 we first built the first arrangement of the database
10 for proof of purpose it had 16 candidates. The
11 scaling size that we used was actually pushing candidates to a second screen. We adjusted the
12 scaling -- reduced the scaling so that all of the candidates showed up on one screen all together under
14 the same header so that we would produce any voter confusion.
16
17 Q. Now in this election, 2018, did you design
18 any ballots that had the lieutenant governor's race split between one screen and the next?
19
20 A. To my knowledge the -- every voter in the state of Georgia on Election Day when they were using
21 a DRE unit and it is the normal display, the standard display, it had two races on the first frame. It had
23 -- on the left-hand side it had the governor's race
25 and all the candidates, and on the right-hand side

1 it had the lieutenant governor's race and all
2 candidates. And those were the only two races on the screen.
3
4 Q. And if some other configuration appeared it would have been the result of a machine mistake or
5
6 error; correct?
A. If a voter had put it into magnifying mode,
7
8 which the voter has the ability to do so, they would
9 have only been showing one race on the first screen,
10 and that would have been the governor, and then the
11 second race would have been the lieutenant governor on the second screen.
12
13 Q. By that orientation, where would the splitting of the lieutenant governor race that you're
14
15 aware of [inaudible].
A. That I am aware of.
16
17 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, if I could take a minute
18 break --
THE COURT: Sure.
19
20 MR. BROWN: -- [inaudible]
THE COURT: Why don't we take a few minutes then.
21
22 I'll be back in about ten minutes. Okay.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
23
24 MR. TYSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
25 [Off the record at 3:30 p.m., and back on the record

1 at 3:37 p.m.]

2

CONTINUATION DIRECT EXAMINATION

3

4 OF MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES

5

6 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. I'm going to hand you what's been marked as

7

8 Plaintiff's 8 and [inaudible]

9 THE COURT: Exhibit 8? Okay.

10 Q. Let me direct you to the last page of

11 Exhibit 8. Is the first email on the last page of
Exhibit 8 an email from a Steven [phonetic] Clay to

12

13 you and others?
MR. TYSON: I object on this. This is related to the

14

15 [inaudible] state issue in 2016 it looks like in these
emails, so.

16

17 THE COURT: Okay. You're going to identify what 8 is

18 --
MR. BROWN: All right.

19

20 THE COURT: I know you're trying to identify the last
page, but what's the dates on it?

21

22 MR. BROWN: I can do all of [inaudible].
BY MR. BROWN:

23

24 Q. Mr. Barnes, does Exhibit 8 appear to be

25 true and correct [inaudible] emails between various people

1 at your office [inaudible]?

2 A. It appears to be email communications from the Center for Election Systems at Kennesaw State in

3

4 2017.
MR. BROWN: Move to admit, Your Honor.

5

6 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, this appears to be once again related to the incident at Kennesaw State --

7

8 THE COURT: In '17? In '17?

9 MR. BROWN: In '17 is related to the Kennesaw State

10 matter that does [inaudible].

11 THE COURT: You're going to have to [inaudible]. Based on what you just said does not [inaudible] for me to

12

13 admit. We're talking about 2018, November race, that's all we're talking about.

14

15 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, we have alleged and proven that [inaudible] in 2017 could still impact the system --

16

17 THE COURT: No, you haven't proven that.

18 MR. BROWN: Well, I haven't [inaudible]
THE COURT: [inaudible] You haven't done that. I'll

19

20 let it in; okay? I'm going to let it in, because you misstate so many things, Mr. Brown. You really do. And

21

22 if it's -- [inaudible]. I'll shut up and let you run the show.

23

24 MR. BROWN: No, ma'am, the reason why I said that is

25 the record was --

1 THE COURT: Sir, you have not proved it. I am the
2 one that determines what's proved. Whether you will, I don't know, let's find out.
3
4 MR. BROWN: I understand. Let me back up a little bit and I understand --
5
6 THE COURT: Don't argue with me all the time. Just ask the gentleman questions, please, sir.
7
8 MR. BROWN: Okay. [inaudible] I move to admit this
9 Exhibit --
10 THE COURT: I said I'll admit it. How many more
11 times do you want me to say that?
MR. BROWN: Turn to page 8.
12
13 THE COURT: Can I get a copy of it now, please? [inaudible] discretion.
14
15 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Barnes, what is the compromise that is
16
17 referred to on page 8 of Exhibit 8? And it's in the
18 email from Mr. Gay [ph] to Michael Barnes [inaudible].
19
20 A. I believe this is referring to the web server that was attacked at the Center for Election
21
22 Systems.
Q. And the web server housed what programs or
23
24 information?
25 A. It didn't house any programs. It was a

1 distribution server that counties would obtain, like,
2 ballot proofs, data files that power their Express Poll devices; data that the Center for Election
3
4 Systems constructed at Kennesaw State while it was under contract with the Secretary of State's office
5
6 and supporting counties in state and federal elections.
7
8 Q. And how was the -- that site compromised?
9 A. It was a web server and somebody
10 infiltrated the portions of the web server files that
11 were behind password protection.
Q. Let me direct your attention to the next
12
13 page [inaudible] and this may not be in [inaudible] sequence so I'm going to assume that they are
14
15 [inaudible]. On page 7 in Exhibit 8, do you recall receiving this email? Or recall being cc'd on this
16
17 email?
18 A. [inaudible]
Q. Did you have an understanding when you
19
20 received this what the author meant, or what it meant to you, that obviously this is kind of untenable in
21
22 the current atmosphere?
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, while you have
23
24 admitted this document --
25 THE COURT: [inaudible] I've just got to see it. The

1 first page refers to [inaudible] investigation in regard
2 to voter registration records.
MR. LINDSEY: Yes, Your Honor.
3
4 THE COURT: I've already ruled that out repeatedly; ruled that out.
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible] with whether or not the system that --
7
8 THE COURT: And these are not in any kind of order.
9 MR. LINDSEY: -- whether or not the system has --
10 whether or not this has anything to do with the system
11 that collects voters' actual votes in 2018. What about the system [inaudible] --
12
13 MR. BROWN: [inaudible]
MR. LINDSEY: Well, let me back up. I'm here to
14
15 state my [inaudible]. My objection, Your Honor, this dealt with an entirely different system. You've already
16
17 ruled it dealt with an entirely different system and we
18 would object --
THE COURT: I think the question was, there were
19
20 errors then, have they been corrected? That's the only question on the floor.
21
22 MR. LINDSEY: My --
THE COURT: [inaudible] isn't relevant.
23
24 MR. LINDSEY: Yeah, my objection is that this dealt
25 with a situation you've already ruled on, which was

1 [inaudible]

2 THE COURT: I can see we might want to [inaudible].
MR. LINDSEY: Is there a -- I mean, if we could
3

4 stipulate that whatever [inaudible] have not been fixed,
maybe that will speed things up. [inaudible] stipulate
5

6 that it's relevant because it's not [inaudible] with the
system at issue here regarding the 2018 election.
7

8 MR. BROWN: I would love to put Mr. Lindsey on the

9 witness stand for that but --

10 THE COURT: Why do you talk over the top of

11 everybody? Now you're talking over the top of Mr.
Lindsey.
12

13 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'm simply just restating
the fact that you've already previously ruled that the
14

15 issue at Kennesaw State had nothing to do with the
election in 2018 because it dealt with [inaudible]
16

17 THE COURT: I sustain your objection, Mr. Lindsey.

18 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
BY MR. BROWN:
19

20 Q. When did the Secretary of State change the
system after Kennesaw State?
21

22 A. The -- when operations transitioned from
Kennesaw State to the Secretary of State's office --
23

24 the transition began before the physical transition.

25 The Secretary of State began constructing their

1 internal --

2 Q. I apologize for interrupting you, but I'm asking you a date question.

3

4 A. January 1, 2018.
Q. [inaudible] January 1, 2018. And what did

5

6 they change?
A. The entire air gap private network that's

7

8 used to -- that houses the election programming

9 software, GEMS, at the state level was transitioned

10 to a new air gap system maintained by the Secretary

11 of State Information Technology office and became operational when the Center for Election Systems took

12

13 up residency within the Secretary of State's office. Prior to the use of that system, that system was put

14

15 through an analysis by [inaudible], which the voting system testing lab out of Huntsville, Alabama to

16

17 validate that the installation of GEMS owned that

18 system matches the installation that [inaudible] had previously inspected.

19

20 Q. Okay. So is it your testimony that the -- that everything was changed over on January 1, 2018?

21

22 A. That the system that's used to generate the GEMS databases that are designed -- that are

23

24 developed and issued to counties to execute their

25 elections, the system that those -- that work was

1 done on was a brand new system as of January 1, 2018.

2 Q. Okay. A brand new what? A brand new hardware, brand new programming?

3

4 A. Brand new hardware.
Q. Okay. What about --

5

6 A. We were still using the certified copy of GEMS that we had been using in the state of Georgia

7

8 since 2000 -- late 2011.

9 Q. Okay. So it was the same GEMS system?

10 A. We have been using the same GEMS program

11 throughout the state of Georgia uniformly since late 2011.

12

13 Q. And that same program that has continued in use was on -- was it on the web server --

14

15 A. No, sir.
Q. Okay. Was it put on a web server?

16

17 A. No, sir.

18 Q. Okay. So did anything on the web server get put on the same place as the GEMS database?

19

20 A. No, sir.
Q. Okay. The -- if the GEMS -- well, so you

21

22 said it's -- Mr. Lindsey said everything was changed, it's a different system, the GEMS database is the

23

24 same; correct?

25 A. We have been using the same GEMS program in

1 Georgia since late 2011.

2 Q. Thank you. Sorry to interrupt [inaudible].
Let me direct your attention to page 4 on Exhibit 8.
3

4 THE COURT: These were written before he said
everything was changed. So let's not go into --
5

6 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, he said the GEMS database
was not a change.
7

8 THE COURT: I understand.

9 MR. BROWN: [inaudible] Let me get right to the point

10 [inaudible] overtake I think an objection.

11 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Barnes, did any of the 45-plus critical
12

13 vulnerabilities -- 40-plus critical vulnerabilities
relate in any way to any -- to the GEMS database?
14

15 A. No, sir.
Q. Did the critical vulnerabilities relate to
16

17 -- into the DREs or in the way the DREs were current?

18 A. No, sir.
Q. What did they relate to?
19

20 A. They're related to a web server that was
distributing the data to counties -- counties for
21

22 elections. It was the portal that the Center for
Elections use as their outside communication tool,
23

24 their website.

25 Elections.Kennesaw.edu was housed on that

1 server. Counties have a sign-in to that location to
2 obtain some information like ballot proofs for review purposes, where they could portal in and access that
3
4 information.
Q. Mr. Barnes, I want to go back to your
5
6 testimony about the ballot design; do you recall that? And I want to clarify first, you were
7
8 describing the scalability of the ballot design,
9 different challenges. There's a lot of candidates,
10 like, in the presidential preference primary for the
11 Republicans in 2016. Does the county have an opportunity to participate in ballot designs?
12
13 A. The county has an opportunity to review the data set at any given time during the review process.
14
15 Most counties take the reports that we generate and provide to them that are sample ballots in the
16
17 optical scan format, because that's the easiest thing
18 to produce, the PDF format, and provide the counties for a layout -- of validating that the right races
19
20 are in the right place on the ballot.
But some counties choose, Gwinnett County being
21
22 one of them in November of 2018, to obtain a copy of the GEMS database while it is in production. For
23
24 them then to then take that database, install it onto
25 a DRE so they can see what it's going to look like on

1 the DRE themselves. And if they see anything that
2 they would like adjusted, then they notify our office of what needs to be adjusted and that adjustment is
3
4 made.
Q. [inaudible] and Gwinnett was a longer
5
6 ballot because it was in two languages; is that right? Was that one of the issues?
7
8 A. It was a more complicated ballot. It was
9 the first election that Gwinnett County was having
10 with multiple language, so they were doing a lot of
11 proofing in multiple languages to make sure the ballot was being displayed as they needed it
12
13 displayed to be in adherence with federal statute.
Q. I asked you before with respect to the work
14
15 that you -- your office had done with respect to the ballot design whether in any other various -- the
16
17 ballots had been out, the election for the lieutenant
18 governor was split between two pages and you said, no. Do you know if that's the case with respect to
19
20 what the counties ended up using [inaudible]?
A. We have the same DRE equipment at our
21
22 disposal as the counties have at their disposal. The image that we can generate on the DRE should be equal
23
24 to what a county is seeing on display when they are
25 generating. That's the best to which I can answer

1 that question.

2 Q. To the best of your knowledge.
A. To the best of my knowledge.

3

4 Q. To the best of your knowledge the ballots
displayed in the county, did -- would not have shown

5

6 on the lieutenant governor's race on two different
screens; is that right?

7

8 A. That is correct.

9 Q. That's all I have. Thanks, again.

10 THE COURT: Any questions?

11

CROSS-EXAMINATION

12

13 OF MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES

14

15 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. Mr. Barnes, let's pick up where Mr. Brown

16

17 had been talking ballot design. Let me show you what

18 would be marked as -- where is it I'm at? Duncan 2?
Duncan 2.

19

20 And I'm going to ask you if you will -- if you
could identify this and tell me what it -- what is

21

22 shows, [inaudible] design of the [inaudible] ballots
in I believe 200- -- 2018, 2014, and 2010. Does this

23

24 accurately show the ballot design of the governor and

25 lieutenant governor's races for those three election

1 cycles? [inaudible]

2 A. Yes, sir, it does.
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I would tender these into
3

4 evidence.
MR. BROWN: No objection, Your Honor.
5

6 THE COURT: Received.
MR. TYSON: [inaudible]
7

8 BY MR. LINDSEY [inaudible]:

9 Q. There is in this packet two pictures of

10 each year. Could you explain to the Court why?

11 A. Sure. The two pictures -- the picture --
there are two DRE models that are used in the state
12

13 of Georgia. There is the TSx model and there is the
R6 model.
14

15 The TSx is -- has the gray case around it. The
screen and the R6 model has the white case around the
16

17 screen. So these are images of both models

18 displaying the ballot from November of 2018.
Q. And let me go back and show to the 2010
19

20 screen and that screen -- rather, in these screens
the U.S. Senate race and the governor's race is on
21

22 the first page and the next page has the lieutenant
governor and other races; correct?
23

24 A. Correct.

25 Q. In 2014, there was once again U.S. Senate

1 race and once again the U.S. Senate is -- and the
2 governor's race is on the first page and the race for lieutenant governor and other state constitutional
3
4 offices are on the next page; correct?
A. Correct.
5
6 Q. Now, the situation is different in 2018; is it not?
7
8 A. That's correct.
9 Q. Why?
10 A. There was no United States Senate race on
11 the ballot in 2018. The first race on all ballots across the state was for governor.
12
13 Q. So as a result, so instead of being on two different screens, the governor and lieutenant
14
15 governor's race are both on the same screen.
A. That's correct.
16
17 Q. Correct? Okay. Now, you are as part of
18 your job, concerned about ballot design; correct?
A. Correct.
19
20 Q. And for instance you are knowledgeable, for instance, what happened in Broward County; correct?
21
22 A. Correct.
Q. Tell the Judge what happened in Broward
23
24 County.
25 A. In November 2018 in Broward County, they

1 had a -- I believe it's -- the United States Senate
2 race was at the bottom of the first column on the ballot.
3
4 It came below the set instructions. So at the very -- after those instructions ended, they had
5
6 enough space at the bottom of this piece of paper that they could include the United States senate race
7
8 at the bottom of that column and then the governor's
9 race was at the top of the second column on the
10 ballot. Other counties -- that was Broward County --
11 is the United States senate was at the bottom of -- underneath the instructions.
12
13 Q. So as a result in Broward County fewer people voted in the U.S. Senate race than they did in
14
15 other counties?
A. The layout in Broward County was different
16
17 than it was in other counties.
18 Q. Yeah.
A. In other counties the United States Senate
19
20 race was at the top of the second column. There was no race below the instructions on other county
21
22 ballots in Florida.
Q. And did that have an impact on voter
23
24 participation? Was that the concern that had
25 impacted the voter [inaudible]?

1 A. There was concern that because the race was
2 at the -- below the instructions that voters might not have noticed that it was below the instructions
3
4 and then may have just bypassed it and ignored it.
5 Q. Yeah. Now after this election, Mr. Brown
6 asked you, had you had any discussions about why there's a difference between the paper ballot down-
7
8 ballot voting for lieutenant governor and the
9 electronic ballot down-ballot race? Do you recall
10 him asking you that?
11 A. Yes, sir.
12 Q. And have you in fact had any discussion
13 about whether or not the ballot design may have impacted that race?
14
15 A. Post-election, you know, looking at the design of the ballot, we have always been very
16
17 pleased with the two-column ballot because it gives,
18 you know -- you know, two races very clearly separate apart but when we, you know, start thinking about the
19
20 election of what all was going on with the given election, could this ballot layout been confusing to
21
22 voters.
23 And there's a possibility that it could have
24 been confusing, especially for new voters that are
25 voting for the first time in the state of Georgia

1 that may not have had an interaction in voting in
2 Georgia or using a DRE. You know, a cursory glance of the screen like this you may feel like because the
3
4 Republican candidates are line up and the Democratic candidates are lining up that you make one selection
5
6 and you're voting for a ticket.
So that could be how a voter interprets that or
7
8 it may not be. I could just be that they see the
9 first race and they are focused on when they get to
10 the next element. Each individual voter's actions
11 that -- are their own.
Q. And in fact you are aware that program's
12
13 [inaudible]?
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, this is already leading and,
14
15 Your Honor, I would [inaudible] leading the witness.
MR. LINDSEY: That doesn't matter. It has to be
16
17 adverse. [inaudible].
18 THE COURT: [inaudible], therefore he's got him on cross.
19
20 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
21
22 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. So obviously, you know, you're aware of the
23
24 fact that the president and my president, for instance,
25 run on a ticket; correct?

1 A. Correct.

2 Q. and are you aware that, I believe, in 25 states that have lieutenant governors and governors, they

3

4 run on a ticket; are you aware of that?

A. I learned something today that -- I know

5

6 that there are some jurisdictions where they on a ticket with a number.

7

8 Q. Okay. Are you aware that, for instance, in

9 Florida they run on a ticket?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. Are you aware that in South Carolina, two of our neighboring states, they also run on a ticket?

12

13 A. Yes.

Q. So it is common, is it not, that in many

14

15 states that the governor and lieutenant governor weren't on a ticket?

16

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Okay. Now, in addition to the general design, did you also do a analysis in terms of the

19

20 number of new voters voting in Georgia for the first time --

21

22 A. Post --

Q. -- in 2014 and 2018? Post-election.

23

24 A. Secretary of State's office did reach out

25 to their vendor of the voter registration system to

1 ask the question of how many new voters participated
2 for the -- or how many voters participated for the first time in 2018, also in 2014. In 2014, I believe
3
4 the number was --
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I object. This is hearsay.
5
6 It's [inaudible].
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, he's doing this in his
7
8 official capacity to basically analyze the number of new
9 voters.
10 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I'm not aware of any official
11 capacity except to the hearsay ruled upon.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, this is part of his
12
13 official duties is to --
THE COURT: We've talked about numbers all over the
14
15 place.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, these are not --
16
17 THE COURT: And all other kinds of ways. I'll allow
18 it.
MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
19
20 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. That in 2014, there were just over 98,000
21
22 voters in Georgia that participated for the first time in the November 2014 election, but in the 2018
23
24 election there were a number of 336,000 voters or a
25 little over that that voted for the first time on --

1 in November of 2018. And as far as your discussions,
2 was the concern about confusion by new voters coming in from other states?
3
4 A. Yes.
Q. And that's part of the concerns you had in
5
6 terms of the inadvertent layout that was created as a result of the U.S. Senate race not being on the
7
8 ballot?
9 A. Correct.
10 Q. Okay. Now Mr. Brown also asked you about
11 -- several questions about getting necessary reports from GEMS and elsewhere about verifying that the --
12
13 that the election results.
And at one point you were asked to run a report
14
15 that formed this lawsuit, a report that was given to the parties assuring every voter received lieutenant
16
17 governor on the ballot. Do you recall being asked
18 about that before?
A. Yes.
19
20 Q. Okay. You did not run a report for every county; correct?
21
22 A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. But you did run a report on the
23
24 counties that are all on -- named parties in this
25 lawsuit; correct?

1 A. That's correct.

2 Q. And that would be Fulton and Gwinnett; correct?

3

4 A. Correct.
MR. TYSON [inaudible]: [inaudible] what?

5

6 MR. LINDSEY: Fulton and Gwinnett.
Q. Let me first show you Gwinnett -- let me

7

8 show you both [inaudible]. First let me show you

9 Gwinnett and ask you to please identify Gwinnett

10 [inaudible]. If you could identify that for the

11 Court.
A. This is the base precinct with reports --

12

13 base precinct with races report for Gwinnett County and note there were 2018 election.

14

15 Q. And you -- tell the Court what that means -- what that means.

16

17 A. What this report shows is every -- a voter

18 is connected to a base precinct within a GEMS database. And what this shows is the base precinct

19

20 associated to the precinct and then what races are associated to that base precinct. So this show --

21

22 and a voter is going to be associated to the base precinct. So this is showing what races each

23

24 individual voter within a precinct would see when

25 they were given their ballot.

1 Q. Okay.

2 MR. LINDSEY: We would tender Exhibits 3 and 4.
[inaudible] 3 and 4. [inaudible]
3

4 MR. BROWN: I have no objection, Your Honor.
THE COURT: They're admitted.
5

6 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. And what do these reports -- you would tell
7

8 the Court what these reports show.

9 A. It shows, again, all races for that given

10 base precinct or present all statewide races that

11 were being contested in November are shown with every
base precinct listed in the report.
12

13 Q. Okay. So if I understand you correctly
what you're telling me is that Mr. Duncan and Ms.
14

15 Amico appeared on every electronic ballot then in
those two counties?
16

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. And did you ever receive any complaint from
anywhere in the state that this race did not appear
19

20 on an electronic ballot?
A. We did not.
21

22 Q. To go back to the issue of configuration
about how was the ballot that we just finished
23

24 discussing, the electronic ballot, differed from the

25 paper ballots that folks would receive when they did

1 write-in?

2 A. In relation to layout?
Q. Layout, particularly the governor and
3

4 lieutenant governor's race.
A. The optical scan ballot, again being three
5

6 or four columns in display, front and back, the
governor's race would have been the first race below
7

8 the ballot that are -- in the -- on the left -- far

9 left column and then the race right below that would

10 have been the lieutenant governor and then the race

11 below that would have been Secretary of State.
Q. So you wouldn't have had simply the
12

13 governor and lieutenant governors on the -- on only
one page?
14

15 A. That's correct.
Q. Plus you had a north-south situation as
16

17 opposed to east-west?

18 A. Correct.
Q. Now in addition to checking to make sure
19

20 that this race appeared on all the ballots, you also
were asked as part of the discovery in this case, to
21

22 prepare from GEMS a report testing to ensure that the
votes that are made on a machine are actually
23

24 calculated in the system; correct?

25 A. Correct.

1 Q. Okay. And you did this for both Fulton and
2 Gwinnett, correct --
A. Correct.
3
4 Q. -- were named parties in this case. And if
you would explain to the Court -- let me show you the
5
6 -- and ask you if this is [inaudible] would be and
then explain to the Court what actually this report
7
8 shows.
9 A. These are the active voter TS status
10 reports from the Fulton and Gwinnett county databases
11 and what these reports show are the number of memory
cards created for their voting locations and the
12
13 upload status of those memory cards.
Q. Okay. In English what do they [inaudible]?
14
15 A. It shows that the touch screen unit that
was used that the information collected by that
16
17 machine has been uploaded into GEMS [inaudible].
18 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we would tender these two
Exhibits which would be 5 and 6 [inaudible].
19
20 MR. BROWN: No objection, Your Honor.
THE COURT: So admitted..
21
22 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. And after analyzing the report once again,
23
24 what are they -- tell the Court what they showed.
25 A. They showed that there are no outstanding

1 memory cards. That all memory cards that were
2 created that would have collected that would have collected votes, have been uploaded and accounted for
3
4 by GEMS.
Q. Okay. Now you were asked by Mr. Brown also
5
6 about what you at the Secretary of State's office does beforehand to get ballots ready for voting. Do
7
8 you recall that last question?
9 A. Yes.
10 Q. Let me ask you, do you do any testing,
11 parallel testing for instance, on Election Day to make sure that the systems are operating correctly?
12
13 A. We do.
Q. Tell the Judge what you do.
14
15 A. In the days leading up to the election we will make -- Secretary of State's office will make a
16
17 request to a county in Georgia to provide to us a
18 copy of their GEMS database from their GEMS computer. The State constructs the database and sends it to the
19
20 county.
But for parallel testing we ask that whatever
21
22 the county has loaded into their system, that they make a copy of that database and send it back to the
23
24 State so that we at the Secretary of State's office
25 can then create our own memory cards from their copy

1 of their database, load into touch screen equipment
2 that we have in our possession, do a logic and accuracy test on the device to make sure it's working
3
4 as it should, and then on Election Day itself we videotape the input of a test deck into the device in
5
6 election mode.
So we will have an individual that is holding
7
8 the pre-filled ballot out and instructing the test
9 voter on what selections to make while that is all
10 being videotaped. And that's done within the voting
11 period on Election Day. And then at the end of that timeframe we'll end the election on those devices and
12
13 printout tapes from those devices, and validate the results being produced by the device match the known
14
15 results of the test deck.
Q. Okay. And you do that to make sure that if
16
17 Edward Lindsey cast a vote for Mike Boland for
18 governor, that it's properly counting?
A. Correct.
19
20 Q. And when you did that parallel testing on Election Day, did you discover any irregularities?
21
22 A. We did not.
Q. And among the races that you tested for was
23
24 the lieutenant governor's race; correct?
25 A. That's correct.

1 Q. Thank you. Now you were talking about
2 after the election Ms. Amico came and raised some concerns. And you mentioned that you went and
3
4 checked a county. What county was that, just for the record?
5
6 A. Ben Hill County.
7 Q. Ben Hill County. And you went to Ben Hill
8 because that was somebody Ms. Amico was concerned
9 about; correct?
10 A. Correct.
11 Q. Okay. And the results were the same things that were tabulated on the card were being uploaded
12
13 to the Secretary of State's office; correct?
14 A. That's correct.
15 Q. Okay. Now were you asked earlier why -- well, you were asked earlier whether the Secretary of
16
17 State had done any testing or discovered there was
18 any kind of malware on the system; correct?
19 A. Correct.
20 Q. Been asked that. Given what you do on the parallel testing, the outcome, did you believe there
21
22 was any reason to do any forensic testing for malware?
23
24 A. Based on what we have encountered, no, sir.
25 Q. Okay. Now you -- there have been several

1 questions raised about hacking, this, that, and the
2 other; could you explain -- from my understanding,
and I'm not a math major and a physics major, I'm a
3
4 history major with a specialty in Florentine
brimstone, so --
5
6 THE COURT: That's really helpful
Q. -- so I'm going to talk really slowly to
7
8 make this explanation here. Could you please explain
9 the difference between an open system that would be
10 on the web page, and a closed system?
11 A. A closed system has no external connection
port is that it is a device directly connected to
12
13 another device and there is not a line from that pair
going outward placing so that someone can portal
14
15 inward into that device. They can't access an IP
address. It's closed off.
16
17 The only way to interact with the system is
18 through direct interaction with the system. An open
network is akin to the internet. When you have
19
20 computers and multiple locations communicating to one
another through a public means.
21
22 Q. So someone [inaudible] Putin in Russia
could not use a computer there utilizing the internet
23
24 to be able to get into your system and hack it;
25 correct?

1 A. Correct.

2 Q. Okay. Were there any other anomalies in
this election, particularly regarding the lieutenant
3

4 governor's race, in regards to write-in votes?
A. When looking at the certified returns for
5

6 various counties in the Metro area, we saw that there
were -- for the statewide races that the lieutenant
7

8 governor's office seemed to have the highest number

9 of write-in votes collected.

10 Q. Okay. Now you didn't check every county,

11 did you?
A. No, sir.
12

13 Q. All right. You checked, I believe, three
counties; Fulton, Gwinnett, and DeKalb; correct?
14

15 A. Correct.
Q. Let me show you Fulton [inaudible] and let
16

17 me ask you to explain what these three documents --

18 certified documents I might add, show.
A. These appear to be the certified returns
19

20 from Dekalb County, Gwinnett County, and Fulton
County, and they are -- they contain the election
21

22 summary reports for those counties.
And the election summary report shows each
23

24 individual race that was present in the database for

25 that given county. It shows the total number of

1 precincts involved in that election shows the number
2 of precincts reporting by race. It shows the number of times that particular race was seen by the
3
4 tabulating system.
It shows the total number of votes that were
5
6 counted for that race and it shows the number of votes collected for each individual candidate listed
7
8 in that race, including write-ins.
9 Q. All right. Write-ins, if I understand
10 correctly, they are write-ins in which someone goes
11 to the trouble to get their vote tabulated and there are write-ins for Mickey Mouse, that sort of thing.
12
13 A. That's correct. There are certified write-in candidates and then there are candidates that are
14
15 not certified write-ins.
Q. And that includes those who are not
16
17 certified write-in candidates; correct?
18 A. This includes all write-ins collected by the system.
19
20 Q. When you reviewed that, what did you discover for these three counties, for instance,
21
22 using [inaudible] because they were named parties in this case? What did you discover about the number of
23
24 write-ins in the lieutenant governor's race versus
25 other races that were statewide?

1 A. That the highest number of write-ins cast
2 for statewide office was in the lieutenant governor's office.
3
4 Q. Okay.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we would tender Exhibits --
5
6 [inaudible] 7, 8, and 9.
THE COURT: Mr. Brown.
7
8 MR. BROWN: You're tendering those?
9 MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible] I'm tendering --
10 THE COURT: He tendered his exhibits.
11 MR. LINDSEY: I'm tendering the exhibits.
MR. BROWN: [inaudible]
12
13 THE COURT: Okay. No objection. That's [inaudible].
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I just have one last
14
15 [inaudible] and I will release him, I guess, [inaudible]. Your Honor, I believe the parties have stipulated to the
16
17 admissibility of Secretary of State certified statewide
18 races.
I just want to let it [inaudible] in the record. I
19
20 would, first of all, tender Exhibits 10, 11, and 12. Is that what I'm up to? Ten being the election for 2010, 11
21
22 being for '14, and 12 being for '18. Let me show you what I have here. '18 and '14, rather, and '10.
23
24 BY MR. LINDSEY:
25 Q. [inaudible] Let me simply ask you to look

1 at those. Those are in fact the official election
2 returns from those three years that are located on the Secretary of State's website; correct?
3
4 [inaudible] I don't think I have those [inaudible]. Okay. These are in fact the election
5
6 returns from the Secretary of State's office [inaudible]; correct?
7
8 A. Appear to be.
9 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we tender the Exhibits.
10 THE COURT: Any objections from --
11 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. Do you have extra copies of those,
12
13 the last three, Mr. Lindsey?
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, [inaudible].
14
15 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. And looking at the front page of '10 and
16
17 '14, does it not show, sir, the percentage of voters
18 from that year? For both '10 and '14 at the top?
A. The turnout percentage?
19
20 Q. Yes, sir.
A. The turnout percentage for November of '14
21
22 -- 2014, voter turnout 50.03 percent?
Q. Yes, sir. Now for a '18 -- for '18
23
24 [inaudible].
25 MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible], Your Honor. [inaudible].

1 And also, Your Honor, we tender this next Exhibit,

2 [inaudible] turnout and '18, just for the record.
Q. If you would identify what would be marked
3

4 as Exhibit 13, tell us what that is.
A. This is for November 2018 --
5

6 Q Yes, sir.
A. -- general turnout 61.34 percent.
7

8 MR. LINDSEY: We tender this document as well.

9 MR. BROWN: No objection.

10 BY MR. LINDSEY:

11 Q. So the turnout -- well, first of all, if
you were to look at '14 and '18, we've already talked
12

13 about the new votes. Let me just also sort of close
the loop on this line of questioning. If you would
14

15 look at '14 first and tell me how many registered
voters there were in '14.
16

17 A. In '14, there were 5,191,182.

18 Q. Okay. And in '18, how many were there?
A. 6,400,200 -- let's see. 6,428,584.
19

20 Q. Okay. And you've already testified that we
had high voter participation in '18?
21

22 A. [inaudible]
Q. So in '18, we have a larger number of
23

24 registered voters than in '14; correct?

25 A. Correct.

1 Q. And in '18, we had a larger turnout than we
2 did in '14; correct?
A. Correct.
3
4 Q. And in '18, we had a increase of about
three-and-a-half times the number of new voters
5
6 voting for the first time; correct?
A. Correct.
7
8 Q. All of those, based on your concern about -
9 - your knowledge about Broward County as you're
10 looking at the web design -- at the design of the
11 electronic ballot could have led to greater voter
confusion when they voted electronically versus when
12
13 they voted on a paper ballot; correct?
A. Correct.
14
15 MR. LINDSEY: That's all I have, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Mr. Tyson.
16
17 MR. TYSON: Thank you, Your Honor. I'll be brief.
18
CROSS-EXAMINATION
19
20 OF MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES
21
22 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. [inaudible] pick up real quickly on the
23
24 Broward review, that senate race that Mr. Lindsey was
25 asking you about. Do you know if Broward County uses

1 paper or DRE ballots?

2 A. I believe Broward uses centralized [inaudible].

3

4 Q. Okay. So those would be paper ballots; correct?

5

6 A. Yes.

Q. Mr. Brown earlier asked you about

7

8 complaints that he received around the election

9 administration, were the complaints in 2018 any more

10 unusual than complaints in prior years?

11 A. No.

Q. And Mr. Brown also asked you now

12

13 [inaudible] issues he said that, you know, if you push a button [inaudible] record votes somewhere else

14

15 for somebody else. Now would you consider that a programming issue or a calibration issue?

16

17 A. I would consider that a calibration issue.

18 Q. And is -- if the machine doesn't respond as expected on a calibration issue during testing, what

19

20 was the process for addressing that?

A. The counties normally do not allow that

21

22 system to pass. It is set aside and not used for that given election.

23

24 Q. And in the calibration you repair or fixed

25 electronic voting machines?

1 A. You can recalibrate the screen as part of
2 the initial LMA process of, say, [inaudible]
calibration on the machines is like one of the first
3
4 steps done. But even after setting calibration if
the county interacts with the system and they feel
5
6 like it's not responsive as it should be, then they
will take that unit out of operation.
7
8 Q. And so a programming error then would be
9 something like a candidate's name is missing; is that
10 correct?
11 A. That's correct.
Q. And how would you know that it was a
12
13 programming error of that nature where somebody's
name was omitted, for example?
14
15 A. It would be not present on the ballot. You
would not see the name or if there was a voting
16
17 position with no name associated to it, you would see
18 that.
Q. And how would you do that? Would you do
19
20 that from a GEMS report or some other method?
A. You do that for a GEMS reports. You do
21
22 that through the sample ballots that are generated
and provided to the counties for proofing purposes.
23
24 Q. And reviewing the DRE post-election mode
25 also show that problem with the candidate missing

1 name?

2 A. In post-election mode you can print out reports that show candidates listed by race. You

3 also have the ability to access the ballot -- the ballot view, which is the reported ballots that the

4 system recorded and it shows what races were on that ballot and what candidates were listed under those

5 races.

6 Q. So lieutenant governor's race didn't appear

7 on a DRE. The machine in post-election [inaudible]

8 to determine that [inaudible].

9 A. That's correct.

10 Q. Okay. Thank you.

11 MR. LINDSEY: I don't have anything else.

12 THE COURT: Wait a minute. Wait, wait, wait.

13 MR. BROWN: I'm sorry.

14 MS. BURWELL: I have no questions.

15 THE COURT: She's got -- okay. Okay. Now you can go.

16 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

17

18 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
OF MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES

19

20

21 BY MR. BROWN:

22

23

24

25

1 Q. When did you first hear of the theory that
2 the under-vote might be -- have been the result of people thinking that it was a [inaudible]
3
4 A. It would probably be close to the time of certification.
5
6 Q. And who did you hear it from?
A. It was just sort of hypothesized. There
7
8 was no one person that said it. It was just sort of
9 again looking at the election and seeing, you know,
10 what could -- what could be reasons for these things.
11 Q. For the under-vote that you noticed, right?
A. Yes.
12
13 Q. Okay. So you noticed the under-vote at the Secretary of State's office and one of the things
14
15 that was just thrown out was, maybe people thought it was a ticket, right?
16
17 A. Correct.
18 Q. And have you undertaken -- get any sort of expert opinion or analysis of that theory?
19
20 A. We have heard from people in relation to ballot design that are starting that for ballot
21
22 design moving forward that it's best for voters to see one race per screen when they're interacting with
23
24 a DRE device or a ballot-marking device. So, you
25 know, that's -- to eliminate that confusion that may

1 be present.

2 Q. Right. But that would mean that -- that doesn't relate to under-votes, does it; or does it

3 [inaudible]?

4 A. I'm not sure of the question.

5 Q. Is the concern there that people will skip a vote if there's more than one ballot on a page? Is

6 that the concern?

7 A. There's always concern that voters are not,

8 you know, receptive of what they're seeing. But each

9 individual voter is going to do what they want to do.

10 Q. Right. And in -- but in this election, if

11 you turn the page for this election, you have multiple ballots; correct?

12 A. If you hit the next button you go to the next screen, you have multiple races appearing, yes.

13 Q. And which races appeared on the second page

14 of November 6th ballot?

15 A. Let's see --

16 Q. And just go ahead and look at the [inaudible].

17 A. The November '18 -- for the 2018 ballot?

18 Q. Yes, sir.

19 A. There are four races present. Secretary of

20 State, attorney general, commissioner of agriculture,

1 commissioner of insurance.

2 Q. Okay. So in those -- even though there were four ballots on that screen, the voters were

3

4 able -- electronic and paper ballot voters had a significantly higher participation rate than the

5

6 lieutenant governor's race; correct?
MR. TYSON: Objection, Your Honor. It's leading.

7

8 THE COURT: Sustained.

9 BY MR. BROWN:

10 Q. Mr. Barnes, did -- before the other races

11 that were on the second page have a higher voter participation rate than lieutenant governor's race?

12

13 A. Based on the certified results from those counties that there were fewer under-votes in those

14

15 races, yes.
Q. Okay. Thank you. Now the -- there was

16

17 some testimony about calibration issue. Are you with

18 me?
A. Uh-huh.

19

20 Q. Okay. And what -- one calibration problem is a screen dysfunctioning, physical screen problem,

21

22 if you follow me, where what you're pushing doesn't connect with the code -- with whatever electronics

23

24 [inaudible]. You with me? It's a physical problem

25 with the screen. Is that a calibration problem?

1 A. Where -- the point that you're touching is
2 -- the response is showing in a different location? The response where you touch --
3
4 Q. Or doesn't respond or it blinks or something else, is that a calibration problem?
5
6 A. Potentially.
7 Q. The -- but there is software that tells the
8 computer, if you press this button, put another --
9 add one to the voter total for Mr. Duncan; correct?
10 A. The test screen basically is a grid. It's
11 a pressure-point grid. It says -- [inaudible] analysis and, you know, it comes up with an average
12
13 of pressure it says, if you see pressure here, then that should be indicated as a mark in this position
14
15 on the ballot.
16 Q. Right. And that's programming that does
17 that; correct? That says, if you [inaudible], add
18 one to the vote total for Amico, right?
19 A. Correct.
20 Q. Okay. And that program resides where?
21 A. That program resides on the DRE.
22 Q. On the individual DRE machines.
23 A. That's correct.
24 Q. And is that -- and is that -- and where on
25 those DRE machines does it reside?

1 A. That resides on an EPROM chip that's
2 connected to the motherboard.
Q. And is the EPROM chip connected to the
3
4 motherboard of something that the State --
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, bear with me here. I just
5
6 have one question on this.
Q. Is the EPROM chip that you referred to that
7
8 contains the programming for doing that something the
9 State offered to allow the petitioners to inspect?
10 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, objection again. He's once
11 again [inaudible] re-litigate what the Court has already
ruled upon in discovery [inaudible].
12
13 THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MR. BROWN:
14
15 Q. Is the EPROM the same thing as the election
archive?
16
17 A. The election archive information is saved
18 to the internal memory on the device and the internal
memory is contained in EPROM. [inaudible]
19
20 Q. Okay. And the internal -- okay. Can you
say that again?
21
22 A. The internal memory is where the archive
file is maintained and the internal memory resides on
23
24 EPROM.
25 Q. Okay. So the answer is no if they're on

1 the same thing, right?

2 A. The election archives is a data file that is saved to an internal memory and the internal

3 memory is -- resides on the EPROM.

4 Q. And other things other than the election

5 archive are on the internal memory, right?

6 A. I believe I already said that.

7 Q. Just yes or no.

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. Okay. And one of those other things

10 controls the mapping of the vote from the touch

11 screen to the place in your system where it says, add

12 one more vote to somebody; correct?

13 A. Correct.

14 Q. Let me direct your attention to one of Mr.

15 Lindsey's printouts and I'll just give you -- you

16 don't need to look at it.

17 Of course you may, but the -- he was asking you

18 about write-in votes and how the lieutenant

19 governor's race had a lot of write-in votes, do you

20 know whether that was because Libertarians who were

21 voting on the lieutenant governor's race did not have

22 an option, therefore wrote in? Do you know --

23 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I believe that calls for

24 speculation [inaudible].

25

1 THE COURT: That would be speculation. [inaudible]
2 argue that it's not. [inaudible].
MR. BROWN: Thank you.
3
4 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Now the EPROM chip is the erasable, read-
5
6 only [inaudible], right? Or I guess erasable program
[inaudible], right?
7
8 A. Well, that's what EPROM stands for, yes.
9 Q. Now does the software reside on an EPROM
10 chip or in [inaudible] memory, if you know?
11 A. I can't answer that in an absolutely -- I
don't [inaudible].
12
13 Q. Okay.
MR. BROWN: That's all I have [inaudible].
14
15 THE COURT: [inaudible]
16
17 RECROSS EXAMINATION
18 OF MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES
19
20 BY MALE:
Q. Mr. Brown -- I've just got [inaudible].
21
22 Mr. Brown did ask about whether it was a touch screen
problem [inaudible] whether or not that could be a
23
24 system error; correct?
25 A. Correct.

1 Q. That would have shown up if it was a system
2 problem in your parallel testing on Election Day; correct?
3
4 A. Correct.
Q. And you didn't detect any problems;
5
6 correct?
A. We did not detect any problems.
7
8 MALE: No further questions.
9
10 RECROSS EXAMINATION
11 OF MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES
12
13 BY MR. TYSON [inaudible]:
Q. Mr. Barnes, Mr. Brown asked you about when
14
15 the voter information was recorded. When you push a button, when you push the part of the screen, it's
16
17 [inaudible] cast ballot that is recorded in the
18 internal memory of the unit; correct?
A. That is correct.
19
20 Q. All right. Are you familiar with the notices that are posted to voter -- polling places
21
22 regarding pressing the cast ballot button?
A. I am.
23
24 Q. I'm going to hand you what's been marked as
25 Gwinnett 1. Is this the notice for voter to be

1 posted in the polling places regarding pressing the
2 cast ballot button [inaudible], I'm sorry.
A. It is.
3
4 Q. And it very specifically says, you should
notify a poll worker if you have a problem or
5
6 question about the unit before you press the cast
ballot button; correct?
7
8 A. That's correct.
9 Q. Okay. Thank you.
10 MR. TYSON: I have no further questions. Oh, I'm
11 sorry, Your Honor. I tender Gwinnett 1.
MR. BROWN: No objection.
12
13 THE COURT: It's admitted.
THE COURT: Ms. Burwell, questions?
14
15 MS. BURWELL: [inaudible]
THE COURT: Mr. Brown.
16
17 MR. BROWN: I don't have anything further.
18 THE COURT: Okay. You may get down.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
19
20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, may this witness be excused?
THE COURT: Yes.
21
22 MR. BROWN: [inaudible]
THE COURT: Let me ask you, Mr. Brown, how much
23
24 longer do you think your case is going to last? I'm just
25 trying to work out logistics and various other things.

1 MR. BROWN: If I may have literally 30 seconds, I can
2 give you an answer if I could talk to my clients.
THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.
3
4 MR. BROWN: Your Honor.
THE COURT: Go ahead.
5
6 MR. BROWN: We have about an hour with Mr. Barron
probably total. He is here. He has a -- Mr. Barron is an
7
8 employee of Fulton County. [inaudible] Fulton County. He
9 has a childcare issue and we would be happy that he
10 [inaudible] in the morning and then starting [inaudible].
11 THE COURT: Start in the morning. You've got about
another hour?
12
13 MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: What does the defendants -- I'm looking
14
15 -- can we finish tomorrow?
MALE: [inaudible], Your Honor. I don't think the
16
17 [inaudible].
18 THE COURT: You want to take an oath on that?
MALE: What?
19
20 THE COURT: Do you want to take an oath on that?
MALE: No, Your Honor. I will not take an oath and I
21
22 will not [inaudible] in my place.
I will not take an oath. But [inaudible] some of my
23
24 preceding circumstances --
25 THE COURT: Okay. Tomorrow, so you know -- now you

1 have to understand I'm a senior judge [inaudible]
2 courtroom and therefore we won't have a courtroom
[inaudible] in two or three weeks with luck. But in the
3
4 meantime, they move us around.
So tomorrow we're back in the Magistrate's court on
5
6 the fourth floor. It's the old courtroom annex, 402.
Okay. Mr. Groban [ph] will be over there early to let you
7
8 in. I always bring my -- I've got so much stuff I'll
9 bring it.
10 MALE: Is there anywhere that we can store some of
11 our stuff? Because of the stuff I don't necessarily
[inaudible].
12
13 THE COURT: That's why I'm looking at all that but I
don't have a choice. They've got a mock trial in here
14
15 tomorrow.
MALE: No, I understand. [inaudible]
16
17 THE COURT: I don't know they were locked. Okay.
18 There's a jury room in here that you could use, but you'd
have to come real early to get in it and get it out.
19
20 MALE: I'd be happy to. I mean, that's --
[inaudible] take home.
21
22 THE COURT: Yeah. The other place that might do it
is the Bar office. Dekalb [inaudible] County Bar office
23
24 is right over there.
25 MALE: All right.

1 THE COURT: It's on this floor and they might let you
2 keep some stuff in there.
MALE: I'll tell you, we'll [inaudible].
3
4 THE COURT: Well, I mean they might let you --
they've got two conference rooms over there. They're
5
6 empty. You go out here and turn left. You know where it
is Mr. [inaudible].
7
8 MALE: Yes. [inaudible]
9 THE COURT: Okay. [inaudible] I'll see you in M-42
10 tomorrow. And if you want to use the [inaudible] now he
11 gets here at the crack of dawn anyway.
MALE: Well, I mean, do you think that that's a safe
12
13 place? If you get here at the crack of dawn, would it be
okay [inaudible]? I mean, is it inconveniencing you?
14
15 [inaudible] It's just a couple of boxes. [inaudible]
MALE: I get here by 7:30, 20 minutes to 8.
16
17 THE COURT: We start at 9:00 tomorrow.
18 MALE: [inaudible]
THE COURT: Okay.
19
20 MALE: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: We'll see you tomorrow.
21
22 [Whereupon, the hearing was concluded at 4:53 p.m.]
23
24
25

1 CERTIFICATE

2 STATE OF GEORGIA]
] SS.
3

4 COUNTY OF DOUGLAS]

5

6 I, PRISCILLA GARCIA, A COURT REPORTER IN THE STATE OF GEORGIA, DO HEREBY STATE THAT THE FOREGOING IS A TRUE AND
7

8 ACCURATE TRANSCRIPT AS TAKEN DOWN BY ME AT THE TIME,

9 PLACE, AND THE DATE HEREINBEFORE SET FORTH.

10 I DO FURTHER STATE THAT I AM NEITHER A RELATIVE NOR

11 EMPLOYEE NOR ATTORNEY NOR COUNSEL OF ANY OF THE PARTIES TO THIS ACTION, AND THAT I AM NEITHER A RELATIVE NOR EMPLOYEE
12

13 OF SUCH ATTORNEY OR COUNSEL, AND THAT I AM NOT FINANCIALLY INTERESTED IN THIS ACTION.
14

15 WITNESS MY HAND IN THE CITY OF DOUGLASVILLE, COUNTY
16

17 OF DOUGLAS, STATE OF GEORGIA, ON THIS 28th DAY OF JANUARY

18 2019. BY: Priscilla Garcia
19

20 PRISCILLA GARCIA, COURT REPORTER
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF GEORGIA
21

22 COMMISSION NO.: W-00379933
COMMISSION EXPIRES: 08/14/2022
23

24 CERTIFICATE NO.: 5503-2677-8304-9216

25 LICENSE EXPIRES: 04/01/2019

1
2
3 I, Chris Naaden, a transcriber, hereby declare
4 under penalty of perjury that to the best of my
5 ability the above 291 pages contain a full, true and
6 correct transcription of the tape-recording that I
7 received regarding the event listed on the caption on
8 page 1.
9
10 I further declare that I have no interest in the
11 event of the action.
12
13 January 28, 2019
14
15
16 Chris Naaden
17
18
19
20 (Transcript of Hearing Proceedings)
21
22
23
24
25

(

(C) 85:24

(C)(3) 85:24

(C)(4) 85:25

0

0.8 102:11 120:24

1

1 21:17,20 44:1,4,10, 11 45:20 52:11,15 54:6,8 57:2,11 99:4 101:2 102:2,24 103:11 105:11,15 107:25 111:25 112:1 175:22,24 249:4,20 250:1 287:25 288:11

1,012 126:6

1,828,566 26:17

1.3 107:6

1.4 101:13 107:10

1.5 107:4

1.7 107:18

1.8 107:15

10 100:24 136:11 143:1 273:20,22 274:15,18

10,000 175:22,24

10-minute 72:11

100 21:13, 17,20,22 22:10 32:17 125:17 152:25

101 205:22

10:25 60:8 72:13

10:37 72:15

11 273:20

117 194:6

11:00 185:4

11:41 82:20 129:25

12 273:20, 22

123,000 26:10 30:18 184:11

123,172 25:20

13 275:4

137 125:4, 6,8,11,13

14 273:22 274:17,18, 20 275:11, 15,17,24 276:2

144 194:6, 10

15 143:10 160:2

15,000 100:24

159 205:22 212:10 213:11

159,024 103:1

16 241:10

17 8:2 18:24 244:8,9

18 81:4 273:22 274:22 275:2,11, 18,20,22 276:1,4 281:22

1:00 129:20,22 130:1

1st 211:17

2

2 64:4 65:25 78:15 79:4 101:22 104:24 254:18

2.2 107:13

20 21:15 291:15

200,000 21:8

200- 254:22

2000 250:8

2002 102:2 224:1 239:22,24

2006 26:17 160:15 202:4

2007 62:2

2008 239:24

2009 36:11

2010 239:20 254:22 255:18 273:20

2011 250:8 251:1
2013 239:10
2014 120:15,18, 20 254:22 255:25 260:22 261:2,20, 22 274:22
2016 26:22 147:11 165:25 227:15 228:4,15 241:6 243:15 252:11
2017 37:20 148:4 211:15 227:15 228:15,25 244:4,15
2018 8:2 25:20 27:9 39:22 40:2,11 46:13,15, 18 62:4 81:24 89:13 90:15 94:13 98:18 99:6 102:2,4,6 116:6 119:8 124:6 127:13,20 153:11,22 154:2,22 157:6,22 165:18 166:8 168:11 170:24 202:6 212:2,6,11 224:18 226:20 228:24 229:15 230:22 231:13 241:17 244:13 247:11 248:6,15 249:4,20 250:1 252:22 254:22 255:18 256:6,11, 25 260:22 261:2,22 262:1 263:13 275:4 277:9 281:22
21-2-522 14:4
22 8:14 11:11 13:1 129:6
24 166:6
24-14-22 24:6
25 260:2
2:09 187:6
2:22 187:8
2:30 185:6

3

3 98:2,6 264:2
3- 212:2
3.9 105:10 107:25
30 49:20 51:1 135:15 289:1
31,000-something 103:2
31,532 102:17,20
31st 211:15
336,000 261:24
38 126:6
3:30 242:25
3:37 243:1

4

4 22:1 101:11 105:18 111:25 112:1,15 132:17 251:2 264:2
4.5 105:8 107:24,25
40-plus 251:13
402 290:6
410 126:20 127:1
45-plus 251:11
4:53 291:22

5

5 22:1 266:18
5,191,182 275:17
50 96:20
50.03 274:22
5th 133:17, 18 135:25 208:11

6

6 25:20 266:18
6,400,200 275:18
6,428,584 275:18
61.34 275:6
6th 62:24, 25 63:1,22 83:2 165:18 281:18

7

7 168:4,8, 15 246:15 273:6
702(b) 42:10 154:18
7:30 291:15
7th 62:22

8

8 21:15 102:15 143:1 243:8,9, 11,17,24 245:11,17 246:15 251:2 273:6 291:15
8,000 117:17

9

9 45:13 136:17 273:6
9.2 135:2, 6,11
9.2(c) 135:15
98,000 27:6 261:20
99 21:11 22:9
9:00 291:17
9:02 8:2
9th 136:24

A

a.m. 8:2 60:8 72:13,15 79:13 82:20 129:25
aberrant 20:25 21:2
aberration 173:25
ability 49:22 242:8 279:4
abnormal 205:22
Abrams 26:18 27:22 28:1 75:4,13 118:9 201:6
Abrams' 118:1
abridges 151:18
absentee 19:13 21:20 105:10 107:8,17 128:2 216:1
absolute 131:15
absolutely 45:9 61:10 230:8 286:11
abstract 174:6
abundantly 30:9 92:11
ac- 67:17
academic 141:6 144:1 156:18 160:10
Academies 168:9
Academy 156:22 160:22 168:18,20 170:2
accelerate 83:1
accelerated 135:22
accept 131:9 192:4,6
access 144:9 182:4 183:6 187:20 212:15 215:13 217:6 218:2 220:13 223:22 252:2 270:15 279:4
accessed 183:4,6
accessibility 240:6
accounted 267:2

accounts
157:11

accuracy
24:17
109:11
217:9
218:24
222:10
268:2

accurate
28:22 99:9
161:6
222:11

accurately
29:1
254:24

act 18:20
44:15
58:22
230:25

actions
259:10

active
218:20
266:9

activist
85:2

activities
81:4

activity
148:13

actual 33:15
55:13
64:13
85:11
92:13
117:4
152:17
159:25
170:18
189:18
203:11,13
204:13
207:15
216:4
219:10
225:22
227:4,6
237:11
247:11

add 27:20
97:9 173:8
205:2
271:18
283:9,17
285:11

added 27:13

adding
150:13

addition
18:18 25:6
28:2 30:20
42:13
141:8
147:4
155:1
260:18
265:18

additional
14:22
18:20
38:20
184:4

address 20:6
93:13 94:2
270:15

addressing
96:10
277:20

adherence
253:13

adjacent
166:2

adjusted
241:11
253:2

adjustment
253:2

administer
90:9

administration
39:6 90:8
91:25
153:2
172:20
277:9

admissibility
92:15
273:17

admissible
132:4
169:15
192:18
203:2

admission
70:8,9
91:18

admit 244:4,
13 245:8,
10

admitted
44:25 46:8
54:8 168:2
191:24
246:24
264:4
266:20
288:13

admonished
191:17

adopt 155:8

advance
213:2
215:24
218:22

advanced
105:9,11
107:4,13

adverse
181:10
259:17

advice 84:15
85:18

advises
93:15

affect
182:20
217:18

226:4

affected
30:2,4
228:4
231:18

affidavit
97:24 98:2
103:11,15

affidavits
178:22

affiliation
177:20

affirmative
30:13
143:25

afraid 110:2
202:1

afternoon
136:20
150:22

AG's 128:13

age 87:13

agencies
156:25
162:4

agents
157:17

aggravated
27:24

aging 159:20

agree 11:6
70:17
83:6,9
127:11
133:2
186:1
220:6

agreement
29:15

agriculture
117:9
123:1
281:25

ahead 13:13
20:15,20
38:17
41:20 45:9
54:9 58:17
68:2 69:13
71:11,13
81:11
89:17
112:8,24
129:15
132:6
143:2
164:20
182:2,15
186:2
191:6
234:4
236:15
281:20
289:2,4

ahistorical
27:4

air 249:6,
10

AJC 13:2,4,
6

akin 270:18

Alabama
151:20
249:15

alarmed
73:25

Alaska
187:22

albeit
170:10

Aletha 81:13

all-paper
151:8

allegation
48:15
115:10
221:4
238:11

allegations
14:11
15:20,22
28:9 37:4
162:18,20

allege 14:4

alleged
14:15
225:9
244:15

allegiance
139:8

Allen 63:1,4

allowed
68:18
123:25
180:11
184:6
226:4,11

alter 183:2,
22

altered
183:8,9

altering
183:15

alternative
22:15
175:4
183:15

amazing
81:18

Amazon 64:13

ambiguity
191:18

AME 63:1,4,
20 65:15
72:24
195:8

America
58:22

American
81:13

Amico 23:8
26:13,17
27:2,22,25
28:4 80:24
116:2,4
117:11,17

123:8,13
199:24
200:11
201:15,22
202:10,15
264:15
269:2,8
283:18

Amico's 30:8
200:2
235:2

amount 27:11
35:13
102:20
152:24
173:22

analogy
221:4

analyses
127:18
158:6

analysis
13:18 90:4
95:2 98:18
104:13
117:4
149:6
177:2
180:4,6
190:4,6
192:24
193:15,18
195:2,4,
10,13,17,
20 196:4,
6,10
197:22,25
199:22
202:22
229:10
232:24
233:2
249:15
260:18
280:18
283:11

analyst
43:20
45:13

analyze
37:20
86:15 99:1
117:4
261:8

analyzed
127:25

analyzing
88:2
266:22

angle 13:13

annex 290:6

anomalies
186:6
207:9
271:2

anomalous
205:18

anomaly
22:11
94:25

answering
33:24

answers
121:1
204:6

anticipated
68:20

anticipating
45:6,8

anticipation
53:15

apologies
45:6,10

apologize
82:6 93:9
134:18
163:20
197:11
249:2

app 63:11

apparent
170:22
186:6

apparently
223:24

appeared
73:25
242:4
264:15
265:20
281:17

appearing
175:24
224:15
281:15

appears
65:22
168:17
244:2,6

applicable
155:18

application
119:2
142:6
148:13

applications
142:11

applied 25:6
102:15

apply 17:4

appointed
77:24

approach
11:25

approached
70:4 86:11

approval
143:20

approximately
27:6
177:13

architectural
159:25

architecture
164:25

archive
183:11

284:15,17, 22 285:6

archives 285:2

area 71:17, 18 74:4 92:18 104:4 224:15 237:15 271:6

areas 87:22 94:2

argue 108:15 245:6 286:2

arguing 198:20 209:9

argument 13:22 24:1 33:11 92:8 151:15 198:20

arguments 14:22

arises 24:13

arising 241:2

arithmetic 22:25

arm 223:9

arms 74:2

arrangement 241:9

artful 226:25

Artfully 226:24

article 141:4

articles 115:8 141:2

asks 68:18

aspects 42:22

Aspen 35:4

assessing 195:13

assessment 166:17

assist 42:10 68:11,15, 18

assistance 10:15 42:18

assisted 149:10

assisting 59:13 70:22

assistive 54:24 55:6,9 56:6,9,11

assume 44:20 82:10 177:18 220:8 246:13

assumes 176:22 232:13

assuming 176:2 220:22 232:20 233:22

assumption 102:18

assurance 17:24

assure 58:11

assuring 262:15

Atlanta 34:18 63:4

atmosphere 246:22

attacked 245:20

attempt 15:6 190:24

attempted 49:22 168:22

attempting 49:17

attempts 191:13

attend 139:13

attended 25:8

attention 24:6 102:24 104:11 108:2 120:13 121:6 146:18 193:25 238:17 246:11 251:2 285:15

attorney 57:20 61:17,20 105:24 106:10,15 107:11 116:25 118:2 126:13,22 281:25

attorney's 53:2

attracted 99:18

attracting

220:13

audio 55:11, 20

audit 35:24 36:13,17 149:13 206:4,8,9 207:9,15

audited 35:22

auditing 36:20 149:9 207:10

audits 36:8, 10,15 140:25 141:11 149:11 158:8

author 246:20

authorized 25:13 40:17

availability 29:22

avenue 176:24

average 102:9 283:11

aware 24:24 117:8,18 118:2,8, 15,18 119:11 128:13 163:4 189:8,15, 17 197:4 199:18 201:13,20 203:11 218:22 219:22 220:1 226:18 240:22 242:15 259:11,22 260:2,4,8, 11 261:10

B

back 8:12 17:11,25 20:13 34:20,22 49:6 59:2, 15 66:11 68:15 69:6 72:6,8,13 75:6,20 76:18 79:9 102:24 112:18 123:20,22, 24 124:1,4 125:18 129:20,22, 25 130:18, 20 133:15 157:15 167:25 174:4 175:1 179:15,18 180:20 187:6 188:4 198:4 214:2 215:6 217:6 219:4 222:11 225:8 228:11 231:2 234:10 236:13 239:11,13 242:22,25 245:4 247:13 252:4 255:18 264:22 265:6 267:22 290:4

background 34:8 84:18 94:9 145:13,17 177:25

bad 22:25 161:13 204:15

Bailey's 118:2

Baines 63:15

balancing 232:6

ballot 19:13 22:1 27:13,15 29:25 30:2 37:10 38:2 41:13 55:11 68:6 70:22 71:20 74:4 77:2 90:4, 6 91:25 94:20 122:20,22 123:18 124:4 151:9,10 179:11 189:11 194:13 200:18,22 202:4 207:11 218:11 235:11 236:20 238:17,18 239:4,8, 10,11,13, 15,18,22, 24 240:1,

4,6,15,18
246:2
252:2,6,8,
11,20
253:6,8,
11,15
254:17,24
255:18
256:11,18
257:2,10
258:6,8,9,
13,15,17,
20 262:8,
17 263:25
264:15,20,
22,24
265:4,8
268:8
276:11,13
278:15
279:4,6
280:20
281:6,18,
22 282:4
283:15
287:17,22
288:2,6

ballot-marking
280:24

ballots
21:22
27:4,13,
17,18 28:2
30:4 33:11
37:2,13
38:13 43:4
55:11 67:2
149:15
167:6
174:11
188:22
189:1
194:15,18
199:11,18,
20 206:17,
22 207:11
214:15,20,
24 216:2
218:6
235:15
238:20
241:18
252:15
253:17
254:4,22
256:11
257:22
264:25
265:20
267:6
277:1,4
278:22
279:4
281:13
282:2

ballots'
214:18

bar 103:18
290:22

Barnes 147:9
157:18
164:4,6
209:25
210:10,15,
20 228:15,
22 230:17
243:4,24
245:15,18
251:11
252:4
254:13,15
276:20
279:22
282:10
286:18
287:11,13

Barnhard
176:25
177:1

Barron
16:18,20
147:10
157:18
164:4
289:6

Barrow
118:13
201:10

Barrow's
118:1

bars 105:4,
15

base
263:11,13,
18,20,22
264:10,11

based 14:2
17:11 34:9
37:4,15
38:1 43:15
69:18
87:15
94:1,4
109:22
119:15
128:1
155:10
165:18
166:22
169:8,11
173:15
176:4
177:20
179:20
186:17,18
198:11
206:6
214:2
219:18
244:11
269:24
276:8
282:13

baseline
124:13

basically
52:20
154:13
261:8
283:10

basis 61:20
147:2
163:15
171:2
172:6
174:13,17

199:17
205:20

bear 29:6
171:20
284:4

beer 220:13

began 35:9
37:20
248:24,25

begin 35:15
55:10
160:8

beginning
26:15

begins
215:20

behalf 12:22
14:24
16:17
25:18

behavior
194:20,22
199:1

belief
188:20
198:13

believes
109:24

Ben 235:6
269:6

bench 11:25
16:6

bending
136:18

Benjamin
65:20

Berkeley
132:18

Bernhard
134:17
137:10,18,
24 138:4,
15 150:2,
15,18,22
153:20
154:17
155:2,4
156:8,11
160:10
165:15
171:22
172:18
176:25
182:18
187:13,15
188:15,18
192:22
193:13
194:13
196:22
204:2,4
206:11

Bibb 229:2

big 49:17

bind 70:15

bit 21:11
25:6 84:18
93:22
115:17
121:2
139:8
146:2
147:25
150:8
157:15
167:25
173:6
174:4
175:2
183:6,20
189:24
204:22
207:10
231:2
245:4

black 25:2
130:20

Blackwell
12:18

blinks 283:4

blocks 64:15

board 9:13
28:18,24,
25 36:17
38:18 39:8
77:18
89:18
117:10
150:22
229:20
240:9

boards 36:20

boat 133:11

Boland
268:17

books 64:11
133:13

Boone 63:4

boot 142:4,
8,9 168:10
182:25
236:25

booting
183:20

borderline
93:8

bother
106:20

bottom 66:22
67:22
160:18
257:2,6,8,
11

Boulevard
63:4

box 13:11
25:2 50:6

boxes 291:15

brand 250:1,
2,4

brand-new
206:15

breach 26:11
188:8

break 72:10,
11 83:11
128:1

129:18
187:1
242:18

breakdown
73:13
226:10

breaks 87:10

bridge 8:13

briefed
183:24

briefly
14:25
51:22
77:20
113:10
127:6
128:8
131:24
138:20
151:13

briefs 20:25
111:15
181:11

Brill 83:13
84:1,6,11
86:8 87:4
89:11,15,
18,24
91:4,24
93:2,6,11
96:11,22
97:2,6,24
98:17
100:2,8
104:6
107:2
108:6,11,
22 110:8
111:1
113:13,15
114:6
120:4
121:10
127:9,11
128:11

Brill's
90:24
91:18 97:6
103:25

brimstone
270:4

bring 10:2
24:20
29:20
111:13
136:13
197:18
217:10
290:8,9

bringing
41:6

broad 231:4

broader
227:1

brought 15:8
17:11,13,
25 193:25
202:24

Broward
256:20,22,
25 257:10,
13,15
276:9,24,
25 277:2

Brown 9:13,
15,18,20,
22,24
10:1,4,6,
9,11,18,22
11:15,18
12:4,6,13
13:6,8
15:15
16:13,15,
25 17:2,
15,18
18:4,11,
13,18,22
19:2,6
20:8,11,
15,18,20
31:2,6,11,
22 32:24
33:1,4,20,
22 34:4,6
36:2,6
38:8 39:13
42:18,20
43:8,13,22
44:1,9
45:11,20
46:1,2,6,
9,10 48:2,
4,6,11,15,
18 49:2,6
50:9,11
51:8 54:4
57:2,6,9,
20,22
58:1,8,11,
13,18
59:2,6,8,
22 60:1,4,
10,15
61:4,22
63:25
64:6,10,
18,20,24,
25 65:24
66:8
69:15,22,
24 70:8,18
71:11,13
72:1,11,22
74:13,18,
20 79:6,
15,20 80:6
81:20
82:2,11,22
83:8,10,
11,13,18,
22 84:9
89:11 90:2
92:8,24
93:4,8
94:1,11,13
96:6,11,20
97:4,20,22
98:2,9,11,
15 100:2,
4,6 102:22
103:15,18
104:6
106:11,22,
25 108:2,
4,6,13,15,
20 109:6,

9,18,20
110:4,6,
13,17,20,
24,25
111:4,6,22
112:6,10,
15,20,22,
25 113:8
116:20
120:6
121:13,15,
22 122:2,4
124:2,25
125:20,22,
24 127:24
128:20,22
129:1,15,
22 130:10,
24 131:2,
24 132:1,
6,11,15
134:2,9,
15,18,22
135:18
137:2,6,9,
11 138:1,
15 139:13
140:15
145:4,9,
11,22
146:4,6,
11,15,18,
20 150:1,
11,25
151:25
153:13,15
154:10
155:10
156:4,10
158:20,24
159:1
162:22,25
163:2,18
164:13,15,
18,22
165:11,15
168:4
169:2,13,
18,20,24
170:1,9,
15,17
171:13,20
172:25
173:1,17
174:18
175:1,9,
11,13
176:17
177:2
181:9,18,
22,24
182:1,4,9,
13,17,18
184:18,20
185:1,11,
13,15
186:1,4,
15,20
187:1,4,15
188:11
190:18,22
191:8,10,
20 192:1,
10 195:18,
20 197:6
198:11
203:22,24
204:4
205:4,6,15
207:2,6,13
208:6,10,
13,15,17,
20,22,25
209:1,4,8,
13,15,20,
24 210:2,
18,22,24
220:24
221:1,8
225:18,20,
25 226:1,
17 227:2,8
228:13,20
230:18,20
231:8,10
232:17,22
234:2
236:2,4,6,
8,15,17
237:13,22
238:1,4,9,
11,15
242:17,20,
22 243:6,
18,22
244:4,9,
15,18,20,
24 245:4,
8,11,15
247:13
248:8,18
251:6,9,11
254:15
255:4
258:4
259:13,20
261:4,10
262:10
264:4
266:20
267:4
273:6,8,11
274:11
275:9
277:6,11
279:15,20,
25 282:9
284:4,13
286:2,4,
13,20,22
287:13
288:11,15,
17,20,22
289:1,4,6,
13

Bryan 14:24
29:15
38:18
77:18
89:18
150:22

build 85:18

building
236:11
240:2,22,
24

builds
221:22

built 160:1
203:17

221:22
240:25
241:8,9

bunch 93:24
232:20

burden 14:2
29:4,18
30:11,20

burned 215:2

Burwell 11:8
12:18,20,
22 13:13,
15 15:1
16:17,20,
24 28:18
54:13
56:20
58:24
59:10
70:13
78:15
82:15
91:15,17
119:25
135:1,6,13
203:20,22
279:17
288:13,15

business
34:13,15
46:2
85:11,13,
20

button
277:13
281:15
283:8
287:15,22
288:2,6

bypassed
258:4

C

C-L-E-R-C
61:10

calculate
102:9

calculated
100:10
233:2,8
234:13
265:24

calculating
102:2

calculation
100:9
101:8,9
102:1

calendar
8:10

calibrate
217:11

calibrated
223:24

calibration
217:24
224:10
277:15,17,
18,24
278:2,4
282:17,20,
25 283:4

California
103:18,22
104:1,8,9
109:4
160:18
187:22

call 24:6
27:18 31:2
60:10
79:15
83:4,13
86:18
99:20
130:15
132:18
134:10,15
136:22
137:9
148:22
184:1
209:24
241:4

called 15:18
18:6 31:17
35:20
36:15
55:11
60:24
67:11
68:15
73:18
77:25 80:1
84:2,13
121:24
137:20
166:4
182:11
210:11
212:20

calls 59:1
121:25
133:22
197:6
221:6
285:24

campaign
27:25 28:4
88:22
91:8,10
114:11,15
115:6
116:1
189:4

campaigns
85:2,20
86:4 122:6

cancelled
49:15

cancelling
50:20

candidacy
28:8 30:9

candidate
30:6 86:10
87:15 89:1
91:10
114:18
115:4
116:22
117:22

118:6,9,13
123:11
125:2,13
138:6,11
177:11,13
201:17
204:9,10,
11 219:24,
25 220:17
235:2
240:9,11,
13,18,20
272:6
278:25

candidate's
278:9

candidates
26:15,18,
20 28:4
36:18
39:11
86:18
113:2
117:9,11
122:24
123:6,18
199:10,13
200:4
201:18,24
202:9
204:11
214:1,13
218:11
219:20,22
221:22
231:20
239:2
240:11
241:2,10,
11,13,25
242:2
252:9
259:4
272:13,17
279:2,6

cane 68:6

canvas
36:15,20
104:22

capable
42:17

capacity
191:4
261:8,11

capital
61:10

capitol
33:13
145:2

caps 240:10

card 189:11
216:18,20,
22 217:2,
4,8 218:2
221:24,25
222:1,6
223:22
233:6
234:13,15
269:11

card's
217:20

cards 212:24
213:1,2
215:25
216:11,15,
22 222:2
266:11,13
267:1,25

care 132:2
167:18
208:11

career
34:13,15

careful
237:22
238:1

carefully
164:6

carelessness
166:13

Carolina
34:11
36:11
41:11
260:11

carry 29:10
30:20

cas 25:1

case 9:11,
22 10:15
12:2,6,11
13:18,24
14:4 15:2,
6,18,24
17:6,11
18:24,25
19:8,10,
11,20,22
22:13
23:22 24:2
25:1,22
26:11
27:17 29:6
30:17 33:9
34:4 41:24
91:18,20,
22 92:4
93:18
110:10
124:15
126:15
131:8,13
132:4
133:15
136:1,11,
18 138:9
143:13,15,
24 145:6,
24 146:6,
20 151:11,
13,22
152:1
154:17
155:2,6
156:13
161:22
164:15
169:22
176:9,20
182:15
185:6
197:9
198:15
200:18

208:11
225:13
237:18
253:18
255:15
265:20
266:4
272:22
288:24

cases 15:1
26:2
133:24
157:18

cast 23:13
70:22
73:11 74:4
75:4,15,20
76:2,8,24
86:17
94:20
100:11
101:11,13,
15 104:15,
17 109:11,
24 116:4
119:8
124:13,18
125:2
126:8
127:2
161:18
179:17
203:13
218:8
268:17
273:1
287:17,22
288:2,6

casual
230:13

categories
85:22
128:2

caught
172:11

caused 22:17
29:22 33:6
121:4
230:10
231:13

caution 24:1
237:13

cc'd 246:15

CD 215:2,4,
6,8,13

ceased
211:15

census 87:18

center
211:2,4
212:2,4,6
244:2
245:20
246:2
249:11
251:22

central
167:11

centralized
277:2

CEO 34:17

certification
229:8
280:4

certified
29:20 46:1
229:4
237:6,8
250:6
271:4,18
272:13,15,
17 273:17
282:13

CES 211:9

chain 213:6

chair 22:13

chairs 68:22

challenge
58:6

challenges
135:25
252:9

challenging
26:2

chance 13:2
22:4,6
33:2
106:20,22
111:24
131:13
136:25
175:17,22,
24

change 25:4
114:15,22
179:15
188:9
241:4
248:20
249:6
251:6

changed
249:20
250:22
251:4

character
93:18

characterize
190:24

charge 24:9,
13,20
128:24

charged
28:18

charitable
32:8

Charles
118:2

Charlotte
34:10

chart
103:11,13
104:24

check 68:6
100:22
102:20
271:10

checked

269:4
271:13

checking
265:18

Chicago
81:15

childcare
289:9

chip 284:1, 2,6 286:4, 10

chips 235:4

choice
179:11
290:13

choose
114:24
252:20

chose 27:25

chosen 19:18
25:25
136:8

Chris 83:13
86:8

Christopher
84:1,6,11
89:24 91:4
93:2
113:13
114:6
120:4
127:9
128:11

Church 63:2
72:24
195:8

circle
130:18

circumstance
230:4

circumstances
15:4 56:15
133:2
241:2
289:24

cite 143:24

cited 13:17
15:2
143:20
175:20

citing 179:4

citizen
35:11 62:9

citizens
28:20

civics 81:13

civil 15:22
18:20

claim 22:22
24:9,13
29:22 42:2
116:20

claimed
116:24

claims 29:6
30:15,24
151:20
206:22

clarification
16:25 17:4
237:15

clarify
17:22
22:20
66:18
252:6

Clarke
189:25
192:11
197:1,4,8, 13

class 144:18

Clay 243:11

clean 77:6

clear 12:6
15:18 19:4
23:15
25:22 26:6
29:6 30:9
37:11
92:11
106:11
169:10
176:20
195:15
209:18

clears
218:18

clerk 12:1

client 9:20
17:4
134:18

clients
9:15,18
85:18,22, 24 88:15
93:15 96:1
289:2

clock 130:18

close 29:11
70:20
275:13
280:4

closed 69:1
70:4 212:4
270:10,11, 15

closely
146:18

closest
213:24

closing
28:4,6
68:24
114:11
115:6
116:1

Cloudplayer
142:2

co-defendants
15:13

Coalition
10:13
32:2,4,6

33:6 36:24
43:20,22
44:15 52:4
99:2

Coalition's
33:22

COBB 8:1

code 15:6
42:15
157:1,4
178:9
204:15
282:22

code-level
237:11

coded 207:22

codes 179:6

coding
207:24
220:15
222:11

colleagues'
230:22

collect
141:18

collected
214:4
234:6
235:11,15
266:15
267:2
271:9
272:6,18

collecting
223:4

collection
223:10

collects
141:9
247:11

college
84:20
138:20

collegial
180:20

Colorado
35:6
36:11,15
149:11
187:22

column 99:13
101:4
239:13
257:2,8,9,
20 265:9

columns
99:13
101:25
239:9,11
265:6

combinational
214:20

comment
131:11

commission
113:18
117:10,15,
17 123:1

commissioner
94:20
117:11
123:2
281:25
282:1

committees
86:1,2

common
121:17
260:13

commonsense
24:15

communicating
270:20

communication
251:22

communications
244:2

community
162:6,13
163:6

company
84:13
115:9
142:2

company's
45:13

compare
95:20
104:13
126:11,22

compared
126:13
233:6

comparing
67:4
100:10,11

comparison
99:24
151:18
174:6

compel
18:15,17
180:24
209:13,20

compelled
25:13

compensation
52:6

compilation
40:15

complainant
224:2

complaint
14:11
15:22 74:8
223:6
264:18

complaints
74:11 77:9
223:4,8,
15,20,22
224:17,18,
20,22,24
277:8,9,10

complete
218:4

completed
214:15

completely
177:22
181:22

completeness
214:9,24

complexity
136:1

compliance
136:22

complicated
253:8

comply 129:6

component
75:11

components
144:13
227:20
231:15

comport 16:6

compound
153:13

compounds
165:8

compromise
145:8
245:15

compromised
145:2
166:6
246:8

computer
39:15
135:8
138:6,25
139:4,18
161:22
162:6,11,13 163:4
215:15
216:8
232:1,6
233:4
234:15
267:18
270:22
283:8

computers
142:6
270:20

concern
10:20 89:4
231:22
257:24
258:1
262:2
276:8
281:6,8,9

concerned
19:4 35:9
73:9
256:18
269:8

concerns
89:2
184:13
262:4
269:2

conclude
25:13

concluded
60:6 79:11
82:18
291:22

conclusion
15:8 25:11
38:6 59:2
161:1
166:20
197:6

conclusions
109:10
143:22

conduct
36:17 38:2
43:2
114:11,15
148:9
183:17
186:22
196:10
235:2

conducted
140:20
177:2
191:22
200:6

conducting
37:17

conference
135:4
184:1
291:4

conferencing
135:2

confidentiality 11:15

configuration
199:13,15,18 239:17,18 240:2
242:4
264:22

configured
27:13
199:13

configuring
215:20

confirm 60:1

confusing
258:20,24

confusion
27:11,15,20 195:20
241:15
262:2
276:11
280:25

Congressman
118:18

connect
145:10
282:22

connected
166:1
263:18
270:11
284:2

connection
34:11
142:13
156:13
270:11

connotation
148:8

consensus
160:25
162:13
163:1

considerable
35:13

considered
184:6
200:10
201:6
230:9

consistent
21:15
53:15
106:8
122:18

Constitution
131:20

constitutional
15:2 30:15
151:18
206:22
256:2

constructed
212:10,11
214:4,6
246:4

constructing
248:25

constructs
213:22
267:18

consultant
114:15
119:17
141:15

consulting
85:2

consumer
85:17

contact
87:20
215:6

contained
232:4
234:15
284:18

contemporaneous 63:6 66:2

content
222:4

contents
23:11
98:10,17

contest
14:20 15:2
19:10
21:6,15
30:18
57:24,25
59:17

contested
80:24 83:2
264:11

contests
124:11

context
81:11
115:15,20
122:6
135:20

continuance
15:4
112:17,20

CONTINUATION
72:18
187:11
243:2

continue
139:20

continued
68:13
233:15
250:13

contract
61:20
246:4

contrary
163:4
190:15

contribute
166:17

contributions
53:6

control 15:2

controls
285:11

convenient
129:17

conversation
11:13
69:15,17,
18

conversations
181:6

convicted
22:13

copied 64:15

copies 137:6
214:22
274:11

copy 44:6
45:11
57:4,6
64:18
98:11
103:15
137:6
183:20
245:13
250:6
252:22
267:18,22,
25

core 32:11,
13 85:13

corporation
28:10

correct 18:9

19:25
34:20
39:20,22
40:1,18,
20,22
41:4,13
45:11 47:4
50:20,25
53:1,8,15,
20 61:11
65:2,13,20
66:4 67:13
75:4,22
76:2,25
77:4 78:4
82:11 88:2
89:4,9
90:13,17,
18 91:9
95:10,13
98:20,24,
25 99:15,
18 100:18
101:20
103:2,4,6,
20 105:17,
20 106:17
110:15
113:22
114:17,20,
22 115:1,
11,15,22
116:1,2,6,
8,11,18
118:11,22
119:20
125:8
126:18
128:15,18
149:17
151:6,9,10
152:20,22
153:25
154:2,4
159:8,9
161:15
174:10
178:15
180:13
183:17,18
189:8,11,
13,15
190:1
192:25
193:1,15,
17 194:6,
11,15,17
195:11
196:2,4,6,
13,17,18
197:2,15,
24 198:4
199:8,20
200:8,11,
13 201:25
202:4,11,
18 204:20
206:6
207:2,4
212:17
213:13,18
216:10
226:4
227:11
233:1,11,
20 237:13
242:6
243:25
250:24
254:8
255:22,24
256:4,8,
15,17,18,
20,22
259:25
260:1
262:9,20,
22,25
263:1,2,4
265:15,18,
24,25
266:2
268:18,24,
25 269:9,
10,13,18
270:25
271:1,13,
15 272:13,
17 274:2,6
275:24,25
276:2,6,13
277:4
278:10,11
279:11
280:17
281:13
282:6
283:9,17,
18,22
285:13
286:24,25
287:2,4,6,
18 288:6,8

corrected
247:20

correctly
15:1 47:18
127:17
194:4
199:4
207:22
233:18
264:13
267:11
272:10

correctness
167:18
214:25

correlation
173:22

cost 53:2,4

coughed
102:18

counsel
15:1,20
64:1,11
98:11
151:25
194:2

count 26:20,
22 27:2
67:6
201:22

counted
203:15
272:6

counties
14:13

57:13 95:4
125:9
205:22,25
212:11,13
213:11,15,
18 217:9
229:2
231:22,25
246:1,6
249:24
251:20
252:1,15,
18,20
253:20,22
257:10,15,
17,18
262:24
264:15
271:6,13,
22 272:20
277:20
278:22
282:13

counting
207:11
268:18

country
141:10
163:10

counts 26:13

county 8:1
9:13 11:20
12:2,15,22
14:10,13,
25 15:20
16:17,24
28:18,20,
22 29:1,6,
15 38:18
70:10,11,
13,15
80:17,20
82:15
89:18
90:20
147:8
150:22
155:9
180:9
189:25
192:11
197:1,4,8,
13 211:8
212:9,13
213:9
214:2,4,22
215:1,2,
11,15,20
216:6
223:11
229:2
232:8
235:8
252:11,13,
20 253:9,
24 254:4
256:20,24,
25 257:10,
13,15,20
262:20
263:13
266:10
267:17,20,
22 269:4,6
271:10,20,
25 276:9,
25 278:4
289:8
290:22

county's
11:22
13:15
213:9
215:15
216:25

county-by-county
199:17

county-level
104:22

couple 22:20
38:20 67:6
89:20 91:2
164:6
291:15

court 8:6,8,
9,12,18,
20,22,24
9:2,4,8,
17,18,20,
22,25
10:2,4,8,
10,17,18
11:1,4,6,
9,11,17,
20,24
12:1,6,11,
13,18,20,
25 13:2,6,
11,13,17,
22 14:2,
13,22
15:11,15
16:4,11,
13,15,20,
22 17:1,
10,15,20
18:4,9,11,
17,20,22
19:4,17
20:6,9,11,
15,17,18
24:20
25:13,15
28:17
29:2,13,17
30:9 31:2,
4 32:22,25
33:2,18,
20,25
36:4,22
37:1
38:17,22
39:4
41:17,20
42:10,18,
25 44:8,
20,24
45:2,4,8,
18,22
46:1,4,6
48:2,4,13,
17,25
50:10
51:13,20
54:2,8
57:6,13,
20,22
58:4,9,13,

17 59:4,
18,25
60:4,11
61:9,18
63:2 64:22
66:6
69:13,20,
22 70:10,
17 71:8,
10,13
72:10,17
74:17,18,
22 79:9
81:2,8,11
82:10,13,
15 83:6,9,
11,13,15
89:17
90:22,25
91:15
92:2,4,17
93:18
94:4,11,17
97:13,20
98:13
101:24
102:18
103:17
104:4
105:2
106:6,18,
24 107:25
108:2,13,
18 109:4,
15,18
110:1,4,
11,15,18,
20 111:4,
6,8,17,20,
22 112:1,
4,6,13,18,
22,24
116:25
119:2,24
120:2
121:11,18,
20 122:1
124:22
125:6,9,
11,15,20,
22 128:20,
22 129:2,
6,8,10,13,
15,20
130:6,11,
17 131:1,
11,15,25
132:2,9,
13,22
133:10,15
134:6,11,
15,18,20,
24 135:1,
2,6,8,11,
13 136:4,
13,15
137:4,8,10
138:11,13
139:6,11
140:13,15
143:18,20
145:11,22
146:6,10,
13,15
150:6,13
151:13
153:15,18
154:6,9,
11,24
155:4,25
158:20
162:22
163:15
164:11,13,
18,20
165:9
168:2
169:6,17,
18,22
170:11
171:8,11,
15 172:22
173:6,10,
11 174:20
175:4,10,
11 176:10,
11,15
180:24,25
181:2,11,
20,22,25
182:2,6,
10,13
183:18,24
184:2,6,
18,22
185:2,13,
15,20
186:2,11,
18 187:4,
10 188:11,
25 190:11,
18 191:18,
22 192:2,
6,11,18
193:6,8,10
195:22
197:10
198:20
202:25
203:2,6,
20,22
205:4,13
206:15,20,
25 207:2,4
208:4,8,
11,13,15,
18,22,24
209:2,4,6,
11,13,18,
22 210:1
213:4
220:22,25
221:2,10,
13,15,20
225:15,18,
22 226:15,
22,24
227:4
228:6,18
231:4
232:18
234:1
236:1,2,4,
6,9
237:20,24
238:2,8,
10,13
242:18,20
243:9,17,
20 244:8,
11,17,18
245:1,6,

10,13
246:25
247:4,8,
18,22
248:2,10,
17 251:4,8
254:10
255:6,10
259:18
261:13,17
263:11,15
264:4,8
266:4,6,
20,24
270:6
273:6,10,
13 274:10,
11 276:15
279:15,18
282:8
284:11,13
286:1,15
288:13,15,
18,20,22
289:2,4,
11,13,18,
20,25
290:4,13,
17,22
291:1,4,9,
17,18,20

Court's
132:20
181:4

courtroom
17:9 18:2,
6 20:20
60:2 98:15
131:18
210:2
290:2,6

courts 24:17

covered
46:22

covering
13:6

crack
291:11,13

craft 88:18

Crawford
25:22

create 222:2
267:25

created
213:2
216:18
262:6
266:11
267:2

creates
189:11
221:24,25

creating
213:11
216:15

credential
78:1

credentials
46:22

credibility
58:6
154:15
156:2
173:10

criminal
22:11
148:9,13,
15

critical
251:11,13,
15

Crittenden's
131:4

cross 259:18

cross-examination
38:24
51:24
54:11
74:24
77:13
78:11 82:4
89:22 91:4
121:2
127:8
128:10
131:20
150:18
188:15
196:22
198:11
254:11
276:18

cross-examine
131:13
132:13
133:1,6,8,
15 169:1

crowded 10:4

cup 36:2

Curling
131:8
143:15,24
151:11
164:4

current
141:18
143:2
246:22
251:17

cursory
259:2

custody
213:6

CV 138:17

cyber 140:18

cycle 98:13
102:4

cycles 255:1

D

DA 93:20

damaging
183:2

dampen 28:6
115:13

danger 165:2

dark 130:18

data 40:10
85:17
88:18
95:11,13
101:18
103:8
109:11
141:9,18
167:6
173:15,18
182:25
183:2
200:2
212:18
215:13
222:6
233:4,6
235:24
237:11
240:2
246:2
251:20
252:13
285:2

database
207:22
211:6
212:10,13,
15,18,22,
25 213:4,
11,15,20,
22 214:4,
6,11,17
215:2,20,
22 216:6,
8,9,13
221:20
225:10,13
240:22,24
241:8,9
250:18,22
251:6,13
252:22,24
263:18
267:18,22
268:1
271:24

databases
85:13,15
95:8 96:4
187:17,20
228:4
249:22
266:10

date 135:15
217:13,15,
17,18,25
218:6
249:2

dates 243:20

David 120:15

Davis 9:1,2,
4,6

dawn
291:11,13

day 58:4
62:18,20
63:24 72:9
74:10,13
75:2 105:6
107:2,11,
22 114:20,
25 165:10
213:2
215:24
224:22,24
232:2
233:6
241:20
267:11
268:4,11,
20 287:2

days 18:24
28:4 62:20
114:11,17
115:6
116:1
135:15
136:13
180:13
267:15

de 46:24
47:4 50:2

deal 211:6
225:18

dealing 27:4
109:4,6
184:8
228:1

dealt
247:15,17,
24 248:15

dear 133:22

debated
145:2

debunked
37:22

decades
186:15

December
62:20
133:15,18
135:25
208:11
211:15

decide 10:22

decided
21:13,24
183:15

decides
122:25

decision
110:2
145:1
169:22
171:15
239:24

decision-making 24:17

decisions
30:6 185:8

deck 268:4,
15

declaration
136:11

decreased
165:18
166:20

decrypt
215:13

defeat 29:25

defect
22:17,18
161:10
165:17
173:2
177:17
180:2
184:9

defected
149:4

defective
19:9,11
20:22
22:18
23:6,13,18
24:4 25:13
145:15
158:13
159:4,15,
24 160:8,
9,11 161:9
163:6,9,10
170:18
174:22
178:20
179:2

defectiveness
19:11
159:22
162:8,15
171:22

defects
148:22
173:15,18
231:11

defendant
22:13
110:10
112:15
131:2
150:13
154:6

defendant's
25:1 52:15
65:25

defendants
22:11,22
23:20
24:18
29:17 70:9
157:18
237:17
289:13

defending
93:20

defense
52:11 54:6
132:22
134:11,24

defer 129:18
200:25

deficiencies
87:22

define
149:15

degree 47:22
139:18,20
140:2

degrees
39:15

Dekalb 14:13
271:13,20
290:22

delay 15:6

delivered
111:13

demand 12:4,
8,9 13:15,
20 14:6
15:4,18
16:4
135:20

demands
112:17

Democrat
115:6
116:6
177:13
178:6
219:15
220:10,11

Democratic
26:15,18,
20,22 28:6
75:6,20
76:18 78:2
115:11
117:9,11
118:13
125:2,15
177:11
201:6,22
202:9
204:9,10
240:13
259:4

Democrats
26:24
62:13
116:11,18
117:18,25
202:4,17

demographicall y 88:20

demographics
87:6,11,15

demonstrate
30:15,20

demonstrated
19:17
41:22

demonstrating
30:11,13

denied 15:4
18:17,20
19:1 49:22
57:20
209:13,17,
20

deny 29:13
226:11

depending
159:13

depends
81:13
122:6
130:10

deposition
136:6,8

deputy 60:13

describe
85:11,22
86:4 87:8
94:17
101:24
213:4

describing
252:8

design 29:25
30:2 90:4,
6 91:25
194:13,18
200:22
238:18
241:17
252:6,8
253:15
254:17,22,
24 256:18
258:13,15
260:18
276:10
280:20,22

designed
29:9 160:1
194:15
249:22

designing
240:6

designs
252:11

detail
147:25
176:20
183:20
204:22

detailed
15:22 82:4

detailing
214:18

details
97:11
115:22

detect 148:2
150:4,11
161:13
287:4,6

detected
161:10
233:11,20

determination
14:20

determine
85:18
88:15 92:4
102:13
103:20
125:1,4,25
148:15
149:2
154:25
180:2
227:20
231:11,17
235:4
279:11

determines
245:2

determining
13:18
42:10,17
148:20
164:17

develop
86:22
142:18
170:4

developed
96:13
147:24
148:1,2
159:2
163:8
249:24

development
149:22

device 55:6,
9 56:9,11
217:1,2,
10,13
222:1
234:13
235:20
268:2,4,13
270:11,13,
15 280:24
284:18

devices
54:24 56:6
215:22
233:2
234:17
235:20
237:6
246:2
268:11,13

devoted
35:15

diagnostic
218:4

diagnostics
217:22

Diebold 35:6
144:6
147:4
149:6
152:4,11,
20,22
156:18
158:11
159:6,18
160:17
205:9,11

diff 185:17

differed
264:24

difference
28:2
159:17
258:6
270:9

differences
162:13

differential
175:6

differentiation 27:2

differently
35:8 223:2
226:24

difficulties

73:22
238:6

dip 30:8

direct 31:20
50:10 61:2
72:18 80:4
84:6
101:17
102:24
104:11
120:13
124:20
137:22
175:2
210:15
238:17
243:2,10
246:11
251:2
270:18
285:15

direction
63:11
146:13

directly
63:11 96:9
133:8
233:4
270:11

director
10:13 32:2
211:2

disabled
54:15,22
55:4,13,22
56:4 59:13

disagree
143:20
226:25
227:1

disclosing
96:15
98:10,17

discover
268:20
272:20,22

discovered
19:20
269:17

discovery
18:20
24:25
112:15
180:25
184:6
185:10
188:6
265:20
284:11

discrepancies
67:8

discrepancy
76:6,18

discretion
245:13

discrimination
28:11
115:10,11

discuss
17:20
92:13

discussed
230:20

discussing
264:24

discussion
162:4
230:15
258:11

discussions
258:6
262:1

dismissed
30:15

disparity
106:13

display
219:22
235:13
241:22
253:24
265:6

displayed
50:6 237:4
240:4
253:11,13
254:4

displaying
240:9
255:18

disposal
253:22

disqualified
18:6

disregard
163:15

dissertation
140:6,9

distance
40:18 42:8
47:17

distinction
19:20

distinguish
213:8

distinguished
227:10

distribute
167:6,13

distributed
200:24
205:20

distributing
251:20

distribution
218:20
246:1

district
111:25
143:15
177:4
214:18
220:4

districts
238:22

dive 158:10

divided
238:25

dividing
101:15

division
223:9
225:2
229:25

divorce 8:10

document
44:2,25
52:13 64:2
98:4 112:2
169:8
246:24
275:8

documentary
19:13

documents
169:13
271:17,18

donate 81:6

door 58:1
123:24
151:20

dots 145:10

double
100:22
102:20

double-check
117:13

doubt 19:9
22:20
23:13
25:15 26:4
29:22
30:22
109:11,24

doubts 33:10

down- 258:6

down-ballot
28:13 89:1
119:18
198:9
258:9

down-ticket
88:15

dramatic
25:25

drastically
194:1

drawing
150:6

DRE 25:9
37:22
38:11
39:20
40:2,20,22
41:1,2,6,
8,10 42:6,
22 47:24
54:15
56:18
59:11
68:24
73:13
90:11,15
128:1
144:2
150:2,10
151:15
154:1
158:11,15
159:2,6,
18,22
160:11
161:2,15
165:22
174:11
177:11
180:6
182:6,20
189:11,18,
20,22
196:11,15
203:13
205:9
208:2
212:24
213:1
216:4,13,
18,20
218:9
228:22
235:13
239:22
240:2,4
241:1,22
252:25
253:1,20,
22 255:11
259:2
277:1
278:24
279:10
280:24
283:20,22,
25

DRE's 30:4

DRES 47:15
56:6 92:1
144:4,6,18
145:13
147:15
148:2
149:2,6
150:4,11
151:1,4
152:2,4
154:13
155:2
158:6
160:22
161:18
162:9,15,
18 163:6
166:22
204:18
205:2
225:15
239:22
251:17

drives 216:4

drop-off
88:13,15

dropout
101:4

dropped
160:6

Drupal
166:4,6

duck 185:24

due 135:18

dueling
17:15

Dufort 9:11, 15,20
79:15,24
80:4,11
81:1,22

duly 31:18
60:25 80:2
84:4
137:20
210:13

Duncan 9:11
23:6 25:18
52:11,15
54:6,8
57:2,11
80:24
110:10
112:15
254:18
264:13
283:9

duties
261:13

Duval 9:11

Duvall 9:24, 25

dysfunctioning
282:20

E

earlier 9:1
90:2
101:17
113:17
114:25
136:11,13
190:10,20
195:1
206:4
212:6
269:15
277:6

early 21:20
46:18,22
47:6 62:18
105:9
114:20
128:2
157:2
191:2
290:6,18

earth 198:18

easier 48:4

easiest
252:17

east-west
27:18
265:17

easy 48:6

Ebay 144:11

educate
119:18

education
119:18
138:20
144:17
147:13

Edward
268:17

effect
204:15

efficient
87:1

effort 58:2
115:18

efforts
57:15

elderly 68:6
76:22

election
12:11
14:20
15:2,6
16:22
19:8,11, 18,22
20:22
22:18
23:6,13, 15,18 24:4
25:13,18, 24 26:2,4, 13,22
27:4,6
28:22
29:2,9,11, 18 30:1, 10,18,25
32:9,10
33:15,17
35:11,15, 17,24
36:8,11
37:1,6,9, 13 38:4
39:6,22
40:2 42:15
46:13,18, 22 57:24, 25 59:17
62:8,15, 18,20
80:13,24
81:4,25
82:22 83:2
85:15
86:11,15, 18,22,24
87:18
89:13
90:6,9,17
91:25
92:13
94:15
96:25
100:11,13, 20 102:4
105:6
107:2,11, 22 109:25
114:25
116:20
122:6
124:6,13
127:13
140:10,20, 24,25
141:1,6, 10,11,20
147:8,10, 11 151:18

153:2,6,
11,22
154:2,22
155:4
157:6,22
161:20
162:20
164:8
165:10,18
166:8
167:11
170:24
171:6
172:6,20
175:25
180:10
182:25
183:11
184:9
188:9
189:18
196:11
200:8,11
203:11,13
204:15
205:1
206:15,22
211:2,4
212:4,6,
11,18
213:2,22,
25 214:4,
6,11
215:20,24
216:20
218:13,20
222:22,24
223:10,11,
18,20,25
224:1,22,
24 225:2,
8,18,20
226:4,9,
10,20
227:4,10
228:24
229:17,18,
24 230:1,
2,4 232:2,
9 233:6
234:11
235:9,22,
24 236:18
239:10
240:8
241:17,20
244:2
245:20
246:2
248:6,15
249:8,11
253:9,17
254:25
258:4,20
261:22,24
262:13
263:13
267:11,15
268:4,6,
11,20
269:2
271:2,20,
22 272:1
273:20
274:1,4
277:8,22
280:9
281:11,13
284:15,17
285:2,4
287:2

election's
227:20
238:6

elections
9:13
28:18,24
29:9 35:4,
18,20
37:18
38:20 39:8
40:11
46:17 62:4
77:18
88:13
89:20
96:18
101:18
102:2
103:6,9
122:15
141:9
149:17
150:24
151:6
166:25
167:2
188:20
211:8
212:9,22
222:18,25
223:6,9
229:25
230:6
240:11
246:6
249:25
251:22

Elections.kennesaw.edu
251:25

elector
80:18

electoral
19:15 85:2

electorates
85:4

electric
22:13

electronic
21:6 22:1,
2 27:2,13,
17 28:2
32:13,18
33:4,11
35:2,10,20
37:10,17
38:13
39:15 73:4
105:13,18
106:13
164:2
174:8
199:13,15,
20 238:18
258:9
264:15,20,
24 276:11
277:25

282:4

electronically
104:15
276:11

electronics
282:22

element
259:10

eliminate
83:4 175:4
280:25

eliminates
22:13

else's
164:13

email 45:13
46:2
185:4,6
243:11
244:2
245:18
246:15,17

emailed
182:13

emails
243:15,25

embeds 19:18

employed
32:2 61:15
70:9,13
84:13
210:20

employee
289:8

employment
141:24

empty 291:6

encounter
235:17
241:6

encountered
223:1,11
224:9
269:24

encourages
122:15

encrypted
215:4

end 62:15
68:20
73:11
92:10
212:1
234:10
268:11

ended 35:11
253:20
257:4

engage 85:18
87:25

engaged
28:10
84:15 89:1
138:8

Engineering
168:10

English
266:13

ensconced
42:15

ensure 28:20
142:6
265:22

entail 95:6

enter 49:17
63:20
82:25

entered 44:2
52:13 64:2
98:4

entering
123:22
237:13

enthusiasm
28:6
115:13

entire
23:11,13
30:22
168:11
249:6

entirety
48:24

entitled
13:25
80:22 83:2
99:6 117:2
181:2

entitles
29:8

environment
222:17

EPROM 284:1,
2,6,15,18,
24 285:4
286:4,8,9

equal 253:22

equipment
179:2
206:2
212:8
222:15
229:2,4,6
253:20
268:1

erasable
286:4,6

error 172:4
178:4,11
179:6
207:24
218:25
220:15,18
230:10
242:6
278:8,13
286:24

errors 16:1
166:15
167:20
172:1
179:18
195:6,8,13
207:22
219:1,2
247:20

escape 24:18

essentially
86:13,18
102:2

establish
27:1 82:10
124:13
145:10
165:11

established
26:2 92:10
155:24
158:15
169:15
172:10
191:2

establishing
184:20
198:25

ethnicity
87:13

evaluated
164:9

evaluating
87:4 88:8
89:6
187:17

evaluation
89:13

evenly
205:20
220:15

Everest
160:18

everybody's
10:10
130:15
133:18
181:11

evidence
19:11,13,
17,22,24
20:2,20,
22,24
22:15
23:24
24:6,11,20
26:6
28:22,25
29:4,11,
20,24
30:11,15,
22 42:2
44:4,22
45:20 51:6
52:15
57:11 58:2
64:4,18
96:25
98:6,13
103:17
111:11,15
131:2,4,6,
9,15,18,22
132:1,2,11
156:1
161:18,20
162:2
165:6
169:18
172:20
175:2
176:22
183:8,9,
15,22
188:6
190:25
191:4
198:13
220:22
232:15
233:22
255:4

evidentiary
184:8

exact 100:25
102:20
119:13
126:18
158:24

examination
31:20
43:10
56:24
58:22 61:2
72:18 80:4
84:6 93:2
120:4
137:22
156:6
184:17
186:22
187:11
190:11
204:1
207:15
210:15
227:20
229:6
231:15
234:4
243:2
279:22
286:17
287:10

examine
134:22
180:15
229:4

examined
40:20
94:18,22
124:11
164:24
229:2
232:6
233:2,9

examining
96:18

examples
175:20

Excel 64:13
65:2,6,9,
11

exception
12:9 15:25
136:2

exceptionally
179:6

excuse 36:20
41:4 65:18
78:24
158:6

excused
60:2,4

128:22
288:20

excusing
60:2

executable
212:20

execute
249:24

executed
211:8
222:25

executive
10:13 32:2

exercise
146:15

Exhibit
44:1,4,10,
11 45:20
52:15
54:2,6
63:25 64:4
78:15 79:4
98:2,6
112:1,4,15
168:4
243:9,11,
24 245:9,
17 246:15
251:2
275:1,4

exhibits
264:2
266:18
273:4,10,
11,20
274:9

existed
28:13

exit 130:20

expect 21:13
200:22

expected
222:18
277:18

expedient
14:20

expedited
136:15

expenses
53:11

experience
35:1 39:18
50:2 84:18
85:11
87:4,9
88:1,2,4,
6,10 90:6
91:24 92:2
94:1,4
96:11,18
108:6
109:24
119:15
121:15
138:20
141:13
144:2,15
146:22
147:13,15
148:1
149:2,8
153:1
165:15
177:25
187:15
206:8

experienced
73:24
74:13
230:11

expert 17:2,
6 25:9,10
33:9,18
34:1 38:6
39:2
41:18,22
42:4,6,18,
22 43:1,2,
15 59:20
84:15 88:4
89:11
91:18
92:10,18,
20,22 94:8
96:22
97:15
108:11,13
123:24
138:9
143:11,13,
18 150:2,
10 151:11,
13 154:13,
17 155:11,
24 156:1,2
158:1,8
164:18,20
168:25
169:15
170:4
171:1,17
172:10,15,
18 173:2
174:17,24
177:15
179:1
191:4
195:11
198:4,18
280:18

expertise
41:22 42:6
43:6 47:22
92:11,18
93:25 94:6
96:13,18
97:6
121:24
146:22
147:2,15
148:2,20
165:17
179:22
194:13,17
206:4,8

experts
17:15,17
20:4
59:18,22
168:24
170:2
171:17
186:15
221:13

explain 23:1 30:8 51:6 64:1 68:2 93:11 99:13 105:2 119:2 131:4 151:13 164:6 176:9,11, 18 180:18 183:18 193:4 204:22 255:10 266:4,6 270:2,8 271:17

explaining 90:2 100:2

explanation 22:2,11,17 24:18 104:2 178:1 207:20 228:4 270:8

explanations 29:24

explicit 12:8

explore 121:1 147:25

exposed 165:2 228:15

exposure 165:6 227:15

Express 67:1 205:11 246:2

expression 211:11 213:17 234:24

extensive 184:4

extent 180:2

external 161:17 162:1 270:11

extra 274:11

extract 183:2

extracted 232:2 233:4 234:13

extreme 21:1

extremely 177:20

eye 133:8

eyesight 130:24

eyewitness 175:2

eyewitnesses 178:18

F

face 194:24

faced 183:13

facie 22:9 24:4

fact 13:9 17:11 27:4,10,11 28:8 29:25 30:15 32:15,18 33:13 35:4 42:10 63:20 116:9 117:15,24 118:8,11, 15 119:11 123:10 145:2,8 146:2 148:4 149:4 172:13 199:11 200:15 201:4,9, 13,22 202:15,25 248:13 258:11 259:11,24 274:1,4

fact-bound 15:22

factors 30:8 200:13,20

facts 12:10 15:20 91:20 145:20 155:6 176:22 220:6,22 232:13

factual 15:22 16:2 33:22 42:2 231:2

failed 14:4

fair 28:20 75:8,9 101:1 115:4 151:18 158:20 229:11,13

fairness 24:15 240:6

faith 198:13

familiar 37:15,18 54:15,18 55:13,15, 20 116:17

118:11
177:4
199:9,11,
15,18
200:2
201:2,4,9
225:9
227:15
234:24
287:20

familiarity
199:24

fashion
229:6

fast 106:6
236:8

fastidiousness
166:13

feasible
38:2

federal
58:24
143:18
212:9
246:6
253:13

feedback
222:18

feel 259:2
278:4

fees 53:2

feet 49:10

felt 224:13

FEMALE 13:4

fewer
117:11,25
123:6,13,
18 124:18
126:17
202:10
257:13
282:13

field 148:11
153:2
155:24
170:4
174:4

figure 68:25
87:24 95:2
201:11
221:18

figures
174:20

file 74:8,
11 86:24
88:18
135:15
167:6
184:4
212:18
215:13,15
235:9,11,
24,25
236:18
284:22
285:2

filed 11:18
12:15
110:10
136:11

files 85:15
214:18
246:2,10

filing 11:22

filings
18:24

final 109:11

find 87:22
103:24
106:1
122:8
124:9
126:4,15,
25 223:13
245:2

finder 155:6

fine 10:4,6
32:24
59:22 68:4
69:13
130:13
185:15

finger 71:15
74:4

finish 70:2
157:15
187:2
191:22
289:15

finished
41:20
70:22
205:18
264:22

fired 211:22

firm 115:8

firms 61:22

first-hand
39:20

firsthand
90:15
154:1

five- 118:17

fix 150:11

fixed 248:4
277:24

flaw 19:15

flaws 235:6

floor 236:10
247:20
290:6
291:1

floors
236:10

Florentine
270:4

Florida
160:20
257:22
260:9

focus 33:6,
22 85:20
146:18
238:20

focused

32:11,18
95:2 116:2
142:25
145:6
155:4
259:9

focusing
157:13
172:6

folks 116:11
118:20,22
130:15
169:1
264:25

follow 11:6
28:20
42:20
59:10
67:18
115:22
148:9
282:22

follow-up
57:4
154:10

font 240:10

forensic
148:11
149:6
160:15
171:6
177:15
180:4,6
181:13,17,
18 184:17
186:13,22
195:22
196:10
207:15
227:20
228:22
229:10
231:15
269:22

forensically
148:2,6
208:2

forensics
25:9

form 77:6
145:4

formally
211:9

format
189:11
239:10,11,
20 252:17,
18

formats
239:6

formed
169:22
262:15

forms 36:9

forward
28:15
29:20
30:13
83:13
280:22

forwarded
14:20
214:22
215:4

found 78:24
106:2,6
124:15
126:6,15
127:1
165:25
204:15
229:6
232:6
237:6

foundation
38:6 45:24
48:1,11
51:13
59:15
109:2
145:18,25
163:11
164:17
165:6,11
169:24
171:4
172:13,15,
17,18
177:1
184:20
228:2

founded
24:13

fourth 140:1
290:6

fraction
101:2

frame 241:22

framed
126:20

frankly 28:2
201:1

frantic 74:2

frequently
55:25 56:2

front 65:4
93:18
100:25
112:11
113:4,6
123:25
168:4
228:9
239:11,13
265:6
274:15

full 31:24
61:6 80:9
134:1
210:18

Fulton 9:11
11:6,20
12:2,15,22
13:15
14:25
15:20
16:17,24
28:18,20,
22 29:1,6
70:10,13
82:15 83:9

147:6
180:9
263:2,6
266:1,10
271:13,15,
20 289:8

function
90:11

fundamentally
24:15
151:17

funding
212:2

fundraising
52:22
53:15,18,
22

funds 81:6

future 230:6

G

gain 158:1

gained 96:17

game 86:20

gap 22:1
23:9 28:13
249:6,10

garbage
234:25

garden 29:8

garner 230:6

gave 81:6
151:13

Gay 245:18

GEMS
187:17,20
207:22
212:10,13,
15,18,22,
25 213:4,
11,15,20,
22 214:17
215:15
216:4,8,
13,17
221:20
232:1,4
233:4
234:13
238:20
239:4
249:9,17,
22 250:6,
9,10,18,
20,22,25
251:6,13
252:22
262:11
263:18
265:22
266:17
267:4,18
278:20

gender 87:13

general
39:22 40:2
48:13
81:24
90:15
100:20
105:24
106:10
107:11
116:25
118:2
126:13,22
153:6,11,
22 154:22
160:24
162:18,20
163:22
166:13
167:2,18
223:18
224:20
239:10
240:11
260:18
275:6
281:25

general's
106:15

generalize
179:22

Generalized
180:1

generally
35:2 38:11
54:18
55:4,8,11,
24 81:1,2
87:10
88:11
122:9,18,
24 125:18
142:22,24
144:2
145:22
146:25
150:2,10
151:2
155:2
158:13
159:4
160:11
163:13
199:18
205:9
227:17

generate
249:22
252:15
253:22

generated
278:22

generating
253:25

generation
141:20
143:2

gentleman
20:9 245:6

gentlemen
8:6

Geoff 9:11
25:18
112:15

geographic
87:17
88:18

Georgia 8:1

19:18
21:15,18
26:25
27:6,20
34:13,20
35:6 36:13
37:20
38:2,11,13
39:6,10,
13,22 40:2
41:2,11
42:15 43:2
46:17
55:10,18
56:10
62:13
80:15,18
81:22
90:11,15
91:9 92:11
94:15
95:15,18,
22 96:25
98:18
101:18
104:11,15
108:10
109:1,6
113:18,22,
24 116:11
118:18
120:15
127:13
138:22
139:6
142:17
143:4,6,
11,15
145:15
147:11,15
150:2
151:17
152:6,13,
15,22
153:6,11,
22 154:2,
18,20
157:6,11,
22 159:2,
22 161:2
162:18,20
163:13
164:2
165:1,22
166:18,24
170:22
184:10
186:6
188:2
189:9
196:11,15
200:18,24
201:11
203:10
205:20
206:9
207:8,18
211:6
212:9
237:9
241:20
250:6,11
251:1
255:13
258:25
259:2
260:20
261:22
267:17

Georgia's
26:11
37:15 38:1
54:15
119:6
146:22
147:6,11
151:15
163:9,22
164:8
165:18
166:25
167:11

germane
123:15

get all
130:4

give 33:2
34:2 84:15
93:22
103:15
106:20
121:2
130:13
146:13
160:13
163:15
166:4
215:11
217:4
219:2
220:4
237:10
239:25
285:15
289:2

giving 19:24
73:11
106:22

glad 203:6

glance 259:2

glass 187:2

glasses 64:9

Global
212:18,22

glue 160:4

gobs 208:18

good 8:6,7
9:8 11:13
32:4,6
35:2 36:24
38:18
41:25
43:20
44:15
77:18
89:18
145:24
150:22
179:6
185:22
198:13

Governance
32:4,6
36:25
43:20
44:15

governing

240:17

government
156:20,24

governmental
162:4

governor
15:10
21:22
25:18
71:2,15,
17,18,20,
24 94:20
95:22
98:20
100:18,22
101:4,11,
13,15
103:9
105:4,8
115:6
118:10
120:10,20
124:18
126:2,9,11
127:4
179:11
231:20
242:10,11,
13 253:18
254:24
255:22
256:2,11,
13 258:8
260:15
262:17
265:2,10,
13 268:18

governor's
19:10
21:6,11,
15,24 22:6
30:1 95:1
99:25
100:11
102:6,10
106:15
107:20
108:10
113:25
120:11
123:13
127:18,20
128:17
155:4
162:18
171:25
184:18
225:13
241:18,24
242:1
254:6,25
255:20
256:2,15
257:8
265:4,6
268:24
271:4,8
272:24
273:2
279:9
282:6,11
285:20,22

governors
260:2
265:13

graduate
62:1 84:20
139:1,2,13

graduated
138:22
140:2

graduating
84:25 85:6

Grady 195:6

grandson
133:22

Granted
26:18

gray 255:15

great 10:15
26:9 153:1
184:10

greater
27:11
147:25
165:2
176:20
183:20
204:22
276:11

Greenwald
43:18,20
44:11
45:15

grid
283:10,11

Groban 290:6

Groton 12:1

group 168:24

guess 11:2
12:2 26:6
54:6 141:4
185:20
189:20
229:10
233:22
273:15
286:6

guidelines
240:6

guilt 22:15

Gwinnett
9:13 11:6
14:25
15:17
29:15
38:18
77:18 83:6
89:18
90:20
150:22
155:9
252:20
253:4,9
263:2,6,9,
13 266:2,
10 271:13,
20 287:25
288:11

H

habit 81:18

habits 81:11

hack 270:24

hacking
225:9,20, 22 226:2, 20 227:6,9 270:1

half 182:15

hand 31:6
37:10
43:22
60:15
63:25 64:8
79:17
83:18
104:15
137:11
210:2
243:6
287:24

hand-marked
188:20,22
189:1

handed 64:11
65:4

hands 130:20

hands-on
39:17
144:1
149:2

handwritten
151:10

happen 49:15
86:20
131:20
175:22
176:20
178:2
181:25
221:9

happened
20:22
21:4,22
22:4 26:6
30:13 68:9
70:4 74:1
86:15,20
92:20,25
127:20
161:13
174:22,24
176:18
211:18
221:8
222:13
228:8
229:22
256:20,22

happening
30:2 49:18
69:18,20
97:4
127:18
175:17
233:24

happy 195:24
289:9
290:20

hard 19:13, 17 30:11, 22 47:11
64:8 82:9

hard-to-reach
85:4

hardware
147:20
158:18
159:11,20
250:2,4

Hart 25:22

HAVA 58:18

He'll 33:2

head 40:6
102:11

headed 112:9
115:9

header
241:15

headquartered
34:18

headquarters
34:10

hear 12:2,4
14:17 80:8
139:6
155:15
188:6
208:13
223:25
280:1,6

heard 14:18
134:11
136:25
154:11
158:17
169:10
176:10
180:24,25
184:2
192:11
224:1,20
235:1
280:20

hearing
20:13,20
110:13,15
133:13
135:17
136:17
208:18
232:13
291:22

hearsay
45:22
69:11,20
70:6 71:8
131:15
132:4,13
170:10,11
181:6,8,10
190:13
230:17
261:4,11

heavily
220:4,9,11

heavy 29:4
220:11

helped 36:13

helpful
217:18
270:6

helping 10:13 90:8 141:11

helps 43:4

Henderson 13:18 14:4

Henry 14:13

high 29:18 53:11 81:13 122:13 124:15 127:13 194:25 195:6 275:20

high-profile 118:9,13

higher 26:20 103:22 104:1 122:15 123:1,2 282:4,11

highest 102:6 104:9 271:8 273:1

highly 174:2

Hill 235:8 269:6

hint 19:25

hints 20:2

historic 113:15

historical 95:13 102:13 103:2

Historically 21:13

history 21:18 270:4

hit 281:15

Hm 171:8

Hmm 110:18

hoc 148:13

holding 268:6

holds 160:4

hole 188:4

home 69:6 290:20

honor 8:7 9:18 10:1, 18 11:8, 15,18 12:6 13:8,15 14:8,18,24 15:13,15 16:4,10, 13,15,17, 25 17:18, 22 18:4, 13,18 19:6 20:4,13, 15,24 21:8 22:8,22 23:1,2,4, 25 24:15, 22 25:2,4, 15,17 26:4 27:4 28:11,15, 18 29:15 30:15 31:2 32:20 33:8,22 36:1,2 38:4,8 41:18 42:4,17,20 43:8 44:6, 18 45:4,6, 10,20,22 46:2,6 47:22 48:2,10,11 50:4 51:8, 11 54:1,4 57:6,18 58:1,11,15 59:1,6,13, 22 60:1,4, 10 64:20, 24 65:24 69:10 70:13,18 71:6 72:11 74:13,18 79:8 82:2, 25 83:4,8, 11 89:11 90:20,24 91:2,18 92:6,8,15 93:8 94:11 96:6,20 97:1,10,20 100:1,6 103:15,25 106:18,22 108:2,4, 11,17,20 109:2,13, 18,20 110:4,17, 24 111:2, 6,11,18 112:6 113:10 116:20 119:25 121:9,22 123:20 124:2,24 127:6 129:1,11, 15,22 130:2 131:2,11, 24 132:6, 17,24 133:11 134:2,9, 13,15,18, 25 135:1, 13,18,24 136:4,11 137:2,9 144:20,22 145:4,9,

17,22
146:4,6,8
150:1,15
153:13
154:8,15
155:8
156:4
158:13,20,
24 162:15,
22 163:11
164:18
165:4
168:22
169:2,13
170:9,15
171:6
172:9,18
173:4
174:15,18
175:1
176:13,22
180:22
181:4,18,
24 182:1
183:22
184:15,20
185:1,11
186:1,10
187:1,4
188:4,11
190:8,22
191:20
192:1
195:20
198:11
202:20
203:4,22,
24 205:15
206:11,18
208:10,13,
20,22
209:6,8,
20,24
220:20
221:4,6,
11,15
225:11,17,
20 226:6,
15,20
227:2,24
228:13,17
230:18
233:22
236:15
237:13
238:11
242:17,22,
24 244:4,
6,15
246:22
247:2,15
248:13,18
251:6
255:2,4
259:13,15,
20 261:4,
6,10,11,
15,18
264:4
266:18,20
273:4,13,
15 274:9,
11,13,25
275:1
276:15,17
279:20
282:6
284:4,10
285:24
288:11,20
289:4,13,
15,20
291:20

Honor's 17:4
24:6

hotline
178:24

hour 289:6,
11

hours 36:20
166:6

house 245:25

housed 211:9
245:22
251:25

houses 249:8

How'd 8:24

huge 21:9
150:6

hundred
133:4

hundreds
36:20

Huntsville
249:15

hypo 220:4

hypothesis
149:15
175:20

hypothesized
280:6

hypothetical
221:10,13
230:24

I

ID 118:20,
22 215:6
216:22

idea 85:10
130:13
155:15
166:4
196:15
236:1

ideas 93:24
94:6

identical
155:22
159:10,13

identically
233:6

identification
200:2

identified
57:11
167:2

identify
10:2 55:4
111:1
158:22
243:17,20
254:20

263:9,10
275:2

identifying
148:22

image 221:25
235:10
241:4
253:22

images 232:6
235:11
255:17

immediately
72:4
141:25

impact 113:2
115:11
198:6
200:13
201:18
244:15
257:22

impacted
257:25
258:13

impacting
201:18

impanel
14:15

impaneled
14:11

implementation
159:25

imply 148:11

important
24:15 79:2
93:10
118:20

impossible
203:17

impress
51:17

in- 21:20

in-person
54:22
213:2

inadvertent
262:6

inadvertently
27:15

inappropriate
14:6

inaudible
9:4,9
11:10 13:2
16:18
17:13
25:15
28:17
32:20,22
35:18
38:15 42:4
44:8 45:2
48:11 51:4
54:2,8
59:4 61:13
63:18
64:6,9
66:6,13
67:13
69:11,13
70:11
74:17,18
75:17,22,
25 81:8
82:4,13,24
83:15,17
93:18
100:13
109:18
112:8
115:4,10,
17,20
116:13,15
119:24
120:1,2
124:1,22
125:11,22
127:4
128:25
129:2,6,8,
9,11,13
130:25
132:4
134:13
135:4,13
136:9,11,
13 140:13,
15 141:1,
11 143:18,
24 145:17,
18 146:6
149:22
151:20
153:8,15
154:15
156:4,20,
22 158:4,
6,8,15
160:18
162:20,24
163:13
164:20
165:8,9
166:2
169:4
171:4,13
172:2
173:25
174:13,20
175:20
176:24
180:10
182:11
184:4,13,
18 187:10
188:18,24,
25 189:1,
13,22
190:20,24
191:20
192:6,10,
17,18
195:6,22
197:20,24
198:1
200:13
202:8,13
203:1,11
207:13
218:13
225:11
227:6
232:22
233:15

235:22
236:6,15
237:6,18
238:1,2,13
242:15,20
243:8,15,
22,25
244:1,10,
11,15,18,
22 245:8,
13,18
246:13,15,
18,25
247:1,6,
11,13,15,
22 248:1,
2,4,6,15
249:4,15,
18 251:2,
9,10
253:4,20
254:22
255:1,6,8
257:25
259:13,15,
17,18
261:6
263:4,8,10
264:2
266:6,13,
17,18
270:22
271:15
272:22
273:6,9,
11,13,15,
18,25
274:4,6,
13,24,25
275:2,22
276:22
277:2,13
278:2
279:10,11
280:2
281:4,20
282:24
283:11,17
284:11,18
285:25
286:1,2,6,
10,11,13,
15,20,22
287:13,17
288:2,15,
22 289:8,
10,15,17,
22 290:1,
2,11,15,
20,22
291:2,6,8,
9,10,13,
15,18

incidence
66:20

incident
66:22,24
67:13,15
228:4
244:6

incidents
78:18,20,
22

inclined
22:9

include
78:18
147:18
257:6

included
214:11
218:18
239:15

includes
8:10
182:22
272:15,18

including
157:18
272:8

inconveniencin g 291:13

incorrect
40:4 111:2

incorrectly
233:11

increase
119:13
122:22
145:13
165:22
276:4

increased
165:18,20
166:20

incredibly
166:2
176:8
177:22
200:17,18

incredulous
74:6

incumbent
128:15

incurred
178:11

independence
161:13,22

independent
117:20
160:20

indicating
143:20

indications
50:22

indirectly
167:13

individual
11:11
85:15
87:11
97:11
107:1
157:15
202:22
214:22
216:10
232:2
233:2
239:6
240:1
259:10
263:24
268:6
271:24

272:6
281:11
283:22

individuals
27:15
118:20

indulgence
136:2

industry
180:20

ineligible
19:15

infected
227:22
231:18

inference
198:13

inferior
24:11

infiltrated
246:10

inflammatory
58:4

influx 198:8

information
13:22
59:20 70:1
85:17
87:17
95:6,18
96:4 99:10
103:11
104:18
109:15
122:13
169:4,11,
15 170:6
214:4
215:22
216:13,17
232:2
236:11
239:4
245:24
249:11
252:2,4
266:15
284:17
287:15

informed
76:4

informs
199:4

infrequent
122:15

inherent
151:15
166:22

inherently
161:9

initial
17:13
278:2

initially
18:13
232:8
239:20

innocent
178:13
207:20

input 268:4

inputting
218:6

inquiry
93:11

ins 14:18

inserted
217:8

inserting
218:4

inside
148:24

inspect
182:18
208:2
284:9

inspected
153:10,20
154:2,22
235:6
249:18

inspection
155:13

inspects
215:15

install
237:6
252:24

installation
249:17,18

instance
14:11 29:4
63:15
73:15
87:11
115:4,25
117:8
118:17
189:9,15,
17 199:11
201:11
223:13
256:20
259:24
260:8
267:11
272:20

instances
50:18
59:11
173:2
179:24
233:9

instruct
216:9
219:4

instructing
268:8

instructions
257:4,11,
20 258:2

insufficient
13:17

insulted
130:24

insurance
117:11

123:2
282:1

integrity
32:10
140:10

intend 10:20
17:8

intended
39:4 71:4

intensely
43:4

intent
135:15

intention
58:11
111:13
135:4

intentionally
23:20

interact
270:17

interacting
280:22

interaction
259:1
270:18

interactions
186:17,18

interacts
278:4

interest
81:1,2
142:18

interested
35:4 81:13

interesting
22:6

internal
150:2
180:15
181:20
182:4,22
183:11,20
235:9
236:22
249:1
284:18,20,
22 285:2,6
287:18

internet
165:2,6
166:2
167:8
168:13
228:15
270:18,22

internship
142:1

interpreted
167:4

interpreting
231:6

interprets
259:6

interrupt
38:9 251:2

interrupting
249:2

interviewing
164:2

intimate
97:11

introduce
45:20
65:24 81:2
132:20

introduced
57:11
132:2,4
235:20

introducing
58:2

introductory
131:2
140:18

investigate
186:6
227:9
228:9

investigated
24:20
173:4

investigation
148:11
163:20
171:6
181:13
183:17
186:13
191:24
192:9
199:6
226:18
229:15,18,
22 231:11
235:4
247:1

invoke 10:20

invoked
16:10,11

involve 89:6

involved
35:22 36:9
39:6 41:24
151:22
205:13
214:2
222:15
272:1

involving
120:15
203:11
237:18

IP 270:15

iphone
63:10,13

irregularities
268:20

irregularity
29:8,20
30:22

irrelevant
33:18

issue 13:24
15:17 19:8
20:4 30:18
42:10,11,

13 45:25
67:1,9
87:15
91:20,22
92:2,4
93:8,15
154:13,25
155:2,4,8
182:11
183:22
184:11,25
204:25
206:17
208:22
224:10
225:13
226:8,13
227:17
230:18
243:15
248:6,15
264:22
277:15,17,
18 282:17
289:9

issued
160:20
209:11
249:24

issues 14:6,
9,17 16:2
32:11,13
33:6,18
41:22
42:11
57:18 73:4
74:13
91:20
93:13
136:17
151:13
158:2
179:2,9
230:13
235:17
237:18
253:6
277:13

items 131:2

J

jail 133:24

January 8:2
45:13
136:17,24
211:17
212:2
249:4,20
250:1

Jeanne 9:11,
15,20
79:15,24
80:4,11

job 11:13
75:20
256:18

John 201:10

Johnson
63:15

join 92:6

joined 15:13

Jordan
129:9,11

Joseph 63:4

judge 12:24
13:1 35:11
93:18,20
172:15
205:2
236:11,13,
15 256:22
267:13
290:1

judgment
237:20

jump 237:24

jumped
238:10

jumping
146:15

juries 14:15

jurisdiction
214:15
215:4
218:6

jurisdictions
14:17
260:6

juror 12:4

jury 12:8,
9,10
13:10,11,
15,18
14:1,6,10,
15 15:2,
22,24 16:4
135:20
290:18

Juvenile
8:12

K

Kauffman
8:22,23
9:1

Kaye 12:22

Kemp 131:8
143:15,24
151:11
164:4

Kennesaw
211:10,15,
22 222:20
227:15
244:2,6,9
246:4
248:15,20,
22

key 29:17

kind 8:11
68:6 74:2
76:6 85:20
86:2,4,22
87:15
88:18
95:2,4,25
97:18
111:9
122:20
161:2

168:22
169:4,15
170:10
172:10,17
178:11
186:22
188:8
198:4
199:22
200:2,6,10
231:4
238:18
246:20
247:8
269:18

kinds 90:2
133:24
141:20
261:17

knew 191:11
199:25
224:8

know- 133:18

knowledge
39:20
43:17
90:11,15
94:2,4
97:11
115:24
144:2,15
146:22
147:4
149:20
154:1,18
155:11,13
158:1
164:17,18
181:20
186:18
199:1
219:18
221:11,18
230:13
241:20
254:2,4
276:9

knowledgeable
93:17
256:20

L

L-E- 61:10

lab 144:6
152:4,20
154:20
249:15

labels
216:22
217:1

labor 94:22
117:17

lack 30:6
163:11
166:11
167:18
211:10
213:15
214:15
228:2

ladies 8:6
133:22

lady 68:6

lagging
200:22

laid 38:6
59:15
109:2
172:13,15
174:15

Lamb
164:10,13
165:25

language
58:4 111:9
253:10

languages
141:22
253:6,11

laptop 129:4
134:20,22

large 22:4
65:6 198:8
241:2

large- 64:11

large-print
65:8

larger
275:22
276:1

Lasix 64:22

lasted 68:20

late 250:8,
11 251:1

latitude
34:4

law 24:15
25:22
28:20 34:2
58:25
61:24
92:11

laws 13:24

lawsuit
197:4,11,
15 205:1
262:15,25

lawyer 17:24

lawyer's
36:4

lawyering
185:22

lawyers
11:13

lay 42:1
44:22
45:24
145:25
171:4
172:13
177:1
219:20
238:20

layout 164:6
222:4
239:6
252:18
257:15
258:20
262:6

265:2

lays 239:8

LBJ 63:11, 13 65:2,13

lead 220:11 221:4 235:18

leading 96:6 100:2 106:18 155:24,25 156:2 158:8 166:8 226:22 259:13,15 267:15 282:6

leads 173:18

learn 230:2, 4

learned 132:25 260:4

leave 50:18

leaving 47:11 165:2

Leclerc 60:11,22 61:2,6,11, 13,15 65:1,17 66:9 71:13 72:20,22 73:22 74:15,20, 25 75:2 77:15,18 78:13,15

Leclerc's 69:11

led 178:18 191:18 276:11

left 30:17 68:13 167:8 181:13 265:8,9 291:6

left-hand 241:24

left-leaning 205:25

legal 38:6 53:2 59:2 197:6

legally 144:11

legislator 206:13

Legislature 15:9

legitimate 22:2

letter 45:18 231:20,22, 24 235:2

level 53:11 122:20 151:17 166:18 167:15 200:22 232:11 249:9

leverage 88:20

Lexisnexus 135:11

León 46:25 47:4 50:2

libertarian 36:18 39:13 46:20 123:11 219:15

Libertarians 285:20

library 46:25 47:4 49:20

lieutenant 15:10 19:10 21:6,11, 15,22,24 22:6 25:18 71:2,15, 17,18,20, 24 95:1,22 98:18 101:11 102:6,10 103:9 105:4,8 106:15 107:20 108:9 115:6 120:10,20 124:18 126:2,9,11 127:2 128:17 155:4 162:18 171:25 179:11 184:18 225:13 231:20 241:18 242:1,11, 13 253:17 254:6,25 255:22 256:2,13 258:8 260:2,15 262:15 265:4,10, 13 268:24 271:2,6 272:24 273:2 279:9 282:6,11 285:18,22

life 21:9
182:15

lifelong
81:11,18

light 130:20

lightly 26:1

likability
200:20

likelihood
145:13
165:17
175:15

limited 42:8
87:2 131:4

limiting
149:13

Lindsay
45:8,22
59:1,13
132:13
197:10

Lindsey
10:24
11:2,4,10
13:9
15:11,13
16:9 17:6,
11,22
18:8,10
25:15,17
32:20 33:8
42:4,20
44:18,20
45:2,4,6,
10,22
47:22
48:10 50:4
51:22
52:2,11,17
54:1,6
58:1,11
64:6,9,11,
15,18,20
65:4 66:2,
6 69:10
70:6,11
71:6,9
75:2 82:2,
6 83:6
91:2,6,13
92:6 97:9
100:1
111:2,4,
11,18,20
114:4,9
117:2,6
119:4,22
120:9
123:4
124:6
128:8,13,
20 130:2,
6,13,22
131:11
132:24
136:4
144:20,22
145:6,17
154:8
155:8
162:15
171:6,9,13
172:9
173:4,6,
11,13
174:15
176:13
181:4
183:22
185:20
186:10
188:4
190:8,10,
20 191:6,
9,13,20,22
192:15,18
196:25
202:20
203:1,4,6,
8,9,20
205:2
209:6,17
220:20
221:2,11,
15 225:17
226:6,15,
20,22
227:2,24
246:22
247:2,6,9,
13,22,24
248:2,8,
11,13,17,
18 250:22
255:8
259:15,20,
22 261:6,
11,15,18,
20 263:6
264:2,6
266:18,22
268:17
273:4,9,
11,13,24
274:9,13,
15,25
275:8,10
276:15,24
279:13
284:10
285:24

Lindsey's
285:15

lines 47:10
50:1 56:1
100:24

lining 259:4

link 119:1

list 18:25
150:6
167:4

listed
231:22
240:13
264:11
272:6
279:2,6

listen 43:4
111:11
156:4
185:13

listening
43:2 55:11

literally
30:13
289:1

literature 156:17 160:11 162:11 171:4 194:18 199:2

litigat- 36:25

litigation 37:1 80:15

live 21:20 80:15 130:11 133:1,2,15 172:24

lived 34:20, 22

living 96:1

LMA 222:22 278:2

load 268:1

loaded 215:13,18 216:8 217:20 222:1 267:22

loader 182:25 237:1

lobbies 11:11

local 85:20 215:4,15 238:22

located 62:22 197:1 274:2

location 46:25 47:4,8 49:22 63:22 65:15 67:4 73:13 176:13 216:11,15 218:22 220:9,10 221:24 222:2 252:1 283:2

locations 40:11 47:2 55:18,20 62:20 215:22 239:4,13 266:11 270:20

locked 290:17

Logan 164:10,13 165:25

logic 217:9 218:13,18, 24 222:10 268:2

logical 222:11

logistically 129:15

logistics 288:25

logs 179:6

long 17:24 47:10 48:18 49:4 50:1 56:1 82:22 103:18 129:17

longer 68:20 131:13 253:4 288:24

looked 18:22 40:9 94:17,24 97:2 104:8 113:15 119:6 120:9 128:4 165:4 185:8 189:6 195:11 203:2 229:22 230:6 235:11,13, 22

loop 275:13

loss 35:17 36:10

lost 23:11 24:2 35:15 137:6

lot 28:4 36:25 37:2 42:20 59:20 87:22 96:4,8 97:2 119:18 132:25 158:20 224:22 227:15 252:9 253:10 285:20

lots 171:18

loud 169:10

love 248:8

low 176:8

lower 101:11 174:11

luck 290:2

lunch 129:18,20

lurking 155:15

Lyndon 63:15

M

M-42 291:9

machine
22:17,18
24:18
39:20
40:24
41:1,2,8,
10 42:11
49:18
50:4,18
51:4,11
55:13,20
56:11,13
67:6 68:8,
11,13,17,
24 69:1
70:2,4,20
71:20
72:2,6
73:18,20
90:15
93:15
144:2
154:2
158:11,13,
15 165:22
172:11
174:1,2
176:4,6
177:11,22
178:6,11
182:6,20,
22 183:1
190:11
194:1,6,10
195:6
196:11,15
203:13,17
208:2
212:24
216:4,6,
18,22
217:4,6,15
220:11
222:4,6
228:22
232:4
233:4,10,
13,18
234:6,9,
10,18,20
235:10
236:2,4,6
237:4
242:4
265:22
266:17
277:18
279:10

machine's
67:6 235:8

machine-level
235:4

machines
23:11,22
24:22
25:10
38:11
39:15
40:2,4,8,
13,17,20
41:6 42:6
47:24
48:8,20
49:2,4,13
50:1,22
54:17
55:25 56:2
67:2,4
73:13,15
90:11
128:1
142:11,13,
15 143:11
144:11
145:22
146:2,18,
24 148:4
152:11,20,
22 153:6,
10,11,22
154:18,22
156:20
157:11
158:22
159:6,18,
22 160:2
161:2,15
162:20
163:2
167:13
170:18
172:2
173:20
174:8,9,
11,22
175:6,8
176:6
177:11,15,
18,22,24
178:4
179:4,6
180:4,8,17
184:17
189:13
194:6
197:22
203:11
218:18
220:10
223:17
232:2
235:6
277:25
278:2
283:22,25

made 12:8,9
15:18,20
16:1 19:20
44:11 71:2
92:9 98:13
166:15
169:22
176:20
185:8
208:9
237:17
239:24
253:4
265:22

Madison
80:15

Magistrate's
290:4

magnifying
242:6

mail 128:2

mailout
216:1

main 85:20
223:9

maintain
212:2

maintained
165:20
249:10
284:22

major 81:15
159:13
167:9
181:25
270:2,4

majority
34:24
162:10
223:8

make 10:11
16:6
18:13,18
22:11,20
23:15 48:4
54:22 55:6
70:24
71:24
74:20
75:18
93:22 94:8
104:22
106:11
107:20
130:4,6,8,
11,15
155:9
171:15
192:18
193:10
195:15
217:11,13,
20,24
237:15
238:22
253:11
259:4
265:18
267:11,15,
22 268:2,
9,15 270:8

makes 24:25
205:11

making 48:13
129:15
142:18
167:18
183:20
207:22,24

MALE 8:7
10:20
11:22,25
12:15,24
13:1 20:13
82:13
129:11,13
286:20
287:8
289:15,18,
20 290:10,
15,20,25
291:2,8,
11,15,18,
20

malfunction
24:18 50:8
51:11
93:15

malfunctioning
49:4,8
50:1,6
51:4

malice 23:18

malicious
16:2
178:15

malware
166:11,15
189:10,18
196:15
204:17,18
227:22
228:10
231:18
237:11
269:18,22

manage 212:4

Management
212:18,22

manager
67:11
68:13,15,
18,22 69:4
70:2,6,8,
13,15,20,
22 71:1,2,
15 72:4
73:15

manager's
73:22

managers
76:13

mandatory
11:18

maneuver
235:15

manifested
179:20

manifestly
23:24

manipulation
16:2

mannerisms
133:20

manufacturing
34:18

mapping
219:9
285:11

margin 25:20
26:10
177:13
184:11

Marilyn
10:13
31:2,15,
20,25
38:25
43:11
50:13
51:25

54:11
56:25

mark 55:10
132:8
283:13

marked 43:24
243:6
254:18
275:2
287:24

Marks 10:13
31:2,6,10,
15,20,25
32:1 35:1
36:8,22
38:10,15,
18,25 42:6
43:11
44:10
45:11
46:11
47:22
48:11,18
50:11,13,
17 51:25
52:2,9
54:11,15
56:25
57:2,10
58:2 59:24
60:2

Marks' 51:11

married
216:20

Martin 9:11

Maryland
160:20

Master's
139:20

match 152:20
235:20
268:13

matched
232:4,25

matches
249:18

matching
234:11,13,
15

material
159:17

materials
156:11

math 22:22,
25 23:4
86:18
102:13
111:18
191:11
270:2

math/physics
181:25

mathematical
30:18

matter 13:25
17:22
18:15
22:22
25:11
27:4,10
33:13
57:17
61:13
84:15
116:9
135:17
140:8
145:24
163:22
172:13
188:6
202:15
205:13
209:9
244:10
259:15

matters
121:2

Matthew
134:15
137:10,18,
24 138:4
150:18
156:8
187:13
188:15
196:22
204:2

maximum
194:9

Mayor 35:4

Mcdonald
8:16,17

meaning
231:6

means 17:20
181:20
193:4
263:15
270:20

meant 56:6
246:20

meantime
290:4

mechanics
150:4

mechanism
52:22

mechanisms
59:11

Medicine's
168:10

member 39:6,
8 211:20
218:15

members
36:17,20
211:18,20

memory
180:15,17
181:20
182:6,22,
24 183:11,
20 189:11
212:24
213:1,2
215:25
216:10,15,
18,22
217:2,4,8,

20 221:24, 25 222:1, 2,6 233:6 234:13,15, 22 235:4,9 236:22 266:11,13 267:1,25 284:18,22 285:2,4,6 286:10 287:18

mentioned 37:2 38:20 141:13 149:1 150:24 151:11 152:2 161:8 224:18 269:2

mere 26:4

messages 53:17,18 172:4

method 35:2 105:1 148:15 278:20

methods 106:4,9 149:20

Metro 271:6

Mexico 84:20,25

Michael 147:9 157:18 164:4,6 209:25 210:1,10, 15,20 243:4 245:18 254:13 276:20 279:22 286:18 287:11

Michigan 138:6,11, 13 139:15, 17,18,22 144:8 149:10 153:4

Mickey 272:11

microphone 80:9

Microsoft 142:2,4, 13,15,20 155:20 212:15

middle 26:24 116:10,18 172:2 202:15

Mike 268:17

million 223:20

mimic 11:22

mind 39:24 167:24 171:20

mine 81:18 173:10

minute 110:6 135:11 198:2 202:6 242:17 279:15

minutes 49:20 51:1 242:20,22 291:15

miscalibrated 224:2,6

mischaracterizes 190:20

misleading 111:1,9

misplaced 74:15

misprogramming 231:18

missed 176:15

missing 278:9,25

misspelled 19:13

misstate 244:20

mistake 8:13 148:18 178:13 220:18 242:4

mistakes 166:24 167:2,4,22

mitigate 88:15

Mm-hmm 20:6 219:8

mock 290:13

mode 53:22 55:22 56:2,4 173:22 222:20,22, 24 242:6 268:6 278:24 279:2

model 155:18 255:13,15

modeling 86:25

models 155:18 255:11,17

moment 41:15

113:4

momma 77:6

Monday
180:10,11
208:2

money 53:1,
10,13

month 133:13

monthly
175:25

months 34:25
165:2,6

Morgan
80:15,20

morning 8:6,
7 15:11
38:18
72:10
77:18
89:18
185:6
289:10,11

motherboard
284:2,4

motion 15:4
18:15,17,
18 112:17,
20 192:2
202:20
209:13,20

motions 24:2
57:20,22
180:24,25

Mouse 272:11

move 34:22
41:13
45:20
132:20
134:22
184:25
185:9
190:13
208:15,20
211:13
236:13
244:4
245:8
290:4

moved 20:13
192:13

moving 81:18
130:4
193:10
208:22
280:22

multiple
23:4 28:11
63:22
74:11
147:8
191:13
253:10,11
270:20
281:13,15

mumbling
139:6

Muskogee
229:2

N

name's 77:18

named 63:18
142:2
197:8,13
262:24
266:4
272:22

names 12:20
19:13
199:13

nation
189:17
200:20

national
86:2
156:22
160:22
168:9,18,
20 170:2

nationwide
159:22

nature 24:11
32:11
135:20,22
136:18
167:11
278:13

neces- 59:18

necessarily
148:13
183:1
201:17
290:11

needed 70:20
184:4
217:6
218:11
253:11

needing 55:6

negative
28:4,6
77:6
115:8,11
200:10

neglect
103:15

neighboring
260:11

nervous
129:15

network
249:6
270:18

Nevada 109:4

newcomers
128:18

newer 122:15

NEWS 129:4,
6,9,11

night 52:18
73:11
185:4
232:9
234:11

Nobody's
18:25

non-partisan 32:8

nonconfidential 187:24

nonpartisan 36:18

nonprofit 32:8 86:6

nonprofits 85:2,25 86:2,4

normal 56:18 241:22

North 34:11 36:11 41:10

north-south 27:18 265:15

Northern 143:15

notate 216:22,25

note 263:13

notes 63:6, 11,20 65:1,13, 15,17,20, 22 66:2,15 67:18,25 78:17,22, 24 79:1,2 129:2 224:22

notice 131:4 135:4 136:6,18 287:25

noticed 47:9 67:4 68:4, 22 71:1 208:25 258:2 280:11,13

notices 287:20

notification 218:15 223:11

notify 253:2 288:4

notion 161:11

November 25:20 46:13 62:22,24, 25 63:1,22 83:2 133:18 153:6 165:18 196:11 212:6,11 223:17,18 225:8 244:13 252:22 255:18 256:25 261:22 262:1 264:11 274:20 275:4 281:18,22

number 8:12 26:25 27:1,9,11 29:22 30:6 33:17,18 40:11 44:15 57:11 67:2 76:2,8 99:17 100:15,25 101:15 102:13 103:2 109:18 113:2 116:4,22 119:6,13 122:11 123:6 124:11 126:18 132:15,17 194:9 201:24 202:10 215:22 216:10 217:2 218:8 224:18 226:4 239:9,15 241:2 260:6,20 261:4,8,24 266:11 271:8,25 272:1,2,4, 6,22 273:1 275:22 276:4

numbers 25:10,11 40:6 67:6 75:13 92:20 97:2,6,17 98:22 99:2 105:22 107:1 108:13,15, 22 109:20, 24 110:9, 15 111:10, 24 112:8, 18 113:17 114:20 124:4,6 172:20,22 174:22 175:6 176:11 177:9 192:4,11 193:1,4,6, 8,11,15 195:6 203:2 261:13

numerical
195:13

numerous
160:22
179:18

O

oath
289:18,20,
22

object 10:25
32:20 33:8
38:4 42:4,
13 44:18
47:22
48:10 50:4
59:1,13
69:10 70:6
90:20,24
91:18
100:1
103:25
108:11
109:2
111:2,4
121:9
131:11
133:9
144:24
145:6
154:15
158:13
162:15
163:11
165:4
169:2
181:2,4
184:15
185:20
188:4
195:20
197:6
198:11
204:24
206:11,20
220:20
221:6
225:11
226:13
228:2
230:15
232:13
233:22
243:13
247:18
261:4

objected
182:8
208:6

objection
13:6 17:8
41:18
45:22 54:4
57:18
59:15 66:6
71:6 83:6
92:6 97:1,
9 106:18,
20 108:22
109:13
129:20
134:25
145:4
155:10,11,
13,17
158:25
168:24
171:6
172:9,18,
24 173:4,6
174:15,18
180:22
186:10
188:11
195:18
198:11,20
202:20
225:17
226:6
228:18
232:18
237:18
247:15,24
248:17
251:10
255:4
264:4
266:20
273:13
275:9
282:6
284:10
288:11

objectionable
45:2

objections
11:2 33:17
77:2
136:10
155:9
274:10

observations
62:18
63:20 66:2
67:20
72:24 79:1

observe
47:6,15
48:24 62:9
72:2 73:4,
8,20 78:2
171:22
218:15,17

observed
40:8,15,18
49:18
66:25
96:24
98:22
149:11
176:11

observer
62:10 63:6
77:22 78:1

observing
42:8 62:15
63:8 65:13
66:17 69:2
77:20
147:11

obtain 44:22
139:18
144:11
246:1
252:2,22

obtained
140:2

obvious
20:22
97:15

occasions
191:13

occurred
26:8
189:15
195:13

OCGA 24:6

odd 124:20

oddity 194:1

odds 176:4
198:2

offer 131:4
154:24

offered
182:4
284:9

office 39:9
76:6 99:6
105:1
107:2
167:13
210:22
211:13,17,
22 212:4
213:10,11,
22 214:8,9
215:6
222:20
223:9
224:25
225:6
228:22,25
229:25
230:6,15,
18 231:20,
24 232:11
233:1
238:18
244:1
246:4
248:22
249:11,13
253:2,15
260:24
267:6,15,
24 269:13
271:8
273:2
274:6
280:13
290:22

officer 28:9

offices
256:4

official
223:11
261:8,10,
13 274:1

officially
77:24

officials
49:20 72:2
141:10
147:10
223:10
225:2

Ohio 160:18

older 95:18

Olens 25:18
203:6

omits 24:10

omitted
278:13

op 239:18

open 18:13
44:15
45:13
165:2
166:2
167:8
270:9,18

opened 58:1
229:18

opening 16:6
18:11,13

operate 40:9

operates
56:15

operating
47:18,25
141:20
142:17,22
143:6,9
152:4,9
155:20
182:24
237:2,4,6
267:11

operation
40:15
47:15,24
150:2
183:4
212:2
217:18
222:4
278:6

operational
249:11

operations
40:13
144:2
211:15
223:17
248:22

opine 47:24

opinion 97:6
104:2
121:2
158:11
159:2,20
162:13
163:8
166:24
170:4,22
171:1
172:8
173:18
174:22,24
175:4
195:11
198:18
280:18

opinions

41:25 143:18,20, 25 158:11 162:4 169:9,10 170:2

opportunity 73:4 104:13 110:8 113:1 126:10 134:4 136:13 180:15 208:2 230:2 252:11,13

opposed 28:13 57:15 265:17

opposing 57:13

opposite 177:22

opposition 112:18

optic 216:1

optical 239:8 252:17 265:4

option 285:22

order 12:13 14:17 25:4 28:20 53:2 130:2 181:13,18 209:18 217:20 220:1 221:22 223:15 240:13 247:8

ordered 182:22 183:25

ordinary 68:9,18

organization 32:8,9,11 34:9 35:18 46:4 52:22 53:11 62:11 86:6

organizations 85:2,25 86:1

organize 219:22

orientation 242:13

original 158:6 224:11

other's 17:20

outcome 161:20 269:20

outlier 94:25

outlined 224:20

outstanding 266:25

outward 234:11 270:13

overrule 198:20

oversee 211:6

oversees 212:6

overtake 251:10

overturn 30:10 184:10 226:9

owned 249:17

owner 34:17

P

P-2 64:22, 24 65:25

P-3 97:20, 24 98:1

P-5 168:2

P-7 168:2

p.m. 130:1 187:6,8 242:25 243:1 291:22

pack 116:18 202:15

package 87:20

packet 214:22 255:9

pad 55:11

pages 66:11 82:2 168:15 170:13 240:18 253:18

paid 52:4

pair 270:13

pap- 197:18

paper 21:6, 8,22 22:10 27:2,13,18 28:2 33:11 37:2,9,13, 17 38:2,13 41:13 43:2,4 104:17 105:15

106:13
125:18
148:4,25
149:22
151:10
161:17
171:10,11,
13 174:8,
11 175:6
188:20,22
189:1
199:11,18,
20 206:15,
22 207:11
257:6
258:6
264:25
276:13
277:1,4
282:4

papers
140:22

paperwork
208:18

paragraph
111:25
112:1
238:11

parallel
267:11,20
268:20
269:20
287:2

part 14:1
32:15
35:11
53:1,2,6,9
125:18
133:20
156:11
169:22
170:13
183:10,11
205:9
211:13
256:17
261:11
262:4
265:20
278:1
287:15

partial
131:22

participate
252:11

participated
62:4
261:1,2,22

participation
88:8
257:24
275:20
282:4,11

particularities 199:6

particulars
201:17

parties
133:2
262:15,24
266:4
272:22
273:15

parts 163:10
236:22

party 24:6,
10,13 30:6
36:18
39:13
46:20
75:6,20
76:18 78:2
86:2,6,10
131:6
132:4
176:4,6,8
177:20
181:10
197:8,13

party's 24:8

pass 277:22

passcode
215:11

passionate
81:18

password
246:11

past 85:8
86:15,17
88:11
113:18
119:6
206:6

pattern 21:4
108:8,9,25
175:15
177:22
199:1
205:18
229:15
230:11,22
231:13

patterns
20:24,25
95:22
113:17
123:17
124:10
198:9

pay 53:2

Paying 108:2

PDF 252:18

peer 141:2,
4 163:4

pending
18:15

people 21:9
22:6 25:6,
24 29:10
47:10,11
89:6 98:13
100:15,17,
20 157:25
163:20
179:4
190:17
191:4,15
210:2
211:22,25
223:20
226:4
230:17,25

243:25
257:13
280:2,15,
20 281:6

percent
21:11,15
22:1 32:17
101:2,6,
11,13
102:11
105:8,10,
11,15,18
107:2,4,6,
9,10,13,
15,18,25
120:24
125:17
126:6
152:25
274:22
275:6

percentage
101:10
102:4,15
103:22
104:2,25
107:4,13,
17,22
120:22
274:17,18,
20

percentage-wise 106:8

percentages
106:4

Perdue
120:15

perfect 29:2

perfectly
63:10

perform
86:18
183:4
186:9,13
190:2
193:18
196:6

performance
117:4,22
118:6,8

performed
190:6,11
196:2

period 46:22
208:11
231:18
268:11

permission
132:20

person 17:25
19:15
21:20 26:2
56:11
65:22
105:10
136:6
198:18
280:8

personal
34:11
43:17
153:4,8
154:18
155:11,13
164:18
177:2
188:20

personally
39:20
40:2,20,22
41:11 47:6
90:8
153:20
154:22
164:24
165:4
193:18
224:22

perspective
49:9
152:22
159:11
164:25
166:13
179:1,20

persuasion
21:10

pertaining
140:24
206:13

petition
37:4

petitioner
13:20
31:17
60:24 80:1
84:2
137:20
184:22
210:11

petitioner's
29:13

petitioners
13:17 29:4
37:4,9,11
184:20
209:24
284:9

ph 65:20
200:25
245:18
290:6

Ph.d. 138:6
139:24

Phd 138:11
170:13
175:11

phenomena
175:2

Philip
132:18
158:6
190:6
193:20

phone 136:22
215:6

phonetic
243:11

photographs
72:25 73:2
74:15

phrase
121:11

Phrased
226:24

physical
217:1,2
235:13
248:24
282:20,24

physically
153:9
213:6

physics
270:2

pick 67:2
254:15
276:22

picked
218:24

picture
255:11

pictures
255:9,11

piece 88:24
189:9,18
257:6

place 8:11
19:9 25:13
27:11
33:15
49:13
94:22
97:11
115:25
207:15
216:22
239:20
250:18
252:20
261:15
285:11
289:22
290:22
291:13

places 30:22
160:2
221:25
287:20
288:1

placing
217:2
270:13

plagued
238:6

plaintiff
9:22 10:13
24:25 25:2
79:15
80:11
168:15
202:22

plaintiff's
44:4 64:4
98:2,6,18
112:15,20
168:4,8
194:2
243:8

plaintiffs
15:6 24:4
26:11
29:18,20
30:11,20
42:2 60:10
79:15
83:1,13
84:15
136:8
138:8
151:22
152:1

plan 34:22
36:13

planned
74:11
82:11

plausible
22:15,17
24:18
29:24

play 30:6
238:22,24

pleased
258:17

point 23:15
29:17 68:8
71:8,22
74:10
93:13
97:2,8
109:2
111:20
113:2
122:11
129:1
144:22
159:6
160:4
181:13
193:20
198:15
205:1
206:20,24
217:4
218:18
223:10
238:11
251:9
262:13
283:1

pointed 14:8
15:1 71:15
195:4

points 15:15
96:8
111:13
125:18

policy
206:11

political
21:10
81:4,15
84:22
85:1,2
86:1,4,6,
10 114:15
119:17
189:2
198:4
199:2

politically
88:20

politics
25:11
201:11

poll 36:15
39:11
40:9,11,17
46:11,15,
18,20,24
47:2,4
48:22 50:2
55:6 62:9,
10 67:4,10
70:13,15,
20 72:2,25
73:2,9
76:13
77:20,22,
25 78:1
81:17,22,
25 82:6,8
153:2
197:18
205:11
246:2
288:4

polling
46:25
47:4,8
49:22 73:4
87:13
115:25
200:6
218:20
239:2
287:20
288:1

polls 28:22
55:10
122:15
200:22

Ponce 46:24
47:4 50:2,
24

poorly
126:20

popping
172:4

popular
123:20

port 270:11

portal
251:22
252:2
270:13

portion
35:10
101:1

portions
246:10

pose 168:22

position
13:15
22:20
23:10,11,
17 25:1
27:18 34:2
51:15
52:2,4
70:15
134:13
151:1,4
210:25
240:11
278:17
283:13

positions
15:13
218:9

possession
268:2

possibility
258:22

possibly
26:6 95:6
119:11
136:13

post 148:13
260:22

post- 35:22
36:6

post-election
36:10,13,
15,17,20
149:8
206:4,6
207:9
217:15
231:22
258:15
260:22
278:24
279:2,10

posted
287:20
288:1

potential
166:11
230:22

potentially
86:22
144:25
167:15
283:6

power 24:8,
11 213:1
217:10
246:2

powered
237:4

powering
223:22

practical
39:17

Practice
18:20

practices
38:2,11
42:15
186:13

pre- 217:15

pre-election
222:22
234:8

pre-filled
268:8

preceding
289:24

precinct
50:24
75:20

76:2,9
125:2
128:2,4
174:2
176:25
177:10
178:25
189:25
190:4
192:24
193:18,24
194:6
195:2,11
196:4,8
232:11,25
238:24
263:11,13,
18,20,22,
24 264:10,
11

precincts
124:17
125:2,4,6,
11,13
126:1,6
127:2,25
195:2,4
214:1,18,
20 238:22,
24 272:1,2

predicate
198:15,17

predominant
239:11

prefer 133:6

preference
9:4 241:6
252:10

prefilled
218:6

prematurely
223:22

preparations
147:8

prepare
136:6
265:22

prepared
98:18
138:18

preparing
136:1
215:25

present 99:2
111:11
229:18
264:10
271:24
278:15
281:1,24

presented
14:2 24:2
101:22
111:15

presenting
28:15

preserve
20:18

preserved
19:1

president
259:24

presidential
241:6
252:10

presiding
15:10
236:11

press 28:4,6
115:8
200:11
283:8
288:6

presses
219:6

pressing
219:13
287:22
288:1

pressure
283:13

pressure-point
283:11

presumed
29:18

presumption
24:11,13

pretty 97:15
169:10

previous
46:15
88:13
119:9
191:25
229:8
235:20

previously
127:17
133:6
184:13
190:15
197:22
229:4
232:4
248:13
249:18

prima 22:9
24:4

primarily
36:11

primary 75:2
241:6
252:10

print 64:11
65:6,9
73:2,11,
15,17
279:2

print-on
217:1

printed
76:13,15
217:15
234:11
235:20

printer
217:22
218:1
239:18

printing
236:4,6

printout
268:13

printouts
285:15

prints 66:9

prior 29:15
95:22
101:18
102:8
104:2
118:17
135:4,15
190:15
200:6
249:13
277:10

privacy
32:10

private
249:6

probative
21:4
109:22

problem
18:2,10
20:15
26:8,9
49:22
51:15 69:2
75:18
148:18,20
171:15
176:11
178:9
278:25
282:20,24,
25 283:4
286:22
287:2
288:4

problems
32:18
35:15
37:20
147:15,18,
20 148:2
150:4,6,11
162:18
163:2
167:22
179:25
223:6
287:4,6

procedure
18:15
42:24
136:10
209:10

procedures
37:17,20
38:2,11
42:15

proceed
207:25

proceeding
39:4
135:22
206:13

proceedings
23:2,25

process
19:15
54:15,20
55:4 63:10
135:22
194:13
214:13
216:4,15,
22 252:13
277:20
278:2

processes
37:15

produce
24:10
233:15
241:15
252:18

produced
212:20
214:17
232:6
233:13
268:13

produces
239:4

product
212:17

production
252:22

profession
170:6

professionally
10:15

professor
132:18
133:13
192:22
193:15
195:15
196:2

proffer
131:4,6,9
132:10,11
181:1

proffers
98:13

profiled
26:18

program
141:22
161:22
212:20
219:18
220:8
250:10,13,
25 283:20
286:6

program's
259:11

programing
216:4

programmed
141:22
178:13

programming
15:25
167:13,20
178:4

207:18
211:6
212:24
213:6
218:24
219:1,2,4,
15 220:18
249:8
250:2
277:15
278:8,13
283:15
284:8

programs
245:22,25

progression
40:15

promise 31:8
60:15
79:17
83:18
137:11
210:4

proof 25:4
241:10

proofing
253:11
278:22

proofs 246:2
252:2

propagated
189:10,18

proper 44:22
155:17
172:15
217:15,17,
20,25
235:18

properly
47:25
76:24
77:10
223:24
268:18

properties
141:20

prosecution
22:13

protection
246:11

prove 24:20
110:22
237:20

proved
245:1,2

proven
244:15,17

provide
161:10,20
164:17
214:15
215:6
219:1
252:15,18
267:17

provided
13:22
215:9,17
278:22

providing
42:2

public
113:18
117:10,15
168:13
187:25
218:15
228:15
270:20

publication
163:4

publications
156:20
162:4

publicized
218:13

publicly
85:17

publish
141:4

published
140:22
141:2
148:4
149:24
158:4
160:17

pull 193:6
220:6

pulled 68:11
193:1,2,6,
8,11,13

pulling
133:11

purpose 18:1
164:15
168:20
213:6
220:6
241:10

purposes
239:9
252:2
278:22

push 277:13
287:15

pushing
234:10
238:13
241:11
282:22

put 64:6
71:15
72:6,8
74:17
79:1,2
93:22
108:13
132:9
209:18
216:13
217:1
218:15
223:1
228:11
236:13
242:6
248:8
249:13

250:15,18
283:8

Putin 270:22

puts 85:13
151:15

putting
85:13
108:13
170:15
215:20

Q

quacks
185:24

qualification
42:15
155:11

qualifications
82:11
138:17

qualified
33:9,18
38:6,11
39:2,11
41:18
42:4,6,22,
24 43:1,15
59:20
92:13
97:13
108:11,13
143:11,13
151:11
198:18
213:24

qualify 34:2
92:17,22
97:13
145:20
150:1,10

quantify
175:15

question
9:18 29:25
30:18
32:22
33:15,18,
22 38:4,10
39:25
42:25
43:15 45:2
48:2,13,25
50:4,9,17
51:8,13
54:22
56:10,11
57:4 59:4,
15 74:13
76:17
88:25
97:18
100:4
104:8
106:22
109:6
112:24
120:8
121:10,11,
13,18,20,
24,25
124:2
126:18
127:15
146:10
149:17
154:10
158:15
162:2,24
163:15
165:8
171:9
173:13
176:15,17
182:10
185:9
186:2
191:18
195:22
198:15,22,
24 202:2
203:4
204:24
205:6,18
206:11
208:4
221:18
226:22
231:4,25
232:15
233:18
237:22
247:18,20
249:2
254:1
261:1
267:8
281:4
284:6
288:6

questioning
220:22
275:13

questions
34:8
38:15,20
41:15,17
43:13
51:18
58:15
59:10,24
66:15 79:6
82:2
89:15,20
90:22
91:2,13,15
92:22,25
94:10 96:9
108:18
113:8
114:4
119:22,25
120:25
121:1
123:6,11
128:20
144:24
145:2,10
150:15
153:15
154:6,11
183:25
188:13
203:20
237:20
245:6
254:10
262:11

270:1
279:17
287:8
288:10,13

quickly
15:8,15
187:2
205:18
276:22

quit 179:15
238:13

quote 24:6
25:22
171:11

R

R6 255:13, 15

rabbit 188:4

race 21:11, 24 22:6
28:13 30:2
71:2,15, 17,18,25
73:13
75:15
87:13 89:8
95:22
97:11,15
99:15,18, 24,25
102:6,10
104:15
105:24
106:15
107:22
108:10
109:4
113:20,25
114:1,24
115:22
117:13,17
118:1,2,17
120:10,11, 15 122:25
123:13
125:18
126:2,11, 13 127:18, 20 128:13, 17 171:25
177:11,13
179:15
184:18
199:6
200:6,9
201:18
219:22
221:22
225:13,18
230:11
231:13
240:1,13, 15,18
241:2,18, 24 242:1, 9,11,13
244:13
254:6
255:20
256:1,2, 10,11,15
257:2,6,9, 13,20
258:1,9,13
259:9
262:6
264:18
265:4,6,9, 10,20
268:24
271:4,24
272:2,6,8, 24 276:24
279:2,9
280:22
282:6,11
285:20,22

races 71:4
73:13
94:20,22
95:18,22
102:4,8
108:24
113:18,24
116:6
117:15,18, 24 119:6
124:4
125:25
126:11
198:10
199:9
200:17
201:2
202:11,13
213:24
214:10,11
218:10
239:2,6,15
240:13
241:22
242:2
252:18
254:25
255:22
258:18
263:13,20, 22 264:9, 10 268:22
271:6
272:25
273:18
279:6,8
281:15,17, 24 282:10, 15

racial 28:11
115:10,11

raise 31:6
52:25
53:10
60:15
79:17
83:18
137:11
210:2

raised
57:18,20
131:22
183:24
184:13
190:15
198:2
226:9
230:13
237:18
269:2

270:1

raises 15:17

raising
53:13

ran 26:25
35:4
116:11

random 22:4
175:24
177:18

randomly
176:4

range 26:15
86:2
102:20

rare 179:6

rate 105:8
171:24
174:10
205:22
282:4,11

rates 122:17
123:1,2
124:15

raw 179:6

RE-DIRECT
56:24

re-elect
38:4

re-litigate
284:11

reach 24:8
85:4,18
109:10
260:24

reached
170:22

reaction
73:22

read 20:24
64:8,13,22
131:17
135:20
169:6,22
170:13
171:18
194:18
216:18
218:2
222:6

read- 286:4

read-only
182:24

reader 218:2

reading
133:17

ready 130:9
131:1
218:20
267:6

real 44:18
51:22
128:8
149:2
208:22
276:22
290:18

rearguing
24:2 93:9

reason 14:18
18:1 21:2,
11,25 22:8
109:13,20,
25 148:6
178:6
217:6
221:4
238:9
244:24
269:22

reasons 21:2
28:11
89:11
91:22
92:13 95:4
96:13,15,
22 97:15,
17,18
121:17
122:6
139:8
280:10

rebooting
179:4

rebut 93:13

rebuttal
17:11

rebutted
24:13

recalibrate
278:1

recall 68:4
120:11
121:6
123:8
170:20
204:15
246:15
252:6
258:9
262:17
267:8

recalling
194:4

receipt
234:15

receive
28:20 52:6
76:18
116:4
214:25
215:1
223:6
224:18
264:18,25

received
26:13,17,
18 28:4
95:6
116:22
117:11,25
123:6
125:2
188:1
200:11
202:9,10,
17 215:2
223:6,15
231:20

246:20
255:6
262:15
277:8

receives
73:10

receiving
69:25
231:22
246:15

recent
142:25
152:18

recently
81:24
136:11
140:20
160:20

receptive
217:13
281:10

recertification 229:1

recertified
229:11

reckon
196:18

recommend
206:9
207:8

recommended
186:13

reconciled
16:1

record 18:18
19:2,4
20:10,11
31:24 46:2
51:10 61:6
64:6,20
65:25 66:1
72:13
73:11
80:10
84:10
98:13
106:20
107:20
109:8
121:22
129:25
130:22
132:9
138:2
168:1
169:25
185:20
187:6
190:15,22
209:22
210:18
228:11
233:18
234:15,22
238:11
242:25
244:25
269:4
273:18
275:2
277:13

recorded
29:1 77:10
157:22
219:15
233:11
279:6
287:15,17

recording
9:2 35:2
65:13
234:18

recordings
8:14

records
40:15
44:11,13,
15 45:13
166:11
187:25
232:1,4
247:2

recounting
207:15

recourse
161:10,11

recovered
235:8

RECROSS
286:17
287:10

RECROSS-EXAMINATION
50:13
113:11
114:6

red 49:17
50:6,22

REDIRECT
43:10 93:2
120:4
156:6
187:11
204:1
279:22

reduced
241:13

refer 67:18
99:4

referred
245:17
284:6

referred-to
44:2 52:13
64:2 98:4

referring
204:8,10,
11 245:20

refers 247:1

reflect
101:6
130:22

reflected
111:25

reframe
158:25
172:25

refused
184:24

regard
121:15
247:1

registered
77:22
80:18
81:6,25
83:2
275:15,24

registration
9:13
157:22
166:9
167:6,9
189:20
204:18,25
205:2,8,13
225:10,13,
24 226:2
227:4,11
237:18
238:6
247:2
260:25

Registrations
28:18,24
38:20
89:20
150:24

regular
177:22

regulation
164:4

regulations
240:17

regurgitating
184:13

rejected
223:22

relate 58:24
142:20
251:13,15,
18 281:2

related
141:1
165:13
206:20
214:18,20
243:13
244:6,9
251:20

relates
96:24
155:2

relating
67:25
101:18
190:25
226:20

relation
265:2
280:20

relationship
239:4

relative
201:15

relayed
214:2

release
157:2
273:15

relevance
57:18
59:15
165:13
180:22
184:15
226:13

relevancy
145:6
162:15
165:13
228:2

relevant
45:25
57:22
59:17 92:2
116:20
146:4
155:2,13
162:18
181:2
184:17
206:13
225:15
247:22
248:6

reliable
20:2

relied
170:11
171:15

relies 24:11

relocated
211:15

rely 170:4,
6

relying 17:4

remain 60:2

remaining
17:9 18:2

remedy 25:25

remember
110:20
113:4
191:10
198:24

reminder
16:10

removed
217:4

render
198:18

renew 41:18
97:1
202:20
228:17

renewed
112:15

reorganization
34:18

repair
150:11
277:24

repeat
198:22

repeated
166:22

repeatedly
182:13,15
247:4

repeating
39:24
164:13

repel 24:9

rephrase
106:18
153:17,18
195:22,24
230:18
232:20

rephrasing
59:6

report 75:6,
20 76:22
77:8 98:18
99:2,10
104:25
160:18,22
168:10,13
178:24
214:22
223:2
262:13,15,
20,22
263:13,17
264:11
265:22
266:6,22
271:22
278:20

reported
76:1,6,10,
17 157:20
174:1
179:2,11
191:15
195:8,9
232:8
234:6,11,
22 279:4

reporter
61:9
129:4,6,9,
11

reporting
63:11
75:13
149:17
171:25
172:2
179:4,13
272:2

reports
160:20
191:4
214:17
235:22
252:15
262:11
263:11
264:6,8
266:10,11
271:22
278:20
279:2

represent
38:18
89:18
150:22

representative
16:18
25:25
124:6,13,
18 125:2,
13 126:1,
2,8,22
127:2,25

representing
25:18

Republican
177:13
178:4,8
204:11
219:15
220:11
240:11
241:6
259:4

Republicans
252:11

request
10:11
11:11
12:13 17:4
29:13
44:11,13
51:11
98:18
135:2
183:25
267:17

requested
184:2

requests
44:15

require
23:15 96:2
149:20

required
14:15 43:1
56:8 59:11
154:25

requirements
53:10

requires
26:4 47:22
135:2
230:15
235:15

research
103:20
140:20
142:2
189:6

researched
142:4

resemble
108:17,25

reserved
26:1

reside
219:15
283:25
286:9

residence
166:6

residency

249:13

resident
39:10 41:6
80:20

resides
196:15
283:20
284:1,22
285:4

resisted
24:24

resolved
15:8 67:9,
10

resources
32:17 87:2

respect 29:4
124:9,10
135:2,18
158:11
159:15
162:13
228:22
238:2
253:13,15,
18

respond
117:2
131:24,25
145:22
155:15
277:18
283:4

responded
162:4
182:15

responding
106:20
149:11

response
11:20,22
14:9 22:24
23:1,2
44:11
69:25
112:15
120:8
192:1
204:18
235:2
283:2

responses
223:4

responsible
213:11

responsive
32:22
218:10
235:18
278:6

rest 51:11
132:4
139:9
192:6,13
203:2

restating
248:13

restored
235:11

result 19:9,
22 26:4
29:22
30:22
48:18
69:22
71:10
222:18
233:15
234:6
235:9,24,
25 242:4
256:13
257:13
262:6
280:2

results
19:18
22:18
25:15
29:20
35:22
85:15
86:25
87:18
89:13
92:1,13
94:13
96:24
157:4,8
172:6
173:2
174:2
177:8
190:10
219:25
236:20
262:13
268:13,15
269:11
282:13

retained
211:25

retender
96:20

retired
34:15

return 151:8
215:6

returning
72:22

returns
271:4,18
274:2,6

reveals
56:13

reverse
181:9

review 13:2
44:13
70:24,25
94:13
95:11,13
103:8
107:20
108:24
110:9
111:24
114:10
124:6
141:2,4
153:4,10
156:11
160:18

163:4
172:2
178:17,22
179:10,13,
15,17
180:15
182:22
214:13,24
228:22
233:20
237:4,11
252:2,13
276:24

reviewed
39:22
40:2,4
94:18
101:18
105:22
106:1,2
108:24
109:11
147:4,6,9
156:11,15,
20 157:1,
2,4,8,10,
17,20
160:10,15
162:4
178:22
187:20
190:25
199:2
200:6
214:8
272:20

reviewing
164:2
223:4
278:24

reviews
153:8
191:4

Rhonda 9:11

Rice
138:22,24
139:10,11

Richard
16:20

Richmond
229:2

Rick 147:9
157:18
164:4

ride 69:6

rig 23:20

right-hand
241:25

right-leaning
205:25

rights
151:18

ripped
133:22

risk 149:13
166:18,20

rite 131:18

Robyn 131:4

role 30:6
62:6 75:2
90:2
238:18

ROM 182:24

roof 236:9

room 290:18

rooms 291:4

round 176:15

routine
53:10

routinely
228:10

rows 101:25

rule 8:14
10:20
11:2,11
13:1
16:10,11
17:13 18:4
108:18
129:6
133:11
135:2,6,
11,15
136:2
137:1
154:13

ruled 19:4,6
172:15
181:2,4
184:6
190:11
202:25
208:18
221:2
237:15
238:8,13
247:4,17,
25 248:13
261:11
284:11

rules 135:20
136:18,22
240:8,9,
17,22

ruling
130:10
169:25
190:15
208:8
209:10,11

rulings
143:22

run 27:25
86:11
116:17
141:11,20
142:15
152:4,8,11
207:8
244:22
259:25
260:4,9,11
262:13,20,
22

running
27:22
115:6
128:15,18
142:6

152:15
166:2
180:4
200:4
201:10
202:18

runoff 62:18

Russia
270:22

S

sadly 21:18

safe 291:11

sample 21:9
149:15
167:6
214:15,22
252:15
278:22

samples 22:4

Sara 60:11,
22 61:2,6
65:15
72:20
74:25
77:15
78:13

satisfactory
24:11

satisfied
13:20,22

satisfy 14:2
83:4

saved 215:2,
13 284:17
285:2

saving
217:25

scalability
252:8

scaling
241:4,11,
13

scan 216:1
239:8,18
252:17
265:4

scanners
216:1

scenarios
194:24

schedule
129:18
135:24

scheduled
135:15

scholarly
156:15

school 61:24
81:13
117:9
131:18
139:1,2,13
195:6

science
39:15
81:15
84:22
138:6,25
139:4,18
162:6,11,
13 163:4
168:9
198:4
199:2

Science's
160:22
168:18

Sciences
156:24
170:2

scientific
148:15

Scientists
168:20

scoring
142:20

screen 40:10
49:4,15
70:24,25
172:2
179:10,13,
15,18
217:11
218:11
219:9
223:20
224:2,11
235:15,17,
18 239:18
241:4,11,
13,18
242:2,9,11
255:15,17,
20 256:15
259:2
266:15
268:1
278:1
280:22
281:15
282:2,20,
25 283:10
285:11
286:22
287:15

screens
50:22
160:4
254:6
255:20
256:13

sealed
218:20

seat 31:11
61:8 79:9,
20 80:8
83:22

seats 8:8
10:2 124:6

secondary
19:24

seconds
289:1

secretary
44:13,15
45:13 46:4
57:15 74:9

76:6,11
95:8,17
98:22
99:10
101:13,18
104:20
105:22
106:9,13
107:2,6
109:17
117:1
118:13
131:6,13
167:11
177:8
192:4
201:10
210:22
211:1,13,
17,22
213:8,10,
22 214:8,9
222:20
225:4,20
226:18
227:13
228:25
229:9,13,
24 230:4,9
231:11,15,
20,24
232:11
233:1
235:2
236:22
237:10
246:4
248:20,22,
25 249:10,
13 260:24
265:11
267:6,15,
24 269:13,
15 273:17
274:2,6
280:13
281:24

section
225:4

secure
141:11

Secured
168:10

security
140:10,11,
18,20,24
141:20
158:6
166:24

seek 27:25

seeking 37:6
52:25

selected
193:22

selecting
193:22

selection
70:25
71:2,17,24
168:15
259:4

selections
268:9

self- 67:11
70:20

self-cast
71:22

self-correct
67:11

self-governance
81:20

seminar
140:20

senate 15:11
30:2
113:25
120:11,15
127:18,20
255:20,25
256:1,10
257:1,6,
11,13,18
262:6
276:24

send 44:15
53:18
267:22

sending
53:17

sends 267:18

senior 28:9
67:20 68:1
73:24
290:1

separate
229:2
233:4
258:18

sequence
25:4
233:24
246:13

sequestered
17:2

sequestration
25:6

serial 40:6
217:2

serve 166:10

server 36:13
166:1
189:10
245:20,22
246:1,9,10
250:13,15,
18 251:20
252:1

servers
237:8

service
72:2,4,6,8
113:18
117:10,15
136:15

serving
154:17

session
15:10

sessions

172:4

set 25:22
55:13,18
56:11
112:22
133:15,18
134:4
136:9
166:4
181:15
208:11
217:13
218:20
221:22
239:9,17,
18 240:2,
11,13
252:13
257:4
277:22

setting
25:24
217:24
278:4

setup 56:18

seventh
220:11

sheer 239:15

short 50:18
83:11

shortly
34:22
200:11

show 22:15
23:17,18,
24 24:4,18
26:6,11,13
28:2,22,25
29:24
30:22
38:15
52:11,20,
24,25
56:15
101:25
103:11
104:25
105:4
166:11
167:17
177:9
219:25
220:10
225:22
233:15
234:4
244:22
254:17,24
255:18
263:6,8,20
264:8
266:4,11
271:15,18
273:22
274:17
278:25
279:2

showed 180:9
232:24
233:2
241:13
266:24,25

showing
30:11
179:6
218:11
232:8
242:9
263:22
283:2

shown 24:4
30:18 97:6
234:6
241:4
254:4
264:11
287:1

shows 20:22
23:11
56:15,17
99:13
105:6
220:11
222:6
234:9
254:22
263:17,18
264:9
266:8,15
271:22,25
272:1,2,4,
6 279:6

shut 244:22

shutdown
40:13

shutting
68:22

sic 26:17
133:18
176:25

side 10:10
118:13
132:1
201:6
239:11
241:8,24,
25

sides 17:22
111:13
181:13
183:24
185:22

sidetracked
182:2

sift 96:4

sign 49:18
51:4
130:20
177:17

sign-in
252:1

signed
215:17

significance
26:9

significant
15:25
25:20
152:24
161:20
166:9
167:10
171:24

172:6,8
173:22,25
174:4,11
188:9

significantly
174:1,11
176:6
202:9
282:4

signoff
214:25
215:1,2

signs 16:2
148:22
150:4
170:18,22
171:2,10,
22 178:20
179:2
191:2

similarities
152:25

simple
22:22,25
23:2,4
97:15

simplistic
175:10

simply 11:22
15:13 22:4
23:13
28:13 42:2
111:13
172:15
175:17
184:13
198:25
209:9
220:6
227:1
248:13
265:11
273:25

single
155:17
189:8

sir 17:1
18:20
20:11 53:9
114:4
122:1
140:6,18
141:8
143:13
144:4
152:2,6,
13,18
153:2,13,
24 156:18,
22 157:2,
6,9,13,20
158:2
159:18
160:13
163:6,17,
24 168:6
170:20,25
177:6
180:9,18
185:13
187:18,20
189:4
190:2,4
193:20
196:9,13
197:20
198:6
199:22
200:4
201:8,13
205:11
208:13
209:6
231:13
235:1
245:1,6
250:15,17,
20 251:15,
18 255:2
258:11
269:24
271:11
274:17,20,
22 275:6
281:22

Sister 81:13

sit 9:2
10:17,18
13:10,11
68:22
137:4
138:2
210:22

site 235:6
246:8

sitting
20:13 69:6
196:13

situation
136:15
223:1
247:25
256:6
265:15

situations
231:1

six-pack
220:13

size 240:10
241:11

skip 122:25
281:6

skipping
34:20
143:2

Skype
133:22,25
134:4,20,
22 136:22

slightly
35:8

slowly 270:6

small 32:11
49:13
53:11
64:13 65:9
101:1
149:15
183:10,11

smear 58:2,
6,11

smooth 63:10

smoothly 129:18

Smyth 9:11, 24

software 147:18 150:4 152:11,15, 22 158:17 159:13,15, 20 161:13 166:4 178:1 179:8 180:4 249:9 283:6 286:9

sole 184:11

solely 128:2,4 206:15

solicit 191:15

soliciting 53:6

somebody's 278:13

sort 10:4 42:1 74:8 116:2,17 122:8,17 141:17 161:22 179:2,24 180:18 213:6 220:15 228:4 237:11 272:11 275:13 280:6,8,18

sound 185:18 193:10

sounded 192:13

sounds 185:22 194:8,11 209:8 236:2

source 157:1,4 161:18 162:2

sources 172:11 191:6

South 36:11 185:25 260:11

space 239:25 257:6

speak 49:20 106:20,22 139:6 219:18 224:8 234:20

speaking 204:6

specialized 39:15

specialty 270:4

specific 10:15 29:20 48:13,15 87:20 89:13 92:1 142:11 147:15 158:18 162:20 179:24 213:25 216:4

specifically 117:4 127:22 152:4 156:18 181:13 190:11 191:13,17 194:22 204:17 207:11 219:22 228:1 288:4

speculates 221:8

speculation 26:4 30:10 122:13 173:8 285:25 286:1

speed 100:6 248:4

spell 61:8

spending 34:24 35:13

spent 32:15 35:18 36:20

split 238:24 241:18 253:18

splitting 242:13

spoke 74:1

spoken 157:25 158:6

spontaneously 179:4

spreadsheet 64:13 65:2,6,10, 11

spring 37:20

square 26:24

stack 57:8

staff 39:8

211:18,20

stamp
217:17,18

stand 42:1
58:20
63:13
248:9

standard
56:2,4,18
170:6
237:6
241:22

standing
11:17
49:10

standpoint
159:25

stands
212:18
286:8

Stark 132:18
133:15
134:22
158:6
190:6,13
191:4
192:22
193:15
195:17
196:2
197:22

start 65:15
66:10,11
122:25
207:18
213:20
218:4
258:18
289:11
291:17

started
33:25
67:15,17
68:9,22
180:6
239:20

starters
122:10

starting
16:13,15
36:10
160:4
207:20
280:20
289:10

starts 66:22
122:20

state 15:11
19:17
21:10 23:6
26:13
28:20
31:24
33:13
38:13
44:13
45:13 46:4
57:11 61:6
74:9 76:11
80:9,18
84:10
90:15
98:24
101:13
104:9
105:24
106:9
107:6
109:17
117:1
118:13
124:6,13,
18 125:2,
10,11,13,
25 126:1,
6,22
127:2,25
131:6,13
136:10
138:2
147:10
149:10
153:4
159:13
160:18
165:1
166:24
167:15,17
182:22
186:6,11,
18,20,22
187:22
188:2
192:4
196:11,15
198:17
200:24
201:2,10
202:4,11
206:9
207:18
210:18
211:1,6,8,
10,15
212:8,9
222:20
225:20
226:20
227:15,18
229:10,13,
18 230:9
231:11,15
235:2
236:22
237:8,10
239:25
240:8
241:20
243:15
244:2,6,9
246:4,6
247:15
248:15,20,
22,25
249:9,11
250:6,11
255:11
256:2,11
258:25
264:18
265:11
267:18,24
269:17
273:17
281:25
284:4,9

state's 76:6
95:8,18
99:10
101:18
104:20
106:15
107:2
167:11
177:8
210:22
211:13,17,
22 212:4
213:10,22
214:8,9
222:20
225:6
227:13
228:25
229:25
230:6
231:20,24
232:11
233:1
246:4
248:22
249:13
260:24
267:6,15,
24 269:13
274:2,6
280:13

stated
109:15
167:2
212:6

statement
9:8 16:6
18:11,13
51:9,13
110:22

statements
97:15
111:2
157:10,15
178:18
181:10

states 54:18
81:20
95:11,22
96:20
103:9
151:20
159:6
167:1
256:10
257:1,6,
11,18
260:2,11,
15 262:2

statewide
26:25
40:17
46:20
85:15
113:20
116:6,11
124:17
126:6
127:2
202:13
264:10
271:6
272:25
273:2,17

statically
173:20

stating
231:22

statistic
173:24

statistical
149:13
174:13
190:4,6
192:24
193:15,18
196:4,6
197:25

statistically
173:24
174:4,11

statistics
149:20
174:17

status
266:9,13

statute 12:6
14:4,9,20
15:17 16:6
24:6
240:11,13
253:13

statutory
25:22
30:17

stay 10:22
16:18,22
17:18

staying
10:25 11:4
18:11

stays 10:24

steps 153:10
219:4
278:4

Steven
243:11

stick 111:15

stipulate
82:22
110:11
248:4

stipulated
110:22
111:4,6
273:15

stipulation
82:25
110:15

stood 208:6

stop 209:4

stopped
49:18

store 290:10

stored 183:1
235:10

strategies
85:4 87:1

strategy
86:22
87:20

stress
201:15

stricken
51:13
190:15
191:25
202:22
220:22

strike 16:4
51:9
194:25
199:25
221:4

strips
197:17,18

strong 41:25

struck 50:2

structurally
238:20

structure
222:4
241:1

student
81:17

studied
118:6

studies
139:20
157:8
189:6
194:18

study 84:22
86:11 90:4
117:20
118:4,6
138:24
139:2,15
144:13
160:15
168:18

studying
138:6

stuff 111:9
131:17
150:8
154:13
167:18
170:13
171:18
185:6
221:13
290:8,11
291:2

stupid
181:22

style 239:4,8

subject
140:8
160:11
184:25

submission
198:13

submit 71:18
74:4

submitted
71:20
77:1,2
131:6
176:24

subset
149:15

substantially
155:22

substitute
169:18

succeed
29:22
30:24

successful
87:2 88:22

successfully
73:18

suddenly
136:20

suffice 28:1

sufficient
14:2 165:1

suggest
170:9

suggesting
219:13

suggestion
237:17

summary
224:11
271:22

summer 142:1

superintendent
16:22
117:10

Superior
135:2,11

superstar
201:6

support
13:24 42:2
73:18
142:18
198:13

supporting
246:6

suppose
115:2
154:4
194:15
219:2

supposed
8:15 55:25
135:9
215:11

Supreme 30:9

Surely 38:17
110:4

surgery
64:22

surprise
119:15

survey
156:18

suspicious
200:18
204:8

sustain
172:24

188:11
228:6
232:18
248:17

Sustained
104:4
226:15
228:18
282:8
284:13

swear 31:4

swift 14:20

switch
219:13

switching
220:17

sworn 31:18
60:25 80:2
84:4
137:20
210:13

sync 67:8

system 19:18
26:13
27:13
33:11
35:6,10,
11,13
37:22
41:13
59:11
65:2,13
81:18
142:17
143:4,6,9
144:25
145:1,2,8,
13 147:4,6
148:22
151:9,10,
15 152:4,
9,17
155:20
157:2
160:17
163:9,22
164:6,8,25
165:2,20
166:2,15
167:6,11
171:22
173:4
177:17
178:20
182:24
183:20
184:9
204:20,25
205:2,8,9
207:11
212:6,22
215:18
217:18
219:4,18
225:20,24
226:4,11
227:4,6,
10,11,15,
20 228:1
229:1
230:10
231:11,17
237:2,4,6
238:6,18
244:15
247:6,9,
10,11,15,
17 248:6,
20 249:10,
13,15,18,
22,25
250:1,9,22
260:25
265:24
267:22
269:18
270:9,10,
11,17,18,
24 272:4,
18 277:22
278:4
279:6
285:11
286:24
287:1

system-wide
179:24

systematic
28:11 96:4

systems 35:2
141:6,20
142:22
144:18
146:22
159:2
160:11
163:9
189:20
206:10
211:2,4
212:18
227:25
228:24
244:2
245:22
246:4
249:11
267:11

T

table 10:2,
4,17,18
11:11
32:20
99:4,9
101:22
102:2,24

tabulate
75:4

tabulated
233:4
269:11
272:11

tabulating
272:4

tainted
23:11

takes 27:11
119:18
163:4
216:22
225:1
239:4

taking 33:15
48:9 49:4

67:15
101:9,13
109:20
129:2,20
179:18
216:4

talk 49:24
69:4
110:22
111:8
133:13
177:2
185:25
198:2
248:10
270:6
289:2

talked 77:4
78:20
122:2
149:4
163:13,20
164:6
169:6
189:6,24
261:13
275:11

talking 58:4
112:4
126:11
165:9,10
195:20
215:10,11
227:24
244:13
248:11
254:17
269:1

tallies
16:1,2
40:15

tangible
19:22

tape 73:11,
20

tapes 72:25
73:2,9
76:15
191:24
197:18
217:15
268:13

Taran 43:18,
20 45:13

target 85:18
207:10

Targetsmart
84:13
85:6,11,13

taught
140:18

teach 140:17

teacher
81:13

team 28:1

tear 144:13

tease 87:13

Tech 138:22
139:8

technical
41:22
42:22
67:11
73:18
74:11
166:13
167:18

technically
90:11

technician
181:8

technicians
180:22
181:6

technology
141:20
142:18
143:2
233:24
249:11

telephone
130:11
132:20
133:2,20
134:2

telling
134:6
264:13

tells 283:6

telltale
148:22
150:4
170:18,22
171:2,10,
22 177:17
178:18
179:2
191:2

Temple 63:1,
4

ten 35:4,
13,18
144:6
202:2
242:22
273:20

tendency
166:11

tender 45:18
52:11 54:1
89:11
131:2
150:2
255:2
264:2
266:18
273:4,20
274:9
275:1,8
288:11

tendered
46:4,6
74:15 92:9
273:10

tendering
131:9
273:8,9,11

term 116:18
118:18
184:4

201:6

terming
184:2

terminology
99:13

terms 17:13
23:17
24:15 25:4
27:1 33:10
42:2,11
90:8
91:22,25
99:13
100:2,20
104:2
195:10,13
198:9
199:13,24,
25 201:22
202:15
240:4
260:18
262:6

test 175:20
217:9,11
218:6,24
222:10,22
268:2,4,8,
15 283:10

tested 35:11
54:18
55:18
203:13
268:22

testified
17:18,24
18:2,11
31:18
36:22
38:22 42:6
46:11
60:25
78:6,20
80:2 84:4
91:24
93:15
101:17
109:20
113:17
120:8
137:20
154:18
155:22
169:2,4
170:18
176:1,18
190:10
192:4,8
195:15
196:2
197:22
204:13
205:17
206:2
210:13
227:13
275:20

testify
17:10,11
20:4 34:1
43:6 48:11
92:11
96:17
97:17
100:2
104:4
123:22
130:11
155:2
156:2
169:6
186:2
190:17
191:15

testifying
36:6 96:8
104:1
192:15

testimony
17:4,13,20
50:6 60:6
72:22
79:11
82:18
93:13
97:10
121:6
131:6
132:20
136:2
146:8,9
147:9
151:13
156:2
157:17
163:11
164:2,4,
10,15
169:2
172:17,22
175:2
181:18
190:13,20,
24 191:15,
25 195:1
202:20
203:1
205:20
208:1
220:2,8
227:15
249:20
252:6
282:17

testing
217:11,22,
25 218:2,
4,13,15,
17,20
222:13,17,
18,22
223:13
233:11,13
234:6,8
249:15
265:22
267:10,11,
20 268:20
269:17,20,
22 277:18
287:2

tests 149:15
222:18
229:8

thanking
82:8

that'll
125:22

theory
280:1,18

there're
216:22

thing 20:10
29:2 30:17
47:9 58:10
97:18
119:2
145:18,20
185:11
207:17
228:10
252:17
272:11
284:15
285:1

things 8:11
16:2 22:20
26:6 65:13
79:2 81:4
88:13 90:4
94:6
108:15
130:2
133:2,17
140:25
160:15
167:20
170:2
181:15
217:20
223:25
232:20
233:22
240:25
244:20
248:4
269:11
280:10,13
285:4,10
288:25

thinking
119:2
258:18
280:2

thinks
206:11

third-party
113:2
123:18

Thorpe 65:20

thought
76:22,24
77:1 79:2
110:18
115:18
193:8
195:15
280:15

thousand
26:20
102:17
124:17
126:6

thousands
21:25
96:18
170:13

three-and-a-half 276:4

three-and-a-half-time
119:13

three-column
239:22

thrown
280:15

THURSDAY 8:2

ticket 27:22
94:20
259:6,25
260:4,6,9,11,15
280:15

tied 205:24
206:1

time 11:13
12:15
30:1,2
33:20
34:25
35:6,9,13
37:22 49:4
62:2 67:11
68:13 72:2
81:17
90:20 92:4
93:20,22
113:25
123:2
127:20
130:6
135:8
137:2
160:9
165:9
217:4,13,25 218:18,22 236:13
238:15
239:25
245:6
252:13
258:25
260:20
261:2,22,25 276:6
280:4

timeframe
268:11

timeliness
15:17

times 27:9
36:24
39:11
49:18
63:22
133:4
147:8
160:6
164:6
245:11
272:2
276:4

tired 208:18

today 11:22
23:18
33:15
53:15
78:20
102:15
111:11,15

130:24
134:13
144:24
145:2,15
184:8,11
188:6
196:13
208:22
226:8,9
260:4

told 16:22
58:4 67:11
70:20,22
76:13 77:6
180:10
191:13
208:4

tomorrow
23:18
134:4
289:15,25
290:4,15
291:10,17,
20

tone 74:1

tool 63:11
251:22

top 40:6
122:20
160:18
161:9
248:10,11
257:9,20
274:18

topic
185:13,15,
17,18

topic's
185:15

tops 73:2

total 75:13,
20 76:2,8
99:6,17
100:10,11,
15 101:10,
13,15
102:6
104:10
116:4,22
120:10,18
232:24,25
233:2,4
271:25
272:4
283:9,18
289:6

totally
128:2

totals 19:25
94:18 95:1
117:6
128:2,4
177:4
194:2
220:4
233:8
235:20

touch 217:13
218:9
219:24
223:20
235:15,18
239:18
266:15
268:1
283:2
285:11
286:22

touched
40:22
71:20
153:9

touching
224:15
235:17
283:1

touchscreen
223:24
224:11

traces 19:24
20:22

tracked
103:2

tracking
115:25

trail 161:17

trained
36:15,18
153:2

training
39:15
62:13 94:8
144:17
169:11

transferring
216:17

transition
248:24

transitioned
239:22
248:22
249:9

transparency
32:9

treated
187:24

treatment
200:11

trend 94:24
102:13
103:2

trends 87:4,
11 88:2,
11,18,22
89:11 90:2
91:20
95:20

triable
12:10
14:6,15
15:20,24

trial 11:18
12:9
13:15,20,
25 14:6
15:2 16:6
52:22
53:1,2,4,
6,9,13,15
136:6,9,20
184:8

290:13

trials 53:18,20

trouble 20:13 21:18,20 92:18 94:6 97:17 167:15 272:11

troubled 35:18,20

troublesome 47:13

truck-trailer 34:17

true 19:18 23:6,8 45:11 52:10 59:6 65:11 70:13 123:11 199:2 243:25

Trusted 142:4

truth 31:8,9 60:15,17 79:18 83:18,20 137:13 192:2 210:4

TS 157:2 266:9

TSX 255:13, 15

turn 57:2, 13 67:22 184:15 245:11 281:13 291:6

turned 81:4

turning 68:10 182:20 183:1

turnout 88:11,13 122:13 127:13 194:25 274:18,20, 22 275:2, 6,11 276:1

Tweet 52:18 57:10

two-column 239:18,22 240:2 258:17

two-fold 22:24

two-hour 47:10

two-step 13:18

type 26:8 55:9 121:24 122:22 226:10

types 85:15 86:25 105:22 147:15 158:20 159:18

typically 17:2 148:13 161:11 175:20

Tyson 14:24 29:13,15 38:4,9,15, 18 39:2 41:15,18, 20 44:6 50:15 51:11,18 57:18 77:17,18 78:10 89:15,18 90:1,20,24 91:1,18 92:9 96:6 97:1 98:11 103:25 106:18 108:11 109:2,13 113:10,15 121:9,20 123:20 127:6,11 128:6 133:6,10, 11 134:13, 25 136:11 150:15,20, 22 153:17, 20 154:4, 15 158:13 163:11 165:4 168:22 172:18 174:18 176:22 180:22 184:15 188:18 189:2 190:9 192:6,8,20 193:13 195:24 196:1,20 197:8,11, 13 198:22 204:13,24 206:11,18, 22 207:1 221:6 225:11 226:6,25 228:2,17 230:15 232:13 233:22

234:2
242:24
243:13
244:6
254:15
255:2,6
263:4
276:15,17,
22 282:6
287:13
288:10

U

U.S. 30:2
113:25
120:11,15
127:18,20
151:4
255:20,25
256:1
257:13
262:6

Uh-huh
43:18,25
47:15
56:22
94:11
95:13
102:25
156:15
200:15
201:20
282:18

ultimately
30:18 76:6

unanimous
161:1
163:6

unclear
195:20

uncontested
8:10

uncovered
35:17

under-
99:20,22
100:13
102:17
124:15
204:8

under-vote
21:6,15
23:9,11
91:22
92:2,13
93:15,24
94:22 95:4
98:20 99:6
100:8,9,13
101:10,11,
15 102:4,
6,9,13,15,
22 103:1,
22 104:2,
10,25
105:6,9,
15,20
106:4,6
107:2,6,11
120:18,20
122:9,18,
20,22
171:24
172:6,8
175:22
200:15
204:6,10
205:22
280:2,11,
13

under-votes
116:20
122:11
172:20
201:18,20
281:2
282:13

under-voting
89:4,13
93:13 94:2
96:13,17,
22,24
104:15
121:4,17
122:17
172:11
173:22
174:6,8,10
200:22

undergraduate
140:18,20

undergraduates
149:6

underneath
257:11

understand
18:22
34:2,4
37:4,6
41:25
43:17
48:13
54:22
58:13
64:15 66:2
75:18
83:11
90:25
93:22
118:25
130:17
135:24
136:15,18
148:18
155:18
162:22
164:20
165:1
168:22
169:22,25
181:22
199:4
202:1
206:25
207:2,4
221:15
232:15
234:1
245:4
251:8
264:13
272:9
290:1,15

understanding
18:4 24:1
37:4,8

38:1 54:20
59:9,11
69:18,20
73:10
96:13,22
100:20
105:11,13
123:15
158:1
168:11,18
246:18
270:2

understands
22:22 23:4

understood
64:6 76:1

undertake
126:11

undertaken
180:6
227:18
229:15,18
231:11
280:18

undertook
168:20
229:1

unfit 151:4
161:2,4

uniformly
200:24
250:11

unit 216:20
241:22
266:15
278:6
287:18
288:6

United 81:20
256:10
257:1,6,
11,18

units 205:11
213:1
216:13

university
61:25
81:15
84:20,25
138:6,11,
22 139:10,
15 211:10
212:1

unknown 23:4
201:15

unlike 28:2

unpack 183:6

unsafe
161:2,4

unsuccessful
26:15

untenable
246:20

unusual 50:2
68:25
277:10

unverifiable
35:20
37:22

upheld 30:25

upload
266:13

uploaded
232:1,4
233:6
266:17
267:2
269:11

usage 160:22

user 219:18

ushers 55:6

utilizing
270:22

utterly 14:4

V

vague 226:20

valid 29:18
109:17
232:6

validate
214:10
215:15
217:11
218:9,10
232:2
233:13
249:17
268:13

validated
215:10

validating
252:18

values
214:20

variables
23:6

variety 29:8

vast 34:24

vendor
260:25

verifiable
32:18
37:13

verification
161:25
215:6

Verified
141:9,13,
18,25
150:25
151:1,8

verify 64:20
229:4

verifying
262:11

version
64:11 65:9
152:11,18
155:20
166:4

versions
142:25
158:17
159:13

versus 9:11
25:22
27:13
33:11
101:4
119:8
128:1
131:8
151:11
199:13,20
200:2
202:17
272:24
276:11

vested
142:18

victory
26:10
184:11

video 135:2

videotape
268:4

view 109:22
134:1
163:4,6
279:4

violate
137:1

violation
26:2

Virginia
61:25

virtue 27:15

virus 178:15

vis-à-vis
201:22

visible
218:11

vision-impaired
55:8

voice 74:2

volunteer
52:4 62:11

volunteered
62:9

vote 16:1,2
19:15,20
20:2,24
21:13,18,
20,22,24
22:2,10,18
23:6,20
25:8
26:13,20,
22 27:2,6
41:8 42:13
47:11
49:15,17
50:18
58:22 68:8
69:9 71:4,
18 74:4
76:24 77:9
80:22 81:6
83:2 89:6
95:1,4
99:20,24
100:15,17,
22 102:18
105:1,9
108:9
109:11
114:15,24,
25 115:15
117:6
118:22
122:4
157:11
177:8
179:18
194:2
201:22
203:13
204:9
205:4
219:6,10
220:15
223:2
226:4,11
231:18
232:10,24,
25 233:2,
4,8,11,20
234:20
268:17
272:11
281:6
283:18
285:11,13

voted 21:11
25:8 27:8,
10 41:1,2,
4,10 82:22
100:15
119:20
179:11
200:25
257:13
261:25
276:11,13

voter 28:13
30:6 32:10
49:15
54:22
55:4,9,13
56:4,8
67:20
68:1,4,13,
18,20
69:4,11,
15,17
70:1,22,24
71:4,6,15,
22 73:24
76:22 77:8
78:6 85:15
86:24
88:18
118:20,22
119:18
157:22
166:9
167:6,8
178:24
179:10
189:20
194:20,22
199:24
204:24
205:2,8,13
218:2
219:6,15
223:22
225:9,13,
24 226:11

227:4
228:1
241:15,20
242:6,8
247:2
257:22,25
259:6
260:25
262:15
263:17,22,
24 266:9
268:9
274:22
275:20
276:11
281:11
282:11
283:9
287:15,20,
25

voter's
70:22 74:4
87:13
259:10

voter-level
85:15

voters 27:6,
11,20 29:1
30:4 49:6
56:2,4
59:13
67:15
82:22 83:2
85:18
87:15,20,
24 114:15,
24,25
115:11
119:8,13,
18 121:4,
20,22
122:2,4,8,
15,24
151:17
157:10
164:2
172:2
176:2
177:18
178:22
179:4,9,13
194:24
198:8
199:1
202:17
223:6
225:1
258:2,22,
24 260:20
261:1,2,9,
22,24
262:2
274:17
275:15,24
276:4
280:22
281:9
282:2,4

voters'
247:11

votes 21:6,8
23:10
25:20
26:10,17,
18,20
30:8,18
35:2 48:9
73:11
75:4,15,20
76:2,8
86:17
99:17
101:15
102:17
104:15
106:13
115:20
116:4,9,
10,22
117:11,18,
25 119:8
123:6,13,
18 124:13,
18 125:2,
15,17
126:2,8,22
127:2
161:18
176:6
184:11
188:9
194:6,9,10
200:25
201:25
202:10
218:8
219:9
220:11
247:11
265:22
267:2
271:4,9
272:4,6
275:13
277:13
285:18,20

voting
19:18,22,
25 20:25
21:6
32:13,18
33:6,11
35:2,6
38:2 39:15
44:11
46:18,22
47:6 48:8
54:15
62:18
65:15
68:15
70:22 87:4
88:2,8
89:8,11
90:2 91:20
95:20
105:9,11,
13,15
106:9
107:4,8,
15,18,22
108:8,9
110:9
114:20
123:1,17
124:10,15
128:2
141:9,13,
18,25
142:11,13,

22 143:2,
11 144:18
147:4
150:25
151:1,8,15
152:11,17
153:6
154:18,22
157:4
161:4
172:2
173:2,22
174:1,2
175:15
176:11
177:4,13
197:17,18
198:9
205:18
211:6
212:8
213:2
215:24
216:6,11
218:22
219:13
220:4,9,10
223:20
225:24
227:6
228:22
229:1,4,15
230:11,22
231:13
249:15
258:8,25
259:1,6
260:20
266:11
267:6
268:10
276:6
277:25
278:15
285:22

vulnerabilities 145:18
166:10
167:9,15
251:13,15

vulnerability
145:13,18
150:2
151:15
157:22
162:8
163:20
165:22
166:22
167:10

vulnerable
144:18,25
146:24
163:2
166:4
167:1

W

wait 37:24
41:4 59:22
68:22
92:15
202:6
279:15

waited 70:2

waiting 69:6

walk 66:15
136:20

walking 68:6

walks 21:9

wanted 17:22
23:15
68:25
77:20
130:15
184:24
186:6
219:13
239:25

wanting
150:9

warning
49:18

watch 40:13
108:18
130:22

watched
40:9,13

watcher
36:15
39:11
40:9,17
46:11,15,
18,20,24
47:2 48:22
62:9
77:20,22,
25 81:17,
22,25
82:6,8

watching
50:2

water 36:2
187:2

ways 73:17
144:4
183:2
231:9
261:17

weaker 24:11

web 245:20,
22 246:9,
10 250:13,
15,18
251:20
270:10
276:10

website
63:13
99:11
101:18
104:20
251:24
274:2

week 182:15

weekend
225:8,10

weeks 136:4
290:2

weight
109:22
156:2

173:10

well-known 201:11

wer 232:2

whatsoever 97:10

where'd 138:20

white 255:15

who've 133:22

whoa 16:8

Wilke 8:18, 19

William 210:10,15, 20 243:4 254:13 276:20 279:22 286:18 287:11

win 86:13, 22 220:15

Windows 142:17,24, 25 143:1

wins 25:2

Winterville 176:2,10, 11,18,20 177:4 189:25 191:1,11 192:24 193:22 195:2,6, 11,17 196:4 202:20 207:24

Winterville's 197:1

wipe 26:9

withdraw 38:10 48:2,4 50:9 59:2 100:4 106:22 124:2

witness' 146:6

witnessed 51:1

witnesses 11:15 16:11 17:2,6 25:4,6 130:4 134:1

woman 82:6

won 25:18 177:11,13

wonderful 81:18

word 76:18 148:6,11 173:8 181:13,20 208:24 209:1 214:15 229:22

worded 50:4 127:17

words 26:22 133:20 240:18

work 18:25 26:6 35:11,18 36:25 37:15,20 39:13 48:22 61:17,20 82:9 85:11 86:6 88:17 89:6 95:11,25 108:25 139:25 141:6,13, 15,17 142:20 149:18,20 156:13 187:18 199:4 222:20 249:25 253:13 288:25

worked 23:24 30:4 91:8 132:25 133:4 141:6,10 144:4,6 150:25 189:2

worker 55:6 76:22 153:2 288:4

workers 67:4 73:15 76:13

working 23:13 24:22 48:9,20 49:18 85:1,2 140:4 141:8 142:13 207:20 229:4,6 268:2

works 32:9 47:1 156:18 164:8

worry 182:2

Worth 14:11

wrap 229:20

write-
272:13

write-in
265:1
271:4,9
272:17
285:18,20

write-ins
272:8,9,
10,11,15,
18,24
273:1

written 13:2
251:4

wrong 8:11
22:25 45:9
175:8
196:2
197:6
232:10,11

wrote 224:22
285:22

Y

year 21:22
119:20
120:15
140:1,4
194:25
255:10
274:18

years 21:15
32:17
34:20
35:4,13,18
41:8
53:13,17
85:9 93:18
102:10
119:9
143:10
160:2
228:4
274:2
277:10

yielded
222:10

young 82:6

E

X

H

I

B

I

T

4

1 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY

2 STATE OF GEORGIA

3

4 COALITION FOR GOOD . CIVIL ACTION
GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. . FILE NO.: 2018-CV-313418
5

6 MARTIN, SMYTH DUVAL, and .
JEANNE DUFORT, . Taken at:
7

8 Plaintiffs, . Superior Court of Cobb County

9 vs. . 70 Haynes Street

10 ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, . Courtroom 402-M

11 Secretary of State of . Marietta, Georgia 30090
Georgia, et. al .
12

13 Defendants. .
. . . .
14

15
TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL PROCEEDINGS
16

17 FRIDAY, JANUARY 18, 2019

18 9:04 a.m. to 10:49 a.m.

19

20 STATE OF GEORGIA SENIOR JUDGE ADELE P. GRUBBS
REPORTED BY:
21

22 PRISCILLA GARCIA, COURT REPORTER
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF GEORGIA
23

24 TRANSCRIBED BY:

25 CHRISTIAN NAADEN

1

2 APPEARANCES:

3

4 Plaintiff's Counsel: Bruce P. Brown, Esquire

5

6 Bruce P. Brown Law
Floataway Business Complex

7

8 1123 Zonolite Road N.E.

9 Suite 6

10 Atlanta, Georgia 30306

11 404-881-0700
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com

12

13 Defendant's Counsel: John Belinfante, Esquire

14

15 Robbins, Ross, Allot, Belinfante
& Littlefield, LLC

16

17 500 14th Street N.W.

18 Atlanta, Georgia 30318
404-856-3262

19

20 jbelinfante@robbinsfirm.com

21

22

23

24

25

1

2 CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:

3

4 Defendant's Counsel: Vincent R. Russo, Esquire

5

6 Robbins, Ross, Allot, Belinfante
& Littlefield, LLC

7

8 999 Peachtree Street N.E.

9 Suite 1120

10 Atlanta, Georgia 30309

11 404-856-3260
vrusso@robbinsfirm.com

12

13 Defendant's Associate Counsel:

14

15 Alexander F. Denton, Esquire
Robbins, Ross, Allot, Belinfante

16

17 & Littlefield, LLC

18 500 14th Street N.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30318

19

20 404-856-3276
adenton@robbinsfirm.com

21

22

23

24

25

1

2 CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:

3

4 Defendant's Counsel: Edward H. Lindsey, Jr., Esquire

5

6 Dentons US, LLP
303 Peachtree Street N.E.

7

8 Suite 5300

9 Atlanta, Georgia 30308

10 404-527-4580

11 edward.lindsey@dentons.com

12

13 Defendant's Counsel: SAMUEL S. OLENS, ESQUIRE
Dentons US, LLP

14

15 303 Peachtree Street N.E.
Suite 5300

16

17 Atlanta, Georgia 30308

18 404-527-4108
sam.olens@dentons.com

19

20 Defendant's Counsel: Kaye Woodard Burwell, Esquire

21

22 Office of the County Attorney
141 Pryor Street S.W.

23

24 Suite 4038

25 Atlanta, Georgia 30303

1 404-612-0251

2 kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov

CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:

3

4

Defendant's Counsel: Bryan P. Tyson, Esquire

5

6 Strickland, Brockington
& Lewis, LLP

7

8 1170 Peachtree Street N.E.

9 Atlanta, Georgia 30309

10 404-219-3160

11 bryan.tyson@sbllaw.com

12

13 Defendant's Co-Counsel: Richard A. Carothers, Esquire
Carothers & Mitchell, LLC

14

15 1809 Buford Highway
Atlanta, Georgia 30518

16

17 770-932-3552

18 richard.carothers@carsmith.com

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

I-N-D-E-X

3

4 WITNESS	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
Terri R. Thomas	9	14		
5				
6 Richard Barron	18	33		

EXHIBITS:	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
7		
8 NONE		

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1
2
3
4 COBB COUNTY, GEORGIA
FRIDAY, January 18, 2018 - 9:04 a.m.
5
6 * * * * * * *
7
8 THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. Take
9 your seats, if you will. I am a minute or two late, but
10 they wanted us to move again at noon.
11 MR. LINDSEY: Oh.
THE COURT: And I refused, so I've been working that
12
13 little problem out; okay?
MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
14
15 MR. TYSON: Thank you.
THE COURT: One move is enough; we shouldn't have had
16
17 to do that. So we'll see where we go. Okay. Day two,
18 where are we, Mr. Brown? Good morning.
MR. BROWN: Good morning, Your Honor. We have an eye
19
20 witness who will testify as to her voting experience who
is going through security right now.
21
22 THE COURT: Going through security; okay?
MS. BROWN: And then we'd like to put up her first,
23
24 but if we don't do that we would, you know --
25 THE COURT: We'll give -- we'll give her a minute,

1 if --

2 MS. BROWN: And then we have --
THE COURT: Twice the bailiffs in the box, is that
3

4 what we've got? Boy, if you were a jury like -- that --
that's an interesting jury up there in that box.
5

6 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I want to see if you
[inaudible] making sure everything's in line as to the
7

8 rule of [inaudible] in place.

9 THE COURT: Do what?

10 MR. LINDSEY: The rules.

11 THE COURT: The rules of sequestration is in force.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor --
12

13 THE COURT: Okay?
MR. BROWN: -- as to the rule of sequestration --
14

15 THE COURT: What?
MR. BROWN: The -- the question that -- there's law
16

17 that the rule of sequestration does not apply to a

18 rebuttal expert witnesses, and so we would --
THE COURT: Only if it's a rebuttal expert to an
19

20 expert.
MR. BROWN: Or to expert testimony or to --
21

22 THE COURT: We'll -- we'll address the issue when we
get there.
23

24 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, we

25 would call Terri Thomas to the witness stand.

1 THE COURT: Whose is this? Okay.

2 MR. BROWN: Please raise your right hand. Do you promise to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but
3

4 the truth?
THE WITNESS: I do.
5

6 MR. BROWN: Have a seat.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
7

8

9 P R O C E E D I N G S

10 * * * * * * *

11
Thereupon:
12

13
TERRI R. THOMAS
14

15
was called as a witness, and having been first duly
16

17 sworn in, and testified upon her oath as follows:

18
DIRECT EXAMINATION
19

20 OF TERRI R. THOMAS

21

22 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Please state your name for the record?
23

24 A. Terri R. Thomas.

25 MR. BROWN: Ms. Thomas, my name is Bruce Brown, and I

1 am the attorney for the petitioners in this case.

2 THE WITNESS: Okay.
BY MR. BROWN:
3

4 Q. Where are you from?
A. I'm from Los Angeles.
5

6 Q. And where do you live now?
A. I live in Lithonia, Georgia.
7

8 Q. And did you drive from Lithonia this

9 morning here?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. Did you vote on November 20, 2018?
A. I did.
12

13 Q. And where did you vote?
A. At Lithonia High School.
14

15 Q. And when -- and did you vote on an
electronic machine with a screen?
16

17 A. I did.

18 Q. And when you -- did you pull up the ballot
on the screen?
19

20 A. I put the little card in the machine, yes.
Q. Did the ballot then appear for the
21

22 governor's race?
A. Yes, sir.
23

24 Q. Did the lieutenant governor's race appear

25 on the first several pages of the ballot?

1 A. No.

2 Q. How do you -- how do you know? How do you remember that?

3

4 A. Well, like I said, I'm from Los Angeles, and when I first -- the first thing that popped up

5

6 was the governor's race, and the first thing that I saw were these two large squares. And I was thinking

7

8 to myself, "Georgia sure is making sure we know which

9 candidate we're voting for. There are only --

10 there's only one category on this page, and I didn't

11 even -- it didn't even dawn on me that there was a third person running, because their little box was so

12

13 small on the writing outside.

Q. Did you -- did you see at any point in

14

15 going through the ballot, the election -- the race between Amico and Duncan?

16

17 A. Not initially.

18 Q. When was the first time you saw Amico's name?

19

20 A. It was -- I had gone through -- I had voted for everything except one amendments because I wasn't

21

22 familiar with them, and then it was at the end of my ballot, and it dawned on me that I hadn't voted for

23

24 her, so I just kept going previous and went all the

25 way back to the beginning of the ballot.

1 Q. And when you went back to the beginning of
2 the ballot, did you see her -- her name on the race?
A. I did.
3
4 Q. Okay. And did you -- were you able to cast
a vote then?
5
6 A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And do you have any -- and then you
7
8 went forward to the summary?
9 A. Correct, to the end, yes.
10 Q. Okay. And you cast your ballot?
11 A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Were there any other malfunctions in
12
13 the screen?
A. Not that I noticed.
14
15 Q. Not that you noticed. And did you complain
to anyone about the -- about the voting?
16
17 A. Not at the poll.
18 Q. Okay. Did you thereafter?
A. I'm sorry?
19
20 Q. Thereafter that, did you?
A. I did. I did. The first person I talked
21
22 to was my daughter, because we usually go vote
together and she left me that morning. And so she
23
24 had already voted, and when I got back home I was
25 telling her what happened to me. And she told me

1 that's not what she experienced.

2 Q. Okay.
MR. BROWN: Thank you very much.
3

4 THE WITNESS: Oh, you're welcome.
THE COURT: Any questions?
5

6 THE WITNESS: Excuse me.
MR. LINDSEY: Just a few quick questions, ma'am.
7

8 THE WITNESS: Yes. I was just going to finish my

9 statement here. I didn't finish.

10 MR. LINDSEY: Oh.

11 THE COURT: You're not here -- you have to be asked questions; okay? If they don't ask you the direct
12

13 question you want them to ask you, you don't get to tell it.
14

15 THE WITNESS: Okay.
THE COURT: Okay?
16

17 THE WITNESS: But it was about the previous question

18 he asked.
THE COURT: Well --
19

20 THE WITNESS: That's fine.
THE COURT: What do you think, Mr. Lindsey?
21

22 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, she's a good voter from Georgia. Please let her go ahead and finish it.
23

24 THE COURT: Okay.

25 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.

1 THE COURT: Okay, finish then.

2 MR. LINDSEY: Go ahead, ma'am.
THE WITNESS: Oh. I'm sorry. You had asked if I
3

4 complained about that. Like I said, the first person was
my daughter. The next thing I did that night or the next
5

6 morning, I wrote an email.
MR. BROWN: Okay.
7

8 BY MR. BROWN:

9 Q. And when -- the first time that you looked

10 at the ballot screen?

11 A. Yes.
Q. When you first looked at it?
12

13 A. Yes.
Q. Was Amico's name on there?
14

15 A. No, sir.
Q. And were you looking to vote for her?
16

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. And you didn't see it on the first screen?
A. Correct.
19

20 MR. BROWN: Thank you.

21

22 CROSS-EXAMINATION
OF TERRI R. THOMAS
23

24

25 BY MR. LINDSEY:

1 Q. Was this the first time that you've voted
2 in Georgia?
A. No, sir.
3
4 Q. Okay. I hate to ask a woman this, but how
-- how old are you, ma'am?
5
6 A. I am 62.
Q. Thank you. And you were -- how many times
7
8 have you voted in Georgia?
9 A. Probably about seven to eight times.
10 Q. Okay. And so, you went all the way
11 through?
A. Correct.
12
13 Q. And then when -- then you went back, and it
was on the screen; correct?
14
15 A. Yes, sir.
Q. You voted for Ms. -- I take it you voted
16
17 for Ms. Amico?
18 A. I did.
Q. Okay. And when you talked to your
19
20 daughter, your daughter said that she didn't have any
problems?
21
22 A. Correct.
Q. Okay.
23
24 MR. LINDSEY: No further questions.
25 THE COURT: Anything else, Mr. -- anyone else? Oh,

1 Mr. Tyson.

2 MR. TYSON: Yes. Just briefly. Hello, Ms. Thomas, my name is Bryan Tyson. I represent the Gwinnett County

3

4 Board of Registrations and Elections.
BY MR. TYSON:

5

6 Q. I just wanted to ask, when you said, when you first looked at the ballot you said, you saw "two

7

8 squares" when they came on there?

9 A. Correct.

10 Q. And you -- I'm assuming you were looking to

11 vote in the governor's race. Is it possible that the lieutenant governor's race was there, and you just

12

13 missed it the first time?
A. No, sir. No, sir. I'm retired; I have

14

15 plenty of time.
Q. Okay. And then your daughter, is she

16

17 involved at all with the coalition or any groups that

18 are interested in voting issues?
A. No, sir.

19

20 Q. Okay. And then, you said that there was a third person running. Did you say that it was

21

22 "smaller or difficult to see?" Can you describe for me what that was on that first screen?

23

24 A. I'm guessing it was -- it was Republican

25 and Democrat and Independent --

1 Q. Yes?

2 A. -- but his square was so small. Like I said, I was amazed because I was thinking -- you're

3

4 going to make sure I know who I'm voting. This is the only category on this page, and the squares were

5

6 so large, and he had a little square [inaudible] just looking at him.

7

8 Q. Thank you.

9 MR. TYSON: I don't have any further questions.

10 THE WITNESS: You're welcome.

11 THE COURT: Okay.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I have no further questions,

12

13 and may the witness be excused?
THE COURT: The witness may be excused.

14

15 MR. BROWN: Thank you very much.
THE COURT: Have a safe drive back to your home.

16

17 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

18 MR. BROWN: Thank you very much.
THE WITNESS: You're welcome.

19

20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the plaintiffs would call Richard Barron. Please state -- do you promise to tell

21

22 the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
THE WITNESS: Yes.

23

24 MR. BROWN: Have a seat.

25

1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 * * * * * * *

3

4 Thereupon:

5

6 RICHARD BARRON

7

8 was called as a witness, and having been first duly

9 sworn in, and testified upon his oath as follows:

10

11 DIRECT EXAMINATION
OF RICHARD BARRON

12

13 BY MR. BROWN:

14

15 Q. Please state your full name for the record?
A. Richard Barron.

16

17 Q. And by whom are you currently employed?

18 A. Fulton County.
Q. And what is your position with Fulton

19

20 County?
A. Director of Registration and Elections.

21

22 Q. How long have you had that position?
A. Five and a half years.

23

24 Q. What does a director of registration and

25 elections do?

1 A. I'm in charge of -- of administering all
2 the elections for Fulton County, taking care of all the polling places, training the poll workers,
3
4 dealing with the media, and overseeing the voter registration rolls.
5
6 Q. And how many full-time employees do you have?
7
8 A. 18.
9 Q. And during the election, how many part-time
10 employees do you have or contractors?
11 A. Well, it depends on -- it's usually about 60. That increases during early voting.
12
13 Q. Okay. You were here in yesterday's -- during yesterday's testimony; correct?
14
15 A. Yes.
Q. Okay. I would like to ask you some
16
17 questions, and I want to make sure I'm clear. There
18 is an election server at the Secretary of State's office, to the best of your understanding; correct?
19
20 A. Correct.
Q. And then separately, there's what we would
21
22 -- what some people call the GEM server at the county; is that correct?
23
24 A. Yes.
25 Q. And is it your understanding that the

1 election server at the Secretary of State was
2 reinstalled with a trusted copy of the GEMS software after it had been compromised in 2016?
3
4 A. I have no --
MR. TYSON: Object on that, Your Honor. I don't
5
6 think there is any foundation that's Mr. Barron's going to have knowledge about what the Secretary of State's servers
7
8 going to have or anything else.
9 THE COURT: But -- I --
10 MR. LINDSEY: I believe that they haven't laid a
11 proper foundation that there has been any type of compromise with the GEMS system.
12
13 THE COURT: We had testimony yesterday. You actually have him on direct and you're leading. That question
14
15 wasn't what you had previously. I sustain the objection to it.
16
17 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, may I treat him as a -- treat
18 him on cross as an adverse?
THE COURT: No, sir. You called him for -- you
19
20 called him on direct.
MR. BROWN: Okay.
21
22 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Was Fulton County's GEMS server replaced in
23
24 2016 or 2017?
25 A. I don't recall.

1 Q. Okay. Did Fulton County take efforts after
2 2016 to disinfect Fulton County's GEMS database?
MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, I am going to object to
3
4 assuming facts.
MR. BROWN: Let the record reflect that the witness
5
6 shook his head "No." Go ahead.
MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, his question assumes facts
7
8 that are not in evidence that there was something that
9 needed to be done. He hasn't laid a foundation.
10 THE COURT: Well, he just asked did they do it after
11 2016. That doesn't reflect -- he just -- I don't know why
I don't have a mic this morning. He just -- the question
12
13 is okay the way it is; okay?
THE WITNESS: I don't -- I don't understand what you
14
15 mean by "disinfect."
BY MR. BROWN:
16
17 Q. Was it examined for malware or any other
18 defects?
A. Not that I'm aware of.
19
20 Q. Was any of Fulton County's memory cards
that they used, were any of those memory cards
21
22 disinfected after 2016?
A. No.
23
24 Q. In 2018, you would have created a new
25 memory card for the 2018 election; correct?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. And those -- those memory cards would have been the same memory cards that had been used in

3

4 previous elections; correct?
A. Yes.

5

6 Q. Elections prior to 2016; correct?
A. Yes.

7

8 Q. And you would have -- did you make those

9 memory cards from your GEMS -- your county GEMS

10 database?

11 A. Yeah. They -- that's where everything originates, yes.

12

13 Q. Do you have any reason to believe that the process that you go through in making the -- the

14

15 well, let me back up a little bit. Do you know if other counties disinfected their memory cards after

16

17 2016 or not?

18 A. I still don't understand what you mean by "disinfect," but I have no knowledge of any other

19

20 counties.
Q. Okay. Fair enough. Let me make sure

21

22 you're understanding my question. When I -- when I say "disinfect," what I mean is to do anything to

23

24 remove any malware or mis-program [sic] that might be

25 on that particular device?

1 A. No.

2 Q. And so the answer is, your -- you didn't do that with the memory cards; correct?

3 A. No.

4 Q. Okay. And you, to -- to the best of your knowledge, did any other counties do that?

5 A. I have no -- no knowledge of that.

6 Q. One way or the other?

7 A. No.

8 Q. Fair enough. Prior to the -- to the -- the November 2018 election, did Fulton County review its GEMS database to determine if there were any coding errors on that GEMS database?

9 A. No.

10 Q. You were here yesterday, and did you -- do you recall the testimony of Sara LeClerc?

11 A. Was she the poll watcher?

12 Q. Yes?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. Were you -- do you recall what county she was -- had been overseeing?

15 A. What county?

16 Q. It was Fulton County, correct, and AME church?

17 A. Yes.

1 Q. That's one of your precincts; correct?
2 A. Correct.
3 Q. Did you get a report of the incidents that
4 she described when you were in -- during the election?
5
6 A. I didn't personally, no.
7 Q. Are you aware of someone in your office
8 getting a report like that? A report from AME or
9 about AME?
10 A. I -- I became aware of it through this --
11 this process, the court case.
12 Q. And -- and what is your understanding of --
13 of the information that was received by your office?
14 MS. BURWELL: Objection, Your Honor. He said that he
15 learned of it though this lawsuit, not through his office.
16 THE COURT: Sustained.
17 BY MR. BROWN:
18 Q. At any time, not in the November election
19 or not contemporaneously, but did you gain an
20 understanding of what happened at the AME Temple at
21 the church -- the AME Temple precinct?
22 A. I -- I've been made aware of the complaint,
23 yes.
24 Q. And did you or anybody under your
25 supervision do any kind of investigation to determine

1 why that happened?

2 A. Yes.
Q. And what did you find?
3

4 A. We found -- well, we found that the -- the
poll worker -- the poll worker had an issue with that
5

6 voter, and then she -- she took down the machine, and
then later she reopened the machine later in the day.
7

8 Q. Did anybody on your staff inspect the

9 machine to see if there were any coding errors or

10 anything like that?

11 A. It's my understanding -- no, we didn't look
for coding errors.
12

13 Q. Did you look for any mechanical type of
errors that weren't electronic, like with the screen
14

15 operation?
A. No, not that day.
16

17 Q. Ever?

18 A. I don't think so, no.
Q. Did you do any sort of examination of -- of
19

20 any of the DRE machines that Fulton County used after
the 2018 election?
21

22 A. We -- no. We immediately had to turn those
around for the -- the December election.
23

24 Q. And when you -- you said you had to use

25 them for the December runoff?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. And when you used those for the December runoff, do you reprogram them with a different

3

4 election memory card?
A. Yes. And they're -- yes.

5

6 Q. Okay. And so, at that point it would be -- describe that process for me, please?

7

8 A. Well, we have to run them through -- once

9 we get the database in, and we load the election, we

10 run them through a live -- a logic and accuracy

11 testing. We also -- we -- we calibrate them to make sure the time and date are correct. Just some basic

12

13 things that we do to get ready for them -- to get ready for the election and then the logic and

14

15 accuracy testing.
Q. Does that involve reprogramming the

16

17 machines?

18 A. Well, we -- we insert a new card in there, and that has the new election on it.

19

20 Q. And does -- and does the insertion of the new card and the running of these tests alter the

21

22 internal memory?
A. No.

23

24 Q. And how do you know?

25 A. I mean, it's not my understanding that it

1 does. It doesn't erase anything that's on there.
2 There's a hard packet that stays on there from the beginning, the life of the machine.
3
4 Q. How -- how do you know that?
A. That's -- well, because that's what I've
5
6 learned using these -- this equipment.
Q. Have you done any -- any forensic
7
8 examination of the -- the unit to determine if any
9 changes are made to that memory by reprogramming?
10 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object to that. I don't
11 think the foundation laid by Mr. Barron would have the type of capability to observe or the skill to do that. It
12
13 needs to be laid first before we get into that.
THE COURT: Well, he can answer the question. He's
14
15 only talking for himself.
THE WITNESS: No.
16
17 BY MR. BROWN:
18 Q. Has anybody under your supervision done that?
19
20 A. No.
Q. Are you aware of any scientific or computer
21
22 scientific opinion to the effect that reprogramming the machines for the subsequent election has altered
23
24 the memory of the machines?
25 A. No.

1 Q. You're not aware of that at all? You never
2 heard of that opinion?
A. No.
3
4 Q. You never heard that opinion expressed by
Mr. Bernhard?
5
6 THE COURT: Enough; okay? That -- that -- and I
understand you're an advocate and I respect that, but
7
8 that's far enough on that line of thought.
9 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
10 BY MR. BROWN:
11 Q. What is a voter access card?
A. That is -- that is the -- the yellow card
12
13 that has a chip on it that you -- when -- when a
voter checks in, you put it in -- the poll worker
14
15 puts it into the Express Poll to -- so that it -- it
will indicate which ballot on the DRE is accessible
16
17 by that voter.
18 Q. It's -- if you will, it's created by the
express electronic poll booth?
19
20 A. Yes, the Express Poll.
Q. The Express Poll. And is the Express Poll
21
22 part of the registration system in any way?
A. Well, the -- the voter registration
23
24 database is loaded with the information for the --
25 for everyone that's eligible to vote in that election

1 is put onto the Express Poll.

2 Q. I want to make sure that I have this correct. The -- the registration database, for lack

3 of a better expression, tells the poll books what each voter access card should have on it, right?

5 A. No.

6 MS. BURWELL: Objection, Your Honor.

7 MR. BROWN: He said, no.

8 MS. BURWELL: First of all, it's leading; and second

9 of all he hasn't defined some of those terms.

10 THE COURT: He said "No" anyway; okay? And -- and I -- I understand you're reading some questions you've got

12 and they're very scientific, but sometimes when you use scientific words, you may use one word and he may use

14 another word, and you may not be talking in the same language. And I think we're having some issues with that

16 this morning.

17 BY MR. BROWN:

18 Q. Help me out on the terminology, Mr. Barron.

19 What is the system called, the biggest system in the process?

21 A. The biggest system?

22 Q. Right. It's called the registration

23 system?

24 A. E-net, Electionet is the voter registration

25

1 system.

2 Q. And that is maintained by who?
A. Well, it's maintained by the state.
3

4 Q. How does the Enet system inform -- does the
Enet system at the state level inform the county as
5

6 to which voter should vote where?
A. Well, every -- every voter, based on their
7

8 address, is given a ballot code and the only thing

9 that's -- the only information that is put onto the

10 voter access card is the -- the ballot code for that

11 voter, so that -- because all the ballots, the
different ballots for each precinct or during early
12

13 voting all the ballots are on there. The voter
access card enables the voter to access his or her
14

15 ballot.
Q. And to make sure I have my terminology
16

17 straight, the voter access cards are inserted at

18 Election Day into the Express Poll book's server;
correct?
19

20 A. Yeah. It's not a server; it's just a
standalone unit that -- the information is in the
21

22 Express Poll, the card goes in, the poll worker looks
up the voter, selects the name, that ballot code
23

24 information is put on there, and what it does is it

25 tells the DRE to access this ballot.

1 Q. Thank you for that. And the Express Poll
2 machine in turn gets its information from the state's Enet system; correct?
3
4 A. Not -- it's not why we're connected from it, but yeah, the source of information is from
5
6 there.
Q. And how does -- it's not connected live;
7
8 how does the information get from the Enet to the
9 county?
10 A. We -- we get -- we get a card, like, a
11 flash memory card that we -- we reproduce to put in the Express Polls, or the state reproduces all the
12
13 cards. We put them into the Express Polls for each precinct.
14
15 Q. Are you aware of reports that the Enet system was vulnerable to hacking prior to the
16
17 November 2018 election?
18 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object to that. I don't think there's a foundation about that, that any reports
19
20 about that. If Mr. Brown wants to reference a specific report, I think he can, but --
21
22 THE COURT: It's the way that you phrase the question. You -- you make it as if it were a statement of
23
24 fact. You can ask in that area, but you've got to
25 inquire, not make these false statements that you keep

1 making about things.

2 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Right. Are you aware of any reports
3

4 related to hacking involving the Enet system?
A. I read that in the AJC.
5

6 Q. And after you read it in the AJC, did you
follow up?
7

8 A. No.

9 Q. Did you investigate it at all?

10 A. No.

11 Q. Are you aware of anyone else investigating
that?
12

13 A. No.
Q. Was it a -- was it a concern to you?
14

15 A. I didn't -- you know, when I read the
story, I didn't know how seriously to take it. It
16

17 didn't -- I -- no.

18 Q. Were you aware -- or did the Secretary of
State have an investigation?
19

20 A. I don't know.
Q. Just for the record, how many voting
21

22 machines does Fulton County have?
A. 3,108.
23

24 MR. BROWN: Let me take just a moment here. That's

25 all that I have at this time.

1 THE COURT: Questions? Thank you.

2 MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, did you want me to do all
my questions now or just the ones related to what he's
3

4 been asked this morning?
THE COURT: You can ask him anything you want to ask
5

6 him.
MS. BURWELL: I can ask as much as I'd like to ask?
7

8 THE COURT: Go ahead.

9 MS. BURWELL: Okay. Thank you.

10 THE COURT: We don't have a podium.

11 MS. BURWELL: Okay.
THE COURT: I know some of you are used to a podium.
12

13 I don't know that I like podiums, but is it -- we have no
clerk, so you can use any of the clerk's area.
14

15 MS. BURWELL: Oh; okay? Well, maybe I can just --
THE COURT: Put it way over there on the end if you
16

17 want to be closer and seeing and not have the computer in

18 the way. Yeah, that's a good place.
MS. BURWELL: Okay.
19

20
CROSS-EXAMINATION
21

22 OF RICHARD BARRON
BY MS. BURWELL:
23

24 Q. Mr. Barron, can you tell the court how long

25 you've been involved in elections?

1 A. Since December of 1999.

2 Q. Okay. And can you tell the judge a little bit about your background with elections?

3

4 A. I've worked with Travis County elections in Austin, Texas, from 1999 to 2003. I also worked for

5

6 Sequoia Voting Systems from 2004 to 2005. In 2006, I worked for Hartman Civic, and then I went to work for

7

8 Williamson County in Brown -- Georgetown, Texas, from

9 2007 to 2013, and then I came here.

10 Q. So, you've been with Fulton County since

11 2013?
A. Yes.

12

13 Q. And so in connection with overseeing the election activities for the Fulton County Board of

14

15 Registration and Elections, are you required to follow state law?

16

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. And where do you find the state law that you're supposed to follow?

19

20 A. The Georgia election code and also there's an SED rule book.

21

22 Q. And what's the SED rule book?
A. It -- it -- it's -- from my understand,

23

24 it's basically an -- the state election board has

25 some rules that they interpret from the law, and I

1 think they also make some -- some rules in addition
2 to that.
Q. And you're required to follow those as
3
4 well?
A. Yes.
5
6 Q. Does the state oversee all of your election activities?
7
8 MR. BROWN: Object, object, Your Honor. She's asking
9 the witness what someone else does.
10 MS. BURWELL: May I respond, Your Honor?
11 THE COURT: Yes. We -- we -- we -- I think it's the way it's phrased. I -- I think you can ask the question.
12
13 Go ahead.
BY MS. BURWELL:
14
15 Q. Does anyone oversee the election activities that you undertake?
16
17 A. The state election board has the -- the
18 ultimate authority over the boards, the -- the Fulton County Board of Registration and Elections.
19
20 Q. And are there -- are you personally or is the Board of Registration and Elections subject to
21
22 penalties for not following the code and rules?
A. Yes.
23
24 Q. Can you tell the Court why Fulton County
25 uses the DRE-based voting system?

1 A. That is part of -- there -- there's a
2 uniform voting law in Georgia, and every county uses the same equipment. It's a state law.
3
4 Q. Do you have a choice on whether or not to use that equipment?
5
6 A. No.
7 Q. Who owns the DRE machines that are used in
8 Fulton County?
9 A. 70 to 80 percent of them were purchased by
10 the state, and Fulton County purchased the other 20
11 to 30 percent.
12 Q. Can you tell the Court whether or not
13 Fulton County has ever had any software issues with its DRE machines?
14
15 A. No.
16 Q. Are you aware of any viruses that have ever
17 infiltrated a Fulton County DRE machine?
18 A. No.
19 Q. Let me ask you now the same questions about
20 the poll book that you used. Are you aware of any software issues with that poll book?
21
22 A. No.
23 Q. What about the viruses with that poll book?
24 A. No.
25 Q. And let me ask you now about the GEMS

1 server, which is a -- yet a third component of the
2 system; correct?
A. Yes.
3
4 Q. Are you -- has Fulton County ever had any
software issues with the -- the GEM system?
5
6 A. No.
Q. And are you aware of any viruses with that
7
8 GEM system?
9 A. No.
10 Q. Are you aware -- is it -- are you aware of
11 any external attacks that Fulton County has had on
any of its machines?
12
13 A. No.
Q. Is it possible for there -- is -- is the
14
15 DRE machine hooked up to the Internet?
A. No.
16
17 Q. Is the DRE machine hooked up to anything
18 external, the Web, or anything like that?
A. No.
19
20 Q. Now, are the DRE machines tested by Fulton
County?
21
22 A. Yes.
Q. And do -- did you test the machines before
23
24 the November 6th election?
25 A. Yes.

1 Q. Is each DRE machine that's used in the
2 election tested?
A. Yes.
3
4 Q. And are DRE machines that are used in the
early voting tested as well?
5
6 A. Yes.
Q. Are any of the machines connected to each
7
8 other?
9 A. Only by electricity.
10 Q. Okay. So one DRE machine in a room isn't
11 connected to another DRE machine in a room?
A. No.
12
13 Q. They're both potentially plugged into the
electricity in the wall, but other than that --
14
15 A. Correct.
Q. Now, can you tell the judge in terms of the
16
17 November 6th general election what you did to prepare
18 for that election?
A. Well, we began in August. We have to -- we
19
20 have to get the ballot ready. We have to make sure
we get all the information from all of the local
21
22 candidates or from the municipalities that are having
elections to the state, so that they can build a
23
24 ballot.
25 We have to get all the -- the poll workers

1 recruited, poll workers trained; polling places we
2 have to make sure are -- are set.
We have to get all of our workers set up for
3
4 early voting. We have to get all the machines ready.
We -- we also make sure we get all of the -- the --
5
6 all of the voter registration applications processed
that are timely, and there are lots of little, sundry
7
8 duties that go along with all those.
9 Q. And those are the same -- the procedures
10 you go through for every election; is that correct?
11 A. Correct.
Q. Now, can you tell the judge about ballot
12
13 styles and what that means?
A. Well, we had 115 ballot styles in -- in the
14
15 November election. Those are based on -- on all the
-- the precincts and the districts, all the different
16
17 districts that -- that are within the county, from
18 state senate districts to -- to city boundaries to
House of Representative districts, all the -- all the
19
20 political districts are taken into account, along
with the precincts.
21
22 Q. So let me ask you about early voting. And
can you explain to the judge how early voting works?
23
24 A. During early voting, all of the ballots are
25 available at every polling place. You can vote

1 anywhere during early voting.
2 Q. So what does that mean in terms of ballot styles?
3
4 A. Well, for our 370-plus precincts that we have, all of those are available with the 115
5
6 different ballot styles.
Q. How does that differ from Election Day?
7
8 A. On Election Day, the voters have to go to
9 their -- their assigned precinct to vote. So those
10 -- those precincts are what are available in each
11 polling place.
Q. So on -- for early voting, if you live in
12
13 Roswell, you can vote in Chattahoochee Hills, and they can pull up your ballot?
14
15 A. Correct.
Q. But on Election Day, you can only vote in
16
17 Roswell?
18 A. Yes, at whatever assigned place in Roswell, yes.
19
20 Q. So during early voting, are there things that could occur that would cause a machine to say
21
22 "Cancel" on it?
A. Well, if it -- if they -- if the screen
23
24 comes up and there's a cancel sign on there, that --
25 that indicates that the ballot was created by the

1 Express Poll in -- in the disabled mode, for a
2 disabled voter.
Q. Okay. So explain for the -- to the judge
3
4 what that means?
A. There's -- the Express Poll has two
5
6 different modes. You can have the regular mode where
-- where the ballot comes up, or there's a -- there's
7
8 also a mode for -- for disabled voters, because those
9 voters, the ballot doesn't appear on the screen.
10 The only thing that appears is -- is a
11 "canceled" button, so -- to cancel that out. Now if
the voter goes up, he can put -- it's in the disabled
12
13 mode, the Express Poll operator has to select the
option to go back to regular mode.
14
15 If they don't, the next card they create is
going to be in disabled mode.
16
17 Q. And so is that what makes it flash
18 "Cancel"?
A. Yeah.
19
20 Q. Does that mean that there's a problem with
the machine?
21
22 A. No.
Q. Okay. What does that mean?
23
24 A. The DRE's doing what it's told.
25 Q. So what happens to the voter in that

1 instance, if it comes up and says "Cancel"?

2 A. Well, they would have to cancel the ballot and then go back to the Express Poll. They're

3 supposed to get -- all of our machines have a sign in them that say, "If there's anything wrong with your

4 ballot, whether it be that you've -- you think you have the wrong ballot, then you need to go get a poll

5 worker to help you."

6 Like to go back to the Express Poll and tell

7 them or show them what's on the screen. From there a

8 new card needs to be created that it's in the regular mode.

9 Q. Right. And it's not in disabled mode?

10 A. Correct.

11 Q. So then the voter can go and cast a ballot?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. So the fact that it says -- that it had

14 come up cancelled doesn't mean that the voter was unable to cast a vote --

15 A. Correct.

16 Q. -- on that day? Now let me ask you about

17 if an issue comes up with a DRE machine, and Mr. Brown asked you about something that happened at, I

18 believe, church. So you weren't at the church that

19 particular day, were you?

1 A. No.

2 Q. So you don't have any first-hand knowledge
about what particularly happened; correct?
3

4 A. Correct.
Q. But you listened to Ms. LeClerc's testimony
5

6 about what she observed?
A. Yes.
7

8 Q. Can you tell the judge what the process is

9 under those circumstances, if they're -- if this

10 particular voter has an issue?

11 A. Well, we have a help desk that -- there're
are different ways that a poll worker can contact the
12

13 office. They should call the help desk, and then a
ticket is created, and then a technician would be
14

15 sent to the polling place.
In the meantime, if the poll worker has a
16

17 concern about the machine, they -- they shut it down,

18 as they did, and so -- and that's what they're
supposed to do.
19

20 Q. Okay. Do you, as the director of
elections, have any concerns about the reliability of
21

22 the DRE machines that have been used in Fulton
County?
23

24 A. No.

25 Q. Do you have any concern about any memory

1 cards having ever been improperly accessed?

2 A. No.

Q. Can you tell the judge what the process is

3

4 for safety with respect to the memory cards?

A. All the -- all the machines will -- like,

5

6 in early voting, for example, all the machines are, after they're tested, all of them are sealed to seal

7

8 the equipment, the serial numbers are recorded, and

9 the seal numbers are recorded, and those go out with

10 -- with the poll workers.

11 Every day those -- those machines are -- the seals are broken, they're verified, they -- they seal

12

13 them up again, and it goes -- the -- the process goes through the 19 days of early voting.

14

15 They're -- on Election Day, when we send those out, they're -- they're sealed after the vote --

16

17 after, like, an accuracy is done. Those are also

18 delivered out, and they -- they go to the polling place.

19

20 They're -- they are -- there is a recap sheet with it, and they have the serial numbers and the --

21

22 the seal numbers are also recorded on there. The poll workers verify that those -- the seals are not

23

24 broken, and if -- if one was to be broken, they're to

25 contact our office and to let us know that the seal

1 was -- is broken.

2 Q. So can you tell the judge what the process is for security with respect to the actual DRE

3 machines themselves? You told us about the cards being sealed and kept. What about the actual DRE

5 machines?

6 A. In our warehouse, they -- they are kept in

7 a -- in a large warehouse. There's a keypad to get

8 in. It's also -- the warehouse is alarmed, and we

9 also have cameras in -- in the warehouse.

10 Q. Do you have chain-of-custody forms --

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. -- for the machines? Are those kept under lock and key?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. And how are the machines delivered to the

15 actual locations where voting occurs?

16 A. We have different either -- usually, it's either active-duty fire- -- firemen or officers or

17 retired firemen or officers that -- police officers that do -- do the deliveries.

18 Q. And when those DRE machines are delivered, they have seals on them?

19 A. They have seals on them, and there's a

20 cable through the door -- through the -- through the

21

22

23

24

25

1 handles --
2 Q. Can you --
A. -- to make sure that they're all kept
3
4 together.
Q. Can you explain to the judge what the seal
5
6 looks like and what it's made out of and what's on it?
7
8 A. When they go out, it's a -- it's a metal
9 seal, and it has -- it has a number on there that
10 identifies that seal, and it's unique to that seal.
11 Q. And so what do poll workers do when they get the machines?
12
13 A. After they -- they will verify that the seal is -- that we recorded in the warehouse is the
14
15 same that it is on that recap sheet, and then they break the seals to open the machines.
16
17 Q. Are you aware of any instance where a seal
18 was broken or tampered with while at a polling location?
19
20 A. No.
Q. Now, once the election is over and the
21
22 machines are returned to the warehouse, is there a seal on it when it's originally returned to the
23
24 warehouse?
25 A. Yes.

1 Q. And how long are you required to maintain
2 that seal?
A. 30 days.
3
4 Q. So if -- if a machine is sealed, is there
any way someone can access the door where the media
5
6 cards are located?
A. No.
7
8 Q. Okay, why is that?
9 A. Well, they would have to break the seal to
10 get in there, cut the cable, break the seal.
11 Q. Are you aware of that ever happening?
A. No. Also on the early voting machines,
12
13 there's a metal plate that is put over the -- the
doors on those. You'd have to crowbar those off, and
14
15 it would break the unit.
Q. Can you now tell the judge about the
16
17 security measures for the GEMS server?
18 A. That's password-protected. It's in the
same warehouse with the -- with the DREs, so there's
19
20 -- you have to have the access code to get in the
door. There's also an alarm, and there are cameras
21
22 in there.
MS. BURWELL: I have no further questions.
23
24 THE COURT: Yes, sir, Mr. Tyson. Mr. Lindsey?
25 MR. LINDSEY: I have some questions, Your Honor.

1 I think you've alluded to it, Mr. Barron, but perhaps
2 it would be a good idea to go ahead and explain.
3 Before I do that, my co-counsel has admonished
4 me for asking a woman her age, so to be fair, sure that
5 I'm not a sexist, what's your age?
6 THE WITNESS: 52.
7 MR. LINDSEY: All right. That's the last time I'm
8 going to ask a woman her age.
9 THE COURT: I think everybody in here's younger than
10 I am anyway, so don't worry about that. Go ahead.
11 MR. LINDSEY: Yes. All jokes aside, let's get to the
12 serious part.
13 BY MR. LINDSEY:
14 Q. You've alluded to it a couple times, but
15 let's tell the judge a little more specifically.
16 Prior to the election, for each machine, it is my
17 understanding that according to your testimony that
18 you conduct what is called a logic and accuracy
19 testing?
20 A. Yes.
21 Q. Explain to the judge exactly what that is?
22 A. We have a script that we -- we vote, and
23 then once you input those votes, then you run the
24 tape and make sure that -- that the results match the
25 script that was voted.

1 Q. Okay. And do you do that for each machine?
2 A. For each machine.
3 Q. Okay. And did all of the machines that
4 were used on November 6th, 2018 pass that test?
5 A. Yes.
6 MR. LINDSEY: No further questions.
7 THE COURT: Mr. --
8 MR. RUSSO: Briefly, I just -- only care about one
9 point.
10 BY MR. RUSSO:
11 Q. Mr. Barron, you indicated a script was run.
12 Does that -- does that require someone actually
13 pushing the screen and voting it, or is that a
14 software run on the computer?
15 A. No. We -- we actually input those manually
16 to make sure that all the positions are recording
17 votes.
18 MR. RUSSO: Thank you.
19 THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
20 BY MR. BROWN:
21 Q. Mr. Barron, you were asked about a law
22 relating to -- that required you to use the DRE
23 machines, right?
24 A. Um-hmm.
25 Q. And is it -- was it your understanding that

1 the law does require you to use the DRE machines?

2 A. Yes.
Q. And are you aware of -- of a court opinion
3

4 that says --
THE COURT: Okay. You -- you -- you -- that's what
5

6 we're having trouble with, and I can see movement over
here at this table. "Are you aware," you say that "are
7

8 you aware" as if it was a matter of fact. And it's fact

9 that's not in evidence, so rephrase the question.

10 BY MR. BROWN:

11 Q. Have you read the federal court decision,
Curling vs. Kemp?
12

13 A. No.
Q. Are you -- what is the statute that says --
14

15 do -- do you know what the statute is that says you
have to use DRE machines?
16

17 A. Not offhand.

18 Q. Are you -- are you -- do you know of any
statute that says that?
19

20 A. I could -- if I had an election code, I
could probably find it, but --
21

22 Q. And -- and you're -- what you're saying is
that the Georgia code says that it requires the use
23

24 of DREs?

25 A. Yes, and that was clarified by the

1 Secretary of State's office to all the counties
2 leading up to the fall election cycle.
Q. Did that opinion change after a ruling by
3
4 the federal court?
MR. LINDSEY: I'm going to objection to Mr. Brown.
5
6 He is an election official. He's got some general
knowledge, perhaps, of the law --
7
8 THE COURT: Yeah, you're using -- see, you used the
9 word "code," at one point. I -- whether he knows the
10 difference between the code and the law, which are two
11 different things, I don't know, but you're still -- you're
still -- it's the way you're asking the questions, Mr.
12
13 Brown.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I -- the -- if I might just
14
15 say one thing.
THE COURT: What?
16
17 MR. BROWN: They asked him, "What is your
18 understanding of the law?"
THE COURT: But -- and you can ask him what -- you --
19
20 you can test that, but you can ask, "Are you aware that --
that rule ever changed?"
21
22 MR. BROWN: Are you aware --
THE COURT: That does the same thing. See where I'm
23
24 coming from?
25 MR. BROWN: Yes.

1 THE COURT: You -- you can get the information. It's
2 just the way you phrase the question that's objectionable.
BY MR. BROWN:
3
4 Q. Mr. Barron, are you aware if that rule was
ever changed or interpreted differently as not
5
6 requiring --
A. No.
7
8 Q. -- the use of DREs? Okay. Were you, in
9 your position as the director of elections for Fulton
10 County, were you briefed on court orders from the
11 federal court in the Curling case?
A. Yes.
12
13 Q. And did that briefing include telling you,
quote, "But OCGA 21-2-383(b) does not require the use
14
15 of DREs," as defendant claims it does?
MS. BURWELL: Objection, Your Honor. First and
16
17 foremost, it appears that he's attempting to ask about a
18 privileged communication.
THE COURT: It's not in -- it's -- it's -- I sustain
19
20 the objection.
BY MR. BROWN:
21
22 Q. Is it your working understanding, Mr.
Barron, that the Georgia Code requires the use of
23
24 DREs?
25 MR. TYSON: Yeah, objection, on behalf of

1 [inaudible].

2 THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MR. BROWN:
3

4 Q. Mr. Barron, you talked about secure facilities and about how to secure the facilities.
5

6 You mentioned the security with the GEMS server. Do you recall that?
7

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. And you weren't talking about the GEMS

10 database; you were talking about the actual GEMS

11 server; correct?
A. Correct.
12

13 Q. Okay. You -- you were describing how the machines are marked up, is that right? When they're
14

15 in -- after they're in use; correct?
A. At the poll, yes.
16

17 Q. And you testified about how the memory

18 cards are secured in a secure location; correct?
A. Yes.
19

20 Q. Those are the memory cards that have been used for years; correct?
21

22 A. Yes.
Q. You testified about the tickets that are
23

24 created as a result of complaints from -- well, let

25 me ask you this. The ticket system from your prior

1 testimony, could you describe that for us in greater
2 detail?
A. Well, a poller would call into our help
3
4 desk on Election Day, and -- and then a ticket is
created basically to -- if -- if we need to send a
5
6 technician to that area. We have roaming technicians
that oversee certain precincts, and then we can -- we
7
8 can dispatch somebody.
9 Q. And where are those tickets saved?
10 A. We have -- we just have a database that
11 keeps a log of our tickets.
Q. What is that database called?
12
13 A. Help desk. I don't think it has a name. I
mean, it's just a help -- it's just our own internal
14
15 help desk.
Q. Is that --is that a record that you make
16
17 available to the public?
18 A. It's -- I mean, I guess it would be
available if -- if somebody put in an open records
19
20 request, yes.
Q. And how many tickets were created for the
21
22 November '18 election?
A. I don't know.
23
24 Q. Scores, hundreds?
25 A. I don't -- I don't think it was that many,

1 but I don't know.

2 Q. And what about for the runoff?
A. I don't know.
3

4 MR. BROWN: Just one second. I'd like to take one minute.
5

6 THE COURT: Sure.
BY MR. BROWN:
7

8 Q. You testified that Fulton County has put

9 the machines, the DRE machines through logic and

10 accuracy tests?

11 A. Correct.
Q. You -- has Fulton County forensically
12

13 examined the machines?
A. No.
14

15 Q. And just for the record, has -- has Fulton County allowed plaintiffs access to the machines for
16

17 that testing?

18 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor. Here we go. Once again, plaintiff's counsel is trying to bring back up discovery
19

20 issues that have arisen in this case that have all been brought before the judge on multiple occasions.
21

22 Judge in this court has ruled after hearing plaintiff's counsel giving him a reasonable opportunity to
23

24 argue, and the Court has overruled those objections, so

25 this entire line of questioning is found to be

1 interrupting.

2 THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, this goes back to the statute
3

4 that I quoted in my opening statement --
THE COURT: Okay.
5

6 MR. BROWN: -- and that has to do with the --
THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
7

8 MR. BROWN: Thank you.

9 MS. BURWELL: If I could, Your Honor, just for one

10 second?

11 THE COURT: Mm-hmm.
BY MS. BURWELL:
12

13 Q. Mr. Barron, I'm going to show you
OCGA 21-2-300. Is that what you were referring to
14

15 earlier about being required to use the state-
mandated DRE machines?
16

17 A. You said 302? Or 300?

18 Q. 300.
MR. BROWN: Excuse me, excuse me, Your Honor. I've
19

20 just -- in the here --
THE COURT: Let me -- let me say this. You can all
21

22 read the law to me in closing.
MS. BURWELL: Okay. All right.
23

24 THE COURT: You don't -- don't -- don't make a lay

25 witness a lawyer.

1 MS. BURWELL: Okay.

2 THE COURT: I'm sure he doesn't want to be one.
BY MS. BURWELL:
3

4 Q. Last thing, Mr. Barron. In Fulton County
you didn't receive any complaints of someone saying
5

6 that a race, specifically the lieutenant governor
race didn't appear on their ballot?
7

8 A. I don't recall that, no.

9 MS. BURWELL: Thank you.

10 MR. LINDSEY: For a follow-up to that.

11 THE COURT: Right.
BY MR. LINDSEY:
12

13 Q. If there had been something as serious as
that, do you believe that you would have been alerted
14

15 to it?
A. Yes.
16

17 MR. BROWN: Objection, Your Honor. That calls for

18 speculation as to reading the minds of other people who
might --
19

20 MR. LINDSEY: He's -- he's ahead --
THE COURT: Sustained.
21

22 MR. LINDSEY: -- of the office now.
THE COURT: Sir, I understand. "Did you get one" is
23

24 enough?

25 BY MR. LINDSEY:

1 Q. Did you ever get one that day that a race
2 was not on, that particularly the lieutenant
governor's race was not on the ballot?
3
4 A. No.
MR. LINDSEY: Thank you.
5
6 MR. BROWN: No further questions, Mr. Barron.
THE COURT: Okay. You may go now. Thank you.
7
8 THE WITNESS: Thanks.
9 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, at this point we have no
10 further witnesses, but I would like to make one
11 evidentiary argument that may be well. And it is to renew
our request for the admission of the National Academy of
12
13 Sciences report. And let me just very briefly describe
the law on that.
14
15 The -- when it was tendered, I made the argument that
-- that the basis of it being admissible by experts is
16
17 prinicipally -- it's hearsay, but the -- but the law
18 requires is that --
And this is what the law says, and I'm quoting 24-7-
19
20 703, "Such facts or data that are otherwise inadmissible
shall not be disclosed to the jury by the proponent of the
21
22 opinion or inference unless the court determines that the
probative value in assisting the jury to evaluate the
23
24 expert's opinion substantially outweighs their prejudicial
25 effect."

1 And so I would ask, Your Honor, to -- to allow the
2 opinion of -- to allow the National Academy of Science's report, because their probative value greatly outweighs
3
4 the prejudicial effect, and I would also, at this point, note that the report is independently admissible under
5
6 OCGA 24-7-803 (8)(C), which is the public records and reports exception of hearsay.
7
8 And I would cite the case of Chrysler v. Walden, 339
9 Ga. App. In that case, the court allowed the firm
10 allowance of a report of the National Highway Traffic
11 Safety Administration opposite defects investigation about a recall of a particular defective product.
12
13 We think that case is right on point. We believe, Your Honor, that -- I understand that Your Honor has not
14
15 reviewed this evidence because it wasn't admitted in the evidence.
16
17 THE COURT: Well, I thought it was unfair to
18 everybody for me to start reading stuff people were sending me to read. There was going to be evidence when
19
20 there was no -- I didn't know whether it was admissible or not, so I didn't --
21
22 MR. BROWN: We would urge Your Honor to consider reading it and to conclude that, since a consensus report
23
24 from the National Academy of Science is the best that this
25 country has.

1 And it's right on point about securing elections,
2 which is exactly what this case is about, it would be helpful to the triers of fact to have the best evidence
3
4 that this country could ever have about the issue in this case and that is the security of this machine.
5
6 MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, I would just note that this is not -- does not appear to be a complete copy. It looks
7
8 like the -- the cover page says -- looks like the document
9 is supposed to be 180 pages. This appears to be maybe the
10 first 8 pages of that document, because it begins at page
11 8 in here, but it's not a complete --
You can see that the -- the last paragraph continues
12
13 beyond this page, so it is not -- it's not even a complete copy.
14
15 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, admittedly I'm having to deal with what's on the line. But according to the
16
17 National Academy of Sciences on the webpage, the National
18 Academy of Sciences is not a public entity, not a governmental entity.
19
20 It is a private, nonprofit organization, therefore doesn't fit within the exception that gentleman has -- has
21
22 alluded to. The expert has already stated that he reviewed it, allowed it when he was giving his opinion.
23
24 It goes back once again to hearsay.
25 It's also irrelevant, Your Honor, because the

1 question here is whether or not the system that Georgia
2 has is the most secure possible, but whether or not in this particular case a breach actually occurred, and to
3
4 the extent that the results of the election weren't valid. That's the question, and --
5
6 THE COURT: Mr. Tyson? Sorry.
MR. BROWN: -- the NAS report, that's something
7
8 that's better argued downtown under the goal of the
9 academy.
10 MR. TYSON: And, Your Honor, we do agree with the
11 prior statements, and just would add that, to my knowledge, that there is nothing specific about the
12
13 Diebold Georgia voting machines in this report. It's about DREs generally.
14
15 As Mr. Lindsey said, the expert has already relied upon this in testifying yesterday, and unless there is
16
17 something specific about this election, I don't see that
18 there's any relevance for the Court to consider to admit it in evidence.
19
20 THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
MR. BROWN: First, Your Honor, just for the record,
21
22 as Your Honor is aware from the evidence, the -- the evidence is entirely, entirely contrary to what counsel
23
24 says.
25 THE COURT: No, it's not. You see, you -- and I

1 respect you, because you're an advocate. And you
2 absolutely believe your side of the case, and a lawyer is
supposed to do that. But that doesn't make it all right.
3
4 That's your view of it.
It's not -- yeah, I have to look at both sides. So
5
6 -- so that's really got nothing to do with it. I've got
-- let me say -- say what I -- go ahead and finish
7
8 arguing, because I very much know what I'm going to do on
9 it.
10 MR. BROWN: The evidence as we perceive it to be,
11 Your Honor, is -- and we're just simply not aware of the
contrary evidence, is that the defects are national, and
12
13 there's no difference, material difference between it in
Georgia, and we're not aware of any material testimony.
14
15 Therefore the unanswered court decision, I would instruct
it to leave it up to Your Honor [inaudible].
16
17 THE COURT: Okay. I -- I find it's not admissible.
18 It doesn't come in under public record, because it's not
public, okay? Number two, it was something that he relied
19
20 on in giving his opinion, and I've had his opinion. His
opinion is these -- if you listen to him, these pretty
21
22 much useless machines. I understand all that, and I'm not
going to read something that's hearsay.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
25 THE COURT: Okay? I mean, I understood what he was

1 saying.

2 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. At this time, we would also like to renew our demand for a jury. And the

3

4 reason why I'm renewing the demand, Your Honor, is that there is -- there is case law that may not be the best law

5

6 around, but it suggests that if you don't continue the demand --

7

8 THE COURT: I -- so we already in this hearing,

9 already in this trial, I have ruled. I'm one of these

10 judges, I'm afraid, who rules, you know. I make pretty

11 clear rulings, as we pointed out yesterday. I'm not an English major, so my stuff comes out ching-ching-ching.

12

13 I've ruled. Don't run in the face of it, okay?
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

14

15 THE COURT: Thank you. Do you rest?
MR. BROWN: We do.

16

17 THE COURT: Okay. Let me do this. Let me take ten

18 minutes, and then we'll hear from the other side.
MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.

19

20 MR. BROWN: Thank you.

21

22 [Off the record at 10:16 a.m., and back on the record at 10:21 a.m.]

23

24

25 BALIFF: Please take a seat. Court comes to order.

1 MALE: Oh, sorry.

2 THE COURT: Okay. Which defendant is to go first? Oh, you have a motion?

3

4 MS. BURWELL: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay.

5

6 MS. BURWELL: On behalf of Fulton County, Your Honor, I will move for an involuntary dismissal pursuant to 911

7

8 41(b) on the grounds that this court as the trier of fact

9 is allowed to adjudicate a motion to dismiss at the close

10 of the plaintiff's case.

11 And that is because the court is the finder of fact as well as the determiner of the law and the cases are

12

13 clear and I'd cite the court to Chalk v. Poletto 346 Ga. App 491 which is a 2018 case as well as Smith v. Georgia

14

15 Kaolin Company, Inc., 269 Georgia 475 both of which provide that a dismissal in a non-jury matter under

16

17 91141(b) does not require the court to construe the

18 evidence most favorably for the nonliving plaintiff. And that again is because the court determines the facts as

19

20 well as the law.
And in the instant case, the petitioner's under have

21

22 filed an election contest under 2125-22, Sections 1 and 3; and Section 1 requires the plaintiffs to have shown

23

24 misconduct, fraud, or irregularity in the election, by an

25 election official sufficient to change, replace, and doubt

1 the result.

2 Instead what these petitioners are alleging, is that just the DRE machines themselves, nothing that an election

3

4 official did, but just the machines themselves are -- they don't -- they don't care for the machines and think that

5

6 they're vulnerable.
Under section 2125-22(3), they had to show that

7

8 illegal votes were received or illegal votes rejected,

9 sufficient to change or place in doubt the results. And

10 there's been no evidence at all that there have been the

11 rejection, the receipt of illegal votes, or the rejection of votes at the polls sufficient to change or place in the

12

13 result. They brought in one woman who said she didn't see it, but then she was able to vote for that, which was Ms.

14

15 Thomas -- I think her name was -- this morning.
And the only other information was information from a

16

17 poll worker who said she got second hand information that

18 someone wasn't able to vote, but that is one vote at issue and there were over a 100,000 vote margin between the two

19

20 candidates.
So there is not sufficient information back. There

21

22 was no information in the record which would allow this court to find that there were legal votes rejected,

23

24 sufficient to change or place in doubt the result.

25 There's been no evidence of impropriety that led to this

1 under-vote. There's no evidence of any sort of tampering
2 that led to this under-vote.
3 And instead what they have done is they have said
4 there's a purely mathematical computation and they believe that a four percent under-vote for the Lieutenant
5 Governor's race is inappropriate.
6 And there is no evidence in the record about how or
7 why individuals choose not to vote for Lieutenant
8 Governor's race. But the one thing we do know is that
9 even based on the information they provided in every
10 single election, there are under-votes. Every single election.
11
12 The only difference here is that they complain that they believe that the amount of four percent is too large.
13
14 But again, there was no tie in between why there's an under-vote and any sort of impropriety on behalf of an
15 election official or any legal votes that have been
16 rejected. Thank you.
17 MR. TYSON: Your Honor -- Your Honor? Yeah. Your
18 Honor, just to briefly Gwinnett County Board of Registrations in elections joins in Fulton County's motion
19 requesting that this be treated as an adjudication on the merits which is allowed under 41(b) just to echo what Ms.
20 Burwell has raised the evidence before the court for the
21 reports that is that the Lieutenant Governor's race
22
23
24
25

1 appeared on every ballot in the state according to the
2 base precinct report with races.
That the -- all the cards were counted according to
3
4 the TS report and that there are a number of possible
reasons as the various witnesses testified about why an
5
6 under-vote may occur. It have been ballot design with the
lack of the US Senate race, the lack of a third party,
7
8 there were a number of additional write-in candidates.
9 Any of those issues could go to why the under-votes are
10 there, including the most important one, voters chose not
11 to vote at the race as was their option.
There is no evidence in the record that all -- that
12
13 any of those have been disproven as a possible basis. And
as a result, Mr. Brown and the plaintiff's elections
14
15 contest and must fail the election is presumed valid and
there was no evidence of irregularities sufficient to
16
17 place the results in doubt.
18 To the contrary, there is evidence that the results
should be placed, should be given the presumption that
19
20 it's favored because of the evidence in the record. And
as Ms. Burwell indicated, there is no indication that the
21
22 number of illegal votes cast or legal votes rejected was
anywhere near the margin of victory in this case, 123,000
23
24 votes. So we fully join in Fulton's motion.
25 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, first off, on behalf of the

1 Lieutenant Governor Jeff Thompkin [ph] we will adopt the
2 argument raised by both attorneys for Fulton County and Gwinnett County. And simply once again, I want to read it
3
4 off again, into Hart v. Crawford, which I read at the beginning, 20 Ga. 7, 1998 decision which clearly lays our
5
6 the fact that [inaudible] with the extraordinary, drastic -- I believe the word used by the board is drastic. There
7
8 must be clear evidence of some kind of issue in terms of
9 either malicious or negligent kind of issue that created
10 the problem. The fact of the matter is --
11 THE COURT: Quick, which case are you referring to? Sorry to interrupt you.
12
13 MR. LINDSEY: Hart [ph].
THE COURT: Hart.
14
15 MR. LINDSEY: Versus Crawford, 270 Ga. 7, 1998.
THE COURT: I got it. Okay.
16
17 MR. LINDSEY: In regards to the evidence that you've
18 heard that the plaintiff's expert himself admitted that he was not familiar with the particularities of this race in
19
20 terms of what was going on in this race, but simply doing so by doing mathematical formulations. He admitted that
21
22 he has no evidence of any kind of malware or malicious conduct by any individual, would have led to the under
23
24 voting that they have cited from the testimony of the
25 plaintiff's own witnesses.

1 That was never rebutted by any other witness of the
2 plaintiff. The -- there -- there -- there are ample reasons why there was an under-vote including the fact
3
4 that we had a high number of new voters, voter confusion in terms of the -- as a result of the layout of the ballot
5
6 Governor, Lieutenant Governor. Given the history of Governors that Lieutenant Governors of other states
7
8 running as a ticket. And that, that is a reasonable
9 reason for why there was an under-vote, in addition to
10 other evidence that was elicited from the plaintiff's on
11 their reports.
There's also testimony, I think this is extremely
12
13 important. a lot of plaintiff's expert testify as to what might have, could have, should have happened. The
14
15 undisputed testimony from both the Secretary of State and from the Fulton County election official from the
16
17 plaintiffs' themselves called on direct, testified as to
18 the safe guards that are taken both before an election and on election day to ensure that the system is operating
19
20 correctly in terms of the voter being able to cast a vote for the candidate of their choice and that vote being
21
22 accurately reported by the state; that the testimony has not been contradicted by anyone in terms of the safeguards
23
24 that were put in place.
25 Nor has -- while the plaintiff's expert talked about

1 systems that are on the web, we have undisputed testimony
2 that the system that deals with the actual recording -- accurate recording of a voters vote all the way up to the
3
4 counting of that vote is within a closed system that is not susceptible to the hacking at the plaintiff's expert
5
6 has outlined as part of their concerns. That testimony is uncontroverted.
7
8 So for those reasons as well as the reasons set forth
9 by my colleagues from Gwinnett and Fulton County, we
10 actually -- we also make this much at this time.
11 THE COURT: If -- I'm going to hear from Mr. Brown but before I do, do you have 911 with you?
12
13 MS. BURWELL: Okay.
THE COURT: Everybody -- everybody's only got the --
14
15 okay. I don't have a computer and I'm one of those -- I just have to go read it myself because I had forgotten
16
17 that you could do that. So if 911-41?
18 MS. BURWELL: Yes.
MR. LINDSEY: And Your Honor, since you don't have a
19
20 computer, would you like the Hart decision that I --
THE COURT: I've got it.
21
22 MR. LINDSEY: Okay.
THE COURT: I did some work.
23
24 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
25 THE COURT: I won't say how much. 911? Let me look

1 at -- here it is. Oh, I got one of these somewhere, let
2 me see 41. Let me see. Let me see. What subsection?
MS. BURWELL: Forty-one (b).
3
4 THE COURT: B. Okay. Go ahead, Mr. Brown.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the evidence established
5
6 first a national consensus on the [inaudible] are highly
vulnerable and shouldn't be used. This -- there was no
7
8 contrary evidence as to national consensus, either by
9 governmental agencies or assigned this.
10 So the background is undisputed, and that is that
11 these machines for vulnerable to attack and that they
should not be used. Second, there was a lot of evidence
12
13 about whether Georgia's particular DREs are worse than the
national decrepitude because of the way George has not
14
15 maintained these machines over the last couple of years.
Our position is that Georgia has not done set on --
16
17 that these machines are worse even than the national
18 average, which worse than general and in general -- even
if it were as good as general, they should not be used.
19
20 We believe Georgia's are much worse than even the bad ones
in other states that should not be used. And we had
21
22 substantial evidence that is the case. At all -- since --
since they're defective anyway, I don't want to spend too
23
24 much time on the evidence that they're very, very
25 effective.

1 But these are -- and I want to go through the
2 evidence very quickly. The -- Michael Barnes testified yesterday in response to my questions about the compromise
3
4 of the election server, that they had replaced one partial -- they replaced one piece of their system we established
5
6 today from Mr. Barren that the other components were not replaced and they were not disaffected. And so we believe
7
8 that Georgia's system is much more vulnerable than the
9 systems described by the national campus [ph] -- described
10 by our expert, recounted by our expert.
11 That the unanimous opinion of defense agencies, the scholars and the scientists, that they should not be used
12
13 and that Georgia's is even more vulnerable. And that is important because they're vulnerable that it naturally
14
15 increases the chances of what we're alleging in this election actually happened.
16
17 And then that was also the opinion of our expert or
18 at least un-rebutted yet. The other evidence that we have is the evidence that the results in this case, if you
19
20 compare the electronics and the paper ballot suggest that there's something about the electronic that was causing
21
22 the different vote. If Your Honor -- as the defendants' positions' has evolved, that seems to be where they're
23
24 headed also.
25 There is as -- as the evidence has shown, there is a

1 microscopic chance that the results on paper are different
2 than the results on the voting machines because of voter choice or chance. Instead, two different scenarios have
3
4 been presented to you. One is that it is caused by malware or misprogramming or it's a sham [ph].
5
6 The other, and that evidence was presented by our expert, it was informed by the national consensus that
7
8 something like that was likely and that the particular
9 results and evidence about voters experiences were
10 telltale signs that there is a system problem within --
11 that the -- with the computers that was causing this to happen.
12
13 What is the other evidence? The way that -- that -- that we view the case, Your Honor, is that for this
14
15 freakish result to happen and I believe the evidence is one in 10,000, for that to happen, on the one hand, it
16
17 could have been this thoroughly discredited, highly
18 vulnerable election system did not function properly. That's one option.
19
20 The other option is the one presented -- suggested by Mr. Lindsey in his questions of Mr. Barnes yesterday and
21
22 that is the -- that the voters thought that there was a tick. That the -- that for some reason they looked at the
23
24 screen and they thought, oh, we only need to vote for the
25 Republican ticket and the Democratic ticket.

1 There is, apart from the question by Mr. Lindsey and
2 the answer by Mr. Barnes, there's no evidence supporting that speculation. Your Honor remarked that we're more
3
4 concerned with why people did this. There -- the -- and -- and that was the basis -- one of the basis for not
5
6 allowing some of our testimony.
The -- the here, there is no testimony that any voter
7
8 did not vote for the Lieutenant Governor ticket because
9 they thought it was -- the Lieutenant Governor because
10 they thought it was the ticket. Instead -- and they
11 didn't even have an expert -- they don't even have an expert. They don't even try to get an expert.
12
13 THE COURT: Well, they haven't got that far yet. But the issue -- the issue as we're all --
14
15 MR. BROWN: We're all. So they have -- just the nature of, well, we can throw this idea out. Maybe this
16
17 is an explanation for it. We don't have any evidence.
18 It's not particularly plausible that a voter would do that particularly as many times and it's not based upon any
19
20 evidence whatsoever.
It really is speculation. But the defendants rule
21
22 that the defendants would have Your Honor adopt, is that if you challenge the result of a statewide election in
23
24 Georgia that it's done on electronic machine, is that you
25 have to find some bad code. That is what they would have

1 Your Honor rule. We got to find the code. There's no bad
2 code, there's no misprogramming, then the plaintiff will
lose. But we're not going to let you find that bad code
3
4 ever.
And so the rule that the defendants want, the
5
6 Secretary of State wants would insulate decisions in
Georgia -- would insulate elections on electronic
7
8 equipment in Georgia from any judicial review because
9 you've got approved bad code, but you're not going to be
10 able to look at it to find it.
11 And we believe that the combination of that rule and
the inability to discover it is inconsistent with the
12
13 statute, which was written by the legislature to allow
petitioners to show that -- to allow that them to show,
14
15 and they have the court decide that at the election, so
the fact that you can -- we believe a better reading of
16
17 the law is that once the petitioner has made a prima facie
18 showing of the likelihood that the machines caused the
difference, and here there's -- we have proven that Your
19
20 Honor, we believe to a very high degree.
There -- the evidence is that there's a 99.95 percent
21
22 chance that there was something about the machines that
caused the election results and we believe that in that
23
24 event, that we have survived and that we -- that the
25 election should be overturned [inaudible, paper shuffling]

1 then in additional, that Your Honor exercise your
2 discretion to call another hearing for the code to be -- after the code be evaluated.
3
4 THE COURT: Anything -- any -- any response to that?
MR. LINDSEY: Yes. Very briefly, Your Honor. The
5
6 fact of the matter is that tests were conducted, this is uncontroversial. As a matter of fact, the bi-witnesses
7
8 that the plaintiff chose to put on this stand, tests were
9 conducted that would have revealed if malware was in
10 place.
11 Tests were conducted prior to the election. Most importantly, tests were conducted on the day of the
12
13 election to see whether or not there was any kind of systemic problem with this -- with the voting system.
14
15 And that was great details as to what that costed, in which they had cameras on one individual actually live,
16
17 making sure -- making choices and then they had a parallel
18 system and then seeing whether or not there was any kind of deviation. That testimony is uncontroverted that these
19
20 tests were done and the testimony is also uncontroverted that if a malware had existed it would have come out at
21
22 that point.
It was also uncontroverted testimony today regarding
23
24 the specific inspections that are done on each machine
25 prior to the election to make sure that the machines are

1 operating correctly and that if a voter cast his vote for
2 individual A, it was going to be recorded as A. That
testimony is uncontroverted. So we actually do have, here
3
4 in Georgia, tests to make sure that when Edward Lindsey
cast a vote for Mr. Brown, that that's going to be
5
6 properly recorded by the Secretary of State, certified
correctly.
7
8 There is no controversy to that. There's no --
9 there's no evidence to the contrary that these tests took
10 place or what the results were. And as to the other
11 matters regarding if there was no malware, what was the
cause, we have presented -- by the plaintiff through the -
12
13 - with their own expert, with their own witnesses on cross
examination have admitted that there were plausible other
14
15 explanations. For that reason, Your Honor -- we believe
that this motion be passed.
16
17 THE COURT: Any -- anything else?
18 MR. TYSON: Yeah. Can I just briefly, again, for
what Mr. Brown explained, there's no prima facie showing
19
20 here at all as far as that all we can say is that they
might be vulnerable, they might be -- there might be a
21
22 problem, there might be an issue. Georgia Supreme Court
is clear in Middleton v. Smith, 273 GA. 202 in 2000 which
23
24 you cannot overturn an election on the basis of mere
25 speculation. And that's what we have here. We have no

1 evidence of additional [ph] votes. We have no evidence of
2 an irregularity happening.
3 Mr. Brown has also conveniently chosen to ignore the
4 fact that the ballot design that in 2018 you did not have
5 a U.S. Senate race on the elect- -- on the ballot and
6 that's a deviation from a number of our past Governor's
7 elections.
8 And so when a voter was presented with that
9 electronic machine, they saw the first two races instead
10 of being U.S. Senate and Governor, they were Governor and
11 Lieutenant Governor, which was a change from past years,
12 which is also a very reasonable explanation for the
13 potential country vote.
14 So at the end of the day, the plaintiffs are left
15 with, we think maybe something might have happened and the
16 Georgia Supreme Court is clear that mere speculation
17 cannot be the basis for overturning an election.
18 THE COURT: Anything further from you?
19 MS. BURWELL: Nothing further.
20 THE COURT: Okay. I had -- I guess I'm used to
21 trying stuff to jury. I hadn't realized that I was in
22 this position.
23 And 91141(b) says, after the plaintiff in an action
24 tried by the court without a jury has completed the
25 presentation of his evidence, the defendant without

1 waiving his right to offer evidence in the event the
2 motion is not granted, may move for dismissal on the grounds at upon the facts and the law, the plaintiff has
3
4 shown note right to relief.
Taking everything the plaintiffs have said, your
5
6 attack is on the whole system and I respect that. And there is authority that says that scientific authority
7
8 that says that DRE -- DRE system is terrible and all those
9 kinds of things. I'm very aware of that.
10 But what I'm here on is one race. Just one race.
11 You haven't sued the state to get rid of the system; okay? That's one thing. I wouldn't be in this position if
12
13 that's where we were, but we're not, we're looking at one race.
14
15 And you brought it on 212522 one and three. There was absolutely nothing to support one. And then let me
16
17 look at three. There was no illegal votes received.
18 There's no legal votes rejected and in this race in the evidence. Now, I understand you've got the under-vote
19
20 issue. I'll get to that.
The law is very clear that it's presumed there is a
21
22 legal presumption that the election returns of ballot; okay? There's the presumption in ballot. The plaintiff
23
24 has the burden of showing an irregularity or illegality
25 sufficient to change all place in doubt the result of

1 election; okay? The result of this particular election,
2 not all of them, not the DRE, not any of that. One -- the one thing. It's a narrow issue.
3
4 It says the setting aside of an election, I'm reading the law, of an election in which the people have chosen
5
6 their representative is a drastic remedy that should not be undertaken lightly, but instead should be reserved for
7
8 cases in which a person challenging an election has
9 clearly established a violation of election's procedures
10 and has demonstrated the violation has placed the result
11 of the election in doubt. There is no -- the only thing you've got is the under -- is the under-vote and the --
12
13 144 votes in Winterville; okay?
If the numbers should have been equal and there is no
14
15 evidence to show of the under-vote, but let's assume somehow that was an error and there should have been 31
16
17 more thousand votes.
18 And let's assume that the one precinct in Winterville was 144 votes, should all have been given to Ms. Amico
19
20 and we'll take the 31,000 as all being given to Ms. Amico. We're still nowhere near -- the numbers do not --
21
22 there is -- it's not even close.
There is absolutely no evidence of the number of
23
24 votes sufficient to overcome. And there is no evidence
25 that this system didn't work during this election.

1 So on that, I'm going to grant the motion to dismiss.

2 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, with respect, the evidence with the --

3

4 THE COURT: Sir, I've ruled. This is one thing you haven't handled well with me because I rule. And now some

5

6 judges, you can keep talking and they change their mind. Old Judge Raven [ph] was that way. As long as you kept

7

8 talking, he would eventually change his mind. I don't do

9 that; okay?

10 MR. BROWN: [inaudible]

11 THE COURT: So let's not. I've ruled, I'm done. I've just got to clean my desk a minute.

12

13 MR. BROWN: We --
THE COURT: This is your book.

14

15 MR. BROWN: Would you like an discussion about the number you cited?

16

17 THE COURT: No, sir.

18 MR. BROWN: Okay.
THE COURT: Nothing.

19

20 MR. TYSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Thank you.

21

22 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor, thank you for your time.

23

24 THE COURT: It's going to take a minute, I have a

25 briefcase; you all just go. I've got to go way back in

1 the other building.

2 MR. LINDSEY: I -- you have a briefcase Your Honor --

3

4 [Whereupon, the hearing was concluded at 10:49 a.m.]

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 CERTIFICATE

2
STATE OF GEORGIA]
3

4] SS.
COUNTY OF DOUGLAS]
5

6
I, PRISCILLA GARCIA, A COURT REPORTER IN THE STATE OF
7

8 GEORGIA, DO HEREBY STATE THAT THE FOREGOING IS A TRUE AND

9 ACCURATE TRANSCRIPT AS TAKEN DOWN BY ME AT THE TIME,

10 PLACE, AND THE DATE HEREINBEFORE SET FORTH.

11 I DO FURTHER STATE THAT I AM NEITHER A RELATIVE NOR
EMPLOYEE NOR ATTORNEY NOR COUNSEL OF ANY OF THE PARTIES TO
12

13 THIS ACTION, AND THAT I AM NEITHER A RELATIVE NOR EMPLOYEE
OF SUCH ATTORNEY OR COUNSEL, AND THAT I AM NOT FINANCIALLY
14

15 INTERESTED IN THIS ACTION.
WITNESS MY HAND IN THE CITY OF DOUGLASVILLE, COUNTY
16

17 OF DOUGLAS, STATE OF GEORGIA, ON THIS 28TH DAY OF JANUARY

18 2019. BY: Priscilla Garcia
19

20 PRISCILLA GARCIA, COURT REPORTER
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF GEORGIA
21

22 COMMISSION NO.: W-00379933
COMMISSION EXPIRES: 08/14/2022
23

24 CERTIFICATION NO.: 5503-2677-8304-9216

25 LICENSE EXPIRES: 04/01/2019

1
2
3 I, Chris Naaden, a transcriber, hereby declare
4 under penalty of perjury that to the best of my
5 ability the above 82 pages contain a full, true and
6 correct transcription of the tape-recording that I
7 received regarding the event listed on the caption on
8 page 1.
9
10 I further declare that I have no interest in the
11 event of the action.
12
13 January 28, 2019
14
15
16 Chris Naaden
17
18
19
20 (Transcript of Trial Proceedings)
21
22
23
24
25

(

(8)(C) 59:6
(b) 71:2

-

--is 54:15

1

1 64:22
10,000 73:15
100,000 65:18
10:16 63:22
10:21 63:22
10:49 82:4
115 39:13 40:4
123,000 67:22
144 80:13, 18
18 7:4 19:8 54:22
180 60:9
19 44:13
1998 68:4, 15
1999 34:1,4

2

20 10:11 36:10 68:4
2000 77:22
2003 34:4
2004 34:6
2005 34:6
2006 34:6
2007 34:9
2013 34:9, 11
2016 20:2, 24 21:2, 11,22 22:6,17
2017 20:24
2018 7:4 10:11 21:24,25 23:11 25:20 31:17 49:4 64:13 78:4
202 77:22
21-2-300 56:13
21-2-383(b) 52:13
2125-22 64:22
2125-22(3) 65:6
212522 79:15
24-7- 58:18
24-7-803 59:6
269 64:15
270 68:15
273 77:22

3

3 64:22
3,108 32:22
30 36:11 47:2
300 56:17, 18
302 56:17
31 80:15
31,000 80:20
339 59:8
346 64:13
370-plus 40:4

4

41 71:2
41(b) 64:8 66:22
475 64:15
491 64:13

5

52 48:6

6

62 15:6
6th 37:24 38:17 49:4

7

7 68:4,15
70 36:9
703 58:20

8

8 60:10,11
80 36:9

9

911 64:6 70:11,25
911-41 70:17
91141(b) 64:17 78:22
99.95 75:20
9:04 7:4

A

a.m. 7:4 63:22 82:4
absolutely 62:2 79:15 80:22
academy 58:11 59:2,24 60:17,18 61:9
access 28:11 29:4 30:10,13, 17,25 47:4,20 55:15
accessed 44:1
accessible 28:15
account 39:20
accuracy 26:10,15 44:17 48:18 55:10
accurate 70:2
accurately 69:22
action 78:22
active-duty 45:18
activities 34:13 35:6,15
actual 45:2, 4,17 53:10 70:2
add 61:11
addition 35:1 69:9
additional 67:8 76:1 78:1
address 8:22 30:8
adjudicate 64:9
adjudication 66:22
administering 19:1
Administration 59:11
admissible 58:15 59:4,20 62:17
admission 58:11
admit 61:18
admitted 59:15 68:18,20 77:13
admittedly 60:15
admonished 48:2
adopt 68:1 74:22
adverse 20:18
advocate 28:6 62:1
afraid 63:10
age 48:4,8
agencies 71:9 72:11
agree 61:10
ahead 13:22 14:2 21:6 33:8 35:13 48:2,10 57:20 62:6 71:4
AJC 32:4,6
alarm 47:20
alarmed 45:9
alerted 57:13
alleging 65:2 72:15
allowance 59:10
allowed 55:15 59:9 60:22 64:9 66:22
allowing 74:6
alluded 48:1,13 60:22
alter 26:20
altered 27:22
amazed 17:2
AME 23:22 24:8,9,20
amendments 11:20
Amico 11:15 15:17 80:18,20
Amico's 11:18 14:13
amount 66:13
ample 69:2
Angeles 10:4 11:4
App 59:9 64:13
appeared 67:1
appears 41:10

52:17 60:9

applications 39:6

apply 8:17

approved 75:9

area 31:24 33:13 54:6

argue 55:24

argued 61:8

arguing 62:8

argument 58:11,15 68:2

arisen 55:20

assigned 40:9,18 71:9

assisting 58:22

assume 80:15,18

assumes 21:6

assuming 16:10 21:4

attack 71:11 79:6

attacks 37:11

attempting 52:17

attorney 10:1

attorneys 68:2

August 38:18

Austin 34:4

authority 35:18 79:6

average 71:18

aware 21:18 24:6,10,22 27:20 28:1 31:15 32:2,11,18 36:15,20 37:6,10 46:17 47:11 50:2,6,8 51:20,22 52:4 61:22 62:11,13 79:9

B

back 11:25 12:1,24 15:13 17:15 22:15 41:13 42:2,9 55:18 56:2 60:24 63:22 65:20 81:25

background 34:2 71:10

bad 71:20 74:25 75:1,2,9

bailiffs 8:2

BALIFF 63:25

ballot 10:18,20, 25 11:15, 22,25 12:2,10 14:10 16:6 28:15 30:8,10, 15,22,25 38:20,24 39:11,13 40:2,6,13, 25 41:6,9 42:2,6,15 57:6 58:2 67:1,6 69:4 72:20 78:4 79:22

ballots 30:11,13 39:24

Barnes 72:2 73:20 74:2

Barren 72:6

Barron 17:20 18:6,11,15 27:11 29:18 33:22,24 48:1 49:11,20 52:4,22 53:4 56:13 57:4 58:6

Barron's 20:6

base 67:2

based 30:6 39:15 66:10 74:18

basic 26:11

basically 34:24 54:4

basis 58:15 67:13 74:4 77:24 78:17

began 38:18

beginning 11:25 12:1 27:2 68:4

begins 60:10

behalf 52:25 64:6 66:15 67:25

Bernhard 28:4

bi-witnesses 76:6

biggest 29:20,22

bit 22:15 34:2

board 16:4 34:13,24 35:17,18, 20 66:20 68:6

boards 35:18

book 34:20, 22 36:20, 22 81:13

book's 30:18

books 29:4

booth 28:18

boundaries 39:18

box 8:2,4 11:11

Boy 8:4

breach 61:2

break 46:15 47:9,10,15

briefcase 81:25 82:2

briefed 52:10

briefing 52:13

briefly 16:2 49:8 58:13 66:20 76:4 77:18

bring 55:18

broken 44:11,24 45:1 46:18

brought 55:20 65:13 79:15

Brown 7:18, 22 8:2,11, 13,15,20, 24 9:2,6, 22,25 10:2 13:2 14:6, 8,20 17:11,15, 18,20,24 18:13 20:17,20, 22 21:4,15 24:17 27:17 28:9,10 29:8,18 31:20 32:2,24 34:8 35:8 42:22 49:18,20 50:10 51:4,13, 17,22,25 52:2,20 53:2 55:4, 6 56:2,6, 8,18 57:17 58:6,9 59:22 61:6,20 62:10,24 63:2,13, 15,20 67:13 70:11 71:4 74:15 77:4,18 78:2 81:2, 10,13,15, 18,22

Bruce 9:25

Bryan 16:2

build 38:22

building 82:1

burden 79:24

Burwell 21:2,6 24:13 29:6,9 33:2,6,9, 11,15,18, 22 35:10, 13 47:22 52:15 56:9,11,22 57:1,2,9 60:6 64:4, 6 66:24 67:20 70:13,18 71:2 78:18

button 41:11

C

cable 45:25 47:10

calibrate 26:11

call 8:25 17:20 19:22 43:13 54:2 76:2

called 9:15 18:8 20:18,20 29:20,22 48:18 54:11 69:17

calls 57:17

cameras 45:10 47:20 76:15

campus 72:9

cancel 40:22,24 41:11,18 42:1,2

canceled 41:11

cancelled 42:18

candidate 11:9 69:20

candidates 38:22 65:20 67:8

capability 27:11

card 10:20 21:25 26:4,18,20 28:11 29:4 30:10,13, 22 31:10, 11 41:15 42:11

cards 21:20 22:2,9,15 23:2 30:17 31:13 44:1,4 45:4 47:6 53:18,20 67:2

care 19:2 49:8 65:4

case 10:1 24:11 52:11 55:20 59:8,9,13 60:2,4 61:2 62:2 63:4 64:10,13, 20 67:22 68:11 71:22 72:18 73:13

cases 64:11 80:8

cast 12:4, 10 42:15, 18 67:22 69:20 77:1,4

category 11:10 17:4

caused 73:4 75:18,22

causing 72:20 73:11

certified 77:6

chain-of-custody 45:11

Chalk 64:13

challenge 74:22

challenging 80:8

chance 73:1, 2 75:22

chances 72:15

change 51:2 64:25 65:9,11,24 78:11 79:25 81:6,8

changed 51:20 52:4

charge 19:1

Chattahoochee 40:13

checks 28:13

ching-ching-ching 63:11

chip 28:13

choice 36:4 69:20 73:2

choices 76:17

choose 66:8

chose 67:10 76:8

chosen 78:2 80:4

Chrysler 59:8

church 23:24 24:20 42:24

circumstances 43:9

cite 59:8 64:13

cited 68:24 81:15

city 39:18

Civic 34:6

claims 52:15

clarified 50:25

clean 81:11

clear 19:17 63:11 64:13 68:8 77:22 78:15 79:20

clerk 33:13

clerk's 33:13

close 64:9 80:22

closed 70:4

closer 33:17

closing 56:22

co-counsel 48:2

coalition 16:17

COBB 7:4

code 30:8, 10,22 34:20 35:22

47:20
50:20,22
51:9,10
52:22
74:25
75:1,2,9
76:2

coding 23:11
25:9,11

colleagues
70:9

combination
75:11

communication
52:18

Company
64:15

compare
72:20

complain
12:15
66:13

complained
14:4

complaint
24:22

complaints
53:24 57:4

complete
60:6,11,13

completed
78:24

component
37:1

components
72:6

compromise
20:11 72:2

compromised
20:2

computation
66:4

computer
27:20
33:17
49:13
70:15,20

computers
73:11

concern
32:13
43:17,25

concerned
74:4

concerns
43:20 70:6

conclude
59:22

concluded
82:4

conduct
48:18
68:22

conducted
76:6,9,11

confusion
69:4

connected
31:4,6
38:6,11

connection
34:13

consensus
59:22
71:6,8
73:6

construe
64:17

contact
43:11
44:25

contemporaneously 24:18

contest
64:22
67:15

continue
63:6

continues
60:11

contractors
19:10

contradicted
69:22

contrary
61:22
62:11
67:18 71:8
77:9

controversy
77:8

conveniently
78:2

copy 20:2
60:6,13

correct 12:9
14:18
15:11,13,
22 16:9
19:13,18,
20,22
21:25
22:4,6
23:2,22
24:1,2
26:11 29:2
30:18 31:2
37:2 38:15
39:10,11
40:15
42:13,20
43:2,4
53:11,15,
18,20
55:11

correctly
69:20
77:1,6

costed 76:15

counsel
55:18,22
61:22

counted 67:2

counties

22:15,20
23:6 51:1

counting
70:4

country
59:25 60:4
78:13

county 7:4
16:2
18:18,20
19:2,22
21:1 22:9
23:11,20,
22 25:20
30:4 31:9
32:22
34:4,8,10,
13 35:18,
24 36:2,8,
10,13,17
37:4,11,20
39:17
43:22
52:10
55:8,11,15
57:4 64:6
66:20 68:2
69:15 70:9

County's
20:22
21:2,20
66:20

couple 48:13
71:15

court 7:8,
11,15,22,
25 8:2,9,
11,13,15,
18,22 9:1
13:4,11,
15,18,20,
24 14:1
15:25
17:11,13,
15 20:9,
13,18
21:10
24:11,15
27:13 28:6
29:11
31:22
33:1,4,8,
10,11,15,
24 35:11,
24 36:11
47:24 48:9
49:6,18
50:2,4,11
51:4,8,15,
18,22
52:1,10,
11,18 53:2
55:6,22,24
56:2,4,6,
11,20,24
57:2,11,
20,22
58:6,22
59:9,17
61:6,18,
20,25
62:15,17,
25 63:8,
15,17,25
64:2,4,8,
11,13,17,
18 65:22
66:24
68:11,13,
15 70:11,
13,20,22,
25 71:4
74:13
75:15 76:4
77:17,22
78:15,18,
20,24
81:4,11,
13,17,18,
20,24

cover 60:8

Crawford
68:4,15

create 41:15

created
21:24
28:18
40:25
42:11
43:13
53:24
54:4,20
68:9

cross 20:18
77:13

CROSS-EXAMINATION
14:22
33:20

crowbar
47:13

Curling
50:11
52:11

cut 47:10

cycle 51:2

D

data 58:20

database
21:2 22:10
23:11,13
26:9 28:24
29:2 53:10
54:10,11

date 26:11

daughter
12:22 14:4
15:20
16:15

dawn 11:11

dawned 11:22

day 7:17
25:6,15
30:18
40:6,8,15
42:20,25
44:11,15
54:4 58:1
69:18
76:11
78:13

days 44:13 47:2
deal 60:15
dealing 19:4
deals 70:2
December 25:22,25 26:2 34:1
decide 75:15
decision 50:11 62:15 68:4 70:20
decisions 75:6
decrepitude 71:13
defective 59:11 71:22
defects 21:18 59:11 62:11
defendant 52:15 64:2 78:25
defendants 74:20,22 75:4
defendants' 72:22
defense 72:11
defined 29:10
degree 75:20
delivered 44:18 45:15,22
deliveries 45:20
demand 63:2, 4,6
Democrat 16:25
Democratic 73:25
demonstrated 80:10
depends 19:11
describe 16:22 26:6 54:1 58:13
describing 53:13
design 67:6 78:4
desk 43:11, 13 54:4, 13,15 81:11
detail 54:2
details 76:15
determine 23:11 24:25 27:8
determiner 64:11
determines 58:22 64:18
deviation 76:18 78:6
device 22:25
Diebold 61:13
differ 40:6
difference 51:10 62:13 66:13 75:18
differently 52:4
difficult 16:22
direct 9:18 13:11 18:11 20:13,20 69:17
director 18:20,24 43:20 52:9
disabled 41:1,2,8, 11,15 42:13
disaffected 72:6
disclosed 58:20
discover 75:11
discovery 55:18
discredited 73:17
discretion 76:2
discussion 81:15
disinfect 21:2,15 22:18,22
disinfected 21:22 22:15
dismiss 64:9 81:1
dismissal 64:6,15 79:2
dispatch 54:8
disproven 67:13
districts 39:15,17, 18,20

document 60:8,10

door 45:25 47:4,20

doors 47:13

doubt 64:25 65:9,24 67:17 79:25 80:11

downtown 61:8

drastic 68:6 80:6

DRE 25:20 28:15 30:25 36:6,13,17 37:15,17, 20 38:1,4, 10,11 42:22 43:22 45:2,4,22 49:22 50:1,15 55:9 56:15 65:2 79:8 80:2

DRE's 41:24

DRE-BASED 35:25

DRES 47:18 50:24 52:8,15,24 61:13 71:13

drive 10:8 17:15

duly 9:15 18:8

Duncan 11:15

duties 39:8

E

E-NET 29:25

earlier 56:15

early 19:11 30:11 38:4 39:4,22,24 40:1,11,20 44:6,13 47:11

echo 66:22

Edward 77:4

effect 27:22 58:25 59:4

effective 71:25

efforts 21:1

elect- 78:4

election 11:15 19:9,18 20:1 21:25 23:11 24:4,18 25:20,22 26:4,9,13, 18 27:22 28:25 30:18 31:17 34:13,20, 24 35:6, 15,17 37:24 38:2,17,18 39:10,15 40:6,8,15 44:15 46:20 48:15 50:20 51:2,6 54:4,22 61:4,17 64:22,24, 25 65:2 66:11,17 67:15 69:15,18 72:4,15 73:18 74:22 75:15,22, 25 76:11, 13,25 77:24 78:17 79:22 80:1,4,8, 11,25

election's 80:9

Electionet 29:25

elections 16:4 18:20,25 19:2 22:4, 6 33:25 34:2,4,15 35:18,20 38:22 43:20 52:9 60:1 66:20 67:13 75:6 78:6

electricity 38:9,13

electronic 10:15 25:13 28:18 72:20 74:24 75:6 78:9

electronics 72:20

elicited 69:10

eligible 28:25

email 14:6

employed 18:17

employees 19:6,10
enables 30:13
end 11:22 12:9 33:15 78:13
Enet 30:4 31:2,8,15 32:4
English 63:11
ensure 69:18
entire 55:25
entity 60:18
equal 80:13
equipment 27:6 36:2, 4 44:8 75:8
erase 27:1
error 80:15
errors 23:13 25:9,11,13
established 71:4 72:4 80:9
evaluate 58:22
evaluated 76:2
event 75:24 79:1
eventually 81:8
everybody's 70:13
everything's 8:6
evidence 21:8 50:9 59:15,18 60:2 61:18,22 62:10,11 64:18 65:10,25 66:1,6,24 67:11,15, 18,20 68:8,17,22 69:10 71:4,8,11, 22,24 72:2,18,25 73:6,9,13, 15 74:2, 17,20 75:20 77:9 78:1,25 79:1,18 80:15,22, 24 81:2
evidentiary 58:11
evolved 72:22
examination 9:18 18:11 25:18 27:8 77:13
examined 21:17 55:13
exception 59:6 60:20
excuse 13:6 56:18
excused 17:13
exercise 76:1
existed 76:20
experience 7:20
experienced 13:1
experiences 73:9
expert 8:18, 20 60:22 61:15 68:18 69:13,25 70:4 72:10,17 73:6 74:11 77:13
expert's 58:24
experts 58:15
explain 39:22 41:2 46:4 48:2, 20
explained 77:18
explanation 74:17 78:11
explanations 77:15
express 28:15,18, 20 29:1 30:18,22 31:1,11,13 41:1,4,13 42:2,9
expressed 28:4
expression 29:4
extent 61:4
external 37:11,18
extraordinary 68:6
extremely 69:11
eye 7:18

F

face 63:13

facie 75:17 77:18

facilities 53:4

fact 31:24 42:17 50:8 60:2 64:8, 11 68:6,10 69:2 75:15 76:6 78:4

facts 21:4,6 58:20 64:18 79:2

fail 67:15

fair 22:20 23:10 48:4

fall 51:2

false 31:25

familiar 11:22 68:18

favorably 64:18

favored 67:20

federal 50:11 51:4 52:11

filed 64:22

find 25:2 34:18 50:20 62:17 65:22 74:25 75:1,2,10

finder 64:11

fine 13:20

finish 13:8, 9,22 14:1 62:6

fire- 45:18

firemen 45:18,20

firm 59:9

first-hand 43:2

fit 60:20

flash 31:11 41:17

follow 32:6 34:15,18 35:2

follow-up 57:10

force 8:11

foremost 52:17

forensic 27:6

forensically 55:11

forgotten 70:15

forms 45:11

formulations 68:20

Forty-one 71:2

forward 12:8

found 25:4 55:25

foundation 20:6,11 21:9 27:11 31:18

fraud 64:24

freakish 73:15

FRIDAY 7:4

full 18:15

full-time 19:6

fully 67:24

Fulton 18:18 19:2 20:22 21:1,2,20 23:11,22 25:20 32:22 34:10,13 35:18,24 36:8,10, 13,17 37:4,11,20 43:22 52:9 55:8,11,15 57:4 64:6 66:20 68:2 69:15 70:9

Fulton's 67:24

function 73:18

G

Ga 59:9 64:13 68:4,15 77:22

gain 24:18

GEM 19:22 37:4,8

GEMS 20:2, 11,22 21:2 22:9 23:11,13 36:25 47:17 53:6,9,10

general 38:17 51:6 71:18

generally 61:13

gentleman 60:20

gentlemen 7:8

George 71:13

Georgetown 34:8

Georgia 7:4 10:6 11:8 13:22 15:2,8 34:20 36:2 50:22 52:22 61:1,13 62:13 64:13,15 71:15 74:24 75:6,8 77:4,22 78:15

Georgia's 71:13,20 72:8,13

get all 38:20,25 39:2,4

give 7:25

giving 55:22 60:22 62:20

goal 61:8

good 7:8,18 13:22 33:18 48:2 71:18

governmental 60:18 71:9

governor 57:6 68:1 69:6 74:8,9 78:10,11

governor's 10:22,24 11:6 16:11 58:2 66:6,9,25 78:6

Governors 69:6

grant 81:1

granted 79:2

great 76:15

greater 54:1

greatly 59:2

grounds 64:8 79:2

groups 16:17

guards 69:18

guess 54:18 78:20

guessing 16:24

Gwinnett 16:2 66:20 68:2 70:9

H

hacking 31:15 32:4 70:4

half 18:22

hand 9:2 65:17 73:15

handled 81:4

handles 46:1

happen 73:11,15

happened 12:25 24:20 25:1 42:22 43:2 69:13 72:15 78:15

happening 47:11 78:2

hard 27:2

Hart 68:4,13 70:20

Hartman 34:6

hate 15:4

head 21:6

headed 72:24

hear 63:18 70:11

heard 28:2,4 68:18

hearing 55:22 63:8 76:2 82:4

hearsay 58:17 59:6 60:24 62:22

helpful 60:2

high 10:13 69:4 75:20

highly 71:6 73:17

Highway 59:10

Hills 40:13

history 69:6

home 12:24 17:15

Honor 7:13,18 8:6,11,24 13:22 17:11,20 20:4,17 21:2,6 24:13 27:10 28:9 29:6 31:18 33:2 35:8,10 47:25 51:13 52:15 55:18 56:2,9,18 57:17 58:9 59:1,13,22 60:6,15,25 61:10,20,22 62:11,15,24

63:2,4,13, 18 64:6 66:18,20 67:25 70:18,24 71:4 72:22 73:13 74:2,22 75:1,20 76:1,4 77:15 81:2,20,22 82:2

hooked 37:15,17

House 39:18

hundreds 54:24

I

idea 48:2 74:15

identifies 46:10

ignore 78:2

illegal 65:8,11 67:22 79:17

illegality 79:24

immediately 25:22

important 67:10 69:13 72:13

importantly 76:11

improperly 44:1

impropriety 65:25 66:15

inability 75:11

inadmissible 58:20

inappropriate 66:6

inaudible 8:6,8 17:6 53:1 62:15 68:6 71:6 75:25 81:10

incidents 24:2

include 52:13

including 67:10 69:2

inconsistent 75:11

increases 19:11 72:15

Independent 16:25

independently 59:4

indication 67:20

individual 68:22 76:15 77:2

individuals 66:8

inference 58:22

infiltrated 36:17

inform 30:4

information 24:13 28:24 30:9,20,24 31:2,4,8 38:20 52:1 65:15,17, 20,22 66:10

informed 73:6

initially 11:17

input 48:22 49:15

inquire 31:25

insert 26:18

inserted 30:17

insertion 26:20

inspect 25:8

inspections 76:24

instance 42:1 46:17

instant 64:20

instruct 62:15

insulate 75:6

interested 16:18

interesting 8:4

internal 26:22 54:13

Internet 37:15

interpret 34:25

interpreted 52:4

interrupt 68:11

interrupting

56:1

investigate 32:9

investigating 32:11

investigation 24:25 32:18 59:11

involuntary 64:6

involve 26:15

involved 16:17 33:25

involving 32:4

irregularities 67:15

irregularity 64:24 78:2 79:24

irrelevant 60:25

issue 8:22 25:4 42:22 43:10 60:4 65:18 68:8,9 74:13 77:22 79:20 80:2

issues 16:18 29:15 36:13,20 37:4 55:20 67:9

J

January 7:4

Jeff 68:1

join 67:24

joins 66:20

jokes 48:11

judge 34:2 38:15 39:11,22 41:2 43:8 44:2 45:2 46:4 47:15 48:15,20 55:20,22 81:6

judges 63:10 81:6

judicial 75:8

jury 8:4 58:20,22 63:2 78:20,24

K

Kaolin 64:15

Kemp 50:11

key 45:13

keypad 45:8

kind 24:25 68:8,9,22 76:13,18

kinds 79:9

knowledge 20:6 22:18 23:6 43:2 51:6 61:11

L

lack 29:2 67:6

ladies 7:8

laid 20:10 21:9 27:11,13

language 29:15

large 11:6 17:6 45:8 66:13

late 7:9

law 8:15 34:15,18, 25 36:2 49:20 50:1 51:6,10,18 56:22 58:13,17, 18 63:4 64:11,20 75:17 79:2,20 80:4

lawsuit 24:15

lawyer 56:25 62:2

lay 56:24

layout 69:4

lays 68:4

leading 20:13 29:9 51:2

learned 24:15 27:6

leave 62:15

Leclerc 23:15

Leclerc's 43:4

led 65:25 66:2 68:22

left 12:22 78:13

legal 65:22 66:17 67:22 79:18,22

legislature 75:13

level 30:4

lieutenant 10:24

16:11 57:6 58:2 66:4, 8,25 68:1 69:6 74:8, 9 78:11

life 27:2

lightly 80:6

likelihood 75:18

Lindsey 7:11,13 8:6,10 13:6,10, 20,22 14:2,25 15:24 20:10 47:24,25 48:6,11,13 49:6 51:4 55:18 57:10,11, 20,22,25 58:4 60:15 61:15 63:18 67:25 68:13,15, 17 70:18, 22,24 73:20 74:1 76:4 77:4 82:2

listen 62:20

listened 43:4

Lithonia 10:6,8,13

live 10:6 26:10 31:6 40:11 76:15

load 26:9

loaded 28:24

local 38:20

located 47:6

location 46:18 53:18

locations 45:17

lock 45:13

log 54:11

logic 26:10, 13 48:18 55:9

long 18:22 33:24 47:1 81:6

looked 14:9, 11 16:6 73:22

Los 10:4 11:4

lose 75:2

lot 69:13 71:11

lots 39:6

M

machine 10:15,20 25:6,9 27:2 31:2 36:17 37:15,17 38:1,10,11 40:20 41:20 42:22 43:17 47:4 48:15 49:1,2 60:4 74:24 76:24 78:9

machines 25:20 26:17 27:22,24 32:22 36:6,13 37:11,20, 22 38:4,6 39:4 42:4 43:22 44:4,6,11 45:4,6,13, 15,22 46:11,15, 22 47:11 49:2,22 50:1,15 53:13 55:9,13,15 56:15 61:13 62:22 65:2,4 71:11,15, 17 73:2 75:18,22 76:25

made 24:22 27:9 46:6 58:15 75:17

maintain 47:1

maintained 30:2 71:15

major 63:11

make 17:4 19:17 22:8,20 26:11 29:2 30:15 31:22,25 35:1 38:20 39:2,4 46:2 48:24 49:15 54:15 56:24 58:10 62:2 63:10 70:10 76:25 77:4

makes 41:17

making 8:6 11:8 22:13

32:1 76:17
MALE 64:1
malfunctions 12:11
malicious 68:9,22
malware 21:17 22:24 68:22 73:4 76:9,20 77:11
mandated 56:15
manually 49:15
margin 65:18 67:22
marked 53:13
match 48:24
material 62:13
mathematical 66:4 68:20
matter 50:8 64:15 68:10 76:6
matters 77:11
means 39:13 41:4
meantime 43:15
measures 47:17
mechanical 25:13
media 19:4 47:4
memory 21:20,25 22:2,9,15 23:2 26:4, 22 27:9,24 31:11 43:25 44:4 53:17,20
mentioned 53:6
mere 77:24 78:15
merits 66:22
metal 46:8 47:13
mic 21:11
Michael 72:2
microscopic 73:1
Middleton 77:22
mind 81:6,8
minds 57:18
minute 7:9, 25 55:4 81:11,24
minutes 63:18
mis-program 22:24
misconduct 64:24
misprogramming 73:4 75:2
missed 16:13
Mm-hmm 56:11
mode 41:1, 6,8,13,15 42:11,13
modes 41:6
moment 32:24
morning 7:8, 18 10:9 12:22 14:6 21:11 29:17 33:4 65:15
motion 64:2, 9 66:20 67:24 77:15 79:2 81:1
move 7:10, 15 64:6 79:2
movement 50:6
multiple 55:20
municipalities 38:22

N

narrow 80:2
NAS 61:6
national 58:11 59:2,10,24 60:17 62:11 71:6,8,13, 17 72:9 73:6
naturally 72:13
nature 74:15
needed 21:9
negligent 68:9
night 14:4
non-jury 64:15
nonliving 64:18
nonprofit 60:20
noon 7:10
note 59:4 60:6 79:4
noticed 12:13,15

November 10:11 23:11 24:18 31:17 37:24 38:17 39:15 49:4 54:22

number 46:9 62:18 67:4,8,22 69:4 78:6 80:22 81:15

numbers 44:8,9,20, 22 80:13, 20

O

oath 9:17 18:9

object 20:4 21:2 27:10 31:18 35:8

objection 20:15 24:13 29:6 51:4 52:15,20, 25 56:6 57:17

objectionable 52:2

objections 55:24

observe 27:11

observed 43:6

occasions 55:20

occur 40:20 67:6

occurred 61:2

occurs 45:17

OCGA 52:13 56:13 59:6

offer 79:1

offhand 50:17

office 19:18 24:6,13,15 43:13 44:25 51:1 57:22

officers 45:18,20

official 51:6 64:25 65:4 66:17 69:15

open 46:15 54:18

opening 56:4

operating 69:18 77:1

operation 25:15

operator 41:13

opinion 27:22 28:2,4 50:2 51:2 58:22,24 59:2 60:22 62:20 72:11,17

opportunity 55:22

opposite 59:11

option 41:13 67:11 73:18,20

order 63:25

orders 52:10

organization 60:20

originally 46:22

originates 22:11

outlined 70:6

outweighs 58:24 59:2

overcome 80:24

overruled 55:24

oversee 35:6,15 54:6

overseeing 19:4 23:20 34:13

overturn 77:24

overturned 75:25

overturning 78:17

owns 36:6

P

packet 27:2

pages 10:25 60:9,10

paper 72:20 73:1 75:25

paragraph 60:11

parallel 76:17

part 28:22 36:1 48:11 70:6

part-time

19:9

partial 72:4

particularities 68:18

party 67:6

pass 49:4

passed 77:15

password-protected 47:18

past 78:6, 11

penalties 35:22

people 19:22 57:18 59:18 74:4 80:4

perceive 62:10

percent 36:9,11 66:4,13 75:20

person 11:11 12:20 14:4 16:20 80:8

personally 24:6 35:20

petitioner 75:17

petitioner's 64:20

petitioners 10:1 65:2 75:13

ph 68:1,13 72:9 73:4 78:1 81:6

phrase 31:22 52:2

phrased 35:11

piece 72:4

place 8:8 33:18 39:25 40:11,18 43:15 44:18 65:9,11,24 67:17 69:24 76:10 77:10 79:25

places 19:2 39:1

plaintiff 64:18 69:2 75:2 76:8 77:11 78:22 79:2,22

plaintiff's 55:18,22 64:10 67:13 68:18,25 69:10,13, 25 70:4

plaintiffs 17:20 55:15 64:22 78:13 79:4

plaintiffs' 69:17

plate 47:13

plausible 74:18 77:13

plenty 16:15

plugged 38:13

podium 33:10,11

podiums 33:13

point 11:13 26:6 49:9 51:9 58:9 59:4,13 60:1 76:22

pointed 63:11

Poletto 64:13

police 45:20

political 39:20

poll 12:17 19:2 23:17 25:4 28:13,15, 18,20 29:1,4 30:18,22 31:1 36:20,22 38:25 39:1 41:1,4,13 42:2,6,9 43:11,15 44:10,22 46:11 53:15 65:17

poller 54:2

polling 19:2 39:1,25 40:11 43:15 44:18 46:18

polls 31:11, 13 65:11

popped 11:4

position 18:18,22 52:9 71:15 78:22 79:11

positions 49:15

positions'

72:22

potential
78:13

potentially
38:13

precinct
24:20
30:11
31:13 40:9
67:2 80:18

precincts
24:1
39:15,20
40:4,10
54:6

prejudicial
58:24 59:4

prepare
38:17

presentation
78:25

presented
73:4,6,20
77:11 78:8

presumed
67:15
79:20

presumption
67:18
79:22

pretty 62:20
63:10

previous
11:24
13:17 22:4

previously
20:15

prima 75:17
77:18

prinicipally
58:17

prior 22:6
23:10
31:15
48:15
53:25
61:11
76:11,25

private
60:20

privileged
52:18

probative
58:22 59:2

problem 7:13
41:20
68:10
73:10
76:13
77:22

problems
15:20

procedures
39:9 80:9

process
22:13
24:11 26:6
29:20 43:8
44:2,13
45:2

processed
39:6

product
59:11

promise 9:2
17:20

proper 20:11

properly
73:18 77:6

proponent
58:20

proven 75:18

provide
64:15

provided
66:10

public 54:17
59:6 60:18
62:18

pull 10:18
40:13

purchased
36:9,10

purely 66:4

pursuant
64:6

pushing
49:13

put 7:22
10:20
28:13 29:1
30:9,24
31:11,13
33:15
41:11
47:13
54:18 55:8
69:24 76:8

puts 28:15

Q

question
8:15
13:13,17
20:13
21:6,11
22:22
27:13
31:22
35:11 50:9
52:2 61:1,
4 74:1

questioning
55:25

questions
13:4,6,11
15:24
17:9,11
19:17
29:11
33:1,2
36:18
47:22,25
49:6 51:11
58:6 72:2
73:20

quick 13:6 68:11
quickly 72:2
quote 52:13
quoted 56:4
quoting 58:18

R

race 10:22, 24 11:6,15 12:2 16:11 57:6 58:1, 2 66:6,9, 25 67:6,11 68:18,20 78:4 79:10,13, 18
races 67:2 78:9
raise 9:2
raised 66:24 68:2
Raven 81:6
read 32:4, 6,15 50:11 56:22 59:18 62:22 68:2,4 70:15
reading 29:11 57:18 59:18,22 75:15 80:4
ready 26:13 38:20 39:4
realized 78:20
reason 22:13 63:4 69:9 73:22 77:15
reasonable 55:22 69:8 78:11
reasons 67:4 69:2 70:8
rebuttal 8:18
rebutted 69:1
recall 20:25 23:15,20 53:6 57:8 59:11
recap 44:20 46:15
receipt 65:11
receive 57:4
received 24:13 65:8 79:17
record 9:22 18:15 21:4 32:20 54:15 55:15 61:20 62:18 63:22 65:22 66:6 67:11,20
recorded 44:8,9,22 46:13 77:2,6
recording 49:15 70:2
records 54:18 59:6
recounted 72:10
recruited 39:1
reference 31:20
referring 56:13 68:11
reflect 21:4,11
refused 7:11
registration 18:20,24 19:4 28:22 29:2,22,25 34:15 35:18,20 39:6
Registrations 16:4 66:20
regular 41:6,13 42:11
reinstalled 20:2
rejected 65:8,22 66:18 67:22 79:18
rejection 65:11
related 32:4 33:2
relating 49:22
relevance 61:18
reliability 43:20
relied 61:15 62:18
relief 79:4
remarked 74:2
remedy 80:6
remember 11:2

remove 22:24
renew 58:11 63:2
renewing 63:4
reopened 25:6
rephrase 50:9
replace 64:25
replaced 20:22 72:4,6
report 24:2, 8 31:20 58:13 59:2,4,10, 22 61:6,13 67:2,4
reported 69:22
reports 31:15,18 32:2 59:6 66:25 69:11
represent 16:2
representative 39:18 80:6
reproduce 31:11
reproduces 31:11
reprogram 26:2
reprogramming 26:15 27:9,22
Republican 16:24 73:25
request 54:20 58:11
requesting 66:22
require 49:11 50:1 52:13 64:17
required 34:15 35:2 47:1 49:22 56:15
requires 50:22 52:22 58:18 64:22
requiring 52:6
reserved 80:6
respect 28:6 44:4 45:2 62:1 79:6 81:2
respond 35:10
response 72:2 76:4
rest 63:15
result 53:24 65:1,13,24 67:13 69:4 73:15 74:22 79:25 80:1,10
results 48:24 61:4 65:9 67:17,18 72:18 73:1,2,9 75:22 77:10
retired 16:13 45:20
returned 46:22
returns 79:22
revealed 76:9
review 23:11 75:8
reviewed 59:15 60:22
Richard 17:20 18:6,11,15 33:22
rid 79:11
roaming 54:6
rolls 19:4
room 38:10, 11
Roswell 40:13,17, 18
rule 8:8, 13,17 34:20,22 51:20 52:4 74:20 75:1,4,11 81:4
ruled 55:22 63:9,13 81:4,11
rules 8:10, 11 34:25 35:1,22 63:10
ruling 51:2
rulings 63:11
run 26:8,10 48:22 49:11,13

63:13

running 11:11 16:20 26:20 69:8

runoff 25:25 26:2 55:2

RUSSO 49:8, 10,18

S

safe 17:15 69:18

safeguards 69:22

safety 44:4 59:11

Sara 23:15

saved 54:9

scenarios 73:2

scholars 72:11

School 10:13

Science 59:24

Science's 59:2

Sciences 58:13 60:17,18

scientific 27:20,22 29:13 79:6

scientists 72:11

Scores 54:24

screen 10:15,18 12:13 14:10,18 15:13 16:22 25:13 40:22 41:9 42:10 49:13 73:24

script 48:22,25 49:11

seal 44:6, 9,11,22,25 46:4,9,10, 13,17,22 47:2,9,10

sealed 44:6, 15 45:4 47:4

seals 44:11, 22 45:22, 24 46:15

seat 9:6 17:24 63:25

seats 7:9

Secretary 19:18 20:1,6 32:18 51:1 69:15 75:6 77:6

section 64:22 65:6

Sections 64:22

secure 53:4, 18 61:2

secured 53:18

securing 60:1

security 7:20,22 45:2 47:17 53:6 60:4

SED 34:20, 22

select 41:13

selects 30:22

senate 39:18 67:6 78:4, 10

send 44:15 54:4

sending 59:18

separately 19:20

sequestration 8:11,13,17

Sequoia 34:6

serial 44:8, 20

server 19:18,22 20:1,22 30:18,20 37:1 47:17 53:6,11 72:4

servers 20:6

set 39:2 70:8 71:15

setting 80:4

sexist 48:4

sham 73:4

sheet 44:20 46:15

shook 21:6

show 42:10 56:13 65:6 75:13 80:15

showing 75:18 77:18 79:24

shown 64:22 72:25 79:4

shuffling 75:25
shut 43:17
sic 22:24
side 62:2 63:18
sides 62:4
sign 40:24 42:4
signs 73:10
simply 62:11 68:2,20
single 66:11
sir 10:22 14:15 15:2,15 16:13,18 20:18 47:24 57:22 81:4,17
skill 27:11
small 11:13 17:2
smaller 16:22
Smith 64:13 77:22
software 20:2 36:13,20 37:4 49:13
sort 25:18 66:1,15
source 31:4
specific 31:20 61:11,17 76:24
specifically 48:15 57:6
speculation 57:18 74:2,20 77:25 78:15
spend 71:22
square 17:2, 6
squares 11:6 16:8 17:4
staff 25:8
stand 8:25 76:8
standalone 30:20
start 59:18
state 9:22 17:20 18:15 20:1 30:2,4 31:11 32:18 34:15,18, 24 35:6,17 36:2,10 38:22 39:18 67:1 69:15,22 75:6 77:6 79:11
state's 19:18 20:6 31:2 51:1
state- 56:15
stated 60:22
statement 13:9 31:22 56:4
statements 31:25 61:11
states 69:6 71:20
statewide 74:22
statute 50:13,15, 18 56:2 75:13
stays 27:2
story 32:15
straight 30:17
stuff 59:18 63:11 78:20
styles 39:13 40:2,6
subject 35:20
subsection 71:2
subsequent 27:22
substantial 71:22
substantially 58:24
sued 79:11
sufficient 64:25 65:9,11, 20,24 67:15 79:25 80:24
suggest 72:20
suggested 73:20
suggests 63:6
summary 12:8
sundry 39:6
supervision 24:25 27:18
support 79:15
supporting 74:2

supposed 34:18 42:4 43:18 60:9 62:2

Supreme 77:22 78:15

survived 75:24

susceptible 70:4

sustain 20:15 52:18

sustained 24:15 53:2 56:6 57:20

sworn 9:17 18:9

system 20:11 28:22 29:20,22, 24 30:1,4 31:2,15 32:4 35:25 37:2,4,8 53:25 61:1 69:18 70:2,4 72:4,8 73:10,18 76:13,18 79:6,8,11 80:25

systemic 76:13

systems 34:6 70:1 72:9

T

table 50:6

taking 19:2 79:4

talked 12:20 15:18 53:4 69:25

talking 27:15 29:15 53:9,10 81:6,8

tampered 46:18

tampering 66:1

tape 48:24

technician 43:13 54:6

technicians 54:6

telling 12:25 52:13

tells 29:4 30:25

telltale 73:10

Temple 24:20

ten 63:17

tendered 58:15

terminology 29:18 30:15

terms 29:10 38:15 40:2 68:8,20 69:4,20,22

Terri 8:25 9:13,20,24 14:22

terrible 79:8

test 37:22 49:4 51:20

tested 37:20 38:2,4 44:6

testified 9:17 18:9 53:17,22 55:8 67:4 69:17 72:2

testify 7:20 69:13

testifying 61:15

testimony 8:20 19:13 20:13 23:15 43:4 48:17 54:1 62:13 68:24 69:11,15, 22 70:1,6 74:6 76:18,20, 22 77:2

testing 26:11,15 48:18 55:17

tests 26:20 55:10 76:6,8,11, 20 77:4,9

Texas 34:4,8

there're 43:11

thing 11:4,6 14:4 30:8 41:10 51:15,22 57:4 66:9 79:11 80:2,11 81:4

things 26:13 32:1 40:20 51:11 79:9

thinking 11:6 17:2

Thomas 8:25 9:13,20, 24,25

14:22 16:2
65:15

Thompkin
68:1

thought 28:8
59:17
73:22,24
74:9,10

thousand
80:17

throw 74:15

tick 73:22

ticket 43:13
53:25 54:4
69:8 73:25
74:8,10

tickets
53:22
54:9,11,20

tie 66:15

time 11:18
14:9 15:1
16:13,15
24:18
26:11
32:25 48:6
63:2 70:10
71:24
81:22

timely 39:6

times 15:6,9
48:13
74:18

today 72:6
76:22

told 12:25
41:24 45:4

Traffic
59:10

trained 39:1

training
19:2

Travis 34:4

treat 20:17

treated
66:22

trial 63:9

trier 64:8

triers 60:2

trouble 50:6

trusted 20:2

truth 9:2,4
17:22

TS 67:4

turn 25:22
31:2

type 20:11
25:13
27:11

Tyson 7:15
16:1,2,4
17:9 20:4
27:10
31:18
47:24
52:25
61:6,10
66:18
77:18
81:20

U

U.S. 78:4,
10

ultimate
35:18

Um-hmm 49:24

un-rebutted
72:18

unable 42:18

unanimous
72:11

unanswered
62:15

uncontroversial 76:6

uncontroverted
70:6
76:18,20,
22 77:2

under-vote
66:1,2,4,
15 67:6
69:2,9
79:18
80:11,15

under-votes
66:11 67:9

understand
21:13
22:18 28:6
29:11
34:22
57:22
59:13
62:22
79:18

understanding
19:18,25
22:22
24:11,20
25:11
26:25
48:17
49:25
51:18
52:22

understood
62:25

undertake
35:15

undertaken
80:6

undisputed
69:15 70:1
71:10

unfair 59:17

uniform 36:2

unique 46:10

unit 27:8
30:20
47:15

urge 59:22

useless 62:22

V

valid 61:4 67:15

verified 44:11

verify 44:22 46:13

Versus 68:15

victory 67:22

view 62:4 73:13

violation 80:9,10

viruses 36:15,22 37:6

vote 10:11, 13,15 12:4,22 14:15 16:11 28:25 30:6 39:25 40:9,13,15 42:18 44:15 48:22 65:13,18 66:8 67:11 69:20 70:2,4 72:22 73:24 74:8 77:1,4 78:13

voted 11:20, 22 12:24 15:1,8,15 48:25

voter 13:22 19:4 25:6 28:11,13, 17,22 29:4,25 30:6,10, 11,13,17, 22 39:6 41:2,11,25 42:15,18 43:10 69:4,20 73:2 74:6, 18 77:1 78:8

voters 40:8 41:8,9 67:10 69:4 70:2 73:9, 22

votes 48:22 49:17 65:8,11,22 66:17 67:22,24 78:1 79:17,18 80:13,17, 18,24

voting 7:20 11:9 12:15 16:18 17:4 19:11 30:13 32:20 34:6 35:25 36:2 38:4 39:4, 22,24 40:1,11,20 44:6,13 45:17 47:11 49:13 61:13 68:24 73:2 76:13

vulnerable 31:15 65:6 71:6,11 72:8,13 73:18 77:20

W

waiving 79:1

Walden 59:8

wall 38:13

wanted 7:10 16:6

warehouse 45:6,8,9, 10 46:13, 22,24 47:18

watcher 23:17

ways 43:11

web 37:18 70:1

webpage 60:17

whatsoever 74:20

Williamson 34:8

Winterville 80:13,18

witnesses 8:18 58:10 67:4 68:25 77:13

woman 15:4 48:4,8 65:13

word 29:13, 15 51:9 68:6

words 29:13

work 34:6 70:22 80:25

worked 34:4, 6

worker 25:4 28:13

30:22 42:8
43:11,15
65:17

workers 19:2
38:25
39:1,2
44:10,22
46:11

working 7:11
52:22

works 39:22

worry 48:10

worse 71:13,
17,18,20

write-in
67:8

writing
11:13

written
75:13

wrong 42:4,6

wrote 14:6

Y

years 18:22
53:20
71:15
78:11

yellow 28:11

yesterday
20:13
23:15
61:15
63:11 72:2
73:20

yesterday's
19:13

younger 48:9

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDMH
Date: 2/6/2019 3:45 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV313418**

NOTICE OF FILING ELECTRONIC COPIES OF TRANSCRIPTS

Plaintiffs file the following electronic copies of transcripts pursuant to the instructions of the Superior Court Clerk (Ms. Quin Watson):

1. December 5, 2018 Hearing Transcript;
2. December 9, 2018 Hearing Transcript;
3. January 17, 2019 Hearing Transcript;
4. January 18, 2019 Hearing Transcript.

These transcripts were tendered physically on February 1, 2019, pursuant to the Clerk's instructions, but then rejected; then e-filed on February 1, 2019, and again rejected.

DATED: February 6, 2019.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Georgia Bar No. 064460
BRUCE P. BROWN LAW LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE, Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700
Attorney for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing via the Court's e-filing system to all counsel or record.

This 6st day of February, 2019.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Georgia Bar No. 064460

BRUCE P. BROWN LAW LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE, Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700

Attorney for Plaintiffs

E

Type text here

X

H

I

B

I

T

1

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
FULTON JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
STATE OF GEORGIA

FULTON COUNTY COALITION FOR	)	
	)	
GOOD GOVERNANCE, ET AL	)	
	)	
Plaintiffs	)	**Case Number**
	)	
Versus	)	**2018-CV-313418**
	)	
ROBYN CRITTENDEN, ET AL	)	
	)	
Defendants	)	

STATUS HEARING

A transcript of the proceedings before the **HONORABLE ADELE P. GRUBBS**, on December 5, 2018, at the Cobb County Courthouse, Marietta, Cobb County, Georgia.

APPEARANCE OF COUNSEL:

FOR PLAINTIFFS:	**BRUCE P. BROWN** Attorney at Law 1123 Zonolite Rd., Ste. 6 Atlanta, Ga. 30306 404-881-0700
FOR DEFENDANT CRITTENDEN:	**JOSH BELINFANTE, VINCENT R. RUSSO and ALEX DENTON** Attorneys at Law 500 14th St. NW Atlanta, Ga. 30318 678-701-9381
FOR DEFENDANT FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS:	**CHERYL RINGER & KAYE BURWELL** Attorneys at Law 141 Pryor St. SW, Ste. 4038 Atlanta, Ga. 30303 404-612-0263
FOR DEFENDANT GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS:	**BRYAN P. TYSON & DICK CAROTHERS** Attorney at Law P.O. Box 73053 Marietta, Ga. 30007 404-219-3160
FOR DEFENDANT DEKALB COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS:	**DAVID WARE, PEARSON CUNNINGHAM, RUSSELL BRITT, MR. BRYAN** Attorneys at Law 191 Peachtree St. NE, Ste. 2900 Atlanta, Ga. 30303 678-539-1611
FOR DEFENDANT GEOFF DUNCAN:	**EDWARD LINDSEY** Attorney at Law 303 Peachtree St., Ste 5300 Atlanta, Ga. 30308 404-527-4720

Paul D. Crowder, CCR, CVR
264 Mountain View Dr.
Woodstock, Ga. 30188 404-457-9289

1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 * * * * *

3 THE COURT: Fulton County Coalition for Good

4 Governance versus Robyn Crittenden. With due respect to

5 y'all, I need to kind of know who is who and who

6 represents who and so on and so forth. There's one face I

7 recognize. The rest of you I've never seen before. Good

8 morning Mr. Lindsey. Go ahead.

9 MR. BROWN: Judge, my name is Bruce Brown. I

10 represent the Plaintiffs.

11 THE COURT: Okay. And are all the Plaintiffs here?

12 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor. Two of the Plaintiffs

13 are here, Rhonda Martin and Jeanne Dufort.

14 THE COURT: Okay.

15 MR. BROWN: And Marilyn Morris, who is the executive

16 director of The Coalition is here at counsel's table. So

17 three of the Plaintiffs are here.

18 THE COURT: Okay. Then let me ask about on the

19 Defense side.

20 MR. BELINFANTE: Good morning, Judge, Special

21 Assistant Attorney General representing Secretary of State

22 Robyn Crittenden. I'm joined by my partner Vincent Russo

23 and Alex Denton.

24 THE COURT: Okay. So just take a seat. Have you

25 made an appearance of counsel? I don't have everything, I

1 don't think. I know this is really a Fulton County case
2 and we probably need to be doing this in Fulton County
3 from now on. This is more of a status, where are we,
4 where are we headed type hearing this morning, so I'll get
5 your names properly at some point. If I call you wrong,
6 please excuse me.
7 MR. LINDSEY: Good morning, Your Honor.
8 THE COURT: Good morning.
9 MR. LINDSEY: My name is Edward Lindsey. I represent
10 Lieutenant Governor Elect Geoff Duncan.
11 THE COURT: Who?
12 MR. LINDSEY: Geoff Duncan who's the Lieutenant
13 Governor Elect.
14 THE COURT: Okay. Yes, sir.
15 MR. TYSON: Good morning, Your Honor. I'm Bryan
16 Tyson, joined by Dick Carothers. We represent the
17 Gwinnett County Board of Registrations and Elections.
18 THE COURT: Yes.
19 MR. WARE: Good morning, Judge Grubbs. David Ware
20 for DeKalb County Board of Registration and Elections,
21 along with Pearson Cunningham, Russell Britt, and Mr.
22 Bryan.
23 THE COURT: All y'all four together?
24 MR. WARE: Yes, we're all together for DeKalb County.
25 THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.

1 MS. BURWELL: Kaye Burwell with Cheryl Ringer on
2 behalf of Fulton County.
3 THE COURT: Okay. So each county is represented, and
4 one of the candidates is represented. Okay, let me ask
5 you, Mr. Brown. I know there's been some confusion
6 because this was a recusal and I was told to take it. Is
7 there service on everybody?
8 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor. Should I stand at the
9 podium?
10 THE COURT: Whichever makes you more comfortable.
11 You will find that I'm a fairly -- Despite my reputation,
12 I'm a fairly easy going kind of person as long as you're
13 doing what you're supposed to do.
14 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. The Plaintiffs
15 have made every effort to effect service in accordance
16 with the special provisions of the election contest
17 statute. And what those provisions contemplate is that
18 actually the clerk and the assigned Judge perfect the
19 service.
20 THE COURT: Right.
21 MR. BROWN: And the necessary steps to that --
22 THE COURT: They sign the service.
23 MR. BROWN: Right. And so we tried it in Fulton
24 County.
25 THE COURT: Fulton didn't work.

1 MR. BROWN: It didn't work. And this is in the
2 record but I alerted the clerk when I filed the petition
3 that there were special provisions, both for the
4 assignment of the Judge and for the special summons.
5 THE COURT: Right.
6 MR. BROWN: That didn't make it through the court
7 system down there until finally the chief Judge took
8 control of it and assigned -- and made arrangements for
9 your assignment. And so one of the first things that I
10 would ask is that the Court direct the clerk in Fulton
11 County to issue the summons and to perfect service of the
12 summons upon the Defendants. We have drafted -- With
13 corrections from Judge Russell we have drafted the special
14 statutory summons and so I would be able to transmit to
15 your clerk or to your staff a Word copy of the summons
16 that could be modified to include what Your Honor would
17 specify as the answer date.
18 THE COURT: Okay.
19 MR. BROWN: One of the things that this law says is
20 that it's not 30 days. It's what you say their answer
21 date is.
22 THE COURT: I have a book.
23 MR. BROWN: So that would be one of the first things
24 that you did.
25 THE COURT: I knew I wouldn't have a computer so I

1 brought the book.
2 MR. BROWN: That would be one of the first things on
3 my list of things that would need to be covered would be
4 making sure that Your Honor has the paperwork necessary to
5 set this.
6 THE COURT: And you think it's necessary to process
7 it through my clerk or do I process it through Fulton
8 County?
9 MR. BROWN: Through Fulton County.
10 THE COURT: Okay.
11 MR. BROWN: So that would be the first thing we'd ask
12 for and we'd be happy to --
13 THE COURT: And you've got that paperwork with you.
14 MR. BROWN: I don't have it with me. It is with -- I
15 can have it tendered to you immediately.
16 THE COURT: You can email it to me.
17 MR. BROWN: Yes, ma'am.
18 THE COURT: Any response to all that about the
19 service, from anybody? Has anybody actually been served?
20 MR. RUSSO: The Secretary of State has not been
21 served.
22 THE COURT: But y'all got here.
23 MR. BELINFANTE: We're here special, Judge.
24 THE COURT: Okay. So I'm going to do that. If
25 you'll get that to me. I'll give you a card. I'll find

one before we get through.

MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I'll get you an address to go to. What is next on your side, Mr. Brown?

MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the next thing on the agenda would be several things. First would be, if Your Honor is prepared to do so, to maybe anticipate a trial date. Second would be to discuss the necessary parties. And the third would be our request for emergency discovery so that the evidence, which could be vanishing now that the elections are done, is preserved and inspected for purposes of determining the causes of action.

THE COURT: Let me handle that backwards. Let me ask everybody else, okay. Do you have any objection to an order to preserve the evidence? I'm not -- I didn't say anything about inspect. I just said preserve.

MR. BELINFANTE: Your Honor, Josh Belinfante again, for Secretary Crittenden. And I should say -- I should have said in the beginning, we are appearing specially given the service issue.

THE COURT: I'm assuming everybody is appearing specially because there's no service.

MR. BELINFANTE: Yes, Your Honor. In terms of preserving the evidence, I think the problem here is that the allegations seem to indicate or seem to question

1 whether that's even possible. And so the position of the
2 Secretary of State's Office is that there will be no
3 destruction or willful destruction of the evidence. But I
4 don't know that the Plaintiffs take the position that
5 that's even feasible.
6 THE COURT: Let me rephrase it. That you will
7 preserve the evidence as far as is possible.
8 MR. BELINFANTE: Yes, Your Honor.
9 THE COURT: I mean, I don't know -- I've read the
10 pleadings. I don't know about all the technicalities and
11 what's what.
12 MR. BELINFANTE: Right.
13 THE COURT: And we're all lawyers and not tech
14 people. So I would think, Mr. Brown, an order that they
15 preserve the evidence as far as is possible would be
16 appropriate at this minute. I'm not inclined to go
17 further than that, because there's been no service and I
18 haven't seen any answers and they haven't had a right to
19 challenge anything.
20 MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.
21 THE COURT: Let me hear from each one in turn.
22 MR. TYSON: Yes, Your Honor. For Gwinnett, also, we
23 wouldn't have a problem with that as far as possible.
24 Obviously we have to use the machines. We had to use them
25 yesterday.

1 THE COURT: As far as possible. There's to be no
2 destruction, deliberate destruction. What the machine
3 does to itself, who knows.
4 MR. TYSON: Right. So we have no objection, Your
5 Honor.
6 THE COURT: Okay.
7 MR. WARE: On behalf of DeKalb, Your Honor, we will
8 follow Your Honor's direction.
9 THE COURT: No problem with DeKalb. Fulton?
10 MS. RINGER: We, as well, will follow the order.
11 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Lindsey doesn't really have
12 any.
13 MR. LINDSEY: Given the fact, Your Honor, that we're
14 not in possession of any of the documents.
15 THE COURT: You're not in possession of anything.
16 MR. LINDSEY: We simply want everyone to behave.
17 THE COURT: I gotcha. Anybody I left out?
18 (No response.)
19 THE COURT: Okay. So if you'll do an order for me,
20 Mr. Brown. Like I say, I'm going to give everybody my
21 card when we're done. Unfortunately, Senior Judges are
22 movable people that wander all over the world. Well, I
23 don't go below McDonough but I've been to Ringgold. So
24 finding us sometimes is an issue and I give you my
25 personal email so that you can find me whenever you need

1 me. So just get it to me.
2 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, one point of clarification in
3 terms of as much as possible.
4 THE COURT: Right.
5 MR. BROWN: It is the Plaintiff's position that if
6 these machines are reused in subsequent elections, that
7 that will wipe the memory.
8 THE COURT: Okay. We're going to move as fast as we
9 can move on this case. As I'm looking at it, somebody has
10 a MARTA election in March. I don't know which -- I don't
11 know whether that involves anybody in this case.
12 MR. TYSON: Yes, that's Gwinnett County.
13 THE COURT: That's Gwinnett. Okay. We'll have
14 handled this before we get to March.
15 MR. BROWN: Thank you.
16 THE COURT: Okay. So right now there isn't anything
17 else that I know of. There aren't any other elections.
18 If something comes up, let me know.
19 MR. RUSSO: I think the City of Atlanta has one --
20 THE COURT: Huh?
21 MR. RUSSO: I think the City of Atlanta has one also
22 and I believe they use Fulton County's.
23 THE COURT: When is it, do you know?
24 MR. BELINFANTE: City Councilman Ivory Young.
25 THE COURT: Oh, yes, that's right. Okay. We'll move

1 as fast as we can move.
2 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. If it's okay with
3 Your Honor, what we will put in the draft preservation
4 order is that the Defendants will use other machines for
5 these smaller elections.
6 THE COURT: No, I didn't say that.
7 MR. BROWN: Okay.
8 THE COURT: That's not what I said.
9 MR. BROWN: I understand, Your Honor.
10 THE COURT: I mean, you're asking me something. At
11 this point I have no idea about the case.
12 MR. BROWN: I understand.
13 THE COURT: All I'm trying to do is maintain the
14 status quo as well as I can while everybody gets served.
15 Everybody has a right to file answers, motions, whatever
16 may be coming at you. That's all I'm trying to do is
17 preserve the status quo.
18 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
19 THE COURT: Okay. Now you mentioned something about
20 parties?
21 MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor. The Secretary of State
22 filed a Motion to Dismiss late last night, and the
23 Secretary of State is taking the position that the
24 Secretary of State is not a proper party to this.
25 THE COURT: Well I'm not going to rule on that. See,

1 that's one of the things I'm concerned about. I don't
2 know what kind of motions are coming. I'm assuming --
3 With due respect -- I don't know how many lawyers we have
4 in this courtroom -- but they're not going to just roll
5 over and play dead. They're going to be responsive. Is
6 that a good word? Responsive, okay. So I want to give
7 them the proper time to do that, and I'm not going to deal
8 with anything -- Now if there's a -- I haven't seen it.
9 If it came I'm -- My office is supposed to be served with
10 it up here. As I say, I'll give everybody a card so you
11 can -- You can send me copies direct of everything you've
12 got. So if I'm somewhere else, I can still get copies of
13 them and I can still print them and know what I'm looking
14 at and line them up. But no, I'm not dealing with any of
15 that.
16 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
17 THE COURT: Now, trial date. Having said there is a
18 motion pending -- And we've got to have service. If you
19 get me that order and I think I am required -- It's five
20 to ten days after service as I understand what the code
21 section says. So they have to do that. So you've got to
22 get me the order. We're probably looking at after
23 Christmas and it would seem to me that we could set it for
24 what I would term motion hearings or whatever else they
25 may file. And, of course, you may want to respond to some

1 of them, too. I understand all that. I think we just set
2 it for a date. Getting y'all together, though you've done
3 good today, can be somewhat difficult.
4 My suggestion would be either the 7th or the 9th of
5 January. Would you look and see if somebody has something
6 that would require -- I'm thinking one of those two days
7 just to hear whatever may be filed. And at that point
8 then we can set it down for trial. And we're looking at
9 probably the first week in February for trial is what
10 we're looking at. Let me give y'all a chance to talk
11 about that and see where you are on those dates. Y'all
12 take your time.
13 (Brief pause.)
14 MR. LINDSEY: Sorry about that, Your Honor.
15 THE COURT: No, no. I want to --
16 MR. LINDSEY: It's actually fairly --
17 THE COURT: It's just, is that enough time? What's
18 y'all's position?
19 MR. BELINFANTE: The Defendants' collective position,
20 Your Honor, is that, you know, there are statutory
21 deadlines set in there and so once service is done that
22 triggers one and triggers another. As long as we're not
23 waiving any defenses based on those statutory timelines,
24 we think that having motions due to the Court on or before
25 December 20 would be appropriate. And then if the Court

1 wants to have a hearing on the 7th or the 9th, all parties
2 -- at least all Defendants are available to do so. But we
3 would want to have a hearing on the merits presuming the
4 Court even chooses to do that or get that far, as soon as
5 possible thereafter.
6 THE COURT: I understand that and I was looking at
7 that. Say we did February the 7th for motions.
8 MR. BELINFANTE: January 7th, Your Honor?
9 THE COURT: January 7th for motions. We could do
10 trial the 17th and 18th.
11 MR. BELINFANTE: Of?
12 THE COURT: January. So motions due by December
13 20th, January the 7th will be motion hearings, and then
14 trial will be -- And we can do it all in one order,
15 because I think I'm required to do that. What did I say,
16 17th and 18th of February? I've got to find -- Well we'll
17 talk about that in a minute. Not February, of January.
18 Trial would be January 17th. So motions hearings on the
19 7th and trial on the 17th. Any problem with that, Mr.
20 Brown?
21 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor. If it's an open day for
22 the Court and for the Defendants, for the Plaintiffs the
23 9th would be marginally better for the initial hearing
24 rather than the 7th; however, we will be here whenever
25 we're ordered to do so, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Any issue with the 7th or the 9th?
2 MR. BELINFANTE: It does not appear to be, Your
3 Honor.
4 THE COURT: Everybody all right? Okay. We'll say
5 the motions are on the 9th. I've got to rule on them.
6 That gives me time. Motions on the 9th, trial on the 17th
7 and 18th. And I can do that order. I'll do that order.
8 MR. BELINFANTE: The only issue, Your Honor, I'm
9 truly not trying to be difficult, but I just want to --
10 THE COURT: No, no.
11 MR. BELINFANTE: I don't want the Court to think that
12 at some point we surprised it or anything of that nature.
13 Depending on when service happens. The statute appears to
14 indicate that there's about 20 days between the appearance
15 that is filed and then the actual trial date. This may,
16 again, depending on service, fall outside that. I just
17 want to make clear for the record that we're not waiving
18 any defenses based on those dates.
19 THE COURT: Right. And we're doing these days -- and
20 I'll do an order on the days, just to protect on the
21 different rules and regulations. We can move them. It
22 doesn't say we can't move them. And we can move them.
23 And if service doesn't get done -- He'll give me the
24 service papers. We'll send them to the clerk. The clerk
25 will send them to the sheriff. It's Christmas. I don't

1 know. But we'll just see where it goes. And I trust
2 we'll have a return of service on each one so that we will
3 not.
4 MR. BELINFANTE: Great. All right. Thank you,
5 Judge.
6 THE COURT: Okay. The issue is this, and let me say
7 this -- As I said, I go to Ringgold, so I go wherever I
8 need to go. Do you want to hear it in Cobb or do you need
9 to hear it in Fulton? Whatever is easier for y'all.
10 MR. BELINFANTE: On behalf of the secretary, we're
11 indifferent. Whatever is easiest for the Court is easiest
12 for us.
13 THE COURT: With due respect, when you come to Cobb
14 in the morning you're going the opposite way with the
15 traffic. I was trying to work out how to phrase that.
16 And so it may be just that we can keep doing this in Cobb.
17 If someone objects, I'll try and move it to Fulton.
18 MR. BROWN: No objection, Your Honor.
19 THE COURT: No objection. Nobody's objecting right
20 now.
21 MR. LINDSEY: No objection, Your Honor.
22 THE COURT: No objection. So we'll do it in Cobb. I
23 will try -- I will go right now and see which courtroom I
24 can get to do it in. How many lawyers do we have? We
25 have 16 lawyers. So we ought to get the big courtroom.

1 Let's see what I can do. And then, Madam Clerk, would you
2 put these out? I hope there's enough. That's one for
3 everybody. That's my contact information. It has my --
4 That's for everybody. It has my cell phone number on it.
5 It has everything that you need, because, as I say, we
6 move around a lot. Anything else we need to do this
7 morning?
8 (No response.)
9 THE COURT: We're done. If you get that service to
10 me as fast as possible, I'll get it filed and done. I do
11 have a law clerk. He's not here yet. And we'll get it
12 done.
13 MR. BELINFANTE: Judge, just so I'm clear, whenever
14 we filed something is it your preference that we also
15 email you a copy of what we file?
16 THE COURT: Yes. Just email me a copy so I've got it
17 and I can start looking at it and see where we are.
18 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
19 THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you, gentlemen.
20 (End of Proceedings).
21
22
23
24
25

1 **C E R T I F I C A T E**

2

3 STATE OF GEORGIA)

4 COUNTY OF COBB)

5

6 I hereby certify that the foregoing transcript was taken
7 down, as stated in the caption, and the questions and answers
8 thereto were reduced to type-written form by me personally;
9 that the foregoing pages 01 through 18 represent a true,
10 correct and complete transcript of the evidence given upon said
11 hearing; and I further certify that I am not of kin or counsel
12 to the parties in the case, am not in the regular employ of
13 counsel for any of the said parties, nor am I anywise
14 interested in the result of said case.

15 This the 23rd day of January, 2019.

16 The above certification is expressly withdrawn and denied
17 upon the alteration, disassembly or photocopying of foregoing
18 transcript, including exhibits, unless said alteration,
19 disassembly or photocopying is done under the auspices of Paul
20 D. Crowder, C.C.R., C.V.R., and the original signature and
21 original seal is attached thereto.

22

23 Paul D. Crowder

24 Paul D. Crowder, C.C.R., C.V.R.

25 Certified Court Reporter, B-1579

EXHIBIT

2

1 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY

2 STATE OF GEORGIA

3

4 COALITION FOR GOOD . CIVIL ACTION
GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. . FILE NO.: 2018-CV-313418
5

6 MARTIN, SMYTH DUVAL, and .
JEANNE DUFORT, . Taken at:
7

8 Plaintiffs, . Superior Court of Cobb County

9 vs. . 70 Haynes Street

10 ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, . Courtroom 2000

11 Secretary of State of . Marietta, Georgia 30090
Georgia, et al. .
12

13 Defendants. .
. . . . . .
14

15
TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING PROCEEDINGS
16

17 WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 9, 2019

18 8:59 a.m. to 11:04 a.m.

19

20 STATE OF GEORGIA SENIOR JUDGE ADELE P. GRUBBS

21

22
REPORTED BY:
23

24 PRISCILLA GARCIA, COURT REPORTER

25 NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF GEORGIA

1 APPEARANCES:

2
Plaintiff's Counsel: Bruce P. Brown, Esquire
3
4 Bruce P. Brown Law
Floataway Business Complex
5
6 1123 Zonolite Road N.E.
Suite 6
7
8 Atlanta, Georgia 30306
9 404-881-0700
10 bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com
11
Defendant's Counsel: John Belinfante, Esquire
12
13 Robbins, Ross, Alloy, Belinfante
& Littlefield, LLC
14
15 500 14th Street N.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30318
16
17 404-856-3262
18 jbelinfante@robbinsfirm.com
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:

2
Defendant's Counsel: Vincent R. Russo, Esquire
3
4 Robbins, Ross, Alloy, Belinfante
& Littlefield, LLC
5
6 999 Peachtree Street N.E.
Suite 1120
7
8 Atlanta, Georgia 30309
9 404-856-3260
10 vrusso@robbinsfirm.com
11
Defendant's Associate Counsel:
12
13 Alexander F. Denton, Esquire
Robbins, Ross, Allot, Belinfante
14
15 & Littlefield, LLC
500 14th Street N.W.
16
17 Atlanta, Georgia 30318
18 404-856-3276
adenton@robbinsfirm.com
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:

2
Defendant's Counsel: Edward H. Lindsey, Esquire
3
4 Dentons US, LLP
303 Peachtree Street N.E.
5
6 Suite 5300
Atlanta, Georgia 30308
7
8 404-527-4580
9 edward.lindsey@dentons.com
10
11 Defendant's Counsel: Kaye Woodard Burwell, Esquire
Office of the County Attorney
12
13 141 Pryor Street S.W.
Suite 4038
14
15 Atlanta, Georgia 30303
404-612-0251
16
17 kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov
18
Defendant's Counsel: Bryan P. Tyson, Esquire
19
20 Strickland, Brockington & Lewis,
LLP
21
22 1170 Peachtree Street N.E.
Atlanta, Georgia 30309
23
24 404-219-3160
25 bryan.tyson@sbllaw.com

1 I-N-D-E-X

2 EXHIBITS: DESCRIPTION PAGE
NONE

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 COBB COUNTY, GEORGIA

2 WEDNESDAY, January 9, 2018 - 8:59 p.m.

3

4 * * * * * * *

5

6 THE COURT: On this case we have a request on Rule 22 who is opposed to make -- that request. Is anybody --

7

8 MS. BURWELL: (inaudible)

9 THE COURT: This is on the case. This is on the

10 Coalition case.

11 MS. BURWELL: Right.
THE COURT: Does anyone have any objection to the

12

13 Rule 22? -- the cameraman wants to record the proceedings.

14

15 MR. BELINFANTE: No, Your Honor.
MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor.

16

17 THE COURT: Everyone's saying no.

18 MR. RUSSO: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. Then I will grant it. I find that

19

20 it is covered under Section -- Rule 22. I have an order confirming.

21

22 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you.
THE COURT: I've got the order in front of me. I've

23

24 got it.

25 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Will they know downstairs?

1 THE COURT: I'm sorry.

2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Will they know downstairs? If I don't have it signed, they won't let me go through
3

4 security. If I don't have a signed --
THE COURT: I just signed it.
5

6 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Oh, okay.
THE COURT: Pinpoint the rules though. I need to
7

8 explain to you what the rules are. You can only record

9 when I'm in courtroom.

10 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay.

11 THE COURT: Okay. You cannot record anything from counsel's table.
12

13 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay.
THE COURT: Okay. Or any conversation they may have.
14

15 I don't think there are any witnesses. It's not that kind of hearing today, but we'll see. It's not -- yet and
16

17 we'll see. Don't record anything that they've got

18 privilege and all those kinds of things. So be very careful on that.
19

20 The rules say no bench conferences. I can't imagine me trying to hold a bench conference with these people.
21

22 But we'll -- all I'm doing -- okay. So, I will sign the order. When you say downstairs, what do you mean?
23

24 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: To get through security I have to

25 have a signed form to get through.

1 THE COURT: Okay.

2 THE BAILIFF: I'll take care of that, Judge.
THE COURT: Okay.
3

4 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you.
THE COURT: There you go. I don't -- I've got a
5

6 couple of them, okay. Jim, I'll let him handle it, okay.
Okay. So, we have a good record, this is the Coalition
7

8 for Good Governance and various individuals versus Robyn

9 Crittenden, Fulton County, and Gwinnett County.

10 Can you each, Counsel, introduce yourselves for the

11 record so I have a record of who's here? Yes, sir.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, Bruce Brown for the
12

13 plaintiffs. With me at counsel table is Marilyn Marks,
the executive director of plaintiff Coalition for Good
14

15 Governance.
THE COURT: I will allow that right now. We'll see
16

17 where we go. Yes, sir.

18 MR. RUSSO: Good morning, Your Honor. Vincent Russo
for the Georgia Secretary of State.
19

20 MR. BELINFANTE: Josh Belinfante also for the
Secretary of State, Your Honor.
21

22 THE COURT: Okay.
MR. TYSON: Good morning, Your Honor. Bryan Tyson
23

24 and with me is Dick Carruthers. We represent the Gwinnett

25 Board of Registrations and Elections.

1 THE COURT: Okay.

2 MR. LINDSEY: Good morning, Your Honor. Edward
Lindsey on behalf of Lieutenant Governor-elect Geoff
3

4 Duncan.
THE COURT: Okay.
5

6 MS. BURWELL: Kaye Burwell here on behalf of Fulton
County.
7

8 THE COURT: How did you get stuck with this?

9 MS. BURWELL: There too many lawyers there this

10 morning, Your Honor.

11 THE COURT: We're trying to pretend you're not here.
I gotcha. I got it. I know how that works, okay. That's
12

13 it. As I understand it, DeKalb County has been dismissed?
MR. BROWN: That's correct, Your Honor.
14

15 THE COURT: In looking at -- we're here -- let me be
clear on this. We're here on motions today. This is not
16

17 evidentiary. Understand the statute of evidence has been

18 supposedly filed, the report's picked it up. That's not
relevant today. It's got nothing to do with today.
19

20 That's not what we're here about, okay. We're here
for the four corners of the petition; that's all we're
21

22 here about. So I need to be clear about that. I
understand though, Mr. Brown, is that you have agreed that
23

24 the Coalition is not a proper party as to the election

25 contest; is that correct?

1 MR. BROWN: That is correct, Your Honor. The
2 Coalition is a party to the Federal 1983 claims, and the individual plaintiffs are the contestants in the election
3
4 contest.
THE COURT: Okay. And then with that covered, in
5
6 count 2 and count 3 are federal claims; is that correct?
MR. BROWN: That's correct, Your Honor.
7
8 THE COURT: Okay. Are those not being contested in
9 federal court right now?
10 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor. They're -- similar
11 claims have been raised in federal court, but these are -- these claims are also raised in this case.
12
13 THE COURT: Well, how many times can you raise the same claim? I mean, if this is a federal -- I'm going to
14
15 hear from everybody. If this is a federal issue, then why don't I just stay it and let the federals decide what it
16
17 is?
18 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the plaintiff's claims are right to be asserted here, and it may be that they -- that
19
20 those --
THE COURT: You can't keep asserting them in all
21
22 different kinds of -- of courtrooms.
MR. BROWN: That's correct, Your Honor. But had --
23
24 had the plaintiffs not asserted them, they could have
25 waived their right to the relief, if that relief were

1 deemed right before the other ones matured. The federal
2 court --
THE COURT: Let me hear from here from the -- from
3
4 the defendants on that issue. Don't mean to -- if I'm
making any sense this morning.
5
6 MR. RUSSO: Good morning, Your Honor. Vincent Russo
for the Secretary of State. This -- these are claims that
7
8 are pending and the case before Judge Totenberg in a
9 criminal matter. We don't have any objection to you also
10 considering those claims. We will do as you determine.
11 THE COURT: Okay.
MR. DENTON: And Your Honor, for Gwinnett, we're kind
12
13 of in the same position as Secretary of State.
THE COURT: Okay.
14
15 MR. DENTON: We're in the same position as the
Secretary of State's Office, and we don't have necessarily
16
17 an objection. We don't think we're really proper to be
18 part of the federal constitutional claims --
THE COURT: (inaudible)
19
20 MR. DENTON: -- so we can deal with that later. Yes.
THE COURT: We'll take one step at a time.
21
22 MR. DENTON: Yes.
THE COURT: I'm trying to take -- this is today,
23
24 okay. I'm trying to take it one step at a time, okay.
25 I'm fairly simplistic about -- I'm a math major, okay.

1 Explain life to me. You know, we're going to do it like
2 that. I do better if I keep my brain in one spot at a time. Fulton County, what do you say?
3
4 MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, on behalf of Fulton County, we take the position that the federal claims are pending
5
6 in federal court, and this is just another opportunity for these same plaintiffs to bring the same claim again, and
7
8 we agree with the Court that you want to stay the federal
9 issues, the constitutional issues they claim that they're
10 raising.
11 THE COURT: Mr. Lindsey.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I don't believe that Geoff
12
13 Duncan is really a party to the second and third count. They're simply a party in regard to the first count,
14
15 seeking a new election.
THE COURT: Is it -- I'm sorry?
16
17 MR. LINDSEY: We're simply a party in regard to the
18 first count, seeking a new election.
THE COURT: Right. But you're not really involved
19
20 in --
MR. LINDSEY: It certainly does, however, make sense
21
22 for you to stay.
THE COURT: You're right.
23
24 MR. LINDSEY: That'll do it.
25 THE COURT: Okay. Then I will hear the motions this

1 morning on that, but I'm still not convinced I'm going to
2 stay, okay. Because it's pending somewhere else. With that said, then let's go -- let me see. I'll hear -- let
3
4 me hear the Secretary of State's motions first, okay. Let -- what we're going to do -- a lot of them -- a
5
6 lot of them are the same. A lot of the motions are the same, so I'm going to -- I'm going to let you go first,
7
8 and then we'll go from there, okay.
9 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. And the
10 defendant's counsel, we did try to split up kind of all
11 arguments so that you aren't hearing -- THE COURT: Whatever you want to do, Mr. Brown.
12
13 MR. RUSSO: We're here on Secretary of State's motion to dismiss, along with the motions to dismiss filed by our
14
15 co-defendants. As you're aware, plaintiffs filed a petition contesting the results of the November 6, 2018,
16
17 general election and the race for lieutenant governor for
18 the State of Georgia. In that election the margin of victory was 123,172
19
20 votes. The plaintiffs in this case claim that the only explanations for the difference in the number of votes in
21
22 the lieutenant governor's race and other statewide races is that there were malfunctioning or erroneously
23
24 programmed voting machines or malicious manipulation of
25 the voting machines.

1 That that -- that those issues place in doubt the
2 results of the election. Now plaintiff's, of course,
3 completely disregard other reasonable explanations for the
4 differences in the votes, such as the fact that there were
5 third-party candidates in the other races and incumbents,
6 but that's for another day.
7 The Supreme Court has repeatedly stated that Georgia
8 law prohibits a contestant from merely speculating or
9 guessing as to the cause of an election contest. Here we
10 have -- the plaintiffs have asserted grounds under 2125 --
11 excuse me, 2102 520, under multiple different sections of
12 the election page, excuse me.
13 And the motion to dismiss, their response to the
14 motion to dismiss has them discuss -- the plaintiffs have
15 expanded the grounds for their contest to -- to additional
16 ones. So, there are threshold issues that pertain to our
17 client, which I'll address first.
18 My partner, Josh Belinfante, will address the federal
19 constitutional claims, and as I mentioned the other
20 parties will address those claims. Your Honor, has
21 already taken and confirmed the first step here that the
22 Coalition for Good Governance lacks standing to file an
23 election contest claim, so we're going to move past --
24 past that.
25 As Your Honor knows, election contests are authorized

1 and governed by the Georgia Election Code, which is in
2 Chapter 2 of Title 21. Pursuant to OCGA212520, there are only certain individuals who can be a defendant in an
3 election contest and none of those individuals in -- in this context would be the Secretary of State, which is the
4 first reason for dismissing the Secretary of State in the election contest.
5 THE COURT: Okay. I didn't -- Josh, I didn't realize
6 you had to go back to the courtroom.
7 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Yes.
8 THE COURT: Okay.
9 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: (inaudible)
10 THE COURT: I didn't realize that they wouldn't let you go through. Let me give you a chance to get set up
11 because that was not -- I didn't realize that.
12 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: I'm good.
13 THE COURT: It gets confusing because everybody has a
14 right to be heard, and I didn't realize they wouldn't let you bring it in, okay. But the fact that she -- that your
15 allegation is the Secretary of State should not be a defendant, let me hear that. That doesn't apply to
16 anybody else. Let me hear that one first.
17 MR. RUSSO: Yes, ma'am.
18 THE COURT: I understand it's a motion to dismiss,
19 but it's an issue that's out in front. Go ahead.

1 MR. RUSSO: Of course. Under OCGA212520,
2 subsection 2, a defendant is defined as -- as four different specific classes. A defendant could be either
3
4 the person whose nomination election is contested such as the lieutenant governor elect in this case, the person
5
6 whose eligibility to seek any nomination or office in a runoff primary or election is contested, the election
7
8 superintendent or superintendents who conducted the
9 contested primary or election, or the public officer who
10 formally declared the number of votes for and against a
11 ballot question submitted to the electors at an election. Under the Georgia Election Code, the Secretary of
12
13 State is not an election superintendent. Secretary of State does not conduct elections. Elections are conducted
14
15 in the State of Georgia by -- at the county level, by the county superintendents.
16
17 Under the definitions, the general definitions that
18 are applicable to the Georgia Election Code, a superintendent is specifically defined in 2122, 2,
19
20 subsection 35, as either a judge of the probate court of a county or the County Board of Elections, the County Board
21
22 of Elections and Registration, the joint City and County Board of Elections, or the joint City Board of Elections
23
24 and Registrations, if a county has one.
25 And there are -- there are three other categories,

1 which apply to municipal elections. None of those are
2 applicable here. As Your -- as Your Honor can tell here, you know, the plain language in the definition does not
3 include the Secretary of State, and -- and -- and that really goes to the fact that the Secretary of State,
4 again, doesn't conduct elections.
5 Where the Secretary of State -- the Secretary of
6 State is obviously involved in voter registration and must
7 maintain a statewide voter registration database, but that
8 doesn't make the Secretary of State a superintendent. The
9 county -- the -- the plaintiffs have alleged that or have argued that, you know, since it's a statewide election
10 involving a lieutenant governor, that the Secretary of State must -- must be a proper -- must be a superintendent
11 and must be a proper party.
12 The defendant -- or excuse me, the plaintiffs rely on
13 the case Mead vs. Sheffield, which involved a court of
14 appeals election contest, and in that case the -- in that case, it was a 2004 election contest in which one of the
15 -- the contestant missed the runoff by about 380 votes, because he had -- there was the wrong -- and there was a
16 wrong name on the ballot.
17 We do not know why the Secretary of State in that
18 case was a party. The plaintiffs claim that since she was
19 a party in that case, she -- the -- current Secretary of

1 State must, you know, must be a party in this case.

2 Of course, there's -- there's no precedent -- precedential value in that case on that precise point.

3

4 That said, what the Mead vs. Sheffield case does highlight is that even in an election contest involving a statewide

5

6 race, election contest is filed against the county superintendents, which it was Laurens County in that case,

7

8 where there alleged irregularities that occurred in that

9 particular county.

10 And that, you know, indicates where there is an

11 alleged irregularity involving an -- an illegal ballot as opposed to an illegal vote, the contestant must show that

12

13 the number of illegal ballots cast exceeds the margin of victory in order to prevail.

14

15 Again, this is -- the -- the reason the case was filed in Laurens County -- against Laurens County was

16

17 because that's where the irregularities occurred. I know

18 my colleagues at the -- that represent the other defendants, the county defendants will probably be

19

20 speaking to this issue, but Mead vs. Sheffield is clearly inapplicable here.

21

22 Plaintiffs also argue that the definition of election superintendent in the Recall Act of 1989, which is

23

24 Chapter 4 of Title 21, must apply to the Election Code.

25 Your Honor, I mean, it's a fundamental rule of

1 statutory construction that it's -- it's presumed that the
2 legislature acts intentionally and purposefully when it includes particular language in one section of a statute
3
4 but omits it from another. And that's Tolson v. Sistrunk, 332-Georgia at 324 from 2015.
5
6 Now in this rule of statutory construction is applied to the present case, it's clear that the word
7
8 "superintendent" as used for purposes of election contest
9 is -- is -- is referring to County Boards of Elections and
10 Registration or county probate judges, and that's for
11 counties that don't have, of course, a Board of Registrations and Elections.
12
13 Finally, Your Honor, the plaintiffs have made an argument about -- that -- that a public officer who --
14
15 that -- that the fourth subsection to the definition of "defendant" that discusses, you know, that a defendant can
16
17 be a public officer who declares the number of votes for
18 and against a question, submitted to -- submitted to the electors in an election that that somehow must wrap in the
19
20 Secretary of State also, but, Your Honor, of course, a question -- the question is defined to be, you know,
21
22 constitutional amendments or propositions, ballot referendum items that -- that voters vote on this, that
23
24 has nothing to do with election contests involving
25 candidates for public office.

1 And we would say that there's -- there's no basis for
2 making that -- that leap that the plaintiffs are -- are asking the Court to make. As Your Honor knows, election
3
4 laws that are in variegation to common law must be strictly construed here.
5
6 There's -- there's no basis for expanding the definition of "superintendent" to somehow wrap the
7
8 Secretary of State up into this election contest. The
9 proper defendants would be the counties, the county
10 superintendents in each county where the plaintiffs are
11 aware of election irregularities.
Now that moves to the next -- the next issue, and as
12
13 Your Honor pointed -- stated --
THE COURT: (inaudible)
14
15 MR. RUSSO: Well, within the four corners of -- of the complaint or the -- the petition.
16
17 THE COURT: Let me take the one issue, okay. Are you
18 arguing the motion to dismiss now?
MR. RUSSO: I'm still arguing the motion to dismiss,
19
20 just moving past whether --
THE COURT: That's not relevant here.
21
22 MR. RUSSO: Oh, I'm sorry. I apologize.
THE COURT: No, -- go ahead.
23
24 MR. RUSSO: Okay. The plaintiff's election contest
25 is also subject to dismissal for failing to meet the --

1 the pleading requirements.

2 THE COURT: Okay. That I'd like to take separately.
MR. RUSSO: Okay.
3

4 THE COURT: Let's take each step. That's what I said
in the beginning.
5

6 MR. RUSSO: Okay.
THE COURT: Let's take each step. You'll get to
7

8 argue that.

9 MR. RUSSO: No, I understand. I -- I thought you

10 meant each step -- I got you.

11 THE COURT: Let's -- the first issue before me is, is
the Secretary of State a proper -- okay.
12

13 MR. RUSSO: Sure.
THE COURT: All right. Anybody else on the defense
14

15 might want to bring a -- how about you, Mr. Brown?
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Judge. Bruce Brown for the
16

17 plaintiffs. The issue of whether the Secretary of State

18 is a proper defendant is actually -- it touches upon two
arguments that they make that are virtually the same. The
19

20 first is whether the Secretary is a proper defendant and
second whether the Secretary has sovereign immunity.
21

22 If the Secretary of State is a proper defendant, then
the sovereign immunity has been waived. The -- the
23

24 plaintiff's argument with respect to both issues is that

25 the election statute says defendant means election

1 superintendent. That is OCGA212250. The code then
2 defines election superintendent.
THE COURT: Are you saying 212250? 520.
3
4 MR. BROWN: I'm -- I'm sorry. 520.
THE COURT: 520. So y'all know, I have the book. I
5
6 don't necessarily have access to this particular computer,
and so I -- bring this book down with me.
7
8 MR. BROWN: I apologize, Your Honor.
9 THE COURT: It's 220?
10 MR. BROWN: 220. I keep transposing those numbers.
11 THE COURT: Yeah. Don't confuse them.
MR. BROWN: And then the phrase "election
12
13 superintendent" means in a statewide election the
Secretary of State. That is defined in OCGA2143. Now, it
14
15 is correct that that definition of "election
superintendent" as the Secretary of State is in a
16
17 different chapter of the code.
18 However, there's no reason to believe that the
legislature, in using the term election superintendent in
19
20 the contest chapter, meant anything different and, in
fact, commonsense would require that in a statewide
21
22 election that the proper defendant who is conducting the
election is the Secretary of State.
23
24 Particularly when the irregularity that is alleged is
25 an irregularity that is statewide in every single case.

1 Now the defendants, the Secretary of State option for
2 Your Honor, is to rule that in the statewide election contest that the plaintiff must join 159 counties, and
3
4 so --
THE COURT: Well, we haven't gotten to that yet,
5
6 either.
MR. BROWN: But this is -- but, Your Honor, it's the
7
8 same -- I would -- it's the same argument in that -- the
9 -- they're saying that they are not proper, but instead
10 the proper defendants are the 159 counties.
11 And we -- we think that's absurd and that's not what the legislator -- legislature intended. And it's not what
12
13 the legislature said.
Moreover, Your Honor, there has been, as far as we
14
15 know, only one statewide election contest on record, and that is the Mead vs. Sheffield case, which Mr. Russo
16
17 discussed. And in fact, in the Mead vs. Sheffield case
18 the attorney general defended and did not raise the sovereign immunity argument at all, and it's a
19
20 jurisdictional argument and it made it to the Supreme Court.
21
22 And it was clear to the Secretary of State and the attorney general at the time, Your Honor, that our reading
23
24 of the statute and commonsense meant, of course, that the
25 Secretary of State who is in charge of the elections is

1 the proper defendant in the statewide election contest.

2 Now, Mr. Russo said something to the effect, well, that was several years ago. I'm not sure why the

3

4 Secretary -- Secretary of State did it then, or why the former Secretary of State and former attorney general took

5

6 that position. I would like -- if I may approach, I found a case yesterday, Your Honor, which I believe is

7

8 instructed. But I'd like to approach the bench with a

9 copy of some of the papers, if I might.

10 THE COURT: All right. If you want to -- we don't

11 have a clerk because this is a Fulton County case. Thank you. So, it's just a brief?

12

13 MR. BROWN: It's a brief and then the case is attached to it, Your Honor.

14

15 THE COURT: I'm not going to -- I'm not going to take somebody else's brief. You want to argue it, fine, but

16

17 I'm not going to -- somebody else's brief and somebody

18 else's case is not -- and has got nothing to do with that.
MR. BROWN: Fair enough. If the -- the case, which

19

20 follows the copy of the brief, is a case called Dawkins-Haigler vs. Anderson. It's reported at 301-Georgia-27.

21

22 In that case, the Secretary of State was sued. And I quote, it's "Brian Kemp in his official capacity as state

23

24 election supervisor." That was the capacity in which he

25 was sued.

1 The -- the case was defended by Chris Carr, attorney
2 general, Dennis Dunn, Willard Chris Corea, the same attorney general law department lawyers who were defending
3
4 this case along with outside counsel from the Robbins firm. This is a case that was -- was tried last year,
5
6 Your Honor, and in that case the Secretary of State was --
THE COURT: Has there been an appellate decision on
7
8 the case?
9 MR. BROWN: Yes.
10 THE COURT: Okay.
11 MR. BROWN: It is 301-Georgia-27, and in that case the Secretary of State was sued quote "in its official
12
13 capacity as state election supervisor," and that styling of the Secretary of State appears in his own brief, which
14
15 is what I had shown to you, but the important thing is the reported decision, and in that case also the Secretary of
16
17 State stood up and defended the case on the merits.
18 THE COURT: Okay. If you want to give me -- but you know, if you've got a decision, let me have the final
19
20 decision, but what somebody else may have said and it's on the report, it's not relevant --
21
22 MR. BROWN: Your Honor the -- what the law department -- the position the law department said it is not binding
23
24 either on the law department or anybody else. They can
25 change their mind, of course, and not binding on Your

1 Honor.

2 However, it is reflective of what the law is, and the law says that defendant means election superintendent and

3

4 the law says "election superintendent" in a statewide race means the Secretary of State. So, our argument is that

5

6 the statute is clear.
There is no case in the history in the State of

7

8 Georgia holding that the Secretary of State has sovereign

9 immunity in an elections case. No case at all. They are

10 asking Your Honor to make a precedent setting, a brand-new

11 decision. It will be the first ever that ever granted this -- this relief.

12

13 THE COURT: If that's meant to intimidate me, it doesn't.

14

15 MR. BROWN: I don't think it should -- should intimidate you, Your Honor.

16

17 THE COURT: Well, you -- I've read a lot of what

18 you've provided me. I have not read the proffer of evidence because it's not relevant today --

19

20 MR. BROWN: I understand.
THE COURT: -- and I understand where you're coming

21

22 from.
MR. BROWN: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. That's our

23

24 argument on the sovereign immunity and the Secretary of

25 State being a proper defendant.

1 THE COURT: Okay. Any responses on that issue?

2 MR. RUSSO: Briefly, Your Honor. As you rightly noted these cases, this -- this brief and the cases cited
3

4 by plaintiff's counsel have no precedential value and basically stand for nothing on this -- on this point.
5

6 Plaintiff's counsel stated that the law says that in a statewide case, the superintendent is the Secretary of
7

8 State. Your Honor, I can't find anything on the books or

9 in the code that -- that says such.

10 Even Chapter 4, even the Recall Act that plaintiff's

11 counsel has referred to multiple times, states that in any case of elected state officers the Secretary of State --
12

13 and in short, you know, if somebody wants to challenge -- if there's a group that wants to recall a public officer
14

15 or state officer, they have to go get a petition signed. And that petition then goes to the Secretary of
16

17 State. It's similar to a third-party candidate or an

18 independent candidate trying to get on the ballot in a statewide race. None of this -- the Recall Act, of
19

20 course, has nothing to do with election contests, similar to independent candidates trying to file in a petition to
21

22 get on the ballot has nothing to do with election contests.
23

24 Your Honor, again, we don't know why or -- or if this

25 issue has -- was raised in -- in the 2004 case. However,

1 you know, the Secretary of State is not a statewide
2 election superintendent. Georgia law has long held that elections are -- are conducted at the county level and if
3
4 a contest is going to be filed, then it should be filed against the counties where those irregularities occurred.
5
6 And so again, we would ask you to dismiss the election contest on -- on that basis.
7
8 THE COURT: Okay. This goes to count 1 as I
9 understand it, and 2125 -- this is Section 2 of 21, which
10 is the election contest, okay, it -- it states that the
11 election superintendent was superintendent to conduct a contested -- or election, okay. What it says, in 2- --
12
13 again, this is within the same code, 212235 defines who those are.
14
15 It defines who the superintendents are, and it does not include Secretary of State. That's the law, and
16
17 definitions applicable to Section 2, okay. Now there may
18 be in the recall, which is Section 4, that that may be different, but it's under a different code section. It's
19
20 under a different section of the code. So as far as the election contest goes, count 1, I find the Secretary of
21
22 State is not a proper party, okay. And so, I'll grant your motion. -- I'll put my, you know, -- as to count 1,
23
24 okay.
25 MR. RUSSO: Yes, ma'am. Thank you,

1 THE COURT: Okay. What's the next question?

2 MR. RUSSO: I think some of my other motions are mooted at this point, so do you want to --

3

4 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, it would be best at this point for Gwinnett County to make its proper party

5

6 argument or would you rather hear from the Secretary of State on the constitutional claims, counts 2 and 3?

7

8 THE COURT: Well, you've got a motion to dismiss on

9 -- what, just on the general --

10 MR. RUSSO: Well, we have one every --

11 THE COURT: You just got a routine motion to dismiss on the four corners of the petition.

12

13 MR. RUSSO: That's right. We just had -- had other reasons why it should be dismissed.

14

15 THE COURT: I guess, Mr. Brown -- I guess I'd hear from Gwinnett first as to whether they should be a party

16

17 and then Fulton whether they should be a party. And then

18 we'll go into the -- if that's all right with you.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

19

20 THE COURT: Okay. Okay --
MR. TYSON: Thank you, Your Honor. Good morning.

21

22 Bryan Tyson for Gwinnett County Board of Registrations and Elections.

23

24 For our motion to dismiss, Your Honor, I think if we

25 determined now, if we've determined that the Secretary of

1 State is not a proper party to an election contest, I
2 think the logical questions is, well, who then is a proper party?
3
4 For -- for us as Gwinnett County, there is no question that the Gwinnett Board is an election
5
6 superintendent who conducted the contested election. So, there's no issue there. The challenge in this scenario is
7
8 that the plaintiffs have not sued all the parties that are
9 required to afford them full relief and Mr. Russo
10 referenced the Mead case where the case was filed against
11 the county's where the problem was alleged in a statewide election contest.
12
13 And if you look at and kind of do a comparison between 520 and 522, I think it helps explain a little bit
14
15 about how there's a connection between the grounds of the contest and who the defendant is in those cases. So,
16
17 under the -- under 520-2A and B, you have a candidate
18 who's the defendant -- the person who was nominated, the person whose eligibility is challenged.
19
20 That lines up to 522-2 where the allegation in the election contest is that the defendant, the candidate is
21
22 not eligible to hold the office. And so, in those cases where you're challenging eligibility, the other candidate
23
24 is obviously a logical defendant.
25 For 3, the election superintendent in 520 who

1 conducted the contested primary, that's where you have the
2 allegations on the grounds related to misconduct, related to illegal votes being cast or legal votes being rejected
3
4 or errors in counting.
5 And in this case the plaintiffs have made a number of
6 allegations about the various problems across the state, but they've only sued now Fulton and Gwinnett counties, as
7
8 is evidenced by other motions they filed, they want to
9 preserve evidence in Murray and Gordon counties.
10 There are allegations regarding DeKalb, regarding
11 Worth County, regarding a variety of other Georgia counties that are not parties to this case. And the
12
13 challenge in this situation is they have the evidence that Your Honor needs to be able to figure out is this a valid
14
15 contest or not.
16 If this is not a scenario where you can just pick a
17 county and then make allegations regarding and number of
18 other counties when they're not parties to the case and can't get -- get in front of the court.
19
20 The -- in addition, there is a lack of allegations regarding Gwinnett County as to some of the specifics. A
21
22 lot of the specific allegations involve other counties, many of whom are not parties. The other counties are the
23
24 ones that have the evidence.
25 So, for our position as Gwinnett, we believe this is

1 the basis for dismissal, because not only did plaintiffs
2 fail to name the proper parties to start with, but it's now too late for them to amend.
3
4 And while the election contest procedures clearly allow amendment of the petition, there's a difference when
5
6 you're amending to add additional parties. And the only the Supreme Court case en pointe here is Brody vs.
7
8 Champion. And in that case the -- the contestant had
9 filed the case against the director of elections for
10 DeKalb County, but had not sued the Board of Elections.
11 And the Supreme Court said, yes, you can modify, they were on notice within the statute; that's not a problem.
12
13 The Hanson case that the plaintiffs have cited involved a different procedure under a municipal election code that
14
15 involve a direct appeal or an independent lawsuit.
It's not really relevant here. What is relevant is
16
17 we are now beyond the five-day statute or well beyond
18 that. And the relation back under 9/11/15, there's nothing that the plaintiffs needed to know at the time
19
20 they filed their lawsuit where they couldn't have named the counties that are going to have evidence that they
21
22 claim they need.
In their petition they identify. These are the same
23
24 counties are the worst -- have the worst questions about
25 these percentages. They had anecdotes from counties that

1 were not included as parties in the case. So now because
2 we're too late to be able to do an amendment and add -- and have that relate back to the original filling date,
3
4 the statute has run as to those counties.
It is our position that those are necessary parties
5
6 in order to give full relief in this case. And because those parties were not joined, that's a basis for a
7
8 dismissal of the entirety of the election contest, as to
9 those allegations that have been made by the plaintiffs.
10 THE COURT: Anybody else on the defendants' side that
11 want to support that position? And pardon me -- argument at the same time.
12
13 MS. BURWELL: Kaye Burwell on behalf of Fulton County. We join in that argument, Your Honor, and we
14
15 would add that when the Court looks at the complaint in Paragraph 38, it references that there were thousands of
16
17 problems they alleged with the DRE machines where clearly
18 Gwinnett and Fulton County aren't in a position to speak to those thousands of problems.
19
20 The only issues we could speak to would be any issues they alleged on our particular counties. And since this
21
22 is a statewide issue, they're alleging the only way they would be able to bring information to the Court and the
23
24 only way the Court would be able to have a full and fair
25 hearing is if all those other counties that they're

1 complaining about, where they allege all these issues
2 occurred, were to be able to come in.
And as we noted on our motion to dismiss, Your Honor,
3
4 the Fulton County Board of Registrations and Elections
can't provide the petitioners with the relief they seek
5
6 because the DRE is constrained to follow state law. And
they have to use the DRE machines because that's what
7
8 state law requires.
9 And the only response the plaintiffs provided to
10 that, Your Honor, was that absentee ballots could be used,
11 but clearly the DRE doesn't have the ability to force
every Fulton County voter to use an absentee ballot. The
12
13 voters get to decide how they choose to vote.
THE COURT: You're off the -- you're off the --
14
15 you're off the field. So right now, so they join all the
counties, or shouldn't they?
16
17 MS. BURWELL: They should have joined all of the
18 counties, Your Honor.
THE COURT: That's the issue we're figuring with them
19
20 on this motion.
MS. BURWELL: Okay.
21
22 THE COURT: I'm trying -- I'm trying to do as clean a
record as I can.
23
24 MS. BURWELL: Okay.
25 THE COURT: Okay. So, you'll be heard on that.

1 Everybody's going to get heard on everything, okay.

2 MS. BURWELL: Okay.
THE COURT: I don't want to make you -- I'm just
3

4 trying to make a nice, clean record of the -- of the case.
MS. BURWELL: Yes.
5

6 THE COURT: Okay. So, you -- you -- you agree that they have joined -- they should have seen everybody.
7

8 MS. BURWELL: Yes.

9 THE COURT: Okay? Every county, okay. Anybody else?

10 No? Okay. Then you can, because you didn't find the

11 word --
MR. BROWN: (inaudible)
12

13 THE COURT: (inaudible)
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. The Fulton
14

15 County's argument points to sort of the overall issue of how the plaintiffs are getting whip shot here. Fulton
16

17 says, we can't defend this because the Secretary of State

18 does everything.
THE COURT: No. Don't go there. The issue we're
19

20 dealing with, the only issue I'm trying to read right this minute is, should you have joined 159 counties or not?
21

22 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor, and here's why.
THE COURT: Okay. That -- that's the issue.
23

24 MR. BROWN: We -- we have -- first, we have alleged

25 causes of action against Gwinnett and Fulton. Our

1 allegations cover -- they are proper defendants as they --
2 I think, believe as they have conceded, that they've -- they conducted the election.
3
4 And we have alleged that the irregularities occurred in their counties, and they occurred in every county. And
5
6 so, Fulton and Gwinnett are proper defendants, and so they stay. The question then is, should the other -- should
7
8 157 other counties have been joined?
9 That comes down into two separate questions, okay.
10 First is, what might we need discovery from 157 other
11 counties and from a non-party Secretary of State. The answer is, yes. We will need discovery from the Secretary
12
13 of State, and we'll need discovery from -- from other counties, maybe.
14
15 We don't believe actually that substantial discovery will be required from every county. And the reason for
16
17 that is that the Secretary of State, not as a party, Your
18 Honor, I'm not arguing that piece, but as the repository of the information.
19
20 The Secretary programs the ballots, programs all the machines, programs the poll books, tabulates the results,
21
22 announces the results, all of those things. And so, the -- so the Secretary is here within the jurisdiction of this
23
24 court -- to court -- to respond to discovery.
25 In addition, Your Honor, has plenary power, plenary

1 power in the statutes that we have cited, to grant the
2 relief that we're seeking. There's no requirement that we file suit against every county. Instead, the counties
3
4 that we have sued are proper.
And whether we can prove that is up to the next
5
6 hearing, it's not up to today. But we believe we can prove that through discovery. And that the 157 counties
7
8 are not necessary to be joined. If they are necessary to
9 join them, then we'll join them and that's a separate
10 issue. Thank you, Your Honor.
11 THE COURT: Anything further? Anything further?
MR. BROWN: I have nothing further, Your Honor.
12
13 THE COURT: We have to do these cases as fast as we can do them, and I respect that, and I'm trying to do this
14
15 as fast as I can. But given the status of what is actually before me, not all this 180 pages of evidence and
16
17 all this stuff I've been dumped on, and which -- anyway.
18 I won't go there. It's very hard for me to be able to download some of that stuff.
19
20 But I've done them. I've taken them off. But in that status, I'm going to deny your motion. Whether it
21
22 will become relevant at the end of the hearing, I don't know. But at this point, both those motions as to adding
23
24 the parties and as to them being improper parties --
25 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Does Gwinnett have any other motions
2 applicable just to Gwinnett?
3 MR. RUSSO: No, Your Honor, I don't believe we do.
4 We obviously join the other motions regarding kind of the
5 -- claim.
6 THE COURT: (inaudible)
7 MR. RUSSO: There is a complaint, I think that's it,
8 so.
9 THE COURT: Okay. Fulton, you've got it. Anything
10 else that you wanted to say? Come around, if you will.
11 I'm sorry to address you by county, but I have a cheat
12 sheet here with everybody's name, and I think I've lost
13 it.
14 MS. BURWELL: The -- the point I was making on
15 whether or not Fulton County was a proper party was that
16 the Fulton County Board of Registrations and Elections
17 isn't capable of providing the petitioners with the relief
18 that they're seeking, which in essence is changing out DRE
19 machines.
20 They don't want Georgia citizens to use the DRE
21 voting system. Well, Fulton County, like every other
22 county in the state, is constrained by state law to comply
23 with state law, which requires us to use the DRE machines.
24 Now, the response that plaintiffs had to our motion
25 to dismiss was merely, number one, that the Board of

1 Registrations and Elections could require citizens to vote
2 via absentee, and that's clearly not the case. Citizens get to decide how they vote, not the Board of
3
4 Registrations and Elections.
The only other issue they raised was OCGA21-2-366 and
5
6 they raised that for the proposition of saying that "Fulton County in fact could decide what kind of voting
7
8 system is in use" and that's just not the case. What the
9 Court looks at 21-2-366, it only provides that the
10 governing authority of a county, which is not the Board of
11 Registrations and Elections, it's the Board of Commissioners -- can authorize the use of optical scanning
12
13 voting systems.
Well, the Board of Commissioners is not a party to
14
15 this action; the Board of Registrations and Elections is. And so, the -- our position is that the county's position
16
17 with respect to whether or not it is a proper defendant is
18 unrefuted by the plaintiffs.
And then the only other issue we had raised, Your
19
20 Honor, was the lack of service. At the time we filed our motion to dismiss, they had not served.
21
22 THE COURT: They had not served?
MS. BURWELL: Yes, they served us on December 26th.
23
24 THE COURT: All right.
25 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I believe Your Honor's

1 already ruled that Fulton and Gwinnett are proper parties.
2 And I'm not sure I understand Fulton's argument that they're incapable of -- of conducting the elections. I
3
4 think that's an issue that may come later in terms of what sort of remedy that you offer, Your Honor.
5
6 Our position is that, number one, when you have the trial, the -- we will be able to show that a new election
7
8 should be ordered; that's the first argument. The second
9 argument is, if you do order a new election, on what
10 machines or what is -- what type of election should that
11 be? Should that be machines, or should that be paper? And that's what Fulton County is talking about is
12
13 really two or three issues; two steps away from where we are today. Our position will be that Fulton County is
14
15 capable of -- of conducting a paper ballot election without using these flawed machines.
16
17 And that that's authorized under the code provisions
18 that -- that we have cited. And that they don't need a Secretary of State's approval to do that, but certainly
19
20 Your Honor could order them to do so, if you've felt that was necessary, but again I think that's --
21
22 THE COURT: Asking further from Fulton County? MS. BURWELL: No.
23
24 THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to deny that, again at
25 this point when you all stop talking, arguing the

1 evidence, then the motion to dismiss this is doing a lot,
2 okay. Does that mean -- after we have a -- have a hearing on the matter, I don't know. But I'm happy to try it.
3
4 But right at this point, I'm bound by what the rules say. That's if a slight -- allegations are -- I have to
5
6 follow. Okay, so we haven't generalized the motion to dismiss, did you need to be heard on that as well.
7
8 MR. RUSSO: No, not on that issue.
9 THE COURT: I didn't think so. This is generalized.
10 Everybody seems to have generalized motions dismissed on
11 the pleadings. Let me hear that.
MR. RUSSO: Would you like to hear from --
12
13 THE COURT: On count one.
MR. RUSSO: Sure. Would you still like to hear from
14
15 us or --
THE COURT: Whatever order you want to do it.
16
17 MR. BELINFANTE: I mean, I'm happy to make our
18 argument. I'm happy to make our arguments on it.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, Edward Lindsey once again,
19
20 attorney on behalf of Lieutenant Governor-elect Geoff Duncan. As was previously stated, Mr. Duncan won his race
21
22 by over 123,000 votes. I'm going to go through some numbers, Your Honor, and I'm going to ask you to simply
23
24 take judicial notice, which I believe you're entitled to.
25 As a matter of public records; in terms of public records.

1 The case I'll cite for taking judicial notice would
2 be Johnson vs. Adams 323-Georgia-PO427 and that particular case that was taking judicial notice of a separate lawsuit
3
4 of a -- separate case in bankruptcy court, but nevertheless, the rule is that the courts in a motion to
5
6 dismiss, I believe, courts can take judicial notice of such matters.
7
8 And the only thing that I'm going to be talking about
9 are the official numbers from the Georgia Secretary of
10 State's office, certified numbers. Court, in such cases
11 such as ours needs to remember that the setting aside of an election in which the people have chosen their
12
13 representative, is a drastic remedy that should not be undertaken lightly, but instead should be reserved for
14
15 cases in which a person challenging an election has clearly established a violation of elections procedures.
16
17 And has demonstrated that the violations have placed
18 the result of the election in doubt; that's not our assertion, Your Honor. That's a big court decision. In
19
20 the case of Hunt vs. Crawford 270-Georgia-7, a 1998 decision in my brief we filed yesterday, Your Honor, we
21
22 sort of outlined that that's -- what's clearly required is that the plaintiffs --
23
24 And at this point, Your Honor, that the pleadings
25 must show that the plaintiffs are asserting that as result

1 of the defects that they are alleging, that it places the
2 -- the outcome of the election in doubt. And the courts have established somewhat of a mathematical test.
3
4 And I'm glad to hear that you said you were a math major, so I'm going to simply talk about a little math
5
6 here. The -- the plaintiffs, you know, or the petitioners have alleged several things. On number one they say, that
7
8 "as a result of these nefarious defects, the -- the total
9 number of votes cast in the lieutenant governor's race was
10 dramatically below those of other down-ballot statewide
11 races."
Well, if you look at our particular case, like I
12
13 said, 123,000 votes, 123,172 votes; that's the certified result number. If you look at the -- the -- the highest
14
15 number of votes that were cast in any of the down-ballot races -- that would be the Secretary of State's race. And
16
17 in that race, there were 3,883,594 votes total cast.
18 In the lieutenant governor's race, that's 3,780,304. So even if we were to accept -- and the Court is required
19
20 to do here -- the petitioner's allegations that there was something nefarious or -- or negligent in terms of the
21
22 counts, votes that were counted.
The difference between what took place in the
23
24 lieutenant governor's race total votes and the Secretary
25 of State's total votes is only 103,290 votes. That's

1 19,882 votes short of the margin of victory that Mr.
2 Duncan enjoyed.
The next thing that the -- that the petitioner
3
4 asserts in its allegation is that there was a drop-in vote
between those -- in -- in terms of the drop-offs, which
5
6 are the number of people who voted in one race but didn't
vote in the another. They assert that there's a dramatic
7
8 difference between the drop-off between the governor and
9 the lieutenant governor's race, and between the paper
10 ballots versus the electronic voting.
11 And they assert essentially that in the paper ballots
there was a drop-off of only 98.9%, but in the other case
12
13 there was a dramatic drop-off below that number. If you
were to accept their assertion that there should have been
14
15 the same drop-off between the governor's race and the
lieutenant governor's race, the numbers still come up
16
17 short as a matter of math.
18 In this particular case, Your Honor, if we were to
accept the petitioner's allegations that there's -- that
19
20 the drop-off between the governor's race and the
lieutenant governor's race should have been the same
21
22 between the write-in, between the paper ballots and
electronic ballots that would have raised the number of
23
24 votes cast in the lieutenant governor's race to 3,895,955.
25 That's still, Your Honor, would have only increased

1 the number of votes cast to be 115,691 votes, which is
2 still below 123,000-plus votes cast. The third allegation that the petitioner asserts in their petition is that
3
4 there was in terms of the drop-off between -- in the electronic voting between those who voted in the
5
6 governor's race and the lieutenant governor's race had a particularly negative impact on the democratic candidate
7
8 Ms. Miko.
9 If you were to take that as truth and simply allow
10 for the same percentage of votes for Ms. Miko that Mr.
11 Duncan enjoyed in terms of -- of the -- the percentage of votes that they received versus the gubernatorial race,
12
13 you still end up 53,902 votes short of what the -- of the number that is needed that would have closed the gap at
14
15 53,902, so in other words you're still short of 123,000 votes.
16
17 And -- and that's the key -- and that's the key. In
18 all cases, in the Supreme Court cases, is you've got to have a show that the number of votes that were either
19
20 miscounted or not counted properly would have wiped away the margin of victory that the winner enjoyed.
21
22 And we've cited several cases as have everyone else that demonstrates that's the rule of thumb. By the -- in
23
24 terms of petitioner's allegations -- each of their
25 allegations, Your Honor, I want you to take judicial

1 notice of certified results from the Secretary of State.

2 They fall short of that golden number, by any number
between 8,000 to 80,000 votes short, depending on the --
3

4 their various allegations.
I would point out, Your Honor, that the petitioners'
5

6 themselves in their exhibit to their petition included an
exhibit from a letter from Ms. Amico who said in the
7

8 letter, "the number of residual votes in the lieutenant

9 governor makes it unlikely to affect the outcome of my

10 race." She's right, Your Honor, because she also

11 understands the math.
So, Your Honor, we would simply ask for a motion to
12

13 dismiss based on the petitioner's petition when you take
judicial notice of the public record regarding the
14

15 certified results from the election from all the races.
Thank you, Your Honor. Does the Court have any
16

17 questions?

18 THE COURT: No. Anybody else --
MR. TYSON: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you, Your Honor.
19

20 Again, Bryan Tyson for Gwinnett County.
I think the thing to keep in mind is that when we're
21

22 in this posture after a certified result from an election,
the election is presumed valid. And if you were in a
23

24 scenario where, let's say you have 100-vote margin.

25 And you allege, you put in your petition that 99

1 either illegal votes were cast or legal votes were
2 rejected, that petition would be properly subject for dismissal for failure -- failure to state a claim, because
3
4 you have to allege a sufficient number of votes that would have placed the result in doubt or would have been
5
6 sufficient to change the result of the election.
And in this case, the plaintiffs have not made any
7
8 such allegation in their petition. In addition, the --
9 there is conflicting causes of action referenced in the
10 motions to dismiss. In the petition the plaintiffs that
11 they say that they're proceeding under 521-1 and 3.
In their response to the motion to dismiss, they're
12
13 relying on 1, 3, 4, and 5, but I think the most important thing for the Court to remember in terms of looking at the
14
15 petition itself, is what it stated, is that the irregularities that the plaintiffs have alleged only
16
17 involve a 100 or so votes here and there across the state.
18 They also involve claims of machine malfunction in DeKalb County in paragraph 42 of the complaint. Of
19
20 course, the plaintiffs have dismissed DeKalb County from this lawsuit. And the -- the collection of information
21
22 that's in the petition is a bunch of kind of one-off programming errors.
23
24 Most of them are unverified and anonymous, and
25 significantly they don't get anywhere close to the

1 123,000-vote threshold that they would -- that the
2 plaintiffs had to plead in order to state a claim here because of the way the Supreme Court precedent works.
3
4 I'm in Ellis vs. Johnson 2623-Georgia-514, the Supreme Court is very clear that speculation alone is not
5
6 enough. There has to be -- has to be a specificity in terms of the mathematical certainty of what happened. And
7
8 we see that over in Mead, in Howell, and in just a number
9 of Supreme Court cases, that it is proper for the court to
10 look at motion to dismiss, first of all, because those
11 cases were decided based on motions to dismiss.
But second that it's proper to look at the
12
13 allegations and see have the plaintiffs alleged this 123,000-vote margin that would be sufficient to place the
14
15 result in doubt. And we would submit that they have not done that in the four corners of their petition. On that
16
17 basis it should be dismissed for failure to state a claim.
18 THE COURT: Does Fulton want to be heard on that?
MS. BURWELL: We join in, all the others.
19
20 THE COURT: You just joining them now?
MS. BURWELL: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor.
21
22 THE COURT: (inaudible)
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. The -- the
23
24 defendants have pointed to one of the most egregious
25 pieces of evidence showing the total system failure of --

1 THE COURT: But -- but -- but that's not -- this is
2 -- that's a wonderful opening statement. This is not that day, okay?
3
4 MR. BROWN: Okay.
THE COURT: Just respond, if you will, to what --
5
6 MR. BROWN: Sure. The --
THE COURT: I don't do well with --
7
8 MR. BROWN: Okay. The --
9 THE COURT: -- inflammatory -- just stay within what
10 we're -- what --
11 MR. BROWN: Sure. The undervote is an illustration of the system problems that we allege in the complaint.
12
13 Allegations that, as Your Honor has already mentioned, must be accepted as true.
14
15 THE COURT: All right.
MR. BROWN: The -- the numbers that Mr. Lindsey was
16
17 giving, Your Honor, are not our entire case. Instead what
18 we have alleged is that the system malfunctioned as reflected by the undervote, which is unexplained in -- in
19
20 ways that happened statewide.
The defendants interpret -- we have a different
21
22 interpretation of the legal requirements here. First in terms of what's subjectively required, and then second,
23
24 the procedural policy.
25 First, Your Honor, in the Supreme Court in the cases

1 in which the nature of the voting problem is not
2 quantifiable, the plaintiff is not required to count up the votes and show the difference. For example, in the
3 Stiles case, 252-Georgia-260, the Supreme Court found that there were irregularities and misconduct and ordered a new
4 election despite no proof of the numbers involved.
5 Similarly, in the Hunt case, although the contestants
6 lost the Hunt case, this is 270-Georgia-7 -- one of the
7 reasons why the plaintiffs lost is that the Court found
8 quote "moreover, there was no evidence introduced at trial
9 from which it can be inferred that the misconduct was more widespread than the record indicates."
10 The Supreme Court is -- is signaling that if there is evidence introduced at trial from which it can be inferred
11 that there was a widespread problem, that the case may be made.
12 And so the -- the cases that they cite we have no
13 quarrel with, Your Honor, but those are cases in which the impact of a mistake is easily quantifiable because you
14 have the illegal ballots sitting there physically in front of the Court, and you're able to count them up and to
15 determine whether or not it's enough to make a difference.
16 And certainly, if you have seven illegal ballots in
17 front of you and the margin and difference is eight, then
18 you know that that's insufficient. But in those cases

1 where the mistake is not quantifiable, then that is not
2 the approach that the Court has taken, and that, again, is the Stiles case.
3
4 And -- but this leads, Your Honor, back to the procedural posture of the case. In all of these cases --
5
6 and I will just read these to you. What the -- what the courts are saying about the evidence is after a trial, for
7
8 example -- these are not examples. This is what I found
9 in -- in review of all of the cases they have cited.
10 In Banker vs. Kohl, 378-Georgia-532, what the Court
11 said, quote "Evidence presented at the trial court," and then went on to explain. In Dawkins-Haigler 30177, the
12
13 Supreme Court says, "after a lengthy hearing the trial court concluded..."
14
15 And then in Fuller 284-Georgia-397, the Court explained that the case proceeded to trial. In Hunt vs.
16
17 Crawford, 270-Georgia-7, the Supreme Court said, at trial,
18 "Hunt admitted making telephone calls," and then went into the evidence of misconduct.
19
20 In Mead vs. Sheffield, the case that's discussed by both parties, the Court notes, "it was established at the
21
22 evidentiary hearing," and then went in to show how it was established, that in fact the case had been made for a new
23
24 trial.
25 Scoggins, again, 288-Georgia-26, the Supreme Court

1 said, "after hearing on the merits," and then explained
2 how in fact the plaintiff prevailed after hearing on the merits. Your Honor, there is one case in which the trial
3
4 court did grant a motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim, and only one.
5
6 And that is the trial court in the Taggart case, 242-Georgia-454. The trial court, without an evidentiary
7
8 hearing, dismissed the petition for failure to state a
9 claim on similar grounds the defendants urge in this case.
10 So, the trial court decision in that case would be the
11 sole precedent that I can find to support the defendant's position in that case.
12
13 However, on appeal that holding was reversed by the Supreme Court. And the Supreme Court held, quote "A
14
15 petition should not be dismissed for failure to state a claim unless it discloses with certainty that the
16
17 plaintiff would not be entitled to relieve under any set
18 of facts."
Interestingly, the Taggart case also involved the
19
20 type of situation -- it involved actually two different kinds of challenges, two different problems in the
21
22 election. One problem had to do with quantifiable ballot issues where you could count them up and you could
23
24 determine whether or not it was enough to make a
25 difference.

1 But the other part was the -- the contestant alleged
2 that the machines were -- were operating improperly. More like our -- more analogous to our case here. And in
3
4 Taggart, the Supreme Court held, quote "Similarly, doubt may be cast on an election by showing improper maintenance
5
6 of the voting machines resulting in votes being miscast." And then in their ruling reversing the trial court
7
8 for dismissing the case, the Court notes, "All this is a
9 matter of proof." Now in the Taggart case it came back on
10 appeal and the plaintiff failed to prove it and lost. But
11 it is a matter of proof. If the plaintiff can prove that improper maintenance
12
13 of the voting machines resulted in votes being miscast, which is what we allege, Your Honor, then we're entitled
14
15 to victory and entitled to relief, and if we're not, if we can't prove it, then we're not entitled to relief. And
16
17 that's -- that's clear from the cases.
18 Now in terms of -- of -- I want to return to the allegations that we make and the -- the breadth of it.
19
20 First, we allege that the state's system is fundamentally flawed from the start and that we note that the persuasive
21
22 opinion by Judge Totenberg in which she describes the system as being --
23
24 THE COURT: I'm not bound by it to her at all.
25 MR. BROWN: You're not bound by her ruling at all.

1 THE COURT: You can try to hand it to me, but I'm not
2 bound by it.
3 MR. BROWN: No. It's -- we think it's persuasive
4 but, of course, you're not bound by it. But she did find
5 that the -- that the same system is profoundly vulnerable.
6 THE COURT: Okay. I -- I don't care what you've
7 found. It's got nothing to do with the burden of proof.
8 The burden of proof will be on you to get that far.
9 MR. BROWN: Right.
10 THE COURT: The burden of proof is on you.
11 MR. BROWN: Right.
12 THE COURT: But you can't prove it by -- the judge.
13 MR. BROWN: That's -- yes, Your Honor. But it will
14 be proven with the evidence that persuaded Judge
15 Totenberg, we would -- we would suggest. And if -- Your
16 Honor, it could very well be that at trial we're not
17 persuasive.
18 But we do have the opportunity to prove that and as
19 of -- one of the things that -- what motions to dismiss
20 law is, Your Honor, is that -- what the law says is that
21 there's no reasonable possibility that the plaintiffs can
22 prove their case, then they lose.
23 And what we're suggesting is, having already proven
24 some of these things, that it's -- it's important to
25 consider that and projecting what we'll be able to win in

1 this case.

2 THE COURT: Yeah, but I don't -- in this courtroom --
MR. BROWN: That is correct, Your Honor, but --
3

4 THE COURT: -- has proven that.
MR. BROWN: That's correct. But in considering the
5

6 motion to dismiss one of the issues is, will they be able
to prove it, and we believe we will.
7

8 Now, what we have alleged, Your Honor, which must be

9 accepted as true, regardless of Judge Totenberg's

10 decision, it must be accepted as true that these systems

11 are fundamentally unreliable, period. That has to be
accepted as a fact for right now.
12

13 Number two, we have given illustrations and alleged
of particular instances in which these machines have,
14

15 throughout the state, malfunctioned.
Three, we have shown the results of these
16

17 malfunctions in an unexplainable pattern of voting in

18 which we will present expert testimony saying that this
cannot be explained except in terms of machine
19

20 malfunction, a statewide systemic machine malfunction.
And it is not just simply the under-vote that Mr. Lindsey
21

22 tries to calculate. It's that the entire voting system
was flawed. And so, the votes for Mr. Duncan, the votes
23

24 for Ms. Amico, that entire election was flawed. And

25 that's what we allege, and we're entitled to be able to

1 prove it. And so, under those facts, we believe that
2 we're entitled. We have -- there -- we have alleged evidence, Your Honor, of the -- this particular race not
3
4 being on the electronic screen, of the screen flipping between one and the other.
5
6 THE COURT: Is that your petition?
MR. BROWN: It is. And instance after instance of
7
8 this that we have alleged, and we're entitled to prove
9 that, and we believe we will. And so, we have the -- we
10 have alleged a fundamental unreliability of these
11 machines. We have alleged specific incidences that can only be explained by a malfunctioning system that was
12
13 statewide that can -- and from that, to borrow the language from the Supreme Court in the Taggart case, from
14
15 which we believe, Your Honor may infer, you don't have to but we believe we will be persuasive that you can infer a
16
17 much broader problem.
18 And we also believe that as one illustration of the problem is the under-vote, the totally unexplained
19
20 difference between the electronic vote totals and the paper vote totals.
21
22 The defendant's offer -- this is not an evidentiary hearing, but they have not offered any plausible
23
24 explanation for why simply by the mode of electing, there
25 would ever be such a dramatic difference in the drop-off

1 rate.

2 And the -- what that shows are not that that particular margin is wrong, but that the entire race is

3

4 wrong. It's like -- there's that old phrase is the clock that struck 13, Your Honor. And when a clock strikes 13,

5

6 not only do you know it's not 13 o'clock, but you doubt every single chime that has come before it because the

7

8 clock isn't working.

9 And the under-vote is the clock that struck 13. And

10 we would -- we would say that for all those reasons we

11 state a claim for relief, and that in -- in no case held has a motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim in

12

13 election case been sustained and it certainly should not be the case in this case. Thank you, Your Honor.

14

15 MR. LINDSEY: If I may, Your Honor, please.
THE COURT: (inaudible)

16

17 MR. LINDSEY: The issue in this case is not an

18 allegation of misconduct by anyone advocating for or against a particular candidate. The allegation in this

19

20 case is that votes were not -- people were not able to cast votes, and votes were not properly counted. The case

21

22 in which Mr. Brown relies upon and cited to you repeatedly with the Stiles vs. Earnest -- Earnest who was the

23

24 election superintendent, 252-Georgia-260.

25 That particular case, Your Honor, had nothing to do

1 with whether or not votes were properly counted, or the
2 machines were operating properly. Instead, it had to do with allegations of misconduct by advocates for a
3 referendum. Totally different situation.
4 In our situation, Your Honor, in which we are dealing
5 with whether or not votes were properly counted. The cases that we have cited to you and I'll cite to you once
6 again, the Fuller vs. Thomas case, a Georgia Supreme Court
7 case, 284-Georgia-397, in which the Court held, when the
8 focus is on improperly cast ballots or irregularities in
9 the conduct of the election, the number of legal or irregular ballots necessary to cast doubt on an election
10 is derived by taking the difference between the total votes cast in the election and erase that issue and adding
11 the margin of victory in the race issue.
12 In other words, you've got to show -- to begin with,
13 you have to allege that any irregularities in terms of the
14 machines or anyone counting the votes would have an impact on the margin of victory in which case -- in this case,
15 like I stated earlier, with over 123,000 votes.
16 The fact of the matter is within the four corners of
17 the plaintiff's petition, nowhere, nowhere do they allege that these irregularities closed -- were -- resulted in
18 the number of votes that were improperly cast or not
19 properly counted were greater than 123,000 votes.

1 That's kind of the fundamental first step that they
2 must allege in order to have a case move forward. When you then add to that the public records that I've asked
3
4 you to take judicial notice of, that Mr. Brown has not disputed and I walked through so tediously, it
5
6 demonstrates that even in the worst-case scenario that were alleged in the Petition, he falls -- the votes fall
7
8 short of the margin of victory.
9 And for that reason, in this particular case we ask
10 for you to grant our motion to dismiss. Thank you.
11 THE COURT: (inaudible)
MR. RUSSO: Very brief, Your Honor. Just cover three
12
13 quick points quickly, Your Honor. First of all, the allegations here regarding the -- the only -- I should
14
15 say, the only type of election contest where you're not required to put forward specific evidence or pleading
16
17 regarding a number is in 524(c) when the error of counting
18 the votes is alleged as a ground of contest.
There's a specific provision that says you're not
19
20 required to show evidence to substantiate the allegation. You -- you're not required in that one type of contest.
21
22 That is not this type of contest.
Mr. Brown has, secondly, has alluded to the idea that
23
24 the lieutenant governor's race did not appear on some
25 ballots. If you look at both Mead and Howell, you'll see

1 cases where you had to plead and show the number of
2 ballots where the name of the candidate did not appear.
That's one of the allegations that the plaintiffs
3
4 have not pled that it did not appear on at least 123,000
voting machines along the way. Mr. Brown also continues
5
6 to insist that there's no other valid explanation when any
number of ballot explanations may exist.
7
8 And as we discussed before, the -- Mr. Brown and the
9 plaintiffs may not rely on mere speculation. They have to
10 plead with specificity in their petition that the outcome
11 would have changed or that the irregularities were
sufficient to place the result in doubt. Thank you.
12
13 THE COURT: This is so close. You know, it was
presumed, I'm waiting for -- is that the election returned
14
15 the bath. Okay? Now, the part of your job is above the -
- Your job, Counsel, -- of placing doubt on the results of
16
17 the election.
18 And that's done usually by numbers, through math.
And the math is against you. You have chosen not to
19
20 allege any math in your petition, okay. You have alleged
-- I had it somewhere in front of me, but anyway, you have
21
22 alleged that the result would be different or is in
substantial doubt. But I haven't found it.
23
24 MR. BELINFANTE: Yes, Your Honor.
25 THE COURT: I forgot the exact language. I had it,

1 but I've lost it. All right, yeah. The -- the -- to
2 place in doubt the result of the entire election, okay.
The numbers aren't weighty, okay. But you've got some
3
4 generalized arguments about the systemic failure of the
whole system that might -- that might cast -- which makes
5
6 the math an issue.
It's a huge burden for you to carry, but the
7
8 petition, it's sufficient to have a try. I -- I hate to
9 do that, but it's -- it's -- if we have to take the four
10 corners of the petition, it really doesn't matter if it's
11 badly pled. I'm not saying it's badly pled. You didn't
plead numbers.
12
13 But the cover clearly says, "under F of -- of 524,
that the form -- form of process is not material. It's --
14
15 it's -- the election contests are interesting. There was
kind of different than we've seen on things. It's a very
16
17 close question, sir.
18 It is a very close question, and -- and -- and you
need to be aware I don't do well with inflammatory stuff.
19
20 I don't get inflamed by attention -- and -- and what other
judges do is not -- okay. So, understand you've got two
21
22 rules.
I am going to deny the motion to dismiss. I -- I --
23
24 it's extremely close, but on the kind of pleadings, I'm
25 looking for originals in that cover section about, you

1 know, don't worry about form and so on and so forth. I'm
2 going to let it go forward, but the plain numbers are
3 against you. So, you -- you've got some -- an uphill
4 battle.
5 MR. BELINFANTE: Okay then. Thank you, Your Honor.
6 THE COURT: Can you take a moment, drop a line -- and
7 -- and --
8 MR. BELINFANTE: Yes, Your Honor.
9 THE COURT: You all know where I am because you've
10 been emailing me, so send it to me, okay. I can't
11 download a pack. Don't ask me why. I am supposed to be
12 retired next year.
13 MR. RUSSO: Your Honor, would you like us to submit a
14 joint motion?
15 THE COURT: What?
16 MR. RUSSO: Would you like us to submit one motion --
17 I mean, one order, one proposed order?
18 THE COURT: You could even do one proposed order, or
19 if you can agree on it or you can do them separately about
20 that.
21 MR. BELINFANTE: I think we'll probably --
22 THE COURT: I'm fixing to go into two full weeks of
23 work, so you'll be able to get -- okay. What else do we
24 have from the defendant?
25 MR. BELINFANTE: Your Honor, we have -- we have two

1 motions that are --

2 THE COURT: Well, no, I know what -- what you have.
MR. BELINFANTE: Oh, I'm sorry.

3

4 THE COURT: I don't think I read all this stuff,
okay? Okay?

5

6 MR. RUSSO: Your Honor, for the Secretary of State,
this is joined by the other defendants, I believe.

7

8 THE COURT: Is this on the two constitution --

9 MR. BELINFANTE: On the two constitutional --

10 THE COURT: We have two constitutional claims and

11 then we'll do your motions. And I've got those too; don't
worry about it. All right. Go ahead.

12

13 MR. BELINFANTE: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. I'm
going to address just the two counts. The one for due

14

15 process and the right being identified there is the right
to vote. The second is the Equal Protection Clause.

16

17 Now there's -- we'll rest largely on the briefs in

18 terms of sovereign immunity, but the point to take from it
is that the Equal Protection Clause and the due process

19

20 clause are completely contingent upon what Your Honor
rules on the underlying election challenge, count one.

21

22 Their briefs make that plain. The relief they seek,
it's in paragraph 71 and 75, is the right to vote in a new

23

24 election for lieutenant governor, not any other future

25 one. And in 72 and 76, it says that it would be remedied

1 by holding a new election.

2 So, if the Court at the conclusion of the evidence, or at least at the conclusion of the plaintiff's case,

3

4 decides that there is no basis to go forward, the constitutional claims equally fail. They -- they rise and

5

6 fall together.
So, the question before you on the motion to dismiss

7

8 is have they alleged sufficient facts to state a claim for

9 due process and for equal protection, and Your Honor, the

10 answer is simply no, based on the Favorito decision from

11 the Supreme Court of Georgia in 2009. I have a copy of it, if the Court would like, and provide one to opposing

12

13 counsel as well.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, sir.

14

15 MR. BELINFANTE: And I'll be referring to Favorito throughout the argument. The question on the due process

16

17 claim is what is the standard of review. Is it strict

18 scrutiny, is it rational basis?
And Favorito answers that question in the latter. It

19

20 is a rational basis test. It says on page 796, quoting a decision from the 11th Circuit, Wexler vs. Anderson, that

21

22 when a state election law provision imposes only reasonable non-discriminatory restrictions upon the 1st

23

24 and 14th Amendment rights of voters, the state's important

25 regulatory interests are generally sufficient.

1 Using the word "reasonable" the Supreme Court noted
2 and applied a rational basis test. And, in fact, it goes on to say that there is a rational reason to use DRE
3
4 machines on the same page.
It says, "it is the job of democratically-elected
5
6 representatives to weigh the pros and cons of the various balloting systems. So long as their choice is reasonable
7
8 and neutral, it is free from judicial second guessing,"
9 once again confirming that we're in a rational basis test
10 review.
11 And now using -- applying that standard, looking at the due process claim, which is paragraph 62 to 72, count
12
13 two, in the complaint. The defendants do not disagree that the right to vote is fundamental. The disagreement
14
15 is that they have not alleged a burden for which there is not a rational basis to use the DRE machines.
16
17 And to look -- to see that you need only look at
18 paragraph 69 of the complaint. There the plaintiffs or the petitioners allege that using defective DRE machines
19
20 in a flawed and inaccurate voter registration and electronic poll book system, defendants have knowingly and
21
22 severely burdened and infringed upon the fundamental right to vote.
23
24 Now you compare that to what the Supreme Court
25 described as the cause of action in Favorito. This is at

1 page 795 and 796 of that case in the Georgia reports.

2 There the Supreme Court described the petitioner's claims as -- in three counts of their complaint, appellants

3

4 allege that this state's use of the DRE equipment denies them equal protection under the federal and state

5

6 constitutions, and the fundamental right to vote under the due process clause of the 14th Amendment.

7

8 Their claims are virtually identical. And so, then

9 applying the rational basis test that Favorito did, the

10 law is clear. There is a rational basis to use the DRE

11 machines. And in the case, it's important that the Court citing the Weber vs. Shelley decision from the 9th Circuit

12

13 in 2003, acknowledged all the risks that are contained in the petitioners' claims now.

14

15 The court said in Favorito, again citing Weber, page 797, "no balloting system is perfect." Traditional paper

16

17 ballots, as became evident during the 2000 presidential

18 election, are prone to over-votes, under-votes, hanging chads, and other mechanical and human errors that may

19

20 thwart voter intent.
Meanwhile, touchscreen voting systems remedy a number

21

22 of these problems, albeit at the hypothetical price of vulnerability to certain types of fraud. And it's the job

23

24 the Court continued to say of the legislature to decide

25 which of those two risks it is going to use.

1 In fact, the Court says expressly, it's the job of
2 democratically-elected representatives to weigh the pros and cons. And so long as their choice is reasonable and
3
4 neutral, it's free from second guessing.
5 And then it goes on to really put the nail in the
6 coffin here, "in this instance Georgia made a reasonable politically neutral and nondiscriminatory choice to
7
8 certify touchscreen systems as an alternative to paper
9 ballots." Nothing in the Constitution prohibits this
10 choice.
11 That forecloses the due-process argument, because despite however it can be dressed up, it is an attack on
12
13 the DRE machines themselves. The DRE machines were attacked in 2006, and by the time they got to the Supreme
14
15 Court in 2009, the Court said it is insufficient.
16 The legislature is entitled to choose the DRE
17 machines understanding and knowing all of the risks that
18 they claim, it is still insufficient to state a claim under due process. If you then look to equal protection,
19
20 Favorito blocks the claims again.
21 Once again, the allegations are identical from what
22 the plaintiffs allege or the petitioners here and what they alleged in Favorito. Paragraph 74 of this complaint
23
24 says, "defendants knowingly treated electors who voted by
25 DRE differently than others similarly situated electors

1 voting in the same election in a jurisdiction who voted by
2 absentee ballot."
In other words, if you vote absentee you can do it
3
4 with a piece of paper. If you vote in person you use a
DRE machine and that is the distinction that they claim
5
6 sets up the Equal Protection Clause. They make that clear
on pages 43 and 44 of their response to the motion to
7
8 dismiss.
9 A state election regulation, which they go on to
10 cite, which discriminates on its face between two classes
11 of people, namely voters who vote in person and voters who
vote by absentee mail.
12
13 Now you then look at the Favorito and the court's
description of the argument there and it was the
14
15 appellants argued that electronic voters are treated
differently from voters who cast absentee ballots on paper
16
17 and the procedure for an accuracy of any recount would
18 differ. The claims are simply identical.
And so, the holding of Favorito is absolutely
19
20 dispositive. And this is at page 798. What the court
effectively says is, a voter can choose. You can vote by
21
22 absentee. We have absentee for any reason in this state,
and if you have a concern that the DRE machine is flawed,
23
24 then by all means, vote absentee.
25 It is not a distinction that the state mandates,

1 which is what is required under equal protection claim.
2 The Court specifically says in Favorito, that "as the trial court found, Georgia voters have an option."
3
4 And then it continues, if the trial court correctly concluded that quote "since every Georgia citizen could
5
6 vote either by absentee ballot or by utilizing touchscreen voting systems," appellants contention that there is some
7
8 state-based classification between voters is false.
9 And it continues then to say, "we cannot see how
10 Georgia has violated the Equal Protection Clause of the
11 14th Amendment by making these two available pacts. The allegations are the same. The case is directly en pointe
12
13 and Equal Protection and Due-Process Clause should be dismissed. Thank you.
14
15 THE COURT: Anybody else for the defendant on that issue?
16
17 MR. TYSON: We'll just join the Secretary of State's
18 argument on that point.
THE COURT: Okay.
19
20 MS. BURWELL: We join in their argument as well, Your Honor. Thank you.
21
22 MR. TYSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
MR. BROWN: In their motion to dismiss the federal
23
24 constitutional claims, first Mr. Belinfante's description
25 of how this claim will interact with the other's claims is

1 substantially correct, and that is, what we are -- what
2 the plaintiffs are alleging is that with any new election going forward prospectively should be conducted on -- not
3
4 on these machines, because doing so would be a violation of due process and equal protection.
5
6 So that's the -- sort of the procedural posture of how this claim is seeking prospective relief. Second, the
7
8 defendants rely exclusively on the Favorito and Wexler
9 decisions. The Favorito and Wexler decisions are
10 fundamentally distinguishable because Favorito dealt with
11 a 2006-era DRE system.
What's -- what has happened and what we allege in our
12
13 complaint all are real events in real life that have changed the system, that make it fundamentally different
14
15 than the system that was being reviewed by the courts in the -- the -- the -- the Favorito case.
16
17 And as we allege, Your Honor, since the Favorito
18 case, every single government agency that is responsible for national security has told the State of Georgia that
19
20 the current DRE system is fundamentally insecure.
The House Select Committee, the Senate Select
21
22 Committee, the Department of Homeland Protection. In addition, every known computer scientist on record has
23
24 said exactly the same thing and has said to Georgia, these
25 are unreliable.

1 They can't be trusted to count votes correctly. That
2 comes from every single source and there is no evidence contrary, Your Honor. And this comes from slews of
3
4 scientists, and it culminated in a report by the National Academy of Sciences that came out just this September, and
5
6 this is called a Consensus Report, which means that it's a unanimous of the scientists at the National Academy of
7
8 Sciences have collected to consider this exact issue.
9 And that is, are these -- is the system that Georgia
10 is now using secure enough to be relied upon from -- from
11 attack domestic and foreign. And the National Academy of Sciences in September said, don't use these machines
12
13 anymore. Don't even use them for the November election, which Georgia did anyway.
14
15 And so, the -- the environment is different, Your Honor. The national security concerns are different. In
16
17 2006, the -- the internet system was not getting bombarded
18 daily by nation states. The -- the system hasn't -- the -- the -- the malware environment is totally different.
19
20 We do not allege that the system right out of the box is flawed, although it's flawed in that it doesn't have a
21
22 paper backup, but that's not the nature of it. The nature of it is is that the way Georgia has not maintained the
23
24 system, coupled with the external threat, make it a system
25 -- and if you use it, you're violating the constitutional

1 rights of voters because it is fundamentally unreliable.

2 And the right to vote is nothing if their votes are not counted correctly. And in this system, you never know

3

4 if your votes are being collected, counted correctly or not. We will never know, because once a voter makes that

5

6 transient decision as to who to vote for, that record is lost forever.

7

8 We will never know, and this is why the courts who

9 have recently looked at it have concluded that using those

10 machines is substantially likely to violate due process

11 rights and equal production rights of Georgia voters. And there's no case to the contrary, Your Honor, is

12

13 that the cases now hold our way on those issues. And what the defendants do not address -- they -- they address a

14

15 sort of hypothetical complaint that was maybe filed 15 years ago.

16

17 They do not address these allegations. They don't

18 address the fundamental problems. They also don't address an entirely separate issue and that is that, as we alleged

19

20 in the complaint, the State of Georgia left this system -- we like to say, left this system out in the rain for six

21

22 months. The Secretary of State, we allege, left the system

23

24 open to the internet, to the public internet, from before

25 the 2016 election until well after, even though it was

1 informed that the system was open. And when it did so, it
2 -- it left itself open to be infected by any virus, by any hacker whether it's someone on their sofa in New Jersey or
3
4 in Peenya or in Russia.
That's what we also allege. And so, we have a system
5
6 that according to the National Academy of Sciences, even without it being left in the rain, is a violation, is so
7
8 fundamentally flawed and vulnerable, it's a violation of
9 constitutional rights.
10 And then to make matters worse, the Secretary of
11 State, even though it knew this was happening, left it exposed to the public internet to be abused and has not
12
13 undertaken any sort of forensic examination to determine whether or not it's been actually infected with malware as
14
15 the results of this recent election would suggest that it has.
16
17 And so, we have established that -- that we have
18 alleged the allegations necessary.
THE COURT: -- it's a question of what you allege.
19
20 MR. BROWN: That's exactly right, Your Honor. I misspoke. We have alleged --
21
22 THE COURT: -- what you have alleged.
MR. BROWN: We have alleged all the elements of the
23
24 claim, and therefore that motion should be dismissed, or
25 it could be revisited in a motion for summary judgment or

1 further motions after the election contest issue is
2 resolved. Thank you, Your Honor.
MR. BELINFANTE: Thank you, Your Honor. I'll be
3
4 brief.
On the subsident due process claim, everything,
5
6 everything that Mr. Brown just talked about was raised in
the Favorito decision and cited. And in the long footnote
7
8 that cites to the Weber case, the court adopts that no
9 balloting system is perfect.
10 And in specifically again talking about touchscreen
11 voting systems, it says that the DRE machines do not leave
Georgia voters without protection from fraud or any means
12
13 of verifying a vote or a way to audit the recount. The
unfortunate reality is that the possibility of electoral
14
15 fraud can never be completely eliminated, no matter which
type of ballot is used.
16
17 Even assuming that none of the advantages of the
18 touchscreen systems over traditional methods would be
sacrificed if voter-verified paper ballots were added to
19
20 the touchscreen system. And it talks about the choice
that the legislature makes and makes very clear nothing in
21
22 the constitution prohibits this choice.
The legislature is presumed to be aware of all of the
23
24 things that Mr. Brown talks about, and I'll get to that in
25 a second. And yet that choice cannot be second guessed by

1 the judiciary under the guides of a due process claim.

2 Now let's talk specifically about what the complaint alleges, because that's what we're here to discuss.

3

4 Nowhere does the complaint that I found cite to any specific inherent flaw with the machines. What it says,

5

6 over and over and over again, is that there are "vulnerabilities," potential vulnerabilities.

7

8 The National Academy of Sciences cites

9 vulnerabilities. It's the Homeland Security, cites

10 vulnerabilities. It's paragraphs 25 through 33, you'll

11 see a litany of that.
That is exactly the type of decision and the risk-

12

13 weighing that the general assembly is entitled to do, and the due process clause does not prevent them from doing.

14

15 Secondly, Mr. Brown tries to distinguish the Favorito case based on statements that are frankly not in the

16

17 record regarding the types of machines. If you look at

18 the complaint, the complaint talks about -- in paragraphs 21 to 23 is where it describes the machines and how

19

20 they're used and so on -- it doesn't say that they've been changed since 2006.

21

22 What he's talking about is that people now have additional concerns about them, but that just brings you

23

24 back to the holding of Favorito, which is that those

25 concerns are properly waived by the legislative branch,

1 not the judicial branch.

2 That also speaks to -- and I don't know that there was a specific response to the equal protection, but

3

4 certainly Favorito would say and hold here regardless of how it views the machines. As long as voters have a

5

6 choice; it is not a state-imposed distinction and no equal-protection claim can lie.

7

8 Thank you, Judge.

9 THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to have to take that,

10 and I'm going to rule on it, and I'm going to do it this

11 morning. I'll send an email. I want to relook at the petition. I am ruled -- ruled by what that petition says

12

13 this morning on -- on that issue, and I -- I want to look at it again. I've got the issues in my mind about it, and

14

15 I will look at it, okay.
MR. BELINFANTE: Thank you.

16

17 THE COURT: Anything else for the defendant?

18 MR. RUSSO: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay, then. Plaintiff has some other

19

20 motion.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. Yes, we have --

21

22 the -- the main motion that we had, Your Honor, is your November 29 emergency motion for inspection of electronic

23

24 election equipment and production of documents. To get to

25 the bottom of the causes for the machine malfunctions, we

1 need some discovery, and that's why in November we moved
2 for a right to inspect the equipment under Rule 34 and
91134, which is the --
3
4 THE COURT: What do -- I -- I seek -- don't propose
more of which I'm not going to sign, which is way beyond
5
6 anything I think that I'm required to do or should be
doing. Good many other things, obviously. Tell me a
7
8 little bit more specifically what it is you want. What do
9 you want done?
10 MR. BROWN: Well, we -- the plaintiffs would like
11 discovery of DRE machines to inspect the --
THE COURT: Which ones? Where? When? How? What?
12
13 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, we would like to inspect the
machines that are identified in Exhibit B to our motion.
14
15 And we would be amenable to meeting with the defendants to
determine a reasonable time and space -- place for that
16
17 inspection. And --
18 THE COURT: It's on the three --
MR. BROWN: It's the last two pages of the -- the
19
20 motion and the last two pages of the proposed order.
THE COURT: Yes. I have it now.
21
22 MR. BROWN: And we've identified by -- in -- in many
instances by serial number the machines that we would like
23
24 to inspect. And certainly, by precinct, and as I
25 mentioned would be amenable to sitting down with the

1 defense counsel and coming up with a -- a protocol for the
2 inspection of this equipment that would be -- be orderly and helpful. And --
3
4 THE COURT: And when you -- when you mean inspection, what do you mean, to look at them? Oh, there's a machine.
5
6 Or do you want to do anything to the machine?
MR. BROWN: A full forensic examination to determine
7
8 what's causing these -- these mistakes to happened, Your
9 Honor.
10 THE COURT: What do you mean by a full forensic
11 examination?
MR. BROWN: That would be with computer experts to
12
13 look at the internal memory and programming to see -- to compare the machines to see what possible defects are
14
15 causing these systems to malfunction.
And they would involve comparing, for example -- one
16
17 -- in addition we would like machines that were not used
18 in the -- in the -- in the December 4th runoff elections because the difficulty is if you keep on using these
19
20 machines, they may overwrite the underlying memory. Our -- this case is about the November election, not the
21
22 December election, so we would like to look at November machines that were not used.
23
24 Now some of the ones, some of the hottest and -- and
25 most troublesome machines may have been involved in both;

1 they may have continued to use them. And we may have lost
2 some evidence there; we don't know, but we've identified
by serial number and by precinct in Exhibit B the evidence
3
4 that we -- we would like to -- to collect.
And like I said it's -- some logistics are involved.
5
6 We would need the systems to be set up so that they
actually run, they can work, so we need -- would need
7
8 internet connection and an AC tower to boot those up.
9 But, again, we would be happy to sit down with the
10 defendants and -- and quickly set up a protocol for doing
11 that.
THE COURT: Have you sent documents, what you -- did
12
13 you --
MR. BROWN: And then in addition, of the documents
14
15 that -- that we were seeking, I mean, we would like, you
know, general discovery of documentary evidence relating
16
17 to the programming of the machines and so that the -- the
18 computer scientists can better understand exactly what's
going on.
19
20 And we believe that's -- that's reasonable and can be
done expeditiously and -- and may answer many important
21
22 questions that are -- that Your Honor has indicated are of
interest. And the defendants have not responded to our
23
24 motion. I'm not sure what their position is on it.
25 THE COURT: Well, I just got it a couple days ago.

1 MR. RUSSO: Thank you, Your Honor. I'm Vince Russo
2 for the Secretary of State.
3 The plaintiffs are essentially asking for unfettered
4 access to voting equipment here in the state of Georgia.
5 The -- we -- the Secretary of State objects to the motion
6 that the plaintiffs have filed in the request. The
7 plaintiffs, for starters, have not alleged irregularities
8 in each of those precincts where the voting machines they
9 would like to inspect are located. So, the machines in
10 those precincts are completely unrelated, as far as we can
11 tell, to their claims, based on the pleadings at least.
12 Additionally, Your Honor, Mr. -- plaintiffs, one of
13 plaintiff's experts, I suppose, Mr. Demila (phonetic),
14 even testified -- he even included in his affidavit that's
15 attached to the second motion that the plaintiffs are --
16 are going to be arguing, but he -- he stated in his
17 affidavit that there's no statistically valid conclusion
18 that can be drawn from a random sample of -- of machines.
19 Your Honor, at the end of the day here, as my
20 colleagues have pointed out repeatedly, the plaintiffs
21 have to show that there are enough votes in question, over
22 123,000 votes that -- that call in doubt the result of the
23 election for valid election contest. The machines that
24 they want to look at are not going to -- they're not going
25 to have the -- they do not have the votes as far as -- as

1 far as we can tell and -- and plaintiffs' counsel hasn't
2 indicated that there were enough votes on those machines to call in doubt the result of the election.
3
4 And as such, Your Honor, we think giving them unfettered access to highly sensitive information about
5
6 the state voting machines when irregularities alleged by the plaintiffs would not change the result of the election
7
8 is unnecessary.
9 Additionally, Your Honor, in the filing that the
10 plaintiffs made to -- excuse me, that the Secretary of
11 State made this morning, which was regarding the proffer of evidence, Mr. Demila testified in the -- in the
12
13 Totenberg case and the Kerling (phonetic) case that it would take 14,000 hours to examine all of the machines and
14
15 that alone still wouldn't be enough.
And for reference, Your Honor, 14,000 hours is more
16
17 than a year and a half. While the plaintiffs have -- have
18 obviously cut down the scope of the -- of the number of machines they would like to inspect, Your Honor, there's
19
20 -- there's still no -- there's still no facts pled that indicate that the -- the precincts and the machines that
21
22 they want to -- that the plaintiffs want to inspect, would have any effect on the outcome of the election or that
23
24 there are any irregularities in those precincts. So, we
25 would ask that you deny this motion. Thank you.

1 Oh, I'm sorry. We have not -- we will be filing this
2 today.
THE COURT: You are.
3
4 MR. RUSSO: I notice proffer of evidence by Secretary
of State, which includes the transcript that I just
5
6 referenced from the testimony of their expert in the -- in
the federal case.
7
8 And I don't know if my colleagues have anything else.
9 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, just briefly on behalf of
10 Gwinnett County. For Gwinnett, we will do obviously
11 whatever the Court directs us to do in terms of making
machines available.
12
13 Our only concern is from a practical perspective.
Obviously, this is voting equipment that is kept in a very
14
15 sensitive -- and lock and key. We keep these machines
under seal with numbered seals and audit trail to
16
17 determine we know who has access to the machines.
18 And we would just want to be absolutely certain that
whatever access is occurring is done in a way in
19
20 coordination with the Secretary of State's office and
others who are involved with this to make sure that
21
22 nothing is altered with the machines themselves.
Gwinnett owns a mix. We have a mix of machines
23
24 partially owned by the State and partially owned by the
25 county, and we will work to determine the specific serial

1 numbers and who owns those machines. But that -- we are
2 ready to do whatever the Court directs us to do, but we just have those security concerns to be sure that nothing
3
4 with the machines themselves is being altered and that there's enough security regarding the -- this inspection
5
6 that the plaintiffs are proposing.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, if I may be heard briefly.
7
8 THE COURT: -- is this the original?
9 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, we're going to electronically
10 file it for --
11 THE COURT: I don't have one given to me. So, this is mine?
12
13 MR. TYSON: That's your copy.
MR. BELINFANTE: Which I have provided one to
14
15 opposing counsel and --
THE COURT: Okay. Right here.
16
17 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, if I may be heard very
18 briefly.
THE COURT: Sure.
19
20 MR. LINDSEY: The petitioner's request, I believe, is irrelevant to the outcome of this case as you -- based on
21
22 your ruling regarding our motion to dismiss in which you made very clear that the -- ultimately, the plaintiffs
23
24 must show that there were enough irregularities, neither
25 the votes counted improperly or votes not counted to

1 amount to 123,000 votes. The petitioner is seeking how
2 many -- how many exact number of -- number of machines?
MR. BROWN: You'd have to ask them.
3
4 MR. LINDSEY: A dozen or so machines? Your Honor,
that's not going to amount to 123,000. Their -- their
5
6 comeback to our specific numbers that we raise was --
well, we raised a general allegation that system wide
7
8 there were failures that resulted in the whole election
9 being cast in doubt.
10 If that's their allegation, Your Honor, merely
11 inspecting a dozen or so machines are not going to prove
or disprove that. And so, for that reason, Your Honor, we
12
13 would oppose their motion.
THE COURT: (inaudible)
14
15 MS. BURWELL: On behalf of Fulton County, Your Honor,
their list attached to their motion included counties
16
17 obviously outside of Fulton County. We don't take a
18 position with respect to those others.
With respect to Fulton County, we would point out to
19
20 the Court that the complaint only addresses two precincts
in Fulton County where they're alleging there were any
21
22 irregularities, yet their request for the review of
machines seeks far more than just those two precincts.
23
24 And so given the limited amount of time, we would
25 request that the Court is going to allow them some leeway

1 in doing some of this discovery that it not be as far-
2 reaching as they were requesting and instead that the Court be very tailored in terms of what they have actually
3
4 alleged in getting to the allegations rather than just a fishing expedition.
5
6 THE COURT: (inaudible)
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. First, Your
7
8 Honor, we have -- we have alleged irregularities in every
9 precinct, not just Grady, not just some other.
10 We've -- our allegations cover a systemic statewide
11 problem with these electronic voting machine, and we've only used as illustration some evidence that we have found
12
13 in particular precincts here and there, but those are just illustrations. Our allegations cover every single one.
14
15 Second, as to the suggestion that this discovery is unlikely to come up with anything that will allow us to
16
17 carry our burden of proof. That's just fundamentally
18 incorrect. We are also seeking discovery of the GEMS databases.
19
20 THE COURT: The who?
MR. BROWN: The GEMS is called -- it's an acronym,
21
22 GEMS, which is an acronym for the -- for the servers that collect the data, and so if there is a flaw in that it's
23
24 going to cover more than just the actual machines.
25 THE COURT: -- but that's not what you told me

1 before.

2 MR. BROWN: Yes. My -- the way I was --
THE COURT: That wasn't what you said when I came.
3

4 MR. BROWN: Well, the exhibit that I was referencing
covers DRE machines and also covers the GEMS databases
5

6 that are county specific and these databases cover more --
I mean, they are not just one by one. In addition, Your
7

8 Honor, but really much more fundamentally is that if there

9 is a programming --

10 THE COURT: Get back to the GEMS, because I'm not

11 understanding what you're saying.
MR. BROWN: The GEMS database is -- each county has a
12

13 GEMS --
THE COURT: Each county has an individual one.
14

15 MR. BROWN: Individual one.
THE COURT: Okay.
16

17 MR. BROWN: And then the Secretary of State has a

18 system that tabulates all of those. And so there could be
a programming flaw anywhere in the system. One of the
19

20 things that the data -- and this is the public information
that's available that Mr. Lindsey referred to, is that the
21

22 pattern oddly was found in every single county under votes
for the lieutenant governor's race, which no one had ever
23

24 seen before historically ever, and it comes up in every

25 single county.

1 And so that it is possible, I won't say likely, but
2 possible that there is a single or several programming mistakes, which have identified, will indicate that
3
4 there's the system wide failure.
But actually, more fundamentally, Your Honor, we'll
5
6 understand this quickly is that what the defendants are sort of analogizing this too is if we're hunting for
7
8 illegal paper ballots, like these cases. As if we're
9 looking for ballots in which Amico's name was misspelled,
10 like the Mead case. Or another case in which there's
11 something wrong with a hard copy of a ballot. And we can get that ballot and we can count them up and we can count
12
13 it up to 124,000 and therefore possibly win.
That's not this case. Because those ballots are gone
14
15 and because of the way the State has chosen to conduct these elections, that record is lost forever.
16
17 Instead what we have is the electronic memory and the
18 programming that drove the way these votes were counted, we think they were counted wrong. And so, we're entitled
19
20 to discovery, we believe, and we think it will be helpful and not necessarily that burdensome.
21
22 It's interesting that they now cite to the federal case for the declaration of Dr. Demila. Indeed, he said
23
24 if you had to look at every single machine and do a
25 forensic examination of every single machine it would have

1 took -- it would take a long time.

2 They haven't done it. We do not plan to do that. There's not time or resources to do that. Instead, this

3

4 will be a targeted examination to see if evidence of a systemic programming error either in the DRE machines

5

6 themselves or the databases have caused these problems. Thank you, Your Honor.

7

8 THE COURT: I have problems with words like "there

9 could be a flaw" or "there's a possible programming

10 mistake." And what you're really asking for in this

11 discovery and fishing expedition, and I'm not going to give it to you till - left, okay. I am going to -- I am

12

13 going to the GEMS are for each county?
MR. TYSON: Yes, Your Honor.

14

15 THE COURT: Okay.
MR. RUSSO: Your Honor, the date of the GEMS is

16

17 public -- is public record --

18 THE COURT: Even if it -- were it --
MR. RUSSO: Is highly confidential and the means

19

20 accessing --
THE COURT: Pardon.

21

22 MR. RUSSO: I said the source code and the means of accessing the GEMS, of course, is highly confidential, but

23

24 the data from the GEMS is public record.

25 THE COURT: I am going to allow you to look at the

1 GEMS in Fulton and in Gwinnett, okay. So -- will have to
2 access it. He's not to get the confidential access. And then he can look at. If it's public record, and you can
3
4 show him where the public record is, of course you can look at it, but record and you can show him where the
5
6 public record is.
Of course, you can look at all of them, okay. And it
7
8 that's up to you all to work out with and I'm going to let
9 him do it for those two counties because those are the two
10 counties that are a part of this.
11 He's got -- the only allegation he's got -- he's got an allegation in McDonough, he's -- DeKalb and what
12
13 county? Because DeKalb's not here. He's got one in Worth County. He's got Grady High School, -- Library, and then
14
15 Allen Temple A.M.E. are the ones that he's alleging were errors.
16
17 And I'm going to let him look at those, okay. It's
18 got to be supervised by somebody, okay. In the sense of -- somebody has to watch whatever he supposedly does so
19
20 there's no fundamental damage to the system in any way. Needs to be really clear. Got it?
21
22 MR. RUSSO: Your Honor, I mean, we would need to have some kind of confidentiality order for agreement,
23
24 obviously. There's -- when -- when his folks have access
25 to the machines, we don't -- I mean we can watch what

1 they're doing. We don't know what they're actually doing
2 and with the data in the -- the -- the computer technology.
3
4 THE COURT: -- any sense. You're not -- you're not going to do it yourself --
5
6 MR. RUSSO: What?
THE COURT: Like I said, if anything on those few
7
8 machines, anything is done to damage them in any way,
9 there will be some absolutely serious ramifications.
10 MR. RUSSO: Okay.
11 THE COURT: And that needs to be fully understood.
MR. RUSSO: Your -- Your Honor, I guess would -- we
12
13 would also want just clarification around what it is exactly -- we've mentioned that there's data machines that
14
15 we can pull --
THE COURT: (inaudible)
16
17 MR. RUSSO: We can pull the data from the GEMS
18 machines to give to them. If that's the extent of it, that's one thing.
19
20 THE COURT: What is it that you want to do on the individual machines?
21
22 MR. BROWN: We want to examine the internal memory, Your Honor, to determine how the program --
23
24 THE COURT: How do you examine the internal memory?
25 MR. BROWN: You do -- I -- our -- our experts will be

1 examining the internal memory to see if there are
2 programming flaws in the DRE machines that are causing these problems.
3
4 THE COURT: I got you. For programming flaws only?
MR. BROWN: Pardon me.
5
6 THE COURT: For programming flaws.
MR. BROWN: For programming flaws, yes, Your Honor.
7
8 THE COURT: See if they were programmed wrong, okay.
9 Simple language, okay.
10 MR. RUSSO: Okay. Not entirely sure what it means
11 when they get access to what they're looking at.
THE COURT: (inaudible)
12
13 MR. BROWN: I understand.
THE COURT: That these cases are supposed to be
14
15 disposed of fast, and I'm not going to give continuances. If -- if you would like to work together to do that, if
16
17 you run up on a stumbling block, you can always do it by
18 emails to me and I will email you back.
MR. RUSSO: As you said it would be confidential or
19
20 not be subject to --
THE COURT: (inaudible)
21
22 MR. RUSSO: -- because disclosure that's obviously important for our --
23
24 THE COURT: Once again your people would have to set
25 it up, where he can look at it. If he's just looking at

1 the results of it then, if you know how to show him
2 online, he can get it all in the mistakes, okay.
3 MR. RUSSO: Yeah, we'll be happy to let him see the
4 results of the election.
5 THE COURT: Let him see the results of the state, but
6 at that time --
7 MR. RUSSO: Yes.
8 THE COURT: -- all the counties involved and these
9 five places that he has indicated.
10 MR. RUSSO: Okay.
11 THE COURT: Okay.
12 MR. RUSSO: Thank you.
13 THE COURT: I'll let you submit me the order if you can agree on that. If you can't, let me know. Like I
14 said, I'm -- the hearing is on the 17th ?
15 MR. BROWN: Yes.
16 MR. RUSSO: I think, Your Honor.
17 THE COURT: I'm -- I'm here but I sleep occasionally, but apart from that time --
18 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, let me -- I want to recap what, Your Honor, has suggested just so we're very clear
19 on -- on this --
20 THE COURT: You want to do it so that you can expand
21 what I just said. Come on, I know that. Is this what you
22 said, Judge? Yeah. I know that but go ahead.
23
24
25

1 MR. BROWN: Didn't you say you favored widespread and
2 far-reaching discovery? No, I'm joking, but --
THE COURT: Okay.
3
4 MR. BROWN: Two counties -- limited to two counties?
THE COURT: Two counties. Gwinnett -- go ahead.
5
6 MR. BROWN: Gwinnett and Fulton. In the five.
THE COURT: In the five -- Henry County and
7
8 McDonough. It doesn't say specifically that one was.
9 Fulton, Allen County AME -- and Grady High School.
10 MR. BROWN: Okay. Yes, Your Honor.
11 THE COURT: That's what's alleged on the petition.
MR. BROWN: And that would be examination of the
12
13 machines under the conditions that you've described. In addition, the county servers -- that the county GEMS
14
15 servers under the instructions that you gave.
THE COURT: -- and if there's a way to get that
16
17 information if it is public record, then you can get it in
18 public record.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. At present the
19
20 trial is scheduled -- for the trial -- not at present. The trial is scheduled for the 17th --
21
22 THE COURT: Correct.
MR. BROWN: -- of -- would it make sense to postpone
23
24 that --
25 THE COURT: No, nothing postponed.

1 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

2 THE COURT: The rules are -- in fact I'm getting some pressure as to why this isn't going through faster than
3

4 it's been. It needs to be done; it needs to be completed; it needs to be over. I'm not going to move it.
5

6 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: And what I've allowed you to do on those
7

8 things can be done before that date.

9 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

10 THE COURT: If -- if you put your mind to it. Okay?

11 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Judge.
THE COURT: Okay, anything else? You had a motion
12

13 about -- but I guess that's moot.
MR. BROWN: That's moot.
14

15 THE COURT: Okay, anything else?
MR. RUSSO: No, Your Honor.
16

17 THE COURT: Okay.

18 MR. LINDSEY: Just to move the case along quickly and I'm going to stipulate to plaintiff's counsel be in
19

20 agreement -- just taking judicial notice so we don't have to bring somebody in to show the certified election
21

22 results of all the statewide elections.
THE COURT: Do you have an objection to --
23

24 MR. RUSSO: I assume we don't have any objections?

25 Just to the numbers. Do you have an objection? No,

1 Judge.

2 THE COURT: The numbers that he gave me are the numbers in the register. Do they have an objection?

3

4 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor.
MR. RUSSO: I just want to make that that's clear.

5

6 THE COURT: Okay. You can put those in without having to --

7

8 MR. RUSSO: No, Your Honor.

9 MR. BELINFANTE: We will be doing the same -- we will

10 be using the same numbers here on, Your Honor.

11 THE COURT: Okay. That’s it.
MR. RUSSO: Okay.

12

13 THE COURT: Anything else? Thank you, gentleman.
MR. RUSSO: Thank you.

14

15 MR. BELINFANTE: Thank you, Your Honor.
MR. TYSON: Thank you, Judge.

16

17

18 (Whereupon, the hearing was concluded at 10:34 a.m.)

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 CERTIFICATE

2
STATE OF GEORGIA)
3

4) SS.
COUNTY OF DOUGLAS)
5

6
I, PRISCILLA GARCIA, A COURT REPORTER IN THE STATE OF
7

8 GEORGIA, DO HEREBY STATE THAT THE FOREGOING IS A TRUE AND

9 ACCURATE TRANSCRIPT AS TRANSCRIBED BY ME AT THE TIME,

10 PLACE, AND THE DATE HEREINBEFORE SET FORTH.

11 I DO FURTHER STATE THAT I AM NEITHER A RELATIVE NOR
EMPLOYEE NOR ATTORNEY NOR COUNSEL OF ANY OF THE PARTIES TO
12

13 THIS ACTION, AND THAT I AM NEITHER A RELATIVE NOR EMPLOYEE
OF SUCH ATTORNEY OR COUNSEL, AND THAT I AM NOT FINANCIALLY
14

15 INTERESTED IN THIS ACTION.
WITNESS MY HAND IN THE CITY OF DOUGLASVILLE, COUNTY
16

17 OF DOUGLAS, STATE OF GEORGIA, ON THIS 18th DAY OF JANUARY

18 2019. BY: Priscilla Garcia
19

20 PRISCILLA GARCIA, COURT REPORTER
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF GEORGIA
21

22 COMMISSION NO.: W-00379933
COMMISSION EXPIRES: 08/14/2022
23

24 CERTFICATION NO.: 5503-2677-8304-9216

25 LICENSE EXPIRES: 04/01/2019

1

1 28:8,20, 22 47:13
100 47:17
100-vote 46:24
103,290 43:25
115,691 45:1
11th 64:20
123,000 41:22 43:13 45:15 58:20,25 60:4 80:22 84:1,4
123,000-plus 45:2
123,000-vote 48:1
123,172 13:18 43:13
124,000 87:13
13 57:4,6,9
14,000 81:13,15
14th 64:24 66:6 69:11
15 72:15
157 36:8,10 37:6
159 23:2,10 35:20
17 92:15 93:20
180 37:15
19,882 44:1
1983 10:2
1989 18:22
1998 42:20
1st 64:22

2

2 10:6 15:2 16:2,18 28:9,17 29:6
2- 28:11
2000 66:17
2003 66:13
2004 17:18 27:25
2006 67:13 71:17 75:20
2006-era 70:11
2009 64:11 67:15
2015 19:4
2016 72:25
2018 6:2 13:15
21 15:2 18:24 28:9
21-2-366 39:9
2102 14:11
2122 16:18
212235 28:13
212250 22:2
2125 14:10 28:9
22 6:6,13, 20
220 22:9,10
23 75:18
242- 52:6
25 75:10
252-georgia-260 50:4 57:24
26 39:22
2623-georgia-514 48:4
270-georgia-7 42:20 50:8 51:17
284-georgia-397 51:15 58:9
288-georgia-26 51:25
29 76:22

3

3 10:6 29:6 30:25 47:11,13
3,780,304 43:18
3,883,594 43:17
3,895,955 44:24
301-georgia-27 24:20 25:11
30177 51:11
323-georgia-po427 42:2
324 19:4
33 75:10
34 77:2
35 16:20
378-georgia-532 51:10
38 33:15
380 17:20

4

4 18:24

27:10 28:18 47:13 78:18
42 47:18
43 68:6
44 68:6

5

5 47:13
520 14:11 22:2,4 30:13,25
520-2A 30:17
521-1 47:11
522 30:13
522-2 30:20
524 61:13
524(c) 59:17
53,902 45:13,15

6

6 13:15
62 65:11
69 65:18

7

71 63:22
72 63:25
65:11
74 67:22
75 63:22
76 63:25
795 66:1
796 64:20 66:1
798 68:20

8

8,000 46:2
80,000 46:2
8:59 6:2

9

9 6:2
9/11/15 32:18
98.9% 44:11
99 46:25
9th 66:11

A

A.M.E. 89:15
ability 34:11
absentee 34:10,11 39:2 68:2, 11,15,22, 24 69:6
absolutely 68:18 82:18 90:9
absurd 23:11
abused 73:11
AC 79:8
Academy 71:4,6,11 73:6 75:8
accept 43:18 44:13,18
accepted 49:13 55:9,10,11
access 22:6 80:4 81:4 82:17,18 89:2,24 91:11
accessing 88:20,22
accuracy 68:17
acknowledged 66:13
acronym 85:20,22
Act 18:22 27:10,18
action 35:25 39:15 47:9 65:25
acts 19:2
actual 85:24
Adams 42:2
add 32:6 33:2,15 59:2
added 74:18
adding 37:22 58:13
addition 31:20 36:25 47:8 70:22 78:17 79:13 86:6 93:13
additional 14:15 32:6 75:22
Additionally 80:11 81:9
address 14:17,18, 20 38:11 63:13 72:13,17, 18
addresses 84:20
admitted 51:18
adopts 74:8
advantages

74:17

advocates
58:2

advocating
57:18

affect 46:9

affidavit
80:13,17

afford 30:9

agency 70:18

agree 12:8
35:6 62:18
92:13

agreed 9:22

agreement
89:22
94:20

ahead 15:25
20:22
63:11
92:25 93:4

albeit 66:22

allegation
15:20
30:20 44:4
45:2 47:8
57:18
59:20
84:6,10
89:11

allegations
31:2,6,10,
17,20,22
33:9 36:1
41:4 43:20
44:18
45:24,25
46:4 48:13
49:13
53:18 58:2
59:13 60:2
67:20
69:11
72:17
73:18
85:4,10,13

allege 34:1
46:25 47:4
49:11
53:13,20
55:25
58:17,22
59:2 60:20
65:18 66:4
67:22
70:11,17
71:20
72:22
73:4,18

alleged
17:11
18:8,11
22:24
30:11
33:17,20
35:24 36:4
43:6 47:15
48:13
49:18 53:1
55:8,13
56:2,8,10,
11 59:6,18
60:20,22
64:8 65:15
67:22
72:18
73:18,20,
22 80:6
81:6 85:4,
8 93:11

alleges 75:2

alleging
33:22 43:1
70:2 84:20
89:15

Allen 89:15
93:9

allowed 94:6

alluded
59:22

altered
82:22 83:4

alternative
67:8

AME 93:9

amenable
77:15,25

amend 32:2

amending
32:6

amendment
32:4 33:2
64:24 66:6
69:11

amendments
19:22

Amico 46:6
55:24

Amico's 87:9

amount 84:1,
4,24

analogizing
87:6

analogous
53:2

Anderson
24:20
64:20

anecdotes
32:25

announces
36:22

anonymous
47:24

answers
64:18

anymore
71:13

apologize
20:22 22:8

appeal 32:15
52:13
53:10

appeals
17:18

appears
25:13

appellants 66:2 68:15 69:6
appellate 25:6
applicable 16:18 17:2 28:17 38:2
applied 19:6 65:2
apply 15:20 17:1 18:24
applying 65:11 66:9
approach 24:6,8 51:2
approval 40:18
aren't 13:11
argue 18:22 21:8 24:15
argued 17:11 68:15
arguing 20:18 36:18 40:25 80:15
argument 19:13 21:24 23:8,18,20 26:4,24 29:6 33:11,13 35:15 40:2,8,9 41:18 64:15 67:11 68:13 69:18,20
arguments 13:11 21:18 41:18 61:4
assembly 75:13
assert 44:6, 11
asserted 10:18,24 14:10
asserting 10:20 42:25
assertion 42:18 44:13
asserts 44:4 45:2
assume 94:24
assuming 74:17
attached 24:13 80:15 84:15
attack 67:11 71:11
attacked 67:13
attention 61:20
attorney 23:18,22 24:4 25:1, 2 41:20
audit 74:13 82:15
authority 39:10
authorize 39:11
authorized 14:25 40:17
aware 13:15 20:11 61:18 74:22

B

back 15:9 32:18 33:2 51:4 53:9 75:24 86:10 91:18
backup 71:22
badly 61:11
BAILIFF 8:2
ballot 16:11 17:22 18:11 19:22 27:18,22 34:11 40:15 52:22 60:6 68:2 69:6 74:15 87:11
balloting 65:6 66:15 74:9
ballots 18:13 34:10 36:20 44:10,11, 22 50:20, 22 58:10, 11 59:25 60:2 66:17 67:9 68:15 74:18 87:8,9,13
Banker 51:10
bankruptcy 42:4
based 46:13 48:11 64:10 75:15 80:11

83:20

basically 27:4

basis 20:1,6 28:6 32:1 33:6 48:17 64:4,18,20 65:2,9,15 66:9,10

bath 60:15

battle 62:4

begin 58:15

beginning 21:4

behalf 9:2,6 12:4 33:13 41:20 82:9 84:15

Belinfante 6:15 8:20 14:18 41:17 60:24 62:4,8,20, 25 63:2,9, 13 64:15 74:2 76:15 83:13

Belinfante's 69:24

bench 7:20 24:8

big 42:18

binding 25:22,25

bit 30:13 77:8

block 91:17

blocks 67:20

Board 8:25 16:20,22 19:11 29:22 30:4 32:10 34:4 38:15,25 39:2,10, 11,13,15

Boards 19:9

bombarded 71:17

book 22:4,6 65:20

books 27:8 36:20

boot 79:8

borrow 56:13

bottom 76:25

bound 41:4 53:24,25 54:2,4

box 71:20

brain 12:2

branch 75:25 76:1

brand-new 26:10

breadth 53:18

Brian 24:22

briefly 27:2 82:9 83:6, 18

briefs 63:17,22

bring 12:6 15:18 21:15 22:6 33:22 94:20

brings 75:22

broader 56:17

Brody 32:6

Brown 6:15 8:11 9:13, 22 10:1,6, 10,18,22 13:9,11 21:15 22:4,8,10, 11 23:6 24:13,18 25:9,11,22 26:15,20, 22 29:4, 15,18 35:11,13, 22,24 37:11,25 39:25 48:22 49:4,6,8, 11,15 53:25 54:2,9,11, 13 55:2,4 56:6 57:22 59:4,22 60:4,8 64:13 69:22 73:20,22 74:6,24 75:15 76:20 77:10,13, 18,22 78:6,11 79:13 84:2 85:6,20 86:2,4,11, 15,17 90:22,25 91:4,6,13 92:15,20 93:1,4,6, 10,11,18, 22 94:1,6, 9,11,13

Bruce 8:11 21:15

Bryan 8:22 29:22 46:20

bunch 47:22

burden 54:6, 8,10 61:6

65:15
85:17

burdened
65:22

burdensome
87:20

Burwell 6:8, 11 9:6,9 12:4 33:13 34:17,20, 24 35:2,4, 8 38:13 39:22 40:22 48:18,20 69:20 84:15

C

calculate
55:22

call 80:22 81:2

called 24:20 71:6 85:20

calls 51:18

cameraman
6:13

candidate
27:17,18 30:17,20, 22 45:6 57:18 60:2

candidates
14:4 19:25 27:20

can't 7:20 10:20 27:8 31:18 34:4 35:17

capable
38:17 40:15

capacity
24:22,24 25:13

care 8:2 54:6

careful 7:18

Carr 25:1

Carruthers
8:24

carry 61:6 85:17

case 6:6,9, 10 10:11 11:8 13:20 16:4 17:17,18, 24,25 18:1,2,4, 6,15 19:6 22:25 23:15,17 24:6,11, 13,18,20, 22 25:1,4, 6,8,11,15, 17 26:6,9 27:6,11,25 30:10 31:4,11,18 32:6,8,9, 13 33:1,6 35:4 39:2, 8 42:1,2, 4,20 43:11 44:11,18 47:6 49:17 50:4,6,8, 15 51:2,4, 15,20,22 52:2,6,9, 10,11,18 53:2,8,9 54:22 55:1 56:13 57:11,13, 17,20,25 58:8,9,18 59:2,9 64:2 66:1, 11 69:11 70:15,18 72:11 74:8 75:15 78:20 81:13 82:6 83:20 87:10,13, 22 94:18

cases 27:2 30:15,22 37:13 42:10,15 45:18,22 48:9,11 49:25 50:17,18, 25 51:4,9 53:17 58:6 60:1 72:13 87:8 91:13

cast 18:13 31:2 43:9, 15,17 44:24 45:1,2 47:1 53:4 57:20 58:10,11, 13,24 61:4 68:15 84:9

categories
16:25

caused 88:6

causing
78:8,15 91:2

certainty
48:6 52:15

certified
42:10 43:13 46:1,15,22 94:20

certify 67:8

chads 66:18

challenge
27:13 30:6 31:13 63:20

challenged 30:18

challenges 52:20

challenging 30:22 42:15

Champion 32:8

chance 15:13

change 25:25 47:6 81:6

changed 60:11 70:13 75:20

changing 38:18

chapter 15:2 18:24 22:17,20 27:10

charge 23:25

cheat 38:11

chime 57:6

choice 65:6 67:2,6,10 74:20,22, 25 76:6

choose 34:13 67:15 68:20

chosen 42:11 60:18 87:15

Chris 25:1,2

Circuit 64:20 66:11

cite 42:1 50:17 58:6 68:10 75:4 87:22

cited 27:2 32:13 37:1 40:18 45:22 51:9 57:22 58:6 74:6

cites 74:8 75:8,9

citing 66:11,15

citizen 69:4

citizens 38:20 39:1,2

City 16:22

claim 10:13 12:6,9 13:20 14:22 17:24 32:22 38:4 47:2 48:2, 17 52:4,9, 15 57:11 64:8,17 65:11 67:18 68:4 69:1,25 70:6 73:24 74:4 75:1 76:6

claims 10:2, 6,11,18 11:6,10,18 12:4 14:18,20 29:6 47:18 63:10 64:4 66:2,8,13 67:20 68:18 69:24,25 80:11

clarification 90:13

classes 16:2 68:10

classification 69:8

clause 63:15,18, 20 66:6 68:6 69:10,13 75:13

clean 34:22 35:4

clear 9:15, 22 19:6 23:22 26:6 48:4 53:17 66:10 68:6 74:20 83:22 89:20 92:20

clerk 24:11

client 14:17

clock 57:4, 8,9

close 47:25 60:13 61:17,18, 24

closed 45:13 58:22

co-defendants 13:15

Coalition 6:10 8:6, 13 9:24 10:2 14:22

COBB 6:1

code 15:1 16:11,18 18:24 22:1,17 27:9 28:13,18, 20 32:13 40:17 88:22

coffin 67:6

colleagues 18:18 80:20 82:8

collect 79:4 85:22

collected 71:8 72:4

collection 47:20

comeback 84:6

Commissioners 39:11,13

Committee 70:20,22

common 20:4

commonsense 22:20 23:24

compare 65:24 78:13

comparing 78:15

comparison 30:13

complaining 34:1

complaint 20:15 33:15 38:6 47:18 49:11 65:13,18 66:2 67:22 70:13 72:15,20 75:2,4,18 84:20

completed 94:4

completely 14:2 63:20 74:15 80:10

comply 38:22

computer 22:6 70:22 78:11 79:18 90:2

conceded 36:2

concern 68:22 82:13

concerns 71:15 75:22,25 83:2

concluded 51:13 69:4 72:9

conclusion 64:2 80:17

conditions 93:13

conduct 16:13 17:6 28:11 58:11 87:15

conducted 16:8,13 28:2 30:6 31:1 36:2 70:2

conducting 22:22 40:2,15

conference 7:20

conferences 7:20

confidential 88:18,22 89:2 91:18

confidentiality 89:22

confirmed 14:20

confirming 6:20 65:9

conflicting 47:9

confuse 22:11

confusing 15:17

connection 30:15 79:8

cons 65:6 67:2

Consensus 71:6

constitution 63:8 67:9 74:22

constitutional 11:18 12:9 14:18 19:22 29:6 63:9,10 64:4 69:24 71:25 73:9

constitutions 66:6

constrained 34:6 38:22

construction 19:1,6

construed 20:4

contained 66:13

contention 69:6

contest 9:25 10:4 14:9, 15,22 15:4,6 17:18 18:4,6 19:8 20:8, 24 22:20

23:2,15 24:1 28:4, 6,10,20 30:1,11, 15,20 31:15 32:4 33:8 59:15,18, 20,22 74:1 80:22

contestant 14:8 17:20 18:11 32:8 53:1

contestants 10:2 50:6

contested 10:8 16:4, 6,9 28:11 30:6 31:1

contesting 13:15

contests 14:25 19:24 27:20,22 61:15

context 15:4

contingent 63:20

continuances 91:15

continued 66:24 79:1

continues 60:4 69:4, 9

contrary 71:2 72:11

conversation 7:13

convinced 13:1

coordination 82:20

copy 24:9, 20 64:11 83:13 87:11

Corea 25:2

corners 9:20 20:15 29:11 48:15 58:20 61:10

correct 9:13,25 10:1,6,22 22:15 55:2,4 70:1 93:22

correctly 69:4 71:1 72:2,4

couldn't 32:20

counsel 8:10,13 13:10 25:4 27:4,6,11 60:15 64:13 78:1 81:1 83:15 94:18

counsel's 7:11

count 10:6 12:13,18 28:8,20,22 41:13 50:2,20 52:22 63:20 65:11 71:1 87:11

counted 43:22 45:20 57:20 58:1,6,25 72:2,4 83:25 87:18

counties 19:11 20:9 23:2,10 28:4 31:6, 9,11,18,22 32:20,24, 25 33:4, 20,25 34:15,18 35:20 36:4,8,11, 13 37:2,6 84:15 89:9,10 92:8 93:4

counting 31:4 58:18 59:17

counts 29:6 43:22 63:13 66:2

county 6:1 8:9 9:6,13 12:2,4 16:15,20, 22,24 17:11 18:6,9,15, 18 19:9,10 20:9,10 24:11 28:2 29:4,22 30:4 31:11,17, 20 32:10 33:13,18 34:4,11 35:9 36:4, 15 37:2 38:11,15, 20,22 39:6,10 40:11,13, 22 46:20 47:18,20 82:10,25 84:15,17, 18,20

86:6,11,
13,22,25
88:13
89:13
93:6,9,13

county's
30:11
35:15

county's
39:15

couple 8:6
79:25

coupled
71:24

court 6:6,9,
11,17,18,
22 7:1,4,
6,11,13
8:1,2,4,
15,22 9:1,
4,8,11,15
10:4,8,9,
11,13,20
11:2,11,
13,18,20,
22 12:6,8,
11,15,18,
22,25
13:11 14:6
15:8,11,
13,17,24
16:20
17:17
20:2,13,
17,20,22
21:2,4,6,
11,13
22:2,4,9,
11 23:4,20
24:10,15
25:6,10,18
26:13,17,
20 27:1
28:8 29:1,
8,11,15,20
31:18
32:6,11
33:10,15,
22,24
34:13,18,
22,25
35:2,6,9,
13,18,22
36:24
37:11,13
38:1,6,9
39:9,22,24
40:22,24
41:9,13,15
42:4,10,18
43:18
45:18
46:15,18
47:13
48:2,4,9,
18,20,22
49:1,4,6,
9,15,25
50:4,9,13,
20 51:2,
10,11,13,
15,17,20,
25 52:4,6,
10,13
53:4,6,8,
24 54:1,6,
10,11
55:2,4
56:6,13
57:15
58:8,9
59:11
60:13,25
62:6,9,15,
18,22
63:2,4,8,
10 64:2,11
65:1,24
66:2,11,
15,24
67:1,15
68:20
69:2,4,15,
18 73:18,
22 74:8
76:9,17,18
77:4,11,
18,20
78:4,10
79:11,25
82:2,11
83:2,8,11,
15,18
84:13,20,
25 85:2,6,
20,25
86:2,10,
13,15
88:8,15,
18,20,25
90:4,6,11,
15,20,24
91:4,6,8,
11,13,20,
24 92:4,8,
11,13,18,
22 93:2,4,
6,11,15,
22,25
94:2,6,10,
11,15,17,
22

court's
68:13

courtroom
7:9 15:9
55:2

courtrooms
10:22

courts 42:4,
6 43:2
51:6 70:15
72:8

cover 36:1
59:11
61:13,25
85:10,13,
24 86:6

covered 6:20
10:4

covers 86:4

Crawford
42:20
51:17

criminal
11:9

Crittenden 8:9
culminated 71:4
current 17:25 70:20
cut 81:18

D

daily 71:18
damage 89:20 90:8
data 85:22 86:20 88:24 90:2,13,17
database 17:9 86:11
databases 85:18 86:4,6 88:6
date 33:2 88:15 94:8
Dawkins- 24:20
Dawkins-haigler 51:11
day 14:6 49:2 80:18
days 79:25
deal 11:20
dealing 35:20 58:4
dealt 70:10
December 39:22 78:18,22
decide 10:15 34:13 39:2,6 66:24
decided 48:11
decides 64:4
decision 25:6,15, 18,20 26:11 42:18,20 52:10 55:10 64:10,20 66:11 72:6 74:6 75:11
decisions 70:9
declaration 87:22
declared 16:10
declares 19:17
deemed 11:1
defective 65:18
defects 43:1,8 78:13
defend 35:17
defendant 15:2,20 16:2 17:15 19:15 21:18,20, 22,25 22:22 24:1 26:2,25 30:15,18, 20,24 39:17 62:24 69:15 76:17
defendant's 52:11 56:22
defendants 11:4 18:18 20:9 23:1, 10 36:1,6 48:24 49:20 52:9 63:6 65:13,20 67:24 70:8 72:13 77:15 79:10,22 87:6
defendants' 33:10
defendant's 13:10
defended 23:18 25:1,17
defending 25:2
defense 21:13 78:1
defined 16:2,18 19:20 22:13
defines 22:2 28:13,15
definition 17:2 18:22 19:15 20:6 22:15
definitions 16:17 28:17
Dekalb 9:13 31:10 32:10 47:18,20 89:11
Dekalb's 89:13
Demila 80:13 81:11 87:22

democratic
45:6

democratically -elected
65:4 67:2

demonstrated
42:17

demonstrates
45:22 59:6

denies 66:4

Dennis 25:2

DENTON
11:11,15, 20,22

deny 37:20
40:24
61:22
81:25

department
25:2,22,24
70:22

depending
46:2

derived
58:13

describes
53:22
75:18

description
68:13
69:24

determine
11:10
50:22
52:24
73:13
77:15 78:6
82:17,25
90:22

determined
29:25

Dick 8:24

didn't 15:8, 13,18 41:9
44:6 93:1

differ 68:18

difference
13:20 32:4
43:22 44:8
50:2,22,24
52:25
56:20,25
58:13

differences
14:4

differently
67:25
68:15

difficulty
78:18

direct 32:15

directly
69:11

director
8:13 32:9

directs
82:11 83:2

disagree
65:13

disagreement
65:13

discloses
52:15

disclosure
91:22

discovery
36:10,11, 13,15,24
37:6 77:1, 11 79:15
85:1,15,18
87:20
88:11 93:2

discriminates
68:10

discuss
14:13 75:2

discussed
23:17
51:20 60:8

discusses
19:15

dismiss
13:13
14:13
15:24
20:18 28:6
29:8,11,24
34:2 38:25
39:20
41:1,6
42:6 46:13
47:10,11
48:10,11
52:4 54:18
55:6 57:11
59:10
61:22 64:6
68:8 69:22
83:22

dismissal
20:25 32:1
33:8 47:2

dismissed
9:13 29:13
41:10
47:20
48:17
52:8,15
69:13
73:24

dismissing
15:6 53:8

disposed
91:15

dispositive
68:20

disprove
84:11

disputed
59:4

disregard
14:2

distinction
68:4,25
76:6

distinguish 75:15

distinguishable 70:10

documentary 79:15

documents 76:24 79:11,13

doesn't 34:11 75:20

domestic 71:11

don't 7:2,4,17 10:15 11:9,15,17 12:11 19:11 22:11 26:15 27:24 36:15 37:22 38:2,20 40:18 41:2 47:25 49:6 79:2 89:25 90:1 94:20

doubt 14:1 42:18 43:2 47:4 48:15 53:4 57:6 58:11 60:11,15,22 61:2 80:22 81:2 84:9

down-ballot 43:10,15

download 37:18 62:11

downstairs 6:25 7:2,22

dozen 84:4,11

dramatic 44:6,13 56:25

dramatically 43:10

drastic 42:13

drawn 80:18

DRE 33:17 34:6,11 38:18,20,22 65:2,15,18 66:4,10 67:13,15,25 68:4,22 70:11,20 74:11 77:11 86:4 88:4 91:2

dressed 67:11

drop 62:6

drop-in 44:4

drop-off 44:8,11,13,15,20 45:4 56:25

drop-offs 44:4

drove 87:18

due 63:13,18 64:9,15 65:11 66:6 67:18 70:4 72:10 74:4 75:1,13

due-process 67:11 69:13

dumped 37:17

Duncan 9:4 12:13 41:20 44:2 45:11 55:22

Dunn 25:2

E

earlier 58:20

Earnest 57:22

easily 50:18

Edward 9:2 41:18

effect 24:2 81:22

effectively 68:20

egregious 48:24

elect 16:4

elected 27:11

electing 56:24

election 9:24 10:2 12:15,18 13:17,18 14:2,9,11,22,25 15:1,4,6 16:4,6,9,11,13,18 17:11,18 18:4,6,22,24 19:8,18,24 20:2,8,11,24 21:25 22:2,11,13,15,18,22 23:2,15 24:1,24 25:13 26:2,4 27:20,22 28:2,6,10,

11,20 30:1,4,6, 11,20,25 32:4,13 33:8 36:2 40:6,9,10, 15 42:11, 15,18 43:2 46:15,22 47:6 50:6 52:22 53:4 55:24 57:13,24 58:11,13 59:15 60:13,17 61:2,15 63:20,24 64:1,22 66:18 68:1,9 70:2 71:13 72:25 73:15 74:1 76:24 78:20,22 80:22 81:2,6,22 84:8 92:4 94:20

elections 8:25 16:13,20, 22 17:1,6 19:9,11 23:25 26:9 28:2 29:22 32:9,10 34:4 38:15 39:1,4,11, 15 40:2 42:15 78:18 87:15 94:22

electoral 74:13

electors 16:11 19:18 67:24,25

electronic 44:10,22 45:4 56:4, 20 65:20 68:15 76:22 85:11 87:17

electronically 83:9

elements 73:22

eligibility 16:6 30:18,22

eligible 30:22

eliminated 74:15

Ellis 48:4

else's 24:18

else's 24:15,17

email 76:11 91:18

emailing 62:10

emails 91:18

emergency 76:22

en 32:6 69:11

end 37:22 45:13 80:18

enjoyed 44:2 45:11,20

entire 49:17 55:22,24 57:2 61:2

entirety 33:8

entitled 41:24 52:17 53:13,15 55:25 56:2,8 67:15 75:13 87:18

environment 71:15,18

equal 63:15, 18 64:9 66:4 67:18 68:6 69:1, 10,13 70:4 72:11 76:2

equal-protection 76:6

equally 64:4

equipment 66:4 76:24 77:2 78:2 80:4 82:13

erase 58:13

erroneously 13:22

error 59:17 88:4

errors 31:4 47:22 66:18 89:15

essence 38:18

essentially 44:11 80:2

established 42:15 43:2 51:20,22 73:17

events 70:13

everybody's 35:1 38:11

Everyone's

6:17

evidence
9:17 26:18 31:9,13,24 32:20 37:15 41:1 48:25 50:10,13 51:6,11,18 54:13 56:2 59:15,20 64:2 71:2 79:2,15 81:11 82:4 85:11 88:4

evidenced
31:8

evident
66:17

evidentiary
9:17 51:22 52:6 56:22

exact 60:25 71:8 84:2

examination
73:13 78:6,11 87:25 88:4 93:11

examine
81:13 90:22,24

examining
91:1

examples
51:8

exceeds
18:13

exclusively
70:8

excuse 14:11 17:15 81:10

executive
8:13

exhibit 46:6 77:13 79:2 86:4

exist 60:6

expand 92:22

expanded
14:15

expanding
20:6

expedition
85:4 88:11

expeditiously
79:20

expert 55:18 82:6

experts
78:11 80:13 90:25

explain 7:8 12:1 30:13 51:11

explained
51:15 52:1 55:18 56:11

explanation
56:24 60:6

explanations
13:20 14:2 60:6

exposed
73:11

expressly
67:1

extent 90:18

external
71:24

extremely
61:24

F

face 68:10

fact 14:4 15:18 17:4 22:20 23:17 39:6 51:22 52:2 55:11 58:20 65:2 67:1 94:2

facts 52:18 56:1 64:8 81:20

fail 32:2 64:4

failed 53:10

failing
20:25

failure 47:2 48:17,25 52:4,8,15 57:11 61:4 87:4

failures
84:8

fair 24:18 33:24

fairly 11:25

fall 46:2 59:6 64:6

falls 59:6

false 69:8

far- 85:1

far-reaching
93:2

fast 37:13, 15 91:15

faster 94:2

favored 93:1

Favorito
64:10,15, 18 65:25 66:9,15 67:20,22 68:13,18 69:2 70:8, 9,10,15,17 74:6

75:15,24 76:4

federal 10:2,6,9, 11,13,15 11:1,18 12:4,6,8 14:18 66:4 69:22 82:6 87:22

federals 10:15

felt 40:20

field 34:15

figure 31:13

figuring 34:18

file 14:22 27:20 37:2 83:10

filed 9:18 13:13,15 18:6,15 28:4 30:10 31:8 32:9, 20 39:20 42:20 72:15 80:6

filing 81:9 82:1

filling 33:2

final 25:18

Finally 19:13

find 6:18 27:8 28:20 35:10 52:11 54:4

fine 24:15

firm 25:4

fishing 85:4 88:11

five-day 32:17

fixing 62:22

flaw 75:4 85:22 86:18 88:9

flawed 40:15 53:20 55:22,24 65:20 68:22 71:20 73:8

flaws 91:2, 4,6

flipping 56:4

focus 58:10

folks 89:24

follow 34:6 41:6

footnote 74:6

force 34:11

forecloses 67:11

foreign 71:11

forensic 73:13 78:6,10 87:25

forever 72:6 87:15

forgot 60:25

form 7:25 61:13 62:1

formally 16:10

forward 59:2,15 62:2 64:4 70:2

found 24:6 50:4,9 51:8 54:6 60:22 69:2 75:4 85:11 86:22

fourth 19:15

frankly 75:15

fraud 66:22 74:11,15

free 65:8 67:4

front 6:22 15:25 31:18 50:20,24 60:20

full 30:9 33:6,24 62:22 78:6,10

Fuller 51:15 58:8

fully 90:11

Fulton 8:9 9:6 12:2,4 24:11 29:17 31:6 33:13,18 34:4,11 35:13,15, 25 36:6 38:9,15,20 39:6 40:1, 11,13,22 48:18 84:15,17, 18,20 89:1 93:6,9

Fulton's 40:2

fundamental 18:25 56:10 59:1 65:13,22 66:6 72:18 89:20

fundamentally 53:20 55:11 70:10,13, 20 72:1

73:8 85:17 86:8 87:4

future 63:24

G

gap 45:13

gave 93:15

GEMS 85:18, 20,22 86:4,10, 11,13 88:13,15, 22,24 89:1 90:17 93:13

general 13:17 16:17 23:18,22 24:4 25:2 29:9 75:13 79:15 84:6

generalized 41:6,9,10 61:4

generally 64:25

Geoff 9:2 12:11 41:20

Georgia 6:1 8:18 13:18 14:6 15:1 16:11,15, 18 26:8 28:2 31:11 38:20 42:9 58:8 64:11 66:1 67:6 69:2,4,10 70:18,24 71:9,13,22 72:11,20 74:11 80:4

Georgia-454 52:6

give 15:13 25:18 33:6 88:11 90:18 91:15

giving 49:17 81:4

glad 43:4

golden 46:2

good 8:6,8, 13,18,22 9:2 11:6 14:22 15:15 29:20 77:6

Gordon 31:9

gotcha 9:11

Governance 8:8,15 14:22

governed 15:1

governing 39:10

government 70:18

governor 13:17 16:4 17:13 44:8 46:9 63:24

governor's 59:24 86:22

Governor-elect 9:2 41:20

governor's 13:22 43:9,18,24 44:9,15, 20,24 45:6

Grady 85:9 89:13 93:9

grant 6:18 28:22 37:1 52:4 59:10

granted 26:11

greater 58:25

ground 59:18

grounds 14:10,15 30:15 31:2 52:9

group 27:13

gubernatorial 45:11

guess 29:15 90:11 94:13

guessed 74:25

guessing 14:9 65:8 67:4

guides 75:1

Gwinnett 8:9,24 11:11 29:4,15,22 30:4 31:6, 20,25 33:18 35:25 36:6 38:1,2 40:1 46:20 82:10,22 89:1 93:4, 6

H

hacker 73:2

Haigler 24:20

half 81:17

hand 54:1

handle 8:6

hanging 66:18

Hanson 32:13

happened 48:6 49:20 70:11 78:8

happening 73:11

happy 41:2, 17,18 79:9 92:2

hard 37:18 87:11

hate 61:8

haven't 41:6

hear 10:15 11:2 12:25 13:2,4 15:20,22 29:6,15 41:11,13 43:4

heard 15:18 34:25 35:1 41:6 48:18 83:6,17

hearing 7:15 13:11 33:25 37:6,22 41:2 51:13,22 52:1,2,8 56:22 92:15

held 28:2 52:13 53:4 57:11 58:9

helpful 78:2 87:20

helps 30:13

Henry 93:6

here's 35:22

he's 75:22 89:11,13, 15 91:25

High 89:13 93:9

highest 43:13

highlight 18:4

highly 81:4 88:18,22

historically 86:24

history 26:6

hold 7:20 30:22 72:13 76:4

holding 26:8 52:13 64:1 68:18 75:24

Homeland 70:22 75:9

Honor 6:15, 18 8:11, 18,20,22 9:2,10,13 10:1,6,10, 18,22 11:6,11 12:4,11 13:9 14:20,25 17:2 18:25 19:13,20 20:2,13 22:8 23:2, 6,13,22 24:6,13 25:6,22 26:1,10, 15,22 27:2,8,24 29:4,18, 20,24 31:13 33:13 34:2,10,18 35:13,22 36:18,25 37:10,11, 25 38:2 39:20,25 40:4,20 41:18,22 42:18,20, 24 44:18, 25 45:25 46:4,10, 11,15,18 48:20,22 49:13,17, 25 50:18 51:4 52:2 53:13 54:13,15, 20 55:2,8 56:2,15 57:4,13, 15,25 58:4 59:11,13 60:24 62:4,8,13, 25 63:6, 13,20 64:9 69:20,22 70:17 71:2,15 72:11 73:20 74:2 76:18,20, 22 77:13 78:9 79:22 80:1,11,18 81:4,9,15, 18 82:9 83:6,9,17 84:4,10, 11,15 85:6,8 86:8 87:4 88:6,13,15 89:22 90:11,22 91:6 92:17,20 93:10,18 94:1,6,9, 15

Honor's 39:25

hottest 78:24
hours 81:13, 15
House 70:20
Howell 48:8 59:25
huge 61:6
human 66:18
Hunt 42:20 50:6,8 51:15,18
hunting 87:6
hypothetical 66:22 72:15

I

idea 59:22
identical 66:8 67:20 68:18
identified 63:15 77:13,22 79:2 87:2
identify 32:22
illegal 18:11,13 31:2 47:1 50:20,22 87:8
illustration 49:11 56:18 85:11
illustrations 55:13 85:13
imagine 7:20
immunity 21:20,22 23:18 26:9,24 63:18
impact 45:6 50:18 58:18
important 25:15 47:13 54:24 64:24 66:11 79:20 91:22
imposes 64:22
improper 37:24 53:4,11
improperly 53:2 58:10,24 83:25
inaccurate 65:20
inapplicable 18:20
inaudible 6:8 11:18 15:11 20:13 35:11,13 38:6 48:22 57:15 59:11 84:13 85:6 90:15 91:11,20
incapable 40:2
incidences 56:11
include 17:4 28:15
included 33:1 46:6 80:13 84:15
includes 19:2 82:4
incorrect 85:18
increased 44:25
incumbents 14:4
independent 27:18,20 32:15
individual 10:2 86:13,15 90:20
individuals 8:8 15:2,4
infected 73:2,13
infer 56:15
inferred 50:11,13
inflamed 61:20
inflammatory 49:9 61:18
information 33:22 36:18 47:20 81:4 86:20 93:17
informed 73:1
infringed 65:22
inherent 75:4
insecure 70:20
insist 60:6
inspect 77:2,11, 13,24 80:9

81:18,22

inspecting
84:11

inspection
76:22
77:17
78:2,4
83:4

instance
56:6 67:6

instances
55:13
77:22

instructed
24:8

instructions
93:15

insufficient
50:25
67:15,18

intended
23:11

intent 66:20

intentionally
19:2

interact
69:25

interest
79:22

interesting
61:15
87:22

Interestingly
52:18

interests
64:25

internal
78:13
90:22,24
91:1

internet
71:17
72:24
73:11 79:8

interpret
49:20

interpretation
49:22

intimidate
26:13,15

introduce
8:10

introduced
50:10,13

involve
31:22
32:15
47:17,18
78:15

involved
12:18
17:8,17
32:13 50:6
52:18,20
78:25 79:4
82:20 92:8

involving
17:13
18:4,11
19:24

irregular
58:11

irregularities
18:8,17
20:11 28:4
36:4 47:15
50:4
58:10,17,
22 60:11
80:6 81:6,
24 83:24
84:22 85:8

irregularity
18:11
22:24,25

irrelevant
83:20

isn't 38:17

issue 10:15
11:4 15:25
18:20
20:11,17
21:11,17
27:1,25
30:6 33:22
34:18
35:15,18,
20,22
37:10
39:4,18
40:4 41:8
57:17
58:13,15
61:6 69:15
71:8 72:18
74:1 76:13

issues 12:9
14:1,15
21:24
33:20 34:1
40:13
52:22 55:6
72:13
76:13

items 19:22

it's 13:2
17:11
18:25
19:1,6
23:6,8,11,
18 24:11,
13 27:17
28:18 32:2
37:6 39:11
48:11
75:9,10
77:18 79:4

I'd 21:2
24:8

I'll 8:2
13:2 14:17
28:22 42:1
74:24

I'm 7:1,9,
22 10:13
11:22,24,
25 13:1,6
20:18 22:4
24:2,15

36:18 38:11 40:2 41:17,18, 22 42:8 43:4 76:9, 10 88:11 89:8,17 91:15 92:15,18 93:2 94:2, 18

I've 6:22 8:4

J

January 6:2

Jersey 73:2

Jim 8:6

job 60:15 65:4 66:22 67:1

Johnson 42:2 48:4

join 23:2 33:13 34:15 37:9 38:4 48:18 69:17,20

joined 33:6 34:17 35:6,20 36:8 37:8 63:6

joining 48:20

joint 16:22 62:13

joking 93:2

Josh 8:20 14:18 15:8

judge 8:2 11:8 16:20 21:15 53:22 54:11,13 55:9 76:8 92:25 94:11

judges 19:10 61:20

judgment 73:25

judicial 41:24 42:1,2,6 45:25 46:13 59:4 65:8 76:1 94:20

judiciary 75:1

jurisdiction 36:22 68:1

jurisdictional 23:20

K

Kaye 9:6 33:13

Kemp 24:22

Kerling 81:13

key 45:17 82:15

kind 7:15 11:11 13:10 30:13 38:4 39:6 47:22 59:1 61:15,24 89:22

kinds 7:18 10:22 52:20

knew 73:11

knowing 67:17

knowingly 65:20 67:24

Kohl 51:10

L

lack 31:20 39:20

lacks 14:22

language 17:2 19:2 56:13 60:25 91:9

largely 63:17

late 32:2 33:2

Laurens 18:6,15

law 14:8 20:4 25:2, 22,24 26:2,4 27:6 28:2, 15 34:6,8 38:22 54:20 64:22 66:10

laws 20:4

lawsuit 32:15,20 42:2 47:20

lawyers 9:9 25:2

leads 51:4

leap 20:2

leave 74:11

leeway 84:25

left 72:20, 22 73:2,6, 11 88:11

legal 31:2 47:1 49:22 58:11

legislative

75:25

legislator 23:11

legislature 19:2 22:18 23:11,13 66:24 67:15 74:20,22

lengthy 51:13

letter 46:6, 8

let's 13:2 21:4 46:24 75:2

level 16:15 28:2

Library 89:13

lie 76:6

lieutenant 9:2 13:17, 22 16:4 17:13 41:20 43:9,18,24 44:9,15, 20,24 45:6 46:8 59:24 63:24 86:22

life 12:1 70:13

lightly 42:13

limited 84:24 93:4

Lindsey 9:2 12:11,17, 20,24 41:18 49:15 55:20 57:15,17 83:6,17,20 84:4 86:20 94:18

lines 30:20

list 84:15

litany 75:11

located 80:9

lock 82:15

logical 30:2,24

logistics 79:4

long 28:2 65:6 67:2 74:6 76:4 88:1

looked 72:9

lose 54:22

lost 38:11 50:8,9 53:10 61:1 72:6 79:1 87:15

lot 13:4,6 26:17 31:22 41:1

M

machine 47:18 55:18,20 68:4,22 76:25 78:4,6 85:11 87:24,25

machines 13:24,25 33:17 34:6 36:20 38:18,22 40:10,11, 15 53:2,6, 13 55:13 56:11 58:2,18 60:4 65:4, 15,18 66:11 67:13,17 70:4 71:11 72:10 74:11 75:4,17,18 76:4 77:11,13, 22 78:13, 17,20,22, 25 79:17 80:8,9,18, 22 81:2,6, 13,18,20 82:11,15, 17,22 83:1,4 84:2,4,11, 22 85:24 86:4 88:4 89:25 90:8,13, 18,20 91:2 93:13

made 19:13 23:20 31:4 33:9 47:6 50:15 51:22 67:6 81:10,11 83:22

mail 68:11

main 76:22

maintain 17:9

maintained 71:22

maintenance 53:4,11

major 11:25 43:4

make 6:6 12:20 17:10 20:2 21:18

26:10 29:4
31:17
35:2,4
41:17,18
50:22
52:24
53:18
63:22 68:6
70:13
71:24
73:10
82:20
93:22

makes 46:9
61:4 72:4
74:20

making 11:4
20:2 38:13
51:18
69:11
82:11

malfunction
47:18
55:20
78:15

malfunctioned
49:18
55:15

malfunctioning
13:22
56:11

malfunctions
55:17
76:25

malicious
13:24

malware
71:18
73:13

mandates
68:25

manipulation
13:24

margin 13:18
18:13 44:1
45:20
46:24
48:13
50:24 57:2
58:15,18
59:8

Marilyn 8:13

Marks 8:13

material
61:13

math 11:25
43:4 44:17
46:11
60:18,20
61:6

mathematical
43:2 48:6

matter 11:9
41:2,25
44:17
53:9,11
58:20
61:10
74:15

matters 42:6
73:10

matured 11:1

ma'am 15:22
28:25

Mcdonough
89:11 93:8

Mead 17:17
18:4,20
23:15,17
30:10 48:8
51:20
59:25
87:10

means 21:25
22:13
26:2,4
68:24 71:6
74:11
88:18,22
91:10

meant 21:10
22:20
23:24
26:13

mechanical
66:18

meet 20:25

meeting
77:15

memory
78:13,20
87:17
90:22,24
91:1

mentioned
14:18
49:13
77:25
90:13

mere 60:9

merits 25:17
52:1,2

methods
74:18

Miko 45:8,
10

mind 25:25
46:20
76:13
94:10

mine 83:11

minute 35:20

miscast
53:6,13

misconduct
31:2 50:4,
11 51:18
57:18 58:2

miscounted
45:20

missed 17:20

misspelled
87:9

misspoke
73:20

mistake 50:18 51:1 88:10

mistakes 78:8 87:2 92:2

mix 82:22

mode 56:24

modify 32:11

moment 62:6

months 72:22

moot 94:13

mooted 29:2

morning 8:18,22 9:2,10 11:4,6 13:1 29:20 76:11,13 81:11

motion 13:13 14:13 15:24 20:18 28:22 29:8,11,24 34:2,20 37:20 38:24 39:20 41:1,6 42:4 46:11 47:11 48:10 52:4 55:6 57:11 59:10 61:22 62:13,15 64:6 68:6 69:22 73:24,25 76:20,22 77:13,20 79:24 80:4,15 81:25 83:22 84:13,15 94:11

motions 9:15 12:25 13:4,6,13 29:2 31:8 37:22 38:1,4 41:10 47:10 48:11 54:18 63:1,11 74:1

move 14:22 59:2 94:4, 18

moved 77:1

moves 20:11

moving 20:20

multiple 14:11 27:11

municipal 17:1 32:13

Murray 31:9

N

nail 67:4

named 32:20

nation 71:18

national 70:18 71:4,6,11, 15 73:6 75:8

nature 50:1 71:22

necessarily 11:15 22:6 87:20

needed 32:18 45:13

nefarious 43:8,20

negative 45:6

negligent 43:20

neutral 65:8 67:4,6

nice 35:4

nominated 30:18

nomination 16:4,6

non-discriminatory 64:22

non-party 36:11

nondiscriminatory 67:6

note 53:20

noted 27:2 34:2 65:1

notes 51:20 53:8

notice 32:11 41:24 42:1,2,6 46:1,13 59:4 82:4 94:20

November 13:15 71:13 76:22 77:1 78:20,22

number 13:20 16:10 18:13 19:17 31:4,17 38:25 40:6 43:6,9,13, 15 44:6, 13,22 45:1,13,18 46:2,8

47:4 48:8 55:13 58:11,24 59:17 60:1,6 66:20 77:22 79:2 81:18 84:2

numbered 82:15

numbers 22:10 41:22 42:9,10 44:15 49:15 50:6 60:18 61:2,11 62:2 83:1 84:6 94:25

O

objection 6:11 11:9, 17 94:22, 25

objections 94:24

objects 80:4

occasionally 92:18

occurred 18:8,17 28:4 34:2 36:4

occurring 82:18

OCGA21-2-366 39:4

OCGA212250 22:1

OCGA212520 15:2 16:1

OCGA2143 22:13

oddly 86:22

offer 40:4 56:22

offered 56:22

office 11:15 16:6 19:25 30:22 42:10 82:20

officer 16:9 19:13,17 27:13,15

officers 27:11

official 24:22 25:11 42:9

omits 19:4

one-off 47:22

online 92:2

open 72:24 73:1,2

opening 49:2

operating 53:2 58:2

opinion 53:22

opportunity 12:6 54:18

oppose 84:13

opposed 6:6 18:11

opposing 64:11 83:15

optical 39:11

option 23:1 69:2

order 6:20, 22 7:22 18:13 33:6 40:9,20 41:15 48:2 59:2 62:17,18 77:20 89:22 92:13

ordered 40:8 50:4

orderly 78:2

original 33:2 83:8

originals 61:25

other's 69:25

outcome 43:2 46:9 60:10 81:22 83:20

outlined 42:22

over-votes 66:18

overwrite 78:20

owned 82:24

owns 82:22 83:1

P

p.m. 6:2

pack 62:11

pacts 69:11

pages 37:15 68:6 77:18,20

paper 40:11, 15 44:9, 11,22 56:20 66:15 67:8 68:4,15 71:22

74:18 87:8

papers 24:9

paragraph
33:15
47:18
63:22
65:11,18
67:22

paragraphs
75:10,18

pardon 33:11
88:20 91:4

part 11:18
53:1 60:15
89:10

partially
82:24

parties
14:20 30:8
31:11,18,
22 32:2,6
33:1,4,6
37:24 40:1
51:20

partner
14:18

party 9:24
10:2
12:13,17
17:15,24,
25 18:1
28:22
29:4,15,17
30:1,2
36:17
38:15
39:13

past 14:22,
24 20:20

pattern
55:17
86:22

Peenya 73:4

pending 11:8
12:4 13:2

people 7:20
42:11 44:6
57:20
68:11
75:22
91:24

percentage
45:10,11

percentages
32:25

perfect
66:15 74:9

period 55:11

person 16:4
30:18
42:15
68:4,11

perspective
82:13

persuaded
54:13

persuasive
53:20
54:2,17
56:15

pertain
14:15

petition
9:20 13:15
20:15
27:15,20
29:11
32:4,22
45:2 46:6,
13,25
47:2,8,10,
15,22
48:15
52:8,15
56:6 58:22
59:6
60:10,20
61:8,10
76:11
93:11

petitioner
44:2 45:2
84:1

petitioner's
66:2 83:20

petitioners
34:4 38:17
43:6 65:18
67:22

petitioners'
46:4 66:13

petitioner's
43:20
44:18
45:24
46:13

phonetic
80:13
81:13

phrase 22:11
57:4

physically
50:20

pick 31:15

picked 9:18

piece 36:18
68:4

pieces 48:25

Pinpoint 7:6

place 14:1
43:22
48:13
60:11 61:2
77:15

places 43:1
92:9

placing
60:15

plain 17:2
62:2 63:22

plaintiff
8:13 23:2
50:2 52:2,
17 53:10,
11 76:18

plaintiff's
58:22 64:2

80:13

plaintiffs 8:13 10:2, 24 12:6 13:15,20 14:10,13 17:11,15, 24 18:22 19:13 20:2,10 21:17 30:8 31:4 32:1, 13,18 33:9 34:9 35:15 38:24 39:18 42:22,25 43:6 47:6, 10,15,20 48:2,13 50:9 54:20 60:2,9 65:18 67:22 70:2 77:10 80:2,6,11, 15,20 81:6,10, 17,22 83:6,22

plaintiffs' 81:1

plaintiff's 10:18 14:2 20:24 21:24 27:4,6,10 94:18

plan 88:2

plausible 56:22

plead 48:2 60:1,10 61:11

pleading 21:1 59:15

pleadings 41:11 42:24 61:24 80:11

pled 60:4 61:11 81:20

plenary 36:25

point 18:2 27:4 29:2, 4 37:22 38:13 40:25 41:4 42:24 46:4 63:18 69:18 84:18

pointe 32:6 69:11

pointed 20:13 48:24 80:20

points 35:15 59:13

policy 49:24

politically 67:6

poll 36:20 65:20

position 11:13,15 12:4 24:6 25:22 31:25 33:4,11,18 39:15 40:6,13 52:11 79:24 84:18

possibility 54:20 74:13

possibly 87:13

postpone 93:22

postponed 93:25

posture 46:22 51:4 70:6

potential 75:6

power 36:25 37:1

practical 82:13

precedent 18:2 26:10 48:2 52:11

precedential 18:2 27:4

precinct 77:24 79:2 85:9

precincts 80:8,10 81:20,24 84:20,22 85:13

precise 18:2

present 19:6 55:18 93:18,20

presented 51:11

preserve 31:9

presidential 66:17

pressure 94:2

presumed 19:1 46:22 60:13 74:22

pretend 9:11

prevail

18:13

prevailed
52:2

prevent
75:13

previously
41:20

price 66:22

primary
16:6,9
31:1

privilege
7:18

probate
16:20
19:10

problem
30:11
32:11
50:1,15
52:22
56:17,18
85:11

problems
31:6
33:17,18
49:11
52:20
66:22
72:18
88:6,8
91:2

procedural
49:24 51:4
70:6

procedure
32:13
68:17

procedures
32:4 42:15

proceeded
51:15

proceeding
47:11

proceedings
6:13

process
61:13
63:15,18
64:9,15
65:11 66:6
67:18 70:4
72:10 74:4
75:1,13

production
72:11
76:24

proffer
26:18
81:11 82:4

profoundly
54:4

program
90:22

programmed
13:24 91:8

programming
47:22
78:13
79:17
86:9,18
87:2,18
88:4,9
91:2,4,6

programs
36:20

prohibits
14:8 67:9
74:22

projecting
54:25

prone 66:18

proof 50:6
53:9,11
54:6,8,10
85:17

proper 9:24
11:17
17:13,15
20:9
21:11,18,
20,22
22:22
23:9,10
24:1 26:25
28:22 29:4
30:1,2
32:2 36:1,
6 37:4
38:15
39:17 40:1
48:9,11

properly
45:20 47:2
57:20
58:1,2,6,
25 75:25

propose 77:4

proposed
62:17,18
77:20

proposing
83:6

proposition
39:6

propositions
19:22

pros 65:6
67:2

prospective
70:6

prospectively
70:2

protection
63:15,18
64:9 66:4
67:18 68:6
69:1,10,13
70:4,22
74:11 76:2

protocol
78:1 79:10

prove 37:4,6
53:10,11,
15 54:11,
18,22 55:6
56:1,8

84:11

proven 54:13,22 55:4

provide 34:4 64:11

provided 26:18 34:9 83:13

providing 38:17

provision 59:18 64:22

provisions 40:17

public 16:9 19:13,17, 25 27:13 41:25 46:13 59:2 72:24 73:11 86:20 88:17,24 89:2,4,6 93:17,18

pull 90:15, 17

purposefully 19:2

purposes 19:8

Pursuant 15:2

put 28:22 46:25 59:15 67:4 94:10

Q

quantifiable 50:2,18 51:1 52:22

quarrel 50:18

question 16:11 19:18,20 29:1 30:4 36:6 61:17,18 64:6,15,18 73:18 80:20

questions 30:2 32:24 36:9 46:17 79:22

quick 59:13

quickly 59:13 79:10 87:6 94:18

quote 24:22 25:11 50:10 51:11 52:13 53:4 69:4

quoting 64:20

R

race 13:17, 22 18:6 26:4 27:18 41:20 43:9,15, 17,18,24 44:6,9,15, 20,24 45:6,11 46:10 56:2 57:2 58:15 59:24 86:22

races 13:22 14:4 43:11,15 46:15

rain 72:20 73:6

raise 10:13 23:18 84:6

raised 10:11 27:25 39:4,6,18 44:22 74:6 84:6

raising 12:10

ramifications 90:9

random 80:18

rate 57:1

rational 64:18,20 65:2,9,15 66:9,10

reaching 85:2

read 26:17, 18 35:20 51:6 63:4

reading 23:22

ready 83:2

real 70:13

reality 74:13

realize 15:8,13, 15,18

reason 15:6 18:15 22:18 36:15 59:9 65:2 68:22 84:11

reasonable 14:2 54:20 64:22 65:1,6 67:2,6 77:15 79:20

reasons 29:13 50:9 57:10

recall 18:22 27:10,13, 18 28:18

recap 92:20

received 45:11

recent 73:15

recently 72:9

record 6:13 7:8,11,17 8:6,11 23:15 34:22 35:4 46:13 50:11 70:22 72:6 75:17 87:15 88:17,24 89:2,4,6 93:17,18

records 41:25 59:2

recount 68:17 74:13

reference 81:15

referenced 30:10 47:9 82:6

references 33:15

referencing 86:4

referendum 19:22 58:4

referred 27:11 86:20

referring 19:9 64:15

reflected 49:18

reflective 26:2

regard 12:13,17

registration 16:22 17:8,9 19:10 65:20

Registrations 8:25 16:24 19:11 29:22 34:4 38:15 39:1,4,11, 15

regulation 68:9

regulatory 64:25

rejected 31:2 47:2

relate 33:2

related 31:2

relating 79:15

relation 32:18

relevant 9:18 20:20 25:20 26:18 32:15 37:22

relied 71:10

relief 10:25 26:11 30:9 33:6 34:4 37:2 38:17 53:15 57:11 63:22 70:6

relies 57:22

relieve 52:17

relook 76:11

rely 17:15 60:9 70:8

relying 47:13

remedied 63:25

remedy 40:4 42:13 66:20

remember 42:11 47:13

repeatedly 14:6 57:22 80:20

report 25:20 71:4,6

report's 9:18

reported 24:20 25:15

reports 66:1

repository 36:18

represent 8:24 18:18

representative 42:13

representatives 65:6 67:2

request 6:6 80:6 83:20 84:22,25

requesting 85:2

require 22:20 39:1

required 30:9 36:15

42:22
43:18
49:22 50:2
59:15,20
69:1 77:6

requirement
37:2

requirements
21:1 49:22

requires
34:8 38:22

reserved
42:13

residual
46:8

resolved
74:2

resources
88:2

respect
21:24
37:13
39:17
84:18

respond
36:24 49:4

responded
79:22

response
14:13 34:9
38:24
47:11 68:6
76:2

responses
27:1

responsible
70:18

rest 63:17

restrictions
64:22

result
42:18,25
43:8,13
46:22
47:4,6
48:15
60:11,22
61:2 80:22
81:2,6

resulted
53:13
58:22 84:8

resulting
53:6

results
13:15 14:2
36:20,22
46:1,15
55:15
60:15
73:15
92:1,4
94:22

retired
62:11

return 53:18

returned
60:13

reversed
52:13

reversing
53:6

review 51:9
64:17
65:10
84:22

reviewed
70:15

revisited
73:25

rightly 27:2

rights 64:24
72:1,11
73:9

rise 64:4

risk- 75:11

risks 66:13,
25 67:17

Robbins 25:4

Robyn 8:8

routine
29:11

rule 6:6,
13,20
18:25 19:6
23:2 42:4
45:22
76:10 77:2

ruled 40:1
76:11

rules 7:6,8,
20 41:4
61:22
63:20 94:2

ruling 53:6,
25 83:22

run 33:4
79:6 91:17

runoff 16:6
17:20
78:18

Russia 73:4

Russo 6:18
8:18 11:6
13:13
15:22 16:1
20:15,18,
22,24
21:2,6,9,
13 23:15
24:2 27:2
28:25
29:2,10,13
30:9 38:2,
6 41:8,11,
13 59:11
62:13,15
63:6 76:18
80:1 82:4
88:15,18,
22 89:22
90:6,10,
11,17
91:10,18,
22 92:2,6,
10,11,17

94:15,24

S

sacrificed 74:18
sample 80:18
scanning 39:11
scenario 30:6 31:15 46:24 59:6
scheduled 93:20
School 89:13 93:9
Sciences 71:4,8,11 73:6 75:8
scientist 70:22
scientists 71:4,6 79:18
Scoggins 51:25
scope 81:18
screen 56:4
scrutiny 64:18
seal 82:15
seals 82:15
Secretary 8:18,20 11:6,13,15 13:4,13 15:4,6,20 16:11,13 17:4,6,10, 13,22,25 19:20 20:8 21:11,17, 20,22 22:13,15, 22 23:1, 22,25 24:4,22 25:6,11, 13,15 26:4,8,24 27:6,11,15 28:1,15,20 29:6,25 35:17 36:11,17, 20,22 40:18 42:9 43:15,24 46:1 63:6 69:17 72:22 73:10 80:2,4 81:10 82:4,20 86:17
section 6:20 19:2 28:9, 17,18,20 61:25
sections 14:11
secure 71:10
security 7:4,24 70:18 71:15 75:9 83:2,4
seek 16:6 34:4 63:22 77:4
seeking 12:15,18 37:2 38:18 70:6 79:15 84:1 85:18
seeks 84:22
Select 70:20
Senate 70:20
send 62:10 76:11
sense 11:4 12:20 89:18 90:4 93:22
sensitive 81:4 82:15
separate 36:9 37:9 42:2,4 72:18
separately 21:2 62:18
September 71:4,11
serial 77:22 79:2 82:25
served 39:20,22
servers 85:22 93:13,15
service 39:20
set 15:13 52:17 79:6,10 91:24
sets 68:6
setting 26:10 42:11
severely 65:22
sheet 38:11
Sheffield 17:17 18:4,20 23:15,17 51:20
Shelley 66:11
She's 46:10
short 27:13 44:1,17 45:13,15

46:2 59:8

shot 35:15

show 18:11 40:6 42:25 45:18 50:2 51:22 58:15 59:20 60:1 80:20 83:24 89:4 92:1 94:20

showing 48:25 53:4

shown 25:15 55:15

shows 57:2

side 33:10

sign 7:22 77:4

signaling 50:13

signed 7:2, 4,25 27:15

significantly 47:25

similar 10:10 27:17,20 52:9

similarly 50:6 53:4 67:25

Simple 91:9

simplistic 11:25

simply 12:13,17 41:22 43:4 45:9 46:11 55:20 56:24 64:10 68:18

single 22:25 57:6 70:18 71:2 85:13 86:22,25 87:2,24,25

sir 8:11,17 61:17 64:13

Sistrunk 19:4

sit 79:9

sitting 50:20 77:25

situated 67:25

situation 31:13 52:20 58:4

sleep 92:18

slews 71:2

slight 41:4

sofa 73:2

sole 52:11

sort 35:15 40:4 42:22 70:6 72:15 73:13 87:6

source 71:2 88:22

sovereign 21:20,22 23:18 26:8,24 63:18

space 77:15

speak 33:18, 20

speaking 18:20

speaks 76:2

specific 16:2 31:22 56:11 59:15,18 75:4 76:2 82:25 84:6 86:6

specifically 16:18 69:2 74:10 75:2 77:8 93:8

specificity 48:6 60:10

specifics 31:20

speculating 14:8

speculation 48:4 60:9

split 13:10

spot 12:2

stand 27:4

standard 64:17 65:11

standing 14:22

start 32:2 53:20

starters 80:6

state 8:18, 20 11:6,13 13:18 15:4,6,20 16:13,15 17:4,6,8, 10,13,22 18:1 19:20 20:8 21:11,17, 22 22:13, 15,22 23:1,22,25 24:4,22 25:6,11, 13,17 26:4,6,8, 25 27:8, 11,15,17

28:1,15,22 29:6 30:1 31:6 34:6, 8 35:17 36:11,13, 17 38:22 46:1 47:2, 17 48:2,17 52:4,8,15 55:15 57:11 63:6 64:8,22 66:4 67:18 68:9,22,25 70:18 72:20,22 73:11 80:2,4 81:6,11 82:4,24 86:17 87:15 92:4

state's 13:4,13 40:18 42:10 53:20 64:24 66:4 69:17 82:20

state-based 69:8

state-imposed 76:6

stated 14:6 20:13 27:6 41:20 47:15 58:20 80:15

statement 49:2

statements 75:15

states 27:11 28:10 71:18

statewide 13:22 17:9,11 18:4 22:13,20, 25 23:2,15 24:1 26:4 27:6,18 28:1 30:11 33:22 43:10 49:20 55:20 56:13 85:10 94:22

State's 11:15 43:15,25

statistically 80:17

status 37:15,20

statute 9:17 19:2 21:25 23:24 26:6 32:11,17 33:4

statutes 37:1

statutory 19:1,6

stay 10:15 12:8,22 13:2 36:6 49:9

step 11:20, 24 14:20 21:4,6,10 59:1

steps 40:13

Stiles 50:4 51:2 57:22

stipulate 94:18

stood 25:17

stop 40:25

strict 64:17

strictly 20:4

strikes 57:4

struck 57:4, 9

stuck 9:8

stuff 37:17, 18 61:18 63:4

stumbling 91:17

styling 25:13

subject 20:25 47:2 91:20

subjectively 49:22

submit 48:15 62:13,15 92:13

submitted 16:11 19:18

subsection 16:2,20 19:15

subsident 74:4

substantial 36:15 60:22

substantially 70:1 72:10

substantiate 59:20

sued 24:22, 25 25:11 30:8 31:6 32:10 37:4

sufficient 47:4,6 48:13

60:11 61:8 64:8,25

suggest 54:15 73:15

suggested 92:20

suggesting 54:22

suggestion 85:15

suit 37:2

summary 73:25

superintendent 16:8,13,18 17:10,13 18:22 19:8 20:6 22:1, 2,13,15,18 26:2,4 27:6 28:2, 11 30:6,25 57:24

superintendents 16:8,15 18:6 20:10 28:15

supervised 89:18

supervisor 24:24 25:13

support 33:11 52:11

suppose 80:13

supposed 62:11 91:13

supposedly 9:18 89:18

Supreme 14:6 23:20 32:6,11 45:18 48:2,4,9 49:25 50:4,13 51:13,17, 25 52:13 53:4 56:13 58:8 64:11 65:1,24 66:2 67:13

sustained 57:13

system 38:20 39:8 48:25 49:11,18 53:20,22 54:4 55:22 56:11 61:4 65:20 66:15 70:11,13, 15,20 71:9,17, 18,20,24 72:2,20,22 73:1,4 74:9,20 84:6 86:18 87:4 89:20

systemic 55:20 61:4 85:10 88:4

systems 39:13 55:10 65:6 66:20 67:8 69:6 74:11,18 78:15 79:6

T

table 7:11 8:13

tabulates 36:20 86:18

Taggart 52:6,18 53:4,9 56:13

tailored 85:2

taking 42:1, 2 58:13 94:20

talk 43:4 75:2

talked 74:6

talking 40:11,25 42:8 74:10 75:22

talks 74:20, 24 75:18

targeted 88:4

technology 90:2

tediously 59:4

telephone 51:18

Temple 89:15

term 22:18

terms 40:4 41:25 43:20 44:4 45:4,11,24 47:13 48:6 49:22 53:18 55:18 58:17 63:18 82:11 85:2

test 43:2 64:20 65:2,9 66:9

testified 80:13 81:11

testimony 55:18 82:6

That'll 12:24

that's 9:11, 13,18,20 10:6,22 18:17 19:4 23:11 26:22 28:15 29:13 32:11 33:6 34:6 37:9 39:2,8 40:4,8,11, 17,20 42:18,22 43:13,25 44:25 45:17,22 49:1 75:2 77:1 89:8 90:18 91:22

there's 20:1,6 22:18 27:13 32:4,18 37:2 44:6 78:4 88:9 89:24 90:13 93:15

they're 10:10 31:18 38:18 40:2 47:11 75:20 90:1 91:11

they've 31:6 75:20

thing 25:15 42:8 44:2 46:20 47:13 70:24 90:18

things 7:18 36:22 43:6 54:18,24 61:15 74:24 77:6 86:20 94:8

third-party 14:4 27:17

Thomas 58:8

thought 21:9

thousands 33:15,18

threat 71:24

threshold 14:15 48:1

thumb 45:22

thwart 66:20

till 88:11

time 11:20, 24 12:2 23:22 32:18 33:11 39:20 67:13 77:15 84:24 88:1,2 92:6,18

times 10:13 27:11

Title 15:2 18:24

today 7:15 9:15,18 11:22 26:18 37:6 40:13 82:2

told 70:18 85:25

Tolson 19:4

total 43:8, 17,24,25 48:25 58:13

totally 56:18 58:4 71:18

totals 56:20

Totenberg 11:8 53:22 54:15 81:13

Totenberg's 55:9

touches 21:18

touchscreen 66:20 67:8 69:6 74:10,18, 20

tower 79:8

traditional 66:15 74:18

trail 82:15

transcript 82:4

transient 72:6

transposing 22:10

treated 67:24 68:15

trial 40:6 50:10,13 51:6,11, 13,15,17, 24 52:2,6, 10 53:6 54:15 69:2,4 93:20

troublesome 78:25

true 49:13 55:9,10
trusted 71:1
truth 45:9
type 40:10 52:20 59:15,20, 22 74:15 75:11
types 66:22 75:17
Tyson 8:22 29:20,22 46:18,20 69:17,22 82:9 83:9, 13 88:13

U

ultimately 83:22
unanimous 71:6
under-vote 55:20 56:18 57:9
under-votes 66:18
underlying 63:20 78:20
understand 9:13,17,22 15:24 21:9 26:20 28:9 40:2 61:20 79:18 87:6 91:13
understanding 67:17 86:11
understands 46:11
understood 90:11
undertaken 42:13 73:13
undervote 49:11,18
unexplainable 55:17
unexplained 49:18 56:18
unfettered 80:2 81:4
unfortunate 74:13
unnecessary 81:8
unrefuted 39:18
unrelated 80:10
unreliability 56:10
unreliable 55:11 70:25 72:1
unverified 47:24
uphill 62:2
urge 52:9
utilizing 69:6

V

valid 31:13 46:22 60:6 80:17,22
variegation 20:4
variety 31:11
verifying 74:13
versus 8:8 44:10 45:11
victory 13:18 18:13 44:1 45:20 53:15 58:15,18 59:8
views 76:4
Vince 80:1
Vincent 8:18 11:6
violate 72:10
violated 69:10
violating 71:25
violation 42:15 70:4 73:6,8
violations 42:17
virtually 21:18 66:8
virus 73:2
vote 18:11 19:22 34:13 39:1,2 44:4,6 56:20 63:15,22 65:13,22 66:6 68:2, 4,11,20,24 69:6 72:2, 6 74:13
voted 44:6 45:4 67:24 68:1
voter 17:8,9 34:11 65:20 66:20

68:20 72:4

voter-verified 74:18

voters 19:22 34:13 64:24 68:11,15 69:2,8 72:1,11 74:11 76:4

votes 13:20 14:4 16:10 17:20 19:17 31:2 41:22 43:9,13, 15,17,22, 24,25 44:1,24 45:1,2,10, 11,13,15, 18 46:2,8 47:1,4,17 50:2 53:6, 13 55:22 57:20 58:1,6,13, 18,20,24, 25 59:6,18 71:1 72:2, 4 80:20, 22,25 81:2 83:25 84:1 86:22 87:18

voting 13:24,25 38:20 39:6,13 44:10 45:4 50:1 53:6, 13 55:17, 22 60:4 66:20 68:1 69:6 74:11 80:4,8 81:6 82:13 85:11

vulnerabilities 75:6,9,10

vulnerability 66:22

vulnerable 54:4 73:8

W

waiting 60:13

waived 10:25 21:22 75:25

walked 59:4

wanted 38:10

watch 89:18, 25

ways 49:20

Weber 66:11, 15 74:8

WEDNESDAY 6:2

weeks 62:22

weigh 65:6 67:2

weighing 75:13

weighty 61:2

Wexler 64:20 70:8,9

we'll 7:15 11:20 13:8 36:13 92:2

we're 9:11, 15,20 12:17 13:13 33:2 37:2 46:20 92:20

we've 45:22 77:22 79:2 90:13

what's 42:22 78:8

whip 35:15

who's 8:11

wide 84:6 87:4

widespread 50:11,15 93:1

Willard 25:2

win 54:25 87:13

winner 45:20

wiped 45:20

witnesses 7:15

won 41:20

wonderful 49:2

won't 7:2

word 19:6 35:11 65:1

words 45:15 58:15 68:2 88:8

work 62:22 79:6 82:25 89:8 91:15

working 57:8

works 9:11 48:2

worry 62:1 63:11

worse 73:10

worst 32:24

worst-case 59:6

Worth 31:11 89:13

wouldn't 15:13

wrap 19:18 20:6

write-in
44:22

wrong 17:20,
22 57:2,4
87:11,18
91:8

Y

y'all 22:4

year 25:4
62:11
81:17

years 24:2
72:15

yesterday
24:6 42:20

you'll 75:10

you're 26:20
30:22 32:6
34:13
41:24
45:15
88:10

you've 29:8
40:20
45:18
93:13

EXHIBIT

3

1 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY

2 STATE OF GEORGIA

3

4 COALITION FOR GOOD . CIVIL ACTION
GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. . FILE NO.: 2018-CV-313418
5

6 MARTIN, SMYTH DUVAL, and .
JEANNE DUFORT, . Taken at:
7

8 Plaintiffs, . Superior Court of Cobb County

9 vs. . 70 Haynes Street

10 ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, . Courtroom 2000

11 Secretary of State of . Marietta, Georgia 30090
Georgia, et. al. .
12

13 Defendants. .
. . . .
14

15
TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING PROCEEDINGS
16

17 THURSDAY, JANUARY 17, 2019

18 9:02 a.m. to 4:53 p.m.

19

20 STATE OF GEORGIA SENIOR JUDGE ADELE P. GRUBBS
REPORTED BY:
21

22 PRISCILLA GARCIA, COURT REPORTER
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF GEORGIA
23

24 TRANSCRIBED BY:

25 CHRISTIAN NAADEN

1

2

3

4 APPEARANCES:

Plaintiff's Counsel: BRUCE P. BROWN, ESQUIRE

5

6 BRUCE P. BROWN LAW
FLOATAWAY BUSINESS COMPLEX

7

8 1123 ZONOLITE ROAD N.E.

9 SUITE 6

10 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30306

11 404-881-0700
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com

12

13

Plaintiff's Counsel: MARILYN MARKS, ESQUIRE

14

15 COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE
7035 MARCHING DUCK DRIVE

16

17 SUITE E-504

18 CHARLOTTE, NORTH CAROLINA 28210
704-552-1618

19

20 marilyn@uscgg.org

21

22 Defendant's Counsel: JOHN BELINFANTE, ESQUIRE
ROBBINS, ROSS, ALLOT, BELINFANTE

23

24 & LITTLEFIELD, LLC

25 500 14TH STREET N.W.

1 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30318
2 404-856-3262
jbelinfante@robbinsfirm.com
3
4 CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:
5
6 Defendant's Counsel: VINCENT R. RUSSO, ESQUIRE
ROBBINS, ROSS, ALLOT, BELINFANTE
7
8 & LITTLEFIELD, LLC
9 999 PEACHTREE STREET N.E.
10 SUITE 1120
11 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30309
404-856-3260
12
13 vrusso@robbinsfirm.com
14
15 Defendant's Associate Counsel:
ALEXANDER F. DENTON, ESQUIRE
16
17 ROBBINS, ROSS, ALLOT, BELINFANTE
18 & LITTLEFIELD, LLC
500 14TH STREET N.W.
19
20 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30318
404-856-3276
21
22 adenton@robbinsfirm.com
23
24
25

1

2

3

4 CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:

5

6 Defendant's Counsel: EDWARD H. LINDSEY, JR., ESQUIRE
DENTONS US, LLP
7

8 303 PEACHTREE STREET N.E.

9 SUITE 5300

10 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30308

11 404-527-4580
edward.lindsey@dentons.com
12

13 Defendant's Counsel: SAMUEL S. OLENS, ESQUIRE
14

15 DENTONS US, LLP
303 PEACHTREE STREET N.E.
16

17 SUITE 5300

18 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30308
404-527-4108
19

20 sam.olens@dentons.com

21

22 Defendant's Counsel: KAYE WOODARD BURWELL, ESQUIRE
OFFICE OF THE COUNTY ATTORNEY
23

24 141 PRYOR STREET S.W.

25 SUITE 4038

1 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30303
2 404-612-0251
kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov
3
4 CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:
5
6 Defendant's Counsel: BRYAN P. TYSON, ESQUIRE
STRICKLAND, BROCKINGTON &
7
8 LEWIS, LLP
9 1170 PEACHTREE STREET N.E.
10 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30309
11 404-219-3160
bryan.tyson@sbllaw.com
12
13 Defendant's Co-Counsel: RICHARD A. CAROTHERS, ESQUIRE
14
15 CAROTHERS & MITCHELL, LLC
1809 BUFORD HIGHWAY
16
17 BUFORD, GEORGIA 30518
18 770-932-3552
richard.carothers@carsmith.com
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1

2

3

4 I N D E X

WITNESS	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
WITNESSES CALLED ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF				
Marilyn Marks	31	38,51,54	43,56	50
Sara LeClerc	60,72	74,77,78		
Jeanne Dufort	80			
Christopher Brill	84	89,91,127,128	93,120	113,114
Matthew Bernhard	137	150,188,198	156,187,204	
Michael Barnes	210,243	254,276	279	286,287
WITNESSES CALLED ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT				
None				

EXHIBITS MARKED ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF

NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE OFFERED	PAGE RECEIVED
P-1	- Secretary of State Email	41	Not Admitted
P-2	- LBJ Notes Excel Spreadsheet	64	66
P-3	- Brill's Affidavit	98	98
P-7	- National Academies of Science Engineering and Medicine's report, Secured Boot, from 2018	168	168
P-8	- Center for Election Systems at		

1 Kennesaw State Email

2 Communication 2017 243 243

3

4 EXHIBITS MARKED ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT

5

	NO.		DESCRIPTION	PAGE OFFERED	PAGE RECEIVED
6	1	-	Duncan-Tweet Regarding Fundraising	52	54
7	2	-	Ballot Design	236	236
8	3	-	Base Precinct with Reports	264	265
9	4	-	Base Precinct with Reports	264	265
10	5	-	Active Voter TS Status	266	266
11	6	-	Active Voter TS Status	266	266
12	7	-	Certified Returns Fulton County	273	273
13	8	-	Certified Returns Gwinnett County	273	273
14	9	-	Certified Returns Dekalb County	273	273
15	10	-	Official Election Returns 2010	273	274
16	11	-	Official Election Returns 2014	273	274
17	12	-	Official Election Returns 2018	273	274
18	13	-	Turnout Percentage Document	273	274

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1
COBB COUNTY, GEORGIA
2
THURSDAY, January 17, 2018 - 9:02 a.m.
3
4
* * * * * * *
5
6
THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.
7
MALE: Good morning, Your Honor.
8
THE COURT: Take your seats, if you will.
9
THE COURT: First of all, if you are here for the
10
uncontested divorce calendar, that includes name changes
11
and all those kind of things, you are in the wrong place.
12
That is in Juvenile Court Number One. You have to go back
13
across the bridge if you're in here by mistake.
14
Okay. And we have some recordings. Rule 22. Is
15
everybody in here on -- you're here on the -- supposed to
16
be some others. Ms. McDonald.
17
MS. MCDONALD: Yes, ma'am.
18
THE COURT: You're here. Mr. Wilke?
19
MR. WILKE: Yes, ma'am.
20
THE COURT: Yeah, he's here. And who's the other
21
one?
22
THE COURT: Kauffman. Mr. Kauffman.
23
MR. KAUFFMAN: That's me.
24
THE COURT: How'd you get up there?
25

1 MR. KAUFFMAN: I asked Mr. Davis earlier if it was
2 okay if I sit here because recording.
THE COURT: And you did what, Mr. Davis?
3
4 MR. DAVIS: [Inaudible].
THE COURT: Why did he get preference than everybody
5
6 else?
MR. DAVIS: No one else asked.
7
8 THE COURT: Nobody else asked. Okay. Good statement.
9 Anybody else want to come in and [inaudible] -- okay.
10 We'll do that.
11 This is mainly on the case of Rhonda Martin, Smyth
Duval, and Jeanne Dufort versus Geoff Duncan, Fulton
12
13 County Board of Registration and Elections, Gwinnett
County Board of Registration and Elections. Mr. Brown,
14
15 where are your clients?
MR. BROWN: Jeanne Dufort is here.
16
17 THE COURT: She's what?
18 MR. BROWN: Your question was what, Your Honor?
THE COURT: Where are your clients?
19
20 MR. BROWN: My client, this is Jeanne Dufort.
THE COURT: Okay.
21
22 MR. BROWN: She is the plaintiff in the case.
THE COURT: Okay. And are the other two here too?
23
24 MR. BROWN: Smyth Duvall.
25 THE COURT: Mr. Duvall is here?

1 MR. BROWN: He is here. Yes, Your Honor.

2 THE COURT: Okay. Just trying to identify. Do you need seats to bring them to the table?

3

4 MR. BROWN: We're sort of crowded so this is fine.
THE COURT: You all need to be at this table?

5

6 MR. BROWN: No. He doesn't -- you're fine. I mean, we're okay.

7

8 THE COURT: You are?

9 MR. BROWN: Yeah.

10 THE COURT: And then on this side. Everybody's

11 together.
MR. BROWN: I would like to make a request that

12

13 Marilyn Marks, who is the executive director of the former plaintiff, Coalition, and it's been -- helping me in this

14

15 case, it would be an -- it would be a great assistance to me, just professionally, if she could also ask specific --

16

17 THE COURT: She can't sit at the table.

18 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: She can't sit at the table.

19

20 MALE: My only concern is that we do intend to invoke the Rule. She going be a witness?

21

22 MR. BROWN: She may and we will decide whether she goes first or not. So she can stay out here.

23

24 MR. LINDSEY: If she stays -- if she's the first

25 witness then I would object to her staying.

1 THE COURT: I'm sorry?

2 MR. LINDSEY: If she's the first witness knows the Rule, which I guess I have no objections --

3

4 THE COURT: Okay.
MR. LINDSEY: -- to her staying.

5

6 THE COURT: Okay. We'll follow that. Everybody else agree? I see Fulton and Gwinnett?

7

8 MS. BURWELL: Yes, Your Honor.

9 THE COURT: Okay.

10 MR. LINDSEY: [Inaudible] she could come in.

11 THE COURT: Okay. On the Rule 22 request, you cannot take anything at each individual table to the lobbies.

12

13 Okay. I know you were here last time and you did a good job, but you can't -- or any conversation between lawyers

14

15 and witnesses. You have to honor the confidentiality.
MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.

16

17 THE COURT: Okay. You all are standing up. I think

18 that Mr. Brown we filed a mandatory trial.
MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.

19

20 THE COURT: And I know I got a response from Fulton County.

21

22 MALE: Yeah. We also have a response as well that simply mimic the county's and we are filing it today.

23

24 THE COURT: Okay.

25 MALE: Can I approach the bench?

1 THE COURT: Mr. Groton does that. There's no clerk
2 because this is a Fulton County case. So he has to do that. Okay. I guess -- will I hear first from is -- let
3
4 me hear from -- Mr. Brown, why do you think juror demand is appropriate?
5
6 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the statute is very clear. It says that the case should be tried to the court unless
7
8 a jury demand is made. And that is explicit and without
9 exception. And we have made a demand for a jury trial and
10 the facts that will be tried, or triable to a jury both in
11 the election case and in any other case.
THE COURT: Okay.
12
13 MR. BROWN: So that's our request. Thank you.
THE COURT: What order are you going in over at that
14
15 time?
MALE: She filed -- Fulton County filed first of all
16
17 --
18 THE COURT: Are you Ms. Blackwell?
MS. BURWELL: Burwell. Burwell.
19
20 THE COURT: Burwell. I'm trying to get names right. Okay.
21
22 MS. BURWELL: Kaye. Right. Yes. Kaye Burwell on behalf of Fulton County.
23
24 MALE: Judge --
25 THE COURT: I got it.

1 MALE: Judge, I'm sorry. We have one more Rule 22
2 from the AJC that you haven't had a chance to review.
THE COURT: So I have not written [inaudible].
3
4 Anybody -- who's here from the AJC?
FEMALE: I am.
5
6 THE COURT: Okay. Anybody have an objection to the
AJC covering this? Mr. Brown.
7
8 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor.
9 MR. LINDSEY: In fact, they're more than welcome to
10 sit in the jury.
11 THE COURT: You're welcome to sit in the jury box.
Come sit over here if you want to.
12
13 MS. BURWELL: Think at a better angle.
THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.
14
15 MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, it is Fulton County's
position that the demand for a jury trial by the
16
17 petitioners is insufficient. We cited the Court to the
18 Henderson case, which clearly provides that there is a
two-step analysis for determining whether or not a jury
19
20 trial is available to a petitioner and they have satisfied
the first, which was merely to demand.
21
22 They haven't satisfied the second. They haven't
provided this Court with any information or argument to
23
24 support that under other laws at issue in the case, there
25 is a matter upon which they are entitled to have a trial

1 by jury. And that's the second part and they haven't
2 presented this Court with anything that would be sufficient for them to satisfy that burden. And so based
3
4 upon the statute itself, which is 21-2-522, as well as the Henderson case, because they utterly failed to allege
5
6 issues that would be triable to a jury, we believe that a jury trial demand is inappropriate.
7
8 Further, Your Honor, as we pointed out in our
9 response, the statute provides that if there are issues
10 that need to be tried, a jury from that particular county
11 needs to be impaneled. And in this instance, they are -- they have allegations in their complaint about Worth
12
13 County, Henry County, DeKalb County, and perhaps other counties that we don't know about yet. And so the Court
14
15 would be required if they had alleged something that was triable to a jury, would need to impanel juries from each
16
17 of those jurisdictions in order to hear whatever issues
18 would need to be heard.
And for that reason, Your Honor, obviously the ins of
19
20 the election contest statute, which is the swift and expedient determination of a contest, would be forwarded.
21
22 Thank you.
THE COURT: Thank you. Any additional arguments?
23
24 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, Bryan Tyson on behalf of
25 Gwinnett County, just very briefly. As the Fulton County

1 counsel, Ms. Burwell, has correctly pointed out, the cases
2 that they have cited control there is no constitutional right to a jury trial in an election contest case.
3
4 And I think under the circumstances that the demand only came after the motion of continuance had been denied
5
6 in the case that this is an attempt by the plaintiffs to delay this case when this case under the Election Code
7
8 needs to be resolved quickly and brought to a conclusion,
9 especially considering that the Legislature is already in
10 session and the lieutenant governor is presiding this
11 morning, even in the State Senate. Thank you.
THE COURT: Anything from you, Mr. Lindsey?
12
13 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we simply just joined with two other co-defendants with their positions.
14
15 THE COURT: Mr. Brown.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, quickly, three points.
16
17 Gwinnett raises the timeliness issue. Again, the statute
18 is clear and the demand needs to be made before the case is called.
19
20 Second, counsel for Fulton County says that we haven't made allegations about facts that are triable to a
21
22 jury. We have a very detailed fact-bound complaint and each of the factual allegations in there, in any civil
23
24 case, would be triable to a jury and I know of no
25 exception. For example, were significant programming

1 errors made? Can the vote tallies be reconciled? Are
2 there signs of malicious manipulation of the vote tallies? All of those things are factual issues. Thank you, Your
3
4 Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. I strike the demand for jury.
5
6 This is a bench trial. It does not comport with the statute. Okay. You want to make an opening statement --
7
8 whoa. Let's not do that. Okay.
9 MR. LINDSEY: I'm sorry. Sorry. And just as a
10 reminder, Your Honor, we have invoked the Rule.
11 THE COURT: The Rule is invoked. So witnesses, you have need to be outside.
12
13 MR. BROWN: Starting now, Your Honor?
THE COURT: Starting right now.
14
15 MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Starting right now.
16
17 MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, on behalf of Fulton County,
18 we have our [inaudible] representative, Mr. Barron. We'd like him to be able to stay to my --
19
20 THE COURT: He's who?
MS. BURWELL: Mr. Barron. Mr. Richard Barron. He's
21
22 the election superintendent for --
THE COURT: Is he being told to stay?
23
24 MS. BURWELL: -- Fulton County.
25 MR. BROWN: Clarification, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Yes, sir.

2 MR. BROWN: We have two expert witnesses and typically they are not sequestered because they may be
3

4 relying upon the client testimony. And so we would request Your Honor's clarification that it does not apply
5

6 to expert witnesses.
MR. LINDSEY: And we believe that in this case that
7

8 it should unless they intend -- we have no objection to

9 them remaining in the courtroom --

10 THE COURT: Once they testify.

11 MR. LINDSEY: -- once they testify in case they need to be brought back up on rebuttal based on any fact that
12

13 need to be brought. But in terms of their initial testimony, we would ask the rule be [inaudible].
14

15 THE COURT: I think Mr. Brown, you -- we do that when you have experts and they have experts. We have dueling
16

17 experts, but you've got two of the same. So once they've

18 testified, they can stay.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
19

20 THE COURT: Okay. And that means you cannot discuss each other's testimony.
21

22 MR. LINDSEY: And for that matter, Your Honor, I just wanted to clarify for both sides. Once the witness has
23

24 testified, as long as there is an assurance by the lawyer

25 that that person will not be brought back up for any

1 further reason, I -- any purpose, but I don't have any
2 problem with them remaining in the courtroom once they've testified.
3
4 THE COURT: Okay.
MR. BROWN: My understanding of the rule, Your Honor,
5
6 is that if a witness is in the courtroom, the witness is thereafter disqualified from being called.
7
8 MR. LINDSEY: Yeah. That's all I'm saying.
9 THE COURT: That's correct.
10 MR. LINDSEY: But I'm saying I don't have a problem
11 with them staying after they've testified.
THE COURT: Okay. Opening statement, Mr. Brown.
12
13 Open? Want to make an opening statement?
MR. BROWN: Oh, yes, Your Honor. Initially, we -- as
14
15 a matter of procedure, we have still pending a motion to compel.
16
17 THE COURT: I've denied that motion to compel.
18 MR. BROWN: Okay. In addition -- just for the record, Your Honor, we would like to make a motion for
19
20 additional discovery under the Civil Practice Act.
THE COURT: Sir, I've denied all that.
21
22 MR. BROWN: I understand.
THE COURT: We've been through it. I looked. I have
23
24 17 filings in this case in the last two days; okay?
25 Nobody's going to believe my list of work in this case.

1 Those all have been denied. They are preserved for the
2 record.
MR. BROWN: Right.
3
4 THE COURT: I've ruled on them. The record is clear that -- I've ruled. If that's what you're concerned
5
6 about, I've ruled; okay?
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, the
7
8 issue in this case is whether the election was so
9 defective as to place in doubt the result of the
10 lieutenant governor's contest. This case is not like any
11 other election case. In every other election case the evidence of defectiveness, of a defective election, is
12
13 hard documentary evidence. It is misspelled names on an absentee ballot.
14
15 It is a person who was ineligible to vote. It is some flaw in the electoral process that can be
16
17 demonstrated with hard evidence in court. The State of
18 Georgia has chosen a voting system which embeds in it the results, the true results of an election never to be
19
20 discovered after the vote is made.
The distinction between this case and every other
21
22 voting case is that there is no tangible evidence of the result of the election. There is none at all. Instead,
23
24 all we have are traces, secondary evidence, giving some
25 hint as to whether or not the voting totals are correct or

1 not.

2 And what are those and what are those hints here? What evidence do we have that this vote is reliable? We

3

4 have none, Your Honor. What -- instead, what we have, and our experts will testify -- Your Honor, is there a issue

5

6 that I should address or is there --

THE COURT: Mm-hmm?

7

8 MR. BROWN: Are you -- let me --

9 THE COURT: A gentleman just came in and I'm not sure

10 if he's trying to record, that's the only thing.

11 MR. BROWN: Okay.

THE COURT: Sir, do you have anything to record?

12

13 MALE: No, Your Honor. I was sitting back here and I was having trouble hearing so I moved up.

14

15 THE COURT: No problem. Go ahead.

MR. BROWN: Sorry, Your Honor.

16

17 THE COURT: Sure.

18 MR. BROWN: Thank you. The --

THE COURT: I'm just trying to preserve the

19

20 courtroom. Go ahead. But I'm hearing you.

MR. BROWN: But what the evidence we do have are the

21

22 traces of what happened and all of that evidence shows that this election was defective. The most obvious

23

24 evidence is the vote patterns. And as Your Honor has read

25 in our briefs already, these voting patterns were aberrant

1 in the extreme.

2 And the reason we know they were aberrant, the reasons we know they were aberrant is that this has never

3

4 -- nothing like this has ever happened before, but to me most probative is that the pattern that we see in the

5

6 electronic voting, the under-vote for the lieutenant governor's contest, is not seen in the paper votes. We

7

8 have 200,000 paper votes, Your Honor.

9 It's a huge sample of people in all walks of life,

10 all around the state, of every political persuasion, and

11 for some reason they all, or 99 percent of them, voted in the lieutenant governor's race. One or a little bit more

12

13 than one or less than one out of 100 decided not to vote. Historically, that is exactly what you would expect. For

14

15 the last 20 years, the consistent under-vote for the lieutenant governor's contest in Georgia is .8 percent,

16

17 less than 1 in 100.

18 In Georgia, the history is if you're going to take the trouble to vote, and not everybody does sadly, but if

19

20 you're going to take the trouble to vote, whether in-person, early, absentee, or live, is that 1 out of 100

21

22 will not vote for the lieutenant governor. That is what happened in the paper ballots this year. One out of 100

23

24 decided not to vote for the lieutenant governor's race.

25 Now for some reason we have many, many thousands more on

1 the electronic ballot, a gap of 4 or 5 percent in the
2 electronic vote.
There is no legitimate explanation for that. It
3
4 could not have happened by chance. The samples are too
large for that to be simply random chance. So it's not
5
6 chance. Could it be because the lieutenant governor's
race was not very interesting to people? There's no
7
8 reason to believe that, Your Honor. And even if you were
9 inclined to believe that prima facie, then why did 99 out
10 of 100 vote on paper?
11 The same goes for every other explanation for this
anomaly. Let the defendants make that out. In a criminal
12
13 case a convicted -- a defendant may be sent to the
electric chair if the prosecution eliminates every
14
15 plausible alternative to their guilt. Here what we will
do, and what the evidence will show, is that every other
16
17 plausible explanation other than machine defect caused
18 this other vote and because it was machine defect it's a
defective election; so defective that its results are in
19
20 doubt.
A couple things to clarify our position to make sure
21
22 that Your Honor understands what our claim is. The
defendants claim that this is a matter of simple math.
23
24 Our response to that is two-fold. First is that their
25 simple math is wrong. It's just bad arithmetic, Your

1 Honor. Our second response -- and we'll explain that to
2 Your Honor in the course of these proceedings.
3 The second response to that is that it's not simple
4 math. You can't -- Your Honor understands this quite well
5 is that it's not simple math if you have multiple unknown
6 variables. Because this election was so defective the
7 State does not know the true vote got Mr. Duncan, does not
8 know the true for Ms. Amico. And third, it doesn't know
9 why there's a gap in the under-vote.
10 Our position isn't that there were some votes that
11 were lost. Our position is that the entire contents is
12 tainted because the under-vote shows that the machines
13 simply were not working -- that they were defective; so
14 defective as to cast the entire election in doubt and
15 require a new election.
16 The second point I wanted to make sure we were clear
17 on in terms of our position is that what we need to show
18 today and tomorrow is that the election was defective. We
19 do not need to show malice. We do not need to show that
20 the defendants or anybody else did something intentionally
21 to rig the vote or anything like that. That's not what
22 this case is about.
23 This case is about machines and whether or not they
24 worked and the evidence will show manifestly that they did
25 not. Your Honor, the course of these proceedings, and I

1 caution your understanding of this, is that this argument
2 is not rearguing the motions that we have lost, but is instead to take the case as it's presented right now. And
3
4 that is the plaintiffs have shown prima facie and will show prima facie that this election was defective.
5
6 I call Your Honor's attention to OCGA 24-14-22. That statute says, and I'll quote it, "If a party has evidence
7
8 in such party's power and within such party's reach by
9 which he or she may repel a claim or charge against him,
10 but omits to produce it or if a party has more certain and
11 satisfactory evidence in his or her power but relies on that which is of weaker or inferior nature, a presumption
12
13 arises that the charge or claim against such party is well founded; but this presumption may be rebutted."
14
15 Your Honor, what this law -- this law is commonsense but it's also fundamentally important in terms of fairness
16
17 and accuracy and decision-making by the courts. We will
18 show that there's no plausible explanation other than machine malfunction. The defendants can escape that
19
20 charge if they prove that they have investigated, they've done their own, and they can bring into court evidence
21
22 that this -- these machines are working.
Instead, they will not do so and as Your Honor is
23
24 well aware, they have resisted doing so, and they have not
25 done so in discovery. And so when the plaintiff makes his

1 case, or her cas, and the defendant's position is, well,
2 it's just a black box; we don't know what's in that black box, then the plaintiff wins, Your Honor.
3
4 Your Honor, in terms of the order of proof we have some witnesses -- the sequence of the witnesses may change
5
6 a little bit because of the sequestration. In addition, we will have applied witnesses, people who actually
7
8 attended -- actually voted or trying to vote. We will
9 have an expert on forensics and on these particular DRE
10 machines, and we will have an expert on the numbers and on
11 the politics behind the numbers.
And at the conclusion of this matter, we believe that
12
13 the Court will be authorized, if not compelled, to conclude that this election was so defective as to place
14
15 the results in doubt. Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: [Inaudible] Mr. Lindsey? Okay.
16
17 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, on
18 behalf of myself and Mr. Olens, we are here representing the Lieutenant Governor Geoff Duncan who won his election
19
20 on November 6, 2018, by a significant margin, 123,172 votes.
21
22 The statutory in case law is clear as set forth in the case of Hart versus Crawford, which said, and I quote,
23
24 "The setting aside of an election in which the people have
25 chosen the representative is a dramatic remedy that should

1 not be taken lightly but instead should be reserved for
2 cases in which the person challenging an election has clearly established that the violation has placed the
3 result of the election in doubt."
4 This requires, Your Honor, more than mere speculation
5 or guess work. More than saying that things might have possibly happened but they must show by clear evidence
6 that a -- that some type of problem occurred and that it
7 was a problem of great enough significance to wipe out a
8 margin of victory of over 123,000 votes.
9 In this case, not only are the plaintiffs not going to be able to show that they were the breach of Georgia's
10 election system, but we will also show why Ms. Amico received the vote counts she did, which I want to state
11 right here at the beginning, which is that within the range of the other unsuccessful Democratic candidates in
12 2006 [sic]. Ms. Amico received 1,828,566 votes.
13 Granted, this was less than the votes received by the more profiled Democratic candidates, such as Ms. Abrams,
14 but it is a vote count much higher than four other Democratic candidates and is within a few thousand votes
15 of two other ones. So in other words, she -- her vote count as a Democratic in the 2016 election was right
16 square in the middle among all the various Democrats who
17 ran statewide here in Georgia. That's number one.

1 Number two, in terms we will be able to establish why
2 the vote count for Ms. Amico was what it was and why there was a differentiation between the paper and electronic
3
4 ballots. The fact of the matter is, Your Honor, we were dealing with an ahistorical election; and never before has
5
6 Georgia had this many new voters vote in one election. In 2014, there were approximately 98,000 new voters that
7
8 voted.
9 In 2018, there were almost four times that number
10 that voted. The fact of the matter is when you have this
11 many new voters, you have a greater number amount of confusion that takes place. You also have the fact that
12
13 the way in which the ballot was configured on paper ballots versus the electronic system could have added to
14
15 that confusion inadvertently by virtue of how the various individuals on the ballot were placed.
16
17 In the case of the electronic ballots, they were in a
18 -- what you might call an east-west position. In the paper ballots they were in a north-south position right
19
20 next to each other, which would add to the confusion for many voters, particularly many new voters here in Georgia
21
22 as to whether or not Ms. Amico and Ms. Abrams were running on a ticket.
23
24 This is aggravated further by the way in which Ms.
25 Amico chose to run her campaign, which was to seek to run

1 as a team with Ms. Abrams. So that alone would suffice to
2 show why there was a difference between the paper and the electronic ballots. In addition, quite frankly, unlike a
3 lot of the other candidates, Ms. Amico received a lot of negative press in the closing days of the campaign.
4
5 And that closing -- that negative press was
6 particularly being likely to dampen Democratic enthusiasm
7 for her candidacy given the fact that there were
8 allegations while she was a senior officer of a
9 corporation, that that corporation was engaged in
10 systematic racial discrimination.
11 So there were multiple reasons, Your Honor, not
12 simply one as to why there was the down-ballot voter gap
13 that existed in this race as opposed to others. And we
14 will look forward to presenting those to you. Thank you,
15 Your Honor.
16
17 THE COURT: Okay. [inaudible]?
18 MS. BURWELL: Thank you, Your Honor. The Fulton
19 County Board of Registrations and Elections is charged
20 with following State law and to follow State law in order
21 to ensure that citizens of Fulton County receive a fair
22 and accurate election when they go to the polls. And we
23 believe the evidence will show that the Fulton County
24 Board of Registrations and Elections did just that.
25 We believe the evidence will show that the Board

1 accurately recorded the will of the Fulton County voters.
2 As this Court knows, there's no such thing as a perfect election. There never has been and there never will be.
3
4 But in this instance, these petitioners have a heavy burden and a burden we believe the evidence, with respect
5
6 to Fulton County, will now bear out their claims. And that's because the case is very clear that not every
7
8 garden variety irregularity entitles anyone to a new
9 election and that's because elections are designed to
10 carry out the will of the people.
11 And again, we believe that this election did just that. And at the close of the evidence we're going to ask
12
13 the Court to deny petitioner's request.
THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Tyson.
14
15 MR. TYSON: Thank you, Your Honor. Bryan Tyson for Gwinnett County. We are in agreement with the prior two
16
17 defendants. The key point for this Court is that the
18 burden on the plaintiffs is high.
The election is presumed valid. These are the
19
20 certified results, unless the plaintiffs can bring forward specific evidence of what was the irregularity that could
21
22 have caused the result to be placed in doubt, they cannot succeed in their claim. The availability of any number of
23
24 plausible explanations, which the evidence will show, will
25 defeat that. The ballot design question, the fact that

1 this election was the first time in a governor's election
2 that we've not had a U.S. Senate race also happening at the same time, and so that affected the ballot design
3
4 worked and affected the way -- on the DRE's particularly that voters were looking at those ballots.
5
6 Voter decisions obviously could play a role. Lack of a third party candidate could play a role. Any number of
7
8 factors could explain the dip in the votes for Ms. Amico's
9 candidacy. And the Supreme Court is abundantly clear that
10 speculation alone is not enough to overturn an election.
11 Plaintiffs have the burden of showing hard evidence, of demonstrating exactly what the cause was, and also
12
13 demonstrating that literally no other cause could have happened. They have to come forward with affirmative
14
15 evidence that demonstrate that fact. The constitutional claims, Your Honor, had been dismissed.
16
17 The only thing left in this case is a statutory
18 election contest and that is ultimately a mathematical issue. 123,000 votes have to be shown to be in question
19
20 in addition to the -- so that the plaintiffs can carry their burden and if they're not able to demonstrate that
21
22 or show an irregularity that places the entire result in doubt through hard evidence, then they will not be able to
23
24 succeed in their claims and we do not believe they'll be
25 able to and believe the election should be upheld. Thank

1 you.

2 THE COURT: First witness, Mr. Brown.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, we will call Marilyn Marks as
3

4 our first witness.
THE COURT: Would you swear the witness, please, Mr.
5

6 Brown?
MR. BROWN: Ms. Marks, please raise your right hand.
7

8 Do you promise to tell the truth, the whole truth, and

9 nothing but the truth?

10 MS. MARKS: Yes.

11 MR. BROWN: Have a seat.

12

13 Thereupon:

14

15 MARILYN MARKS

16

17 was called as a witness by the Petitioner; and,

18 having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

19

20 DIRECT EXAMINATION
OF MARILYN MARKS

21

22
BY MR. BROWN:
23

24 Q. Please state your full name for the record?

25 A. Marilyn Marks.

1 Q. Ms. Marks, by whom are you currently
2 employed?
A. I am the executive director of Coalition
3
4 for Good Governance.
Q. And what is the Coalition for Good
5
6 Governance?
A. Coalition for Good Governance is a
7
8 nonprofit, charitable organization, non-partisan
9 organization, that works on election transparency,
10 election integrity, voter privacy. We have a few
11 core issues of that nature that we really focused on
and we're a small organization.
12
13 Q. Is electronic voting one of those core
issues?
14
15 A. In fact, we probably -- yes, it is. In
fact, we've probably spent the better part of the
16
17 last three years with 100 percent of our resources
18 focused on problems with electronic voting in the
fact that electronic voting is not verifiable --
19
20 cannot be on the table --
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we object [inaudible] --
21
22 THE COURT: [inaudible] not responsive to the
question.
23
24 MR. BROWN: That's right. That's fine. Thank you.
25 THE COURT: Just answer -- you'll get there.

1 MR. BROWN: That's right.

2 THE COURT: He'll get to everything you need to say. Give him a chance; okay?

3

4 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. And what in particular about electronic

5

6 voting has caused the Coalition to focus upon that as one of their issues?

7

8 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'm going to object. She

9 hasn't been qualified as an expert and this isn't a case

10 as to whether or not there are doubts. It's the terms of

11 whether or not electronic voting versus paper ballots or some other system would be better. That's an argument

12

13 that needs to be done -- as a matter of fact, being done down at the State Capitol.

14

15 The question today is whether or not there was an actual taking place in this election, in this particular

16

17 election. So there are two objections. Number one, she

18 hasn't been qualified as an expert; and number two, the question is irrelevant to the issues before the Court at

19

20 this time.
THE COURT: Mr. Brown?

21

22 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I asked her a factual question as to what the Coalition's focus was on and she

23

24 was answering it.

25 THE COURT: Well, but she got beyond -- she started

1 to testify as an expert and she can't testify as an expert
2 unless you can qualify her. I understand your position and I know what the law is. I'm going to give them a
3
4 little latitude in this case.
MR. BROWN: I understand. Sure.
5
6 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. What is your -- I'm going to ask you some
7
8 questions about your background. And first, your
9 organization is based where?
10 A. Yes. Our headquarters is in Charlotte,
11 North Carolina.
Q. And what is your personal connection to
12
13 Georgia?
A. Well, actually I had my business career
14
15 here before I retired.
Q. And what was your business career?
16
17 A. I was the CEO and owner of a truck-trailer
18 manufacturing reorganization and we're headquartered here in Atlanta.
19
20 Q. And skipping back to -- so you've lived many years in Georgia; correct?
21
22 A. I lived in -- I plan to move back shortly.
Q. Okay.
23
24 A. I've been spending the vast majority of my
25 time here for the last four or five months.

1 Q. Ms. Marks, what is your experience
2 generally with electronic voting systems and whether that's a good method of recording votes?
3
4 A. Okay. Ten years ago I got very interested in elections; in fact, ran for Mayor of Aspen,
5
6 Colorado. And at that time, they were using the Diebold voting system that is also used in Georgia.
7
8 They were using it slightly differently. But at that
9 time is when I began to be concerned about the
10 electronic portion of the system.
11 And I tested the system as part of my work as a citizen and I became an election judge, and ended up
12
13 spending a considerable amount of time getting to know the system. And over the last ten years I
14
15 devoted myself -- having lost the election I devoted myself to the problems that were begin to be
16
17 uncovered in my own loss in the election.
18 And I have spent the last ten years doing work around troubled elections [inaudible] organization
19
20 tends to called into troubled elections, particularly when there are unverifiable electronic elections
21
22 where the results cannot be audited like this one.
Q. Have you ever been involved in a post-
23
24 election audit?
25 A. I have.

1 THE WITNESS: Your Honor, would it be all right if I
2 have a cup of water?
MR. BROWN: Yeah. Here. I'll get that, Your Honor.
3
4 THE COURT: Actually, your lawyer's got some.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
5
6 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. I believe you were testifying about post-
7
8 election audits, Ms. Marks.
9 A. Yes. I have been involved in various forms
10 of post-election audits starting with my own loss in
11 the election in 2009 and then primarily in Colorado,
although some in North Carolina, South Carolina, and
12
13 whereas in Georgia there is no post-election audit.
But, yes, I've been both in server; I've helped plan;
14
15 I've a poll watcher for post-election audits.
I've trained what in Colorado called canvas
16
17 board members who conduct the post-election audit.
18 So I've trained those for Libertarian Party and some
of the nonpartisan candidates -- not candidates,
19
20 excuse me, members of canvas boards. So I've spent
hundreds of hours in post-election auditing.
21
22 Q. Have you testified in court before, Ms.
Marks?
23
24 A. Yes, many times. Coalition for Good
25 Governance has done a lot of work in litigat- -- in

1 election litigation and so I have been in court a
2 lot.
3 Q. You mentioned paper ballots and the --
4 based upon your understanding of the allegations in
this petition, do you understand that the petitioners
5
6 are seeking a new election; do you understand that?
7 A. Yes.
8 Q. And do you have an understanding of whether
9 the petitioners want the new election to be on paper
10 ballot on the one hand or on the electronic ballot on
11 the other?
12 A. It is clear that the petitioners want only
13 a verifiable election, which has to be on paper
ballots.
14
15 Q. And you -- based upon your work, have you
become familiar with Georgia's processes and
16
17 procedures for conducting electronic and paper
18 elections?
19 A. Yes, I'm very familiar with those
20 procedures. I began my work in Georgia in the spring
of 2017 and began to analyze the problems in the
21
22 unverifiable DRE system. The debunked DRE system at
the time actually -- should I tell you about when --
23
24 Q. Just wait.
25 A. Okay.

1 Q. Based upon your understanding of Georgia's
2 practices and procedures in voting, would it be feasible for Georgia to conduct a paper ballot for
3
4 any re-elect new election?
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object to that question.
5
6 The foundation hasn't be laid for that; it's a legal conclusion. She's not qualified as an expert.
7
8 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would -- thank you, Mr.
9 Tyson, if I may interrupt. I should have before asking
10 that question and I'll withdraw it -- ask that Ms. Marks
11 be qualified as a witness about DRE machines generally and their use in Georgia and the practices and procedures of
12
13 the State of Georgia for paper ballots and for electronic ballots.
14
15 MR. TYSON: If I could ask [inaudible] show of questions of Ms. Marks.
16
17 THE COURT: Surely. Go ahead.
18 MR. TYSON: Good morning, Ms. Marks. My name is Bryan Tyson. I represent the Gwinnett County Board of
19
20 Elections -- Registrations and Elections. I just had a couple additional questions for you. You mentioned you
21
22 testified in court before.
23
24 CROSS-EXAMINATION
25 OF MARILYN MARKS

1

2 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. Have you ever qualified as an expert in a
3

4 court proceeding?
A. No. And have never intended to be.
5

6 Q. Okay. Have you ever been involved in the
administration of an election in Georgia a member of
7

8 the Board of Elections, a staff member in elections

9 office?

10 A. No, I have not been a Georgia resident so I

11 would not have been qualified for that. I have been
a poll watcher several times for candidates and for
12

13 the Libertarian Party in Georgia.
Q. Mr. Brown asked you about your work with
14

15 electronic voting machines. Do you have any degrees
or specialized training in computer science?
16

17 A. No. I just have a practical hands-on

18 experience.
Q. Do you -- have you -- I'm sorry. It's
19

20 correct, isn't it, that you have no first-hand
knowledge of any DRE machine that you personally
21

22 reviewed for the 2018 Georgia general election; is
that correct?
23

24 A. I'm sorry. Do you mind repeating the

25 question?

1 Q. Sure. It's correct, isn't it, that you
2 have not personally reviewed any DRE machines that
were used in the 2018 Georgia general election?
3
4 A. No. That is incorrect.
Q. Okay. Which machines have you reviewed?
5
6 A. Okay. So I'll not be able to tell you the
serial numbers, not off the top of my head,
7
8 certainly. The machines that I observed as I was a
9 poll watcher and I watched them operate -- I looked
10 at the data that was coming up on the screen in a
11 number of poll locations, and did so in a number of
the 2018 elections.
12
13 So, I did watch the operations, I watched the
shutdown of the machines, and then watched the
14
15 progression records through the compilation tallies.
So, yes, I have observed the operation of the
16
17 machines as a authorized statewide poll watcher.
18 Q. So, it would be correct to say, wouldn't
it, that you've observed them from a distance, but
19
20 you've not personally examined any of the DRE
machines; is that correct?
21
22 A. That would not be correct.
Q. Have you personally ever touched a DRE
23
24 machine?
25 A. Oh, certainly.

1 Q. Have you ever voted on a DRE machine?
2 A. I have.
3 Q. Have you voted on a Georgia DRE machine?
4 A. I have not voted in -- wait, excuse me. I
5 think I was about to not get that correct. When I
6 was a resident here, I believe they were just
7 bringing in the DRE machines and so I believe I did
8 vote many years ago on the DRE machine.
9 Q. But you're not sure?
10 A. I -- I voted on a DRE machine in North
11 Carolina.
12 Q. And you personally believe that Georgia
13 should move to a paper ballot system; correct?
14 A. Certainly.
15 MR. TYSON: I don't have any other questions at the
16 moment.
17 THE COURT: Anybody else have questions for her?
18 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, we renew our objection.
19 She's never been qualified as an expert.
20 THE COURT: Go ahead.
21 MR. TYSON: For one, she just finished saying that
22 she doesn't consider herself an expert. So -- and she's
23 not demonstrated any expertise on the technical issues
24 that are involved in this case. She certainly has some
25 very strong opinions and we understand it's good for her

1 to get up on the stand to sort of lay out what the
2 plaintiffs want. But in terms of providing any factual evidence to support their claim, she's simply not been
3
4 qualified as an expert [inaudible].
MR. LINDSEY: And, Your Honor, we would also object
5
6 to Ms. Marks being qualified as an expert. We already her expertise on the DRE machines. She's testified that it's
7
8 limited to just observing them from a distance.
9 And that we don't believe that that will in any way
10 assist the Court in determining fact in issue under 702(b)
11 because that's not one of the issues here in terms of what someone saw on the machine. The issue is what was the
12
13 vote in that issue.
In addition, we would also object to her
14
15 qualification regarding Georgia practices and procedures in that those are ensconced in the election code and Your
16
17 Honor is capable of determining those without the
18 assistance from an expert.
THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
19
20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, she's not being -- a lot of what Mr. Lindsey said I didn't quite follow, but she is
21
22 not being qualified as to the technical aspects of the DRE. We have another expert for that. She instead is
23
24 being qualified for the procedure. Thank you.
25 THE COURT: The question you asked would have

1 required her to be an expert and she's not qualified as an
2 expert. You asked, you know, could Georgia conduct paper
-- I am listening. I don't look like I am sometimes but
3
4 that helps me intensely listen.
You asked her really about whether paper ballots
5
6 could be done and she has no expertise to be able to
testify to something like that.
7
8 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
9
10 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
11 OF MARILYN MARKS
12
13 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Let me ask you some questions and you --
14
15 you have not been qualified as an expert, so when I
ask you a question it's going to have be based on
16
17 your personal knowledge; do you understand that?
18 A. Yes. Yes, I do. Uh-huh.
Q. Okay. Who is Taran Greenwald?
19
20 A. Taran Greenwald is an analyst for Coalition
for Good Governance.
21
22 Q. Okay.
MR. BROWN: And has the Coalition -- let me hand you
23
24 what I'll have marked --
25 THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.

1 MR. BROWN: -- as Exhibit 1.

2 [Thereupon, the referred-to document was entered into

3 evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 1]

5

6 MR. TYSON: If we may, Your Honor, could we see a copy first? Thank you, Your Honor.

7 THE COURT: [inaudible].

9 BY MR. BROWN:

10 Q. Ms. Marks, what is Exhibit 1?

11 A. Exhibit 1 is a voting records request that was made by Mr. Greenwald and the response from the

12 Secretary of State to his request to review certain of the records.

14

15 Q. Did Coalition for Good Governance send a number of Open Records Act requests to the Secretary?

16 A. Yes, we did.

18 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I object. I'm not real sure where we're going here.

19 THE COURT:

20 MR. LINDSEY: The Court -- I assume you're trying to

21 -- just trying to lay down proper evidence -- evidence you couldn't get in. No, you couldn't obtain.

23 THE COURT: Well, so far -- so far -- I haven't seen

25 the document; okay, he hasn't admitted. So far he hasn't

1 asked --

2 MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible]
THE COURT: -- an objectionable question yet.
3

4 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: I think -- I think both Mr. Lindsey --
5

6 MR. LINDSEY: I'm anticipating -- my apologies, Your
Honor.
7

8 THE COURT: -- Mr. Lindsay and I are anticipating; we

9 may be absolutely wrong. Go ahead.

10 MR. LINDSEY: My apologies, Your Honor.

11 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Ms. Marks, is this a true and correct copy
12

13 of a January 9 email from open records at the
Secretary of State to your company's analyst, Taran
14

15 Greenwald?
A. Yes. It is.
16

17 Q. And without going through everything, what

18 does the letter say?
THE COURT: Well, you'll have to tender it before --
19

20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would move to introduce
Exhibit 1 into evidence.
21

22 THE COURT: Now, Mr. Lindsay.
MR. LINDSEY: Objection, Your Honor. It's hearsay
23

24 and it's lay -- you have to also lay a foundation as to

25 what's relevant to the particular issue before us.

1 THE COURT: Is it certified, Mr. Brown?

2 MR. BROWN: It is not. It's an email. It's a business record, Your Honor. It's an email from the

3

4 Secretary of State to her organization.
THE COURT: I -- it's not -- it's not tendered --

5

6 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: -- I mean, it's tendered; it's not

7

8 admitted.

9 MR. BROWN: Okay.

10 BY MR. BROWN:

11 Q. Ms. Marks, you testified that you were a poll watcher.

12

13 A. Yes.
Q. And was that in the November 2018 election?

14

15 A. I did. I wasn't a poll watcher in 2018, but I was also a poll watcher in previous 2018

16

17 elections and in Georgia.

18 Q. Okay. And were you ever a poll watcher in early voting for the 2018 election?

19

20 A. Yes. I was a statewide poll watcher for the Libertarian Party and the poll watcher

21

22 credentials covered the period of early voting through the of the election.

23

24 Q. Were you a poll watcher at the Ponce de

25 León Library polling location?

1 A. Yes, I was. And the way it works is that
2 we're a poll watcher for all locations.
3 Q. And -- but you were a poll watcher -- you
4 went to poll -- you went to the Ponce de León Library
5 polling location; correct?
6 A. Yes. During early voting.
7 Q. And what did you personally observe at the
8 -- that polling location?
9 A. The first thing I noticed when I got there
10 were two-hour long lines for people to get in and
11 then how hard it was for people to get into vote, and
12 I saw many people leaving because they couldn't vote.
13 And I could go through with you the troublesome --
14 Q. Well, let me ask you. Let me ask you that.
15 A. Uh-huh.
16 Q. Did you observe the DREs in operation from
17 an appropriate distance?
18 A. I did.
19 Q. Were they operating correctly?
20 A. They were not.
21 Q. And why so?
22 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'll object. I think this
23 requires Ms. Marks to have a degree of expertise regarding
24 the operation of the DRE machines for her to opine about
25 whether they were operating properly or not. There's not

1 a foundation for that.

2 THE COURT: I -- I --
MR. BROWN: I'll withdraw the question, Your Honor --
3

4 THE COURT: Withdraw --
MR. BROWN: -- and make it easier. I can make this
5

6 easy.
BY MR. BROWN:
7

8 Q. Were some of the voting machines not

9 working in that they were not taking votes at all?

10 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'll object again.

11 [inaudible] foundation, Ms. Marks can testify to that.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor --
12

13 THE COURT: She -- I think you're making much too
general of a question. I understand there's a specific
14

15 allegation. Get to the specific allegation.
MR. BROWN: Okay.
16

17 THE COURT: Okay.

18 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Ms. Marks, was the long line the result of
19

20 some of the machines not working?
A. Yes, it was.
21

22 Q. And how do you know that?
A. I -- the work of a poll watcher is to
23

24 observe the entirety of what's going on.

25 THE COURT: Okay. Just answer the question, please,

1 ma'am.

2 MR. BROWN: Yeah, just --
THE WITNESS: And I could see that the machines were
3

4 malfunctioning. I could see the screen, the machines were
malfunctioning. It was taking a very long time for those
5

6 voters to go back --
BY MR. BROWN:
7

8 Q. How were they malfunctioning, from your

9 perspective?

10 A. Okay. I was standing about five feet away

11 --
Q. And what --
12

13 A. -- it was a small place.
Q. And what did the machines do?
14

15 A. And so what would happen is a screen would
come up that would say, vote cancelled. As the voter
16

17 was attempting to enter their vote and a big red

18 warning sign came up, and the machine stopped
working. I observed that happening three times
19

20 during the 30 minutes I was at the library.
Q. Did you speak with any officials at the
21

22 polling location about that problem?
A. I attempted to. I was denied the ability
23

24 to talk to them.

25 Q. Okay. Thank you. Other than the

1 malfunctioning machines and the long lines, anything
2 else about the Ponce de León poll watching experience that is -- that struck you as unusual?
3
4 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'll just object to the question as worded. I don't think there's of the machine
5
6 were malfunctioning. I think there is testimony they displayed a red box; I don't think there's any testimony
7
8 that indicates that's that a malfunction.
9 MR. BROWN: I'll withdraw the question.
10 THE COURT: You'll have her on direct.
11 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Ms. Marks.
12
13 RECROSS-EXAMINATION
OF MARILYN MARKS
14
15
BY MR. TYSON:
16
17 Q. Ms. Marks, just one question before you
18 leave. I'll try to keep it short. You said that you saw three instances of the machine saying, vote
19
20 cancelling; is that correct?
A. That is correct.
21
22 Q. And you didn't witness any other indications of red screens coming on the machines
23
24 while you were at the Ponce precinct; is that
25 correct?

1 A. I witnessed three in 30 minutes and that is
2 all --
Q. Thank you.
3
4 A. -- and that was a sign that the machine
malfunctioning and the [inaudible] --
5
6 Q. I'm sorry. Yes. I don't believe there's
any evidence to explain it --
7
8 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, that's not a question. That
9 is a statement and I'd like to strike that from the
10 record.
11 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I request that the rest of
Ms. Marks' answer about the machine malfunction be
12
13 stricken; that there was no foundation for that statement.
THE COURT: You need to just answer the question. I
14
15 know -- I know your position; I know where you're coming
from; okay? No problem. I've already got that. You
16
17 don't have to impress me with that. Just answer the
18 questions.
MR. TYSON: All right. That's all I have. Thank
19
20 you.
THE COURT: Anybody else?
21
22 MR. LINDSEY: Just real briefly.
23
24 CROSS-EXAMINATION
25 OF MARILYN MARKS

1

2 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. Ms. Marks, is your position at the
3

4 Coalition a volunteer or paid position?
A. It is a volunteer position.
5

6 Q. Okay. Do you receive any compensation for
it?
7

8 A. None at all.

9 Q. Okay. Nevertheless, Ms. Marks, is it not

10 true --

11 MR. LINDSEY: Let me show you what we'll tender as
Duncan Defense 1.
12

13
[Thereupon, the referred-to document was entered into
14

15 evidence as Defendant's Duncan Exhibit No. 1]

16

17 BY MR. LINDSEY:

18 Q. This is a Tweet you sent out last night; is
it not? Is that not a Tweet you sent out last night?
19

20 A. It is.
Q. And does it not show that basically you are
21

22 using this trial as a fundraising mechanism for your
organization?
23

24 A. No, it does not show that.

25 Q. It does show that you are seeking to raise

1 money as part of this trial; correct?

2 A. Not as part of this trial; in order to pay attorney's fees and other legal cost.

3

4 Q. Okay.

A. That this trial will cost.

5

6 Q. Okay. And then you just sent out soliciting contributions as part of this trial;

7

8 correct?

9 A. Not as part of this trial, sir, but as part

10 -- routine requirements to raise money for a very

11 small organization with a high level of expenses.

Q. Okay.

12

13 A. We've been raising money for many years.

Q. All right. And this trial -- you sent this

14

15 out in anticipation of the trial today; correct?

A. This is consistent with fundraising

16

17 messages I've been sending out for years.

18 Q. Okay. So otherwise, you send other fundraising messages out when there are other trials

19

20 that are going on; correct?

A. Whether or not there are trials going on,

21

22 we are always in fundraising mode.

Q. Okay.

23

24 A. We need to be more.

25 Q. All right.

1 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we would tender this
2 Exhibit.
THE COURT: [inaudible] Let me have it, please.
3
4 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor. No objection, Your
Honor.
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: Defense Exhibit -- I guess it would be
Duncan 1.
7
8 THE COURT: Duncan 1. [inaudible], admitted. Go
9 ahead.
10
11 CROSS-EXAMINATION
OF MARILYN MARKS
12
13
BY MS. BURWELL:
14
15 Q. Ms. Marks, are you familiar with the
process for disabled voting on Georgia's DRE
16
17 machines?
18 A. I'm generally familiar with it. I've never
tested it myself as I have in other states.
19
20 Q. And so, what is your understanding of the
process?
21
22 A. To make sure I understand your question,
are you asking about an in-person disabled voter who
23
24 needs to use the assistive devices, is that what
25 you're --

1 Q. Yes.

2 A. -- asking me?
Q. Yes.
3

4 A. Okay. So I think that the process
generally is that the disabled voter can identify
5

6 themselves as needing the assistive device and the
poll worker ushers to a device, make sure they know
7

8 how to use it, and it's generally a vision-impaired

9 voter who would need the type of assistive device

10 that Georgia polls use and that they begin to mark

11 the ballots generally through a pad as they are
listening to what's called an audio ballot.
12

13 Q. So, are you familiar with how the actual
machine is set up for a disabled voter?
14

15 A. I am.
Q. Okay. And how would you be familiar with
16

17 that?

18 A. I've set one up before. Not in Georgia,
but just like them in other locations and I've tested
19

20 audio in other locations on the machine.
Q. So, are you familiar with what happens if
21

22 it is in disabled mode and someone uses it who is not
disabled?
23

24 A. Generally nothing very different happens,

25 not supposed to. Those machines are used frequently,

1 particularly when there are long lines. Those
2 machines are used frequently by voters in the standard mode.
3
4 Q. When you say, are used by voters in the standard mode, you mean a disabled voter?
5
6 A. No. I meant that while they do have the DREs with assistive devices, that they are not -- any
7
8 voter can, and they're not required to use the
9 assistive device.
10 Q. So, my question is, if -- and for a Georgia
11 machine it is set up for using an assistive device, but the person doesn't need it -- my question is, do
12
13 you know what happens on the machine? Do you know if it reveals anything or do you know what the machine
14
15 will show under those circumstances?
A. I don't think it shows if it is -- operates
16
17 as I believe it does -- I don't believe it shows
18 anything different than it does -- than a normal standard DRE setup does.
19
20 Q. Okay.
MR. BURWELL: Thank you.
21
22 THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
23
24 RE-DIRECT EXAMINATION
25 OF MARILYN MARKS

1

2 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Ms. Marks, if you would turn to Duncan 1, I
3

4 have a follow-up question for you.
A: I need a copy of it.
5

6 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, would you like my copy?
THE COURT: That's all right. I have it. But I
7

8 need to keep it in the stack.

9 BY MR. BROWN:

10 Q. Ms. Marks, your Tweet, which has been

11 identified as -- and introduced into evidence as
Duncan Number 1. In that you state, the state and
12

13 counties are opposing us at every turn in the court.
Do you see that?
14

15 A. I do.
Q. How has the Secretary opposed your efforts
16

17 in this matter?

18 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, objection to relevance.
Those have all been issues that have been raised to the
19

20 Court and by their very able attorney, Mr. Brown. He's
raised motions and you have denied each and every one of
21

22 those motions. And so --
THE COURT: Mr. Brown, why is that relevant to the
23

24 election contest we're trying right now? That's all we're

25 trying, is the election contest.

1 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, Mr. Lindsey opened the door
2 by introducing this into evidence in an effort to smear Ms. Marks and I'm --
3
4 THE COURT: He's not talking -- let's -- you know, I told you the other day about inflammatory language. He's
5
6 not trying to smear. He's trying to challenge her credibility.
7
8 MR. BROWN: Sure.
9 THE COURT: That's a whole -- that's a different
10 thing.
11 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. It was -- it was not my intention to smear Mr. Lindsey, I assure you.
12
13 THE COURT: I understand.
MR. BROWN: And -- thank you. I have no further
14
15 questions. Oh, well, I do. I'm sorry. If I may, Your Honor.
16
17 THE COURT: Go ahead.
18 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. HAVA. Do you know what HAVA is?
19
20 A. I do.
Q. And what does it stand for?
21
22 A. The Help America Vote Act.
Q. And are the -- is the examination of --
23
24 from Ms. Burwell, did that relate to that federal
25 law?

1 MR. LINDSAY: Your Honor, I'll object. That calls
2 for a legal conclusion.
3 MR. BROWN: Okay. Let me back up. I'll withdraw the
4 question.
5 THE COURT: [inaudible]. Sometimes it's just
6 rephrasing.
7 MR. BROWN: True. Thank you, Your Honor.
8 BY MR. BROWN:
9 Q. You -- is it your understanding, and this
10 is to follow up on the questions from Ms. Burwell --
11 is it your understanding that in some instances it is
12 required to use a DRE system that has the mechanisms
13 for assisting disabled voters?
14 MR. LINDSAY: Your Honor, I'll object again. I don't
15 think there's a foundation laid for this question and I
16 think we're back to a relevance objection again, as well,
17 that this is not relevant to the election contest.
18 THE COURT: I think -- and he's right. You've got
19 other experts coming in, so it's really neces- -- she's
20 not been qualified as an expert; she's not an expert. She
21 has a lot of information but she's not an expert. So I
22 think you need to wait for your experts for some of this.
23 MR. BROWN: That's fine, Your Honor. Thank you. I
24 have no further questions. Thank you, Ms. Marks.
25 THE COURT: You may go down. Next witness?

1 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, just to confirm, we are
2 excusing Ms. Marks -- is Ms. Marks excused so she can remain in the courtroom?
3
4 THE COURT: Yes. She is excused.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
5
6
[Thereupon, the testimony of the witness concluded at
7
8 10:25 a.m.]
9
10 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the plaintiffs would call
11 Sara LeClerc.
THE COURT: You'll have to go get her. We -- we only
12
13 see to have one deputy so you'll have to get her, or have someone go get her.
14
15 MR. BROWN: Please raise your right hand. Do you promise to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but
16
17 the truth?
18 THE WITNESS: I do.
19
20 Thereupon:
21
22 SARA LECLERC
23
24 was called as a witness by the Petitioner; and,
25 having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

1

2 DIRECT EXAMINATION
OF SARA LECLERC

3

4 BY MR. BROWN:

5

6 Q. Please state your full name for the record.
A. Sara M. LeClerc.

7

8 Q. Please have a seat. Okay. Could you spell

9 your last name for the court reporter, please?

10 A. Absolutely. It's L-E- capital C-L-E-R-C.

11 Q. Is it LeClerc; is that correct?
A. It's LeClerc, but --

12

13 Q. LeClerc.
A. -- [inaudible] doesn't matter.

14

15 Q. Ms. LeClerc, by whom are you currently
employed?

16

17 A. I work for myself. I'm an attorney and I -

18 -
THE COURT: I'm sorry.

19

20 THE WITNESS: I just work for myself. And I'm an
attorney and I -- so I work on a contract basis for other

21

22 firms.
BY MR. BROWN:

23

24 Q. And where did you go to law school?

25 A. The University of Virginia.

1 Q. Okay. And when did you graduate?
2 A. 2007.
3 Q. And did there come a time that you
4 participated in the 2018 elections in any way?
5 A. Yes.
6 Q. And what was your role?
7 A. Well, my first role I would say other --
8 well, other than actually in the election as a
9 citizen, I volunteered to observe, be a poll watcher,
10 a poll observer, so.
11 Q. And with whom did you volunteer? Was it an
12 organization that --
13 A. Yeah. I went to a training with the
14 Georgia Democrats.
15 Q. And did you end up observing any election?
16 A. Yes, I did.
17 Q. And where did you -- where were you?
18 A. Well, I did some early voting observations,
19 as well as Election Day, and then also the runoff
20 Election Day in December. So I was at different
21 locations for each of those days.
22 Q. And on November 7th, where were you
23 located?
24 A. It was November 6th.
25 Q. November 6th, I'm sorry.

1 A. And November 6th I was at Allen Temple AME
2 Church.
THE COURT: Which one?
3
4 A. Allen Temple AME. It's on Joseph Boone
Boulevard in Atlanta.
5
6 Q. And in the course of being an observer, do
you take contemporaneous notes of what you are
7
8 observing?
9 A. Yes. So, if something happens, it's not
10 just a perfectly smooth process, then I use my iPhone
11 and I have an app at the direction of to an LBJ
reporting tool. So I took notes directly to the
12
13 iPhone and website.
Q. And what does LBJ stand for, if you know?
14
15 In this instance.
A. Probably Lyndon Baines Johnson.
16
17 Q. Okay.
18 A. I believe it was named after him, given
[inaudible].
19
20 Q. Okay. And did you, in fact, enter your
notes and observations when you were at the AME
21
22 location on November 6th?
A. Yeah, I did; well, multiple times
23
24 throughout that day.
25 MR. BROWN: And I'm going to hand you a -- an Exhibit

1 and let me -- if I may explain this to counsel.

2 [Thereupon, the referred-to document was entered into

3 evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 2]

5

6 MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible].
MR. BROWN: Understood. Put it on the record while I

7 hand it to you because it's hard to read.

9 MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible] with my glasses.

10 BY MR. BROWN:

11 Q. And what I've handed to counsel is a large-
print version for Mr. Lindsey, like the books you get

12 from Amazon, and then the actual Excel spreadsheet,
which is too small even for me to read, and you could

14 just see that these blocks were copied onto this.
MR. LINDSEY: I understand. Which one do I get?

16 Both of these?

18 MR. BROWN: This is what I'm using as evidence.
MR. LINDSEY: Okay. Do I have a copy of that?

19 MR. BROWN: And you have that so you can verify it.
MR. LINDSEY: For the record, Your Honor, I had the

21 Lasix surgery. I can still read this.
THE COURT: This one? This is P-2?

23 MR. BROWN: This is P-2, Your Honor.

25 BY MR. BROWN:

1 Q. Now, Ms. LeClerc, the notes that you take
2 on the LBJ system appear on what looks like an Excel spreadsheet; is that correct? And that is not what's
3
4 in front of you. That's what I handed to Mr. Lindsey.
5
6 A. I have the large print of what you said is the Excel spreadsheet.
7
8 Q. And does that appear to be a large-print
9 version of the very small print on the Excel
10 spreadsheet?
11 A. Yes.
Q. And does the Excel spreadsheet have a true
12
13 and correct recording of the notes that you took on the LBJ system as you were observing things in the
14
15 AME voting location?
A. Yes. All of the notes that start with Sara
16
17 LeClerc, that's -- those notes are all on, yes.
18 Q. And if it's someone else -- excuse me. And if it's someone else, their name would appear, like,
19
20 Benjamin Thorpe [ph]; correct?
A. Right. There were maybe one or two notes
21
22 by a different person and their name appears next to those notes.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would like to introduce
25 Defendant's 2 into the record. I mean, sorry. P-2 into

1 the record.

2 MR. LINDSEY: If I understand it, these are contemporaneous notes that are from your observations; is

3

4 that correct?
THE WITNESS: Yes.

5

6 MR. LINDSEY: Okay. No objection.
THE COURT: [inaudible]. Yeah. Thank you.

7

8 BY MR. BROWN:

9 Q. Now, Ms. LeClerc, the way this prints out,

10 where do we start?

11 A. You actually start at the back, so these are my first pages and my last.

12

13 Q. Okay.
A. [inaudible].

14

15 Q. And let's just walk through your notes and I'll ask you some questions on what you were

16

17 observing. Looking at page four --

18 A. If I can clarify that.
Q. Sure.

19

20 A. Actually these are by incidence --
Q. Okay.

21

22 A. -- so the first incident actually starts at the bottom of page three.

23

24 Q. Okay. And what was that incident that you

25 observed?

1 A. There was an issue where the Express
2 machines were to pick up their ballots. The number that was on the machines -- well, there -- there were
3
4 two machines at this location. So, they -- the two poll workers were comparing the machines and noticed
5
6 that one machine was a couple numbers different from the other machine's count. So they seemed to be out
7
8 of sync and having discrepancies.
9 Q. And was that issue resolved?
10 A. Yes. That did get resolved. The poll
11 manager called in for a technical help but was told that it would self-correct in time and it did self-
12
13 correct [inaudible].
Q. And then what was the next incident?
14
15 A. The next incident that I started taking was that just one of the voters who came in had --
16
17 started ac- -- oh, I'm sorry.
18 Q. Could you -- I was going to ask you to refer to your notes, so maybe we could follow along
19
20 with your observations. Was this the senior voter?
A. Yes.
21
22 Q. Okay. Turn with me to the bottom of page two.
23
24 A. Yes.
25 Q. Are those your notes relating to that

1 senior voter?
2 A. Yes.
Q. And just go ahead and explain without
3
4 looking at this what you recall. That's fine.
A. So, I noticed that -- well, a voter came in
5
6 to check in, got their ballot. She was a rather
elderly lady walking on a cane, very kind, and she
7
8 went to the machine to vote. At that point, nothing
9 out of the ordinary had happened, but she started
10 turning around and asking for some help with the
11 machine.
So, we pulled to help her, to assist her. And
12
13 the two of them were at the machine for a brief time.
I think the manager left and the voter continued
14
15 voting. Then she called the manager back again and
the manager went back to assist. And so the two of
16
17 them were at the machine together for a little while
18 and appear to me ordinary but the manager is allowed
to assist the voter if the voter asks for help.
19
20 It lasted for a little while longer than I would
have anticipated and at the end of that, the voter
21
22 went down -- went over to some chairs to sit and
wait, and I noticed that the manager started shutting
23
24 that DRE machine down, closing it up, and so that was
25 unusual to me and I wanted to figure out what was

1 going on. Why was that machine getting closed? Was
2 there a problem?
So at first I was just observing. I didn't want
3
4 to get in the way of whatever the manager was doing.
And I went over to talk to the voter. She was
5
6 actually just sitting and waiting for her ride so
that she could get back to her home. So I went up to
7
8 her and asked her if everything okay. Was she able
9 to vote? And --
10 MR. LINDSEY: And, Your Honor, I'll object. Ms.
11 LeClerc's been asked [inaudible] what the voter said to be
hearsay.
12
13 THE COURT: [inaudible]. She asked her, fine. Go
ahead, what's next?
14
15 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Did you have a conversation with the voter?
16
17 A. I had a conversation with the voter.
18 Q. And based upon that conversation, did you
have an understanding of what was happening?
19
20 THE COURT: No. Understanding what's happening is
hearsay.
21
22 MR. BROWN: Okay.
THE COURT: Did you did anything as a result of it?
23
24 BY MR. BROWN:
25 Q. What did you do in response to receiving

1 the information from the voter?

2 A. So, I waited for the manager to finish up what she was doing with the machine and then

3

4 approached her to ask what happened, what -- why was the machine had been closed, what was going on.

5

6 Q. And what did the manager tell you?
MR. LINDSEY: Again, I'll object, on hearsay.

7

8 MR. BROWN: That is an admission. The manager is

9 employed by the defendants. That's an admission.

10 THE COURT: Well, does Fulton County -- does Fulton

11 County have anything to say about that?
MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible].

12

13 MS. BURWELL: Well, Your Honor, the -- it is true that the poll manager would be employed by Fulton County,

14

15 but I don't believe that the poll manager is in a position to bind the County.

16

17 THE COURT: I -- I -- I agree with that, but I'm

18 going to let the witness say what she said.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

19

20 THE WITNESS: So, the poll manager told me that she needed to close the machine because that machine had self-

21

22 cast the voter's ballot before the voter had finished voting. And the manager told me that she was assisting

23

24 the voter on the review screen. So after you make your

25 selection to get the review screen.

1 And the manager noticed that the -- there was no
2 selection made for the race for lieutenant governor and for one other race, which the manager didn't name to me.
3
4 And so she had asked the voter -- the voter intended to vote in those races. The voter said, yes --
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: That would be an objection, Your Honor. That's what the voter said.
7
8 THE COURT: That's hearsay at this point.
9 MR. LINDSEY: Yes.
10 THE COURT: But as a result of that -- I'm going to
11 let it go ahead on this one.
MR. BROWN: Okay.
12
13 THE COURT: Uh --
MR. BROWN: Go ahead, Ms. LeClerc.
14
15 THE WITNESS: So, the manager pointed to where the lieutenant governor race was, and the voter put her finger
16
17 on the area for the lieutenant governor race selection so
18 she could vote for the lieutenant governor race. And instead -- which is nowhere near the area for submit
19
20 ballot, but when she touched lieutenant governor, the machine said, your ballot has been submitted and there was
21
22 nothing they could do at that point.
So, it just self-cast before the voter could actually
23
24 make her selection on the lieutenant governor or the other
25 race.

1 BY MR. BROWN:

2 Q. Did you observe whether the poll officials took that machine out of service at that time?

3

4 A. Yes. The manager did take it out of service immediately.

5

6 Q. And then was that machine put back in service?

7

8 A. It was put back in service later in the

9 day. Yes.

10 THE COURT: Let's -- let's take a morning break, take

11 a 10-minute break.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

12

13
[Off the record at 10:25 a.m., and back on the record

14

15 at 10:37 a.m.]

16

17 THE COURT:

18
CONTINUATION DIRECT EXAMINATION

19

20 OF SARA LECLERC

21

22 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Ms. LeClerc, returning to your testimony

23

24 about your observations at the AME Church, did you

25 take any photographs of the poll tapes when you were

1 there?

2 A. Yes. I took photographs of the tops of the poll tapes that were able to print.

3

4 Q. And did you have the opportunity to observe any issues with the electronic polling?

5

6 A. Yes. And --
Q. And what did you see? What did you

7

8 observe?

9 A. So as far as the poll tapes were concerned

10 it's -- was my understanding that he receives at the

11 end of the night they print out a tape giving a record of whatever votes have been cast or at least a

12

13 breakdown by races, by each race.
At this location there were five DRE machines,

14

15 but this instance one of the machines would not print at all and the manager and workers tried many

16

17 different ways to try to get it to print. They

18 called the technical support, but they were never able to successfully from the fifth machine. So I

19

20 was not able to observe the tape of that fifth machine.

21

22 Q. Ms. LeClerc, what was the manager's reaction, if any, to the difficulties that you

23

24 described that the senior voter experienced?

25 A. To me she appeared very alarmed by what had

1 happened. When I spoke with her, she -- her tone of
2 voice was just kind of frantic and her -- you know,
3 she just with her arms and she couldn't believe that
4 the vote had cast because the voter's finger was
5 nowhere near the area for the submit ballot. So she
6 was just -- just incredulous to me.
7 Q. Did she indicate to you whether she was
8 going to file some sort of complaint with the
9 Secretary of State?
10 A. She did at one point that day. She said
11 she was going to -- she planned to file some
12 complaints because there were multiple technical
13 issues that day that they experienced.
14 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, that is the last question I
15 have for Ms. LeClerc. I have misplaced the photographs
16 that I was going to have tendered to her and I will --
17 THE COURT: [inaudible] to put them in, [inaudible].
18 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
19 THE COURT: [inaudible].
20 MR. BROWN: Oh, okay.
21 MS. LECLERC: May -- I'm sorry; may I make --
22 THE COURT: No. You can't say anything else.
23
24 CROSS-EXAMINATION
25 OF SARA LECLERC

1

2 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. Ms. LeClerc, your primary role that day was
3

4 to try to tabulate the votes that were being cast
from Ms. Abrams, correct --
5

6 A. Uh --
Q. -- and report back to the Democratic Party;
7

8 would that be fair?

9 A. No. No. That would not be fair.

10 Q. Well, that's what you did, though, didn't

11 you?
A. That was one component of what I did but it
12

13 was not about Ms. Abrams. It was just about
reporting total numbers --
14

15 Q. Oh, okay.
A. -- of votes cast, not by race or anything
16

17 like that. It wouldn't -- [inaudible] like that.

18 Q. I'm sorry. No problem. What I'm saying --
so some -- I want to make sure I understand. Your
19

20 job was to try to report back to the Democratic Party
the total votes that were cast from that precinct;
21

22 correct?
A. That was one of the [inaudible].
23

24 Q. Okay. Thank you.

25 A. [inaudible].

1 Q. And you reported what you understood to be
2 the total number of votes that were cast in that precinct; correct?
3
4 A. Yes, I did.
5 Q. Okay. Were you ever informed that there
6 was any kind of discrepancy with what was ultimately reported to the Secretary of State's office about the
7
8 total number of votes that were cast in -- from that
9 precinct?
10 A. I don't know what was reported to the
11 Secretary of State --
12 Q. Okay.
13 A. -- I just know what the poll workers and managers told me and what was printed on the --
14
15 Q. Okay.
16 A. -- four out of five tapes that printed.
17 Q. Okay. And you reported that -- my question
18 is, did you ever receive back word from the Democratic Party that they was a discrepancy?
19
20 A. No.
21 Q. Okay. Thank you. In regards to this
22 elderly worker from this report that you did, the elderly worker thought -- rather the elderly voter
23
24 thought that her vote had been properly cast;
25 correct?

1 A. She thought it had been submitted; that her
2 ballot had been submitted.
3 Q. Yes. And she didn't have any objections,
4 correct, when you talked to her?
5 A. No.
6 Q. Let me -- I think I've asked in the form of
7 a negative. Let me clean it up. My momma told me
8 never to do that. Did this voter ever report to you
9 any complaints about whether her vote had been
10 properly recorded?
11 A. No.
12 Q. Okay.
13
14 CROSS-EXAMINATION
15 OF SARA LECLERC
16
17 BY MR. TYSON:
18 Q. Good morning, Ms. LeClerc. My name's Bryan
19 Tyson with the Gwinnett Board of Elections. I just
20 wanted to ask you briefly. You indicated you were a
21 poll watcher, but later you said you were observing.
22 Were you a registered poll watcher or were you still
23 an observer?
24 A. Yes. I was a -- I was officially appointed
25 as a, I'm not sure if it's called poll watcher or

1 poll observer. But yes, I got a credential so that I
2 could be there and observe.
Q. And that was by the Democratic Party;
3
4 correct?
A. Yes.
5
6 Q. Okay. And regarding the voter that you
testified about, do you know what her name was?
7
8 A. I do not.
9 Q. Okay.
10 MR. TYSON: Thank you.
11
CROSS-EXAMINATION
12
13 OF SARA LECLERC
14
15 BY MS. BURWELL:
Q. Ms. LeClerc, the Exhibit 2 that had your
16
17 notes?
18 A. Yes.
Q. Does that include the incidents -- all the
19
20 incidents you testified about today?
A. Yes. Everything I talked about today,
21
22 those incidents are in the notes.
Q. Okay. Is there anything that's not in your
23
24 notes that you found -- excuse me?
25 A. Yes -- I mean, of course there -- I had

1 many observations that I didn't put in my notes, yes.

2 Q. But the things that you thought were important were the things that you put in the notes

3

4 that are Exhibit 2?

A. That's right.

5

6 Q. Okay. Thank you.

MR. BROWN: I don't have any further questions, Your

7

8 Honor.

9 THE COURT: Okay. You may go back to your seat.

10 Thank you. Next witness?

11

[Thereupon, the testimony of the witness concluded at

12

13 _ a.m.]

14

15 MR. BROWN: The plaintiffs would call plaintiff Jeanne Dufort.

16

17 Please raise your right hand. Do you promise to tell

18 the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?

THE WITNESS: I do.

19

20 MR. BROWN: Please have a seat.

21

22 Thereupon:

23

24 JEANNE DUFORT

25

1 was called as a witness by the Petitioner; and,
2 having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
3
4 DIRECT EXAMINATION
OF JEANNE DUFORT
5
6
BY MR. BROWN:
7
8 Q. Please have a seat so we can hear you
9 through the microphone. Please state your full name
10 for the record.
11 A. My name is Jeanne Dufort.
Q. Ms. Dufort, are you a plaintiff in this
12
13 election?
A. I am.
14
15 Q. In this litigation? Where are you from?
A. I live in Madison, Georgia, in Morgan
16
17 County.
18 Q. Are you a registered elector of the State
of Georgia?
19
20 A. I am.
Q. Are you a resident of Morgan County?
21
22 A. I am.
Q. And were you entitled to vote for either
23
24 Mr. Duncan or Ms. Amico in the contested election?
25 A. Yes.

1 Q. Mr. Dufort, what interest, just generally
2 and be very brief, but just to introduce yourself to the Court. What is your interest generally in
3
4 political activities and the election activities?
A. So, when I turned 18, I did two things. I
5
6 registered to vote and I registered to donate funds. And I've been doing both ever since. Gave --
7
8 THE COURT: [inaudible]
9 THE WITNESS: -- because I can do that, right? And
10 both of them --
11 THE COURT: It wasn't in the context. Go ahead.
THE WITNESS: Both of them lifelong habits, just
12
13 depends. Thanks to Sister Aletha, my high school civics teacher, I became very interested in American
14
15 political science and I was a major in that at the University of Chicago, which also while I was there as a
16
17 student was the first time, I was a poll watcher. So I
18 would say it's a lifelong habit of mine to be passionate about moving and about the wonderful and amazing system we
19
20 have of self-governance in the United States.
BY MR. BROWN:
21
22 Q. Ms. Dufort, have you been a poll watcher in Georgia?
23
24 A. Yes. Most recently in the 2018 general
25 election I was a registered poll watcher.

1 Q. Thank you.

2 MR. BROWN: I don't have any further questions.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I have four pages of
3

4 detailed cross-examination [inaudible].
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
5

6 MR. LINDSEY: Just have to thank you for being a poll
watcher and I apologize to the young woman for not
7

8 thanking her for being a poll watcher as well. That's

9 hard work, all.

10 THE COURT: I assume you're just trying to establish

11 qualifications as planned.
MR. BROWN: That's correct.
12

13 THE COURT: Okay.
MALE: [inaudible]
14

15 MS. BURWELL: Nothing for Fulton County.
THE COURT: Okay.
16

17 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

18
[Thereupon, the testimony of the witness concluded at
19

20 11:41 a.m.]

21

22 MR. BROWN: As long as you stipulate that they are
voters and they voted in the election. That's all
23

24 [inaudible].

25 We're going to enter into a stipulation, Your Honor,

1 to accelerate this and that is that the plaintiffs are
2 registered voters, that they were entitled to vote in the November 6th election for the contested election and that
3
4 would satisfy -- it would eliminate the need to call the other -- thank you, Your Honor.
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: No objection
THE COURT: And does Gwinnett agree with that --
7
8 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
9 THE COURT: Fulton agree with that?
10 MR. BROWN: Thank you.
11 THE COURT: Yeah. They have to be. I understand.
MR. BROWN: Just a short break, Your Honor.
12
13 THE COURT: Okay. Can we go forward, please?
MR. BROWN: The plaintiffs will call Chris Brill and
14
15 we're going to get him now.
THE COURT: Yeah. [inaudible] go do that.
16
17 [inaudible].
18 MR. BROWN: Please raise your right hand. Do you promise to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but
19
20 the truth?
THE WITNESS: I do.
21
22 MR. BROWN: Please have a seat.
23
24 Thereupon:
25

1 CHRISTOPHER BRILL

2 was called as a witness by the Petitioner; and,

3 having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

4

5

6 DIRECT EXAMINATION
OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL

7

8

9 BY MR. BROWN:

10 Q. Please state your name for the record.

11 A. Christopher Brill.

12 Q. And Mr. Brill, by whom are you currently employed?

13 A. A company called TargetSmart. TargetSmart.

14 Q. And have you been engaged by the plaintiffs to give expert advice in this matter?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. I would like to go through your background a little bit and your experience, if I may. Where did you graduate from college?

17 A. University of New Mexico.

18 Q. And what did you study?

19 A. Political science.

20 Q. And what have you been doing since graduating from University of New Mexico?

21

22

23

24

25

1 A. I'm working mostly with political
2 consulting, so working with political campaigns, nonprofits, activist organizations on electoral
3
4 strategies and how to reach hard-to-reach electorates.
5
6 Q. And have you -- you've at TargetSmart since graduating?
7
8 A. No, I have not. Just for the past six
9 years.
10 Q. Okay. And to get a little better idea of
11 your actual work experience, describe the business of TargetSmart.
12
13 A. So, TargetSmart puts together -- our core business is putting together databases, such as
14
15 individual voter -- voter-level databases using the statewide voter files, election results, other types
16
17 of publicly available information, consumer data, to
18 try to build advice and help clients determine how to target voters, how to reach voters, and how to engage
19
20 in local campaigns. So that's kind of our main business focus.
21
22 Q. And describe who your clients would be or the different categories of clients.
23
24 A. So, clients could be (C) -- you know (C)(3)
25 or (C)(4) organizations so that our nonprofits or

1 other organizations. They could political committees
2 such as national party committees. They could also
be other nonprofits. So kind of a range of -- from
3
4 nonprofits to political campaigns and the like.
Q. And describe, if you will, a -- the kind of
5
6 work that you would do for a nonprofit or a political
party, let's say, or political organization, and go
7
8 through exactly what you, Chris Brill, would do if
9 you could.
10 A. Yes. So if a political party or candidate,
11 you know, approached us, usually it's about they want
to study the election they're about to run in and,
12
13 you know, how they want -- how to go about trying to
get to essentially a win. So we go in and -- myself.
14
15 I'll analyze the election.
I will see what happened the past, how many
16
17 votes were cast in the past; you know, how different
18 candidates perform and then we usually try to -- we
call it election math, essentially, so we try to, you
19
20 know, game out, okay, this is what's happened before,
this is what we think is likely to happen in this
21
22 next election, and so let's develop a strategy to
kind of get you potentially to win.
23
24 So we use the voter file. We use election
25 results. We use other types of modeling and other

1 strategies to try to help them be as efficient as
2 they can with the resources usually limited and how they can go about trying to be successful, and so on.
3
4 Q. Mr. Brill, do you have any experience evaluating the voting trends among various
5
6 demographics?
A. Yes.
7
8 Q. And do you have -- describe that
9 experience.
10 A. Yes. So generally it usually breaks down.
11 We look at individual -- different individual demographics and trends. So, for instance, we'll
12
13 look at everything from a voter's age to race, gender, ethnicity. We use polling to try to tease
14
15 out, you know, where our voters kind of coming down on an issue or candidate based on those demographics.
16
17 We'll also use the geographic information that's
18 available so, you know, whatever comes in from the census. There's also election results and try to
19
20 package all that together into a strategy that they could use to try and contact these specific voters in
21
22 certain areas. And again, it's really about trying to find these deficiencies because there's a lot of
23
24 voters and trying to figure out which ones you want
25 to try to engage with and how to engage with them.

1 Q. And I take it in your experience you have
2 experience analyzing voting trends; correct?
A. Yes.
3
4 Q. Do you have experience -- have you ever
been an expert witness before?
5
6 A. I have not, no.
Q. Okay. Have you -- do you have experience
7
8 in evaluating voting participation?
9 A. Yes.
10 Q. Okay. And what is that experience?
11 A. Generally, that will -- we'll look at, you
know, past turnout trends. So we'll see, you know,
12
13 what does turnout look like in previous elections.
We will look at things like drop-off, especially for
14
15 our clients that are down-ticket to try to determine
-- you know, how to mitigate drop-off if you can, and
16
17 we'll work with, you know, trying to, you know, use
18 again, the voter file, kind of geographic data to
kind of craft -- you know, look at the trends that
19
20 especially, you know, demographically is also
politically to try to see, you know, how leverage
21
22 those trends to be, again, successful in their
campaign and for everyone.
23
24 Q. Let me take one piece of that and ask you
25 another question on it. Did you say that you might

1 be engaged by a down-ballot candidate?

2 A. Yes.
Q. And is one of the concerns they would have
3

4 being under-voting? Is that -- might be a concern?
A. Correct. Yes.
5

6 Q. Okay. And would your work involve
evaluating why people don't vote for a particular
7

8 race if they are voting at all?

9 A. Correct. Yes.

10 Q. Okay.

11 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would like to tender Mr.
Brill as an expert witness on voting trends and on reasons
12

13 for under-voting and for his evaluation of specific
results of the 2018 election.
14

15 MR. TYSON: I'd like to ask more questions of Mr.
Brill, if I may.
16

17 THE COURT: Yes. Go ahead.

18 MR. TYSON: Good morning, Mr. Brill. My name is
Bryan Tyson. I represent the Gwinnett County Board of
19

20 Registrations and Elections. I just had a couple of
questions for you.
21

22

CROSS-EXAMINATION
23

24 OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL

25

1 BY MR. TYSON:

2 Q. You were explaining earlier to Mr. Brown about your role with voting trends and those kinds of

3

4 things. Have you ever had any study or done any analysis of ballot design?

5

6 A. Ballot design? No.
Q. Have you had any experience in election

7

8 administration in terms of you personally helping

9 administer an election?

10 A. No.

11 Q. Do you have any knowledge of DRE machines in Georgia or how they function technically?

12

13 A. No.
Q. Okay. So it's correct, isn't it, you have

14

15 no firsthand knowledge of any DRE machine in the state of Georgia that was used in the 2018 general

16

17 election; correct?

18 A. Correct.
Q. Okay.

19

20 MR. TYSON: All right, Your Honor, at this time we would -- Gwinnett County would object mostly on those

21

22 questions I'd asked first.
THE COURT: Anybody else got any questions?

23

24 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, we object to Mr. Brill's --

25 THE COURT: No, I understand that. Let me do --

1 MR. TYSON: Yes. I'm sorry.

2 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, just a couple questions.

3

4 CROSS-EXAMINATION
OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL

5

6 BY MR. LINDSEY:

7

8 Q. You've never worked on a campaign in

9 Georgia; is that correct?

10 A. Like a candidate campaign?

11 Q. Yeah.
A. No.

12

13 Q. Okay.
MR. LINDSEY: No further questions.

14

15 MS. BURWELL: I have no questions.
THE COURT: Okay.

16

17 MS. BURWELL: Okay.

18 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, we do object to Mr. Brill's
admission as an expert on this case. First of all, on the

19

20 issue of voting trends, that has nothing to -- under
702(b) facts and issues of the case; voting trends are not

21

22 an issue in this case.
As to -- in terms of the reasons for the under-vote,

23

24 Mr. Brill just testified that he has no experience in

25 terms of ballot design, election administration, what's

1 going on with the DREs; and as to the specific results of
2 this particular under-vote we would, on the same issue,
that he has no experience that's relevant to the Court to
3
4 determine the issue of this particular case.
THE COURT: Anyone else at this time? Nope? Okay.
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: We would join in the objection, Your
Honor.
7
8 MR. BROWN: Yeah, Your Honor, the argument that Mr.
9 Tyson made was not about what he's being tendered as an
10 expert in. In that end, I have established that he does
11 have expertise in what he's going to testify about. And
it is abundantly clear under Georgia law that he should be
12
13 qualified as a witness to both discuss the actual election
results and what possible reasons for the under-vote there
14
15 might be. And it may go to wait, but it certainly does
not go to admissibility. Thank you, Your Honor.
16
17 THE COURT: I'm not going to qualify him as an
18 expert. I don't think that's an area of expertise. And
I'm having trouble with that. He's going to say why the
19
20 numbers were this way and what could have happened. An
expert usually says what has happened, and I'm not going
21
22 to let you qualify him as an expert. You can ask him
questions and see where we go.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Sure. I'm going to ask you some
25 questions about what has happened.

1

2 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL

3

4 BY MR. BROWN:

5

6 Q. You with me, Mr. Brill?
A. Yes.

7

8 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, this is a borderline issue
9 and it may be rearguing and if it is, I apologize, but I -
10 - this is an important witness to us and an important line
11 of inquiry.
We would be asking Mr. Brill to explain possible
12
13 causes for under-voting and the point of that testimony is
to rebut the -- is to address the issues of why other than
14
15 machine malfunction there might be for an under-vote. And
he has testified that he advises clients on that issue and
16
17 that that is something that he is knowledgeable about.
18 THE COURT: Years ago I was trying a case in front of
old Judge [inaudible], he was quite a character, and me
19
20 and the DA -- I was defending -- me and the DA were going
at it and he goes, doesn't a judge get time to think?
21
22 Give me time to think about this one a little bit.
Let me -- and make sure I understand you. He wants to put
23
24 up a bunch of ideas about why there was an under-vote.
25 And you think that's an expertise?

1 MR. BROWN: Based upon his experience and his
2 knowledge about what to address, the possible areas of under-voting, of why it would be under-voting and why it
3
4 might not be based upon his knowledge and experience.
THE COURT: Well, I don't see that he has any
5
6 expertise. I'm having trouble with that. You know, he's done some things and he's got some ideas; that doesn't
7
8 make him an expert. He doesn't have any training; he
9 doesn't have any background; he doesn't have -- I'll let
10 you ask the questions and we'll see where we go.
11 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Uh-huh.
12
13 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Did you review the results of the 2018
14
15 election in Georgia?
A. Yes.
16
17 Q. And describe for the Court where you looked
18 at and what you reviewed.
A. Yeah. So I examined the totals that were
19
20 cast for eight ticket races that were on the ballot from governor down to I believe commissioner of
21
22 labor. And then I examined the under-vote that took place for each of those races to try to see, you
23
24 know, what that trend looked like and that's when I
25 first saw, you know, the outlier and the anomaly that

1 was the lieutenant governor's vote totals.

2 So what my analysis kind of focused on was trying to figure out exactly what -- where that

3

4 under-vote came from, what counties, why -- you know, why vote the way it did and what kind of reasons, you

5

6 know, that could possibly, you know, entail for it.
Q. And the information that you received came

7

8 from the Secretary of State's databases; is that

9 right?

10 A. Correct. Yes.

11 Q. And in the course of your work, do you sometimes also review data from other states?

12

13 A. Correct. Uh-huh.
Q. And do you also review historical data,

14

15 like say, from Georgia?
A. Yes.

16

17 Q. And did you look at the Secretary of

18 State's information in Georgia on older Georgia races?

19

20 A. Yes.
Q. And did you compare the voting trends and

21

22 patterns between this race and prior Georgia races in other states, lieutenant governor races?

23

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. And is that the kind of work that you do

1 for a living also for your clients?
2 A. Yes.
Q. And does that require you to look at
3
4 various databases and to sift a lot of different
information in a systematic way?
5
6 A. Yes.
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, Mr. Brown is leading the
7
8 witness on a lot of these points and he's testifying. I
9 think he needs to ask questions that are directly
10 addressing the witness.
11 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Brill, in your experience, have you
12
13 developed an expertise or understanding of reasons
for under-voting?
14
15 A. Yes.
Q. And without disclosing the reasons for
16
17 under-voting can you testify as to how you gained
18 that experience and expertise?
A. By examining thousands of elections across
19
20 all 50 states.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would like to retender Mr.
21
22 Brill as an expert on under-voting and the particular
reasons for under-voting, and how his understanding of
23
24 under-voting relates to what he's observed in the results
25 which are in evidence of the Georgia election.

1 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, we'll renew our objection on
2 this point as to Mr. Brill; that he certainly could have looked at a lot of numbers, but numbers alone are not
3
4 going to tell you why something is happening and I think that's what Mr. Brown is trying to get at as to Mr.
5
6 Brill's opinion about why and he has not shown Mr. Brill has expertise from just looking at various numbers on that
7
8 point.
9 MR. LINDSEY: I'll also add to that objection, Your
10 Honor. There's been no testimony whatsoever that this
11 individual has any intimate knowledge regarding the details of this particular race that took place;
12
13 therefore, he would not be qualified to be a --
THE COURT: I'm not going to qualify him as an
14
15 expert. I mean, the reasons why, I mean, there are some pretty obvious simple statements in any race, but I have
16
17 trouble with reasons why. You can testify to numbers and
18 all that kind of thing. I don't see -- reasons why, this isn't going. Next question.
19
20 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: P-3?
21
22 MR. BROWN: Yes.
BY MR. BROWN:
23
24 Q. Mr. Brill, is P-3 your affidavit?
25 A. I'm sorry?

1 Q. Is P-3 your --

2 MR. BROWN: Well, Plaintiff's Exhibit 3 your affidavit?

3

4 [Thereupon, the referred-to document was entered into

5 evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 3]

6

7

8 THE WITNESS: Oh, I -- yes.

9 BY MR. BROWN:

10 Q. And without disclosing any contents yet --

11 MR. TYSON: Counsel, could I get a copy?
MR. BROWN: Oh, I'm very sorry. Sorry about that.

12

13 THE COURT: Let me cycle the record to everybody. Various people made proffers of evidence; I did not look

14

15 at any of them. Everything should come in the courtroom.
BY MR. BROWN:

16

17 Q. Mr. Brill, without disclosing the contents

18 of it yet, at the plaintiff's request you prepared a report on the analysis of the 2018 Georgia lieutenant

19

20 governor under-vote; correct?
A. Correct.

21

22 Q. And in the course of that you observed certain numbers that came from the Secretary of

23

24 State; correct?

25 A. Correct.

1 Q. And did you analyze those -- well, did you
2 present those numbers on page two of your report to the Coalition?
3
4 A. Yes. I did.
5 Q. Okay. Let me refer you to Table 1, which
6 is entitled, Total 2018 Under-Vote by Office; do you see that?
7
8 A. Yes.
9 Q. Does that -- is that table an accurate
10 report of information from the Secretary of State's
11 website?
12 A. Yes.
13 Q. And it shows -- and just explain in terms of your terminology these columns. The first column
14
15 is the name of the race; correct?
16 A. Correct.
17 Q. The second one is the total number of votes
18 that that race attracted; correct?
19 A. Correct.
20 Q. And the third is what you call the under-vote; is that right?
21
22 A. Yes.
23 Q. And here -- the way you do it, the under-
24 vote is a comparison between the particular race and
25 the governor's race; is that --

1 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, if I could object. Mr.
2 Brill can testify to what these terms mean instead of Mr. Brown explaining those and he's the leading the witness
3
4 again on that.
MR. BROWN: Sure. I'll withdraw the question. I'm
5
6 just trying to speed it up, Your Honor.
BY MR. BROWN:
7
8 Q. Mr. Brill, what is the under-vote -- what
9 is the calculation for the under-vote?
10 A. I calculated it by comparing the total that
11 was cast for the governor's election and comparing that against the total that was cast for every other
12
13 election [inaudible].
Q. Is the under-vote different than the under-
14
15 vote of the total number of people who voted?
A. It would be, yes. Yes.
16
17 Q. Is that because some people don't even vote
18 for a governor?
A. Correct.
19
20 Q. Okay. Do you have an understanding of how many, just in general terms, people in this election
21
22 did not vote for governor?
A. I would have to double check, but I think
23
24 it was something along the lines of 10 to 15,000,
25 maybe. I don't have an exact number in front of me.

1 Q. Fair to say it would be a small portion of
2 even 1 percent?
A. It's a fraction of a percent.
3
4 Q. And then in the last column it says,
dropout versus governor; do you see that?
5
6 A. Yes.
Q. And that would reflect the percent -- what
7
8 does that -- what is that calculation?
9 A. So that calculation again is just taking
10 the under-vote and -- as the percentage of the total
11 cast for governor. So, example, lieutenant governor,
the under-vote was about 4 percent lower than the
12
13 total that was cast for governor; and then secretary
of state, 1.4 percent and so forth. It's just taking
14
15 the under-vote number there and dividing it by the
total votes cast for governor.
16
17 Q. Let me direct your -- you testified earlier
18 that you reviewed data on the Secretary of State's
website relating to prior elections in Georgia; is
19
20 that correct?
A. Yes.
21
22 Q. And are those presented in Table 2?
A. Yes, they are.
23
24 Q. And if you would just describe to the Court
25 what those rows and columns show.

1 A. Yes. So, it's again the same calculation
2 as in Table 1, except looking at elections from 2002 to 2018, and so essentially in calculating that
3
4 under-vote percentage for each of those races across election -- across each election cycle up to 2018.
5
6 Q. And which has the highest under-vote total?
A. The 2018 lieutenant governor's race.
7
8 Q. And looking at the four prior races, have
9 you calculate the average under-vote for the
10 lieutenant governor's race for those four years?
11 A. Yes. It was around 0.8 percent.
Q. And can you do in your head -- doing the
12
13 math it would be necessary to determine what the under-vote number would be if the historical trend of
14
15 .8 were applied today?
A. If you have a percentage, the under-vote
16
17 would be around 31,532 -- thousand votes on under-
18 vote assumption.
THE COURT: Say that again. Someone coughed.
19
20 THE WITNESS: 31,532, I believe. In that range. I can double check exactly -- that exact amount.
21
22 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. And so rather than the under-vote being --
23
24 let me direct your attention back up to Table 1.
25 A. Uh-huh.

1 Q. Rather than the under-vote being 159,024,
2 if it had tracked the historical trend, it would be that number less 31,000-something; correct?
3
4 A. Correct, yeah.
Q. Okay.
5
6 A. Given all the -- what we know about elections, yes. That would be correct.
7
8 Q. Okay. Now, did you review data from other
9 states lieutenant governor elections?
10 A. I did, yes.
11 Q. And is -- does Chart 1 on the next page of your affidavit show that information?
12
13 A. Yes.
Q. That chart --
14
15 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, did I neglect to give you a copy of this affidavit?
16
17 THE COURT: No. That's not in evidence.
18 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. The long bar here is from California?
19
20 A. Correct.
Q. And did you do research to determine why
21
22 California had a much higher under-vote percentage?
A. Yes.
23
24 Q. And did you -- and what did you find?
25 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object. Mr. Brill's

1 going to be testifying to why California had a higher
2 under-vote percentage. I think under your prior explanation of terms in the opinion that's not an
3
4 appropriate area for him to testify to.
THE COURT: Sustained.
5
6 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Brill, I'm not going to ask you about
7
8 why California looked that way. My next question is,
9 apart from California, what state had the highest
10 under-vote total?
11 A. Georgia.
Q. Let me direct your attention to the next
12
13 page of your analysis.
Did you have the opportunity to compare the
14
15 under-voting in the Georgia race between votes that were cast electronically on the one hand in votes
16
17 that were cast on paper?
18 A. Yes.
Q. And where did you get that information
19
20 from?
A. From the Secretary of State's website.
21
22 They make that county-level canvas available that I use.
23
24 Q. Okay. And does Chart 2, on the next page
25 of your report, show the under-vote percentage by

1 office and vote method?

2 A. It does.
Q. And if you would just explain to the Court,
3

4 first for the lieutenant governor, what those bars
show.
5

6 A. So, I had to look at three here. The first
was Election Day under-vote and what that shows is
7

8 that lieutenant governor was about 4.5 percent rate

9 of under-vote. Advanced voting of the early vote

10 person was around 3.9 percent. But then absentee

11 voting was down to 1 percent.
Q. And is your understanding that advanced
12

13 voting is electronic voting?
A. That's my understanding, yes.
14

15 Q. And so looking at the -- these bars, paper
voting had only a 1 percent under-vote; is that
16

17 correct?

18 A. Yes.
Q. But the electronic had over 4 percent
19

20 under-vote; is that correct?
A. Correct.
21

22 Q. Okay. Did you see that same -- those same
types of numbers when you reviewed the Secretary of
23

24 State race or the attorney general race?

25 A. I did not.

1 Q. And what did you find when you reviewed
2 those?
3 A. When I reviewed those, I found that the
4 under-vote percentages were relatively even across
5 all those methods.
6 THE COURT: Say that again. Way too fast.
7 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I found that the under-vote
8 was relatively consistent percentage-wise across all three
9 methods of voting when you look at Secretary of State,
10 attorney general.
11 BY MR. BROWN:
12 Q. And so, you don't -- to make sure I'm clear
13 on this. So you don't see the disparity between
14 paper votes and electronic votes in the Secretary of
15 State's race or the attorney general's race that you
16 saw in the lieutenant governor's race; is that
17 correct?
18 MR. TYSON: Objection, Your Honor. Leading.
19 THE COURT: Rephrase. Sometimes when there's an
20 objection you don't bother responding and I -- on the
21 record it looks like I didn't give you a chance to speak.
22 Well, I'm giving you a chance to speak; okay?
23 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I'll withdraw the question.
24 THE COURT: Okay.
25 BY MR. BROWN:

1 Q. Let's go through these individual numbers,
2 Mr. Brill. The Secretary of State's office, what was the Election Day percent under-vote?
3
4 A. 1.5 percent.
Q. What was the advanced voting percentage
5
6 under-vote for the Secretary of State?
A. 1.3 percent.
7
8 Q. And then what was the absentee voting
9 percent?
10 A. 1.4 percent.
11 Q. And then for the attorney general, what was the under-vote for Election Day?
12
13 A. 2.2 percent.
Q. What was the percentage for advanced
14
15 voting?
A. 1.8 percent.
16
17 Q. And what was the percentage for absentee
18 voting?
A. 1.7 percent.
19
20 Q. And just to review for the record to make sure I have it in there, on the lieutenant governor's
21
22 race, what was the percentage for Election Day voting?
23
24 A. 4.5.
25 THE COURT: 4.5 percent. 3.9 percent, 1 percent.

1 Got it.

2 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Paying attention.
3

4 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. Thank you, Your Honor.
5

6 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Brill, in your experience have you seen
7

8 a voting pattern ever before that looks like the

9 voting pattern in the vote for the lieutenant

10 governor's race in Georgia?

11 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object that Mr. Brill is not qualified as an expert on this.
12

13 THE COURT: He's not qualified as an expert, Mr. Brown. I'm not -- he's putting numbers -- he can put in
14

15 the numbers. There's other things you can argue.
MR. BROWN: May I ask him what numbers he's never
16

17 seen that resemble this, Your Honor.

18 THE COURT: Well, just -- just watch where you go. I mean, you ask the questions, I'll rule as we go.
19

20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I'll ask this.
BY MR. BROWN:
21

22 Q. And Mr. Brill, don't answer, because there
may be an objection. Have you seen numbers in your
23

24 review of all of the races that you've reviewed in

25 the course of your work that resemble the pattern

1 seen in Georgia, ever?

2 MR. TYSON: And Your Honor, I'll object on that point, as we haven't laid a foundation for any --

3

4 THE COURT: We're dealing with this -- this race. I'm not dealing with California, Nevada, wherever. I'm

5

6 dealing with Georgia.
MR. BROWN: And I would like to ask a question for

7

8 the record.

9 BY MR. BROWN:

10 Q. Were you able to reach any conclusions

11 about whether the data that you reviewed cast a doubt over the accuracy of the final vote? And don't

12

13 answer yet.
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, objection for the same reason

14

15 that was stated.
THE COURT: I mean, you got the information from the

16

17 Secretary of State. Whether it's valid or not; who knows?

18 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, let me --
THE COURT: He -- he got the number [inaudible] that

19

20 what's he testified to. So I'm taking the numbers.
MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor. The reason for asking

21

22 it is that it is, in my view, it is probative and it goes to weight as to whether this witness based upon his

23

24 experience believes that the numbers cast doubt upon the

25 election. That's the reason for asking.

1 THE COURT: No. He can't -- he can't say that one
2 way or the other. That's -- that's -- can be my decision, I'm afraid. Okay.
3
4 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, if I may have just a --
THE COURT: Surely.
5
6 MR. BROWN: -- minute.
BY MR. BROWN:
7
8 Q. Mr. Brill, have you had the opportunity to
9 review the voting numbers that appear in the brief
10 that was filed by Defendant Duncan in this case?
11 A. I believe so, yes.
THE COURT: Didn't you stipulate that at the last
12
13 hearing?
MR. BROWN: Huh?
14
15 THE COURT: Wasn't there a stipulation that those numbers were correct at the last hearing?
16
17 MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.
18 THE COURT: Hmm? I thought there was. I thought we --
19
20 MR. BROWN: Yes.
THE COURT: So you would -- because I remember the
21
22 statement that you wouldn't have to prove them again. So they're stipulated so you can talk about them.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor. Okay.
25 BY MR. BROWN:

1 Q. Mr. Brill, did you identify any misleading
2 or incorrect statements in the brief?
3 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'm going to object to --
4 THE COURT: You stipulated, Mr. Brown.
5 MR. LINDSEY: I'm going to object to this.
6 THE COURT: You stipulated.
7 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor, this is --
8 THE COURT: You can talk about -- okay. And the
9 language misleading and all this kind of stuff. Are your
10 numbers different from their numbers?
11 MR. LINDSEY: Yes. Your Honor, we're here today to
12 listen to -- to present evidence to you as to our
13 particular points. Both sides have delivered a brief to
14 you beforehand. I have no intention to simply bring up
15 their briefs and, you know, let's stick to the evidence as
16 presented here today. That being said --
17 THE COURT: Get -- get to your --
18 MR. LINDSEY: -- got my math off a little, Your
19 Honor.
20 THE COURT: Get to your point.
21 MR. LINDSEY: Right.
22 THE COURT: If you will, Mr. Brown.
23 BY MR. BROWN:
24 Q. Did you have a chance to review the numbers
25 reflected in Paragraph 1 under District 4?

1 THE COURT: Paragraph 1, Exhibit 4.

2 A. I don't think I have that document with me.
Q. Okay.
3

4 THE COURT: Exhibit A, you mean? You're talking
about Exhibit A?
5

6 MR. BROWN: This is in their brief, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Well, let me see what I'm looking at.
7

8 [inaudible] numbers, okay. Go ahead, see where we're

9 headed.

10 BY MR. BROWN:

11 Q. Looking at brief -- do you have that in
front of you?
12

13 A. I do.
THE COURT: Which brief? There are --
14

15 MR. BROWN: This is Exhibit 4. This is the response
to Defendant Geoff Duncan to plaintiff's renewed discovery
16

17 demands and motion for continuance and --

18 THE COURT: That's not the one I'm looking at. I'm
looking at the numbers on the back of the opposition to
19

20 plaintiff's motion for continuance.
MR. BROWN: Sorry.
21

22 THE COURT: I don't know which other set you got.
MR. BROWN: Okay. Sorry.
23

24 THE COURT: Go ahead. Ask him the question.

25 BY MR. BROWN:

1 Q. Did you have an opportunity to -- if you
2 would look at that -- point number one, the impact of
3 third-party candidates; do you see that?
4 A. I don't have that in front of me at the
5 moment. I remember going over it but that's not the
6 brief that's in front of me.
7 Q. Okay. Okay.
8 MR. BROWN: I don't have any further questions.
9 Thank you.
10 MR. TYSON: Very briefly, Your Honor.
11
12 RECROSS-EXAMINATION
13 OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL
14
15 BY MR. TYSON:
16 Q. Mr. Brill, when you looked at the historic
17 patterns, the numbers you testified to earlier, the
18 past Georgia races, did you look at any races for
19 Public Service Commission?
20 A. I did not, no.
21 Q. And you know that's a statewide race in
22 Georgia; correct?
23 A. I do, yes.
24 Q. Did you look at any races where Georgia had
25 a U.S. Senate race at the same time as a governor's

1 race?

2 A. I did not.
Q. Thank you.
3

4 MR. LINDSEY: Just a few questions, sir.

5

6 RECROSS-EXAMINATION
OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL
7

8

9 BY MR. LINDSEY:

10 Q. Did you in any way do any review of the

11 conduct of the campaign in the closing days of the campaign?
12

13 A. I did not.
Q. Okay. You, as someone who's been a
14

15 political consultant does, that the conduct in the campaign can often change how voters vote in the last
16

17 days; correct?

18 A. Right.
Q. Okay. A candidate, and sometimes that
19

20 changes the numbers between early voting and the day of the voting; correct?
21

22 A. Correct.
Q. And sometimes it can even change whether or
23

24 not voters choose to vote in a particular race on

25 Election Day that earlier voters may vote for;

1 correct?

2 A. That would -- I mean, that would, I suppose.

3

4 Q. Yeah, fair enough. That would [inaudible]. For instance, if you had a particular candidate

5

6 running for lieutenant governor as a Democrat and in the closing days of the campaign she had several very

7

8 negative press articles about her firm, about her

9 company that she headed up, in which there was

10 allegation of racial discrimination, [inaudible]

11 racial discrimination, that would have a negative impact on Democratic voters in particular; correct?

12

13 A. It could, yeah.
Q. It probably would dampen their enthusiasm

14

15 to vote for her; correct?
A. It could, but I don't know the context, so

16

17 I'd have to [inaudible]. It would take quite a bit

18 of effort, thought to --
Q. Okay.

19

20 A. -- [inaudible] votes.
Q. And you don't know the context because you

21

22 didn't follow the details of this race; correct?
A. Correct.

23

24 Q. Okay. And you have no knowledge as -- for

25 instance, as to tracking polling that took place in

1 the closing days of the campaign; correct?

2 A. Correct.
Q. Now Ms. Amico -- now, you sort of focused
3

4 on the total number of votes that were cast. Ms.
Amico did not receive the least number of votes for a
5

6 Democrat in this -- in the 2018 -- in statewide races
in 2018; correct?
7

8 A. Correct.

9 Q. As a matter of fact, her votes were

10 somewhere in the middle of all the votes along the

11 various folks who ran statewide in Georgia who were
Democrats; correct?
12

13 A. I'd have to look into that [inaudible] --
Q. Okay.
14

15 A. -- [inaudible].
Q. All right. So -- but you didn't -- but you
16

17 are familiar that she did sort of run -- one the

18 better term, the middle one, the pack among
Democrats; correct?
19

20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, this is not relevant. The
claim is that there were under-votes for this election,
21

22 not that one particular candidate received less votes.
Obviously, it's the total number of votes that are
23

24 claimed.

25 THE COURT: He went into what the attorney general

1 did, what the secretary of state, so I think -- you did,
2 so he's entitled to respond to that.
MR. LINDSEY: Yeah.
3
4 THE WITNESS: I didn't specifically analyze performance in this analysis. It was mostly just actual
5
6 vote totals.
BY MR. LINDSEY:
7
8 Q. Okay. For instance, you're not aware that
9 the Democratic candidates for agriculture, school
10 board superintendent, Public Service Commission, and
11 commissioner of insurance, Democratic candidates all received fewer votes than Ms. Amico in this
12
13 particular race?
A. And again, I'd have to double-check.
14
15 Q. Okay. And in fact, for two other races, those being another Public Service Commission and
16
17 Labor Commission race, Ms. Amico was within 8,000 or
18 less votes of the Democrats in those races; are you aware of that?
19
20 A. Again, I have to study that independent study --
21
22 Q. Okay.
A. -- candidate performance.
23
24 Q. All right. In fact, the only races in
25 which she received fewer votes than other Democrats

1 was Ms. Abrams' race, Mr. Barrow's race, and Mr.
2 Charles Bailey's race for attorney general. Are you aware of that?
3
4 A. Again, I'd have to study --
Q. Okay.
5
6 A. -- I didn't study candidate performance.
Q. All right. Even though you haven't studied
7
8 the performance, you are aware of the fact that Ms.
9 Abrams was a very high-profile candidate for
10 governor?
11 A. Correct.
Q. Okay. And are you familiar with the fact
12
13 that Mr. Barrow was a very high-profile candidate for Secretary of State on the Democratic side?
14
15 A. I'm not aware.
Q. Okay. Are you aware of the fact, for
16
17 instance, that he had been prior to this race a five-
18 term Congressman in Georgia?
A. I was not aware of that.
19
20 Q. Okay. And voter ID is very important in regards to individuals -- in regards to folks --
21
22 getting folks to vote for you; correct?
A. Voter ID?
23
24 Q. Yeah.
25 A. I'm sorry. I don't quite understand that,

1 the link.

2 THE COURT: Explain that application. I think you mean one thing and he's thinking another thing.

3

4 MR. LINDSEY: Okay.
BY MR. LINDSEY:

5

6 Q. You said you looked at other races in Georgia's past. Did you look at the number of new

7

8 voters that were -- that cast votes in 2018 versus

9 previous years?

10 A. No, I did not.

11 Q. Okay. So, are you aware of the fact that there was almost -- where there was a -- possibly a

12

13 three-and-a-half-time increase in new voters?
A. That I -- I don't know that exact number

14

15 but that would not surprise me.
Q. Okay. And based on your experience as a

16

17 political consultant, you know, that when it comes to

18 new voter, particularly down-ballot, it takes a lot more education to try to educate those new voters

19

20 than someone who's voted year in and year out; correct?

21

22 A. That's right.
MR. LINDSEY: No further questions.

23

24 THE COURT: [inaudible]?

25 MS. BURWELL: No questions, Your Honor.

1 [inaudible]

2 THE COURT: [inaudible]

3

4 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL

5

6 BY MR. BROWN:

7

8 Q. You testified in response to a question by

9 Mr. Lindsey, about whether you looked at the

10 lieutenant governor total in a race in which there

11 was a U.S. Senate race and there was a race in the governor's race; do you recall that?

12

13 A. Yes.
Q. And let me direct your attention to the

14

15 year 2014 and was there a Senate -- a U.S. Senate race in Georgia in 2014 involving David Perdue?

16

17 A. I believe so, yes.

18 Q. And what was the under-vote total in 2014?
A. Between -- I'm sorry?

19

20 Q. What was the under-vote for lieutenant governor in 2014?

21

22 A. The percentage?
Q. Yes.

23

24 A. 0.8 percent.

25 Q. Okay. You were asked some questions, and I

1 want to explore your answers to the questions on
2 cross-examination a little bit more. In particular, you were asked to give your opinion on some matters.
3
4 Now, you were asked whether under-voting could be caused by new voters being -- not having enough
5
6 attention on the -- about it; do you recall that testimony?
7
8 A. Yes.
9 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object to that. I don't
10 believe that's the question Mr. Brill was asked, but the
11 question was --
THE COURT: He didn't -- he didn't phrase it that
12
13 way. I will let you ask a question --
MR. BROWN: Okay.
14
15 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. In that regard, in your experience, what
16
17 are the more common reasons for under-voting?
18 THE COURT: No. That's -- that's not the appropriate question.
19
20 MR. TYSON: Yeah.
THE COURT: The question is about new voters. You
21
22 can ask him about new voters.
MR. BROWN: Just for the record, Your Honor, the
23
24 question called for the same type of expertise that my
25 question calls for.

1 THE COURT: Sir, I said you can -- you can only go
2 into what he talked about as to new voters.
MR. BROWN: Okay.
3
4 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Are -- do new voters sometimes vote less in
5
6 campaigns for various reasons?
A. It depends on the context of the election,
7
8 but new voters -- you know, we don't find any sort
9 of, you know, when they under-vote generally -- or it
10 can be -- for starters, we don't know exactly who
11 under-votes. I mean, I think that's point number
one. We don't know that. We don't have any way of
12
13 getting that information.
It's speculation when we see high turnout
14
15 elections and that encourages more infrequent newer
voters that come to the polls and if we see higher
16
17 rates of under-voting, that is sort of, you know,
18 something that we consider, but generally when we see
that under-vote it's very consistent, and so it
19
20 starts at one level at the top of the ballot and then
it goes down, then that under-vote kind of -- it will
21
22 increase as you go down the ballot.
I've never seen a type of under-vote where
23
24 voters, who generally don't know who these candidates
25 are anyway, decides to skip one race and then start

1 voting for commission of agriculture at higher rates
2 or commissioner of insurance at higher rates. That would be the first time I've ever seen something like
3
4 those.
Q. Thank you. You were asked by Mr. Lindsey a
5
6 number of questions of whether there were some other candidates who had received fewer votes than Ms.
7
8 Amico; do you recall that?
9 A. Yes.
10 Q. And in fact he actually had better
11 questions to ask and one would have been, isn't it true that the libertarian candidate got way more
12
13 votes -- way fewer votes than Ms. Amico in the governor's race?
14
15 A. I believe so, yeah.
Q. And so is it germane to your understanding
16
17 of the voting patterns that there might be some
18 candidates that got fewer votes down ballot or up ballot if they're third-party candidates or not
19
20 popular? Do you have anything to do with that?
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, gets back to the why. It
21
22 gets back to the why. He can testify as to what, but he's now entering into the why again. He's trying to get his
23
24 witness as an expert to the back door. He couldn't do it
25 through the front, he shouldn't be allowed to do it

1 through the back. [inaudible]

2 MR. BROWN: I'll withdraw the question, Your Honor.
BY MR. BROWN:

3

4 Q. I want to get back to the numbers because
you were asked about other down ballot races by Mr.

5

6 Lindsey, did you review the numbers on the state
representative seats up for election in 2018?

7

8 A. I did, yes.

9 Q. And did -- what did you find with respect

10 to whether -- about the voting patterns with respect

11 to those contests?
A. So, in those contests I examined the number

12

13 of votes that were cast for state representative to
try to establish the baseline in an election that I

14

15 know usually has, you know, high rates of under-
voting. What I found in that case was that there

16

17 were about a thousand precincts statewide where there

18 were actually fewer votes cast for lieutenant
governor than there were for state representative,

19

20 which again is odd.
Q. Let me direct your -- well, did you --

21

22 THE COURT: [inaudible]
THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I'm sorry. I'm sorry, Your

23

24 Honor.

25 BY MR. BROWN:

1 Q. Did you determine in how many of the
2 precinct -- precincts the Democratic candidate for state representative received all of the votes cast?
3
4 A. One -- yeah. Yes. 137 precincts.
Q. Okay. And in those -- did you determine
5
6 whether in those precincts --
THE COURT: 137 precincts?
7
8 THE WITNESS: Correct. 137.
9 THE COURT: What counties?
10 THE WITNESS: Across the state.
11 THE COURT: Are those [inaudible] 137 precincts in the whole state?
12
13 THE WITNESS: No, no. There are about 137 precincts where the candidate for state representative for the
14
15 Democratic --
THE COURT: Got more votes --
16
17 THE WITNESS: Got 100 percent of the votes, which
18 goes back to my points in the first part of the paper, which generally when a race is --
19
20 THE COURT: Okay. Don't go -- don't go --
MR. BROWN: Sorry. Thanks.
21
22 THE COURT: Because that'll get [inaudible].
MR. BROWN: Okay.
23
24 BY MR. BROWN:
25 Q. Did you determine in the races for state

1 representative in how many precincts the state
2 representative race got more votes than the lieutenant governor race?
3
4 A. Yes.
Q. And what did you find?
5
6 A. I found a thousand -- 1,012 precincts; 38 percent of the precincts statewide when the state
7
8 representative had more votes cast than the
9 lieutenant governor.
10 Q. Did you have the opportunity -- or did you
11 undertake to -- you were talking about other races. Did you compare the lieutenant governor race to the
12
13 race for attorney general?
A. I compared. Yes.
14
15 Q. And what did you find?
A. So in that case I found that there were
16
17 much fewer -- I'd have to go, I'd have to see the
18 exact number, but it was --
Q. Let me correct that. My question was
19
20 poorly framed.
A. 410.
21
22 Q. Did you compare the votes for state representative and attorney general?
23
24 A. Yes.
25 Q. And what did you find there?

1 A. What I found there, there were about 410
2 precincts statewide where there were more votes cast for state representative for -- than lieutenant
3
4 governor.
Q. Okay. Thank you. [inaudible]
5
6 MR. TYSON: Just briefly, Your Honor.
7
8 CROSS-EXAMINATION
9 OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL
10
11 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. Mr. Brill, you'd agree with me, wouldn't
12
13 you, that the 2018 election in Georgia was a very high turnout election, right?
14
15 A. Yes.
Q. And I asked you a question that I had not
16
17 worded quite correctly previously. Did you in any of
18 your analyses look at a race where there was a governor's race and no U.S. Senate race happening at
19
20 the same time, like what happened in 2018?
A. A governor's race with no U.S. Senate race?
21
22 Q. Yes.
A. I didn't look at that specifically.
23
24 Q. Okay. Mr. Brown asked you about the
25 precincts you analyzed for state representative; did

1 you break out those based on the DRE machines versus
2 absentee by mail or early voting categories, or did you look totally -- solely at precinct totals?
3
4 A. For this I looked at solely precinct totals.
5
6 Q. Okay. Thank you.
MR. TYSON: That's all I have.
7
8 MR. LINDSEY: Just real briefly.
9
10 CROSS-EXAMINATION
11 OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL
12
13 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. You were aware that in the AG's race there
14
15 was an incumbent running; correct?
A. Yes.
16
17 Q. And in the lieutenant governor's race there
18 were two newcomers coming -- running; correct?
A. Correct.
19
20 MR. LINDSEY: No further questions.
THE COURT: Mr. Brown, anything else?
21
22 MR. BROWN: I do not have anything further.
THE COURT: Okay. The witness may be excused.
23
24 Whatever he says. He's in charge of all that.
25 [inaudible].

1 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, at this point we have another
2 --
THE COURT: [inaudible] Are you taking notes on the
3
4 laptop?
THE NEWS REPORTER: Yes.
5
6 THE COURT: Did you comply with Rule 22?
THE NEWS REPORTER: Yes. [inaudible]
7
8 THE COURT: [inaudible]
9 THE NEWS REPORTER: Jordan [inaudible].
10 THE COURT: Who?
11 THE NEWS REPORTER: Jordan [inaudible], Your Honor.
MALE: [inaudible]
12
13 THE COURT: Okay.
MALE: Mr. [inaudible] did.
14
15 THE COURT: Making me nervous. Go ahead.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, logistically we have another
16
17 long witness. It would be convenient to have that go more
18 smoothly if we took our lunch break now, but of course
I'll defer to your schedule.
19
20 THE COURT: Anybody have an objection to taking lunch
now and coming back at 1:00? Let's take lunch now and
21
22 we'll back at 1:00.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
23
24
25 [Off the record at 11:41 a.m., and back on the record

1 at 1:00 p.m.]

2 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, in order to keep things

3 moving along, I just want to make sure I get all my
witnesses here or are here. This is our last witness.

5 THE COURT: You need time to do something?

6 MR. LINDSEY: No, no. I just want to make sure -- if

7 this is his last witness, I want to make sure I have

8 everybody here ready to go.

9 MR. BROWN: It depends on the ruling that she will

10 make. I have one live witness and one witness who I would
like to testify by telephone if the Court allows. Just to

12 give you an idea.

13 MR. LINDSEY: Okay, that's fine. I just want to --

14 I'll call my folks and make sure everybody's here. That's
all I wanted to know.

16 THE COURT: Okay. You understand that I cannot see

17 the clock from here because it's dark back there. I
cannot see that clock. I see the circle and I see the

19 exit sign but I can't see the hands from back here because
it's black; there's no light back there. So I have to go

21 by my own watch, which is not --

22 MR. LINDSEY: Well, let the record reflect that Mr.

23 Brown has already insulted my eyesight once today.

24 Therefore [inaudible].

25

1 THE COURT: Ready when you're ready.

2 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, two introductory items.
First, we would like to tender into evidence Defendant
3

4 Robyn A. Crittenden's notice of limited offer -- proffer
of evidence. And let me explain this. The -- when she
5

6 was a party, the Secretary of State submitted this as a
proffer of evidence and it is the testimony of a witness
7

8 in the Curling versus Kemp case, and so I'm going to

9 accept their proffer and I'm tendering it into evidence.

10 And so it --

11 THE COURT: Any comment on that?
MR. LINDSEY: I'm going to object to it, Your Honor.
12

13 The Secretary of State is no longer in the case and we
haven't had a chance to cross-examine that witness.
14

15 THE COURT: It's hearsay. I'm absolute hearsay; I'm
not going to allow it into evidence. And as I said, I
16

17 didn't read it. I didn't read any of the stuff that was -

18 - I'm sorry. I'm old school. I believe that the evidence
should come out in the courtroom; there ought to be a rite
19

20 of cross-examination.
I happen to believe in the Constitution because I
21

22 wasn't raised with one; okay? So I'm partial to it. I'm
not going to let that in. It's not evidence.
23

24 MR. BROWN: May I respond just briefly, Your Honor?

25 THE COURT: You may respond.

1 MR. BROWN: This is evidence that the other side
2 introduced into evidence.
3 THE COURT: I don't -- I don't care who introduced
4 it. It's not admissible. It's hearsay. And one party who's not in the case introduced it, the rest [inaudible].
5
6 I'm not going to allow it.
7 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. I'll go ahead and
8 mark it for --
9 THE COURT: You can put it in the record as a
10 proffer. Just --
11 MR. BROWN: -- as a proffer and proffer it as evidence.
12
13 THE COURT: -- it's hearsay. They have a right, as Mr. Lindsay said, to cross-examine it, and they didn't. So
14
15 --
16 MR. BROWN: That will -- what number is that? That's
17 number 4. Thank you, Your Honor.
18 The next witness we would like to call is Philip Stark and Professor Stark is a professor at Berkeley and
19
20 we would like to introduce his testimony, with your Court's permission, by telephone. And so, we would move
21
22 for that --
23 THE COURT: What does the defense say?
24 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, that's usually something
25 that's worked out before. There is a lot to be learned by

1 having the witness live to be able to cross-examine them
2 live right here. Now there are circumstances in which the parties do agree to do things by telephone. I've done it
3
4 a hundred times, if not more.
But this was not something that was worked out
5
6 previously. We would prefer to be able to cross-examine someone, and I'm sure Mr. Tyson would as well be able to
7
8 see someone in the eye to cross-examine them directly. So
9 we would object.
10 THE COURT: Mr. Tyson?
11 MR. TYSON: Yes, Your Honor. I'm pulling up the Rule right now but we'd be in the same boat. We'd like to have
12
13 -- this hearing has been on the books for over a month. We know it was going to be; we want to talk to Professor
14
15 Stark and cross-examine him live.
THE COURT: This case actually was set back December
16
17 5th. I'm reading things that say that's not so but it is
18 so. It was set November 5th [sic]; everybody's know- -- December 5th. Everybody's known about it.
19
20 I'm not going to do a witness by telephone. Part of -- it isn't words they say sometimes; it's the mannerisms.
21
22 And I've done them by Skype. I did some dear old ladies who've been ripped with that -- grandson calls and says
23
24 he's in the jail, those kinds of cases.
25 And we did those by Skype, which is much better and

1 you've got a full view and you can see the witnesses. But
2 I'm not going to do this one by telephone.
3 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. If we have the
4 opportunity, could we set up a Skype tomorrow for this witness?
5 THE COURT: It's up to you. I'm not telling you.
6 I'm saying what I won't do; I'm not going to tell you what
7 to do.
8 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, we
9 would call --
10 THE COURT: And the defense haven't been heard on
11 that. They should --
12 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, our position is, we're here
13 today. [inaudible]
14 THE COURT: Okay. Next witness.
15 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, we would call Matthew
16 Bernhard as a witness.
17 THE COURT: Okay.
18 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I apologize. My client has
19 Skype on her laptop and --
20 THE COURT: Has what on her laptop?
21 MR. BROWN: Has Skype on her laptop and we would move
22 to -- for -- to allow us to examine Mr. Stark by Skype.
23 THE COURT: What says the defense?
24 MR. TYSON: Same objection, Your Honor.
25

1 MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, I would ask the Court to
2 look at Superior Court Rule 9.2 with respect to video conferencing, which requires any request for video
3
4 conference be done by notice of intention prior to the [inaudible]
5
6 THE COURT: Rule 9.2?
MS. BURWELL: Yes.
7
8 THE COURT: At this time I've got the computer where
9 I'm supposed to be able to get into it, and I can see.
10 Let me look at it.
11 Okay. Let's see. I'll get it; just a minute. I've got LexisNexus. It's Superior Court Rule 9.2?
12
13 MS. BURWELL: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. Let's see. [inaudible]. Okay.
14
15 Yeah, Rule -- yeah. 9.2(c) says that you have to file as of your intent 30 days prior to the date scheduled and
16
17 then we have to have a hearing on the matter. That's what
18 it says.
MR, BROWN: Your Honor, with all due respect, I
19
20 believe that the -- some of these rules like the jury demand need to be read in context of the nature of this
21
22 proceeding. The nature of this proceeding is an accelerated process.
23
24 I understand that Your Honor did schedule this on
25 December 5th. Nevertheless, given the challenges

1 preparing a case of this complexity, we would ask for your
2 indulgence to -- as an exception to that rule to allow the testimony.
3
4 THE COURT: Mr. Lindsey?
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we've had several weeks to
5
6 prepare for trial and would have been available to do it by deposition, notice the person that's necessary to go --
7
8 to take a deposition. The plaintiffs have chosen not to
9 do so, but instead to do so at trial to set up [inaudible]
10 procedure. So we state our objections.
11 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, [inaudible] declaration he filed for him much earlier in the case as recently as 10
12
13 days ago, possibly earlier than that, opportunity to bring that before the Court [inaudible].
14
15 THE COURT: Well, I understand. We're in an expedited situation and we've had some service and other
16
17 issues. But we did have a hearing on January 9 and I
18 think that's the notice should have been given. Given the nature of the case I understand bending the rules because
19
20 of where we are.
But to suddenly walk in in the afternoon of trial and
21
22 say I want to call somebody by Skype or by phone is not in compliance with the rules at all. And so, yeah, if you
23
24 got up on January 9th and said you were going to do it,
25 then I'd given you a chance to be heard and we'd gone

1 through it. But I'm not going to violate the rule at this
2 time.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. And I believe --
3
4 THE COURT: What are you doing, ma'am? Go sit down, please.
5
6 MR. BROWN: I had lost something. I have a copy now. I'm just looking for my copies.
7
8 THE COURT: If you need to ask for something you can.
9 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. We would call --
10 THE COURT: Matthew Bernhard.
11 MR. BROWN: Yes.
Please raise your right hand. Do you promise to tell
12
13 the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?
THE WITNESS: I do.
14
15 Thereupon:
16
17
18 MATTHEW BERNHARD
19
20 was called as a witness by the Petitioner; and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
21
22
DIRECT EXAMINATION
23
24 OF MATTHEW BERNHARD
25

1 BY MR. BROWN:

2 Q. Please sit down and state your name for the record.

3

4 A. My name is Matthew Bernhard.
Q. Mr. Bernhard, what do you do?

5

6 A. I am a Ph.D. candidate at the University of Michigan studying computer science.

7

8 Q. Have you been engaged by the plaintiffs as

9 an expert in this case?

10 A. Yes.

11 THE COURT: You're a PhD candidate where?
THE WITNESS: At the University of Michigan.

12

13 THE COURT: Michigan. Okay.
THE WITNESS: Yes.

14

15 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Bernhard, I would like to go over your

16

17 qualifications, and do you have a CV that you have

18 prepared?
A. Yes.

19

20 Q. I'd like to briefly go over your education and experience. You -- where'd you go to college?

21

22 A. I went to Georgia Tech and graduated from Rice University.

23

24 Q. Okay. And what did you study at Rice?

25 A. Computer science.

1 Q. And did you go to graduate school?

2 A. Yes.
Q. And what did you study at graduate school?
3

4 A. Computer science.
Q. And that was --
5

6 THE COURT: You're going to have to speak up because
you're mumbling and I can't hear you. I got the Georgia
7

8 Tech bit for reasons of my allegiance but what was the

9 rest of it?

10 THE WITNESS: Rice University.

11 THE COURT: Rice. Okay.
THE WITNESS: Yes.
12

13 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. And then did you attend graduate school?
14

15 A. Yes. At the University of Michigan.
Q. And what did you study at the University of
16

17 Michigan?

18 A. Computer science.
Q. And did you obtain a degree at Michigan?
19

20 A. Yes, I got a Master's degree.
Q. And did you continue your studies at
21

22 Michigan?
A. I am currently still there.
23

24 Q. And how far are you along in your Ph.D.

25 work?

1 A. I'm -- this is my fourth year.

2 Q. Okay. And so if you graduated or obtained your degree, when would that be?

3

4 A. Probably about a year from now.

Q. Okay. And are you working on a

5

6 dissertation?

A. Yes, sir.

7

8 Q. And what's the subject matter of your

9 dissertation?

10 A. Election integrity and election security.

11 Q. Okay. Now have you --

THE WITNESS: Security.

12

13 THE COURT: Okay. [inaudible]

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.

14

15 THE COURT: [inaudible]

BY MR. BROWN:

16

17 Q. Have you -- do you teach?

18 A. Yes, sir. I taught the undergraduate cyber security course, introductory course, and I've also

19

20 recently conducted a -- an undergraduate research seminar in election security.

21

22 Q. Okay. Have you ever published anything?

A. Yes. I have published several papers

23

24 pertaining to election security and, you know,

25 election audits, and in several other things that

1 aren't related to election [inaudible].

2 Q. I mean, are these -- have you published peer review articles?

3

4 A. I guess almost every article I publish has been peer review.

5

6 Q. Okay. Have you -- outside of your academic work, have you worked with election systems?

7

8 A. Yes, sir. I -- in addition to working for

9 Verified Voting, who collects data about elections, I

10 worked with election officials all over the country

11 helping run audits, you know. And helping them better secure their election [inaudible].

12

13 Q. Let me go over your work experience, also then. You mentioned Verified Voting. You -- are you

14

15 -- do you work for them now?
A. Currently, yes, as a consultant.

16

17 Q. Okay. And what sort of work do you do for

18 Verified Voting?
A. I collect data about current -- the current

19

20 generation of election technology and its security properties, what kinds of operating systems they run

21

22 and, you know, what program languages it's programmed in and so forth.

23

24 Q. And what employment did you have

25 immediately before Verified Voting?

1 A. So before that I did a summer internship at
2 a company named CloudPlayer and then before that I was at Microsoft Research.
3
4 Q. And what did you do for Microsoft?
5 A. I researched Trusted Boot, which is a
6 particular way that computers can ensure the application if the running is the right one.
7
8 Q. Did you say boot?
9 A. Yeah. Boot.
10 Q. Okay.
11 A. With specific applications for voting machines.
12
13 Q. And why was Microsoft -- what's the connection between voting machines and working for
14
15 Microsoft?
16 A. Well, so most of the machines run through
17 Windows operating system, the ones in Georgia do, and
18 so they have a vested interest in making sure that, you know, they can support it and develop technology
19
20 scoring.
21 Q. And did your work at Microsoft relate to
22 the operating systems that are used generally or just for voting systems or both?
23
24 A. Generally; it's all Windows. I was mostly
25 focused on the more recent versions of Windows,

1 Windows 8 and Windows 10, both of which are used in
2 the current generation of voting technology.
3 Q. And skipping ahead, is that the voting
4 system used in Georgia --
5 A: No.
6 Q. -- the operating system used in Georgia?
7 A. No.
8 Q. Okay.
9 A. It is a -- the -- that operating system is
10 about 15 years down the line from the one that's used
11 in Georgia voting machines.
12 Q. Have you ever been qualified as an expert
13 in a case?
14 A. Yes, sir. I'm an expert -- qualified expert
15 witness in the Curling v. Kemp case in the Northern District of Georgia.
16 Q. It --
17 A. [inaudible] federal court.
18 Q. And has -- have your opinions, your expert
19 opinions ever been cited by a court with approval or indicating that the court does not disagree with your
20 conclusions?
21 A. Yes. In one of the rulings in the
22 [inaudible] and the Curling v. Kemp case she did cite
23 my opinions in the affirmative.
24
25

1 Q. Do you have either academic or hands-on
2 experience and knowledge about the operations generally of a DRE machine?
3
4 A. Yes, sir. I have worked in many different ways with DREs.
5
6 Q. And have you worked with the Diebold DREs?
A. Yes. We have about ten of them in my lab
7
8 in Michigan.
9 Q. And you have access to those?
10 A. Yes.
11 Q. And did you obtain those machines legally?
A. Yes. On Ebay.
12
13 Q. Are you able to tear it apart, study all the components?
14
15 A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Do you have knowledge and experience
16
17 through your training and your education about
18 whether DREs as a class of voting systems are vulnerable or not vulnerable?
19
20 A. Yes, I do.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor --
21
22 Q. And how have you --
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I would at this point
23
24 object. The questions today are not -- whether or not the
25 system could potentially be vulnerable and whether or not

1 some other system would be better. That's a decision
2 that's now being debated at the capitol. The questions today are whether the system was in fact compromised.
3
4 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I don't -- I'm not quite sure what the form of that objection was.
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: We object to the relevancy. I mean, I'm trying to keep the case focused on what not that was
7
8 in fact a compromise of the system.
9 MR. BROWN: Okay. Your Honor, I can ask him more
10 questions that establish the -- that connect the dots.
11 THE COURT: Do that.
BY MR. BROWN:
12
13 Q. Would the background vulnerability of a system like these DREs increase the likelihood that
14
15 it's defective today in Georgia?
A. Yes.
16
17 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, [inaudible] background
18 vulnerabilities [inaudible] foundation yet for what vulnerability is or [inaudible] this thing. If we're
19
20 trying to qualify him, that's one thing; but if we're trying get into the facts I think that's something else.
21
22 MR. BROWN: May I respond, Your Honor?
THE COURT: Well, whether the machines generally are
23
24 not very good doesn't really matter in this case. I'm
25 going to let you, if you're using it, to lay a foundation

1 to get somewhere, I'm going to let you do that for a
2 little bit.
But the fact that the machines, all wherever they may
3
4 be used, aren't the best is not relevant --
MR. BROWN: Your Honor --
5
6 THE COURT: -- in this case is the one [inaudible].
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, if I may. The witness'
7
8 testimony is different than what Your Honor said. What
9 his testimony was that it --
10 THE COURT: I'm going to let you ask that question;
11 okay?
MR. BROWN: Okay. Thank you.
12
13 THE COURT: I was just trying to give you some
direction.
14
15 MR. BROWN: Okay.
THE COURT: If not, they can get exercise jumping up
16
17 and down.
18 MR. BROWN: Okay. I want to get -- to focus your
attention more closely on the machines that were used in
19
20 this case.
BY MR. BROWN:
21
22 Q. Do you have any experience or knowledge or
expertise about whether Georgia's systems are more
23
24 vulnerable or less vulnerable than these machines are
25 generally?

1 A. Yes, I do.

2 Q. What's the basis for your expertise in that?

3

4 A. I reviewed -- so in addition to my knowledge about the Diebold voting system, in

5

6 particular, I reviewed Georgia's use of the system. I've been into, you know, particularly the Fulton

7

8 County election preparations and multiple times.

9 I've reviewed testimony from Michael Barnes, Rick

10 Barron, and other election officials in the state of

11 Georgia and have been observing Georgia's election since 2016.

12

13 Q. Have you -- in the course of your education and your experience, have you -- do you have

14

15 experience and expertise about problems, specific types of problems, that DREs can experience, Georgia

16

17 or elsewhere?

18 A. Yes.
Q. Would that include software problems?

19

20 A. Yes.
Q. And hardware problems also? You need to

21

22 say, yes.
A. Yes.

23

24 Q. Okay. Have you developed -- and now I'm

25 going to explore this in a little bit greater detail,

1 but have you developed an -- do you have experience
2 or developed expertise in how to detect problems with DREs forensically?
3
4 A. Yes. In fact, in 2017 I published a paper looking at how to do that with the machines.
5
6 Q. And when I use the word -- we use the word, forensically, when you use it -- and the reason I'm
7
8 asking this is that to many it might have connotation
9 of a criminal conduct; do you follow me?
10 A. Yes.
11 Q. In your field when you use the word, forensic investigation, do you mean to imply that
12
13 you're looking necessarily for criminal activity?
A. No. Typically it's a post hoc application
14
15 of the scientific method to determine what happens, whether it be criminal or not.
16
17 Q. But it's looks -- is it looking for the
18 causes of a mistake or a problem?
A. Yes, and to try to understand how that
19
20 problem came to be.
Q. Do you have any expertise in determining or
21
22 identifying what I would call the telltale signs from the outside as to whether there are system defects on
23
24 the inside?
25 A. Yes. See, for example, that paper that I

1 just mentioned.

2 Q. Okay. And have you -- do you have real hands-on experience with DREs trying to determine

3

4 whether and how they are defected?

A. Yes. In fact, we just talked to some

5

6 undergraduates how to do a forensic analysis of the Diebold DREs in particular.

7

8 Q. Do you have any experience in post-election

9 auditing?

10 A. Yes. I assisted the State of Michigan and

11 observed the audits in Colorado, the responding audits in Colorado.

12

13 Q. And what is a risk limiting audit?

A. The risk limiting audit is a statistical

14

15 way where you define hypothesis tests and you use that to sample a small subset of ballots and answer

16

17 the question, is the reporting elections all correct

18 or not.

Q. And just -- does your work -- does that

19

20 work require knowledge and use of methods of statistics?

21

22 A. Yes, it does. In particular, the development of new [inaudible] paper we just

23

24 published.

25 Q. Okay.

1 MR. BROWN: And, Your Honor, I would like to qualify
2 and tender Mr. Bernhard as an expert in DRE operation, DRE vulnerability, Georgia; and generally, the internal
3
4 mechanics and software of the DREs, problems with DREs, how to detect those problems, the telltale signs of those
5
6 problems --
THE COURT: Okay, you're drawing a huge, huge list of
7
8 stuff. Let's be a little bit -- yeah, I'm not going to go
9 through and say yes, no, yes, no. What you're wanting to
10 qualify him as an expert generally in what, DRE?
11 MR. BROWN: DREs, their problems, their repair, how to detect them, and how to fix them.
12
13 THE COURT: Okay. You keep adding to it. What say the defendant?
14
15 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, a few more questions for Bernhard.
16
17
18 CROSS-EXAMINATION
OF MATTHEW BERNHARD
19
20
BY MR. TYSON:
21
22 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Bernhard. My name is Bryan Tyson. I represent the Gwinnett County Board
23
24 of Registrations and Elections. So you mentioned to
25 Mr. Brown that you worked for Verified Voting. Does

1 Verified Voting have a position on the use of DREs,
2 generally?
A. Yes.
3
4 Q. And what is that position?
A. That DREs are unfit for us in U.S.
5
6 elections.
Q. And it would be correct to say that
7
8 Verified Voting wants to return to an all-paper
9 ballot system; correct?
10 A. A handwritten paper ballot system; correct.
11 Q. You mentioned that you were qualified as
expert in Curling versus Kemp case; do -- can you
12
13 explain to the Court briefly what the issues are in
that case that you're -- gave expert testimony on?
14
15 A. Yeah. The argument is that the inherent
vulnerability of Georgia's DRE voting system puts
16
17 voters in Georgia at a fundamentally different level
18 of -- you know, it abridges their constitutional
rights to a fair election in comparison to other
19
20 states, like Alabama next door
Q. And those claims were all [inaudible] in
21
22 that case? And are the same plaintiffs involved in
that case?
23
24 A. I don't believe so.
25 Q. Okay. And is Mr. Brown counsel for the

1 plaintiffs in that case?

2 A. Yes, sir.

3 Q. Okay. You mentioned you had several DREs

4 in your lab; do any of those DREs -- the Diebold DREs specifically, run the same operating system as in use

5

6 in Georgia?

7 A. Yes, sir.

8 Q. Okay. How many of those run the same

9 operating system?

10 A. All of them.

11 Q. Do all of them run the same version of the software that's used for voting in Diebold machines

12

13 in Georgia?

14 A. No, sir.

15 Q. And so do any of them have the same software that is use in Georgia for running the

16

17 actual voting system?

18 A. No, sir. They all have the -- a more recent version.

19

20 Q. So, it would be correct to say that none of the Diebold machines in your lab are -- will match up

21

22 to any of the Diebold machines that are in Georgia from a software perspective; correct?

23

24 A. There will be a significant amount of

25 similarities, but it won't be 100 percent the same.

1 Q. Okay. Great. Do you have any experience
2 in the field of election administration?
3 A. Yes, sir. I'm a trained poll worker in the
4 state of Michigan.
5 Q. Okay. Have you done any personal review of
6 any voting machines that were used in the November
7 2018 general election in Georgia?
8 A. [inaudible] personal reviews.
9 Q. So have you physically touched the
10 machines, inspected them, taken any steps to review
11 any machines that were used in the 2018 general
12 election in Georgia?
13 A. No, sir.
14 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, that's compound.
15 THE COURT: [inaudible]
16 MR. BROWN: He asked about eight questions in --
17 MR. TYSON: I'll -- I'm going to try to rephrase.
18 I'm sorry.
19 THE COURT: Rephrase.
20 BY MR. TYSON:
21 Q. Mr. Bernhard, have you personally inspected
22 any machines that were used in 2018 election in
23 Georgia in general election?
24 A. No, sir.
25 Q. And so it would be correct to say, isn't

1 it, that you have no firsthand knowledge of any DRE
2 machine that was used in the 2018 Georgia election because you haven't inspected them; correct?
3
4 A. I suppose that's correct.
MR. TYSON: Okay. That's all I have.
5
6 THE COURT: Anybody else for the defendant have questions?
7
8 MR. LINDSEY: No, Your Honor.
9 THE COURT: Okay.
10 MR. BROWN: Just one follow-up question.
11 I don't have any further questions for him.
THE COURT: Okay. Anyone want to be heard on whether
12
13 he's an expert on DREs? That's basically what the issue is. All that other stuff I'm not going to rule on,
14
15 [inaudible] credibility [inaudible].
MR. TYSON: Yes, Your Honor. So we would object to
16
17 Mr. Bernhard serving as an expert in this case, both under
18 702(b) because he's testified he has no personal knowledge about the voting machines in use in Georgia. The machines
19
20 in his lab do not line up to ones that were in use in Georgia.
21
22 He has not personally inspected the voting machines that were used in the 2018 general election, so we don't
23
24 believe that he can offer anything to help the Court
25 determine if that's an issue which is required by that.

1 In addition, we don't believe there is anything
2 relevant that relates to the issue in the case. Mr. Bernhard can certainly testify about DREs generally. The
3
4 issue for this Court is focused on the lieutenant governor's election and Mr. Bernhard has not indicated he
5
6 has anything that would be useful to finder of facts in this case.
7
8 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we adopt the issue of
9 Gwinnett County and also make the same objections.
10 MR. BROWN: First -- the first objection was based
11 upon his line of personal knowledge. That, of course, is not an objection to an expert qualification of an expert.
12
13 The second was personal knowledge about inspection. That's also not a relevant objection.
14
15 I mean, if there's another idea lurking behind that, I'd like to hear it and respond to it, but that's not a
16
17 proper objection. The single objection that I could
18 understand, that's applicable to here, was that his particular model -- models that he has and that he can
19
20 take apart, is a different -- he uses a different version of the Microsoft operating system.
21
22 He testified that it is substantially identical and that is -- even if it were different, he has been
23
24 established as a leading expert in this field.
25 THE COURT: I'm not going to say he's a leading

1 expert. I have no evidence of him being an expert. But
2 leading expert -- I am going to allow him to testify as an expert. The weight and credibility of his testimony is
3
4 for me, and I can listen to it and I can [inaudible].
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
5
6
REDIRECT EXAMINATION
7
8 OF MATTHEW BERNHARD
9
10 BY MR. BROWN:
11 Q. Mr. Bernhard, the first part, I would like to review your -- of what materials you have reviewed
12
13 in connection with your work on this case. Are you with me?
14
15 A. Uh-huh.
Q. And have you reviewed the scholarly
16
17 literature?
18 A. Yes, sir. I've done a thorough survey of all of the academic works specifically about the Diebold
19
20 machines and [inaudible].
Q. Have you reviewed government publications
21
22 on [inaudible]?
A. Yes, sir. [inaudible] National Academy of
23
24 Sciences as well as various other government
25 agencies.

1 Q. Have you ever reviewed the source code for
2 the TS system?
3 A. Yes, sir. I've reviewed an early release
4 of the source code.
5 Q. Have you reviewed the voting results in the
6 Georgia 2018 election?
7 A. Yes, sir.
8 Q. Have reviewed studies of those results?
9 A. Yes, sir.
10 Q. Have you reviewed statements from voters
11 with their accounts of trying to vote on the machines
12 in Georgia?
13 A. Yes, sir.
14 Q. And who in -- focusing just on the -- on
15 those individual statements, what statements have you
16 -- let me back up a little bit. Let me finish. Have
17 you reviewed the testimony of the agents of the
18 defendants, including Michael Barnes and Rick Barron
19 in other cases?
20 A. Yes, sir.
21 Q. Have you reviewed the reported
22 vulnerability in the Georgia voter registration page
23 recorded during the 2018 election?
24 A. Yes.
25 Q. Have you spoken with other people who have

1 expert knowledge to gain a better understanding of
2 the issues?
A. Yes, sir.
3
4 Q. And who would those be?
A. For one, [inaudible] who published one of
5
6 the original security analyses of the DREs, I've
spoken with -- excuse me [inaudible] Philip Stark,
7
8 who is a leading expert on audits and [inaudible],
9 among others.
10 Q. Okay. Okay. Let's dive into then to some
11 of your opinions. First, with respect to the Diebold
DRE machine, do you have an opinion as to whether
12
13 that machine generally is defective or not defective?
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'm going to object to the
14
15 way that the question is asked. I don't think we've
established if there one [inaudible] DRE machine. We've
16
17 already heard there's different versions of software, are
18 there different hardware -- I think we need to be specific
about what's being asked.
19
20 MR. BROWN: Fair enough, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Well, it's a lot of different types of
21
22 machines. At least be able to identify which ones he's
done and which ones he hasn't.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Exactly. Your Honor, exact -- the
25 objection is well taken and let me reframe it.

1 BY MR. BROWN:

2 Q. Have you developed an opinion as to whether the DRE systems like the ones used in Georgia

3 generally are defective?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. And to get to the point of different DRE machines, other states use Diebold DRE machines; is

6 that correct?

7 A. Correct.

8 Q. Are they all identical or are they

9 different?

10 A. From a hardware perspective, they're all

11 identical. The software is different depending on the state; though there are only three major versions

12 of the software out there.

13 Q. With respect to whether they are defective

14 or not, is there any different -- material difference

15 in the different types of Diebold DRE machines?

16 A. No, sir. They all come with the same

17 software and they have the same aging hardware.

18 Q. And what is your opinion about the

19 defectiveness of the DRE machines that are used nationwide and in Georgia?

20 A. They're defective both from an

21 architectural standpoint, the actual implementation,

1 and the way they were designed and built, as well as
2 the way they are used in many places.
3 Again, these machines are 15 years old at this
4 point. The glue that holds the screens together is
5 starting to come apart, you know, as well as, you
6 know, who knows how many times they've been dropped
7 and so forth. And so I think it's -- they were
8 defective to begin with but they've only become more
9 defective over time.
10 Q. Mr. Bernhard, have you reviewed academic
11 literature on the subject of whether DRE systems are
12 generally defective?
13 A. Yes, sir.
14 Q. And just give me an example of some of the
15 things that you reviewed.
16 A. Yeah, so there was a forensic study in 2006
17 of the Diebold system that was actually published by
18 [inaudible]. There was the California top to bottom
19 review. There was the Ohio Everest report; the state
20 of Florida and Maryland both issued independent
21 reports. And then more recently we've seen the
22 National Academy of Science's report on the usage of
23 DREs, as well as numerous others.
24 Q. And what -- do they have a general
25 consensus or not?

1 A. Yeah, they -- unanimous conclusion is that
2 DRE machines, and particularly the kind of DRE that's used in Georgia are unsafe and unfit for use for
3
4 voting.
Q. And are the unsafe and unfit for voting
5
6 because they don't -- they're not accurate or they might not be accurate?
7
8 A. Yeah. They are, you know -- as I mentioned
9 before, inherently defective and on top of that, they
10 don't provide any recourse if the defect is detected.
11 Q. And what do you mean by no recourse?
A. You know, typically there's this notion of
12
13 software independence where even if the software does go bad, we can, one, detect it if it's happened; and
14
15 two, correct for it.
Because the DRE machines don't have any -- you
16
17 know, there's no paper trail. There's no external
18 source of evidence of how many votes are cast, who they were cast for. There is no way that the DREs
19
20 can provide significant evidence to the outcome of an election.
21
22 Q. But isn't that the case in any sort of computer program that there's no independence --
23
24 A. Yes.
25 Q. -- of verification?

1 A. Anything that doesn't have an external
2 source of evidence, yes.
3 Q. The -- let me ask the same question. You
4 were -- you responded with discussion of governmental
5 agencies. Have you reviewed opinions or publications
6 from the computer science community --
7 A. Yes.
8 Q. -- about the vulnerability or defectiveness
9 of the DREs?
10 A. Yes. That was the majority of the
11 literature is from.
12 Q. Okay. And what is the computer science --
13 is there a consensus or differences of opinion in the
14 computer science community with respect to the
15 defectiveness of the DREs?
16 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I object on the relevancy.
17 This has been going on for a little while. I'm not sure
18 when -- where general problems of the DREs is relevant to
19 allegations regarding the Georgia lieutenant governor's
20 election on a specific [inaudible] Georgia machines.
21 These are general allegations, not something specific.
22 MR. BROWN: Your Honor --
23 THE COURT: I understand that. He can answer that
24 question [inaudible].
25 MR. BROWN: Okay.

1 THE WITNESS: Again, the overall consensus is that
2 the machines are vulnerable and can cause problems.
MR. BROWN:
3
4 Q. Are you aware of any peer review computer
science publication that takes a contrary view to the
5
6 view that the DREs are defective?
A. No, sir. The community is unanimous.
7
8 Q. Have you developed an opinion about whether
9 Georgia's system is less defective than the systems
10 used in other parts of the country or more defective?
11 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll just object for lack of
foundation. I don't think we've had testimony about
12
13 Georgia yet. We've talked generally about that --
[inaudible]
14
15 THE COURT: I'll let you ask the question but if he
doesn't give a basis for it I'll disregard it.
16
17 THE WITNESS: Okay. Yes, sir, I have.
18 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. And if I asked you this before, I
19
20 apologize. But have you talked to people or have you
done some investigation about the vulnerability of
21
22 Georgia's system in particular, not as a general
matter?
23
24 A. Yes, sir.
25 Q. And what's -- what did you do or what have

1 you done?

2 A. Again, reviewing testimony and interviewing voters in Georgia, reviewing Georgia electronic

3 regulation; like I said, testimony from Michael Barnes and Rick Barron in the Curling v. Kemp where

5 they explain more carefully the layout of the system. I talked with Michael Barnes a couple times, also,

7 about how Georgia's election system works.

9 Q. And have you evaluated, for example, the

10 testimony of Logan Lamb?

11 A. Yes.
THE COURT: Of who?

12 MR. BROWN: Logan Lamb. And have you --
THE COURT: So he's repeating somebody else's

14 testimony in another case? Is that what you're saying?
MR. BROWN: That's not the purpose. It was to

16 provide a foundation for his knowledge in determining --

18 THE COURT: Does he have any personal knowledge?
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, he's an expert in --

19 THE COURT: I understand he's an expert. Go ahead. [inaudible].

21 MR. BROWN: Okay.
BY MR. BROWN:

23 Q. Have you personally examined the

25 architecture of the system, from your perspective,

1 sufficient to understand whether the State of Georgia
2 by leaving it open to the internet for six months might have exposed the system to greater danger?
3
4 Have you looked at that personally?
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object to that again. I
5
6 don't think there's any evidence about an exposure for six months on the internet. There's no foundation for the
7
8 question -- that's also compounds [inaudible].
9 THE COURT: [inaudible] no time, no -- we're talking
10 about one day of one election. That's all we're talking
11 about.
MR. BROWN: Yeah. I'll establish a foundation for
12
13 that. The relevance -- I think there was a -- related to relevancy.
14
15 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Bernhard, in your experience and in
16
17 your expertise, would the likelihood of a defect in
18 the election on November the 6th, 2018, be increased or decreased based upon how Georgia's particular
19
20 system was maintained?
A. It would be increased.
21
22 Q. Okay. Now what did Georgia do or not do to increase the vulnerability of the DRE machine that it
23
24 uses?
25 A. We first found in 2016 from Logan Lamb that

1 there was a server that is connected to the
2 [inaudible] system or at least adjacent to it that was open to the internet running an incredibly
3
4 vulnerable version of a software called Drupal. To give you an idea of how vulnerable it is, I set up my
5
6 Drupal residence and within 24 hours it was compromised.
7
8 We also saw in 2018 leading up to the election
9 that the voter registration page has significant
10 vulnerabilities in it. And all of these could serve
11 as potential records for malware or, you know, other -- they all show a tendency for a lack of
12
13 fastidiousness and, you know, a general carelessness from a technical perspective that may indicate that
14
15 errors were made or there was malware in the system or -- you know.
16
17 Q. And did that contribute to your assessment
18 of the level risk in Georgia?
A. Yes.
19
20 Q. And what was your conclusion about whether the risk was increased or decreased?
21
22 A. So, based on the inherent vulnerability of the DREs, they're used and based on the repeated
23
24 security mistakes by the State of Georgia, my opinion
25 is that the -- Georgia's elections are far more

1 vulnerable than most other states and most other
2 elections in general.
Q. Now you identified -- you stated mistakes,
3
4 of what I interpreted to be mistakes. Could you list those again for us, please?
5
6 A. Yeah. The file system that used to distribute sample ballots and voter registration data
7
8 was left open to the internet. And the voter
9 registration page had two major vulnerabilities and
10 then one less significant vulnerability in it; and
11 because of the central nature of Georgia's election system, you know, they -- the Secretary of State's
12
13 office does distribute programming to all of the machines indirectly.
14
15 Those two vulnerabilities at the state level could potentially cause trouble, you know, everywhere
16
17 in the state; as well as, you know, again, show a
18 general lack of care for technical correctness, you know. Getting the technical stuff right and making
19
20 programming errors or for other things are more likely as well.
21
22 Q. Okay. Anything else? Any other problems, any other mistakes?
23
24 A. None come to mind.
25 Q. Okay. Let me -- to back up a little bit, I

1 do want to get something into the record.

2 THE COURT: This P-5? Is that P-5? P-7. Okay. I don't look at it until it's been admitted.

3

4 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Do you have Plaintiff's Exhibit 7 in front

5

6 of you?
A. Yes, sir.

7

8 Q. And what is Plaintiff's 7?

9 A. It is the National Academies of Science

10 Engineering and Medicine's report, Secured Boot, from

11 2018.
Q. And is it your understanding the entire

12

13 report is public and on the internet?
A. Yes, it is.

14

15 Q. And is Plaintiff 7 just a selection of those pages?

16

17 A. Yes, it appears to be.

18 Q. And what is your understanding of what the National Academy of Science's -- what study it

19

20 undertook and what the purpose of that was.
A. The National Academy of Scientists

21

22 attempted to kind of understand the overall --
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'm going to pose an

23

24 objection as to what another group of experts may have

25 said. Plus, it is what he said and he's the expert here.

1 He's the one that we can cross-examine. These folks
2 aren't here so we would object this line of testimony.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, he already testified.
3
4 [inaudible] kind of information -- he already testified
that this is the --
5
6 THE COURT: He can testify to what he's read and who
he's talked to, what all he's done. He can't just read
7
8 off a document that somebody else has -- based on having
9 done all that, then he has certain opinions, which I've
10 heard pretty loud and clear. Those are all his opinions
11 based on all this information and training and everything
he's done.
12
13 But we're not having the documents here.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I believe that it's
14
15 admissible because it is -- he has established that this
is the kind of information that expert --
16
17 THE COURT: No. No.
18 MR. BROWN: Okay.
THE COURT: It becomes a substitute for evidence and
19
20 we're not going to do that.
MR. BROWN: Okay.
21
22 THE COURT: But I understand. He read it; it formed
part of the decision that he's made in this case.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Is the -- and let me ask a foundation --
25 I understand your ruling but to get on the record, is --

1 BY MR. BROWN:

2 Q. Are opinions such as the one in the National Academy of Sciences, things that experts in

3 your field rely upon to develop your expert opinion?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. And is it standard in your profession to rely upon that information?

6 A. Yes.

7 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would suggest again this is

8 the kind -- albeit hearsay, it is exactly the kind of

9 hearsay --

10 THE COURT: No. It's hearsay. He's relied on it;

11 he's read it; it's part of what -- you know, if he's up to PhD he's probably read thousands of pages of stuff. We're

12 not putting it all in.

13 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

14 BY MR. BROWN:

15 Q. You testified before that there are some telltale signs of actual defective machines; do you

16 recall that?

17 A. Yes, sir.

18 Q. And have you reached an opinion about whether those telltale signs were apparent in Georgia

19 for the 2018 election?

20 A. Yes, sir.

1 Q. And what in your expert opinion were those
2 telltale signs and what is the basis that you have for saying that it is?
3
4 A. So there is a -- to say that the literature is the [inaudible] where they lay out the foundation
5
6 for forensic election investigation --
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, same objection.
7
8 THE COURT: Hm?
9 MR. LINDSEY: You know, he could -- the question here
10 is, what were the telltale signs, not what another paper
11 may say --
THE COURT: You cannot quote what the paper said.
12
13 MR. LINDSEY: -- [inaudible] what another paper said.
MR. BROWN: That's right.
14
15 THE COURT: Let me make that -- you can say I relied on it to make my decision; don't have any problem with
16
17 that. That's what experts do. To get an expert in
18 anything you've got to read lots of stuff. But you can't say what it said; okay?
19
20 MR. BROWN: Bear that in mind.
BY MR. BROWN:
21
22 Q. Mr. Bernhard, what telltale signs did you observe of system defectiveness?
23
24 A. The significant under-vote rate in the
25 lieutenant governor's race, as well as reporting

1 various errors, you know. There were errors with the
2 review screen; voters were reporting the machines were [inaudible] in the middle of the voting
3
4 sessions, that error messages were popping up.
Q. Let's -- let me -- I can't -- I'm sorry.
5
6 Focusing on the election results, you said there was a significant under-vote and what is the basis of
7
8 your opinion that there was a significant under-vote?
9 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, first off, the objection is
10 that he hasn't established any kind of expert regarding
11 under-voting and whether or not the under-voting could be caught by machine or through other sources. He hasn't
12
13 laid that foundation.
As a matter of fact, they tried to lay that
14
15 foundation with the last expert and the judge ruled against them. So he simply has not laid any proper
16
17 foundation of kind of testimony.
18 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, the same objection, that there's not a foundation. Mr. Bernhard is not an expert
19
20 on election administration or under-votes. I think the numbers are already in the evidence -- we know what the
21
22 numbers are, so --
THE COURT: Yeah. We've already had testimony about
23
24 it live. So I sustain the objection.
25 MR. BROWN: Let me reframe it.

1 BY MR. BROWN:

2 Q. Do the voting results in some instances tell an expert like you that there may be a defect in

3 the system that needs to be investigated?

4 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, same objection as before.

5 THE COURT: That's a little bit different.

6 MR. LINDSEY: Same objection as before. I might also

7 add that he's asking for speculation of the word "may."

8 The --

9 THE COURT: I said weight and credibility is mine.

10 MR. LINDSEY: Huh?

11 THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to let him answer that

12 question.

13 MR. LINDSEY: Okay. Thank you.

14 THE WITNESS: Yes. I do believe that based on the data there were defects.

15 BY MR. BROWN:

16 Q. And what is it about the data that leads you to the opinion that there were defects in the

17 machines?

18 A. There would be -- there's a statically

19 significant correlation between the mode of voting and the amount of under-voting; and there's also a

20 statistic -- at least in one statistically

21 significant aberration in the [inaudible] where one

22

23

24

25

1 voting machine reported, you know, significantly
2 different results than every other voting machine in the precinct, which is highly unlikely.
3
4 Q. In your field -- well, let me back up a little bit. You said statistically significant
5
6 under-voting. Do you mean under-voting in the abstract or the comparison between under-voting on
7
8 the electronic machines and under-voting on the paper
9 machines?
10 A. Yes, that's correct. The under-voting rate
11 for paper ballots was significantly lower than it was for DRE machines in a statistically significant
12
13 [inaudible].
Q. And what is the statistical basis for what
14
15 you're saying?
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, objection. He's laid no
16
17 basis that he's in any way an expert on statistics.
18 MR. TYSON: Same objection, Your Honor.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor --
19
20 THE COURT: I mean, we've got all the figures. [inaudible] We've got all the figures. We know what
21
22 happened. We know what the numbers are. He says it's because the machines are defective. That's his opinion,
23
24 expert opinion, it's why all that happened.
25 Okay, beyond that, where are we going?

1 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the -- if I may back up a
2 little bit. With any phenomena, there's either direct evidence, eyewitness testimony, or you go through and you
3
4 eliminate every other alternative. That's -- you do --
THE COURT: But I've taken his opinion. His opinion
5
6 is because of those numbers and because of the differential between the paper and the machines, something
7
8 has to be wrong with the machines; am I right?
9 MR. BROWN: Okay.
10 THE COURT: I'm simplistic; I'm sorry.
11 MR. BROWN: Is there a way to --
THE COURT: I don't have a PhD.
12
13 MR. BROWN: Okay.
BY MR. BROWN:
14
15 Q. And let me ask this. Is there a way to quantify the likelihood of that voting pattern
16
17 happening simply by chance?
18 A. Yes, there is.
Q. And what is the answer?
19
20 A. Typically, you use a hypothesis test. In the two examples I cited [inaudible] one of them, the
21
22 -- I believe the under-vote has a less -- less than 1 to 10,000 chance of being -- happen -- sorry. A
23
24 less than 1 in 10,000 chance of appearing at random
25 or monthly in the course of an election.

1 Q. And then you -- you also testified about
2 Winterville?
3 A. Yes. The -- assuming that the voters were
4 randomly -- you know, that you didn't go to a machine based on your party or something like that. The odds
5
6 that one machine would have significantly more votes for one party but not the other machines have votes
7
8 for the other party is also incredibly low.
9 Q. And could you just explain -- we -- in case
10 the court has not heard about the Winterville
11 problem, could you just explain to the Court what you observed about the numbers at the Winterville voting
12
13 location?
14 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor --
15 THE COURT: About what? I missed the question. You went round and round and I didn't get it.
16
17 MR. BROWN: The question is, just to get -- he
18 testified about Winterville and what happened in Winterville, and I was just asking him to explain in
19
20 greater detail what did happen in Winterville in case that hadn't been made clear.
21
22 MR. TYSON: And Your Honor, this assumes facts that are not in evidence and I believe the evidence that
23
24 [inaudible] was submitted was not an avenue that Mr.
25 Bernhard regarding that precinct. So Mr. Barnhard [sic]

1 may need to lay a foundation and Mr. Barnhard needs to
2 talk about his personal analysis, if he conducted one.
BY MR. BROWN:
3
4 Q. Again, are you familiar with the voting
totals from the Winterville district?
5
6 A. Yes, sir.
Q. And where did you get that from?
7
8 A. The Secretary of State's vote results.
9 Q. And what did those numbers show?
10 A. In that precinct, I believe there were
11 eight DRE machines. On every DRE machine the
Democratic candidate won every race, except one where
12
13 a Republican candidate won every race by
approximately the same margin as the Democrat voting
14
15 on the other machines.
Q. And as a forensic expert, why is that -- is
16
17 that a telltale sign of a system defect?
18 A. Yeah. If you assume that the voters are
probably going to machines at random, you know, not
19
20 based on the party affiliation or anything like that,
it's extremely unlikely that you would see seven
21
22 machines with this incredibly regular pattern and
then one machine that's completely opposite of those
23
24 same machines.
25 Q. And from your background and experience in

1 software, is there an explanation for why that might
2 happen?
A. To me it seems like it might be a
3
4 programming error where you, you know -- all of the
machines you have A as the Republican, B as the
5
6 Democrat, but on this one machine for whatever reason
it was B as the Democrat -- or A as the Democrat, B
7
8 as the Republican.
9 Q. Just a code problem?
10 A. Yeah. Or I believe the other could be, you
11 know, obviously some other kind of error that the
machine incurred that wasn't even -- that was
12
13 programmed into it.
Q. And it could be an innocent mistake or a
14
15 malicious virus; correct?
A. Correct.
16
17 Q. Okay. And -- now, did you review
18 statements by eyewitnesses that led you to consider
one way or the other whether there may be telltale
19
20 signs of a defective system?
A. Yes.
21
22 Q. And what did you review?
A. I reviewed three affidavits from voters, as
23
24 well as the voter hotline report for -- for one
25 precinct.

1 Q. And from your perspective as an expert,
2 what sort of issues were reported that might have been telltale signs of defective equipment?
3
4 A. Voters were reporting machines were rebooting spontaneously. Some people were citing
5
6 that there were raw error codes showing up in logs on the machines, which is exceptionally rare in good
7
8 software.
9 There were also voters who had issues with the
10 review screen where they, you know -- one voter
11 reported that they voted their whole ballot, but they didn't see the lieutenant governor choice on it at
12
13 all. And then they get to the review screen and they see that it's there. Other voters were reporting
14
15 once they got to their review screen, they quit on a particular race to go back and change their answer or
16
17 review it or whatever. And it would just cast their
18 vote instead of taking them back to that screen. There were numerous errors that seemed to have
19
20 manifested.
Q. From your perspective, and based upon your
21
22 expertise, is there a way to -- just -- is there a way, one or the other, whether you can generalize
23
24 from those specific instances, any system-wide sort
25 of problems?

1 A. Generalized, no, I don't think so.

2 Q. And what would you need to do to determine whether the extent of the defect?

3

4 A. A forensic analysis of the software that was running on those machines would be necessary.

5

6 Q. Okay. And have you undertaken or started or tried to do a forensic analysis of these DRE

7

8 machines?

9 A. Yes, sir. I showed up to Fulton County

10 Election [inaudible] on Monday and was told that I

11 would not be allowed to do it.
Q. Okay. And this is just this Monday, three

12

13 days ago?
A. Correct.

14

15 Q. Okay. And have you had the opportunity to review the internal memory or examine the internal

16

17 memory of any of these machines?

18 A. No, sir.
Q. And if -- explain, if you will, sort of the

19

20 back and forth of the industry and I know it's all collegial, but just what the back and forth was

21

22 between you and the technicians down there.
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, objection to the relevance.

23

24 The Court has heard their motions to compel, have heard

25 the motions for discovery. The Court has heard their

1 proffer regarding what they believe that she should have
2 been entitled to, but they -- but the Court ruled against them. So I would object as this is not relevant. The
3
4 Court's already ruled on this.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we further object on this
5
6 as it is hearsay, the conversations he had with the technicians. He can say what he said, but what the
7
8 technician said is hearsay.
9 MR. BROWN: I'll take that as -- in reverse. It's
10 not hearsay because it's statements by the adverse party
11 and --
THE COURT: Well, I have seen everybody's briefs on
12
13 this point, and I see both sides of it. I did not order a forensic investigation. I specifically left that word
14
15 out.
I set out certain things to be done. Did you get a
16
17 forensic? No, we didn't get a forensic because I didn't
18 order a forensic. I'm not going beyond that.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, would you take testimony of
19
20 what it means -- what the word, internal memory, means?
THE COURT: I have some knowledge.
21
22 MR. BROWN: And I understand.
THE COURT: I'm not completely stupid.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Your Honor --
25 THE COURT: I happen to be a math/physics major.

1 MR. BROWN: Your Honor.

2 THE COURT: Yeah. I got sidetracked. Yeah. So if you want to do it, go ahead. Don't worry about it.

3

4 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Were you offered access to the internal

5

6 memory of a DRE machine?
THE COURT: I'm not going into that either. That's

7

8 what they objected to.

9 MR. BROWN: Okay.

10 THE COURT: Okay? The question was, how did he do

11 it? Okay? That was the issue. I was available; nobody called, except Mr. [inaudible].

12

13 MR. BROWN: Okay.
THE COURT: He emailed; right? Repeatedly. And I

14

15 repeatedly responded. This case has been my life for a week and a half. Go ahead.

16

17 MR. BROWN: I think we've --

18 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Bernhard, why didn't you inspect the

19

20 DRE machine?
A. Turning on the machine would affect the

21

22 internal state, so we were ordered to review the internal memory of the machine. That includes the

23

24 ROM, the read-only memory where the operating system

25 and the boot loader as well as the election data is

1 stored. Turning on the machine would necessarily
2 alter that data. There are ways to extract that data
without damaging it in that way. But, of course, we
3
4 couldn't perform that operation.
Q. So, you could have accessed it -- is it --
5
6 let me try to unpack that a little bit. Had you
accessed it -- you could access but you would have
7
8 altered the evidence? Is that what you're saying?
9 A. I would have altered some of the evidence
10 and would only have seen a very small part, but I
11 would have only seen the election archive, which is
not the internal memory. It's just a very small part
12
13 of it.
Q. Okay. And so the -- faced with the
14
15 alternative of altering evidence and not getting the
evidence that you were looking for, you decided not
16
17 to conduct the investigation; correct?
18 A. That's correct.
Q. And can you explain to the Court in a
19
20 little bit greater detail how and why booting the
system without making a copy of the internal memory
21
22 first can alter evidence.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, this was an issue that was
23
24 raised to the Court; both sides briefed it. The Court
25 ordered if there were any further questions to request a

1 conference call or anything like that. No such call was
2 requested.
The Court then heard, I believe -- I may be terming -
3
4 - the term [inaudible] -- but that you didn't file a very
extensive brief saying that you needed additional
5
6 discovery that wasn't being allowed to you. The Court
considered that brief and ruled against you. And now
7
8 today we're dealing with an evidentiary trial as to
9 whether or not there was a defect in the election system
10 in Georgia, that it -- was it great enough to overturn a
11 margin of victory over 123,000 votes.
That's the sole issue here today. If all of this is
12
13 simply regurgitating the same concerns that were
previously raised and [inaudible].
14
15 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, we just object on relevance
along the same line. What -- how did you turn on the
16
17 machines and a forensic examination not relevant to the
18 lieutenant governor's race, [inaudible].
THE COURT: Mr. Brown.
19
20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the -- this is the foundation
for establishing that the petitioners have not been given
21
22 any --
THE COURT: No. The petitioner was given. You
23
24 refused to take it; you wanted to do it some other way.
25 That issue is done. So go -- move on to another subject.

1 MR. BROWN: Okay. Your Honor, if I may -- and I'm
2 not --
3 THE COURT: No. Not if you may. You always want an
4 if you may. No. We've been through this over and over
5 and over again. You email me at 11:00 at night. You
6 email me at 2:30 in the morning. You would not believe
7 all the stuff in this case.
8 I've looked at it. I've made the best decisions I
9 know how; move on to something else. Question of
10 discovery is done.
11 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, just one more thing. I'm
12 going to --
13 THE COURT: You don't listen to me, do you, sir?
14 MR. BROWN: It's a different topic.
15 THE COURT: Okay. Different topic's fine.
16 MR. BROWN: This is a different topic. Different
17 topic. The diff -- but I -- I would like to say the
18 different topic is going to sound like the same topic.
19 It's different.
20 MR. LINDSEY: Can I just object now?
21 THE COURT: This is just -- I guess I'm on the record
22 -- this is just really good lawyering on both sides. I
23 mean, it's like -- no. If it sounds -- what is it? If it
24 looks like a duck and it quacks like a duck, it is a duck;
25 is that the one where you talk about in the South?

1 MR. BROWN: And I never agree with that, Your Honor.

2 THE COURT: Try one more question and we'll see where we go. You might get to testify. Go ahead.
3

4 MR. BROWN: Yeah.
BY MR. BROWN:
5

6 Q. If the State of Georgia wanted to investigate the causes of apparent anomalies, what
7

8 could it do?

9 A. It could perform a --

10 MR. LINDSEY: Objection, Your Honor.

11 THE COURT: I'm going to let him. I'm going to let him answer that, what the state could do.
12

13 THE WITNESS: It could perform a forensic investigation, which are the best practices recommended by
14

15 experts and have been for decades.
BY MR. BROWN:
16

17 Q. And based upon your interactions with the

18 State and your knowledge of the --
THE COURT: No. Not based upon his interactions with
19

20 the State. We're not going there.
BY MR. BROWN:
21

22 Q. Did the State conduct that kind of forensic examination?
23

24 A. No.

25 Q. Okay.

1 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would like to take a break
2 just to get a glass of water and then finish up with this witness, quickly.
3
4 THE COURT:
MR. BROWN: Thank you. Thank you, Your Honor.
5
6
[Off the record at 2:09 p.m., and back on the record
7
8 at 2:22 p.m.]
9
10 THE COURT: [inaudible]
11
CONTINUATION OF REDIRECT EXAMINATION
12
13 OF MATTHEW BERNHARD
14
15 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Bernhard, do you have experience
16
17 evaluating GEMS databases?
18 A. Yes, sir.
Q. Have you in the course of your work
19
20 reviewed or had access to GEMS databases?
A. Yes, sir. I've been sent to GEMS databases
21
22 from the State of Colorado, State of California, I believe the State of Alaska as well.
23
24 Q. And were those treated as nonconfidential?
25 A. Yeah. They were public records.

1 Q. Okay. Have you received that from the
2 State of Georgia?
A. No.
3
4 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, he's going back down the
same rabbit hole again and I object. This is once again a
5
6 matter that should have been taken up regarding discovery.
We're here today to hear the evidence as to whether or not
7
8 there was some kind of breach and whether or not it was
9 significant enough to change the election votes, not
10 whether or not they should get something they didn't get.
11 THE COURT: I sustain the objection.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. No further
12
13 questions.
14
15 CROSS-EXAMINATION
OF MATTHEW BERNHARD
16
17
18 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. [inaudible], Mr. Bernhard. So let me ask
19
20 you first, is it your personal belief that only paper
should be used for elections, hand-marked paper
21
22 ballots?
A. Hand-marked paper ballots that are
23
24 [inaudible].
25 THE COURT: [inaudible]

1 THE WITNESS: Hand-marked paper ballots [inaudible].
2 BY MR. TYSON:
3 Q. Have you ever worked on a political
4 campaign before?
5 A. No, sir.
6 Q. Now you talked about your research and various studies and what you've looked at. It's
7 correct, isn't it, that you're not aware of a single
8 instance anywhere in Georgia where there was a piece
9 of malware that was somehow propagated from a server
10 that creates the ballot format, down through memory card, onto the DRE; is that correct?
11 A. Correct. But again, that's just because they're machines. There's no [inaudible].
12 Q. But you're not aware of an instance where that's occurred; correct? Okay. And you're not
13 aware of an instance where anywhere in the nation
14 where a piece of malware has been propagated onto a DRE in an actual election, are you?
15 A. Not on the DRE voter registration systems, I guess.
16 Q. But as the DRE you're not?
17 A. [inaudible]
18 Q. Okay. Now you talked a little bit about
19 the Winterville precinct in Clarke County; is that

1 correct?

2 A. Yes, sir.
Q. Okay. And you said that -- did you perform
3

4 a statistical analysis at that precinct?
A. No, sir.
5

6 Q. Who performed that statistical analysis?
A. I think it was Philip Stark.
7

8 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor?

9 MR. TYSON: And so -- sorry.

10 MR. LINDSEY: He testified earlier as to the results

11 from that machine as if he himself performed that
examination after this Court specifically ruled that that
12

13 testimony from Mr. Stark was hearsay.
I'm going to move that that testimony that had been
14

15 previously raised regarding this be stricken from the
record because it's contrary to a prior ruling that he
16

17 could not testify as to what other people had done. And -

18 -
THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
19

20 MR. LINDSEY: -- and [inaudible] that he
mischaracterizes earlier testimony as well.
21

22 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I believe that the record
would say what the record says about what he says and
23

24 [inaudible] attempt to characterize it. The testimony was

25 that he had reviewed the evidence relating to the

1 Winterville.

2 I asked him what telltale signs and he used that as an example. We further established early on that the

3 reports of people like Stark are something that an expert in his capacity looks at and that he reviews the evidence

4 from all different sources.

5 MR. LINDSEY: He -- I'm sorry, go ahead. I'm sorry.

6 MR. BROWN: I don't --

7 MR. LINDSEY: I didn't mean to --

8 MR. BROWN: I don't remember him saying that he did

9 the math on Winterville, but that he knew the answer and he knew how to get it.

10 MR. LINDSEY: But he -- but -- but he has been specifically told on multiple occasions despite attempts

11 to solicit such testimony that he was not to testify as to what other people had done or what other people reported.

12 He was specifically admonished not to do that; and through

13 ambiguity and a question, he led this Court to believe that he, himself -- and I'm sorry, I --

14 MR. BROWN: Your Honor --

15 MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible]

16 THE COURT: Let him finish.

17 MR. LINDSEY: That he, himself, had conducted this

18 investigation of these tapes. He has not admitted that he

19 has not and I ask that his previous testimony be stricken.

1 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, same response. I would -- to
2 get at the truth --
3 THE COURT: Well, he gets -- it's his motion, so I'm
4 -- he testified as that he got the numbers from the Secretary of State, and that I will accept.
5
6 MR. TYSON: But he's now [inaudible].
7 THE COURT: But the rest of it I will not accept.
8 MR. TYSON: Okay. But he's now testified that he
9 didn't actually do that investigation.
10 MR. BROWN: But now [inaudible]?
11 THE COURT: Not yet. But that's how I heard it. I heard it that he got the numbers from Clarke County and
12
13 the rest of it -- he sounded -- he moved into it as if he'd done it all, but no, he -- obviously he hadn't do it
14
15 all --
16 MR. LINDSEY: Just by testifying that he didn't do
17 it, that's [inaudible].
18 THE COURT: -- it wouldn't be admissible. Okay.
19 MR. LINDSEY: Make sure that's on [inaudible]. I'm
20 sorry.
21 BY MR. TYSON:
22 Q. Mr. Bernhard, so you -- I believe we had just been through that Professor Stark had done the
23
24 statistical analysis of the Winterville precinct; is
25 that correct?

1 A. That's correct. I pulled the numbers
2 though beforehand.
Q. And so, when you say you pulled the
3
4 numbers, could you explain to us what that means?
A. I --
5
6 THE COURT: Did you pull the numbers?
THE WITNESS: Yes, I pulled the numbers.
7
8 THE COURT: I thought you said he pulled the numbers.
9 THE WITNESS: Okay.
10 THE COURT: And by moving into that you make it sound
11 like he did it all, but he didn't. He just pulled the
numbers.
12
13 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. So, Mr. Bernhard, after you pulled the
14
15 numbers it's correct isn't it that Professor Stark
did then the statistical analysis?
16
17 A. Correct.
18 Q. Okay. And you didn't personally perform
the statistical analysis in that precinct?
19
20 A. No, sir. Because Philip had already done
it. I didn't see a point in doing it again.
21
22 Q. How did you go about selecting or had -- do
you know how anybody selected the Winterville
23
24 precinct?
25 A. It was brought to my attention just because

1 of this oddity of his one machine having drastically
2 different vote totals than the other seven.
3 Q. And was that from plaintiff's counsel?
4 A. I believe so.
5 Q. And if I'm recalling correctly, the
6 machines on that precinct had anywhere between 117
7 and 144 votes per machine; is that correct?
8 A. That sounds about right.
9 Q. Okay. So the maximum number of votes on
10 the one machine would have been 144 votes; is that
11 correct?
12 A. That sounds about right.
13 Q. Okay. Mr. Bernhard, you don't have any
14 expertise in the process of ballot design; is that
15 correct?
16 A. I have designed ballots, but I suppose I
17 don't have that expertise; that's correct.
18 Q. And you never read any literature or
19 studies regarding design -- design of ballots and
20 voter behavior?
21 A. I have, yes.
22 Q. On voter behavior specifically?
23 A. Yes.
24 Q. Okay. So in scenarios where voters face a
25 high turnout a year -- strike that.

1 I believe your testimony earlier was that there
2 was an analysis of two precincts, the Winterville precinct and what was the other precinct?
3
4 A. I don't think I said there was an analysis. I -- two precincts were pointed out to me -- well,
5
6 more than two actually. Winterville [inaudible] the numbers off. Grady High School had machine errors
7
8 that were reported. The AME Church had errors that
9 were reported.
10 Q. So, in terms of an analysis though in your
11 expert opinion, you've only looked at the Winterville precinct; is that correct?
12
13 A. In terms of numerical analysis, yes. In terms of assessing how many errors that occurred, no.
14
15 Q. But let me just make sure I'm clear on that. So I thought you testified that Professor
16
17 Stark did the analysis on Winterville.
18 MR. BROWN: Objection.
Q. So have you --
19
20 MR. BROWN: Object, Your Honor. It's unclear as to what analysis he's talking about and there's a confusion
21
22 between the forensic [inaudible] --
THE COURT: Okay. Rephrase the question.
23
24 MR. TYSON: Okay. I'll be happy to rephrase. Thank
25 you.

1 BY MR. TYSON:

2 Q. So, correct me if I'm wrong, but I believe you testified Professor Stark performed the

3 statistical analysis of the Winterville precinct; correct?

5 A. That's correct, yes.

6 Q. Did you perform a statistical analysis of any precinct?

7 A. No, sir.

8 Q. Did you conduct a forensic analysis of any DRE machine in the state of Georgia for the November 2018 election?

9 A. No, sir.

10 Q. And so sitting here today, it's correct to say that you have no idea whether any malware resides on any DRE machine in the state of Georgia; is that correct.

11 A. Yes, that's correct and I reckon that no one else does either.

12 MR. TYSON: All right. That's all I've got.

13 CROSS-EXAMINATION
OF MATTHEW BERNHARD

14 BY MR. LINDSEY:

1 Q. Winterville's located in Clarke County;
2 correct?
A. I believe so.
3
4 Q. Okay. Clarke County is not in this
lawsuit, you're aware of that, right?
5
6 MR. BROWN: I object. It calls for a legal
conclusion and it's the wrong conclusion.
7
8 MR. TYSON: Clarke County is not a named party to
9 this case.
10 THE COURT: Well, Mr. Lindsay, what he said is in the
11 lawsuit --
MR. TYSON: I apologize.
12
13 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. Clarke County is not a named party in the
14
15 lawsuit; correct?
A. I believe so, yes.
16
17 Q. Okay. The voting strips haven't been --
18 you didn't bring the voting strips with you, did you?
A. You mean the pap- -- the poll tapes?
19
20 Q. Yeah.
A. No, sir. I don't have those [inaudible].
21
22 Q. And as you previously testified, it was Mr.
Stark that did the analysis of the eight machines
23
24 [inaudible]; correct?
25 A. He did the statistical analysis.

1 [inaudible].

2 Q. Okay. Now let's talk for a minute about your -- the odds that you raised. You've never done

3

4 any kind of -- well, let me back up. You're not a political science expert; correct?

5

6 A. No, sir.
Q. Okay. So you don't know the impact that an

7

8 -- that a large influx of new voters would have in

9 terms of their voting patterns with down-ballot

10 races? You don't know anything about that?

11 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I'll object to that and here's my objection. Cross-examination needs to be based

12

13 upon a good faith belief that there's going to be some submission of evidence to support the inference or the

14

15 predicate of the question.
That's the first point. In this case we know that

16

17 the State does not have any predicate because there's no

18 person on earth who could be qualified as an expert to render that opinion.

19

20 THE COURT: You're arguing. That's an argument. I'll overrule that objection.

21

22 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question?
BY MR. TYSON:

23

24 Q. I don't remember the question. I was

25 simply just by establishing that you have no

1 knowledge as to the pattern, behavior of new voters.

2 A. That's not true. I have -- I mean I've reviewed the political science literature insofar as

3 it informs my work.

4 Q. Okay. And if I understand correctly, you

5 don't have -- or rather you haven't done any investigation as to the particularities of this race;

6 correct?

7 A. I'm familiar with the races and the

8 candidates.

9 Q. Yeah. Are you familiar, for instance, with the fact -- with how the paper ballots were

10 configured in terms of the names versus the configuration of candidates on the electronic?

11 A. I can't say that I'm familiar with every electronic configuration because there can be

12 different -- on a county-by-county basis; the same

13 with paper ballots, but I'm generally familiar, yes.

14 Q. Okay. You are aware that the configuration

15 was different from the paper ballots versus the electronic; correct?

16 A. Yes, sir.

17 Q. Okay. Have you done any kind of analysis

18 regarding voter familiarity with Ms. Amico in terms

19 of how well they knew her? In terms of -- strike

1 that.

2 Are you familiar with any kind of data regarding Ms. Amico's name identification versus other

3 candidates running?

4 A. No, sir.

5 Q. You hadn't -- you hadn't reviewed any kind of polling that was conducted in this race prior to

6 the election; correct?

7 A. Not in this particular race, no.

8 Q. You haven't considered any kind of negative

9 treatment Ms. Amico received in the press shortly before the election; correct?

10 A. Correct. All of these factors can impact [inaudible].

11 Q. Uh-huh.

12 A. But the fact that this under-vote is so

13 incredibly different from all the other races on the

14 ballot, that seems incredibly suspicious. It's way different than, you know, any other case in Georgia

15 or anywhere else in the nation.

16 So even if there are factors like likability,

17 like lagging the polls, like ballot design, you would not normally expect to see that level of under-voting

18 distributed as uniformly across the state of Georgia

19 as it is and to defer between the votes voted [ph].

1 Q. Okay. Quite frankly -- and you're not
2 familiar with how the other races went in this state either are you?
3
4 A. I would with some of them.
5 Q. Yeah. You're familiar with the fact that
6 Ms. Abrams was, for want of a better term, on the Democratic side considered a superstar?
7
8 A. Yes, sir.
9 Q. Yeah. And you're familiar with the fact
10 that John Barrow running for Secretary of State, for
11 instance, was a well-known figure in Georgia politics?
12
13 A. Yes, sir.
14 Q. And are you aware of the fact that Mr.
15 Amico was still a relative unknown?
16 A. Yes. But I want to stress that the
17 particulars of the candidate would not necessarily
18 impact the under-votes. We saw under-votes impacting both candidates in this race.
19
20 Q. Uh-huh. And you don't know -- let me ask you this. While there was under-votes, you are aware
21
22 of the fact that, you know, in terms of the overall vote count for Ms. Amico vis-à-vis other Democratic
23
24 candidates, it's not like she got the least number of
25 votes; correct?

1 A. I'm afraid I don't understand your
2 question.
3 Q. Let me ask you this. There were ten
4 Democrats on the State ballot in 2006; correct?
5 A. 2006?
6 Q. I'm sorry. Wait a minute. 2018.
7 A. Yes.
8 Q. Okay. [inaudible] And there were other
9 Democratic candidates who received significantly
10 fewer number of votes that Ms. Amico received;
11 correct?
12 A. In the State races?
13 Q. Yes.
14 A. Statewide races? [inaudible].
15 Q. Yeah. As a matter of fact, Ms. Amico was
16 somewhere in the middle of the pack in terms of the
17 voters that she received versus other Democrats
18 running; correct?
19 A. Sure.
20 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I renew my motion -- my
21 objection to his testimony regarding Winterville and ask
22 that it be stricken in as much as he was not the
23 individual who did the analysis nor has the plaintiff
24 brought forth any of this --
25 THE COURT: I think I've ruled that the fact that --

1 MR. LINDSEY: -- [inaudible] testimony.

2 THE COURT: -- he looked at the numbers is admissible and the rest of it's not.

3

4 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor. Oh, one last question.

5

6 THE COURT: I'm glad you have Mr. Olens to help you, Mr. Lindsey.

7

8 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you.

9 BY MR. LINDSEY:

10 Q. You've been asked about Georgia when it

11 comes to machines and a -- [inaudible] involving the machines. You're not aware of any actual election,

12

13 not -- not a tested election but an actual election, where an actual vote cast on a DRE machine was -- was

14

15 not counted, are you?
A. No. But again, that's because of the way

16

17 the machine built, it's impossible to tell. You

18 cannot know.
Q. Okay.

19

20 MR. LINDSEY: No further questions.
THE COURT: Ms. Burwell, do you have anything?

21

22 MS. BURWELL: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Brown?

23

24 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

25

1 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

2 OF MATTHEW BERNHARD

3

4 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Bernhard, I want to go -- I want to go
5

6 over some of your answers.
When you were speaking about the under-vote as
7

8 being suspicious, were you referring to the under-

9 vote for the Democratic candidate or were you

10 referring to the under-vote the Democratic candidate

11 and the Republican candidate?
A. I was referring to both candidates.
12

13 Q. You testified that there -- I think Mr.
Tyson asked you whether they're in the actual
14

15 election any bad code had been found or something to
that effect; do you recall that?
16

17 A. Malware specifically.

18 Q. Malware. And I believe your response was
not what the DREs, but yes in the registration
19

20 system?
A. Correct.
21

22 Q. And could you explain your answer a little
bit in greater detail?
23

24 MR. TYSON: I'll object to the question. The voter

25 registration system is not an issue as far as I know at

1 any point in this lawsuit. This is about the election and
2 the DREs; it's not the voter registration system.
MR. LINDSEY: And it -- I'd add to that, Judge.
3
4 THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
MR. BROWN: Is the vote -- let me ask one more
5
6 question if I may help.
BY MR. BROWN:
7
8 Q. Is the voter registration system also a
9 part of the Diebold DRE system generally or is it
10 something else?
11 A. Yes, sir. Diebold makes the Express Poll
units.
12
13 THE COURT: Voter registration is not involved in
this matter.
14
15 MR. BROWN: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.
BY MR. BROWN:
16
17 Q. You testified and then you were asked very
18 quickly a question. I'm not sure if you finished
your answer, but that the anomalous voting pattern
19
20 was distributed evenly throughout Georgia. What did
you -- what was the basis of that testimony?
21
22 A. I believe something like 101, 159 counties
had an under-vote rate that was abnormal, and it
23
24 didn't seem to be, you know, tied to -- are they
25 left-leaning counties or right-leaning counties or

1 anything like that. It was only tied in with the
2 equipment.
Q. And would you -- sorry, you testified
3 earlier that you have expertise in a post-election
4 audit; is that right?
5 A. That's correct. Yes.
Q. And based upon your past post-election
6 audit experience and expertise, what would you
7 recommend the State of Georgia do to audit these
8 systems?
9 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object. What Mr.
10 Bernhard thinks or what he should do is a policy question
11 about a legislator is not relevant or pertaining to this
12 proceeding.
13 THE COURT: I'm going to let him say that solely
14 because they're asking for a brand-new election with paper
15 ballots and it would go to that issue should I get that
16 far.
MR. TYSON: Thank you, Your Honor. I just want to
17 object to one point related to that.
THE COURT: Yes.
18 MR. TYSON: I believe that the new election paper
19 ballots are the constitutional claims that are gone at
20 this point.
21 THE COURT: I understand that.

1 MR. TYSON: I think.

2 THE COURT: I understand that.
MR. BROWN: That's -- that's not correct. That's not
3

4 correct. That's not correct.
THE COURT: I understand what I can and can't do;
5

6 okay?
MR. BROWN: Okay.
7

8 THE WITNESS: Yes. I do recommend that Georgia run a

9 post-election audit. Given the anomalies that we saw, you

10 would likely have to target your auditing a little bit

11 more specifically. If we had a paper ballot system, we'd
be counting more ballots than if they weren't all these
12

13 [inaudible].
BY MR. BROWN:
14

15 Q. If you were doing a forensic examination in
place of an actual recounting audit, what would be
16

17 the first thing that you would look at if you were

18 the State of Georgia?
A. I would start with the programming. You
19

20 know, starting with the most innocent explanation and
working our way through all others, so looking at the
21

22 GEMS database, making sure it was coded correctly,
that there weren't errors with what you saw in
23

24 Winterville that may be a coding error. And making

25 sure, then after that you proceed.

1 Q. You -- I believe, your testimony was that
2 the first opportunity that you had to forensically inspect a DRE machine was Monday?
3
4 THE COURT: Let's not go there. I told you not o go there. You just did it and had the question and nobody
5
6 objected; they stood up but you didn't see them.
MR. BROWN: Okay.
7
8 THE COURT: Don't go there. The ruling has been
9 made.
10 MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.
11 THE COURT: I don't care what his was. This case was set for December 5th, period.
12
13 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor, we -- this is --
THE COURT: Sir, I don't want to hear --
14
15 MR. BROWN: I'll move on.
THE COURT: -- any more about that.
16
17 MR. BROWN: All right.
18 THE COURT: I am tired about hearing about that. I have gobs and gobs of paperwork about it; I've ruled.
19
20 Let's move on.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor.
21
22 THE COURT: To what real issue is here today.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I'm moving on.
23
24 THE COURT: You always want to get the last word;
25 I've noticed that, Mr. Brown.

1 MR. BROWN: No. I -- I want to not get the last word
2 out. I want to ---
THE COURT: You want to what?
3
4 MR. BROWN: I will -- I will stop right now.
THE COURT: Okay. Anybody else?
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: You may go. Thank you, sir.
7
8 MR. BROWN: Now, Your Honor, I'm not -- it sounds
9 like I'm arguing with you and this is just simply a matter
10 of procedure. We do not have the ruling yet on --- if you
11 issued a -- I mean clearly --
THE COURT: Ruling on what?
12
13 MR. BROWN: On the motion to compel.
THE COURT: I denied the motion to compel.
14
15 MR. BROWN: Okay. We -- was that -- you don't have
that?
16
17 MR. LINDSEY: I think she said, she denied all that.
18 THE COURT: It was somewhere in that last order I put
-- was it -- if it wasn't clear to you, it should be clear
19
20 now. The motion to compel was denied.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. We -- we -- just
21
22 for the record we did not know that.
THE COURT: Okay.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the petitioners would call
25 Michael Barnes.

1 THE COURT: Okay. Someone get Michael -- he's in the
2 courtroom. What's he -- who are those people?
3 MR. BROWN: Please raise your right hand. Do you
4 promise to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?
5
6 THE WITNESS: I do.
7
8 Thereupon:
9
10 MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES
11
12 was called as a witness by the Petitioner; and,
13 having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
14
15 DIRECT EXAMINATION
16 OF MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES
17
18 BY MR. BROWN:
19 Q. Please state your full name for the record?
20 A. Michael William Barnes.
21 Q. By whom are you currently employed?
22 A. The Secretary of State's office.
23 MR. BROWN: You may sit down. Thank you.
24 BY MR. BROWN:
25 Q. And what is your position with the

1 Secretary of State?

2 A. I am the director for the Center for Election Systems.

3

4 Q. And what is the Center for Election Systems?

5

6 A. We oversee the voting for in the state of Georgia. We also deal with the database programming

7

8 for all county elections executed in the state.

9 Q. Does --- was CES formally housed at

10 Kennesaw State University, for a lack of a better

11 expression?
A. It was.

12

13 Q. Okay. And when did it move to be a part of the Secretary of State's Office?

14

15 A. It ceased operations at Kennesaw State on December 31st of 2017 and was relocated to the

16

17 Secretary of State's office on January 1st.

18 Q. And when that happened, which staff members came -- which staff members were let go and which

19

20 staff members came with them?
A. I was the only staff member that came from

21

22 Kennesaw to the Secretary of State's office.
Q. And the other people were fired or let go

23

24 or whatever?

25 A. The other people were retained by the

1 university I believe for -- through the end of
2 January 3- -- January of 2018 and then with no funding to maintain the operation of the center, the
3
4 center was closed.
Q. Did your office manage the state's election
5
6 system in the November 2018 election?
A. Our center, as I stated earlier, oversees
7
8 the voting equipment that's used in the state of
9 Georgia for federal, state and county elections. And
10 we've constructed the GEMS database for all the 159
11 counties for the November 2018 election.
Q. And you said, "You constructed it for all
12
13 of the counties;" does each county get a different GEMS database?
14
15 A. Yes.
Q. And the GEMS database is a Microsoft Access
16
17 product, is that correct?
18 A. The GEMS database is -- stands for Global Election Management Systems and a data file is
19
20 produced from that executable program.
Q. And what is the executable program called?
21
22 A. GEMS, Global Elections Management System.
Q. Okay. And does the GEMS database contain
23
24 programming for the DRE machine memory cards?
25 A. The GEMS database is where -- from the

1 memory cards that are used to power the DRE units.
2 They're used for advance voting and in-person
Election Day use. The memory cards are created from
3
4 GEMS database.
Q. And could you just describe to the court
5
6 sort of physically the chain of custody of the -- of
the programming and I'm -- the purpose for this is to
7
8 distinguish between what the secretary does and what
9 the county does having to do with the county's
10 office? You said, that "the Secretary of State's
11 office is responsible for creating," I think you
said, "the GEMS database for all 159 counties," is
12
13 that right?
A. That's correct.
14
15 Q. All right. So, the counties then get a
GEMS database that's already done, for a lack of a
16
17 better expression?
18 A. Correct.
Q. And then what do the counties do with that
19
20 GEMS database or what is it used for?
A. Okay. Let me start first with the
21
22 Secretary of State's office; constructs the GEMS
database for the need of the given election. So
23
24 what's qualified and closest and we know what races
25 will be in specific election.

1 We know the candidates. We know the precincts
2 that will be involved based upon what the county has relayed back to us as their need for a given
3
4 election. With that information collected from the county, a database is then constructed for that given
5
6 election.
7 Once the database has been constructed by the
8 Secretary of State's office, it's then reviewed at
9 the Secretary of State's office for completeness to
10 validate that all of the races for that given
11 election and that have been included in the database, not only all of the races, but also all the
12
13 candidates.
14 Once our review of that process has been
15 completed we then provide to the jurisdiction, for lack of a better word -- sample ballots that are
16
17 produced from the GEMS database along with reports
18 that are detailing what ballots' files will be related to which precincts and to what district
19
20 combinational values those ballots are related to within those given precincts.
21
22 And that report packet is forwarded to each and every individual county with copies of their sample
23
24 ballots for them to review for their completeness,
25 for correctness. Once we then receive signoff from

1 the county and not until you receive signoff from the
2 county -- but once the signoff is received from the county, then the database is saved to a CD, burned to
3
4 a CD and that CD encrypted, and then that CD is forwarded to the local jurisdiction for them to then
5
6 take that CD, contact our office via phone, provide a verification ID and return the CD back to our office,
7
8 so that we know that they have the CD that we've
9 provided.
10 And then once we've validated, we're talking to
11 who we're supposed to be talking to at the county, we then give them the passcode to be able to then
12
13 decrypt the CD to access the data file that's saved to the CD; and then that file is loaded to the
14
15 county's local GEMS computer where they then -- the county -- inspects the file to validate that what has
16
17 then been provided to them is what they signed off
18 on.
Once it is loaded to their system then the
19
20 county begins configuring the database for that election. And what I mean by that is, is putting
21
22 information into the database that says we will be using x number of devices at these given locations
23
24 for advance voting, for Election Day voting, and also
25 preparing the memory cards that are used for the

1 optic scanners that scan the mailout absentee
2 ballots.
Q. And then just the -- what -- what in that
3 process then drives the actual programing of a
4 specific DRE machine, taking it from the GEMS
5 database to me voting or you voting on a machine?
A. Okay. All right. Once the county has
6 loaded the GEMS database into their GEMS computer,
7 they then tell -- instruct the database that they
8 need to correct a certain number of individual memory
9 cards.
So if they have a voting location that has the -
10 - I think they use five DRE units -- they have to put
11 that information into the GEMS database and say that
12 for location A we will be creating a five memory
13 cards. They then go through a process of
14 transferring the information within GEMS onto a
15 memory card that is read by a DRE machine.
Once that memory card is created, that memory
16 card is then taken into the DRE unit, which it will
17 married with for that given election. When that
18 process takes place there're labels on the memory
19 cards that notate what machine ID this memory card
20 is.
21 The county's also normally notate on those

1 little print-on labels the physical device and put
2 the physical device serial number to which they are placing that memory card into, so that when the
3
4 memory card is removed at a later point in time and something happens, they know what machine to give it
5
6 back to if they needed to access the machine for some reason.
7
8 The memory card is inserted, and then the
9 counties go through a logic and accuracy test where
10 they bring up -- they power on the device and they go
11 through testing it. This testing is done to validate, to calibrate the test screen to make sure
12
13 it's receptive to touch. They also set the date and time on the device to make sure it's set to the
14
15 proper date so that when tapes are printed out of the machine pre- and post-election, so that it has a
16
17 proper date stamp.
18 The date stamp doesn't affect the operation of the system, but it's helpful to have when you're
19
20 trying to make sure that things are done in the proper order. Once the memory card's loaded and they
21
22 go through their diagnostics testing, which is where they're testing the printer, they're testing
23
24 calibration or setting the calibration to make sure
25 it's proper, saving the date and time, testing the

1 printer.

2 Also testing the card reader that's used to read the voter access card that the voter will be

3 inserting. Once they complete that diagnostic testing, then they start doing -- they start

4 inputting test ballots, where they will take normally a test date that is prefilled by the jurisdiction.

5 It says we're going to cast X number of votes to

6 validate all touch positions on the DRE are

7 responsive; and also to validate that all races and

8 candidates are visible on the screen and are showing up on the all ballot as needed for the given

9 election.

10 That logic [inaudible] testing is publicized.

11 It is put into notification so that any member of the public that would wish to observe the testing and

12 come and observe the testing.

13 And it has to be included by a certain point in time so that the machines, once it clears logic and

14 active testing, they can be set for election, sealed and get ready for distribution to the polling

15 location or to the advance voting location.

16 Q. Have -- are you aware of any time when a

17 logic and accuracy test has picked up a programming

18 error?

1 A. Can you provide me -- programming errors,
2 what do you mean by programming errors?
Q. Sure. Let me give you an example. Suppose
3
4 -- well, let me back up two steps. The -- there
would be some programming to instruct the system and
5
6 then if the voter presses here the vote goes there,
are you with me?
7
8 A. Mm-hmm.
9 Q. The mapping of the votes from the screen to
10 the actual vote, are you with me?
11 A. Sure.
Q. Now, it would --- if you want, I'm not
12
13 suggesting you did this, but if you wanted to switch
that, so that pressing, you know, voting for the
14
15 Republican recorded a Democrat voter or a
Libertarian, where would that programming reside, and
16
17 could you do it?
18 A. As my knowledge based on the system, I can
speak to it as a user of the program --
19
20 Q. Sure.
A. -- of how you lay candidates out
21
22 specifically within a race. I'm not aware of a way
to organize the candidates to get one display and
23
24 then once where you touch it next to a candidate,
25 that their results show up next to another candidate

1 in another order. I'm not aware of how you would do
2 that.
3 Q. Now, there has been some testimony about
4 voting totals in a particular district where you had
5 -- it was heavily -- I'll just give you like a hypo
6 and then the purpose of this is not to or get you to
7 agree with the facts, but simply to pull out your
8 testimony on the program, but -- but just assume
9 there is a voting location in which is heavily
10 Democrat voting location and six of the machines show
11 heavily Democrat votes and the seventh machine shows
12 very heavy Republican votes, which some might lead
13 some access it didn't have, like you know, a six-pack
14 of beer on it or something that was attracting
15 everybody to win a particular vote, but that they
16 went evenly, there might be some sort of coding error
17 in which it was switching from one candidate to the
18 other now by mistake.
19 If that were a programming error and I just want
20 to know where would that be?
21 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'm going to object to
22 the questioning; assuming facts that are not in
23 evidence that the court has already stricken.
24 MR. BROWN: That's not --
25 THE COURT: Let him --

1 MR. BROWN: I'm -- I'm sorry. I'm sorry. I'm
2 sorry.
MR. LINDSEY: I believe the court has ruled to
3
4 strike that analogy that it lead to those to -- to
that allegation and for that reason, Your Honor, we
5
6 would object.
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, that also calls for Mr.
7
8 Brown speculates as far as what might have happened
9 or what did happen.
10 THE COURT: Well, it's a hypothetical and I'm
11 going to let him answer to the best of his knowledge.
MR. LINDSEY: Okay, Your Honor.
12
13 THE COURT: He's one of the experts on this
stuff. But I take it as a hypothetical.
14
15 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Do you understand all that?
16
17 THE WITNESS: I'm -- I'm trying, yes, I am. I'm
18 trying to figure out the best way to answer the
question to the best of my knowledge.
19
20 THE COURT: Okay.
THE WITNESS: When -- when the GEMS database is
21
22 built, it builds a particular race, with a particular
set of candidates, in a particular order. When it
23
24 creates a memory card for a given location and it
25 creates that image and places it to the memory card.

1 And then that memory card is loaded to a device.

2 If that -- if I create five memory cards for a given location, those five memory cards are the same

3

4 content in structure and layout and in operation; they don't know what machine their going to be placed

5

6 in. The memory card just contains data. The memory card is read by the machine; the machine then shows

7

8 then that.

9 Q. And so, have you ever seen it in doing the

10 logic and accuracy test? Has that ever yielded, you

11 know, if it's not logical; it's not accurate and we need to go back and look at the coding to see why

12

13 that happened?

A. I have never seen in any of the testing

14

15 that we have -- that I've been involved with since 2001, with using this equipment where something that

16

17 was placed in during the testing environment did not

18 feedback the expected result that was in the testing.

Also, when doing various tests within elections

19

20 mode -- my work within -- at Kennesaw State and also with the Secretary of State's office -- not just

21

22 testing in election -- pre-election test mode, which is where an LMA testing is done -- but also testing

23

24 in election mode which is when election -- the mode

25 where elections are executed, I have never

1 encountered a situation where I put in one particular
2 vote and this way and it came out differently in the report.
3
4 Q. Is it -- in one of the responses is collecting and reviewing complaints that you've
5
6 received from voters about problems with elections?
A. We -- if we do receive a complaint -- and
7
8 the majority of the complaints come into the
9 elections division, that arm of the office. Our main
10 collection point are election officials themselves.
11 And if we get a notification from a county election official that, you know, we encountered this
12
13 instance when doing our testing, then that would be something that we would go and look into, find out if
14
15 there was something out of order.
Q. Now, you've received complaints about the -
16
17 - the operations of the machines in the November
18 election, right?
A. In November -- I mean, it was a general
19
20 election where there were a few million people voting, so we did get complaints about a touch screen
21
22 not powering on. We got complaints about a voter access card being rejected prematurely; about a
23
24 touchscreen apparently not being calibrated properly.
25 Things that we hear about with every election and

1 we've heard every election since 2002.

2 Q. How -- the -- did the complainant know that the screen was miscalibrated?

3

4 A. How do I know that they know --
Q. No. How did --

5

6 A. How did they know it was miscalibrated?
Q. Right?

7

8 A. I can't speak for how they knew. It's just

9 that they said that, you know, they encountered a

10 calibration issue.

11 Q. So, what the original touchscreen was different then the summary screen or something like

12

13 that?
A. Or they, you know, felt like they were

14

15 touching one area, but another area it was -- was appearing.

16

17 Q. Okay. What other complaints -- you've

18 mentioned a number, but what other complaints did you receive in 2018?

19

20 A. Any of the complaints that I've already outlined are the general complaints that I've heard.

21

22 And I did not personally take a lot of complaints on Election Day. I think I wrote down in my notes maybe

23

24 five or six complaints on Election Day.

25 Q. Okay. And then what was the office that

1 you said takes the ones from voters themselves, not
2 from officials?
A. That's the election division.
3
4 Q. Okay.
A. That's a different section, Secretary of
5
6 State's office.
Q. And -- and those -- okay. Let me take you
7
8 back to the weekend before the November election; are
9 you familiar with the alleged hacking of the voter
10 registration database on that weekend?
11 A. [inaudible] --
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I would object on this. This
12
13 does not -- in any issue of the voter registration
database in this case; lieutenant governor's race. This
14
15 is not about the DREs. I don't think it's relevant to
anything in this court.
16
17 MR. LINDSEY: Same objection, Your Honor. We're here
18 to deal with one race and one election.
THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
19
20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, this is hacking into the
election system that the Secretary of State --
21
22 THE COURT: I'm not going to let you go into that
unless you can show hacking into the -- into the actual
23
24 voting system, not the voter registration system.
25 MR. BROWN: Let me ask a ---

1 BY MR. BROWN:

2 Q. Could -- could -- could hacking into the registration --- the hacking into the registration

3 system could affect the number of people who are allowed to vote for a particular election; correct?

4 MR. TYSON: Your Honor.

5 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, the same objection as

6 before. That's not the issue here today. The issue here

7 today that you've raised to overturn this election is that

8 there was some type of -- of breakdown in the election

9 system; not that there - a certain voter was not allowed to vote, which we would also deny, but that's a different

10 issue. That's the issue here, so we object to the relevance.

11 THE COURT: Sustained.

12 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.

13 BY MR. BROWN:

14 Q. All right. Let me ask you this; are you aware of any investigation that the Secretary of

15 State did into hacking relating to the 2018 election?

16 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, he's being vague.

17 THE COURT: That's the same question.

18 MR. LINDSEY: It's just leading.

19 THE COURT: Artfully. Phrased differently.

20 MR. TYSON: I disagree that it was artful, and I

1 disagree it was different; it's just simply broader.

2 MR. BROWN: I'm asking if there was any.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'm asking --
3

4 THE COURT: If it goes to the election system itself,
not to the voter registration, the actual -- actual -- was
5

6 there any hacking in the actual voting system?
THE WITNESS: [inaudible].
7

8 BY MR. BROWN:

9 Q. And you did not investigate any hacking

10 into the election system as distinguished from the

11 registration system; correct?
A. Correct.
12

13 Q. And the Secretary of State's -- okay --
you've testified about it before I know there's been
14

15 a lot of testimony about the exposure of the system
at Kennesaw State in 2016 and 2017; are you familiar
16

17 generally with that issue?

18 A. I am.
Q. What has -- has the state undertaken a
19

20 forensic examination of the components of the
election's system to determine whether or not it was
21

22 infected with any malware because of that it's the --
-
23

24 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, once again, we're talking

25 about two entirely different systems; and unless he's

1 dealing with specifically the voter system we're going to
2 object to relevancy.
MR. TYSON: We would object to the lack of foundation
3
4 as far as the 2016 incident that affected any sort of like
databases. This is two years ago. There's no explanation
5
6 as to how --
THE COURT: I sustain it as to whether there's been
7
8 something because of something that happened that isn't in
9 front of me. I mean, you know, did they investigate
10 routinely for malware? I mean, that's one thing. But --
11 not going back and try to put something else on the record
that's not before me.
12
13 MR. BROWN: Well, I'll get it before you, Your Honor.
BY MR. BROWN:
14
15 Q. Now, Mr. Barnes, the -- what was exposed to
the public internet in 2016 and 2017?
16
17 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, we going to renew the
18 objection again.
THE COURT: Sustained.
19
20 MR. BROWN: Okay.
BY MR. BROWN:
21
22 Q. Mr. Barnes, what forensic review has your
office done with respect to the DRE machine voting
23
24 systems that were used in the 2018 election?
25 A. The Secretary of State's office in 2017

1 undertook a recertification of the voting system and
2 examined the equipment in three separate counties;
Muskogee County, Richmond County and Bibb County, to
3
4 examine and verify the voting equipment was working
as had been previously certified. And the
5
6 examination found that the equipment was working in
the same fashion and the same way as it had done
7
8 through previous certification tests.
9 Q. I had asked you if you -- if the Secretary
10 of State had done a forensic analysis and I guess the
11 answer is no, but they have done -- they have
recertified it, is that fair to say?
12
13 A. That's fair to say.
Q. Okay. Has the Secretary of State
14
15 undertaken an investigation into possible causes of
the voting pattern that was seen on the May 2018
16
17 election?
18 A. Undertaken an investigation, like, opened
an investigation to present to the state election
19
20 board?
Q. I -- I didn't mean to -- to wrap too much
21
22 into the word investigation, what I mean is if have
they looked into it to see what happened?
23
24 A. With every election with the Secretary of
25 State's office and elections division always look at

1 their election to see is there anything that we can
2 learn from this election.
And every election there's always an opportunity
3
4 to learn more about doing the next election even
better. So to the circumstance, has the Secretary of
5
6 State's office looked at the elections to, you know,
garner what can we do better in the future?
7
8 Absolutely.
9 Q. Has the Secretary of State considered that
10 whether there was a system error that caused the
11 voting pattern that was actually experienced in the
2018 race?
12
13 A. To my knowledge, no.
Q. Has that issues been raised just in casual
14
15 discussion in -- in your office?
MR. TYSON: I'll object to that. That requires
16
17 hearsay. What other people have said to Mr. Barnes in his
18 office is not at issue, Your Honor.
MR. BROWN: I'll -- I'll rephrase it.
19
20 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Have -- have -- have you discussed with
21
22 your colleagues' potential causes of the -- of the
voting pattern that was going on 2018?
23
24 A. Well, being hypothetical of what, you know,
25 what may cause people to act the way they do in

1 certain situations?

2 Q. No. This is -- this is -- I'm not -- back up a little bit. I'm not -- this is a factual

3

4 question.
THE COURT: Well, it's kind of very, very broad and

5

6 he's interpreting it one way and you're meaning it some other way.

7

8 MR. BROWN: Right. Have -- let me ask it in several

9 different ways, okay.

10 BY MR. BROWN:

11 Q. Has the Secretary of State undertaken an investigation to determine whether system defects

12

13 caused the voting pattern in the 2018 race?
A. No, sir.

14

15 Q. Has the Secretary of State done any forensic examination of any of the components of the

16

17 system to determine whether or not they're were

18 infected with any malware or misprogramming that might have affected the vote, period?

19

20 A. The Secretary of State's office received a letter from one of the lieutenant governor candidates

21

22 post-election stating one of -- stating a concern and listed some counties in that letter. Upon receiving

23

24 that letter, Secretary of State's office went to one

25 of the counties in question and did look at the

1 uploaded records into the GEMS computer.

2 They also extracted information from individual machines that wer used on Election Day to validate

3

4 that the records contained on the machine matched what has been uploaded previously into the GEMS

5

6 computer. Those valid images were produced and examined and found that everything was balancing and

7

8 showing as what was reported initially by the county

9 on Election Night.

10 Q. So that if the vote was wrong at the

11 precinct level, it was wrong exactly the same way at the Secretary of State's office, is that what I'm

12

13 hearing?
MR. TYSON: I'll object. I think that assumes facts

14

15 that aren't in evidence. I don't understand what the question is on that.

16

17 MR. BROWN: I think he can answer it. I think he

18 knows where I'm going.
THE COURT: No. I sustain the objection. You've got

19

20 to rephrase it. You keep assuming a bunch of things. That's just --

21

22 MR. BROWN: Okay. [inaudible]
BY MR. BROWN:

23

24 Q. So the analysis showed that the vote total

25 in the precinct matched the vote total at the

1 Secretary of State's office; correct?

2 A. The analysis showed that the vote total calculated by the individual devices examined and the

3

4 vote total tabulated by the separate GEMS computer using the data extracted directly from the machine

5

6 and compared against the data uploaded from the memory card used on Election Day was identically the

7

8 same, in that the vote totals calculated being

9 exactly the same in all instances examined.

10 Q. Okay. If the machine itself had

11 incorrectly recorded the vote, your testing would not have detected that; correct?

12

13 A. The testing that was done was to validate that -- what the machine had produced, the machine

14

15 continued to produce and show that result [inaudible].

16

17 Q. Okay. Let me ask it to you again because

18 you didn't answer the question. I'm going to get there, okay. If the machine did not correctly record

19

20 the vote, you would not have detected then in your review; correct?

21

22 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'm going to object to that. I guess assuming things are in evidence here as far was

23

24 what's happening in the sequence of the technology. I

25 don't -- I don't see how this --

1 THE COURT: I understand what you're saying.

2 MR. TYSON: Okay.
BY MR. BROWN:
3

4 Q. Go ahead and answer.
A. What our examination did is show that the
5

6 machine collected a result and reported what it
collected. All of my testing has always shown in
7

8 pre-election, in the testing, that anything that goes

9 in is what the machine shows coming out. And we were

10 seeing the back end of what the machine was pushing

11 outward and it was matching up on what was reported
on Election Night, what was printed on Election Night
12

13 from the memory card, extracted from the device. It
was matching up with what was calculated by the GEMS
14

15 computer upon receipt of that memory card and also it
was matching of what was on the record contained by
16

17 the devices, so.

18 Q. But none of that -- again, none of that
could tell you if the machine itself is recording the
19

20 vote, right?
A. All I can speak to is what the machine has
21

22 in memory, what it reported, and what it's saying is
on record.
23

24 Q. And you're familiar with the expression

25 garbage in, garbage out?

1 A. I've heard it before, yes, sir.

2 Q. Okay. And in response to Candidate Amico's letter, did the Secretary of State conduct any

3

4 machine-level investigation with any of the memory chips or anything like to determine if there were any

5

6 flaws there?
A. We inspected two machines on site in Ben

7

8 Hill County. We recovered from the machine's

9 internal memory the election result file that was

10 stored on the machine. We then -- once that image

11 file was restored, we then looked at the ballot images that were collected in that file through the

12

13 DRE.
So we looked at the physical DRE display of

14

15 those collected ballots and that requires us to touch the screen to maneuver through and we did not

16

17 encounter any issues when touching the screen that

18 lead us to believe that the screen was not responsive to proper touch or use. And we then from those

19

20 devices printed out new totals from that device and those totals match all previous introduced totals.

21

22 Q. You say you looked at the election reports [inaudible]?

23

24 A. The election result data. The file --

25 result file.

1 THE COURT: I have no idea what that is.

2 MR. BROWN: It sounds like a machine.
THE COURT: Huh?
3

4 MR. BROWN: Printing machine.
THE COURT: The printing machine.
5

6 MR. BROWN: [inaudible]
THE COURT: What printing machine?
7

8 MR. BROWN: It's very fast.

9 THE COURT: I was going to say it was on the roof but
10 we're on the second floor and there's seven floors on this
11 building. It's information for the presiding judge who's
not in here. Yeah. It's information for the presiding
12
13 judge that's not in here, so. There's some -- they move
us all around all the time. So I'll put that back there.
14
15 There's Judge [inaudible]. Go ahead.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
16
17 BY MR. BROWN:
18 Q. Is the election -- well, what was the name
of that file again?
19
20 A. The ballot results on.
Q. The ballot results on. Okay. And so the
21
22 Secretary of State didn't look at other parts of the
internal memory, did it?
23
24 A. No.
25 Q. Okay. So it didn't look at the boot

1 loader?

2 A. No.
Q. It didn't look at the operating system?
3

4 A. When the machine powered on we did a review
of what operating system was displayed and it was the
5

6 standard certified operating system that was found on
those devices; as well as the install [inaudible] for
7

8 servers, that certified for use in the State of

9 Georgia.

10 Q. And the Secretary of State didn't give you

11 any actual sort of code-level review to look for
malware in the data?
12

13 A. Correct.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I caution that I'm entering
14

15 in an area that you've already ruled upon, but I want to
make a clarification.
16

17 The suggestion was made by the defendants in your

18 objection [inaudible] our case, it's not raised, issues
involving the registration --
19

20 THE COURT: Just ask the questions, please. Just ask
the questions. Don't ask me to prove judgment. Let's
21

22 just -- for the question, ask it, and we'll go from there.
MR. BROWN: I want to be careful --
23

24 THE COURT: If they don't like, they'll jump, and

25 we'll do it then.

1 MR. BROWN: I just want to be careful [inaudible]
2 respect to --
THE COURT: [inaudible]
3
4 MR. BROWN: Okay.
BY MR. BROWN:
5
6 Q. Now the election's registration system has been plagued with difficulties over the last --
7
8 THE COURT: I have ruled on that. Don't go there.
9 MR. BROWN: Okay. The reason I'm asking --
10 THE COURT: And like I said, they jumped up. Okay.
11 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would just point out just for the record is that is our allegation paragraph
12
13 [inaudible].
THE COURT: I ruled on it. Quit pushing me all the
14
15 time.
BY MR. BROWN:
16
17 Q. Let me direct your attention to the ballot
18 design. Now what kind of role does your office have in ballot design for the electronic system?
19
20 A. When using GEMS, we first lay out the ballots so that -- structurally is what we focus on
21
22 first, make sure we have the right local districts in play, have the right precincts in play, and have the
23
24 right split precincts in play when a precinct is
25 divided.

1 Q. Okay.

2 A. And then have the right races and have the right candidates and have the right polling

3

4 locations. GEMS then takes all of that relationship information and produces a ballot style. It is a

5

6 layout of individual races. It does it in two formats.

7

8 It lays out a ballot style for optical scan

9 purposes that we set the number of columns in the

10 ballot format for the general election in 2013. The

11 predominant format was three columns on the front side of the ballot and three columns on the back side

12

13 of the ballot. Some locations had to go to a four column in the front and four column in the back

14

15 because of the sheer number of races that were included on the ballot.

16

17 That's the configuration that we set up for the

18 printer op scan ballot. For the configuration of the touch screen we set up a two-column ballot and that

19

20 has been the format that we've had in place since before 2010. When we initially started using the

21

22 DREs in 2002, it was three-column ballot on the DRE. It's now currently transitioned to a two-column

23

24 ballot around 2008 or 2002. The decision was made at

25 that time that the State wanted to give more space on

1 the ballot for each individual race that was seen on
2 the DRE. So we set up a configuration from building the data set that we're going to have a two-column
3
4 ballot displayed on the DRE.
Q. Okay. Now the -- in terms of this
5
6 accessibility or fairness, are there some guidelines that you use for designing a ballot?
7
8 A. There are rules in the -- State Election
9 Board rules for displaying of candidate name, the
10 font size that you use, whether you do all caps. The
11 position of candidates is set by statute in general elections whether the Republican candidate or the
12
13 Democratic candidate is listed first or second. The order of races is set again by statute of what race
14
15 comes first in the ballot, what race is second, third, and so forth and so on.
16
17 Q. Are there rules or regulations governing
18 whether a ballot can go from one -- if a race can be on two pages? In other words, the first candidate on
19
20 one page and then the second candidate on the other page.
21
22 A. When we are building the database, I'm not aware there are rules that says it can't be that way.
23
24 But when we are building the database we -- one of
25 the things that we look at once we have built that

1 structure, we look at on a DRE to see if any of these
2 circumstances may be arising. Are the number of candidates so large in a given race that we have to
3 change the -- what we call the scaling of the image that is shown on the screen?
5
6 We did encounter this in 2016 with the presidential preference primary on the Republican
7 side. When we first built the database there -- when
9 we first built the first arrangement of the database
10 for proof of purpose it had 16 candidates. The
11 scaling size that we used was actually pushing candidates to a second screen. We adjusted the
12 scaling -- reduced the scaling so that all of the candidates showed up on one screen all together under
14 the same header so that we would produce any voter confusion.
16
17 Q. Now in this election, 2018, did you design
18 any ballots that had the lieutenant governor's race split between one screen and the next?
19
20 A. To my knowledge the -- every voter in the state of Georgia on Election Day when they were using
21 a DRE unit and it is the normal display, the standard display, it had two races on the first frame. It had
23 -- on the left-hand side it had the governor's race
25 and all the candidates, and on the right-hand side

1 it had the lieutenant governor's race and all
2 candidates. And those were the only two races on the screen.
3
4 Q. And if some other configuration appeared it would have been the result of a machine mistake or
5
6 error; correct?
A. If a voter had put it into magnifying mode,
7
8 which the voter has the ability to do so, they would
9 have only been showing one race on the first screen,
10 and that would have been the governor, and then the
11 second race would have been the lieutenant governor on the second screen.
12
13 Q. By that orientation, where would the splitting of the lieutenant governor race that you're
14
15 aware of [inaudible].
A. That I am aware of.
16
17 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, if I could take a minute
18 break --
THE COURT: Sure.
19
20 MR. BROWN: -- [inaudible]
THE COURT: Why don't we take a few minutes then.
21
22 I'll be back in about ten minutes. Okay.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
23
24 MR. TYSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
25 [Off the record at 3:30 p.m., and back on the record

1 at 3:37 p.m.]

2

CONTINUATION DIRECT EXAMINATION

3

4 OF MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES

5

6 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. I'm going to hand you what's been marked as
7

8 Plaintiff's 8 and [inaudible]

9 THE COURT: Exhibit 8? Okay.

10 Q. Let me direct you to the last page of

11 Exhibit 8. Is the first email on the last page of
Exhibit 8 an email from a Steven [phonetic] Clay to
12

13 you and others?
MR. TYSON: I object on this. This is related to the
14

15 [inaudible] state issue in 2016 it looks like in these
emails, so.
16

17 THE COURT: Okay. You're going to identify what 8 is

18 --
MR. BROWN: All right.
19

20 THE COURT: I know you're trying to identify the last
page, but what's the dates on it?
21

22 MR. BROWN: I can do all of [inaudible].
BY MR. BROWN:
23

24 Q. Mr. Barnes, does Exhibit 8 appear to be

25 true and correct [inaudible] emails between various people

1 at your office [inaudible]?

2 A. It appears to be email communications from the Center for Election Systems at Kennesaw State in

3

4 2017.
MR. BROWN: Move to admit, Your Honor.

5

6 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, this appears to be once again related to the incident at Kennesaw State --

7

8 THE COURT: In '17? In '17?

9 MR. BROWN: In '17 is related to the Kennesaw State

10 matter that does [inaudible].

11 THE COURT: You're going to have to [inaudible]. Based on what you just said does not [inaudible] for me to

12

13 admit. We're talking about 2018, November race, that's all we're talking about.

14

15 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, we have alleged and proven that [inaudible] in 2017 could still impact the system --

16

17 THE COURT: No, you haven't proven that.

18 MR. BROWN: Well, I haven't [inaudible]
THE COURT: [inaudible] You haven't done that. I'll

19

20 let it in; okay? I'm going to let it in, because you misstate so many things, Mr. Brown. You really do. And

21

22 if it's -- [inaudible]. I'll shut up and let you run the show.

23

24 MR. BROWN: No, ma'am, the reason why I said that is

25 the record was --

1 THE COURT: Sir, you have not proved it. I am the
2 one that determines what's proved. Whether you will, I don't know, let's find out.
3
4 MR. BROWN: I understand. Let me back up a little bit and I understand --
5
6 THE COURT: Don't argue with me all the time. Just ask the gentleman questions, please, sir.
7
8 MR. BROWN: Okay. [inaudible] I move to admit this
9 Exhibit --
10 THE COURT: I said I'll admit it. How many more
11 times do you want me to say that?
MR. BROWN: Turn to page 8.
12
13 THE COURT: Can I get a copy of it now, please? [inaudible] discretion.
14
15 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Barnes, what is the compromise that is
16
17 referred to on page 8 of Exhibit 8? And it's in the
18 email from Mr. Gay [ph] to Michael Barnes [inaudible].
19
20 A. I believe this is referring to the web server that was attacked at the Center for Election
21
22 Systems.
Q. And the web server housed what programs or
23
24 information?
25 A. It didn't house any programs. It was a

1 distribution server that counties would obtain, like,
2 ballot proofs, data files that power their Express Poll devices; data that the Center for Election
3
4 Systems constructed at Kennesaw State while it was under contract with the Secretary of State's office
5
6 and supporting counties in state and federal elections.
7
8 Q. And how was the -- that site compromised?
9 A. It was a web server and somebody
10 infiltrated the portions of the web server files that
11 were behind password protection.
Q. Let me direct your attention to the next
12
13 page [inaudible] and this may not be in [inaudible] sequence so I'm going to assume that they are
14
15 [inaudible]. On page 7 in Exhibit 8, do you recall receiving this email? Or recall being cc'd on this
16
17 email?
18 A. [inaudible]
Q. Did you have an understanding when you
19
20 received this what the author meant, or what it meant to you, that obviously this is kind of untenable in
21
22 the current atmosphere?
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, while you have
23
24 admitted this document --
25 THE COURT: [inaudible] I've just got to see it. The

1 first page refers to [inaudible] investigation in regard
2 to voter registration records.
MR. LINDSEY: Yes, Your Honor.
3
4 THE COURT: I've already ruled that out repeatedly; ruled that out.
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible] with whether or not the system that --
7
8 THE COURT: And these are not in any kind of order.
9 MR. LINDSEY: -- whether or not the system has --
10 whether or not this has anything to do with the system
11 that collects voters' actual votes in 2018. What about the system [inaudible] --
12
13 MR. BROWN: [inaudible]
MR. LINDSEY: Well, let me back up. I'm here to
14
15 state my [inaudible]. My objection, Your Honor, this dealt with an entirely different system. You've already
16
17 ruled it dealt with an entirely different system and we
18 would object --
THE COURT: I think the question was, there were
19
20 errors then, have they been corrected? That's the only question on the floor.
21
22 MR. LINDSEY: My --
THE COURT: [inaudible] isn't relevant.
23
24 MR. LINDSEY: Yeah, my objection is that this dealt
25 with a situation you've already ruled on, which was

1 [inaudible]

2 THE COURT: I can see we might want to [inaudible].
MR. LINDSEY: Is there a -- I mean, if we could
3

4 stipulate that whatever [inaudible] have not been fixed,
maybe that will speed things up. [inaudible] stipulate
5

6 that it's relevant because it's not [inaudible] with the
system at issue here regarding the 2018 election.
7

8 MR. BROWN: I would love to put Mr. Lindsey on the

9 witness stand for that but --

10 THE COURT: Why do you talk over the top of

11 everybody? Now you're talking over the top of Mr.
Lindsey.
12

13 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'm simply just restating
the fact that you've already previously ruled that the
14

15 issue at Kennesaw State had nothing to do with the
election in 2018 because it dealt with [inaudible]
16

17 THE COURT: I sustain your objection, Mr. Lindsey.

18 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
BY MR. BROWN:
19

20 Q. When did the Secretary of State change the
system after Kennesaw State?
21

22 A. The -- when operations transitioned from
Kennesaw State to the Secretary of State's office --
23

24 the transition began before the physical transition.

25 The Secretary of State began constructing their

1 internal --

2 Q. I apologize for interrupting you, but I'm asking you a date question.

3

4 A. January 1, 2018.
Q. [inaudible] January 1, 2018. And what did

5

6 they change?
A. The entire air gap private network that's

7

8 used to -- that houses the election programming

9 software, GEMS, at the state level was transitioned

10 to a new air gap system maintained by the Secretary

11 of State Information Technology office and became operational when the Center for Election Systems took

12

13 up residency within the Secretary of State's office. Prior to the use of that system, that system was put

14

15 through an analysis by [inaudible], which the voting system testing lab out of Huntsville, Alabama to

16

17 validate that the installation of GEMS owned that

18 system matches the installation that [inaudible] had previously inspected.

19

20 Q. Okay. So is it your testimony that the -- that everything was changed over on January 1, 2018?

21

22 A. That the system that's used to generate the GEMS databases that are designed -- that are

23

24 developed and issued to counties to execute their

25 elections, the system that those -- that work was

1 done on was a brand new system as of January 1, 2018.

2 Q. Okay. A brand new what? A brand new hardware, brand new programming?

3

4 A. Brand new hardware.
Q. Okay. What about --

5

6 A. We were still using the certified copy of GEMS that we had been using in the state of Georgia

7

8 since 2000 -- late 2011.

9 Q. Okay. So it was the same GEMS system?

10 A. We have been using the same GEMS program

11 throughout the state of Georgia uniformly since late 2011.

12

13 Q. And that same program that has continued in use was on -- was it on the web server --

14

15 A. No, sir.
Q. Okay. Was it put on a web server?

16

17 A. No, sir.

18 Q. Okay. So did anything on the web server get put on the same place as the GEMS database?

19

20 A. No, sir.
Q. Okay. The -- if the GEMS -- well, so you

21

22 said it's -- Mr. Lindsey said everything was changed, it's a different system, the GEMS database is the

23

24 same; correct?

25 A. We have been using the same GEMS program in

1 Georgia since late 2011.

2 Q. Thank you. Sorry to interrupt [inaudible].
Let me direct your attention to page 4 on Exhibit 8.
3

4 THE COURT: These were written before he said
everything was changed. So let's not go into --
5

6 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, he said the GEMS database
was not a change.
7

8 THE COURT: I understand.

9 MR. BROWN: [inaudible] Let me get right to the point

10 [inaudible] overtake I think an objection.

11 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Barnes, did any of the 45-plus critical
12

13 vulnerabilities -- 40-plus critical vulnerabilities
relate in any way to any -- to the GEMS database?
14

15 A. No, sir.
Q. Did the critical vulnerabilities relate to
16

17 -- into the DREs or in the way the DREs were current?

18 A. No, sir.
Q. What did they relate to?
19

20 A. They're related to a web server that was
distributing the data to counties -- counties for
21

22 elections. It was the portal that the Center for
Elections use as their outside communication tool,
23

24 their website.

25 Elections.Kennesaw.edu was housed on that

1 server. Counties have a sign-in to that location to
2 obtain some information like ballot proofs for review purposes, where they could portal in and access that
3
4 information.
Q. Mr. Barnes, I want to go back to your
5
6 testimony about the ballot design; do you recall that? And I want to clarify first, you were
7
8 describing the scalability of the ballot design,
9 different challenges. There's a lot of candidates,
10 like, in the presidential preference primary for the
11 Republicans in 2016. Does the county have an opportunity to participate in ballot designs?
12
13 A. The county has an opportunity to review the data set at any given time during the review process.
14
15 Most counties take the reports that we generate and provide to them that are sample ballots in the
16
17 optical scan format, because that's the easiest thing
18 to produce, the PDF format, and provide the counties for a layout -- of validating that the right races
19
20 are in the right place on the ballot.
But some counties choose, Gwinnett County being
21
22 one of them in November of 2018, to obtain a copy of the GEMS database while it is in production. For
23
24 them then to then take that database, install it onto
25 a DRE so they can see what it's going to look like on

1 the DRE themselves. And if they see anything that
2 they would like adjusted, then they notify our office of what needs to be adjusted and that adjustment is
3
4 made.
Q. [inaudible] and Gwinnett was a longer
5
6 ballot because it was in two languages; is that right? Was that one of the issues?
7
8 A. It was a more complicated ballot. It was
9 the first election that Gwinnett County was having
10 with multiple language, so they were doing a lot of
11 proofing in multiple languages to make sure the ballot was being displayed as they needed it
12
13 displayed to be in adherence with federal statute.
Q. I asked you before with respect to the work
14
15 that you -- your office had done with respect to the ballot design whether in any other various -- the
16
17 ballots had been out, the election for the lieutenant
18 governor was split between two pages and you said, no. Do you know if that's the case with respect to
19
20 what the counties ended up using [inaudible]?
A. We have the same DRE equipment at our
21
22 disposal as the counties have at their disposal. The image that we can generate on the DRE should be equal
23
24 to what a county is seeing on display when they are
25 generating. That's the best to which I can answer

1 that question.

2 Q. To the best of your knowledge.
A. To the best of my knowledge.

3

4 Q. To the best of your knowledge the ballots
displayed in the county, did -- would not have shown

5

6 on the lieutenant governor's race on two different
screens; is that right?

7

8 A. That is correct.

9 Q. That's all I have. Thanks, again.

10 THE COURT: Any questions?

11

CROSS-EXAMINATION

12

13 OF MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES

14

15 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. Mr. Barnes, let's pick up where Mr. Brown

16

17 had been talking ballot design. Let me show you what

18 would be marked as -- where is it I'm at? Duncan 2?
Duncan 2.

19

20 And I'm going to ask you if you will -- if you
could identify this and tell me what it -- what is

21

22 shows, [inaudible] design of the [inaudible] ballots
in I believe 200- -- 2018, 2014, and 2010. Does this

23

24 accurately show the ballot design of the governor and

25 lieutenant governor's races for those three election

1 cycles? [inaudible]

2 A. Yes, sir, it does.
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I would tender these into
3

4 evidence.
MR. BROWN: No objection, Your Honor.
5

6 THE COURT: Received.
MR. TYSON: [inaudible]
7

8 BY MR. LINDSEY [inaudible]:

9 Q. There is in this packet two pictures of

10 each year. Could you explain to the Court why?

11 A. Sure. The two pictures -- the picture --
there are two DRE models that are used in the state
12

13 of Georgia. There is the TSx model and there is the
R6 model.
14

15 The TSx is -- has the gray case around it. The
screen and the R6 model has the white case around the
16

17 screen. So these are images of both models

18 displaying the ballot from November of 2018.
Q. And let me go back and show to the 2010
19

20 screen and that screen -- rather, in these screens
the U.S. Senate race and the governor's race is on
21

22 the first page and the next page has the lieutenant
governor and other races; correct?
23

24 A. Correct.

25 Q. In 2014, there was once again U.S. Senate

1 race and once again the U.S. Senate is -- and the
2 governor's race is on the first page and the race for lieutenant governor and other state constitutional
3
4 offices are on the next page; correct?
A. Correct.
5
6 Q. Now, the situation is different in 2018; is it not?
7
8 A. That's correct.
9 Q. Why?
10 A. There was no United States Senate race on
11 the ballot in 2018. The first race on all ballots across the state was for governor.
12
13 Q. So as a result, so instead of being on two different screens, the governor and lieutenant
14
15 governor's race are both on the same screen.
A. That's correct.
16
17 Q. Correct? Okay. Now, you are as part of
18 your job, concerned about ballot design; correct?
A. Correct.
19
20 Q. And for instance you are knowledgeable, for instance, what happened in Broward County; correct?
21
22 A. Correct.
Q. Tell the Judge what happened in Broward
23
24 County.
25 A. In November 2018 in Broward County, they

1 had a -- I believe it's -- the United States Senate
2 race was at the bottom of the first column on the ballot.
3
4 It came below the set instructions. So at the very -- after those instructions ended, they had
5
6 enough space at the bottom of this piece of paper that they could include the United States senate race
7
8 at the bottom of that column and then the governor's
9 race was at the top of the second column on the
10 ballot. Other counties -- that was Broward County --
11 is the United States senate was at the bottom of -- underneath the instructions.
12
13 Q. So as a result in Broward County fewer people voted in the U.S. Senate race than they did in
14
15 other counties?
A. The layout in Broward County was different
16
17 than it was in other counties.
18 Q. Yeah.
A. In other counties the United States Senate
19
20 race was at the top of the second column. There was no race below the instructions on other county
21
22 ballots in Florida.
Q. And did that have an impact on voter
23
24 participation? Was that the concern that had
25 impacted the voter [inaudible]?

1 A. There was concern that because the race was
2 at the -- below the instructions that voters might not have noticed that it was below the instructions
3
4 and then may have just bypassed it and ignored it.
5 Q. Yeah. Now after this election, Mr. Brown
6 asked you, had you had any discussions about why there's a difference between the paper ballot down-
7
8 ballot voting for lieutenant governor and the
9 electronic ballot down-ballot race? Do you recall
10 him asking you that?
11 A. Yes, sir.
12 Q. And have you in fact had any discussion
13 about whether or not the ballot design may have impacted that race?
14
15 A. Post-election, you know, looking at the design of the ballot, we have always been very
16
17 pleased with the two-column ballot because it gives,
18 you know -- you know, two races very clearly separate apart but when we, you know, start thinking about the
19
20 election of what all was going on with the given election, could this ballot layout been confusing to
21
22 voters.
23 And there's a possibility that it could have
24 been confusing, especially for new voters that are
25 voting for the first time in the state of Georgia

1 that may not have had an interaction in voting in
2 Georgia or using a DRE. You know, a cursory glance of the screen like this you may feel like because the
3
4 Republican candidates are line up and the Democratic candidates are lining up that you make one selection
5
6 and you're voting for a ticket.
So that could be how a voter interprets that or
7
8 it may not be. I could just be that they see the
9 first race and they are focused on when they get to
10 the next element. Each individual voter's actions
11 that -- are their own.
Q. And in fact you are aware that program's
12
13 [inaudible]?
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, this is already leading and,
14
15 Your Honor, I would [inaudible] leading the witness.
MR. LINDSEY: That doesn't matter. It has to be
16
17 adverse. [inaudible].
18 THE COURT: [inaudible], therefore he's got him on cross.
19
20 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
21
22 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. So obviously, you know, you're aware of the
23
24 fact that the president and my president, for instance,
25 run on a ticket; correct?

1 A. Correct.

2 Q. and are you aware that, I believe, in 25 states that have lieutenant governors and governors, they

3

4 run on a ticket; are you aware of that?

A. I learned something today that -- I know

5

6 that there are some jurisdictions where they on a ticket with a number.

7

8 Q. Okay. Are you aware that, for instance, in

9 Florida they run on a ticket?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. Are you aware that in South Carolina, two of our neighboring states, they also run on a ticket?

12

13 A. Yes.

Q. So it is common, is it not, that in many

14

15 states that the governor and lieutenant governor weren't on a ticket?

16

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Okay. Now, in addition to the general design, did you also do a analysis in terms of the

19

20 number of new voters voting in Georgia for the first time --

21

22 A. Post --

Q. -- in 2014 and 2018? Post-election.

23

24 A. Secretary of State's office did reach out

25 to their vendor of the voter registration system to

1 ask the question of how many new voters participated
2 for the -- or how many voters participated for the first time in 2018, also in 2014. In 2014, I believe
3
4 the number was --
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I object. This is hearsay.
5
6 It's [inaudible].
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, he's doing this in his
7
8 official capacity to basically analyze the number of new
9 voters.
10 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I'm not aware of any official
11 capacity except to the hearsay ruled upon.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, this is part of his
12
13 official duties is to --
THE COURT: We've talked about numbers all over the
14
15 place.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, these are not --
16
17 THE COURT: And all other kinds of ways. I'll allow
18 it.
MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
19
20 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. That in 2014, there were just over 98,000
21
22 voters in Georgia that participated for the first time in the November 2014 election, but in the 2018
23
24 election there were a number of 336,000 voters or a
25 little over that that voted for the first time on --

1 in November of 2018. And as far as your discussions,
2 was the concern about confusion by new voters coming in from other states?
3
4 A. Yes.
Q. And that's part of the concerns you had in
5
6 terms of the inadvertent layout that was created as a result of the U.S. Senate race not being on the
7
8 ballot?
9 A. Correct.
10 Q. Okay. Now Mr. Brown also asked you about
11 -- several questions about getting necessary reports from GEMS and elsewhere about verifying that the --
12
13 that the election results.
And at one point you were asked to run a report
14
15 that formed this lawsuit, a report that was given to the parties assuring every voter received lieutenant
16
17 governor on the ballot. Do you recall being asked
18 about that before?
A. Yes.
19
20 Q. Okay. You did not run a report for every county; correct?
21
22 A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. But you did run a report on the
23
24 counties that are all on -- named parties in this
25 lawsuit; correct?

1 A. That's correct.

2 Q. And that would be Fulton and Gwinnett; correct?

3

4 A. Correct.
MR. TYSON [inaudible]: [inaudible] what?

5

6 MR. LINDSEY: Fulton and Gwinnett.
Q. Let me first show you Gwinnett -- let me

7

8 show you both [inaudible]. First let me show you

9 Gwinnett and ask you to please identify Gwinnett

10 [inaudible]. If you could identify that for the

11 Court.
A. This is the base precinct with reports --

12

13 base precinct with races report for Gwinnett County and note there were 2018 election.

14

15 Q. And you -- tell the Court what that means -- what that means.

16

17 A. What this report shows is every -- a voter

18 is connected to a base precinct within a GEMS database. And what this shows is the base precinct

19

20 associated to the precinct and then what races are associated to that base precinct. So this show --

21

22 and a voter is going to be associated to the base precinct. So this is showing what races each

23

24 individual voter within a precinct would see when

25 they were given their ballot.

1 Q. Okay.

2 MR. LINDSEY: We would tender Exhibits 3 and 4.
[inaudible] 3 and 4. [inaudible]
3

4 MR. BROWN: I have no objection, Your Honor.
THE COURT: They're admitted.
5

6 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. And what do these reports -- you would tell
7

8 the Court what these reports show.

9 A. It shows, again, all races for that given

10 base precinct or present all statewide races that

11 were being contested in November are shown with every
base precinct listed in the report.
12

13 Q. Okay. So if I understand you correctly
what you're telling me is that Mr. Duncan and Ms.
14

15 Amico appeared on every electronic ballot then in
those two counties?
16

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. And did you ever receive any complaint from
anywhere in the state that this race did not appear
19

20 on an electronic ballot?
A. We did not.
21

22 Q. To go back to the issue of configuration
about how was the ballot that we just finished
23

24 discussing, the electronic ballot, differed from the

25 paper ballots that folks would receive when they did

1 write-in?

2 A. In relation to layout?
Q. Layout, particularly the governor and
3

4 lieutenant governor's race.
A. The optical scan ballot, again being three
5

6 or four columns in display, front and back, the
governor's race would have been the first race below
7

8 the ballot that are -- in the -- on the left -- far

9 left column and then the race right below that would

10 have been the lieutenant governor and then the race

11 below that would have been Secretary of State.
Q. So you wouldn't have had simply the
12

13 governor and lieutenant governors on the -- on only
one page?
14

15 A. That's correct.
Q. Plus you had a north-south situation as
16

17 opposed to east-west?

18 A. Correct.
Q. Now in addition to checking to make sure
19

20 that this race appeared on all the ballots, you also
were asked as part of the discovery in this case, to
21

22 prepare from GEMS a report testing to ensure that the
votes that are made on a machine are actually
23

24 calculated in the system; correct?

25 A. Correct.

1 Q. Okay. And you did this for both Fulton and
2 Gwinnett, correct --
A. Correct.
3
4 Q. -- were named parties in this case. And if
you would explain to the Court -- let me show you the
5
6 -- and ask you if this is [inaudible] would be and
then explain to the Court what actually this report
7
8 shows.
9 A. These are the active voter TS status
10 reports from the Fulton and Gwinnett county databases
11 and what these reports show are the number of memory
cards created for their voting locations and the
12
13 upload status of those memory cards.
Q. Okay. In English what do they [inaudible]?
14
15 A. It shows that the touch screen unit that
was used that the information collected by that
16
17 machine has been uploaded into GEMS [inaudible].
18 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we would tender these two
Exhibits which would be 5 and 6 [inaudible].
19
20 MR. BROWN: No objection, Your Honor.
THE COURT: So admitted..
21
22 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. And after analyzing the report once again,
23
24 what are they -- tell the Court what they showed.
25 A. They showed that there are no outstanding

1 memory cards. That all memory cards that were
2 created that would have collected that would have collected votes, have been uploaded and accounted for
3
4 by GEMS.
Q. Okay. Now you were asked by Mr. Brown also
5
6 about what you at the Secretary of State's office does beforehand to get ballots ready for voting. Do
7
8 you recall that last question?
9 A. Yes.
10 Q. Let me ask you, do you do any testing,
11 parallel testing for instance, on Election Day to make sure that the systems are operating correctly?
12
13 A. We do.
Q. Tell the Judge what you do.
14
15 A. In the days leading up to the election we will make -- Secretary of State's office will make a
16
17 request to a county in Georgia to provide to us a
18 copy of their GEMS database from their GEMS computer. The State constructs the database and sends it to the
19
20 county.
But for parallel testing we ask that whatever
21
22 the county has loaded into their system, that they make a copy of that database and send it back to the
23
24 State so that we at the Secretary of State's office
25 can then create our own memory cards from their copy

1 of their database, load into touch screen equipment
2 that we have in our possession, do a logic and accuracy test on the device to make sure it's working
3
4 as it should, and then on Election Day itself we videotape the input of a test deck into the device in
5
6 election mode.
So we will have an individual that is holding
7
8 the pre-filled ballot out and instructing the test
9 voter on what selections to make while that is all
10 being videotaped. And that's done within the voting
11 period on Election Day. And then at the end of that timeframe we'll end the election on those devices and
12
13 printout tapes from those devices, and validate the results being produced by the device match the known
14
15 results of the test deck.
Q. Okay. And you do that to make sure that if
16
17 Edward Lindsey cast a vote for Mike Boland for
18 governor, that it's properly counting?
A. Correct.
19
20 Q. And when you did that parallel testing on Election Day, did you discover any irregularities?
21
22 A. We did not.
Q. And among the races that you tested for was
23
24 the lieutenant governor's race; correct?
25 A. That's correct.

1 Q. Thank you. Now you were talking about
2 after the election Ms. Amico came and raised some concerns. And you mentioned that you went and
3
4 checked a county. What county was that, just for the record?
5
6 A. Ben Hill County.
7 Q. Ben Hill County. And you went to Ben Hill
8 because that was somebody Ms. Amico was concerned
9 about; correct?
10 A. Correct.
11 Q. Okay. And the results were the same things that were tabulated on the card were being uploaded
12
13 to the Secretary of State's office; correct?
14 A. That's correct.
15 Q. Okay. Now were you asked earlier why -- well, you were asked earlier whether the Secretary of
16
17 State had done any testing or discovered there was
18 any kind of malware on the system; correct?
19 A. Correct.
20 Q. Been asked that. Given what you do on the parallel testing, the outcome, did you believe there
21
22 was any reason to do any forensic testing for malware?
23
24 A. Based on what we have encountered, no, sir.
25 Q. Okay. Now you -- there have been several

1 questions raised about hacking, this, that, and the
2 other; could you explain -- from my understanding,
and I'm not a math major and a physics major, I'm a
3
4 history major with a specialty in Florentine
brimstone, so --
5
6 THE COURT: That's really helpful
Q. -- so I'm going to talk really slowly to
7
8 make this explanation here. Could you please explain
9 the difference between an open system that would be
10 on the web page, and a closed system?
11 A. A closed system has no external connection
port is that it is a device directly connected to
12
13 another device and there is not a line from that pair
going outward placing so that someone can portal
14
15 inward into that device. They can't access an IP
address. It's closed off.
16
17 The only way to interact with the system is
18 through direct interaction with the system. An open
network is akin to the internet. When you have
19
20 computers and multiple locations communicating to one
another through a public means.
21
22 Q. So someone [inaudible] Putin in Russia
could not use a computer there utilizing the internet
23
24 to be able to get into your system and hack it;
25 correct?

1 A. Correct.

2 Q. Okay. Were there any other anomalies in
this election, particularly regarding the lieutenant
3

4 governor's race, in regards to write-in votes?
A. When looking at the certified returns for
5

6 various counties in the Metro area, we saw that there
were -- for the statewide races that the lieutenant
7

8 governor's office seemed to have the highest number

9 of write-in votes collected.

10 Q. Okay. Now you didn't check every county,

11 did you?
A. No, sir.
12

13 Q. All right. You checked, I believe, three
counties; Fulton, Gwinnett, and DeKalb; correct?
14

15 A. Correct.
Q. Let me show you Fulton [inaudible] and let
16

17 me ask you to explain what these three documents --

18 certified documents I might add, show.
A. These appear to be the certified returns
19

20 from Dekalb County, Gwinnett County, and Fulton
County, and they are -- they contain the election
21

22 summary reports for those counties.
And the election summary report shows each
23

24 individual race that was present in the database for

25 that given county. It shows the total number of

1 precincts involved in that election shows the number
2 of precincts reporting by race. It shows the number of times that particular race was seen by the
3
4 tabulating system.
It shows the total number of votes that were
5
6 counted for that race and it shows the number of votes collected for each individual candidate listed
7
8 in that race, including write-ins.
9 Q. All right. Write-ins, if I understand
10 correctly, they are write-ins in which someone goes
11 to the trouble to get their vote tabulated and there are write-ins for Mickey Mouse, that sort of thing.
12
13 A. That's correct. There are certified write-in candidates and then there are candidates that are
14
15 not certified write-ins.
Q. And that includes those who are not
16
17 certified write-in candidates; correct?
18 A. This includes all write-ins collected by the system.
19
20 Q. When you reviewed that, what did you discover for these three counties, for instance,
21
22 using [inaudible] because they were named parties in this case? What did you discover about the number of
23
24 write-ins in the lieutenant governor's race versus
25 other races that were statewide?

1 A. That the highest number of write-ins cast
2 for statewide office was in the lieutenant governor's office.
3
4 Q. Okay.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we would tender Exhibits --
5
6 [inaudible] 7, 8, and 9.
THE COURT: Mr. Brown.
7
8 MR. BROWN: You're tendering those?
9 MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible] I'm tendering --
10 THE COURT: He tendered his exhibits.
11 MR. LINDSEY: I'm tendering the exhibits.
MR. BROWN: [inaudible]
12
13 THE COURT: Okay. No objection. That's [inaudible].
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I just have one last
14
15 [inaudible] and I will release him, I guess, [inaudible]. Your Honor, I believe the parties have stipulated to the
16
17 admissibility of Secretary of State certified statewide
18 races.
I just want to let it [inaudible] in the record. I
19
20 would, first of all, tender Exhibits 10, 11, and 12. Is that what I'm up to? Ten being the election for 2010, 11
21
22 being for '14, and 12 being for '18. Let me show you what I have here. '18 and '14, rather, and '10.
23
24 BY MR. LINDSEY:
25 Q. [inaudible] Let me simply ask you to look

1 at those. Those are in fact the official election
2 returns from those three years that are located on the Secretary of State's website; correct?
3
4 [inaudible] I don't think I have those [inaudible]. Okay. These are in fact the election
5
6 returns from the Secretary of State's office [inaudible]; correct?
7
8 A. Appear to be.
9 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we tender the Exhibits.
10 THE COURT: Any objections from --
11 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. Do you have extra copies of those,
12
13 the last three, Mr. Lindsey?
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, [inaudible].
14
15 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. And looking at the front page of '10 and
16
17 '14, does it not show, sir, the percentage of voters
18 from that year? For both '10 and '14 at the top?
A. The turnout percentage?
19
20 Q. Yes, sir.
A. The turnout percentage for November of '14
21
22 -- 2014, voter turnout 50.03 percent?
Q. Yes, sir. Now for a '18 -- for '18
23
24 [inaudible].
25 MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible], Your Honor. [inaudible].

1 And also, Your Honor, we tender this next Exhibit,

2 [inaudible] turnout and '18, just for the record.
Q. If you would identify what would be marked
3

4 as Exhibit 13, tell us what that is.
A. This is for November 2018 --
5

6 Q Yes, sir.
A. -- general turnout 61.34 percent.
7

8 MR. LINDSEY: We tender this document as well.

9 MR. BROWN: No objection.

10 BY MR. LINDSEY:

11 Q. So the turnout -- well, first of all, if
you were to look at '14 and '18, we've already talked
12

13 about the new votes. Let me just also sort of close
the loop on this line of questioning. If you would
14

15 look at '14 first and tell me how many registered
voters there were in '14.
16

17 A. In '14, there were 5,191,182.

18 Q. Okay. And in '18, how many were there?
A. 6,400,200 -- let's see. 6,428,584.
19

20 Q. Okay. And you've already testified that we
had high voter participation in '18?
21

22 A. [inaudible]
Q. So in '18, we have a larger number of
23

24 registered voters than in '14; correct?

25 A. Correct.

1 Q. And in '18, we had a larger turnout than we
2 did in '14; correct?
A. Correct.
3
4 Q. And in '18, we had a increase of about
three-and-a-half times the number of new voters
5
6 voting for the first time; correct?
A. Correct.
7
8 Q. All of those, based on your concern about -
9 - your knowledge about Broward County as you're
10 looking at the web design -- at the design of the
11 electronic ballot could have led to greater voter
confusion when they voted electronically versus when
12
13 they voted on a paper ballot; correct?
A. Correct.
14
15 MR. LINDSEY: That's all I have, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Mr. Tyson.
16
17 MR. TYSON: Thank you, Your Honor. I'll be brief.
18
CROSS-EXAMINATION
19
20 OF MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES
21
22 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. [inaudible] pick up real quickly on the
23
24 Broward review, that senate race that Mr. Lindsey was
25 asking you about. Do you know if Broward County uses

1 paper or DRE ballots?

2 A. I believe Broward uses centralized [inaudible].

3

4 Q. Okay. So those would be paper ballots; correct?

5

6 A. Yes.

Q. Mr. Brown earlier asked you about

7

8 complaints that he received around the election

9 administration, were the complaints in 2018 any more

10 unusual than complaints in prior years?

11 A. No.

Q. And Mr. Brown also asked you now

12

13 [inaudible] issues he said that, you know, if you push a button [inaudible] record votes somewhere else

14

15 for somebody else. Now would you consider that a programming issue or a calibration issue?

16

17 A. I would consider that a calibration issue.

18 Q. And is -- if the machine doesn't respond as expected on a calibration issue during testing, what

19

20 was the process for addressing that?

A. The counties normally do not allow that

21

22 system to pass. It is set aside and not used for that given election.

23

24 Q. And in the calibration you repair or fixed

25 electronic voting machines?

1 A. You can recalibrate the screen as part of
2 the initial LMA process of, say, [inaudible]
calibration on the machines is like one of the first
3
4 steps done. But even after setting calibration if
the county interacts with the system and they feel
5
6 like it's not responsive as it should be, then they
will take that unit out of operation.
7
8 Q. And so a programming error then would be
9 something like a candidate's name is missing; is that
10 correct?
11 A. That's correct.
Q. And how would you know that it was a
12
13 programming error of that nature where somebody's
name was omitted, for example?
14
15 A. It would be not present on the ballot. You
would not see the name or if there was a voting
16
17 position with no name associated to it, you would see
18 that.
Q. And how would you do that? Would you do
19
20 that from a GEMS report or some other method?
A. You do that for a GEMS reports. You do
21
22 that through the sample ballots that are generated
and provided to the counties for proofing purposes.
23
24 Q. And reviewing the DRE post-election mode
25 also show that problem with the candidate missing

1 name?

2 A. In post-election mode you can print out reports that show candidates listed by race. You

3 also have the ability to access the ballot -- the ballot view, which is the reported ballots that the

4 system recorded and it shows what races were on that ballot and what candidates were listed under those

5 races.

6 Q. So lieutenant governor's race didn't appear

7 on a DRE. The machine in post-election [inaudible]

8 to determine that [inaudible].

9 A. That's correct.

10 Q. Okay. Thank you.

11 MR. LINDSEY: I don't have anything else.

12 THE COURT: Wait a minute. Wait, wait, wait.

13 MR. BROWN: I'm sorry.

14 MS. BURWELL: I have no questions.

15 THE COURT: She's got -- okay. Okay. Now you can go.

16 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

17

18 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
OF MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES

19

20

21 BY MR. BROWN:

22

23

24

25

1 Q. When did you first hear of the theory that
2 the under-vote might be -- have been the result of people thinking that it was a [inaudible]
3
4 A. It would probably be close to the time of certification.
5
6 Q. And who did you hear it from?
A. It was just sort of hypothesized. There
7
8 was no one person that said it. It was just sort of
9 again looking at the election and seeing, you know,
10 what could -- what could be reasons for these things.
11 Q. For the under-vote that you noticed, right?
A. Yes.
12
13 Q. Okay. So you noticed the under-vote at the Secretary of State's office and one of the things
14
15 that was just thrown out was, maybe people thought it was a ticket, right?
16
17 A. Correct.
18 Q. And have you undertaken -- get any sort of expert opinion or analysis of that theory?
19
20 A. We have heard from people in relation to ballot design that are starting that for ballot
21
22 design moving forward that it's best for voters to see one race per screen when they're interacting with
23
24 a DRE device or a ballot-marking device. So, you
25 know, that's -- to eliminate that confusion that may

1 be present.

2 Q. Right. But that would mean that -- that doesn't relate to under-votes, does it; or does it

3 [inaudible]?

4 A. I'm not sure of the question.

5 Q. Is the concern there that people will skip a vote if there's more than one ballot on a page? Is

6 that the concern?

7 A. There's always concern that voters are not,

8 you know, receptive of what they're seeing. But each

9 individual voter is going to do what they want to do.

10 Q. Right. And in -- but in this election, if

11 you turn the page for this election, you have multiple ballots; correct?

12 A. If you hit the next button you go to the next screen, you have multiple races appearing, yes.

13 Q. And which races appeared on the second page

14 of November 6th ballot?

15 A. Let's see --

16 Q. And just go ahead and look at the [inaudible].

17 A. The November '18 -- for the 2018 ballot?

18 Q. Yes, sir.

19 A. There are four races present. Secretary of

20 State, attorney general, commissioner of agriculture,

1 commissioner of insurance.

2 Q. Okay. So in those -- even though there were four ballots on that screen, the voters were

3

4 able -- electronic and paper ballot voters had a significantly higher participation rate than the

5

6 lieutenant governor's race; correct?
MR. TYSON: Objection, Your Honor. It's leading.

7

8 THE COURT: Sustained.

9 BY MR. BROWN:

10 Q. Mr. Barnes, did -- before the other races

11 that were on the second page have a higher voter participation rate than lieutenant governor's race?

12

13 A. Based on the certified results from those counties that there were fewer under-votes in those

14

15 races, yes.
Q. Okay. Thank you. Now the -- there was

16

17 some testimony about calibration issue. Are you with

18 me?
A. Uh-huh.

19

20 Q. Okay. And what -- one calibration problem is a screen dysfunctioning, physical screen problem,

21

22 if you follow me, where what you're pushing doesn't connect with the code -- with whatever electronics

23

24 [inaudible]. You with me? It's a physical problem

25 with the screen. Is that a calibration problem?

1 A. Where -- the point that you're touching is
2 -- the response is showing in a different location? The response where you touch --
3
4 Q. Or doesn't respond or it blinks or something else, is that a calibration problem?
5
6 A. Potentially.
7 Q. The -- but there is software that tells the
8 computer, if you press this button, put another --
9 add one to the voter total for Mr. Duncan; correct?
10 A. The test screen basically is a grid. It's
11 a pressure-point grid. It says -- [inaudible] analysis and, you know, it comes up with an average
12
13 of pressure it says, if you see pressure here, then that should be indicated as a mark in this position
14
15 on the ballot.
16 Q. Right. And that's programming that does
17 that; correct? That says, if you [inaudible], add
18 one to the vote total for Amico, right?
19 A. Correct.
20 Q. Okay. And that program resides where?
21 A. That program resides on the DRE.
22 Q. On the individual DRE machines.
23 A. That's correct.
24 Q. And is that -- and is that -- and where on
25 those DRE machines does it reside?

1 A. That resides on an EPROM chip that's
2 connected to the motherboard.
Q. And is the EPROM chip connected to the
3
4 motherboard of something that the State --
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, bear with me here. I just
5
6 have one question on this.
Q. Is the EPROM chip that you referred to that
7
8 contains the programming for doing that something the
9 State offered to allow the petitioners to inspect?
10 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, objection again. He's once
11 again [inaudible] re-litigate what the Court has already
ruled upon in discovery [inaudible].
12
13 THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MR. BROWN:
14
15 Q. Is the EPROM the same thing as the election
archive?
16
17 A. The election archive information is saved
18 to the internal memory on the device and the internal
memory is contained in EPROM. [inaudible]
19
20 Q. Okay. And the internal -- okay. Can you
say that again?
21
22 A. The internal memory is where the archive
file is maintained and the internal memory resides on
23
24 EPROM.
25 Q. Okay. So the answer is no if they're on

1 the same thing, right?

2 A. The election archives is a data file that is saved to an internal memory and the internal

3 memory is -- resides on the EPROM.

4 Q. And other things other than the election

5 archive are on the internal memory, right?

6 A. I believe I already said that.

7 Q. Just yes or no.

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. Okay. And one of those other things

10 controls the mapping of the vote from the touch

11 screen to the place in your system where it says, add

12 one more vote to somebody; correct?

13 A. Correct.

14 Q. Let me direct your attention to one of Mr.

15 Lindsey's printouts and I'll just give you -- you

16 don't need to look at it.

17 Of course you may, but the -- he was asking you

18 about write-in votes and how the lieutenant

19 governor's race had a lot of write-in votes, do you

20 know whether that was because Libertarians who were

21 voting on the lieutenant governor's race did not have

22 an option, therefore wrote in? Do you know --

23 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I believe that calls for

24 speculation [inaudible].

25

1 THE COURT: That would be speculation. [inaudible]
2 argue that it's not. [inaudible].
MR. BROWN: Thank you.
3
4 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Now the EPROM chip is the erasable, read-
5
6 only [inaudible], right? Or I guess erasable program
[inaudible], right?
7
8 A. Well, that's what EPROM stands for, yes.
9 Q. Now does the software reside on an EPROM
10 chip or in [inaudible] memory, if you know?
11 A. I can't answer that in an absolutely -- I
don't [inaudible].
12
13 Q. Okay.
MR. BROWN: That's all I have [inaudible].
14
15 THE COURT: [inaudible]
16
17 RECROSS EXAMINATION
18 OF MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES
19
20 BY MALE:
Q. Mr. Brown -- I've just got [inaudible].
21
22 Mr. Brown did ask about whether it was a touch screen
problem [inaudible] whether or not that could be a
23
24 system error; correct?
25 A. Correct.

1 Q. That would have shown up if it was a system
2 problem in your parallel testing on Election Day; correct?
3
4 A. Correct.
Q. And you didn't detect any problems;
5
6 correct?
A. We did not detect any problems.
7
8 MALE: No further questions.
9
10 RECROSS EXAMINATION
11 OF MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES
12
13 BY MR. TYSON [inaudible]:
Q. Mr. Barnes, Mr. Brown asked you about when
14
15 the voter information was recorded. When you push a button, when you push the part of the screen, it's
16
17 [inaudible] cast ballot that is recorded in the
18 internal memory of the unit; correct?
A. That is correct.
19
20 Q. All right. Are you familiar with the notices that are posted to voter -- polling places
21
22 regarding pressing the cast ballot button?
A. I am.
23
24 Q. I'm going to hand you what's been marked as
25 Gwinnett 1. Is this the notice for voter to be

1 posted in the polling places regarding pressing the
2 cast ballot button [inaudible], I'm sorry.
A. It is.
3
4 Q. And it very specifically says, you should
notify a poll worker if you have a problem or
5
6 question about the unit before you press the cast
ballot button; correct?
7
8 A. That's correct.
9 Q. Okay. Thank you.
10 MR. TYSON: I have no further questions. Oh, I'm
11 sorry, Your Honor. I tender Gwinnett 1.
MR. BROWN: No objection.
12
13 THE COURT: It's admitted.
THE COURT: Ms. Burwell, questions?
14
15 MS. BURWELL: [inaudible]
THE COURT: Mr. Brown.
16
17 MR. BROWN: I don't have anything further.
18 THE COURT: Okay. You may get down.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
19
20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, may this witness be excused?
THE COURT: Yes.
21
22 MR. BROWN: [inaudible]
THE COURT: Let me ask you, Mr. Brown, how much
23
24 longer do you think your case is going to last? I'm just
25 trying to work out logistics and various other things.

1 MR. BROWN: If I may have literally 30 seconds, I can
2 give you an answer if I could talk to my clients.
THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.
3
4 MR. BROWN: Your Honor.
THE COURT: Go ahead.
5
6 MR. BROWN: We have about an hour with Mr. Barron
probably total. He is here. He has a -- Mr. Barron is an
7
8 employee of Fulton County. [inaudible] Fulton County. He
9 has a childcare issue and we would be happy that he
10 [inaudible] in the morning and then starting [inaudible].
11 THE COURT: Start in the morning. You've got about
another hour?
12
13 MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: What does the defendants -- I'm looking
14
15 -- can we finish tomorrow?
MALE: [inaudible], Your Honor. I don't think the
16
17 [inaudible].
18 THE COURT: You want to take an oath on that?
MALE: What?
19
20 THE COURT: Do you want to take an oath on that?
MALE: No, Your Honor. I will not take an oath and I
21
22 will not [inaudible] in my place.
I will not take an oath. But [inaudible] some of my
23
24 preceding circumstances --
25 THE COURT: Okay. Tomorrow, so you know -- now you

1 have to understand I'm a senior judge [inaudible]
2 courtroom and therefore we won't have a courtroom
[inaudible] in two or three weeks with luck. But in the
3
4 meantime, they move us around.
So tomorrow we're back in the Magistrate's court on
5
6 the fourth floor. It's the old courtroom annex, 402.
Okay. Mr. Groban [ph] will be over there early to let you
7
8 in. I always bring my -- I've got so much stuff I'll
9 bring it.
10 MALE: Is there anywhere that we can store some of
11 our stuff? Because of the stuff I don't necessarily
[inaudible].
12
13 THE COURT: That's why I'm looking at all that but I
don't have a choice. They've got a mock trial in here
14
15 tomorrow.
MALE: No, I understand. [inaudible]
16
17 THE COURT: I don't know they were locked. Okay.
18 There's a jury room in here that you could use, but you'd
have to come real early to get in it and get it out.
19
20 MALE: I'd be happy to. I mean, that's --
[inaudible] take home.
21
22 THE COURT: Yeah. The other place that might do it
is the Bar office. Dekalb [inaudible] County Bar office
23
24 is right over there.
25 MALE: All right.

1 THE COURT: It's on this floor and they might let you
2 keep some stuff in there.
MALE: I'll tell you, we'll [inaudible].
3
4 THE COURT: Well, I mean they might let you --
they've got two conference rooms over there. They're
5
6 empty. You go out here and turn left. You know where it
is Mr. [inaudible].
7
8 MALE: Yes. [inaudible]
9 THE COURT: Okay. [inaudible] I'll see you in M-42
10 tomorrow. And if you want to use the [inaudible] now he
11 gets here at the crack of dawn anyway.
MALE: Well, I mean, do you think that that's a safe
12
13 place? If you get here at the crack of dawn, would it be
okay [inaudible]? I mean, is it inconveniencing you?
14
15 [inaudible] It's just a couple of boxes. [inaudible]
MALE: I get here by 7:30, 20 minutes to 8.
16
17 THE COURT: We start at 9:00 tomorrow.
18 MALE: [inaudible]
THE COURT: Okay.
19
20 MALE: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: We'll see you tomorrow.
21
22 [Whereupon, the hearing was concluded at 4:53 p.m.]
23
24
25

1 CERTIFICATE

2 STATE OF GEORGIA]
] SS.
3

4 COUNTY OF DOUGLAS]

5

6 I, PRISCILLA GARCIA, A COURT REPORTER IN THE STATE OF GEORGIA, DO HEREBY STATE THAT THE FOREGOING IS A TRUE AND
7

8 ACCURATE TRANSCRIPT AS TAKEN DOWN BY ME AT THE TIME,

9 PLACE, AND THE DATE HEREINBEFORE SET FORTH.

10 I DO FURTHER STATE THAT I AM NEITHER A RELATIVE NOR

11 EMPLOYEE NOR ATTORNEY NOR COUNSEL OF ANY OF THE PARTIES TO THIS ACTION, AND THAT I AM NEITHER A RELATIVE NOR EMPLOYEE
12

13 OF SUCH ATTORNEY OR COUNSEL, AND THAT I AM NOT FINANCIALLY INTERESTED IN THIS ACTION.
14

15 WITNESS MY HAND IN THE CITY OF DOUGLASVILLE, COUNTY
16

17 OF DOUGLAS, STATE OF GEORGIA, ON THIS 28th DAY OF JANUARY

18 2019. BY: Priscilla Garcia
19

20 PRISCILLA GARCIA, COURT REPORTER
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF GEORGIA
21

22 COMMISSION NO.: W-00379933
COMMISSION EXPIRES: 08/14/2022
23

24 CERTIFICATE NO.: 5503-2677-8304-9216

25 LICENSE EXPIRES: 04/01/2019

1
2
3 I, Chris Naaden, a transcriber, hereby declare
4 under penalty of perjury that to the best of my
5 ability the above 291 pages contain a full, true and
6 correct transcription of the tape-recording that I
7 received regarding the event listed on the caption on
8 page 1.
9
10 I further declare that I have no interest in the
11 event of the action.
12
13 January 28, 2019
14
15
16 Chris Naaden
17
18
19
20 (Transcript of Hearing Proceedings)
21
22
23
24
25

(

(C) 85:24
(C)(3) 85:24
(C)(4) 85:25

0

0.8 102:11 120:24

1

1 21:17,20 44:1,4,10, 11 45:20 52:11,15 54:6,8 57:2,11 99:4 101:2 102:2,24 103:11 105:11,15 107:25 111:25 112:1 175:22,24 249:4,20 250:1 287:25 288:11
1,012 126:6
1,828,566 26:17
1.3 107:6
1.4 101:13 107:10
1.5 107:4
1.7 107:18
1.8 107:15
10 100:24 136:11 143:1 273:20,22 274:15,18
10,000 175:22,24
10-minute 72:11
100 21:13, 17,20,22 22:10 32:17 125:17 152:25
101 205:22
10:25 60:8 72:13
10:37 72:15
11 273:20
117 194:6
11:00 185:4
11:41 82:20 129:25
12 273:20, 22
123,000 26:10 30:18 184:11
123,172 25:20
13 275:4
137 125:4, 6,8,11,13
14 273:22 274:17,18, 20 275:11, 15,17,24 276:2
144 194:6, 10
15 143:10 160:2
15,000 100:24
159 205:22 212:10 213:11
159,024 103:1
16 241:10
17 8:2 18:24 244:8,9
18 81:4 273:22 274:22 275:2,11, 18,20,22 276:1,4 281:22
1:00 129:20,22 130:1
1st 211:17

2

2 64:4 65:25 78:15 79:4 101:22 104:24 254:18
2.2 107:13
20 21:15 291:15
200,000 21:8
200- 254:22
2000 250:8
2002 102:2 224:1 239:22,24
2006 26:17 160:15 202:4
2007 62:2
2008 239:24
2009 36:11
2010 239:20 254:22 255:18 273:20

2011 250:8 251:1
2013 239:10
2014 120:15,18, 20 254:22 255:25 260:22 261:2,20, 22 274:22
2016 26:22 147:11 165:25 227:15 228:4,15 241:6 243:15 252:11
2017 37:20 148:4 211:15 227:15 228:15,25 244:4,15
2018 8:2 25:20 27:9 39:22 40:2,11 46:13,15, 18 62:4 81:24 89:13 90:15 94:13 98:18 99:6 102:2,4,6 116:6 119:8 124:6 127:13,20 153:11,22 154:2,22 157:6,22 165:18 166:8 168:11 170:24 202:6 212:2,6,11 224:18 226:20 228:24 229:15 230:22 231:13 241:17 244:13 247:11 248:6,15 249:4,20 250:1 252:22 254:22 255:18 256:6,11, 25 260:22 261:2,22 262:1 263:13 275:4 277:9 281:22
21-2-522 14:4
22 8:14 11:11 13:1 129:6
24 166:6
24-14-22 24:6
25 260:2
2:09 187:6
2:22 187:8
2:30 185:6

3

3 98:2,6 264:2
3- 212:2
3.9 105:10 107:25
30 49:20 51:1 135:15 289:1
31,000-something 103:2
31,532 102:17,20
31st 211:15
336,000 261:24
38 126:6
3:30 242:25
3:37 243:1

4

4 22:1 101:11 105:18 111:25 112:1,15 132:17 251:2 264:2
4.5 105:8 107:24,25
40-plus 251:13
402 290:6
410 126:20 127:1
45-plus 251:11
4:53 291:22

5

5 22:1 266:18
5,191,182 275:17
50 96:20
50.03 274:22
5th 133:17, 18 135:25 208:11

6

6 25:20 266:18
6,400,200 275:18
6,428,584 275:18
61.34 275:6
6th 62:24, 25 63:1,22 83:2 165:18 281:18

7

7 168:4,8, 15 246:15 273:6
702(b) 42:10 154:18
7:30 291:15
7th 62:22

8

8 21:15 102:15 143:1 243:8,9, 11,17,24 245:11,17 246:15 251:2 273:6 291:15
8,000 117:17

9

9 45:13 136:17 273:6
9.2 135:2, 6,11
9.2(c) 135:15
98,000 27:6 261:20
99 21:11 22:9
9:00 291:17
9:02 8:2
9th 136:24

A

a.m. 8:2 60:8 72:13,15 79:13 82:20 129:25
aberrant 20:25 21:2
aberration 173:25
ability 49:22 242:8 279:4
abnormal 205:22
Abrams 26:18 27:22 28:1 75:4,13 118:9 201:6
Abrams' 118:1
abridges 151:18
absentee 19:13 21:20 105:10 107:8,17 128:2 216:1
absolute 131:15
absolutely 45:9 61:10 230:8 286:11
abstract 174:6
abundantly 30:9 92:11
ac- 67:17
academic 141:6 144:1 156:18 160:10
Academies 168:9
Academy 156:22 160:22 168:18,20 170:2
accelerate 83:1
accelerated 135:22
accept 131:9 192:4,6
access 144:9 182:4 183:6 187:20 212:15 215:13 217:6 218:2 220:13 223:22 252:2 270:15 279:4
accessed 183:4,6
accessibility 240:6
accounted 267:2

accounts
157:11

accuracy
24:17
109:11
217:9
218:24
222:10
268:2

accurate
28:22 99:9
161:6
222:11

accurately
29:1
254:24

act 18:20
44:15
58:22
230:25

actions
259:10

active
218:20
266:9

activist
85:2

activities
81:4

activity
148:13

actual 33:15
55:13
64:13
85:11
92:13
117:4
152:17
159:25
170:18
189:18
203:11,13
204:13
207:15
216:4
219:10
225:22
227:4,6
237:11
247:11

add 27:20
97:9 173:8
205:2
271:18
283:9,17
285:11

added 27:13

adding
150:13

addition
18:18 25:6
28:2 30:20
42:13
141:8
147:4
155:1
260:18
265:18

additional
14:22
18:20
38:20
184:4

address 20:6
93:13 94:2
270:15

addressing
96:10
277:20

adherence
253:13

adjacent
166:2

adjusted
241:11
253:2

adjustment
253:2

administer
90:9

administration
39:6 90:8
91:25
153:2
172:20
277:9

admissibility
92:15
273:17

admissible
132:4
169:15
192:18
203:2

admission
70:8,9
91:18

admit 244:4,
13 245:8,
10

admitted
44:25 46:8
54:8 168:2
191:24
246:24
264:4
266:20
288:13

admonished
191:17

adopt 155:8

advance
213:2
215:24
218:22

advanced
105:9,11
107:4,13

adverse
181:10
259:17

advice 84:15
85:18

advises
93:15

affect
182:20
217:18

226:4

affected
30:2,4
228:4
231:18

affidavit
97:24 98:2
103:11,15

affidavits
178:22

affiliation
177:20

affirmative
30:13
143:25

afraid 110:2
202:1

afternoon
136:20
150:22

AG's 128:13

age 87:13

agencies
156:25
162:4

agents
157:17

aggravated
27:24

aging 159:20

agree 11:6
70:17
83:6,9
127:11
133:2
186:1
220:6

agreement
29:15

agriculture
117:9
123:1
281:25

ahead 13:13
20:15,20
38:17
41:20 45:9
54:9 58:17
68:2 69:13
71:11,13
81:11
89:17
112:8,24
129:15
132:6
143:2
164:20
182:2,15
186:2
191:6
234:4
236:15
281:20
289:2,4

ahistorical
27:4

air 249:6,
10

AJC 13:2,4,
6

akin 270:18

Alabama
151:20
249:15

alarmed
73:25

Alaska
187:22

albeit
170:10

Aletha 81:13

all-paper
151:8

allegation
48:15
115:10
221:4
238:11

allegations
14:11
15:20,22
28:9 37:4
162:18,20

allege 14:4

alleged
14:15
225:9
244:15

allegiance
139:8

Allen 63:1,4

allowed
68:18
123:25
180:11
184:6
226:4,11

alter 183:2,
22

altered
183:8,9

altering
183:15

alternative
22:15
175:4
183:15

amazing
81:18

Amazon 64:13

ambiguity
191:18

AME 63:1,4,
20 65:15
72:24
195:8

America
58:22

American
81:13

Amico 23:8
26:13,17
27:2,22,25
28:4 80:24
116:2,4
117:11,17

123:8,13
199:24
200:11
201:15,22
202:10,15
264:15
269:2,8
283:18

Amico's 30:8
200:2
235:2

amount 27:11
35:13
102:20
152:24
173:22

analogy
221:4

analyses
127:18
158:6

analysis
13:18 90:4
95:2 98:18
104:13
117:4
149:6
177:2
180:4,6
190:4,6
192:24
193:15,18
195:2,4,
10,13,17,
20 196:4,
6,10
197:22,25
199:22
202:22
229:10
232:24
233:2
249:15
260:18
280:18
283:11

analyst
43:20
45:13

analyze
37:20
86:15 99:1
117:4
261:8

analyzed
127:25

analyzing
88:2
266:22

angle 13:13

annex 290:6

anomalies
186:6
207:9
271:2

anomalous
205:18

anomaly
22:11
94:25

answering
33:24

answers
121:1
204:6

anticipated
68:20

anticipating
45:6,8

anticipation
53:15

apologies
45:6,10

apologize
82:6 93:9
134:18
163:20
197:11
249:2

app 63:11

apparent
170:22
186:6

apparently
223:24

appeared
73:25
242:4
264:15
265:20
281:17

appearing
175:24
224:15
281:15

appears
65:22
168:17
244:2,6

applicable
155:18

application
119:2
142:6
148:13

applications
142:11

applied 25:6
102:15

apply 17:4

appointed
77:24

approach
11:25

approached
70:4 86:11

approval
143:20

approximately
27:6
177:13

architectural
159:25

architecture
164:25

archive
183:11

284:15,17, 22 285:6

archives 285:2

area 71:17, 18 74:4 92:18 104:4 224:15 237:15 271:6

areas 87:22 94:2

argue 108:15 245:6 286:2

arguing 198:20 209:9

argument 13:22 24:1 33:11 92:8 151:15 198:20

arguments 14:22

arises 24:13

arising 241:2

arithmetic 22:25

arm 223:9

arms 74:2

arrangement 241:9

artful 226:25

Artfully 226:24

article 141:4

articles 115:8 141:2

asks 68:18

aspects 42:22

Aspen 35:4

assessing 195:13

assessment 166:17

assist 42:10 68:11,15, 18

assistance 10:15 42:18

assisted 149:10

assisting 59:13 70:22

assistive 54:24 55:6,9 56:6,9,11

assume 44:20 82:10 177:18 220:8 246:13

assumes 176:22 232:13

assuming 176:2 220:22 232:20 233:22

assumption 102:18

assurance 17:24

assure 58:11

assuring 262:15

Atlanta 34:18 63:4

atmosphere 246:22

attacked 245:20

attempt 15:6 190:24

attempted 49:22 168:22

attempting 49:17

attempts 191:13

attend 139:13

attended 25:8

attention 24:6 102:24 104:11 108:2 120:13 121:6 146:18 193:25 238:17 246:11 251:2 285:15

attorney 57:20 61:17,20 105:24 106:10,15 107:11 116:25 118:2 126:13,22 281:25

attorney's 53:2

attracted 99:18

attracting

220:13

audio 55:11, 20

audit 35:24 36:13,17 149:13 206:4,8,9 207:9,15

audited 35:22

auditing 36:20 149:9 207:10

audits 36:8, 10,15 140:25 141:11 149:11 158:8

author 246:20

authorized 25:13 40:17

availability 29:22

avenue 176:24

average 102:9 283:11

aware 24:24 117:8,18 118:2,8, 15,18 119:11 128:13 163:4 189:8,15, 17 197:4 199:18 201:13,20 203:11 218:22 219:22 220:1 226:18 240:22 242:15 259:11,22 260:2,4,8, 11 261:10

B

back 8:12 17:11,25 20:13 34:20,22 49:6 59:2, 15 66:11 68:15 69:6 72:6,8,13 75:6,20 76:18 79:9 102:24 112:18 123:20,22, 24 124:1,4 125:18 129:20,22, 25 130:18, 20 133:15 157:15 167:25 174:4 175:1 179:15,18 180:20 187:6 188:4 198:4 214:2 215:6 217:6 219:4 222:11 225:8 228:11 231:2 234:10 236:13 239:11,13 242:22,25 245:4 247:13 252:4 255:18 264:22 265:6 267:22 290:4

background 34:8 84:18 94:9 145:13,17 177:25

bad 22:25 161:13 204:15

Bailey's 118:2

Baines 63:15

balancing 232:6

ballot 19:13 22:1 27:13,15 29:25 30:2 37:10 38:2 41:13 55:11 68:6 70:22 71:20 74:4 77:2 90:4, 6 91:25 94:20 122:20,22 123:18 124:4 151:9,10 179:11 189:11 194:13 200:18,22 202:4 207:11 218:11 235:11 236:20 238:17,18 239:4,8, 10,11,13, 15,18,22, 24 240:1,

4,6,15,18
246:2
252:2,6,8,
11,20
253:6,8,
11,15
254:17,24
255:18
256:11,18
257:2,10
258:6,8,9,
13,15,17,
20 262:8,
17 263:25
264:15,20,
22,24
265:4,8
268:8
276:11,13
278:15
279:4,6
280:20
281:6,18,
22 282:4
283:15
287:17,22
288:2,6

ballot-marking
280:24

ballots
21:22
27:4,13,
17,18 28:2
30:4 33:11
37:2,13
38:13 43:4
55:11 67:2
149:15
167:6
174:11
188:22
189:1
194:15,18
199:11,18,
20 206:17,
22 207:11
214:15,20,
24 216:2
218:6
235:15
238:20
241:18
252:15
253:17
254:4,22
256:11
257:22
264:25
265:20
267:6
277:1,4
278:22
279:4
281:13
282:2

ballots'
214:18

bar 103:18
290:22

Barnes 147:9
157:18
164:4,6
209:25
210:10,15,
20 228:15,
22 230:17
243:4,24
245:15,18
251:11
252:4
254:13,15
276:20
279:22
282:10
286:18
287:11,13

Barnhard
176:25
177:1

Barron
16:18,20
147:10
157:18
164:4
289:6

Barrow
118:13
201:10

Barrow's
118:1

bars 105:4,
15

base
263:11,13,
18,20,22
264:10,11

based 14:2
17:11 34:9
37:4,15
38:1 43:15
69:18
87:15
94:1,4
109:22
119:15
128:1
155:10
165:18
166:22
169:8,11
173:15
176:4
177:20
179:20
186:17,18
198:11
206:6
214:2
219:18
244:11
269:24
276:8
282:13

baseline
124:13

basically
52:20
154:13
261:8
283:10

basis 61:20
147:2
163:15
171:2
172:6
174:13,17

199:17
205:20

bear 29:6
171:20
284:4

beer 220:13

began 35:9
37:20
248:24,25

begin 35:15
55:10
160:8

beginning
26:15

begins
215:20

behalf 12:22
14:24
16:17
25:18

behavior
194:20,22
199:1

belief
188:20
198:13

believes
109:24

Ben 235:6
269:6

bench 11:25
16:6

bending
136:18

Benjamin
65:20

Berkeley
132:18

Bernhard
134:17
137:10,18,
24 138:4,
15 150:2,
15,18,22
153:20
154:17
155:2,4
156:8,11
160:10
165:15
171:22
172:18
176:25
182:18
187:13,15
188:15,18
192:22
193:13
194:13
196:22
204:2,4
206:11

Bibb 229:2

big 49:17

bind 70:15

bit 21:11
25:6 84:18
93:22
115:17
121:2
139:8
146:2
147:25
150:8
157:15
167:25
173:6
174:4
175:2
183:6,20
189:24
204:22
207:10
231:2
245:4

black 25:2
130:20

Blackwell
12:18

blinks 283:4

blocks 64:15

board 9:13
28:18,24,
25 36:17
38:18 39:8
77:18
89:18
117:10
150:22
229:20
240:9

boards 36:20

boat 133:11

Boland
268:17

books 64:11
133:13

Boone 63:4

boot 142:4,
8,9 168:10
182:25
236:25

booting
183:20

borderline
93:8

bother
106:20

bottom 66:22
67:22
160:18
257:2,6,8,
11

Boulevard
63:4

box 13:11
25:2 50:6

boxes 291:15

brand 250:1,
2,4

brand-new
206:15

breach 26:11
188:8

break 72:10,
11 83:11
128:1

129:18
187:1
242:18

breakdown
73:13
226:10

breaks 87:10

bridge 8:13

briefed
183:24

briefly
14:25
51:22
77:20
113:10
127:6
128:8
131:24
138:20
151:13

briefs 20:25
111:15
181:11

Brill 83:13
84:1,6,11
86:8 87:4
89:11,15,
18,24
91:4,24
93:2,6,11
96:11,22
97:2,6,24
98:17
100:2,8
104:6
107:2
108:6,11,
22 110:8
111:1
113:13,15
114:6
120:4
121:10
127:9,11
128:11

Brill's
90:24
91:18 97:6
103:25

brimstone
270:4

bring 10:2
24:20
29:20
111:13
136:13
197:18
217:10
290:8,9

bringing
41:6

broad 231:4

broader
227:1

brought 15:8
17:11,13,
25 193:25
202:24

Broward
256:20,22,
25 257:10,
13,15
276:9,24,
25 277:2

Brown 9:13,
15,18,20,
22,24
10:1,4,6,
9,11,18,22
11:15,18
12:4,6,13
13:6,8
15:15
16:13,15,
25 17:2,
15,18
18:4,11,
13,18,22
19:2,6
20:8,11,
15,18,20
31:2,6,11,
22 32:24
33:1,4,20,
22 34:4,6
36:2,6
38:8 39:13
42:18,20
43:8,13,22
44:1,9
45:11,20
46:1,2,6,
9,10 48:2,
4,6,11,15,
18 49:2,6
50:9,11
51:8 54:4
57:2,6,9,
20,22
58:1,8,11,
13,18
59:2,6,8,
22 60:1,4,
10,15
61:4,22
63:25
64:6,10,
18,20,24,
25 65:24
66:8
69:15,22,
24 70:8,18
71:11,13
72:1,11,22
74:13,18,
20 79:6,
15,20 80:6
81:20
82:2,11,22
83:8,10,
11,13,18,
22 84:9
89:11 90:2
92:8,24
93:4,8
94:1,11,13
96:6,11,20
97:4,20,22
98:2,9,11,
15 100:2,
4,6 102:22
103:15,18
104:6
106:11,22,
25 108:2,
4,6,13,15,
20 109:6,

9,18,20
110:4,6,
13,17,20,
24,25
111:4,6,22
112:6,10,
15,20,22,
25 113:8
116:20
120:6
121:13,15,
22 122:2,4
124:2,25
125:20,22,
24 127:24
128:20,22
129:1,15,
22 130:10,
24 131:2,
24 132:1,
6,11,15
134:2,9,
15,18,22
135:18
137:2,6,9,
11 138:1,
15 139:13
140:15
145:4,9,
11,22
146:4,6,
11,15,18,
20 150:1,
11,25
151:25
153:13,15
154:10
155:10
156:4,10
158:20,24
159:1
162:22,25
163:2,18
164:13,15,
18,22
165:11,15
168:4
169:2,13,
18,20,24
170:1,9,
15,17
171:13,20
172:25
173:1,17
174:18
175:1,9,
11,13
176:17
177:2
181:9,18,
22,24
182:1,4,9,
13,17,18
184:18,20
185:1,11,
13,15
186:1,4,
15,20
187:1,4,15
188:11
190:18,22
191:8,10,
20 192:1,
10 195:18,
20 197:6
198:11
203:22,24
204:4
205:4,6,15
207:2,6,13
208:6,10,
13,15,17,
20,22,25
209:1,4,8,
13,15,20,
24 210:2,
18,22,24
220:24
221:1,8
225:18,20,
25 226:1,
17 227:2,8
228:13,20
230:18,20
231:8,10
232:17,22
234:2
236:2,4,6,
8,15,17
237:13,22
238:1,4,9,
11,15
242:17,20,
22 243:6,
18,22
244:4,9,
15,18,20,
24 245:4,
8,11,15
247:13
248:8,18
251:6,9,11
254:15
255:4
258:4
259:13,20
261:4,10
262:10
264:4
266:20
267:4
273:6,8,11
274:11
275:9
277:6,11
279:15,20,
25 282:9
284:4,13
286:2,4,
13,20,22
287:13
288:11,15,
17,20,22
289:1,4,6,
13

Bryan 14:24
29:15
38:18
77:18
89:18
150:22

build 85:18

building
236:11
240:2,22,
24

builds
221:22

built 160:1
203:17

221:22
240:25
241:8,9

bunch 93:24
232:20

burden 14:2
29:4,18
30:11,20

burned 215:2

Burwell 11:8
12:18,20,
22 13:13,
15 15:1
16:17,20,
24 28:18
54:13
56:20
58:24
59:10
70:13
78:15
82:15
91:15,17
119:25
135:1,6,13
203:20,22
279:17
288:13,15

business
34:13,15
46:2
85:11,13,
20

button
277:13
281:15
283:8
287:15,22
288:2,6

bypassed
258:4

C

C-L-E-R-C
61:10

calculate
102:9

calculated
100:10
233:2,8
234:13
265:24

calculating
102:2

calculation
100:9
101:8,9
102:1

calendar
8:10

calibrate
217:11

calibrated
223:24

calibration
217:24
224:10
277:15,17,
18,24
278:2,4
282:17,20,
25 283:4

California
103:18,22
104:1,8,9
109:4
160:18
187:22

call 24:6
27:18 31:2
60:10
79:15
83:4,13
86:18
99:20
130:15
132:18
134:10,15
136:22
137:9
148:22
184:1
209:24
241:4

called 15:18
18:6 31:17
35:20
36:15
55:11
60:24
67:11
68:15
73:18
77:25 80:1
84:2,13
121:24
137:20
166:4
182:11
210:11
212:20

calls 59:1
121:25
133:22
197:6
221:6
285:24

campaign
27:25 28:4
88:22
91:8,10
114:11,15
115:6
116:1
189:4

campaigns
85:2,20
86:4 122:6

cancelled
49:15

cancelling
50:20

candidacy
28:8 30:9

candidate
30:6 86:10
87:15 89:1
91:10
114:18
115:4
116:22
117:22

118:6,9,13
123:11
125:2,13
138:6,11
177:11,13
201:17
204:9,10,
11 219:24,
25 220:17
235:2
240:9,11,
13,18,20
272:6
278:25

candidate's
278:9

candidates
26:15,18,
20 28:4
36:18
39:11
86:18
113:2
117:9,11
122:24
123:6,18
199:10,13
200:4
201:18,24
202:9
204:11
214:1,13
218:11
219:20,22
221:22
231:20
239:2
240:11
241:2,10,
11,13,25
242:2
252:9
259:4
272:13,17
279:2,6

cane 68:6

canvas
36:15,20
104:22

capable
42:17

capacity
191:4
261:8,11

capital
61:10

capitol
33:13
145:2

caps 240:10

card 189:11
216:18,20,
22 217:2,
4,8 218:2
221:24,25
222:1,6
223:22
233:6
234:13,15
269:11

card's
217:20

cards 212:24
213:1,2
215:25
216:11,15,
22 222:2
266:11,13
267:1,25

care 132:2
167:18
208:11

career
34:13,15

careful
237:22
238:1

carefully
164:6

carelessness
166:13

Carolina
34:11
36:11
41:11
260:11

carry 29:10
30:20

cas 25:1

case 9:11,
22 10:15
12:2,6,11
13:18,24
14:4 15:2,
6,18,24
17:6,11
18:24,25
19:8,10,
11,20,22
22:13
23:22 24:2
25:1,22
26:11
27:17 29:6
30:17 33:9
34:4 41:24
91:18,20,
22 92:4
93:18
110:10
124:15
126:15
131:8,13
132:4
133:15
136:1,11,
18 138:9
143:13,15,
24 145:6,
24 146:6,
20 151:11,
13,22
152:1
154:17
155:2,6
156:13
161:22
164:15
169:22
176:9,20
182:15
185:6
197:9
198:15
200:18

208:11
225:13
237:18
253:18
255:15
265:20
266:4
272:22
288:24

cases 15:1
26:2
133:24
157:18

cast 23:13
70:22
73:11 74:4
75:4,15,20
76:2,8,24
86:17
94:20
100:11
101:11,13,
15 104:15,
17 109:11,
24 116:4
119:8
124:13,18
125:2
126:8
127:2
161:18
179:17
203:13
218:8
268:17
273:1
287:17,22
288:2,6

casual
230:13

categories
85:22
128:2

caught
172:11

caused 22:17
29:22 33:6
121:4
230:10
231:13

caution 24:1
237:13

cc'd 246:15

CD 215:2,4,
6,8,13

ceased
211:15

census 87:18

center
211:2,4
212:2,4,6
244:2
245:20
246:2
249:11
251:22

central
167:11

centralized
277:2

CEO 34:17

certification
229:8
280:4

certified
29:20 46:1
229:4
237:6,8
250:6
271:4,18
272:13,15,
17 273:17
282:13

CES 211:9

chain 213:6

chair 22:13

chairs 68:22

challenge
58:6

challenges
135:25
252:9

challenging
26:2

chance 13:2
22:4,6
33:2
106:20,22
111:24
131:13
136:25
175:17,22,
24

change 25:4
114:15,22
179:15
188:9
241:4
248:20
249:6
251:6

changed
249:20
250:22
251:4

character
93:18

characterize
190:24

charge 24:9,
13,20
128:24

charged
28:18

charitable
32:8

Charles
118:2

Charlotte
34:10

chart
103:11,13
104:24

check 68:6
100:22
102:20
271:10

checked

269:4
271:13

checking
265:18

Chicago
81:15

childcare
289:9

chip 284:1, 2,6 286:4, 10

chips 235:4

choice
179:11
290:13

choose
114:24
252:20

chose 27:25

chosen 19:18
25:25
136:8

Chris 83:13
86:8

Christopher
84:1,6,11
89:24 91:4
93:2
113:13
114:6
120:4
127:9
128:11

Church 63:2
72:24
195:8

circle
130:18

circumstance
230:4

circumstances
15:4 56:15
133:2
241:2
289:24

cite 143:24

cited 13:17
15:2
143:20
175:20

citing 179:4

citizen
35:11 62:9

citizens
28:20

civics 81:13

civil 15:22
18:20

claim 22:22
24:9,13
29:22 42:2
116:20

claimed
116:24

claims 29:6
30:15,24
151:20
206:22

clarification
16:25 17:4
237:15

clarify
17:22
22:20
66:18
252:6

Clarke
189:25
192:11
197:1,4,8, 13

class 144:18

Clay 243:11

clean 77:6

clear 12:6
15:18 19:4
23:15
25:22 26:6
29:6 30:9
37:11
92:11
106:11
169:10
176:20
195:15
209:18

clears
218:18

clerk 12:1

client 9:20
17:4
134:18

clients
9:15,18
85:18,22, 24 88:15
93:15 96:1
289:2

clock 130:18

close 29:11
70:20
275:13
280:4

closed 69:1
70:4 212:4
270:10,11, 15

closely
146:18

closest
213:24

closing
28:4,6
68:24
114:11
115:6
116:1

Cloudplayer
142:2

co-defendants
15:13

Coalition
10:13
32:2,4,6

33:6 36:24
43:20,22
44:15 52:4
99:2

Coalition's
33:22

COBB 8:1

code 15:6
42:15
157:1,4
178:9
204:15
282:22

code-level
237:11

coded 207:22

codes 179:6

coding
207:24
220:15
222:11

colleagues'
230:22

collect
141:18

collected
214:4
234:6
235:11,15
266:15
267:2
271:9
272:6,18

collecting
223:4

collection
223:10

collects
141:9
247:11

college
84:20
138:20

collegial
180:20

Colorado
35:6
36:11,15
149:11
187:22

column 99:13
101:4
239:13
257:2,8,9,
20 265:9

columns
99:13
101:25
239:9,11
265:6

combinational
214:20

comment
131:11

commission
113:18
117:10,15,
17 123:1

commissioner
94:20
117:11
123:2
281:25
282:1

committees
86:1,2

common
121:17
260:13

commonsense
24:15

communicating
270:20

communication
251:22

communications
244:2

community
162:6,13
163:6

company
84:13
115:9
142:2

company's
45:13

compare
95:20
104:13
126:11,22

compared
126:13
233:6

comparing
67:4
100:10,11

comparison
99:24
151:18
174:6

compel
18:15,17
180:24
209:13,20

compelled
25:13

compensation
52:6

compilation
40:15

complainant
224:2

complaint
14:11
15:22 74:8
223:6
264:18

complaints
74:11 77:9
223:4,8,
15,20,22
224:17,18,
20,22,24
277:8,9,10

complete
218:4

completed
214:15

completely
177:22
181:22

completeness
214:9,24

complexity
136:1

compliance
136:22

complicated
253:8

comply 129:6

component
75:11

components
144:13
227:20
231:15

comport 16:6

compound
153:13

compounds
165:8

compromise
145:8
245:15

compromised
145:2
166:6
246:8

computer
39:15
135:8
138:6,25
139:4,18
161:22
162:6,11,13 163:4
215:15
216:8
232:1,6
233:4
234:15
267:18
270:22
283:8

computers
142:6
270:20

concern
10:20 89:4
231:22
257:24
258:1
262:2
276:8
281:6,8,9

concerned
19:4 35:9
73:9
256:18
269:8

concerns
89:2
184:13
262:4
269:2

conclude
25:13

concluded
60:6 79:11
82:18
291:22

conclusion
15:8 25:11
38:6 59:2
161:1
166:20
197:6

conclusions
109:10
143:22

conduct
36:17 38:2
43:2
114:11,15
148:9
183:17
186:22
196:10
235:2

conducted
140:20
177:2
191:22
200:6

conducting
37:17

conference
135:4
184:1
291:4

conferencing
135:2

confidentiality 11:15

configuration
199:13,15,18 239:17,18 240:2
242:4
264:22

configured
27:13
199:13

configuring
215:20

confirm 60:1

confusing
258:20,24

confusion
27:11,15,20 195:20
241:15
262:2
276:11
280:25

Congressman
118:18

connect
145:10
282:22

connected
166:1
263:18
270:11
284:2

connection
34:11
142:13
156:13
270:11

connotation
148:8

consensus
160:25
162:13
163:1

considerable
35:13

considered
184:6
200:10
201:6
230:9

consistent
21:15
53:15
106:8
122:18

Constitution
131:20

constitutional
15:2 30:15
151:18
206:22
256:2

constructed
212:10,11
214:4,6
246:4

constructing
248:25

constructs
213:22
267:18

consultant
114:15
119:17
141:15

consulting
85:2

consumer
85:17

contact
87:20
215:6

contained
232:4
234:15
284:18

contemporaneous 63:6 66:2

content
222:4

contents
23:11
98:10,17

contest
14:20 15:2
19:10
21:6,15
30:18
57:24,25
59:17

contested
80:24 83:2
264:11

contests
124:11

context
81:11
115:15,20
122:6
135:20

continuance
15:4
112:17,20

CONTINUATION
72:18
187:11
243:2

continue
139:20

continued
68:13
233:15
250:13

contract
61:20
246:4

contrary
163:4
190:15

contribute
166:17

contributions
53:6

control 15:2

controls
285:11

convenient
129:17

conversation
11:13
69:15,17,
18

conversations
181:6

convicted
22:13

copied 64:15

copies 137:6
214:22
274:11

copy 44:6
45:11
57:4,6
64:18
98:11
103:15
137:6
183:20
245:13
250:6
252:22
267:18,22,
25

core 32:11,
13 85:13

corporation
28:10

correct 18:9

19:25
34:20
39:20,22
40:1,18,
20,22
41:4,13
45:11 47:4
50:20,25
53:1,8,15,
20 61:11
65:2,13,20
66:4 67:13
75:4,22
76:2,25
77:4 78:4
82:11 88:2
89:4,9
90:13,17,
18 91:9
95:10,13
98:20,24,
25 99:15,
18 100:18
101:20
103:2,4,6,
20 105:17,
20 106:17
110:15
113:22
114:17,20,
22 115:1,
11,15,22
116:1,2,6,
8,11,18
118:11,22
119:20
125:8
126:18
128:15,18
149:17
151:6,9,10
152:20,22
153:25
154:2,4
159:8,9
161:15
174:10
178:15
180:13
183:17,18
189:8,11,
13,15
190:1
192:25
193:1,15,
17 194:6,
11,15,17
195:11
196:2,4,6,
13,17,18
197:2,15,
24 198:4
199:8,20
200:8,11,
13 201:25
202:4,11,
18 204:20
206:6
207:2,4
212:17
213:13,18
216:10
226:4
227:11
233:1,11,
20 237:13
242:6
243:25
250:24
254:8
255:22,24
256:4,8,
15,17,18,
20,22
259:25
260:1
262:9,20,
22,25
263:1,2,4
265:15,18,
24,25
266:2
268:18,24,
25 269:9,
10,13,18
270:25
271:1,13,
15 272:13,
17 274:2,6
275:24,25
276:2,6,13
277:4
278:10,11
279:11
280:17
281:13
282:6
283:9,17,
18,22
285:13
286:24,25
287:2,4,6,
18 288:6,8

corrected
247:20

correctly
15:1 47:18
127:17
194:4
199:4
207:22
233:18
264:13
267:11
272:10

correctness
167:18
214:25

correlation
173:22

cost 53:2,4

coughed
102:18

counsel
15:1,20
64:1,11
98:11
151:25
194:2

count 26:20,
22 27:2
67:6
201:22

counted
203:15
272:6

counties
14:13

57:13 95:4
125:9
205:22,25
212:11,13
213:11,15,
18 217:9
229:2
231:22,25
246:1,6
249:24
251:20
252:1,15,
18,20
253:20,22
257:10,15,
17,18
262:24
264:15
271:6,13,
22 272:20
277:20
278:22
282:13

counting
207:11
268:18

country
141:10
163:10

counts 26:13

county 8:1
9:13 11:20
12:2,15,22
14:10,13,
25 15:20
16:17,24
28:18,20,
22 29:1,6,
15 38:18
70:10,11,
13,15
80:17,20
82:15
89:18
90:20
147:8
150:22
155:9
180:9
189:25
192:11
197:1,4,8,
13 211:8
212:9,13
213:9
214:2,4,22
215:1,2,
11,15,20
216:6
223:11
229:2
232:8
235:8
252:11,13,
20 253:9,
24 254:4
256:20,24,
25 257:10,
13,15,20
262:20
263:13
266:10
267:17,20,
22 269:4,6
271:10,20,
25 276:9,
25 278:4
289:8
290:22

county's
11:22
13:15
213:9
215:15
216:25

county-by-county
199:17

county-level
104:22

couple 22:20
38:20 67:6
89:20 91:2
164:6
291:15

court 8:6,8,
9,12,18,
20,22,24
9:2,4,8,
17,18,20,
22,25
10:2,4,8,
10,17,18
11:1,4,6,
9,11,17,
20,24
12:1,6,11,
13,18,20,
25 13:2,6,
11,13,17,
22 14:2,
13,22
15:11,15
16:4,11,
13,15,20,
22 17:1,
10,15,20
18:4,9,11,
17,20,22
19:4,17
20:6,9,11,
15,17,18
24:20
25:13,15
28:17
29:2,13,17
30:9 31:2,
4 32:22,25
33:2,18,
20,25
36:4,22
37:1
38:17,22
39:4
41:17,20
42:10,18,
25 44:8,
20,24
45:2,4,8,
18,22
46:1,4,6
48:2,4,13,
17,25
50:10
51:13,20
54:2,8
57:6,13,
20,22
58:4,9,13,

17 59:4,
18,25
60:4,11
61:9,18
63:2 64:22
66:6
69:13,20,
22 70:10,
17 71:8,
10,13
72:10,17
74:17,18,
22 79:9
81:2,8,11
82:10,13,
15 83:6,9,
11,13,15
89:17
90:22,25
91:15
92:2,4,17
93:18
94:4,11,17
97:13,20
98:13
101:24
102:18
103:17
104:4
105:2
106:6,18,
24 107:25
108:2,13,
18 109:4,
15,18
110:1,4,
11,15,18,
20 111:4,
6,8,17,20,
22 112:1,
4,6,13,18,
22,24
116:25
119:2,24
120:2
121:11,18,
20 122:1
124:22
125:6,9,
11,15,20,
22 128:20,
22 129:2,
6,8,10,13,
15,20
130:6,11,
17 131:1,
11,15,25
132:2,9,
13,22
133:10,15
134:6,11,
15,18,20,
24 135:1,
2,6,8,11,
13 136:4,
13,15
137:4,8,10
138:11,13
139:6,11
140:13,15
143:18,20
145:11,22
146:6,10,
13,15
150:6,13
151:13
153:15,18
154:6,9,
11,24
155:4,25
158:20
162:22
163:15
164:11,13,
18,20
165:9
168:2
169:6,17,
18,22
170:11
171:8,11,
15 172:22
173:6,10,
11 174:20
175:4,10,
11 176:10,
11,15
180:24,25
181:2,11,
20,22,25
182:2,6,
10,13
183:18,24
184:2,6,
18,22
185:2,13,
15,20
186:2,11,
18 187:4,
10 188:11,
25 190:11,
18 191:18,
22 192:2,
6,11,18
193:6,8,10
195:22
197:10
198:20
202:25
203:2,6,
20,22
205:4,13
206:15,20,
25 207:2,4
208:4,8,
11,13,15,
18,22,24
209:2,4,6,
11,13,18,
22 210:1
213:4
220:22,25
221:2,10,
13,15,20
225:15,18,
22 226:15,
22,24
227:4
228:6,18
231:4
232:18
234:1
236:1,2,4,
6,9
237:20,24
238:2,8,
10,13
242:18,20
243:9,17,
20 244:8,
11,17,18
245:1,6,

10,13
246:25
247:4,8,
18,22
248:2,10,
17 251:4,8
254:10
255:6,10
259:18
261:13,17
263:11,15
264:4,8
266:4,6,
20,24
270:6
273:6,10,
13 274:10,
11 276:15
279:15,18
282:8
284:11,13
286:1,15
288:13,15,
18,20,22
289:2,4,
11,13,18,
20,25
290:4,13,
17,22
291:1,4,9,
17,18,20

Court's
132:20
181:4

courtroom
17:9 18:2,
6 20:20
60:2 98:15
131:18
210:2
290:2,6

courts 24:17

covered
46:22

covering
13:6

crack
291:11,13

craft 88:18

Crawford
25:22

create 222:2
267:25

created
213:2
216:18
262:6
266:11
267:2

creates
189:11
221:24,25

creating
213:11
216:15

credential
78:1

credentials
46:22

credibility
58:6
154:15
156:2
173:10

criminal
22:11
148:9,13,
15

critical
251:11,13,
15

Crittenden's
131:4

cross 259:18

cross-examination
38:24
51:24
54:11
74:24
77:13
78:11 82:4
89:22 91:4
121:2
127:8
128:10
131:20
150:18
188:15
196:22
198:11
254:11
276:18

cross-examine
131:13
132:13
133:1,6,8,
15 169:1

crowded 10:4

cup 36:2

Curling
131:8
143:15,24
151:11
164:4

current
141:18
143:2
246:22
251:17

cursory
259:2

custody
213:6

CV 138:17

cyber 140:18

cycle 98:13
102:4

cycles 255:1

D

DA 93:20

damaging
183:2

dampen 28:6
115:13

danger 165:2

dark 130:18

data 40:10
85:17
88:18
95:11,13
101:18
103:8
109:11
141:9,18
167:6
173:15,18
182:25
183:2
200:2
212:18
215:13
222:6
233:4,6
235:24
237:11
240:2
246:2
251:20
252:13
285:2

database
207:22
211:6
212:10,13,
15,18,22,
25 213:4,
11,15,20,
22 214:4,
6,11,17
215:2,20,
22 216:6,
8,9,13
221:20
225:10,13
240:22,24
241:8,9
250:18,22
251:6,13
252:22,24
263:18
267:18,22
268:1
271:24

databases
85:13,15
95:8 96:4
187:17,20
228:4
249:22
266:10

date 135:15
217:13,15,
17,18,25
218:6
249:2

dates 243:20

David 120:15

Davis 9:1,2,
4,6

dawn
291:11,13

day 58:4
62:18,20
63:24 72:9
74:10,13
75:2 105:6
107:2,11,
22 114:20,
25 165:10
213:2
215:24
224:22,24
232:2
233:6
241:20
267:11
268:4,11,
20 287:2

days 18:24
28:4 62:20
114:11,17
115:6
116:1
135:15
136:13
180:13
267:15

de 46:24
47:4 50:2

deal 211:6
225:18

dealing 27:4
109:4,6
184:8
228:1

dealt
247:15,17,
24 248:15

dear 133:22

debated
145:2

debunked
37:22

decades
186:15

December
62:20
133:15,18
135:25
208:11
211:15

decide 10:22

decided
21:13,24
183:15

decides
122:25

decision
110:2
145:1
169:22
171:15
239:24

decision-making 24:17

decisions
30:6 185:8

deck 268:4,
15

declaration
136:11

decreased
165:18
166:20

decrypt
215:13

defeat 29:25

defect
22:17,18
161:10
165:17
173:2
177:17
180:2
184:9

defected
149:4

defective
19:9,11
20:22
22:18
23:6,13,18
24:4 25:13
145:15
158:13
159:4,15,
24 160:8,
9,11 161:9
163:6,9,10
170:18
174:22
178:20
179:2

defectiveness
19:11
159:22
162:8,15
171:22

defects
148:22
173:15,18
231:11

defendant
22:13
110:10
112:15
131:2
150:13
154:6

defendant's
25:1 52:15
65:25

defendants
22:11,22
23:20
24:18
29:17 70:9
157:18
237:17
289:13

defending
93:20

defense
52:11 54:6
132:22
134:11,24

defer 129:18
200:25

deficiencies
87:22

define
149:15

degree 47:22
139:18,20
140:2

degrees
39:15

Dekalb 14:13
271:13,20
290:22

delay 15:6

delivered
111:13

demand 12:4,
8,9 13:15,
20 14:6
15:4,18
16:4
135:20

demands
112:17

Democrat
115:6
116:6
177:13
178:6
219:15
220:10,11

Democratic
26:15,18,
20,22 28:6
75:6,20
76:18 78:2
115:11
117:9,11
118:13
125:2,15
177:11
201:6,22
202:9
204:9,10
240:13
259:4

Democrats
26:24
62:13
116:11,18
117:18,25
202:4,17

demographicall y 88:20

demographics
87:6,11,15

demonstrate
30:15,20

demonstrated
19:17
41:22

demonstrating
30:11,13

denied 15:4
18:17,20
19:1 49:22
57:20
209:13,17,
20

deny 29:13
226:11

depending
159:13

depends
81:13
122:6
130:10

deposition
136:6,8

deputy 60:13

describe
85:11,22
86:4 87:8
94:17
101:24
213:4

describing
252:8

design 29:25
30:2 90:4,
6 91:25
194:13,18
200:22
238:18
241:17
252:6,8
253:15
254:17,22,
24 256:18
258:13,15
260:18
276:10
280:20,22

designed
29:9 160:1
194:15
249:22

designing
240:6

designs
252:11

detail
147:25
176:20
183:20
204:22

detailed
15:22 82:4

detailing
214:18

details
97:11
115:22

detect 148:2
150:4,11
161:13
287:4,6

detected
161:10
233:11,20

determination
14:20

determine
85:18
88:15 92:4
102:13
103:20
125:1,4,25
148:15
149:2
154:25
180:2
227:20
231:11,17
235:4
279:11

determines
245:2

determining
13:18
42:10,17
148:20
164:17

develop
86:22
142:18
170:4

developed
96:13
147:24
148:1,2
159:2
163:8
249:24

development
149:22

device 55:6,
9 56:9,11
217:1,2,
10,13
222:1
234:13
235:20
268:2,4,13
270:11,13,
15 280:24
284:18

devices
54:24 56:6
215:22
233:2
234:17
235:20
237:6
246:2
268:11,13

devoted
35:15

diagnostic
218:4

diagnostics
217:22

Diebold 35:6
144:6
147:4
149:6
152:4,11,
20,22
156:18
158:11
159:6,18
160:17
205:9,11

diff 185:17

differed
264:24

difference
28:2
159:17
258:6
270:9

differences
162:13

differential
175:6

differentiatio
n 27:2

differently
35:8 223:2
226:24

difficulties

73:22
238:6

dip 30:8

direct 31:20
50:10 61:2
72:18 80:4
84:6
101:17
102:24
104:11
120:13
124:20
137:22
175:2
210:15
238:17
243:2,10
246:11
251:2
270:18
285:15

direction
63:11
146:13

directly
63:11 96:9
133:8
233:4
270:11

director
10:13 32:2
211:2

disabled
54:15,22
55:4,13,22
56:4 59:13

disagree
143:20
226:25
227:1

disclosing
96:15
98:10,17

discover
268:20
272:20,22

discovered
19:20
269:17

discovery
18:20
24:25
112:15
180:25
184:6
185:10
188:6
265:20
284:11

discrepancies
67:8

discrepancy
76:6,18

discretion
245:13

discrimination
28:11
115:10,11

discuss
17:20
92:13

discussed
230:20

discussing
264:24

discussion
162:4
230:15
258:11

discussions
258:6
262:1

dismissed
30:15

disparity
106:13

display
219:22
235:13
241:22
253:24
265:6

displayed
50:6 237:4
240:4
253:11,13
254:4

displaying
240:9
255:18

disposal
253:22

disqualified
18:6

disregard
163:15

dissertation
140:6,9

distance
40:18 42:8
47:17

distinction
19:20

distinguish
213:8

distinguished
227:10

distribute
167:6,13

distributed
200:24
205:20

distributing
251:20

distribution
218:20
246:1

district
111:25
143:15
177:4
214:18
220:4

districts
238:22

dive 158:10

divided
238:25

dividing
101:15

division
223:9
225:2
229:25

divorce 8:10

document
44:2,25
52:13 64:2
98:4 112:2
169:8
246:24
275:8

documentary
19:13

documents
169:13
271:17,18

donate 81:6

door 58:1
123:24
151:20

dots 145:10

double
100:22
102:20

double-check
117:13

doubt 19:9
22:20
23:13
25:15 26:4
29:22
30:22
109:11,24

doubts 33:10

down- 258:6

down-ballot
28:13 89:1
119:18
198:9
258:9

down-ticket
88:15

dramatic
25:25

drastically
194:1

drawing
150:6

DRE 25:9
37:22
38:11
39:20
40:2,20,22
41:1,2,6,
8,10 42:6,
22 47:24
54:15
56:18
59:11
68:24
73:13
90:11,15
128:1
144:2
150:2,10
151:15
154:1
158:11,15
159:2,6,
18,22
160:11
161:2,15
165:22
174:11
177:11
180:6
182:6,20
189:11,18,
20,22
196:11,15
203:13
205:9
208:2
212:24
213:1
216:4,13,
18,20
218:9
228:22
235:13
239:22
240:2,4
241:1,22
252:25
253:1,20,
22 255:11
259:2
277:1
278:24
279:10
280:24
283:20,22,
25

DRE's 30:4

DRES 47:15
56:6 92:1
144:4,6,18
145:13
147:15
148:2
149:2,6
150:4,11
151:1,4
152:2,4
154:13
155:2
158:6
160:22
161:18
162:9,15,
18 163:6
166:22
204:18
205:2
225:15
239:22
251:17

drives 216:4

drop-off
88:13,15

dropout
101:4

dropped
160:6

Drupal
166:4,6

duck 185:24

due 135:18

dueling 17:15

Dufort 9:11, 15,20 79:15,24 80:4,11 81:1,22

duly 31:18 60:25 80:2 84:4 137:20 210:13

Duncan 9:11 23:6 25:18 52:11,15 54:6,8 57:2,11 80:24 110:10 112:15 254:18 264:13 283:9

duties 261:13

Duval 9:11

Duvall 9:24, 25

dysfunctioning 282:20

E

earlier 9:1 90:2 101:17 113:17 114:25 136:11,13 190:10,20 195:1 206:4 212:6 269:15 277:6

early 21:20 46:18,22 47:6 62:18 105:9 114:20 128:2 157:2 191:2 290:6,18

earth 198:18

easier 48:4

easiest 252:17

east-west 27:18 265:17

easy 48:6

Ebay 144:11

educate 119:18

education 119:18 138:20 144:17 147:13

Edward 268:17

effect 204:15

efficient 87:1

effort 58:2 115:18

efforts 57:15

elderly 68:6 76:22

election 12:11 14:20 15:2,6 16:22 19:8,11, 18,22 20:22 22:18 23:6,13, 15,18 24:4 25:13,18, 24 26:2,4, 13,22 27:4,6 28:22 29:2,9,11, 18 30:1, 10,18,25 32:9,10 33:15,17 35:11,15, 17,24 36:8,11 37:1,6,9, 13 38:4 39:6,22 40:2 42:15 46:13,18, 22 57:24, 25 59:17 62:8,15, 18,20 80:13,24 81:4,25 82:22 83:2 85:15 86:11,15, 18,22,24 87:18 89:13 90:6,9,17 91:25 92:13 94:15 96:25 100:11,13, 20 102:4 105:6 107:2,11, 22 109:25 114:25 116:20 122:6 124:6,13 127:13 140:10,20, 24,25 141:1,6, 10,11,20 147:8,10, 11 151:18

153:2,6,
11,22
154:2,22
155:4
157:6,22
161:20
162:20
164:8
165:10,18
166:8
167:11
170:24
171:6
172:6,20
175:25
180:10
182:25
183:11
184:9
188:9
189:18
196:11
200:8,11
203:11,13
204:15
205:1
206:15,22
211:2,4
212:4,6,
11,18
213:2,22,
25 214:4,
6,11
215:20,24
216:20
218:13,20
222:22,24
223:10,11,
18,20,25
224:1,22,
24 225:2,
8,18,20
226:4,9,
10,20
227:4,10
228:24
229:17,18,
24 230:1,
2,4 232:2,
9 233:6
234:11
235:9,22,
24 236:18
239:10
240:8
241:17,20
244:2
245:20
246:2
248:6,15
249:8,11
253:9,17
254:25
258:4,20
261:22,24
262:13
263:13
267:11,15
268:4,6,
11,20
269:2
271:2,20,
22 272:1
273:20
274:1,4
277:8,22
280:9
281:11,13
284:15,17
285:2,4
287:2

election's
227:20
238:6

elections
9:13
28:18,24
29:9 35:4,
18,20
37:18
38:20 39:8
40:11
46:17 62:4
77:18
88:13
89:20
96:18
101:18
102:2
103:6,9
122:15
141:9
149:17
150:24
151:6
166:25
167:2
188:20
211:8
212:9,22
222:18,25
223:6,9
229:25
230:6
240:11
246:6
249:25
251:22

Elections.kennesaw.edu
251:25

elector
80:18

electoral
19:15 85:2

electorates
85:4

electric
22:13

electronic
21:6 22:1,
2 27:2,13,
17 28:2
32:13,18
33:4,11
35:2,10,20
37:10,17
38:13
39:15 73:4
105:13,18
106:13
164:2
174:8
199:13,15,
20 238:18
258:9
264:15,20,
24 276:11
277:25

282:4

electronically
104:15
276:11

electronics
282:22

element
259:10

eliminate
83:4 175:4
280:25

eliminates
22:13

else's
164:13

email 45:13
46:2
185:4,6
243:11
244:2
245:18
246:15,17

emailed
182:13

emails
243:15,25

embeds 19:18

employed
32:2 61:15
70:9,13
84:13
210:20

employee
289:8

employment
141:24

empty 291:6

encounter
235:17
241:6

encountered
223:1,11
224:9
269:24

encourages
122:15

encrypted
215:4

end 62:15
68:20
73:11
92:10
212:1
234:10
268:11

ended 35:11
253:20
257:4

engage 85:18
87:25

engaged
28:10
84:15 89:1
138:8

Engineering
168:10

English
266:13

ensconced
42:15

ensure 28:20
142:6
265:22

entail 95:6

enter 49:17
63:20
82:25

entered 44:2
52:13 64:2
98:4

entering
123:22
237:13

enthusiasm
28:6
115:13

entire
23:11,13
30:22
168:11
249:6

entirety
48:24

entitled
13:25
80:22 83:2
99:6 117:2
181:2

entitles
29:8

environment
222:17

EPROM 284:1,
2,6,15,18,
24 285:4
286:4,8,9

equal 253:22

equipment
179:2
206:2
212:8
222:15
229:2,4,6
253:20
268:1

erasable
286:4,6

error 172:4
178:4,11
179:6
207:24
218:25
220:15,18
230:10
242:6
278:8,13
286:24

errors 16:1
166:15
167:20
172:1
179:18
195:6,8,13
207:22
219:1,2
247:20

escape 24:18

essentially
86:13,18
102:2

establish
27:1 82:10
124:13
145:10
165:11

established
26:2 92:10
155:24
158:15
169:15
172:10
191:2

establishing
184:20
198:25

ethnicity
87:13

evaluated
164:9

evaluating
87:4 88:8
89:6
187:17

evaluation
89:13

evenly
205:20
220:15

Everest
160:18

everybody's
10:10
130:15
133:18
181:11

evidence
19:11,13,
17,22,24
20:2,20,
22,24
22:15
23:24
24:6,11,20
26:6
28:22,25
29:4,11,
20,24
30:11,15,
22 42:2
44:4,22
45:20 51:6
52:15
57:11 58:2
64:4,18
96:25
98:6,13
103:17
111:11,15
131:2,4,6,
9,15,18,22
132:1,2,11
156:1
161:18,20
162:2
165:6
169:18
172:20
175:2
176:22
183:8,9,
15,22
188:6
190:25
191:4
198:13
220:22
232:15
233:22
255:4

evidentiary
184:8

exact 100:25
102:20
119:13
126:18
158:24

examination
31:20
43:10
56:24
58:22 61:2
72:18 80:4
84:6 93:2
120:4
137:22
156:6
184:17
186:22
187:11
190:11
204:1
207:15
210:15
227:20
229:6
231:15
234:4
243:2
279:22
286:17
287:10

examine
134:22
180:15
229:4

examined
40:20
94:18,22
124:11
164:24
229:2
232:6
233:2,9

examining
96:18

examples
175:20

Excel 64:13
65:2,6,9,
11

exception
12:9 15:25
136:2

exceptionally
179:6

excuse 36:20
41:4 65:18
78:24
158:6

excused
60:2,4

128:22
288:20

excusing
60:2

executable
212:20

execute
249:24

executed
211:8
222:25

executive
10:13 32:2

exercise
146:15

Exhibit
44:1,4,10,
11 45:20
52:15
54:2,6
63:25 64:4
78:15 79:4
98:2,6
112:1,4,15
168:4
243:9,11,
24 245:9,
17 246:15
251:2
275:1,4

exhibits
264:2
266:18
273:4,10,
11,20
274:9

existed
28:13

exit 130:20

expect 21:13
200:22

expected
222:18
277:18

expedient
14:20

expedited
136:15

expenses
53:11

experience
35:1 39:18
50:2 84:18
85:11
87:4,9
88:1,2,4,
6,10 90:6
91:24 92:2
94:1,4
96:11,18
108:6
109:24
119:15
121:15
138:20
141:13
144:2,15
146:22
147:13,15
148:1
149:2,8
153:1
165:15
177:25
187:15
206:8

experienced
73:24
74:13
230:11

expert 17:2,
6 25:9,10
33:9,18
34:1 38:6
39:2
41:18,22
42:4,6,18,
22 43:1,2,
15 59:20
84:15 88:4
89:11
91:18
92:10,18,
20,22 94:8
96:22
97:15
108:11,13
123:24
138:9
143:11,13,
18 150:2,
10 151:11,
13 154:13,
17 155:11,
24 156:1,2
158:1,8
164:18,20
168:25
169:15
170:4
171:1,17
172:10,15,
18 173:2
174:17,24
177:15
179:1
191:4
195:11
198:4,18
280:18

expertise
41:22 42:6
43:6 47:22
92:11,18
93:25 94:6
96:13,18
97:6
121:24
146:22
147:2,15
148:2,20
165:17
179:22
194:13,17
206:4,8

experts
17:15,17
20:4
59:18,22
168:24
170:2
171:17
186:15
221:13

explain 23:1 30:8 51:6 64:1 68:2 93:11 99:13 105:2 119:2 131:4 151:13 164:6 176:9,11, 18 180:18 183:18 193:4 204:22 255:10 266:4,6 270:2,8 271:17

explaining 90:2 100:2

explanation 22:2,11,17 24:18 104:2 178:1 207:20 228:4 270:8

explanations 29:24

explicit 12:8

explore 121:1 147:25

exposed 165:2 228:15

exposure 165:6 227:15

Express 67:1 205:11 246:2

expression 211:11 213:17 234:24

extensive 184:4

extent 180:2

external 161:17 162:1 270:11

extra 274:11

extract 183:2

extracted 232:2 233:4 234:13

extreme 21:1

extremely 177:20

eye 133:8

eyesight 130:24

eyewitness 175:2

eyewitnesses 178:18

F

face 194:24

faced 183:13

facie 22:9 24:4

fact 13:9 17:11 27:4,10,11 28:8 29:25 30:15 32:15,18 33:13 35:4 42:10 63:20 116:9 117:15,24 118:8,11, 15 119:11 123:10 145:2,8 146:2 148:4 149:4 172:13 199:11 200:15 201:4,9, 13,22 202:15,25 248:13 258:11 259:11,24 274:1,4

fact-bound 15:22

factors 30:8 200:13,20

facts 12:10 15:20 91:20 145:20 155:6 176:22 220:6,22 232:13

factual 15:22 16:2 33:22 42:2 231:2

failed 14:4

fair 28:20 75:8,9 101:1 115:4 151:18 158:20 229:11,13

fairness 24:15 240:6

faith 198:13

familiar 37:15,18 54:15,18 55:13,15, 20 116:17

118:11
177:4
199:9,11,
15,18
200:2
201:2,4,9
225:9
227:15
234:24
287:20

familiarity
199:24

fashion
229:6

fast 106:6
236:8

fastidiousness
166:13

feasible
38:2

federal
58:24
143:18
212:9
246:6
253:13

feedback
222:18

feel 259:2
278:4

fees 53:2

feet 49:10

felt 224:13

FEMALE 13:4

fewer
117:11,25
123:6,13,
18 124:18
126:17
202:10
257:13
282:13

field 148:11
153:2
155:24
170:4
174:4

figure 68:25
87:24 95:2
201:11
221:18

figures
174:20

file 74:8,
11 86:24
88:18
135:15
167:6
184:4
212:18
215:13,15
235:9,11,
24,25
236:18
284:22
285:2

filed 11:18
12:15
110:10
136:11
264:22

files 85:15
214:18
246:2,10

filing 11:22

filings
18:24

final 109:11

find 87:22
103:24
106:1
122:8
124:9
126:4,15,
25 223:13
245:2

finder 155:6

fine 10:4,6
32:24
59:22 68:4
69:13
130:13
185:15

finger 71:15
74:4

finish 70:2
157:15
187:2
191:22
289:15

finished
41:20
70:22
205:18

fired 211:22

firm 115:8

firms 61:22

first-hand
39:20

firsthand
90:15
154:1

five- 118:17

fix 150:11

fixed 248:4
277:24

flaw 19:15

flaws 235:6

floor 236:10
247:20
290:6
291:1

floors
236:10

Florentine
270:4

Florida
160:20
257:22
260:9

focus 33:6,
22 85:20
146:18
238:20

focused

32:11,18
95:2 116:2
142:25
145:6
155:4
259:9

focusing
157:13
172:6

folks 116:11
118:20,22
130:15
169:1
264:25

follow 11:6
28:20
42:20
59:10
67:18
115:22
148:9
282:22

follow-up
57:4
154:10

font 240:10

forensic
148:11
149:6
160:15
171:6
177:15
180:4,6
181:13,17,
18 184:17
186:13,22
195:22
196:10
207:15
227:20
228:22
229:10
231:15
269:22

forensically
148:2,6
208:2

forensics
25:9

form 77:6
145:4

formally
211:9

format
189:11
239:10,11,
20 252:17,
18

formats
239:6

formed
169:22
262:15

forms 36:9

forward
28:15
29:20
30:13
83:13
280:22

forwarded
14:20
214:22
215:4

found 78:24
106:2,6
124:15
126:6,15
127:1
165:25
204:15
229:6
232:6
237:6

foundation
38:6 45:24
48:1,11
51:13
59:15
109:2
145:18,25
163:11
164:17
165:6,11
169:24
171:4
172:13,15,
17,18
177:1
184:20
228:2

founded
24:13

fourth 140:1
290:6

fraction
101:2

frame 241:22

framed
126:20

frankly 28:2
201:1

frantic 74:2

frequently
55:25 56:2

front 65:4
93:18
100:25
112:11
113:4,6
123:25
168:4
228:9
239:11,13
265:6
274:15

full 31:24
61:6 80:9
134:1
210:18

Fulton 9:11
11:6,20
12:2,15,22
13:15
14:25
15:20
16:17,24
28:18,20,
22 29:1,6
70:10,13
82:15 83:9

147:6
180:9
263:2,6
266:1,10
271:13,15,
20 289:8

function
90:11

fundamentally
24:15
151:17

funding
212:2

fundraising
52:22
53:15,18,
22

funds 81:6

future 230:6

G

gain 158:1

gained 96:17

game 86:20

gap 22:1
23:9 28:13
249:6,10

garbage
234:25

garden 29:8

garner 230:6

gave 81:6
151:13

Gay 245:18

GEMS
187:17,20
207:22
212:10,13,
15,18,22,
25 213:4,
11,15,20,
22 214:17
215:15
216:4,8,
13,17
221:20
232:1,4
233:4
234:13
238:20
239:4
249:9,17,
22 250:6,
9,10,18,
20,22,25
251:6,13
252:22
262:11
263:18
265:22
266:17
267:4,18
278:20

gender 87:13

general
39:22 40:2
48:13
81:24
90:15
100:20
105:24
106:10
107:11
116:25
118:2
126:13,22
153:6,11,
22 154:22
160:24
162:18,20
163:22
166:13
167:2,18
223:18
224:20
239:10
240:11
260:18
275:6
281:25

general's
106:15

generalize
179:22

Generalized
180:1

generally
35:2 38:11
54:18
55:4,8,11,
24 81:1,2
87:10
88:11
122:9,18,
24 125:18
142:22,24
144:2
145:22
146:25
150:2,10
151:2
155:2
158:13
159:4
160:11
163:13
199:18
205:9
227:17

generate
249:22
252:15
253:22

generated
278:22

generating
253:25

generation
141:20
143:2

gentleman
20:9 245:6

gentlemen
8:6

Geoff 9:11
25:18
112:15

geographic
87:17
88:18

Georgia 8:1

19:18
21:15,18
26:25
27:6,20
34:13,20
35:6 36:13
37:20
38:2,11,13
39:6,10,
13,22 40:2
41:2,11
42:15 43:2
46:17
55:10,18
56:10
62:13
80:15,18
81:22
90:11,15
91:9 92:11
94:15
95:15,18,
22 96:25
98:18
101:18
104:11,15
108:10
109:1,6
113:18,22,
24 116:11
118:18
120:15
127:13
138:22
139:6
142:17
143:4,6,
11,15
145:15
147:11,15
150:2
151:17
152:6,13,
15,22
153:6,11,
22 154:2,
18,20
157:6,11,
22 159:2,
22 161:2
162:18,20
163:13
164:2
165:1,22
166:18,24
170:22
184:10
186:6
188:2
189:9
196:11,15
200:18,24
201:11
203:10
205:20
206:9
207:8,18
211:6
212:9
237:9
241:20
250:6,11
251:1
255:13
258:25
259:2
260:20
261:22
267:17

Georgia's
26:11
37:15 38:1
54:15
119:6
146:22
147:6,11
151:15
163:9,22
164:8
165:18
166:25
167:11

germane
123:15

get all
130:4

give 33:2
34:2 84:15
93:22
103:15
106:20
121:2
130:13
146:13
160:13
163:15
166:4
215:11
217:4
219:2
220:4
237:10
239:25
285:15
289:2

giving 19:24
73:11
106:22

glad 203:6

glance 259:2

glass 187:2

glasses 64:9

Global
212:18,22

glue 160:4

gobs 208:18

good 8:6,7
9:8 11:13
32:4,6
35:2 36:24
38:18
41:25
43:20
44:15
77:18
89:18
145:24
150:22
179:6
185:22
198:13

Governance
32:4,6
36:25
43:20
44:15

governing

240:17

government
156:20,24

governmental
162:4

governor
15:10
21:22
25:18
71:2,15,
17,18,20,
24 94:20
95:22
98:20
100:18,22
101:4,11,
13,15
103:9
105:4,8
115:6
118:10
120:10,20
124:18
126:2,9,11
127:4
179:11
231:20
242:10,11,
13 253:18
254:24
255:22
256:2,11,
13 258:8
260:15
262:17
265:2,10,
13 268:18

governor's
19:10
21:6,11,
15,24 22:6
30:1 95:1
99:25
100:11
102:6,10
106:15
107:20
108:10
113:25
120:11
123:13
127:18,20
128:17
155:4
162:18
171:25
184:18
225:13
241:18,24
242:1
254:6,25
255:20
256:2,15
257:8
265:4,6
268:24
271:4,8
272:24
273:2
279:9
282:6,11
285:20,22

governors
260:2
265:13

graduate
62:1 84:20
139:1,2,13

graduated
138:22
140:2

graduating
84:25 85:6

Grady 195:6

grandson
133:22

Granted
26:18

gray 255:15

great 10:15
26:9 153:1
184:10

greater
27:11
147:25
165:2
176:20
183:20
204:22
276:11

Greenwald
43:18,20
44:11
45:15

grid
283:10,11

Groban 290:6

Groton 12:1

group 168:24

guess 11:2
12:2 26:6
54:6 141:4
185:20
189:20
229:10
233:22
273:15
286:6

guidelines
240:6

guilt 22:15

Gwinnett
9:13 11:6
14:25
15:17
29:15
38:18
77:18 83:6
89:18
90:20
150:22
155:9
252:20
253:4,9
263:2,6,9,
13 266:2,
10 271:13,
20 287:25
288:11

H

habit 81:18

habits 81:11

hack 270:24

hacking
225:9,20, 22 226:2, 20 227:6,9 270:1

half 182:15

hand 31:6
37:10
43:22
60:15
63:25 64:8
79:17
83:18
104:15
137:11
210:2
243:6
287:24

hand-marked
188:20,22
189:1

handed 64:11
65:4

hands 130:20

hands-on
39:17
144:1
149:2

handwritten
151:10

happen 49:15
86:20
131:20
175:22
176:20
178:2
181:25
221:9

happened
20:22
21:4,22
22:4 26:6
30:13 68:9
70:4 74:1
86:15,20
92:20,25
127:20
161:13
174:22,24
176:18
211:18
221:8
222:13
228:8
229:22
256:20,22

happening
30:2 49:18
69:18,20
97:4
127:18
175:17
233:24

happy 195:24
289:9
290:20

hard 19:13, 17 30:11, 22 47:11
64:8 82:9

hard-to-reach
85:4

hardware
147:20
158:18
159:11,20
250:2,4

Hart 25:22

HAVA 58:18

He'll 33:2

head 40:6
102:11

headed 112:9
115:9

header
241:15

headquartered
34:18

headquarters
34:10

hear 12:2,4
14:17 80:8
139:6
155:15
188:6
208:13
223:25
280:1,6

heard 14:18
134:11
136:25
154:11
158:17
169:10
176:10
180:24,25
184:2
192:11
224:1,20
235:1
280:20

hearing
20:13,20
110:13,15
133:13
135:17
136:17
208:18
232:13
291:22

hearsay
45:22
69:11,20
70:6 71:8
131:15
132:4,13
170:10,11
181:6,8,10
190:13
230:17
261:4,11

heavily
220:4,9,11

heavy 29:4
220:11

helped 36:13

helpful
217:18
270:6

helping 10:13 90:8 141:11

helps 43:4

Henderson 13:18 14:4

Henry 14:13

high 29:18 53:11 81:13 122:13 124:15 127:13 194:25 195:6 275:20

high-profile 118:9,13

higher 26:20 103:22 104:1 122:15 123:1,2 282:4,11

highest 102:6 104:9 271:8 273:1

highly 174:2

Hill 235:8 269:6

hint 19:25

hints 20:2

historic 113:15

historical 95:13 102:13 103:2

Historically 21:13

history 21:18 270:4

hit 281:15

Hm 171:8

Hmm 110:18

hoc 148:13

holding 268:6

holds 160:4

hole 188:4

home 69:6 290:20

honor 8:7 9:18 10:1, 18 11:8, 15,18 12:6 13:8,15 14:8,18,24 15:13,15 16:4,10, 13,15,17, 25 17:18, 22 18:4, 13,18 19:6 20:4,13, 15,24 21:8 22:8,22 23:1,2,4, 25 24:15, 22 25:2,4, 15,17 26:4 27:4 28:11,15, 18 29:15 30:15 31:2 32:20 33:8,22 36:1,2 38:4,8 41:18 42:4,17,20 43:8 44:6, 18 45:4,6, 10,20,22 46:2,6 47:22 48:2,10,11 50:4 51:8, 11 54:1,4 57:6,18 58:1,11,15 59:1,6,13, 22 60:1,4, 10 64:20, 24 65:24 69:10 70:13,18 71:6 72:11 74:13,18 79:8 82:2, 25 83:4,8, 11 89:11 90:20,24 91:2,18 92:6,8,15 93:8 94:11 96:6,20 97:1,10,20 100:1,6 103:15,25 106:18,22 108:2,4, 11,17,20 109:2,13, 18,20 110:4,17, 24 111:2, 6,11,18 112:6 113:10 116:20 119:25 121:9,22 123:20 124:2,24 127:6 129:1,11, 15,22 130:2 131:2,11, 24 132:6, 17,24 133:11 134:2,9, 13,15,18, 25 135:1, 13,18,24 136:4,11 137:2,9 144:20,22 145:4,9,

17,22
146:4,6,8
150:1,15
153:13
154:8,15
155:8
156:4
158:13,20,
24 162:15,
22 163:11
164:18
165:4
168:22
169:2,13
170:9,15
171:6
172:9,18
173:4
174:15,18
175:1
176:13,22
180:22
181:4,18,
24 182:1
183:22
184:15,20
185:1,11
186:1,10
187:1,4
188:4,11
190:8,22
191:20
192:1
195:20
198:11
202:20
203:4,22,
24 205:15
206:11,18
208:10,13,
20,22
209:6,8,
20,24
220:20
221:4,6,
11,15
225:11,17,
20 226:6,
15,20
227:2,24
228:13,17
230:18
233:22
236:15
237:13
238:11
242:17,22,
24 244:4,
6,15
246:22
247:2,15
248:13,18
251:6
255:2,4
259:13,15,
20 261:4,
6,10,11,
15,18
264:4
266:18,20
273:4,13,
15 274:9,
11,13,25
275:1
276:15,17
279:20
282:6
284:4,10
285:24
288:11,20
289:4,13,
15,20
291:20

Honor's 17:4
24:6

hotline
178:24

hour 289:6,
11

hours 36:20
166:6

house 245:25

housed 211:9
245:22
251:25

houses 249:8

How'd 8:24

huge 21:9
150:6

hundred
133:4

hundreds
36:20

Huntsville
249:15

hypo 220:4

hypothesis
149:15
175:20

hypothesized
280:6

hypothetical
221:10,13
230:24

I

ID 118:20,
22 215:6
216:22

idea 85:10
130:13
155:15
166:4
196:15
236:1

ideas 93:24
94:6

identical
155:22
159:10,13

identically
233:6

identification
200:2

identified
57:11
167:2

identify
10:2 55:4
111:1
158:22
243:17,20
254:20

263:9,10
275:2

identifying
148:22

image 221:25
235:10
241:4
253:22

images 232:6
235:11
255:17

immediately
72:4
141:25

impact 113:2
115:11
198:6
200:13
201:18
244:15
257:22

impacted
257:25
258:13

impacting
201:18

impanel
14:15

impaneled
14:11

implementation
159:25

imply 148:11

important
24:15 79:2
93:10
118:20

impossible
203:17

impress
51:17

in- 21:20

in-person
54:22
213:2

inadvertent
262:6

inadvertently
27:15

inappropriate
14:6

inaudible
9:4,9
11:10 13:2
16:18
17:13
25:15
28:17
32:20,22
35:18
38:15 42:4
44:8 45:2
48:11 51:4
54:2,8
59:4 61:13
63:18
64:6,9
66:6,13
67:13
69:11,13
70:11
74:17,18
75:17,22,
25 81:8
82:4,13,24
83:15,17
93:18
100:13
109:18
112:8
115:4,10,
17,20
116:13,15
119:24
120:1,2
124:1,22
125:11,22
127:4
128:25
129:2,6,8,
9,11,13
130:25
132:4
134:13
135:4,13
136:9,11,
13 140:13,
15 141:1,
11 143:18,
24 145:17,
18 146:6
149:22
151:20
153:8,15
154:15
156:4,20,
22 158:4,
6,8,15
160:18
162:20,24
163:13
164:20
165:8,9
166:2
169:4
171:4,13
172:2
173:25
174:13,20
175:20
176:24
180:10
182:11
184:4,13,
18 187:10
188:18,24,
25 189:1,
13,22
190:20,24
191:20
192:6,10,
17,18
195:6,22
197:20,24
198:1
200:13
202:8,13
203:1,11
207:13
218:13
225:11
227:6
232:22
233:15

235:22
236:6,15
237:6,18
238:1,2,13
242:15,20
243:8,15,
22,25
244:1,10,
11,15,18,
22 245:8,
13,18
246:13,15,
18,25
247:1,6,
11,13,15,
22 248:1,
2,4,6,15
249:4,15,
18 251:2,
9,10
253:4,20
254:22
255:1,6,8
257:25
259:13,15,
17,18
261:6
263:4,8,10
264:2
266:6,13,
17,18
270:22
271:15
272:22
273:6,9,
11,13,15,
18,25
274:4,6,
13,24,25
275:2,22
276:22
277:2,13
278:2
279:10,11
280:2
281:4,20
282:24
283:11,17
284:11,18
285:25
286:1,2,6,
10,11,13,
15,20,22
287:13,17
288:2,15,
22 289:8,
10,15,17,
22 290:1,
2,11,15,
20,22
291:2,6,8,
9,10,13,
15,18

incidence
66:20

incident
66:22,24
67:13,15
228:4
244:6

incidents
78:18,20,
22

inclined
22:9

include
78:18
147:18
257:6

included
214:11
218:18
239:15

includes
8:10
182:22
272:15,18

including
157:18
272:8

inconveniencin g 291:13

incorrect
40:4 111:2

incorrectly
233:11

increase
119:13
122:22
145:13
165:22
276:4

increased
165:18,20
166:20

incredibly
166:2
176:8
177:22
200:17,18

incredulous
74:6

incumbent
128:15

incurred
178:11

independence
161:13,22

independent
117:20
160:20

indicating
143:20

indications
50:22

indirectly
167:13

individual
11:11
85:15
87:11
97:11
107:1
157:15
202:22
214:22
216:10
232:2
233:2
239:6
240:1
259:10
263:24
268:6
271:24

272:6
281:11
283:22

individuals
27:15
118:20

indulgence
136:2

industry
180:20

ineligible
19:15

infected
227:22
231:18

inference
198:13

inferior
24:11

infiltrated
246:10

inflammatory
58:4

influx 198:8

information
13:22
59:20 70:1
85:17
87:17
95:6,18
96:4 99:10
103:11
104:18
109:15
122:13
169:4,11,
15 170:6
214:4
215:22
216:13,17
232:2
236:11
239:4
245:24
249:11
252:2,4
266:15
284:17
287:15

informed
76:4

informs
199:4

infrequent
122:15

inherent
151:15
166:22

inherently
161:9

initial
17:13
278:2

initially
18:13
232:8
239:20

innocent
178:13
207:20

input 268:4

inputting
218:6

inquiry
93:11

ins 14:18

inserted
217:8

inserting
218:4

inside
148:24

inspect
182:18
208:2
284:9

inspected
153:10,20
154:2,22
235:6
249:18

inspection
155:13

inspects
215:15

install
237:6
252:24

installation
249:17,18

instance
14:11 29:4
63:15
73:15
87:11
115:4,25
117:8
118:17
189:9,15,
17 199:11
201:11
223:13
256:20
259:24
260:8
267:11
272:20

instances
50:18
59:11
173:2
179:24
233:9

instruct
216:9
219:4

instructing
268:8

instructions
257:4,11,
20 258:2

insufficient
13:17

insulted
130:24

insurance
117:11

123:2
282:1

integrity
32:10
140:10

intend 10:20
17:8

intended
39:4 71:4

intensely
43:4

intent
135:15

intention
58:11
111:13
135:4

intentionally
23:20

interact
270:17

interacting
280:22

interaction
259:1
270:18

interactions
186:17,18

interacts
278:4

interest
81:1,2
142:18

interested
35:4 81:13

interesting
22:6

internal
150:2
180:15
181:20
182:4,22
183:11,20
235:9
236:22
249:1
284:18,20,
22 285:2,6
287:18

internet
165:2,6
166:2
167:8
168:13
228:15
270:18,22

internship
142:1

interpreted
167:4

interpreting
231:6

interprets
259:6

interrupt
38:9 251:2

interrupting
249:2

interviewing
164:2

intimate
97:11

introduce
45:20
65:24 81:2
132:20

introduced
57:11
132:2,4
235:20

introducing
58:2

introductory
131:2
140:18

investigate
186:6
227:9
228:9

investigated
24:20
173:4

investigation
148:11
163:20
171:6
181:13
183:17
186:13
191:24
192:9
199:6
226:18
229:15,18,
22 231:11
235:4
247:1

invoke 10:20

invoked
16:10,11

involve 89:6

involved
35:22 36:9
39:6 41:24
151:22
205:13
214:2
222:15
272:1

involving
120:15
203:11
237:18

IP 270:15

iphone
63:10,13

irregularities
268:20

irregularity
29:8,20
30:22

irrelevant
33:18

issue 13:24
15:17 19:8
20:4 30:18
42:10,11,

13 45:25
67:1,9
87:15
91:20,22
92:2,4
93:8,15
154:13,25
155:2,4,8
182:11
183:22
184:11,25
204:25
206:17
208:22
224:10
225:13
226:8,13
227:17
230:18
243:15
248:6,15
264:22
277:15,17,
18 282:17
289:9

issued
160:20
209:11
249:24

issues 14:6,
9,17 16:2
32:11,13
33:6,18
41:22
42:11
57:18 73:4
74:13
91:20
93:13
136:17
151:13
158:2
179:2,9
230:13
235:17
237:18
253:6
277:13

items 131:2

J

jail 133:24

January 8:2
45:13
136:17,24
211:17
212:2
249:4,20
250:1

Jeanne 9:11,
15,20
79:15,24
80:4,11

job 11:13
75:20
256:18

John 201:10

Johnson
63:15

join 92:6

joined 15:13

Jordan
129:9,11

Joseph 63:4

judge 12:24
13:1 35:11
93:18,20
172:15
205:2
236:11,13,
15 256:22
267:13
290:1

judgment
237:20

jump 237:24

jumped
238:10

jumping
146:15

juries 14:15

jurisdiction
214:15
215:4
218:6

jurisdictions
14:17
260:6

juror 12:4

jury 12:8,
9,10
13:10,11,
15,18
14:1,6,10,
15 15:2,
22,24 16:4
135:20
290:18

Juvenile
8:12

K

Kauffman
8:22,23
9:1

Kaye 12:22

Kemp 131:8
143:15,24
151:11
164:4

Kennesaw
211:10,15,
22 222:20
227:15
244:2,6,9
246:4
248:15,20,
22

key 29:17

kind 8:11
68:6 74:2
76:6 85:20
86:2,4,22
87:15
88:18
95:2,4,25
97:18
111:9
122:20
161:2

168:22
169:4,15
170:10
172:10,17
178:11
186:22
188:8
198:4
199:22
200:2,6,10
231:4
238:18
246:20
247:8
269:18

kinds 90:2
133:24
141:20
261:17

knew 191:11
199:25
224:8

know- 133:18

knowledge
39:20
43:17
90:11,15
94:2,4
97:11
115:24
144:2,15
146:22
147:4
149:20
154:1,18
155:11,13
158:1
164:17,18
181:20
186:18
199:1
219:18
221:11,18
230:13
241:20
254:2,4
276:9

knowledgeable
93:17
256:20

L

L-E- 61:10

lab 144:6
152:4,20
154:20
249:15

labels
216:22
217:1

labor 94:22
117:17

lack 30:6
163:11
166:11
167:18
211:10
213:15
214:15
228:2

ladies 8:6
133:22

lady 68:6

lagging
200:22

laid 38:6
59:15
109:2
172:13,15
174:15

Lamb
164:10,13
165:25

language
58:4 111:9
253:10

languages
141:22
253:6,11

laptop 129:4
134:20,22

large 22:4
65:6 198:8
241:2

large- 64:11

large-print
65:8

larger
275:22
276:1

Lasix 64:22

lasted 68:20

late 250:8,
11 251:1

latitude
34:4

law 24:15
25:22
28:20 34:2
58:25
61:24
92:11

laws 13:24

lawsuit
197:4,11,
15 205:1
262:15,25

lawyer 17:24

lawyer's
36:4

lawyering
185:22

lawyers
11:13

lay 42:1
44:22
45:24
145:25
171:4
172:13
177:1
219:20
238:20

layout 164:6
222:4
239:6
252:18
257:15
258:20
262:6

265:2

lays 239:8

LBJ 63:11, 13 65:2,13

lead 220:11 221:4 235:18

leading 96:6 100:2 106:18 155:24,25 156:2 158:8 166:8 226:22 259:13,15 267:15 282:6

leads 173:18

learn 230:2, 4

learned 132:25 260:4

leave 50:18

leaving 47:11 165:2

Leclerc 60:11,22 61:2,6,11, 13,15 65:1,17 66:9 71:13 72:20,22 73:22 74:15,20, 25 75:2 77:15,18 78:13,15

Leclerc's 69:11

led 178:18 191:18 276:11

left 30:17 68:13 167:8 181:13 265:8,9 291:6

left-hand 241:24

left-leaning 205:25

legal 38:6 53:2 59:2 197:6

legally 144:11

legislator 206:13

Legislature 15:9

legitimate 22:2

letter 45:18 231:20,22, 24 235:2

level 53:11 122:20 151:17 166:18 167:15 200:22 232:11 249:9

leverage 88:20

Lexisnexus 135:11

León 46:25 47:4 50:2

libertarian 36:18 39:13 46:20 123:11 219:15

Libertarians 285:20

library 46:25 47:4 49:20

lieutenant 15:10 19:10 21:6,11, 15,22,24 22:6 25:18 71:2,15, 17,18,20, 24 95:1,22 98:18 101:11 102:6,10 103:9 105:4,8 106:15 107:20 108:9 115:6 120:10,20 124:18 126:2,9,11 127:2 128:17 155:4 162:18 171:25 179:11 184:18 225:13 231:20 241:18 242:1,11, 13 253:17 254:6,25 255:22 256:2,13 258:8 260:2,15 262:15 265:4,10, 13 268:24 271:2,6 272:24 273:2 279:9 282:6,11 285:18,22

life 21:9
182:15

lifelong
81:11,18

light 130:20

lightly 26:1

likability
200:20

likelihood
145:13
165:17
175:15

limited 42:8
87:2 131:4

limiting
149:13

Lindsay
45:8,22
59:1,13
132:13
197:10

Lindsey
10:24
11:2,4,10
13:9
15:11,13
16:9 17:6,
11,22
18:8,10
25:15,17
32:20 33:8
42:4,20
44:18,20
45:2,4,6,
10,22
47:22
48:10 50:4
51:22
52:2,11,17
54:1,6
58:1,11
64:6,9,11,
15,18,20
65:4 66:2,
6 69:10
70:6,11
71:6,9
75:2 82:2,
6 83:6
91:2,6,13
92:6 97:9
100:1
111:2,4,
11,18,20
114:4,9
117:2,6
119:4,22
120:9
123:4
124:6
128:8,13,
20 130:2,
6,13,22
131:11
132:24
136:4
144:20,22
145:6,17
154:8
155:8
162:15
171:6,9,13
172:9
173:4,6,
11,13
174:15
176:13
181:4
183:22
185:20
186:10
188:4
190:8,10,
20 191:6,
9,13,20,22
192:15,18
196:25
202:20
203:1,4,6,
8,9,20
205:2
209:6,17
220:20
221:2,11,
15 225:17
226:6,15,
20,22
227:2,24
246:22
247:2,6,9,
13,22,24
248:2,8,
11,13,17,
18 250:22
255:8
259:15,20,
22 261:6,
11,15,18,
20 263:6
264:2,6
266:18,22
268:17
273:4,9,
11,13,24
274:9,13,
15,25
275:8,10
276:15,24
279:13
284:10
285:24

Lindsey's
285:15

lines 47:10
50:1 56:1
100:24

lining 259:4

link 119:1

list 18:25
150:6
167:4

listed
231:22
240:13
264:11
272:6
279:2,6

listen 43:4
111:11
156:4
185:13

listening
43:2 55:11

literally
30:13
289:1

literature 156:17 160:11 162:11 171:4 194:18 199:2

litigat- 36:25

litigation 37:1 80:15

live 21:20 80:15 130:11 133:1,2,15 172:24

lived 34:20, 22

living 96:1

LMA 222:22 278:2

load 268:1

loaded 215:13,18 216:8 217:20 222:1 267:22

loader 182:25 237:1

lobbies 11:11

local 85:20 215:4,15 238:22

located 62:22 197:1 274:2

location 46:25 47:4,8 49:22 63:22 65:15 67:4 73:13 176:13 216:11,15 218:22 220:9,10 221:24 222:2 252:1 283:2

locations 40:11 47:2 55:18,20 62:20 215:22 239:4,13 266:11 270:20

locked 290:17

Logan 164:10,13 165:25

logic 217:9 218:13,18, 24 222:10 268:2

logical 222:11

logistically 129:15

logistics 288:25

logs 179:6

long 17:24 47:10 48:18 49:4 50:1 56:1 82:22 103:18 129:17

longer 68:20 131:13 253:4 288:24

looked 18:22 40:9 94:17,24 97:2 104:8 113:15 119:6 120:9 128:4 165:4 185:8 189:6 195:11 203:2 229:22 230:6 235:11,13, 22

loop 275:13

loss 35:17 36:10

lost 23:11 24:2 35:15 137:6

lot 28:4 36:25 37:2 42:20 59:20 87:22 96:4,8 97:2 119:18 132:25 158:20 224:22 227:15 252:9 253:10 285:20

lots 171:18

loud 169:10

love 248:8

low 176:8

lower 101:11 174:11

luck 290:2

lunch 129:18,20

lurking 155:15

Lyndon 63:15

M

M-42 291:9

machine
22:17,18
24:18
39:20
40:24
41:1,2,8,
10 42:11
49:18
50:4,18
51:4,11
55:13,20
56:11,13
67:6 68:8,
11,13,17,
24 69:1
70:2,4,20
71:20
72:2,6
73:18,20
90:15
93:15
144:2
154:2
158:11,13,
15 165:22
172:11
174:1,2
176:4,6
177:11,22
178:6,11
182:6,20,
22 183:1
190:11
194:1,6,10
195:6
196:11,15
203:13,17
208:2
212:24
216:4,6,
18,22
217:4,6,15
220:11
222:4,6
228:22
232:4
233:4,10,
13,18
234:6,9,
10,18,20
235:10
236:2,4,6
237:4
242:4
265:22
266:17
277:18
279:10

machine's
67:6 235:8

machine-level
235:4

machines
23:11,22
24:22
25:10
38:11
39:15
40:2,4,8,
13,17,20
41:6 42:6
47:24
48:8,20
49:2,4,13
50:1,22
54:17
55:25 56:2
67:2,4
73:13,15
90:11
128:1
142:11,13,
15 143:11
144:11
145:22
146:2,18,
24 148:4
152:11,20,
22 153:6,
10,11,22
154:18,22
156:20
157:11
158:22
159:6,18,
22 160:2
161:2,15
162:20
163:2
167:13
170:18
172:2
173:20
174:8,9,
11,22
175:6,8
176:6
177:11,15,
18,22,24
178:4
179:4,6
180:4,8,17
184:17
189:13
194:6
197:22
203:11
218:18
220:10
223:17
232:2
235:6
277:25
278:2
283:22,25

made 12:8,9
15:18,20
16:1 19:20
44:11 71:2
92:9 98:13
166:15
169:22
176:20
185:8
208:9
237:17
239:24
253:4
265:22

Madison
80:15

Magistrate's
290:4

magnifying
242:6

mail 128:2

mailout
216:1

main 85:20
223:9

maintain
212:2

maintained
165:20
249:10
284:22

major 81:15
159:13
167:9
181:25
270:2,4

majority
34:24
162:10
223:8

make 10:11
16:6
18:13,18
22:11,20
23:15 48:4
54:22 55:6
70:24
71:24
74:20
75:18
93:22 94:8
104:22
106:11
107:20
130:4,6,8,
11,15
155:9
171:15
192:18
193:10
195:15
217:11,13,
20,24
237:15
238:22
253:11
259:4
265:18
267:11,15,
22 268:2,
9,15 270:8

makes 24:25
205:11

making 48:13
129:15
142:18
167:18
183:20
207:22,24

MALE 8:7
10:20
11:22,25
12:15,24
13:1 20:13
82:13
129:11,13
286:20
287:8
289:15,18,
20 290:10,
15,20,25
291:2,8,
11,15,18,
20

malfunction
24:18 50:8
51:11
93:15

malfunctioning
49:4,8
50:1,6
51:4

malice 23:18

malicious
16:2
178:15

malware
166:11,15
189:10,18
196:15
204:17,18
227:22
228:10
231:18
237:11
269:18,22

manage 212:4

Management
212:18,22

manager
67:11
68:13,15,
18,22 69:4
70:2,6,8,
13,15,20,
22 71:1,2,
15 72:4
73:15

manager's
73:22

managers
76:13

mandatory
11:18

maneuver
235:15

manifested
179:20

manifestly
23:24

manipulation
16:2

mannerisms
133:20

manufacturing
34:18

mapping
219:9
285:11

margin 25:20
26:10
177:13
184:11

Marilyn
10:13
31:2,15,
20,25
38:25
43:11
50:13
51:25

54:11
56:25

mark 55:10
132:8
283:13

marked 43:24
243:6
254:18
275:2
287:24

Marks 10:13
31:2,6,10,
15,20,25
32:1 35:1
36:8,22
38:10,15,
18,25 42:6
43:11
44:10
45:11
46:11
47:22
48:11,18
50:11,13,
17 51:25
52:2,9
54:11,15
56:25
57:2,10
58:2 59:24
60:2

Marks' 51:11

married
216:20

Martin 9:11

Maryland
160:20

Master's
139:20

match 152:20
235:20
268:13

matched
232:4,25

matches
249:18

matching
234:11,13,
15

material
159:17

materials
156:11

math 22:22,
25 23:4
86:18
102:13
111:18
191:11
270:2

math/physics
181:25

mathematical
30:18

matter 13:25
17:22
18:15
22:22
25:11
27:4,10
33:13
57:17
61:13
84:15
116:9
135:17
140:8
145:24
163:22
172:13
188:6
202:15
205:13
209:9
244:10
259:15

matters
121:2

Matthew
134:15
137:10,18,
24 138:4
150:18
156:8
187:13
188:15
196:22
204:2

maximum
194:9

Mayor 35:4

Mcdonald
8:16,17

meaning
231:6

means 17:20
181:20
193:4
263:15
270:20

meant 56:6
246:20

meantime
290:4

mechanics
150:4

mechanism
52:22

mechanisms
59:11

Medicine's
168:10

member 39:6,
8 211:20
218:15

members
36:17,20
211:18,20

memory
180:15,17
181:20
182:6,22,
24 183:11,
20 189:11
212:24
213:1,2
215:25
216:10,15,
18,22
217:2,4,8,

20 221:24, 25 222:1, 2,6 233:6 234:13,15, 22 235:4,9 236:22 266:11,13 267:1,25 284:18,22 285:2,4,6 286:10 287:18

mentioned 37:2 38:20 141:13 149:1 150:24 151:11 152:2 161:8 224:18 269:2

mere 26:4

messages 53:17,18 172:4

method 35:2 105:1 148:15 278:20

methods 106:4,9 149:20

Metro 271:6

Mexico 84:20,25

Michael 147:9 157:18 164:4,6 209:25 210:1,10, 15,20 243:4 245:18 254:13 276:20 279:22 286:18 287:11

Michigan 138:6,11, 13 139:15, 17,18,22 144:8 149:10 153:4

Mickey 272:11

microphone 80:9

Microsoft 142:2,4, 13,15,20 155:20 212:15

middle 26:24 116:10,18 172:2 202:15

Mike 268:17

million 223:20

mimic 11:22

mind 39:24 167:24 171:20

mine 81:18 173:10

minute 110:6 135:11 198:2 202:6 242:17 279:15

minutes 49:20 51:1 242:20,22 291:15

miscalibrated 224:2,6

mischaracterizes 190:20

misleading 111:1,9

misplaced 74:15

misprogramming 231:18

missed 176:15

missing 278:9,25

misspelled 19:13

misstate 244:20

mistake 8:13 148:18 178:13 220:18 242:4

mistakes 166:24 167:2,4,22

mitigate 88:15

Mm-hmm 20:6 219:8

mock 290:13

mode 53:22 55:22 56:2,4 173:22 222:20,22, 24 242:6 268:6 278:24 279:2

model 155:18 255:13,15

modeling 86:25

models 155:18 255:11,17

moment 41:15

113:4

momma 77:6

Monday
180:10,11
208:2

money 53:1,
10,13

month 133:13

monthly
175:25

months 34:25
165:2,6

Morgan
80:15,20

morning 8:6,
7 15:11
38:18
72:10
77:18
89:18
185:6
289:10,11

motherboard
284:2,4

motion 15:4
18:15,17,
18 112:17,
20 192:2
202:20
209:13,20

motions 24:2
57:20,22
180:24,25

Mouse 272:11

move 34:22
41:13
45:20
132:20
134:22
184:25
185:9
190:13
208:15,20
211:13
236:13
244:4
245:8
290:4

moved 20:13
192:13

moving 81:18
130:4
193:10
208:22
280:22

multiple
23:4 28:11
63:22
74:11
147:8
191:13
253:10,11
270:20
281:13,15

mumbling
139:6

Muskogee
229:2

N

name's 77:18

named 63:18
142:2
197:8,13
262:24
266:4
272:22

names 12:20
19:13
199:13

nation
189:17
200:20

national
86:2
156:22
160:22
168:9,18,
20 170:2

nationwide
159:22

nature 24:11
32:11
135:20,22
136:18
167:11
278:13

neces- 59:18

necessarily
148:13
183:1
201:17
290:11

needed 70:20
184:4
217:6
218:11
253:11

needing 55:6

negative
28:4,6
77:6
115:8,11
200:10

neglect
103:15

neighboring
260:11

nervous
129:15

network
249:6
270:18

Nevada 109:4

newcomers
128:18

newer 122:15

NEWS 129:4,
6,9,11

night 52:18
73:11
185:4
232:9
234:11

Nobody's
18:25

non-partisan 32:8

nonconfidential 187:24

nonpartisan 36:18

nonprofit 32:8 86:6

nonprofits 85:2,25 86:2,4

normal 56:18 241:22

North 34:11 36:11 41:10

north-south 27:18 265:15

Northern 143:15

notate 216:22,25

note 263:13

notes 63:6, 11,20 65:1,13, 15,17,20, 22 66:2,15 67:18,25 78:17,22, 24 79:1,2 129:2 224:22

notice 131:4 135:4 136:6,18 287:25

noticed 47:9 67:4 68:4, 22 71:1 208:25 258:2 280:11,13

notices 287:20

notification 218:15 223:11

notify 253:2 288:4

notion 161:11

November 25:20 46:13 62:22,24, 25 63:1,22 83:2 133:18 153:6 165:18 196:11 212:6,11 223:17,18 225:8 244:13 252:22 255:18 256:25 261:22 262:1 264:11 274:20 275:4 281:18,22

number 8:12 26:25 27:1,9,11 29:22 30:6 33:17,18 40:11 44:15 57:11 67:2 76:2,8 99:17 100:15,25 101:15 102:13 103:2 109:18 113:2 116:4,22 119:6,13 122:11 123:6 124:11 126:18 132:15,17 194:9 201:24 202:10 215:22 216:10 217:2 218:8 224:18 226:4 239:9,15 241:2 260:6,20 261:4,8,24 266:11 271:8,25 272:1,2,4, 6,22 273:1 275:22 276:4

numbers 25:10,11 40:6 67:6 75:13 92:20 97:2,6,17 98:22 99:2 105:22 107:1 108:13,15, 22 109:20, 24 110:9, 15 111:10, 24 112:8, 18 113:17 114:20 124:4,6 172:20,22 174:22 175:6 176:11 177:9 192:4,11 193:1,4,6, 8,11,15 195:6 203:2 261:13

numerical
195:13

numerous
160:22
179:18

O

oath
289:18,20,
22

object 10:25
32:20 33:8
38:4 42:4,
13 44:18
47:22
48:10 50:4
59:1,13
69:10 70:6
90:20,24
91:18
100:1
103:25
108:11
109:2
111:2,4
121:9
131:11
133:9
144:24
145:6
154:15
158:13
162:15
163:11
165:4
169:2
181:2,4
184:15
185:20
188:4
195:20
197:6
198:11
204:24
206:11,20
220:20
221:6
225:11
226:13
228:2
230:15
232:13
233:22
243:13
247:18
261:4

objected
182:8
208:6

objection
13:6 17:8
41:18
45:22 54:4
57:18
59:15 66:6
71:6 83:6
92:6 97:1,
9 106:18,
20 108:22
109:13
129:20
134:25
145:4
155:10,11,
13,17
158:25
168:24
171:6
172:9,18,
24 173:4,6
174:15,18
180:22
186:10
188:11
195:18
198:11,20
202:20
225:17
226:6
228:18
232:18
237:18
247:15,24
248:17
251:10
255:4
264:4
266:20
273:13
275:9
282:6
284:10
288:11

objectionable
45:2

objections
11:2 33:17
77:2
136:10
155:9
274:10

observations
62:18
63:20 66:2
67:20
72:24 79:1

observe
47:6,15
48:24 62:9
72:2 73:4,
8,20 78:2
171:22
218:15,17

observed
40:8,15,18
49:18
66:25
96:24
98:22
149:11
176:11

observer
62:10 63:6
77:22 78:1

observing
42:8 62:15
63:8 65:13
66:17 69:2
77:20
147:11

obtain 44:22
139:18
144:11
246:1
252:2,22

obtained
140:2

obvious
20:22
97:15

occasions
191:13

occurred
26:8
189:15
195:13

OCGA 24:6

odd 124:20

oddity 194:1

odds 176:4
198:2

offer 131:4
154:24

offered
182:4
284:9

office 39:9
76:6 99:6
105:1
107:2
167:13
210:22
211:13,17,
22 212:4
213:10,11,
22 214:8,9
215:6
222:20
223:9
224:25
225:6
228:22,25
229:25
230:6,15,
18 231:20,
24 232:11
233:1
238:18
244:1
246:4
248:22
249:11,13
253:2,15
260:24
267:6,15,
24 269:13
271:8
273:2
274:6
280:13
290:22

officer 28:9

offices
256:4

official
223:11
261:8,10,
13 274:1

officially
77:24

officials
49:20 72:2
141:10
147:10
223:10
225:2

Ohio 160:18

older 95:18

Olens 25:18
203:6

omits 24:10

omitted
278:13

op 239:18

open 18:13
44:15
45:13
165:2
166:2
167:8
270:9,18

opened 58:1
229:18

opening 16:6
18:11,13

operate 40:9

operates
56:15

operating
47:18,25
141:20
142:17,22
143:6,9
152:4,9
155:20
182:24
237:2,4,6
267:11

operation
40:15
47:15,24
150:2
183:4
212:2
217:18
222:4
278:6

operational
249:11

operations
40:13
144:2
211:15
223:17
248:22

opine 47:24

opinion 97:6
104:2
121:2
158:11
159:2,20
162:13
163:8
166:24
170:4,22
171:1
172:8
173:18
174:22,24
175:4
195:11
198:18
280:18

opinions

41:25 143:18,20, 25 158:11 162:4 169:9,10 170:2

opportunity 73:4 104:13 110:8 113:1 126:10 134:4 136:13 180:15 208:2 230:2 252:11,13

opposed 28:13 57:15 265:17

opposing 57:13

opposite 177:22

opposition 112:18

optic 216:1

optical 239:8 252:17 265:4

option 285:22

order 12:13 14:17 25:4 28:20 53:2 130:2 181:13,18 209:18 217:20 220:1 221:22 223:15 240:13 247:8

ordered 182:22 183:25

ordinary 68:9,18

organization 32:8,9,11 34:9 35:18 46:4 52:22 53:11 62:11 86:6

organizations 85:2,25 86:1

organize 219:22

orientation 242:13

original 158:6 224:11

other's 17:20

outcome 161:20 269:20

outlier 94:25

outlined 224:20

outstanding 266:25

outward 234:11 270:13

overrule 198:20

oversee 211:6

oversees 212:6

overtake 251:10

overturn 30:10 184:10 226:9

owned 249:17

owner 34:17

P

P-2 64:22, 24 65:25

P-3 97:20, 24 98:1

P-5 168:2

P-7 168:2

p.m. 130:1 187:6,8 242:25 243:1 291:22

pack 116:18 202:15

package 87:20

packet 214:22 255:9

pad 55:11

pages 66:11 82:2 168:15 170:13 240:18 253:18

paid 52:4

pair 270:13

pap- 197:18

paper 21:6, 8,22 22:10 27:2,13,18 28:2 33:11 37:2,9,13, 17 38:2,13 41:13 43:2,4 104:17 105:15

106:13
125:18
148:4,25
149:22
151:10
161:17
171:10,11,
13 174:8,
11 175:6
188:20,22
189:1
199:11,18,
20 206:15,
22 207:11
257:6
258:6
264:25
276:13
277:1,4
282:4

papers
140:22

paperwork
208:18

paragraph
111:25
112:1
238:11

parallel
267:11,20
268:20
269:20
287:2

part 14:1
32:15
35:11
53:1,2,6,9
125:18
133:20
156:11
169:22
170:13
183:10,11
205:9
211:13
256:17
261:11
262:4
265:20
278:1
287:15

partial
131:22

participate
252:11

participated
62:4
261:1,2,22

participation
88:8
257:24
275:20
282:4,11

particularities 199:6

particulars
201:17

parties
133:2
262:15,24
266:4
272:22
273:15

parts 163:10
236:22

party 24:6,
10,13 30:6
36:18
39:13
46:20
75:6,20
76:18 78:2
86:2,6,10
131:6
132:4
176:4,6,8
177:20
181:10
197:8,13

party's 24:8

pass 277:22

passcode
215:11

passionate
81:18

password
246:11

past 85:8
86:15,17
88:11
113:18
119:6
206:6

pattern 21:4
108:8,9,25
175:15
177:22
199:1
205:18
229:15
230:11,22
231:13

patterns
20:24,25
95:22
113:17
123:17
124:10
198:9

pay 53:2

Paying 108:2

PDF 252:18

peer 141:2,
4 163:4

pending
18:15

people 21:9
22:6 25:6,
24 29:10
47:10,11
89:6 98:13
100:15,17,
20 157:25
163:20
179:4
190:17
191:4,15
210:2
211:22,25
223:20
226:4
230:17,25

243:25
257:13
280:2,15,
20 281:6

percent
21:11,15
22:1 32:17
101:2,6,
11,13
102:11
105:8,10,
11,15,18
107:2,4,6,
9,10,13,
15,18,25
120:24
125:17
126:6
152:25
274:22
275:6

percentage
101:10
102:4,15
103:22
104:2,25
107:4,13,
17,22
120:22
274:17,18,
20

percentage-wise 106:8

percentages
106:4

Perdue
120:15

perfect 29:2

perfectly
63:10

perform
86:18
183:4
186:9,13
190:2
193:18
196:6

performance
117:4,22
118:6,8

performed
190:6,11
196:2

period 46:22
208:11
231:18
268:11

permission
132:20

person 17:25
19:15
21:20 26:2
56:11
65:22
105:10
136:6
198:18
280:8

personal
34:11
43:17
153:4,8
154:18
155:11,13
164:18
177:2
188:20

personally
39:20
40:2,20,22
41:11 47:6
90:8
153:20
154:22
164:24
165:4
193:18
224:22

perspective
49:9
152:22
159:11
164:25
166:13
179:1,20

persuasion
21:10

pertaining
140:24
206:13

petition
37:4

petitioner
13:20
31:17
60:24 80:1
84:2
137:20
184:22
210:11

petitioner's
29:13

petitioners
13:17 29:4
37:4,9,11
184:20
209:24
284:9

ph 65:20
200:25
245:18
290:6

Ph.d. 138:6
139:24

Phd 138:11
170:13
175:11

phenomena
175:2

Philip
132:18
158:6
190:6
193:20

phone 136:22
215:6

phonetic
243:11

photographs
72:25 73:2
74:15

phrase
121:11

Phrased
226:24

physical
217:1,2
235:13
248:24
282:20,24

physically
153:9
213:6

physics
270:2

pick 67:2
254:15
276:22

picked
218:24

picture
255:11

pictures
255:9,11

piece 88:24
189:9,18
257:6

place 8:11
19:9 25:13
27:11
33:15
49:13
94:22
97:11
115:25
207:15
216:22
239:20
250:18
252:20
261:15
285:11
289:22
290:22
291:13

places 30:22
160:2
221:25
287:20
288:1

placing
217:2
270:13

plagued
238:6

plaintiff
9:22 10:13
24:25 25:2
79:15
80:11
168:15
202:22

plaintiff's
44:4 64:4
98:2,6,18
112:15,20
168:4,8
194:2
243:8

plaintiffs
15:6 24:4
26:11
29:18,20
30:11,20
42:2 60:10
79:15
83:1,13
84:15
136:8
138:8
151:22
152:1

plan 34:22
36:13

planned
74:11
82:11

plausible
22:15,17
24:18
29:24

play 30:6
238:22,24

pleased
258:17

point 23:15
29:17 68:8
71:8,22
74:10
93:13
97:2,8
109:2
111:20
113:2
122:11
129:1
144:22
159:6
160:4
181:13
193:20
198:15
205:1
206:20,24
217:4
218:18
223:10
238:11
251:9
262:13
283:1

pointed 14:8
15:1 71:15
195:4

points 15:15
96:8
111:13
125:18

policy
206:11

political
21:10
81:4,15
84:22
85:1,2
86:1,4,6,
10 114:15
119:17
189:2
198:4
199:2

politically
88:20

politics
25:11
201:11

poll 36:15
39:11
40:9,11,17
46:11,15,
18,20,24
47:2,4
48:22 50:2
55:6 62:9,
10 67:4,10
70:13,15,
20 72:2,25
73:2,9
76:13
77:20,22,
25 78:1
81:17,22,
25 82:6,8
153:2
197:18
205:11
246:2
288:4

polling
46:25
47:4,8
49:22 73:4
87:13
115:25
200:6
218:20
239:2
287:20
288:1

polls 28:22
55:10
122:15
200:22

Ponce 46:24
47:4 50:2,
24

poorly
126:20

popping
172:4

popular
123:20

port 270:11

portal
251:22
252:2
270:13

portion
35:10
101:1

portions
246:10

pose 168:22

position
13:15
22:20
23:10,11,
17 25:1
27:18 34:2
51:15
52:2,4
70:15
134:13
151:1,4
210:25
240:11
278:17
283:13

positions
15:13
218:9

possession
268:2

possibility
258:22

possibly
26:6 95:6
119:11
136:13

post 148:13
260:22

post- 35:22
36:6

post-election
36:10,13,
15,17,20
149:8
206:4,6
207:9
217:15
231:22
258:15
260:22
278:24
279:2,10

posted
287:20
288:1

potential
166:11
230:22

potentially
86:22
144:25
167:15
283:6

power 24:8,
11 213:1
217:10
246:2

powered
237:4

powering
223:22

practical
39:17

Practice
18:20

practices
38:2,11
42:15
186:13

pre- 217:15

pre-election
222:22
234:8

pre-filled
268:8

preceding
289:24

precinct
50:24
75:20

76:2,9
125:2
128:2,4
174:2
176:25
177:10
178:25
189:25
190:4
192:24
193:18,24
194:6
195:2,11
196:4,8
232:11,25
238:24
263:11,13,
18,20,22,
24 264:10,
11

precincts
124:17
125:2,4,6,
11,13
126:1,6
127:2,25
195:2,4
214:1,18,
20 238:22,
24 272:1,2

predicate
198:15,17

predominant
239:11

prefer 133:6

preference
9:4 241:6
252:10

prefilled
218:6

prematurely
223:22

preparations
147:8

prepare
136:6
265:22

prepared
98:18
138:18

preparing
136:1
215:25

present 99:2
111:11
229:18
264:10
271:24
278:15
281:1,24

presented
14:2 24:2
101:22
111:15

presenting
28:15

preserve
20:18

preserved
19:1

president
259:24

presidential
241:6
252:10

presiding
15:10
236:11

press 28:4,6
115:8
200:11
283:8
288:6

presses
219:6

pressing
219:13
287:22
288:1

pressure
283:13

pressure-point
283:11

presumed
29:18

presumption
24:11,13

pretty 97:15
169:10

previous
46:15
88:13
119:9
191:25
229:8
235:20

previously
127:17
133:6
184:13
190:15
197:22
229:4
232:4
248:13
249:18

prima 22:9
24:4

primarily
36:11

primary 75:2
241:6
252:10

print 64:11
65:6,9
73:2,11,
15,17
279:2

print-on
217:1

printed
76:13,15
217:15
234:11
235:20

printer
217:22
218:1
239:18

printing
236:4,6

printout
268:13

printouts
285:15

prints 66:9

prior 29:15
95:22
101:18
102:8
104:2
118:17
135:4,15
190:15
200:6
249:13
277:10

privacy
32:10

private
249:6

probative
21:4
109:22

problem
18:2,10
20:15
26:8,9
49:22
51:15 69:2
75:18
148:18,20
171:15
176:11
178:9
278:25
282:20,24,
25 283:4
286:22
287:2
288:4

problems
32:18
35:15
37:20
147:15,18,
20 148:2
150:4,6,11
162:18
163:2
167:22
179:25
223:6
287:4,6

procedure
18:15
42:24
136:10
209:10

procedures
37:17,20
38:2,11
42:15

proceed
207:25

proceeding
39:4
135:22
206:13

proceedings
23:2,25

process
19:15
54:15,20
55:4 63:10
135:22
194:13
214:13
216:4,15,
22 252:13
277:20
278:2

processes
37:15

produce
24:10
233:15
241:15
252:18

produced
212:20
214:17
232:6
233:13
268:13

produces
239:4

product
212:17

production
252:22

profession
170:6

professionally
10:15

professor
132:18
133:13
192:22
193:15
195:15
196:2

proffer
131:4,6,9
132:10,11
181:1

proffers
98:13

profiled
26:18

program
141:22
161:22
212:20
219:18
220:8
250:10,13,
25 283:20
286:6

program's
259:11

programing
216:4

programmed
141:22
178:13

programming
15:25
167:13,20
178:4

207:18
211:6
212:24
213:6
218:24
219:1,2,4,
15 220:18
249:8
250:2
277:15
278:8,13
283:15
284:8

programs
245:22,25

progression
40:15

promise 31:8
60:15
79:17
83:18
137:11
210:4

proof 25:4
241:10

proofing
253:11
278:22

proofs 246:2
252:2

propagated
189:10,18

proper 44:22
155:17
172:15
217:15,17,
20,25
235:18

properly
47:25
76:24
77:10
223:24
268:18

properties
141:20

prosecution
22:13

protection
246:11

prove 24:20
110:22
237:20

proved
245:1,2

proven
244:15,17

provide
161:10,20
164:17
214:15
215:6
219:1
252:15,18
267:17

provided
13:22
215:9,17
278:22

providing
42:2

public
113:18
117:10,15
168:13
187:25
218:15
228:15
270:20

publication
163:4

publications
156:20
162:4

publicized
218:13

publicly
85:17

publish
141:4

published
140:22
141:2
148:4
149:24
158:4
160:17

pull 193:6
220:6

pulled 68:11
193:1,2,6,
8,11,13

pulling
133:11

purpose 18:1
164:15
168:20
213:6
220:6
241:10

purposes
239:9
252:2
278:22

push 277:13
287:15

pushing
234:10
238:13
241:11
282:22

put 64:6
71:15
72:6,8
74:17
79:1,2
93:22
108:13
132:9
209:18
216:13
217:1
218:15
223:1
228:11
236:13
242:6
248:8
249:13

250:15,18
283:8

Putin 270:22

puts 85:13
151:15

putting
85:13
108:13
170:15
215:20

Q

quacks
185:24

qualification
42:15
155:11

qualifications
82:11
138:17

qualified
33:9,18
38:6,11
39:2,11
41:18
42:4,6,22,
24 43:1,15
59:20
92:13
97:13
108:11,13
143:11,13
151:11
198:18
213:24

qualify 34:2
92:17,22
97:13
145:20
150:1,10

quantify
175:15

question
9:18 29:25
30:18
32:22
33:15,18,
22 38:4,10
39:25
42:25
43:15 45:2
48:2,13,25
50:4,9,17
51:8,13
54:22
56:10,11
57:4 59:4,
15 74:13
76:17
88:25
97:18
100:4
104:8
106:22
109:6
112:24
120:8
121:10,11,
13,18,20,
24,25
124:2
126:18
127:15
146:10
149:17
154:10
158:15
162:2,24
163:15
165:8
171:9
173:13
176:15,17
182:10
185:9
186:2
191:18
195:22
198:15,22,
24 202:2
203:4
204:24
205:6,18
206:11
208:4
221:18
226:22
231:4,25
232:15
233:18
237:22
247:18,20
249:2
254:1
261:1
267:8
281:4
284:6
288:6

questioning
220:22
275:13

questions
34:8
38:15,20
41:15,17
43:13
51:18
58:15
59:10,24
66:15 79:6
82:2
89:15,20
90:22
91:2,13,15
92:22,25
94:10 96:9
108:18
113:8
114:4
119:22,25
120:25
121:1
123:6,11
128:20
144:24
145:2,10
150:15
153:15
154:6,11
183:25
188:13
203:20
237:20
245:6
254:10
262:11

270:1
279:17
287:8
288:10,13

quickly
15:8,15
187:2
205:18
276:22

quit 179:15
238:13

quote 24:6
25:22
171:11

R

R6 255:13, 15

rabbit 188:4

race 21:11, 24 22:6
28:13 30:2
71:2,15, 17,18,25
73:13
75:15
87:13 89:8
95:22
97:11,15
99:15,18, 24,25
102:6,10
104:15
105:24
106:15
107:22
108:10
109:4
113:20,25
114:1,24
115:22
117:13,17
118:1,2,17
120:10,11, 15 122:25
123:13
125:18
126:2,11, 13 127:18, 20 128:13, 17 171:25
177:11,13
179:15
184:18
199:6
200:6,9
201:18
219:22
221:22
225:13,18
230:11
231:13
240:1,13, 15,18
241:2,18, 24 242:1, 9,11,13
244:13
254:6
255:20
256:1,2, 10,11,15
257:2,6,9, 13,20
258:1,9,13
259:9
262:6
264:18
265:4,6,9, 10,20
268:24
271:4,24
272:2,6,8, 24 276:24
279:2,9
280:22
282:6,11
285:20,22

races 71:4
73:13
94:20,22
95:18,22
102:4,8
108:24
113:18,24
116:6
117:15,18, 24 119:6
124:4
125:25
126:11
198:10
199:9
200:17
201:2
202:11,13
213:24
214:10,11
218:10
239:2,6,15
240:13
241:22
242:2
252:18
254:25
255:22
258:18
263:13,20, 22 264:9, 10 268:22
271:6
272:25
273:18
279:6,8
281:15,17, 24 282:10, 15

racial 28:11
115:10,11

raise 31:6
52:25
53:10
60:15
79:17
83:18
137:11
210:2

raised
57:18,20
131:22
183:24
184:13
190:15
198:2
226:9
230:13
237:18
269:2

270:1

raises 15:17

raising
53:13

ran 26:25
35:4
116:11

random 22:4
175:24
177:18

randomly
176:4

range 26:15
86:2
102:20

rare 179:6

rate 105:8
171:24
174:10
205:22
282:4,11

rates 122:17
123:1,2
124:15

raw 179:6

RE-DIRECT
56:24

re-elect
38:4

re-litigate
284:11

reach 24:8
85:4,18
109:10
260:24

reached
170:22

reaction
73:22

read 20:24
64:8,13,22
131:17
135:20
169:6,22
170:13
171:18
194:18
216:18
218:2
222:6

read- 286:4

read-only
182:24

reader 218:2

reading
133:17

ready 130:9
131:1
218:20
267:6

real 44:18
51:22
128:8
149:2
208:22
276:22
290:18

rearguing
24:2 93:9

reason 14:18
18:1 21:2,
11,25 22:8
109:13,20,
25 148:6
178:6
217:6
221:4
238:9
244:24
269:22

reasons 21:2
28:11
89:11
91:22
92:13 95:4
96:13,15,
22 97:15,
17,18
121:17
122:6
139:8
280:10

rebooting
179:4

rebut 93:13

rebuttal
17:11

rebutted
24:13

recalibrate
278:1

recall 68:4
120:11
121:6
123:8
170:20
204:15
246:15
252:6
258:9
262:17
267:8

recalling
194:4

receipt
234:15

receive
28:20 52:6
76:18
116:4
214:25
215:1
223:6
224:18
264:18,25

received
26:13,17,
18 28:4
95:6
116:22
117:11,25
123:6
125:2
188:1
200:11
202:9,10,
17 215:2
223:6,15
231:20

246:20
255:6
262:15
277:8

receives
73:10

receiving
69:25
231:22
246:15

recent
142:25
152:18

recently
81:24
136:11
140:20
160:20

receptive
217:13
281:10

recertificatio n 229:1

recertified
229:11

reckon
196:18

recommend
206:9
207:8

recommended
186:13

reconciled
16:1

record 18:18
19:2,4
20:10,11
31:24 46:2
51:10 61:6
64:6,20
65:25 66:1
72:13
73:11
80:10
84:10
98:13
106:20
107:20
109:8
121:22
129:25
130:22
132:9
138:2
168:1
169:25
185:20
187:6
190:15,22
209:22
210:18
228:11
233:18
234:15,22
238:11
242:25
244:25
269:4
273:18
275:2
277:13

recorded
29:1 77:10
157:22
219:15
233:11
279:6
287:15,17

recording
9:2 35:2
65:13
234:18

recordings
8:14

records
40:15
44:11,13,
15 45:13
166:11
187:25
232:1,4
247:2

recounting
207:15

recourse
161:10,11

recovered
235:8

RECROSS
286:17
287:10

RECROSS-EXAMINATION
50:13
113:11
114:6

red 49:17
50:6,22

REDIRECT
43:10 93:2
120:4
156:6
187:11
204:1
279:22

reduced
241:13

refer 67:18
99:4

referred
245:17
284:6

referred-to
44:2 52:13
64:2 98:4

referring
204:8,10,
11 245:20

refers 247:1

reflect
101:6
130:22

reflected
111:25

reframe
158:25
172:25

refused
184:24

regard
121:15
247:1

registered
77:22
80:18
81:6,25
83:2
275:15,24

registration
9:13
157:22
166:9
167:6,9
189:20
204:18,25
205:2,8,13
225:10,13,
24 226:2
227:4,11
237:18
238:6
247:2
260:25

Registrations
28:18,24
38:20
89:20
150:24

regular
177:22

regulation
164:4

regulations
240:17

regurgitating
184:13

rejected
223:22

relate 58:24
142:20
251:13,15,
18 281:2

related
141:1
165:13
206:20
214:18,20
243:13
244:6,9
251:20

relates
96:24
155:2

relating
67:25
101:18
190:25
226:20

relation
265:2
280:20

relationship
239:4

relative
201:15

relayed
214:2

release
157:2
273:15

relevance
57:18
59:15
165:13
180:22
184:15
226:13

relevancy
145:6
162:15
165:13
228:2

relevant
45:25
57:22
59:17 92:2
116:20
146:4
155:2,13
162:18
181:2
184:17
206:13
225:15
247:22
248:6

reliable
20:2

relied
170:11
171:15

relies 24:11

relocated
211:15

rely 170:4,
6

relying 17:4

remain 60:2

remaining
17:9 18:2

remedy 25:25

remember
110:20
113:4
191:10
198:24

reminder
16:10

removed
217:4

render
198:18

renew 41:18
97:1
202:20
228:17

renewed
112:15

reorganization
34:18

repair
150:11
277:24

repeat
198:22

repeated
166:22

repeatedly
182:13,15
247:4

repeating
39:24
164:13

repel 24:9

rephrase
106:18
153:17,18
195:22,24
230:18
232:20

rephrasing
59:6

report 75:6, 20 76:22
77:8 98:18
99:2,10
104:25
160:18,22
168:10,13
178:24
214:22
223:2
262:13,15, 20,22
263:13,17
264:11
265:22
266:6,22
271:22
278:20

reported
76:1,6,10, 17 157:20
174:1
179:2,11
191:15
195:8,9
232:8
234:6,11, 22 279:4

reporter
61:9
129:4,6,9, 11

reporting
63:11
75:13
149:17
171:25
172:2
179:4,13
272:2

reports
160:20
191:4
214:17
235:22
252:15
262:11
263:11
264:6,8
266:10,11
271:22
278:20
279:2

represent
38:18
89:18
150:22

representative
16:18
25:25
124:6,13, 18 125:2, 13 126:1, 2,8,22
127:2,25

representing
25:18

Republican
177:13
178:4,8
204:11
219:15
220:11
240:11
241:6
259:4

Republicans
252:11

request
10:11
11:11
12:13 17:4
29:13
44:11,13
51:11
98:18
135:2
183:25
267:17

requested
184:2

requests
44:15

require
23:15 96:2
149:20

required
14:15 43:1
56:8 59:11
154:25

requirements
53:10

requires
26:4 47:22
135:2
230:15
235:15

research
103:20
140:20
142:2
189:6

researched
142:4

resemble
108:17,25

reserved
26:1

reside
219:15
283:25
286:9

residence
166:6

residency

249:13

resident
39:10 41:6
80:20

resides
196:15
283:20
284:1,22
285:4

resisted
24:24

resolved
15:8 67:9,
10

resources
32:17 87:2

respect 29:4
124:9,10
135:2,18
158:11
159:15
162:13
228:22
238:2
253:13,15,
18

respond
117:2
131:24,25
145:22
155:15
277:18
283:4

responded
162:4
182:15

responding
106:20
149:11

response
11:20,22
14:9 22:24
23:1,2
44:11
69:25
112:15
120:8
192:1
204:18
235:2
283:2

responses
223:4

responsible
213:11

responsive
32:22
218:10
235:18
278:6

rest 51:11
132:4
139:9
192:6,13
203:2

restating
248:13

restored
235:11

result 19:9,
22 26:4
29:22
30:22
48:18
69:22
71:10
222:18
233:15
234:6
235:9,24,
25 242:4
256:13
257:13
262:6
280:2

results
19:18
22:18
25:15
29:20
35:22
85:15
86:25
87:18
89:13
92:1,13
94:13
96:24
157:4,8
172:6
173:2
174:2
177:8
190:10
219:25
236:20
262:13
268:13,15
269:11
282:13

retained
211:25

retender
96:20

retired
34:15

return 151:8
215:6

returning
72:22

returns
271:4,18
274:2,6

reveals
56:13

reverse
181:9

review 13:2
44:13
70:24,25
94:13
95:11,13
103:8
107:20
108:24
110:9
111:24
114:10
124:6
141:2,4
153:4,10
156:11
160:18

163:4
172:2
178:17,22
179:10,13,
15,17
180:15
182:22
214:13,24
228:22
233:20
237:4,11
252:2,13
276:24

reviewed
39:22
40:2,4
94:18
101:18
105:22
106:1,2
108:24
109:11
147:4,6,9
156:11,15,
20 157:1,
2,4,8,10,
17,20
160:10,15
162:4
178:22
187:20
190:25
199:2
200:6
214:8
272:20

reviewing
164:2
223:4
278:24

reviews
153:8
191:4

Rhonda 9:11

Rice
138:22,24
139:10,11

Richard
16:20

Richmond
229:2

Rick 147:9
157:18
164:4

ride 69:6

rig 23:20

right-hand
241:25

right-leaning
205:25

rights
151:18

ripped
133:22

risk 149:13
166:18,20

rite 131:18

Robyn 131:4

role 30:6
62:6 75:2
90:2
238:18

ROM 182:24

roof 236:9

room 290:18

rooms 291:4

round 176:15

routine
53:10

routinely
228:10

rows 101:25

rule 8:14
10:20
11:2,11
13:1
16:10,11
17:13 18:4
108:18
129:6
133:11
135:2,6,
11,15
136:2
137:1
154:13

ruled 19:4,6
172:15
181:2,4
184:6
190:11
202:25
208:18
221:2
237:15
238:8,13
247:4,17,
25 248:13
261:11
284:11

rules 135:20
136:18,22
240:8,9,
17,22

ruling
130:10
169:25
190:15
208:8
209:10,11

rulings
143:22

run 27:25
86:11
116:17
141:11,20
142:15
152:4,8,11
207:8
244:22
259:25
260:4,9,11
262:13,20,
22

running
27:22
115:6
128:15,18
142:6

152:15
166:2
180:4
200:4
201:10
202:18

runoff 62:18

Russia
270:22

S

sadly 21:18

safe 291:11

sample 21:9
149:15
167:6
214:15,22
252:15
278:22

samples 22:4

Sara 60:11,
22 61:2,6
65:15
72:20
74:25
77:15
78:13

satisfactory
24:11

satisfied
13:20,22

satisfy 14:2
83:4

saved 215:2,
13 284:17
285:2

saving
217:25

scalability
252:8

scaling
241:4,11,
13

scan 216:1
239:8,18
252:17
265:4

scanners
216:1

scenarios
194:24

schedule
129:18
135:24

scheduled
135:15

scholarly
156:15

school 61:24
81:13
117:9
131:18
139:1,2,13
195:6

science
39:15
81:15
84:22
138:6,25
139:4,18
162:6,11,
13 163:4
168:9
198:4
199:2

Science's
160:22
168:18

Sciences
156:24
170:2

scientific
148:15

Scientists
168:20

scoring
142:20

screen 40:10
49:4,15
70:24,25
172:2
179:10,13,
15,18
217:11
218:11
219:9
223:20
224:2,11
235:15,17,
18 239:18
241:4,11,
13,18
242:2,9,11
255:15,17,
20 256:15
259:2
266:15
268:1
278:1
280:22
281:15
282:2,20,
25 283:10
285:11
286:22
287:15

screens
50:22
160:4
254:6
255:20
256:13

sealed
218:20

seat 31:11
61:8 79:9,
20 80:8
83:22

seats 8:8
10:2 124:6

secondary
19:24

seconds
289:1

secretary
44:13,15
45:13 46:4
57:15 74:9

76:6,11
95:8,17
98:22
99:10
101:13,18
104:20
105:22
106:9,13
107:2,6
109:17
117:1
118:13
131:6,13
167:11
177:8
192:4
201:10
210:22
211:1,13,
17,22
213:8,10,
22 214:8,9
222:20
225:4,20
226:18
227:13
228:25
229:9,13,
24 230:4,9
231:11,15,
20,24
232:11
233:1
235:2
236:22
237:10
246:4
248:20,22,
25 249:10,
13 260:24
265:11
267:6,15,
24 269:13,
15 273:17
274:2,6
280:13
281:24

section
225:4

secure
141:11

Secured
168:10

security
140:10,11,
18,20,24
141:20
158:6
166:24

seek 27:25

seeking 37:6
52:25

selected
193:22

selecting
193:22

selection
70:25
71:2,17,24
168:15
259:4

selections
268:9

self- 67:11
70:20

self-cast
71:22

self-correct
67:11

self-governance
81:20

seminar
140:20

senate 15:11
30:2
113:25
120:11,15
127:18,20
255:20,25
256:1,10
257:1,6,
11,13,18
262:6
276:24

send 44:15
53:18
267:22

sending
53:17

sends 267:18

senior 28:9
67:20 68:1
73:24
290:1

separate
229:2
233:4
258:18

sequence
25:4
233:24
246:13

sequestered
17:2

sequestration
25:6

serial 40:6
217:2

serve 166:10

server 36:13
166:1
189:10
245:20,22
246:1,9,10
250:13,15,
18 251:20
252:1

servers
237:8

service
72:2,4,6,8
113:18
117:10,15
136:15

serving
154:17

session
15:10

sessions

172:4

set 25:22
55:13,18
56:11
112:22
133:15,18
134:4
136:9
166:4
181:15
208:11
217:13
218:20
221:22
239:9,17,
18 240:2,
11,13
252:13
257:4
277:22

setting
25:24
217:24
278:4

setup 56:18

seventh
220:11

sheer 239:15

short 50:18
83:11

shortly
34:22
200:11

show 22:15
23:17,18,
24 24:4,18
26:6,11,13
28:2,22,25
29:24
30:22
38:15
52:11,20,
24,25
56:15
101:25
103:11
104:25
105:4
166:11
167:17
177:9
219:25
220:10
225:22
233:15
234:4
244:22
254:17,24
255:18
263:6,8,20
264:8
266:4,11
271:15,18
273:22
274:17
278:25
279:2

showed 180:9
232:24
233:2
241:13
266:24,25

showing
30:11
179:6
218:11
232:8
242:9
263:22
283:2

shown 24:4
30:18 97:6
234:6
241:4
254:4
264:11
287:1

shows 20:22
23:11
56:15,17
99:13
105:6
220:11
222:6
234:9
254:22
263:17,18
264:9
266:8,15
271:22,25
272:1,2,4,
6 279:6

shut 244:22

shutdown
40:13

shutting
68:22

sic 26:17
133:18
176:25

side 10:10
118:13
132:1
201:6
239:11
241:8,24,
25

sides 17:22
111:13
181:13
183:24
185:22

sidetracked
182:2

sift 96:4

sign 49:18
51:4
130:20
177:17

sign-in
252:1

signed
215:17

significance
26:9

significant
15:25
25:20
152:24
161:20
166:9
167:10
171:24

172:6,8
173:22,25
174:4,11
188:9

significantly
174:1,11
176:6
202:9
282:4

signoff
214:25
215:1,2

signs 16:2
148:22
150:4
170:18,22
171:2,10,
22 178:20
179:2
191:2

similarities
152:25

simple
22:22,25
23:2,4
97:15

simplistic
175:10

simply 11:22
15:13 22:4
23:13
28:13 42:2
111:13
172:15
175:17
184:13
198:25
209:9
220:6
227:1
248:13
265:11
273:25

single
155:17
189:8

sir 17:1
18:20
20:11 53:9
114:4
122:1
140:6,18
141:8
143:13
144:4
152:2,6,
13,18
153:2,13,
24 156:18,
22 157:2,
6,9,13,20
158:2
159:18
160:13
163:6,17,
24 168:6
170:20,25
177:6
180:9,18
185:13
187:18,20
189:4
190:2,4
193:20
196:9,13
197:20
198:6
199:22
200:4
201:8,13
205:11
208:13
209:6
231:13
235:1
245:1,6
250:15,17,
20 251:15,
18 255:2
258:11
269:24
271:11
274:17,20,
22 275:6
281:22

Sister 81:13

sit 9:2
10:17,18
13:10,11
68:22
137:4
138:2
210:22

site 235:6
246:8

sitting
20:13 69:6
196:13

situation
136:15
223:1
247:25
256:6
265:15

situations
231:1

six-pack
220:13

size 240:10
241:11

skip 122:25
281:6

skipping
34:20
143:2

Skype
133:22,25
134:4,20,
22 136:22

slightly
35:8

slowly 270:6

small 32:11
49:13
53:11
64:13 65:9
101:1
149:15
183:10,11

smear 58:2,
6,11

smooth 63:10

smoothly
129:18

Smyth 9:11, 24

software
147:18
150:4
152:11,15, 22 158:17
159:13,15, 20 161:13
166:4
178:1
179:8
180:4
249:9
283:6
286:9

sole 184:11

solely
128:2,4
206:15

solicit
191:15

soliciting
53:6

somebody's
278:13

sort 10:4
42:1 74:8
116:2,17
122:8,17
141:17
161:22
179:2,24
180:18
213:6
220:15
228:4
237:11
272:11
275:13
280:6,8,18

sound 185:18
193:10

sounded
192:13

sounds
185:22
194:8,11
209:8
236:2

source
157:1,4
161:18
162:2

sources
172:11
191:6

South 36:11
185:25
260:11

space 239:25
257:6

speak 49:20
106:20,22
139:6
219:18
224:8
234:20

speaking
204:6

specialized
39:15

specialty
270:4

specific
10:15
29:20
48:13,15
87:20
89:13 92:1
142:11
147:15
158:18
162:20
179:24
213:25
216:4

specifically
117:4
127:22
152:4
156:18
181:13
190:11
191:13,17
194:22
204:17
207:11
219:22
228:1
288:4

speculates
221:8

speculation
26:4 30:10
122:13
173:8
285:25
286:1

speed 100:6
248:4

spell 61:8

spending
34:24
35:13

spent 32:15
35:18
36:20

split 238:24
241:18
253:18

splitting
242:13

spoke 74:1

spoken
157:25
158:6

spontaneously
179:4

spreadsheet
64:13
65:2,6,10, 11

spring 37:20

square 26:24

stack 57:8

staff 39:8

211:18,20

stamp
217:17,18

stand 42:1
58:20
63:13
248:9

standard
56:2,4,18
170:6
237:6
241:22

standing
11:17
49:10

standpoint
159:25

stands
212:18
286:8

Stark 132:18
133:15
134:22
158:6
190:6,13
191:4
192:22
193:15
195:17
196:2
197:22

start 65:15
66:10,11
122:25
207:18
213:20
218:4
258:18
289:11
291:17

started
33:25
67:15,17
68:9,22
180:6
239:20

starters
122:10

starting
16:13,15
36:10
160:4
207:20
280:20
289:10

starts 66:22
122:20

state 15:11
19:17
21:10 23:6
26:13
28:20
31:24
33:13
38:13
44:13
45:13 46:4
57:11 61:6
74:9 76:11
80:9,18
84:10
90:15
98:24
101:13
104:9
105:24
106:9
107:6
109:17
117:1
118:13
124:6,13,
18 125:2,
10,11,13,
25 126:1,
6,22
127:2,25
131:6,13
136:10
138:2
147:10
149:10
153:4
159:13
160:18
165:1
166:24
167:15,17
182:22
186:6,11,
18,20,22
187:22
188:2
192:4
196:11,15
198:17
200:24
201:2,10
202:4,11
206:9
207:18
210:18
211:1,6,8,
10,15
212:8,9
222:20
225:20
226:20
227:15,18
229:10,13,
18 230:9
231:11,15
235:2
236:22
237:8,10
239:25
240:8
241:20
243:15
244:2,6,9
246:4,6
247:15
248:15,20,
22,25
249:9,11
250:6,11
255:11
256:2,11
258:25
264:18
265:11
267:18,24
269:17
273:17
281:25
284:4,9

state's 76:6
95:8,18
99:10
101:18
104:20
106:15
107:2
167:11
177:8
210:22
211:13,17,
22 212:4
213:10,22
214:8,9
222:20
225:6
227:13
228:25
229:25
230:6
231:20,24
232:11
233:1
246:4
248:22
249:13
260:24
267:6,15,
24 269:13
274:2,6
280:13

stated
109:15
167:2
212:6

statement
9:8 16:6
18:11,13
51:9,13
110:22

statements
97:15
111:2
157:10,15
178:18
181:10

states 54:18
81:20
95:11,22
96:20
103:9
151:20
159:6
167:1
256:10
257:1,6,
11,18
260:2,11,
15 262:2

statewide
26:25
40:17
46:20
85:15
113:20
116:6,11
124:17
126:6
127:2
202:13
264:10
271:6
272:25
273:2,17

statically
173:20

stating
231:22

statistic
173:24

statistical
149:13
174:13
190:4,6
192:24
193:15,18
196:4,6
197:25

statistically
173:24
174:4,11

statistics
149:20
174:17

status
266:9,13

statute 12:6
14:4,9,20
15:17 16:6
24:6
240:11,13
253:13

statutory
25:22
30:17

stay 10:22
16:18,22
17:18

staying
10:25 11:4
18:11

stays 10:24

steps 153:10
219:4
278:4

Steven
243:11

stick 111:15

stipulate
82:22
110:11
248:4

stipulated
110:22
111:4,6
273:15

stipulation
82:25
110:15

stood 208:6

stop 209:4

stopped
49:18

store 290:10

stored 183:1
235:10

strategies
85:4 87:1

strategy
86:22
87:20

stress
201:15

stricken
51:13
190:15
191:25
202:22
220:22

strike 16:4
51:9
194:25
199:25
221:4

strips
197:17,18

strong 41:25

struck 50:2

structurally
238:20

structure
222:4
241:1

student
81:17

studied
118:6

studies
139:20
157:8
189:6
194:18

study 84:22
86:11 90:4
117:20
118:4,6
138:24
139:2,15
144:13
160:15
168:18

studying
138:6

stuff 111:9
131:17
150:8
154:13
167:18
170:13
171:18
185:6
221:13
290:8,11
291:2

stupid
181:22

style 239:4,8

subject
140:8
160:11
184:25

submission
198:13

submit 71:18
74:4

submitted
71:20
77:1,2
131:6
176:24

subset
149:15

substantially
155:22

substitute
169:18

succeed
29:22
30:24

successful
87:2 88:22

successfully
73:18

suddenly
136:20

suffice 28:1

sufficient
14:2 165:1

suggest
170:9

suggesting
219:13

suggestion
237:17

summary
224:11
271:22

summer 142:1

superintendent
16:22
117:10

Superior
135:2,11

superstar
201:6

support
13:24 42:2
73:18
142:18
198:13

supporting
246:6

suppose
115:2
154:4
194:15
219:2

supposed
8:15 55:25
135:9
215:11

Supreme 30:9

Surely 38:17
110:4

surgery
64:22

surprise
119:15

survey
156:18

suspicious
200:18
204:8

sustain
172:24

188:11
228:6
232:18
248:17

Sustained
104:4
226:15
228:18
282:8
284:13

swear 31:4

swift 14:20

switch
219:13

switching
220:17

sworn 31:18
60:25 80:2
84:4
137:20
210:13

sync 67:8

system 19:18
26:13
27:13
33:11
35:6,10,
11,13
37:22
41:13
59:11
65:2,13
81:18
142:17
143:4,6,9
144:25
145:1,2,8,
13 147:4,6
148:22
151:9,10,
15 152:4,
9,17
155:20
157:2
160:17
163:9,22
164:6,8,25
165:2,20
166:2,15
167:6,11
171:22
173:4
177:17
178:20
182:24
183:20
184:9
204:20,25
205:2,8,9
207:11
212:6,22
215:18
217:18
219:4,18
225:20,24
226:4,11
227:4,6,
10,11,15,
20 228:1
229:1
230:10
231:11,17
237:2,4,6
238:6,18
244:15
247:6,9,
10,11,15,
17 248:6,
20 249:10,
13,15,18,
22,25
250:1,9,22
260:25
265:24
267:22
269:18
270:9,10,
11,17,18,
24 272:4,
18 277:22
278:4
279:6
285:11
286:24
287:1

system-wide
179:24

systematic
28:11 96:4

systems 35:2
141:6,20
142:22
144:18
146:22
159:2
160:11
163:9
189:20
206:10
211:2,4
212:18
227:25
228:24
244:2
245:22
246:4
249:11
267:11

T

table 10:2,
4,17,18
11:11
32:20
99:4,9
101:22
102:2,24

tabulate
75:4

tabulated
233:4
269:11
272:11

tabulating
272:4

tainted
23:11

takes 27:11
119:18
163:4
216:22
225:1
239:4

taking 33:15
48:9 49:4

67:15
101:9,13
109:20
129:2,20
179:18
216:4

talk 49:24
69:4
110:22
111:8
133:13
177:2
185:25
198:2
248:10
270:6
289:2

talked 77:4
78:20
122:2
149:4
163:13,20
164:6
169:6
189:6,24
261:13
275:11

talking 58:4
112:4
126:11
165:9,10
195:20
215:10,11
227:24
244:13
248:11
254:17
269:1

tallies
16:1,2
40:15

tangible
19:22

tape 73:11,
20

tapes 72:25
73:2,9
76:15
191:24
197:18
217:15
268:13

Taran 43:18,
20 45:13

target 85:18
207:10

Targetsmart
84:13
85:6,11,13

taught
140:18

teach 140:17

teacher
81:13

team 28:1

tear 144:13

tease 87:13

Tech 138:22
139:8

technical
41:22
42:22
67:11
73:18
74:11
166:13
167:18

technically
90:11

technician
181:8

technicians
180:22
181:6

technology
141:20
142:18
143:2
233:24
249:11

telephone
130:11
132:20
133:2,20
134:2

telling
134:6
264:13

tells 283:6

telltale
148:22
150:4
170:18,22
171:2,10,
22 177:17
178:18
179:2
191:2

Temple 63:1,
4

ten 35:4,
13,18
144:6
202:2
242:22
273:20

tendency
166:11

tender 45:18
52:11 54:1
89:11
131:2
150:2
255:2
264:2
266:18
273:4,20
274:9
275:1,8
288:11

tendered
46:4,6
74:15 92:9
273:10

tendering
131:9
273:8,9,11

term 116:18
118:18
184:4

201:6

terming
184:2

terminology
99:13

terms 17:13
23:17
24:15 25:4
27:1 33:10
42:2,11
90:8
91:22,25
99:13
100:2,20
104:2
195:10,13
198:9
199:13,24,
25 201:22
202:15
240:4
260:18
262:6

test 175:20
217:9,11
218:6,24
222:10,22
268:2,4,8,
15 283:10

tested 35:11
54:18
55:18
203:13
268:22

testified
17:18,24
18:2,11
31:18
36:22
38:22 42:6
46:11
60:25
78:6,20
80:2 84:4
91:24
93:15
101:17
109:20
113:17
120:8
137:20
154:18
155:22
169:2,4
170:18
176:1,18
190:10
192:4,8
195:15
196:2
197:22
204:13
205:17
206:2
210:13
227:13
275:20

testify
17:10,11
20:4 34:1
43:6 48:11
92:11
96:17
97:17
100:2
104:4
123:22
130:11
155:2
156:2
169:6
186:2
190:17
191:15

testifying
36:6 96:8
104:1
192:15

testimony
17:4,13,20
50:6 60:6
72:22
79:11
82:18
93:13
97:10
121:6
131:6
132:20
136:2
146:8,9
147:9
151:13
156:2
157:17
163:11
164:2,4,
10,15
169:2
172:17,22
175:2
181:18
190:13,20,
24 191:15,
25 195:1
202:20
203:1
205:20
208:1
220:2,8
227:15
249:20
252:6
282:17

testing
217:11,22,
25 218:2,
4,13,15,
17,20
222:13,17,
18,22
223:13
233:11,13
234:6,8
249:15
265:22
267:10,11,
20 268:20
269:17,20,
22 277:18
287:2

tests 149:15
222:18
229:8

thanking
82:8

that'll
125:22

theory
280:1,18

there're
216:22

thing 20:10
29:2 30:17
47:9 58:10
97:18
119:2
145:18,20
185:11
207:17
228:10
252:17
272:11
284:15
285:1

things 8:11
16:2 22:20
26:6 65:13
79:2 81:4
88:13 90:4
94:6
108:15
130:2
133:2,17
140:25
160:15
167:20
170:2
181:15
217:20
223:25
232:20
233:22
240:25
244:20
248:4
269:11
280:10,13
285:4,10
288:25

thinking
119:2
258:18
280:2

thinks
206:11

third-party
113:2
123:18

Thorpe 65:20

thought
76:22,24
77:1 79:2
110:18
115:18
193:8
195:15
280:15

thousand
26:20
102:17
124:17
126:6

thousands
21:25
96:18
170:13

three-and-a-half 276:4

three-and-a-half-time
119:13

three-column
239:22

thrown
280:15

THURSDAY 8:2

ticket 27:22
94:20
259:6,25
260:4,6,9,11,15
280:15

tied 205:24
206:1

time 11:13
12:15
30:1,2
33:20
34:25
35:6,9,13
37:22 49:4
62:2 67:11
68:13 72:2
81:17
90:20 92:4
93:20,22
113:25
123:2
127:20
130:6
135:8
137:2
160:9
165:9
217:4,13,25 218:18,22 236:13
238:15
239:25
245:6
252:13
258:25
260:20
261:2,22,25 276:6
280:4

timeframe
268:11

timeliness
15:17

times 27:9
36:24
39:11
49:18
63:22
133:4
147:8
160:6
164:6
245:11
272:2
276:4

tired 208:18

today 11:22
23:18
33:15
53:15
78:20
102:15
111:11,15

130:24
134:13
144:24
145:2,15
184:8,11
188:6
196:13
208:22
226:8,9
260:4

told 16:22
58:4 67:11
70:20,22
76:13 77:6
180:10
191:13
208:4

tomorrow
23:18
134:4
289:15,25
290:4,15
291:10,17,
20

tone 74:1

tool 63:11
251:22

top 40:6
122:20
160:18
161:9
248:10,11
257:9,20
274:18

topic
185:13,15,
17,18

topic's
185:15

tops 73:2

total 75:13,
20 76:2,8
99:6,17
100:10,11,
15 101:10,
13,15
102:6
104:10
116:4,22
120:10,18
232:24,25
233:2,4
271:25
272:4
283:9,18
289:6

totally
128:2

totals 19:25
94:18 95:1
117:6
128:2,4
177:4
194:2
220:4
233:8
235:20

touch 217:13
218:9
219:24
223:20
235:15,18
239:18
266:15
268:1
283:2
285:11
286:22

touched
40:22
71:20
153:9

touching
224:15
235:17
283:1

touchscreen
223:24
224:11

traces 19:24
20:22

tracked
103:2

tracking
115:25

trail 161:17

trained
36:15,18
153:2

training
39:15
62:13 94:8
144:17
169:11

transferring
216:17

transition
248:24

transitioned
239:22
248:22
249:9

transparency
32:9

treated
187:24

treatment
200:11

trend 94:24
102:13
103:2

trends 87:4,
11 88:2,
11,18,22
89:11 90:2
91:20
95:20

triable
12:10
14:6,15
15:20,24

trial 11:18
12:9
13:15,20,
25 14:6
15:2 16:6
52:22
53:1,2,4,
6,9,13,15
136:6,9,20
184:8

290:13

trials 53:18,20

trouble 20:13 21:18,20 92:18 94:6 97:17 167:15 272:11

troubled 35:18,20

troublesome 47:13

truck-trailer 34:17

true 19:18 23:6,8 45:11 52:10 59:6 65:11 70:13 123:11 199:2 243:25

Trusted 142:4

truth 31:8,9 60:15,17 79:18 83:18,20 137:13 192:2 210:4

TS 157:2 266:9

TSX 255:13, 15

turn 57:2, 13 67:22 184:15 245:11 281:13 291:6

turned 81:4

turning 68:10 182:20 183:1

turnout 88:11,13 122:13 127:13 194:25 274:18,20, 22 275:2, 6,11 276:1

Tweet 52:18 57:10

two-column 239:18,22 240:2 258:17

two-fold 22:24

two-hour 47:10

two-step 13:18

type 26:8 55:9 121:24 122:22 226:10

types 85:15 86:25 105:22 147:15 158:20 159:18

typically 17:2 148:13 161:11 175:20

Tyson 14:24 29:13,15 38:4,9,15, 18 39:2 41:15,18, 20 44:6 50:15 51:11,18 57:18 77:17,18 78:10 89:15,18 90:1,20,24 91:1,18 92:9 96:6 97:1 98:11 103:25 106:18 108:11 109:2,13 113:10,15 121:9,20 123:20 127:6,11 128:6 133:6,10, 11 134:13, 25 136:11 150:15,20, 22 153:17, 20 154:4, 15 158:13 163:11 165:4 168:22 172:18 174:18 176:22 180:22 184:15 188:18 189:2 190:9 192:6,8,20 193:13 195:24 196:1,20 197:8,11, 13 198:22 204:13,24 206:11,18, 22 207:1 221:6 225:11 226:6,25 228:2,17 230:15 232:13 233:22

234:2
242:24
243:13
244:6
254:15
255:2,6
263:4
276:15,17,
22 282:6
287:13
288:10

U

U.S. 30:2
113:25
120:11,15
127:18,20
151:4
255:20,25
256:1
257:13
262:6

Uh-huh
43:18,25
47:15
56:22
94:11
95:13
102:25
156:15
200:15
201:20
282:18

ultimately
30:18 76:6

unanimous
161:1
163:6

unclear
195:20

uncontested
8:10

uncovered
35:17

under-
99:20,22
100:13
102:17
124:15
204:8

under-vote
21:6,15
23:9,11
91:22
92:2,13
93:15,24
94:22 95:4
98:20 99:6
100:8,9,13
101:10,11,
15 102:4,
6,9,13,15,
22 103:1,
22 104:2,
10,25
105:6,9,
15,20
106:4,6
107:2,6,11
120:18,20
122:9,18,
20,22
171:24
172:6,8
175:22
200:15
204:6,10
205:22
280:2,11,
13

under-votes
116:20
122:11
172:20
201:18,20
281:2
282:13

under-voting
89:4,13
93:13 94:2
96:13,17,
22,24
104:15
121:4,17
122:17
172:11
173:22
174:6,8,10
200:22

undergraduate
140:18,20

undergraduates
149:6

underneath
257:11

understand
18:22
34:2,4
37:4,6
41:25
43:17
48:13
54:22
58:13
64:15 66:2
75:18
83:11
90:25
93:22
118:25
130:17
135:24
136:15,18
148:18
155:18
162:22
164:20
165:1
168:22
169:22,25
181:22
199:4
202:1
206:25
207:2,4
221:15
232:15
234:1
245:4
251:8
264:13
272:9
290:1,15

understanding
18:4 24:1
37:4,8

38:1 54:20
59:9,11
69:18,20
73:10
96:13,22
100:20
105:11,13
123:15
158:1
168:11,18
246:18
270:2

understands
22:22 23:4

understood
64:6 76:1

undertake
126:11

undertaken
180:6
227:18
229:15,18
231:11
280:18

undertook
168:20
229:1

unfit 151:4
161:2,4

uniformly
200:24
250:11

unit 216:20
241:22
266:15
278:6
287:18
288:6

United 81:20
256:10
257:1,6,
11,18

units 205:11
213:1
216:13

university
61:25
81:15
84:20,25
138:6,11,
22 139:10,
15 211:10
212:1

unknown 23:4
201:15

unlike 28:2

unpack 183:6

unsafe
161:2,4

unsuccessful
26:15

untenable
246:20

unusual 50:2
68:25
277:10

unverifiable
35:20
37:22

upheld 30:25

upload
266:13

uploaded
232:1,4
233:6
266:17
267:2
269:11

usage 160:22

user 219:18

ushers 55:6

utilizing
270:22

utterly 14:4

V

vague 226:20

valid 29:18
109:17
232:6

validate
214:10
215:15
217:11
218:9,10
232:2
233:13
249:17
268:13

validated
215:10

validating
252:18

values
214:20

variables
23:6

variety 29:8

vast 34:24

vendor
260:25

verifiable
32:18
37:13

verification
161:25
215:6

Verified
141:9,13,
18,25
150:25
151:1,8

verify 64:20
229:4

verifying
262:11

version
64:11 65:9
152:11,18
155:20
166:4

versions
142:25
158:17
159:13

versus 9:11
25:22
27:13
33:11
101:4
119:8
128:1
131:8
151:11
199:13,20
200:2
202:17
272:24
276:11

vested
142:18

victory
26:10
184:11

video 135:2

videotape
268:4

view 109:22
134:1
163:4,6
279:4

violate
137:1

violation
26:2

Virginia
61:25

virtue 27:15

virus 178:15

vis-à-vis
201:22

visible
218:11

vision-impaired
55:8

voice 74:2

volunteer
52:4 62:11

volunteered
62:9

vote 16:1,2
19:15,20
20:2,24
21:13,18,
20,22,24
22:2,10,18
23:6,20
25:8
26:13,20,
22 27:2,6
41:8 42:13
47:11
49:15,17
50:18
58:22 68:8
69:9 71:4,
18 74:4
76:24 77:9
80:22 81:6
83:2 89:6
95:1,4
99:20,24
100:15,17,
22 102:18
105:1,9
108:9
109:11
114:15,24,
25 115:15
117:6
118:22
122:4
157:11
177:8
179:18
194:2
201:22
203:13
204:9
205:4
219:6,10
220:15
223:2
226:4,11
231:18
232:10,24,
25 233:2,
4,8,11,20
234:20
268:17
272:11
281:6
283:18
285:11,13

voted 21:11
25:8 27:8,
10 41:1,2,
4,10 82:22
100:15
119:20
179:11
200:25
257:13
261:25
276:11,13

voter 28:13
30:6 32:10
49:15
54:22
55:4,9,13
56:4,8
67:20
68:1,4,13,
18,20
69:4,11,
15,17
70:1,22,24
71:4,6,15,
22 73:24
76:22 77:8
78:6 85:15
86:24
88:18
118:20,22
119:18
157:22
166:9
167:6,8
178:24
179:10
189:20
194:20,22
199:24
204:24
205:2,8,13
218:2
219:6,15
223:22
225:9,13,
24 226:11

227:4
228:1
241:15,20
242:6,8
247:2
257:22,25
259:6
260:25
262:15
263:17,22,
24 266:9
268:9
274:22
275:20
276:11
281:11
282:11
283:9
287:15,20,
25

voter's
70:22 74:4
87:13
259:10

voter-level
85:15

voters 27:6,
11,20 29:1
30:4 49:6
56:2,4
59:13
67:15
82:22 83:2
85:18
87:15,20,
24 114:15,
24,25
115:11
119:8,13,
18 121:4,
20,22
122:2,4,8,
15,24
151:17
157:10
164:2
172:2
176:2
177:18
178:22
179:4,9,13
194:24
198:8
199:1
202:17
223:6
225:1
258:2,22,
24 260:20
261:1,2,9,
22,24
262:2
274:17
275:15,24
276:4
280:22
281:9
282:2,4

voters'
247:11

votes 21:6,8
23:10
25:20
26:10,17,
18,20
30:8,18
35:2 48:9
73:11
75:4,15,20
76:2,8
86:17
99:17
101:15
102:17
104:15
106:13
115:20
116:4,9,
10,22
117:11,18,
25 119:8
123:6,13,
18 124:13,
18 125:2,
15,17
126:2,8,22
127:2
161:18
176:6
184:11
188:9
194:6,9,10
200:25
201:25
202:10
218:8
219:9
220:11
247:11
265:22
267:2
271:4,9
272:4,6
275:13
277:13
285:18,20

voting
19:18,22,
25 20:25
21:6
32:13,18
33:6,11
35:2,6
38:2 39:15
44:11
46:18,22
47:6 48:8
54:15
62:18
65:15
68:15
70:22 87:4
88:2,8
89:8,11
90:2 91:20
95:20
105:9,11,
13,15
106:9
107:4,8,
15,18,22
108:8,9
110:9
114:20
123:1,17
124:10,15
128:2
141:9,13,
18,25
142:11,13,

22 143:2,
11 144:18
147:4
150:25
151:1,8,15
152:11,17
153:6
154:18,22
157:4
161:4
172:2
173:2,22
174:1,2
175:15
176:11
177:4,13
197:17,18
198:9
205:18
211:6
212:8
213:2
215:24
216:6,11
218:22
219:13
220:4,9,10
223:20
225:24
227:6
228:22
229:1,4,15
230:11,22
231:13
249:15
258:8,25
259:1,6
260:20
266:11
267:6
268:10
276:6
277:25
278:15
285:22

vulnerabilities 145:18
166:10
167:9,15
251:13,15

vulnerability
145:13,18
150:2
151:15
157:22
162:8
163:20
165:22
166:22
167:10

vulnerable
144:18,25
146:24
163:2
166:4
167:1

W

wait 37:24
41:4 59:22
68:22
92:15
202:6
279:15

waited 70:2

waiting 69:6

walk 66:15
136:20

walking 68:6

walks 21:9

wanted 17:22
23:15
68:25
77:20
130:15
184:24
186:6
219:13
239:25

wanting
150:9

warning
49:18

watch 40:13
108:18
130:22

watched
40:9,13

watcher
36:15
39:11
40:9,17
46:11,15,
18,20,24
47:2 48:22
62:9
77:20,22,
25 81:17,
22,25
82:6,8

watching
50:2

water 36:2
187:2

ways 73:17
144:4
183:2
231:9
261:17

weaker 24:11

web 245:20,
22 246:9,
10 250:13,
15,18
251:20
270:10
276:10

website
63:13
99:11
101:18
104:20
251:24
274:2

week 182:15

weekend
225:8,10

weeks 136:4
290:2

weight
109:22
156:2

173:10

well-known 201:11

wer 232:2

whatsoever 97:10

where'd 138:20

white 255:15

who've 133:22

whoa 16:8

Wilke 8:18, 19

William 210:10,15, 20 243:4 254:13 276:20 279:22 286:18 287:11

win 86:13, 22 220:15

Windows 142:17,24, 25 143:1

wins 25:2

Winterville 176:2,10, 11,18,20 177:4 189:25 191:1,11 192:24 193:22 195:2,6, 11,17 196:4 202:20 207:24

Winterville's 197:1

wipe 26:9

withdraw 38:10 48:2,4 50:9 59:2 100:4 106:22 124:2

witness' 146:6

witnessed 51:1

witnesses 11:15 16:11 17:2,6 25:4,6 130:4 134:1

woman 82:6

won 25:18 177:11,13

wonderful 81:18

word 76:18 148:6,11 173:8 181:13,20 208:24 209:1 214:15 229:22

worded 50:4 127:17

words 26:22 133:20 240:18

work 18:25 26:6 35:11,18 36:25 37:15,20 39:13 48:22 61:17,20 82:9 85:11 86:6 88:17 89:6 95:11,25 108:25 139:25 141:6,13, 15,17 142:20 149:18,20 156:13 187:18 199:4 222:20 249:25 253:13 288:25

worked 23:24 30:4 91:8 132:25 133:4 141:6,10 144:4,6 150:25 189:2

worker 55:6 76:22 153:2 288:4

workers 67:4 73:15 76:13

working 23:13 24:22 48:9,20 49:18 85:1,2 140:4 141:8 142:13 207:20 229:4,6 268:2

works 32:9 47:1 156:18 164:8

worry 182:2

Worth 14:11

wrap 229:20

write-
272:13

write-in
265:1
271:4,9
272:17
285:18,20

write-ins
272:8,9,
10,11,15,
18,24
273:1

written 13:2
251:4

wrong 8:11
22:25 45:9
175:8
196:2
197:6
232:10,11

wrote 224:22
285:22

Y

year 21:22
119:20
120:15
140:1,4
194:25
255:10
274:18

years 21:15
32:17
34:20
35:4,13,18
41:8
53:13,17
85:9 93:18
102:10
119:9
143:10
160:2
228:4
274:2
277:10

yielded
222:10

young 82:6

E

X

H

I

B

I

T

4

1 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY

2 STATE OF GEORGIA

3

4 COALITION FOR GOOD . CIVIL ACTION
GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. . FILE NO.: 2018-CV-313418
5

6 MARTIN, SMYTH DUVAL, and .
JEANNE DUFORT, . Taken at:
7

8 Plaintiffs, . Superior Court of Cobb County

9 vs. . 70 Haynes Street

10 ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, . Courtroom 402-M

11 Secretary of State of . Marietta, Georgia 30090
Georgia, et. al .
12

13 Defendants. .
. . . .
14

15
TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL PROCEEDINGS
16

17 FRIDAY, JANUARY 18, 2019

18 9:04 a.m. to 10:49 a.m.

19

20 STATE OF GEORGIA SENIOR JUDGE ADELE P. GRUBBS
REPORTED BY:
21

22 PRISCILLA GARCIA, COURT REPORTER
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF GEORGIA
23

24 TRANSCRIBED BY:

25 CHRISTIAN NAADEN

1

2 APPEARANCES:

3

4 Plaintiff's Counsel: Bruce P. Brown, Esquire

5

6 Bruce P. Brown Law
Floataway Business Complex

7

8 1123 Zonolite Road N.E.

9 Suite 6

10 Atlanta, Georgia 30306

11 404-881-0700
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com

12

13 Defendant's Counsel: John Belinfante, Esquire

14

15 Robbins, Ross, Allot, Belinfante
& Littlefield, LLC

16

17 500 14th Street N.W.

18 Atlanta, Georgia 30318
404-856-3262

19

20 jbelinfante@robbinsfirm.com

21

22

23

24

25

1

2 CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:

3

4 Defendant's Counsel: Vincent R. Russo, Esquire

5

6 Robbins, Ross, Allot, Belinfante
& Littlefield, LLC

7

8 999 Peachtree Street N.E.

9 Suite 1120

10 Atlanta, Georgia 30309

11 404-856-3260
vrusso@robbinsfirm.com

12

13 Defendant's Associate Counsel:

14

15 Alexander F. Denton, Esquire
Robbins, Ross, Allot, Belinfante

16

17 & Littlefield, LLC

18 500 14th Street N.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30318

19

20 404-856-3276
adenton@robbinsfirm.com

21

22

23

24

25

1

2 CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:

3

4 Defendant's Counsel: Edward H. Lindsey, Jr., Esquire

5

6 Dentons US, LLP
303 Peachtree Street N.E.

7

8 Suite 5300

9 Atlanta, Georgia 30308

10 404-527-4580

11 edward.lindsey@dentons.com

12

13 Defendant's Counsel: SAMUEL S. OLENS, ESQUIRE
Dentons US, LLP

14

15 303 Peachtree Street N.E.
Suite 5300

16

17 Atlanta, Georgia 30308

18 404-527-4108
sam.olens@dentons.com

19

20 Defendant's Counsel: Kaye Woodard Burwell, Esquire

21

22 Office of the County Attorney
141 Pryor Street S.W.

23

24 Suite 4038

25 Atlanta, Georgia 30303

1 404-612-0251

2 kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov

CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:

3

4

Defendant's Counsel: Bryan P. Tyson, Esquire

5

6 Strickland, Brockington
& Lewis, LLP

7

8 1170 Peachtree Street N.E.

9 Atlanta, Georgia 30309

10 404-219-3160

11 bryan.tyson@sbllaw.com

12

13 Defendant's Co-Counsel: Richard A. Carothers, Esquire
Carothers & Mitchell, LLC

14

15 1809 Buford Highway
Atlanta, Georgia 30518

16

17 770-932-3552

18 richard.carothers@carsmith.com

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

I-N-D-E-X

3

4 WITNESS	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
Terri R. Thomas	9	14		
5				
6 Richard Barron	18	33		

EXHIBITS:	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
7		
8 NONE		

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1
2
3
4 COBB COUNTY, GEORGIA
FRIDAY, January 18, 2018 - 9:04 a.m.
5
6 * * * * * * *
7
8 THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. Take
9 your seats, if you will. I am a minute or two late, but
10 they wanted us to move again at noon.
11 MR. LINDSEY: Oh.
THE COURT: And I refused, so I've been working that
12
13 little problem out; okay?
MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
14
15 MR. TYSON: Thank you.
THE COURT: One move is enough; we shouldn't have had
16
17 to do that. So we'll see where we go. Okay. Day two,
18 where are we, Mr. Brown? Good morning.
MR. BROWN: Good morning, Your Honor. We have an eye
19
20 witness who will testify as to her voting experience who
is going through security right now.
21
22 THE COURT: Going through security; okay?
MS. BROWN: And then we'd like to put up her first,
23
24 but if we don't do that we would, you know --
25 THE COURT: We'll give -- we'll give her a minute,

1 if --

2 MS. BROWN: And then we have --
THE COURT: Twice the bailiffs in the box, is that
3

4 what we've got? Boy, if you were a jury like -- that --
that's an interesting jury up there in that box.
5

6 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I want to see if you
[inaudible] making sure everything's in line as to the
7

8 rule of [inaudible] in place.

9 THE COURT: Do what?

10 MR. LINDSEY: The rules.

11 THE COURT: The rules of sequestration is in force.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor --
12

13 THE COURT: Okay?
MR. BROWN: -- as to the rule of sequestration --
14

15 THE COURT: What?
MR. BROWN: The -- the question that -- there's law
16

17 that the rule of sequestration does not apply to a

18 rebuttal expert witnesses, and so we would --
THE COURT: Only if it's a rebuttal expert to an
19

20 expert.
MR. BROWN: Or to expert testimony or to --
21

22 THE COURT: We'll -- we'll address the issue when we
get there.
23

24 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, we

25 would call Terri Thomas to the witness stand.

1 THE COURT: Whose is this? Okay.

2 MR. BROWN: Please raise your right hand. Do you promise to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but
3

4 the truth?
THE WITNESS: I do.
5

6 MR. BROWN: Have a seat.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
7

8

9 P R O C E E D I N G S

10 * * * * * * *

11
Thereupon:
12

13
TERRI R. THOMAS
14

15
was called as a witness, and having been first duly
16

17 sworn in, and testified upon her oath as follows:

18
DIRECT EXAMINATION
19

20 OF TERRI R. THOMAS

21

22 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Please state your name for the record?
23

24 A. Terri R. Thomas.

25 MR. BROWN: Ms. Thomas, my name is Bruce Brown, and I

1 am the attorney for the petitioners in this case.

2 THE WITNESS: Okay.
BY MR. BROWN:
3

4 Q. Where are you from?
A. I'm from Los Angeles.
5

6 Q. And where do you live now?
A. I live in Lithonia, Georgia.
7

8 Q. And did you drive from Lithonia this

9 morning here?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. Did you vote on November 20, 2018?
A. I did.
12

13 Q. And where did you vote?
A. At Lithonia High School.
14

15 Q. And when -- and did you vote on an
electronic machine with a screen?
16

17 A. I did.

18 Q. And when you -- did you pull up the ballot
on the screen?
19

20 A. I put the little card in the machine, yes.
Q. Did the ballot then appear for the
21

22 governor's race?
A. Yes, sir.
23

24 Q. Did the lieutenant governor's race appear

25 on the first several pages of the ballot?

1 A. No.

2 Q. How do you -- how do you know? How do you remember that?

3

4 A. Well, like I said, I'm from Los Angeles, and when I first -- the first thing that popped up

5

6 was the governor's race, and the first thing that I saw were these two large squares. And I was thinking

7

8 to myself, "Georgia sure is making sure we know which

9 candidate we're voting for. There are only --

10 there's only one category on this page, and I didn't

11 even -- it didn't even dawn on me that there was a third person running, because their little box was so

12

13 small on the writing outside.

Q. Did you -- did you see at any point in

14

15 going through the ballot, the election -- the race between Amico and Duncan?

16

17 A. Not initially.

18 Q. When was the first time you saw Amico's name?

19

20 A. It was -- I had gone through -- I had voted for everything except one amendments because I wasn't

21

22 familiar with them, and then it was at the end of my ballot, and it dawned on me that I hadn't voted for

23

24 her, so I just kept going previous and went all the

25 way back to the beginning of the ballot.

1 Q. And when you went back to the beginning of
2 the ballot, did you see her -- her name on the race?
A. I did.
3
4 Q. Okay. And did you -- were you able to cast
a vote then?
5
6 A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And do you have any -- and then you
7
8 went forward to the summary?
9 A. Correct, to the end, yes.
10 Q. Okay. And you cast your ballot?
11 A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Were there any other malfunctions in
12
13 the screen?
A. Not that I noticed.
14
15 Q. Not that you noticed. And did you complain
to anyone about the -- about the voting?
16
17 A. Not at the poll.
18 Q. Okay. Did you thereafter?
A. I'm sorry?
19
20 Q. Thereafter that, did you?
A. I did. I did. The first person I talked
21
22 to was my daughter, because we usually go vote
together and she left me that morning. And so she
23
24 had already voted, and when I got back home I was
25 telling her what happened to me. And she told me

1 that's not what she experienced.

2 Q. Okay.
MR. BROWN: Thank you very much.
3

4 THE WITNESS: Oh, you're welcome.
THE COURT: Any questions?
5

6 THE WITNESS: Excuse me.
MR. LINDSEY: Just a few quick questions, ma'am.
7

8 THE WITNESS: Yes. I was just going to finish my

9 statement here. I didn't finish.

10 MR. LINDSEY: Oh.

11 THE COURT: You're not here -- you have to be asked questions; okay? If they don't ask you the direct
12

13 question you want them to ask you, you don't get to tell it.
14

15 THE WITNESS: Okay.
THE COURT: Okay?
16

17 THE WITNESS: But it was about the previous question

18 he asked.
THE COURT: Well --
19

20 THE WITNESS: That's fine.
THE COURT: What do you think, Mr. Lindsey?
21

22 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, she's a good voter from Georgia. Please let her go ahead and finish it.
23

24 THE COURT: Okay.

25 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.

1 THE COURT: Okay, finish then.

2 MR. LINDSEY: Go ahead, ma'am.
THE WITNESS: Oh. I'm sorry. You had asked if I
3

4 complained about that. Like I said, the first person was
my daughter. The next thing I did that night or the next
5

6 morning, I wrote an email.
MR. BROWN: Okay.
7

8 BY MR. BROWN:

9 Q. And when -- the first time that you looked

10 at the ballot screen?

11 A. Yes.
Q. When you first looked at it?
12

13 A. Yes.
Q. Was Amico's name on there?
14

15 A. No, sir.
Q. And were you looking to vote for her?
16

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. And you didn't see it on the first screen?
A. Correct.
19

20 MR. BROWN: Thank you.

21

22 CROSS-EXAMINATION
OF TERRI R. THOMAS
23

24

25 BY MR. LINDSEY:

1 Q. Was this the first time that you've voted
2 in Georgia?
A. No, sir.
3
4 Q. Okay. I hate to ask a woman this, but how
-- how old are you, ma'am?
5
6 A. I am 62.
Q. Thank you. And you were -- how many times
7
8 have you voted in Georgia?
9 A. Probably about seven to eight times.
10 Q. Okay. And so, you went all the way
11 through?
A. Correct.
12
13 Q. And then when -- then you went back, and it
was on the screen; correct?
14
15 A. Yes, sir.
Q. You voted for Ms. -- I take it you voted
16
17 for Ms. Amico?
18 A. I did.
Q. Okay. And when you talked to your
19
20 daughter, your daughter said that she didn't have any
problems?
21
22 A. Correct.
Q. Okay.
23
24 MR. LINDSEY: No further questions.
25 THE COURT: Anything else, Mr. -- anyone else? Oh,

1 Mr. Tyson.

2 MR. TYSON: Yes. Just briefly. Hello, Ms. Thomas, my name is Bryan Tyson. I represent the Gwinnett County

3

4 Board of Registrations and Elections.
BY MR. TYSON:

5

6 Q. I just wanted to ask, when you said, when you first looked at the ballot you said, you saw "two

7

8 squares" when they came on there?

9 A. Correct.

10 Q. And you -- I'm assuming you were looking to

11 vote in the governor's race. Is it possible that the lieutenant governor's race was there, and you just

12

13 missed it the first time?
A. No, sir. No, sir. I'm retired; I have

14

15 plenty of time.
Q. Okay. And then your daughter, is she

16

17 involved at all with the coalition or any groups that

18 are interested in voting issues?
A. No, sir.

19

20 Q. Okay. And then, you said that there was a third person running. Did you say that it was

21

22 "smaller or difficult to see?" Can you describe for me what that was on that first screen?

23

24 A. I'm guessing it was -- it was Republican

25 and Democrat and Independent --

1 Q. Yes?

2 A. -- but his square was so small. Like I said, I was amazed because I was thinking -- you're

3

4 going to make sure I know who I'm voting. This is the only category on this page, and the squares were

5

6 so large, and he had a little square [inaudible] just looking at him.

7

8 Q. Thank you.

9 MR. TYSON: I don't have any further questions.

10 THE WITNESS: You're welcome.

11 THE COURT: Okay.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I have no further questions,

12

13 and may the witness be excused?
THE COURT: The witness may be excused.

14

15 MR. BROWN: Thank you very much.
THE COURT: Have a safe drive back to your home.

16

17 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

18 MR. BROWN: Thank you very much.
THE WITNESS: You're welcome.

19

20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the plaintiffs would call Richard Barron. Please state -- do you promise to tell

21

22 the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
THE WITNESS: Yes.

23

24 MR. BROWN: Have a seat.

25

1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 * * * * * * *

3

4 Thereupon:

5

6 RICHARD BARRON

7

8 was called as a witness, and having been first duly

9 sworn in, and testified upon his oath as follows:

10

11 DIRECT EXAMINATION
OF RICHARD BARRON

12

13 BY MR. BROWN:

14

15 Q. Please state your full name for the record?
A. Richard Barron.

16

17 Q. And by whom are you currently employed?

18 A. Fulton County.
Q. And what is your position with Fulton

19

20 County?
A. Director of Registration and Elections.

21

22 Q. How long have you had that position?
A. Five and a half years.

23

24 Q. What does a director of registration and

25 elections do?

1 A. I'm in charge of -- of administering all
2 the elections for Fulton County, taking care of all the polling places, training the poll workers,
3
4 dealing with the media, and overseeing the voter registration rolls.
5
6 Q. And how many full-time employees do you have?
7
8 A. 18.
9 Q. And during the election, how many part-time
10 employees do you have or contractors?
11 A. Well, it depends on -- it's usually about 60. That increases during early voting.
12
13 Q. Okay. You were here in yesterday's -- during yesterday's testimony; correct?
14
15 A. Yes.
Q. Okay. I would like to ask you some
16
17 questions, and I want to make sure I'm clear. There
18 is an election server at the Secretary of State's office, to the best of your understanding; correct?
19
20 A. Correct.
Q. And then separately, there's what we would
21
22 -- what some people call the GEM server at the county; is that correct?
23
24 A. Yes.
25 Q. And is it your understanding that the

1 election server at the Secretary of State was
2 reinstalled with a trusted copy of the GEMS software after it had been compromised in 2016?
3
4 A. I have no --
MR. TYSON: Object on that, Your Honor. I don't
5
6 think there is any foundation that's Mr. Barron's going to have knowledge about what the Secretary of State's servers
7
8 going to have or anything else.
9 THE COURT: But -- I --
10 MR. LINDSEY: I believe that they haven't laid a
11 proper foundation that there has been any type of compromise with the GEMS system.
12
13 THE COURT: We had testimony yesterday. You actually have him on direct and you're leading. That question
14
15 wasn't what you had previously. I sustain the objection to it.
16
17 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, may I treat him as a -- treat
18 him on cross as an adverse?
THE COURT: No, sir. You called him for -- you
19
20 called him on direct.
MR. BROWN: Okay.
21
22 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Was Fulton County's GEMS server replaced in
23
24 2016 or 2017?
25 A. I don't recall.

1 Q. Okay. Did Fulton County take efforts after
2 2016 to disinfect Fulton County's GEMS database?
MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, I am going to object to
3
4 assuming facts.
MR. BROWN: Let the record reflect that the witness
5
6 shook his head "No." Go ahead.
MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, his question assumes facts
7
8 that are not in evidence that there was something that
9 needed to be done. He hasn't laid a foundation.
10 THE COURT: Well, he just asked did they do it after
11 2016. That doesn't reflect -- he just -- I don't know why
I don't have a mic this morning. He just -- the question
12
13 is okay the way it is; okay?
THE WITNESS: I don't -- I don't understand what you
14
15 mean by "disinfect."
BY MR. BROWN:
16
17 Q. Was it examined for malware or any other
18 defects?
A. Not that I'm aware of.
19
20 Q. Was any of Fulton County's memory cards
that they used, were any of those memory cards
21
22 disinfected after 2016?
A. No.
23
24 Q. In 2018, you would have created a new
25 memory card for the 2018 election; correct?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. And those -- those memory cards would have
been the same memory cards that had been used in
3

4 previous elections; correct?
A. Yes.
5

6 Q. Elections prior to 2016; correct?
A. Yes.
7

8 Q. And you would have -- did you make those

9 memory cards from your GEMS -- your county GEMS

10 database?

11 A. Yeah. They -- that's where everything
originates, yes.
12

13 Q. Do you have any reason to believe that the
process that you go through in making the -- the
14

15 well, let me back up a little bit. Do you know if
other counties disinfected their memory cards after
16

17 2016 or not?

18 A. I still don't understand what you mean by
"disinfect," but I have no knowledge of any other
19

20 counties.
Q. Okay. Fair enough. Let me make sure
21

22 you're understanding my question. When I -- when I
say "disinfect," what I mean is to do anything to
23

24 remove any malware or mis-program [sic] that might be

25 on that particular device?

1 A. No.

2 Q. And so the answer is, your -- you didn't do that with the memory cards; correct?

3 A. No.

4 Q. Okay. And you, to -- to the best of your knowledge, did any other counties do that?

5 A. I have no -- no knowledge of that.

6 Q. One way or the other?

7 A. No.

8 Q. Fair enough. Prior to the -- to the -- the November 2018 election, did Fulton County review its GEMS database to determine if there were any coding errors on that GEMS database?

9 A. No.

10 Q. You were here yesterday, and did you -- do you recall the testimony of Sara LeClerc?

11 A. Was she the poll watcher?

12 Q. Yes?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. Were you -- do you recall what county she was -- had been overseeing?

15 A. What county?

16 Q. It was Fulton County, correct, and AME church?

17 A. Yes.

1 Q. That's one of your precincts; correct?
2 A. Correct.
3 Q. Did you get a report of the incidents that
4 she described when you were in -- during the election?
5
6 A. I didn't personally, no.
7 Q. Are you aware of someone in your office
8 getting a report like that? A report from AME or
9 about AME?
10 A. I -- I became aware of it through this --
11 this process, the court case.
12 Q. And -- and what is your understanding of --
13 of the information that was received by your office?
14 MS. BURWELL: Objection, Your Honor. He said that he
15 learned of it though this lawsuit, not through his office.
16 THE COURT: Sustained.
17 BY MR. BROWN:
18 Q. At any time, not in the November election
19 or not contemporaneously, but did you gain an
20 understanding of what happened at the AME Temple at
21 the church -- the AME Temple precinct?
22 A. I -- I've been made aware of the complaint,
23 yes.
24 Q. And did you or anybody under your
25 supervision do any kind of investigation to determine

1 why that happened?

2 A. Yes.
Q. And what did you find?
3

4 A. We found -- well, we found that the -- the
poll worker -- the poll worker had an issue with that
5

6 voter, and then she -- she took down the machine, and
then later she reopened the machine later in the day.
7

8 Q. Did anybody on your staff inspect the

9 machine to see if there were any coding errors or

10 anything like that?

11 A. It's my understanding -- no, we didn't look
for coding errors.
12

13 Q. Did you look for any mechanical type of
errors that weren't electronic, like with the screen
14

15 operation?
A. No, not that day.
16

17 Q. Ever?

18 A. I don't think so, no.
Q. Did you do any sort of examination of -- of
19

20 any of the DRE machines that Fulton County used after
the 2018 election?
21

22 A. We -- no. We immediately had to turn those
around for the -- the December election.
23

24 Q. And when you -- you said you had to use

25 them for the December runoff?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. And when you used those for the December runoff, do you reprogram them with a different

3

4 election memory card?
A. Yes. And they're -- yes.

5

6 Q. Okay. And so, at that point it would be -- describe that process for me, please?

7

8 A. Well, we have to run them through -- once

9 we get the database in, and we load the election, we

10 run them through a live -- a logic and accuracy

11 testing. We also -- we -- we calibrate them to make sure the time and date are correct. Just some basic

12

13 things that we do to get ready for them -- to get ready for the election and then the logic and

14

15 accuracy testing.
Q. Does that involve reprogramming the

16

17 machines?

18 A. Well, we -- we insert a new card in there, and that has the new election on it.

19

20 Q. And does -- and does the insertion of the new card and the running of these tests alter the

21

22 internal memory?
A. No.

23

24 Q. And how do you know?

25 A. I mean, it's not my understanding that it

1 does. It doesn't erase anything that's on there.
2 There's a hard packet that stays on there from the beginning, the life of the machine.
3
4 Q. How -- how do you know that?
A. That's -- well, because that's what I've
5
6 learned using these -- this equipment.
Q. Have you done any -- any forensic
7
8 examination of the -- the unit to determine if any
9 changes are made to that memory by reprogramming?
10 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object to that. I don't
11 think the foundation laid by Mr. Barron would have the type of capability to observe or the skill to do that. It
12
13 needs to be laid first before we get into that.
THE COURT: Well, he can answer the question. He's
14
15 only talking for himself.
THE WITNESS: No.
16
17 BY MR. BROWN:
18 Q. Has anybody under your supervision done that?
19
20 A. No.
Q. Are you aware of any scientific or computer
21
22 scientific opinion to the effect that reprogramming the machines for the subsequent election has altered
23
24 the memory of the machines?
25 A. No.

1 Q. You're not aware of that at all? You never
2 heard of that opinion?
A. No.
3
4 Q. You never heard that opinion expressed by
Mr. Bernhard?
5
6 THE COURT: Enough; okay? That -- that -- and I
understand you're an advocate and I respect that, but
7
8 that's far enough on that line of thought.
9 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
10 BY MR. BROWN:
11 Q. What is a voter access card?
A. That is -- that is the -- the yellow card
12
13 that has a chip on it that you -- when -- when a
voter checks in, you put it in -- the poll worker
14
15 puts it into the Express Poll to -- so that it -- it
will indicate which ballot on the DRE is accessible
16
17 by that voter.
18 Q. It's -- if you will, it's created by the
express electronic poll booth?
19
20 A. Yes, the Express Poll.
Q. The Express Poll. And is the Express Poll
21
22 part of the registration system in any way?
A. Well, the -- the voter registration
23
24 database is loaded with the information for the --
25 for everyone that's eligible to vote in that election

1 is put onto the Express Poll.

2 Q. I want to make sure that I have this correct. The -- the registration database, for lack

3

4 of a better expression, tells the poll books what each voter access card should have on it, right?

5

6 A. No.
MS. BURWELL: Objection, Your Honor.

7

8 MR. BROWN: He said, no.

9 MS. BURWELL: First of all, it's leading; and second

10 of all he hasn't defined some of those terms.

11 THE COURT: He said "No" anyway; okay? And -- and I -- I understand you're reading some questions you've got

12

13 and they're very scientific, but sometimes when you use scientific words, you may use one word and he may use

14

15 another word, and you may not be talking in the same language. And I think we're having some issues with that

16

17 this morning.

18 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Help me out on the terminology, Mr. Barron.

19

20 What is the system called, the biggest system in the process?

21

22 A. The biggest system?
Q. Right. It's called the registration

23

24 system?

25 A. E-net, Electionet is the voter registration

1 system.

2 Q. And that is maintained by who?
A. Well, it's maintained by the state.
3

4 Q. How does the Enet system inform -- does the
Enet system at the state level inform the county as
5

6 to which voter should vote where?
A. Well, every -- every voter, based on their
7

8 address, is given a ballot code and the only thing

9 that's -- the only information that is put onto the

10 voter access card is the -- the ballot code for that

11 voter, so that -- because all the ballots, the
different ballots for each precinct or during early
12

13 voting all the ballots are on there. The voter
access card enables the voter to access his or her
14

15 ballot.
Q. And to make sure I have my terminology
16

17 straight, the voter access cards are inserted at

18 Election Day into the Express Poll book's server;
correct?
19

20 A. Yeah. It's not a server; it's just a
standalone unit that -- the information is in the
21

22 Express Poll, the card goes in, the poll worker looks
up the voter, selects the name, that ballot code
23

24 information is put on there, and what it does is it

25 tells the DRE to access this ballot.

1 Q. Thank you for that. And the Express Poll
2 machine in turn gets its information from the state's Enet system; correct?
3
4 A. Not -- it's not why we're connected from it, but yeah, the source of information is from
5
6 there.
Q. And how does -- it's not connected live;
7
8 how does the information get from the Enet to the
9 county?
10 A. We -- we get -- we get a card, like, a
11 flash memory card that we -- we reproduce to put in the Express Polls, or the state reproduces all the
12
13 cards. We put them into the Express Polls for each precinct.
14
15 Q. Are you aware of reports that the Enet system was vulnerable to hacking prior to the
16
17 November 2018 election?
18 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object to that. I don't think there's a foundation about that, that any reports
19
20 about that. If Mr. Brown wants to reference a specific report, I think he can, but --
21
22 THE COURT: It's the way that you phrase the question. You -- you make it as if it were a statement of
23
24 fact. You can ask in that area, but you've got to
25 inquire, not make these false statements that you keep

1 making about things.

2 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Right. Are you aware of any reports
3

4 related to hacking involving the Enet system?
A. I read that in the AJC.
5

6 Q. And after you read it in the AJC, did you
follow up?
7

8 A. No.

9 Q. Did you investigate it at all?

10 A. No.

11 Q. Are you aware of anyone else investigating
that?
12

13 A. No.
Q. Was it a -- was it a concern to you?
14

15 A. I didn't -- you know, when I read the
story, I didn't know how seriously to take it. It
16

17 didn't -- I -- no.

18 Q. Were you aware -- or did the Secretary of
State have an investigation?
19

20 A. I don't know.
Q. Just for the record, how many voting
21

22 machines does Fulton County have?
A. 3,108.
23

24 MR. BROWN: Let me take just a moment here. That's

25 all that I have at this time.

1 THE COURT: Questions? Thank you.

2 MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, did you want me to do all
my questions now or just the ones related to what he's
3

4 been asked this morning?
THE COURT: You can ask him anything you want to ask
5

6 him.
MS. BURWELL: I can ask as much as I'd like to ask?
7

8 THE COURT: Go ahead.

9 MS. BURWELL: Okay. Thank you.

10 THE COURT: We don't have a podium.

11 MS. BURWELL: Okay.
THE COURT: I know some of you are used to a podium.
12

13 I don't know that I like podiums, but is it -- we have no
clerk, so you can use any of the clerk's area.
14

15 MS. BURWELL: Oh; okay? Well, maybe I can just --
THE COURT: Put it way over there on the end if you
16

17 want to be closer and seeing and not have the computer in

18 the way. Yeah, that's a good place.
MS. BURWELL: Okay.
19

20
CROSS-EXAMINATION
21

22 OF RICHARD BARRON
BY MS. BURWELL:
23

24 Q. Mr. Barron, can you tell the court how long

25 you've been involved in elections?

1 A. Since December of 1999.

2 Q. Okay. And can you tell the judge a little bit about your background with elections?

3

4 A. I've worked with Travis County elections in Austin, Texas, from 1999 to 2003. I also worked for

5

6 Sequoia Voting Systems from 2004 to 2005. In 2006, I worked for Hartman Civic, and then I went to work for

7

8 Williamson County in Brown -- Georgetown, Texas, from

9 2007 to 2013, and then I came here.

10 Q. So, you've been with Fulton County since

11 2013?
A. Yes.

12

13 Q. And so in connection with overseeing the election activities for the Fulton County Board of

14

15 Registration and Elections, are you required to follow state law?

16

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. And where do you find the state law that you're supposed to follow?

19

20 A. The Georgia election code and also there's an SED rule book.

21

22 Q. And what's the SED rule book?
A. It -- it -- it's -- from my understand,

23

24 it's basically an -- the state election board has

25 some rules that they interpret from the law, and I

1 think they also make some -- some rules in addition
2 to that.
Q. And you're required to follow those as
3
4 well?
A. Yes.
5
6 Q. Does the state oversee all of your election activities?
7
8 MR. BROWN: Object, object, Your Honor. She's asking
9 the witness what someone else does.
10 MS. BURWELL: May I respond, Your Honor?
11 THE COURT: Yes. We -- we -- we -- I think it's the way it's phrased. I -- I think you can ask the question.
12
13 Go ahead.
BY MS. BURWELL:
14
15 Q. Does anyone oversee the election activities that you undertake?
16
17 A. The state election board has the -- the
18 ultimate authority over the boards, the -- the Fulton County Board of Registration and Elections.
19
20 Q. And are there -- are you personally or is the Board of Registration and Elections subject to
21
22 penalties for not following the code and rules?
A. Yes.
23
24 Q. Can you tell the Court why Fulton County
25 uses the DRE-based voting system?

1 A. That is part of -- there -- there's a
2 uniform voting law in Georgia, and every county uses the same equipment. It's a state law.
3
4 Q. Do you have a choice on whether or not to use that equipment?
5
6 A. No.
7 Q. Who owns the DRE machines that are used in
8 Fulton County?
9 A. 70 to 80 percent of them were purchased by
10 the state, and Fulton County purchased the other 20
11 to 30 percent.
12 Q. Can you tell the Court whether or not
13 Fulton County has ever had any software issues with its DRE machines?
14
15 A. No.
16 Q. Are you aware of any viruses that have ever
17 infiltrated a Fulton County DRE machine?
18 A. No.
19 Q. Let me ask you now the same questions about
20 the poll book that you used. Are you aware of any software issues with that poll book?
21
22 A. No.
23 Q. What about the viruses with that poll book?
24 A. No.
25 Q. And let me ask you now about the GEMS

1 server, which is a -- yet a third component of the
2 system; correct?
A. Yes.
3
4 Q. Are you -- has Fulton County ever had any
software issues with the -- the GEM system?
5
6 A. No.
Q. And are you aware of any viruses with that
7
8 GEM system?
9 A. No.
10 Q. Are you aware -- is it -- are you aware of
11 any external attacks that Fulton County has had on
any of its machines?
12
13 A. No.
Q. Is it possible for there -- is -- is the
14
15 DRE machine hooked up to the Internet?
A. No.
16
17 Q. Is the DRE machine hooked up to anything
18 external, the Web, or anything like that?
A. No.
19
20 Q. Now, are the DRE machines tested by Fulton
County?
21
22 A. Yes.
Q. And do -- did you test the machines before
23
24 the November 6th election?
25 A. Yes.

1 Q. Is each DRE machine that's used in the
2 election tested?
A. Yes.
3
4 Q. And are DRE machines that are used in the
early voting tested as well?
5
6 A. Yes.
Q. Are any of the machines connected to each
7
8 other?
9 A. Only by electricity.
10 Q. Okay. So one DRE machine in a room isn't
11 connected to another DRE machine in a room?
A. No.
12
13 Q. They're both potentially plugged into the
electricity in the wall, but other than that --
14
15 A. Correct.
Q. Now, can you tell the judge in terms of the
16
17 November 6th general election what you did to prepare
18 for that election?
A. Well, we began in August. We have to -- we
19
20 have to get the ballot ready. We have to make sure
we get all the information from all of the local
21
22 candidates or from the municipalities that are having
elections to the state, so that they can build a
23
24 ballot.
25 We have to get all the -- the poll workers

1 recruited, poll workers trained; polling places we
2 have to make sure are -- are set.
We have to get all of our workers set up for
3
4 early voting. We have to get all the machines ready.
We -- we also make sure we get all of the -- the --
5
6 all of the voter registration applications processed
that are timely, and there are lots of little, sundry
7
8 duties that go along with all those.
9 Q. And those are the same -- the procedures
10 you go through for every election; is that correct?
11 A. Correct.
Q. Now, can you tell the judge about ballot
12
13 styles and what that means?
A. Well, we had 115 ballot styles in -- in the
14
15 November election. Those are based on -- on all the
-- the precincts and the districts, all the different
16
17 districts that -- that are within the county, from
18 state senate districts to -- to city boundaries to
House of Representative districts, all the -- all the
19
20 political districts are taken into account, along
with the precincts.
21
22 Q. So let me ask you about early voting. And
can you explain to the judge how early voting works?
23
24 A. During early voting, all of the ballots are
25 available at every polling place. You can vote

1 anywhere during early voting.
2 Q. So what does that mean in terms of ballot styles?
3
4 A. Well, for our 370-plus precincts that we have, all of those are available with the 115
5
6 different ballot styles.
Q. How does that differ from Election Day?
7
8 A. On Election Day, the voters have to go to
9 their -- their assigned precinct to vote. So those
10 -- those precincts are what are available in each
11 polling place.
Q. So on -- for early voting, if you live in
12
13 Roswell, you can vote in Chattahoochee Hills, and they can pull up your ballot?
14
15 A. Correct.
Q. But on Election Day, you can only vote in
16
17 Roswell?
18 A. Yes, at whatever assigned place in Roswell, yes.
19
20 Q. So during early voting, are there things that could occur that would cause a machine to say
21
22 "Cancel" on it?
A. Well, if it -- if they -- if the screen
23
24 comes up and there's a cancel sign on there, that --
25 that indicates that the ballot was created by the

1 Express Poll in -- in the disabled mode, for a
2 disabled voter.
Q. Okay. So explain for the -- to the judge
3
4 what that means?
A. There's -- the Express Poll has two
5
6 different modes. You can have the regular mode where
-- where the ballot comes up, or there's a -- there's
7
8 also a mode for -- for disabled voters, because those
9 voters, the ballot doesn't appear on the screen.
10 The only thing that appears is -- is a
11 "canceled" button, so -- to cancel that out. Now if
the voter goes up, he can put -- it's in the disabled
12
13 mode, the Express Poll operator has to select the
option to go back to regular mode.
14
15 If they don't, the next card they create is
going to be in disabled mode.
16
17 Q. And so is that what makes it flash
18 "Cancel"?
A. Yeah.
19
20 Q. Does that mean that there's a problem with
the machine?
21
22 A. No.
Q. Okay. What does that mean?
23
24 A. The DRE's doing what it's told.
25 Q. So what happens to the voter in that

1 instance, if it comes up and says "Cancel"?

2 A. Well, they would have to cancel the ballot and then go back to the Express Poll. They're

3 supposed to get -- all of our machines have a sign in them that say, "If there's anything wrong with your

4 ballot, whether it be that you've -- you think you have the wrong ballot, then you need to go get a poll

5 worker to help you."

6 Like to go back to the Express Poll and tell

7 them or show them what's on the screen. From there a

8 new card needs to be created that it's in the regular mode.

9 Q. Right. And it's not in disabled mode?

10 A. Correct.

11 Q. So then the voter can go and cast a ballot?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. So the fact that it says -- that it had

14 come up cancelled doesn't mean that the voter was unable to cast a vote --

15 A. Correct.

16 Q. -- on that day? Now let me ask you about

17 if an issue comes up with a DRE machine, and Mr. Brown asked you about something that happened at, I

18 believe, church. So you weren't at the church that

19 particular day, were you?

1 A. No.

2 Q. So you don't have any first-hand knowledge
about what particularly happened; correct?
3

4 A. Correct.
Q. But you listened to Ms. LeClerc's testimony
5

6 about what she observed?
A. Yes.
7

8 Q. Can you tell the judge what the process is

9 under those circumstances, if they're -- if this

10 particular voter has an issue?

11 A. Well, we have a help desk that -- there're
are different ways that a poll worker can contact the
12

13 office. They should call the help desk, and then a
ticket is created, and then a technician would be
14

15 sent to the polling place.
In the meantime, if the poll worker has a
16

17 concern about the machine, they -- they shut it down,

18 as they did, and so -- and that's what they're
supposed to do.
19

20 Q. Okay. Do you, as the director of
elections, have any concerns about the reliability of
21

22 the DRE machines that have been used in Fulton
County?
23

24 A. No.

25 Q. Do you have any concern about any memory

1 cards having ever been improperly accessed?

2 A. No.

Q. Can you tell the judge what the process is

3

4 for safety with respect to the memory cards?

A. All the -- all the machines will -- like,

5

6 in early voting, for example, all the machines are, after they're tested, all of them are sealed to seal

7

8 the equipment, the serial numbers are recorded, and

9 the seal numbers are recorded, and those go out with

10 -- with the poll workers.

11 Every day those -- those machines are -- the seals are broken, they're verified, they -- they seal

12

13 them up again, and it goes -- the -- the process goes through the 19 days of early voting.

14

15 They're -- on Election Day, when we send those out, they're -- they're sealed after the vote --

16

17 after, like, an accuracy is done. Those are also

18 delivered out, and they -- they go to the polling place.

19

20 They're -- they are -- there is a recap sheet with it, and they have the serial numbers and the --

21

22 the seal numbers are also recorded on there. The poll workers verify that those -- the seals are not

23

24 broken, and if -- if one was to be broken, they're to

25 contact our office and to let us know that the seal

1 was -- is broken.

2 Q. So can you tell the judge what the process is for security with respect to the actual DRE

3

4 machines themselves? You told us about the cards being sealed and kept. What about the actual DRE

5

6 machines?
A. In our warehouse, they -- they are kept in

7

8 a -- in a large warehouse. There's a keypad to get

9 in. It's also -- the warehouse is alarmed, and we

10 also have cameras in -- in the warehouse.

11 Q. Do you have chain-of-custody forms --
A. Yes.

12

13 Q. -- for the machines? Are those kept under lock and key?

14

15 A. Yes.
Q. And how are the machines delivered to the

16

17 actual locations where voting occurs?

18 A. We have different either -- usually, it's either active-duty fire- -- firemen or officers or

19

20 retired firemen or officers that -- police officers that do -- do the deliveries.

21

22 Q. And when those DRE machines are delivered, they have seals on them?

23

24 A. They have seals on them, and there's a

25 cable through the door -- through the -- through the

1 handles --
2 Q. Can you --
A. -- to make sure that they're all kept
3
4 together.
Q. Can you explain to the judge what the seal
5
6 looks like and what it's made out of and what's on it?
7
8 A. When they go out, it's a -- it's a metal
9 seal, and it has -- it has a number on there that
10 identifies that seal, and it's unique to that seal.
11 Q. And so what do poll workers do when they get the machines?
12
13 A. After they -- they will verify that the seal is -- that we recorded in the warehouse is the
14
15 same that it is on that recap sheet, and then they break the seals to open the machines.
16
17 Q. Are you aware of any instance where a seal
18 was broken or tampered with while at a polling location?
19
20 A. No.
Q. Now, once the election is over and the
21
22 machines are returned to the warehouse, is there a seal on it when it's originally returned to the
23
24 warehouse?
25 A. Yes.

1 Q. And how long are you required to maintain
2 that seal?
A. 30 days.
3
4 Q. So if -- if a machine is sealed, is there
any way someone can access the door where the media
5
6 cards are located?
A. No.
7
8 Q. Okay, why is that?
9 A. Well, they would have to break the seal to
10 get in there, cut the cable, break the seal.
11 Q. Are you aware of that ever happening?
A. No. Also on the early voting machines,
12
13 there's a metal plate that is put over the -- the
doors on those. You'd have to crowbar those off, and
14
15 it would break the unit.
Q. Can you now tell the judge about the
16
17 security measures for the GEMS server?
18 A. That's password-protected. It's in the
same warehouse with the -- with the DREs, so there's
19
20 -- you have to have the access code to get in the
door. There's also an alarm, and there are cameras
21
22 in there.
MS. BURWELL: I have no further questions.
23
24 THE COURT: Yes, sir, Mr. Tyson. Mr. Lindsey?
25 MR. LINDSEY: I have some questions, Your Honor.

1 I think you've alluded to it, Mr. Barron, but perhaps
2 it would be a good idea to go ahead and explain.
3 Before I do that, my co-counsel has admonished
4 me for asking a woman her age, so to be fair, sure that
5 I'm not a sexist, what's your age?
6 THE WITNESS: 52.
7 MR. LINDSEY: All right. That's the last time I'm
8 going to ask a woman her age.
9 THE COURT: I think everybody in here's younger than
10 I am anyway, so don't worry about that. Go ahead.
11 MR. LINDSEY: Yes. All jokes aside, let's get to the
12 serious part.
13 BY MR. LINDSEY:
14 Q. You've alluded to it a couple times, but
15 let's tell the judge a little more specifically.
16 Prior to the election, for each machine, it is my
17 understanding that according to your testimony that
18 you conduct what is called a logic and accuracy
19 testing?
20 A. Yes.
21 Q. Explain to the judge exactly what that is?
22 A. We have a script that we -- we vote, and
23 then once you input those votes, then you run the
24 tape and make sure that -- that the results match the
25 script that was voted.

1 Q. Okay. And do you do that for each machine?
2 A. For each machine.
3 Q. Okay. And did all of the machines that
4 were used on November 6th, 2018 pass that test?
5 A. Yes.
6 MR. LINDSEY: No further questions.
7 THE COURT: Mr. --
8 MR. RUSSO: Briefly, I just -- only care about one
9 point.
10 BY MR. RUSSO:
11 Q. Mr. Barron, you indicated a script was run.
12 Does that -- does that require someone actually
13 pushing the screen and voting it, or is that a
14 software run on the computer?
15 A. No. We -- we actually input those manually
16 to make sure that all the positions are recording
17 votes.
18 MR. RUSSO: Thank you.
19 THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
20 BY MR. BROWN:
21 Q. Mr. Barron, you were asked about a law
22 relating to -- that required you to use the DRE
23 machines, right?
24 A. Um-hmm.
25 Q. And is it -- was it your understanding that

1 the law does require you to use the DRE machines?

2 A. Yes.
Q. And are you aware of -- of a court opinion
3

4 that says --
THE COURT: Okay. You -- you -- you -- that's what
5

6 we're having trouble with, and I can see movement over
here at this table. "Are you aware," you say that "are
7

8 you aware" as if it was a matter of fact. And it's fact

9 that's not in evidence, so rephrase the question.

10 BY MR. BROWN:

11 Q. Have you read the federal court decision,
Curling vs. Kemp?
12

13 A. No.
Q. Are you -- what is the statute that says --
14

15 do -- do you know what the statute is that says you
have to use DRE machines?
16

17 A. Not offhand.

18 Q. Are you -- are you -- do you know of any
statute that says that?
19

20 A. I could -- if I had an election code, I
could probably find it, but --
21

22 Q. And -- and you're -- what you're saying is
that the Georgia code says that it requires the use
23

24 of DREs?

25 A. Yes, and that was clarified by the

1 Secretary of State's office to all the counties
2 leading up to the fall election cycle.
Q. Did that opinion change after a ruling by
3
4 the federal court?
MR. LINDSEY: I'm going to objection to Mr. Brown.
5
6 He is an election official. He's got some general
knowledge, perhaps, of the law --
7
8 THE COURT: Yeah, you're using -- see, you used the
9 word "code," at one point. I -- whether he knows the
10 difference between the code and the law, which are two
11 different things, I don't know, but you're still -- you're
still -- it's the way you're asking the questions, Mr.
12
13 Brown.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I -- the -- if I might just
14
15 say one thing.
THE COURT: What?
16
17 MR. BROWN: They asked him, "What is your
18 understanding of the law?"
THE COURT: But -- and you can ask him what -- you --
19
20 you can test that, but you can ask, "Are you aware that --
that rule ever changed?"
21
22 MR. BROWN: Are you aware --
THE COURT: That does the same thing. See where I'm
23
24 coming from?
25 MR. BROWN: Yes.

1 THE COURT: You -- you can get the information. It's
2 just the way you phrase the question that's objectionable.
BY MR. BROWN:
3
4 Q. Mr. Barron, are you aware if that rule was
ever changed or interpreted differently as not
5
6 requiring --
A. No.
7
8 Q. -- the use of DREs? Okay. Were you, in
9 your position as the director of elections for Fulton
10 County, were you briefed on court orders from the
11 federal court in the Curling case?
A. Yes.
12
13 Q. And did that briefing include telling you,
quote, "But OCGA 21-2-383(b) does not require the use
14
15 of DREs," as defendant claims it does?
MS. BURWELL: Objection, Your Honor. First and
16
17 foremost, it appears that he's attempting to ask about a
18 privileged communication.
THE COURT: It's not in -- it's -- it's -- I sustain
19
20 the objection.
BY MR. BROWN:
21
22 Q. Is it your working understanding, Mr.
Barron, that the Georgia Code requires the use of
23
24 DREs?
25 MR. TYSON: Yeah, objection, on behalf of

1 [inaudible].

2 THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MR. BROWN:
3

4 Q. Mr. Barron, you talked about secure facilities and about how to secure the facilities.
5

6 You mentioned the security with the GEMS server. Do you recall that?
7

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. And you weren't talking about the GEMS

10 database; you were talking about the actual GEMS

11 server; correct?
A. Correct.
12

13 Q. Okay. You -- you were describing how the machines are marked up, is that right? When they're
14

15 in -- after they're in use; correct?
A. At the poll, yes.
16

17 Q. And you testified about how the memory

18 cards are secured in a secure location; correct?
A. Yes.
19

20 Q. Those are the memory cards that have been used for years; correct?
21

22 A. Yes.
Q. You testified about the tickets that are
23

24 created as a result of complaints from -- well, let

25 me ask you this. The ticket system from your prior

1 testimony, could you describe that for us in greater
2 detail?
A. Well, a poller would call into our help
3
4 desk on Election Day, and -- and then a ticket is
created basically to -- if -- if we need to send a
5
6 technician to that area. We have roaming technicians
that oversee certain precincts, and then we can -- we
7
8 can dispatch somebody.
9 Q. And where are those tickets saved?
10 A. We have -- we just have a database that
11 keeps a log of our tickets.
Q. What is that database called?
12
13 A. Help desk. I don't think it has a name. I
mean, it's just a help -- it's just our own internal
14
15 help desk.
Q. Is that --is that a record that you make
16
17 available to the public?
18 A. It's -- I mean, I guess it would be
available if -- if somebody put in an open records
19
20 request, yes.
Q. And how many tickets were created for the
21
22 November '18 election?
A. I don't know.
23
24 Q. Scores, hundreds?
25 A. I don't -- I don't think it was that many,

1 but I don't know.

2 Q. And what about for the runoff?
A. I don't know.
3

4 MR. BROWN: Just one second. I'd like to take one minute.
5

6 THE COURT: Sure.
BY MR. BROWN:
7

8 Q. You testified that Fulton County has put

9 the machines, the DRE machines through logic and

10 accuracy tests?

11 A. Correct.
Q. You -- has Fulton County forensically
12

13 examined the machines?
A. No.
14

15 Q. And just for the record, has -- has Fulton County allowed plaintiffs access to the machines for
16

17 that testing?

18 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor. Here we go. Once again, plaintiff's counsel is trying to bring back up discovery
19

20 issues that have arisen in this case that have all been brought before the judge on multiple occasions.
21

22 Judge in this court has ruled after hearing plaintiff's counsel giving him a reasonable opportunity to
23

24 argue, and the Court has overruled those objections, so

25 this entire line of questioning is found to be

1 interrupting.

2 THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, this goes back to the statute
3

4 that I quoted in my opening statement --
THE COURT: Okay.
5

6 MR. BROWN: -- and that has to do with the --
THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
7

8 MR. BROWN: Thank you.

9 MS. BURWELL: If I could, Your Honor, just for one

10 second?

11 THE COURT: Mm-hmm.
BY MS. BURWELL:
12

13 Q. Mr. Barron, I'm going to show you
OCGA 21-2-300. Is that what you were referring to
14

15 earlier about being required to use the state-
mandated DRE machines?
16

17 A. You said 302? Or 300?

18 Q. 300.
MR. BROWN: Excuse me, excuse me, Your Honor. I've
19

20 just -- in the here --
THE COURT: Let me -- let me say this. You can all
21

22 read the law to me in closing.
MS. BURWELL: Okay. All right.
23

24 THE COURT: You don't -- don't -- don't make a lay

25 witness a lawyer.

1 MS. BURWELL: Okay.

2 THE COURT: I'm sure he doesn't want to be one.
BY MS. BURWELL:
3

4 Q. Last thing, Mr. Barron. In Fulton County
you didn't receive any complaints of someone saying
5

6 that a race, specifically the lieutenant governor
race didn't appear on their ballot?
7

8 A. I don't recall that, no.

9 MS. BURWELL: Thank you.

10 MR. LINDSEY: For a follow-up to that.

11 THE COURT: Right.
BY MR. LINDSEY:
12

13 Q. If there had been something as serious as
that, do you believe that you would have been alerted
14

15 to it?
A. Yes.
16

17 MR. BROWN: Objection, Your Honor. That calls for

18 speculation as to reading the minds of other people who
might --
19

20 MR. LINDSEY: He's -- he's ahead --
THE COURT: Sustained.
21

22 MR. LINDSEY: -- of the office now.
THE COURT: Sir, I understand. "Did you get one" is
23

24 enough?

25 BY MR. LINDSEY:

1 Q. Did you ever get one that day that a race
2 was not on, that particularly the lieutenant
governor's race was not on the ballot?
3
4 A. No.
MR. LINDSEY: Thank you.
5
6 MR. BROWN: No further questions, Mr. Barron.
THE COURT: Okay. You may go now. Thank you.
7
8 THE WITNESS: Thanks.
9 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, at this point we have no
10 further witnesses, but I would like to make one
11 evidentiary argument that may be well. And it is to renew
our request for the admission of the National Academy of
12
13 Sciences report. And let me just very briefly describe
the law on that.
14
15 The -- when it was tendered, I made the argument that
-- that the basis of it being admissible by experts is
16
17 prinicipally -- it's hearsay, but the -- but the law
18 requires is that --
And this is what the law says, and I'm quoting 24-7-
19
20 703, "Such facts or data that are otherwise inadmissible
shall not be disclosed to the jury by the proponent of the
21
22 opinion or inference unless the court determines that the
probative value in assisting the jury to evaluate the
23
24 expert's opinion substantially outweighs their prejudicial
25 effect."

1 And so I would ask, Your Honor, to -- to allow the
2 opinion of -- to allow the National Academy of Science's report, because their probative value greatly outweighs
3
4 the prejudicial effect, and I would also, at this point, note that the report is independently admissible under
5
6 OCGA 24-7-803 (8)(C), which is the public records and reports exception of hearsay.
7
8 And I would cite the case of Chrysler v. Walden, 339
9 Ga. App. In that case, the court allowed the firm
10 allowance of a report of the National Highway Traffic
11 Safety Administration opposite defects investigation about a recall of a particular defective product.
12
13 We think that case is right on point. We believe, Your Honor, that -- I understand that Your Honor has not
14
15 reviewed this evidence because it wasn't admitted in the evidence.
16
17 THE COURT: Well, I thought it was unfair to
18 everybody for me to start reading stuff people were sending me to read. There was going to be evidence when
19
20 there was no -- I didn't know whether it was admissible or not, so I didn't --
21
22 MR. BROWN: We would urge Your Honor to consider reading it and to conclude that, since a consensus report
23
24 from the National Academy of Science is the best that this
25 country has.

1 And it's right on point about securing elections,
2 which is exactly what this case is about, it would be helpful to the triers of fact to have the best evidence
3
4 that this country could ever have about the issue in this case and that is the security of this machine.
5
6 MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, I would just note that this is not -- does not appear to be a complete copy. It looks
7
8 like the -- the cover page says -- looks like the document
9 is supposed to be 180 pages. This appears to be maybe the
10 first 8 pages of that document, because it begins at page
11 8 in here, but it's not a complete --
You can see that the -- the last paragraph continues
12
13 beyond this page, so it is not -- it's not even a complete copy.
14
15 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, admittedly I'm having to deal with what's on the line. But according to the
16
17 National Academy of Sciences on the webpage, the National
18 Academy of Sciences is not a public entity, not a governmental entity.
19
20 It is a private, nonprofit organization, therefore doesn't fit within the exception that gentleman has -- has
21
22 alluded to. The expert has already stated that he reviewed it, allowed it when he was giving his opinion.
23
24 It goes back once again to hearsay.
25 It's also irrelevant, Your Honor, because the

1 question here is whether or not the system that Georgia
2 has is the most secure possible, but whether or not in this particular case a breach actually occurred, and to
3
4 the extent that the results of the election weren't valid. That's the question, and --
5
6 THE COURT: Mr. Tyson? Sorry.
MR. BROWN: -- the NAS report, that's something
7
8 that's better argued downtown under the goal of the
9 academy.
10 MR. TYSON: And, Your Honor, we do agree with the
11 prior statements, and just would add that, to my knowledge, that there is nothing specific about the
12
13 Diebold Georgia voting machines in this report. It's about DREs generally.
14
15 As Mr. Lindsey said, the expert has already relied upon this in testifying yesterday, and unless there is
16
17 something specific about this election, I don't see that
18 there's any relevance for the Court to consider to admit it in evidence.
19
20 THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
MR. BROWN: First, Your Honor, just for the record,
21
22 as Your Honor is aware from the evidence, the -- the evidence is entirely, entirely contrary to what counsel
23
24 says.
25 THE COURT: No, it's not. You see, you -- and I

1 respect you, because you're an advocate. And you
2 absolutely believe your side of the case, and a lawyer is
supposed to do that. But that doesn't make it all right.
3
4 That's your view of it.
It's not -- yeah, I have to look at both sides. So
5
6 -- so that's really got nothing to do with it. I've got
-- let me say -- say what I -- go ahead and finish
7
8 arguing, because I very much know what I'm going to do on
9 it.
10 MR. BROWN: The evidence as we perceive it to be,
11 Your Honor, is -- and we're just simply not aware of the
contrary evidence, is that the defects are national, and
12
13 there's no difference, material difference between it in
Georgia, and we're not aware of any material testimony.
14
15 Therefore the unanswered court decision, I would instruct
it to leave it up to Your Honor [inaudible].
16
17 THE COURT: Okay. I -- I find it's not admissible.
18 It doesn't come in under public record, because it's not
public, okay? Number two, it was something that he relied
19
20 on in giving his opinion, and I've had his opinion. His
opinion is these -- if you listen to him, these pretty
21
22 much useless machines. I understand all that, and I'm not
going to read something that's hearsay.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
25 THE COURT: Okay? I mean, I understood what he was

1 saying.

2 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. At this time, we would also like to renew our demand for a jury. And the

3

4 reason why I'm renewing the demand, Your Honor, is that there is -- there is case law that may not be the best law

5

6 around, but it suggests that if you don't continue the demand --

7

8 THE COURT: I -- so we already in this hearing,

9 already in this trial, I have ruled. I'm one of these

10 judges, I'm afraid, who rules, you know. I make pretty

11 clear rulings, as we pointed out yesterday. I'm not an English major, so my stuff comes out ching-ching-ching.

12

13 I've ruled. Don't run in the face of it, okay?
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

14

15 THE COURT: Thank you. Do you rest?
MR. BROWN: We do.

16

17 THE COURT: Okay. Let me do this. Let me take ten

18 minutes, and then we'll hear from the other side.
MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.

19

20 MR. BROWN: Thank you.

21

22 [Off the record at 10:16 a.m., and back on the record at 10:21 a.m.]

23

24

25 BALIFF: Please take a seat. Court comes to order.

1 MALE: Oh, sorry.

2 THE COURT: Okay. Which defendant is to go first? Oh, you have a motion?

3

4 MS. BURWELL: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay.

5

6 MS. BURWELL: On behalf of Fulton County, Your Honor, I will move for an involuntary dismissal pursuant to 911

7

8 41(b) on the grounds that this court as the trier of fact

9 is allowed to adjudicate a motion to dismiss at the close

10 of the plaintiff's case.

11 And that is because the court is the finder of fact as well as the determiner of the law and the cases are

12

13 clear and I'd cite the court to Chalk v. Poletto 346 Ga. App 491 which is a 2018 case as well as Smith v. Georgia

14

15 Kaolin Company, Inc., 269 Georgia 475 both of which provide that a dismissal in a non-jury matter under

16

17 91141(b) does not require the court to construe the

18 evidence most favorably for the nonliving plaintiff. And that again is because the court determines the facts as

19

20 well as the law.
And in the instant case, the petitioner's under have

21

22 filed an election contest under 2125-22, Sections 1 and 3; and Section 1 requires the plaintiffs to have shown

23

24 misconduct, fraud, or irregularity in the election, by an

25 election official sufficient to change, replace, and doubt

1 the result.

2 Instead what these petitioners are alleging, is that just the DRE machines themselves, nothing that an election

3

4 official did, but just the machines themselves are -- they don't -- they don't care for the machines and think that

5

6 they're vulnerable.
Under section 2125-22(3), they had to show that

7

8 illegal votes were received or illegal votes rejected,

9 sufficient to change or place in doubt the results. And

10 there's been no evidence at all that there have been the

11 rejection, the receipt of illegal votes, or the rejection of votes at the polls sufficient to change or place in the

12

13 result. They brought in one woman who said she didn't see it, but then she was able to vote for that, which was Ms.

14

15 Thomas -- I think her name was -- this morning.
And the only other information was information from a

16

17 poll worker who said she got second hand information that

18 someone wasn't able to vote, but that is one vote at issue and there were over a 100,000 vote margin between the two

19

20 candidates.
So there is not sufficient information back. There

21

22 was no information in the record which would allow this court to find that there were legal votes rejected,

23

24 sufficient to change or place in doubt the result.

25 There's been no evidence of impropriety that led to this

1 under-vote. There's no evidence of any sort of tampering
2 that led to this under-vote.
3 And instead what they have done is they have said
4 there's a purely mathematical computation and they believe that a four percent under-vote for the Lieutenant
5 Governor's race is inappropriate.
6 And there is no evidence in the record about how or
7 why individuals choose not to vote for Lieutenant
8 Governor's race. But the one thing we do know is that
9 even based on the information they provided in every
10 single election, there are under-votes. Every single election.
11 The only difference here is that they complain that they believe that the amount of four percent is too large.
12 But again, there was no tie in between why there's an under-vote and any sort of impropriety on behalf of an
13 election official or any legal votes that have been
14 rejected. Thank you.
15 MR. TYSON: Your Honor -- Your Honor? Yeah. Your
16 Honor, just to briefly Gwinnett County Board of Registrations in elections joins in Fulton County's motion
17 requesting that this be treated as an adjudication on the merits which is allowed under 41(b) just to echo what Ms.
18 Burwell has raised the evidence before the court for the
19 reports that is that the Lieutenant Governor's race

1 appeared on every ballot in the state according to the
2 base precinct report with races.
That the -- all the cards were counted according to
3
4 the TS report and that there are a number of possible
reasons as the various witnesses testified about why an
5
6 under-vote may occur. It have been ballot design with the
lack of the US Senate race, the lack of a third party,
7
8 there were a number of additional write-in candidates.
9 Any of those issues could go to why the under-votes are
10 there, including the most important one, voters chose not
11 to vote at the race as was their option.
There is no evidence in the record that all -- that
12
13 any of those have been disproven as a possible basis. And
as a result, Mr. Brown and the plaintiff's elections
14
15 contest and must fail the election is presumed valid and
there was no evidence of irregularities sufficient to
16
17 place the results in doubt.
18 To the contrary, there is evidence that the results
should be placed, should be given the presumption that
19
20 it's favored because of the evidence in the record. And
as Ms. Burwell indicated, there is no indication that the
21
22 number of illegal votes cast or legal votes rejected was
anywhere near the margin of victory in this case, 123,000
23
24 votes. So we fully join in Fulton's motion.
25 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, first off, on behalf of the

1 Lieutenant Governor Jeff Thompkin [ph] we will adopt the
2 argument raised by both attorneys for Fulton County and Gwinnett County. And simply once again, I want to read it
3
4 off again, into Hart v. Crawford, which I read at the beginning, 20 Ga. 7, 1998 decision which clearly lays our
5
6 the fact that [inaudible] with the extraordinary, drastic -- I believe the word used by the board is drastic. There
7
8 must be clear evidence of some kind of issue in terms of
9 either malicious or negligent kind of issue that created
10 the problem. The fact of the matter is --
11 THE COURT: Quick, which case are you referring to? Sorry to interrupt you.
12
13 MR. LINDSEY: Hart [ph].
THE COURT: Hart.
14
15 MR. LINDSEY: Versus Crawford, 270 Ga. 7, 1998.
THE COURT: I got it. Okay.
16
17 MR. LINDSEY: In regards to the evidence that you've
18 heard that the plaintiff's expert himself admitted that he was not familiar with the particularities of this race in
19
20 terms of what was going on in this race, but simply doing so by doing mathematical formulations. He admitted that
21
22 he has no evidence of any kind of malware or malicious conduct by any individual, would have led to the under
23
24 voting that they have cited from the testimony of the
25 plaintiff's own witnesses.

1 That was never rebutted by any other witness of the
2 plaintiff. The -- there -- there -- there are ample reasons why there was an under-vote including the fact
3
4 that we had a high number of new voters, voter confusion in terms of the -- as a result of the layout of the ballot
5
6 Governor, Lieutenant Governor. Given the history of Governors that Lieutenant Governors of other states
7
8 running as a ticket. And that, that is a reasonable
9 reason for why there was an under-vote, in addition to
10 other evidence that was elicited from the plaintiff's on
11 their reports.
There's also testimony, I think this is extremely
12
13 important. a lot of plaintiff's expert testify as to what might have, could have, should have happened. The
14
15 undisputed testimony from both the Secretary of State and from the Fulton County election official from the
16
17 plaintiffs' themselves called on direct, testified as to
18 the safe guards that are taken both before an election and on election day to ensure that the system is operating
19
20 correctly in terms of the voter being able to cast a vote for the candidate of their choice and that vote being
21
22 accurately reported by the state; that the testimony has not been contradicted by anyone in terms of the safeguards
23
24 that were put in place.
25 Nor has -- while the plaintiff's expert talked about

1 systems that are on the web, we have undisputed testimony
2 that the system that deals with the actual recording -- accurate recording of a voters vote all the way up to the
3
4 counting of that vote is within a closed system that is not susceptible to the hacking at the plaintiff's expert
5
6 has outlined as part of their concerns. That testimony is uncontroverted.
7
8 So for those reasons as well as the reasons set forth
9 by my colleagues from Gwinnett and Fulton County, we
10 actually -- we also make this much at this time.
11 THE COURT: If -- I'm going to hear from Mr. Brown but before I do, do you have 911 with you?
12
13 MS. BURWELL: Okay.
THE COURT: Everybody -- everybody's only got the --
14
15 okay. I don't have a computer and I'm one of those -- I just have to go read it myself because I had forgotten
16
17 that you could do that. So if 911-41?
18 MS. BURWELL: Yes.
MR. LINDSEY: And Your Honor, since you don't have a
19
20 computer, would you like the Hart decision that I --
THE COURT: I've got it.
21
22 MR. LINDSEY: Okay.
THE COURT: I did some work.
23
24 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
25 THE COURT: I won't say how much. 911? Let me look

1 at -- here it is. Oh, I got one of these somewhere, let
2 me see 41. Let me see. Let me see. What subsection?
MS. BURWELL: Forty-one (b).
3
4 THE COURT: B. Okay. Go ahead, Mr. Brown.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the evidence established
5
6 first a national consensus on the [inaudible] are highly
vulnerable and shouldn't be used. This -- there was no
7
8 contrary evidence as to national consensus, either by
9 governmental agencies or assigned this.
10 So the background is undisputed, and that is that
11 these machines for vulnerable to attack and that they
should not be used. Second, there was a lot of evidence
12
13 about whether Georgia's particular DREs are worse than the
national decrepitude because of the way George has not
14
15 maintained these machines over the last couple of years.
Our position is that Georgia has not done set on --
16
17 that these machines are worse even than the national
18 average, which worse than general and in general -- even
if it were as good as general, they should not be used.
19
20 We believe Georgia's are much worse than even the bad ones
in other states that should not be used. And we had
21
22 substantial evidence that is the case. At all -- since --
since they're defective anyway, I don't want to spend too
23
24 much time on the evidence that they're very, very
25 effective.

1 But these are -- and I want to go through the
2 evidence very quickly. The -- Michael Barnes testified yesterday in response to my questions about the compromise
3
4 of the election server, that they had replaced one partial -- they replaced one piece of their system we established
5
6 today from Mr. Barren that the other components were not replaced and they were not disaffected. And so we believe
7
8 that Georgia's system is much more vulnerable than the
9 systems described by the national campus [ph] -- described
10 by our expert, recounted by our expert.
11 That the unanimous opinion of defense agencies, the scholars and the scientists, that they should not be used
12
13 and that Georgia's is even more vulnerable. And that is important because they're vulnerable that it naturally
14
15 increases the chances of what we're alleging in this election actually happened.
16
17 And then that was also the opinion of our expert or
18 at least un-rebutted yet. The other evidence that we have is the evidence that the results in this case, if you
19
20 compare the electronics and the paper ballot suggest that there's something about the electronic that was causing
21
22 the different vote. If Your Honor -- as the defendants' positions' has evolved, that seems to be where they're
23
24 headed also.
25 There is as -- as the evidence has shown, there is a

1 microscopic chance that the results on paper are different
2 than the results on the voting machines because of voter choice or chance. Instead, two different scenarios have
3
4 been presented to you. One is that it is caused by malware or misprogramming or it's a sham [ph].
5
6 The other, and that evidence was presented by our expert, it was informed by the national consensus that
7
8 something like that was likely and that the particular
9 results and evidence about voters experiences were
10 telltale signs that there is a system problem within --
11 that the -- with the computers that was causing this to happen.
12
13 What is the other evidence? The way that -- that -- that we view the case, Your Honor, is that for this
14
15 freakish result to happen and I believe the evidence is one in 10,000, for that to happen, on the one hand, it
16
17 could have been this thoroughly discredited, highly
18 vulnerable election system did not function properly. That's one option.
19
20 The other option is the one presented -- suggested by Mr. Lindsey in his questions of Mr. Barnes yesterday and
21
22 that is the -- that the voters thought that there was a tick. That the -- that for some reason they looked at the
23
24 screen and they thought, oh, we only need to vote for the
25 Republican ticket and the Democratic ticket.

1 There is, apart from the question by Mr. Lindsey and
2 the answer by Mr. Barnes, there's no evidence supporting that speculation. Your Honor remarked that we're more
3
4 concerned with why people did this. There -- the -- and -- and that was the basis -- one of the basis for not
5
6 allowing some of our testimony.
The -- the here, there is no testimony that any voter
7
8 did not vote for the Lieutenant Governor ticket because
9 they thought it was -- the Lieutenant Governor because
10 they thought it was the ticket. Instead -- and they
11 didn't even have an expert -- they don't even have an expert. They don't even try to get an expert.
12
13 THE COURT: Well, they haven't got that far yet. But the issue -- the issue as we're all --
14
15 MR. BROWN: We're all. So they have -- just the nature of, well, we can throw this idea out. Maybe this
16
17 is an explanation for it. We don't have any evidence.
18 It's not particularly plausible that a voter would do that particularly as many times and it's not based upon any
19
20 evidence whatsoever.
It really is speculation. But the defendants rule
21
22 that the defendants would have Your Honor adopt, is that if you challenge the result of a statewide election in
23
24 Georgia that it's done on electronic machine, is that you
25 have to find some bad code. That is what they would have

1 Your Honor rule. We got to find the code. There's no bad
2 code, there's no misprogramming, then the plaintiff will
lose. But we're not going to let you find that bad code
3
4 ever.
And so the rule that the defendants want, the
5
6 Secretary of State wants would insulate decisions in
Georgia -- would insulate elections on electronic
7
8 equipment in Georgia from any judicial review because
9 you've got approved bad code, but you're not going to be
10 able to look at it to find it.
11 And we believe that the combination of that rule and
the inability to discover it is inconsistent with the
12
13 statute, which was written by the legislature to allow
petitioners to show that -- to allow that them to show,
14
15 and they have the court decide that at the election, so
the fact that you can -- we believe a better reading of
16
17 the law is that once the petitioner has made a prima facie
18 showing of the likelihood that the machines caused the
difference, and here there's -- we have proven that Your
19
20 Honor, we believe to a very high degree.
There -- the evidence is that there's a 99.95 percent
21
22 chance that there was something about the machines that
caused the election results and we believe that in that
23
24 event, that we have survived and that we -- that the
25 election should be overturned [inaudible, paper shuffling]

1 then in additional, that Your Honor exercise your
2 discretion to call another hearing for the code to be -- after the code be evaluated.
3
4 THE COURT: Anything -- any -- any response to that?
MR. LINDSEY: Yes. Very briefly, Your Honor. The
5
6 fact of the matter is that tests were conducted, this is uncontroversial. As a matter of fact, the bi-witnesses
7
8 that the plaintiff chose to put on this stand, tests were
9 conducted that would have revealed if malware was in
10 place.
11 Tests were conducted prior to the election. Most importantly, tests were conducted on the day of the
12
13 election to see whether or not there was any kind of systemic problem with this -- with the voting system.
14
15 And that was great details as to what that costed, in which they had cameras on one individual actually live,
16
17 making sure -- making choices and then they had a parallel
18 system and then seeing whether or not there was any kind of deviation. That testimony is uncontroverted that these
19
20 tests were done and the testimony is also uncontroverted that if a malware had existed it would have come out at
21
22 that point.
It was also uncontroverted testimony today regarding
23
24 the specific inspections that are done on each machine
25 prior to the election to make sure that the machines are

1 operating correctly and that if a voter cast his vote for
2 individual A, it was going to be recorded as A. That
testimony is uncontroverted. So we actually do have, here
3
4 in Georgia, tests to make sure that when Edward Lindsey
cast a vote for Mr. Brown, that that's going to be
5
6 properly recorded by the Secretary of State, certified
correctly.
7
8 There is no controversy to that. There's no --
9 there's no evidence to the contrary that these tests took
10 place or what the results were. And as to the other
11 matters regarding if there was no malware, what was the
cause, we have presented -- by the plaintiff through the -
12
13 - with their own expert, with their own witnesses on cross
examination have admitted that there were plausible other
14
15 explanations. For that reason, Your Honor -- we believe
that this motion be passed.
16
17 THE COURT: Any -- anything else?
18 MR. TYSON: Yeah. Can I just briefly, again, for
what Mr. Brown explained, there's no prima facie showing
19
20 here at all as far as that all we can say is that they
might be vulnerable, they might be -- there might be a
21
22 problem, there might be an issue. Georgia Supreme Court
is clear in Middleton v. Smith, 273 GA. 202 in 2000 which
23
24 you cannot overturn an election on the basis of mere
25 speculation. And that's what we have here. We have no

1 evidence of additional [ph] votes. We have no evidence of
2 an irregularity happening.
3 Mr. Brown has also conveniently chosen to ignore the
4 fact that the ballot design that in 2018 you did not have
5 a U.S. Senate race on the elect- -- on the ballot and
6 that's a deviation from a number of our past Governor's
7 elections.
8 And so when a voter was presented with that
9 electronic machine, they saw the first two races instead
10 of being U.S. Senate and Governor, they were Governor and
11 Lieutenant Governor, which was a change from past years,
12 which is also a very reasonable explanation for the
13 potential country vote.
14 So at the end of the day, the plaintiffs are left
15 with, we think maybe something might have happened and the
16 Georgia Supreme Court is clear that mere speculation
17 cannot be the basis for overturning an election.
18 THE COURT: Anything further from you?
19 MS. BURWELL: Nothing further.
20 THE COURT: Okay. I had -- I guess I'm used to
21 trying stuff to jury. I hadn't realized that I was in
22 this position.
23 And 91141(b) says, after the plaintiff in an action
24 tried by the court without a jury has completed the
25 presentation of his evidence, the defendant without

1 waiving his right to offer evidence in the event the
2 motion is not granted, may move for dismissal on the grounds at upon the facts and the law, the plaintiff has
3
4 shown note right to relief.
Taking everything the plaintiffs have said, your
5
6 attack is on the whole system and I respect that. And there is authority that says that scientific authority
7
8 that says that DRE -- DRE system is terrible and all those
9 kinds of things. I'm very aware of that.
10 But what I'm here on is one race. Just one race.
11 You haven't sued the state to get rid of the system; okay? That's one thing. I wouldn't be in this position if
12
13 that's where we were, but we're not, we're looking at one race.
14
15 And you brought it on 212522 one and three. There was absolutely nothing to support one. And then let me
16
17 look at three. There was no illegal votes received.
18 There's no legal votes rejected and in this race in the evidence. Now, I understand you've got the under-vote
19
20 issue. I'll get to that.
The law is very clear that it's presumed there is a
21
22 legal presumption that the election returns of ballot; okay? There's the presumption in ballot. The plaintiff
23
24 has the burden of showing an irregularity or illegality
25 sufficient to change all place in doubt the result of

1 election; okay? The result of this particular election,
2 not all of them, not the DRE, not any of that. One -- the one thing. It's a narrow issue.
3
4 It says the setting aside of an election, I'm reading the law, of an election in which the people have chosen
5
6 their representative is a drastic remedy that should not be undertaken lightly, but instead should be reserved for
7
8 cases in which a person challenging an election has
9 clearly established a violation of election's procedures
10 and has demonstrated the violation has placed the result
11 of the election in doubt. There is no -- the only thing you've got is the under -- is the under-vote and the --
12
13 144 votes in Winterville; okay?
If the numbers should have been equal and there is no
14
15 evidence to show of the under-vote, but let's assume somehow that was an error and there should have been 31
16
17 more thousand votes.
18 And let's assume that the one precinct in Winterville was 144 votes, should all have been given to Ms. Amico
19
20 and we'll take the 31,000 as all being given to Ms. Amico. We're still nowhere near -- the numbers do not --
21
22 there is -- it's not even close.
There is absolutely no evidence of the number of
23
24 votes sufficient to overcome. And there is no evidence
25 that this system didn't work during this election.

1 So on that, I'm going to grant the motion to dismiss.

2 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, with respect, the evidence with the --

3

4 THE COURT: Sir, I've ruled. This is one thing you haven't handled well with me because I rule. And now some

5

6 judges, you can keep talking and they change their mind. Old Judge Raven [ph] was that way. As long as you kept

7

8 talking, he would eventually change his mind. I don't do

9 that; okay?

10 MR. BROWN: [inaudible]

11 THE COURT: So let's not. I've ruled, I'm done. I've just got to clean my desk a minute.

12

13 MR. BROWN: We --
THE COURT: This is your book.

14

15 MR. BROWN: Would you like an discussion about the number you cited?

16

17 THE COURT: No, sir.

18 MR. BROWN: Okay.
THE COURT: Nothing.

19

20 MR. TYSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Thank you.

21

22 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor, thank you for your time.

23

24 THE COURT: It's going to take a minute, I have a

25 briefcase; you all just go. I've got to go way back in

1 the other building.

2 MR. LINDSEY: I -- you have a briefcase Your Honor --

3

4 [Whereupon, the hearing was concluded at 10:49 a.m.]

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 CERTIFICATE

2
STATE OF GEORGIA]
3

4] SS.
COUNTY OF DOUGLAS]
5

6 I, PRISCILLA GARCIA, A COURT REPORTER IN THE STATE OF
7

8 GEORGIA, DO HEREBY STATE THAT THE FOREGOING IS A TRUE AND

9 ACCURATE TRANSCRIPT AS TAKEN DOWN BY ME AT THE TIME,

10 PLACE, AND THE DATE HEREINBEFORE SET FORTH.

11 I DO FURTHER STATE THAT I AM NEITHER A RELATIVE NOR EMPLOYEE NOR ATTORNEY NOR COUNSEL OF ANY OF THE PARTIES TO
12

13 THIS ACTION, AND THAT I AM NEITHER A RELATIVE NOR EMPLOYEE OF SUCH ATTORNEY OR COUNSEL, AND THAT I AM NOT FINANCIALLY
14

15 INTERESTED IN THIS ACTION.
WITNESS MY HAND IN THE CITY OF DOUGLASVILLE, COUNTY
16

17 OF DOUGLAS, STATE OF GEORGIA, ON THIS 28TH DAY OF JANUARY

18 2019. BY: Priscilla Garcia
19

20 PRISCILLA GARCIA, COURT REPORTER
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF GEORGIA
21

22 COMMISSION NO.: W-00379933
COMMISSION EXPIRES: 08/14/2022
23

24 CERTIFICATION NO.: 5503-2677-8304-9216

25 LICENSE EXPIRES: 04/01/2019

1
2
3 I, Chris Naaden, a transcriber, hereby declare
4 under penalty of perjury that to the best of my
5 ability the above 82 pages contain a full, true and
6 correct transcription of the tape-recording that I
7 received regarding the event listed on the caption on
8 page 1.
9
10 I further declare that I have no interest in the
11 event of the action.
12
13 January 28, 2019
14
15
16 Chris Naaden
17
18
19
20 (Transcript of Trial Proceedings)
21
22
23
24
25

(

(8)(C) 59:6
(b) 71:2

–

--is 54:15

1

1 64:22
10,000 73:15
100,000 65:18
10:16 63:22
10:21 63:22
10:49 82:4
115 39:13 40:4
123,000 67:22
144 80:13, 18
18 7:4 19:8 54:22
180 60:9
19 44:13
1998 68:4, 15
1999 34:1,4

2

20 10:11 36:10 68:4
2000 77:22
2003 34:4
2004 34:6
2005 34:6
2006 34:6
2007 34:9
2013 34:9, 11
2016 20:2, 24 21:2, 11,22 22:6,17
2017 20:24
2018 7:4 10:11 21:24,25 23:11 25:20 31:17 49:4 64:13 78:4
202 77:22
21-2-300 56:13
21-2-383(b) 52:13
2125-22 64:22
2125-22(3) 65:6
212522 79:15
24-7- 58:18
24-7-803 59:6
269 64:15
270 68:15
273 77:22

3

3 64:22
3,108 32:22
30 36:11 47:2
300 56:17, 18
302 56:17
31 80:15
31,000 80:20
339 59:8
346 64:13
370-plus 40:4

4

41 71:2
41(b) 64:8 66:22
475 64:15
491 64:13

5

52 48:6

6

62 15:6
6th 37:24 38:17 49:4

7

7 68:4,15
70 36:9
703 58:20

8

8 60:10,11
80 36:9

9

911 64:6 70:11,25
911-41 70:17
91141(b) 64:17 78:22
99.95 75:20
9:04 7:4

A

a.m. 7:4 63:22 82:4
absolutely 62:2 79:15 80:22
academy 58:11 59:2,24 60:17,18 61:9
access 28:11 29:4 30:10,13, 17,25 47:4,20 55:15
accessed 44:1
accessible 28:15
account 39:20
accuracy 26:10,15 44:17 48:18 55:10
accurate 70:2
accurately 69:22
action 78:22
active-duty 45:18
activities 34:13 35:6,15
actual 45:2, 4,17 53:10 70:2
add 61:11
addition 35:1 69:9
additional 67:8 76:1 78:1
address 8:22 30:8
adjudicate 64:9
adjudication 66:22
administering 19:1
Administration 59:11
admissible 58:15 59:4,20 62:17
admission 58:11
admit 61:18
admitted 59:15 68:18,20 77:13
admittedly 60:15
admonished 48:2
adopt 68:1 74:22
adverse 20:18
advocate 28:6 62:1
afraid 63:10
age 48:4,8
agencies 71:9 72:11
agree 61:10
ahead 13:22 14:2 21:6 33:8 35:13 48:2,10 57:20 62:6 71:4
AJC 32:4,6
alarm 47:20
alarmed 45:9
alerted 57:13
alleging 65:2 72:15
allowance 59:10
allowed 55:15 59:9 60:22 64:9 66:22
allowing 74:6
alluded 48:1,13 60:22
alter 26:20
altered 27:22
amazed 17:2
AME 23:22 24:8,9,20
amendments 11:20
Amico 11:15 15:17 80:18,20
Amico's 11:18 14:13
amount 66:13
ample 69:2
Angeles 10:4 11:4
App 59:9 64:13
appeared 67:1
appears 41:10

52:17 60:9

applications 39:6

apply 8:17

approved 75:9

area 31:24 33:13 54:6

argue 55:24

argued 61:8

arguing 62:8

argument 58:11,15 68:2

arisen 55:20

assigned 40:9,18 71:9

assisting 58:22

assume 80:15,18

assumes 21:6

assuming 16:10 21:4

attack 71:11 79:6

attacks 37:11

attempting 52:17

attorney 10:1

attorneys 68:2

August 38:18

Austin 34:4

authority 35:18 79:6

average 71:18

aware 21:18 24:6,10,22 27:20 28:1 31:15 32:2,11,18 36:15,20 37:6,10 46:17 47:11 50:2,6,8 51:20,22 52:4 61:22 62:11,13 79:9

B

back 11:25 12:1,24 15:13 17:15 22:15 41:13 42:2,9 55:18 56:2 60:24 63:22 65:20 81:25

background 34:2 71:10

bad 71:20 74:25 75:1,2,9

bailiffs 8:2

BALIFF 63:25

ballot 10:18,20, 25 11:15, 22,25 12:2,10 14:10 16:6 28:15 30:8,10, 15,22,25 38:20,24 39:11,13 40:2,6,13, 25 41:6,9 42:2,6,15 57:6 58:2 67:1,6 69:4 72:20 78:4 79:22

ballots 30:11,13 39:24

Barnes 72:2 73:20 74:2

Barren 72:6

Barron 17:20 18:6,11,15 27:11 29:18 33:22,24 48:1 49:11,20 52:4,22 53:4 56:13 57:4 58:6

Barron's 20:6

base 67:2

based 30:6 39:15 66:10 74:18

basic 26:11

basically 34:24 54:4

basis 58:15 67:13 74:4 77:24 78:17

began 38:18

beginning 11:25 12:1 27:2 68:4

begins 60:10

behalf 52:25 64:6 66:15 67:25

Bernhard 28:4

bi-witnesses 76:6

biggest 29:20,22

bit 22:15 34:2

board 16:4 34:13,24 35:17,18, 20 66:20 68:6

boards 35:18

book 34:20, 22 36:20, 22 81:13

book's 30:18

books 29:4

booth 28:18

boundaries 39:18

box 8:2,4 11:11

Boy 8:4

breach 61:2

break 46:15 47:9,10,15

briefcase 81:25 82:2

briefed 52:10

briefing 52:13

briefly 16:2 49:8 58:13 66:20 76:4 77:18

bring 55:18

broken 44:11,24 45:1 46:18

brought 55:20 65:13 79:15

Brown 7:18, 22 8:2,11, 13,15,20, 24 9:2,6, 22,25 10:2 13:2 14:6, 8,20 17:11,15, 18,20,24 18:13 20:17,20, 22 21:4,15 24:17 27:17 28:9,10 29:8,18 31:20 32:2,24 34:8 35:8 42:22 49:18,20 50:10 51:4,13, 17,22,25 52:2,20 53:2 55:4, 6 56:2,6, 8,18 57:17 58:6,9 59:22 61:6,20 62:10,24 63:2,13, 15,20 67:13 70:11 71:4 74:15 77:4,18 78:2 81:2, 10,13,15, 18,22

Bruce 9:25

Bryan 16:2

build 38:22

building 82:1

burden 79:24

Burwell 21:2,6 24:13 29:6,9 33:2,6,9, 11,15,18, 22 35:10, 13 47:22 52:15 56:9,11,22 57:1,2,9 60:6 64:4, 6 66:24 67:20 70:13,18 71:2 78:18

button 41:11

C

cable 45:25 47:10

calibrate 26:11

call 8:25 17:20 19:22 43:13 54:2 76:2

called 9:15 18:8 20:18,20 29:20,22 48:18 54:11 69:17

calls 57:17

cameras 45:10 47:20 76:15

campus 72:9

cancel 40:22,24 41:11,18 42:1,2

canceled 41:11

cancelled 42:18

candidate 11:9 69:20

candidates 38:22 65:20 67:8

capability 27:11

card 10:20 21:25 26:4,18,20 28:11 29:4 30:10,13, 22 31:10, 11 41:15 42:11

cards 21:20 22:2,9,15 23:2 30:17 31:13 44:1,4 45:4 47:6 53:18,20 67:2

care 19:2 49:8 65:4

case 10:1 24:11 52:11 55:20 59:8,9,13 60:2,4 61:2 62:2 63:4 64:10,13, 20 67:22 68:11 71:22 72:18 73:13

cases 64:11 80:8

cast 12:4, 10 42:15, 18 67:22 69:20 77:1,4

category 11:10 17:4

caused 73:4 75:18,22

causing 72:20 73:11

certified 77:6

chain-of-custody 45:11

Chalk 64:13

challenge 74:22

challenging 80:8

chance 73:1, 2 75:22

chances 72:15

change 51:2 64:25 65:9,11,24 78:11 79:25 81:6,8

changed 51:20 52:4

charge 19:1

Chattahoochee 40:13

checks 28:13

ching-ching-ching 63:11

chip 28:13

choice 36:4 69:20 73:2

choices 76:17

choose 66:8

chose 67:10 76:8

chosen 78:2 80:4

Chrysler 59:8

church 23:24 24:20 42:24

circumstances 43:9

cite 59:8 64:13

cited 68:24 81:15

city 39:18

Civic 34:6

claims 52:15

clarified 50:25

clean 81:11

clear 19:17 63:11 64:13 68:8 77:22 78:15 79:20

clerk 33:13

clerk's 33:13

close 64:9 80:22

closed 70:4

closer 33:17

closing 56:22

co-counsel 48:2

coalition 16:17

COBB 7:4

code 30:8, 10,22 34:20 35:22

47:20
50:20,22
51:9,10
52:22
74:25
75:1,2,9
76:2

coding 23:11
25:9,11

colleagues
70:9

combination
75:11

communication
52:18

Company
64:15

compare
72:20

complain
12:15
66:13

complained
14:4

complaint
24:22

complaints
53:24 57:4

complete
60:6,11,13

completed
78:24

component
37:1

components
72:6

compromise
20:11 72:2

compromised
20:2

computation
66:4

computer
27:20
33:17
49:13
70:15,20

computers
73:11

concern
32:13
43:17,25

concerned
74:4

concerns
43:20 70:6

conclude
59:22

concluded
82:4

conduct
48:18
68:22

conducted
76:6,9,11

confusion
69:4

connected
31:4,6
38:6,11

connection
34:13

consensus
59:22
71:6,8
73:6

construe
64:17

contact
43:11
44:25

contemporaneously 24:18

contest
64:22
67:15

continue
63:6

continues
60:11

contractors
19:10

contradicted
69:22

contrary
61:22
62:11
67:18 71:8
77:9

controversy
77:8

conveniently
78:2

copy 20:2
60:6,13

correct 12:9
14:18
15:11,13,
22 16:9
19:13,18,
20,22
21:25
22:4,6
23:2,22
24:1,2
26:11 29:2
30:18 31:2
37:2 38:15
39:10,11
40:15
42:13,20
43:2,4
53:11,15,
18,20
55:11

correctly
69:20
77:1,6

costed 76:15

counsel
55:18,22
61:22

counted 67:2

counties

22:15,20 23:6 51:1

counting 70:4

country 59:25 60:4 78:13

county 7:4 16:2 18:18,20 19:2,22 21:1 22:9 23:11,20, 22 25:20 30:4 31:9 32:22 34:4,8,10, 13 35:18, 24 36:2,8, 10,13,17 37:4,11,20 39:17 43:22 52:10 55:8,11,15 57:4 64:6 66:20 68:2 69:15 70:9

County's 20:22 21:2,20 66:20

couple 48:13 71:15

court 7:8, 11,15,22, 25 8:2,9, 11,13,15, 18,22 9:1 13:4,11, 15,18,20, 24 14:1 15:25 17:11,13, 15 20:9, 13,18 21:10 24:11,15 27:13 28:6 29:11 31:22 33:1,4,8, 10,11,15, 24 35:11, 24 36:11 47:24 48:9 49:6,18 50:2,4,11 51:4,8,15, 18,22 52:1,10, 11,18 53:2 55:6,22,24 56:2,4,6, 11,20,24 57:2,11, 20,22 58:6,22 59:9,17 61:6,18, 20,25 62:15,17, 25 63:8, 15,17,25 64:2,4,8, 11,13,17, 18 65:22 66:24 68:11,13, 15 70:11, 13,20,22, 25 71:4 74:13 75:15 76:4 77:17,22 78:15,18, 20,24 81:4,11, 13,17,18, 20,24

cover 60:8

Crawford 68:4,15

create 41:15

created 21:24 28:18 40:25 42:11 43:13 53:24 54:4,20 68:9

cross 20:18 77:13

CROSS-EXAMINATION 14:22 33:20

crowbar 47:13

Curling 50:11 52:11

cut 47:10

cycle 51:2

D

data 58:20

database 21:2 22:10 23:11,13 26:9 28:24 29:2 53:10 54:10,11

date 26:11

daughter 12:22 14:4 15:20 16:15

dawn 11:11

dawned 11:22

day 7:17 25:6,15 30:18 40:6,8,15 42:20,25 44:11,15 54:4 58:1 69:18 76:11 78:13

days 44:13 47:2
deal 60:15
dealing 19:4
deals 70:2
December 25:22,25 26:2 34:1
decide 75:15
decision 50:11 62:15 68:4 70:20
decisions 75:6
decrepitude 71:13
defective 59:11 71:22
defects 21:18 59:11 62:11
defendant 52:15 64:2 78:25
defendants 74:20,22 75:4
defendants' 72:22
defense 72:11
defined 29:10
degree 75:20
delivered 44:18 45:15,22
deliveries 45:20
demand 63:2, 4,6
Democrat 16:25
Democratic 73:25
demonstrated 80:10
depends 19:11
describe 16:22 26:6 54:1 58:13
describing 53:13
design 67:6 78:4
desk 43:11, 13 54:4, 13,15 81:11
detail 54:2
details 76:15
determine 23:11 24:25 27:8
determiner 64:11
determines 58:22 64:18
deviation 76:18 78:6
device 22:25
Diebold 61:13
differ 40:6
difference 51:10 62:13 66:13 75:18
differently 52:4
difficult 16:22
direct 9:18 13:11 18:11 20:13,20 69:17
director 18:20,24 43:20 52:9
disabled 41:1,2,8, 11,15 42:13
disaffected 72:6
disclosed 58:20
discover 75:11
discovery 55:18
discredited 73:17
discretion 76:2
discussion 81:15
disinfect 21:2,15 22:18,22
disinfected 21:22 22:15
dismiss 64:9 81:1
dismissal 64:6,15 79:2
dispatch 54:8
disproven 67:13
districts 39:15,17, 18,20

document 60:8,10

door 45:25 47:4,20

doors 47:13

doubt 64:25 65:9,24 67:17 79:25 80:11

downtown 61:8

drastic 68:6 80:6

DRE 25:20 28:15 30:25 36:6,13,17 37:15,17, 20 38:1,4, 10,11 42:22 43:22 45:2,4,22 49:22 50:1,15 55:9 56:15 65:2 79:8 80:2

DRE's 41:24

DRE-BASED 35:25

DRES 47:18 50:24 52:8,15,24 61:13 71:13

drive 10:8 17:15

duly 9:15 18:8

Duncan 11:15

duties 39:8

E

E-NET 29:25

earlier 56:15

early 19:11 30:11 38:4 39:4,22,24 40:1,11,20 44:6,13 47:11

echo 66:22

Edward 77:4

effect 27:22 58:25 59:4

effective 71:25

efforts 21:1

elect- 78:4

election 11:15 19:9,18 20:1 21:25 23:11 24:4,18 25:20,22 26:4,9,13, 18 27:22 28:25 30:18 31:17 34:13,20, 24 35:6, 15,17 37:24 38:2,17,18 39:10,15 40:6,8,15 44:15 46:20 48:15 50:20 51:2,6 54:4,22 61:4,17 64:22,24, 25 65:2 66:11,17 67:15 69:15,18 72:4,15 73:18 74:22 75:15,22, 25 76:11, 13,25 77:24 78:17 79:22 80:1,4,8, 11,25

election's 80:9

Electionet 29:25

elections 16:4 18:20,25 19:2 22:4, 6 33:25 34:2,4,15 35:18,20 38:22 43:20 52:9 60:1 66:20 67:13 75:6 78:6

electricity 38:9,13

electronic 10:15 25:13 28:18 72:20 74:24 75:6 78:9

electronics 72:20

elicited 69:10

eligible 28:25

email 14:6

employed 18:17

employees 19:6,10
enables 30:13
end 11:22 12:9 33:15 78:13
Enet 30:4 31:2,8,15 32:4
English 63:11
ensure 69:18
entire 55:25
entity 60:18
equal 80:13
equipment 27:6 36:2, 4 44:8 75:8
erase 27:1
error 80:15
errors 23:13 25:9,11,13
established 71:4 72:4 80:9
evaluate 58:22
evaluated 76:2
event 75:24 79:1
eventually 81:8
everybody's 70:13
everything's 8:6
evidence 21:8 50:9 59:15,18 60:2 61:18,22 62:10,11 64:18 65:10,25 66:1,6,24 67:11,15, 18,20 68:8,17,22 69:10 71:4,8,11, 22,24 72:2,18,25 73:6,9,13, 15 74:2, 17,20 75:20 77:9 78:1,25 79:1,18 80:15,22, 24 81:2
evidentiary 58:11
evolved 72:22
examination 9:18 18:11 25:18 27:8 77:13
examined 21:17 55:13
exception 59:6 60:20
excuse 13:6 56:18
excused 17:13
exercise 76:1
existed 76:20
experience 7:20
experienced 13:1
experiences 73:9
expert 8:18, 20 60:22 61:15 68:18 69:13,25 70:4 72:10,17 73:6 74:11 77:13
expert's 58:24
experts 58:15
explain 39:22 41:2 46:4 48:2, 20
explained 77:18
explanation 74:17 78:11
explanations 77:15
express 28:15,18, 20 29:1 30:18,22 31:1,11,13 41:1,4,13 42:2,9
expressed 28:4
expression 29:4
extent 61:4
external 37:11,18
extraordinary 68:6
extremely 69:11
eye 7:18

F

face 63:13

facie 75:17 77:18

facilities 53:4

fact 31:24 42:17 50:8 60:2 64:8, 11 68:6,10 69:2 75:15 76:6 78:4

facts 21:4,6 58:20 64:18 79:2

fail 67:15

fair 22:20 23:10 48:4

fall 51:2

false 31:25

familiar 11:22 68:18

favorably 64:18

favored 67:20

federal 50:11 51:4 52:11

filed 64:22

find 25:2 34:18 50:20 62:17 65:22 74:25 75:1,2,10

finder 64:11

fine 13:20

finish 13:8, 9,22 14:1 62:6

fire- 45:18

firemen 45:18,20

firm 59:9

first-hand 43:2

fit 60:20

flash 31:11 41:17

follow 32:6 34:15,18 35:2

follow-up 57:10

force 8:11

foremost 52:17

forensic 27:6

forensically 55:11

forgotten 70:15

forms 45:11

formulations 68:20

Forty-one 71:2

forward 12:8

found 25:4 55:25

foundation 20:6,11 21:9 27:11 31:18

fraud 64:24

freakish 73:15

FRIDAY 7:4

full 18:15

full-time 19:6

fully 67:24

Fulton 18:18 19:2 20:22 21:1,2,20 23:11,22 25:20 32:22 34:10,13 35:18,24 36:8,10, 13,17 37:4,11,20 43:22 52:9 55:8,11,15 57:4 64:6 66:20 68:2 69:15 70:9

Fulton's 67:24

function 73:18

G

Ga 59:9 64:13 68:4,15 77:22

gain 24:18

GEM 19:22 37:4,8

GEMS 20:2, 11,22 21:2 22:9 23:11,13 36:25 47:17 53:6,9,10

general 38:17 51:6 71:18

generally 61:13

gentleman 60:20

gentlemen 7:8

George 71:13

Georgetown 34:8

Georgia 7:4 10:6 11:8 13:22 15:2,8 34:20 36:2 50:22 52:22 61:1,13 62:13 64:13,15 71:15 74:24 75:6,8 77:4,22 78:15

Georgia's 71:13,20 72:8,13

get all 38:20,25 39:2,4

give 7:25

giving 55:22 60:22 62:20

goal 61:8

good 7:8,18 13:22 33:18 48:2 71:18

governmental 60:18 71:9

governor 57:6 68:1 69:6 74:8,9 78:10,11

governor's 10:22,24 11:6 16:11 58:2 66:6,9,25 78:6

Governors 69:6

grant 81:1

granted 79:2

great 76:15

greater 54:1

greatly 59:2

grounds 64:8 79:2

groups 16:17

guards 69:18

guess 54:18 78:20

guessing 16:24

Gwinnett 16:2 66:20 68:2 70:9

H

hacking 31:15 32:4 70:4

half 18:22

hand 9:2 65:17 73:15

handled 81:4

handles 46:1

happen 73:11,15

happened 12:25 24:20 25:1 42:22 43:2 69:13 72:15 78:15

happening 47:11 78:2

hard 27:2

Hart 68:4,13 70:20

Hartman 34:6

hate 15:4

head 21:6

headed 72:24

hear 63:18 70:11

heard 28:2,4 68:18

hearing 55:22 63:8 76:2 82:4

hearsay 58:17 59:6 60:24 62:22

helpful 60:2

high 10:13 69:4 75:20

highly 71:6 73:17

Highway 59:10

Hills 40:13

history 69:6

home 12:24 17:15

Honor 7:13,18 8:6,11,24 13:22 17:11,20 20:4,17 21:2,6 24:13 27:10 28:9 29:6 31:18 33:2 35:8,10 47:25 51:13 52:15 55:18 56:2,9,18 57:17 58:9 59:1,13,22 60:6,15,25 61:10,20,22 62:11,15,24

63:2,4,13, 18 64:6 66:18,20 67:25 70:18,24 71:4 72:22 73:13 74:2,22 75:1,20 76:1,4 77:15 81:2,20,22 82:2

hooked 37:15,17

House 39:18

hundreds 54:24

I

idea 48:2 74:15

identifies 46:10

ignore 78:2

illegal 65:8,11 67:22 79:17

illegality 79:24

immediately 25:22

important 67:10 69:13 72:13

importantly 76:11

improperly 44:1

impropriety 65:25 66:15

inability 75:11

inadmissible 58:20

inappropriate 66:6

inaudible 8:6,8 17:6 53:1 62:15 68:6 71:6 75:25 81:10

incidents 24:2

include 52:13

including 67:10 69:2

inconsistent 75:11

increases 19:11 72:15

Independent 16:25

independently 59:4

indication 67:20

individual 68:22 76:15 77:2

individuals 66:8

inference 58:22

infiltrated 36:17

inform 30:4

information 24:13 28:24 30:9,20,24 31:2,4,8 38:20 52:1 65:15,17, 20,22 66:10

informed 73:6

initially 11:17

input 48:22 49:15

inquire 31:25

insert 26:18

inserted 30:17

insertion 26:20

inspect 25:8

inspections 76:24

instance 42:1 46:17

instant 64:20

instruct 62:15

insulate 75:6

interested 16:18

interesting 8:4

internal 26:22 54:13

Internet 37:15

interpret 34:25

interpreted 52:4

interrupt 68:11

interrupting

56:1

investigate 32:9

investigating 32:11

investigation 24:25 32:18 59:11

involuntary 64:6

involve 26:15

involved 16:17 33:25

involving 32:4

irregularities 67:15

irregularity 64:24 78:2 79:24

irrelevant 60:25

issue 8:22 25:4 42:22 43:10 60:4 65:18 68:8,9 74:13 77:22 79:20 80:2

issues 16:18 29:15 36:13,20 37:4 55:20 67:9

J

January 7:4

Jeff 68:1

join 67:24

joins 66:20

jokes 48:11

judge 34:2 38:15 39:11,22 41:2 43:8 44:2 45:2 46:4 47:15 48:15,20 55:20,22 81:6

judges 63:10 81:6

judicial 75:8

jury 8:4 58:20,22 63:2 78:20,24

K

Kaolin 64:15

Kemp 50:11

key 45:13

keypad 45:8

kind 24:25 68:8,9,22 76:13,18

kinds 79:9

knowledge 20:6 22:18 23:6 43:2 51:6 61:11

L

lack 29:2 67:6

ladies 7:8

laid 20:10 21:9 27:11,13

language 29:15

large 11:6 17:6 45:8 66:13

late 7:9

law 8:15 34:15,18, 25 36:2 49:20 50:1 51:6,10,18 56:22 58:13,17, 18 63:4 64:11,20 75:17 79:2,20 80:4

lawsuit 24:15

lawyer 56:25 62:2

lay 56:24

layout 69:4

lays 68:4

leading 20:13 29:9 51:2

learned 24:15 27:6

leave 62:15

Leclerc 23:15

Leclerc's 43:4

led 65:25 66:2 68:22

left 12:22 78:13

legal 65:22 66:17 67:22 79:18,22

legislature 75:13

level 30:4

lieutenant 10:24

16:11 57:6 58:2 66:4, 8,25 68:1 69:6 74:8, 9 78:11

life 27:2

lightly 80:6

likelihood 75:18

Lindsey 7:11,13 8:6,10 13:6,10, 20,22 14:2,25 15:24 20:10 47:24,25 48:6,11,13 49:6 51:4 55:18 57:10,11, 20,22,25 58:4 60:15 61:15 63:18 67:25 68:13,15, 17 70:18, 22,24 73:20 74:1 76:4 77:4 82:2

listen 62:20

listened 43:4

Lithonia 10:6,8,13

live 10:6 26:10 31:6 40:11 76:15

load 26:9

loaded 28:24

local 38:20

located 47:6

location 46:18 53:18

locations 45:17

lock 45:13

log 54:11

logic 26:10, 13 48:18 55:9

long 18:22 33:24 47:1 81:6

looked 14:9, 11 16:6 73:22

Los 10:4 11:4

lose 75:2

lot 69:13 71:11

lots 39:6

M

machine 10:15,20 25:6,9 27:2 31:2 36:17 37:15,17 38:1,10,11 40:20 41:20 42:22 43:17 47:4 48:15 49:1,2 60:4 74:24 76:24 78:9

machines 25:20 26:17 27:22,24 32:22 36:6,13 37:11,20, 22 38:4,6 39:4 42:4 43:22 44:4,6,11 45:4,6,13, 15,22 46:11,15, 22 47:11 49:2,22 50:1,15 53:13 55:9,13,15 56:15 61:13 62:22 65:2,4 71:11,15, 17 73:2 75:18,22 76:25

made 24:22 27:9 46:6 58:15 75:17

maintain 47:1

maintained 30:2 71:15

major 63:11

make 17:4 19:17 22:8,20 26:11 29:2 30:15 31:22,25 35:1 38:20 39:2,4 46:2 48:24 49:15 54:15 56:24 58:10 62:2 63:10 70:10 76:25 77:4

makes 41:17

making 8:6 11:8 22:13

32:1 76:17
MALE 64:1
malfunctions 12:11
malicious 68:9,22
malware 21:17 22:24 68:22 73:4 76:9,20 77:11
mandated 56:15
manually 49:15
margin 65:18 67:22
marked 53:13
match 48:24
material 62:13
mathematical 66:4 68:20
matter 50:8 64:15 68:10 76:6
matters 77:11
means 39:13 41:4
meantime 43:15
measures 47:17
mechanical 25:13
media 19:4 47:4
memory 21:20,25 22:2,9,15 23:2 26:4, 22 27:9,24 31:11 43:25 44:4 53:17,20
mentioned 53:6
mere 77:24 78:15
merits 66:22
metal 46:8 47:13
mic 21:11
Michael 72:2
microscopic 73:1
Middleton 77:22
mind 81:6,8
minds 57:18
minute 7:9, 25 55:4 81:11,24
minutes 63:18
mis-program 22:24
misconduct 64:24
misprogramming 73:4 75:2
missed 16:13
Mm-hmm 56:11
mode 41:1, 6,8,13,15 42:11,13
modes 41:6
moment 32:24
morning 7:8, 18 10:9 12:22 14:6 21:11 29:17 33:4 65:15
motion 64:2, 9 66:20 67:24 77:15 79:2 81:1
move 7:10, 15 64:6 79:2
movement 50:6
multiple 55:20
municipalities 38:22

N

narrow 80:2
NAS 61:6
national 58:11 59:2,10,24 60:17 62:11 71:6,8,13, 17 72:9 73:6
naturally 72:13
nature 74:15
needed 21:9
negligent 68:9
night 14:4
non-jury 64:15
nonliving 64:18
nonprofit 60:20
noon 7:10
note 59:4 60:6 79:4
noticed 12:13,15

November 10:11 23:11 24:18 31:17 37:24 38:17 39:15 49:4 54:22

number 46:9 62:18 67:4,8,22 69:4 78:6 80:22 81:15

numbers 44:8,9,20, 22 80:13, 20

O

oath 9:17 18:9

object 20:4 21:2 27:10 31:18 35:8

objection 20:15 24:13 29:6 51:4 52:15,20, 25 56:6 57:17

objectionable 52:2

objections 55:24

observe 27:11

observed 43:6

occasions 55:20

occur 40:20 67:6

occurred 61:2

occurs 45:17

OCGA 52:13 56:13 59:6

offer 79:1

offhand 50:17

office 19:18 24:6,13,15 43:13 44:25 51:1 57:22

officers 45:18,20

official 51:6 64:25 65:4 66:17 69:15

open 46:15 54:18

opening 56:4

operating 69:18 77:1

operation 25:15

operator 41:13

opinion 27:22 28:2,4 50:2 51:2 58:22,24 59:2 60:22 62:20 72:11,17

opportunity 55:22

opposite 59:11

option 41:13 67:11 73:18,20

order 63:25

orders 52:10

organization 60:20

originally 46:22

originates 22:11

outlined 70:6

outweighs 58:24 59:2

overcome 80:24

overruled 55:24

oversee 35:6,15 54:6

overseeing 19:4 23:20 34:13

overturn 77:24

overturned 75:25

overturning 78:17

owns 36:6

P

packet 27:2

pages 10:25 60:9,10

paper 72:20 73:1 75:25

paragraph 60:11

parallel 76:17

part 28:22 36:1 48:11 70:6

part-time

19:9

partial 72:4

particularities 68:18

party 67:6

pass 49:4

passed 77:15

password-protected 47:18

past 78:6, 11

penalties 35:22

people 19:22 57:18 59:18 74:4 80:4

perceive 62:10

percent 36:9,11 66:4,13 75:20

person 11:11 12:20 14:4 16:20 80:8

personally 24:6 35:20

petitioner 75:17

petitioner's 64:20

petitioners 10:1 65:2 75:13

ph 68:1,13 72:9 73:4 78:1 81:6

phrase 31:22 52:2

phrased 35:11

piece 72:4

place 8:8 33:18 39:25 40:11,18 43:15 44:18 65:9,11,24 67:17 69:24 76:10 77:10 79:25

places 19:2 39:1

plaintiff 64:18 69:2 75:2 76:8 77:11 78:22 79:2,22

plaintiff's 55:18,22 64:10 67:13 68:18,25 69:10,13, 25 70:4

plaintiffs 17:20 55:15 64:22 78:13 79:4

plaintiffs' 69:17

plate 47:13

plausible 74:18 77:13

plenty 16:15

plugged 38:13

podium 33:10,11

podiums 33:13

point 11:13 26:6 49:9 51:9 58:9 59:4,13 60:1 76:22

pointed 63:11

Poletto 64:13

police 45:20

political 39:20

poll 12:17 19:2 23:17 25:4 28:13,15, 18,20 29:1,4 30:18,22 31:1 36:20,22 38:25 39:1 41:1,4,13 42:2,6,9 43:11,15 44:10,22 46:11 53:15 65:17

poller 54:2

polling 19:2 39:1,25 40:11 43:15 44:18 46:18

polls 31:11, 13 65:11

popped 11:4

position 18:18,22 52:9 71:15 78:22 79:11

positions 49:15

positions'

72:22

potential
78:13

potentially
38:13

precinct
24:20
30:11
31:13 40:9
67:2 80:18

precincts
24:1
39:15,20
40:4,10
54:6

prejudicial
58:24 59:4

prepare
38:17

presentation
78:25

presented
73:4,6,20
77:11 78:8

presumed
67:15
79:20

presumption
67:18
79:22

pretty 62:20
63:10

previous
11:24
13:17 22:4

previously
20:15

prima 75:17
77:18

prinicipally
58:17

prior 22:6
23:10
31:15
48:15
53:25
61:11
76:11,25

private
60:20

privileged
52:18

probative
58:22 59:2

problem 7:13
41:20
68:10
73:10
76:13
77:22

problems
15:20

procedures
39:9 80:9

process
22:13
24:11 26:6
29:20 43:8
44:2,13
45:2

processed
39:6

product
59:11

promise 9:2
17:20

proper 20:11

properly
73:18 77:6

proponent
58:20

proven 75:18

provide
64:15

provided
66:10

public 54:17
59:6 60:18
62:18

pull 10:18
40:13

purchased
36:9,10

purely 66:4

pursuant
64:6

pushing
49:13

put 7:22
10:20
28:13 29:1
30:9,24
31:11,13
33:15
41:11
47:13
54:18 55:8
69:24 76:8

puts 28:15

Q

question
8:15
13:13,17
20:13
21:6,11
22:22
27:13
31:22
35:11 50:9
52:2 61:1,
4 74:1

questioning
55:25

questions
13:4,6,11
15:24
17:9,11
19:17
29:11
33:1,2
36:18
47:22,25
49:6 51:11
58:6 72:2
73:20

quick 13:6 68:11
quickly 72:2
quote 52:13
quoted 56:4
quoting 58:18

R

race 10:22, 24 11:6,15 12:2 16:11 57:6 58:1, 2 66:6,9, 25 67:6,11 68:18,20 78:4 79:10,13, 18
races 67:2 78:9
raise 9:2
raised 66:24 68:2
Raven 81:6
read 32:4, 6,15 50:11 56:22 59:18 62:22 68:2,4 70:15
reading 29:11 57:18 59:18,22 75:15 80:4
ready 26:13 38:20 39:4
realized 78:20
reason 22:13 63:4 69:9 73:22 77:15
reasonable 55:22 69:8 78:11
reasons 67:4 69:2 70:8
rebuttal 8:18
rebutted 69:1
recall 20:25 23:15,20 53:6 57:8 59:11
recap 44:20 46:15
receipt 65:11
receive 57:4
received 24:13 65:8 79:17
record 9:22 18:15 21:4 32:20 54:15 55:15 61:20 62:18 63:22 65:22 66:6 67:11,20
recorded 44:8,9,22 46:13 77:2,6
recording 49:15 70:2
records 54:18 59:6
recounted 72:10
recruited 39:1
reference 31:20
referring 56:13 68:11
reflect 21:4,11
refused 7:11
registration 18:20,24 19:4 28:22 29:2,22,25 34:15 35:18,20 39:6
Registrations 16:4 66:20
regular 41:6,13 42:11
reinstalled 20:2
rejected 65:8,22 66:18 67:22 79:18
rejection 65:11
related 32:4 33:2
relating 49:22
relevance 61:18
reliability 43:20
relied 61:15 62:18
relief 79:4
remarked 74:2
remedy 80:6
remember 11:2

remove 22:24
renew 58:11 63:2
renewing 63:4
reopened 25:6
rephrase 50:9
replace 64:25
replaced 20:22 72:4,6
report 24:2, 8 31:20 58:13 59:2,4,10, 22 61:6,13 67:2,4
reported 69:22
reports 31:15,18 32:2 59:6 66:25 69:11
represent 16:2
representative 39:18 80:6
reproduce 31:11
reproduces 31:11
reprogram 26:2
reprogramming 26:15 27:9,22
Republican 16:24 73:25
request 54:20 58:11
requesting 66:22
require 49:11 50:1 52:13 64:17
required 34:15 35:2 47:1 49:22 56:15
requires 50:22 52:22 58:18 64:22
requiring 52:6
reserved 80:6
respect 28:6 44:4 45:2 62:1 79:6 81:2
respond 35:10
response 72:2 76:4
rest 63:15
result 53:24 65:1,13,24 67:13 69:4 73:15 74:22 79:25 80:1,10
results 48:24 61:4 65:9 67:17,18 72:18 73:1,2,9 75:22 77:10
retired 16:13 45:20
returned 46:22
returns 79:22
revealed 76:9
review 23:11 75:8
reviewed 59:15 60:22
Richard 17:20 18:6,11,15 33:22
rid 79:11
roaming 54:6
rolls 19:4
room 38:10, 11
Roswell 40:13,17, 18
rule 8:8, 13,17 34:20,22 51:20 52:4 74:20 75:1,4,11 81:4
ruled 55:22 63:9,13 81:4,11
rules 8:10, 11 34:25 35:1,22 63:10
ruling 51:2
rulings 63:11
run 26:8,10 48:22 49:11,13

63:13

running 11:11 16:20 26:20 69:8

runoff 25:25 26:2 55:2

RUSSO 49:8, 10,18

S

safe 17:15 69:18

safeguards 69:22

safety 44:4 59:11

Sara 23:15

saved 54:9

scenarios 73:2

scholars 72:11

School 10:13

Science 59:24

Science's 59:2

Sciences 58:13 60:17,18

scientific 27:20,22 29:13 79:6

scientists 72:11

Scores 54:24

screen 10:15,18 12:13 14:10,18 15:13 16:22 25:13 40:22 41:9 42:10 49:13 73:24

script 48:22,25 49:11

seal 44:6, 9,11,22,25 46:4,9,10, 13,17,22 47:2,9,10

sealed 44:6, 15 45:4 47:4

seals 44:11, 22 45:22, 24 46:15

seat 9:6 17:24 63:25

seats 7:9

Secretary 19:18 20:1,6 32:18 51:1 69:15 75:6 77:6

section 64:22 65:6

Sections 64:22

secure 53:4, 18 61:2

secured 53:18

securing 60:1

security 7:20,22 45:2 47:17 53:6 60:4

SED 34:20, 22

select 41:13

selects 30:22

senate 39:18 67:6 78:4, 10

send 44:15 54:4

sending 59:18

separately 19:20

sequestration 8:11,13,17

Sequoia 34:6

serial 44:8, 20

server 19:18,22 20:1,22 30:18,20 37:1 47:17 53:6,11 72:4

servers 20:6

set 39:2 70:8 71:15

setting 80:4

sexist 48:4

sham 73:4

sheet 44:20 46:15

shook 21:6

show 42:10 56:13 65:6 75:13 80:15

showing 75:18 77:18 79:24

shown 64:22 72:25 79:4

shuffling 75:25
shut 43:17
sic 22:24
side 62:2 63:18
sides 62:4
sign 40:24 42:4
signs 73:10
simply 62:11 68:2,20
single 66:11
sir 10:22 14:15 15:2,15 16:13,18 20:18 47:24 57:22 81:4,17
skill 27:11
small 11:13 17:2
smaller 16:22
Smith 64:13 77:22
software 20:2 36:13,20 37:4 49:13
sort 25:18 66:1,15
source 31:4
specific 31:20 61:11,17 76:24
specifically 48:15 57:6
speculation 57:18 74:2,20 77:25 78:15
spend 71:22
square 17:2, 6
squares 11:6 16:8 17:4
staff 25:8
stand 8:25 76:8
standalone 30:20
start 59:18
state 9:22 17:20 18:15 20:1 30:2,4 31:11 32:18 34:15,18, 24 35:6,17 36:2,10 38:22 39:18 67:1 69:15,22 75:6 77:6 79:11
state's 19:18 20:6 31:2 51:1
state- 56:15
stated 60:22
statement 13:9 31:22 56:4
statements 31:25 61:11
states 69:6 71:20
statewide 74:22
statute 50:13,15, 18 56:2 75:13
stays 27:2
story 32:15
straight 30:17
stuff 59:18 63:11 78:20
styles 39:13 40:2,6
subject 35:20
subsection 71:2
subsequent 27:22
substantial 71:22
substantially 58:24
sued 79:11
sufficient 64:25 65:9,11, 20,24 67:15 79:25 80:24
suggest 72:20
suggested 73:20
suggests 63:6
summary 12:8
sundry 39:6
supervision 24:25 27:18
support 79:15
supporting 74:2

supposed 34:18 42:4 43:18 60:9 62:2

Supreme 77:22 78:15

survived 75:24

susceptible 70:4

sustain 20:15 52:18

sustained 24:15 53:2 56:6 57:20

sworn 9:17 18:9

system 20:11 28:22 29:20,22, 24 30:1,4 31:2,15 32:4 35:25 37:2,4,8 53:25 61:1 69:18 70:2,4 72:4,8 73:10,18 76:13,18 79:6,8,11 80:25

systemic 76:13

systems 34:6 70:1 72:9

T

table 50:6

taking 19:2 79:4

talked 12:20 15:18 53:4 69:25

talking 27:15 29:15 53:9,10 81:6,8

tampered 46:18

tampering 66:1

tape 48:24

technician 43:13 54:6

technicians 54:6

telling 12:25 52:13

tells 29:4 30:25

telltale 73:10

Temple 24:20

ten 63:17

tendered 58:15

terminology 29:18 30:15

terms 29:10 38:15 40:2 68:8,20 69:4,20,22

Terri 8:25 9:13,20,24 14:22

terrible 79:8

test 37:22 49:4 51:20

tested 37:20 38:2,4 44:6

testified 9:17 18:9 53:17,22 55:8 67:4 69:17 72:2

testify 7:20 69:13

testifying 61:15

testimony 8:20 19:13 20:13 23:15 43:4 48:17 54:1 62:13 68:24 69:11,15, 22 70:1,6 74:6 76:18,20, 22 77:2

testing 26:11,15 48:18 55:17

tests 26:20 55:10 76:6,8,11, 20 77:4,9

Texas 34:4,8

there're 43:11

thing 11:4,6 14:4 30:8 41:10 51:15,22 57:4 66:9 79:11 80:2,11 81:4

things 26:13 32:1 40:20 51:11 79:9

thinking 11:6 17:2

Thomas 8:25 9:13,20, 24,25

14:22 16:2
65:15

Thompkin
68:1

thought 28:8
59:17
73:22,24
74:9,10

thousand
80:17

throw 74:15

tick 73:22

ticket 43:13
53:25 54:4
69:8 73:25
74:8,10

tickets
53:22
54:9,11,20

tie 66:15

time 11:18
14:9 15:1
16:13,15
24:18
26:11
32:25 48:6
63:2 70:10
71:24
81:22

timely 39:6

times 15:6,9
48:13
74:18

today 72:6
76:22

told 12:25
41:24 45:4

Traffic
59:10

trained 39:1

training
19:2

Travis 34:4

treat 20:17

treated
66:22

trial 63:9

trier 64:8

triers 60:2

trouble 50:6

trusted 20:2

truth 9:2,4
17:22

TS 67:4

turn 25:22
31:2

type 20:11
25:13
27:11

Tyson 7:15
16:1,2,4
17:9 20:4
27:10
31:18
47:24
52:25
61:6,10
66:18
77:18
81:20

U

U.S. 78:4,
10

ultimate
35:18

Um-hmm 49:24

un-rebutted
72:18

unable 42:18

unanimous
72:11

unanswered
62:15

uncontroversial 76:6

uncontroverted
70:6
76:18,20,
22 77:2

under-vote
66:1,2,4,
15 67:6
69:2,9
79:18
80:11,15

under-votes
66:11 67:9

understand
21:13
22:18 28:6
29:11
34:22
57:22
59:13
62:22
79:18

understanding
19:18,25
22:22
24:11,20
25:11
26:25
48:17
49:25
51:18
52:22

understood
62:25

undertake
35:15

undertaken
80:6

undisputed
69:15 70:1
71:10

unfair 59:17

uniform 36:2

unique 46:10

unit 27:8
30:20
47:15

urge 59:22

useless 62:22

V

valid 61:4 67:15

verified 44:11

verify 44:22 46:13

Versus 68:15

victory 67:22

view 62:4 73:13

violation 80:9,10

viruses 36:15,22 37:6

vote 10:11, 13,15 12:4,22 14:15 16:11 28:25 30:6 39:25 40:9,13,15 42:18 44:15 48:22 65:13,18 66:8 67:11 69:20 70:2,4 72:22 73:24 74:8 77:1,4 78:13

voted 11:20, 22 12:24 15:1,8,15 48:25

voter 13:22 19:4 25:6 28:11,13, 17,22 29:4,25 30:6,10, 11,13,17, 22 39:6 41:2,11,25 42:15,18 43:10 69:4,20 73:2 74:6, 18 77:1 78:8

voters 40:8 41:8,9 67:10 69:4 70:2 73:9, 22

votes 48:22 49:17 65:8,11,22 66:17 67:22,24 78:1 79:17,18 80:13,17, 18,24

voting 7:20 11:9 12:15 16:18 17:4 19:11 30:13 32:20 34:6 35:25 36:2 38:4 39:4, 22,24 40:1,11,20 44:6,13 45:17 47:11 49:13 61:13 68:24 73:2 76:13

vulnerable 31:15 65:6 71:6,11 72:8,13 73:18 77:20

W

waiving 79:1

Walden 59:8

wall 38:13

wanted 7:10 16:6

warehouse 45:6,8,9, 10 46:13, 22,24 47:18

watcher 23:17

ways 43:11

web 37:18 70:1

webpage 60:17

whatsoever 74:20

Williamson 34:8

Winterville 80:13,18

witnesses 8:18 58:10 67:4 68:25 77:13

woman 15:4 48:4,8 65:13

word 29:13, 15 51:9 68:6

words 29:13

work 34:6 70:22 80:25

worked 34:4, 6

worker 25:4 28:13

30:22 42:8
43:11,15
65:17

workers 19:2
38:25
39:1,2
44:10,22
46:11

working 7:11
52:22

works 39:22

worry 48:10

worse 71:13,
17,18,20

write-in
67:8

writing
11:13

written
75:13

wrong 42:4,6

wrote 14:6

Y

years 18:22
53:20
71:15
78:11

yellow 28:11

yesterday
20:13
23:15
61:15
63:11 72:2
73:20

yesterday's
19:13

younger 48:9

1 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY

2 STATE OF GEORGIA

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDTAW
Date: 2/19/2019 12:00 AM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

3

4 COALITION FOR GOOD . CIVIL ACTION
GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. . FILE NO.: 2018-CV-313418
5

6 MARTIN, SMYTH DUVAL, and .
JEANNE DUFORT, . Taken at:
7

8 Plaintiffs, . Superior Court of Cobb County

9 vs. . 70 Haynes Street

10 ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, . Courtroom 2000

11 Secretary of State of . Marietta, Georgia 30090
Georgia, et al. .
12

13 Defendants. .
. . . . . .
14

15

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING PROCEEDINGS
16

17 WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 9, 2019

18 8:59 a.m. to 11:04 a.m.

19

20 STATE OF GEORGIA SENIOR JUDGE ADELE P. GRUBBS

21

22
REPORTED BY:
23

24 PRISCILLA GARCIA, COURT REPORTER

25 NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF GEORGIA

1 APPEARANCES:

2
Plaintiff's Counsel: Bruce P. Brown, Esquire
3
4 Bruce P. Brown Law
Floataway Business Complex
5
6 1123 Zonolite Road N.E.
Suite 6
7
8 Atlanta, Georgia 30306
9 404-881-0700
10 bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com
11
Defendant's Counsel: John Belinfante, Esquire
12
13 Robbins, Ross, Alloy, Belinfante
& Littlefield, LLC
14
15 500 14th Street N.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30318
16
17 404-856-3262
18 jbelinfante@robbinsfirm.com
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:

2
Defendant's Counsel: Vincent R. Russo, Esquire
3
4 Robbins, Ross, Alloy, Belinfante
& Littlefield, LLC
5
6 999 Peachtree Street N.E.
Suite 1120
7
8 Atlanta, Georgia 30309
9 404-856-3260
10 vrusso@robbinsfirm.com
11
Defendant's Associate Counsel:
12
13 Alexander F. Denton, Esquire
Robbins, Ross, Allot, Belinfante
14
15 & Littlefield, LLC
500 14th Street N.W.
16
17 Atlanta, Georgia 30318
18 404-856-3276
adenton@robbinsfirm.com
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:

2
Defendant's Counsel: Edward H. Lindsey, Esquire
3
4 Dentons US, LLP
303 Peachtree Street N.E.
5
6 Suite 5300
Atlanta, Georgia 30308
7
8 404-527-4580
9 edward.lindsey@dentons.com
10
11 Defendant's Counsel: Kaye Woodard Burwell, Esquire
Office of the County Attorney
12
13 141 Pryor Street S.W.
Suite 4038
14
15 Atlanta, Georgia 30303
404-612-0251
16
17 kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov
18
Defendant's Counsel: Bryan P. Tyson, Esquire
19
20 Strickland, Brockington & Lewis,
LLP
21
22 1170 Peachtree Street N.E.
Atlanta, Georgia 30309
23
24 404-219-3160
25 bryan.tyson@sbllaw.com

1 I-N-D-E-X

2 EXHIBITS: DESCRIPTION PAGE
NONE

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 COBB COUNTY, GEORGIA

2 WEDNESDAY, January 9, 2018 - 8:59 p.m.

3

4 * * * * * * *

5

6 THE COURT: On this case we have a request on Rule 22 who is opposed to make -- that request. Is anybody --

7

8 MS. BURWELL: (inaudible)

9 THE COURT: This is on the case. This is on the

10 Coalition case.

11 MS. BURWELL: Right.
THE COURT: Does anyone have any objection to the

12

13 Rule 22? -- the cameraman wants to record the proceedings.

14

15 MR. BELINFANTE: No, Your Honor.
MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor.

16

17 THE COURT: Everyone's saying no.

18 MR. RUSSO: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. Then I will grant it. I find that

19

20 it is covered under Section -- Rule 22. I have an order confirming.

21

22 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you.
THE COURT: I've got the order in front of me. I've

23

24 got it.

25 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Will they know downstairs?

1 THE COURT: I'm sorry.

2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Will they know downstairs? If I don't have it signed, they won't let me go through
3

4 security. If I don't have a signed --
THE COURT: I just signed it.
5

6 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Oh, okay.
THE COURT: Pinpoint the rules though. I need to
7

8 explain to you what the rules are. You can only record

9 when I'm in courtroom.

10 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay.

11 THE COURT: Okay. You cannot record anything from counsel's table.
12

13 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay.
THE COURT: Okay. Or any conversation they may have.
14

15 I don't think there are any witnesses. It's not that kind of hearing today, but we'll see. It's not -- yet and
16

17 we'll see. Don't record anything that they've got

18 privilege and all those kinds of things. So be very careful on that.
19

20 The rules say no bench conferences. I can't imagine me trying to hold a bench conference with these people.
21

22 But we'll -- all I'm doing -- okay. So, I will sign the order. When you say downstairs, what do you mean?
23

24 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: To get through security I have to

25 have a signed form to get through.

1 THE COURT: Okay.

2 THE BAILIFF: I'll take care of that, Judge.
THE COURT: Okay.
3

4 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you.
THE COURT: There you go. I don't -- I've got a
5

6 couple of them, okay. Jim, I'll let him handle it, okay.
Okay. So, we have a good record, this is the Coalition
7

8 for Good Governance and various individuals versus Robyn

9 Crittenden, Fulton County, and Gwinnett County.

10 Can you each, Counsel, introduce yourselves for the

11 record so I have a record of who's here? Yes, sir.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, Bruce Brown for the
12

13 plaintiffs. With me at counsel table is Marilyn Marks,
the executive director of plaintiff Coalition for Good
14

15 Governance.
THE COURT: I will allow that right now. We'll see
16

17 where we go. Yes, sir.

18 MR. RUSSO: Good morning, Your Honor. Vincent Russo
for the Georgia Secretary of State.
19

20 MR. BELINFANTE: Josh Belinfante also for the
Secretary of State, Your Honor.
21

22 THE COURT: Okay.
MR. TYSON: Good morning, Your Honor. Bryan Tyson
23

24 and with me is Dick Carruthers. We represent the Gwinnett

25 Board of Registrations and Elections.

1 THE COURT: Okay.

2 MR. LINDSEY: Good morning, Your Honor. Edward
Lindsey on behalf of Lieutenant Governor-elect Geoff
3

4 Duncan.
THE COURT: Okay.
5

6 MS. BURWELL: Kaye Burwell here on behalf of Fulton
County.
7

8 THE COURT: How did you get stuck with this?

9 MS. BURWELL: There too many lawyers there this

10 morning, Your Honor.

11 THE COURT: We're trying to pretend you're not here.
I gotcha. I got it. I know how that works, okay. That's
12

13 it. As I understand it, DeKalb County has been dismissed?
MR. BROWN: That's correct, Your Honor.
14

15 THE COURT: In looking at -- we're here -- let me be
clear on this. We're here on motions today. This is not
16

17 evidentiary. Understand the statute of evidence has been

18 supposedly filed, the report's picked it up. That's not
relevant today. It's got nothing to do with today.
19

20 That's not what we're here about, okay. We're here
for the four corners of the petition; that's all we're
21

22 here about. So I need to be clear about that. I
understand though, Mr. Brown, is that you have agreed that
23

24 the Coalition is not a proper party as to the election

25 contest; is that correct?

1 MR. BROWN: That is correct, Your Honor. The
2 Coalition is a party to the Federal 1983 claims, and the individual plaintiffs are the contestants in the election
3
4 contest.
THE COURT: Okay. And then with that covered, in
5
6 count 2 and count 3 are federal claims; is that correct?
MR. BROWN: That's correct, Your Honor.
7
8 THE COURT: Okay. Are those not being contested in
9 federal court right now?
10 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor. They're -- similar
11 claims have been raised in federal court, but these are -- these claims are also raised in this case.
12
13 THE COURT: Well, how many times can you raise the same claim? I mean, if this is a federal -- I'm going to
14
15 hear from everybody. If this is a federal issue, then why don't I just stay it and let the federals decide what it
16
17 is?
18 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the plaintiff's claims are right to be asserted here, and it may be that they -- that
19
20 those --
THE COURT: You can't keep asserting them in all
21
22 different kinds of -- of courtrooms.
MR. BROWN: That's correct, Your Honor. But had --
23
24 had the plaintiffs not asserted them, they could have
25 waived their right to the relief, if that relief were

1 deemed right before the other ones matured. The federal
2 court --
THE COURT: Let me hear from here from the -- from
3
4 the defendants on that issue. Don't mean to -- if I'm
making any sense this morning.
5
6 MR. RUSSO: Good morning, Your Honor. Vincent Russo
for the Secretary of State. This -- these are claims that
7
8 are pending and the case before Judge Totenberg in a
9 criminal matter. We don't have any objection to you also
10 considering those claims. We will do as you determine.
11 THE COURT: Okay.
MR. DENTON: And Your Honor, for Gwinnett, we're kind
12
13 of in the same position as Secretary of State.
THE COURT: Okay.
14
15 MR. DENTON: We're in the same position as the
Secretary of State's Office, and we don't have necessarily
16
17 an objection. We don't think we're really proper to be
18 part of the federal constitutional claims --
THE COURT: (inaudible)
19
20 MR. DENTON: -- so we can deal with that later. Yes.
THE COURT: We'll take one step at a time.
21
22 MR. DENTON: Yes.
THE COURT: I'm trying to take -- this is today,
23
24 okay. I'm trying to take it one step at a time, okay.
25 I'm fairly simplistic about -- I'm a math major, okay.

1 Explain life to me. You know, we're going to do it like
2 that. I do better if I keep my brain in one spot at a time. Fulton County, what do you say?
3
4 MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, on behalf of Fulton County, we take the position that the federal claims are pending
5
6 in federal court, and this is just another opportunity for these same plaintiffs to bring the same claim again, and
7
8 we agree with the Court that you want to stay the federal
9 issues, the constitutional issues they claim that they're
10 raising.
11 THE COURT: Mr. Lindsey.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I don’t believe that Geoff
12
13 Duncan is really a party to the second and third count. They're simply a party in regard to the first count,
14
15 seeking a new election.
THE COURT: Is it -- I'm sorry?
16
17 MR. LINDSEY: We’re simply a party in regard to the
18 first count, seeking a new election.
THE COURT: Right. But you're not really involved
19
20 in --
MR. LINDSEY: It certainly does, however, make sense
21
22 for you to stay.
THE COURT: You're right.
23
24 MR. LINDSEY: That’ll do it.
25 THE COURT: Okay. Then I will hear the motions this

1 morning on that, but I'm still not convinced I'm going to
2 stay, okay. Because it's pending somewhere else. With
that said, then let's go -- let me see. I'll hear -- let
3
4 me hear the Secretary of State's motions first, okay.
Let -- what we're going to do -- a lot of them -- a
5
6 lot of them are the same. A lot of the motions are the
same, so I'm going to -- I'm going to let you go first,
7
8 and then we'll go from there, okay.
9 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. And the
10 defendant's counsel, we did try to split up kind of all
11 arguments so that you aren't hearing --
THE COURT: Whatever you want to do, Mr. Brown.
12
13 MR. RUSSO: We're here on Secretary of State's motion
to dismiss, along with the motions to dismiss filed by our
14
15 co-defendants. As you're aware, plaintiffs filed a
petition contesting the results of the November 6, 2018,
16
17 general election and the race for lieutenant governor for
18 the State of Georgia.
In that election the margin of victory was 123,172
19
20 votes. The plaintiffs in this case claim that the only
explanations for the difference in the number of votes in
21
22 the lieutenant governor's race and other statewide races
is that there were malfunctioning or erroneously
23
24 programmed voting machines or malicious manipulation of
25 the voting machines.

1 That that -- that those issues place in doubt the
2 results of the election. Now plaintiff's, of course,
3 completely disregard other reasonable explanations for the
4 differences in the votes, such as the fact that there were
5 third-party candidates in the other races and incumbents,
6 but that's for another day.
7 The Supreme Court has repeatedly stated that Georgia
8 law prohibits a contestant from merely speculating or
9 guessing as to the cause of an election contest. Here we
10 have -- the plaintiffs have asserted grounds under 2125 --
11 excuse me, 2102 520, under multiple different sections of
12 the election page, excuse me.
13 And the motion to dismiss, their response to the
14 motion to dismiss has them discuss -- the plaintiffs have
15 expanded the grounds for their contest to -- to additional
16 ones. So, there are threshold issues that pertain to our
17 client, which I'll address first.
18 My partner, Josh Belinfante, will address the federal
19 constitutional claims, and as I mentioned the other
20 parties will address those claims. Your Honor, has
21 already taken and confirmed the first step here that the
22 Coalition for Good Governance lacks standing to file an
23 election contest claim, so we're going to move past --
24 past that.
25 As Your Honor knows, election contests are authorized

1 and governed by the Georgia Election Code, which is in
2 Chapter 2 of Title 21. Pursuant to OCGA212520, there are only certain individuals who can be a defendant in an
3 election contest and none of those individuals in -- in this context would be the Secretary of State, which is the
4 first reason for dismissing the Secretary of State in the election contest.
5
6 THE COURT: Okay. I didn't -- Josh, I didn't realize
7 you had to go back to the courtroom.
8 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Yes.
9 THE COURT: Okay.
10 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: (inaudible)
11 THE COURT: I didn't realize that they wouldn't let you go through. Let me give you a chance to get set up
12 because that was not -- I didn't realize that.
13 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: I'm good.
14
15 THE COURT: It gets confusing because everybody has a
16 right to be heard, and I didn't realize they wouldn't let you bring it in, okay. But the fact that she -- that your
17 allegation is the Secretary of State should not be a defendant, let me hear that. That doesn't apply to
18 anybody else. Let me hear that one first.
19 MR. RUSSO: Yes, ma'am.
20 THE COURT: I understand it's a motion to dismiss,
21 but it's an issue that's out in front. Go ahead.
22
23
24
25

1 MR. RUSSO: Of course. Under OCGA212520,
2 subsection 2, a defendant is defined as -- as four different specific classes. A defendant could be either
3
4 the person whose nomination election is contested such as the lieutenant governor elect in this case, the person
5
6 whose eligibility to seek any nomination or office in a runoff primary or election is contested, the election
7
8 superintendent or superintendents who conducted the
9 contested primary or election, or the public officer who
10 formally declared the number of votes for and against a
11 ballot question submitted to the electors at an election. Under the Georgia Election Code, the Secretary of
12
13 State is not an election superintendent. Secretary of State does not conduct elections. Elections are conducted
14
15 in the State of Georgia by -- at the county level, by the county superintendents.
16
17 Under the definitions, the general definitions that
18 are applicable to the Georgia Election Code, a superintendent is specifically defined in 2122, 2,
19
20 subsection 35, as either a judge of the probate court of a county or the County Board of Elections, the County Board
21
22 of Elections and Registration, the joint City and County Board of Elections, or the joint City Board of Elections
23
24 and Registrations, if a county has one.
25 And there are -- there are three other categories,

1 which apply to municipal elections. None of those are
2 applicable here. As Your -- as Your Honor can tell here, you know, the plain language in the definition does not
3 include the Secretary of State, and -- and -- and that really goes to the fact that the Secretary of State,
4 again, doesn't conduct elections.
5 Where the Secretary of State -- the Secretary of
6 State is obviously involved in voter registration and must
7 maintain a statewide voter registration database, but that
8 doesn't make the Secretary of State a superintendent. The
9 county -- the -- the plaintiffs have alleged that or have argued that, you know, since it's a statewide election
10 involving a lieutenant governor, that the Secretary of State must -- must be a proper -- must be a superintendent
11 and must be a proper party.
12 The defendant -- or excuse me, the plaintiffs rely on
13 the case Mead vs. Sheffield, which involved a court of
14 appeals election contest, and in that case the -- in that case, it was a 2004 election contest in which one of the
15 -- the contestant missed the runoff by about 380 votes, because he had -- there was the wrong -- and there was a
16 wrong name on the ballot.
17 We do not know why the Secretary of State in that
18 case was a party. The plaintiffs claim that since she was
19 a party in that case, she -- the -- current Secretary of

1 State must, you know, must be a party in this case.

2 Of course, there's -- there's no precedent -- precedential value in that case on that precise point.

3

4 That said, what the Mead vs. Sheffield case does highlight is that even in an election contest involving a statewide

5

6 race, election contest is filed against the county superintendents, which it was Laurens County in that case,

7

8 where there alleged irregularities that occurred in that

9 particular county.

10 And that, you know, indicates where there is an

11 alleged irregularity involving an -- an illegal ballot as opposed to an illegal vote, the contestant must show that

12

13 the number of illegal ballots cast exceeds the margin of victory in order to prevail.

14

15 Again, this is -- the -- the reason the case was filed in Laurens County -- against Laurens County was

16

17 because that's where the irregularities occurred. I know

18 my colleagues at the -- that represent the other defendants, the county defendants will probably be

19

20 speaking to this issue, but Mead vs. Sheffield is clearly inapplicable here.

21

22 Plaintiffs also argue that the definition of election superintendent in the Recall Act of 1989, which is

23

24 Chapter 4 of Title 21, must apply to the Election Code.

25 Your Honor, I mean, it's a fundamental rule of

1 statutory construction that it's -- it's presumed that the
2 legislature acts intentionally and purposefully when it includes particular language in one section of a statute
3
4 but omits it from another. And that's Tolson v. Sistrunk, 332-Georgia at 324 from 2015.
5
6 Now in this rule of statutory construction is applied to the present case, it's clear that the word
7
8 "superintendent" as used for purposes of election contest
9 is -- is -- is referring to County Boards of Elections and
10 Registration or county probate judges, and that's for
11 counties that don't have, of course, a Board of Registrations and Elections.
12
13 Finally, Your Honor, the plaintiffs have made an argument about -- that -- that a public officer who --
14
15 that -- that the fourth subsection to the definition of "defendant" that discusses, you know, that a defendant can
16
17 be a public officer who declares the number of votes for
18 and against a question, submitted to -- submitted to the electors in an election that that somehow must wrap in the
19
20 Secretary of State also, but, Your Honor, of course, a question -- the question is defined to be, you know,
21
22 constitutional amendments or propositions, ballot referendum items that -- that voters vote on this, that
23
24 has nothing to do with election contests involving
25 candidates for public office.

1 And we would say that there's -- there's no basis for
2 making that -- that leap that the plaintiffs are -- are asking the Court to make. As Your Honor knows, election
3
4 laws that are in variegation to common law must be strictly construed here.
5
6 There's -- there's no basis for expanding the definition of "superintendent" to somehow wrap the
7
8 Secretary of State up into this election contest. The
9 proper defendants would be the counties, the county
10 superintendents in each county where the plaintiffs are
11 aware of election irregularities.
Now that moves to the next -- the next issue, and as
12
13 Your Honor pointed -- stated --
THE COURT: (inaudible)
14
15 MR. RUSSO: Well, within the four corners of -- of the complaint or the -- the petition.
16
17 THE COURT: Let me take the one issue, okay. Are you
18 arguing the motion to dismiss now?
MR. RUSSO: I'm still arguing the motion to dismiss,
19
20 just moving past whether --
THE COURT: That's not relevant here.
21
22 MR. RUSSO: Oh, I'm sorry. I apologize.
THE COURT: No, -- go ahead.
23
24 MR. RUSSO: Okay. The plaintiff's election contest
25 is also subject to dismissal for failing to meet the --

1 the pleading requirements.

2 THE COURT: Okay. That I'd like to take separately.
MR. RUSSO: Okay.
3

4 THE COURT: Let's take each step. That's what I said
in the beginning.
5

6 MR. RUSSO: Okay.
THE COURT: Let's take each step. You'll get to
7

8 argue that.

9 MR. RUSSO: No, I understand. I -- I thought you

10 meant each step -- I got you.

11 THE COURT: Let's -- the first issue before me is, is
the Secretary of State a proper -- okay.
12

13 MR. RUSSO: Sure.
THE COURT: All right. Anybody else on the defense
14

15 might want to bring a -- how about you, Mr. Brown?
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Judge. Bruce Brown for the
16

17 plaintiffs. The issue of whether the Secretary of State

18 is a proper defendant is actually -- it touches upon two
arguments that they make that are virtually the same. The
19

20 first is whether the Secretary is a proper defendant and
second whether the Secretary has sovereign immunity.
21

22 If the Secretary of State is a proper defendant, then
the sovereign immunity has been waived. The -- the
23

24 plaintiff's argument with respect to both issues is that

25 the election statute says defendant means election

1 superintendent. That is OCGA212250. The code then
2 defines election superintendent.
THE COURT: Are you saying 212250? 520.
3
4 MR. BROWN: I'm -- I'm sorry. 520.
THE COURT: 520. So y'all know, I have the book. I
5
6 don't necessarily have access to this particular computer,
and so I -- bring this book down with me.
7
8 MR. BROWN: I apologize, Your Honor.
9 THE COURT: It's 220?
10 MR. BROWN: 220. I keep transposing those numbers.
11 THE COURT: Yeah. Don't confuse them.
MR. BROWN: And then the phrase "election
12
13 superintendent" means in a statewide election the
Secretary of State. That is defined in OCGA2143. Now, it
14
15 is correct that that definition of "election
superintendent" as the Secretary of State is in a
16
17 different chapter of the code.
18 However, there's no reason to believe that the
legislature, in using the term election superintendent in
19
20 the contest chapter, meant anything different and, in
fact, commonsense would require that in a statewide
21
22 election that the proper defendant who is conducting the
election is the Secretary of State.
23
24 Particularly when the irregularity that is alleged is
25 an irregularity that is statewide in every single case.

1 Now the defendants, the Secretary of State option for
2 Your Honor, is to rule that in the statewide election contest that the plaintiff must join 159 counties, and
3
4 so --
THE COURT: Well, we haven't gotten to that yet,
5
6 either.
MR. BROWN: But this is -- but, Your Honor, it's the
7
8 same -- I would -- it's the same argument in that -- the
9 -- they're saying that they are not proper, but instead
10 the proper defendants are the 159 counties.
11 And we -- we think that's absurd and that's not what the legislator -- legislature intended. And it's not what
12
13 the legislature said.
Moreover, Your Honor, there has been, as far as we
14
15 know, only one statewide election contest on record, and that is the Mead vs. Sheffield case, which Mr. Russo
16
17 discussed. And in fact, in the Mead vs. Sheffield case
18 the attorney general defended and did not raise the sovereign immunity argument at all, and it's a
19
20 jurisdictional argument and it made it to the Supreme Court.
21
22 And it was clear to the Secretary of State and the attorney general at the time, Your Honor, that our reading
23
24 of the statute and commonsense meant, of course, that the
25 Secretary of State who is in charge of the elections is

1 the proper defendant in the statewide election contest.

2 Now, Mr. Russo said something to the effect, well, that was several years ago. I'm not sure why the

3

4 Secretary -- Secretary of State did it then, or why the former Secretary of State and former attorney general took

5

6 that position. I would like -- if I may approach, I found a case yesterday, Your Honor, which I believe is

7

8 instructed. But I'd like to approach the bench with a

9 copy of some of the papers, if I might.

10 THE COURT: All right. If you want to -- we don't

11 have a clerk because this is a Fulton County case. Thank you. So, it's just a brief?

12

13 MR. BROWN: It's a brief and then the case is attached to it, Your Honor.

14

15 THE COURT: I'm not going to -- I'm not going to take somebody else's brief. You want to argue it, fine, but

16

17 I'm not going to -- somebody else's brief and somebody

18 else's case is not -- and has got nothing to do with that.
MR. BROWN: Fair enough. If the -- the case, which

19

20 follows the copy of the brief, is a case called Dawkins-Haigler vs. Anderson. It's reported at 301-Georgia-27.

21

22 In that case, the Secretary of State was sued. And I quote, it's "Brian Kemp in his official capacity as state

23

24 election supervisor." That was the capacity in which he

25 was sued.

1 The -- the case was defended by Chris Carr, attorney
2 general, Dennis Dunn, Willard Chris Corea, the same attorney general law department lawyers who were defending
3
4 this case along with outside counsel from the Robbins firm. This is a case that was -- was tried last year,
5
6 Your Honor, and in that case the Secretary of State was --
THE COURT: Has there been an appellate decision on
7
8 the case?
9 MR. BROWN: Yes.
10 THE COURT: Okay.
11 MR. BROWN: It is 301-Georgia-27, and in that case the Secretary of State was sued quote "in its official
12
13 capacity as state election supervisor," and that styling of the Secretary of State appears in his own brief, which
14
15 is what I had shown to you, but the important thing is the reported decision, and in that case also the Secretary of
16
17 State stood up and defended the case on the merits.
18 THE COURT: Okay. If you want to give me -- but you know, if you've got a decision, let me have the final
19
20 decision, but what somebody else may have said and it's on the report, it's not relevant --
21
22 MR. BROWN: Your Honor the -- what the law department -- the position the law department said it is not binding
23
24 either on the law department or anybody else. They can
25 change their mind, of course, and not binding on Your

1 Honor.

2 However, it is reflective of what the law is, and the law says that defendant means election superintendent and

3

4 the law says "election superintendent" in a statewide race means the Secretary of State. So, our argument is that

5

6 the statute is clear.
There is no case in the history in the State of

7

8 Georgia holding that the Secretary of State has sovereign

9 immunity in an elections case. No case at all. They are

10 asking Your Honor to make a precedent setting, a brand-new

11 decision. It will be the first ever that ever granted this -- this relief.

12

13 THE COURT: If that's meant to intimidate me, it doesn't.

14

15 MR. BROWN: I don't think it should -- should intimidate you, Your Honor.

16

17 THE COURT: Well, you -- I've read a lot of what

18 you've provided me. I have not read the proffer of evidence because it's not relevant today --

19

20 MR. BROWN: I understand.
THE COURT: -- and I understand where you're coming

21

22 from.
MR. BROWN: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. That's our

23

24 argument on the sovereign immunity and the Secretary of

25 State being a proper defendant.

1 THE COURT: Okay. Any responses on that issue?

2 MR. RUSSO: Briefly, Your Honor. As you rightly noted these cases, this -- this brief and the cases cited
3

4 by plaintiff's counsel have no precedential value and basically stand for nothing on this -- on this point.
5

6 Plaintiff's counsel stated that the law says that in a statewide case, the superintendent is the Secretary of
7

8 State. Your Honor, I can't find anything on the books or

9 in the code that -- that says such.

10 Even Chapter 4, even the Recall Act that plaintiff's

11 counsel has referred to multiple times, states that in any case of elected state officers the Secretary of State --
12

13 and in short, you know, if somebody wants to challenge -- if there's a group that wants to recall a public officer
14

15 or state officer, they have to go get a petition signed. And that petition then goes to the Secretary of
16

17 State. It's similar to a third-party candidate or an

18 independent candidate trying to get on the ballot in a statewide race. None of this -- the Recall Act, of
19

20 course, has nothing to do with election contests, similar to independent candidates trying to file in a petition to
21

22 get on the ballot has nothing to do with election contests.
23

24 Your Honor, again, we don't know why or -- or if this

25 issue has -- was raised in -- in the 2004 case. However,

1 you know, the Secretary of State is not a statewide
2 election superintendent. Georgia law has long held that elections are -- are conducted at the county level and if
3
4 a contest is going to be filed, then it should be filed against the counties where those irregularities occurred.
5
6 And so again, we would ask you to dismiss the election contest on -- on that basis.
7
8 THE COURT: Okay. This goes to count 1 as I
9 understand it, and 2125 -- this is Section 2 of 21, which
10 is the election contest, okay, it -- it states that the
11 election superintendent was superintendent to conduct a contested -- or election, okay. What it says, in 2- --
12
13 again, this is within the same code, 212235 defines who those are.
14
15 It defines who the superintendents are, and it does not include Secretary of State. That's the law, and
16
17 definitions applicable to Section 2, okay. Now there may
18 be in the recall, which is Section 4, that that may be different, but it's under a different code section. It's
19
20 under a different section of the code. So as far as the election contest goes, count 1, I find the Secretary of
21
22 State is not a proper party, okay. And so, I'll grant your motion. -- I'll put my, you know, -- as to count 1,
23
24 okay.
25 MR. RUSSO: Yes, ma'am. Thank you,

1 THE COURT: Okay. What's the next question?

2 MR. RUSSO: I think some of my other motions are mooted at this point, so do you want to --

3

4 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, it would be best at this point for Gwinnett County to make its proper party

5

6 argument or would you rather hear from the Secretary of State on the constitutional claims, counts 2 and 3?

7

8 THE COURT: Well, you've got a motion to dismiss on

9 -- what, just on the general --

10 MR. RUSSO: Well, we have one every --

11 THE COURT: You just got a routine motion to dismiss on the four corners of the petition.

12

13 MR. RUSSO: That's right. We just had -- had other reasons why it should be dismissed.

14

15 THE COURT: I guess, Mr. Brown -- I guess I'd hear from Gwinnett first as to whether they should be a party

16

17 and then Fulton whether they should be a party. And then

18 we'll go into the -- if that's all right with you.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

19

20 THE COURT: Okay. Okay --
MR. TYSON: Thank you, Your Honor. Good morning.

21

22 Bryan Tyson for Gwinnett County Board of Registrations and Elections.

23

24 For our motion to dismiss, Your Honor, I think if we

25 determined now, if we've determined that the Secretary of

1 State is not a proper party to an election contest, I
2 think the logical questions is, well, who then is a proper party?
3
4 For -- for us as Gwinnett County, there is no question that the Gwinnett Board is an election
5
6 superintendent who conducted the contested election. So, there's no issue there. The challenge in this scenario is
7
8 that the plaintiffs have not sued all the parties that are
9 required to afford them full relief and Mr. Russo
10 referenced the Mead case where the case was filed against
11 the county's where the problem was alleged in a statewide election contest.
12
13 And if you look at and kind of do a comparison between 520 and 522, I think it helps explain a little bit
14
15 about how there's a connection between the grounds of the contest and who the defendant is in those cases. So,
16
17 under the -- under 520-2A and B, you have a candidate
18 who's the defendant -- the person who was nominated, the person whose eligibility is challenged.
19
20 That lines up to 522-2 where the allegation in the election contest is that the defendant, the candidate is
21
22 not eligible to hold the office. And so, in those cases where you're challenging eligibility, the other candidate
23
24 is obviously a logical defendant.
25 For 3, the election superintendent in 520 who

1 conducted the contested primary, that's where you have the
2 allegations on the grounds related to misconduct, related to illegal votes being cast or legal votes being rejected
3
4 or errors in counting.
And in this case the plaintiffs have made a number of
5
6 allegations about the various problems across the state, but they've only sued now Fulton and Gwinnett counties, as
7
8 is evidenced by other motions they filed, they want to
9 preserve evidence in Murray and Gordon counties.
10 There are allegations regarding DeKalb, regarding
11 Worth County, regarding a variety of other Georgia counties that are not parties to this case. And the
12
13 challenge in this situation is they have the evidence that Your Honor needs to be able to figure out is this a valid
14
15 contest or not.
If this is not a scenario where you can just pick a
16
17 county and then make allegations regarding and number of
18 other counties when they're not parties to the case and can't get -- get in front of the court.
19
20 The -- in addition, there is a lack of allegations regarding Gwinnett County as to some of the specifics. A
21
22 lot of the specific allegations involve other counties, many of whom are not parties. The other counties are the
23
24 ones that have the evidence.
25 So, for our position as Gwinnett, we believe this is

1 the basis for dismissal, because not only did plaintiffs
2 fail to name the proper parties to start with, but it's now too late for them to amend.
3
4 And while the election contest procedures clearly allow amendment of the petition, there's a difference when
5
6 you're amending to add additional parties. And the only the Supreme Court case en pointe here is Brody vs.
7
8 Champion. And in that case the -- the contestant had
9 filed the case against the director of elections for
10 DeKalb County, but had not sued the Board of Elections.
11 And the Supreme Court said, yes, you can modify, they were on notice within the statute; that's not a problem.
12
13 The Hanson case that the plaintiffs have cited involved a different procedure under a municipal election code that
14
15 involve a direct appeal or an independent lawsuit.
It's not really relevant here. What is relevant is
16
17 we are now beyond the five-day statute or well beyond
18 that. And the relation back under 9/11/15, there's nothing that the plaintiffs needed to know at the time
19
20 they filed their lawsuit where they couldn't have named the counties that are going to have evidence that they
21
22 claim they need.
In their petition they identify. These are the same
23
24 counties are the worst -- have the worst questions about
25 these percentages. They had anecdotes from counties that

1 were not included as parties in the case. So now because
2 we're too late to be able to do an amendment and add -- and have that relate back to the original filling date,
3
4 the statute has run as to those counties.
It is our position that those are necessary parties
5
6 in order to give full relief in this case. And because those parties were not joined, that's a basis for a
7
8 dismissal of the entirety of the election contest, as to
9 those allegations that have been made by the plaintiffs.
10 THE COURT: Anybody else on the defendants' side that
11 want to support that position? And pardon me -- argument at the same time.
12
13 MS. BURWELL: Kaye Burwell on behalf of Fulton County. We join in that argument, Your Honor, and we
14
15 would add that when the Court looks at the complaint in Paragraph 38, it references that there were thousands of
16
17 problems they alleged with the DRE machines where clearly
18 Gwinnett and Fulton County aren't in a position to speak to those thousands of problems.
19
20 The only issues we could speak to would be any issues they alleged on our particular counties. And since this
21
22 is a statewide issue, they're alleging the only way they would be able to bring information to the Court and the
23
24 only way the Court would be able to have a full and fair
25 hearing is if all those other counties that they're

1 complaining about, where they allege all these issues
2 occurred, were to be able to come in.
And as we noted on our motion to dismiss, Your Honor,
3
4 the Fulton County Board of Registrations and Elections
can't provide the petitioners with the relief they seek
5
6 because the DRE is constrained to follow state law. And
they have to use the DRE machines because that's what
7
8 state law requires.
9 And the only response the plaintiffs provided to
10 that, Your Honor, was that absentee ballots could be used,
11 but clearly the DRE doesn't have the ability to force
every Fulton County voter to use an absentee ballot. The
12
13 voters get to decide how they choose to vote.
THE COURT: You're off the -- you're off the --
14
15 you're off the field. So right now, so they join all the
counties, or shouldn't they?
16
17 MS. BURWELL: They should have joined all of the
18 counties, Your Honor.
THE COURT: That's the issue we're figuring with them
19
20 on this motion.
MS. BURWELL: Okay.
21
22 THE COURT: I'm trying -- I'm trying to do as clean a
record as I can.
23
24 MS. BURWELL: Okay.
25 THE COURT: Okay. So, you'll be heard on that.

1 Everybody's going to get heard on everything, okay.

2 MS. BURWELL: Okay.
THE COURT: I don't want to make you -- I'm just
3

4 trying to make a nice, clean record of the -- of the case.
MS. BURWELL: Yes.
5

6 THE COURT: Okay. So, you -- you -- you agree that
they have joined -- they should have seen everybody.
7

8 MS. BURWELL: Yes.

9 THE COURT: Okay? Every county, okay. Anybody else?

10 No? Okay. Then you can, because you didn't find the

11 word --
MR. BROWN: (inaudible)
12

13 THE COURT: (inaudible)
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. The Fulton
14

15 County's argument points to sort of the overall issue of
how the plaintiffs are getting whip shot here. Fulton
16

17 says, we can't defend this because the Secretary of State

18 does everything.
THE COURT: No. Don't go there. The issue we're
19

20 dealing with, the only issue I'm trying to read right this
minute is, should you have joined 159 counties or not?
21

22 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor, and here's why.
THE COURT: Okay. That -- that's the issue.
23

24 MR. BROWN: We -- we have -- first, we have alleged

25 causes of action against Gwinnett and Fulton. Our

1 allegations cover -- they are proper defendants as they --
2 I think, believe as they have conceded, that they've -- they conducted the election.
3
4 And we have alleged that the irregularities occurred in their counties, and they occurred in every county. And
5
6 so, Fulton and Gwinnett are proper defendants, and so they stay. The question then is, should the other -- should
7
8 157 other counties have been joined?
9 That comes down into two separate questions, okay.
10 First is, what might we need discovery from 157 other
11 counties and from a non-party Secretary of State. The answer is, yes. We will need discovery from the Secretary
12
13 of State, and we'll need discovery from -- from other counties, maybe.
14
15 We don't believe actually that substantial discovery will be required from every county. And the reason for
16
17 that is that the Secretary of State, not as a party, Your
18 Honor, I'm not arguing that piece, but as the repository of the information.
19
20 The Secretary programs the ballots, programs all the machines, programs the poll books, tabulates the results,
21
22 announces the results, all of those things. And so, the -- so the Secretary is here within the jurisdiction of this
23
24 court -- to court -- to respond to discovery.
25 In addition, Your Honor, has plenary power, plenary

1 power in the statutes that we have cited, to grant the
2 relief that we're seeking. There's no requirement that we file suit against every county. Instead, the counties
3
4 that we have sued are proper.
And whether we can prove that is up to the next
5
6 hearing, it's not up to today. But we believe we can prove that through discovery. And that the 157 counties
7
8 are not necessary to be joined. If they are necessary to
9 join them, then we'll join them and that's a separate
10 issue. Thank you, Your Honor.
11 THE COURT: Anything further? Anything further?
MR. BROWN: I have nothing further, Your Honor.
12
13 THE COURT: We have to do these cases as fast as we can do them, and I respect that, and I'm trying to do this
14
15 as fast as I can. But given the status of what is actually before me, not all this 180 pages of evidence and
16
17 all this stuff I've been dumped on, and which -- anyway.
18 I won't go there. It's very hard for me to be able to download some of that stuff.
19
20 But I've done them. I've taken them off. But in that status, I'm going to deny your motion. Whether it
21
22 will become relevant at the end of the hearing, I don't know. But at this point, both those motions as to adding
23
24 the parties and as to them being improper parties --
25 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Does Gwinnett have any other motions
2 applicable just to Gwinnett?
MR. RUSSO: No, Your Honor, I don't believe we do.
3
4 We obviously join the other motions regarding kind of the
-- claim.
5
6 THE COURT: (inaudible)
MR. RUSSO: There is a complaint, I think that's it,
7
8 so.
9 THE COURT: Okay. Fulton, you've got it. Anything
10 else that you wanted to say? Come around, if you will.
11 I'm sorry to address you by county, but I have a cheat
sheet here with everybody's name, and I think I've lost
12
13 it.
MS. BURWELL: The -- the point I was making on
14
15 whether or not Fulton County was a proper party was that
the Fulton County Board of Registrations and Elections
16
17 isn't capable of providing the petitioners with the relief
18 that they're seeking, which in essence is changing out DRE
machines.
19
20 They don't want Georgia citizens to use the DRE
voting system. Well, Fulton County, like every other
21
22 county in the state, is constrained by state law to comply
with state law, which requires us to use the DRE machines.
23
24 Now, the response that plaintiffs had to our motion
25 to dismiss was merely, number one, that the Board of

1 Registrations and Elections could require citizens to vote
2 via absentee, and that's clearly not the case. Citizens get to decide how they vote, not the Board of
3
4 Registrations and Elections.
The only other issue they raised was OCGA21-2-366 and
5
6 they raised that for the proposition of saying that "Fulton County in fact could decide what kind of voting
7
8 system is in use" and that's just not the case. What the
9 Court looks at 21-2-366, it only provides that the
10 governing authority of a county, which is not the Board of
11 Registrations and Elections, it's the Board of Commissioners -- can authorize the use of optical scanning
12
13 voting systems.
Well, the Board of Commissioners is not a party to
14
15 this action; the Board of Registrations and Elections is. And so, the -- our position is that the county's position
16
17 with respect to whether or not it is a proper defendant is
18 unrefuted by the plaintiffs.
And then the only other issue we had raised, Your
19
20 Honor, was the lack of service. At the time we filed our motion to dismiss, they had not served.
21
22 THE COURT: They had not served?
MS. BURWELL: Yes, they served us on December 26th.
23
24 THE COURT: All right.
25 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I believe Your Honor's

1 already ruled that Fulton and Gwinnett are proper parties.
2 And I'm not sure I understand Fulton's argument that they're incapable of -- of conducting the elections. I
3
4 think that's an issue that may come later in terms of what sort of remedy that you offer, Your Honor.
5
6 Our position is that, number one, when you have the trial, the -- we will be able to show that a new election
7
8 should be ordered; that's the first argument. The second
9 argument is, if you do order a new election, on what
10 machines or what is -- what type of election should that
11 be? Should that be machines, or should that be paper? And that's what Fulton County is talking about is
12
13 really two or three issues; two steps away from where we are today. Our position will be that Fulton County is
14
15 capable of -- of conducting a paper ballot election without using these flawed machines.
16
17 And that that's authorized under the code provisions
18 that -- that we have cited. And that they don't need a Secretary of State's approval to do that, but certainly
19
20 Your Honor could order them to do so, if you've felt that was necessary, but again I think that's --
21
22 THE COURT: Asking further from Fulton County? MS. BURWELL: No.
23
24 THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to deny that, again at
25 this point when you all stop talking, arguing the

1 evidence, then the motion to dismiss this is doing a lot,
2 okay. Does that mean -- after we have a -- have a hearing on the matter, I don't know. But I'm happy to try it.
3
4 But right at this point, I'm bound by what the rules say. That's if a slight -- allegations are -- I have to
5
6 follow. Okay, so we haven't generalized the motion to dismiss, did you need to be heard on that as well.
7
8 MR. RUSSO: No, not on that issue.
9 THE COURT: I didn't think so. This is generalized.
10 Everybody seems to have generalized motions dismissed on
11 the pleadings. Let me hear that.
MR. RUSSO: Would you like to hear from --
12
13 THE COURT: On count one.
MR. RUSSO: Sure. Would you still like to hear from
14
15 us or --
THE COURT: Whatever order you want to do it.
16
17 MR. BELINFANTE: I mean, I'm happy to make our
18 argument. I'm happy to make our arguments on it.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, Edward Lindsey once again,
19
20 attorney on behalf of Lieutenant Governor-elect Geoff Duncan. As was previously stated, Mr. Duncan won his race
21
22 by over 123,000 votes. I'm going to go through some numbers, Your Honor, and I'm going to ask you to simply
23
24 take judicial notice, which I believe you're entitled to.
25 As a matter of public records; in terms of public records.

1 The case I'll cite for taking judicial notice would
2 be Johnson vs. Adams 323-Georgia-PO427 and that particular case that was taking judicial notice of a separate lawsuit
3
4 of a -- separate case in bankruptcy court, but nevertheless, the rule is that the courts in a motion to
5
6 dismiss, I believe, courts can take judicial notice of such matters.
7
8 And the only thing that I'm going to be talking about
9 are the official numbers from the Georgia Secretary of
10 State's office, certified numbers. Court, in such cases
11 such as ours needs to remember that the setting aside of an election in which the people have chosen their
12
13 representative, is a drastic remedy that should not be undertaken lightly, but instead should be reserved for
14
15 cases in which a person challenging an election has clearly established a violation of elections procedures.
16
17 And has demonstrated that the violations have placed
18 the result of the election in doubt; that's not our assertion, Your Honor. That's a big court decision. In
19
20 the case of Hunt vs. Crawford 270-Georgia-7, a 1998 decision in my brief we filed yesterday, Your Honor, we
21
22 sort of outlined that that's -- what's clearly required is that the plaintiffs --
23
24 And at this point, Your Honor, that the pleadings
25 must show that the plaintiffs are asserting that as result

1 of the defects that they are alleging, that it places the
2 -- the outcome of the election in doubt. And the courts have established somewhat of a mathematical test.
3
4 And I'm glad to hear that you said you were a math major, so I'm going to simply talk about a little math
5
6 here. The -- the plaintiffs, you know, or the petitioners have alleged several things. On number one they say, that
7
8 "as a result of these nefarious defects, the -- the total
9 number of votes cast in the lieutenant governor's race was
10 dramatically below those of other down-ballot statewide
11 races."
Well, if you look at our particular case, like I
12
13 said, 123,000 votes, 123,172 votes; that's the certified result number. If you look at the -- the -- the highest
14
15 number of votes that were cast in any of the down-ballot races -- that would be the Secretary of State's race. And
16
17 in that race, there were 3,883,594 votes total cast.
18 In the lieutenant governor's race, that's 3,780,304. So even if we were to accept -- and the Court is required
19
20 to do here -- the petitioner's allegations that there was something nefarious or -- or negligent in terms of the
21
22 counts, votes that were counted.
The difference between what took place in the
23
24 lieutenant governor's race total votes and the Secretary
25 of State's total votes is only 103,290 votes. That's

1 19,882 votes short of the margin of victory that Mr.
2 Duncan enjoyed.
The next thing that the -- that the petitioner
3
4 asserts in its allegation is that there was a drop-in vote
between those -- in -- in terms of the drop-offs, which
5
6 are the number of people who voted in one race but didn't
vote in the another. They assert that there's a dramatic
7
8 difference between the drop-off between the governor and
9 the lieutenant governor's race, and between the paper
10 ballots versus the electronic voting.
11 And they assert essentially that in the paper ballots
there was a drop-off of only 98.9%, but in the other case
12
13 there was a dramatic drop-off below that number. If you
were to accept their assertion that there should have been
14
15 the same drop-off between the governor's race and the
lieutenant governor's race, the numbers still come up
16
17 short as a matter of math.
18 In this particular case, Your Honor, if we were to
accept the petitioner's allegations that there's -- that
19
20 the drop-off between the governor's race and the
lieutenant governor's race should have been the same
21
22 between the write-in, between the paper ballots and
electronic ballots that would have raised the number of
23
24 votes cast in the lieutenant governor's race to 3,895,955.
25 That's still, Your Honor, would have only increased

1 the number of votes cast to be 115,691 votes, which is
2 still below 123,000-plus votes cast. The third allegation that the petitioner asserts in their petition is that
3
4 there was in terms of the drop-off between -- in the electronic voting between those who voted in the
5
6 governor's race and the lieutenant governor's race had a particularly negative impact on the democratic candidate
7
8 Ms. Miko.
9 If you were to take that as truth and simply allow
10 for the same percentage of votes for Ms. Miko that Mr.
11 Duncan enjoyed in terms of -- of the -- the percentage of votes that they received versus the gubernatorial race,
12
13 you still end up 53,902 votes short of what the -- of the number that is needed that would have closed the gap at
14
15 53,902, so in other words you're still short of 123,000 votes.
16
17 And -- and that's the key -- and that's the key. In
18 all cases, in the Supreme Court cases, is you've got to have a show that the number of votes that were either
19
20 miscounted or not counted properly would have wiped away the margin of victory that the winner enjoyed.
21
22 And we've cited several cases as have everyone else that demonstrates that's the rule of thumb. By the -- in
23
24 terms of petitioner's allegations -- each of their
25 allegations, Your Honor, I want you to take judicial

1 notice of certified results from the Secretary of State.

2 They fall short of that golden number, by any number
between 8,000 to 80,000 votes short, depending on the --
3

4 their various allegations.
I would point out, Your Honor, that the petitioners'
5

6 themselves in their exhibit to their petition included an
exhibit from a letter from Ms. Amico who said in the
7

8 letter, "the number of residual votes in the lieutenant

9 governor makes it unlikely to affect the outcome of my

10 race." She's right, Your Honor, because she also

11 understands the math.
So, Your Honor, we would simply ask for a motion to
12

13 dismiss based on the petitioner's petition when you take
judicial notice of the public record regarding the
14

15 certified results from the election from all the races.
Thank you, Your Honor. Does the Court have any
16

17 questions?

18 THE COURT: No. Anybody else --
MR. TYSON: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you, Your Honor.
19

20 Again, Bryan Tyson for Gwinnett County.
I think the thing to keep in mind is that when we're
21

22 in this posture after a certified result from an election,
the election is presumed valid. And if you were in a
23

24 scenario where, let's say you have 100-vote margin.

25 And you allege, you put in your petition that 99

1 either illegal votes were cast or legal votes were
2 rejected, that petition would be properly subject for dismissal for failure -- failure to state a claim, because
3
4 you have to allege a sufficient number of votes that would have placed the result in doubt or would have been
5
6 sufficient to change the result of the election.
And in this case, the plaintiffs have not made any
7
8 such allegation in their petition. In addition, the --
9 there is conflicting causes of action referenced in the
10 motions to dismiss. In the petition the plaintiffs that
11 they say that they're proceeding under 521-1 and 3.
In their response to the motion to dismiss, they're
12
13 relying on 1, 3, 4, and 5, but I think the most important thing for the Court to remember in terms of looking at the
14
15 petition itself, is what it stated, is that the irregularities that the plaintiffs have alleged only
16
17 involve a 100 or so votes here and there across the state.
18 They also involve claims of machine malfunction in DeKalb County in paragraph 42 of the complaint. Of
19
20 course, the plaintiffs have dismissed DeKalb County from this lawsuit. And the -- the collection of information
21
22 that's in the petition is a bunch of kind of one-off programming errors.
23
24 Most of them are unverified and anonymous, and
25 significantly they don't get anywhere close to the

1 123,000-vote threshold that they would -- that the
2 plaintiffs had to plead in order to state a claim here because of the way the Supreme Court precedent works.
3
4 I'm in Ellis vs. Johnson 2623-Georgia-514, the Supreme Court is very clear that speculation alone is not
5
6 enough. There has to be -- has to be a specificity in terms of the mathematical certainty of what happened. And
7
8 we see that over in Mead, in Howell, and in just a number
9 of Supreme Court cases, that it is proper for the court to
10 look at motion to dismiss, first of all, because those
11 cases were decided based on motions to dismiss.
But second that it's proper to look at the
12
13 allegations and see have the plaintiffs alleged this 123,000-vote margin that would be sufficient to place the
14
15 result in doubt. And we would submit that they have not done that in the four corners of their petition. On that
16
17 basis it should be dismissed for failure to state a claim.
18 THE COURT: Does Fulton want to be heard on that?
MS. BURWELL: We join in, all the others.
19
20 THE COURT: You just joining them now?
MS. BURWELL: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor.
21
22 THE COURT: (inaudible)
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. The -- the
23
24 defendants have pointed to one of the most egregious
25 pieces of evidence showing the total system failure of --

1 THE COURT: But -- but -- but that's not -- this is
2 -- that's a wonderful opening statement. This is not that day, okay?
3
4 MR. BROWN: Okay.
THE COURT: Just respond, if you will, to what --
5
6 MR. BROWN: Sure. The --
THE COURT: I don't do well with --
7
8 MR. BROWN: Okay. The --
9 THE COURT: -- inflammatory -- just stay within what
10 we're -- what --
11 MR. BROWN: Sure. The undervote is an illustration of the system problems that we allege in the complaint.
12
13 Allegations that, as Your Honor has already mentioned, must be accepted as true.
14
15 THE COURT: All right.
MR. BROWN: The -- the numbers that Mr. Lindsey was
16
17 giving, Your Honor, are not our entire case. Instead what
18 we have alleged is that the system malfunctioned as reflected by the undervote, which is unexplained in -- in
19
20 ways that happened statewide.
The defendants interpret -- we have a different
21
22 interpretation of the legal requirements here. First in terms of what's subjectively required, and then second,
23
24 the procedural policy.
25 First, Your Honor, in the Supreme Court in the cases

1 in which the nature of the voting problem is not
2 quantifiable, the plaintiff is not required to count up the votes and show the difference. For example, in the
3 Stiles case, 252-Georgia-260, the Supreme Court found that there were irregularities and misconduct and ordered a new
4 election despite no proof of the numbers involved.
5 Similarly, in the Hunt case, although the contestants
6 lost the Hunt case, this is 270-Georgia-7 -- one of the
7 reasons why the plaintiffs lost is that the Court found
8 quote "moreover, there was no evidence introduced at trial
9 from which it can be inferred that the misconduct was more widespread than the record indicates."
10 The Supreme Court is -- is signaling that if there is evidence introduced at trial from which it can be inferred
11 that there was a widespread problem, that the case may be made.
12 And so the -- the cases that they cite we have no
13 quarrel with, Your Honor, but those are cases in which the impact of a mistake is easily quantifiable because you
14 have the illegal ballots sitting there physically in front of the Court, and you're able to count them up and to
15 determine whether or not it's enough to make a difference.
16 And certainly, if you have seven illegal ballots in
17 front of you and the margin and difference is eight, then
18 you know that that's insufficient. But in those cases

1 where the mistake is not quantifiable, then that is not
2 the approach that the Court has taken, and that, again, is the Stiles case.
3
4 And -- but this leads, Your Honor, back to the procedural posture of the case. In all of these cases --
5
6 and I will just read these to you. What the -- what the courts are saying about the evidence is after a trial, for
7
8 example -- these are not examples. This is what I found
9 in -- in review of all of the cases they have cited.
10 In Banker vs. Kohl, 378-Georgia-532, what the Court
11 said, quote "Evidence presented at the trial court," and then went on to explain. In Dawkins-Haigler 30177, the
12
13 Supreme Court says, "after a lengthy hearing the trial court concluded..."
14
15 And then in Fuller 284-Georgia-397, the Court explained that the case proceeded to trial. In Hunt vs.
16
17 Crawford, 270-Georgia-7, the Supreme Court said, at trial,
18 "Hunt admitted making telephone calls," and then went into the evidence of misconduct.
19
20 In Mead vs. Sheffield, the case that's discussed by both parties, the Court notes, "it was established at the
21
22 evidentiary hearing," and then went in to show how it was established, that in fact the case had been made for a new
23
24 trial.
25 Scoggins, again, 288-Georgia-26, the Supreme Court

1 said, "after hearing on the merits," and then explained
2 how in fact the plaintiff prevailed after hearing on the merits. Your Honor, there is one case in which the trial
3
4 court did grant a motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim, and only one.
5
6 And that is the trial court in the Taggart case, 242-Georgia-454. The trial court, without an evidentiary
7
8 hearing, dismissed the petition for failure to state a
9 claim on similar grounds the defendants urge in this case.
10 So, the trial court decision in that case would be the
11 sole precedent that I can find to support the defendant's position in that case.
12
13 However, on appeal that holding was reversed by the Supreme Court. And the Supreme Court held, quote "A
14
15 petition should not be dismissed for failure to state a claim unless it discloses with certainty that the
16
17 plaintiff would not be entitled to relieve under any set
18 of facts."
Interestingly, the Taggart case also involved the
19
20 type of situation -- it involved actually two different kinds of challenges, two different problems in the
21
22 election. One problem had to do with quantifiable ballot issues where you could count them up and you could
23
24 determine whether or not it was enough to make a
25 difference.

1 But the other part was the -- the contestant alleged
2 that the machines were -- were operating improperly. More like our -- more analogous to our case here. And in
3
4 Taggart, the Supreme Court held, quote "Similarly, doubt may be cast on an election by showing improper maintenance
5
6 of the voting machines resulting in votes being miscast." And then in their ruling reversing the trial court
7
8 for dismissing the case, the Court notes, "All this is a
9 matter of proof." Now in the Taggart case it came back on
10 appeal and the plaintiff failed to prove it and lost. But
11 it is a matter of proof. If the plaintiff can prove that improper maintenance
12
13 of the voting machines resulted in votes being miscast, which is what we allege, Your Honor, then we're entitled
14
15 to victory and entitled to relief, and if we're not, if we can't prove it, then we're not entitled to relief. And
16
17 that's -- that's clear from the cases.
18 Now in terms of -- of -- I want to return to the allegations that we make and the -- the breadth of it.
19
20 First, we allege that the state's system is fundamentally flawed from the start and that we note that the persuasive
21
22 opinion by Judge Totenberg in which she describes the system as being --
23
24 THE COURT: I'm not bound by it to her at all.
25 MR. BROWN: You're not bound by her ruling at all.

1 THE COURT: You can try to hand it to me, but I'm not
2 bound by it.
MR. BROWN: No. It's -- we think it's persuasive
3
4 but, of course, you're not bound by it. But she did find
that the -- that the same system is profoundly vulnerable.
5
6 THE COURT: Okay. I -- I don't care what you've
found. It's got nothing to do with the burden of proof.
7
8 The burden of proof will be on you to get that far.
9 MR. BROWN: Right.
10 THE COURT: The burden of proof is on you.
11 MR. BROWN: Right.
THE COURT: But you can't prove it by -- the judge.
12
13 MR. BROWN: That's -- yes, Your Honor. But it will
be proven with the evidence that persuaded Judge
14
15 Totenberg, we would -- we would suggest. And if -- Your
Honor, it could very well be that at trial we're not
16
17 persuasive.
18 But we do have the opportunity to prove that and as
of -- one of the things that -- what motions to dismiss
19
20 law is, Your Honor, is that -- what the law says is that
there's no reasonable possibility that the plaintiffs can
21
22 prove their case, then they lose.
And what we're suggesting is, having already proven
23
24 some of these things, that it's -- it's important to
25 consider that and projecting what we'll be able to win in

1 this case.

2 THE COURT: Yeah, but I don't -- in this courtroom --
MR. BROWN: That is correct, Your Honor, but --
3

4 THE COURT: -- has proven that.
MR. BROWN: That's correct. But in considering the
5

6 motion to dismiss one of the issues is, will they be able
to prove it, and we believe we will.
7

8 Now, what we have alleged, Your Honor, which must be

9 accepted as true, regardless of Judge Totenberg's

10 decision, it must be accepted as true that these systems

11 are fundamentally unreliable, period. That has to be
accepted as a fact for right now.
12

13 Number two, we have given illustrations and alleged
of particular instances in which these machines have,
14

15 throughout the state, malfunctioned.
Three, we have shown the results of these
16

17 malfunctions in an unexplainable pattern of voting in

18 which we will present expert testimony saying that this
cannot be explained except in terms of machine
19

20 malfunction, a statewide systemic machine malfunction.
And it is not just simply the under-vote that Mr. Lindsey
21

22 tries to calculate. It's that the entire voting system
was flawed. And so, the votes for Mr. Duncan, the votes
23

24 for Ms. Amico, that entire election was flawed. And

25 that's what we allege, and we're entitled to be able to

1 prove it. And so, under those facts, we believe that
2 we're entitled. We have -- there -- we have alleged evidence, Your Honor, of the -- this particular race not
3
4 being on the electronic screen, of the screen flipping between one and the other.
5
6 THE COURT: Is that your petition?
MR. BROWN: It is. And instance after instance of
7
8 this that we have alleged, and we're entitled to prove
9 that, and we believe we will. And so, we have the -- we
10 have alleged a fundamental unreliability of these
11 machines. We have alleged specific incidences that can only be explained by a malfunctioning system that was
12
13 statewide that can -- and from that, to borrow the language from the Supreme Court in the Taggart case, from
14
15 which we believe, Your Honor may infer, you don't have to but we believe we will be persuasive that you can infer a
16
17 much broader problem.
18 And we also believe that as one illustration of the problem is the under-vote, the totally unexplained
19
20 difference between the electronic vote totals and the paper vote totals.
21
22 The defendant's offer -- this is not an evidentiary hearing, but they have not offered any plausible
23
24 explanation for why simply by the mode of electing, there
25 would ever be such a dramatic difference in the drop-off

1 rate.

2 And the -- what that shows are not that that particular margin is wrong, but that the entire race is

3

4 wrong. It's like -- there's that old phrase is the clock that struck 13, Your Honor. And when a clock strikes 13,

5

6 not only do you know it's not 13 o'clock, but you doubt every single chime that has come before it because the

7

8 clock isn't working.

9 And the under-vote is the clock that struck 13. And

10 we would -- we would say that for all those reasons we

11 state a claim for relief, and that in -- in no case held has a motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim in

12

13 election case been sustained and it certainly should not be the case in this case. Thank you, Your Honor.

14

15 MR. LINDSEY: If I may, Your Honor, please.
THE COURT: (inaudible)

16

17 MR. LINDSEY: The issue in this case is not an

18 allegation of misconduct by anyone advocating for or against a particular candidate. The allegation in this

19

20 case is that votes were not -- people were not able to cast votes, and votes were not properly counted. The case

21

22 in which Mr. Brown relies upon and cited to you repeatedly with the Stiles vs. Earnest -- Earnest who was the

23

24 election superintendent, 252-Georgia-260.

25 That particular case, Your Honor, had nothing to do

1 with whether or not votes were properly counted, or the
2 machines were operating properly. Instead, it had to do with allegations of misconduct by advocates for a
3 referendum. Totally different situation.
4 In our situation, Your Honor, in which we are dealing
5 with whether or not votes were properly counted. The cases that we have cited to you and I'll cite to you once
6 again, the Fuller vs. Thomas case, a Georgia Supreme Court
7 case, 284-Georgia-397, in which the Court held, when the
8 focus is on improperly cast ballots or irregularities in
9 the conduct of the election, the number of legal or irregular ballots necessary to cast doubt on an election
10 is derived by taking the difference between the total votes cast in the election and erase that issue and adding
11 the margin of victory in the race issue.
12 In other words, you've got to show -- to begin with,
13 you have to allege that any irregularities in terms of the
14 machines or anyone counting the votes would have an impact on the margin of victory in which case -- in this case,
15 like I stated earlier, with over 123,000 votes.
16 The fact of the matter is within the four corners of
17 the plaintiff's petition, nowhere, nowhere do they allege that these irregularities closed -- were -- resulted in
18 the number of votes that were improperly cast or not
19 properly counted were greater than 123,000 votes.

1 That's kind of the fundamental first step that they
2 must allege in order to have a case move forward. When you then add to that the public records that I've asked
3
4 you to take judicial notice of, that Mr. Brown has not disputed and I walked through so tediously, it
5
6 demonstrates that even in the worst-case scenario that were alleged in the Petition, he falls -- the votes fall
7
8 short of the margin of victory.
9 And for that reason, in this particular case we ask
10 for you to grant our motion to dismiss. Thank you.
11 THE COURT: (inaudible)
MR. RUSSO: Very brief, Your Honor. Just cover three
12
13 quick points quickly, Your Honor. First of all, the allegations here regarding the -- the only -- I should
14
15 say, the only type of election contest where you're not required to put forward specific evidence or pleading
16
17 regarding a number is in 524(c) when the error of counting
18 the votes is alleged as a ground of contest.
There's a specific provision that says you're not
19
20 required to show evidence to substantiate the allegation. You -- you're not required in that one type of contest.
21
22 That is not this type of contest.
Mr. Brown has, secondly, has alluded to the idea that
23
24 the lieutenant governor's race did not appear on some
25 ballots. If you look at both Mead and Howell, you'll see

1 cases where you had to plead and show the number of
2 ballots where the name of the candidate did not appear.
That's one of the allegations that the plaintiffs
3
4 have not pled that it did not appear on at least 123,000
voting machines along the way. Mr. Brown also continues
5
6 to insist that there's no other valid explanation when any
number of ballot explanations may exist.
7
8 And as we discussed before, the -- Mr. Brown and the
9 plaintiffs may not rely on mere speculation. They have to
10 plead with specificity in their petition that the outcome
11 would have changed or that the irregularities were
sufficient to place the result in doubt. Thank you.
12
13 THE COURT: This is so close. You know, it was
presumed, I'm waiting for -- is that the election returned
14
15 the bath. Okay? Now, the part of your job is above the -
- Your job, Counsel, -- of placing doubt on the results of
16
17 the election.
18 And that's done usually by numbers, through math.
And the math is against you. You have chosen not to
19
20 allege any math in your petition, okay. You have alleged
-- I had it somewhere in front of me, but anyway, you have
21
22 alleged that the result would be different or is in
substantial doubt. But I haven't found it.
23
24 MR. BELINFANTE: Yes, Your Honor.
25 THE COURT: I forgot the exact language. I had it,

1 but I've lost it. All right, yeah. The -- the -- to
2 place in doubt the result of the entire election, okay.
The numbers aren't weighty, okay. But you've got some
3
4 generalized arguments about the systemic failure of the
whole system that might -- that might cast -- which makes
5
6 the math an issue.
It's a huge burden for you to carry, but the
7
8 petition, it's sufficient to have a try. I -- I hate to
9 do that, but it's -- it's -- if we have to take the four
10 corners of the petition, it really doesn't matter if it's
11 badly pled. I'm not saying it's badly pled. You didn't
plead numbers.
12
13 But the cover clearly says, "under F of -- of 524,
that the form -- form of process is not material. It's --
14
15 it's -- the election contests are interesting. There was
kind of different than we've seen on things. It's a very
16
17 close question, sir.
18 It is a very close question, and -- and -- and you
need to be aware I don't do well with inflammatory stuff.
19
20 I don't get inflamed by attention -- and -- and what other
judges do is not -- okay. So, understand you've got two
21
22 rules.
I am going to deny the motion to dismiss. I -- I --
23
24 it's extremely close, but on the kind of pleadings, I'm
25 looking for originals in that cover section about, you

1 know, don't worry about form and so on and so forth. I'm
2 going to let it go forward, but the plain numbers are against you. So, you -- you've got some -- an uphill
3
4 battle.
MR. BELINFANTE: Okay then. Thank you, Your Honor.
5
6 THE COURT: Can you take a moment, drop a line -- and -- and --
7
8 MR. BELINFANTE: Yes, Your Honor.
9 THE COURT: You all know where I am because you've
10 been emailing me, so send it to me, okay. I can't
11 download a pack. Don't ask me why. I am supposed to be retired next year.
12
13 MR. RUSSO: Your Honor, would you like us to submit a joint motion?
14
15 THE COURT: What?
MR. RUSSO: Would you like us to submit one motion --
16
17 I mean, one order, one proposed order?
18 THE COURT: You could even do one proposed order, or if you can agree on it or you can do them separately about
19
20 that.
MR. BELINFANTE: I think we'll probably --
21
22 THE COURT: I'm fixing to go into two full weeks of work, so you'll be able to get -- okay. What else do we
23
24 have from the defendant?
25 MR. BELINFANTE: Your Honor, we have -- we have two

1 motions that are --

2 THE COURT: Well, no, I know what -- what you have.
MR. BELINFANTE: Oh, I'm sorry.

3

4 THE COURT: I don't think I read all this stuff,
okay? Okay?

5

6 MR. RUSSO: Your Honor, for the Secretary of State,
this is joined by the other defendants, I believe.

7

8 THE COURT: Is this on the two constitution --

9 MR. BELINFANTE: On the two constitutional --

10 THE COURT: We have two constitutional claims and

11 then we'll do your motions. And I've got those too; don't
worry about it. All right. Go ahead.

12

13 MR. BELINFANTE: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. I'm
going to address just the two counts. The one for due

14

15 process and the right being identified there is the right
to vote. The second is the Equal Protection Clause.

16

17 Now there's -- we'll rest largely on the briefs in

18 terms of sovereign immunity, but the point to take from it
is that the Equal Protection Clause and the due process

19

20 clause are completely contingent upon what Your Honor
rules on the underlying election challenge, count one.

21

22 Their briefs make that plain. The relief they seek,
it's in paragraph 71 and 75, is the right to vote in a new

23

24 election for lieutenant governor, not any other future

25 one. And in 72 and 76, it says that it would be remedied

1 by holding a new election.

2 So, if the Court at the conclusion of the evidence, or at least at the conclusion of the plaintiff's case,

3

4 decides that there is no basis to go forward, the constitutional claims equally fail. They -- they rise and

5

6 fall together.
So, the question before you on the motion to dismiss

7

8 is have they alleged sufficient facts to state a claim for

9 due process and for equal protection, and Your Honor, the

10 answer is simply no, based on the Favorito decision from

11 the Supreme Court of Georgia in 2009. I have a copy of it, if the Court would like, and provide one to opposing

12

13 counsel as well.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, sir.

14

15 MR. BELINFANTE: And I'll be referring to Favorito throughout the argument. The question on the due process

16

17 claim is what is the standard of review. Is it strict

18 scrutiny, is it rational basis?
And Favorito answers that question in the latter. It

19

20 is a rational basis test. It says on page 796, quoting a decision from the 11th Circuit, Wexler vs. Anderson, that

21

22 when a state election law provision imposes only reasonable non-discriminatory restrictions upon the 1st

23

24 and 14th Amendment rights of voters, the state's important

25 regulatory interests are generally sufficient.

1 Using the word "reasonable" the Supreme Court noted
2 and applied a rational basis test. And, in fact, it goes on to say that there is a rational reason to use DRE
3
4 machines on the same page.
It says, "it is the job of democratically-elected
5
6 representatives to weigh the pros and cons of the various balloting systems. So long as their choice is reasonable
7
8 and neutral, it is free from judicial second guessing,"
9 once again confirming that we're in a rational basis test
10 review.
11 And now using -- applying that standard, looking at the due process claim, which is paragraph 62 to 72, count
12
13 two, in the complaint. The defendants do not disagree that the right to vote is fundamental. The disagreement
14
15 is that they have not alleged a burden for which there is not a rational basis to use the DRE machines.
16
17 And to look -- to see that you need only look at
18 paragraph 69 of the complaint. There the plaintiffs or the petitioners allege that using defective DRE machines
19
20 in a flawed and inaccurate voter registration and electronic poll book system, defendants have knowingly and
21
22 severely burdened and infringed upon the fundamental right to vote.
23
24 Now you compare that to what the Supreme Court
25 described as the cause of action in Favorito. This is at

1 page 795 and 796 of that case in the Georgia reports.

2 There the Supreme Court described the petitioner's claims as -- in three counts of their complaint, appellants

3

4 allege that this state's use of the DRE equipment denies them equal protection under the federal and state

5

6 constitutions, and the fundamental right to vote under the due process clause of the 14th Amendment.

7

8 Their claims are virtually identical. And so, then

9 applying the rational basis test that Favorito did, the

10 law is clear. There is a rational basis to use the DRE

11 machines. And in the case, it's important that the Court citing the Weber vs. Shelley decision from the 9th Circuit

12

13 in 2003, acknowledged all the risks that are contained in the petitioners' claims now.

14

15 The court said in Favorito, again citing Weber, page 797, "no balloting system is perfect." Traditional paper

16

17 ballots, as became evident during the 2000 presidential

18 election, are prone to over-votes, under-votes, hanging chads, and other mechanical and human errors that may

19

20 thwart voter intent.
Meanwhile, touchscreen voting systems remedy a number

21

22 of these problems, albeit at the hypothetical price of vulnerability to certain types of fraud. And it's the job

23

24 the Court continued to say of the legislature to decide

25 which of those two risks it is going to use.

1 In fact, the Court says expressly, it's the job of
2 democratically-elected representatives to weigh the pros and cons. And so long as their choice is reasonable and
3
4 neutral, it's free from second guessing.
5 And then it goes on to really put the nail in the
6 coffin here, "in this instance Georgia made a reasonable politically neutral and nondiscriminatory choice to
7
8 certify touchscreen systems as an alternative to paper
9 ballots." Nothing in the Constitution prohibits this
10 choice.
11 That forecloses the due-process argument, because despite however it can be dressed up, it is an attack on
12
13 the DRE machines themselves. The DRE machines were attacked in 2006, and by the time they got to the Supreme
14
15 Court in 2009, the Court said it is insufficient.
16 The legislature is entitled to choose the DRE
17 machines understanding and knowing all of the risks that
18 they claim, it is still insufficient to state a claim under due process. If you then look to equal protection,
19
20 Favorito blocks the claims again.
21 Once again, the allegations are identical from what
22 the plaintiffs allege or the petitioners here and what they alleged in Favorito. Paragraph 74 of this complaint
23
24 says, "defendants knowingly treated electors who voted by
25 DRE differently than others similarly situated electors

1 voting in the same election in a jurisdiction who voted by
2 absentee ballot."
In other words, if you vote absentee you can do it
3
4 with a piece of paper. If you vote in person you use a
DRE machine and that is the distinction that they claim
5
6 sets up the Equal Protection Clause. They make that clear
on pages 43 and 44 of their response to the motion to
7
8 dismiss.
9 A state election regulation, which they go on to
10 cite, which discriminates on its face between two classes
11 of people, namely voters who vote in person and voters who
vote by absentee mail.
12
13 Now you then look at the Favorito and the court's
description of the argument there and it was the
14
15 appellants argued that electronic voters are treated
differently from voters who cast absentee ballots on paper
16
17 and the procedure for an accuracy of any recount would
18 differ. The claims are simply identical.
And so, the holding of Favorito is absolutely
19
20 dispositive. And this is at page 798. What the court
effectively says is, a voter can choose. You can vote by
21
22 absentee. We have absentee for any reason in this state,
and if you have a concern that the DRE machine is flawed,
23
24 then by all means, vote absentee.
25 It is not a distinction that the state mandates,

1 which is what is required under equal protection claim.

2 The Court specifically says in Favorito, that "as the trial court found, Georgia voters have an option."

3

4 And then it continues, if the trial court correctly concluded that quote "since every Georgia citizen could

5

6 vote either by absentee ballot or by utilizing touchscreen voting systems," appellants contention that there is some

7

8 state-based classification between voters is false.

9 And it continues then to say, "we cannot see how

10 Georgia has violated the Equal Protection Clause of the

11 14th Amendment by making these two available pacts. The allegations are the same. The case is directly en pointe

12

13 and Equal Protection and Due-Process Clause should be dismissed. Thank you.

14

15 THE COURT: Anybody else for the defendant on that issue?

16

17 MR. TYSON: We'll just join the Secretary of State's

18 argument on that point.
THE COURT: Okay.

19

20 MS. BURWELL: We join in their argument as well, Your Honor. Thank you.

21

22 MR. TYSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
MR. BROWN: In their motion to dismiss the federal

23

24 constitutional claims, first Mr. Belinfante's description

25 of how this claim will interact with the other's claims is

1 substantially correct, and that is, what we are -- what
2 the plaintiffs are alleging is that with any new election going forward prospectively should be conducted on -- not
3
4 on these machines, because doing so would be a violation of due process and equal protection.
5
6 So that's the -- sort of the procedural posture of how this claim is seeking prospective relief. Second, the
7
8 defendants rely exclusively on the Favorito and Wexler
9 decisions. The Favorito and Wexler decisions are
10 fundamentally distinguishable because Favorito dealt with
11 a 2006-era DRE system.
What's -- what has happened and what we allege in our
12
13 complaint all are real events in real life that have changed the system, that make it fundamentally different
14
15 than the system that was being reviewed by the courts in the -- the -- the -- the Favorito case.
16
17 And as we allege, Your Honor, since the Favorito
18 case, every single government agency that is responsible for national security has told the State of Georgia that
19
20 the current DRE system is fundamentally insecure.
The House Select Committee, the Senate Select
21
22 Committee, the Department of Homeland Protection. In addition, every known computer scientist on record has
23
24 said exactly the same thing and has said to Georgia, these
25 are unreliable.

1 They can't be trusted to count votes correctly. That
2 comes from every single source and there is no evidence contrary, Your Honor. And this comes from slews of
3
4 scientists, and it culminated in a report by the National Academy of Sciences that came out just this September, and
5
6 this is called a Consensus Report, which means that it's a unanimous of the scientists at the National Academy of
7
8 Sciences have collected to consider this exact issue.
9 And that is, are these -- is the system that Georgia
10 is now using secure enough to be relied upon from -- from
11 attack domestic and foreign. And the National Academy of Sciences in September said, don't use these machines
12
13 anymore. Don't even use them for the November election, which Georgia did anyway.
14
15 And so, the -- the environment is different, Your Honor. The national security concerns are different. In
16
17 2006, the -- the internet system was not getting bombarded
18 daily by nation states. The -- the system hasn't -- the -- the -- the malware environment is totally different.
19
20 We do not allege that the system right out of the box is flawed, although it's flawed in that it doesn't have a
21
22 paper backup, but that's not the nature of it. The nature of it is is that the way Georgia has not maintained the
23
24 system, coupled with the external threat, make it a system
25 -- and if you use it, you're violating the constitutional

1 rights of voters because it is fundamentally unreliable.

2 And the right to vote is nothing if their votes are not counted correctly. And in this system, you never know

3

4 if your votes are being collected, counted correctly or not. We will never know, because once a voter makes that

5

6 transient decision as to who to vote for, that record is lost forever.

7

8 We will never know, and this is why the courts who

9 have recently looked at it have concluded that using those

10 machines is substantially likely to violate due process

11 rights and equal production rights of Georgia voters. And there's no case to the contrary, Your Honor, is

12

13 that the cases now hold our way on those issues. And what the defendants do not address -- they -- they address a

14

15 sort of hypothetical complaint that was maybe filed 15 years ago.

16

17 They do not address these allegations. They don't

18 address the fundamental problems. They also don't address an entirely separate issue and that is that, as we alleged

19

20 in the complaint, the State of Georgia left this system -- we like to say, left this system out in the rain for six

21

22 months. The Secretary of State, we allege, left the system

23

24 open to the internet, to the public internet, from before

25 the 2016 election until well after, even though it was

1 informed that the system was open. And when it did so, it
2 -- it left itself open to be infected by any virus, by any hacker whether it's someone on their sofa in New Jersey or
3
4 in Peenya or in Russia.
That's what we also allege. And so, we have a system
5
6 that according to the National Academy of Sciences, even without it being left in the rain, is a violation, is so
7
8 fundamentally flawed and vulnerable, it's a violation of
9 constitutional rights.
10 And then to make matters worse, the Secretary of
11 State, even though it knew this was happening, left it exposed to the public internet to be abused and has not
12
13 undertaken any sort of forensic examination to determine whether or not it's been actually infected with malware as
14
15 the results of this recent election would suggest that it has.
16
17 And so, we have established that -- that we have
18 alleged the allegations necessary.
THE COURT: -- it's a question of what you allege.
19
20 MR. BROWN: That's exactly right, Your Honor. I misspoke. We have alleged --
21
22 THE COURT: -- what you have alleged.
MR. BROWN: We have alleged all the elements of the
23
24 claim, and therefore that motion should be dismissed, or
25 it could be revisited in a motion for summary judgment or

1 further motions after the election contest issue is
2 resolved. Thank you, Your Honor.
MR. BELINFANTE: Thank you, Your Honor. I'll be
3
4 brief.
On the subsident due process claim, everything,
5
6 everything that Mr. Brown just talked about was raised in
the Favorito decision and cited. And in the long footnote
7
8 that cites to the Weber case, the court adopts that no
9 balloting system is perfect.
10 And in specifically again talking about touchscreen
11 voting systems, it says that the DRE machines do not leave
Georgia voters without protection from fraud or any means
12
13 of verifying a vote or a way to audit the recount. The
unfortunate reality is that the possibility of electoral
14
15 fraud can never be completely eliminated, no matter which
type of ballot is used.
16
17 Even assuming that none of the advantages of the
18 touchscreen systems over traditional methods would be
sacrificed if voter-verified paper ballots were added to
19
20 the touchscreen system. And it talks about the choice
that the legislature makes and makes very clear nothing in
21
22 the constitution prohibits this choice.
The legislature is presumed to be aware of all of the
23
24 things that Mr. Brown talks about, and I'll get to that in
25 a second. And yet that choice cannot be second guessed by

1 the judiciary under the guides of a due process claim.

2 Now let's talk specifically about what the complaint alleges, because that's what we're here to discuss.

3

4 Nowhere does the complaint that I found cite to any specific inherent flaw with the machines. What it says,

5

6 over and over and over again, is that there are "vulnerabilities," potential vulnerabilities.

7

8 The National Academy of Sciences cites

9 vulnerabilities. It's the Homeland Security, cites

10 vulnerabilities. It's paragraphs 25 through 33, you'll

11 see a litany of that.
That is exactly the type of decision and the risk-

12

13 weighing that the general assembly is entitled to do, and the due process clause does not prevent them from doing.

14

15 Secondly, Mr. Brown tries to distinguish the Favorito case based on statements that are frankly not in the

16

17 record regarding the types of machines. If you look at

18 the complaint, the complaint talks about -- in paragraphs 21 to 23 is where it describes the machines and how

19

20 they're used and so on -- it doesn't say that they've been changed since 2006.

21

22 What he's talking about is that people now have additional concerns about them, but that just brings you

23

24 back to the holding of Favorito, which is that those

25 concerns are properly waived by the legislative branch,

1 not the judicial branch.

2 That also speaks to -- and I don't know that there was a specific response to the equal protection, but

3

4 certainly Favorito would say and hold here regardless of how it views the machines. As long as voters have a

5

6 choice; it is not a state-imposed distinction and no equal-protection claim can lie.

7

8 Thank you, Judge.

9 THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to have to take that,

10 and I'm going to rule on it, and I'm going to do it this

11 morning. I'll send an email. I want to relook at the petition. I am ruled -- ruled by what that petition says

12

13 this morning on -- on that issue, and I -- I want to look at it again. I've got the issues in my mind about it, and

14

15 I will look at it, okay.
MR. BELINFANTE: Thank you.

16

17 THE COURT: Anything else for the defendant?

18 MR. RUSSO: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay, then. Plaintiff has some other

19

20 motion.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. Yes, we have --

21

22 the -- the main motion that we had, Your Honor, is your November 29 emergency motion for inspection of electronic

23

24 election equipment and production of documents. To get to

25 the bottom of the causes for the machine malfunctions, we

1 need some discovery, and that's why in November we moved
2 for a right to inspect the equipment under Rule 34 and
91134, which is the --
3
4 THE COURT: What do -- I -- I seek -- don't propose
more of which I'm not going to sign, which is way beyond
5
6 anything I think that I'm required to do or should be
doing. Good many other things, obviously. Tell me a
7
8 little bit more specifically what it is you want. What do
9 you want done?
10 MR. BROWN: Well, we -- the plaintiffs would like
11 discovery of DRE machines to inspect the --
THE COURT: Which ones? Where? When? How? What?
12
13 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, we would like to inspect the
machines that are identified in Exhibit B to our motion.
14
15 And we would be amenable to meeting with the defendants to
determine a reasonable time and space -- place for that
16
17 inspection. And --
18 THE COURT: It's on the three --
MR. BROWN: It's the last two pages of the -- the
19
20 motion and the last two pages of the proposed order.
THE COURT: Yes. I have it now.
21
22 MR. BROWN: And we've identified by -- in -- in many
instances by serial number the machines that we would like
23
24 to inspect. And certainly, by precinct, and as I
25 mentioned would be amenable to sitting down with the

1 defense counsel and coming up with a -- a protocol for the
2 inspection of this equipment that would be -- be orderly and helpful. And --
3
4 THE COURT: And when you -- when you mean inspection, what do you mean, to look at them? Oh, there's a machine.
5
6 Or do you want to do anything to the machine?
MR. BROWN: A full forensic examination to determine
7
8 what's causing these -- these mistakes to happened, Your
9 Honor.
10 THE COURT: What do you mean by a full forensic
11 examination?
MR. BROWN: That would be with computer experts to
12
13 look at the internal memory and programming to see -- to compare the machines to see what possible defects are
14
15 causing these systems to malfunction.
And they would involve comparing, for example -- one
16
17 -- in addition we would like machines that were not used
18 in the -- in the -- in the December 4th runoff elections because the difficulty is if you keep on using these
19
20 machines, they may overwrite the underlying memory. Our -- this case is about the November election, not the
21
22 December election, so we would like to look at November machines that were not used.
23
24 Now some of the ones, some of the hottest and -- and
25 most troublesome machines may have been involved in both;

1 they may have continued to use them. And we may have lost
2 some evidence there; we don't know, but we've identified by serial number and by precinct in Exhibit B the evidence
3
4 that we -- we would like to -- to collect.
And like I said it's -- some logistics are involved.
5
6 We would need the systems to be set up so that they actually run, they can work, so we need -- would need
7
8 internet connection and an AC tower to boot those up.
9 But, again, we would be happy to sit down with the
10 defendants and -- and quickly set up a protocol for doing
11 that.
THE COURT: Have you sent documents, what you -- did
12
13 you --
MR. BROWN: And then in addition, of the documents
14
15 that -- that we were seeking, I mean, we would like, you know, general discovery of documentary evidence relating
16
17 to the programming of the machines and so that the -- the
18 computer scientists can better understand exactly what's going on.
19
20 And we believe that's -- that's reasonable and can be done expeditiously and -- and may answer many important
21
22 questions that are -- that Your Honor has indicated are of interest. And the defendants have not responded to our
23
24 motion. I'm not sure what their position is on it.
25 THE COURT: Well, I just got it a couple days ago.

1 MR. RUSSO: Thank you, Your Honor. I'm Vince Russo
2 for the Secretary of State.
The plaintiffs are essentially asking for unfettered
3
4 access to voting equipment here in the state of Georgia.
The -- we -- the Secretary of State objects to the motion
5
6 that the plaintiffs have filed in the request. The
plaintiffs, for starters, have not alleged irregularities
7
8 in each of those precincts where the voting machines they
9 would like to inspect are located. So, the machines in
10 those precincts are completely unrelated, as far as we can
11 tell, to their claims, based on the pleadings at least.
Additionally, Your Honor, Mr. -- plaintiffs, one of
12
13 plaintiff's experts, I suppose, Mr. Demila (phonetic),
even testified -- he even included in his affidavit that's
14
15 attached to the second motion that the plaintiffs are --
are going to be arguing, but he -- he stated in his
16
17 affidavit that there's no statistically valid conclusion
18 that can be drawn from a random sample of -- of machines.
Your Honor, at the end of the day here, as my
19
20 colleagues have pointed out repeatedly, the plaintiffs
have to show that there are enough votes in question, over
21
22 123,000 votes that -- that call in doubt the result of the
election for valid election contest. The machines that
23
24 they want to look at are not going to -- they're not going
25 to have the -- they do not have the votes as far as -- as

1 far as we can tell and -- and plaintiffs' counsel hasn't
2 indicated that there were enough votes on those machines to call in doubt the result of the election.
3
4 And as such, Your Honor, we think giving them unfettered access to highly sensitive information about
5
6 the state voting machines when irregularities alleged by the plaintiffs would not change the result of the election
7
8 is unnecessary.
9 Additionally, Your Honor, in the filing that the
10 plaintiffs made to -- excuse me, that the Secretary of
11 State made this morning, which was regarding the proffer of evidence, Mr. Demila testified in the -- in the
12
13 Totenberg case and the Kerling (phonetic) case that it would take 14,000 hours to examine all of the machines and
14
15 that alone still wouldn't be enough.
And for reference, Your Honor, 14,000 hours is more
16
17 than a year and a half. While the plaintiffs have -- have
18 obviously cut down the scope of the -- of the number of machines they would like to inspect, Your Honor, there's
19
20 -- there's still no -- there's still no facts pled that indicate that the -- the precincts and the machines that
21
22 they want to -- that the plaintiffs want to inspect, would have any effect on the outcome of the election or that
23
24 there are any irregularities in those precincts. So, we
25 would ask that you deny this motion. Thank you.

1 Oh, I'm sorry. We have not -- we will be filing this
2 today.
THE COURT: You are.
3
4 MR. RUSSO: I notice proffer of evidence by Secretary
of State, which includes the transcript that I just
5
6 referenced from the testimony of their expert in the -- in
the federal case.
7
8 And I don't know if my colleagues have anything else.
9 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, just briefly on behalf of
10 Gwinnett County. For Gwinnett, we will do obviously
11 whatever the Court directs us to do in terms of making
machines available.
12
13 Our only concern is from a practical perspective.
Obviously, this is voting equipment that is kept in a very
14
15 sensitive -- and lock and key. We keep these machines
under seal with numbered seals and audit trail to
16
17 determine we know who has access to the machines.
18 And we would just want to be absolutely certain that
whatever access is occurring is done in a way in
19
20 coordination with the Secretary of State's office and
others who are involved with this to make sure that
21
22 nothing is altered with the machines themselves.
Gwinnett owns a mix. We have a mix of machines
23
24 partially owned by the State and partially owned by the
25 county, and we will work to determine the specific serial

1 numbers and who owns those machines. But that -- we are
2 ready to do whatever the Court directs us to do, but we just have those security concerns to be sure that nothing
3 with the machines themselves is being altered and that there's enough security regarding the -- this inspection
5 that the plaintiffs are proposing.
6 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, if I may be heard briefly.
7 THE COURT: -- is this the original?
8 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, we're going to electronically
9 file it for --
10 THE COURT: I don't have one given to me. So, this is mine?
11 MR. TYSON: That's your copy.
12 MR. BELINFANTE: Which I have provided one to
13 opposing counsel and --
14 THE COURT: Okay. Right here.
15 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, if I may be heard very
16 briefly.
17 THE COURT: Sure.
18 MR. LINDSEY: The petitioner's request, I believe, is irrelevant to the outcome of this case as you -- based on
19 your ruling regarding our motion to dismiss in which you made very clear that the -- ultimately, the plaintiffs
20 must show that there were enough irregularities, neither
21 the votes counted improperly or votes not counted to

1 amount to 123,000 votes. The petitioner is seeking how
2 many -- how many exact number of -- number of machines?
MR. BROWN: You'd have to ask them.
3
4 MR. LINDSEY: A dozen or so machines? Your Honor,
that's not going to amount to 123,000. Their -- their
5
6 comeback to our specific numbers that we raise was --
well, we raised a general allegation that system wide
7
8 there were failures that resulted in the whole election
9 being cast in doubt.
10 If that's their allegation, Your Honor, merely
11 inspecting a dozen or so machines are not going to prove
or disprove that. And so, for that reason, Your Honor, we
12
13 would oppose their motion.
THE COURT: (inaudible)
14
15 MS. BURWELL: On behalf of Fulton County, Your Honor,
their list attached to their motion included counties
16
17 obviously outside of Fulton County. We don't take a
18 position with respect to those others.
With respect to Fulton County, we would point out to
19
20 the Court that the complaint only addresses two precincts
in Fulton County where they're alleging there were any
21
22 irregularities, yet their request for the review of
machines seeks far more than just those two precincts.
23
24 And so given the limited amount of time, we would
25 request that the Court is going to allow them some leeway

1 in doing some of this discovery that it not be as far-
2 reaching as they were requesting and instead that the Court be very tailored in terms of what they have actually
3
4 alleged in getting to the allegations rather than just a fishing expedition.
5
6 THE COURT: (inaudible)
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. First, Your
7
8 Honor, we have -- we have alleged irregularities in every
9 precinct, not just Grady, not just some other.
10 We've -- our allegations cover a systemic statewide
11 problem with these electronic voting machine, and we've only used as illustration some evidence that we have found
12
13 in particular precincts here and there, but those are just illustrations. Our allegations cover every single one.
14
15 Second, as to the suggestion that this discovery is unlikely to come up with anything that will allow us to
16
17 carry our burden of proof. That's just fundamentally
18 incorrect. We are also seeking discovery of the GEMS databases.
19
20 THE COURT: The who?
MR. BROWN: The GEMS is called -- it's an acronym,
21
22 GEMS, which is an acronym for the -- for the servers that collect the data, and so if there is a flaw in that it's
23
24 going to cover more than just the actual machines.
25 THE COURT: -- but that's not what you told me

1 before.

2 MR. BROWN: Yes. My -- the way I was --
THE COURT: That wasn't what you said when I came.
3

4 MR. BROWN: Well, the exhibit that I was referencing
covers DRE machines and also covers the GEMS databases
5

6 that are county specific and these databases cover more --
I mean, they are not just one by one. In addition, Your
7

8 Honor, but really much more fundamentally is that if there

9 is a programming --

10 THE COURT: Get back to the GEMS, because I'm not

11 understanding what you're saying.
MR. BROWN: The GEMS database is -- each county has a
12

13 GEMS --
THE COURT: Each county has an individual one.
14

15 MR. BROWN: Individual one.
THE COURT: Okay.
16

17 MR. BROWN: And then the Secretary of State has a

18 system that tabulates all of those. And so there could be
a programming flaw anywhere in the system. One of the
19

20 things that the data -- and this is the public information
that's available that Mr. Lindsey referred to, is that the
21

22 pattern oddly was found in every single county under votes
for the lieutenant governor's race, which no one had ever
23

24 seen before historically ever, and it comes up in every

25 single county.

1 And so that it is possible, I won't say likely, but
2 possible that there is a single or several programming mistakes, which have identified, will indicate that
3
4 there's the system wide failure.
But actually, more fundamentally, Your Honor, we'll
5
6 understand this quickly is that what the defendants are sort of analogizing this too is if we're hunting for
7
8 illegal paper ballots, like these cases. As if we're
9 looking for ballots in which Amico's name was misspelled,
10 like the Mead case. Or another case in which there's
11 something wrong with a hard copy of a ballot. And we can get that ballot and we can count them up and we can count
12
13 it up to 124,000 and therefore possibly win.
That's not this case. Because those ballots are gone
14
15 and because of the way the State has chosen to conduct these elections, that record is lost forever.
16
17 Instead what we have is the electronic memory and the
18 programming that drove the way these votes were counted, we think they were counted wrong. And so, we're entitled
19
20 to discovery, we believe, and we think it will be helpful and not necessarily that burdensome.
21
22 It's interesting that they now cite to the federal case for the declaration of Dr. Demila. Indeed, he said
23
24 if you had to look at every single machine and do a
25 forensic examination of every single machine it would have

1 took -- it would take a long time.

2 They haven't done it. We do not plan to do that. There's not time or resources to do that. Instead, this

3

4 will be a targeted examination to see if evidence of a systemic programming error either in the DRE machines

5

6 themselves or the databases have caused these problems. Thank you, Your Honor.

7

8 THE COURT: I have problems with words like "there

9 could be a flaw" or "there's a possible programming

10 mistake." And what you're really asking for in this

11 discovery and fishing expedition, and I'm not going to give it to you till - left, okay. I am going to -- I am

12

13 going to the GEMS are for each county?
MR. TYSON: Yes, Your Honor.

14

15 THE COURT: Okay.
MR. RUSSO: Your Honor, the date of the GEMS is

16

17 public -- is public record --

18 THE COURT: Even if it -- were it --
MR. RUSSO: Is highly confidential and the means

19

20 accessing --
THE COURT: Pardon.

21

22 MR. RUSSO: I said the source code and the means of accessing the GEMS, of course, is highly confidential, but

23

24 the data from the GEMS is public record.

25 THE COURT: I am going to allow you to look at the

1 GEMS in Fulton and in Gwinnett, okay. So -- will have to
2 access it. He's not to get the confidential access. And then he can look at. If it's public record, and you can
3
4 show him where the public record is, of course you can look at it, but record and you can show him where the
5
6 public record is.
Of course, you can look at all of them, okay. And it
7
8 that's up to you all to work out with and I'm going to let
9 him do it for those two counties because those are the two
10 counties that are a part of this.
11 He's got -- the only allegation he's got -- he's got an allegation in McDonough, he's -- DeKalb and what
12
13 county? Because DeKalb's not here. He's got one in Worth County. He's got Grady High School, -- Library, and then
14
15 Allen Temple A.M.E. are the ones that he's alleging were errors.
16
17 And I'm going to let him look at those, okay. It's
18 got to be supervised by somebody, okay. In the sense of -- somebody has to watch whatever he supposedly does so
19
20 there's no fundamental damage to the system in any way. Needs to be really clear. Got it?
21
22 MR. RUSSO: Your Honor, I mean, we would need to have some kind of confidentiality order for agreement,
23
24 obviously. There's -- when -- when his folks have access
25 to the machines, we don't -- I mean we can watch what

1 they're doing. We don't know what they're actually doing
2 and with the data in the -- the -- the computer technology.
3
4 THE COURT: -- any sense. You're not -- you're not going to do it yourself --
5
6 MR. RUSSO: What?
THE COURT: Like I said, if anything on those few
7
8 machines, anything is done to damage them in any way,
9 there will be some absolutely serious ramifications.
10 MR. RUSSO: Okay.
11 THE COURT: And that needs to be fully understood.
MR. RUSSO: Your -- Your Honor, I guess would -- we
12
13 would also want just clarification around what it is exactly -- we've mentioned that there's data machines that
14
15 we can pull --
THE COURT: (inaudible)
16
17 MR. RUSSO: We can pull the data from the GEMS
18 machines to give to them. If that's the extent of it, that's one thing.
19
20 THE COURT: What is it that you want to do on the individual machines?
21
22 MR. BROWN: We want to examine the internal memory, Your Honor, to determine how the program --
23
24 THE COURT: How do you examine the internal memory?
25 MR. BROWN: You do -- I -- our -- our experts will be

1 examining the internal memory to see if there are
2 programming flaws in the DRE machines that are causing these problems.
3
4 THE COURT: I got you. For programming flaws only?
MR. BROWN: Pardon me.
5
6 THE COURT: For programming flaws.
MR. BROWN: For programming flaws, yes, Your Honor.
7
8 THE COURT: See if they were programmed wrong, okay.
9 Simple language, okay.
10 MR. RUSSO: Okay. Not entirely sure what it means
11 when they get access to what they're looking at.
THE COURT: (inaudible)
12
13 MR. BROWN: I understand.
THE COURT: That these cases are supposed to be
14
15 disposed of fast, and I'm not going to give continuances. If -- if you would like to work together to do that, if
16
17 you run up on a stumbling block, you can always do it by
18 emails to me and I will email you back.
MR. RUSSO: As you said it would be confidential or
19
20 not be subject to --
THE COURT: (inaudible)
21
22 MR. RUSSO: -- because disclosure that's obviously important for our --
23
24 THE COURT: Once again your people would have to set
25 it up, where he can look at it. If he's just looking at

1 the results of it then, if you know how to show him
2 online, he can get it all in the mistakes, okay.
3 MR. RUSSO: Yeah, we'll be happy to let him see the
4 results of the election.
5 THE COURT: Let him see the results of the state, but
6 at that time --
7 MR. RUSSO: Yes.
8 THE COURT: -- all the counties involved and these
9 five places that he has indicated.
10 MR. RUSSO: Okay.
11 THE COURT: Okay.
12 MR. RUSSO: Thank you.
13 THE COURT: I'll let you submit me the order if you can agree on that. If you can't, let me know. Like I
14 said, I'm -- the hearing is on the 17th ?
15 MR. BROWN: Yes.
16 MR. RUSSO: I think, Your Honor.
17 THE COURT: I'm -- I'm here but I sleep occasionally, but apart from that time --
18 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, let me -- I want to recap what, Your Honor, has suggested just so we're very clear
19 on -- on this --
20 THE COURT: You want to do it so that you can expand
21 what I just said. Come on, I know that. Is this what you
22 said, Judge? Yeah. I know that but go ahead.
23
24
25

1 MR. BROWN: Didn't you say you favored widespread and
2 far-reaching discovery? No, I'm joking, but --
THE COURT: Okay.
3
4 MR. BROWN: Two counties -- limited to two counties?
THE COURT: Two counties. Gwinnett -- go ahead.
5
6 MR. BROWN: Gwinnett and Fulton. In the five.
THE COURT: In the five -- Henry County and
7
8 McDonough. It doesn't say specifically that one was.
9 Fulton, Allen County AME -- and Grady High School.
10 MR. BROWN: Okay. Yes, Your Honor.
11 THE COURT: That's what's alleged on the petition.
MR. BROWN: And that would be examination of the
12
13 machines under the conditions that you've described. In addition, the county servers -- that the county GEMS
14
15 servers under the instructions that you gave.
THE COURT: -- and if there's a way to get that
16
17 information if it is public record, then you can get it in
18 public record.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. At present the
19
20 trial is scheduled -- for the trial -- not at present. The trial is scheduled for the 17th --
21
22 THE COURT: Correct.
MR. BROWN: -- of -- would it make sense to postpone
23
24 that --
25 THE COURT: No, nothing postponed.

1 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

2 THE COURT: The rules are -- in fact I'm getting some pressure as to why this isn't going through faster than
3

4 it's been. It needs to be done; it needs to be completed; it needs to be over. I'm not going to move it.
5

6 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: And what I've allowed you to do on those
7

8 things can be done before that date.

9 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

10 THE COURT: If -- if you put your mind to it. Okay?

11 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Judge.
THE COURT: Okay, anything else? You had a motion
12

13 about -- but I guess that's moot.
MR. BROWN: That's moot.
14

15 THE COURT: Okay, anything else?
MR. RUSSO: No, Your Honor.
16

17 THE COURT: Okay.

18 MR. LINDSEY: Just to move the case along quickly and I'm going to stipulate to plaintiff's counsel be in
19

20 agreement -- just taking judicial notice so we don't have to bring somebody in to show the certified election
21

22 results of all the statewide elections.
THE COURT: Do you have an objection to --
23

24 MR. RUSSO: I assume we don't have any objections?

25 Just to the numbers. Do you have an objection? No,

1 Judge.

2 THE COURT: The numbers that he gave me are the numbers in the register. Do they have an objection?

3

4 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor.
MR. RUSSO: I just want to make that that's clear.

5

6 THE COURT: Okay. You can put those in without having to --

7

8 MR. RUSSO: No, Your Honor.

9 MR. BELINFANTE: We will be doing the same -- we will

10 be using the same numbers here on, Your Honor.

11 THE COURT: Okay. That’s it.
MR. RUSSO: Okay.

12

13 THE COURT: Anything else? Thank you, gentleman.
MR. RUSSO: Thank you.

14

15 MR. BELINFANTE: Thank you, Your Honor.
MR. TYSON: Thank you, Judge.

16

17

18 (Whereupon, the hearing was concluded at 10:34 a.m.)

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 CERTIFICATE

2
STATE OF GEORGIA)
3

4) SS.
COUNTY OF DOUGLAS)
5

6
I, PRISCILLA GARCIA, A COURT REPORTER IN THE STATE OF
7

8 GEORGIA, DO HEREBY STATE THAT THE FOREGOING IS A TRUE AND

9 ACCURATE TRANSCRIPT AS TRANSCRIBED BY ME AT THE TIME,

10 PLACE, AND THE DATE HEREINBEFORE SET FORTH.

11 I DO FURTHER STATE THAT I AM NEITHER A RELATIVE NOR
EMPLOYEE NOR ATTORNEY NOR COUNSEL OF ANY OF THE PARTIES TO
12

13 THIS ACTION, AND THAT I AM NEITHER A RELATIVE NOR EMPLOYEE
OF SUCH ATTORNEY OR COUNSEL, AND THAT I AM NOT FINANCIALLY
14

15 INTERESTED IN THIS ACTION.
WITNESS MY HAND IN THE CITY OF DOUGLASVILLE, COUNTY
16

17 OF DOUGLAS, STATE OF GEORGIA, ON THIS 18th DAY OF JANUARY

18 2019. BY: Priscilla Garcia
19

20 PRISCILLA GARCIA, COURT REPORTER
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF GEORGIA
21

22 COMMISSION NO.: W-00379933
COMMISSION EXPIRES: 08/14/2022
23

24 CERTFICATION NO.: 5503-2677-8304-9216

25 LICENSE EXPIRES: 04/01/2019

1

1 28:8,20, 22 47:13
100 47:17
100-vote 46:24
103,290 43:25
115,691 45:1
11th 64:20
123,000 41:22 43:13 45:15 58:20,25 60:4 80:22 84:1,4
123,000-plus 45:2
123,000-vote 48:1
123,172 13:18 43:13
124,000 87:13
13 57:4,6,9
14,000 81:13,15
14th 64:24 66:6 69:11
15 72:15
157 36:8,10 37:6
159 23:2,10 35:20
17 92:15 93:20
180 37:15
19,882 44:1
1983 10:2
1989 18:22
1998 42:20
1st 64:22

2

2 10:6 15:2 16:2,18 28:9,17 29:6
2- 28:11
2000 66:17
2003 66:13
2004 17:18 27:25
2006 67:13 71:17 75:20
2006-era 70:11
2009 64:11 67:15
2015 19:4
2016 72:25
2018 6:2 13:15
21 15:2 18:24 28:9
21-2-366 39:9
2102 14:11
2122 16:18
212235 28:13
212250 22:2
2125 14:10 28:9
22 6:6,13, 20
220 22:9,10
23 75:18
242- 52:6
25 75:10
252-georgia-260 50:4 57:24
26 39:22
2623-georgia-514 48:4
270-georgia-7 42:20 50:8 51:17
284-georgia-397 51:15 58:9
288-georgia-26 51:25
29 76:22

3

3 10:6 29:6 30:25 47:11,13
3,780,304 43:18
3,883,594 43:17
3,895,955 44:24
301-georgia-27 24:20 25:11
30177 51:11
323-georgia-po427 42:2
324 19:4
33 75:10
34 77:2
35 16:20
378-georgia-532 51:10
38 33:15
380 17:20

4

4 18:24

27:10 28:18 47:13 78:18
42 47:18
43 68:6
44 68:6

5

5 47:13
520 14:11 22:2,4 30:13,25
520-2A 30:17
521-1 47:11
522 30:13
522-2 30:20
524 61:13
524(c) 59:17
53,902 45:13,15

6

6 13:15
62 65:11
69 65:18

7

71 63:22
72 63:25
65:11
74 67:22
75 63:22
76 63:25
795 66:1
796 64:20 66:1
798 68:20

8

8,000 46:2
80,000 46:2
8:59 6:2

9

9 6:2
9/11/15 32:18
98.9% 44:11
99 46:25
9th 66:11

A

A.M.E. 89:15
ability 34:11
absentee 34:10,11 39:2 68:2, 11,15,22, 24 69:6
absolutely 68:18 82:18 90:9
absurd 23:11
abused 73:11
AC 79:8
Academy 71:4,6,11 73:6 75:8
accept 43:18 44:13,18
accepted 49:13 55:9,10,11
access 22:6 80:4 81:4 82:17,18 89:2,24 91:11
accessing 88:20,22
accuracy 68:17
acknowledged 66:13
acronym 85:20,22
Act 18:22 27:10,18
action 35:25 39:15 47:9 65:25
acts 19:2
actual 85:24
Adams 42:2
add 32:6 33:2,15 59:2
added 74:18
adding 37:22 58:13
addition 31:20 36:25 47:8 70:22 78:17 79:13 86:6 93:13
additional 14:15 32:6 75:22
Additionally 80:11 81:9
address 14:17,18, 20 38:11 63:13 72:13,17, 18
addresses 84:20
admitted 51:18
adopts 74:8
advantages

74:17

advocates 58:2

advocating 57:18

affect 46:9

affidavit 80:13,17

afford 30:9

agency 70:18

agree 12:8 35:6 62:18 92:13

agreed 9:22

agreement 89:22 94:20

ahead 15:25 20:22 63:11 92:25 93:4

albeit 66:22

allegation 15:20 30:20 44:4 45:2 47:8 57:18 59:20 84:6,10 89:11

allegations 31:2,6,10, 17,20,22 33:9 36:1 41:4 43:20 44:18 45:24,25 46:4 48:13 49:13 53:18 58:2 59:13 60:2 67:20 69:11 72:17 73:18 85:4,10,13

allege 34:1 46:25 47:4 49:11 53:13,20 55:25 58:17,22 59:2 60:20 65:18 66:4 67:22 70:11,17 71:20 72:22 73:4,18

alleged 17:11 18:8,11 22:24 30:11 33:17,20 35:24 36:4 43:6 47:15 48:13 49:18 53:1 55:8,13 56:2,8,10, 11 59:6,18 60:20,22 64:8 65:15 67:22 72:18 73:18,20, 22 80:6 81:6 85:4, 8 93:11

alleges 75:2

alleging 33:22 43:1 70:2 84:20 89:15

Allen 89:15 93:9

allowed 94:6

alluded 59:22

altered 82:22 83:4

alternative 67:8

AME 93:9

amenable 77:15,25

amend 32:2

amending 32:6

amendment 32:4 33:2 64:24 66:6 69:11

amendments 19:22

Amico 46:6 55:24

Amico's 87:9

amount 84:1, 4,24

analogizing 87:6

analogous 53:2

Anderson 24:20 64:20

anecdotes 32:25

announces 36:22

anonymous 47:24

answers 64:18

anymore 71:13

apologize 20:22 22:8

appeal 32:15 52:13 53:10

appeals 17:18

appears 25:13

appellants 66:2 68:15 69:6

appellate 25:6

applicable 16:18 17:2 28:17 38:2

applied 19:6 65:2

apply 15:20 17:1 18:24

applying 65:11 66:9

approach 24:6,8 51:2

approval 40:18

aren't 13:11

argue 18:22 21:8 24:15

argued 17:11 68:15

arguing 20:18 36:18 40:25 80:15

argument 19:13 21:24 23:8,18,20 26:4,24 29:6 33:11,13 35:15 40:2,8,9 41:18 64:15 67:11 68:13 69:18,20

arguments 13:11 21:18 41:18 61:4

assembly 75:13

assert 44:6, 11

asserted 10:18,24 14:10

asserting 10:20 42:25

assertion 42:18 44:13

asserts 44:4 45:2

assume 94:24

assuming 74:17

attached 24:13 80:15 84:15

attack 67:11 71:11

attacked 67:13

attention 61:20

attorney 23:18,22 24:4 25:1, 2 41:20

audit 74:13 82:15

authority 39:10

authorize 39:11

authorized 14:25 40:17

aware 13:15 20:11 61:18 74:22

B

back 15:9 32:18 33:2 51:4 53:9 75:24 86:10 91:18

backup 71:22

badly 61:11

BAILIFF 8:2

ballot 16:11 17:22 18:11 19:22 27:18,22 34:11 40:15 52:22 60:6 68:2 69:6 74:15 87:11

balloting 65:6 66:15 74:9

ballots 18:13 34:10 36:20 44:10,11, 22 50:20, 22 58:10, 11 59:25 60:2 66:17 67:9 68:15 74:18 87:8,9,13

Banker 51:10

bankruptcy 42:4

based 46:13 48:11 64:10 75:15 80:11

83:20

basically 27:4

basis 20:1,6 28:6 32:1 33:6 48:17 64:4,18,20 65:2,9,15 66:9,10

bath 60:15

battle 62:4

begin 58:15

beginning 21:4

behalf 9:2,6 12:4 33:13 41:20 82:9 84:15

Belinfante 6:15 8:20 14:18 41:17 60:24 62:4,8,20, 25 63:2,9, 13 64:15 74:2 76:15 83:13

Belinfante's 69:24

bench 7:20 24:8

big 42:18

binding 25:22,25

bit 30:13 77:8

block 91:17

blocks 67:20

Board 8:25 16:20,22 19:11 29:22 30:4 32:10 34:4 38:15,25 39:2,10, 11,13,15

Boards 19:9

bombarded 71:17

book 22:4,6 65:20

books 27:8 36:20

boot 79:8

borrow 56:13

bottom 76:25

bound 41:4 53:24,25 54:2,4

box 71:20

brain 12:2

branch 75:25 76:1

brand-new 26:10

breadth 53:18

Brian 24:22

briefly 27:2 82:9 83:6, 18

briefs 63:17,22

bring 12:6 15:18 21:15 22:6 33:22 94:20

brings 75:22

broader 56:17

Brody 32:6

Brown 6:15 8:11 9:13, 22 10:1,6, 10,18,22 13:9,11 21:15 22:4,8,10, 11 23:6 24:13,18 25:9,11,22 26:15,20, 22 29:4, 15,18 35:11,13, 22,24 37:11,25 39:25 48:22 49:4,6,8, 11,15 53:25 54:2,9,11, 13 55:2,4 56:6 57:22 59:4,22 60:4,8 64:13 69:22 73:20,22 74:6,24 75:15 76:20 77:10,13, 18,22 78:6,11 79:13 84:2 85:6,20 86:2,4,11, 15,17 90:22,25 91:4,6,13 92:15,20 93:1,4,6, 10,11,18, 22 94:1,6, 9,11,13

Bruce 8:11 21:15

Bryan 8:22 29:22 46:20

bunch 47:22

burden 54:6, 8,10 61:6

65:15
85:17

burdened
65:22

burdensome
87:20

Burwell 6:8, 11 9:6,9 12:4 33:13 34:17,20, 24 35:2,4, 8 38:13 39:22 40:22 48:18,20 69:20 84:15

C

calculate
55:22

call 80:22 81:2

called 24:20 71:6 85:20

calls 51:18

cameraman
6:13

candidate
27:17,18 30:17,20, 22 45:6 57:18 60:2

candidates
14:4 19:25 27:20

can't 7:20 10:20 27:8 31:18 34:4 35:17

capable
38:17 40:15

capacity
24:22,24 25:13

care 8:2 54:6

careful 7:18

Carr 25:1

Carruthers
8:24

carry 61:6 85:17

case 6:6,9, 10 10:11 11:8 13:20 16:4 17:17,18, 24,25 18:1,2,4, 6,15 19:6 22:25 23:15,17 24:6,11, 13,18,20, 22 25:1,4, 6,8,11,15, 17 26:6,9 27:6,11,25 30:10 31:4,11,18 32:6,8,9, 13 33:1,6 35:4 39:2, 8 42:1,2, 4,20 43:11 44:11,18 47:6 49:17 50:4,6,8, 15 51:2,4, 15,20,22 52:2,6,9, 10,11,18 53:2,8,9 54:22 55:1 56:13 57:11,13, 17,20,25 58:8,9,18 59:2,9 64:2 66:1, 11 69:11 70:15,18 72:11 74:8 75:15 78:20 81:13 82:6 83:20 87:10,13, 22 94:18

cases 27:2 30:15,22 37:13 42:10,15 45:18,22 48:9,11 49:25 50:17,18, 25 51:4,9 53:17 58:6 60:1 72:13 87:8 91:13

cast 18:13 31:2 43:9, 15,17 44:24 45:1,2 47:1 53:4 57:20 58:10,11, 13,24 61:4 68:15 84:9

categories
16:25

caused 88:6

causing
78:8,15 91:2

certainty
48:6 52:15

certified
42:10 43:13 46:1,15,22 94:20

certify 67:8

chads 66:18

challenge
27:13 30:6 31:13 63:20

challenged 30:18

challenges 52:20

challenging 30:22 42:15

Champion 32:8

chance 15:13

change 25:25 47:6 81:6

changed 60:11 70:13 75:20

changing 38:18

chapter 15:2 18:24 22:17,20 27:10

charge 23:25

cheat 38:11

chime 57:6

choice 65:6 67:2,6,10 74:20,22, 25 76:6

choose 34:13 67:15 68:20

chosen 42:11 60:18 87:15

Chris 25:1,2

Circuit 64:20 66:11

cite 42:1 50:17 58:6 68:10 75:4 87:22

cited 27:2 32:13 37:1 40:18 45:22 51:9 57:22 58:6 74:6

cites 74:8 75:8,9

citing 66:11,15

citizen 69:4

citizens 38:20 39:1,2

City 16:22

claim 10:13 12:6,9 13:20 14:22 17:24 32:22 38:4 47:2 48:2, 17 52:4,9, 15 57:11 64:8,17 65:11 67:18 68:4 69:1,25 70:6 73:24 74:4 75:1 76:6

claims 10:2, 6,11,18 11:6,10,18 12:4 14:18,20 29:6 47:18 63:10 64:4 66:2,8,13 67:20 68:18 69:24,25 80:11

clarification 90:13

classes 16:2 68:10

classification 69:8

clause 63:15,18, 20 66:6 68:6 69:10,13 75:13

clean 34:22 35:4

clear 9:15, 22 19:6 23:22 26:6 48:4 53:17 66:10 68:6 74:20 83:22 89:20 92:20

clerk 24:11

client 14:17

clock 57:4, 8,9

close 47:25 60:13 61:17,18, 24

closed 45:13 58:22

co-defendants 13:15

Coalition 6:10 8:6, 13 9:24 10:2 14:22

COBB 6:1

code 15:1 16:11,18 18:24 22:1,17 27:9 28:13,18, 20 32:13 40:17 88:22

coffin 67:6

colleagues 18:18 80:20 82:8

collect 79:4 85:22

collected 71:8 72:4

collection 47:20

comeback 84:6

Commissioners 39:11,13

Committee 70:20,22

common 20:4

commonsense 22:20 23:24

compare 65:24 78:13

comparing 78:15

comparison 30:13

complaining 34:1

complaint 20:15 33:15 38:6 47:18 49:11 65:13,18 66:2 67:22 70:13 72:15,20 75:2,4,18 84:20

completed 94:4

completely 14:2 63:20 74:15 80:10

comply 38:22

computer 22:6 70:22 78:11 79:18 90:2

conceded 36:2

concern 68:22 82:13

concerns 71:15 75:22,25 83:2

concluded 51:13 69:4 72:9

conclusion 64:2 80:17

conditions 93:13

conduct 16:13 17:6 28:11 58:11 87:15

conducted 16:8,13 28:2 30:6 31:1 36:2 70:2

conducting 22:22 40:2,15

conference 7:20

conferences 7:20

confidential 88:18,22 89:2 91:18

confidentiality 89:22

confirmed 14:20

confirming 6:20 65:9

conflicting 47:9

confuse 22:11

confusing 15:17

connection 30:15 79:8

cons 65:6 67:2

Consensus 71:6

constitution 63:8 67:9 74:22

constitutional 11:18 12:9 14:18 19:22 29:6 63:9,10 64:4 69:24 71:25 73:9

constitutions 66:6

constrained 34:6 38:22

construction 19:1,6

construed 20:4

contained 66:13

contention 69:6

contest 9:25 10:4 14:9, 15,22 15:4,6 17:18 18:4,6 19:8 20:8, 24 22:20

23:2,15 24:1 28:4, 6,10,20 30:1,11, 15,20 31:15 32:4 33:8 59:15,18, 20,22 74:1 80:22

contestant 14:8 17:20 18:11 32:8 53:1

contestants 10:2 50:6

contested 10:8 16:4, 6,9 28:11 30:6 31:1

contesting 13:15

contests 14:25 19:24 27:20,22 61:15

context 15:4

contingent 63:20

continuances 91:15

continued 66:24 79:1

continues 60:4 69:4, 9

contrary 71:2 72:11

conversation 7:13

convinced 13:1

coordination 82:20

copy 24:9, 20 64:11 83:13 87:11

Corea 25:2

corners 9:20 20:15 29:11 48:15 58:20 61:10

correct 9:13,25 10:1,6,22 22:15 55:2,4 70:1 93:22

correctly 69:4 71:1 72:2,4

couldn't 32:20

counsel 8:10,13 13:10 25:4 27:4,6,11 60:15 64:13 78:1 81:1 83:15 94:18

counsel's 7:11

count 10:6 12:13,18 28:8,20,22 41:13 50:2,20 52:22 63:20 65:11 71:1 87:11

counted 43:22 45:20 57:20 58:1,6,25 72:2,4 83:25 87:18

counties 19:11 20:9 23:2,10 28:4 31:6, 9,11,18,22 32:20,24, 25 33:4, 20,25 34:15,18 35:20 36:4,8,11, 13 37:2,6 84:15 89:9,10 92:8 93:4

counting 31:4 58:18 59:17

counts 29:6 43:22 63:13 66:2

county 6:1 8:9 9:6,13 12:2,4 16:15,20, 22,24 17:11 18:6,9,15, 18 19:9,10 20:9,10 24:11 28:2 29:4,22 30:4 31:11,17, 20 32:10 33:13,18 34:4,11 35:9 36:4, 15 37:2 38:11,15, 20,22 39:6,10 40:11,13, 22 46:20 47:18,20 82:10,25 84:15,17, 18,20

86:6,11,
13,22,25
88:13
89:13
93:6,9,13

county's
30:11
35:15

county's
39:15

couple 8:6
79:25

coupled
71:24

court 6:6,9,
11,17,18,
22 7:1,4,
6,11,13
8:1,2,4,
15,22 9:1,
4,8,11,15
10:4,8,9,
11,13,20
11:2,11,
13,18,20,
22 12:6,8,
11,15,18,
22,25
13:11 14:6
15:8,11,
13,17,24
16:20
17:17
20:2,13,
17,20,22
21:2,4,6,
11,13
22:2,4,9,
11 23:4,20
24:10,15
25:6,10,18
26:13,17,
20 27:1
28:8 29:1,
8,11,15,20
31:18
32:6,11
33:10,15,
22,24
34:13,18,
22,25
35:2,6,9,
13,18,22
36:24
37:11,13
38:1,6,9
39:9,22,24
40:22,24
41:9,13,15
42:4,10,18
43:18
45:18
46:15,18
47:13
48:2,4,9,
18,20,22
49:1,4,6,
9,15,25
50:4,9,13,
20 51:2,
10,11,13,
15,17,20,
25 52:4,6,
10,13
53:4,6,8,
24 54:1,6,
10,11
55:2,4
56:6,13
57:15
58:8,9
59:11
60:13,25
62:6,9,15,
18,22
63:2,4,8,
10 64:2,11
65:1,24
66:2,11,
15,24
67:1,15
68:20
69:2,4,15,
18 73:18,
22 74:8
76:9,17,18
77:4,11,
18,20
78:4,10
79:11,25
82:2,11
83:2,8,11,
15,18
84:13,20,
25 85:2,6,
20,25
86:2,10,
13,15
88:8,15,
18,20,25
90:4,6,11,
15,20,24
91:4,6,8,
11,13,20,
24 92:4,8,
11,13,18,
22 93:2,4,
6,11,15,
22,25
94:2,6,10,
11,15,17,
22

court's
68:13

courtroom
7:9 15:9
55:2

courtrooms
10:22

courts 42:4,
6 43:2
51:6 70:15
72:8

cover 36:1
59:11
61:13,25
85:10,13,
24 86:6

covered 6:20
10:4

covers 86:4

Crawford
42:20
51:17

criminal
11:9

Crittenden 8:9
culminated 71:4
current 17:25 70:20
cut 81:18

D

daily 71:18
damage 89:20 90:8
data 85:22 86:20 88:24 90:2,13,17
database 17:9 86:11
databases 85:18 86:4,6 88:6
date 33:2 88:15 94:8
Dawkins- 24:20
Dawkins-haigler 51:11
day 14:6 49:2 80:18
days 79:25
deal 11:20
dealing 35:20 58:4
dealt 70:10
December 39:22 78:18,22
decide 10:15 34:13 39:2,6 66:24
decided 48:11
decides 64:4
decision 25:6,15, 18,20 26:11 42:18,20 52:10 55:10 64:10,20 66:11 72:6 74:6 75:11
decisions 70:9
declaration 87:22
declared 16:10
declares 19:17
deemed 11:1
defective 65:18
defects 43:1,8 78:13
defend 35:17
defendant 15:2,20 16:2 17:15 19:15 21:18,20, 22,25 22:22 24:1 26:2,25 30:15,18, 20,24 39:17 62:24 69:15 76:17
defendant's 52:11 56:22
defendants 11:4 18:18 20:9 23:1, 10 36:1,6 48:24 49:20 52:9 63:6 65:13,20 67:24 70:8 72:13 77:15 79:10,22 87:6
defendants' 33:10
defendant's 13:10
defended 23:18 25:1,17
defending 25:2
defense 21:13 78:1
defined 16:2,18 19:20 22:13
defines 22:2 28:13,15
definition 17:2 18:22 19:15 20:6 22:15
definitions 16:17 28:17
Dekalb 9:13 31:10 32:10 47:18,20 89:11
Dekalb's 89:13
Demila 80:13 81:11 87:22

democratic
45:6

democratically -elected
65:4 67:2

demonstrated
42:17

demonstrates
45:22 59:6

denies 66:4

Dennis 25:2

DENTON
11:11,15, 20,22

deny 37:20
40:24
61:22
81:25

department
25:2,22,24
70:22

depending
46:2

derived
58:13

describes
53:22
75:18

description
68:13
69:24

determine
11:10
50:22
52:24
73:13
77:15 78:6
82:17,25
90:22

determined
29:25

Dick 8:24

didn't 15:8, 13,18 41:9
44:6 93:1

differ 68:18

difference
13:20 32:4
43:22 44:8
50:2,22,24
52:25
56:20,25
58:13

differences
14:4

differently
67:25
68:15

difficulty
78:18

direct 32:15

directly
69:11

director
8:13 32:9

directs
82:11 83:2

disagree
65:13

disagreement
65:13

discloses
52:15

disclosure
91:22

discovery
36:10,11, 13,15,24
37:6 77:1, 11 79:15
85:1,15,18
87:20
88:11 93:2

discriminates
68:10

discuss
14:13 75:2

discussed
23:17
51:20 60:8

discusses
19:15

dismiss
13:13
14:13
15:24
20:18 28:6
29:8,11,24
34:2 38:25
39:20
41:1,6
42:6 46:13
47:10,11
48:10,11
52:4 54:18
55:6 57:11
59:10
61:22 64:6
68:8 69:22
83:22

dismissal
20:25 32:1
33:8 47:2

dismissed
9:13 29:13
41:10
47:20
48:17
52:8,15
69:13
73:24

dismissing
15:6 53:8

disposed
91:15

dispositive
68:20

disprove
84:11

disputed
59:4

disregard
14:2

distinction
68:4,25
76:6

distinguish 75:15

distinguishable 70:10

documentary 79:15

documents 76:24 79:11,13

doesn't 34:11 75:20

domestic 71:11

don't 7:2,4,17 10:15 11:9,15,17 12:11 19:11 22:11 26:15 27:24 36:15 37:22 38:2,20 40:18 41:2 47:25 49:6 79:2 89:25 90:1 94:20

doubt 14:1 42:18 43:2 47:4 48:15 53:4 57:6 58:11 60:11,15,22 61:2 80:22 81:2 84:9

down-ballot 43:10,15

download 37:18 62:11

downstairs 6:25 7:2,22

dozen 84:4,11

dramatic 44:6,13 56:25

dramatically 43:10

drastic 42:13

drawn 80:18

DRE 33:17 34:6,11 38:18,20,22 65:2,15,18 66:4,10 67:13,15,25 68:4,22 70:11,20 74:11 77:11 86:4 88:4 91:2

dressed 67:11

drop 62:6

drop-in 44:4

drop-off 44:8,11,13,15,20 45:4 56:25

drop-offs 44:4

drove 87:18

due 63:13,18 64:9,15 65:11 66:6 67:18 70:4 72:10 74:4 75:1,13

due-process 67:11 69:13

dumped 37:17

Duncan 9:4 12:13 41:20 44:2 45:11 55:22

Dunn 25:2

E

earlier 58:20

Earnest 57:22

easily 50:18

Edward 9:2 41:18

effect 24:2 81:22

effectively 68:20

egregious 48:24

elect 16:4

elected 27:11

electing 56:24

election 9:24 10:2 12:15,18 13:17,18 14:2,9,11,22,25 15:1,4,6 16:4,6,9,11,13,18 17:11,18 18:4,6,22,24 19:8,18,24 20:2,8,11,24 21:25 22:2,11,13,15,18,22 23:2,15 24:1,24 25:13 26:2,4 27:20,22 28:2,6,10,

11,20
30:1,4,6,
11,20,25
32:4,13
33:8 36:2
40:6,9,10,
15 42:11,
15,18 43:2
46:15,22
47:6 50:6
52:22 53:4
55:24
57:13,24
58:11,13
59:15
60:13,17
61:2,15
63:20,24
64:1,22
66:18
68:1,9
70:2 71:13
72:25
73:15 74:1
76:24
78:20,22
80:22
81:2,6,22
84:8 92:4
94:20

elections
8:25
16:13,20,
22 17:1,6
19:9,11
23:25 26:9
28:2 29:22
32:9,10
34:4 38:15
39:1,4,11,
15 40:2
42:15
78:18
87:15
94:22

electoral
74:13

electors
16:11
19:18
67:24,25

electronic
44:10,22
45:4 56:4,
20 65:20
68:15
76:22
85:11
87:17

electronically
83:9

elements
73:22

eligibility
16:6
30:18,22

eligible
30:22

eliminated
74:15

Ellis 48:4

else's 24:18

else's
24:15,17

email 76:11
91:18

emailing
62:10

emails 91:18

emergency
76:22

en 32:6
69:11

end 37:22
45:13
80:18

enjoyed 44:2
45:11,20

entire 49:17
55:22,24
57:2 61:2

entirety
33:8

entitled
41:24
52:17
53:13,15
55:25
56:2,8
67:15
75:13
87:18

environment
71:15,18

equal 63:15,
18 64:9
66:4 67:18
68:6 69:1,
10,13 70:4
72:11 76:2

equal-protection
76:6

equally 64:4

equipment
66:4 76:24
77:2 78:2
80:4 82:13

erase 58:13

erroneously
13:22

error 59:17
88:4

errors 31:4
47:22
66:18
89:15

essence
38:18

essentially
44:11 80:2

established
42:15 43:2
51:20,22
73:17

events 70:13

everybody's
35:1 38:11

Everyone's

6:17

evidence
9:17 26:18
31:9,13,24
32:20
37:15 41:1
48:25
50:10,13
51:6,11,18
54:13 56:2
59:15,20
64:2 71:2
79:2,15
81:11 82:4
85:11 88:4

evidenced
31:8

evident
66:17

evidentiary
9:17 51:22
52:6 56:22

exact 60:25
71:8 84:2

examination
73:13
78:6,11
87:25 88:4
93:11

examine
81:13
90:22,24

examining
91:1

examples
51:8

exceeds
18:13

exclusively
70:8

excuse 14:11
17:15
81:10

executive
8:13

exhibit 46:6
77:13 79:2
86:4

exist 60:6

expand 92:22

expanded
14:15

expanding
20:6

expedition
85:4 88:11

expeditiously
79:20

expert 55:18
82:6

experts
78:11
80:13
90:25

explain 7:8
12:1 30:13
51:11

explained
51:15 52:1
55:18
56:11

explanation
56:24 60:6

explanations
13:20 14:2
60:6

exposed
73:11

expressly
67:1

extent 90:18

external
71:24

extremely
61:24

F

face 68:10

fact 14:4
15:18 17:4
22:20
23:17 39:6
51:22 52:2
55:11
58:20 65:2
67:1 94:2

facts 52:18
56:1 64:8
81:20

fail 32:2
64:4

failed 53:10

failing
20:25

failure 47:2
48:17,25
52:4,8,15
57:11 61:4
87:4

failures
84:8

fair 24:18
33:24

fairly 11:25

fall 46:2
59:6 64:6

falls 59:6

false 69:8

far- 85:1

far-reaching
93:2

fast 37:13,
15 91:15

faster 94:2

favored 93:1

Favorito
64:10,15,
18 65:25
66:9,15
67:20,22
68:13,18
69:2 70:8,
9,10,15,17
74:6

75:15,24 76:4

federal 10:2,6,9, 11,13,15 11:1,18 12:4,6,8 14:18 66:4 69:22 82:6 87:22

federals 10:15

felt 40:20

field 34:15

figure 31:13

figuring 34:18

file 14:22 27:20 37:2 83:10

filed 9:18 13:13,15 18:6,15 28:4 30:10 31:8 32:9, 20 39:20 42:20 72:15 80:6

filing 81:9 82:1

filling 33:2

final 25:18

Finally 19:13

find 6:18 27:8 28:20 35:10 52:11 54:4

fine 24:15

firm 25:4

fishing 85:4 88:11

five-day 32:17

fixing 62:22

flaw 75:4 85:22 86:18 88:9

flawed 40:15 53:20 55:22,24 65:20 68:22 71:20 73:8

flaws 91:2, 4,6

flipping 56:4

focus 58:10

folks 89:24

follow 34:6 41:6

footnote 74:6

force 34:11

forecloses 67:11

foreign 71:11

forensic 73:13 78:6,10 87:25

forever 72:6 87:15

forgot 60:25

form 7:25 61:13 62:1

formally 16:10

forward 59:2,15 62:2 64:4 70:2

found 24:6 50:4,9 51:8 54:6 60:22 69:2 75:4 85:11 86:22

fourth 19:15

frankly 75:15

fraud 66:22 74:11,15

free 65:8 67:4

front 6:22 15:25 31:18 50:20,24 60:20

full 30:9 33:6,24 62:22 78:6,10

Fuller 51:15 58:8

fully 90:11

Fulton 8:9 9:6 12:2,4 24:11 29:17 31:6 33:13,18 34:4,11 35:13,15, 25 36:6 38:9,15,20 39:6 40:1, 11,13,22 48:18 84:15,17, 18,20 89:1 93:6,9

Fulton's 40:2

fundamental 18:25 56:10 59:1 65:13,22 66:6 72:18 89:20

fundamentally 53:20 55:11 70:10,13, 20 72:1

73:8 85:17 86:8 87:4

future 63:24

G

gap 45:13

gave 93:15

GEMS 85:18, 20,22 86:4,10, 11,13 88:13,15, 22,24 89:1 90:17 93:13

general 13:17 16:17 23:18,22 24:4 25:2 29:9 75:13 79:15 84:6

generalized 41:6,9,10 61:4

generally 64:25

Geoff 9:2 12:11 41:20

Georgia 6:1 8:18 13:18 14:6 15:1 16:11,15, 18 26:8 28:2 31:11 38:20 42:9 58:8 64:11 66:1 67:6 69:2,4,10 70:18,24 71:9,13,22 72:11,20 74:11 80:4

Georgia-454 52:6

give 15:13 25:18 33:6 88:11 90:18 91:15

giving 49:17 81:4

glad 43:4

golden 46:2

good 8:6,8, 13,18,22 9:2 11:6 14:22 15:15 29:20 77:6

Gordon 31:9

gotcha 9:11

Governance 8:8,15 14:22

governed 15:1

governing 39:10

government 70:18

governor 13:17 16:4 17:13 44:8 46:9 63:24

governor's 59:24 86:22

Governor-elect 9:2 41:20

governor's 13:22 43:9,18,24 44:9,15, 20,24 45:6

Grady 85:9 89:13 93:9

grant 6:18 28:22 37:1 52:4 59:10

granted 26:11

greater 58:25

ground 59:18

grounds 14:10,15 30:15 31:2 52:9

group 27:13

gubernatorial 45:11

guess 29:15 90:11 94:13

guessed 74:25

guessing 14:9 65:8 67:4

guides 75:1

Gwinnett 8:9,24 11:11 29:4,15,22 30:4 31:6, 20,25 33:18 35:25 36:6 38:1,2 40:1 46:20 82:10,22 89:1 93:4, 6

H

hacker 73:2

Haigler 24:20

half 81:17

hand 54:1

handle 8:6

hanging 66:18

Hanson 32:13

happened 48:6 49:20 70:11 78:8

happening 73:11

happy 41:2, 17,18 79:9 92:2

hard 37:18 87:11

hate 61:8

haven't 41:6

hear 10:15 11:2 12:25 13:2,4 15:20,22 29:6,15 41:11,13 43:4

heard 15:18 34:25 35:1 41:6 48:18 83:6,17

hearing 7:15 13:11 33:25 37:6,22 41:2 51:13,22 52:1,2,8 56:22 92:15

held 28:2 52:13 53:4 57:11 58:9

helpful 78:2 87:20

helps 30:13

Henry 93:6

here's 35:22

he's 75:22 89:11,13, 15 91:25

High 89:13 93:9

highest 43:13

highlight 18:4

highly 81:4 88:18,22

historically 86:24

history 26:6

hold 7:20 30:22 72:13 76:4

holding 26:8 52:13 64:1 68:18 75:24

Homeland 70:22 75:9

Honor 6:15, 18 8:11, 18,20,22 9:2,10,13 10:1,6,10, 18,22 11:6,11 12:4,11 13:9 14:20,25 17:2 18:25 19:13,20 20:2,13 22:8 23:2, 6,13,22 24:6,13 25:6,22 26:1,10, 15,22 27:2,8,24 29:4,18, 20,24 31:13 33:13 34:2,10,18 35:13,22 36:18,25 37:10,11, 25 38:2 39:20,25 40:4,20 41:18,22 42:18,20, 24 44:18, 25 45:25 46:4,10, 11,15,18 48:20,22 49:13,17, 25 50:18 51:4 52:2 53:13 54:13,15, 20 55:2,8 56:2,15 57:4,13, 15,25 58:4 59:11,13 60:24 62:4,8,13, 25 63:6, 13,20 64:9 69:20,22 70:17 71:2,15 72:11 73:20 74:2 76:18,20, 22 77:13 78:9 79:22 80:1,11,18 81:4,9,15, 18 82:9 83:6,9,17 84:4,10, 11,15 85:6,8 86:8 87:4 88:6,13,15 89:22 90:11,22 91:6 92:17,20 93:10,18 94:1,6,9, 15

Honor's 39:25

hottest 78:24
hours 81:13, 15
House 70:20
Howell 48:8 59:25
huge 61:6
human 66:18
Hunt 42:20 50:6,8 51:15,18
hunting 87:6
hypothetical 66:22 72:15

I

idea 59:22
identical 66:8 67:20 68:18
identified 63:15 77:13,22 79:2 87:2
identify 32:22
illegal 18:11,13 31:2 47:1 50:20,22 87:8
illustration 49:11 56:18 85:11
illustrations 55:13 85:13
imagine 7:20
immunity 21:20,22 23:18 26:9,24 63:18
impact 45:6 50:18 58:18
important 25:15 47:13 54:24 64:24 66:11 79:20 91:22
imposes 64:22
improper 37:24 53:4,11
improperly 53:2 58:10,24 83:25
inaccurate 65:20
inapplicable 18:20
inaudible 6:8 11:18 15:11 20:13 35:11,13 38:6 48:22 57:15 59:11 84:13 85:6 90:15 91:11,20
incapable 40:2
incidences 56:11
include 17:4 28:15
included 33:1 46:6 80:13 84:15
includes 19:2 82:4
incorrect 85:18
increased 44:25
incumbents 14:4
independent 27:18,20 32:15
individual 10:2 86:13,15 90:20
individuals 8:8 15:2,4
infected 73:2,13
infer 56:15
inferred 50:11,13
inflamed 61:20
inflammatory 49:9 61:18
information 33:22 36:18 47:20 81:4 86:20 93:17
informed 73:1
infringed 65:22
inherent 75:4
insecure 70:20
insist 60:6
inspect 77:2,11, 13,24 80:9

81:18,22

inspecting
84:11

inspection
76:22
77:17
78:2,4
83:4

instance
56:6 67:6

instances
55:13
77:22

instructed
24:8

instructions
93:15

insufficient
50:25
67:15,18

intended
23:11

intent 66:20

intentionally
19:2

interact
69:25

interest
79:22

interesting
61:15
87:22

Interestingly
52:18

interests
64:25

internal
78:13
90:22,24
91:1

internet
71:17
72:24
73:11 79:8

interpret
49:20

interpretation
49:22

intimidate
26:13,15

introduce
8:10

introduced
50:10,13

involve
31:22
32:15
47:17,18
78:15

involved
12:18
17:8,17
32:13 50:6
52:18,20
78:25 79:4
82:20 92:8

involving
17:13
18:4,11
19:24

irregular
58:11

irregularities
18:8,17
20:11 28:4
36:4 47:15
50:4
58:10,17,
22 60:11
80:6 81:6,
24 83:24
84:22 85:8

irregularity
18:11
22:24,25

irrelevant
83:20

isn't 38:17

issue 10:15
11:4 15:25
18:20
20:11,17
21:11,17
27:1,25
30:6 33:22
34:18
35:15,18,
20,22
37:10
39:4,18
40:4 41:8
57:17
58:13,15
61:6 69:15
71:8 72:18
74:1 76:13

issues 12:9
14:1,15
21:24
33:20 34:1
40:13
52:22 55:6
72:13
76:13

items 19:22

it's 13:2
17:11
18:25
19:1,6
23:6,8,11,
18 24:11,
13 27:17
28:18 32:2
37:6 39:11
48:11
75:9,10
77:18 79:4

I'd 21:2
24:8

I'll 8:2
13:2 14:17
28:22 42:1
74:24

I'm 7:1,9,
22 10:13
11:22,24,
25 13:1,6
20:18 22:4
24:2,15

36:18 38:11 40:2 41:17,18, 22 42:8 43:4 76:9, 10 88:11 89:8,17 91:15 92:15,18 93:2 94:2, 18

I've 6:22 8:4

J

January 6:2

Jersey 73:2

Jim 8:6

job 60:15 65:4 66:22 67:1

Johnson 42:2 48:4

join 23:2 33:13 34:15 37:9 38:4 48:18 69:17,20

joined 33:6 34:17 35:6,20 36:8 37:8 63:6

joining 48:20

joint 16:22 62:13

joking 93:2

Josh 8:20 14:18 15:8

judge 8:2 11:8 16:20 21:15 53:22 54:11,13 55:9 76:8 92:25 94:11

judges 19:10 61:20

judgment 73:25

judicial 41:24 42:1,2,6 45:25 46:13 59:4 65:8 76:1 94:20

judiciary 75:1

jurisdiction 36:22 68:1

jurisdictional 23:20

K

Kaye 9:6 33:13

Kemp 24:22

Kerling 81:13

key 45:17 82:15

kind 7:15 11:11 13:10 30:13 38:4 39:6 47:22 59:1 61:15,24 89:22

kinds 7:18 10:22 52:20

knew 73:11

knowing 67:17

knowingly 65:20 67:24

Kohl 51:10

L

lack 31:20 39:20

lacks 14:22

language 17:2 19:2 56:13 60:25 91:9

largely 63:17

late 32:2 33:2

Laurens 18:6,15

law 14:8 20:4 25:2, 22,24 26:2,4 27:6 28:2, 15 34:6,8 38:22 54:20 64:22 66:10

laws 20:4

lawsuit 32:15,20 42:2 47:20

lawyers 9:9 25:2

leads 51:4

leap 20:2

leave 74:11

leeway 84:25

left 72:20, 22 73:2,6, 11 88:11

legal 31:2 47:1 49:22 58:11

legislative

75:25

legislator 23:11

legislature 19:2 22:18 23:11,13 66:24 67:15 74:20,22

lengthy 51:13

letter 46:6, 8

let's 13:2 21:4 46:24 75:2

level 16:15 28:2

Library 89:13

lie 76:6

lieutenant 9:2 13:17, 22 16:4 17:13 41:20 43:9,18,24 44:9,15, 20,24 45:6 46:8 59:24 63:24 86:22

life 12:1 70:13

lightly 42:13

limited 84:24 93:4

Lindsey 9:2 12:11,17, 20,24 41:18 49:15 55:20 57:15,17 83:6,17,20 84:4 86:20 94:18

lines 30:20

list 84:15

litany 75:11

located 80:9

lock 82:15

logical 30:2,24

logistics 79:4

long 28:2 65:6 67:2 74:6 76:4 88:1

looked 72:9

lose 54:22

lost 38:11 50:8,9 53:10 61:1 72:6 79:1 87:15

lot 13:4,6 26:17 31:22 41:1

M

machine 47:18 55:18,20 68:4,22 76:25 78:4,6 85:11 87:24,25

machines 13:24,25 33:17 34:6 36:20 38:18,22 40:10,11, 15 53:2,6, 13 55:13 56:11 58:2,18 60:4 65:4, 15,18 66:11 67:13,17 70:4 71:11 72:10 74:11 75:4,17,18 76:4 77:11,13, 22 78:13, 17,20,22, 25 79:17 80:8,9,18, 22 81:2,6, 13,18,20 82:11,15, 17,22 83:1,4 84:2,4,11, 22 85:24 86:4 88:4 89:25 90:8,13, 18,20 91:2 93:13

made 19:13 23:20 31:4 33:9 47:6 50:15 51:22 67:6 81:10,11 83:22

mail 68:11

main 76:22

maintain 17:9

maintained 71:22

maintenance 53:4,11

major 11:25 43:4

make 6:6 12:20 17:10 20:2 21:18

26:10 29:4
31:17
35:2,4
41:17,18
50:22
52:24
53:18
63:22 68:6
70:13
71:24
73:10
82:20
93:22

makes 46:9
61:4 72:4
74:20

making 11:4
20:2 38:13
51:18
69:11
82:11

malfunction
47:18
55:20
78:15

malfunctioned
49:18
55:15

malfunctioning
13:22
56:11

malfunctions
55:17
76:25

malicious
13:24

malware
71:18
73:13

mandates
68:25

manipulation
13:24

margin 13:18
18:13 44:1
45:20
46:24
48:13
50:24 57:2
58:15,18
59:8

Marilyn 8:13

Marks 8:13

material
61:13

math 11:25
43:4 44:17
46:11
60:18,20
61:6

mathematical
43:2 48:6

matter 11:9
41:2,25
44:17
53:9,11
58:20
61:10
74:15

matters 42:6
73:10

matured 11:1

ma'am 15:22
28:25

Mcdonough
89:11 93:8

Mead 17:17
18:4,20
23:15,17
30:10 48:8
51:20
59:25
87:10

means 21:25
22:13
26:2,4
68:24 71:6
74:11
88:18,22
91:10

meant 21:10
22:20
23:24
26:13

mechanical
66:18

meet 20:25

meeting
77:15

memory
78:13,20
87:17
90:22,24
91:1

mentioned
14:18
49:13
77:25
90:13

mere 60:9

merits 25:17
52:1,2

methods
74:18

Miko 45:8,
10

mind 25:25
46:20
76:13
94:10

mine 83:11

minute 35:20

miscast
53:6,13

misconduct
31:2 50:4,
11 51:18
57:18 58:2

miscounted
45:20

missed 17:20

misspelled
87:9

misspoke
73:20

mistake 50:18 51:1 88:10

mistakes 78:8 87:2 92:2

mix 82:22

mode 56:24

modify 32:11

moment 62:6

months 72:22

moot 94:13

mooted 29:2

morning 8:18,22 9:2,10 11:4,6 13:1 29:20 76:11,13 81:11

motion 13:13 14:13 15:24 20:18 28:22 29:8,11,24 34:2,20 37:20 38:24 39:20 41:1,6 42:4 46:11 47:11 48:10 52:4 55:6 57:11 59:10 61:22 62:13,15 64:6 68:6 69:22 73:24,25 76:20,22 77:13,20 79:24 80:4,15 81:25 83:22 84:13,15 94:11

motions 9:15 12:25 13:4,6,13 29:2 31:8 37:22 38:1,4 41:10 47:10 48:11 54:18 63:1,11 74:1

move 14:22 59:2 94:4, 18

moved 77:1

moves 20:11

moving 20:20

multiple 14:11 27:11

municipal 17:1 32:13

Murray 31:9

N

nail 67:4

named 32:20

nation 71:18

national 70:18 71:4,6,11, 15 73:6 75:8

nature 50:1 71:22

necessarily 11:15 22:6 87:20

needed 32:18 45:13

nefarious 43:8,20

negative 45:6

negligent 43:20

neutral 65:8 67:4,6

nice 35:4

nominated 30:18

nomination 16:4,6

non-discriminatory 64:22

non-party 36:11

nondiscriminatory 67:6

note 53:20

noted 27:2 34:2 65:1

notes 51:20 53:8

notice 32:11 41:24 42:1,2,6 46:1,13 59:4 82:4 94:20

November 13:15 71:13 76:22 77:1 78:20,22

number 13:20 16:10 18:13 19:17 31:4,17 38:25 40:6 43:6,9,13, 15 44:6, 13,22 45:1,13,18 46:2,8

47:4 48:8 55:13 58:11,24 59:17 60:1,6 66:20 77:22 79:2 81:18 84:2

numbered 82:15

numbers 22:10 41:22 42:9,10 44:15 49:15 50:6 60:18 61:2,11 62:2 83:1 84:6 94:25

O

objection 6:11 11:9, 17 94:22, 25

objections 94:24

objects 80:4

occasionally 92:18

occurred 18:8,17 28:4 34:2 36:4

occurring 82:18

OCGA21-2-366 39:4

OCGA212250 22:1

OCGA212520 15:2 16:1

OCGA2143 22:13

oddly 86:22

offer 40:4 56:22

offered 56:22

office 11:15 16:6 19:25 30:22 42:10 82:20

officer 16:9 19:13,17 27:13,15

officers 27:11

official 24:22 25:11 42:9

omits 19:4

one-off 47:22

online 92:2

open 72:24 73:1,2

opening 49:2

operating 53:2 58:2

opinion 53:22

opportunity 12:6 54:18

oppose 84:13

opposed 6:6 18:11

opposing 64:11 83:15

optical 39:11

option 23:1 69:2

order 6:20, 22 7:22 18:13 33:6 40:9,20 41:15 48:2 59:2 62:17,18 77:20 89:22 92:13

ordered 40:8 50:4

orderly 78:2

original 33:2 83:8

originals 61:25

other's 69:25

outcome 43:2 46:9 60:10 81:22 83:20

outlined 42:22

over-votes 66:18

overwrite 78:20

owned 82:24

owns 82:22 83:1

P

p.m. 6:2

pack 62:11

pacts 69:11

pages 37:15 68:6 77:18,20

paper 40:11, 15 44:9, 11,22 56:20 66:15 67:8 68:4,15 71:22

74:18 87:8

papers 24:9

paragraph
33:15
47:18
63:22
65:11,18
67:22

paragraphs
75:10,18

pardon 33:11
88:20 91:4

part 11:18
53:1 60:15
89:10

partially
82:24

parties
14:20 30:8
31:11,18,
22 32:2,6
33:1,4,6
37:24 40:1
51:20

partner
14:18

party 9:24
10:2
12:13,17
17:15,24,
25 18:1
28:22
29:4,15,17
30:1,2
36:17
38:15
39:13

past 14:22,
24 20:20

pattern
55:17
86:22

Peenya 73:4

pending 11:8
12:4 13:2

people 7:20
42:11 44:6
57:20
68:11
75:22
91:24

percentage
45:10,11

percentages
32:25

perfect
66:15 74:9

period 55:11

person 16:4
30:18
42:15
68:4,11

perspective
82:13

persuaded
54:13

persuasive
53:20
54:2,17
56:15

pertain
14:15

petition
9:20 13:15
20:15
27:15,20
29:11
32:4,22
45:2 46:6,
13,25
47:2,8,10,
15,22
48:15
52:8,15
56:6 58:22
59:6
60:10,20
61:8,10
76:11
93:11

petitioner
44:2 45:2
84:1

petitioner's
66:2 83:20

petitioners
34:4 38:17
43:6 65:18
67:22

petitioners'
46:4 66:13

petitioner's
43:20
44:18
45:24
46:13

phonetic
80:13
81:13

phrase 22:11
57:4

physically
50:20

pick 31:15

picked 9:18

piece 36:18
68:4

pieces 48:25

Pinpoint 7:6

place 14:1
43:22
48:13
60:11 61:2
77:15

places 43:1
92:9

placing
60:15

plain 17:2
62:2 63:22

plaintiff
8:13 23:2
50:2 52:2,
17 53:10,
11 76:18

plaintiff's
58:22 64:2

80:13

plaintiffs 8:13 10:2, 24 12:6 13:15,20 14:10,13 17:11,15, 24 18:22 19:13 20:2,10 21:17 30:8 31:4 32:1, 13,18 33:9 34:9 35:15 38:24 39:18 42:22,25 43:6 47:6, 10,15,20 48:2,13 50:9 54:20 60:2,9 65:18 67:22 70:2 77:10 80:2,6,11, 15,20 81:6,10, 17,22 83:6,22

plaintiffs' 81:1

plaintiff's 10:18 14:2 20:24 21:24 27:4,6,10 94:18

plan 88:2

plausible 56:22

plead 48:2 60:1,10 61:11

pleading 21:1 59:15

pleadings 41:11 42:24 61:24 80:11

pled 60:4 61:11 81:20

plenary 36:25

point 18:2 27:4 29:2, 4 37:22 38:13 40:25 41:4 42:24 46:4 63:18 69:18 84:18

pointe 32:6 69:11

pointed 20:13 48:24 80:20

points 35:15 59:13

policy 49:24

politically 67:6

poll 36:20 65:20

position 11:13,15 12:4 24:6 25:22 31:25 33:4,11,18 39:15 40:6,13 52:11 79:24 84:18

possibility 54:20 74:13

possibly 87:13

postpone 93:22

postponed 93:25

posture 46:22 51:4 70:6

potential 75:6

power 36:25 37:1

practical 82:13

precedent 18:2 26:10 48:2 52:11

precedential 18:2 27:4

precinct 77:24 79:2 85:9

precincts 80:8,10 81:20,24 84:20,22 85:13

precise 18:2

present 19:6 55:18 93:18,20

presented 51:11

preserve 31:9

presidential 66:17

pressure 94:2

presumed 19:1 46:22 60:13 74:22

pretend 9:11

prevail

18:13

prevailed
52:2

prevent
75:13

previously
41:20

price 66:22

primary
16:6,9
31:1

privilege
7:18

probate
16:20
19:10

problem
30:11
32:11
50:1,15
52:22
56:17,18
85:11

problems
31:6
33:17,18
49:11
52:20
66:22
72:18
88:6,8
91:2

procedural
49:24 51:4
70:6

procedure
32:13
68:17

procedures
32:4 42:15

proceeded
51:15

proceeding
47:11

proceedings
6:13

process
61:13
63:15,18
64:9,15
65:11 66:6
67:18 70:4
72:10 74:4
75:1,13

production
72:11
76:24

proffer
26:18
81:11 82:4

profoundly
54:4

program
90:22

programmed
13:24 91:8

programming
47:22
78:13
79:17
86:9,18
87:2,18
88:4,9
91:2,4,6

programs
36:20

prohibits
14:8 67:9
74:22

projecting
54:25

prone 66:18

proof 50:6
53:9,11
54:6,8,10
85:17

proper 9:24
11:17
17:13,15
20:9
21:11,18,
20,22
22:22
23:9,10
24:1 26:25
28:22 29:4
30:1,2
32:2 36:1,
6 37:4
38:15
39:17 40:1
48:9,11

properly
45:20 47:2
57:20
58:1,2,6,
25 75:25

propose 77:4

proposed
62:17,18
77:20

proposing
83:6

proposition
39:6

propositions
19:22

pros 65:6
67:2

prospective
70:6

prospectively
70:2

protection
63:15,18
64:9 66:4
67:18 68:6
69:1,10,13
70:4,22
74:11 76:2

protocol
78:1 79:10

prove 37:4,6
53:10,11,
15 54:11,
18,22 55:6
56:1,8

84:11

proven 54:13,22 55:4

provide 34:4 64:11

provided 26:18 34:9 83:13

providing 38:17

provision 59:18 64:22

provisions 40:17

public 16:9 19:13,17, 25 27:13 41:25 46:13 59:2 72:24 73:11 86:20 88:17,24 89:2,4,6 93:17,18

pull 90:15, 17

purposefully 19:2

purposes 19:8

Pursuant 15:2

put 28:22 46:25 59:15 67:4 94:10

Q

quantifiable 50:2,18 51:1 52:22

quarrel 50:18

question 16:11 19:18,20 29:1 30:4 36:6 61:17,18 64:6,15,18 73:18 80:20

questions 30:2 32:24 36:9 46:17 79:22

quick 59:13

quickly 59:13 79:10 87:6 94:18

quote 24:22 25:11 50:10 51:11 52:13 53:4 69:4

quoting 64:20

R

race 13:17, 22 18:6 26:4 27:18 41:20 43:9,15, 17,18,24 44:6,9,15, 20,24 45:6,11 46:10 56:2 57:2 58:15 59:24 86:22

races 13:22 14:4 43:11,15 46:15

rain 72:20 73:6

raise 10:13 23:18 84:6

raised 10:11 27:25 39:4,6,18 44:22 74:6 84:6

raising 12:10

ramifications 90:9

random 80:18

rate 57:1

rational 64:18,20 65:2,9,15 66:9,10

reaching 85:2

read 26:17, 18 35:20 51:6 63:4

reading 23:22

ready 83:2

real 70:13

reality 74:13

realize 15:8,13, 15,18

reason 15:6 18:15 22:18 36:15 59:9 65:2 68:22 84:11

reasonable 14:2 54:20 64:22 65:1,6 67:2,6 77:15 79:20

reasons 29:13 50:9 57:10

recall 18:22 27:10,13, 18 28:18

recap 92:20

received 45:11

recent 73:15

recently 72:9

record 6:13 7:8,11,17 8:6,11 23:15 34:22 35:4 46:13 50:11 70:22 72:6 75:17 87:15 88:17,24 89:2,4,6 93:17,18

records 41:25 59:2

recount 68:17 74:13

reference 81:15

referenced 30:10 47:9 82:6

references 33:15

referencing 86:4

referendum 19:22 58:4

referred 27:11 86:20

referring 19:9 64:15

reflected 49:18

reflective 26:2

regard 12:13,17

registration 16:22 17:8,9 19:10 65:20

Registrations 8:25 16:24 19:11 29:22 34:4 38:15 39:1,4,11, 15

regulation 68:9

regulatory 64:25

rejected 31:2 47:2

relate 33:2

related 31:2

relating 79:15

relation 32:18

relevant 9:18 20:20 25:20 26:18 32:15 37:22

relied 71:10

relief 10:25 26:11 30:9 33:6 34:4 37:2 38:17 53:15 57:11 63:22 70:6

relies 57:22

relieve 52:17

relook 76:11

rely 17:15 60:9 70:8

relying 47:13

remedied 63:25

remedy 40:4 42:13 66:20

remember 42:11 47:13

repeatedly 14:6 57:22 80:20

report 25:20 71:4,6

report's 9:18

reported 24:20 25:15

reports 66:1

repository 36:18

represent 8:24 18:18

representative 42:13

representatives 65:6 67:2

request 6:6 80:6 83:20 84:22,25

requesting 85:2

require 22:20 39:1

required 30:9 36:15

42:22
43:18
49:22 50:2
59:15,20
69:1 77:6

requirement
37:2

requirements
21:1 49:22

requires
34:8 38:22

reserved
42:13

residual
46:8

resolved
74:2

resources
88:2

respect
21:24
37:13
39:17
84:18

respond
36:24 49:4

responded
79:22

response
14:13 34:9
38:24
47:11 68:6
76:2

responses
27:1

responsible
70:18

rest 63:17

restrictions
64:22

result
42:18,25
43:8,13
46:22
47:4,6
48:15
60:11,22
61:2 80:22
81:2,6

resulted
53:13
58:22 84:8

resulting
53:6

results
13:15 14:2
36:20,22
46:1,15
55:15
60:15
73:15
92:1,4
94:22

retired
62:11

return 53:18

returned
60:13

reversed
52:13

reversing
53:6

review 51:9
64:17
65:10
84:22

reviewed
70:15

revisited
73:25

rightly 27:2

rights 64:24
72:1,11
73:9

rise 64:4

risk- 75:11

risks 66:13,
25 67:17

Robbins 25:4

Robyn 8:8

routine
29:11

rule 6:6,
13,20
18:25 19:6
23:2 42:4
45:22
76:10 77:2

ruled 40:1
76:11

rules 7:6,8,
20 41:4
61:22
63:20 94:2

ruling 53:6,
25 83:22

run 33:4
79:6 91:17

runoff 16:6
17:20
78:18

Russia 73:4

Russo 6:18
8:18 11:6
13:13
15:22 16:1
20:15,18,
22,24
21:2,6,9,
13 23:15
24:2 27:2
28:25
29:2,10,13
30:9 38:2,
6 41:8,11,
13 59:11
62:13,15
63:6 76:18
80:1 82:4
88:15,18,
22 89:22
90:6,10,
11,17
91:10,18,
22 92:2,6,
10,11,17

94:15,24

S

sacrificed 74:18
sample 80:18
scanning 39:11
scenario 30:6 31:15 46:24 59:6
scheduled 93:20
School 89:13 93:9
Sciences 71:4,8,11 73:6 75:8
scientist 70:22
scientists 71:4,6 79:18
Scoggins 51:25
scope 81:18
screen 56:4
scrutiny 64:18
seal 82:15
seals 82:15
Secretary 8:18,20 11:6,13,15 13:4,13 15:4,6,20 16:11,13 17:4,6,10, 13,22,25 19:20 20:8 21:11,17, 20,22 22:13,15, 22 23:1, 22,25 24:4,22 25:6,11, 13,15 26:4,8,24 27:6,11,15 28:1,15,20 29:6,25 35:17 36:11,17, 20,22 40:18 42:9 43:15,24 46:1 63:6 69:17 72:22 73:10 80:2,4 81:10 82:4,20 86:17
section 6:20 19:2 28:9, 17,18,20 61:25
sections 14:11
secure 71:10
security 7:4,24 70:18 71:15 75:9 83:2,4
seek 16:6 34:4 63:22 77:4
seeking 12:15,18 37:2 38:18 70:6 79:15 84:1 85:18
seeks 84:22
Select 70:20
Senate 70:20
send 62:10 76:11
sense 11:4 12:20 89:18 90:4 93:22
sensitive 81:4 82:15
separate 36:9 37:9 42:2,4 72:18
separately 21:2 62:18
September 71:4,11
serial 77:22 79:2 82:25
served 39:20,22
servers 85:22 93:13,15
service 39:20
set 15:13 52:17 79:6,10 91:24
sets 68:6
setting 26:10 42:11
severely 65:22
sheet 38:11
Sheffield 17:17 18:4,20 23:15,17 51:20
Shelley 66:11
She's 46:10
short 27:13 44:1,17 45:13,15

46:2 59:8

shot 35:15

show 18:11 40:6 42:25 45:18 50:2 51:22 58:15 59:20 60:1 80:20 83:24 89:4 92:1 94:20

showing 48:25 53:4

shown 25:15 55:15

shows 57:2

side 33:10

sign 7:22 77:4

signaling 50:13

signed 7:2, 4,25 27:15

significantly 47:25

similar 10:10 27:17,20 52:9

similarly 50:6 53:4 67:25

Simple 91:9

simplistic 11:25

simply 12:13,17 41:22 43:4 45:9 46:11 55:20 56:24 64:10 68:18

single 22:25 57:6 70:18 71:2 85:13 86:22,25 87:2,24,25

sir 8:11,17 61:17 64:13

Sistrunk 19:4

sit 79:9

sitting 50:20 77:25

situated 67:25

situation 31:13 52:20 58:4

sleep 92:18

slews 71:2

slight 41:4

sofa 73:2

sole 52:11

sort 35:15 40:4 42:22 70:6 72:15 73:13 87:6

source 71:2 88:22

sovereign 21:20,22 23:18 26:8,24 63:18

space 77:15

speak 33:18, 20

speaking 18:20

speaks 76:2

specific 16:2 31:22 56:11 59:15,18 75:4 76:2 82:25 84:6 86:6

specifically 16:18 69:2 74:10 75:2 77:8 93:8

specificity 48:6 60:10

specifics 31:20

speculating 14:8

speculation 48:4 60:9

split 13:10

spot 12:2

stand 27:4

standard 64:17 65:11

standing 14:22

start 32:2 53:20

starters 80:6

state 8:18, 20 11:6,13 13:18 15:4,6,20 16:13,15 17:4,6,8, 10,13,22 18:1 19:20 20:8 21:11,17, 22 22:13, 15,22 23:1,22,25 24:4,22 25:6,11, 13,17 26:4,6,8, 25 27:8, 11,15,17

28:1,15,22 29:6 30:1 31:6 34:6, 8 35:17 36:11,13, 17 38:22 46:1 47:2, 17 48:2,17 52:4,8,15 55:15 57:11 63:6 64:8,22 66:4 67:18 68:9,22,25 70:18 72:20,22 73:11 80:2,4 81:6,11 82:4,24 86:17 87:15 92:4

state's 13:4,13 40:18 42:10 53:20 64:24 66:4 69:17 82:20

state-based 69:8

state-imposed 76:6

stated 14:6 20:13 27:6 41:20 47:15 58:20 80:15

statement 49:2

statements 75:15

states 27:11 28:10 71:18

statewide 13:22 17:9,11 18:4 22:13,20, 25 23:2,15 24:1 26:4 27:6,18 28:1 30:11 33:22 43:10 49:20 55:20 56:13 85:10 94:22

State's 11:15 43:15,25

statistically 80:17

status 37:15,20

statute 9:17 19:2 21:25 23:24 26:6 32:11,17 33:4

statutes 37:1

statutory 19:1,6

stay 10:15 12:8,22 13:2 36:6 49:9

step 11:20, 24 14:20 21:4,6,10 59:1

steps 40:13

Stiles 50:4 51:2 57:22

stipulate 94:18

stood 25:17

stop 40:25

strict 64:17

strictly 20:4

strikes 57:4

struck 57:4, 9

stuck 9:8

stuff 37:17, 18 61:18 63:4

stumbling 91:17

styling 25:13

subject 20:25 47:2 91:20

subjectively 49:22

submit 48:15 62:13,15 92:13

submitted 16:11 19:18

subsection 16:2,20 19:15

subsident 74:4

substantial 36:15 60:22

substantially 70:1 72:10

substantiate 59:20

sued 24:22, 25 25:11 30:8 31:6 32:10 37:4

sufficient 47:4,6 48:13

60:11 61:8
64:8,25

suggest
54:15
73:15

suggested
92:20

suggesting
54:22

suggestion
85:15

suit 37:2

summary
73:25

superintendent
16:8,13,18
17:10,13
18:22 19:8
20:6 22:1,
2,13,15,18
26:2,4
27:6 28:2,
11 30:6,25
57:24

superintendents 16:8,15
18:6 20:10
28:15

supervised
89:18

supervisor
24:24
25:13

support
33:11
52:11

suppose
80:13

supposed
62:11
91:13

supposedly
9:18 89:18

Supreme 14:6
23:20
32:6,11
45:18
48:2,4,9
49:25
50:4,13
51:13,17,
25 52:13
53:4 56:13
58:8 64:11
65:1,24
66:2 67:13

sustained
57:13

system 38:20
39:8 48:25
49:11,18
53:20,22
54:4 55:22
56:11 61:4
65:20
66:15
70:11,13,
15,20
71:9,17,
18,20,24
72:2,20,22
73:1,4
74:9,20
84:6 86:18
87:4 89:20

systemic
55:20 61:4
85:10 88:4

systems
39:13
55:10 65:6
66:20 67:8
69:6
74:11,18
78:15 79:6

T

table 7:11
8:13

tabulates
36:20
86:18

Taggart
52:6,18
53:4,9
56:13

tailored
85:2

taking 42:1,
2 58:13
94:20

talk 43:4
75:2

talked 74:6

talking
40:11,25
42:8 74:10
75:22

talks 74:20,
24 75:18

targeted
88:4

technology
90:2

tediously
59:4

telephone
51:18

Temple 89:15

term 22:18

terms 40:4
41:25
43:20 44:4
45:4,11,24
47:13 48:6
49:22
53:18
55:18
58:17
63:18
82:11 85:2

test 43:2
64:20
65:2,9
66:9

testified
80:13
81:11

testimony
55:18 82:6

That'll
12:24

that's 9:11, 13,18,20 10:6,22 18:17 19:4 23:11 26:22 28:15 29:13 32:11 33:6 34:6 37:9 39:2,8 40:4,8,11, 17,20 42:18,22 43:13,25 44:25 45:17,22 49:1 75:2 77:1 89:8 90:18 91:22

there's
20:1,6 22:18 27:13 32:4,18 37:2 44:6 78:4 88:9 89:24 90:13 93:15

they're
10:10 31:18 38:18 40:2 47:11 75:20 90:1 91:11

they've 31:6 75:20

thing 25:15 42:8 44:2 46:20 47:13 70:24 90:18

things 7:18 36:22 43:6 54:18,24 61:15 74:24 77:6 86:20 94:8

third-party
14:4 27:17

Thomas 58:8

thought 21:9

thousands
33:15,18

threat 71:24

threshold
14:15 48:1

thumb 45:22

thwart 66:20

till 88:11

time 11:20, 24 12:2 23:22 32:18 33:11 39:20 67:13 77:15 84:24 88:1,2 92:6,18

times 10:13 27:11

Title 15:2 18:24

today 7:15 9:15,18 11:22 26:18 37:6 40:13 82:2

told 70:18 85:25

Tolson 19:4

total 43:8, 17,24,25 48:25 58:13

totally
56:18 58:4 71:18

totals 56:20

Totenberg
11:8 53:22 54:15 81:13

Totenberg's
55:9

touches
21:18

touchscreen
66:20 67:8 69:6 74:10,18, 20

tower 79:8

traditional
66:15 74:18

trail 82:15

transcript
82:4

transient
72:6

transposing
22:10

treated
67:24 68:15

trial 40:6 50:10,13 51:6,11, 13,15,17, 24 52:2,6, 10 53:6 54:15 69:2,4 93:20

troublesome
78:25

true 49:13 55:9,10
trusted 71:1
truth 45:9
type 40:10 52:20 59:15,20, 22 74:15 75:11
types 66:22 75:17
Tyson 8:22 29:20,22 46:18,20 69:17,22 82:9 83:9, 13 88:13

U

ultimately 83:22
unanimous 71:6
under-vote 55:20 56:18 57:9
under-votes 66:18
underlying 63:20 78:20
understand 9:13,17,22 15:24 21:9 26:20 28:9 40:2 61:20 79:18 87:6 91:13
understanding 67:17 86:11
understands 46:11
understood 90:11
undertaken 42:13 73:13
undervote 49:11,18
unexplainable 55:17
unexplained 49:18 56:18
unfettered 80:2 81:4
unfortunate 74:13
unnecessary 81:8
unrefuted 39:18
unrelated 80:10
unreliability 56:10
unreliable 55:11 70:25 72:1
unverified 47:24
uphill 62:2
urge 52:9
utilizing 69:6

V

valid 31:13 46:22 60:6 80:17,22
variegation 20:4
variety 31:11
verifying 74:13
versus 8:8 44:10 45:11
victory 13:18 18:13 44:1 45:20 53:15 58:15,18 59:8
views 76:4
Vince 80:1
Vincent 8:18 11:6
violate 72:10
violated 69:10
violating 71:25
violation 42:15 70:4 73:6,8
violations 42:17
virtually 21:18 66:8
virus 73:2
vote 18:11 19:22 34:13 39:1,2 44:4,6 56:20 63:15,22 65:13,22 66:6 68:2, 4,11,20,24 69:6 72:2, 6 74:13
voted 44:6 45:4 67:24 68:1
voter 17:8,9 34:11 65:20 66:20

68:20 72:4

voter-verified 74:18

voters 19:22 34:13 64:24 68:11,15 69:2,8 72:1,11 74:11 76:4

votes 13:20 14:4 16:10 17:20 19:17 31:2 41:22 43:9,13, 15,17,22, 24,25 44:1,24 45:1,2,10, 11,13,15, 18 46:2,8 47:1,4,17 50:2 53:6, 13 55:22 57:20 58:1,6,13, 18,20,24, 25 59:6,18 71:1 72:2, 4 80:20, 22,25 81:2 83:25 84:1 86:22 87:18

voting 13:24,25 38:20 39:6,13 44:10 45:4 50:1 53:6, 13 55:17, 22 60:4 66:20 68:1 69:6 74:11 80:4,8 81:6 82:13 85:11

vulnerabilities 75:6,9,10

vulnerability 66:22

vulnerable 54:4 73:8

W

waiting 60:13

waived 10:25 21:22 75:25

walked 59:4

wanted 38:10

watch 89:18, 25

ways 49:20

Weber 66:11, 15 74:8

WEDNESDAY 6:2

weeks 62:22

weigh 65:6 67:2

weighing 75:13

weighty 61:2

Wexler 64:20 70:8,9

we'll 7:15 11:20 13:8 36:13 92:2

we're 9:11, 15,20 12:17 13:13 33:2 37:2 46:20 92:20

we've 45:22 77:22 79:2 90:13

what's 42:22 78:8

whip 35:15

who's 8:11

wide 84:6 87:4

widespread 50:11,15 93:1

Willard 25:2

win 54:25 87:13

winner 45:20

wiped 45:20

witnesses 7:15

won 41:20

wonderful 49:2

won't 7:2

word 19:6 35:11 65:1

words 45:15 58:15 68:2 88:8

work 62:22 79:6 82:25 89:8 91:15

working 57:8

works 9:11 48:2

worry 62:1 63:11

worse 73:10

worst 32:24

worst-case 59:6

Worth 31:11 89:13

wouldn't 15:13

wrap 19:18 20:6

write-in
44:22

wrong 17:20,
22 57:2,4
87:11,18
91:8

Y

y'all 22:4

year 25:4
62:11
81:17

years 24:2
72:15

yesterday
24:6 42:20

you'll 75:10

you're 26:20
30:22 32:6
34:13
41:24
45:15
88:10

you've 29:8
40:20
45:18
93:13

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDTAW
Date: 2/19/2019 11:48 AM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
FULTON JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
STATE OF GEORGIA

FULTON COUNTY COALITION FOR	)	
	)	
GOOD GOVERNANCE, ET AL	)	
	)	
Plaintiffs	)	**Case Number**
	)	
Versus	)	**2018-CV-313418**
	)	
ROBYN CRITTENDEN, ET AL	)	
	)	
Defendants	)	

STATUS HEARING

A transcript of the proceedings before the **HONORABLE ADELE P. GRUBBS**, on December 5, 2018, at the Cobb County Courthouse, Marietta, Cobb County, Georgia.

APPEARANCE OF COUNSEL:

FOR PLAINTIFFS:	**BRUCE P. BROWN** Attorney at Law 1123 Zonolite Rd., Ste. 6 Atlanta, Ga. 30306 404-881-0700
FOR DEFENDANT CRITTENDEN:	**JOSH BELINFANTE, VINCENT R. RUSSO and ALEX DENTON** Attorneys at Law 500 14th St. NW Atlanta, Ga. 30318 678-701-9381
FOR DEFENDANT FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS:	**CHERYL RINGER & KAYE BURWELL** Attorneys at Law 141 Pryor St. SW, Ste. 4038 Atlanta, Ga. 30303 404-612-0263
FOR DEFENDANT GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS:	**BRYAN P. TYSON & DICK CAROTHERS** Attorney at Law P.O. Box 73053 Marietta, Ga. 30007 404-219-3160
FOR DEFENDANT DEKALB COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS:	**DAVID WARE, PEARSON CUNNINGHAM, RUSSELL BRITT, MR. BRYAN** Attorneys at Law 191 Peachtree St. NE, Ste. 2900 Atlanta, Ga. 30303 678-539-1611
FOR DEFENDANT GEOFF DUNCAN:	**EDWARD LINDSEY** Attorney at Law 303 Peachtree St., Ste 5300 Atlanta, Ga. 30308 404-527-4720

Paul D. Crowder, CCR, CVR
264 Mountain View Dr.
Woodstock, Ga. 30188 404-457-9289

1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 * * * * *

3 THE COURT: Fulton County Coalition for Good
4 Governance versus Robyn Crittenden. With due respect to
5 y'all, I need to kind of know who is who and who
6 represents who and so on and so forth. There's one face I
7 recognize. The rest of you I've never seen before. Good
8 morning Mr. Lindsey. Go ahead.
9 MR. BROWN: Judge, my name is Bruce Brown. I
10 represent the Plaintiffs.
11 THE COURT: Okay. And are all the Plaintiffs here?
12 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor. Two of the Plaintiffs
13 are here, Rhonda Martin and Jeanne Dufort.
14 THE COURT: Okay.
15 MR. BROWN: And Marilyn Morris, who is the executive
16 director of The Coalition is here at counsel's table. So
17 three of the Plaintiffs are here.
18 THE COURT: Okay. Then let me ask about on the
19 Defense side.
20 MR. BELINFANTE: Good morning, Judge, Special
21 Assistant Attorney General representing Secretary of State
22 Robyn Crittenden. I'm joined by my partner Vincent Russo
23 and Alex Denton.
24 THE COURT: Okay. So just take a seat. Have you
25 made an appearance of counsel? I don't have everything, I

1 don't think. I know this is really a Fulton County case
2 and we probably need to be doing this in Fulton County
3 from now on. This is more of a status, where are we,
4 where are we headed type hearing this morning, so I'll get
5 your names properly at some point. If I call you wrong,
6 please excuse me.
7 MR. LINDSEY: Good morning, Your Honor.
8 THE COURT: Good morning.
9 MR. LINDSEY: My name is Edward Lindsey. I represent
10 Lieutenant Governor Elect Geoff Duncan.
11 THE COURT: Who?
12 MR. LINDSEY: Geoff Duncan who's the Lieutenant
13 Governor Elect.
14 THE COURT: Okay. Yes, sir.
15 MR. TYSON: Good morning, Your Honor. I'm Bryan
16 Tyson, joined by Dick Carothers. We represent the
17 Gwinnett County Board of Registrations and Elections.
18 THE COURT: Yes.
19 MR. WARE: Good morning, Judge Grubbs. David Ware
20 for DeKalb County Board of Registration and Elections,
21 along with Pearson Cunningham, Russell Britt, and Mr.
22 Bryan.
23 THE COURT: All y'all four together?
24 MR. WARE: Yes, we're all together for DeKalb County.
25 THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.

1 MS. BURWELL: Kaye Burwell with Cheryl Ringer on
2 behalf of Fulton County.
3 THE COURT: Okay. So each county is represented, and
4 one of the candidates is represented. Okay, let me ask
5 you, Mr. Brown. I know there's been some confusion
6 because this was a recusal and I was told to take it. Is
7 there service on everybody?
8 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor. Should I stand at the
9 podium?
10 THE COURT: Whichever makes you more comfortable.
11 You will find that I'm a fairly -- Despite my reputation,
12 I'm a fairly easy going kind of person as long as you're
13 doing what you're supposed to do.
14 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. The Plaintiffs
15 have made every effort to effect service in accordance
16 with the special provisions of the election contest
17 statute. And what those provisions contemplate is that
18 actually the clerk and the assigned Judge perfect the
19 service.
20 THE COURT: Right.
21 MR. BROWN: And the necessary steps to that --
22 THE COURT: They sign the service.
23 MR. BROWN: Right. And so we tried it in Fulton
24 County.
25 THE COURT: Fulton didn't work.

1 MR. BROWN: It didn't work. And this is in the
2 record but I alerted the clerk when I filed the petition
3 that there were special provisions, both for the
4 assignment of the Judge and for the special summons.
5 THE COURT: Right.
6 MR. BROWN: That didn't make it through the court
7 system down there until finally the chief Judge took
8 control of it and assigned -- and made arrangements for
9 your assignment. And so one of the first things that I
10 would ask is that the Court direct the clerk in Fulton
11 County to issue the summons and to perfect service of the
12 summons upon the Defendants. We have drafted -- With
13 corrections from Judge Russell we have drafted the special
14 statutory summons and so I would be able to transmit to
15 your clerk or to your staff a Word copy of the summons
16 that could be modified to include what Your Honor would
17 specify as the answer date.
18 THE COURT: Okay.
19 MR. BROWN: One of the things that this law says is
20 that it's not 30 days. It's what you say their answer
21 date is.
22 THE COURT: I have a book.
23 MR. BROWN: So that would be one of the first things
24 that you did.
25 THE COURT: I knew I wouldn't have a computer so I

1 brought the book.

2 MR. BROWN: That would be one of the first things on

3 my list of things that would need to be covered would be

4 making sure that Your Honor has the paperwork necessary to

5 set this.

6 THE COURT: And you think it's necessary to process

7 it through my clerk or do I process it through Fulton

8 County?

9 MR. BROWN: Through Fulton County.

10 THE COURT: Okay.

11 MR. BROWN: So that would be the first thing we'd ask

12 for and we'd be happy to --

13 THE COURT: And you've got that paperwork with you.

14 MR. BROWN: I don't have it with me. It is with -- I

15 can have it tendered to you immediately.

16 THE COURT: You can email it to me.

17 MR. BROWN: Yes, ma'am.

18 THE COURT: Any response to all that about the

19 service, from anybody? Has anybody actually been served?

20 MR. RUSSO: The Secretary of State has not been

21 served.

22 THE COURT: But y'all got here.

23 MR. BELINFANTE: We're here special, Judge.

24 THE COURT: Okay. So I'm going to do that. If

25 you'll get that to me. I'll give you a card. I'll find

1 one before we get through.

2 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

3 THE COURT: I'll get you an address to go to. What

4 is next on your side, Mr. Brown?

5 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the next thing on the agenda

6 would be several things. First would be, if Your Honor is

7 prepared to do so, to maybe anticipate a trial date.

8 Second would be to discuss the necessary parties. And the

9 third would be our request for emergency discovery so that

10 the evidence, which could be vanishing now that the

11 elections are done, is preserved and inspected for

12 purposes of determining the causes of action.

13 THE COURT: Let me handle that backwards. Let me ask

14 everybody else, okay. Do you have any objection to an

15 order to preserve the evidence? I'm not -- I didn't say

16 anything about inspect. I just said preserve.

17 MR. BELINFANTE: Your Honor, Josh Belinfante again,

18 for Secretary Crittenden. And I should say -- I should

19 have said in the beginning, we are appearing specially

20 given the service issue.

21 THE COURT: I'm assuming everybody is appearing

22 specially because there's no service.

23 MR. BELINFANTE: Yes, Your Honor. In terms of

24 preserving the evidence, I think the problem here is that

25 the allegations seem to indicate or seem to question

1 whether that's even possible. And so the position of the
2 Secretary of State's Office is that there will be no
3 destruction or willful destruction of the evidence. But I
4 don't know that the Plaintiffs take the position that
5 that's even feasible.
6 THE COURT: Let me rephrase it. That you will
7 preserve the evidence as far as is possible.
8 MR. BELINFANTE: Yes, Your Honor.
9 THE COURT: I mean, I don't know -- I've read the
10 pleadings. I don't know about all the technicalities and
11 what's what.
12 MR. BELINFANTE: Right.
13 THE COURT: And we're all lawyers and not tech
14 people. So I would think, Mr. Brown, an order that they
15 preserve the evidence as far as is possible would be
16 appropriate at this minute. I'm not inclined to go
17 further than that, because there's been no service and I
18 haven't seen any answers and they haven't had a right to
19 challenge anything.
20 MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.
21 THE COURT: Let me hear from each one in turn.
22 MR. TYSON: Yes, Your Honor. For Gwinnett, also, we
23 wouldn't have a problem with that as far as possible.
24 Obviously we have to use the machines. We had to use them
25 yesterday.

1 THE COURT: As far as possible. There's to be no
2 destruction, deliberate destruction. What the machine
3 does to itself, who knows.
4 MR. TYSON: Right. So we have no objection, Your
5 Honor.
6 THE COURT: Okay.
7 MR. WARE: On behalf of DeKalb, Your Honor, we will
8 follow Your Honor's direction.
9 THE COURT: No problem with DeKalb. Fulton?
10 MS. RINGER: We, as well, will follow the order.
11 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Lindsey doesn't really have
12 any.
13 MR. LINDSEY: Given the fact, Your Honor, that we're
14 not in possession of any of the documents.
15 THE COURT: You're not in possession of anything.
16 MR. LINDSEY: We simply want everyone to behave.
17 THE COURT: I gotcha. Anybody I left out?
18 (No response.)
19 THE COURT: Okay. So if you'll do an order for me,
20 Mr. Brown. Like I say, I'm going to give everybody my
21 card when we're done. Unfortunately, Senior Judges are
22 movable people that wander all over the world. Well, I
23 don't go below McDonough but I've been to Ringgold. So
24 finding us sometimes is an issue and I give you my
25 personal email so that you can find me whenever you need

1 me. So just get it to me.

2 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, one point of clarification in

3 terms of as much as possible.

4 THE COURT: Right.

5 MR. BROWN: It is the Plaintiff's position that if

6 these machines are reused in subsequent elections, that

7 that will wipe the memory.

8 THE COURT: Okay. We're going to move as fast as we

9 can move on this case. As I'm looking at it, somebody has

10 a MARTA election in March. I don't know which -- I don't

11 know whether that involves anybody in this case.

12 MR. TYSON: Yes, that's Gwinnett County.

13 THE COURT: That's Gwinnett. Okay. We'll have

14 handled this before we get to March.

15 MR. BROWN: Thank you.

16 THE COURT: Okay. So right now there isn't anything

17 else that I know of. There aren't any other elections.

18 If something comes up, let me know.

19 MR. RUSSO: I think the City of Atlanta has one --

20 THE COURT: Huh?

21 MR. RUSSO: I think the City of Atlanta has one also

22 and I believe they use Fulton County's.

23 THE COURT: When is it, do you know?

24 MR. BELINFANTE: City Councilman Ivory Young.

25 THE COURT: Oh, yes, that's right. Okay. We'll move

1 as fast as we can move.

2 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. If it's okay with

3 Your Honor, what we will put in the draft preservation

4 order is that the Defendants will use other machines for

5 these smaller elections.

6 THE COURT: No, I didn't say that.

7 MR. BROWN: Okay.

8 THE COURT: That's not what I said.

9 MR. BROWN: I understand, Your Honor.

10 THE COURT: I mean, you're asking me something. At

11 this point I have no idea about the case.

12 MR. BROWN: I understand.

13 THE COURT: All I'm trying to do is maintain the

14 status quo as well as I can while everybody gets served.

15 Everybody has a right to file answers, motions, whatever

16 may be coming at you. That's all I'm trying to do is

17 preserve the status quo.

18 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

19 THE COURT: Okay. Now you mentioned something about

20 parties?

21 MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor. The Secretary of State

22 filed a Motion to Dismiss late last night, and the

23 Secretary of State is taking the position that the

24 Secretary of State is not a proper party to this.

25 THE COURT: Well I'm not going to rule on that. See,

1 that's one of the things I'm concerned about. I don't
2 know what kind of motions are coming. I'm assuming --
3 With due respect -- I don't know how many lawyers we have
4 in this courtroom -- but they're not going to just roll
5 over and play dead. They're going to be responsive. Is
6 that a good word? Responsive, okay. So I want to give
7 them the proper time to do that, and I'm not going to deal
8 with anything -- Now if there's a -- I haven't seen it.
9 If it came I'm -- My office is supposed to be served with
10 it up here. As I say, I'll give everybody a card so you
11 can -- You can send me copies direct of everything you've
12 got. So if I'm somewhere else, I can still get copies of
13 them and I can still print them and know what I'm looking
14 at and line them up. But no, I'm not dealing with any of
15 that.
16 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
17 THE COURT: Now, trial date. Having said there is a
18 motion pending -- And we've got to have service. If you
19 get me that order and I think I am required -- It's five
20 to ten days after service as I understand what the code
21 section says. So they have to do that. So you've got to
22 get me the order. We're probably looking at after
23 Christmas and it would seem to me that we could set it for
24 what I would term motion hearings or whatever else they
25 may file. And, of course, you may want to respond to some

1 of them, too. I understand all that. I think we just set
2 it for a date. Getting y'all together, though you've done
3 good today, can be somewhat difficult.
4 My suggestion would be either the 7th or the 9th of
5 January. Would you look and see if somebody has something
6 that would require -- I'm thinking one of those two days
7 just to hear whatever may be filed. And at that point
8 then we can set it down for trial. And we're looking at
9 probably the first week in February for trial is what
10 we're looking at. Let me give y'all a chance to talk
11 about that and see where you are on those dates. Y'all
12 take your time.
13 (Brief pause.)
14 MR. LINDSEY: Sorry about that, Your Honor.
15 THE COURT: No, no. I want to --
16 MR. LINDSEY: It's actually fairly --
17 THE COURT: It's just, is that enough time? What's
18 y'all's position?
19 MR. BELINFANTE: The Defendants' collective position,
20 Your Honor, is that, you know, there are statutory
21 deadlines set in there and so once service is done that
22 triggers one and triggers another. As long as we're not
23 waiving any defenses based on those statutory timelines,
24 we think that having motions due to the Court on or before
25 December 20 would be appropriate. And then if the Court

1 wants to have a hearing on the 7th or the 9th, all parties
2 -- at least all Defendants are available to do so. But we
3 would want to have a hearing on the merits presuming the
4 Court even chooses to do that or get that far, as soon as
5 possible thereafter.
6 THE COURT: I understand that and I was looking at
7 that. Say we did February the 7th for motions.
8 MR. BELINFANTE: January 7th, Your Honor?
9 THE COURT: January 7th for motions. We could do
10 trial the 17th and 18th.
11 MR. BELINFANTE: Of?
12 THE COURT: January. So motions due by December
13 20th, January the 7th will be motion hearings, and then
14 trial will be -- And we can do it all in one order,
15 because I think I'm required to do that. What did I say,
16 17th and 18th of February? I've got to find -- Well we'll
17 talk about that in a minute. Not February, of January.
18 Trial would be January 17th. So motions hearings on the
19 7th and trial on the 17th. Any problem with that, Mr.
20 Brown?
21 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor. If it's an open day for
22 the Court and for the Defendants, for the Plaintiffs the
23 9th would be marginally better for the initial hearing
24 rather than the 7th; however, we will be here whenever
25 we're ordered to do so, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Any issue with the 7th or the 9th?
2 MR. BELINFANTE: It does not appear to be, Your
3 Honor.
4 THE COURT: Everybody all right? Okay. We'll say
5 the motions are on the 9th. I've got to rule on them.
6 That gives me time. Motions on the 9th, trial on the 17th
7 and 18th. And I can do that order. I'll do that order.
8 MR. BELINFANTE: The only issue, Your Honor, I'm
9 truly not trying to be difficult, but I just want to --
10 THE COURT: No, no.
11 MR. BELINFANTE: I don't want the Court to think that
12 at some point we surprised it or anything of that nature.
13 Depending on when service happens. The statute appears to
14 indicate that there's about 20 days between the appearance
15 that is filed and then the actual trial date. This may,
16 again, depending on service, fall outside that. I just
17 want to make clear for the record that we're not waiving
18 any defenses based on those dates.
19 THE COURT: Right. And we're doing these days -- and
20 I'll do an order on the days, just to protect on the
21 different rules and regulations. We can move them. It
22 doesn't say we can't move them. And we can move them.
23 And if service doesn't get done -- He'll give me the
24 service papers. We'll send them to the clerk. The clerk
25 will send them to the sheriff. It's Christmas. I don't

1 know. But we'll just see where it goes. And I trust
2 we'll have a return of service on each one so that we will
3 not.
4 MR. BELINFANTE: Great. All right. Thank you,
5 Judge.
6 THE COURT: Okay. The issue is this, and let me say
7 this -- As I said, I go to Ringgold, so I go wherever I
8 need to go. Do you want to hear it in Cobb or do you need
9 to hear it in Fulton? Whatever is easier for y'all.
10 MR. BELINFANTE: On behalf of the secretary, we're
11 indifferent. Whatever is easiest for the Court is easiest
12 for us.
13 THE COURT: With due respect, when you come to Cobb
14 in the morning you're going the opposite way with the
15 traffic. I was trying to work out how to phrase that.
16 And so it may be just that we can keep doing this in Cobb.
17 If someone objects, I'll try and move it to Fulton.
18 MR. BROWN: No objection, Your Honor.
19 THE COURT: No objection. Nobody's objecting right
20 now.
21 MR. LINDSEY: No objection, Your Honor.
22 THE COURT: No objection. So we'll do it in Cobb. I
23 will try -- I will go right now and see which courtroom I
24 can get to do it in. How many lawyers do we have? We
25 have 16 lawyers. So we ought to get the big courtroom.

1 Let's see what I can do. And then, Madam Clerk, would you
2 put these out? I hope there's enough. That's one for
3 everybody. That's my contact information. It has my --
4 That's for everybody. It has my cell phone number on it.
5 It has everything that you need, because, as I say, we
6 move around a lot. Anything else we need to do this
7 morning?
8 (No response.)
9 THE COURT: We're done. If you get that service to
10 me as fast as possible, I'll get it filed and done. I do
11 have a law clerk. He's not here yet. And we'll get it
12 done.
13 MR. BELINFANTE: Judge, just so I'm clear, whenever
14 we filed something is it your preference that we also
15 email you a copy of what we file?
16 THE COURT: Yes. Just email me a copy so I've got it
17 and I can start looking at it and see where we are.
18 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
19 THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you, gentlemen.
20 (End of Proceedings).
21
22
23
24
25

1 **C E R T I F I C A T E**

2

3 STATE OF GEORGIA)

4 COUNTY OF COBB)

5

6 I hereby certify that the foregoing transcript was taken
7 down, as stated in the caption, and the questions and answers
8 thereto were reduced to type-written form by me personally;
9 that the foregoing pages 01 through 18 represent a true,
10 correct and complete transcript of the evidence given upon said
11 hearing; and I further certify that I am not of kin or counsel
12 to the parties in the case, am not in the regular employ of
13 counsel for any of the said parties, nor am I anywise
14 interested in the result of said case.

15 This the 23rd day of January, 2019.

16 The above certification is expressly withdrawn and denied
17 upon the alteration, disassembly or photocopying of foregoing
18 transcript, including exhibits, unless said alteration,
19 disassembly or photocopying is done under the auspices of Paul
20 D. Crowder, C.C.R., C.V.R., and the original signature and
21 original seal is attached thereto.

22

23 _____

24 Paul D. Crowder, C.C.R., C.V.R.

25 Certified Court Reporter, B-1579

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDTAW
Date: 2/19/2019 11:48 AM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
FULTON JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
STATE OF GEORGIA

FULTON COUNTY COALITION FOR	)	
	)	
GOOD GOVERNANCE, ET AL	)	
	)	
Plaintiffs	)	**Case Number**
	)	
Versus	)	**2018-CV-313418**
	)	
ROBYN CRITTENDEN, ET AL	)	
	)	
Defendants	)	

STATUS HEARING

A transcript of the proceedings before the **HONORABLE ADELE P. GRUBBS**, on December 5, 2018, at the Cobb County Courthouse, Marietta, Cobb County, Georgia.

APPEARANCE OF COUNSEL:

FOR PLAINTIFFS:	**BRUCE P. BROWN** Attorney at Law 1123 Zonolite Rd., Ste. 6 Atlanta, Ga. 30306 404-881-0700
FOR DEFENDANT CRITTENDEN:	**JOSH BELINFANTE, VINCENT R. RUSSO and ALEX DENTON** Attorneys at Law 500 14th St. NW Atlanta, Ga. 30318 678-701-9381
FOR DEFENDANT FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS:	**CHERYL RINGER & KAYE BURWELL** Attorneys at Law 141 Pryor St. SW, Ste. 4038 Atlanta, Ga. 30303 404-612-0263
FOR DEFENDANT GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS:	**BRYAN P. TYSON & DICK CAROTHERS** Attorney at Law P.O. Box 73053 Marietta, Ga. 30007 404-219-3160
FOR DEFENDANT DEKALB COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS:	**DAVID WARE, PEARSON CUNNINGHAM, RUSSELL BRITT, MR. BRYAN** Attorneys at Law 191 Peachtree St. NE, Ste. 2900 Atlanta, Ga. 30303 678-539-1611
FOR DEFENDANT GEOFF DUNCAN:	**EDWARD LINDSEY** Attorney at Law 303 Peachtree St., Ste 5300 Atlanta, Ga. 30308 404-527-4720

Paul D. Crowder, CCR, CVR
264 Mountain View Dr.
Woodstock, Ga. 30188 404-457-9289

1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 * * * * *

3 THE COURT: Fulton County Coalition for Good
4 Governance versus Robyn Crittenden. With due respect to
5 y'all, I need to kind of know who is who and who
6 represents who and so on and so forth. There's one face I
7 recognize. The rest of you I've never seen before. Good
8 morning Mr. Lindsey. Go ahead.
9 MR. BROWN: Judge, my name is Bruce Brown. I
10 represent the Plaintiffs.
11 THE COURT: Okay. And are all the Plaintiffs here?
12 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor. Two of the Plaintiffs
13 are here, Rhonda Martin and Jeanne Dufort.
14 THE COURT: Okay.
15 MR. BROWN: And Marilyn Morris, who is the executive
16 director of The Coalition is here at counsel's table. So
17 three of the Plaintiffs are here.
18 THE COURT: Okay. Then let me ask about on the
19 Defense side.
20 MR. BELINFANTE: Good morning, Judge, Special
21 Assistant Attorney General representing Secretary of State
22 Robyn Crittenden. I'm joined by my partner Vincent Russo
23 and Alex Denton.
24 THE COURT: Okay. So just take a seat. Have you
25 made an appearance of counsel? I don't have everything, I

1 don't think. I know this is really a Fulton County case
2 and we probably need to be doing this in Fulton County
3 from now on. This is more of a status, where are we,
4 where are we headed type hearing this morning, so I'll get
5 your names properly at some point. If I call you wrong,
6 please excuse me.
7 MR. LINDSEY: Good morning, Your Honor.
8 THE COURT: Good morning.
9 MR. LINDSEY: My name is Edward Lindsey. I represent
10 Lieutenant Governor Elect Geoff Duncan.
11 THE COURT: Who?
12 MR. LINDSEY: Geoff Duncan who's the Lieutenant
13 Governor Elect.
14 THE COURT: Okay. Yes, sir.
15 MR. TYSON: Good morning, Your Honor. I'm Bryan
16 Tyson, joined by Dick Carothers. We represent the
17 Gwinnett County Board of Registrations and Elections.
18 THE COURT: Yes.
19 MR. WARE: Good morning, Judge Grubbs. David Ware
20 for DeKalb County Board of Registration and Elections,
21 along with Pearson Cunningham, Russell Britt, and Mr.
22 Bryan.
23 THE COURT: All y'all four together?
24 MR. WARE: Yes, we're all together for DeKalb County.
25 THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.

1 MS. BURWELL: Kaye Burwell with Cheryl Ringer on
2 behalf of Fulton County.
3 THE COURT: Okay. So each county is represented, and
4 one of the candidates is represented. Okay, let me ask
5 you, Mr. Brown. I know there's been some confusion
6 because this was a recusal and I was told to take it. Is
7 there service on everybody?
8 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor. Should I stand at the
9 podium?
10 THE COURT: Whichever makes you more comfortable.
11 You will find that I'm a fairly -- Despite my reputation,
12 I'm a fairly easy going kind of person as long as you're
13 doing what you're supposed to do.
14 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. The Plaintiffs
15 have made every effort to effect service in accordance
16 with the special provisions of the election contest
17 statute. And what those provisions contemplate is that
18 actually the clerk and the assigned Judge perfect the
19 service.
20 THE COURT: Right.
21 MR. BROWN: And the necessary steps to that --
22 THE COURT: They sign the service.
23 MR. BROWN: Right. And so we tried it in Fulton
24 County.
25 THE COURT: Fulton didn't work.

1 MR. BROWN: It didn't work. And this is in the
2 record but I alerted the clerk when I filed the petition
3 that there were special provisions, both for the
4 assignment of the Judge and for the special summons.
5 THE COURT: Right.
6 MR. BROWN: That didn't make it through the court
7 system down there until finally the chief Judge took
8 control of it and assigned -- and made arrangements for
9 your assignment. And so one of the first things that I
10 would ask is that the Court direct the clerk in Fulton
11 County to issue the summons and to perfect service of the
12 summons upon the Defendants. We have drafted -- With
13 corrections from Judge Russell we have drafted the special
14 statutory summons and so I would be able to transmit to
15 your clerk or to your staff a Word copy of the summons
16 that could be modified to include what Your Honor would
17 specify as the answer date.
18 THE COURT: Okay.
19 MR. BROWN: One of the things that this law says is
20 that it's not 30 days. It's what you say their answer
21 date is.
22 THE COURT: I have a book.
23 MR. BROWN: So that would be one of the first things
24 that you did.
25 THE COURT: I knew I wouldn't have a computer so I

1 brought the book.

2 MR. BROWN: That would be one of the first things on

3 my list of things that would need to be covered would be

4 making sure that Your Honor has the paperwork necessary to

5 set this.

6 THE COURT: And you think it's necessary to process

7 it through my clerk or do I process it through Fulton

8 County?

9 MR. BROWN: Through Fulton County.

10 THE COURT: Okay.

11 MR. BROWN: So that would be the first thing we'd ask

12 for and we'd be happy to --

13 THE COURT: And you've got that paperwork with you.

14 MR. BROWN: I don't have it with me. It is with -- I

15 can have it tendered to you immediately.

16 THE COURT: You can email it to me.

17 MR. BROWN: Yes, ma'am.

18 THE COURT: Any response to all that about the

19 service, from anybody? Has anybody actually been served?

20 MR. RUSSO: The Secretary of State has not been

21 served.

22 THE COURT: But y'all got here.

23 MR. BELINFANTE: We're here special, Judge.

24 THE COURT: Okay. So I'm going to do that. If

25 you'll get that to me. I'll give you a card. I'll find

one before we get through.

MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I'll get you an address to go to. What is next on your side, Mr. Brown?

MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the next thing on the agenda would be several things. First would be, if Your Honor is prepared to do so, to maybe anticipate a trial date. Second would be to discuss the necessary parties. And the third would be our request for emergency discovery so that the evidence, which could be vanishing now that the elections are done, is preserved and inspected for purposes of determining the causes of action.

THE COURT: Let me handle that backwards. Let me ask everybody else, okay. Do you have any objection to an order to preserve the evidence? I'm not -- I didn't say anything about inspect. I just said preserve.

MR. BELINFANTE: Your Honor, Josh Belinfante again, for Secretary Crittenden. And I should say -- I should have said in the beginning, we are appearing specially given the service issue.

THE COURT: I'm assuming everybody is appearing specially because there's no service.

MR. BELINFANTE: Yes, Your Honor. In terms of preserving the evidence, I think the problem here is that the allegations seem to indicate or seem to question

1 whether that's even possible. And so the position of the
2 Secretary of State's Office is that there will be no
3 destruction or willful destruction of the evidence. But I
4 don't know that the Plaintiffs take the position that
5 that's even feasible.
6 THE COURT: Let me rephrase it. That you will
7 preserve the evidence as far as is possible.
8 MR. BELINFANTE: Yes, Your Honor.
9 THE COURT: I mean, I don't know -- I've read the
10 pleadings. I don't know about all the technicalities and
11 what's what.
12 MR. BELINFANTE: Right.
13 THE COURT: And we're all lawyers and not tech
14 people. So I would think, Mr. Brown, an order that they
15 preserve the evidence as far as is possible would be
16 appropriate at this minute. I'm not inclined to go
17 further than that, because there's been no service and I
18 haven't seen any answers and they haven't had a right to
19 challenge anything.
20 MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.
21 THE COURT: Let me hear from each one in turn.
22 MR. TYSON: Yes, Your Honor. For Gwinnett, also, we
23 wouldn't have a problem with that as far as possible.
24 Obviously we have to use the machines. We had to use them
25 yesterday.

1 THE COURT: As far as possible. There's to be no
2 destruction, deliberate destruction. What the machine
3 does to itself, who knows.
4 MR. TYSON: Right. So we have no objection, Your
5 Honor.
6 THE COURT: Okay.
7 MR. WARE: On behalf of DeKalb, Your Honor, we will
8 follow Your Honor's direction.
9 THE COURT: No problem with DeKalb. Fulton?
10 MS. RINGER: We, as well, will follow the order.
11 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Lindsey doesn't really have
12 any.
13 MR. LINDSEY: Given the fact, Your Honor, that we're
14 not in possession of any of the documents.
15 THE COURT: You're not in possession of anything.
16 MR. LINDSEY: We simply want everyone to behave.
17 THE COURT: I gotcha. Anybody I left out?
18 (No response.)
19 THE COURT: Okay. So if you'll do an order for me,
20 Mr. Brown. Like I say, I'm going to give everybody my
21 card when we're done. Unfortunately, Senior Judges are
22 movable people that wander all over the world. Well, I
23 don't go below McDonough but I've been to Ringgold. So
24 finding us sometimes is an issue and I give you my
25 personal email so that you can find me whenever you need

1 me. So just get it to me.

2 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, one point of clarification in

3 terms of as much as possible.

4 THE COURT: Right.

5 MR. BROWN: It is the Plaintiff's position that if

6 these machines are reused in subsequent elections, that

7 that will wipe the memory.

8 THE COURT: Okay. We're going to move as fast as we

9 can move on this case. As I'm looking at it, somebody has

10 a MARTA election in March. I don't know which -- I don't

11 know whether that involves anybody in this case.

12 MR. TYSON: Yes, that's Gwinnett County.

13 THE COURT: That's Gwinnett. Okay. We'll have

14 handled this before we get to March.

15 MR. BROWN: Thank you.

16 THE COURT: Okay. So right now there isn't anything

17 else that I know of. There aren't any other elections.

18 If something comes up, let me know.

19 MR. RUSSO: I think the City of Atlanta has one --

20 THE COURT: Huh?

21 MR. RUSSO: I think the City of Atlanta has one also

22 and I believe they use Fulton County's.

23 THE COURT: When is it, do you know?

24 MR. BELINFANTE: City Councilman Ivory Young.

25 THE COURT: Oh, yes, that's right. Okay. We'll move

1 as fast as we can move.

2 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. If it's okay with

3 Your Honor, what we will put in the draft preservation

4 order is that the Defendants will use other machines for

5 these smaller elections.

6 THE COURT: No, I didn't say that.

7 MR. BROWN: Okay.

8 THE COURT: That's not what I said.

9 MR. BROWN: I understand, Your Honor.

10 THE COURT: I mean, you're asking me something. At

11 this point I have no idea about the case.

12 MR. BROWN: I understand.

13 THE COURT: All I'm trying to do is maintain the

14 status quo as well as I can while everybody gets served.

15 Everybody has a right to file answers, motions, whatever

16 may be coming at you. That's all I'm trying to do is

17 preserve the status quo.

18 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

19 THE COURT: Okay. Now you mentioned something about

20 parties?

21 MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor. The Secretary of State

22 filed a Motion to Dismiss late last night, and the

23 Secretary of State is taking the position that the

24 Secretary of State is not a proper party to this.

25 THE COURT: Well I'm not going to rule on that. See,

1 that's one of the things I'm concerned about. I don't
2 know what kind of motions are coming. I'm assuming --
3 With due respect -- I don't know how many lawyers we have
4 in this courtroom -- but they're not going to just roll
5 over and play dead. They're going to be responsive. Is
6 that a good word? Responsive, okay. So I want to give
7 them the proper time to do that, and I'm not going to deal
8 with anything -- Now if there's a -- I haven't seen it.
9 If it came I'm -- My office is supposed to be served with
10 it up here. As I say, I'll give everybody a card so you
11 can -- You can send me copies direct of everything you've
12 got. So if I'm somewhere else, I can still get copies of
13 them and I can still print them and know what I'm looking
14 at and line them up. But no, I'm not dealing with any of
15 that.
16 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
17 THE COURT: Now, trial date. Having said there is a
18 motion pending -- And we've got to have service. If you
19 get me that order and I think I am required -- It's five
20 to ten days after service as I understand what the code
21 section says. So they have to do that. So you've got to
22 get me the order. We're probably looking at after
23 Christmas and it would seem to me that we could set it for
24 what I would term motion hearings or whatever else they
25 may file. And, of course, you may want to respond to some

1 of them, too. I understand all that. I think we just set
2 it for a date. Getting y'all together, though you've done
3 good today, can be somewhat difficult.
4 My suggestion would be either the 7th or the 9th of
5 January. Would you look and see if somebody has something
6 that would require -- I'm thinking one of those two days
7 just to hear whatever may be filed. And at that point
8 then we can set it down for trial. And we're looking at
9 probably the first week in February for trial is what
10 we're looking at. Let me give y'all a chance to talk
11 about that and see where you are on those dates. Y'all
12 take your time.
13 (Brief pause.)
14 MR. LINDSEY: Sorry about that, Your Honor.
15 THE COURT: No, no. I want to --
16 MR. LINDSEY: It's actually fairly --
17 THE COURT: It's just, is that enough time? What's
18 y'all's position?
19 MR. BELINFANTE: The Defendants' collective position,
20 Your Honor, is that, you know, there are statutory
21 deadlines set in there and so once service is done that
22 triggers one and triggers another. As long as we're not
23 waiving any defenses based on those statutory timelines,
24 we think that having motions due to the Court on or before
25 December 20 would be appropriate. And then if the Court

1 wants to have a hearing on the 7th or the 9th, all parties
2 -- at least all Defendants are available to do so. But we
3 would want to have a hearing on the merits presuming the
4 Court even chooses to do that or get that far, as soon as
5 possible thereafter.
6 THE COURT: I understand that and I was looking at
7 that. Say we did February the 7th for motions.
8 MR. BELINFANTE: January 7th, Your Honor?
9 THE COURT: January 7th for motions. We could do
10 trial the 17th and 18th.
11 MR. BELINFANTE: Of?
12 THE COURT: January. So motions due by December
13 20th, January the 7th will be motion hearings, and then
14 trial will be -- And we can do it all in one order,
15 because I think I'm required to do that. What did I say,
16 17th and 18th of February? I've got to find -- Well we'll
17 talk about that in a minute. Not February, of January.
18 Trial would be January 17th. So motions hearings on the
19 7th and trial on the 17th. Any problem with that, Mr.
20 Brown?
21 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor. If it's an open day for
22 the Court and for the Defendants, for the Plaintiffs the
23 9th would be marginally better for the initial hearing
24 rather than the 7th; however, we will be here whenever
25 we're ordered to do so, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Any issue with the 7th or the 9th?
2 MR. BELINFANTE: It does not appear to be, Your
3 Honor.
4 THE COURT: Everybody all right? Okay. We'll say
5 the motions are on the 9th. I've got to rule on them.
6 That gives me time. Motions on the 9th, trial on the 17th
7 and 18th. And I can do that order. I'll do that order.
8 MR. BELINFANTE: The only issue, Your Honor, I'm
9 truly not trying to be difficult, but I just want to --
10 THE COURT: No, no.
11 MR. BELINFANTE: I don't want the Court to think that
12 at some point we surprised it or anything of that nature.
13 Depending on when service happens. The statute appears to
14 indicate that there's about 20 days between the appearance
15 that is filed and then the actual trial date. This may,
16 again, depending on service, fall outside that. I just
17 want to make clear for the record that we're not waiving
18 any defenses based on those dates.
19 THE COURT: Right. And we're doing these days -- and
20 I'll do an order on the days, just to protect on the
21 different rules and regulations. We can move them. It
22 doesn't say we can't move them. And we can move them.
23 And if service doesn't get done -- He'll give me the
24 service papers. We'll send them to the clerk. The clerk
25 will send them to the sheriff. It's Christmas. I don't

1 know. But we'll just see where it goes. And I trust
2 we'll have a return of service on each one so that we will
3 not.
4 MR. BELINFANTE: Great. All right. Thank you,
5 Judge.
6 THE COURT: Okay. The issue is this, and let me say
7 this -- As I said, I go to Ringgold, so I go wherever I
8 need to go. Do you want to hear it in Cobb or do you need
9 to hear it in Fulton? Whatever is easier for y'all.
10 MR. BELINFANTE: On behalf of the secretary, we're
11 indifferent. Whatever is easiest for the Court is easiest
12 for us.
13 THE COURT: With due respect, when you come to Cobb
14 in the morning you're going the opposite way with the
15 traffic. I was trying to work out how to phrase that.
16 And so it may be just that we can keep doing this in Cobb.
17 If someone objects, I'll try and move it to Fulton.
18 MR. BROWN: No objection, Your Honor.
19 THE COURT: No objection. Nobody's objecting right
20 now.
21 MR. LINDSEY: No objection, Your Honor.
22 THE COURT: No objection. So we'll do it in Cobb. I
23 will try -- I will go right now and see which courtroom I
24 can get to do it in. How many lawyers do we have? We
25 have 16 lawyers. So we ought to get the big courtroom.

1 Let's see what I can do. And then, Madam Clerk, would you
2 put these out? I hope there's enough. That's one for
3 everybody. That's my contact information. It has my --
4 That's for everybody. It has my cell phone number on it.
5 It has everything that you need, because, as I say, we
6 move around a lot. Anything else we need to do this
7 morning?
8 (No response.)
9 THE COURT: We're done. If you get that service to
10 me as fast as possible, I'll get it filed and done. I do
11 have a law clerk. He's not here yet. And we'll get it
12 done.
13 MR. BELINFANTE: Judge, just so I'm clear, whenever
14 we filed something is it your preference that we also
15 email you a copy of what we file?
16 THE COURT: Yes. Just email me a copy so I've got it
17 and I can start looking at it and see where we are.
18 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
19 THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you, gentlemen.
20 (End of Proceedings).
21
22
23
24
25

1 **C E R T I F I C A T E**

2

3 STATE OF GEORGIA)

4 COUNTY OF COBB)

5

6 I hereby certify that the foregoing transcript was taken
7 down, as stated in the caption, and the questions and answers
8 thereto were reduced to type-written form by me personally;
9 that the foregoing pages 01 through 18 represent a true,
10 correct and complete transcript of the evidence given upon said
11 hearing; and I further certify that I am not of kin or counsel
12 to the parties in the case, am not in the regular employ of
13 counsel for any of the said parties, nor am I anywise
14 interested in the result of said case.

15 This the 23rd day of January, 2019.

16 The above certification is expressly withdrawn and denied
17 upon the alteration, disassembly or photocopying of foregoing
18 transcript, including exhibits, unless said alteration,
19 disassembly or photocopying is done under the auspices of Paul
20 D. Crowder, C.C.R., C.V.R., and the original signature and
21 original seal is attached thereto.

22

23 _____

24 Paul D. Crowder, C.C.R., C.V.R.

25 Certified Court Reporter, B-1579

1 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY

2 STATE OF GEORGIA

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDAC
Date: 2/18/2019 11:58 AM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

3

4 COALITION FOR GOOD . CIVIL ACTION
GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. . FILE NO.: 2018-CV-313418
5

6 MARTIN, SMYTH DUVAL, and .
JEANNE DUFORT, . Taken at:
7

8 Plaintiffs, . Superior Court of Cobb County

9 vs. . 70 Haynes Street

10 ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, . Courtroom 2000

11 Secretary of State of . Marietta, Georgia 30090
Georgia, et. al. .
12

13 Defendants. .
. . . .
14

15

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING PROCEEDINGS
16

17 THURSDAY, JANUARY 17, 2019

18 9:02 a.m. to 4:53 p.m.

19

20 STATE OF GEORGIA SENIOR JUDGE ADELE P. GRUBBS
REPORTED BY:
21

22 PRISCILLA GARCIA, COURT REPORTER
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF GEORGIA
23

24 TRANSCRIBED BY:

25 CHRISTIAN NAADEN

1

2

3

4 APPEARANCES:

Plaintiff's Counsel: BRUCE P. BROWN, ESQUIRE

5

6 BRUCE P. BROWN LAW
FLOATAWAY BUSINESS COMPLEX

7

8 1123 ZONOLITE ROAD N.E.

9 SUITE 6

10 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30306

11 404-881-0700
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com

12

13

Plaintiff's Counsel: MARILYN MARKS, ESQUIRE

14

15 COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE
7035 MARCHING DUCK DRIVE

16

17 SUITE E-504

18 CHARLOTTE, NORTH CAROLINA 28210
704-552-1618

19

20 marilyn@uscgg.org

21

22 Defendant's Counsel: JOHN BELINFANTE, ESQUIRE
ROBBINS, ROSS, ALLOT, BELINFANTE

23

24 & LITTLEFIELD, LLC

25 500 14TH STREET N.W.

1 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30318

2 404-856-3262
jbelinfante@robbinsfirm.com

3

4 CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:

5

6 Defendant's Counsel: VINCENT R. RUSSO, ESQUIRE
ROBBINS, ROSS, ALLOT, BELINFANTE

7

8 & LITTLEFIELD, LLC

9 999 PEACHTREE STREET N.E.

10 SUITE 1120

11 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30309
404-856-3260

12

13 vrusso@robbinsfirm.com

14

15 Defendant's Associate Counsel:
ALEXANDER F. DENTON, ESQUIRE

16

17 ROBBINS, ROSS, ALLOT, BELINFANTE

18 & LITTLEFIELD, LLC
500 14TH STREET N.W.

19

20 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30318
404-856-3276

21

22 adenton@robbinsfirm.com

23

24

25

1

2

3

4 CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:

5

6 Defendant's Counsel: EDWARD H. LINDSEY, JR., ESQUIRE
DENTONS US, LLP
7

8 303 PEACHTREE STREET N.E.

9 SUITE 5300

10 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30308

11 404-527-4580
edward.lindsey@dentons.com
12

13 Defendant's Counsel: SAMUEL S. OLENS, ESQUIRE
14

15 DENTONS US, LLP
303 PEACHTREE STREET N.E.
16

17 SUITE 5300

18 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30308
404-527-4108
19

20 sam.olens@dentons.com

21

22 Defendant's Counsel: KAYE WOODARD BURWELL, ESQUIRE
OFFICE OF THE COUNTY ATTORNEY
23

24 141 PRYOR STREET S.W.

25 SUITE 4038

1 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30303

2 404-612-0251
kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov

3

4 CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:

5

6 Defendant's Counsel: BRYAN P. TYSON, ESQUIRE
STRICKLAND, BROCKINGTON &

7

8 LEWIS, LLP

9 1170 PEACHTREE STREET N.E.

10 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30309

11 404-219-3160
bryan.tyson@sbllaw.com

12

13 Defendant's Co-Counsel: RICHARD A. CAROTHERS, ESQUIRE

14

15 CAROTHERS & MITCHELL, LLC
1809 BUFORD HIGHWAY

16

17 BUFORD, GEORGIA 30518

18 770-932-3552
richard.carothers@carsmith.com

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4 I N D E X

WITNESS	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
WITNESSES CALLED ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF				
Marilyn Marks	31	38,51,54	43,56	50
Sara LeClerc	60,72	74,77,78		
Jeanne Dufort	80			
Christopher Brill	84	89,91,127,128	93,120	113,114
Matthew Bernhard	137	150,188,198	156,187,204	
Michael Barnes	210,243	254,276	279	286,287
WITNESSES CALLED ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT				
None				

EXHIBITS MARKED ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF

NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE OFFERED	PAGE RECEIVED
P-1	- Secretary of State Email	41	Not Admitted
P-2	- LBJ Notes Excel Spreadsheet	64	66
P-3	- Brill's Affidavit	98	98
P-7	- National Academies of Science Engineering and Medicine's report, Secured Boot, from 2018	168	168
P-8	- Center for Election Systems at		

1 Kennesaw State Email
2 Communication 2017 243 243
3
4 EXHIBITS MARKED ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT
5 PAGE PAGE
6 NO. DESCRIPTION OFFERED RECEIVED
1 - Duncan-Tweet Regarding Fundraising 52 54
7
2 - Ballot Design 236 236
8
3 - Base Precinct with Reports 264 265
9
4 - Base Precinct with Reports 264 265
10
5 - Active Voter TS Status 266 266
11
6 - Active Voter TS Status 266 266
12
7 - Certified Returns Fulton County 273 273
13
8 - Certified Returns Gwinnett County 273 273
14
9 - Certified Returns Dekalb County 273 273
15
10 - Official Election Returns 2010 273 274
16
11 - Official Election Returns 2014 273 274
17
12 - Official Election Returns 2018 273 274
18
13 - Turnout Percentage Document 273 274
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1
COBB COUNTY, GEORGIA
2
THURSDAY, January 17, 2018 - 9:02 a.m.
3
4
* * * * * * *
5
6
THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.
7
MALE: Good morning, Your Honor.
8
THE COURT: Take your seats, if you will.
9
THE COURT: First of all, if you are here for the
10
uncontested divorce calendar, that includes name changes
11
and all those kind of things, you are in the wrong place.
12
That is in Juvenile Court Number One. You have to go back
13
across the bridge if you're in here by mistake.
14
Okay. And we have some recordings. Rule 22. Is
15
everybody in here on -- you're here on the -- supposed to
16
be some others. Ms. McDonald.
17
MS. MCDONALD: Yes, ma'am.
18
THE COURT: You're here. Mr. Wilke?
19
MR. WILKE: Yes, ma'am.
20
THE COURT: Yeah, he's here. And who's the other
21
one?
22
THE COURT: Kauffman. Mr. Kauffman.
23
MR. KAUFFMAN: That's me.
24
THE COURT: How'd you get up there?
25

1 MR. KAUFFMAN: I asked Mr. Davis earlier if it was
2 okay if I sit here because recording.
THE COURT: And you did what, Mr. Davis?
3
4 MR. DAVIS: [Inaudible].
THE COURT: Why did he get preference than everybody
5
6 else?
MR. DAVIS: No one else asked.
7
8 THE COURT: Nobody else asked. Okay. Good statement.
9 Anybody else want to come in and [inaudible] -- okay.
10 We'll do that.
11 This is mainly on the case of Rhonda Martin, Smyth
Duval, and Jeanne Dufort versus Geoff Duncan, Fulton
12
13 County Board of Registration and Elections, Gwinnett
County Board of Registration and Elections. Mr. Brown,
14
15 where are your clients?
MR. BROWN: Jeanne Dufort is here.
16
17 THE COURT: She's what?
18 MR. BROWN: Your question was what, Your Honor?
THE COURT: Where are your clients?
19
20 MR. BROWN: My client, this is Jeanne Dufort.
THE COURT: Okay.
21
22 MR. BROWN: She is the plaintiff in the case.
THE COURT: Okay. And are the other two here too?
23
24 MR. BROWN: Smyth Duvall.
25 THE COURT: Mr. Duvall is here?

1 MR. BROWN: He is here. Yes, Your Honor.

2 THE COURT: Okay. Just trying to identify. Do you need seats to bring them to the table?

3

4 MR. BROWN: We're sort of crowded so this is fine.
THE COURT: You all need to be at this table?

5

6 MR. BROWN: No. He doesn't -- you're fine. I mean, we're okay.

7

8 THE COURT: You are?

9 MR. BROWN: Yeah.

10 THE COURT: And then on this side. Everybody's

11 together.
MR. BROWN: I would like to make a request that

12

13 Marilyn Marks, who is the executive director of the former plaintiff, Coalition, and it's been -- helping me in this

14

15 case, it would be an -- it would be a great assistance to me, just professionally, if she could also ask specific --

16

17 THE COURT: She can't sit at the table.

18 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: She can't sit at the table.

19

20 MALE: My only concern is that we do intend to invoke the Rule. She going be a witness?

21

22 MR. BROWN: She may and we will decide whether she goes first or not. So she can stay out here.

23

24 MR. LINDSEY: If she stays -- if she's the first

25 witness then I would object to her staying.

1 THE COURT: I'm sorry?

2 MR. LINDSEY: If she's the first witness knows the Rule, which I guess I have no objections --

3

4 THE COURT: Okay.
MR. LINDSEY: -- to her staying.

5

6 THE COURT: Okay. We'll follow that. Everybody else agree? I see Fulton and Gwinnett?

7

8 MS. BURWELL: Yes, Your Honor.

9 THE COURT: Okay.

10 MR. LINDSEY: [Inaudible] she could come in.

11 THE COURT: Okay. On the Rule 22 request, you cannot take anything at each individual table to the lobbies.

12

13 Okay. I know you were here last time and you did a good job, but you can't -- or any conversation between lawyers

14

15 and witnesses. You have to honor the confidentiality.
MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.

16

17 THE COURT: Okay. You all are standing up. I think

18 that Mr. Brown we filed a mandatory trial.
MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.

19

20 THE COURT: And I know I got a response from Fulton County.

21

22 MALE: Yeah. We also have a response as well that simply mimic the county's and we are filing it today.

23

24 THE COURT: Okay.

25 MALE: Can I approach the bench?

1 THE COURT: Mr. Groton does that. There's no clerk
2 because this is a Fulton County case. So he has to do that. Okay. I guess -- will I hear first from is -- let
3
4 me hear from -- Mr. Brown, why do you think juror demand is appropriate?
5
6 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the statute is very clear. It says that the case should be tried to the court unless
7
8 a jury demand is made. And that is explicit and without
9 exception. And we have made a demand for a jury trial and
10 the facts that will be tried, or triable to a jury both in
11 the election case and in any other case.
THE COURT: Okay.
12
13 MR. BROWN: So that's our request. Thank you.
THE COURT: What order are you going in over at that
14
15 time?
MALE: She filed -- Fulton County filed first of all
16
17 --
18 THE COURT: Are you Ms. Blackwell?
MS. BURWELL: Burwell. Burwell.
19
20 THE COURT: Burwell. I'm trying to get names right. Okay.
21
22 MS. BURWELL: Kaye. Right. Yes. Kaye Burwell on behalf of Fulton County.
23
24 MALE: Judge --
25 THE COURT: I got it.

1 MALE: Judge, I'm sorry. We have one more Rule 22
2 from the AJC that you haven't had a chance to review.
THE COURT: So I have not written [inaudible].
3
4 Anybody -- who's here from the AJC?
FEMALE: I am.
5
6 THE COURT: Okay. Anybody have an objection to the
AJC covering this? Mr. Brown.
7
8 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor.
9 MR. LINDSEY: In fact, they're more than welcome to
10 sit in the jury.
11 THE COURT: You're welcome to sit in the jury box.
Come sit over here if you want to.
12
13 MS. BURWELL: Think at a better angle.
THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.
14
15 MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, it is Fulton County's
position that the demand for a jury trial by the
16
17 petitioners is insufficient. We cited the Court to the
18 Henderson case, which clearly provides that there is a
two-step analysis for determining whether or not a jury
19
20 trial is available to a petitioner and they have satisfied
the first, which was merely to demand.
21
22 They haven't satisfied the second. They haven't
provided this Court with any information or argument to
23
24 support that under other laws at issue in the case, there
25 is a matter upon which they are entitled to have a trial

1 by jury. And that's the second part and they haven't
2 presented this Court with anything that would be sufficient for them to satisfy that burden. And so based
3
4 upon the statute itself, which is 21-2-522, as well as the Henderson case, because they utterly failed to allege
5
6 issues that would be triable to a jury, we believe that a jury trial demand is inappropriate.
7
8 Further, Your Honor, as we pointed out in our
9 response, the statute provides that if there are issues
10 that need to be tried, a jury from that particular county
11 needs to be impaneled. And in this instance, they are -- they have allegations in their complaint about Worth
12
13 County, Henry County, DeKalb County, and perhaps other counties that we don't know about yet. And so the Court
14
15 would be required if they had alleged something that was triable to a jury, would need to impanel juries from each
16
17 of those jurisdictions in order to hear whatever issues
18 would need to be heard.
And for that reason, Your Honor, obviously the ins of
19
20 the election contest statute, which is the swift and expedient determination of a contest, would be forwarded.
21
22 Thank you.
THE COURT: Thank you. Any additional arguments?
23
24 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, Bryan Tyson on behalf of
25 Gwinnett County, just very briefly. As the Fulton County

1 counsel, Ms. Burwell, has correctly pointed out, the cases
2 that they have cited control there is no constitutional right to a jury trial in an election contest case.
3
4 And I think under the circumstances that the demand only came after the motion of continuance had been denied
5
6 in the case that this is an attempt by the plaintiffs to delay this case when this case under the Election Code
7
8 needs to be resolved quickly and brought to a conclusion,
9 especially considering that the Legislature is already in
10 session and the lieutenant governor is presiding this
11 morning, even in the State Senate. Thank you.
THE COURT: Anything from you, Mr. Lindsey?
12
13 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we simply just joined with two other co-defendants with their positions.
14
15 THE COURT: Mr. Brown.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, quickly, three points.
16
17 Gwinnett raises the timeliness issue. Again, the statute
18 is clear and the demand needs to be made before the case is called.
19
20 Second, counsel for Fulton County says that we haven't made allegations about facts that are triable to a
21
22 jury. We have a very detailed fact-bound complaint and each of the factual allegations in there, in any civil
23
24 case, would be triable to a jury and I know of no
25 exception. For example, were significant programming

1 errors made? Can the vote tallies be reconciled? Are
2 there signs of malicious manipulation of the vote tallies? All of those things are factual issues. Thank you, Your
3
4 Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. I strike the demand for jury.
5
6 This is a bench trial. It does not comport with the statute. Okay. You want to make an opening statement --
7
8 whoa. Let's not do that. Okay.
9 MR. LINDSEY: I'm sorry. Sorry. And just as a
10 reminder, Your Honor, we have invoked the Rule.
11 THE COURT: The Rule is invoked. So witnesses, you have need to be outside.
12
13 MR. BROWN: Starting now, Your Honor?
THE COURT: Starting right now.
14
15 MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Starting right now.
16
17 MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, on behalf of Fulton County,
18 we have our [inaudible] representative, Mr. Barron. We'd like him to be able to stay to my --
19
20 THE COURT: He's who?
MS. BURWELL: Mr. Barron. Mr. Richard Barron. He's
21
22 the election superintendent for --
THE COURT: Is he being told to stay?
23
24 MS. BURWELL: -- Fulton County.
25 MR. BROWN: Clarification, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Yes, sir.

2 MR. BROWN: We have two expert witnesses and typically they are not sequestered because they may be
3 relying upon the client testimony. And so we would request Your Honor's clarification that it does not apply
5 to expert witnesses.

6 MR. LINDSEY: And we believe that in this case that
7 it should unless they intend -- we have no objection to
9 them remaining in the courtroom --

10 THE COURT: Once they testify.

11 MR. LINDSEY: -- once they testify in case they need to be brought back up on rebuttal based on any fact that
12 need to be brought. But in terms of their initial testimony, we would ask the rule be [inaudible].
14

15 THE COURT: I think Mr. Brown, you -- we do that when you have experts and they have experts. We have dueling
16 experts, but you've got two of the same. So once they've
18 testified, they can stay.

19 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

20 THE COURT: Okay. And that means you cannot discuss each other's testimony.
21

22 MR. LINDSEY: And for that matter, Your Honor, I just wanted to clarify for both sides. Once the witness has
23 testified, as long as there is an assurance by the lawyer
25 that that person will not be brought back up for any

1 further reason, I -- any purpose, but I don't have any
2 problem with them remaining in the courtroom once they've testified.
3
4 THE COURT: Okay.
MR. BROWN: My understanding of the rule, Your Honor,
5
6 is that if a witness is in the courtroom, the witness is thereafter disqualified from being called.
7
8 MR. LINDSEY: Yeah. That's all I'm saying.
9 THE COURT: That's correct.
10 MR. LINDSEY: But I'm saying I don't have a problem
11 with them staying after they've testified.
THE COURT: Okay. Opening statement, Mr. Brown.
12
13 Open? Want to make an opening statement?
MR. BROWN: Oh, yes, Your Honor. Initially, we -- as
14
15 a matter of procedure, we have still pending a motion to compel.
16
17 THE COURT: I've denied that motion to compel.
18 MR. BROWN: Okay. In addition -- just for the record, Your Honor, we would like to make a motion for
19
20 additional discovery under the Civil Practice Act.
THE COURT: Sir, I've denied all that.
21
22 MR. BROWN: I understand.
THE COURT: We've been through it. I looked. I have
23
24 17 filings in this case in the last two days; okay?
25 Nobody's going to believe my list of work in this case.

1 Those all have been denied. They are preserved for the
2 record.
MR. BROWN: Right.
3
4 THE COURT: I've ruled on them. The record is clear that -- I've ruled. If that's what you're concerned
5
6 about, I've ruled; okay?
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, the
7
8 issue in this case is whether the election was so
9 defective as to place in doubt the result of the
10 lieutenant governor's contest. This case is not like any
11 other election case. In every other election case the evidence of defectiveness, of a defective election, is
12
13 hard documentary evidence. It is misspelled names on an absentee ballot.
14
15 It is a person who was ineligible to vote. It is some flaw in the electoral process that can be
16
17 demonstrated with hard evidence in court. The State of
18 Georgia has chosen a voting system which embeds in it the results, the true results of an election never to be
19
20 discovered after the vote is made.
The distinction between this case and every other
21
22 voting case is that there is no tangible evidence of the result of the election. There is none at all. Instead,
23
24 all we have are traces, secondary evidence, giving some
25 hint as to whether or not the voting totals are correct or

1 not.

2 And what are those and what are those hints here? What evidence do we have that this vote is reliable? We

3

4 have none, Your Honor. What -- instead, what we have, and our experts will testify -- Your Honor, is there a issue

5

6 that I should address or is there --

THE COURT: Mm-hmm?

7

8 MR. BROWN: Are you -- let me --

9 THE COURT: A gentleman just came in and I'm not sure

10 if he's trying to record, that's the only thing.

11 MR. BROWN: Okay.

THE COURT: Sir, do you have anything to record?

12

13 MALE: No, Your Honor. I was sitting back here and I was having trouble hearing so I moved up.

14

15 THE COURT: No problem. Go ahead.

MR. BROWN: Sorry, Your Honor.

16

17 THE COURT: Sure.

18 MR. BROWN: Thank you. The --

THE COURT: I'm just trying to preserve the

19

20 courtroom. Go ahead. But I'm hearing you.

MR. BROWN: But what the evidence we do have are the

21

22 traces of what happened and all of that evidence shows that this election was defective. The most obvious

23

24 evidence is the vote patterns. And as Your Honor has read

25 in our briefs already, these voting patterns were aberrant

1 in the extreme.

2 And the reason we know they were aberrant, the reasons we know they were aberrant is that this has never

3

4 -- nothing like this has ever happened before, but to me most probative is that the pattern that we see in the

5

6 electronic voting, the under-vote for the lieutenant governor's contest, is not seen in the paper votes. We

7

8 have 200,000 paper votes, Your Honor.

9 It's a huge sample of people in all walks of life,

10 all around the state, of every political persuasion, and

11 for some reason they all, or 99 percent of them, voted in the lieutenant governor's race. One or a little bit more

12

13 than one or less than one out of 100 decided not to vote. Historically, that is exactly what you would expect. For

14

15 the last 20 years, the consistent under-vote for the lieutenant governor's contest in Georgia is .8 percent,

16

17 less than 1 in 100.

18 In Georgia, the history is if you're going to take the trouble to vote, and not everybody does sadly, but if

19

20 you're going to take the trouble to vote, whether in-person, early, absentee, or live, is that 1 out of 100

21

22 will not vote for the lieutenant governor. That is what happened in the paper ballots this year. One out of 100

23

24 decided not to vote for the lieutenant governor's race.

25 Now for some reason we have many, many thousands more on

1 the electronic ballot, a gap of 4 or 5 percent in the
2 electronic vote.
There is no legitimate explanation for that. It
3
4 could not have happened by chance. The samples are too
large for that to be simply random chance. So it's not
5
6 chance. Could it be because the lieutenant governor's
race was not very interesting to people? There's no
7
8 reason to believe that, Your Honor. And even if you were
9 inclined to believe that prima facie, then why did 99 out
10 of 100 vote on paper?
11 The same goes for every other explanation for this
anomaly. Let the defendants make that out. In a criminal
12
13 case a convicted -- a defendant may be sent to the
electric chair if the prosecution eliminates every
14
15 plausible alternative to their guilt. Here what we will
do, and what the evidence will show, is that every other
16
17 plausible explanation other than machine defect caused
18 this other vote and because it was machine defect it's a
defective election; so defective that its results are in
19
20 doubt.
A couple things to clarify our position to make sure
21
22 that Your Honor understands what our claim is. The
defendants claim that this is a matter of simple math.
23
24 Our response to that is two-fold. First is that their
25 simple math is wrong. It's just bad arithmetic, Your

1 Honor. Our second response -- and we'll explain that to
2 Your Honor in the course of these proceedings.
3 The second response to that is that it's not simple
4 math. You can't -- Your Honor understands this quite well
5 is that it's not simple math if you have multiple unknown
6 variables. Because this election was so defective the
7 State does not know the true vote got Mr. Duncan, does not
8 know the true for Ms. Amico. And third, it doesn't know
9 why there's a gap in the under-vote.
10 Our position isn't that there were some votes that
11 were lost. Our position is that the entire contents is
12 tainted because the under-vote shows that the machines
13 simply were not working -- that they were defective; so
14 defective as to cast the entire election in doubt and
15 require a new election.
16 The second point I wanted to make sure we were clear
17 on in terms of our position is that what we need to show
18 today and tomorrow is that the election was defective. We
19 do not need to show malice. We do not need to show that
20 the defendants or anybody else did something intentionally
21 to rig the vote or anything like that. That's not what
22 this case is about.
23 This case is about machines and whether or not they
24 worked and the evidence will show manifestly that they did
25 not. Your Honor, the course of these proceedings, and I

1 caution your understanding of this, is that this argument
2 is not rearguing the motions that we have lost, but is instead to take the case as it's presented right now. And
3
4 that is the plaintiffs have shown prima facie and will show prima facie that this election was defective.
5
6 I call Your Honor's attention to OCGA 24-14-22. That statute says, and I'll quote it, "If a party has evidence
7
8 in such party's power and within such party's reach by
9 which he or she may repel a claim or charge against him,
10 but omits to produce it or if a party has more certain and
11 satisfactory evidence in his or her power but relies on that which is of weaker or inferior nature, a presumption
12
13 arises that the charge or claim against such party is well founded; but this presumption may be rebutted."
14
15 Your Honor, what this law -- this law is commonsense but it's also fundamentally important in terms of fairness
16
17 and accuracy and decision-making by the courts. We will
18 show that there's no plausible explanation other than machine malfunction. The defendants can escape that
19
20 charge if they prove that they have investigated, they've done their own, and they can bring into court evidence
21
22 that this -- these machines are working.
Instead, they will not do so and as Your Honor is
23
24 well aware, they have resisted doing so, and they have not
25 done so in discovery. And so when the plaintiff makes his

1 case, or her cas, and the defendant's position is, well,
2 it's just a black box; we don't know what's in that black box, then the plaintiff wins, Your Honor.
3
4 Your Honor, in terms of the order of proof we have some witnesses -- the sequence of the witnesses may change
5
6 a little bit because of the sequestration. In addition, we will have applied witnesses, people who actually
7
8 attended -- actually voted or trying to vote. We will
9 have an expert on forensics and on these particular DRE
10 machines, and we will have an expert on the numbers and on
11 the politics behind the numbers.
And at the conclusion of this matter, we believe that
12
13 the Court will be authorized, if not compelled, to conclude that this election was so defective as to place
14
15 the results in doubt. Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: [Inaudible] Mr. Lindsey? Okay.
16
17 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, on
18 behalf of myself and Mr. Olens, we are here representing the Lieutenant Governor Geoff Duncan who won his election
19
20 on November 6, 2018, by a significant margin, 123,172 votes.
21
22 The statutory in case law is clear as set forth in the case of Hart versus Crawford, which said, and I quote,
23
24 "The setting aside of an election in which the people have
25 chosen the representative is a dramatic remedy that should

1 not be taken lightly but instead should be reserved for
2 cases in which the person challenging an election has clearly established that the violation has placed the
3 result of the election in doubt."
4 This requires, Your Honor, more than mere speculation
5 or guess work. More than saying that things might have possibly happened but they must show by clear evidence
6 that a -- that some type of problem occurred and that it
7 was a problem of great enough significance to wipe out a
8 margin of victory of over 123,000 votes.
9 In this case, not only are the plaintiffs not going to be able to show that they were the breach of Georgia's
10 election system, but we will also show why Ms. Amico received the vote counts she did, which I want to state
11 right here at the beginning, which is that within the range of the other unsuccessful Democratic candidates in
12 2006 [sic]. Ms. Amico received 1,828,566 votes.
13 Granted, this was less than the votes received by the more profiled Democratic candidates, such as Ms. Abrams,
14 but it is a vote count much higher than four other Democratic candidates and is within a few thousand votes
15 of two other ones. So in other words, she -- her vote count as a Democratic in the 2016 election was right
16 square in the middle among all the various Democrats who
17 ran statewide here in Georgia. That's number one.

1 Number two, in terms we will be able to establish why
2 the vote count for Ms. Amico was what it was and why there was a differentiation between the paper and electronic
3
4 ballots. The fact of the matter is, Your Honor, we were dealing with an ahistorical election; and never before has
5
6 Georgia had this many new voters vote in one election. In 2014, there were approximately 98,000 new voters that
7
8 voted.
9 In 2018, there were almost four times that number
10 that voted. The fact of the matter is when you have this
11 many new voters, you have a greater number amount of confusion that takes place. You also have the fact that
12
13 the way in which the ballot was configured on paper ballots versus the electronic system could have added to
14
15 that confusion inadvertently by virtue of how the various individuals on the ballot were placed.
16
17 In the case of the electronic ballots, they were in a
18 -- what you might call an east-west position. In the paper ballots they were in a north-south position right
19
20 next to each other, which would add to the confusion for many voters, particularly many new voters here in Georgia
21
22 as to whether or not Ms. Amico and Ms. Abrams were running on a ticket.
23
24 This is aggravated further by the way in which Ms.
25 Amico chose to run her campaign, which was to seek to run

1 as a team with Ms. Abrams. So that alone would suffice to
2 show why there was a difference between the paper and the electronic ballots. In addition, quite frankly, unlike a
3 lot of the other candidates, Ms. Amico received a lot of negative press in the closing days of the campaign.
4
5 And that closing -- that negative press was particularly being likely to dampen Democratic enthusiasm
6 for her candidacy given the fact that there were
7 allegations while she was a senior officer of a
8 corporation, that that corporation was engaged in
9 systematic racial discrimination.
10 So there were multiple reasons, Your Honor, not
11 simply one as to why there was the down-ballot voter gap that existed in this race as opposed to others. And we
12 will look forward to presenting those to you. Thank you, Your Honor.
13
14 THE COURT: Okay. [inaudible]?
15 MS. BURWELL: Thank you, Your Honor. The Fulton County Board of Registrations and Elections is charged
16 with following State law and to follow State law in order to ensure that citizens of Fulton County receive a fair
17 and accurate election when they go to the polls. And we believe the evidence will show that the Fulton County
18 Board of Registrations and Elections did just that.
19
20 We believe the evidence will show that the Board
21
22
23
24
25

1 accurately recorded the will of the Fulton County voters.

2 As this Court knows, there's no such thing as a perfect election. There never has been and there never will be.

3

4 But in this instance, these petitioners have a heavy burden and a burden we believe the evidence, with respect

5

6 to Fulton County, will now bear out their claims. And that's because the case is very clear that not every

7

8 garden variety irregularity entitles anyone to a new

9 election and that's because elections are designed to

10 carry out the will of the people.

11 And again, we believe that this election did just that. And at the close of the evidence we're going to ask

12

13 the Court to deny petitioner's request.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Tyson.

14

15 MR. TYSON: Thank you, Your Honor. Bryan Tyson for Gwinnett County. We are in agreement with the prior two

16

17 defendants. The key point for this Court is that the

18 burden on the plaintiffs is high.

The election is presumed valid. These are the

19

20 certified results, unless the plaintiffs can bring forward specific evidence of what was the irregularity that could

21

22 have caused the result to be placed in doubt, they cannot succeed in their claim. The availability of any number of

23

24 plausible explanations, which the evidence will show, will

25 defeat that. The ballot design question, the fact that

1 this election was the first time in a governor's election
2 that we've not had a U.S. Senate race also happening at the same time, and so that affected the ballot design
3
4 worked and affected the way -- on the DRE's particularly that voters were looking at those ballots.
5
6 Voter decisions obviously could play a role. Lack of a third party candidate could play a role. Any number of
7
8 factors could explain the dip in the votes for Ms. Amico's
9 candidacy. And the Supreme Court is abundantly clear that
10 speculation alone is not enough to overturn an election.
11 Plaintiffs have the burden of showing hard evidence, of demonstrating exactly what the cause was, and also
12
13 demonstrating that literally no other cause could have happened. They have to come forward with affirmative
14
15 evidence that demonstrate that fact. The constitutional claims, Your Honor, had been dismissed.
16
17 The only thing left in this case is a statutory
18 election contest and that is ultimately a mathematical issue. 123,000 votes have to be shown to be in question
19
20 in addition to the -- so that the plaintiffs can carry their burden and if they're not able to demonstrate that
21
22 or show an irregularity that places the entire result in doubt through hard evidence, then they will not be able to
23
24 succeed in their claims and we do not believe they'll be
25 able to and believe the election should be upheld. Thank

1 you.

2 THE COURT: First witness, Mr. Brown.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, we will call Marilyn Marks as
3

4 our first witness.
THE COURT: Would you swear the witness, please, Mr.
5

6 Brown?
MR. BROWN: Ms. Marks, please raise your right hand.
7

8 Do you promise to tell the truth, the whole truth, and

9 nothing but the truth?

10 MS. MARKS: Yes.

11 MR. BROWN: Have a seat.

12

13 Thereupon:

14

15 MARILYN MARKS

16

17 was called as a witness by the Petitioner; and,

18 having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

19

20 DIRECT EXAMINATION
OF MARILYN MARKS

21

22
BY MR. BROWN:
23

24 Q. Please state your full name for the record?

25 A. Marilyn Marks.

1 Q. Ms. Marks, by whom are you currently
2 employed?
3 A. I am the executive director of Coalition
4 for Good Governance.
5 Q. And what is the Coalition for Good
6 Governance?
7 A. Coalition for Good Governance is a
8 nonprofit, charitable organization, non-partisan
9 organization, that works on election transparency,
10 election integrity, voter privacy. We have a few
11 core issues of that nature that we really focused on
12 and we're a small organization.
13 Q. Is electronic voting one of those core
14 issues?
15 A. In fact, we probably -- yes, it is. In
16 fact, we've probably spent the better part of the
17 last three years with 100 percent of our resources
18 focused on problems with electronic voting in the
19 fact that electronic voting is not verifiable --
20 cannot be on the table --
21 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we object [inaudible] --
22 THE COURT: [inaudible] not responsive to the
23 question.
24 MR. BROWN: That's right. That's fine. Thank you.
25 THE COURT: Just answer -- you'll get there.

1 MR. BROWN: That's right.

2 THE COURT: He'll get to everything you need to say. Give him a chance; okay?

3

4 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. And what in particular about electronic

5

6 voting has caused the Coalition to focus upon that as one of their issues?

7

8 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'm going to object. She

9 hasn't been qualified as an expert and this isn't a case

10 as to whether or not there are doubts. It's the terms of

11 whether or not electronic voting versus paper ballots or some other system would be better. That's an argument

12

13 that needs to be done -- as a matter of fact, being done down at the State Capitol.

14

15 The question today is whether or not there was an actual taking place in this election, in this particular

16

17 election. So there are two objections. Number one, she

18 hasn't been qualified as an expert; and number two, the question is irrelevant to the issues before the Court at

19

20 this time.
THE COURT: Mr. Brown?

21

22 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I asked her a factual question as to what the Coalition's focus was on and she

23

24 was answering it.

25 THE COURT: Well, but she got beyond -- she started

1 to testify as an expert and she can't testify as an expert
2 unless you can qualify her. I understand your position
and I know what the law is. I'm going to give them a
3
4 little latitude in this case.
MR. BROWN: I understand. Sure.
5
6 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. What is your -- I'm going to ask you some
7
8 questions about your background. And first, your
9 organization is based where?
10 A. Yes. Our headquarters is in Charlotte,
11 North Carolina.
Q. And what is your personal connection to
12
13 Georgia?
A. Well, actually I had my business career
14
15 here before I retired.
Q. And what was your business career?
16
17 A. I was the CEO and owner of a truck-trailer
18 manufacturing reorganization and we're headquartered
here in Atlanta.
19
20 Q. And skipping back to -- so you've lived
many years in Georgia; correct?
21
22 A. I lived in -- I plan to move back shortly.
Q. Okay.
23
24 A. I've been spending the vast majority of my
25 time here for the last four or five months.

1 Q. Ms. Marks, what is your experience
2 generally with electronic voting systems and whether that's a good method of recording votes?
3
4 A. Okay. Ten years ago I got very interested in elections; in fact, ran for Mayor of Aspen,
5
6 Colorado. And at that time, they were using the Diebold voting system that is also used in Georgia.
7
8 They were using it slightly differently. But at that
9 time is when I began to be concerned about the
10 electronic portion of the system.
11 And I tested the system as part of my work as a citizen and I became an election judge, and ended up
12
13 spending a considerable amount of time getting to know the system. And over the last ten years I
14
15 devoted myself -- having lost the election I devoted myself to the problems that were begin to be
16
17 uncovered in my own loss in the election.
18 And I have spent the last ten years doing work around troubled elections [inaudible] organization
19
20 tends to called into troubled elections, particularly when there are unverifiable electronic elections
21
22 where the results cannot be audited like this one.
Q. Have you ever been involved in a post-
23
24 election audit?
25 A. I have.

1 THE WITNESS: Your Honor, would it be all right if I
2 have a cup of water?
MR. BROWN: Yeah. Here. I'll get that, Your Honor.
3
4 THE COURT: Actually, your lawyer's got some.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
5
6 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. I believe you were testifying about post-
7
8 election audits, Ms. Marks.
9 A. Yes. I have been involved in various forms
10 of post-election audits starting with my own loss in
11 the election in 2009 and then primarily in Colorado,
although some in North Carolina, South Carolina, and
12
13 whereas in Georgia there is no post-election audit.
But, yes, I've been both in server; I've helped plan;
14
15 I've a poll watcher for post-election audits.
I've trained what in Colorado called canvas
16
17 board members who conduct the post-election audit.
18 So I've trained those for Libertarian Party and some
of the nonpartisan candidates -- not candidates,
19
20 excuse me, members of canvas boards. So I've spent
hundreds of hours in post-election auditing.
21
22 Q. Have you testified in court before, Ms.
Marks?
23
24 A. Yes, many times. Coalition for Good
25 Governance has done a lot of work in litigat- -- in

1 election litigation and so I have been in court a
2 lot.
3 Q. You mentioned paper ballots and the --
4 based upon your understanding of the allegations in
this petition, do you understand that the petitioners
5
6 are seeking a new election; do you understand that?
7 A. Yes.
8 Q. And do you have an understanding of whether
9 the petitioners want the new election to be on paper
10 ballot on the one hand or on the electronic ballot on
11 the other?
12 A. It is clear that the petitioners want only
13 a verifiable election, which has to be on paper
ballots.
14
15 Q. And you -- based upon your work, have you
become familiar with Georgia's processes and
16
17 procedures for conducting electronic and paper
18 elections?
19 A. Yes, I'm very familiar with those
20 procedures. I began my work in Georgia in the spring
of 2017 and began to analyze the problems in the
21
22 unverifiable DRE system. The debunked DRE system at
the time actually -- should I tell you about when --
23
24 Q. Just wait.
25 A. Okay.

1 Q. Based upon your understanding of Georgia's
2 practices and procedures in voting, would it be feasible for Georgia to conduct a paper ballot for
3
4 any re-elect new election?
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object to that question.
5
6 The foundation hasn't be laid for that; it's a legal conclusion. She's not qualified as an expert.
7
8 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would -- thank you, Mr.
9 Tyson, if I may interrupt. I should have before asking
10 that question and I'll withdraw it -- ask that Ms. Marks
11 be qualified as a witness about DRE machines generally and their use in Georgia and the practices and procedures of
12
13 the State of Georgia for paper ballots and for electronic ballots.
14
15 MR. TYSON: If I could ask [inaudible] show of questions of Ms. Marks.
16
17 THE COURT: Surely. Go ahead.
18 MR. TYSON: Good morning, Ms. Marks. My name is Bryan Tyson. I represent the Gwinnett County Board of
19
20 Elections -- Registrations and Elections. I just had a couple additional questions for you. You mentioned you
21
22 testified in court before.
23
24 CROSS-EXAMINATION
25 OF MARILYN MARKS

1

2 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. Have you ever qualified as an expert in a
3

4 court proceeding?
A. No. And have never intended to be.
5

6 Q. Okay. Have you ever been involved in the
administration of an election in Georgia a member of
7

8 the Board of Elections, a staff member in elections

9 office?

10 A. No, I have not been a Georgia resident so I

11 would not have been qualified for that. I have been
a poll watcher several times for candidates and for
12

13 the Libertarian Party in Georgia.
Q. Mr. Brown asked you about your work with
14

15 electronic voting machines. Do you have any degrees
or specialized training in computer science?
16

17 A. No. I just have a practical hands-on

18 experience.
Q. Do you -- have you -- I'm sorry. It's
19

20 correct, isn't it, that you have no first-hand
knowledge of any DRE machine that you personally
21

22 reviewed for the 2018 Georgia general election; is
that correct?
23

24 A. I'm sorry. Do you mind repeating the

25 question?

1 Q. Sure. It's correct, isn't it, that you
2 have not personally reviewed any DRE machines that
were used in the 2018 Georgia general election?
3
4 A. No. That is incorrect.
Q. Okay. Which machines have you reviewed?
5
6 A. Okay. So I'll not be able to tell you the
serial numbers, not off the top of my head,
7
8 certainly. The machines that I observed as I was a
9 poll watcher and I watched them operate -- I looked
10 at the data that was coming up on the screen in a
11 number of poll locations, and did so in a number of
the 2018 elections.
12
13 So, I did watch the operations, I watched the
shutdown of the machines, and then watched the
14
15 progression records through the compilation tallies.
So, yes, I have observed the operation of the
16
17 machines as a authorized statewide poll watcher.
18 Q. So, it would be correct to say, wouldn't
it, that you've observed them from a distance, but
19
20 you've not personally examined any of the DRE
machines; is that correct?
21
22 A. That would not be correct.
Q. Have you personally ever touched a DRE
23
24 machine?
25 A. Oh, certainly.

1 Q. Have you ever voted on a DRE machine?

2 A. I have.
Q. Have you voted on a Georgia DRE machine?
3

4 A. I have not voted in -- wait, excuse me. I
think I was about to not get that correct. When I
5

6 was a resident here, I believe they were just
bringing in the DRE machines and so I believe I did
7

8 vote many years ago on the DRE machine.

9 Q. But you're not sure?

10 A. I -- I voted on a DRE machine in North

11 Carolina.
Q. And you personally believe that Georgia
12

13 should move to a paper ballot system; correct?
A. Certainly.
14

15 MR. TYSON: I don't have any other questions at the
moment.
16

17 THE COURT: Anybody else have questions for her?

18 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, we renew our objection.
She's never been qualified as an expert.
19

20 THE COURT: Go ahead.
MR. TYSON: For one, she just finished saying that
21

22 she doesn't consider herself an expert. So -- and she's
not demonstrated any expertise on the technical issues
23

24 that are involved in this case. She certainly has some

25 very strong opinions and we understand it's good for her

1 to get up on the stand to sort of lay out what the
2 plaintiffs want. But in terms of providing any factual evidence to support their claim, she's simply not been
3 qualified as an expert [inaudible].
4 MR. LINDSEY: And, Your Honor, we would also object
5 to Ms. Marks being qualified as an expert. We already her expertise on the DRE machines. She's testified that it's
6 limited to just observing them from a distance.
7 And that we don't believe that that will in any way
8 assist the Court in determining fact in issue under 702(b)
9 because that's not one of the issues here in terms of what someone saw on the machine. The issue is what was the
10 vote in that issue.
11 In addition, we would also object to her
12 qualification regarding Georgia practices and procedures in that those are ensconced in the election code and Your
13 Honor is capable of determining those without the
14 assistance from an expert.
15 THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
16 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, she's not being -- a lot of what Mr. Lindsey said I didn't quite follow, but she is
17 not being qualified as to the technical aspects of the DRE. We have another expert for that. She instead is
18 being qualified for the procedure. Thank you.
19 THE COURT: The question you asked would have

1 required her to be an expert and she's not qualified as an
2 expert. You asked, you know, could Georgia conduct paper
-- I am listening. I don't look like I am sometimes but
3
4 that helps me intensely listen.
You asked her really about whether paper ballots
5
6 could be done and she has no expertise to be able to
testify to something like that.
7
8 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
9
10 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
11 OF MARILYN MARKS
12
13 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Let me ask you some questions and you --
14
15 you have not been qualified as an expert, so when I
ask you a question it's going to have be based on
16
17 your personal knowledge; do you understand that?
18 A. Yes. Yes, I do. Uh-huh.
Q. Okay. Who is Taran Greenwald?
19
20 A. Taran Greenwald is an analyst for Coalition
for Good Governance.
21
22 Q. Okay.
MR. BROWN: And has the Coalition -- let me hand you
23
24 what I'll have marked --
25 THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.

1 MR. BROWN: -- as Exhibit 1.

2 [Thereupon, the referred-to document was entered into

3 evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 1]

5

6 MR. TYSON: If we may, Your Honor, could we see a copy first? Thank you, Your Honor.

7

8 THE COURT: [inaudible].

9 BY MR. BROWN:

10 Q. Ms. Marks, what is Exhibit 1?

11 A. Exhibit 1 is a voting records request that was made by Mr. Greenwald and the response from the

12 Secretary of State to his request to review certain of the records.

14

15 Q. Did Coalition for Good Governance send a number of Open Records Act requests to the Secretary?

16

17 A. Yes, we did.

18 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I object. I'm not real sure where we're going here.

19

20 THE COURT: MR. LINDSEY: The Court -- I assume you're trying to

21 -- just trying to lay down proper evidence -- evidence you

22 couldn't get in. No, you couldn't obtain.

23

24 THE COURT: Well, so far -- so far -- I haven't seen

25 the document; okay, he hasn't admitted. So far he hasn't

1 asked --

2 MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible]
THE COURT: -- an objectionable question yet.
3

4 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: I think -- I think both Mr. Lindsey --
5

6 MR. LINDSEY: I'm anticipating -- my apologies, Your Honor.
7

8 THE COURT: -- Mr. Lindsay and I are anticipating; we

9 may be absolutely wrong. Go ahead.

10 MR. LINDSEY: My apologies, Your Honor.

11 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Ms. Marks, is this a true and correct copy
12

13 of a January 9 email from open records at the
Secretary of State to your company's analyst, Taran
14

15 Greenwald?
A. Yes. It is.
16

17 Q. And without going through everything, what

18 does the letter say?
THE COURT: Well, you'll have to tender it before --
19

20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would move to introduce Exhibit 1 into evidence.
21

22 THE COURT: Now, Mr. Lindsay.
MR. LINDSEY: Objection, Your Honor. It's hearsay
23

24 and it's lay -- you have to also lay a foundation as to

25 what's relevant to the particular issue before us.

1 THE COURT: Is it certified, Mr. Brown?

2 MR. BROWN: It is not. It's an email. It's a business record, Your Honor. It's an email from the

3

4 Secretary of State to her organization.
THE COURT: I -- it's not -- it's not tendered --

5

6 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: -- I mean, it's tendered; it's not

7

8 admitted.

9 MR. BROWN: Okay.

10 BY MR. BROWN:

11 Q. Ms. Marks, you testified that you were a poll watcher.

12

13 A. Yes.
Q. And was that in the November 2018 election?

14

15 A. I did. I wasn't a poll watcher in 2018, but I was also a poll watcher in previous 2018

16

17 elections and in Georgia.

18 Q. Okay. And were you ever a poll watcher in early voting for the 2018 election?

19

20 A. Yes. I was a statewide poll watcher for the Libertarian Party and the poll watcher

21

22 credentials covered the period of early voting through the of the election.

23

24 Q. Were you a poll watcher at the Ponce de

25 León Library polling location?

1 A. Yes, I was. And the way it works is that
2 we're a poll watcher for all locations.
3 Q. And -- but you were a poll watcher -- you
4 went to poll -- you went to the Ponce de León Library
5 polling location; correct?
6 A. Yes. During early voting.
7 Q. And what did you personally observe at the
8 -- that polling location?
9 A. The first thing I noticed when I got there
10 were two-hour long lines for people to get in and
11 then how hard it was for people to get into vote, and
12 I saw many people leaving because they couldn't vote.
13 And I could go through with you the troublesome --
14 Q. Well, let me ask you. Let me ask you that.
15 A. Uh-huh.
16 Q. Did you observe the DREs in operation from
17 an appropriate distance?
18 A. I did.
19 Q. Were they operating correctly?
20 A. They were not.
21 Q. And why so?
22 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'll object. I think this
23 requires Ms. Marks to have a degree of expertise regarding
24 the operation of the DRE machines for her to opine about
25 whether they were operating properly or not. There's not

1 a foundation for that.

2 THE COURT: I -- I --
MR. BROWN: I'll withdraw the question, Your Honor --
3

4 THE COURT: Withdraw --
MR. BROWN: -- and make it easier. I can make this
5

6 easy.
BY MR. BROWN:
7

8 Q. Were some of the voting machines not

9 working in that they were not taking votes at all?

10 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'll object again.

11 [inaudible] foundation, Ms. Marks can testify to that.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor --
12

13 THE COURT: She -- I think you're making much too
general of a question. I understand there's a specific
14

15 allegation. Get to the specific allegation.
MR. BROWN: Okay.
16

17 THE COURT: Okay.

18 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Ms. Marks, was the long line the result of
19

20 some of the machines not working?
A. Yes, it was.
21

22 Q. And how do you know that?
A. I -- the work of a poll watcher is to
23

24 observe the entirety of what's going on.

25 THE COURT: Okay. Just answer the question, please,

1 ma'am.

2 MR. BROWN: Yeah, just --
THE WITNESS: And I could see that the machines were
3

4 malfunctioning. I could see the screen, the machines were
malfunctioning. It was taking a very long time for those
5

6 voters to go back --
BY MR. BROWN:
7

8 Q. How were they malfunctioning, from your

9 perspective?

10 A. Okay. I was standing about five feet away

11 --
Q. And what --
12

13 A. -- it was a small place.
Q. And what did the machines do?
14

15 A. And so what would happen is a screen would
come up that would say, vote cancelled. As the voter
16

17 was attempting to enter their vote and a big red

18 warning sign came up, and the machine stopped
working. I observed that happening three times
19

20 during the 30 minutes I was at the library.
Q. Did you speak with any officials at the
21

22 polling location about that problem?
A. I attempted to. I was denied the ability
23

24 to talk to them.

25 Q. Okay. Thank you. Other than the

1 malfunctioning machines and the long lines, anything
2 else about the Ponce de León poll watching experience that is -- that struck you as unusual?
3
4 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'll just object to the question as worded. I don't think there's of the machine
5
6 were malfunctioning. I think there is testimony they displayed a red box; I don't think there's any testimony
7
8 that indicates that's that a malfunction.
9 MR. BROWN: I'll withdraw the question.
10 THE COURT: You'll have her on direct.
11 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Ms. Marks.
12
13 RECROSS-EXAMINATION
OF MARILYN MARKS
14
15
BY MR. TYSON:
16
17 Q. Ms. Marks, just one question before you
18 leave. I'll try to keep it short. You said that you saw three instances of the machine saying, vote
19
20 cancelling; is that correct?
A. That is correct.
21
22 Q. And you didn't witness any other indications of red screens coming on the machines
23
24 while you were at the Ponce precinct; is that
25 correct?

1 A. I witnessed three in 30 minutes and that is
2 all --
Q. Thank you.
3
4 A. -- and that was a sign that the machine
malfunctioning and the [inaudible] --
5
6 Q. I'm sorry. Yes. I don't believe there's
any evidence to explain it --
7
8 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, that's not a question. That
9 is a statement and I'd like to strike that from the
10 record.
11 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I request that the rest of
Ms. Marks' answer about the machine malfunction be
12
13 stricken; that there was no foundation for that statement.
THE COURT: You need to just answer the question. I
14
15 know -- I know your position; I know where you're coming
from; okay? No problem. I've already got that. You
16
17 don't have to impress me with that. Just answer the
18 questions.
MR. TYSON: All right. That's all I have. Thank
19
20 you.
THE COURT: Anybody else?
21
22 MR. LINDSEY: Just real briefly.
23
24 CROSS-EXAMINATION
25 OF MARILYN MARKS

1

2 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. Ms. Marks, is your position at the
3

4 Coalition a volunteer or paid position?
A. It is a volunteer position.
5

6 Q. Okay. Do you receive any compensation for
it?
7

8 A. None at all.

9 Q. Okay. Nevertheless, Ms. Marks, is it not

10 true --

11 MR. LINDSEY: Let me show you what we'll tender as
Duncan Defense 1.
12

13
[Thereupon, the referred-to document was entered into
14

15 evidence as Defendant's Duncan Exhibit No. 1]

16

17 BY MR. LINDSEY:

18 Q. This is a Tweet you sent out last night; is
it not? Is that not a Tweet you sent out last night?
19

20 A. It is.
Q. And does it not show that basically you are
21

22 using this trial as a fundraising mechanism for your
organization?
23

24 A. No, it does not show that.

25 Q. It does show that you are seeking to raise

1 money as part of this trial; correct?

2 A. Not as part of this trial; in order to pay attorney's fees and other legal cost.

3

4 Q. Okay.

A. That this trial will cost.

5

6 Q. Okay. And then you just sent out soliciting contributions as part of this trial;

7

8 correct?

9 A. Not as part of this trial, sir, but as part

10 -- routine requirements to raise money for a very

11 small organization with a high level of expenses.

Q. Okay.

12

13 A. We've been raising money for many years.

Q. All right. And this trial -- you sent this

14

15 out in anticipation of the trial today; correct?

A. This is consistent with fundraising

16

17 messages I've been sending out for years.

18 Q. Okay. So otherwise, you send other fundraising messages out when there are other trials

19

20 that are going on; correct?

A. Whether or not there are trials going on,

21

22 we are always in fundraising mode.

Q. Okay.

23

24 A. We need to be more.

25 Q. All right.

1 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we would tender this
2 Exhibit.
THE COURT: [inaudible] Let me have it, please.
3
4 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor. No objection, Your
Honor.
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: Defense Exhibit -- I guess it would be
Duncan 1.
7
8 THE COURT: Duncan 1. [inaudible], admitted. Go
9 ahead.
10
11 CROSS-EXAMINATION
OF MARILYN MARKS
12
13
BY MS. BURWELL:
14
15 Q. Ms. Marks, are you familiar with the
process for disabled voting on Georgia's DRE
16
17 machines?
18 A. I'm generally familiar with it. I've never
tested it myself as I have in other states.
19
20 Q. And so, what is your understanding of the
process?
21
22 A. To make sure I understand your question,
are you asking about an in-person disabled voter who
23
24 needs to use the assistive devices, is that what
25 you're --

1 Q. Yes.

2 A. -- asking me?
Q. Yes.
3

4 A. Okay. So I think that the process
generally is that the disabled voter can identify
5

6 themselves as needing the assistive device and the
poll worker ushers to a device, make sure they know
7

8 how to use it, and it's generally a vision-impaired

9 voter who would need the type of assistive device

10 that Georgia polls use and that they begin to mark

11 the ballots generally through a pad as they are
listening to what's called an audio ballot.
12

13 Q. So, are you familiar with how the actual
machine is set up for a disabled voter?
14

15 A. I am.
Q. Okay. And how would you be familiar with
16

17 that?

18 A. I've set one up before. Not in Georgia,
but just like them in other locations and I've tested
19

20 audio in other locations on the machine.
Q. So, are you familiar with what happens if
21

22 it is in disabled mode and someone uses it who is not
disabled?
23

24 A. Generally nothing very different happens,

25 not supposed to. Those machines are used frequently,

1 particularly when there are long lines. Those
2 machines are used frequently by voters in the standard mode.
3
4 Q. When you say, are used by voters in the standard mode, you mean a disabled voter?
5
6 A. No. I meant that while they do have the DREs with assistive devices, that they are not -- any
7
8 voter can, and they're not required to use the
9 assistive device.
10 Q. So, my question is, if -- and for a Georgia
11 machine it is set up for using an assistive device, but the person doesn't need it -- my question is, do
12
13 you know what happens on the machine? Do you know if it reveals anything or do you know what the machine
14
15 will show under those circumstances?
A. I don't think it shows if it is -- operates
16
17 as I believe it does -- I don't believe it shows
18 anything different than it does -- than a normal standard DRE setup does.
19
20 Q. Okay.
MR. BURWELL: Thank you.
21
22 THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
23
24 RE-DIRECT EXAMINATION
25 OF MARILYN MARKS

1

2 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Ms. Marks, if you would turn to Duncan 1, I
3

4 have a follow-up question for you.
A: I need a copy of it.
5

6 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, would you like my copy?
THE COURT: That's all right. I have it. But I
7

8 need to keep it in the stack.

9 BY MR. BROWN:

10 Q. Ms. Marks, your Tweet, which has been

11 identified as -- and introduced into evidence as
Duncan Number 1. In that you state, the state and
12

13 counties are opposing us at every turn in the court.
Do you see that?
14

15 A. I do.
Q. How has the Secretary opposed your efforts
16

17 in this matter?

18 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, objection to relevance.
Those have all been issues that have been raised to the
19

20 Court and by their very able attorney, Mr. Brown. He's
raised motions and you have denied each and every one of
21

22 those motions. And so --
THE COURT: Mr. Brown, why is that relevant to the
23

24 election contest we're trying right now? That's all we're

25 trying, is the election contest.

1 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, Mr. Lindsey opened the door
2 by introducing this into evidence in an effort to smear Ms. Marks and I'm --
3
4 THE COURT: He's not talking -- let's -- you know, I told you the other day about inflammatory language. He's
5
6 not trying to smear. He's trying to challenge her credibility.
7
8 MR. BROWN: Sure.
9 THE COURT: That's a whole -- that's a different
10 thing.
11 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. It was -- it was not my intention to smear Mr. Lindsey, I assure you.
12
13 THE COURT: I understand.
MR. BROWN: And -- thank you. I have no further
14
15 questions. Oh, well, I do. I'm sorry. If I may, Your Honor.
16
17 THE COURT: Go ahead.
18 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. HAVA. Do you know what HAVA is?
19
20 A. I do.
Q. And what does it stand for?
21
22 A. The Help America Vote Act.
Q. And are the -- is the examination of --
23
24 from Ms. Burwell, did that relate to that federal
25 law?

1 MR. LINDSAY: Your Honor, I'll object. That calls
2 for a legal conclusion.
3 MR. BROWN: Okay. Let me back up. I'll withdraw the
4 question.
5 THE COURT: [inaudible]. Sometimes it's just
6 rephrasing.
7 MR. BROWN: True. Thank you, Your Honor.
8 BY MR. BROWN:
9 Q. You -- is it your understanding, and this
10 is to follow up on the questions from Ms. Burwell --
11 is it your understanding that in some instances it is
12 required to use a DRE system that has the mechanisms
13 for assisting disabled voters?
14 MR. LINDSAY: Your Honor, I'll object again. I don't
15 think there's a foundation laid for this question and I
16 think we're back to a relevance objection again, as well,
17 that this is not relevant to the election contest.
18 THE COURT: I think -- and he's right. You've got
19 other experts coming in, so it's really neces- -- she's
20 not been qualified as an expert; she's not an expert. She
21 has a lot of information but she's not an expert. So I
22 think you need to wait for your experts for some of this.
23 MR. BROWN: That's fine, Your Honor. Thank you. I
24 have no further questions. Thank you, Ms. Marks.
25 THE COURT: You may go down. Next witness?

1 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, just to confirm, we are
2 excusing Ms. Marks -- is Ms. Marks excused so she can remain in the courtroom?
3
4 THE COURT: Yes. She is excused.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
5
6
[Thereupon, the testimony of the witness concluded at
7
8 10:25 a.m.]
9
10 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the plaintiffs would call
11 Sara LeClerc.
THE COURT: You'll have to go get her. We -- we only
12
13 see to have one deputy so you'll have to get her, or have someone go get her.
14
15 MR. BROWN: Please raise your right hand. Do you promise to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but
16
17 the truth?
18 THE WITNESS: I do.
19
20 Thereupon:
21
22 SARA LECLERC
23
24 was called as a witness by the Petitioner; and,
25 having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

1

2 DIRECT EXAMINATION
OF SARA LECLERC

3

4 BY MR. BROWN:

5

6 Q. Please state your full name for the record.
A. Sara M. LeClerc.

7

8 Q. Please have a seat. Okay. Could you spell

9 your last name for the court reporter, please?

10 A. Absolutely. It's L-E- capital C-L-E-R-C.

11 Q. Is it LeClerc; is that correct?
A. It's LeClerc, but --

12

13 Q. LeClerc.
A. -- [inaudible] doesn't matter.

14

15 Q. Ms. LeClerc, by whom are you currently
employed?

16

17 A. I work for myself. I'm an attorney and I -

18 -
THE COURT: I'm sorry.

19

20 THE WITNESS: I just work for myself. And I'm an
attorney and I -- so I work on a contract basis for other

21

22 firms.
BY MR. BROWN:

23

24 Q. And where did you go to law school?

25 A. The University of Virginia.

1 Q. Okay. And when did you graduate?
2 A. 2007.
3 Q. And did there come a time that you
4 participated in the 2018 elections in any way?
5 A. Yes.
6 Q. And what was your role?
7 A. Well, my first role I would say other --
8 well, other than actually in the election as a
9 citizen, I volunteered to observe, be a poll watcher,
10 a poll observer, so.
11 Q. And with whom did you volunteer? Was it an
12 organization that --
13 A. Yeah. I went to a training with the
14 Georgia Democrats.
15 Q. And did you end up observing any election?
16 A. Yes, I did.
17 Q. And where did you -- where were you?
18 A. Well, I did some early voting observations,
19 as well as Election Day, and then also the runoff
20 Election Day in December. So I was at different
21 locations for each of those days.
22 Q. And on November 7th, where were you
23 located?
24 A. It was November 6th.
25 Q. November 6th, I'm sorry.

1 A. And November 6th I was at Allen Temple AME
2 Church.
THE COURT: Which one?
3
4 A. Allen Temple AME. It's on Joseph Boone
Boulevard in Atlanta.
5
6 Q. And in the course of being an observer, do
you take contemporaneous notes of what you are
7
8 observing?
9 A. Yes. So, if something happens, it's not
10 just a perfectly smooth process, then I use my iPhone
11 and I have an app at the direction of to an LBJ
reporting tool. So I took notes directly to the
12
13 iPhone and website.
Q. And what does LBJ stand for, if you know?
14
15 In this instance.
A. Probably Lyndon Baines Johnson.
16
17 Q. Okay.
18 A. I believe it was named after him, given
[inaudible].
19
20 Q. Okay. And did you, in fact, enter your
notes and observations when you were at the AME
21
22 location on November 6th?
A. Yeah, I did; well, multiple times
23
24 throughout that day.
25 MR. BROWN: And I'm going to hand you a -- an Exhibit

1 and let me -- if I may explain this to counsel.

2 [Thereupon, the referred-to document was entered into

3 evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 2]

5

6 MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible].
MR. BROWN: Understood. Put it on the record while I

7 hand it to you because it's hard to read.

9 MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible] with my glasses.

10 BY MR. BROWN:

11 Q. And what I've handed to counsel is a large-
print version for Mr. Lindsey, like the books you get

12 from Amazon, and then the actual Excel spreadsheet,
which is too small even for me to read, and you could

14 just see that these blocks were copied onto this.
MR. LINDSEY: I understand. Which one do I get?

16 Both of these?

18 MR. BROWN: This is what I'm using as evidence.
MR. LINDSEY: Okay. Do I have a copy of that?

19 MR. BROWN: And you have that so you can verify it.
MR. LINDSEY: For the record, Your Honor, I had the

21 Lasix surgery. I can still read this.
THE COURT: This one? This is P-2?

23 MR. BROWN: This is P-2, Your Honor.

25 BY MR. BROWN:

1 Q. Now, Ms. LeClerc, the notes that you take
2 on the LBJ system appear on what looks like an Excel spreadsheet; is that correct? And that is not what's
3
4 in front of you. That's what I handed to Mr. Lindsey.
5
6 A. I have the large print of what you said is the Excel spreadsheet.
7
8 Q. And does that appear to be a large-print
9 version of the very small print on the Excel
10 spreadsheet?
11 A. Yes.
Q. And does the Excel spreadsheet have a true
12
13 and correct recording of the notes that you took on the LBJ system as you were observing things in the
14
15 AME voting location?
A. Yes. All of the notes that start with Sara
16
17 LeClerc, that's -- those notes are all on, yes.
18 Q. And if it's someone else -- excuse me. And if it's someone else, their name would appear, like,
19
20 Benjamin Thorpe [ph]; correct?
A. Right. There were maybe one or two notes
21
22 by a different person and their name appears next to those notes.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would like to introduce
25 Defendant's 2 into the record. I mean, sorry. P-2 into

1 the record.

2 MR. LINDSEY: If I understand it, these are contemporaneous notes that are from your observations; is

3

4 that correct?
THE WITNESS: Yes.

5

6 MR. LINDSEY: Okay. No objection.
THE COURT: [inaudible]. Yeah. Thank you.

7

8 BY MR. BROWN:

9 Q. Now, Ms. LeClerc, the way this prints out,

10 where do we start?

11 A. You actually start at the back, so these are my first pages and my last.

12

13 Q. Okay.
A. [inaudible].

14

15 Q. And let's just walk through your notes and I'll ask you some questions on what you were

16

17 observing. Looking at page four --

18 A. If I can clarify that.
Q. Sure.

19

20 A. Actually these are by incidence --
Q. Okay.

21

22 A. -- so the first incident actually starts at the bottom of page three.

23

24 Q. Okay. And what was that incident that you

25 observed?

1 A. There was an issue where the Express
2 machines were to pick up their ballots. The number that was on the machines -- well, there -- there were
3
4 two machines at this location. So, they -- the two poll workers were comparing the machines and noticed
5
6 that one machine was a couple numbers different from the other machine's count. So they seemed to be out
7
8 of sync and having discrepancies.
9 Q. And was that issue resolved?
10 A. Yes. That did get resolved. The poll
11 manager called in for a technical help but was told that it would self-correct in time and it did self-
12
13 correct [inaudible].
Q. And then what was the next incident?
14
15 A. The next incident that I started taking was that just one of the voters who came in had --
16
17 started ac- -- oh, I'm sorry.
18 Q. Could you -- I was going to ask you to refer to your notes, so maybe we could follow along
19
20 with your observations. Was this the senior voter?
A. Yes.
21
22 Q. Okay. Turn with me to the bottom of page two.
23
24 A. Yes.
25 Q. Are those your notes relating to that

1 senior voter?

2 A. Yes.
Q. And just go ahead and explain without
3

4 looking at this what you recall. That's fine.
A. So, I noticed that -- well, a voter came in
5

6 to check in, got their ballot. She was a rather
elderly lady walking on a cane, very kind, and she
7

8 went to the machine to vote. At that point, nothing

9 out of the ordinary had happened, but she started

10 turning around and asking for some help with the

11 machine.
So, we pulled to help her, to assist her. And
12

13 the two of them were at the machine for a brief time.
I think the manager left and the voter continued
14

15 voting. Then she called the manager back again and
the manager went back to assist. And so the two of
16

17 them were at the machine together for a little while

18 and appear to me ordinary but the manager is allowed
to assist the voter if the voter asks for help.
19

20 It lasted for a little while longer than I would
have anticipated and at the end of that, the voter
21

22 went down -- went over to some chairs to sit and
wait, and I noticed that the manager started shutting
23

24 that DRE machine down, closing it up, and so that was

25 unusual to me and I wanted to figure out what was

1 going on. Why was that machine getting closed? Was
2 there a problem?
So at first I was just observing. I didn't want
3
4 to get in the way of whatever the manager was doing.
And I went over to talk to the voter. She was
5
6 actually just sitting and waiting for her ride so
that she could get back to her home. So I went up to
7
8 her and asked her if everything okay. Was she able
9 to vote? And --
10 MR. LINDSEY: And, Your Honor, I'll object. Ms.
11 LeClerc's been asked [inaudible] what the voter said to be
hearsay.
12
13 THE COURT: [inaudible]. She asked her, fine. Go
ahead, what's next?
14
15 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Did you have a conversation with the voter?
16
17 A. I had a conversation with the voter.
18 Q. And based upon that conversation, did you
have an understanding of what was happening?
19
20 THE COURT: No. Understanding what's happening is
hearsay.
21
22 MR. BROWN: Okay.
THE COURT: Did you did anything as a result of it?
23
24 BY MR. BROWN:
25 Q. What did you do in response to receiving

1 the information from the voter?

2 A. So, I waited for the manager to finish up what she was doing with the machine and then

3

4 approached her to ask what happened, what -- why was the machine had been closed, what was going on.

5

6 Q. And what did the manager tell you?
MR. LINDSEY: Again, I'll object, on hearsay.

7

8 MR. BROWN: That is an admission. The manager is

9 employed by the defendants. That's an admission.

10 THE COURT: Well, does Fulton County -- does Fulton

11 County have anything to say about that?
MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible].

12

13 MS. BURWELL: Well, Your Honor, the -- it is true that the poll manager would be employed by Fulton County,

14

15 but I don't believe that the poll manager is in a position to bind the County.

16

17 THE COURT: I -- I -- I agree with that, but I'm

18 going to let the witness say what she said.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

19

20 THE WITNESS: So, the poll manager told me that she needed to close the machine because that machine had self-

21

22 cast the voter's ballot before the voter had finished voting. And the manager told me that she was assisting

23

24 the voter on the review screen. So after you make your

25 selection to get the review screen.

1 And the manager noticed that the -- there was no
2 selection made for the race for lieutenant governor and for one other race, which the manager didn't name to me.
3
4 And so she had asked the voter -- the voter intended to vote in those races. The voter said, yes --
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: That would be an objection, Your Honor. That's what the voter said.
7
8 THE COURT: That's hearsay at this point.
9 MR. LINDSEY: Yes.
10 THE COURT: But as a result of that -- I'm going to
11 let it go ahead on this one.
MR. BROWN: Okay.
12
13 THE COURT: Uh --
MR. BROWN: Go ahead, Ms. LeClerc.
14
15 THE WITNESS: So, the manager pointed to where the lieutenant governor race was, and the voter put her finger
16
17 on the area for the lieutenant governor race selection so
18 she could vote for the lieutenant governor race. And instead -- which is nowhere near the area for submit
19
20 ballot, but when she touched lieutenant governor, the machine said, your ballot has been submitted and there was
21
22 nothing they could do at that point.
So, it just self-cast before the voter could actually
23
24 make her selection on the lieutenant governor or the other
25 race.

1 BY MR. BROWN:

2 Q. Did you observe whether the poll officials took that machine out of service at that time?

3

4 A. Yes. The manager did take it out of service immediately.

5

6 Q. And then was that machine put back in service?

7

8 A. It was put back in service later in the

9 day. Yes.

10 THE COURT: Let's -- let's take a morning break, take

11 a 10-minute break.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

12

13
[Off the record at 10:25 a.m., and back on the record

14

15 at 10:37 a.m.]

16

17 THE COURT:

18
CONTINUATION DIRECT EXAMINATION

19

20 OF SARA LECLERC

21

22 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Ms. LeClerc, returning to your testimony

23

24 about your observations at the AME Church, did you

25 take any photographs of the poll tapes when you were

1 there?

2 A. Yes. I took photographs of the tops of the poll tapes that were able to print.

3

4 Q. And did you have the opportunity to observe any issues with the electronic polling?

5

6 A. Yes. And --
Q. And what did you see? What did you

7

8 observe?

9 A. So as far as the poll tapes were concerned

10 it's -- was my understanding that he receives at the

11 end of the night they print out a tape giving a record of whatever votes have been cast or at least a

12

13 breakdown by races, by each race.
At this location there were five DRE machines,

14

15 but this instance one of the machines would not print at all and the manager and workers tried many

16

17 different ways to try to get it to print. They

18 called the technical support, but they were never able to successfully from the fifth machine. So I

19

20 was not able to observe the tape of that fifth machine.

21

22 Q. Ms. LeClerc, what was the manager's reaction, if any, to the difficulties that you

23

24 described that the senior voter experienced?

25 A. To me she appeared very alarmed by what had

1 happened. When I spoke with her, she -- her tone of
2 voice was just kind of frantic and her -- you know,
3 she just with her arms and she couldn't believe that
4 the vote had cast because the voter's finger was
5 nowhere near the area for the submit ballot. So she
6 was just -- just incredulous to me.
7 Q. Did she indicate to you whether she was
8 going to file some sort of complaint with the
9 Secretary of State?
10 A. She did at one point that day. She said
11 she was going to -- she planned to file some
12 complaints because there were multiple technical
13 issues that day that they experienced.
14 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, that is the last question I
15 have for Ms. LeClerc. I have misplaced the photographs
16 that I was going to have tendered to her and I will --
17 THE COURT: [inaudible] to put them in, [inaudible].
18 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
19 THE COURT: [inaudible].
20 MR. BROWN: Oh, okay.
21 MS. LECLERC: May -- I'm sorry; may I make --
22 THE COURT: No. You can't say anything else.
23
24 CROSS-EXAMINATION
25 OF SARA LECLERC

1

2 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. Ms. LeClerc, your primary role that day was
3

4 to try to tabulate the votes that were being cast
from Ms. Abrams, correct --
5

6 A. Uh --
Q. -- and report back to the Democratic Party;
7

8 would that be fair?

9 A. No. No. That would not be fair.

10 Q. Well, that's what you did, though, didn't

11 you?
A. That was one component of what I did but it
12

13 was not about Ms. Abrams. It was just about
reporting total numbers --
14

15 Q. Oh, okay.
A. -- of votes cast, not by race or anything
16

17 like that. It wouldn't -- [inaudible] like that.

18 Q. I'm sorry. No problem. What I'm saying --
so some -- I want to make sure I understand. Your
19

20 job was to try to report back to the Democratic Party
the total votes that were cast from that precinct;
21

22 correct?
A. That was one of the [inaudible].
23

24 Q. Okay. Thank you.

25 A. [inaudible].

1 Q. And you reported what you understood to be
2 the total number of votes that were cast in that precinct; correct?
3
4 A. Yes, I did.
5 Q. Okay. Were you ever informed that there
6 was any kind of discrepancy with what was ultimately reported to the Secretary of State's office about the
7
8 total number of votes that were cast in -- from that
9 precinct?
10 A. I don't know what was reported to the
11 Secretary of State --
12 Q. Okay.
13 A. -- I just know what the poll workers and managers told me and what was printed on the --
14
15 Q. Okay.
16 A. -- four out of five tapes that printed.
17 Q. Okay. And you reported that -- my question
18 is, did you ever receive back word from the Democratic Party that they was a discrepancy?
19
20 A. No.
21 Q. Okay. Thank you. In regards to this
22 elderly worker from this report that you did, the elderly worker thought -- rather the elderly voter
23
24 thought that her vote had been properly cast;
25 correct?

1 A. She thought it had been submitted; that her
2 ballot had been submitted.
3 Q. Yes. And she didn't have any objections,
4 correct, when you talked to her?
5 A. No.
6 Q. Let me -- I think I've asked in the form of
7 a negative. Let me clean it up. My momma told me
8 never to do that. Did this voter ever report to you
9 any complaints about whether her vote had been
10 properly recorded?
11 A. No.
12 Q. Okay.
13
14 CROSS-EXAMINATION
15 OF SARA LECLERC
16
17 BY MR. TYSON:
18 Q. Good morning, Ms. LeClerc. My name's Bryan
19 Tyson with the Gwinnett Board of Elections. I just
20 wanted to ask you briefly. You indicated you were a
21 poll watcher, but later you said you were observing.
22 Were you a registered poll watcher or were you still
23 an observer?
24 A. Yes. I was a -- I was officially appointed
25 as a, I'm not sure if it's called poll watcher or

1 poll observer. But yes, I got a credential so that I
2 could be there and observe.
Q. And that was by the Democratic Party;
3
4 correct?
A. Yes.
5
6 Q. Okay. And regarding the voter that you
testified about, do you know what her name was?
7
8 A. I do not.
9 Q. Okay.
10 MR. TYSON: Thank you.
11
CROSS-EXAMINATION
12
13 OF SARA LECLERC
14
15 BY MS. BURWELL:
Q. Ms. LeClerc, the Exhibit 2 that had your
16
17 notes?
18 A. Yes.
Q. Does that include the incidents -- all the
19
20 incidents you testified about today?
A. Yes. Everything I talked about today,
21
22 those incidents are in the notes.
Q. Okay. Is there anything that's not in your
23
24 notes that you found -- excuse me?
25 A. Yes -- I mean, of course there -- I had

1 many observations that I didn't put in my notes, yes.

2 Q. But the things that you thought were important were the things that you put in the notes

3

4 that are Exhibit 2?

A. That's right.

5

6 Q. Okay. Thank you.

MR. BROWN: I don't have any further questions, Your

7

8 Honor.

9 THE COURT: Okay. You may go back to your seat.

10 Thank you. Next witness?

11

[Thereupon, the testimony of the witness concluded at

12

13 _ a.m.]

14

15 MR. BROWN: The plaintiffs would call plaintiff Jeanne Dufort.

16

17 Please raise your right hand. Do you promise to tell

18 the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?

THE WITNESS: I do.

19

20 MR. BROWN: Please have a seat.

21

22 Thereupon:

23

24 JEANNE DUFORT

25

1 was called as a witness by the Petitioner; and,
2 having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
3
4 DIRECT EXAMINATION
OF JEANNE DUFORT
5
6
BY MR. BROWN:
7
8 Q. Please have a seat so we can hear you
9 through the microphone. Please state your full name
10 for the record.
11 A. My name is Jeanne Dufort.
Q. Ms. Dufort, are you a plaintiff in this
12
13 election?
A. I am.
14
15 Q. In this litigation? Where are you from?
A. I live in Madison, Georgia, in Morgan
16
17 County.
18 Q. Are you a registered elector of the State
of Georgia?
19
20 A. I am.
Q. Are you a resident of Morgan County?
21
22 A. I am.
Q. And were you entitled to vote for either
23
24 Mr. Duncan or Ms. Amico in the contested election?
25 A. Yes.

1 Q. Mr. Dufort, what interest, just generally
2 and be very brief, but just to introduce yourself to the Court. What is your interest generally in
3
4 political activities and the election activities?
A. So, when I turned 18, I did two things. I
5
6 registered to vote and I registered to donate funds. And I've been doing both ever since. Gave --
7
8 THE COURT: [inaudible]
9 THE WITNESS: -- because I can do that, right? And
10 both of them --
11 THE COURT: It wasn't in the context. Go ahead.
THE WITNESS: Both of them lifelong habits, just
12
13 depends. Thanks to Sister Aletha, my high school civics teacher, I became very interested in American
14
15 political science and I was a major in that at the University of Chicago, which also while I was there as a
16
17 student was the first time, I was a poll watcher. So I
18 would say it's a lifelong habit of mine to be passionate about moving and about the wonderful and amazing system we
19
20 have of self-governance in the United States.
BY MR. BROWN:
21
22 Q. Ms. Dufort, have you been a poll watcher in Georgia?
23
24 A. Yes. Most recently in the 2018 general
25 election I was a registered poll watcher.

1 Q. Thank you.

2 MR. BROWN: I don't have any further questions.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I have four pages of
3

4 detailed cross-examination [inaudible].
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
5

6 MR. LINDSEY: Just have to thank you for being a poll
watcher and I apologize to the young woman for not
7

8 thanking her for being a poll watcher as well. That's

9 hard work, all.

10 THE COURT: I assume you're just trying to establish

11 qualifications as planned.
MR. BROWN: That's correct.
12

13 THE COURT: Okay.
MALE: [inaudible]
14

15 MS. BURWELL: Nothing for Fulton County.
THE COURT: Okay.
16

17 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

18
[Thereupon, the testimony of the witness concluded at
19

20 11:41 a.m.]

21

22 MR. BROWN: As long as you stipulate that they are
voters and they voted in the election. That's all
23

24 [inaudible].

25 We're going to enter into a stipulation, Your Honor,

1 to accelerate this and that is that the plaintiffs are
2 registered voters, that they were entitled to vote in the November 6th election for the contested election and that
3
4 would satisfy -- it would eliminate the need to call the other -- thank you, Your Honor.
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: No objection
THE COURT: And does Gwinnett agree with that --
7
8 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
9 THE COURT: Fulton agree with that?
10 MR. BROWN: Thank you.
11 THE COURT: Yeah. They have to be. I understand.
MR. BROWN: Just a short break, Your Honor.
12
13 THE COURT: Okay. Can we go forward, please?
MR. BROWN: The plaintiffs will call Chris Brill and
14
15 we're going to get him now.
THE COURT: Yeah. [inaudible] go do that.
16
17 [inaudible].
18 MR. BROWN: Please raise your right hand. Do you promise to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but
19
20 the truth?
THE WITNESS: I do.
21
22 MR. BROWN: Please have a seat.
23
24 Thereupon:
25

1 CHRISTOPHER BRILL

2 was called as a witness by the Petitioner; and,

3 having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

4

5

6 DIRECT EXAMINATION
OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL

7

8

9 BY MR. BROWN:

10 Q. Please state your name for the record.

11 A. Christopher Brill.

12 Q. And Mr. Brill, by whom are you currently employed?

13 A. A company called TargetSmart. TargetSmart.

14 Q. And have you been engaged by the plaintiffs to give expert advice in this matter?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. I would like to go through your background a little bit and your experience, if I may. Where did you graduate from college?

17 A. University of New Mexico.

18 Q. And what did you study?

19 A. Political science.

20 Q. And what have you been doing since graduating from University of New Mexico?

21

22

23

24

25

1 A. I'm working mostly with political
2 consulting, so working with political campaigns, nonprofits, activist organizations on electoral
3
4 strategies and how to reach hard-to-reach electorates.
5
6 Q. And have you -- you've at TargetSmart since graduating?
7
8 A. No, I have not. Just for the past six
9 years.
10 Q. Okay. And to get a little better idea of
11 your actual work experience, describe the business of TargetSmart.
12
13 A. So, TargetSmart puts together -- our core business is putting together databases, such as
14
15 individual voter -- voter-level databases using the statewide voter files, election results, other types
16
17 of publicly available information, consumer data, to
18 try to build advice and help clients determine how to target voters, how to reach voters, and how to engage
19
20 in local campaigns. So that's kind of our main business focus.
21
22 Q. And describe who your clients would be or the different categories of clients.
23
24 A. So, clients could be (C) -- you know (C)(3)
25 or (C)(4) organizations so that our nonprofits or

1 other organizations. They could political committees
2 such as national party committees. They could also
be other nonprofits. So kind of a range of -- from
3
4 nonprofits to political campaigns and the like.
Q. And describe, if you will, a -- the kind of
5
6 work that you would do for a nonprofit or a political
party, let's say, or political organization, and go
7
8 through exactly what you, Chris Brill, would do if
9 you could.
10 A. Yes. So if a political party or candidate,
11 you know, approached us, usually it's about they want
to study the election they're about to run in and,
12
13 you know, how they want -- how to go about trying to
get to essentially a win. So we go in and -- myself.
14
15 I'll analyze the election.
I will see what happened the past, how many
16
17 votes were cast in the past; you know, how different
18 candidates perform and then we usually try to -- we
call it election math, essentially, so we try to, you
19
20 know, game out, okay, this is what's happened before,
this is what we think is likely to happen in this
21
22 next election, and so let's develop a strategy to
kind of get you potentially to win.
23
24 So we use the voter file. We use election
25 results. We use other types of modeling and other

1 strategies to try to help them be as efficient as
2 they can with the resources usually limited and how they can go about trying to be successful, and so on.
3
4 Q. Mr. Brill, do you have any experience evaluating the voting trends among various
5
6 demographics?
A. Yes.
7
8 Q. And do you have -- describe that
9 experience.
10 A. Yes. So generally it usually breaks down.
11 We look at individual -- different individual demographics and trends. So, for instance, we'll
12
13 look at everything from a voter's age to race, gender, ethnicity. We use polling to try to tease
14
15 out, you know, where our voters kind of coming down on an issue or candidate based on those demographics.
16
17 We'll also use the geographic information that's
18 available so, you know, whatever comes in from the census. There's also election results and try to
19
20 package all that together into a strategy that they could use to try and contact these specific voters in
21
22 certain areas. And again, it's really about trying to find these deficiencies because there's a lot of
23
24 voters and trying to figure out which ones you want
25 to try to engage with and how to engage with them.

1 Q. And I take it in your experience you have
2 experience analyzing voting trends; correct?
A. Yes.
3
4 Q. Do you have experience -- have you ever
been an expert witness before?
5
6 A. I have not, no.
Q. Okay. Have you -- do you have experience
7
8 in evaluating voting participation?
9 A. Yes.
10 Q. Okay. And what is that experience?
11 A. Generally, that will -- we'll look at, you
know, past turnout trends. So we'll see, you know,
12
13 what does turnout look like in previous elections.
We will look at things like drop-off, especially for
14
15 our clients that are down-ticket to try to determine
-- you know, how to mitigate drop-off if you can, and
16
17 we'll work with, you know, trying to, you know, use
18 again, the voter file, kind of geographic data to
kind of craft -- you know, look at the trends that
19
20 especially, you know, demographically is also
politically to try to see, you know, how leverage
21
22 those trends to be, again, successful in their
campaign and for everyone.
23
24 Q. Let me take one piece of that and ask you
25 another question on it. Did you say that you might

1 be engaged by a down-ballot candidate?

2 A. Yes.
Q. And is one of the concerns they would have
3

4 being under-voting? Is that -- might be a concern?
A. Correct. Yes.
5

6 Q. Okay. And would your work involve
evaluating why people don't vote for a particular
7

8 race if they are voting at all?

9 A. Correct. Yes.

10 Q. Okay.

11 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would like to tender Mr.
Brill as an expert witness on voting trends and on reasons
12

13 for under-voting and for his evaluation of specific
results of the 2018 election.
14

15 MR. TYSON: I'd like to ask more questions of Mr.
Brill, if I may.
16

17 THE COURT: Yes. Go ahead.

18 MR. TYSON: Good morning, Mr. Brill. My name is
Bryan Tyson. I represent the Gwinnett County Board of
19

20 Registrations and Elections. I just had a couple of
questions for you.
21

22

CROSS-EXAMINATION
23

24 OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL

25

1 BY MR. TYSON:

2 Q. You were explaining earlier to Mr. Brown about your role with voting trends and those kinds of

3 things. Have you ever had any study or done any analysis of ballot design?

5 A. Ballot design? No.

6 Q. Have you had any experience in election

7 administration in terms of you personally helping

8 administer an election?

9 A. No.

10 Q. Do you have any knowledge of DRE machines in Georgia or how they function technically?

11 A. No.

12 Q. Okay. So it's correct, isn't it, you have

13 no firsthand knowledge of any DRE machine in the state of Georgia that was used in the 2018 general

14 election; correct?

15 A. Correct.

16 Q. Okay.

17 MR. TYSON: All right, Your Honor, at this time we would -- Gwinnett County would object mostly on those

18 questions I'd asked first.

19 THE COURT: Anybody else got any questions?

20 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, we object to Mr. Brill's --

21 THE COURT: No, I understand that. Let me do --

1 MR. TYSON: Yes. I'm sorry.

2 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, just a couple questions.

3

4 CROSS-EXAMINATION
OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL

5

6 BY MR. LINDSEY:

7

8 Q. You've never worked on a campaign in

9 Georgia; is that correct?

10 A. Like a candidate campaign?

11 Q. Yeah.
A. No.

12

13 Q. Okay.
MR. LINDSEY: No further questions.

14

15 MS. BURWELL: I have no questions.
THE COURT: Okay.

16

17 MS. BURWELL: Okay.

18 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, we do object to Mr. Brill's
admission as an expert on this case. First of all, on the

19

20 issue of voting trends, that has nothing to -- under
702(b) facts and issues of the case; voting trends are not

21

22 an issue in this case.
As to -- in terms of the reasons for the under-vote,

23

24 Mr. Brill just testified that he has no experience in

25 terms of ballot design, election administration, what's

1 going on with the DREs; and as to the specific results of
2 this particular under-vote we would, on the same issue, that he has no experience that's relevant to the Court to
3
4 determine the issue of this particular case.
THE COURT: Anyone else at this time? Nope? Okay.
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: We would join in the objection, Your Honor.
7
8 MR. BROWN: Yeah, Your Honor, the argument that Mr.
9 Tyson made was not about what he's being tendered as an
10 expert in. In that end, I have established that he does
11 have expertise in what he's going to testify about. And it is abundantly clear under Georgia law that he should be
12
13 qualified as a witness to both discuss the actual election results and what possible reasons for the under-vote there
14
15 might be. And it may go to wait, but it certainly does not go to admissibility. Thank you, Your Honor.
16
17 THE COURT: I'm not going to qualify him as an
18 expert. I don't think that's an area of expertise. And I'm having trouble with that. He's going to say why the
19
20 numbers were this way and what could have happened. An expert usually says what has happened, and I'm not going
21
22 to let you qualify him as an expert. You can ask him questions and see where we go.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Sure. I'm going to ask you some
25 questions about what has happened.

1

2 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL

3

4 BY MR. BROWN:

5

6 Q. You with me, Mr. Brill?
A. Yes.

7

8 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, this is a borderline issue
9 and it may be rearguing and if it is, I apologize, but I -
10 - this is an important witness to us and an important line
11 of inquiry.
We would be asking Mr. Brill to explain possible
12
13 causes for under-voting and the point of that testimony is
to rebut the -- is to address the issues of why other than
14
15 machine malfunction there might be for an under-vote. And
he has testified that he advises clients on that issue and
16
17 that that is something that he is knowledgeable about.
18 THE COURT: Years ago I was trying a case in front of
old Judge [inaudible], he was quite a character, and me
19
20 and the DA -- I was defending -- me and the DA were going
at it and he goes, doesn't a judge get time to think?
21
22 Give me time to think about this one a little bit.
Let me -- and make sure I understand you. He wants to put
23
24 up a bunch of ideas about why there was an under-vote.
25 And you think that's an expertise?

1 MR. BROWN: Based upon his experience and his
2 knowledge about what to address, the possible areas of under-voting, of why it would be under-voting and why it
3
4 might not be based upon his knowledge and experience.
THE COURT: Well, I don't see that he has any
5
6 expertise. I'm having trouble with that. You know, he's done some things and he's got some ideas; that doesn't
7
8 make him an expert. He doesn't have any training; he
9 doesn't have any background; he doesn't have -- I'll let
10 you ask the questions and we'll see where we go.
11 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Uh-huh.
12
13 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Did you review the results of the 2018
14
15 election in Georgia?
A. Yes.
16
17 Q. And describe for the Court where you looked
18 at and what you reviewed.
A. Yeah. So I examined the totals that were
19
20 cast for eight ticket races that were on the ballot from governor down to I believe commissioner of
21
22 labor. And then I examined the under-vote that took place for each of those races to try to see, you
23
24 know, what that trend looked like and that's when I
25 first saw, you know, the outlier and the anomaly that

1 was the lieutenant governor's vote totals.

2 So what my analysis kind of focused on was trying to figure out exactly what -- where that

3

4 under-vote came from, what counties, why -- you know, why vote the way it did and what kind of reasons, you

5

6 know, that could possibly, you know, entail for it.
Q. And the information that you received came

7

8 from the Secretary of State's databases; is that

9 right?

10 A. Correct. Yes.

11 Q. And in the course of your work, do you sometimes also review data from other states?

12

13 A. Correct. Uh-huh.
Q. And do you also review historical data,

14

15 like say, from Georgia?
A. Yes.

16

17 Q. And did you look at the Secretary of

18 State's information in Georgia on older Georgia races?

19

20 A. Yes.
Q. And did you compare the voting trends and

21

22 patterns between this race and prior Georgia races in other states, lieutenant governor races?

23

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. And is that the kind of work that you do

1 for a living also for your clients?
2 A. Yes.
3 Q. And does that require you to look at
4 various databases and to sift a lot of different information in a systematic way?
5 A. Yes.
6 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, Mr. Brown is leading the
7 witness on a lot of these points and he's testifying. I
8 think he needs to ask questions that are directly
9 addressing the witness.
10 BY MR. BROWN:
11 Q. Mr. Brill, in your experience, have you
12 developed an expertise or understanding of reasons for under-voting?
13 A. Yes.
14 Q. And without disclosing the reasons for
15 under-voting can you testify as to how you gained
16 that experience and expertise?
17 A. By examining thousands of elections across
18 all 50 states.
19 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would like to retender Mr.
20 Brill as an expert on under-voting and the particular reasons for under-voting, and how his understanding of
21 under-voting relates to what he's observed in the results
22 which are in evidence of the Georgia election.

1 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, we'll renew our objection on
2 this point as to Mr. Brill; that he certainly could have looked at a lot of numbers, but numbers alone are not
3
4 going to tell you why something is happening and I think that's what Mr. Brown is trying to get at as to Mr.
5
6 Brill's opinion about why and he has not shown Mr. Brill has expertise from just looking at various numbers on that
7
8 point.
9 MR. LINDSEY: I'll also add to that objection, Your
10 Honor. There's been no testimony whatsoever that this
11 individual has any intimate knowledge regarding the details of this particular race that took place;
12
13 therefore, he would not be qualified to be a --
THE COURT: I'm not going to qualify him as an
14
15 expert. I mean, the reasons why, I mean, there are some pretty obvious simple statements in any race, but I have
16
17 trouble with reasons why. You can testify to numbers and
18 all that kind of thing. I don't see -- reasons why, this isn't going. Next question.
19
20 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: P-3?
21
22 MR. BROWN: Yes.
BY MR. BROWN:
23
24 Q. Mr. Brill, is P-3 your affidavit?
25 A. I'm sorry?

1 Q. Is P-3 your --

2 MR. BROWN: Well, Plaintiff's Exhibit 3 your affidavit?

3

4 [Thereupon, the referred-to document was entered into

5 evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 3]

6

7

8 THE WITNESS: Oh, I -- yes.

9 BY MR. BROWN:

10 Q. And without disclosing any contents yet --

11 MR. TYSON: Counsel, could I get a copy?
MR. BROWN: Oh, I'm very sorry. Sorry about that.

12

13 THE COURT: Let me cycle the record to everybody. Various people made proffers of evidence; I did not look

14

15 at any of them. Everything should come in the courtroom.
BY MR. BROWN:

16

17 Q. Mr. Brill, without disclosing the contents

18 of it yet, at the plaintiff's request you prepared a report on the analysis of the 2018 Georgia lieutenant

19

20 governor under-vote; correct?
A. Correct.

21

22 Q. And in the course of that you observed certain numbers that came from the Secretary of

23

24 State; correct?

25 A. Correct.

1 Q. And did you analyze those -- well, did you
2 present those numbers on page two of your report to the Coalition?
3
4 A. Yes. I did.
5 Q. Okay. Let me refer you to Table 1, which
6 is entitled, Total 2018 Under-Vote by Office; do you see that?
7
8 A. Yes.
9 Q. Does that -- is that table an accurate
10 report of information from the Secretary of State's
11 website?
12 A. Yes.
13 Q. And it shows -- and just explain in terms of your terminology these columns. The first column
14
15 is the name of the race; correct?
16 A. Correct.
17 Q. The second one is the total number of votes
18 that that race attracted; correct?
19 A. Correct.
20 Q. And the third is what you call the under-vote; is that right?
21
22 A. Yes.
23 Q. And here -- the way you do it, the under-
24 vote is a comparison between the particular race and
25 the governor's race; is that --

1 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, if I could object. Mr.
2 Brill can testify to what these terms mean instead of Mr. Brown explaining those and he's the leading the witness
3
4 again on that.
MR. BROWN: Sure. I'll withdraw the question. I'm
5
6 just trying to speed it up, Your Honor.
BY MR. BROWN:
7
8 Q. Mr. Brill, what is the under-vote -- what
9 is the calculation for the under-vote?
10 A. I calculated it by comparing the total that
11 was cast for the governor's election and comparing that against the total that was cast for every other
12
13 election [inaudible].
Q. Is the under-vote different than the under-
14
15 vote of the total number of people who voted?
A. It would be, yes. Yes.
16
17 Q. Is that because some people don't even vote
18 for a governor?
A. Correct.
19
20 Q. Okay. Do you have an understanding of how many, just in general terms, people in this election
21
22 did not vote for governor?
A. I would have to double check, but I think
23
24 it was something along the lines of 10 to 15,000,
25 maybe. I don't have an exact number in front of me.

1 Q. Fair to say it would be a small portion of
2 even 1 percent?
A. It's a fraction of a percent.
3
4 Q. And then in the last column it says,
dropout versus governor; do you see that?
5
6 A. Yes.
Q. And that would reflect the percent -- what
7
8 does that -- what is that calculation?
9 A. So that calculation again is just taking
10 the under-vote and -- as the percentage of the total
11 cast for governor. So, example, lieutenant governor,
the under-vote was about 4 percent lower than the
12
13 total that was cast for governor; and then secretary
of state, 1.4 percent and so forth. It's just taking
14
15 the under-vote number there and dividing it by the
total votes cast for governor.
16
17 Q. Let me direct your -- you testified earlier
18 that you reviewed data on the Secretary of State's
website relating to prior elections in Georgia; is
19
20 that correct?
A. Yes.
21
22 Q. And are those presented in Table 2?
A. Yes, they are.
23
24 Q. And if you would just describe to the Court
25 what those rows and columns show.

1 A. Yes. So, it's again the same calculation
2 as in Table 1, except looking at elections from 2002 to 2018, and so essentially in calculating that
3
4 under-vote percentage for each of those races across election -- across each election cycle up to 2018.
5
6 Q. And which has the highest under-vote total?
A. The 2018 lieutenant governor's race.
7
8 Q. And looking at the four prior races, have
9 you calculate the average under-vote for the
10 lieutenant governor's race for those four years?
11 A. Yes. It was around 0.8 percent.
Q. And can you do in your head -- doing the
12
13 math it would be necessary to determine what the under-vote number would be if the historical trend of
14
15 .8 were applied today?
A. If you have a percentage, the under-vote
16
17 would be around 31,532 -- thousand votes on under-
18 vote assumption.
THE COURT: Say that again. Someone coughed.
19
20 THE WITNESS: 31,532, I believe. In that range. I can double check exactly -- that exact amount.
21
22 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. And so rather than the under-vote being --
23
24 let me direct your attention back up to Table 1.
25 A. Uh-huh.

1 Q. Rather than the under-vote being 159,024,
2 if it had tracked the historical trend, it would be that number less 31,000-something; correct?
3
4 A. Correct, yeah.
Q. Okay.
5
6 A. Given all the -- what we know about elections, yes. That would be correct.
7
8 Q. Okay. Now, did you review data from other
9 states lieutenant governor elections?
10 A. I did, yes.
11 Q. And is -- does Chart 1 on the next page of your affidavit show that information?
12
13 A. Yes.
Q. That chart --
14
15 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, did I neglect to give you a copy of this affidavit?
16
17 THE COURT: No. That's not in evidence.
18 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. The long bar here is from California?
19
20 A. Correct.
Q. And did you do research to determine why
21
22 California had a much higher under-vote percentage?
A. Yes.
23
24 Q. And did you -- and what did you find?
25 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object. Mr. Brill's

1 going to be testifying to why California had a higher
2 under-vote percentage. I think under your prior explanation of terms in the opinion that's not an
3
4 appropriate area for him to testify to.
THE COURT: Sustained.
5
6 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Brill, I'm not going to ask you about
7
8 why California looked that way. My next question is,
9 apart from California, what state had the highest
10 under-vote total?
11 A. Georgia.
Q. Let me direct your attention to the next
12
13 page of your analysis.
Did you have the opportunity to compare the
14
15 under-voting in the Georgia race between votes that were cast electronically on the one hand in votes
16
17 that were cast on paper?
18 A. Yes.
Q. And where did you get that information
19
20 from?
A. From the Secretary of State's website.
21
22 They make that county-level canvas available that I use.
23
24 Q. Okay. And does Chart 2, on the next page
25 of your report, show the under-vote percentage by

1 office and vote method?

2 A. It does.
Q. And if you would just explain to the Court,
3

4 first for the lieutenant governor, what those bars
show.
5

6 A. So, I had to look at three here. The first
was Election Day under-vote and what that shows is
7

8 that lieutenant governor was about 4.5 percent rate

9 of under-vote. Advanced voting of the early vote

10 person was around 3.9 percent. But then absentee

11 voting was down to 1 percent.
Q. And is your understanding that advanced
12

13 voting is electronic voting?
A. That's my understanding, yes.
14

15 Q. And so looking at the -- these bars, paper
voting had only a 1 percent under-vote; is that
16

17 correct?

18 A. Yes.
Q. But the electronic had over 4 percent
19

20 under-vote; is that correct?
A. Correct.
21

22 Q. Okay. Did you see that same -- those same
types of numbers when you reviewed the Secretary of
23

24 State race or the attorney general race?

25 A. I did not.

1 Q. And what did you find when you reviewed
2 those?
3 A. When I reviewed those, I found that the
4 under-vote percentages were relatively even across
5 all those methods.
6 THE COURT: Say that again. Way too fast.
7 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I found that the under-vote
8 was relatively consistent percentage-wise across all three
9 methods of voting when you look at Secretary of State,
10 attorney general.
11 BY MR. BROWN:
12 Q. And so, you don't -- to make sure I'm clear
13 on this. So you don't see the disparity between
14 paper votes and electronic votes in the Secretary of
15 State's race or the attorney general's race that you
16 saw in the lieutenant governor's race; is that
17 correct?
18 MR. TYSON: Objection, Your Honor. Leading.
19 THE COURT: Rephrase. Sometimes when there's an
20 objection you don't bother responding and I -- on the
21 record it looks like I didn't give you a chance to speak.
22 Well, I'm giving you a chance to speak; okay?
23 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I'll withdraw the question.
24 THE COURT: Okay.
25 BY MR. BROWN:

1 Q. Let's go through these individual numbers,
2 Mr. Brill. The Secretary of State's office, what was the Election Day percent under-vote?
3
4 A. 1.5 percent.
Q. What was the advanced voting percentage
5
6 under-vote for the Secretary of State?
A. 1.3 percent.
7
8 Q. And then what was the absentee voting
9 percent?
10 A. 1.4 percent.
11 Q. And then for the attorney general, what was the under-vote for Election Day?
12
13 A. 2.2 percent.
Q. What was the percentage for advanced
14
15 voting?
A. 1.8 percent.
16
17 Q. And what was the percentage for absentee
18 voting?
A. 1.7 percent.
19
20 Q. And just to review for the record to make sure I have it in there, on the lieutenant governor's
21
22 race, what was the percentage for Election Day voting?
23
24 A. 4.5.
25 THE COURT: 4.5 percent. 3.9 percent, 1 percent.

1 Got it.

2 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Paying attention.
3

4 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. Thank you, Your Honor.
5

6 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Brill, in your experience have you seen
7

8 a voting pattern ever before that looks like the

9 voting pattern in the vote for the lieutenant

10 governor's race in Georgia?

11 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object that Mr. Brill is not qualified as an expert on this.
12

13 THE COURT: He's not qualified as an expert, Mr. Brown. I'm not -- he's putting numbers -- he can put in
14

15 the numbers. There's other things you can argue.
MR. BROWN: May I ask him what numbers he's never
16

17 seen that resemble this, Your Honor.

18 THE COURT: Well, just -- just watch where you go. I mean, you ask the questions, I'll rule as we go.
19

20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I'll ask this.
BY MR. BROWN:
21

22 Q. And Mr. Brill, don't answer, because there
may be an objection. Have you seen numbers in your
23

24 review of all of the races that you've reviewed in

25 the course of your work that resemble the pattern

1 seen in Georgia, ever?

2 MR. TYSON: And Your Honor, I'll object on that point, as we haven't laid a foundation for any --

3

4 THE COURT: We're dealing with this -- this race. I'm not dealing with California, Nevada, wherever. I'm

5

6 dealing with Georgia.
MR. BROWN: And I would like to ask a question for

7

8 the record.

9 BY MR. BROWN:

10 Q. Were you able to reach any conclusions

11 about whether the data that you reviewed cast a doubt over the accuracy of the final vote? And don't

12

13 answer yet.
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, objection for the same reason

14

15 that was stated.
THE COURT: I mean, you got the information from the

16

17 Secretary of State. Whether it's valid or not; who knows?

18 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, let me --
THE COURT: He -- he got the number [inaudible] that

19

20 what's he testified to. So I'm taking the numbers.
MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor. The reason for asking

21

22 it is that it is, in my view, it is probative and it goes to weight as to whether this witness based upon his

23

24 experience believes that the numbers cast doubt upon the

25 election. That's the reason for asking.

1 THE COURT: No. He can't -- he can't say that one
2 way or the other. That's -- that's -- can be my decision, I'm afraid. Okay.
3
4 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, if I may have just a --
THE COURT: Surely.
5
6 MR. BROWN: -- minute.
BY MR. BROWN:
7
8 Q. Mr. Brill, have you had the opportunity to
9 review the voting numbers that appear in the brief
10 that was filed by Defendant Duncan in this case?
11 A. I believe so, yes.
THE COURT: Didn't you stipulate that at the last
12
13 hearing?
MR. BROWN: Huh?
14
15 THE COURT: Wasn't there a stipulation that those numbers were correct at the last hearing?
16
17 MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.
18 THE COURT: Hmm? I thought there was. I thought we --
19
20 MR. BROWN: Yes.
THE COURT: So you would -- because I remember the
21
22 statement that you wouldn't have to prove them again. So they're stipulated so you can talk about them.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor. Okay.
25 BY MR. BROWN:

1 Q. Mr. Brill, did you identify any misleading
2 or incorrect statements in the brief?
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'm going to object to --
3
4 THE COURT: You stipulated, Mr. Brown.
MR. LINDSEY: I'm going to object to this.
5
6 THE COURT: You stipulated.
MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor, this is --
7
8 THE COURT: You can talk about -- okay. And the
9 language misleading and all this kind of stuff. Are your
10 numbers different from their numbers?
11 MR. LINDSEY: Yes. Your Honor, we're here today to
listen to -- to present evidence to you as to our
12
13 particular points. Both sides have delivered a brief to
you beforehand. I have no intention to simply bring up
14
15 their briefs and, you know, let's stick to the evidence as
presented here today. That being said --
16
17 THE COURT: Get -- get to your --
18 MR. LINDSEY: -- got my math off a little, Your
Honor.
19
20 THE COURT: Get to your point.
MR. LINDSEY: Right.
21
22 THE COURT: If you will, Mr. Brown.
BY MR. BROWN:
23
24 Q. Did you have a chance to review the numbers
25 reflected in Paragraph 1 under District 4?

1 THE COURT: Paragraph 1, Exhibit 4.

2 A. I don't think I have that document with me.
Q. Okay.
3

4 THE COURT: Exhibit A, you mean? You're talking
about Exhibit A?
5

6 MR. BROWN: This is in their brief, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Well, let me see what I'm looking at.
7

8 [inaudible] numbers, okay. Go ahead, see where we're

9 headed.

10 BY MR. BROWN:

11 Q. Looking at brief -- do you have that in
front of you?
12

13 A. I do.
THE COURT: Which brief? There are --
14

15 MR. BROWN: This is Exhibit 4. This is the response
to Defendant Geoff Duncan to plaintiff's renewed discovery
16

17 demands and motion for continuance and --

18 THE COURT: That's not the one I'm looking at. I'm
looking at the numbers on the back of the opposition to
19

20 plaintiff's motion for continuance.
MR. BROWN: Sorry.
21

22 THE COURT: I don't know which other set you got.
MR. BROWN: Okay. Sorry.
23

24 THE COURT: Go ahead. Ask him the question.

25 BY MR. BROWN:

1 Q. Did you have an opportunity to -- if you
2 would look at that -- point number one, the impact of
3 third-party candidates; do you see that?
4 A. I don't have that in front of me at the
5 moment. I remember going over it but that's not the
6 brief that's in front of me.
7 Q. Okay. Okay.
8 MR. BROWN: I don't have any further questions.
9 Thank you.
10 MR. TYSON: Very briefly, Your Honor.
11
12 RECROSS-EXAMINATION
13 OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL
14
15 BY MR. TYSON:
16 Q. Mr. Brill, when you looked at the historic
17 patterns, the numbers you testified to earlier, the
18 past Georgia races, did you look at any races for
19 Public Service Commission?
20 A. I did not, no.
21 Q. And you know that's a statewide race in
22 Georgia; correct?
23 A. I do, yes.
24 Q. Did you look at any races where Georgia had
25 a U.S. Senate race at the same time as a governor's

1 race?

2 A. I did not.
Q. Thank you.
3

4 MR. LINDSEY: Just a few questions, sir.

5

6 RECROSS-EXAMINATION
OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL
7

8

9 BY MR. LINDSEY:

10 Q. Did you in any way do any review of the

11 conduct of the campaign in the closing days of the
campaign?
12

13 A. I did not.
Q. Okay. You, as someone who's been a
14

15 political consultant does, that the conduct in the
campaign can often change how voters vote in the last
16

17 days; correct?

18 A. Right.
Q. Okay. A candidate, and sometimes that
19

20 changes the numbers between early voting and the day
of the voting; correct?
21

22 A. Correct.
Q. And sometimes it can even change whether or
23

24 not voters choose to vote in a particular race on

25 Election Day that earlier voters may vote for;

1 correct?

2 A. That would -- I mean, that would, I suppose.

3

4 Q. Yeah, fair enough. That would [inaudible]. For instance, if you had a particular candidate

5

6 running for lieutenant governor as a Democrat and in the closing days of the campaign she had several very

7

8 negative press articles about her firm, about her

9 company that she headed up, in which there was

10 allegation of racial discrimination, [inaudible]

11 racial discrimination, that would have a negative impact on Democratic voters in particular; correct?

12

13 A. It could, yeah.
Q. It probably would dampen their enthusiasm

14

15 to vote for her; correct?
A. It could, but I don't know the context, so

16

17 I'd have to [inaudible]. It would take quite a bit

18 of effort, thought to --
Q. Okay.

19

20 A. -- [inaudible] votes.
Q. And you don't know the context because you

21

22 didn't follow the details of this race; correct?
A. Correct.

23

24 Q. Okay. And you have no knowledge as -- for

25 instance, as to tracking polling that took place in

1 the closing days of the campaign; correct?

2 A. Correct.
Q. Now Ms. Amico -- now, you sort of focused
3

4 on the total number of votes that were cast. Ms.
Amico did not receive the least number of votes for a
5

6 Democrat in this -- in the 2018 -- in statewide races
in 2018; correct?
7

8 A. Correct.

9 Q. As a matter of fact, her votes were

10 somewhere in the middle of all the votes along the

11 various folks who ran statewide in Georgia who were
Democrats; correct?
12

13 A. I'd have to look into that [inaudible] --
Q. Okay.
14

15 A. -- [inaudible].
Q. All right. So -- but you didn't -- but you
16

17 are familiar that she did sort of run -- one the

18 better term, the middle one, the pack among
Democrats; correct?
19

20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, this is not relevant. The
claim is that there were under-votes for this election,
21

22 not that one particular candidate received less votes.
Obviously, it's the total number of votes that are
23

24 claimed.

25 THE COURT: He went into what the attorney general

1 did, what the secretary of state, so I think -- you did,
2 so he's entitled to respond to that.
MR. LINDSEY: Yeah.
3
4 THE WITNESS: I didn't specifically analyze performance in this analysis. It was mostly just actual
5
6 vote totals.
BY MR. LINDSEY:
7
8 Q. Okay. For instance, you're not aware that
9 the Democratic candidates for agriculture, school
10 board superintendent, Public Service Commission, and
11 commissioner of insurance, Democratic candidates all received fewer votes than Ms. Amico in this
12
13 particular race?
A. And again, I'd have to double-check.
14
15 Q. Okay. And in fact, for two other races, those being another Public Service Commission and
16
17 Labor Commission race, Ms. Amico was within 8,000 or
18 less votes of the Democrats in those races; are you aware of that?
19
20 A. Again, I have to study that independent study --
21
22 Q. Okay.
A. -- candidate performance.
23
24 Q. All right. In fact, the only races in
25 which she received fewer votes than other Democrats

1 was Ms. Abrams' race, Mr. Barrow's race, and Mr.
2 Charles Bailey's race for attorney general. Are you aware of that?
3
4 A. Again, I'd have to study --
Q. Okay.
5
6 A. -- I didn't study candidate performance.
Q. All right. Even though you haven't studied
7
8 the performance, you are aware of the fact that Ms.
9 Abrams was a very high-profile candidate for
10 governor?
11 A. Correct.
Q. Okay. And are you familiar with the fact
12
13 that Mr. Barrow was a very high-profile candidate for Secretary of State on the Democratic side?
14
15 A. I'm not aware.
Q. Okay. Are you aware of the fact, for
16
17 instance, that he had been prior to this race a five-
18 term Congressman in Georgia?
A. I was not aware of that.
19
20 Q. Okay. And voter ID is very important in regards to individuals -- in regards to folks --
21
22 getting folks to vote for you; correct?
A. Voter ID?
23
24 Q. Yeah.
25 A. I'm sorry. I don't quite understand that,

1 the link.

2 THE COURT: Explain that application. I think you mean one thing and he's thinking another thing.

3

4 MR. LINDSEY: Okay.
BY MR. LINDSEY:

5

6 Q. You said you looked at other races in Georgia's past. Did you look at the number of new

7

8 voters that were -- that cast votes in 2018 versus

9 previous years?

10 A. No, I did not.

11 Q. Okay. So, are you aware of the fact that there was almost -- where there was a -- possibly a

12

13 three-and-a-half-time increase in new voters?
A. That I -- I don't know that exact number

14

15 but that would not surprise me.
Q. Okay. And based on your experience as a

16

17 political consultant, you know, that when it comes to

18 new voter, particularly down-ballot, it takes a lot more education to try to educate those new voters

19

20 than someone who's voted year in and year out; correct?

21

22 A. That's right.
MR. LINDSEY: No further questions.

23

24 THE COURT: [inaudible]?

25 MS. BURWELL: No questions, Your Honor.

1 [inaudible]

2 THE COURT: [inaudible]

3

4 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL

5

6 BY MR. BROWN:

7

8 Q. You testified in response to a question by

9 Mr. Lindsey, about whether you looked at the

10 lieutenant governor total in a race in which there

11 was a U.S. Senate race and there was a race in the governor's race; do you recall that?

12

13 A. Yes.
Q. And let me direct your attention to the

14

15 year 2014 and was there a Senate -- a U.S. Senate race in Georgia in 2014 involving David Perdue?

16

17 A. I believe so, yes.

18 Q. And what was the under-vote total in 2014?
A. Between -- I'm sorry?

19

20 Q. What was the under-vote for lieutenant governor in 2014?

21

22 A. The percentage?
Q. Yes.

23

24 A. 0.8 percent.

25 Q. Okay. You were asked some questions, and I

1 want to explore your answers to the questions on
2 cross-examination a little bit more. In particular, you were asked to give your opinion on some matters.
3
4 Now, you were asked whether under-voting could be caused by new voters being -- not having enough
5
6 attention on the -- about it; do you recall that testimony?
7
8 A. Yes.
9 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object to that. I don't
10 believe that's the question Mr. Brill was asked, but the
11 question was --
THE COURT: He didn't -- he didn't phrase it that
12
13 way. I will let you ask a question --
MR. BROWN: Okay.
14
15 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. In that regard, in your experience, what
16
17 are the more common reasons for under-voting?
18 THE COURT: No. That's -- that's not the appropriate question.
19
20 MR. TYSON: Yeah.
THE COURT: The question is about new voters. You
21
22 can ask him about new voters.
MR. BROWN: Just for the record, Your Honor, the
23
24 question called for the same type of expertise that my
25 question calls for.

1 THE COURT: Sir, I said you can -- you can only go
2 into what he talked about as to new voters.
MR. BROWN: Okay.
3
4 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Are -- do new voters sometimes vote less in
5
6 campaigns for various reasons?
A. It depends on the context of the election,
7
8 but new voters -- you know, we don't find any sort
9 of, you know, when they under-vote generally -- or it
10 can be -- for starters, we don't know exactly who
11 under-votes. I mean, I think that's point number
one. We don't know that. We don't have any way of
12
13 getting that information.
It's speculation when we see high turnout
14
15 elections and that encourages more infrequent newer
voters that come to the polls and if we see higher
16
17 rates of under-voting, that is sort of, you know,
18 something that we consider, but generally when we see
that under-vote it's very consistent, and so it
19
20 starts at one level at the top of the ballot and then
it goes down, then that under-vote kind of -- it will
21
22 increase as you go down the ballot.
I've never seen a type of under-vote where
23
24 voters, who generally don't know who these candidates
25 are anyway, decides to skip one race and then start

1 voting for commission of agriculture at higher rates
2 or commissioner of insurance at higher rates. That would be the first time I've ever seen something like
3
4 those.
Q. Thank you. You were asked by Mr. Lindsey a
5
6 number of questions of whether there were some other candidates who had received fewer votes than Ms.
7
8 Amico; do you recall that?
9 A. Yes.
10 Q. And in fact he actually had better
11 questions to ask and one would have been, isn't it true that the libertarian candidate got way more
12
13 votes -- way fewer votes than Ms. Amico in the governor's race?
14
15 A. I believe so, yeah.
Q. And so is it germane to your understanding
16
17 of the voting patterns that there might be some
18 candidates that got fewer votes down ballot or up ballot if they're third-party candidates or not
19
20 popular? Do you have anything to do with that?
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, gets back to the why. It
21
22 gets back to the why. He can testify as to what, but he's now entering into the why again. He's trying to get his
23
24 witness as an expert to the back door. He couldn't do it
25 through the front, he shouldn't be allowed to do it

1 through the back. [inaudible]

2 MR. BROWN: I'll withdraw the question, Your Honor.
BY MR. BROWN:

3

4 Q. I want to get back to the numbers because
you were asked about other down ballot races by Mr.

5

6 Lindsey, did you review the numbers on the state
representative seats up for election in 2018?

7

8 A. I did, yes.

9 Q. And did -- what did you find with respect

10 to whether -- about the voting patterns with respect

11 to those contests?
A. So, in those contests I examined the number

12

13 of votes that were cast for state representative to
try to establish the baseline in an election that I

14

15 know usually has, you know, high rates of under-
voting. What I found in that case was that there

16

17 were about a thousand precincts statewide where there

18 were actually fewer votes cast for lieutenant
governor than there were for state representative,

19

20 which again is odd.
Q. Let me direct your -- well, did you --

21

22 THE COURT: [inaudible]
THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I'm sorry. I'm sorry, Your

23

24 Honor.

25 BY MR. BROWN:

1 Q. Did you determine in how many of the
2 precinct -- precincts the Democratic candidate for state representative received all of the votes cast?
3
4 A. One -- yeah. Yes. 137 precincts.
Q. Okay. And in those -- did you determine
5
6 whether in those precincts --
THE COURT: 137 precincts?
7
8 THE WITNESS: Correct. 137.
9 THE COURT: What counties?
10 THE WITNESS: Across the state.
11 THE COURT: Are those [inaudible] 137 precincts in the whole state?
12
13 THE WITNESS: No, no. There are about 137 precincts where the candidate for state representative for the
14
15 Democratic --
THE COURT: Got more votes --
16
17 THE WITNESS: Got 100 percent of the votes, which
18 goes back to my points in the first part of the paper, which generally when a race is --
19
20 THE COURT: Okay. Don't go -- don't go --
MR. BROWN: Sorry. Thanks.
21
22 THE COURT: Because that'll get [inaudible].
MR. BROWN: Okay.
23
24 BY MR. BROWN:
25 Q. Did you determine in the races for state

1 representative in how many precincts the state
2 representative race got more votes than the lieutenant governor race?
3
4 A. Yes.
Q. And what did you find?
5
6 A. I found a thousand -- 1,012 precincts; 38 percent of the precincts statewide when the state
7
8 representative had more votes cast than the
9 lieutenant governor.
10 Q. Did you have the opportunity -- or did you
11 undertake to -- you were talking about other races. Did you compare the lieutenant governor race to the
12
13 race for attorney general?
A. I compared. Yes.
14
15 Q. And what did you find?
A. So in that case I found that there were
16
17 much fewer -- I'd have to go, I'd have to see the
18 exact number, but it was --
Q. Let me correct that. My question was
19
20 poorly framed.
A. 410.
21
22 Q. Did you compare the votes for state representative and attorney general?
23
24 A. Yes.
25 Q. And what did you find there?

1 A. What I found there, there were about 410
2 precincts statewide where there were more votes cast for state representative for -- than lieutenant
3
4 governor.
Q. Okay. Thank you. [inaudible]
5
6 MR. TYSON: Just briefly, Your Honor.
7
8 CROSS-EXAMINATION
9 OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL
10
11 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. Mr. Brill, you'd agree with me, wouldn't
12
13 you, that the 2018 election in Georgia was a very high turnout election, right?
14
15 A. Yes.
Q. And I asked you a question that I had not
16
17 worded quite correctly previously. Did you in any of
18 your analyses look at a race where there was a governor's race and no U.S. Senate race happening at
19
20 the same time, like what happened in 2018?
A. A governor's race with no U.S. Senate race?
21
22 Q. Yes.
A. I didn't look at that specifically.
23
24 Q. Okay. Mr. Brown asked you about the
25 precincts you analyzed for state representative; did

1 you break out those based on the DRE machines versus
2 absentee by mail or early voting categories, or did you look totally -- solely at precinct totals?
3
4 A. For this I looked at solely precinct totals.
5
6 Q. Okay. Thank you.
MR. TYSON: That's all I have.
7
8 MR. LINDSEY: Just real briefly.
9
10 CROSS-EXAMINATION
11 OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL
12
13 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. You were aware that in the AG's race there
14
15 was an incumbent running; correct?
A. Yes.
16
17 Q. And in the lieutenant governor's race there
18 were two newcomers coming -- running; correct?
A. Correct.
19
20 MR. LINDSEY: No further questions.
THE COURT: Mr. Brown, anything else?
21
22 MR. BROWN: I do not have anything further.
THE COURT: Okay. The witness may be excused.
23
24 Whatever he says. He's in charge of all that.
25 [inaudible].

1 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, at this point we have another
2 --
THE COURT: [inaudible] Are you taking notes on the
3
4 laptop?
THE NEWS REPORTER: Yes.
5
6 THE COURT: Did you comply with Rule 22?
THE NEWS REPORTER: Yes. [inaudible]
7
8 THE COURT: [inaudible]
9 THE NEWS REPORTER: Jordan [inaudible].
10 THE COURT: Who?
11 THE NEWS REPORTER: Jordan [inaudible], Your Honor.
MALE: [inaudible]
12
13 THE COURT: Okay.
MALE: Mr. [inaudible] did.
14
15 THE COURT: Making me nervous. Go ahead.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, logistically we have another
16
17 long witness. It would be convenient to have that go more
18 smoothly if we took our lunch break now, but of course
I'll defer to your schedule.
19
20 THE COURT: Anybody have an objection to taking lunch
now and coming back at 1:00? Let's take lunch now and
21
22 we'll back at 1:00.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
23
24
25 [Off the record at 11:41 a.m., and back on the record

1 at 1:00 p.m.]

2 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, in order to keep things

3 moving along, I just want to make sure I get all my
witnesses here or are here. This is our last witness.

5 THE COURT: You need time to do something?

6 MR. LINDSEY: No, no. I just want to make sure -- if

7 this is his last witness, I want to make sure I have

8 everybody here ready to go.

9 MR. BROWN: It depends on the ruling that she will

10 make. I have one live witness and one witness who I would
like to testify by telephone if the Court allows. Just to

12 give you an idea.

13 MR. LINDSEY: Okay, that's fine. I just want to --

14 I'll call my folks and make sure everybody's here. That's
all I wanted to know.

16 THE COURT: Okay. You understand that I cannot see

17 the clock from here because it's dark back there. I
cannot see that clock. I see the circle and I see the

19 exit sign but I can't see the hands from back here because
it's black; there's no light back there. So I have to go

21 by my own watch, which is not --

22 MR. LINDSEY: Well, let the record reflect that Mr.

23 Brown has already insulted my eyesight once today.

24 Therefore [inaudible].

25

1 THE COURT: Ready when you're ready.

2 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, two introductory items.
First, we would like to tender into evidence Defendant
3

4 Robyn A. Crittenden's notice of limited offer -- proffer
of evidence. And let me explain this. The -- when she
5

6 was a party, the Secretary of State submitted this as a
proffer of evidence and it is the testimony of a witness
7

8 in the Curling versus Kemp case, and so I'm going to

9 accept their proffer and I'm tendering it into evidence.

10 And so it --

11 THE COURT: Any comment on that?
MR. LINDSEY: I'm going to object to it, Your Honor.
12

13 The Secretary of State is no longer in the case and we
haven't had a chance to cross-examine that witness.
14

15 THE COURT: It's hearsay. I'm absolute hearsay; I'm
not going to allow it into evidence. And as I said, I
16

17 didn't read it. I didn't read any of the stuff that was -

18 - I'm sorry. I'm old school. I believe that the evidence
should come out in the courtroom; there ought to be a rite
19

20 of cross-examination.
I happen to believe in the Constitution because I
21

22 wasn't raised with one; okay? So I'm partial to it. I'm
not going to let that in. It's not evidence.
23

24 MR. BROWN: May I respond just briefly, Your Honor?

25 THE COURT: You may respond.

1 MR. BROWN: This is evidence that the other side
2 introduced into evidence.
THE COURT: I don't -- I don't care who introduced
3
4 it. It's not admissible. It's hearsay. And one party
who's not in the case introduced it, the rest [inaudible].
5
6 I'm not going to allow it.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. I'll go ahead and
7
8 mark it for --
9 THE COURT: You can put it in the record as a
10 proffer. Just --
11 MR. BROWN: -- as a proffer and proffer it as
evidence.
12
13 THE COURT: -- it's hearsay. They have a right, as
Mr. Lindsay said, to cross-examine it, and they didn't. So
14
15 --
MR. BROWN: That will -- what number is that? That's
16
17 number 4. Thank you, Your Honor.
18 The next witness we would like to call is Philip
Stark and Professor Stark is a professor at Berkeley and
19
20 we would like to introduce his testimony, with your
Court's permission, by telephone. And so, we would move
21
22 for that --
THE COURT: What does the defense say?
23
24 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, that's usually something
25 that's worked out before. There is a lot to be learned by

1 having the witness live to be able to cross-examine them
2 live right here. Now there are circumstances in which the parties do agree to do things by telephone. I've done it
3
4 a hundred times, if not more.
But this was not something that was worked out
5
6 previously. We would prefer to be able to cross-examine someone, and I'm sure Mr. Tyson would as well be able to
7
8 see someone in the eye to cross-examine them directly. So
9 we would object.
10 THE COURT: Mr. Tyson?
11 MR. TYSON: Yes, Your Honor. I'm pulling up the Rule right now but we'd be in the same boat. We'd like to have
12
13 -- this hearing has been on the books for over a month. We know it was going to be; we want to talk to Professor
14
15 Stark and cross-examine him live.
THE COURT: This case actually was set back December
16
17 5th. I'm reading things that say that's not so but it is
18 so. It was set November 5th [sic]; everybody's know- -- December 5th. Everybody's known about it.
19
20 I'm not going to do a witness by telephone. Part of -- it isn't words they say sometimes; it's the mannerisms.
21
22 And I've done them by Skype. I did some dear old ladies who've been ripped with that -- grandson calls and says
23
24 he's in the jail, those kinds of cases.
25 And we did those by Skype, which is much better and

1 you've got a full view and you can see the witnesses. But
2 I'm not going to do this one by telephone.
3 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. If we have the
4 opportunity, could we set up a Skype tomorrow for this witness?
5 THE COURT: It's up to you. I'm not telling you.
6 I'm saying what I won't do; I'm not going to tell you what
7 to do.
8 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, we
9 would call --
10 THE COURT: And the defense haven't been heard on
11 that. They should --
12 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, our position is, we're here
13 today. [inaudible]
14 THE COURT: Okay. Next witness.
15 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, we would call Matthew
16 Bernhard as a witness.
17 THE COURT: Okay.
18 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I apologize. My client has
19 Skype on her laptop and --
20 THE COURT: Has what on her laptop?
21 MR. BROWN: Has Skype on her laptop and we would move
22 to -- for -- to allow us to examine Mr. Stark by Skype.
23 THE COURT: What says the defense?
24 MR. TYSON: Same objection, Your Honor.
25

1 MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, I would ask the Court to
2 look at Superior Court Rule 9.2 with respect to video conferencing, which requires any request for video
3
4 conference be done by notice of intention prior to the [inaudible]
5
6 THE COURT: Rule 9.2?
MS. BURWELL: Yes.
7
8 THE COURT: At this time I've got the computer where
9 I'm supposed to be able to get into it, and I can see.
10 Let me look at it.
11 Okay. Let's see. I'll get it; just a minute. I've got LexisNexus. It's Superior Court Rule 9.2?
12
13 MS. BURWELL: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. Let's see. [inaudible]. Okay.
14
15 Yeah, Rule -- yeah. 9.2(c) says that you have to file as of your intent 30 days prior to the date scheduled and
16
17 then we have to have a hearing on the matter. That's what
18 it says.
MR, BROWN: Your Honor, with all due respect, I
19
20 believe that the -- some of these rules like the jury demand need to be read in context of the nature of this
21
22 proceeding. The nature of this proceeding is an accelerated process.
23
24 I understand that Your Honor did schedule this on
25 December 5th. Nevertheless, given the challenges

1 preparing a case of this complexity, we would ask for your
2 indulgence to -- as an exception to that rule to allow the testimony.
3
4 THE COURT: Mr. Lindsey?
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we've had several weeks to
5
6 prepare for trial and would have been available to do it by deposition, notice the person that's necessary to go --
7
8 to take a deposition. The plaintiffs have chosen not to
9 do so, but instead to do so at trial to set up [inaudible]
10 procedure. So we state our objections.
11 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, [inaudible] declaration he filed for him much earlier in the case as recently as 10
12
13 days ago, possibly earlier than that, opportunity to bring that before the Court [inaudible].
14
15 THE COURT: Well, I understand. We're in an expedited situation and we've had some service and other
16
17 issues. But we did have a hearing on January 9 and I
18 think that's the notice should have been given. Given the nature of the case I understand bending the rules because
19
20 of where we are.
But to suddenly walk in in the afternoon of trial and
21
22 say I want to call somebody by Skype or by phone is not in compliance with the rules at all. And so, yeah, if you
23
24 got up on January 9th and said you were going to do it,
25 then I'd given you a chance to be heard and we'd gone

1 through it. But I'm not going to violate the rule at this
2 time.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. And I believe --
3
4 THE COURT: What are you doing, ma'am? Go sit down, please.
5
6 MR. BROWN: I had lost something. I have a copy now. I'm just looking for my copies.
7
8 THE COURT: If you need to ask for something you can.
9 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. We would call --
10 THE COURT: Matthew Bernhard.
11 MR. BROWN: Yes.
Please raise your right hand. Do you promise to tell
12
13 the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?
THE WITNESS: I do.
14
15 Thereupon:
16
17
18 MATTHEW BERNHARD
19
20 was called as a witness by the Petitioner; and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
21
22
DIRECT EXAMINATION
23
24 OF MATTHEW BERNHARD
25

1 BY MR. BROWN:

2 Q. Please sit down and state your name for the record.

3

4 A. My name is Matthew Bernhard.

Q. Mr. Bernhard, what do you do?

5

6 A. I am a Ph.D. candidate at the University of Michigan studying computer science.

7

8 Q. Have you been engaged by the plaintiffs as

9 an expert in this case?

10 A. Yes.

11 THE COURT: You're a PhD candidate where?

THE WITNESS: At the University of Michigan.

12

13 THE COURT: Michigan. Okay.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

14

15 BY MR. BROWN:

Q. Mr. Bernhard, I would like to go over your

16

17 qualifications, and do you have a CV that you have

18 prepared?

A. Yes.

19

20 Q. I'd like to briefly go over your education and experience. You -- where'd you go to college?

21

22 A. I went to Georgia Tech and graduated from Rice University.

23

24 Q. Okay. And what did you study at Rice?

25 A. Computer science.

1 Q. And did you go to graduate school?

2 A. Yes.
Q. And what did you study at graduate school?
3

4 A. Computer science.
Q. And that was --
5

6 THE COURT: You're going to have to speak up because
you're mumbling and I can't hear you. I got the Georgia
7

8 Tech bit for reasons of my allegiance but what was the

9 rest of it?

10 THE WITNESS: Rice University.

11 THE COURT: Rice. Okay.
THE WITNESS: Yes.
12

13 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. And then did you attend graduate school?
14

15 A. Yes. At the University of Michigan.
Q. And what did you study at the University of
16

17 Michigan?

18 A. Computer science.
Q. And did you obtain a degree at Michigan?
19

20 A. Yes, I got a Master's degree.
Q. And did you continue your studies at
21

22 Michigan?
A. I am currently still there.
23

24 Q. And how far are you along in your Ph.D.

25 work?

1 A. I'm -- this is my fourth year.

2 Q. Okay. And so if you graduated or obtained your degree, when would that be?

3

4 A. Probably about a year from now.

Q. Okay. And are you working on a

5

6 dissertation?

A. Yes, sir.

7

8 Q. And what's the subject matter of your

9 dissertation?

10 A. Election integrity and election security.

11 Q. Okay. Now have you --

THE WITNESS: Security.

12

13 THE COURT: Okay. [inaudible]

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.

14

15 THE COURT: [inaudible]

BY MR. BROWN:

16

17 Q. Have you -- do you teach?

18 A. Yes, sir. I taught the undergraduate cyber security course, introductory course, and I've also

19

20 recently conducted a -- an undergraduate research seminar in election security.

21

22 Q. Okay. Have you ever published anything?

A. Yes. I have published several papers

23

24 pertaining to election security and, you know,

25 election audits, and in several other things that

1 aren't related to election [inaudible].

2 Q. I mean, are these -- have you published peer review articles?

3

4 A. I guess almost every article I publish has been peer review.

5

6 Q. Okay. Have you -- outside of your academic work, have you worked with election systems?

7

8 A. Yes, sir. I -- in addition to working for

9 Verified Voting, who collects data about elections, I

10 worked with election officials all over the country

11 helping run audits, you know. And helping them better secure their election [inaudible].

12

13 Q. Let me go over your work experience, also then. You mentioned Verified Voting. You -- are you

14

15 -- do you work for them now?
A. Currently, yes, as a consultant.

16

17 Q. Okay. And what sort of work do you do for

18 Verified Voting?
A. I collect data about current -- the current

19

20 generation of election technology and its security properties, what kinds of operating systems they run

21

22 and, you know, what program languages it's programmed in and so forth.

23

24 Q. And what employment did you have

25 immediately before Verified Voting?

1 A. So before that I did a summer internship at
2 a company named CloudPlayer and then before that I was at Microsoft Research.
3
4 Q. And what did you do for Microsoft?
5 A. I researched Trusted Boot, which is a
6 particular way that computers can ensure the application if the running is the right one.
7
8 Q. Did you say boot?
9 A. Yeah. Boot.
10 Q. Okay.
11 A. With specific applications for voting machines.
12
13 Q. And why was Microsoft -- what's the connection between voting machines and working for
14
15 Microsoft?
16 A. Well, so most of the machines run through
17 Windows operating system, the ones in Georgia do, and
18 so they have a vested interest in making sure that, you know, they can support it and develop technology
19
20 scoring.
21 Q. And did your work at Microsoft relate to
22 the operating systems that are used generally or just for voting systems or both?
23
24 A. Generally; it's all Windows. I was mostly
25 focused on the more recent versions of Windows,

1 Windows 8 and Windows 10, both of which are used in
2 the current generation of voting technology.
3 Q. And skipping ahead, is that the voting
4 system used in Georgia --
5 A: No.
6 Q. -- the operating system used in Georgia?
7 A. No.
8 Q. Okay.
9 A. It is a -- the -- that operating system is
10 about 15 years down the line from the one that's used
11 in Georgia voting machines.
12 Q. Have you ever been qualified as an expert
13 in a case?
14 A. Yes, sir. I'm an expert -- qualified expert
15 witness in the Curling v. Kemp case in the Northern
District of Georgia.
16 Q. It --
17 A. [inaudible] federal court.
18 Q. And has -- have your opinions, your expert
19 opinions ever been cited by a court with approval or
indicating that the court does not disagree with your
20 conclusions?
21 A. Yes. In one of the rulings in the
22 [inaudible] and the Curling v. Kemp case she did cite
23 my opinions in the affirmative.
24
25

1 Q. Do you have either academic or hands-on
2 experience and knowledge about the operations generally of a DRE machine?
3
4 A. Yes, sir. I have worked in many different ways with DREs.
5
6 Q. And have you worked with the Diebold DREs?
A. Yes. We have about ten of them in my lab
7
8 in Michigan.
9 Q. And you have access to those?
10 A. Yes.
11 Q. And did you obtain those machines legally?
A. Yes. On Ebay.
12
13 Q. Are you able to tear it apart, study all the components?
14
15 A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Do you have knowledge and experience
16
17 through your training and your education about
18 whether DREs as a class of voting systems are vulnerable or not vulnerable?
19
20 A. Yes, I do.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor --
21
22 Q. And how have you --
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I would at this point
23
24 object. The questions today are not -- whether or not the
25 system could potentially be vulnerable and whether or not

1 some other system would be better. That's a decision
2 that's now being debated at the capitol. The questions today are whether the system was in fact compromised.
3
4 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I don't -- I'm not quite sure what the form of that objection was.
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: We object to the relevancy. I mean, I'm trying to keep the case focused on what not that was
7
8 in fact a compromise of the system.
9 MR. BROWN: Okay. Your Honor, I can ask him more
10 questions that establish the -- that connect the dots.
11 THE COURT: Do that.
BY MR. BROWN:
12
13 Q. Would the background vulnerability of a system like these DREs increase the likelihood that
14
15 it's defective today in Georgia?
A. Yes.
16
17 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, [inaudible] background
18 vulnerabilities [inaudible] foundation yet for what vulnerability is or [inaudible] this thing. If we're
19
20 trying to qualify him, that's one thing; but if we're trying get into the facts I think that's something else.
21
22 MR. BROWN: May I respond, Your Honor?
THE COURT: Well, whether the machines generally are
23
24 not very good doesn't really matter in this case. I'm
25 going to let you, if you're using it, to lay a foundation

1 to get somewhere, I'm going to let you do that for a
2 little bit.
But the fact that the machines, all wherever they may
3
4 be used, aren't the best is not relevant --
MR. BROWN: Your Honor --
5
6 THE COURT: -- in this case is the one [inaudible].
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, if I may. The witness'
7
8 testimony is different than what Your Honor said. What
9 his testimony was that it --
10 THE COURT: I'm going to let you ask that question;
11 okay?
MR. BROWN: Okay. Thank you.
12
13 THE COURT: I was just trying to give you some
direction.
14
15 MR. BROWN: Okay.
THE COURT: If not, they can get exercise jumping up
16
17 and down.
18 MR. BROWN: Okay. I want to get -- to focus your
attention more closely on the machines that were used in
19
20 this case.
BY MR. BROWN:
21
22 Q. Do you have any experience or knowledge or
expertise about whether Georgia's systems are more
23
24 vulnerable or less vulnerable than these machines are
25 generally?

1 A. Yes, I do.

2 Q. What's the basis for your expertise in that?

3

4 A. I reviewed -- so in addition to my knowledge about the Diebold voting system, in

5

6 particular, I reviewed Georgia's use of the system. I've been into, you know, particularly the Fulton

7

8 County election preparations and multiple times.

9 I've reviewed testimony from Michael Barnes, Rick

10 Barron, and other election officials in the state of

11 Georgia and have been observing Georgia's election since 2016.

12

13 Q. Have you -- in the course of your education and your experience, have you -- do you have

14

15 experience and expertise about problems, specific types of problems, that DREs can experience, Georgia

16

17 or elsewhere?

18 A. Yes.
Q. Would that include software problems?

19

20 A. Yes.
Q. And hardware problems also? You need to

21

22 say, yes.
A. Yes.

23

24 Q. Okay. Have you developed -- and now I'm

25 going to explore this in a little bit greater detail,

1 but have you developed an -- do you have experience
2 or developed expertise in how to detect problems with DREs forensically?
3
4 A. Yes. In fact, in 2017 I published a paper looking at how to do that with the machines.
5
6 Q. And when I use the word -- we use the word, forensically, when you use it -- and the reason I'm
7
8 asking this is that to many it might have connotation
9 of a criminal conduct; do you follow me?
10 A. Yes.
11 Q. In your field when you use the word, forensic investigation, do you mean to imply that
12
13 you're looking necessarily for criminal activity?
A. No. Typically it's a post hoc application
14
15 of the scientific method to determine what happens, whether it be criminal or not.
16
17 Q. But it's looks -- is it looking for the
18 causes of a mistake or a problem?
A. Yes, and to try to understand how that
19
20 problem came to be.
Q. Do you have any expertise in determining or
21
22 identifying what I would call the telltale signs from the outside as to whether there are system defects on
23
24 the inside?
25 A. Yes. See, for example, that paper that I

1 just mentioned.

2 Q. Okay. And have you -- do you have real hands-on experience with DREs trying to determine

3

4 whether and how they are defected?

A. Yes. In fact, we just talked to some

5

6 undergraduates how to do a forensic analysis of the Diebold DREs in particular.

7

8 Q. Do you have any experience in post-election

9 auditing?

10 A. Yes. I assisted the State of Michigan and

11 observed the audits in Colorado, the responding audits in Colorado.

12

13 Q. And what is a risk limiting audit?

A. The risk limiting audit is a statistical

14

15 way where you define hypothesis tests and you use that to sample a small subset of ballots and answer

16

17 the question, is the reporting elections all correct

18 or not.

Q. And just -- does your work -- does that

19

20 work require knowledge and use of methods of statistics?

21

22 A. Yes, it does. In particular, the development of new [inaudible] paper we just

23

24 published.

25 Q. Okay.

1 MR. BROWN: And, Your Honor, I would like to qualify
2 and tender Mr. Bernhard as an expert in DRE operation, DRE vulnerability, Georgia; and generally, the internal
3
4 mechanics and software of the DREs, problems with DREs, how to detect those problems, the telltale signs of those
5
6 problems --
THE COURT: Okay, you're drawing a huge, huge list of
7
8 stuff. Let's be a little bit -- yeah, I'm not going to go
9 through and say yes, no, yes, no. What you're wanting to
10 qualify him as an expert generally in what, DRE?
11 MR. BROWN: DREs, their problems, their repair, how to detect them, and how to fix them.
12
13 THE COURT: Okay. You keep adding to it. What say the defendant?
14
15 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, a few more questions for Bernhard.
16
17
18 CROSS-EXAMINATION
OF MATTHEW BERNHARD
19
20 BY MR. TYSON:
21
22 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Bernhard. My name is Bryan Tyson. I represent the Gwinnett County Board
23
24 of Registrations and Elections. So you mentioned to
25 Mr. Brown that you worked for Verified Voting. Does

1 Verified Voting have a position on the use of DREs,
2 generally?
A. Yes.
3
4 Q. And what is that position?
A. That DREs are unfit for us in U.S.
5
6 elections.
Q. And it would be correct to say that
7
8 Verified Voting wants to return to an all-paper
9 ballot system; correct?
10 A. A handwritten paper ballot system; correct.
11 Q. You mentioned that you were qualified as
expert in Curling versus Kemp case; do -- can you
12
13 explain to the Court briefly what the issues are in
that case that you're -- gave expert testimony on?
14
15 A. Yeah. The argument is that the inherent
vulnerability of Georgia's DRE voting system puts
16
17 voters in Georgia at a fundamentally different level
18 of -- you know, it abridges their constitutional
rights to a fair election in comparison to other
19
20 states, like Alabama next door
Q. And those claims were all [inaudible] in
21
22 that case? And are the same plaintiffs involved in
that case?
23
24 A. I don't believe so.
25 Q. Okay. And is Mr. Brown counsel for the

1 plaintiffs in that case?

2 A. Yes, sir.

3 Q. Okay. You mentioned you had several DREs

4 in your lab; do any of those DREs -- the Diebold DREs specifically, run the same operating system as in use

5

6 in Georgia?

7 A. Yes, sir.

8 Q. Okay. How many of those run the same

9 operating system?

10 A. All of them.

11 Q. Do all of them run the same version of the software that's used for voting in Diebold machines

12

13 in Georgia?

14 A. No, sir.

15 Q. And so do any of them have the same software that is use in Georgia for running the

16

17 actual voting system?

18 A. No, sir. They all have the -- a more recent version.

19

20 Q. So, it would be correct to say that none of the Diebold machines in your lab are -- will match up

21

22 to any of the Diebold machines that are in Georgia from a software perspective; correct?

23

24 A. There will be a significant amount of

25 similarities, but it won't be 100 percent the same.

1 Q. Okay. Great. Do you have any experience
2 in the field of election administration?
3 A. Yes, sir. I'm a trained poll worker in the
4 state of Michigan.
5 Q. Okay. Have you done any personal review of
6 any voting machines that were used in the November
7 2018 general election in Georgia?
8 A. [inaudible] personal reviews.
9 Q. So have you physically touched the
10 machines, inspected them, taken any steps to review
11 any machines that were used in the 2018 general
12 election in Georgia?
13 A. No, sir.
14 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, that's compound.
15 THE COURT: [inaudible]
16 MR. BROWN: He asked about eight questions in --
17 MR. TYSON: I'll -- I'm going to try to rephrase.
18 I'm sorry.
19 THE COURT: Rephrase.
20 BY MR. TYSON:
21 Q. Mr. Bernhard, have you personally inspected
22 any machines that were used in 2018 election in
23 Georgia in general election?
24 A. No, sir.
25 Q. And so it would be correct to say, isn't

1 it, that you have no firsthand knowledge of any DRE
2 machine that was used in the 2018 Georgia election because you haven't inspected them; correct?
3
4 A. I suppose that's correct.
MR. TYSON: Okay. That's all I have.
5
6 THE COURT: Anybody else for the defendant have questions?
7
8 MR. LINDSEY: No, Your Honor.
9 THE COURT: Okay.
10 MR. BROWN: Just one follow-up question.
11 I don't have any further questions for him.
THE COURT: Okay. Anyone want to be heard on whether
12
13 he's an expert on DREs? That's basically what the issue is. All that other stuff I'm not going to rule on,
14
15 [inaudible] credibility [inaudible].
MR. TYSON: Yes, Your Honor. So we would object to
16
17 Mr. Bernhard serving as an expert in this case, both under
18 702(b) because he's testified he has no personal knowledge about the voting machines in use in Georgia. The machines
19
20 in his lab do not line up to ones that were in use in Georgia.
21
22 He has not personally inspected the voting machines that were used in the 2018 general election, so we don't
23
24 believe that he can offer anything to help the Court
25 determine if that's an issue which is required by that.

1 In addition, we don't believe there is anything
2 relevant that relates to the issue in the case. Mr. Bernhard can certainly testify about DREs generally. The
3
4 issue for this Court is focused on the lieutenant governor's election and Mr. Bernhard has not indicated he
5
6 has anything that would be useful to finder of facts in this case.
7
8 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we adopt the issue of
9 Gwinnett County and also make the same objections.
10 MR. BROWN: First -- the first objection was based
11 upon his line of personal knowledge. That, of course, is not an objection to an expert qualification of an expert.
12
13 The second was personal knowledge about inspection. That's also not a relevant objection.
14
15 I mean, if there's another idea lurking behind that, I'd like to hear it and respond to it, but that's not a
16
17 proper objection. The single objection that I could
18 understand, that's applicable to here, was that his particular model -- models that he has and that he can
19
20 take apart, is a different -- he uses a different version of the Microsoft operating system.
21
22 He testified that it is substantially identical and that is -- even if it were different, he has been
23
24 established as a leading expert in this field.
25 THE COURT: I'm not going to say he's a leading

1 expert. I have no evidence of him being an expert. But
2 leading expert -- I am going to allow him to testify as an expert. The weight and credibility of his testimony is
3
4 for me, and I can listen to it and I can [inaudible].
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
5
6
REDIRECT EXAMINATION
7
8 OF MATTHEW BERNHARD
9
10 BY MR. BROWN:
11 Q. Mr. Bernhard, the first part, I would like to review your -- of what materials you have reviewed
12
13 in connection with your work on this case. Are you with me?
14
15 A. Uh-huh.
Q. And have you reviewed the scholarly
16
17 literature?
18 A. Yes, sir. I've done a thorough survey of all of the academic works specifically about the Diebold
19
20 machines and [inaudible].
Q. Have you reviewed government publications
21
22 on [inaudible]?
A. Yes, sir. [inaudible] National Academy of
23
24 Sciences as well as various other government
25 agencies.

1 Q. Have you ever reviewed the source code for
2 the TS system?
3 A. Yes, sir. I've reviewed an early release
4 of the source code.
5 Q. Have you reviewed the voting results in the
6 Georgia 2018 election?
7 A. Yes, sir.
8 Q. Have reviewed studies of those results?
9 A. Yes, sir.
10 Q. Have you reviewed statements from voters
11 with their accounts of trying to vote on the machines
12 in Georgia?
13 A. Yes, sir.
14 Q. And who in -- focusing just on the -- on
15 those individual statements, what statements have you
16 -- let me back up a little bit. Let me finish. Have
17 you reviewed the testimony of the agents of the
18 defendants, including Michael Barnes and Rick Barron
19 in other cases?
20 A. Yes, sir.
21 Q. Have you reviewed the reported
22 vulnerability in the Georgia voter registration page
23 recorded during the 2018 election?
24 A. Yes.
25 Q. Have you spoken with other people who have

1 expert knowledge to gain a better understanding of
2 the issues?
A. Yes, sir.
3
4 Q. And who would those be?
A. For one, [inaudible] who published one of
5
6 the original security analyses of the DREs, I've
spoken with -- excuse me [inaudible] Philip Stark,
7
8 who is a leading expert on audits and [inaudible],
9 among others.
10 Q. Okay. Okay. Let's dive into then to some
11 of your opinions. First, with respect to the Diebold
DRE machine, do you have an opinion as to whether
12
13 that machine generally is defective or not defective?
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'm going to object to the
14
15 way that the question is asked. I don't think we've
established if there one [inaudible] DRE machine. We've
16
17 already heard there's different versions of software, are
18 there different hardware -- I think we need to be specific
about what's being asked.
19
20 MR. BROWN: Fair enough, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Well, it's a lot of different types of
21
22 machines. At least be able to identify which ones he's
done and which ones he hasn't.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Exactly. Your Honor, exact -- the
25 objection is well taken and let me reframe it.

1 BY MR. BROWN:

2 Q. Have you developed an opinion as to whether the DRE systems like the ones used in Georgia

3 generally are defective?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. And to get to the point of different DRE machines, other states use Diebold DRE machines; is

6 that correct?

7 A. Correct.

8 Q. Are they all identical or are they

9 different?

10 A. From a hardware perspective, they're all

11 identical. The software is different depending on the state; though there are only three major versions

12 of the software out there.

13 Q. With respect to whether they are defective

14 or not, is there any different -- material difference

15 in the different types of Diebold DRE machines?

16 A. No, sir. They all come with the same

17 software and they have the same aging hardware.

18 Q. And what is your opinion about the

19 defectiveness of the DRE machines that are used nationwide and in Georgia?

20 A. They're defective both from an

21 architectural standpoint, the actual implementation,

1 and the way they were designed and built, as well as
2 the way they are used in many places.
3 Again, these machines are 15 years old at this
4 point. The glue that holds the screens together is
5 starting to come apart, you know, as well as, you
6 know, who knows how many times they've been dropped
7 and so forth. And so I think it's -- they were
8 defective to begin with but they've only become more
9 defective over time.
10 Q. Mr. Bernhard, have you reviewed academic
11 literature on the subject of whether DRE systems are
12 generally defective?
13 A. Yes, sir.
14 Q. And just give me an example of some of the
15 things that you reviewed.
16 A. Yeah, so there was a forensic study in 2006
17 of the Diebold system that was actually published by
18 [inaudible]. There was the California top to bottom
19 review. There was the Ohio Everest report; the state
20 of Florida and Maryland both issued independent
21 reports. And then more recently we've seen the
22 National Academy of Science's report on the usage of
23 DREs, as well as numerous others.
24 Q. And what -- do they have a general
25 consensus or not?

1 A. Yeah, they -- unanimous conclusion is that
2 DRE machines, and particularly the kind of DRE that's used in Georgia are unsafe and unfit for use for
3
4 voting.
Q. And are the unsafe and unfit for voting
5
6 because they don't -- they're not accurate or they might not be accurate?
7
8 A. Yeah. They are, you know -- as I mentioned
9 before, inherently defective and on top of that, they
10 don't provide any recourse if the defect is detected.
11 Q. And what do you mean by no recourse?
A. You know, typically there's this notion of
12
13 software independence where even if the software does go bad, we can, one, detect it if it's happened; and
14
15 two, correct for it.
Because the DRE machines don't have any -- you
16
17 know, there's no paper trail. There's no external
18 source of evidence of how many votes are cast, who they were cast for. There is no way that the DREs
19
20 can provide significant evidence to the outcome of an election.
21
22 Q. But isn't that the case in any sort of computer program that there's no independence --
23
24 A. Yes.
25 Q. -- of verification?

1 A. Anything that doesn't have an external
2 source of evidence, yes.
3 Q. The -- let me ask the same question. You
4 were -- you responded with discussion of governmental
5 agencies. Have you reviewed opinions or publications
6 from the computer science community --
7 A. Yes.
8 Q. -- about the vulnerability or defectiveness
9 of the DREs?
10 A. Yes. That was the majority of the
11 literature is from.
12 Q. Okay. And what is the computer science --
13 is there a consensus or differences of opinion in the
14 computer science community with respect to the
15 defectiveness of the DREs?
16 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I object on the relevancy.
17 This has been going on for a little while. I'm not sure
18 when -- where general problems of the DREs is relevant to
19 allegations regarding the Georgia lieutenant governor's
20 election on a specific [inaudible] Georgia machines.
21 These are general allegations, not something specific.
22 MR. BROWN: Your Honor --
23 THE COURT: I understand that. He can answer that
24 question [inaudible].
25 MR. BROWN: Okay.

1 THE WITNESS: Again, the overall consensus is that
2 the machines are vulnerable and can cause problems.
MR. BROWN:
3
4 Q. Are you aware of any peer review computer
science publication that takes a contrary view to the
5
6 view that the DREs are defective?
A. No, sir. The community is unanimous.
7
8 Q. Have you developed an opinion about whether
9 Georgia's system is less defective than the systems
10 used in other parts of the country or more defective?
11 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll just object for lack of
foundation. I don't think we've had testimony about
12
13 Georgia yet. We've talked generally about that --
[inaudible]
14
15 THE COURT: I'll let you ask the question but if he
doesn't give a basis for it I'll disregard it.
16
17 THE WITNESS: Okay. Yes, sir, I have.
18 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. And if I asked you this before, I
19
20 apologize. But have you talked to people or have you
done some investigation about the vulnerability of
21
22 Georgia's system in particular, not as a general
matter?
23
24 A. Yes, sir.
25 Q. And what's -- what did you do or what have

1 you done?

2 A. Again, reviewing testimony and interviewing voters in Georgia, reviewing Georgia electronic

3 regulation; like I said, testimony from Michael Barnes and Rick Barron in the Curling v. Kemp where

4 they explain more carefully the layout of the system. I talked with Michael Barnes a couple times, also,

5 about how Georgia's election system works.

6 Q. And have you evaluated, for example, the

7 testimony of Logan Lamb?

8 A. Yes.

9 THE COURT: Of who?

10 MR. BROWN: Logan Lamb. And have you --

11 THE COURT: So he's repeating somebody else's

12 testimony in another case? Is that what you're saying?

13 MR. BROWN: That's not the purpose. It was to

14 provide a foundation for his knowledge in determining --

15 THE COURT: Does he have any personal knowledge?

16 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, he's an expert in --

17 THE COURT: I understand he's an expert. Go ahead. [inaudible].

18 MR. BROWN: Okay.

19 BY MR. BROWN:

20 Q. Have you personally examined the

21 architecture of the system, from your perspective,

1 sufficient to understand whether the State of Georgia
2 by leaving it open to the internet for six months might have exposed the system to greater danger?
3
4 Have you looked at that personally?
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object to that again. I
5
6 don't think there's any evidence about an exposure for six months on the internet. There's no foundation for the
7
8 question -- that's also compounds [inaudible].
9 THE COURT: [inaudible] no time, no -- we're talking
10 about one day of one election. That's all we're talking
11 about.
MR. BROWN: Yeah. I'll establish a foundation for
12
13 that. The relevance -- I think there was a -- related to relevancy.
14
15 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Bernhard, in your experience and in
16
17 your expertise, would the likelihood of a defect in
18 the election on November the 6th, 2018, be increased or decreased based upon how Georgia's particular
19
20 system was maintained?
A. It would be increased.
21
22 Q. Okay. Now what did Georgia do or not do to increase the vulnerability of the DRE machine that it
23
24 uses?
25 A. We first found in 2016 from Logan Lamb that

1 there was a server that is connected to the
2 [inaudible] system or at least adjacent to it that was open to the internet running an incredibly
3
4 vulnerable version of a software called Drupal. To give you an idea of how vulnerable it is, I set up my
5
6 Drupal residence and within 24 hours it was compromised.
7
8 We also saw in 2018 leading up to the election
9 that the voter registration page has significant
10 vulnerabilities in it. And all of these could serve
11 as potential records for malware or, you know, other -- they all show a tendency for a lack of
12
13 fastidiousness and, you know, a general carelessness from a technical perspective that may indicate that
14
15 errors were made or there was malware in the system or -- you know.
16
17 Q. And did that contribute to your assessment
18 of the level risk in Georgia?
A. Yes.
19
20 Q. And what was your conclusion about whether the risk was increased or decreased?
21
22 A. So, based on the inherent vulnerability of the DREs, they're used and based on the repeated
23
24 security mistakes by the State of Georgia, my opinion
25 is that the -- Georgia's elections are far more

1 vulnerable than most other states and most other
2 elections in general.
Q. Now you identified -- you stated mistakes,
3
4 of what I interpreted to be mistakes. Could you list those again for us, please?
5
6 A. Yeah. The file system that used to distribute sample ballots and voter registration data
7
8 was left open to the internet. And the voter
9 registration page had two major vulnerabilities and
10 then one less significant vulnerability in it; and
11 because of the central nature of Georgia's election system, you know, they -- the Secretary of State's
12
13 office does distribute programming to all of the machines indirectly.
14
15 Those two vulnerabilities at the state level could potentially cause trouble, you know, everywhere
16
17 in the state; as well as, you know, again, show a
18 general lack of care for technical correctness, you know. Getting the technical stuff right and making
19
20 programming errors or for other things are more likely as well.
21
22 Q. Okay. Anything else? Any other problems, any other mistakes?
23
24 A. None come to mind.
25 Q. Okay. Let me -- to back up a little bit, I

1 do want to get something into the record.

2 THE COURT: This P-5? Is that P-5? P-7. Okay. I don't look at it until it's been admitted.

3

4 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Do you have Plaintiff's Exhibit 7 in front

5

6 of you?
A. Yes, sir.

7

8 Q. And what is Plaintiff's 7?

9 A. It is the National Academies of Science

10 Engineering and Medicine's report, Secured Boot, from

11 2018.
Q. And is it your understanding the entire

12

13 report is public and on the internet?
A. Yes, it is.

14

15 Q. And is Plaintiff 7 just a selection of those pages?

16

17 A. Yes, it appears to be.

18 Q. And what is your understanding of what the National Academy of Science's -- what study it

19

20 undertook and what the purpose of that was.
A. The National Academy of Scientists

21

22 attempted to kind of understand the overall --
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'm going to pose an

23

24 objection as to what another group of experts may have

25 said. Plus, it is what he said and he's the expert here.

1 He's the one that we can cross-examine. These folks
2 aren't here so we would object this line of testimony.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, he already testified.
3
4 [inaudible] kind of information -- he already testified
that this is the --
5
6 THE COURT: He can testify to what he's read and who
he's talked to, what all he's done. He can't just read
7
8 off a document that somebody else has -- based on having
9 done all that, then he has certain opinions, which I've
10 heard pretty loud and clear. Those are all his opinions
11 based on all this information and training and everything
he's done.
12
13 But we're not having the documents here.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I believe that it's
14
15 admissible because it is -- he has established that this
is the kind of information that expert --
16
17 THE COURT: No. No.
18 MR. BROWN: Okay.
THE COURT: It becomes a substitute for evidence and
19
20 we're not going to do that.
MR. BROWN: Okay.
21
22 THE COURT: But I understand. He read it; it formed
part of the decision that he's made in this case.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Is the -- and let me ask a foundation --
25 I understand your ruling but to get on the record, is --

1 BY MR. BROWN:

2 Q. Are opinions such as the one in the National Academy of Sciences, things that experts in

3 your field rely upon to develop your expert opinion?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. And is it standard in your profession to rely upon that information?

6 A. Yes.

7 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would suggest again this is

8 the kind -- albeit hearsay, it is exactly the kind of

9 hearsay --

10 THE COURT: No. It's hearsay. He's relied on it;

11 he's read it; it's part of what -- you know, if he's up to PhD he's probably read thousands of pages of stuff. We're

12 not putting it all in.

13 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

14 BY MR. BROWN:

15 Q. You testified before that there are some telltale signs of actual defective machines; do you

16 recall that?

17 A. Yes, sir.

18 Q. And have you reached an opinion about whether those telltale signs were apparent in Georgia

19 for the 2018 election?

20 A. Yes, sir.

1 Q. And what in your expert opinion were those
2 telltale signs and what is the basis that you have for saying that it is?
3
4 A. So there is a -- to say that the literature is the [inaudible] where they lay out the foundation
5
6 for forensic election investigation --
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, same objection.
7
8 THE COURT: Hm?
9 MR. LINDSEY: You know, he could -- the question here
10 is, what were the telltale signs, not what another paper
11 may say --
THE COURT: You cannot quote what the paper said.
12
13 MR. LINDSEY: -- [inaudible] what another paper said.
MR. BROWN: That's right.
14
15 THE COURT: Let me make that -- you can say I relied on it to make my decision; don't have any problem with
16
17 that. That's what experts do. To get an expert in
18 anything you've got to read lots of stuff. But you can't say what it said; okay?
19
20 MR. BROWN: Bear that in mind.
BY MR. BROWN:
21
22 Q. Mr. Bernhard, what telltale signs did you observe of system defectiveness?
23
24 A. The significant under-vote rate in the
25 lieutenant governor's race, as well as reporting

1 various errors, you know. There were errors with the
2 review screen; voters were reporting the machines were [inaudible] in the middle of the voting
3
4 sessions, that error messages were popping up.
Q. Let's -- let me -- I can't -- I'm sorry.
5
6 Focusing on the election results, you said there was a significant under-vote and what is the basis of
7
8 your opinion that there was a significant under-vote?
9 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, first off, the objection is
10 that he hasn't established any kind of expert regarding
11 under-voting and whether or not the under-voting could be caught by machine or through other sources. He hasn't
12
13 laid that foundation.
As a matter of fact, they tried to lay that
14
15 foundation with the last expert and the judge ruled against them. So he simply has not laid any proper
16
17 foundation of kind of testimony.
18 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, the same objection, that there's not a foundation. Mr. Bernhard is not an expert
19
20 on election administration or under-votes. I think the numbers are already in the evidence -- we know what the
21
22 numbers are, so --
THE COURT: Yeah. We've already had testimony about
23
24 it live. So I sustain the objection.
25 MR. BROWN: Let me reframe it.

1 BY MR. BROWN:

2 Q. Do the voting results in some instances tell an expert like you that there may be a defect in

3 the system that needs to be investigated?

4 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, same objection as before.

5 THE COURT: That's a little bit different.

6 MR. LINDSEY: Same objection as before. I might also

7 add that he's asking for speculation of the word "may."

8 The --

9 THE COURT: I said weight and credibility is mine.

10 MR. LINDSEY: Huh?

11 THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to let him answer that

12 question.

13 MR. LINDSEY: Okay. Thank you.

14 THE WITNESS: Yes. I do believe that based on the data there were defects.

15 BY MR. BROWN:

16 Q. And what is it about the data that leads you to the opinion that there were defects in the

17 machines?

18 A. There would be -- there's a statically

19 significant correlation between the mode of voting and the amount of under-voting; and there's also a

20 statistic -- at least in one statistically

21 significant aberration in the [inaudible] where one

22

23

24

25

1 voting machine reported, you know, significantly
2 different results than every other voting machine in the precinct, which is highly unlikely.
3
4 Q. In your field -- well, let me back up a little bit. You said statistically significant
5
6 under-voting. Do you mean under-voting in the abstract or the comparison between under-voting on
7
8 the electronic machines and under-voting on the paper
9 machines?
10 A. Yes, that's correct. The under-voting rate
11 for paper ballots was significantly lower than it was for DRE machines in a statistically significant
12
13 [inaudible].
Q. And what is the statistical basis for what
14
15 you're saying?
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, objection. He's laid no
16
17 basis that he's in any way an expert on statistics.
18 MR. TYSON: Same objection, Your Honor.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor --
19
20 THE COURT: I mean, we've got all the figures. [inaudible] We've got all the figures. We know what
21
22 happened. We know what the numbers are. He says it's because the machines are defective. That's his opinion,
23
24 expert opinion, it's why all that happened.
25 Okay, beyond that, where are we going?

1 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the -- if I may back up a
2 little bit. With any phenomena, there's either direct evidence, eyewitness testimony, or you go through and you
3
4 eliminate every other alternative. That's -- you do --
THE COURT: But I've taken his opinion. His opinion
5
6 is because of those numbers and because of the differential between the paper and the machines, something
7
8 has to be wrong with the machines; am I right?
9 MR. BROWN: Okay.
10 THE COURT: I'm simplistic; I'm sorry.
11 MR. BROWN: Is there a way to --
THE COURT: I don't have a PhD.
12
13 MR. BROWN: Okay.
BY MR. BROWN:
14
15 Q. And let me ask this. Is there a way to quantify the likelihood of that voting pattern
16
17 happening simply by chance?
18 A. Yes, there is.
Q. And what is the answer?
19
20 A. Typically, you use a hypothesis test. In the two examples I cited [inaudible] one of them, the
21
22 -- I believe the under-vote has a less -- less than 1 to 10,000 chance of being -- happen -- sorry. A
23
24 less than 1 in 10,000 chance of appearing at random
25 or monthly in the course of an election.

1 Q. And then you -- you also testified about
2 Winterville?
3 A. Yes. The -- assuming that the voters were
4 randomly -- you know, that you didn't go to a machine based on your party or something like that. The odds
5
6 that one machine would have significantly more votes for one party but not the other machines have votes
7
8 for the other party is also incredibly low.
9 Q. And could you just explain -- we -- in case
10 the court has not heard about the Winterville
11 problem, could you just explain to the Court what you observed about the numbers at the Winterville voting
12
13 location?
14 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor --
15 THE COURT: About what? I missed the question. You went round and round and I didn't get it.
16
17 MR. BROWN: The question is, just to get -- he
18 testified about Winterville and what happened in Winterville, and I was just asking him to explain in
19
20 greater detail what did happen in Winterville in case that hadn't been made clear.
21
22 MR. TYSON: And Your Honor, this assumes facts that are not in evidence and I believe the evidence that
23
24 [inaudible] was submitted was not an avenue that Mr.
25 Bernhard regarding that precinct. So Mr. Barnhard [sic]

1 may need to lay a foundation and Mr. Barnhard needs to
2 talk about his personal analysis, if he conducted one.
BY MR. BROWN:
3
4 Q. Again, are you familiar with the voting
totals from the Winterville district?
5
6 A. Yes, sir.
Q. And where did you get that from?
7
8 A. The Secretary of State's vote results.
9 Q. And what did those numbers show?
10 A. In that precinct, I believe there were
11 eight DRE machines. On every DRE machine the
Democratic candidate won every race, except one where
12
13 a Republican candidate won every race by
approximately the same margin as the Democrat voting
14
15 on the other machines.
Q. And as a forensic expert, why is that -- is
16
17 that a telltale sign of a system defect?
18 A. Yeah. If you assume that the voters are
probably going to machines at random, you know, not
19
20 based on the party affiliation or anything like that,
it's extremely unlikely that you would see seven
21
22 machines with this incredibly regular pattern and
then one machine that's completely opposite of those
23
24 same machines.
25 Q. And from your background and experience in

1 software, is there an explanation for why that might
2 happen?
A. To me it seems like it might be a
3
4 programming error where you, you know -- all of the
machines you have A as the Republican, B as the
5
6 Democrat, but on this one machine for whatever reason
it was B as the Democrat -- or A as the Democrat, B
7
8 as the Republican.
9 Q. Just a code problem?
10 A. Yeah. Or I believe the other could be, you
11 know, obviously some other kind of error that the
machine incurred that wasn't even -- that was
12
13 programmed into it.
Q. And it could be an innocent mistake or a
14
15 malicious virus; correct?
A. Correct.
16
17 Q. Okay. And -- now, did you review
18 statements by eyewitnesses that led you to consider
one way or the other whether there may be telltale
19
20 signs of a defective system?
A. Yes.
21
22 Q. And what did you review?
A. I reviewed three affidavits from voters, as
23
24 well as the voter hotline report for -- for one
25 precinct.

1 Q. And from your perspective as an expert,
2 what sort of issues were reported that might have been telltale signs of defective equipment?
3
4 A. Voters were reporting machines were rebooting spontaneously. Some people were citing
5
6 that there were raw error codes showing up in logs on the machines, which is exceptionally rare in good
7
8 software.
9 There were also voters who had issues with the
10 review screen where they, you know -- one voter
11 reported that they voted their whole ballot, but they didn't see the lieutenant governor choice on it at
12
13 all. And then they get to the review screen and they see that it's there. Other voters were reporting
14
15 once they got to their review screen, they quit on a particular race to go back and change their answer or
16
17 review it or whatever. And it would just cast their
18 vote instead of taking them back to that screen. There were numerous errors that seemed to have
19
20 manifested.
Q. From your perspective, and based upon your
21
22 expertise, is there a way to -- just -- is there a way, one or the other, whether you can generalize
23
24 from those specific instances, any system-wide sort
25 of problems?

1 A. Generalized, no, I don't think so.

2 Q. And what would you need to do to determine whether the extent of the defect?

3

4 A. A forensic analysis of the software that was running on those machines would be necessary.

5

6 Q. Okay. And have you undertaken or started or tried to do a forensic analysis of these DRE

7

8 machines?

9 A. Yes, sir. I showed up to Fulton County

10 Election [inaudible] on Monday and was told that I

11 would not be allowed to do it.
Q. Okay. And this is just this Monday, three

12

13 days ago?
A. Correct.

14

15 Q. Okay. And have you had the opportunity to review the internal memory or examine the internal

16

17 memory of any of these machines?

18 A. No, sir.
Q. And if -- explain, if you will, sort of the

19

20 back and forth of the industry and I know it's all collegial, but just what the back and forth was

21

22 between you and the technicians down there.
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, objection to the relevance.

23

24 The Court has heard their motions to compel, have heard

25 the motions for discovery. The Court has heard their

1 proffer regarding what they believe that she should have
2 been entitled to, but they -- but the Court ruled against them. So I would object as this is not relevant. The
3
4 Court's already ruled on this.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we further object on this
5
6 as it is hearsay, the conversations he had with the technicians. He can say what he said, but what the
7
8 technician said is hearsay.
9 MR. BROWN: I'll take that as -- in reverse. It's
10 not hearsay because it's statements by the adverse party
11 and --
THE COURT: Well, I have seen everybody's briefs on
12
13 this point, and I see both sides of it. I did not order a forensic investigation. I specifically left that word
14
15 out.
I set out certain things to be done. Did you get a
16
17 forensic? No, we didn't get a forensic because I didn't
18 order a forensic. I'm not going beyond that.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, would you take testimony of
19
20 what it means -- what the word, internal memory, means?
THE COURT: I have some knowledge.
21
22 MR. BROWN: And I understand.
THE COURT: I'm not completely stupid.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Your Honor --
25 THE COURT: I happen to be a math/physics major.

1 MR. BROWN: Your Honor.

2 THE COURT: Yeah. I got sidetracked. Yeah. So if you want to do it, go ahead. Don't worry about it.

3

4 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Were you offered access to the internal

5

6 memory of a DRE machine?
THE COURT: I'm not going into that either. That's

7

8 what they objected to.

9 MR. BROWN: Okay.

10 THE COURT: Okay? The question was, how did he do

11 it? Okay? That was the issue. I was available; nobody called, except Mr. [inaudible].

12

13 MR. BROWN: Okay.
THE COURT: He emailed; right? Repeatedly. And I

14

15 repeatedly responded. This case has been my life for a week and a half. Go ahead.

16

17 MR. BROWN: I think we've --

18 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Bernhard, why didn't you inspect the

19

20 DRE machine?
A. Turning on the machine would affect the

21

22 internal state, so we were ordered to review the internal memory of the machine. That includes the

23

24 ROM, the read-only memory where the operating system

25 and the boot loader as well as the election data is

1 stored. Turning on the machine would necessarily
2 alter that data. There are ways to extract that data
without damaging it in that way. But, of course, we
3
4 couldn't perform that operation.
Q. So, you could have accessed it -- is it --
5
6 let me try to unpack that a little bit. Had you
accessed it -- you could access but you would have
7
8 altered the evidence? Is that what you're saying?
9 A. I would have altered some of the evidence
10 and would only have seen a very small part, but I
11 would have only seen the election archive, which is
not the internal memory. It's just a very small part
12
13 of it.
Q. Okay. And so the -- faced with the
14
15 alternative of altering evidence and not getting the
evidence that you were looking for, you decided not
16
17 to conduct the investigation; correct?
18 A. That's correct.
Q. And can you explain to the Court in a
19
20 little bit greater detail how and why booting the
system without making a copy of the internal memory
21
22 first can alter evidence.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, this was an issue that was
23
24 raised to the Court; both sides briefed it. The Court
25 ordered if there were any further questions to request a

1 conference call or anything like that. No such call was
2 requested.
The Court then heard, I believe -- I may be terming -
3
4 - the term [inaudible] -- but that you didn't file a very
extensive brief saying that you needed additional
5
6 discovery that wasn't being allowed to you. The Court
considered that brief and ruled against you. And now
7
8 today we're dealing with an evidentiary trial as to
9 whether or not there was a defect in the election system
10 in Georgia, that it -- was it great enough to overturn a
11 margin of victory over 123,000 votes.
That's the sole issue here today. If all of this is
12
13 simply regurgitating the same concerns that were
previously raised and [inaudible].
14
15 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, we just object on relevance
along the same line. What -- how did you turn on the
16
17 machines and a forensic examination not relevant to the
18 lieutenant governor's race, [inaudible].
THE COURT: Mr. Brown.
19
20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the -- this is the foundation
for establishing that the petitioners have not been given
21
22 any --
THE COURT: No. The petitioner was given. You
23
24 refused to take it; you wanted to do it some other way.
25 That issue is done. So go -- move on to another subject.

1 MR. BROWN: Okay. Your Honor, if I may -- and I'm
2 not --
3 THE COURT: No. Not if you may. You always want an
4 if you may. No. We've been through this over and over
5 and over again. You email me at 11:00 at night. You
6 email me at 2:30 in the morning. You would not believe
7 all the stuff in this case.
8 I've looked at it. I've made the best decisions I
9 know how; move on to something else. Question of
10 discovery is done.
11 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, just one more thing. I'm
12 going to --
13 THE COURT: You don't listen to me, do you, sir?
14 MR. BROWN: It's a different topic.
15 THE COURT: Okay. Different topic's fine.
16 MR. BROWN: This is a different topic. Different
17 topic. The diff -- but I -- I would like to say the
18 different topic is going to sound like the same topic.
19 It's different.
20 MR. LINDSEY: Can I just object now?
21 THE COURT: This is just -- I guess I'm on the record
22 -- this is just really good lawyering on both sides. I
23 mean, it's like -- no. If it sounds -- what is it? If it
24 looks like a duck and it quacks like a duck, it is a duck;
25 is that the one where you talk about in the South?

1 MR. BROWN: And I never agree with that, Your Honor.

2 THE COURT: Try one more question and we'll see where we go. You might get to testify. Go ahead.
3

4 MR. BROWN: Yeah.
BY MR. BROWN:
5

6 Q. If the State of Georgia wanted to investigate the causes of apparent anomalies, what
7

8 could it do?

9 A. It could perform a --

10 MR. LINDSEY: Objection, Your Honor.

11 THE COURT: I'm going to let him. I'm going to let him answer that, what the state could do.
12

13 THE WITNESS: It could perform a forensic investigation, which are the best practices recommended by
14

15 experts and have been for decades.
BY MR. BROWN:
16

17 Q. And based upon your interactions with the

18 State and your knowledge of the --
THE COURT: No. Not based upon his interactions with
19

20 the State. We're not going there.
BY MR. BROWN:
21

22 Q. Did the State conduct that kind of forensic examination?
23

24 A. No.

25 Q. Okay.

1 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would like to take a break
2 just to get a glass of water and then finish up with this witness, quickly.
3
4 THE COURT:
MR. BROWN: Thank you. Thank you, Your Honor.
5
6
[Off the record at 2:09 p.m., and back on the record
7
8 at 2:22 p.m.]
9
10 THE COURT: [inaudible]
11
CONTINUATION OF REDIRECT EXAMINATION
12
13 OF MATTHEW BERNHARD
14
15 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Bernhard, do you have experience
16
17 evaluating GEMS databases?
18 A. Yes, sir.
Q. Have you in the course of your work
19
20 reviewed or had access to GEMS databases?
A. Yes, sir. I've been sent to GEMS databases
21
22 from the State of Colorado, State of California, I believe the State of Alaska as well.
23
24 Q. And were those treated as nonconfidential?
25 A. Yeah. They were public records.

1 Q. Okay. Have you received that from the
2 State of Georgia?
A. No.
3
4 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, he's going back down the
same rabbit hole again and I object. This is once again a
5
6 matter that should have been taken up regarding discovery.
We're here today to hear the evidence as to whether or not
7
8 there was some kind of breach and whether or not it was
9 significant enough to change the election votes, not
10 whether or not they should get something they didn't get.
11 THE COURT: I sustain the objection.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. No further
12
13 questions.
14
15 CROSS-EXAMINATION
OF MATTHEW BERNHARD
16
17
18 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. [inaudible], Mr. Bernhard. So let me ask
19
20 you first, is it your personal belief that only paper
should be used for elections, hand-marked paper
21
22 ballots?
A. Hand-marked paper ballots that are
23
24 [inaudible].
25 THE COURT: [inaudible]

1 THE WITNESS: Hand-marked paper ballots [inaudible].
2 BY MR. TYSON:
3 Q. Have you ever worked on a political
4 campaign before?
5 A. No, sir.
6 Q. Now you talked about your research and various studies and what you've looked at. It's
7 correct, isn't it, that you're not aware of a single
8 instance anywhere in Georgia where there was a piece
9 of malware that was somehow propagated from a server
10 that creates the ballot format, down through memory card, onto the DRE; is that correct?
11 A. Correct. But again, that's just because they're machines. There's no [inaudible].
12 Q. But you're not aware of an instance where that's occurred; correct? Okay. And you're not
13 aware of an instance where anywhere in the nation
14 where a piece of malware has been propagated onto a DRE in an actual election, are you?
15 A. Not on the DRE voter registration systems, I guess.
16 Q. But as the DRE you're not?
17 A. [inaudible]
18 Q. Okay. Now you talked a little bit about
19 the Winterville precinct in Clarke County; is that

1 correct?

2 A. Yes, sir.
Q. Okay. And you said that -- did you perform
3

4 a statistical analysis at that precinct?
A. No, sir.
5

6 Q. Who performed that statistical analysis?
A. I think it was Philip Stark.
7

8 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor?

9 MR. TYSON: And so -- sorry.

10 MR. LINDSEY: He testified earlier as to the results

11 from that machine as if he himself performed that
examination after this Court specifically ruled that that
12

13 testimony from Mr. Stark was hearsay.
I'm going to move that that testimony that had been
14

15 previously raised regarding this be stricken from the
record because it's contrary to a prior ruling that he
16

17 could not testify as to what other people had done. And -

18 -
THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
19

20 MR. LINDSEY: -- and [inaudible] that he
mischaracterizes earlier testimony as well.
21

22 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I believe that the record
would say what the record says about what he says and
23

24 [inaudible] attempt to characterize it. The testimony was

25 that he had reviewed the evidence relating to the

1 Winterville.

2 I asked him what telltale signs and he used that as an example. We further established early on that the

3 reports of people like Stark are something that an expert in his capacity looks at and that he reviews the evidence

4 from all different sources.

5 MR. LINDSEY: He -- I'm sorry, go ahead. I'm sorry.

6 MR. BROWN: I don't --

7 MR. LINDSEY: I didn't mean to --

8 MR. BROWN: I don't remember him saying that he did

9 the math on Winterville, but that he knew the answer and he knew how to get it.

10 MR. LINDSEY: But he -- but -- but he has been specifically told on multiple occasions despite attempts

11 to solicit such testimony that he was not to testify as to what other people had done or what other people reported.

12 He was specifically admonished not to do that; and through

13 ambiguity and a question, he led this Court to believe that he, himself -- and I'm sorry, I --

14 MR. BROWN: Your Honor --

15 MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible]

16 THE COURT: Let him finish.

17 MR. LINDSEY: That he, himself, had conducted this

18 investigation of these tapes. He has not admitted that he

19 has not and I ask that his previous testimony be stricken.

1 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, same response. I would -- to
2 get at the truth --
3 THE COURT: Well, he gets -- it's his motion, so I'm
4 -- he testified as that he got the numbers from the Secretary of State, and that I will accept.
5
6 MR. TYSON: But he's now [inaudible].
7 THE COURT: But the rest of it I will not accept.
8 MR. TYSON: Okay. But he's now testified that he
9 didn't actually do that investigation.
10 MR. BROWN: But now [inaudible]?
11 THE COURT: Not yet. But that's how I heard it. I heard it that he got the numbers from Clarke County and
12
13 the rest of it -- he sounded -- he moved into it as if he'd done it all, but no, he -- obviously he hadn't do it
14
15 all --
16 MR. LINDSEY: Just by testifying that he didn't do
17 it, that's [inaudible].
18 THE COURT: -- it wouldn't be admissible. Okay.
19 MR. LINDSEY: Make sure that's on [inaudible]. I'm
20 sorry.
21 BY MR. TYSON:
22 Q. Mr. Bernhard, so you -- I believe we had just been through that Professor Stark had done the
23
24 statistical analysis of the Winterville precinct; is
25 that correct?

1 A. That's correct. I pulled the numbers
2 though beforehand.
Q. And so, when you say you pulled the
3
4 numbers, could you explain to us what that means?
A. I --
5
6 THE COURT: Did you pull the numbers?
THE WITNESS: Yes, I pulled the numbers.
7
8 THE COURT: I thought you said he pulled the numbers.
9 THE WITNESS: Okay.
10 THE COURT: And by moving into that you make it sound
11 like he did it all, but he didn't. He just pulled the
numbers.
12
13 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. So, Mr. Bernhard, after you pulled the
14
15 numbers it's correct isn't it that Professor Stark
did then the statistical analysis?
16
17 A. Correct.
18 Q. Okay. And you didn't personally perform
the statistical analysis in that precinct?
19
20 A. No, sir. Because Philip had already done
it. I didn't see a point in doing it again.
21
22 Q. How did you go about selecting or had -- do
you know how anybody selected the Winterville
23
24 precinct?
25 A. It was brought to my attention just because

1 of this oddity of his one machine having drastically
2 different vote totals than the other seven.
3 Q. And was that from plaintiff's counsel?
4 A. I believe so.
5 Q. And if I'm recalling correctly, the
6 machines on that precinct had anywhere between 117
7 and 144 votes per machine; is that correct?
8 A. That sounds about right.
9 Q. Okay. So the maximum number of votes on
10 the one machine would have been 144 votes; is that
11 correct?
12 A. That sounds about right.
13 Q. Okay. Mr. Bernhard, you don't have any
14 expertise in the process of ballot design; is that
15 correct?
16 A. I have designed ballots, but I suppose I
17 don't have that expertise; that's correct.
18 Q. And you never read any literature or
19 studies regarding design -- design of ballots and
20 voter behavior?
21 A. I have, yes.
22 Q. On voter behavior specifically?
23 A. Yes.
24 Q. Okay. So in scenarios where voters face a
25 high turnout a year -- strike that.

1 I believe your testimony earlier was that there
2 was an analysis of two precincts, the Winterville precinct and what was the other precinct?
3
4 A. I don't think I said there was an analysis. I -- two precincts were pointed out to me -- well,
5
6 more than two actually. Winterville [inaudible] the numbers off. Grady High School had machine errors
7
8 that were reported. The AME Church had errors that
9 were reported.
10 Q. So, in terms of an analysis though in your
11 expert opinion, you've only looked at the Winterville precinct; is that correct?
12
13 A. In terms of numerical analysis, yes. In terms of assessing how many errors that occurred, no.
14
15 Q. But let me just make sure I'm clear on that. So I thought you testified that Professor
16
17 Stark did the analysis on Winterville.
18 MR. BROWN: Objection.
Q. So have you --
19
20 MR. BROWN: Object, Your Honor. It's unclear as to what analysis he's talking about and there's a confusion
21
22 between the forensic [inaudible] --
THE COURT: Okay. Rephrase the question.
23
24 MR. TYSON: Okay. I'll be happy to rephrase. Thank
25 you.

1 BY MR. TYSON:

2 Q. So, correct me if I'm wrong, but I believe you testified Professor Stark performed the

3 statistical analysis of the Winterville precinct; correct?

5 A. That's correct, yes.

6 Q. Did you perform a statistical analysis of any precinct?

7 A. No, sir.

8 Q. Did you conduct a forensic analysis of any DRE machine in the state of Georgia for the November 2018 election?

9 A. No, sir.

10 Q. And so sitting here today, it's correct to say that you have no idea whether any malware resides on any DRE machine in the state of Georgia; is that correct.

11 A. Yes, that's correct and I reckon that no one else does either.

12 MR. TYSON: All right. That's all I've got.

13 CROSS-EXAMINATION
OF MATTHEW BERNHARD

14 BY MR. LINDSEY:

1 Q. Winterville's located in Clarke County;
2 correct?
A. I believe so.
3
4 Q. Okay. Clarke County is not in this
lawsuit, you're aware of that, right?
5
6 MR. BROWN: I object. It calls for a legal
conclusion and it's the wrong conclusion.
7
8 MR. TYSON: Clarke County is not a named party to
9 this case.
10 THE COURT: Well, Mr. Lindsay, what he said is in the
11 lawsuit --
MR. TYSON: I apologize.
12
13 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. Clarke County is not a named party in the
14
15 lawsuit; correct?
A. I believe so, yes.
16
17 Q. Okay. The voting strips haven't been --
18 you didn't bring the voting strips with you, did you?
A. You mean the pap- -- the poll tapes?
19
20 Q. Yeah.
A. No, sir. I don't have those [inaudible].
21
22 Q. And as you previously testified, it was Mr.
Stark that did the analysis of the eight machines
23
24 [inaudible]; correct?
25 A. He did the statistical analysis.

1 [inaudible].

2 Q. Okay. Now let's talk for a minute about your -- the odds that you raised. You've never done

3

4 any kind of -- well, let me back up. You're not a political science expert; correct?

5

6 A. No, sir.
Q. Okay. So you don't know the impact that an

7

8 -- that a large influx of new voters would have in

9 terms of their voting patterns with down-ballot

10 races? You don't know anything about that?

11 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I'll object to that and here's my objection. Cross-examination needs to be based

12

13 upon a good faith belief that there's going to be some submission of evidence to support the inference or the

14

15 predicate of the question.
That's the first point. In this case we know that

16

17 the State does not have any predicate because there's no

18 person on earth who could be qualified as an expert to render that opinion.

19

20 THE COURT: You're arguing. That's an argument. I'll overrule that objection.

21

22 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question?
BY MR. TYSON:

23

24 Q. I don't remember the question. I was

25 simply just by establishing that you have no

1 knowledge as to the pattern, behavior of new voters.
2 A. That's not true. I have -- I mean I've reviewed the political science literature insofar as
3
4 it informs my work.
Q. Okay. And if I understand correctly, you
5
6 don't have -- or rather you haven't done any investigation as to the particularities of this race;
7
8 correct?
9 A. I'm familiar with the races and the
10 candidates.
11 Q. Yeah. Are you familiar, for instance, with the fact -- with how the paper ballots were
12
13 configured in terms of the names versus the configuration of candidates on the electronic?
14
15 A. I can't say that I'm familiar with every electronic configuration because there can be
16
17 different -- on a county-by-county basis; the same
18 with paper ballots, but I'm generally familiar, yes.
Q. Okay. You are aware that the configuration
19
20 was different from the paper ballots versus the electronic; correct?
21
22 A. Yes, sir.
Q. Okay. Have you done any kind of analysis
23
24 regarding voter familiarity with Ms. Amico in terms
25 of how well they knew her? In terms of -- strike

1 that.

2 Are you familiar with any kind of data regarding Ms. Amico's name identification versus other

3 candidates running?

4 A. No, sir.

5 Q. You hadn't -- you hadn't reviewed any kind of polling that was conducted in this race prior to

6 the election; correct?

7 A. Not in this particular race, no.

8 Q. You haven't considered any kind of negative

9 treatment Ms. Amico received in the press shortly before the election; correct?

10 A. Correct. All of these factors can impact [inaudible].

11 Q. Uh-huh.

12 A. But the fact that this under-vote is so

13 incredibly different from all the other races on the

14 ballot, that seems incredibly suspicious. It's way different than, you know, any other case in Georgia

15 or anywhere else in the nation.

16 So even if there are factors like likability,

17 like lagging the polls, like ballot design, you would not normally expect to see that level of under-voting

18 distributed as uniformly across the state of Georgia

19 as it is and to defer between the votes voted [ph].

1 Q. Okay. Quite frankly -- and you're not
2 familiar with how the other races went in this state either are you?
3
4 A. I would with some of them.
5 Q. Yeah. You're familiar with the fact that
6 Ms. Abrams was, for want of a better term, on the Democratic side considered a superstar?
7
8 A. Yes, sir.
9 Q. Yeah. And you're familiar with the fact
10 that John Barrow running for Secretary of State, for
11 instance, was a well-known figure in Georgia politics?
12
13 A. Yes, sir.
14 Q. And are you aware of the fact that Mr.
15 Amico was still a relative unknown?
16 A. Yes. But I want to stress that the
17 particulars of the candidate would not necessarily
18 impact the under-votes. We saw under-votes impacting both candidates in this race.
19
20 Q. Uh-huh. And you don't know -- let me ask you this. While there was under-votes, you are aware
21
22 of the fact that, you know, in terms of the overall vote count for Ms. Amico vis-à-vis other Democratic
23
24 candidates, it's not like she got the least number of
25 votes; correct?

1 A. I'm afraid I don't understand your
2 question.
3 Q. Let me ask you this. There were ten
4 Democrats on the State ballot in 2006; correct?
5 A. 2006?
6 Q. I'm sorry. Wait a minute. 2018.
7 A. Yes.
8 Q. Okay. [inaudible] And there were other
9 Democratic candidates who received significantly
10 fewer number of votes that Ms. Amico received;
11 correct?
12 A. In the State races?
13 Q. Yes.
14 A. Statewide races? [inaudible].
15 Q. Yeah. As a matter of fact, Ms. Amico was
16 somewhere in the middle of the pack in terms of the
17 voters that she received versus other Democrats
18 running; correct?
19 A. Sure.
20 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I renew my motion -- my
21 objection to his testimony regarding Winterville and ask
22 that it be stricken in as much as he was not the
23 individual who did the analysis nor has the plaintiff
24 brought forth any of this --
25 THE COURT: I think I've ruled that the fact that --

1 MR. LINDSEY: -- [inaudible] testimony.

2 THE COURT: -- he looked at the numbers is admissible and the rest of it's not.

3

4 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor. Oh, one last question.

5

6 THE COURT: I'm glad you have Mr. Olens to help you, Mr. Lindsey.

7

8 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you.

9 BY MR. LINDSEY:

10 Q. You've been asked about Georgia when it

11 comes to machines and a -- [inaudible] involving the machines. You're not aware of any actual election,

12

13 not -- not a tested election but an actual election, where an actual vote cast on a DRE machine was -- was

14

15 not counted, are you?
A. No. But again, that's because of the way

16

17 the machine built, it's impossible to tell. You

18 cannot know.
Q. Okay.

19

20 MR. LINDSEY: No further questions.
THE COURT: Ms. Burwell, do you have anything?

21

22 MS. BURWELL: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Brown?

23

24 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

25

1 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

2 OF MATTHEW BERNHARD

3

4 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Bernhard, I want to go -- I want to go
5

6 over some of your answers.
When you were speaking about the under-vote as
7

8 being suspicious, were you referring to the under-

9 vote for the Democratic candidate or were you

10 referring to the under-vote the Democratic candidate

11 and the Republican candidate?
A. I was referring to both candidates.
12

13 Q. You testified that there -- I think Mr.
Tyson asked you whether they're in the actual
14

15 election any bad code had been found or something to
that effect; do you recall that?
16

17 A. Malware specifically.

18 Q. Malware. And I believe your response was
not what the DREs, but yes in the registration
19

20 system?
A. Correct.
21

22 Q. And could you explain your answer a little
bit in greater detail?
23

24 MR. TYSON: I'll object to the question. The voter

25 registration system is not an issue as far as I know at

1 any point in this lawsuit. This is about the election and
2 the DREs; it's not the voter registration system.
MR. LINDSEY: And it -- I'd add to that, Judge.
3
4 THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
MR. BROWN: Is the vote -- let me ask one more
5
6 question if I may help.
BY MR. BROWN:
7
8 Q. Is the voter registration system also a
9 part of the Diebold DRE system generally or is it
10 something else?
11 A. Yes, sir. Diebold makes the Express Poll
units.
12
13 THE COURT: Voter registration is not involved in
this matter.
14
15 MR. BROWN: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.
BY MR. BROWN:
16
17 Q. You testified and then you were asked very
18 quickly a question. I'm not sure if you finished
your answer, but that the anomalous voting pattern
19
20 was distributed evenly throughout Georgia. What did
you -- what was the basis of that testimony?
21
22 A. I believe something like 101, 159 counties
had an under-vote rate that was abnormal, and it
23
24 didn't seem to be, you know, tied to -- are they
25 left-leaning counties or right-leaning counties or

1 anything like that. It was only tied in with the
2 equipment.
Q. And would you -- sorry, you testified
3 earlier that you have expertise in a post-election
audit; is that right?
5
6 A. That's correct. Yes.
Q. And based upon your past post-election
7 audit experience and expertise, what would you
9 recommend the State of Georgia do to audit these
10 systems?
11 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object. What Mr.
Bernhard thinks or what he should do is a policy question
12 about a legislator is not relevant or pertaining to this
proceeding.
14
15 THE COURT: I'm going to let him say that solely
because they're asking for a brand-new election with paper
16 ballots and it would go to that issue should I get that
18 far.
MR. TYSON: Thank you, Your Honor. I just want to
19 object to one point related to that.
THE COURT: Yes.
21
22 MR. TYSON: I believe that the new election paper
ballots are the constitutional claims that are gone at
23 this point.
25 THE COURT: I understand that.

1 MR. TYSON: I think.

2 THE COURT: I understand that.
MR. BROWN: That's -- that's not correct. That's not
3

4 correct. That's not correct.
THE COURT: I understand what I can and can't do;
5

6 okay?
MR. BROWN: Okay.
7

8 THE WITNESS: Yes. I do recommend that Georgia run a

9 post-election audit. Given the anomalies that we saw, you

10 would likely have to target your auditing a little bit

11 more specifically. If we had a paper ballot system, we'd
be counting more ballots than if they weren't all these
12

13 [inaudible].
BY MR. BROWN:
14

15 Q. If you were doing a forensic examination in
place of an actual recounting audit, what would be
16

17 the first thing that you would look at if you were

18 the State of Georgia?
A. I would start with the programming. You
19

20 know, starting with the most innocent explanation and
working our way through all others, so looking at the
21

22 GEMS database, making sure it was coded correctly,
that there weren't errors with what you saw in
23

24 Winterville that may be a coding error. And making

25 sure, then after that you proceed.

1 Q. You -- I believe, your testimony was that
2 the first opportunity that you had to forensically inspect a DRE machine was Monday?
3
4 THE COURT: Let's not go there. I told you not o go there. You just did it and had the question and nobody
5
6 objected; they stood up but you didn't see them.
MR. BROWN: Okay.
7
8 THE COURT: Don't go there. The ruling has been
9 made.
10 MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.
11 THE COURT: I don't care what his was. This case was set for December 5th, period.
12
13 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor, we -- this is --
THE COURT: Sir, I don't want to hear --
14
15 MR. BROWN: I'll move on.
THE COURT: -- any more about that.
16
17 MR. BROWN: All right.
18 THE COURT: I am tired about hearing about that. I have gobs and gobs of paperwork about it; I've ruled.
19
20 Let's move on.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor.
21
22 THE COURT: To what real issue is here today.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I'm moving on.
23
24 THE COURT: You always want to get the last word;
25 I've noticed that, Mr. Brown.

1 MR. BROWN: No. I -- I want to not get the last word
2 out. I want to ---
THE COURT: You want to what?
3
4 MR. BROWN: I will -- I will stop right now.
THE COURT: Okay. Anybody else?
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: You may go. Thank you, sir.
7
8 MR. BROWN: Now, Your Honor, I'm not -- it sounds
9 like I'm arguing with you and this is just simply a matter
10 of procedure. We do not have the ruling yet on --- if you
11 issued a -- I mean clearly --
THE COURT: Ruling on what?
12
13 MR. BROWN: On the motion to compel.
THE COURT: I denied the motion to compel.
14
15 MR. BROWN: Okay. We -- was that -- you don't have
that?
16
17 MR. LINDSEY: I think she said, she denied all that.
18 THE COURT: It was somewhere in that last order I put
-- was it -- if it wasn't clear to you, it should be clear
19
20 now. The motion to compel was denied.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. We -- we -- just
21
22 for the record we did not know that.
THE COURT: Okay.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the petitioners would call
25 Michael Barnes.

1 THE COURT: Okay. Someone get Michael -- he's in the
2 courtroom. What's he -- who are those people?
3 MR. BROWN: Please raise your right hand. Do you
4 promise to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?
5
6 THE WITNESS: I do.
7
8 Thereupon:
9
10 MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES
11
12 was called as a witness by the Petitioner; and,
13 having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
14
15 DIRECT EXAMINATION
OF MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES
16
17
18 BY MR. BROWN:
19 Q. Please state your full name for the record?
20 A. Michael William Barnes.
21 Q. By whom are you currently employed?
22 A. The Secretary of State's office.
23 MR. BROWN: You may sit down. Thank you.
24 BY MR. BROWN:
25 Q. And what is your position with the

1 Secretary of State?

2 A. I am the director for the Center for Election Systems.

3

4 Q. And what is the Center for Election Systems?

5

6 A. We oversee the voting for in the state of Georgia. We also deal with the database programming

7

8 for all county elections executed in the state.

9 Q. Does --- was CES formally housed at

10 Kennesaw State University, for a lack of a better

11 expression?
A. It was.

12

13 Q. Okay. And when did it move to be a part of the Secretary of State's Office?

14

15 A. It ceased operations at Kennesaw State on December 31st of 2017 and was relocated to the

16

17 Secretary of State's office on January 1st.

18 Q. And when that happened, which staff members came -- which staff members were let go and which

19

20 staff members came with them?
A. I was the only staff member that came from

21

22 Kennesaw to the Secretary of State's office.
Q. And the other people were fired or let go

23

24 or whatever?

25 A. The other people were retained by the

1 university I believe for -- through the end of
2 January 3- -- January of 2018 and then with no funding to maintain the operation of the center, the
3
4 center was closed.
Q. Did your office manage the state's election
5
6 system in the November 2018 election?
A. Our center, as I stated earlier, oversees
7
8 the voting equipment that's used in the state of
9 Georgia for federal, state and county elections. And
10 we've constructed the GEMS database for all the 159
11 counties for the November 2018 election.
Q. And you said, "You constructed it for all
12
13 of the counties;" does each county get a different GEMS database?
14
15 A. Yes.
Q. And the GEMS database is a Microsoft Access
16
17 product, is that correct?
18 A. The GEMS database is -- stands for Global Election Management Systems and a data file is
19
20 produced from that executable program.
Q. And what is the executable program called?
21
22 A. GEMS, Global Elections Management System.
Q. Okay. And does the GEMS database contain
23
24 programming for the DRE machine memory cards?
25 A. The GEMS database is where -- from the

1 memory cards that are used to power the DRE units.
2 They're used for advance voting and in-person
Election Day use. The memory cards are created from
3
4 GEMS database.
Q. And could you just describe to the court
5
6 sort of physically the chain of custody of the -- of
the programming and I'm -- the purpose for this is to
7
8 distinguish between what the secretary does and what
9 the county does having to do with the county's
10 office? You said, that "the Secretary of State's
11 office is responsible for creating," I think you
said, "the GEMS database for all 159 counties," is
12
13 that right?
A. That's correct.
14
15 Q. All right. So, the counties then get a
GEMS database that's already done, for a lack of a
16
17 better expression?
18 A. Correct.
Q. And then what do the counties do with that
19
20 GEMS database or what is it used for?
A. Okay. Let me start first with the
21
22 Secretary of State's office; constructs the GEMS
database for the need of the given election. So
23
24 what's qualified and closest and we know what races
25 will be in specific election.

1 We know the candidates. We know the precincts
2 that will be involved based upon what the county has relayed back to us as their need for a given
3
4 election. With that information collected from the county, a database is then constructed for that given
5
6 election.
7 Once the database has been constructed by the
8 Secretary of State's office, it's then reviewed at
9 the Secretary of State's office for completeness to
10 validate that all of the races for that given
11 election and that have been included in the database, not only all of the races, but also all the
12
13 candidates.
14 Once our review of that process has been
15 completed we then provide to the jurisdiction, for lack of a better word -- sample ballots that are
16
17 produced from the GEMS database along with reports
18 that are detailing what ballots' files will be related to which precincts and to what district
19
20 combinational values those ballots are related to within those given precincts.
21
22 And that report packet is forwarded to each and every individual county with copies of their sample
23
24 ballots for them to review for their completeness,
25 for correctness. Once we then receive signoff from

1 the county and not until you receive signoff from the
2 county -- but once the signoff is received from the county, then the database is saved to a CD, burned to
3
4 a CD and that CD encrypted, and then that CD is forwarded to the local jurisdiction for them to then
5
6 take that CD, contact our office via phone, provide a verification ID and return the CD back to our office,
7
8 so that we know that they have the CD that we've
9 provided.
10 And then once we've validated, we're talking to
11 who we're supposed to be talking to at the county, we then give them the passcode to be able to then
12
13 decrypt the CD to access the data file that's saved to the CD; and then that file is loaded to the
14
15 county's local GEMS computer where they then -- the county -- inspects the file to validate that what has
16
17 then been provided to them is what they signed off
18 on.
Once it is loaded to their system then the
19
20 county begins configuring the database for that election. And what I mean by that is, is putting
21
22 information into the database that says we will be using x number of devices at these given locations
23
24 for advance voting, for Election Day voting, and also
25 preparing the memory cards that are used for the

1 optic scanners that scan the mailout absentee
2 ballots.
Q. And then just the -- what -- what in that
3 process then drives the actual programing of a
4 specific DRE machine, taking it from the GEMS
5 database to me voting or you voting on a machine?
A. Okay. All right. Once the county has
6 loaded the GEMS database into their GEMS computer,
7 they then tell -- instruct the database that they
8 need to correct a certain number of individual memory
9 cards.
So if they have a voting location that has the -
10 - I think they use five DRE units -- they have to put
11 that information into the GEMS database and say that
12 for location A we will be creating a five memory
13 cards. They then go through a process of
14 transferring the information within GEMS onto a
15 memory card that is read by a DRE machine.
Once that memory card is created, that memory
16 card is then taken into the DRE unit, which it will
17 married with for that given election. When that
18 process takes place there're labels on the memory
19 cards that notate what machine ID this memory card
20 is.
21 The county's also normally notate on those

1 little print-on labels the physical device and put
2 the physical device serial number to which they are placing that memory card into, so that when the
3
4 memory card is removed at a later point in time and something happens, they know what machine to give it
5
6 back to if they needed to access the machine for some reason.
7
8 The memory card is inserted, and then the
9 counties go through a logic and accuracy test where
10 they bring up -- they power on the device and they go
11 through testing it. This testing is done to validate, to calibrate the test screen to make sure
12
13 it's receptive to touch. They also set the date and time on the device to make sure it's set to the
14
15 proper date so that when tapes are printed out of the machine pre- and post-election, so that it has a
16
17 proper date stamp.
18 The date stamp doesn't affect the operation of the system, but it's helpful to have when you're
19
20 trying to make sure that things are done in the proper order. Once the memory card's loaded and they
21
22 go through their diagnostics testing, which is where they're testing the printer, they're testing
23
24 calibration or setting the calibration to make sure
25 it's proper, saving the date and time, testing the

1 printer.

2 Also testing the card reader that's used to read the voter access card that the voter will be

3 inserting. Once they complete that diagnostic testing, then they start doing -- they start

4 inputting test ballots, where they will take normally a test date that is prefilled by the jurisdiction.

5 It says we're going to cast X number of votes to

6 validate all touch positions on the DRE are

7 responsive; and also to validate that all races and

8 candidates are visible on the screen and are showing up on the all ballot as needed for the given

9 election.

10 That logic [inaudible] testing is publicized.

11 It is put into notification so that any member of the public that would wish to observe the testing and

12 come and observe the testing.

13 And it has to be included by a certain point in time so that the machines, once it clears logic and

14 active testing, they can be set for election, sealed and get ready for distribution to the polling

15 location or to the advance voting location.

16 Q. Have -- are you aware of any time when a

17 logic and accuracy test has picked up a programming

18 error?

1 A. Can you provide me -- programming errors,
2 what do you mean by programming errors?
Q. Sure. Let me give you an example. Suppose
3
4 -- well, let me back up two steps. The -- there
would be some programming to instruct the system and
5
6 then if the voter presses here the vote goes there,
are you with me?
7
8 A. Mm-hmm.
9 Q. The mapping of the votes from the screen to
10 the actual vote, are you with me?
11 A. Sure.
Q. Now, it would --- if you want, I'm not
12
13 suggesting you did this, but if you wanted to switch
that, so that pressing, you know, voting for the
14
15 Republican recorded a Democrat voter or a
Libertarian, where would that programming reside, and
16
17 could you do it?
18 A. As my knowledge based on the system, I can
speak to it as a user of the program --
19
20 Q. Sure.
A. -- of how you lay candidates out
21
22 specifically within a race. I'm not aware of a way
to organize the candidates to get one display and
23
24 then once where you touch it next to a candidate,
25 that their results show up next to another candidate

1 in another order. I'm not aware of how you would do
2 that.
3 Q. Now, there has been some testimony about
4 voting totals in a particular district where you had
5 -- it was heavily -- I'll just give you like a hypo
6 and then the purpose of this is not to or get you to
7 agree with the facts, but simply to pull out your
8 testimony on the program, but -- but just assume
9 there is a voting location in which is heavily
10 Democrat voting location and six of the machines show
11 heavily Democrat votes and the seventh machine shows
12 very heavy Republican votes, which some might lead
13 some access it didn't have, like you know, a six-pack
14 of beer on it or something that was attracting
15 everybody to win a particular vote, but that they
16 went evenly, there might be some sort of coding error
17 in which it was switching from one candidate to the
18 other now by mistake.
19 If that were a programming error and I just want
20 to know where would that be?
21 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'm going to object to
22 the questioning; assuming facts that are not in
23 evidence that the court has already stricken.
24 MR. BROWN: That's not --
25 THE COURT: Let him --

1 MR. BROWN: I'm -- I'm sorry. I'm sorry. I'm
2 sorry.
MR. LINDSEY: I believe the court has ruled to
3
4 strike that analogy that it lead to those to -- to
that allegation and for that reason, Your Honor, we
5
6 would object.
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, that also calls for Mr.
7
8 Brown speculates as far as what might have happened
9 or what did happen.
10 THE COURT: Well, it's a hypothetical and I'm
11 going to let him answer to the best of his knowledge.
MR. LINDSEY: Okay, Your Honor.
12
13 THE COURT: He's one of the experts on this
stuff. But I take it as a hypothetical.
14
15 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Do you understand all that?
16
17 THE WITNESS: I'm -- I'm trying, yes, I am. I'm
18 trying to figure out the best way to answer the
question to the best of my knowledge.
19
20 THE COURT: Okay.
THE WITNESS: When -- when the GEMS database is
21
22 built, it builds a particular race, with a particular
set of candidates, in a particular order. When it
23
24 creates a memory card for a given location and it
25 creates that image and places it to the memory card.

1 And then that memory card is loaded to a device.

2 If that -- if I create five memory cards for a given location, those five memory cards are the same

3

4 content in structure and layout and in operation; they don't know what machine their going to be placed

5

6 in. The memory card just contains data. The memory card is read by the machine; the machine then shows

7

8 then that.

9 Q. And so, have you ever seen it in doing the

10 logic and accuracy test? Has that ever yielded, you

11 know, if it's not logical; it's not accurate and we need to go back and look at the coding to see why

12

13 that happened?

A. I have never seen in any of the testing

14

15 that we have -- that I've been involved with since 2001, with using this equipment where something that

16

17 was placed in during the testing environment did not

18 feedback the expected result that was in the testing.

Also, when doing various tests within elections

19

20 mode -- my work within -- at Kennesaw State and also with the Secretary of State's office -- not just

21

22 testing in election -- pre-election test mode, which is where an LMA testing is done -- but also testing

23

24 in election mode which is when election -- the mode

25 where elections are executed, I have never

1 encountered a situation where I put in one particular
2 vote and this way and it came out differently in the report.
3
4 Q. Is it -- in one of the responses is collecting and reviewing complaints that you've
5
6 received from voters about problems with elections?
A. We -- if we do receive a complaint -- and
7
8 the majority of the complaints come into the
9 elections division, that arm of the office. Our main
10 collection point are election officials themselves.
11 And if we get a notification from a county election official that, you know, we encountered this
12
13 instance when doing our testing, then that would be something that we would go and look into, find out if
14
15 there was something out of order.
Q. Now, you've received complaints about the -
16
17 - the operations of the machines in the November
18 election, right?
A. In November -- I mean, it was a general
19
20 election where there were a few million people voting, so we did get complaints about a touch screen
21
22 not powering on. We got complaints about a voter access card being rejected prematurely; about a
23
24 touchscreen apparently not being calibrated properly.
25 Things that we hear about with every election and

1 we've heard every election since 2002.

2 Q. How -- the -- did the complainant know that the screen was miscalibrated?

3

4 A. How do I know that they know --
Q. No. How did --

5

6 A. How did they know it was miscalibrated?
Q. Right?

7

8 A. I can't speak for how they knew. It's just

9 that they said that, you know, they encountered a

10 calibration issue.

11 Q. So, what the original touchscreen was different then the summary screen or something like

12

13 that?
A. Or they, you know, felt like they were

14

15 touching one area, but another area it was -- was appearing.

16

17 Q. Okay. What other complaints -- you've

18 mentioned a number, but what other complaints did you receive in 2018?

19

20 A. Any of the complaints that I've already outlined are the general complaints that I've heard.

21

22 And I did not personally take a lot of complaints on Election Day. I think I wrote down in my notes maybe

23

24 five or six complaints on Election Day.

25 Q. Okay. And then what was the office that

1 you said takes the ones from voters themselves, not
2 from officials?
A. That's the election division.
3
4 Q. Okay.
A. That's a different section, Secretary of
5
6 State's office.
Q. And -- and those -- okay. Let me take you
7
8 back to the weekend before the November election; are
9 you familiar with the alleged hacking of the voter
10 registration database on that weekend?
11 A. [inaudible] --
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I would object on this. This
12
13 does not -- in any issue of the voter registration
database in this case; lieutenant governor's race. This
14
15 is not about the DREs. I don't think it's relevant to
anything in this court.
16
17 MR. LINDSEY: Same objection, Your Honor. We're here
18 to deal with one race and one election.
THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
19
20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, this is hacking into the
election system that the Secretary of State --
21
22 THE COURT: I'm not going to let you go into that
unless you can show hacking into the -- into the actual
23
24 voting system, not the voter registration system.
25 MR. BROWN: Let me ask a ---

1 BY MR. BROWN:

2 Q. Could -- could -- could hacking into the registration --- the hacking into the registration

3 system could affect the number of people who are allowed to vote for a particular election; correct?

4 MR. TYSON: Your Honor.

5 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, the same objection as

6 before. That's not the issue here today. The issue here

7 today that you've raised to overturn this election is that

8 there was some type of -- of breakdown in the election

9 system; not that there - a certain voter was not allowed to vote, which we would also deny, but that's a different

10 issue. That's the issue here, so we object to the relevance.

11 THE COURT: Sustained.

12 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.

13 BY MR. BROWN:

14 Q. All right. Let me ask you this; are you aware of any investigation that the Secretary of

15 State did into hacking relating to the 2018 election?

16 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, he's being vague.

17 THE COURT: That's the same question.

18 MR. LINDSEY: It's just leading.

19 THE COURT: Artfully. Phrased differently.

20 MR. TYSON: I disagree that it was artful, and I

1 disagree it was different; it's just simply broader.

2 MR. BROWN: I'm asking if there was any.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'm asking --
3

4 THE COURT: If it goes to the election system itself,
not to the voter registration, the actual -- actual -- was
5

6 there any hacking in the actual voting system?
THE WITNESS: [inaudible].
7

8 BY MR. BROWN:

9 Q. And you did not investigate any hacking

10 into the election system as distinguished from the

11 registration system; correct?
A. Correct.
12

13 Q. And the Secretary of State's -- okay --
you've testified about it before I know there's been
14

15 a lot of testimony about the exposure of the system
at Kennesaw State in 2016 and 2017; are you familiar
16

17 generally with that issue?

18 A. I am.
Q. What has -- has the state undertaken a
19

20 forensic examination of the components of the
election's system to determine whether or not it was
21

22 infected with any malware because of that it's the --
-
23

24 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, once again, we're talking

25 about two entirely different systems; and unless he's

1 dealing with specifically the voter system we're going to
2 object to relevancy.
MR. TYSON: We would object to the lack of foundation
3
4 as far as the 2016 incident that affected any sort of like
databases. This is two years ago. There's no explanation
5
6 as to how --
THE COURT: I sustain it as to whether there's been
7
8 something because of something that happened that isn't in
9 front of me. I mean, you know, did they investigate
10 routinely for malware? I mean, that's one thing. But --
11 not going back and try to put something else on the record
that's not before me.
12
13 MR. BROWN: Well, I'll get it before you, Your Honor.
BY MR. BROWN:
14
15 Q. Now, Mr. Barnes, the -- what was exposed to
the public internet in 2016 and 2017?
16
17 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, we going to renew the
18 objection again.
THE COURT: Sustained.
19
20 MR. BROWN: Okay.
BY MR. BROWN:
21
22 Q. Mr. Barnes, what forensic review has your
office done with respect to the DRE machine voting
23
24 systems that were used in the 2018 election?
25 A. The Secretary of State's office in 2017

1 undertook a recertification of the voting system and
2 examined the equipment in three separate counties;
Muskogee County, Richmond County and Bibb County, to
3
4 examine and verify the voting equipment was working
as had been previously certified. And the
5
6 examination found that the equipment was working in
the same fashion and the same way as it had done
7
8 through previous certification tests.
9 Q. I had asked you if you -- if the Secretary
10 of State had done a forensic analysis and I guess the
11 answer is no, but they have done -- they have
recertified it, is that fair to say?
12
13 A. That's fair to say.
Q. Okay. Has the Secretary of State
14
15 undertaken an investigation into possible causes of
the voting pattern that was seen on the May 2018
16
17 election?
18 A. Undertaken an investigation, like, opened
an investigation to present to the state election
19
20 board?
Q. I -- I didn't mean to -- to wrap too much
21
22 into the word investigation, what I mean is if have
they looked into it to see what happened?
23
24 A. With every election with the Secretary of
25 State's office and elections division always look at

1 their election to see is there anything that we can
2 learn from this election.
And every election there's always an opportunity
3
4 to learn more about doing the next election even
better. So to the circumstance, has the Secretary of
5
6 State's office looked at the elections to, you know,
garner what can we do better in the future?
7
8 Absolutely.
9 Q. Has the Secretary of State considered that
10 whether there was a system error that caused the
11 voting pattern that was actually experienced in the
2018 race?
12
13 A. To my knowledge, no.
Q. Has that issues been raised just in casual
14
15 discussion in -- in your office?
MR. TYSON: I'll object to that. That requires
16
17 hearsay. What other people have said to Mr. Barnes in his
18 office is not at issue, Your Honor.
MR. BROWN: I'll -- I'll rephrase it.
19
20 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Have -- have -- have you discussed with
21
22 your colleagues' potential causes of the -- of the
voting pattern that was going on 2018?
23
24 A. Well, being hypothetical of what, you know,
25 what may cause people to act the way they do in

1 certain situations?

2 Q. No. This is -- this is -- I'm not -- back up a little bit. I'm not -- this is a factual

3

4 question.
THE COURT: Well, it's kind of very, very broad and

5

6 he's interpreting it one way and you're meaning it some other way.

7

8 MR. BROWN: Right. Have -- let me ask it in several

9 different ways, okay.

10 BY MR. BROWN:

11 Q. Has the Secretary of State undertaken an investigation to determine whether system defects

12

13 caused the voting pattern in the 2018 race?
A. No, sir.

14

15 Q. Has the Secretary of State done any forensic examination of any of the components of the

16

17 system to determine whether or not they're were

18 infected with any malware or misprogramming that might have affected the vote, period?

19

20 A. The Secretary of State's office received a letter from one of the lieutenant governor candidates

21

22 post-election stating one of -- stating a concern and listed some counties in that letter. Upon receiving

23

24 that letter, Secretary of State's office went to one

25 of the counties in question and did look at the

1 uploaded records into the GEMS computer.

2 They also extracted information from individual machines that wer used on Election Day to validate

3

4 that the records contained on the machine matched what has been uploaded previously into the GEMS

5

6 computer. Those valid images were produced and examined and found that everything was balancing and

7

8 showing as what was reported initially by the county

9 on Election Night.

10 Q. So that if the vote was wrong at the

11 precinct level, it was wrong exactly the same way at the Secretary of State's office, is that what I'm

12

13 hearing?
MR. TYSON: I'll object. I think that assumes facts

14

15 that aren't in evidence. I don't understand what the question is on that.

16

17 MR. BROWN: I think he can answer it. I think he

18 knows where I'm going.
THE COURT: No. I sustain the objection. You've got

19

20 to rephrase it. You keep assuming a bunch of things. That's just --

21

22 MR. BROWN: Okay. [inaudible]
BY MR. BROWN:

23

24 Q. So the analysis showed that the vote total

25 in the precinct matched the vote total at the

1 Secretary of State's office; correct?

2 A. The analysis showed that the vote total calculated by the individual devices examined and the

3 vote total tabulated by the separate GEMS computer using the data extracted directly from the machine

5 and compared against the data uploaded from the memory card used on Election Day was identically the

7 same, in that the vote totals calculated being

8 exactly the same in all instances examined.

9 Q. Okay. If the machine itself had

10 incorrectly recorded the vote, your testing would not have detected that; correct?

12 A. The testing that was done was to validate that -- what the machine had produced, the machine

14 continued to produce and show that result [inaudible].

16 Q. Okay. Let me ask it to you again because

17 you didn't answer the question. I'm going to get there, okay. If the machine did not correctly record

19 the vote, you would not have detected then in your review; correct?

21 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'm going to object to that. I guess assuming things are in evidence here as far was

23 what's happening in the sequence of the technology. I

24 don't -- I don't see how this --

25

1 THE COURT: I understand what you're saying.

2 MR. TYSON: Okay.
BY MR. BROWN:
3

4 Q. Go ahead and answer.
A. What our examination did is show that the
5

6 machine collected a result and reported what it
collected. All of my testing has always shown in
7

8 pre-election, in the testing, that anything that goes

9 in is what the machine shows coming out. And we were

10 seeing the back end of what the machine was pushing

11 outward and it was matching up on what was reported
on Election Night, what was printed on Election Night
12

13 from the memory card, extracted from the device. It
was matching up with what was calculated by the GEMS
14

15 computer upon receipt of that memory card and also it
was matching of what was on the record contained by
16

17 the devices, so.

18 Q. But none of that -- again, none of that
could tell you if the machine itself is recording the
19

20 vote, right?
A. All I can speak to is what the machine has
21

22 in memory, what it reported, and what it's saying is
on record.
23

24 Q. And you're familiar with the expression

25 garbage in, garbage out?

1 A. I've heard it before, yes, sir.

2 Q. Okay. And in response to Candidate Amico's letter, did the Secretary of State conduct any

3

4 machine-level investigation with any of the memory chips or anything like to determine if there were any

5

6 flaws there?
A. We inspected two machines on site in Ben

7

8 Hill County. We recovered from the machine's

9 internal memory the election result file that was

10 stored on the machine. We then -- once that image

11 file was restored, we then looked at the ballot images that were collected in that file through the

12

13 DRE.
So we looked at the physical DRE display of

14

15 those collected ballots and that requires us to touch the screen to maneuver through and we did not

16

17 encounter any issues when touching the screen that

18 lead us to believe that the screen was not responsive to proper touch or use. And we then from those

19

20 devices printed out new totals from that device and those totals match all previous introduced totals.

21

22 Q. You say you looked at the election reports [inaudible]?

23

24 A. The election result data. The file --

25 result file.

1 THE COURT: I have no idea what that is.

2 MR. BROWN: It sounds like a machine.
THE COURT: Huh?
3

4 MR. BROWN: Printing machine.
THE COURT: The printing machine.
5

6 MR. BROWN: [inaudible]
THE COURT: What printing machine?
7

8 MR. BROWN: It's very fast.

9 THE COURT: I was going to say it was on the roof but
10 we're on the second floor and there's seven floors on this
11 building. It's information for the presiding judge who's
not in here. Yeah. It's information for the presiding
12
13 judge that's not in here, so. There's some -- they move
us all around all the time. So I'll put that back there.
14
15 There's Judge [inaudible]. Go ahead.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
16
17 BY MR. BROWN:
18 Q. Is the election -- well, what was the name
of that file again?
19
20 A. The ballot results on.
Q. The ballot results on. Okay. And so the
21
22 Secretary of State didn't look at other parts of the
internal memory, did it?
23
24 A. No.
25 Q. Okay. So it didn't look at the boot

1 loader?

2 A. No.
Q. It didn't look at the operating system?
3

4 A. When the machine powered on we did a review
of what operating system was displayed and it was the
5

6 standard certified operating system that was found on
those devices; as well as the install [inaudible] for
7

8 servers, that certified for use in the State of

9 Georgia.

10 Q. And the Secretary of State didn't give you

11 any actual sort of code-level review to look for
malware in the data?
12

13 A. Correct.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I caution that I'm entering
14

15 in an area that you've already ruled upon, but I want to
make a clarification.
16

17 The suggestion was made by the defendants in your

18 objection [inaudible] our case, it's not raised, issues
involving the registration --
19

20 THE COURT: Just ask the questions, please. Just ask
the questions. Don't ask me to prove judgment. Let's
21

22 just -- for the question, ask it, and we'll go from there.
MR. BROWN: I want to be careful --
23

24 THE COURT: If they don't like, they'll jump, and

25 we'll do it then.

1 MR. BROWN: I just want to be careful [inaudible]
2 respect to --
THE COURT: [inaudible]
3
4 MR. BROWN: Okay.
BY MR. BROWN:
5
6 Q. Now the election's registration system has been plagued with difficulties over the last --
7
8 THE COURT: I have ruled on that. Don't go there.
9 MR. BROWN: Okay. The reason I'm asking --
10 THE COURT: And like I said, they jumped up. Okay.
11 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would just point out just for the record is that is our allegation paragraph
12
13 [inaudible].
THE COURT: I ruled on it. Quit pushing me all the
14
15 time.
BY MR. BROWN:
16
17 Q. Let me direct your attention to the ballot
18 design. Now what kind of role does your office have in ballot design for the electronic system?
19
20 A. When using GEMS, we first lay out the ballots so that -- structurally is what we focus on
21
22 first, make sure we have the right local districts in play, have the right precincts in play, and have the
23
24 right split precincts in play when a precinct is
25 divided.

1 Q. Okay.

2 A. And then have the right races and have the right candidates and have the right polling

3

4 locations. GEMS then takes all of that relationship information and produces a ballot style. It is a

5

6 layout of individual races. It does it in two formats.

7

8 It lays out a ballot style for optical scan

9 purposes that we set the number of columns in the

10 ballot format for the general election in 2013. The

11 predominant format was three columns on the front side of the ballot and three columns on the back side

12

13 of the ballot. Some locations had to go to a four column in the front and four column in the back

14

15 because of the sheer number of races that were included on the ballot.

16

17 That's the configuration that we set up for the

18 printer op scan ballot. For the configuration of the touch screen we set up a two-column ballot and that

19

20 has been the format that we've had in place since before 2010. When we initially started using the

21

22 DREs in 2002, it was three-column ballot on the DRE. It's now currently transitioned to a two-column

23

24 ballot around 2008 or 2002. The decision was made at

25 that time that the State wanted to give more space on

1 the ballot for each individual race that was seen on
2 the DRE. So we set up a configuration from building the data set that we're going to have a two-column
3
4 ballot displayed on the DRE.
Q. Okay. Now the -- in terms of this
5
6 accessibility or fairness, are there some guidelines that you use for designing a ballot?
7
8 A. There are rules in the -- State Election
9 Board rules for displaying of candidate name, the
10 font size that you use, whether you do all caps. The
11 position of candidates is set by statute in general elections whether the Republican candidate or the
12
13 Democratic candidate is listed first or second. The order of races is set again by statute of what race
14
15 comes first in the ballot, what race is second, third, and so forth and so on.
16
17 Q. Are there rules or regulations governing
18 whether a ballot can go from one -- if a race can be on two pages? In other words, the first candidate on
19
20 one page and then the second candidate on the other page.
21
22 A. When we are building the database, I'm not aware there are rules that says it can't be that way.
23
24 But when we are building the database we -- one of
25 the things that we look at once we have built that

1 structure, we look at on a DRE to see if any of these
2 circumstances may be arising. Are the number of candidates so large in a given race that we have to
3 change the -- what we call the scaling of the image that is shown on the screen?
5
6 We did encounter this in 2016 with the presidential preference primary on the Republican
7 side. When we first built the database there -- when
9 we first built the first arrangement of the database
10 for proof of purpose it had 16 candidates. The
11 scaling size that we used was actually pushing candidates to a second screen. We adjusted the
12 scaling -- reduced the scaling so that all of the candidates showed up on one screen all together under
14 the same header so that we would produce any voter confusion.
16
17 Q. Now in this election, 2018, did you design
18 any ballots that had the lieutenant governor's race split between one screen and the next?
19
20 A. To my knowledge the -- every voter in the state of Georgia on Election Day when they were using
21 a DRE unit and it is the normal display, the standard display, it had two races on the first frame. It had
23 -- on the left-hand side it had the governor's race
25 and all the candidates, and on the right-hand side

1 it had the lieutenant governor's race and all
2 candidates. And those were the only two races on the screen.
3
4 Q. And if some other configuration appeared it would have been the result of a machine mistake or
5
6 error; correct?
A. If a voter had put it into magnifying mode,
7
8 which the voter has the ability to do so, they would
9 have only been showing one race on the first screen,
10 and that would have been the governor, and then the
11 second race would have been the lieutenant governor on the second screen.
12
13 Q. By that orientation, where would the splitting of the lieutenant governor race that you're
14
15 aware of [inaudible].
A. That I am aware of.
16
17 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, if I could take a minute
18 break --
THE COURT: Sure.
19
20 MR. BROWN: -- [inaudible]
THE COURT: Why don't we take a few minutes then.
21
22 I'll be back in about ten minutes. Okay.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
23
24 MR. TYSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
25 [Off the record at 3:30 p.m., and back on the record

1 at 3:37 p.m.]

2

CONTINUATION DIRECT EXAMINATION

3

4 OF MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES

5

6 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. I'm going to hand you what's been marked as
7

8 Plaintiff's 8 and [inaudible]

9 THE COURT: Exhibit 8? Okay.

10 Q. Let me direct you to the last page of

11 Exhibit 8. Is the first email on the last page of
Exhibit 8 an email from a Steven [phonetic] Clay to
12

13 you and others?
MR. TYSON: I object on this. This is related to the
14

15 [inaudible] state issue in 2016 it looks like in these
emails, so.
16

17 THE COURT: Okay. You're going to identify what 8 is

18 --
MR. BROWN: All right.
19

20 THE COURT: I know you're trying to identify the last
page, but what's the dates on it?
21

22 MR. BROWN: I can do all of [inaudible].
BY MR. BROWN:
23

24 Q. Mr. Barnes, does Exhibit 8 appear to be

25 true and correct [inaudible] emails between various people

1 at your office [inaudible]?

2 A. It appears to be email communications from the Center for Election Systems at Kennesaw State in

3

4 2017.
MR. BROWN: Move to admit, Your Honor.

5

6 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, this appears to be once again related to the incident at Kennesaw State --

7

8 THE COURT: In '17? In '17?

9 MR. BROWN: In '17 is related to the Kennesaw State

10 matter that does [inaudible].

11 THE COURT: You're going to have to [inaudible]. Based on what you just said does not [inaudible] for me to

12

13 admit. We're talking about 2018, November race, that's all we're talking about.

14

15 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, we have alleged and proven that [inaudible] in 2017 could still impact the system --

16

17 THE COURT: No, you haven't proven that.

18 MR. BROWN: Well, I haven't [inaudible]
THE COURT: [inaudible] You haven't done that. I'll

19

20 let it in; okay? I'm going to let it in, because you misstate so many things, Mr. Brown. You really do. And

21

22 if it's -- [inaudible]. I'll shut up and let you run the show.

23

24 MR. BROWN: No, ma'am, the reason why I said that is

25 the record was --

1 THE COURT: Sir, you have not proved it. I am the
2 one that determines what's proved. Whether you will, I don't know, let's find out.
3
4 MR. BROWN: I understand. Let me back up a little bit and I understand --
5
6 THE COURT: Don't argue with me all the time. Just ask the gentleman questions, please, sir.
7
8 MR. BROWN: Okay. [inaudible] I move to admit this
9 Exhibit --
10 THE COURT: I said I'll admit it. How many more
11 times do you want me to say that?
MR. BROWN: Turn to page 8.
12
13 THE COURT: Can I get a copy of it now, please? [inaudible] discretion.
14
15 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Barnes, what is the compromise that is
16
17 referred to on page 8 of Exhibit 8? And it's in the
18 email from Mr. Gay [ph] to Michael Barnes [inaudible].
19
20 A. I believe this is referring to the web server that was attacked at the Center for Election
21
22 Systems.
Q. And the web server housed what programs or
23
24 information?
25 A. It didn't house any programs. It was a

1 distribution server that counties would obtain, like,
2 ballot proofs, data files that power their Express Poll devices; data that the Center for Election
3
4 Systems constructed at Kennesaw State while it was under contract with the Secretary of State's office
5
6 and supporting counties in state and federal elections.
7
8 Q. And how was the -- that site compromised?
9 A. It was a web server and somebody
10 infiltrated the portions of the web server files that
11 were behind password protection.
Q. Let me direct your attention to the next
12
13 page [inaudible] and this may not be in [inaudible] sequence so I'm going to assume that they are
14
15 [inaudible]. On page 7 in Exhibit 8, do you recall receiving this email? Or recall being cc'd on this
16
17 email?
18 A. [inaudible]
Q. Did you have an understanding when you
19
20 received this what the author meant, or what it meant to you, that obviously this is kind of untenable in
21
22 the current atmosphere?
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, while you have
23
24 admitted this document --
25 THE COURT: [inaudible] I've just got to see it. The

1 first page refers to [inaudible] investigation in regard
2 to voter registration records.
MR. LINDSEY: Yes, Your Honor.
3
4 THE COURT: I've already ruled that out repeatedly; ruled that out.
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible] with whether or not the system that --
7
8 THE COURT: And these are not in any kind of order.
9 MR. LINDSEY: -- whether or not the system has --
10 whether or not this has anything to do with the system
11 that collects voters' actual votes in 2018. What about the system [inaudible] --
12
13 MR. BROWN: [inaudible]
MR. LINDSEY: Well, let me back up. I'm here to
14
15 state my [inaudible]. My objection, Your Honor, this dealt with an entirely different system. You've already
16
17 ruled it dealt with an entirely different system and we
18 would object --
THE COURT: I think the question was, there were
19
20 errors then, have they been corrected? That's the only question on the floor.
21
22 MR. LINDSEY: My --
THE COURT: [inaudible] isn't relevant.
23
24 MR. LINDSEY: Yeah, my objection is that this dealt
25 with a situation you've already ruled on, which was

1 [inaudible]

2 THE COURT: I can see we might want to [inaudible].
MR. LINDSEY: Is there a -- I mean, if we could
3

4 stipulate that whatever [inaudible] have not been fixed,
maybe that will speed things up. [inaudible] stipulate
5

6 that it's relevant because it's not [inaudible] with the
system at issue here regarding the 2018 election.
7

8 MR. BROWN: I would love to put Mr. Lindsey on the

9 witness stand for that but --

10 THE COURT: Why do you talk over the top of

11 everybody? Now you're talking over the top of Mr.
Lindsey.
12

13 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'm simply just restating
the fact that you've already previously ruled that the
14

15 issue at Kennesaw State had nothing to do with the
election in 2018 because it dealt with [inaudible]
16

17 THE COURT: I sustain your objection, Mr. Lindsey.

18 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
BY MR. BROWN:
19

20 Q. When did the Secretary of State change the
system after Kennesaw State?
21

22 A. The -- when operations transitioned from
Kennesaw State to the Secretary of State's office --
23

24 the transition began before the physical transition.

25 The Secretary of State began constructing their

1 internal --

2 Q. I apologize for interrupting you, but I'm asking you a date question.

3

4 A. January 1, 2018.
Q. [inaudible] January 1, 2018. And what did

5

6 they change?
A. The entire air gap private network that's

7

8 used to -- that houses the election programming

9 software, GEMS, at the state level was transitioned

10 to a new air gap system maintained by the Secretary

11 of State Information Technology office and became operational when the Center for Election Systems took

12

13 up residency within the Secretary of State's office. Prior to the use of that system, that system was put

14

15 through an analysis by [inaudible], which the voting system testing lab out of Huntsville, Alabama to

16

17 validate that the installation of GEMS owned that

18 system matches the installation that [inaudible] had previously inspected.

19

20 Q. Okay. So is it your testimony that the -- that everything was changed over on January 1, 2018?

21

22 A. That the system that's used to generate the GEMS databases that are designed -- that are

23

24 developed and issued to counties to execute their

25 elections, the system that those -- that work was

1 done on was a brand new system as of January 1, 2018.

2 Q. Okay. A brand new what? A brand new hardware, brand new programming?

3

4 A. Brand new hardware.
Q. Okay. What about --

5

6 A. We were still using the certified copy of GEMS that we had been using in the state of Georgia

7

8 since 2000 -- late 2011.

9 Q. Okay. So it was the same GEMS system?

10 A. We have been using the same GEMS program

11 throughout the state of Georgia uniformly since late 2011.

12

13 Q. And that same program that has continued in use was on -- was it on the web server --

14

15 A. No, sir.
Q. Okay. Was it put on a web server?

16

17 A. No, sir.

18 Q. Okay. So did anything on the web server get put on the same place as the GEMS database?

19

20 A. No, sir.
Q. Okay. The -- if the GEMS -- well, so you

21

22 said it's -- Mr. Lindsey said everything was changed, it's a different system, the GEMS database is the

23

24 same; correct?

25 A. We have been using the same GEMS program in

1 Georgia since late 2011.

2 Q. Thank you. Sorry to interrupt [inaudible].
Let me direct your attention to page 4 on Exhibit 8.
3

4 THE COURT: These were written before he said
everything was changed. So let's not go into --
5

6 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, he said the GEMS database
was not a change.
7

8 THE COURT: I understand.

9 MR. BROWN: [inaudible] Let me get right to the point

10 [inaudible] overtake I think an objection.

11 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Barnes, did any of the 45-plus critical
12

13 vulnerabilities -- 40-plus critical vulnerabilities
relate in any way to any -- to the GEMS database?
14

15 A. No, sir.
Q. Did the critical vulnerabilities relate to
16

17 -- into the DREs or in the way the DREs were current?

18 A. No, sir.
Q. What did they relate to?
19

20 A. They're related to a web server that was
distributing the data to counties -- counties for
21

22 elections. It was the portal that the Center for
Elections use as their outside communication tool,
23

24 their website.

25 Elections.Kennesaw.edu was housed on that

1 server. Counties have a sign-in to that location to
2 obtain some information like ballot proofs for review purposes, where they could portal in and access that
3
4 information.
Q. Mr. Barnes, I want to go back to your
5
6 testimony about the ballot design; do you recall that? And I want to clarify first, you were
7
8 describing the scalability of the ballot design,
9 different challenges. There's a lot of candidates,
10 like, in the presidential preference primary for the
11 Republicans in 2016. Does the county have an opportunity to participate in ballot designs?
12
13 A. The county has an opportunity to review the data set at any given time during the review process.
14
15 Most counties take the reports that we generate and provide to them that are sample ballots in the
16
17 optical scan format, because that's the easiest thing
18 to produce, the PDF format, and provide the counties for a layout -- of validating that the right races
19
20 are in the right place on the ballot.
But some counties choose, Gwinnett County being
21
22 one of them in November of 2018, to obtain a copy of the GEMS database while it is in production. For
23
24 them then to then take that database, install it onto
25 a DRE so they can see what it's going to look like on

1 the DRE themselves. And if they see anything that
2 they would like adjusted, then they notify our office of what needs to be adjusted and that adjustment is
3
4 made.
Q. [inaudible] and Gwinnett was a longer
5
6 ballot because it was in two languages; is that right? Was that one of the issues?
7
8 A. It was a more complicated ballot. It was
9 the first election that Gwinnett County was having
10 with multiple language, so they were doing a lot of
11 proofing in multiple languages to make sure the ballot was being displayed as they needed it
12
13 displayed to be in adherence with federal statute.
Q. I asked you before with respect to the work
14
15 that you -- your office had done with respect to the ballot design whether in any other various -- the
16
17 ballots had been out, the election for the lieutenant
18 governor was split between two pages and you said, no. Do you know if that's the case with respect to
19
20 what the counties ended up using [inaudible]?
A. We have the same DRE equipment at our
21
22 disposal as the counties have at their disposal. The image that we can generate on the DRE should be equal
23
24 to what a county is seeing on display when they are
25 generating. That's the best to which I can answer

1 that question.

2 Q. To the best of your knowledge.
A. To the best of my knowledge.

3

4 Q. To the best of your knowledge the ballots
displayed in the county, did -- would not have shown

5

6 on the lieutenant governor's race on two different
screens; is that right?

7

8 A. That is correct.

9 Q. That's all I have. Thanks, again.

10 THE COURT: Any questions?

11

CROSS-EXAMINATION

12

13 OF MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES

14

15 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. Mr. Barnes, let's pick up where Mr. Brown

16

17 had been talking ballot design. Let me show you what

18 would be marked as -- where is it I'm at? Duncan 2?
Duncan 2.

19

20 And I'm going to ask you if you will -- if you
could identify this and tell me what it -- what is

21

22 shows, [inaudible] design of the [inaudible] ballots
in I believe 200- -- 2018, 2014, and 2010. Does this

23

24 accurately show the ballot design of the governor and

25 lieutenant governor's races for those three election

1 cycles? [inaudible]

2 A. Yes, sir, it does.
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I would tender these into
3

4 evidence.
MR. BROWN: No objection, Your Honor.
5

6 THE COURT: Received.
MR. TYSON: [inaudible]
7

8 BY MR. LINDSEY [inaudible]:

9 Q. There is in this packet two pictures of

10 each year. Could you explain to the Court why?

11 A. Sure. The two pictures -- the picture --
there are two DRE models that are used in the state
12

13 of Georgia. There is the TSx model and there is the
R6 model.
14

15 The TSx is -- has the gray case around it. The
screen and the R6 model has the white case around the
16

17 screen. So these are images of both models

18 displaying the ballot from November of 2018.
Q. And let me go back and show to the 2010
19

20 screen and that screen -- rather, in these screens
the U.S. Senate race and the governor's race is on
21

22 the first page and the next page has the lieutenant
governor and other races; correct?
23

24 A. Correct.

25 Q. In 2014, there was once again U.S. Senate

1 race and once again the U.S. Senate is -- and the
2 governor's race is on the first page and the race for lieutenant governor and other state constitutional
3
4 offices are on the next page; correct?
A. Correct.
5
6 Q. Now, the situation is different in 2018; is it not?
7
8 A. That's correct.
9 Q. Why?
10 A. There was no United States Senate race on
11 the ballot in 2018. The first race on all ballots across the state was for governor.
12
13 Q. So as a result, so instead of being on two different screens, the governor and lieutenant
14
15 governor's race are both on the same screen.
A. That's correct.
16
17 Q. Correct? Okay. Now, you are as part of
18 your job, concerned about ballot design; correct?
A. Correct.
19
20 Q. And for instance you are knowledgeable, for instance, what happened in Broward County; correct?
21
22 A. Correct.
Q. Tell the Judge what happened in Broward
23
24 County.
25 A. In November 2018 in Broward County, they

1 had a -- I believe it's -- the United States Senate
2 race was at the bottom of the first column on the ballot.
3
4 It came below the set instructions. So at the very -- after those instructions ended, they had
5
6 enough space at the bottom of this piece of paper that they could include the United States senate race
7
8 at the bottom of that column and then the governor's
9 race was at the top of the second column on the
10 ballot. Other counties -- that was Broward County --
11 is the United States senate was at the bottom of -- underneath the instructions.
12
13 Q. So as a result in Broward County fewer people voted in the U.S. Senate race than they did in
14
15 other counties?
A. The layout in Broward County was different
16
17 than it was in other counties.
18 Q. Yeah.
A. In other counties the United States Senate
19
20 race was at the top of the second column. There was no race below the instructions on other county
21
22 ballots in Florida.
Q. And did that have an impact on voter
23
24 participation? Was that the concern that had
25 impacted the voter [inaudible]?

1 A. There was concern that because the race was
2 at the -- below the instructions that voters might not have noticed that it was below the instructions
3
4 and then may have just bypassed it and ignored it.
5 Q. Yeah. Now after this election, Mr. Brown
6 asked you, had you had any discussions about why there's a difference between the paper ballot down-
7
8 ballot voting for lieutenant governor and the
9 electronic ballot down-ballot race? Do you recall
10 him asking you that?
11 A. Yes, sir.
12 Q. And have you in fact had any discussion
13 about whether or not the ballot design may have impacted that race?
14
15 A. Post-election, you know, looking at the design of the ballot, we have always been very
16
17 pleased with the two-column ballot because it gives,
18 you know -- you know, two races very clearly separate apart but when we, you know, start thinking about the
19
20 election of what all was going on with the given election, could this ballot layout been confusing to
21
22 voters.
23 And there's a possibility that it could have
24 been confusing, especially for new voters that are
25 voting for the first time in the state of Georgia

1 that may not have had an interaction in voting in
2 Georgia or using a DRE. You know, a cursory glance of the screen like this you may feel like because the
3
4 Republican candidates are line up and the Democratic candidates are lining up that you make one selection
5
6 and you're voting for a ticket.
So that could be how a voter interprets that or
7
8 it may not be. I could just be that they see the
9 first race and they are focused on when they get to
10 the next element. Each individual voter's actions
11 that -- are their own.
Q. And in fact you are aware that program's
12
13 [inaudible]?
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, this is already leading and,
14
15 Your Honor, I would [inaudible] leading the witness.
MR. LINDSEY: That doesn't matter. It has to be
16
17 adverse. [inaudible].
18 THE COURT: [inaudible], therefore he's got him on cross.
19
20 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
21
22 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. So obviously, you know, you're aware of the
23
24 fact that the president and my president, for instance,
25 run on a ticket; correct?

1 A. Correct.

2 Q. and are you aware that, I believe, in 25 states that have lieutenant governors and governors, they

3

4 run on a ticket; are you aware of that?
A. I learned something today that -- I know

5

6 that there are some jurisdictions where they on a ticket with a number.

7

8 Q. Okay. Are you aware that, for instance, in

9 Florida they run on a ticket?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. Are you aware that in South Carolina, two of our neighboring states, they also run on a ticket?

12

13 A. Yes.
Q. So it is common, is it not, that in many

14

15 states that the governor and lieutenant governor weren't on a ticket?

16

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Okay. Now, in addition to the general design, did you also do a analysis in terms of the

19

20 number of new voters voting in Georgia for the first time --

21

22 A. Post --
Q. -- in 2014 and 2018? Post-election.

23

24 A. Secretary of State's office did reach out

25 to their vendor of the voter registration system to

1 ask the question of how many new voters participated
2 for the -- or how many voters participated for the first time in 2018, also in 2014. In 2014, I believe
3
4 the number was --
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I object. This is hearsay.
5
6 It's [inaudible].
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, he's doing this in his
7
8 official capacity to basically analyze the number of new
9 voters.
10 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I'm not aware of any official
11 capacity except to the hearsay ruled upon.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, this is part of his
12
13 official duties is to --
THE COURT: We've talked about numbers all over the
14
15 place.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, these are not --
16
17 THE COURT: And all other kinds of ways. I'll allow
18 it.
MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
19
20 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. That in 2014, there were just over 98,000
21
22 voters in Georgia that participated for the first time in the November 2014 election, but in the 2018
23
24 election there were a number of 336,000 voters or a
25 little over that that voted for the first time on --

1 in November of 2018. And as far as your discussions,
2 was the concern about confusion by new voters coming in from other states?
3
4 A. Yes.
Q. And that's part of the concerns you had in
5
6 terms of the inadvertent layout that was created as a result of the U.S. Senate race not being on the
7
8 ballot?
9 A. Correct.
10 Q. Okay. Now Mr. Brown also asked you about
11 -- several questions about getting necessary reports from GEMS and elsewhere about verifying that the --
12
13 that the election results.
And at one point you were asked to run a report
14
15 that formed this lawsuit, a report that was given to the parties assuring every voter received lieutenant
16
17 governor on the ballot. Do you recall being asked
18 about that before?
A. Yes.
19
20 Q. Okay. You did not run a report for every county; correct?
21
22 A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. But you did run a report on the
23
24 counties that are all on -- named parties in this
25 lawsuit; correct?

1 A. That's correct.

2 Q. And that would be Fulton and Gwinnett; correct?

3

4 A. Correct.
MR. TYSON [inaudible]: [inaudible] what?

5

6 MR. LINDSEY: Fulton and Gwinnett.
Q. Let me first show you Gwinnett -- let me

7

8 show you both [inaudible]. First let me show you

9 Gwinnett and ask you to please identify Gwinnett

10 [inaudible]. If you could identify that for the

11 Court.
A. This is the base precinct with reports --

12

13 base precinct with races report for Gwinnett County and note there were 2018 election.

14

15 Q. And you -- tell the Court what that means -- what that means.

16

17 A. What this report shows is every -- a voter

18 is connected to a base precinct within a GEMS database. And what this shows is the base precinct

19

20 associated to the precinct and then what races are associated to that base precinct. So this show --

21

22 and a voter is going to be associated to the base precinct. So this is showing what races each

23

24 individual voter within a precinct would see when

25 they were given their ballot.

1 Q. Okay.

2 MR. LINDSEY: We would tender Exhibits 3 and 4.
[inaudible] 3 and 4. [inaudible]
3

4 MR. BROWN: I have no objection, Your Honor.
THE COURT: They're admitted.
5

6 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. And what do these reports -- you would tell
7

8 the Court what these reports show.

9 A. It shows, again, all races for that given

10 base precinct or present all statewide races that

11 were being contested in November are shown with every
base precinct listed in the report.
12

13 Q. Okay. So if I understand you correctly
what you're telling me is that Mr. Duncan and Ms.
14

15 Amico appeared on every electronic ballot then in
those two counties?
16

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. And did you ever receive any complaint from
anywhere in the state that this race did not appear
19

20 on an electronic ballot?
A. We did not.
21

22 Q. To go back to the issue of configuration
about how was the ballot that we just finished
23

24 discussing, the electronic ballot, differed from the

25 paper ballots that folks would receive when they did

1 write-in?

2 A. In relation to layout?
Q. Layout, particularly the governor and
3

4 lieutenant governor's race.
A. The optical scan ballot, again being three
5

6 or four columns in display, front and back, the
governor's race would have been the first race below
7

8 the ballot that are -- in the -- on the left -- far

9 left column and then the race right below that would

10 have been the lieutenant governor and then the race

11 below that would have been Secretary of State.
Q. So you wouldn't have had simply the
12

13 governor and lieutenant governors on the -- on only
one page?
14

15 A. That's correct.
Q. Plus you had a north-south situation as
16

17 opposed to east-west?

18 A. Correct.
Q. Now in addition to checking to make sure
19

20 that this race appeared on all the ballots, you also
were asked as part of the discovery in this case, to
21

22 prepare from GEMS a report testing to ensure that the
votes that are made on a machine are actually
23

24 calculated in the system; correct?

25 A. Correct.

1 Q. Okay. And you did this for both Fulton and
2 Gwinnett, correct --
A. Correct.
3
4 Q. -- were named parties in this case. And if
you would explain to the Court -- let me show you the
5
6 -- and ask you if this is [inaudible] would be and
then explain to the Court what actually this report
7
8 shows.
9 A. These are the active voter TS status
10 reports from the Fulton and Gwinnett county databases
11 and what these reports show are the number of memory
cards created for their voting locations and the
12
13 upload status of those memory cards.
Q. Okay. In English what do they [inaudible]?
14
15 A. It shows that the touch screen unit that
was used that the information collected by that
16
17 machine has been uploaded into GEMS [inaudible].
18 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we would tender these two
Exhibits which would be 5 and 6 [inaudible].
19
20 MR. BROWN: No objection, Your Honor.
THE COURT: So admitted..
21
22 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. And after analyzing the report once again,
23
24 what are they -- tell the Court what they showed.
25 A. They showed that there are no outstanding

1 memory cards. That all memory cards that were
2 created that would have collected that would have collected votes, have been uploaded and accounted for
3
4 by GEMS.
Q. Okay. Now you were asked by Mr. Brown also
5
6 about what you at the Secretary of State's office does beforehand to get ballots ready for voting. Do
7
8 you recall that last question?
9 A. Yes.
10 Q. Let me ask you, do you do any testing,
11 parallel testing for instance, on Election Day to make sure that the systems are operating correctly?
12
13 A. We do.
Q. Tell the Judge what you do.
14
15 A. In the days leading up to the election we will make -- Secretary of State's office will make a
16
17 request to a county in Georgia to provide to us a
18 copy of their GEMS database from their GEMS computer. The State constructs the database and sends it to the
19
20 county.
But for parallel testing we ask that whatever
21
22 the county has loaded into their system, that they make a copy of that database and send it back to the
23
24 State so that we at the Secretary of State's office
25 can then create our own memory cards from their copy

1 of their database, load into touch screen equipment
2 that we have in our possession, do a logic and accuracy test on the device to make sure it's working
3
4 as it should, and then on Election Day itself we videotape the input of a test deck into the device in
5
6 election mode.
So we will have an individual that is holding
7
8 the pre-filled ballot out and instructing the test
9 voter on what selections to make while that is all
10 being videotaped. And that's done within the voting
11 period on Election Day. And then at the end of that timeframe we'll end the election on those devices and
12
13 printout tapes from those devices, and validate the results being produced by the device match the known
14
15 results of the test deck.
Q. Okay. And you do that to make sure that if
16
17 Edward Lindsey cast a vote for Mike Boland for
18 governor, that it's properly counting?
A. Correct.
19
20 Q. And when you did that parallel testing on Election Day, did you discover any irregularities?
21
22 A. We did not.
Q. And among the races that you tested for was
23
24 the lieutenant governor's race; correct?
25 A. That's correct.

1 Q. Thank you. Now you were talking about
2 after the election Ms. Amico came and raised some concerns. And you mentioned that you went and
3
4 checked a county. What county was that, just for the record?
5
6 A. Ben Hill County.
7 Q. Ben Hill County. And you went to Ben Hill
8 because that was somebody Ms. Amico was concerned
9 about; correct?
10 A. Correct.
11 Q. Okay. And the results were the same things that were tabulated on the card were being uploaded
12
13 to the Secretary of State's office; correct?
14 A. That's correct.
15 Q. Okay. Now were you asked earlier why -- well, you were asked earlier whether the Secretary of
16
17 State had done any testing or discovered there was
18 any kind of malware on the system; correct?
19 A. Correct.
20 Q. Been asked that. Given what you do on the parallel testing, the outcome, did you believe there
21
22 was any reason to do any forensic testing for malware?
23
24 A. Based on what we have encountered, no, sir.
25 Q. Okay. Now you -- there have been several

1 questions raised about hacking, this, that, and the
2 other; could you explain -- from my understanding,
and I'm not a math major and a physics major, I'm a
3
4 history major with a specialty in Florentine
brimstone, so --
5
6 THE COURT: That's really helpful
Q. -- so I'm going to talk really slowly to
7
8 make this explanation here. Could you please explain
9 the difference between an open system that would be
10 on the web page, and a closed system?
11 A. A closed system has no external connection
port is that it is a device directly connected to
12
13 another device and there is not a line from that pair
going outward placing so that someone can portal
14
15 inward into that device. They can't access an IP
address. It's closed off.
16
17 The only way to interact with the system is
18 through direct interaction with the system. An open
network is akin to the internet. When you have
19
20 computers and multiple locations communicating to one
another through a public means.
21
22 Q. So someone [inaudible] Putin in Russia
could not use a computer there utilizing the internet
23
24 to be able to get into your system and hack it;
25 correct?

1 A. Correct.

2 Q. Okay. Were there any other anomalies in
this election, particularly regarding the lieutenant
3

4 governor's race, in regards to write-in votes?
A. When looking at the certified returns for
5

6 various counties in the Metro area, we saw that there
were -- for the statewide races that the lieutenant
7

8 governor's office seemed to have the highest number

9 of write-in votes collected.

10 Q. Okay. Now you didn't check every county,

11 did you?
A. No, sir.
12

13 Q. All right. You checked, I believe, three
counties; Fulton, Gwinnett, and DeKalb; correct?
14

15 A. Correct.
Q. Let me show you Fulton [inaudible] and let
16

17 me ask you to explain what these three documents --

18 certified documents I might add, show.
A. These appear to be the certified returns
19

20 from Dekalb County, Gwinnett County, and Fulton
County, and they are -- they contain the election
21

22 summary reports for those counties.
And the election summary report shows each
23

24 individual race that was present in the database for

25 that given county. It shows the total number of

1 precincts involved in that election shows the number
2 of precincts reporting by race. It shows the number of times that particular race was seen by the
3
4 tabulating system.
It shows the total number of votes that were
5
6 counted for that race and it shows the number of votes collected for each individual candidate listed
7
8 in that race, including write-ins.
9 Q. All right. Write-ins, if I understand
10 correctly, they are write-ins in which someone goes
11 to the trouble to get their vote tabulated and there are write-ins for Mickey Mouse, that sort of thing.
12
13 A. That's correct. There are certified write-in candidates and then there are candidates that are
14
15 not certified write-ins.
Q. And that includes those who are not
16
17 certified write-in candidates; correct?
18 A. This includes all write-ins collected by the system.
19
20 Q. When you reviewed that, what did you discover for these three counties, for instance,
21
22 using [inaudible] because they were named parties in this case? What did you discover about the number of
23
24 write-ins in the lieutenant governor's race versus
25 other races that were statewide?

1 A. That the highest number of write-ins cast
2 for statewide office was in the lieutenant governor's office.
3
4 Q. Okay.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we would tender Exhibits --
5
6 [inaudible] 7, 8, and 9.
THE COURT: Mr. Brown.
7
8 MR. BROWN: You're tendering those?
9 MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible] I'm tendering --
10 THE COURT: He tendered his exhibits.
11 MR. LINDSEY: I'm tendering the exhibits.
MR. BROWN: [inaudible]
12
13 THE COURT: Okay. No objection. That's [inaudible].
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I just have one last
14
15 [inaudible] and I will release him, I guess, [inaudible]. Your Honor, I believe the parties have stipulated to the
16
17 admissibility of Secretary of State certified statewide
18 races.
I just want to let it [inaudible] in the record. I
19
20 would, first of all, tender Exhibits 10, 11, and 12. Is that what I'm up to? Ten being the election for 2010, 11
21
22 being for '14, and 12 being for '18. Let me show you what I have here. '18 and '14, rather, and '10.
23
24 BY MR. LINDSEY:
25 Q. [inaudible] Let me simply ask you to look

1 at those. Those are in fact the official election
2 returns from those three years that are located on the Secretary of State's website; correct?
3
4 [inaudible] I don't think I have those [inaudible]. Okay. These are in fact the election
5
6 returns from the Secretary of State's office [inaudible]; correct?
7
8 A. Appear to be.
9 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we tender the Exhibits.
10 THE COURT: Any objections from --
11 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. Do you have extra copies of those,
12
13 the last three, Mr. Lindsey?
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, [inaudible].
14
15 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. And looking at the front page of '10 and
16
17 '14, does it not show, sir, the percentage of voters
18 from that year? For both '10 and '14 at the top?
A. The turnout percentage?
19
20 Q. Yes, sir.
A. The turnout percentage for November of '14
21
22 -- 2014, voter turnout 50.03 percent?
Q. Yes, sir. Now for a '18 -- for '18
23
24 [inaudible].
25 MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible], Your Honor. [inaudible].

1 And also, Your Honor, we tender this next Exhibit,

2 [inaudible] turnout and '18, just for the record.
Q. If you would identify what would be marked
3

4 as Exhibit 13, tell us what that is.
A. This is for November 2018 --
5

6 Q Yes, sir.
A. -- general turnout 61.34 percent.
7

8 MR. LINDSEY: We tender this document as well.

9 MR. BROWN: No objection.

10 BY MR. LINDSEY:

11 Q. So the turnout -- well, first of all, if
you were to look at '14 and '18, we've already talked
12

13 about the new votes. Let me just also sort of close
the loop on this line of questioning. If you would
14

15 look at '14 first and tell me how many registered
voters there were in '14.
16

17 A. In '14, there were 5,191,182.

18 Q. Okay. And in '18, how many were there?
A. 6,400,200 -- let's see. 6,428,584.
19

20 Q. Okay. And you've already testified that we
had high voter participation in '18?
21

22 A. [inaudible]
Q. So in '18, we have a larger number of
23

24 registered voters than in '14; correct?

25 A. Correct.

1 Q. And in '18, we had a larger turnout than we
2 did in '14; correct?
A. Correct.
3
4 Q. And in '18, we had a increase of about
three-and-a-half times the number of new voters
5
6 voting for the first time; correct?
A. Correct.
7
8 Q. All of those, based on your concern about -
9 - your knowledge about Broward County as you're
10 looking at the web design -- at the design of the
11 electronic ballot could have led to greater voter
confusion when they voted electronically versus when
12
13 they voted on a paper ballot; correct?
A. Correct.
14
15 MR. LINDSEY: That's all I have, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Mr. Tyson.
16
17 MR. TYSON: Thank you, Your Honor. I'll be brief.
18
CROSS-EXAMINATION
19
20 OF MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES
21
22 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. [inaudible] pick up real quickly on the
23
24 Broward review, that senate race that Mr. Lindsey was
25 asking you about. Do you know if Broward County uses

1 paper or DRE ballots?

2 A. I believe Broward uses centralized [inaudible].

3

4 Q. Okay. So those would be paper ballots; correct?

5

6 A. Yes.

Q. Mr. Brown earlier asked you about

7

8 complaints that he received around the election

9 administration, were the complaints in 2018 any more

10 unusual than complaints in prior years?

11 A. No.

Q. And Mr. Brown also asked you now

12

13 [inaudible] issues he said that, you know, if you push a button [inaudible] record votes somewhere else

14

15 for somebody else. Now would you consider that a programming issue or a calibration issue?

16

17 A. I would consider that a calibration issue.

18 Q. And is -- if the machine doesn't respond as expected on a calibration issue during testing, what

19

20 was the process for addressing that?

A. The counties normally do not allow that

21

22 system to pass. It is set aside and not used for that given election.

23

24 Q. And in the calibration you repair or fixed

25 electronic voting machines?

1 A. You can recalibrate the screen as part of
2 the initial LMA process of, say, [inaudible] calibration on the machines is like one of the first
3
4 steps done. But even after setting calibration if the county interacts with the system and they feel
5
6 like it's not responsive as it should be, then they will take that unit out of operation.
7
8 Q. And so a programming error then would be
9 something like a candidate's name is missing; is that
10 correct?
11 A. That's correct.
Q. And how would you know that it was a
12
13 programming error of that nature where somebody's name was omitted, for example?
14
15 A. It would be not present on the ballot. You would not see the name or if there was a voting
16
17 position with no name associated to it, you would see
18 that.
Q. And how would you do that? Would you do
19
20 that from a GEMS report or some other method?
A. You do that for a GEMS reports. You do
21
22 that through the sample ballots that are generated and provided to the counties for proofing purposes.
23
24 Q. And reviewing the DRE post-election mode
25 also show that problem with the candidate missing

1 name?

2 A. In post-election mode you can print out reports that show candidates listed by race. You

3 also have the ability to access the ballot -- the ballot view, which is the reported ballots that the

4 system recorded and it shows what races were on that ballot and what candidates were listed under those

5 races.

6 Q. So lieutenant governor's race didn't appear

7 on a DRE. The machine in post-election [inaudible]

8 to determine that [inaudible].

9 A. That's correct.

10 Q. Okay. Thank you.

11 MR. LINDSEY: I don't have anything else.

12 THE COURT: Wait a minute. Wait, wait, wait.

13 MR. BROWN: I'm sorry.

14 MS. BURWELL: I have no questions.

15 THE COURT: She's got -- okay. Okay. Now you can go.

16 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

17

18 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
OF MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES

19

20

21 BY MR. BROWN:

1 Q. When did you first hear of the theory that
2 the under-vote might be -- have been the result of people thinking that it was a [inaudible]
3
4 A. It would probably be close to the time of certification.
5
6 Q. And who did you hear it from?
A. It was just sort of hypothesized. There
7
8 was no one person that said it. It was just sort of
9 again looking at the election and seeing, you know,
10 what could -- what could be reasons for these things.
11 Q. For the under-vote that you noticed, right?
A. Yes.
12
13 Q. Okay. So you noticed the under-vote at the Secretary of State's office and one of the things
14
15 that was just thrown out was, maybe people thought it was a ticket, right?
16
17 A. Correct.
18 Q. And have you undertaken -- get any sort of expert opinion or analysis of that theory?
19
20 A. We have heard from people in relation to ballot design that are starting that for ballot
21
22 design moving forward that it's best for voters to see one race per screen when they're interacting with
23
24 a DRE device or a ballot-marking device. So, you
25 know, that's -- to eliminate that confusion that may

1 be present.

2 Q. Right. But that would mean that -- that doesn't relate to under-votes, does it; or does it

3 [inaudible]?

4 A. I'm not sure of the question.

5 Q. Is the concern there that people will skip a vote if there's more than one ballot on a page? Is

6 that the concern?

7 A. There's always concern that voters are not,

8 you know, receptive of what they're seeing. But each

9 individual voter is going to do what they want to do.

10 Q. Right. And in -- but in this election, if

11 you turn the page for this election, you have multiple ballots; correct?

12 A. If you hit the next button you go to the next screen, you have multiple races appearing, yes.

13 Q. And which races appeared on the second page

14 of November 6th ballot?

15 A. Let's see --

16 Q. And just go ahead and look at the [inaudible].

17 A. The November '18 -- for the 2018 ballot?

18 Q. Yes, sir.

19 A. There are four races present. Secretary of

20 State, attorney general, commissioner of agriculture,

1 commissioner of insurance.

2 Q. Okay. So in those -- even though there were four ballots on that screen, the voters were

3

4 able -- electronic and paper ballot voters had a significantly higher participation rate than the

5

6 lieutenant governor's race; correct?
MR. TYSON: Objection, Your Honor. It's leading.

7

8 THE COURT: Sustained.

9 BY MR. BROWN:

10 Q. Mr. Barnes, did -- before the other races

11 that were on the second page have a higher voter participation rate than lieutenant governor's race?

12

13 A. Based on the certified results from those counties that there were fewer under-votes in those

14

15 races, yes.
Q. Okay. Thank you. Now the -- there was

16

17 some testimony about calibration issue. Are you with

18 me?
A. Uh-huh.

19

20 Q. Okay. And what -- one calibration problem is a screen dysfunctioning, physical screen problem,

21

22 if you follow me, where what you're pushing doesn't connect with the code -- with whatever electronics

23

24 [inaudible]. You with me? It's a physical problem

25 with the screen. Is that a calibration problem?

1 A. Where -- the point that you're touching is
2 -- the response is showing in a different location? The response where you touch --
3
4 Q. Or doesn't respond or it blinks or something else, is that a calibration problem?
5
6 A. Potentially.
7 Q. The -- but there is software that tells the
8 computer, if you press this button, put another --
9 add one to the voter total for Mr. Duncan; correct?
10 A. The test screen basically is a grid. It's
11 a pressure-point grid. It says -- [inaudible] analysis and, you know, it comes up with an average
12
13 of pressure it says, if you see pressure here, then that should be indicated as a mark in this position
14
15 on the ballot.
16 Q. Right. And that's programming that does
17 that; correct? That says, if you [inaudible], add
18 one to the vote total for Amico, right?
19 A. Correct.
20 Q. Okay. And that program resides where?
21 A. That program resides on the DRE.
22 Q. On the individual DRE machines.
23 A. That's correct.
24 Q. And is that -- and is that -- and where on
25 those DRE machines does it reside?

1 A. That resides on an EPROM chip that's
2 connected to the motherboard.
Q. And is the EPROM chip connected to the
3
4 motherboard of something that the State --
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, bear with me here. I just
5
6 have one question on this.
Q. Is the EPROM chip that you referred to that
7
8 contains the programming for doing that something the
9 State offered to allow the petitioners to inspect?
10 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, objection again. He's once
11 again [inaudible] re-litigate what the Court has already
ruled upon in discovery [inaudible].
12
13 THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MR. BROWN:
14
15 Q. Is the EPROM the same thing as the election
archive?
16
17 A. The election archive information is saved
18 to the internal memory on the device and the internal
memory is contained in EPROM. [inaudible]
19
20 Q. Okay. And the internal -- okay. Can you
say that again?
21
22 A. The internal memory is where the archive
file is maintained and the internal memory resides on
23
24 EPROM.
25 Q. Okay. So the answer is no if they're on

1 the same thing, right?

2 A. The election archives is a data file that is saved to an internal memory and the internal

3 memory is -- resides on the EPROM.

4 Q. And other things other than the election

5 archive are on the internal memory, right?

6 A. I believe I already said that.

7 Q. Just yes or no.

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. Okay. And one of those other things

10 controls the mapping of the vote from the touch

11 screen to the place in your system where it says, add

12 one more vote to somebody; correct?

13 A. Correct.

14 Q. Let me direct your attention to one of Mr.

15 Lindsey's printouts and I'll just give you -- you

16 don't need to look at it.

17 Of course you may, but the -- he was asking you

18 about write-in votes and how the lieutenant

19 governor's race had a lot of write-in votes, do you

20 know whether that was because Libertarians who were

21 voting on the lieutenant governor's race did not have

22 an option, therefore wrote in? Do you know --

23 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I believe that calls for

24 speculation [inaudible].

25

1 THE COURT: That would be speculation. [inaudible]
2 argue that it's not. [inaudible].
MR. BROWN: Thank you.
3
4 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Now the EPROM chip is the erasable, read-
5
6 only [inaudible], right? Or I guess erasable program
[inaudible], right?
7
8 A. Well, that's what EPROM stands for, yes.
9 Q. Now does the software reside on an EPROM
10 chip or in [inaudible] memory, if you know?
11 A. I can't answer that in an absolutely -- I
don't [inaudible].
12
13 Q. Okay.
MR. BROWN: That's all I have [inaudible].
14
15 THE COURT: [inaudible]
16
17 RECROSS EXAMINATION
18 OF MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES
19
20 BY MALE:
Q. Mr. Brown -- I've just got [inaudible].
21
22 Mr. Brown did ask about whether it was a touch screen
problem [inaudible] whether or not that could be a
23
24 system error; correct?
25 A. Correct.

1 Q. That would have shown up if it was a system
2 problem in your parallel testing on Election Day; correct?
3
4 A. Correct.
Q. And you didn't detect any problems;
5
6 correct?
A. We did not detect any problems.
7
8 MALE: No further questions.
9
10 RECROSS EXAMINATION
11 OF MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES
12
13 BY MR. TYSON [inaudible]:
Q. Mr. Barnes, Mr. Brown asked you about when
14
15 the voter information was recorded. When you push a button, when you push the part of the screen, it's
16
17 [inaudible] cast ballot that is recorded in the
18 internal memory of the unit; correct?
A. That is correct.
19
20 Q. All right. Are you familiar with the notices that are posted to voter -- polling places
21
22 regarding pressing the cast ballot button?
A. I am.
23
24 Q. I'm going to hand you what's been marked as
25 Gwinnett 1. Is this the notice for voter to be

1 posted in the polling places regarding pressing the
2 cast ballot button [inaudible], I'm sorry.
A. It is.
3
4 Q. And it very specifically says, you should
notify a poll worker if you have a problem or
5
6 question about the unit before you press the cast
ballot button; correct?
7
8 A. That's correct.
9 Q. Okay. Thank you.
10 MR. TYSON: I have no further questions. Oh, I'm
11 sorry, Your Honor. I tender Gwinnett 1.
MR. BROWN: No objection.
12
13 THE COURT: It's admitted.
THE COURT: Ms. Burwell, questions?
14
15 MS. BURWELL: [inaudible]
THE COURT: Mr. Brown.
16
17 MR. BROWN: I don't have anything further.
18 THE COURT: Okay. You may get down.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
19
20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, may this witness be excused?
THE COURT: Yes.
21
22 MR. BROWN: [inaudible]
THE COURT: Let me ask you, Mr. Brown, how much
23
24 longer do you think your case is going to last? I'm just
25 trying to work out logistics and various other things.

1 MR. BROWN: If I may have literally 30 seconds, I can
2 give you an answer if I could talk to my clients.
THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.
3
4 MR. BROWN: Your Honor.
THE COURT: Go ahead.
5
6 MR. BROWN: We have about an hour with Mr. Barron
probably total. He is here. He has a -- Mr. Barron is an
7
8 employee of Fulton County. [inaudible] Fulton County. He
9 has a childcare issue and we would be happy that he
10 [inaudible] in the morning and then starting [inaudible].
11 THE COURT: Start in the morning. You've got about
another hour?
12
13 MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: What does the defendants -- I'm looking
14
15 -- can we finish tomorrow?
MALE: [inaudible], Your Honor. I don't think the
16
17 [inaudible].
18 THE COURT: You want to take an oath on that?
MALE: What?
19
20 THE COURT: Do you want to take an oath on that?
MALE: No, Your Honor. I will not take an oath and I
21
22 will not [inaudible] in my place.
I will not take an oath. But [inaudible] some of my
23
24 preceding circumstances --
25 THE COURT: Okay. Tomorrow, so you know -- now you

1 have to understand I'm a senior judge [inaudible]
2 courtroom and therefore we won't have a courtroom
[inaudible] in two or three weeks with luck. But in the
3
4 meantime, they move us around.
So tomorrow we're back in the Magistrate's court on
5
6 the fourth floor. It's the old courtroom annex, 402.
Okay. Mr. Groban [ph] will be over there early to let you
7
8 in. I always bring my -- I've got so much stuff I'll
9 bring it.
10 MALE: Is there anywhere that we can store some of
11 our stuff? Because of the stuff I don't necessarily
[inaudible].
12
13 THE COURT: That's why I'm looking at all that but I
don't have a choice. They've got a mock trial in here
14
15 tomorrow.
MALE: No, I understand. [inaudible]
16
17 THE COURT: I don't know they were locked. Okay.
18 There's a jury room in here that you could use, but you'd
have to come real early to get in it and get it out.
19
20 MALE: I'd be happy to. I mean, that's --
[inaudible] take home.
21
22 THE COURT: Yeah. The other place that might do it
is the Bar office. Dekalb [inaudible] County Bar office
23
24 is right over there.
25 MALE: All right.

1 THE COURT: It's on this floor and they might let you
2 keep some stuff in there.
MALE: I'll tell you, we'll [inaudible].
3
4 THE COURT: Well, I mean they might let you --
they've got two conference rooms over there. They're
5
6 empty. You go out here and turn left. You know where it
is Mr. [inaudible].
7
8 MALE: Yes. [inaudible]
9 THE COURT: Okay. [inaudible] I'll see you in M-42
10 tomorrow. And if you want to use the [inaudible] now he
11 gets here at the crack of dawn anyway.
MALE: Well, I mean, do you think that that's a safe
12
13 place? If you get here at the crack of dawn, would it be
okay [inaudible]? I mean, is it inconveniencing you?
14
15 [inaudible] It's just a couple of boxes. [inaudible]
MALE: I get here by 7:30, 20 minutes to 8.
16
17 THE COURT: We start at 9:00 tomorrow.
18 MALE: [inaudible]
THE COURT: Okay.
19
20 MALE: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: We'll see you tomorrow.
21
22 [Whereupon, the hearing was concluded at 4:53 p.m.]
23
24
25

1 CERTIFICATE

2 STATE OF GEORGIA]
] SS.
3

4 COUNTY OF DOUGLAS]

5

6 I, PRISCILLA GARCIA, A COURT REPORTER IN THE STATE OF GEORGIA, DO HEREBY STATE THAT THE FOREGOING IS A TRUE AND
7

8 ACCURATE TRANSCRIPT AS TAKEN DOWN BY ME AT THE TIME,

9 PLACE, AND THE DATE HEREINBEFORE SET FORTH.

10 I DO FURTHER STATE THAT I AM NEITHER A RELATIVE NOR

11 EMPLOYEE NOR ATTORNEY NOR COUNSEL OF ANY OF THE PARTIES TO THIS ACTION, AND THAT I AM NEITHER A RELATIVE NOR EMPLOYEE
12

13 OF SUCH ATTORNEY OR COUNSEL, AND THAT I AM NOT FINANCIALLY INTERESTED IN THIS ACTION.
14

15 WITNESS MY HAND IN THE CITY OF DOUGLASVILLE, COUNTY
16

17 OF DOUGLAS, STATE OF GEORGIA, ON THIS 28th DAY OF JANUARY

18 2019. BY: Priscilla Garcia
19

20 PRISCILLA GARCIA, COURT REPORTER
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF GEORGIA
21

22 COMMISSION NO.: W-00379933
COMMISSION EXPIRES: 08/14/2022
23

24 CERTIFICATE NO.: 5503-2677-8304-9216

25 LICENSE EXPIRES: 04/01/2019

1
2
3 I, Chris Naaden, a transcriber, hereby declare
4 under penalty of perjury that to the best of my
5 ability the above 291 pages contain a full, true and
6 correct transcription of the tape-recording that I
7 received regarding the event listed on the caption on
8 page 1.
9
10 I further declare that I have no interest in the
11 event of the action.
12
13 January 28, 2019
14
15
16 Chris Naaden
17
18
19
20 (Transcript of Hearing Proceedings)
21
22
23
24
25

(

(C) 85:24
(C)(3) 85:24
(C)(4) 85:25

0

0.8 102:11 120:24

1

1 21:17,20 44:1,4,10, 11 45:20 52:11,15 54:6,8 57:2,11 99:4 101:2 102:2,24 103:11 105:11,15 107:25 111:25 112:1 175:22,24 249:4,20 250:1 287:25 288:11
1,012 126:6
1,828,566 26:17
1.3 107:6
1.4 101:13 107:10
1.5 107:4
1.7 107:18
1.8 107:15
10 100:24 136:11 143:1 273:20,22 274:15,18
10,000 175:22,24
10-minute 72:11
100 21:13, 17,20,22 22:10 32:17 125:17 152:25
101 205:22
10:25 60:8 72:13
10:37 72:15
11 273:20
117 194:6
11:00 185:4
11:41 82:20 129:25
12 273:20, 22
123,000 26:10 30:18 184:11
123,172 25:20
13 275:4
137 125:4, 6,8,11,13
14 273:22 274:17,18, 20 275:11, 15,17,24 276:2
144 194:6, 10
15 143:10 160:2
15,000 100:24
159 205:22 212:10 213:11
159,024 103:1
16 241:10
17 8:2 18:24 244:8,9
18 81:4 273:22 274:22 275:2,11, 18,20,22 276:1,4 281:22
1:00 129:20,22 130:1
1st 211:17

2

2 64:4 65:25 78:15 79:4 101:22 104:24 254:18
2.2 107:13
20 21:15 291:15
200,000 21:8
200- 254:22
2000 250:8
2002 102:2 224:1 239:22,24
2006 26:17 160:15 202:4
2007 62:2
2008 239:24
2009 36:11
2010 239:20 254:22 255:18 273:20

2011 250:8 251:1
2013 239:10
2014 120:15,18, 20 254:22 255:25 260:22 261:2,20, 22 274:22
2016 26:22 147:11 165:25 227:15 228:4,15 241:6 243:15 252:11
2017 37:20 148:4 211:15 227:15 228:15,25 244:4,15
2018 8:2 25:20 27:9 39:22 40:2,11 46:13,15, 18 62:4 81:24 89:13 90:15 94:13 98:18 99:6 102:2,4,6 116:6 119:8 124:6 127:13,20 153:11,22 154:2,22 157:6,22 165:18 166:8 168:11 170:24 202:6 212:2,6,11 224:18 226:20 228:24 229:15 230:22 231:13 241:17 244:13 247:11 248:6,15 249:4,20 250:1 252:22 254:22 255:18 256:6,11, 25 260:22 261:2,22 262:1 263:13 275:4 277:9 281:22
21-2-522 14:4
22 8:14 11:11 13:1 129:6
24 166:6
24-14-22 24:6
25 260:2
2:09 187:6
2:22 187:8
2:30 185:6

3

3 98:2,6 264:2
3- 212:2
3.9 105:10 107:25
30 49:20 51:1 135:15 289:1
31,000-something 103:2
31,532 102:17,20
31st 211:15
336,000 261:24
38 126:6
3:30 242:25
3:37 243:1

4

4 22:1 101:11 105:18 111:25 112:1,15 132:17 251:2 264:2
4.5 105:8 107:24,25
40-plus 251:13
402 290:6
410 126:20 127:1
45-plus 251:11
4:53 291:22

5

5 22:1 266:18
5,191,182 275:17
50 96:20
50.03 274:22
5th 133:17, 18 135:25 208:11

6

6 25:20 266:18
6,400,200 275:18
6,428,584 275:18
61.34 275:6
6th 62:24, 25 63:1,22 83:2 165:18 281:18

7

7 168:4,8, 15 246:15 273:6
702(b) 42:10 154:18
7:30 291:15
7th 62:22

8

8 21:15 102:15 143:1 243:8,9, 11,17,24 245:11,17 246:15 251:2 273:6 291:15
8,000 117:17

9

9 45:13 136:17 273:6
9.2 135:2, 6,11
9.2(c) 135:15
98,000 27:6 261:20
99 21:11 22:9
9:00 291:17
9:02 8:2
9th 136:24

A

a.m. 8:2 60:8 72:13,15 79:13 82:20 129:25
aberrant 20:25 21:2
aberration 173:25
ability 49:22 242:8 279:4
abnormal 205:22
Abrams 26:18 27:22 28:1 75:4,13 118:9 201:6
Abrams' 118:1
abridges 151:18
absentee 19:13 21:20 105:10 107:8,17 128:2 216:1
absolute 131:15
absolutely 45:9 61:10 230:8 286:11
abstract 174:6
abundantly 30:9 92:11
ac- 67:17
academic 141:6 144:1 156:18 160:10
Academies 168:9
Academy 156:22 160:22 168:18,20 170:2
accelerate 83:1
accelerated 135:22
accept 131:9 192:4,6
access 144:9 182:4 183:6 187:20 212:15 215:13 217:6 218:2 220:13 223:22 252:2 270:15 279:4
accessed 183:4,6
accessibility 240:6
accounted 267:2

accounts
157:11

accuracy
24:17
109:11
217:9
218:24
222:10
268:2

accurate
28:22 99:9
161:6
222:11

accurately
29:1
254:24

act 18:20
44:15
58:22
230:25

actions
259:10

active
218:20
266:9

activist
85:2

activities
81:4

activity
148:13

actual 33:15
55:13
64:13
85:11
92:13
117:4
152:17
159:25
170:18
189:18
203:11,13
204:13
207:15
216:4
219:10
225:22
227:4,6
237:11
247:11

add 27:20
97:9 173:8
205:2
271:18
283:9,17
285:11

added 27:13

adding
150:13

addition
18:18 25:6
28:2 30:20
42:13
141:8
147:4
155:1
260:18
265:18

additional
14:22
18:20
38:20
184:4

address 20:6
93:13 94:2
270:15

addressing
96:10
277:20

adherence
253:13

adjacent
166:2

adjusted
241:11
253:2

adjustment
253:2

administer
90:9

administration
39:6 90:8
91:25
153:2
172:20
277:9

admissibility
92:15
273:17

admissible
132:4
169:15
192:18
203:2

admission
70:8,9
91:18

admit 244:4,
13 245:8,
10

admitted
44:25 46:8
54:8 168:2
191:24
246:24
264:4
266:20
288:13

admonished
191:17

adopt 155:8

advance
213:2
215:24
218:22

advanced
105:9,11
107:4,13

adverse
181:10
259:17

advice 84:15
85:18

advises
93:15

affect
182:20
217:18

226:4

affected
30:2,4
228:4
231:18

affidavit
97:24 98:2
103:11,15

affidavits
178:22

affiliation
177:20

affirmative
30:13
143:25

afraid 110:2
202:1

afternoon
136:20
150:22

AG's 128:13

age 87:13

agencies
156:25
162:4

agents
157:17

aggravated
27:24

aging 159:20

agree 11:6
70:17
83:6,9
127:11
133:2
186:1
220:6

agreement
29:15

agriculture
117:9
123:1
281:25

ahead 13:13
20:15,20
38:17
41:20 45:9
54:9 58:17
68:2 69:13
71:11,13
81:11
89:17
112:8,24
129:15
132:6
143:2
164:20
182:2,15
186:2
191:6
234:4
236:15
281:20
289:2,4

ahistorical
27:4

air 249:6,
10

AJC 13:2,4,
6

akin 270:18

Alabama
151:20
249:15

alarmed
73:25

Alaska
187:22

albeit
170:10

Aletha 81:13

all-paper
151:8

allegation
48:15
115:10
221:4
238:11

allegations
14:11
15:20,22
28:9 37:4
162:18,20

allege 14:4

alleged
14:15
225:9
244:15

allegiance
139:8

Allen 63:1,4

allowed
68:18
123:25
180:11
184:6
226:4,11

alter 183:2,
22

altered
183:8,9

altering
183:15

alternative
22:15
175:4
183:15

amazing
81:18

Amazon 64:13

ambiguity
191:18

AME 63:1,4,
20 65:15
72:24
195:8

America
58:22

American
81:13

Amico 23:8
26:13,17
27:2,22,25
28:4 80:24
116:2,4
117:11,17

123:8,13
199:24
200:11
201:15,22
202:10,15
264:15
269:2,8
283:18

Amico's 30:8
200:2
235:2

amount 27:11
35:13
102:20
152:24
173:22

analogy
221:4

analyses
127:18
158:6

analysis
13:18 90:4
95:2 98:18
104:13
117:4
149:6
177:2
180:4,6
190:4,6
192:24
193:15,18
195:2,4,
10,13,17,
20 196:4,
6,10
197:22,25
199:22
202:22
229:10
232:24
233:2
249:15
260:18
280:18
283:11

analyst
43:20
45:13

analyze
37:20
86:15 99:1
117:4
261:8

analyzed
127:25

analyzing
88:2
266:22

angle 13:13

annex 290:6

anomalies
186:6
207:9
271:2

anomalous
205:18

anomaly
22:11
94:25

answering
33:24

answers
121:1
204:6

anticipated
68:20

anticipating
45:6,8

anticipation
53:15

apologies
45:6,10

apologize
82:6 93:9
134:18
163:20
197:11
249:2

app 63:11

apparent
170:22
186:6

apparently
223:24

appeared
73:25
242:4
264:15
265:20
281:17

appearing
175:24
224:15
281:15

appears
65:22
168:17
244:2,6

applicable
155:18

application
119:2
142:6
148:13

applications
142:11

applied 25:6
102:15

apply 17:4

appointed
77:24

approach
11:25

approached
70:4 86:11

approval
143:20

approximately
27:6
177:13

architectural
159:25

architecture
164:25

archive
183:11

284:15,17, 22 285:6

archives 285:2

area 71:17, 18 74:4 92:18 104:4 224:15 237:15 271:6

areas 87:22 94:2

argue 108:15 245:6 286:2

arguing 198:20 209:9

argument 13:22 24:1 33:11 92:8 151:15 198:20

arguments 14:22

arises 24:13

arising 241:2

arithmetic 22:25

arm 223:9

arms 74:2

arrangement 241:9

artful 226:25

Artfully 226:24

article 141:4

articles 115:8 141:2

asks 68:18

aspects 42:22

Aspen 35:4

assessing 195:13

assessment 166:17

assist 42:10 68:11,15, 18

assistance 10:15 42:18

assisted 149:10

assisting 59:13 70:22

assistive 54:24 55:6,9 56:6,9,11

assume 44:20 82:10 177:18 220:8 246:13

assumes 176:22 232:13

assuming 176:2 220:22 232:20 233:22

assumption 102:18

assurance 17:24

assure 58:11

assuring 262:15

Atlanta 34:18 63:4

atmosphere 246:22

attacked 245:20

attempt 15:6 190:24

attempted 49:22 168:22

attempting 49:17

attempts 191:13

attend 139:13

attended 25:8

attention 24:6 102:24 104:11 108:2 120:13 121:6 146:18 193:25 238:17 246:11 251:2 285:15

attorney 57:20 61:17,20 105:24 106:10,15 107:11 116:25 118:2 126:13,22 281:25

attorney's 53:2

attracted 99:18

attracting

220:13

audio 55:11, 20

audit 35:24 36:13,17 149:13 206:4,8,9 207:9,15

audited 35:22

auditing 36:20 149:9 207:10

audits 36:8, 10,15 140:25 141:11 149:11 158:8

author 246:20

authorized 25:13 40:17

availability 29:22

avenue 176:24

average 102:9 283:11

aware 24:24 117:8,18 118:2,8, 15,18 119:11 128:13 163:4 189:8,15, 17 197:4 199:18 201:13,20 203:11 218:22 219:22 220:1 226:18 240:22 242:15 259:11,22 260:2,4,8, 11 261:10

B

back 8:12 17:11,25 20:13 34:20,22 49:6 59:2, 15 66:11 68:15 69:6 72:6,8,13 75:6,20 76:18 79:9 102:24 112:18 123:20,22, 24 124:1,4 125:18 129:20,22, 25 130:18, 20 133:15 157:15 167:25 174:4 175:1 179:15,18 180:20 187:6 188:4 198:4 214:2 215:6 217:6 219:4 222:11 225:8 228:11 231:2 234:10 236:13 239:11,13 242:22,25 245:4 247:13 252:4 255:18 264:22 265:6 267:22 290:4

background 34:8 84:18 94:9 145:13,17 177:25

bad 22:25 161:13 204:15

Bailey's 118:2

Baines 63:15

balancing 232:6

ballot 19:13 22:1 27:13,15 29:25 30:2 37:10 38:2 41:13 55:11 68:6 70:22 71:20 74:4 77:2 90:4, 6 91:25 94:20 122:20,22 123:18 124:4 151:9,10 179:11 189:11 194:13 200:18,22 202:4 207:11 218:11 235:11 236:20 238:17,18 239:4,8, 10,11,13, 15,18,22, 24 240:1,

4,6,15,18
246:2
252:2,6,8,
11,20
253:6,8,
11,15
254:17,24
255:18
256:11,18
257:2,10
258:6,8,9,
13,15,17,
20 262:8,
17 263:25
264:15,20,
22,24
265:4,8
268:8
276:11,13
278:15
279:4,6
280:20
281:6,18,
22 282:4
283:15
287:17,22
288:2,6

ballot-marking
280:24

ballots
21:22
27:4,13,
17,18 28:2
30:4 33:11
37:2,13
38:13 43:4
55:11 67:2
149:15
167:6
174:11
188:22
189:1
194:15,18
199:11,18,
20 206:17,
22 207:11
214:15,20,
24 216:2
218:6
235:15
238:20
241:18
252:15
253:17
254:4,22
256:11
257:22
264:25
265:20
267:6
277:1,4
278:22
279:4
281:13
282:2

ballots'
214:18

bar 103:18
290:22

Barnes 147:9
157:18
164:4,6
209:25
210:10,15,
20 228:15,
22 230:17
243:4,24
245:15,18
251:11
252:4
254:13,15
276:20
279:22
282:10
286:18
287:11,13

Barnhard
176:25
177:1

Barron
16:18,20
147:10
157:18
164:4
289:6

Barrow
118:13
201:10

Barrow's
118:1

bars 105:4,
15

base
263:11,13,
18,20,22
264:10,11

based 14:2
17:11 34:9
37:4,15
38:1 43:15
69:18
87:15
94:1,4
109:22
119:15
128:1
155:10
165:18
166:22
169:8,11
173:15
176:4
177:20
179:20
186:17,18
198:11
206:6
214:2
219:18
244:11
269:24
276:8
282:13

baseline
124:13

basically
52:20
154:13
261:8
283:10

basis 61:20
147:2
163:15
171:2
172:6
174:13,17

199:17
205:20

bear 29:6
171:20
284:4

beer 220:13

began 35:9
37:20
248:24,25

begin 35:15
55:10
160:8

beginning
26:15

begins
215:20

behalf 12:22
14:24
16:17
25:18

behavior
194:20,22
199:1

belief
188:20
198:13

believes
109:24

Ben 235:6
269:6

bench 11:25
16:6

bending
136:18

Benjamin
65:20

Berkeley
132:18

Bernhard
134:17
137:10,18,
24 138:4,
15 150:2,
15,18,22
153:20
154:17
155:2,4
156:8,11
160:10
165:15
171:22
172:18
176:25
182:18
187:13,15
188:15,18
192:22
193:13
194:13
196:22
204:2,4
206:11

Bibb 229:2

big 49:17

bind 70:15

bit 21:11
25:6 84:18
93:22
115:17
121:2
139:8
146:2
147:25
150:8
157:15
167:25
173:6
174:4
175:2
183:6,20
189:24
204:22
207:10
231:2
245:4

black 25:2
130:20

Blackwell
12:18

blinks 283:4

blocks 64:15

board 9:13
28:18,24,
25 36:17
38:18 39:8
77:18
89:18
117:10
150:22
229:20
240:9

boards 36:20

boat 133:11

Boland
268:17

books 64:11
133:13

Boone 63:4

boot 142:4,
8,9 168:10
182:25
236:25

booting
183:20

borderline
93:8

bother
106:20

bottom 66:22
67:22
160:18
257:2,6,8,
11

Boulevard
63:4

box 13:11
25:2 50:6

boxes 291:15

brand 250:1,
2,4

brand-new
206:15

breach 26:11
188:8

break 72:10,
11 83:11
128:1

129:18
187:1
242:18

breakdown
73:13
226:10

breaks 87:10

bridge 8:13

briefed
183:24

briefly
14:25
51:22
77:20
113:10
127:6
128:8
131:24
138:20
151:13

briefs 20:25
111:15
181:11

Brill 83:13
84:1,6,11
86:8 87:4
89:11,15,
18,24
91:4,24
93:2,6,11
96:11,22
97:2,6,24
98:17
100:2,8
104:6
107:2
108:6,11,
22 110:8
111:1
113:13,15
114:6
120:4
121:10
127:9,11
128:11

Brill's
90:24
91:18 97:6
103:25

brimstone
270:4

bring 10:2
24:20
29:20
111:13
136:13
197:18
217:10
290:8,9

bringing
41:6

broad 231:4

broader
227:1

brought 15:8
17:11,13,
25 193:25
202:24

Broward
256:20,22,
25 257:10,
13,15
276:9,24,
25 277:2

Brown 9:13,
15,18,20,
22,24
10:1,4,6,
9,11,18,22
11:15,18
12:4,6,13
13:6,8
15:15
16:13,15,
25 17:2,
15,18
18:4,11,
13,18,22
19:2,6
20:8,11,
15,18,20
31:2,6,11,
22 32:24
33:1,4,20,
22 34:4,6
36:2,6
38:8 39:13
42:18,20
43:8,13,22
44:1,9
45:11,20
46:1,2,6,
9,10 48:2,
4,6,11,15,
18 49:2,6
50:9,11
51:8 54:4
57:2,6,9,
20,22
58:1,8,11,
13,18
59:2,6,8,
22 60:1,4,
10,15
61:4,22
63:25
64:6,10,
18,20,24,
25 65:24
66:8
69:15,22,
24 70:8,18
71:11,13
72:1,11,22
74:13,18,
20 79:6,
15,20 80:6
81:20
82:2,11,22
83:8,10,
11,13,18,
22 84:9
89:11 90:2
92:8,24
93:4,8
94:1,11,13
96:6,11,20
97:4,20,22
98:2,9,11,
15 100:2,
4,6 102:22
103:15,18
104:6
106:11,22,
25 108:2,
4,6,13,15,
20 109:6,

9,18,20
110:4,6,
13,17,20,
24,25
111:4,6,22
112:6,10,
15,20,22,
25 113:8
116:20
120:6
121:13,15,
22 122:2,4
124:2,25
125:20,22,
24 127:24
128:20,22
129:1,15,
22 130:10,
24 131:2,
24 132:1,
6,11,15
134:2,9,
15,18,22
135:18
137:2,6,9,
11 138:1,
15 139:13
140:15
145:4,9,
11,22
146:4,6,
11,15,18,
20 150:1,
11,25
151:25
153:13,15
154:10
155:10
156:4,10
158:20,24
159:1
162:22,25
163:2,18
164:13,15,
18,22
165:11,15
168:4
169:2,13,
18,20,24
170:1,9,
15,17
171:13,20
172:25
173:1,17
174:18
175:1,9,
11,13
176:17
177:2
181:9,18,
22,24
182:1,4,9,
13,17,18
184:18,20
185:1,11,
13,15
186:1,4,
15,20
187:1,4,15
188:11
190:18,22
191:8,10,
20 192:1,
10 195:18,
20 197:6
198:11
203:22,24
204:4
205:4,6,15
207:2,6,13
208:6,10,
13,15,17,
20,22,25
209:1,4,8,
13,15,20,
24 210:2,
18,22,24
220:24
221:1,8
225:18,20,
25 226:1,
17 227:2,8
228:13,20
230:18,20
231:8,10
232:17,22
234:2
236:2,4,6,
8,15,17
237:13,22
238:1,4,9,
11,15
242:17,20,
22 243:6,
18,22
244:4,9,
15,18,20,
24 245:4,
8,11,15
247:13
248:8,18
251:6,9,11
254:15
255:4
258:4
259:13,20
261:4,10
262:10
264:4
266:20
267:4
273:6,8,11
274:11
275:9
277:6,11
279:15,20,
25 282:9
284:4,13
286:2,4,
13,20,22
287:13
288:11,15,
17,20,22
289:1,4,6,
13

Bryan 14:24
29:15
38:18
77:18
89:18
150:22

build 85:18

building
236:11
240:2,22,
24

builds
221:22

built 160:1
203:17

221:22
240:25
241:8,9

bunch 93:24
232:20

burden 14:2
29:4,18
30:11,20

burned 215:2

Burwell 11:8
12:18,20,
22 13:13,
15 15:1
16:17,20,
24 28:18
54:13
56:20
58:24
59:10
70:13
78:15
82:15
91:15,17
119:25
135:1,6,13
203:20,22
279:17
288:13,15

business
34:13,15
46:2
85:11,13,
20

button
277:13
281:15
283:8
287:15,22
288:2,6

bypassed
258:4

C

C-L-E-R-C
61:10

calculate
102:9

calculated
100:10
233:2,8
234:13
265:24

calculating
102:2

calculation
100:9
101:8,9
102:1

calendar
8:10

calibrate
217:11

calibrated
223:24

calibration
217:24
224:10
277:15,17,
18,24
278:2,4
282:17,20,
25 283:4

California
103:18,22
104:1,8,9
109:4
160:18
187:22

call 24:6
27:18 31:2
60:10
79:15
83:4,13
86:18
99:20
130:15
132:18
134:10,15
136:22
137:9
148:22
184:1
209:24
241:4

called 15:18
18:6 31:17
35:20
36:15
55:11
60:24
67:11
68:15
73:18
77:25 80:1
84:2,13
121:24
137:20
166:4
182:11
210:11
212:20

calls 59:1
121:25
133:22
197:6
221:6
285:24

campaign
27:25 28:4
88:22
91:8,10
114:11,15
115:6
116:1
189:4

campaigns
85:2,20
86:4 122:6

cancelled
49:15

cancelling
50:20

candidacy
28:8 30:9

candidate
30:6 86:10
87:15 89:1
91:10
114:18
115:4
116:22
117:22

118:6,9,13
123:11
125:2,13
138:6,11
177:11,13
201:17
204:9,10,
11 219:24,
25 220:17
235:2
240:9,11,
13,18,20
272:6
278:25

candidate's
278:9

candidates
26:15,18,
20 28:4
36:18
39:11
86:18
113:2
117:9,11
122:24
123:6,18
199:10,13
200:4
201:18,24
202:9
204:11
214:1,13
218:11
219:20,22
221:22
231:20
239:2
240:11
241:2,10,
11,13,25
242:2
252:9
259:4
272:13,17
279:2,6

cane 68:6

canvas
36:15,20
104:22

capable
42:17

capacity
191:4
261:8,11

capital
61:10

capitol
33:13
145:2

caps 240:10

card 189:11
216:18,20,
22 217:2,
4,8 218:2
221:24,25
222:1,6
223:22
233:6
234:13,15
269:11

card's
217:20

cards 212:24
213:1,2
215:25
216:11,15,
22 222:2
266:11,13
267:1,25

care 132:2
167:18
208:11

career
34:13,15

careful
237:22
238:1

carefully
164:6

carelessness
166:13

Carolina
34:11
36:11
41:11
260:11

carry 29:10
30:20

cas 25:1

case 9:11,
22 10:15
12:2,6,11
13:18,24
14:4 15:2,
6,18,24
17:6,11
18:24,25
19:8,10,
11,20,22
22:13
23:22 24:2
25:1,22
26:11
27:17 29:6
30:17 33:9
34:4 41:24
91:18,20,
22 92:4
93:18
110:10
124:15
126:15
131:8,13
132:4
133:15
136:1,11,
18 138:9
143:13,15,
24 145:6,
24 146:6,
20 151:11,
13,22
152:1
154:17
155:2,6
156:13
161:22
164:15
169:22
176:9,20
182:15
185:6
197:9
198:15
200:18

208:11
225:13
237:18
253:18
255:15
265:20
266:4
272:22
288:24

cases 15:1
26:2
133:24
157:18

cast 23:13
70:22
73:11 74:4
75:4,15,20
76:2,8,24
86:17
94:20
100:11
101:11,13,
15 104:15,
17 109:11,
24 116:4
119:8
124:13,18
125:2
126:8
127:2
161:18
179:17
203:13
218:8
268:17
273:1
287:17,22
288:2,6

casual
230:13

categories
85:22
128:2

caught
172:11

caused 22:17
29:22 33:6
121:4
230:10
231:13

caution 24:1
237:13

cc'd 246:15

CD 215:2,4,
6,8,13

ceased
211:15

census 87:18

center
211:2,4
212:2,4,6
244:2
245:20
246:2
249:11
251:22

central
167:11

centralized
277:2

CEO 34:17

certification
229:8
280:4

certified
29:20 46:1
229:4
237:6,8
250:6
271:4,18
272:13,15,
17 273:17
282:13

CES 211:9

chain 213:6

chair 22:13

chairs 68:22

challenge
58:6

challenges
135:25
252:9

challenging
26:2

chance 13:2
22:4,6
33:2
106:20,22
111:24
131:13
136:25
175:17,22,
24

change 25:4
114:15,22
179:15
188:9
241:4
248:20
249:6
251:6

changed
249:20
250:22
251:4

character
93:18

characterize
190:24

charge 24:9,
13,20
128:24

charged
28:18

charitable
32:8

Charles
118:2

Charlotte
34:10

chart
103:11,13
104:24

check 68:6
100:22
102:20
271:10

checked

269:4
271:13

checking
265:18

Chicago
81:15

childcare
289:9

chip 284:1, 2,6 286:4, 10

chips 235:4

choice
179:11
290:13

choose
114:24
252:20

chose 27:25

chosen 19:18
25:25
136:8

Chris 83:13
86:8

Christopher
84:1,6,11
89:24 91:4
93:2
113:13
114:6
120:4
127:9
128:11

Church 63:2
72:24
195:8

circle
130:18

circumstance
230:4

circumstances
15:4 56:15
133:2
241:2
289:24

cite 143:24

cited 13:17
15:2
143:20
175:20

citing 179:4

citizen
35:11 62:9

citizens
28:20

civics 81:13

civil 15:22
18:20

claim 22:22
24:9,13
29:22 42:2
116:20

claimed
116:24

claims 29:6
30:15,24
151:20
206:22

clarification
16:25 17:4
237:15

clarify
17:22
22:20
66:18
252:6

Clarke
189:25
192:11
197:1,4,8, 13

class 144:18

Clay 243:11

clean 77:6

clear 12:6
15:18 19:4
23:15
25:22 26:6
29:6 30:9
37:11
92:11
106:11
169:10
176:20
195:15
209:18

clears
218:18

clerk 12:1

client 9:20
17:4
134:18

clients
9:15,18
85:18,22, 24 88:15
93:15 96:1
289:2

clock 130:18

close 29:11
70:20
275:13
280:4

closed 69:1
70:4 212:4
270:10,11, 15

closely
146:18

closest
213:24

closing
28:4,6
68:24
114:11
115:6
116:1

Cloudplayer
142:2

co-defendants
15:13

Coalition
10:13
32:2,4,6

33:6 36:24
43:20,22
44:15 52:4
99:2

Coalition's
33:22

COBB 8:1

code 15:6
42:15
157:1,4
178:9
204:15
282:22

code-level
237:11

coded 207:22

codes 179:6

coding
207:24
220:15
222:11

colleagues'
230:22

collect
141:18

collected
214:4
234:6
235:11,15
266:15
267:2
271:9
272:6,18

collecting
223:4

collection
223:10

collects
141:9
247:11

college
84:20
138:20

collegial
180:20

Colorado
35:6
36:11,15
149:11
187:22

column 99:13
101:4
239:13
257:2,8,9,
20 265:9

columns
99:13
101:25
239:9,11
265:6

combinational
214:20

comment
131:11

commission
113:18
117:10,15,
17 123:1

commissioner
94:20
117:11
123:2
281:25
282:1

committees
86:1,2

common
121:17
260:13

commonsense
24:15

communicating
270:20

communication
251:22

communications
244:2

community
162:6,13
163:6

company
84:13
115:9
142:2

company's
45:13

compare
95:20
104:13
126:11,22

compared
126:13
233:6

comparing
67:4
100:10,11

comparison
99:24
151:18
174:6

compel
18:15,17
180:24
209:13,20

compelled
25:13

compensation
52:6

compilation
40:15

complainant
224:2

complaint
14:11
15:22 74:8
223:6
264:18

complaints
74:11 77:9
223:4,8,
15,20,22
224:17,18,
20,22,24
277:8,9,10

complete
218:4

completed
214:15

completely
177:22
181:22

completeness
214:9,24

complexity
136:1

compliance
136:22

complicated
253:8

comply 129:6

component
75:11

components
144:13
227:20
231:15

comport 16:6

compound
153:13

compounds
165:8

compromise
145:8
245:15

compromised
145:2
166:6
246:8

computer
39:15
135:8
138:6,25
139:4,18
161:22
162:6,11,13 163:4
215:15
216:8
232:1,6
233:4
234:15
267:18
270:22
283:8

computers
142:6
270:20

concern
10:20 89:4
231:22
257:24
258:1
262:2
276:8
281:6,8,9

concerned
19:4 35:9
73:9
256:18
269:8

concerns
89:2
184:13
262:4
269:2

conclude
25:13

concluded
60:6 79:11
82:18
291:22

conclusion
15:8 25:11
38:6 59:2
161:1
166:20
197:6

conclusions
109:10
143:22

conduct
36:17 38:2
43:2
114:11,15
148:9
183:17
186:22
196:10
235:2

conducted
140:20
177:2
191:22
200:6

conducting
37:17

conference
135:4
184:1
291:4

conferencing
135:2

confidentiality 11:15

configuration
199:13,15,18 239:17,18 240:2
242:4
264:22

configured
27:13
199:13

configuring
215:20

confirm 60:1

confusing
258:20,24

confusion
27:11,15,20 195:20
241:15
262:2
276:11
280:25

Congressman
118:18

connect
145:10
282:22

connected
166:1
263:18
270:11
284:2

connection
34:11
142:13
156:13
270:11

connotation
148:8

consensus
160:25
162:13
163:1

considerable
35:13

considered
184:6
200:10
201:6
230:9

consistent
21:15
53:15
106:8
122:18

Constitution
131:20

constitutional
15:2 30:15
151:18
206:22
256:2

constructed
212:10,11
214:4,6
246:4

constructing
248:25

constructs
213:22
267:18

consultant
114:15
119:17
141:15

consulting
85:2

consumer
85:17

contact
87:20
215:6

contained
232:4
234:15
284:18

contemporaneous 63:6 66:2

content
222:4

contents
23:11
98:10,17

contest
14:20 15:2
19:10
21:6,15
30:18
57:24,25
59:17

contested
80:24 83:2
264:11

contests
124:11

context
81:11
115:15,20
122:6
135:20

continuance
15:4
112:17,20

CONTINUATION
72:18
187:11
243:2

continue
139:20

continued
68:13
233:15
250:13

contract
61:20
246:4

contrary
163:4
190:15

contribute
166:17

contributions
53:6

control 15:2

controls
285:11

convenient
129:17

conversation
11:13
69:15,17,
18

conversations
181:6

convicted
22:13

copied 64:15

copies 137:6
214:22
274:11

copy 44:6
45:11
57:4,6
64:18
98:11
103:15
137:6
183:20
245:13
250:6
252:22
267:18,22,
25

core 32:11,
13 85:13

corporation
28:10

correct 18:9

19:25
34:20
39:20,22
40:1,18,
20,22
41:4,13
45:11 47:4
50:20,25
53:1,8,15,
20 61:11
65:2,13,20
66:4 67:13
75:4,22
76:2,25
77:4 78:4
82:11 88:2
89:4,9
90:13,17,
18 91:9
95:10,13
98:20,24,
25 99:15,
18 100:18
101:20
103:2,4,6,
20 105:17,
20 106:17
110:15
113:22
114:17,20,
22 115:1,
11,15,22
116:1,2,6,
8,11,18
118:11,22
119:20
125:8
126:18
128:15,18
149:17
151:6,9,10
152:20,22
153:25
154:2,4
159:8,9
161:15
174:10
178:15
180:13
183:17,18
189:8,11,
13,15
190:1
192:25
193:1,15,
17 194:6,
11,15,17
195:11
196:2,4,6,
13,17,18
197:2,15,
24 198:4
199:8,20
200:8,11,
13 201:25
202:4,11,
18 204:20
206:6
207:2,4
212:17
213:13,18
216:10
226:4
227:11
233:1,11,
20 237:13
242:6
243:25
250:24
254:8
255:22,24
256:4,8,
15,17,18,
20,22
259:25
260:1
262:9,20,
22,25
263:1,2,4
265:15,18,
24,25
266:2
268:18,24,
25 269:9,
10,13,18
270:25
271:1,13,
15 272:13,
17 274:2,6
275:24,25
276:2,6,13
277:4
278:10,11
279:11
280:17
281:13
282:6
283:9,17,
18,22
285:13
286:24,25
287:2,4,6,
18 288:6,8

corrected
247:20

correctly
15:1 47:18
127:17
194:4
199:4
207:22
233:18
264:13
267:11
272:10

correctness
167:18
214:25

correlation
173:22

cost 53:2,4

coughed
102:18

counsel
15:1,20
64:1,11
98:11
151:25
194:2

count 26:20,
22 27:2
67:6
201:22

counted
203:15
272:6

counties
14:13

57:13 95:4
125:9
205:22,25
212:11,13
213:11,15,
18 217:9
229:2
231:22,25
246:1,6
249:24
251:20
252:1,15,
18,20
253:20,22
257:10,15,
17,18
262:24
264:15
271:6,13,
22 272:20
277:20
278:22
282:13

counting
207:11
268:18

country
141:10
163:10

counts 26:13

county 8:1
9:13 11:20
12:2,15,22
14:10,13,
25 15:20
16:17,24
28:18,20,
22 29:1,6,
15 38:18
70:10,11,
13,15
80:17,20
82:15
89:18
90:20
147:8
150:22
155:9
180:9
189:25
192:11
197:1,4,8,
13 211:8
212:9,13
213:9
214:2,4,22
215:1,2,
11,15,20
216:6
223:11
229:2
232:8
235:8
252:11,13,
20 253:9,
24 254:4
256:20,24,
25 257:10,
13,15,20
262:20
263:13
266:10
267:17,20,
22 269:4,6
271:10,20,
25 276:9,
25 278:4
289:8
290:22

county's
11:22
13:15
213:9
215:15
216:25

county-by-county
199:17

county-level
104:22

couple 22:20
38:20 67:6
89:20 91:2
164:6
291:15

court 8:6,8,
9,12,18,
20,22,24
9:2,4,8,
17,18,20,
22,25
10:2,4,8,
10,17,18
11:1,4,6,
9,11,17,
20,24
12:1,6,11,
13,18,20,
25 13:2,6,
11,13,17,
22 14:2,
13,22
15:11,15
16:4,11,
13,15,20,
22 17:1,
10,15,20
18:4,9,11,
17,20,22
19:4,17
20:6,9,11,
15,17,18
24:20
25:13,15
28:17
29:2,13,17
30:9 31:2,
4 32:22,25
33:2,18,
20,25
36:4,22
37:1
38:17,22
39:4
41:17,20
42:10,18,
25 44:8,
20,24
45:2,4,8,
18,22
46:1,4,6
48:2,4,13,
17,25
50:10
51:13,20
54:2,8
57:6,13,
20,22
58:4,9,13,

17 59:4,
18,25
60:4,11
61:9,18
63:2 64:22
66:6
69:13,20,
22 70:10,
17 71:8,
10,13
72:10,17
74:17,18,
22 79:9
81:2,8,11
82:10,13,
15 83:6,9,
11,13,15
89:17
90:22,25
91:15
92:2,4,17
93:18
94:4,11,17
97:13,20
98:13
101:24
102:18
103:17
104:4
105:2
106:6,18,
24 107:25
108:2,13,
18 109:4,
15,18
110:1,4,
11,15,18,
20 111:4,
6,8,17,20,
22 112:1,
4,6,13,18,
22,24
116:25
119:2,24
120:2
121:11,18,
20 122:1
124:22
125:6,9,
11,15,20,
22 128:20,
22 129:2,
6,8,10,13,
15,20
130:6,11,
17 131:1,
11,15,25
132:2,9,
13,22
133:10,15
134:6,11,
15,18,20,
24 135:1,
2,6,8,11,
13 136:4,
13,15
137:4,8,10
138:11,13
139:6,11
140:13,15
143:18,20
145:11,22
146:6,10,
13,15
150:6,13
151:13
153:15,18
154:6,9,
11,24
155:4,25
158:20
162:22
163:15
164:11,13,
18,20
165:9
168:2
169:6,17,
18,22
170:11
171:8,11,
15 172:22
173:6,10,
11 174:20
175:4,10,
11 176:10,
11,15
180:24,25
181:2,11,
20,22,25
182:2,6,
10,13
183:18,24
184:2,6,
18,22
185:2,13,
15,20
186:2,11,
18 187:4,
10 188:11,
25 190:11,
18 191:18,
22 192:2,
6,11,18
193:6,8,10
195:22
197:10
198:20
202:25
203:2,6,
20,22
205:4,13
206:15,20,
25 207:2,4
208:4,8,
11,13,15,
18,22,24
209:2,4,6,
11,13,18,
22 210:1
213:4
220:22,25
221:2,10,
13,15,20
225:15,18,
22 226:15,
22,24
227:4
228:6,18
231:4
232:18
234:1
236:1,2,4,
6,9
237:20,24
238:2,8,
10,13
242:18,20
243:9,17,
20 244:8,
11,17,18
245:1,6,

10,13
246:25
247:4,8,
18,22
248:2,10,
17 251:4,8
254:10
255:6,10
259:18
261:13,17
263:11,15
264:4,8
266:4,6,
20,24
270:6
273:6,10,
13 274:10,
11 276:15
279:15,18
282:8
284:11,13
286:1,15
288:13,15,
18,20,22
289:2,4,
11,13,18,
20,25
290:4,13,
17,22
291:1,4,9,
17,18,20

Court's
132:20
181:4

courtroom
17:9 18:2,
6 20:20
60:2 98:15
131:18
210:2
290:2,6

courts 24:17

covered
46:22

covering
13:6

crack
291:11,13

craft 88:18

Crawford
25:22

create 222:2
267:25

created
213:2
216:18
262:6
266:11
267:2

creates
189:11
221:24,25

creating
213:11
216:15

credential
78:1

credentials
46:22

credibility
58:6
154:15
156:2
173:10

criminal
22:11
148:9,13,
15

critical
251:11,13,
15

Crittenden's
131:4

cross 259:18

cross-examination
38:24
51:24
54:11
74:24
77:13
78:11 82:4
89:22 91:4
121:2
127:8
128:10
131:20
150:18
188:15
196:22
198:11
254:11
276:18

cross-examine
131:13
132:13
133:1,6,8,
15 169:1

crowded 10:4

cup 36:2

Curling
131:8
143:15,24
151:11
164:4

current
141:18
143:2
246:22
251:17

cursory
259:2

custody
213:6

CV 138:17

cyber 140:18

cycle 98:13
102:4

cycles 255:1

D

DA 93:20

damaging
183:2

dampen 28:6
115:13

danger 165:2

dark 130:18

data 40:10
85:17
88:18
95:11,13
101:18
103:8
109:11
141:9,18
167:6
173:15,18
182:25
183:2
200:2
212:18
215:13
222:6
233:4,6
235:24
237:11
240:2
246:2
251:20
252:13
285:2

database
207:22
211:6
212:10,13,
15,18,22,
25 213:4,
11,15,20,
22 214:4,
6,11,17
215:2,20,
22 216:6,
8,9,13
221:20
225:10,13
240:22,24
241:8,9
250:18,22
251:6,13
252:22,24
263:18
267:18,22
268:1
271:24

databases
85:13,15
95:8 96:4
187:17,20
228:4
249:22
266:10

date 135:15
217:13,15,
17,18,25
218:6
249:2

dates 243:20

David 120:15

Davis 9:1,2,
4,6

dawn
291:11,13

day 58:4
62:18,20
63:24 72:9
74:10,13
75:2 105:6
107:2,11,
22 114:20,
25 165:10
213:2
215:24
224:22,24
232:2
233:6
241:20
267:11
268:4,11,
20 287:2

days 18:24
28:4 62:20
114:11,17
115:6
116:1
135:15
136:13
180:13
267:15

de 46:24
47:4 50:2

deal 211:6
225:18

dealing 27:4
109:4,6
184:8
228:1

dealt
247:15,17,
24 248:15

dear 133:22

debated
145:2

debunked
37:22

decades
186:15

December
62:20
133:15,18
135:25
208:11
211:15

decide 10:22

decided
21:13,24
183:15

decides
122:25

decision
110:2
145:1
169:22
171:15
239:24

decision-making 24:17

decisions
30:6 185:8

deck 268:4,
15

declaration
136:11

decreased
165:18
166:20

decrypt
215:13

defeat 29:25

defect
22:17,18
161:10
165:17
173:2
177:17
180:2
184:9

defected
149:4

defective
19:9,11
20:22
22:18
23:6,13,18
24:4 25:13
145:15
158:13
159:4,15,
24 160:8,
9,11 161:9
163:6,9,10
170:18
174:22
178:20
179:2

defectiveness
19:11
159:22
162:8,15
171:22

defects
148:22
173:15,18
231:11

defendant
22:13
110:10
112:15
131:2
150:13
154:6

defendant's
25:1 52:15
65:25

defendants
22:11,22
23:20
24:18
29:17 70:9
157:18
237:17
289:13

defending
93:20

defense
52:11 54:6
132:22
134:11,24

defer 129:18
200:25

deficiencies
87:22

define
149:15

degree 47:22
139:18,20
140:2

degrees
39:15

Dekalb 14:13
271:13,20
290:22

delay 15:6

delivered
111:13

demand 12:4,
8,9 13:15,
20 14:6
15:4,18
16:4
135:20

demands
112:17

Democrat
115:6
116:6
177:13
178:6
219:15
220:10,11

Democratic
26:15,18,
20,22 28:6
75:6,20
76:18 78:2
115:11
117:9,11
118:13
125:2,15
177:11
201:6,22
202:9
204:9,10
240:13
259:4

Democrats
26:24
62:13
116:11,18
117:18,25
202:4,17

demographicall y 88:20

demographics
87:6,11,15

demonstrate
30:15,20

demonstrated
19:17
41:22

demonstrating
30:11,13

denied 15:4
18:17,20
19:1 49:22
57:20
209:13,17,
20

deny 29:13
226:11

depending
159:13

depends
81:13
122:6
130:10

deposition
136:6,8

deputy 60:13

describe
85:11,22
86:4 87:8
94:17
101:24
213:4

describing
252:8

design 29:25
30:2 90:4,
6 91:25
194:13,18
200:22
238:18
241:17
252:6,8
253:15
254:17,22,
24 256:18
258:13,15
260:18
276:10
280:20,22

designed
29:9 160:1
194:15
249:22

designing
240:6

designs
252:11

detail
147:25
176:20
183:20
204:22

detailed
15:22 82:4

detailing
214:18

details
97:11
115:22

detect 148:2
150:4,11
161:13
287:4,6

detected
161:10
233:11,20

determination
14:20

determine
85:18
88:15 92:4
102:13
103:20
125:1,4,25
148:15
149:2
154:25
180:2
227:20
231:11,17
235:4
279:11

determines
245:2

determining
13:18
42:10,17
148:20
164:17

develop
86:22
142:18
170:4

developed
96:13
147:24
148:1,2
159:2
163:8
249:24

development
149:22

device 55:6,
9 56:9,11
217:1,2,
10,13
222:1
234:13
235:20
268:2,4,13
270:11,13,
15 280:24
284:18

devices
54:24 56:6
215:22
233:2
234:17
235:20
237:6
246:2
268:11,13

devoted
35:15

diagnostic
218:4

diagnostics
217:22

Diebold 35:6
144:6
147:4
149:6
152:4,11,
20,22
156:18
158:11
159:6,18
160:17
205:9,11

diff 185:17

differed
264:24

difference
28:2
159:17
258:6
270:9

differences
162:13

differential
175:6

differentiation 27:2

differently
35:8 223:2
226:24

difficulties

73:22
238:6

dip 30:8

direct 31:20
50:10 61:2
72:18 80:4
84:6
101:17
102:24
104:11
120:13
124:20
137:22
175:2
210:15
238:17
243:2,10
246:11
251:2
270:18
285:15

direction
63:11
146:13

directly
63:11 96:9
133:8
233:4
270:11

director
10:13 32:2
211:2

disabled
54:15,22
55:4,13,22
56:4 59:13

disagree
143:20
226:25
227:1

disclosing
96:15
98:10,17

discover
268:20
272:20,22

discovered
19:20
269:17

discovery
18:20
24:25
112:15
180:25
184:6
185:10
188:6
265:20
284:11

discrepancies
67:8

discrepancy
76:6,18

discretion
245:13

discrimination
28:11
115:10,11

discuss
17:20
92:13

discussed
230:20

discussing
264:24

discussion
162:4
230:15
258:11

discussions
258:6
262:1

dismissed
30:15

disparity
106:13

display
219:22
235:13
241:22
253:24
265:6

displayed
50:6 237:4
240:4
253:11,13
254:4

displaying
240:9
255:18

disposal
253:22

disqualified
18:6

disregard
163:15

dissertation
140:6,9

distance
40:18 42:8
47:17

distinction
19:20

distinguish
213:8

distinguished
227:10

distribute
167:6,13

distributed
200:24
205:20

distributing
251:20

distribution
218:20
246:1

district
111:25
143:15
177:4
214:18
220:4

districts
238:22

dive 158:10

divided
238:25

dividing
101:15

division
223:9
225:2
229:25

divorce 8:10

document
44:2,25
52:13 64:2
98:4 112:2
169:8
246:24
275:8

documentary
19:13

documents
169:13
271:17,18

donate 81:6

door 58:1
123:24
151:20

dots 145:10

double
100:22
102:20

double-check
117:13

doubt 19:9
22:20
23:13
25:15 26:4
29:22
30:22
109:11,24

doubts 33:10

down- 258:6

down-ballot
28:13 89:1
119:18
198:9
258:9

down-ticket
88:15

dramatic
25:25

drastically
194:1

drawing
150:6

DRE 25:9
37:22
38:11
39:20
40:2,20,22
41:1,2,6,
8,10 42:6,
22 47:24
54:15
56:18
59:11
68:24
73:13
90:11,15
128:1
144:2
150:2,10
151:15
154:1
158:11,15
159:2,6,
18,22
160:11
161:2,15
165:22
174:11
177:11
180:6
182:6,20
189:11,18,
20,22
196:11,15
203:13
205:9
208:2
212:24
213:1
216:4,13,
18,20
218:9
228:22
235:13
239:22
240:2,4
241:1,22
252:25
253:1,20,
22 255:11
259:2
277:1
278:24
279:10
280:24
283:20,22,
25

DRE's 30:4

DRES 47:15
56:6 92:1
144:4,6,18
145:13
147:15
148:2
149:2,6
150:4,11
151:1,4
152:2,4
154:13
155:2
158:6
160:22
161:18
162:9,15,
18 163:6
166:22
204:18
205:2
225:15
239:22
251:17

drives 216:4

drop-off
88:13,15

dropout
101:4

dropped
160:6

Drupal
166:4,6

duck 185:24

due 135:18

dueling
17:15

Dufort 9:11, 15,20
79:15,24
80:4,11
81:1,22

duly 31:18
60:25 80:2
84:4
137:20
210:13

Duncan 9:11
23:6 25:18
52:11,15
54:6,8
57:2,11
80:24
110:10
112:15
254:18
264:13
283:9

duties
261:13

Duval 9:11

Duvall 9:24, 25

dysfunctioning
282:20

E

earlier 9:1
90:2
101:17
113:17
114:25
136:11,13
190:10,20
195:1
206:4
212:6
269:15
277:6

early 21:20
46:18,22
47:6 62:18
105:9
114:20
128:2
157:2
191:2
290:6,18

earth 198:18

easier 48:4

easiest
252:17

east-west
27:18
265:17

easy 48:6

Ebay 144:11

educate
119:18

education
119:18
138:20
144:17
147:13

Edward
268:17

effect
204:15

efficient
87:1

effort 58:2
115:18

efforts
57:15

elderly 68:6
76:22

election
12:11
14:20
15:2,6
16:22
19:8,11, 18,22
20:22
22:18
23:6,13, 15,18 24:4
25:13,18, 24 26:2,4, 13,22
27:4,6
28:22
29:2,9,11, 18 30:1, 10,18,25
32:9,10
33:15,17
35:11,15, 17,24
36:8,11
37:1,6,9, 13 38:4
39:6,22
40:2 42:15
46:13,18, 22 57:24, 25 59:17
62:8,15, 18,20
80:13,24
81:4,25
82:22 83:2
85:15
86:11,15, 18,22,24
87:18
89:13
90:6,9,17
91:25
92:13
94:15
96:25
100:11,13, 20 102:4
105:6
107:2,11, 22 109:25
114:25
116:20
122:6
124:6,13
127:13
140:10,20, 24,25
141:1,6, 10,11,20
147:8,10, 11 151:18

153:2,6,
11,22
154:2,22
155:4
157:6,22
161:20
162:20
164:8
165:10,18
166:8
167:11
170:24
171:6
172:6,20
175:25
180:10
182:25
183:11
184:9
188:9
189:18
196:11
200:8,11
203:11,13
204:15
205:1
206:15,22
211:2,4
212:4,6,
11,18
213:2,22,
25 214:4,
6,11
215:20,24
216:20
218:13,20
222:22,24
223:10,11,
18,20,25
224:1,22,
24 225:2,
8,18,20
226:4,9,
10,20
227:4,10
228:24
229:17,18,
24 230:1,
2,4 232:2,
9 233:6
234:11
235:9,22,
24 236:18
239:10
240:8
241:17,20
244:2
245:20
246:2
248:6,15
249:8,11
253:9,17
254:25
258:4,20
261:22,24
262:13
263:13
267:11,15
268:4,6,
11,20
269:2
271:2,20,
22 272:1
273:20
274:1,4
277:8,22
280:9
281:11,13
284:15,17
285:2,4
287:2

election's
227:20
238:6

elections
9:13
28:18,24
29:9 35:4,
18,20
37:18
38:20 39:8
40:11
46:17 62:4
77:18
88:13
89:20
96:18
101:18
102:2
103:6,9
122:15
141:9
149:17
150:24
151:6
166:25
167:2
188:20
211:8
212:9,22
222:18,25
223:6,9
229:25
230:6
240:11
246:6
249:25
251:22

Elections.kennesaw.edu
251:25

elector
80:18

electoral
19:15 85:2

electorates
85:4

electric
22:13

electronic
21:6 22:1,
2 27:2,13,
17 28:2
32:13,18
33:4,11
35:2,10,20
37:10,17
38:13
39:15 73:4
105:13,18
106:13
164:2
174:8
199:13,15,
20 238:18
258:9
264:15,20,
24 276:11
277:25

282:4

electronically
104:15
276:11

electronics
282:22

element
259:10

eliminate
83:4 175:4
280:25

eliminates
22:13

else's
164:13

email 45:13
46:2
185:4,6
243:11
244:2
245:18
246:15,17

emailed
182:13

emails
243:15,25

embeds 19:18

employed
32:2 61:15
70:9,13
84:13
210:20

employee
289:8

employment
141:24

empty 291:6

encounter
235:17
241:6

encountered
223:1,11
224:9
269:24

encourages
122:15

encrypted
215:4

end 62:15
68:20
73:11
92:10
212:1
234:10
268:11

ended 35:11
253:20
257:4

engage 85:18
87:25

engaged
28:10
84:15 89:1
138:8

Engineering
168:10

English
266:13

ensconced
42:15

ensure 28:20
142:6
265:22

entail 95:6

enter 49:17
63:20
82:25

entered 44:2
52:13 64:2
98:4

entering
123:22
237:13

enthusiasm
28:6
115:13

entire
23:11,13
30:22
168:11
249:6

entirety
48:24

entitled
13:25
80:22 83:2
99:6 117:2
181:2

entitles
29:8

environment
222:17

EPROM 284:1,
2,6,15,18,
24 285:4
286:4,8,9

equal 253:22

equipment
179:2
206:2
212:8
222:15
229:2,4,6
253:20
268:1

erasable
286:4,6

error 172:4
178:4,11
179:6
207:24
218:25
220:15,18
230:10
242:6
278:8,13
286:24

errors 16:1
166:15
167:20
172:1
179:18
195:6,8,13
207:22
219:1,2
247:20

escape 24:18

essentially
86:13,18
102:2

establish
27:1 82:10
124:13
145:10
165:11

established
26:2 92:10
155:24
158:15
169:15
172:10
191:2

establishing
184:20
198:25

ethnicity
87:13

evaluated
164:9

evaluating
87:4 88:8
89:6
187:17

evaluation
89:13

evenly
205:20
220:15

Everest
160:18

everybody's
10:10
130:15
133:18
181:11

evidence
19:11,13,
17,22,24
20:2,20,
22,24
22:15
23:24
24:6,11,20
26:6
28:22,25
29:4,11,
20,24
30:11,15,
22 42:2
44:4,22
45:20 51:6
52:15
57:11 58:2
64:4,18
96:25
98:6,13
103:17
111:11,15
131:2,4,6,
9,15,18,22
132:1,2,11
156:1
161:18,20
162:2
165:6
169:18
172:20
175:2
176:22
183:8,9,
15,22
188:6
190:25
191:4
198:13
220:22
232:15
233:22
255:4

evidentiary
184:8

exact 100:25
102:20
119:13
126:18
158:24

examination
31:20
43:10
56:24
58:22 61:2
72:18 80:4
84:6 93:2
120:4
137:22
156:6
184:17
186:22
187:11
190:11
204:1
207:15
210:15
227:20
229:6
231:15
234:4
243:2
279:22
286:17
287:10

examine
134:22
180:15
229:4

examined
40:20
94:18,22
124:11
164:24
229:2
232:6
233:2,9

examining
96:18

examples
175:20

Excel 64:13
65:2,6,9,
11

exception
12:9 15:25
136:2

exceptionally
179:6

excuse 36:20
41:4 65:18
78:24
158:6

excused
60:2,4

128:22
288:20

excusing
60:2

executable
212:20

execute
249:24

executed
211:8
222:25

executive
10:13 32:2

exercise
146:15

Exhibit
44:1,4,10,
11 45:20
52:15
54:2,6
63:25 64:4
78:15 79:4
98:2,6
112:1,4,15
168:4
243:9,11,
24 245:9,
17 246:15
251:2
275:1,4

exhibits
264:2
266:18
273:4,10,
11,20
274:9

existed
28:13

exit 130:20

expect 21:13
200:22

expected
222:18
277:18

expedient
14:20

expedited
136:15

expenses
53:11

experience
35:1 39:18
50:2 84:18
85:11
87:4,9
88:1,2,4,
6,10 90:6
91:24 92:2
94:1,4
96:11,18
108:6
109:24
119:15
121:15
138:20
141:13
144:2,15
146:22
147:13,15
148:1
149:2,8
153:1
165:15
177:25
187:15
206:8

experienced
73:24
74:13
230:11

expert 17:2,
6 25:9,10
33:9,18
34:1 38:6
39:2
41:18,22
42:4,6,18,
22 43:1,2,
15 59:20
84:15 88:4
89:11
91:18
92:10,18,
20,22 94:8
96:22
97:15
108:11,13
123:24
138:9
143:11,13,
18 150:2,
10 151:11,
13 154:13,
17 155:11,
24 156:1,2
158:1,8
164:18,20
168:25
169:15
170:4
171:1,17
172:10,15,
18 173:2
174:17,24
177:15
179:1
191:4
195:11
198:4,18
280:18

expertise
41:22 42:6
43:6 47:22
92:11,18
93:25 94:6
96:13,18
97:6
121:24
146:22
147:2,15
148:2,20
165:17
179:22
194:13,17
206:4,8

experts
17:15,17
20:4
59:18,22
168:24
170:2
171:17
186:15
221:13

explain 23:1 30:8 51:6 64:1 68:2 93:11 99:13 105:2 119:2 131:4 151:13 164:6 176:9,11, 18 180:18 183:18 193:4 204:22 255:10 266:4,6 270:2,8 271:17

explaining 90:2 100:2

explanation 22:2,11,17 24:18 104:2 178:1 207:20 228:4 270:8

explanations 29:24

explicit 12:8

explore 121:1 147:25

exposed 165:2 228:15

exposure 165:6 227:15

Express 67:1 205:11 246:2

expression 211:11 213:17 234:24

extensive 184:4

extent 180:2

external 161:17 162:1 270:11

extra 274:11

extract 183:2

extracted 232:2 233:4 234:13

extreme 21:1

extremely 177:20

eye 133:8

eyesight 130:24

eyewitness 175:2

eyewitnesses 178:18

F

face 194:24

faced 183:13

facie 22:9 24:4

fact 13:9 17:11 27:4,10,11 28:8 29:25 30:15 32:15,18 33:13 35:4 42:10 63:20 116:9 117:15,24 118:8,11, 15 119:11 123:10 145:2,8 146:2 148:4 149:4 172:13 199:11 200:15 201:4,9, 13,22 202:15,25 248:13 258:11 259:11,24 274:1,4

fact-bound 15:22

factors 30:8 200:13,20

facts 12:10 15:20 91:20 145:20 155:6 176:22 220:6,22 232:13

factual 15:22 16:2 33:22 42:2 231:2

failed 14:4

fair 28:20 75:8,9 101:1 115:4 151:18 158:20 229:11,13

fairness 24:15 240:6

faith 198:13

familiar 37:15,18 54:15,18 55:13,15, 20 116:17

118:11
177:4
199:9,11,
15,18
200:2
201:2,4,9
225:9
227:15
234:24
287:20

familiarity
199:24

fashion
229:6

fast 106:6
236:8

fastidiousness
166:13

feasible
38:2

federal
58:24
143:18
212:9
246:6
253:13

feedback
222:18

feel 259:2
278:4

fees 53:2

feet 49:10

felt 224:13

FEMALE 13:4

fewer
117:11,25
123:6,13,
18 124:18
126:17
202:10
257:13
282:13

field 148:11
153:2
155:24
170:4
174:4

figure 68:25
87:24 95:2
201:11
221:18

figures
174:20

file 74:8,
11 86:24
88:18
135:15
167:6
184:4
212:18
215:13,15
235:9,11,
24,25
236:18
284:22
285:2

filed 11:18
12:15
110:10
136:11

files 85:15
214:18
246:2,10

filing 11:22

filings
18:24

final 109:11

find 87:22
103:24
106:1
122:8
124:9
126:4,15,
25 223:13
245:2

finder 155:6

fine 10:4,6
32:24
59:22 68:4
69:13
130:13
185:15

finger 71:15
74:4

finish 70:2
157:15
187:2
191:22
289:15

finished
41:20
70:22
205:18
264:22

fired 211:22

firm 115:8

firms 61:22

first-hand
39:20

firsthand
90:15
154:1

five- 118:17

fix 150:11

fixed 248:4
277:24

flaw 19:15

flaws 235:6

floor 236:10
247:20
290:6
291:1

floors
236:10

Florentine
270:4

Florida
160:20
257:22
260:9

focus 33:6,
22 85:20
146:18
238:20

focused

32:11,18
95:2 116:2
142:25
145:6
155:4
259:9

focusing
157:13
172:6

folks 116:11
118:20,22
130:15
169:1
264:25

follow 11:6
28:20
42:20
59:10
67:18
115:22
148:9
282:22

follow-up
57:4
154:10

font 240:10

forensic
148:11
149:6
160:15
171:6
177:15
180:4,6
181:13,17,
18 184:17
186:13,22
195:22
196:10
207:15
227:20
228:22
229:10
231:15
269:22

forensically
148:2,6
208:2

forensics
25:9

form 77:6
145:4

formally
211:9

format
189:11
239:10,11,
20 252:17,
18

formats
239:6

formed
169:22
262:15

forms 36:9

forward
28:15
29:20
30:13
83:13
280:22

forwarded
14:20
214:22
215:4

found 78:24
106:2,6
124:15
126:6,15
127:1
165:25
204:15
229:6
232:6
237:6

foundation
38:6 45:24
48:1,11
51:13
59:15
109:2
145:18,25
163:11
164:17
165:6,11
169:24
171:4
172:13,15,
17,18
177:1
184:20
228:2

founded
24:13

fourth 140:1
290:6

fraction
101:2

frame 241:22

framed
126:20

frankly 28:2
201:1

frantic 74:2

frequently
55:25 56:2

front 65:4
93:18
100:25
112:11
113:4,6
123:25
168:4
228:9
239:11,13
265:6
274:15

full 31:24
61:6 80:9
134:1
210:18

Fulton 9:11
11:6,20
12:2,15,22
13:15
14:25
15:20
16:17,24
28:18,20,
22 29:1,6
70:10,13
82:15 83:9

147:6
180:9
263:2,6
266:1,10
271:13,15,
20 289:8

function
90:11

fundamentally
24:15
151:17

funding
212:2

fundraising
52:22
53:15,18,
22

funds 81:6

future 230:6

G

gain 158:1

gained 96:17

game 86:20

gap 22:1
23:9 28:13
249:6,10

garbage
234:25

garden 29:8

garner 230:6

gave 81:6
151:13

Gay 245:18

GEMS
187:17,20
207:22
212:10,13,
15,18,22,
25 213:4,
11,15,20,
22 214:17
215:15
216:4,8,
13,17
221:20
232:1,4
233:4
234:13
238:20
239:4
249:9,17,
22 250:6,
9,10,18,
20,22,25
251:6,13
252:22
262:11
263:18
265:22
266:17
267:4,18
278:20

gender 87:13

general
39:22 40:2
48:13
81:24
90:15
100:20
105:24
106:10
107:11
116:25
118:2
126:13,22
153:6,11,
22 154:22
160:24
162:18,20
163:22
166:13
167:2,18
223:18
224:20
239:10
240:11
260:18
275:6
281:25

general's
106:15

generalize
179:22

Generalized
180:1

generally
35:2 38:11
54:18
55:4,8,11,
24 81:1,2
87:10
88:11
122:9,18,
24 125:18
142:22,24
144:2
145:22
146:25
150:2,10
151:2
155:2
158:13
159:4
160:11
163:13
199:18
205:9
227:17

generate
249:22
252:15
253:22

generated
278:22

generating
253:25

generation
141:20
143:2

gentleman
20:9 245:6

gentlemen
8:6

Geoff 9:11
25:18
112:15

geographic
87:17
88:18

Georgia 8:1

19:18
21:15,18
26:25
27:6,20
34:13,20
35:6 36:13
37:20
38:2,11,13
39:6,10,
13,22 40:2
41:2,11
42:15 43:2
46:17
55:10,18
56:10
62:13
80:15,18
81:22
90:11,15
91:9 92:11
94:15
95:15,18,
22 96:25
98:18
101:18
104:11,15
108:10
109:1,6
113:18,22,
24 116:11
118:18
120:15
127:13
138:22
139:6
142:17
143:4,6,
11,15
145:15
147:11,15
150:2
151:17
152:6,13,
15,22
153:6,11,
22 154:2,
18,20
157:6,11,
22 159:2,
22 161:2
162:18,20
163:13
164:2
165:1,22
166:18,24
170:22
184:10
186:6
188:2
189:9
196:11,15
200:18,24
201:11
203:10
205:20
206:9
207:8,18
211:6
212:9
237:9
241:20
250:6,11
251:1
255:13
258:25
259:2
260:20
261:22
267:17

Georgia's
26:11
37:15 38:1
54:15
119:6
146:22
147:6,11
151:15
163:9,22
164:8
165:18
166:25
167:11

germane
123:15

get all
130:4

give 33:2
34:2 84:15
93:22
103:15
106:20
121:2
130:13
146:13
160:13
163:15
166:4
215:11
217:4
219:2
220:4
237:10
239:25
285:15
289:2

giving 19:24
73:11
106:22

glad 203:6

glance 259:2

glass 187:2

glasses 64:9

Global
212:18,22

glue 160:4

gobs 208:18

good 8:6,7
9:8 11:13
32:4,6
35:2 36:24
38:18
41:25
43:20
44:15
77:18
89:18
145:24
150:22
179:6
185:22
198:13

Governance
32:4,6
36:25
43:20
44:15

governing

240:17

government
156:20,24

governmental
162:4

governor
15:10
21:22
25:18
71:2,15,
17,18,20,
24 94:20
95:22
98:20
100:18,22
101:4,11,
13,15
103:9
105:4,8
115:6
118:10
120:10,20
124:18
126:2,9,11
127:4
179:11
231:20
242:10,11,
13 253:18
254:24
255:22
256:2,11,
13 258:8
260:15
262:17
265:2,10,
13 268:18

governor's
19:10
21:6,11,
15,24 22:6
30:1 95:1
99:25
100:11
102:6,10
106:15
107:20
108:10
113:25
120:11
123:13
127:18,20
128:17
155:4
162:18
171:25
184:18
225:13
241:18,24
242:1
254:6,25
255:20
256:2,15
257:8
265:4,6
268:24
271:4,8
272:24
273:2
279:9
282:6,11
285:20,22

governors
260:2
265:13

graduate
62:1 84:20
139:1,2,13

graduated
138:22
140:2

graduating
84:25 85:6

Grady 195:6

grandson
133:22

Granted
26:18

gray 255:15

great 10:15
26:9 153:1
184:10

greater
27:11
147:25
165:2
176:20
183:20
204:22
276:11

Greenwald
43:18,20
44:11
45:15

grid
283:10,11

Groban 290:6

Groton 12:1

group 168:24

guess 11:2
12:2 26:6
54:6 141:4
185:20
189:20
229:10
233:22
273:15
286:6

guidelines
240:6

guilt 22:15

Gwinnett
9:13 11:6
14:25
15:17
29:15
38:18
77:18 83:6
89:18
90:20
150:22
155:9
252:20
253:4,9
263:2,6,9,
13 266:2,
10 271:13,
20 287:25
288:11

H

habit 81:18

habits 81:11

hack 270:24

hacking
225:9,20, 22 226:2, 20 227:6,9 270:1

half 182:15

hand 31:6
37:10
43:22
60:15
63:25 64:8
79:17
83:18
104:15
137:11
210:2
243:6
287:24

hand-marked
188:20,22
189:1

handed 64:11
65:4

hands 130:20

hands-on
39:17
144:1
149:2

handwritten
151:10

happen 49:15
86:20
131:20
175:22
176:20
178:2
181:25
221:9

happened
20:22
21:4,22
22:4 26:6
30:13 68:9
70:4 74:1
86:15,20
92:20,25
127:20
161:13
174:22,24
176:18
211:18
221:8
222:13
228:8
229:22
256:20,22

happening
30:2 49:18
69:18,20
97:4
127:18
175:17
233:24

happy 195:24
289:9
290:20

hard 19:13, 17 30:11, 22 47:11
64:8 82:9

hard-to-reach
85:4

hardware
147:20
158:18
159:11,20
250:2,4

Hart 25:22

HAVA 58:18

He'll 33:2

head 40:6
102:11

headed 112:9
115:9

header
241:15

headquartered
34:18

headquarters
34:10

hear 12:2,4
14:17 80:8
139:6
155:15
188:6
208:13
223:25
280:1,6

heard 14:18
134:11
136:25
154:11
158:17
169:10
176:10
180:24,25
184:2
192:11
224:1,20
235:1
280:20

hearing
20:13,20
110:13,15
133:13
135:17
136:17
208:18
232:13
291:22

hearsay
45:22
69:11,20
70:6 71:8
131:15
132:4,13
170:10,11
181:6,8,10
190:13
230:17
261:4,11

heavily
220:4,9,11

heavy 29:4
220:11

helped 36:13

helpful
217:18
270:6

helping
10:13 90:8
141:11

helps 43:4

Henderson
13:18 14:4

Henry 14:13

high 29:18
53:11
81:13
122:13
124:15
127:13
194:25
195:6
275:20

high-profile
118:9,13

higher 26:20
103:22
104:1
122:15
123:1,2
282:4,11

highest
102:6
104:9
271:8
273:1

highly 174:2

Hill 235:8
269:6

hint 19:25

hints 20:2

historic
113:15

historical
95:13
102:13
103:2

Historically
21:13

history
21:18
270:4

hit 281:15

Hm 171:8

Hmm 110:18

hoc 148:13

holding
268:6

holds 160:4

hole 188:4

home 69:6
290:20

honor 8:7
9:18 10:1,
18 11:8,
15,18 12:6
13:8,15
14:8,18,24
15:13,15
16:4,10,
13,15,17,
25 17:18,
22 18:4,
13,18 19:6
20:4,13,
15,24 21:8
22:8,22
23:1,2,4,
25 24:15,
22 25:2,4,
15,17 26:4
27:4
28:11,15,
18 29:15
30:15 31:2
32:20
33:8,22
36:1,2
38:4,8
41:18
42:4,17,20
43:8 44:6,
18 45:4,6,
10,20,22
46:2,6
47:22
48:2,10,11
50:4 51:8,
11 54:1,4
57:6,18
58:1,11,15
59:1,6,13,
22 60:1,4,
10 64:20,
24 65:24
69:10
70:13,18
71:6 72:11
74:13,18
79:8 82:2,
25 83:4,8,
11 89:11
90:20,24
91:2,18
92:6,8,15
93:8 94:11
96:6,20
97:1,10,20
100:1,6
103:15,25
106:18,22
108:2,4,
11,17,20
109:2,13,
18,20
110:4,17,
24 111:2,
6,11,18
112:6
113:10
116:20
119:25
121:9,22
123:20
124:2,24
127:6
129:1,11,
15,22
130:2
131:2,11,
24 132:6,
17,24
133:11
134:2,9,
13,15,18,
25 135:1,
13,18,24
136:4,11
137:2,9
144:20,22
145:4,9,

17,22
146:4,6,8
150:1,15
153:13
154:8,15
155:8
156:4
158:13,20,
24 162:15,
22 163:11
164:18
165:4
168:22
169:2,13
170:9,15
171:6
172:9,18
173:4
174:15,18
175:1
176:13,22
180:22
181:4,18,
24 182:1
183:22
184:15,20
185:1,11
186:1,10
187:1,4
188:4,11
190:8,22
191:20
192:1
195:20
198:11
202:20
203:4,22,
24 205:15
206:11,18
208:10,13,
20,22
209:6,8,
20,24
220:20
221:4,6,
11,15
225:11,17,
20 226:6,
15,20
227:2,24
228:13,17
230:18
233:22
236:15
237:13
238:11
242:17,22,
24 244:4,
6,15
246:22
247:2,15
248:13,18
251:6
255:2,4
259:13,15,
20 261:4,
6,10,11,
15,18
264:4
266:18,20
273:4,13,
15 274:9,
11,13,25
275:1
276:15,17
279:20
282:6
284:4,10
285:24
288:11,20
289:4,13,
15,20
291:20

Honor's 17:4
24:6

hotline
178:24

hour 289:6,
11

hours 36:20
166:6

house 245:25

housed 211:9
245:22
251:25

houses 249:8

How'd 8:24

huge 21:9
150:6

hundred
133:4

hundreds
36:20

Huntsville
249:15

hypo 220:4

hypothesis
149:15
175:20

hypothesized
280:6

hypothetical
221:10,13
230:24

I

ID 118:20,
22 215:6
216:22

idea 85:10
130:13
155:15
166:4
196:15
236:1

ideas 93:24
94:6

identical
155:22
159:10,13

identically
233:6

identification
200:2

identified
57:11
167:2

identify
10:2 55:4
111:1
158:22
243:17,20
254:20

263:9,10
275:2

identifying
148:22

image 221:25
235:10
241:4
253:22

images 232:6
235:11
255:17

immediately
72:4
141:25

impact 113:2
115:11
198:6
200:13
201:18
244:15
257:22

impacted
257:25
258:13

impacting
201:18

impanel
14:15

impaneled
14:11

implementation
159:25

imply 148:11

important
24:15 79:2
93:10
118:20

impossible
203:17

impress
51:17

in- 21:20

in-person
54:22
213:2

inadvertent
262:6

inadvertently
27:15

inappropriate
14:6

inaudible
9:4,9
11:10 13:2
16:18
17:13
25:15
28:17
32:20,22
35:18
38:15 42:4
44:8 45:2
48:11 51:4
54:2,8
59:4 61:13
63:18
64:6,9
66:6,13
67:13
69:11,13
70:11
74:17,18
75:17,22,
25 81:8
82:4,13,24
83:15,17
93:18
100:13
109:18
112:8
115:4,10,
17,20
116:13,15
119:24
120:1,2
124:1,22
125:11,22
127:4
128:25
129:2,6,8,
9,11,13
130:25
132:4
134:13
135:4,13
136:9,11,
13 140:13,
15 141:1,
11 143:18,
24 145:17,
18 146:6
149:22
151:20
153:8,15
154:15
156:4,20,
22 158:4,
6,8,15
160:18
162:20,24
163:13
164:20
165:8,9
166:2
169:4
171:4,13
172:2
173:25
174:13,20
175:20
176:24
180:10
182:11
184:4,13,
18 187:10
188:18,24,
25 189:1,
13,22
190:20,24
191:20
192:6,10,
17,18
195:6,22
197:20,24
198:1
200:13
202:8,13
203:1,11
207:13
218:13
225:11
227:6
232:22
233:15

235:22
236:6,15
237:6,18
238:1,2,13
242:15,20
243:8,15,
22,25
244:1,10,
11,15,18,
22 245:8,
13,18
246:13,15,
18,25
247:1,6,
11,13,15,
22 248:1,
2,4,6,15
249:4,15,
18 251:2,
9,10
253:4,20
254:22
255:1,6,8
257:25
259:13,15,
17,18
261:6
263:4,8,10
264:2
266:6,13,
17,18
270:22
271:15
272:22
273:6,9,
11,13,15,
18,25
274:4,6,
13,24,25
275:2,22
276:22
277:2,13
278:2
279:10,11
280:2
281:4,20
282:24
283:11,17
284:11,18
285:25
286:1,2,6,
10,11,13,
15,20,22
287:13,17
288:2,15,
22 289:8,
10,15,17,
22 290:1,
2,11,15,
20,22
291:2,6,8,
9,10,13,
15,18

incidence
66:20

incident
66:22,24
67:13,15
228:4
244:6

incidents
78:18,20,
22

inclined
22:9

include
78:18
147:18
257:6

included
214:11
218:18
239:15

includes
8:10
182:22
272:15,18

including
157:18
272:8

inconveniencin g 291:13

incorrect
40:4 111:2

incorrectly
233:11

increase
119:13
122:22
145:13
165:22
276:4

increased
165:18,20
166:20

incredibly
166:2
176:8
177:22
200:17,18

incredulous
74:6

incumbent
128:15

incurred
178:11

independence
161:13,22

independent
117:20
160:20

indicating
143:20

indications
50:22

indirectly
167:13

individual
11:11
85:15
87:11
97:11
107:1
157:15
202:22
214:22
216:10
232:2
233:2
239:6
240:1
259:10
263:24
268:6
271:24

272:6
281:11
283:22

individuals
27:15
118:20

indulgence
136:2

industry
180:20

ineligible
19:15

infected
227:22
231:18

inference
198:13

inferior
24:11

infiltrated
246:10

inflammatory
58:4

influx 198:8

information
13:22
59:20 70:1
85:17
87:17
95:6,18
96:4 99:10
103:11
104:18
109:15
122:13
169:4,11,
15 170:6
214:4
215:22
216:13,17
232:2
236:11
239:4
245:24
249:11
252:2,4
266:15
284:17
287:15

informed
76:4

informs
199:4

infrequent
122:15

inherent
151:15
166:22

inherently
161:9

initial
17:13
278:2

initially
18:13
232:8
239:20

innocent
178:13
207:20

input 268:4

inputting
218:6

inquiry
93:11

ins 14:18

inserted
217:8

inserting
218:4

inside
148:24

inspect
182:18
208:2
284:9

inspected
153:10,20
154:2,22
235:6
249:18

inspection
155:13

inspects
215:15

install
237:6
252:24

installation
249:17,18

instance
14:11 29:4
63:15
73:15
87:11
115:4,25
117:8
118:17
189:9,15,
17 199:11
201:11
223:13
256:20
259:24
260:8
267:11
272:20

instances
50:18
59:11
173:2
179:24
233:9

instruct
216:9
219:4

instructing
268:8

instructions
257:4,11,
20 258:2

insufficient
13:17

insulted
130:24

insurance
117:11

123:2
282:1

integrity
32:10
140:10

intend 10:20
17:8

intended
39:4 71:4

intensely
43:4

intent
135:15

intention
58:11
111:13
135:4

intentionally
23:20

interact
270:17

interacting
280:22

interaction
259:1
270:18

interactions
186:17,18

interacts
278:4

interest
81:1,2
142:18

interested
35:4 81:13

interesting
22:6

internal
150:2
180:15
181:20
182:4,22
183:11,20
235:9
236:22
249:1
284:18,20,
22 285:2,6
287:18

internet
165:2,6
166:2
167:8
168:13
228:15
270:18,22

internship
142:1

interpreted
167:4

interpreting
231:6

interprets
259:6

interrupt
38:9 251:2

interrupting
249:2

interviewing
164:2

intimate
97:11

introduce
45:20
65:24 81:2
132:20

introduced
57:11
132:2,4
235:20

introducing
58:2

introductory
131:2
140:18

investigate
186:6
227:9
228:9

investigated
24:20
173:4

investigation
148:11
163:20
171:6
181:13
183:17
186:13
191:24
192:9
199:6
226:18
229:15,18,
22 231:11
235:4
247:1

invoke 10:20

invoked
16:10,11

involve 89:6

involved
35:22 36:9
39:6 41:24
151:22
205:13
214:2
222:15
272:1

involving
120:15
203:11
237:18

IP 270:15

iphone
63:10,13

irregularities
268:20

irregularity
29:8,20
30:22

irrelevant
33:18

issue 13:24
15:17 19:8
20:4 30:18
42:10,11,

13 45:25
67:1,9
87:15
91:20,22
92:2,4
93:8,15
154:13,25
155:2,4,8
182:11
183:22
184:11,25
204:25
206:17
208:22
224:10
225:13
226:8,13
227:17
230:18
243:15
248:6,15
264:22
277:15,17,
18 282:17
289:9

issued
160:20
209:11
249:24

issues 14:6,
9,17 16:2
32:11,13
33:6,18
41:22
42:11
57:18 73:4
74:13
91:20
93:13
136:17
151:13
158:2
179:2,9
230:13
235:17
237:18
253:6
277:13

items 131:2

J

jail 133:24

January 8:2
45:13
136:17,24
211:17
212:2
249:4,20
250:1

Jeanne 9:11,
15,20
79:15,24
80:4,11

job 11:13
75:20
256:18

John 201:10

Johnson
63:15

join 92:6

joined 15:13

Jordan
129:9,11

Joseph 63:4

judge 12:24
13:1 35:11
93:18,20
172:15
205:2
236:11,13,
15 256:22
267:13
290:1

judgment
237:20

jump 237:24

jumped
238:10

jumping
146:15

juries 14:15

jurisdiction
214:15
215:4
218:6

jurisdictions
14:17
260:6

juror 12:4

jury 12:8,
9,10
13:10,11,
15,18
14:1,6,10,
15 15:2,
22,24 16:4
135:20
290:18

Juvenile
8:12

K

Kauffman
8:22,23
9:1

Kaye 12:22

Kemp 131:8
143:15,24
151:11
164:4

Kennesaw
211:10,15,
22 222:20
227:15
244:2,6,9
246:4
248:15,20,
22

key 29:17

kind 8:11
68:6 74:2
76:6 85:20
86:2,4,22
87:15
88:18
95:2,4,25
97:18
111:9
122:20
161:2

168:22
169:4,15
170:10
172:10,17
178:11
186:22
188:8
198:4
199:22
200:2,6,10
231:4
238:18
246:20
247:8
269:18

kinds 90:2
133:24
141:20
261:17

knew 191:11
199:25
224:8

know- 133:18

knowledge
39:20
43:17
90:11,15
94:2,4
97:11
115:24
144:2,15
146:22
147:4
149:20
154:1,18
155:11,13
158:1
164:17,18
181:20
186:18
199:1
219:18
221:11,18
230:13
241:20
254:2,4
276:9

knowledgeable
93:17
256:20

L

L-E- 61:10

lab 144:6
152:4,20
154:20
249:15

labels
216:22
217:1

labor 94:22
117:17

lack 30:6
163:11
166:11
167:18
211:10
213:15
214:15
228:2

ladies 8:6
133:22

lady 68:6

lagging
200:22

laid 38:6
59:15
109:2
172:13,15
174:15

Lamb
164:10,13
165:25

language
58:4 111:9
253:10

languages
141:22
253:6,11

laptop 129:4
134:20,22

large 22:4
65:6 198:8
241:2

large- 64:11

large-print
65:8

larger
275:22
276:1

Lasix 64:22

lasted 68:20

late 250:8,
11 251:1

latitude
34:4

law 24:15
25:22
28:20 34:2
58:25
61:24
92:11

laws 13:24

lawsuit
197:4,11,
15 205:1
262:15,25

lawyer 17:24

lawyer's
36:4

lawyering
185:22

lawyers
11:13

lay 42:1
44:22
45:24
145:25
171:4
172:13
177:1
219:20
238:20

layout 164:6
222:4
239:6
252:18
257:15
258:20
262:6

265:2

lays 239:8

LBJ 63:11, 13 65:2,13

lead 220:11 221:4 235:18

leading 96:6 100:2 106:18 155:24,25 156:2 158:8 166:8 226:22 259:13,15 267:15 282:6

leads 173:18

learn 230:2, 4

learned 132:25 260:4

leave 50:18

leaving 47:11 165:2

Leclerc 60:11,22 61:2,6,11, 13,15 65:1,17 66:9 71:13 72:20,22 73:22 74:15,20, 25 75:2 77:15,18 78:13,15

Leclerc's 69:11

led 178:18 191:18 276:11

left 30:17 68:13 167:8 181:13 265:8,9 291:6

left-hand 241:24

left-leaning 205:25

legal 38:6 53:2 59:2 197:6

legally 144:11

legislator 206:13

Legislature 15:9

legitimate 22:2

letter 45:18 231:20,22, 24 235:2

level 53:11 122:20 151:17 166:18 167:15 200:22 232:11 249:9

leverage 88:20

Lexisnexus 135:11

León 46:25 47:4 50:2

libertarian 36:18 39:13 46:20 123:11 219:15

Libertarians 285:20

library 46:25 47:4 49:20

lieutenant 15:10 19:10 21:6,11, 15,22,24 22:6 25:18 71:2,15, 17,18,20, 24 95:1,22 98:18 101:11 102:6,10 103:9 105:4,8 106:15 107:20 108:9 115:6 120:10,20 124:18 126:2,9,11 127:2 128:17 155:4 162:18 171:25 179:11 184:18 225:13 231:20 241:18 242:1,11, 13 253:17 254:6,25 255:22 256:2,13 258:8 260:2,15 262:15 265:4,10, 13 268:24 271:2,6 272:24 273:2 279:9 282:6,11 285:18,22

life 21:9
182:15

lifelong
81:11,18

light 130:20

lightly 26:1

likability
200:20

likelihood
145:13
165:17
175:15

limited 42:8
87:2 131:4

limiting
149:13

Lindsay
45:8,22
59:1,13
132:13
197:10

Lindsey
10:24
11:2,4,10
13:9
15:11,13
16:9 17:6,
11,22
18:8,10
25:15,17
32:20 33:8
42:4,20
44:18,20
45:2,4,6,
10,22
47:22
48:10 50:4
51:22
52:2,11,17
54:1,6
58:1,11
64:6,9,11,
15,18,20
65:4 66:2,
6 69:10
70:6,11
71:6,9
75:2 82:2,
6 83:6
91:2,6,13
92:6 97:9
100:1
111:2,4,
11,18,20
114:4,9
117:2,6
119:4,22
120:9
123:4
124:6
128:8,13,
20 130:2,
6,13,22
131:11
132:24
136:4
144:20,22
145:6,17
154:8
155:8
162:15
171:6,9,13
172:9
173:4,6,
11,13
174:15
176:13
181:4
183:22
185:20
186:10
188:4
190:8,10,
20 191:6,
9,13,20,22
192:15,18
196:25
202:20
203:1,4,6,
8,9,20
205:2
209:6,17
220:20
221:2,11,
15 225:17
226:6,15,
20,22
227:2,24
246:22
247:2,6,9,
13,22,24
248:2,8,
11,13,17,
18 250:22
255:8
259:15,20,
22 261:6,
11,15,18,
20 263:6
264:2,6
266:18,22
268:17
273:4,9,
11,13,24
274:9,13,
15,25
275:8,10
276:15,24
279:13
284:10
285:24

Lindsey's
285:15

lines 47:10
50:1 56:1
100:24

lining 259:4

link 119:1

list 18:25
150:6
167:4

listed
231:22
240:13
264:11
272:6
279:2,6

listen 43:4
111:11
156:4
185:13

listening
43:2 55:11

literally
30:13
289:1

literature 156:17 160:11 162:11 171:4 194:18 199:2

litigat- 36:25

litigation 37:1 80:15

live 21:20 80:15 130:11 133:1,2,15 172:24

lived 34:20, 22

living 96:1

LMA 222:22 278:2

load 268:1

loaded 215:13,18 216:8 217:20 222:1 267:22

loader 182:25 237:1

lobbies 11:11

local 85:20 215:4,15 238:22

located 62:22 197:1 274:2

location 46:25 47:4,8 49:22 63:22 65:15 67:4 73:13 176:13 216:11,15 218:22 220:9,10 221:24 222:2 252:1 283:2

locations 40:11 47:2 55:18,20 62:20 215:22 239:4,13 266:11 270:20

locked 290:17

Logan 164:10,13 165:25

logic 217:9 218:13,18, 24 222:10 268:2

logical 222:11

logistically 129:15

logistics 288:25

logs 179:6

long 17:24 47:10 48:18 49:4 50:1 56:1 82:22 103:18 129:17

longer 68:20 131:13 253:4 288:24

looked 18:22 40:9 94:17,24 97:2 104:8 113:15 119:6 120:9 128:4 165:4 185:8 189:6 195:11 203:2 229:22 230:6 235:11,13, 22

loop 275:13

loss 35:17 36:10

lost 23:11 24:2 35:15 137:6

lot 28:4 36:25 37:2 42:20 59:20 87:22 96:4,8 97:2 119:18 132:25 158:20 224:22 227:15 252:9 253:10 285:20

lots 171:18

loud 169:10

love 248:8

low 176:8

lower 101:11 174:11

luck 290:2

lunch 129:18,20

lurking 155:15

Lyndon 63:15

M

M-42 291:9

machine
22:17,18
24:18
39:20
40:24
41:1,2,8,
10 42:11
49:18
50:4,18
51:4,11
55:13,20
56:11,13
67:6 68:8,
11,13,17,
24 69:1
70:2,4,20
71:20
72:2,6
73:18,20
90:15
93:15
144:2
154:2
158:11,13,
15 165:22
172:11
174:1,2
176:4,6
177:11,22
178:6,11
182:6,20,
22 183:1
190:11
194:1,6,10
195:6
196:11,15
203:13,17
208:2
212:24
216:4,6,
18,22
217:4,6,15
220:11
222:4,6
228:22
232:4
233:4,10,
13,18
234:6,9,
10,18,20
235:10
236:2,4,6
237:4
242:4
265:22
266:17
277:18
279:10

machine's
67:6 235:8

machine-level
235:4

machines
23:11,22
24:22
25:10
38:11
39:15
40:2,4,8,
13,17,20
41:6 42:6
47:24
48:8,20
49:2,4,13
50:1,22
54:17
55:25 56:2
67:2,4
73:13,15
90:11
128:1
142:11,13,
15 143:11
144:11
145:22
146:2,18,
24 148:4
152:11,20,
22 153:6,
10,11,22
154:18,22
156:20
157:11
158:22
159:6,18,
22 160:2
161:2,15
162:20
163:2
167:13
170:18
172:2
173:20
174:8,9,
11,22
175:6,8
176:6
177:11,15,
18,22,24
178:4
179:4,6
180:4,8,17
184:17
189:13
194:6
197:22
203:11
218:18
220:10
223:17
232:2
235:6
277:25
278:2
283:22,25

made 12:8,9
15:18,20
16:1 19:20
44:11 71:2
92:9 98:13
166:15
169:22
176:20
185:8
208:9
237:17
239:24
253:4
265:22

Madison
80:15

Magistrate's
290:4

magnifying
242:6

mail 128:2

mailout
216:1

main 85:20
223:9

maintain
212:2

maintained
165:20
249:10
284:22

major 81:15
159:13
167:9
181:25
270:2,4

majority
34:24
162:10
223:8

make 10:11
16:6
18:13,18
22:11,20
23:15 48:4
54:22 55:6
70:24
71:24
74:20
75:18
93:22 94:8
104:22
106:11
107:20
130:4,6,8,
11,15
155:9
171:15
192:18
193:10
195:15
217:11,13,
20,24
237:15
238:22
253:11
259:4
265:18
267:11,15,
22 268:2,
9,15 270:8

makes 24:25
205:11

making 48:13
129:15
142:18
167:18
183:20
207:22,24

MALE 8:7
10:20
11:22,25
12:15,24
13:1 20:13
82:13
129:11,13
286:20
287:8
289:15,18,
20 290:10,
15,20,25
291:2,8,
11,15,18,
20

malfunction
24:18 50:8
51:11
93:15

malfunctioning
49:4,8
50:1,6
51:4

malice 23:18

malicious
16:2
178:15

malware
166:11,15
189:10,18
196:15
204:17,18
227:22
228:10
231:18
237:11
269:18,22

manage 212:4

Management
212:18,22

manager
67:11
68:13,15,
18,22 69:4
70:2,6,8,
13,15,20,
22 71:1,2,
15 72:4
73:15

manager's
73:22

managers
76:13

mandatory
11:18

maneuver
235:15

manifested
179:20

manifestly
23:24

manipulation
16:2

mannerisms
133:20

manufacturing
34:18

mapping
219:9
285:11

margin 25:20
26:10
177:13
184:11

Marilyn
10:13
31:2,15,
20,25
38:25
43:11
50:13
51:25

54:11
56:25

mark 55:10
132:8
283:13

marked 43:24
243:6
254:18
275:2
287:24

Marks 10:13
31:2,6,10,
15,20,25
32:1 35:1
36:8,22
38:10,15,
18,25 42:6
43:11
44:10
45:11
46:11
47:22
48:11,18
50:11,13,
17 51:25
52:2,9
54:11,15
56:25
57:2,10
58:2 59:24
60:2

Marks' 51:11

married
216:20

Martin 9:11

Maryland
160:20

Master's
139:20

match 152:20
235:20
268:13

matched
232:4,25

matches
249:18

matching
234:11,13,
15

material
159:17

materials
156:11

math 22:22,
25 23:4
86:18
102:13
111:18
191:11
270:2

math/physics
181:25

mathematical
30:18

matter 13:25
17:22
18:15
22:22
25:11
27:4,10
33:13
57:17
61:13
84:15
116:9
135:17
140:8
145:24
163:22
172:13
188:6
202:15
205:13
209:9
244:10
259:15

matters
121:2

Matthew
134:15
137:10,18,
24 138:4
150:18
156:8
187:13
188:15
196:22
204:2

maximum
194:9

Mayor 35:4

Mcdonald
8:16,17

meaning
231:6

means 17:20
181:20
193:4
263:15
270:20

meant 56:6
246:20

meantime
290:4

mechanics
150:4

mechanism
52:22

mechanisms
59:11

Medicine's
168:10

member 39:6,
8 211:20
218:15

members
36:17,20
211:18,20

memory
180:15,17
181:20
182:6,22,
24 183:11,
20 189:11
212:24
213:1,2
215:25
216:10,15,
18,22
217:2,4,8,

20 221:24, 25 222:1, 2,6 233:6 234:13,15, 22 235:4,9 236:22 266:11,13 267:1,25 284:18,22 285:2,4,6 286:10 287:18

mentioned 37:2 38:20 141:13 149:1 150:24 151:11 152:2 161:8 224:18 269:2

mere 26:4

messages 53:17,18 172:4

method 35:2 105:1 148:15 278:20

methods 106:4,9 149:20

Metro 271:6

Mexico 84:20,25

Michael 147:9 157:18 164:4,6 209:25 210:1,10, 15,20 243:4 245:18 254:13 276:20 279:22 286:18 287:11

Michigan 138:6,11, 13 139:15, 17,18,22 144:8 149:10 153:4

Mickey 272:11

microphone 80:9

Microsoft 142:2,4, 13,15,20 155:20 212:15

middle 26:24 116:10,18 172:2 202:15

Mike 268:17

million 223:20

mimic 11:22

mind 39:24 167:24 171:20

mine 81:18 173:10

minute 110:6 135:11 198:2 202:6 242:17 279:15

minutes 49:20 51:1 242:20,22 291:15

miscalibrated 224:2,6

mischaracterizes 190:20

misleading 111:1,9

misplaced 74:15

misprogramming 231:18

missed 176:15

missing 278:9,25

misspelled 19:13

misstate 244:20

mistake 8:13 148:18 178:13 220:18 242:4

mistakes 166:24 167:2,4,22

mitigate 88:15

Mm-hmm 20:6 219:8

mock 290:13

mode 53:22 55:22 56:2,4 173:22 222:20,22, 24 242:6 268:6 278:24 279:2

model 155:18 255:13,15

modeling 86:25

models 155:18 255:11,17

moment 41:15

113:4

momma 77:6

Monday
180:10,11
208:2

money 53:1,
10,13

month 133:13

monthly
175:25

months 34:25
165:2,6

Morgan
80:15,20

morning 8:6,
7 15:11
38:18
72:10
77:18
89:18
185:6
289:10,11

motherboard
284:2,4

motion 15:4
18:15,17,
18 112:17,
20 192:2
202:20
209:13,20

motions 24:2
57:20,22
180:24,25

Mouse 272:11

move 34:22
41:13
45:20
132:20
134:22
184:25
185:9
190:13
208:15,20
211:13
236:13
244:4
245:8
290:4

moved 20:13
192:13

moving 81:18
130:4
193:10
208:22
280:22

multiple
23:4 28:11
63:22
74:11
147:8
191:13
253:10,11
270:20
281:13,15

mumbling
139:6

Muskogee
229:2

N

name's 77:18

named 63:18
142:2
197:8,13
262:24
266:4
272:22

names 12:20
19:13
199:13

nation
189:17
200:20

national
86:2
156:22
160:22
168:9,18,
20 170:2

nationwide
159:22

nature 24:11
32:11
135:20,22
136:18
167:11
278:13

neces- 59:18

necessarily
148:13
183:1
201:17
290:11

needed 70:20
184:4
217:6
218:11
253:11

needing 55:6

negative
28:4,6
77:6
115:8,11
200:10

neglect
103:15

neighboring
260:11

nervous
129:15

network
249:6
270:18

Nevada 109:4

newcomers
128:18

newer 122:15

NEWS 129:4,
6,9,11

night 52:18
73:11
185:4
232:9
234:11

Nobody's
18:25

non-partisan 32:8

nonconfidential 187:24

nonpartisan 36:18

nonprofit 32:8 86:6

nonprofits 85:2,25 86:2,4

normal 56:18 241:22

North 34:11 36:11 41:10

north-south 27:18 265:15

Northern 143:15

notate 216:22,25

note 263:13

notes 63:6, 11,20 65:1,13, 15,17,20, 22 66:2,15 67:18,25 78:17,22, 24 79:1,2 129:2 224:22

notice 131:4 135:4 136:6,18 287:25

noticed 47:9 67:4 68:4, 22 71:1 208:25 258:2 280:11,13

notices 287:20

notification 218:15 223:11

notify 253:2 288:4

notion 161:11

November 25:20 46:13 62:22,24, 25 63:1,22 83:2 133:18 153:6 165:18 196:11 212:6,11 223:17,18 225:8 244:13 252:22 255:18 256:25 261:22 262:1 264:11 274:20 275:4 281:18,22

number 8:12 26:25 27:1,9,11 29:22 30:6 33:17,18 40:11 44:15 57:11 67:2 76:2,8 99:17 100:15,25 101:15 102:13 103:2 109:18 113:2 116:4,22 119:6,13 122:11 123:6 124:11 126:18 132:15,17 194:9 201:24 202:10 215:22 216:10 217:2 218:8 224:18 226:4 239:9,15 241:2 260:6,20 261:4,8,24 266:11 271:8,25 272:1,2,4, 6,22 273:1 275:22 276:4

numbers 25:10,11 40:6 67:6 75:13 92:20 97:2,6,17 98:22 99:2 105:22 107:1 108:13,15, 22 109:20, 24 110:9, 15 111:10, 24 112:8, 18 113:17 114:20 124:4,6 172:20,22 174:22 175:6 176:11 177:9 192:4,11 193:1,4,6, 8,11,15 195:6 203:2 261:13

numerical
195:13

numerous
160:22
179:18

O

oath
289:18,20,
22

object 10:25
32:20 33:8
38:4 42:4,
13 44:18
47:22
48:10 50:4
59:1,13
69:10 70:6
90:20,24
91:18
100:1
103:25
108:11
109:2
111:2,4
121:9
131:11
133:9
144:24
145:6
154:15
158:13
162:15
163:11
165:4
169:2
181:2,4
184:15
185:20
188:4
195:20
197:6
198:11
204:24
206:11,20
220:20
221:6
225:11
226:13
228:2
230:15
232:13
233:22
243:13
247:18
261:4

objected
182:8
208:6

objection
13:6 17:8
41:18
45:22 54:4
57:18
59:15 66:6
71:6 83:6
92:6 97:1,
9 106:18,
20 108:22
109:13
129:20
134:25
145:4
155:10,11,
13,17
158:25
168:24
171:6
172:9,18,
24 173:4,6
174:15,18
180:22
186:10
188:11
195:18
198:11,20
202:20
225:17
226:6
228:18
232:18
237:18
247:15,24
248:17
251:10
255:4
264:4
266:20
273:13
275:9
282:6
284:10
288:11

objectionable
45:2

objections
11:2 33:17
77:2
136:10
155:9
274:10

observations
62:18
63:20 66:2
67:20
72:24 79:1

observe
47:6,15
48:24 62:9
72:2 73:4,
8,20 78:2
171:22
218:15,17

observed
40:8,15,18
49:18
66:25
96:24
98:22
149:11
176:11

observer
62:10 63:6
77:22 78:1

observing
42:8 62:15
63:8 65:13
66:17 69:2
77:20
147:11

obtain 44:22
139:18
144:11
246:1
252:2,22

obtained
140:2

obvious
20:22
97:15

occasions
191:13

occurred
26:8
189:15
195:13

OCGA 24:6

odd 124:20

oddity 194:1

odds 176:4
198:2

offer 131:4
154:24

offered
182:4
284:9

office 39:9
76:6 99:6
105:1
107:2
167:13
210:22
211:13,17,
22 212:4
213:10,11,
22 214:8,9
215:6
222:20
223:9
224:25
225:6
228:22,25
229:25
230:6,15,
18 231:20,
24 232:11
233:1
238:18
244:1
246:4
248:22
249:11,13
253:2,15
260:24
267:6,15,
24 269:13
271:8
273:2
274:6
280:13
290:22

officer 28:9

offices
256:4

official
223:11
261:8,10,
13 274:1

officially
77:24

officials
49:20 72:2
141:10
147:10
223:10
225:2

Ohio 160:18

older 95:18

Olens 25:18
203:6

omits 24:10

omitted
278:13

op 239:18

open 18:13
44:15
45:13
165:2
166:2
167:8
270:9,18

opened 58:1
229:18

opening 16:6
18:11,13

operate 40:9

operates
56:15

operating
47:18,25
141:20
142:17,22
143:6,9
152:4,9
155:20
182:24
237:2,4,6
267:11

operation
40:15
47:15,24
150:2
183:4
212:2
217:18
222:4
278:6

operational
249:11

operations
40:13
144:2
211:15
223:17
248:22

opine 47:24

opinion 97:6
104:2
121:2
158:11
159:2,20
162:13
163:8
166:24
170:4,22
171:1
172:8
173:18
174:22,24
175:4
195:11
198:18
280:18

opinions

41:25 143:18,20, 25 158:11 162:4 169:9,10 170:2

opportunity 73:4 104:13 110:8 113:1 126:10 134:4 136:13 180:15 208:2 230:2 252:11,13

opposed 28:13 57:15 265:17

opposing 57:13

opposite 177:22

opposition 112:18

optic 216:1

optical 239:8 252:17 265:4

option 285:22

order 12:13 14:17 25:4 28:20 53:2 130:2 181:13,18 209:18 217:20 220:1 221:22 223:15 240:13 247:8

ordered 182:22 183:25

ordinary 68:9,18

organization 32:8,9,11 34:9 35:18 46:4 52:22 53:11 62:11 86:6

organizations 85:2,25 86:1

organize 219:22

orientation 242:13

original 158:6 224:11

other's 17:20

outcome 161:20 269:20

outlier 94:25

outlined 224:20

outstanding 266:25

outward 234:11 270:13

overrule 198:20

oversee 211:6

oversees 212:6

overtake 251:10

overturn 30:10 184:10 226:9

owned 249:17

owner 34:17

P

P-2 64:22, 24 65:25

P-3 97:20, 24 98:1

P-5 168:2

P-7 168:2

p.m. 130:1 187:6,8 242:25 243:1 291:22

pack 116:18 202:15

package 87:20

packet 214:22 255:9

pad 55:11

pages 66:11 82:2 168:15 170:13 240:18 253:18

paid 52:4

pair 270:13

pap- 197:18

paper 21:6, 8,22 22:10 27:2,13,18 28:2 33:11 37:2,9,13, 17 38:2,13 41:13 43:2,4 104:17 105:15

106:13
125:18
148:4,25
149:22
151:10
161:17
171:10,11,
13 174:8,
11 175:6
188:20,22
189:1
199:11,18,
20 206:15,
22 207:11
257:6
258:6
264:25
276:13
277:1,4
282:4

papers
140:22

paperwork
208:18

paragraph
111:25
112:1
238:11

parallel
267:11,20
268:20
269:20
287:2

part 14:1
32:15
35:11
53:1,2,6,9
125:18
133:20
156:11
169:22
170:13
183:10,11
205:9
211:13
256:17
261:11
262:4
265:20
278:1
287:15

partial
131:22

participate
252:11

participated
62:4
261:1,2,22

participation
88:8
257:24
275:20
282:4,11

particularities 199:6

particulars
201:17

parties
133:2
262:15,24
266:4
272:22
273:15

parts 163:10
236:22

party 24:6,
10,13 30:6
36:18
39:13
46:20
75:6,20
76:18 78:2
86:2,6,10
131:6
132:4
176:4,6,8
177:20
181:10
197:8,13

party's 24:8

pass 277:22

passcode
215:11

passionate
81:18

password
246:11

past 85:8
86:15,17
88:11
113:18
119:6
206:6

pattern 21:4
108:8,9,25
175:15
177:22
199:1
205:18
229:15
230:11,22
231:13

patterns
20:24,25
95:22
113:17
123:17
124:10
198:9

pay 53:2

Paying 108:2

PDF 252:18

peer 141:2,
4 163:4

pending
18:15

people 21:9
22:6 25:6,
24 29:10
47:10,11
89:6 98:13
100:15,17,
20 157:25
163:20
179:4
190:17
191:4,15
210:2
211:22,25
223:20
226:4
230:17,25

243:25
257:13
280:2,15,
20 281:6

percent
21:11,15
22:1 32:17
101:2,6,
11,13
102:11
105:8,10,
11,15,18
107:2,4,6,
9,10,13,
15,18,25
120:24
125:17
126:6
152:25
274:22
275:6

percentage
101:10
102:4,15
103:22
104:2,25
107:4,13,
17,22
120:22
274:17,18,
20

percentage-wise 106:8

percentages
106:4

Perdue
120:15

perfect 29:2

perfectly
63:10

perform
86:18
183:4
186:9,13
190:2
193:18
196:6

performance
117:4,22
118:6,8

performed
190:6,11
196:2

period 46:22
208:11
231:18
268:11

permission
132:20

person 17:25
19:15
21:20 26:2
56:11
65:22
105:10
136:6
198:18
280:8

personal
34:11
43:17
153:4,8
154:18
155:11,13
164:18
177:2
188:20

personally
39:20
40:2,20,22
41:11 47:6
90:8
153:20
154:22
164:24
165:4
193:18
224:22

perspective
49:9
152:22
159:11
164:25
166:13
179:1,20

persuasion
21:10

pertaining
140:24
206:13

petition
37:4

petitioner
13:20
31:17
60:24 80:1
84:2
137:20
184:22
210:11

petitioner's
29:13

petitioners
13:17 29:4
37:4,9,11
184:20
209:24
284:9

ph 65:20
200:25
245:18
290:6

Ph.d. 138:6
139:24

Phd 138:11
170:13
175:11

phenomena
175:2

Philip
132:18
158:6
190:6
193:20

phone 136:22
215:6

phonetic
243:11

photographs
72:25 73:2
74:15

phrase
121:11

Phrased
226:24

physical
217:1,2
235:13
248:24
282:20,24

physically
153:9
213:6

physics
270:2

pick 67:2
254:15
276:22

picked
218:24

picture
255:11

pictures
255:9,11

piece 88:24
189:9,18
257:6

place 8:11
19:9 25:13
27:11
33:15
49:13
94:22
97:11
115:25
207:15
216:22
239:20
250:18
252:20
261:15
285:11
289:22
290:22
291:13

places 30:22
160:2
221:25
287:20
288:1

placing
217:2
270:13

plagued
238:6

plaintiff
9:22 10:13
24:25 25:2
79:15
80:11
168:15
202:22

plaintiff's
44:4 64:4
98:2,6,18
112:15,20
168:4,8
194:2
243:8

plaintiffs
15:6 24:4
26:11
29:18,20
30:11,20
42:2 60:10
79:15
83:1,13
84:15
136:8
138:8
151:22
152:1

plan 34:22
36:13

planned
74:11
82:11

plausible
22:15,17
24:18
29:24

play 30:6
238:22,24

pleased
258:17

point 23:15
29:17 68:8
71:8,22
74:10
93:13
97:2,8
109:2
111:20
113:2
122:11
129:1
144:22
159:6
160:4
181:13
193:20
198:15
205:1
206:20,24
217:4
218:18
223:10
238:11
251:9
262:13
283:1

pointed 14:8
15:1 71:15
195:4

points 15:15
96:8
111:13
125:18

policy
206:11

political
21:10
81:4,15
84:22
85:1,2
86:1,4,6,
10 114:15
119:17
189:2
198:4
199:2

politically
88:20

politics
25:11
201:11

poll 36:15
39:11
40:9,11,17
46:11,15,
18,20,24
47:2,4
48:22 50:2
55:6 62:9,
10 67:4,10
70:13,15,
20 72:2,25
73:2,9
76:13
77:20,22,
25 78:1
81:17,22,
25 82:6,8
153:2
197:18
205:11
246:2
288:4

polling
46:25
47:4,8
49:22 73:4
87:13
115:25
200:6
218:20
239:2
287:20
288:1

polls 28:22
55:10
122:15
200:22

Ponce 46:24
47:4 50:2,
24

poorly
126:20

popping
172:4

popular
123:20

port 270:11

portal
251:22
252:2
270:13

portion
35:10
101:1

portions
246:10

pose 168:22

position
13:15
22:20
23:10,11,
17 25:1
27:18 34:2
51:15
52:2,4
70:15
134:13
151:1,4
210:25
240:11
278:17
283:13

positions
15:13
218:9

possession
268:2

possibility
258:22

possibly
26:6 95:6
119:11
136:13

post 148:13
260:22

post- 35:22
36:6

post-election
36:10,13,
15,17,20
149:8
206:4,6
207:9
217:15
231:22
258:15
260:22
278:24
279:2,10

posted
287:20
288:1

potential
166:11
230:22

potentially
86:22
144:25
167:15
283:6

power 24:8,
11 213:1
217:10
246:2

powered
237:4

powering
223:22

practical
39:17

Practice
18:20

practices
38:2,11
42:15
186:13

pre- 217:15

pre-election
222:22
234:8

pre-filled
268:8

preceding
289:24

precinct
50:24
75:20

76:2,9
125:2
128:2,4
174:2
176:25
177:10
178:25
189:25
190:4
192:24
193:18,24
194:6
195:2,11
196:4,8
232:11,25
238:24
263:11,13,
18,20,22,
24 264:10,
11

precincts
124:17
125:2,4,6,
11,13
126:1,6
127:2,25
195:2,4
214:1,18,
20 238:22,
24 272:1,2

predicate
198:15,17

predominant
239:11

prefer 133:6

preference
9:4 241:6
252:10

prefilled
218:6

prematurely
223:22

preparations
147:8

prepare
136:6
265:22

prepared
98:18
138:18

preparing
136:1
215:25

present 99:2
111:11
229:18
264:10
271:24
278:15
281:1,24

presented
14:2 24:2
101:22
111:15

presenting
28:15

preserve
20:18

preserved
19:1

president
259:24

presidential
241:6
252:10

presiding
15:10
236:11

press 28:4,6
115:8
200:11
283:8
288:6

presses
219:6

pressing
219:13
287:22
288:1

pressure
283:13

pressure-point
283:11

presumed
29:18

presumption
24:11,13

pretty 97:15
169:10

previous
46:15
88:13
119:9
191:25
229:8
235:20

previously
127:17
133:6
184:13
190:15
197:22
229:4
232:4
248:13
249:18

prima 22:9
24:4

primarily
36:11

primary 75:2
241:6
252:10

print 64:11
65:6,9
73:2,11,
15,17
279:2

print-on
217:1

printed
76:13,15
217:15
234:11
235:20

printer
217:22
218:1
239:18

printing
236:4,6

printout
268:13

printouts
285:15

prints 66:9

prior 29:15
95:22
101:18
102:8
104:2
118:17
135:4,15
190:15
200:6
249:13
277:10

privacy
32:10

private
249:6

probative
21:4
109:22

problem
18:2,10
20:15
26:8,9
49:22
51:15 69:2
75:18
148:18,20
171:15
176:11
178:9
278:25
282:20,24,
25 283:4
286:22
287:2
288:4

problems
32:18
35:15
37:20
147:15,18,
20 148:2
150:4,6,11
162:18
163:2
167:22
179:25
223:6
287:4,6

procedure
18:15
42:24
136:10
209:10

procedures
37:17,20
38:2,11
42:15

proceed
207:25

proceeding
39:4
135:22
206:13

proceedings
23:2,25

process
19:15
54:15,20
55:4 63:10
135:22
194:13
214:13
216:4,15,
22 252:13
277:20
278:2

processes
37:15

produce
24:10
233:15
241:15
252:18

produced
212:20
214:17
232:6
233:13
268:13

produces
239:4

product
212:17

production
252:22

profession
170:6

professionally
10:15

professor
132:18
133:13
192:22
193:15
195:15
196:2

proffer
131:4,6,9
132:10,11
181:1

proffers
98:13

profiled
26:18

program
141:22
161:22
212:20
219:18
220:8
250:10,13,
25 283:20
286:6

program's
259:11

programing
216:4

programmed
141:22
178:13

programming
15:25
167:13,20
178:4

207:18
211:6
212:24
213:6
218:24
219:1,2,4,
15 220:18
249:8
250:2
277:15
278:8,13
283:15
284:8

programs
245:22,25

progression
40:15

promise 31:8
60:15
79:17
83:18
137:11
210:4

proof 25:4
241:10

proofing
253:11
278:22

proofs 246:2
252:2

propagated
189:10,18

proper 44:22
155:17
172:15
217:15,17,
20,25
235:18

properly
47:25
76:24
77:10
223:24
268:18

properties
141:20

prosecution
22:13

protection
246:11

prove 24:20
110:22
237:20

proved
245:1,2

proven
244:15,17

provide
161:10,20
164:17
214:15
215:6
219:1
252:15,18
267:17

provided
13:22
215:9,17
278:22

providing
42:2

public
113:18
117:10,15
168:13
187:25
218:15
228:15
270:20

publication
163:4

publications
156:20
162:4

publicized
218:13

publicly
85:17

publish
141:4

published
140:22
141:2
148:4
149:24
158:4
160:17

pull 193:6
220:6

pulled 68:11
193:1,2,6,
8,11,13

pulling
133:11

purpose 18:1
164:15
168:20
213:6
220:6
241:10

purposes
239:9
252:2
278:22

push 277:13
287:15

pushing
234:10
238:13
241:11
282:22

put 64:6
71:15
72:6,8
74:17
79:1,2
93:22
108:13
132:9
209:18
216:13
217:1
218:15
223:1
228:11
236:13
242:6
248:8
249:13

250:15,18
283:8

Putin 270:22

puts 85:13
151:15

putting
85:13
108:13
170:15
215:20

Q

quacks
185:24

qualification
42:15
155:11

qualifications
82:11
138:17

qualified
33:9,18
38:6,11
39:2,11
41:18
42:4,6,22,
24 43:1,15
59:20
92:13
97:13
108:11,13
143:11,13
151:11
198:18
213:24

qualify 34:2
92:17,22
97:13
145:20
150:1,10

quantify
175:15

question
9:18 29:25
30:18
32:22
33:15,18,
22 38:4,10
39:25
42:25
43:15 45:2
48:2,13,25
50:4,9,17
51:8,13
54:22
56:10,11
57:4 59:4,
15 74:13
76:17
88:25
97:18
100:4
104:8
106:22
109:6
112:24
120:8
121:10,11,
13,18,20,
24,25
124:2
126:18
127:15
146:10
149:17
154:10
158:15
162:2,24
163:15
165:8
171:9
173:13
176:15,17
182:10
185:9
186:2
191:18
195:22
198:15,22,
24 202:2
203:4
204:24
205:6,18
206:11
208:4
221:18
226:22
231:4,25
232:15
233:18
237:22
247:18,20
249:2
254:1
261:1
267:8
281:4
284:6
288:6

questioning
220:22
275:13

questions
34:8
38:15,20
41:15,17
43:13
51:18
58:15
59:10,24
66:15 79:6
82:2
89:15,20
90:22
91:2,13,15
92:22,25
94:10 96:9
108:18
113:8
114:4
119:22,25
120:25
121:1
123:6,11
128:20
144:24
145:2,10
150:15
153:15
154:6,11
183:25
188:13
203:20
237:20
245:6
254:10
262:11

270:1
279:17
287:8
288:10,13

quickly
15:8,15
187:2
205:18
276:22

quit 179:15
238:13

quote 24:6
25:22
171:11

R

R6 255:13, 15

rabbit 188:4

race 21:11, 24 22:6
28:13 30:2
71:2,15, 17,18,25
73:13
75:15
87:13 89:8
95:22
97:11,15
99:15,18, 24,25
102:6,10
104:15
105:24
106:15
107:22
108:10
109:4
113:20,25
114:1,24
115:22
117:13,17
118:1,2,17
120:10,11, 15 122:25
123:13
125:18
126:2,11, 13 127:18, 20 128:13, 17 171:25
177:11,13
179:15
184:18
199:6
200:6,9
201:18
219:22
221:22
225:13,18
230:11
231:13
240:1,13, 15,18
241:2,18, 24 242:1, 9,11,13
244:13
254:6
255:20
256:1,2, 10,11,15
257:2,6,9, 13,20
258:1,9,13
259:9
262:6
264:18
265:4,6,9, 10,20
268:24
271:4,24
272:2,6,8, 24 276:24
279:2,9
280:22
282:6,11
285:20,22

races 71:4
73:13
94:20,22
95:18,22
102:4,8
108:24
113:18,24
116:6
117:15,18, 24 119:6
124:4
125:25
126:11
198:10
199:9
200:17
201:2
202:11,13
213:24
214:10,11
218:10
239:2,6,15
240:13
241:22
242:2
252:18
254:25
255:22
258:18
263:13,20, 22 264:9, 10 268:22
271:6
272:25
273:18
279:6,8
281:15,17, 24 282:10, 15

racial 28:11
115:10,11

raise 31:6
52:25
53:10
60:15
79:17
83:18
137:11
210:2

raised
57:18,20
131:22
183:24
184:13
190:15
198:2
226:9
230:13
237:18
269:2

270:1

raises 15:17

raising
53:13

ran 26:25
35:4
116:11

random 22:4
175:24
177:18

randomly
176:4

range 26:15
86:2
102:20

rare 179:6

rate 105:8
171:24
174:10
205:22
282:4,11

rates 122:17
123:1,2
124:15

raw 179:6

RE-DIRECT
56:24

re-elect
38:4

re-litigate
284:11

reach 24:8
85:4,18
109:10
260:24

reached
170:22

reaction
73:22

read 20:24
64:8,13,22
131:17
135:20
169:6,22
170:13
171:18
194:18
216:18
218:2
222:6

read- 286:4

read-only
182:24

reader 218:2

reading
133:17

ready 130:9
131:1
218:20
267:6

real 44:18
51:22
128:8
149:2
208:22
276:22
290:18

rearguing
24:2 93:9

reason 14:18
18:1 21:2,
11,25 22:8
109:13,20,
25 148:6
178:6
217:6
221:4
238:9
244:24
269:22

reasons 21:2
28:11
89:11
91:22
92:13 95:4
96:13,15,
22 97:15,
17,18
121:17
122:6
139:8
280:10

rebooting
179:4

rebut 93:13

rebuttal
17:11

rebutted
24:13

recalibrate
278:1

recall 68:4
120:11
121:6
123:8
170:20
204:15
246:15
252:6
258:9
262:17
267:8

recalling
194:4

receipt
234:15

receive
28:20 52:6
76:18
116:4
214:25
215:1
223:6
224:18
264:18,25

received
26:13,17,
18 28:4
95:6
116:22
117:11,25
123:6
125:2
188:1
200:11
202:9,10,
17 215:2
223:6,15
231:20

246:20
255:6
262:15
277:8

receives
73:10

receiving
69:25
231:22
246:15

recent
142:25
152:18

recently
81:24
136:11
140:20
160:20

receptive
217:13
281:10

recertification 229:1

recertified
229:11

reckon
196:18

recommend
206:9
207:8

recommended
186:13

reconciled
16:1

record 18:18
19:2,4
20:10,11
31:24 46:2
51:10 61:6
64:6,20
65:25 66:1
72:13
73:11
80:10
84:10
98:13
106:20
107:20
109:8
121:22
129:25
130:22
132:9
138:2
168:1
169:25
185:20
187:6
190:15,22
209:22
210:18
228:11
233:18
234:15,22
238:11
242:25
244:25
269:4
273:18
275:2
277:13

recorded
29:1 77:10
157:22
219:15
233:11
279:6
287:15,17

recording
9:2 35:2
65:13
234:18

recordings
8:14

records
40:15
44:11,13,
15 45:13
166:11
187:25
232:1,4
247:2

recounting
207:15

recourse
161:10,11

recovered
235:8

RECROSS
286:17
287:10

RECROSS-EXAMINATION
50:13
113:11
114:6

red 49:17
50:6,22

REDIRECT
43:10 93:2
120:4
156:6
187:11
204:1
279:22

reduced
241:13

refer 67:18
99:4

referred
245:17
284:6

referred-to
44:2 52:13
64:2 98:4

referring
204:8,10,
11 245:20

refers 247:1

reflect
101:6
130:22

reflected
111:25

reframe
158:25
172:25

refused
184:24

regard
121:15
247:1

registered
77:22
80:18
81:6,25
83:2
275:15,24

registration
9:13
157:22
166:9
167:6,9
189:20
204:18,25
205:2,8,13
225:10,13,
24 226:2
227:4,11
237:18
238:6
247:2
260:25

Registrations
28:18,24
38:20
89:20
150:24

regular
177:22

regulation
164:4

regulations
240:17

regurgitating
184:13

rejected
223:22

relate 58:24
142:20
251:13,15,
18 281:2

related
141:1
165:13
206:20
214:18,20
243:13
244:6,9
251:20

relates
96:24
155:2

relating
67:25
101:18
190:25
226:20

relation
265:2
280:20

relationship
239:4

relative
201:15

relayed
214:2

release
157:2
273:15

relevance
57:18
59:15
165:13
180:22
184:15
226:13

relevancy
145:6
162:15
165:13
228:2

relevant
45:25
57:22
59:17 92:2
116:20
146:4
155:2,13
162:18
181:2
184:17
206:13
225:15
247:22
248:6

reliable
20:2

relied
170:11
171:15

relies 24:11

relocated
211:15

rely 170:4,
6

relying 17:4

remain 60:2

remaining
17:9 18:2

remedy 25:25

remember
110:20
113:4
191:10
198:24

reminder
16:10

removed
217:4

render
198:18

renew 41:18
97:1
202:20
228:17

renewed
112:15

reorganization
34:18

repair
150:11
277:24

repeat
198:22

repeated
166:22

repeatedly
182:13,15
247:4

repeating
39:24
164:13

repel 24:9

rephrase
106:18
153:17,18
195:22,24
230:18
232:20

rephrasing
59:6

report 75:6, 20 76:22
77:8 98:18
99:2,10
104:25
160:18,22
168:10,13
178:24
214:22
223:2
262:13,15, 20,22
263:13,17
264:11
265:22
266:6,22
271:22
278:20

reported
76:1,6,10, 17 157:20
174:1
179:2,11
191:15
195:8,9
232:8
234:6,11, 22 279:4

reporter
61:9
129:4,6,9, 11

reporting
63:11
75:13
149:17
171:25
172:2
179:4,13
272:2

reports
160:20
191:4
214:17
235:22
252:15
262:11
263:11
264:6,8
266:10,11
271:22
278:20
279:2

represent
38:18
89:18
150:22

representative
16:18
25:25
124:6,13, 18 125:2, 13 126:1, 2,8,22
127:2,25

representing
25:18

Republican
177:13
178:4,8
204:11
219:15
220:11
240:11
241:6
259:4

Republicans
252:11

request
10:11
11:11
12:13 17:4
29:13
44:11,13
51:11
98:18
135:2
183:25
267:17

requested
184:2

requests
44:15

require
23:15 96:2
149:20

required
14:15 43:1
56:8 59:11
154:25

requirements
53:10

requires
26:4 47:22
135:2
230:15
235:15

research
103:20
140:20
142:2
189:6

researched
142:4

resemble
108:17,25

reserved
26:1

reside
219:15
283:25
286:9

residence
166:6

residency

249:13

resident
39:10 41:6
80:20

resides
196:15
283:20
284:1,22
285:4

resisted
24:24

resolved
15:8 67:9,
10

resources
32:17 87:2

respect 29:4
124:9,10
135:2,18
158:11
159:15
162:13
228:22
238:2
253:13,15,
18

respond
117:2
131:24,25
145:22
155:15
277:18
283:4

responded
162:4
182:15

responding
106:20
149:11

response
11:20,22
14:9 22:24
23:1,2
44:11
69:25
112:15
120:8
192:1
204:18
235:2
283:2

responses
223:4

responsible
213:11

responsive
32:22
218:10
235:18
278:6

rest 51:11
132:4
139:9
192:6,13
203:2

restating
248:13

restored
235:11

result 19:9,
22 26:4
29:22
30:22
48:18
69:22
71:10
222:18
233:15
234:6
235:9,24,
25 242:4
256:13
257:13
262:6
280:2

results
19:18
22:18
25:15
29:20
35:22
85:15
86:25
87:18
89:13
92:1,13
94:13
96:24
157:4,8
172:6
173:2
174:2
177:8
190:10
219:25
236:20
262:13
268:13,15
269:11
282:13

retained
211:25

retender
96:20

retired
34:15

return 151:8
215:6

returning
72:22

returns
271:4,18
274:2,6

reveals
56:13

reverse
181:9

review 13:2
44:13
70:24,25
94:13
95:11,13
103:8
107:20
108:24
110:9
111:24
114:10
124:6
141:2,4
153:4,10
156:11
160:18

163:4
172:2
178:17,22
179:10,13,
15,17
180:15
182:22
214:13,24
228:22
233:20
237:4,11
252:2,13
276:24

reviewed
39:22
40:2,4
94:18
101:18
105:22
106:1,2
108:24
109:11
147:4,6,9
156:11,15,
20 157:1,
2,4,8,10,
17,20
160:10,15
162:4
178:22
187:20
190:25
199:2
200:6
214:8
272:20

reviewing
164:2
223:4
278:24

reviews
153:8
191:4

Rhonda 9:11

Rice
138:22,24
139:10,11

Richard
16:20

Richmond
229:2

Rick 147:9
157:18
164:4

ride 69:6

rig 23:20

right-hand
241:25

right-leaning
205:25

rights
151:18

ripped
133:22

risk 149:13
166:18,20

rite 131:18

Robyn 131:4

role 30:6
62:6 75:2
90:2
238:18

ROM 182:24

roof 236:9

room 290:18

rooms 291:4

round 176:15

routine
53:10

routinely
228:10

rows 101:25

rule 8:14
10:20
11:2,11
13:1
16:10,11
17:13 18:4
108:18
129:6
133:11
135:2,6,
11,15
136:2
137:1
154:13

ruled 19:4,6
172:15
181:2,4
184:6
190:11
202:25
208:18
221:2
237:15
238:8,13
247:4,17,
25 248:13
261:11
284:11

rules 135:20
136:18,22
240:8,9,
17,22

ruling
130:10
169:25
190:15
208:8
209:10,11

rulings
143:22

run 27:25
86:11
116:17
141:11,20
142:15
152:4,8,11
207:8
244:22
259:25
260:4,9,11
262:13,20,
22

running
27:22
115:6
128:15,18
142:6

152:15
166:2
180:4
200:4
201:10
202:18

runoff 62:18

Russia
270:22

S

sadly 21:18

safe 291:11

sample 21:9
149:15
167:6
214:15,22
252:15
278:22

samples 22:4

Sara 60:11,
22 61:2,6
65:15
72:20
74:25
77:15
78:13

satisfactory
24:11

satisfied
13:20,22

satisfy 14:2
83:4

saved 215:2,
13 284:17
285:2

saving
217:25

scalability
252:8

scaling
241:4,11,
13

scan 216:1
239:8,18
252:17
265:4

scanners
216:1

scenarios
194:24

schedule
129:18
135:24

scheduled
135:15

scholarly
156:15

school 61:24
81:13
117:9
131:18
139:1,2,13
195:6

science
39:15
81:15
84:22
138:6,25
139:4,18
162:6,11,
13 163:4
168:9
198:4
199:2

Science's
160:22
168:18

Sciences
156:24
170:2

scientific
148:15

Scientists
168:20

scoring
142:20

screen 40:10
49:4,15
70:24,25
172:2
179:10,13,
15,18
217:11
218:11
219:9
223:20
224:2,11
235:15,17,
18 239:18
241:4,11,
13,18
242:2,9,11
255:15,17,
20 256:15
259:2
266:15
268:1
278:1
280:22
281:15
282:2,20,
25 283:10
285:11
286:22
287:15

screens
50:22
160:4
254:6
255:20
256:13

sealed
218:20

seat 31:11
61:8 79:9,
20 80:8
83:22

seats 8:8
10:2 124:6

secondary
19:24

seconds
289:1

secretary
44:13,15
45:13 46:4
57:15 74:9

76:6,11
95:8,17
98:22
99:10
101:13,18
104:20
105:22
106:9,13
107:2,6
109:17
117:1
118:13
131:6,13
167:11
177:8
192:4
201:10
210:22
211:1,13,
17,22
213:8,10,
22 214:8,9
222:20
225:4,20
226:18
227:13
228:25
229:9,13,
24 230:4,9
231:11,15,
20,24
232:11
233:1
235:2
236:22
237:10
246:4
248:20,22,
25 249:10,
13 260:24
265:11
267:6,15,
24 269:13,
15 273:17
274:2,6
280:13
281:24

section
225:4

secure
141:11

Secured
168:10

security
140:10,11,
18,20,24
141:20
158:6
166:24

seek 27:25

seeking 37:6
52:25

selected
193:22

selecting
193:22

selection
70:25
71:2,17,24
168:15
259:4

selections
268:9

self- 67:11
70:20

self-cast
71:22

self-correct
67:11

self-governance
81:20

seminar
140:20

senate 15:11
30:2
113:25
120:11,15
127:18,20
255:20,25
256:1,10
257:1,6,
11,13,18
262:6
276:24

send 44:15
53:18
267:22

sending
53:17

sends 267:18

senior 28:9
67:20 68:1
73:24
290:1

separate
229:2
233:4
258:18

sequence
25:4
233:24
246:13

sequestered
17:2

sequestration
25:6

serial 40:6
217:2

serve 166:10

server 36:13
166:1
189:10
245:20,22
246:1,9,10
250:13,15,
18 251:20
252:1

servers
237:8

service
72:2,4,6,8
113:18
117:10,15
136:15

serving
154:17

session
15:10

sessions

172:4

set 25:22
55:13,18
56:11
112:22
133:15,18
134:4
136:9
166:4
181:15
208:11
217:13
218:20
221:22
239:9,17,
18 240:2,
11,13
252:13
257:4
277:22

setting
25:24
217:24
278:4

setup 56:18

seventh
220:11

sheer 239:15

short 50:18
83:11

shortly
34:22
200:11

show 22:15
23:17,18,
24 24:4,18
26:6,11,13
28:2,22,25
29:24
30:22
38:15
52:11,20,
24,25
56:15
101:25
103:11
104:25
105:4
166:11
167:17
177:9
219:25
220:10
225:22
233:15
234:4
244:22
254:17,24
255:18
263:6,8,20
264:8
266:4,11
271:15,18
273:22
274:17
278:25
279:2

showed 180:9
232:24
233:2
241:13
266:24,25

showing
30:11
179:6
218:11
232:8
242:9
263:22
283:2

shown 24:4
30:18 97:6
234:6
241:4
254:4
264:11
287:1

shows 20:22
23:11
56:15,17
99:13
105:6
220:11
222:6
234:9
254:22
263:17,18
264:9
266:8,15
271:22,25
272:1,2,4,
6 279:6

shut 244:22

shutdown
40:13

shutting
68:22

sic 26:17
133:18
176:25

side 10:10
118:13
132:1
201:6
239:11
241:8,24,
25

sides 17:22
111:13
181:13
183:24
185:22

sidetracked
182:2

sift 96:4

sign 49:18
51:4
130:20
177:17

sign-in
252:1

signed
215:17

significance
26:9

significant
15:25
25:20
152:24
161:20
166:9
167:10
171:24

172:6,8
173:22,25
174:4,11
188:9

significantly
174:1,11
176:6
202:9
282:4

signoff
214:25
215:1,2

signs 16:2
148:22
150:4
170:18,22
171:2,10,
22 178:20
179:2
191:2

similarities
152:25

simple
22:22,25
23:2,4
97:15

simplistic
175:10

simply 11:22
15:13 22:4
23:13
28:13 42:2
111:13
172:15
175:17
184:13
198:25
209:9
220:6
227:1
248:13
265:11
273:25

single
155:17
189:8

sir 17:1
18:20
20:11 53:9
114:4
122:1
140:6,18
141:8
143:13
144:4
152:2,6,
13,18
153:2,13,
24 156:18,
22 157:2,
6,9,13,20
158:2
159:18
160:13
163:6,17,
24 168:6
170:20,25
177:6
180:9,18
185:13
187:18,20
189:4
190:2,4
193:20
196:9,13
197:20
198:6
199:22
200:4
201:8,13
205:11
208:13
209:6
231:13
235:1
245:1,6
250:15,17,
20 251:15,
18 255:2
258:11
269:24
271:11
274:17,20,
22 275:6
281:22

Sister 81:13

sit 9:2
10:17,18
13:10,11
68:22
137:4
138:2
210:22

site 235:6
246:8

sitting
20:13 69:6
196:13

situation
136:15
223:1
247:25
256:6
265:15

situations
231:1

six-pack
220:13

size 240:10
241:11

skip 122:25
281:6

skipping
34:20
143:2

Skype
133:22,25
134:4,20,
22 136:22

slightly
35:8

slowly 270:6

small 32:11
49:13
53:11
64:13 65:9
101:1
149:15
183:10,11

smear 58:2,
6,11

smooth 63:10

smoothly
129:18

Smyth 9:11, 24

software
147:18
150:4
152:11,15, 22 158:17
159:13,15, 20 161:13
166:4
178:1
179:8
180:4
249:9
283:6
286:9

sole 184:11

solely
128:2,4
206:15

solicit
191:15

soliciting
53:6

somebody's
278:13

sort 10:4
42:1 74:8
116:2,17
122:8,17
141:17
161:22
179:2,24
180:18
213:6
220:15
228:4
237:11
272:11
275:13
280:6,8,18

sound 185:18
193:10

sounded
192:13

sounds
185:22
194:8,11
209:8
236:2

source
157:1,4
161:18
162:2

sources
172:11
191:6

South 36:11
185:25
260:11

space 239:25
257:6

speak 49:20
106:20,22
139:6
219:18
224:8
234:20

speaking
204:6

specialized
39:15

specialty
270:4

specific
10:15
29:20
48:13,15
87:20
89:13 92:1
142:11
147:15
158:18
162:20
179:24
213:25
216:4

specifically
117:4
127:22
152:4
156:18
181:13
190:11
191:13,17
194:22
204:17
207:11
219:22
228:1
288:4

speculates
221:8

speculation
26:4 30:10
122:13
173:8
285:25
286:1

speed 100:6
248:4

spell 61:8

spending
34:24
35:13

spent 32:15
35:18
36:20

split 238:24
241:18
253:18

splitting
242:13

spoke 74:1

spoken
157:25
158:6

spontaneously
179:4

spreadsheet
64:13
65:2,6,10, 11

spring 37:20

square 26:24

stack 57:8

staff 39:8

211:18,20

stamp
217:17,18

stand 42:1
58:20
63:13
248:9

standard
56:2,4,18
170:6
237:6
241:22

standing
11:17
49:10

standpoint
159:25

stands
212:18
286:8

Stark 132:18
133:15
134:22
158:6
190:6,13
191:4
192:22
193:15
195:17
196:2
197:22

start 65:15
66:10,11
122:25
207:18
213:20
218:4
258:18
289:11
291:17

started
33:25
67:15,17
68:9,22
180:6
239:20

starters
122:10

starting
16:13,15
36:10
160:4
207:20
280:20
289:10

starts 66:22
122:20

state 15:11
19:17
21:10 23:6
26:13
28:20
31:24
33:13
38:13
44:13
45:13 46:4
57:11 61:6
74:9 76:11
80:9,18
84:10
90:15
98:24
101:13
104:9
105:24
106:9
107:6
109:17
117:1
118:13
124:6,13,
18 125:2,
10,11,13,
25 126:1,
6,22
127:2,25
131:6,13
136:10
138:2
147:10
149:10
153:4
159:13
160:18
165:1
166:24
167:15,17
182:22
186:6,11,
18,20,22
187:22
188:2
192:4
196:11,15
198:17
200:24
201:2,10
202:4,11
206:9
207:18
210:18
211:1,6,8,
10,15
212:8,9
222:20
225:20
226:20
227:15,18
229:10,13,
18 230:9
231:11,15
235:2
236:22
237:8,10
239:25
240:8
241:20
243:15
244:2,6,9
246:4,6
247:15
248:15,20,
22,25
249:9,11
250:6,11
255:11
256:2,11
258:25
264:18
265:11
267:18,24
269:17
273:17
281:25
284:4,9

state's 76:6
95:8,18
99:10
101:18
104:20
106:15
107:2
167:11
177:8
210:22
211:13,17,
22 212:4
213:10,22
214:8,9
222:20
225:6
227:13
228:25
229:25
230:6
231:20,24
232:11
233:1
246:4
248:22
249:13
260:24
267:6,15,
24 269:13
274:2,6
280:13

stated
109:15
167:2
212:6

statement
9:8 16:6
18:11,13
51:9,13
110:22

statements
97:15
111:2
157:10,15
178:18
181:10

states 54:18
81:20
95:11,22
96:20
103:9
151:20
159:6
167:1
256:10
257:1,6,
11,18
260:2,11,
15 262:2

statewide
26:25
40:17
46:20
85:15
113:20
116:6,11
124:17
126:6
127:2
202:13
264:10
271:6
272:25
273:2,17

statically
173:20

stating
231:22

statistic
173:24

statistical
149:13
174:13
190:4,6
192:24
193:15,18
196:4,6
197:25

statistically
173:24
174:4,11

statistics
149:20
174:17

status
266:9,13

statute 12:6
14:4,9,20
15:17 16:6
24:6
240:11,13
253:13

statutory
25:22
30:17

stay 10:22
16:18,22
17:18

staying
10:25 11:4
18:11

stays 10:24

steps 153:10
219:4
278:4

Steven
243:11

stick 111:15

stipulate
82:22
110:11
248:4

stipulated
110:22
111:4,6
273:15

stipulation
82:25
110:15

stood 208:6

stop 209:4

stopped
49:18

store 290:10

stored 183:1
235:10

strategies
85:4 87:1

strategy
86:22
87:20

stress
201:15

stricken
51:13
190:15
191:25
202:22
220:22

strike 16:4
51:9
194:25
199:25
221:4

strips
197:17,18

strong 41:25

struck 50:2

structurally
238:20

structure
222:4
241:1

student
81:17

studied
118:6

studies
139:20
157:8
189:6
194:18

study 84:22
86:11 90:4
117:20
118:4,6
138:24
139:2,15
144:13
160:15
168:18

studying
138:6

stuff 111:9
131:17
150:8
154:13
167:18
170:13
171:18
185:6
221:13
290:8,11
291:2

stupid
181:22

style 239:4,8

subject
140:8
160:11
184:25

submission
198:13

submit 71:18
74:4

submitted
71:20
77:1,2
131:6
176:24

subset
149:15

substantially
155:22

substitute
169:18

succeed
29:22
30:24

successful
87:2 88:22

successfully
73:18

suddenly
136:20

suffice 28:1

sufficient
14:2 165:1

suggest
170:9

suggesting
219:13

suggestion
237:17

summary
224:11
271:22

summer 142:1

superintendent
16:22
117:10

Superior
135:2,11

superstar
201:6

support
13:24 42:2
73:18
142:18
198:13

supporting
246:6

suppose
115:2
154:4
194:15
219:2

supposed
8:15 55:25
135:9
215:11

Supreme 30:9

Surely 38:17
110:4

surgery
64:22

surprise
119:15

survey
156:18

suspicious
200:18
204:8

sustain
172:24

188:11
228:6
232:18
248:17

Sustained
104:4
226:15
228:18
282:8
284:13

swear 31:4

swift 14:20

switch
219:13

switching
220:17

sworn 31:18
60:25 80:2
84:4
137:20
210:13

sync 67:8

system 19:18
26:13
27:13
33:11
35:6,10,
11,13
37:22
41:13
59:11
65:2,13
81:18
142:17
143:4,6,9
144:25
145:1,2,8,
13 147:4,6
148:22
151:9,10,
15 152:4,
9,17
155:20
157:2
160:17
163:9,22
164:6,8,25
165:2,20
166:2,15
167:6,11
171:22
173:4
177:17
178:20
182:24
183:20
184:9
204:20,25
205:2,8,9
207:11
212:6,22
215:18
217:18
219:4,18
225:20,24
226:4,11
227:4,6,
10,11,15,
20 228:1
229:1
230:10
231:11,17
237:2,4,6
238:6,18
244:15
247:6,9,
10,11,15,
17 248:6,
20 249:10,
13,15,18,
22,25
250:1,9,22
260:25
265:24
267:22
269:18
270:9,10,
11,17,18,
24 272:4,
18 277:22
278:4
279:6
285:11
286:24
287:1

system-wide
179:24

systematic
28:11 96:4

systems 35:2
141:6,20
142:22
144:18
146:22
159:2
160:11
163:9
189:20
206:10
211:2,4
212:18
227:25
228:24
244:2
245:22
246:4
249:11
267:11

T

table 10:2,
4,17,18
11:11
32:20
99:4,9
101:22
102:2,24

tabulate
75:4

tabulated
233:4
269:11
272:11

tabulating
272:4

tainted
23:11

takes 27:11
119:18
163:4
216:22
225:1
239:4

taking 33:15
48:9 49:4

67:15
101:9,13
109:20
129:2,20
179:18
216:4

talk 49:24
69:4
110:22
111:8
133:13
177:2
185:25
198:2
248:10
270:6
289:2

talked 77:4
78:20
122:2
149:4
163:13,20
164:6
169:6
189:6,24
261:13
275:11

talking 58:4
112:4
126:11
165:9,10
195:20
215:10,11
227:24
244:13
248:11
254:17
269:1

tallies
16:1,2
40:15

tangible
19:22

tape 73:11,
20

tapes 72:25
73:2,9
76:15
191:24
197:18
217:15
268:13

Taran 43:18,
20 45:13

target 85:18
207:10

Targetsmart
84:13
85:6,11,13

taught
140:18

teach 140:17

teacher
81:13

team 28:1

tear 144:13

tease 87:13

Tech 138:22
139:8

technical
41:22
42:22
67:11
73:18
74:11
166:13
167:18

technically
90:11

technician
181:8

technicians
180:22
181:6

technology
141:20
142:18
143:2
233:24
249:11

telephone
130:11
132:20
133:2,20
134:2

telling
134:6
264:13

tells 283:6

telltale
148:22
150:4
170:18,22
171:2,10,
22 177:17
178:18
179:2
191:2

Temple 63:1,
4

ten 35:4,
13,18
144:6
202:2
242:22
273:20

tendency
166:11

tender 45:18
52:11 54:1
89:11
131:2
150:2
255:2
264:2
266:18
273:4,20
274:9
275:1,8
288:11

tendered
46:4,6
74:15 92:9
273:10

tendering
131:9
273:8,9,11

term 116:18
118:18
184:4

201:6

terming
184:2

terminology
99:13

terms 17:13
23:17
24:15 25:4
27:1 33:10
42:2,11
90:8
91:22,25
99:13
100:2,20
104:2
195:10,13
198:9
199:13,24,
25 201:22
202:15
240:4
260:18
262:6

test 175:20
217:9,11
218:6,24
222:10,22
268:2,4,8,
15 283:10

tested 35:11
54:18
55:18
203:13
268:22

testified
17:18,24
18:2,11
31:18
36:22
38:22 42:6
46:11
60:25
78:6,20
80:2 84:4
91:24
93:15
101:17
109:20
113:17
120:8
137:20
154:18
155:22
169:2,4
170:18
176:1,18
190:10
192:4,8
195:15
196:2
197:22
204:13
205:17
206:2
210:13
227:13
275:20

testify
17:10,11
20:4 34:1
43:6 48:11
92:11
96:17
97:17
100:2
104:4
123:22
130:11
155:2
156:2
169:6
186:2
190:17
191:15

testifying
36:6 96:8
104:1
192:15

testimony
17:4,13,20
50:6 60:6
72:22
79:11
82:18
93:13
97:10
121:6
131:6
132:20
136:2
146:8,9
147:9
151:13
156:2
157:17
163:11
164:2,4,
10,15
169:2
172:17,22
175:2
181:18
190:13,20,
24 191:15,
25 195:1
202:20
203:1
205:20
208:1
220:2,8
227:15
249:20
252:6
282:17

testing
217:11,22,
25 218:2,
4,13,15,
17,20
222:13,17,
18,22
223:13
233:11,13
234:6,8
249:15
265:22
267:10,11,
20 268:20
269:17,20,
22 277:18
287:2

tests 149:15
222:18
229:8

thanking
82:8

that'll
125:22

theory
280:1,18

there're
216:22

thing 20:10
29:2 30:17
47:9 58:10
97:18
119:2
145:18,20
185:11
207:17
228:10
252:17
272:11
284:15
285:1

things 8:11
16:2 22:20
26:6 65:13
79:2 81:4
88:13 90:4
94:6
108:15
130:2
133:2,17
140:25
160:15
167:20
170:2
181:15
217:20
223:25
232:20
233:22
240:25
244:20
248:4
269:11
280:10,13
285:4,10
288:25

thinking
119:2
258:18
280:2

thinks
206:11

third-party
113:2
123:18

Thorpe 65:20

thought
76:22,24
77:1 79:2
110:18
115:18
193:8
195:15
280:15

thousand
26:20
102:17
124:17
126:6

thousands
21:25
96:18
170:13

three-and-a-half 276:4

three-and-a-half-time
119:13

three-column
239:22

thrown
280:15

THURSDAY 8:2

ticket 27:22
94:20
259:6,25
260:4,6,9,11,15
280:15

tied 205:24
206:1

time 11:13
12:15
30:1,2
33:20
34:25
35:6,9,13
37:22 49:4
62:2 67:11
68:13 72:2
81:17
90:20 92:4
93:20,22
113:25
123:2
127:20
130:6
135:8
137:2
160:9
165:9
217:4,13,25 218:18,22 236:13
238:15
239:25
245:6
252:13
258:25
260:20
261:2,22,25 276:6
280:4

timeframe
268:11

timeliness
15:17

times 27:9
36:24
39:11
49:18
63:22
133:4
147:8
160:6
164:6
245:11
272:2
276:4

tired 208:18

today 11:22
23:18
33:15
53:15
78:20
102:15
111:11,15

130:24
134:13
144:24
145:2,15
184:8,11
188:6
196:13
208:22
226:8,9
260:4

told 16:22
58:4 67:11
70:20,22
76:13 77:6
180:10
191:13
208:4

tomorrow
23:18
134:4
289:15,25
290:4,15
291:10,17,
20

tone 74:1

tool 63:11
251:22

top 40:6
122:20
160:18
161:9
248:10,11
257:9,20
274:18

topic
185:13,15,
17,18

topic's
185:15

tops 73:2

total 75:13,
20 76:2,8
99:6,17
100:10,11,
15 101:10,
13,15
102:6
104:10
116:4,22
120:10,18
232:24,25
233:2,4
271:25
272:4
283:9,18
289:6

totally
128:2

totals 19:25
94:18 95:1
117:6
128:2,4
177:4
194:2
220:4
233:8
235:20

touch 217:13
218:9
219:24
223:20
235:15,18
239:18
266:15
268:1
283:2
285:11
286:22

touched
40:22
71:20
153:9

touching
224:15
235:17
283:1

touchscreen
223:24
224:11

traces 19:24
20:22

tracked
103:2

tracking
115:25

trail 161:17

trained
36:15,18
153:2

training
39:15
62:13 94:8
144:17
169:11

transferring
216:17

transition
248:24

transitioned
239:22
248:22
249:9

transparency
32:9

treated
187:24

treatment
200:11

trend 94:24
102:13
103:2

trends 87:4,
11 88:2,
11,18,22
89:11 90:2
91:20
95:20

triable
12:10
14:6,15
15:20,24

trial 11:18
12:9
13:15,20,
25 14:6
15:2 16:6
52:22
53:1,2,4,
6,9,13,15
136:6,9,20
184:8

290:13

trials 53:18,20

trouble 20:13 21:18,20 92:18 94:6 97:17 167:15 272:11

troubled 35:18,20

troublesome 47:13

truck-trailer 34:17

true 19:18 23:6,8 45:11 52:10 59:6 65:11 70:13 123:11 199:2 243:25

Trusted 142:4

truth 31:8,9 60:15,17 79:18 83:18,20 137:13 192:2 210:4

TS 157:2 266:9

TSX 255:13, 15

turn 57:2, 13 67:22 184:15 245:11 281:13 291:6

turned 81:4

turning 68:10 182:20 183:1

turnout 88:11,13 122:13 127:13 194:25 274:18,20, 22 275:2, 6,11 276:1

Tweet 52:18 57:10

two-column 239:18,22 240:2 258:17

two-fold 22:24

two-hour 47:10

two-step 13:18

type 26:8 55:9 121:24 122:22 226:10

types 85:15 86:25 105:22 147:15 158:20 159:18

typically 17:2 148:13 161:11 175:20

Tyson 14:24 29:13,15 38:4,9,15, 18 39:2 41:15,18, 20 44:6 50:15 51:11,18 57:18 77:17,18 78:10 89:15,18 90:1,20,24 91:1,18 92:9 96:6 97:1 98:11 103:25 106:18 108:11 109:2,13 113:10,15 121:9,20 123:20 127:6,11 128:6 133:6,10, 11 134:13, 25 136:11 150:15,20, 22 153:17, 20 154:4, 15 158:13 163:11 165:4 168:22 172:18 174:18 176:22 180:22 184:15 188:18 189:2 190:9 192:6,8,20 193:13 195:24 196:1,20 197:8,11, 13 198:22 204:13,24 206:11,18, 22 207:1 221:6 225:11 226:6,25 228:2,17 230:15 232:13 233:22

234:2
242:24
243:13
244:6
254:15
255:2,6
263:4
276:15,17,
22 282:6
287:13
288:10

U

U.S. 30:2
113:25
120:11,15
127:18,20
151:4
255:20,25
256:1
257:13
262:6

Uh-huh
43:18,25
47:15
56:22
94:11
95:13
102:25
156:15
200:15
201:20
282:18

ultimately
30:18 76:6

unanimous
161:1
163:6

unclear
195:20

uncontested
8:10

uncovered
35:17

under-
99:20,22
100:13
102:17
124:15
204:8

under-vote
21:6,15
23:9,11
91:22
92:2,13
93:15,24
94:22 95:4
98:20 99:6
100:8,9,13
101:10,11,
15 102:4,
6,9,13,15,
22 103:1,
22 104:2,
10,25
105:6,9,
15,20
106:4,6
107:2,6,11
120:18,20
122:9,18,
20,22
171:24
172:6,8
175:22
200:15
204:6,10
205:22
280:2,11,
13

under-votes
116:20
122:11
172:20
201:18,20
281:2
282:13

under-voting
89:4,13
93:13 94:2
96:13,17,
22,24
104:15
121:4,17
122:17
172:11
173:22
174:6,8,10
200:22

undergraduate
140:18,20

undergraduates
149:6

underneath
257:11

understand
18:22
34:2,4
37:4,6
41:25
43:17
48:13
54:22
58:13
64:15 66:2
75:18
83:11
90:25
93:22
118:25
130:17
135:24
136:15,18
148:18
155:18
162:22
164:20
165:1
168:22
169:22,25
181:22
199:4
202:1
206:25
207:2,4
221:15
232:15
234:1
245:4
251:8
264:13
272:9
290:1,15

understanding
18:4 24:1
37:4,8

38:1 54:20
59:9,11
69:18,20
73:10
96:13,22
100:20
105:11,13
123:15
158:1
168:11,18
246:18
270:2

understands
22:22 23:4

understood
64:6 76:1

undertake
126:11

undertaken
180:6
227:18
229:15,18
231:11
280:18

undertook
168:20
229:1

unfit 151:4
161:2,4

uniformly
200:24
250:11

unit 216:20
241:22
266:15
278:6
287:18
288:6

United 81:20
256:10
257:1,6,
11,18

units 205:11
213:1
216:13

university
61:25
81:15
84:20,25
138:6,11,
22 139:10,
15 211:10
212:1

unknown 23:4
201:15

unlike 28:2

unpack 183:6

unsafe
161:2,4

unsuccessful
26:15

untenable
246:20

unusual 50:2
68:25
277:10

unverifiable
35:20
37:22

upheld 30:25

upload
266:13

uploaded
232:1,4
233:6
266:17
267:2
269:11

usage 160:22

user 219:18

ushers 55:6

utilizing
270:22

utterly 14:4

V

vague 226:20

valid 29:18
109:17
232:6

validate
214:10
215:15
217:11
218:9,10
232:2
233:13
249:17
268:13

validated
215:10

validating
252:18

values
214:20

variables
23:6

variety 29:8

vast 34:24

vendor
260:25

verifiable
32:18
37:13

verification
161:25
215:6

Verified
141:9,13,
18,25
150:25
151:1,8

verify 64:20
229:4

verifying
262:11

version
64:11 65:9
152:11,18
155:20
166:4

versions
142:25
158:17
159:13

versus 9:11
25:22
27:13
33:11
101:4
119:8
128:1
131:8
151:11
199:13,20
200:2
202:17
272:24
276:11

vested
142:18

victory
26:10
184:11

video 135:2

videotape
268:4

view 109:22
134:1
163:4,6
279:4

violate
137:1

violation
26:2

Virginia
61:25

virtue 27:15

virus 178:15

vis-à-vis
201:22

visible
218:11

vision-impaired
55:8

voice 74:2

volunteer
52:4 62:11

volunteered
62:9

vote 16:1,2
19:15,20
20:2,24
21:13,18,
20,22,24
22:2,10,18
23:6,20
25:8
26:13,20,
22 27:2,6
41:8 42:13
47:11
49:15,17
50:18
58:22 68:8
69:9 71:4,
18 74:4
76:24 77:9
80:22 81:6
83:2 89:6
95:1,4
99:20,24
100:15,17,
22 102:18
105:1,9
108:9
109:11
114:15,24,
25 115:15
117:6
118:22
122:4
157:11
177:8
179:18
194:2
201:22
203:13
204:9
205:4
219:6,10
220:15
223:2
226:4,11
231:18
232:10,24,
25 233:2,
4,8,11,20
234:20
268:17
272:11
281:6
283:18
285:11,13

voted 21:11
25:8 27:8,
10 41:1,2,
4,10 82:22
100:15
119:20
179:11
200:25
257:13
261:25
276:11,13

voter 28:13
30:6 32:10
49:15
54:22
55:4,9,13
56:4,8
67:20
68:1,4,13,
18,20
69:4,11,
15,17
70:1,22,24
71:4,6,15,
22 73:24
76:22 77:8
78:6 85:15
86:24
88:18
118:20,22
119:18
157:22
166:9
167:6,8
178:24
179:10
189:20
194:20,22
199:24
204:24
205:2,8,13
218:2
219:6,15
223:22
225:9,13,
24 226:11

227:4
228:1
241:15,20
242:6,8
247:2
257:22,25
259:6
260:25
262:15
263:17,22,
24 266:9
268:9
274:22
275:20
276:11
281:11
282:11
283:9
287:15,20,
25

voter's
70:22 74:4
87:13
259:10

voter-level
85:15

voters 27:6,
11,20 29:1
30:4 49:6
56:2,4
59:13
67:15
82:22 83:2
85:18
87:15,20,
24 114:15,
24,25
115:11
119:8,13,
18 121:4,
20,22
122:2,4,8,
15,24
151:17
157:10
164:2
172:2
176:2
177:18
178:22
179:4,9,13
194:24
198:8
199:1
202:17
223:6
225:1
258:2,22,
24 260:20
261:1,2,9,
22,24
262:2
274:17
275:15,24
276:4
280:22
281:9
282:2,4

voters'
247:11

votes 21:6,8
23:10
25:20
26:10,17,
18,20
30:8,18
35:2 48:9
73:11
75:4,15,20
76:2,8
86:17
99:17
101:15
102:17
104:15
106:13
115:20
116:4,9,
10,22
117:11,18,
25 119:8
123:6,13,
18 124:13,
18 125:2,
15,17
126:2,8,22
127:2
161:18
176:6
184:11
188:9
194:6,9,10
200:25
201:25
202:10
218:8
219:9
220:11
247:11
265:22
267:2
271:4,9
272:4,6
275:13
277:13
285:18,20

voting
19:18,22,
25 20:25
21:6
32:13,18
33:6,11
35:2,6
38:2 39:15
44:11
46:18,22
47:6 48:8
54:15
62:18
65:15
68:15
70:22 87:4
88:2,8
89:8,11
90:2 91:20
95:20
105:9,11,
13,15
106:9
107:4,8,
15,18,22
108:8,9
110:9
114:20
123:1,17
124:10,15
128:2
141:9,13,
18,25
142:11,13,

22 143:2,
11 144:18
147:4
150:25
151:1,8,15
152:11,17
153:6
154:18,22
157:4
161:4
172:2
173:2,22
174:1,2
175:15
176:11
177:4,13
197:17,18
198:9
205:18
211:6
212:8
213:2
215:24
216:6,11
218:22
219:13
220:4,9,10
223:20
225:24
227:6
228:22
229:1,4,15
230:11,22
231:13
249:15
258:8,25
259:1,6
260:20
266:11
267:6
268:10
276:6
277:25
278:15
285:22

vulnerabilities 145:18
166:10
167:9,15
251:13,15

vulnerability
145:13,18
150:2
151:15
157:22
162:8
163:20
165:22
166:22
167:10

vulnerable
144:18,25
146:24
163:2
166:4
167:1

W

wait 37:24
41:4 59:22
68:22
92:15
202:6
279:15

waited 70:2

waiting 69:6

walk 66:15
136:20

walking 68:6

walks 21:9

wanted 17:22
23:15
68:25
77:20
130:15
184:24
186:6
219:13
239:25

wanting
150:9

warning
49:18

watch 40:13
108:18
130:22

watched
40:9,13

watcher
36:15
39:11
40:9,17
46:11,15,
18,20,24
47:2 48:22
62:9
77:20,22,
25 81:17,
22,25
82:6,8

watching
50:2

water 36:2
187:2

ways 73:17
144:4
183:2
231:9
261:17

weaker 24:11

web 245:20,
22 246:9,
10 250:13,
15,18
251:20
270:10
276:10

website
63:13
99:11
101:18
104:20
251:24
274:2

week 182:15

weekend
225:8,10

weeks 136:4
290:2

weight
109:22
156:2

173:10

well-known 201:11

wer 232:2

whatsoever 97:10

where'd 138:20

white 255:15

who've 133:22

whoa 16:8

Wilke 8:18, 19

William 210:10,15, 20 243:4 254:13 276:20 279:22 286:18 287:11

win 86:13, 22 220:15

Windows 142:17,24, 25 143:1

wins 25:2

Winterville 176:2,10, 11,18,20 177:4 189:25 191:1,11 192:24 193:22 195:2,6, 11,17 196:4 202:20 207:24

Winterville's 197:1

wipe 26:9

withdraw 38:10 48:2,4 50:9 59:2 100:4 106:22 124:2

witness' 146:6

witnessed 51:1

witnesses 11:15 16:11 17:2,6 25:4,6 130:4 134:1

woman 82:6

won 25:18 177:11,13

wonderful 81:18

word 76:18 148:6,11 173:8 181:13,20 208:24 209:1 214:15 229:22

worded 50:4 127:17

words 26:22 133:20 240:18

work 18:25 26:6 35:11,18 36:25 37:15,20 39:13 48:22 61:17,20 82:9 85:11 86:6 88:17 89:6 95:11,25 108:25 139:25 141:6,13, 15,17 142:20 149:18,20 156:13 187:18 199:4 222:20 249:25 253:13 288:25

worked 23:24 30:4 91:8 132:25 133:4 141:6,10 144:4,6 150:25 189:2

worker 55:6 76:22 153:2 288:4

workers 67:4 73:15 76:13

working 23:13 24:22 48:9,20 49:18 85:1,2 140:4 141:8 142:13 207:20 229:4,6 268:2

works 32:9 47:1 156:18 164:8

worry 182:2

Worth 14:11

wrap 229:20

write-
272:13

write-in
265:1
271:4,9
272:17
285:18,20

write-ins
272:8,9,
10,11,15,
18,24
273:1

written 13:2
251:4

wrong 8:11
22:25 45:9
175:8
196:2
197:6
232:10,11

wrote 224:22
285:22

Y

year 21:22
119:20
120:15
140:1,4
194:25
255:10
274:18

years 21:15
32:17
34:20
35:4,13,18
41:8
53:13,17
85:9 93:18
102:10
119:9
143:10
160:2
228:4
274:2
277:10

yielded
222:10

young 82:6

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDAC
Date: 2/18/2019 12:00 PM
Catherine Robinson, Clerk

1 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY

2 STATE OF GEORGIA

3

4 COALITION FOR GOOD . CIVIL ACTION
GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. . FILE NO.: 2018-CV-313418
5

6 MARTIN, SMYTH DUVAL, and .
JEANNE DUFORT, . Taken at:
7

8 Plaintiffs, . Superior Court of Cobb County

9 vs. . 70 Haynes Street

10 ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, . Courtroom 402-M

11 Secretary of State of . Marietta, Georgia 30090
Georgia, et. al .
12

13 Defendants. .
. . . .
14

15
TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL PROCEEDINGS
16

17 FRIDAY, JANUARY 18, 2019

18 9:04 a.m. to 10:49 a.m.

19

20 STATE OF GEORGIA SENIOR JUDGE ADELE P. GRUBBS
REPORTED BY:
21

22 PRISCILLA GARCIA, COURT REPORTER
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF GEORGIA
23

24 TRANSCRIBED BY:

25 CHRISTIAN NAADEN

1

2 APPEARANCES:

3

4 Plaintiff's Counsel: Bruce P. Brown, Esquire

5

6 Bruce P. Brown Law
Floataway Business Complex

7

8 1123 Zonolite Road N.E.

9 Suite 6

10 Atlanta, Georgia 30306

11 404-881-0700
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com

12

13 Defendant's Counsel: John Belinfante, Esquire

14

15 Robbins, Ross, Allot, Belinfante
& Littlefield, LLC

16

17 500 14th Street N.W.

18 Atlanta, Georgia 30318
404-856-3262

19

20 jbelinfante@robbinsfirm.com

21

22

23

24

25

1

2 CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:

3

4 Defendant's Counsel: Vincent R. Russo, Esquire

5

6 Robbins, Ross, Allot, Belinfante
& Littlefield, LLC

7

8 999 Peachtree Street N.E.

9 Suite 1120

10 Atlanta, Georgia 30309

11 404-856-3260
vrusso@robbinsfirm.com

12

13 Defendant's Associate Counsel:

14

15 Alexander F. Denton, Esquire
Robbins, Ross, Allot, Belinfante

16

17 & Littlefield, LLC

18 500 14th Street N.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30318

19

20 404-856-3276
adenton@robbinsfirm.com

21

22

23

24

25

1

2 CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:

3

4 Defendant's Counsel: Edward H. Lindsey, Jr., Esquire

5

6 Dentons US, LLP
303 Peachtree Street N.E.

7

8 Suite 5300

9 Atlanta, Georgia 30308

10 404-527-4580

11 edward.lindsey@dentons.com

12

13 Defendant's Counsel: SAMUEL S. OLENS, ESQUIRE
Dentons US, LLP

14

15 303 Peachtree Street N.E.
Suite 5300

16

17 Atlanta, Georgia 30308

18 404-527-4108
sam.olens@dentons.com

19

20 Defendant's Counsel: Kaye Woodard Burwell, Esquire

21

22 Office of the County Attorney
141 Pryor Street S.W.

23

24 Suite 4038

25 Atlanta, Georgia 30303

1 404-612-0251

2 kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov

CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:

3

4

Defendant's Counsel: Bryan P. Tyson, Esquire

5

6 Strickland, Brockington
& Lewis, LLP

7

8 1170 Peachtree Street N.E.

9 Atlanta, Georgia 30309

10 404-219-3160

11 bryan.tyson@sbllaw.com

12

13 Defendant's Co-Counsel: Richard A. Carothers, Esquire
Carothers & Mitchell, LLC

14

15 1809 Buford Highway
Atlanta, Georgia 30518

16

17 770-932-3552

18 richard.carothers@carsmith.com

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

I-N-D-E-X

3

4 WITNESS	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
Terri R. Thomas	9	14		
5				
6 Richard Barron	18	33		

EXHIBITS:	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
7		
8 NONE		

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1
2
3
4 COBB COUNTY, GEORGIA
FRIDAY, January 18, 2018 - 9:04 a.m.
5
6 * * * * * * *
7
8 THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. Take
9 your seats, if you will. I am a minute or two late, but
10 they wanted us to move again at noon.
11 MR. LINDSEY: Oh.
THE COURT: And I refused, so I've been working that
12
13 little problem out; okay?
MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
14
15 MR. TYSON: Thank you.
THE COURT: One move is enough; we shouldn't have had
16
17 to do that. So we'll see where we go. Okay. Day two,
18 where are we, Mr. Brown? Good morning.
MR. BROWN: Good morning, Your Honor. We have an eye
19
20 witness who will testify as to her voting experience who
is going through security right now.
21
22 THE COURT: Going through security; okay?
MS. BROWN: And then we'd like to put up her first,
23
24 but if we don't do that we would, you know --
25 THE COURT: We'll give -- we'll give her a minute,

1 if --

2 MS. BROWN: And then we have --
THE COURT: Twice the bailiffs in the box, is that
3

4 what we've got? Boy, if you were a jury like -- that --
that's an interesting jury up there in that box.
5

6 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I want to see if you
[inaudible] making sure everything's in line as to the
7

8 rule of [inaudible] in place.

9 THE COURT: Do what?

10 MR. LINDSEY: The rules.

11 THE COURT: The rules of sequestration is in force.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor --
12

13 THE COURT: Okay?
MR. BROWN: -- as to the rule of sequestration --
14

15 THE COURT: What?
MR. BROWN: The -- the question that -- there's law
16

17 that the rule of sequestration does not apply to a

18 rebuttal expert witnesses, and so we would --
THE COURT: Only if it's a rebuttal expert to an
19

20 expert.
MR. BROWN: Or to expert testimony or to --
21

22 THE COURT: We'll -- we'll address the issue when we
get there.
23

24 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, we

25 would call Terri Thomas to the witness stand.

1 THE COURT: Whose is this? Okay.

2 MR. BROWN: Please raise your right hand. Do you promise to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but
3

4 the truth?
THE WITNESS: I do.
5

6 MR. BROWN: Have a seat.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
7

8

9 P R O C E E D I N G S

10 * * * * * * *

11
Thereupon:
12

13
TERRI R. THOMAS
14

15
was called as a witness, and having been first duly
16

17 sworn in, and testified upon her oath as follows:

18
DIRECT EXAMINATION
19

20 OF TERRI R. THOMAS

21

22 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Please state your name for the record?
23

24 A. Terri R. Thomas.

25 MR. BROWN: Ms. Thomas, my name is Bruce Brown, and I

1 am the attorney for the petitioners in this case.

2 THE WITNESS: Okay.
BY MR. BROWN:
3

4 Q. Where are you from?
A. I'm from Los Angeles.
5

6 Q. And where do you live now?
A. I live in Lithonia, Georgia.
7

8 Q. And did you drive from Lithonia this

9 morning here?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. Did you vote on November 20, 2018?
A. I did.
12

13 Q. And where did you vote?
A. At Lithonia High School.
14

15 Q. And when -- and did you vote on an
electronic machine with a screen?
16

17 A. I did.

18 Q. And when you -- did you pull up the ballot
on the screen?
19

20 A. I put the little card in the machine, yes.
Q. Did the ballot then appear for the
21

22 governor's race?
A. Yes, sir.
23

24 Q. Did the lieutenant governor's race appear

25 on the first several pages of the ballot?

1 A. No.

2 Q. How do you -- how do you know? How do you remember that?

3

4 A. Well, like I said, I'm from Los Angeles, and when I first -- the first thing that popped up

5

6 was the governor's race, and the first thing that I saw were these two large squares. And I was thinking

7

8 to myself, "Georgia sure is making sure we know which

9 candidate we're voting for. There are only --

10 there's only one category on this page, and I didn't

11 even -- it didn't even dawn on me that there was a third person running, because their little box was so

12

13 small on the writing outside.

Q. Did you -- did you see at any point in

14

15 going through the ballot, the election -- the race between Amico and Duncan?

16

17 A. Not initially.

18 Q. When was the first time you saw Amico's name?

19

20 A. It was -- I had gone through -- I had voted for everything except one amendments because I wasn't

21

22 familiar with them, and then it was at the end of my ballot, and it dawned on me that I hadn't voted for

23

24 her, so I just kept going previous and went all the

25 way back to the beginning of the ballot.

1 Q. And when you went back to the beginning of
2 the ballot, did you see her -- her name on the race?
A. I did.
3
4 Q. Okay. And did you -- were you able to cast
a vote then?
5
6 A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And do you have any -- and then you
7
8 went forward to the summary?
9 A. Correct, to the end, yes.
10 Q. Okay. And you cast your ballot?
11 A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Were there any other malfunctions in
12
13 the screen?
A. Not that I noticed.
14
15 Q. Not that you noticed. And did you complain
to anyone about the -- about the voting?
16
17 A. Not at the poll.
18 Q. Okay. Did you thereafter?
A. I'm sorry?
19
20 Q. Thereafter that, did you?
A. I did. I did. The first person I talked
21
22 to was my daughter, because we usually go vote
together and she left me that morning. And so she
23
24 had already voted, and when I got back home I was
25 telling her what happened to me. And she told me

1 that's not what she experienced.

2 Q. Okay.
MR. BROWN: Thank you very much.
3

4 THE WITNESS: Oh, you're welcome.
THE COURT: Any questions?
5

6 THE WITNESS: Excuse me.
MR. LINDSEY: Just a few quick questions, ma'am.
7

8 THE WITNESS: Yes. I was just going to finish my

9 statement here. I didn't finish.

10 MR. LINDSEY: Oh.

11 THE COURT: You're not here -- you have to be asked questions; okay? If they don't ask you the direct
12

13 question you want them to ask you, you don't get to tell it.
14

15 THE WITNESS: Okay.
THE COURT: Okay?
16

17 THE WITNESS: But it was about the previous question

18 he asked.
THE COURT: Well --
19

20 THE WITNESS: That's fine.
THE COURT: What do you think, Mr. Lindsey?
21

22 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, she's a good voter from Georgia. Please let her go ahead and finish it.
23

24 THE COURT: Okay.

25 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.

1 THE COURT: Okay, finish then.

2 MR. LINDSEY: Go ahead, ma'am.
THE WITNESS: Oh. I'm sorry. You had asked if I
3

4 complained about that. Like I said, the first person was
my daughter. The next thing I did that night or the next
5

6 morning, I wrote an email.
MR. BROWN: Okay.
7

8 BY MR. BROWN:

9 Q. And when -- the first time that you looked

10 at the ballot screen?

11 A. Yes.
Q. When you first looked at it?
12

13 A. Yes.
Q. Was Amico's name on there?
14

15 A. No, sir.
Q. And were you looking to vote for her?
16

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. And you didn't see it on the first screen?
A. Correct.
19

20 MR. BROWN: Thank you.

21

22 CROSS-EXAMINATION
OF TERRI R. THOMAS
23

24

25 BY MR. LINDSEY:

1 Q. Was this the first time that you've voted
2 in Georgia?
A. No, sir.
3
4 Q. Okay. I hate to ask a woman this, but how
-- how old are you, ma'am?
5
6 A. I am 62.
Q. Thank you. And you were -- how many times
7
8 have you voted in Georgia?
9 A. Probably about seven to eight times.
10 Q. Okay. And so, you went all the way
11 through?
A. Correct.
12
13 Q. And then when -- then you went back, and it
was on the screen; correct?
14
15 A. Yes, sir.
Q. You voted for Ms. -- I take it you voted
16
17 for Ms. Amico?
18 A. I did.
Q. Okay. And when you talked to your
19
20 daughter, your daughter said that she didn't have any
problems?
21
22 A. Correct.
Q. Okay.
23
24 MR. LINDSEY: No further questions.
25 THE COURT: Anything else, Mr. -- anyone else? Oh,

1 Mr. Tyson.

2 MR. TYSON: Yes. Just briefly. Hello, Ms. Thomas, my name is Bryan Tyson. I represent the Gwinnett County

3

4 Board of Registrations and Elections.
BY MR. TYSON:

5

6 Q. I just wanted to ask, when you said, when you first looked at the ballot you said, you saw "two

7

8 squares" when they came on there?

9 A. Correct.

10 Q. And you -- I'm assuming you were looking to

11 vote in the governor's race. Is it possible that the lieutenant governor's race was there, and you just

12

13 missed it the first time?
A. No, sir. No, sir. I'm retired; I have

14

15 plenty of time.
Q. Okay. And then your daughter, is she

16

17 involved at all with the coalition or any groups that

18 are interested in voting issues?
A. No, sir.

19

20 Q. Okay. And then, you said that there was a third person running. Did you say that it was

21

22 "smaller or difficult to see?" Can you describe for me what that was on that first screen?

23

24 A. I'm guessing it was -- it was Republican

25 and Democrat and Independent --

1 Q. Yes?

2 A. -- but his square was so small. Like I said, I was amazed because I was thinking -- you're

3

4 going to make sure I know who I'm voting. This is the only category on this page, and the squares were

5

6 so large, and he had a little square [inaudible] just looking at him.

7

8 Q. Thank you.

9 MR. TYSON: I don't have any further questions.

10 THE WITNESS: You're welcome.

11 THE COURT: Okay.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I have no further questions,

12

13 and may the witness be excused?
THE COURT: The witness may be excused.

14

15 MR. BROWN: Thank you very much.
THE COURT: Have a safe drive back to your home.

16

17 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

18 MR. BROWN: Thank you very much.
THE WITNESS: You're welcome.

19

20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the plaintiffs would call Richard Barron. Please state -- do you promise to tell

21

22 the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
THE WITNESS: Yes.

23

24 MR. BROWN: Have a seat.

25

1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 * * * * * * *

3

4 Thereupon:

5

6 RICHARD BARRON

7

8 was called as a witness, and having been first duly

9 sworn in, and testified upon his oath as follows:

10

11 DIRECT EXAMINATION
OF RICHARD BARRON

12

13 BY MR. BROWN:

14

15 Q. Please state your full name for the record?
A. Richard Barron.

16

17 Q. And by whom are you currently employed?

18 A. Fulton County.
Q. And what is your position with Fulton

19

20 County?
A. Director of Registration and Elections.

21

22 Q. How long have you had that position?
A. Five and a half years.

23

24 Q. What does a director of registration and

25 elections do?

1 A. I'm in charge of -- of administering all
2 the elections for Fulton County, taking care of all the polling places, training the poll workers,
3
4 dealing with the media, and overseeing the voter registration rolls.
5
6 Q. And how many full-time employees do you have?
7
8 A. 18.
9 Q. And during the election, how many part-time
10 employees do you have or contractors?
11 A. Well, it depends on -- it's usually about 60. That increases during early voting.
12
13 Q. Okay. You were here in yesterday's -- during yesterday's testimony; correct?
14
15 A. Yes.
Q. Okay. I would like to ask you some
16
17 questions, and I want to make sure I'm clear. There
18 is an election server at the Secretary of State's office, to the best of your understanding; correct?
19
20 A. Correct.
Q. And then separately, there's what we would
21
22 -- what some people call the GEM server at the county; is that correct?
23
24 A. Yes.
25 Q. And is it your understanding that the

1 election server at the Secretary of State was
2 reinstalled with a trusted copy of the GEMS software after it had been compromised in 2016?
3
4 A. I have no --
MR. TYSON: Object on that, Your Honor. I don't
5
6 think there is any foundation that's Mr. Barron's going to have knowledge about what the Secretary of State's servers
7
8 going to have or anything else.
9 THE COURT: But -- I --
10 MR. LINDSEY: I believe that they haven't laid a
11 proper foundation that there has been any type of compromise with the GEMS system.
12
13 THE COURT: We had testimony yesterday. You actually have him on direct and you're leading. That question
14
15 wasn't what you had previously. I sustain the objection to it.
16
17 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, may I treat him as a -- treat
18 him on cross as an adverse?
THE COURT: No, sir. You called him for -- you
19
20 called him on direct.
MR. BROWN: Okay.
21
22 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Was Fulton County's GEMS server replaced in
23
24 2016 or 2017?
25 A. I don't recall.

1 Q. Okay. Did Fulton County take efforts after
2 2016 to disinfect Fulton County's GEMS database?
MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, I am going to object to
3
4 assuming facts.
MR. BROWN: Let the record reflect that the witness
5
6 shook his head "No." Go ahead.
MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, his question assumes facts
7
8 that are not in evidence that there was something that
9 needed to be done. He hasn't laid a foundation.
10 THE COURT: Well, he just asked did they do it after
11 2016. That doesn't reflect -- he just -- I don't know why
I don't have a mic this morning. He just -- the question
12
13 is okay the way it is; okay?
THE WITNESS: I don't -- I don't understand what you
14
15 mean by "disinfect."
BY MR. BROWN:
16
17 Q. Was it examined for malware or any other
18 defects?
A. Not that I'm aware of.
19
20 Q. Was any of Fulton County's memory cards
that they used, were any of those memory cards
21
22 disinfected after 2016?
A. No.
23
24 Q. In 2018, you would have created a new
25 memory card for the 2018 election; correct?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. And those -- those memory cards would have been the same memory cards that had been used in

3

4 previous elections; correct?
A. Yes.

5

6 Q. Elections prior to 2016; correct?
A. Yes.

7

8 Q. And you would have -- did you make those

9 memory cards from your GEMS -- your county GEMS

10 database?

11 A. Yeah. They -- that's where everything originates, yes.

12

13 Q. Do you have any reason to believe that the process that you go through in making the -- the

14

15 well, let me back up a little bit. Do you know if other counties disinfected their memory cards after

16

17 2016 or not?

18 A. I still don't understand what you mean by "disinfect," but I have no knowledge of any other

19

20 counties.
Q. Okay. Fair enough. Let me make sure

21

22 you're understanding my question. When I -- when I say "disinfect," what I mean is to do anything to

23

24 remove any malware or mis-program [sic] that might be

25 on that particular device?

1 A. No.

2 Q. And so the answer is, your -- you didn't do that with the memory cards; correct?

3 A. No.

4 Q. Okay. And you, to -- to the best of your knowledge, did any other counties do that?

5 A. I have no -- no knowledge of that.

6 Q. One way or the other?

7 A. No.

8 Q. Fair enough. Prior to the -- to the -- the November 2018 election, did Fulton County review its GEMS database to determine if there were any coding errors on that GEMS database?

9 A. No.

10 Q. You were here yesterday, and did you -- do you recall the testimony of Sara LeClerc?

11 A. Was she the poll watcher?

12 Q. Yes?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. Were you -- do you recall what county she was -- had been overseeing?

15 A. What county?

16 Q. It was Fulton County, correct, and AME church?

17 A. Yes.

1 Q. That's one of your precincts; correct?
2 A. Correct.
3 Q. Did you get a report of the incidents that
4 she described when you were in -- during the election?
5
6 A. I didn't personally, no.
7 Q. Are you aware of someone in your office
8 getting a report like that? A report from AME or
9 about AME?
10 A. I -- I became aware of it through this --
11 this process, the court case.
12 Q. And -- and what is your understanding of --
13 of the information that was received by your office?
14 MS. BURWELL: Objection, Your Honor. He said that he
15 learned of it though this lawsuit, not through his office.
16 THE COURT: Sustained.
17 BY MR. BROWN:
18 Q. At any time, not in the November election
19 or not contemporaneously, but did you gain an
20 understanding of what happened at the AME Temple at
21 the church -- the AME Temple precinct?
22 A. I -- I've been made aware of the complaint,
23 yes.
24 Q. And did you or anybody under your
25 supervision do any kind of investigation to determine

1 why that happened?

2 A. Yes.
Q. And what did you find?
3

4 A. We found -- well, we found that the -- the
poll worker -- the poll worker had an issue with that
5

6 voter, and then she -- she took down the machine, and
then later she reopened the machine later in the day.
7

8 Q. Did anybody on your staff inspect the

9 machine to see if there were any coding errors or

10 anything like that?

11 A. It's my understanding -- no, we didn't look
for coding errors.
12

13 Q. Did you look for any mechanical type of
errors that weren't electronic, like with the screen
14

15 operation?
A. No, not that day.
16

17 Q. Ever?

18 A. I don't think so, no.
Q. Did you do any sort of examination of -- of
19

20 any of the DRE machines that Fulton County used after
the 2018 election?
21

22 A. We -- no. We immediately had to turn those
around for the -- the December election.
23

24 Q. And when you -- you said you had to use

25 them for the December runoff?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. And when you used those for the December runoff, do you reprogram them with a different

3

4 election memory card?
A. Yes. And they're -- yes.

5

6 Q. Okay. And so, at that point it would be -- describe that process for me, please?

7

8 A. Well, we have to run them through -- once

9 we get the database in, and we load the election, we

10 run them through a live -- a logic and accuracy

11 testing. We also -- we -- we calibrate them to make sure the time and date are correct. Just some basic

12

13 things that we do to get ready for them -- to get ready for the election and then the logic and

14

15 accuracy testing.
Q. Does that involve reprogramming the

16

17 machines?

18 A. Well, we -- we insert a new card in there, and that has the new election on it.

19

20 Q. And does -- and does the insertion of the new card and the running of these tests alter the

21

22 internal memory?
A. No.

23

24 Q. And how do you know?

25 A. I mean, it's not my understanding that it

1 does. It doesn't erase anything that's on there.
2 There's a hard packet that stays on there from the beginning, the life of the machine.
3
4 Q. How -- how do you know that?
A. That's -- well, because that's what I've
5
6 learned using these -- this equipment.
Q. Have you done any -- any forensic
7
8 examination of the -- the unit to determine if any
9 changes are made to that memory by reprogramming?
10 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object to that. I don't
11 think the foundation laid by Mr. Barron would have the type of capability to observe or the skill to do that. It
12
13 needs to be laid first before we get into that.
THE COURT: Well, he can answer the question. He's
14
15 only talking for himself.
THE WITNESS: No.
16
17 BY MR. BROWN:
18 Q. Has anybody under your supervision done that?
19
20 A. No.
Q. Are you aware of any scientific or computer
21
22 scientific opinion to the effect that reprogramming the machines for the subsequent election has altered
23
24 the memory of the machines?
25 A. No.

1 Q. You're not aware of that at all? You never
2 heard of that opinion?
A. No.
3
4 Q. You never heard that opinion expressed by
Mr. Bernhard?
5
6 THE COURT: Enough; okay? That -- that -- and I
understand you're an advocate and I respect that, but
7
8 that's far enough on that line of thought.
9 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
10 BY MR. BROWN:
11 Q. What is a voter access card?
A. That is -- that is the -- the yellow card
12
13 that has a chip on it that you -- when -- when a
voter checks in, you put it in -- the poll worker
14
15 puts it into the Express Poll to -- so that it -- it
will indicate which ballot on the DRE is accessible
16
17 by that voter.
18 Q. It's -- if you will, it's created by the
express electronic poll booth?
19
20 A. Yes, the Express Poll.
Q. The Express Poll. And is the Express Poll
21
22 part of the registration system in any way?
A. Well, the -- the voter registration
23
24 database is loaded with the information for the --
25 for everyone that's eligible to vote in that election

1 is put onto the Express Poll.

2 Q. I want to make sure that I have this correct. The -- the registration database, for lack

3 of a better expression, tells the poll books what each voter access card should have on it, right?

5 A. No.

6 MS. BURWELL: Objection, Your Honor.

7 MR. BROWN: He said, no.

8 MS. BURWELL: First of all, it's leading; and second

9 of all he hasn't defined some of those terms.

10 THE COURT: He said "No" anyway; okay? And -- and I -- I understand you're reading some questions you've got

11 and they're very scientific, but sometimes when you use scientific words, you may use one word and he may use

12 another word, and you may not be talking in the same language. And I think we're having some issues with that

13 this morning.

14 BY MR. BROWN:

15 Q. Help me out on the terminology, Mr. Barron.

16 What is the system called, the biggest system in the process?

17 A. The biggest system?

18 Q. Right. It's called the registration

19 system?

20 A. E-net, Electionet is the voter registration

21

22

23

24

25

1 system.

2 Q. And that is maintained by who?
A. Well, it's maintained by the state.
3

4 Q. How does the Enet system inform -- does the
Enet system at the state level inform the county as
5

6 to which voter should vote where?
A. Well, every -- every voter, based on their
7

8 address, is given a ballot code and the only thing

9 that's -- the only information that is put onto the

10 voter access card is the -- the ballot code for that

11 voter, so that -- because all the ballots, the
different ballots for each precinct or during early
12

13 voting all the ballots are on there. The voter
access card enables the voter to access his or her
14

15 ballot.
Q. And to make sure I have my terminology
16

17 straight, the voter access cards are inserted at

18 Election Day into the Express Poll book's server;
correct?
19

20 A. Yeah. It's not a server; it's just a
standalone unit that -- the information is in the
21

22 Express Poll, the card goes in, the poll worker looks
up the voter, selects the name, that ballot code
23

24 information is put on there, and what it does is it

25 tells the DRE to access this ballot.

1 Q. Thank you for that. And the Express Poll
2 machine in turn gets its information from the state's Enet system; correct?
3
4 A. Not -- it's not why we're connected from it, but yeah, the source of information is from
5
6 there.
Q. And how does -- it's not connected live;
7
8 how does the information get from the Enet to the
9 county?
10 A. We -- we get -- we get a card, like, a
11 flash memory card that we -- we reproduce to put in the Express Polls, or the state reproduces all the
12
13 cards. We put them into the Express Polls for each precinct.
14
15 Q. Are you aware of reports that the Enet system was vulnerable to hacking prior to the
16
17 November 2018 election?
18 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object to that. I don't think there's a foundation about that, that any reports
19
20 about that. If Mr. Brown wants to reference a specific report, I think he can, but --
21
22 THE COURT: It's the way that you phrase the question. You -- you make it as if it were a statement of
23
24 fact. You can ask in that area, but you've got to
25 inquire, not make these false statements that you keep

1 making about things.

2 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Right. Are you aware of any reports
3

4 related to hacking involving the Enet system?
A. I read that in the AJC.
5

6 Q. And after you read it in the AJC, did you
follow up?
7

8 A. No.

9 Q. Did you investigate it at all?

10 A. No.

11 Q. Are you aware of anyone else investigating
that?
12

13 A. No.
Q. Was it a -- was it a concern to you?
14

15 A. I didn't -- you know, when I read the
story, I didn't know how seriously to take it. It
16

17 didn't -- I -- no.

18 Q. Were you aware -- or did the Secretary of
State have an investigation?
19

20 A. I don't know.
Q. Just for the record, how many voting
21

22 machines does Fulton County have?
A. 3,108.
23

24 MR. BROWN: Let me take just a moment here. That's

25 all that I have at this time.

1 THE COURT: Questions? Thank you.

2 MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, did you want me to do all
my questions now or just the ones related to what he's
3

4 been asked this morning?
THE COURT: You can ask him anything you want to ask
5

6 him.
MS. BURWELL: I can ask as much as I'd like to ask?
7

8 THE COURT: Go ahead.

9 MS. BURWELL: Okay. Thank you.

10 THE COURT: We don't have a podium.

11 MS. BURWELL: Okay.
THE COURT: I know some of you are used to a podium.
12

13 I don't know that I like podiums, but is it -- we have no
clerk, so you can use any of the clerk's area.
14

15 MS. BURWELL: Oh; okay? Well, maybe I can just --
THE COURT: Put it way over there on the end if you
16

17 want to be closer and seeing and not have the computer in

18 the way. Yeah, that's a good place.
MS. BURWELL: Okay.
19

20

CROSS-EXAMINATION
21

22 OF RICHARD BARRON
BY MS. BURWELL:
23

24 Q. Mr. Barron, can you tell the court how long

25 you've been involved in elections?

1 A. Since December of 1999.

2 Q. Okay. And can you tell the judge a little bit about your background with elections?

3

4 A. I've worked with Travis County elections in Austin, Texas, from 1999 to 2003. I also worked for

5

6 Sequoia Voting Systems from 2004 to 2005. In 2006, I worked for Hartman Civic, and then I went to work for

7

8 Williamson County in Brown -- Georgetown, Texas, from

9 2007 to 2013, and then I came here.

10 Q. So, you've been with Fulton County since

11 2013?
A. Yes.

12

13 Q. And so in connection with overseeing the election activities for the Fulton County Board of

14

15 Registration and Elections, are you required to follow state law?

16

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. And where do you find the state law that you're supposed to follow?

19

20 A. The Georgia election code and also there's an SED rule book.

21

22 Q. And what's the SED rule book?
A. It -- it -- it's -- from my understand,

23

24 it's basically an -- the state election board has

25 some rules that they interpret from the law, and I

1 think they also make some -- some rules in addition
2 to that.
Q. And you're required to follow those as
3
4 well?
A. Yes.
5
6 Q. Does the state oversee all of your election activities?
7
8 MR. BROWN: Object, object, Your Honor. She's asking
9 the witness what someone else does.
10 MS. BURWELL: May I respond, Your Honor?
11 THE COURT: Yes. We -- we -- we -- I think it's the way it's phrased. I -- I think you can ask the question.
12
13 Go ahead.
BY MS. BURWELL:
14
15 Q. Does anyone oversee the election activities that you undertake?
16
17 A. The state election board has the -- the
18 ultimate authority over the boards, the -- the Fulton County Board of Registration and Elections.
19
20 Q. And are there -- are you personally or is the Board of Registration and Elections subject to
21
22 penalties for not following the code and rules?
A. Yes.
23
24 Q. Can you tell the Court why Fulton County
25 uses the DRE-based voting system?

1 A. That is part of -- there -- there's a
2 uniform voting law in Georgia, and every county uses the same equipment. It's a state law.
3
4 Q. Do you have a choice on whether or not to use that equipment?
5
6 A. No.
7 Q. Who owns the DRE machines that are used in
8 Fulton County?
9 A. 70 to 80 percent of them were purchased by
10 the state, and Fulton County purchased the other 20
11 to 30 percent.
12 Q. Can you tell the Court whether or not
13 Fulton County has ever had any software issues with its DRE machines?
14
15 A. No.
16 Q. Are you aware of any viruses that have ever
17 infiltrated a Fulton County DRE machine?
18 A. No.
19 Q. Let me ask you now the same questions about
20 the poll book that you used. Are you aware of any software issues with that poll book?
21
22 A. No.
23 Q. What about the viruses with that poll book?
24 A. No.
25 Q. And let me ask you now about the GEMS

1 server, which is a -- yet a third component of the
2 system; correct?
A. Yes.
3
4 Q. Are you -- has Fulton County ever had any
software issues with the -- the GEM system?
5
6 A. No.
Q. And are you aware of any viruses with that
7
8 GEM system?
9 A. No.
10 Q. Are you aware -- is it -- are you aware of
11 any external attacks that Fulton County has had on
any of its machines?
12
13 A. No.
Q. Is it possible for there -- is -- is the
14
15 DRE machine hooked up to the Internet?
A. No.
16
17 Q. Is the DRE machine hooked up to anything
18 external, the Web, or anything like that?
A. No.
19
20 Q. Now, are the DRE machines tested by Fulton
County?
21
22 A. Yes.
Q. And do -- did you test the machines before
23
24 the November 6th election?
25 A. Yes.

1 Q. Is each DRE machine that's used in the
2 election tested?
A. Yes.
3
4 Q. And are DRE machines that are used in the
early voting tested as well?
5
6 A. Yes.
Q. Are any of the machines connected to each
7
8 other?
9 A. Only by electricity.
10 Q. Okay. So one DRE machine in a room isn't
11 connected to another DRE machine in a room?
A. No.
12
13 Q. They're both potentially plugged into the
electricity in the wall, but other than that --
14
15 A. Correct.
Q. Now, can you tell the judge in terms of the
16
17 November 6th general election what you did to prepare
18 for that election?
A. Well, we began in August. We have to -- we
19
20 have to get the ballot ready. We have to make sure
we get all the information from all of the local
21
22 candidates or from the municipalities that are having
elections to the state, so that they can build a
23
24 ballot.
25 We have to get all the -- the poll workers

1 recruited, poll workers trained; polling places we
2 have to make sure are -- are set.
We have to get all of our workers set up for
3
4 early voting. We have to get all the machines ready.
We -- we also make sure we get all of the -- the --
5
6 all of the voter registration applications processed
that are timely, and there are lots of little, sundry
7
8 duties that go along with all those.
9 Q. And those are the same -- the procedures
10 you go through for every election; is that correct?
11 A. Correct.
Q. Now, can you tell the judge about ballot
12
13 styles and what that means?
A. Well, we had 115 ballot styles in -- in the
14
15 November election. Those are based on -- on all the
-- the precincts and the districts, all the different
16
17 districts that -- that are within the county, from
18 state senate districts to -- to city boundaries to
House of Representative districts, all the -- all the
19
20 political districts are taken into account, along
with the precincts.
21
22 Q. So let me ask you about early voting. And
can you explain to the judge how early voting works?
23
24 A. During early voting, all of the ballots are
25 available at every polling place. You can vote

1 anywhere during early voting.

2 Q. So what does that mean in terms of ballot styles?

3

4 A. Well, for our 370-plus precincts that we have, all of those are available with the 115

5

6 different ballot styles.

Q. How does that differ from Election Day?

7

8 A. On Election Day, the voters have to go to

9 their -- their assigned precinct to vote. So those

10 -- those precincts are what are available in each

11 polling place.

Q. So on -- for early voting, if you live in

12

13 Roswell, you can vote in Chattahoochee Hills, and they can pull up your ballot?

14

15 A. Correct.

Q. But on Election Day, you can only vote in

16

17 Roswell?

18 A. Yes, at whatever assigned place in Roswell, yes.

19

20 Q. So during early voting, are there things that could occur that would cause a machine to say

21

22 "Cancel" on it?

A. Well, if it -- if they -- if the screen

23

24 comes up and there's a cancel sign on there, that --

25 that indicates that the ballot was created by the

1 Express Poll in -- in the disabled mode, for a
2 disabled voter.
Q. Okay. So explain for the -- to the judge
3
4 what that means?
A. There's -- the Express Poll has two
5
6 different modes. You can have the regular mode where
-- where the ballot comes up, or there's a -- there's
7
8 also a mode for -- for disabled voters, because those
9 voters, the ballot doesn't appear on the screen.
10 The only thing that appears is -- is a
11 "canceled" button, so -- to cancel that out. Now if
the voter goes up, he can put -- it's in the disabled
12
13 mode, the Express Poll operator has to select the
option to go back to regular mode.
14
15 If they don't, the next card they create is
going to be in disabled mode.
16
17 Q. And so is that what makes it flash
18 "Cancel"?
A. Yeah.
19
20 Q. Does that mean that there's a problem with
the machine?
21
22 A. No.
Q. Okay. What does that mean?
23
24 A. The DRE's doing what it's told.
25 Q. So what happens to the voter in that

1 instance, if it comes up and says "Cancel"?

2 A. Well, they would have to cancel the ballot and then go back to the Express Poll. They're

3 supposed to get -- all of our machines have a sign in them that say, "If there's anything wrong with your

4 ballot, whether it be that you've -- you think you have the wrong ballot, then you need to go get a poll

5 worker to help you."

6 Like to go back to the Express Poll and tell

7 them or show them what's on the screen. From there a

8 new card needs to be created that it's in the regular mode.

9 Q. Right. And it's not in disabled mode?

10 A. Correct.

11 Q. So then the voter can go and cast a ballot?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. So the fact that it says -- that it had

14 come up cancelled doesn't mean that the voter was unable to cast a vote --

15 A. Correct.

16 Q. -- on that day? Now let me ask you about

17 if an issue comes up with a DRE machine, and Mr. Brown asked you about something that happened at, I

18 believe, church. So you weren't at the church that

19 particular day, were you?

1 A. No.

2 Q. So you don't have any first-hand knowledge
about what particularly happened; correct?
3

4 A. Correct.
Q. But you listened to Ms. LeClerc's testimony
5

6 about what she observed?
A. Yes.
7

8 Q. Can you tell the judge what the process is

9 under those circumstances, if they're -- if this

10 particular voter has an issue?

11 A. Well, we have a help desk that -- there're
are different ways that a poll worker can contact the
12

13 office. They should call the help desk, and then a
ticket is created, and then a technician would be
14

15 sent to the polling place.
In the meantime, if the poll worker has a
16

17 concern about the machine, they -- they shut it down,

18 as they did, and so -- and that's what they're
supposed to do.
19

20 Q. Okay. Do you, as the director of
elections, have any concerns about the reliability of
21

22 the DRE machines that have been used in Fulton
County?
23

24 A. No.

25 Q. Do you have any concern about any memory

1 cards having ever been improperly accessed?

2 A. No.

Q. Can you tell the judge what the process is

3

4 for safety with respect to the memory cards?

A. All the -- all the machines will -- like,

5

6 in early voting, for example, all the machines are, after they're tested, all of them are sealed to seal

7

8 the equipment, the serial numbers are recorded, and

9 the seal numbers are recorded, and those go out with

10 -- with the poll workers.

11 Every day those -- those machines are -- the seals are broken, they're verified, they -- they seal

12

13 them up again, and it goes -- the -- the process goes through the 19 days of early voting.

14

15 They're -- on Election Day, when we send those out, they're -- they're sealed after the vote --

16

17 after, like, an accuracy is done. Those are also

18 delivered out, and they -- they go to the polling place.

19

20 They're -- they are -- there is a recap sheet with it, and they have the serial numbers and the --

21

22 the seal numbers are also recorded on there. The poll workers verify that those -- the seals are not

23

24 broken, and if -- if one was to be broken, they're to

25 contact our office and to let us know that the seal

1 was -- is broken.

2 Q. So can you tell the judge what the process is for security with respect to the actual DRE

3 machines themselves? You told us about the cards being sealed and kept. What about the actual DRE

5 machines?

6 A. In our warehouse, they -- they are kept in

7 a -- in a large warehouse. There's a keypad to get

8 in. It's also -- the warehouse is alarmed, and we

9 also have cameras in -- in the warehouse.

10 Q. Do you have chain-of-custody forms --

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. -- for the machines? Are those kept under lock and key?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. And how are the machines delivered to the

15 actual locations where voting occurs?

16 A. We have different either -- usually, it's either active-duty fire- -- firemen or officers or

17 retired firemen or officers that -- police officers that do -- do the deliveries.

18 Q. And when those DRE machines are delivered, they have seals on them?

19 A. They have seals on them, and there's a

20 cable through the door -- through the -- through the

21

22

23

24

25

1 handles --

2 Q. Can you --
A. -- to make sure that they're all kept
3

4 together.
Q. Can you explain to the judge what the seal
5

6 looks like and what it's made out of and what's on it?
7

8 A. When they go out, it's a -- it's a metal

9 seal, and it has -- it has a number on there that

10 identifies that seal, and it's unique to that seal.

11 Q. And so what do poll workers do when they get the machines?
12

13 A. After they -- they will verify that the seal is -- that we recorded in the warehouse is the
14

15 same that it is on that recap sheet, and then they break the seals to open the machines.
16

17 Q. Are you aware of any instance where a seal

18 was broken or tampered with while at a polling location?
19

20 A. No.
Q. Now, once the election is over and the
21

22 machines are returned to the warehouse, is there a seal on it when it's originally returned to the
23

24 warehouse?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. And how long are you required to maintain
2 that seal?
A. 30 days.
3
4 Q. So if -- if a machine is sealed, is there
any way someone can access the door where the media
5
6 cards are located?
A. No.
7
8 Q. Okay, why is that?
9 A. Well, they would have to break the seal to
10 get in there, cut the cable, break the seal.
11 Q. Are you aware of that ever happening?
A. No. Also on the early voting machines,
12
13 there's a metal plate that is put over the -- the
doors on those. You'd have to crowbar those off, and
14
15 it would break the unit.
Q. Can you now tell the judge about the
16
17 security measures for the GEMS server?
18 A. That's password-protected. It's in the
same warehouse with the -- with the DREs, so there's
19
20 -- you have to have the access code to get in the
door. There's also an alarm, and there are cameras
21
22 in there.
MS. BURWELL: I have no further questions.
23
24 THE COURT: Yes, sir, Mr. Tyson. Mr. Lindsey?
25 MR. LINDSEY: I have some questions, Your Honor.

1 I think you've alluded to it, Mr. Barron, but perhaps
2 it would be a good idea to go ahead and explain.
3 Before I do that, my co-counsel has admonished
4 me for asking a woman her age, so to be fair, sure that
5 I'm not a sexist, what's your age?
6 THE WITNESS: 52.
7 MR. LINDSEY: All right. That's the last time I'm
8 going to ask a woman her age.
9 THE COURT: I think everybody in here's younger than
10 I am anyway, so don't worry about that. Go ahead.
11 MR. LINDSEY: Yes. All jokes aside, let's get to the
12 serious part.
13 BY MR. LINDSEY:
14 Q. You've alluded to it a couple times, but
15 let's tell the judge a little more specifically.
16 Prior to the election, for each machine, it is my
17 understanding that according to your testimony that
18 you conduct what is called a logic and accuracy
19 testing?
20 A. Yes.
21 Q. Explain to the judge exactly what that is?
22 A. We have a script that we -- we vote, and
23 then once you input those votes, then you run the
24 tape and make sure that -- that the results match the
25 script that was voted.

1 Q. Okay. And do you do that for each machine?
2 A. For each machine.
3 Q. Okay. And did all of the machines that
4 were used on November 6th, 2018 pass that test?
5 A. Yes.
6 MR. LINDSEY: No further questions.
7 THE COURT: Mr. --
8 MR. RUSSO: Briefly, I just -- only care about one
9 point.
10 BY MR. RUSSO:
11 Q. Mr. Barron, you indicated a script was run.
12 Does that -- does that require someone actually
13 pushing the screen and voting it, or is that a
14 software run on the computer?
15 A. No. We -- we actually input those manually
16 to make sure that all the positions are recording
17 votes.
18 MR. RUSSO: Thank you.
19 THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
20 BY MR. BROWN:
21 Q. Mr. Barron, you were asked about a law
22 relating to -- that required you to use the DRE
23 machines, right?
24 A. Um-hmm.
25 Q. And is it -- was it your understanding that

1 the law does require you to use the DRE machines?

2 A. Yes.
Q. And are you aware of -- of a court opinion
3

4 that says --
THE COURT: Okay. You -- you -- you -- that's what
5

6 we're having trouble with, and I can see movement over
here at this table. "Are you aware," you say that "are
7

8 you aware" as if it was a matter of fact. And it's fact

9 that's not in evidence, so rephrase the question.

10 BY MR. BROWN:

11 Q. Have you read the federal court decision,
Curling vs. Kemp?
12

13 A. No.
Q. Are you -- what is the statute that says --
14

15 do -- do you know what the statute is that says you
have to use DRE machines?
16

17 A. Not offhand.

18 Q. Are you -- are you -- do you know of any
statute that says that?
19

20 A. I could -- if I had an election code, I
could probably find it, but --
21

22 Q. And -- and you're -- what you're saying is
that the Georgia code says that it requires the use
23

24 of DREs?

25 A. Yes, and that was clarified by the

1 Secretary of State's office to all the counties
2 leading up to the fall election cycle.
Q. Did that opinion change after a ruling by
3
4 the federal court?
MR. LINDSEY: I'm going to objection to Mr. Brown.
5
6 He is an election official. He's got some general
knowledge, perhaps, of the law --
7
8 THE COURT: Yeah, you're using -- see, you used the
9 word "code," at one point. I -- whether he knows the
10 difference between the code and the law, which are two
11 different things, I don't know, but you're still -- you're
still -- it's the way you're asking the questions, Mr.
12
13 Brown.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I -- the -- if I might just
14
15 say one thing.
THE COURT: What?
16
17 MR. BROWN: They asked him, "What is your
18 understanding of the law?"
THE COURT: But -- and you can ask him what -- you --
19
20 you can test that, but you can ask, "Are you aware that --
that rule ever changed?"
21
22 MR. BROWN: Are you aware --
THE COURT: That does the same thing. See where I'm
23
24 coming from?
25 MR. BROWN: Yes.

1 THE COURT: You -- you can get the information. It's
2 just the way you phrase the question that's objectionable.
BY MR. BROWN:
3
4 Q. Mr. Barron, are you aware if that rule was
ever changed or interpreted differently as not
5
6 requiring --
A. No.
7
8 Q. -- the use of DREs? Okay. Were you, in
9 your position as the director of elections for Fulton
10 County, were you briefed on court orders from the
11 federal court in the Curling case?
A. Yes.
12
13 Q. And did that briefing include telling you,
quote, "But OCGA 21-2-383(b) does not require the use
14
15 of DREs," as defendant claims it does?
MS. BURWELL: Objection, Your Honor. First and
16
17 foremost, it appears that he's attempting to ask about a
18 privileged communication.
THE COURT: It's not in -- it's -- it's -- I sustain
19
20 the objection.
BY MR. BROWN:
21
22 Q. Is it your working understanding, Mr.
Barron, that the Georgia Code requires the use of
23
24 DREs?
25 MR. TYSON: Yeah, objection, on behalf of

1 [inaudible].

2 THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MR. BROWN:
3

4 Q. Mr. Barron, you talked about secure facilities and about how to secure the facilities.
5

6 You mentioned the security with the GEMS server. Do you recall that?
7

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. And you weren't talking about the GEMS

10 database; you were talking about the actual GEMS

11 server; correct?
A. Correct.
12

13 Q. Okay. You -- you were describing how the machines are marked up, is that right? When they're
14

15 in -- after they're in use; correct?
A. At the poll, yes.
16

17 Q. And you testified about how the memory

18 cards are secured in a secure location; correct?
A. Yes.
19

20 Q. Those are the memory cards that have been used for years; correct?
21

22 A. Yes.
Q. You testified about the tickets that are
23

24 created as a result of complaints from -- well, let

25 me ask you this. The ticket system from your prior

1 testimony, could you describe that for us in greater
2 detail?
A. Well, a poller would call into our help
3
4 desk on Election Day, and -- and then a ticket is
created basically to -- if -- if we need to send a
5
6 technician to that area. We have roaming technicians
that oversee certain precincts, and then we can -- we
7
8 can dispatch somebody.
9 Q. And where are those tickets saved?
10 A. We have -- we just have a database that
11 keeps a log of our tickets.
Q. What is that database called?
12
13 A. Help desk. I don't think it has a name. I
mean, it's just a help -- it's just our own internal
14
15 help desk.
Q. Is that --is that a record that you make
16
17 available to the public?
18 A. It's -- I mean, I guess it would be
available if -- if somebody put in an open records
19
20 request, yes.
Q. And how many tickets were created for the
21
22 November '18 election?
A. I don't know.
23
24 Q. Scores, hundreds?
25 A. I don't -- I don't think it was that many,

1 but I don't know.

2 Q. And what about for the runoff?
A. I don't know.
3

4 MR. BROWN: Just one second. I'd like to take one minute.
5

6 THE COURT: Sure.
BY MR. BROWN:
7

8 Q. You testified that Fulton County has put

9 the machines, the DRE machines through logic and

10 accuracy tests?

11 A. Correct.
Q. You -- has Fulton County forensically
12

13 examined the machines?
A. No.
14

15 Q. And just for the record, has -- has Fulton County allowed plaintiffs access to the machines for
16

17 that testing?

18 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor. Here we go. Once again, plaintiff's counsel is trying to bring back up discovery
19

20 issues that have arisen in this case that have all been brought before the judge on multiple occasions.
21

22 Judge in this court has ruled after hearing plaintiff's counsel giving him a reasonable opportunity to
23

24 argue, and the Court has overruled those objections, so

25 this entire line of questioning is found to be

1 interrupting.

2 THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, this goes back to the statute
3

4 that I quoted in my opening statement --
THE COURT: Okay.
5

6 MR. BROWN: -- and that has to do with the --
THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
7

8 MR. BROWN: Thank you.

9 MS. BURWELL: If I could, Your Honor, just for one

10 second?

11 THE COURT: Mm-hmm.
BY MS. BURWELL:
12

13 Q. Mr. Barron, I'm going to show you
OCGA 21-2-300. Is that what you were referring to
14

15 earlier about being required to use the state-
mandated DRE machines?
16

17 A. You said 302? Or 300?

18 Q. 300.
MR. BROWN: Excuse me, excuse me, Your Honor. I've
19

20 just -- in the here --
THE COURT: Let me -- let me say this. You can all
21

22 read the law to me in closing.
MS. BURWELL: Okay. All right.
23

24 THE COURT: You don't -- don't -- don't make a lay

25 witness a lawyer.

1 MS. BURWELL: Okay.

2 THE COURT: I'm sure he doesn't want to be one.
BY MS. BURWELL:
3

4 Q. Last thing, Mr. Barron. In Fulton County
you didn't receive any complaints of someone saying
5

6 that a race, specifically the lieutenant governor
race didn't appear on their ballot?
7

8 A. I don't recall that, no.

9 MS. BURWELL: Thank you.

10 MR. LINDSEY: For a follow-up to that.

11 THE COURT: Right.
BY MR. LINDSEY:
12

13 Q. If there had been something as serious as
that, do you believe that you would have been alerted
14

15 to it?
A. Yes.
16

17 MR. BROWN: Objection, Your Honor. That calls for

18 speculation as to reading the minds of other people who
might --
19

20 MR. LINDSEY: He's -- he's ahead --
THE COURT: Sustained.
21

22 MR. LINDSEY: -- of the office now.
THE COURT: Sir, I understand. "Did you get one" is
23

24 enough?

25 BY MR. LINDSEY:

1 Q. Did you ever get one that day that a race
2 was not on, that particularly the lieutenant
governor's race was not on the ballot?
3
4 A. No.
MR. LINDSEY: Thank you.
5
6 MR. BROWN: No further questions, Mr. Barron.
THE COURT: Okay. You may go now. Thank you.
7
8 THE WITNESS: Thanks.
9 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, at this point we have no
10 further witnesses, but I would like to make one
11 evidentiary argument that may be well. And it is to renew
our request for the admission of the National Academy of
12
13 Sciences report. And let me just very briefly describe
the law on that.
14
15 The -- when it was tendered, I made the argument that
-- that the basis of it being admissible by experts is
16
17 prinicipally -- it's hearsay, but the -- but the law
18 requires is that --
And this is what the law says, and I'm quoting 24-7-
19
20 703, "Such facts or data that are otherwise inadmissible
shall not be disclosed to the jury by the proponent of the
21
22 opinion or inference unless the court determines that the
probative value in assisting the jury to evaluate the
23
24 expert's opinion substantially outweighs their prejudicial
25 effect."

1 And so I would ask, Your Honor, to -- to allow the
2 opinion of -- to allow the National Academy of Science's report, because their probative value greatly outweighs
3
4 the prejudicial effect, and I would also, at this point, note that the report is independently admissible under
5
6 OCGA 24-7-803 (8)(C), which is the public records and reports exception of hearsay.
7
8 And I would cite the case of Chrysler v. Walden, 339
9 Ga. App. In that case, the court allowed the firm
10 allowance of a report of the National Highway Traffic
11 Safety Administration opposite defects investigation about a recall of a particular defective product.
12
13 We think that case is right on point. We believe, Your Honor, that -- I understand that Your Honor has not
14
15 reviewed this evidence because it wasn't admitted in the evidence.
16
17 THE COURT: Well, I thought it was unfair to
18 everybody for me to start reading stuff people were sending me to read. There was going to be evidence when
19
20 there was no -- I didn't know whether it was admissible or not, so I didn't --
21
22 MR. BROWN: We would urge Your Honor to consider reading it and to conclude that, since a consensus report
23
24 from the National Academy of Science is the best that this
25 country has.

1 And it's right on point about securing elections,
2 which is exactly what this case is about, it would be helpful to the triers of fact to have the best evidence
3
4 that this country could ever have about the issue in this case and that is the security of this machine.
5
6 MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, I would just note that this is not -- does not appear to be a complete copy. It looks
7
8 like the -- the cover page says -- looks like the document
9 is supposed to be 180 pages. This appears to be maybe the
10 first 8 pages of that document, because it begins at page
11 8 in here, but it's not a complete --
You can see that the -- the last paragraph continues
12
13 beyond this page, so it is not -- it's not even a complete copy.
14
15 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, admittedly I'm having to deal with what's on the line. But according to the
16
17 National Academy of Sciences on the webpage, the National
18 Academy of Sciences is not a public entity, not a governmental entity.
19
20 It is a private, nonprofit organization, therefore doesn't fit within the exception that gentleman has -- has
21
22 alluded to. The expert has already stated that he reviewed it, allowed it when he was giving his opinion.
23
24 It goes back once again to hearsay.
25 It's also irrelevant, Your Honor, because the

1 question here is whether or not the system that Georgia
2 has is the most secure possible, but whether or not in this particular case a breach actually occurred, and to
3
4 the extent that the results of the election weren't valid. That's the question, and --
5
6 THE COURT: Mr. Tyson? Sorry.
MR. BROWN: -- the NAS report, that's something
7
8 that's better argued downtown under the goal of the
9 academy.
10 MR. TYSON: And, Your Honor, we do agree with the
11 prior statements, and just would add that, to my knowledge, that there is nothing specific about the
12
13 Diebold Georgia voting machines in this report. It's about DREs generally.
14
15 As Mr. Lindsey said, the expert has already relied upon this in testifying yesterday, and unless there is
16
17 something specific about this election, I don't see that
18 there's any relevance for the Court to consider to admit it in evidence.
19
20 THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
MR. BROWN: First, Your Honor, just for the record,
21
22 as Your Honor is aware from the evidence, the -- the evidence is entirely, entirely contrary to what counsel
23
24 says.
25 THE COURT: No, it's not. You see, you -- and I

1 respect you, because you're an advocate. And you
2 absolutely believe your side of the case, and a lawyer is
supposed to do that. But that doesn't make it all right.
3
4 That's your view of it.
It's not -- yeah, I have to look at both sides. So
5
6 -- so that's really got nothing to do with it. I've got
-- let me say -- say what I -- go ahead and finish
7
8 arguing, because I very much know what I'm going to do on
9 it.
10 MR. BROWN: The evidence as we perceive it to be,
11 Your Honor, is -- and we're just simply not aware of the
contrary evidence, is that the defects are national, and
12
13 there's no difference, material difference between it in
Georgia, and we're not aware of any material testimony.
14
15 Therefore the unanswered court decision, I would instruct
it to leave it up to Your Honor [inaudible].
16
17 THE COURT: Okay. I -- I find it's not admissible.
18 It doesn't come in under public record, because it's not
public, okay? Number two, it was something that he relied
19
20 on in giving his opinion, and I've had his opinion. His
opinion is these -- if you listen to him, these pretty
21
22 much useless machines. I understand all that, and I'm not
going to read something that's hearsay.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
25 THE COURT: Okay? I mean, I understood what he was

1 saying.

2 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. At this time, we would also like to renew our demand for a jury. And the

3

4 reason why I'm renewing the demand, Your Honor, is that there is -- there is case law that may not be the best law

5

6 around, but it suggests that if you don't continue the demand --

7

8 THE COURT: I -- so we already in this hearing,

9 already in this trial, I have ruled. I'm one of these

10 judges, I'm afraid, who rules, you know. I make pretty

11 clear rulings, as we pointed out yesterday. I'm not an English major, so my stuff comes out ching-ching-ching.

12

13 I've ruled. Don't run in the face of it, okay?
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

14

15 THE COURT: Thank you. Do you rest?
MR. BROWN: We do.

16

17 THE COURT: Okay. Let me do this. Let me take ten

18 minutes, and then we'll hear from the other side.
MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.

19

20 MR. BROWN: Thank you.

21

22 [Off the record at 10:16 a.m., and back on the record at 10:21 a.m.]

23

24

25 BALIFF: Please take a seat. Court comes to order.

1 MALE: Oh, sorry.

2 THE COURT: Okay. Which defendant is to go first? Oh, you have a motion?

3

4 MS. BURWELL: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay.

5

6 MS. BURWELL: On behalf of Fulton County, Your Honor, I will move for an involuntary dismissal pursuant to 911

7

8 41(b) on the grounds that this court as the trier of fact

9 is allowed to adjudicate a motion to dismiss at the close

10 of the plaintiff's case.

11 And that is because the court is the finder of fact as well as the determiner of the law and the cases are

12

13 clear and I'd cite the court to Chalk v. Poletto 346 Ga. App 491 which is a 2018 case as well as Smith v. Georgia

14

15 Kaolin Company, Inc., 269 Georgia 475 both of which provide that a dismissal in a non-jury matter under

16

17 91141(b) does not require the court to construe the

18 evidence most favorably for the nonliving plaintiff. And that again is because the court determines the facts as

19

20 well as the law.
And in the instant case, the petitioner's under have

21

22 filed an election contest under 2125-22, Sections 1 and 3; and Section 1 requires the plaintiffs to have shown

23

24 misconduct, fraud, or irregularity in the election, by an

25 election official sufficient to change, replace, and doubt

1 the result.

2 Instead what these petitioners are alleging, is that just the DRE machines themselves, nothing that an election

3

4 official did, but just the machines themselves are -- they don't -- they don't care for the machines and think that

5

6 they're vulnerable.
Under section 2125-22(3), they had to show that

7

8 illegal votes were received or illegal votes rejected,

9 sufficient to change or place in doubt the results. And

10 there's been no evidence at all that there have been the

11 rejection, the receipt of illegal votes, or the rejection of votes at the polls sufficient to change or place in the

12

13 result. They brought in one woman who said she didn't see it, but then she was able to vote for that, which was Ms.

14

15 Thomas -- I think her name was -- this morning.
And the only other information was information from a

16

17 poll worker who said she got second hand information that

18 someone wasn't able to vote, but that is one vote at issue and there were over a 100,000 vote margin between the two

19

20 candidates.
So there is not sufficient information back. There

21

22 was no information in the record which would allow this court to find that there were legal votes rejected,

23

24 sufficient to change or place in doubt the result.

25 There's been no evidence of impropriety that led to this

1 under-vote. There's no evidence of any sort of tampering
2 that led to this under-vote.
3 And instead what they have done is they have said
4 there's a purely mathematical computation and they believe that a four percent under-vote for the Lieutenant
5 Governor's race is inappropriate.
6 And there is no evidence in the record about how or
7 why individuals choose not to vote for Lieutenant
8 Governor's race. But the one thing we do know is that
9 even based on the information they provided in every
10 single election, there are under-votes. Every single election.
11
12 The only difference here is that they complain that they believe that the amount of four percent is too large.
13
14 But again, there was no tie in between why there's an under-vote and any sort of impropriety on behalf of an
15 election official or any legal votes that have been
16 rejected. Thank you.
17 MR. TYSON: Your Honor -- Your Honor? Yeah. Your
18 Honor, just to briefly Gwinnett County Board of Registrations in elections joins in Fulton County's motion
19 requesting that this be treated as an adjudication on the merits which is allowed under 41(b) just to echo what Ms.
20 Burwell has raised the evidence before the court for the
21 reports that is that the Lieutenant Governor's race

1 appeared on every ballot in the state according to the
2 base precinct report with races.
That the -- all the cards were counted according to
3
4 the TS report and that there are a number of possible
reasons as the various witnesses testified about why an
5
6 under-vote may occur. It have been ballot design with the
lack of the US Senate race, the lack of a third party,
7
8 there were a number of additional write-in candidates.
9 Any of those issues could go to why the under-votes are
10 there, including the most important one, voters chose not
11 to vote at the race as was their option.
There is no evidence in the record that all -- that
12
13 any of those have been disproven as a possible basis. And
as a result, Mr. Brown and the plaintiff's elections
14
15 contest and must fail the election is presumed valid and
there was no evidence of irregularities sufficient to
16
17 place the results in doubt.
18 To the contrary, there is evidence that the results
should be placed, should be given the presumption that
19
20 it's favored because of the evidence in the record. And
as Ms. Burwell indicated, there is no indication that the
21
22 number of illegal votes cast or legal votes rejected was
anywhere near the margin of victory in this case, 123,000
23
24 votes. So we fully join in Fulton's motion.
25 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, first off, on behalf of the

1 Lieutenant Governor Jeff Thompkin [ph] we will adopt the
2 argument raised by both attorneys for Fulton County and Gwinnett County. And simply once again, I want to read it
3
4 off again, into Hart v. Crawford, which I read at the beginning, 20 Ga. 7, 1998 decision which clearly lays our
5
6 the fact that [inaudible] with the extraordinary, drastic -- I believe the word used by the board is drastic. There
7
8 must be clear evidence of some kind of issue in terms of
9 either malicious or negligent kind of issue that created
10 the problem. The fact of the matter is --
11 THE COURT: Quick, which case are you referring to? Sorry to interrupt you.
12
13 MR. LINDSEY: Hart [ph].
THE COURT: Hart.
14
15 MR. LINDSEY: Versus Crawford, 270 Ga. 7, 1998.
THE COURT: I got it. Okay.
16
17 MR. LINDSEY: In regards to the evidence that you've
18 heard that the plaintiff's expert himself admitted that he was not familiar with the particularities of this race in
19
20 terms of what was going on in this race, but simply doing so by doing mathematical formulations. He admitted that
21
22 he has no evidence of any kind of malware or malicious conduct by any individual, would have led to the under
23
24 voting that they have cited from the testimony of the
25 plaintiff's own witnesses.

1 That was never rebutted by any other witness of the
2 plaintiff. The -- there -- there -- there are ample reasons why there was an under-vote including the fact
3
4 that we had a high number of new voters, voter confusion in terms of the -- as a result of the layout of the ballot
5
6 Governor, Lieutenant Governor. Given the history of Governors that Lieutenant Governors of other states
7
8 running as a ticket. And that, that is a reasonable
9 reason for why there was an under-vote, in addition to
10 other evidence that was elicited from the plaintiff's on
11 their reports.
There's also testimony, I think this is extremely
12
13 important. a lot of plaintiff's expert testify as to what might have, could have, should have happened. The
14
15 undisputed testimony from both the Secretary of State and from the Fulton County election official from the
16
17 plaintiffs' themselves called on direct, testified as to
18 the safe guards that are taken both before an election and on election day to ensure that the system is operating
19
20 correctly in terms of the voter being able to cast a vote for the candidate of their choice and that vote being
21
22 accurately reported by the state; that the testimony has not been contradicted by anyone in terms of the safeguards
23
24 that were put in place.
25 Nor has -- while the plaintiff's expert talked about

1 systems that are on the web, we have undisputed testimony
2 that the system that deals with the actual recording -- accurate recording of a voters vote all the way up to the
3
4 counting of that vote is within a closed system that is not susceptible to the hacking at the plaintiff's expert
5
6 has outlined as part of their concerns. That testimony is uncontroverted.
7
8 So for those reasons as well as the reasons set forth
9 by my colleagues from Gwinnett and Fulton County, we
10 actually -- we also make this much at this time.
11 THE COURT: If -- I'm going to hear from Mr. Brown but before I do, do you have 911 with you?
12
13 MS. BURWELL: Okay.
THE COURT: Everybody -- everybody's only got the --
14
15 okay. I don't have a computer and I'm one of those -- I just have to go read it myself because I had forgotten
16
17 that you could do that. So if 911-41?
18 MS. BURWELL: Yes.
MR. LINDSEY: And Your Honor, since you don't have a
19
20 computer, would you like the Hart decision that I --
THE COURT: I've got it.
21
22 MR. LINDSEY: Okay.
THE COURT: I did some work.
23
24 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
25 THE COURT: I won't say how much. 911? Let me look

1 at -- here it is. Oh, I got one of these somewhere, let
2 me see 41. Let me see. Let me see. What subsection?
MS. BURWELL: Forty-one (b).
3
4 THE COURT: B. Okay. Go ahead, Mr. Brown.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the evidence established
5
6 first a national consensus on the [inaudible] are highly
vulnerable and shouldn't be used. This -- there was no
7
8 contrary evidence as to national consensus, either by
9 governmental agencies or assigned this.
10 So the background is undisputed, and that is that
11 these machines for vulnerable to attack and that they
should not be used. Second, there was a lot of evidence
12
13 about whether Georgia's particular DREs are worse than the
national decrepitude because of the way George has not
14
15 maintained these machines over the last couple of years.
Our position is that Georgia has not done set on --
16
17 that these machines are worse even than the national
18 average, which worse than general and in general -- even
if it were as good as general, they should not be used.
19
20 We believe Georgia's are much worse than even the bad ones
in other states that should not be used. And we had
21
22 substantial evidence that is the case. At all -- since --
since they're defective anyway, I don't want to spend too
23
24 much time on the evidence that they're very, very
25 effective.

1 But these are -- and I want to go through the
2 evidence very quickly. The -- Michael Barnes testified yesterday in response to my questions about the compromise
3
4 of the election server, that they had replaced one partial -- they replaced one piece of their system we established
5
6 today from Mr. Barren that the other components were not replaced and they were not disaffected. And so we believe
7
8 that Georgia's system is much more vulnerable than the
9 systems described by the national campus [ph] -- described
10 by our expert, recounted by our expert.
11 That the unanimous opinion of defense agencies, the scholars and the scientists, that they should not be used
12
13 and that Georgia's is even more vulnerable. And that is important because they're vulnerable that it naturally
14
15 increases the chances of what we're alleging in this election actually happened.
16
17 And then that was also the opinion of our expert or
18 at least un-rebutted yet. The other evidence that we have is the evidence that the results in this case, if you
19
20 compare the electronics and the paper ballot suggest that there's something about the electronic that was causing
21
22 the different vote. If Your Honor -- as the defendants' positions' has evolved, that seems to be where they're
23
24 headed also.
25 There is as -- as the evidence has shown, there is a

1 microscopic chance that the results on paper are different
2 than the results on the voting machines because of voter choice or chance. Instead, two different scenarios have
3
4 been presented to you. One is that it is caused by malware or misprogramming or it's a sham [ph].
5
6 The other, and that evidence was presented by our expert, it was informed by the national consensus that
7
8 something like that was likely and that the particular
9 results and evidence about voters experiences were
10 telltale signs that there is a system problem within --
11 that the -- with the computers that was causing this to happen.
12
13 What is the other evidence? The way that -- that -- that we view the case, Your Honor, is that for this
14
15 freakish result to happen and I believe the evidence is one in 10,000, for that to happen, on the one hand, it
16
17 could have been this thoroughly discredited, highly
18 vulnerable election system did not function properly. That's one option.
19
20 The other option is the one presented -- suggested by Mr. Lindsey in his questions of Mr. Barnes yesterday and
21
22 that is the -- that the voters thought that there was a tick. That the -- that for some reason they looked at the
23
24 screen and they thought, oh, we only need to vote for the
25 Republican ticket and the Democratic ticket.

1 There is, apart from the question by Mr. Lindsey and
2 the answer by Mr. Barnes, there's no evidence supporting that speculation. Your Honor remarked that we're more
3
4 concerned with why people did this. There -- the -- and -- and that was the basis -- one of the basis for not
5
6 allowing some of our testimony.
The -- the here, there is no testimony that any voter
7
8 did not vote for the Lieutenant Governor ticket because
9 they thought it was -- the Lieutenant Governor because
10 they thought it was the ticket. Instead -- and they
11 didn't even have an expert -- they don't even have an expert. They don't even try to get an expert.
12
13 THE COURT: Well, they haven't got that far yet. But the issue -- the issue as we're all --
14
15 MR. BROWN: We're all. So they have -- just the nature of, well, we can throw this idea out. Maybe this
16
17 is an explanation for it. We don't have any evidence.
18 It's not particularly plausible that a voter would do that particularly as many times and it's not based upon any
19
20 evidence whatsoever.
It really is speculation. But the defendants rule
21
22 that the defendants would have Your Honor adopt, is that if you challenge the result of a statewide election in
23
24 Georgia that it's done on electronic machine, is that you
25 have to find some bad code. That is what they would have

1 Your Honor rule. We got to find the code. There's no bad
2 code, there's no misprogramming, then the plaintiff will
lose. But we're not going to let you find that bad code
3
4 ever.
And so the rule that the defendants want, the
5
6 Secretary of State wants would insulate decisions in
Georgia -- would insulate elections on electronic
7
8 equipment in Georgia from any judicial review because
9 you've got approved bad code, but you're not going to be
10 able to look at it to find it.
11 And we believe that the combination of that rule and
the inability to discover it is inconsistent with the
12
13 statute, which was written by the legislature to allow
petitioners to show that -- to allow that them to show,
14
15 and they have the court decide that at the election, so
the fact that you can -- we believe a better reading of
16
17 the law is that once the petitioner has made a prima facie
18 showing of the likelihood that the machines caused the
difference, and here there's -- we have proven that Your
19
20 Honor, we believe to a very high degree.
There -- the evidence is that there's a 99.95 percent
21
22 chance that there was something about the machines that
caused the election results and we believe that in that
23
24 event, that we have survived and that we -- that the
25 election should be overturned [inaudible, paper shuffling]

1 then in additional, that Your Honor exercise your
2 discretion to call another hearing for the code to be -- after the code be evaluated.
3
4 THE COURT: Anything -- any -- any response to that?
MR. LINDSEY: Yes. Very briefly, Your Honor. The
5
6 fact of the matter is that tests were conducted, this is uncontroversial. As a matter of fact, the bi-witnesses
7
8 that the plaintiff chose to put on this stand, tests were
9 conducted that would have revealed if malware was in
10 place.
11 Tests were conducted prior to the election. Most importantly, tests were conducted on the day of the
12
13 election to see whether or not there was any kind of systemic problem with this -- with the voting system.
14
15 And that was great details as to what that costed, in which they had cameras on one individual actually live,
16
17 making sure -- making choices and then they had a parallel
18 system and then seeing whether or not there was any kind of deviation. That testimony is uncontroverted that these
19
20 tests were done and the testimony is also uncontroverted that if a malware had existed it would have come out at
21
22 that point.
It was also uncontroverted testimony today regarding
23
24 the specific inspections that are done on each machine
25 prior to the election to make sure that the machines are

1 operating correctly and that if a voter cast his vote for
2 individual A, it was going to be recorded as A. That testimony is uncontroverted. So we actually do have, here
3
4 in Georgia, tests to make sure that when Edward Lindsey cast a vote for Mr. Brown, that that's going to be
5
6 properly recorded by the Secretary of State, certified correctly.
7
8 There is no controversy to that. There's no --
9 there's no evidence to the contrary that these tests took
10 place or what the results were. And as to the other
11 matters regarding if there was no malware, what was the cause, we have presented -- by the plaintiff through the -
12
13 - with their own expert, with their own witnesses on cross examination have admitted that there were plausible other
14
15 explanations. For that reason, Your Honor -- we believe that this motion be passed.
16
17 THE COURT: Any -- anything else?
18 MR. TYSON: Yeah. Can I just briefly, again, for what Mr. Brown explained, there's no prima facie showing
19
20 here at all as far as that all we can say is that they might be vulnerable, they might be -- there might be a
21
22 problem, there might be an issue. Georgia Supreme Court is clear in Middleton v. Smith, 273 GA. 202 in 2000 which
23
24 you cannot overturn an election on the basis of mere
25 speculation. And that's what we have here. We have no

1 evidence of additional [ph] votes. We have no evidence of
2 an irregularity happening.
3 Mr. Brown has also conveniently chosen to ignore the
4 fact that the ballot design that in 2018 you did not have
5 a U.S. Senate race on the elect- -- on the ballot and
6 that's a deviation from a number of our past Governor's
7 elections.
8 And so when a voter was presented with that
9 electronic machine, they saw the first two races instead
10 of being U.S. Senate and Governor, they were Governor and
11 Lieutenant Governor, which was a change from past years,
12 which is also a very reasonable explanation for the
13 potential country vote.
14 So at the end of the day, the plaintiffs are left
15 with, we think maybe something might have happened and the
16 Georgia Supreme Court is clear that mere speculation
17 cannot be the basis for overturning an election.
18 THE COURT: Anything further from you?
19 MS. BURWELL: Nothing further.
20 THE COURT: Okay. I had -- I guess I'm used to
21 trying stuff to jury. I hadn't realized that I was in
22 this position.
23 And 91141(b) says, after the plaintiff in an action
24 tried by the court without a jury has completed the
25 presentation of his evidence, the defendant without

1 waiving his right to offer evidence in the event the
2 motion is not granted, may move for dismissal on the grounds at upon the facts and the law, the plaintiff has
3
4 shown note right to relief.
Taking everything the plaintiffs have said, your
5
6 attack is on the whole system and I respect that. And there is authority that says that scientific authority
7
8 that says that DRE -- DRE system is terrible and all those
9 kinds of things. I'm very aware of that.
10 But what I'm here on is one race. Just one race.
11 You haven't sued the state to get rid of the system; okay? That's one thing. I wouldn't be in this position if
12
13 that's where we were, but we're not, we're looking at one race.
14
15 And you brought it on 212522 one and three. There was absolutely nothing to support one. And then let me
16
17 look at three. There was no illegal votes received.
18 There's no legal votes rejected and in this race in the evidence. Now, I understand you've got the under-vote
19
20 issue. I'll get to that.
The law is very clear that it's presumed there is a
21
22 legal presumption that the election returns of ballot; okay? There's the presumption in ballot. The plaintiff
23
24 has the burden of showing an irregularity or illegality
25 sufficient to change all place in doubt the result of

1 election; okay? The result of this particular election,
2 not all of them, not the DRE, not any of that. One -- the
one thing. It's a narrow issue.
3
4 It says the setting aside of an election, I'm reading
the law, of an election in which the people have chosen
5
6 their representative is a drastic remedy that should not
be undertaken lightly, but instead should be reserved for
7
8 cases in which a person challenging an election has
9 clearly established a violation of election's procedures
10 and has demonstrated the violation has placed the result
11 of the election in doubt. There is no -- the only thing
you've got is the under -- is the under-vote and the --
12
13 144 votes in Winterville; okay?
If the numbers should have been equal and there is no
14
15 evidence to show of the under-vote, but let's assume
somehow that was an error and there should have been 31
16
17 more thousand votes.
18 And let's assume that the one precinct in Winterville
was 144 votes, should all have been given to Ms. Amico
19
20 and we'll take the 31,000 as all being given to Ms.
Amico. We're still nowhere near -- the numbers do not --
21
22 there is -- it's not even close.
There is absolutely no evidence of the number of
23
24 votes sufficient to overcome. And there is no evidence
25 that this system didn't work during this election.

1 So on that, I'm going to grant the motion to dismiss.

2 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, with respect, the evidence with the --

3

4 THE COURT: Sir, I've ruled. This is one thing you haven't handled well with me because I rule. And now some

5

6 judges, you can keep talking and they change their mind. Old Judge Raven [ph] was that way. As long as you kept

7

8 talking, he would eventually change his mind. I don't do

9 that; okay?

10 MR. BROWN: [inaudible]

11 THE COURT: So let's not. I've ruled, I'm done. I've just got to clean my desk a minute.

12

13 MR. BROWN: We --
THE COURT: This is your book.

14

15 MR. BROWN: Would you like an discussion about the number you cited?

16

17 THE COURT: No, sir.

18 MR. BROWN: Okay.
THE COURT: Nothing.

19

20 MR. TYSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Thank you.

21

22 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor, thank you for your time.

23

24 THE COURT: It's going to take a minute, I have a

25 briefcase; you all just go. I've got to go way back in

1 the other building.

2 MR. LINDSEY: I -- you have a briefcase Your Honor --

3

4 [Whereupon, the hearing was concluded at 10:49 a.m.]

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 CERTIFICATE

2
STATE OF GEORGIA]
3

4] SS.
COUNTY OF DOUGLAS]
5

6
I, PRISCILLA GARCIA, A COURT REPORTER IN THE STATE OF
7

8 GEORGIA, DO HEREBY STATE THAT THE FOREGOING IS A TRUE AND

9 ACCURATE TRANSCRIPT AS TAKEN DOWN BY ME AT THE TIME,

10 PLACE, AND THE DATE HEREINBEFORE SET FORTH.

11 I DO FURTHER STATE THAT I AM NEITHER A RELATIVE NOR
EMPLOYEE NOR ATTORNEY NOR COUNSEL OF ANY OF THE PARTIES TO
12

13 THIS ACTION, AND THAT I AM NEITHER A RELATIVE NOR EMPLOYEE
OF SUCH ATTORNEY OR COUNSEL, AND THAT I AM NOT FINANCIALLY
14

15 INTERESTED IN THIS ACTION.
WITNESS MY HAND IN THE CITY OF DOUGLASVILLE, COUNTY
16

17 OF DOUGLAS, STATE OF GEORGIA, ON THIS 28TH DAY OF JANUARY

18 2019. BY: Priscilla Garcia
19

20 PRISCILLA GARCIA, COURT REPORTER
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF GEORGIA
21

22 COMMISSION NO.: W-00379933
COMMISSION EXPIRES: 08/14/2022
23

24 CERTIFICATION NO.: 5503-2677-8304-9216

25 LICENSE EXPIRES: 04/01/2019

1
2
3 I, Chris Naaden, a transcriber, hereby declare
4 under penalty of perjury that to the best of my
5 ability the above 82 pages contain a full, true and
6 correct transcription of the tape-recording that I
7 received regarding the event listed on the caption on
8 page 1.
9
10 I further declare that I have no interest in the
11 event of the action.
12
13 January 28, 2019
14
15
16 Chris Naaden
17
18
19
20 (Transcript of Trial Proceedings)
21
22
23
24
25

(

(8)(C) 59:6
(b) 71:2

-

--is 54:15

1

1 64:22
10,000 73:15
100,000 65:18
10:16 63:22
10:21 63:22
10:49 82:4
115 39:13 40:4
123,000 67:22
144 80:13, 18
18 7:4 19:8 54:22
180 60:9
19 44:13
1998 68:4, 15
1999 34:1,4

2

20 10:11 36:10 68:4
2000 77:22
2003 34:4
2004 34:6
2005 34:6
2006 34:6
2007 34:9
2013 34:9, 11
2016 20:2, 24 21:2, 11,22 22:6,17
2017 20:24
2018 7:4 10:11 21:24,25 23:11 25:20 31:17 49:4 64:13 78:4
202 77:22
21-2-300 56:13
21-2-383(b) 52:13
2125-22 64:22
2125-22(3) 65:6
212522 79:15
24-7- 58:18
24-7-803 59:6
269 64:15
270 68:15
273 77:22

3

3 64:22
3,108 32:22
30 36:11 47:2
300 56:17, 18
302 56:17
31 80:15
31,000 80:20
339 59:8
346 64:13
370-plus 40:4

4

41 71:2
41(b) 64:8 66:22
475 64:15
491 64:13

5

52 48:6

6

62 15:6
6th 37:24 38:17 49:4

7

7 68:4,15
70 36:9
703 58:20

8

8 60:10,11
80 36:9

9

911 64:6 70:11,25
911-41 70:17
91141(b) 64:17 78:22
99.95 75:20
9:04 7:4

A

a.m. 7:4 63:22 82:4
absolutely 62:2 79:15 80:22
academy 58:11 59:2,24 60:17,18 61:9
access 28:11 29:4 30:10,13, 17,25 47:4,20 55:15
accessed 44:1
accessible 28:15
account 39:20
accuracy 26:10,15 44:17 48:18 55:10
accurate 70:2
accurately 69:22
action 78:22
active-duty 45:18
activities 34:13 35:6,15
actual 45:2, 4,17 53:10 70:2
add 61:11
addition 35:1 69:9
additional 67:8 76:1 78:1
address 8:22 30:8
adjudicate 64:9
adjudication 66:22
administering 19:1
Administration 59:11
admissible 58:15 59:4,20 62:17
admission 58:11
admit 61:18
admitted 59:15 68:18,20 77:13
admittedly 60:15
admonished 48:2
adopt 68:1 74:22
adverse 20:18
advocate 28:6 62:1
afraid 63:10
age 48:4,8
agencies 71:9 72:11
agree 61:10
ahead 13:22 14:2 21:6 33:8 35:13 48:2,10 57:20 62:6 71:4
AJC 32:4,6
alarm 47:20
alarmed 45:9
alerted 57:13
alleging 65:2 72:15
allowance 59:10
allowed 55:15 59:9 60:22 64:9 66:22
allowing 74:6
alluded 48:1,13 60:22
alter 26:20
altered 27:22
amazed 17:2
AME 23:22 24:8,9,20
amendments 11:20
Amico 11:15 15:17 80:18,20
Amico's 11:18 14:13
amount 66:13
ample 69:2
Angeles 10:4 11:4
App 59:9 64:13
appeared 67:1
appears 41:10

52:17 60:9

applications 39:6

apply 8:17

approved 75:9

area 31:24 33:13 54:6

argue 55:24

argued 61:8

arguing 62:8

argument 58:11,15 68:2

arisen 55:20

assigned 40:9,18 71:9

assisting 58:22

assume 80:15,18

assumes 21:6

assuming 16:10 21:4

attack 71:11 79:6

attacks 37:11

attempting 52:17

attorney 10:1

attorneys 68:2

August 38:18

Austin 34:4

authority 35:18 79:6

average 71:18

aware 21:18 24:6,10,22 27:20 28:1 31:15 32:2,11,18 36:15,20 37:6,10 46:17 47:11 50:2,6,8 51:20,22 52:4 61:22 62:11,13 79:9

B

back 11:25 12:1,24 15:13 17:15 22:15 41:13 42:2,9 55:18 56:2 60:24 63:22 65:20 81:25

background 34:2 71:10

bad 71:20 74:25 75:1,2,9

bailiffs 8:2

BALIFF 63:25

ballot 10:18,20, 25 11:15, 22,25 12:2,10 14:10 16:6 28:15 30:8,10, 15,22,25 38:20,24 39:11,13 40:2,6,13, 25 41:6,9 42:2,6,15 57:6 58:2 67:1,6 69:4 72:20 78:4 79:22

ballots 30:11,13 39:24

Barnes 72:2 73:20 74:2

Barren 72:6

Barron 17:20 18:6,11,15 27:11 29:18 33:22,24 48:1 49:11,20 52:4,22 53:4 56:13 57:4 58:6

Barron's 20:6

base 67:2

based 30:6 39:15 66:10 74:18

basic 26:11

basically 34:24 54:4

basis 58:15 67:13 74:4 77:24 78:17

began 38:18

beginning 11:25 12:1 27:2 68:4

begins 60:10

behalf 52:25 64:6 66:15 67:25

Bernhard 28:4

bi-witnesses 76:6

biggest 29:20,22

bit 22:15 34:2

board 16:4 34:13,24 35:17,18, 20 66:20 68:6

boards 35:18

book 34:20, 22 36:20, 22 81:13

book's 30:18

books 29:4

booth 28:18

boundaries 39:18

box 8:2,4 11:11

Boy 8:4

breach 61:2

break 46:15 47:9,10,15

briefcase 81:25 82:2

briefed 52:10

briefing 52:13

briefly 16:2 49:8 58:13 66:20 76:4 77:18

bring 55:18

broken 44:11,24 45:1 46:18

brought 55:20 65:13 79:15

Brown 7:18, 22 8:2,11, 13,15,20, 24 9:2,6, 22,25 10:2 13:2 14:6, 8,20 17:11,15, 18,20,24 18:13 20:17,20, 22 21:4,15 24:17 27:17 28:9,10 29:8,18 31:20 32:2,24 34:8 35:8 42:22 49:18,20 50:10 51:4,13, 17,22,25 52:2,20 53:2 55:4, 6 56:2,6, 8,18 57:17 58:6,9 59:22 61:6,20 62:10,24 63:2,13, 15,20 67:13 70:11 71:4 74:15 77:4,18 78:2 81:2, 10,13,15, 18,22

Bruce 9:25

Bryan 16:2

build 38:22

building 82:1

burden 79:24

Burwell 21:2,6 24:13 29:6,9 33:2,6,9, 11,15,18, 22 35:10, 13 47:22 52:15 56:9,11,22 57:1,2,9 60:6 64:4, 6 66:24 67:20 70:13,18 71:2 78:18

button 41:11

C

cable 45:25 47:10

calibrate 26:11

call 8:25 17:20 19:22 43:13 54:2 76:2

called 9:15 18:8 20:18,20 29:20,22 48:18 54:11 69:17

calls 57:17

cameras 45:10 47:20 76:15

campus 72:9

cancel 40:22,24 41:11,18 42:1,2

canceled 41:11

cancelled 42:18

candidate 11:9 69:20

candidates 38:22 65:20 67:8

capability 27:11

card 10:20 21:25 26:4,18,20 28:11 29:4 30:10,13, 22 31:10, 11 41:15 42:11

cards 21:20 22:2,9,15 23:2 30:17 31:13 44:1,4 45:4 47:6 53:18,20 67:2

care 19:2 49:8 65:4

case 10:1 24:11 52:11 55:20 59:8,9,13 60:2,4 61:2 62:2 63:4 64:10,13, 20 67:22 68:11 71:22 72:18 73:13

cases 64:11 80:8

cast 12:4, 10 42:15, 18 67:22 69:20 77:1,4

category 11:10 17:4

caused 73:4 75:18,22

causing 72:20 73:11

certified 77:6

chain-of-custody 45:11

Chalk 64:13

challenge 74:22

challenging 80:8

chance 73:1, 2 75:22

chances 72:15

change 51:2 64:25 65:9,11,24 78:11 79:25 81:6,8

changed 51:20 52:4

charge 19:1

Chattahoochee 40:13

checks 28:13

ching-ching-ching 63:11

chip 28:13

choice 36:4 69:20 73:2

choices 76:17

choose 66:8

chose 67:10 76:8

chosen 78:2 80:4

Chrysler 59:8

church 23:24 24:20 42:24

circumstances 43:9

cite 59:8 64:13

cited 68:24 81:15

city 39:18

Civic 34:6

claims 52:15

clarified 50:25

clean 81:11

clear 19:17 63:11 64:13 68:8 77:22 78:15 79:20

clerk 33:13

clerk's 33:13

close 64:9 80:22

closed 70:4

closer 33:17

closing 56:22

co-counsel 48:2

coalition 16:17

COBB 7:4

code 30:8, 10,22 34:20 35:22

47:20
50:20,22
51:9,10
52:22
74:25
75:1,2,9
76:2

coding 23:11
25:9,11

colleagues
70:9

combination
75:11

communication
52:18

Company
64:15

compare
72:20

complain
12:15
66:13

complained
14:4

complaint
24:22

complaints
53:24 57:4

complete
60:6,11,13

completed
78:24

component
37:1

components
72:6

compromise
20:11 72:2

compromised
20:2

computation
66:4

computer
27:20
33:17
49:13
70:15,20

computers
73:11

concern
32:13
43:17,25

concerned
74:4

concerns
43:20 70:6

conclude
59:22

concluded
82:4

conduct
48:18
68:22

conducted
76:6,9,11

confusion
69:4

connected
31:4,6
38:6,11

connection
34:13

consensus
59:22
71:6,8
73:6

construe
64:17

contact
43:11
44:25

contemporaneously 24:18

contest
64:22
67:15

continue
63:6

continues
60:11

contractors
19:10

contradicted
69:22

contrary
61:22
62:11
67:18 71:8
77:9

controversy
77:8

conveniently
78:2

copy 20:2
60:6,13

correct 12:9
14:18
15:11,13,
22 16:9
19:13,18,
20,22
21:25
22:4,6
23:2,22
24:1,2
26:11 29:2
30:18 31:2
37:2 38:15
39:10,11
40:15
42:13,20
43:2,4
53:11,15,
18,20
55:11

correctly
69:20
77:1,6

costed 76:15

counsel
55:18,22
61:22

counted 67:2

counties

22:15,20 23:6 51:1

counting 70:4

country 59:25 60:4 78:13

county 7:4 16:2 18:18,20 19:2,22 21:1 22:9 23:11,20, 22 25:20 30:4 31:9 32:22 34:4,8,10, 13 35:18, 24 36:2,8, 10,13,17 37:4,11,20 39:17 43:22 52:10 55:8,11,15 57:4 64:6 66:20 68:2 69:15 70:9

County's 20:22 21:2,20 66:20

couple 48:13 71:15

court 7:8, 11,15,22, 25 8:2,9, 11,13,15, 18,22 9:1 13:4,11, 15,18,20, 24 14:1 15:25 17:11,13, 15 20:9, 13,18 21:10 24:11,15 27:13 28:6 29:11 31:22 33:1,4,8, 10,11,15, 24 35:11, 24 36:11 47:24 48:9 49:6,18 50:2,4,11 51:4,8,15, 18,22 52:1,10, 11,18 53:2 55:6,22,24 56:2,4,6, 11,20,24 57:2,11, 20,22 58:6,22 59:9,17 61:6,18, 20,25 62:15,17, 25 63:8, 15,17,25 64:2,4,8, 11,13,17, 18 65:22 66:24 68:11,13, 15 70:11, 13,20,22, 25 71:4 74:13 75:15 76:4 77:17,22 78:15,18, 20,24 81:4,11, 13,17,18, 20,24

cover 60:8

Crawford 68:4,15

create 41:15

created 21:24 28:18 40:25 42:11 43:13 53:24 54:4,20 68:9

cross 20:18 77:13

CROSS-EXAMINATION 14:22 33:20

crowbar 47:13

Curling 50:11 52:11

cut 47:10

cycle 51:2

D

data 58:20

database 21:2 22:10 23:11,13 26:9 28:24 29:2 53:10 54:10,11

date 26:11

daughter 12:22 14:4 15:20 16:15

dawn 11:11

dawned 11:22

day 7:17 25:6,15 30:18 40:6,8,15 42:20,25 44:11,15 54:4 58:1 69:18 76:11 78:13

days 44:13 47:2
deal 60:15
dealing 19:4
deals 70:2
December 25:22,25 26:2 34:1
decide 75:15
decision 50:11 62:15 68:4 70:20
decisions 75:6
decrepitude 71:13
defective 59:11 71:22
defects 21:18 59:11 62:11
defendant 52:15 64:2 78:25
defendants 74:20,22 75:4
defendants' 72:22
defense 72:11
defined 29:10
degree 75:20
delivered 44:18 45:15,22
deliveries 45:20
demand 63:2, 4,6
Democrat 16:25
Democratic 73:25
demonstrated 80:10
depends 19:11
describe 16:22 26:6 54:1 58:13
describing 53:13
design 67:6 78:4
desk 43:11, 13 54:4, 13,15 81:11
detail 54:2
details 76:15
determine 23:11 24:25 27:8
determiner 64:11
determines 58:22 64:18
deviation 76:18 78:6
device 22:25
Diebold 61:13
differ 40:6
difference 51:10 62:13 66:13 75:18
differently 52:4
difficult 16:22
direct 9:18 13:11 18:11 20:13,20 69:17
director 18:20,24 43:20 52:9
disabled 41:1,2,8, 11,15 42:13
disaffected 72:6
disclosed 58:20
discover 75:11
discovery 55:18
discredited 73:17
discretion 76:2
discussion 81:15
disinfect 21:2,15 22:18,22
disinfected 21:22 22:15
dismiss 64:9 81:1
dismissal 64:6,15 79:2
dispatch 54:8
disproven 67:13
districts 39:15,17, 18,20

document 60:8,10

door 45:25 47:4,20

doors 47:13

doubt 64:25 65:9,24 67:17 79:25 80:11

downtown 61:8

drastic 68:6 80:6

DRE 25:20 28:15 30:25 36:6,13,17 37:15,17, 20 38:1,4, 10,11 42:22 43:22 45:2,4,22 49:22 50:1,15 55:9 56:15 65:2 79:8 80:2

DRE's 41:24

DRE-BASED 35:25

DRES 47:18 50:24 52:8,15,24 61:13 71:13

drive 10:8 17:15

duly 9:15 18:8

Duncan 11:15

duties 39:8

E

E-NET 29:25

earlier 56:15

early 19:11 30:11 38:4 39:4,22,24 40:1,11,20 44:6,13 47:11

echo 66:22

Edward 77:4

effect 27:22 58:25 59:4

effective 71:25

efforts 21:1

elect- 78:4

election 11:15 19:9,18 20:1 21:25 23:11 24:4,18 25:20,22 26:4,9,13, 18 27:22 28:25 30:18 31:17 34:13,20, 24 35:6, 15,17 37:24 38:2,17,18 39:10,15 40:6,8,15 44:15 46:20 48:15 50:20 51:2,6 54:4,22 61:4,17 64:22,24, 25 65:2 66:11,17 67:15 69:15,18 72:4,15 73:18 74:22 75:15,22, 25 76:11, 13,25 77:24 78:17 79:22 80:1,4,8, 11,25

election's 80:9

Electionet 29:25

elections 16:4 18:20,25 19:2 22:4, 6 33:25 34:2,4,15 35:18,20 38:22 43:20 52:9 60:1 66:20 67:13 75:6 78:6

electricity 38:9,13

electronic 10:15 25:13 28:18 72:20 74:24 75:6 78:9

electronics 72:20

elicited 69:10

eligible 28:25

email 14:6

employed 18:17

employees 19:6,10
enables 30:13
end 11:22 12:9 33:15 78:13
Enet 30:4 31:2,8,15 32:4
English 63:11
ensure 69:18
entire 55:25
entity 60:18
equal 80:13
equipment 27:6 36:2, 4 44:8 75:8
erase 27:1
error 80:15
errors 23:13 25:9,11,13
established 71:4 72:4 80:9
evaluate 58:22
evaluated 76:2
event 75:24 79:1
eventually 81:8
everybody's 70:13
everything's 8:6
evidence 21:8 50:9 59:15,18 60:2 61:18,22 62:10,11 64:18 65:10,25 66:1,6,24 67:11,15, 18,20 68:8,17,22 69:10 71:4,8,11, 22,24 72:2,18,25 73:6,9,13, 15 74:2, 17,20 75:20 77:9 78:1,25 79:1,18 80:15,22, 24 81:2
evidentiary 58:11
evolved 72:22
examination 9:18 18:11 25:18 27:8 77:13
examined 21:17 55:13
exception 59:6 60:20
excuse 13:6 56:18
excused 17:13
exercise 76:1
existed 76:20
experience 7:20
experienced 13:1
experiences 73:9
expert 8:18, 20 60:22 61:15 68:18 69:13,25 70:4 72:10,17 73:6 74:11 77:13
expert's 58:24
experts 58:15
explain 39:22 41:2 46:4 48:2, 20
explained 77:18
explanation 74:17 78:11
explanations 77:15
express 28:15,18, 20 29:1 30:18,22 31:1,11,13 41:1,4,13 42:2,9
expressed 28:4
expression 29:4
extent 61:4
external 37:11,18
extraordinary 68:6
extremely 69:11
eye 7:18

F

face 63:13

facie 75:17 77:18

facilities 53:4

fact 31:24 42:17 50:8 60:2 64:8, 11 68:6,10 69:2 75:15 76:6 78:4

facts 21:4,6 58:20 64:18 79:2

fail 67:15

fair 22:20 23:10 48:4

fall 51:2

false 31:25

familiar 11:22 68:18

favorably 64:18

favored 67:20

federal 50:11 51:4 52:11

filed 64:22

find 25:2 34:18 50:20 62:17 65:22 74:25 75:1,2,10

finder 64:11

fine 13:20

finish 13:8, 9,22 14:1 62:6

fire- 45:18

firemen 45:18,20

firm 59:9

first-hand 43:2

fit 60:20

flash 31:11 41:17

follow 32:6 34:15,18 35:2

follow-up 57:10

force 8:11

foremost 52:17

forensic 27:6

forensically 55:11

forgotten 70:15

forms 45:11

formulations 68:20

Forty-one 71:2

forward 12:8

found 25:4 55:25

foundation 20:6,11 21:9 27:11 31:18

fraud 64:24

freakish 73:15

FRIDAY 7:4

full 18:15

full-time 19:6

fully 67:24

Fulton 18:18 19:2 20:22 21:1,2,20 23:11,22 25:20 32:22 34:10,13 35:18,24 36:8,10, 13,17 37:4,11,20 43:22 52:9 55:8,11,15 57:4 64:6 66:20 68:2 69:15 70:9

Fulton's 67:24

function 73:18

G

Ga 59:9 64:13 68:4,15 77:22

gain 24:18

GEM 19:22 37:4,8

GEMS 20:2, 11,22 21:2 22:9 23:11,13 36:25 47:17 53:6,9,10

general 38:17 51:6 71:18

generally 61:13

gentleman 60:20

gentlemen 7:8

George 71:13

Georgetown 34:8

Georgia 7:4 10:6 11:8 13:22 15:2,8 34:20 36:2 50:22 52:22 61:1,13 62:13 64:13,15 71:15 74:24 75:6,8 77:4,22 78:15

Georgia's 71:13,20 72:8,13

get all 38:20,25 39:2,4

give 7:25

giving 55:22 60:22 62:20

goal 61:8

good 7:8,18 13:22 33:18 48:2 71:18

governmental 60:18 71:9

governor 57:6 68:1 69:6 74:8,9 78:10,11

governor's 10:22,24 11:6 16:11 58:2 66:6,9,25 78:6

Governors 69:6

grant 81:1

granted 79:2

great 76:15

greater 54:1

greatly 59:2

grounds 64:8 79:2

groups 16:17

guards 69:18

guess 54:18 78:20

guessing 16:24

Gwinnett 16:2 66:20 68:2 70:9

H

hacking 31:15 32:4 70:4

half 18:22

hand 9:2 65:17 73:15

handled 81:4

handles 46:1

happen 73:11,15

happened 12:25 24:20 25:1 42:22 43:2 69:13 72:15 78:15

happening 47:11 78:2

hard 27:2

Hart 68:4,13 70:20

Hartman 34:6

hate 15:4

head 21:6

headed 72:24

hear 63:18 70:11

heard 28:2,4 68:18

hearing 55:22 63:8 76:2 82:4

hearsay 58:17 59:6 60:24 62:22

helpful 60:2

high 10:13 69:4 75:20

highly 71:6 73:17

Highway 59:10

Hills 40:13

history 69:6

home 12:24 17:15

Honor 7:13,18 8:6,11,24 13:22 17:11,20 20:4,17 21:2,6 24:13 27:10 28:9 29:6 31:18 33:2 35:8,10 47:25 51:13 52:15 55:18 56:2,9,18 57:17 58:9 59:1,13,22 60:6,15,25 61:10,20,22 62:11,15,24

63:2,4,13, 18 64:6 66:18,20 67:25 70:18,24 71:4 72:22 73:13 74:2,22 75:1,20 76:1,4 77:15 81:2,20,22 82:2

hooked 37:15,17

House 39:18

hundreds 54:24

I

idea 48:2 74:15

identifies 46:10

ignore 78:2

illegal 65:8,11 67:22 79:17

illegality 79:24

immediately 25:22

important 67:10 69:13 72:13

importantly 76:11

improperly 44:1

impropriety 65:25 66:15

inability 75:11

inadmissible 58:20

inappropriate 66:6

inaudible 8:6,8 17:6 53:1 62:15 68:6 71:6 75:25 81:10

incidents 24:2

include 52:13

including 67:10 69:2

inconsistent 75:11

increases 19:11 72:15

Independent 16:25

independently 59:4

indication 67:20

individual 68:22 76:15 77:2

individuals 66:8

inference 58:22

infiltrated 36:17

inform 30:4

information 24:13 28:24 30:9,20,24 31:2,4,8 38:20 52:1 65:15,17, 20,22 66:10

informed 73:6

initially 11:17

input 48:22 49:15

inquire 31:25

insert 26:18

inserted 30:17

insertion 26:20

inspect 25:8

inspections 76:24

instance 42:1 46:17

instant 64:20

instruct 62:15

insulate 75:6

interested 16:18

interesting 8:4

internal 26:22 54:13

Internet 37:15

interpret 34:25

interpreted 52:4

interrupt 68:11

interrupting

56:1

investigate 32:9

investigating 32:11

investigation 24:25 32:18 59:11

involuntary 64:6

involve 26:15

involved 16:17 33:25

involving 32:4

irregularities 67:15

irregularity 64:24 78:2 79:24

irrelevant 60:25

issue 8:22 25:4 42:22 43:10 60:4 65:18 68:8,9 74:13 77:22 79:20 80:2

issues 16:18 29:15 36:13,20 37:4 55:20 67:9

J

January 7:4

Jeff 68:1

join 67:24

joins 66:20

jokes 48:11

judge 34:2 38:15 39:11,22 41:2 43:8 44:2 45:2 46:4 47:15 48:15,20 55:20,22 81:6

judges 63:10 81:6

judicial 75:8

jury 8:4 58:20,22 63:2 78:20,24

K

Kaolin 64:15

Kemp 50:11

key 45:13

keypad 45:8

kind 24:25 68:8,9,22 76:13,18

kinds 79:9

knowledge 20:6 22:18 23:6 43:2 51:6 61:11

L

lack 29:2 67:6

ladies 7:8

laid 20:10 21:9 27:11,13

language 29:15

large 11:6 17:6 45:8 66:13

late 7:9

law 8:15 34:15,18, 25 36:2 49:20 50:1 51:6,10,18 56:22 58:13,17, 18 63:4 64:11,20 75:17 79:2,20 80:4

lawsuit 24:15

lawyer 56:25 62:2

lay 56:24

layout 69:4

lays 68:4

leading 20:13 29:9 51:2

learned 24:15 27:6

leave 62:15

Leclerc 23:15

Leclerc's 43:4

led 65:25 66:2 68:22

left 12:22 78:13

legal 65:22 66:17 67:22 79:18,22

legislature 75:13

level 30:4

lieutenant 10:24

16:11 57:6 58:2 66:4, 8,25 68:1 69:6 74:8, 9 78:11

life 27:2

lightly 80:6

likelihood 75:18

Lindsey 7:11,13 8:6,10 13:6,10, 20,22 14:2,25 15:24 20:10 47:24,25 48:6,11,13 49:6 51:4 55:18 57:10,11, 20,22,25 58:4 60:15 61:15 63:18 67:25 68:13,15, 17 70:18, 22,24 73:20 74:1 76:4 77:4 82:2

listen 62:20

listened 43:4

Lithonia 10:6,8,13

live 10:6 26:10 31:6 40:11 76:15

load 26:9

loaded 28:24

local 38:20

located 47:6

location 46:18 53:18

locations 45:17

lock 45:13

log 54:11

logic 26:10, 13 48:18 55:9

long 18:22 33:24 47:1 81:6

looked 14:9, 11 16:6 73:22

Los 10:4 11:4

lose 75:2

lot 69:13 71:11

lots 39:6

M

machine 10:15,20 25:6,9 27:2 31:2 36:17 37:15,17 38:1,10,11 40:20 41:20 42:22 43:17 47:4 48:15 49:1,2 60:4 74:24 76:24 78:9

machines 25:20 26:17 27:22,24 32:22 36:6,13 37:11,20, 22 38:4,6 39:4 42:4 43:22 44:4,6,11 45:4,6,13, 15,22 46:11,15, 22 47:11 49:2,22 50:1,15 53:13 55:9,13,15 56:15 61:13 62:22 65:2,4 71:11,15, 17 73:2 75:18,22 76:25

made 24:22 27:9 46:6 58:15 75:17

maintain 47:1

maintained 30:2 71:15

major 63:11

make 17:4 19:17 22:8,20 26:11 29:2 30:15 31:22,25 35:1 38:20 39:2,4 46:2 48:24 49:15 54:15 56:24 58:10 62:2 63:10 70:10 76:25 77:4

makes 41:17

making 8:6 11:8 22:13

32:1 76:17
MALE 64:1
malfunctions 12:11
malicious 68:9,22
malware 21:17 22:24 68:22 73:4 76:9,20 77:11
mandated 56:15
manually 49:15
margin 65:18 67:22
marked 53:13
match 48:24
material 62:13
mathematical 66:4 68:20
matter 50:8 64:15 68:10 76:6
matters 77:11
means 39:13 41:4
meantime 43:15
measures 47:17
mechanical 25:13
media 19:4 47:4
memory 21:20,25 22:2,9,15 23:2 26:4, 22 27:9,24 31:11 43:25 44:4 53:17,20
mentioned 53:6
mere 77:24 78:15
merits 66:22
metal 46:8 47:13
mic 21:11
Michael 72:2
microscopic 73:1
Middleton 77:22
mind 81:6,8
minds 57:18
minute 7:9, 25 55:4 81:11,24
minutes 63:18
mis-program 22:24
misconduct 64:24
misprogramming 73:4 75:2
missed 16:13
Mm-hmm 56:11
mode 41:1, 6,8,13,15 42:11,13
modes 41:6
moment 32:24
morning 7:8, 18 10:9 12:22 14:6 21:11 29:17 33:4 65:15
motion 64:2, 9 66:20 67:24 77:15 79:2 81:1
move 7:10, 15 64:6 79:2
movement 50:6
multiple 55:20
municipalities 38:22

N

narrow 80:2
NAS 61:6
national 58:11 59:2,10,24 60:17 62:11 71:6,8,13, 17 72:9 73:6
naturally 72:13
nature 74:15
needed 21:9
negligent 68:9
night 14:4
non-jury 64:15
nonliving 64:18
nonprofit 60:20
noon 7:10
note 59:4 60:6 79:4
noticed 12:13,15

November 10:11 23:11 24:18 31:17 37:24 38:17 39:15 49:4 54:22

number 46:9 62:18 67:4,8,22 69:4 78:6 80:22 81:15

numbers 44:8,9,20, 22 80:13, 20

O

oath 9:17 18:9

object 20:4 21:2 27:10 31:18 35:8

objection 20:15 24:13 29:6 51:4 52:15,20, 25 56:6 57:17

objectionable 52:2

objections 55:24

observe 27:11

observed 43:6

occasions 55:20

occur 40:20 67:6

occurred 61:2

occurs 45:17

OCGA 52:13 56:13 59:6

offer 79:1

offhand 50:17

office 19:18 24:6,13,15 43:13 44:25 51:1 57:22

officers 45:18,20

official 51:6 64:25 65:4 66:17 69:15

open 46:15 54:18

opening 56:4

operating 69:18 77:1

operation 25:15

operator 41:13

opinion 27:22 28:2,4 50:2 51:2 58:22,24 59:2 60:22 62:20 72:11,17

opportunity 55:22

opposite 59:11

option 41:13 67:11 73:18,20

order 63:25

orders 52:10

organization 60:20

originally 46:22

originates 22:11

outlined 70:6

outweighs 58:24 59:2

overcome 80:24

overruled 55:24

oversee 35:6,15 54:6

overseeing 19:4 23:20 34:13

overturn 77:24

overturned 75:25

overturning 78:17

owns 36:6

P

packet 27:2

pages 10:25 60:9,10

paper 72:20 73:1 75:25

paragraph 60:11

parallel 76:17

part 28:22 36:1 48:11 70:6

part-time

19:9

partial 72:4

particularities 68:18

party 67:6

pass 49:4

passed 77:15

password-protected 47:18

past 78:6, 11

penalties 35:22

people 19:22 57:18 59:18 74:4 80:4

perceive 62:10

percent 36:9,11 66:4,13 75:20

person 11:11 12:20 14:4 16:20 80:8

personally 24:6 35:20

petitioner 75:17

petitioner's 64:20

petitioners 10:1 65:2 75:13

ph 68:1,13 72:9 73:4 78:1 81:6

phrase 31:22 52:2

phrased 35:11

piece 72:4

place 8:8 33:18 39:25 40:11,18 43:15 44:18 65:9,11,24 67:17 69:24 76:10 77:10 79:25

places 19:2 39:1

plaintiff 64:18 69:2 75:2 76:8 77:11 78:22 79:2,22

plaintiff's 55:18,22 64:10 67:13 68:18,25 69:10,13, 25 70:4

plaintiffs 17:20 55:15 64:22 78:13 79:4

plaintiffs' 69:17

plate 47:13

plausible 74:18 77:13

plenty 16:15

plugged 38:13

podium 33:10,11

podiums 33:13

point 11:13 26:6 49:9 51:9 58:9 59:4,13 60:1 76:22

pointed 63:11

Poletto 64:13

police 45:20

political 39:20

poll 12:17 19:2 23:17 25:4 28:13,15, 18,20 29:1,4 30:18,22 31:1 36:20,22 38:25 39:1 41:1,4,13 42:2,6,9 43:11,15 44:10,22 46:11 53:15 65:17

poller 54:2

polling 19:2 39:1,25 40:11 43:15 44:18 46:18

polls 31:11, 13 65:11

popped 11:4

position 18:18,22 52:9 71:15 78:22 79:11

positions 49:15

positions'

72:22

potential
78:13

potentially
38:13

precinct
24:20
30:11
31:13 40:9
67:2 80:18

precincts
24:1
39:15,20
40:4,10
54:6

prejudicial
58:24 59:4

prepare
38:17

presentation
78:25

presented
73:4,6,20
77:11 78:8

presumed
67:15
79:20

presumption
67:18
79:22

pretty 62:20
63:10

previous
11:24
13:17 22:4

previously
20:15

prima 75:17
77:18

prinicipally
58:17

prior 22:6
23:10
31:15
48:15
53:25
61:11
76:11,25

private
60:20

privileged
52:18

probative
58:22 59:2

problem 7:13
41:20
68:10
73:10
76:13
77:22

problems
15:20

procedures
39:9 80:9

process
22:13
24:11 26:6
29:20 43:8
44:2,13
45:2

processed
39:6

product
59:11

promise 9:2
17:20

proper 20:11

properly
73:18 77:6

proponent
58:20

proven 75:18

provide
64:15

provided
66:10

public 54:17
59:6 60:18
62:18

pull 10:18
40:13

purchased
36:9,10

purely 66:4

pursuant
64:6

pushing
49:13

put 7:22
10:20
28:13 29:1
30:9,24
31:11,13
33:15
41:11
47:13
54:18 55:8
69:24 76:8

puts 28:15

Q

question
8:15
13:13,17
20:13
21:6,11
22:22
27:13
31:22
35:11 50:9
52:2 61:1,
4 74:1

questioning
55:25

questions
13:4,6,11
15:24
17:9,11
19:17
29:11
33:1,2
36:18
47:22,25
49:6 51:11
58:6 72:2
73:20

quick 13:6 68:11
quickly 72:2
quote 52:13
quoted 56:4
quoting 58:18

R

race 10:22, 24 11:6,15 12:2 16:11 57:6 58:1, 2 66:6,9, 25 67:6,11 68:18,20 78:4 79:10,13, 18
races 67:2 78:9
raise 9:2
raised 66:24 68:2
Raven 81:6
read 32:4, 6,15 50:11 56:22 59:18 62:22 68:2,4 70:15
reading 29:11 57:18 59:18,22 75:15 80:4
ready 26:13 38:20 39:4
realized 78:20
reason 22:13 63:4 69:9 73:22 77:15
reasonable 55:22 69:8 78:11
reasons 67:4 69:2 70:8
rebuttal 8:18
rebutted 69:1
recall 20:25 23:15,20 53:6 57:8 59:11
recap 44:20 46:15
receipt 65:11
receive 57:4
received 24:13 65:8 79:17
record 9:22 18:15 21:4 32:20 54:15 55:15 61:20 62:18 63:22 65:22 66:6 67:11,20
recorded 44:8,9,22 46:13 77:2,6
recording 49:15 70:2
records 54:18 59:6
recounted 72:10
recruited 39:1
reference 31:20
referring 56:13 68:11
reflect 21:4,11
refused 7:11
registration 18:20,24 19:4 28:22 29:2,22,25 34:15 35:18,20 39:6
Registrations 16:4 66:20
regular 41:6,13 42:11
reinstalled 20:2
rejected 65:8,22 66:18 67:22 79:18
rejection 65:11
related 32:4 33:2
relating 49:22
relevance 61:18
reliability 43:20
relied 61:15 62:18
relief 79:4
remarked 74:2
remedy 80:6
remember 11:2

remove 22:24
renew 58:11 63:2
renewing 63:4
reopened 25:6
rephrase 50:9
replace 64:25
replaced 20:22 72:4,6
report 24:2, 8 31:20 58:13 59:2,4,10, 22 61:6,13 67:2,4
reported 69:22
reports 31:15,18 32:2 59:6 66:25 69:11
represent 16:2
representative 39:18 80:6
reproduce 31:11
reproduces 31:11
reprogram 26:2
reprogramming 26:15 27:9,22
Republican 16:24 73:25
request 54:20 58:11
requesting 66:22
require 49:11 50:1 52:13 64:17
required 34:15 35:2 47:1 49:22 56:15
requires 50:22 52:22 58:18 64:22
requiring 52:6
reserved 80:6
respect 28:6 44:4 45:2 62:1 79:6 81:2
respond 35:10
response 72:2 76:4
rest 63:15
result 53:24 65:1,13,24 67:13 69:4 73:15 74:22 79:25 80:1,10
results 48:24 61:4 65:9 67:17,18 72:18 73:1,2,9 75:22 77:10
retired 16:13 45:20
returned 46:22
returns 79:22
revealed 76:9
review 23:11 75:8
reviewed 59:15 60:22
Richard 17:20 18:6,11,15 33:22
rid 79:11
roaming 54:6
rolls 19:4
room 38:10, 11
Roswell 40:13,17, 18
rule 8:8, 13,17 34:20,22 51:20 52:4 74:20 75:1,4,11 81:4
ruled 55:22 63:9,13 81:4,11
rules 8:10, 11 34:25 35:1,22 63:10
ruling 51:2
rulings 63:11
run 26:8,10 48:22 49:11,13

63:13

running 11:11 16:20 26:20 69:8

runoff 25:25 26:2 55:2

RUSSO 49:8, 10,18

S

safe 17:15 69:18

safeguards 69:22

safety 44:4 59:11

Sara 23:15

saved 54:9

scenarios 73:2

scholars 72:11

School 10:13

Science 59:24

Science's 59:2

Sciences 58:13 60:17,18

scientific 27:20,22 29:13 79:6

scientists 72:11

Scores 54:24

screen 10:15,18 12:13 14:10,18 15:13 16:22 25:13 40:22 41:9 42:10 49:13 73:24

script 48:22,25 49:11

seal 44:6, 9,11,22,25 46:4,9,10, 13,17,22 47:2,9,10

sealed 44:6, 15 45:4 47:4

seals 44:11, 22 45:22, 24 46:15

seat 9:6 17:24 63:25

seats 7:9

Secretary 19:18 20:1,6 32:18 51:1 69:15 75:6 77:6

section 64:22 65:6

Sections 64:22

secure 53:4, 18 61:2

secured 53:18

securing 60:1

security 7:20,22 45:2 47:17 53:6 60:4

SED 34:20, 22

select 41:13

selects 30:22

senate 39:18 67:6 78:4, 10

send 44:15 54:4

sending 59:18

separately 19:20

sequestration 8:11,13,17

Sequoia 34:6

serial 44:8, 20

server 19:18,22 20:1,22 30:18,20 37:1 47:17 53:6,11 72:4

servers 20:6

set 39:2 70:8 71:15

setting 80:4

sexist 48:4

sham 73:4

sheet 44:20 46:15

shook 21:6

show 42:10 56:13 65:6 75:13 80:15

showing 75:18 77:18 79:24

shown 64:22 72:25 79:4

shuffling 75:25
shut 43:17
sic 22:24
side 62:2 63:18
sides 62:4
sign 40:24 42:4
signs 73:10
simply 62:11 68:2,20
single 66:11
sir 10:22 14:15 15:2,15 16:13,18 20:18 47:24 57:22 81:4,17
skill 27:11
small 11:13 17:2
smaller 16:22
Smith 64:13 77:22
software 20:2 36:13,20 37:4 49:13
sort 25:18 66:1,15
source 31:4
specific 31:20 61:11,17 76:24
specifically 48:15 57:6
speculation 57:18 74:2,20 77:25 78:15
spend 71:22
square 17:2, 6
squares 11:6 16:8 17:4
staff 25:8
stand 8:25 76:8
standalone 30:20
start 59:18
state 9:22 17:20 18:15 20:1 30:2,4 31:11 32:18 34:15,18, 24 35:6,17 36:2,10 38:22 39:18 67:1 69:15,22 75:6 77:6 79:11
state's 19:18 20:6 31:2 51:1
state- 56:15
stated 60:22
statement 13:9 31:22 56:4
statements 31:25 61:11
states 69:6 71:20
statewide 74:22
statute 50:13,15, 18 56:2 75:13
stays 27:2
story 32:15
straight 30:17
stuff 59:18 63:11 78:20
styles 39:13 40:2,6
subject 35:20
subsection 71:2
subsequent 27:22
substantial 71:22
substantially 58:24
sued 79:11
sufficient 64:25 65:9,11, 20,24 67:15 79:25 80:24
suggest 72:20
suggested 73:20
suggests 63:6
summary 12:8
sundry 39:6
supervision 24:25 27:18
support 79:15
supporting 74:2

supposed 34:18 42:4 43:18 60:9 62:2

Supreme 77:22 78:15

survived 75:24

susceptible 70:4

sustain 20:15 52:18

sustained 24:15 53:2 56:6 57:20

sworn 9:17 18:9

system 20:11 28:22 29:20,22, 24 30:1,4 31:2,15 32:4 35:25 37:2,4,8 53:25 61:1 69:18 70:2,4 72:4,8 73:10,18 76:13,18 79:6,8,11 80:25

systemic 76:13

systems 34:6 70:1 72:9

T

table 50:6

taking 19:2 79:4

talked 12:20 15:18 53:4 69:25

talking 27:15 29:15 53:9,10 81:6,8

tampered 46:18

tampering 66:1

tape 48:24

technician 43:13 54:6

technicians 54:6

telling 12:25 52:13

tells 29:4 30:25

telltale 73:10

Temple 24:20

ten 63:17

tendered 58:15

terminology 29:18 30:15

terms 29:10 38:15 40:2 68:8,20 69:4,20,22

Terri 8:25 9:13,20,24 14:22

terrible 79:8

test 37:22 49:4 51:20

tested 37:20 38:2,4 44:6

testified 9:17 18:9 53:17,22 55:8 67:4 69:17 72:2

testify 7:20 69:13

testifying 61:15

testimony 8:20 19:13 20:13 23:15 43:4 48:17 54:1 62:13 68:24 69:11,15, 22 70:1,6 74:6 76:18,20, 22 77:2

testing 26:11,15 48:18 55:17

tests 26:20 55:10 76:6,8,11, 20 77:4,9

Texas 34:4,8

there're 43:11

thing 11:4,6 14:4 30:8 41:10 51:15,22 57:4 66:9 79:11 80:2,11 81:4

things 26:13 32:1 40:20 51:11 79:9

thinking 11:6 17:2

Thomas 8:25 9:13,20, 24,25

14:22 16:2
65:15

Thompkin
68:1

thought 28:8
59:17
73:22,24
74:9,10

thousand
80:17

throw 74:15

tick 73:22

ticket 43:13
53:25 54:4
69:8 73:25
74:8,10

tickets
53:22
54:9,11,20

tie 66:15

time 11:18
14:9 15:1
16:13,15
24:18
26:11
32:25 48:6
63:2 70:10
71:24
81:22

timely 39:6

times 15:6,9
48:13
74:18

today 72:6
76:22

told 12:25
41:24 45:4

Traffic
59:10

trained 39:1

training
19:2

Travis 34:4

treat 20:17

treated
66:22

trial 63:9

trier 64:8

triers 60:2

trouble 50:6

trusted 20:2

truth 9:2,4
17:22

TS 67:4

turn 25:22
31:2

type 20:11
25:13
27:11

Tyson 7:15
16:1,2,4
17:9 20:4
27:10
31:18
47:24
52:25
61:6,10
66:18
77:18
81:20

U

U.S. 78:4,
10

ultimate
35:18

Um-hmm 49:24

un-rebutted
72:18

unable 42:18

unanimous
72:11

unanswered
62:15

uncontroversial 76:6

uncontroverted
70:6
76:18,20,
22 77:2

under-vote
66:1,2,4,
15 67:6
69:2,9
79:18
80:11,15

under-votes
66:11 67:9

understand
21:13
22:18 28:6
29:11
34:22
57:22
59:13
62:22
79:18

understanding
19:18,25
22:22
24:11,20
25:11
26:25
48:17
49:25
51:18
52:22

understood
62:25

undertake
35:15

undertaken
80:6

undisputed
69:15 70:1
71:10

unfair 59:17

uniform 36:2

unique 46:10

unit 27:8
30:20
47:15

urge 59:22

useless 62:22

V

valid 61:4 67:15

verified 44:11

verify 44:22 46:13

Versus 68:15

victory 67:22

view 62:4 73:13

violation 80:9,10

viruses 36:15,22 37:6

vote 10:11, 13,15 12:4,22 14:15 16:11 28:25 30:6 39:25 40:9,13,15 42:18 44:15 48:22 65:13,18 66:8 67:11 69:20 70:2,4 72:22 73:24 74:8 77:1,4 78:13

voted 11:20, 22 12:24 15:1,8,15 48:25

voter 13:22 19:4 25:6 28:11,13, 17,22 29:4,25 30:6,10, 11,13,17, 22 39:6 41:2,11,25 42:15,18 43:10 69:4,20 73:2 74:6, 18 77:1 78:8

voters 40:8 41:8,9 67:10 69:4 70:2 73:9, 22

votes 48:22 49:17 65:8,11,22 66:17 67:22,24 78:1 79:17,18 80:13,17, 18,24

voting 7:20 11:9 12:15 16:18 17:4 19:11 30:13 32:20 34:6 35:25 36:2 38:4 39:4, 22,24 40:1,11,20 44:6,13 45:17 47:11 49:13 61:13 68:24 73:2 76:13

vulnerable 31:15 65:6 71:6,11 72:8,13 73:18 77:20

W

waiving 79:1

Walden 59:8

wall 38:13

wanted 7:10 16:6

warehouse 45:6,8,9, 10 46:13, 22,24 47:18

watcher 23:17

ways 43:11

web 37:18 70:1

webpage 60:17

whatsoever 74:20

Williamson 34:8

Winterville 80:13,18

witnesses 8:18 58:10 67:4 68:25 77:13

woman 15:4 48:4,8 65:13

word 29:13, 15 51:9 68:6

words 29:13

work 34:6 70:22 80:25

worked 34:4, 6

worker 25:4 28:13

30:22 42:8
43:11,15
65:17

workers 19:2
38:25
39:1,2
44:10,22
46:11

working 7:11
52:22

works 39:22

worry 48:10

worse 71:13,
17,18,20

write-in
67:8

writing
11:13

written
75:13

wrong 42:4,6

wrote 14:6

Y

years 18:22
53:20
71:15
78:11

yellow 28:11

yesterday
20:13
23:15
61:15
63:11 72:2
73:20

yesterday's
19:13

younger 48:9

IN THE SUPREME COURT
STATE OF GEORGIA

CASE NO. S19A0769

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE,
RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL AND JEANNE DUFORT

Plaintiffs,

v.

BRAD RAFFENSPERGER, Secretary of State, FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS, GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, and GEOFF DUNCAN

Appellees.

BRIEF OF APPELLANTS

SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
CASE NUMBER 2018CV313418

BRUCE PERRIN BROWN
Georgia Bar No. 064460
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com
BRUCE P. BROWN LAW LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE, Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page

I. STATEMENT OF JURISDICTION 1

II. OVERVIEW OF RULINGS BELOW 1

III. FACTS 2

A. Extreme Undervote in Lieutenant Governor's Race 3

B. The Vulnerable and Unreliable DREs Generally 6

C. Georgia's DREs Even More Unreliable 7

D. External Evidence of Programming Defects 8

E. Presumption of Defect Never Rebutted 9

IV. DECISION BELOW 10

V. ENUMERATION OF ERROR 10

VI. ARGUMENT 11

A. Plaintiffs' Efforts to Obtain Discovery 11

B. Enumeration of Error One and Two: Failure to Allow Reasonable Time for Discovery and Refusal to Grant a Continuance 16

C. Enumeration of Error Three and Four: Refusal to Allow Discovery of Relevant Evidence and Failure to Grant Motion to Compel 20

D. Enumeration of Error Five and Six: Incorrect Factual and Legal Analysis of Election Contest Claim 23

E. Enumeration of Error Seven: Wrongful Striking of Jury Demand ... 28

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

Bowden v. The Medical Center, Inc.,
297 Ga. 285 (2015) 23

Curling v. Kemp,
334 F. Supp. 3d 1303 (N.D. Ga. 2018) 6

Elrod v. McConnell,
170 Ga. 892 (1930) 29

Frank v. Atlanta St. R.R.,
72 Ga. 338 (1884) 29

Fuller v. Thomas,
284 Ga. 397 (2008) 24, 28, 29

McCarty v. Nat'l Life & Acc. Ins. Co.,
107 Ga. App. 178 (1962) 29

Mead v. Sheffield,
278 Ga. 268 (2004) 18, 26, 27

Oppenheimer Fund, Inc. v. Sanders,
437 U.S. 340 (1978) 23

Payne v. Chatman,
267 Ga. 873 (1997) 12, 19

Scoggins v. Collins,
288 Ga. 26 (2010) 29

Stiles v. Earnest,
252 Ga. 260 (1984) 27

Taggart v. Phillips,
242 Ga. 454 (1978) 2, 18

GA004628

Statutes

28 U.S.C. § 1983 1

O.C.G.A. § 5-6-34 1

O.C.G.A. § 9-11-12(b)(6) 30

O.C.G.A. § 9-11-26 (b) 21, 23

O.C.G.A. § 9-11-26(d) 12

O.C.G.A. § 9-11-27 12

O.C.G.A. § 9-11-40 17

O.G.C.G. § 9-11-41(b) 10

O.C.G.A. § 9-11-56 30

O.C.G.A. § 21-2-522(1) 2, 26

O.C.G.A. § 21-2-522 (3) 26

O.C.G.A. §§ 21-2-522(4) 26

O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(b) 17

O.C.G.A. § 21-2-526 (a) 30

O.C.G.A. § 21-2-527(d) 1, 2, 26

O.C.G.A. § 21-2-528 1

O.C.G.A. § 24-14-22 ..... 10, 25

GA004629

BRIEF OF PLAINTIFFS

Appellants Coalition for Good Governance, Rhonda J. Martin, Smythe DuVal and Jeanne Dufort ("Plaintiffs") file this Brief of Appellants.

I. STATEMENT OF JURISDICTION

This is a direct appeal from a final order of the trial court (Grubbs, J.) in an election contest case ("Final Order") (R-14). This Court has exclusive jurisdiction of this appeal pursuant to Article VI, Section 6, Paragraph II of the Georgia Constitution. The Final Order is a "final judgment" under O.C.G.A. § 5-6-34 and an appealable "final determination" under O.C.G.A. § 21-2-528.

II. OVERVIEW OF RULINGS BELOW

On November 23, 2018, Plaintiffs timely filed the Petition below contesting the 2018 election for Lieutenant Governor ("the Contested Election") and alleging that the extreme disparity in the reported voting pattern between votes cast on electronic voting machines and votes cast on paper ballots, the proven vulnerability of the electronic voting machines, and the numerous instances of voting system malfunction combined to render the election "so defective" "as to place in doubt the result" requiring a new election under O.C.G.A. § 21-2-527(d).[1] (R-19). On November 29, 2018, Plaintiffs filed an Emergency Motion for Inspection of Electronic Equipment and Production of Documents ("the Emergency Discovery Motion"). (R-96). On December 5, 2018, the trial court scheduled a hearing on

[1] Plaintiffs also brought claims under 28 U.S.C. § 1983 for violations of due process and equal protection. These claims were dismissed on January 9, 2019, (R-871), and are not a subject of this appeal.

motions to dismiss for January 9, 2019, and the trial of the case for January 17, 2018. (R-171). On December 7, 2018, the trial court circulated an email stating that Petitioner's Emergency Discovery Motion would not be addressed until the January 9, 2018 hearing, but added that if it were necessary to "move the trial date at that time the Court will consider it." (R-1102). After the Motions hearing on January 9, 2019, the Court denied the defendants' motions to dismiss in relevant part, but refused to consider moving the trial date. (T-112-113). Plaintiffs obtained no discovery of the electronic voting equipment, its programming, or documentary reports.

Plaintiffs' filed a timely demand for a jury trial on January 16, 2019. (R-1012). The trial court struck the jury demand on January 17, 2019, the first day of trial. (T-170). On January 18, 2019, after Plaintiffs rested their case, the trial court granted Defendants' Motion for Involuntary Dismissal. (R-14).

III. FACTS

As discussed below, the trial court committed reversible error by not allowing any discovery prior to trial. *See infra* Part VI(A). Even without the evidence that might have been uncovered in discovery, however, Plaintiffs established that the election was so defective "as to place in doubt the result." O.C.G.A. § 21-2-527(d); O.C.G.A. § 21-2-522(1); *see Taggart v. Phillips,* 242 Ga. 454, 455 (1978) ("doubt may be cast on an election by showing improper maintenance of the voting machines resulting in votes being miscast").

A. Extreme Undervote in Lieutenant Governor's Race

In the ballots for the 2018 general election, the race for Lieutenant Governor between Geoff Duncan and Sarah Riggs Amico appeared second after the race for Governor and was followed by the race for Secretary of State and seven other statewide races. Typically, almost everyone who casts a ballot votes for the race at the "top of the ticket," but there is a slight decline in votes cast for down-ballot races. In the four gubernatorial elections before the 2018 election, the race for Lieutenant Governor received an average of 99.2% of the number of votes cast for Governor; the race for Secretary of State received 98.6%; and the other down ballot state-wide races averaged about 98%. (T-256:11).[2]

In this election, however, the Lieutenant Governor's race received far fewer votes than all other state-wide races, including much less prominent races such as Commissioner of Agriculture and School Superintendent, and, in many precincts, fewer votes than far less prominent regional races. Even more alarming, this unprecedented pattern appears only in the results of votes cast on the direct-recording election ("DRE") voting machines; votes on paper ballots conformed to the historical pattern, with the election for Lieutenant Governor receiving only slightly fewer paper-ballot votes than Governor, and slightly more paper-ballot votes than Secretary of State. The following chart compares the voter participation

[2] The parties stipulated to the admissibility of the election results posted on the Secretary of State's website, which is the source of the numbers here and throughout this Brief. (T-113). *See https://results.enr.clarityelections.com/GA/91639/Web02-state.221451/#/.*

rates (as a percentage of votes cast for Governor) of ballots cast in the 2018 election on paper (blue bars); ballots cast on the DREs (black bars); and the average participation rate in the four previous elections (gray bars):



As this chart shows, the magnitude of the undervote for the Lieutenant Governor's race was substantial: if the historical average percentage of voters (99.2%) actually voted on the Lieutenant Governor's race in 2018, then over 127,000 votes for Lieutenant Governor were not counted. Such a massive number of lost votes is itself sufficient to cast doubt on the election,[3] but also is like the

[3] As the trial court found, Geoff Duncan's reported margin of victory over Sarah Riggs Amico was only 123,172. (R-8).

clock that struck thirteen: if the electronic voting system "lost" over 127,000 votes, the accuracy of the tally of the other 3.54 million votes reported in the Lieutenant Governor's race is also in substantial doubt.

The significance of the disparity in the reported voting patterns between electronic and paper ballots cannot be overemphasized. People make the decision to vote or not vote on a particular race for a number of reasons, such as interest in the race, the quality of the candidates, how far "down ballot" the race appears, the presence of third-party candidates, etc. But these factors would be the same whether the person voted on paper or electronic machine. The results of paper balloting also serve as a kind of control: in this election, over 230,000 votes were cast on paper, a sample size large enough to make accurate projections about the likely behavior of the universe of voters as a whole.

If a voter was equally likely to vote in the Lieutenant Governor's race whether they were voting on paper or on the DREs, there is less than one in 10,000 chance that in this election the disparity in participation would have been as large as it was. (T-328:10-329:25). In other words: based solely on the undisputed election results, to a 99.99% certainty, there was something about the electronic machines that caused people's vote in the Lieutenant Governor's race to not be counted, or to not be counted correctly. Defendants did not contest this statistical analysis or present any evidence to the contrary.

B. The Vulnerable and Unreliable DREs Generally

What was it about Georgia's DREs that caused no vote for Lieutenant Governor to be recorded by many voters, or for votes for Lieutenant Governor to not be counted? At trial, all of the evidence pointed to electronic voting system irregularities.

Plaintiffs presented undisputed evidence that, in the run-up to the 2018 general elections, the entire national intelligence and computer science communities were warning the State of Georgia that its DRE voting system was profoundly vulnerable to malicious attack, programming errors, and irregularities. There is a staggering number of government reports,[4] academic literature,[5] and court opinions[6] that reach the identical conclusion: DREs should not be used because they have been "shown over and again to be highly vulnerable to attack."

---

[4] On July 26, 2018, House Intelligence Committee Chairman Devin Nunes joined many federal officials and agencies concerned with national security to call for a ban on electronic voting. *http://thehill.com/hilltv/rising/398949-house-intel-chair-calls-for-ban-on-electronic-voting-systems*. Similarly, in March, 2018, DHS Secretary Nielsen labeled such systems "a national security concern." *https://www.reuters.com/article/us-usa-trump-russia-security/inability-to-audit-u-s-elections-a-national-security-concern-homeland-chief-idUSKBN1GX200*.

[5] Scholars at Princeton's Center for Information Technology Policy analyzed a Diebold AccuVote-TS machine and found it "vulnerable to serious attacks." Feldman, et al., "Security Analysis of the Diebold AccuVote-TS Voting Machine," *Proc. 2007 USENIX/ACCURATE Electronic Voting Technology Workshop, 1* (Aug. 2007); *see also* Candice Hoke, *Judicial Protection of Popular Sovereignty: Redressing Voting Technology*, 62 Cas. W. Res. L. Rev. 997 (2012), *available at*, http://scholarlycommons.law.case.edu/caselrev/vol62/iss4/6.

[6] *Curling v. Kemp*, 334 F. Supp. 3d 1303, 1327 (N.D. Ga. 2018) ("The Court is gravely concerned about the State's pace in responding to the serious vulnerabilities of its voting system – which were raised as early as 2016 – while aging software arrangements, hardware, and other deficiencies were evident still earlier.").

Congressional Task Force of Election Security, January 2018, *Final Report*;[7] *see also* Senate Select Comm. on Intelligence, *Russian Targeting of Election Infrastructure During the 2016 Election* 4, 6 (May 8, 2018) (the states should replace DREs as soon as possible with machines that "[a]t a minimum . . . have a voter-verified paper trail").

DRE machines like the ones used in Georgia "are inherently defective" because they are vulnerable to undetectable malicious attacks and innocent programming mistakes *and* there is no "external source" of the actual vote; there is no "paper trial." (T-315:9, 17-21). Plaintiffs' expert Mr. Bernhard testified: "There is no way that the DREs can provide significant evidence of the outcome of an election." (T-315:1-20). Mr. Bernhard concluded:

> Q: Are you aware of any peer reviewed computer science publication that takes a contrary view to the view that the DREs are defective.
>
> A: No sir. The community is unanimous.

(T-317:4-7). Defendants did not challenge this testimony or introduce any evidence disputing it.

C. Georgia's DREs Even More Unreliable

Defendants did not defend the reliability or accuracy of DREs generally, but instead argued that Georgia's DRE system was no worse than the other, universally condemned, systems. This tactical retreat failed, however, because it is undisputed

[7] *See democrats-homeland.house.gov/sites/democrats.homeland.house.gov/files/documents/TFESReport.pdf* (Feb. 14, 2018), at 24.

that Georgia's system was left exposed to the public internet for months in 2016 and 2017. (T-321:6-20). The background vulnerability of a system like Georgia's DREs, coupled with Georgia's complete lack of security,[8] greatly increased the likelihood that a defect in the November 2018 general election was the cause of the extreme irregularities in the election results. (T-299:13-15, 319:15-321:2).

D. External Evidence of Programming Defects

As explained below, Plaintiffs were not allowed to examine the programming of Georgia's electronic election system, and therefore had no direct evidence of defective programming. Plaintiffs' expert testified, however, that there was other evidence that reflected internal defective programming, including most prominently the aberrant pattern that appears only in the votes recorded on electronic machines, as shown above in the chart on page 4. (T-173-180). This election featured other "telltale" signs of defective programming, such as reports of machines rebooting spontaneously, "raw error codes" appearing in the logs of the machines, the Lieutenant Governor's race not appearing on the electronic ballot, and the machine prematurely casting the electronic ballot. (T-333:1-20).

Eye-witness testimony confirmed electronic voting machine irregularities. A poll-watcher testified about an incident reported by a voter, and confirmed by the precinct manager, in which the DRE machine cast the voter's entire ballot

[8] *See Curling, supra, note* 6; *see also Common Cause Georgia v. Kem*p, 347 F. Supp. 3d 1270, 1295 (N.D. Ga. 2018) ("Plaintiff has shown a substantial likelihood of proving that the Secretary's failure to properly maintain a reliable and secure voter registration system has and will continue to result in the infringement of the rights of the voters to cast their vote and have their votes counted.").

before the voter could vote for Lieutenant Governor. The precinct manager was "very alarmed" because the vote had cast but "the voter's finger was nowhere near the area for he submit ballot." (T-227:22-228:6). A voter testified that the lieutenant governor's race initially did not even appear on the first several pages of the electronic ballot. (T-553:24-554:1).

Plaintiffs' expert also testified about the highly unusual results reported by a precinct in Winterville, Georgia, where on every DRE machine except one the Democratic candidate won every race, and on the other DRE machine a Republican won every race by approximately the same margin. Given the extreme unlikelihood of one machine attracting voters from the same party, Plaintiffs' expert testified, an innocent or malicious programming mistake was a possible cause of the irregularity. (T-331:4-332:15).

To determine the cause of these irregularities, a forensic examination of the software running on the system (resident in the internal memory), as well as an examination of the GEMS database, was required. (T-334:2-8). The trial court, however, did not allow any such discovery. (T-335:11-15).

E. Presumption of Defect Never Rebutted

Defendants had access to the programming, but did not introduce any evidence about the programming — or any other evidence explaining the cause of these irregularities. Under Georgia law, therefore, a rebuttable presumption arose that Plaintiffs met their burden of proving a system defect:

> If a party has evidence in such party's power and within such party's reach by which he or she may repel a claim or charge against him or her but omits to produce it . . . a presumption arises that the charge or claim against such party is well founded; but this presumption may be rebutted.

O.C.G.A. § 24-14-22. Defendants offered no evidence rebutting the presumption of defect which arose by operation of law.

IV. DECISION BELOW

After Plaintiffs rested, the trial court granted Defendants' Motion for Involuntary Dismissal under O.C.G.A. § 9-11-41(b), concluding that Plaintiffs failed to prove a sufficient number of irregular or illegal votes. (R-5).

V. ENUMERATION OF ERROR

1. The trial court erred in not affording Plaintiffs reasonable time for discovery.

2. The trial court erred in denying Plaintiffs' Motion for Continuance.

3. The trial court erred by refusing to allow Plaintiffs to discover relevant evidence of misprogramming of the election system.

4. The trial court erred by denying Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel when Defendants did not permit Plaintiffs to examine the internal memory of the electronic voting machines, as the trial court's order required.

5. The trial court's factual finding that "the most votes that the Plaintiff has shown that could be in any way arguably considered irregular or illegal is approximately 32,000 votes" is clearly erroneous.

GA004639

6. The trial court applied the incorrect legal standards in rejecting Plaintiffs' claim that systemic irregularities in the State of Georgia's electronic voting system rendered the system so defective as to cast doubt on the result of the election.

7. The trial court erred in rejecting Plaintiffs' demand for jury trial.

VI. ARGUMENT

A. Plaintiffs' Efforts to Obtain Discovery

Plaintiffs' first four enumerations of error arise from the trial court's failure to afford Plaintiffs reasonable discovery. The procedural history of the case relating to Plaintiffs' efforts to obtain discovery, and the trial court's response, will therefore be presented here in some detail.

1. November 29, 2018 Emergency Discovery Motion

On November 29, 2018, shortly after filing suit, Plaintiffs' filed the Emergency Discovery Motion requesting the opportunity to examine the "GEMS programming CD," conduct a forensic examination of DREs from 20 select counties, and for the production of associated election documentation. (R-96-108). Given the time-sensitivity of the matter, Plaintiffs requested that the inspection of the DREs proceed the week of December 3, 2018. The Motion states: "This examination must occur immediately because of an imminent trial and because the Supreme Court of Georgia has repeatedly instructed that 'litigants should make

every effort to dispose of election disputes with dispatch.' *Payne v. Chatman,* 267 Ga. 873, 876 (1997)." (R-97).

2. December 4, 2018 Status Report

After the appointment of Senior Superior Court Judge Adele Grubbs on November 30, 2018 (R-119), Plaintiffs' filed a Status Report which reemphasized the urgency of the Emergency Discovery Motion.[9] "Of immediate concern is the need to preserve and inspect the electronic equipment and electronic records that the State used to conduct this election." (R-160). Plaintiffs explained: "Vital to the determination of the causes of these irregularities is an expert forensic examination of the electronic equipment and related records." (R-163).

3. December 5, 2018 Status Conference

At a December 5, 2018 Status Conference, the trial court engaged in a colloquy with counsel about potential hearing and trial dates in January and February, 2019, and then settled on a motions deadline of December 20, 2018, a hearing on the motions on January 9, 2019, and the trial on January 17, 2019. (R-171). The trial court made it very clear that the dates could be moved if necessary:

> I'll do an order on the days, just to protect on the different rules and regulations. We can move them. It doesn't say we cannot move them. And we can move them.

[9] As Plaintiffs noted in the Status Report, the trial court had the express and inherent authority to order the requested inspection and discovery prior to Defendants' answer "in the interests of justice" under O.C.G.A. § 9-11-26(d) or O.C.G.A. § 9-11-27. (R. 164).

(T-16: 21-23). Plaintiffs' counsel notified the trial court again of the pending Emergency Discovery Motion, but the court did not rule on it. (T-8:9-13).

4. December 7, 2018 Email

On December 7, 2018, the trial court sent an email to counsel, stating: "The Plaintiff's Motion for expedited discovery will be heard on January 9th 2019 along with all the other Motions." (R-1102). Crucially, the trial court added: *"If it is deemed necessary to move the trial date at that time the Court will consider it." Id.* (emphasis added). The trial court, therefore, stayed discovery until January 9, but also expressed a willingness to consider a continuance of trial if necessary.

5. The Murray and Gordon County Elections

On December 21, 2018, Plaintiffs restated the urgency of discovery in their Motion for Preservation of Specific Electronic Election Records in Gordon and Murray Counties. (R-246). The motion was necessary because reusing electronic election equipment in subsequent elections alters its internal memory and destroys evidence. (R-260). The Motion was mooted by the elections.

6. January 9, 2019 Motions Hearing

At the hearing on January 9, 2019, the Court, *inter alia,* denied the motions to dismiss the election contest claim filed by Defendants Duncan, the Fulton County Board and the Gwinnett County Board. As to Plaintiffs' Emergency Discovery Motion, the trial court engaged in a colloquy with counsel in an effort to determine the contours of the needed discovery on the electronic voting equipment

and associated evidence. Plaintiffs' counsel explained that Plaintiffs' experts would be "examining the internal memory to see if there are programming flaws in the DRE machines that are causing these problems," which the trial court seemed to acknowledge was reasonable. ("THE COURT: See if they were programmed wrong, okay. Simple language, okay." (T-109:25-110:9)).

Counsel for the Secretary of State asked for clarification from the Court on the scope of discovery (T-110:10-11). The Court did not provide clarification and the parties agreed to attempt to work out the details and submit a proposed order. (T-110:13-18). Plaintiffs' counsel asked that the trial be postponed; the Court rejected the possibility of a continuance. (T-112:19-25).

7. January 9 – 11: Parties Confer, Submit Competing Proposed Orders on Discovery

Over the next two days, the parties attempted without success to reach agreement on the meaning of the Court's instructions from the bench relating to discovery, resulting in the submission of competing proposed orders. (R-1153-60). On January 11, 2018, the trial court entered an Order on Pending Motions that, among other things, did not require Defendants to produce the crucial GEMS database but did appear to require Defendants to permit Plaintiffs to examine the internal memory of the DRE machines from five polling locations. (R-874, 878-79).[10]

[10] What the trial court ordered was not the discovery that Plaintiffs had requested, although there was some overlap. In the Emergency Discovery Motion, Plaintiffs identified suspect DREs from specific polling locations in 20

8. January 11: Trial Court Rejects Suggestions that a Special Master be Appointed and the Case be Continued

Given the impossibility of conducting an examination of electronic voting machines in the three business days before trial, and the technical issues facing the parties and the trial court in connection with forensic and other discovery, counsel for Plaintiffs wrote an email to the court on Friday afternoon, January 11, 2019, stating that counsel would be conferring with Defendants' counsel about the possibility of consenting to a motion for the appointment of a Special Master and the continuance of the trial. (R-1069). In an immediate email response, (R-1071), the trial court stated:

> There will be no continuance or Special Master. This is an election contest only case that must be expedited. If there is an issue as the Plaintiffs conduct discovery I will take them up by e mail or conference call if necessary.

9. January 14 "Examination" Bears No Fruit

The "examination" of the electronic equipment was scheduled to begin on January 14, 2019, with the assemblage by Fulton County of several dozen DRE machines in its English Street warehouse. Plaintiffs' expert appeared for the examination, but Defendants did not allow examination of the actual internal memory of any of the machines. Based on their interpretation of the trial court's

---

counties. Rather than allowing examination of these DREs and their related paper records, the trial court ordered the Defendants to allow Plaintiffs to examine the internal memory of DREs in only three counties in polling places that Plaintiffs had illustratively discussed in the Petition. Two of the counties and polling places that were the subject of the trial court's order were not included in the discovery sought by Plaintiffs. Although this brief focuses on the trial court's failure to allow discovery of programming, Plaintiffs also sought, and were denied, discovery of relevant documentary evidence, such as polling place machine tapes and other election records. (R-96-108).

order, Defendants agreed to produce only the "election archive files," (R-1023-24), an on-screen human readable report (not the programming). According to Plaintiffs' expert, election archive files would be virtually useless in a forensic examination. (R. 993-94).

10. January 15 and 16: Trial Court Denies Motion for Continuation and Motion to Compel

Even without the ongoing discovery dispute, a continuation was necessary for discovery to be meaningful. In the evening on January 14, 2019, Plaintiffs filed a formal Motion for Continuance explaining, again, the impossibility of completing any forensic examination of the electronics prior to trial. The trial court denied the motion on January16, 2019. (R-1037, R-1035). On January 15, 2019, Plaintiffs filed a Motion to Compel. On January 17, the first day of trial, the trial court denied the Motion to Compel from the bench. (T-172:17).

11. January 17: Renewed Motion for Additional Discovery at Trial

On the morning of trial, Plaintiffs moved "for additional discovery under the Civil Practice Act." The trial court stated: "Sir, I've denied all that." (T-172:21).

B. Enumeration of Error One and Two: Failure to Allow Reasonable Time for Discovery and Refusal to Grant a Continuance

In this Part B, Plaintiffs address the first two enumerations of error: failure to allow reasonable time for discovery and the related denial of a continuance.

1. Statutory Requirement of "Reasonable Time for Discovery"

The Civil Practice Act states: "*All* civil cases . . . shall be triable any time after the last day upon which defensive pleadings were required to be filed therein; provided, however, *that the court shall in all cases afford to the parties reasonable time for discovery procedures,* subsequent to the date that defensive pleadings were required to be filed." O.C.G.A. § 9-11-40 (emphasis added). An election contest is a "civil case" governed by O.C.G.A. § 9-11-40. Although the Election Contest Statute alters civil practice in some other ways, it does not curtail the right to discovery.

The "reasonable time for discovery" required by the Civil Practice Act[11] also is necessary to fulfil the objectives of the Election Contest Statute. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(b) grants trial courts "plenary power" "to make, issue, and enforce all necessary orders, rules, processes, and decrees" so that the trial court can gain "a full and proper understanding" of the case. Without reasonable discovery, a "full and proper understanding" of the case is not possible. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(b) goes on to grant the trial court state-wide authority "to compel the production of evidence which may be required at such hearing, in like manner and to the same extent as in other civil cases litigated before such court." This provision confirms the legislature's understanding that election contests are "civil cases" in which a

[11] A "reasonable time" for discovery is not necessarily the standard 6-month period and trial courts have discretion to shorten the period under Rule 5.1 of the Uniform Superior Court Rules. This discretion does not, however, qualify the statutory command to allow a "reasonable time" for discovery.

"reasonable time for discovery" must be afforded.

In its review of election contests, this Court has never strayed from the clear statutory command to allow reasonable discovery. In every case found, the plaintiff in an election contest has been given reasonable discovery, whether that entailed an opportunity to find and count every misspelled absentee ballot, *Mead v. Sheffield,* 278 Ga. 268 (2004), or to investigate fully allegedly mis-calibrated voting machines, *Taggart v. Phillips*, 242 Ga. 454, 455 (1978).

2. The trial court did not afford Plaintiffs a Reasonable Time for Discovery as Required by Law

The trial court did not give Plaintiffs a reasonable time for discovery, or anything close to it. The trial court's order allowing discovery to proceed was entered Friday afternoon, January 11, with trial scheduled to begin the next Thursday, January 17. This left the weekend for the parties to negotiate and execute a protective order (completed on Sunday, January 13), and then three business days of actual discovery, analysis, and preparation of reports. Three days of discovery in a case involving technology of this complexity plainly is not reasonable.

There is no contention that Plaintiffs delayed initiating discovery. To the contrary: Plaintiffs filed its Emergency Discovery Motion before Judge Grubb's appointment, and repeatedly stressed the urgency of discovery. (*E.g.* R-96, 160, 246). The scope of the emergency discovery that Plaintiffs sought also was reasonable: Plaintiffs did not seek depositions, interrogatories, or requests for

admission, and focused exclusively on the single factual question of whether defective programming caused the irregularities. (R-96).

The trial court's sole reason for not allowing Plaintiffs reasonable time for discovery was because "[t]his is an election contest only case that must be expedited." (R-1071). But Plaintiffs had been asking for expedition since November 29, 2018, reminding the trial court, over and over again, that "'litigants should make every effort to dispose of election disputes with dispatch.' *Payne v. Chatman,* 267 Ga. 873, 876 (1997)." (R-97, 164). If the trial court believed that the trial date could not be continued because this "was an election contest only," then it should not have stayed discovery until three days before trial.

Furthermore, if the trial court never had any intention of moving the trial date, it should not have told the parties in the December 5 hearing that "[w]e can move" the calendared trial dates (T-16:22-23) or in the December 7 email that the court would consider continuing the case when the time came. (R-1102). When the time came on January 9, the trial court refused to consider any continuance, stating from the bench: "No, nothing postponed. . . . The rules are – in fact I'm getting some pressure as to why this isn't going through faster than it's been. It needs to be done; it needs to be completed; it needs to be over. I'm not going to move it." (T-112:25-113:5).

There is nothing in the record explaining the source or nature of this "pressure." Whatever the source of this pressure, by denying Plaintiffs a

reasonable time for discovery, which could easily have been achieved by starting discovery earlier or granting a continuance, the trial court erred and the decision below must be reversed.

C. Enumeration of Error Three and Four: Refusal to Allow Discovery of Relevant Evidence and Failure to Grant Motion to Compel

In this Part C, Plaintiffs will address the third and fourth enumerations of error: the trial court's refusal to allow discovery of relevant evidence and the failure to grant Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel.

1. *Background*

Plaintiffs' discovery efforts focused on programming found in two places: the GEMS database, which is a worksheet stored on a CD that the Secretary of State sends to every county, and the internal memory of the DRE voting machines themselves. The trial court did not allow discovery of either the GEMS database or the internal memory of the DREs. [12]

2. *Trial Court Refuses to Allow Examination of GEMS databases*

Plaintiffs sought discovery of the GEMS databases that the Secretary of State prepares and sends to the counties. As Plaintiffs repeatedly explained to the trial court, with the support of expert affidavits, the GEMS database "would be the first, most efficient, and easiest place an expert would look for the cause and to

[12] Plaintiffs have focused in this brief on the examination of the programming on the DREs and in the GEMS database, but in discovery also sought related documents. (R-96-108). The trial court denied all of this discovery. *See also supra* note 9.

GA004649

quantify the impact of the irregularities." (R-1124). The Secretary and the counties could have produced the data within minutes (they are stored on CDs) and the databases could have been examined forensically in a matter of days. The GEMS database contains no proprietary information and any confidential information would be protected by the protective order that the parties had already agreed to execute. (R-907, 1080-81).

In her January 11 Order, the trial court denied Plaintiffs' request for discovery of the GEMS database. Instead, the trial court directed the Defendants to produce certain reports generated by the GEMS database, reports that are already public records under the Open Records Act and were not requested by Plaintiffs. (R-878-79). As Plaintiffs repeatedly explained to the trial court, these unneeded eports were unrelated to Plaintiffs' discovery request and a review of these reports would not reveal any programming flaw in the system. (R-984, 1158). The trial court did not explain its decision to limit discovery to records that are subject to the Open Records Act. What evidence the state is required to produce to the public is unrelated to the scope of discovery under O.C.G.A. § 9-11-26 (b), as discussed below.

3. *Trial Court Refuses to Allow Examination of DRE internal memory*

The procedural history relating to the discovery of the internal memory of the DRE machines is more convoluted, but the result was the same as with the GEMS databases: Plaintiffs were completely denied discovery. In its January 11,

2019 Order on Pending Motions, the trial court stated that Plaintiffs may "examine the internal memory storage of each DRE unit." (R-879). On January 14, 2019, the DRE machines were assembled at Fulton County's warehouse. Instead of allowing Plaintiffs to examine the internal memory of the machines, however, Defendants offered to allow Plaintiffs to examine a report from one file generated by the internal memory called the "election archive." The election archive, however, is a human readable report, not the programming. (R-993-94). Plaintiffs accordingly filed a Motion to Compel on January 16, 2019. (R-979). On the first day of trial, the trial court stated that the Motion to Compel had already been denied (T-172:17), which was not the case, but then denied the Motion (or denied it again) from the bench, stating that the court had not ordered "a forensic investigation." (T-335:11-15). The trial court did not explain *why* it had not ordered "a forensic investigation," but stated clearly that it had not done so. *Id.*

The trial court's January 17 statement from the Bench that no forensic examination had been ordered is directly contrary to the trial court's written order, which states that Plaintiffs were to be allowed to "examine the internal memory storage of each DRE unit." There is no dispute that Defendants did not allow Plaintiffs to examine the internal memory of any DRE machine. The trial court's denial of Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel, therefore, was an abuse of discretion.

More fundamentally, not allowing examination of the internal memory of the DREs (either by wrongfully denying the Motion to Compel or not allowing

such discovery in the first place) was in error because the evidence plainly was relevant to Plaintiffs' claim that the anomalous vote tallies and other malfunctions were caused by programming errors sufficient to place in doubt the election results.

4. *Conclusion: The GEMS databases and DRE Internal Memory were Relevant to the Subject Matter of the Action*

O.C.G.A. § 9-11-26 (b) states that parties may obtain discovery "regarding any matter, not privileged, which is relevant to the subject matter involved in the pending action." In *Bowden v. The Medical Center, Inc.,* 297 Ga. 285, 290-291 (2015), this Court quoted with approval the following interpretation from the United States Supreme Court of the analogous federal rule:

> The key phrase in this definition—"relevant to the subject matter involved in the pending action"—has been construed broadly to encompass any matter that bears on, or that reasonably could lead to other matter that could bear on, any issue that is or may be in the case.

Oppenheimer Fund, Inc. v. Sanders, 437 U.S. 340 (1978) (footnote and citations omitted). Under a narrow definition of relevance or discoverability, and clearly under the broad interpretation mandated by this Court in *Bowden,* Plaintiffs were entitled to discovery of the GEMS databases, related reports, and the internal memory of the DRE machines. The trial court's failure to allow such discovery constituted reversible error.

D. Enumeration of Error Five and Six: Incorrect Factual and Legal Analysis of Election Contest Claim

Enumeration of error five and six concern errors of fact and law in the trial court's Final Order.

The trial court's reasoning is not clear. Quoting the *Fuller* case, the trial court held: "'the number of illegal or irregular ballots necessary to cast doubt on an election is derived by taking the difference between the total votes cast in the election and the race at issue, and adding the margin of victory in the race at issue.'" (R-1092). The trial court did not explain why this formula applies to this case or why the Plaintiffs' burden of proof should increase with the number of undervotes.[13] The trial court, however, did not actually make this calculation; instead, it appears from other language in the Final that the trial court intended to use the reported margin of victory (123,172) as the threshold for establishing sufficient doubt to warrant a new election. (R-7-8). The trial court found as a matter of fact that "the most votes that the Plaintiff has shown that could be in any way arguably considered irregular or illegal is approximately 32,000," and, based on this (incorrect) finding, dismissed the case. (R-9).

The trial court's decision is riddled with factual and legal mistakes, requiring reversal.

1. Failure to Gain Understanding of the Case

The trial court's decision reflects the failure of the trial court to gain "a full and proper understanding" of the case, as the law requires. *See* O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(b). In the ruling, the trial court did not address the most important fact of the

[13] For example, if the DREs had reported *zero* votes for Ms. Amico, it would seem that the election result would be in doubt without any further evidence. If the trial court's formula were applied to this hypothetical, however, the petitioner would have the impossible task of proving 3,939,320 illegal or irregular ballots. (In this hypothetical, the undervote would be 1,987,590, and Mr. Duncan's margin of victory would be 1,951,730).

case: the unexplained difference between voting participation on electronic machines and on paper. The trial court also did not address the undisputed evidence that the existing vulnerability of the compromised DRE voting system increased the risk of malicious attack or programming mistakes. The trial court also did not address the law, repeatedly cited by Plaintiffs, that, given that Defendants had in their possession evidence that could have repelled Plaintiffs' claim of system defect, Plaintiffs were entitled to a presumption under O.C.G.A. § 24-14-22 that the DRE machines were defective.

2. *Clear Error of Fact*

In ruling from the bench, the trial court explained that the 32,000 figure is the sum of several dozen individual instances of irregularities and the "undervote," which the trial court calculated to be 31,000. (T-623:14-16). The trial court's finding that the undervote was 31,000 is clearly erroneous. The undisputed evidence from the Secretary of State's records shows that the undervote was 159,124; Plaintiffs showed that the undervote *should have been* only 31,515, which is .8% of the vote on the Governor's race. (T-257:1-4).[14] The difference between the actual reported undervote and the historical undervote – the number the trial court should have used – is 127,504, which is *more* than Mr. Duncan's reported margin of victory over Ms. Amico. Thus, applying the trial court's rationale to the

[14] Because this was such an obvious mistake, immediately after the trial court's ruling, Plaintiffs' counsel three times attempted to bring this error to the court's attention, but the trial court would not allow counsel to explain. (T-624:1-23).

stipulated numbers, Plaintiffs proved that the defect in the system was sufficient to place in doubt the result.

3. *Fundamentally Incorrect Legal Analysis*

The trial court's holding is inconsistent with Georgia law for additional, more fundamental, reasons. The Election Contest Statute does not require the petitioner to prove that but for the irregularity or illegal votes the result would have been different. To the contrary: in the section setting for the "grounds" for an election contest, the statute requires a new election if the petitioner shows that the irregularity or illegal votes would "change" the result *or "place in doubt the result."* O.C.G.A. §§ 21-2-522(1) and (3) (emphasis added). And, in the section governing when a new election is required, the Election Contest Statute states:

> *Whenever* the court trying a contest shall determine that the primary, election, or runoff is so defective as to the nomination, office, or eligibility in contest *so as to place in doubt the result of the entire primary, election, or runoff* . . . such court shall declare the primary, election, or runoff to be invalid . . . and shall call of a second primary, election, or runoff to be conducted. . . .

O.C.G.A. §§ 21-2-527(d) (emphasis added). The qualitative language in these provisions should be contrasted with the provision governing contests based on an error in "counting the votes," which requires proof the error would have changed the result; placing in doubt the result is insufficient. O.C.G.A. §§ 21-2-522(4).

This Court does not require a petitioner to prove that the result would have been different but for the alleged irregularity or illegal voting. In *Mead,* this Court

ordered a new election even thought there was no evidence that the misnomer caused electors to not vote on the race in question or vote for the wrong candidate. In *Stiles v. Earnest*, 252 Ga. 260, 261 (1984), there was no proof of the impact of the irregularities upon the vote tally. Still, the Court ordered a new election: "upon review of the record, we conclude that the illegality attendant upon the referendum is such as is 'sufficient to change or place in doubt the result' thereof."

Mead and *Stiles* teach that determining whether an irregularity places an election result in doubt cannot be achieved by the rote application of a formula devised for an entirely different kind of election contest, but instead must be tailored to the facts of the case based upon the nature of the irregularity and technology involved.

Without doubt, a petitioner must establish that the irregularity was material; elections are too important to allow an immaterial irregularity to overturn an otherwise proper election. In this case, however, Plaintiffs established to a near metaphysical certainty that these profoundly vulnerable machines caused thousands of voters using electronic machines to either not vote for Lieutenant Governor or for those votes to not be counted. A programming defect that could cause such wide-spread errors easily is material enough to place the election result in doubt.

Application of the "place in doubt" standard here must also take into account the undisputed fact that Georgia's election results cannot be audited. If Georgia

used an auditable election system (for example, with paper ballots and computerized scanners and tabulators), then the impact of a programming defect in the scanners or tabulators could be proven simply by recounting the paper ballots by hand. By choosing an election system that cannot be audited, Defendants have eliminated any ability to *remove* the doubt that is cast on election results when anomalies such as those proven in this case appear.

For these reasons, the trial court erred in finding that the Plaintiffs' failed to establish that the election was so defective as to place the result in doubt.

E. Enumeration of Error Seven: Wrongful Striking of Jury Demand

Plaintiffs filed a timely demand for a jury trial on January 16, 2019, a day before the "call of the case" on January 17, 2019. (R-1012). The trial court denied the jury demand from the bench on January 17, 2019, stating only that the demand "does not comport with the statute." (T-170:4-6). The Final Order dismissing the case addressed the jury demand as follows: "Plaintiffs having made Motions for Jury trial, see Fuller v. Thomas, 284 Ga. 397 (2008), ... and the Court having denied the same. . . ." (R-1089).

O.C.G.A. § 21-2-526 provides:

> (a) All issues of a contest shall be fully tried and determined by the court without the aid and intervention of a jury, unless a litigant to the contest shall demand a trial by jury at any time prior to the call of the case; and the court shall determine that it is an issue which under other laws of this state the litigant is entitled to have tried by a jury. Upon such determination, a jury shall be impaneled and the cause shall proceed according to the practice and procedure of the court in jury cases.

Given that the jury demand was timely made, the only possible basis for denying the jury trial is that there were no issues "which under other laws of this state the litigant is entitled to have tried by a jury." *Id.* In Georgia, the rule has always been: "facts for the jury, law for the judge." *Frank v. Atlanta St. R.R.,* 72 Ga. 338, 344 (1884). This case raised numerous factual issues, such was whether there were defects in the programming or the machines, and the impact of those defects. These factual issues were for the jury to decide. As Chief Justice Richard B. Russell stated in *Elrod v. McConnell*, 170 Ga. 892 (1930): "Although there may be no conflict in the evidence, the matter should be left to the jury, where reasonable men might differ as to the inferences to be drawn from certain evidence." *See McCarty v. Nat'l Life & Acc. Ins. Co.,* 107 Ga. App. 178, 179 (1962) ("where more than one inference can be drawn from the evidence, the duty of solving the mystery should be placed upon the jury and not the trial judge").

In striking the jury demand, the trial court cited *Fuller v. Thomas,* 284 Ga. 397 (2008). *Fuller* stands for the unremarkable proposition that if the allegations, taken as true, are insufficient to put the results of an election in doubt, a jury trial is not required. 284 Ga. at 589.[15] In sharp contrast to this Court's analysis in *Fuller,* in this case the trial court did not assume that Plaintiffs' allegations were true

[15] In *Fuller,* this Court was careful to state that relief was not warranted even "[a]ssuming, arguendo," the allegations to be true, and for that reason, a jury trial was unnecessary. Similarly, in *Scoggins v. Collins*, 288 Ga. 26, 28–29 (2010), the plaintiffs had failed to make any showing placing the result in doubt. Therefore, "the trial court did not err by granting the motion for directed verdict" and denying plaintiffs' motion for a jury trial.

under O.C.G.A. § 9-11-12(b)(6) or construe the evidence in a light most favorable to Plaintiffs under O.C.G.A. § 9-11-56. Instead, the trial court sat as the trier of fact, heard testimony, weighed the evidence "straight up" under O.C.G.A. § 9-11-41, and found, as a matter of fact, that Plaintiffs' evidence fell short of proving sufficient voting irregularities. This fact-bound resolution of the case is facially and fatally inconsistent with the trial court's denial of a jury trial.

Plaintiffs were "entitled to have tried by a jury," O.C.G.A. § 21-2-526 (a), and the trial court erred in striking the jury demand.

For the foregoing reasons, the decision below should be reversed.

Respectfully submitted this 7th day of March, 2019.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Georgia Bar No. 064460
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com
BRUCE P. BROWN LAW LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE
Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700

Counsel for Appellants

GA004659

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that I have this day served a copy of the foregoing Brief of Appellants upon all counsel of record by email addressed to:

Kaye Woodard Burwell
Office of the Fulton County Attorney
141 Pryor Street, NW
Suite 4038
Atlanta, Georgia 30303
Kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov

Counsel for Appellee Fulton County Board of Registrations and Election

Bryan P. Tyson
Strickland Brockingham Lewis LLC
Midtown Proscenium Suite 2200
1170 Peachtree St. NE
Atlanta, Georgia 30309
bpt@sbllaw.net

Counsel for Appellee Gwinnett County Board of Registrations and Elections

Josh Belinfante
Robbins Ross Alloy Belinfante Littlefield LLC
500 Fourteenth St. NW
Atlanta, Georgia 30318
Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com

Counsel for Appellee Secretary of State

Edward H. Lindsey, Jr.
Dentons
303 Peachtree St. NE
Suite 5300
Atlanta, Georgia 30308
edward.lindsey@dentons.com

Counsel for Appellee Geoff Duncan

This 7th day of March, 2019.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Counsel for Appellants

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDLS
Date: 3/8/2019 4:30 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

TO: All Judges, Clerks of Court and Counsel of Record

FROM: Cheryl M. Ringer, Senior County Attorney
Office of the County Attorney

DATE: March 8, 2019

2018CV313418

NOTICE OF LEAVE OF ABSENCE

COMES NOW, Cheryl M. Ringer and respectfully notifies all judges before whom she has cases pending, all affected clerks of court, and all opposing counsel, that she will be on leave pursuant to Georgia Uniform Court Rule 16.

1. The period of leave during which the Applicant will be away from the practice of law is **April 8, 2019 through April 12, 2019** and **May 27, 2019 through May 31, 2019**.
2. This leave is requested for the purpose of vacation.
3. All affected judges and opposing counsel shall have ten (10) days from the date of this Notice to object to it. If no objections are filed, the leave shall be granted.
4. Attached as Exhibit A are actions to be protected.

Respectfully submitted this 8th day of March, 2019.

/s/ Cheryl M. Ringer
Cheryl M. Ringer
Georgia Bar No. 557420
Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov

OFFICE OF THE COUNTY ATTORNEY
141 Pryor Street S.W., Suite 4038
Atlanta, Georgia 30303
(404) 612-0246 (office)
(404) 730-6324 (facsimile)

EXHIBIT A

CLERKS OF COURT

Cathelene "Tina" Robinson
Clerk of Superior- Court of Fulton County
136 Pryor Street S.W., 1st Floor
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

CASE LIST

Adam Rice and Devika Kumar et al. v. Fulton County et al. 2018CV305307	Judge Constance Russell Superior Court 185 Central Avenue, S.W. Atlanta, Georgia 30303	Mitchell Graham, Esquire G. Roger Land & Associates One North Pkwy. Square, Suite 200 4200 Northside Parkway Atlanta, Georgia 30327
Felicia Moore; et al. v. Fulton County, Georgia 2018CV305778	Judge Kimberly Esmond Adams Superior Court 185 Central Avenue, S.W. Atlanta, Georgia 30303	Ranse M. Martin, Esquire 4200 Northside Parkway, N.W. Building One, Suite 300 Atlanta, Georgia 30327
Albert Love; et al. v. Fulton County - (Mercedes Benz lawsuit) 2017CV296655	Chief Judge Robert C.I. McBurney Superior Court 185 Central Avenue, S.W. Atlanta, Georgia 30303	Wayne B. Kendall, Esquire 155 Bradford Square Suite B Fayetteville, Georgia 30215
Sharon Mitchell v. Fulton County; et al. 2014CV248605	Judge Shukura Millender Superior Court 185 Central Avenue, S.W. Atlanta, Georgia 30303	Marvin A. Devlin, Esquire Marvin A. Devlin, P.C. 6595-G Roswell Road Suite 765 Atlanta, Georgia 30328
Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Robyn Crittenden; et al. 2018CV313418	Judge Adele P. Grubbs Superior Court 185 Central Avenue, S.W. Atlanta, Georgia 30303	Bruce Brown, Esquire 1123 Zonolite Road Suite 6 Atlanta, Georgia 3006 R. David Ware, Esquire Bennett D. Bryan, Esquire Dekalb County Law Department 1300 Commerce Drive, 5th Floor Decatur, Georgia 30030 Josh Belinfante, Esquire Cristina Correia, Esquire Office of the Attorney General

		Georgia Department of Law 40 Capitol Square, S.W. Atlanta, Georgia 30334
Fulton County, Georgia, et. al v. Lynnette Riley **2018CV308676**	Judge Alan C. Harvey Magistrate Court of DeKalb County 556 N. McDonough Street, DeKalb County Courthouse Decatur, GA 30030-3356	Christopher M. Carr, Esquire W. Wright Banks, Jr. , Esquire Alex F. Sponseller, Esquire Mackenzie J. Emerson Georgia State Law Department 40 Capitol Square, S.W. Atlanta, Georgia 30334-1300
GP 245 North Highland v. FCBTA **2019-CV-315721**	Judge Craig Schwall 185 Central Avenue, S.W. Atlanta, Georgia 30303 Fulton County Superior Court	Mark A. Thompson, Esquire 4675 North Shallowford Road Suite 200 Atlanta, Georgia 30338
Development Authority of Fulton County v. FCBTA **2018-CV-300472**	Judge Constance Russell 185 Central Avenue, S.W. Atlanta, Georgia 30303 Fulton County Superior Court	Lisa F. Stuckey, Esquire Herbert H. Gray, III, Esquire Ragsdale, Beals Seigler, Patterson, & Gray, LLP 2400 International Tower Peachtree Center 229 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, Georgia 30303-1629
David Schofield v. FCBTA **2018-CV-302709**	Judge Shawn Ellen LaGrua 185 Central Avenue, S.W. Atlanta, Georgia 30303 Fulton County Superior Court	David Schofield 3906 Paces Ferry Road, N.W. Atlanta, GA 30327
Penguin Properties, LLC v. FCBTA **2018-CV-313852**	Judge Todd Markle 185 Central Avenue, S.W. Atlanta, Georgia 30303 Fulton County Superior Court	Seth Lynn Penguin Properties, LLC 3651 Peachtree Parkway Suite E-393 Suwanee, Georgia 30024
Development Authority of Fulton County v. FCBTA **2018-CV-313128**	Judge Tom Campbell 185 Central Avenue, S.W. Atlanta, Georgia 30303 Fulton County Superior Court	Lisa F. Stuckey, Esquire Herbert H. Gray, III, Esquire Ragsdale, Beals Seigler, Patterson, & Gray, LLP 2400 International Tower Peachtree Center 229 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, Georgia 30303-1629
Depression Fund, LLC v. FCBTA **2018CV313845**	Judge Jane Barwick 185 Central Avenue, S.W. Atlanta, Georgia 30303 Fulton County Superior Court	John F. Woodham, Esquire Woodham Law, LLC 2625 Piedmont Road, Suite 56-295

		Atlanta, Georgia 30324
Harry L. Griffin v. FCBTA 2018CV311828	Judge Kimberly Esmond Adams 185 Central Avenue, S.W. Atlanta, Georgia 30303 Fulton County Superior Court	Harry L. Griffin 9154 Selborne Lane Chattahoochee Hills, Georgia 30268
Timothy Thompson v. FCBTA 2018CV313849	Judge Eric Dunaway 185 Central Avenue, S.W. Atlanta, Georgia 30303 Fulton County Superior Court	Timothy Thompson 4650 Peachtree Dunwoody Drive Sandy Springs, Georgia 30342
Covenant House, Georgia v. FCBTA 2018CV311270	Judge Constance Russell 185 Central Avenue, S.W. Atlanta, Georgia 30303 Fulton County Superior Court	Joe P. Reynolds, Esquire Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton, LLP 1100 Peachtree Street, Suite 2800 Atlanta, Georgia 30309-4530
Georgia Developments, LLC v. FCBTA 2018CV306851	Judge Gail Tusan 185 Central Avenue, S.W. Atlanta, Georgia 30303 Fulton County Superior Court	John F. Woodham, Esquire Woodham Law, LLC 2625 Piedmont Road, Suite 56-295 Atlanta, Georgia 30324
Joan S. Perlow v. FCBTA 2019CV315094	Judge Emily Richardson 185 Central Avenue, S.W. Atlanta, Georgia 30303 Fulton County Superior Court	Joan S. Perlow 7490 Wildercliff Drive Sandy Springs, Georgia 30328-1144
David Mc Kool v. FCBTA 2018CV302710	Judge Henry Newkirk 185 Central Avenue, S.W. Atlanta, Georgia 30303 Fulton County Superior Court	David McKool 3906 Paces Ferry Road, N.W. Atlanta, Georgia 30327

I certify that I have this day electronically filed this **NOTICE OF LEAVE OF ABSENCE** using the Odyssey e-File GA system, which automatically sends email notification of such filing to all attorneys of record. I further certify that I have this day served a copy of same via United States Mail, with proper postage affixed, and properly addressed to:

Respectfully submitted this 8th day of March, 2019.

/s/ Cheryl M. Ringer
Cheryl M. Ringer
Georgia Bar No. 557420
Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov

OFFICE OF THE COUNTY ATTORNEY
141 Pryor Street S.W., Suite 4038
Atlanta, Georgia 30303
(404) 612-0246 (Office)
(404) 730-6324 (Facsimile)
Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov

GA004665

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDMH
Date: 1/30/2019 3:55 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV313418**

AMENDED NOTICE OF APPEAL

On January 24, 2019, Plaintiffs gave timely notice of appeal ("the Notice of Appeal") of Plaintiffs' appeal to the Supreme Court of Georgia from the Superior Court of Fulton County's January 18, 2019 Order Dismissing Plaintiffs' Petition to Contest Election Result, a copy of which was attached to the Notice of Appeal, and another copy of which is attached to this Amended Notice of Appeal.

Pursuant to notice from the Office of the Clerk of the Superior Court of Fulton County dated January 24, 2019, received on January 28, 2019, Plaintiffs file this Amended Notice of Appeal.

The Notice of Appeal was filed on January 24, 2019, within thirty days of the January 18, 2019 Order of the Superior Court being appealed. *See* O.C.G.A. § 5-6-38(a).

The clerk will please omit nothing from the record on appeal, and please note:

1. Please transmit the transcript of the following hearings in this case: (a) Hearing held on December 5, 2018; (b) Hearing held on January 9, 2019; and (c) Hearing held on January 17 and January 18, 2019.
2. Please also include in the record Plaintiffs' January 23, 2019 Motion to Supplement the Record, as well as the trial court's January 30, 2019 Order Granting said Motion to Supplement the Record.

The Supreme Court, rather than the Court of Appeals, has jurisdiction of this case on appeal for the reason that Article 6, Section 6, Paragraph II of the Georgia Constitution states that the "Supreme Court shall be a court of review and shall exercise exclusive appellate jurisdiction" over "[a]ll cases of election contest."

DATED: January 30, 2019.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Georgia Bar No. 064460
BRUCE P. BROWN LAW LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE, Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700
Attorney for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing via the Court's e-filing system, and separately via direct email, to the following counsel of record for defendants/appellees:

Kaye Woodard Burwell
Office of the Fulton County Attorney
141 Pryor Street, NW
Suite 4038
Atlanta, Georgia 30303
Kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov

Counsel for Defendant Fulton County Board of Registrations and Elections

Bryan P. Tyson
Strickland Brockingham Lewis LLC
Midtown Proscenium Suite 2200
1170 Peachtree St. NE
Atlanta, Georgia 30309
bpt@sbllaw.net

Counsel for Defendant Gwinnett County Board of Registrations and Elections

Josh Belinfante
Robbins Ross Alloy Belinfante Littlefield LLC
500 Fourteenth St. NW
Atlanta, Georgia 30318
Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com

Counsel for Defendant Secretary of State

Edward H. Lindsey, Jr.
Dentons
303 Peachtree St. NE
Suite 5300
Atlanta, Georgia 30308
edward.lindsey@dentons.com

Counsel for Defendant Geoff Duncan

This 30th day of January, 2019.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Georgia Bar No. 064460
BRUCE P. BROWN LAW LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE, Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700

Attorney for Plaintiffs

EXHIBIT A

GA004669

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

Rhonda Martin, Smythe Duval, Jeanne Dufort	*	
	*	CIVIL ACTION
Plaintiffs,	*	FILE NO.: 2018CV313418
vs.	*	
	*	
Geoff Duncan.	*	
Fulton County Board of Registration	*	
and Elections,	*	
Gwinnett county Board of Registration	*	
And Elections	*	
	*	
Defendants.	*	
	*	

ORDER DISMISSING PLAINTIFFS' PETITION

The above and forgoing case having come on regularly to be heard with all parties represented by counsel and,

Plaintiffs having made Motions for Jury trial, see Fuller v. Thomas 284 Ga. 397 (2008), Continuance and to Compel Discovery and the Court having denied the same, and,

Plaintiffs having presented evidence and rested their case, and,

The Defendants having made a Motion for Involuntary Dismissal Pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 9-11-41(b),

It is hereby ordered as follows:

I

1.

O.C.G.A. § 9-11-41(b) states in material part –

"After the plaintiff, in an action tried by the court without a jury, has completed the presentation of his evidence, the defendant, without waiving his right to offer evidence in the event the motion is not granted, may move for dismissal on the ground that upon the fasts and the law the plaintiff has shown no right to relief."

2.

In this case the Plaintiffs contest the result of the election for Lieutenant Governor held November 6th, 2018, and request that the result be set aside and that a new election be held on paper ballots.

3.

The Plaintiffs have not shown any evidence that illegal votes have been received or legal votes rejected at the polls sufficient to change or place in doubt the result in the race for Lieutenant Governor held on November 6, 2018. O.C.G.A. §21-2-522.

4.

The Plaintiffs did presented evidence that the DRE system of voting used in Georgia has many problems and irregularities and is regarded as an outdated and inaccurate system of conducting a vote.

5.

In the particular race for Lieutenant Governor at issue here, the Plaintiffs showed that there were five instances of problems with voting at two precincts, that, of the 8 voting machines at the Winterville precinct in Clark County Georgia, 7 went decidedly Democratic and 1 went decidedly Republican and that there was a 4.5% undervote in the Lieutenant Governor race. There was no evidence of misconduct, fraud, or irregularity by any primary or election official or officials.

6.

"It is presumed that election results are valid, and the party contesting the Election has the burden of showing an irregularity or illegality sufficient to change place in doubt the result of the election" Hunt v. Crawford 270 GA.7 (1998). That case goes on to say "the setting aside of an election in which the people have chosen their representative is a drastic remedy that should not be undertaken lightly, but, instead should be reserved for cases in which the person challenging the election has clearly established a violation of election procedures and has demonstrated that the violation has placed the result of the election in doubt."

7.

In the Lieutenant Governor's race Geoff Duncan received 1,951,738 votes

and Sarah Amico received 1,828,566 votes, a difference of 123,172 votes. In the Lieutenant Governor's race there were 4.5% fewer voters than in the Governor's race. The numbers also show that Sarah Amico, a Democrat, also received more votes than those cast for the Democrat in the State-wide races for Commissioner of Agriculture, Commissioner of Insurance, State School Superintendent, and Commissioner of Labor. These number do not show any irregularity or illegality in themselves.

8.

"Where the focus is on improperly cast ballots or irregularities in the conduct of the election, the number of illegal of irregular ballots necessary to cast doubt on an election is derived by taking the difference between the total votes cast in the election and the race at issue, and adding the margin of victory in the race at issue" Fuller v. Thomas 284 Ga. 397 (2008)

9.

In the present case the most votes that the Plaintiff has shown that could be

in any way arguably considered irregular or illegal is approximately 32,000 votes. That assumes that all such votes would have been cast for Sarah Amico.

10.

Therefore, Plaintiffs Petition is hereby dismissed.

SO ORDERED this 18th day of January, **2019**

JUDGE ADELE P. GRUBBS
Senior Judge, State of Georgia

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE is attached.

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDEM
Date: 1/24/2019 11:40 AM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV313418**

NOTICE OF APPEAL

Notice is hereby given that Plaintiffs, pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 5-6-34(a)(1) and O.C.G.A. § 51-2-526, hereby appeal to the Supreme Court of Georgia from the trial court's January 18, 2019 Order Dismissing Plaintiffs' Petition to Contest Election Result. A copy of the Order is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

The clerk will please omit nothing from the record on appeal; provided, however, that Plaintiffs may subsequently request that certain portions of the record and certain transcripts be omitted.

The Supreme Court, rather than the Court of Appeals, has jurisdiction of this case on appeal for the reason that Article 6, Section 6, Paragraph II of the Georgia

Constitution states that the "Supreme Court shall be a court of review and shall exercise exclusive appellate jurisdiction" over "[a]ll cases of election contest."

DATED: January 23, 2019.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
BRUCE P. BROWN LAW LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE
Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700

Attorney for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing via the Court's e-filing system to all counsel of record.

This 23rd day of January, 2019.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown

E
X
H
I
B
I
T

A

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

Rhonda Martin, Smythe Duval, Jeanne Dufort	*	
	*	CIVIL ACTION
Plaintiffs,	*	FILE NO.: 2018CV313418
vs.	*	
	*	
Geoff Duncan.	*	
Fulton County Board of Registration	*	
and Elections,	*	
Gwinnett county Board of Registration	*	
And Elections	*	
	*	
Defendants.	*	
	*	

ORDER DISMISSING PLAINTIFFS' PETITION

The above and forgoing case having come on regularly to be heard with all parties represented by counsel and,

Plaintiffs having made Motions for Jury trial, see Fuller v. Thomas 284 Ga. 397 (2008), Continuance and to Compel Discovery and the Court having denied the same, and,

Plaintiffs having presented evidence and rested their case, and,

The Defendants having made a Motion for Involuntary Dismissal Pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 9-11-41(b),

It is hereby ordered as follows:

1.

O.C.G.A. § 9-11-41(b) states in material part –

"After the plaintiff, in an action tried by the court without a jury, has completed the presentation of his evidence, the defendant, without waiving his right to offer evidence in the event the motion is not granted, may move for dismissal on the ground that upon the fasts and the law the plaintiff has shown no right to relief."

2.

In this case the Plaintiffs contest the result of the election for Lieutenant Governor held November 6th, 2018, and request that the result be set aside and that a new election be held on paper ballots.

3.

The Plaintiffs have not shown any evidence that illegal votes have been received or legal votes rejected at the polls sufficient to change or place in doubt the result in the race for Lieutenant Governor held on November 6, 2018. O.C.G.A. §21-2-522.

4.

The Plaintiffs did presented evidence that the DRE system of voting used in Georgia has many problems and irregularities and is regarded as an outdated and inaccurate system of conducting a vote.

2

GA004680

5.

In the particular race for Lieutenant Governor at issue here, the Plaintiffs showed that there were five instances of problems with voting at two precincts, that, of the 8 voting machines at the Winterville precinct in Clark County Georgia, 7 went decidedly Democratic and 1 went decidedly Republican and that there was a 4.5% undervote in the Lieutenant Governor race. There was no evidence of misconduct, fraud, or irregularity by any primary or election official or officials.

6.

"It is presumed that election results are valid, and the party contesting the Election has the burden of showing an irregularity or illegality sufficient to change place in doubt the result of the election" Hunt v. Crawford 270 GA.7 (1998). That case goes on to say "the setting aside of an election in which the people have chosen their representative is a drastic remedy that should not be undertaken lightly, but, instead should be reserved for cases in which the person challenging the election has clearly established a violation of election procedures and has demonstrated that the violation has placed the result of the election in doubt."

7.

In the Lieutenant Governor's race Geoff Duncan received 1,951,738 votes

and Sarah Amico received 1,828,566 votes, a difference of 123,172 votes. In the Lieutenant Governor's race there were 4.5% fewer voters than in the Governor's race. The numbers also show that Sarah Amico, a Democrat, also received more votes than those cast for the Democrat in the State-wide races for Commissioner of Agriculture, Commissioner of Insurance, State School Superintendent, and Commissioner of Labor. These number do not show any irregularity or illegality in themselves.

8.

"Where the focus is on improperly cast ballots or irregularities in the conduct of the election, the number of illegal of irregular ballots necessary to cast doubt on an election is derived by taking the difference between the total votes cast in the election and the race at issue, and adding the margin of victory in the race at issue" Fuller v. Thomas 284 Ga. 397 (2008)

9.

In the present case the most votes that the Plaintiff has shown that could be

in any way arguably considered irregular or illegal is approximately 32,000 votes. That assumes that all such votes would have been cast for Sarah Amico.

10.

Therefore, Plaintiffs Petition is hereby dismissed.

SO ORDERED this 18th day of January, **2019**

JUDGE ADELE P. GRUBBS
Senior Judge, State of Georgia

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE is attached.

GA004683

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDLS
Date: 1/18/2019 5:09 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY

STATE OF GEORGIA

Rhonda Martin, Smythe Duval, Jeanne Dufort	*	
	*	CIVIL ACTION
Plaintiffs,	*	FILE NO.: 2018CV313418
vs.	*	
	*	
Geoff Duncan.	*	
Fulton County Board of Registration	*	
and Elections,	*	
Gwinnett county Board of Registration	*	
And Elections	*	
	*	
Defendants.	*	
	*	

ORDER DISMISSING PLAINTIFFS' PETITION

The above and forgoing case having come on regularly to be heard with all parties represented by counsel and,

Plaintiffs having made Motions for Jury trial, see Fuller v. Thomas 284 Ga. 397 (2008), Continuance and to Compel Discovery and the Court having denied the same, and,

Plaintiffs having presented evidence and rested their case, and,

The Defendants having made a Motion for Involuntary Dismissal Pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 9-11-41(b),

It is hereby ordered as follows:

1.

O.C.G.A. § 9-11-41(b) states in material part –

"After the plaintiff, in an action tried by the court without a jury, has completed the presentation of his evidence, the defendant, without waiving his right to offer evidence in the event the motion is not granted, may move for dismissal on the ground that upon the fasts and the law the plaintiff has shown no right to relief."

2.

In this case the Plaintiffs contest the result of the election for Lieutenant Governor held November 6th, 2018, and request that the result be set aside and that a new election be held on paper ballots.

3.

The Plaintiffs have not shown any evidence that illegal votes have been received or legal votes rejected at the polls sufficient to change or place in doubt the result in the race for Lieutenant Governor held on November 6, 2018. O.C.G.A. §21-2-522.

4.

The Plaintiffs did presented evidence that the DRE system of voting used in Georgia has many problems and irregularities and is regarded as an outdated and inaccurate system of conducting a vote.

5.

In the particular race for Lieutenant Governor at issue here, the Plaintiffs showed that there were five instances of problems with voting at two precincts, that, of the 8 voting machines at the Winterville precinct in Clark County Georgia, 7 went decidedly Democratic and 1 went decidedly Republican and that there was a 4.5% undervote in the Lieutenant Governor race. There was no evidence of misconduct, fraud, or irregularity by any primary or election official or officials.

6.

"It is presumed that election results are valid, and the party contesting the Election has the burden of showing an irregularity or illegality sufficient to change place in doubt the result of the election" Hunt v. Crawford 270 GA.7 (1998). That case goes on to say "the setting aside of an election in which the people have chosen their representative is a drastic remedy that should not be undertaken lightly, but, instead should be reserved for cases in which the person challenging the election has clearly established a violation of election procedures and has demonstrated that the violation has placed the result of the election in doubt."

7.

In the Lieutenant Governor's race Geoff Duncan received 1,951,738 votes

and Sarah Amico received 1,828,566 votes, a difference of 123,172 votes. In the Lieutenant Governor's race there were 4.5% fewer voters than in the Governor's race. The numbers also show that Sarah Amico, a Democrat, also received more votes than those cast for the Democrat in the State-wide races for Commissioner of Agriculture, Commissioner of Insurance, State School Superintendent, and Commissioner of Labor. These number do not show any irregularity or illegality in themselves.

8.

"Where the focus is on improperly cast ballots or irregularities in the conduct of the election, the number of illegal of irregular ballots necessary to cast doubt on an election is derived by taking the difference between the total votes cast in the election and the race at issue, and adding the margin of victory in the race at issue" Fuller v. Thomas 284 Ga. 397 (2008)

9.

In the present case the most votes that the Plaintiff has shown that could be

in any way arguably considered irregular or illegal is approximately 32,000 votes. That assumes that all such votes would have been cast for Sarah Amico.

10.

Therefore, Plaintiffs Petition is hereby dismissed.

SO ORDERED this 18th day of January, **2019**

JUDGE ADELE P. GRUBBS
Senior Judge, State of Georgia

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE is attached.

CIVIL ACTION FILE NUMBER: 2018CV313418
FROM FULTON COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT

Coalition for Good Governance, Rhonda Martin, Smythe DuVal, Jeanne Dufort VS. Robyn Crittenden, Fulton County Board of Registration and Elections, Geoff Duncan, Gwinnett County Board of Registrations and Elections

INDEX

			PAGE
1.	AMENDED NOTICE OF APPEAL	01/30/2019	1 - 9
2.	NOTICE OF APPEAL	01/24/2019	10 - 18
3.	PLAINTIFF'S ORIGINAL PETITION	11/23/2018	19 - 79
4.	NOTICE OF CASE ASSIGNMENT	11/28/2018	80 - 81
5.	NOTICE OF CASE ASSIGNMENT	11/28/2018	82 - 83
6.	MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION	11/28/2018	84 - 89
7.	ORDER TO ISSUE NOTICE OF SPECIAL PROCESS	11/28/2018	90 - 90
8.	NOTICE OF APPEARANCE	11/28/2018	91 - 93
9.	SPECIAL PROCESS SUMMONS	11/29/2018	94 - 95
10.	EMERGENCY MOTION FOR INSPECTION OF ELECTRONIC EQUIPMENT	11/29/2018	96 - 114
11.	ORDER RE-ASSIGNING CASE	11/29/2018	115 - 116
12.	ORDER OF APPOINTMENT	11/30/2018	117 - 118
13.	ORDER OF APPOINTMENT	11/30/2018	119 - 120
14.	NOTICE OF APPEARANCE OF COUNSEL	11/30/2018	121 - 124
15.	NOTICE OF APPEARANCE	11/30/2018	125 - 128
16.	PROPOSED ORDER GRANTING EMERGENCY MOTION FOR INSPECTION	11/30/2018	129 - 132
17.	ORDER TO APPEAR	12/03/2018	133 - 133
18.	NOTICE OF APPEARANCE OF COUNSEL	12/04/2018	134 - 137
19.	CONSOLIDATED MOTIONS TO DISISS	12/04/2018	138 - 159
20.	PLAINTIFF'S STATUS REPORT	12/04/2018	160 - 166
21.	NOTICE OF APPEARANCE OF COUNSEL	12/04/2018	167 - 170
22.	ORDER TO SET HEARING	12/05/2018	171 - 172
23.	SPECIAL PROCESS SUMMONS	12/06/2018	173 - 174
24.	PRESERVATION ORDER	12/07/2018	175 - 177
25.	WAIVER OF SERVER OF SUMMONS	12/13/2018	178 - 180
26.	MOTION TO DROP PARTY DEFENDANT	12/19/2018	181 - 183
27.	PROPOSED ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO DROP PARTY DEFENDANT	12/19/2018	184 - 185
28.	MOTION TO DISMISS BY SPECIAL APPEARANCE	12/20/2018	186 - 189
29.	CONSOLIDATED MOTION TO DISMISS BY	12/20/2018	190 - 198

	SPECIAL APPEARANCE		
30.	SPECIAL APPEARANCE CONSOLIDATED MOTION TO DISMISS	12/20/2018	199 - 209
31.	JOINDER IN CONSOLIDATED MOTION TO DISMISS	12/20/2018	210 - 213
32.	SHERIFF'S ENTRY OF SERVICE	12/21/2018	214 - 214
33.	VERIFIED ANSWER AND DEFENSES	12/21/2018	215 - 233
34.	ANSWER OF DEFENDANT TO PETITION TO CONTEST ELECTION RESULT	12/21/2018	234 - 245
35.	MOTION FOR PRESERVATION OF SPECIFIC ELECTRONIC ELECTION RECORDS	12/21/2018	246 - 267
36.	PROPOSED ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR PRESERVATION OF ELECTRONIC ELECTION RECORDS	12/21/2018	268 - 270
37.	AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE	12/26/2018	271 - 274
38.	AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE	12/26/2018	275 - 278
39.	ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO DROP AS PARTY DEFENDANT	12/27/2018	279 - 282
40.	RESPONSE TO PETITION TO CONTEST ELECTION RESULT	12/28/2018	283 - 307
41.	SHERIFF'S ENTRY OF SERVICE	12/28/2018	308 - 308
42.	NON ENTRY OF SERVICE	01/02/2019	309 - 309
43.	VERIFICATION	01/02/2019	310 - 310
44.	SHERIFF'S ENTRY OF SERVICE	01/03/2019	311 - 311
45.	JOINT CONSOLIDATED RESPONSE TO PENDING MOTIONS TO DISMISS	01/07/2019	312 - 472
46.	ANSWER AND DEFENSES	01/07/2019	473 - 493
47.	SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO DISMISS	01/08/2019	494 - 499
48.	NOTICE OF PROFFER OF EVIDENCE	01/08/2019	500 - 821
49.	OBJECTIONS TO NOTICE OF PROFFER OF EVIDENCE	01/08/2019	822 - 826
50.	NOTICE OF LIMITED PROFFER OF EVIDENCE	01/09/2019	827 - 870
51.	ORDER ON MOTION TO DISMISS COUNT 2 AND COUNT 3 OF PETITION	01/09/2019	871 - 873
52.	ORDER ON PENDING MOTIONS	01/11/2019	874 - 880
53.	MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE	01/14/2019	881 - 914
54.	PROPOSED ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE	01/14/2019	915 - 916
55.	ORDER ON REQUEST TO USE RECORDING	01/14/2019	917 - 918

	DEVICE		
56.	RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE	01/15/2019	919 - 936
57.	RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE	01/15/2019	937 - 942
58.	RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE	01/15/2019	943 - 946
59.	RESPONSE OF RENEWED DISCOVERY DEMANDS	01/15/2019	947 - 972
60.	REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE	01/15/2019	973 - 978
61.	MOTION TO COMPEL AND FOR ADDITIONAL DISCOVERY	01/16/2019	979 - 1011
62.	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL	01/16/2019	1012 - 1013
63.	RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION OF MOTION TO COMPEL	01/16/2019	1014 - 1016
64.	RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL	01/16/2019	1017 - 1021
65.	RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO COMPEL	01/16/2019	1022 - 1028
66.	PROPOSED ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO COMPEL	01/16/2019	1029 - 1031
67.	ORDER ON REQUEST TO USE RECORDING DEVICE	01/16/2019	1032 - 1033
68.	ORDER DENYING MOTION TO CONTINUE	01/16/2019	1034 - 1037
69.	ORDER ON REQUEST TO USE RECORDING DEVICE	01/16/2019	1038 - 1039
70.	RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO JURY DEMAND	01/17/2019	1040 - 1045
71.	ORDER ON REQUEST TO USE RECORDING DEVICE	01/17/2019	1046 - 1047
72.	ORDER ON REQUEST TO USE RECORDING DEVICE	01/17/2019	1048 - 1049
73.	AFFIDAVIT OF BRUCE BROWN	01/18/2019	1050 - 1088
74.	ORDER DISMISSING PETITION	01/18/2019	1089 - 1094
75.	MOTION TO SUPPLEMENT THE RECORD	01/23/2019	1095 -

			1162
76.	ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO SUPPLEMENT THE RECORD	01/30/2019	1163 - 1166
77.	NOTICE OF FILING ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPTS	02/01/2019	1167 - 1169
78.	NOTICE OF FILING ELECTRONIC COPIES OF TRANSCRIPTS	02/06/2019	1170 - 1830

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDMH
Date: 1/30/2019 3:55 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV313418**

AMENDED NOTICE OF APPEAL

On January 24, 2019, Plaintiffs gave timely notice of appeal ("the Notice of Appeal") of Plaintiffs' appeal to the Supreme Court of Georgia from the Superior Court of Fulton County's January 18, 2019 Order Dismissing Plaintiffs' Petition to Contest Election Result, a copy of which was attached to the Notice of Appeal, and another copy of which is attached to this Amended Notice of Appeal.

Pursuant to notice from the Office of the Clerk of the Superior Court of Fulton County dated January 24, 2019, received on January 28, 2019, Plaintiffs file this Amended Notice of Appeal.

The Notice of Appeal was filed on January 24, 2019, within thirty days of the January 18, 2019 Order of the Superior Court being appealed. *See* O.C.G.A. § 5-6-38(a).

The clerk will please omit nothing from the record on appeal, and please note:

1. Please transmit the transcript of the following hearings in this case: (a) Hearing held on December 5, 2018; (b) Hearing held on January 9, 2019; and (c) Hearing held on January 17 and January 18, 2019.
2. Please also include in the record Plaintiffs' January 23, 2019 Motion to Supplement the Record, as well as the trial court's January 30, 2019 Order Granting said Motion to Supplement the Record.

The Supreme Court, rather than the Court of Appeals, has jurisdiction of this case on appeal for the reason that Article 6, Section 6, Paragraph II of the Georgia Constitution states that the "Supreme Court shall be a court of review and shall exercise exclusive appellate jurisdiction" over "[a]ll cases of election contest."

DATED: January 30, 2019.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Georgia Bar No. 064460
BRUCE P. BROWN LAW LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE, Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700
Attorney for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing via the Court's e-filing system, and separately via direct email, to the following counsel of record for defendants/appellees:

Kaye Woodard Burwell
Office of the Fulton County Attorney
141 Pryor Street, NW
Suite 4038
Atlanta, Georgia 30303
Kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov

Counsel for Defendant Fulton County Board of Registrations and Elections

Bryan P. Tyson
Strickland Brockingham Lewis LLC
Midtown Proscenium Suite 2200
1170 Peachtree St. NE
Atlanta, Georgia 30309
bpt@sbllaw.net

Counsel for Defendant Gwinnett County Board of Registrations and Elections

Josh Belinfante
Robbins Ross Alloy Belinfante Littlefield LLC
500 Fourteenth St. NW
Atlanta, Georgia 30318
Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com

Counsel for Defendant Secretary of State

Edward H. Lindsey, Jr.
Dentons
303 Peachtree St. NE
Suite 5300
Atlanta, Georgia 30308
edward.lindsey@dentons.com

Counsel for Defendant Geoff Duncan

This 30th day of January, 2019.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Georgia Bar No. 064460
BRUCE P. BROWN LAW LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE, Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700

Attorney for Plaintiffs

EXHIBIT A

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

Rhonda Martin, Smythe Duval, Jeanne Dufort	*	
	*	CIVIL ACTION
Plaintiffs,	*	FILE NO.: 2018CV313418
vs.	*	
	*	
Geoff Duncan.	*	
Fulton County Board of Registration	*	
and Elections,	*	
Gwinnett county Board of Registration	*	
And Elections	*	
	*	
Defendants.	*	
	*	

ORDER DISMISSING PLAINTIFFS' PETITION

The above and forgoing case having come on regularly to be heard with all parties represented by counsel and,

Plaintiffs having made Motions for Jury trial, see Fuller v. Thomas 284 Ga. 397 (2008), Continuance and to Compel Discovery and the Court having denied the same, and,

Plaintiffs having presented evidence and rested their case, and,

The Defendants having made a Motion for Involuntary Dismissal Pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 9-11-41(b),

It is hereby ordered as follows:

I

1.

O.C.G.A. § 9-11-41(b) states in material part –

"After the plaintiff, in an action tried by the court without a jury, has completed the presentation of his evidence, the defendant, without waiving his right to offer evidence in the event the motion is not granted, may move for dismissal on the ground that upon the fasts and the law the plaintiff has shown no right to relief."

2.

In this case the Plaintiffs contest the result of the election for Lieutenant Governor held November 6th, 2018, and request that the result be set aside and that a new election be held on paper ballots.

3.

The Plaintiffs have not shown any evidence that illegal votes have been received or legal votes rejected at the polls sufficient to change or place in doubt the result in the race for Lieutenant Governor held on November 6, 2018. O.C.G.A. §21-2-522.

4.

The Plaintiffs did presented evidence that the DRE system of voting used in Georgia has many problems and irregularities and is regarded as an outdated and inaccurate system of conducting a vote.

5.

In the particular race for Lieutenant Governor at issue here, the Plaintiffs showed that there were five instances of problems with voting at two precincts, that, of the 8 voting machines at the Winterville precinct in Clark County Georgia, 7 went decidedly Democratic and 1 went decidedly Republican and that there was a 4.5% undervote in the Lieutenant Governor race. There was no evidence of misconduct, fraud, or irregularity by any primary or election official or officials.

6.

"It is presumed that election results are valid, and the party contesting the Election has the burden of showing an irregularity or illegality sufficient to change place in doubt the result of the election" Hunt v. Crawford 270 GA.7 (1998). That case goes on to say "the setting aside of an election in which the people have chosen their representative is a drastic remedy that should not be undertaken lightly, but, instead should be reserved for cases in which the person challenging the election has clearly established a violation of election procedures and has demonstrated that the violation has placed the result of the election in doubt."

7.

In the Lieutenant Governor's race Geoff Duncan received 1,951,738 votes

and Sarah Amico received 1,828,566 votes, a difference of 123,172 votes. In the Lieutenant Governor's race there were 4.5% fewer voters than in the Governor's race. The numbers also show that Sarah Amico, a Democrat, also received more votes than those cast for the Democrat in the State-wide races for Commissioner of Agriculture, Commissioner of Insurance, State School Superintendent, and Commissioner of Labor. These number do not show any irregularity or illegality in themselves.

8.

"Where the focus is on improperly cast ballots or irregularities in the conduct of the election, the number of illegal of irregular ballots necessary to cast doubt on an election is derived by taking the difference between the total votes cast in the election and the race at issue, and adding the margin of victory in the race at issue" Fuller v. Thomas 284 Ga. 397 (2008)

9.

In the present case the most votes that the Plaintiff has shown that could be

in any way arguably considered irregular or illegal is approximately 32,000 votes. That assumes that all such votes would have been cast for Sarah Amico.

10.

Therefore, Plaintiffs Petition is hereby dismissed.

SO ORDERED this 18th day of January, **2019**

JUDGE ADELE P. GRUBBS
Senior Judge, State of Georgia

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE is attached.

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDEM
Date: 1/24/2019 11:40 AM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV313418**

NOTICE OF APPEAL

Notice is hereby given that Plaintiffs, pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 5-6-34(a)(1) and O.C.G.A. § 51-2-526, hereby appeal to the Supreme Court of Georgia from the trial court's January 18, 2019 Order Dismissing Plaintiffs' Petition to Contest Election Result. A copy of the Order is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

The clerk will please omit nothing from the record on appeal; provided, however, that Plaintiffs may subsequently request that certain portions of the record and certain transcripts be omitted.

The Supreme Court, rather than the Court of Appeals, has jurisdiction of this case on appeal for the reason that Article 6, Section 6, Paragraph II of the Georgia

Constitution states that the "Supreme Court shall be a court of review and shall exercise exclusive appellate jurisdiction" over "[a]ll cases of election contest."

DATED: January 23, 2019.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
BRUCE P. BROWN LAW LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE
Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700

Attorney for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing via the Court's e-filing system to all counsel of record.

This 23rd day of January, 2019.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown

EXHIBIT A

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

Rhonda Martin, Smythe Duval, Jeanne Dufort	*	
	*	CIVIL ACTION
Plaintiffs,	*	FILE NO.: 2018CV313418
vs.	*	
	*	
Geoff Duncan.	*	
Fulton County Board of Registration	*	
and Elections,	*	
Gwinnett county Board of Registration	*	
And Elections	*	
	*	
Defendants.	*	
	*	

ORDER DISMISSING PLAINTIFFS' PETITION

The above and forgoing case having come on regularly to be heard with all parties represented by counsel and,

Plaintiffs having made Motions for Jury trial, see Fuller v. Thomas 284 Ga. 397 (2008), Continuance and to Compel Discovery and the Court having denied the same, and,

Plaintiffs having presented evidence and rested their case, and,

The Defendants having made a Motion for Involuntary Dismissal Pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 9-11-41(b),

It is hereby ordered as follows:

1.

O.C.G.A. § 9-11-41(b) states in material part –

"After the plaintiff, in an action tried by the court without a jury, has completed the presentation of his evidence, the defendant, without waiving his right to offer evidence in the event the motion is not granted, may move for dismissal on the ground that upon the fasts and the law the plaintiff has shown no right to relief."

2.

In this case the Plaintiffs contest the result of the election for Lieutenant Governor held November 6th, 2018, and request that the result be set aside and that a new election be held on paper ballots.

3.

The Plaintiffs have not shown any evidence that illegal votes have been received or legal votes rejected at the polls sufficient to change or place in doubt the result in the race for Lieutenant Governor held on November 6, 2018. O.C.G.A. §21-2-522.

4.

The Plaintiffs did presented evidence that the DRE system of voting used in Georgia has many problems and irregularities and is regarded as an outdated and inaccurate system of conducting a vote.

2

5.

In the particular race for Lieutenant Governor at issue here, the Plaintiffs showed that there were five instances of problems with voting at two precincts, that, of the 8 voting machines at the Winterville precinct in Clark County Georgia, 7 went decidedly Democratic and 1 went decidedly Republican and that there was a 4.5% undervote in the Lieutenant Governor race. There was no evidence of misconduct, fraud, or irregularity by any primary or election official or officials.

6.

"It is presumed that election results are valid, and the party contesting the Election has the burden of showing an irregularity or illegality sufficient to change place in doubt the result of the election" Hunt v. Crawford 270 GA.7 (1998). That case goes on to say "the setting aside of an election in which the people have chosen their representative is a drastic remedy that should not be undertaken lightly, but, instead should be reserved for cases in which the person challenging the election has clearly established a violation of election procedures and has demonstrated that the violation has placed the result of the election in doubt."

7.

In the Lieutenant Governor's race Geoff Duncan received 1,951,738 votes

and Sarah Amico received 1,828,566 votes, a difference of 123,172 votes. In the Lieutenant Governor's race there were 4.5% fewer voters than in the Governor's race. The numbers also show that Sarah Amico, a Democrat, also received more votes than those cast for the Democrat in the State-wide races for Commissioner of Agriculture, Commissioner of Insurance, State School Superintendent, and Commissioner of Labor. These number do not show any irregularity or illegality in themselves.

8.

"Where the focus is on improperly cast ballots or irregularities in the conduct of the election, the number of illegal of irregular ballots necessary to cast doubt on an election is derived by taking the difference between the total votes cast in the election and the race at issue, and adding the margin of victory in the race at issue" Fuller v. Thomas 284 Ga. 397 (2008)

9.

In the present case the most votes that the Plaintiff has shown that could be

in any way arguably considered irregular or illegal is approximately 32,000 votes. That assumes that all such votes would have been cast for Sarah Amico.

10.

Therefore, Plaintiffs Petition is hereby dismissed.

SO ORDERED this 18th day of January, **2019**

JUDGE ADELE P. GRUBBS
Senior Judge, State of Georgia

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE is attached.

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDQW
Date: 11/23/2018 8:28 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS, GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, DEKALB COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, and GEOFF DUNCAN,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO.** 2018CV313418

PETITION TO CONTEST ELECTION RESULT

Plaintiffs bring this Petition to Contest the result of the November 6, 2018 general election for Lieutenant Governor for the State of Georgia ("the Contested Election") pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520 *et seq.*

INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

1.

The conduct of the November 6, 2018 general election for Lieutenant Governor for the State of Georgia ("the Contested Election") was so defective and marred by material irregularities "as to place in doubt the result" of the election under Georgia law. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-527(d). This Court should therefore declare the Contested Election invalid and set the date a second election between the same candidates (Geoff Duncan and Sarah Riggs Amico).

2.

The is substantial evidence that the conduct of the Contested Election was so defective as to place the result in doubt. As detailed below, going into the elections, the entire national intelligence and computer science communities were warning the State of Georgia that its Direct Electronic Recording ("DRE") voting system was profoundly vulnerable to malicious attack and programming glitches and irregularities. As the experts predicted and repeatedly and formally warned the Defendant Election Officials (as hereafter identified), Georgia's November 2018 General Election was marred by multiple unexplained failures of the DRE voting system: incorrect electronic ballots being displayed to voters; touch screens

malfunctioning causing incorrect votes to be cast and recorded; precincts with more votes than voters; unauthorized and improper changes made to eligible voters' voter registration records causing confusion and disenfranchisement; failures of the smart cards for activating voting machines, and "glitches" in electronic pollbooks creating long lines and disenfranchising voters.

3.

The malfunctioning of the DRE machines themselves had a direct impact on the race for Lieutenant Governor – the Contested Election that is the subject matter of this case. Failures in other parts of the voting system, such as the electronic pollbooks and inaccurate voter registration records, had an impact on all candidates on the ballot. The election for Lieutenant Governor received far fewer votes than all other state-wide races, even races that were further "down ballot," such as Attorney General, and lesser known races of Commissioner of Agriculture, Commissioner of Labor, and Public Service Commissioner. This is an unprecedented pattern of election results lacks any reasonably plausible innocent explanation. Worse, this aberrant pattern appears only in votes reported cast on the DRE machines. Votes on paper ballots conformed to the expected pattern, with the

election for Lieutenant Governor receiving slightly fewer paper ballot votes than Governor, and slightly more paper ballots votes than Secretary of State.

4.

The only reasonable explanation for such an anomalous vote discrepancy in the DRE votes cast in the Contested Election—and only in the Contested Election—is that a malfunctioning, erroneous programming, or malicious manipulation of the DRE machines caused a material number of votes in the Lieutenant Governor's race to not be recorded. This malfunctioning, erroneous programming, or malicious manipulation places in doubt the result of the Contested Election under O.C.G.A. §§ 21-2-521(1) and 21-2-521(3), and requires a declaration that the Contested Election is invalid and an order setting a second election between the same two candidates.

PARTIES

5.

Plaintiff Coalition for Good Governance is a non-profit corporation organized and existing under the laws of the State of Colorado. Coalition is a membership organization, with a membership that consists of eligible voters residing in Georgia and across the United States. Numerous Georgia members

voted in the November 6, 2018 election. Individuals become members of Coalition by providing their contact information and indicating a desire to associate with the organization. Members donate money, contribute time, and share information and intelligence with the organization to the extent they are able and wish to do so. Members receive informational communications from Coalition and can benefit from Coalition's facilitation of members' individual participation in civic activities that are germane to the organization's purpose, such as poll watching, auditing election results, and publishing opinion pieces. Members utilize Coalition as a resource to answer a wide range of questions about voting rights, voting processes, open meetings law, public records law, recalls, petition processes, election legislation, and how to challenge election issues they encounter.

6.

Coalition's purpose is to preserve and advance the constitutional liberties and individual rights of citizens, with an emphasis on preserving and protecting those private rights of its members that are exercised through public elections. Coalition serves its purpose in multiple ways, including by providing information and education to its members; by serving as a non-partisan educational and informational resource for the public, press, campaigns, candidates, and political

parties; by monitoring nationwide developments in election law and technology; by providing speakers for events at educational institutions; by providing commentary from its leadership on election issues; by collaborating in voting rights and election integrity initiatives with other nonpartisan nonprofits and academics; by developing and sharing research and investigation of reported election problems with the press, public and other members of the election-integrity community; and by facilitating the engagement of members and prospective members as non-partisan participants in the electoral process through poll watching, attendance at public meetings, and other civic activities. Coalition has been forced to divert resources away from its other planned activities in order to assist its members who voted in the Contested Election to ascertain whether their right to vote was violated and to educate them about how to obtain relief.

7.

Coalition, acting on its own behalf, has organizational standing to bring each of the claims for prospective relief stated herein. Coalition, acting on behalf of its members, also has associational standing to bring the claims for prospective relief stated herein.

8.

Plaintiff Rhonda J. Martin ("Martin") is a registered elector of the State of Georgia and a resident of Fulton County and was entitled to vote for Geoff Duncan or Sarah R. Amico in the Contested Election. Martin voted in the November 6, 2018 election and is an aggrieved elector.

9.

Jeanne Dufort is a registered elector of the State of Georgia and a resident of Morgan County and was entitled to vote for Geoff Duncan or Sarah R. Amico in the Contested Election. Dufort voted in the November 6, 2018 election and is an aggrieved elector.

10.

Plaintiff Smythe DuVal ("DuVal") is a registered elector of the State of Georgia and a resident of Cobb County and was entitled to vote for Geoff Duncan or Sarah R. Amico in the Contested Election. DuVal voted in the November 6, 2018 election. DuVal was also a candidate of the Libertarian Party for Secretary of State of Georgia.

11.

Plaintiffs Dufort, DuVal and Martin are "contestants" as defined in O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(1) who are entitled to bring an election contest under O.C.G.A. § 21-2-521.

12.

Defendant Robyn A. Crittenden, the Secretary of State of Georgia ("the Secretary"), is a state official who, along with county boards and superintendents, conducted the Contested Election. The Secretary is a de facto election superintendent with respect to the design of electronic and paper ballots, the programming of the DRE voting machines and the GEMS tabulation server and the management of the Election Night Reporting System and reporting of the official precinct and county level results. The Secretary is responsible for electronic ballot design, the form of ballots, electronic tabulation formulas and protocols, testing software, machine, system and ballot security.

13.

Defendant Fulton County Board of Registration and Elections ("the Fulton Board"), is the Superintendent of Fulton County elections. The Fulton Board along

with the Secretary and other counties' election superintendents, conducted the Contested Election.

14.

Defendant Gwinnett County Board of Registrations and Elections ("the Gwinnett Board") is the superintendent of Gwinnett County elections, and along with the Secretary and other counties' superintendents, conducted the Contested Election.

15.

Defendant DeKalb County Board of Registrations and Elections ("the DeKalb Board") is the superintendent of DeKalb County elections, and along with the Secretary and other counties' superintendents, conducted the Contested Election.

16.

The Secretary, the Fulton Board, the Gwinnett Board, and the DeKalb Board will be collectively referred to as "the Defendant Election Officials."

17.

Defendant Geoff Duncan ("Duncan") is the Republican candidate for Lieutenant Governor who is reported by the Secretary of State to have received the most votes in the Contested Election and whose election is hereby contested.

JURISDICTION and VENUE

18.

Jurisdiction and venue is proper in this Court pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 21-2-523(a) as the county where Defendants Crittenden and the Fulton Board reside.

19.

This Petition was timely filed with this Court pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 21-2-524 and § 21-2-14. The Secretary certified the results of the Contested Election on Saturday, November 17, 2018.

20.

Pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 21-2-524(b), the State Election Board has been served with a copy of this Petition by service by certified or registered mail or statutory overnight mail upon Secretary Crittenden, the chairperson of the State Election Board, and a certificate of such service is attached hereto.

Affidavits executed by Plaintiffs verifying this Petition are attached hereto as Exhibit A.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Georgia's DRE Electronic Voting System

21.

The voting system used in Georgia during the Contested Election consists of the Diebold Global Election Systems ("Diebold") AccuVote DRE touchscreen voting units ("DREs"), the Diebold optical scanners for tabulating paper ballots, and the Diebold General Election Management Software ("GEMS") for tabulation and reporting of data generated by DRE and Diebold optical scanners, as well as the electronic pollbook components and electronic accessories that interface with the DRE vote recording system.

22.

DREs are configured for an election by inserting a memory card into a slot on the side of the machine. Before the election, the file system on the memory card stores the election definition, sound files, interpreted code that is used to print reports, and other configuration information. When operating properly, DREs use software to translate the voter's physical act of touching a particular place on the

touchscreen into a vote for the corresponding candidate or issue, which vote is then recorded on both the DRE's removable memory card and internal flash memory. Both records of the votes are unreadable to humans. Crucially, Georgia's DREs do not create or retain any verifiable or auditable non-electronic record of the voter's selections. Hence, programming errors or malicious manipulation of the software is likely to be undetected.

23.

Each DRE internally contains much of the same hardware that might typically be found in a very low-end general-purpose personal desktop computer in use in the early 2000s. Georgia's DREs run a Diebold-modified version of Microsoft's Windows CE operating system, the most recent version run in Georgia is Windows CE 4.1—which Microsoft stopped supporting in early January 2013. As a consequence, Microsoft is no longer issuing updates or security patches for that software. As the operating system is over twenty years old, it lags behind the two decades of computer security research and is extremely vulnerable to a wide variety of attacks that Diebold's software, regardless of version, cannot defend against.

24.

The unreliability and vulnerability of electronic voting systems like the one used by the State of Georgia has attracted widespread and uniform alarm at all levels of government. On July 26, 2018, House Intelligence Committee Chairman Devin Nunes joined many federal officials and agencies concerned with national security to call for a complete ban on electronic voting.[1] In May, the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence concluded that paperless DREs "are at highest risk of security flaws," and stated that "[s]tates should rapidly replace outdated and vulnerable voting systems" with machines that "[a]t a minimum . . . have a voter-verified paper trail."[2] Similarly, in March, DHS Secretary Nielsen labeled electronic voting systems "a national security concern."

25.

In January 2018, the Congressional Task Force on Election Security issued a Final Report addressing the insecurity of the country's voting infrastructure:

---

[1] http://thehill.com/hilltv/rising/398949-house-intel-chair-calls-for-ban-on-electronic-voting-systems.

[2] Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, Russian Targeting of Election Infrastructure During the 2016 Election: Summary of Initial Findings and Recommendations (May 8, 2018) ("SSCI Report"), at https://www.burr.senate.gov/imo/media/doc/RussRptInstlmtl-%20ElecSec%20Findings,Recs2.pdf.

> Given the breadth of security risks facing voting machines, it is especially problematic that approximately 20% of voters are casting their ballots on machines that do not have any paper backup. *These voters are using paperless Direct Recording Electronic (DRE) machines that have been shown over and again to be highly vulnerable to attack.* Because these machines record votes on the internal memory of the machine, and do not leave any paper backup, it is near impossible to detect whether results have been tampered with.[3]

26.

These recent alarms from the federal government amplify years of warnings from computer scientists, who have uniformly concluded that paperless balloting is unreliable, unquestionably insecure, and unverifiable. California's 2007 "Top-to-Bottom Review" ("TTBR")[4] found that DREs were "inadequate to ensure accuracy and integrity of the election results..."; that the system contained "serious design flaws that have led directly to specific vulnerabilities, which attackers could exploit to affect election outcomes..."; and that "attacks could be carried out in a manner that is not subject to detection by audit, including review of software

---

[3] Congressional Task Force of Election Security, *Final Report*, https://democrats-homeland.house.gov/sites/democrats.homeland.house.gov/files/documents/TFESReport.pdf (Feb. 14, 2018), at 24 (emphasis added).

[4] See Joseph A. Calandrino, et al., Source Code Review of the Diebold Voting System, http://votingsystems.cdn.sos.ca.gov/oversight/ttbr/diebold-source-public-jul29.pdf (Jul. 20, 2007).

logs."[5] Citing these vulnerabilities of the Diebold's AccuVote DREs, California Secretary of State Debra Bowen decertified California's voting system.[6] Ohio's 2007 "Evaluation and Validation of Election-Related Equipment, Standards and Testing ("EVEREST")[7] concluded that Ohio's AccuVote "system lacks the technical protections necessary to guarantee a trustworthy election under operational conditions." [8] Any number of published studies are in accord.[9]

27.

On September 6, 2018, the National Academy of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine and the associated National Research Council (NAS) issued a consensus report entitled "Securing the Vote: Protecting American Democracy." A consensus report of the NAS represents the highest authority that the U.S.

---

[5] See California Secretary of State, *Withdrawal Of Approval*, http://votingsystems.cdn.sos.ca.gov/vendors/premier/premier-11824-revision-1209.pdf (Dec. 31, 2009 rev.), at 2, 3.

[6] See Withdrawal Of Approval, supra note 5, at 5.

[7] Pennsylvania State Univ., et al., *EVEREST: Evaluation and Validation of Election-Related Equipment, Standards and Testing*, https://www.eac.gov/assets/1/28/EVEREST.pdf (Dec. 7, 2007).

[8] See *EVEREST*, *supra* note 7, at 103.

[9] *See also* Feldman, et al., "Security Analysis of the Diebold AccuVote-TS Voting Machine," *Proc. 2007 USENIX/ACCURATE Electronic Voting Technology Workshop*, 1 (Aug. 2007).

Government can rely upon when it seeks to be advised on matters of science, technology and engineering.

28.

The NAS report makes the following recommendation for removing DREs of the kind used in Georgia's elections from service: "Elections should be conducted with human-readable paper ballots." The NAS report continues: "Voting machines that do not provide the capacity for independent auditing (e.g., machines that do not produce a voter-verifiable paper audit trail) should be removed from service as soon as possible." NAS Report, page 6. The NAS report concludes: "Every effort should be made to use human-readable paper ballots in the 2018 federal election." NAS Report, page 7.

29.

The vulnerabilities identified by all of these governmental authorities and computer experts apply specifically to the DREs with no paper trail used by the Defendant Election Officials and other election official across the State of Georgia in the Contested Election.

30.

The already unacceptable extreme vulnerability of Georgia's system was

greatly increased by Secretary Kemp's failure to secure the State's central election server before and after the 2016 elections. That server contained voter registration files used for creating the electronic pollbooks used in the polling places as well as programs and data for most components in Georgia's voting system. From at least 2002 until at least December 31, 2017, Georgia's Secretaries of State have contracted with Kennesaw State University ("KSU"), for the creation of the Center for Election Services ("CES") at KSU to assist the Secretary in the fulfillment of the office's statutory duties to manage Georgia's DRE system. CES now operates out of the Secretary's office rather than at KSU. Between at least August 2016 and March 2017, and likely for a much longer time, this server and its highly sensitive contents were fully accessible to any computer user with Internet access, causing all 50,000+ components in the DRE voting system statewide to be vulnerable to malicious attack.

31.

In late August 2016, cybersecurity researcher Logan Lamb accessed files hosted on the "elections.kennesaw.edu" server on the public internet, including the voter histories and personal information of *all* Georgia voters, tabulation and memory card programming databases for past and future elections, instructions and

passwords for voting equipment administration, and executable programs controlling essential election resources. On August 28, 2016, Lamb contacted CES to warn that CES should assume that the sensitive documents hosted on the "elections.kennesaw.edu" server had already been downloaded by unauthorized persons and that all sensitive files should be considered compromised. Yet for reasons that have never been explained, the KSU server was not secured until March, 2017. After Lamb notified CES in August 2016 in the runoff to the presidential election, CES staff and the Information Technology Staff at KSU conferred numerous times and reporting that the server driving the voting system was "compromised," had "critical vulnerabilities" and was accessible by anonymous malicious users. Yet, CES did not secure the server or remove its election system contents from public access until they were contacted again in March 2017 by Lamb's colleague after Lamb and his colleague again found the system and all sensitive voter registration data open to anyone with internet access. CES Director Michael Barnes further acknowledged in sworn testimony that he had not studied the provided sworn testimony of Lamb or other experts as to the details of the vulnerabilities discovered.

32.

Secretary Kemp's agents at KSU did not conduct or order a forensic examination to determine whether the server had been altered or manipulated. Neither Secretary Kemp's agents at KSU, nor his internal staff at the Secretary of State's office, has ever properly verified the integrity of software, passwords, databases or encryption keys that were hosted on the compromised "elections.kennesaw.edu" server. As a consequence, the compromised software, passwords, and encryption keys were used on the equipment employed in the Contested Election.

33.

Because of the design defects in Georgia's paperless DRE voting system, and the increased vulnerability of the system caused by Secretary Kemp's neglect, Georgia's DRE system is particularly vulnerable to what is called "undetectable manipulation" – alterations to programming that cannot be detected, or that cannot be detected without very sophisticated, expensive and impractical time-consuming forensic examination. Undetected manipulation is the most common, widely recognized, and serious threat facing computer systems, including election systems. Because of the high risk of undetected manipulations, it is impossible for

Georgians to have any reasonable degree of confidence in the integrity of the election results produced by Georgia's DRE voting system.

34.

Two months prior to the November 6, 2018 election, the District Court in *Curling v. Kemp,* N.D. Ga., No. 17-cv-02989, made the following findings in the Court's September 17, 2018 Order denying injunctive relief relating to the 2018 Elections: "Plaintiffs have so far shown that the DRE system, as implemented, poses a concrete risk of alteration of ballot counts that would impact their own votes. Their evidence relates directly to the manner in which Defendants' alleged mode of implementation of the DRE voting system deprives them or puts them at imminent risk of deprivation of their fundamental right to case an effective vote (i.e., a vote that is accurately counted)." Order at 39.

35.

Ignoring the recommendations and warnings of officials at the highest levels of the Federal Government and the entire computer science community, Defendants Election Officials deployed the DRE election system in the 2018 General Election with predictable results, as explained below. The Defendant

Election Officials took this reckless action even though they had and have the statutory authority and means to conduct verifiable and safe paper-ballot elections.

Irregularities in the 2018 Election

36.

As could have been predicted by the warnings by the entire national intelligence, election integrity, and computer science communities, Georgia voters in the 2018 General Election experienced every kind of election irregularities that alone but especially in combination place the vote results in serious doubt.

37.

The State's voter registration system was plagued with errors. As is detailed in the Federal District Court's Opinion in *Common Cause v. Kemp,* N.D. Ga., No. 18-cv-5012, comparing the 2018 election and the last non-presidential election in 2014, there has been a statistically significant increase in the proportion of voters required to vote on provisional ballots relative to the total vote, largely because of repeated inaccuracies in the State's voter registration system. In granting injunctive relief to the Plaintiffs in *Common Cause,* the District Court held: "Plaintiff has shown a substantial likelihood of proving that the Secretary's failure to properly maintain a reliable and secure voter registration system has and will

continue to result in the infringement of the rights of the voters to cast their votes and have their votes counted." Order, November 12, 2018, at 42.

38.

Voters reported thousands of problems during the November 6, 2018 election, including of DRE malfunctions, voting problems, improper disenfranchisement of eligible voters, electronic pollbook malfunctions, improper absentee ballot application and ballot rejections, and voter registration record errors resulting in incorrect polling place assignments or total disenfranchisement of eligible voters. Specific reports by polling place, voters' names, and dates will be provided in detail in an anticipated prompt amended complaint after details are verified and properly summarized.

39.

What follows here are illustrative examples of these irregularities out of the thousands of complaints and inquiries that have been logged with campaigns, non-profit voter protection groups, and the press.

40.

A voter attempted to vote for Amico at the Henry County Voting Registration office in McDonough. When she saw her summary screen on the

touchscreen machine before casting her ballot, despite her original choice for Amico, no candidate choice was listed for Lieutenant Governor, and her "YES/NO" vote on one of the ballot questions had been changed, reflecting the opposite of her choice. Upon expressing her concern to a poll worker, the poll worker dismissed her concern.

41.

On November 6, at Allen Temple AME church polling place in Fulton County a senior voter asked the manager for assistance voting. However, at the end screen when the voter and manager were verifying the vote review page, they saw that the lieutenant governor and one other race were unvoted on the summary screen. The manager asked the voter if she had intended to vote for those and had mistakenly skipped them. The voter said that she wanted to vote for lieutenant governor. The voter touched on the screen where it said lieutenant governor so that she could vote on that race. The machine did not respond properly, but instead jumped and displayed the message that her ballot had been cast. The manager witnessed that the voter did ***not*** press cast ballot, which was far away from where the voter touched. The manager reportedly took the machine offline right away. The polling place manager noted that the voter did not get to vote for lieutenant

governor.

42.

Allgood Elementary School in DeKalb County is in the 4th U.S. Congressional District. A voter on Election Day at the Allgood Elementary School in DeKalb County reported to a pollwatcher that her screen ballot featured Karen Handel as the Democratic candidate; there was no Republican candidate. Handel, of course, was the Republican candidate in the race for the 6th U.S. Congressional District.

43.

At a polling place in Lithonia, a voter checked in and was given a yellow card to use in the machine. On page one of the electronic ballot, only the governor's race appeared on the ballot; the Lieutenant Governor's race was not displayed. Amico's name appeared for the first and only time when the summary page was viewed.

44.

Marilyn Marks, Executive Director of Coalition for Good Governance and Logan Lamb, cybersecurity researcher, observed early voting on November 1, at the Ponce de Leon Library in Atlanta. In only 30 minutes of time at the polling

place, they observed 3 DRE voting machines malfunction causing the voters' ballots to be cancelled. The malfunctions created confusion and very long wait times and long lines at the polling place.

45.

At the Red Rock Community Center in Worthy County, a voter reported being given one voter access card to vote for the governor etc., and an entirely separate card to vote for solely for "the commissioner." The second ballot/card (that reportedly had only "the commissioner") did not list the candidates' parties - simply the candidate's names. The voter is certain that the second card she was given and associated ballot had only one race, a "commissioner." Additionally, the machine flipped her vote for Sarah Riggs Amico to the Republican candidate for Lieutenant Governor multiple times, forcing her to click several times to make the cursor stay on Amico's name.

46.

At Grady High School polling place in Atlanta, Election Night DRE machine results tapes show anomalies that suggest DRE programming problems. Although the polling place and associated precincts are squarely within Congressional District 5, the tapes showed available choices as having included

Congressional District 6, 11 and 13. The Secretary's official results website shows these three available Congressional District choices in the Grady High School polling place although no votes for those choices were reported. Additionally, two machine results tapes show a total of 123 less votes cast than the public counter of ballots cast reported for the machine.

47.

There were hundreds of reports of DRE machines "flipping" votes from one candidate to the opposite candidate. Voters reported being instructed to "fix" the flip in many different ways, and sometimes required trial and error and multiple attempts to press various parts of the screen. Voters presumably assumed that machines are properly recording their final vote, but there is no way to confirm that the vote is recorded as intended and as displayed on the screen.

48.

Another apparent anomaly exists in the Dekalb County precinct Midvale Elementary in the Lieutenant Governor's race, with official results from the Secretary of State's Office showing the candidates receiving a collective 796 votes on Election Day, while the precinct's poll tapes show them receiving a collective 698 votes.

Anomalous Undervote in the Lieutenant Governor's Election

49.

The malfunctioning of the DRE voting system caused the anomalous and illegal rejection of a large number of legitimate votes validly cast in the Lieutenant Governor's race – the Contested Election.

50.

The Lieutenant Governor is the state's second highest ranking official and the office holds the particularly coveted position as President of the State Senate. As such, it is a hotly contested race that is of keen interest to most voters.

51.

In the 2018 General Election, however, the reported totals show that voters purportedly "skipped" the election for Lieutenant Governor more than any other state-wide election, causing the DRE to record an "under-vote," which means no vote was cast on that race despite other votes being cast by the same voter for other races on the same ballot.

52.

According to the Secretary's reports, only 95.71% of voters recorded votes for Lieutenant Governor candidates, while the participation rates in all other state-wide contests on the same ballot range from 97.46% for Commissioner of Labor to 99.73% for Governor, as shown by the following chart:



53.

The conclusion that a malfunctioning or malicious manipulation of the DRE voting system is responsible for the illegal rejection of votes for the Lieutenant Governor's race is further reinforced by comparing the reported results of votes on DRE touchscreen machines to votes on paper ballots (mailed ballots and provisional ballots). The reported votes on paper ballots *do not show* any pattern of aberrant "under-voting" on the race for Lieutenant Governor that corresponds to the pattern of under-voting reflected in the DRE totals; instead, almost the same number of voters who voted on paper for Governor voted on paper for Lieutenant Governor. This consistency is exactly what would be expected in a high-profile race for the state's second highest ranking officer.

54.

Curiously, the drop off in votes for Lieutenant Governor on the malfunctioning DRE machines appears to have a much greater negative impact upon Democratic Candidate Sara R. Amico than upon Defendant Duncan. On the DRE machines, Amico received only 94.8% of Democratic gubernatorial candidate Stacey Abrams' total, while Defendant Duncan received 98.5% of Republican gubernatorial candidate Brian Kemp's total. This shows a causal connection

between the DRE use and lower *reported* participation rate among Democratic voters for Lieutenant Governor.

55.

On November 12, 2018, Amico sent a letter to Secretary Crittenden informing the Secretary of Amico's review of the results of the Contested Election. A true and correct copy of Amico's letter is attached hereto as Exhibit B. Amico's letter details the statistical analysis of the unusually high rate of "residual votes" in the election for Lieutenant Governor and the aberrant pattern of residual votes among the various races. ("Residual votes" is the term used to describe votes that were either invalid, not recorded, or were never cast in the first place.) Amico's letter is supported by the analysis of Michael C. Herron, a professor at Dartmouth College and a recognized expert in statistical analysis and election administration. Amico's letter states: "Your office can and should take immediate steps to review the anomalous residual vote rate in the Lieutenant Governor's race and determine why it happened."

56.

In her November 17, 2018 response to Amico's letter, attached hereto as Exhibit C, Secretary Crittenden declined to review the anomalous residual vote

rate, stating only that "residual votes are not uncommon in an election" because voters "are not obligated to cast a vote in each contest." Secretary Crittenden does not address the aberrant pattern of residual votes between the various races (with down ballot races showing lower residual vote rates and substantially higher vote totals), does not address the fact that the high residual vote rates correlates to the use of DRE machines on Election Day, not paper ballots, and does not address the fact that high Lieutenant Governor residual votes rates impact Democratic-leaning counties more heavily than Republican-leaning counties.

57.

The anomalous voting pattern and totals described above, together with the known compromise of the vulnerable DRE system used in the election, are sufficient to make the election so defective as to the race for Lieutenant Governor as to place in doubt the result of the Contested Election.

COUNT I: ELECTION CONTEST

58.

Plaintiffs incorporate by reference the foregoing paragraphs of the Complaint.

59.

Using the defective, legally non-compliant, and malfunctioning DRE machines to conduct the Contested Election constituted "misconduct" and "irregularity" sufficient to change or place in doubt the result of the Contested Election, entitling Plaintiffs to relief pursuant O.C.G.A. § 21-2-522(1).

60.

Defendant Election Officials had prior knowledge of the non-compliant and defective nature of the DRE voting system prior to deploying it for use in the November 6 election.

61.

The malfunctioning DRE machines rejected legal votes or received illegal votes sufficient to change or place in doubt the result of the Contested Election, entitling Plaintiffs to relief pursuant O.C.G.A. § 21-2-522(3).

62.

Georgia's computerized voter registration system is flawed and does not accurately reflect records of eligible voters, causing a significant number of eligible electors to be rejected at the polls, or being required to cast a provisional ballot which may not have been properly counted. The number of eligible electors

improperly rejected adds materially to the misconduct and irregularities in the election in a number sufficient to change the result and further place the result in doubt.

63.

The Fulton Board, the Gwinnett Board, and the DeKalb Board knowingly chose to conduct the Contested Election using the defective, non-compliant, and compromised DRE-voting system, although they have the statutory authority under O.C.G.A §21-2-281 to choose verifiable paper ballot elections under circumstances in which the use of voting equipment is impossible or impracticable.

64.

Pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 21-2-527, Plaintiffs are entitled to a declaration that the Contested Election was invalid. Plaintiffs are further entitled to an order calling for a second election for Lieutenant Governor between the same candidates, Sara Riggs Amico and Geoff Duncan, and setting a date for such second election.

65.

Given that the invalidity of the Contested Election was caused by the malfunctioning or manipulated DRE voting and voter registration system and electronic pollbooks, the order invaliding the Contested Election and setting the

second election should further enjoin the Defendants from using the DRE system in the second election. The Order should further enjoin the Secretary to make all necessary corrections to the voter registration files electronic pollbooks (if used) before the second election.

COUNT II
FUNDAMENTAL RIGHT TO VOTE
42 U.S.C. § 1983

Infringement of the Fundamental Right to Vote
in Violation of the Fourteenth Amendment
(Against Defendant Election Officials)

66.

Plaintiffs incorporate and reallege each of the foregoing paragraphs.

67.

The right of all eligible citizens to vote in public elections is a fundamental right of individuals that is protected by the United States Constitution and incorporated against the States by the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment.

68.

Inherent in individuals' fundamental right to vote is the right to have one's vote counted and reported correctly and to be just as effective as the votes cast by other voters in the same election.

69.

By using defective DRE machines and a flawed and inaccurate voter registration and electronic pollbook system in the Contested Election, Defendants have knowingly and severely burdened and infringed upon the fundamental right to vote of the individual Plaintiffs and other, similarly-situated voters.

70.

These burdens and infringements on the fundamental right to vote caused by Defendants' conduct were not outweighed or justified by, and were not necessary to promote, any substantial or compelling state interest that could not be accomplished by other, less restrictive means, such as conducting the election by paper ballot.

71.

The foregoing violations occurred as a consequence of Defendants acting under color of state law. If the certified results of the Contested Election are

allowed to stand, the individual Plaintiffs will be permanently and irreparably deprived of their fundamental right to vote. Accordingly, to prevent this imminently threatened irreparable injury, Plaintiffs are now entitled to prospective declaratory and injunctive relief against Defendants pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 in the form of an order enjoining the Defendant to permit the individual Plaintiffs to exercise their right to vote in a new election for Lieutenant Governor conducted by means other than DRE voting machines and free from the foregoing violations.

72.

Plaintiffs prays that the infringement of their constitutional right to vote for the race of Lieutenant Governor for the State of Georgia be remedied by the holding of a new election for Lieutenant Governor, which election is conducted using accurate voting rolls and pollbooks, and using paper ballots counted by the counties' optical scanners and not the malfunctioning DRE machines.

COUNT III: EQUAL PROTECTION
42 U.S.C. § 1983

Infringement of the Fourteenth Amendment's
Guarantee of Equal Protection
(Against Defendant Election Officials)

73.

Plaintiffs incorporate and reallege each of the foregoing Paragraphs.

74.

Defendants knowingly treated electors who voted by DRE, including the individual Plaintiffs, differently than other, similarly situated electors voting in the same election and jurisdiction who voted by mail absentee ballot. Because of the well-known design flaws of the DRE system and the proven compromised nature of the State's DRE system, voters' recorded electronic ballots may not accurately reflect voter intent and thus voters who voted using DREs have cast a less effective ballot than voters who voted in the same election using paper ballots. Hand-marked paper ballots cast by mail ballot voters and provisional ballot voters provide clear and determinable records of voter intent. If the Contested Election had been conducted with paper ballots, recorded voter intent would not be in question, and an accurate ballot count could have been determined.

75.

The foregoing violations occurred as a consequence of Defendants acting under color of state law. If the certified results of the Contested Election are allowed to stand, the individual Plaintiffs will be permanently and irreparably deprived of their constitutional right to equal protection of the law. Accordingly, to prevent this imminently threatened irreparable injury, Plaintiffs are now entitled

to prospective declaratory and injunctive relief against Defendants pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983 in the form of an order enjoining the Defendant to permit the individual Plaintiffs to exercise their right to vote in a new election for Lieutenant Governor conducted by means other than DRE voting machines and free from the foregoing violations.

76.

Plaintiffs pray that the infringement of their constitutional right to vote for the race of Lieutenant Governor for the State of Georgia be remedied by the holding of a new election for Lieutenant Governor, which election is conducted using accurate voting rolls and pollbooks, and using paper ballots counted by the counties' optical scanners and not the malfunctioning DRE machines.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs respectfully request the following relief:

1. That the Court declare the Contested Election invalid;

2. That the Court enter an order setting the date for the second election between Geoff Duncan and Sarah Riggs Amico for the office of Lieutenant Governor of the State of Georgia;

3. That the Court prohibit Defendant Election Officials from using the DRE voting system in the second election;

4. That the Court enjoin the Secretary to correct inaccuracies in the voter registration files, including restoring improperly removed voters, and ensure that electronic pollbooks, if used, reflect all corrections in the voter registration files to avoid disenfranchising eligible electors;

5. That the Court enjoin the Secretary to direct all county superintendents to Officer Defendants to promptly notify all mail ballot applicants of rejected mail ballot applications, and rejected mail ballots, and make records supporting the rejection reasonably available for public inspection permitting campaigns and volunteers to assist eligible voters in curing rejected ballots and applications;

6. That the Court award Plaintiffs attorney's fees as authorized by law; and

7. That the Court grant such other and further relief as required by law and equity.

Respectfully submitted this 23rd day of November, 2018.

/s/ Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Georgia Bar No. 064460
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com
Bruce P. Brown Law LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE
Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700
Attorney for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE FOR STATE ELECTION BOARD

This is to certify that on this date, pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 21-2-254(b), this Petition was served on the Chairperson of the State Election Board, Robyn A. Crittenden, by Statutory Overnight Mail.

This 23rd day of November, 2018.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Georgia Bar No. 064460
Bruce P. Brown Law LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE
Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306

Attorney for Plaintiffs

EXHIBIT A

AFFIDAVIT OF MARILYN MARKS

BEFORE ME, the undersigned person who is authorized by law to administer oaths, personally appeared MARILYN MARKS, who, upon being first duly sworn, deposes and states:

1.

I am competent to give this Affidavit. The facts stated herein are based on my personal knowledge.

2.

I am Executive Director of Coalition for Good Governance, a Plaintiff in this action.

2.

I believe the facts alleged in the foregoing Petition to Contest are true, that according to the best of my knowledge and belief the contested result of the 2018 election for Lieutenant Governor for the State of Georgia is illegal and the return thereof incorrect, and that the Petition to Contest that election is made in good faith.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT

Marilyn Marks

Sworn to and subscribed before me:
On this 23 day of November, 2018
Gayle W. Taylor
Notary Public, State of Georgia
My Commission Expires: June 12, 2020

AFFIDAVIT OF RHONDA J. MARTIN

BEFORE ME, the undersigned person who is authorized by law to administer oaths, personally appeared Rhonda J. Martin, who, upon being first duly sworn, deposes and states:

1.

I am competent to give this Affidavit. The facts stated herein are based on my personal knowledge.

2.

I believe the facts alleged in the foregoing Petition to Contest are true, that according to the best of my knowledge and belief the contested result of the 2018 election for Lieutenant Governor for the State of Georgia is illegal and the return thereof incorrect, and that the Petition to Contest that election is made in good faith.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT.

Rhonda J. Martin

Sworn to and subscribed before me:

On this 23rd day of November 2018

Notary Public, State of Colorado
My Commission Expires: 5/16/2022

JOSEPH O'LEARY
NOTARY PUBLIC
STATE OF COLORADO
NOTARY ID 20184020229
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES 05/16/2022

AFFIDAVIT OF SMYTHE DUVAL

BEFORE ME, the undersigned person who is authorized by law to administer oaths, personally appeared Smythe DuVal, who, upon being first duly sworn, deposes and states:

1.

I am competent to give this Affidavit. The facts stated herein are based on my personal knowledge.

2.

I believe the facts alleged in the foregoing Petition to Contest are true, that according to the best of my knowledge and belief the contested result of the 2018 election for Lieutenant Governor for the State of Georgia is illegal and the return thereof incorrect, and that the Petition to Contest that election is made in good faith.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT

Smythe DuVal

Sworn to and subscribed before me:
On this 23rd day of November, 2018

Notary Public, State of Georgia
My Commission Expires: 06/14/2022

MELISA MAHMUTOVIC
NOTARY PUBLIC
COBB COUNTY, GEORGIA
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES 06/14/2022

AFFIDAVIT OF JEANNE DUFORT

BEFORE ME, the undersigned person who is authorized by law to administer oaths, personally appeared Jeanne Dufort, who, upon being first duly sworn, deposes and states:

1.

I am competent to give this Affidavit. The facts stated herein are based on my personal knowledge.

2.

I believe the facts alleged in the foregoing Petition to Contest are true, that according to the best of my knowledge and belief the contested result of the 2018 election for Lieutenant Governor for the State of Georgia is illegal and the return thereof incorrect, and that the Petition to Contest that election is made in good faith.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT

Jeanne Dufort

Jeanne Dufort

Sworn to and subscribed before me:

On this 23 day of November, 2018

Notary Public, State of ~~Georgia~~ Kentucky

My Commission Expires: 9/4/20



GA004756

EXHIBIT

B

SARAH RIGGS AMICO
FOR GEORGIA LT. GOVERNOR

November 12, 2018

Robyn A. Crittenden
Georgia Secretary of State
214 State Capitol
Atlanta, GA 30334

Re: ***Discrepancy and/or Error in Returns Recorded for Voting Machines, Anomalous Residual Votes in 2018 Lieutenant Governor Returns***

Dear Secretary Crittenden:

I write today regarding the voting machine returns recorded in Georgia and request your immediate attention to discrepancies and/or errors in the recorded votes.

As the results of the November 6, 2018 General Election have been publicly reported, my campaign has closely reviewed them. During the course of that review, it has become apparent that significant anomalies exist in the reported vote totals for the Lieutenant Governor's race. Specifically, this race has an unusually high rate of residual votes, i.e., votes that either were invalid, not recorded, or were never cast in the first place.

As of Sunday, November 11, the residual vote rate for the Lieutenant Governor's race was approximately 4.3 percent. The existence of a residual vote in the Lieutenant Governor race is not, by itself, remarkable. Voters will commonly vote at higher rates for the most visible races, and in steadily lower rates for less visible ones. However, the publicly released data show an aberrant pattern of residual votes in the Lieutenant Governor's race that cannot be explained by normal voting patterns:

- The Lieutenant Governor's race shows elevated residual vote rates, relative to all of the statewide races on the ballot. The residual vote rate in the Governor's race, which *preceded* the Lieutenant Governor contest, was approximately 0.26 percent. The residual vote rate in the Secretary of State race, a race that *followed* the Lieutenant Governor race, was approximately 1.7 percent. This is not normal. As mentioned above, residual vote rates normally increase as one moves down the ballot. In last week's election, however, the residual vote rate in the Lieutenant Governor race was surrounded by races with significantly lower residual vote rates.

sarah@sarahforgeorgia.com 3600 Dallas Highway Suite 230-172, Marietta, GA 30064 770-291-8990 ext.1

This anomalous pattern continues as one moves down the ballot. The Lieutenant Governor race not only had a higher residual vote rate than the rates for Governor and Secretary of State. It had a higher rate than the rates for Attorney General, Commissioner of Agriculture, and Commissioner of Labor. Indeed, there were eight statewide races at the top of the November 6 ballot, and the Lieutenant Governor race had the highest residual vote rate of them all, even though the Lieutenant Governor race was second in the list of eight. *See* Attachment A for details.

- The residual vote rate for Lieutenant Governor is anomalous not only when compared to other races in the 2018 General Election, but to previous elections in Georgia as well. In 2014, the residual rate for the Lieutenant Governor's race was 2.61 percent. This rate is consistent with the normal pattern: in 2014 residual rates increased as one moved further down the ballot. This normal pattern did not happen in 2018. *See* Attachment A.

- The residual vote rate for the Lieutenant Governor race is anomalous demographically. An analysis reveals that, on average, relatively high Lieutenant Governor residual vote rates appear to impact Democratic-leaning counties more heavily than Republican-leaning counties. *See* Attachment B. Indeed, counties across Georgia were disparately impacted by the residual vote rate anomaly described here, with at least ten counties, whose supervisors are cc'd on this letter, demonstrating a residual rate for Lieutenant Governor well over 10 percent. *See* Attachment C. According to Dr. Michael Herron - a professor at Dartmouth College and a recognized expert in statistical analysis and election administration who performed this analysis - this simply does not happen in a typical election in the United States.

- Finally, the publicly available data indicate that the anomalously high Lieutenant Governor residual vote rate correlates to the use of Georgia's Direct Recording Electronic (DRE) voting machines on Election Day. A comparison of returns from votes cast on Election Day using DRE machines to returns from mail-in absentee votes, i.e., paper ballots, reveals that the residual vote for all votes cast on paper was approximately 1 percent as compared to the approximate 7 percent for votes cast electronically. In addition, the residual vote rate for Lieutenant Governor for votes cast on paper is in line with the residual vote rates for other down-ballot candidates. *See* Attachment D. The figures in this paragraph are still preliminary as it appears that absentee ballot records for last week's election are not yet complete. Still, even when so qualified, the residual vote rate gap for the Lieutenant Governor race between DRE and absentee votes cast on paper is clearly anomalous.

These stark anomalies indicate serious inadequacies in the administration of the 2018 General Election. In particular, they indicate still more problems in the performance of the DRE machines. A federal court judge recently recognized the potential for such problems, who explained that there were "serious security flaws and vulnerabilities in the state's DRE system —

including unverifiable election results, outdated software susceptible to malware and viruses and a central server that was already hacked multiple times." *Curling v. Kemp*, No. 1:17-CV-2989-AT, 2018 WL 4625653, at *13 (N.D. Ga. Sept. 17, 2018).

If these were not the results from an election, but the laboratory results from an annual physical, such anomalous, elevated results would trigger an immediate phone call from the doctor's office, and a battery of additional tests. Your office can and should take immediate steps to review the anomalous residual vote rate in the Lieutenant Governor's race and determine why it happened. The Secretary of State has the authority to ensure that these machines are fully audited and tested and that the votes cast on them are recanvassed. *See* Georgia Election Code § 21-2-495 (d). Your office should exercise that authority immediately.

While the number of residual votes in the Lieutenant Governor's race is unlikely to affect the outcome of my race, more needs to be understood about the functioning of the DRE machines used on Election Day in 2018 to assure the voters of Georgia that their votes were not only duly cast, but fully counted. Runoff elections using these same machines are mere weeks away. Accordingly, I request that you and the supervisors copied on this letter, whose counties showed the most striking anomalies, initiate the audits, tests and other steps necessary to make sure that Georgia voters can fully exercise their fundamental right to vote on December 4, 2018 and in future elections.

Sincerely,

Sarah Riggs Amico
2018 Democratic Candidate for Lieutenant Governor

CC.
Atkinson County Supervisor of Elections Lorraine White
Ben Hill County Supervisor of Elections and Chief Registrar Cindi Dunlap
Clay County Supervisor of Elections Melessa Shivers
Coffee County Supervisor of Elections Misty Hampton
Hancock County Supervisor of Elections John Reid
Jefferson County Elections Superintendent Susan Gray
Quitman County Supervisor of Elections Julia Floyd
Randolph County Supervisor of Elections Todd Black
Taliaferro County Supervisor of Elections Clarris Stephens
Twiggs County Elections Superintendent Debra Stephens

sarah@sarahforgeorgia.com 3600 Dallas Highway Suite 230-172, Marietta, GA 30064 770-291-8990 ext.1

Attachment A

GA004761

Residual vote rates in 2014 and 2018 General Elections, top statewide races



GA004762

Attachment B

GA004763

Lt. Governor / Sec'y of State residual vote rate difference and 2016 Clinton percent



Attachment C

GA004765

Election day residual vote rates

**** ED ballot numbers are preliminary due to apparent ongoing absentee ballot counting ****

Potentially large relative losses in Lt Governor votes						Potentially smaller relative losses in Lt Governor votes					
County	ED ballots	Lt-Gov rvr	SOS rvr	Difference	Clinton 2016	County	ED ballots	Lt-Gov rvr	SOS rvr	Difference	Clinton 2016
Quitman	438	13.470	5.936	7.534	44.157	Bartow	19865	2.950	3.237	-0.287	20.892
Hancock	1664	18.389	11.418	6.971	75.447	Jeff Davis	1963	7.081	6.877	0.204	17.698
Jefferson	3486	12.392	6.139	6.254	54.947	Montgomery	1945	5.810	4.884	0.925	23.779
Atkinson	1175	12.511	6.298	6.213	26.705	Treutlen	830	7.711	6.627	1.084	31.890
Ben Hill	1663	10.944	4.991	5.953	35.424	White	5571	3.931	2.477	1.454	14.207
Clay	490	13.265	7.347	5.918	54.839	Forsyth	37158	5.054	3.520	1.534	24.087
Taliaferro	346	20.520	14.740	5.780	60.758	Oconee	8303	5.179	3.637	1.542	28.002
Twiggs	2035	11.450	5.700	5.749	48.595	Dade	2789	5.522	3.980	1.542	15.460
Randolph	1274	11.303	5.651	5.651	55.161	Stephens	2474	7.316	5.618	1.698	18.810
Coffee	4682	10.914	5.297	5.617	29.421	Banks	3884	3.888	2.188	1.699	9.842

GA004766

Attachment D

GA004767

2018 Election Day and Absentee residual vote rates



EXHIBIT C

The Office of Secretary of State

Robyn A. Crittenden
SECRETARY OF STATE

November 17, 2018

VIA ELECTRONIC MAIL

Sarah Riggs Amico
3600 Dallas Highway, Suite 230-172
Marietta, Georgia 30064
sarah@sarahforgeorgia.com

Dear Mrs. Riggs Amico,

I am writing to address your petition for a recount or recanvass of votes cast in the Lieutenant Governor's race for the November 6, 2018 election. In accordance with O.C.G.A. § 21-2-495 (d), I have reviewed the petition and the accompanying information, and I have determined that such petition does not set forth verifiable evidence of discrepancies or errors not apparent on the face of the returns. Therefore, I hereby decline to order such action.

O.C.G.A. § 21-2-495 (d) states, in pertinent part, "[t]he petition pursuant to this Code section shall be in writing and signed by the person or persons requesting the recount or recanvass," and "[a] petition shall set forth the discrepancies or errors and any evidence in support of the petitioner's request for a recount or recanvass and shall be verified." Notably, the Secretary of State's authority to grant a request for a recount or recanvass is discretionary under this section.

In declining to exercise this discretionary authority, I find that your petition fails to allege any verifiable discrepancies or errors to support the underlying request. As you know, residual votes are not uncommon in an election. Voters are not obligated to cast a vote in each contest appearing on their ballots. You also readily acknowledge that the rate of residual votes in your race is unlikely to affect the ultimate outcome.

However, I can commit to you that we will continue to review the functionality of our voting equipment as we prepare for future elections in Georgia. In fact, it is standard practice for counties to conduct testing of their voting equipment prior to its use in any election, and I expect counties to continue these processes for each component of the election system in compliance with state law and rules.

The Secretary of State's office works closely with county elections and registration offices to ensure the integrity of Georgia's election system. Together, we work tirelessly to fulfill our duties under the law and rules, and we do not take these duties lightly.

214 State Capitol • Atlanta, Georgia 30334 • (404) 656-2881 • (404) 656-0513 Fax
www.sos.ga.gov

Before each election, primary, or run-off, state law and rules require county election officials to conduct public logic and accuracy testing of all voting equipment, including Direct Recording Electronic (DRE) voting equipment. This testing utilizes diagnostic measures to confirm that all components are fully operational and involves comparison of a test deck of ballots to known results. These results must match the contents of the test deck to pass, and the testing confirms that the voting equipment functions properly before use. If any unit fails testing, it cannot be used until it is repaired and tested for compliance.

Importantly, in November 2017, the Secretary of State's office conducted a thorough re-examination of DRE voting equipment and found it to be accurate and secure. On every Election Day, the Secretary of State's office also conducts parallel testing using county ballot databases to program voting equipment, cast ballots throughout the day, and compare the results to the voter's selections. This test has always shown 100% accuracy.

In the event of any run-off elections on December 4, 2018, we will utilize a ballot database from at least one of the counties specifically mentioned in your letter to conduct parallel testing on Election Day. In the meantime, I would welcome an opportunity to meet with you to discuss your concerns about the election system. Please reach out to my Executive Assistant, Robin Herron, at rherron@sos.ga.gov or (404) 657-4596 so that we can try to schedule a meeting. I look forward to our continued discussion on this matter. As always, I am at your service.

Sincerely,

Robyn A. Crittenden
Georgia Secretary of State

214 State Capitol • Atlanta, Georgia 30334 • (404) 656-2881 • (404) 656-0513 Fax
www.sos.ga.gov

GA004771

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDAC
Date: 11/28/2018 9:39 AM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE RHONDA J. MARTIN SMYTHE DUVAL JEANNE DUFORT Plaintiff(s) v. ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS DEKALB COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS GEOFF DUNCAN	* * * * * * * * * * * * * *	**Civil Action No. 2018CV313418**

NOTICE OF CASE ASSIGNMENT

Please be advised that your case has been assigned to the Honorable Constance C. Russell. Scheduled matters will be heard in Courtroom 8-F, Justice Center Tower, 185 Central Avenue, Atlanta, Georgia 30303. If you are the filing party please submit a copy of this notice to the opposing party.

Unless a waiver or exemption has been obtained, to ensure receipt of notices of hearings and court filings all parties or their counsel must sign up for E-filing and attach themselves as notice recipients to the specific case. Questions regarding E-filing should be addressed to the Superior Court Clerk's Office or E-File Georgia.

Originals of proposed orders and other documents requiring the Court's review and signature are to be submitted to chambers via U.S. mail or courier. Such documents are not to be E-filed.

E-filed motions and pleadings requiring a response from the opposing party shall be treated as having been mailed for purposes of calculating response due dates.

For noticed hearings, conferences, trials or other court mandated appearances, E-filing, E-mailing, faxing or mailing a letter or notice of conflict does not constitute permission not to appear. No continuances will be granted unless good cause is shown.

Requests for continuance or reset and notices of conflict must be filed and a courtesy copy submitted to Judge Russell's chambers not less than 5 business days prior to the scheduled event. Unripe or untimely pleadings, filings or requests generally will not be considered prior to the date of the calendar. Requests, pleadings or filings submitted to chambers less than five business days prior to any hearing date will be treated as late filed and/or untimely, unless later filing is authorized by statute. Copies of filed notices of leaves of absence must be submitted to the Court as required by Uniform Superior Court Rule 16.1.

Correspondence and pleadings directed to Judge Russell or her staff are to be submitted via regular mail or courier, unless you are given express permission to do otherwise. Unsolicited and/or unauthorized filings or correspondence submitted via e-mail or fax will not be accepted or considered.

From the Chambers of
Judge Constance C. Russell
Fulton County Superior Court
Atlanta Judicial Circuit

Bruce P. Brown Esq.
BRUCE P. BROWN LAW, LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd, N.E., Suite 6
Atlanta, GA 30306

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDAC
Date: 11/28/2018 9:39 AM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE RHONDA J. MARTIN SMYTHE DUVAL JEANNE DUFORT Plaintiff(s) v. ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS DEKALB COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS GEOFF DUNCAN	* * * * * * * * * * * * * *	**Civil Action No. 2018CV313418**

NOTICE OF CASE ASSIGNMENT

Please be advised that your case has been assigned to the Honorable Constance C. Russell. Scheduled matters will be heard in Courtroom 8-F, Justice Center Tower, 185 Central Avenue, Atlanta, Georgia 30303. If you are the filing party please submit a copy of this notice to the opposing party.

Unless a waiver or exemption has been obtained, to ensure receipt of notices of hearings and court filings all parties or their counsel must sign up for E-filing and attach themselves as notice recipients to the specific case. Questions regarding E-filing should be addressed to the Superior Court Clerk's Office or E-File Georgia.

Originals of proposed orders and other documents requiring the Court's review and signature are to be submitted to chambers via U.S. mail or courier. Such documents are not to be E-filed.

E-filed motions and pleadings requiring a response from the opposing party shall be treated as having been mailed for purposes of calculating response due dates.

For noticed hearings, conferences, trials or other court mandated appearances, E-filing, E-mailing, faxing or mailing a letter or notice of conflict does not constitute permission not to appear. No continuances will be granted unless good cause is shown.

Requests for continuance or reset and notices of conflict must be filed and a courtesy copy submitted to Judge Russell's chambers not less than 5 business days prior to the scheduled event. Unripe or untimely pleadings, filings or requests generally will not be considered prior to the date of the calendar. Requests, pleadings or filings submitted to chambers less than five business days prior to any hearing date will be treated as late filed and/or untimely, unless later filing is authorized by statute. Copies of filed notices of leaves of absence must be submitted to the Court as required by Uniform Superior Court Rule 16.1.

Correspondence and pleadings directed to Judge Russell or her staff are to be submitted via regular mail or courier, unless you are given express permission to do otherwise. Unsolicited and/or unauthorized filings or correspondence submitted via e-mail or fax will not be accepted or considered.

Moore

From the Chambers of
Judge Constance C. Russell
Fulton County Superior Court
Atlanta Judicial Circuit

Bruce P. Brown Esq.
BRUCE P. BROWN LAW, LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd, N.E., Suite 6
Atlanta, GA 30306

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDAC
Date: 11/28/2018 10:02 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV313418**

PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR CLARIFICATION AND
TO REQUEST SETTING OF TRIAL DATE

Plaintiffs file this motion seeking clarification of the scope of the proceedings currently scheduled for next Thursday, December 6, 2018, at 1pm, and to request that the Court set a date for the taking of testimony and other evidence as contemplated by the Election Contest statute, including O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525. This clarification and scheduling is necessary so that the parties may subpoena witnesses, examine essential electronic evidence, and make travel and other

arrangements for experts and counsel, and otherwise prepare and plan for trial on the merits.

Pursuant to Order entered earlier today, the Court has directed the Clerk to issue summons to the defendants and candidates for them to answer and appear on December 6, 2018, at 1 p.m. This is, of course, consistent with O.C.G.A. § 21-2-524(f), which states that the Court shall issue notice requiring defendants "to appear and answer such petition, on a day to be fixed in such notice, not more than ten days nor less than five days after the service of such notice."

The actual trial of the matter, however, is governed by O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(a), which states: "Within 20 days after the return day fixed in the notice as provided in subsection (a) of Code Section 21-2-524 to the defendant" [that is, the day fixed for the defendant to "to appear and answer"] "the presiding judge shall fix a place and time for the hearing of the contest proceeding." O.C.G.A. § 21-2-526 then states that all issues of a contest "shall be fully tried and determined by the court" without a jury, unless a jury is demanded by a party before the call of the case.

The Election Contest statute does not prohibit the scheduling of the trial for the same day as the answer, provided the defendants have adequate notice. Each

of the defendants have been on actual notice of the Petition since November 24, 2018, and should be served with formal process shortly.

However, it is not clear if the December 6th date is the "appear and answer" date under O.C.G.A. § 21-2-524(f), or the answer date *and* the date for the evidentiary hearing and trial of the matter under O.C.G.A. § 21-2-526 necessary for the Court to "fully" try and determine the issues raised in this contest. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-527 (a).

Plaintiffs would respectfully request that the trial date be set for a date no earlier than the week of December 10, 2018, and Plaintiffs anticipate the trial to last at least two days. Plaintiffs note that a recent election contest (Gasaway v Ellison 2018cv249 in Banks County Superior Court) for a State House primary election lasted one and one-half days,[1] and it is reasonable to anticpate this case lasting as long, as this case involves multiple and complex irregularities created by a technologically flawed electronic voting system. Plaintiffs anticipate calling a number of voters, pollworkers and pollwatchers who were eye-witnesses to alarming machine irregularities (such as the ballot not including the Lt. Governor's

[1] The May 22, 2018 Republican Primary for House District 28 was contested on June 7, 2018 and involved multiple counties. Pleadings and exchanges of information occurred over the course of the summer, culminating in a trial on September 18 and 19, 2018, approximately 4 months after the contested election. The Court's order for a new election set for December 4, 2018 was issued on September 20, 2018.

race, and repetitive "vote flipping"), and expert election statisticians to testify that there is no plausible explanation for the vast differences between machine and paper votes other than some kind of machine malfunction or programming error. In addition, Plaintiffs will be filing a motion to inspect a sample population of the suspect electronic voting equipment to determine whether there is an extant electronic evidence of the causes of the election machine malfunctions.

Based on the foregoing, Plaintiffs request a clarification as to the schedule and respectfully suggest the following:

1. That the Court hold a preliminary hearing or status conference at the time specified, 1 pm on December 6, to address preliminarily issues related to the trial of the matter, including stipulations, evidence, discovery, necessary parties, etc., as well as any special issues raised under the election contest laws.
2. That the Court fix a date for the trial itself, for two days no earlier than the week of December 10, 2018, and taking into consideration realistic dates for necessary forensic examination and submission of pre-trial briefing. Though Plaintiffs believe that the uncontroverted evidence will establish that the election was "so defective" as to "place in doubt the

result," 21-2-526(d), Plaintiffs would recommend that two days be reserved for the trial of the case.

Respectfully submitted this 28th day of November, 2018.

/s/ Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Georgia Bar No. 064460
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com
Bruce P. Brown Law LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE
Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700

Attorney for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing via the Court's e-filing system to the attorneys of record who have made appearances.

This 28th day of November, 2018.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDLS
Date: 11/28/2018 10:14 AM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE,	*	
RHONDA J. MARTIN,	*	Civil Action No. : 2018CV313418
SMYTHE DUVAL,	*	
JEANNE DUFORT	*	
Plaintiff(s)	*	
v.	*	
	*	
ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia,		
FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS,		
GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS,		
DEKALB COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS,		
GEOFF DUNCAN		

ORDER TO ISSUE NOTICE OF SPECIAL PROCESS

In accordance with OCGA 21-2-524(f) each Defendant and Candidate named in the above styled action shall be summoned to appear and answer Plaintiffs' Petition to Contest Election Result filed on November 23, 2018. The parties shall answer and appear before the Court on December 6, 2018 at 1:00 p.m. in Courtroom 8F of the Superior Court of Fulton County.

The Clerk of Court shall, as required by the statute, issue a notice of special process to the Sheriff of Fulton County. The Sheriff of Fulton County and/or Plaintiff shall ensure that personal service of the Notice of Process and Petition on all parties is accomplished not less than five days prior to December 6, 2018.

So Ordered this 28 Day of Nov 18

JUDGE CONSTANCE C. RUSSELL
FULTON COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT
ATLANTA JUDICIAL CIRCUIT

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDLW
Date: 11/28/2018 3:21 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, JEANNE DUFORT Plaintiffs, v. ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS, GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, DEKALB COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, GEOFF DUNCAN Defendants.	)	CIVIL ACTION FILE NO.: 2018-CV-313418

NOTICE OF APPEARANCE

COMES NOW BRYAN P. TYSON, and, pursuant to Rule 4.2 of the Uniform Superior Court Rules, hereby enters his appearance as counsel for Defendant Gwinnett County Board of Registrations and Elections. Copies of all further pleadings, orders, and notices should be sent to:

Bryan P. Tyson
bpt@sbllaw.net
Strickland Brockington Lewis LLP
1170 Peachtree Street NE
Suite 2200
Atlanta, Georgia 30309
Phone: (678) 347-2200
Facsimile: (678) 347-2210

Respectfully submitted this 28th day of November, 2018.

STRICKLAND BROCKINGTON LEWIS LLP

/s/ Bryan P. Tyson
Bryan P. Tyson
Georgia Bar No. 515411
bpt@sbllaw.net
Midtown Proscenium Suite 2200
1170 Peachtree Street NE
Atlanta, Georgia 30309
(678) 347-2200 (telephone)
(678) 347-2210 (facsimile)

Attorney for Defendant Gwinnett County Board of Registrations and Elections

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, JEANNE DUFORT Plaintiffs, v. ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS, GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, DEKALB COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, GEOFF DUNCAN Defendants.	))	CIVIL ACTION FILE NO.: 2018-CV-313418

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that I have this day served a copy of the foregoing **NOTICE OF APPEARANCE** with the Clerk of Court using the *Odyssey* eFileGA electronic filing system, which will send electronic notification of such filing to all counsel of record.

This 28th day of November, 2018.

/s/ Bryan P. Tyson
Bryan P. Tyson
Georgia Bar No. 515411

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDMH
Date: 11/29/2018 10:48 AM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV31348**

SPECIAL PROCESS SUMMONS
FOR PETITION TO CONTEST ELECTION RESULT

To the following Defendants:

1. Robyn A. Crittenden, Secretary of State of Georgia
2. The Fulton County Board of Registration and Elections
3. The DeKalb County Board of Registrations and Elections
4. The Gwinnett County Board of Elections and Registration
5. Geoff Duncan

And to the following Candidates who participated in the Election for Lieutenant Governor, held November 6, 2018:

1. Sarah R. Amico
2. Geoff Duncan

In accordance with O.C.G.A. § 21-2-524(f), each Defendant and Candidate listed above is hereby summoned and required to appear before the **SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY** to answer Plaintiff's **Petition to Contest Election Result** (the "Petition"), timely filed on November 23, 2018, and assigned case number 2018CV313418. A copy of the Petition is attached hereto.

You shall answer and appear before the Court **ON DECEMBER 6, 2018 AT 1:00 p.m., in COURTROOM 8F of the SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY.**

This 29 day of November, 2018.

Honorable Cathelene "Tina" Robinson
Clerk of Superior Court
By:

Deputy Clerk

To the Defendant / Candidate upon whom this petition is served:

This copy of the Summons and Petition was served upon you this _____ day of _____ 2018.

Deputy Sheriff

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDDG
Date: 11/29/2018 5:31 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV31348**

PLAINTIFFS' EMERGENCY MOTION FOR INSPECTION OF ELECTRONIC ELECTION EQUIPMENT AND PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

Pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 9-11-34(a)(1), Plaintiffs file this Emergency Motion for Inspection of Electronic Voting Equipment and Production of Documents, and show the Court the following:

I. Summary

There is substantial evidence that the electronic voting equipment used in the 2018 general election was "so defective" "as to place in doubt the result" of the

election for Lieutenant Governor, including scores of voters' and eye-witness reports of malfunctioning Direct Electronic Recording ("DRE") touchscreen machines and an aberrant pattern of votes from DRE machines that does not appear in the 230,000+ votes cast on paper ballots. *See* O.C.G.A. § 21-2-527(d). *See Taggart v. Phillips,* 242 Ga. 454, 455 (1978) ("doubt may be cast on an election by showing improper maintenance of the voting machines resulting in votes being miscast"). Additionally, there are material and verifiable reports of machine malfunctions including "vote flipping," the premature casting of ballots without voter review, and irregularities of vote counts appearing on the DRE voting machine poll tapes.

Vital to the determination of the causes of these irregularities is an expert forensic examination of the electronic equipment itself, equipment that is currently in the possession of Defendants and third-parties but which may be made available for immediate inspection without disruption of ongoing election activity. This examination must occur immediately because of an imminent trial and because the Supreme Court of Georgia has repeatedly instructed that "litigants should make every effort to dispose of election disputes with dispatch." *Payne v. Chatman,* 267 Ga. 873, 876 (1997). The requested examination is expressly authorized by O.C.G.A. § 9-11-34(a)(1), which allows the inspection, sampling and testing of

"any tangible things" that are relevant to the subject matter of the litigation. Additionally, some of the voting machines to which some of the irregularities can be traced are improperly being prepared for use in the December 4, runoff election in violation of preservation requirements in the Election Code. Others of the machines are not properly secured to protect the electronic evidence on those machines.

In addition, the immediate production of a well-defined set of documents relating to complaints that the Defendants received from voters about the equipment is appropriate.

II. Motion

Plaintiffs accordingly move the Court to enter an order granting the following relief. A proposed order is filed herewith.

1. Allowing Plaintiffs to serve each of the Defendants with requests under O.C.G.A. § 9-11-34 for the inspection, sampling and copying of the electronic election equipment and related election records in the particular Defendants' possession identified on the attached Exhibit A and Exhibit B. For example, Gwinnett County Board would be responsible for making available the equipment identified on the attached Exhibits A and B used in Gwinnett County, etc. The Secretary would be responsible for making available the DRE equipment which is

owned by the state that is currently located in other counties which are not Defendant counties.

2. The inspection, sampling and copy shall proceed during the week of December 3, 2018, at a place and dates to be agreed upon by the parties. If the parties do not reach an agreement within forty-eight hours, Plaintiffs' counsel will notify the Court and the Court will decide on a place and time for the inspection, sampling and copying.

3. Representative of the Defendants may be present throughout any such inspection, sampling or copying.

4. Plaintiffs' representatives shall be permitted to make copies of any of the electronic files associated with the electronic equipment that is being inspected.

5. Given that one purpose of the inspection is to ascertain the nature of the malfunctions and operations of the electronic voting equipment in an election, Defendants shall make available to Plaintiffs' representatives additional equipment, information or programming necessary to make the electronic equipment operative, such as a/c power, internet connections, voter cards, supervisor cards, passwords, etc.

6. Defendants shall also produce responsive documents or make such documents available for inspection and, if necessary, copying.

III. Discussion

A. O.C.G.A. § 9-11-34

O.C.G.A. § 9-11-34, is titled "Production of documents and things and entry upon land for inspection and other purposes." The rule gives a party the right to inspect, sample and copy any electronic machinery, electronic programming and electronically stored information. *See* Comments to the 1970 Amendment to Rule 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure ("Comments"). *Norfolk S. Ry. Co. v. Hartry,* 316 Ga. App. 532, 534 (2012) (discussing O.C.G.A. § 9-11-34, noting that "the Georgia discovery rules are based on the 1970 amendments to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure"). This broad right of discovery encompasses the right to inspect and investigate the operation of equipment or machines in the other party's possession. *Comments, supra.*

B. Relevance of Requested Discovery

Without doubt, the operation of the electronic voting equipment and related electronic and paper records in Defendants' possession is highly relevant to this case. There is substantial credible evidence that the equipment malfunctioned or was misprogrammed. Though some malicious computer viruses are designed to wreak havoc and then destroy themselves, leaving no trace, evidence of "mere" programming errors, maintenance problems, less sophisticated malicious viruses,

or other causes of these malfunctions may still exist on this equipment and related electronic records. To potentially determine the causes of these malfunctions, Plaintiffs are entitled to inspect a fair sample of the equipment and related electronic records.

In addition, as to the production of documents, Defendant Secretary maintained a "hot-line" for voters to use when experiencing voting difficulty. Documents reflecting these complaints may be relevant to the issues before the Court.

C. Reasonableness of Request

The Election Code contemplates the preservation and inspection of the DRE voting computer information for purposes of an election contest as indicated in Georgia Election Rule 1-183-12-.02(6)(d), which states:

> *The election results, ballot styles, ballot images, and other information for each election* ***stored in the internal memory storage of each DRE unit*** *shall be maintained for a minimum of one month following each election after which time the results may be erased* ***provided that there are no election contests pending concerning such election.***

There is a compelling need for this Rule to be followed and enforced: DRE voting units have no auditable or verifiable paper trail that can be used in an election contest to determine the actual electronic votes as intended by voters; therefore, forensic review after an election may be required to determine the

presence or source of programming errors, machine malfunction, malicious manipulation, or viruses. Such critical electronic information regarding the irregularity may be available ***only*** in the internal memory of the DRE machine. Rule 1-183-12-.02(6)(d) contemplates that the internal memory may need to be accessed in an election contest such as this case and orders the preservation of machines used in the election in controversy.

Such electronic records of the presence and introduction of unauthorized or irregular programs or data are most likely to be located through forensic examination of unaltered internal memory data, not merely selected files of ballot images, ballot programming or tallies. Forensic examination of the machines that voters have identified as having problems should be conducted using those specific machines in the unaltered condition in which they were used in the polling place with their unaltered memory cards. Replicating problems that the voters experienced will be made more difficult and impossible if the DRE machines are re-programmed or subjected to risk of insecure conditions such as polling places.

Given the compelling need for an inspection of the malfunctioning electronic election equipment, the requested relief is reasonable and appropriate. Defendants can make this equipment available for inspection and copying without material impact upon its provisioning of equipment for the ongoing elections. For

the December 4, 2018 run off, the State does not need and is not planning to use all the voting machines used in the November 6, 2018 election. The turn-out for the run-off elections, and the State's resulting need for the equipment, is far less than for the general election. The short ballot, with only two races, reduces voting time, also reducing the number of voting machines required, and early voting locations are significantly fewer for the December runoff. The locations for early voting in the metro area, for example, has been slashed from 31 to 15 in Fulton County, 10 to 3 in DeKalb County, and 8 to 1 in Gwinnett County. In addition, the requested equipment is a small fraction of the State's inventory, which consists of over 27,000 DRE machines and associated gear.

Supporting and related electronic equipment and paper records are also required in order for voting system computer experts and forensic scientists to identify the sources of the problems causing the irregularities.

Respectfully submitted this 29th day of November, 2018.

/s/ Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Georgia Bar No. 064460
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com
Bruce P. Brown Law LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE, Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700

Attorney for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing via the Court's e-filing system to the attorneys of record who have made appearances.

This 29th day of November, 2018.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown

EXHIBIT A

DRE Machines Required for Inspection

All DRE machines used in the November 6, 2018 election that fit the following descriptions of DRE voting machines:

1. All machines taken out of service, temporarily or permanently.
2. All machines for which voter complaints were received regarding "vote flipping" or "slipping" –where voter's vote was recorded for a different choice than voter indicated on the touch screen.
3. All machines reported as not permitting the voter to review the summary screen and press the final review/cast vote target area before the machine cast the ballot. (There have been numerous reports of summary screen displaying for a short time before automatically casting ballot without voter touching the screen.)
4. All machines reported as not displaying the Lieutenant Governor's race on the initial voting screen.
5. All machines reporting one or more blank ballots.
6. All machines for which the public counter number on the machine tape is different than the total ballots cast on the machine tape.

EXHIBIT

B

COUNTY	PRECINCT(S)	POLLING LOCATION(S)	Specific DREs and associated memory cards	Other equipment and electronic records	Other related records
Bleckley	Early voting	County Courthouse	2 (SN unknown)--EARLY VOTING		
Chatham	2-07c	Christ Community Church at Morningside	0 (SN Unknown)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Clarke	240	8c Firestation 7	3 (SN 158013)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Clarke	10	Winterville Train Depot	2 (SN 121031) 3 (SN 121481)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Clarke	80	Thomas N Lay Park.	3 (SN 135990) 4 (SN 135991)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Clayton	260	Lovejoy High	SN: 123994		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Clayton	21/114	Kemp Elementary	all including 3 (SN 114416)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Cobb	Early voiing	N Cobb Senior Center	4 (SN unknown)--EARLY VOTING	Cobb GEMS programming CD	Final GEMS results report certified by BoE
Cobb	Early voiing	East Cobb Government Center	SN 122966		
Cobb	2150	Marietta 7A	2 (SN 111475) and 3 (SN 137969)		Copy of DRE machine tapes ; Precinct recap sheet
Cobb	1330	Dickerson 01	all machines including 1 (SN 138013)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Columbia	Early voiing	Ronald Reagan Building	Machine 16 (SN unknown)--EARLY VOTING		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
DeKalb	1260	Oakcliff Elementary School	all	DeKalb GEMS programming CD	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
DeKalb	1070	Midvale Elem	All		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
DeKalb		Decatur First Christian Church	All --Election Day	ExpressPoll units incuding voter list data card; GEMS programming CD,	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
DeKalb	750	Hawthorne Elem	all machines	DeKalb GEMS programming CD	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
DeKalb	880	Lakeside High	all machines		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
DeKalb	1770	Tucker Library	all machines		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Douglas		Mirror Lake Elementary	All --Election Day	ExpressPoll units including voter list data card; GEMS programming CD	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Fannin	40	Hemptown	1 (SN 208952)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Forsyth	27	27 Concord	All including (SN 149722) 12 (SN 146030) 15 (SN 130272)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Fulton	02J, 02K, 06G	Grady High School	All including 2 (SN 124301) and 3 (SN 136081)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Fulton	2710	Esther Jackson Elem	All including 1 (SN 126005) and SN 125547		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Fulton	2560	River Eves Elem	SN: 121736		Final GEMS results report certified by BoE
Fulton	02D, 05J, 06F	Butler Street Baptist Church.	0 (SN 149323), 1 (SN 144439), 2 (SN 111091), 16 (SN 122853)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Fulton	06B, 06J	Inman Middle School	ALL		
Fulton	SS18A, SS18B, SS31	Sandy Springs Christian Church	3 (SN 124392)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Fulton	SS03	Lake Forest Elementary	SN 144220 and SN 107088		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Fulton	Early voting	Either N. Annex or Central office.	SN 297220--EARLY VOTING	Express Poll units at this location including voter list data card; Fulton GEMS programming CD	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Fulton		New Life Presbyterian Church	3, 4, 7 (SN unknown)	Express Poll units including voter list data card	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Fulton	Early voting	Ponce de Leon library	All machines	Express Poll units including voter list data card	Final GEMS results report certified by BoE
Fulton	01D, 01E	Georgia Hill	all including 7 (SN 139762) and 2 (SN 117516)	Express Poll units including voter list data card	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Fulton	2570	Elkins Pointe	4 (SN 121631)		
Greene	8	Lakeside Church	3, 4 (SN unknown)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Gwinnett	960	096 Duluth G	1 (SN 273306)	Gwinnett programming CD	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Gwinnett	1500	150 Duluth K Shorty Howell	1 (SN 297780)		
Gwinnett	Early voting	Gwinnett County BoE	All including Last machine in back corner of room"--EARLY VOTING		All precinct recap sheets, copy of all DRE machine tapes
Hall	23	Gainsville 1st Church of Nazarene	2 (SN unknown)		
Morgan	30	Beth/Springfield	1 (SN 143704), 0 (SN 120925)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Morgan	10	Northeast	all including 2 (SN 127715)	Express Poll units including voter list data card; programming CD	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Muscogee	early voting	Citizen Service Center	All	Express Poll units including voter list data card; programming CD	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes

GA004800

Randolph	All	All locations-election day	Programming CD for GEMS	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Spalding	16	Gary Reid Fire Station	All including SN 133123 Express Poll units including voter list data card; programming CD	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Union	50	Owltown	all including 2 (SN 128303) and 3 (SN 129424) Express Poll units including voter list data card; programming CD	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Walker	30	Rock Spring	7 (SN 288261) and 8 (SN 221353)	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes

GA004801

EXHIBIT A

DRE Machines Required for Inspection

All DRE machines used in the November 6, 2018 election that fit the following descriptions of DRE voting machines:

1. All machines taken out of service, temporarily or permanently.
2. All machines for which voter complaints were received regarding "vote flipping" or "slipping" –where voter's vote was recorded for a different choice than voter indicated on the touch screen.
3. All machines reported as not permitting the voter to review the summary screen and press the final review/cast vote target area before the machine cast the ballot. (There have been numerous reports of summary screen displaying for a short time before automatically casting ballot without voter touching the screen.)
4. All machines reported as not displaying the Lieutenant Governor's race on the initial voting screen.
5. All machines reporting one or more blank ballots.
6. All machines for which the public counter number on the machine tape is different than the total ballots cast on the machine tape.

EXHIBIT

B

COUNTY	PRECINCT(S)	POLLING LOCATION(S)	Specific DREs and associated memory cards	Other equipment and electronic records	Other related records
Bleckley	Early voting	County Courthouse	2 (SN unknown)--EARLY VOTING		
Chatham	2-07c	Christ Community Church at Morningside	0 (SN Unknown)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Clarke	240	Bc Firestation 7	3 (SN 158013)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Clarke	10	Winterville Train Depot	2 (SN 121031) 3 (SN 121481)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Clarke	80	Thomas N Lay Park.	3 (SN 135990) 4 (SN 135991)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Clayton	260	Lovejoy High	SN: 123994		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Clayton	21/114	Kemp Elementary	all including 3 (SN 114416)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Cobb	Early voiing	N Cobb Senior Center	4 (SN unknown)--EARLY VOTING	Cobb GEMS programming CD	Final GEMS results report certified by BoE
Cobb	Early voiing	East Cobb Government Center	SN 122966		
Cobb	2150	Marietta 7A	2 (SN 111475) and 3 (SN 137969)		Copy of DRE machine tapes ; Precinct recap sheet
Cobb	1330	Dickerson 01	all machines including 1 (SN 138013)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Columbia	Early voiing	Ronald Reagan Building	Machine 16 (SN unknown)--EARLY VOTING		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
DeKalb	1260	Oakcliff Elementary School	all	DeKalb GEMS programming CD	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
DeKalb	1070	Midvale Elem	All		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
DeKalb		Decatur First Christian Church	All --Election Day	ExpressPoll units incuding voter list data card; GEMS programming CD,	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
DeKalb	750	Hawthorne Elem	all machines	DeKalb GEMS programming CD	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
DeKalb	880	Lakeside High	all machines		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
DeKalb	1770	Tucker Library	all machines		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Douglas		Mirror Lake Elementary	All --Election Day	ExpressPoll units including voter list data card; GEMS programming CD	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Fannin	40	Hemptown	1 (SN 208952)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Forsyth	27	27 Concord	All including (SN 149722) 12 (SN 146030) 15 (SN 130272)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Fulton	02J, 02K, 06G	Grady High School	All including 2 (SN 124301) and 3 (SN 136081)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Fulton	2710	Esther Jackson Elem	All including 1 (SN 126005) and SN 125547		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Fulton	2560	River Eves Elem	SN: 121736		Final GEMS results report certified by BoE
Fulton	02D, 05J, 06F	Butler Street Baptist Church.	0 (SN 149323), 1 (SN 144439), 2 (SN 111091), 16 (SN 122853)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Fulton	06B, 06J	Inman Middle School	ALL		
Fulton	SS18A, SS18B, SS31	Sandy Springs Christian Church	3 (SN 124392)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Fulton	SS03	Lake Forest Elementary	SN 144220 and SN 107088		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Fulton	Early voting	Either N. Annex or Central office.	SN 297220--EARLY VOTING	Express Poll units at this location including voter list data card; Fulton GEMS programming CD	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Fulton		New Life Presbyterian Church	3, 4, 7 (SN unknown)	Express Poll units including voter list data card	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Fulton	Early voting	Ponce de Leon library	All machines	Express Poll units including voter list data card	Final GEMS results report certified by BoE
Fulton	01D, 01E	Georgia Hill	all including 7 (SN 139762) and 2 (SN 117516)	Express Poll units including voter list data card	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Fulton	2570	Elkins Pointe	4 (SN 121631)		
Greene	8	Lakeside Church	3, 4 (SN unknown)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Gwinnett	960	096 Duluth G	1 (SN 273306)	Gwinnett programming CD	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Gwinnett	1500	150 Duluth K Shorty Howell	1 (SN 297780)		
Gwinnett	Early voting	Gwinnett County BoE	All including Last machine in back corner of room"--EARLY VOTING		All precinct recap sheets, copy of all DRE machine tapes
Hall	23	Gainsville 1st Church of Nazarene	2 (SN unknown)		
Morgan	30	Beth/Springfield	1 (SN 143704), 0 (SN 120925)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Morgan	10	Northeast	all including 2 (SN 127715)	Express Poll units including voter list data card; programming CD	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Muscogee	early voting	Citizen Service Center	All	Express Poll units including voter list data card; programming CD	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes

GA004805

Randolph	All	All locations-election day	Programming CD for GEMS	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Spalding	16	Gary Reid Fire Station	All including SN 133123 Express Poll units including voter list data card; programming CD	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Union	50	Owltown	all including 2 (SN 128303) and 3 (SN 129424) Express Poll units including voter list data card; programming CD	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Walker	30	Rock Spring	7 (SN 288261) and 8 (SN 221353)	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes

GA004806

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDQW
Date: 11/29/2018 4:14 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE *et al.*, Petitioners v. ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, *et al.*, Respondents	CIVIL ACTION 2018CV313418

ORDER RE-ASSIGNING CASE TO SEVENTH JUDICIAL ADMINISTRATIVE DISTRICT

Petitioners contest the result of the 6 November 2018 general election for Lieutenant Governor for the State of Georgia and have filed a legal challenge to that election here in Fulton County pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520 *et seq.* The Clerk of Court for the Superior Court of Fulton County, per standing Court policy for civil actions, randomly assigned this matter to the Honorable Constance C. Russell. However, O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520 electoral challenges must be assigned in a specific manner as provided for in O.C.G.A. § 21-2-523. That provision directs the Administrative Judge of the Judicial Administrative District in which the case was filed to make the assignment -- unless the case was filed in the Administrative Judge's Judicial Circuit. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-523(c). When, as here, the case is brought in the Administrative Judge's Circuit, the Administrative Judge must transfer the case to an adjoining Judicial Administrative District, where that District's Administrative Judge shall then assign the case to "a superior court judge from that District ... or a senior judge who is not a resident of the Circuit wherein the proceeding was filed." O.C.G.A. § 21-2-523(d).

What all that means, in plain English, is that the undersigned hereby selects the Honorable Ralph Van Pelt, Jr., Administrative Judge of the adjoining Seventh Judicial Administrative District, to determine, pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 21-2-523(d), which Superior Court judge, active or senior, shall hear this case. The matter is no longer assigned to the Honorable Constance C. Russell, this Circuit, or this District.

SO ORDERED this 29th day of November 2018.



Chief Judge Robert C.I. McBurney
Superior Court of Fulton County
Atlanta Judicial Circuit
Fifth Judicial Administrative District

Filed and served electronically via eFileGA

Copies to:

The Honorable Ralph Van Pelt, Jr.
The Honorable Constance C. Russell
Yolanda Lewis, District Court Administrator, 5th JAD
Jody Overcash, District Court Administrator, 7th JAD

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDBR
Date: 11/30/2018 1:18 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY

STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, et al.,	*	**CIVIL ACTION NO.**
	*	**2018-CV-313418**
Petitioners	*	
V.	*	
ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,	*	
Respondents	*	

ORDER OF APPOINTMENT

There being the need for the temporary services of an additional Superior Court Judge in the Atlanta Judicial Circuit; and

WHEREAS, The Honorable Adele Grubbs, Senior Judge, Superior Court, State of Georgia, has agreed to assist the court in said assignment;

It is HEREBY ORDERED that Honorable Adele Grubbs, Senior Judge, Superior Court, State of Georgia, is hereby appointed to preside in this action, said appointment becoming effective contemporaneously with the filing of this Order and continuing until such time thereafter as is reasonably necessary to dispose of said case.

The Honorable Adele Grubbs is hereby authorized and empowered to preside and discharge all the duties, power and authority of a Judge of the Superior Courts of the Atlanta Judicial Circuit in Fulton Superior Court.

This assignment is made because the judges of the Atlanta Judicial Circuit have voluntarily recused themselves from participation in the aforementioned matter.

Let this Order, or a copy hereof, be filed with the Clerk of the Superior Court of Fulton County, Georgia, and with the office of the Seventh Judicial Administrative District.

This, the 30th day of November, 2018

Ralph Van Pelt, Jr., ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE
SEVENTH JUDICIAL ADMINISTRATIVE DISTRICT

cc. The Honorable Adele Grubbs

GA004810

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDRM
Date: 11/30/2018 12:18 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY

STATE OF GEORGIA

	*	
COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, et al.,		**CIVIL ACTION NO.**
	*	**2018-CV-313418**
Petitioners		
	*	
V.		
	*	
ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,	*	
Respondents	*	

ORDER OF APPOINTMENT

There being the need for the temporary services of an additional Superior Court Judge in the Atlanta Judicial Circuit; and

WHEREAS, The Honorable Adele Grubbs, Senior Judge, Superior Court, State of Georgia, has agreed to assist the court in said assignment;

It is HEREBY ORDERED that Honorable Adele Grubbs, Senior Judge, Superior Court, State of Georgia, is hereby appointed to preside in this action, said appointment becoming effective contemporaneously with the filing of this Order and continuing until such time thereafter as is reasonably necessary to dispose of said case.

The Honorable Adele Grubbs is hereby authorized and empowered to preside and discharge all the duties, power and authority of a Judge of the Superior Courts of the Atlanta Judicial Circuit in Fulton Superior Court.

This assignment is made because the judges of the Atlanta Judicial Circuit have voluntarily recused themselves from participation in the aforementioned matter.

Let this Order, or a copy hereof, be filed with the Clerk of the Superior Court of Fulton County, Georgia, and with the office of the Seventh Judicial Administrative District.

This, the 30th day of November, 2018

Ralph Van Pelt, Jr., ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE
SEVENTH JUDICIAL ADMINISTRATIVE DISTRICT

cc. The Honorable Adele Grubbs

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDLW
Date: 11/30/2018 5:06 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, and JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS, GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, DEKALB COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, and GEOFF DUNCAN,** **Defendants.**	**Civil Action No.: 2018CV313418**

NOTICE OF APPEARANCE OF COUNSEL

COME NOW Edward H. Lindsey Jr. Georgia Bar No. 453075 and Samuel S. Olens, Georgia Bar No. 551540, of Dentons US LLP, 303 Peachtree Street, NE, Suite 5300, Atlanta, Georgia 30308, 404-527-4000, and pursuant to Uniform Rules for the Superior Court, Section 4.2, hereby notify this Court and counsel of record of their entry of appearance as counsel on behalf of Geoff Duncan, in this case.

This 30th day of November 2018.

DENTONS US LLP

/s/ Edward H. Lindsey Jr
Edward H. Lindsey Jr.
Georgia Bar No. 453075
Edward.lindsey@dentons.com
Samuel S. Olens
Georgia Bar No. 551540
Samuel.olens@dentons.com

DENTONS US LLP
303 Peachtree Street, Suite 5300
Atlanta, GA 3038
(404) 527-4000
(404) 527-4198 (facsimile)

Attorneys for Geoff Duncan

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the foregoing **NOTICE OF APPEARANCE OF COUNSEL** has been served upon all interested parties via U.S. Mail, postage prepaid to:

Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown Law
1123 Zonolite Road, N.E.
Suite #6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306

Cristina Correia
Senior Assistant Attorney General
Georgia Department of Law
40 Capitol Square SW
Atlanta, Georgia 30334

Bryan P. Tyson
Strickland Brockington Lewis LLP
1170 Peachtree St NE
Suite 2200
Atlanta, GA 30309

Patrise Perkins-Hooker
Fulton County Government
141 Pryor Street
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

R. David Ware
Hall Booth Smith, P.C.
191 Peachtree Tower
191 Peachtree Street
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Viviane Ernstes
DeKalb County GA
1300 Commerce Drive
Decatur, Georgia 30030

Josh Belinfante
Robbins Ross Alloy Belinfante Littlefield LLC
500 14th Street, NW
Atlanta, Georgia 30318

This 30th day of November, 2018.

/s/ Edward H. Lindsey Jr.

109740025\V-1

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDLW
Date: 11/30/2018 3:41 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT,	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	CIVIL ACTION
v.	)	FILE NO: 2018CV313418
ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS, GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, DEKALB COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, and GEOFF DUNCAN,	)	
Defendants.	)	

NOTICE OF APPEARANCE

Pursuant to Uniform Superior Court Rule 4.2, Attorney General Christopher M. Carr, Deputy Attorney General Annette M. Cowart, Senior Assistant Attorney General Russell D. Willard, and Special Assistant Attorneys General Josh Belinfante, Vincent R. Russo, Brian E. Lake, and Alexander Denton hereby enter their appearance in the above-captioned proceeding as counsel for Defendant Robyn A. Crittenden, Secretary of State of Georgia.

Attorney bar numbers, current office address, telephone number, fax number, and email addresses are provided below.

This 30th day of November, 2018.

Christopher M. Carr
Attorney General
Georgia Bar No. 112505
Annette M. Cowart
Deputy Attorney General
Georgia Bar No. 191199
Russell D. Willard
Senior Assistant Attorney General
Georgia Bar No. 760280
State Law Department
40 Capitol Square, S.W.
Atlanta, GA 30334
Telephone: (404) 656-3357

/s/ Josh Belinfante
Josh Belinfante
Georgia Bar No: 047399
jbelinfante@robbinsfirm.com
Vincent R. Russo
Georgia Bar No. 242628
vrusso@robbinsfirm.com
Brian E. Lake
Georgia Bar No. 575966
blake@robbinsfirm.com
Alexander Denton
Georgia Bar No. 660632
adenton@robbinsfirm.com
Special Assistant Attorneys General
Robbins Ross Alloy Belinfante Littlefield LLC
500 14th Street, N.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30318
Telephone: (678) 701-9381
Facsimile: (404) 856-3250

Attorneys for Defendant Robyn A. Crittenden,
Secretary of State of Georgia

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served the within and foregoing **NOTICE OF APPEARANCE** on all parties by electronically filing it with the Clerk of the Court using the Odyssey eFileGA system, which will automatically send an email notification of such filing to counsel for the parties.

This 30th day of November, 2018.

/s/ Josh Belinfante
Josh Belinfante

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDQW
Date: 11/30/2018 12:41 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV31348**

[PROPOSED] ORDER
GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' EMERGENCY MOTION FOR INSPECTION OF ELECTRONICELECTION EQUIPMENT
AND PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

Before the Court is the Plaintiffs' Emergency Motion for Inspection of Electronic Voting Equipment and Production of Documents. Based upon the Motion, and the other filings of record, the Court finds that the Motion should be GRANTED and orders the following:

1. Plaintiffs may serve each of the Defendants with requests under O.C.G.A. § 9-11-34 for the inspection, sampling and copying of the electronic election equipment and related election records in the particular Defendants' possession identified on the attached Exhibit A and Exhibit B. The Secretary is responsible for making available the DRE equipment which is owned by the state that is currently located in other counties which are not Defendant counties.

2. The inspection, sampling and copy shall proceed during the week of December 3, 2018, at a place and dates to be agreed upon by the parties. If the parties do not reach an agreement within forty-eight hours, Plaintiffs' counsel will notify the Court and the Court will decide on a place and time for the inspection, sampling and copying.

3. Representative of the Defendants may be present throughout any such inspection, sampling or copying.

4. Plaintiffs' representatives shall be permitted to make copies of any of the electronic files associated with the electronic equipment that is being inspected.

5. Given that one purpose of the inspection is to ascertain the nature of the malfunctions and operations of the electronic voting equipment in an election, Defendants shall make available to Plaintiffs' representatives additional equipment, information or programming necessary to make the electronic

equipment operative, such as a/c power, internet connections, voter cards, supervisor cards, passwords, etc.

6. Defendants shall also produce responsive documents identified on Exhibit B or make such documents available for inspection and, if necessary, copying.

IT IS SO ORDERED this ___ day of _____, 2018.

_____
Superior Court Judge,
State of Georgia

Prepared by:
/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Georgia Bar No. 064460
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com
Bruce P. Brown Law LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE
Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700

Attorney for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing via the Court's e-filing system to the attorneys of record who have made appearances.

This 30th day of November, 2018.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDQW
Date: 12/3/2018 10:52 AM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, et. al. Petitioners,	*	CIVIL ACTION FILE NUMBER
	*	
	*	2018-CV-313418
	*	
VS.		
	*	
ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et. al. Respondents.	*	

ORDER

It is hereby ordered that each of the parties be and appear before Senior Judge Adele P. Grubbs of the State of Georgia on the **5th day of December 2018, 9:30 a.m. in Courtroom M202, 2nd Floor**, Cobb Magistrate Court, for hearing on all matters ripe to be heard in the above-styled case.

SO ORDERED this 1st day of December, 2018.

ADELE P. GRUBBS
SENIOR JUDGE, STATE OF GEORGIA
ASSISTING PER ORDER OF APPOINTMENT

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDLW
Date: 12/4/2018 1:10 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, and JEANNE DUFORT,	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV313418
v.	)	
ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS, GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, DEKALB COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, and GEOFF DUNCAN,	)	
Defendants.	)	

NOTICE OF APPEARANCE OF COUNSEL

COMES NOW Richard A. Carothers of the law firm Carothers & Mitchell, LLC, 1809 Buford Highway, Buford, Georgia 30518, and hereby enters his appearance as additional counsel for Defendant Gwinnett County Board of Registrations and Elections and requests that he be added to the docket as additional counsel for this defendant. All pleadings and other notices should be sent to him at the address below.

This 4th day of December, 2018.

Respectfully submitted,

CAROTHERS & MITCHELL, LLC

/s/ Richard A. Carothers
RICHARD A. CAROTHERS
Georgia Bar No. 111075
Attorneys for Defendant Gwinnett County Board of Registrations and Elections

1809 Buford Highway
Buford, GA 30518
Phone: 770-932-3552
Fax: 770-932-6348
richard.carothers@carmitch.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that I have this date served all counsel in the foregoing action with a true and correct copy of the **NOTICE OF APPEARANCE OF COUNSEL** with the Clerk of the Court using the Odyssey (EfileGa) system to the following:

Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown Law
1123 Zonolite Road NE, Suite 6
Atlanta, GA 30306
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com

Christopher M. Carr
Annette M. Cowart
Russell David Willard
Attorney General of Georgia
40 Capitol Square SW
Atlanta, GA 30334
ccar@law.ga.gov
acowart@law.ga.gov
rwillard@law.ga.gov

Joshua Barrett Belinfante
Alexander Fraser Denton
Vincent Robert Russo
Brian Lake
Robbins Ross Alloy Belinfante Littlefield, LLC
500 14th St. NW
Atlanta, GA 30318
jbelinfante@robbinsfirm.com
adenton@robbinsfirm.com
vrusso@robbinsfirm.com
blake@robbinsfirm.com

Edward H. Lindsey, Jr.
Dentons US LLP
303 Peachtree St. NE, Suite 5300
Atlanta, GA 30308
edward.lindsey@dentons.com

Bryan Paul Tyson
Strickland Brockington Lewis LLP
1170 Peachtree St. NE, Suite 2200
Atlanta, GA 30309
bpt@sbllaw.net

This 4th day of December, 2018.

CAROTHERS & MITCHELL, LLC

/s/ Richard A. Carothers
RICHARD A. CAROTHERS
Georgia Bar No. 111075

1809 Buford Highway
Buford, GA 30518
Phone: 770-932-3552
Fax: 770-932-6348
richard.carothers@carmitch.com

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDDG
Date: 12/4/2018 9:10 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, and JEANNE DUFORT,	*	
Plaintiffs,	*	
	*	**Civil Action No.: 2018CV313418**
v.	*	
ROBIN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS, GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, DEKALB COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS and ELECTIONS, AND GEOFF DUNCAN,	*	
Defendants.	*	

CONSOLIDATED MOTION TO DISMISS BY SPECIAL APPEARANCE AND BRIEF IN SUPPORT ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT ROBIN A. CRITTENDEN

COMES NOW, Robin A. Crittenden, Secretary of State of the State of Georgia ("Secretary Crittenden" or "Defendant"), by and through her counsel, Christopher M. Carr, the Attorney General of the State of Georgia, and specially appears to file this Motion to Dismiss the Petition to Contest Election Results. Secretary Crittenden specially files this motion since she has not been served in this lawsuit, and she does not waive any affirmative defenses or her right to answer in the event she is served. More specifically, since Secretary Crittenden has not been properly served in this lawsuit, she does not waive her right to raise lack of proper service of process at a later date – Secretary Crittenden does not waive service. The Petition should be dismissed pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 9-11-12(b)(1), (6), and (7).

INTRODUCTION

Plaintiffs filed this action to contest the November 6, 2018 General Election for Lieutenant Governor of the State of Georgia (the "Election") pursuant to Article 13, O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520, *et seq.*, of the Georgia Election Code. The Petition must be dismissed both as to Secretary Crittenden and in its entirety. First, Secretary Crittenden is not a proper party to this election challenge, and, therefore, the claims against her are barred by sovereign immunity. Second, Plaintiffs have failed to state valid claims for relief. Finally, the Petition fails to name all the necessary parties for an election contest.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

Plaintiffs are a Colorado-based organization and three individuals who claim to be aggrieved electors who were entitled to vote in the Election. Petition at ¶¶ 5-10. The results of the Election were certified on November 17, 2018, and the Republican candidate Geoff Duncan received the most votes. *Id.* at ¶ 17, 19.

ARGUMENT AND CITATION OF AUTHORITIES

I. Claims under O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520, *et seq.* Against Secretary Crittenden Are Barred By Sovereign Immunity.

O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2) lists four categories of possible defendants in contested election lawsuits, none of which include the Secretary of State. As such, Secretary Crittenden is not a proper party to this election challenge, and Plaintiffs' claims against her are barred by sovereign immunity. As discussed fully below, these claims must be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction because there is no waiver of sovereign immunity to authorize a lawsuit under Article 13 of the Election Code against a state agency or state officials acting in their official capacity.

A. Plaintiffs Cannot Demonstrate the Existence of a Clear, Unequivocal Waiver of Sovereign Immunity.

The "sweep of sovereign immunity" under the Georgia Constitution is "broad." *Olvera v. Univ. Sys. of Ga.'s Bd. of Regents*, 298 Ga. 425, 426 (2016). The 1991 amendment to the Georgia Constitution gave the legislature the "exclusive power to waive sovereign immunity":

> Except as specifically provided in this Paragraph, sovereign immunity extends to the state and all of its departments and agencies. The sovereign immunity of the state and its departments and agencies can *only be waived by an Act of the General Assembly which specifically provides that sovereign immunity is thereby waived and the extent of such waiver.*

Ga. Const. Art. I, Sec. II, Par. IX (e) (emphasis added). "Where the sovereign has sovereign immunity from a cause of action, and has not waived that immunity, the immunity rises to a constitutional right and cannot be abrogated by any court." *Ga. Dep't of County Health v. Neal*, 334 Ga. App. 851, 854 (2015); *see Georgia Dep't of Natural Resources v. Center for a Sustainable Coast*, 294 Ga. 593 (2014) ("The history of sovereign immunity in our State shows that the 1991 amendment intended to expressly reserve the power to waive sovereign immunity exclusively to the legislature.").

Georgia courts have also made clear that it is the Plaintiffs' burden to demonstrate the existence of an explicit waiver of sovereign immunity to authorize the suit. *See, e.g.*, *Neal*, 334 Ga. App. at 855 ("It is axiomatic that the party seeking to benefit from the waiver of sovereign immunity bears the burden of proving such waiver."). Thus, in order to survive this Motion, Plaintiffs must show the existence of a statute that specifically waives sovereign immunity by authorizing suits against the State in election contests under Article 13 of the Election Code. As discussed below, Plaintiffs cannot make such a showing here because the Election Code does not contain a waiver of sovereign immunity against the State and instead contains an explicit

legislative directive in O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2) that the Secretary of State is *not* subject to suit in an election contest.[1]

B. Secretary Crittenden is not Subject to Suit in an Election Contest.

In O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2), the General Assembly delineated only four categories of persons subject to suit in an election contest under Article 13 of the Election Code, and Secretary Crittenden does not fit within any of those four categories that are relevant to this case. While Plaintiffs state that Secretary Crittenden is a "de facto election superintendent" (Petition at ¶12), "election superintendent" is an explicitly defined term in the Election Code that does not include the Secretary of State.

Any argument that Secretary Crittenden is an "election superintendent" under O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2)(C) must fail because at no point in the Election Code is the Secretary of State described as an "election superintendent." In fact, definition of "superintendent" in the Election Code expressly excludes the Secretary of State. In O.C.G.A. § 21-2-2(35), the General Assembly defined "superintendent" for purposes of the Election Code. With the exception of municipal elections, a "superintendent" is defined as follows:

(35) "Superintendent" means:

> (A) Either *the judge of the probate court* of a county or *the county board of elections*, *the county board of elections and registration*, the *joint city-county board of elections*, or the *joint city-county board of elections and registrations*, if a county has such.

O.C.G.A. § 21-2-2(35)(A) (emphasis added). The Code defines "superintendent" as one of five possible *city* or *county* officials/entities: 1) the judge of the probate court of a county; 2) the county board of elections; 3) the county board of elections and registrations; 4) the joint city-

[1] The Georgia Supreme Court has repeatedly stated that sovereign immunity applies to public officials sued in their official capacities because these "are in reality suits against the state." *See, e.g., Sustainable Coast*, 294 Ga. at 599, n. 4 (citing *Cameron v. Lang*, 274 Ga. 122, 126 (2001)).

county board of elections; and 5) the joint city-county board of elections and registration. None of these five entities are state agencies or officials of any kind. Thus, the Secretary of State is not a "superintendent" for purposes of the Election Code and is not subject to suit in an election contest under O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2)(C).

It is a cardinal principle of statutory construction that courts must "presume that the General Assembly meant what it said and said what it meant." *Deal v. Coleman*, 294 Ga. 170, 172 (2013). "If the statutory text is clear and unambiguous [courts] attribute to the statute its plain meaning, and our search for statutory meaning is at an end." *Id.* at 173. Here, the definition of "superintendent" in the Election Code is clear and unambiguous, and it plainly does not include any state agencies or officials, including the Secretary of State.

While no further legal analysis is necessary when, as in this case, the statutory language is plain on its face, other well-established rules of statutory construction further demonstrate that the Secretary of State is not an election "superintendent" under O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2)(C). For example, courts often note that words in statutes must not be "interpreted in isolation; instead, courts must construe a statute to give sensible and intelligent effect to all of its provisions and must consider the statute in relation to other statutes of which it is part. *United States Bank Nat'l Assn v. Gordon*, 289 Ga. 12, 14–15 (2011) (internal quotations and citations omitted). "In particular, statutes 'in pari materia,' i.e., statutes relating to the same subject matter, must be construed together. *Id.* at 15. A related corollary to this rule is that courts should "avoid a construction that makes some language mere surplusage." *Cherokee Funding LLC v. Ruth*, 342 Ga. App. 404, 407 (2017).

Application of these rules to the provisions of the Election Code demonstrates that the General Assembly defined "superintendent" and the "Secretary of State" to be distinct and

separate offices and entities from each other and that the Secretary of State cannot be considered an election "superintendent." Article 2 of the Election Code, entitled "Supervisory Boards and Officers," for example, contains *separate* Parts for the State Election Board (the "SEB"), the Secretary of State, and superintendents. Statutory provisions governing the SEB are contained in Part 1 of Article 2 and can be found at O.C.G.A. §§ 21-2-30 through 21-2-34. Provisions governing the Secretary of State are found in Part 2 at O.C.G.A. §§ 21-2-50 through 21-2-52. Lastly, provisions governing superintendents are found in Part 3 at O.C.G.A. §§ 21-2-70 through 21-2-77. In each of these separate Parts, there are distinct statutes setting forth the distinct powers and duties of each of these different offices and entities. *See* O.C.G.A. § 21-2-31 (listing "[d]uties" of SEB); O.C.G.A. § 21-2-50 (listing "[p]owers and duties" of Secretary of State); and O.C.G.A. § 21-2-70 (listing "[p]owers and duties" of the superintendents).

The Election Code is replete with statutes that refer to a "superintendent" in the exact same statute as the Secretary of State, all of which would be rendered unintelligible if "superintendent" was defined as interchangeable with the Secretary of State. To cite a few examples, O.C.G.A. § 21-2-101(a) provides that "election superintendents" shall become certified by completing a certification program approved by the Secretary of State . . . ." This provision makes clear that the election superintendent is different than the Secretary of State. It would be nonsensical if the Secretary of State were deemed to be a superintendent because in that event the Secretary of State would be a candidate for certification and at the same time in charge of the certification program. *See also* O.C.G.A. § 21-2-100(a) ("The election superintendent . . . shall attend a minimum of 12 hours' training biennially as may be selected by the Secretary of State").

The statutory provisions governing recount and recanvass procedures also demonstrate that the Secretary of State is *not* a superintendent:

> Procedure for recount or recanvass of votes; losing candidate's right to a recount
>
> * * * *
>
> (c)(1) . . . . If the office sought is a federal or state office voted upon by the electors of more than one county, the request shall be made to *the Secretary of State who shall direct that the recount be performed in all counties in which electors voted for such office and notify the superintendents of the several counties involved of the request. In all other cases, the request shall be made to the superintendent. The superintendent or superintendents shall order a recount of such votes to be made immediately.* If, upon such recount, it is determined that the original count was incorrect, *the returns and all papers prepared by the superintendent, the superintendents, or the Secretary of State* shall be corrected accordingly and the results re-certified.

O.C.G.A. § 21-2-495(c)(1) (emphasis added).[2] The emphasized language makes clear that the Secretary of State is *not* the election superintendent: In multi-county elections, notice of a recount request must be made *to the Secretary of State* who then *notifies* the superintendents of the relevant counties. Interpreting the Secretary of State to be a "superintendent" renders the statute unintelligible and ignores the use of the disjunctive "or" in the statute ("the superintendents or the Secretary of State").[3]

---

[2] Similarly, O.C.G.A. § 21-2-495(d) also refers to the Secretary of State directing the election superintendents to conduct any recount or recanvass if a candidate for federal or state office so petitions.

[3] A statute involving DRE voting machines also makes clear that the Secretary of State is not a "superintendent." O.C.G.A. § 21-2-379.8(a) provides that the "*Secretary of State shall advise the superintendents* on recommended methods of demonstrating [DRE] units so as to properly educate electors in the use thereof . . . ." If the Secretary of State were a superintendent, she would be in effect advising herself, which obviously makes no sense. There are numerous other provisions throughout the Election Code where the Secretary of State and the "superintendent(s)" are referred to as separate entities within the same statute. *See e.g.*, O.C.G.A. § 21-4-5(j) ("[R]ecall petition forms shall be printed by the office of the Secretary of State and distributed to election superintendents"); O.C.G.A. § 21-2-499 ("Upon receiving the certified returns of any election from the various superintendents, the Secretary of State shall immediately proceed to tabulate, compute, and canvass the votes cast . . . ."); O.C.G.A. § 21-2-99 ("[T]he superintendent

The plain language of the Election Code thus makes clear that the Secretary of State is not a "superintendent" under O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2)(C). Because no state agency or state official falls within any of the four categories of parties that may be sued in an election contest under O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2)(A)–(D), the General Assembly has not waived sovereign immunity to authorize election contest claims to be brought against State agencies or State officials acting in their official capacity. The claims against Secretary Crittenden are, therefore, barred by sovereign immunity and must be dismissed pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 9-11-12(b)(1) for lack of subject matter jurisdiction.

II. Plaintiff Coalition For Good Governance Lacks Standing To Bring An Election Contest Claim.

The Georgia Election Code grants two specific types of individuals the ability to bring an election contest claim: (1) a candidate in an election or (2) an elector who was entitled to vote in an election. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-521. The Coalition for Good Governance asserts that it has both organizational and associational standing to bring an election contest claim. Petition at ¶ 7. The Coalition plainly does not have organizational standing on its own behalf to bring an election contest claim because it is neither a candidate nor an elector. *See* O.C.G.A. § 21-2-521.

The Coalition also does not have associational standing on behalf of its members. "Generally, 'an association has standing to bring suit on behalf of its members when: (a) its members would otherwise have standing to sue in their own right; (b) the interests it seeks to

---

shall notify the Secretary of State on forms provided by the Secretary of State" that poll workers received required training); O.C.G.A. § 21-2-497(b) ("Each county and municipal superintendent shall, upon certification, furnish to the Secretary of State in a manner determined by the Secretary of State a final copy of each ballot used for such election); O.C.G.A. § 21-2-400(a) ("Prior to each primary and election, the superintendent shall obtain from the Secretary of State a sufficient number of cards of instructions for guidance of electors"); O.C.G.A. § 21-2-132(d) (qualifying fees paid to Secretary of State *or* superintendent depending upon type of election); O.C.G.A. § 21-2-293(a) (superintendent must give at least 24 hours' notice to the Secretary of State and affected candidates of mistakes or omissions on ballots).

protect are germane to the organization's purpose; and (c) neither the claim asserted nor the relief requested requires the participation of individual members in the lawsuit.'" *Georgiacarry.org, Inc. v. Allen*, 299 Ga. 716, 718 (2016) (quoting *Atlanta Taxicab Comp. Owners Assoc., Inc. v. City of Atlanta*, 281 Ga. 342, 344 (2006)). Here, Plaintiffs' election contest claim requires the participation of individual members, because the election contest provisions only contemplate that candidates in an election or electors who were entitled to vote in an election can bring an election contest claim. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-521.

III. Plaintiffs' Election Contest Claim Fails On The Merits.

Even if Plaintiffs' election contest claim against the Secretary was not barred by sovereign immunity, Plaintiffs have not alleged any misconduct or irregularity in the Election that could satisfy their burden of showing that the election results were changed or should be placed in doubt under O.C.G.A. § 21-2-522(1). Further, they have not identified a sufficient number of rejected legal votes or illegal votes received to change or place the election in doubt under O.C.G.A. § 21-2-522(3). Instead, Plaintiffs make a series of conclusory allegations through which they claim that alleged vulnerabilities in Georgia's electronic voting infrastructure created a "high risk of undetected manipulations" that should cast doubt on the election. *See* Petition at ¶¶ 30-33. Critically, Plaintiffs do not allege that any manipulation of Georgia's electronic voting infrastructure *actually* occurred. To succeed on their claims, Plaintiffs would require the Court to *assume* that the election was changed or should be placed in doubt. Without such an assumption, there is no basis for the Court to conclude that there was misconduct, irregularities, rejected legal votes, or received illegal votes sufficient to change the result or to place it in doubt. Because of these deficiencies, Plaintiffs have failed to state a valid claim for relief under either O.C.G.A. § 21-2-522(1) or (3).

A court considering a motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim must construe the facts of a complaint in the light most favorable to the plaintiff. *Stendahl v. Cobb County*, 284 Ga. 525, 525 (2008). If "the movant establishes that the [petitioner] could not possibly introduce evidence within the framework of the complaint sufficient to warrant a grant of the relief sought," a court should dismiss the complaint. *Scouten v. Amerisave Mortgage Corp.*, 283 Ga. 72, 73 (2008); *see also* O.C.G.A. § 9-11-12(b)(6).

In election contests, courts "must presume that the results of an election contest are valid, *Middleton v. Smith*, 273 Ga. 202, 203 (2000), and they "should be extremely hesitant to interfere with the electoral process," *Mead v. Sheffield*, 278 Ga. 268, 273 (2004). "Thus, an election will not be invalidated unless the party contesting the election demonstrates an irregularity or illegality sufficient to change or place in doubt the result." *Middleton*, 273 Ga. at 203; *see also Mead*, 278 Ga. at 270. To succeed on a ballot irregularity claim under O.C.G.A. § 21-2-522(1), a plaintiff must "show that there were enough irregular ballots to place in doubt the result." *Mead*, 278 Ga. at 271 (quoting *Howell v. Fears*, 275 Ga. 627, 628 (2002)).

Likewise, to succeed on a vote legality claim under O.C.G.A. § 21-2-522(3), a plaintiff must demonstrate that the pool of legal votes discarded or illegal votes cast exceeded the margin of victory. *Id.* "It is not sufficient to show irregularities which simply erode confidence in the outcome of the election." *Middleton*, 273 Ga. at 203. "Elections cannot be overturned on the basis of mere speculation or an appearance of impropriety in the election procedures. *Id.* (citing *Hunt v. Crawford*, 270 Ga. 7, 9 (1998)). Thus, for both types of contest claims, the Court must focus on whether the allegations specifically show that enough votes were affected to change or place the result of the election in doubt.

Here, Plaintiffs fail to make such a showing for either type of contest claim. As stated above, Plaintiffs' primary allegations are a series of complaints about alleged vulnerabilities in Georgia's electronic voting infrastructure. *See* Petition at ¶¶ 21-35. They do not allege that any intentional or unintentional manipulations of Georgia's electronic voting infrastructure *actually* occurred.[4] In fact, the only allegation they make that any part of Georgia's election infrastructure was even *accessed* (much less manipulated) from the outside is an allegation that over two years before the election in question, a cybersecurity researcher accessed one state election server that was then housed at Kennesaw State University. *Id.* at ¶ 31. Plaintiffs attempt to bolster their allegations of irregularities in Georgia's election systems by citing a recent federal trial court order in *Curling v. Kemp*, Civil Action No. 17-cv-0289 (N.D. Ga. Sep. 17, 2018). In that order, however, not only did the *Curling* court refuse to grant relief against the State, but it made no finding that any manipulation of Georgia's election systems has occurred.

Next, Plaintiffs have provided nine anecdotal allegations of irregularities in the November 6, 2018 election that they claim require this Court to order a new election. Petition at ¶¶ 40-48. Of the nine examples, only five even purport to involve the election for Lieutenant Governor at issue here. *Id.* at ¶¶ 40, 41, 43, 45, and 48. Thus, only the five allegations concerning the election for Lieutenant Governor are relevant to this Court's consideration of Plaintiffs' contest claim. The remaining four allegations are too speculative concerning their potential effect on that race to merit consideration. *See Middleton*, 273 Ga. at 203.

---

[4] Plaintiffs do allege that there is a "known compromise of the vulnerable DRE system," but nowhere do they actually identify this alleged "compromise." *See* Petition at ¶ 57. To the extent that Plaintiffs here are referring to the *access* of the election server at Kennesaw State University in 2016, they do not allege that that server was a DRE server or that any improper manipulation of or change to any State election system ever occurred.

Even for the five allegations concerning the election for Lieutenant Governor, Plaintiffs have alleged, at most, irregularities concerning a total 102 votes or ballots—one each from the allegations in Paragraphs 40, 41, 43, and 45 concerning individual voters and 98 from the allegations in Paragraph 48 concerning a vote-total discrepancy between the official results from a precinct and the precinct's poll tapes. Plaintiffs do not allege the total number of votes cast for the candidates in the Election and do not allege the margin of victory. Thus, from the face of Petition, the Court cannot make the required determination of whether there were enough irregular ballots, rejected legal ballots, or received illegal ballots that exceeded the margin of victory and thus placed the election result in doubt. *See Mead*, 278 Ga. at 271 (quoting *Howell*, 275 Ga. at 628). Even if Plaintiffs had alleged the vote totals from the election, they would need to allege that the 102 examples of ballot or voter issues are sufficient to place the election result in doubt. *See id.*

This same problem arises when analyzing Plaintiffs' remaining allegations concerning discrepancies in participation rates by voters in the various races that were on the ballot on November 6, 2018. Petition at ¶¶ 49-57. Plaintiffs make no allegations that – even if these discrepancies are the product of irregular ballots, rejected legal ballots, or received illegal ballots – the margin of victory would be exceeded such that the result of the election would be placed in doubt. Based on the allegations in the Petition, Plaintiffs could only succeed if the Court *assumes* first that these discrepancies are the product of impropriety and second that the number of votes affected by the discrepancies could change the election.

As Secretary Crittenden has already stated, the participation discrepancies Plaintiffs have identified can easily be explained by the fact that voters are not obligated to cast a vote in each contest appearing on their ballots. *See* Petition Exhibit C at 1. Furthermore, the Democratic

Candidate for Lieutenant Governor who lost the election has *herself* admitted that the discrepancies that Plaintiffs allege likely did not affect the outcome of the race. *See* Petition Exhibit B at 3.

Plaintiffs' speculative allegations do not rise to the level of the instances of specific and identifiable ballot or voter irregularities that the Supreme Court of Georgia has recognized constitute a viable election contest claim. *See, e.g.*, *Mead*, 278 Ga. at 272 (describing allegations that 481 irregular ballots exceeded the 395-vote margin in the election at issue); *Howell*, 275 Ga. at 627 (describing allegations that 43 irregular ballots were cast in an election decided by a margin of 34 votes). In fact, even when presented with the type of concrete numbers missing here, the Supreme Court has rejected an election contest when the impropriety alleged is merely speculative. *See Middleton*, 273 Ga. at 202-03 (describing allegations that 506 illegal ballots exceeded the margin of victory in two races decided by margins of 117 and 20 votes, while ultimately deciding that the illegality alleged was only speculative). Plaintiffs' conclusory and speculative claims cannot form a valid election contest claim, and this claim must be dismissed.

IV. The Contest Claim Should Be Dismissed For Failure To Add Necessary Parties.

Plaintiffs' election contest claim must also be dismissed because Plaintiffs failed to name the county election superintendents from any of the ten counties that appear, in Plaintiffs' eyes, to be the primary source of the "residual vote" question at issue in this lawsuit. These are the ten counties identified by the Democratic Candidate Sara R. Amico in her letter to Secretary Crittenden, which is attached to the Petition at Exhibit B, Attachment C. An action may be dismissed where the plaintiff has failed to join a necessary party. O.C.G.A. § 9-11-12(b)(7). A party is "necessary" to an action if, "[i]n [its] absence[,] complete relief cannot be afforded among those who are already parties." O.C.G.A. § 9-11-19(a)(1).

Instead of naming the election superintendents from any of the ten counties referenced in Amico's letter, Plaintiffs have instead inexplicably named Fulton, Gwinnett, and DeKalb Counties. Because Plaintiffs' allegations concerning improper "residual votes" appear to be primarily tied to how the election was conducted in the ten counties referenced in Amico's letter, any relief that Plaintiffs could receive would require some action on the part of these ten counties to fix any problems that led to the allegedly improper "residual votes." If these ten counties' election superintendents are not parties to this action, the county election officials would also be prejudiced because such officials would be obliged to hold a new election without having had the opportunity to challenge this suit in court. Likewise, the remedy of a new election would require relief from a respondent not named in this suit. Finally, O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2)(C) specifically contemplates that multiple election superintendents are the proper defendants in an election challenge when, as here, more than one election superintendent conducted an election.[5] Thus, the Petition should be dismissed for failure to join necessary parties under O.C.G.A. § 9-11-12(b)(7).

[5] Because the Lieutenant Governor's race is a state-wide election conducted by all 159 counties in the State, all 159 county election superintendents may, in fact, be necessary parties. Each election superintendent conducted the November 6, 2018 election for Lieutenant Governor and will be required to conduct a new election if the Court orders one. Thus, it may be necessary for Plaintiffs to name all 159 election superintendents to bring this challenge to a state-wide election. *See* O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2)(C) (listing "[t]she election superintendent or superintendents who *conducted* the *contested* primary or election" as the proper government official defendants in a candidate challenge (emphasis added)).

V. The Eleventh Amendment Bars Plaintiffs' Federal Claims.

Plaintiffs' federal claims are asserted against Secretary of State Robyn A. Crittenden. *See* Petition Counts II and III. These claims against Secretary Crittenden in her official capacity are barred by the Eleventh Amendment.[6]

The Eleventh Amendment to the United States Constitution provides that "[t]he judicial power of the United States shall not be construed to extend to any suit in law or equity, commenced or prosecuted against one of the United States by Citizens of another State, or by Citizens or Subjects of any Foreign State." U.S. Const. amend. XI. By its own terms, the amendment affords States immunity from suits, both legal and equitable. *Id.* "[D]espite the limited terms of the Eleventh Amendment, a federal court [cannot] entertain a suit brought by a citizen against his own State." *Pennhurst State Sch. & Hosp. v. Halderman*, 465 U.S. 89, 98 (1984). Because claims against public officials in their official capacities are merely another way of pleading an action against the entity of which the officer is an agent, "official capacity" claims against a state officer are included in the Eleventh Amendment's bar. *Kentucky v.*

---

[6] Although the Petition is silent as to the capacity in which Defendant Secretary is sued, Plaintiffs' prayer for relief includes only injunctive relief and such relief is not available from Defendant in her individual capacity. Claims for injunctive relief may be brought against government officials only in their official capacity. *Wu v. Thomas*, 863 F.2d 1543, 1550 (11th Cir. 1989); *Edwards v. Wallace Community College*, 49 F.3d 1517, 1524 n. 9 (11th Cir. 1995) (explaining that "claims for injunctive or declaratory relief . . . are considered to be official capacity claims against the relevant governmental entity"); *see also Santhuff v. Seitz*, 385 Fed. App'x. 939, 642 n. 3 (11th Cir. 2010) (affirming dismissal of claims for injunctive relief where Defendant was only sued in his individual capacity); *Common Cause v. Kemp*, 243 F. Supp. 3d 1315, 1318 (N.D. Ga. 2017), *vacated and remanded on other grounds*, 714 Fed. App'x. 990 (11th Cir. 2018); *Calhoun v. Lockette*, 2018 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 37509, * 6 (M.D. Ga. 2018) (failure to state a claim for injunctive relief against state official when sued in her individual capacity).

Graham, 473 U.S. 159, 165 (1985).[7] Eleventh Amendment immunity applies to federal claims brought both in federal and state court. *See e.g.*, *Alden v. Maine*, 527 U.S. 706, 754 (1999).

While an exception to Eleventh Amendment immunity exists under *Ex parte Young*, 209 U.S. 123 (1908), it is limited to suits against state officers for *prospective* injunctive relief. *Arizonans for Official English v. Arizona*, 520 U.S. 43, 69 n. 24 (1997). "A federal court cannot award *retrospective* relief, designed to remedy past violations of federal law." *Idaho v. Coeur d' Alene Tribe*, 521 U.S. 261, 288 (1997) (O'Connor, J., concurring) (emphasis added).

Here, Plaintiffs' challenge is to the allegedly unconstitutional manner in which the November 6, 2018 general election *was* held. Plaintiffs seek a new election to remedy the past allegedly infirm election. Such relief is retrospective and therefore not within the *Ex Parte Young* exception. Accordingly, Plaintiffs' federal claims are barred by the Eleventh Amendment.

VI. Plaintiffs Have Failed To Sufficiently Allege A Due Process Claim.

Plaintiffs assert a federal due process claim stemming from the use of allegedly defective DRE machines in the November 6, 2018 general election. Petition at ¶¶ 69-72. The Supreme Court has long recognized that "as a practical matter, there must be a substantial regulation of elections if they are to be fair and honest and if some sort of order, rather than chaos, is to accompany the democratic process." *Storer v. Brown*, 415 U.S. 724, 730 (1974). "Regulations imposing severe burdens on plaintiffs' rights must be narrowly tailored and advance a compelling state interest. Lesser burdens, however, trigger less exacting review, and a State's

---

[7] There is no question that Plaintiffs' constitutional claims, necessarily brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983, do *not* abrogate the Eleventh Amendment. The Supreme Court has repeatedly held that "§ 1983 does not override a State's Eleventh Amendment immunity." *Will v. Mich. Dep't of State Police*, 491 U.S. 58, 63 (1989); *Quern v. Jordan*, 440 U.S. 332, 342 (1979); *Kentucky*, 473 U.S. at 169 n.17.

'important regulatory interests' will usually be enough to justify 'reasonable, nondiscriminatory restrictions.'" *Timmons v. Twin Cities Area New Party*, 520 U.S. 351, 358 (1997) (quoting *Burdick v. Takushi*, 504 U.S. 428, 434 (1992)).

The Georgia Supreme Court has previously held that the use of DRE voting machines does not violate voters' state or federal constitutional rights, including substantive due process rights. *Favorito*, 285 Ga. at 797-798 (explaining that voters do not have a right to a particular ballot system and that "it is the job of democratically-elected representatives to weigh the pros and cons of various balloting systems."). Similarly, the Eleventh Circuit has rejected due process and equal protection challenges to manual recount procedures premised on the lack of a paper trail for counties that used the touchscreen machines. *Wexler v. Anderson*, 452 F.3d 1226, 1233 (11th Cir. 2006), *cert. denied*, 549 U.S. 1111 (2007).

> [I]f voters in touchscreen counties are burdened at all, that burden is the mere possibility that should they cast residual ballots, those ballots will receive a different, and allegedly inferior, type of review in the event of a manual recount. Such a burden, borne of a reasonable, nondiscriminatory regulation, is not so substantial that strict scrutiny is appropriate.

452 F.3d at 1232-1233. Other courts have also held that the use of a DRE ballot system does not, without more, state a due process claim. *Stein v. Cortés*, 223 F. Supp. 3d 423, 438 (E.D. Penn. 2016) (explaining that "decisions that sustain due process challenges to elections involve documented instances of improperly cast ballots, wholesale refusal to count properly cast ballots, direct infringements of the right to cast ballots, or a total failure to conduct the election").

Nor is a state's decision to use DRE machines generally subject to strict scrutiny. *Weber v. Shelley*, 347 F.3d 1101, 1106 (9th Cir. 2003) ("Under *Burdick*, the use of touchscreen voting systems is not subject to strict scrutiny simply because this particular balloting system may make the possibility of some kinds of fraud more difficult to detect."). The *Weber* court recognized

that "it is the job of democratically-elected representatives to weigh the pros and cons of various balloting systems. So long as their choice is reasonable and neutral, it is free from judicial second-guessing." *Weber*, 347 F.3d at 1107; *Favorito*, 285 Ga. at 797 (same, quoting *Weber*). Relying in part on *Weber*, the Pennsylvania Supreme Court has also recently held that "DREs that register votes electronically without a voter-verified ballot do not severely restrict the right to vote." *Banfield v. Cortés*, 631 Pa. 229, 265 (Pa. 2015). Similarly, in recognizing the benefits of DRE machines, the Texas Supreme Court has held that:

> DREs are not perfect. No voting system is. We cannot say that DREs impose severe restrictions on voters, particularly in light of the significant benefits such machines offer. . . . DREs can reduce uncounted votes and virtually eliminate the racial gap that tends to exist with other types of equipment, have the potential to expand access for people with disabilities and for voters with limited English proficiency, and tend to considerably reduce the number of uncounted votes.

Andrade v. NAACP of Austin, 345 S.W. 3d 1, (Tex. 2011) (internal quotations and citations omitted).

Here, Plaintiffs simply allege that the race for Lieutenant Governor garnered fewer votes than other statewide offices and ask this Court to assume a causal relationship between the number of votes for the Lieutenant Governor race and the use of DRE machines. These allegations are insufficient to state a due process claim under the Fourteenth Amendment.

VII. Plaintiffs Fail to Sufficiently Allege an Equal Protection Claim.

Plaintiffs' equal protection claim is premised on allegations that the use of a DRE machine to cast a ballot in the November 6, 2018 general election was less favorable than voting by absentee ballot. Petition at ¶¶ 74-76. Plaintiffs have not made out an equal protection claim.

The Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment commands that no State shall "deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws," which is essentially

a direction that all persons *similarly situated* should be treated alike. *City of Cleburne v. Cleburne Living Center*, 473 U.S. 432, 439 (1985) (emphasis added). An equal protection claim requires a showing that a plaintiff has been the victim of intentional discrimination. *Batson v. Kentucky*, 476 U.S. 79 (1986). The requisite discriminatory purpose must be "more than intent as volition or intent as awareness of consequences. It implies that the decisionmaker . . . selected or reaffirmed a particular course of action at least in part 'because of,' not merely 'in spite of,' its adverse effects upon an identifiable group." *Massachusetts v. Feeney*, 442 U.S. 256, 279 (1979) (internal citations omitted). The plaintiff may prove intent with direct or circumstantial evidence. *Burton v. City of Belle Glade*, 178 F.3d 1175, 1189 (11th Cir. 1999).

The first step in any equal protection claim is to establish that a recognizable distinct class is singled out for different treatment under the laws as written or as applied. *Castaneda v. Partida*, 430 U.S. 482, 494 (1977). Here, Plaintiffs fail this first step. Plaintiffs' Petition is not that similarly situated voters were treated differently, it is that voters that chose to vote by absentee paper ballot were allegedly treated more favorably than voters that chose to vote on a DRE. Doc. 1 ¶¶ 74-76. In other words, the two classes of voters, after choosing to cast their ballots using *different* methods, are no longer *similarly situated*. "To maintain this focus on discrimination, and to avoid constitutionalizing every state regulatory dispute, [courts] are obliged to apply the 'similarly situated' requirement with rigor. *Griffin Indus. v. Irvin*, 496 F.3d 1189, 1207 (11th Cir. 2007). "Different treatment of dissimilarly situated persons does not violate the equal protection clause." *Id.* (quoting *E & T Realty v. Strickland*, 830 F.2d 1107, 1109 (11th Cir. 1987)).

As the Georgia Supreme Court recognized in an earlier challenge to Georgia's use of DRE machines, all Georgia voters "have the option of casting an absentee ballot or using the

touch screen electronic voting machines on election day." *Favorito v. Handel,* 285 Ga. 795, 798 (2009) (rejecting state and federal due process and equal protection constitutional challenges to the use of DRE machines). As the *Favorito* Court recognized, "absentee voters 'have not been treated differently from the polling place voters, except in a manner permissible under the election statutes' and as a result of their own choice." 285 Ga. at 798. Plaintiffs' allegations fail to sufficiently allege a claim for violation of the Equal Protection Clause.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, the Court should dismiss Plaintiffs' Petition.

This 4th day of December, 2018.

Christopher M. Carr
Attorney General
Georgia Bar No. 112505
Annette M. Cowart
Deputy Attorney General
Georgia Bar No. 191199
Russell D. Willard
Senior Assistant Attorney General
Georgia Bar No. 760280
State Law Department
40 Capitol Square, S.W.
Atlanta, GA 30334
Telephone: (404) 656-3357

/s/ Josh Belinfante
Josh Belinfante
Georgia Bar No: 047399
jbelinfante@robbinsfirm.com
Vincent R. Russo
Georgia Bar No. 242628
vrusso@robbinsfirm.com
Brian E. Lake
Georgia Bar No. 575966
blake@robbinsfirm.com
Alexander Denton
Georgia Bar No. 660632
adenton@robbinsfirm.com
Special Assistant Attorneys General

Robbins Ross Alloy Belinfante Littlefield LLC
500 14th Street, N.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30318
Telephone: (678) 701-9381
Facsimile: (404) 856-3250

Attorneys for Defendant Robyn A. Crittenden, Secretary of State of Georgia

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served the within and foregoing **CONSOLIDATED MOTION TO DISMISS BY SPECIAL APPEARANCE AND BRIEF IN SUPPORT ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT ROBIN A. CRITTENDEN** on all parties by electronically filing it with the Clerk of the Court using the Odyssey eFileGA system, which will automatically send an email notification of such filing to counsel for the parties.

This 4th day of December, 2018.

/s/ Alexander Denton
Alexander Denton

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDLW
Date: 12/4/2018 1:50 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV313418**

PLAINTIFFS' STATUS REPORT

This Status Report[1] is submitted in light of the reassignment of this case from Judge Russell to Senior Judge Grubbs and provides a brief overview of the pleadings submitted thus far and efforts undertaken to serve the Defendants with process, schedule the answer date, and schedule the trial of the matter. In addition, this Status Report will describe Plaintiffs' Emergency Motion for Inspection, filed on Thursday, November 29, 2018.

[1] This is a refiling of the original December 3, 2018 Status Report, which was circulated to the parties via email on December 3, 2018. No changes have been made, except to correct the Case File Number.

A. Overview of Filings and Service of Summons

This petition to contest the Lieutenant Governor election was filed on November 23, 2018, the day after Thanksgiving, within five days of the certification of the election on Saturday, November 17, 2018. The Petition alleges massive irregularities in the conduct of the election, so significant that the true election outcome cannot be determined.

Secretary of State Crittenden, as chair of the State Elections Board, a week ago (on November 26, 2018) acknowledged service of the petition pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 21-2-524(b). Defendants' counsel, and Defendant Duncan's office, were sent copies of the petition via email on Saturday November 24. Plaintiffs asked each of the defendants to waive formal service of the summons; all have refused. Defendants have filed no pleadings or other papers.

As described in Plaintiffs' Motion for Clarification and to Request a Setting of Trial Date, filed on November 28, 2018, the Election Contest statute contains special provisions for the service of summons and the setting of the answer date. Specifically, the statute directs the Clerk (not the plaintiff), to issue notice "requiring the defendant and any other person named in such petition as a candidate for such nomination or office, to answer and appear such petition, a day to be fixed in such notice, not more than ten days nor less than five days after the service of the notice." Pursuant to this statute, Plaintiffs drafted a "special

summons" and provided it to Judge Russell and the Clerk's office (Mr. Al Clark), and Judge Russell fixed the answer date (at December 8, 2018), but the case was reassigned to Judge Grubbs before the Clerk could initiate the service of summons.

The actual trial of the matter is governed by O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(a), which states: "Within 20 days after the return day fixed in the notice as provided in subsection (a) of Code Section 21-2-254 to the defendant" [that is, the day fixed for the defendant to "appear and answer"] "the presiding judge shall fix a place and time for the hearing of the contest proceedings." O.C.G.A. § 21-2-526 then states that all issues of a contest "shall be fully tried and determined by the court" without a jury, *unless* a jury is demanded by a party before the call of the case.

B. Inspection and Discovery

Of immediate concern is the need to preserve and inspect the electronic equipment and electronic records that the State used to conduct this election. There is substantial evidence that the electronic voting equipment and underlying electronic records and election processes used in the 2018 general election was "so defective" "as to place in doubt the result"[2] of the election for Lieutenant Governor, including scores of voters' and eye-witness reports of malfunctioning

[2] To demonstrate entitlement to a finding that the election was invalid, Plaintiffs need not prove that the irregularity was sufficient to change the result; instead, what is required is a showing that the irregularity, or illegal votes, were sufficient to "place in doubt the result." *See* O.C.G.A. § 21-2-522(1) and (3); § 21-2-527(d).

Direct Electronic Recording ("DRE") touchscreen machines and an aberrant pattern of votes from DRE machines that does not appear *at all* in the 230,000+ votes cast on paper ballots. *See* O.C.G.A. § 21-2-522(1) and (3); § 21-2-527(d). *See Taggart v. Phillips,* 242 Ga. 454, 455 (1978) ("doubt may be cast on an election by showing improper maintenance of the voting machines resulting in votes being miscast"). Additionally, there are material and verifiable reports of electronic pollbook errors and malfunctions and DRE machine malfunctions including "vote flipping," the premature casting of ballots without voter review, and irregularities of vote counts appearing on the DRE voting machine poll tapes.

Vital to the determination of the causes of these irregularities is an expert forensic examination of the electronic equipment and related records ,equipment that is currently in the possession of Election Official Defendants and third-parties but which may be made available for immediate inspection without disruption of ongoing election activity. The requested examination is expressly authorized by O.C.G.A. § 9-11-34(a)(1), which allows the inspection, sampling and testing of "any tangible things" that are relevant to the subject matter of the litigation. It is manifestly in the public interest for full inspection of these electronic registration and voting systems to be conducted immediately, *before* the equipment and electronic records involved are "mothballed" or altered in any way in preparation for the next election or maintenance.

Plaintiffs accordingly on November 27, 2018, filed an Emergency Motion for Inspection. Although Defendants have not been formally served, each has had notice of this action for over a week and is under a duty to preserve evidence in any event, a duty that Defendants have continued to violate. In addition, this Court has the authority to order the inspection and discovery prior to Defendants' answer, "in the interests of justice" under O.C.G.A. § 9-11-26(d), or pursuant to petition under O.C.G.A. § 9-11-27. Plaintiffs request that the Emergency Motion to be granted.

C. Timing of Discovery and Trial

Appropriately thorough discovery of the causes of the irregularities should be allowed prior to trial. Unlike pre-election contests, this case will *not* be mooted upon the Lieutenant Governor's taking of office.[3] O.C.G.A. § 21-2-503(c) provides:

> Upon the certification of the results of the election, a person elected to a federal, state, or county office may be sworn into office notwithstanding that the election of such person may be contested in the manner provided by this chapter. Upon the final judgment of the proper tribunal having jurisdiction of a contested election which orders a second election or declares that another person was legally elected to the office, the person sworn into such office shall cease to hold the office and shall cease to exercise the powers, duties, and privileges of the office immediately.

[3] *See City of Greenville v. Bray*, 284 Ga. 641, 642 (2008) (distinguishing between pre-election contests and post-election contests).

Plaintiffs welcome a status conference to address discovery and other trial matters.

Respectfully submitted this 3rd day of December, 2018.

/s/ Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Georgia Bar No. 064460
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com
Bruce P. Brown Law LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE, Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700

Attorney for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing via the Court's e-filing system to the attorneys of record who have made appearances.

This 3rd day of December, 2018.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDLW
Date: 12/4/2018 1:08 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, and JEANNE DUFORT,	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV313418
v.	)	
ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS, GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, DEKALB COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, and GEOFF DUNCAN,	)	
Defendants.	)	

NOTICE OF APPEARANCE OF COUNSEL

COMES NOW Brian R. Dempsey of the law firm Carothers & Mitchell, LLC, 1809 Buford Highway, Buford, Georgia 30518, and hereby enters his appearance as additional counsel for Defendant Gwinnett County Board of Registrations and Elections and requests that he be added to the docket as additional counsel for this defendant. All pleadings and other notices should be sent to him at the address below.

This 4th day of December, 2018.

Respectfully submitted,

CAROTHERS & MITCHELL, LLC

/s/ Brian R. Dempsey
BRIAN R. DEMPSEY
Georgia Bar No. 217596
Attorneys for Defendant Gwinnett County Board of Registrations and Elections

1809 Buford Highway
Buford, GA 30518
Phone: 770-932-3552
Fax: 770-932-6348
brian.dempsey@carmitch.com

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that I have this date served all counsel in the foregoing action with a true and correct copy of the **NOTICE OF APPEARANCE OF COUNSEL** with the Clerk of the Court using the Odyssey (EfileGa) system to the following:

Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown Law
1123 Zonolite Road NE, Suite 6
Atlanta, GA 30306
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com

Christopher M. Carr
Annette M. Cowart
Russell David Willard
Attorney General of Georgia
40 Capitol Square SW
Atlanta, GA 30334
ccar@law.ga.gov
acowart@law.ga.gov
rwillard@law.ga.gov

Joshua Barrett Belinfante
Alexander Fraser Denton
Vincent Robert Russo
Brian Lake
Robbins Ross Alloy Belinfante Littlefield, LLC
500 14th St. NW
Atlanta, GA 30318
jbelinfante@robbinsfirm.com
adenton@robbinsfirm.com
vrusso@robbinsfirm.com
blake@robbinsfirm.com

Edward H. Lindsey, Jr.
Dentons US LLP
303 Peachtree St. NE, Suite 5300
Atlanta, GA 30308
edward.lindsey@dentons.com

Bryan Paul Tyson
Strickland Brockington Lewis LLP
1170 Peachtree St. NE, Suite 2200
Atlanta, GA 30309
bpt@sbllaw.net

This 4th day of December, 2018.

CAROTHERS & MITCHELL, LLC

/s/ Brian R. Dempsey
BRIAN R. DEMPSEY
Georgia Bar No. 217596

1809 Buford Highway
Buford, GA 30518
Phone: 770-932-3552
Fax: 770-932-6348
brian.dempsey@carmitch.com

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDQW
Date: 12/5/2018 2:39 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTH DUVAL, and JEANNE DUFORT, Plaintiffs,	)	
v.	)	Civil Action File No. 2018CV313418
ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et. al. Defendants.	)	

ORDER

The above and foregoing case having come for hearing and service not having been performed,

It is hereby ordered and adjudged as follows:

1.

All Motions in this case shall be filed by 5:00 p.m. on December 20th, 2018.

2.

All filed Motions are set for hearing at 9:00 a.m. January 9, 2019 in Courtroom 2000, Superior Court of Cobb County.

3.

The case is set for Final Hearing on January 17th, 2019 at 9:00 a.m. in Courtroom 2000, Superior Court of Cobb County.

SO ORDERED this 5th day of December, 2018.

The Honorable Adele P. Grubbs
Senior Judge, State of Georgia
Assisting per Order of Appointment

Distribution List:

adele.grubbs@gmail.com

al.clark@fultoncountyga.gov

richard.carothers@carmitch.com

sue@carmitch.com

brian.dempsey@carmitch.com

bpt@sbllaw.net

edward.lindsey@dentons.com

Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org

vernstes@dekalbcountyga.gov

Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov

DWare@hallboothsmith.com

Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov

bdbryan@dekalbcountyga.gov

JOvercash@7Jad.com

csims@7Jad.com

chall@lmjc.net

Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com

vrusso@robbinsfirm.com

adenton@robbinsfirm.com

Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com

laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net

ccorreia@law.ga.gov

bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDAC
Date: 12/6/2018 2:47 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV313418**

SPECIAL PROCESS SUMMONS
FOR PETITION TO CONTEST ELECTION RESULT

To the following Defendants:

1. Robyn A. Crittenden, Secretary of State of Georgia
2. The Fulton County Board of Registration and Elections
3. The DeKalb County Board of Registrations and Elections
4. The Gwinnett County Board of Elections and Registration
5. Geoff Duncan

And to the following Candidates who participated in the Election for Lieutenant Governor, held November 6, 2018:

1. Sarah R. Amico
2. Geoff Duncan

In accordance with O.C.G.A. § 21-2-524(f), each Defendant and Candidate listed above is hereby summoned and required to appear before the **SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY** to answer Plaintiff's **Petition to Contest Election Result** (the "Petition"), filed on November 23, 2018, and assigned case number 2018CV313418. A copy of the Petition is attached hereto.

You have 10 days after service of this Summons and the Petition upon you to appear and answer this petition before the Fulton County Superior Court, exclusive of the day of service.

This 6th day of December, 2018.

Honorable Cathelene "Tina" Robinson
Clerk of Superior Court
By:
Elfin Clark
Deputy Clerk

To the Defendant / Candidate upon whom this petition is served:

This copy of the Summons and Petition was served upon you this _____ day of _____ 2018.

_____
Deputy Sheriff

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDAC
Date: 12/7/2018 11:17 AM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV313418**

PRESERVATION ORDER

The Parties shall preserve evidence that may be relevant to this case as far as is possible in order to preserve the status quo. Evidence that may be relevant to claims and defenses include, *but are not limited to,* the following:

1. The Direct Electronic Recording ("DRE") touchscreen voting units, DRE internal memory and related memory cards, ExpressPoll units and associated electronic data, ExpressPoll memory cards, the General Election Management ("GEMS") servers and associated electronic data,

optical scanner electronic data and memory cards, machine results tapes, voter access cards, supervisor cards, administrative access cards, media devices transferring data from the GEMS server to other systems and applications, ENet system records, and all iterations of voter registration files ("the Voting System Evidence");

2. Any documents relating to the maintenance, programming, testing, security and operations of the Voting System Evidence; and
3. Any documents relating to the November 6, 2018 and December 4, 2018 runoff elections, including all ballots, ballot programming, tabulations, machine results tapes, polling place recap sheets, audit data and records, provisional ballot and mail ballot records, communications, training materials, maintenance records, voter complaint records, system logs, and troubleshooting reports.

At this time all Motions in this case are set for hearing on January 9th 2019. Should there be any election due to be conducted prior to that date the parties shall notify the Court and the Court will entertain appropriate motions in reguard there to.

Failure to obey this Order in good faith by any party or entity may result in the imposition of sanctions pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 9-11-37 or contempt proceedings.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 1 day of December, 2018.



Adele P. Grubbs
Senior Judge,
State of Georgia

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDMH
Date: 12/13/2018 11:53 AM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV313418**

WAIVER OF SERVICE OF SUMMONS AND SPECIAL SUMMONS

To: Bruce P. Brown, Counsel for Plaintiffs

Pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 9-11-4(d), on behalf of Defendant Geoff Duncan ("Mr. Duncan"), I acknowledge a copy of the Petition to Contest Election Result, filed in this action on November 23, 2018, and a copy of the Special Process Summons for Petition to Contest Election Result ("the Summons"), filed in this action on December 6, 2018, and waive Mr. Duncan's right to be served personally with the Petition to Contest Election Result and the Summons pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 9-11-4. I further certify that I have the authority to acknowledge such service on behalf of Mr. Duncan.

Mr. Duncan will retain all defenses or objections to the lawsuit or to the jurisdiction or venue of the court except for objections based on a defect in the summons or in the service of the summons.

Executed this 12th day of December, 2018.

Edward H. Lindsey, Jr.
Dentons US LLP
303 Peachtree St. NE
Suite 5300
Atlanta, GA 30308

Attorney for Geoff Duncan

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing Waiver via the Court's e-filing system to the attorneys of record who have made appearances.

This 13th day of December, 2018.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown Law LLC
1123 Zonolite Road NE Ste 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700
Attorney for Plaintiffs

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDQW
Date: 12/19/2018 11:00 AM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV313418**

PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO DROP DEKALB COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS AS PARTY DEFENDANT

Pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 9-11-21, Plaintiffs move to drop DeKalb County Board of Registrations and Elections ("the DeKalb Board") as a party defendant. O.C.G.A. § 9-11-21 provides in relevant part: "Parties may be dropped or added by order of the court on motion of any party or of is own initiate at any state of the action and on such terms as are just." Plaintiffs show that the DeKalb Board has not filed an answer in this case and has no objection to being dropped as a party

defendant. Accordingly, granting of this motion, and dropping the DeKalb Board, is in the interests of justice.

A proposed order is attached.

Respectfully submitted this 19th day of December, 2018.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown Law LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE
Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing via the Court's e-filing system to the attorneys of record who have made appearances.

This 19th day of December, 2018.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDQW
Date: 12/19/2018 3:46 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, et al., **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV313418**

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO DROP DEKALB COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS AS PARTY DEFENDANT

Upon motion of Plaintiffs, and pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 9-11-21, Defendant DeKalb County Board of Registrations and Elections is dropped from this action and is no longer a party to this case.

SO ORDERED this __ day of December, 2018.

_____
Honorable Adele P. Grubbs
Senior Judge, State of Georgia

Prepared by: /s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Counsel for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing via the Court's e-filing system to the attorneys of record who have made appearances.

This 19TH day of December, 2018.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDLW
Date: 12/20/2018 6:01 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, and JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS, GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, DEKALB COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, and GEOFF DUNCAN,** **Defendants.**	**Civil Action No.: 2018CV313418**

MOTION TO DISMISS BY SPECIAL APPEARANCE OF DEFENDANT GEOFF DUNCAN AND JOINDER IN PART WITH THE PREVIOUSLY FILED MOTIONS TO DISMISS BY ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, SECRETARY OF STATE OF GEORGIA AND GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS

NOW COMES the Defendant, Geoff Duncan, and by Special Appearance, without waiving any affirmative defenses or the right to answer, timely files this Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Petition to Contest Election Result ("Petition") and joins in part the Motions to Dismiss previous filed by Co-Defendants Robyn A. Crittenden, Secretary of State of Georgia, and the Gwinnett County Board of Registrations and Elections by showing the following:

1. On December 4, 2018, Robyn A. Crittenden, Secretary of State of Georgia filed a CONSOLIDATED MOTION TO DISMISS BY SPECIAL APPEARANCE AND BRIEF IN SUPPORT with the Court.

2. On December 20, 2018, the Gwinnett County Board of Registrations and Elections filed a CONSOLIDATED MOTION TO DISMISS BY SPECIAL APPEARANCE AND BRIEF IN SUPPORT with the Court.

3. The Defendant Geoff Duncan is the Lt. Governor-Elect of Georgia having received in excess of 123,000 move votes than his opponent in the November 6, 2018 General Election and the results of the election having been certified by the Georgia Secretary of State.

4. The Defendant Geoff Duncan moves to dismiss the Plaintiff's Petition by showing to the Court the following:

a. The Coalition for Good Governance does not have standing to file an election contest;

b. This Court lacks jurisdiction because Plaintiffs failed to join necessary parties;

c. Plaintiffs have failed to state a claim upon which relief can be granted;

d. The Eleventh Amendment Bars Plaintiffs' Federal Claims; and

e. Plaintiffs Have Failed To Sufficiently Allege A Due Process Claim.

5. In support of his Motion to Dismiss, the Defendant Geoff Duncan joins and adopts herein by reference Grounds II, III, IV, V, VI, and VII of Robyn A. Crittenden's CONSOLIDATED MOTION TO DISMISS BY SPECIAL APPEARANCE AND BRIEF IN SUPPORT.

6. In support of his Motion to Dismiss, the Defendant Geoff Duncan joins and adopts herein by reference Grounds I, II, and III of the Gwinnett County Board of Registrations and Elections' CONSOLIDATED MOTION TO DISMISS BY SPECIAL APPEARANCE AND BRIEF IN SUPPORT.

WHEREFORE, the Defendant Geoff Duncan prays its Motion to Dismiss be granted and that the Plaintiffs' Petition be dismissed with all cost to the Plaintiffs.

DENTONS US LLP



Edward H. Lindsey Jr.
Georgia Bar No. 453075

Samuel S. Olens
Georgia Bar No. 551540

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the foregoing MOTION TO DISMISS BY SPECIAL APPEARANCE OF DEFENDANT GEOFF DUNCAN AND JOINDER IN PART WITH THE PREVIOUSLY FILED MOTIONS TO DISMISS BY ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, SECRETARY OF STATE OF GEORGIA AND GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS has been served on all parties by electronically filing and with the Clerk of the Court using Odyssey eFileGA System, which will automatically send an email notification of such filing to counsel for the parties:

Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown Law
1123 Zonolite Road, N.E.
Suite #6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306

Cristina Correia
Senior Assistant Attorney General
Georgia Department of Law
40 Capitol Square SW
Atlanta, Georgia 30334

Bryan P. Tyson
Strickland Brockington Lewis LLP
1170 Peachtree St NE
Suite 2200
Atlanta, GA 30309

Patrise Perkins-Hooker
Fulton County Government
141 Pryor Street
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

R. David Ware
Hall Booth Smith, P.C.
191 Peachtree Tower

191 Peachtree Street
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

Viviane Ernstes
DeKalb County GA
1300 Commerce Drive
Decatur, Georgia 30030

Josh Belinfante
Robbins Ross Alloy Belinfante Littlefield LLC
500 14th Street, NW
Atlanta, Georgia 30318

This 26th day of December, 2018.

Edward H. Lindsey Jr.

GA004881

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDAC
Date: 12/20/2018 8:24 AM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, *et al.*, Plaintiffs, v. ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, *et al.*, Defendants.	Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

CONSOLIDATED MOTION TO DISMISS BY SPECIAL APPEARANCE AND BRIEF IN SUPPORT ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS

Defendant Gwinnett County Board of Registrations and Elections (Gwinnett BORE) specially appears in this matter to file this Motion to Dismiss Petition to Contest Election Result. Defendant Gwinnett BORE has not been served with this lawsuit, does not waive any affirmative defenses or the right to answer when it is served, and does not waive service of process in this matter.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE moves to dismiss Plaintiffs' Petition to Contest Election Result because:

(1) The Coalition for Good Governance does not have standing to file an election contest;

(2) This Court lacks jurisdiction because Plaintiffs failed to join necessary parties; and

(3) Plaintiffs have failed to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE fully joins the Consolidated Motion to Dismiss by Special Appearance and Brief in Support on Behalf of Defendant Robyn Crittenden filed with this Court on December 4, 2018, incorporates the entirety of that brief by reference, and will not repeat the arguments raised in the Secretary's Brief. In the interest of bringing several additional points that amplify, but do not repeat, the Secretary of State's Motion, Defendant Gwinnett BORE offers the following additional arguments for the Court's consideration.

INTRODUCTION

Plaintiffs filed this action seeking two different types of relief: (1) overturning the Lieutenant Governor's race in the 2018 general election through the election contest procedures outlined in statute; and (2) requiring an all-paper-ballot new election through several constitutional claims. While Plaintiffs clearly dislike DRE voting machines, this Court should not allow Plaintiffs to use election contest procedures to accelerate their unrelated claims and force a return to paper ballots—especially when the same parties and counsel have similar claims pending in federal court against the State and without any county parties.

This Court should, at the very least, dismiss the election contest portion of Plaintiffs' case and then dismiss Defendant Gwinnett BORE. While county election superintendents are necessary parties for election contests, Plaintiffs have not sued all 159 superintendents as the statute requires and cannot now add those additional counties.

Without the election contest portion of the Petition, the remaining constitutional claims can either be dismissed to the extent they apply only to a proposed new election or can be heard without a senior judge in the normal course of litigation. And those remaining

claims can be heard without the necessity of burdening county election officials with responding to claims that are properly brought against the State.

ARGUMENT AND CITATION OF AUTHORITY

As outlined in the Secretary's Brief, Plaintiffs have failed to place their election contest properly before this Court under the statutes governing election contests. While the rules related to election contests may seem harsh, the Supreme Court recognizes the critical interest in avoiding "election uncertainty and the confusion and prejudice that can come in its wake." *Broughton v. Douglas Cty. Bd. of Elections*, 286 Ga. 528, 529 (2010). Applying the technical rules related to contests is a prerequisite for jurisdiction—even to the point of dismissing petitions filed just one day late. *See Head v. Williams*, 269 Ga. 894, 895, 506 S.E.2d 863, 865 (1998) (decided under identical contest provisions of Municipal Election Code).

I. The Coalition for Good Governance does not have standing to file an election contest.

O.C.G.A. § 21-2-521 limits the types of persons who can file an election contest to a candidate election. The only possible contestants are the candidate or a voter who was entitled to vote in the election. *Id.* A corporation cannot vote in an election and is not entitled to file a contest. While the Coalition for Good Governance might have standing to bring the constitutional claims outlined later in the Petition, it does not have standing to challenge election results through the statutory contest procedures.

II. Plaintiffs failed to join necessary parties and cannot now add them because the statute of limitations has expired.

Election contests require prompt action by petitioners, getting the right individuals and entities before the correct court to have the contest decided. *Swain v. Thompson*, 281 Ga.

30, 31 (2006). Petitioners must sue the election superintendents who would have to hold a new election—meaning that a statewide contest requires naming all of the 159 election superintendents that would hold the new election. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2)(C). Even assuming Plaintiffs have stated a claim, their Petition only put three counties on notice of the action, because they sued only the Boards of Election for DeKalb, Fulton, and Gwinnett Counties and now seek to dismiss DeKalb as a party.

The one case interpreting the petition-amendment procedures for an election contest only allowed petitioners to correct an error in suing the correct entity when the county officials were already on notice of the litigation within the short statute of limitations. *See Brodie v. Champion*, 281 Ga. 105 (2006) (allowing amendment to add election board when correct director of elections had been sued). In this case, none of the other 156 counties that would have to conduct the new election Plaintiffs seek have been notified of this case.

Even if Plaintiffs now attempted to add additional parties, they will be joining those parties after the expiration of the five-day statute of limitations. This failure to join a necessary and indispensable party outside the statute of limitations is yet another reason this Court should dismiss the Petition. There is no doubt that "complete relief cannot be afforded," O.C.G.A. § 9-11-19(a)(1), in the absence of all of the Boards of Election that would have to conduct the new election if Petitioner were to be successful. Plaintiffs did not even sue the top ten counties where they believed there were problems (as referenced in Ms. Amico's letter).

Adding additional election superintendents after the statute of limitations has expired should not be allowed to relate back to the original filing date. O.C.G.A. § 9-11-15(c) outlines the requirements for a filing to relate back when the statute of limitations has

expired. In this case, the failure to name the election superintendents for 156 counties was not the result of a mistake of identity. Plaintiffs knew the race was statewide and had all the necessary facts to name the correct parties prior to the expiration of the statute of limitations. As a result, they cannot be allowed to correct the defect in their original filing by adding the additional election superintendents. *See Steed v. Wellington HealthCare Servs., LLC*, 285 Ga. App. 446, 448 (2007) (upholding denial of motion to amend when plaintiff had all information to name correct party before expiration of statute of limitations).

III. Plaintiffs have failed to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

Even if Plaintiffs had sued the correct entities, election contests require specific proof: that the results of the election would be placed in doubt. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-522. That is because the Court must presume that the results of an election are valid. *Middleton v. Smith*, 273 Ga. 202, 203 (2000). Irregularities that "simply erode confidence in the outcome of an election" are not sufficient to overturn an election, because elections "cannot be overturned on the basis of mere speculation." *Id.*

Plaintiffs must show to a mathematical certainty that the outcome would be placed in doubt. *Mead v. Sheffield*, 278 Ga. 268, 271 (2004); *Howell v. Fears*, 275 Ga. 627, 628 (2002). The publicly reported totals for the Lieutenant Governor's race[1] show Geoff Duncan receiving 1,951,738 votes and Sarah Riggs Amico receiving 1,828,566, for a difference of 123,172 votes. Plaintiffs claim various scattered alleged irregularities in their Petition—very few with any specific identifying information—but they have not alleged any number of disputed votes even close to the massive burden they carry. Ms. Amico has even admitted

[1] Available at https://results.enr.clarityelections.com/GA/91639/Web02-state.221451/#/cid/21000

that even if all the irregularities alleged were true, they would not change the results of her election. Petition, Exhibit C at page 1.

Plaintiffs repeatedly claim that there is no explanation other than a hack of the DRE units to explain the differential in the vote for Lieutenant Governor and other statewide races. But there are any number of plausible scenarios: (1) voters chose not to cast ballots when there was no Libertarian in the race; (2) voters thought the Governor and Lieutenant Governor ran on a ticket, so a second vote was unnecessary; (3) the ballot design and layout on the DRE units led voters to skip over the Lieutenant Governor election; or (4) some supporters of Democratic candidates disliked Ms. Amico or her positions on issues and chose not to vote for her.

Plaintiffs' repeated speculations about untraceable hacks of voting systems is just that—speculation. And the Supreme Court is clear that an election cannot be overturned because of "mere speculation." *Middleton*, 273 Ga. at 203. Because Plaintiffs have not alleged irregularities totaling anywhere close to the margin of victory, their Petition should be dismissed for failure to state a claim.

IV. The Gwinnett BORE is not a necessary party for Plaintiffs' claims that are unrelated to the statutory election contest.

Plaintiffs obviously believe there are problems with Georgia's DRE machines. But the claims in Counts II and III apparently focus on the administration of a new election. If those claims somehow continue after dismissal of the election contest, Defendant Gwinnett BORE is no longer a necessary party and those claims can be addressed between Plaintiffs and the State.

CONCLUSION

As outlined in the Secretary's Brief and this Brief, this Court lacks jurisdiction because Plaintiffs did not sue the necessary parties within the timeline provided by statute. Plaintiffs cannot now add those necessary parties outside the statute of limitations. And even if Plaintiffs could correct those fatal defects, they have not stated a claim under the statute governing election contests. This Court should dismiss Plaintiffs' Petition completely, or at the very least, dismiss the election contest claim and then dismiss Defendant Gwinnett BORE from its constitutional claims.

This 20th day of December, 2018.

/s/ Bryan P. Tyson
Frank B. Strickland
Georgia Bar No. 687600
fbs@sbllaw.net
Bryan P. Tyson
Georgia Bar No. 515411
bpt@sbllaw.net
Anne W. Lewis
Georgia Bar No. 737490
awl@sbllaw.net
STRICKLAND BROCKINGTON
LEWIS LLP
Midtown Proscenium Suite 2200
1170 Peachtree Street NE
Atlanta, GA 30309
(678) 347-2200

Richard A. Carothers
Georgia Bar No. 111075
richard.carothers@carmitch.com
Brian R. Dempsey
Georgia Bar No. 217596
Brian.dempsey@carmitch.com
CAROTHERS & MITCHELL, LLC
1809 Buford Highway
Buford, GA 30518

(770) 932-3552

Attorneys for the Gwinnett County Board of Registrations and Elections

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, *et al.*, Plaintiffs, v. ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, *et al.*, Defendants.	Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day electronically filed the foregoing CONSOLIDATED MOTION TO DISMISS BY SPECIAL APPEARANCE AND BRIEF IN SUPPORT ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS with the Clerk of Court using the Odyssey E-Filing system which will provide automatic notification of such filing to all counsel of record.

This 20th day of December, 2018.

/s/ Bryan P. Tyson
Bryan P. Tyson
Georgia Bar No. 515411

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDLS
Date: 12/20/2018 4:33 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, *et al*	) Civil Action File No. 2018CV313418
Plaintiff,	)
v.	)
ROBYN A CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, *et al*	)
Defendant	)

FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS SPECIAL APPEARANCE CONSOLIDATED MOTION TO DISMISS AND BRIEF IN SUPPORT

COMES NOW, Fulton County Board of Registrations and Elections ("Fulton County BRE"), by special appearance and without submitting to the jurisdiction of this court and without waiving any rights or defenses, for the limited purpose of filing a Motion to Dismiss pursuant to OCGA §§9-11-12(b)(2), (4), (5), (6) and (7) and filing this brief in support of the motion. Fulton County BRE has not been served and has not waived service of process.

I. Background

This case began long before this Petition was filed on November 23, 2018. On May 25, 2017, The Coalition for Good Governance, then operating under the name Rocky Mountain Foundation, filed suit against the Director of the Fulton County BRE in Fulton County Superior Court. As in this case, the allegation was made that the Direct Recording Electronic ("DRE") voting equipment used in Georgia elections is unsafe and inaccurate and a court order directing the use of paper ballots in future elections was sought. That action was dismissed by Judge

Kimberly Esmond Adams on June 6, 2017.[1]. On July 3, 2017, The Coalition for Good Governance filed a second action, this time an election contest, to which the Fulton County BRE was a defendant. The second action again complained about the vulnerability and unreliability of Georgia's DRE voting system, asserted the same 42 U.S.C. 1983 claims for infringement of the fundamental right to vote and infringement of the guarantee of equal protection that are asserted in the instant Petition, and again sought the use of paper ballots.[2] That case was removed to federal court where it is currently pending.[3].

On November 6, 2018, a state-wide general election was held. One of the races was for Lieutenant Governor for the State of Georgia. (Petition p. 1). The two candidates were Geoff Duncan and Sarah Riggs Amico. (Petition ¶ 1). Geoff Duncan received the most votes in the Contested Election. (Petition ¶17). On November 17, 2018, the Secretary of State certified the election results. (Petition ¶ 19). On November 23, 2018, Petitioners filed suit in Fulton County Superior Court under the Georgia election contest statute, OCGA § 21-2-520 *et seq.*, alleging that the unreliability and vulnerability of the electronic voting system used by the State of Georgia places doubt on the results of the Lieutenant Governor's race. The current Petition, as the petitions before it, asserts 42 U.S.C. §1983 claims for infringement on the right to vote and equal protection, seeks to void the Lieutenant Governor race and prohibit "Defendant Election

---

[1] "[A t]rial court may take judicial cognizance of records on file in its own court" *Petkas v. Grizzard*, 252 Ga. 104, 312 S.E.2d 107 (1984). Civil Action File No. 2017cv290630. *Donna Curling, Donna Price, Rocky Mountain Foundation, Inc. v. Richard Barron, in his Official Capacity as Director of the Fulton County Board of Elections and Registration, et al.*

[2] Civil Action File No. 2017cv292233. *Donna Curling, Coalition For Good Governance, Donna Price, Jeffrey Schoenberg, Laura Digges, William Digges III, Ricardo Davis v. Richard Barron, in his individual capacity and his official capacity as Director of the Fulton County Board Of Registration And Elections, Mary Carole Cooney, Vernetta Nuriddin, David J. Burge, Stan Matarazzo and Aaron Johnson in their individual capacities and official capacities as members of the Fulton County Board of Registration and Elections, The Fulton County Board Of Registration And Election, et al.*

[3] Civil Action File No. 1:17-cv-02989-AT.

Officials" from using the DRE voting system during the requested re-election.

First, As Petitioners are well aware, the Fulton County BRE cannot provide Petitioners with the relief they seek. The Fulton County BRE does not have the authority to determine the voting system used in Georgia. That responsibility lies with the Secretary of State. OCGA §§ 21-2-50, -50.2, -300, -379.3, -499; Ga. Comp. R. & Regs. R. 183-1-12-.01. The Fulton County BRE, like every other election superintendent in the state, is constrained by state law to use the voting system approved by the Secretary of State. OCGA §§ 21-2-300 and -379.3.

Second, the Petition fails to state a claim for relief. In support of the claim of irregularity, Petitioners rely, in part, on Exhibit B to the Petition, an unsigned letter dated November 12, 2018 purportedly from Sarah Riggs Amico to the Secretary of State raising concerns about the aberrant pattern of residual votes in the Lieutenant Governor race; Petitioners have parroted these claims in their Petition.[4]. Exhibit B, at page 3, concedes that "the number of residual votes in the Lieutenant Governor's race is unlikely to affect the outcome of [the] race." Thus, notwithstanding Petitioners' allegations to the contrary, the Petition fails to state a claim supporting an election contest because Exhibit B to the Petition refutes Petitioners claim that the outcome of the election is doubtful. *See Mead v. Sheffield*, 278 Ga. 268, 271 (2004). An exhibit to a petition takes precedence over hypothetical factual allegations. *See Lord v. Lowe*, 318 Ga. 780. 781 (1974)(if there is any discrepancy between the allegations in the complaint and the attached exhibits, the exhibits control).

On November 27, 2018, Petitioners' Counsel requested that a special summons be issued by Fulton County Superior Court Judge Constance Russell--which special summons was issued

[4]The counties at issue were Atkinson, Ben Hill, Clay, Coffee, Hancock, Jefferson, Quitman, Randolph, Taliaferro and Twiggs. These counties are not named as defendants in this action and thus parties indispensable to the just adjudication of this matter are not before the court. See OCGA §9-11-19. Exhibit B raises no concerns regarding residual votes in Fulton County.

but not served. On November 29, 2018, Chief Judge Robert McBurney entered the "Order Re-assigning Case to Seventh Judicial Administrative District" requesting the Honorable Ralph Van Pelt, Administrative Judge of the Seventh Judicial Administrative Circuit, to determine what judge shall hear this case. On November 30, 2018, Judge Van Pelt appointed the Honorable Adele Grubbs to preside in this action to serve and hear the contest of the election.

On December 5, 2018, this court held a status conference during which Petitioners were put on notice that the Fulton County BRE had not been served. To date, the Fulton County BRE has not been served with the Election Contest Petition and the "notice, in the form of special process" issued by the Superior Court Clerk as required by OCGA §21-2-524. During the time between Plaintiffs' November 23, 2018, Petition and this December 20, 2018 Motion to Dismiss, Petitioners have not ensured that defendant was served by special process with a copy of the Petition, as required under the Election Contest Statute.

II. ARGUMENT AND CITATIONS TO AUTHORITY

A. Petitioners' Claims Against Defendant are Barred For Lack Of Personal Jurisdiction and for Insufficient Service of Process.

A Georgia court cannot maintain proper jurisdiction over a civil claim, including an election contest, unless service of process has been properly perfected. A court does not have jurisdiction over a defendant until after service of process, and accordingly, while a legal action commences when the complaint is filed, it is not a pending suit between the parties until after service of process. *Wilkinson v. Udinsky*, 242 Ga. App. 464 (2000)(holding that improper service leads to a lack of jurisdiction); *Hilton v. Maddox*, 125 Ga. App. 423 (1972). If service is never perfected and is not waived, the court does not acquire jurisdiction of the defendant and the suit is void. *Anthony Hill Grading, Inc. v. SBS Investments, LLC*, 297 Ga. App. 728 (2009);

OCGA §9-11-12(b)(2). When service is not timely made, the plaintiff bears the burden of showing lack of fault. *Patterson v. Lopez*, 279 Ga. App. 840 (2006).

Insufficient service of process is an affirmative defense that justifies dismissal of a Petitioners election contest. See, O.C.G.A.§9-11-12(b)(5). Thus, a motion to dismiss is the proper vehicle to seek resolution of the issue of lack of service or insufficiency of service of process. *Terrell v. Porter*, 189 Ga. App. 778 (1989).

B. The Petition Should be Dismissed For Failure to Timely Comply with Procedural Mandates of the Election Contest Statute Designed to Ensure Swift Resolution of Election Disputes.

The Petition should be dismissed because Petitioners have failed to timely comply with the procedural service mandates of the Georgia Election Contest Statute. OCGA § 21-2-520 *et seq.*; *Broughton v. Douglas County Bd. Of Elections*, 286 Ga. 528 (2010). Petitioners' failure to exercise care, dispatch and diligence in timely complying with the procedural mandates of the Georgia Election Contest Statute violates the Georgia Supreme Court's steadfast pronouncement that "the party seeking review of an election has the obligation to exercise the mechanisms available to produce a timely result." *Allen v. Yost*, 282 Ga. 865 (2008).

The Election Contest Statute sets forth very precise and detailed procedural mechanisms affording Superior Courts with jurisdiction to review election disputes. *Id.* These carefully crafted statutory protocols "reflect the legislature's strong desire to avoid election uncertainty and the confusion and prejudice which can come in its wake." *Broughton*, 286 at 528-29. Compliance with these statutory protocols is also mandatory to ensure swift and quick resolution of election disputes. *Id.* There is no doubt under Georgia law that "swift resolution of election contests is vital for the smooth operation of government." *Id.*

Petitioners have clearly failed to exercise diligence and dispatch to ensure swift and

timely review of this election dispute. Petitioners have failed to have the statutorily mandated special process served upon the BRE which would afford this court jurisdiction over the Fulton County BRE. Absent service, this court has no jurisdiction over the Fulton County BRE. The Election Contest Statute requires that service be effected "in the form of special process [issued by the Superior Court Clerk]" expressly requiring the named defendants to answer the petition "not more than ten days nor less than five days after the service of such notice." OCGA §21-2-524. Here, Plaintiffs did not ensure issuance of such special process.[5] Although the Court Clerk is tasked with issuing the process, Petitioners have the responsibility to ensure that such process issue in a timely fashion. *Swain v. Thompson*, 281 Ga. 30, 31 (2006). In *Swain,* the Georgia Supreme Court rejected the argument that the trial court clerk should have ensured that the required special process timely issue in that election contest. The Supreme Court reasoned that "[g]iven the public policy in favor of swift resolution of election contests, recognition of the clerk's duty to issue proper process must be balanced against the traditional placement on plaintiffs of the duty to ensure proper and timely service." *Id.* at 31. The Court thus explained that where service occurs "after the period of limitation for filing the action...the plaintiff has the burden of showing that due diligence was exercised." *Id.*

In *Swain*, the petitioner was placed on notice in the defendant's special appearance and answer that service was insufficient. The Court noted that "receipt of [defendant's] answer asserting insufficiency of service put [plaintiff] on notice and should have inspired him to exercise the greatest possible diligence to ensure proper and timely service." *Id.* The Court

[5] OCGA §9-11-4(a) is instructive because it also requires the clerk to issue a summons and deliver it for service. Lack of service of an election contest within the five-day safe harbor provision of O.C.G.A. § 9-11-4(c) is neither reasonable nor diligent and thus dismissal as to the Fulton County BRE is warranted. *See Day v. Savage*, 213 Ga. App. 792 (1994).

explained that "[o]nce the plaintiff becomes aware of a problem with service...his duty is elevated to an even higher duty of the greatest possible diligence to ensure proper and timely service." *Id.* at 32. In affirming the trial court's dismissal of the plaintiff's petition, the Court concluded that the "question of whether [the petitioner] exercised proper diligence after being put on notice of deficiencies in service of process was one for the trial court's discretion, which will not be disturbed absent abuse." *Id.*

Here, this court should exercise its discretion to dismiss the Fulton County BRE because Petitioners' failure to exercise diligence is even more inexcusable than the petitioner in *Swain,* in that Petitioners' Counsel, a serial filer of complaints railing against Georgia's voting system, was on notice on the date of the Petition's filing that the Election Contest Statute requires service be issued "in the form of special process." Certainly, having requested and received at least one special process from Judge Russell, service on the Fulton County BRE was "elevated to an even higher duty of the greatest possible diligence to ensure proper and timely service." *Swain*, 281 Ga. at 32. However, instead of ensuring or requesting that proper service be issued, the matter has simply sat before the trial court from the date of the Petition's filing on November 23, 2018. Now, almost one month later, petitioners have yet to actually serve Defendant with the Special Summons and Complaint. Moreover, there is no indication that Petitioners acted with the greatest due diligence to assure proper service was obtained. Petitioners have had more than enough time to act diligently and serve Defendant. Because Petitioners have not, the Petition, against the Fulton County BRE, should be dismissed for lack of personal jurisdiction and insufficiency of service of process.

C. Petitioners do not have a Colorable Claim under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and thus Fail to State a Claim Upon Which Relief can be Granted.

The law governing the invocation of section 1983 for alleged "malfunctions" of the electoral process is well settled. The [federal] Constitution is not an election fraud statute: protection is extended to the right of all qualified citizens to vote in state and federal election. *Bodine v. Elkhart County Election Bd.*, 788 F.2d 1270 (1986) *citing Reynolds v. Sims,* 377 U.S. 533, 554, 84 S. Ct. 1362, 1377-78, 12 L.Ed.2d 506 (1964). "It is not every election irregularity, however, which will give rise to a constitutional claim and an action under section 1983." *Hennings v. Grafton,* 523 F.2d 861, 864 (7th Cir.1975). The court in *Hennings* held that section 1983 is implicated only when there is "*willful* conduct which undermines the organic processes by which candidates are elected." 523 F.2d at 864 (emphasis added); *see also Shannon v. Jacobowitz,* 394 F.3d 90, 97 (2d Cir.2005) ("Because no conduct is alleged that would indicate an intentional deprivation of the right to vote, we find no cognizable federal due process claim.") Because Plaintiffs have failed to show willful intent, they have failed to state a constitutional violation of the Fourteenth Amendment. Every election irregularity will not rise to a constitutional violation. *Pettengill v. Putnam County R-1 Sch. Dist.*, 472 F.2d 121, 121-22 (8th Cir. 1973). The Constitution does not mandate flawless or perfect elections. *Bodine* 788 F.2d at 1272 (7th Cir. 1986). Absent invidious discrimination or "fraudulent interference with a free election by stuffing the ballot box," voter irregularities do not amount to constitutional violations. *Pettengill*, 472 F.2d 121, 122 (8th Cir. 1973). As stated in *Gamza v. Aguirre*, 619 F.2d 449, 453 9 (5th Cir. 1980), "[i]f every state election irregularity were considered a federal constitutional deprivation... the elaborate state election contest procedures, designed to assure speedy and orderly disposition of the multitudinous questions that may arise in the electoral process, would be superseded by a section 1983 gloss." Consequently, Petitioners' Section 1983

claims must be dismissed for failure to state a claim for the denial or deprivation of constitutional rights.

D. The Petition Must be Dismissed Pursuant to OCGA §9-11-12(b)(6) and (7)

Petitioners have failed to raise valid claims for relief and have failed to name all necessary parties to this election contest. See OCGA §9-11-19. The Lieutenant Governor race was a state-wide election. Thus, the relief requested by Petitioners, requires the addition of all election superintendents for all 159 Georgia counties. See OCGA §21-2-520(2)(C). Further, the Coalition for Good Governance lacks standing to bring an election contest and the claim for election contest fails on the merits. Lastly, Petitioners fail to sufficiently allege either a due process claim or an equal protection claim.

The Fulton County BRE joins in, and incorporates by reference as if set forth verbatim herein, the facts, arguments and citations of authority set forth in the December 4, 2018 Consolidated Motion to Dismiss by Special Appearance and Brief in Support on Behalf of Defendant Robin A. Crittenden and in the Motions to Dismiss filed by Gwinnett County Board of Registrations and Elections and Lieutenant Governor-elect Geoff Duncan.

CONCLUSION

It is clear that plaintiff has failed to perfect service upon defendant and failed to exercise the greatest possible diligence to ensure service; that the Fulton County BRE cannot provide Petitioners with the relief they seek because it does not have the authority to determine the voting system used in Georgia; and that Exhibit B to the Petition reveals that the number of votes at issue are insufficient to alter the results of the Lieutenant Governor's race. Thus, the Petition should be dismissed in its entirety.

Respectfully submitted this 20th day of December, 2018.

OFFICE OF THE FULTON COUNTY ATTORNEY
Patrise Perkins-Hooker
County Attorney
Georgia Bar No. 572358
Patrise.perkins-hooker@fultoncountyga.gov

/s/ Kaye Woodard Burwell
Kaye Woodard Burwell
Georgia Bar No. 775060
Kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov
Cheryl Melissa Ann Ringer
Georgia Bar No. 557420
Cheryl.ringer@fultoncountyga.gov
David Lowman
Georgia Bar No. 460298
David.lowman@fultoncountyga.gov
Attorneys for Defendant Fulton County BRE

141 Pryor Street, S.W.
Suite 4038
Atlanta, Georgia 30303
Office: (404) 612-0246
Fax: (404)730-6540

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, *et al*	)
	) Civil Action File No. 2018CV313418
Plaintiff,	)
v.	)
ROBYN A CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, *et al*	)
Defendant	)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that on this day, I have caused to be electronically filed the foregoing FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS SPECIAL APPEARANCE CONSOLIDATED MOTION TO DISMISS AND BRIEF IN SUPPORT with the Fulton County Superior Court using the Odyssey efile GA system which will provide automatic notification of this filing to all counsel of record.

This 20th day of December, 2018.

/s/ Kaye Woodard Burwell
Kaye Woodard Burwell
Georgia Bar No. 775050

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDDG
Date: 12/20/2018 5:32 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY

STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT,	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	
	)	CIVIL ACTION
v.	)	
	)	FILE NO. 2018CV313418
ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS, GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, DEKALB COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, and GEOFF DUNCAN,	)	
Defendants.	)	

DEFENDANT ROBIN A. CRITTENDEN'S JOINDER IN CONSOLIDATED MOTION TO DISMISS BY SPECIAL APPEARANCE AND BRIEF IN SUPPORT ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS

Robyn A. Crittenden, in her official capacity as Secretary of State of the State of Georgia ("Defendant" or "Crittenden"), hereby joins Defendant Gwinnett County Board of Registrations and Elections' ("Gwinnett") Consolidated Motion to Dismiss by Special Appearance and Brief in Support ("Motion") as to Parts I-III because she agrees with Gwinnett that Plaintiffs' election challenge is subject to

dismissal. Defendant maintains that the Court should dismiss Plaintiffs' Petition to Contest Election Result ("Petition") in its entirety for the reasons set forth in Defendant's own Consolidated Motion to Dismiss by Special Appearance and Brief in Support, filed December 4, 2018 and the identified portions of Gwinnett's Motion.

Respectfully submitted this 20th day of December, 2018.

Christopher M. Carr
Attorney General
Georgia Bar No. 112505
Annette M. Cowart
Deputy Attorney General
Georgia Bar No. 191199
Russell D. Willard
Senior Assistant Attorney General
Georgia Bar No. 760280
State Law Department
40 Capitol Square, S.W.
Atlanta, GA 30334
Telephone: (404) 656-3357

/s/ Alexander Denton
Josh Belinfante
Georgia Bar No: 047399
jbelinfante@robbinsfirm.com
Vincent R. Russo
Georgia Bar No. 242628
vrusso@robbinsfirm.com
Brian E. Lake
Georgia Bar No. 575966
blake@robbinsfirm.com
Alexander Denton
Georgia Bar No. 660632
adenton@robbinsfirm.com
Special Assistant Attorneys General

Robbins Ross Alloy Belinfante Littlefield LLC
500 14th Street, N.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30318
Telephone: (678) 701-9381
Facsimile: (404) 856-3250

Attorneys for Defendant Robyn A. Crittenden, Secretary of State of Georgia

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served the within and foregoing **DEFENDANT ROBIN A. CRITTENDEN'S JOINDER IN CONSOLIDATED MOTION TO DISMISS BY SPECIAL APPEARANCE AND BRIEF IN SUPPORT ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS** on all parties by electronically filing it with the Clerk of the Court using the Odyssey eFileGA system, which will automatically send an email notification of such filing to counsel for the parties.

This 20th day of December, 2018.

/s/ Alexander Denton
Alexander Denton

Fax Return to 404.612.2659
Attn: Sgt. Sneed

SHERIFF'S ENTRY OF SERVICE

Record Id Stamp ~~87094~~

Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Date Filed 11/23/18

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDDG
Date: 12/21/2018 11:13 AM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

SUPERIOR COURT
GEORGIA, FULTON COUNTY

Coalition for Good Governance, et al
Plaintiff

VS.

Robyn A. Crittenden
Defendant

2018 DEC 13 AM 10:32
FILED

Attorney's Address
Bruce P. Brown
1123 Zonolite Rd. Ste 6
Atlanta, GA 30306

Name and Address of Party to be Served
Gwinnett County Board of Registration + Elections
c/o William Linkers
~~75 Langley Dr. Lawrenceville GA 30046~~
450 455 Grayson Highway Lawrenceville, GA 30046

SHERIFF'S ENTRY OF SERVICE

PERSONAL ☐ I have this day served the defendant _____ personally with a copy of the within action and summons.

NOTORIOUS ☐ I have this day served the defendant _____ by leaving a copy of the action and summons at this most place notorious place of abode in this county.

Delivered same into hands of _____ described as follows age, about ____ years; weight, about ____ pounds; height about ____ feet and ____ inches, domiciled at the residence of the defendant.

CORPORATION ☒ Served the defendant Gwinnett County Board of Registration + Elections a corporation by leaving a copy of the with in action and summons with Lynn Ledford in charge of the office and place of doing business of said Corporation in this County.

TACK & MAIL ☐ I have this day served the above styled affidavit and summons on the defendant(s) by posting a copy of the same to the door of the premises designated in said affidavit, and on the same day of such posting by depositing a true copy of the same in the United States Mail, First Class in an envelope properly addressed to the defendant(s) at the address shown in said summons, with adequate postage affixed thereon continuing notice to the defendant(s) to answer said summons at the place stated in the summons.

NON EST ☐ Diligent search made and defendant _____ not to be found in the jurisdiction of this court.

This 13 day of Dec 2018.

Jim Casey SO300
DEPUTY

SHERIFF DOCKET _____ PAGE _____

WHITE - CLERK; CANARY - PLAINTIFF; PINK - DEFENDANT

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDAC
Date: 12/21/2018 12:44 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, *et al.*, Plaintiffs, v. ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, *et al.*, Defendants.	Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

VERIFIED ANSWER AND DEFENSES OF DEFENDANT GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS

FIRST DEFENSE

The Petition fails to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

SECOND DEFENSE

The Petition fails to name a necessary and indispensable party.

THIRD DEFENSE

There were not sufficient illegal votes cast or legal votes rejected to cause the election results to be placed in doubt or to change the outcome.

FOURTH DEFENSE/ANSWER

Respondent Gwinnett County Board of Registrations and Elections ("Gwinnett BORE") answers and responds to the allegations set forth in the numbered paragraphs of the Petition as follows:

Unnumbered Introduction

The unnumbered Introduction to the Petition contains legal argument and a summary of Plaintiffs' claims and does not require a response. To the extent that any response is required, Defendant Gwinnett BORE denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to any relief.

1.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE admits that the general election for Lieutenant Governor for the State of Georgia was held on November 6, 2018, but denies the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 1.

2.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 2 and further states that it was not "repeatedly and formally warned" of any of the issues outlined in Paragraph 2.

3.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE admits that the race for Lieutenant Governor received fewer votes than other races that were farther down the ballot, but denies the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 3.

4.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 4 and further states that a number of reasonable explanations exist for the vote discrepancy in the Lieutenant Governor's race.

5.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 5, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 5 are denied.

6.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 6, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 6 are denied.

7.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE denies the allegations of Paragraph 7 and further states that the Coalition is not a proper party defendant under O.C.G.A. § 21-2-521.

8.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 8, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 8 are denied.

9.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 9, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 9 are denied.

10.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 10, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 10 are denied.

11.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 11, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 11 are denied.

12.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE admits that Robyn A. Crittenden is the Secretary of State of Georgia, that the Secretary's office operates the GEMS tabulation server and Election Night Reporting System, that the Secretary certifies election results, and that the Secretary is involved with the design and preparation of electronic ballots. Defendant Gwinnett BORE denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 12.

13.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 13, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 13 are denied.

14.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE admits that it is the election superintendent for Gwinnett County elections; that it conducted the November 6, 2018 general election, including the Lieutenant Governor's election, in Gwinnett County; and that other counties' election superintendents conducted the same election. Defendant Gwinnett BORE denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 14.

15.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 15, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 15 are denied.

16.

Paragraph 16 does not require a response, but to the extent a response is required, Defendant Gwinnett BORE states that the paragraph speaks for itself.

17.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 17.

18.

Paragraph 18 contains legal argument that does not require a response. To the extent a response is required, Defendant Gwinnett BORE denies that jurisdiction and venue is proper in this Court because it is where Defendant Crittenden resides, because Defendant Crittenden is not a proper party to an election contest. Defendant Gwinnett BORE admits that Fulton County is an allowable venue for a statewide election contest under the statute, but denies that jurisdiction is proper in this Court and further denies that it is a proper party for the non-election-contest counts of Plaintiffs' Petition. Defendant Gwinnett BORE denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 18.

19.

Paragraph 19 contains legal argument that does not require a response. To the extent a response is required, Defendant Gwinnett BORE admits that the case was filed within the five-day limitation period provided by statute and that the Secretary of State certified the statewide results on November 17, 2018. Defendant Gwinnett BORE denies that the

Petition was timely filed because it did not name all required parties within the statute of limitations and denies any remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 19.

20.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 20, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 20 are denied.

21.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE admits that it used the Diebold AccuVote DRE touchscreen units for in-person voting, Diebold optical scan-machines for absentee and provisional ballots, and GEMS software for tabulation and reporting of results for the November 6, 2018 election. Defendant Gwinnett BORE denies that the electronic poll book components "interface" with the DRE machines but admits that it used ExpressPoll units for poll book functions. Defendant Gwinnett BORE denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 21.

22.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE admits that the DREs use memory cards and store information regarding voters' actions to memory cards and internal memory. Defendant Gwinnett BORE denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 22.

23.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE admits that the DREs run a version of Microsoft Windows CE, but is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 23, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 23 are denied.

24.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 24 and footnotes 1 and 2, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 24 and footnotes 1 and 2 are denied.

25.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 25 and footnote 3, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 25 and footnote 3 are denied.

26.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 26 and footnotes 4-9, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 26 and footnotes 4-9 are denied.

27.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 27, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 27 are denied.

28.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 28, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 28 are denied.

29.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 29, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 29 are denied.

30.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE admits that the Secretary of State contracted with Kennesaw State University for a period of time and that the functions previously carried out by KSU are now housed in the Secretary's office. Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 30, and for this reason, the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 30 are denied.

31.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 31, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 31 are denied.

32.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 32, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 32 are denied.

33.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 33, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 33 are denied.

34.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 34, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 34 are denied. Defendant Gwinnett BORE further states that the quoted order speaks for itself.

35.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE denies that it acted recklessly in using the DRE election system in the 2018 general election. Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 35, and for this reason, the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 35 are denied.

36.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 36, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 36 are denied.

37.

In response to Paragraph 37, Defendant Gwinnett BORE states that the quoted order speaks for itself. Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 37, and for this reason, the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 37 are denied.

38.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE admits that voters reported problems during the November 6, 2018 election but denies that those problems resulted in voter

disenfranchisement. Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 38, and for this reason, the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 38 are denied.

39.

Paragraph 39 is introductory language that does not require a response. To the extent a response is required, Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 39, including what "campaigns, non-profit voter protection groups, and the press" that it covers, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 39 are denied. Defendant Gwinnett BORE further states that none of the alleged irregularities in the following paragraphs occurred in Gwinnett County.

40.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 40, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 40 are denied.

41.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 41, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 41 are denied.

42.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE admits that Karen Handel was the Republican candidate in Congressional District 6 in the November 6, 2018 general election. Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations

contained in Paragraph 42, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 42 are denied.

43.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 43, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 43 are denied.

44.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 44, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 44 are denied.

45.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 45, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 45 are denied.

46.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 46, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 46 are denied.

47.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 47, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 47 are denied.

48.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 48, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 48 are denied.

49.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 49, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 49 are denied. Defendant Gwinnett BORE further states that there are a number of possible explanations for the lower vote total in the Lieutenant Governor's race.

50.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE admits that the Lieutenant Governor is the second-highest state official and that the Lieutenant Governor is also the President of the State Senate. Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 50, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 50 are denied.

51.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE admits that the reported vote totals show that there were less total votes cast for Lieutenant Governor than for other statewide races, but is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 51, and for this reason, the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 51 are denied.

52.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE admits that the reported vote totals show there were less total votes cast for Lieutenant Governor than for other statewide races, but is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the percentages and remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 52, and for this reason, the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 52 are denied.

53.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE admits that the reported vote totals show there were less total votes cast for Lieutenant Governor than for other statewide races, but is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 53, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 53 are denied. Defendant Gwinnett BORE further states that there are a number of possible explanations for the lower vote total in the Lieutenant Governor's race.

54.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 54, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 54 are denied. Defendant Gwinnett BORE further states that there are a number of possible explanations for the lower vote total in the Lieutenant Governor's race.

55.

In response to Paragraph 55, Defendant Gwinnett BORE states that the letter from Ms. Amico to Secretary Crittenden speaks for itself. Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the remaining allegations

contained in Paragraph 55, and for this reason, the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 55 are denied. Defendant Gwinnett BORE further states that there are a number of possible explanations for the lower vote total in the Lieutenant Governor's race.

56.

In response to Paragraph 56, Defendant Gwinnett BORE states that the letter from Secretary Crittenden to Ms. Amico speaks for itself. Defendant Gwinnett BORE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 55, and for this reason, the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 55 are denied. Defendant Gwinnett BORE further states that there are a number of possible explanations for the lower vote total in the Lieutenant Governor's race.

57.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 57.

58.

Paragraph 58 contains legal conclusions that do not require a response.

59.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 59.

60.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 60.

61.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 61.

62.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 62.

63.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 63.

64.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 64.

65.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 65.

66.

Paragraph 66 contains legal conclusions that do not require a response.

67.

Paragraph 67 contains legal argument that does not require a response. Defendant Gwinnett BORE states that the right to vote is a fundamental right of all citizens.

68.

Paragraph 68 contains legal argument that does not require a response. Defendant Gwinnett BORE states that accurately counting votes is a critical part of democracy.

69.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 69.

70.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 70.

71.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 71.

72.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 72.

73.

Paragraph 73 contains legal conclusions that do not require a response.

74.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 74. Defendant Gwinnett BORE further states that hand-marked ballots do not necessarily provide clear and determinable records of voter intent.

75.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 75.

76.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 76.

Response to Prayer for Relief

Defendant Gwinnett BORE denies each numbered paragraph of the Prayer for Relief and denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to any relief.

All other allegations contained in the Petition that are not specifically responded to in this document are denied.

WHEREFORE, Defendant Gwinnett BORE requests:

(a) That the Petition be dismissed entirely or that Defendant Gwinnett BORE be dismissed as a party;

(b) That all relief sought by Plaintiffs be denied;

(c) That judgment be issued in Defendant Gwinnett BORE's favor;

(d) That attorneys' fees and costs be assessed against Plaintiffs;

(e) That the Petition be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction; and

(f) Any other further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

This 21st day of December, 2018.

/s/ Bryan P. Tyson
Frank B. Strickland
Georgia Bar No. 687600
fbs@sbllaw.net
Bryan P. Tyson
Georgia Bar No. 515411
bpt@sbllaw.net
Anne W. Lewis
Georgia Bar No. 737490
awl@sbllaw.net
STRICKLAND BROCKINGTON
LEWIS LLP
Midtown Proscenium Suite 2200
1170 Peachtree Street NE
Atlanta, GA 30309
(678) 347-2200

Richard A. Carothers
Georgia Bar No. 111075
richard.carothers@carmitch.com
Brian R. Dempsey
Georgia Bar No. 217596
Brian.dempsey@carmitch.com
CAROTHERS & MITCHELL, LLC
1809 Buford Highway
Buford, GA 30518
(770) 932-3552

Attorneys for the Gwinnett County Board of Registrations and Elections

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, *et al.*, Plaintiffs, v. ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, *et al.*, Defendants.	Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

VERIFICATION

Personally appeared before the undersigned officer duly authorized to administer oaths, LYNN LEDFORD, who having first been duly sworn, deposes and says that the VERIFIED ANSWER AND DEFENSES OF DEFENDANT GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, filed in the Superior Court of Fulton County, was prepared with the assistance and advice of counsel, and that the facts contained therein are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

This 21st day of December, 2018.

Lynn Ledford
LYNN LEDFORD

Sworn to and subscribed
before me this 21st day of December, 2018.

Laila Tehrani
NOTARY PUBLIC
My commission expires

LAILA TEHRANI
My Commission Expires
NOTARY PUBLIC
08-19-2022
FULTON COUNTY, GEORGIA

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, *et al.*, Plaintiffs, v. ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, *et al.*, Defendants.	Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day electronically filed the foregoing VERIFIED ANSWER AND DEFENSES OF DEFENDANT GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS with the Clerk of Court using the Odyssey E-Filing system which will provide automatic notification of such filing to all counsel of record.

This 21st day of December, 2018.

/s/ Bryan P. Tyson
Bryan P. Tyson
Georgia Bar No. 515411

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDLW
Date: 12/21/2018 4:09 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, and JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS, GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, DEKALB COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, and GEOFF DUNCAN,** **Defendants.**	**Civil Action No.: 2018CV313418**

ANSWER OF DEFENDANT GEOFF DUNCAN TO PETITION TO CONTEST ELECTION RESULT

NOW COMES the Defendant, Geoff Duncan, in response to Plaintiffs' Petition to Contest Election Result by showing the following:

First Defense

The Plaintiffs' Petition fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted against this Defendant.

Second Defense

The Plaintiffs' Petition should be dismissed for failure to join necessary parties.

Third Defense

The Plaintiffs' Petition should be dismissed because of their failure to timely file suit against all necessary parties as required under Georgia law.

Fourth Defense

The Plaintiffs' Petition should be dismissed because of Plaintiffs' Coalition for Good Governance ("Coalition") lack of standing to bring this action under Georgia law.

Fifth Defense

The Plaintiffs' Petition should be dismissed because Plaintiffs have failed To sufficiently allege a due process claim.

Sixth Defense

The Plaintiffs' Petition should be dismissed because the Eleventh Amendment bars Plaintiffs' federal claims.

Seventh Defense

The Plaintiffs' Petition should be dismissed because Plaintiffs Have Failed To Sufficiently allege a due process claim.

Eighth Defense

This Defendant now responds to the specific allegations contained in Plaintiffs' Petition by showing the following:

INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

1 - 4.

This Defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraphs 1, 2, 3, and 4 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

GA004927

PARTIES

5.

In response to paragraph 5 of Plaintiffs' Petition, this Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments contained in paragraph 5 of Plaintiffs' Petition regarding the status of the Plaintiffs' Coalition for Good Governance and the Membership of said Plaintiffs. Moreover, this Defendant affirmatively denies that the Coalition is a proper party to this action.

6.

This Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments contained in paragraph 6 of Plaintiffs' Petition regarding the purpose of the Coalition. This Defendant affirmatively denies that the Coalition is a proper party to this action.

7.

This Defendant denies the allegation contained in paragraph 7 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

8 - 11.

This Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments contained in paragraphs 8, 9, 10, and 11 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

12.

In response to paragraph 12 of Plaintiffs' Petition, this Defendant admits that the Defendant, Robyn A. Crittenden, is the Secretary of State of Georgia. This

Defendant is without knowledge of information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining averments contained in paragraph 12 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

13 - 15.

In response to paragraphs 13, 14, and 15 of Plaintiffs' Petition, Fulton, Gwinnett and DeKalb County Boards of Registration and Elections are the Superintendent of for the respective contested county elections. This Defendant is without knowledge of information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the remaining averments contained in paragraph 13, 14, and 15.

16.

Paragraph 16 does not require a response from this Defendant.

17.

In response to paragraph 17 of Plaintiffs' Petition, this Defendant admits that his rightful election as Lieutenant Governor of the State of Georgia has been certified by the Georgia Secretary of State after having received over 123,000 votes more than his opponent in the General Election on November 6, 2018. The Plaintiffs' Petition is intended to contest this election but this Defendant denies there is a legal or factual basis for such a contest.

JURISDICTION and VENUE

18.

This Defendant admits the allegation contained in paragraph 18 of Plaintiffs' Petition regarding the allegation that the Fulton Superior Court has venue to hear this action. However, this Defendant affirmatively denies that Plaintiffs have standing to bring this action due to the Coalition not being a proper party to bring

this action and the Plaintiffs' failure to add all necessary parties within the time period required under Georgia law. Therefore, the Court lacks jurisdiction to hear this case as a matter of law.

19.

This Defendant denies the allegation contained in paragraph 19 of Plaintiffs' Petition because Plaintiffs failed to join all necessary parties within the time period prescribed by Georgia law.

20.

This Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments contained in paragraphs 20 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Georgia's DRE Electronic Voting System

21 - 35.

This Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments contained in paragraphs 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, and 35 of Plaintiffs' Petition. However, this Defendant affirmatively denies any and all allegations that any alleged defects in the Georgia Voting System were sufficient to place in doubt the result of the election in question under Georgia law.

Irregularities in the 2018 Election

36 - 48.

This Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments contained in paragraphs 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, and 48 of Plaintiffs' Petition. However, this Defendant affirmatively denies that any alleged irregularities were sufficient to place into doubt the result of the election in question under Georgia law.

Anomalous Undervote in the Lieutenant Governor's Election

49.

This Defendant denies the allegation contained in paragraph 49 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

50.

In response to paragraph 50 of Plaintiffs' Petition, this Defendants admits that the Lieutenant Governor is the 2nd highest ranking official in Georgia and that he or she holds the particular coveted position as President of the State Senate. This Defendant further admits that the election in question was hotly contested. Furthermore, while this Defendant believes that this election was of keen interest to many Georgia voters, this Defendant is without knowledge of information sufficient to form a belief as to why a particular individual voter chose to either skip voting in this or any other election contest or chose to cast an unrecorded write-in vote; however, such actions do occur on a regular basis in American elections in general and in Georgia elections in particular. Therefore, the mere existence of alleged "undervotes" in an election does not create a presumption of election irregularities, misconduct by any party, or malfunction by any devices used to record or count votes.

51.

This Defendant denies the allegation contained in paragraph 51 of Plaintiffs' Petition as stated. Voters in Georgia may either choose to vote for candidates for particular races on a ballot, choose to vote instead for an unrecorded write-in candidate, or choose to not vote in one or more races on a ballot. Therefore, the mere existence of alleged "undervotes" in an election does not create a presumption of election irregularities, misconduct by any party, or malfunction by any devices used to record or count votes.

52.

In response to paragraph 52 of Plaintiffs' Petition, this Defendant admits that fewer votes were cast for the two listed candidates on the ballot in the race for Lieutenant Governor than in the race for Governor but, in so doing so, denies any inference that such alleged "undervotes" were the result of negligent or nefarious activities. Therefore, the mere existence of alleged "undervotes" in an election does not create a presumption of election irregularities, misconduct by any party, or malfunction by any devices used to record or count votes.

53.

In response to paragraph 53 of Plaintiffs' Petition, this Defendant admits that fewer votes were cast for the two listed candidates on the ballot in the race for Lieutenant Governor than in the race for Governor but, in so doing so, denies any inference that such alleged "undervotes" were the result of negligent or nefarious activities. Therefore, the mere existence of alleged "undervotes" in an election does

not create a presumption of election irregularities, misconduct by any party, or malfunction by any devices used to record or count votes.

54.

In response to paragraph 54 of Plaintiffs' Petition, this Defendant admits that fewer votes were cast for the two listed candidates on the ballot in the race for Lieutenant Governor than in the race for Governor but, in so doing so, denies any inference that such alleged "undervotes" were the result of negligent or nefarious activities. Therefore, the mere existence of alleged "undervotes" in an election does not create a presumption of election irregularities, misconduct by any party, or malfunction by any devices used to record or count votes.

55.

In response to paragraph 55 of Plaintiffs' Petition, this Defendant is without knowledge of information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments contained in Ms. Amico's letter to the Georgia Secretary of State except for the following admission by Ms. Amico: "The number of residual votes in the Lieutenant Governor's race is unlikely to affect the outcome of my race ..."

56.

In response to paragraph 56 of Plaintiffs' Petition, this Defendant states that the letter of Secretary Crittenden speaks for itself.

57.

This Defendant denies the allegation contained in paragraph 57 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

COUNT I: ELECTION CONTEST

58.

This Defendant incorporates herein by reference his previous response to paragraphs 1 through 57 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

59 - 65.

This Defendant denies that the allegations contained in paragraphs 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, and 65 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

COUNT II:
FUNDAMENTAL RIGHT TO VOTE
42 U.S.C. § 1983

66.

This Defendant incorporates herein by reference this previous response to paragraphs 1 through 65 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

67 and 68.

This Defendant admits the allegation contained in paragraphs 67 and 68 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

69 - 72.

The Defendant denies the allegations contained in paragraphs 69, 70, 71, and 72 of Plaintiffs' Petition. This Defendant further affirmatively states that no alleged irregularities in the election were sufficient to place into doubt result of the election in question under Georgia law.

COUNT III: EQUAL PROTECTION
42 U.S.C. § 1983

73.

Defendant incorporates herein by reference his previous responses in paragraphs 1 through 72 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

74 - 76.

This Defendant denies the allegation contained in paragraphs 74, 75, and 76 of Plaintiffs' Petition. This Defendant further affirmatively states that no alleged irregularities in the election in question were sufficient to place into doubt the result of the election in question under Georgia law.

Ninth Defense

Any and all allegations contained in Plaintiffs' Petition which are not specifically responded to herein are hereby denied.

RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFFS PRAYER FOR RELIEF

This Defendant denies any and all allegations contained in Plaintiffs' Prayer for Relief.

COUNTERCLAIM OF DEFENDANT GEOFF DUNCAN FOR COST, ATTORNEYS FEES, AND EXPENSES AGAINST THE PLAINTIFFS

NOW COMES the Defendant, Geoff Duncan, and asserts the following Counterclaim as permitted under Georgia law:

1.

The Court has jurisdiction and venue over Defendant Geoff Duncan's Counterclaim by virtue of the Petition filed by the Plaintiffs in this matter.

2.

Defendant Geoff Duncan is entitled to cost against the Plaintiffs under O.C.G.A. § 21-2-529 due to the lack of merit of Plaintiffs' Petition.

3.

Defendant Geoff Duncan is entitled to the award of attorneys' fees and expenses against the Plaintiffs under O.C.G.A. § 9-15-14 due to the complete absence in this case of any justiciable issue of law or fact, so that it could not be reasonably believed that a court would accept the asserted claims of the Plaintiffs.

WHEREFORE, the Defendant Geoff Duncan prays that the Plaintiffs' Petition be dismissed and that his Counterclaim for cost and reasonable attorneys' fees and expenses be granted by the Court.

DENTONS US LLP



Edward H. Lindsey Jr.
Georgia Bar No. 453075
Samuel S. Olens
Georgia Bar No. 551540

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the foregoing ANSWER OF DEFENDANT GEOFF DUNCAN TO PETITION TO CONTEST ELECTION RESULT has been served upon all interested parties by electronically filing with the Clerk of the Court using the Odyssey eFileGA System, which will automatically send an e mail notification of such filing to counsel for the parties.

This 21st day of December, 2018.



Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDAC
Date: 12/21/2018 2:37 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV31348**

PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR PRESERVATION OF SPECIFIC ELECTRONIC ELECTION RECORDS
IN GORDON AND MURRAY COUNTIES

Pursuant to the Court's Preservation Order entered December 7, 2018, Plaintiffs hereby move the Court to order that specific electronic records be preserved intact and that certain DRE units not be used in the upcoming January 8, 2019 special election in Gordon and Murray counties to fill the vacancy in House District 5. Specifically, Plaintiffs move the Court to Order the Secretary to *not use* any DRE machines which are Voting System Evidence, as that term is defined in the Preservation Order, in the upcoming January 8, 2019 special election or any other upcoming election until further Order of the Court.

DISCUSSION

In the Court's December 7, 2018 Preservation Order, the Court first directed all parties to preserve the "Voting System Evidence," which was broadly defined to include all Direct Electronic Recording ("DRE") touchscreen voting units, DRE internal memory, memory cards and a number of other categories and types of electronic equipment. At the conclusion of the Preservation Order, the Court stated:

> At this time all Motions in this case are set for hearing on January 9th 2019. Should there be any election due to be conducted prior to that date the parties shall notify the Court and the Court will entertain appropriate motions in regard there to.

Preservation Order, December 7, 2018, page 2.

Later on December 7, 2018, counsel for the Secretary of State informed the Court and the parties that there would be a special election on December 18, 2018 in Bartow and Floyd counties, and another on January 8, 2019 in Gordon and Murray Counties. (See December 7, 2018 Email from V. Russo, attached as Exhibit 1). The Secretary did not file a Motion seeking an exception to the Preservation Order to use protected Voting System Evidence. Instead the Secretary instructed the counties to update the DRE machines with new election programming. It is the Secretary's position that updating and reprogramming the DREs and repeatedly

modifying the Voting System Evidence does not alter the electronic evidence.

Plaintiffs file this Motion because the Secretary's position – that updating, reprogramming, and re-using the Voting System Evidence in new elections does not alter any evidence – is manifestly incorrect, and her actions are leading to alteration of material evidence. Plaintiffs refer again to the Declaration of Richard A. DeMillo, the Charlotte B. and Roger C. Warren Chair of Computer Science at Georgia Tech and former Chief Technology Officer for Hewlett-Packard, attached hereto as Exhibit 2. In Paragraphs 22 and 23 of his Declaration, Professor DeMillo explains why the DRE equipment must be taken out of service so that the internal memory in the equipment can be preserved, and how using the equipment in a new election threatens to alter the electronic data.

Plaintiffs have repeatedly made the Secretary aware of Professor DeMillo's analysis. The Secretary has not refuted Professor DeMillo's analysis, provided contrary expert analysis, or even explained the technical basis for her opinion. Plaintiffs also suggested that the parties conduct a joint test to resolve this dispute by having experts compare the internal memory of a unit that had been used in the November 2018 general election *and* the December 2018 run off election with a unit that had been last used in

the November 2018 general election. The Secretary did not respond to this offer.

The Secretary has forced Plaintiffs to file this Motion. The Secretary has failed to preserve material evidence as the Preservation Order requires. The Secretary insists on continuing to use and alter Voting System Evidence, even though the Secretary does not need to use those DRE machines to conduct these relatively small elections, and refuses either to substantiate her claim that she is not spoliating evidence or submit her position to an objective test.

Plaintiffs understand and appreciate that this Court will be hearing Plaintiffs' Emergency Motion for Inspection at the hearing on January 9, 2019, but the upcoming election will be held the day before, on January 8. Programming of such equipment is likely occurring now.

Given the compelling need for an inspection of the malfunctioning or mis-programmed electronic election equipment, and the numerous alternatives for conducting upcoming elections without requiring such DRE machines, the requested relief is reasonable and appropriate.

However, at a bare minimum, the Secretary should be ordered to not use the DRE machines that were used in the following precincts in Gordon and Murray Counties that have reported anomalous results and therefore should be subjected to forensic analysis before they are used again. By suggesting this alternative,

Plaintiffs do not waive their position that the use of other machines in other precincts constitutes the destruction of evidence in violation of this Court's Preservation Order. The precincts are the following:

Murray County:

Southwest
McDonald
Shuck Pen
North

Gordon County:

Sugar Valley
Plainville
Gordon Country Office
Oostanaula

Respectfully submitted this 21st day of December, 2018

/s/ Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Georgia Bar No. 064460
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com
Bruce P. Brown Law LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE
Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing via the Court's e-filing system to the attorneys of record who have made appearances.

This 21st day of December, 2018.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown

EXHIBIT 1

Subject: RE: Proposed Preservation Order; Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418 [IWOV-imanage.FID780744]

Date: Friday, December 7, 2018 at 3:11:26 PM Eastern Standard Time

From: Vincent Russo

To: Adele Grubbs, DWare@hallboothsmith.com

CC: Bruce Brown, richard.carothers@carmitch.com, sue@carmitch.com, brian.dempsey@carmitch.com, bpt@sbllaw.net, edward.lindsey@dentons.com, vernstes@dekalbcountyga.gov, Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov, Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov, bdbryan@dekalbcountyga.gov, chall@lmjc.net, Alexander Denton, Josh Belinfante, Brian Lake, ccorreia@law.ga.gov, RBritt@hallboothsmith.com, PCunningham@hallboothsmith.com, lkjohnson@dekalbcountyga.gov

Attachments: image001.jpg, Call for HD14 Special Republican Primary Election.pdf, Call for Special Election (HD5).pdf

Judge Grubbs:

On behalf of Secretary Crittenden, and in accordance with your Preservation Order entered earlier today, I want to notify you of two special elections scheduled prior to the January 9, 2018 hearing. None of the election superintendents responsible for administering these special elections is a party to Plaintiffs' election contest.

First, a special election is set for December 18, 2018 in Bartow and Floyd counties to fill the vacancy in House District 14 due to Rep. Christian Coomer's appointment to the Georgia Court of Appeals.

Second, a special election is set for January 8, 2019 in Gordon and Murray counties to fill the vacancy in House District 5 due to the passing of Representative John Meadows.

I have attached the calls for the upcoming special elections for your reference.

Sincerely,

Vincent Russo

ROBBINS
Vincent R. Russo
Member
ROBBINS ♦ ROSS ♦ ALLOY ♦ BELINFANTE ♦ LITTLEFIELD LLC
500 14th Street, NW
Atlanta, GA 30318
404.856.3260 (Direct)
678.701.9381 (Main)
404.856.3250 (Fax)

www.robbinsfirm.com

From: Adele Grubbs [mailto:adele.grubbs@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, December 07, 2018 11:32 AM

EXHIBIT 2

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA

ATLANTA DIVISION

DONNA CURLING, ET AL., **Plaintiffs, v.** **BRIAN KEMP, ET AL.,** **Defendants.**	**Civil Action No.** **1:17-CV-2989-AT**

DECLARATION OF RICHARD A. DeMILLO

RICHARD A. DeMILLO ("Declarant") hereby declares as follows:

1. I am a registered voter in Fulton County Georgia. I am deeply interested in the proper functioning of the Georgia's voting system from both a personal and professional perspective.
2. I am not a retained expert by any party to this action, but in the desire to aid the Court in the evaluation of technical assertions, I wish to voluntarily offer my opinion on the particular topic of the essential requirements of preservation of electronic records of the DRE voting system including the electronic pollbooks.

3. I am currently the Charlotte B. and Roger C. Warren Chair of Computer Science at Georgia Tech. I have served as Dean of the College of Computing at Georgia Tech and Director of the Georgia Tech Center for Information Security. I have also served as the Chief Technology Officer for Hewlett-Packard, Vice President and General Manager of Computing and Information Research at Bell Communications Research, Director of the Computer and Communications Research Division at the National Science Foundation, and Director of the Software Test and Evaluation Project for the U.S. Department of Defense.

4. In all these appointments, my primary technology focus has been information, communication, cyber security, and computer system testing. I have taught both graduate and undergraduate courses in cyber security, supervised PhD dissertations and conducted peer-reviewed research leading to books, journal articles, patents, and invited addresses, all related to the topic of cyber threats to computer systems. I have served on editorial boards for major journals, chaired program committees for cybersecurity symposia and conferences, and served on government advisory boards and panels. I have been an officer, director, and board member for various public and private corporations in the cyber security industry.

5. I have conducted research and taught courses related to voting system and election security since 2002. I have served as an official observer of foreign electronic voting systems for the Carter Center and participated in the writing of Carter Center guidelines for using electronic voting machines. I serve on the advisory boards of Verified Voting and the Open Software Election Technology Institute.

6. My qualifications and experience are described further in my August 20, 2018 Declaration in this case, Doc. 277 at 52 et seq.

7. I have reviewed the Court's order in this case, as well as the Court's Order in Common Cause Georgia v. Kemp (18-cv-5102).

8. I am familiar with Georgia's Diebold DRE voting system, its design, the body of academic literature compiled on the system in the last ten years, and its operation as it is deployed in the polling places in Georgia.

9. I own both Diebold TSx and TS voting machines which I have examined and used to conduct certain experiments related to DRE system security.

10. I have observed the operation of Diebold DRE systems in polling places in multiple Georgia counties over the course of multiple elections and in county election offices where the system was being programmed and tested. I have observed the testing procedures conducted prior to machine deployment to the polling places.

11. I observed the operation of the ExpressPollbooks (electronic pollbooks) as well as the DRE machines in my role as a statewide pollwatcher during the November 6, 2018 election.

12. During my pollwatching activities, I had occasion to speak with voters, election workers, and cybersecurity experts, and to consult various reports. Credible information thus obtained was consistent with the existence of failures and malfunctions of both Diebold ExpressPollbooks operations and DRE voting machines during the November 6, 2018 election.

13. Also, during my pollwatching activities on November 6, 2018, I became aware that certain sites in Gwinnett County were experiencing significant delays in voting and that those delays may have been attributable to malfunctioning Diebold ExpressPollbooks.

14. On the afternoon of November 6, I conferred with nationally recognized Diebold voting systems expert Harri Hursti and cyber security researcher Logan Lamb. This conversation took place a few minutes after Hursti and Lamb completed a review of technical information on site in Anistown Precinct in Gwinnett County, where four-hour voting delays were being attributed to malfunctioning ExpressPollbooks. I visited the Anistown Precinct a few hours after the malfunction had reportedly occurred and

observed the operations at the polling place before visiting other polling locations.

15.I am aware of Election Rule 183-1-12-.02 (6)(d) stating that:

> *"The election results, ballot styles, ballot images, and other information for each election stored in the internal memory storage of each DRE unit shall be maintained for a minimum of one month following each election after which time the results may be erased provided that there are no election contests pending concerning such election."*

16. One purpose for the requirement for maintaining the information described in Rule (183-1-12-.02(6)(d)) is to make possible forensic analysis in the event of election tampering, system compromise, or system malfunction. This is particularly significant in Georgia because Georgia elections do not create or maintain paper audit trail that can be reviewed as a record of voter intent. Lacking an independent way to judge voter intent, experts need access to the detailed digital records known as footprints (citation: https://www.nytimes.com/2000/03/09/technology/computer-forensics-teams-learn-to-follow-digital-footprints.html)

17. The information thus required is not merely a copy of the cast vote records on the machine or ballot images or audit logs, all of which are subject to accidental or malicious corruption, manipulation or destruction during a cyber-attack, system compromise, or system failure, but for all electronic information stored in internal memory. (citation: S. Garfinkel et al, "Practical Unix and Internet Security, 3rd Edition," O'Reilley Publishing, 2003, pp 677+).
18. Furthermore, merely saving the related memory cards is an inadequate response to this requirement since the very act of copying information from internal memories to memory cards is carried out by software that must be presumed to be untrustworthy in the event of system failure or compromise. (citation: https://www.ncsc.gov.uk/content/files/protected_files/guidance_files/common_cyber_attacks_ncsc.pdf) (citation: National Institute of Standards and Technology, Guidelines on PDA Forensics, Special Publication 800-72, November 2004) Additionally, memory cards contain only selected data intended for reporting, not all the operating information on in the DRE internal memory needed for forensic review.
19. Preserving the electronic data in the internal memory of the DRE requires that no new election data be written onto the hard drive of DRE machines,

no further use after the close of the election, including recounts, and that the DRE machines thus preserved be strictly physically secured and not deployed to polling places (see Paragraphs 22 and 23 below).

20. The Election Rule appears to recognize that it is critical that the electronic data in the internal memory of the DRE be preserved for a substantial time in order to permit time for systemic and isolated problems to surface.

21. Therefore, a consequence of Paragraph 19 and the one-month preservation rule is the required availability and use of either alternative DRE machines or paper ballots for elections falling shortly after an election.

22. Preservation of machines identified for analysis is required for this analysis, and therefore all such machines should be removed from service and placed in a secure storage facility, where adequate access and physical safeguards can be implemented to deter tampering. Defendants have represented in prior public statements that election officers already implement secure physical custody. I disagree with this assessment based on well-documented instances in which unattended DREs are easily accessible by persons without authorization or supervision. Defendants have also represented in prior public statements that tamper-evident seals prevent unauthorized access. I disagree with this assessment based on well-known and widely distributed videos that demonstrate how to undetectably defeat

such seals. I have personally observed persons with little or no prior training using shims cut from soft drink cans to defeat the tamper evident seals used in Georgia's elections.

23. Defendants have represented in prior public statements that removal from service is not necessary since data from prior elections cannot be erased, overwritten, or otherwise lost when a new election is carried out. I am unaware of any technical means that would support such a claim. The Windows CE operating system, on which the Diebold Ballot Station software runs, contains only rudimentary memory management and is prone to a phenomenon called memory fragmentation wherein memory locations are not allocated in contiguous blocks but rather are allocated in blocks that are dispersed throughout physical memory. Because Windows CE has no built-in features for signaling to an application that a candidate block of storage has previously been allocated, application software that needs to maintain intact memory from prior elections must carry out the necessary checks. Because Diebold BallotStation software is proprietary and held as a trade secret, it is unavailable for third party evaluation. I have examined various public disclosures that describe the design and coding of BallotStation software. I have not found evidence of such software safeguards in the Diebold BallotStation software.

24. Selection of machines for forensic review during discovery will be done by an algorithm for which section parameters are not yet known and cannot be known until a preliminary analysis has been carried out. For example, one such parameter might be: machines where the polling place manual recap sheet of ballots cast shows a different number than the DRE reported total of ballots cast.

25. All DRE machine electronic data must be preserved. Random sampling of DRE machines for preservation is not sufficient for safe-guarding of electronic evidence required to be used in discovery. Deliberate and time-consuming analysis must first be conducted to determine which DRE machines have exhibited attributes that indicate potential malfunction or have been exposed to greater risk of compromise than others. Randomly sampling the DREs is not a mathematically acceptable way of conducting this analysis. Random sampling assumes an underlying probability distribution for the attributes being tested. A random sample for example might be constructed assuming that defects are uniformly distributed among the DREs. That assumption is untenable since the machines of interest may be associated with certain races, ballots choices, racial distribution, root cause of failure/compromise, geography, population density, number of ballots cast on a machine, voter complaints, anomalous results, or other

attributes that are not uniformly distributed throughout the voting population.

26. Therefore, no statistically valid conclusion can be drawn from a random sample. In addition, statistical tools which might be used to approximate sampling distributions are not applicable in this case, either because the attributes of interest are not statistically independent, or because the software is able to modify its own behavior when it is being tested (as was demonstrated in the Volkswagen emissions testing scandal of 2015 when the US Environmental Protection Agency discovered that on-board software had been programed to sense with an automobile was being tested and deliver results that did not reflect emissions control impact on vehicle performance. (citation: EPA Notice of Violation September 15, 2015)).

27. Individual imaging of DRE internal memory is technically possible and has been publicly suggested as a way of avoiding preservation. This procedure, however, requires intrusive access to each DRE which makes it an infeasible solution. In the first place, I do not believe there are enough sufficiently trained technicians to accomplish the task. In the second place, it takes anywhere from 3 to 10 hours to obtain an acceptable image of the internal memory of a DRE.

28. The electronic data residing on the components of Georgia's electronic voting systems ("the Required Electronic Data") essential for preservation for the purpose of determining the causes of irregularities and the performance of those systems in the November 6, 2018 General Election follows below in Paragraph 29.

29. The Required Data includes:

    a. all electronic data residing in the internal memory of the DRE machines prepared for use in the November 6, 2018 election, including DRE machines used for uploading memory cards in election offices;

    b. all electronic data on DRE memory cards from all polling places and election offices used in early voting and Election Day voting related to the November 6, 2018 election;

    c. all electronic data residing on the GEMS servers, including logging records and audit logs related to the November 6, 2018 election;

    d. all electronic data residing on external media devices used to upload results to the Election Night Reporting system related to the November 6, 2018 election;

    e. all electronic data residing on Electronic Media Processors related to the November 6, 2018 elections.

f. all electronic data on ExpressPollbooks memory cards used in the November 6, 2018 election.

g. all electronic data in the internal memory of the ExpressPollbooks used in the November 6, 2018 election.

h. all electronic data including logging records (including the E-Net systems and vendors' records) used in the upload or download of voter registration records and the electronic pollbooks.

30. As recently as November 17 and 18, I have been made aware of possible anomalies from the November 6 election, and I am aware of various public disclosures of other anomalies. Anomalies such as these would be subject to investigation under the one-month DRE internal memory preservation rule. Investigation of these anomalies would be jeopardized without preservation of the affected DREs.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, I declare and verify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on this date, November __21_____, 2018.

Richard A. DeMillo

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDAC
Date: 12/21/2018 2:37 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, et al., **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV313418**

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR PRESERVATION OF ELECTRONIC ELECTION RECORDS IN GORDON AND MURRAY COUNITES

For good cause shown, Plaintiffs' Motion for Preservation of Electronic Election Records in Gordon and Murray Counties is GRANTED and Defendant Secretary of State of Georgia is hereby ORDERED to instruct appropriate officials in Gordon and Murray Counties to not use any Direct Recoding Electronic ("DRE") voting machines in the upcoming January 8, 2019 election that were used in the November 2018 general election, and, with respect to any subsequent election, to issue the same instructions to the appropriate county officials, until further order of this Court.

SO ORDERED this __ day of December, 2018.

_____
Honorable Adele P. Grubbs
Senior Judge, State of Georgia

Prepared by: /s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Counsel for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing via the Court's e-filing system to the attorneys of record who have made appearances.

This 21st day of December, 2018.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDMH
Date: 12/26/2018 6:16 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, et al., **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION** **FILE NO. 2018 CV 313418**

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

COMES NOW AMY FERRERO, before the undersigned officer duly authorized to administer oaths and, after being duly sworn on oath deposes and states that she has been permanently appointed by this Court for service of process per Order attached, she is a citizen of the United States, over the age of eighteen (18), and is a party having no interest in the above styled case, states that on December 26, 2018 at 2:33 PM, she served the ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, by personally serving ROBIN HERRON. Executive Assistant, authorized to accept, at her business located at 214 State Capitol, Atlanta. Georgia 30334, with SUMMONS and PETITION TO CONTEST ELECTION RESULT.

I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 26 day of December, 2018

Legal Ease Attorney Services, Inc.
645 Waldo Street
Atlanta, GA 30312
404-849-1240

Sworn to and subscribed before me
this 26th day of December 2018

Notary Public

My commission expires:

KATHERINE BOLLING
NOTARY PUBLIC
FULTON COUNTY, GEORGIA
COMMISSION EXPIRES
JANUARY 26, 2022

Page(S)
Intentionally
Left Blank

GA004964

JAN 02 2018
DEPUTY CLERK SUPERIOR COURT
FULTON COUNTY, GA

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

Administrative Order No. 2018 EX 000003

ORDER FOR APPOINTMENT FOR PROCESS SERVICE

Having read and considered the petitions and criminal records, and it appearing to the Court that sufficient grounds exist that each petitioner meets the requirements for appointment by the Court, it is hereby

ORDERED and **ADJUDGED** that the following:

Adams Jr., John
Allen, Lakeita
Anderson, William
Andrews, Gene
Armstrong, Christopher
Bailey, Anna
Baker, Wanda
Banks, Randy
Barney, Steven
Barry, Paul
Barham, James
Bass, Susan
Benito, Richard
Benito, Robert
Benson, James
Bolling, Katherine
Brazeman, Craig
Briley, Donnie
Brown, Reginald
Bunch, Kim
Byers, Edmond
Carithers, Gregory
Carney, Adrian
Chastain, Michael
Clark, Ezell
Clemmons, Joyce
Cline, Travis
Cross, Charles
Cunningham, Sally
Daniels, Alyse
Daniels, Sonia
Davenport, Alterick
Davidson, Danny
Day, Duane
DeVaughn, Carl
Dolbier, Jeffrey
Dreaman, Douglas
Duchon, Deborah
Echols, Eric
Echols, Patricia
Edwards, Donnie
Elliott, Maurice
Evans, Alonzo
Faulkner, Dana
Ferrero, Amy
Fisher, Dawn
Fitzgerald, Floretta
Folds, Catherine
Folds, George
Ford, Ronnie
Fox, Juhani
Franklin, Anthony
Freese, Jessica
Fuller, Thomas
Gallo, Elizabeth
Gayle, Earl
George, Randal
Gibbs III, Thomas
Greenway, Kimberly
Grimshaw, Shane
Gruhn, Tammie
Handley, Wiley
Harris, Constance
Harris, Parks
Hassan, Muhsin S.
Heimerich, Richard
Highsmith, Amos
Hightower, Anthonio
Hill, Hollis
Hindsman, Charrod
Horton, Christopher
Howard, Ricky
Hudson, Hakimah
Hudson, Kyle
Humphrey, Joviera
James, Frank
Johnson, Christina
Johnson, Earl
Jones, Alicia
Kahsu, Haile
Kennedy, Richard
Kidd, Elizabeth
Kim, Leonard
King, Amos
Kotlar, Michael
Lair, Aaron
Lane, Madeline
Lassman, Marsha
Letts, William
Lewis, Kevin
Lobin, Jerome
Lutwack, William
Maggard Jr., Andrew
Maggard III, Andrew
Maggard, J. Daniel
Mallas, Nicholas
McClellan, Rodney
McGahee, Larry
Mitchell, Kevin
Morgan, Todd
Morrison, Zuri
Mott, Cynthia
Murphy Jr., Gregory
Murphy, Herbert
Murrieta, Francisco
Nadler, Jonathan
Nealey, Cedric
Nichols, Jean
Nichols, Lathan
Nolen, Milton
Nowik, Dennis
O'Brien, Christopher
O'Leary, Christine
Owens III, Michael
Perkins, Karen
Porcinia, Richard
Pyle, Robert
Rauser, Jayne
Recinella, Kevin
Reddick, Derek
Reid, Cletis
Rhodes, Kathryn
Rice, Robert
Richardson, Leroy
Rivers, Michael
Robertson, Brad
Robertson, Marlene
Robinson, Jeroy
Ruddock, Leopold
Ruddock, Margaret
Sadler Jr., John
Saxon, Jasmine
Saxon, Rashad
Saxon, Robin
Saxon, Virginia
Sekiecki, Christian
Self, Abagail
Self, Curvin
Sexton, Traici
Shadix, Jimmy
Shepherd, Elizabeth
Sibbald, John
Singleton, Wesley
Smith, Delacie

Smith, Ronald
Smith, Virginia
Smith Jr., Bruce
Snellings, Sharon
Spears, Joye
Stanton, Christopher
Starks, Marc
Steidl, David
Stevenson, Nosiba
Stewart, Ronnie
Stinyard, Kelvin
Stone, Rodney
Swindle, Frank
Tamaroff, Paul
Tassaw, Berhane
Thompson, Vanessa
Thrash, Nancy
Tort, Henry
Trumble, Garfield
Tucker, Paul
Underwood, Robert
Velasquez, Julius
Walker, Reginald
Washington, Sabrina
Webber, Melina
Weeks, Frances
West, Eric
Williams, Lavern
Wingo, Michael
Winkleman, Nan
Wolfe, Lisa
Wright, Christopher
Yeste, John
Zayas, Roberto

be appointed and authorized to serve as a Permanent Process Server in the Fulton County Superior Court, for the Calendar Year 2018, without the necessity of an order for appointment in each individual case.

BY ORDER OF THE Court this 2nd day of January, 2018.

Gail S. Tusan, Chief Judge
Fulton County Superior Court
Atlanta Judicial Circuit

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDMH
Date: 12/26/2018 6:21 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, et al., **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION** **FILE NO. 2018 CV 313418**

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

COMES NOW AMY FERRERO, before the undersigned officer duly authorized to administer oaths and, after being duly sworn on oath deposes and states that she has been permanently appointed by this Court for service of process per Order attached, she is a citizen of the United States, over the age of eighteen (18), and is a party having no interest in the above styled case, states that on December 26, 2018 at 2:22 PM, she served the FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS, by personally serving RICK BARRON. Director of Registration and Election, at his business located at 130 Peachtree Street, SW, Suite 2186, Atlanta, Georgia 30303, with SUMMONS and PETITION TO CONTEST ELECTION RESULT.

I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 26 day of December, 2018

Legal Ease Attorney Services, Inc.
645 Waldo Street
Atlanta, GA 30312
404-849-1240

Sworn to and subscribed before me
this 26th day of December, 2018

Kathleen Bolling
Notary Public

My commission expires:

KATHLEEN BOLLING
NOTARY PUBLIC
FULTON COUNTY, GEORGIA
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES
JANUARY 21, 2022

Page(S)
Intentionally
Left Blank

GA004968

JAN 02 2018
DEPUTY CLERK, SUPERIOR COURT
FULTON COUNTY, GA

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

Administrative Order No. 2018 EX 000003

ORDER FOR APPOINTMENT FOR PROCESS SERVICE

Having read and considered the petitions and criminal records, and it appearing to the Court that sufficient grounds exist that each petitioner meets the requirements for appointment by the Court, it is hereby

ORDERED and ADJUDGED that the following:

Adams Jr., John
Allen, Lakeita
Anderson, William
Andrews, Gene
Armstrong, Christopher
Bailey, Anna
Baker, Wanda
Banks, Randy
Barney, Steven
Barry, Paul
Basham, James
Bass, Susan
Benito, Richard
Benito, Robert
Benson, James
Bolling, Katherine
Brazeman, Craig
Briley, Donnie
Brown, Reginald
Bunch, Kim
Byers, Edmond
Carithers, Gregory
Carney, Adrian
Chastain, Michael
Clark, Ezell
Clemmons, Joyce
Cline, Travis
Cross, Charles
Cunningham, Sally
Daniels, Alysa
Daniels, Sonia
Davenport, Alterick
Davidson, Danny
Day, Duane
DeVaughn, Carl
Dolbier, Jeffrey
Dreeman, Douglas
Duchon, Deborah
Echols, Eric
Echols, Patricia
Edwards, Donnie
Elliott, Maurice
Evans, Alonzo
Faulkner, Dana
Ferrero, Amy
Fisher, Dawn
Fitzgerald, Floretta
Folds, Catherina
Folds, George
Ford, Ronnie
Fox, Juhani
Franklin, Anthony
Freese, Jessica
Fuller, Thomas
Gallo, Elizabeth
Gayle, Earl
George, Randal
Gibbs III, Thomas
Greenway, Kimberly
Grimshaw, Shane
Gruhn, Tammie
Handley, Wiley
Harris, Constance
Harris, Parks
Hassan, Muhain S.
Heimerich, Richard
Highsmith, Amos
Hightower, Anthonio
Hill, Hollis
Hindsman, Cherrod
Horton, Christopher
Howard, Ricky
Hudson, Hakimah
Hudson, Kyle
Humphrey, Joviera
James, Frank
Johnson, Christina
Johnson, Earl
Jones, Alicia
Kahsu, Haile
Kennedy, Richard
Kidd, Elizabeth
Kim, Leonard
King, Amos
Kotlar, Michael
Lair, Aaron
Lane, Madeline
Lauesman, Marsha
Letts , William
Lewis, Kevin
Lobin, Jerome
Lutwack, William
Maggard Jr., Andrew
Maggard III, Andrew
Maggard, J. Daniel
Mallas, Nicholas
McClellan, Rodney
McGahee, Larry
Mitchell, Kevin
Morgan, Todd
Morrison, Zuri
Mott, Cynthia
Murphy Jr., Gregory
Murphy, Herbert
Murrieta, Francisco
Nadler, Jonathan
Nealey, Cedric
Nichols, Jean
Nichols, Lathan
Nolen, Milton
Nowik, Dennis
O'Brien, Christopher
O'Leary, Christine
Owens III, Michael
Perkins, Karen
Poncinie, Richard
Pyle, Robert
Rauser, Jayne
Recinella, Kevin
Reddick, Derek
Reid, Cletis
Rhodes, Kathryn
Rice, Robert
Richardson, Leroy
Rivers, Michael
Robertson, Brad
Robertson, Marlena
Robinson, Jeroy
Ruddock, Leopold
Ruddock, Margaret
Sadler Jr., John
Saxon, Jasmine
Saxon, Rashad
Saxon, Robin
Saxon, Virginia
Seklecki, Christian
Self, Abagail
Self, Curvin
Sexton, Traici
Shadix, Jimmy
Shepherd, Elizabeth
Sibbald, John
Singleton, Wesley
Smith, Delacie

Smith, Ronald
Smith, Virginia
Smith Jr., Bruce
Snellings, Sharon
Spears, Joye
Stanton, Christopher
Starks, Marc
Steidl, David
Stevenson, Nosiba
Stewart, Ronnie
Stinyard, Kelvin
Stone, Rodney
Swindle, Frank
Tamaroff, Paul
Tassaw, Berhane
Thompson, Vanessa
Thrash, Nancy
Tort, Henry
Trumble, Garfield
Tucker, Paul
Underwood, Robert
Velasquez, Julius
Walker, Reginald
Washington, Sabrina
Webber, Melina
Weeks, Frances
West, Eric
Williams, Lavern
Wingo, Michael
Winkleman, Nan
Wolfe, Lisa
Wright, Christopher
Yeate, John
Zayas, Roberto

be appointed and authorized to serve as a Permanent Process Server in the Fulton County Superior Court, for the Calendar Year 2018, without the necessity of an order for appointment in each individual case.

BY ORDER OF THE Court this 2nd day of January, 2018.

Gail S. Tusan, Chief Judge
Fulton County Superior Court
Atlanta Judicial Circuit

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDQW
Date: 12/19/2018 3:46 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDAC
Date: 12/27/2018 2:10 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, et al., **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV313418**

~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO DROP DEKALB COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS AS PARTY DEFENDANT

Upon motion of Plaintiffs, and pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 9-11-21, Defendant DeKalb County Board of Registrations and Elections is dropped from this action and is no longer a party to this case.

SO ORDERED this 26 day of December, 2018.

Honorable Adele P. Grubbs
Senior Judge, State of Georgia

Prepared by: /s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Counsel for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing via the Court's e-filing system to the attorneys of record who have made appearances.

This 19TH day of December, 2018.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown

Gmail

Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>

Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418 [IWOV-imanage.FID780744]

2 messages

David Ware <DWare@hallboothsmith.com> Thu, Dec 20, 2018 at 9:32 AM
To: "adele.grubbs@gmail.com" <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>, Viviane Ernstes <vernstes@co.dekalb.ga.us>, "Laura K. Johnson" <lkjohnson@dekalbcountyga.gov>, "Bryan, Bennett D" <benbryan@dekalbcountyga.gov>, Russell Britt <RBritt@hallboothsmith.com>, Pearson Cunningham <PCunningham@hallboothsmith.com>

Judge Grubbs: we represent the DeKalb County Board of Registration and Elections. We have not entered an appearance in the case since the Board not yet been served. However, we appeared at the initial hearing before your Honor and announced our representation. As you are aware from the docket, Plaintiff has filed a Motion to Dismiss the DeKalb County Board of Registration and Elections and, of course, the motion is unopposed. Plaintiff's counsel also provided the court with a proposed Order to effectuate the dismissal. In light of this development, we will not file a Motion to Dismiss or other pleadings. Since the Court orally directed that any motions in this matter be filed by today, I wanted to alert you to our situation in the event you have not yet received the Plaintiff's filing. If the court still wants us to appear at the January 9, 2019 hearing, please let me know.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Respectfully submitted,

R. David Ware

Partner

Hall Booth Smith, P.C., Attorneys at Law

191 Peachtree Street

Suite 2900

Atlanta, GA. 30303

dware@hallboothsmith.com

(404) 586-6619

(404) 402-0204 (cell)

www.hallboothsmith.com

Notice of Confidentiality:

This communication constitutes an electronic communication within the meaning of the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. Section 2510, and its disclosure is strictly limited to the recipient intended by the sender of this message. This communication, and any attachments thereto, contain confidential attorney-client privileged information and attorney work product. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, viewing, copying, distribution or use of any of the information contained in or attached to this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by replying to the message and deleting it from your computer. Thank you.

Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com> Thu, Dec 20, 2018 at 10:14 AM
To: David Ware <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>
Cc: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>, Viviane Ernstes <vernstes@co.dekalb.ga.us>, "Laura K. Johnson" <lkjohnson@dekalbcountyga.gov>, "Bryan, Bennett D" <benbryan@dekalbcountyga.gov>, Russell Britt <RBritt@hallboothsmith.com>, Pearson Cunningham <PCunningham@hallboothsmith.com>

Counsel,

I have not received a Motion to Dismiss form the Plaintiff yet.

adele Grubbs
[Quoted text hidden]

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDLW
Date: 12/28/2018 3:47 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY

STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT,	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	
	)	CIVIL ACTION
v.	)	
	)	FILE NO. 2018CV313418
ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS, GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, DEKALB COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, and GEOFF DUNCAN,	)	
Defendants.	)	

RESPONSE TO PETITION TO CONTEST ELECTION RESULT

COMES NOW, Robyn A. Crittenden, in her official capacity as Secretary of State of the State of Georgia ("Defendant" or "Crittenden"), by and through counsel, and respectfully appears specially and responds to Plaintiffs Coalition for Good Governance's ("Coalition"), Rhonda J. Martin's ("Martin"), Smythe Duval's ("Duval"), and Jeanne Dufort's ("Dufort") (collectively, "Plaintiffs") Petition to Contest Election Result ("Petition") involving the November 6, 2018 general

election for Lieutenant Governor for the State of Georgia (the "Contested Election"), stating as follows:

INTRODUCTION

Plaintiffs filed this action to contest the Contested Election pursuant to Article 13, O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520, *et seq.*, of the Georgia Election Code. On December 4, 2018, Defendant appeared specially to file her Consolidated Motion to Dismiss By Special Appearance and Brief In Support ("Motion"), in which she asked the Court to dismiss Plaintiffs' Petition both as to Secretary Crittenden and in its entirety.[1] As explained in that Motion, Secretary Crittenden is not a proper party to this election contest and, therefore, the claims against her are barred by sovereign immunity; Plaintiffs have failed to state valid claims for relief; and the Petition fails to name all the necessary parties for an election contest.

Further, Plaintiffs appear to bring a res ipsa loquitur theory from tort law and attempt to apply it to election challenges. See Sheats v. Kroger Co., 336 Ga. App. 307, 312 (2016) (listing elements of res ipsa loquitur and holding that it applies only in "extreme" cases). Georgia courts have long held that election returns are presumed to be valid, and a party contesting an election has the burden of showing an irregularity or illegality sufficient to change or place in doubt the result of the

[1] Defendant appeared specially to file the Motion because Plaintiffs had not yet served her with the Petition.

election. See Hunt v. Crawford, 270 Ga. 7, 8 (1998) ("The setting aside of an election in which the people have chosen their representative is a drastic remedy that should not be undertaken lightly, but instead should be reserved for cases in which a person challenging an election has clearly established a violation of election procedures and has demonstrated that the violation has placed the result of the election in doubt."). Theoretical and unfounded conspiratorial hypotheses do not overcome the challenging party's burden. Middleton v. Smith, 273 Ga. 202, 203 (2000) ("It is not sufficient to show irregularities which simply erode confidence in the outcome of the election. Elections cannot be overturned on the basis of mere speculation or an appearance of impropriety in the election procedures.") (citations omitted).

On December 18, 2018, Plaintiffs served Defendant with a summons and copy of the Petition. The Summons directed Defendant to answer the Petition within ten days of service. Defendant believes her Motion constitutes an adequate—and, indeed, dispositive—responsive pleading with respect to the Petition; nevertheless, she appears specially and files this Response in an abundance of caution in order to answer directly the enumerated allegations contained in the Petition.[2]

---

[2] Defendant hereby incorporates her Motion into this Response as if fully sets forth herein.

FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

The allegations in Plaintiffs' Petition fail to state a claim against Secretary Crittenden upon which relief may be granted.

SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiffs' claims against Secretary Crittenden are barred by sovereign immunity.

THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiffs' claims are barred for failure to name necessary and indispensable parties.

FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiffs have not alleged, nor can Plaintiffs prove, any error, misconduct, fraud, or irregularity sufficient to change or place in doubt the result of the election at issue in this action.

FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiff Coalition for Good Governance, Inc. lacks standing to bring this action.

SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiffs' federal claims against Secretary Crittenden are barred by the Eleventh Amendment to the United States Constitution.

SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Plaintiffs failed to plead their election contest claim with specificity in compliance with the statutory requirements in O.C.G.A. § 21-2-524.

EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

Defendant reserves the right to amend her defenses and to add additional ones.

Defendant answers the specific paragraphs of Plaintiffs' Petition as follows:

INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

1.

Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 1 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

2.

Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 2 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

3.

Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 3 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

4.

Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 4 of Plaintiffs' Petition as pled.

PARTIES

5.

The allegations in Paragraph 5 of Plaintiffs' Petition are outside the scope of Defendant's knowledge and are therefore denied on that basis.

6.

The allegations in Paragraph 6 of Plaintiffs' Petition are outside the scope of Defendant's knowledge and are therefore denied on that basis.

7.

Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 7 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

8.

Defendant admits the allegations in Paragraph 8 of Plaintiffs' Petition that Plaintiff Martin is a registered voter in the State of Georgia who was entitled to vote in the Contested Election and voted in the November 6, 2018 General Election, but denies that Plaintiff Martin is an aggrieved elector.

9.

Defendant admits the allegations in Paragraph 9 of Plaintiffs' Petition that Plaintiff Dufort is a registered voter in the State of Georgia who was entitled to vote in the Contested Election and voted in the November 6, 2018 General Election, but denies that Plaintiff Dufort is an aggrieved elector.

10.

Defendant admits the allegations in Paragraph 10 of Plaintiffs' Petition that Plaintiff DuVal is a registered voter in the State of Georgia who was entitled to vote in the Contested Election and voted in the November 6, 2018 General Election, but denies that Plaintiff DuVal is an aggrieved elector. Defendant also admits the allegation in Paragraph 10 of Plaintiffs' Petition that Plaintiff DuVal was a candidate of the Libertarian Party for Secretary of State of Georgia.

11.

Paragraph 11 of Plaintiffs' Petition contains a legal conclusion that does not require a response. Defendant denies that election irregularities alleged by Plaintiffs were sufficient to place in doubt the outcome of the Contested Election and that Plaintiffs are entitled to any relief in their election contest.

12.

Defendant admits that she serves as the Secretary of State for the State of Georgia. Defendant denies any of Plaintiffs' characterizations of the duties and responsibilities of her position, as such are defined by Georgia law and the law speaks for itself. Defendant denies all other allegations stated or implied in this paragraph.

13.

Defendant admits that the Fulton County Board of Registration and

Elections (the "Fulton Board") is the election superintendent for Fulton County; that the Fulton Board conducted the Contested Election in Fulton County; and that other counties' election superintendents conducted the November 6, 2018 General Election in their respective counties. Defendant denies the allegation that she conducted the Contested Election contained in Paragraph 13 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

14.

Defendant admits that the Gwinnett County Board of Registration and Elections (the "Gwinnett Board") is the election superintendent for Gwinnett County; that the Gwinnett Board conducted the Contested Election in Gwinnett County; and that other counties' election superintendents conducted the November 6, 2018 General Election in their respective counties. Defendant denies the allegation that she conducted the Contested Election contained in Paragraph 14 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

15.

Defendant admits that the DeKalb County Board of Registration and Elections (the "DeKalb Board") is the election superintendent for DeKalb County; that the DeKalb Board conducted the Contested Election in DeKalb County; and that other counties' election superintendents conducted the November 6, 2018 General Election in their respective counties. Defendant denies the allegation that she conducted the Contested Election contained in Paragraph 15 of Plaintiffs'

Petition. Defendant further states that the Court has granted Plaintiffs' motion to drop the DeKalb Board from Plaintiffs' election contest due to misjoinder

16.

Paragraph 16 of Plaintiffs' Petition purports to collectively refer to Defendants Secretary, Fulton County Board of Registration and Elections, Gwinnett County Board of Registrations and Elections, and DeKalb County Board of Registrations and Elections as "the Defendant Election Officials" for the purpose of Plaintiffs' Petition, and does not require a response. To the extent that a response is required, Defendant denies any remaining allegations stated or implied in Paragraph 16 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

17.

Defendant admits that Defendant Duncan was the Republican nominee for Lieutenant Governor; Defendant certified him as the winner of the election; that he is the Lieutenant Governor Elect; and that Plaintiffs filed a meritless challenge to his election. Except as thus stated, Defendant denies the allegations stated or implied in Paragraph 17 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

JURISDICTION and VENUE

18.

Defendant denies this Court has subject matter jurisdiction as to Secretary Crittenden. Defendant, appearing specially, does not contest that venue is proper

in this Court. Defendant denies any remaining allegations stated or implied in Paragraph 18 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

19.

Paragraph 19 of Plaintiffs' Petition contains a legal conclusion that does not require a response. To the extent a response is required, Defendant admits that certification of the November 6, 2018 General Election occurred on Saturday, November 17, 2018 and that Plaintiffs' Petition was filed on November 23, 2018. Defendant denies that Plaintiffs named all necessary parties for their election contest and any remaining allegations stated or implied in Paragraph 19 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

20.

Defendant admits that the Georgia State Election Board was served with a copy of Plaintiffs' Petition through Secretary Crittenden as chairperson of the Georgia State Election Board. All other allegations stated or implied in Paragraph 20 of Plaintiffs' Petition are denied.

21.

Defendant admits that Paragraph 21 of Plaintiffs' Petition that contains a description of certain equipment used in Georgia elections, but denies that the components listed in Paragraph 21 consist of the entirety of the voting system in Georgia.

22.

Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 22 of Plaintiffs' Petition as pled.

23.

Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 23 of Plaintiffs' Petition as pled.

24.

The allegations in Paragraph 24 of Plaintiffs' Petition refer to documents, and such documents speak for themselves. Defendant denies any of Plaintiffs' characterizations of the documents. All other allegations stated or implied in this paragraph are denied.

25.

The allegations in Paragraph 25 of Plaintiffs' Petition refer to a document, and such document speaks for itself. Defendant denies any of Plaintiffs' characterizations of the document. All other allegations stated or implied in this paragraph are denied.

26.

The allegations in Paragraph 26 of Plaintiffs' Petition refer to documents, and such documents speak for themselves. Defendant denies any of Plaintiffs' characterizations of the documents. All other allegations stated or implied in this

paragraph are denied.

27.

The allegations in Paragraph 27 of Plaintiffs' Petition refer to a document, and such document speaks for itself. Defendant denies any of Plaintiffs' characterizations of the document. All other allegations stated or implied in this paragraph are denied.

28.

The allegations in Paragraph 28 of Plaintiffs' Petition refer to a document, and such document speaks for itself. Defendant denies any of Plaintiffs' characterizations of the document. All other allegations stated or implied in this paragraph are denied.

29.

Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 29 of Plaintiffs' Petition as pled.

30.

Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 30 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

31.

With regard to the allegations containing alleged quotations by KSU/CSE employees, the Paragraph does not indicate the source of those quoted remarks;

however, to the extent that these quotations are taken from KSU or CSE documents, the Secretary states that such documents speak for themselves. With regard to the allegation in the last sentence regarding CES Director Michael Barnes' "sworn testimony," the content of any such sworn testimony speaks for itself. The remaining allegations in Paragraph 31 are denied as pled.

32.

Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 32 of Plaintiffs' Petition as pled.

33.

Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 33 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

34.

The allegations in Paragraph 34 of Plaintiffs' Petition refer to a court order, and such order speaks for itself. Defendant denies any of Plaintiffs' characterizations of the order. All other allegations stated or implied in this paragraph are denied.

35.

Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 35 of Plaintiffs' Petition as pled.

Irregularities in the 2018 Election

36.

Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 36 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

37.

The allegations in Paragraph 37 of Plaintiffs' Petition refer to a document, and such document speaks for itself. Defendant denies any of Plaintiffs' characterizations of the document. All other allegations stated or implied in this paragraph are denied.

38.

Defendant admits that the Secretary of State's Office received complaints from voters during the November 6, 2018 election, but denies that she received thousands of complaints during the November 6, 2018 election, including DRE machines and poll books malfunctioning. Defendant is without knowledge or information of reports of voting problems received by Plaintiffs or other third parties, and therefore denies any allegations on that basis. Defendant further denies that the purported election irregularities alleged by Plaintiffs resulted in disenfranchisement of eligible voters. All other allegations stated or implied in this paragraph are denied.

39.

The allegations in Paragraph 39 of Plaintiffs' Petition are outside the scope of Defendant's knowledge and are therefore denied on that basis. Defendant further denies that the purported election irregularities alleged by Plaintiffs were sufficient to place in doubt the outcome of the election for Lieutenant Governor. All other allegations stated or implied in this paragraph are denied.

40.

The allegations in Paragraph 40 of Plaintiffs' Petition are outside the scope of Defendant's knowledge and are therefore denied on that basis.

41.

The allegations in Paragraph 41 of Plaintiffs' Petition are outside the scope of Defendant's knowledge and are therefore denied on that basis.

42.

Defendant admits that Allgood Elementary School in DeKalb County is physically located in Georgia's Congressional District 4 and that Karen Handel was the Republican candidate in Congressional District 6 in the November 6, 2018 General Election. The remaining allegations in Paragraph 42 of Plaintiffs' Petition are outside the scope of Defendant's knowledge and are therefore denied on that basis. Defendant further denies that the purported election irregularity alleged in Paragraph 42 of Plaintiffs' Petition changed or placed in doubt the outcome of the

election for Lieutenant Governor.

43.

The allegations in Paragraph 43 of Plaintiffs' Petition are outside the scope of Defendant's knowledge and are therefore denied on that basis.

44.

The allegations in Paragraph 44 of Plaintiffs' Petition are outside the scope of Defendant's knowledge and are therefore denied on that basis.

45.

The allegations in Paragraph 45 of Plaintiffs' Petition are outside the scope of Defendant's knowledge and are therefore denied on that basis.

46.

Defendant admits that 123 ballots were mailed in to Fulton County by certain electors permitted by law to vote in only Federal elections and that for building the GEMS database, Grady High School was the precinct selected in Fulton County where the Federal-only ballots were placed. Defendant also admits that the Grady High School precinct in Fulton County is located in Congressional District 5 and that Congressional Districts 5, 6, 11, and 13 each cover portions of Fulton County. Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph 46 of Plaintiffs' Petition that election returns from the Grady High School precinct show anomalies that suggest DRE programming problems or other election irregularities. Except

as thus stated, Defendant denies any remaining allegations in Paragraph 46 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

47.

Defendant admits that the Secretary of State's Office has received complaints of "vote flipping," but denies that the Secretary of State's Office received hundreds of complaints of "vote flipping" during the November 6, 2018 election. Defendant is without knowledge or information of reports of "vote flipping" received by Plaintiffs or other third parties, and therefore denies any allegations on that basis. Defendant further denies that the alleged irregularity in Paragraph 47 of Plaintiffs' Petition changed or placed in doubt the outcome of the election for Lieutenant Governor.

48.

The allegations in Paragraph 48 of Plaintiffs' Petition are outside the scope of Defendant's knowledge and are therefore denied on that basis.

Alleged Anomalous Undervote in the Lieutenant Governor's Election

49.

Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 49 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

50.

Defendant answers Paragraph 50 of Plaintiffs' Petition by stating that the

certified election returns speak for themselves. Except as thus stated, Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph 50 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

51.

Defendant answers Paragraph 51 of Plaintiffs' Petition by stating that the certified election returns speak for themselves. Except as thus stated, Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph 51 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

52.

Defendant answers Paragraph 52 of Plaintiffs' Petition by stating that the certified election returns speak for themselves. Except as thus stated, Defendant denies the allegations in Paragraph 52 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

53.

Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 53 of Plaintiffs' Petition as pled.

54.

Defendant answers Paragraph 54 of Plaintiffs' Petition by stating that the certified election returns speak for themselves. Except as thus stated, Defendant denies all other allegations stated or implied in Paragraph 54 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

55.

The allegations in Paragraph 55 of Plaintiffs' Petition refer to a document, and such document speaks for itself. Defendant denies any of Plaintiffs'

characterizations of the document. All other allegations stated or implied in this paragraph are denied.

56.

The allegations in Paragraph 56 of Plaintiffs' Petition refer to a document, and such document speaks for itself. Defendant denies any of Plaintiffs' characterizations of the document. All other allegations stated or implied in this paragraph are denied.

57.

Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 57 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

COUNT I: ELECTION CONTEST

58.

Defendant incorporates by reference and realleges its responses to Plaintiffs' preceding allegations as if fully restated herein.

59.

Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 59 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

60.

Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 60 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

61.

Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 61 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

62.

Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 62 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

63.

Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 63 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

64.

Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 64 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

65.

Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 65 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

COUNT II
FUNDAMENTAL RIGHT TO VOTE
42 U.S.C. § 1983

Infringement of the Fundamental Right to Vote
in Violation of the Fourteenth Amendment
(Against Defendant Election Officials)

66.

Defendant incorporates by reference and realleges its responses to Plaintiffs' preceding allegations as if fully restated herein.

67.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 67 of Plaintiffs' Petition are statements of the law. The law speaks for itself and these allegations do not require a response. Defendant denies any of Plaintiffs' characterizations of the law, and all other allegations stated or implied in this paragraph are denied.

68.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 68 of Plaintiffs' Petition are statements of the law. The law speaks for itself and these allegations do not require a response. Defendant denies any of Plaintiffs' characterizations of the law, and all other allegations stated or implied in this paragraph are denied.

69.

Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 69 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

70.

Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 70 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

71.

Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 71 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

72.

Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 72 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

COUNT II: EQUAL PROTECTION
42 U.S.C. § 1983

Infringement of the Fourteenth Amendment's
Guarantee of Equal Protection
(Against Defendant Election Officials)

73.

Defendant incorporates by reference and realleges its responses to Plaintiffs' preceding allegations as if fully restated herein.

74.

Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 74 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

75.

Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 75 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

76.

Defendant denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 76 of Plaintiffs' Petition.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Defendant denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to any relief they seek. Defendant denies every allegation not specifically admitted herein.

Respectfully submitted this 28th day of December, 2018.

Christopher M. Carr
Attorney General
Georgia Bar No. 112505
Annette M. Cowart
Deputy Attorney General
Georgia Bar No. 191199
Russell D. Willard
Senior Assistant Attorney General
Georgia Bar No. 760280
State Law Department
40 Capitol Square, S.W.
Atlanta, GA 30334
Telephone: (404) 656-3357

/s/ Josh Belinfante
Josh Belinfante
Georgia Bar No: 047399
jbelinfante@robbinsfirm.com
Vincent R. Russo
Georgia Bar No. 242628
vrusso@robbinsfirm.com
Brian E. Lake
Georgia Bar No. 575966
blake@robbinsfirm.com
Alexander Denton
Georgia Bar No. 660632

adenton@robbinsfirm.com
Special Assistant Attorneys General
Robbins Ross Alloy Belinfante Littlefield LLC
500 14th Street, N.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30318
Telephone: (678) 701-9381
Facsimile: (404) 856-3250

Attorneys for Defendant Robyn A. Crittenden, Secretary of State of Georgia

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served the within and foregoing **RESPONSE TO PETITION TO CONTEST ELECTION RESULT** on all parties by electronically filing it with the Clerk of the Court using the Odyssey eFileGA system, which will automatically send an email notification of such filing to counsel for the parties.

This 28th day of December, 2018.

/s/ Josh Belinfante
Josh Belinfante

Mail Return to 401 Hill 2669
Attn: Sgt. Sneed

SHERIFF'S ENTRY OF SERVICE

Record Id Stamp 87094

Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Date Filed 11/23/18

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDDG
Date: 12/28/2018 9:06 AM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

SUPERIOR COURT

GEORGIA, FULTON COUNTY

Coalition for Good Governance, et al
Plaintiff

VS.

Robyn A. Crittenden
Defendant

Attorney's Address
Bruce P. Brown
1123 Zonolite Rd. Ste 6
Atlanta, GA 30306

Name and Address of Party to be Served
Gwinnett County Board of Registration + Elections
c/o William Linkers
~~75 Langley Dr. Lawrenceville GA 30046~~
450 Grayson Highway Lawrenceville, GA 30046
455

SHERIFF'S ENTRY OF SERVICE

PERSONAL ☐ I have this day served the defendant _____ personally with a copy of the within action and summons.

NOTORIOUS ☐ I have this day served the defendant _____ by leaving a copy of the action and summons at this most place notorious place of abode in this county.

Delivered same into hands of _____ described as follows age, about ____ years; weight, about ____ pounds; height about ____ feet and ____ inches, domiciled at the residence of the defendant.

CORPORATION ☒ Served the defendant Gwinnett County Board of Registration + Elections a corporation by leaving a copy of the with in action and summons with Lynn LedFord in charge of the office and place of doing business of said Corporation in this County.

TACK & MAIL ☐ I have this day served the above styled affidavit and summons on the defendant(s) by posting a copy of the same to the door of the premises designated in said affidavit, and on the same day of such posting by depositing a true copy of the same in the United States Mail, First Class in an envelope properly addressed to the defendant(s) at the address shown in said summons, with adequate postage affixed thereon continuing notice to the defendant(s) to answer said summons at the place stated in the summons.

NON EST ☐ Diligent search made and defendant _____ not to be found in the jurisdiction of this court.

This 13 day of Dec 2018.

Jim Cary 10800
DEPUTY

SHERIFF DOCKET _____ PAGE _____

WHITE - CLERK; CANARY - PLAINTIFF; PINK - DEFENDANT

SHERIFF'S ENTRY OF SERVICE

SHERIFF'S ENTRY OF SERVICE

Superior Court ☒ Magistrate Court ☐
State Court ☐ Probate Court ☐
Juvenile Court ☐

Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Date Filed November 23, 2018

Georgia, Fulton COUNTY

Attorney's Address
Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown Law LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. Suite 6
Atlanta, GA 30306

Coalition for Good Governance, et al.
Plaintiff

VS.

Robyn A. Crittenden, et al.
Defendant

Name and Address of Party to be Served.
Fultin County Board of Registration and Elections
141 Pryor St. SW #4075
~~Atlanta GA 30303~~
30303

Garnishee

SHERIFF'S ENTRY OF SERVICE

PERSONAL ☐ I have this day served the defendant _____ personally with a copy of the within action and summons.

NOTORIOUS ☐ I have this day served the defendant _____ by leaving a copy of the action and summons at his most notorious place of abode in this County.

Delivered same into hands of _____ described as follows: age, about _____ years; weight _____ pounds; height, about _____ feet and _____ inches, domiciled at the residence of defendant.

CORPORATION ☐ Served the defendant _____ a corporation by leaving a copy of the within action and summons with _____ in charge of the office and place of doing business of said Corporation in the County.

TACK & MAIL ☐ I have this day served the above styled affidavit and summons on the defendant(s) by posting a copy of the same to the door of the premises designated in said affidavit, and on the same day of such posting by depositing a true copy of same in the United States Mail, First Class in an envelope properly addressed to the defendant(s) at the address shown in said summons, with adequate postage affixed thereon containing notice to the defendant(s) to answer said summons at the place stated in the summons.

NON EST ☑ Diligent search made and defendant Robyn Crittenden not to be found in the jurisdiction of this court. Wrong Address

This 19 day of December, 20 18.

J Sugal 2286
DEPUTY

SHERIFF DOCKET PAGE

WHITE-CLERK CANARY-PLAINTIFF PINK-DEFENDANT

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, *et al.*,	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	
	)	CIVIL ACTION
v.	)	
	)	FILE NO. 2018CV313418
ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, *et al.*,	)	
Defendants.	)	

VERIFICATION

Personally appeared before the undersigned officer duly authorized to administer oaths, **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN**, who, after first being duly sworn, states that the ***RESPONSE TO PETITION TO CONTEST ELECTION RESULT*** was prepared with the assistance and advice of counsel and with the assistance of employees of the Office of the Georgia Secretary of State, upon which I have relied, and that the facts and information contained therein are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

This 28th day of December, 2018.

Robyn A. Crittenden
ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN
SECRETARY OF STATE

Sworn to and subscribed before me
this 28th day of December, 2018.

Ameika Pitts
NOTARY PUBLIC, Georgia
My Commission expires:
December 11, 2021

AMEIKA PITTS NOTARY PUBLIC DEKALB COUNTY, GEORGIA

SHERIFF'S ENTRY OF SERVICE

SHERIFF'S ENTRY OF SERVICE

Superior Court ☒ Magistrate Court ☐
State Court ☐ Probate Court ☐
Juvenile Court ☐

Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Date Filed November 23, 2018

Georgia, Fulton COUNTY

Attorney's Address
Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown Law LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. Suite 6
Atlanta, GA 30306

Coalition for Good Governance, et al.
Plaintiff

VS.

Robyn A. Crittenden, et al.
Defendant

Name and Address of Party to be Served.
Robyn A. Crittenden
Secretary of State of Georgia
214 State Capitol
Atlanta, Georgia 30334

Garnishee

SHERIFF'S ENTRY OF SERVICE

PERSONAL ☐ I have this day served the defendant _____ personally with a copy of the within action and summons.

NOTORIOUS ☐ I have this day served the defendant _____ by leaving a copy of the action and summons at his most notorious place of abode in this County.

Delivered same into hands of _____ described as follows: age, about _____ years; weight _____ pounds; height, about _____ feet and _____ inches, domiciled at the residence of defendant.

CORPORATION ☒ Served the defendant SECRETARY OF STATE OF GEORGIA / ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN a corporation by leaving a copy of the within action and summons with Ryan Germany, General Counsel in charge of the office and place of doing business of said Corporation in the County.

TACK & MAIL ☐ I have this day served the above styled affidavit and summons on the defendant(s) by posting a copy of the same to the door of the premises designated in said affidavit, and on the same day of such posting by depositing a true copy of same in the United States Mail, First Class in an envelope properly addressed to the defendant(s) at the address shown in said summons, with adequate postage affixed thereon containing notice to the defendant(s) to answer said summons at the place stated in the summons.

NON EST ☐ Diligent search made and defendant _____ not to be found in the jurisdiction of this court.

This 8TH day of DEC, 2018.

L R (#2327)
DEPUTY

SHERIFF DOCKET PAGE

WHITE-CLERK CANARY-PLAINTIFF PINK-DEFENDANT

GA005003

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDMH
Date: 1/7/2019 12:00 AM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, Plaintiffs, v. ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS, GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS, DEKALB COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS and GEOFF DUNCAN, Defendants.	Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

PLAINTIFFS' JOINT CONSOLIDATED RESPONSE
TO PENDING MOTIONS TO DISMISS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS ii

I. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY 1

II. FACTS 3

A. Georgia's DRE Voting System 3

B. Universally Acknowledged Vulnerability of DRE System 5

C. Georgia Secretary's Neglect Aggravates Vulnerability 6

D. Irregularities in the 2018 Election 8

E. Anomalous Undervote in the Lieutenant Governor's Election 10

III. STANDARDS FOR MOTIONS TO DISMISS 15

A. Motions to Dismiss Are Not Permitted in Election Contests 15

B. Standard of Review 16

IV. ARGUMENTS IN DEFENSE OF THE CONTEST CLAIM 17

A. The Contest Claim Is Sufficiently Plead 17

1. Grounds for a Contest 18

2. Sufficient Grounds Are Alleged to State a Contest Claim 19

B. The Contest Claim Names Proper Defendants 22

1. The Secretary of State Is A Proper Contest Defendant 23

a) The Election Code Contains At Least Three Waivers of Sovereign Immunity by the State in Contests 23

(1) O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2)(C) 23

(2) O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2)(D) 26

(3) This Court Has "Plenary Power" Over Any Person, Including the Secretary, in a State-wide Contest..... 28

b) The Election Code Requires the Secretary to Be Served in Election Contests..... 29

c) The Secretary Has Historically Not Asserted Sovereign Immunity in State-wide Election Contests..... 29

2. All of Georgia's 159 Counties Are Not Necessary Parties..... 30

3. Amico's Ten Notable Counties Are Not Necessary Parties.... 33

4. Fulton County Is A Proper Contest Defendant..... 34

C. Service of Process..... 34

D. Coalition for Good Governance Is A Permitted Plaintiff in Federal Constitutional Claims, Not Election Contest..... 35

V. ARGUMENTS IN DEFENSE OF THE § 1983 CLAIMS..... 36

A. The Eleventh Amendment Does Not Bar the § 1983 Claims..... 36

B. The Complaint States Valid Claims Under § 1983..... 38

1. Required Elements and Corresponding Allegations..... 38

a) Fundamental Right to Vote..... 38

b) Equal Protection..... 39

2. Objections to the Due-Process Claim Are Meritless..... 40

3. Objections to the Equal-Protection Claim Are Meritless..... 43

C. Gwinnett County Is A Proper Defendant to the § 1983 Claims..... 44

VI. CONCLUSION..... 45

Plaintiffs Coalition for Good Governance ("Coalition"), Rhonda J. Martin, Smythe Duval, and Jeanne Dufort ("Plaintiffs") state the following as their joint consolidated response to all pending motions to dismiss (and joinders) filed by Defendants Robyn A. Crittenden (the "Secretary"), Fulton County Board of Registration and Elections ("Fulton County"), Gwinnett County Board of Registration and Elections ("Gwinnett County"), and Geoff Duncan ("Duncan") (together, "Defendants"[1]).

I. INTRODUCTION AND SUMMARY

The conduct of the November 6, 2018 general election for Lieutenant Governor of the State of Georgia ("the Contested Election") was so defective and marred by material irregularities "as to place in doubt the result" of the election under Georgia law. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-527(d). (Petition, ¶ 1). As detailed in the Petition, going into the elections, the entire national intelligence and computer science communities were warning the State of Georgia that its Direct Electronic Recording ("DRE") voting system was profoundly vulnerable to malicious attack, programming glitches, and irregularities. As the experts predicted, Georgia's November 2018 General Election was marred by multiple unexplained and widespread failures of the DRE voting system: incorrect, and therefore illegal, electronic ballots being displayed to voters; inaccurate tabulations; touch screens

[1] The DeKalb County Board of Registration and Elections was initially a Defendant, but DeKalb County was dropped as a party defendant on December 27, 2018, by Order of this Court granted upon motion of the Plaintiffs.

malfunctioning causing incorrect votes to be cast and recorded; precincts with more votes counted than there were voters; unauthorized and improper changes to eligible voters' registration records; failures of the smart cards; and "glitches" in the electronic pollbooks creating long lines and disenfranchising voters.

The malfunctioning of the DRE machines had a direct and substantial impact on the race for Lieutenant Governor, the second-most important state office and second race on the November 6 ballot. The election for Lieutenant Governor received far fewer votes than all other state-wide races, including even races that were further "down ballot," such as Attorney General, and much less prominent races of Commissioner of Agriculture, Commissioner of Labor, and Public Service Commission. This unprecedented pattern of election results lacks any reasonably plausible innocent explanation, and alone would cast doubt on the elections results. Even more alarming, this aberrant pattern appears only in the reported results of votes cast on the DRE machines: votes on paper ballots conformed to the expected pattern, with the election for Lieutenant Governor receiving slightly fewer paper-ballot votes than Governor, and slightly more paper-ballot votes than Secretary of State.

The only reasonable explanation for such an anomalous vote discrepancy in the DRE votes cast in the Contested Election is that a voting system malfunction – whether the result of maliciousness or programming errors - caused an outcome-changing number of votes in the Lieutenant Governor's race to not be recorded,

placing in doubt the result of the Contested Election under O.C.G.A. §§ 21-2-521(1) and 21-2-521(3), and requiring a new election.

Based on the allegations in the Petition, which must be accepted as true, Defendants have failed to carry their heavy burden of showing that Plaintiffs "would not be entitled to relief under any state of provable facts asserted" in the complaint or that Plaintiffs "could not possibly introduce evidence within the framework of the complaint sufficient to warrant a grant of the relief sought." *Scouten v. Amerisave Mortg. Corp.*, 283 Ga. 72, 73 (2008) (citations omitted). Also as explained below, Defendants' other technical and procedural arguments for dismissal are also without merit: the Georgia Legislature has waived the Secretary's sovereign immunity by defining her as the "election superintendent" in a state-wide election contest; the Fulton and Gwinnett Boards of Registration and Election are also obviously proper parties; all the Defendants have been properly and timely served; and the arguments with respect to Plaintiffs' federal claims are meritless.

II. FACTS

A. Georgia's DRE Voting System

The voting system used in Georgia during the Contested Election consists of the Diebold Global Election Systems ("Diebold") AccuVote DRE touchscreen voting units ("DREs"), the Diebold optical scanners for tabulating paper ballots, and the Diebold General Election Management Software ("GEMS") for tabulation

and reporting of data generated by DRE and Diebold optical scanners, as well as the electronic pollbook components and electronic accessories that interface with the DRE vote recording system. (Petition, ¶ 21).

DREs are configured for an election by inserting a memory card into a slot on the side of the machine. Before the election, the file system on the memory card stores the election definition, sound files, interpreted code that is used to print reports, and other configuration information. When operating properly, DREs use software to translate the voter's physical act of touching a particular place on the touchscreen into a vote for the corresponding candidate or issue, which vote is then recorded on both the DRE's removable memory card and internal flash memory. Both records of the votes are unreadable to humans. Crucially, Georgia's DREs do not create or retain any verifiable or auditable non-electronic record of the voter's selections. Hence, programming errors or malicious manipulation of the software are likely to be undetected. (Petition, ¶ 22).

Each DRE internally contains much of the same hardware that might typically be found in a very low-end general-purpose personal desktop computer in use in the early 2000s. Georgia's DREs run a Diebold-modified version of Microsoft's Windows CE operating system, the most recent version run in Georgia is Windows CE 4.1—which Microsoft stopped supporting in early January 2013. As a consequence, Microsoft is no longer issuing updates or security patches for that software. As the operating system is over twenty years old, it lags behind the

two decades of computer security research and is extremely vulnerable to a wide variety of attacks that Diebold's software, regardless of version, cannot defend against. (Petition, ¶ 23).

B. Universally Acknowledged Vulnerability of DRE System

The unreliability and vulnerability of electronic voting systems like the one used by the State of Georgia has attracted widespread and uniform alarm at all levels of government. On July 26, 2018, House Intelligence Committee Chairman Devin Nunes joined many federal officials and agencies concerned with national security to call for a complete ban on electronic voting. In May, the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence concluded that paperless DREs "are at highest risk of security flaws," and stated that "[s]tates should rapidly replace outdated and vulnerable voting systems" with machines that "[a]t a minimum . . . have a voter-verified paper trail." Similarly, in March, DHS Secretary Nielsen labeled electronic voting systems "a national security concern." (Petition, ¶ 24).

In January 2018, the Congressional Task Force on Election Security issued a Final Report concluding that the DRE machines used in Georgia "have been shown over and again to be highly vulnerable to attach" and that "it is near impossible to detect whether results have been tampered with." (Petition, ¶ 25).[2]

[2] These recent alarms from the federal government amplify years of warnings from computer scientists, who have uniformly concluded that paperless balloting is unreliable, unquestionably insecure, and unverifiable. California's 2007 "Top-to-Bottom Review" ("TTBR") found that DREs were "inadequate to ensure accuracy and integrity of the election results..."; that the system contained "serious design flaws that have led directly to specific vulnerabilities, which attackers could exploit to affect election outcomes..."; and that "attacks could be carried out in a manner that is not subject to detection by audit,

On September 6, 2018, the National Academy of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine and the associated National Research Council issued a consensus report[3] entitled "Securing the Vote: Protecting American Democracy." The National Academy of Sciences recommended that DREs of the kind used in Georgia's elections *not* be used in the 2018 federal election because of their inherent unreliability and vulnerability. (Petition, ¶ 27).

C. Georgia Secretary's Neglect Aggravates Vulnerability

The already unacceptable extreme vulnerability of Georgia's system was greatly increased by the Secretary's failure to secure the State's central election server before and after the 2016 elections. Between at least August 2016 and March 2017, and likely for a much longer time, this server (at the time being maintained by Kennesaw State University at the Center for Election Studies) was fully accessible to any computer user with Internet access, causing all 50,000 plus components in the DRE voting system state-wide to be vulnerable to malicious attack. (Petition, ¶ 30).

---

including review of software logs." Citing these vulnerabilities of the Diebold's AccuVote DREs, California Secretary of State Debra Bowen decertified California's voting system. Ohio's 2007 "Evaluation and Validation of Election-Related Equipment, Standards and Testing ("EVEREST") concluded that Ohio's AccuVote "system lacks the technical protections necessary to guarantee a trustworthy election under operational conditions." Any number of published studies are in accord. *See* Petition, ¶ 26 and *id.*, footnotes 4 – 9.

[3] A consensus report of the National Academy of Sciences represents the highest authority that the U.S. Government can rely upon when it seeks to be advised on matters of science, technology and engineering.

Despite knowing that the State's entire electronic election infrastructure had been exposed for months on the internet, the Secretary has never conducted a forensic examination to determine whether the server had been altered or manipulated. As a consequence, the compromised software, passwords, and encryption keys were used on the equipment employed in the Contested Election. (Petition, ¶ 32).

Two months prior to the November 6, 2018 election, the District Court in *Curling v. Kemp,* N.D. Ga., No. 17-cv-02989, made the following findings in the Court's September 17, 2018 Order denying injunctive relief relating to the 2018 Elections: "Plaintiffs have so far shown that the DRE system, as implemented, poses a concrete risk of alteration of ballot counts that would impact their own votes. Their evidence relates directly to the manner in which Defendants' alleged mode of implementation of the DRE voting system deprives them or puts them at imminent risk of deprivation of their fundamental right to cast an effective vote (i.e., a vote that is accurately counted)." Order at 39.

Ignoring the recommendations and warnings of officials at the highest levels of the Federal Government and the entire computer science community, Defendants Election Officials deployed the DRE election system in the 2018 General Election with predictable results, as explained below. The Defendant Election Officials took this reckless action even though they had and have the

statutory authority and means to conduct verifiable and safe paper-ballot elections. (Petition, ¶ 35).

D. Irregularities in the 2018 Election

As could have been predicted after the warnings by the entire national intelligence, election integrity, and computer science communities, Georgia voters in the 2018 General Election experienced massive and varied election irregularities, any of which alone, but especially all in combination, places the results in serious doubt. Voters reported thousands of problems during the November 6, 2018 election, including DRE malfunctions, voting problems, improper disenfranchisement of eligible voters, electronic pollbook malfunctions, improper absentee ballot application and absentee ballot rejections, tabulation errors, and voter registration database errors resulting in incorrect polling place assignments or total disenfranchisement of eligible voters.

For example:

a. A voter attempted to vote for Amico at the Henry County Voting Registration office in McDonough. When she saw her summary screen on the touchscreen machine before casting her ballot, despite her original choice for Amico, no candidate choice was listed for Lieutenant Governor, and her "YES/NO" vote on one of the ballot questions had been changed, reflecting the opposite of her choice. Upon expressing her concern to a poll worker, the poll worker dismissed her concern.

b. On November 6, at Allen Temple AME church polling place in Fulton County a senior voter asked the manager for assistance voting. However, at the end screen when the voter and manager were verifying the vote review page, they saw that the lieutenant governor and one other race were unvoted on the summary screen.

The manager asked the voter if she had intended to vote for those and had mistakenly skipped them. The voter said that she wanted to vote for lieutenant governor. The voter touched on the screen where it said lieutenant governor so that she could vote on that race. The machine did not respond properly, but instead jumped and displayed the message that her ballot had been cast. The manager witnessed that the voter did *not* press cast ballot, which was far away from where the voter touched. The manager reportedly took the machine offline right away. The polling place manager noted that the voter did not get to vote for lieutenant governor.

c. Allgood Elementary School in DeKalb County is in the 4th U.S. Congressional District. A voter on Election Day at the Allgood Elementary School in DeKalb County reported to a pollwatcher that her screen ballot featured Karen Handel as the Democratic candidate; there was no Republican candidate. Handel, of course, was the Republican candidate in the race for the 6th U.S. Congressional District.

d. At a polling place in Lithonia, a voter checked in and was given a yellow card to use in the machine. On page one of the electronic ballot, only the governor's race appeared on the ballot; the Lieutenant Governor's race was not displayed. Amico's name appeared for the first and only time when the summary page was viewed.

e. At the Red Rock Community Center in Worthy County, a voter reported being given one voter access card to vote for the governor etc., and an entirely separate card to vote for solely for "the commissioner." The second ballot/card (that reportedly had only "the commissioner") did not list the candidates' parties - simply the candidate's names. The voter is certain that the second card she was given and associated ballot had only one race, a "commissioner." Additionally, the machine flipped her vote for Sarah Riggs Amico to the Republican candidate for Lieutenant Governor multiple times, forcing her to click several times to make the cursor stay on Amico's name.

f. At Grady High School polling place in Atlanta, Election Night DRE machine results tapes show anomalies that suggest DRE programming problems. Although the polling place and associated precincts are squarely within Congressional District 5, the tapes showed available choices as having included Congressional District 6, 11 and 13. The Secretary's official results website shows these three available Congressional District choices in the Grady High School polling place although no votes for those choices were reported. Additionally, two machine results tapes show a total of 123 less votes cast than the public counter of ballots cast reported for the machine.

g. There were hundreds of reports of DRE machines "flipping" votes from one candidate to the opposite candidate. Voters reported being instructed to "fix" the flip in many different ways, and sometimes required trial and error and multiple attempts to press various parts of the screen. Voters presumably assumed that machines are properly recording their final vote, but there is no way to confirm that the vote is recorded as intended and as displayed on the screen.

h. In Midvale Elementary precinct in DeKalb County, candidates for the Lt. Governor's rage received a collective 796 votes according to the Secretary's official results; the precincts poll tapes, however, shows them only receiving a collective 698 votes.

(Petition, ¶¶ 40-48).

E. Anomalous Undervote in the Lieutenant Governor's Election

The malfunctioning of the DRE voting system caused the anomalous and illegal rejection of a large number of legitimate votes validly cast in the Lieutenant Governor's race – the Contested Election.

The Lieutenant Governor is the state's second highest ranking official, and the officeholder occupies the particularly coveted position of President of the State Senate. As such, the Lieutenant Governor's is a hotly contested race that is of keen

interest to most voters. (Petition, ¶ 50). In the 2018 General Election, however, the reported totals show that voters purportedly "skipped" the election for Lieutenant Governor more than any other state-wide election, causing the DRE to record an "under-vote," which means no vote was cast on that race—despite other votes being cast by the same voter for other races on the same ballot. (Petition, ¶ 51).

According to the Secretary's reports, 99.73% of voters in the 2018 state-wide election voted for Governor, but only 95.71% of voters recorded votes for Lieutenant Governor candidates. This percentage differential translates into more than 159,000 Georgia voters who supposedly made no selection for Lieutenant Governor when they voted. Every lower "down ballot" race also received significantly higher percentages, as shown by the following chart:



(Petition, ¶ 52).

The conclusion that a malfunctioning or malicious manipulation of the DRE voting system is responsible for the illegal rejection of votes for the Lieutenant Governor's race is unavoidable and is further reinforced by comparing the reported results of votes on DRE touchscreen machines to votes on paper ballots (i.e., mailed ballots and provisional ballots). The reported votes on paper ballots *do not show* any pattern of aberrant "under-voting" on the race for Lieutenant Governor that corresponds to the pattern of under-voting reflected in the DRE totals; instead, almost the same number of voters who voted on paper for Governor voted on paper

for Lieutenant Governor. This consistency is exactly what would be expected—and what would be normal—in a high-profile race for the state's second highest ranking officer. (Petition, ¶ 53).

The following table shows the participation[4] rate, as reported by the Secretary of State, for the ten state-wide races, on paper ballots only:



[4]Plaintiffs note that because of limitations of the data set that it is using from the Secretary of State's web site, this table shows percentage of vote as a percentage of the electors who did vote for Governor (therefore, the percentage for Governor is 100%); while the chart on page 12 shows a percentage of electors who voted. Since 99.73% of the electors who voted, voted for Governor, the difference is very minor.

Other than machine malfunction or other election irregularity, there is no plausible explanation for there being such a huge difference in voter participation in the Lt. Governor's race based on the mode of casting votes. The hundreds of thousands of voters who voted on paper came from the same geographic, demographic and political universe as the voters who voted by DRE machine. The only difference between the two groups is that one of them voted on DRE machines, and the other voted on paper. (Petition, ¶ 53).

Curiously, the drop off in votes for Lieutenant Governor on the malfunctioning DRE machines appears to have had a much greater negative impact upon Democratic Candidate Sara R. Amico than upon Defendant Duncan. On the DRE machines, Amico received only 94.8% of Democratic gubernatorial candidate Stacey Abrams' total, while Defendant Duncan received 98.5% of Republican gubernatorial candidate Brian Kemp's total. This shows a causal connection between the DRE use and lower *reported* participation rate among Democratic voters for Lieutenant Governor. (Petition, ¶ 54).

The anomalous voting pattern and totals described above, together with the known compromise of the vulnerable DRE system used in the election described in the Petition, are sufficient to make the election so defective as to the race for Lieutenant Governor as to place in doubt the result of the Contested Election. (Petition, ¶ 57). The Petition, which alleges all of these facts, clearly states a valid claim for relief under Georgia's election-contest statute, as well as federal claims

for prospective relief to prevent these harms from recurring. The motions to dismiss should be denied.

III. STANDARDS FOR MOTIONS TO DISMISS

The motions to dismiss are directed at two different kinds of claims—an election contest brought under O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520 to -529 (Count I); and two federal civil rights claims brought under 42 U.S.C. § 19083 (Counts II and III). Motions to dismiss for failure to state a claim are only permissibly directed at the latter.

A. Motions to Dismiss Are Not Permitted in Election Contests

To the extent the motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim are directed at the election contest claim, they are not permissible pleadings and should be rejected at the outset. "[A]n election contest is 'a special statutory proceeding[.]'" *Blackburn v. Hall*, 115 Ga. App. 235, 237 (1967). In special statutory proceedings, the "specific rules of practice and procedure" that are set out by the applicable statute control over conflicting provisions in the Civil Practice Act. O.C.G.A. § 9-11-81.

The Georgia Election Code provides for specific procedures to be followed once a contest petition is filed. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-524(f) states that "the defendant shall appear and answer such petition and may set up by way of answer or cross action any right of interest he or she may have or claim in such proceeding." O.C.G.A. § 21-2-524(g) goes on to state that "[a]fter filing, any petition, cross

action, or answer may be amended with leave of the court." The Election Code does not contemplate or authorize motions to dismiss for failure to state a claim as a response to a contest petition. The Code requires an answer and provides for no other pleadings because election contests would otherwise become unnecessarily bogged down with procedural motions and would be delayed in getting to the merits. If defenses to a contest claim exist, they must be presented on the day of the hearing, not through a mélange of pre-hearing motions to dismiss and cross-joinders.

B. Standard of Review

To the extent that this Court does decide to consider the arguments of the motions to dismiss for failure to state a claim (which it should only do with respect to the arguments directed at the federal claims), the standard for granting dismissal is high.

A motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim should *only* be granted where:

> (1) the allegations of the complaint disclose with certainty that the claimant would not be entitled to relief under any state of provable facts asserted in support thereof; and (2) the movant establishes that the claimant could not possibly introduce evidence within the framework of the complaint sufficient to warrant a grant of the relief sought.

Scouten v. Amerisave Mortg. Corp., 283 Ga. 72, 73 (2008) (quoting *Anderson v. Flake,* 267 Ga. 498, 501 (1997)).

Under this standard, all pleadings are to be construed most favorably to the nonmovant. *Scouten,* 283 Ga. at 73. "The main consideration of [a] motion to dismiss is whether, under the assumed set of facts, a right to some form of legal relief would exist." *Northway v. Allen,* 291 Ga. 227, 229 (2012) (quoting *Charles H. Wesley Educ. Found. v. State Election Bd.,* 282 Ga. 707, 714 (2007)). When the foregoing standard is applied, the motions to dismiss must be rejected as to all claims in the Petition.

IV. ARGUMENTS IN DEFENSE OF THE CONTEST CLAIM

The Defendants challenge the contest claim (Count I) on three main grounds. First, they say that the election-contest claim lacks allegations necessary to state a claim under the Election Code. Second, they insist that certain named parties—the Secretary and Fulton County—are not proper contest defendants and that a number of unnamed Georgia counties are necessary parties.[5] These argument arguments are without merit and should be rejected.

A. The Contest Claim Is Sufficiently Plead

The first main argument made against the contest claim is that Count I fails to state claim for relief. Gwinnett County, joined by the Secretary and Duncan, argues that Count I is deficient because Plaintiffs "have not alleged irregularities totaling anywhere close to the margin of victory…." (Gwinnett MTD, Pt. III;

[5] Defendants also contend that Plaintiff Coalition is not a proper party to Count I, the election contest claim. As explained in Part IV(D), below, this is correct; Plaintiff Coalition is, however, a proper plaintiff in the federal constitutional counts.

Crittenden Joinder; Duncan MTD & Joinder, at 2, ¶¶ 4(c), 6.) Fulton County and the Secretary makes the added assertion that a third-party hearsay statement in Exhibit B to the Petition concedes the point. (Fulton MTD, at 3; Crittenden MTD, at 9–13, § III.)

These arguments must be rejected because Count I alleges facts sufficient to demonstrate all the elements required for a statutory election-contest claim. Nothing in the attachments to the Petition undermines these allegations, and the Petition makes no use of any legal theories that are not already well-established to be valid grounds for contesting a Georgia election.

1. Grounds for a Contest

The grounds for a statutory election contest are enumerated at O.C.G.A. § 21-2-522. These grounds include:

> (1) Misconduct, fraud, or irregularity by any primary or election official or officials sufficient to change or place in doubt the result;
>
> (2) When the defendant is ineligible for the nomination or office in dispute;
>
> (3) When illegal votes have been received or legal votes rejected at the polls sufficient to change or place in doubt the result;
>
> (4) For any error in counting the votes or declaring the result of the primary or election, if such error would change the result; or
>
> (5) For any other cause which shows that another was the person legally nominated, elected, or eligible to compete in a run-off primary or election.

O.C.G.A. § 21-2-522.

2. Sufficient Grounds Are Alleged to State a Contest Claim

Defendants' motion on this basis should be rejected because they address the merits of this dispute – which will be the subject of hearing scheduled for January 17 – rather than addressing the sufficiency of Plaintiffs' allegations. The Petition alleges numerous facts that, when construed most favorably to Plaintiffs, plainly establish the existence of several of the statutory grounds for contesting the results of the Lieutenant Governor's race—namely the grounds set out in O.C.G.A. § 21-2-522(1), (*see, e.g.*, Petition, 32, ¶ 59 (alleging misconduct and irregularity)); § 21-2-522(3), (*see, e.g.*, Petition, 32, ¶ 61 (alleging legal votes rejected and illegal votes received); and 22–24, ¶¶ 40–43 (alleging defective and malfunctioning illegal electronic ballots)); § 21-2-522(4), (*see, e.g.*, Petition, 32–33, ¶ 62 (alleging errors in counting)); and § 21-2-522(5), (*see, e.g.*, Petition, 31, ¶ 57 (alleging defects so severe as to place in doubt the result of the Lieutenant Governor's race)).

These allegations easily are sufficient to withstand a motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim, even if such motions were available under the special election statute. The Secretary argues repeatedly that the Petition is asking the Court "to *assume*" that the results of the election should be placed in doubt. (Crittenden MTD, at 9, 12). The Petition does no such thing. Instead, like any other well-plead complaint, the Petition alleges facts which, if proven, establish

that the election "is so defective" "as to place in doubt" the result." O.C.G.A. § 21-2-527(d). If the Court determines that these allegations are supported by the evidence, O.C.G.A. § 21-2-527(d) requires the Court to call for a second election. If, on the other hand, Plaintiffs fail to prove these allegations, the Petition will fail on the merits. This is not, however, the occasion for the Court to weigh the evidence.

Significantly, the cases cited by Defendants followed evidentiary hearings in which the contestants were allowed to present evidence to prove their case. *Mead v. Sheffield,* 278 Ga. 268, 276 (2004); *Middleton v. Smith,* 273 Ga. 202 (2000). In this case, therefore, Plaintiffs should be given the opportunity to prove their case in an evidentiary hearing. In addition, Plaintiffs should be given the opportunity to conduct discovery, as explained in further detail in Plaintiffs' pending Emergency Motion for Inspection of Electronic Election Equipment and Production of Documents, filed on November 29, 2018.

Defendants also contend that the Petition must be dismissed because there is no proof that, absent the alleged irregularities, the result would have been different. There are at least three fatal flaws with this argument. First, this argument is contrary to the allegations, which must for present purposes be accepted as true.[6] Second, this argument misreads the statute, which is carefully drafted to provide

[6] According to the Secretary of State's records, Duncan's certified vote total is only 123,172 greater than Amico's total, but the drop-off in votes from the Governor's race to the Lieutenant Governor's race was more than 159,000 votes.

for relief in two different situations: one in which the irregularity would be sufficient to "change" the result, the other where the irregularity would be sufficient to "place in doubt the result." Third, consistent with the statutory command that a new election be ordered when the irregularity is sufficient to "place in doubt the result," Georgia case law holds that, when it is not possible to determine how electors would have voted, absent the alleged misconduct or irregularities, the presumption of regularity does not defeat an election contest. *Stiles v. Earnest*, 252 Ga. 260, 261 (1984) (even without any proof of impact of irregularities upon vote tally, new referendum ordered: "[U]pon review of the record, we conclude that the illegality attendant upon the referendum is such as is 'sufficient to change or place in doubt the result' thereof, OCGA § 21–2–522, (Code Ann. § 34–1703) and another referendum must be held.") *See also Mead v. Sheffield,* 278 Ga. 268 (2004) (reversing trial court's rejection of election challenge and ordering new election: "The fallacy in the trial court's analysis is demonstrated by the impossibility of determining how the 481 electors would have voted had they been supplied with proper ballots.").

Finally, the Gwinnett Board states: "Plaintiffs must show to a mathematical certainty that the outcome would be place in doubt. *Mead v. Sheffield,* 278 Ga. 268, 271 (2004)." *Mead* does not remotely so hold. Moreover, the Gwinnett Board does not explain how a non-quantifiable quality such as "doubt" can be reduced to "mathematical certainty." If the Gwinnett Board means that the

plaintiff in an election contest must prove what the tally would have been absent the irregularity, such a suggestion is directly contrary to the holdings in *Mead* and *Stiles,* cases in which the Georgia Supreme Court ordered new elections notwithstanding a total absence of proof, much less "mathematical certainty," as to what the tally would have been, absent the irregularities involved.[7]

B. The Contest Claim Names Proper Defendants

The second main argument made against the contest claim is that Count I improperly names some defendants (such as the Secretary and Fulton County), while improperly failing to name others (such as Georgia's other 156 counties or at least the 10 counties mentioned in an attachment to the Petition.) These arguments should be rejected because Count I properly names the Secretary and Fulton County and because no other counties need to be named as defendants.

---

[7]Defendants contend that the Petition does not state a claim for relief because, in an attachment to the Petition, candidate for Lt. Governor Sarah R. Amico "has even admitted that even if all the irregularities alleged were true, they would not change the results of her election." (Gwinnett MTD at 5-6; *see also* Crittenden MTD at 13). There are many mistakes in this argument. First, Amico is not a plaintiff and her statements are therefore not "admissions" binding upon plaintiffs, who as voters have their own independent right to seek relief from an election marred by incorrect results. Second, Amico was not referring to "all the irregularities alleged"; indeed, her letter did not even reference the Petition (and could not have done so, as it was written eleven days before the Petition was filed). Third, Amico did not state that the irregularities "would not change the results of her election." Instead, Amico, respectfully urging the Secretary to conduct an investigation, stated as follows:

> While the number of residual votes in the Lieutenant Governor's race is unlikely to affect the outcome of my race, more needs to be understood about the functioning of the DRE machines used on Election Day in 2018 to assure the voters of Georgia that their votes were not only duly cast, but fully counted.

Petition, Exhibit B, page 3.

1. The Secretary of State Is A Proper Contest Defendant

The Secretary argues that she is not a proper party to an election contest—supposedly because the State of Georgia has not waived sovereign immunity in election contests. (Crittenden MTD, at 2–8, § I.) This argument is wrong for several reasons.

a) The Election Code Contains At Least Three Waivers of Sovereign Immunity by the State in Contests

Contrary to the Secretary's argument, O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2) contains two separate waivers of sovereign immunity that make the Secretary of State a proper defendant in an election contest. In addition, O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(b) grants this Court plenary power over any election officer, which necessarily makes the Secretary amenable to this Court's jurisdiction and amounts to yet a third waiver of sovereign immunity.

(1) O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2)(C)

The Election Code provides a waiver of sovereign immunity that permits the Secretary's office to be a party to an election contest by defining "Defendant" as follows:

> "Defendant" means: .... (C) The election superintendent or superintendents who conducted the contested primary or election....

O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2)(C).

The definition of "superintendent" at O.C.G.A. § 21-2-2(35) gives a meaning that does not include the Secretary. The definition of "election

superintendent" at O.C.G.A. § 21-4-3(3)(A), involving recall elections, however, states that, "'Election superintendent' means: (A) In the case of any elected state officers, the Secretary of State. ..." *Id.* The question becomes: in a state-wide race, who is the "election superintendent"—is it each of the 159 county "superintendents," as the Secretary contends, or is the term "election superintendent" meant to include the Secretary herself?

Statutory construction tells us that the latter answer must be correct. An "election superintendent" must be construed to be something more than a "superintendent" because Georgia courts "avoid a construction that makes some language mere surplusage." *Slakman v. Cont'l Cas. Co.*, 277 Ga. 189, 191 (2003). The meaning of the term "election superintendent" has an extra word and thus must mean something different than does the defined term "superintendent," used by itself. The term "election superintendent" expressly includes the Secretary. O.C.G.A. § 21-4-3(3)(A).

The definition of "election superintendent" at O.C.G.A. § 21-4-3 is included in a list of definitions that defines terms "as used in [Chapter 4]," whereas the definition of "superintendent" is defined in Chapter 2. However, there is no reason to conclude that the phrase "election superintendent," when used in Chapter 2 (where it is not separately defined), should have a different meaning than it does in Chapter 4 (where it is defined). The Secretary herself cites to statutory provisions outside Chapter 2 in support of her arguments, stating correctly that "statutes

relating to the same subject matter, must be construed together." As the Secretary correctly notes: "courts must 'presume that the General Assembly meant what it said and said what it meant.' *Deal v. Coleman,* 294 Ga. 170, 172 (2013)." (Secretary's Brief at 5). The problem with the Secretary's argument is that she ignores Chapter 4's dispositive definition of "election superintendent" in state-wide races while presuming that "superintendent" means the same thing as "election superintendent." Because an "election superintendent" is a proper defendant under O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2)(C), the proper meaning of that term is the meaning given in the only place where it is actually defined—in O.C.G.A. § 21-4-3(3)(A).

Since this case involves a contest over "elected state officers," namely the Lieutenant Governor, the Secretary is a proper defendant in this state-wide election contest because she is the "election superintendent . . . who conducted the election in question." O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2)(C). The General Assembly chose language for the definitional section of the contest statute that expressly waives the Secretary's sovereign immunity. That section uses the term "election superintendent" and imports its meaning from O.C.G.A. § 21-4-3(3)(A). The Secretary is a proper defendant in a state-wide election contest.

This plain reading of the statute simply confirms what common sense demands: of course the Secretary is the proper defendant in a state-wide election, for no other officer or entity is responsible for the conduct of a state-wide election

and certifies the results. The Secretary's suggestion that all 159 counties must be joined reveals the absurdity of her position.

(2) O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2)(D)

The Election Code provides a second waiver of sovereign immunity that permits the Secretary's office to be a party to an election contest by defining "Defendant" as follows:

> "Defendant" means: .... (D) The public officer who formally declared the number of votes for and against any question submitted to electors at an election.

O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2)(D).

O.C.G.A. § 21-2-2(31) defines a "question" as any "a brief statement of such constitutional amendment, charter amendment, or *other proposition as shall be submitted to a popular vote at any election.*" (emphasis added). An election between candidates qualifies as an "other proposition submitted to a popular vote at *any election.*" (emphasis added). The General Assembly deliberately left this phase broad to allow all elections in which the Secretary certifies the result to fall within its purview. Any other interpretation would allow Georgia's Chief Elections Officer to escape election-contest cases for which she tabulated and certified the results, even though the Secretary's fulfilment of her duties is necessary to afford complete relief.

In this case, the Secretary was the public officer who formally declared the number of votes for and against the respective candidates in the Lieutenant

Governor's election. Thus, O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2)(D) dictates that she is a proper and necessary party to this action, which is why the General Assembly waived sovereign immunity in election contests such as this one.

It makes no sense for the Secretary of State to suggest that she is not a proper party to a state-wide election contest. Should a new election be ordered, it would be the Secretary's office that would prepare the ballots, the election forms and the materials; it would be the Secretary's office that would prepare the tabulation programs for each county; it would be the Secretary's office that would maintain the voter registration lists; it would be the Secretary's office that would enforce the state election rules, and it would be the Secretary's office that would tabulate and certify the results of the new election. Indeed, in any multiple-county election, such as this state-wide race, it is the Secretary's office that counts, tabulates, and certifies the election. If the Secretary's views of her own immunity from suit in a contest were correct, then candidates would have no avenue to remedy an administrative electoral mistake or tabulation error made by the Secretary herself or by her own office, which is delegated the most critical functions of an election, for no other election officer would be at fault. It is inconceivable that the General Assembly intended the people of Georgia to have no remedy in case of such an occurrence. The Secretary is clearly a necessary party should a new election be required to take place, and she is thus also a proper party to this action.

(3) This Court Has "Plenary Power" Over Any Person, Including the Secretary, in a State-wide Contest

Yet a third waiver of sovereign immunity lies in the contest statute's provision giving this Court "plenary power, throughout the area in which the contested primary or election was conducted, to make, issue, and enforce all necessary orders, rules, processes, and decrees for a full and proper understanding and final determination and enforcement of the decision of every such case." O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(b). This plenary power expressly includes "authority to subpoena and compel the attendance of any officer of the primary or election complained of and of any person capable of testifying concerning the same." *Id.*

The phrase "any officer of the primary or election complained of" plainly encompasses the Secretary of State, who certifies all state-wide election results and is therefore an officer of state-wide elections under any reasonable interpretation. The sweeping language of O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(b) giving this Court plenary power over the Secretary of State "throughout the area in which the contested primary or election was conducted" cannot be construed as anything other than a waiver of sovereign immunity by the State. Under this statutory waiver of immunity, this Court is expressly empowered "to make, issue, and enforce all necessary orders, rules, processes, and decrees for a full and proper understanding and final determination and enforcement of the decision of every such case." O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(b). In the face of this language, the Secretary cannot

plausibly claim to be immune from involvement in election contests for state-wide offices.

b) The Election Code Requires the Secretary to Be Served in Election Contests.

The foregoing three waivers of sovereign immunity are further buttressed by O.C.G.A. § 21-2-524(b)'s provision for the State Election Board to be served—via its chairperson, who is the Secretary of State—with any petition filed under the statute, using the same service methods required for named parties. Although this provision is not itself an express waiver of sovereign immunity, it plainly amounts to a recognition of the State Election Board's (and the Secretary's) interest in all election contest proceedings and supports a construction of the remainder of the statute as containing express waivers by the State of its sovereign immunity.

c) The Secretary Has Historically Not Asserted Sovereign Immunity in State-wide Election Contests

That the Legislature has waived the Secretary's sovereign immunity for state-wide election contests is further confirmed by a review of *Mead v. Sheffield* 278 Ga. 268 (2004), the only reported state-wide election contest case. The case reached the Supreme Court, and the trial court papers are attached hereto as Exhibit A. Howard Mead, a candidate for the Court of Appeals, filed his election contest against Secretary of State Cathy Cox and other named defendants in the Superior Court of Cobb County. (Exhibit A, "Bates" page 5). The Secretary, as a defendant, filed an answer and in so doing did not claim that the Secretary was not

a proper defendant to a state-wide election contest, did not raise the defense of sovereign immunity, and did not make the argument that all 159 counties had to be added as party defendants. (Exhibit A, page 94 et seq.). Instead, the Secretary in *Mead* did what the Secretary should do in this case: she appeared and defended—on the merits—the results of the state-wide election that she certified. (Other important aspects of the *Mead* case are discussed below).

In conclusion, the Secretary is a proper defendant in this case and does not benefit from sovereign immunity in state-wide election contests. The Secretary and other Defendants' arguments to the contrary should be rejected.

2. All of Georgia's 159 Counties Are Not Necessary Parties

Next, Gwinnett County, joined by the Secretary and Duncan, argues that this Court lacks jurisdiction because the Plaintiffs have failed to join necessary parties—namely, "all of the 159 election superintendents that would hold the new election." (Gwinnett MTD, Pt. II; Crittenden Joinder; Duncan MTD & Joinder, at 2, ¶¶ 4(b), 5–6.) Fulton County independently makes this same argument, referencing O.C.G.A. § 9-11-19 and joins in the Secretary's argument on the issue. (Fulton MTD, § II.D.)

These arguments are wrong for two reasons. First, Defendants cite to no provision of the contest statute that requires all 159 counties of Georgia to be named as necessary parties. Nor could the statute contain such a requirement, for it would be wildly impractical, if not impossible, for contestants of state-wide races

to effect service on 159 counties within the extremely tight time limits provided by O.C.G.A. § 21-2-524(a).

Far from there being cases or statutory language requiring the naming and service of all 159 counties in a state-wide contest for the claim to be valid, in fact, Georgia cases recognize that defendants can be added to an election contest by amendment if they turn out to be truly necessary. *Hanson v. Wilson*, 257 Ga. 5, 6–7 (1987) (citing *Smith v. Merchs. & Farmers Bank*, 226 Ga. 715, 718 (1970) ("the failure to name the proper parties is an amendable defect, correctable by the parties or upon the court's own motion")); *Brodie v. Champion*, 281 Ga. 105, 107-8 (2006) (ruling that it was unnecessary to decide whether the elections board should have been specifically named because "[i]n an election contest, 'the failure to name the proper parties is an amendable defect, correctable by the parties or upon the court's own motion'"). Indeed, *Hanson* established that, in election contests, substantial compliance with the contest statute is sufficient to satisfy the statute's requirements. 257 Ga. at 6–7 ("While such service, if perfected, again does not meet the technical requirements of the statute, we cannot say that Hanson has not substantially complied with its requisites[.]").

Because substantial compliance with the contest statute is all that is required, the defendants that are enumerated by O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2) are simply not indispensable parties. Amendments to add parties are allowed and the Georgia Election Code "does not address, much less expressly limit, the addition of

parties." *Flaherty v. Poythress*, 263 Ga. 178, 181 (1993) (Sears, J., dissenting). The Georgia Civil Practice Act provides an independent basis for permitting the addition of parties in election-contest proceedings. *See* O.C.G.A. § 9-11-81 ("[CPA] provisions . . . [for] joinder of parties and causes . . . shall apply to all [special statutory] proceedings").

Finally, the provision of O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(b) that gives this Court "plenary power, throughout the area in which the contested primary or election was conducted" contemplates that persons not named as defendants will nonetheless be subject to the jurisdiction and authority of this Court when it hears a state-wide contest claim. The Court has power under O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(b) to "make, issue, and enforce all necessary orders, rules, processes, and decrees for a full and proper understanding and final determination and enforcement of the decision of every such case[.]" This power includes "authority to subpoena and compel the attendance of any officer of the primary or election complained of and of any person capable of testifying concerning the same[.]" *Id.* In view of these statutory provisions, any election officer in any county in Georgia is within the power of this Court, whether named as a defendant or not. There is no person in the State who is a necessary party, because all persons in the State are subject to the plenary power of this Court. Anyone who needs to be present can be required to attend. Thus there is no need for other counties to be made defendants.

3. Amico's Ten Notable Counties Are Not Necessary Parties

Next, the Secretary independently argues that this Court lacks jurisdiction because the Plaintiffs have failed to join a *different* (and smaller subset) of necessary parties—namely, "election superintendents from any of the ten counties that appear, in Plaintiffs' eyes, to be the primary source of the 'residual vote' question at issue in this lawsuit." (Crittenden MTD, at 13 & 13–14, § IV.) Duncan joins this argument. (Duncan MTD & Joinder, at 2, ¶ 5.)

Exhibit B to the Petition is a letter from Sarah Amico to the Secretary raising concerns about the unusual pattern of missing DRE votes in the Lieutenant Governor's race. The "ten counties" that the Secretary fixates upon were only noted in Amico's letter because they had "a residual rate for Lieutenant Governor well over 10 percent." (Pet. Ex. B, at 2.) In other words, these were not the *only* relevant counties, or even the *most* relevant counties. Rather, they were simply counties that had particularly high percentages of missing DRE votes.

For all the same reasons identified in the preceding section, none of these counties need to be named as defendant because they are all already subject to the plenary power of this Court in this case. To the extent their attendance is required "for a full and proper understanding and final determination and enforcement of the decision" of the case, this Court already has the power to obtain their attendance. The claim that these counties are necessary parties should be rejected.

4. Fulton County Is A Proper Contest Defendant

Finally, Fulton County argues that it should *not* be named as a defendant in the contest claim because it lacks authority to "determine the voting system used in Georgia" and thus "cannot provide Petitioners with the relief they seek." (Fulton MTD, at 3.) Initially, Fulton County does have the authority to determine the voting system used *in Fulton County*.[8] Fulton County does not cite to any law for the proposition that it must be capable of providing complete relief for it to be a proper defendant, or any other legal basis for this argument. Fulton County is a proper defendant.

C. Service of Process

Fulton County and the Secretary argue that the dismissal should be granted because they have not been served. This argument is now moot: the Secretary was served on December 18, 2018, and again on December 26, 2018,[9] and Fulton County was served on December 26, 2018, more than two weeks before the hearing, as reflected in the as-filed Affidavits of Service (copies attached hereto as Exhibit B).

---

[8] There is nothing in Georgia statutory law that requires counties to use DREs generally (particularly not defective DREs), and the counties are specifically authorized to use paper ballots and optical scanners. O.C.G.A. §§ 21-2-383(b), 21-2-366.

[9] The Secretary was served twice because the Fulton County Sheriff inexplicably waited from December 18, 2018 to January 3, 2019 to file the Affidavit of Service. Though Plaintiffs' counsel had been informed verbally by the Sheriff that the Secretary had been served on December 18, given the failure of the Sheriff to file the Affidavit of such service, and in an abundance of caution, Plaintiffs engaged a private process server to perfect service, and she did so on December 26, 2018.

The suggestion that Plaintiffs have not acted diligently with respect to the issuance and service of the special summons is frivolous. Most important, all defendants had actual notice of the contest in November and were formally and properly served with process *more than two weeks prior* to the hearing on the motion to dismiss. In the case relied upon by Fulton County, *Swain v. Thompson,* 281 Ga. 30, 31 (2006), that contest petition was dismissed because the defendant still had not been properly served with the special summons by the day of the hearing on the defendant's motion to dismiss for failure to perfect service. Defendants do not cite to any authority,[10] and Plaintiffs are not aware of any authority, that would authorize the dismissal of the complaint on the facts of this case based upon the adequacy or timeliness of service.

D. Coalition for Good Governance Is A Permitted Plaintiff in Federal Constitutional Claims, Not Election Contest

The third main argument made against the contest claim is that the Coalition for Good Governance cannot serve as a party plaintiff with respect to Count I, the Election Contest claim. This is correct; the Coalition is a proper plaintiff with respect to the federal constitutional claims in Count II and III, and Defendants do not contend otherwise. The other plaintiffs, as "electors" under the statute, are

[1010] The weakness of Fulton County's argument is betrayed by its citation to *Day v. Savage,* 213 Ga. App. 792 (1994). In *Day,* the plaintiff did not serve the complaint *for nine months* and the trial court still did not dismiss the case. The trial court instead gave the plaintiff another thirty days to perfect service. After the plaintiff again failed to perfect service, the trial court dismissed the case and the Court of Appeals affirmed.

proper plaintiffs in the Election Contest claim, *see* O.C.G.A. § 21-2-521, and Defendants do not contend otherwise.

V. ARGUMENTS IN DEFENSE OF THE § 1983 CLAIMS

The Defendants also seek dismissal of the two federal claims brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. Their arguments for dismissal should be rejected because the two federal claims are not barred by the Eleventh Amendment, state valid claims for relief under § 1983, and properly name Gwinnett County as a Defendant. Plaintiffs note that all of these arguments were recently rejected by the United States District Court in a detailed opinion by Judge Totenberg in *Curling v. Kemp,* a copy of which has been attached hereto as Exhibit C. In her opinion, Judge Totenberg held that the plaintiffs in that case were substantially likely to prevail on substantially identical federal constitutional claims.

A. The Eleventh Amendment Does Not Bar the § 1983 Claims

The Secretary, joined by Duncan, argues that the Eleventh Amendment bars Plaintiffs' federal claims since those claims are supposedly claims for retrospective relief, rather than claims for prospective relief. (Crittenden MTD, at 15–16, § V; Duncan MTD & Joinder, at 2, ¶¶ 4(d), 5.) But these Defendants have clearly misread the § 1983 claims in Counts II and III. Both of Plaintiffs' federal claims expressly seek *prospective* injunctive relief, not retrospective relief (such as damages) for prior constitutional violations.

The plain language of Counts II and III specifically requests relief in the form of "an order enjoining the Defendant[s] to permit the individual Plaintiffs to exercise their right to vote in a new election for Lieutenant Governor conducted by means other than DRE voting machines and free from the foregoing violations." (Petition, 35–36, ¶¶ 71–72 (Count II); at 37–38, ¶¶ 75–76 (Count III).)

The Secretary concedes that, "an exception to Eleventh Amendment immunity exists under *Ex Parte Young* … for *prospective* injunctive relief." (Crittenden MTD, at 16 (emphasis in original).) Plaintiffs' federal claims plainly seek exactly the kind of relief that *Ex Parte Young* allows—an *injunction* requiring the Defendants to engage in *future* conduct that conforms to the requirements of the U.S. Constitution. It is not a valid basis for asserting immunity to object, as the Secretary does, that Plaintiffs are challenging the "unconstitutional manner in which the November 6, 2018 general election *was* held." (Crittenden MTD, at 16 (emphasis in original).) The Defendants' focus on allegations of past conduct is misplaced. Past conduct is alleged to show the threat of future injury—and making such allegations of past conduct is entirely proper in a claim for prospective relief because "[p]ast wrongs … constitute evidence bearing on whether there is a real and immediate threat of repeated injury which could be averted by the issuing of

an injunction." *Lynch v. Baxley*, 744 F.2d 1452, 1456 (11th Cir. 1984). For all of these reasons, the Eleventh Amendment does not bar Plaintiffs' § 1983 claims.[11]

B. The Complaint States Valid Claims Under § 1983

Defendants raise a variety of meritless objections to the pleading sufficiency of Counts II and III—the federal claims brought under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. All of the Defendants' arguments why the § 1983 claims are supposedly deficient should be rejected for reasons that follow

1. Required Elements and Corresponding Allegations

The Petition properly alleges facts sufficient to demonstrate the elements of federal constitutional claims for prospective injunctive relief from threatened violations of the fundamental right to vote and the right to equal protection.

a) Fundamental Right to Vote

To state a fundamental-right-to-vote claim under § 1983, the Plaintiffs need only allege that the challenged conduct burdens the right to vote. Then, "a court evaluating a constitutional challenge to an election regulation weigh[s] the asserted injury to the right to vote against the 'precise interests put forward by the State as justifications for the burden imposed by its rule.'" *Crawford v. Marion County Election Bd.*, 553 U.S. 181, 190 (2008); *see also Common Cause/Georgia v. Billups*, 554 F.3d 1340, 1353–54 (11th Cir. 2009) (applying *Crawford*).

[11] The Secretary and Fulton County made this identical Eleventh Amendment argument in federal court, and were soundly rejected. *See Curling, et al. v. Kemp, et al.*, No. 17-cv-02989 (N.D. Ga., Sept. 17, 2018) at 29 (attached hereto as Exhibit C) (citing *Ex Parte Young*, 209 U.S. 123 (1908), rejecting Secretary's Eleventh Amendment arguments as "meritless").

"However slight that burden may appear, ... it must be justified by relevant and legitimate state interests 'sufficiently weighty to justify the limitation.'" *Crawford*, 553 U.S. at 191. A private cause of action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 exists if the violation will occur "under color of state law."

There is simply no basis for Defendants to claim that the required elements of a fundamental-right-to-vote claim are not alleged in the Petition. Count II of the Petition contains all the required elements of a § 1983 claim. (Petition, 34–36, ¶¶ 66–72.) Construed most favorably to the Plaintiffs, Count II's allegations are plainly sufficient to state a *prima facie* fundamental-right-to-vote claim under § 1983.

b) Equal Protection

"The Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment commands that no State shall 'deny to any person within its jurisdiction the equal protection of the laws,' which is essentially a direction that all persons similarly situated should be treated alike." *City of Cleburne v. Cleburne Living Ctr.*, 473 U.S. 432, 439 (1985). To state a claim, the Coalition Plaintiffs "must establish that they are being treated differently than a similarly situated comparator." *Crystal Dunes Owners Ass'n v. City of Destin*, 476 Fed. Appx. 180, 185 (11th Cir. 2012). "[T]o be considered 'similarly situated,' comparators must be prima facie identical in all relevant respects." *Campbell v. Rainbow City*, 434 F.3d 1306, 1314 (11th Cir. 2006). A

cause of action exists if the violation will occur "under color of state law." 42 U.S.C. § 1983.

All the elements of a valid equal-protection claim are alleged in the Petition. Count II of the Petition contains all the required elements of a § 1983 claim. (Petition, 36–38, ¶¶ 73–76.) Construed most favorably to the Plaintiffs, Count III's allegations are plainly sufficient to state a *prima facie* equal-protection claim under § 1983.

2. Objections to the Due-Process Claim Are Meritless

The Secretary, joined by Duncan, argues that Plaintiffs fail to state a valid due-process claim because *Favorito.v. Handel*, 285 Ga. 795, 797–98 (2009), and *Wexler v. Anderson*, 452 F.3d 1226, 1233 (11th Cir. 2006), supposedly stand for the proposition that DRE voting machines are constitutional as a general matter and because strict scrutiny does not apply. (Crittenden MTD, at 16–18, § VI; Duncan MTD & Joinder, at 2, ¶¶ 4(e), 5.) Fulton County joins in this argument and also argues that the fundamental rights to vote and to equal protection are not protected against burdens and infringements that result from malfunctions and irregularities. (Fulton MTD, §§ II.C, II.D.) These arguments must be rejected for the following reasons.

First, Defendants misrepresent *Favorito* and *Wexler* as unqualified blessings of the constitutionality of DREs as a general matter. This is not accurate. In *Favorito*, the Georgia Supreme Court addressed a general challenge to an entirely

different, outdated configuration of Georgia's 2006-era, now irrelevant DRE voting system. *See Favorito*, 285 Ga. at 795. *Favorito* also involved none of the factual allegations of actual, observed security breaches and operating errors that are present in this case. This case, by contrast, does not challenge the constitutionality of DREs in general, but rather challenges only *this* DRE voting system, under the particular factual circumstances alleged in *this* case. *Favorito* is inapposite on the facts.

Similarly, in *Wexler* the 11th Circuit considered a general challenge to DRE machines, but without any allegations that the DREs there had specific vulnerabilities to attack or had been compromised by particular instances of unauthorized access to the voting system—allegations that are present in this case. Instead, the plaintiffs' more generic theory in *Wexler* was that "by certifying touchscreen voting systems that are incapable of providing for the type of manual recounts contemplated by Florida law, the defendants have violated the equal protection and due process rights of voters in touchscreen counties." *Wexler*, 452 F.3d at 1226. In rejecting that claim, the Eleventh Circuit was careful to note that the kinds of allegations that the Plaintiffs make in this case—that voters using touchscreen systems might be less likely to cast an "effective vote" than voters using paper ballots—*would* have stated an equal protection violation. *Id.* at 1231. The Petition in this case makes exactly such allegations. (Petition, 35, ¶ 68 (Count II); at 37, ¶ 74 (Count III).) The Defendants' reliance upon *Favorito* and *Wexler* is

thus unavailing. *See also Curling, et al. v. Kemp, et al.*, at 39-40 (No. 17-cv-02989, N.D. Ga., Sept. 17, 2018) (attached hereto as Exhibit C) (distinguishing *Wexler,* holding that Plaintiffs challenging State's use of DRE machines established likelihood of success on the merits of due process and equal protection claims).

Second, by asking this Court to dismiss the fundamental-right-to-vote claim simply because strict scrutiny supposedly does not apply, the Defendants call improperly upon this Court to resolve, at the pleading stage, the merits question of whether the alleged violation is permissible or prohibited. But applying the "flexible standard" that courts use to evaluate whether election regulations violate the Due Process and Equal Protection Clauses is a merits determination. Under this standard, "a court must identify and evaluate the interests put forward by the State as justifications for the burden imposed by its rule, and then make the 'hard judgment' that our adversary system demands." *Common Cause/Georgia v. Billups,* 554 F.3d 1340, 1352 (11th Cir. 2009) (quoting *Crawford*, 553 U.S. at 190). Such determinations are reserved for a later stage of proceedings than the motions-to-dismiss stage.

Third, Fulton County is simply wrong that there exists some sort of exception to the Constitution that permits the violation of fundamental rights as long as the violations are due to nothing more than "malfunctions and irregularities" in an election system. But even if there were such an exception, the

kind of mere random malfunctions and irregularities that Fulton County alludes to are emphatically *not* the kinds of defects that have been alleged in the Petition in this case. On the contrary, the Petition alleges—in great detail—that Georgia's DRE system currently suffers from very serious, very fundamental, systemic security compromises, unauthorized access, and ongoing security vulnerabilities, the specifics and wide-ranging impacts of which will be demonstrated at trial. These alleged deficiencies make Georgia's DRE hardware and software utterly untrustworthy and unreliable for use in conducting public elections. (Petition, 13–21, ¶¶ 24–35.)

The fundamental-right-to-vote claim is sufficiently plead, and all of Defendants' objections to Count II should be rejected.

3. Objections to the Equal-Protection Claim Are Meritless

The Secretary, joined by Duncan, also argues that Plaintiffs fail to state a valid due-process claim because both "intentional discrimination" and a "recognizable distinct class [that] is singled out for different treatment" are required to be alleged. (Crittenden MTD, at 18–20, § VII; Duncan MTD & Joinder, at 2, ¶ 5.) These arguments are false. No intent to discriminate is required for an equal-protection violation where the equal-protection violation arises from application of a rule that "discriminates on its face." *See E & T Realty v. Strickland*, 830 F.2d 1107, 1112–13 (11th Cir. 1987) (comparing the "three broad categories" of equal protection claims). Here, a state election regulation that the

Defendants will administer—SEB Rule 183–1–12–.01— is just such a rule, which discriminates on its face between two (recognizable and distinct) classes of people—namely, voters who vote in person (who are required to use DREs) and voters who vote absentee by mail (who are not required to use DREs).

The equal-protection claim is sufficiently plead, and all of Defendants' objections to Count III should be rejected.

C. Gwinnett County Is A Proper Defendant to the § 1983 Claims

Finally, Gwinnett County asserts that the § 1983 claims in Counts II and III should be dismissed as against Gwinnett County, in particular, because Plaintiffs' claims "apparently focus on administration of a new election." (Gwinnett MTD, at 6.) Gwinnett County offers no authority and no explanation for why a claim that has an "apparent focus" on election "administration" should mean that the County is not a proper party. It is within Gwinnett County's authority to stop violating Plaintiffs' constitutional rights by deciding to use a paper ballot system, *see supra* note 8, and its threatened continued use of the DRE system is actionable under the § 1983.

VI. CONCLUSION

All pending motions to dismiss should be denied.

Respectfully submitted this 6th day of January, 2019.

/s/ Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Georgia Bar No. 064460
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com
Bruce P. Brown Law LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE, Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700

Attorney for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing via the Court's e-filing system to the attorneys of record who have made appearances.

This 6th day of January, 2019.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown

EXHIBIT A

HAROLD D. MELTON, CHIEF JUSTICE
DAVID E. NAHMIAS, PRESIDING JUSTICE
ROBERT BENHAM
CAROL W. HUNSTEIN
KEITH R. BLACKWELL
MICHAEL P. BOGGS
NELS S.D. PETERSON
SARAH HAWKINS WARREN
CHARLES J. BETHEL
JUSTICES

Supreme Court
State of Georgia
STATE JUDICIAL BUILDING
Atlanta 30334

THÉRÈSE S. BARNES, CLERK/COURT EXECUTIVE
JEAN RUSKELL, REPORTER

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF GEORGIA
CLERK'S OFFICE, ATLANTA

December 31, 2018

I, Therese "Tee" Barnes, Clerk, of the Supreme Court of Georgia, do hereby certify that the foregoing PAGES, hereto attached, is a true and correct copy, in the Supreme Court of Georgia Case No. **S04A1982, HOWARD MEAD V. MIKE SHEFFIELD et al.**, as appears from the records and files in this office.



Witness my signature and seal of the said court hereto affixed the day and year first above written.

Therese S. Barnes, Clerk

HOWARD READ	*	COBB SUPERIOR COURT
APPELLANT	*	
	*	
VS	*	
MIKE SHEFFIELD, DEBRA BERNES, HELEN W. HARPER, in her official capacity as Lawrens County Probate Judge, and CATHY COX in her official capacity as Secretary of State and as Chairperson of the State Election Board, WILLIAM ASHLEY HAWKINS, THOMAS C. RAWLINGS, and LEE ELIZABETH TARTE WALLACE, Other Candidates for the Office of Judge of the Court of Appeals	*	
APPELLEE	*	CIVIL ACTION NO. 04-1-5082-18

INDEX

	PAGE
1. NOTICE OF APPEAL, Filed August 9, 2004.....	1 - 3
2. SUMMONS/PETITION TO CONTEST ELECTION, Filed July 30, 2004.....	4 - 18

	PAGE
3. DISCLOSURE STATEMENT, Filed July 30, 2004	- 19
4. GENERAL CIVIL CASE FILING INFORMATION FORM (Non-Domestic), Filed July 30, 2004	- 20
5. CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE ON STATE ELECTIONS BOARD, Filed August 2, 2004	- 21
6. ORDER RULE NISI, Filed August 2, 2004	22 - 23
7. AFFIDAVIT OF ATTEMPTED SERVICE, for Lee Elizabeth Tarte Wallace, Filed August 3, 2004 (a) Attachment	24 - 26
8. AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE, for Debra Barnes, Filed August 3, 2004	27 - 28
9. AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE, for Mike Sheffield by serving Susan Sheffield, wife, Filed August 3, 2004	29 - 30
10. ANSWER OF THOMAS C. RAWLINGS, RESPONDENT/ CANDIDATE, Filed August 3, 2004	31 - 33
11. ORDER APPOINTING JUDGE, Filed August 3, 2004	- 34
12. ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF SERVICE OF PROCESS, Filed August 4, 2004	- 35
13. ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF SERVICE OF PROCESS, Filed August 4, 2004	- 36
14. ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF SERVICE OF PROCESS, Filed August 4, 2004	- 37

PAGE

15. ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF SERVICE OF PROCESS, Filed August 4, 2004 ..... - 38

16. ANSWER OF WILLIAM ASHLEY HAWKINS ONE OF THE "OTHER CANDIDATES FOR THE OFFICE OF JUDGE OF THE COURT OF APPEAL" -RESPONDENT, Filed August 4, 2004 ..... 39 - 43

17. ANSWER OF THOMAS C. RAWLINGS, RESPONDENT/ CANDIDATE, Filed August 4, 2004 ..... 44 - 46

18. AFFIDAVIT OF SERVER, Filed August 5, 2004 ..... 47 - 48
(a) Attachment

19. AFFIDAVIT OF SERVER, Filed August 5, 2004 ..... 49 - 50
(a) Attachment

20. AFFIDAVIT OF SERVER, Filed August 5, 2004 ..... 51 - 52
(a) Attachment

21. AFFIDAVIT OF SERVER, Filed August 5, 2004 ..... 53 - 54
(a) Attachment

22. AFFIDAVIT OF SERVER, Filed August 5, 2004 ..... 55 - 56
(a) Attachment

23. AFFIDAVIT OF SERVER, Filed August 5, 2004 ..... 57 - 58
(a) Attachment

24. ENTRY OF APPEARANCE, Filed August 5, 2004 ..... 59 - 60

25. DEFENDANT DEBRA BERNES' RESPONSE, ANSWER AND DEFENSES TO CONTESTANT/PETITIONER'S PETITION TO CONTEST ELECTION, Filed August 5, 2004 ..... 61 - 75

PAGE

26. REQUEST TO INSTALL RECORDING AND/OR PHOTOGRAPHING EQUIPMENT PURSUANT TO RULES AND GUIDELINES FOR ELECTRONIC AND PHOTOGRAPHIC NEWS COVERAGE OF JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS/APPROVED, Filed August 6, 2004 ..... 76 - 77

27. REQUEST TO INSTALL RECORDING AND/OR PHOTOGRAPHING EQUIPMENT PURSUANT TO RULES AND GUIDELINES FOR ELECTRONIC AND PHOTOGRAPHIC NEWS COVERAGE OF JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS/APPROVED, Filed August 6, 2004 ..... 78 - 79

28. DEFENSES AND ANSWER OF DEFENDANT MIKE SHEFFIELD, Filed August 6, 2004 ..... 80 - 93

29. RESPONSE AND ANSWER OF SECRETARY OF STATE, Filed August 6, 2004 ..... 94 - 103

30. MOTION TO DISMISS OR TRANSFER, Filed August 6, 2004 ..... 104 - 106

31. EVIDENCE LIST, filed by Defendant, Filed August 6, 2004 ..... - 107

32. EVIDENCE LIST, filed by Plaintiff, Filed August 6, 2004 ..... - 108

33. BENCH BRIEF REGARDING INADMISSIBILITY OF PAROL EVIDENCE, Filed August 6, 2004 ..... 109 - 113

34. ORDER, Dismissing case, Filed August 6, 2004 ..... 114 - 117

Filed in Office Jul-30-2004 12:48:45
ID# 2004-0893396-CV
Page 1

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF COBB COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

Jay C. Stephenson
Clerk of Superior Court Cobb County

HOWARD MEAD, Contestant/Petitioner, v. MIKE SHEFFIELD, DEBRA BERNES, HELEN W. HARPER in her official capacity as Laurens County Probate Judge, and CATHY COX in her official capacity as Secretary of State and as chairperson of the State Election Board, Defendants, and WILLIAM ASHLEY HAWKINS, THOMAS C. RAWLINGS, and LEE ELIZABETH TARTE WALLACE, Other Candidates for the Office of Judge of the Court of Appeals.	Civil Action File No. 04-1-6082-19

SUMMONS

TO THE ABOVE NAMED DEFENDANTS AND ALL OTHER CANDIDATES FOR THE OFFICE OF JUDGE OF THE COURT OF APPEALS:

You are hereby summoned and required to file with the Clerk of said court and serve upon the Contestant/Petitioner's attorney, whose name and address is:

Charles W. Byrd, Esq.
909 Ball Street
P.O. Box 1770
Perry, Georgia 31069

an answer to the Petition to Contest Election, which herewith is served upon you, no later than August 6, 2004, or 5 days after this Summons and Petition to Contest Election is served upon you, whichever is later. If you fail to do so, judgment by default may be taken for the relief demanded in the Petition to Contest Election.

This 30 day of July, 2004.

Clerk of the Superior Court of Cobb County

By: _____
Clerk

000004

Filed In Office Jul-30-2004 11:04:45
ID# 2004-0093393-CV
Page 1

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF COBB COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

Jay C. Stephenson
Clerk of Superior Court Cobb County

ORIGINAL

HOWARD MEAD, Contestant/Petitioner, v. MIKE SHEFFIELD, DEBRA BERNES, HELEN W. HARPER in her official capacity as Laurens County Probate Judge, and CATHY COX in her official capacity as Secretary of State and as chairperson of the State Election Board, Defendants, and WILLIAM ASHLEY HAWKINS, THOMAS C. RAWLINGS, and LEE ELIZABETH TARTE WALLACE, Other Candidates for the Office of Judge of the Court of Appeals.	Civil Action File No. 04-1-6082-18

PETITION TO CONTEST ELECTION

COMES NOW Howard Mead and files this Election Contest pursuant to Article 13 of Title 21 of the Official Code of Georgia Annotated and shows the Court as follows:

1.

On July 20, 2004, an election for the office of Judge of the Court of Appeals was held throughout the State of Georgia.

2.

Howard Mead, Mike Sheffield, Debra Barnes, William Ashley Hawkins, Thomas C. Rawlings, and Lee Elizabeth Tarte Wallace were duly qualified candidates for the office

Judge of the Court of Appeals.

3.

Howard Mead is a resident of DeKalb County, residing at 1277 Oakdale Road, Atlanta, Georgia 30307.

4.

Mike Sheffield is a resident of Gwinnett County, residing at 4111 Alley Court, Norcross, Georgia 30092.

5.

Debra Bornes is a resident of Cobb County, residing at 1201 Lexham Drive, Marietta, Georgia 30068.

6.

William Ashley Hawkins is a resident of Monroe County, residing at 105 Chambless Road, Forsyth, Georgia 31029.

7.

Thomas C. Rawlings is a resident of Washington County, residing at 257 W. Adams Street, Tennille, Georgia 31089.

8.

Lee Elizabeth Tarte Wallace is a resident of Fulton County, residing at 3163 Argonne Drive, Atlanta, Georgia 30305.

9.

Helen W. Harper is the Probate Judge for Laurens County.

10.

Cathy Cox is Georgia's Secretary of State and chairperson of Georgia's State Elections Board.

11.

This Court has subject matter jurisdiction.

12.

Venue is proper in this Court pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 21-2-523.

13.

On July 26, 2004, Cathy Cox, in her official capacity as Secretary of State, certified the following vote totals for the July 20, 2004 election for the office of Judge of the Court of Appeals:

Candidate	Vote	%
Debra Bernes	309,005	29.5
Mike Sheffield	207,416	19.8
Howard Mead	207,068	19.8
Thomas G. Rawlings	124,027	11.8
Lee Elizabeth Tarte Wallace	120,470	11.5
William Ashley Hawkins	80,128	7.6

14.

By certification of the above-detailed vote totals, Debra Bernes and Mike Sheffield were declared eligible to compete with each other in a runoff election for the office of Judge of the Court of Appeals as no candidate for that office received the requisite number of votes necessary to be declared elected pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 21-2-501.

15.

On July 26, 2004, Howard Mead requested a recount pursuant to his rights under O.C.G.A. § 21-2-495.

16.

After a recount pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 21-2-495, on July 29, 2004 Cathy Cox, in her official capacity as Secretary of State, certified the following vote totals for the July 20, 2004 election for the office of Judge of the Court of Appeals:

Candidate	Vote	%
Debra Barnes	309,058	29.5
Mike Sheffield	207,473	19.8
Howard Mead	207,091	19.8
Thomas C. Rawlings	124,038	11.8
Lee Elizabeth Turto Wallace	120,473	11.5
William Ashley Hawkins	80,153	7.6

17.

By certification of the above-detailed vote totals after a recount pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 21-2-495, Debra Barnes and Mike Sheffield were declared eligible to compete with each other in a runoff election for the office of Judge of the Court of Appeals as no candidate for that office received the requisite number of votes necessary to be declared elected pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 21-2-501.

18.

The runoff election for the office of Judge of the Court of Appeals, unless postponed by court order, is scheduled to be held on Tuesday, August 10, 2004.

19.

Section 21-2-522 of the Official Code of Georgia Annotated provides:

> A result of a primary or election may be contested on one or more of the following grounds:
>
> (1) Misconduct, fraud, or irregularity by any primary or election official

or officials sufficient to change or place in doubt the result;

(2) When the defendant is ineligible for the nomination or office in dispute;

(3) When illegal votes have been received or legal votes rejected at the polls sufficient to change or place in doubt the result;

(4) For any error in counting the votes or declaring the result of the primary or election, if such error would change the result;

(5) For any other cause which shows that another was the person legally nominated, elected, or eligible to compete in a run-off primary or election.

20.

Howard Mead contests the result of the July 20, 2004 election for the office of Judge of the Court of Appeals on the ground that 491 irregularly prepared official absentee ballots omitting Howard Mead's name were voted in the July 20, 2004 general primary and non-partisan election and that such ballots are sufficient to place in doubt the result of the election.

21.

Articles 8 and 9 of Chapter 2 of Title 21 of the Official Code of Georgia Annotated require that the "name" of each candidate appear on the ballot.

22.

A person's "name" is the word or combination of words by which a person is distinguished from other individuals and consists, in law, of a given Christian or first name and a family surname. *Mays v. Pandi*, 267 Ga. 243, 245 (1996).

23.

Helen W. Harper, in her official capacity as Probate Judge of Laurens County, was responsible for preparing the official absentee ballots which were used throughout Laurens County in conjunction with the July 20, 2004 general primary and non-partisan election.

24.

The official absentee ballots for Laurens County listed the following candidates for the office of Judge of the Court of Appeals:

Debra Bernes
William Ashley Hawkins
Thomas Mead
Thomas C. Rawlings
Mike Sheffield
Lee Elizabeth Tarte Wallace

25.

A copy of the portion of the official absentee ballot for Laurens County which includes the candidates for the office of Judge of the Court of Appeals is attached hereto as Exhibit A.

26.

Howard Mead's name, "Howard Mead," did not appear on the official absentee ballots for Laurens County.

27.

The Georgia Supreme Court has recognized that a person's Christian or first name has been used from early times to distinguish a particular individual from his fellows and, consequently, it has always been considered an essential part of a person's name. *Maye v. Pandit*, 267 Ga. 243, 245 (1996).

28.

"Thomas Mead" is not "Howard Mead."

29.

Even if votes cast for Thomas Mead were counted as being for Howard Mead, it cannot be said that voters would know that a vote cast for Thomas Mead would be counted for Howard Mead.

30.

The official absentee ballots which did not contain Howard Mead's name were issued to 528 voters or electors throughout Laurens County.

31.

On or about July 6, 2004, Judge Harper became aware that the official absentee ballots prepared by her office were irregular and her office undertook to print correct official absentee ballots for future use which included the name of candidate Howard Mead.

32.

Four hundred eighty-one (481) voters cast votes in the July 20, 2004 general primary and non-partisan election using the irregular official absentee ballots which did not include the name of candidate Howard Mead.

33.

As detailed above, by a margin of 382 votes, Mike Sheffield was declared eligible over Howard Mead to compete with Debra Bernes in a runoff election for the office of Judge of the Court of Appeals.

34.

Section 21-2-527(d) of the Official Code of Georgia provides that

> Whenever the court trying a contest shall determine that the primary, election, or runoff is so defective as to the nomination, office, or eligibility in contest as to place in doubt the result of the entire primary, election, or runoff for such nomination, office, or eligibility, such court shall declare the primary, election, or runoff to be invalid with regard to such nomination, office, or eligibility and shall call for a second primary, election, or runoff to be conducted among all of the same candidates who participated in the primary, election, or runoff to fill such nomination or office which was declared invalid and shall set the date for such second primary, election, or runoff.

35.

"It [is] not incumbent upon [the petitioner] to show how the [affected] voters would have voted if their ballots had been regular. He only ha[s] to show that there were enough irregular ballots to place in doubt the result." *Howell v. Fears*, 275 Ga. 627, 628 (2002). To satisfy that burden, all he must show is that the number of irregular ballots exceeded the margin of victory. *Id.*

36.

This Court may neither inquire nor speculate as to how the voters who voted using the irregular absentee ballot would have cast their vote had the ballot been regular because "[i]t is basic in the American democratic process of elections that an individual voter's right to privacy as to how he cast his ballot is inviolate. It is improper and erroneous for courts to engage in presumptions of any kind in that exclusive area of privacy." *Miller v. Kilpatrick*, 140 Ga. App. 193, 194 (1976).

37.

The number of votes cast with irregular ballots voted in the July 20, 2004 general primary and non-partisan election which did not include the name of Howard Mead, 481, exceeds the margin by which Mike Sheffield was declared eligible over Howard Mead to compete with Debra Barnes in a runoff election for the office of Judge of the Court of Appeals, 382 votes. Since the number of irregular ballots exceeds Mike Sheffield's margin of victory, Georgia law mandates that the court void the entire election and order that a new election be held. *Howell v. Fears*, 275 Ga. 627, 628 (2002).

38.

Howard Mead also contests the result of the July 20, 2004 election for the office of Judge of the Court of Appeals on the grounds that misconduct, fraud, or irregularity by any primary

or election official or officials is sufficient to change or place in doubt the result; illegal votes have been received or legal votes rejected at the polls sufficient to change or place in doubt the result; there were errors in counting the votes and declaring the results of the election; and there were other causes which show that Howard Mead and not Mike Sheffield was the person legally eligible to compete in the runoff election.

39.

Once a court finds irregularities "sufficient to change or place in doubt the result[s]" of an election, O.C.G.A. § 21-2-527(d) requires that the court must declare the election to be invalid and call for a second election to be conducted among all of the same candidates who participated in the election which was declared invalid.

40.

Georgia law prohibits narrower remedies. At one time, it was possible to order a special runoff among two candidates to determine which of them was eligible to compete in the regular runoff. *See Daniel v. Barrow*, 256 Ga. 318 (1986). However, the Georgia General Assembly legislatively overruled *Daniel v. Barrow* in 1987 by amending O.C.G.A. § 21-2-527 to require that when an election is declared invalid, a second election must be conducted among the same candidates who participated in the election which was declared invalid. *See* Ga. L. 1987, p. 1360, § 19.

41.

Similarly, even though the irregular ballots may have been cast in only one county or even a single precinct, O.C.G.A. § 21-2-527(d) requires that an entire new election be held, not just a re-vote for the county or precinct in which the irregular ballots were cast. *Howell v. Fears*, 275 Ga. 627, 628 (2002).

5. That petitioner have such other and further relief as is just and proper.

Respectfully submitted this 30th day of July, 2004.

Charles W. Byrd
Georgia State Bar No. 100580

909 Ball Street
P.O. Box 1770
Perry, Georgia 31069
478-987-1415 (office)
478-396-3698 (cell)
478-987-1077 (fax)

MARC B. HERSHOVITZ, P.C.

By:

Marc B. Hershovitz
Georgia State Bar No. 349510

4060 Peachtree Road
Suite D-315
Atlanta, Georgia 30319
404-262-1425
404-262-1474 (fax)

Attorneys for Contestant/Petitioner Howard Mead

ID# 2004-0093393-CV
Pg. 11

Exhibit A

000016

GA005070

HAROLD D. MELTON, CHIEF JUSTICE
DAVID E. NAHMIAS, PRESIDING JUSTICE
ROBERT BENHAM
CAROL W. HUNSTEIN
KEITH R. BLACKWELL
MICHAEL P. BOGGS
NELS S.D. PETERSON
SARAH HAWKINS WARREN
CHARLES J. BETHEL
JUSTICES

Supreme Court
State of Georgia
STATE JUDICIAL BUILDING
Atlanta 30334

THÉRÈSE S. BARNES, CLERK/COURT EXECUTIVE
JEAN RUSKELL, REPORTER

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF GEORGIA
CLERK'S OFFICE, ATLANTA

December 31, 2018

I, Therese "Tee" Barnes, Clerk, of the Supreme Court of Georgia, do hereby certify that the foregoing PAGES, hereto attached, is a true and correct copy, in the Supreme Court of Georgia Case No. **S04A1982, HOWARD MEAD V. MIKE SHEFFIELD et al.**, as appears from the records and files in this office.

Witness my signature and seal of the said court hereto affixed the day and year first above written.

Therese S Barnes, Clerk



Filed In Office Nov-05-2004 15:21:39
IDN 2004-0095913-CV
Page 1
Jay C. Stephenson
Clerk of Superior Court Cobb County

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF COBB COUNTY

STATE OF GEORGIA

HOWARD MEAD, Contestant/Petitioner, v. MIKE SHEFFIELD, DEBRA BERNES, HELEN W. HARPER in her official capacity as Laurens County Probate Judge, and CATHY COX in her official capacity as Secretary of State and chairperson of the State Election Board, Defendants, and WILLIAM ASHLEY HAWKINS, THOMAS C. RAWLINGS, and LEE ELIZABETH TARTE WALLACE, Other Candidates for the Office of Judge of the Court of Appeals.	*	CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 04-1-6882-18

DEFENDANT DEBRA BERNES' RESPONSE, ANSWER AND DEFENSES TO CONTESTANT/PETITIONER'S PETITION TO CONTEST ELECTION

COMES NOW DEBRA BERNES, Defendant in the above-styled matter and files this her Response, Answer and Defenses to the Contestant/Petitioner's Petition to Contest Election and shows to the Court the following:

FIRST DEFENSE

The Petition fails, is barred and should be dismissed in that Petitioner has failed to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

SEVENTH DEFENSE

The Petition fails and is barred in that of the 481 absentee ballots at issue in Laurens County, there were only 314 absentee ballots containing the name "Thomas Mead" which were verified as cast in the Court of Appeals judicial race by the Secretary of State on July 26, 2004 and as to the recount on July 29, 2004, as statewide vote totals for each of the six candidates in the Court of Appeals July 20, 2004 primary election. Of those 314 absentee ballots, 243 of those ballots were cast for other Court of Appeals judicial candidates. In accord with Johnson v Rheney, 245 Ga. 316 (1980), these votes represent the expressed will of the voters. Of the 481 absentee ballots at issue, the expressed will of those 243 voters, when subtracted from the 481 absentee ballots at issue do not result in a difference greater than the 382 margin suggested by Petitioner. Thus, Petitioner has failed to demonstrate any irregularity sufficient to change or place in doubt the result of the July 20, 2004 election for the Court of Appeals.

EIGHTH DEFENSE

The Petition fails and is barred in that of the 481 absentee ballots at issue in Laurens County, there were only 314 total absentee ballots, as described above, cast in the statewide Court of Appeals judicial race. These 314 ballots cast in the judicial race when subtracted from the 481 absentee ballots at issue do not result in a difference greater than the 382 margin of votes suggested by Petitioner. Thus, Petitioner has failed to demonstrate any irregularity sufficient to change or place in doubt the result of the July 20, 2004 election for the Court of Appeals.

THIRTEENTH DEFENSE

Defendant answers each numbered paragraph of Petitioner's Petition as follows:

ANSWER

1.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 1 of Petitioner's Petition are admitted.

2.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 2 of Petitioner's Petition are admitted.

3.

The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments contained in Paragraph 3 of Petitioner's Petition.

4.

The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments contained in Paragraph 4 of Petitioner's Petition.

5.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 5 of Petitioner's Petition are admitted.

6.

The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments contained in Paragraph 6 of Petitioner's Petition.

7.

The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments contained in Paragraph 7 of Petitioner's Petition.

8.

The Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a belief as to the truth of the averments contained in Paragraph 8 of Petitioner's Petition.

9.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 9 of Petitioner's Petition are admitted.

10.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 10 of Petitioner's Petition are admitted.

11.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 11 of Petitioner's Petition are denied. By way of further answer, Defendant alleges that the circumstances surrounding the 481 absentee ballots at issue in Laurens County are clearly insufficient to change or place in doubt the result of the July 20, 2004 election for the Court of Appeals.

12.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 12 of Petitioner's Petition are admitted.

13.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 13 of Petitioner's Petition are admitted.

14.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 14 of Petitioner's Petition are admitted.

15.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 15 of Petitioner's Petition are admitted.

16.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 16 of Petitioner's Petition are admitted. By way of further answer, Defendant alleges that of the 481 absentee ballots at issue in

Laurens County, Petitioner admits that 314 absentee ballots containing the name "Thomas Mead" were regular and correct in that the 314 absentee ballots were verified by the Secretary of State on July 26, 2004 and as to the recount on July 29, 2004, as statewide vote totals for each of the six candidates in the Court of Appeals July 20, 2004 primary election.

17.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 17 of Petitioner's Petition are admitted.

18.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 18 of Petitioner's Petition are admitted.

19.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 19 of Petitioner's Petition are admitted.

20.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 20 of Petitioner's Petition are denied.

21.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 21 of Petitioner's Petition are admitted.

22.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 22 of Petitioner's Petition are denied. By way of further answer, allegations contained in Paragraph 22 are conclusions of law and an attempt by Plaintiff to paraphrase and characterize said case law.

23.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 23 of Petitioner's Petition are denied.

24.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 24 of Petitioner's Petition are denied.

25.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 25 of Petitioner's Petition are denied.

26.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 26 of Petitioner's Petition are denied.

27.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 27 of Petitioner's Petition are denied. By way of further answer, allegations contained in Paragraph 27 are conclusions of law and an attempt by Plaintiff to paraphrase and characterize said case law.

28.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 28 of Petitioner's Petition are denied.

29.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 29 of Petitioner's Petition are denied.

30.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 30of Petitioner's Petition are denied.

31.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 31 of Petitioner's Petition are denied as stated.

32.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 32 of Petitioner's Petition are denied.

33.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 30of Petitioner's Petition are admitted.

34.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 34 of Petitioner's Petition are admitted.

35.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 35 of Petitioner's Petition are denied. By way of further answer, allegations contained in Paragraph 35 are conclusions of law and an attempt by Plaintiff to paraphrase and characterize said case law.

36.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 36 of Petitioner/Plaintiff's Petition are denied. By way of further answer, allegations contained in Paragraph 36 are conclusions of law and an attempt by Plaintiff to paraphrase and characterize said case law.

37.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 37 of Petitioner's Petition are denied. By way of further answer, allegations contained in Paragraph 37 are conclusions of law and an attempt by Plaintiff to paraphrase and characterize said case law.

38.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 38 of Petitioner's Petition are denied.

39.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 39 of Petitioner's Petition are denied. By way of further answer, allegations contained in Paragraph 39 are conclusions of law and an attempt by Plaintiff to paraphrase and characterize said case law.

40.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 40 of Petitioner's Petition are denied. By way of further answer, allegations contained in Paragraph 40 are conclusions of law and an attempt by Plaintiff to paraphrase and characterize said case law.

41.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 41 of Petitioner's Petition are denied. By way of further answer, allegations contained in Paragraph 41 are conclusions of law and an attempt by Plaintiff to paraphrase and characterize said case law.

42.

The allegations contained in Paragraph 42 of Petitioner's Petition are denied. By way of further answer, allegations contained in Paragraph 42 are conclusions of law and an attempt by Plaintiff to paraphrase and characterize said case law.

43.

Any allegations not responded to by prior defenses or answers are hereby specifically denied.

WHEREFORE Defendant having fully answered the Petitioner's Petition, hereby respectfully prays and demands

1) That the Petition be dismissed with all costs cast upon the Petitioner.

2) That the Court permit the runoff election for the office of the Judge of the Court of Appeals be carried out on August 10, 2004 as prescribed by Georgia law and pursuant to the verification of vote totals by the Secretary of State on July 29, 2004, and

3) That the Defendant have such other and further relief as deemed necessary and proper by this Court.

This the 5 day of August, 2004.

BENTLEY, BENTLEY & BENTLEY

FRED D. BENTLEY, JR.
GEORGIA BAR NO. 052850

241 Washington Avenue
Marietta, Georgia 30060
(770)-422-2300

KING & SPALDING LLP

MICHAEL C. RUSS
GEORGIA BAR NO. 620200

191 Peachtree Street
Atlanta, Georgia 30303-1763
(404) 572-5100

NANCY INGRAM JORDAN, P.C.

NANCY INGRAM JORDAN
GEORGIA BAR NO. 383407

231 Washington Avenue
Marietta, Georgia 30060
(770) 422-2300

STATE OF GEORGIA

COUNTY OF COBB

VERIFICATION

Personally appeared before the undersigned administrating officer duly authorized by law to administer oaths, Debra Bernes, who having first been sworn deposes and states on oath, that the facts set forth in the within and foregoing Response, Answer and Defenses are true and correct to the best of her knowledge.

Sworn to and subscribed before me
this 5th day of Aug., 2004.

Debra Bernes
DEBRA BERNES

Katherine E. Egan
Notary Public

KATHERINE E. EGAN NOTARY PUBLIC GEORGIA COBB COUNTY EXPIRES JULY 11, 2005

My Commission Expires:
7-11-05

000072

Filed in Office Aug-06-2004 07:51:00
ID# 2004-0896116-CV
Page 1
Jay C. Stephenson
Clerk of Superior Court Cobb County

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF COBB COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

HOWARD MEAD Plaintiff/Contestor, v. MIKE SHEFFIELD, DEBRA BERNES HELEN W. HARPER, in her official capacity as Laurens County Probate Judge, and CATHY COX, in her official capacity as Secretary of State of Georgia, Defendants/Respondents. and WILLIAM ASHLEY HAWKINS, THOMAS C. RAWLINGS and LEE ELEIZABETH TARTE WALLACE, Other Candidates/Third Parties	)	CIVIL ACTION NO. 04-1-6082

RESPONSE AND ANSWER OF SECRETARY OF STATE

COMES NOW CATHY COX in her official capacity as the Secretary of State of Georgia and Chairperson of the State Elections Board and, by and through her undersigned counsel, Thurbert Baker, Attorney General of the State of Georgia, shows as follows:

I. POSITION OF THE SECRETARY OF STATE ON THE MERITS OF THE CASE

1.

This case involves an election contest challenging pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520 *et seq.* As such, Contestor Howard Mead bears the burden of establishing that there was an irregularity sufficient to change or place in doubt the result. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-522(1); *see Bailey*

S

v. Colwell, 263 Ga. 111 (1993). To meet this burden, Mr. Mead must show a specific number of irregularly cast ballots; it is not sufficient to show irregularities which erode confidence in the election, and the election result cannot be overturned on mere speculation. *Middleton v. Smith*, 273 Ga. 202, 203 (2000). The election is presumed valid unless it is demonstrated not to be. *Id.*; *Streeter v. Pascal*, 267 Ga. 207, 208 (1996); *cf.* O.C.G.A. § 21-2-522.1.

2.

The Secretary of State anticipates that the evidence on the hearing of this matter will show that the highest vote total (in an election held on July 20, 2004 for a seat of the Court of Appeals) was Debra Bernes, but she did not receive a majority of the votes. The second and third highest vote totals were for Mike Sheffield and Howard Mead, respectively, who were separated statewide by 382 votes.

3.

The Secretary further anticipates the evidence will show that a printers error resulted in an uncertain number of absentee ballots being printed and distributed in Laurens County bearing the erroneous name "Thomas Mead" instead of "Howard Mead." Of these absentee ballots, 481 were voted in some manner. Of the voted absentee ballots that contained the error, 71 were cast for "Thomas Mead" and were counted as votes for "Howard Mead." An additional 243 votes were cast for other candidates in the Court of Appeals race, and 167 did not votes in the Court of Appeals race at all (although voting for candidates in other races).

4.

Georgia law, while not involving a situation involving the specific fact situation before this Court, establishes that it is not appropriate to speculate how voters would have voted or to effectively disenfranchise them by assuming they would have voted differently. *Middleton*,

supra; *Holton v. Hollingsworth*, 270 Ga. 591, 592 (1999); *May v. Pundt*, 267 Ga. 243 (1996); *Johnson v. Rhery*, 245 Ga. 316 (1980); *Cf. Howell v. Fears*, 275 Ga. 627 (2002).

5.

Of the 481 absentee ballots cast, 243 were voted for other candidates, leaving 283 ballots in doubt. (This includes the 71 overtly cast for "Thomas Mead" and all ballots who did not vote for any other candidate in the Court of Appeals race.) Mr. Mead, however, must establish that there were at least 382 ballots in doubt. The Secretary of State, therefore, anticipates that the evidence will not support a meritorious claim to re-hold part of all of the election.

6.

Should this Court find, however, that another election is appropriate, the appropriate remedy is determined by O.C.G.A. § 21-2-527(d). It provides:

> Whenever the court trying a contest shall determine that the primary, election, or runoff is so defective as to the nomination, office, or eligibility in contest as to place in doubt the result of the entire primary, election, or runoff for such nomination, office, or eligibility, such court shall declare the primary, election, or runoff to be invalid with regard to such nomination, office, or eligibility and shall call for a second primary, election, or runoff to be conducted among all of the same candidates who participated in the primary, election, or runoff to fill such nomination or office which was declared invalid and shall set the date for such second primary, election, or runoff.

(Emphasis added.)

7.

In determining the geographic scope of a new primary, the result should be limited to Laurens County. As the Supreme Court of Georgia has held: "a rerun election should be held only to the extent necessary to address the illegalities in a contested election." *Haynes v. Wells*,

273 Ga. 106, 106 n.1 (2000); *Daniel v. Barrow*, 256 Ga. 318 (1986); *Ingram v. Loft*, 238 Ga. 513 (1977).

8.

Limiting the election to Laurens County, should one be necessary (and the Secretary of State believes it is not), is in accord with the equities in the case, since the error in this case was limited to one county. Holding a new election statewide would not only disenfranchise the voters statewide who have already cast their votes, but would also cost the counties around the state, who had no part in the irregularity, hundreds of thousands of dollars, with the total cost around the State in the millions of dollars.

II. ANSWER TO THE SPECIFIC ALLEGATIONS OF THE COMPLAINT

In response to the specific allegations of Mr. Mead's "Petition to Contest Election" the Secretary of State shows as follows:

1.

Paragraph 1 of the Petition is admitted.

2.

Paragraph 2 of the Petition is admitted.

3.

Paragraph 3 of the Petition is admitted on information and belief.

3.

Paragraph 3 of the Petition is admitted on information and belief.

4.

Paragraph 4 of the Petition is admitted on information and belief.

5.

Paragraph 5 of the Petition is admitted on information and belief.

6.

Paragraph 6 of the Petition is admitted on information and belief.

7.

Paragraph 7 of the Petition is admitted on information and belief.

8.

Paragraph 8 of the Petition is admitted on information and belief.

9.

Paragraph 9 of the Petition is admitted.

10.

Paragraph 10 of the Petition is admitted.

11.

Paragraph 11 of the Petition is admitted.

12.

Paragraph 12 of the Petition is admitted.

13.

Paragraph 13 of the Petition is admitted.

14.

Paragraph 14 of the Petition is admitted.

15.

Paragraph 15 of the Petition is admitted.

16.

Paragraph 16 of the Petition is admitted.

17.

Paragraph 17 of the Petition is admitted.

18.

Paragraph 18 of the Petition is admitted.

19.

Paragraph 19 of the Petition is admitted.

20.

In response to paragraph 20 of the Petition, the Petition speaks for itself. The Secretary of State denies that Mr. Mend has shown a right to relief.

21.

Paragraph 21 of the Petition calls for a legal conclusion or interpretation and require no response from the Secretary of State.

22.

Paragraph 22 of the Petition calls for a legal conclusion or interpretation and requires no response from the Secretary of State.

23.

Paragraph 23 of the Petition is denied as stated.

24.

Paragraph 24 of the Petition is denied to the extent that it implies that all absentee ballots in Laurens County contained this error.

Filed In Office [illegible]
IDN [illegible]-CV
Page 1

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF COBB COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

Ivy C. Stephenson
Clerk of Superior Court Cobb County

HOWARD MEAD, Contestant/Petitioner, v. MIKE SHEFFIELD, DEBRA BERNES, HELEN W. HARPER in her official capacity as Laurens County Probate Judge, and CATHY COX in her official capacity as Secretary of State and as chairperson of the State Election Board, Defendants, and WILLIAM ASHLEY HAWKINS, THOMAS C. RAWLINGS, and LEE ELIZABETH TARTE WALLACE, Other Candidates for the Office of Judge of the Court of Appeals.	)	Civil Action File No. 04-1-6082-18

MOTION TO DISMISS OR TRANSFER

COMES NOW, Defendant MIKE SHEFFIELD ("Sheffield"), by and through undersigned counsel, and hereby moves this honorable Court to dismiss the Petition to Contest Election filed by Contestant/Petitioner HOWARD MEAD ("Mead") or, in the alternative, to transfer this case to the Superior Court of Gwinnett County, Georgia; and in support thereof Movant Sheffield shows:

(1) O.C.G.A. §21-2-523(a) provides that an election contest case shall be tried and determined by the Superior Court of the county wherein the Defendant resides;

Filed in Office Aug-06-2004 15:30:03
ID# 2004-0096398-CV
Page 1
Jay C. Stephenson
Clerk of Superior Court Cobb County

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF COBB COUNTY

STATE OF GEORGIA

HOWARD MEAD,	•	
Petitioner,	•	CIVIL ACTION FILE
	•	NO. 04-1-6082-18
v.	•	
MIKE SHEFFIELD, et al.,	•	
Respondents.	•	

ORDER

The above election contest was heard on August 6, 2004 and after hearing evidence, argument of counsel, and reviewing the record, it is:

HEREBY ORDERED, that we must presume that the result of an election or primary are valid unless the contesting party demonstrates an irregularity or illegality sufficient to change or place in doubt the result. It does not appear that Petitioner has carried this burden.

There were 529 total absentee ballots printed in the Laurens County non-partisan primary showing Petitioner's first name as being "Thomas" rather than "Howard." Of those 529 ballots, only 315 were voted in the Court of Appeals race. The difference between the second and the third place candidate was 382 votes. Of those votes, 243 were cast for someone other than the Petitioner.

The best Petitioner could have done was to have received all 284 remaining votes, which is still considerably less than the 382 vote difference.

Even if Petitioner had received all 315 votes cast in the Court of Appeals race, this is still shy of the 382 difference.

While *Mayer v. Pandi*, 267 Ga. 243 (1996), states that "name" has been defined as the word or combination of words distinguishing one person from another, it does not require that the first name be used in all situations. In this case, only one (1) person named "Mead" was qualified to run in the Court of Appeals election.

There is no indication that anything illegal or improper was done, only that the printer made a mistake and placed the wrong first name on the ballot. It is doubtful this would have changed or placed in the doubt the outcome.

The above captioned case is hereby DISMISSED and the run-off election in the Court of Appeals non-partisan primary is to CONTINUE as directed by the Secretary of State.

SO ORDERED this 5th day of August, 2004.

Arthur W. Fudger, Senior Judge
Acting in Cobb Superior Court
Cobb Judicial Circuit

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that I have delivered via U.S. Mail a copy of the foregoing Order

to the following:

Thomas C. Rawlings
P.O. Box 5746
Sandersville, GA 31082

Honorable Cathy Cox
Sec. of State
214 State Capital
Atlanta, GA 30334

Ms. Lee E. T. Wallace
3183 Argonne Dr.
Atlanta, GA 30305

Honorable Helen Harper
Laurens County Probate Court
P.O. Box 2098
Dublin, GA 31040

Mr. W. Ashley Hawkins
105 Chambless Rd.
Forsyth, GA 31029

Honorable Thurbert Baker
Atty General
40 Capital Square
Atlanta, GA 30334

Mr. Charles Byrd
P.O. Box 1770
Perry, GA 31069

Mr. Mike Sheffield
4111 Alley Court
Norcross, GA 30092

Fred Bentley
241 Washington Ave.
Marietta, GA 30060

Nancy I. Jordan
231 Washington Ave.
Marietta, GA 30060

This 6 day of August 2004.

Leanne E. Dolin
Law Clerk to Judge James G. Bodiford
Cobb Superior Court

000117

IN THE SUPREME COURT
STATE OF GEORGIA

HOWARD MEAD,	*	
	*	
Appellant/Contestant,	*	
	*	
v.	*	
	*	
MIKE SHEFFIELD, DEBRA BERNES, HELEN W. HARPER in her official capacity as Laurens County Probate Judge, CATHY COX in her official capacity as Secretary of State and Chairperson of the State Elections Board, WILLIAM ASHLEY HAWKINS, THOMAS C. RAWLINGS and ELIZABETH TARTE WALLACE,	*	CASE NO. S04A1982
	*	
Appellees/Respondents.	*	

BRIEF OF APPELLEE
SECRETARY OF STATE CATHY COX

THURBERT E. BAKER 033887
Attorney General

DENNIS R. DUNN 234098
Deputy Attorney General

STEFAN RITTER 606950
Senior Assistant Attorney General

Please serve:
STEFAN RITTER
Senior Assistant Attorney General
40 Capitol Square, S.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30334-1300
Telephone: (404) 656-7298
Facsimile: (404) 657-9932

IN THE SUPREME COURT
STATE OF GEORGIA

HOWARD MEAD,	*	
	*	
Appellant/Contestant,	*	
	*	
v.	*	
	*	
MIKE SHEFFIELD, DEBRA BERNES, HELEN W. HARPER in her official capacity as Laurens County Probate Judge, CATHY COX in her official capacity as Secretary of State and Chairperson of the State Elections Board, WILLIAM ASHLEY HAWKINS, THOMAS C. RAWLINGS and ELIZABETH TARTE WALLACE,	*	CASE NO. S04A1982
	*	
Appellees/Respondents.	*	

BRIEF OF APPELLEE
SECRETARY OF STATE CATHY COX

OVERVIEW

The core facts governing this appeal are not in dispute. Following the election held on July 20, 2004 for the Court of Appeals seat being vacated by Frank Eldridge, candidate Mike Sheffield led candidate Howard Mead by a difference statewide of 382 votes. In Laurens County, of over 1500 absentee ballots distributed, 529 contained a misprint of Mr. Mead's first name, referring to it as "Thomas" rather than "Howard." 481 of the absentee ballots with the

misnomer were voted in some fashion in Laurens County, with 243 voted for a candidate in the Court of Appeals race other than Mr. Mead. (In addition, 71 voted for "Thomas Mead," which were counted in Mr. Mead's statewide total, and 314 overall voted in the Court of Appeals race.) The ballots of the 243 voters who expressed a preference for a candidate other than Mr. Mead cannot be disregarded; under this Court's precedents it is improper to speculate as to how the voters *would* have voted, but rather one must count properly cast votes. Considering the 243 properly cast votes in the Court of Appeals race, Mr. Mead unfortunately still had less than the 382 he must show to be in error to call the election into doubt regardless of the beginning number of errant ballots (i.e. 529 or 481).

STATEMENT OF THE CASE

On July 20, 2004, in conjunction with the statewide primaries, a statewide election was held in Georgia for the Court of Appeals seat being vacated at the end of the year by Judge Frank Eldridge. (R. 5; *see* O.C.G.A. §§ 21-2-138, 21-2-139.) Following a recount, the statewide vote was certified as follows:

Candidate	Votes	%
Debra Bernes	309,058	29.5
William Ashley Hawkins	80,153	7.6
Howard Mead	**207,091**	**19.8**
Thomas C. Rawlings	124,036	11.8
Mike Sheffield	**207,473**	**19.8**
Lee Elizabeth Tarte Wallace	120,473	11.5

(R. 8, 56; T. Exhibits.)

Although Debra Bernes led in the votes cast, she received an insufficient number of votes to avoid a runoff. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-501. The second and third highest vote recipients, Mike Sheffield and Howard Mead, respectively, were separated by 382 votes statewide. (R. 114.)

In Laurens County, however, a printer's error occurred and 529 absentee ballots were distributed with the misnomer "Thomas Mead" instead of "Howard Mead." (R. 114.) Of these absentee ballots distributed, 481 were actually voted in some race in Laurens County. (R. 8; response by trial court to Supreme Court's interrogatories.) Of these, 243 cast votes for candidates other than Mr. Mead in the Court of Appeals race. (R. 114.) Seventy-one (71) of the absentee ballots with the misnomer were cast for "Thomas Mead"; these were counted in Mr. Mead's statewide totals. (T. Exhibits; response by trial court to Supreme Court's interrogatories.) Overall, there were 314 absentee ballots with a misnomer cast in Laurens County in the Court of Appeals race.

After the initial vote totals were certified, Mr. Mead sought a recount -- which resulted in the vote totals, above -- and then he timely filed an election challenge pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520 *et seq.* (R. 5, 7, 114.) A hearing was held on his challenge on August 6, 2004,[1] at which the evidence was largely

[1] All interested parties were present at this hearing except Lee Elizabeth Tarte Wallace, who apparently Mr. Mead was unable to serve and filed an affidavit of diligence documenting his service efforts. (R. 24.) Ms. Wallace called during the

uncontested.[2] Following the hearing the trial court dismissed the petition, issuing a written order that day, which was immediately transmitted to the Supreme Court. Mr. Mead then immediately sought supercedeas, which was granted by this Court, staying the runoff election that would have occurred on August 10 between Ms. Bernes and Mike Sheffield. Once the case was docketed, it was ordered to be briefed on an expedited basis, and, consistent with that order, Secretary of State now files her brief as Appellee showing that the order of the trial court dismissing the Petition should be affirmed.

STANDARD OF REVIEW

In an election contest, the findings and conclusion of the trial court are subject to an abuse of discretion standard and are affirmed if they are supported by any evidence. *Cf. Hendry v. Smith*, 270 Ga. 17, 18 (1998); *Streeter v. Pascal*, 267 Ga. 207, 208 (1996); O.C.G.A. § 21-2-522.1. Findings of law are reviewed *de novo*. *Town of Tyrone v. Tyrone, LLC*, 275 Ga. 383, 385 (2002); *Talbot County Bd. of Comm'rs v. Woodall*, 275 Ga. 281 (2002). At the trial court Appellant/contestor Mead bore the burden of establishing that there was an

hearing to state that she had previously been unaware of it but that she didn't think she needed to be there. (R. 83-84.)

[2] Most of this evidence was documentary, consisting of certified returns and ballots. (T. Exhibits.) Live testimony was taken regarding notice the Laurens County election officials provided to some voters of the error in Mr. Mead's first name on the ballots once the error was discovered. Testimony as to ninety-three (93) absentee ballots cast with this correct was permitted into evidence, with testimony as to an additional 12 excluded. (T. 81-82, 102, 104.)

irregularity sufficient to change or place in doubt the result. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-522(1); *see Bailey v. Colwell*, 263 Ga. 111 (1993). To meet this burden, Mr. Mead had to show a specific number of irregularly cast ballots; it is not sufficient to show irregularities which erode confidence in the election, and the election result cannot be overturned on mere speculation. *Middleton v. Smith*, 273 Ga. 202, 203 (2000). Election results are presumed valid unless they are affirmatively demonstrated not to be. Id.; *Streeter v. Pascal, supra.*

ARGUMENT AND CITATION OF AUTHORITY[3]

I. THE ABSENCE OF MR. MEAD'S FIRST NAME ON SOME ABSENTEE BALLOTS IS NOT SUFFICIENT, ALONE, TO THROW THE RESULTS OF THE ELECTION INTO DOUBT.

The first argument presented by Mr. Mead is that "Howard Mead's Name Was Not on the Ballot."[4] Of course, Mr. Mead's name was partially on some of the ballots (and entirely on others). The question is whether the omission of his first name on some of the ballots, standing alone, was sufficient to throw the election into doubt.

No evidence was presented to the trial court as to the relevance of a candidate's first name to a voter. The Secretary of Stat suggests, however, that this is fundamentally a question of fact (while the importance of a last name may be

[3] The arguments presented in Mr. Mead's brief on the merits do not appear to track the order of his Enumerations of Error. For the sake of clarity, response is made here in the order presented in Mr. Mead's Argument and Citation of Authority.
[4] This appears to relate to Appellant's second enumeration of error.

accepted as necessary as a matter of law). For some candidates a first name may be critical, for others it may be irrelevant.[5] But the only way a court can know this without speculating is to have evidence presented on the subject. The duty to present this evidence, as an initial matter, lies in the challenger. As noted above regarding the Standard of Review, it is not sufficient to show irregularities which erode confidence in the election, and the election result cannot be overturned on mere speculation. *Middleton v. Smith*, 273 Ga. 202, 203 (2000).

Mr. Mears's reliance on *Maye v. Pundt*, 267 Ga. 243 (1996), and *Weathers v. Modern Masonry Materials*, 107 Ga. App. 34 (1962), do not *require* a that a candidate's first name be provided in an election case. The discussion names there is not directly applicable here. But it must be noted that, even if this court were to find that first names are required, this does not mean that all ballots *distributed* or even all ballots *voted* are the basis to overturn the election.[6] Rather the critical question is whether there were enough ballots cast (specifically 382) with such

[5] The importance of a first name may, in fact, be much more important in local races, where candidates are more likely to be personally known, than in statewide races. As to lawyers, even laymen understand them by reference to their surname). For example, the Court of Appeal's seat in question was the seat of Judge Eldridge, not the seat of Judge Frank. (And one does not need to know what seat we are talking about to call it the seat of Judge Frank Eldridge.)

[6] Indeed, the Secretary of State assumed, for the purpose of her arguments to the trial court as she does in her arguments here, that the absence of a first name was such an error to call these ballots into question. This issue is hardly clear, however.

uncertainty that the result of the election is in doubt. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-522(1); *see Bailey v. Colwell*, 263 Ga. 111 (1993).

II. THE CONTROLLING QUESTION IS HOW MANY IMPOPER BALLOTS WERE CAST.

Mr. Mead's second argument is that the number of absentee ballots *distributed* with an error governs the outcome of this case. Of course, many voters who received an absentee ballot decided not to vote *at all*. There may be many reasons why they did not vote an absentee ballot (including the fact that they voted in person instead), but one fact is clear, their decision not to vote an absentee ballot was not limited to the Court of Appeals race.

Consistent with Georgia law the proper number, when beginning to look for an error that could change the result, is the number of ballots *cast*, not the number of votes possible -- here the number of absentee ballots distributed. This is because one should not assume all electors will vote. To hold otherwise could call every election into doubt, since it is well known (and not disputed) that many (if not most) electors do not vote each election. *See, e.g., Holton v. Hollingsworth*, 270 Ga. 591 (1999) (challenger failed to show that a sufficient number of unqualified voters actually cast ballots); *Bailey v. Colwell*, 263 Ga. 111 (1993) (discussed below); *Johnson v. Byrd*, 263 Ga. 173 (1993) (discussed below); *cf. Howell v. Frears*, 275 Ga. 627 (2002) (decision relied upon by Petitioner, but court

looked to ballots voted). The number of ballots actually cast for any race was 481; the number cast specifically in the Court of Appeals race was 314. Mr. Mead can only prevail if the number of ballots in issue is expanded beyond the 314 cast in the Court of Appeals race is disregarded and the ballots actually cast for other candidates is disregarded. His argument is to reach for the highest possible number -- 529 -- even though the error on some of these ballots is plainly irrelevant.

In the last analysis though, while 529 is not the correct number, this issue is also irrelevant. In the Secretary of State's view, it ultimately should not matter which number one selects, because the 243 votes specifically cast for other Court of Appeals candidates brings the number of votes in question below 382 regardless of what number one begins with.

III. THE RESULT OF THE ELECTION IS NOT IN DOUBT BECAUSE 243 VOTERS PLAINLY CAST THEIR VOTES AND THEIR VOTES CANNOT BE DISREGARDED.

At the center of this case is the question of whether the 243 votes that were specifically cast for Court of Appeals candidates other than Howard Mead can be disregarded or not. Mr. Mead's case turns completely on throwing such votes out.

Georgia law is well established, however, that the courts are not to speculate as to how voters might have voted under different circumstances. The Supreme Court's election precedents -- and, indeed, the primary case relied upon by Mr.

Mead[7] – establish that it is improper to speculate as to how voters might have voted. *Middleton v. Smith*, 273 Ga. 202, 203 (2000); *Holton v. Hollingsworth*, 270 Ga. 591, 592 (1999); *May v. Pundt*, 267 Ga. 243 (1996); *Johnson v. Rheny*, 245 Ga. 316 (1980). In this regard, it is clear and undisputable that 243 voters did not voted for Court of Appeals candidates other than Mr. Mead.[8] Mr. Mead's argument turns on speculating that these voters might have voted for him if his first name had been correctly listed. Such speculation is impermissible. It must be assumed that voters acted with all of their faculties; that they are intelligent and mean the choices they make. Regardless, of whether Mr. Mead's first name was misprinted, these voters made a choice, and their choice must be respected. To do otherwise is to disenfranchise their votes.

The 243 votes cast for other candidates resolves this case. regardless of the beginning number in determining errant ballots (I.e. 529 or 481), Mr. Mead unfortunately still had less than the 382 he must show to be in error to call the election into doubt.

IV THE PROPER REMEDY HAS NOT BEEN DETERMINED BY THE TRIAL COURT.

At the trial court the secretary of State asked that the remedial issues be

[7] *Howell v. Fears*, 275 Ga. 627 (2002).
[8] Although this was the primary fact relied upon by the Secretary of State at the trial court it is ignored in Mr. Mead's Motion for Supercedeas.

bifurcated, a request that the trial court granted. (T. 102-03.) The issue of remedy is controlled by O.C.G.A. § 21-2-527(d). It provides:

> Whenever the court trying a contest shall determine that the primary, election, or runoff is so defective as to the nomination, office, or eligibility in contest as to place in doubt the result of the entire primary, election, or runoff for such nomination, office, or eligibility, such court shall declare the primary, election, or runoff to be invalid with regard to such nomination, office, or eligibility and shall call for a second primary, election, or runoff to be conducted among all of the same candidates who participated in the primary, election, or runoff to fill such nomination or office which was declared invalid and shall set the date for such second primary, election, or runoff.

(Emphasis added.)

The Secretary of State anticipates that the evidence she would have presented on the remedial issues would have been as to the enormous cost of a new election. For the sake of minimal burden on State resources, the proper result now appears to be to hold a re-election with the general election, using the elector list available at the time of the general election. If a runoff is then necessary, it could be scheduled at such time. No additional election with write-in candidates need be held, since write-in candidates can be offered at the general elelction.

CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons the Secretary of State requests that the trial court's decision be affirmed.

Respectfully submitted,

THURBERT E. BAKER 033887
Attorney General

DENNIS R. DUNN 234098
Deputy Attorney General

STEFAN RITTER 606950
Senior Assistant Attorney General

40 Capitol Square, S.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30334-1300
Telephone: (404) 656-7298
Facsimile: (404) 657-9932

SUPREME COURT OF GEORGIA
Case No. S04A1982

Atlanta August 27, 2004

The Honorable Supreme Court met pursuant to adjournment.
The following order was passed:

MEAD v. SHEFFIELD et al.

It appearing that Presiding Justice Sears is not participating in this case, it is ordered that The Honorable Neal W. Dickert of the Augusta Circuit be and hereby is designated to act in her place.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF GEORGIA
Clerk's Office, Atlanta

I hereby certify that the above is a true extract from The minutes of the Supreme Court of Georgia
Witness my signature and the seal of said Court hereto Affixed the day and year last above written.

, Clerk

SUPREME COURT OF GEORGIA
Case No. S04A1982

Atlanta September 2, 2004

The Honorable Supreme Court met pursuant to adjournment.
The following order was passed:

MEAD v. SHEFFIELD et al.

It is ordered that any motion for reconsideration must be physically filed in the Clerk's office by noon Tuesday, September 7, 2004. A motion may be faxed to (404) 656-2253 or e-mailed to stinchcl@gasupreme.us.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF GEORGIA
Clerk's Office, Atlanta

I hereby certify that the above is a true extract from the minutes of the Supreme Court of Georgia
Witness my signature and the seal of said court hereto affixed the day and year last above written.

Jennie M. Mitchell, Clerk

SUPREME COURT OF GEORGIA
Case No. S04A1982

Atlanta September 3, 2004

The Honorable Supreme Court met pursuant to adjournment.

The following order was passed.

HOWARD MEAD v. MIKE SHEFFIELD et al.

Upon consideration of the Motion to Expedite the Remittitur in this case, it is ordered that the Motion is granted and the remittitur is to be transmitted instanter.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF GEORGIA
Clerk's Office, Atlanta

I certify that the above is a true extract from the minutes of the Supreme Court of Georgia.
Witness my signature and the seal of said court hereto affixed the day and year last above written.

Lynn M. Hamilton, Chief Deputy Clerk

EXHIBIT B

SHERIFF'S ENTRY OF SERVICE

SHERIFF'S ENTRY OF SERVICE

Superior Court ☒ Magistrate Court ☐
State Court ☐ Probate Court ☐
Juvenile Court ☐

Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Date Filed November 23, 2018

Georgia, Fulton COUNTY

Attorney's Address
Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown Law LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. Suite 6
Atlanta, GA 30306

Coalition for Good Governance, et al.
Plaintiff

VS.

Robyn A. Crittenden, et al.
Defendant

Name and Address of Party to be Served.
Robyn A. Crittenden

Secretary of State of Georgia

214 State Capitol

Atlanta, Georgia 30334

Garnishee

SHERIFF'S ENTRY OF SERVICE

PERSONAL ☐ I have this day served the defendant _____ personally with a copy of the within action and summons.

NOTORIOUS ☐ I have this day served the defendant _____ by leaving a copy of the action and summons at his most notorious place of abode in this County.

Delivered same into hands of _____ described as follows: age, about _____ years; weight _____ pounds; height, about _____ feet and _____ inches, domiciled at the residence of defendant.

CORPORATION ☒ Served the defendant SECRETARY OF STATE OF GEORGIA / ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN a corporation by leaving a copy of the within action and summons with Ryan Germany, General Counsel in charge of the office and place of doing business of said Corporation in the County.

TACK & MAIL ☐ I have this day served the above styled affidavit and summons on the defendant(s) by posting a copy of the same to the door of the premises designated in said affidavit, and on the same day of such posting by depositing a true copy of same in the United States Mail, First Class in an envelope properly addressed to the defendant(s) at the address shown in said summons, with adequate postage affixed thereon containing notice to the defendant(s) to answer said summons at the place stated in the summons.

NON EST ☐ Diligent search made and defendant _____ not to be found in the jurisdiction of this court.

This 8TH day of DEC, 2018.

L. R. (#2527)
DEPUTY

SHERIFF DOCKET PAGE

WHITE-CLERK CANARY-PLAINTIFF PINK-DEFENDANT

GA005109

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDMH
Date: 12/26/2018 6:16 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, et al., **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION** **FILE NO. 2018 CV 313418**

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

COMES NOW AMY FERRERO, before the undersigned officer duly authorized to administer oaths and, after being duly sworn on oath deposes and states that she has been permanently appointed by this Court for service of process per Order attached, she is a citizen of the United States, over the age of eighteen (18), and is a party having no interest in the above styled case, states that on December 26, 2018 at 2:33 PM, she served the ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, by personally serving ROBIN HERRON, Executive Assistant, authorized to accept, at her business located at 214 State Capitol, Atlanta, Georgia 30334, with SUMMONS and PETITION TO CONTEST ELECTION RESULT.

I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 26 day of December, 2018

Legal Ease Attorney Services, Inc.
645 Waldo Street
Atlanta, GA 30312
404-849-1240

Sworn to and subscribed before me
this 26th day of December, 2018

Notary Public

My commission expires:

KATHERINE BOLLING
NOTARY PUBLIC
FULTON COUNTY, GEORGIA
COMMISSION EXPIRES JANUARY 28, 2022

Page(S)
Intentionally
Left Blank

GA005111

JAN 02 2018
DEPUTY CLERK SUPERIOR COURT
FULTON COUNTY, GA

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

Administrative Order No. 2018 EX 000003

ORDER FOR APPOINTMENT FOR PROCESS SERVICE

Having read and considered the petitions and criminal records, and it appearing to the Court that sufficient grounds exist that each petitioner meets the requirements for appointment by the Court, it is hereby

ORDERED and **ADJUDGED** that the following:

Adams Jr., John
Allen, Lakeita
Anderson, William
Andrews, Gene
Armstrong, Christopher
Bailey, Anna
Baker, Wanda
Banks, Randy
Barney, Steven
Barry, Paul
Basham, James
Bass, Susan
Benito, Richard
Benito, Robert
Benson, James
Bolling, Katherine
Brazeman, Craig
Briley, Donnie
Brown, Reginald
Bunch, Kim
Byers, Edmond
Carithers, Gregory
Carney, Adrian
Chastain, Michael
Clark, Ezell
Clemmons, Joyce
Cline, Travis
Cross, Charles
Cunningham, Sally
Daniels, Alysa
Daniels, Sonia
Davenport, Alterick
Davidson, Danny
Day, Duane
DeVaughn, Carl
Dolbier, Jeffrey
Dreaman, Douglas
Duchon, Deborah
Echols, Eric
Echols, Patricia
Edwards, Donnie
Elliott, Maurice
Evans, Alonzo
Faulkner, Dana
Ferrero, Amy
Fisher, Dawn
Fitzgerald, Floretta
Folds, Catherina
Folds, George
Ford, Ronnie
Fox, Juhani
Franklin, Anthony
Freese, Jessica
Fuller, Thomas
Gallo, Elizabeth
Gayle, Earl
George, Randal
Gibbs III, Thomas
Greenway, Kimberly
Grimshaw, Shane
Gruhn, Tammie
Handley, Wiley
Harris, Constance
Harris, Parks
Hassan, Muhsin S.
Heimerich, Richard
Highsmith, Amos
Hightower, Anthonio
Hill, Hollis
Hindsman, Cherrod
Horton, Christopher
Howard, Ricky
Hudson, Hakimah
Hudson, Kyle
Humphrey, Joviera
James, Frank
Johnson, Christina
Johnson, Earl
Jones, Alicia
Kahsou, Haile
Kennedy, Richard
Kidd, Elizabeth
Kim, Leonard
King, Amos
Kotlar, Michael
Lair, Aaron
Lane, Madeline
Lausman, Marsha
Letts, William
Lewis, Kevin
Lobin, Jerome
Lutwack, William
Maggard Jr., Andrew
Maggard III, Andrew
Maggard, J. Daniel
Mallas, Nicholas
McClellan, Rodney
McGahee, Larry
Mitchell, Kevin
Morgan, Todd
Morrison, Zuri
Mott, Cynthia
Murphy Jr., Gregory
Murphy, Herbert
Murrieta, Francisco
Nadler, Jonathan
Nealey, Cedric
Nichols, Jean
Nichols, Lathan
Nolen, Milton
Nowik, Dennis
O'Brien, Christopher
O'Leary, Christine
Owens III, Michael
Perkins, Karen
Poncinie, Richard
Pyle, Robert
Rauser, Jayne
Recinella, Kevin
Reddick, Derek
Reid, Cletis
Rhodes, Kathryn
Rice, Robert
Richardson, Leroy
Rivers, Michael
Robertson, Brad
Robertson, Marlena
Robinson, Jeroy
Ruddock, Leopold
Ruddock, Margaret
Sadler Jr., John
Saxon, Jasmine
Saxon, Rashad
Saxon, Robin
Saxon, Virginia
Sekiecki, Christian
Self, Abagail
Self, Curvin
Sexton, Traici
Shadix, Jimmy
Shepherd, Elizabeth
Sibbald, John
Singleton, Wesley
Smith, Delacie

Smith, Ronald
Smith, Virginia
Smith Jr., Bruce
Snellings, Sharon
Spears, Joye
Stanton, Christopher
Starks, Marc
Steidl, David
Stevenson, Nosiba
Stewart, Ronnie
Stinyard, Kelvin
Stone, Rodney
Swindle, Frank
Tamaroff, Paul
Tassaw, Berhane
Thompson, Vanessa
Thrash, Nancy
Tort, Henry
Trumble, Garfield
Tucker, Paul
Underwood, Robert
Velasquez, Julius
Walker, Reginald
Washington, Sabrina
Webber, Melina
Weeks, Frances
West, Eric
Williams, Lavern
Wingo, Michael
Winkleman, Nan
Wolfe, Lisa
Wright, Christopher
Yeste, John
Zayas, Roberto

be appointed and authorized to serve as a Permanent Process Server in the Fulton County Superior Court, for the Calendar Year 2018, without the necessity of an order for appointment in each individual case.

BY ORDER OF THE Court this 2nd day of January, 2018.

Gail S. Tusan, Chief Judge
Fulton County Superior Court
Atlanta Judicial Circuit

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDMH
Date: 12/26/2018 6:21 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, et al., **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION** **FILE NO. 2018 CV 313418**

AFFIDAVIT OF SERVICE

COMES NOW AMY FERRERO, before the undersigned officer duly authorized to administer oaths and, after being duly sworn on oath deposes and states that she has been permanently appointed by this Court for service of process per Order attached, she is a citizen of the United States, over the age of eighteen (18), and is a party having no interest in the above styled case, states that on December 26, 2018 at 2:22 PM, she served the FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS, by personally serving RICK BARRON, Director of Registration and Election, at his business located at 130 Peachtree Street, SW, Suite 2186, Atlanta, Georgia 30303, with SUMMONS and PETITION TO CONTEST ELECTION RESULT.

I certify under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 26 day of December, 2018

Legal Ease Attorney Services, Inc.
645 Waldo Street
Atlanta, GA 30312
404-849-1240

Sworn to and subscribed before me
this 26th day of December, 2018

Notary Public

My commission expires:

Page(S)
Intentionally
Left Blank

GA005115

JAN 02 2018
DEPUTY CLERK SUPERIOR COURT
FULTON COUNTY, GA

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

Administrative Order No. 2018 EX 000003

ORDER FOR APPOINTMENT FOR PROCESS SERVICE

Having read and considered the petitions and criminal records, and it appearing to the Court that sufficient grounds exist that each petitioner meets the requirements for appointment by the Court, it is hereby

ORDERED and ADJUDGED that the following:

Adams Jr., John
Allen, Lakeita
Anderson, William
Andrews, Gene
Armstrong, Christopher
Bailey, Anna
Baker, Wanda
Banks, Randy
Barney, Steven
Barry, Paul
Basham, James
Bass, Susan
Benito, Richard
Benito, Robert
Benson, James
Bolling, Katherine
Brazeman, Craig
Briley, Donnie
Brown, Reginald
Bunch, Kim
Byers, Edmond
Carithers, Gregory
Carney, Adrian
Chastain, Michael
Clark, Ezell
Clemmons, Joyce
Cline, Travis
Cross, Charles
Cunningham, Sally
Daniels, Alysa
Daniels, Sonia
Davenport, Alterick
Davidson, Danny
Day, Duane
DeVaughn, Carl
Dolbier, Jeffrey
Dreeman, Douglas
Duchon, Deborah
Echols, Eric
Echols, Patricia
Edwards, Donnie
Elliott, Maurice
Evans, Alonzo
Faulkner, Dana
Ferrero, Amy
Fisher, Dawn
Fitzgerald, Floretta
Folds, Catherine
Folds, George
Ford, Ronnie
Fox, Juhani
Franklin, Anthony
Freese, Jessica
Fuller, Thomas
Gallo, Elizabeth
Gayle, Earl
George, Randal
Gibbs III, Thomas
Greenway, Kimberly
Grimshaw, Shane
Gruhn, Temmie
Handley, Wiley
Harris, Constance
Harris, Parks
Hassan, Muhsin S.
Heimerich, Richard
Highsmith, Amos
Hightower, Anthonio
Hill, Hollis
Hindsman, Cherrod
Horton, Christopher
Howard, Ricky
Hudson, Hakimah
Hudson, Kyle
Humphrey, Joviera
James, Frank
Johnson, Christina
Johnson, Earl
Jones, Alicia
Kahsu, Haile
Kennedy, Richard
Kidd, Elizabeth
Kim, Leonard
King, Amos
Kotlar, Michael
Lair, Aaron
Lane, Madeline
Lausman, Marsha
Letts , William
Lewis, Kevin
Lobin, Jerome
Lutwack, William
Maggard Jr., Andrew
Maggard III, Andrew
Maggard, J. Daniel
Mellas, Nicholas
McClellan, Rodney
McGahee, Larry
Mitchell, Kevin
Morgan, Todd
Morrison, Zuri
Mott, Cynthia
Murphy Jr., Gregory
Murphy, Herbert
Murrieta, Francisco
Nadler, Jonathan
Nealey, Cedric
Nichols, Jean
Nichols, Lathan
Nolen, Milton
Nowik, Dennis
O'Brien, Christopher
O'Leary, Christine
Owens III, Michael
Perkins, Karen
Poncinie, Richard
Pyle, Robert
Rauser, Jayne
Recinella, Kevin
Reddick, Derek
Reid, Cletis
Rhodes, Kathryn
Rice, Robert
Richardson, Leroy
Rivers, Michael
Robertson, Brad
Robertson, Marlena
Robinson, Jeroy
Ruddock, Leopold
Ruddock, Margaret
Sadler Jr., John
Saxon, Jasmine
Saxon, Rashad
Saxon, Robin
Saxon, Virginia
Seklecki, Christian
Self, Abagail
Self, Curvin
Sexton, Traici
Shadix, Jimmy
Shepherd, Elizabeth
Sibbald, John
Singleton, Wesley
Smith, Delacie

Smith, Ronald
Smith, Virginia
Smith Jr., Bruce
Snellings, Sharon
Spears, Joye
Stanton, Christopher
Starks, Marc
Steidl, David
Stevenson, Nosiba
Stewart, Ronnie
Stinyard, Kelvin
Stone, Rodney
Swindle, Frank
Tamaroff, Paul
Tassaw, Berhane
Thompson, Vanessa
Thrash, Nancy
Tort, Henry
Trumble, Garfield
Tucker, Paul
Underwood, Robert
Velasquez, Julius
Walker, Reginald
Washington, Sabrina
Webber, Melina
Weaks, Frances
West, Eric
Williams, Lavern
Wingo, Michael
Winkleman, Nan
Wolfe, Lisa
Wright, Christopher
Yeste, John
Zayas, Roberto

be appointed and authorized to serve as a Permanent Process Server in the Fulton County Superior Court, for the Calendar Year 2018, without the necessity of an order for appointment in each individual case.

BY ORDER OF THE Court this 2nd day of January, 2018.

Gail S. Tusan, Chief Judge
Fulton County Superior Court
Atlanta Judicial Circuit

EXHIBIT C

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
ATLANTA DIVISION

DONNA CURLING, *et al.*,	:	
	:	
Plaintiffs,	:	
	:	
v.	:	**CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:17-CV-2989-AT**
BRIAN KEMP, *et al.*,	:	
	:	
Defendants.	:	

ORDER

I. **Introduction** 2

II. **Background** 4

III. **Threshold Jurisdictional Issues** 16

A. **Standing** 16

B. **Eleventh Amendment Immunity** 29

IV. **Plaintiffs' Motions for Preliminary Injunction** 31

V. **Conclusion** 45

I. Introduction

This case involves colliding election and voting rights dynamics and dilemmas. The State of Georgia Defendants have delayed in grappling with the heightened critical cybersecurity issues of our era posed for the State's dated, vulnerable voting system that provides no independent paper audit trail. The Plaintiffs did not bring their preliminary injunction motions in a sufficient time span to allow for thoughtful, though expedited, remedial relief, despite the important, substantive content of their evidentiary submissions in connection with their preliminary injunction motions. There are no easy answers to the conflicts posed here. In a democracy, citizens want to be assured of the integrity of the voting process, that their ballots are properly counted and not diluted by inaccurate or manipulated counting, and that the privacy of their votes and personal information required for voter registration is maintained. But citizens also depend on the orderly operation of the electoral and voting process. Last-minute, wholesale changes in the voting process operating in over 2,600 precincts, along with scheduled early voting arrangements, could predictably run the voting process and voter participation amuck. Transparency and accountability are, at the very least, essential to addressing the significant issues that underlie this case.

Currently before the Court in this matter are Defendants' motions to dismiss [Docs. 82, 83, 234] and Plaintiffs' more recently filed motions for preliminary injunction [Docs. 258, 260, 271]. Given the time sensitivity of Plaintiffs' motions with respect to the upcoming November 2018 elections, the Court held an extended

full-day hearing on Plaintiffs' motions on September 12, 2018 as well as the threshold jurisdictional issues of standing and Eleventh Amendment immunity raised in Defendants' motions to dismiss.[1] The Court, out of an abundance of caution, addressed the issues of standing and Eleventh Amendment immunity first and determined whether it could properly exercise jurisdiction over this case before considering Plaintiffs' request for emergency injunctive relief. After hearing argument on these issues, the Court announced orally its determination that it could properly exercise jurisdiction for purposes of proceeding with the hearing on Plaintiffs' preliminary injunction motions. The Court further announced that a written order would follow setting forth more specifically the basis for this finding. Accordingly, this Order addresses issues of standing and Eleventh Amendment immunity as well as Plaintiffs' motions for preliminary injunction.

[1] Defendants' motions to dismiss also raise other non-jurisdictional arguments that Plaintiffs have failed to state viable claims for relief and that their claims are barred by res judicata and collateral estoppel. The Court notes that only Fulton County Defendants move to dismiss based on collateral estoppel; the State Defendants do not move on this basis. With respect to res judicata and collateral estoppel in particular, Plaintiffs have effectively argued at this stage that their current claims are not barred. Moreover, even if a few Plaintiffs were deemed estopped, it appears that at least some Plaintiffs would still proceed with their claims. The Court will more fully address these and all other issues raised in Defendants' motions to dismiss in a separate, subsequent order.

II. Background[2]

In their complaints, their motions for preliminary injunction, and their presentations during the September 12th hearing, Plaintiffs[3] paint an unsettling picture of the vulnerabilities of Georgia's voting system along with the recent, increased, and real threats of malicious intrusion and manipulation of the system and voter data by nation states and cyber savvy individuals.

Plaintiffs start by describing Georgia's voting system. The system relies on the use of Direct Recording Electronic voting machines ("DREs") for electors to cast their votes in public elections. This computer voting equipment is used in tandem with the State's Global Election Management Systems ("GEMS") server and County GEMS servers that communicate voting data.[4] DRE touchscreen computer voting machines are located at polling stations in every precinct during elections and are otherwise stored in various county facilities throughout the state. Electors use DRE machines if they are voting early and in-person with absentee ballots or if they are voting in-person on election day. "The voting machines are

[2] This section provides a brief factual summary based on the allegations in the Curling Plaintiffs' Second Amended Complaint (Doc. 70), the Coalition Plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint (Doc. 226), the Curling Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction (Doc. 260), the Coalition Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction (Doc. 258), information presented during the September 12, 2018 hearing, and supplemental affidavits as well as Defendants' responses to Plaintiffs' filings.
[3] There are two sets of Plaintiffs in this case represented by separate counsel. Donna Curling, Donna Price, and Jeffrey Schoenberg are referred to as the "Curling Plaintiffs." The Coalition for Good Governance ("CGG"), Laura Digges, William Digges III, Ricardo Davis, and Megan Missett are referred to as the "Coalition Plaintiffs."
[4] A related software program is used to create the ExpressPoll pollbooks providing confidential voter identification information by precinct. Poll workers access this data by computer to verify voter registration and to create the DRE Voter Access Card that activates the specific electronic ballot on the DRE machine that should be linked to the voter's address.

computers with reprogrammable software." (Halderman Affidavit, Doc. 260-2 ¶ 16.) The DRE machines record votes electronically on a removable memory card, and each card is later fed into the county GEMS server to tabulate the vote totals by candidate and the results of other ballot questions. When the polls have closed, poll workers prompt the DRE machines to internally tally the electronic total number of votes and print a paper tape of the vote totals per machine.

Most significantly, the DREs do not create a paper trail or any other means by which to independently verify or audit the recording of *each* elector's vote. i.e., the actual ballot selections made by the elector for either the elector's review or for audit purposes. Instead, at the hearing, Dr. Alex Halderman, a Professor of Computer Science and Engineering and Director of the Center for Computer Security and Society at the University of Michigan in Ann Arbor, discussed and demonstrated how a malware virus can be introduced into the DRE machine by insertion of an infected memory card (or by other sources) and alter the votes cast without detection.[5] Dr. Halderman gave a live demonstration in Court with a Diebold DRE using the same type of equipment and software as that used in Georgia. The demonstration showed that although the same total number of votes were cast, the contaminated memory card's malware changed the actual votes cast between candidates. There was no means of detection of this as the "malware modified all of the vote records, audit logs, and protective counters stored by the

[5] Dr. Halderman's affidavit provides additional detail and context related to his testimony. (Doc. 260-2.)

machine, so that even careful forensic examination of the files would find nothing amiss." (Halderman Affidavit, Doc. 260-2 ¶ 19.) The DRE machine's paper tape simply confirmed the same total number of votes, including the results of the manipulated or altered votes. Viruses and malware have also been developed by cyber specialists that can spread the "vote stealing malware automatically and silently from machine to machine during normal pre- and post-election activities," as the cards are used to interface with the County and State GEMS servers. (*Id.* ¶ 20.)

Other cybersecurity elections experts have shared in Professor Halderman's observations of the data manipulation and detection concealment capacity of such malware or viruses, as well as the ability to access the voting system via a variety of entry points. Plaintiffs filed affidavits in the record for several of these experts.[6] Professor Wenke Lee (Professor of Computer Science at Georgia Tech and a member of a new study commission convened by the Secretary of State) also prepared a PowerPoint presentation summary on the topic for the Commission that identified this malware detection and manipulation capacity. (Pl. Ex 5, Preliminary Injunction Hearing.) Professor Halderman's analysis of the severe limitations of the "logic and accuracy" and "parallel testing" auditing processes used by Georgia to test ballot counting are summarized in his affidavit and will be

[6] *See* DeMillo Affidavit, Doc. 277, Ex. C; Buell Affidavit, Doc. 260-3; Stark Affidavit, Doc. 296-1; Bernhard Affidavit, Doc. 258-1 at 33-42. Professor DeMillo, who also testified at the hearing, is the Chair of Computer Science at Georgia Tech and has served as Dean of the College of Computing at Georgia Tech and as Director of the Georgia Tech Center for Information Security.

discussed later. (Doc. 260-2 at ¶¶ 37-48.) Suffice it to say, at this juncture, that national- and state-commissioned research-based studies by cybersecurity computer scientists and elections experts consistently indicate that an independent record of an elector's physical ballot is essential as a reliable audit confirmation tool.

The DREs record individual ballot data in the order in which they are cast, and they assign a unique serial number and timestamp to each ballot. This design for recording ballots, according to Plaintiffs, makes it possible to match the ballots to the electors who cast them. Additionally, the Georgia DREs use versions of Windows and BallotStation (developed in 2005) software, both of which are out of date – to the point that the makers of the software no longer support these versions or provide security patches for them. (Halderman Affidavit, Doc. 260-2 ¶¶ 24-28.) The DRE machines and related election software are all the product of Premier Election Solutions, formerly known as Diebold Election Systems. A large volume of the voting machines were purchased when the DRE initiative was first implemented in the 2002 to 2004 period in Georgia.

Statewide, Georgia uses its central GEMS server at the Secretary of State's offices to build the ballots for each election for each county.[7] The central GEMS server communicates the election programming and other files onto the memory cards before an election. From 2002 to December 2017, the Secretary of State

[7] The ballot software also programs the location of candidates and other ballot options on the touchscreens of the DRE machines. Ballot adaptations, thus, are created for 159 counties and their over 2,600 precincts.

contracted with Kennesaw State University to maintain the central server for the State at a unit in the University called the Center for Election Services ("CES"). Plaintiffs allege that the central server was accessible via the internet for a time – at least between August 2016 and March 2017.

In August 2016, Logan Lamb, a professional cybersecurity expert in Georgia, went to CES's public website and discovered that he was able to access key election system files, including multiple gigabytes of data and thousands of files with private elector information. The information included electors' driver's license numbers, birth dates, full home addresses, the last four digits of their Social Security numbers, and more. Mr. Lamb was also able to access, for at least 15 counties, the election management databases from the GEMS central tabulator used to create ballot definitions, program memory cards, and tally and store and report all votes. He also was able to access passwords for polling place supervisors to operate the DREs and make administrative corrections to the DREs. Immediately, Mr. Lamb alerted Merle King, the Executive Director overseeing CES, of the system's vulnerabilities. The State did not take any remedial action after Mr. King was alerted.

In February 2017, a cybersecurity colleague of Mr. Lamb's, Chris Grayson, was able to repeat what Mr. Lamb had done earlier and access key election information. Mr. Lamb also found, around this time, that he could still access and download the information as he had before. On March 1, 2017, Mr. Grayson notified a colleague at Kennesaw State University about the system's

vulnerabilities, and this led to notification of Mr. King again. Days later, the FBI was alerted and took possession of the CES server.

The Secretary of State has since shut down the CES and moved the central server internally within the Secretary's office. But on July 7, 2017, four days after this lawsuit was originally filed in Fulton Superior Court, all data on the hard drives of the University's "elections.kennesaw.edu" server was destroyed. And on August 9, 2017, less than a day after this action was removed to this Court, all data on the hard drives of a secondary server – which contained similar information to the "elections.kennesaw.edu" server – was also destroyed. As discussed more fully later in this Order, the State offered little more than a one-sentence response to these data system incursions and vulnerabilities at CES.

The Premier/Diebold voting machine models at issue have been the subject of comprehensive critical review both by university computer engineer security experts independently as well as under the auspices of the States of Maryland, California, and Ohio. These studies identified serious security vulnerabilities in the software and resulted in the three states' adoption of different voting systems. (Halderman Affidavit, Doc. 260-2 ¶¶ 17-23; *see also* Atkeson Affidavit, Doc. 276-1 ¶¶ 8-9 (also discussing the states of New Mexico and Virginia transitioning away from DREs after identifying several issues with the machines).)[8]

[8] By contrast, the Secretary of State certified in April 2018 the accuracy and safety of the Georgia DRE system. This certification was based on a pre-announced examination of voting facilities and the conducting of a tiny mock election in several Georgia counties on November 27-29, 2017 by a combination of staff from the Secretary of State's Office and the Center for Election Services at Kennesaw State University. (Def. Ex. 2 from Preliminary Injunction Hearing.) No

Plaintiffs point to several national authorities which, in the last year, have raised the alarm about U.S. election security – and particularly about the use of DREs in elections. For instance, in March 2018, the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Homeland Security (DHS) declared DRE voting systems to be a "national security concern," (Coalition Complaint, Doc. 258-1 at 10 n. 3) – approximately 14 months after the Department declared election systems to be "critical infrastructure" pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 5195c.[9] (U.S. Department of Homeland Security, "DHS Cybersecurity Services Catalog for Election Infrastructure," at 3.)[10] In May 2018, the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence concluded that DREs are "at highest risk of security flaws" and that states "should rapidly replace outdated and vulnerable voting systems" with systems that have a verified paper trail. (*Id.* at 11 n. 5.) And on September 6, 2018, after it was commissioned to consider the future of voting in the United States, the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine[11] and associated National

---

cybersecurity experts or computer engineering scientists are listed as participants in this review. There is no indication in the description of the examination that any effort was made to go beyond a simple running of the equipment and observation of election procedures to reach this determination. In other words, there is no indication that any effort was made to evaluate the trove of software and data security and accuracy issues identified in studies performed on behalf of other states or by cybersecurity and computer engineers in the field.

[9] Critical infrastructure is defined as "systems and assets, whether physical or virtual, so vital to the United States that the incapacity or destruction of such systems and assets would have a debilitating impact on security, national economic security, national public health or safety, or any combination of those matters." Section 5195c(b) of the Critical Infrastructures Protection Act makes clear that information systems and their interdependence constitute a central concern of Congress.

[10] *See* https://www.eac.gov/assets/1/6/DHS_Cybersecurity_Services_Catalog_for_Election_Infrastructure.pdf.

[11] Congress established the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine in 1863 as an independent body, which has the obligation to provide scientific and technical advice to any department of the Government upon request and without compensation. *See*

Research Council ("NAS") issued a consensus report about the need to secure and improve state and local election systems.[12] The report, titled "Securing the Vote: Protecting American Democracy," made a number of recommendations, including that "[e]very effort should be made to use human-readable paper ballots in the 2018 federal election." (Doc. 285-1 at 35.) The report further recommended that voting machines that do not produce paper audit trails for each elector's vote "should be removed from service as soon as possible" and that each state "should require a comprehensive system of post-election audits of processes and outcomes." (*Id.* at 35-36.)

Similarly, the Board of Advisors of the U.S. Elections Assistance Commission (EAC) passed a resolution in 2018 recommending that the EAC "not certify any system that does not use voter-verifiable paper as the official record of voter intent."[13]

Dr. Wenke Lee, Professor of Computer Science at Georgia Tech University and Co-Executive Director of the Institute for Information Security – the sole computer scientist appointed to the Secretary of State's new Secure Accessible Fair Elections ("SAFE") Commission – has echoed these same paper ballot and audit

---

http://www.nasonline.org/about-nas/leadership/governing-documents/act-of-incorporation.html.

[12] As noted by Professor Richard A. DeMillo in his supplemental affidavit, "a consensus report of the NAS . . . represents the highest authority that the U.S. Government can rely upon when it seeks to be advised on matters of science, technology and engineering." (Doc. 285-1 ¶ 9.)

[13] *See* discussion of EAC action and audit outcome issues in the affidavit of Philip B. Stark, Professor of Statistics and Associate Dean of Mathematical and Physical Sciences and faculty member in Graduate Program in Computational Data Science and Engineering at University of California, Berkeley. (Doc. 296 ¶¶ 20-25.)

verification recommendations in his August 30, 2018 presentation on cybertechnology to the Commission. He has also stressed the essential need for installation on an ongoing basis of new hardware and software components designed to provide security protection to ensure voting system security. (Pl. Ex. 5, Preliminary Injunction Hearing.)

In the midst of the events involving the breach of the CES at Kennesaw State University, Plaintiffs filed the current case against Defendants[14] in August 2017. Plaintiffs essentially claim that the DRE voting system in Georgia is unsecure, is unverifiable, and compromises the privacy and accuracy of their votes, and therefore they claim that Defendants' continued use of the DRE system violates their constitutional rights. A brief overview of the particular claims brought by each set of Plaintiffs is instructive here.

The Coalition Plaintiffs bring two federal claims in their Third Amended Complaint:

(1) a 42 U.S.C. § 1983 claim for violation of the Fourteenth Amendment's guarantee of due process, based on the substantial burden placed on their fundamental right to vote; and

[14] Defendants are largely classified in two groups: (1) the "State Defendants," which include Brian Kemp in his official capacity, the State Election Board, and members of the State Election Board (David J. Worley, Rebecca N. Sullivan, Ralph F. Simpson, and Seth Harp) in their official capacities; and (2) the "Fulton County Defendants," which include Richard Barron in his official capacity, the Fulton County Board of Registration and Elections, and members of the Fulton County Board of Registration and Elections (Mary Carole Cooney, Vernetta Nuriddin, David J. Burge, and Aaron Johnson) in their official capacities.

(2) a 42 U.S.C. § 1983 claim for violation of the Fourteenth Amendment's guarantee of equal protection, based on the more severe burdens placed on the Plaintiffs' right to vote, right to freedom of speech and association, and the Georgia constitutional right to a secret ballot[15] as a result of Plaintiffs choosing to vote by DRE relative to other similarly situated electors choosing to vote another way.

For each of these claims, the Coalition Plaintiffs seek declaratory and injunctive relief against Brian P. Kemp in his official capacity as the Secretary of State of Georgia and as Chairperson of the State Election Board; the members of the State Election Board (David J. Worley, Rebecca N. Sullivan, Ralph F. "Rusty" Simpson, and Seth Harp) in their official capacities; and the members of the Fulton County Board of Registration and Elections (Mary Carole Cooney, Vernetta Nuriddin, David J. Burge, Stan Matarazzo, and Aaron Johnson) in their official capacities. The Coalition Plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint seeks a range of relief that is broader than their Motion for Preliminary Injunction now before the Court. Specifically, the Coalition Plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint seeks the following:

- A court order declaring it unconstitutional to conduct public elections using any DRE model,

[15] The Coalition Plaintiffs clarify, in their Response to the State Defendants' Motion to Dismiss, that they are not bringing a state-law claim for violation of the Georgia Constitution. They are instead bringing a federal § 1983 claim based on unequal enforcement of state law.

- An injunction enjoining Defendants from enforcing O.C.G.A. § 21-2-383(b)[16] and Georgia State Election Board Rule 183–1–12–.01[17] and from requiring voters to cast votes using DREs,
- An injunction prohibiting Defendants from conducting public elections with optical scanned paper ballots without also requiring post-election audits of paper ballots to verify the results, and
- An injunction prohibiting Defendants from conducting public elections without also requiring subordinate election officials to allow meaningful public observation of all stages of election processing.

The Curling Plaintiffs bring essentially the same two constitutional claims as those brought by the Coalition Plaintiffs. As a slight variation, the Curling Plaintiffs bring their constitutional claims against the Defendants listed above as well as one additional defendant: Richard Barron in his official capacity as the Director of the Fulton County Board of Registration and Elections. The Curling

[16] "Notwithstanding any other provision of this Code section, in jurisdictions in which direct recording electronic (DRE) voting systems are used at the polling places on election day, such direct recording electronic (DRE) voting systems shall be used for casting absentee ballots in person at a registrar's or absentee ballot clerk's office or in accordance with Code Section 21-2-382, providing for additional sites." O.C.G.A. § 21-2-383(b).

[17] "Beginning with the November 2002 General Election, all federal, state, and county general primaries and elections, special primaries and elections, and referendums in the State of Georgia shall be conducted at the polls through the use of direct recording electronic (DRE) voting units supplied by the Secretary of State or purchased by the counties with the authorization of the Secretary of State. In addition, absentee balloting shall be conducted through the use of optical scan ballots which shall be tabulated on optical scan vote tabulation systems furnished by the Secretary of State or purchased by the counties with the authorization of the Secretary of State; provided, however, that the use of direct recording electronic (DRE) voting units is authorized by the Secretary of State for persons desiring to vote by absentee ballot in person." Ga. Comp. R. & Regs. r. 183–1–12–.01.

Plaintiffs also seek somewhat varied relief for these claims in their Second Amended Complaint:

- A court order declaring that Defendants violated the Fourteenth Amendment, and
- An injunction prohibiting Defendants from using DREs or other voting equipment that fails to satisfy state requirements.[18]

As stated above, both sets of Plaintiffs seek more limited and immediate relief in their motions for preliminary injunction. The Curling Plaintiffs ask the Court to order the following relief prior to the November 2018 general election: (1) enjoin the State Defendants to direct all counties that the use of DREs in the November 2018 election (and the December 2018 runoff election) is prohibited, with the exception for electors with disabilities; (2) enjoin the State Defendants to direct all counties to conduct elections using paper ballots; and (3) require the State Defendants to promulgate rules requiring and specifying appropriate procedures for conducting manual audits of election results. Similarly, the Coalition Plaintiffs ask the Court to: (1) prohibit Defendants from conducting the November 2018 election (and the December 2018 runoff election) with DRE

[18] Additionally, the Curling Plaintiffs bring a state-law claim under O.C.G.A. § 9-6-20 for a writ of mandamus ordering Defendants to discontinue the use of DRE machines and to either use (a) an optical scanning voting system or (b) hand-counted paper ballots. The Curling Plaintiffs seek a writ of mandamus against the following Defendants: the members of the State Election Board in their official capacities; the State Election Board; Richard Barron in his official capacity as the Director of the Fulton County Board of Registration and Elections; the members of the Fulton County Board of Registration and Elections in their official capacities; and the Fulton County Board of Registration and Elections.

machines for in-person voting; (2) order Defendants to conduct such elections using paper ballots, as permitted by Georgia law, with certain exceptions made for persons with disabilities; (3) order the State Election Board Members to promulgate rules requiring and specifying appropriate procedures for conducting manual audits of election results; and (4) order the Secretary of State, before October 1, 2018, to audit and correct any identified errors in the DRE system's electronic pollbook data that will be used in both such elections.

The Court now turns to the jurisdictional issues raised in Defendants' motion to dismiss before addressing the Plaintiffs' motions for preliminary injunction.

III. Threshold Jurisdictional Issues

A. Standing

The State Defendants argue that both the Curling Plaintiffs and the Coalition Plaintiffs lack standing to bring their claims in federal court. According to the State Defendants, Plaintiffs fail to establish each of the three elements required for standing. The Fulton County Defendants' Motion to Dismiss incorporates by reference the State Defendants' arguments on standing. (*See* Fulton Mot. to Dismiss, Doc. 82-1 at 5.)

The Supreme Court has set forth the standard for determining whether a party has standing:

> It is by now well settled that the irreducible constitutional minimum of standing contains three elements. First, the plaintiff must have suffered an injury in fact—an invasion of a legally protected interest

> that is (a) concrete and particularized, and (b) actual or imminent, not conjectural or hypothetical. Second, there must be a causal connection between the injury and the conduct complained of. . . . Third, it must be likely, as opposed to merely speculative, that the injury will be redressed by a favorable decision.

United States v. Hays, 515 U.S. 737, 742–43 (1995) (quoting *Lujan v. Defenders of Wildlife*, 504 U.S. 555, 560–561 (1992)) (internal quotation marks omitted). "[A] plaintiff must demonstrate standing for each claim he seeks to press and for each form of relief that is sought." *Town of Chester, N.Y. v. Laroe Estates, Inc.*, 137 S. Ct. 1645, 1650 (2017) (quoting *Davis v. Federal Election Comm'n*, 554 U.S. 724, 734 (2008)).

As to the first element, Defendants contend that Plaintiffs have not sufficiently alleged a concrete "injury in fact." Defendants argue that Plaintiffs' allegations that the DRE voting machines are vulnerable to hacking and are "presumed to be compromised" convey only a speculative, generalized fear, thus falling short of establishing a concrete injury.

These arguments are unavailing. For one, Plaintiffs have alleged that the DRE voting system was *actually* accessed or hacked multiple times already – albeit by cybersecurity experts who reported the system's vulnerabilities to state authorities, as opposed to someone with nefarious purposes. (Curling Complaint, Doc. 70 ¶¶ 42-43, 45-49; Coalition Complaint, Doc. 226 ¶¶ 95-106.) Contrary to Defendants' characterizations, Plaintiffs' allegations are not premised on a

theoretical notion or "unfounded fear"[19] of the hypothetical "possibility" that Georgia's voting system might be hacked or improperly accessed and used. Plaintiffs allege that harm has in fact occurred, specifically to their fundamental right to participate in an election process that accurately and reliably records their votes and protects the privacy of their votes and personal information. (Curling Complaint, Doc. 70 ¶ 14 ("Curling also chose to exercise her right to cast her vote using a verifiable paper ballot in the Runoff, so as to ensure that her vote would be permanently recorded on an independent record. To do so, Curling persisted through considerable inconvenience – only to be incorrectly told by Defendants Kemp and the Fulton County Board of Registration and Elections that she had not, in fact, cast a ballot, creating irreparable harm that her ballot was not counted."); ¶ 16 (Donna Price "cast her vote on a DRE in the 2016 General Election," and "[w]ithout the intervention of this Court, Price will be compelled to choose between relinquishing her right to vote and acquiescing to cast her vote under a system that violates her right to vote in absolute secrecy and have her vote accurately counted"); ¶ 38 ("DREs produce neither a paper trail nor any other means by which the records of votes cast can be audited."); ¶¶ 42-43 ("Lamb was able to access key components of Georgia's electronic election infrastructure . . . . In accessing these election system files, Lamb found a startling amount of private information," including driver's license numbers, birth dates, and the last four digits of Social

[19] *See* State Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Coalition Plaintiffs' Third Amended Complaint, Doc. 234-1 at 1.

Security numbers); Coalition Complaint, Doc. 226 ¶ 152 (member of CGG, Brian Blosser, "was prohibited from voting on April 18, 2017 . . . when his name did not appear on the eligible voter rolls" for the Sixth Congressional District and "was instead erroneously listed" as a resident of another district, an error that Fulton County Board members blamed on a "software glitch"); ¶ 154 (members of CGG, Mr. and Ms. Digges, previously in 2017 "chose to vote by mail-in paper absentee ballot because they were aware that an electronic ballot cast using an AccuVote DRE was insecure," and they "were required to undergo the inconvenience of requesting paper ballot[s] and the cost of postage to mail their ballots" "well before Election Day"); ¶ 72 ("Georgia's AccuVote DREs do not record a paper or other independent verifiable record of the voter's selections."); ¶ 92 ("[D]esign flaws render the electronic ballots cast on AccuVote DREs capable of being matched to voter records maintained by pollworkers and pollwatchers," and thereby expose citizens' candidate selections to poll workers); ¶ 97 ("Lamb freely accessed files hosted on the 'elections.kennesaw.edu' server, including the voter histories and personal information of all Georgia voters . . . . Lamb noted that the files had been publicly exposed for so long that Google had cached (i.e., saved digital backup copies of) and published the pages containing many of them."); ¶ 138 ("Fulton Board Members have adopted voting procedures under which individual electronic ballots bearing a unique identifier are transmitted from Fulton County's AccuVote DREs located in satellite voting centers to Fulton County's central GEMS tabulation server in clear text (i.e., unencrypted) over an ordinary, unsecured

telephone line on Election Night. This practice violates fundamental security principles because it subjects the transmitted votes to manipulation (such as man-in-the-middle interception and substitution of votes) and exposes the votes with their unique identifier to third-party interception, violating voters' rights of secrecy in voting.").)

Plaintiffs also allege the threat of future harm. For instance, in upcoming elections, Plaintiffs allege that Defendants are requiring them to vote early, mail a paper absentee ballot, and pay for postage to avoid having to use unsecure DRE machines, thereby subjecting them to unequal treatment. Furthermore, Plaintiffs plausibly allege a threat of a future hacking event that would jeopardize their votes and the voting system at large. Despite being aware of election system and data cybersecurity threats and vulnerabilities identified by national authorities and the DRE system's vulnerability to hacking as early as August 2016 – when Logan Lamb, the computer scientist, first alerted the State's Executive Director of the CES of his ability to access the system – Defendants allegedly have not taken steps to secure the DRE system from such attacks. (Curling Complaint, Doc. 70 ¶ 46 ("[N]ot only did Georgia fail to take remedial action when alerted to the problem Lamb raised, it failed to act even in the face of the detailed information on the cybersecurity threats facing the nation's election systems, and the recommended specific steps to reduce the risk, which were disseminated by the FBI, the DHS and

the EAC[20].”); Coalition Complaint, Doc. 226 ¶ 112 (“[N]o efforts have been made to remediate the compromised software programs and machines or to identify and remove any malware that was likely introduced during the lengthy security breaches referred to herein on the ‘elections.kennesaw.edu’ server that hosted the election-specific software applications and data that are re-installed on every piece of voting and tabulation equipment used to conduct Georgia’s elections in advance of each election conducted using Georgia’s Voting System.”).)

Importantly, courts have recognized these sorts of alleged harms as concrete injuries, sufficient to confer standing. In particular, courts have found that plaintiffs have standing to bring Due Process and Equal Protection claims where they alleged that their votes would likely be improperly counted based on the use of certain voting technology. *See, e.g.*, *Stewart v. Blackwell*, 444 F.3d 843, 855 (6th Cir. 2006) (“The increased probability that their votes will be improperly counted based on punch-card and central-count optical scan technology is neither speculative nor remote.”), *vacated* (July 21, 2006), *superseded*, 473 F.3d 692 (6th Cir. 2007) (vacated and superseded on the grounds that the case was rendered moot by the county’s subsequent abandonment of the DRE machines at issue); *Banfield v. Cortes*, 922 A.2d 36, 44 (Pa. Commw. Ct. 2007) (finding that the plaintiffs had sufficiently alleged standing under similar Pennsylvania law, based on “the fact that Electors have no way of knowing whether the votes they cast on a

[20] DHS is the acronym for the U.S. Department of Homeland Security, and EAC is the acronym for the U.S. Election Assistance Commission.

DRE have been recorded and will be counted," which "gives Electors a direct and immediate interest in the outcome of this litigation"); *c.f. Stein v. Cortes*, 223 F. Supp. 3d 423, 432-33 (E.D. Pa. 2016) (where plaintiffs sought a vote recount, post-election, based on the use of unsecure DREs, finding no standing based on the plaintiffs' "less than clear" allegations that the DRE machines are "hackable"; that the Pennsylvania Election Code's recount provisions are "labyrinthine, incomprehensible, and impossibly burdensome"; and that the past vote count was inaccurate – which plaintiffs merely posed as a "seemingly rhetorical question").

Turning to causal connection, the second element of standing, Defendants raise different arguments in response to the Curling Plaintiffs' claims versus the Coalition Plaintiffs' claims. For the Curling Plaintiffs, Defendants argue that any injury would be traced to illegal hacking into the DREs, not the use of the DREs themselves. Here, as discussed above, the Curling Plaintiffs have alleged that Defendants were aware of serious security breaches in the DRE voting system and failed to take adequate steps to address those breaches. Notably, even after Mr. Lamb first alerted the State about his access of the voting system, he and another cybersecurity expert were able to access the system *again* about six months later. (Curling Complaint, Doc. 70 ¶ 47.) Plaintiffs allege that Defendants have continued to fail to take action to remedy the DRE system's vulnerabilities. (*Id.* ¶¶ 46, 61, 62, 72.) And they allege that this failure, in turn, impacts the integrity of the voting system and their ability as citizens to rely upon it when casting votes in this system. (*Id.*) At the motion to dismiss stage, these allegations plausibly show

causal connection, even if indirectly, between Defendants' continued use of unsecure DREs and the injury to Plaintiffs' constitutional rights. *Focus on the Family v. Pinellas Suncoast Transit Auth.*, 344 F.3d 1263, 1273 (11th Cir. 2003) ("[E]ven harms that flow indirectly from the action in question can be said to be 'fairly traceable' to that action . . . .").

For the Coalition Plaintiffs, Defendants make the same argument above regarding causation (which fails) and another slightly different argument. Defendants take issue with the Coalition Plaintiffs' request for relief enjoining the State Defendants from enforcing O.C.G.A. § 21-2-383(b) and State Election Board Rule 183–1–12–.01. Defendants assert that the State Defendants (the Secretary and the State Election Board) do not mandate the use of DREs; rather, state law requires the use of DREs. Defendants maintain that the State Defendants are merely implementing the governing state law, which they are bound to do, and therefore Plaintiffs miss the mark by seeking to enjoin the State Defendants' actions instead of challenging the state law itself. In this way, Defendants argue that Plaintiffs have not linked their injury to any action by the State Defendants.

But O.C.G.A. § 21-2-383(b) does not *require* the use of DREs as Defendants claim it does. The statute requires absentee electors who vote in-person in the advance voting period to vote by DRE, but only "in jurisdictions in which direct recording electronic (DRE) voting systems are used at the polling places on election day." The statute simply specifies the use of DREs under certain circumstances. Rather, it is the State Election Board that issued a rule requiring

the use of DREs in "all federal, state, and county general primaries and elections, special primaries and elections, and referendums," and requiring the use of DREs by "persons desiring to vote by absentee ballot in person." Ga. Comp. R. & Regs. r. 183–1–12–.01. When read together, the state statute and the State Election Board rule indicate that the State Defendants have chosen to enforce state law so as to generally require the use of DREs in elections statewide.

Even apart from the statutory language, the Coalition Plaintiffs have plausibly alleged that the State Defendants play a critical role in directing, implementing, programming, and supporting the DRE system throughout the state. The Coalition Plaintiffs allege that the Secretary provided the counties with the DRE machines and the software on which they operate. (Coalition Complaint, Doc. 226 ¶ 59.) Additionally, from 2002 to December 2017, the Secretary allegedly contracted with Kennesaw State University to create the Center for Election Services ("CES") "to assist the Secretary in the fulfillment of his statutory duties to manage Georgia's election system." (*Id.* ¶ 93.) The CES maintained a central computer server containing sensitive voting-related information such as software applications, voter registration information, ballot building files, and "other sensitive information critical to the safe and secure operation of Georgia's Voting System." (*Id.* ¶¶ 94, 119.) These factual allegations, when considered with the Third Amended Complaint as a whole, show that the Coalition Plaintiffs have alleged enough of a causal link between the State Defendants' conduct and their injury for standing purposes.

Finally, on the third element of redressability, Defendants raise some of the same arguments as they do for the second element. Defendants argue that an injunction prohibiting the State Defendants from using DREs would not actually stop the deployment of DREs. Defendants maintain that county officials not included in this suit would continue to use DREs, as the State is not the entity that enforces the law requiring DREs. Defendants also argue that a different balloting system would not eliminate potential third-party interference, as no election system is flawless.

As stated above, the Court finds that the Coalition Plaintiffs have sufficiently alleged that the State Defendants play a significant role in the continued use and security of DREs, and therefore the requested injunction would help redress some of the Coalition Plaintiffs' injury. The Secretary of State both has the authority and obligation to investigate complaints regarding the accuracy and safety of the DRE voting system and to take appropriate corrective action in connection with the continued use of the DRE system. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-379.2. The Third Amended Complaint describes how the Secretary of State could play a critical role in conducting an in-depth investigation and formulating a remedy. As alleged in the Complaint, the State of California commissioned a study in 2007 to examine the security of its own Diebold AccuVote DRE system, the same type of system used in Georgia. Upon the study's findings that the DRE system was inadequate, had serious design flaws, and was susceptible to hacking, California's Secretary of State then decertified its DRE system in 2009. (Coalition Complaint, Doc. 226 ¶¶ 81,

84, 86.) The Complaint similarly alleges that the Secretary of State for Ohio commissioned an independent expert study of a newer version of the DREs than those used in Georgia and reached similar conclusions as to the lack of trustworthy design and vulnerability to attack of the election system. (*Id.* ¶¶ 81, 83, 85.)

The State Defendants here are similarly in a position to redress the Plaintiffs' alleged injury. Thus, if the Court were to grant at least part of the requested injunctive relief as to the suspended use of the DRE voting system, any injunction would likely enjoin both the State Defendants as well as the Fulton County Defendants (as there is no argument that the County would not be enjoined). Furthermore, as to Defendants' argument that no election system is flawless, the Coalition Plaintiffs rightly point out that this is not the standard for redressability. Plaintiffs are seeking relief to address a particular voting system which, as currently implemented, is allegedly recognized on a national level to be unsecure and susceptible to manipulation by advanced persistent threats through nation state or non-state actors. Plaintiffs are not asking for a system impervious to all flaws or glitches.

Defendants assert three additional arguments related to standing: that the Coalition Plaintiffs cannot manufacture standing by inflicting harm on themselves, that the individual Plaintiff Coalition for Good Governance ("CGG") lacks organizational and associational standing, and that Plaintiffs must reside in the jurisdiction for which they seek to enjoin DRE use.

The first of these arguments fails because the cases relied on by Defendants are distinguishable. Here, the Coalition Plaintiffs are suffering injury from the voluntary exercise of their *fundamental right to vote*, not from just any sort of activity that they decide to engage in. In *Clapper v. Amnesty International USA*, 568 U.S. 398 (2013), the plaintiffs voluntarily spent money to take certain protective measures, based on a hypothetical fear of being subject to surveillance. And in *Lujan v. Defenders of Wildlife*, 504 U.S. 555 (1992), the plaintiffs expressed an intent to voluntarily return to certain places they had visited before, which would deprive them of the opportunity to observe animals of an endangered species. These activities do not invoke the protection associated with exercising fundamental rights, such as the right to vote. The Coalition Plaintiffs aptly point out that Defendants' logic would bar many voting rights cases, based on individuals choosing to vote by one method or another, which certainly is not how courts have assessed standing in this context.

Defendants' challenge of CGG's standing similarly fails. "An organization has standing to enforce the rights of its members when its members would otherwise have standing to sue in their own right, the interests at stake are germane to the organization's purpose, and neither the claim asserted nor the relief requested requires the participation of individual members in the lawsuit." *Fla. State Conference of N.A.A.C.P. v. Browning*, 522 F.3d 1153, 1160 (11th Cir. 2008) (internal quotation marks omitted). The State Defendants argue that the Coalition Plaintiffs merely "presume" harm to their own members, without sufficiently

alleging harm to one or more of their members. (State Mot. to Dismiss, Doc. 234-1 at 31.) They also argue that CGG cannot assert that individuals are "members" merely because they are listed on CGG's mailing list. (*Id.*) The Coalition Plaintiffs, however, have alleged that the individual Plaintiffs – Laura Digges, William Digges III, Ricardo Davis, and Megan Missett – are all members of CGG. Contrary to Defendants' assertion, these particular Plaintiffs do not allege that they are members of CGG solely because they are on the mailing list. Furthermore, at least one of these Plaintiffs also alleges harm to his or her individual rights under the federal Constitution, as discussed above, so that they could presumably sue Defendants in their own right. (Coalition Complaint, Doc. 226 ¶¶ 24-27.) The Court finds these allegations sufficient to confer associational standing to CGG.[21]

As for Defendants' argument that Plaintiffs must reside in the county where they seek injunctive relief, this argument misses the mark. The Court need only find that one plaintiff (from each of the two sets of Plaintiffs) has sufficiently alleged standing, and that is the case here. *Am. Civil Liberties Union of Fla., Inc. v. Miami-Dade Cty. Sch. Bd.*, 557 F.3d 1177, 1195 (11th Cir. 2009) ("Because Balzli has standing to raise those claims, we need not decide whether either of the organizational plaintiffs also has standing to do so."). Specifically, the Curling Plaintiffs allege that Donna Curling is a resident of Fulton County and intends to vote in the upcoming elections in Fulton County. (Curling Complaint, Doc. 70 ¶

[21] The Court need not reach Defendants' challenge to CGG's *organizational* standing, as the Court has already found the Coalition Plaintiffs sufficiently alleged *associational* standing for CGG. *See Common Cause/Georgia v. Billups*, 554 F.3d 1340, 1351 (11th Cir. 2009).

13.) The Coalition Plaintiffs likewise allege that Megan Missett is a resident of Fulton County who intends to vote in the County's upcoming elections. (Coalition Complaint, Doc. 226 ¶ 27.) Based on the current allegations, both Ms. Curling and Ms. Missett have established the required elements of injury in fact, causation, and redressability as discussed above.

In sum, the Court finds that both sets of Plaintiffs have sufficiently alleged standing to bring their claims at this juncture.

B. Eleventh Amendment Immunity

Defendants argue that Plaintiffs' two federal claims are barred by the Eleventh Amendment. Defendants acknowledge the *Ex Parte Young*[22] exception to Eleventh Amendment immunity, which allows claims against state officers in their official capacities for prospective injunctive relief. But Defendants assert that the exception does not apply here for the following reasons: Plaintiffs seek to enjoin the enforcement of a state law that they do not challenge as unconstitutional; Plaintiffs have not alleged an ongoing or continuous violation of federal law; Plaintiffs seek to remedy past, not prospective, conduct; and Plaintiffs' requested relief implicates special state sovereignty interests.

Defendants' arguments are meritless. First, Plaintiffs rightly point out that the *Ex Parte Young* exception applies to as-applied challenges to state statutes, not just facial challenges as Defendants imply. Here, Plaintiffs specifically challenge the application of Georgia's law by Defendants to require in-person voting by DRE.

[22] 209 U.S. 123 (1908).

Second, Plaintiffs clearly allege an ongoing and continuous violation of federal law. The injunctive relief they request is designed to prevent injury by enjoining the use of DREs in upcoming elections and other future elections. Third, and likewise, Plaintiffs seek to remedy prospective harm.[23] Fourth, the requested relief does not implicate special state sovereignty interests by essentially usurping the State's role in regulating elections. Plaintiffs are not asking the Court to direct how the State counts ballots. They are asking the Court to bar the use of DREs based on the specific circumstances, history, and data security issues presented in this case and where the State has alternative options of using optical scanners and hand counting ballots. And they seek to require the State to implement a fully auditable ballot system designed to ensure the accuracy and reliability of the voting process in this challenging era when data system vulnerabilities pose a serious risk of opening election data, processes, and results to cyber manipulation and attack.

Thus, pursuant to *Ex Parte Young*, the Court finds that the Eleventh Amendment does not bar Plaintiffs' federal claims.[24]

For the reasons stated above, the Court finds that it has jurisdiction at this juncture for purposes of considering Plaintiffs' motions for preliminary injunction.

---

[23] Defendants' arguments opposing Plaintiffs' motions for preliminary injunction belie this argument, as Defendants claim that the requested injunctive relief, if granted, would harm them on the eve of the *upcoming* November 2018 election.

[24] At one point, State Defendants cite to cases involving state sovereign immunity issues. The Court clarifies that state sovereign immunity is not at issue here – only Eleventh Amendment sovereign immunity. Plaintiffs do not allege violations of the Georgia Constitution. They allege violations of the U.S. Constitution based in part on the enforcement of state law requiring the use of DREs for in-person voting. (*See* SEB Rule 183–1–12–.01.)

The Court therefore **DENIES IN PART** Defendants' Motions to Dismiss [Docs. 82, 83, 234] as discussed herein.

IV. Plaintiffs' Motions for Preliminary Injunction

To obtain preliminary injunctive relief, the moving party must show that: (1) it has a substantial likelihood of success on the merits; (2) irreparable injury will be suffered unless the injunction issues; (3) the threatened injury to the movant outweighs whatever damage the proposed injunction may cause the opposing party; and (4) if issued, the injunction would not be adverse to the public interest. *McDonald's Corp. v. Robertson*, 147 F.3d 1301, 1306 (11th Cir. 1998). In the Eleventh Circuit, "[a] preliminary injunction is an extraordinary and drastic remedy not to be granted unless the movant clearly established the 'burden of persuasion' as to the four prerequisites." *Id.* (internal citations omitted).

Furthermore, the Supreme Court has recognized that there are special considerations involved with impending elections and the critical issues at stake. In *Reynolds v. Sims*, the Court stated:

> [O]nce a State's [election-related] scheme has been found to be unconstitutional, it would be the unusual case in which a court would be justified in not taking appropriate action to insure that no further elections are conducted under the invalid plan. However, under certain circumstances, such as where an impending election is imminent and a State's election machinery is already in progress, equitable considerations might justify a court in withholding the granting of immediately effective relief in a legislative apportionment case, even though the existing apportionment scheme was found invalid. In awarding or withholding immediate relief, a court is entitled to and should consider the proximity of a forthcoming election and the mechanics and complexities of state election laws, and should act and rely upon general equitable principles.

377 U.S. 533, 585 (1964); *see also Purcell v. Gonzalez*, 549 U.S. 1, 4–5 (2006) (holding that courts are "required to weigh, in addition to the harms attendant upon issuance or nonissuance of an injunction, considerations specific to election cases and its own institutional procedures").

Considering the totality of the evidence and affidavits presented at this juncture, the Court finds with a measure of true caution that Plaintiffs are likely to satisfy the first element for a preliminary injunction – a likelihood of success on the merits – for at least some of their claims. The Court's caution is that though the parties have filed endless briefs on Defendants' multiple motions to dismiss and amended complaints, largely due to Plaintiffs' changes in counsel, the case did not move substantively forward until the motions for preliminary injunction were filed in August 2018. The subject matter in this suit is complex, even if well-presented, and there is still key information that needs to be gathered. For instance, the voting system and data handling deficiencies in one county, Fulton County, could possibly impact all other counties in the state. The State also never called the Chief Information Officer for the Secretary of State's Office to testify, and substantive answers from other state officials were limited by their lack of computer science expertise and apparent knowledge. In short, the case would benefit from some discovery and a full evidentiary hearing on the merits over several days.

That said, the Supreme Court has held that "[i]t is beyond cavil that voting is of the most fundamental significance under our constitutional structure." *Burdick v. Takushi*, 504 U.S. 428, 433 (1992) (internal quotation marks omitted). The Court goes on to recognize that "[i]t does not follow [] that the right to vote in any manner and the right to associate for political purposes through the ballot are absolute." *Id.* Thus, courts apply a more flexible standard in this context:

> A court considering a challenge to a state election law must weigh the character and magnitude of the asserted injury to the rights protected by the First and Fourteenth Amendments that the plaintiff seeks to vindicate against the precise interests put forward by the State as justifications for the burden imposed by its rule, taking into consideration the extent to which those interests make it necessary to burden the plaintiff's rights. . . . Under this standard, the rigorousness of our inquiry into the propriety of a state election law depends upon the extent to which a challenged regulation burdens First and Fourteenth Amendment rights. Thus, as we have recognized when those rights are subjected to severe restrictions, the regulation must be narrowly drawn to advance a state interest of compelling importance. . . . But when a state election law provision imposes only reasonable, nondiscriminatory restrictions upon the First and Fourteenth Amendment rights of voters, the State's important regulatory interests are generally sufficient to justify the restrictions.

Id. at 434 (internal quotation marks omitted).

Here, Plaintiffs have shown that their Fourteenth Amendment rights to Due Process and Equal Protection have been burdened. Put differently, the State's continued reliance on the use of DRE machines in public elections likely results in "a debasement or dilution of the weight of [Plaintiffs'] vote[s]," even if such conduct does not completely deny Plaintiffs the right to vote. *Bush v. Gore*, 531 U.S. 98, 105 (2000) (quoting *Reynolds*, 377 U.S. at 555).

Plaintiffs shine a spotlight on the serious security flaws and vulnerabilities in the State's DRE system – including unverifiable election results, outdated software susceptible to malware and viruses, and a central server that was already hacked multiple times. Mirroring the truncated affidavit statement of Merritt Beaver, the Chief Information Officer in the Office of the Secretary of State, the State Defendants' response brief merely states, without more, that the central server is no longer an issue because the way Kennesaw State University maintained the system "is not the way that those tasks are undertaken now." (*See* Response, Doc. 265 at 22; Beaver Affidavit, Doc. 265-1.) The Defendants presented no witness with actual computer science engineering and forensic expertise at the preliminary injunction hearing to address the impact of the Kennesaw State University breach or the specifics of any forensic evaluation of the servers, DREs, removable media used for transfer of data, analog phone modems, and other connected devices that together constitute the election system. The Defendants' response brief is close to non-responsive to the concerns that Plaintiffs raise about the serious vulnerability of the server and the election data system at large to intrusion, virus, or attack. Defendants' response is bare-boned in the absence of evidence of installation of updates to software or equipment or evidence of statewide software and hardware scrubbing – after at least one or more portions of the database system operated by Kennesaw State University was left accessible for at least six months. In fact, Defendants presented scant evidence to rebut Plaintiffs' expert evidence regarding Georgia's persistent failure to update or

replace software systems, despite security flaws identified by the software industry.[25]

Michael Barnes, the Director of the CES at Kennesaw State University,[26] was the sole staff member at the CES to move over to the State when the Center's functions were taken over by the Secretary of State's office. He in turn became the Center's Director once this transfer occurred. The State presented his testimony at trial. Mr. Barnes professed effectively no knowledge about the ramifications for the state's voter system or remedial measures in connection with Mr. Lamb's accessing the CES's voter registration databases – which was filled with millions of voter records with personally identifiable information, passwords for election day supervisors, and the software used to create ballot definitions, memory cards, and vote tabulations. Mr. Barnes also appeared not to recognize the full scope of the contamination risks posed by his own use of a plug-in USB drive (which he connects both to the central GEMS "air-gapped" server and his own "public facing" computer that is connected to the Internet) to transfer vital elections programming data to the counties. Mr. Barnes similarly did not appear to recognize the risks associated with the use of analog phone connections for the transfers of election results.

---

[25] Indeed, after Fulton County experienced a meltdown in the tabulation of the vote in the primary for the Sixth Congressional District in 2017, Richard Barron, the Director of Registration and Elections for Fulton County, vocally expressed his view that the software system of 2000 vintage should be investigated and should have been replaced. (Pl. Ex. 9, Preliminary Injunction Hearing.)

[26] Mr. Barnese is not a computer scientist.

As discussed earlier, the Curling Plaintiffs' voting-systems cybersecurity expert, Alex Halderman, demonstrated at the hearing how malware could be introduced into a DRE machine via a memory card and actually change an elector's vote without anyone knowing. (*See also* DeMillo Affidavit, Doc. 277 Ex. C; Buell Affidavit, Doc. 260-3; Bernhard Affidavit, Doc. 258-1 at 33-42.) Additionally, Professor Halderman explained in his testimony in detail the reasons why the DRE auditing and confirmation of results process used by state officials on a sample basis is generally of limited value. This process is keyed to matching the *total* ballots cast, without any independent source of individual ballot validation, and it can be defeated by malware similar to that used by the Volkswagen emissions software that concealed a car's actual emissions data during testing. (Halderman testimony at hearing; Halderman Affidavit, Doc. 260-2 ¶¶ 35-48; *see also* DeMillo Affidavit, Doc. 277, Ex. C ¶¶ 10-20.) Further, parallel testing of DREs is of limited value. "If the testing reveals, at the close of the election, that the machines were counting incorrectly, there will likely be no way to recover the true results, since the machines used in Georgia have no paper backup records." (Halderman Affidavit, Doc. 260-2 ¶ 41.)

Plaintiffs also emphasize current cybersecurity developments regarding election security and the heightened, legitimized concerns of election interference. Contrary to Defendants' assertions, Plaintiffs' claims do not boil down to paranoia or hypothetical fear. National security experts and cybersecurity experts at the highest levels of our nation's government and institutions have weighed in on the

specific issue of DRE systems in upcoming elections and found them to be highly vulnerable to interference, particularly in the absence of any paper ballot audit trail.[27] Indeed, the evidence and testimony presented conforms with the patterns of heightened cybersecurity breach and data manipulation attacks now regularly appearing in civil financial cases as well as criminal cases.[28]

Defendants assert that the state election laws at issue are "narrowly drawn to effect the State's regulatory interest in maintaining fair, honest and efficient elections." (Response, Doc. 265 at 15.) This conclusory re-statement of an overarching principle of Fourteenth Amendment voting jurisprudence[29] does not by itself answer the issues before the Court. However, the Court recognizes the important policy changes and objectives achieved by the State's adoption via the Secretary of State's Office of the statewide integrated DRE voting system in approximately 2002. But the DRE system also originally was intended to include the capacity for an independent paper audit trail of every ballot cast, and this feature was never effectuated. (Report of the 21st Century Voting Commission, Pl. Ex. 10 at 38, introduced at Preliminary Injunction hearing; testimony of Cathy Cox.)

---

[27] The PowerPoint presentation of Dr. Wenke Lee, the sole cybersecurity scientist serving on the Secretary of State's new SAFE Commission, identifies similar overarching risks and solutions, including paper ballots as durable evidence of election results. (Pl. Ex. 5 at Preliminary Injunction Hearing.)

[28] But in contrast to the circumstances where there is no independent vote audit trail, when money is stolen through cybercrime, ultimately the theft is clearly obvious – the funds are gone.

[29] *See Burdick*, 504 U.S. at 433.

As the Court noted at the preliminary injunction hearing, rapidly evolving cybertechnology changes and challenges have altered the reality now facing electoral voting systems and Georgia's system in particular. And it is this reality that Plaintiffs substantiated with expert affidavits and testimony as well as an array of voter affidavits and documentation. Defendants sidestep the fact that Georgia is only one of five states that rely on a DRE voting process that generates no independent paper ballot or audit record. Yet the Plaintiffs' evidence as to the problems of security, accuracy, reliability, and currency of Georgia's system and software have hardly been rebutted by Defendants except via characterizations of the issues raised as entirely hypothetical and baseless. Ultimately, an electoral system must be accurate and trustworthy. The State's apparent asserted interest in maintaining the DRE system without significant change cannot by itself justify the burden and risks imposed given the circumstances presented. *Burdick*, 504 U.S. at 434.

Plaintiffs are substantially likely to succeed on the merits of one or more of their constitutional claims, though this finding is a cautious, preliminary one, especially in light of the initial state of the record. Plaintiffs have so far shown that the DRE system, as implemented, poses a concrete risk of alteration of ballot counts that would impact their own votes. Their evidence relates directly to the manner in which Defendants' alleged mode of implementation of the DRE voting system deprives them or puts them at imminent risk of deprivation of their fundamental right to cast an effective vote (i.e., a vote that is accurately counted).

United States v. Classic, 313 U.S. 299, 315 (1941); *Stewart*, 444 F.3d at 868. Plaintiffs' evidence also goes to the concern that when they vote by DRE, their vote is in jeopardy of being counted less accurately and thus given less weight than a paper ballot.[30] As the Supreme Court held in *Bush v. Gore*:

> The right to vote is protected in more than the initial allocation of the franchise. Equal protection applies as well to the manner of its exercise. Having once granted the right to vote on equal terms, the State may not, by later arbitrary and disparate treatment, value one person's vote over that of another. . . . It must be remembered that "the right of suffrage can be denied by a debasement or dilution of the weight of a citizen's vote just as effectively as by wholly prohibiting the free exercise of the franchise."

531 U.S. 98, 104-05 (2000) (internal citations omitted).

The Defendants rely on *Wexler v. Anderson*, 452 F.3d 1226 (11th Cir. 2006) in maintaining that Plaintiffs cannot establish the viability of their Fourteenth Amendment claims described above. But *Wexler* and this case are distinguishable. The Eleventh Circuit noted that the *Wexler* plaintiffs "did not plead that voters in touchscreen counties are less likely to cast effective votes due to the alleged lack of a meaningful manual recount procedure in those counties," and therefore their "burden is the mere possibility that should they cast residual ballots, those ballots will receive a different, and allegedly inferior, type of review in the event of a manual recount." *Id.* at 1226. *Wexler* distinguishes this situation from the one in

[30] Plaintiffs allege other theories under these constitutional claims, including that their right to cast a secret ballot is violated and that they must incur greater costs to cast an absentee ballot if they choose to avoid voting by DRE. Plaintiffs may be less likely to prevail on these theories, though the Court will not reach that conclusion now, especially given the incomplete status of the evidentiary record.

Stewart v. Blackwell, 444 F.3d at 868-72, where strict scrutiny was applied based on the plaintiffs' allegations of "vote dilution due to disparate use of certain voting technologies." 444 F.3d at 871. Thus, in contrast with *Stewart*, the Eleventh Circuit in *Wexler* did not apply strict scrutiny and instead reviewed "Florida's manual recount procedures to determine if they are justified by the State's 'important regulatory interests.'" *Wexler*, 452 F.3d at 1233 (citing *Burdick*, 504 U.S. at 434)).

Here, Plaintiffs appear to present facts that fall somewhere between *Wexler* and *Stewart*. Unlike *Wexler*, Plaintiffs are alleging that they are less likely to be able to cast accurate or effective ballots when voting by DRE. The evidence here is not as well developed as that in *Stewart*, which was decided on a fully factually developed summary judgment record. Still, Plaintiffs in this case have presented sufficient evidence so far that their votes cast by DRE may be altered, diluted, or effectively not counted on the same terms as someone using another voting method – or that there is a serious risk of this under the circumstances.

Turning to the second element for a preliminary injunction, the Court also finds that Plaintiffs have demonstrated a real risk of suffering irreparable injury without court intervention. This analysis to some extent parallels the "injury in fact" standing analysis above. Absent an injunction, there is a threat that Plaintiffs' votes in the upcoming elections will not be accurately counted. Given the absence of an independent paper audit trail of the vote, the scope of this threat is difficult

to quantify, though even a minor alteration of votes in close electoral races can make a material difference in the outcome.

Finally, the Court considers together the last two factors in evaluating whether preliminary injunctive relief should be granted: whether the threatened injury to the movant outweighs whatever damage the proposed injunction may cause the opposing party; and, if issued, whether the injunction would not be adverse to the public interest. The Court considers these factors in tandem, as the real question posed in this context is how injunctive relief at this eleventh-hour would impact the public interest in an orderly and fair election, with the fullest voter participation possible and an accurate count of the ballots cast.

This assessment involves a true catch-22.

While Plaintiffs have shown the threat of real harms to their constitutional interests, the eleventh-hour timing of their motions and an instant grant of the paper ballot relief requested could just as readily jeopardize the upcoming elections, voter turnout, and the orderly administration of the election. Defendants introduced substantial evidence from Elections Directors from counties with major populations (e.g., Fulton, Cobb, Gwinnett, Muscogee, and Richmond Counties) regarding the fiscal, organizational, and practical impediments and burdens associated with a court order that would require immediate implementation of paper ballot and ballot scanning voting systems for the 2018 election cycle. (*See* Testimony of Richard Barron at Preliminary Injunction Hearing; Doc. 265-3; Doc. 265-6.) Various representatives of the

Secretary of State's office provided testimony regarding similar organizational and fiscal challenges. Some of these concerns, such as authority for emergency purchase orders of ballot paper or scanners, clearly are resolvable based on emergency purchase provisions under state and local law. The Court's greater concern, in considering the evidence, is that the massive scrambling required to implement such injunctive relief in roughly 2,600 precincts and 159 countries will seriously test the organizational capacity of the personnel handling the election, to the detriment of Georgia voters.

Plaintiffs have submitted evidence from various jurisdictions in other states of their ability to smoothly roll out a paper ballot/optical scanning system in an expedited time frame as well an affidavit from the administrator overseeing Maryland's transition over an expedited, but still far longer, time frame. The challenges presented in these jurisdictions are not comparable to managing a rapid implementation of a balloting system, associated technology, and administrative transition in less than seven weeks on a statewide basis in large population centers as well as tiny ones simultaneously. Nor is it likely simple to roll out a paper ballot system with proper, efficient scanning, when many polling station personnel throughout the state have never handled this scope of a paper ballot exercise – or used accompanying scanners on a massive basis.

Further, early elections begin mid-October. This poses an even earlier deadline for action and organization. Fulton County's Elections Director testified that the County would only be able to cope with the challenges of an immediate

ballot requirement by limiting early voting to one central location, rather than offering it at 20 locations spread throughout the county. This, of course, would likely directly impact voter turnout and access to voting.

Ultimately, any chaos or problems that arise in connection with a sudden rollout of a paper ballot system with accompanying scanning equipment may swamp the polls with work and voters – and result in voter frustration and disaffection from the voting process. There is nothing like bureaucratic confusion and long lines to sour a citizen. And that description does not even touch on whether voters themselves, many of whom may never have cast a paper ballot before, will have been provided reasonable materials to prepare them for properly executing the paper ballots.

The Court attempted to expedite this case at earlier times to no avail. The Court understands some of the reasons why Plaintiffs may have been unable to file a preliminary injunction motion before new counsel for the Coalition Plaintiffs filed a Third Amended Complaint in June 2018. But the *August* filing of their motion for preliminary injunction effectively put the squeeze on their proposed remedial relief. Requiring injunctive relief on this broad of a scale, and on an abrupt, time-limited basis, would likely undermine a paper ballot initiative with a scanned audit trail that appears in reality to be critically needed.

Meanwhile, the State Defendants have also stood by for far too long, given the mounting tide of evidence of the inadequacy and security risks of Georgia's DRE voting system and software. The Court is gravely concerned about the State's

pace in responding to the serious vulnerabilities of its voting system – which were raised as early as 2016 – while aging software arrangements, hardware, and other deficiencies were evident still earlier. The Secretary of State's Secure, Accessible, & Fair Elections (SAFE) Commission has held just two meetings since its establishment in April 2018, though it is tasked with making recommendations to the legislature that convenes in January 2019. The State and the County's arguments about the time and resource constraints at issue, in the event the Court granted the requested injunctive relief, are compelling right now, with the November election just weeks away. But these arguments only weaken the more that time passes and if Defendants continue to move in slow motion or take ineffective or no action. For upcoming elections after November 2018, Defendants are forewarned that these same arguments would hold much less sway in the future – as any timing issues then would appear to be exclusively of Defendants' own making at that point.

Upon considering the totality of the evidence in connection with the four factors that must guide the Court's determination regarding the grant of extraordinary relief as to Plaintiffs' constitutional claims, the Court finds that the Plaintiffs have not carried their burden of persuasion to establish these prerequisites for such extraordinary injunctive relief in the immediate 2018 election time frame ahead.

V. Conclusion

While Plaintiffs' motions for preliminary injunction [Docs. 258, 260, 271] are **DENIED**, the Court advises the Defendants that further delay is not tolerable in their confronting and tackling the challenges before the State's election balloting system. The State's posture in this litigation – and some of the testimony and evidence presented – indicated that the Defendants and State election officials had buried their heads in the sand. This is particularly so in their dealing with the ramifications of the major data breach and vulnerability at the Center for Election Services, which contracted with the Secretary of State's Office, as well as the erasure of the Center's server database and a host of serious security vulnerabilities permitted by their outdated software and system operations.

A wound or reasonably threatened wound to the integrity of a state's election system carries grave consequences beyond the results in any specific election, as it pierces citizens' confidence in the electoral system and the value of voting.

Advanced persistent threats in this data-driven world and ordinary hacking are unfortunately here to stay. Defendants will fail to address that reality if they demean as paranoia the research-based findings of national cybersecurity engineers and experts in the field of elections. Nor will surface-level audit procedures address this reality when viruses and malware alter data results and evade or suppress detection. The parties have strongly intimated that this case is headed for immediate appeal. But if the case stays with or comes back to this Court, the Court will insist on further proceedings moving on an expedited

schedule. The 2020 elections are around the corner. If a new balloting system is to be launched in Georgia in an effective manner, it should address democracy's critical need for transparent, fair, accurate, and verifiable election processes that guarantee each citizen's fundamental right to cast an accountable vote.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 17th day of September, 2018.



Amy Totenberg
United States District Judge

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDJT
Date: 1/7/2019 2:24 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, *et al*	) Civil Action File No. 2018CV313418
Plaintiff,	)
v.	)
ROBYN A CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, *et al*	)
Defendant	)

ANSWER AND DEFENSES OF DEFENDANT FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS

COMES NOW, Defendant Fulton County Board of Registration and Elections ("FCBRE"), by and through its undersigned counsel of record, and respectfully files its Response to Plaintiffs' Petition to Contest Election Result as follows:

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

FIRST DEFENSE

Petitioner's claims are barred, in whole or in part for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

SECOND DEFENSE

The Petition fails to name necessary and indispensable parties.

THIRD DEFENSE

Petitioners' Claims against Defendant are barred for lack of personal jurisdiction.

FOURTH DEFENSE

Petitioners' claims against Defendant are barred due to improper service and/or insufficient service of process.

FIFTH DEFENSE

There are not sufficient votes at issue to cause the election results to be placed in doubt or to change the outcome.

SIXTH DEFENSE

The unnumbered Introduction to the Petition contains legal argument and a summary of Plaintiffs' claims and does not require a response. To the extent that any response is required, Defendant FCBRE denies that Plaintiffs are entitled to any relief.

SEVENTH DEFENSE

Petitioners lack a clear legal right to the relief sought.

EIGHTH DEFENSE

Defendant has not breached a duty owed to Petitioners.

NINTH DEFENSE

Defendant's compliance with Georgia law is being carried out in good faith, without conscious, reckless or negligent disregard for the rights of any voter.

TENTH DEFENSE

Defendant has not subjected Plaintiffs to the deprivation of any rights, due process or equal protection guaranteed by the Unites States Constitution.

ELEVENTH DEFENSE

Defendant is not capable of providing a remedy to Plaintiffs since its powers and duties do not include the ability to determine what voting system is used in Georgia.

TWELFTH DEFENSE

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing affirmative defenses, Defendant responds to the individually numbered paragraphs of Plaintiff's Petition as follows:

1.

Defendant FCBRE admits that the general election for Lieutenant Governor for the State of Georgia was held on November 6, 2018, but denies the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 1.

2.

Defendant FCBRE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 2 and further states that it was not "repeatedly and formally warned" of any of the issues outlined in Paragraph 2.

3.

Defendant FCBRE admits that the race for Lieutenant Governor received fewer votes than other races that were farther down the ballot, but denies the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 3.

4.

Defendant FCBRE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 4 and further states that a number of reasonable explanations exist for the vote discrepancy in the Lieutenant Governor's race.

5.

Defendant FCBRE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 5, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 5 are denied. FCBRE further states that the Coalition is not a proper party plaintiff under O.C.G.A. §21-2-521.

6.

Defendant FCBRE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 6, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 6 are denied. FCBRE further states that the Coalition is not a proper party plaintiff under O.C.G.A. §21-2-521.

7.

Defendant FCBRE denies the allegations of Paragraph 7 and further states that the Coalition is not a proper party plaintiff under O.C.G.A. § 21-2-521.

8.

Defendant FCBRE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 8, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 8 are denied.

9.

Defendant FCBRE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 9, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 9 are denied.

10.

Defendant FCBRE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 10, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 10 are denied.

11.

Defendant FCBRE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 11, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 11 are denied.

12.

Defendant FCBRE admits that Robyn A. Crittenden is the Secretary of State of Georgia, that the Secretary's office operates the GEMS tabulation server and Election Night Reporting System, that the Secretary certifies election results, and that the Secretary is involved with the design and preparation of electronic ballots. Defendant denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 12.

13.

Defendant FCBRE admits that it is the election superintendent for Fulton County elections; that it conducted the November 6, 2018 general election, including the Lieutenant Governor's election, in Fulton County; and that other counties' election superintendents conducted the same election. Defendant denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 13.

14.

Defendant FCBRE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 14, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 14 are denied.

15.

Defendant FCBRE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 15, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 15 are denied.

16.

Paragraph 16 does not require a response, but to the extent a response is required, Defendant FCBRE states that the paragraph speaks for itself.

17.

Defendant FCBRE admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 17.

18.

Paragraph 18 contains legal argument that does not require a response. To the extent a response is required, Defendant FCBRE denies that jurisdiction and venue is proper in this Court because it is where Defendant Crittenden resides, because Defendant Crittenden is not a proper party to an election contest. Defendant FCBRE admits that Fulton County is an allowable venue for a statewide election contest under the statute, but denies that jurisdiction is proper in this Court and further denies that it is a proper party for the non-election-contest counts of Plaintiffs' Petition. Defendant FCBRE denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 18.

19.

Paragraph 19 contains legal argument that does not require a response. To the extent a response is required, Defendant FCBRE admits that the Secretary of State certified the statewide results on November 17, 2018. Defendant FCBRE denies that the Petition was timely filed because it did not name all required parties within the statute of limitations and denies any remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 19.

20.

Defendant FCBRE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 20, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 20 are denied.

21.

Defendant FCBRE admits that it used the Diebold AccuVote DRE touchscreen units for in-person voting, Diebold optical scan-machines for absentee and provisional ballots, and GEMS software for tabulation and reporting of results for the November 6, 2018 election. Defendant FCBRE denies that the electronic poll book components "interface" with the DRE machines but admits that it used ExpressPoll units for poll book functions. Defendant FCBRE denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 21.

22.

Defendant FCBRE admits that the DREs use memory cards and store information regarding voters' actions to memory cards and internal memory. Defendant denies the remaining allegations of Paragraph 22.

23.

Defendant FCBRE admits that the DREs run a version of Microsoft Windows CE, but is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 23, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 23 are denied.

24.

Defendant FCBRE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 24 and footnotes 1 and 2, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 24 and footnotes 1 and 2 are denied.

25.

Defendant FCBRE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 25 and footnote 3, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 25 and footnote 3 are denied.

26.

Defendant FCBRE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 26 and footnotes 4-9, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 26 and footnotes 4-9 are denied.

27.

Defendant FCBRE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 27, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 27 are denied.

28.

Defendant FCBRE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 28, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 28 are denied.

29.

Defendant FCBRE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 29, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 29 are denied.

30.

Defendant FCBRE admits that the Secretary of State contracted with Kennesaw State University for a period of time and that the functions previously carried out by KSU are now housed in the Secretary's office. Defendant FCBRE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 30, and for this reason, the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 30 are denied.

31.

Defendant FCBRE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 31, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 31 are denied.

32.

Defendant FCBRE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 32, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 32 are denied.

33.

Defendant FCBRE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 33, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 33 are denied.

34.

Defendant FCBRE states that the quoted order speaks for itself as to content and legal effect and denies any allegation inconsistent therewith.

35.

Defendant FCBRE denies that it acted recklessly in complying with state law and using the DRE election system in the 2018 general election. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 35, and for this reason, the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 35 are denied.

36.

Defendant FCBRE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 36, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 36 are denied.

37.

In response to Paragraph 37, Defendant FCBRE states that the quoted order speaks for itself as to content and legal effect and denies any allegation inconsistent therewith. Defendant FCBRE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 37, and for this reason, the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 37 are denied.

38.

Defendant FCBRE admits that Fulton County voters reported problems during the November 6, 2018 election but denies that those problems resulted in voter disenfranchisement. Defendant FCBRE is without knowledge or information sufficient to

form a response to the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 38, and for this reason, the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 38 are denied.

39.

Paragraph 39 does not require a response. To the extent a response is required, Defendant FCBRE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 39, including what "campaigns, non-profit voter protection groups, and the press" that it covers, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 39 are denied.

40.

Defendant FCBRE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 40, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 40 are denied.

41.

Upon information and belief, Defendant FCBRE admits the allegations contained in Paragraph 41.

42.

Defendant FCBRE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 42, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 42 are denied.

43.

Defendant FCBRE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 43, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 43 are denied.

44.

Defendant FCBRE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 44, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 44 are denied.

45.

Defendant FCBRE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 45, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 45 are denied.

46.

Defendant FCBRE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 46.

47.

Defendant FCBRE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 47, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 47 are denied.

48.

Defendant FCBRE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 48, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 48 are denied.

49.

Defendant FCBRE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 49, and for this reason, the allegations contained in

Paragraph 49 are denied. Defendant further states that there are a number of possible explanations for the lower vote total in the Lieutenant Governor's race.

50.

Defendant FCBRE admits that the Lieutenant Governor is the second highest state official and that the Lieutenant Governor is also the President of the State Senate. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 50, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 50 are denied.

51.

Defendant FCBRE admits that the reported vote totals show that there were less total votes cast for Lieutenant Governor than for other statewide races, but is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 51, and for this reason, the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 51 are denied.

52.

Defendant FCBRE admits that the reported vote totals show there were less total votes cast for Lieutenant Governor than for other statewide races, but is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the percentages and remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 52, and for this reason, the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 52 are denied.

53.

Defendant FCBRE admits that the reported vote totals show there were less total votes cast for Lieutenant Governor than for other statewide races, but is without knowledge

or information sufficient to form a response to the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 53, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 53 are denied. Defendant further states that there are a number of possible explanations for the lower vote total in the Lieutenant Governor's race.

54.

Defendant FCBRE is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the allegations contained in Paragraph 54, and for this reason, the allegations contained in Paragraph 54 are denied. Defendant further states that there are a number of possible explanations for the lower vote total in the Lieutenant Governor's race.

55.

In response to Paragraph 55, Defendant FCBRE states that the letter from Ms. Amico to Secretary Crittenden speaks for itself as to content and legal effect. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 55, and for this reason, the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 55 are denied. Defendant further states that there are a number of possible explanations for the lower vote total in the Lieutenant Governor's race.

56.

In response to Paragraph 56, Defendant FCBRE states that the letter from Secretary Crittenden to Ms. Amico speaks for itself as to content and legal effect. Defendant is without knowledge or information sufficient to form a response to the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 55, and for this reason, the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 55 are denied. Defendant further states that there are a number of possible explanations for the lower vote total in the Lieutenant Governor's race.

57.

Defendant FCBRE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 57.

58.

Defendant incorporates by reference its responses to the foregoing paragraphs of the Complaint.

59.

Defendant FCBRE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 59.

60.

Defendant FCBRE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 60.

61.

Defendant FCBRE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 61.

62.

Defendant FCBRE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 62.

63.

Defendant FCBRE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 63.

64.

Defendant FCBRE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 64.

65.

Defendant FCBRE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 65.

66.

Defendant incorporates by reference its responses to the foregoing paragraphs of the Complaint.

67.

Paragraph 67 contains legal argument that does not require a response. Defendant states that the United States Constitution and the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment speak for themselves.

68.

Paragraph 67 contains legal argument that does not require a response.

69.

Defendant FCBRE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 69.

70.

Defendant FCBRE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 70.

71.

Defendant FCBRE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 71.

72.

Defendant FCBRE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 72.

73.

Defendant incorporates by reference its responses to the foregoing paragraphs of the Complaint.

74.

Defendant FCBRE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 74. Defendant further states that hand-marked ballots do not necessarily provide clear and determinable records of voter intent.

75.

Defendant FCBRE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 75.

76.

Defendant FCBRE denies the allegations contained in Paragraph 76.

GENERAL DENIAL

Defendant that Plaintiffs are entitled to any of the relief sought and denies each numbered paragraph of the Prayer for Relief. Defendant further denies each and every allegation of the Complaint not specifically admitted denied or otherwise contradicted herein responded to

WHEREFORE, Defendant FCBRE requests:

(a) That the Petition be dismissed entirely or that Defendant FCBRE be dismissed as a party;

(b) That all relief sought by Plaintiffs be denied;

(c) That judgment be issued in Defendant FCBRE's favor;

(d) That attorneys' fees and costs be assessed against Plaintiffs;

(e) That the Petition be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction; and

(f) Any other further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted this 7th day of January, 2019.

OFFICE OF THE FULTON COUNTY ATTORNEY
Patrise Perkins-Hooker
County Attorney
Georgia Bar No. 572358
Patrise.perkins-hooker@fultoncountyga.gov

/s/ Kaye Woodard Burwell
Kaye Woodard Burwell
Georgia Bar No. 775060
Kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov
Cheryl Melissa Ann Ringer
Georgia Bar No. 557420
Cheryl.ringer@fultoncountyga.gov

David Lowman
Georgia Bar No. 460298
David.lowman@fultoncountyga.gov
Attorneys for Defendant Fulton County BRE

141 Pryor Street, S.W.
Suite 4038
Atlanta, Georgia 30303
Office: (404) 612-0246
Fax: (404)730-6540

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, *et al*	)	
	)	Civil Action File No. 2018CV313418
Plaintiff,	)	
	)	
v.	)	
	)	
ROBYN A CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, *et al*	)	
	)	
Defendant	)	
	)	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that on this day, I have caused to be electronically filed the foregoing **ANSWER AND DEFENSES OF FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS** with the Fulton County Superior Court using the Odyssey efile GA system which will provide automatic notification of this filing to all counsel of record.

This 7th day of January, 2019.

/s/ Kaye Woodard Burwell
Kaye Woodard Burwell
Georgia Bar No. 775050

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, *et al*	) Civil Action File No. 2018CV313418
Plaintiff,	)
v.	)
ROBYN A CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, *et al*	)
Defendant	)

VERIFICATION

Personally appeared before the undersigned officer duly authorized to administer oaths, DWIGHT BROWER, who having first been duly sworn, deposes and says that the ANSWER AND DEFENSES OF DEFENDANT FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS, filed in the Superior Court of Fulton County, was prepared with the assistance and advice of counsel, and that the facts contained therein are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief.

This 7th day of January, 2019.

DWIGHT BROWER
Elections Chief, Fulton County Department of Registration and Elections

SWORN to and subscribed before me this 7 day of January, 2019.

BREAUNA JENKINS NOTARY PUBLIC Exp. Apr. 07, 2020 DOUGLAS COUNTY, GA

Breanna Jenkins
Notary Public
My Commission Expires: 4/7/2020

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDMH
Date: 1/8/2019 5:07 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, and JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, SECRETARY STATE OF GEORGIA, FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS, GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, DEKALB COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, and GEOFF DUNCAN,** **Defendants.**	**Civil Action No.: 2018CV313418**

SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF GEOFF DUNCAN'S MOTION TO DISMISS

NOW COMES the Defendant, Geoff Duncan, and files this Supplemental Brief in Support of his Motion to Dismiss by showing the following:

Argument

It's About Simple Math

Under Georgia law, "It is presumed that election returns are valid, and the party contesting the election has the burden of showing an irregularity or illegality sufficient to change or place in doubt the result of the election." *Hunt v. Crawford,* 270 Ga. 7(1), 507 S.E.2d 723 (1998); *Baker v. Cole*, 278 Ga. 532, 535 (2004). O.C.G.A. 21-2-527 provides that regardless of

GA005186

the nature or seriousness of the electoral irregularities claimed, the Petitioners must establish that such irregularities were so sufficient to "place in doubt the result of the entire . . . election."

Numerous cases have addressed what must be shown by the Petitioners to prevail. In *Mead v. Sheffield*, 278 Ga. 268, 271 (2004), the Supreme Court held that the "the focus in an election contest involving illegal ballots is on whether they "exceeded ... the margin of victory." Similarly, in *Howell v. Fears*, 275 Ga. 627, 628 (2002) the Court held that "the contestor must affirmatively show that a sufficient number of voters voted illegally or were irregularly recorded in the contest being challenged to make a difference or cast doubt on the outcome." In *Fuller v. Thomas*, 284 Ga. 397,398 (2008), the Supreme Court set out the required test as follows: "Where the focus is on improperly cast ballots or irregularities in the conduct of the election, the number of illegal or irregular ballots necessary to cast doubt on an election is derived by taking the difference between the total votes cast in the election and the race at issue, and adding the margin of victory in the race at issue."

The Petitioners' claims that the DRE voting machines utilized in Georgia resulted in a flawed election for Lt. Governor. Plaintiffs' Notice of Proffer of Evidence. In support of this they allege the following facts: 1. There were substantially fewer votes cast in the Lt. Governor's race than in other "down ballot" statewide races; 2. The drop off in voting between the Governor and Lt. Governor's race was substantially higher with voters voting electronically than voters voting by paper ballots; and the shortfall in ballots cast electronically disproportionately impacted the Democratic Candidate for Lt. Governor Ms. Sarah Riggs Amico over Mr. Duncan. Petitioners' Petition Paragraphs 52, 53, and 54. Even if these drop offs were the result of malfunctioning election voting, which all Defendants have denied, Petitioners' Petition must as matter of law still be dismissed. <u>The reason is simple math.</u>

According to the Secretary of State's certified results, the total number of ballots cast in the various statewide races in Georgia on November 6, 2018, were as follows:

Governor: 3,939,328

Lt. Governor: 3,780,304

Secretary of State: 3,883,594

Attorney General: 3,862,370

Commissioner of Insurance: 3,861,625

State School Superintendent: 3,862,464

Commissioner of Labor: 3,849,450

PSC, District 3: 3,858,554

PSC, District 5: 3,855,670

Mr. Duncan's margin of victory over Ms. Amico was 123,172 votes (1,951,738 vs. 1,828,566).

Looking first at the difference between the Lt. Governor's race and other down ballot races, the highest number of votes cast in a down ballot race was in the Secretary of State Race in which 103,290 more votes were cast. This is 19,882 votes short of Mr. Duncan's margin of victory.

In relation to the Governor's race, Petitioners argue two things: 1. There was a substantial difference between the drop off voting between the paper ballot voters and the electronic ballot voters; and 2. The greater drop off had a disproportionately higher percentage impact on Ms. Amico.

In regards to the drop off in paper ballot voters versus the drop off in electronic ballot voters, the Petitioners assert that with paper ballots 98.9% of those voters who voted in the Governor's race also in the Lt. Governor's race and argue that the same drop off percentage should have occurred in the electronic balloting. They further argue that this shortfall had a

disproportionate impact on Ms. Amico, asserting that the shortfall resulted in Mr. Duncan receiving 98.5% of votes cast for Mr. Kemp but Ms. Amico only received 94.8% of the vote received by Ms. Abrams. Petitioners' Petition Paragraph 54, Petitioners' Response Brief, p. 13.

First let us look at what this means in terms of a raw vote number increase. Assuming the Petitioners' allegation to be true, it would have still resulted in only 3,895,955 votes being cast in the Lt. Governor's race, an increase in only 115,691 votes, which is once again less than Mr. Duncan's margin of victory.

The shortfall from Mr. Duncan's margin of victory increases further when you accept the Petitioners' assertion that she should have gotten the same percentage of her Democratic Governor running mates' votes that Mr. Duncan received from his Republican counterpart. In this Petitioners' scenario, Mr. Duncan would have received 1,948,732 votes and Ms. Amico should have received 1,894,830 votes. This results in a margin of victory for Mr. Duncan of 53,902 votes.

Conclusion

These simple mathematical flaws in the Petitioners' Petition and Brief is why Ms. Amico herself in a letter attached to the Petition admitted: "The number of residual votes in the Lieutenant Governor's race is unlikely to affect the outcome of my race ..."

Therefore, the Petitioners' Petition seeking a new election should be dismissed as a matter of law.

This 8th day of January, 2019.

DENTONS US LLP



Edward H. Lindsey Jr.
Georgia Bar No. 453075

Samuel S. Olens
Georgia Bar No. 551540

DENTONS US LLP
303 Peachtree St NE, Suite 5300
Atlanta, GA 30308
Telephone: (404) 527-4000
Facsimile: (404) 527-4198

Attorneys for Defendant, Geoff Duncan

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the foregoing SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF GEOFF DUNCAN'S MOTION TO DISMISS has been served upon all interested parties by electronically filing with the Clerk of the Court using the Odyssey eFileGA System, which will automatically send an e mail notification of such filing to counsel for the parties.

This 7th day of January, 2019.



IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV313418**

PLAINTIFFS' NOTICE OF PROFFER OF EVIDENCE

Plaintiffs' have shown that the pending motions to dismiss under O.C.G.A. § 9-11-12(b)(6) should be denied because Plaintiffs' allegations state claims for relief under the Georgia Election Contest statute, O.C.G.A. § 5-2-520, and under 28 U.S.C. § 1983, and Defendants have failed to carry their burden of showing "with certainty" that Plaintiffs would not be entitled to relief "under any state of provable facts," or that Plaintiffs "could not possibly introduce evidence within the framework of the complaint sufficient to warrant a grant of the relief sought." *Scouten v. Amerisave Mortg. Corp.*, 283 Ga. 72, 73 (2008) (citation omitted).

The Petition in this case already identified evidence sufficient to warrant a grant of the relief sought. In additional support, Plaintiffs file this Notice of Proffer of Evidence, which evidence illustrates the nature and quality of the evidence that Plaintiffs will introduce in support of their claims. Specifically, Plaintiffs have filed herewith the following:

A. *Affidavit of Philip B. Stark.*

Professor Stark is Professor of Statistics and Associate Dean of Mathematical and Physical Sciences at the University of California, Berkeley. Professor Stark's qualifications and expertise in this area are simply without parallel. Among his other areas of expertise relating to statistics and elections, Professor Stark is an expert in the field of post-election auditing. He has been qualified as an expert in state and federal courts, testified before committees of the U.S. House of Representatives and Senate, and published more than one hundred and ninety articles and books.

In his Affidavit, Professor Stark renders an opinion as to the "undervote rates between paper ballots and votes cast on DREs" in the 2018 general election. Based upon his statistical analysis, Professor Stark concludes: "The disparity in undervote rates by voting technology strongly suggests that malfunction, misconfiguration, bugs, hacking, or other error or malfeasance caused some DREs not to record votes in the Lt. Governor's contest." Stark Aff. ¶ 23. Professor

Stark also analyzed anomalous results of a DRE machine in a single precinct, the Winterville Train Depot. Professor Stark's states that his tests "strongly suggest that machine 3 had some other software or hardward problem: misconfiguration, error, defect, hack, or malfunction," adding that the "most plausible explanation is that machine 3 was misconfigured in a way that caused votes for Republican candidates to be recorded as votes for Democratic candidates, and vice versa." Stark Aff. ¶ 29. (Plaintiffs will present evidence of many more such anomalous precinct results in evidentiary proceedings.)

Professor Stark concludes: "Based on my analysis, described above, and my knowledge of Georgia's DRE voting system used in the November 6, 2018 election, it is my opinion that the certified results of the Lieutenant Governor's race are in substantial doubt." Stark Aff. ¶ 29.

B. *Affidavit of Christopher Brill*

Christopher Brill is a Senior Data Analyst with TargetSmart Communications LLC, an industry leader in voter data and political campaign services. Mr. Brill and his team at TargetSmart analyzed the reported votes cast for Lt. Governor in the 2018 election to examine for possible irregularities. In his affidavit, Mr. Brill addresses possible explanations for the undervote in the Lt. Governor's race, and finds no reasonable, plausible explanation other than machine malfunction. Among other facts that Mr. Brill finds important in his analysis are

historical undervote trends and the alarming difference in undervote percentage for Lt. Governor based on vote method – DRE or paper – a difference not found in the undervote percentages for Governor, Secretary of State, or Attorney General. Mr. Brill concludes that the undervote totals reflected in the Lt. Governor's race are "extremely suspect and irregular and cast a serious doubt over the accuracy of the final vote and the certified outcome of the Lt. Governor's contest." Brill Aff., Exhibit A, page 5.

C. *Grady High School Results – Johnson and Greenwald Affidavits*

As alleged in the Petition, there were numerous instances state-wide of a variety of extremely suspect results and machine malfunctions (Lt. Governor race not present on some electronic ballots, vote flipping, etc.). One example of anomalous results and irregularities comes from Grady High School where the reported official totals materially exceed the votes cast according to the publicly posted DRE machine tapes. The Secretary of State reports 280 votes more in the Lt. Governor race reflected on the 14 DRE machine tapes photographed. (Greenwald Aff. Exhibit B) (Exhibit B is worksheet that may be more easily reviewed online at https://coalitionforgoodgovernance.sharefile.com/d-s3917ca95b4f4f45a). Further, there were only 10 DRE machines (Johnson Aff. ¶ 5) in the polling place but 14 machine tapes were posted after the close of the polls.

(Greenwald Aff. ¶ 9). This is clear evidence of irregularity; discovery will be necessary to explain these material differences.

D. *DeKalb Midvale Elementary Precinct*

Another example of anomalous results comes from DeKalb County's Midvale Elementary School Precinct where the consolidated machine poll tape shows 727 total ballots cast (Greenwald Aff. Exhibit E), but the Secretary of State's official results reported 796 votes cast for the Lt. Governor's race, and over 800 votes cast for other statewide races. In her Answer, Secretary Crittenden does not contend that the allegations regarding this irregularity are not correct; instead, she states that the allegations "are outside the scope" of her knowledge. (Secretary's Answer, January 2, 2019, ¶ 48).

E. *Discovery Necessary*

This evidence establishes by a preponderance of the evidence that the election was "so defective" as "to place in doubt the result" of the Lt. Governor election, warranting a call for a new election under O.C.G.A. § 5-2-527(d). The examples identified in this Notice are merely illustrative of the type of widespread irregularities that have been identified by Coalition for Good Governance from the publicly available information. As Professor Stark states, to determine the exact nature, causes and extent of the irregularities, the "investigation most likely to

produce definitive evidence is a forensic examination of the hardware and software of DREs and other computerized systems used by Georgia." Stark Aff. ¶ 32.

Respectfully submitted this 8th day of January, 2019.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown Law LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE
Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing via the Court's e-filing system to the attorneys of record who have made appearances.

This 8th day of January, 2019.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown

EXHIBIT A

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV31348**

DECLARATION OF PHILIP B. STARK

PHILIP B. STARK hereby declares as follows:

Qualifications and Background

1. I am Professor of Statistics and Associate Dean of Mathematical and Physical Sciences at the University of California, Berkeley, where I am also a faculty member in the Graduate Program in Computational Data Science and Engineering; a co-investigator at the Berkeley Institute for Data Science; principal investigator of the Consortium for Data Analytics in Risk; director of Berkeley Open Source Food; and affiliated faculty of the Simons Institute for the Theory of Computing, the Theoretical Astrophysics Center, and the Berkeley Food Institute. Previously, I was Chair of the Department of Statistics and Director of the Statistical Computing Facility.
2. I have published more than one hundred and ninety articles and books. I have served on the editorial boards of archival journals in physical science, Applied Mathematics, Computer Science, and Statistics. I currently serve on four editorial boards. I have lectured at universities, professional societies, and government agencies in thirty countries. I was a Presidential Young Investigator and a Miller Research Professor. I received the U.C. Berkeley Chancellor's Award for Research in the Public Interest, the Leamer-Rosenthal Prize for Open Social Science, and a Velux/Villum Foundation Professorship. I am a member of the Institute for Mathematical Statistics and the Bernoulli Society. I am a Fellow of the American Statistical Association, the Institute of Physics, and the Royal Astronomical Society. I am professionally accredited as a statistician by the American Statistical Association and as a physicist by the Institute of Physics.

3. I have consulted for many government agencies, including the U.S. Department of Justice, the U.S. Department of Agriculture, the U.S. Department of Commerce, the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, the U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs, the Federal Trade Commission, the California Secretary of State, the California Attorney General, the California Highway Patrol, the Colorado Secretary of State, the Georgia Department of Law, and the Illinois State Attorney. I currently serve on the Board of Advisors of the U.S. Election Assistance Commission and on the Board of Directors of Verified Voting Foundation. (The opinions expressed herein are, however, my own: I am not writing as a representative of any entity.)
4. I have testified before the U.S. House of Representatives Subcommittee on the Census; the State of California Senate Committee on Elections, Reapportionment and Constitutional Amendments; the State of California Assembly Committee on Elections and Redistricting; the State of California Senate Committee on Natural Resources; and the State of California Little Hoover Commission.
5. I have been an expert witness or non-testifying expert in a variety of state and federal cases, for plaintiffs and for defendants, in criminal matters and a range of civil matters, including, *inter alia*: truth in advertising, antitrust, construction defects, consumer class actions, credit risk, disaster relief, elections, employment discrimination, environmental protection, equal protection, fairness in lending, federal legislation, First Amendment, import restrictions, insurance, intellectual property, jury selection, mortgage-backed securities, natural resources, product liability class actions, *qui tam*, risk assessment, toxic tort class actions, trade secrets, utilities, and wage and hour class actions.

6. I have been qualified as an expert on statistics in federal courts, including the Central District of California, the District of Maryland, the Southern District of New York, and the Eastern District of Pennsylvania.
7. I have also been qualified as an expert on statistics in state courts.
8. I have used statistics to address a wide range of questions in many fields.[1]
9. I served on former California Secretary of State Debra Bowen's Post-Election Audit Standards Working Group in 2007.
10. In 2007, I invented a statistical approach to auditing elections ("risk-limiting audits") that has been incorporated into statutes in California (AB 2023, SB 360, AB 44, AB 2125), Colorado (C.R.S. 1-7-515), and Rhode Island (RI Gen L §17-19-37.4 (2017)), and which were recently proposed in federal legislation (the PAVE Act of 2018). RLAs have been tested in California, Colorado, Indiana, Michigan, New Jersey, Ohio, Virginia, and Denmark.
11. RLAs are widely viewed as the best way to check the accuracy of vote tabulation. They have been endorsed by the Presidential Commission on Election Administration, the National Academy of Sciences report *Securing the Vote: Protecting American Democracy*, the American Statistical Association, the League of Women Voters, Verified Voting Foundation, Citizens for Election Integrity Minnesota, and other groups concerned with election integrity.
12. I have worked closely with state and local election officials in California and Colorado to pilot and deploy RLAs. The software Colorado uses to conduct RLAs is based on software I wrote.

[1] For example, I have used statistics to analyze the Big Bang, the interior structure of the Earth and Sun, the risk of large earthquakes, the reliability of clinical trials, the accuracy of election results, the accuracy of the U.S. Census, the risk of consumer credit default, the causes of geriatric hearing loss, the effectiveness of water treatment, the fragility of ecological food webs, risks to protected species, the effectiveness of Internet content filters, high-energy particle physics data, and the reliability of models of climate, among other things.

13. I worked with Travis County, Texas, on the design of STAR-Vote, an auditable and end-to-end cryptographically verifiable voting system.
14. I testified as an expert witness in the general area of election integrity, including the reliability of voting equipment, in 2016 presidential candidate Jill Stein's recount suit in Wisconsin, and filed a report in her suit in Michigan.
15. I have testified as an expert in election auditing and the accuracy of election results in two election-related lawsuits in California.
16. I have testified to both houses of the California legislature regarding election integrity and election audits. I have testified to the California Little Hoover Commission about election integrity, voting equipment, and election audits.
17. I submitted two declarations in Donna Curling et al. v. Brian P. Kemp et al., Civil Action 1:17-cv-2989-AT, United States District Court, Northern District of Georgia, Atlanta Division. My declarations concerned election integrity and security, vulnerabilities of Georgia's election systems, and the need for voter-marked paper ballots and post-election audits in Georgia.
18. Since 1988, I have taught statistics at the University of California, Berkeley, one of the top two statistics departments in the world (see, e.g., QS World University Rankings, 2014) and the nation (US News and World Reports, 2014). I teach statistics regularly at the undergraduate and graduate levels. I have created five new statistics courses at Berkeley. I developed and taught U.C. Berkeley's first online course in any subject, and among the first approved for credit throughout the ten campuses of the University of California system. I also developed and co-taught online statistics courses to over 52,000 students, using an online textbook and other pedagogical materials I wrote and programmed.

19. Appendix 1 is my current *curriculum vitae*, which includes my publications for the last ten years and all cases in the last four years in which I gave deposition or trial testimony.

Materials Relied Upon

20. I relied on XML files of Georgia election results downloaded via the Georgia Secretary of State's website, at the URL https://results.enr.clarityelections.com/GA/91639/222278/reports/detailxml.zip I also relied on photographs of poll tapes from the Winterville Train Depot polling place in Clarke County, Georgia. I understand that the photographs were taken by Ms. Lee Ann Pingel after the close of the polls on election day.

Opinions

21. I offer opinions with respect to two kinds of anomalies in the results of the 2018 midterm elections in Georgia.

22. My first opinion concerns the difference in undervote rates between paper ballots and votes cast on DREs in statewide contests. The undervote rate in the Lt. Governor's contest is substantially higher for ballots cast on direct-recording electronic (DRE) equipment than for ballots cast by mail using paper ballots, by an amount that cannot reasonably be ascribed to chance. In 101 of 159 Georgia counties, the difference is statistically significant at level 0.01 percent.[2] In contrast, in the contests for Secretary of State, Attorney General, Commissioner

---

[2] The significance levels are for a two-sample test that uses the hypergeometric distribution of the number of "good" items in a simple random sample from a population of items that can be either "good" or "bad." The total number of undervotes by mode of voting (by mail, early, and Election Day) was estimated by treating the statewide contest that received the most votes in each county as if that number of votes was equal to the number of ballots cast. That estimation was necessary because Georgia does not report total ballots cast by mode of voting. Because this maximum was almost always for the gubernatorial contest, that contest is not included in the calculation: its relative undervote rate is, by definition, zero. Provisionally cast ballots, of which there are relatively few, were not included. Under the null hypothesis, mode of voting (electronic versus paper) is a label assigned as if at random to each ballot, conditioned on the total number of ballots cast by each mode of voting. Data for the analyses was downloaded via the Georgia Secretary of State's website from the URL https://results.enr.clarityelections.com/GA/91639/222278/reports/detailxml.zip Software used to extract contest-

of Agriculture, Commissioner of Insurance, State School Superintendent, Commissioner of Labor, Public Service Commission District 3, and Public Service Commission District 5, the difference is statistically significant in no more than 5 counties. See Table 1.

23. This disparity in undervote rates by voting technology strongly suggests that malfunction, misconfiguration, bugs, hacking, or other error or malfeasance caused some DREs not to record votes in the Lt. Governor's contest.

Table 1: Counties with statistically significant ($p<0.0001$) disparities in undervote rates between paper ballots and DREs

Contest	Counties with significant undervote rate disparities
Lt. Governor	101
Secretary of State	4
Attorney General	4
Commissioner of Agriculture	5
Commissioner of Insurance	4
State School Superintendent	5
Commissioner of Labor	2
Public Service Commission, District 3	4
Public Service Commission, District 5	4

24. My second opinion concerns the machine-level results for the Winterville Train Depot polling place in Clarke County. There were seven DREs in the polling place; they recorded similar numbers of ballots (117, 135, 131, 133, 135, 144, 135). In this polling place, Democratic candidates won a majority in all ten statewide contests. Every DRE reported a majority for the Democratic candidate in all ten statewide contests except machine 3, which reported a majority for the Republican candidate in every contest.

25. On the assumption that voters were directed to DREs as if at random, the chance any of the seven machines would show disparities as large as machine 3 did in individual contests

level results from those official is given in Appendix II. Software to perform the statistical tests is given in Appendix III.

ranges from less than one percent to approximately 15 percent.[3] Seven of the ten values are significant at level 5 percent or below. See Table 2.

Table 2: Consistency of Results across DREs in Winterville Train Station Polling Place

Contest	P-value
Governor	0.114
Lt. Governor	0.025
Secretary of State	0.018
Attorney General	0.151
Commissioner of Agriculture	0.026
Commissioner of Insurance	0.030
State School Superintendent	0.097
Commissioner of Labor	0.008
Public Service Commission, District 3	0.046
Public Service Commission, District 5	0.025

26. On the assumption that votes were cast on different DREs as if at random, the chance that any of the seven machines would show anomalies as large as machine 3 did is about 0.00009 percent, i.e., less than one in a million.[4]

27. If the Democratic and Republican party labels are flipped on the third machine, the anomaly disappears. For individual contests, no P-value is below 0.280 on the assumption that voters are directed to DREs as if at random, compared with values as small as 0.008 (and seven values below 5 percent) for the actual poll tapes. See Table 3.

[3] These results are based on permutation tests conditional on the number of ballots cast on each machine. The test statistic is the largest absolute difference between the expected and actual fraction of Republican votes in each contest. The P-values are two-sided, conservative P-values for a randomized test; the randomization was performed using a cryptographically secure pseudo-random number generator. Software to perform the statistical tests is given in Appendix IV.

[4] This result is based on a permutation test conditional on the number of ballots cast on each machine. The test statistic is the largest absolute difference between the expected and actual fraction of Republican votes in each contest. Results for different contests were combined using Fisher's combining function to produce the value reported in paragraph 25. The P-values are conservative P-values for randomized tests; the randomization was performed using a cryptographically secure pseudo-random number generator. Software used for the calculations is given in Appendix IV.

28. Similarly, on the assumption that votes are distributed randomly across machines, the chance that the discrepancies would be as large as observed would be roughly 97 percent, rather than 0.00009 percent, the value for the original data.

Table 3: Consistency in Results across DREs in Winterville Train Station Polling Place, if D and R were Flipped on Machine 3.

Contest	P-value
Governor	0.464
Lt. Governor	0.795
Secretary of State	0.450
Attorney General	0.543
Commissioner of Agriculture	0.734
Commissioner of Insurance	0.604
State School Superintendent	0.807
Commissioner of Labor	0.797
Public Service Commission, District 3	0.280
Public Service Commission, District 5	0.939

29. These tests strongly suggest that machine 3 had some other software or hardware problem: misconfiguration, error, defect, hack, or malfunction. The most plausible explanation is that machine 3 was misconfigured in a way that caused votes for Republican candidates to be recorded as votes for Democratic candidates, and vice versa.

I understand that the Winterville Train Depot polling place is one of a number of polling places in which Georgia voters photographed poll tapes after the close of polls. It was not selected at random, but neither is there reason to believe that problems are confined to that polling place.

Conclusions

30. Based on my analysis, described above, and my knowledge of Georgia's DRE voting system used in the November 6, 2018 election, it is my opinion that the certified results of the Lieutenant Governor's race are in substantial doubt.

31. Further statistical analysis of available data may be informative, but it cannot by itself determine who won, nor ascertain with certainty whether there were malfunctions, errors, bugs, defects or hacks, nor, if there were such problems, whether those problems caused the wrong candidate to appear to win.

32. The investigation most likely to produce definitive evidence is a forensic examination of the hardware and software of DREs and other computerized systems used by Georgia counties and the State of Georgia to record, tabulate, aggregate, and report votes and election results, including the hardware and software of devices used to configure those systems.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on this date, 7 January 2019, in Berkeley, California.

Philip B. Stark

A notary public or other officer completing this certificate verifies only the identity of the individual who signed the document to which this certificate is attached, and not the truthfulness, accuracy, or validity of that document.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA)SS

COUNTY OF ALAMEDA)

On JAN. 07TH 2019 before me, ANDREW ADIKRI BAPI, Notary Public, personally appeared PHILIP B. STARK.

who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.

I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.

WITNESS my hand and official seal.

Signature

ANDREW ADIKRI BAPI
COMM. # 2211457
NOTARY PUBLIC - CALIFORNIA
ALAMEDA COUNTY
COMM. EXPIRES SEPT. 22, 2021

This area for official notarial seal.

APPENDIX I

GA005210

Curriculum Vitae
Philip Bradford Stark

Biographical Information . . . 1
- Interests . . . 1

Appointments . . . 1
Awards and Fellowships . . . 2
Affiliations and Professional Societies . . . 3
Education . . . 4
- Mentors . . . 4

Publications . . . 5
- Refereed Publications . . . 5
- Books and Edited Volumes . . . 18
- Book Chapters . . . 18
- Technical Reports, White Papers, and Unrefereed Publications . . . 20
- Editorials, Reviews, Comments, Letters . . . 26
- Software . . . 32

Patents . . . 33
Selected Presentations . . . 33
- Other Invited Seminars . . . 64

Press . . . 66
Teaching and Advising . . . 92
- Courses . . . 92
- Former Graduate Students and Postdocs . . . 94
- Graduate Committees . . . 95
- First-year PhD advising . . . 101
- Current PhD advisees . . . 101
- Undergraduate Research Advisees . . . 101

Service . . . 102
- Professional Societies and Government Agencies . . . 102
- Foundations, Non-Profit Corporations, and Industry . . . 110
- Editorial and Referee Service . . . 110
- University and Higher Education . . . 112

Contracts and Grants . . . 119
Consulting and Expert Witness Experience . . . 122
- Recent Testimony . . . 129

Biographical Information

Born: 7 October 1960, Houston, Texas.

Citizenship: U.S.A.

Interests

Theory: Inference, inverse problems, multiplicity, nonparametrics, optimization, restricted parameters, sampling

Applications: Astrophysics, cosmology, ecology, elections, geophysics, health, legislation, litigation, marketing, physics, public policy, risk assessment and control, uncertainty quantification

Appointments

10/2015–present Associate Dean, Division of Mathematical and Physical Sciences, University of California, Berkeley

6/2016–8/2016 Visiting Professor of Theoretical Computer Science, IT University of Copenhagen

7/2012–6/2015 Chair, Department of Statistics, and Director, Statistical Computing Facility, University of California, Berkeley

7/2011–6/2012 Vice Chair, Department of Statistics, University of California, Berkeley

7/2011–8/2011 Acting Chair, Department of Statistics, University of California, Berkeley

7/2008–present Faculty, Designated Emphasis in Computational and Data Science and Engineering, University of California, Berkeley

7/1998–present Professor, Department of Statistics, University of California, Berkeley

GA005212

7/2001–6/2003 Faculty Assistant in Educational Technology (to Vice Provost for Undergraduate Education), University of California, Berkeley

6/1996 Visiting Associate Professor, School of Mathematical Sciences, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel

7/1994–6/1998 Associate Professor, Department of Statistics, University of California, Berkeley

7/1988–6/1994 Assistant Professor, Department of Statistics, University of California, Berkeley

7/1987–6/1990 National Science Foundation Postdoctoral Fellow in Mathematical Sciences

1/1987–6/1987 Postgraduate Research, Department of Statistics, University of California, Berkeley

8/1986–12/1986 Postgraduate Research, Institute for Geophysics and Planetary Physics, UC San Diego

Awards and Fellowships

Velux/Villum Foundation Visiting Professor Programme (2015–2016)

Leamer-Rosenthal Prize for Transparency in Social Science (2015)

Chancellor's Award for Public Service, Research in the Public Interest, University of California, Berkeley (2011)

John Gideon Award for Election Integrity, Election Verification Network (2011)

Mellon Library/Faculty Fellow for Undergraduate Research (2006–2007)

Presidential Chair Fellow, University of California, Berkeley (2003–2004)

Fellow, American Statistical Association (selected 2014)

Fellow, Institute of Physics (elected 1999)

Miller Research Professor, Miller Institute for Basic Research in Science (1999)

Dobson Fellow, University of California at Berkeley (1998, 1999)

Presidential Young Investigator (1989–1995)

National Science Foundation Postdoctoral Fellowship in Mathematical Sciences (1987–1989)

University Fellowship, University of Texas at Austin (1982–1983)

Affiliations

Association of Foragers

Berkeley Institute for Data Science (BIDS), University of California, Berkeley

Berkeley Food Institute, University of California, Berkeley

Berkeley Open Source Food, University of California, Berkeley

Center for Astrostatistics, Pennsylvania State University

Global Oscillation Network Group (GONG)

National Partnership for Advanced Computational Infrastructure (NPACI)

Simons Institute for the Theory of Computing, University of California, Berkeley

Solar and Heliospheric Observatory Solar Oscillations Investigation (SOHO-SOI)

Space Sciences Laboratory, University of California, Berkeley

Theoretical Astrophysics Center, University of California, Berkeley

GA005214

Professional Societies

American Statistical Association: Fellow and Accredited Professional Statistician

Bernoulli Society for Mathematical Statistics and Probability

Institute of Mathematical Statistics

Institute of Physics: Fellow and Chartered Physicist

International Statistical Institute

Royal Astronomical Society: Fellow

Education

A.B. 1980, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey

Ph.D. 1986, University of California, San Diego, La Jolla, California

Mentors

Robert L. Parker, Institute for Geophysics and Planetary Physics, Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California, San Diego (PhD dissertation advisor)

George E. Backus, Institute for Geophysics and Planetary Physics, Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California, San Diego (postdoctoral advisor)

David L. Donoho, Department of Statistics, Stanford University (postdoctoral advisor)

GA005215

Publications

Refereed Publications

1. Stark, P.B. and C. Frohlich, 1985. The depths of the deepest deep Earthquakes, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *90*, 1859–1869.

2. Stark, P.B., R.L. Parker, G. Masters, and J.A. Orcutt, 1986. Strict bounds on seismic velocity in the spherical Earth, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *91*, 13,892–13,902.

3. Stark, P.B., 1986. *Travel-Time Inversion: Regularization and Inference*, Ph.D. Thesis, Scripps Instution of Oceanography, University of California, San Diego, 106pp.

4. Stark, P.B., and R.L. Parker, 1987. Smooth profiles from tau(p) and X(p) data, *Geophysical Journal of the Royal Astronomical Society*, *89*, 2713–2719.

5. Stark, P.B., and R.L. Parker, 1987. Velocity bounds from statistical estimates of tau(p) and X(p), *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *92*, 2713–2719.

6. Stark, P.B., 1987. Rigorous velocity bounds from soft tau(p) and X(p) data, *Geophysical Journal of the Royal Astronomical Society*, *89*, 987–996.

7. Orcutt, J.A., R.L. Parker, P.B. Stark, and J.D. Garmany, 1988. Comment concerning "A method of obtaining a velocity-depth envelope from wide-angle seismic data" by R. Mithal and J.B. Diebold. *Geophysical Journal*, *95*, 209–212.

8. Stark, P.B. and R.L. Parker, 1988. Correction to "Velocity bounds from statistical estimates of tau(p) and X(p)." *Journal of Geophysical Research*, *93*, 13,821–13,822.

9. Donoho, D.L. and P.B. Stark, 1989. Uncertainty principles and signal recovery. *SIAM Journal of Applied Mathematics*, *49*, 906–931.

10. Stark, P.B., 1992. Affine minimax confidence intervals for a bounded Normal mean, *Statistics and Probability Letters*, *13*, 39–44.

GA005216

11. Stark, P.B., 1992. Minimax confidence intervals in geomagnetism, *Geophysical Journal International, 108,* 329–338.

12. Stark, P.B., 1992. Inference in infinite-dimensional inverse problems: Discretization and duality, *Journal of Geophysical Research, 97,* 14,055–14,082. Reprint: `http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1029/92JB00739/epdf`

13. Donoho, D.L. and P.B. Stark, 1993. A note on rearrangements, spectral concentration, and the zero-order prolate spheroidal wavefunction. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, 39,* 257–260.

14. Pulliam, R.J. and P.B. Stark, 1993. Bumps on the core-mantle boundary: Are they facts or artifacts?, *Journal of Geophysical Research, 98,* 1943–1956.

15. Stark, P.B. and N.W. Hengartner, 1993. Reproducing Earth's kernel: Uncertainty of the shape of the core-mantle boundary from PKP and PcP travel-times, *Journal of Geophysical Research, 98*, 1957–1972.

16. Stark, P.B., 1993. Uncertainty of the COBE quadrupole detection, *Astrophysical Journal Letters, 408*, L73–L76.

17. Stark, P.B. and D.I. Nikolayev, 1993. Toward tubular tomography, *Journal of Geophysical Research, 98,* 8095–8106.

18. Constable, C.G., R.L. Parker, and P.B. Stark, 1993. Geomagnetic field models incorporating frozen-flux constraints, *Geophysical Journal International, 113,* 419–433.

19. Gough, D.O. and P.B. Stark, 1993. Are the 1986–1988 changes in solar free-oscillation frequency splitting significant?, *Astrophysical Journal, 415,* 376–382.

20. Stark, P.B., M.M. Herron, and A. Matteson, 1993. Empirically minimax affine mineralogy estimates from Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy data using a decimated wavelet basis, *Applied Spectroscopy, 47,* 1820–1829.

21. Pulliam, R.J. and P.B. Stark, 1994. Confidence regions for mantle heterogeneity, *Journal of Geophysical Research, 99,* 6931–6943.

GA005217

22. Genovese, C.R., P.B. Stark, and M.J. Thompson, 1995. Uncertainties for Two-Dimensional Models of Solar Rotation from Helioseismic Eigenfrequency Splitting, *Astrophysical Journal, 443*, 843–854.

23. Stark, P.B. and R.L. Parker, 1995. Bounded-variable least-squares: an algorithm and applications, *Computational Statistics, 10*, 129–141. Preprint:
`https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/bvls.pdf`

24. Hengartner, N.W. and P.B. Stark, 1995. Finite-sample confidence envelopes for shape-restricted densities, *The Annals of Statistics, 23*, 525–550.

25. Stark, P.B., 1995. Reply to Comment by Morelli and Dziewonski, *Journal of Geophysical Research, 100*, 15,399–15,402.

26. Gough, D.O., T. Sekii, and P.B. Stark, 1996. Inferring spatial variation of solar properties from helioseismic data, *Astrophysical Journal, 459*, 779–791.

27. Benjamini, Y. and Stark, P.B., 1996. Non-equivariant simultaneous confidence intervals less likely to contain zero, *Journal of the American Statistical Association, 91*, 329–337.

28. Hill, F., P.B. Stark, R.T. Stebbins, E.R. Anderson, H.M. Antia, T.M. Brown, T.L. Duvall, Jr., D.A. Haber, J.W. Harvey, D.H. Hathaway, R. Howe, R. Hubbard, H.P. Jones, J.R. Kennedy, S.G. Korzennik, A.G. Kosovichev, J.W. Leibacher, K.G. Libbrecht, J.A. Pintar, E.J. Rhodes, Jr., J. Schou, M.J. Thompson, S. Tomczyk, C.G. Toner, R. Toussaint, and W.E. Williams, 1996. The solar acoustic spectrum and eigenmode parameters, *Science, 272*, 1292–1295.

29. Thompson, M.J., J. Toomre, E.R. Anderson, H.M. Antia, G. Berthomieu, D. Burtonclay, S.M. Chitre, J. Christensen-Dalsgaard, T. Corbard, M. DeRosa, C.R. Genovese, D.O. Gough, D.A. Haber, J.W. Harvey, F. Hill, R. Howe, S.G. Korzennik, A.G. Kosovichev, J.W. Leibacher, F.P. Pijpers, J. Provost, E.J. Rhodes, Jr., J. Schou, T. Sekii, P.B. Stark, and P.R. Wilson, 1996. Differential rotation and dynamics of the solar interior, *Science, 272*, 1300–1305.

GA005218

30. Stark, P.B., 1996. A few considerations for ascribing statistical significance to earthquake predictions, *Geophysical Research Letters, 23*, 1399–1402.

31. Evans, S.N., and P.B. Stark, 1996. Shrinkage estimators, Skorokhod's problem, and stochastic integration by parts, *The Annals of Statistics, 24*, 809–815.

32. Genovese, C.R. and P.B. Stark, 1996. Data Reduction and Statistical Consistency in Linear Inverse Problems, *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors, 98*, 143–162.

33. Stark, P.B., 1997. Earthquake prediction: the null hypothesis, *Geophysical Journal International, 131*, 495–499.

34. Benjamini, Y., Y. Hochberg, and P.B. Stark, 1998. Confidence Intervals with more Power to determine the Sign: Two Ends constrain the Means, *Journal of the American Statistical Association, 93*, 309–317.

35. Tenorio, L., P.B. Stark, and C.H. Lineweaver, 1999. Bigger uncertainties and the Big Bang, *Inverse Problems, 15*, 329–341.

36. Stark, P.B., 1999. Geophysics, Statistics in, in *Encyclopedia of Statistical Sciences, Update Volume 3*, S. Kotz, C.B. Read, and D.L. Banks, eds., John Wiley and Sons, NY. Invited. Reprint: `http://mrw.interscience.wiley.com/emrw/9780471667193/ess/article/ess1053/current/pdf`

37. Komm, R., Y. Gu, P.B. Stark, and I. Fodor, 1999. Multitaper Spectral Analysis and Wavelet Denoising Applied to Helioseismic Data, *Astrophysical Journal, 519*, 407–421.

38. Freedman, D.A., and P.B. Stark, 1999. The swine flu vaccine and Guillain-Barré syndrome: a case study in relative risk and specific causation, *Evaluation Review, 23*, 619–647. Preprint: `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/users/census/546.pdf`

39. Fodor, I. and P.B. Stark, 2000. Multitaper Spectrum Estimation for Time Series with Gaps, *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing, 48*, 3472–3483.

40. Freedman, D.A., P.B. Stark, and K.W. Wachter, 2001. A probability model for census adjustment, *Mathematical Population Studies, 9*, 165–180.

41. D.A. Freedman and P.B. Stark, 2001. The swine flu vaccine and Guillain-Barré syndrome. *Law and Contemporary Problems, 64*, 49–62. Reprint:
`http://www.law.duke.edu/shell/cite.pl?64+Law+&+Contemp.+Probs.+49+(Autumn+2001)`

42. Evans, S.N. and P.B. Stark, 2002. Inverse Problems as Statistics, *Inverse Problems, 18*, R55–R97. Invited. Reprint:
`http://iopscience.iop.org/0266-5611/18/4/201/pdf/0266-5611_18_4_201.pdf`

43. Stark, P.B. and D.A. Freedman, 2003. What is the Chance of an Earthquake? in *Earthquake Science and Seismic Risk Reduction*, F. Mulargia and R.J. Geller, eds., NATO Science Series IV: Earth and Environmental Sciences, v. 32, Kluwer, Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 201–213. Invited. Preprint:
`https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/611.pdf`

44. Stark, P.B., 2003. Capture-recapture. *Encyclopedia of Social Science Research Methods*, Sage Publications, Thousand Oaks, CA. Invited. Preprint:
`https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/capt2002.pdf`

45. Stark, P.B., 2003. Census Adjustment. *Encyclopedia of Social Science Research Methods*, Sage Publications, Thousand Oaks, CA. Invited. Preprint:
`https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/adj2002.pdf`

46. Schafer, C.M. and P.B. Stark, 2004. Using what we know: inference with physical constraints. *Proceedings of the Conference on Statistical Problems in Particle Physics, Astrophysics and Cosmology PHYSTAT2003*, L. Lyons, R. Mount and R. Reitmeyer, eds., Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, Menlo Park, CA, 25–34.

GA005220

47. Evans, S.N., B. Hansen, and P.B. Stark, 2005. Minimax Expected Measure Confidence Sets for Restricted Location Parameters, *Bernoulli, 11*, 571–590. Also Tech. Rept. 617, Dept. Statistics Univ. Calif Berkeley (May 2002, revised May 2003). Preprint: https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/617.pdf

48. Divenyi, P., P.B. Stark, and K. Haupt, 2005. Decline of Speech Understanding and Auditory Thresholds in the Elderly, *Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 118*, 1089–1100.

49. Freedman, D.A. and P.B. Stark, 2007. Ecological Inference, in *1 Encyclopedia of Law and Society: American and Global Perspectives*, 447–448, David S. Clark, ed., Sage Publications. Invited. Preprint: https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/ecoInf07.txt

50. Luen, B. and P.B. Stark, 2008. Testing Earthquake Predictions. *IMS Lecture Notes—Monograph Series. Probability and Statistics: Essays in Honor of David A. Freedman*, 302–315. Institute for Mathematical Statistics Press, Beachwood, OH. Invited. Reprint: http://arxiv.org/abs/0805.3032

51. Stark, P.B., 2008. The effectiveness of Internet content filters, *I/S: A Journal of Law and Policy for the Information Society, 4*, 411–429. Reprint: http://www.is-journal.org/V04I02/Stark.pdf Preprint: https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/filter07.pdf

52. Stark, P.B., 2008. Conservative statistical post-election audits, *The Annals of Applied Statistics, 2*, 550–581. Reprint: http://arxiv.org/abs/0807.4005

53. Stark, P.B., 2008. A Sharper Discrepancy Measure for Post-Election Audits, *The Annals of Applied Statistics, 2*, 2008, 982–985. Reprint: http://arxiv.org/abs/0811.1697

54. Stark, P.B., 2008. Generalizing resolution, *Inverse Problems, 24*, 034014. Invited; selected for 2008 Highlights for *Inverse Problems* Reprint:

https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/resolution07.pdf

55. Schafer, C.M., and P.B. Stark, 2009. Constructing Confidence Sets of Optimal Expected Size. *Journal of the American Statistical Association, 104*, 1080–1089. Reprint: https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/schaferStark09.pdf

56. Berlow, E.L., J.A. Dunne, N.D. Martinez, P.B. Stark, R.J. Williams and U. Brose, 2009. Simplicity on the other side of ecological complexity. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 106*, 187–219. Reprint: http://www.pnas.org/content/106/1/187.full.pdf+html

57. Hall, J.L., L.W. Miratrix, P.B. Stark, M. Briones, E. Ginnold, F. Oakley, M. Peaden, G. Pellerin, T. Stanionis and T. Webber, 2009. Implementing Risk-Limiting Audits in California, *2009 Electronic Voting Technology Workshop/Workshop on Trustworthy Elections (EVT/WOTE '09)*. Reprint: http://static.usenix.org/events/evtwote09/tech/full_papers/hall.pdf.
SSRN's Top Ten download list for ERN: Models of Political Processes: Rent-Seeking, Elections, Legislatures, & Voting Behavior

58. Stark, P.B., 2009. CAST: Canvass Audits by Sampling and Testing. *IEEE Transactions on Information Forensics and Security: Special Issue on Electronic Voting, 4*, 708–717. Reprint: https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/cast09.pdf

59. Miratrix, L.W. and P.B. Stark, 2009. Election Audits using a Trinomial Bound. *IEEE Transactions on Information Forensics and Security: Special Issue on Electronic Voting, 4*, 974–981. Reprint: https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/trinomial09.pdf

60. Stark, P.B., 2009. Risk-limiting post-election audits: P-values from common probability inequalities. *IEEE Transactions on Information Forensics and Security: Special Issue on Electronic Voting, 4*, 1005–1014. Reprint:

https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/pvalues09.pdf

61. Stark, P.B., 2009. Efficient post-election audits of multiple contests: 2009 California tests. Refereed paper presented at the 2009 Conference on Empirical Legal Studies. Preprint: http://ssrn.com/abstract=1443314

62. Stark, P.B., 2010. Risk-Limiting Vote-Tabulation Audits: The Importance of Cluster Size. *Chance*, *23*(3), 9–12. Preprint: https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/auditingChance10.pdf

63. Stark, P.B., 2010. Super-simple simultaneous single-ballot risk-limiting audits. *2010 Electronic Voting Technology Workshop/Workshop on Trustworthy Elections (EVT/WOTE '10)*, D. Jones, J.J. Quisquater and E.K. Rescorla, eds. Reprint: http://www.usenix.org/events/evtwote10/tech/full_papers/Stark.pdf

64. Stark, P.B. and L. Tenorio, 2010. A Primer of Frequentist and Bayesian Inference in Inverse Problems. In *Large Scale Inverse Problems and Quantification of Uncertainty*, Biegler, L., G. Biros, O. Ghattas, M. Heinkenschloss, D. Keyes, B. Mallick, L. Tenorio, B. van Bloemen Waanders and K. Willcox, eds. John Wiley and Sons, NY. Preprint: https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/freqBayes09.pdf

65. Stark, P.B., 2010. Null and Vetoed: "Chance Coincidence"? *Chance*, *23(4)*, 43–46. Preprint: https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/acrosticVeto09.htm

66. Benaloh, J., D. Jones, E. Lazarus, M. Lindeman, and P.B. Stark, 2011. SOBA: Secrecy-preserving Observable Ballot-level Audit. *2011 Electronic Voting Technology Workshop/Workshop on Trustworthy Elections (EVT/WOTE '11)*. Reprint: http://static.usenix.org/events/evtwote11/tech/final_files/Benaloh.pdf

Video: https://www.usenix.org/conference/evtwote-11/soba-secrecy-preserving-observable-ballot-level-audit

67. Higgins, M.J., R.L. Rivest and P.B. Stark, 2011. Sharper p-values for Stratified Post-Election Audits. *Statistics, Politics, and Policy, 2*(1), Article 7. Reprint:
http://www.degruyter.com/downloadpdf/j/spp.2011.2.issue-1/2151-7509.1031/2151-7509.1031.xml
Preprint:
https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/higginsRivestStark11.pdf

68. Shearer, P.M. and P.B. Stark, 2012. The global risk of big earthquakes has not recently increased. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 109*(3), 717–721. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1118525109. (Commentary by G. Beroza, *PNAS 2012, 109*(3) 651–652. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1120744109.) Reprint:
http://www.pnas.org/content/early/2011/12/12/1118525109.full.pdf+html

69. Luen, B. and P.B. Stark, 2012. Poisson tests of declustered catalogs. *Geophysical Journal International, 189*, 691–700. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-246X.2012.05400.x
Reprint:
http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1365-246X.2012.05400.x/pdf
Preprint:
https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/decluster11.pdf

70. Lindeman, M., P.B. Stark, and V.S. Yates, 2012. BRAVO: Ballot-polling Risk-Limiting Audits to Verify Outcomes. *2012 Electronic Voting Technology Workshop/Workshop on Trustworthy Elections (EVT/WOTE '12).* Reprint:
https://www.usenix.org/system/files/conference/evtwote12/evtwote12-final27.pdf

71. Huttunen, J.M.J., and P.B. Stark, 2012. Cheap contouring of costly functions: The Pilot Approximation Trajectory Algorithm. *Computa-*

tional Science & Discovery, *5*, 015006. Reprint: http://stacks.iop.org/1749-4699/5/015006

72. Lindeman, M. and P.B. Stark, 2012. A Gentle Introduction to Risk-Limiting Audits. *IEEE Security and Privacy*, *10*, 42–49. Preprint: https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/gentle12.pdf

73. Stark, P.B., and D.A. Wagner, 2012. Evidence-Based Elections. *IEEE Security and Privacy*, *10*, 33–41. Preprint: https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/evidenceVote12.pdf

74. Benjamini, Y., V. Madar, and P.B. Stark, 2013. Simultaneous confidence intervals uniformly more likely to determine signs, *Biometrika*, doi: 10.1093/biomet/ass074
Reprint: http://biomet.oxfordjournals.org/content/early/2013/02/20/biomet.ass074.full.pdf
Preprint: https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/qc11.pdf

75. Benaloh, J., M. Byrne, B. Eakin, P. Kortum, N. McBurnett, O. Pereira, P.B. Stark, and D.S. Wallach, 2013. STAR-Vote: A Secure, Transparent, Auditable, and Reliable Voting System. *JETS: USENIX Journal of Election Technology and Systems*, *1*,18–37. Reprint: https://www.usenix.org/sites/default/files/jets0101-complete.pdf

76. Stark, P.B., and V. Teague, 2014. Verifiable European Elections: Risk-limiting Audits for D'Hondt and Its Relatives, *JETS: USENIX Journal of Election Technology and Systems*, *3.1*, https://www.usenix.org/jets/issues/0301/stark

77. Stark, P.B., and R. Freishtat, 2014. An evaluation of course evaluations. *Science Open*, DOI 10.14293/S2199-1006.1.-.AOFRQA.v1, https://www.scienceopen.com/document/vid/42e6aae5-246b-4900-8015-dc99b467b6e4 (post refereed)

78. Luo, T., and P.B. Stark, 2015. Nine out of 10 restaurants fail? Check, please. *Significance*, *12*, 25–29. Preprint: http://arxiv-web3.libra

ry.cornell.edu/abs/1410.8603v1 Reprint: http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1111/j.1740-9713.2015.00813.x/abstract

79. Saltelli, A., P.B. Stark, W. Becker, and P. Stano, 2015. Climate Models as Economic Guides: Scientific Challenge or Quixotic Quest?, *Issues in Science and Technology*, Spring 2015. Preprint: https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/saltelliEtal15.pdf Reprint: http://issues.org/31-3/climate-models-as-economic-guides-scientific-challenge-or-quixotic-quest/

80. Matchett, J.R., P.B. Stark, R.A. Knapp, S.M. Ostoja, H.C. McKenny, M. Brooks, W. Langford, L.N. Joppa, and E. Berlow, 2015. Detecting the influence of rare stressors on rare species in Yosemite National Park using a novel stratified permutation test, *Nature Scientific Reports, 5*. doi:10.1038/srep10702, Reprint: http://www.nature.com/srep/2015/150602/srep10702/full/srep10702.html

81. Arratia, R., S. Garibaldi, L. Mower, and P.B. Stark, 2015. Some people have all the luck. *Mathematics Magazine, 88*, 196–211. doi:10.4169/math.mag.88.3.196.c, Reprint: https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/luck15.pdf

82. Stark, P.B., 2015. Constraints versus priors. *SIAM/ASA Journal on Uncertainty Quantification, 3*(1), 586–598. doi:10.1137/130920721, Reprint: http://epubs.siam.org/doi/10.1137/130920721, Preprint: https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/constraintsPriors15.pdf.

83. Mulargia, F., P. Gasperini, B. Lolli, and P.B. Stark, 2015. Purported precursors: poor predictors. *Bollettino di Geofisica Teorica ed Applicata, 56*, 351–356. doi:10.4430/bgta0142, Reprint: http://www2.ogs.trieste.it/bgta/pdf/bgta0142_MULARGIA.pdf

84. Regier, J.C. and P.B. Stark, 2015. Uncertainty quantification for emulators. *SIAM/ASA Journal on Uncertainty Quantification, 3*, 686–708. doi:10.1137/130917909, Reprint: http://epubs.siam.org/doi/10.1137/130917909, Preprint: https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/uqEmu15.pdf.

GA005226

85. Boring, A., K. Ottoboni, and P.B. Stark, 2016. Teaching evaluations (mostly) do not measure teaching effectiveness, *Science Open*, doi:10.14293/S2199-1006.1.SOR-EDU.AETBZC.v1, https://www.scienceopen.com/document/vid/818d8ec0-5908-47d8-86b4-5dc38f04b23e (post refereed)

86. Mulargia, F., P.B. Stark, and R.J. Geller, 2017. Why is Probabilistic Seismic Hazard Analysis (PSHA) Still Used? *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors, 264*, 63–75. Reprint: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0031920116303016

87. Kuusela, M., and P.B. Stark, 2017. Shape-constrained uncertainty quantification in unfolding steeply falling elementary particle spectra, *Annals of Applied Statistics, 11*, 1671–1710. Preprint: http://arxiv.org/abs/1512.00905

88. Bernhard, M., J.A. Halderman, R.L. Rivest, P. Vora, P.Y.A. Ryan, V. Teague, J. Benaloh, P.B. Stark and D. Wallach, 2017. Public Evidence from Secret Ballots, in: Krimmer R., Volkamer M., Braun Binder N., Kersting N., Pereira O., Schürmann C. (eds), *Electronic Voting. E-Vote-ID 2017. Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 10615.* Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-68687-5_6. Preprint: https://arxiv.org/abs/1707.08619

89. Mulargia, F., R.J. Geller, and P.B. Stark, 2017. Reply to comments by Console et al. *Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors*, to appear. Preprint: http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0031920117303084

90. Fernandez, A., K. Kashinath, J. McAuliffe, Prabhat, P. Stark, and M. Wehner, 2017. Towards a statistical model of tropical cyclone genesis. *Proceedings of the 7th International Workshop on Climate Informatics: CI 2017.*

91. Kafkafi, N., J. Agassi, E.J. Chesler, J.C. Crabbe, W.E. Crusio, D. Eilam, R. Gerlai, I. Golani, A. Gomez-Marin, R. Heller, F. Iraqi, I. Jaljuli, N.A. Karp, H. Morgan, G. Nicholson, D.W. Pfaff, H.S. Richter, P.B. Stark, O. Stiedl, V. Stodden, L.M. Tarantino, V. Tucci, W. Valdar, R.W. Williams, H. Wurbel, and Y. Benjamini, 2018. Reproducibility

GA005227

and replicability of rodent phenotyping in preclinical studies. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews* https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2018.01.003, Preprint: *BioArXiV*, http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/079350

92. S. Behnezhad, A. Blum, M. Derakhshan, M. Hajiaghayi, M. Mahdian, C.H. Papadimitriou, R.L. Rivest, S. Seddighin and P.B. Stark, 2018. From Battlefields to Presidential Elections: Winning Strategies of Blotto and Auditing Games, *ACM-SIAM Conference on Discrete Algorithms (SODA 2018)*, to appear. Preprint: https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/behnezhadEtal18.pdf

93. Stark, P.B., and A. Saltelli, 2018. Cargo-cult Statistics and Scientific Crisis, *Significance*, *15*(4), 40–43. Preprint: https://www.significancemagazine.com/593

94. Ottoboni, K., P.B. Stark, M. Lindeman, and N. McBurnett, 2018. Risk-Limiting Audits by Stratified Union-Intersection Tests of Elections (SUITE), to appear in *Electronic Voting. E-Vote-ID 2018. Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, Springer. https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-030-00419-4_12. Preprint: https://arxiv.org/abs/1809.04235

95. Evans, S.N., R.L. Rivest, and P.B. Stark, 2019. Leading the field: Fortune favors the bold in Thurstonian choice models, *Bernoulli*, *25*(1), 26–46. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.3150/17-BEJ930 Preprint: https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/evansEtal19.pdf

96. Bernhard, M., A. Halderman, K. Ottoboni, R.L. Rivest, and P.B. Stark, 2019. Bernoulli Ballot Polling: A Manifest Improvement for Risk-Limiting Audits, *Voting '19*, to appear. Preprint: http://arxiv.org/abs/1812.06361

97. Stark, P.B., D. Miller, T.J. Carlson, and K.R. de Vasquez, 2019. Open-Source Food: Nutrition, Toxicology, and Availability of Wild Edible Greens in the East Bay, *PLOS One*, to appear. Preprint: https://doi.org/10.1101/385864.

Papers submitted for publication

98. Mohamadlou, H., A. Lynn-Palevsky, C. Barton, G. Fletcher, L. Shieh, P.B. Stark, U. Chettipally, D. Shimabukuro, M. Feldman, and R. Das, 2018. Multicenter validation of a machine learning algorithm for 48 hour all-cause mortality prediction, submitted to *Journal of Critical Care*.

Books and Edited Volumes

99. Stark, P.B., 1997. *SticiGui: Statistics Tools for Internet and Classroom Instruction with a Graphical User Interface.* `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/SticiGui`

100. Freedman, D.A., 2009. *Statistical Models and Causal Inference: A Dialog with the Social Sciences*, D. Collier, J.S. Sekhon and P.B. Stark, eds., Cambridge University Press, New York.

Book Chapters

101. Stark, P.B., 1988. Strict bounds and applications. in *Some Topics on Inverse Problems*, P.C. Sabatier, ed., World Scientific, Singapore.

102. Stark, P.B., 1990. Rigorous computer solutions to infinite-dimensional inverse problems. in *Inverse Methods in Action*, P.C. Sabatier, ed., Springer-Verlag. 462–467.

103. Stark, P.B., 2000. Inverse Problems as Statistics, in *Surveys on Solution Methods for Inverse Problems*, Colton, D., H.W. Engl, A.K. Louis, J.R. Mclaughlin and W. Rundell, eds., Springer-Verlag, New York, 253–275. Invited.

104. Schafer, C.M, and P.B. Stark, 2003. Inference in Microwave Cosmology: A Frequentist Perspective, in *Statistical Challenges in Astronomy*, E.D. Feigelson and G.J. Babu, eds., Springer, New York, 215–219.

105. Stark, P.B., 2004. Estimating power spectra of galactic structure: can Statistics help?, in *Penetrating Bars Through Masks of Cosmic Dust:*

GA005229

The Hubble Tuning Fork Strikes a New Note, D.L. Block, I. Puerari, K.C. Freeman, R. Groess and E.K. Block, eds., Springer, The Netherlands, 613–617. Invited.

106. Geller, R.J., F. Mulargia, and P.B. Stark, 2015. Why we need a new paradigm of earthquake occurrence, in *Subduction Dynamics: From Mantle Flow to Mega Disasters, Geophysical Monograph 211*, American Geophysical Union, G. Morra, D.A. Yuen, S. King, S.M. Lee, and S. Stein, eds., Wiley, New York, 183–191. Preprint: `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/paradigm16.pdf`

107. Stark, P.B., 2017. *Nullius in verba*, in *The Practice of Reproducible Research: Case Studies and Lessons from the Data-Intensive Sciences*, J. Kitzes, D. Turek, and F. İmamoğlu, eds., University of California Press, Oakland, CA. `https://www.practicereproducibleresearch.org/core-chapters/0-preface.html`

108. Millman, K.J., K. Ottoboni, N.A.P. Stark, and P.B. Stark, 2017. Reproducible Applied Statistics: Is Tagging of Therapist-Patient Interactions Reliable?, in *The Practice of Reproducible Research: Case Studies and Lessons from the Data-Intensive Sciences*, J. Kitzes, D. Turek, and F. İmamoğlu, eds. University of California Press, Oakland, CA. `https://www.practicereproducibleresearch.org/case-studies/millmanOttoboniStark.html`

109. Bell, S., J. Benaloh, M.D. Byrne, D. DeBeauvoir, B. Eakin, G. Fisher, P. Kortum, N. McBurnett, J. Montoya, M. Parker, O. Pereira, P.B. Stark, D.S. Wallach, and M. Winn, 2017. STAR-Vote: A Secure, Transparent, Auditable, and Reliable Voting System, in *Real-World Electronic Voting: Design, Analysis and Deployment*, F. Hao and P.Y.A. Ryan, eds. CRC Press, Boca Raton, FL.

110. Stark, P.B., and K. Ottoboni, 2018. Random sampling: practice makes imperfect, Proceedings of the Fourth Conference of the International Society for Non-Parametric Statistics, Salerno, Italy. Springer. Preprint: `http://arxiv.org/abs/1810.10985`

Technical Reports, White Papers, Unrefereed Publications

111. Donoho, D.L. and P.B. Stark, 1988. Rearrangements and Smoothing, Tech. Rept. 148, Dept. Stat., Univ. Calif. Berkeley.

112. Donoho, D.L. and P.B. Stark, 1989. Recovery of a Sparse Signal When the Low Frequency Information is Missing, Tech. Rept. 179, Dept. Statistics, Univ. Calif. Berkeley.

113. Hengartner, N.W. and P.B. Stark, 1992. Conservative finite-sample confidence envelopes for monotone and unimodal densities, Tech. Rept. 341, Dept. Statistics, Univ. Calif. Berkeley.

114. Hengartner, N.W. and P.B. Stark, 1992. Confidence bounds on the probability density of aftershocks, Tech. Rept. 352, Dept. Statistics, Univ. Calif. Berkeley.

115. Stark, P.B., 1992. The Cosmic Microwave Background and Earth's Core-Mantle Boundary: A Tale of Two CMB's, Tech. Rept. 371, Dept. Statistics, Univ. Calif. Berkeley. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/371.pdf`

116. Genovese, C. and P.B. Stark, 1993. l_1 spectral estimation: Algorithms and tests of super-resolution, in *GONG 1992: Seismic Investigations of the Sun and Stars, Proc. Astr. Soc. Pac. Conf. Ser.*, **42**, T. Brown, ed., 453–456.

117. Gough, D.O. and P.B. Stark, 1993. The significance of changes in solar free-oscillation splitting from 1986–1990, in *GONG 1992: Seismic Investigations of the Sun and Stars, Proc. Astr. Soc. Pac. Conf. Ser.*, *42*, T. Brown, ed., 221–224.

GA005231

118. Stark, P.B., 1994. Simultaneous Confidence Intervals for Linear Estimates of Linear Functionals, Tech. Rept. 417, Dept. Statistics, Univ. Calif. Berkeley.

119. Sekii, T., C.R. Genovese, D.O. Gough, and P.B. Stark, 1995. Observational constraints on the internal solar angular velocity, in *Fourth SOHO Workshop: Helioseismology*, J.T. Hoeksema, V. Domingo, B. Fleck and B. Battrick, eds., ESA Publications Division SP-376, Noordwijk, Volume 2, 279–283.

120. Stark, P.B., 1997. Data Sampling Rate Reduction for the OERSTED Geomagnetic Satellite. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/Oersted/writeup.htm

121. Fodor, I.K., J.G. Berryman, and P.B. Stark, 1997. Comparison of Autoregressive and Multitaper Spectral Analysis for Long Time Series, *Stanford Exploration Project*, *95*, 331–355.

122. Borrill, J., and P.B. Stark, 1998. A fast method for bounding the CMB power spectrum likelihood function.

123. Stark, P.B., 1998. Testimony before U.S. House of Representatives Subcommittee on the Census, 5 May 1998. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Census/house-5-5-98-pbs.pdf

124. Stark, P.B., 1998. Response to 25 Questions from Representative C. Maloney, Ranking Minority Member, U.S. House of Representatives Subcommittee on the Census, 13 May 1998. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Census/maloney-5-13-98-pbs.pdf

125. Stark, P.B., 1999. Letter to the Editor of USA Today regarding Sampling to Adjust the 2000 Census, 19 January. (original version: h

GA005232

ttps://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Census/usaOpEd99.htm)

126. Komm, R.W., Y. Gu, F. Hill, P.B. Stark, and I.K. Fodor, 1998. Multitaper Spectral Analysis and Wavelet Denoising Applied to Helioseismic Data, *Proc. Tenth Cambridge Workshop on Cool Stars, Stellar Systems and the Sun*, ASP Conference Series, 154, CDR 783–790.

127. Komm, R.W., E. Anderson, F. Hill, R. Howe, A.G. Kosovichev, P.H. Scherrer, J. Schou, I. Fodor, and P. Stark, 1998. Comparison of SOHO-SOI/MDI and GONG Spectra, *Proceedings of the SOHO 6/GONG 98 Workshop*, 'Structure and Dynamics of the Interior of the Sun and Sun-like Stars,' Boston, USA, 1–4 June 1998, ESA SP-418, 253–256.

128. Komm, R.W., E. Anderson, F. Hill, R. Howe, I. Fodor, and P. Stark, 1998. Multitaper analysis applied to a 3-month time series, *Proceedings of the SOHO 6/GONG 98 Workshop*, 'Structure and Dynamics of the Interior of the Sun and Sun-like Stars,' Boston, USA, 1–4 June 1998, ESA SP–418, 257–260.

129. Fodor, I.K. and P.B. Stark, 1999. Multitaper Spectrum Estimates for Time Series with Missing Values, *Computing Science and Statistics, 31*: Models, Predictions, and Computing. K. Berk and M. Pourahmadi, eds., 383–387.

130. Stark, P.B., 1999. The 1990 and 2000 Census Adjustment Plans, Tech. Rept. 550, Dept. Statistics, Univ. Calif. Berkeley. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Census/550.pdf (revised May 2000)

131. Schafer, C.M. and P.B. Stark, 2006. Constructing Confidence Sets of Optimal Expected Size. Technical report 836, Department of Statistics, Carnegie Mellon University. http://www.stat.cmu.edu/t

GA005233

r/tr836/tr836.html

132. Jefferson, D., K. Alexander, E. Ginnold, A. Lehmkuhl, K. Midstokke and P.B. Stark, 2007. *Post Election Audit Standards Report—Evaluation of Audit Sampling Models and Options for Strengthening California's Manual Count.* http://www.sos.ca.gov/elections/peas/final_peaswg_report.pdf

133. Stark, P.B., 2009. Auditing a collection of races simultaneously. http://arxiv.org/abs/0905.1422v1

134. Stark, P.B., 2009. The status and near future of post-election auditing. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/auditingPosition09.htm

135. Stark, P.B., 2010. Why small audit batches are more efficient: two heuristic explanations. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/smallBatchHeuristics10.htm

136. Higdon, D., R. Klein, M. Anderson, M. Berliner, C. Covey, O. Ghattas, C. Graziani, S. Habib, M. Seager, J. Sefcik, P. Stark, and J. Stewart, 2010. Panel Report on Uncertainty Quantification and Error Analysis, in *Scientific Grand Challenges in National Security: The Role of Computing at the Extreme Scale*, U.S. Department of Energy Office of Advanced Scientific Computing Research and National Nuclear Security Administration. http://science.energy.gov/~/media/ascr/pdf/program-documents/docs/Nnsa_grand_challenges_report.pdf

137. McLaughlin, K., and P.B. Stark, 2011. Workload Estimates for Risk-Limiting Audits of Large Contests. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/workload11.pdf

138. Scott, L.R., J. Brown, G.W. Bergantz, D. Cooley, C. Dawson, M. de Hoop, D. Estep, N. Flyer, E. Foufoula-Georgiou, M. Ghil, M. Knepley, R.J. LeVeque, L.-H. Lim, G. Papanicolaou, S. Prudhomme, A. Sandu, G. Schubert, F.J. Simons, P.B. Stark, M. Stein, S. Stein, T. Tanimoto, D. Tartakovsky, J. Weare, R. Weiss, G.B. Wright, and D. Yuen, 2012. Fostering Interactions Between the Geosciences and Mathematics, Statistics, and Computer Science. Technical Report TR-2012-02, Department of Computer Science, The University of Chicago. https://www.cs.uchicago.edu/research/publications/techreports/TR-2012-02

139. Bañuelos, J.H. and P.B. Stark, 2012. Limiting Risk by Turning Manifest Phantoms into Evil Zombies. http://arxiv.org/abs/1207.3413

140. Bretschneider, J., S. Flaherty, S. Goodman, M. Halvorson, R. Johnston, M. Lindeman, R.L. Rivest, P. Smith, and P.B. Stark, 2012. Risk-Limiting Post-Election Audits: Why and How. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/RLAwhitepaper12.pdf Endorsement by the American Statistical Association: http://www.amstat.org/policy/pdfs/StarkEtAlLetterOfSupport.pdf

141. Stark, P.B., 2012. Ballot-Polling Audits in Two Pages (±1). https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/bpa2pp.pdf

142. Benaloh, J., M. Byrne, P. Kortum, N. McBurnett, O. Pereira, P.B. Stark, and D.S. Wallach, 2012. STAR-Vote: A Secure, Transparent, Auditable, and Reliable Voting System. http://arxiv.org/abs/1211.1904

143. Lindeman, M., R.L. Rivest, and P.B. Stark, 2013. Machine Retabulation is not Auditing. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/retabNotAudit13.pdf

144. Lindeman, M., R.L. Rivest, and P.B. Stark, 2013. Retabulations, Machine-Assisted Audits, and Election Verification. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/retabulation13.htm

145. Evans, S.N., R.L. Rivest, and P.B. Stark, 2014. Leading the field: Fortune favors the bold in Thurstonian choice models. http://arxiv.org/abs/1409.5924

146. Verified Voting Foundation, 2015. *Principles for New Voting Systems*, http://www.verifiedvotingfoundation.org/voting-systems-principles/

147. Benaloh, J., R.L. Rivest, P.Y.A. Ryan, P.B. Stark, V. Teague, and P. Vora, 2015. End-to-end verifiability. http://arxiv.org/abs/1504.03778

148. Stark, P.B., 2016. Pay no attention to the model behind the curtain. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/eucCurtain15.pdf

149. Chilingirian, B., Z. Perumal, R.L. Rivest, G. Bowland, A. Conway, P.B. Stark, M. Blom, C. Culnane, and V. Teague, 2016. Auditing Australian Senate Ballots. https://arxiv.org/abs/1610.00127

150. Matthees, A., T. Kindlon, C. Maryhew, P. Stark, and B. Levin, 2016. A preliminary analysis of 'recovery' from chronic fatigue syndrome in the PACE trial using individual participant data. *Virology Blog*, http://www.virology.ws/2016/09/21/no-recovery-in-pace-trial-new-analysis-finds/

151. Benaloh, J., M. Bernhard, J.A. Halderman, R.L. Rivest, P.Y.A. Ryan, P.B. Stark, V. Teague, P.L. Vora, and D.S. Wallach, 2017. Public

Evidence from Secret Ballots. https://arxiv.org/abs/1707.08619

152. Saltelli, A., and P.B. Stark, 2017. Statistiche al Tempo della Crisi, *Epidemiologia & Prevenzione*, *41*, 165–169, http://dx.doi.org/10.19191/EP17.3-4.P165.048.

153. Dabady, S., and P.B. Stark, 2017. Urban Foraging in Municipal Parks and Public Schools: Opportunities for Policymakers, *Berkeley Food Institute* and *Berkeley Open Source Food*, Policy Brief, July.

154. Lindeman, M., McBurnett, N., Ottoboni, K., and P.B. Stark, 2018. Next Steps for the Colorado Risk-Limiting Audit (CORLA) Program, https://arxiv.org/abs/1803.00698

155. Bochsler, D., J. Medzihorsky, C. Schürmann, and P.B. Stark, 2018. Report on the Identification of Electoral Irregularities by Statistical Methods, Opinion 874/2017, Report CDL-AD(2018)009, Venice Commission of the Council of Europe, http://www.venice.coe.int/webforms/documents/?pdf=CDL-AD(2018)009-e

156. Stark, P.B., 2018. An Introduction to Risk-Limiting Audits and Evidence-Based Elections, written testimony prepared for the Little Hoover Commission, https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/lhc18.pdf

157. Ottoboni, K. and P.B. Stark, 2018. Random problems with R, https://arxiv.org/abs/1809.06520

Editorials, Reviews, Comments, Letters

158. Stark, P.B., 2001. Review of *Who Counts?* by Margo J. Anderson and Stephen E. Fienberg, *Journal of Economic Literature*, **XXXIX**,

593–595. Invited.

159. Tenorio, L., E. Haber, P.B. Stark, D. Cox, O. Ghattas and W.W. Symes, 2008. Guest editors' introduction to the special section on statistical and computational issues in inverse problems, *Inverse problems*, *24*, 034001. Reprint: http://www.iop.org/EJ/article/0266-5611/24/3/034001/ip8_3_034001.pdf

160. Stark, P.B., 2008. Obituary: David A. Freedman, *IMS Bulletin*, *38*, 10–11. Preprint: https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/dafObituary.htm

161. Collier, D., J.S. Sekhon and P.B. Stark, 2009. Preface to David A. Freedman, 2009. *Statistical Models: Theory and Practice, Revised edition*, Cambridge University Press, New York.

162. Ash, A., S. Pierson and P.B. Stark, 2009. Thinking outside the urn: Statisticians make their marks on U.S. Ballots. *Amstat News*, *384*, 37–40. Reprint: http://www.amstat.org/outreach/pdfs/SP_ANJun09.pdf

163. Audit working group, 2009. Data requirements for vote-tabulation audits: Statement to NIST, ElectionAudits.org. http://electionaudits.org/niststatement

164. Hall, J.L., P.B. Stark, H.E. Brady, and J.S. Sekhon, 2009. Comments on the CA SoS Precinct Level Data Pilot Project. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/CACountyData09.pdf

165. Stark, P.B., 2010. Testimony before California State Assembly Committee on Elections and Redistricting, 20 April 2010. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/ab2023-assembly-20-4

-10.htm

166. Stark, P.B., 2010. Testimony before California State Senate Committee on Elections, Reapportionment and Constitutional Amendments, 15 June 2010. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/ab2023-senate-15-6-10.htm

167. Stark, P.B., 2010. Open letter to UC Berkeley Law School Dean Christopher Edley regarding UC Online Education. http://www.samefacts.com/2010/08/archive/technology-and-society/online-education-notes-from-the-field/

168. Stark, P.B., 2010. Testimony proffered to Judge Ira Warshawsky, New York Supreme Court, 4 December 2010. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/nysd7-4-12-10.htm

169. Letter to President Barack Obama re election technology, 6 December 2012 (with Barbara Simons and 48 others). http://www.verifiedvoting.org/wp-content/uploads/2012/12/PresidentLetter.pdf

170. Bates, D., P. Courant, C. Hesse, K. Hoekstra, M. Lovell, J. Midgley, G. Nunberg, P. Papadopoulos, H. Schiraldi, G. Sposito, P.B. Stark, and M. van Houweling, 2013. Final Report of the Commission on the Future of the UC Berkeley Library http://evcp.berkeley.edu/sites/default/files/FINAL_CFUCBL_report_10.16.13.pdf

171. Stark, P.B., 2013. Leave Election Integrity to Chance, *The Huffington Post*, 12 July 2013. http://www.huffingtonpost.com/american-statistical-association/leave-election-integrity-_b_3580649.html

172. Stark, P.B., and R. Freishtat, 2013. Evaluating Evaluations, Part 1: Do student evaluations measure teaching effectiveness?, *The Berkeley Teaching Blog*, 9 October 2013. http://teaching.berkeley.edu/blog/evaluating-evaluations-part-1
The Berkeley Blog, 14 October 2013. http://blogs.berkeley.edu/2013/10/14/do-student-evaluations-measure-teaching-effectiveness/

173. Stark, P.B., and R. Freishtat, 2013. What Evaluations Measure, Part 2: What exactly do student evaluations measure?, *The Berkeley Teaching Blog*, 17 October 2013. http://teaching.berkeley.edu/blog/what-evaluations-measure-part-ii
The Berkeley Blog, 21 October 2013. http://blogs.berkeley.edu/2013/10/21/what-exactly-do-student-evaluations-measure/

174. Stark, P.B., 2015. Out of the Weeds, *Lucky Peach*, 29 June 2015, Invited. http://luckypeach.com/out-of-the-weeds/

175. Stark, P.B., 2015. Salad from the Sidewalk, *The New York Times*, 9 July 2015, Invited. http://www.nytimes.com/interactive/2015/07/09/opinion/09bittman.html

176. Arratia, R., S. Garibaldi, L. Mower, and P.B. Stark, 2015. Some people have all the luck ...or do they? *MAA Focus*, August/September, 37–38. http://www.maa.org/sites/default/files/pdf/MAAFocus/Focus_AugustSeptember_2015.pdf

177. Stark, P.B., 2015. Science is "show me," not "trust me," *Berkeley Initiative for Transparency in the Social Sciences*, 31 December, Invited. http://www.bitss.org/2015/12/31/science-is-show-me-not-trust-me/

GA005240

178. Boring, A., K. Ottoboni, and P.B. Stark, 2016. Student evaluations of teaching are not only unreliable, they are significantly biased against female instructors, *London School of Economics and Political Science Impact Blog*, 4 February, Invited. http://blogs.lse.ac.uk/impactofsocialsciences/2016/02/04/student-evaluations-of-teaching-gender-bias/

179. Stark, P.B., 2016. The value of *P*-values, *The American Statistician*, *70*, DOI:10.1080/00031305.2016.1154108, Invited. http://amstat.tandfonline.com/doi/suppl/10.1080/00031305.2016.1154108

180. Stark, P.B., 2016. Review of *Privacy, Big Data, and the Public Good: Frameworks for Engagement*, by J. Lane, V. Stodden, S. Bender, and H. Nissenbaum, eds., *The American Statistician*, Invited. http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00031305.2015.1068625

181. Saltelli, A., S. Funtowicz, M. Giampietro, D. Sarewitz, P.B. Stark, and J.P. van der Sluijs, 2016. Climate Costing is Politics not Science, *Nature*, *532*, 177. go.nature.com/wamqwt http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/532177a (signatory list) Reprint: https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/saltelliEtal16.pdf

182. Stark, P.B., 2016. Eat your Weedies!, *The Urbanist*, Issue 549, February 2016, Invited. http://www.spur.org/publications/urbanist-article/2016-03-09/walking-oakland

183. Stark, P.B., and P.L. Vora, 2016. Maryland voting audit falls short, *The Baltimore Sun*, 28 October 2016. http://www.baltimoresun.com/news/opinion/oped/bs-ed-voting-audit-20161028-story.html

184. Rivest, R.L., and P.B. Stark, 2016. Still time for an election audit: Column, *USA Today*, 18 November 2016. http://www.usatoday.com/story/opinion/2016/11/18/election-audit-paper-machines-co

lumn/93803752/

185. Harvie Branscomb, Joe Kiniry, Mark Lindeman, Neal McBurnett, Ronald L. Rivest, John Sebes, Pamela Smith, Philip B. Stark, Howard Stanislevic, Paul Stokes, Poorvi L. Vora, and Luther Weeks, 2016. Comments on 2016 General Election: Post-Election Tabulation Audit Procedures, https://www.seas.gwu.edu/~poorvi/MarylandAudits/Final-Audit-Comments-11-27-16.pdf

186. Letter to Senators Ron Johnson and Claire McCaskill, U.S. Senate Committee on Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs, re appointment of Thomas P. Bossert as White House Homeland Security Advisor, 11 January 2017 (with Marc Rotenberg, EPIC President, and 39 others). https://epic.org/policy/SHSGAC_EPIC_Bossert_Jan_2017.pdf

187. Letter to Senator Lindsey Graham re election integrity and cybersecurity, 13 January 2017 (with Duncan Buell, JoAnne Day, J. Alex Halderman, Eleanor Hare, Frank Heindel, Candice Hoke, Joseph Kiniry, Marilyn Marks, Neal McBurnett, Stephanie Singer, Jason Grant Smith, and Daniel M. Zimmerman). https://www.scribd.com/document/336463904/Experts-Letter-to-Lindsey-Graham-20170113

188. An open letter to *Psychological Medicine* about "recovery" and the PACE trial, 13 March 2017 (with 73 others). http://www.virology.ws/2017/03/13/an-open-letter-to-psychological-medicine-about-recovery-and-the-pace-trial/

189. Letter to Georgia Secretary of State Brian Kemp, 15 April 2017 (with Andrew W. Appel, Duncan Buell, Larry Diamond, David L. Dill, Richard DeMillo, Michael Fischer, J. Alex Halderman, Joseph Lorenzo Hall, Martin E. Hellman, Candice Hoke, Harri Hursti, David Jefferson, Douglas W. Jones, Joseph Kiniry, Justin Moore, Peter G.

Neumann, Ronald L. Rivest, John E. Savage, Bruce Schneier, Dr. Barbara Simons, Dr. Vanessa Teague) https://www.verifiedvoting.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/03/KSU.Kemp_.3.15.17.pdf

190. Rivest, R.L., and P.B. Stark, 2017. When is an Election Verifiable? *IEEE Security & Privacy, 15*, 48–50. https://www.computer.org/csdl/mags/sp/2017/03/msp2017030048.pdf

191. Open-Source Software Won't Ensure Election Security, 24 August 2017 (with Matt Bishop, Josh Benaloh, Joseph Kiniry, Ron Rivest, Sean Peisert, Joseph Hall, Vanessa Teague) https://lawfareblog.com/open-source-software-wont-ensure-election-security

192. Saltelli, A., and P.B. Stark, 2018. Fixing stats: social and cultural issue, *Nature* Correspondence, 16 January, doi: 10.1038/d41586-018-00647-9, https://www.nature.com/articles/d41586-018-00647-9

193. Expert statement, Support for Security Research, Center for Democracy and Technology, 10 April 2018 (with 57 others) https://cdt.org/files/2018/04/2018-04-09-security-research-expert-statement-final.pdf

194. Stark, P.B., 2018. Before reproducibility must come preproducibility, *Nature, 557*, 613. doi: 10.1038/d41586-018-05256-0 https://www.nature.com/articles/d41586-018-05256-0, https://rdcu.be/PoBV

Software

1. Stark, P.B., and R.L. Parker, 1994. BVLS (Bounded-Variable Least Squares), STATLIB (Carnegie-Mellon University ftp server) http://lib.stat.cmu.edu/general/bvls

2. Java Applets for Statistics
   https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Java/Html/index.htm

3. Millman, K., K. Ottoboni, P.B. Stark, and S. van der Walt, 2015. permute — a Python package for permutation tests
   https://github.com/statlab/permute

4. Tools for election audits
   https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Vote/auditTools.htm
   https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Vote/ballotPollTools.htm
   https://github.com/pbstark/auditTools
   https://github.com/pbstark/DKDHondt14

5. Tools to assess suspected lottery fraud
   https://github.com/pbstark/Lotto

6. Miscellaneous software and teaching materials:
   https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Code
   https://github.com/pbstark

Patents

1. McDonald, T., S. Smuin, B. Smuin, and P.B. Stark, 6 December 2012. United States Patent 9,510,638. Securement strap for a sandal.

Selected Presentations

263. Classical Statistics in Modern Elections, Conference in Honor of Prof. Yoav Benjamini's 70th Birthday, Jerusalem, Israel, 17–20 December 2018. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/auditBenjai8.htm

GA005244

262. Simulating a Ballot-Polling Risk-Limiting Audit with Cards and Dice, Multidisciplinary Conference on Election Auditing, MIT, Cambridge, MA, 7–8 December 2018. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/ballotPollingSimulation.pdf

261. Risk-Limiting Audits and Evidence-Based Elections, Multidisciplinary Conference on Election Auditing, MIT, Cambridge, MA, 7–8 December 2018. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/auditMIT18.htm

260. The Shape of Truth: Perspectives from Science and the Humanities, panelist (with Randy Schekman and John Campbell), Los Angeles, CA, 28 November 2018.

259. How to Tell if an Election Has Been Hacked, Nerd Nite, Oakland, CA, 26 November 2018. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/auditNerdNite18.htm

258. Student Evaluations of Teaching: Managing Bias and Increasing Utility, Center for Education Innovation and Learning in the Sciences, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, 2 November 2018. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/setUCLA18.htm

257. Student evaluations of teaching do not measure teaching effectiveness. What do they measure?, Stanford-Berkeley Joint Colloquium, Department of Statistics, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, 30 October 2018. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/setStanford18.htm

256. Will my vote count? Political Science 191, University of California, Berkeley, 23 October 2018.

255. Availability, Safety, Palatability, and Nutrient Density of Wild and Feral Foods in Urban Ecosystems, ESPM 117, University of California, Berkeley, 16 October 2018. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/forageESPM18.pdf

254. Preproducibility, STEM Carib Conference, University College of the Cayman Islands, Grand Cayman Island, 9–12 October

2018 https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/preproducibilityUCCI18.htm

253. Measuring Gender Bias in Student Evaluations of Teaching, STEM Carib Conference, University College of the Cayman Islands, Grand Cayman Island, 9–12 October 2018 https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/setUCCI18.htm

252. PSHA is naked—and it doesn't work, Workshop: Which Way SPRA?, 14th Conference on Probabilistic Safety Assessment and Management, UCLA, Los Angeles, CA, 16 September 2018. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/psha-ucla-18.slides.html

251. Resilient Greens: Nutrition, Toxicology, & Availability of Edible Weeds in the East Bay, with D. Miller, T. Carlson, and K.R. de Vasquez, Global Climate Summit, University of California, Davis, 10 September 2018.

250. Statistical Modeling, Machine Learning, and Inference, Machine Learning for Science Workshop, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA, 4–6 September 2018. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/lbl-ml18.slides.html

249. Securing our Elections, Town Hall Meeting with Congressman Mark DeSaulnier and Secretary of State Alex Padilla, Walnut Creek, CA, 13 August 2018. https://desaulnier.house.gov/media-center/press-releases/congressman-desaulnier-announces-town-hall-securing-our-elections

248. Soil to Belly, Health from the Soil Up: A Soil Health to Human Health Learning Lab, Paicines Ranch, Paicines, CA, 9–12 August, 2018.

247. You want flies with that? Farm Biodiversity and Food Safety, Health from the Soil Up: Bridging the Silos of Health and Agriculture, Center for Occupational and Environmental Health, University of California, Berkeley, 9 August 2018. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/flies18.pdf

246. Lectures on Foundations of Statistics and Inference, Tokyo-Berkeley Data Science Boot-Up Camp, 9–19 July 2018, Graduate School of

Mathematical Sciences, University of Tokyo, 9–19 July 2018. (3 lectures) Syllabus: https://github.com/pbstark/basicsKavli18/blob/master/kavliStat18.pdf

245. With Great Power Comes Great Responsibility: Multivariate Permutation Tests and Their Numerical Implementation, International Society for Nonparametric Statistics (ISNPS2018), Salerno, Italy, 11–15 June 2018. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/prngISNPS18.slides.html

244. Preproducibility, Reproducibility, Replicability: First Things First, Conference on Geodynamics and Big Data, Palau, Sardinia, 9–11 June 2018. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/reproYuen18.htm

243. Preproducibility, Reproducibility, Replicability: First Things First, All Souls College, University of Oxford, 29 May 2018. lides: https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/reproOX18.htm

242. Separating Signal from Noise: Measuring Gender Bias in Student Evaluations of Teaching, International Conference on Software Engineering, Gothenburg, Sweden, 27 May–3 June 2018. Slides: https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/setICSE18.htm

241. Where the Wild Foods Are: Everywhere!, Nordic Food Lab, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark, 24 May 2018. Slides: https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/bosf18.pdf

240. Wild and Feral Foods in the Mission District—and how to use them, Wildhawk, San Francisco, CA, 17 May 2018.

239. Don't bet on your random number generator, Department of Statistics and Data Science, University of Texas, Austin, TX 4 May 2018.

238. Student evaluations of teaching (mostly) do not measure teaching effectiveness, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, BC, 26 April 2018. Slides: https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/setSFU18.htm Video: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=5haOjlfJDb8&feature=youtu.be

GA005247

237. Public Engagement with Science, Molecular and Cell Biology 15, University of California, Berkeley, CA, 27 February 2018.

236. FoodInno: Wild Food, Statistics 98, University of California, Berkeley, 12 February 2018.

235. Quantifying Uncertainty in Inferences in Physics and Astronomy, Kavli IPMU–Berkeley Symposium "Statistics, Physics and Astronomy," Kavli Institute for the Physics and Mathematics of the Universe, Tokyo, Japan, 11–12 January 2018. Slides: `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/uqKavli18.htm`

234. Teaching Evaluations (Mostly) Do Not Measure Teaching Effectiveness, American Association of Physics Teachers Winter Meeting, San Diego, CA, 6–9 January 2018. Slides: `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/setAAPT18.htm`

233. Big Data, Society, and Data Science Education, University of Hong Kong, Shenzhen Campus, Shenzhen, China, 29 December 2017. Slides: `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/bigDataHKUSZ17.pdf`

232. Big Data and Social Good, Institute for Geodesy and Geophysics, Wuhan, China, 27 December 2017.

231. Big Data, Quantifauxcation, and Cargo-Cult Statistics, Big Data Conference, China University of Geosciences, Wuhan, China, 26 December 2017.

230. P-values, Probability, Priors, Rabbits, Quantifauxcation, and Cargo-Cult Statistics, Statistics 159, Reproducible and Collaborative Data Science, University of California, Berkeley, CA, 14 November 2017. Slides: `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/rabbits157-17.ipynb`

229. Opportunities in applied statistics: an $n = 1$ observational study, Statistics Undergraduate Student Association (SUSA), University of California, Berkeley, CA, 30 October 2017.

GA005248

228. Don't Bet on Your Random Number Generator, Consortium for Data Analytics in Risk (CDAR) Annual Colloquium, University of California, Berkeley, CA, 27 October 2017. Slides: `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/prngCDAR17.slides.html`

227. Leave Election Integrity to Chance, *Science @ Cal*, University of California. Berkeley, CA, 21 October 2017.

226. Audits and Evidence-Based Elections, 2nd *Take Back the Vote Conference*, Berkeley, CA, 7–8 October 2017. Video: `https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=pPGTkgpijUU`

225. Wild And Feral Foods: Increasing Nutrition, Food Security, Farm Biodiversity, and Farm Revenue; Decreasing Herbicides, Water Use, and the Carbon Footprint of the Food System, *2nd AgroecoWeb—International Online Congress on Agro-ecology and Permaculture*, Brazil, 4–10 October 2017. Video: `https://vimeo.com/235073616`

224. How Statistics can improve election integrity, PoliSci 191, *The Right to Vote in America*, University of California, Berkeley, 4 October 2017.

223. Wild and Feral Food Identification Walk, ESPM 98, *Berkeley Urban Garden Internship (BUGI)*, University of California, Berkeley, 27 September 2017.

222. Urban Foraging and Gleaning, *FoodInno*, University of California, Berkeley, 16 September 2017.

221. ETAS-trophic failures: fit, classification, and forecasting, *Big Data in Geosciences: From Earthquake Swarms to Consequences of Slab Dynamics*, a conference in honor of Robert Geller, University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan, 25–27 May 2017. Slides: `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/gellerFest17.pdf`

220. Risk-Limiting Audits, *Global Election Technology Summit*, San Francisco, CA, 17 May 2017. `https://www.getsummit.org/`

219. Where the Wild Things Grow, *Berkeley Path Wanderers Association*, Berkeley, CA, 22 April 2017. `http://berkeleypaths.org/events/event/where-the-wild-things-grow-2/`

218. Sometimes a Paper Trail Isn't Worth the Paper It's Written On, Keynote lecture, Workshop on Advances in Secure Electronic Voting, Financial Crypto 2017, Malta, 3–7 April 2017. Slides: https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/malta17.htm

217. Don't Bet on Your Random Number Generator, Distinguished Lecture (http://wwwen.uni.lu/snt/distinguished_lectures), Center for Security, Reliability, and Trust, University of Luxembourg, Luxembourg, 31 March 2017. Slides: https://github.com/pbstark/pseudorandom/blob/master/prngLux17.ipynb

216. Faculty-Student Feedback: End-of-Semester Teaching Evaluations, Dialogues, Center for Teaching and Learning, University of California, Berkeley, 20 March 2017. Slides: https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/setUCBDialogue17.htm

215. Edible Weeds Tour of South Hayward, Seed Lending Library, Hayward Public Library, Weekes Branch, Hayward, CA, 11 March 2017. http://www.libraryinsight.com/eventdetails.asp?jx=hzp&lmx=%C7cn%2D%AA%AE&v=3

214. Risk-limiting Audits and Evidence-based Elections, Santa Clara County Citizens Advisory Committee on Elections, San Jose, CA, 7 March 2017. Slides: https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/santaClara17.pdf

213. Causal Inference from Data, Emerging Science for Environmental Health Decisions, Workshop on Advances in Causal Understanding of Human Health Risk-Based Decision Making, National Academy of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, Washington, DC, 6–7 March 2017. Slides: https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/nasCause17.htm

212. BRII and Brie, Berkeley Research Impact Initiative (BRII), University of California, Berkeley, CA 22 February 2017.

211. Uncertainty Quantification, Conférence Universitaire de Suisse Occidentale, Les Diablerets, Switzerland, 5–8 February 2017. Slides: https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/lesDiablerets17-1.pdf, https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Semin

ars/lesDiablerets17-2.pdf, https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/lesDiablerets17-3.pdf

210. Whose Votes (were) Counted in the Election of 2016?, ISF 198, *The 2016 U.S. Elections in Global Context: A Semester-Long Teach-In*, University of California, Berkeley, 24 January 2017. Slides: https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/teachIn17.pdf

209. Invited panelist, "How Blockchain Technology Will and Won't Change the World," University of California, Berkeley, College of Letters and Sciences, hosted by Glynn Capital and Boost VC, San Mateo, CA, 30 November 2016.

208. Teaching Evaluations (Mostly) Do Not Measure Teaching Effectiveness, Distinguished Lecture Series, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, University of California, San Diego, San Diego, CA, 14 November 2016. Slides: https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/setUCSD16.htm

207. Simple Random Sampling is not that Simple, *Random Processes And Time Series: Theory And Applications, A Conference In Honor Of Murray Rosenblatt*, UC San Diego, San Diego, CA, 21–23 October 2016.

206. Invited panelist, "Productive Ecologies in the Anthropocene: Foraging Systems," *Sixth International Conference on Food Studies*, Berkeley, CA, 12–13 October 2016.

205. Teaching Evaluations (Mostly) Do Not Measure Teaching Effectiveness, Ethics Colloquium Series, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO, 3 October 2016. Slides: https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/setCSU16.htm Video: https://echo.colostate.edu/ess/echo/presentation/64309bd5-6afd-4394-b5d3-5e6748f545f1

204. Simple Random Sampling is not that Simple, Neyman Seminar, Department of Statistics, University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, CA 21 September 2016.

203. The Aliens Have Landed ... and They Are Delicious, *Visions of the Wild*, Vallejo, CA, 15 September 2016.

202. Simple Random Sampling: Not So Simple, Section of Theoretical Computer Science, IT University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark, 27 June 2016.

201. Simple Random Sampling: Not So Simple, Section of Mathematics, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), Lausanne, Switzerland, 24 June 2016.

200. Invited panelist, "Carrot vs. Stick: approaches to encouraging reproducibility," Moore-Sloan Data Science Environment Reproducibility Conference, New York University, New York, 3 May 2016.

199. Guest lecturer, MCB 15 (Public Understanding of Science), University of California, Berkeley, 12 April 2016.

198. Teaching Evaluations: Biased Beyond Measure, Center for Studies in Higher Education, and The Social Science Matrix, University of California, Berkeley, CA 11 April 2016. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/setCSHE16.htm` Video: `https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=yhxUxBk-6GE`, `http://uctv.tv/shows/Teaching-Evaluations-Biased-Beyond-Measure-30870`

197. Teaching Evaluations (Mostly) Do Not Measure Teaching Effectiveness, Wharton Statistics Department, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, 17 March 2016. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/setPenn16.htm`

196. Invited Panelist, "The potentials and pitfalls of electronic auditing," Election Verification Network Conference: Securing Elections in the 21st Century, George Washington University, Washington, DC, 10–11 March 2016.

195. Invited Panelist, "Interoperability standards, proprietary codes, and verification/testing," III Arnold Workshop: Reproducibility in Modeling and Code, American Association for the Advancement of Science, Washington, DC, 16–17 January 2016. `http://www.aaas.org/event/iii-arnold-workshop-modeling-and-code`

194. Teaching Evaluations (Mostly) Do Not Measure Teaching Effectiveness, Department of Applied Mathematics and Statistics, University of Cal-

ifornia, Santa Cruz, 1 February 2016. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/setUCSC16.htm

193. A Noob's Guide to Reproducibility and Open Science, Department of Nuclear Engineering, Berkeley Institute for Data Science, and Berkeley Initiative for Transparency in Social Science, University of California, Berkeley, 25 January 2016. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/reproNE16.htm Video: http://www.ustream.tv/recorded/81987743

192. Chair, Wild Edibles Taste Workshop, 2015 Indigenous Terra Madre Conference, Shillong, Meghalaya, India, 3–7 November, 2015.

191. Invited Panelist, "From Field to Fork, the Stories of Chefs, Communities, and Writers," 2015 Indigenous Terra Madre Conference, Shillong, Meghalaya, India, 3–7 November, 2015. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/forageITM15.htm

190. Guest lecturer, ESPM 117 (Urban Garden Ecosystems), University of California, Berkeley, 20 October 2015. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/forageAgroEcol15.htm

189. Invited Panelist, "Statistical Implications of Big Data Applied to Risk Modeling," Consortium for Data Analytics in Risk (CDAR) Symposium, University of California, Berkeley, 16 October 2015. http://cdar.berkeley.edu/events/2015cdarsymposium/

188. Guest lecturer, Statistics 210A (Theoretical Statistics), University of California, Berkeley, 13–15 October 2015. https://github.com/pbstark/Nonpar

187. Risk-Limiting Audits and the Colorado Uniform Voting System Pilot, Colorado Pilot Election Review Committee Meeting, Office of the Colorado Secretary of State, Denver, CO, 9 October 2015. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/auditCO15.pdf

186. Wild and Feral Food in EBRPD, East Bay Regional Park District Volunteer Meeting, Oakland, CA, 15 September 2015. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/forageEBRPD15.htm

185. Probability and Statistics for Physical Science and Engineering PhD Students (a 15-hour course), University of Tokyo, 23–26 August 2015. Materials: http://www.github.com/pbstark/PhysEng

184. Statistics for Engineering PhD students (a 30-hour course), University of Padova, Padova, Italy, 29 June–7 July 2015. Materials: http://www.github.com/pbstark/Padova15

183. Pay no attention to the model behind the curtain, Significant Digits: Responsible Use of Quantitative Information, European Commission Joint Research Centre, Brussels, Belgium, 9–10 June 2015. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/rabbitsBrux15.htm

182. Reaping without Sowing: Wild Food and Urban Foraging, Berkeley Food Institute Seed Grant Forum, Berkeley, CA, 6 May 2015. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/bfi-15-5-6.htm Video: http://food.berkeley.edu/seed-grant-forum/

181. Invited panelist, Data Science: Supporting new Modes of Research, Annual Meeting of the Association of Research Libraries, Berkeley, CA, 28–30 April, 2015.

180. Teaching evaluations: class act or class action?, National Center for the Study of Collective Bargaining in Higher Education and the Professions, Annual Conference, Hunter College, New York, NY, 19–21 April 2015. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/setNCSCB15.htm

179. Where the Wild Things Grow, Berkeley Path Wanderers Association, Berkeley, CA, 4 April 2015. http://berkeleypaths.org/events/event/where-the-wild-things-grow/

178. Invited panelist, Brave New Audits: How We Can Implement Risk-Limiting Audits with Today's Machines, Off-the-Shelf Hardware, and Open Source Software, 2015 Election Verification Network Annual meeting, New Orleans, LA, 4–6 March 2015. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/evn15.htm Video: https://youtu.be/DBcVicxJigs

177. Co-chair, Election Auditing, NIST / U.S. Election Administration Commission Future of Voting Systems Symposium II, Washington, DC, 9–10 February 2015.

176. Teaching evaluations: truthful or truthy?, European Commission Joint Research Centre *Third Lisbon Research Workshop on Economics, Statistics and Econometrics of Education*, Lisbon, Portugal, 23–24 January 2015. http://cemapre.iseg.ulisboa.pt/educonf/3e3/ https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/setLisbon15.htm

175. Bad Numbers, Bad Policy, 5th Impact Assessment Course by the Joint Research Centre and the Secretariat General of the European Commission, Brussels, Belgium, 20–21 January 2015. https://ec.europa.eu/jrc/en/event/training-course/5th-impact-assessment-course https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/fauxBrux15.htm

174. Quantifauxcation, European Commission Joint Research Centre, Ispra, Italy, 19 January 2015. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/fauxIspra15.htm

173. Preproducibility for Research, Teaching, Collaboration, and Publishing, Replicability and Reproducibility of Discoveries in Animal Phenotyping, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel, 5–7 January 2015. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/reproTAU15.htm Video: http://video.tau.ac.il/events/index.php?option=com_k2&view=item&id=5563:preproducibility-for-research-teaching-collaboration-and-publishing&Itemid=552

172. Urban Foraging—Real Street Food, Discover Cal: A Menu for Change, Los Angeles, CA, 18 November 2014. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/discoverCalLA14.htm

171. Guest lecturer, 6.S897/17.S952: Elections and Voting Technology, MIT, 13 November 2014.

170. Open Geospatial Data Down in the Weeds: Urban Foraging, Food Deserts, Citizen Science, Sustainability, and Reproducibility, Assessing

the Socioeconomic Impacts and Value of 'Open' Geospatial Information, The George Washington University, Washington DC, 28–29 October 2014. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/openGeospatial14.htm

169. Student Evaluations of Teaching, University of San Francisco, 23 October 2014. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/setUSF14.htm

168. Guest lecturer, CS 76N: Elections and Technology, Stanford University, 14 October 2014.

167. Statistical Evidence and Election Integrity, XXIX International Forum on Statistics, UPAEP, Puebla, Mexico, 29 September–3 October 2014. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/foro14.pdf

166. Nonparametric Inference, Auditing, and Litigation, Short course at XXIX International Forum on Statistics, UPAEP, Puebla, Mexico, 29 September–3 October 2014. https://github.com/pbstark/MX14

165. Invited participant, Pew Charitable Trusts roundtable: Challenges Related to the Voting Systems Marketplace, Chicago, IL, 8 September 2014.

164. Invited panelist, U.S. Election Assistance Commission roundtable: Expanding the Body of Knowledge of Election Administration–Reflections and Future Direction, 3 September 2014. http://www.eac.gov/eac_grants_expanding_the_body_of_knowledge_of_election_administration_%E2%80%93_reflections_and_future_dire/ Video: http://mediasite.yorkcast.com/webcast/Play/a90f223fa61940cd893b70fab55fe1b51d

163. Reproducibility, Evidence, and the Scientific Method, Late-breaking session on Reproducibility, Joint Statistical Meetings, Boston, MA, 2–7 August 2014. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/reproJSM14.htm

162. Invited panelist, Big Data & Academic Libraries, International Alliance of Research Universities, 3rd Librarians' Meeting, University of California, Berkeley, CA, 23–24 June 2014.

161. Mini-Minimax Uncertainty Quantification for Emulators, 2nd Conference of the International Society for Nonparametric Statistics, Cadiz, Spain, 11–16 June 2014. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/emulatorISNPS14.pdf

160. Reproducible and Collaborative Statistical Data Science, Transparency Practices for Empirical Social Science Research, 2014 Summer Institute, University of California, Berkeley, CA, 2–6 June 2014. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/bitss14.pdf

159. Risk-Limiting Audits for Denmark and Mongolia, Third DemTech Workshop on Danish Elections, Trust, and Technology for the Mongolian General Election Commission, IT University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark, 24 May 2014. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/itu14.pdf

158. How to Lie With Big Data (and/or Big Computations), Panel on Data Deluge or Drought (Quality and Quantity), MPE13+ Workshop on Global Change, DIMACS Special Program: Mathematics of Planet Earth 2013+, University of California, Berkeley, CA, 19–21 May 2014. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/mpe14.pdf

157. Invited panelist, Relying on Data Science: Reproducible Research and the Role of Policy, DataEDGE conference, UC Berkeley School of Information, Berkeley, CA, 8–9 May 2014.

156. Invited panelist, Some Tools and Solutions, University of Washington / Moore–Sloan First Reproducibility Workshop, eScience Institute, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, 8 May 2014 https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/reproUW14.pdf

155. Some people have all the luck, Institute for Pure and Applied Mathematics, UCLA, Los Angeles, CA, 28 April 2014. (with Skip Garibaldi and Lawrence Mower) http://www.ipam.ucla.edu/programs/PUBLEC2014/ Video: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=s8cHHWNblA4

154. Invited panelist, Ask a Statistician, SIAM/ASA/GAMM/AGU Conference on Uncertainty Quantification, Savannah, GA, 29 March – 3 April 2014.

GA005257

153. Invited panelist, The Reliability of Computational Research Findings: Reproducible Research, Uncertainty Quantification, and Verification & Validation, SIAM/ASA/GAMM/AGU Conference on Uncertainty Quantification, Savannah, GA, 29 March – 3 April 2014. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/reproUQ14.pdf Video: http://client.blueskybroadcast.com/SIAM14/UQ/siam_uq14_MS42_3

152. Invited panelist, New Paradigms for Voting Systems, 2014 Election Verification Network Annual meeting, San Diego, CA, 5–7 March 2014. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/evn14NewParadigms.pdf Video: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=bTlHYkiYBZI

151. Invited panelist, End-to-End Verifiable Voting Roundtable, 2014 Election Verification Network Annual meeting, San Diego, CA, 5–7 March 2014. Video: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=jsGSQV_rFzA

150. Invited panelist, Improving Teaching through uncharted Waters: Peer Observation and other Approaches, Dialogues, a Colloquium Series on Teaching, Center for Teaching and Learning, University of California, Berkeley, 26 February 2014. http://teaching.berkeley.edu/dialogues-colloquium-series-teaching

149. Invited panelist, Unpacking the Voting Technology Debate, 2014 Voting and Elections Annual Summit, Overseas Vote Foundation and U.S. Vote Foundation, George Washington University, Washington, D.C., 30 January 2014. https://www.overseasvotefoundation.org/initiatives-UOCAVAsummit-summit2014-agenda Video: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=UXqqnOWhsmA&list=PLtRB8fQ0zBR8Nza-G-RGln-HTrkp4UM6F&feature=share&index=1#t=23m30s

148. Risk-Limiting Audits for Party-List Elections. IT University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark, 21 November 2013. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/itu13.pdf

147. Selective Inference and Conditional Tests. Department of Statistics and Operations Research, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel, 28 October 2013.

146. Ontology of Earthquake Probability: Metaphor. Dynamics of Seismicity, Earthquake Clustering and Patterns in Fault Networks, Statistical and Applied Mathematical Sciences Institute (SAMSI), Research Triangle Park, NC, 9–11 October 2013. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/samsiSeis13.pdf

145. Invited panelist, Innovations in On-line Learning, Designing a World University, World Academy Forum on Global Higher Education, Berkeley, California, 2–3 October 2013.

144. E2E to Hand-to-Eye: Verifiability, Trust, Audits, Vote ID 2013: The 4th International Conference on e-Voting and Identity, University of Surrey, Guildford, UK 17–19 July 2013. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/voteID13.pdf

143. Mini-Minimax Uncertainty of Emulators, Center for Security, Reliability, and Trust, University of Luxembourg, Luxembourg, 9 July 2013. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~starkstark/Seminars/emulatorLux13.pdf

142. Invited panelist, Extracting Actionable Insight From Dirty Time-Series Data, Berkeley Research Data Science Lectures, University of California, Berkeley, 21 June 2013. Video: http://vcresearch.berkeley.edu/datascience/webcast-data-science-lecture-series-june-21

141. Uncertainty quantification for emulators, Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia, Università di Bologna, Bologna, Italy, 5 June 2013. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/emulatorUniBo13.pdf

140. Leveraging Paper Ballots, Running Elections Efficiently, A Best Practices Convening, Common Cause – Common Cause / NY – Columbia University School of International and Public Affairs, Columbia University, New York, NY, 20 May 2013. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/ccNY13.pdf

139. Uncertainty quantification for emulators, University of California, Los Angeles, 11 April 2013. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/emulatorUCLA13.pdf

138. Brittle and Resilient Verifiable Voting Systems, Verifiable Voting Schemes Workshop: from Theory to Practice, Interdisciplinary Centre

for Security, Reliability and Trust, University of Luxembourg, Luxembourg 21–22 March 2013. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/vv13.pdf

137. Now What?, Election Verification Network Annual Conference, The Right to a Secure, Transparent and Accurate Election, Atlanta, Georgia 14–15 March 2013. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/evn13nowWhat.pdf

136. Machine-Assisted Transitive Audits, Election Verification Network Annual Conference, The Right to a Secure, Transparent and Accurate Election, Atlanta, Georgia 14–15 March 2013.

135. Risk-limiting Audits and Evidence-Based Elections in a Nutshell, Election Verification Network Annual Conference, The Right to a Secure, Transparent and Accurate Election, Atlanta, Georgia 14–15 March 2013. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/evn13nutshell.pdf

134. Reproducibility in Computational and Experimental Mathematics, ICERM, Brown University, Providence, RI, 10–14 December 2012. http://icerm.brown.edu/tw12-5-rcem

133. Whaddya know? Bayesian and Frequentist approaches to inverse problems, Inverse Problems: Practical Applications and Advanced Analysis, Schlumberger WesternGeco, Houston, TX, 12–15 November 2012. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/swg12.pdf

132. Evidence-Based Elections, E-Voting: Risk and Opportunity Conference, Center for Information Technology Policy, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, 1 November 2012. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/princeton12.pdf Video: http://youtu.be/1Z6JW1t_sFI

131. Evidence-Based Elections, Berkeley/Stanford Data, Society and Inference Seminar, Stanford University, Stanford, CA 8 October 2012. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/dataSocietyInference12.pdf

130. Voting Technology Exploratory Meeting, The Pew Charitable Trusts Center on the States, Santa Monica, CA 23–24 August 2012.

129. Lightning Debates, Workshop on Electronic Voting Technology / Workshop on Transparent Elections, (EVT/WOTE '12), USENIX, Bellevue, WA, 6–7 August 2012. Video: https://www.usenix.org/conference/evtwote12/panel-2-title-tbd

128. BRAVO: Ballot-polling Risk-limiting Audits to Verify Outcomes, Workshop on Electronic Voting Technology / Workshop on Transparent Elections, (EVT/WOTE '12), USENIX, Bellevue, WA, 6–7 August 2012. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/evt12.pdf Video: https://www.usenix.org/conference/evtwote12/s6-paper-title-tbd

127. The Will of the People and the Luck of the Draw: Using Statistics to Limit the Risk of Wrong Electoral Outcomes, Joint Statistical Meetings, San Diego, CA, 29 July 2012. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/jsm12.pdf

126. Evidence-Based Elections, Risk-Limiting Audits, and Resilient Canvass Frameworks, SecVote 2012 Summer School on Secure Voting, Leibniz-Zentrum für Informatik, Schloss Dagstuhl, Germany, 16 July 2012. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/dagstuhl12.pdf

125. The Effectiveness of Internet Content Filters, Distinguished Lecture (http://wwwen.uni.lu/snt/distinguished_lectures), Center for Security, Reliability, and Trust, University of Luxembourg, Luxembourg, 13 July 2012. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/luxembourg12.pdf

124. Evidence-Based Elections, International Association of Clerks, Recorders, Election Officials & Treasurers (IACREOT) annual conference, Albuquerque, NM, 30 June 2012. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/iacreot12.pdf

123. Confidence Limits, Progress on Statistical Issues in Searches, SLAC National Accelerator Laboratory, Stanford, CA, 4–6 June 2012. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/slac12.pdf

122. UQQ, UQ: Transition Workshop, Statistical and Applied Mathematical Sciences Institute (SAMSI), Research Triangle Park, NC, 21–23 May

2012. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/samsi12.pdf

121. Testing for Poisson Behavior, Seismological Society of America Annual Meeting, San Diego, CA, 17–19 April 2012. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/ssa12.pdf

120. Get Out The Audit (GOTA), Election Verification Network Annual Conference, Santa Fe, NM, 29–30 March 2012. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/evnGOTA12.pdf

119. The Long View: Evidence-Based Elections, Election Verification Network Annual Conference, Santa Fe, NM, 29–30 March 2012. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/evnLongView12.pdf

118. The Will of the People and the Luck of the Draw: Risk-Limiting Audits and Resilient Canvass Frameworks, San Francisco Chapter of the American Statistical Association, Berkeley, CA, 16 February 2012. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/asa12.pdf

117. Evidence-Based Elections: Colorado's Future?, Colorado Elections Best Practices & Vision Commission, Denver, CO, 14 December 2011. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/co-11-12-14.pdf Audio: mms://pub.sos.state.co.us/20111214130705B

116. From the Virtual Trenches, *Letters and Sciences Colloquium on Undergraduate Education:* The Virtual University—Challenges and Opportunities, University of California, Berkeley, CA, 16 November 2011. http://ls.berkeley.edu/stories/archive/fall-2011-colloquium-undergraduate-education-0 https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/onlineEd11.pdf Video: http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=40vGDuPSJso

115. Earthquake Clustering and Declustering, Institute de Physique du Globe de Paris, Paris, France, 4 October 2011. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/ipg11.pdf

114. Fears, Predictions, Hopes & Plans, *Panel on the Future*, Election Integrity: Past, Present, and Future, Caltech/MIT Voting Technology Project, Cambridge, MA, 1 October 2011. https://www.stat.berke

ley.edu/~stark/Seminars/mit11.pdf Video: http://techtv.mit.edu/collections/vtp/videos/14802-eippf-2011-3-the-future

113. Risk-limiting Audits: Soup to Nuts, and Beyond, Workshop on Electronic Voting Technology / Workshop on Transparent Elections, (EVT/WOTE '11), USENIX, San Francisco, CA, 9 August 2011. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/evtRLA11.pdf

112. SOBA: Secrecy-preserving Observable Ballot-level Audit, Workshop on Electronic Voting Technology / Workshop on Transparent Elections, (EVT/WOTE '11), USENIX, San Francisco, CA, 9 August 2011. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/evtSoba11.pdf

111. The Effectiveness of Internet Content Filtering, Workshop on Free and Open Communication on the Internet (FOCI '11), USENIX, San Francisco, CA, 8 August 2011. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/foci11.pdf

110. SticiGui, Onsophic, and Statistics W21, Panel on online instruction, Joint Statistical Meetings, Miami Beach, FL, 31 August 2011. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/jsm11.pdf

109. Risk Limiting Audits, Colorado Secretary of State, Colorado Risk Limiting Audit (CORLA) Kick-off Meeting, Denver, CO, 16 June 2011. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/co-11-6-16.pdf

108. Simultaneous Confidence Intervals with more Power to Determine Signs, Conference in honor of Erich Lehmann, Rice University, Houston, TX, 12 May 2011. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/lehmann11.pdf

107. Close enough for government [to] work, Verified Voting Foundation, Palo Alto, CA, 27 April 2011. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/vv-11-4-27.pdf

106. Close enough for government [to] work: Risk-limiting post-election audits, Berkeley-Stanford Joint Statistics Colloquium, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, 12 April 2011. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/stanford11.pdf

105. Audits: The After-Math of Elections, Verify early, verify often: creating secure, transparent and accurate elections, Election Verification Network, Chicago, IL, 25–26 March 2011. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/reed11.pdf

104. Simultaneous Confidence Intervals with more Power to Determine Signs, Department of Mathematics, Reed College, Portland, OR, 10 March 2011. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/reed11.pdf

103. Close enough for government work: Risk-Limiting Post-Election Audits, Wharton Statistics Department, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, 26 January 2011. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/penn11.pdf

102. Audits: The After-Math of Election Reform, Conference on Innovative Electoral Reforms and Strategies, Washington, DC, 10–11 December 2010. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/innovative10.pdf

101. Risk-Limiting Post-Election Audits: Statistics, Policy, and Politics, Department of Statistics, Rice University, Houston, TX, 1 November 2010. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/rice10.pdf

100. Are Declustered Earthquake Catalogs Poisson?, Department of Statistics, Pennsylvania State University, State College, PA, 14 October 2010. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/psu10.pdf

99. Super-simple simultaneous single-ballot risk-limiting audits, 2010 Electronic Voting Technology Workshop / Workshop on Trustworthy Elections (EVT/WOTE '10), Washington, DC, 9–10 August 2010. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/evtwote10.pdf

98. AB 2023 and Risk-Limiting Audits, California Association of Clerks and Election Officials Legislative Committee Meeting, 14 May 2010. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/caceo-legis10.pdf

97. Justice and inequalities, Department of Statistics and Operations Research, Tel Aviv University, Tel Aviv, Israel, 13 April 2010. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/tau10.pdf

96. Size Matters: Smaller Batches Yield More Efficient Risk-Limiting Audits, Small-Batch Audit Meeting, Washington, DC, 27–28 March 2010. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/smallBatch10.pdf

95. Sexy Audits and the Single Ballot, Election Verification Network (EVN) annual conference, Washington, DC, 25–27 March 2010. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/evn10.pdf

94. Simple, Affordable, Post-Election Audits, Institute for Mathematical Behavioral Sciences, University of California, Irvine, CA, 7 January 2010. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/uci10.pdf

93. Efficient Post-Election Audits of Multiple Contests: 2009 California Tests, Conference on Empirical Legal Studies, University of Southern California Gould School of Law, Los Angeles, CA, 20–21 November 2009. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/cels09.pdf

92. Risk-Limiting Audits, Audit Working Meeting, American Statistical Association, Arlington, VA, 23–24 October 2009. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/asa09.pdf

91. Invited panelist, Uncertainty Quantification and Error Analysis, Scientific Grand Challenges in National Security: the Role of Computing at the Extreme Scale, Washington, DC, 6–8 October 2009.

90. Some Ado about (mostly) Nothing: zero-dominated data, Alameda County Workshop on Avian Mortality at Altamont, Emeryville, CA, 22 September 2009. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/altamont09.pdf

89. Freedman's Dialogue with the Social Sciences, 2009 Joint Statistical Meetings, Washington, DC, 5 August 2009.

88. Invited panelist, David A. Freedman's Dialogue with the Social Sciences, The Society for Political Methodology 26th Annual Summer Meeting, Institution for Social and Policy Studies, Yale University, New Haven, CT, 23 July 2009.

87. Election Auditing: How Much is Enough?, The Society for Political Methodology 26th Annual Summer Meeting, Institution for Social and Policy Studies, Yale University, 23 July 2009. (Keynote lecture) https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/polMeth09.pdf

86. Risk-Limiting Post-Election Audits, Department of Statistics, University of California, Berkeley, CA, 31 March 2009. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/ucb09.pdf

85. Uncertainty Quantification Qualification, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA, 26 March 2009. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/llnl09.pdf

84. 2008 Risk-limiting Audits in California, The Pew Charitable Trusts Audit Workshop, Salt Lake City, UT, 23–24 February 2009. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/pew09.pdf

83. Election Auditing and Nonparametric Confidence Bounds, Department of Mathematics, Reed College, Portland, OR, 20 November 2008. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/reed08.pdf

82. Risk-Limiting Post-Election Audits, Department of Statistics, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS, 2 October 2008. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/ksu08.pdf

81. CAST: Canvass Audits by Sampling and Testing, 2008 American Political Science Association Annual Meeting, Panel 2008MP04292: Catch Me If You Can: Techniques to Detect Electoral Fraud, Boston, MA, 28–31 August 2008. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/apsa08.pdf

80. Invited panelist, Joint Statistical Meetings session, Statistical Measures Can Help Restore Confidence in U.S. Elections, Denver, CO, 3–7 August 2008.

79. Invited Panel on Post-Election Auditing: The Academic & Advocacy Perspective, California Association of Clerks and Election Officials (CACEO) 100th Anniversary Celebration Conference, Long Beach, CA, 8–11 July 2008.

78. Statistical Audits: Why and How Much?, Invited Panel on Post-Election Auditing: Practical Experience and Best Practices, California Association of Clerks and Election Officials (CACEO) 100th Anniversary Celebration Conference, Long Beach, CA, 8–11 July 2008. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/caceo08.pdf

77. Invited Panel on Online Learning, UC21st Century, Teaching, Learning and Technology: Past, present and future, University of California, Davis, 20–21 June 2008.

76. SticiGui—What is it?, Department of Statistics, University of California, Los Angeles, CA, 29 May 2008. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/ucla08.pdf

75. Election Auditing: How Much Is Enough?, Mathematical Sciences Research Institute, Annual Meeting of Academic Sponsors and Steering Committee, Berkeley, CA, 7 March 2008. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/msri08.pdf

74. Invited panelist, 2007 Post Election Audit Summit, Minneapolis, MN, 25–27 October 2007. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/peaSummit07.pdf

73. Urning Voter Confidence, Department of Mathematics, Reed College, Portland, OR, 11 October 2007. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/reed07.pdf

72. Frequentist Methods in Inverse Problems, Sandia CSRI Workshop on Large-Scale Inverse Problems and Quantification of Uncertainty, Santa Fe, NM, 10–12 September 2007. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/sandia07.odp

71. How Statistics Helps, 9th US Congress on Computational Mechanics, San Francisco, CA, 22–26 July 2007. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/compMech07.odp

70. Nonparametrics: nonpareil?, Veterans Administration Hospital, Neuropsychology Brown Bag Lunch, Martinez, CA, 15 May 2007. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/ebire-5-15-07.pdf

69. The Null Hypothesis: Are Earthquakes Predictable?, Assessment schemes for earthquake prediction, Royal Astronomical Society/Joint Association for Geophysics Discussion Meeting 7–8 November 1996, the Geological Society, London

68. Shaking Down Earthquake Predictions, Department of Statistics, University of California, Davis, 25 May 2006 https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/ucd-5-25-06.pdf

67. Measuring Resolution in Nonlinear and Constrained Inverse Problems, Workshop on Statistical Inverse Problems, Institute for Mathematical Stochastics, Göttingen, Germany, 23–25 March 2006. http://www.num.math.uni-goettingen.de/gk/?Workshops:Workshop_on_Statistical_Inverse_Problems

66. Resolution in Nonlinear and Constrained Inverse Problems, Workshop on Computational and Mathematical Geoscience, Colorado School of Mines, Golden CO, 15–17 June 2005.

65. Quantifying uncertainty in inverse problems, Summer school: Mathematical Geophysics and Uncertainty in Earth Models, Colorado School of Mines, Golden CO, 14–25 June 2004. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/mines04.pdf

64. Estimating power spectra of galaxy structure: can Statistics help?, Penetrating bars through masks of cosmic dust: the Hubble tuning fork strikes a new note, Pilanesberg National Park, South Africa, 7–12 June 2004. http:www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/bars04.ppt

63. Quantifying uncertainty in inverse problems, Institute for Pure and Applied Mathematics (IPAM) Conference on Statistical Methods for Inverse Problems, IPAM, Los Angeles, CA, 5–6 November 2003. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/ipam03.ppt

62. Using what we know: inference with physical constraints, PhyStat 2003: Statistical Problems in Particle Physics, Astrophysics and Cosmology, Stanford Linear Accelerator Center, Stanford, CA, 8–10 September 2003. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/phyStat03.pdf

GA005268

61. Statistical Approaches to Inverse Problems. Danish Interdisciplinary Inversion Group Seminars on Inverse Problems: Insight and Algorithms. Niels Bohr Institute, Copenhagen University, Copenhagen, Denmark, 27–29 May 2002. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/bohr02.ppt

60. Statistical Measures of Uncertainty in Inverse Problems. Institute for Mathematics and its Applications Tutorial on Inverse Problems and the Quantification of Uncertainty, Annual Program Mathematics in the Geosciences, Minneapolis, MN, 19 March 2002. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/ima02.ppt

59. Data Errors, Model Errors, and Estimation Errors, Frontiers of Geophysical Inversion Workshop, Waterways Experiment Station, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Engineer Research and Development Center, Vicksburg, MS, 17–19 February 2002. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/wes02.ppt

58. Strategic Planning and Implementation I: The Challenge of Adapting Organizations and Creating Partnerships to Target New Markets, University Teaching as E-business?, Center for Studies in Higher Education, Berkeley, CA, 26–27 October 2001.

57. Inverse Problems and Data Errors, New Developments in Astrophysical Fluid Dynamics, Chateau de Mons, Caussens, France, 25–29 June 2001.

56. Data Reduction and Inverse Problems in Helioseismology, Workshop Statistics of inverse problems, Institut Henri Poincaré, Paris, France, 28–29 May 2001.

55. Why Statistics is worth the Stigma, Letters and Sciences Faculty Forum, University of California, Berkeley, CA, 23 April 2001. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/stigma01.ppt

54. Inverse Problems in Helioseismology, Second MaPhySto Workshop on Inverse Problems: Inverse problems from a Statistical Perspective, Aalborg, Denmark, 28–31 March 2001.

53. What are the Chances?, NATO Advanced Research Workshop: State of scientific knowledge regarding earthquake occurrence and implications

GA005269

for public policy, Le Dune, Piscinas — Arbus, Sardinia, Italy, 15–19 October 2000.

52. Why Unadjusted Census Results should be Used for Reapportionment and Funding within the State of California, 13th Annual Demographic Workshop, U.S. Bureau of the Census, California State Census Data Center, and the Population Research Laboratory of the University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, 15 May 2000.

51. Invited discussant, Workshop of the National Academy of Sciences Panel to Review the 2000 Census, Washington, D.C., 2–3 February 2000.

50. Invited discussant, Panel discussion on the role of sampling in the US Census, San Francisco Bay Area Chapter of the American Statistical Association, 20 December 1999.

49. Lecturer, Mathematical Geophysics Summer School, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, 2–20 August 1999.

48. Less Asymptotic Tomography. 9th SOHO Workshop: Helioseismic Diagnostics of Solar Convection and Activity, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, 12–15 July 1999.

47. Invited panelist, Reinventing Undergraduate Education: Technology Enhanced Learning in the Sciences, Math, and Engineering, University of California, Berkeley, CA, 23 April 1999.

46. Error in Numerical Models Fitted to Data. DSRC/DARPA Study on Numerical Simulation of Physical Systems: The State of the Art, and Opportunities for Further Advances, Kick-Off Meeting, Arlington, VA, 19–20 January 1999. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/dsrc99.htm`

45. Sampling to Adjust the U.S. Census. Miller Institute for Basic Research in Science, University of California, Berkeley, CA, 12 January 1999. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/mibrs99.htm`

44. A Statistician's Perspective on Census Adjustment, Berkeley Breakfast Club, Berkeley, CA, 5 December 1998. `https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/bbc98.htm`

GA005270

43. SticiGui: Melts in your Browser, not in your Brain, Joint Berkeley-Stanford Statistics Colloquium, Department of Statistics, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, 27 October 1998. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/bsc98.htm

42. SticiGui: Statistics Tools for Internet and Classroom Instruction with a Graphical User Interface, 1998 Joint Statistical Meetings of the American Statistical Association, International Biometric Society, and Institute of Mathematical Statistics, Orlando, FL, 12 August 1998.

41. Presidential Panel on Statistics in Public Policy, 1998 Joint Statistical Meetings of the American Statistical Association, International Biometric Society, and Institute of Mathematical Statistics, Orlando, FL, 10 August 1998.

40. Misfit Measures and Statistical Inconsistency in Linear Inverse Problems. AMS/IMS/SIAM Joint Summer Research Conferences in the Mathematical Sciences, Mathematical Methods in Inverse Problems for Partial Differential Equations, Mt. Holyoke, MA, 4–9 July 1998. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/ams-ims-siam-98.pdf

39. Uncertainties for functions from incomplete, erroneous data. NSF/DOE Workshop on Uncertainty in Modeling, National Science Foundation, Arlington, VA, 11–12 June 1998. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/nsf-doe-98.htm

38. Sampling to adjust the 1990 Census for Undercount. U.S. House of Representatives Subcommittee on the Census, May 1998. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Census/house-5-5-98-pbs.pdf

37. Sounding the Sun: Helioseismology. 1998 American Association for the Advancement of Science (AAAS) Annual Meeting and Science Innovation Exposition, Philadelphia, PA., February 1998. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/Aaas/helio.htm

36. Data Sampling Rate Reduction for the OERSTED geomagnetic Satellite, Department of Geological Sciences, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, 28 July 1997. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/Oersted/writeup.htm

35. Does God play dice with the Earth, and if so, are they loaded? Fourth SIAM Conference on Mathematical and Computational Methods in the Geosciences, Albuquerque, NM, 16 June 1997. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/doesgod.htm

34. Solving Problems for a Large Statistics Lecture Course using a Website UC Berkeley Academic Senate Workshop on Classroom Technology, Berkeley, CA, 11 April 1997. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Seminars/itpTalk.htm

33. Deficiencies of the simple theories, Local Helioseismology Workshop, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, England, 1997.

32. CMB's, Royal Astronomical Society Ordinary Meeting, London, England, 1996.

31. The Null Hypothesis, Royal Astronomical Society and Joint Associations for Geophysics discussion meeting on Assessment of Schemes for Earthquake Prediction, London, England, 1996.

30. On the consistency of multiple inference in inverse problems using l_p confidence sets, International Conference on Multiple Comparisons, Tel Aviv, Israel, 1996.

29. Confidence Intervals in Inverse Problems, Conference in Honor of George Backus, Institute for Geophysics and Planetary Physics, La Jolla, CA, 1995.

28. The Need for Wave-Equation Travel-Time Tomography, Institute for Mathematics and Its Applications, Conference on Tomography, Minneapolis, MN, 1995.

27. Inference, Prior Information, and Misfit Measures, Interdisciplinary Inversion Conference on Methodology, Computation and Integrated Applications, University of Aarhus, Aarhus, Denmark, 1995.

26. Optimization and Inference in Travel-Time Seismology, National Research Council Board on Mathematical Sciences Symposium on Mathematical Sciences in Seismology, Washington, DC, 1995.

GA005272

25. Prior Information and Confidence Intervals in Inverse Problems, International Union of Geodesy and Geophysics Meeting, Boulder, CO, 1995.

24. Something AGAINST Nothing: A Confidence Game, Joint Statistical Meetings of the American Statistical Association, International Biometric Society, and Institute of Mathematical Statistics, Orlando, FL, 1995.

23. Uncertainties in Travel-Time Seismology, SIAM/GAMM Symposium on Inverse Problems: Geophysical Applications, Fish Camp, CA, 1995.

22. Toward Tubular Tomography, 27th General Assembly of the Int. Assoc. of Seismology and Phys. of the Earth's Inter. (IASPEI), Wellington, New Zealand, 1994.

21. Alternative Data Analysis Techniques, Global Oscillation Network Group annual meeting, Los Angeles, CA, (presented by C. Genovese due to illness), 1994.

20. Mathematical Aspects of Integral Equation Inversion, Global Oscillation Network Group workshop, Sydney, Australia, 1994.

19. Conservative Finite-Sample Confidence Envelopes for Monotone and Unimodal Densities, Mathematisches Forschungsinstitut Oberwolfach meeting on Curves, Images and Massive Computation, Oberwolfach, Germany, 1993.

18. Invited discussant, Joint IMS/ASA/ENAR Meeting, Philadelphia, PA, 1993.

17. Uncertainty of the Quadrupole Component of the Cosmic Microwave Background, Israel Statistical Association Annual Meeting, Tel Aviv, 1993.

16. Brute-Force Minimax Estimation in Geochemistry, Joint Statistical Meetings of the American Statistical Association, International Biometric Society, and Institute of Mathematical Statistics, San Francisco, CA, 1993.

GA005273

15. Conservative Numerical Uncertainty Estimates in Inverse Problems, SIAM 40th Anniversary Meeting, Los Angeles, CA, 1992.

14. Minimax Estimation in Geomagnetism, European Geophysical Society Annual Meeting, Wiesbaden, Germany, 1991.

13. Minimax Estimation in Geophysical Inverse Problems: Applications to Seismic Tomography and Geomagnetism, Schmitt Institute for Physics of the Earth, Academy of Sciences of the USSR, Moscow, 1991.

12. Imagining Earth's Interior: Controversies in Seismology and Geomagnetism, Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Workshop on Statistical Methods in Imaging, Berkeley, CA, 1991.

11. Discretization and its Discontents: New Methods in Inverse Theory, Institute for Theoretical Physics program Helioseismology—Probing the Interior of a Star, National Science Foundation Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of California, Santa Barbara, 1990.

10. Inference in Infinite-Dimensional Inverse Problems, Schmitt Institute for Physics of the Earth, Academy of Sciences of the USSR, Moscow, 1990.

9. Inference in Infinite-Dimensions: Discretization and Duality, Israel Statistical Association Annual Meeting, Jerusalem, 1990.

8. Superresolution: What, When and How?, Institute for Theoretical Physics program Helioseismology—Probing the Interior of a Star, National Science Foundation Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of California, Santa Barbara, 1990.

7. Sparsity-Constrained Deconvolution, International Union of Radio Science Meeting, Boulder, CO, 1989.

6. Invited discussant, Statistics, Earth and Space Sciences Meeting of the Bernoulli Society, Leuven, Belgium, 1989.

5. Rigorous Computer Solutions to Infinite-Dimensional Inverse Problems, rcp 264 problemes inverses, Montpellier, France, 1989.

4. Duality and Discretization Error, Conference on Mathematical Geophysics, Blanes, Spain, 1988.

GA005274

3. Spectral extrapolation with positivity, International Union of Radio Science Meeting, Boulder, CO, 1987.

2. Travel-Time Constraints on Core Structure, Special Session on Geophysics of the Core and Core-Mantle Boundary, American Geophysical Union Spring Meeting, Baltimore, MD, 1986.

1. Smooth Models from tau(p) and X(p) Data, Scripps Industrial Associates Short Course on Inverse Theory, Scripps Institution of Oceanography, La Jolla, CA, 1986.

Other Invited Seminars

California State University, Chico (Mathematics 1993)

Colorado School of Mines (Mathematical and Computer Sciences 1997)

Copenhagen University (Niels Bohr Institute for Astronomy, Physics, and Geophysics 1996)

Hebrew University of Jerusalem (Statistics 1993)

IT University of Copenhagen (2013, 2014, 2016)

Kansas State University (Statistics 2008)

Pennsylvania State University (Statistics 1010)

National Solar Observatory (1997)

Naval Postgraduate School (Operations Research, 2001)

Reed College (Mathematics, 2007, 2008, 2011)

Rice University (Statistics, 2010)

Schlumberger-Doll Research (1988, 1990, 1991, 1992)

Southern Methodist University (Statistical Sciences, 1998)

Stanford University (Center for Space Physics and Astrophysics 1992; Mathematics 1997; Geology and Geophysics 1993, 1997; Statistics 1988, 1993, 1995, 2011)

GA005275

The Technion (Statistics 1987)

Tel Aviv University (Geology and Geophysics 1988, 1991; Statistics 1991, 2010)

University of Bologna (Physics and Astronomy, 2013)

University of British Columbia (Geophysics and Astronomy 1996)

University of California, Berkeley (Astronomy 1996; Center for Pure and Applied Mathematics 1988; Geology and Geophysics 1988; Materials Science and Mineral Engineering 1988; Physics, 2001; Seismographic Stations, 1991, 1992, 1996; Statistics 1987, 1988(2),1989(2), 1990, 1991, 1992, 1994, 1996(2), 1997, 2006, 2009, 2011)

University of California, Davis (Statistics 1995, 2006; Mathematics 2000)

University of California, Los Angeles (Mathematics 1992; Statistics 2000, 2008, 2013)

University of California, Riverside (Earth Sciences 1996; Statistics 1996)

University of California, San Diego (Institute for Geophysics and Planetary Physics 1985, 1986, 1987, 1988(2), 1990, 1998, 2005; Mathematics 1994)

University of Cambridge (Institute for Astronomy 1992, 1997)

University of Chicago (Statistics 1990)

University of Edinburgh (Earth Sciences, 1998)

University of Luxembourg (Interdisciplinary Centre for Security, Reliability and Trust 2012)

University of Paris, Institute de Physique du Globe de Paris (2011)

University of Pennsylvania (Wharton Statistics Department, 2011)

University of Texas at Austin (Geological Sciences 1988; Mathematics 1990, 1991; Institute for Geophysics 1990)

Veterans Affairs Northern California Health Care System, Martinez, CA (East Bay Institute for Research and Education, 2007)

Yale University (Geology and Geophysics 1988; Statistics 1988)

Press

217. A.I. Is Helping Scientists Predict When and Where the Next Big Earthquake Will Be, Thomas Fuller and Cade Metz, *The New York Times*, 26 October 2018. https://www.nytimes.com/2018/10/26/technology/earthquake-predictions-artificial-intelligence.html

216. Mega Millions Frenzy At A Fever Pitch Ahead Of Tuesday Night Drawing, Don Ford, *KPIX CBS Television*, 23 October 2018. https://sanfrancisco.cbslocal.com/2018/10/23/mega-millions-frenzy-at-a-fever-pitch-ahead-of-tuesday-night-drawing/

215. Innovators Look To "Accidental Crops" as a Nutritious, Environmentally Friendly and Free Source of Food, Natalie Parletta, *Ensia*, 28 September 2018. https://ensia.com/articles/wild-greens/

214. Can Urban Soil Offer Edible Weeds Fit for Foraging?, Eden Stiffman, *Civil Eats*, 21 September 2018. https://civileats.com/2018/09/21/can-urban-soil-offer-edible-weeds-fit-for-foraging/

213. Georgia Voters—out of Country, out of Luck?, Sean Steinberg, *WhoWhatWhy*, 11 September 2018. https://whowhatwhy.org/2018/09/11/georgia-voters-out-of-country-out-of-luck/

212. Even Scientists Jump to Conclusions—and That's a Problem, *Cosmos: The Science of Everything*, Paul Biegler, 6 September 2018, https://cosmosmagazine.com/social-sciences/even-scientists-jump-to-conclusions-and-that-s-a-problem

211. Elections Scholar: Kansas Voting System Would Allow Undetectable Tampering, Jennifer Cohn, *TYT*, 6 September 2018. https://tyt.com/stories/4vZLCHuQrYE4uKagyOoyMA/5YIEQxHW5qmWayG0kYCsy2

GA005277

210. West Virginia is testing a mobile voting app for the midterms. What could go wrong?, Jen Kirby, *Vox*, 17 August 2018. https://www.vox.com/2018/8/17/17661876/west-virginia-voatz-voting-app-election-security

209. Election Security Hot Topic at Walnut Creek Town Hall, Debora Villalon, *KTVU*, 14 August 2018. http://www.ktvu.com/news/election-security-hot-topic-at-congressional-town-hall-in-walnut-creek

208. Weeds growing in poor city areas more nutritious than store-bought produce, Natalie Parletta, *Cosmos: The Science of Everything*, 13 August 2018. https://cosmosmagazine.com/biology/weeds-growing-in-poor-city-areas-more-nutritious-than-store-bought-produce

207. Voting Machine Company Admits Installing Vulnerable Remote-Access Software, Jimmy Falls, *Who.What.Why*, 19 July 2018. https://whowhatwhy.org/2018/07/19/voting-machine-company-admits-installing-vulnerable-remote-access-software/

206. Can the Emmys Be Hacked? One contender tried to find out, Geoff Edgers, *Washington Post*, 2 June 2018. https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/arts-and-entertainment/wp/2018/06/22/can-the-emmys-be-hacked-one-contender-tried-to-find-out/

205. Student Evaluations of Teaching are Not Valid. It is time to stop using SET scores in personnel decisions, John W. Lawrence, *American Association of University Professors*, May–June, 2018. https://www.aaup.org/article/student-evaluations-teaching-are-not-valid

204. County Server On Election Night: Report Investigators traced IP addresses linked to the attack to foreign countries, Sam Levine, *Huffington Post*, 11 May 2018. https://www.huffingtonpost.com/entry/knox-county-election-cyberattack_us_5af5ca21e4b032b10bfa56ee?j6

203. Texas Works To Create A More Secure Electronic Voting System, Ashley Lopez, *NPR Morning Edition*, 10 May 2018. https://www.npr.org/2018/05/10/609979541/texas-works-to-create-a-more-secure-electronic-voting-system

202. Amid Delay In New Lottery Policy, Repeat Winners Keep On Winning, Lisa Creamer and Jeff Kelly Lowenstein, *WBUR*, 27 April 2018. http://www.wbur.org/news/2018/04/27/lottery-frequent-winners-policy-delay

201. Some people repeatedly win the Wisconsin Lottery. Do they play fair? Peter Coutu, *Wisconsin Center for Investigative Journalism*, 18 March 2018. https://www.wisconsinwatch.org/2018/03/some-people-repeatedly-win-the-wisconsin-lottery-do-they-play-fair/

200. Experts Say Electronic Voting Machines Aren't Secure. So Travis County Is Designing Its Own, Ashley Lopez, *KUT Public Radio*, 5 March 2018. http://kut.org/post/experts-say-electronic-voting-machines-arent-secure-so-travis-county-designing-its-own

199. Auditor general finds no fault with PA Lottery, but unusual wins remain unexplained, Daniel Simmons-Ritchie, *Penn Live*, 2 February 2018. http://www.pennlive.com/news/2018/02/auditor_general_finds_no_fault.html

198. Vote auditing can ensure integrity of elections, Audrey Malagon, *The Virginian-Pilot*, 20 January 2018. https://pilotonline.com/opinion/columnist/guest/article_cbe465f9-6f22-58c6-a050-42b0ea55cb41.html

197. Berkeley Professor Leads Nation's First Statewide Risk-Limiting Election Audit, *American Statistical Association News*, 20 December 2017. http://www.amstat.org/ASA/News/Berkeley-Professor-Leads-Nations-First-Statewide-Risk-Limiting-Election-Audit.aspx

196. Engineering verifiable elections, *IEEE Spotlight*, 5 December 2017. http://sites.ieee.org/spotlight/when-is-an-election-verifiable/

195. Just how lucky are regular lottery winners? *More or Less, BBC*, 3 December 2017. http://www.bbc.co.uk/programmes/w3csvq3h

194. Colorado's First-In-The-Nation Audit Takes The Next Step Toward More Secure Elections, Ann Marie Awad, *All Things Considered*,

National Public Radio, 22 November 2017. https://www.npr.org/2017/11/22/566039611/colorado-launches-first-in-the-nation-post-election-audits (Originally broadcast on *Colorado Public Radio*, http://www.cpr.org/news/story/colorado-s-first-in-the-nation-audit-takes-the-next-step-toward-more-secure-elections)

193. Auditor General examining unusually frequent lottery wins identified by PennLive, Daniel Simmons-Ritchie, *Penn Live*, 25 September 2017. http://www.pennlive.com/news/2017/09/auditor_general_examining_freq.html

192. Nationwide lottery project, like Post's, finds improbable winnings, Lawrence Mower, *Palm Beach Post*, 22 September 2017. http://www.mypalmbeachpost.com/news/nationwide-lottery-project-like-post-finds-improbable-winnings/Sj8QrpwbqyT3xs9gBVPJSP/

191. When retailers win lottery prizes with luck that defies belief, could officials be turning a blind eye?, Daniel Simmons-Ritchie, *Penn Live*, 15 September 2017. http://www.pennlive.com/watchdog/2017/09/defying_the_odds_part_3.html

190. These Pennsylvania Lottery players have won more than a 100 times - but how?, Daniel Simmons-Ritchie, *Penn Live*, 14 September 2017. http://www.pennlive.com/watchdog/2017/09/defying_the_odds_part_2.html

189. How did PennLive investigate America's 'luckiest' lottery players?, Daniel Simmons-Ritchie and Jeff Kelly Lowenstein, *Penn Live*, 13 September 2017. http://www.pennlive.com/watchdog/2017/09/defying_the_odds_methodology.html

188. The math behind PennLive's analysis of frequent lottery winners, Daniel Simmons-Ritchie, *Penn Live*, 13 September 2017. http://www.pennlive.com/watchdog/2017/09/defying_the_odds_math.html

187. The nation's 'luckiest' lottery winners may not be as lucky as they seem, Daniel Simmons-Ritchie and Jeff Kelly Lowenstein, *Penn Live*, 13 September 2017. http://www.pennlive.com/watchdog/2017/09/defying_the_odds_part_1.html

186. Risky business: How do restaurants succeed long term?, Megan Favignano, *Columbia Daily Tribune*, 19 August 2017. http://www.columbiatribune.com/news/20170819/risky-business-how-do-restaurants-succeed-long-term

185. In System With Little Oversight, Connecticut's Biggest Lottery Winners Often Pay Huge Price, Matthew Kauffman, Dave Altimari, and Jon William Allsop, *Hartford Courant*, 17 August 2017. http://www.courant.com/news/connecticut/hc-lottery-big-winners-20170817-story.html

184. Gaming the Lottery: Behind the Story, Jeff Kelly Lowenstein and Raymond Joseph, *eNews Channel Africa*, 14 August 2017. http://www.enca.com/south-africa/gaming-the-lottery-behind-the-story

183. Why are doctors and patients still at war over M.E.? How the best treatment for the debilitating condition is one of the most bitterly contested areas in medicine, Jerome Burne, *The Daily Mail*, 14 August 2017. http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-4790904/Why-doctors-patients-war-M-E.html

182. DefCon hackers made short work of voting machines. Now what?, Matt Leonard, *GCN*, 8 August 2017. https://gcn.com/articles/2017/08/08/defcon-voting-hacking.aspx

181. Colorado to require advanced post-election audits, Eric Geller, *Politico*, 17 July 2017. http://www.politico.com/story/2017/07/17/colorado-post-election-audits-cybersecurity-240631

180. Are edible weeds the next food trend? Devika Bansal, *San Jose Mercury News*, 16 July 2017. http://www.mercurynews.com/2017/07/16/is-picking-edible-weeds-off-the-streets-the-next-foodie-trend/

179. Here's how to keep Russian hackers from attacking the 2018 elections, J. Alex Halderman and Justin Talbot-Zorn, *Washington Post*, 21 June 2017. https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/posteverything/wp/2017/06/21/heres-how-to-keep-russian-hackers-from-attacking-the-2018-elections/

178. Do French Fries Kill You? A Lesson in Correlation vs. Causation, Leah Rosenbaum, *Seeker*, 16 June 2017. https://www.seeker.com/health/do-french-fries-kill-you-a-lesson-in-cargo-cult-science

177. White Men Of Academia Have An 'Objectivity' Problem, P.L. Thomas, *Huffington Post*, 9 June 2017. http://www.huffingtonpost.com/entry/more-on-white-men-of-academia-student-and-self-evaluation_us_593a8204e4b0b65670e56963

176. The Voting Technology We Really Need? Paper, Lawrence Norden, *The Atlantic*, 10 May 2017. https://www.theatlantic.com/technology/archive/2017/05/the-voting-technology-we-really-need-paper/524820/

175. There's Probably a Salad's Worth of Greens On Your City Block, Glenn Jackson, *Bon Appetit / Healthy-ish*, 9 May 2017. (urban foraging, food security, food safety, nutrition) http://www.bonappetit.com/story/urban-foraging-philip-stark

174. Foraging, an educational skill set that could one day be taught in public schools, Jessica Wyant, *The Pioneer*, 1 May 2017. (urban foraging, food security, food safety, nutrition) http://piercepioneernews.com/11293/campus/11293/

173. Berkeley Open Source Food Week promotes foraging, Gasia Mikaelian, *KTVU*, 20 April 2017. (urban foraging, food security, food safety) http://www.ktvu.com/news/249730521-story

172. UC Berkeley professor shares love of edible, nutritious weeds, Rebecca Parr, *East Bay Times*, 24 March 2017. (urban foraging, food security, food safety) http://www.eastbaytimes.com/2017/03/24/hayward-professor-shares-love-of-edible-nutritious-weeds/

171. Women Professors' Salaries Have Gone Up More Than Men's—but the Wage Gap Is Still Widening, Suzannah Weiss, *Glamour*, 23 March 2017. (teaching evaluations, gender bias) http://www.glamour.com/story/women-professors-salaries-have-gone-up-more-than-mensbut-the-wage-gap-is-still-widening

170. Inside the Recount, Steve Friess, *New Republic*, March 2017. (election integrity) https://newrepublic.com/article/140254/inside-story-trump-clinton-stein-presidential-election-recount

169. Ratings Show Students Unfairly Favor Male Professors, Peter Musto, *Voice of America*, 13 February 2017. (teaching evaluations, gender bias) http://learningenglish.voanews.com/a/ratemyprofessors-rating-system-unfair-to-females/3718237.html

168. Voter Fraud Experts: Trump's "Bizarre" Claim Of Illegal Votes Could Lead To Severe Voter Restrictions. Journalists Urged To Call Out "Bogus" Assertion, Joe Strupp, *Media Matters*, 25 January 2017. (election integrity) https://mediamatters.org/blog/2017/01/25/voter-fraud-experts-trump-s-bizarre-claim-illegal-votes-could-lead-severe-voter-restrictions/215119

167. Stein Camp Believes Recount Price Tag Was 'Jacked Up' to Discourage Audit, Oliver Ortega, *Who.What.Why*, 18 January 2017. (election integrity). http://whowhatwhy.org/2017/01/18/stein-camp-believes-recount-price-tag-jacked-discourage-audit/

166. Team at Rice builds machine to transform the way we vote, Dylan Baddour, *The Houston Chronicle*, 27 December 2016. (election integrity) http://www.houstonchronicle.com/news/houston-texas/houston/article/Team-at-Rice-builds-machine-to-transform-the-way-10821587.php

165. Fact-checking the integrity of the vote in 2016, Jon Greenberg, *PolitiFact*, 17 December 2016. (election integrity) http://www.politifact.com/truth-o-meter/article/2016/dec/17/fact-checking-claims-voter-fraud-2016/

164. *RT America News*, Interview by Ed Schultz, 9 December 2016. (election integrity) https://youtu.be/HUILuSbpKyM

163. Secure American Democracy, Robert Schlesinger, *US News and World Reports*, 9 December 2016. (election integrity) http://www.usnews.com/opinion/articles/2016-12-09/3-reforms-for-americas-vulnerable-democracy-in-light-of-the-2016-election

162. 7 Election Integrity and Cyber-Security Experts Say Stopping Michigan Recount Is a Corrupt Exercise of Power, Steven Rosenfeld, *AlterNet*, 8 December 2016. (election Integrity) http://airwww.alternet.org/7-election-integrity-and-cyber-security-experts-say-stopping-michigan-recount-corrupt-exercise-power

161. The Wisconsin recount may have a surprise in store after all, Stephen Ansolabehere, Barry C. Burden, Kenneth R. Mayer, and Charles Stewart III, *The Washington Post*, 5 December 2016. (election integrity) https://www.washingtonpost.com/news/monkey-cage/wp/2016/12/05/the-wisconsin-recount-may-have-a-surprise-in-store-after-all/

160. Could a Recount Overturn the Election? *The Economist*, 3 December 2016. (election integrity) http://www.economist.com/news/united-states/21711055-recounting-votes-tedious-expensive-and-cathartic-could-recount-overturn

159. *KTVU 2 Fox News*, Interview, 2 December 2016. (election integrity)

158. The Kathleen Dunn Show, *Wisconsin Public Radio*, Interview, 1 December 2016. (election integrity) http://www.wpr.org/listen/1028671

157. *KCBS Radio*, Interview with Doug Sovern, 1 December 2016. (election integrity)

156. What Would It Take to Fix The Voting System and Why Isn't Anybody Doing It?, Jeff Clyburn and Klaus Marre, *Who.What.Why?*, 1 December 2016. (election integrity) http://whowhatwhy.org/2016/12/01/take-fix-voting-system-isnt-anybody/

155. What 6 Top Election Experts Are Saying about the Next Big Step for the 2016 Recount, Steven Rosenfeld, *AlterNet*, 29 November 2016. (election integrity) http://www.alternet.org/election-2016/what-6-top-election-experts-are-saying-about-next-big-step-2016-recount

154. Judge rejects Stein's request for hand recount, Jason Stein, *Milwaukee Journal Sentinel*, 29 November 2016. (election integrity) http://www

.jsonline.com/story/news/politics/elections/2016/11/29/steins-recount-headed-court-tuesday/94598740/

153. UC Berkeley professor calls for audit of presidential election votes, Ashley Wong, *The Daily Californian*, 29 November 2016. (election integrity) http://www.dailycal.org/2016/11/28/uc-berkeley-professor-calls-for-audit-of-presidential-election-votes/

152. Security experts join Jill Stein's 'election changing' recount campaign, Jon Swaine, *The Guardian*, 28 November 2016. (election integrity) https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2016/nov/29/security-experts-join-jill-steins-election-changing-recount-campaign

151. *KTVU 2 Fox News*, Interview, 28 November 2016. (election integrity) http://www.ktvu.com/news/220330952-story

150. US election recount: how it began—and what effect it could have, Jon Swaine and Mona Chalabi, *The Guardian*, 28 November 2016. (election integrity) https://www.theguardian.com/us-news/2016/nov/28/election-recount-jill-stein-hillary-clinton-donald-trump

149. *BBC World Service*, 25 November 2016. Interview by Dotun Adebayo. (election integrity)

148. *KCBS Radio*, 25 November 2016. Interview. (election integrity)

147. *BBC World Service*, 24 November 2016. Interview. (election integrity)

146. US election: Leading statisticians call for vote audit over hacking fears, Harry Cockburn, *The Independent*, 23 November 2016. (election integrity) http://www.independent.co.uk/news/world/americas/us-election-statisticians-vote-audit-hacking-donald-trump-hillary-clinton-a7434516.html

145. Hacked or Not, Audit This Election (And All Future Ones), Andrew Greenberg, *Wired*, 23 November 2016. (election integrity) https://www.wired.com/2016/11/hacked-not-audit-election-rest/

144. Republicans Cannot Claim a Mandate When Hillary Clinton Has a 2 Million-Vote Lead, John Nichols, *The Nation*, 23 November 2016. (election integrity) https://www.thenation.com/article/republic

ans-cannot-claim-a-mandate-when-hillary-clinton-has-a-two-million-vote-lead/

143. Stop Saying the Election Was Rigged, Andrew Gelman, *Slate*, 22 November 2016. (election integrity) http://www.slate.com/articles/health_and_science/science/2016/11/reports_claiming_the_election_was_rigged_are_wrong.html//

142. Electoral Organizations Call For Nationwide Audit, Ethan Harfenist, *Vocativ*, 18 November 2016. (election integrity) http://www.vocativ.com/377544/election-audit/

141. Against all Odds, Gavin Off and Adam Bell, *The Charlotte Observer*, 29 September 2016. (lottery fraud) http://www.charlotteobserver.com/news/special-reports/against-all-odds/

140. Exercise and therapy cure for ME seriously flawed, Tom Whipple, *The Times of London*, 28 September 2016. (myalgic encephalomyelitis, chronic fatigue syndrome, clinical trials)

139. Livestream interview: Audits in California—How to Improve, *Ballots for Bernie*, 25 September 2016. (election integrity) https://www.facebook.com/events/536276663233125/

138. Foraging: Where the wild foods are, Shannon Eblen, *Courier-Post / USA Today*, 21 September 2016. (urban foraging, food security, food safety) http://www.courierpostonline.com/story/life/2016/09/21/foraging-food-edibles-deptford/90494736/

137. Bad science misled millions with chronic fatigue syndrome. Here's how we fought back, Julie Rehmeyer, *STAT*, 21 September 2016. (chronic fatigue syndrome, analysis of clinical trials) https://www.statnews.com/2016/09/21/chronic-fatigue-syndrome-pace-trial/

136. How to Hack an Election in 7 Minutes, Ben Wofford, *Politico Magazine*, 5 August 2016. (election integrity, election auditing) http://www.politico.com/magazine/story/2016/08/2016-elections-russia-hack-how-to-hack-an-election-in-seven-minutes-214144

135. Instead of Pokémon, Try Using Your Smartphone To Catch Tasty Wild Edibles, Jill Neimark, *Good*, 2 August 2016. (urban foraging, wild/feral

food) https://food.good.is/articles/foragers-call-these-apps-the-tinder-for-wild-food

134. The Bias in Student Course Evaluations, Joey Sprague, *Inside Higher Ed*, 17 June 2016. (teaching evaluations, gender bias) https://www.insidehighered.com/advice/2016/06/17/removing-bias-student-evaluations-faculty-members-essay

133. How One Professor Is Trying to Paint a Richer Portrait of Effective Teaching, Emma Pettit, *The Chronicle of Higher Education*, 16 June 2016. (teaching evaluations, gender bias) http://chronicle.com/article/How-One-Professor-Is-Trying-to/236827

132. Survival of the Smartest: Berkeley Prof Stocks Up On Skill to Outlast Apocalypse, Krissy Eliot, *California Magazine*, 31 May 2016. (urban foraging, cooking, food, trail running) http://alumni.berkeley.edu/california-magazine/just-in/2016-05-31/survival-smartest-berkeley-prof-stocks-skill-outlast

131. MSU Professors Read Mean Reviews, *Detroit Free Press*, 2 May 2016. (teaching evaluations, gender bias) http://www.freep.com/story/news/local/michigan/2016/05/02/msu-professors-read-mean-reviews/83836716/

130. Embracing 'Messy' Science, Colleen Flaherty, *Inside Higher Ed*, 15 March 2016. (P-values) https://www.insidehighered.com/news/2016/03/15/american-statistical-association-seeks-usher-new-era-statistical-significance

129. Are College Students Sexist? New Research Says They Grade Female Profs More Harshly, Krissy Eliot, *California Magazine*, 3 February 2016. (gender bias, teaching evaluations) http://alumni.berkeley.edu/california-magazine/just-in/2016-02-03/are-college-students-sexist-new-research-says-they-grade

128. Are student evaluations fair on female teachers?, Alecia Simmonds, *Daily Life*, 3 February 2016. (gender bias, teaching evaluations) http://www.dailylife.com.au/news-and-views/dl-opinion/are-student-evaluations-fair-on-female-teachers-20160202-gmjuw6.html

GA005287

127. Scientists: Subtle Seismic Activity Hints at Predicting Large Quakes, Steve Herman, *Voice of America*, 28 January 2016. (earthquake prediction) http://www.voanews.com/content/subtle-seismic-activity-hints-predicting-large-quakes/3167842.html

126. New Study Shows College Students Are Overwhelmingly Biased Against Female Professors: Student evaluations aren't just based on the effectiveness of teachers. Noelle Devoe, *Seventeen*, 27 January 2016. (gender bias, teaching evaluations) http://www.seventeen.com/life/school/news/a37577/new-study-shows-college-students-are-overwhelmingly-biased-against-female-professors/

125. Les évaluations des enseignements par les étudiants et les stéréotypes de genre, Anne Boring, *The Conversation*, 26 January 2016. (gender bias, teaching evaluations) https://theconversation.com/les-evaluations-des-enseignements-par-les-etudiants-et-les-stereotypes-de-genre-53590

124. Students Are Kind of Harsh When Evaluating Their Female Professors, Tanya Basu, *New York Magazine*, 26 January 2016. (gender bias, teaching evaluations) http://nymag.com/scienceofus/2016/01/students-give-women-professors-worse-evaluations.html

123. Student Evaluations Of College Professors Are Biased Against Women, Study Finds, Showing How Sexism Warps Our Views Of Competency, Erin Mckelle Fischer, *Bustle*, 26 January 2016. (gender bias, teaching evaluations) http://www.bustle.com/articles/137889-student-evaluations-of-college-professors-are-biased-against-women-study-finds-showing-how-sexism-warps-our

122. New Study Shows That Students Overwhelmingly Prefer Male Professors to Female Ones, but does having a male teacher mean a higher GPA? Kate Dwye, *Teen Vogue*, 26 January 2016. (gender bias, teaching evaluations) http://www.teenvogue.com/story/students-evaluate-male-professors-more-favorably

121. Students Favor Male Professors Regardless of Their Skills and Teaching Style, Madeleine Davies, *Jezebel*, 25 January 2016 (gender bias, teaching evaluations) http://jezebel.com/students-favor-male-professors-regardless-of-their-skil-1754947463

120. Why Female Professors Get Lower Ratings, Anya Kamenetz, *NPR Education*, 25 January 2016. (gender bias, teaching evaluations) http://www.npr.org/sections/ed/2016/01/25/463846130/why-women-professors-get-lower-ratings//

119. The Glaring Flaw In Student Evaluations, Casey Quinlan, *Think Progress*, 14 January 2016. (gender bias, teaching evaluations) http://thinkprogress.org/education/2016/01/14/3739455/gender-bias-professors/

118. Bias Against Female Instructors, Colleen Flaherty, *Inside Higher Ed*, 11 January 2016. (gender bias, teaching evaluations) https://www.insidehighered.com/news/2016/01/11/new-analysis-offers-more-evidence-against-student-evaluations-teaching Reprinted as It's Time to Kill the Student Evaluation: More and more evidence shows bias against female instructors, *Slate*, 14 January 2016. http://www.slate.com/articles/life/inside_higher_ed/2016/01/student_evaluations_show_bias_against_female_instructors.html

117. There's No Easy Fix for Gender Bias in Students' Evaluation of Teachers, Nathan Collins, *Pacific Standard*, 8 January 2016. (gender bias, teaching evaluations) http://www.psmag.com/politics-and-law/kids-will-be-gender-biased-kids

116. Is food foraged in cities safe to eat?, Christina Boyes, *Civil Eats*, 11 November 11 2015. (urban foraging, nutrition, food safety) http://civileats.com/2015/11/11/is-urban-foraging-cities-safe-to-eat-boston/

115. Terra Verde interview, by Jason Mark, *KPFA*, 21 August 2015. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) http://archives.kpfa.org/data/20150821-Fri1400.mp3

114. Un repas au coin du bitume, Julie Zaugg, *Le Temps*, 8 August 2015. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) http://www.letemps.ch/Page/Uuid/e58f7054-3d24-11e5-9458-9f31f164eeae/Un_repas_au_coin_du_bitume

113. A Walk on the Wild (Edibles) Side, Mark Bittman, *The New York Times*, 9 July 2015. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food secu-

rity, sustainability) http://www.nytimes.com/2015/07/09/opinion/mark-bittman-a-walk-on-the-wild-edibles-side.html

112. Why Mark Bittman Is Eating Weeds on Oakland's Sidewalks, Yahoo Food Editors, *Yahoo! Food*, 9 July 2015. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) https://www.yahoo.com/food/why-mark-bittman-is-eating-edible-weeds-on-123662813296.html

111. The Logistics of Urban Food Foraging, Katherine Spiers, *KCET*, 8 July 2015. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) http://www.kcet.org/living/food/the-nosh/the-logistics-of-urban-food-foraging.html

110. With apps in hand, foragers find food underfoot, *Rustik Magazine*, 28 June 2015. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) http://rustikmagazine.com/technology-urban-foraging/

109. Flawed Evaluations. Colleen Flaherty, *Inside Higher Ed*, 10 June 2015. (teaching evaluations) https://www.insidehighered.com/news/2015/06/10/aaup-committee-survey-data-raise-questions-effectiveness-student-teaching

108. Take a walk on the wild (edible) side. Mark Bittman, *California Matters*, 8 June 2015. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) https://youtu.be/F8BLU3iaLgM

107. California Matters: Mark Bittman's Online Video Series Premieres with 'Take a Walk on the Wild (Edibles) Side'. Lisa Landers, *KQED*, 8 June 2015. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) http://ww2.kqed.org/bayareabites/2015/06/08/california-matters-mark-bittmans-online-video-series-premieres-with-take-a-walk-on-the-wild-edibles-side/

106. Edible urban weeds—Oakland's sidewalk salads. Paul Belz, *Wild Oakland*, 30 May 2015. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) http://wildoakland.org/2015/05/edible-urban-weeds-oaklands-sidewalk-salads/

105. Eat Your Weeds: Get outside and forage for your food in the forests and sidewalk cracks of the East Bay. Sascha Bos, *East Bay Express*, 20 May 2015. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) http://www.eastbayexpress.com/oakland/eat-your-weeds/Content?oid=4289051

104. Student Evaluations: Feared, Loathed, and Not Going Anywhere. Stacey Patton, *Chronicle of Higher Education*, 19 May 2015. (teaching evaluations) https://chroniclevitae.com/news/1011-student-evaluations-feared-loathed-and-not-going-anywhere

103. Why Not Get Rid of Student Evaluations? Stephen Burt, *Slate*, 15 May 2015. (teaching evaluations) http://www.slate.com/articles/life/education/2015/05/a_defense_of_student_evaluations_they_re_biased_misleading_and_extremely.html

102. Q&A: Philip Stark. Rose Hayden-Smith, *UC Food Observer*, 11 May 2015. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability, ecology) http://ucfoodobserver.com/2015/05/11/qa-philip-stark/

101. Course evaluations get a failing grade in terms of effectiveness. Riley Vetterkind, *The Badger Herald*, 30 April 2015. (teaching evaluations, misuse of statistics, gender bias) https://badgerherald.com/news/2015/04/30/course-evaluations-get-a-failing-grade-in-terms-of-effectiveness/

100. Dandelions Should Be the New Kale. Emiko Jozuka, *Motherboard/Vice*, 27 April 2015. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) http://motherboard.vice.com/read/dandelions-should-be-the-new-kale

99. Salad at Your Feet. Nicholas Boer, *Diablo Magazine*, 27 April 2015. http://www.diablomag.com/May-2015/Salad-at-Your-Feet/

98. Weeds are the future of healthy eating. Jason Mark, *Salon.com*, 18 April 2015. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) http://www.salon.com/2015/04/18/weeds_are_the_future_of_fine_dining_partner/

97. Weed Eaters: Accompanying Berkeley's Urban Foragers from Weed Patch to Dining Table. Kristine A. Wong, *California Magazine*, 15 April 2015. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) http://alumni.berkeley.edu/california-magazine/just-in/2015-04-15/weed-eaters-accompanying-berkeleys-urban-foragers-weed-patch

96. Up Front with Vylma V, *KPFA Radio*, 9 April 2015. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) https://kpfa.org/episode/up-front-april-9-2015/ (at 30:02)

95. Bay Area Restaurants Cooking Weeds for Wild Food Week. Don Ford, *KPIX CBS News*, 8 April 2015. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) http://sanfrancisco.cbslocal.com/2015/04/08/bay-area-restaurants-cooking-weeds-wild-food-week/

94. Weeds — They're What's for Dinner, Jason Mark, *Earth Island Journal*, 8 April 2015. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) http://www.earthisland.org/journal/index.php/elist/eListRead/weeds_theyre_whats_for_dinner/

93. The app that helps you discover edible weeds. Richard Taylor, *BBC*, 8 April 2015. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) http://www.bbc.com/news/technology-32124855

92. Wild Food Week Highlights Edible Weeds Going to Waste, Tamara Palmer, NBC Bay Area News, 6 April 2015. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) http://www.nbcbayarea.com/news/local/Wild-Food-Week-298812881.html

91. KCBS News, 4 April 2015. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) http://www.contactlenzcommunications.com/sitebuildercontent/sitebuilderfiles/wildweedsreplay.mp3

90. How do you convince people to eat weeds? Aarian Marshall, *The Atlantic / CityLab*, 3 April 2015. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) http://www.citylab.com/work/2015/04/how-do-you-convince-people-to-eat-weeds/389357/

89. Wild Weeds, *Edible East Bay*, 1 April 2015. (Urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) http://edibleeastbay.com/newsletter/wild-weeds/

88. San Francisco Bay Restaurants Serving Weeds For Wild Food Week, *Growing Magazine*, 1 April 2015. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) http://www.growingmagazine.com/take-control/san-francisco-bay-restaurants-serving-weeds-for-wild-food-week/

87. Top San Francisco Bay Restaurants Serving 'Weeds' All Next Week, *Broadway World*, 31 March 2015. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) http://www.broadwayworld.com/bwwfood-wine/article/Top-San-Francisco-Bay-Restaurants-Serving-Weeds-All-Next-Week-20150331

86. Slinging Weeds: Wild Food Week, Luke Tsai, *East Bay Express*, 31 March 2015. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) http://www.eastbayexpress.com/WhatTheFork/archives/2015/03/31/slinging-weeds-wild-food-week

85. Wild Food Week: Bay Area dinner series showcases foraged plants, Paolo Lucchesi , *SF Gate*, 26 March 2015. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) http://insidescoopsf.sfgate.com/blog/2015/03/26/wild-food-week-bay-area-dinner-series-showcases-foraged-plants/

84. Professors tell America's poor to harvest weeds, Rhys Blakely, *The Times of London*, 7 March 2015. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) http://www.thetimes.co.uk/tto/news/world/americas/article4375062.ece

83. Let Them Eat Weeds: App Helps People Forage Their Way out of Hunger, Sarah McColl, *TakePart*, 19 February 2015. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) http://www.takepart.com/article/2015/02/19/foraging-apps-food-insecurity

82. The Food that Grows from Concrete, Olivia Cueva, *KALW*, 12 February 2015. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) http://kalw.org/post/food-grows-concrete

81. Snacking In-Between Sidewalks: Mapping Abundance of Wild Edibles in the Bay Area's Food Deserts, Angela Johnston, *KQED Bay Area Bites*, 5 February 2015. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) http://blogs.kqed.org/bayareabites/2015/02/05/snacking-in-between-sidewalks-mapping-abundance-of-wild-edibles-in-the-bay-areas-food-deserts/

80. Can urban foraging actually feed poor people? Nathanael Johnson, *Grist*, 30 January 2015. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) http://grist.org/food/can-urban-foraging-actually-feed-poor-people/

79. Foragers' Delight: Can Wild Foods Make City Dwellers Healthier? Madeleine Key, *Civil Eats*, 5 December 2014. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) http://civileats.com/2014/12/05/foragers-delight-can-wild-foods-make-city-dwellers-healthier/

78. What's for Dinner? For These Urban Foragers in Berkeley, The Answer is Weeds, Eric Neumann, *California Magazine*, Winter 2014. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) http://alumni.berkeley.edu/california-magazine/winter-2014-gender-assumptions/whats-dinner-these-urban-foragers-berkeley-answer

77. 12 things you didn't know about holiday foods, UC Newsroom, 24 November 2014. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) http://universityofcalifornia.edu/news/12-things-you-didnt-know-about-holiday-foods

76. Weed Eaters: These guys want you to eat weeds—and they'll show you where to find 'em, Alisa Opar, NRDC *onEarth*, 24 November 2014. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) http://www.onearth.org/earthwire/weed-eaters

75. Foragers find bounty of edibles in urban food deserts, Gretchen Kell, UC Berkeley Media Relations, 17 November 2014. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) http://newscenter.berkeley.edu/2014/11/17/urban-foraging/

74. How Many Ballots Do You Have To Count To Know Whether An Election Was Rigged? Short answer: Surprisingly few. Rafi Letzter, *Popular Science*, 4 November 2014. (Election integrity, auditing) http://www.popsci.com/article/science/how-many-ballots-do-you-have-count-know-whether-election-was-rigged

73. A New Voting Machine Could Make Sure Every Vote Really Counts. That is, if it ever gets used. Rafi Letzter, *Popular Science*, 4 November 2014. (Election integrity, auditing) http://www.popsci.com/article/technology/new-voting-machine-could-make-sure-every-vote-really-counts

72. Can we trust the Internet with our most basic civic duty? DecodeDC ponders the future of voting, Miranda Green and Andrea Seabrook, *NewsNet5 ABC*, 31 October 2014. (Election integrity) http://www.newsnet5.com/decodedc/podcast/can-we-trust-the-internet-with-our-most-basic-civic-duty

71. Cal professors on the hunt for edible, nutritious East Bay weeds, Carolyn Jones, *The San Francisco Chronicle* and SFGate, 25 October 2014. (urban foraging, nutrition, food equity, food security, sustainability) http://www.sfgate.com/bayarea/article/Cal-professors-on-the-hunt-for-edible-nutritious-5846111.php, http://www.sfchronicle.com/bayarea/article/Cal-professors-on-the-hunt-for-edible-nutritious-5846111.php

70. Course evaluations ineffective, misused, report finds, Mina Corpuz, *The Daily Free Press*, 3 October 2014. (Evaluating teaching, misuse of Statistics) http://dailyfreepress.com/2014/10/03/course-evaluations-ineffective-misused-study-finds/

69. Course evaluations slammed as ineffective: A Berkeley professor said the evaluations aren't a good gauge of a class, Noelle Wells, *The Daily Tar Heel*, 2 October 2014. (Evaluating teaching, misuse of Statistics) http://www.dailytarheel.com/article/2014/10/coures-evaluations-slammed%20as%20ineffective

68. Professor gives low rating to effectiveness of current teaching evaluations, Siera Stalcup, *The Daily Cal*, 30 September 2014. (Evaluating

teaching, misuse of Statistics) http://www.dailycal.org/2014/09/29/effectiveness-student-course-evaluations/

67. Student Course Evaluations Get An 'F,' Anya Kamenetz, *NPR Education Blog*, 26 September 2014. (Evaluating teaching, misuse of Statistics) http://www.npr.org/blogs/ed/2014/09/26/345515451/student-course-evaluations-get-an-f

66. 2 scholars flunk course evaluations as measures of teaching quality, Dan Berrett, *Chronicle of Higher Education*, p. A16, 26 September 2014 http://chronicle.texterity.com/chronicle/20140926a?sub_id=2FQNKVDXMnsU#pg16
Scholars Take Aim at Student Evaluations' 'Air of Objectivity', Dan Berrett, *Chronicle of Higher Education*, 18 September 2014. (Evaluating teaching, misuse of Statistics) http://chronicle.com/article/Scholars-Take-Aim-at-Student/148859/

65. Making sure the votes count: Arapahoe County is pilot site, Ernest Luning, *The Colorado Statesman*, 15 August 2014. Also *Colorado Springs Independent*, 15 August 2014. (Statistical audits, election integrity) http://www.coloradostatesman.com/content/995064-making-sure-votes-count

64. Arapahoe County pioneering use of new vote verification system, John Aguilar, *The Denver Post*, 15 August 2014. (Statistical audits, election integrity) http://www.denverpost.com/news/ci_26339735/arapahoe-county-pioneering-use-new-vote-verification-system

63. Arapahoe Co. begins testing new ballot-counting system, Megan Verlee, *Colorado Public Radio*, 13 August 2014 (air date). (Statistical audits, election integrity) http://www.cpr.org/news/story/arapahoe-co-begins-testing-new-ballot-counting-system

62. Don't blame John Pérez for the state's abhorrent recount rules, Daniel Borenstein, *Contra Costa Times*, 25 July 2014. (Statistical audits, recounts, election integrity) http://www.contracostatimes.com/daniel-borenstein/ci_26211948/daniel-borenstein-dont-blame-john-perez-states-abhorrent

61. California law sought to prevent recount fights, Jim Miller, *The Sacramento Bee*, 1 July 2014. (Risk-limiting audits, recounts, election integrity) http://blogs.sacbee.com/capitolalertlatest/2014/07/a-california-law-on-the.html

60. Lock the Vote, Julie Rehmeyer, *Discover Magazine*, July/August 2014. (STAR-Vote election system, election integrity)

59. Reproducible and Collaborative Statistical Data Science, Sarah Hillenbrand, *Berkeley Science Review*, 11 June 2014. (Reproducibility, education) http://berkeleysciencereview.com/reproducible-collaborative-data-science/

58. Lottery odds: To win, you'd have to be a loser. Lawrence Mower, *Palm Beach Post*, 28 March 2014. (Lottery fraud) http://www.mypalmbeachpost.com/news/news/lottery-odds-to-win-youd-have-to-be-a-loser/nfL57

57. How Might Economics Education Be Improved? Michael O'Hare, Ten Miles Square, *Washington Monthly*, 21 October 2013. (Evaluating teaching) http://www.washingtonmonthly.com/ten-miles-square/2013/10/how_might_economics_education047441.php

56. From geeky to cool: Statistics is Berkeley's fastest-growing major. Carol Ness, Berkeley NewsCenter, 16 April 2013. (growth in Statistics) http://newscenter.berkeley.edu/2013/04/16/from-geeky-to-cool-statistics-is-berkeleys-fastest-growing-major

55. The Upbeat Stats on Statistics. Carl Bialik, *The Wall Street Journal*, 1 March 2013. (growth in Statistics) http://blogs.wsj.com/numbersguy/the-upbeat-stats-on-statistics-1216

54. As Ohio Faces Vote-Rigging Lawsuit, Are Dems, Liberals, Election Officials Ready to Safeguard Votes? Art Levine, *The Huffington Post*, 2 November 2012. (election integrity) http://www.huffingtonpost.com/art-levine/mia-in-voting-machine-war_b_2054411.html?utm_hp_ref=voting-rights

53. Will the Next Election be Hacked? Michael Agresta, *The Wall Street Journal*, 17 August 2012. (election integrity) http://online.wsj.com/article/SB10000872396390444508504577595280674870186.html

52. Saving throw: securing democracy with stats, spreadsheets, and 10-sided dice: "Risk-limiting audits" use sound math to make sure the right candidate won. Cyrus Farivar, *Ars Technica*, 24 July 2012. (Election auditing) http://arstechnica.com/tech-policy/2012/07/saving-american-elections-with-10-sided-dice-one-stats-profs-quest/

51. New audit method could improve detection of flaws—and fix them. Adam Playford and Pat Beall, *Palm Beach Post*, 8 May 2012. (Election auditing) http://www.palmbeachpost.com/news/new-post-election-audit-method-could-improve-detection-2346480.html

50. Florida law hinders vote audits. Adam Playford and Pat Beall, *Palm Beach Post*, 8 May 2012. (election integrity) http://www.palmbeachpost.com/news/florida-law-hinders-vote-audits-2346483.html

49. Imagining a Census Survey Without a Mandate. Carl Bialik, *The Wall Street Journal*, 30 March 2012. (census) http://blogs.wsj.com/numbersguy/imagining-a-census-survey-without-a-mandate-1129/

48. Are large earthquakes increasing in frequency? Deanna Conners, *EarthSky*, 4 March 2012. (Earthquake clustering) http://earthsky.org/earth/are-large-earthquakes-increasing-in-frequency

47. New Equation for Voting Technology: Auditing > Testing? Doug Chapin, University of Minnesota Program for excellence in Election Administration, 12 January 2012. http://blog.lib.umn.edu/cspg/peea/2012/01/new_equation_for_voting_techno.php

46. Cuyahoga County elections board leads pack in testing, auditing. Laura Johnston, *The Plain Dealer*, 1 January 2012. (risk-limiting audits, election integrity) http://blog.cleveland.com/metro/2012/01/cuyahoga_county_elections_boar_5.html

45. Radio Australia "Connect Asia" program, 21 December 2011. (live appearance re earthquake clustering) http://www.radioaustralia.net.au/connectasia/

44. Geologists wonder if the Northwest is up next for a giant earthquake. Joe Rojas-Burke, *The Oregonian*, 21 December 2011. Syndicated in

Middle East North Africa Financial Network. (Earthquake clustering) http://www.oregonlive.com/environment/index.ssf/2011/12/geologists_wonder_if_the_north.html http://www.menafn.com/qn_news_story.asp?storyid=%7B1ee57506-581b-4e99-a8be-41b9f35197e5%7D

43. Mega-quake clusters unlikely: study. Anna Salleh, *ABC*, 20 December 2011. (Earthquake clustering) http://www.abc.net.au/science/articles/2011/12/20/3394245.htm

42. Rest Your Fears: Big Earthquakes Not on the Rise. Stephanie Pappas, LiveScience, 9 December 2011. Syndicated in *MSNBC* and *Fox News* 10 December 2011. (Earthquake clustering) http://www.livescience.com/17400-big-earthquakes-random.html http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/45616503/ns/technology_and_science-science/#.TueIXGB8-oc http://www.foxnews.com/scitech/2011/12/10/rest-your-fears-big-earthquakes-not-on-rise/

41. San Luis Obispo takes part in pilot program for ballot audits. Bethany Tucker, *KSBY News*, 12 September 2011. (Election auditing) http://www.ksby.com/news/san-luis-obispo-takes-part-in-pilot-program-for-ballot-audits/

40. In This Dating Game, the Best Match Could Be Years Away. Carl Bialik, *The Wall Street Journal*, 16 July 2011. (numerical coincidences) http://online.wsj.com/article/SB10001424052702304521304576447892115939486.html

39. Dozens of personal care products mislabeled as 'organic,' lawsuit says. Joanna Lin, *California Watch*, 20 June 2011. http://californiawatch.org/dailyreport/dozens-personal-care-products-mislabeled-organic-lawsuit-says-10873

38. San Jose siblings two years apart, born on the same day at the same time. Jane J. Lee, *Silicon Valley Mercury News*, 14 June 2011. (numerical coincidences) http://www.mercurynews.com/breaking-news/ci_18273248?nclick_check=1

37. O.C. could see fewer election recounts. Martin Wisckol, *Orange County Register*, 6 May 2011. (Election auditing) http://totalbuzz

.ocregister.com/2011/05/06/o-c-could-see-fewer-election-recounts/52659/

36. Consumer Reports Cops to Chrysler Data Gaps. Eric Mayne, WardsAuto.com, 2 March 2011. http://wardsauto.com/ar/consumer_reports_chrysler_110302/

35. Experts shouldn't be needed to call outcome of election. *Albany Times Sun Union*, 1 January 2011. (Election auditing) http://www.timesunion.com/opinion/article/Experts-shouldn-t-be-needed-to-call-outcome-of-930928.php

34. Equation: Calculating Ballot Bungles is all about the P-Value. Julie Rehmeyer, *Wired*, November 2010, p.56. (Election auditing) http://www.wired.com/magazine/2010/11/st_equation_votes/

33. Fifty million to one: Mother defies odds to give birth on 10.10.10 after two others were born on 09.09.09 and 08.08.08. *Daily Mail*, 15 October 2010. (numerical coincidences) http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-1320840/Fifty-million-Mother-defies-odds-birth-10-10-10-born-09-09-09-08-08-08.html?ito=feeds-newsxml

32. Mom's babies born on 8-8-08, 9-9-09, 10-10-10. Elizabeth Weise, *USA TODAY*, 14 October 2010. (numerical coincidences) http://www.usatoday.com/yourlife/parenting-family/babies/2010-10-14-Birthday14_ST_N.htm

31. UC Berkeley Professor's Auditing System Aims to Count Votes More Accurately. Claire Perlman, *Daily Californian*, 28 April 2010. (Election auditing) http://www.dailycal.org/article/109295/uc_berkeley_professor_s_auditing_system_aims_to_co

30. California Assembly committee endorses UC Berkeley statistician's election auditing method. Robert Sanders, Media Relations, *UCBerkeleyNews*, 26 April 2010. (Election auditing) http://www.berkeley.edu/news/media/releases/2010/04/26_canvass.shtml

29. Ready or Not. Cosma Shalizi, *American Scientist*, March 2010. (Earthquake prediction) http://www.americanscientist.org/bookshelf/pub/ready-or-not

28. Judge upholds November election of Novato Sanitary District board. Brent Ainsworth, *The Marin Independent Journal*, 8 March 2010. (Contested election) http://www.marinij.com/marinnews/ci_14636416

27. Novato Sanitary election fight rolls on. Jim Welte, *The Marin Independent Journal*, 23 February 2010. (Contested election) http://www.marinij.com/marinnews/ci_14456925

26. Novato Sanitary board race tightens. Jim Welte, *The Marin Independent Journal*, 12 November 2009. (Contested election) http://www.marinij.com/election/ci_13773039

25. AIDS Vaccine Trial Shows Only Slight Protection. Donald G. McNeil Jr., *New York Times*, 21 October 2009. (epidemiology) http://www.nytimes.com/2009/10/21/health/research/21vaccine.html?_r=1

24. China To Require Filtering Software On PCs. Thomas Claburn, *Information Week*, 8 June 2009. (Internet content filtering) http://www.informationweek.com/news/internet/policy/showArticle.jhtml?articleID=217800108&section=All+Stories

23. KQED-FM Forum program on the Census, 6 March 2009. (live appearance re census)

22. Census, partisan wrangling go hand-in-hand. Tyche Hendricks, *Scripps News*, 23 February 2009. (census) http://www.scrippsnews.com/node/41139

21. Why the census is always political. Tyche Hendricks, *San Francisco Chronicle*, 22 February 2009. (census) http://www.sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?f=/c/a/2009/02/22/MNPB161PBV.DTL

20. He's Out for the Count. Mark Hosenball, *NEWSWEEK*, 14 February 2009, Magazine issue dated 23 February 2009. (census) http://www.newsweek.com/id/184802

19. Measure B court challenge heads to San Francisco. Karen de Sá, *Mercury News*, 1 December 2008. (election integrity) http://www.mercurynews.com/politics/ci_11113510

18. New Election Audit Targets Close Races. Laura Snider, *Daily Camera*, 26 November 2008. (risk-limiting audits, election integrity) http://www.dailycamera.com/news/2008/nov/26/new-election-audit-targets-close-races/

17. Counting Continues for Elections Department. *Redwood Times*, 19 November 2008. (risk-limiting audits, election integrity) http://www.redwoodtimes.com/local/ci_11023304

16. Checking It Twice. Julie J. Rehmeyer, *Science News*, 19 January 2008. (Election auditing) http://www.sciencenews.org/view/generic/id/9292/title/Math_Trek__Checking_It_Twice

15. *Reelz Channel Dailies* "Is it Real?" Reelz Channel, 15 June 2007. (gambling odds, probability)

14. Internet is 99 per cent porn free. Iain Thomson, *vnunet.com*, 15 November 2006. (Internet content filtering) http://www.vnunet.com/vnunet/news/2168636/internet-per-cent-porn-free

13. Internet Content Filters Fail to Block Sexually Explicit Material. Thomas Claburn, *Information Week*, 14 November 2006. (Internet content filtering) http://www.informationweek.com/news/showArticle.jhtml?articleID=194300677&section=All+Stories

12. 1 percent of Web sites deemed pornographic. Maryclaire Dale, *Associated Press*, 14 November 2006. (Internet content filtering) http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/15721799/

11. Only 1 percent of Web pages have porn? Declan McCullagh, *News.com*, 14 November 2006. (Internet content filtering) http://www.news.com/8301-10784_3-6135662-7.html

10. U.S., Google Set to Face Off in Court. Michael Liedtke, *Associated Press*, 14 March 2006. (Internet content filtering) http://www.sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?file=/n/a/2006/03/13/financial/f133050S47.DTL&type=printable

9. Google privacy issue enters court. *Al Jazeera*, 14 March 2006. (Internet content filtering) http://english.aljazeera.net/archive/2006/03/2008410131655473737.html

8. In Case About Google's Secrets, Yours Are Safe. Adam Liptak, *New York Times*, 26 January 2006. (Internet content filtering) http://www.nytimes.com/2006/01/26/technology/in-case-about-googles-secrets-yours-are-safe.html

7. Google Resists U.S. Subpoena of Search Data. Katie Hafner and Matt Richtel, *New York Times*, 20 January 2006. (Internet content filtering) http://www.nytimes.com/2006/01/20/technology/20google.html?pagewanted=1

6. Feds take porn fight to Google. Declan McCullagh and Elinor Mills, *CNET News*, 19 January 2006. (Internet content filtering) https://www.cnet.com/news/feds-take-porn-fight-to-google/

5. *AFC NewSource* story on airline security [Airings: The Osgood File (CBS Radio Network), 29 July 2003, 18 February 2003; KRON-TV (San Francisco), 3 February 2003]. (statistical auditing, security) http://www.acfnewsource.org/science/random_security.html

4. *The Fred Ebert Show* program on probability and statistics. KIRO 710, Seattle, WA, 27 October 2003. (live appearance re the Monty Hall problem, Statistics, Probability)

3. *ABC 7 News* story on census adjustment, 30 November 1998. (recorded appearance re census)

2. KQED-FM Forum program on the 2000 Census, San Francisco, CA, 17 July 1998. (live appearance re census) http://www.kqed.org/radio/programs/forum/

1. How deep is an earthquake? *Science News*, 2 March 1985. (Deep earthquakes)

Teaching and Advising

Courses

BerkeleyX 2.1x* https://www.edx.org/course/uc-berkeleyx/uc-berkeleyx-stat2-1x-introduction-594, an Introductory Statistics MOOC (52,661 students enrolled in first offering; 15.5% completion

GA005303

rate. As of 21 October 2015, this was one of the 50 most popular MOOCs of all time)

BerkeleyX 2.2x* https://www.edx.org/course/uc-berkeleyx/uc-berkeleyx-stat2-2x-introduction-685, an Introductory Statistics MOOC (20,871 students enrolled in first offering; 17% completion rate)

BerkeleyX 2.3x* https://www.edx.org/course/uc-berkeleyx/uc-berkeleyx-stat2-3x-introduction-825, an Introductory Statistics MOOC (22,443 students enrolled in first offering; 12% completion rate)

Introduction to Statistics (Statistics 2)

Introduction to Probability and Statistics (Statistics 20)

Introductory Probability and Statistics for Business (Statistics 21, N21*, W21*)

Introduction to Probability and Statistics for Scientists and Engineers (Statistics 25)

Societal Risks and the Law* (Statistics C79)

Freshman Seminar on Statistics (Statistics 39)

Statistical Inferences for Social and Life Scientists (Statistics 131A)

Concepts of Probability (Statistics 134)

Concepts of Statistics (Statistics 135)

Linear Modeling: Theory and Applications (Statistics 151A)

Nonparametric Inference and Sensitivity Auditing with Applications to Social Good* (Statistics 157)

Reproducible and Collaborative Statistical Data Science* (Statistics 157, now 159/259). Video review: http://youtu.be/Bq71Pqdukeo

Probability and Statistics for Physical Science and Engineering PhD Students*

Statistics for Engineering PhD students*

GA005304

Introduction to Probability and Statistics at an Advanced Level (Statistics 200A)

Theoretical Statistics (Statistics 210B)

Statistical Models: Theory and Applications (Statistics 215A, Statistics 215B)

Not enough Statistics for Journalists* (Journalism 219)

Statistics Masters Program Capstone* (Statistics 222)

Nonparametric and Robust Methods (Statistics 240)

Topics in Probability and Statistics (Statistics 260)

Statistical Consulting (Statistics 272)

* Course I created or co-created.

Former Graduate Students and Postdocs

Imola K. Fodor, Roche

Johann Gagnon-Bartsch, University of California, Berkeley

Christopher R. Genovese, Carnegie Mellon University

Niklaus W. Hengartner, Los Alamos National Laboratory

Janne Huttunen, University of Auckland and University of Kuopio

Bradley Luen, Indiana University

Tian Luo, U.S. Bureau of Labor Statistics

Dmitry I. Nikolayev, Schmidt Institute for Physics of the Earth

R. Jay Pulliam, University of Texas at Austin

Karthik Ram, University of California, Berkeley

Jeffery Regier, University of California, Berkeley

Chad M. Schafer, Carnegie Mellon University

Daniel Turek, University of California, Berkeley

Vincent S. Yates, Yammer

Graduate Committees

1. Alameida, Jose, Mathematics. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2008
2. Atz, Milos, Nuclear Engineering. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2018
3. Bach, Andre, Physics. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2011
4. Bar-Yossef, Ziv, Computer Science. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2001; dissertation committee, "The Complexity of Massive Data Set Computations," 2002
5. Bein, Ed, Biostatistics. MA examination, 2002
6. Berny, Axel Dominique, EECS. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2004; dissertation committee, "Analysis and Design of Wideband LC VCOs," 2006
7. Bertelli, E., IEOR. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2018
8. Bloniarz, Adam, Statistics. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2014
9. Bodik, Peter, Computer Science. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2007; dissertation committee, "Automating Datacenter Operations Using Machine Learning," 2010
10. Bowman, John Penfield, IEOR. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2003
11. Bunn, Emory Freeman, Physics. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 1994; dissertation committee, "Statistical Analysis of Cosmic Microwave Background Anisotropy," 1995
12. Burleigh, Kaylan, Astronomy. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2016, 2017; dissertation committee, "A Monte Carlo Method for Identifying Imaging Systematics in Galaxy Surveys," 2018

GA005306

13. Burstein, Richard David II, Mathematics. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2004; dissertation committee, "Hadamard Subfactors of Bisch-Haagerup Type," 2008

14. Buttrey, Samuel Edward, Statistics. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 1994; dissertation committee, "Nearest-Neighbor Classification with Categorical Variables," 1996

15. Calef, Brandoch Hugh, Applied Mathematics. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 1997; dissertation committee, "Optimal Sampling of the Discrete Fourier Transform," 2002

16. Charman, Andrew Emile, Physics. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2003; dissertation committee, "Random Aspects of Beam Physics and Laser-Plasma Interactions," 2006

17. Chen, Raymond Lei, EECS. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 1993; dissertation committee, "A Qualitative Modeling Framework of Semiconductor Manufacturing Processes: Self-Learning Fuzzy Inference System and the Statistical Analysis of Categorical Data," 1994

18. Chien, George, EECS. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 1998

19. Fernandez, Arturo, Statistics. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2017

20. Feldman, Arnold R., EECS. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 1995; dissertation committee, "High-Speed, Low-Power Sigma-Delta Modulators for RF Baseband Channel Applications," 1997

21. Fodor, Imola K., Statistics. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 1997; chair, dissertation committee, "Spectrum Estimation in Helioseismology," 1999

22. Fong, Keng Leong, EECS. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 1996; dissertation committee, "Design and Optimization Techniques for Monolithic RF Downconversion Mixers," 1997

23. Gagnon-Bartsch, Johann, Statistics. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2009; co-chair, dissertation committee "Removing Unwanted Variation from Microarray Data with Negative Controls," 2012

GA005307

24. Gawiser, Eric Joseph, Physics. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 1998

25. Genovese, Christopher Ralph, Statistics. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 1992; chair, dissertation committee, "Statistical Problems in Helioseismology," 1994

26. Goldman, Megan, Biostatistics. Chair, Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2009

27. Gung, Yuan-Cheng, Geophysics. Dissertation committee, "Q Tomography of the Earth Mantle," 2003

28. Hansen, Bendek, Statistics. Chair, MA thesis committee, "Minimax Expected Length Confidence Intervals," 2000

29. Hansen, Mark Henry, Statistics. Chair, Ph.D. qualifying examination, 1992

30. Hengartner, Niklaus Walther, Statistics. Co-chair, dissertation committee, "Topics in Density Estimation," 1993

31. Higgins, Mike, Statistics. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2009, 2010

32. Huang, Hsiang-Ping, Mathematics. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 1996

33. Huang, Jianhua, Statistics. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 1994; dissertation committee, "Topics in Extended Linear Modeling," 1997

34. Huang, Yuanlin, Civil Engineering. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 1993, 1994

35. Jiang, Xuesong, EECS. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2001

36. Jones, David Morgan, Mathematics. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 1994; dissertation committee, "On Modular Galois Representations in Characteristic 3," 1998

37. Katsis, Dimitrios, EECS. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2005

38. Kiesling, Max Karl, Civil Engineering. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 1994

39. Kuusela, Mikael Johan, Statistics, École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, dissertation committee, "Uncertainty quantification in unfolding elementary particle spectra at the Large Hadron Collider," 2016

40. Lara, Jose Daniel, Energy and Resources Group. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2018

41. Li, Bo, Statistics. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2004

42. Li, Wenyu, Mechanical Engineering. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2017

43. Loscutoff, Peter, Physics. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2011; dissertation committee, "Search for resonant $WZ \to \ell\nu\ell\ell$ production using $13fb^{?1}$ in $\sqrt{s} = 8TeV$ pp collisions with the ATLAS detector," 2013

44. Luen, Bradley, Statistics. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2006; Chair, dissertation committee, "Earthquake Prediction: Simple Methods for Complex Phenomena," 2010

45. Luo, Tian, Statistics. MA thesis chair, "Nonparametric estimation of business survival with an application to restaurant startups," 2014

46. Madar, Vered, Statistics and Operations Research, Tel Aviv University. MA thesis committee, "Non-equivariant confidence intervals," 2002; Ph.D. committee, "Simultaneous Confidence Intervals for Multiple Parameters with More Power to Determine the Sign," 2007

47. Maurer, Tessa, Civil and Environmental Engineering. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2018

48. Megnin, Charles Henri, Geophysics. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 1996; dissertation committee, "The Shear Velocity Structure of the Mantle from the Inversion of Time-Domain Waveform Data," 1999

49. Mieler, Michael William, Civil Engineering. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2011

50. Millman, Kenneth Jarrod, Biostatistics. MA thesis committee, "permute—a Python package for permutation tests and confidence sets," 2015

GA005309

51. Miratrix, Luke W., Statistics. Chair, Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2010

52. Mohanty, Sudatta, Civil Engineering. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2017

53. Murmann, Boris, EECS. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2002; dissertation committee, "Digital Calibration for Low-Power High-Performance A/D Conversion," 2003

54. Oreluk, James, Mechanical Engineering. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2017

55. Ottoboni, Kellie, Statistics. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2017

56. Ou, Jeffrey Jiajiunn, EECS. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 1995

57. Petkov, Vladimir Plamenov, EECS. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2003

58. Poobuapheun, Nuntachai, EECS. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2005; dissertation committee, "LNA and Mixer Designs for Multi-Band Receiver Front-Ends," 2009

59. Puente, Suzette, Statistics. M.A. committee, 2013

60. Pulliam, R. Jay, Geophysics. Ph.D. dissertation committee, "Imaging Earth's Interior: Tomographic Inversion of Mantle P-Wave Velocity Structure," 1991

61. Qian, Kun, EECS. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2009; dissertation committee, "Variability Modeling and Statistical Parameter Extraction for CMOS Devices," 2015

62. Regier, Jeffery, Statistics. Chair, M.A. committee, 2013; dissertation committee, "Topics in large-scale statistical inference," 2016

63. Rein, Steven Richard, Statistics. Chair, Ph.D. qualifying examination, 1990

64. Rossi, Jim, Journalism. M.A. thesis committee, "Reverse-engineering the Echo Chamber," 2017

65. Schafer, Chad Michael, Statistics. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2001; chair, dissertation committee, "Constructing Confidence Regions of Optimal Expected Size: Theory and Application to Cosmic Microwave Inference," 2004

66. Son, Sang Won, EECS. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2000; dissertation committee, "High Dynamic Range CMOS Mixer Design," 2002

67. Stern, Aaron James, Computational Biology. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2017.

68. Suzuki, Toru, Demography. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 1995; dissertation committee, "Projection of Households in Japan with a Dynamic Macro-Simulation Model," 1999

69. Tee, Luns, EECS. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2001

70. Tenorio, Luis-Francisco, Mathematics. Ph.D. dissertation committee, "Asymptotic Dynamics of Locally Oblique Solitary Wave Solutions of the KP Equation," 1992

71. Thompson, Neil, Statistics. M.A. committee, 2012

72. To, Albert Chi Fu, Statistics. M.A. committee, 2005

73. Wagner, Tim Allen, CS. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 1995; dissertation committee, "Practical Algorithms for Incremental Software Development Environments," 1997

74. Wang, Jason, Astronomy. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2017; dissertation committee, "Footage of Other Worlds: Unveiling the Dynamical Architecture of Young Exoplanetary Systems," 2018

75. Wicks, Charles Wesley Jr., Geophysics. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 1990; dissertation committee, "An Investigation of Mantle Discontinuities Beneath the Southwest Pacific," 1994

76. Wilhelm, Matthieu, Université de Neuchâtel, Statistics. Ph.D. dissertation committee, "Random sampling with repulsion," 2017

77. Yao, Shijing, EECS. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2015

GA005311

78. Yates, Vincent, Statistics. Chair, M.A. committee, 2012

79. Ying, Jun, Naval Architecture. D. Eng. qualifying examination, 1995; dissertation committee, "Development and Verification of Computer Simulation Models for Evaluation of Siting Strategies and Evacuation Procedures for Mobile Drilling Units in Hurricanes," 1996

80. Zhang, Xiaoyan, Statistics. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 1997

81. Zagheni, Emilio, Demography. Ph.D. qualifying examination, 2008

82. Zamora, Joel Barajas, UC Santa Cruz, EE. Ph.D. dissertation defense, 2015; dissertation committee, "Online Display Advertising Causal Attribution and Evaluation," 2015

First-year PhD advising

2014–15 Thanh-Nhan (Andrew) Do

2014–15 Kellie Ottoboni

2016–17 Jake Soloff

Current PhD advisees

2014– Kellie Ottoboni

2018– Amanda Glazer

Undergraduate Research and Honors Thesis Advisees

2018 Omar Buenrostro, Alan Chuang, Christopher Fan, Jin Kweon, James Li, Hubert Luo, William Ma, Jiazhong (Frank) Mei, Arun Ramamurthy, Avi Sen, Neil Sharma, Karen Tu, Zihui (Lucy) Wang, Steven Ye, Saam Zahedian, Wentao Zhan

2015 Fang Cai, Catherine Darin (U. Pennsylvania)

2014 Hriday Kemburu, He Ma, Rachel Redberg

GA005312

2010–2011 Katherine McLaughlin

2010 Aaron Taylor, Hua Yang

2009 Joshua M. Levin

2008 Jonathan Ong

2007 Gerold Ng

2003–2004 Feng Tang

1993–1996 Dendy Harjanto

1988–1993 10 others

Service

Professional Societies and Government Agencies

2018
- Advisory Board, U.S. Election Assistance Commission
- Consultant, Colorado Secretary of State
- Reviewer, National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine, Policy and Global Affairs Division
- Editorial Board, *ScienceOpen*
- Chair for Auditability, IEEE/NIST Voting System Standards Committee (VSSC) Working Group For Voting Methods Mathematical Models (C/VSSC/1622.X_WG)
- Organizing Committee, Election Audit Summit, Caltech/MIT Voting Technology Project, December 2018. `https://electionlab.mit.edu/election-audit-summit`
- Program committee, 2018 Workshop on Advances in Secure Electronic Voting Schemes (VOTING'18, held in conjunction with the 2018 Conference on Financial Cryptography and Data Security, FC'18)
- Program committee, 2019 Workshop on Advances in Secure Electronic Voting Schemes (VOTING'19, held in conjunction with the 2019 Conference on Financial Cryptography and Data Security, FC'19)

- Program committee, Fourth International Joint Conference on Electronic Voting (E-Vote-ID 2019)
- Referee, *Geophysical Research Letters*
- Referee, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*
- Referee, *PeerJ*

2017
- Advisory Board, U.S. Election Assistance Commission
- Consultant, Colorado Secretary of State
- Founding Steering Committee and Editorial Board, USENIX Journal of Voting Technology
- Editorial Board, *ScienceOpen*
- Chair for Auditability, IEEE/NIST Voting System Standards Committee (VSSC) Working Group For Voting Methods Mathematical Models (C/VSSC/1622.X_WG)
- Program committee, 2018 Workshop on Advances in Secure Electronic Voting Schemes (VOTING'18, held in conjunction with the 2018 Conference on Financial Cryptography and Data Security, FC'18)
- Program committee, 2017 Workshop on Advances in Secure Electronic Voting Schemes (VOTING'17, held in conjunction with the 2017 Conference on Financial Cryptography and Data Security, FC'17)
- Chair, Mini-symposium on Open Data and Reproducibility, *2017 International Scientific Computing with Python (SciPy) Conference*, Austin, TX.
- Referee, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*

2016
- Advisory Board, U.S. Election Assistance Commission
- Consultant, Colorado Secretary of State
- Travis County Texas Elections Division STAR-Vote System Brain Trust
- Founding Steering Committee and Editorial Board, USENIX Journal of Voting Technology

- Associate editor, SIAM/ASA Journal of Uncertainty Quantification
- Editorial Board, *ScienceOpen*
- Chair for Auditability, IEEE/NIST Voting System Standards Committee (VSSC) Working Group For Voting Methods Mathematical Models (C/VSSC/1622.X_WG)
- Program committee, 2016 Workshop on Advances in Secure Electronic Voting Schemes (VOTING'16, held in conjunction with the 2016 Conference on Financial Cryptography and Data Security, FC'16)
- Program committee, 2017 Workshop on Advances in Secure Electronic Voting Schemes (VOTING'17, held in conjunction with the 2017 Conference on Financial Cryptography and Data Security, FC'17)
- Program committee, 12th International Joint Conference on Electronic Voting (E-Vote-ID 2016), Bregenz, Austria
- Session co-organizer, "Productive Ecologies in the Anthropocene: Foraging Systems," Sixth International Conference on Food Studies, Berkeley, CA

2015
- Consultant, Colorado Secretary of State
- Travis County Texas Elections Division STAR-Vote System Brain Trust
- Founding Steering Committee and Editorial Board, USENIX Journal of Voting Technology
- Associate editor, SIAM/ASA Journal of Uncertainty Quantification
- Editorial Board, *ScienceOpen*
- Chair for Auditability, IEEE/NIST Voting System Standards Committee (VSSC) Working Group For Voting Methods Mathematical Models (C/VSSC/1622.X_WG)
- Program committee, VoteID 2015: The 5th International Conference on e-Voting and Identity, Bern, Switzerland. `http://www.voteid15.org/`

- Program committee, 2015 European Symposium on Research in Computer Security (ESORICS 2015), Vienna, Austria. `http://esorics2015.sba-research.org/`
- Program committee, 2016 Workshop on Advances in Secure Electronic Voting Schemes (VOTING'16, held in conjunction with the 2016 Conference on Financial Cryptography and Data Security, FC'16)
- Session organizer, Teaching Computational Thinking and Practice, 2015 SIAM Conference on Computational Science and Engineering (CSE15)
- Organizer, Berkeley Institute for Data Sciences and Moore/Sloan Data Science Environments 2015 Conference on Reproducibility
- Referee, PeerJ

2014
- Consultant, Colorado Secretary of State
- Travis County Texas Elections Division STAR-Vote System Brain Trust
- Founding Steering Committee and Editorial Board, USENIX Journal of Election Technology and Systems (JETS)
- Associate editor, SIAM/ASA Journal of Uncertainty Quantification
- Editorial Board, *ScienceOpen*
- Member, IEEE/NIST Voting System Standards Committee (VSSC) Working Group For Voting Methods Mathematical Models (C/VSSC/1622.X_WG)
- Organizing committee co-chair, 2014 SIAM/ASA Conference on Uncertainty Quantification, Savannah, GA
- Program committee, VoteID 2015: The 5th International Conference on e-Voting and Identity, Bern, Switzerland. `http://www.voteid15.org/`
- Program committee, 2015 European Symposium on Research in Computer Security (ESORICS 2015), Vienna, Austria. `http://esorics2015.sba-research.org/`

GA005316

- Session organizer, late-breaking session on Reproducibility, 2014 Joint Statistical Meetings, Boston, MA
- Session organizer and chair, 2014 Conference of the International Society for Nonparametric Statistics, Cadiz, Spain
- Session organizer, Teaching Computational Thinking and Practice, 2015 SIAM Conference on Computational Science and Engineering (CSE15)
- Referee, PLoS One

2013
- Consultant, California Secretary of State
- Consultant, Colorado Secretary of State
- Consultant, U.S. Department of Justice, Civil Division
- Travis County Texas Elections Division STAR-Vote System Brain Trust
- Founding Steering Committee and Editorial Board, USENIX Journal of Election Technology and Systems (JETS)
- Associate editor, SIAM/ASA Journal of Uncertainty Quantification
- Organizing committee co-chair, 2014 SIAM/ASA Conference on Uncertainty Quantification, Savannah, GA
- Session organizer, Conference of the International Society for Nonparametric Statistics, Cadiz, Spain

2012
- Consultant, California Secretary of State
- Consultant, Colorado Secretary of State
- Consultant, U.S. Department of Justice
- Travis County Texas Elections Division STAR-Vote System Brain Trust
- Founding Steering Committee, USENIX Journal of Election Technology and Systems (JETS)
- Reviewer, National Science Foundation
- Program committee, 2012 Electronic Voting Technology / Workshop on Transparent Elections (EVT/WOTE '12), USENIX Security Symposium, Bellevue, WA

- Session organizer, 2012 Joint Statistical Meetings of the American Statistical Association, International Biometric Society, and Institute of Mathematical Statistics, San Diego, CA
- Session organizer, 1st Conference of the International Society for NonParametric Statistics, Chalkidiki, Greece
- Organizing committee co-chair, 2014 SIAM/ASA Conference on Uncertainty Quantification, Savannah, GA
- Program committee, 2012 SIAM/ASA/SAMSI/USACM Conference on Uncertainty Quantification, Raleigh, NC
- Session organizer, Election Verification Network (EVN) annual conference, Santa Fe, NM

2011
- Consultant and Expert Witness, U.S. Department of Justice, Civil Division (for U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development)
- Program committee, 2012 SIAM/ASA/SAMSI/USACM Conference on Uncertainty Quantification, Raleigh, NC
- Consultant, California Secretary of State
- Consultant, Colorado Secretary of State
- Session organizer, Election Verification Network (EVN) annual conference, Chicago, IL

2010
- Consultant and Expert Witness, U.S. Department of Justice, Civil Division (for Department of Housing and Urban Development)
- Consultant, State of Illinois
- Consultant, California Attorney General (for California Highway Patrol)
- Consultant, New York State Senate
- Reviewer, Department of Defense Strategic Environmental Research and Development Program
- Session organizer, Election Verification Network (EVN) annual conference, Washington, DC

2009
- Consultant, California Secretary of State

2008 — Consultant, California Secretary of State

2007 — California Secretary of State Post-Election Audit Standards Working Group http://www.sos.ca.gov/elections/elections_peas.htm

2006 — Consultant and Expert Witness, U.S. Department of Justice, Civil Division

2005 — Consultant, U.S. Department of Justice, Civil Division
— Consultant, U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Center
— Consultant, Habeas Corpus Resource Center

2004 — Reviewer, National Science Foundation
— Consultant, U.S. Department of Justice, Civil Division
— Consultant, U.S. Attorney's Office
— Consultant, U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Center

2003 — Reviewer, National Science Foundation
— Referee, National Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada
— Consultant, U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Center

2002 — Consultant, U.S. Department of Agriculture
— Consultant, U.S. Department of Justice, Civil Division

2001 — Consultant, U.S. Department of Justice, Civil Division
— Co-organizer, Institute for Mathematics and Its Applications Annual Program *Mathematics in the Geosciences* and workshop on Inverse Problems and the Quantification of Uncertainty

2000 — Invited discussant, National Academy of Science Committee on National Statistics workshop on dual-system estimation for the 2000 Census
— Consultant, U.S. Department of Justice, Civil Division

1998 — Witness, U.S. House of Representatives Subcommittee on the Census.

- Panelist, National Science Foundation

1997 – Session organizer, International Statistical Institute and Bernoulli Society Meeting, Istanbul, Turkey

1996–present – Global Oscillation Network Group (GONG) Data Users Committee (Chair, 1996–1998)

- Reviewer for United States Geological Survey

1996–1999 – Consultant, National Security Agency

1995 – Institute of Mathematical Statistics Program Chair, Joint Statistical Meetings of the American Statistical Association, International Biometric Society, and Institute of Mathematical Statistics, Orlando, FL

1994–1996 – Consultant to Federal Trade Commission

1993 – Session organizer and chair, IMS/ASA/ENAR meeting, Philadelphia, PA

- Session organizer and chair, Joint Statistical Meetings of the American Statistical Association, International Biometric Society, and Institute of Mathematical Statistics, San Francisco, CA

1992 – Faculty sponsor, Department of Energy TRAC program

1990–1994 – Bernoulli Society Committee on Statistics in the Physical Sciences

1991–present – Reviewer for National Aeronautics and Space Administration (Space Physics Division)

1991 – Local organizer and session chair, Mathematical Sciences Research Institute Workshop on Statistical Methods in Imaging, Berkeley, CA

1989 – Session organizer and chair, Bernoulli Society Satellite Meeting, Leuven, Belgium

1989–present – Reviewer for National Science Foundation (Atmospheric Sciences; Infrastructure; International Programs; Mathematical Sciences; Methodology, Measurement, and Statistics; Solar-Terrestrial Program; Statistics and Probability)

GA005320

Foundations, Non-Profit Corporations, and Industry

2013–present – Board of Directors, Verified Voting Foundation

2011–2013 – Board of Advisors, Verified Voting Foundation

2010–2011 – Technical Advisory Board, Clear Ballot Group

2007 – Advisory Board, Facebar, Inc.

2000–2001 – Technical Advisory Board, Cogit.com

2000–2002 – National Advisory Board, eTextbooksOnline.com
– Technical Advisory Board, Atomic Dog Publishing

Editorial and Referee Service

Editorial Service

2014–present – Faculty Review Board, Berkeley Scientific Journal

2013–present – Editorial Board, ScienceOpen

2013–present – Associate Editor, SIAM/ASA Journal on Uncertainty Quantification

2012–present – Founding Steering Committee and Editorial Board, USENIX Journal of Election Technology and Systems (JETS)

2011–present – Editor, Frontiers in Statistics and Probability (Springer)

2008 – Guest Editor, Inverse Problems

1998–1999 – Editor, Statistical Science

1997–2000 – Editorial Board, Inverse Problems

1994–1998 – Associate Editor, Journal of Geophysical Research

Referee Service

1. American Association for the Advancement of Science

2. American Mathematical Monthly
3. Annales Geophysicae
4. Annals of the Institute of Statistical Mathematics
5. Annals of Statistics
6. Arabian Journal for Science and Engineering
7. Astrophysical Journal
8. Bulletin of the Seismological Society of America
9. Cambridge University Press
10. Chapman-Hall
11. Computational Statistics and Data Analysis
12. Electronic Journal of Statistics
13. Geophysical Journal International
14. Geophysical Research Letters
15. Geophysics
16. Geophysical & Astrophysical Fluid Dynamics
17. HarperCollins
18. IEEE Journal on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing
19. IEEE Journal on Information Theory
20. Inverse Problems
21. Inverse Problems and Imaging
22. Journal of the American Statistical Association
23. Journal of Computational Physics
24. Journal of Economic Literature

25. Journal of Geophysical Research
26. Jurimetrics
27. Nature
28. Nature Climate Change
29. PeerJ
30. Political Analysis
31. Physics of the Earth and Planetary Interiors
32. PLoS One
33. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences
34. Science
35. SIAM Review
36. Simon and Schuster
37. Springer-Verlag
38. Statistics, Politics, and Policy
39. Statistical Science
40. Tectonophysics

University Service

2018–2019
- Associate Dean, Division of Mathematical and Physical Sciences
- UC Berkeley Signature Initiatives working group for Inclusive Intelligence
- Advisory Board, Berkeley Institute for Data Science (BIDS)
- Scientific Advisory Board, European Union H2020 Project Moving Towards Adaptive Governance in Complexity: Informing Nexus Security (MAGIC), Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona (Spain) and University of Bergen (Norway)

GA005323

- Faculty Advisory Committee, Berkeley Resource Center for Online Education (BRCOE)
- Faculty Athletic Fellow
- Program Advisory Committee, Doctor of Business Administration Program, Lincoln University
- Member, Berkeley Science Network `http://bsn.berkeley.edu`
- Schmidt Science Fellows Program review committee

2017–2018
- Associate Dean, Division of Mathematical and Physical Sciences
- Chancellor's Strategic Planning Committee on Enrollment Growth
- Interdepartmental Committee on the Formation of the Division of Data Sciences
- Director, Statistical Computing Facility
- *Ad hoc* Data Sciences Divisional committee on undergraduate degree programs
- Advisory Board, Berkeley Institute for Data Science (BIDS)
- Academic Program Review Committee, Academic Senate representative, Department of Agricultural and Resource Economics
- Scientific Advisory Board, European Union H2020 Project Moving Towards Adaptive Governance in Complexity: Informing Nexus Security (MAGIC), Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona (Spain) and University of Bergen (Norway)
- Faculty Advisory Committee, Berkeley Resource Center for Online Education (BRCOE)
- Faculty Advisory Committee, Athletic Study Center
- Faculty Athletic Fellow
- Program Advisory Committee, Doctor of Business Administration Program, Lincoln University
- Member, Berkeley Science Network `http://bsn.berkeley.edu`

2016–2017
- Associate Dean, Division of Mathematical and Physical Sciences
- Director, Statistical Computing Facility

- Advisory Board, Berkeley Institute for Data Science (BIDS)
- Scientific Advisory Board, European Union H2020 Project Moving Towards Adaptive Governance in Complexity: Informing Nexus Security (MAGIC), Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona (Spain) and University of Bergen (Norway)
- Faculty Advisory Committee, Berkeley Resource Center for Online Education (BRCOE)
- Faculty Advisory Committee, Athletic Study Center
- Faculty Athletic Fellow
- Program Advisory Committee, Doctor of Business Administration Program, Lincoln University
- Member, Berkeley Science Network `http://bsn.berkeley.edu`

2015–2016
- Associate Dean, Division of Mathematical and Physical Sciences
- Faculty Advisory Committee, Berkeley Resource Center for Online Education (BRCOE)
- Faculty Advisory Committee, Athletic Study Center
- Faculty Athletic Fellow
- Program Advisory Committee, Doctor of Business Administration Program, Lincoln University
- Member, Berkeley Science Network `http://bsn.berkeley.edu`

2014–2015
- Chair, Department of Statistics
- Director, Statistical Computing Facility
- Faculty Advisory Committee, Berkeley Resource Center for Online Education (BRCOE)
- Campus Working Group on Course Curriculum and Design
- Faculty Advisory Committee, Athletic Study Center
- Engineering Science Advisory Committee, College of Engineering
- Faculty Athletic Fellow
- Program Advisory Committee, Doctor of Business Administration Program, Lincoln University

- Member, Berkeley Science Network http://bsn.berkeley.edu

2013–2014
- Chair, Department of Statistics
- Director, Statistical Computing Facility
- Commission on the Future of the UC Berkeley Library http://academic-senate.berkeley.edu/issues/commission-future-uc-berkeley-library
  Charge: http://evcp.berkeley.edu/sites/default/files/Library%20Commission%2009.21.2012.pdf
  Final Report: http://evcp.berkeley.edu/news/commission-future-uc-berkeley-library-report
- Faculty Advisory Committee, Berkeley Resource Center for Online Education (BRCOE)
- Campus Working Group on Course Curriculum and Design
- Faculty Advisory Committee, Athletic Study Center
- Engineering Science Advisory Committee, College of Engineering
- Search Committee, Director of IT for College of Letters and Sciences
- Faculty Athletic Fellow
- Program Advisory Committee, Doctor of Business Administration Program, Lincoln University
- External Review Committee, Department of Applied Mathematics and Statistics, Colorado School of Mines
- Member, Berkeley Science Network http://bsn.berkeley.edu

2012–2013
- Chair, Department of Statistics
- Director, Statistical Computing Facility
- Commission on the Future of the UC Berkeley Library
- Faculty Advisory Committee, Berkeley Resource Center for Online Education (BRCOE)
- Engineering Science Advisory Committee, College of Engineering
- Faculty Athletic Fellow

- Program Advisory Committee, Doctor of Business Administration Program, Lincoln University
- Member, Berkeley Science Network `http://bsn.berkeley.edu`

2011–2012
- Acting Department Chair, Department of Statistics, July–August
- Vice Chair, Department of Statistics
- Academic Senate Alternate Representative to University of California Systemwide Assembly
- Academic Senate Committee on Academic Planning and Resource Allocation (CAPRA)
- Campus Committee on Classroom Policy and Management (CC-CPM)
- Business Resumption Coordination Group (BRCG)
- Faculty Athletic Fellow
- Program Advisory Committee, Doctor of Business Administration Program, Lincoln University

2010–2011
- Academic Senate Committee on Academic Planning and Resource Allocation (CAPRA)
- Campus Committee on Classroom Policy and Management (CC-CPM)
- Course Note-Taking Taskforce (`http://campuspol.chance.berkeley.edu/policies/coursenotes.pdf`)
- *Ad hoc* tenure/promotion committee
- Faculty Athletic Fellow
- Program Advisory Committee, Doctor of Business Administration Program, Lincoln University

2009–2010
- Academic Senate Committee on Computing and Communications (COMP)
- Faculty Athletic Fellow

2008–2009
- Faculty Athletic Fellow

2007–2008
- Undergraduate Student Learning Initiative Faculty Advisory Committee
- Faculty Athletic Fellow

2006–2007
- Faculty Athletic Fellow

2005–2006
- Faculty Athletic Fellow

2004–2005
- Chair, Educational Technology Committee
- e-Berkeley Steering Committee
- e-Berkeley Committee of Chairs
- e-Berkeley Implementation Task Force
- CourseWeb Steering Committee
- Faculty Athletic Fellow

2003–2004
- Chair, Educational Technology Committee
- e-Berkeley Steering Committee
- e-Berkeley Implementation Task Force
- Student Systems Policy Committee
- CourseWeb Steering Committee

2002–2003
- Faculty Assistant in Educational Technology (to Vice Provost for Undergraduate Education)
- Chair, Educational Technology Committee
- Provost's Academic Council
- e-Berkeley Steering Committee
- e-Berkeley Implementation Task Force
- Campus Committee on Classroom Policy and Management (CC-CPM)
- Student Systems Policy Committee
- e-Berkeley Symposium Program Committee
- Faculty Search Committee, Graduate School of Education
- CourseWeb Steering Committee

2001–2002
- Faculty Assistant in Educational Technology (to Vice Provost for Undergraduate Education)
- Chair, Educational Technology Committee
- Provost's Academic Council
- e-Berkeley Steering Committee
- e-Berkeley Implementation Task Force
- Campus Committee on Classroom Policy and Management (CC-CPM)
- Academic Senate Committee on Academic Planning and Resource Allocation (CAPRA)
- CITRIS II Program Committee
- TeleBEARS and BearFacts Committees (combined into Student Systems Policy Committee as of 3/2002)
- e-Berkeley Portal Working Group
- Faculty search committee, Graduate School of Education

2000–2001
- Space Allocation and Capital Improvements (SACI)
- Academic Senate Committee on Academic Planning and Resource Allocation (CAPRA)
- CAPRA Subcommittee on Expanded Enrollment
- CAPRA Subcommittee on changes to Academic Coordinator title
- *Ad hoc* hiring/tenure committee

1999–2000
- Space Allocation and Capital Improvements (SACI)
- Academic Senate Library Committee (LIBR)
- Academic Senate Committee on Academic Planning and Resource Allocation (CAPRA), Physical Planning Subcommittee, *ex officio* representative from Library Committee
- Academic Effects Study Committee, Molecular Engineering Building
- *Ad hoc* tenure/promotion committee
- SACI subcommittee to audit space in Barrows Hall

1998–1999 – Space Allocation and Capital Improvements (SACI)
– Electronic Dissertations Project
– Planning Space for the Physical Sciences Libraries

1997–1998 – *Ad hoc* tenure/promotion committee

1996 – Review of College of Science, King Fahd University of Petroleum and Minerals, Dhahran, Saudi Arabia

1994–1999 – University review committee for Department of Agricultural and Resource Economics, University of California, Berkeley

1993–1995 – Physical Sciences Division committee for Graduate Affirmative Action and Retention
– Physical Sciences Division committee for Science and Mathematics Academic Re-Training (SMART)

Contracts and Grants

1. PI, NASA Grant NAG 5-883, "Constructing Core Fields Consistent with Geomagnetic Data and Geophysical Constraints," 1987–1990.
2. Project Director and PI, NSF Grant DMS-8810192, "Inference in Curved-Ray Tomography: Solid Earth Structure," 1989–1992.
3. PI, NSF Grant INT-9205103, "Long and Medium-Term Research: Inference in Seismological Investigations of Subducting Lithosphere," 1992–1994.
4. PI, NSF Grant DMS-930006P, "Estimating the Sun's Internal Angular Velocity from Free-Oscillation Frequency Splittings," 1993–1994.
5. PI, NSF Presidential Young Investigator Award DMS-8957573, 1989–1995.
6. Co-I, NASA Grant NAG5-2438, "The Analysis of Cobe DMR Sky Maps," 1993–1994. PI: J. Silk
7. PI, NASA Grant NAGW-2515, "New Methods for Inversion and Analysis of Solar Free-Oscillation Data," 1991–1995.

GA005330

8. PI, NSF Grant DMS-9404276, "New Methods for Inference From COBE Data," 1994–1997.

9. PI, NSF Grant AST-9504410, "Function Estimation and Inference in Helioseismology," 1995–1998.

10. PI, LLNL/IGPP Grant 97-AP028, "Helioseismology with Solar Luminosity Constraints," 1996–1997.

11. Co-I, NASA Grant NAG5-3941, "Development of data analysis, compression and visualization tools for large data sets in astrophysics and cosmology," 1997–1998. PI: J. Silk

12. PI, NASA Grant NRA-96-09-OSS-034SOHO, "Modern Statistical Methods for Helioseismic Spectrum Estimation," 1997–1998.

13. PI, NASA Grant NAG 5-3919, "Data Sampling Rate Reduction for the Oersted Satellite," 1997–1998.

14. PI, UC Berkeley Classroom Technologies Grant, "Statistics *Statim*," 1997–1998.

15. Co-I, NSF Grant DMS-9872979,"*KDI: Computational Challenges in Cosmology*," 1998–2000. PI: A. Jaffe.

16. Co-I, NSF Grant IIS-98-17353, "*Re-Inventing Scholarly Information Dissemination and Use*," 4/1/1999–3/31/2004. PI: R. Wilensky and D. Forsythe.

17. PI, Hewlett Packard Company Grant 89293, "Applied Mobile Technology Solutions in Learning Environments," 3/19/2003–8/31/2004. Status report:
`https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Grants/hp89293.htm`

18. PI, Hewlett Packard Company Grant 14928, "Applied Mobile Technology Solutions in Learning Environments—2004 Extension Grant," 4/1/2004–6/30/2005.

19. PI, LLNL Grant B565605, "Uncertainty in Complex Simulations," 4/3/2007–9/30/2007.

GA005331

20. PI, LLNL Grant B585264, "Uncertainty Quantification with Applications to Climate Modeling," 11/3/2009–9/30/2010.

21. PI, Genentech Inc. Grant 008485, "Measuring Glucose with NIR," 2/24/2010–10/31/2010.

22. Co-I, NSF Grant DUE-1060487, "S-STEM Berkeley Science Network Scholarship Program," 3/1/2011–2/28/2015. PI: M. Richards.

23. PI, State of Colorado U.S. Election Assistance Commission subaward UC01, 2010 Pre-Election Logic and Accuracy Testing and Post-Election Audit Initiative, 5/23/2011–4/23/2013.

24. PI, State of California Election Assistance Commission subaward 10I10066, Post Election Risk-Limiting Audit Pilot Program, 9/13/2011–4/23/2013.

25. PI, Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation Grant OPP1077697, "An Introductory Statistics MOOC With Field-Tested Online Assessments," 12/20/2012–7/31/2013.

26. Co-I, UC Berkeley MOOCLab Grant, "Forum Usage in Statistics MOOCs: Disentangling Correlation from Causation," 10/2013–8/2014. PI: M. Hearst.

27. Co-I, Berkeley Institute for Data Science, grant from the Gordon and Betty Moore Foundation and the Sloan Foundation. 12/2013–12/2018. PI: S. Perlmutter.

28. PI, UC Berkeley Food Institute Grant, "Reaping without Sowing: Urban Foraging, Sustainability, Nutrition, and Social Welfare," 2/2014–8/2015.

29. Co-I, NSF, DGE–1450053, "NRT-DESE Data Science for the 21st Century (DS421)," 2015–2020. PI: D. Ackerley.

30. PI, UC Berkeley Food Institute Grant, "Wild Food: Investigating and Reducing Barriers to the Consumption of Foraged Foods," 5/2015–12/2015.

31. PI, State Street Bank and Trust Company Grant, "Industry Partners Program: Consortium for Data Analytics in Risk (CDAR); and Berkeley Institute for Data Science (BIDS) at UC Berkeley," 2/2015–6/2018.

32. PI, Dascena subaward from NIH, "SBIR: A Computational Approach to Early Sepsis Detection," 4/2017–6/2017.

33. PI, Peder Sather Grant, "Mainstreaming Sensitivity Analysis and Uncertainty Auditing," 7/2017–6/2018.

34. Co-I, NSF Grant DMS–1745640, "(RTG): Advancing Machine Learning–Causality and Interpretability," 2018–2023.

Consulting and Expert Witness Experience

Baker & McKenzie LLP, New York, NY: sampling and uncertainty quantification (client Nuclear Electric Insurance Limited, NEIL)

Bartlit Beck Herman Palenchar & Scott LLP, Denver, CO: intellectual property litigation (client Tessera)

Bingham McCutchen LLP, Los Angeles, CA: sampling in litigation

Bramson, Plutzik, Mahler & Birkhaeuser LLP, Walnut Creek, CA: consumer class action litigation

Bruce P. Brown Law, Atlanta, GA: election integrity litigation (client Donna Curling et al.)

Brinks, Hofer, Gilson & Lione, Chicago, IL: intellectual property litigation (clients R.J. Reynolds, Actavis)

Calfee, Halter & Griswold LLP, Cleveland, OH: tort litigation (client FirstEnergy Corp)

California-American Water Company: utilities regulation, census and survey data

Capital One: economic modeling and credit risk management; intellectual property litigation; credit loss forecasting

Carey and Carey, Palo Alto, CA: equal protection, civil litigation

CIBC: economic modeling and credit risk management

Cisco Systems: predicting email spool fill

City of Santa Rosa, CA: water treatment monitoring

Cogit.com, San Francisco, CA: Technical advisory board; data mining, targeted web advertising

Constantine, Cannon, San Francisco, CA, and New York, NY: *Qui Tam* litigation (three cases)

Contra Costa County Public Defender, Richmond, CA: equal protection, due process, medical treatment for defendants found incompetent to stand trial

Council of Europe, Venice Commission, Venice, Italy: election integrity, electoral fraud

Crosby, Heafey, Roach, & May, Oakland, CA: insurance litigation (client Farmer's Insurance)

Croskery Law Offices, Cincinnati, OH: employment discrimination litigation

East Bay Municipal Utilities District, Oakland, CA: water treatment monitoring

EEG Systems Laboratory, San Francisco, CA: inverse problems for electrical activity of the brain

Emery Celli Brinckerhoff & Abady LLP, Washington, DC: election recounts (client Jill Stein)

eTextbooksOnline.com, New York, NY: National Advisory board

Farella Braun + Martel LLP, San Francisco, CA: sampling and estimation in litigation

Federal Trade Commission, San Francisco, CA: sampling in litigation

Florida Education Association, Tallahassee, FL: teaching evaluations in academic employment decisions

Folger, Levin & Kahn, LLP, San Francisco, CA: sampling and risk management in litigation (client California Self-Insurers' Security Fund)

Fried, Frank, Harris, Shriver & Jacobsen LLP, New York, NY: sampling and estimation in securities litigation (clients Citigroup Global Markets Inc.; Goldman, Sachs & Co.; UBS Securities LLC)

Fuller-Austin Joint Defense Group: modeling in litigation

Georgia Department of Law, Atlanta, GA: lottery winnings (client Georgia Lottery Corporation)

Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher, New York, NY: sampling and estimation in litigation (client AIG / Lavastone Capital)

GMAC Financial Services: economic modeling and credit risk management

Habeas Corpus Resource Center, San Francisco, CA: bias in jury selection

Howard, Rice, Nemerovski, Canady, Falk, & Rabkin, San Francisco, CA: sampling in litigation; inference from retail sales data (clients K-Mart Corp., R.J. Reynolds)

Howrey LLP, East Palo Alto, CA: sampling in litigation (client Apple Inc.)

HSBC: economic modeling and credit risk management

Jones Day, Columbus, OH: sampling and estimation in litigation (client Cardinal Health)

Kaiser Permanente Northern California, Redwood City, CA: clinical trials in oncology

Kelley Jasons McGuire & Spinelli, LLP: insurance litigation (client St. Paul Fire & Marine Insurance Company)

Keller Grover LLP, San Francisco, CA: *Qui Tam* litigation

Kemnitzer, Barron & Krieg, LLP, San Francisco, CA: sampling in consumer class action litigation

Kipling Law Group, Seattle, WA: sampling in litigation (client AT&T Wireless)

KLA Instruments Corporation, San Jose, CA: calibration of algorithms to detect IC mask flaws

Kramer, Levin, Naftalis, & Frankel, New York, NY: sampling in litigation

Latham & Watkins, LLP, Menlo Park, CA, and San Francisco, CA: sampling in consumer class action litigation (clients Apple Inc., Silver Spring Networks)

Law Offices of Gorman & Miller, San Jose, CA: trade secret litigation

Law Offices of Ilson W. New, San Francisco, CA: natural resource legislation (client California Abalone Association)

Law Offices of Ramirez, Tollner, Stebbins, Bahrick, & Sasseen, San Jose, CA: trade secret litigation

Law Offices of Welebir & McCune, Woodside, CA: product liability litigation

Law Offices of Wells, Pinckney & McHugh, Austin, TX: employment discrimination arbitration

Law Offices of Wolkin & Timpane, San Francisco, CA: insurance litigation (client CIGNA)

Law Offices of Scott K. Zimmerman, Brentwood, CA: product liability litigation

Life Chiropractic College West, Hayward, CA: experimental design

Littler Mendelson, P.C., Dallas, TX, Los Angeles, CA, and San Francisco, CA: sampling in employment wage and hour class action litigation

Los Angeles Superior Court, Central District: sampling in employment wage and hour litigation

Manatt, Phelps & Phillips LLP, San Francisco, CA: utilities regulation (client California-American Water Company)

Mayer, Brown, Rowe & Maw, Chicago, IL: intellectual property litigation (client Capital One)

Mayer Brown LLP, New York, NY: mortgage-backed securities litigation (clients Bank of New York Mellon, Citibank N.A.)

Memorial University Faculty Association (MUNFA), St. Johns, NL, Canada: teaching evaluations in academic employment decisions

Meyers Nave, Oakland, CA: election dispute litigation (client Novato Sanitary District)

Monaghan Safar Ducham PLLC, Burlington, VT: employment discrimination

Morgan, Lewis & Bockius LLP, Los Angeles, CA: sampling in litigation

Morrison & Foerster, San Francisco, CA: product liability class action litigation, causal inference in litigation (clients American Cemwood, Iovate Health Sciences)

Munger, Tolles & Olson, LLP, San Francisco, CA and Los Angeles, CA: consumer class action litigation, intellectual property litigation, sampling (clients Verizon Wireless, Philip Morris, Tessera)

Murphy & McGonigle, Washington, DC: risk management and credit loss forecasting (client Capital One)

National Security Agency: adaptive filtering, combining expert opinions, digital communications, information retrieval, estimation

National Solar Observatory, Tucson, AZ: spectrum estimation

Albert A. Natoli, P.C., New York, NY: surveys in consumer class action litigation

Nichols Kaster PLLP, Minneapolis, MN: sampling and damage estimation in consumer class action litigation

Norton Rose Fulbright US LLP, Houston, TX: construction defect litigation (client M.J. Dean Construction, Inc.)

Nossaman LLP, San Francisco, CA: utilities regulation (client California-American Water Company)

Office of the Attorney General, State of California, Oakland, CA: sampling in litigation (client California Highway Patrol)

Ontario Confederation of University Faculty Associations (OCUFA) and Ryerson Faculty Association, Toronto, ON: teaching evaluations in academic employment decisions

Oracle: sampling and risk analysis

Orrick, Herrington & Sutcliffe LLP, Los Angeles and Sacramento, CA: sampling in litigation

Pacific Gas & Electric Co., San Francisco, CA: statistics and causal inference in litigation

Paul, Hastings, Janofsky & Walker LLP, Washington, DC: intellectual property litigation (client Capital One)

Phillips & Cohen LLP, San Francisco, CA: statistical inference in *Qui Tam* litigation

Porter & Hedges, LLP, Houston, TX: sampling in litigation

Schlumberger-Doll Research, Ridgefield, CT: inverse problems, signal processing

Robins Kaplan LLP: *Qui Tam* litigation

Shearman & Sterling, Washington, DC: survival analysis in litigation

Skadden, Arps, Slate, Meagher & Flom LLP, San Francisco, CA: case-control studies in litigation

Spector Roseman Kodroff & Willis, P.C., Philadelphia, PA: *Qui Tam* litigation

Spriggs & Hollingsworth, Washington, DC: environmental litigation

State of Illinois, Monroe County State's Attorney, Waterloo, IL: evidence in capital prosecution

St. Paul Fire and Marine Insurance Company, Baltimore, MD: projecting tort liability

Susman Godfrey, LLP, Los Angeles, CA

Travis County, TX: design of auditable voting systems

United Faculty of Florida, Tallahassee, FL: teaching evaluations in academic employment decisions

U.S. Attorney's Office, Northern District of California: ethnic bias in grand jury selection

U.S. Department of Agriculture, Washington, D.C.: fairness in lending, import restrictions and risk assessment

U.S. Department of Commerce, Bureau of the Census, Washington, D.C.: estimation and modeling

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Washington, D.C.: disparate impact of hurricane Katrina relief program

U.S. Department of Justice, Civil Division, Federal Programs Branch, Washington, D.C.: sampling the Internet and testing Internet content filters; USDA import restrictions on cattle and beef; disparate racial impact in HUD disaster relief; fairness in lending; prevalence of "sexting" among young adults

U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Martinez, CA: speech and non-speech hearing segregation in aging

U.S. House of Representatives, Washington, D.C.: sampling to adjust the U.S. Census

Weintraub Genshlea Chediak Law Corporation, Sacramento, CA: wage and hour class action litigation (client Tai Wah, Inc.)

Wiegel Law Group, San Francisco, CA: sampling in class action litigation (client Trinity Management Services)

Willoughby, Stuart & Bening, San Jose, CA: insurance litigation

Winston & Strawn LLP, Chicago, IL: consumer class action litigation

Zimmerman Reed, Scottsdale, AZ: consumer class action litigation

Testimony (incomplete prior to 2003)

47. **December 2018.** Phoenix Light SF Ltd., in its own right and the right of Blue Heron Funding V Ltd., Blue Heron Funding VI Ltd., Blue Heron Funding VII Ltd., Kleros Preferred Funding V PLC, Silver Elms CDO PLC, Silver Elms CDO II Ltd., C-BASS CBO XVII Ltd., C-BASS CBO XIV Ltd. and each of Blue Heron Funding V Ltd., Blue Heron Funding VI Ltd., Blue Heron Funding VII Ltd., Kleros Preferred Funding V PLC, Silver Elms CDO PLC, Silver Elms CDO II Ltd., C-BASS CBO XVII Ltd. and C-BASS CBO XIV Ltd., in their own right, *vs.* The Bank of New York Mellon, Case 14-cv-10104 (VEC) Deposition.

46. **November 2018.** United States of America and State of New York, *ex rel.* Edward Lacey, *vs.* Visiting Nurse Service of New York. (U.S. District Court, Southern District of New York, Case 14-CV-5739 (AJN)) Deposition.

45. **August 2018.** Delores James *vs.* University of Florida (Grievances # 0817-00108 and 1117-00109) Arbitration.

44. **July 2018.** Testimony to the State of California Little Hoover Commission. Video: `http://www.lhc.ca.gov/report/voting-equipment-security`. Written testimony : `https://www.stat.berkeley`

.edu/~stark/Preprints/lhs18.pdf

43. **July 2018.** United States of America, *ex rel.* Stephen A. Krahling and Joan A. Wlochowski, *vs.* Merck & Co., Inc. (U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Pennsylvania, Case 10-4374 (CDJ)) and *In Re:* Merck Mumps Vaccine Antitrust Litigation (Master File No. 12-3555 (CDJ)) Deposition.

42. **April 2018.** Ryerson University *vs.* The Ryerson Faculty Association re FCS & Related Issues (2018 CanLII 58446) Arbitration.

41. **August 2017.** Application of California-American Water Company (U210W) for Authorization to Modify Conservation and Rationing Rules, Rate Design, and Other Related Issues for the Monterey District (Public Utility Commission of the State of California, Application 15-07-019) Hearing.

40. **July 2017.** United States, the States of California, Delaware, Florida, Illinois, Indiana, Nevada, New Mexico, New York, and Tennessee, the Commonwealths Of Massachusetts and Virginia, and The District Of Columbia, *ex rel.* John Hendrix, *vs.* J-M Manufacturing Company, Inc., d/b/a JM Eagle, a Delaware corporation, and Formosa Plastics Corporation, U.S.A., a Delaware corporation (U.S. District Court, Central District of California, Case ED CV 06-00055-GW) Deposition.

39. **March 2017.** The People of the State of California *vs.* Keegan Lee Czirban, Richard Allen, Filoberto Pablo Alvidrez, Jaqwayne Bryant, Dale Gabriel Burnell, Juan Pablo Cardona aka Juan Luna-Cardona, Miguel Colina, Emmanuel Cordova, Ramon Duenas, Connie Renee Fields, Anisa Sakari Fortenberry, Louie Frank Gamboa, Cynthia Marie Harrell, Briana Hawkins, Jeremiah James Johnson, Kieth Carl Kmutson, Mark Alex Mallory, Brian McMahon, David Moore, Marquise Lamar Owens, Mitkayem Dean Robinson, Patrice Sanders, and Seth Rui Sears. (Superior Court of the State of California, County

of Contra Costa, 05-151662-4 and associated cases) Trial.

38. **March 2017.** Kelly Brunarski and Yvette Harmon *vs.* Miami University. (U.S. District Court, Southern District of Ohio, Western Division, 1:16-cv-0311) Deposition.

37. **January 2017.** The Western and Southern Life Insurance Company, et al. *vs.* The Bank of New York Mellon. (Court Of Common Pleas, Hamilton County, Ohio, A1302490) Trial.

36. **December 2016.** Fixed Income Shares: Series M, Lvs II LLC, PCM Fund, Inc., PIMCO Absolute Return Strategy II Master Fund LDC, PIMCO Absolutereturnstrategy III Master Fund LDC, PIMCO Absolute Return Strategy III Overlay Master Fund Ltd., PIMCO Absolute Return Strategy IV Master Fund LDC, PIMCO Absolute Return Strategy V Master Fund LDC, PIMCO Bermuda Trust: PIMCO Bermuda Foreign Low Duration Fund, PIMCO Bermuda Trust: PIMCO Bermuda U.S. Low Duration Fund, PIMCO Cayman Spc Limited, PIMCO Cayman Japan Coreplus Segregated Portfolio, PIMCO Cayman Trust: PIMCO Cayman Global Advantage Bond Fund, PIMCO Cayman Trust: PIMCO Cayman Global Aggregate Ex-Japan (Yen-Hedged) Bond Fund II, PIMCO Cayman Trust: PIMCO Cayman Global Aggregate Exjapan (Yen-Hedged) Income Fund, PIMCO Cayman Trust: PIMCO Cayman Global Aggregate Ex-Japan Bond Fund, PIMCO Cayman Trust: PIMCO Cayman Global Bond (Nzdhedged) Fund, PIMCO Dynamic Credit Income Fund, PIMCO ETF Trust, PIMCO Total Return Active Exchange-Traded Fund, PIMCO Funds: Global Investors Series PLC, Diversified Income Fund, PIMCO Funds: Global Investors Series PLC, Global Bond Fund, PIMCO Funds: Global Investors Series PLC, Global Investment Grade Credit Fund, PIMCO Funds: Global Investors Series PLC, Income Fund, PIMCO Funds: Global Investors Series PLC, PIMCO Credit Absolute Return Fund, PIMCO Funds: Global Investors Series PLC, Unconstrained Bond Fund, PIMCO Funds: PIMCO Commodities Plus Strategy Fund, PIMCO Funds: PIMCO Commodity Real Return Strategy Fund, PIMCO Funds: PIMCO Credit Absolute Return

Fund, PIMCO Funds: PIMCO Diversified Income Fund, PIMCO Funds: PIMCO Floating Income Fund, PIMCO Funds: PIMCO Foreign Bond Fund (Unhedged), PIMCO Funds: PIMCO Global Advantage Strategy Bond Fund, PIMCO Funds: PIMCO Global Bond Fund (Unhedged), PIMCO Funds: PIMCO Income Fund, PIMCO Funds: PIMCO International Stocksplus AR Strategy Fund (U.S. Dollarhedged), PIMCO Funds: PIMCO Investment Grade Corporate Bond Fund, PIMCO Funds: PIMCO Low Duration Fund, PIMCO Funds: PIMCO Low Duration Fund II, PIMCO Funds: PIMCO Low Duration Fund III, PIMCO Funds: PIMCO Real Return Fund, PIMCO Funds: PIMCO Short-Term Fund, PIMCO Funds: PIMCO Total Return Fund, PIMCO Funds: PIMCO Unconstrained Bond Fund, PIMCO Funds: PIMCO Worldwide Fundamental Advantage AR Strategy Fund, PIMCO Funds, Private Account Portfolio Series Emerging Markets Portfolio, PIMCO Funds: Private Account Portfolio Series International Portfolio, PIMCO Funds: Private Account Portfolio Series Mortgage Portfolio, PIMCO Funds: Private Account Portfolio Series Short-Term Portfolio, PIMCO Funds: Private Account Portfolio Series U.S. Government Sector Portfolio, PIMCO Multi-Sector Strategy Fund Ltd., PIMCO Offshore Funds - PIMCO Absolute Return Strategy IV Efund, PIMCO Variable Insurance Trust: PIMCO Global Advantage Strategy Bond Portfolio, PIMCO Variable Insurance Trust: PIMCO Global Bond Portfolio (Unhedged), PIMCO Variable Insurance Trust: PIMCO Low Duration Portfolio, CREF Bond Market Account, CREF Social Choice Account, TIAA Global Public Investments, MBS LLC, TIAA-CREF Bond Fund, TIAA-CREF Bond Plus Fund, TIAA-CREF Life Insurance Company, Prudential Bank & Trust, FSB, Prudential Retirement Insurance and Annuity Company, The Gibraltar Life Insurance Company, Ltd., The Prudential Series Fund, LIICA RE II, Inc., Monumental Life Insurance Company Modified Separate Account, Transamerica Life Insurance Company, Transamerica Premier Life Insurance Company, Kore Advisors LP, and Sealink Funding Limited *vs.* Citibank N.A. (U.S. District Court, Southern District of New York, 14-cv-09373-JMF) Deposition.

35. **November 2016.** Jill Stein, Petitioner, *vs.* Wisconsin Elections

Commission and Members of the Wisconsin Elections Commission, each and only in his or her official capacity: Mark L. Thomsen, Ann S. Jacobs, Beverly Gill, Julie M. Glancey, Steve King, and Don M. Millis, Respondents. (State of Wisconsin Circuit Court, Dane County, Judge Valerie Bailey-Rihn) Trial.

34. **October 2016.** Citizens Oversight, Inc., a Delaware non-profit corporation; and Raymond Lutz, an individual, *vs.* Michael Vu, San Diego Registrar of Voters; Helen N. Robbins-Meyer, San Diego County Chief Administrative Officer; County of San Diego, a public entity; and Does 10–10, Defendants. (Superior Court of California, County of San Diego–Central Division, 37-2016-00020273-CL-MC-CTL) Trial.

33. **July 2016.** Loc Vu-Quoc *vs.* University of Florida. (American Arbitration Association Case no. 01-15-0006-1052). Arbitration.

32. **July 2016.** Memorial University of Newfoundland Faculty Association *vs.* Memorial University of Newfoundland (Arbitration I15-07) Arbitration.

31. **June 2016.** Gasia Thomas, et al., *vs.* First Energy Corporation, et al. (Court Of Common Pleas, Cuyahoga County, Ohio, 13-CV-798520) Deposition.

30. **May 2016.** The Western and Southern Life Insurance Company, et al., *vs.* The Bank of New York Mellon. (Court Of Common Pleas, Hamilton County, Ohio, A1302490) Deposition.

29. **February 2016.** Palms Place, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company, *vs.* Kittrell Garlock & Associates, Architects, AIA, LTD. d/b/a KGA Architecture, a Nevada professional corporation; M.J. Dean Construction, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company; Does I through X; Roe Corporations I through X; and Roe LLC I through X, Defendants.

M.J. Dean Construction, Inc., a Nevada corporation, Counterclaimant, *vs.* Palms Place, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company, Does I-X, Roe Corporations I-X, Boe Bonding Companies I-X, Loe Lenders I–X and Toe Tenants I-X, Counterdefendants.

Kittrell Garlock & Associates, Architects, AIA, Ltd. d/b/a KGA Architecture, a Nevada professional corporation, Counterclaimant, *vs.* Palms Place, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company, and Toes I–XV, Counterdefendants.

M.J. Dean Construction, Inc., a Nevada corporation, Third-Party Plaintiff, *vs.* Embassy Glass, Inc., a Nevada corporation; Zetian Systems, Inc., a Nevada corporation; Bombard Mechanical, LLC, a Limited Liability Company; Century Steel, Inc., a Nevada corporation; Pacific Custom Pools, Inc., a Nevada corporation; Superior Tile & Mechanical, Inc., a Nevada corporation; Mesa Mechanical, LLC, a Limited Liability Company; Dean Roofing Co., a Nevada Corporation; Does 1 through 50; Roe Corporations 1 through 50, Third-Party Defendants.

Palms Place, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company, Cross-Claimant, *vs.* Embassy Glass, Inc., a Nevada corporation; Zetian Systems, Inc., a Nevada corporation; Does 1 through 50; Roe Corporations 1 through 50, Cross-Defendants. (Nevada District Court, Clark County, Nevada, A-11-645150-C) Deposition.

28. **September 2015**. Lavastone Capitol LLC *vs.* Coventry First LLC, LST I LLC, LST II LLC, LST Holdings LTD., Montgomery Capital, Inc., Alan Buerger, Reid Buerger, Constance Buerger, and Krista Lake. (U.S. District Court, Southern District of New York, 14-CV-07139 JSR) Trial.

27. **May 2015**. Lavastone Capitol LLC *vs.* Coventry First LLC, LST I LLC, LST II LLC, LST Holdings LTD., Montgomery Capital, Inc., Alan Buerger, Reid Buerger, Constance Buerger, and Krista Lake. (U.S. District Court, Southern District of New York, 14-CV-07139 JSR) Deposition.

26. **April 2015**. Testimony before the California State Assembly Committee on Elections and Redistricting. Legislative hearing. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/ab44-assembly-2015-4-15.htm

25. **July 2014**. New Jersey Carpenters Health Fund, New Jersey Carpenters Vacation Fund, and Boilermaker Blacksmith National Pension Trust, on Behalf of Themselves and All Others Similarly Situated, *vs.* Residential Capital, LLC; Residential Funding, LLC; Residential Accredit Loans, Inc.; Bruce J. Paradis; Kenneth M. Duncan; Davee L. Olson; Ralph T. Flees; Lisa R. Lundsten; James G. Jones; David M. Bricker; James N. Young; Residential Funding Securities Corporation d/b/a GMAC RFC Securities; Goldman, Sachs & Co.; RBS Securities, Inc. f/k/a Greenwich Capital Markets, Inc. d/b/a RBS Greenwich Capital; Deutsche Bank Securities, Inc.; Citigroup Global Markets, Inc.; Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC; Bank of America Corporation as successor-in-interest to Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith, Inc.; UBS Securities LLC; JPMorgan Chase & Co., Inc. as successor-in-interest to Bear, Stearns & Co., Inc.; and Morgan Stanley & Co., Inc. (U.S. District Court, Southern District of New York, Case 08-CV-8781 HB) Deposition.

24. **October 2013**. United States, the States of California, Delaware, Florida, Illinois, Indiana, Nevada, New Mexico, New York, and Tennessee, the Commonwealths Of Massachusetts and Virginia, and The District Of Columbia Ex Rel. John Hendrix, Plaintiffs, *vs.* J-M Manufacturing Company, Inc., d/b/a JM Eagle, a Delaware corporation, and Formosa Plastics Corporation, U.S.A., a Delaware corporation (U.S. District Court, Central District of California, Case ED CV 06-00055-GW) Trial.

23. **September 2013**. Tessera, Inc. *vs.* Advanced Micro Devices, Inc., a Delaware corporation; Spansion, LLC, a Delaware limited liability corporation; Spansion, Inc., a Delaware corporation; Spansion Technology, Inc., a Delaware corporation; Advanced Semiconductor Engineering, Inc., a Republic of China corporation; ASE (U.S.), Inc., a California

corporation; ChipMOS Technologies, Inc., a Republic of China corporation; ChipMOS U.S.A., Inc., a California corporation; Siliconware Precision Industries Co., Ltd., a Republic of China corporation; Siliconware USA, Inc., a California corporation; STMicroelectronics N.V., a Netherlands corporation; STMicroelectronics, Inc., a Delaware corporation; STATS ChipPAC, Inc., a Delaware corporation; STATS ChipPAC (BVI), Inc., a British Virgin Islands company; STATS ChipPAC, Ltd., a Singapore company (U.S. District Court, Northern District of California, Case C 05-04063 CW) Deposition.

22. **July 2013**. United States, the States Of California, Delaware, Florida, Illinois, Indiana, Nevada, New Mexico, New York, and Tennessee, the Commonwealths Of Massachusetts And Virginia, and The District Of Columbia Ex Rel. John Hendrix, Plaintiffs, *vs.* J-M Manufacturing Company, Inc., d/b/a JM Eagle, a Delaware corporation, and Formosa Plastics Corporation, U.S.A., a Delaware corporation (U.S. District Court, Central District of California, Case ED CV 06-00055-GW) Deposition.

21. **June 2013**. Free Speech Coalition, Inc., American Society Of Media Photographers, Inc.; Michael Barone; David Conners a/k/a Dave Cummings; Thomas Hymes; Townsend Enterprises, Inc. d/b/a Sinclair Institute; C1R Distribution, LLC d/b/a Channel 1 Releasing; Barbara Alper; Carol Queen; Barbara Nitke; David Steinberg; Marie L. Levine a/k/a Nina Hartley; Dave Levingston; Betty Dodson; Carlin Ross *vs.* Eric H. Holder, Jr., Attorney General of the United States (U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Pennsylvania, Case 2:09–4607 MMB) Trial.

20. **October 2011**. Jonathan Buckheit *vs.* Tony Dennis, Dean Devlugt, Town of Atherton, County of San Mateo, Anthony Kockler and Jerry Carlson (U.S. District Court, Northern District of California, Case CV09-5000 JCS) Deposition.

19. **June 2010**. Testimony before California State Senate Committee

on Elections, Reapportionment and Constitutional Amendments. Legislative hearing. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/ab2023-senate-15-6-10.htm

18. **April 2010**. Testimony before California State Assembly Committee on Elections and Redistricting. Legislative hearing. https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/Preprints/ab2023-assembly-20-4-10.htm

17. **March 2010**. Suzan Sharpley and Robert Abeling *vs.* William Long; Novato Sanitary District; Elaine Ginnold, Marin County Registrar of Voters; Does 1–10. (State of California Superior Court, County of Marin, Case CIV 096368) Trial.

16. **January 2010**. Kastanos et al. *vs.* Central Concrete Supply Co., Inc. (State of California Superior Court, County of Alameda, Lead Case No. HG 07-319366) Trial.

15. **June 2009**. Star Scientific, Inc., *vs.* R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Company, et al. (U.S. District Court, Maryland District, Northern Division, Case Nos. MJG-01 1504 and MJG-02 2504) Trial.

14. **May 2009**. Star Scientific, Inc., *vs.* R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Company, et al. (U.S. District Court, Maryland District, Northern Division, Case Nos. MJG-01 1504 and MJG-02 2504) Deposition.

13. **July 2008**. Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 1550(b)) Cellphone Termination Fee Cases (State of California Superior Court, County of Alameda, Case 4332) Deposition.

12. **April 2008**. Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 1550(b)) Cellphone Termination Fee Cases (State of California Superior Court,

County of Alameda, Case 4332) Deposition.

11. **August 2007**. Self-Insurers' Security Fund *vs.* Gallagher Bassett Services, Inc. (U.S. District Court, Northern District of California, Case No. C 06-02828 JSW) Deposition.

10. **March 2007**. Peter Wachtell *vs.* Capital One Financial Corporation and Capital One Services, Inc. (U.S. District Court, District of Idaho, Case No. CIV03-267-S-MHW) Deposition.

9. **November 2006**. Coordination Proceeding Special Title (Rule 1550(b)) Cellphone Termination Fee Cases (State of California Superior Court, County of Alameda, Case 4332) Deposition.

8. **November 2006**. ACLU *vs.* Gonzales (U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Pennsylvania, Civil Action No. 98-5591) Trial.

7. **August 2006**. ACLU *vs.* Gonzales (U.S. District Court, Eastern District of Pennsylvania, Civil Action No. 98-5591) Deposition.

6. **December 2004**. Star Scientific, Inc., *vs.* R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Company, et al. (U.S. District Court, Maryland District, Northern Division, Case Nos. MJG-01 1504 and MJG-02 2504) Trial.

5. **December 2003**. Richison et al. *vs.* American Cemwood Corporation (State of California Superior Court, San Joaquin County, Case No. 005532) Trial.

4. **December 2003**. Pacific Gas and Electric Co. *vs.* City and County of San Francisco (U.S. District Court, Northern District of California, Case No. C99-2071 VRW) Deposition.

3. **May 2003**. Richison et al. *vs.* American Cemwood Corporation (State of California Superior Court, San Joaquin County, Case No. 005532) Deposition.

2. **May 1998**. Testimony before the U.S. House of Representatives Subcommittee on the Census. Legislative hearing.

1. **1997**. Testimony before the State of California Senate Committee on Natural Resources. Legislative hearing.

https://www.stat.berkeley.edu/~stark/bio.pdf
Last modified January 4, 2019.

APPENDIX II

GA005351

Pre-processing Georgia XML Election Data

```
In [1]: %matplotlib inline
        import math
        import numpy as np
        import scipy as sp
        import scipy.optimize
        from scipy.stats import hypergeom, binom, norm
        from scipy import special
        from cryptorandom.cryptorandom import SHA256
        from cryptorandom import sample
        from permute.utils import binom_conf_interval
        import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
        import pandas as pd
        from lxml import etree
        import csv

        elec_fn = '../../Data/detail.xml'

In [2]: elec = etree.parse(elec_fn)

In [3]: e_root = elec.getroot()
```

GA005352

Example record:

11/9/2018 8:13:23 PM EST November 6, 2018 - General Election
11/6/2018
Richmond

```
<VoterTurnout ballotsCast="70355" totalVoters="122747" voterTurnout="57.32">
  <Precincts>
    <Precinct ballotsCast="536" name="101" percentReporting="4" totalVoters="830" voterTurnout="64.58"/>
  </Precincts>
</VoterTurnout>
```

… … …

```
In [4]: # Contest key=20000 is Governor, Choice key=40 is Kemp

        elec.xpath("sum(Contest[@key='20000']/Choice[@key='40']//County/@votes)")

        # elec.xpath("Contest[@text='Governor']/Choice[@text='BRIAN KEMP  (REP)']//County[@votes]")
        # elec.find('//Precinct')

Out[4]: 1978408.0
```

Create a CSV file with total ballots cast in each county

Verified matching between CSV, XML, and GA website on 1/4/2019 by KO:

- totals for Appling, Atkinson, Bacon, Baker, Baldwin match
- total ballots cast statewide 3,949,905 matches

GA005353

```
In [5]: totals = elec.xpath("ElectionVoterTurnout/Counties/County")

        # open a file for writing

        total_ballots_by_county = open('../../Data/total_ballots_by_county.csv', 'w')
        total = 0

        # create the csv writer object

        csvwriter = csv.writer(total_ballots_by_county)

        csvwriter.writerow(["County", "Ballots cast"])
        for v in totals:
            csvwriter.writerow([v.attrib["name"], v.attrib["ballotsCast"]])
            total += int(v.attrib["ballotsCast"])

        # close the file

        total_ballots_by_county.close()

        # assert that the total by county, summed, equals the reported total

        assert total == int(elec.xpath("ElectionVoterTurnout/@ballotsCast")[0])
```

Create a CSV file with reported votes by county by contest by candidate

Verified matching between CSV, XML, and GA website on 1/4/2019:

- Votes for Kemp in Bryan county, broken out by vote type, match in CSV and XML. The sum matches the website total 10,507.
- Votes for Geoff Duncan (Lt. Gov) in Ware county, broken out by vote type, match in CSV and XML. The sum matches the website total 7,619.

GA005354

In [6]:
```
# open a file for writing

votes = open('../../Data/votes_by_candidate_county.csv', 'w')

# create the csv writer object

csvwriter = csv.writer(votes)
csvwriter.writerow(["Contest", "Candidate", "Vote type", "County", "Ballots cast"])

for contest in e_root.iter("Contest"):
    contest_name = contest.attrib["text"]
    for choice in contest.iter("Choice"):
        candidate = choice.attrib["text"]
        for votetype in choice.iter("VoteType"):
            val = votetype.attrib["name"]
            for v in votetype:
                csvwriter.writerow([contest_name, candidate, val, v.attrib["name"], v.attrib["votes"
]])

# close the file

votes.close()
```

Create CSV file with undervotes in the down-ticket statewide contests

Undervotes are counted *relative* to the number of ballots cast in the Governor's race. (We have total voter turnout, but it isn't broken out by vote type. Ballots cast for Governor are broken out by vote type.)

Checked on 1/4/19:

- No negative undervote counts
- For each Vote Type in each County, the Total Ballots is the same for every Contest

In [7]:
```
total_ballots_cast = pd.read_csv('../../Data/total_ballots_by_county.csv')
reported_votes = pd.read_csv('../../Data/votes_by_candidate_county.csv')
```

GA005355

In [8]:
```
reported_votes.head()
```

Out[8]:

	Contest	Candidate	Vote type	County	Ballots cast
0	Governor	BRIAN KEMP (REP)	Election Day	Appling	2334
1	Governor	BRIAN KEMP (REP)	Election Day	Atkinson	808
2	Governor	BRIAN KEMP (REP)	Election Day	Bacon	609
3	Governor	BRIAN KEMP (REP)	Election Day	Baker	409
4	Governor	BRIAN KEMP (REP)	Election Day	Baldwin	3054

In [9]:
```
statewide_contests = np.array(["Governor", "Lieutenant Governor", "Secretary Of State", \
                               "Attorney General", "Commissioner Of Agriculture", \
                               "Commissioner Of Insurance", "State School Superintendent", "Commissio
ner Of Labor", \
                               "Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta", \
                               "Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western"])
reported_votes = reported_votes[reported_votes["Contest"].isin(statewide_contests)]

reported_votes_by_contest = reported_votes.groupby(["Contest", "County", "Vote type"])["Ballots cast"
].agg(np.sum)
reported_votes_by_contest = reported_votes_by_contest.reset_index()
reported_votes_by_contest.head()
```

Out[9]:

	Contest	County	Vote type	Ballots cast
0	Attorney General	Appling	Absentee by Mail	519
1	Attorney General	Appling	Advance in Person	3180
2	Attorney General	Appling	Election Day	2860
3	Attorney General	Appling	Provisional	3
4	Attorney General	Atkinson	Absentee by Mail	88

GA005356

In [10]:
```
gov_race = reported_votes_by_contest[reported_votes_by_contest["Contest"]=="Governor"]
gov_race = gov_race.copy()
gov_race.rename(columns={'Ballots cast': 'Total ballots'}, inplace=True)
gov_race = gov_race.drop(columns=["Contest"])
gov_race.head()
```

Out[10]:

	County	Vote type	Total ballots
2544	Appling	Absentee by Mail	530
2545	Appling	Advance in Person	3298
2546	Appling	Election Day	2978
2547	Appling	Provisional	3
2548	Atkinson	Absentee by Mail	88

In [11]:
```
max_votes_estimated = reported_votes_by_contest.groupby(["County", "Vote type"]).agg(np.max)
max_votes_estimated = max_votes_estimated.drop(columns=["Contest"]).reset_index()
max_votes_estimated.rename(columns={'Ballots cast': 'Total ballots'}, inplace=True)
```

GA005357

In [12]:
```
merged_votes = pd.DataFrame()
for contest in statewide_contests[1:]:
    this_race = reported_votes_by_contest["Contest"]==contest
    merged_votes_contest = pd.merge(max_votes_estimated, reported_votes_by_contest[this_race])
    merged_votes_contest["Undervotes"] = merged_votes_contest["Total ballots"] - merged_votes_contest
["Ballots cast"]
    merged_votes = pd.concat([merged_votes, merged_votes_contest])

merged_votes.head()
```

Out[12]:

	County	Vote type	Total ballots	Contest	Ballots cast	Undervotes
0	Appling	Absentee by Mail	530	Lieutenant Governor	523	7
1	Appling	Advance in Person	3298	Lieutenant Governor	3092	206
2	Appling	Election Day	2978	Lieutenant Governor	2768	210
3	Appling	Provisional	3	Lieutenant Governor	3	0
4	Atkinson	Absentee by Mail	88	Lieutenant Governor	88	0

In [13]:
```
merged_votes.to_csv('../../Data/undervotes_by_county.csv', index=False)
```

GA005358

In [14]:
```
# version information
%load_ext version_information
%version_information scipy, numpy, csv, pandas, matplotlib, notebook, cryptorandom, permute
```

```
Loading extensions from ~/.ipython/extensions is deprecated. We recommend managing extensions like a
ny other Python packages, in site-packages.

/anaconda/lib/python3.6/site-packages/IPython/core/formatters.py:839: FormatterWarning: JSON expects
JSONable list/dict containers, not JSON strings
  FormatterWarning)
```

Out[14]:

Software	Version
Python	3.6.7 64bit [GCC 4.2.1 Compatible Clang 4.0.1 (tags/RELEASE_401/final)]
IPython	7.2.0
OS	Darwin 18.2.0 x86_64 i386 64bit
scipy	1.1.0
numpy	1.15.4
csv	1.0
pandas	0.23.1
matplotlib	3.0.2
notebook	5.7.4
cryptorandom	0.2
permute	0.1.alpha4
Sun Jan 06 13:57:02 2019 PST	

GA005359

APPENDIX III

GA005360

Differential undervote rate in Lt. Gov contest

Compare undervote rates by mode of voting (paper versus electronic) using hypergeometric 2-sample test.

```
In [1]: %matplotlib inline
        import math
        import numpy as np
        import scipy as sp
        import scipy.optimize
        from scipy.stats import hypergeom, binom, norm, chi2
        from scipy import special
        from collections import Counter
        from cryptorandom.cryptorandom import SHA256
        from cryptorandom import sample
        from permute.utils import binom_conf_interval
        from permute.npc import fisher
        import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
        import pandas as pd
        import csv
```

GA005361

In [2]:
```
statewide_contests = np.array(["Lieutenant Governor", "Secretary Of State", \
                               "Attorney General", "Commissioner Of Agriculture", \
                               "Commissioner Of Insurance", "State School Superintendent", "Commissio
ner Of Labor", \
                               "Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta", \
                               "Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western"])
votes = pd.read_csv('../../Data/undervotes_by_county.csv')
votes.head()
```

Out[2]:

	County	Vote type	Total ballots	Contest	Ballots cast	Undervotes
0	Appling	Absentee by Mail	530	Lieutenant Governor	523	7
1	Appling	Advance in Person	3298	Lieutenant Governor	3092	206
2	Appling	Election Day	2978	Lieutenant Governor	2768	210
3	Appling	Provisional	3	Lieutenant Governor	3	0
4	Atkinson	Absentee by Mail	88	Lieutenant Governor	88	0

GA005362

```
In [3]: mode_mask = votes['Vote type'].isin(['Advance in Person', 'Election Day', 'Absentee by Mail'])
        dre_mask = votes['Vote type'].isin(['Advance in Person', 'Election Day'])

        p_thresh = 0.0001
        contests_sig = Counter()

        for c in statewide_contests:
            cont_mask = votes['Contest'] == c
            for cty in votes['County'].unique():
                cty_mask = votes['County'] == cty
                N = votes[mode_mask & cont_mask & cty_mask]['Total ballots'].sum()
                G = votes[mode_mask & cont_mask & cty_mask]['Undervotes'].sum()
                g = votes[dre_mask & cont_mask & cty_mask]['Undervotes'].sum()
                n = votes[dre_mask & cont_mask & cty_mask]['Ballots cast'].sum() + g
                # pmf(k, M, n, N) = choose(n, k) * choose(M - n, N - k) / choose(M, N),
                p = 2*min(hypergeom.cdf(g, N, G, n),hypergeom.sf(g-1, N, G, n))
                if p <= p_thresh:
                    contests_sig[c] = contests_sig[c]+1
                print(c, cty, N, G, n, g, G/N, g/n, p)
```


GA005363

Lieutenant Governor Appling 6806 423 6276 416 0.06215104319717896 0.0662842574888464 2.1439776587662
654e-08
Lieutenant Governor Atkinson 2520 218 2432 218 0.0865079365079365 0.08963815789473684 0.000601198899
3767051
Lieutenant Governor Bacon 3829 244 3655 242 0.06372420997649517 0.06621067031463748 0.00144527105022
85972
Lieutenant Governor Baker 1291 101 1150 95 0.07823392718822618 0.08260869565217391 0.117291214017724
9
Lieutenant Governor Baldwin 15633 892 14475 882 0.05705878590161837 0.060932642487046634 6.904218122
683707e-19
Lieutenant Governor Banks 6852 220 6629 219 0.03210741389375365 0.033036657112686676 0.0105795886299
9079
Lieutenant Governor Barrow 27398 1060 26135 1049 0.03868895539820425 0.04013774631719916 3.900219802
989691e-11
Lieutenant Governor Bartow 37327 478 35759 476 0.012805743831542852 0.01331133420956962 5.3293861989
1327e-07
Lieutenant Governor Ben Hill 5533 335 5213 332 0.06054581601301283 0.06368693650489161 4.49526479982
811e-06
Lieutenant Governor Berrien 6247 320 5925 320 0.05122458780214503 0.0540084388185654 5.5789533967082
33e-08
Lieutenant Governor Bibb 60460 3049 55999 3014 0.050430036387694346 0.053822389685530096 1.963474639
7364817e-60
Lieutenant Governor Bleckley 4855 214 4598 212 0.04407826982492276 0.046107003044802086 0.0012309494
917529596
Lieutenant Governor Brantley 5689 292 5501 291 0.051327122517138335 0.052899472823123066 0.000969897
9815758128
Lieutenant Governor Brooks 5696 353 5231 344 0.061973314606741575 0.06576180462626649 1.099160869978
3508e-05
Lieutenant Governor Bryan 14970 543 14388 540 0.03627254509018036 0.03753127606338615 1.329546300843
3782e-06
Lieutenant Governor Bulloch 23543 988 22467 972 0.041965764770844835 0.04326345306449459 4.385804568
643126e-07
Lieutenant Governor Burke 8719 483 7751 480 0.05539626103910999 0.06192749322668043 3.34824474118164
02e-21
Lieutenant Governor Butts 8863 355 8483 352 0.04005415773440144 0.041494754214310973 0.0002285992830
354893
Lieutenant Governor Calhoun 1899 116 1714 114 0.061084781463928386 0.06651108518086347 0.00095255580
82105067
Lieutenant Governor Camden 17053 631 15860 622 0.03700228698762681 0.03921815889029004 5.87490475744
2742e-11
Lieutenant Governor Candler 3538 181 3418 179 0.05115884680610514 0.05236980690462258 0.098032171079
81956
Lieutenant Governor Carroll 41739 1548 40221 1541 0.037087615898799685 0.03831331891300564 5.4443703

GA005364

82616403e-17
Lieutenant Governor Catoosa 23725 890 23185 887 0.0375131717597471 0.03825749406944145 3.23155990066
39145e-06
Lieutenant Governor Charlton 3369 191 3206 191 0.056693380825170676 0.05957579538365564 0.0001157816
1633823924
Lieutenant Governor Chatham 103338 4809 97161 4735 0.04653660802415375 0.04873354535255915 1.1932271
711283063e-54
Lieutenant Governor Chattahoochee 1102 65 1067 65 0.05898366606170599 0.06091846298031865 0.23009572
741168619
Lieutenant Governor Chattooga 7427 313 7202 311 0.042143530362192004 0.043182449319633436 0.00671638
4526635276
Lieutenant Governor Cherokee 106299 2789 100987 2758 0.026237311733882728 0.027310445898977097 9.253
11760914282e-30
Lieutenant Governor Clarke 43261 1655 40239 1623 0.03825616606181087 0.04033400432416313 1.974619000
0816885e-21
Lieutenant Governor Clay 1186 75 1043 72 0.06323777403035413 0.06903163950143816 0.02649754259821546
Lieutenant Governor Clayton 91840 4721 85993 4663 0.05140461672473868 0.0542253439233426 8.702654564
519675e-71
Lieutenant Governor Clinch 2254 163 2145 162 0.07231588287488909 0.07552447552447553 0.0045125102105
991214
Lieutenant Governor Cobb 310381 7920 284480 7718 0.02551702584887606 0.027130202474690664 2.79628003
48733273e-105
Lieutenant Governor Coffee 12595 930 12200 924 0.07383882493052799 0.07573770491803279 1.61202247911
70078e-07
Lieutenant Governor Colquitt 12953 819 12439 816 0.06322859569211765 0.06560012862770319 2.214245812
745641e-11
Lieutenant Governor Columbia 61591 1955 58655 1928 0.03174165056582942 0.03287017304577615 1.7844777
319302836e-16
Lieutenant Governor Cook 5803 285 5559 282 0.04911252800275719 0.050728548300053966 0.00332478691271
6678
Lieutenant Governor Coweta 58056 1929 55244 1909 0.033226539892517566 0.03455578886394903 5.87107139
6746346e-21
Lieutenant Governor Crawford 4931 263 4697 259 0.05333603731494626 0.05514157973174367 0.00785466526
9436633
Lieutenant Governor Crisp 7024 434 6661 430 0.061788154897494306 0.06455487164089475 1.8179405492627
983e-06
Lieutenant Governor Dade 5450 253 5321 249 0.046422018348623854 0.04679571509114828 0.55416253626200
07
Lieutenant Governor Dawson 11570 367 11131 364 0.03171996542783059 0.032701464378762014 0.0007329956
740859268
Lieutenant Governor DeKalb 310968 12765 291296 12458 0.041049239793161996 0.042767494232670546 7.724
111758782382e-98
Lieutenant Governor Decatur 9043 498 8495 497 0.055070220059714695 0.05850500294290759 8.46781550033

GA005365

1415e-13
Lieutenant Governor Dodge 7051 477 6603 468 0.06764997872642178 0.0708768741481145 3.855428784205159
e-06
Lieutenant Governor Dooly 3793 312 3597 308 0.08225678882151331 0.0856269113149847 0.000369678656833
16676
Lieutenant Governor Dougherty 31297 2043 29353 2021 0.06527782215547816 0.0688515654277246 7.7599128
64954536e-33
Lieutenant Governor Douglas 55197 1894 51964 1874 0.03431345906480425 0.03606342852744208 1.33049764
50251036e-27
Lieutenant Governor Early 4131 192 3658 182 0.04647785039941903 0.04975396391470749 0.00385303859557
69424
Lieutenant Governor Echols 1143 72 1108 72 0.06299212598425197 0.06498194945848375 0.197934412738428
88
Lieutenant Governor Effingham 23365 951 22615 941 0.04070190455809972 0.04160955118284325 1.82246779
2925356e-05
Lieutenant Governor Elbert 7319 364 6756 362 0.0497335701598579 0.05358200118413262 1.10959042218242
58e-10
Lieutenant Governor Emanuel 7710 483 7320 475 0.06264591439688716 0.06489071038251366 0.000112312986
19889423
Lieutenant Governor Evans 3447 223 3248 221 0.06469393675659994 0.06804187192118226 0.00026845534065
73045
Lieutenant Governor Fannin 11203 492 10545 492 0.043916807997857715 0.04665718349928876 1.1645457611
639524e-13
Lieutenant Governor Fayette 57962 1572 55315 1551 0.027121217349297816 0.028039410648106302 8.690550
554891577e-13
Lieutenant Governor Floyd 30225 1231 28965 1224 0.04072787427626137 0.04225789746245469 4.1964765718
189426e-15
Lieutenant Governor Forsyth 93239 1990 88811 1961 0.02134300024667789 0.02208059812410625 1.09785513
0574375e-15
Lieutenant Governor Franklin 8149 357 7761 356 0.04380905632592956 0.04587037752866899 7.20188898122
9395e-07
Lieutenant Governor Fulton 421806 16197 403964 15972 0.03839916928635439 0.03953817666920815 1.45554
19638442526e-98
Lieutenant Governor Gilmer 12471 465 11912 465 0.03728650469088285 0.03903626595030222 7.22605898307
9142e-10
Lieutenant Governor Glascock 1300 77 1219 77 0.05923076923076923 0.06316652994257588 0.0120771800270
17223
Lieutenant Governor Glynn 32501 1384 30689 1371 0.04258330512907295 0.044673987422203396 2.486317727
0637067e-20
Lieutenant Governor Gordon 17772 660 17225 651 0.03713706954760297 0.03779390420899855 0.00656854178
2151812
Lieutenant Governor Grady 8356 382 7956 380 0.04571565342269028 0.04776269482151835 2.07064833429035
35e-06

GA005366

Lieutenant Governor Greene 8989 383 8366 375 0.04260763154967182 0.04482428878795123 2.2958769173308
86e-05
Lieutenant Governor Gwinnett 312709 11658 292312 11513 0.03728066669011765 0.03938599852212704 1.399
4629399576086e-176
Lieutenant Governor Habersham 15495 528 14642 519 0.034075508228460796 0.03544597732550198 1.4171177
372774471e-05
Lieutenant Governor Hall 67282 2364 63789 2347 0.03513569751196457 0.0367931775070937 1.602331226297
8497e-34
Lieutenant Governor Hancock 3539 311 3049 295 0.08787793161910144 0.09675303378156773 4.194946355796
26e-07
Lieutenant Governor Haralson 10585 387 10192 386 0.03656117146905999 0.037872841444270014 1.09300924
62154292e-05
Lieutenant Governor Harris 15972 639 15243 630 0.040007513148009016 0.041330446762448333 1.353527416
890236e-05
Lieutenant Governor Hart 9618 456 9178 452 0.047411104179663134 0.04924820222270647 8.51111150656934
6e-06
Lieutenant Governor Heard 4051 159 3773 155 0.039249568007899284 0.041081367611979856 0.024533437659
329672
Lieutenant Governor Henry 98365 4093 93368 4044 0.04161032887714126 0.0433124839345386 2.07824648618
2801e-42
Lieutenant Governor Houston 59158 2332 55968 2306 0.039419858683525474 0.04120211549456833 1.1572732
988905158e-28
Lieutenant Governor Irwin 3556 160 3423 160 0.04499437570303712 0.04674262342974 0.00389033731713447
9
Lieutenant Governor Jackson 26889 904 25870 897 0.03361969578638105 0.034673366834170855 1.600015484
786807e-08
Lieutenant Governor Jasper 5907 227 5486 224 0.03842898256306077 0.04083120670798396 8.4776596591885
38e-05
Lieutenant Governor Jeff Davis 4815 201 4586 198 0.041744548286604365 0.043174880069777585 0.0227873
23594074288
Lieutenant Governor Jefferson 6756 540 6149 531 0.07992895204262877 0.08635550496015612 3.9800612435
590653e-13
Lieutenant Governor Jenkins 2856 165 2668 158 0.05777310924369748 0.05922038980509745 0.271929290013
697
Lieutenant Governor Johnson 3483 228 3247 217 0.06546080964685616 0.0668309208500154 0.2782267775812
352
Lieutenant Governor Jones 12432 494 11648 483 0.03973616473616474 0.04146634615384615 2.879050126170
66e-05
Lieutenant Governor Lamar 7346 408 6942 406 0.05554043016607678 0.058484586574474216 3.2800079549672
923e-08
Lieutenant Governor Lanier 2679 193 2571 191 0.07204180664427025 0.07429015947102295 0.0249280329782
87797
Lieutenant Governor Laurens 18939 1045 17653 1024 0.05517714768467184 0.058007137597009005 5.8807646

GA005367

14422535e-13
Lieutenant Governor Lee 13549 536 13069 529 0.03956011513764854 0.040477465758665544 0.0022382059064
76719
Lieutenant Governor Liberty 15358 648 14408 642 0.04219299387941138 0.04455857856746252 1.0462881031
104879e-11
Lieutenant Governor Lincoln 3967 180 3617 177 0.04537433829089992 0.04893558197401161 9.504773100675
102e-05
Lieutenant Governor Long 3988 195 3819 193 0.04889669007021063 0.05053678973553286 0.017651677668020
054
Lieutenant Governor Lowndes 35212 1577 33322 1552 0.04478586845393616 0.046575835784166617 5.3024197
571485636e-15
Lieutenant Governor Lumpkin 11551 324 11084 323 0.028049519522119298 0.029141104294478526 3.86632785
68148575e-05
Lieutenant Governor Macon 4217 334 3886 330 0.0792032250414987 0.08492022645393721 3.641341478590038
e-08
Lieutenant Governor Madison 11697 516 11170 512 0.04411387535265453 0.04583706356311549 1.0931297889
184002e-06
Lieutenant Governor Marion 2930 219 2689 217 0.07474402730375426 0.08069914466344366 1.5773874571623
568e-06
Lieutenant Governor McDuffie 8792 464 7924 454 0.052775250227479524 0.05729429581019687 3.4328383160
356875e-11
Lieutenant Governor McIntosh 5408 284 4968 278 0.05251479289940828 0.055958132045088566 2.0218421275
230952e-05
Lieutenant Governor Meriwether 8639 496 8156 494 0.05741405255237875 0.0605689063266307 1.7483073782
66469e-10
Lieutenant Governor Miller 2313 163 2181 159 0.07047124945957631 0.07290233837689133 0.0726058909414
2723
Lieutenant Governor Mitchell 7446 516 6882 509 0.0692989524576954 0.07396105783202557 8.634469710268
879e-11
Lieutenant Governor Monroe 12932 621 12236 621 0.04802041447571915 0.05075187969924812 1.00100874889
43323e-15
Lieutenant Governor Montgomery 3528 119 3317 114 0.03373015873015873 0.0343684051854085 0.5469943740
425978
Lieutenant Governor Morgan 9554 398 9144 391 0.04165794431651664 0.04276027996500437 0.0078206467752
18916
Lieutenant Governor Murray 11091 610 10826 604 0.05499954918402308 0.05579161278403843 0.01597215924
4285095
Lieutenant Governor Muscogee 63141 4094 58719 4043 0.06483901110213648 0.06885335240722765 6.4796745
10270547e-72
Lieutenant Governor Newton 43129 1898 40394 1877 0.04400751234668089 0.046467297123335145 1.09764973
87922277e-30
Lieutenant Governor Oconee 20733 507 19802 501 0.024453769353205035 0.025300474699525302 4.237284171
762643e-05

GA005368

Lieutenant Governor Oglethorpe 6484 292 6075 288 0.04503392967304133 0.047407407407407405 6.22006403
0439004e-05
Lieutenant Governor Paulding 61222 2032 57915 2006 0.0331906830877789 0.0346369679703013 8.112218596
994674e-23
Lieutenant Governor Peach 10355 519 9910 511 0.05012071463061323 0.05156407669021191 0.0005915111444
412634
Lieutenant Governor Pickens 13362 451 13140 450 0.03375243227061817 0.03424657534246575 0.0081542322
7632428
Lieutenant Governor Pierce 6883 294 6556 293 0.04271393287810548 0.04469188529591214 1.4457841146303
58e-05
Lieutenant Governor Pike 8534 261 8230 259 0.030583548160299977 0.03147023086269745 0.00801048234551
7312
Lieutenant Governor Polk 12859 674 12412 671 0.05241465121704643 0.05406058652916532 1.4309005546721
282e-07
Lieutenant Governor Pulaski 3622 257 3390 248 0.07095527332965212 0.07315634218289085 0.052194754713
1163
Lieutenant Governor Putnam 9321 299 8723 296 0.03207810320781032 0.03393327983491918 6.3707246267088
47e-06
Lieutenant Governor Quitman 940 100 880 93 0.10638297872340426 0.10568181818181818 0.919681341795930
1
Lieutenant Governor Rabun 7578 249 6735 240 0.03285827395091053 0.03563474387527839 5 2.5608432948429
7e-05
Lieutenant Governor Randolph 2788 219 2475 214 0.07855093256814921 0.08646464646464647 7.10579981815
3909e-07
Lieutenant Governor Richmond 70043 3533 64729 3474 0.05044044372742458 0.05366991611178915 1.8224838
359746595e-58
Lieutenant Governor Rockdale 36600 1385 34747 1379 0.03784153005464481 0.039686879442829594 8.187473
771259368e-24
Lieutenant Governor Schley 1931 73 1835 73 0.03780424650440187 0.03978201634877384 0.044938385779907
02
Lieutenant Governor Screven 5407 339 5136 333 0.06269650453116331 0.06483644859813084 0.002652991980
636498
Lieutenant Governor Seminole 3214 157 2950 151 0.04884878655880523 0.0511864406779661 0.041348878570
01833
Lieutenant Governor Spalding 24385 1155 23102 1137 0.04736518351445561 0.049216518050385245 4.511771
489746432e-11
Lieutenant Governor Stephens 9069 322 8533 312 0.03550556841989194 0.03656392827844838 0.02851725500
7494285
Lieutenant Governor Stewart 1784 207 1551 204 0.11603139013452915 0.13152804642166344 5.999292501161
934e-10
Lieutenant Governor Sumter 10556 526 9679 511 0.049829480863963625 0.05279471019733443 5 2.4239787494
43163e-07
Lieutenant Governor Talbot 2952 248 2667 230 0.08401084010840108 0.08623922009748781 0.2157628055432

GA005369

1856
Lieutenant Governor Taliaferro 917 112 755 101 0.12213740458015267 0.1337748344370861 0.021627247602
774253
Lieutenant Governor Tattnall 6639 386 6247 383 0.05814128633830396 0.06130942852569233 1.91932223701
5416e-07
Lieutenant Governor Taylor 3265 197 3022 196 0.06033690658499234 0.06485771012574454 5.2762312711658
73e-06
Lieutenant Governor Telfair 3631 235 3300 233 0.06472046268245663 0.0706060606060606 5.4805898545713
995e-08
Lieutenant Governor Terrell 3930 239 3603 233 0.06081424936386769 0.06466833194560088 0.000252417579
2445041
Lieutenant Governor Thomas 17241 935 16250 930 0.054231193086247895 0.05723076923076923 2.6515172284
892555e-18
Lieutenant Governor Tift 13669 773 13108 763 0.05655132050625503 0.05820872749465975 6.1892287022707
45e-06
Lieutenant Governor Toombs 8851 388 8170 378 0.04383685459270139 0.04626682986536108 2.0451887838079
66e-05
Lieutenant Governor Towns 6132 223 5848 221 0.036366601435094584 0.0377906976744186 0.00313852224520
41126
Lieutenant Governor Treutlen 2610 122 2464 116 0.04674329501915709 0.04707792207792208 0.93929777065
79292
Lieutenant Governor Troup 23663 1141 22399 1124 0.048218738114355744 0.050180811643376934 1.02437715
26453657e-11
Lieutenant Governor Turner 3269 269 3121 269 0.08228816151728358 0.08619032361422621 4.4438722627993
275e-06
Lieutenant Governor Twiggs 3787 303 3512 301 0.08001056245048851 0.08570615034168565 3.1877502173491
14e-08
Lieutenant Governor Union 11863 406 11381 402 0.03422405799544803 0.035322027941305685 0.00037415637
71868086
Lieutenant Governor Upson 10558 554 9956 545 0.05247205910210267 0.0547408597830454 1.73404993523472
26e-06
Lieutenant Governor Walker 21451 1117 20638 1112 0.05207216446785698 0.05388119003779436 2.190193807
649259e-13
Lieutenant Governor Walton 38635 1444 36866 1431 0.03737543678012165 0.03881625345847122 5.422457677
843359e-16
Lieutenant Governor Ware 11004 522 10377 518 0.04743729552889858 0.04991808807940638 2.6039409576111
68e-09
Lieutenant Governor Warren 2260 156 2073 154 0.06902654867256637 0.0742884708152436 0.00022124924231
213932
Lieutenant Governor Washington 8159 561 7432 544 0.06875842627773011 0.07319698600645856 1.800306751
23674e-08
Lieutenant Governor Wayne 10122 430 9621 429 0.04248172297964829 0.044589959463673216 9.930698663098
321e-09

GA005370

Lieutenant Governor Webster 1100 62 948 60 0.056363636363636366 0.06329113924050633 0.00991630686830
704
Lieutenant Governor Wheeler 1927 122 1812 122 0.0633108458744162 0.0673289183222958 0.00085186735364
51835
Lieutenant Governor White 11434 309 10897 307 0.027024663284939653 0.028172891621547215 7.8944370114
90734e-05
Lieutenant Governor Whitfield 27302 1489 26285 1476 0.05453812907479306 0.0561536998287997 3.2515413
294185368e-12
Lieutenant Governor Wilcox 2815 164 2610 157 0.05825932504440497 0.06015325670498084 0.1552379603499
0625
Lieutenant Governor Wilkes 4371 274 4070 268 0.0626858842370167 0.06584766584766585 0.00059755758832
92772
Lieutenant Governor Wilkinson 4264 281 3994 279 0.06590056285178236 0.0698547821732599 2.47265762862
2504e-06
Lieutenant Governor Worth 7831 419 7526 415 0.05350529945090027 0.05514217379750199 0.00039348404523
362115
Secretary Of State Appling 6806 140 6276 130 0.020570085218924478 0.0207138304652645 0.9322432634703
502
Secretary Of State Atkinson 2520 91 2432 91 0.03611111111111111 0.03741776315789474 0.07414629418567
2
Secretary Of State Bacon 3829 101 3655 98 0.02637764429354923 0.02681258549931600 5 0.635782787035308
8
Secretary Of State Baker 1291 56 1150 49 0.043377226955848176 0.042608695652173914 0.826584776020734
8
Secretary Of State Baldwin 15633 307 14475 295 0.019637945371969553 0.020379965457685664 0.015841574
871074335
Secretary Of State Banks 6852 107 6629 106 0.01561587857559836 6 0.01599034545180268 5 0.2627169960746
234
Secretary Of State Barrow 27398 285 26135 271 0.010402219140083218 0.010369236655825521 0.8850377042
713522
Secretary Of State Bartow 37327 634 35759 622 0.01698502424518445 0.01739422243351324 0.001939512136
029006
Secretary Of State Ben Hill 5533 87 5213 84 0.015723838785469003 0.01611356224822559 0.5000851195147
797
Secretary Of State Berrien 6247 129 5925 124 0.020649911957739714 0.020928270042194094 0.67837844078
0981
Secretary Of State Bibb 60460 897 55999 866 0.014836255375454846 0.015464561867176199 9.064081253868
293e-07
Secretary Of State Bleckley 4855 124 4598 120 0.02554067971163749 0.02609830361026533 0.410921103842
6934
Secretary Of State Brantley 5689 126 5501 124 0.02214800492177887 0.02254135611706962 3 0.41391502498
46568
Secretary Of State Brooks 5696 154 5231 143 0.027036516853932584 0.027337029248709614 0.776808121216

GA005371

839
Secretary Of State Bryan 14970 157 14388 153 0.010487641950567802 0.010633861551292744 0.52918183363
62674
Secretary Of State Bulloch 23543 297 22467 280 0.012615214713502952 0.012462723104998442 0.404947060
42917417
Secretary Of State Burke 8719 80 7751 75 0.009175364147264595 0.009676170816668817 0.215553798216950
64
Secretary Of State Butts 8863 148 8483 141 0.016698634773778632 0.016621478250618885 0.9012343510351
347
Secretary Of State Calhoun 1899 40 1714 37 0.021063717746182202 0.021586931155192533 0.8857027787115
807
Secretary Of State Camden 17053 238 15860 230 0.01395648859438222 0.014501891551071878 0.02473377835
6494665
Secretary Of State Candler 3538 51 3418 50 0.014414923685698135 0.014628437682855471 0.9572156599684
054
Secretary Of State Carroll 41739 508 40221 495 0.012170871367306357 0.012307003803982993 0.228023827
02090762
Secretary Of State Catoosa 23725 367 23185 360 0.015468914646996838 0.015527280569333621 0.802744277
5915851
Secretary Of State Charlton 3369 79 3206 75 0.023449094686850698 0.023393636930754833 1.074248308237
487
Secretary Of State Chatham 103338 1333 97161 1218 0.012899417445663744 0.012535894031555871 0.000126
57508705159594
Secretary Of State Chattahoochee 1102 29 1067 29 0.02631578947368421 0.02717900656044986 0.774800023
8359634
Secretary Of State Chattooga 7427 180 7202 177 0.0242358960549347 0.02457650652596501 0.398540454402
43435
Secretary Of State Cherokee 106299 1093 100987 1050 0.010282316860930018 0.01039737788032123 0.11310
589535338755
Secretary Of State Clarke 43261 603 40239 554 0.013938651441251936 0.013767737766843112 0.3050457024
568224
Secretary Of State Clay 1186 30 1043 26 0.025295109612141653 0.024928092042186004 0.9965336532130891
Secretary Of State Clayton 91840 1334 85993 1254 0.014525261324041812 0.014582582303210726 0.6253570
705799759
Secretary Of State Clinch 2254 87 2145 86 0.0385980479148181 0.04009324009324009 0.1377909353373995
Secretary Of State Cobb 310381 2962 284480 2649 0.0095431099197438 0.009311726659167604 2.5113849324
131564e-05
Secretary Of State Coffee 12595 277 12200 274 0.02199285430726479 0.022459016393442624 0.04741006411
1872124
Secretary Of State Colquitt 12953 315 12439 310 0.024318690650814483 0.024921617493367635 0.02527696
7006223092
Secretary Of State Columbia 61591 503 58655 466 0.008166777613612378 0.007944761742391953 0.01308315
765786439

GA005372

Secretary Of State Cook 5803 75 5559 73 0.012924349474409787 0.01313185824788631 0.7657029509217086
Secretary Of State Coweta 58056 656 55244 638 0.011299435028248588 0.01154876547679386 0.00949560296
1292421
Secretary Of State Crawford 4931 121 4697 116 0.024538633137294666 0.024696614860549287 0.9669186493
902352
Secretary Of State Crisp 7024 211 6661 200 0.03003986332574032 0.030025521693439423 1.06633479499441
64
Secretary Of State Dade 5450 159 5321 153 0.029174311926605506 0.02875399361022364 0.34828490797157
Secretary Of State Dawson 11570 130 11131 124 0.011235955056179775 0.011140059293863984 0.7437006256
470964
Secretary Of State DeKalb 310968 4655 291296 4257 0.01496938591752206 0.014614000878831154 2.4430495
094698883e-09
Secretary Of State Decatur 9043 217 8495 206 0.023996461351321465 0.024249558563861094 0.65767174869
40105
Secretary Of State Dodge 7051 228 6603 214 0.03233583888810098 0.03240951082841133 1.033195676076569
5
Secretary Of State Dooly 3793 126 3597 121 0.03321908779330345 0.03363914373088685 0.715911536787343
2
Secretary Of State Dougherty 31297 531 29353 513 0.016966482410454675 0.017476918883930093 0.0048250
70781071225
Secretary Of State Douglas 55197 557 51964 532 0.010091128141022157 0.010237856977907783 0.189224711
42592734
Secretary Of State Early 4131 72 3658 62 0.017429193899782137 0.01694915254237288 0.6136830125700878
Secretary Of State Echols 1143 36 1108 36 0.031496062992125984 0.032490974729241874 0.64131691462240
94
Secretary Of State Effingham 23365 195 22615 188 0.008345816392039376 0.008313066548750829 0.8722052
542995543
Secretary Of State Elbert 7319 146 6756 142 0.019948080338844106 0.021018354055654234 0.020038483261
209838
Secretary Of State Emanuel 7710 132 7320 127 0.017120622568093387 0.017349726775956285 0.67151853815
9245
Secretary Of State Evans 3447 63 3248 62 0.018276762402088774 0.019088669950738917 0.224937426477655
77
Secretary Of State Fannin 11203 203 10545 196 0.018120146389359992 0.018587008060692273 0.1687488450
786469
Secretary Of State Fayette 57962 685 55315 655 0.011818087712639315 0.011841272710837928 0.906724939
4162052
Secretary Of State Floyd 30225 475 28965 460 0.015715467328370553 0.015881235974451926 0.31890965321
50855
Secretary Of State Forsyth 93239 925 88811 875 0.009920741320691985 0.009852383150735833 0.383374472
80022063
Secretary Of State Franklin 8149 140 7761 134 0.01718002208859983 0.017265816260791135 0.99442902938
9102

GA005373

Secretary Of State Fulton 421806 6426 403964 6094 0.01523449168575127 0.015085502668554624 0.0002957
5928021808754
Secretary Of State Gilmer 12471 210 11912 204 0.016839066634592254 0.01712558764271323 0.32661213673
58829
Secretary Of State Glascock 1300 46 1219 45 0.03538461538461538 0.03691550451189499 0.41000530621600
03
Secretary Of State Glynn 32501 465 30689 450 0.014307252084551244 0.01466323438365538 0.024376489077
44263
Secretary Of State Gordon 17772 318 17225 308 0.01789331532748143 0.01788098693759071 1.035388978527
4488
Secretary Of State Grady 8356 146 7956 141 0.017472474868358068 0.017722473604826545 0.5872555996534
752
Secretary Of State Greene 8989 103 8366 93 0.011458449215708088 0.011116423619411906 0.3509551393223
7843
Secretary Of State Gwinnett 312709 3210 292312 3003 0.010265134677927402 0.010273269657078738 0.9006
085547372067
Secretary Of State Habersham 15495 272 14642 259 0.0175540496934495 0.017688840322360334 0.717361438
0836293
Secretary Of State Hall 67282 937 63789 904 0.01392645878541066 0.014171722397278527 0.0188365002513
29668
Secretary Of State Hancock 3539 94 3049 81 0.026561175473297543 0.026566087241718595 1.0966821423178
72
Secretary Of State Haralson 10585 142 10192 139 0.013415210203117619 0.013638147566718996 0.44319445
19840879
Secretary Of State Harris 15972 216 15243 210 0.013523666416228399 0.013776815587482779 0.2632492879
7741143
Secretary Of State Hart 9618 222 9178 215 0.023081721771678103 0.023425582915667903 0.39406598101611
207
Secretary Of State Heard 4051 76 3773 69 0.018760799802517897 0.018287834614365228 0.528621529500135
7
Secretary Of State Henry 98365 1090 93368 1022 0.01108117724800488 0.010945934367235026 0.0991479043
1672615
Secretary Of State Houston 59158 682 55968 649 0.011528449237634809 0.011595911949685534 0.588292528
524563
Secretary Of State Irwin 3556 73 3423 73 0.020528683914510685 0.02132632193981887 0.1201930696629282
Secretary Of State Jackson 26889 295 25870 286 0.010971029045334523 0.011055276381909548 0.631335166
2937055
Secretary Of State Jasper 5907 91 5486 85 0.015405451159641103 0.015493984688297484 1.05087422093164
4
Secretary Of State Jeff Davis 4815 158 4586 152 0.0328141225337487 0.033144352376798955 0.7354387237
632991
Secretary Of State Jefferson 6756 155 6149 144 0.02294256956779159 0.023418442023093185 0.5031651132
629095

GA005374

Secretary Of State Jenkins 2856 50 2668 48 0.01750700280112045 0.017991004497751123 0.70011761406120
3
Secretary Of State Johnson 3483 112 3247 104 0.03215618719494689 0.032029565753002774 0.985245915187
9734
Secretary Of State Jones 12432 176 11648 165 0.014157014157014158 0.014165521978021978 1.11409091322
85801
Secretary Of State Lamar 7346 104 6942 99 0.014157364552137218 0.014261019878997408 0.97495723110846
24
Secretary Of State Lanier 2679 90 2571 88 0.0335946248600224 0.034227926876701675 0.5742435747364885
Secretary Of State Laurens 18939 382 17653 355 0.020170019536406358 0.020109896334900585 0.885996955
333664
Secretary Of State Lee 13549 154 13069 151 0.011366152483578125 0.011554059224118143 0.4001107856987
1117
Secretary Of State Liberty 15358 163 14408 155 0.01061336111472848 0.010757912270960578 0.6299414845
544223
Secretary Of State Lincoln 3967 69 3617 65 0.01739349634484497 0.017970693945258503 0.51725704749227
81
Secretary Of State Long 3988 70 3819 69 0.017552657973921765 0.018067556952081697 0.3903045191683537
4
Secretary Of State Lowndes 35212 496 33322 466 0.014086107008974212 0.013984754816637657 0.550762398
9728935
Secretary Of State Lumpkin 11551 142 11084 137 0.012293307938706605 0.012360158787441356 0.969669881
7065832
Secretary Of State Macon 4217 155 3886 149 0.036755987668958975 0.03834276891405044 0.06739790146318
843
Secretary Of State Madison 11697 173 11170 169 0.014790117124048902 0.01512981199641898 0.2096126826
548903
Secretary Of State Marion 2930 86 2689 82 0.029351535836177476 0.030494607660840462 0.30188111992384
153
Secretary Of State McDuffie 8792 130 7924 120 0.014786169244767972 0.01514386673397274 0.50226900428
01627
Secretary Of State McIntosh 5408 92 4968 88 0.017011834319526627 0.017713365539452495 0.240752324476
87583
Secretary Of State Meriwether 8639 171 8156 166 0.019793957633985414 0.020353114271701816 0.15514326
741648682
Secretary Of State Miller 2313 106 2181 101 0.04582792909641158 0.04630903255387437 0.85807886321809
62
Secretary Of State Mitchell 7446 139 6882 130 0.018667741069030352 0.01888985759953502 0.76999362943
17602
Secretary Of State Monroe 12932 185 12236 185 0.014305598515310857 0.015119320039228506 6.6580031288
35017e-05
Secretary Of State Montgomery 3528 87 3317 82 0.02465986394557823 0.02472113355441664 1.157944949319
5717

GA005375

Secretary Of State Morgan 9554 154 9144 144 0.016118903077245134 0.015748031496062992 0.2513252074271596
Secretary Of State Murray 11091 256 10826 247 0.023081778018212967 0.022815444300757437 0.32036524520676857
Secretary Of State Muscogee 63141 1051 58719 982 0.016645285947324243 0.016723718046969465 0.6258184215354338
Secretary Of State Newton 43129 589 40394 552 0.013656704305687589 0.013665395850868942 1.039475587979258
Secretary Of State Oconee 20733 244 19802 229 0.011768677953021753 0.011564488435511564 0.27290702867537564
Secretary Of State Oglethorpe 6484 97 6075 94 0.014959901295496607 0.015473251028806584 0.2611822596309565
Secretary Of State Paulding 61222 726 57915 685 0.011858482244944627 0.011827678494345161 0.8142831929246026
Secretary Of State Peach 10355 172 9910 167 0.016610333172380493 0.016851664984863773 0.49060808679625384
Secretary Of State Pickens 13362 194 13140 191 0.014518784613081873 0.014535768645357687 1.1932336936648675
Secretary Of State Pierce 6883 97 6556 95 0.01409269214005521 0.014490543014032947 0.30636097679376234
Secretary Of State Pike 8534 104 8230 101 0.012186547925943286 0.01227217496962333 0.9792643835611163
Secretary Of State Polk 12859 234 12412 230 0.018197371490784663 0.01853045439896874 0.17271058692974778
Secretary Of State Pulaski 3622 141 3390 132 0.038928768636112644 0.03893805309734513 1.1112084677091894
Secretary Of State Putnam 9321 124 8723 121 0.013303293638021671 0.013871374527112233 0.07623416296365573
Secretary Of State Quitman 940 47 880 39 0.05 0.04431818181818182 0.0149636820006975
Secretary Of State Rabun 7578 127 6735 114 0.01675903932435999 0.01692650334075723 7 0.8858944379026268
Secretary Of State Randolph 2788 89 2475 81 0.031922525107604016 0.03272727272727273 0.6333106678620939
Secretary Of State Richmond 70043 653 64729 597 0.00932284453835501 0.009223068485531987 0.37370316948385573
Secretary Of State Rockdale 36600 438 34747 417 0.011967213114754098 0.012001036060667108 0.9073862986781533
Secretary Of State Schley 1931 55 1835 55 0.02848265147591921 0.02997275204359673 0.11619060019171064
Secretary Of State Screven 5407 93 5136 86 0.01719992602182356 0.01674454828660436 0.3675541762310156
Secretary Of State Seminole 3214 62 2950 56 0.019290603609209707 0.018983050847457626 0.8018490191674059

GA005376

Secretary Of State Spalding 24385 458 23102 434 0.018782038138199713 0.018786252272530515 1.07653225
7292488
Secretary Of State Stephens 9069 166 8533 159 0.018304112912118205 0.018633540372670808 0.4542660493
9895293
Secretary Of State Stewart 1784 107 1551 100 0.05997757847533632 0.06447453255963895 0.0423880612236
0795
Secretary Of State Sumter 10556 215 9679 195 0.020367563471011745 0.02014670937080277 0.662569108708
8386
Secretary Of State Talbot 2952 118 2667 99 0.03997289972899729 0.03712035995500562 0.032663702304119
07
Secretary Of State Taliaferro 917 52 755 44 0.05670665212649945 0.05827814569536424 0.82410284933431
43
Secretary Of State Tattnall 6639 125 6247 123 0.01882813676758548 0.019689450936449495 0.03752313433
8498854
Secretary Of State Taylor 3265 48 3022 46 0.014701378254211332 0.015221707478491065 0.58946276199061
08
Secretary Of State Telfair 3631 99 3300 93 0.027265216193885982 0.028181818181818183 0.3757141030672
994
Secretary Of State Terrell 3930 85 3603 82 0.021628498727735368 0.022758812101026923 0.1348830099565
9713
Secretary Of State Thomas 17241 359 16250 350 0.02082245809407807 0.021538461538461538 0.00517224338
80905866
Secretary Of State Tift 13669 305 13108 290 0.022313263589143317 0.022123893805309734 0.543828993842
5986
Secretary Of State Toombs 8851 158 8170 147 0.017851090272285618 0.01799265605875153 0.8748190330343
477
Secretary Of State Towns 6132 116 5848 114 0.018917155903457272 0.019493844049247606 0.1784600778870
8088
Secretary Of State Treutlen 2610 97 2464 90 0.0371647509578544 0.036525974025974024 0.59556972068624
Secretary Of State Troup 23663 423 22399 397 0.017876008959134514 0.017724005535961426 0.51403798320
72024
Secretary Of State Turner 3269 89 3121 88 0.027225451208320588 0.02819609099647549 0.163263074522419
9
Secretary Of State Twiggs 3787 99 3512 97 0.026142064959070503 0.027619589977220957 0.04177491883931
587
Secretary Of State Union 11863 188 11381 183 0.015847593357498104 0.016079430629997365 0.43750249428
450155
Secretary Of State Upson 10558 220 9956 212 0.020837279787838607 0.02129369224588188 0.2256877099573
497
Secretary Of State Walker 21451 386 20638 377 0.01799449909095147 0.0182672739606551 0.1537988216299
6392
Secretary Of State Walton 38635 495 36866 477 0.012812216901773003 0.01293875115282374 0.37015022595
088837

GA005377

Secretary Of State Ware 11004 166 10377 158 0.015085423482370047 0.015225980533872988 0.780510009948
1839
Secretary Of State Warren 2260 65 2073 62 0.028761061946902654 0.029908345393150026 0.39923730524874
63
Secretary Of State Washington 8159 215 7432 202 0.026351268537811005 0.027179763186221746 0.15948333
349004856
Secretary Of State Wayne 10122 183 9621 180 0.018079430942501484 0.018709073900841908 0.035036329744
23772
Secretary Of State Webster 1100 37 948 36 0.03363636363636364 0.0379746835443038 0.05290917060967295
Secretary Of State Wheeler 1927 37 1812 37 0.019200830306175403 0.02041942604856512 0.20072784422698
16
Secretary Of State White 11434 149 10897 139 0.013031310127689348 0.012755804349821051 0.32595493662
28245
Secretary Of State Whitfield 27302 501 26285 488 0.018350304007032452 0.0185657218946167 0.210476896
8619194
Secretary Of State Wilcox 2815 72 2610 68 0.02557726465364121 0.026053639846743294 0.776819869068155
4
Secretary Of State Wilkes 4371 122 4070 112 0.027911233127430792 0.027518427518427518 0.660214150289
0568
Secretary Of State Wilkinson 4264 106 3994 102 0.024859287054409006 0.02553830746119179 0.3768065798
080439
Secretary Of State Worth 7831 140 7526 136 0.01787766568765164 0.01807068828062716 0.716650965946788
2
Attorney General Appling 6806 247 6276 236 0.036291507493388185 0.03760356915232632 0.04872733484430
147
Attorney General Atkinson 2520 110 2432 110 0.04365079365079365 0.04523026315789474 0.03668075336405
5095
Attorney General Bacon 3829 130 3655 127 0.03395142334813267 0.0347469220246238 0.29757942142543037
Attorney General Baker 1291 58 1150 50 0.044926413632842756 0.043478260869565216 0.5892267839200642
Attorney General Baldwin 15633 434 14475 419 0.02776178596558562 0.028946459412780658 0.000722056558
078777
Attorney General Banks 6852 148 6629 145 0.021599532983070636 0.02187358575954141 0.5684141559471659
Attorney General Barrow 27398 472 26135 452 0.01722753485655887 0.017294815381672088 0.8039099863281
152
Attorney General Bartow 37327 741 35759 724 0.0198515819647976 0.02024665119270673 0.006818159095813
29
Attorney General Ben Hill 5533 145 5213 137 0.026206397975781674 0.026280452714367925 1.073259755920
6218
Attorney General Berrien 6247 144 5925 140 0.023051064510965263 0.02362869198312236 0.25621390561014
246
Attorney General Bibb 60460 1294 55999 1247 0.021402580218326167 0.022268254790264112 1.619958983241
5098e-08
Attorney General Bleckley 4855 148 4598 144 0.030484037075180225 0.0313179643323184 0.19914278786730

GA005378

688
Attorney General Brantley 5689 143 5501 140 0.025136227808050624 0.025449918196691512 0.595499259126
007
Attorney General Brooks 5696 159 5231 151 0.027914325842696628 0.02886637354234372 0.176088292457565
77
Attorney General Bryan 14970 261 14388 251 0.01743486973947896 0.01744509313316652 8 1.12834505330289
31
Attorney General Bulloch 23543 556 22467 533 0.02361636155120418 0.023723683624872035 0.713435089556
6189
Attorney General Burke 8719 213 7751 205 0.024429407042091983 0.0264482002322281 0.00017320570088471
03
Attorney General Butts 8863 202 8483 196 0.022791379893941102 0.023105033596604974 0.460886535527589
1
Attorney General Calhoun 1899 41 1714 39 0.021590310689836755 0.022753792298716453 0.441912350620422
3
Attorney General Camden 17053 304 15860 291 0.017826775347446196 0.018348045397225725 0.065113847499
85474
Attorney General Candler 3538 95 3418 92 0.026851328434143583 0.026916325336454067 1.192360045425824
5
Attorney General Carroll 41739 754 40221 737 0.018064639785332664 0.01832376121926357 0.040522514626
78218
Attorney General Catoosa 23725 429 23185 418 0.01808219178082192 0.018028897994392928 0.773723329220
1403
Attorney General Charlton 3369 83 3206 81 0.024636390620362124 0.025265127885215222 0.44994177731024
73
Attorney General Chatham 103338 2186 97161 2092 0.021153883373008962 0.021531272835808607 0.00057452
22690792011
Attorney General Chattahoochee 1102 37 1067 37 0.03357531760435572 0.03467666354264293 0.59372689937
67594
Attorney General Chattooga 7427 207 7202 201 0.027871280463174903 0.027908914190502638 1.12329960642
4672
Attorney General Cherokee 106299 1697 100987 1639 0.01596440229917497 0.016229811757949044 0.0019240
914438680768
Attorney General Clarke 43261 959 40239 912 0.0221677723584753 0.022664579139640647 0.00921420465410
043
Attorney General Clay 1186 46 1043 43 0.0387858347386172 0.04122722914669223 0.3465042004226767
Attorney General Clayton 91840 1753 85993 1645 0.019087543554006967 0.019129464026141664 0.768701268
0203873
Attorney General Clinch 2254 95 2145 92 0.04214729370008873 0.042890442890442894 0.6293343971869195
Attorney General Cobb 310381 4188 284480 3819 0.013493093971602643 0.01342449381327334 0.28519514116
790384
Attorney General Coffee 12595 435 12200 431 0.03453751488685986 0.03532786885245902 0.00368688052798
36312

GA005379

Attorney General Colquitt 12953 385 12439 378 0.029722844128773258 0.030388294879009566 0.0257425242
5899527
Attorney General Columbia 61591 848 58655 808 0.013768245360523453 0.013775466712130252 1.0289259329
632725
Attorney General Cook 5803 115 5559 113 0.019817335860761676 0.020327397013851414 0.2620793011304760
6
Attorney General Coweta 58056 908 55244 878 0.015640071654953836 0.01589312866555644 0.0283912044738
92442
Attorney General Crawford 4931 129 4697 125 0.026161022105049687 0.02661273153076432 0.5177983351399
782
Attorney General Crisp 7024 243 6661 233 0.034595671981776766 0.03497973277285693 0.5617022060951884
Attorney General Dade 5450 187 5321 181 0.03431192660550459 0.034016162375493326 0.5630552501225399
Attorney General Dawson 11570 191 11131 189 0.01650821089023336 0.0169796065043572 0.043933516248950
06
Attorney General DeKalb 310968 6110 291296 5697 0.01964832394329963 0.019557426123256068 0.169917455
0027541
Attorney General Decatur 9043 244 8495 235 0.026982196173836116 0.02766333137139494 0.13639457933575
214
Attorney General Dodge 7051 303 6603 286 0.04297262799602893 0.04331364531273663 0.6930149607075318
Attorney General Dooly 3793 132 3597 127 0.03480094911679409 0.03530720044481512 6 0.6267163647915406
Attorney General Dougherty 31297 666 29353 633 0.021279994887688915 0.02156508704391374 0.1958406124
9412743
Attorney General Douglas 55197 802 51964 761 0.01452977516894034 0.014644754060503425 0.410644716801
67197
Attorney General Early 4131 90 3658 76 0.02178649237472767 0.02077638053581192 0.28608533540539854
Attorney General Echols 1143 38 1108 38 0.033245844269466314 0.03429602888086643 0.6013872312479536
Attorney General Effingham 23365 414 22615 401 0.017718810186175903 0.017731594074729164 1.081055707
9416139
Attorney General Elbert 7319 180 6756 176 0.02459352370542424 0.02605091770278271 0.0027310261984498
057
Attorney General Emanuel 7710 267 7320 263 0.03463035019455253 0.03592896174863388 0.003800661665669
5797
Attorney General Evans 3447 128 3248 127 0.03713373948360894 0.03910098522167488 0.00781588039444276
6
Attorney General Fannin 11203 269 10545 261 0.024011425511023834 0.024751066856330012 0.040792576975
99902
Attorney General Fayette 57962 976 55315 923 0.016838618405162004 0.016686251468860165 0.22388369748
67483
Attorney General Floyd 30225 645 28965 628 0.021339950372208438 0.02168133954773002 0.05016913706028
8735
Attorney General Forsyth 93239 1346 88811 1274 0.014436019262325852 0.014345069867471371 0.327353709
8519695
Attorney General Franklin 8149 160 7761 156 0.019634310958399803 0.020100502512562814 0.230512574238

GA005380

1245
Attorney General Fulton 421806 8172 403964 7767 0.019373835365073044 0.01922696081829074 0.001412471
975446134
Attorney General Gilmer 12471 271 11912 267 0.02173041456178334 0.022414372061786435 0.0112455816531
48453
Attorney General Glascock 1300 63 1219 61 0.04846153846153846 0.05004101722723544 0.4654757764207057
6
Attorney General Glynn 32501 638 30689 620 0.019630165225685364 0.020202678484147415 0.0012447476515
066622
Attorney General Gordon 17772 409 17225 395 0.023013729462075173 0.02293178519593614 0.7610397488341
429
Attorney General Grady 8356 168 7956 160 0.020105313547151747 0.020110608345902465 1.115805571270411
Attorney General Greene 8989 136 8366 125 0.015129602847925241 0.014941429595983744 0.68450781938357
72
Attorney General Gwinnett 312709 4861 292312 4452 0.015544803635328692 0.01523030186923561 2.6043575
18696583e-07
Attorney General Habersham 15495 316 14642 303 0.020393675379154565 0.02069389427673815 0.3318610338
594116
Attorney General Hall 67282 1256 63789 1221 0.018667697155256978 0.019141231246766685 3.587421047901
384e-05
Attorney General Hancock 3539 159 3049 139 0.04492794574738627 0.04558871761233191 5 0.73924719840791
48
Attorney General Haralson 10585 196 10192 193 0.018516769012753898 0.018936420722135007 0.1263432290
2088624
Attorney General Harris 15972 275 15243 266 0.01721763085399449 0.01745063307747818 7 0.3779232853507
076
Attorney General Hart 9618 278 9178 269 0.028904138074443753 0.029309217694486817 0.3503130506609658
5
Attorney General Heard 4051 102 3773 97 0.02517896815601086 0.02570898489265836 0.5768382401194749
Attorney General Henry 98365 1551 93368 1474 0.015767803588674835 0.01578699340245052 0.893434617054
4012
Attorney General Houston 59158 928 55968 874 0.015686804827749416 0.015616066323613493 0.60143931767
09136
Attorney General Irwin 3556 89 3423 89 0.025028121484814397 0.026000584282792873 0.06437909987861531
Attorney General Jackson 26889 443 25870 430 0.016475138532485403 0.01662156938538848 0.415893552249
20066
Attorney General Jasper 5907 117 5486 111 0.019807008633824275 0.02023332118118848 0.523044537055168
1
Attorney General Jeff Davis 4815 218 4586 208 0.04527518172377985 0.0453554295682512 1.0701164327212
616
Attorney General Jefferson 6756 271 6149 257 0.04011249259917111 0.04179541388843715 0.0239684056066
9091
Attorney General Jenkins 2856 84 2668 83 0.02941176470588235 3 0.03110944527736132 0.0427208688981122

GA005381

7
Attorney General Johnson 3483 134 3247 123 0.03847258110824002 0.03788112103480135 0.594165259321554
1
Attorney General Jones 12432 241 11648 232 0.019385456885456884 0.019917582417582416 0.1121970132646
8917
Attorney General Lamar 7346 144 6942 140 0.019602504764497687 0.020167098818784212 0.191221748419795
7
Attorney General Lanier 2679 112 2571 109 0.04180664427025009 0.042395954881369116 0.658263936765225
Attorney General Laurens 18939 556 17653 529 0.02935741063414119 0.029966577918767348 0.070157158536
02318
Attorney General Lee 13549 227 13069 221 0.016754003985533988 0.0169102456194047 0.6034941683331754
Attorney General Liberty 15358 272 14408 263 0.01771063940617268 0.018253747917823433 0.048303126630
44606
Attorney General Lincoln 3967 93 3617 87 0.02344340811696496 0.024053082665192148 0.5482848157750817
Attorney General Long 3988 140 3819 138 0.03510531594784353 0.03613511390416339 0.11604512819830967
Attorney General Lowndes 35212 584 33322 554 0.016585255026695445 0.016625652721925455 0.89621897938
56914
Attorney General Lumpkin 11551 210 11084 206 0.018180244134706952 0.01858534824972934 0.137954884477
67154
Attorney General Macon 4217 179 3886 174 0.04244723737253972 0.04477611940298507 0.00718045288126518
2
Attorney General Madison 11697 287 11170 281 0.024536205864751647 0.02515666965085049 0.046552949178
765624
Attorney General Marion 2930 88 2689 87 0.030034129692832763 0.03235403495723317 0.00851502846172361
5
Attorney General McDuffie 8792 184 7924 170 0.020928116469517744 0.021453811206461382 0.362193630013
45595
Attorney General McIntosh 5408 138 4968 131 0.02551775147928994 0.026368760064412237 0.2309865659260
501
Attorney General Meriwether 8639 209 8156 203 0.024192614885982174 0.024889651790093184 0.0956600284
713968
Attorney General Miller 2313 99 2181 95 0.042801556420233464 0.04355800091701054 0.6441323613575832
Attorney General Mitchell 7446 155 6882 149 0.020816545796400753 0.02165068294100552 0.0899480430194
3635
Attorney General Monroe 12932 297 12236 293 0.022966285184039592 0.02394573389996731 0.0005594759633
353849
Attorney General Montgomery 3528 131 3317 123 0.03713151927437642 0.03708170033162496 6 1.05914635994
36135
Attorney General Morgan 9554 198 9144 189 0.020724303956458027 0.02066929133858268 0.957278436237976
Attorney General Murray 11091 340 10826 332 0.030655486430439093 0.03066691298725291 1.1368466481157
062
Attorney General Muscogee 63141 1243 58719 1190 0.01968609936491345 0.020266012704576033 5.943603969
048102e-05

GA005382

Attorney General Newton 43129 818 40394 783 0.01896635674372232 0.01938406694063475 0.01304391038909
3296
Attorney General Oconee 20733 354 19802 342 0.017074229489220084 0.01727098272901727 0.3835163049536
884
Attorney General Oglethorpe 6484 155 6075 151 0.02390499691548427 0.024855967078189302 0.05697881751
2602684
Attorney General Paulding 61222 962 57915 915 0.01571330567443076 0.015799015799015798 0.52903303781
56441
Attorney General Peach 10355 213 9910 206 0.020569773056494448 0.020787083753784057 0.59668515244496
27
Attorney General Pickens 13362 281 13140 279 0.0210297859601856 0.021232876712328767 0.3006655052601
576
Attorney General Pierce 6883 107 6556 107 0.015545546999854715 0.0163209273947529 0.0104987450690404
65
Attorney General Pike 8534 139 8230 136 0.016287790016404968 0.01652490886998785 0.5291332944469982
Attorney General Polk 12859 291 12412 287 0.02263006454623221 0.023122784402191426 0.048235500216253
28
Attorney General Pulaski 3622 155 3390 147 0.04279403644395362 0.04336283185840708 0.657678294564616
7
Attorney General Putnam 9321 182 8723 178 0.019525801952580194 0.02040582368451221 0.015055711533965
29
Attorney General Quitman 940 55 880 48 0.05851063829787234 0.05454545454545454 0.10823179688226546
Attorney General Rabun 7578 162 6735 145 0.021377672209026127 0.021529324424647365 0.919973467065351
Attorney General Randolph 2788 99 2475 91 0.03550932568149211 0.03676767676767677 0.4026619810810966
Attorney General Richmond 70043 1440 64729 1328 0.020558799594534785 0.020516306446878525 0.81093659
30875038
Attorney General Rockdale 36600 606 34747 575 0.016557377049180328 0.016548191210752006 1.0052771555
1755
Attorney General Schley 1931 59 1835 59 0.030554117037804248 0.032152588555858314 0.0941552758101405
6
Attorney General Screven 5407 189 5136 179 0.03495468836693175 0.03485202492211838 0.954402434099664
4
Attorney General Seminole 3214 68 2950 63 0.021157436216552583 0.02135593220338983 1.018200852585284
1
Attorney General Spalding 24385 606 23102 578 0.024851343038753332 0.02501947883300147 0.54358371597
40328
Attorney General Stephens 9069 208 8533 199 0.022935274010364978 0.023321223485292395 0.413058774707
2856
Attorney General Stewart 1784 137 1551 124 0.07679372197309417 0.07994842037395229 0.241536683050250
03
Attorney General Sumter 10556 265 9679 240 0.025104206138688898 0.024795949994834178 0.5617955915707
189
Attorney General Talbot 2952 124 2667 103 0.04200542005420054 0.0386201724784402 0.0133183535737546 2

GA005383

7
Attorney General Taliaferro 917 75 755 64 0.08178844056706652 0.0847682119205298 0.5946586156733218
Attorney General Tattnall 6639 215 6247 210 0.03238439524024703 0.03361613574515768 0.02058405152648
571
Attorney General Taylor 3265 85 3022 81 0.026033690658499236 0.026803441429516878 0.4588987043796040
6
Attorney General Telfair 3631 141 3300 136 0.03883227760947398 0.041212121212121214 0.01647736823146
0916
Attorney General Terrell 3930 113 3603 108 0.02875318066157761 0.02997502081598668 0.161803955453160
7
Attorney General Thomas 17241 392 16250 375 0.022736500203004467 0.023076923076923078 0.265409485571
4124
Attorney General Tift 13669 389 13108 373 0.028458555856317215 0.028455904790967348 1.06635629300984
25
Attorney General Toombs 8851 238 8170 223 0.026889616992430233 0.02729498164014688 0.499066426685503
83
Attorney General Towns 6132 150 5848 148 0.02446183953033268 0.0253077975376197 0.05376747118609046
Attorney General Treutlen 2610 123 2464 116 0.047126436781609195 0.04707792207792208 1.0785782063006
966
Attorney General Troup 23663 510 22399 488 0.021552634915268563 0.02178668690566543 0.34622695542788
37
Attorney General Turner 3269 97 3121 97 0.02967268277760783 0.031079782121115028 0.02086128238227377
3
Attorney General Twiggs 3787 125 3512 123 0.03300765777660417 0.03502277904328018 0.0085043116641639
57
Attorney General Union 11863 258 11381 251 0.021748293011885696 0.02205430102802917 0.34271120997859
2
Attorney General Upson 10558 274 9956 269 0.02595188482667172 0.027018883085576536 0.002605107874176
5182
Attorney General Walker 21451 504 20638 491 0.023495408139480676 0.023791065025680784 0.175499285465
23608
Attorney General Walton 38635 736 36866 715 0.019050084120616022 0.019394564096999946 0.022024659665
033974
Attorney General Ware 11004 228 10377 220 0.020719738276990186 0.021200732388937073 0.18247194244604
256
Attorney General Warren 2260 79 2073 77 0.034955752212389384 0.0371442354076218 0.0677723411705862
Attorney General Washington 8159 317 7432 295 0.03885280058830739 0.03969321851453175 0.243627089854
90328
Attorney General Wayne 10122 239 9621 236 0.023611934400316142 0.024529674669992726 0.00403141302262
8526
Attorney General Webster 1100 39 948 36 0.035454545454545454 0.0379746835443038 0.37757826341280387
Attorney General Wheeler 1927 70 1812 70 0.03632589517384536 0.03863134657836645 0.02482898603026193
6

GA005384

Attorney General White 11434 185 10897 182 0.016179814588070666 0.01670184454437001 0.04637346651149
586
Attorney General Whitfield 27302 739 26285 721 0.027067614094205553 0.027430093209054592 0.063860591
49077503
Attorney General Wilcox 2815 81 2610 74 0.028774422735346358 0.028352490421455937 0.7525989067070893
Attorney General Wilkes 4371 162 4070 153 0.03706245710363761 0.037592137592137594 0.622838678347312
Attorney General Wilkinson 4264 147 3994 137 0.03447467166979362 0.034301452178267404 0.909992811656
196
Attorney General Worth 7831 206 7526 201 0.02630570808325884 0.026707414297103375 0.3601348339862060
5
Commissioner Of Agriculture Appling 6806 232 6276 219 0.034087569791360565 0.03489483747609943 0.249
68664564419105
Commissioner Of Agriculture Atkinson 2520 117 2432 116 0.04642857142857143 0.047697368421052634 0.15
390622331289305
Commissioner Of Agriculture Bacon 3829 116 3655 114 0.030295116218333768 0.03119015047879617 0.18953
104714338895
Commissioner Of Agriculture Baker 1291 51 1150 44 0.039504260263361735 0.03826086956521739 0.6378685
664111738
Commissioner Of Agriculture Baldwin 15633 498 14475 481 0.03185568988677797 0.03322970639032815 0.00
022088340366465496
Commissioner Of Agriculture Banks 6852 95 6629 95 0.01386456509048453 0.0143309699803892 0.084398166
26460374
Commissioner Of Agriculture Barrow 27398 560 26135 543 0.020439448134900357 0.0207767361775397 0.078
41286106461458
Commissioner Of Agriculture Bartow 37327 631 35759 606 0.016904653467999034 0.016946782628149557 0.8
628226347627213
Commissioner Of Agriculture Ben Hill 5533 106 5213 101 0.01915778058919212 0.019374640322271246 0.83
5938108176268
Commissioner Of Agriculture Berrien 6247 159 5925 156 0.02545221706419081 0.026329113924050632 0.064
34136806679289
Commissioner Of Agriculture Bibb 60460 1563 55999 1484 0.025851802844856102 0.02650047322273612 0.00
022681629140254586
Commissioner Of Agriculture Bleckley 4855 144 4598 137 0.029660144181256436 0.029795563288386256 1.0
060277867655332
Commissioner Of Agriculture Brantley 5689 154 5501 151 0.027069783793285288 0.027449554626431557 0.4
882857904111592
Commissioner Of Agriculture Brooks 5696 139 5231 128 0.02440308988764045 0.02446950869814567 1.07147
24009847922
Commissioner Of Agriculture Bryan 14970 344 14388 333 0.022979291917167667 0.023144286905754794 0.61
84128104955599
Commissioner Of Agriculture Bulloch 23543 608 22467 581 0.025825086012827594 0.02586015044287177 0.9
774441529999084
Commissioner Of Agriculture Burke 8719 271 7751 261 0.03108154604885814 0.03367307444200748 1.43720

GA005385

66354272987e-05
Commissioner Of Agriculture Butts 8863 231 8483 220 0.026063409680695024 0.02593422138394436 0.80887
00688088121
Commissioner Of Agriculture Calhoun 1899 57 1714 55 0.030015797788309637 0.03208868144690782 0.14342
75268303178
Commissioner Of Agriculture Camden 17053 388 15860 371 0.022752594851345804 0.0233921815889029 0.041
83341438542312
Commissioner Of Agriculture Candler 3538 96 3418 93 0.027133973996608253 0.027208894090111176 1.1768
20049514236
Commissioner Of Agriculture Carroll 41739 902 40221 872 0.02161048419942979 0.02168021680216802 0.69
50096317749289
Commissioner Of Agriculture Catoosa 23725 460 23185 455 0.01938883034773446 0.019624757386241105 0.0
9574073411207201
Commissioner Of Agriculture Charlton 3369 113 3206 108 0.03354111012169783 0.03368683718028696 1.062
6200994551414
Commissioner Of Agriculture Chatham 103338 2940 97161 2812 0.02845032804970098 0.028941653544117495
0.00010063079462759811
Commissioner Of Agriculture Chattahoochee 1102 50 1067 50 0.045372050816696916 0.046860356138706656
0.38356257913487607
Commissioner Of Agriculture Chattooga 7427 197 7202 196 0.026524841793456308 0.027214662593723966 0.
03148077099971497
Commissioner Of Agriculture Cherokee 106299 2294 100987 2182 0.0215806357538641 0.021606741461772307
0.8465004498011864
Commissioner Of Agriculture Clarke 43261 1166 40239 1112 0.026952682554725965 0.027634881582544298
0.0009505378477376474
Commissioner Of Agriculture Clay 1186 52 1043 49 0.04384485666104553 0.04697986577181208 0.215113927
46270938
Commissioner Of Agriculture Clayton 91840 2186 85993 2058 0.02380226480836237 0.02393218052632191 0.
34462063512036245
Commissioner Of Agriculture Clinch 2254 79 2145 79 0.035048802129547474 0.03682983682983683 0.037112
75088413487
Commissioner Of Agriculture Cobb 310381 6452 284480 5959 0.020787354896079333 0.02094699100112486 0.
039092837306397135
Commissioner Of Agriculture Coffee 12595 406 12200 399 0.03223501389440254 0.032704918032786884 0.11
258665942748317
Commissioner Of Agriculture Colquitt 12953 415 12439 407 0.0320389099050413 0.03271967199935686 0.02
848015309767467
Commissioner Of Agriculture Columbia 61591 1185 58655 1120 0.019239824000259777 0.019094706333645895
0.27151964534538564
Commissioner Of Agriculture Cook 5803 115 5559 112 0.019817335860761676 0.020147508544702285 0.56053
76863768651
Commissioner Of Agriculture Coweta 58056 1219 55244 1179 0.020996968444260713 0.021341684164796176
0.00877421896725535

GA005386

Commissioner Of Agriculture Crawford 4931 150 4697 146 0.03041979314540661 0.031083670427932725 0.30
372564265169194
Commissioner Of Agriculture Crisp 7024 249 6661 239 0.0354498861047836 0.03588049842366011 0.5036995
948080151
Commissioner Of Agriculture Dade 5450 203 5321 194 0.03724770642201835 0.03645931215936854 0.1009526
3679240206
Commissioner Of Agriculture Dawson 11570 238 11131 231 0.020570440795159895 0.020752852394214358 0.6
266424983356282
Commissioner Of Agriculture DeKalb 310968 8250 291296 7723 0.026530060970903758 0.026512550807426122
0.828271681415008
Commissioner Of Agriculture Decatur 9043 234 8495 224 0.025876368461793652 0.02636845203060624 0.304
9790610842529
Commissioner Of Agriculture Dodge 7051 310 6603 295 0.043965394979435544 0.0446766621232773 0.316945
17880674433
Commissioner Of Agriculture Dooly 3793 159 3597 155 0.04191932507250198 0.04309146510981374 0.155704
4631871859
Commissioner Of Agriculture Dougherty 31297 857 29353 810 0.02738281624436847 0.02759513507988962 0.
4143000644498661
Commissioner Of Agriculture Douglas 55197 990 51964 939 0.017935757378118377 0.018070202447848512 0.
37778755559708876
Commissioner Of Agriculture Early 4131 94 3658 80 0.022754780924715567 0.02186987424822307 0.3648720
857091263
Commissioner Of Agriculture Echols 1143 25 1108 25 0.021872265966754154 0.02256317689530686 0.911411
292556818
Commissioner Of Agriculture Effingham 23365 471 22615 460 0.020158356516156644 0.02034048198098607
0.34005816398008565
Commissioner Of Agriculture Elbert 7319 196 6756 193 0.026779614701461948 0.0285671995263469 5 0.0002
3013770131279806
Commissioner Of Agriculture Emanuel 7710 307 7320 304 0.03981841763942931 0.041530054644808745 0.000
16799128024698828
Commissioner Of Agriculture Evans 3447 137 3248 133 0.03974470554105019 0.040948275862068964 0.18711
484865531006
Commissioner Of Agriculture Fannin 11203 303 10545 295 0.02704632687672945 0.02797534376481745 0.012
262001719124581
Commissioner Of Agriculture Fayette 57962 1279 55315 1221 0.02206618129119078 0.022073578595317726
1.0254804219092852
Commissioner Of Agriculture Floyd 30225 815 28965 782 0.02696443341604632 0.026998101156568272 0.953
1396866862418
Commissioner Of Agriculture Forsyth 93239 1891 88811 1794 0.020281212797220047 0.02020020042562295
0.460076503115602
Commissioner Of Agriculture Franklin 8149 173 7761 171 0.021229598723769786 0.022033243138770777 0.0
19161082839268248
Commissioner Of Agriculture Fulton 421806 11422 403964 10848 0.02707879925842686 0.02685387806834272

GA005387

5 3.3119222243544795e-05
Commissioner Of Agriculture Gilmer 12471 305 11912 302 0.024456739635955415 0.025352585627938212 0.0
009070083999035691
Commissioner Of Agriculture Glascock 1300 57 1219 56 0.04384615384615385 0.04593929450369155 0.23350
267970530653
Commissioner Of Agriculture Glynn 32501 844 30689 814 0.025968431740561827 0.026524161751767736 0.00
795903188521008
Commissioner Of Agriculture Gordon 17772 434 17225 423 0.02442043664190862 0.02455732946298984 0.623
0860050925833
Commissioner Of Agriculture Grady 8356 197 7956 192 0.02357587362374342 0.024132730015082957 0.16813
711628512507
Commissioner Of Agriculture Greene 8989 171 8366 159 0.019023250639670707 0.019005498446091323 1.051
53854763071
Commissioner Of Agriculture Gwinnett 312709 6309 292312 6027 0.020175306754842363 0.0206183803607104
74 2.45781808203601e-12
Commissioner Of Agriculture Habersham 15495 339 14642 323 0.021878025169409485 0.02205982789236443
0.6205531261369002
Commissioner Of Agriculture Hall 67282 1563 63789 1510 0.02323058173062632 0.023671792942356832 0.00
07559658441609992
Commissioner Of Agriculture Hancock 3539 181 3049 167 0.05114439107092399 0.05477205641193834 0.0135
58280173341132
Commissioner Of Agriculture Haralson 10585 223 10192 217 0.021067548417572034 0.021291208791208792
0.5455904719550516
Commissioner Of Agriculture Harris 15972 370 15243 358 0.023165539694465314 0.023486190382470643 0.2
6389119221341545
Commissioner Of Agriculture Hart 9618 308 9178 298 0.03202328966521106 0.03246894748311179 0.3187543
610308439
Commissioner Of Agriculture Heard 4051 109 3773 104 0.026906936558874352 0.027564272462231646 0.4605
002198297526
Commissioner Of Agriculture Henry 98365 2011 93368 1900 0.02044426371168607 0.02034958444006512 0.38
9889461244887
Commissioner Of Agriculture Houston 59158 1110 55968 1045 0.01876331180905372 0.01867138364779874 0.
5255057640503983
Commissioner Of Agriculture Irwin 3556 93 3423 92 0.026152980877390326 0.026877008472100495 0.259469
868806298
Commissioner Of Agriculture Jackson 26889 431 25870 421 0.016028859384878576 0.016273676072671047 0.
12323502543055316
Commissioner Of Agriculture Jasper 5907 114 5486 110 0.019299136617572373 0.02005103900838498 0.1652
9280130799567
Commissioner Of Agriculture Jeff Davis 4815 170 4586 164 0.035306334371754934 0.035761011774967294
0.5850866391065276
Commissioner Of Agriculture Jefferson 6756 297 6149 287 0.04396092362344583 0.046674255976581557 0.0
0018379212897945128

GA005388

Commissioner Of Agriculture Jenkins 2856 88 2668 81 0.03081232492997199 0.030359820089955022 0.71701
19942955302
Commissioner Of Agriculture Johnson 3483 160 3247 148 0.04593741027849555 0.045580535879273174 0.800
7521256758079
Commissioner Of Agriculture Jones 12432 275 11648 264 0.02212033462033462 0.022664835164835164 0.129
5329244001534
Commissioner Of Agriculture Lamar 7346 184 6942 180 0.025047644976858154 0.02592912705272256 0.04580
941372151064
Commissioner Of Agriculture Lanier 2679 111 2571 108 0.04143337066069429 0.042007001166861145 0.6722
74149398239
Commissioner Of Agriculture Laurens 18939 571 17653 538 0.030149427108083847 0.03047640627655356 0.3
755251983675794
Commissioner Of Agriculture Lee 13549 274 13069 266 0.020222894678574063 0.020353508302088912 0.7220
35198045769
Commissioner Of Agriculture Liberty 15358 319 14408 306 0.020770933715327518 0.02123820099944475 0.1
309822541670077
Commissioner Of Agriculture Lincoln 3967 118 3617 116 0.02974539954625662 0.032070776886922864 0.002
539200366667369
Commissioner Of Agriculture Long 3988 127 3819 125 0.031845536609829486 0.03273108143493061 0.175274
01687667576
Commissioner Of Agriculture Lowndes 35212 656 33322 629 0.01863001249574009 0.018876417982113917 0.1
6992651780454146
Commissioner Of Agriculture Lumpkin 11551 232 11084 226 0.0200848411392953 0.020389750992421508 0.33
22155798255518
Commissioner Of Agriculture Macon 4217 214 3886 206 0.05074697652359497 0.05301080802882141 0.019949
805650267008
Commissioner Of Agriculture Madison 11697 289 11170 283 0.024707189877746432 0.025335720680393914 0.
04403470494841549
Commissioner Of Agriculture Marion 2930 98 2689 96 0.033447098976109216 0.03570100409074005 0.019541
494396405266
Commissioner Of Agriculture McDuffie 8792 216 7924 206 0.02456778889899909 0.025996971226653204 0.00
6782431813644177
Commissioner Of Agriculture McIntosh 5408 181 4968 174 0.0334689349112426 0.035024154589371984 0.032
07977019931023
Commissioner Of Agriculture Meriwether 8639 264 8156 257 0.03055909248755643 0.03151054438450221 0.0
33758783578200814
Commissioner Of Agriculture Miller 2313 102 2181 98 0.04409857328145266 0.04493351673544246 0.592725
077993648
Commissioner Of Agriculture Mitchell 7446 201 6882 187 0.026994359387590653 0.027172333623946526 0.8
725190842049249
Commissioner Of Agriculture Monroe 12932 325 12236 321 0.025131456851221777 0.02623406341941811 0.00
01619491702448414
Commissioner Of Agriculture Montgomery 3528 105 3317 100 0.02976190476190476 0.030147723846849564 0.

GA005389

7858202787585566
Commissioner Of Agriculture Morgan 9554 213 9144 203 0.022294326983462426 0.02220034995625547 0.8633
066922100286
Commissioner Of Agriculture Murray 11091 363 10826 358 0.032729239924262916 0.03306853870312211 0.25
94311488053838
Commissioner Of Agriculture Muscogee 63141 1632 58719 1562 0.025846914049508243 0.02660127045760316
4.457055403203225e-06
Commissioner Of Agriculture Newton 43129 965 40394 906 0.022374736256347238 0.02242907362479576 0.83
47019041110842
Commissioner Of Agriculture Oconee 20733 397 19802 378 0.019148217817006704 0.01908898091101909 0.84
19369734817203
Commissioner Of Agriculture Oglethorpe 6484 165 6075 161 0.025447254780999382 0.026502057613168723
0.036796034728722164
Commissioner Of Agriculture Paulding 61222 1224 57915 1162 0.01999281304106367 0.0200638867305534 0.
6545749092300193
Commissioner Of Agriculture Peach 10355 264 9910 257 0.025494929985514243 0.02593340060544904 0.2275
6907382226102
Commissioner Of Agriculture Pickens 13362 318 13140 314 0.023798832510103278 0.023896499238964992 0.
775895701049152
Commissioner Of Agriculture Pierce 6883 136 6556 135 0.01975882609327328 0.020591824283099452 0.0197
66051713961976
Commissioner Of Agriculture Pike 8534 178 8230 173 0.0208577454886337 0.021020656136087484 0.7736584
610786061
Commissioner Of Agriculture Polk 12859 350 12412 346 0.027218290691344585 0.027876248791492104 0.011
248907253610831
Commissioner Of Agriculture Pulaski 3622 139 3390 134 0.038376587520706794 0.03952802359882006 0.217
92720915492161
Commissioner Of Agriculture Putnam 9321 213 8723 211 0.022851625362085612 0.02418892582827009 0.0001
5800048949432007
Commissioner Of Agriculture Quitman 940 57 880 48 0.06063829787234042 0.05454545454545454 0.01514277
5824741057
Commissioner Of Agriculture Rabun 7578 152 6735 134 0.020058062813407232 0.019896065330363772 0.8527
064272130306
Commissioner Of Agriculture Randolph 2788 114 2475 100 0.040889526542324243 0.04040404040404041 0.80
50653605089502
Commissioner Of Agriculture Richmond 70043 1867 64729 1777 0.026655054752080864 0.02745291909345116
1.51485308148801e-06
Commissioner Of Agriculture Rockdale 36600 740 34747 703 0.020218579234972677 0.02023196247158028 1.
0242105739825176
Commissioner Of Agriculture Schley 1931 64 1835 63 0.033143448990160536 0.03433242506811989 0.323181
6572502484
Commissioner Of Agriculture Screven 5407 172 5136 166 0.03181061586831885 0.0323208722741433 0.46460
315141168645

GA005390

Commissioner Of Agriculture Seminole 3214 79 2950 77 0.024579962663347853 0.02610169491525424 0.0718
3072317375924
Commissioner Of Agriculture Spalding 24385 783 23102 741 0.0321099036292803 0.032075145009090124 0.9
426148358611455
Commissioner Of Agriculture Stephens 9069 213 8533 205 0.023486602712537216 0.024024375952185633 0.2
1984157340157248
Commissioner Of Agriculture Stewart 1784 144 1551 128 0.08071748878923767 0.08252740167633785 0.5637
205151816366
Commissioner Of Agriculture Sumter 10556 309 9679 283 0.029272451686244788 0.029238557702241968 1.00
67470785678843
Commissioner Of Agriculture Talbot 2952 173 2667 151 0.05860433604336043 0.05661792275965504 0.20864
89923570343
Commissioner Of Agriculture Taliaferro 917 73 755 60 0.07960741548527808 0.07947019867549669 1.07781
1397664632
Commissioner Of Agriculture Tattnall 6639 230 6247 224 0.03464377165235728 0.03585721146150152 0.029
26970732106733
Commissioner Of Agriculture Taylor 3265 94 3022 91 0.02879019908116386 0.030112508272667107 0.142539
26273356501
Commissioner Of Agriculture Telfair 3631 141 3300 137 0.03883227760947398 0.04151515151515151 0.0053
08582079090159
Commissioner Of Agriculture Terrell 3930 149 3603 145 0.03791348600508906 0.04024424091035248 0.0077
94963268893247
Commissioner Of Agriculture Thomas 17241 444 16250 428 0.025752566556464244 0.02633846153846154 0.05
102983221980129
Commissioner Of Agriculture Tift 13669 397 13108 384 0.029043821786524253 0.02929508696978944 0.4844
81040127764
Commissioner Of Agriculture Toombs 8851 268 8170 252 0.030279064512484466 0.03084455324357405 0.3382
597394625828
Commissioner Of Agriculture Towns 6132 187 5848 182 0.03049575994781474 0.03112175102599179 0.255896
47467919446
Commissioner Of Agriculture Treutlen 2610 104 2464 96 0.03984674329501916 0.03896103896103896 0.4464
741150926797
Commissioner Of Agriculture Troup 23663 614 22399 587 0.025947682035244897 0.026206527077101655 0.33
58676933311447
Commissioner Of Agriculture Turner 3269 107 3121 106 0.03273172223921689 0.033963473245754564 0.0808
2989289376843
Commissioner Of Agriculture Twiggs 3787 150 3512 146 0.039609189331925004 0.041571753986332574 0.024
582185865480236
Commissioner Of Agriculture Union 11863 288 11381 283 0.02427716429233752 0.024866004744750023 0.042
59094929138161
Commissioner Of Agriculture Upson 10558 320 9956 306 0.03030877060049252 0.030735235034150262 0.3614
208256850461
Commissioner Of Agriculture Walker 21451 507 20638 489 0.02363526175935854 0.023694156410504895 0.89

GA005391

31048690923495
Commissioner Of Agriculture Walton 38635 883 36866 845 0.02285492429144558 0.02292084847827266 0.769
5640396167929
Commissioner Of Agriculture Ware 11004 278 10377 264 0.025263540530716104 0.025440878866724487 0.750
3093640280006
Commissioner Of Agriculture Warren 2260 92 2073 89 0.04070796460176991 0.04293294741919923 0.0896653
9264026248
Commissioner Of Agriculture Washington 8159 380 7432 358 0.046574335090084566 0.04817007534983853 0.
028638214550277152
Commissioner Of Agriculture Wayne 10122 262 9621 259 0.02588421260620431 0.026920278557322524 0.0015
80179742029864
Commissioner Of Agriculture Webster 1100 32 948 28 0.02909090909090909 0.029535864978902954 1.080491
4512301
Commissioner Of Agriculture Wheeler 1927 73 1812 73 0.03788271925272444 0.04028697571743929 0.020493
746725996857
Commissioner Of Agriculture White 11434 210 10897 207 0.01836627601889103 0.018996053959805453 0.019
277518251516152
Commissioner Of Agriculture Whitfield 27302 778 26285 759 0.028496080873196102 0.028875784668061632
0.05753599069685485
Commissioner Of Agriculture Wilcox 2815 87 2610 82 0.030905861456483125 0.031417624521072794 0.76523
82515687487
Commissioner Of Agriculture Wilkes 4371 170 4070 163 0.03889270189887897 0.04004914004914005 0.18124
978512496914
Commissioner Of Agriculture Wilkinson 4264 184 3994 175 0.043151969981238276 0.043815723585378066 0.
5208404802833192
Commissioner Of Agriculture Worth 7831 220 7526 215 0.028093474652024008 0.028567632208344407 0.2714
376992202313
Commissioner Of Insurance Appling 6806 202 6276 189 0.02967969438730532 0.03011472275334608 0.567983
8956594965
Commissioner Of Insurance Atkinson 2520 116 2432 116 0.046031746031746035 0.047697368421052634 0.029
336390805863755
Commissioner Of Insurance Bacon 3829 108 3655 106 0.02820579785844868 0.029001367989056087 0.2461704
2275254092
Commissioner Of Insurance Baker 1291 62 1150 55 0.048024786986831915 0.04782608695652174 1.050494279
0029186
Commissioner Of Insurance Baldwin 15633 419 14475 402 0.026802277234056163 0.0277720207253886 0.0061
53271306562673
Commissioner Of Insurance Banks 6852 118 6629 115 0.017221249270286048 0.017348016292050083 0.921992
6406934561
Commissioner Of Insurance Barrow 27398 439 26135 422 0.016023067377180816 0.016146929405012434 0.543
6282699429879
Commissioner Of Insurance Bartow 37327 561 35759 552 0.015029335333672676 0.015436673285047121 0.000
8533421633813795

GA005392

Commissioner Of Insurance Ben Hill 5533 110 5213 104 0.019880715705765408 0.0199501246882793 1.09239
49379629525
Commissioner Of Insurance Berrien 6247 145 5925 141 0.023211141347846968 0.02379746835443038 0.24865
68873329365
Commissioner Of Insurance Bibb 60460 1219 55999 1170 0.020162090638438636 0.02089323023625422 1.2928
559864607981e-06
Commissioner Of Insurance Bleckley 4855 147 4598 142 0.030278063851699278 0.030882992605480643 0.400
82737693002407
Commissioner Of Insurance Brantley 5689 174 5501 170 0.030585340130075585 0.03090347209598255 0.6234
390961414006
Commissioner Of Insurance Brooks 5696 161 5231 148 0.028265449438202247 0.02829286943223093 1.113128
140291477
Commissioner Of Insurance Bryan 14970 253 14388 246 0.016900467601870407 0.017097581317764805 0.4555
345033053648
Commissioner Of Insurance Bulloch 23543 535 22467 518 0.02272437667247165 0.023056037744247117 0.134
47737130398127
Commissioner Of Insurance Burke 8719 206 7751 195 0.02362656267920633 0.025158044123338923 0.0058511
42118709827
Commissioner Of Insurance Butts 8863 179 8483 173 0.020196321787205235 0.020393728633738065 0.695239
1728605432
Commissioner Of Insurance Calhoun 1899 47 1714 42 0.024749868351764088 0.024504084014002333 0.979059
2640046367
Commissioner Of Insurance Camden 17053 302 15860 288 0.017709493930686684 0.01815889029003783 0.1192
8920072353914
Commissioner Of Insurance Candler 3538 87 3418 83 0.02459016393442623 0.024283206553540083 0.6825772
992163125
Commissioner Of Insurance Carroll 41739 585 40221 568 0.014015668798964997 0.014121976082146142 0.40
62706818543072
Commissioner Of Insurance Catoosa 23725 415 23185 408 0.017492096944151738 0.01759758464524477 0.538
2055176232818
Commissioner Of Insurance Charlton 3369 97 3206 94 0.02879192638765212 0.029320024953212728 0.600573
4745549665
Commissioner Of Insurance Chatham 103338 2178 97161 2070 0.02107646751437032 0.021304844536388055 0.
04313203064809183
Commissioner Of Insurance Chattahoochee 1102 39 1067 39 0.03539019963702359 0.03655107778819119 0.55
53261047360464
Commissioner Of Insurance Chattooga 7427 210 7202 209 0.02827521206409048 0.029019716745348513 0.022
088855901694418
Commissioner Of Insurance Cherokee 106299 1623 100987 1535 0.01526825275872774 0.015199976234564845
0.4581307468728878
Commissioner Of Insurance Clarke 43261 1053 40239 999 0.02434063012875338 0.02482666070230373 6 0.015
629975148665907
Commissioner Of Insurance Clay 1186 40 1043 35 0.03372681281618887 0.03355704697986577 1.07975564337

GA005393

36193
Commissioner Of Insurance Clayton 91840 1574 85993 1493 0.017138501742160278 0.017361878292419152 0.
046216961774423665
Commissioner Of Insurance Clinch 2254 87 2145 87 0.0385980479148181 0.04055944055944056 0.0245807949
97371844
Commissioner Of Insurance Cobb 310381 4904 284480 4426 0.01579993620743538 0.015558211473565804 0.00
05065731842968168
Commissioner Of Insurance Coffee 12595 395 12200 388 0.03136165144898769 0.03180327868852459 0.13533
078437339383
Commissioner Of Insurance Colquitt 12953 436 12439 432 0.03366015594842894 0.03472947986172522 0.000
19570880762865048
Commissioner Of Insurance Columbia 61591 860 58655 823 0.013963079021285577 0.014031199386241582 0.5
843249477646298
Commissioner Of Insurance Cook 5803 114 5559 112 0.019645011201102878 0.020147508544702285 0.2698651
7204839405
Commissioner Of Insurance Coweta 58056 861 55244 839 0.014830508474576272 0.015187169647382521 0.000
886542152071974
Commissioner Of Insurance Crawford 4931 123 4697 119 0.02494423037923342 0.02533532041728763 0.59583
84458571317
Commissioner Of Insurance Crisp 7024 242 6661 230 0.03445330296127563 0.03452934994745534 1.03158717
75347882
Commissioner Of Insurance Dade 5450 180 5321 173 0.03302752293577982 0.03251268558541628 0.267198176
5473912
Commissioner Of Insurance Dawson 11570 165 11131 162 0.014261019878997408 0.014553948432306172 0.245
4927998904155
Commissioner Of Insurance DeKalb 310968 6840 291296 6430 0.021995832368603842 0.02207376689003625 0.
26402260463226673
Commissioner Of Insurance Decatur 9043 210 8495 205 0.023222381952891738 0.02413184226015303 0.02057
1201467088452
Commissioner Of Insurance Dodge 7051 304 6603 291 0.0431144518508013 0.04407087687414812 0.151175424
0719516
Commissioner Of Insurance Dooly 3793 143 3597 137 0.037701028209860266 0.038087294968028915 0.769163
1433125591
Commissioner Of Insurance Dougherty 31297 711 29353 684 0.02271783238010033 0.02330255851190679 0.00
54996173623880484
Commissioner Of Insurance Douglas 55197 648 51964 620 0.011739768465677482 0.011931337079516588 0.10
221558504020836
Commissioner Of Insurance Early 4131 83 3658 79 0.020091987412248852 0.021596500820120285 0.06214898
139471192
Commissioner Of Insurance Echols 1143 35 1108 35 0.03062117235345582 0.0315884476534296 0.6622359192
934354
Commissioner Of Insurance Effingham 23365 360 22615 346 0.015407661031457309 0.015299579924828654 0.
5379662474384439

GA005394

Commissioner Of Insurance Elbert 7319 183 6756 181 0.02500341576718131 0.02679100059206631 9.9413912
59928465e-05
Commissioner Of Insurance Emanuel 7710 267 7320 259 0.03463035019455253 0.03538251366120219 0.140121
81180016006
Commissioner Of Insurance Evans 3447 123 3248 121 0.03568320278503046 0.03725369458128079 0.04539806
451063482
Commissioner Of Insurance Fannin 11203 236 10545 224 0.02106578595019191 0.021242294926505454 0.7291
529157583581
Commissioner Of Insurance Fayette 57962 912 55315 868 0.015734446706462856 0.015691946126728737 0.75
10685224899837
Commissioner Of Insurance Floyd 30225 641 28965 625 0.02120760959470637 0.021577766269635767 0.03102
4462166436093
Commissioner Of Insurance Forsyth 93239 1388 88811 1294 0.014886474543914027 0.014570267196631048 0.
0008722981245968133
Commissioner Of Insurance Franklin 8149 132 7761 127 0.016198306540679837 0.01636387063522742 0.7893
912383598669
Commissioner Of Insurance Fulton 421806 9803 403964 9326 0.02324054186047614 0.023086215603370597 0.
0020795471360121314
Commissioner Of Insurance Gilmer 12471 240 11912 234 0.019244647582391146 0.01964405641370047 0.1643
2165058933113
Commissioner Of Insurance Glascock 1300 61 1219 60 0.04692307692307692 0.04922067268252666 0.1887920
603720064
Commissioner Of Insurance Glynn 32501 640 30689 623 0.01969170179379096 0.020300433380038452 0.00054
06635274737328
Commissioner Of Insurance Gordon 17772 397 17225 383 0.022338510015755122 0.02223512336719884 0.6789
228087177381
Commissioner Of Insurance Grady 8356 168 7956 162 0.020105313547151747 0.020361990950226245 0.599397
2867924724
Commissioner Of Insurance Greene 8989 141 8366 132 0.015685838246746023 0.01577814965335883 4 0.96487
6282138765
Commissioner Of Insurance Gwinnett 312709 4812 292312 4548 0.015388108433079957 0.01555871808204931 8
0.0029673991111008186
Commissioner Of Insurance Habersham 15495 311 14642 300 0.020070990642142628 0.020489004234394207 0.
14532288038983154
Commissioner Of Insurance Hall 67282 1176 63789 1136 0.017478671858743796 0.017808713101004875 0.004
080794341835784
Commissioner Of Insurance Hancock 3539 155 3049 142 0.0437976829612885 0.0465726467694326 0.04797544
327364643
Commissioner Of Insurance Haralson 10585 154 10192 152 0.014548889938592347 0.014913657770800628 0.1
4135757314066358
Commissioner Of Insurance Harris 15972 307 15243 296 0.019221136989732032 0.019418749589975727 0.501
2584470605063
Commissioner Of Insurance Hart 9618 290 9178 284 0.030151798710750674 0.03094356068860318 0.03728487

GA005395

8878054276
Commissioner Of Insurance Heard 4051 84 3773 81 0.020735620834361885 0.02146832759077657 0.321847439
65922524
Commissioner Of Insurance Henry 98365 1387 93368 1320 0.014100543892644741 0.01413760603204524 0.727
164629452536
Commissioner Of Insurance Houston 59158 880 55968 826 0.014875418371141688 0.014758433390508863 0.36
095012176213315
Commissioner Of Insurance Irwin 3556 79 3423 78 0.02221597300337458 0.022787028921998246 0.393975710
87897026
Commissioner Of Insurance Jackson 26889 392 25870 381 0.014578452155156383 0.014727483571704678 0.37
492960854285107
Commissioner Of Insurance Jasper 5907 112 5486 109 0.018960555273404435 0.01986875683558148 0.073034
42493254297
Commissioner Of Insurance Jeff Davis 4815 165 4586 159 0.03426791277258567 0.03467073702573049 0.645
1787714063169
Commissioner Of Insurance Jefferson 6756 280 6149 265 0.04144464179988159 0.04309643844527566 0.0300
32860454349275
Commissioner Of Insurance Jenkins 2856 80 2668 76 0.028011204481792718 0.02848575712143928 0.7700539
175804989
Commissioner Of Insurance Johnson 3483 135 3247 130 0.03875968992248062 0.04003695719125346 0.188946
20119986613
Commissioner Of Insurance Jones 12432 250 11648 233 0.02010939510939511 0.020003434065934064 0.81938
74000917354
Commissioner Of Insurance Lamar 7346 148 6942 145 0.020147018785733734 0.020887352348026505 0.067206
51190652573
Commissioner Of Insurance Lanier 2679 105 2571 102 0.03919372900335946 0.039673278879813305 0.760420
480591173
Commissioner Of Insurance Laurens 18939 542 17653 512 0.028618195258461376 0.029003568798504503 0.27
23346880644598
Commissioner Of Insurance Lee 13549 215 13069 209 0.015868329766034393 0.015992042237355574 0.713515
4880272536
Commissioner Of Insurance Liberty 15358 242 14408 233 0.015757260059903633 0.016171571349250416 0.12
65576043217077
Commissioner Of Insurance Lincoln 3967 92 3617 91 0.023191328459793294 0.0251589715233619 0.00372563
00568780593
Commissioner Of Insurance Long 3988 109 3819 108 0.027331995987963893 0.028279654359780047 0.0995081
9086457949
Commissioner Of Insurance Lowndes 35212 586 33322 551 0.016642053845280017 0.016535622111517916 0.56
10986593266845
Commissioner Of Insurance Lumpkin 11551 195 11084 189 0.01688165526794217 0.017051605918440994 0.641
7598645388906
Commissioner Of Insurance Macon 4217 203 3886 189 0.048138487076120465 0.048636129696345856 0.723511
1529475178

GA005396

Commissioner Of Insurance Madison 11697 253 11170 246 0.0216294776438403 0.022023276633840645 0.2221
319144394928
Commissioner Of Insurance Marion 2930 87 2689 84 0.029692832764505118 0.031238378579397545 0.1260339
031313447
Commissioner Of Insurance McDuffie 8792 171 7924 163 0.019449499545040945 0.020570418980312973 0.019
492377535967952
Commissioner Of Insurance McIntosh 5408 138 4968 133 0.02551775147928994 0.02677133655394525 0.05273
99118993246
Commissioner Of Insurance Meriwether 8639 203 8156 200 0.023498090056719528 0.024521824423737126 0.0
057895548263675045
Commissioner Of Insurance Miller 2313 101 2181 95 0.043666234327712924 0.04355800091701054 1.0443027
294471856
Commissioner Of Insurance Mitchell 7446 175 6882 170 0.02350255170561375 0.024702121476315026 0.0136
22770568186419
Commissioner Of Insurance Monroe 12932 268 12236 267 0.020723785957315187 0.021820856489048707 1.028
886370955777e-05
Commissioner Of Insurance Montgomery 3528 104 3317 99 0.02947845804988662 0.029846246608381068 0.804
8672802314136
Commissioner Of Insurance Morgan 9554 186 9144 177 0.01946828553485451 0.01935695538057743 0.8095429
924497335
Commissioner Of Insurance Murray 11091 317 10826 310 0.028581732936615274 0.0286347681507482 1.02323
32872362426
Commissioner Of Insurance Muscogee 63141 1341 58719 1285 0.021238181213474604 0.021883887668386722
1.5091256517073328e-05
Commissioner Of Insurance Newton 43129 756 40394 724 0.017528808922070996 0.01792345397831361 0.0148
68729562152303
Commissioner Of Insurance Oconee 20733 411 19802 396 0.019823469830704675 0.019997980002019997 0.487
9189591194687
Commissioner Of Insurance Oglethorpe 6484 160 6075 155 0.024676125848241828 0.02551440329218107 0.11
137423995000943
Commissioner Of Insurance Paulding 61222 860 57915 812 0.014047237921008787 0.01402054735388068 0.8
569631645273011
Commissioner Of Insurance Peach 10355 224 9910 217 0.021632061805890872 0.021897073662966702 0.49427
104045355846
Commissioner Of Insurance Pickens 13362 260 13140 258 0.01945816494536746 0.019634703196347032 0.379
36204718576033
Commissioner Of Insurance Pierce 6883 124 6556 123 0.018015400261513874 0.0187614399023795 0.0330389
34216622275
Commissioner Of Insurance Pike 8534 129 8230 125 0.015116006561987345 0.015188335358444714 1.0225660
054540902
Commissioner Of Insurance Polk 12859 277 12412 274 0.02154133291857843 0.0220754108926845 0.02355234
526323607
Commissioner Of Insurance Pulaski 3622 146 3390 134 0.04030922142462728 0.03952802359882006 0.445646

GA005397

5396560294
Commissioner Of Insurance Putnam 9321 170 8723 166 0.01823838643922326 0.019030150177691163 0.026291
30739458383
Commissioner Of Insurance Quitman 940 57 880 50 0.06063829787234042 0.056818181818181816 0.127461222
98293755
Commissioner Of Insurance Rabun 7578 147 6735 128 0.019398258115597783 0.019005196733481812 0.554528
0171146294
Commissioner Of Insurance Randolph 2788 112 2475 106 0.040172166427546625 0.042828282828282827 0.048
22841355147525
Commissioner Of Insurance Richmond 70043 1455 64729 1361 0.020772953756977856 0.021026124302862704
0.10692914339934204
Commissioner Of Insurance Rockdale 36600 535 34747 516 0.014617486338797813 0.014850202895213976 0.1
2088207582654972
Commissioner Of Insurance Schley 1931 59 1835 59 0.030554117037804248 0.032152588555858314 0.0941552
7581014056
Commissioner Of Insurance Screven 5407 180 5136 176 0.03329017939707786 0.03426791277258567 0.094761
8447041712
Commissioner Of Insurance Seminole 3214 66 2950 59 0.020535158680771624 0.02 0.5929414328724583
Commissioner Of Insurance Spalding 24385 577 23102 549 0.02366208734877999 0.023764176261795517 0.74
37899597725126
Commissioner Of Insurance Stephens 9069 186 8533 175 0.020509427720807147 0.020508613617719443 1.088
2079447468032
Commissioner Of Insurance Stewart 1784 142 1551 130 0.0795964125560538 0.08381689232753063 0.1057103
590128202
Commissioner Of Insurance Sumter 10556 269 9679 249 0.02548313755210307 0.02572579811964046 0.697496
3905397773
Commissioner Of Insurance Talbot 2952 152 2667 128 0.051490514905149054 0.047994000749906264 0.01875
488050623625
Commissioner Of Insurance Taliaferro 917 78 755 66 0.08505997818974918 0.08741721854304636 0.7092352
229470019
Commissioner Of Insurance Tattnall 6639 211 6247 206 0.03178189486368429 0.032975828397630864 0.0242
17341602327063
Commissioner Of Insurance Taylor 3265 94 3022 90 0.02879019908116386 0.029781601588352084 0.31796799
25306423
Commissioner Of Insurance Telfair 3631 130 3300 127 0.035802809143486644 0.03848484848484848 0.00321
74486990850263
Commissioner Of Insurance Terrell 3930 116 3603 115 0.029516539440203562 0.031917846239245075 0.0008
528712573486075
Commissioner Of Insurance Thomas 17241 398 16250 387 0.0230845078591729 0.023815384615384617 0.00717
5949411189903
Commissioner Of Insurance Tift 13669 379 13108 369 0.027726973443558418 0.02815074763503204 0.172475
84801358476
Commissioner Of Insurance Toombs 8851 245 8170 232 0.027680488080442888 0.02839657282741738 0.183958

GA005398

41994439988
Commissioner Of Insurance Towns 6132 145 5848 137 0.02364644487932159 0.023426812585499317 0.7146838
828010001
Commissioner Of Insurance Treutlen 2610 95 2464 87 0.03639846743295019 0.03530844155844156 0.3171974
266823648
Commissioner Of Insurance Troup 23663 480 22399 456 0.020284832861429236 0.02035805169873655 0.83700
61260797561
Commissioner Of Insurance Turner 3269 112 3121 112 0.034261241970021415 0.035885933995514256 0.01017
1015801582785
Commissioner Of Insurance Twiggs 3787 119 3512 116 0.031423290203327174 0.03302961275626424 0.043907
17582182362
Commissioner Of Insurance Union 11863 245 11381 236 0.020652448790356572 0.020736314910816272 0.9198
361614043107
Commissioner Of Insurance Upson 10558 255 9956 244 0.024152301572267474 0.02450783447167537 0.412434
04148678947
Commissioner Of Insurance Walker 21451 446 20638 433 0.020791571488508694 0.02098071518558 0.3989477
5966656193
Commissioner Of Insurance Walton 38635 676 36866 652 0.017497088132522324 0.017685672435306245 0.225
45556399095382
Commissioner Of Insurance Ware 11004 197 10377 189 0.017902580879680115 0.01821335646140503 0.404701
7050157945
Commissioner Of Insurance Warren 2260 76 2073 73 0.033628318584070796 0.035214664737095995 0.2248406
0259869723
Commissioner Of Insurance Washington 8159 326 7432 302 0.03995587694570413 0.040635091496232505 0.36
90963167337582
Commissioner Of Insurance Wayne 10122 247 9621 242 0.02440229203714681 0.025153310466687454 0.029579
619415050117
Commissioner Of Insurance Webster 1100 40 948 37 0.03636363636363636 0.039029535864978905 0.34589408
24533175
Commissioner Of Insurance Wheeler 1927 69 1812 69 0.03580695381421899 0.0380794701986755 0.026467157
79927298
Commissioner Of Insurance White 11434 184 10897 179 0.016092356130837853 0.016426539414517757 0.2620
885052452319
Commissioner Of Insurance Whitfield 27302 702 26285 690 0.0257124020218299 0.026250713334601484 0.00
2542502473291031
Commissioner Of Insurance Wilcox 2815 87 2610 81 0.030905861456483125 0.03103448275862069 1.09976431
68048414
Commissioner Of Insurance Wilkes 4371 170 4070 162 0.03889270189887897 0.039803439803439804 0.321080
677871574
Commissioner Of Insurance Wilkinson 4264 136 3994 129 0.03189493433395872 0.03229844767150726 0.7225
83985586467
Commissioner Of Insurance Worth 7831 190 7526 185 0.02426254629038437 0.02458145096997076 8 0.4877053
221286879

GA005399

State School Superintendent Appling 6806 195 6276 183 0.028651190126359093 0.029158699808795412 0.47
71120754921914
State School Superintendent Atkinson 2520 113 2432 113 0.04484126984126984 0.046463815789473686 0.03
280599862790262
State School Superintendent Bacon 3829 97 3655 95 0.025332985113606685 0.025991792065663474 0.348067
3720794697
State School Superintendent Baker 1291 57 1150 51 0.044151820294345466 0.04434782608695652 1.1354902
797323818
State School Superintendent Baldwin 15633 431 14475 416 0.02756988421927973 0.028739205526770294 0.0
008253423943003924
State School Superintendent Banks 6852 127 6629 122 0.018534734384121423 0.018403982501131393 0.7955
487551255416
State School Superintendent Barrow 27398 464 26135 436 0.01693554274034601 0.016682609527453606 0.17
987119954884662
State School Superintendent Bartow 37327 786 35759 773 0.02105714362257883 0.021616935596633014 8.67
3972666922682e-05
State School Superintendent Ben Hill 5533 93 5213 88 0.016808241460328936 0.016880874736236333 1.094
8208491943126
State School Superintendent Berrien 6247 124 5925 122 0.0198495277733312 0.020590717299578058 0.0826
7237333754755
State School Superintendent Bibb 60460 1267 55999 1205 0.020956003969566657 0.021518241397167806 0.0
0039100911035865364
State School Superintendent Bleckley 4855 126 4598 120 0.025952626158599383 0.02609830361026533 0.99
17008364000502
State School Superintendent Brantley 5689 117 5501 114 0.02056600457022324 0.020723504817305945 0.91
05558450216902
State School Superintendent Brooks 5696 137 5231 123 0.02405196629213483 0.023513668514624355 0.4523
844118780961
State School Superintendent Bryan 14970 245 14388 238 0.016366065464261857 0.016541562413122046 0.51
75495584130659
State School Superintendent Bulloch 23543 489 22467 465 0.020770505033343243 0.02069702229937241 0.7
783302048844566
State School Superintendent Burke 8719 185 7751 174 0.021218029590549376 0.022448716294671656 0.0229
75858202328326
State School Superintendent Butts 8863 180 8483 171 0.020309150400541577 0.020157962984793117 0.7334
204214256573
State School Superintendent Calhoun 1899 39 1714 35 0.020537124802527645 0.020420070011668612 1.0727
328582001299
State School Superintendent Camden 17053 296 15860 271 0.01735764968040814 0.01708701134930643 0.378
7653152910472
State School Superintendent Candler 3538 82 3418 80 0.023176936122102882 0.023405500292568753 0.9371
34799146735
State School Superintendent Carroll 41739 748 40221 724 0.017920889336112508 0.018000546977946844 0.

GA005400

6089822792818647
State School Superintendent Catoosa 23725 361 23185 353 0.015216016859852476 0.015225361224929911 1.
1235690176103146
State School Superintendent Charlton 3369 64 3206 63 0.018996734936182844 0.019650655021834062 0.350
4614516678216
State School Superintendent Chatham 103338 2082 97161 1953 0.020147477210706612 0.020100657671287863
0.6970026571648539
State School Superintendent Chattahoochee 1102 33 1067 33 0.029945553539019964 0.030927835051546393
0.6784191285226017
State School Superintendent Chattooga 7427 168 7202 166 0.022620169651272386 0.02304915301305193 0.2
215818173438752
State School Superintendent Cherokee 106299 1845 100987 1744 0.017356701380069426 0.0172695495459811
65 0.3691332435033265
State School Superintendent Clarke 43261 978 40239 908 0.022606967014169806 0.022565173090782573 0.8
674453408158112
State School Superintendent Clay 1186 24 1043 17 0.02023608768971332 0.016299137104506232 0.03756037
6346500925
State School Superintendent Clayton 91840 1554 85993 1439 0.016920731707317074 0.016733920202807204
0.10771697419108171
State School Superintendent Clinch 2254 88 2145 86 0.03904170363797693 0.04009324009324009 0.3804064
8297886664
State School Superintendent Cobb 310381 5240 284480 4667 0.016882476697993757 0.01640537120359955 6.
11344115570655e-11
State School Superintendent Coffee 12595 317 12200 310 0.02516871774513696 0.02540983606557377 0.435
6254077327964
State School Superintendent Colquitt 12953 342 12439 332 0.026403149849455725 0.026690248412251788
0.3938880327956586
State School Superintendent Columbia 61591 858 58655 789 0.01393060674449189 0.013451538658255904 4.
05487954930408e-05
State School Superintendent Cook 5803 79 5559 78 0.013613648113044977 0.014031300593631947 0.2963720
8112324825
State School Superintendent Coweta 58056 946 55244 908 0.01629461209866336 0.01643617406415176 0.260
7627961414206
State School Superintendent Crawford 4931 132 4697 124 0.02676941796795782 0.026399829678518203 0.57
78323994484768
State School Superintendent Crisp 7024 250 6661 241 0.03559225512528474 0.036180753640594504 0.31884
863431599786
State School Superintendent Dade 5450 155 5321 150 0.028440366972477066 0.028190189813944746 0.60994
10924283258
State School Superintendent Dawson 11570 200 11131 193 0.01728608470181504 0.017338963255772166 1.01
8667962393448
State School Superintendent DeKalb 310968 7013 291296 6369 0.02255215970775128 0.021864357903987695
8.832174502070971e-21

GA005401

State School Superintendent Decatur 9043 199 8495 192 0.02200597146964503 0.022601530311948205 0.156
10734854515299
State School Superintendent Dodge 7051 303 6603 288 0.04297262799602893 0.04361653793730123 0.369143
9864099541
State School Superintendent Dooly 3793 147 3597 140 0.038755602425520695 0.03892132332499305 1.01340
92203335676
State School Superintendent Dougherty 31297 609 29353 565 0.01945873406396779 0.019248458419923006
0.33495070196864307
State School Superintendent Douglas 55197 739 51964 690 0.013388408790332807 0.013278423523978138 0.
4064779656017571
State School Superintendent Early 4131 77 3658 63 0.018639554587267005 0.01722252597047567 0.1035389
1913337368
State School Superintendent Echols 1143 30 1108 30 0.026246719160104987 0.02707581227436823 0.777180
3067064711
State School Superintendent Effingham 23365 371 22615 365 0.01587845067408517 0.016139730267521555
0.08892005647010105
State School Superintendent Elbert 7319 90 6756 86 0.01229676185271212 0.012729425695677915 0.335092
8137567028
State School Superintendent Emanuel 7710 246 7320 235 0.031906614785992216 0.03210382513661202 0.810
5044256943981
State School Superintendent Evans 3447 108 3248 103 0.031331592689295036 0.031711822660098525 0.8001
316163212286
State School Superintendent Fannin 11203 244 10545 227 0.02177988038918147 0.02152678994784258 0.533
9469680426268
State School Superintendent Fayette 57962 929 55315 877 0.016027742313929817 0.015854650637259333 0.
15677194276144643
State School Superintendent Floyd 30225 570 28965 547 0.018858560794044667 0.018884861039185224 0.98
07332781140115
State School Superintendent Forsyth 93239 1448 88811 1355 0.015529982089039994 0.01525711905056806
0.0046071886643395624
State School Superintendent Franklin 8149 141 7761 141 0.017302736532089826 0.01816776188635485 0.00
19368824247125872
State School Superintendent Fulton 421806 10056 403964 9505 0.023840343665097224 0.02352932439524314
1.6777942601159474e-09
State School Superintendent Gilmer 12471 272 11912 267 0.021810600593376635 0.022414372061786435 0.0
30792139036562104
State School Superintendent Glascock 1300 54 1219 53 0.04153846153846154 0.043478260869565216 0.2731
5182925752823
State School Superintendent Glynn 32501 572 30689 549 0.017599458478200672 0.017889145948059567 0.11
287474627348418
State School Superintendent Gordon 17772 406 17225 389 0.02284492460049516 0.022583454281567487 0.24
880918180334075
State School Superintendent Grady 8356 134 7956 126 0.016036381043561514 0.01583710407239819 0.62376

GA005402

71843382813
State School Superintendent Greene 8989 129 8366 118 0.014350873289576148 0.014104709538608654 0.562
7746710775136
State School Superintendent Gwinnett 312709 4599 292312 4335 0.014706963982488512 0.0148300446098689
08 0.030282913547278714
State School Superintendent Habersham 15495 308 14642 292 0.019877379799935462 0.019942630788143696
0.9370345876384635
State School Superintendent Hall 67282 1282 63789 1212 0.019054130376623762 0.01900014109015661 0.69
66727236037483
State School Superintendent Hancock 3539 153 3049 137 0.043232551568239616 0.04493276484093145 0.258
65561780016794
State School Superintendent Haralson 10585 192 10192 189 0.018138875767595656 0.018543956043956044
0.13962300215772466
State School Superintendent Harris 15972 269 15243 255 0.016841973453543702 0.016728990356229087 0.6
910381482065708
State School Superintendent Hart 9618 213 9178 207 0.022145976294447912 0.02255393331880584 0.276358
4981513938
State School Superintendent Heard 4051 83 3773 77 0.020488768205381386 0.02040816326530612 1.0192196
825461242
State School Superintendent Henry 98365 1353 93368 1260 0.013754892492248259 0.013494987576043184 0.
004506562943254488
State School Superintendent Houston 59158 871 55968 813 0.014723283410527739 0.014526157804459691 0.
1182267150190979
State School Superintendent Irwin 3556 66 3423 65 0.01856017997750281 0.018989190768331873 0.5702910
646805474
State School Superintendent Jackson 26889 378 25870 364 0.014057793149615085 0.01407035175879397 1.0
703407333723374
State School Superintendent Jasper 5907 108 5486 103 0.018283392585068562 0.018775063798760483 0.415
8034362786885
State School Superintendent Jeff Davis 4815 183 4586 178 0.038006230529595016 0.03881378107283035 0.
24783972808352797
State School Superintendent Jefferson 6756 225 6149 212 0.03330373001776199 0.03447715075622052 0.09
903750571278594
State School Superintendent Jenkins 2856 73 2668 69 0.025560224089635854 0.02586206896551724 0.93697
53316979214
State School Superintendent Johnson 3483 145 3247 132 0.04163077806488659 0.04065291037881121 0.3612
376643218168
State School Superintendent Jones 12432 225 11648 207 0.018098455598455597 0.017771291208791208 0.35
54373827027357
State School Superintendent Lamar 7346 142 6942 134 0.019330247753879662 0.01930279458369346 1.04898
1239192677
State School Superintendent Lanier 2679 97 2571 94 0.036207540126913025 0.036561649163749516 0.88828
71187382473

GA005403

State School Superintendent Laurens 18939 482 17653 447 0.025450129362690744 0.02532147510338186 0.7
28489328829162
State School Superintendent Lee 13549 196 13069 190 0.014466012251826704 0.014538220215777794 0.9091
228972568559
State School Superintendent Liberty 15358 221 14408 208 0.014389894517515302 0.014436424208772903 0.
9938912476817579
State School Superintendent Lincoln 3967 83 3617 80 0.0209226115452483 0.02211777716339508 0.1126324
1782844156
State School Superintendent Long 3988 98 3819 95 0.02457372116349047 0.024875621890547265 0.79365960
75699773
State School Superintendent Lowndes 35212 531 33322 491 0.015080086334204249 0.014735009903367145 0.
04036095323253735
State School Superintendent Lumpkin 11551 222 11084 211 0.01921911522811878 0.01903644893540238 0.57
45926613328292
State School Superintendent Macon 4217 170 3886 158 0.04031301873369694 0.040658775090066906 0.83414
32088475947
State School Superintendent Madison 11697 236 11170 229 0.020176113533384627 0.020501342882721575 0.
319435997805081
State School Superintendent Marion 2930 71 2689 70 0.024232081911262797 0.026031982149497954 0.03146
2393825256625
State School Superintendent McDuffie 8792 148 7924 133 0.01683348498635123 0.01678445229681979 0.995
7796303183759
State School Superintendent McIntosh 5408 288 4968 266 0.05325443786982249 0.0535426731078905 0.8574
565138915832
State School Superintendent Meriwether 8639 189 8156 184 0.021877532121773353 0.022560078469838155
0.08487354871435074
State School Superintendent Miller 2313 115 2181 107 0.04971897968006917 0.049060064190738197 0.6650
957126177846
State School Superintendent Mitchell 7446 152 6882 140 0.0204136449100188 0.02034292356873002 0.9693
955395994174
State School Superintendent Monroe 12932 285 12236 277 0.02203835446953294 0.02263811703170971 0.053
743462438742284
State School Superintendent Montgomery 3528 131 3317 126 0.03713151927437642 0.03798613204703045 0.3
8646256017268044
State School Superintendent Morgan 9554 205 9144 193 0.02145698136906008 0.02110673665791776 0.34200
55350378094
State School Superintendent Murray 11091 270 10826 259 0.024344062753583987 0.023923886938850916 0.1
1897870262090533
State School Superintendent Muscogee 63141 1170 58719 1094 0.01852995676343422 0.018631107477988386
0.5357343373652267
State School Superintendent Newton 43129 712 40394 667 0.016508613693802313 0.01651235331979997 1.06
04952221422372
State School Superintendent Oconee 20733 411 19802 392 0.019823469830704675 0.019795980204019795 0.9

GA005404

633638295129779
State School Superintendent Oglethorpe 6484 141 6075 134 0.021745835903763108 0.02205761316872428 0.
653092603407015
State School Superintendent Paulding 61222 959 57915 901 0.015664303681683055 0.015557282223948891
0.4079777254588365
State School Superintendent Peach 10355 199 9910 191 0.019217769193626267 0.01927346115035318 1.0272
574761231834
State School Superintendent Pickens 13362 281 13140 274 0.0210297859601856 0.02085235920852359 0.375
34702001161835
State School Superintendent Pierce 6883 92 6556 89 0.013366264710155456 0.013575350823672972 0.71417
48939273604
State School Superintendent Pike 8534 130 8230 124 0.015233184907429108 0.015066828675577158 0.63567
19569210915
State School Superintendent Polk 12859 256 12412 249 0.019908235477097753 0.020061231066709637 0.659
1002408179695
State School Superintendent Pulaski 3622 142 3390 128 0.03920485919381557 0.03775811209439528 0.1360
6400142430597
State School Superintendent Putnam 9321 182 8723 176 0.019525801952580194 0.0201765447667087 0.09551
624952773365
State School Superintendent Quitman 940 48 880 39 0.05106382978723404 0.04431818181818182 0.00447759
4306767345
State School Superintendent Rabun 7578 178 6735 154 0.023489047242016364 0.022865627319970303 0.3686
2117342812606
State School Superintendent Randolph 2788 78 2475 74 0.027977044476327116 0.0298989898989899 0.10214
764234631135
State School Superintendent Richmond 70043 1288 64729 1204 0.018388704081778336 0.01860062723045312
0.15582293102431713
State School Superintendent Rockdale 36600 518 34747 489 0.014153005464480874 0.014073157394883011
0.6296995554892242
State School Superintendent Schley 1931 53 1835 52 0.027446918694976695 0.028337874659400544 0.49731
074391743596
State School Superintendent Screven 5407 156 5136 147 0.028851488810800813 0.028621495327102803 0.76
19700449591733
State School Superintendent Seminole 3214 64 2950 58 0.019912881144990666 0.0196610169491525 4 0.8624
292262561878
State School Superintendent Spalding 24385 601 23102 564 0.02464629895427517 0.02441347069517790 8 0.
3638435030940898
State School Superintendent Stephens 9069 162 8533 155 0.017863049950380416 0.018164772061408648 0.5
013622039502968
State School Superintendent Stewart 1784 124 1551 111 0.06950672645739911 0.07156673114119923 0.4646
4511045707857
State School Superintendent Sumter 10556 252 9679 238 0.023872679045092837 0.02458931707821056 0.125
48345345390768

GA005405

State School Superintendent Talbot 2952 133 2667 112 0.04505420054200542 0.04199475065616798 0.02940
3645848459184
State School Superintendent Taliaferro 917 84 755 65 0.0916030534351145 0.08609271523178808 0.273546
7300211541
State School Superintendent Tattnall 6639 192 6247 187 0.028920018075011298 0.029934368496878503 0.0
512304287954861
State School Superintendent Taylor 3265 74 3022 70 0.022664624808575805 0.02316346790205162 0.689222
433862807
State School Superintendent Telfair 3631 118 3300 116 0.032497934453318646 0.03515151515151515 0.001
8225924671151817
State School Superintendent Terrell 3930 104 3603 101 0.026463104325699746 0.028032195392728283 0.04
2944924238965045
State School Superintendent Thomas 17241 332 16250 314 0.01925642364132011 0.019323076923076922 0.91
61424159089993
State School Superintendent Tift 13669 278 13108 266 0.020337991074694563 0.020292950869697894 0.941
9558919724208
State School Superintendent Toombs 8851 220 8170 203 0.024855948480397697 0.02484700122399021 1.0597
873052210895
State School Superintendent Towns 6132 141 5848 133 0.02299412915851272 0.022742818057455542 0.65818
39569738592
State School Superintendent Treutlen 2610 123 2464 113 0.047126436781609195 0.04586038961038961 0.29
27317722836269
State School Superintendent Troup 23663 420 22399 389 0.01774922875375058 0.017366846734229207 0.087
26815668305059
State School Superintendent Turner 3269 88 3121 87 0.02691954726215968 0.02787568087151554 0.1696205
5567395418
State School Superintendent Twiggs 3787 134 3512 127 0.03538420913651967 0.03616173120728929 0.46140
09350453488
State School Superintendent Union 11863 247 11381 234 0.02082104020905336 0.02056058342852122 0.4117
397686890091
State School Superintendent Upson 10558 225 9956 214 0.021310854328471303 0.021494576134993972 0.726
1325043171444
State School Superintendent Walker 21451 396 20638 380 0.018460677823877676 0.018412636883418935 0.8
655142439782261
State School Superintendent Walton 38635 660 36866 636 0.01708295586903067 0.017251668203764985 0.28
00440127239453
State School Superintendent Ware 11004 161 10377 149 0.014631043256997456 0.01435867845234653 0.414
5154713741024
State School Superintendent Warren 2260 73 2073 71 0.032300884955752215 0.03424987940183309 0.100587
98537902545
State School Superintendent Washington 8159 325 7432 300 0.03983331290599338 0.040365984930032295 0.
5003791203270346
State School Superintendent Wayne 10122 217 9621 214 0.021438450899031812 0.022243010082112047 0.009

GA005406

637923820089336
State School Superintendent Webster 1100 32 948 27 0.02909090909090909 0.028481012658227847 0.919508
5487727427
State School Superintendent Wheeler 1927 43 1812 43 0.022314478463933574 0.023730684326710817 0.1376
5854624008833
State School Superintendent White 11434 203 10897 195 0.017754066818261326 0.01789483344039644 0.762
894854399894
State School Superintendent Whitfield 27302 635 26285 609 0.02325836935023075 0.023169107856191744
0.6761484127392939
State School Superintendent Wilcox 2815 79 2610 73 0.028063943161634103 0.027969348659003832 1.04065
67378425275
State School Superintendent Wilkes 4371 133 4070 120 0.030427819720887667 0.029484029484029485 0.249
66033173770433
State School Superintendent Wilkinson 4264 143 3994 136 0.03353658536585366 0.03405107661492238 0.61
134668209462
State School Superintendent Worth 7831 159 7526 153 0.020303920316690077 0.020329524315705554 1.1490
60650591179
Commissioner Of Labor Appling 6806 217 6276 203 0.03188363208933294 0.03234544295729764 0.5511946596
274736
Commissioner Of Labor Atkinson 2520 118 2432 117 0.046825396825396826 0.04810855263157895 0.14935732
493442994
Commissioner Of Labor Bacon 3829 114 3655 112 0.029772786628362496 0.03064295485636115 0.20247632293
816503
Commissioner Of Labor Baker 1291 62 1150 52 0.048024786986831915 0.04521739130434783 0.2584878767274
842
Commissioner Of Labor Baldwin 15633 455 14475 426 0.02910509818972686 0.029430051813471504 0.4510808
7944703434
Commissioner Of Labor Banks 6852 141 6629 136 0.020577933450087564 0.020515914919294012 0.9752235680
469857
Commissioner Of Labor Barrow 27398 536 26135 500 0.01956347178626177 0.01913143294432753 0.032073695
56063228
Commissioner Of Labor Bartow 37327 726 35759 709 0.019449728078870524 0.019827176375178276 0.0093688
45891485846
Commissioner Of Labor Ben Hill 5533 116 5213 109 0.020965118380625338 0.02090926529829273 1.02086678
833252
Commissioner Of Labor Berrien 6247 157 5925 152 0.025132063390427405 0.025654008438818564 0.34456571
65120737
Commissioner Of Labor Bibb 60460 1455 55999 1355 0.02406549784981806 0.02419686065822604 0.490887477
75698977
Commissioner Of Labor Bleckley 4855 163 4598 156 0.03357363542739444 0.03392779469334493 4 0.72010841
95472242
Commissioner Of Labor Brantley 5689 136 5501 131 0.02390578309017402 0.023813852026904198 0.93892448
00972285

GA005407

Commissioner Of Labor Brooks 5696 141 5231 126 0.02475421348314607 0.024087172624737144 0.3480779448
286483
Commissioner Of Labor Bryan 14970 285 14388 273 0.01903807615230461 0.01897414512093411 0.8610456721
179405
Commissioner Of Labor Bulloch 23543 580 22467 554 0.024635772841184216 0.02465838785774692 1.0219336
341170084
Commissioner Of Labor Burke 8719 215 7751 197 0.024658791145773597 0.025416075345116758 0.2326662606
5941182
Commissioner Of Labor Butts 8863 173 8483 166 0.019519350107187184 0.019568548862430743 1.0697680592
5021
Commissioner Of Labor Calhoun 1899 50 1714 45 0.02632964718272775 0.026254375729288213 1.09320731312
46993
Commissioner Of Labor Camden 17053 366 15860 344 0.021462499266991144 0.021689785624211855 0.5311423
217904407
Commissioner Of Labor Candler 3538 88 3418 84 0.0248728094968909 0.024575775307197192 0.698226924220
8146
Commissioner Of Labor Carroll 41739 771 40221 747 0.018471932724789766 0.018572387558737972 0.501494
4420002873
Commissioner Of Labor Catoosa 23725 478 23185 467 0.020147523709167545 0.02014233340521889 1.0559159
493296444
Commissioner Of Labor Charlton 3369 90 3206 86 0.026714158504007122 0.026824703680598878 1.114907585
7404946
Commissioner Of Labor Chatham 103338 2491 97161 2347 0.02410536298360719 0.024155782670001336 0.7143
517068809174
Commissioner Of Labor Chattahoochee 1102 41 1067 41 0.03720508166969147 0.038425492033739454 0.51934
25024154349
Commissioner Of Labor Chattooga 7427 207 7202 205 0.027871280463174903 0.028464315467925577 0.092721
03578118218
Commissioner Of Labor Cherokee 106299 2291 100987 2175 0.021552413475197322 0.021537425609236834 0.9
108038290659155
Commissioner Of Labor Clarke 43261 1217 40239 1132 0.028131573472642796 0.028131911826834662 1.03442
90547944495
Commissioner Of Labor Clay 1186 41 1043 30 0.03456998313659359 0.028763183125599234 0.01353186696452
8613
Commissioner Of Labor Clayton 91840 1788 85993 1653 0.019468641114982577 0.01922249485423232 0.04738
114451584041
Commissioner Of Labor Clinch 2254 86 2145 82 0.038154392191659274 0.03822843822843823 1.193379477671
3196
Commissioner Of Labor Cobb 310381 6314 284480 5709 0.020342740051742858 0.020068194600674917 0.00046
464123434715
Commissioner Of Labor Coffee 12595 392 12200 382 0.03112346169114728 0.031311475409836066 0.61989282
65780838
Commissioner Of Labor Colquitt 12953 394 12439 382 0.03041766386165367 0.030709864136988504 0.418081

GA005408

8727718646
Commissioner Of Labor Columbia 61591 1059 58655 980 0.017194070562257472 0.016707868041940157 0.0001
404338532291466
Commissioner Of Labor Cook 5803 120 5559 118 0.02067895915905566 0.02122683935959705 0.2260421570053
831
Commissioner Of Labor Coweta 58056 1096 55244 1044 0.018878324376464105 0.018897979871117226 0.95000
99352398498
Commissioner Of Labor Crawford 4931 132 4697 124 0.02676941796795782 0.026399829678518203 0.57783239
94484768
Commissioner Of Labor Crisp 7024 247 6661 237 0.03516514806378132 0.03558024320672572 0.522575986650
1862
Commissioner Of Labor Dade 5450 195 5321 185 0.03577981651376147 0.03476790077053186 0.0333167648129
38986
Commissioner Of Labor Dawson 11570 226 11131 219 0.019533275713050993 0.019674782139969454 0.7401358
822146
Commissioner Of Labor DeKalb 310968 8118 291296 7459 0.026105579995369298 0.025606256179281556 1.142
1846292792532e-10
Commissioner Of Labor Decatur 9043 227 8495 211 0.02510228906336393 0.024838140082401414 0.602424688
7862326
Commissioner Of Labor Dodge 7051 299 6603 283 0.042405332576939445 0.04285930637588975 0.55908982178
62827
Commissioner Of Labor Dooly 3793 156 3597 150 0.041128394410756657 0.041701417848206836 0.5883820496
63891
Commissioner Of Labor Dougherty 31297 674 29353 638 0.02153561044189539 0.02173542738391306 0.389651
2928841446
Commissioner Of Labor Douglas 55197 852 51964 804 0.015435621501168542 0.015472250019244092 0.852359
5466196648
Commissioner Of Labor Early 4131 86 3658 73 0.020818203824739773 0.019956260251503554 0.359136683783
6408
Commissioner Of Labor Echols 1143 28 1108 28 0.024496937882764653 0.02527075812274368 0.828393429069
3437
Commissioner Of Labor Effingham 23365 414 22615 404 0.017718810186175903 0.017864249391996462 0.4420
7881864057286
Commissioner Of Labor Elbert 7319 188 6756 183 0.02568656920344309 0.02708703374777975 0.00567399006
7385288
Commissioner Of Labor Emanuel 7710 269 7320 259 0.03488975356679637 0.03538251366120219 0.3832437757
0915313
Commissioner Of Labor Evans 3447 118 3248 112 0.034232666086451986 0.034482758620689655 0.9445354393
746619
Commissioner Of Labor Fannin 11203 287 10545 268 0.025618137998750335 0.02541488857278331 0.65484627
44735842
Commissioner Of Labor Fayette 57962 1223 55315 1153 0.021100031054829025 0.020844255626864323 0.0652
4302953276344

GA005409

Commissioner Of Labor Floyd 30225 672 28965 637 0.022233250620347395 0.021992059382012773 0.21066665
190756154
Commissioner Of Labor Forsyth 93239 1911 88811 1804 0.020495715312262037 0.02031279909020279 0.09229
919938398527
Commissioner Of Labor Franklin 8149 147 7761 143 0.01803902319302982 0.018425460636515914 0.32797441
44959712
Commissioner Of Labor Fulton 421806 11574 403964 10897 0.027439154492823716 0.026975176005782694 9.3
93862386157765e-17
Commissioner Of Labor Gilmer 12471 317 11912 313 0.025418972015074974 0.0262760241773002 0.002343995
4378141796
Commissioner Of Labor Glascock 1300 66 1219 63 0.05076923076923077 0.051681706316652996 0.7993324540
615825
Commissioner Of Labor Glynn 32501 750 30689 728 0.02307621303959878 0.023721854736224707 0.000769649
3050762975
Commissioner Of Labor Gordon 17772 485 17225 467 0.02729011928876885 0.02711175616835994 0.479642405
6365982
Commissioner Of Labor Grady 8356 164 7956 152 0.019626615605552896 0.019105077928607342 0.1881058582
1613335
Commissioner Of Labor Greene 8989 167 8366 151 0.018578262320614086 0.018049246951948362 0.232758859
41306124
Commissioner Of Labor Gwinnett 312709 5674 292312 5354 0.01814466484814956 0.018316045868797724 0.00
6115840152886886
Commissioner Of Labor Habersham 15495 369 14642 353 0.023814133591481122 0.02410872831580385 0.38193
009948996265
Commissioner Of Labor Hall 67282 1410 63789 1333 0.020956570851044857 0.020897019862358715 0.6783653
617482358
Commissioner Of Labor Hancock 3539 171 3049 154 0.04831873410567957 0.050508363397835356 0.152636762
83021027
Commissioner Of Labor Haralson 10585 181 10192 178 0.017099669343410485 0.017464678178963893 0.18284
510926983782
Commissioner Of Labor Harris 15972 309 15243 291 0.019346356123215627 0.019090730171226138 0.3463550
204715251
Commissioner Of Labor Hart 9618 294 9178 283 0.03056768558951965 0.030834604488995422 0.600326915760
1676
Commissioner Of Labor Heard 4051 94 3773 86 0.023204147124166873 0.02279353299761463 0.6319846961262
696
Commissioner Of Labor Henry 98365 1662 93368 1551 0.01689625374879276 0.01661168708765316 0.00468934
8830035048
Commissioner Of Labor Houston 59158 1002 55968 932 0.01693769228168633 0.01665237278444825 5 0.034423
185162220336
Commissioner Of Labor Irwin 3556 94 3423 91 0.026434195725534307 0.02658486707566462 2 1.059441274021
2533
Commissioner Of Labor Jackson 26889 463 25870 448 0.017218937111830115 0.01731735601082334 8 0.635223

GA005410

1566706364
Commissioner Of Labor Jasper 5907 133 5486 122 0.022515659387167767 0.022238425082026978 0.697483525
5481626
Commissioner Of Labor Jeff Davis 4815 182 4586 173 0.03779854620976116 0.037723506323593545 1.004059
9541878619
Commissioner Of Labor Jefferson 6756 258 6149 238 0.03818827708703375 0.03870548056594568 0.56427822
92003691
Commissioner Of Labor Jenkins 2856 84 2668 77 0.029411764705882353 0.02886056971514243 0.62927693250
98755
Commissioner Of Labor Johnson 3483 138 3247 124 0.03962101636520241 0.03818909762858023 0.1624211176
8834344
Commissioner Of Labor Jones 12432 274 11648 252 0.02203989703989704 0.021634615384615384 0.289769824
5907884
Commissioner Of Labor Lamar 7346 154 6942 146 0.020963789817587803 0.021031403053874964 1.0497606723
109238
Commissioner Of Labor Lanier 2679 101 2571 98 0.03770063456513625 0.03811746402178141 0.822945884516
5297
Commissioner Of Labor Laurens 18939 521 17653 482 0.027509372194941654 0.02730414093921713 0.5699454
00199552
Commissioner Of Labor Lee 13549 227 13069 218 0.016754003985533988 0.01668069477389242 0.82680062461
65223
Commissioner Of Labor Liberty 15358 258 14408 239 0.01679906237791379 0.01658800666296502 0.49456025
07691656
Commissioner Of Labor Lincoln 3967 102 3617 97 0.025712125031509957 0.026817804810616533 0.203534665
02022266
Commissioner Of Labor Long 3988 120 3819 117 0.03009027081243731 0.030636292223095052 0.485750599073
80325
Commissioner Of Labor Lowndes 35212 636 33322 591 0.018062024309894353 0.017736030250285098 0.073861
49195070599
Commissioner Of Labor Lumpkin 11551 252 11084 240 0.021816292961648342 0.021652832912306026 0.643454
0134048148
Commissioner Of Labor Macon 4217 192 3886 180 0.04552999762864596 0.04632012352032939 0.491407164729
7251
Commissioner Of Labor Madison 11697 285 11170 278 0.024365221851756862 0.024888093106535364 0.105240
81180018868
Commissioner Of Labor Marion 2930 84 2689 83 0.028668941979522185 0.030866493120119003 0.01162623893
759484
Commissioner Of Labor McDuffie 8792 171 7924 150 0.019449499545040945 0.018929833417465926 0.3462276
144484205
Commissioner Of Labor McIntosh 5408 152 4968 139 0.028106508875739646 0.027979066022544283 0.9362738
800740796
Commissioner Of Labor Meriwether 8639 209 8156 196 0.024192614885982174 0.024031387935262383 0.77288
68021541464

GA005411

Commissioner Of Labor Miller 2313 107 2181 101 0.04626026805015132 0.04630903255387437 1.16087801242
71472
Commissioner Of Labor Mitchell 7446 156 6882 143 0.020950846091861403 0.02077884335948852 0.80359639
30980197
Commissioner Of Labor Monroe 12932 326 12236 316 0.025208784410763997 0.02582543314808761 0.06517589
192503108
Commissioner Of Labor Montgomery 3528 128 3317 122 0.036281179138321996 0.03678022309315647 0.692907
9882704491
Commissioner Of Labor Morgan 9554 241 9144 225 0.02522503663387063 0.024606299212598427 0.1103440413
954272
Commissioner Of Labor Murray 11091 314 10826 299 0.02831124335046434 0.027618695732495844 0.01692100
7071457186
Commissioner Of Labor Muscogee 63141 1294 58719 1209 0.02049381542896058 0.02058958769733817 0.57990
13118970682
Commissioner Of Labor Newton 43129 870 40394 803 0.0201720420134944 0.01987918997870971 0.1175077220
1370216
Commissioner Of Labor Oconee 20733 506 19802 483 0.024405537066512325 0.02439147560852439 1.01326929
99636848
Commissioner Of Labor Oglethorpe 6484 204 6075 196 0.03146206045650833 0.032263374485596706 0.189710
4477377537
Commissioner Of Labor Paulding 61222 1132 57915 1059 0.01849008526346738 0.018285418285418284 0.1375
1304343747006
Commissioner Of Labor Peach 10355 217 9910 205 0.020956059874456784 0.020686175580221997 0.447762879
07977724
Commissioner Of Labor Pickens 13362 354 13140 347 0.026493039964077234 0.026407914764079148 0.748389
4930102144
Commissioner Of Labor Pierce 6883 107 6556 104 0.015545546999854715 0.01586333129957291 0.4889138575
673547
Commissioner Of Labor Pike 8534 146 8230 142 0.017108038434497305 0.017253948967193195 0.80119793121
99574
Commissioner Of Labor Polk 12859 290 12412 284 0.0225522980013998 0.022881082823074442 0.23592657352
89281
Commissioner Of Labor Pulaski 3622 165 3390 152 0.04555494202098288 0.044837758112094395 0.512698959
7048461
Commissioner Of Labor Putnam 9321 207 8723 200 0.022207917605407145 0.022927891780350797 0.080124466
20766424
Commissioner Of Labor Quitman 940 51 880 43 0.05425531914893617 0.048863636363636366 0.0246941254149
00726
Commissioner Of Labor Rabun 7578 202 6735 174 0.026656109791501716 0.025835189309576838 0.2566971995
8189263
Commissioner Of Labor Randolph 2788 87 2475 75 0.031205164992826398 0.030303030303030304 0.532193769
1632392
Commissioner Of Labor Richmond 70043 1449 64729 1352 0.02068729209200063 0.020887083069412473 0.2095

GA005412

9735264775886
Commissioner Of Labor Rockdale 36600 626 34747 595 0.017103825136612023 0.017123780470256426 0.99293
38763476395
Commissioner Of Labor Schley 1931 55 1835 55 0.02848265147591921 0.02997275204359673 0.1161906001917
1064
Commissioner Of Labor Screven 5407 179 5136 170 0.033105233955982985 0.033099688473520246 1.09201860
01350891
Commissioner Of Labor Seminole 3214 80 2950 72 0.024891101431238332 0.02440677966101695 0.6676739038
433619
Commissioner Of Labor Spalding 24385 662 23102 614 0.027147836784908754 0.02657778547311921 0.031466
828857502745
Commissioner Of Labor Stephens 9069 220 8533 206 0.024258462895578342 0.02414156803000117 0.85424931
91207154
Commissioner Of Labor Stewart 1784 152 1551 135 0.08520179372197309 0.08704061895551257 0.5655020496
055607
Commissioner Of Labor Sumter 10556 285 9679 259 0.026998863205759758 0.02675896270275855 0.674220668
504326
Commissioner Of Labor Talbot 2952 130 2667 108 0.04403794037940379 0.04049493813273341 0.01115260092
5096519
Commissioner Of Labor Taliaferro 917 83 755 66 0.09051254089422028 0.08741721854304636 0.56694770202
91772
Commissioner Of Labor Tattnall 6639 202 6247 195 0.030426269016418137 0.031214983191932128 0.1656651
1709398007
Commissioner Of Labor Taylor 3265 80 3022 73 0.02450229709035222 0.02415618795499669 2 0.771377468520
2974
Commissioner Of Labor Telfair 3631 148 3300 140 0.04076012117873864 0.04242424242424243 0.1315820309
0844894
Commissioner Of Labor Terrell 3930 126 3603 120 0.03206106870229008 0.03330557868442964 0.1781647522
0226904
Commissioner Of Labor Thomas 17241 382 16250 366 0.02215648744272374 0.022523076923076923 0.21810885
978307548
Commissioner Of Labor Tift 13669 390 13108 372 0.028531714097593093 0.02837961550198352 0.6756470108
01235
Commissioner Of Labor Toombs 8851 249 8170 223 0.02813241441645012 0.02729498164014688 0.13517759204
956065
Commissioner Of Labor Towns 6132 167 5848 159 0.027234181343770383 0.027188782489740083 1.0266273283
41716
Commissioner Of Labor Treutlen 2610 120 2464 109 0.04597701149425287 0.044237012987012984 0.13760492
291314372
Commissioner Of Labor Troup 23663 519 22399 482 0.02193297553142036 0.021518817804366267 0.092101945
91001158
Commissioner Of Labor Turner 3269 104 3121 103 0.03181401040073417 0.03300224287087472 0.09104894054
916372

GA005413

Commissioner Of Labor Twiggs 3787 128 3512 120 0.03379984156324267 0.03416856492027335 0.81692287570
4607
Commissioner Of Labor Union 11863 267 11381 255 0.022506954396021243 0.022405763992619277 0.80393408
54154601
Commissioner Of Labor Upson 10558 250 9956 237 0.023678727031634778 0.023804740859783045 0.864684870
8165615
Commissioner Of Labor Walker 21451 525 20638 505 0.024474383478625704 0.024469425331912008 1.0463056
408162634
Commissioner Of Labor Walton 38635 771 36866 736 0.019955998447004013 0.019964194650897847 1.0476555
301150872
Commissioner Of Labor Ware 11004 198 10377 185 0.017993456924754635 0.017827888599787994 0.678542357
5220211
Commissioner Of Labor Warren 2260 84 2073 79 0.03716814159292035 0.038109020742884706 0.582831478310
848
Commissioner Of Labor Washington 8159 358 7432 332 0.04387792621644809 0.044671689989235736 0.304667
16987234355
Commissioner Of Labor Wayne 10122 274 9621 265 0.027069749061450307 0.027543914354017252 0.244238757
5747966
Commissioner Of Labor Webster 1100 31 948 28 0.028181818181818183 0.029535864978902954 0.71918149850
97557
Commissioner Of Labor Wheeler 1927 56 1812 56 0.029060716139076286 0.03090507726269316 0.06053953572
635422
Commissioner Of Labor White 11434 219 10897 208 0.019153402133986357 0.01908782233642287 0.907500995
2729007
Commissioner Of Labor Whitfield 27302 737 26285 713 0.026994359387590653 0.027125737112421534 0.5734
012454079105
Commissioner Of Labor Wilcox 2815 86 2610 79 0.030550621669627 0.030268199233716476 0.87573781555039
61
Commissioner Of Labor Wilkes 4371 144 4070 130 0.032944406314344546 0.03194103194103194 0.2358355688
5543241
Commissioner Of Labor Wilkinson 4264 167 3994 157 0.039165103189493435 0.03930896344516775 1.0158584
550605307
Commissioner Of Labor Worth 7831 175 7526 170 0.022347082109564553 0.02258836035078395 0.63448805051
47468
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Appling 6806 209 6276 192 0.030708198648251542
0.030592734225621414 0.9254447934484417
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Atkinson 2520 122 2432 122 0.04841269841269841
4 0.05016447368421053 0.02344920419883716
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Bacon 3829 109 3655 106 0.028466962653434316
0.029001367989056087 0.5223390915761899
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Baker 1291 70 1150 62 0.054221533694810226 0.0
5391304347826087 1.0084551242101076
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Baldwin 15633 486 14475 462 0.0310880829015544

GA005414

04 0.03191709844559586 0.03483727408540803
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Banks 6852 124 6629 119 0.018096906012842966
0.01795142555438226 0.756437111256961
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Barrow 27398 478 26135 450 0.01744652894371852
0.017218289649894778 0.23354041068133985
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Bartow 37327 629 35759 608 0.01685107294987542
7 0.017002712603820018 0.32354622849839587
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Ben Hill 5533 109 5213 101 0.01969998192662208
6 0.019374640322271246 0.5892907233421034
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Berrien 6247 155 5925 149 0.024811909716664 0.
025147679324894513 0.6103228790651312
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Bibb 60460 1306 55999 1247 0.02160105855110817
2 0.022268254790264112 2.6369102642548318e-05
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Bleckley 4855 149 4598 141 0.03069001029866117
2 0.030665506742061765 1.0730445693487998
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Brantley 5689 142 5501 139 0.02496044999121111
0.025268133066715142 0.6060297020735721
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Brooks 5696 126 5231 118 0.02212078651685393
0.02255782833110304 0.576962084783079
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Bryan 14970 251 14388 239 0.01676686706746827
0.016611064776202392 0.5442152496885333
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Bulloch 23543 564 22467 536 0.0239561653145308
58 0.023857212800997018 0.7059365881811132
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Burke 8719 191 7751 171 0.02190618190159422 0.
022061669462004902 0.892027621180314
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Butts 8863 181 8483 176 0.02042197901387792 0.
020747377107155487 0.41067209887672146
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Calhoun 1899 45 1714 41 0.023696682464454975
0.023920653442240373 1.0997313994724436
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Camden 17053 326 15860 308 0.01911687093180085
6 0.019419924337957126 0.34629268116116396
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Candler 3538 106 3418 103 0.029960429621254947
0.03013458162668227 1.0243903128269076
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Carroll 41739 740 40221 709 0.0177292220704856
38 0.017627607468735238 0.4681343795085505
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Catoosa 23725 419 23185 408 0.0176606954689146
47 0.01759758464524477 0.7160023942521647
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Charlton 3369 90 3206 85 0.026714158504007122
0.026512788521522147 0.8850924142668659
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Chatham 103338 2322 97161 2173 0.0224699529698
65876 0.022364940665493355 0.3884404296668458
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Chattahoochee 1102 43 1067 42 0.03901996370235
935 0.03936269915651359 1.1986723818034077

GA005415

Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Chattooga 7427 187 7202 184 0.0251784031237377
13 0.025548458761455152 0.3517328230062613
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Cherokee 106299 1964 100987 1864 0.01847618510
051835 0.018457821303732164 0.8757433291222609
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Clarke 43261 1018 40239 959 0.0235315873419477
13 0.023832600213723003 0.14278080817561464
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Clay 1186 44 1043 38 0.03709949409780776 0.036
4333652924257 0.8827356216255784
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Clayton 91840 1477 85993 1342 0.01608231707317
0732 0.015605921412207971 3.814075665245147e-05
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Clinch 2254 90 2145 88 0.03992901508429459 0.0
41025641025641026 0.35621103101305374
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Cobb 310381 5372 284480 4883 0.017307760462141
69 0.017164651293588302 0.047478911357229925
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Coffee 12595 350 12200 343 0.02778880508138150
2 0.028114754098360655 0.2740178169262409
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Colquitt 12953 410 12439 402 0.031652898942329
96 0.03231771042688319 0.03199943247636296
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Columbia 61591 965 58655 917 0.015667873552954
164 0.015633790810672576 0.803120023930895
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Cook 5803 102 5559 100 0.01757711528519731 0.0
17988846914912753 0.3801404845351668
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Coweta 58056 988 55244 934 0.01701805153644756
6 0.016906813409601044 0.3951321120489947
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Crawford 4931 116 4697 112 0.02352464003244778
0.02384500745156483 0.6966240816035223
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Crisp 7024 263 6661 252 0.03744305239179954 0.
03783215733373367 0.57022327175284
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Dade 5450 204 5321 194 0.03743119266055046 0.0
3645931215936854 0.04423540062189183
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Dawson 11570 180 11131 177 0.01555747623163353
4 0.0159015362501123 0.17042796741281618
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta DeKalb 310968 6618 291296 6149 0.0212819325461
1407 0.02110911238053389 0.012170672725772132
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Decatur 9043 214 8495 201 0.023664713037708725
0.02366097704532077 8 1.075979295480614
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Dodge 7051 310 6603 295 0.04396539497943554 4
0.0446766621232773 0.31694517880674433
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Dooly 3793 147 3597 142 0.03875560242552069 5
0.03947734222963581 0.4368822628935295
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Dougherty 31297 682 29353 639 0.02179122599610
186 0.021769495451912924 0.9644683976238924
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Douglas 55197 736 51964 686 0.0133340580103991

GA005416

17 0.013201447155723193 0.3123525624457475
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Early 4131 89 3658 75 0.021544420237230695 0.0
2050300710770913 0.26798559692419754
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Echols 1143 31 1108 31 0.02712160979877515 0.0
27978339350180504 0.7527406744203812
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Effingham 23365 402 22615 386 0.01720522148512
7327 0.01706831748839266 0.4466408993367449
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Elbert 7319 195 6756 191 0.02664298401420959
0.02827116637063351 0.001082690315701129
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Emanuel 7710 271 7320 261 0.03514915693904021
0.035655737704918034 0.3684336584677408
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Evans 3447 124 3248 119 0.03597331012474616 0.
036637931034482756 0.5365832148556765
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Fannin 11203 234 10545 222 0.02088726234044452
3 0.021052631578947368 0.753689530020197
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Fayette 57962 1068 55315 1007 0.01842586522204
2027 0.018204826900479074 0.08978688892748649
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Floyd 30225 654 28965 622 0.02163771712158809
0.021474192991541515 0.39694697797835965
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Forsyth 93239 1665 88811 1551 0.01785733437724
5574 0.017464052876332885 0.00015176334372228606
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Franklin 8149 146 7761 143 0.01791630874953982
0.018425460636515914 0.1548236704528350 7
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Fulton 421806 9898 403964 9295 0.0234657638819
7418 0.023009476091928983 1.990369173169821e-18
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Gilmer 12471 308 11912 303 0.02469729773073530
6 0.02543653458697112 0.01017729754784005
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Glascock 1300 60 1219 58 0.046153846153846156
0.0475799835931091 0.526004309334265
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Glynn 32501 672 30689 647 0.02067628688348051
0.021082472547166737 0.0337464274848466
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Gordon 17772 441 17225 423 0.02481431465226198
5 0.02455732946298984 0.2750872070975371
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Grady 8356 167 7956 157 0.019985639061752034
0.01973353443941679 0.5563781315136543
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Greene 8989 140 8366 128 0.015574591166981866
0.01530002390628735 4 0.5270535654160307
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Gwinnett 312709 5075 292312 4762 0.01622914594
7190517 0.016290812556446536 0.31505600822268853
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Habersham 15495 357 14642 339 0.02303969022265
2467 0.023152574784865456 0.8108596788110205
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Hall 67282 1320 63789 1257 0.01961891739246752
3 0.01970559187320698 0.5357867177505299

GA005417

Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Hancock 3539 156 3049 140 0.04408024865781294 0.045916693998032145 0.22102745958295655
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Haralson 10585 214 10192 208 0.020217288615965 99 0.02040816326530612 0.6261503168233589
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Harris 15972 291 15243 279 0.01821938392186326 0.01830348356622712 0.8559899792430374
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Hart 9618 266 9178 260 0.027656477438136828 0. 028328611898016998 0.0730189961531744
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Heard 4051 87 3773 83 0.02147617872130338 0.02 1998409753511795 0.5534291536074613
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Henry 98365 1470 93368 1363 0.0149443399583185 07 0.014598149258846714 0.00030677849516891066
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Houston 59158 883 55968 816 0.0149261300246796 7 0.014579759862778732 0.006821517819992047
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Irwin 3556 94 3423 93 0.026434195725534307 0.0 2716914986853637 0.25167796990499486
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Jackson 26889 437 25870 420 0.0162519989586819 88 0.01623502126014689 0.9819812002937104
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Jasper 5907 105 5486 100 0.017775520568816656 0.01822821728034998 0.4615034597768304
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Jeff Davis 4815 201 4586 193 0.041744548286604 365 0.04208460532054078 0.7516808967512317
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Jefferson 6756 237 6149 215 0.0350799289520426 3 0.03496503496503497 0.9384682764649193
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Jenkins 2856 76 2668 72 0.02661064425770308 0. 026986506746626688 0.8633944395644235
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Johnson 3483 143 3247 130 0.0410565604364054 0.04003695719125346 0.3363752820770588
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Jones 12432 255 11648 235 0.020511583011583012 0.020175137362637364 0.3688211809698009
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Lamar 7346 143 6942 136 0.019466376259188675 0.01959089599539038 0.9348041829756182
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Lanier 2679 92 2571 88 0.03434117207913401 0.0 34227926876701675 1.026441369608631
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Laurens 18939 530 17653 497 0.0279845820793072 5 0.028153854868860816 0.6772952820273409
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Lee 13549 208 13069 200 0.015351686471326297 0.015303389700818732 0.9148574052590477
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Liberty 15358 244 14408 228 0.0158874853496549 02 0.015824541921154914 0.8834935446829233
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Lincoln 3967 96 3617 95 0.02419964708847996 0. 026264860381531654 0.0026535208505333078
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Long 3988 122 3819 120 0.030591775325977934 0.

GA005418

031421838177533384 0.20454495005519857
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Lowndes 35212 601 33322 569 0.0170680449846643
2 0.017075805773963146 1.0560552546617912
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Lumpkin 11551 216 11084 207 0.0186996796814128
64 0.018675568386863947 1.0232004831129986
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Macon 4217 178 3886 166 0.042210101968223855
0.04271744724652599 0.6980857551672472
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Madison 11697 279 11170 272 0.0238522698127725
04 0.024350940017905102 0.12177094627647066
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Marion 2930 81 2689 77 0.02764505119453925 0.0
2863518036444775 0.3806764052700257
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta McDuffie 8792 166 7924 154 0.01888080072793448
7 0.019434628975265017 0.305444539490649
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta McIntosh 5408 150 4968 139 0.02773668639053254
4 0.027979066022544283 0.8616540796484612
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Meriwether 8639 207 8156 199 0.023961106609561
293 0.02439921530161844 0.348248976072542
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Miller 2313 114 2181 108 0.04928664072632944
0.04951856946354883 1.042880680668824
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Mitchell 7446 164 6882 156 0.0220252484555466
0.022667829119442023 0.23370259893675166
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Monroe 12932 290 12236 285 0.02242499226724404
4 0.023291925465838508 0.002708709419458632
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Montgomery 3528 124 3317 119 0.035147392290249
435 0.03587579137775098 0.4751254319330232
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Morgan 9554 208 9144 199 0.02177098597446096
0.021762904636920384 1.077195463315756 8
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Murray 11091 313 10826 301 0.02822108015508069
7 0.02780343617217809 0.14527811825180753
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Muscogee 63141 1165 58719 1094 0.0184507689140
17833 0.018631107477988386 0.2396873841251743
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Newton 43129 766 40394 724 0.01776067147395024
2 0.01792345397831361 0.3651655457978468
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Oconee 20733 453 19802 433 0.02184922587179858
0.021866478133521865 1.055579621805487
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Oglethorpe 6484 155 6075 149 0.023904996915484
27 0.024526748971193415 0.266783290780498
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Paulding 61222 986 57915 925 0.016105321616412
4 0.015971682638349305 0.3040519329955258
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Peach 10355 210 9910 201 0.020280057943022695
0.020282542885973764 1.103811601966949
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Pickens 13362 303 13140 297 0.0226762460709474
64 0.022602739726027398 0.7802036087309967

GA005419

Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Pierce 6883 122 6556 119 0.017724829289553972 0.01815131177547285 0.322542856167071
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Pike 8534 133 8230 130 0.015584719943754394 0.015795868772782502 0.5935748502594316
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Polk 12859 264 12412 259 0.020530367835757058 0.02086690299709958 0.1977523758464558
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Pulaski 3622 162 3390 150 0.0447266703478741 0.04424778761061947 0.6846338388841028
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Putnam 9321 177 8723 169 0.018989378822014805 0.019374068554396422 0.3809675956537764
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Quitman 940 54 880 45 0.0574468085106383 0.05113636363636364 0.010439706867671442
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Rabun 7578 178 6735 153 0.023489047242016364 0.02271714922048998 0.25966067001091087
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Randolph 2788 100 2475 86 0.035868005738880916 0.03474747474747475 0.4522814853707721
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Richmond 70043 1462 64729 1345 0.020872892366117956 0.020778939887840072 0.5711053484975658
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Rockdale 36600 566 34747 542 0.01546448087431694 0.015598468932569718 0.4276346108051804
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Schley 1931 57 1835 57 0.029518384256861728 0.03106267029972752 0.10460027814609907
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Screven 5407 168 5136 163 0.03107083410393934 0.03173676012461059 0.2896221362771091
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Seminole 3214 67 2950 62 0.020846297448662104 0.021016949152542375 1.0477245680801428
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Spalding 24385 665 23102 620 0.027270863235595653 0.026837503246472168 0.10181821892902028
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Stephens 9069 206 8533 191 0.022714742529496085 0.022383686862768076 0.47343805780427545
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Stewart 1784 139 1551 124 0.07791479820627803 0.07994842037395229 0.49570133212753414
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Sumter 10556 300 9679 275 0.028419856006062904 0.028412026035747495 1.049587668942012
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Talbot 2952 146 2667 123 0.0494579945799458 0.04611923509561305 0.022383985108337386
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Taliaferro 917 80 755 63 0.08724100327153762 0.08344370860927153 0.45979940314241935
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Tattnall 6639 222 6247 217 0.033438770899231814 0.0347366736033296 0.015429884282350719
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Taylor 3265 80 3022 76 0.02450229709035222 0.02514890800794176 0.5554567146380615
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Telfair 3631 144 3300 141 0.039658496282015974

GA005420

0.042727272727272725 0.0010761866444948768
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Terrell 3930 115 3603 114 0.029262086513994912
0.03164029975020816 0.0009252207695899329
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Thomas 17241 373 16250 360 0.02163447595847108
7 0.022153846153846152 0.06116311093478877
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Tift 13669 366 13108 357 0.02677591630697198
0.02723527616722612 0.1253186024247169
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Toombs 8851 266 8170 245 0.03005310134448085
0.029987760097919217 0.9688506208736575
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Towns 6132 171 5848 162 0.027886497064579255
0.027701778385772913 0.7913865460967366
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Treutlen 2610 109 2464 98 0.04176245210727969
0.03977272727272727 0.07694426813047514
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Troup 23663 504 22399 473 0.021299074504500696
0.02111701415241752 0.4650765060026326
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Turner 3269 101 3121 100 0.030896298562251453
0.03204101249599487 0.1024869060266126
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Twiggs 3787 121 3512 117 0.031951412727752836
0.033314350797266516 0.10651299103259729
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Union 11863 272 11381 259 0.022928432942763213
0.022757226957209383 0.6269133504108859
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Upson 10558 220 9956 209 0.020837279787838607
0.02099236641221374 0.7886303503667135
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Walker 21451 439 20638 421 0.02046524637546035
3 0.02039926349452466 0.8001853113624319
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Walton 38635 689 36866 661 0.01783357059660929
3 0.0179297998155482 0.5879624587097385
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Ware 11004 206 10377 179 0.01872046528535078
0.017249686807362438 8.483141361476435e-05
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Warren 2260 81 2073 77 0.03584070796460177 0.0
371442354076218 0.37000431416738805
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Washington 8159 336 7432 311 0.041181517342811
62 0.04184607104413348 0.38849929895818364
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Wayne 10122 274 9621 261 0.027069749061450307
0.027128157156220765 1.0183579040593598
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Webster 1100 38 948 32 0.034545454545454546 0.
03375527426160337 0.862815778788582
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Wheeler 1927 46 1812 46 0.023871302542812663
0.025386313465783666 0.11394597381312287
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta White 11434 189 10897 182 0.016529648417001924
0.01670184454437001 0.6624988841931724
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Whitfield 27302 641 26285 622 0.02347813347007
5453 0.02366368651322047 0.35744458091730147

GA005421

Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Wilcox 2815 79 2610 74 0.028063943161634103 0.028352490421455937 0.9593432621537887
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Wilkes 4371 146 4070 137 0.033401967513154884 0.03366093366093366 0.8886538257438112
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Wilkinson 4264 165 3994 158 0.0386960600375234 54 0.039559339008512766 0.337104462822968
Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta Worth 7831 179 7526 173 0.022857872557783168 0.022986978474621313 0.8988030857136999
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Appling 6806 226 6276 210 0.03320599471054952 0.0334 60803059273424 0.8054904187792586
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Atkinson 2520 132 2432 132 0.05238095238095238 0.054 276315789473686 0.016122961340751463
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Bacon 3829 118 3655 115 0.03081744580830504 0.031463 74829001368 0.4136270649993572
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Baker 1291 69 1150 61 0.053446940356312936 0.0530434 7826086957 0.9738962828133593
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Baldwin 15633 452 14475 433 0.02891319644342097 0.02 9913644214162347 0.006591322499004788
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Banks 6852 127 6629 124 0.018534734384121423 0.01870 568713229748 0.8047273007916005
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Barrow 27398 477 26135 449 0.01741002992919191 0.017 18002678400612 0.22940550742667565
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Bartow 37327 666 35759 646 0.017842312535162216 0.01 806538214155877 0.134702198867361
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Ben Hill 5533 109 5213 102 0.019699981926622086 0.01 956646844427393 0.8884472670232344
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Berrien 6247 148 5925 144 0.023691371858492075 0.024 30379746835443 0.22710873920044516
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Bibb 60460 1304 55999 1239 0.021567978828977836 0.02 2125395096341007 0.0005451911597622057
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Bleckley 4855 156 4598 148 0.032131822863027806 0.03 218790778599391 1.1103478666393036
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Brantley 5689 164 5501 160 0.028827561961680435 0.02 9085620796218868 0.7261992993684885
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Brooks 5696 144 5231 132 0.025280898876404494 0.0252 34180844962723 1.0299899941910269
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Bryan 14970 276 14388 265 0.01843687374749499 0.0184 18126216291353 1.0202278225083454
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Bulloch 23543 571 22467 545 0.024253493607441702 0.0 24257800329371967 1.0732926005375494
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Burke 8719 214 7751 197 0.024544099093932792 0.02541 6075345116758 0.15903705275728275
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Butts 8863 181 8483 171 0.02042197901387792 0.020157

GA005422

962984793117 0.4983825292603701
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Calhoun 1899 46 1714 42 0.02422327540810953 0.024504
084014002333 1.0601151460102496
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Camden 17053 319 15860 300 0.018706385973142555 0.01
8915510718789406 0.5450897590784112
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Candler 3538 97 3418 94 0.027416619559072923 0.02750
1462843768285 1.1613193761784721
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Carroll 41739 734 40221 697 0.017585471621265482 0.0
17329255861365953 0.060075556487047446
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Catoosa 23725 454 23185 444 0.019135932560590097 0.0
1915031270217813 1.0811050599217862
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Charlton 3369 99 3206 93 0.029385574354407838 0.0290
08109794135994 0.6911079576182113
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Chatham 103338 2306 97161 2155 0.022315121252588593
0.022179681147785634 0.26198186206711227
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Chattahoochee 1102 35 1067 35 0.03176043557168784 0.
03280224929709466 0.6347021757150405
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Chattooga 7427 199 7202 195 0.026794129527400026 0.0
2707581227436823 0.5469323520529134
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Cherokee 106299 1991 100987 1880 0.01873018560851936
7 0.018616257538098962 0.2548249894278961
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Clarke 43261 1057 40239 996 0.02443309216153117 0.02
475210616566018 0.1258436748503774
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Clay 1186 43 1043 35 0.03625632377740304 0.033557046
97986577 0.27180383270616326
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Clayton 91840 1600 85993 1473 0.017421602787456445
0.017129301222192504 0.013384911573349636
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Clinch 2254 97 2145 95 0.04303460514640639 0.0442890
4428904429 0.2815018577460857
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Cobb 310381 5389 284480 4791 0.017362531856009226 0.
01684125421822272 2.0081819532474214e-12
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Coffee 12595 384 12200 378 0.030488289003572845 0.03
0983606557377048 0.08031946812609643
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Colquitt 12953 426 12439 413 0.032888134023006256 0.
033202025886325266 0.3950841915491175
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Columbia 61591 963 58655 911 0.015635401276160477 0.
015531497741028044 0.3902133060252711
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Cook 5803 119 5559 117 0.020506634499396863 0.021046
950890447922 0.23287667687912428
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Coweta 58056 1048 55244 987 0.018051536447567865 0.0
1786619361378611 0.1628659628535605
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Crawford 4931 136 4697 131 0.027580612451835327 0.02
7890142644241004 0.7352351367354804

GA005423

Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Crisp 7024 265 6661 250 0.03772779043280182 0.037531
90211679928 0.7912783738379694
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Dade 5450 187 5321 175 0.03431192660550459 0.0328885
54782935536 0.0029161337895689996
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Dawson 11570 193 11131 191 0.016681071737251512 0.01
7159284880064685 0.04136901059191216
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western DeKalb 310968 7052 291296 6574 0.022677574541431916
0.022568109414478742 0.12264575517350763
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Decatur 9043 203 8495 194 0.022448302554462014 0.022
836962919364334 0.4114108394989715
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Dodge 7051 316 6603 304 0.04481633810806978 0.046039
67893381796 0.06090673415279711
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Dooly 3793 170 3597 161 0.04481940416556815 0.044759
52182374201 1.0415674011791416
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Dougherty 31297 687 29353 638 0.021950985717480907
0.021735427383913 06 0.34984639020223696
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Douglas 55197 740 51964 685 0.013406525716977372 0.0
13182203063659456 0.0859985864728365
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Early 4131 101 3658 85 0.024449285887194385 0.023236
741388737013 0.21904286105509319
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Echols 1143 36 1108 36 0.031496062992125984 0.032490
974729241874 0.6413169146224094
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Effingham 23365 421 22615 403 0.018018403595120906
0.01782003095290736 0.26868027138138983
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Elbert 7319 226 6756 220 0.030878535319032653 0.0325
6364712847839 0.002032951582612749
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Emanuel 7710 286 7320 273 0.037094682230869 0.037295
081967213116 0.8183761770801574
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Evans 3447 140 3248 135 0.040615027560197275 0.04156
403940886699 0.34029955221063424
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Fannin 11203 294 10545 277 0.026242970632866198 0.02
626837363679469 1.0744290948116275
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Fayette 57962 1018 55315 952 0.01756323108243332 0.0
17210521558347645 0.006094672951580157
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Floyd 30225 671 28965 641 0.022200165425971877 0.022
130157086138445 0.7457274302067718
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Forsyth 93239 1656 88811 1533 0.017760808245476677
0.017261375280089176 1.8837798464975777e-06
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Franklin 8149 149 7761 144 0.018284452080009818 0.01
8554310011596443 0.5601609085536978
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Fulton 421806 10398 403964 9746 0.02465114294249015
0.02412591220999891 1.173090842874586e-22
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Gilmer 12471 311 11912 301 0.024937855825515196 0.02

GA005424

5268636668905307 0.34057429430248476
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Glascock 1300 67 1219 65 0.05153846153846154 0.05332
239540607055 0.393558468869634
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Glynn 32501 649 30689 618 0.019968616350266144 0.020
13750855355339 0.42310815660732837
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Gordon 17772 485 17225 464 0.02729011928876885 0.026
937590711175616 0.14787927263825196
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Grady 8356 169 7956 160 0.02022498803255146 0.020110
608345902465 0.8407083319994644
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Greene 8989 161 8366 144 0.017910779842029146 0.0172
12526894573272 0.10713206483891735
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Gwinnett 312709 5171 292312 4829 0.01653614062914722
5 0.016520019704972768 0.8051367655959298
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Habersham 15495 358 14642 338 0.023104227170054857
0.02308427810408414 1.0122663028762025
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Hall 67282 1344 63789 1272 0.01997562498142148 0.019
94074213422377 0.8171570638734527
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Hancock 3539 167 3049 151 0.0471884713195818 0.04952
443424073467 0.11887908051775431
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Haralson 10585 214 10192 206 0.02021728861596599 0.0
2021193092621664 1.0864801433358255
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Harris 15972 292 15243 274 0.01828199348860506 0.017
97546414747753 0.24236040515255847
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Hart 9618 284 9178 276 0.029527968392597213 0.030071
91109174112 0.18299005779717126
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Heard 4051 91 3773 87 0.022463589237225377 0.0230585
74078982243 0.4814567376073515
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Henry 98365 1498 93368 1369 0.01522899405276267 0.01
466241110444692 1.156010345915356e-08
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Houston 59158 916 55968 857 0.015483958213597484 0.0
1531232132647227 0.18432577933394206
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Irwin 3556 89 3423 88 0.025028121484814397 0.0257084
42886356996 0.2928814702730029
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Jackson 26889 458 25870 442 0.01703298746699394 0.01
7085427135678392 0.8604798787546384
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Jasper 5907 111 5486 102 0.018791264601320468 0.0185
9278162595698 0.7885853504297384
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Jeff Davis 4815 197 4586 190 0.04091381100726895 0.0
4143044047099869 0.5419296903754992
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Jefferson 6756 272 6149 256 0.04026050917702783 0.04
1632785818832334 0.0746451471338513
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Jenkins 2856 85 2668 78 0.02976190476190476 0.029235
38230884558 0.6510448288617523

GA005425

Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Johnson 3483 146 3247 133 0.04191788687912719 0.0409
6088697259008 0.3739981805513459
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Jones 12432 264 11648 240 0.021235521235521235 0.020
604395604395604 0.09048584097117787
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Lamar 7346 153 6942 141 0.02082766131227879 0.020311
14952463267 0.2713729615006901
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Lanier 2679 104 2571 100 0.03882045539380366 0.03889
5371450797356 1.1798596105129258
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Laurens 18939 574 17653 530 0.03030783040287238 0.03
0023225514076927 0.44014013339862756
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Lee 13549 217 13069 209 0.016015942135950992 0.01599
2042237355574 1.0104475279709573
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Liberty 15358 257 14408 243 0.016733949733038156 0.0
1686563020544142 0.7397947737960499
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Lincoln 3967 97 3617 93 0.024451726745651627 0.02571
191595244678 0.12180560809084388
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Long 3988 131 3819 129 0.03284854563691073 0.0337784
7604084839 0.15463710514728618
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Lowndes 35212 622 33322 581 0.01766443257980234 0.01
74359282155933 0.20649087103919786
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Lumpkin 11551 249 11084 238 0.021556575188295384 0.0
2147239263803681 0.8510427929384123
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Macon 4217 196 3886 183 0.04647853924590942 0.047092
12557900155 0.6268420351113634
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Madison 11697 294 11170 284 0.025134649910233394 0.0
25425246195165622 0.44354517250760017
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Marion 2930 93 2689 91 0.03174061433447099 0.0338415
7679434734 0.02765636651166906
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western McDuffie 8792 172 7924 159 0.019563239308462238 0.02
0065623422513883 0.3718745948389663
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western McIntosh 5408 155 4968 146 0.028661242603550297 0.02
938808373590982 0.355894289944239
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Meriwether 8639 219 8156 207 0.025350156268086583 0.
025380088278567924 1.0940121602665944
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Miller 2313 109 2181 103 0.04712494595763078 0.04722
604309949564 1.1385910463587023
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Mitchell 7446 156 6882 151 0.020950846091861403 0.02
1941296134844523 0.036672432668027076
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Monroe 12932 293 12236 283 0.022656974945870708 0.02
312847335730631 0.15486211657408733
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Montgomery 3528 140 3317 134 0.03968253968253968 0.0
4039794995477841 0.5131907229246476
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Morgan 9554 220 9144 204 0.023027004396064477 0.0223

GA005426

0971128608924 0.05466533175230605
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Murray 11091 335 10826 324 0.030204670453520873 0.02
9927951228523923 0.3580275547171526
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Muscogee 63141 1274 58719 1188 0.020177064031295038
0.02023195217902212 0.7733221878202343
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Newton 43129 800 40394 757 0.01854900415033968 0.018
7404069911373 0.2880989761521879
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Oconee 20733 476 19802 447 0.02295856846573096 0.022
573477426522572 0.1202837055129326
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Oglethorpe 6484 172 6075 163 0.02652683528685996 0.0
2683127572016461 0.695117645501655
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Paulding 61222 994 57915 927 0.016235993597072947 0.
016006216006216007 0.0766314869492585
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Peach 10355 229 9910 218 0.022114920328343796 0.0219
9798183652876 0.792675496062349
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Pickens 13362 323 13140 316 0.024173027989821884 0.0
24048706240487064 0.5820561037769895
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Pierce 6883 115 6556 113 0.01670783088769432 0.01723
6119585112872 0.16728354434850806
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Pike 8534 145 8230 140 0.016990860089055544 0.017010
93560145808 1.1725803903565406
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Polk 12859 299 12412 295 0.023252196904891515 0.0237
67321946503384 0.03987970208163621
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Pulaski 3622 156 3390 144 0.04307012700165654 0.0424
7787610619469 0.591303853479195
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Putnam 9321 184 8723 177 0.019740371204806352 0.0202
91184225610454 0.1776297378552062
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Quitman 940 56 880 47 0.059574468085106386 0.0534090
90909090906 0.01342285417074256
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Rabun 7578 196 6735 172 0.025864344154130376 0.02553
8233110616183 0.6787100960207484
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Randolph 2788 93 2475 87 0.03335724533715925 0.03515
151515151515 0.17515856030177251
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Richmond 70043 1496 64729 1386 0.021358308467655584
0.02141234995133557 0.7766781701287755
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Rockdale 36600 549 34747 520 0.015 0.014965320747114
859 0.8679756248542636
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Schley 1931 59 1835 59 0.030554117037804248 0.032152
588555858314 0.09415527581014056
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Screven 5407 195 5136 189 0.036064361013501016 0.036
799065420560745 0.2675794565779546
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Seminole 3214 75 2950 67 0.023335407591785935 0.0227
1186440677966 0.5430594967203946

GA005427

Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Spalding 24385 644 23102 598 0.02640967808078737 0.0
25885204744177992 0.04484866487087142
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Stephens 9069 204 8533 190 0.022494211048627193 0.02
2266494784952538 0.6402134380663298
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Stewart 1784 146 1551 135 0.08183856502242152 0.0870
4061895551257 0.0421204678975389
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Sumter 10556 289 9679 267 0.027377794619173928 0.027
585494369253023 0.7637525828603964
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Talbot 2952 149 2667 126 0.05047425474254742 0.04724
4094488188976 0.02836724592664313
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Taliaferro 917 82 755 67 0.08942202835332606 0.08874
172185430464 0.9750644110224309
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Tattnall 6639 233 6247 224 0.03509564693477933 0.035
85721146150152 0.21954603259521557
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Taylor 3265 96 3022 91 0.029402756508422664 0.030112
508272667107 0.5371054667862808
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Telfair 3631 154 3300 148 0.04241255852382264 0.0448
48484848484846 0.019424675730026663
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Terrell 3930 127 3603 123 0.032315521628498725 0.034
13821815154038 0.03078080554622569
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Thomas 17241 393 16250 377 0.022794501479032538 0.02
32 0.17241499512802666
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Tift 13669 393 13108 384 0.028751188821420734 0.0292
9508696978944 0.07142525881580374
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Toombs 8851 233 8170 215 0.026324709072421196 0.0263
1578947368421 1.0582709695304873
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Towns 6132 170 5848 163 0.027723418134377037 0.02787
277701778386 0.9322587028040169
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Treutlen 2610 114 2464 106 0.04367816091954023 0.043
01948051948052 0.6087605455069713
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Troup 23663 485 22399 451 0.02049613320373579 0.0201
3482744765391 0.1298792381630499
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Turner 3269 110 3121 109 0.0336494340776996 0.034924
70362063441 0.07170857879422024
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Twiggs 3787 135 3512 128 0.03564827039873251 0.03644
646924829157 0.4471770187750836
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Union 11863 293 11381 279 0.02469864283907949 0.0245
14541780159917 0.6090116230548572
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Upson 10558 255 9956 243 0.024152301572267474 0.0244
07392527119324 0.5958370915441289
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Walker 21451 485 20638 466 0.02260966854692089 0.022
57970733598217 0.9489688603052256
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Walton 38635 701 36866 666 0.018144169794228032 0.01

GA005428

```
8065426137904846 0.6458197747078304
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Ware 11004 209 10377 194 0.01899309342057434 0.01869
5191288426328 0.4247145967232283
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Warren 2260 81 2073 78 0.03584070796460177 0.0376266
2807525326 0.17034714801558465
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Washington 8159 363 7432 337 0.044490746415001836 0.
04534445640473628 0.2678820104022079
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Wayne 10122 261 9621 249 0.025785417901600473 0.0258
80885562831305 0.9364324100734366
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Webster 1100 42 948 37 0.038181818181818185 0.039029
535864978905 0.930897630835706
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Wheeler 1927 65 1812 62 0.033731188375713546 0.03421
633554083885 0.898138914021616
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western White 11434 198 10897 188 0.017316774532097255 0.017
252454804074516 0.9063220103137342
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Whitfield 27302 705 26285 688 0.02582228408175225 0.
02617462431044322 0.06556951727951156
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Wilcox 2815 80 2610 74 0.028419182948490232 0.028352
490421455937 1.0660068156500393
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Wilkes 4371 163 4070 150 0.03729123770304278 0.03685
5036855036855 0.6621223032847633
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Wilkinson 4264 175 3994 168 0.04104127579737336 0.04
206309464196294 0.2491133660137237
Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western Worth 7831 198 7526 192 0.025284127186821607 0.02551
1559925591284 0.6850700503010596
```

```
In [4]: contests_sig
```

```
Out[4]: Counter({'Lieutenant Governor': 101,
                 'Secretary Of State': 4,
                 'Attorney General': 4,
                 'Commissioner Of Agriculture': 5,
                 'Commissioner Of Insurance': 4,
                 'State School Superintendent': 5,
                 'Commissioner Of Labor': 2,
                 'Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta': 4,
                 'Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western': 4})
```


GA005429

In [5]:
```
# version information
%load_ext version_information
%version_information scipy, numpy, csv, pandas, matplotlib, notebook, cryptorandom, permute
```

```
Loading extensions from ~/.ipython/extensions is deprecated. We recommend managing extensions like a
ny other Python packages, in site-packages.

/anaconda/lib/python3.6/site-packages/IPython/core/formatters.py:839: FormatterWarning: JSON expects
JSONable list/dict containers, not JSON strings
  FormatterWarning)
```

Out[5]:

Software	Version
Python	3.6.7 64bit [GCC 4.2.1 Compatible Clang 4.0.1 (tags/RELEASE_401/final)]
IPython	7.2.0
OS	Darwin 18.2.0 x86_64 i386 64bit
scipy	1.1.0
numpy	1.15.4
csv	1.0
pandas	0.23.1
matplotlib	3.0.2
notebook	5.7.4
cryptorandom	0.2
permute	0.1.alpha4
Sun Jan 06 12:23:30 2019 PST	

GA005430

APPENDIX IV

GA005431

Vote distribution by machine in Winterville

For each contest, randomly partition votes onto 7 machines. Condition on the number of ballots cast per machine.

Test statistic is $\max_i |R_{im} - R_i|$, where R_{im} is the fraction of Republican votes cast on machine m in contest i, and R_i is the overall fraction of Republican votes in contest i.

Test for contests separately, and use Fisher's combining function for an overall test.

Compare results for the original data with results if D and R were swapped on machine 3.

```
In [1]: %matplotlib inline
        import math
        import numpy as np
        import scipy as sp
        import scipy.optimize
        from scipy.stats import hypergeom, binom, norm, chi2
        from scipy import special
        from cryptorandom.cryptorandom import SHA256
        from cryptorandom import sample
        import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
        import pandas as pd
        import csv

        seed = '2018CV313418 3463593937'  # case caption number [space] 10 rolls of 10-sided dice
        prng = SHA256(seed)
```

GA005432

In [2]:
```
votes = pd.read_csv('../../Data/winterville.csv')
votes.head()
```

Out[2]:

	Contest	Machine	Candidate	Party	Votes
0	Governor	0	B. KEMP (R)	R	40
1	Governor	0	S. ABRAMS (D)	D	73
2	Governor	0	T. METZ (L)	L	4
3	Governor	0	Write-in	W	0
4	Governor	1	B. KEMP (R)	R	51

In [3]:
```
statewide_contests = np.array(["Governor", "Lt Governor", "Secretary of State", \
                               "Attorney General", "Commissioner of Agriculture", \
                               "Commissioner of Insurance", "State School Superintendent", "Commissio
ner of Labor",
                               "PSC Eaton", "PSC Pridemore"])
```

In [4]:
```
# Number of voters per machine taken from poll tape summary
num_voters_per_machine = [117, 135, 131, 133, 135, 144, 135]  # double-checked
cum_voters_per_machine = np.cumsum(num_voters_per_machine)
cum_voters_per_machine = np.insert(cum_voters_per_machine, 0, 0)
num_votes = np.sum(num_voters_per_machine)

# Does any race on any machine has more votes than reported for the machine?
for m in range(len(num_voters_per_machine)):
    tmp = votes[votes["Machine"]==m]
    tot = tmp.groupby("Contest").agg(np.sum)
    assert np.all(tot["Votes"] <= num_voters_per_machine[m])
```

GA005433

In [5]:
```
# Find winning party within the precinct in each statewide contest
mask_D = votes['Party']=="D"
mask_R = votes['Party']=="R"
for c in statewide_contests:
    mask_c = votes["Contest"] == c
    D_votes = votes[mask_c & mask_D]['Votes'].sum()
    R_votes = votes[mask_c & mask_R]['Votes'].sum()
    print(c, D_votes, R_votes, '\t', ('DEM' if D_votes > R_votes else 'REP'))
```

```
Governor 505 400         DEM
Lt Governor 479 393      DEM
Secretary of State 511 365       DEM
Attorney General 509 390         DEM
Commissioner of Agriculture 475 423      DEM
Commissioner of Insurance 482 382        DEM
State School Superintendent 492 405      DEM
Commissioner of Labor 494 402    DEM
PSC Eaton 494 367        DEM
PSC Pridemore 487 374    DEM
```

In [6]:
```
def get_repub_fraction(df):
    repub = df.loc[df["Party"]=="R"].copy()
    repub["R_votes"] = repub["Votes"]
    valid_votes = df.groupby(["Contest","Machine"]).agg(np.sum).reset_index()
    valid_votes["Tot_votes"] = valid_votes["Votes"]
    combined = pd.merge(repub, valid_votes, on = ["Contest", "Machine"])
    return combined["R_votes"]/combined["Tot_votes"]
```

GA005434

```
In [7]: def permute_votes_across_machines(vote_df, reps, prng=np.random):
            """
            Input: votes dataframe, filtered to contain only one contest

            """

            # Votes for Republican, Democrat/other, and undervotes
            votes_per_candidate = vote_df.groupby(["Party"]).agg(np.sum).reset_index()
            r_votes = int(votes_per_candidate.loc[votes_per_candidate["Party"] == "R", "Votes"])
            d_votes = np.sum(votes_per_candidate["Votes"]) - r_votes
            u_votes = num_votes - r_votes - d_votes
            overall_r_proportion = r_votes/(r_votes + d_votes)

            # test statistic = largest % votes for R on a machine
            votes_for_r = get_repub_fraction(vote_df)
            max_votes_for_r = np.max(votes_for_r)

            # Randomly assign r_votes 1s, d_votes 0s, and u_votes np.nans
            vote_list = np.array([1]*r_votes + [0]*d_votes + [np.nan]*u_votes)
            perm_distr = np.zeros(reps)

            for r in range(reps):
                prng.shuffle(vote_list)

            # Find fraction of votes for R on each machine
                votes_for_r_perm = np.zeros(len(num_voters_per_machine))
                for i in range(len(num_voters_per_machine)):
                    votes_for_r_perm[i] = np.nanmean(vote_list[cum_voters_per_machine[i]:cum_voters_per_machi
        ne[i+1]])
                perm_distr[r] = np.max(votes_for_r_perm)

            # Center the statistic at the expected fraction of R votes
            perm_distr_norm = perm_distr - overall_r_proportion
            statistic_norm = max_votes_for_r - overall_r_proportion

            return {"statistic":max_votes_for_r,
                    "pvalue":(1+np.sum(np.abs(perm_distr_norm) >= np.abs(statistic_norm)))/(reps+1)
                   }
```

GA005435

```
In [8]: reps=10000
        ps = {}
        for c in statewide_contests:
            vote_df = votes[votes["Contest"] == c]
            res = permute_votes_across_machines(vote_df, reps=reps, prng=prng)
            ps[c] = res['pvalue']
            print(c, "\n   statistic =", res["statistic"], "\n   P-value =", res["pvalue"])

        fisher_chi = -2*np.sum([math.log(p) for c, p in ps.items()])
        print('Combined:\n   ', fisher_chi, chi2.sf(fisher_chi, df=2*len(statewide_contests)))
```

GA005436

```
Governor
   statistic = 0.5190839694656488
   P-value = 0.11398860113988601
Lt Governor
   statistic = 0.5645161290322581
   P-value = 0.0245975402459754
Secretary of State
   statistic = 0.5116279069767442
   P-value = 0.0184981501849815
Attorney General
   statistic = 0.515625
   P-value = 0.1506849315068493
Commissioner of Agriculture
   statistic = 0.5813953488372093
   P-value = 0.025997400259974
Commissioner of Insurance
   statistic = 0.5348837209302325
   P-value = 0.030496950304969503
State School Superintendent
   statistic = 0.5419847328244275
   P-value = 0.09669033096690331
Commissioner of Labor
   statistic = 0.5736434108527132
   P-value = 0.007899210078992101
PSC Eaton
   statistic = 0.5114503816793893
   P-value = 0.0456954304569543
PSC Pridemore
   statistic = 0.5267175572519084
   P-value = 0.025297470252974703
Combined:
    65.67868786714891 9.094420735646933e-07
```

What if D and R vote totals were flipped on Machine 3?

GA005437

In [9]:
```
votes_flipped = votes.copy()
votes_flipped.loc[(votes_flipped.Machine==3) & (votes.Party=="R"), 'Party'] = "D"
votes_flipped.loc[(votes_flipped.Machine==3) & (votes.Party=="D"), 'Party'] = "R"
votes_flipped.head(20)
```

Out[9]:

	Contest	Machine	Candidate	Party	Votes
0	Governor	0	B. KEMP (R)	R	40
1	Governor	0	S. ABRAMS (D)	D	73
2	Governor	0	T. METZ (L)	L	4
3	Governor	0	Write-in	W	0
4	Governor	1	B. KEMP (R)	R	51
5	Governor	1	S. ABRAMS (D)	D	79
6	Governor	1	T. METZ (L)	L	3
7	Governor	1	Write-in	W	0
8	Governor	2	B. KEMP (R)	R	60
9	Governor	2	S. ABRAMS (D)	D	67
10	Governor	2	T. METZ (L)	L	2
11	Governor	2	Write-in	W	0
12	Governor	3	B. KEMP (R)	D	68
13	Governor	3	S. ABRAMS (D)	R	59
14	Governor	3	T. METZ (L)	L	4
15	Governor	3	Write-in	W	0
16	Governor	4	B. KEMP (R)	R	65
17	Governor	4	S. ABRAMS (D)	D	67
18	Governor	4	T. METZ (L)	L	3
19	Governor	4	Write-in	W	0

GA005438

```
In [10]: ps_flipped = {}
         for c in statewide_contests:
             vote_df2 = votes_flipped[votes_flipped["Contest"] == c]
             res = permute_votes_across_machines(vote_df2, reps=reps, prng=prng)
             ps_flipped[c] = res['pvalue']
             print(c, "\n   statistic =", res["statistic"], "\n   P-value =", res["pvalue"])

         fisher_chi = -2*np.sum([math.log(p) for c, p in ps_flipped.items()])
         print('Combined:\n   ', fisher_chi, chi2.sf(fisher_chi, df=2*len(statewide_contests)))

         Governor
            statistic = 0.48148148148148145
            P-value = 0.46425357464253575
         Lt Governor
            statistic = 0.4728682170542636
            P-value = 0.7945205479452054
         Secretary of State
            statistic = 0.4496124031007752
            P-value = 0.44955504449555045
         Attorney General
            statistic = 0.484375
            P-value = 0.5433456654334566
         Commissioner of Agriculture
            statistic = 0.49230769230769234
            P-value = 0.7339266073392661
         Commissioner of Insurance
            statistic = 0.4645669291338583
            P-value = 0.6042395760423958
         State School Superintendent
            statistic = 0.48031496062992124
            P-value = 0.8065193480651934
         Commissioner of Labor
            statistic = 0.46875
            P-value = 0.7967203279672033
         PSC Eaton
            statistic = 0.4732824427480916
            P-value = 0.27987201279872015
         PSC Pridemore
            statistic = 0.4307692307692308
            P-value = 0.9387061293870613
         Combined:
             9.997865529313279 0.9682106300793477
```


GA005439

In [11]:
```
# version information
%load_ext version_information
%version_information scipy, numpy, csv, pandas, matplotlib, notebook, cryptorandom, permute
```

```
Loading extensions from ~/.ipython/extensions is deprecated. We recommend managing extensions like a
ny other Python packages, in site-packages.

/anaconda/lib/python3.6/site-packages/IPython/core/formatters.py:839: FormatterWarning: JSON expects
JSONable list/dict containers, not JSON strings
  FormatterWarning)
```

Out[11]:

Software	Version
Python	3.6.7 64bit [GCC 4.2.1 Compatible Clang 4.0.1 (tags/RELEASE_401/final)]
IPython	7.2.0
OS	Darwin 18.2.0 x86_64 i386 64bit
scipy	1.1.0
numpy	1.15.4
csv	1.0
pandas	0.23.1
matplotlib	3.0.2
notebook	5.7.4
cryptorandom	0.2
permute	0.1.alpha4
Sun Jan 06 14:03:18 2019 PST	

GA005440

EXHIBIT

B

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV31348**

AFFIDAVIT OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL

Appeared before me, the undersigned officer duly authorized to administer oaths, Christopher Brill, who after being duly swon states as follows:

1. Since 2006, I have devoted my career to the study of political processes in the United States generally, with a particular focus on research and analyses of political and electoral data, from precinct level to nationwide in scope.

2. My experience includes, but is not limited to, research and analyses of statewide voter files to identify socio-economic, geographic and other characteristics of voter file data.

3. I also have experience comparing and matching political and electoral data, including voter file data, against large and complex datasets; analyzing the results of such comparisons and matching; and identifying strengths and weaknesses in the methods, protocols and algorithms used in performing these kinds of analyses.

4. My experience also includes identifying reasons for false positive and false negative results when comparing or matching such data across large datasets and developing best practices for optimizing accurate matches and comparisons of data.

5. Since 2013, I have been employed as a Senior Data Analyst with TargetSmart Communications LLC, where my duties and responsibilities include, but are not limited to, collecting and analyzing political, electoral, consumer, demographic and other datasets; product development; and strategic consulting.

6. I obtained a Bachelor of Arts degree in Political Science from the University of New Mexico 2006. My current resume is attached and incorporated herein by reference as Exhibit B.

7. I have been retained by Plaintiffs' counsel in this matter to conduct analyses of the November 6th 2018 general election vote results in GA, with a focus on the under voting that took place with respect to the Lt. Governor's election; to offer my opinions concerning said data and analyses based upon by background,

GA005443

training and experience; and to prepare a preliminary report summarizing my analyses of this data and opinions.

8. The sources used for the analysis are from officially published election result tablulations made available by the Secretary of State, as well as publicly available individual voter file data.

9. My preliminary report is attached and incorporated herein by reference as Exhibit A.

10. My opinions and preliminary report for the Coalition for Good Governance are based upon the information that has currently been made available to me and is accurate to the best of my knowledge and belief, and I would testify to these opinions if called upon to do so. I reserve the right to amend, supplement and otherwise update my opinions and report if additional information is made known to me during the pendency of this litigation.

Christopher Brill

NATHANIEL EKLUND
Notary Public - Arizona
Maricopa County
My Comm. Expires Feb 18, 2022

Sworn to and subscribed before me:
On this 7th day of January, 2019

Notary Public, State of Arizona
My Commission Expires: Feb. 18, 2022

SEAL

GA005444

EXHIBIT A

To: Marilyn Marks
From: Christopher Brill, Senior Data Analyst
Date: January 5th 2019
Subject: Exhibit A: Analysis of the 2018 Georgia Lt. Governor undervote

Research Summary

The purpose of this analysis is to examine for possible irregularities in the number of votes cast for the 2018 Lieutenant Governor's election in Georgia. TargetSmart was approached by Marilyn Marks, Executive Director, for the Coalition for Good Governance to examine what appeared to be a significantly lower number of votes being cast for the Lt. Governor's election than all other statewide constitutional offices in the November 6, 2018 election

TargetSmart is considered an industry leader in voter data and political campaign services. In addition to maintaining a nationwide voter file, TargetSmart also maintains a nationwide repository of election results allowing us to examine electoral trends across states and time. For this project, the lead researcher also has over a dozen years' experience collecting and analyzing publicly available election results.

The primary question we want to probe: "was the undervote that occurred during the Lt. Governor election consistent with historic patterns and do the factors that we know contribute to higher rates of under voting apply to this election?"

After an initial examination of the state, county and precinct level results from the 2018 election in Georgia it is our initial conclusion that the vote totals published cast substantial doubt on the final vote total of the Lt. Governor election. The undervote that occurred for the Lt Governor election is simply not consistent with patterns of undervote seen previously in Georgia, or around the country.

Defining Under voting

Before presenting our case, it may help to define terms. Quite simply, an undervote occurs when a voter decides, or by accident, does not vote for a specific office or issue on the ballot. While voters might have countless motivations while in the voting booth on what they do and do not vote for, when it comes to under voting there are generally 3 variables that are most associated with high undervote rates:

1) **Low visibility**: If an election on a ballot is not well known to the public, is further down the ballot, or both then a higher undervote is likely to occur. For instance, an office such as 'Community College District Board' might generally suffer from high rates of under voting because voters are not familiar with the office or do not know any of the candidates.
2) **Non-Partisan/Lack of partisan cues**: If an election on a ballot is non-partisan, that election may experience a higher rate of under voting. Research shows that voters tend to use a candidate's party affiliation as a 'cue' for whether they should vote one way or another, even if they are unsure who the candidate is. When this cue is not present for non-partisan elections, more voters are likely to skip the contest altogether, resulting in higher rates of under voting.
3) **Uncompetitive election/Only one major party on the ballot**: If an election is not competitive, or only one major party has a candidate on the ballot, and is near assured victory before Election Day, under voting tends to be higher. The lower the stakes of the election, the higher the under voting tends to be.

A Focus on the Lt. Governor Election

When the vote totals for the 2018 Lt. Governor election are compared to the other 8 statewide constitutional offices an anomaly becomes visible: Tens of thousands of fewer votes were cast for Lt Governor than any of the other elected offices at the top of the ballot. Table 1 below compares the number of votes cast for Governor in 2018 to the remaining statewide, partisan, constitutional offices.

Table 1: Total 2018 Undervote by Office

Office	2018	Under Vote	Drop Off vs Gov
Governor	3,939,328		
Lt. Governor	3,780,304	-159,024	4.0%
Secretary of State	3,883,594	-55,734	1.4%
Attorney General	3,862,370	-76,958	2.0%
Commissioner of Agriculture	3,843,480	-95,848	2.4%
Commissioner of Insurance	3,861,625	-77,703	2.0%
State School Superintendent	3,862,464	-76,864	2.0%
Commissioner of Labor	3,849,450	-89,878	2.3%

Based on our understanding of the factors that encourage higher rates of under voting (as outlined in the previous section), the Lt. Governor's election would not seem to contain any of the defining variables we usually see when higher than normal under voting occurs. The Lt Governor's election, position wise on the ballot, was directly below one of the most competitive and highly publicized elections for Governor in years. The election was partisan, and the election was extremely competitive (much like other statewide offices on the ballot), with the winner receiving just 51.6% of the vote. **In short, there is little reason to suspect that under voting should be higher for Lt Governor than any of the other 8 constitutional offices based on its competitiveness, position on the ballot or its partisan classification.** Yet, under voting was more than *two times higher* than under voting for Attorney General, and *three times higher* than that for Secretary of State. The question becomes, why?

This anomaly becomes more apparent when examining past elections. Table 2 below compares drop off percentages for each of the state's 8 constitutional offices by election cycle since 2002:

Table 2: % Decrease in votes cast compared to that years gubernatorial contest

	2018	2014	2010	2006	2002
Lt. Governor	**4.0%**	0.8%	0.3%	1.2%	0.9%
Secretary of State	1.4%	0.9%	0.9%	2.8%	1.0%
Attorney General	2.0%	1.0%	0.9%	2.3%	2.8%
Commissioner of Agriculture	2.4%	1.6%	1.2%	1.8%	2.1%
Commissioner of Insurance	2.0%	1.2%	1.2%	2.4%	2.1%
State School Superintendent	2.0%	1.0%	0.9%	1.1%	1.2%
Commissioner of Labor	2.3%	1.7%	1.6%	3.1%	2.8%

In this context, the historic nature of the undervote becomes clear: Since 2002, the undervote percentage compared to Governor, for all constitutional offices has averaged 1.6%. The 4% drop-off seen here is more than 3 standard deviations away from that mean, further indicating the drop-off seen here is an extreme outlier. Overall, the 4% drop-off between Lt Governor and Governor is the largest gap seen in a mid-term this century in Georgia.

Finally, a quick comparison to similar Lt Governor elections that took place elsewhere in 2018 highlights the strangeness of the result in GA. Chart 1 below examines the undervote rate in the 9 states with stand alone elections for Lt. Governor in 2018:



Out of all states, only CA had a higher undervote percentage. Why? Because in 2018, due to California's top two primary set up, just two Democratic candidates were on the ballot- there were no Republican candidates or third-party candidates for voters to choose from; again, an ingredient for higher rates of under voting. Minus California, GA's Lt Governor under vote was the highest among all Lt Governor contests in the country in 2018.

Georgia's 2018 State Representative Elections

Second, an examination of the county and precinct level data from the 2018 election raises additional questions about the reasonableness of the Lt. Governor reported vote tallies. Specifically, if we go even further down the ballot, and examine state representative, otherwise known as 'state house' elections, we see Lt Governor vote totals that are even lower than those for non-competitive state representative elections.

To recap, there were 180 state representative seats up for election in 2018, with as many as 110 of those seats 'uncontested', meaning only 1 major party had a general election candidate on the ballot to choose from. Not surprisingly, this number of uncontested seats resulted in a smaller number of votes cast for state representative. In total just 3,470,967 votes were cast for a state representative in Georgia, or 468,351 fewer votes than cast for Governor, an aggregate drop off of almost 12%. Again, this makes sense based on our knowledge of under voting: uncompetitive or uncontested elections tend to yield smaller vote totals.

With that context present, an analysis of the votes cast across the state's 2,636 precincts show that, inexplicably, more votes were cast for State Representative than Lt Governor in *1,012 precincts, or 38% of all precincts*. Further, in 137 of those 1,012 precincts, the Democratic candidate for State Representative received 100% of the total votes cast for that contest. Ultimately this raises the question: **Why would more voters in a precinct vote in an uncontested or uncompetitive State Representative election than for an election further up the ballot that is contested and competitive?**

To further illustrate this point, we compare state representative vote totals to another statewide election with what appear to be normal rates of under voting: Attorney General. In total there were just 410 precincts where there were more votes cast for State Representative than Attorney General. **In just**

two of those precincts did the Democratic candidate for State Representative receive 100% of the votes. This would line up with our established expectations: if a down ballot election such as state representative, garnered more votes than a statewide election, it would be in precincts with more locally competitive representative elections, and not where candidates are receiving 100% of the vote.

Addressing Voter Choice and Vote Method

We believe that the data presented thus far, combined with the necessary context around what variables accompany higher rates of under voting, shows striking irregularities in the total vote for Lt Governor, that ultimately call into question the accuracy of the vote and the legitimacy of the outcome

With that said, we can't ultimately rule out with 100% certainty that a group of voters decided, *intentionally*, to not vote for Lt. Governor. However, what makes this intention even more unlikely is how the under vote for Lt Governor breaks down when comparing **vote method**. In this context, vote method refers to how a voter decided to cast their ballot- either through mail in absentee, in person early voting (or 'advance voting') and finally Election Day voting at the polls. Early voting and Election Day voting are conducted on electronic machines and mail in absentee is voted on paper ballots.

After examining county level results released by the Secretary of State, we found that there were significant differences in the Lt Governor under vote, depending on the method of vote. For instance, the voting machine election day under vote was approximately 4.5%, while the undervote was as little as 1% among absentee by mail voters, who voted on paper. This is an additional oddity in the data, especially when, as chart 2 demonstrates below, under vote rates are more consistent across method of voting for other offices such as Secretary of State (SOS) and Attorney General (AG):



According to data on the GA voter file, absentee voters tended to skew somewhat older, more Democratic (according to our partisanship modeling) and more African American; but it is unlikely that such a modest skew could have accounted for such a large difference in the under vote between absentee and election day voters. **Therefore, if voters were deliberately under voting in the Lt Governor election, why would that not be consistent across all vote methods? Instead, we would speculate that the key difference here is the technology that is being used to administer absentee votes vs in person votes, and not differences in the voters who selected one vote method or the other.**

Conclusion

In conclusion, based on our analysis of the publicly available data, it is our opinion that the undervote totals reflected in the Lt Governor's race are extremely suspect and irregular and cast a serious doubt over the accuracy of the final vote count and the certified outcome of the Lt. Governor's contest.

EXHIBIT

B

Christopher A. Brill

826 E Lamar Rd
Phoenix AZ 85014

602-295-3389
chrillaz@gmail.com

Employment History

Senior Data Analyst
TARGETSMART COMMUNICATIONS
Phoenix, AZ. Feb 2013 to Present

- Currently provide support and data analysis to progressive issue and candidate campaigns as well as 501c(3) and c(4) organizations around the United States with a focus on helping clients optimize and execute outreach programs.
- Currently manage the data and targeting efforts for Arizona based progressive coalitions such as Arizona Wins and One Arizona.
- Lead project manager for TargetSmart's 'ElectionBase": a nationwide precinct level election database, merged with voter file and other data sets, in order to provide comprehensive district level profiles and Democratic performance projections.
- Lead client services representative for a wide range of organizations such as America Votes and the Democratic Legislative Campaign Committee (DLCC)

National Data Director
DEMOCRATIC NATIONAL COMMITTEE (DNC)
Washington D.C. Aug 2011 to Jan 2013

- Managed a 7-figure budget as well as a team of 9 people and was responsible for the day to day operations of the DNC data department including data acquisition, analysis and data support services.
- Lead director for the committee's national voter file. Coordinated with the presidential campaign, other national committees and all 50 state parties on voter file, data services and support needs.
- Managed day-to-day vendor and consultant relationships in relation to the national voter file, as well as developed in-house data testing to inform vendor selection process.

Acquisitions Manager
DEMOCRATIC NATIONAL COMMITTEE (DNC)
Washington D.C. Apr 2010 to Aug 2011

- Led the data acquisition team at the DNC and was responsible for acquiring voter file data nationwide as well as establishing a national voter file and data update schedule.
- Provided voter file and data support to state party committees, other national party committees such as the DCCC, DSCC and Organizing for America.

Elections and Targeting Director
ARIZONA DEMOCRATIC PARTY (ADP)
Phoenix AZ. June 2008- April 2010

- Developed and implemented the Coordinated Campaign's vote by mail application chase program in 2008 as well as Permanent Early Voter sign up programs in 2009.
- Compiled and aggregated data to provide daily and weekly briefings with campaign principals, partner organizations and ADP staff.
- Provided voter targeting and data assistance to campaigns, elected officials and party leaders.

Voter File Director
ARIZONA DEMOCRATIC PARTY (ADP)
Phoenix AZ. June 2006- June 2008

- Maintained statewide voter file by coordinating with state, county and city election officials, party staff and data vendors.
- Cultivated relationships with key ADP stakeholders with the goal of promoting the use of a single statewide voter file for local party affiliates and candidates.
- Developed voter file training programs for state party staff, volunteers and candidates.

Skills and Core Competencies

- Core competencies include project management, data acquisition, manipulation and cleaning (data wrangling) visualization, research, and analysis.
- Proficient in multiple progressive software platforms such as NGP-VAN, Blocks, Q-Tool, M-Tool, RegTrak, Grassroots Unwired and Hustle.
- Proficient in data manipulation using tools such as using SQL, R, Vertica and Alteryx.
- Proficient in mapping platforms using ArcGis and Google Fusion Tables.
- Proficient in Microsoft Office products including Outlook, Excel, Word and PowerPoint.

Education

UNIVERSITY OF NEW MEXICO, Albuquerque NM. 2002-2006
Studies leading to a BACHELORS of ARTS in Political Science

EXHIBIT C

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV31348**

AFFIDAVIT OF MICHAEL S. JOHNSON

Appeared before me, the undersigned officer duly authorized to administered oaths, MICHAEL S. JOHNSON ("Affiant") who, after being sworn, states as follows:

1. I am of lawful age and give this affidavit based on my personal knowledge.

2. I am a registered voter in Cobb County, Georgia.

3. I was appointed as a statewide poll watcher for the November 6, 2018 election, by the Libertarian Party of Georgia. I observed activites at various polling places in the metro Atlanta area during early voting and on Election Day.

4. On November 6, Election Day morning, I observed the voting activities at Grady High School polling place which includes precincts, 02J, 02K, and 06G. I spent approximately

twenty minutes observing at the Grady High School polling place. Grady High School is in Atlanta and Fulton County, Georgia.

5. I observed ten (10) DRE voting machines in use during my visit which lasted about 20 minutes. I did not observe any spare DRE units, or units that were not in service.

6. I did not notice any malfunctioning or problems with machines during my brief visit.

7. The line of voters awaiting the use of machines was quite long and snaked around the interior of gymnasium. I noticed the inadequate number of machines for use and asked a pollworker about the bottleneck at the machines. She replied that there were not enough machines.

8. The picture of the Grady High School polling place attached as Exhibit A is an accurate reflection of the set up of the polling place with 10 DRE machines as I observed it on the morning of November 6.

9. I received the picture from Marilyn Marks of Coaltion for Good Governance. I understand that the picture on Election Day morning was taken as part of a video by an HBO film crew. I met this film crew at other polling locations during the day as they were filming Georgia voting activities.

Further affiant sayeth not.

Michael S. Johnson

Sworn to and subscribed before me:
On this ___ day of _____, 2019

Notary Public, State of _____
My Commission Expires: _____

CYNTHIA STIRNE
NOTARY PUBLIC
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES
MAY 02, 2022
DEKALB COUNTY, GEORGIA

SEAL

EXHIBIT A

GA005458

EXHIBIT D

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV31348**

AFFIDAVIT OF TARAN GREENWALD

Appeared before me, the undersigned officer duly authorized to administered oaths, TARAN GREENWALD ("Affiant") who, after being sworn, states as follows:

1. I am an analayst for Coaltion for Good Governance, a Plaintiff in this action.

2. For the November 6, 2018 Georgia general election, I was a volunteer for candidates and various election transparency advocacy groups seeking to document reports of election results by photographing the DRE machine poll tapes once they were posted on the doors of the polling places after the closing of the polls and the printing of the machine tapes.

3. In Fulton County, after the close of the polls, machine results for each machine in operation in the polling place on Election Day are printed and one copy of each DRE machine tape is posted to or near the door of the polling place for public observation.

4. I took photographs at several polling places on the evening of November 6, shortly after the tapes had been posted. I took the photos of 14 DRE machine tapes at Grady High School polling place in Atlanta at approximately 9 p.m.

5. Those photographs are on Exhibit A. I prepared this exhibit from my original photographs and have not altered or edited the contents of the machine tapes.

6. With the assistance of Coalition for Good Governance's other part time analyst, Samantha Whitley, I prepared a transcript of the information on the tape photographs. That transcript on Exhibit B.

7. Ms. Whitley and I also reviewed the officially reported tallies on the Secretary of State's website for each race for each precinct located in the Grady High School polling place. Those were precincts 02J, 02K, and O6G. The Secretary of State's website showing Fulton County results is https://results.enr.clarityelections.com/GA/Fulton/91700/Web02.221448/#/

8. The above referenced Secretary of State results exclude write-in votes, which we accounted for on the worksheet in Exhibit B.

9. Coalition for Good Governance obtained the Grady High School polling place recap sheet (Exhibit C) through a public reords request to Fulton County Elections Office. The recap sheet lists the ten (10) DRE machine by serial numbers that were assigned to the Grady polling place. Eight (8) machine serial numbers match the machine serial numbers on the 14 poll tapes. Severn (7) machine tapes were posted on the door that were not listed on the polling place recap sheet. All 14 poll tapes showed print times of approximately 7:30 pm as would be expected after 7pm poll closing time.

10. I have collected photographs of election night machine poll tapes from a number of other polling places from other voluteers and citizens who shared them with Coalition for Good Governance.

11. One of the sets of election night photos I obtained was Midvale Elementary School in DeKalb County. Exhibit D is a pdf compliation of the photographs that I received from other citizens who took this photographs. The photographs can be compared to DeKalb County's copy of these tapes to verify the accuracy of the data.

12. I prepared Exhibit E by comparing the Secretary of State's vote tallies by precinct for DeKalb County posted at this link, https://results.enr.clarityelections.com/GA/DeKalb/91684/Web02.221448/#/ to the Election Day vote tallies with the Midvale polling place tape on Exhibit D.

Further Affiant sayeth not.

Taran Greenwald

Sworn to and subscribed before me:
On this 7th day of JANUARY, 2019

Notary Public, State of GA
My Commission Expires: 5/16/22

SEAL

CHUNDRA RAMBERT
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES
NOTARY
PUBLIC
MAY 16, 2022
ROCKDALE COUNTY, GEORGIA

E
X
H
I
B
I
T

A

****
Election Results Report
****
Fulton County
State of
Georgia General Election

November 6, 2018

DATE: Nov-06-2018
POLL CTR: 280(00
02J, 02K & 08G
MACHINE ID: 2
VERSION: 2 COPY: 0
COUNT: 0 SIZE: 32M
ACCU-VOTE RELEASE: 4.5.2
REPORT: US 1.14.7

TIME: 19:26 11/06/2018
MACHINE SERIAL: 124301
PUBLIC COUNTER: 149
SYSTEM COUNTER: 2122

****
** PRECINCT: 220 **
02J
****
BALLOTS CAST 89
****
GOVERNOR
RACE # 3

B. KEMP (R) 11
S. ABRAMS (D) 75
T. METZ (L) 3
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0

****
GOVERNOR
RACE # 3

S. KEMP (R) 73
S. ADAMS (D) 36
T. METZ (L) 3
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
LT GOVERNOR
RACE # 5

G. DUNCAN (R) 10
S. R. ANICO (D) 72
Write-In 1
WRITE-INS 1
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
920225 DALAN SAGER
****
SECRETARY OF STATE
RACE # 7

B. RAFENSPERGER (R) 70
J. BARRON (D) 71
S. DUVAL (L) 3
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
[illegible]

****
ATTORNEY GENERAL
RACE # 9

C. CARR (I) R 15
C. BAILEY (D) 72
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
AGRI[illegible] COMMISSIONER
RACE # 11

G. BLACK (I) R 20
F. SWANN (D) 68
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 13

J. BECK (R) 12
J. LAWS (D) 68
O. FOSTER (L) 7
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates

****
STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT
RACE # 15

R. WOODS (I) R 17
O. THORNTON, JR. (D) 69
Write-In 1
$ WRITE-INS 1
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
TOBASSO SID CHAPMAN
****
LABOR COMMISSIONER
RACE # 17

N. BUTLER (I) R 17
K. KEATLEY (D) 69
Write-In 0
$ WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
PSC EATON
RACE # 19

[illegible] (I) R [illegible]
[illegible] (D) [illegible]
[illegible] (L) [illegible]
Write-In [illegible]
$ WRITE-INS [illegible]
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****

****
PSC PRIDEMORE
RACE # 21

L. PRIDEMORE (I) R 10
C. A. RAGSDALE (D) 69
J. TEMPLIN (L) 7
Write-In 0
$ WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
US HOUSE 5
RACE # 40

[illegible] (I) D [illegible]
Write-In 1
$ WRITE-INS 1
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
[illegible]
****
US HOUSE 9
RACE # 50

[illegible] (I) [illegible]
[illegible] (D) [illegible]
Write-In [illegible]
$ WRITE-INS [illegible]
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
[illegible]
RACE # [illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

N. DRAKE (I) 0 01
Write-In 1
WRITE-INS 1
Write In Candidates
Do Not Candidate
(1550) ALEXANDER PAUL LIBERTY
****
STATE HOUSE 58
RACE # 355

9. CARSON (I) 0 01
Write-In 1
WRITE-INS 1
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
(1550) ALEXANDER PAUL LIBERTY
****
10. CRAM CHAMBERLAIN
Race # 60

9. FITTS (I) 0 01
Write-In 1
WRITE-INS 1
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
(1550) ALEXANDER PAUL LIBERTY
****
[illegible]
Race # 50

[illegible]

Fulton County
State of
Georgia General Election

November 6, 2018
DATE: Nov-06-2018
POLL CTR: 200100
02J, 02K & 02L
MACHINE ID: 3
VERSION: 2 COPY: 0
COUNT: 0 SIZE: 30M
ACCU-VOTE RELEASE: 6.5.2
REPORT: -US 3.18.7

TIME: 19:20 11/06/2018
MACHINE SERIAL: 1300013
PUBLIC COUNTER: 181
SYSTEM COUNTER: 1211

****
** PRECINCT: 220 **
02J
****
BALLOTS CAST 78
****
GOVERNOR
RACE # 3

[illegible] (R) 8

GOVERNOR
RACE # 3

G. KIRK (R) 8
G. ABRAMS (D) 80
T. MOYZ (L) 7
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
LT GOVERNOR
RACE # 5

G. DUNCAN (R) 9
S. R. AMICO (D) 67
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
SECRETARY OF STATE
RACE # 7

G. KAFTOSPYROS (R) 5
J. BARROW (D) 88
S. DUAL (L) 4
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
ATTORNEY GENERAL
RACE # 9

C. CASE (I) R 10
C. BAILEY (D) 80
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate

AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 11

C. BLACK (I) R 21
F. SWANN (D) 66
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 13

J. BECK (R) 13
J. LAWS (D) 86
D. FOSTER (L) 6
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT
RACE # 15

R. MOODS (I) R 20
D. THORNTON, JR. (D) 75
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
LABOR COMMISSIONER
RACE # 17

R. BOLER (I) R 18
R. STATON (D) 77
Write-in 0
WRITE-INS

****

PSC EATON

RACE # 19

C. EATON (I) R	7
L. MILLER (D)	82
R. GRAHAM (L)	5
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates

Ballot Candidate

****

PSC PRIDEMORE

RACE # 21

T. PRIDEMORE (I) R	12
D. A. RANDOLPH (D)	92
J. TURPISH (L)	4
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates

Ballot Candidate

****

US HOUSE 5

RACE # 40

J. R. LEWIS (I) D	[illegible]
Write-In	1
# WRITE-INS	1

Write In Candidates

Ballot Candidate

[illegible]

****

STATE SENATE 35

RACE # 185

R. GREGOX (I) D	[illegible]
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates

Ballot Candidate

****

STATE HOUSE 94

RACE # 555

P. CREEN (I) D	[illegible]
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates

Ballot Candidate

****

CO [illegible]

RACE # [illegible]

[illegible]	[illegible]
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates

Ballot Candidate

****

[illegible]

RACE # [illegible]

[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]
Write-In	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]
# [illegible]	[illegible]

[illegible]

****

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

SECRETARY OF STATE
RACE # 7

E. HAFFENDEFFER (R) 22
J. SMROK (D) 107
S. DUNN (L) 11
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
ATTORNEY GENERAL
RACE # 9

C. CARR (I) R 27
C. BAILEY (D) 111
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 11

G. BLACK (I) R 38
F. SMART (D) 97
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 13

J. [illegible] (R) 26
[illegible] (D) 96
S. [illegible] (L) 3
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate

STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT
RACE # 15

R. WOODS (I) R 36
O. THORNTON, JR. (D) 95
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
LABOR COMMISSIONER
RACE # 17

M. BUTLER (I) R 30
R. KEATLEY (D) 100
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
PSC EATON
RACE # 19

C. EATON (I) R 22
C. MILLER (D) 100
K. GRAHAM (L) 1
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates

****
PSC PRIDEMORE
RACE # 21

T. PRIDEMORE (I) R	23
D. A. RANDOLPH (D)	98
J. TURPISH (L)	9
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
US HOUSE 5
RACE # 40

J. R. LEWIS (I) D	321
Write-In	3
# WRITE-INS	3

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
[illegible] ANYBODY ELSE
[illegible] ANYONE ELSE PLEASE
[illegible] OWN NAME
[illegible] NOT HIM
[illegible] HIS NAME
****
US HOUSE 6
RACE # [illegible]

[illegible] (I) R	[illegible]
[illegible] (D)	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]

****

US HOUSE 13
RACE # 73

D. CALLAHAN (R)	0
D. SCOTT (I) (D)	0
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
STATE SENATE 36
RACE # 145

N. ORROCK (I) D	119
Write-In	2
# WRITE-INS	2

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
623667 NO
620320 TIT
****
STATE [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] TTT

****

STATE HOUSE 58

RACE # [illegible]

P. CANNON (I) D 99

Write-In 1

WRITE-INS 1

Write In Candidate

Ballot Candidate

[illegible] NO

****

CO COMM CHAIRPERSON

RACE # [illegible]

R. PITTS (I) D 119

Write-In 2

WRITE-INS 2

Write In Candidate

Ballot Candidate

[illegible] NO

Vote for [illegible]

****

[illegible] WRITE

RACE # [illegible]

[illegible]

****

ELECTION RESULTS REPORT

****

Fulton County

State of

Georgia General Election

November 6, 2018

DATE: Nov-06-2018

POLL CTR: 260NEW

021, 028 & 060

MACHINE ID: 5

VERSION: 2 COPY: 0

COUNT: 0 SIZE: 32M

ACCU-VOTE RELEASE: 4.5.2

REPORT: US 1,14,7

TIME: 19:29 11/06/2018

MACHINE SERIAL: 120381

PUBLIC COUNTER: 138

SYSTEM COUNTER: 2375

****

*** SUMMARY TOTALS

****

BALLOTS CAST BY PRECINCT

PRECINCT	QUANTITY
220	83
22[illegible]	39
[illegible]	16
[illegible]	1
TOTAL BALLOTS	138

****
GOVERNOR
RACE # 9

E. KEW (R)	96
F. BROWN (D)	192
C. HUTT (L)	2
Write-In	1
# WRITE-INS	1

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
CHECKED 100.00% BOTH CANDS
****
LT GOVERNOR
RACE # 10

C. BARNES (R)	98
D. D. MYERS (D)	198
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
SECRETARY OF STATE
RACE # 11

D. SALTZMAN (R)	98
J. BARROW (D)	199
E. GRAY (L)	3
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
ATTORNEY GENERAL
RACE # 12

C. CARR (R)	98
J. BAILEY (D)	201
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****

****
AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 11

G. BLACK (R)	98
F. SHANN (D)	192
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 13

J. BEIX (R)	19
J. LAWS (D)	196
D. FOSTER (L)	3
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT
RACE # 15

R. WOODS (R)	22
G. HERMAN, JR. (D)	189
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
LABOR COMMISSIONER
RACE # 17

M. BRUNER (R)	[illegible]
K. HARRIS (D)	[illegible]
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****

****
PSC EATON
RACE # 19

C. EATON (I) R 20
L. MILLER (D) 195
R. GRAHAM (L) 4
Write-In 2
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
PSC PRIDEMORE
RACE # 21

T. PRIDEMORE (I) R 19
D. A. RANDOLPH (D) 193
J. BAPTISM (L) 4
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
JC RACE 3
RACE # 40

L. R. LEWIS (I) D 199
Write-In 1
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
****
[illegible]
RACE # [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[

AND WATER
$ 550

REVUE, JR (I) 91
ULSETH 78
1
0
1
TE-INS
1m Candidates
% Candidate
% Rept
****
PRECINCT 1
% Rept

101
%

****
PRECINCT 2
% Rept

%
%

****
PRECINCT 3
% Rept

Polling Place to Report
****
Polk County
State of
Georgia General Election

November 6, 2018
DATE: Nov-06-2018
POLL CTR: 290000
02A, 02K & 06G
MACHINE ID: 6
VERSION: 2 COPY: 0
COUNT: 0 SIZE: 32M
ACCU-VOTE RELEASE: 4.5.2
REPORT: US 1, 14, 7

TIME: 19:25 11/06/2018
MACHINE SERIAL: 133778
PUBLIC COUNTER: 125
SYSTEM COUNTER: 6130

****
*** SUMMARY TOTALS
****

BALLOTS CAST BY PRECINCT

PRECINCT	QUANTITY
220	61
225	56
700	8
TOTAL BALLOTS	125

****
GOVERNOR
RACE # 3

G. KEMP (R) 18
S. ADAMS (D) 107
T. METZ (L) 2
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
LT GOVERNOR
RACE # 5

G. DAMEON (R) 25
S. E. ANICO (D) 105
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
SECRETARY OF STATE
RACE # 7

B. RAFFENSPERGER (R) 17
J. BARROW (D) 102
S. DAVIS (L) 5
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
ATTORNEY GENERAL
RACE # 9

C. CARR (D) R 34
C. BAILEY (D) 101
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate

Write In Candidate
Ballot Candidate
****
AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 11

G. BLACK (D) R 29
F. SWANN (D) 95
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 13

J. BECK (R) 19
J. LAGE (D) 97
D. FOSTER (L) 2
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT
RACE # 15

S. ROSS (D) R 22
[illegible] (D) 102
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
LABOR COMMISSIONER
RACE # 17

[illegible] (D) R 20
[illegible] (D) 101
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates

****
SC EATON
ACE # 19

EATON (I) R 20
MILLER (D) 97
GRAHAM (L) 6
te-In 0
RITE-INS 0
te In Candidates
lot Candidate
****
PRIDEMORE
21

PRIDEMORE (I) R 20
RANDOLPH (D) 98
UPTISH (L) 4
e-In 0
ITE-INS 0
In Candidates
Candidate
****
Dist 3
20

****
STATE SENATE 39
RACE # 165

CANNON (I) D 115
Write-In 1
WRITE-INS 1
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
700583 CHARLES WALKER
****
STATE HOUSE 59
RACE # 355

CANNON (I) D 111
Write-In 2
WRITE-INS 2
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
700583 CHARLES WALKER
700583 HARRY BONERS
****
CO CORP COMMISSIONER
RACE # 650

Write-In 116
WRITE-INS 1
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****

****
END VOTES
YES

PERUE, JR (I) 69
ULSETH 69
No 1
No 1
WRITE-INS 0
In Candidates
Candidate
GEORGE FORMAN
LUCIUS JONES
****
CONTEST 3
YES

112
3
****
CONTEST 3
NO

26
2
****
[illegible]
****
[illegible]
****
[illegible]

****
GOVERNOR
RACE # 3

B. KEMP (R)	16
S. ABRAMS (D)	117
T. METZ (L)	0
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
LT GOVERNOR
RACE # 5

G. DUNCAN (R)	20
S. R. ARICO (D)	110
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
SECRETARY OF STATE
RACE # 7

B. RAFFENSPERGER (R)	22
J. BARROW (D)	105
S. DUAL (L)	5
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
ATTORNEY GENERAL
RACE # 9

C. CARR (I) R	28
C. BAILEY (D)	107
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 11

S. BLACK (I) R	26
C. SAHNI (D)	103
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 13

A. BECK (R)	23
J. LANG (D)	108
G. FORTIN (L)	0
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT
RACE # 15

R. [illegible] (R)	21
[illegible] (D)	[illegible]
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate

****
LABOR COMMISSIONER
RACE # 17

N. BUTLER (I) R 27
R. KEATLEY (D) 101
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
PSC EATON
RACE # 18

C. EATON (I) R 23
L. MILLER (D) 102
R. Gibson (L) 8
Write-In 2
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
PSC [illegible]
RACE # 21

[illegible] (I) R 23
S. A. [illegible] (D) 101
[illegible] (L) 4
Write-In 2
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
[illegible]
RACE # [illegible]

[illegible]

STATE SENATE 38
RACE # 105

N. ORROCK (I) D 114
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
STATE HOUSE 58
RACE # 395

P. CANNON (I) D 114
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
CD COMM CHAIRPERSON
RACE # 850

R. PITTS (I) D 111
Write-In 1
WRITE-INS 1
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
[illegible] (R) [illegible]
****
[illegible]
RACE # [illegible]

[illegible]

CONST AMENDMENT 1
RACE # 560

YES 119
NO 12
****
CONST AMENDMENT 2
RACE # 570

YES 60
NO 59
****
CONST AMENDMENT 3
RACE # 580

YES 64
NO 47
****
CONST AMENDMENT 4
RACE # 590

YES 40
NO 70
****
CONST AMENDMENT 5
RACE # 600

YES 34
NO 75
****
CONST AMENDMENT 6
RACE # 610

YES 34
NO 75
****

[illegible]
[illegible]
****
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

****
GOVERNOR
RACE # 5

S. KEMP (R) 59
S. ABRAMS (D) 105
T. METZ (L) 1
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
LT GOVERNOR
RACE # 6

G. DUNCAN (R) 59
S. S. ARICO (D) 105
Write-In 1
WRITE-INS 1
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
STROM JOE BIDEN
****
SECRETARY OF STATE
RACE # 7

B. RAFFENSPERGER (R) 59
J. BARRON (D) 103
S. DUVAL (L) 11
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
ATTORNEY GENERAL
RACE # 9

C. CARR (D) # 58
C. BAILEY (D) 110
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****

****
AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 11

L. BLACK (L) # 31
J. SWANN (D) 103
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 13

B. BECK (R) 29
J. LANG (D) 103
J. FOSTER (L) 7
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT
RACE # 15

R. FOGGS (L) # 29
T. THORNTON, JR. (D) 102
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 17

[illegible] (L) # 29
[illegible] (D) 102
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****

GA005483

****
ELECTION RESULTS REPORT
****

Fulton County
State of
Georgia General Election

November 6, 2018

DATE: Nov-06-2018
POLL CTR: 260R00
02J, 02K & 06G
MACHINE ID: 9
VERSION: 2 COPY: 0
COUNT: 0 SIZE: 32M
ACCU-VOTE RELEASE: 4.5.2
REPORT: US 1.14.7

TIME: 19:28 11/06/2018
MACHINE SERIAL: 107677
PUBLIC COUNTER: 136
SYSTEM COUNTER: 5229

****
*** SUMMARY TOTALS
****

BALLOTS CAST BY PRECINCT

PRECINCT	QUANTITY
220	59
225	67
700	20

TOTAL BALLOTS 136

****

GOVERNOR
RACE # 3

B. KEMP (R)	28
S. ABRAMS (D)	105
T. METZ (L)	5
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
LT GOVERNOR
RACE # 5

G. DUNCAN (R)	35
S. R. AMICO (D)	98
Write-In	1
# WRITE-INS	1

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
855732 TED METZ
****
SECRETARY OF STATE
RACE # 7

B. RAFFENSPERGER (R)	28

RACE # 7

S. WATTENBARGER (R) 29
J. BARROW (D) 99
S. DUVAL (L) 5
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
ATTORNEY GENERAL
RACE # 9

[illegible] CARR (I) R 99
[illegible] BAILEY (D) 101
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 11

G. BLACK (I) R 38
F. SWANN (D) 93
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
INSURANCE COMMISSIONER

****
INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 13

J. BECK (R) 25
J. LAWS (D) 93
D. FOSTER (L) 14
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDEN
RACE # 15

R. WOODS (I) R 38
O. THORNTON, JR. (D) 98
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
LABOR COMMISSIONER
RACE #

[illegible]

GA005486

STATE SENATE 36
RACE # 165

N. ORROCK (I) D 110
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
173300 BUCKLEY DUKE
819362 CHRIS CURNICK
468884 KATHERINE HALL
655732 TED METZ
****
STATE HOUSE 58
RACE # 355

P. CANNON (I) D 107
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
173300 BUCKLEY DUKE
819362 CHRIS CURNICK
468884 HART WILLOUGHBY
655732 TED METZ
****
CO CONV CHAIRPERSON

****

CO COMM CHAIRPERSON

RACE # 450

R. PITTS (I) D	108
Write-In	5
# WRITE-INS	5

Write In Candidates

Ballot Candidate

173300 BUCKLEY DUKE

819362 CHRIS CURNICK

399513 KRISTIN HALLORAN

20890 ME

655732 TED METZ

****

SOIL AND WATER

RACE # 550

N. S. REKIC, JR (I)	89
J. R. ULSETH	79
Write-In	0
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates

Ballot Candidate

****

COUNTY ANNOUNCEMENT 1

RACE # 560

****

SUMMARY REPORT

****

Fulton County

State of

Georgia General Election

November 6, 2018

DATE: Nov-06-2018

POLL CTR: 280100

02: 02K & 03G

MACHINE ID: 10

VERSION: 2 OPT: 0

COUNT: 0 SIZE: 32M

ACCU-VOTE RELEASE: 4.5.2

REPORT: US 1.16.7

TIME: 19:28 11/06/2018

MACHINE SERIAL: 136373

PUBLIC COUNTER: 37

SYSTEM COUNTER: 1136

****

*** SUMMARY TOTALS

****

[illegible] BY PRECINCT

PRECINCT	QUANTITY
220	19
225	17
700	1

TOTAL BALLOTS 37

****

****
GOVERNOR
RACE # 3

G. KEMP (R) 8
S. ABRAMS (D) 32
L. METZ (L) 0
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Cast/Vote
****
LT GOVERNOR
RACE # 5

G. DUNCAN (R) 3
S. R. AMICO (D) 32
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Cast/Vote
****
SECRETARY OF STATE
RACE # 7

B. RATCLIFFE (R) 8
C. LARSEN (D) 28
J. COWL (L) 1
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Cast/Vote
****
ATTORNEY GENERAL
RACE # 9

C. CARR (I) R 8
C. BAILEY (D) 28
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Cast/Vote
****
AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 11

G. BLACK (I) R 8
F. SWAN (D) 28
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Cast/Vote
****
INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 13

J. ODOM (R) 7
J. LAND (D) 28
B. FOSTER (L) 1
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Cast/Vote
****
STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT
RACE # 15

K. JOSEB (I) R 8
B. THORNTON, JR. (D) 28
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Cast/Vote
****
LABOR COMMISSIONER
RACE # 17

K. BUTLER (I) R 7
S. HOBLER (D) 22
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Cast/Vote
****
TAX ASSR
RACE # 19

[illegible]

****

PSC PRIDEMORE

RACE # 21

T. PRIDEMORE (I) R	7
D. A. RANDOLPH (D)	24
L. TURPISH (L)	3
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates

Ballot Candidate

****

US HOUSE 5

RACE # 40

J. R. LEWIS (I) D	32
Write-In	1
# WRITE-INS	1

Write In Candidates

Ballot Candidate

733772 TAYLOR HARRISON

****

****
ELECTION RESULTS REPORT
****

Fulton County
State of
Georgia General Election

November 6, 2018

DATE: Nov-06-2018
POLL CTR: 2020GD
02J, 02K & 06G
MACHINE ID: 13
VERSION: 2 COPY: 0
COUNT: 0 SIZE: 32M
ACCU-VOTE RELEASE: 4.5.2
REPORT: US 1, 18, 7

TIME: 19:27 11/06/2018
MACHINE SERIAL: 189744
PUBLIC COUNTER: 44
SYSTEM COUNTER: 5978

****
*** SUMMARY TOTALS
****

BALLOTS CAST BY PRECINCT

PRECINCT	QUANTITY
20	22
25	15
700	7
TOTAL BALLOTS	44

****
GOVERNOR
RACE # 3

0, KEMP (R)	13
5, ABRAMS (D)	28
1, METZ (L)	2
Write-in	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
LT GOVERNOR
RACE # 5

0, DUNCAN (R)	13
5, S. AMICO (D)	30
Write-in	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
SECRETARY OF STATE
RACE # 7

0, RAFFENSPERGER (R)	11
5, BARGER (D)	30
1, GURR (L)	3
Write-in	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
ATTORNEY GENERAL
RACE # 9

0, CARR (I) R	13
5, BAILEY (D)	28
Write-in	3
# WRITE-INS	3

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****

****
RICULTURE COMMISSIONER
CE # 11

BLACK (I) R 16
SWAN (D) 39
Write-In 2
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Not Candidate
****
SURANCE COMMISSIONER
CE # 13

BECK (R) 13
LANG (D) 29
FOSTER (L) 6
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Not Candidate
****
IC SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT
CE # 15

BOOS (I) R 17
NORTON, M. (D) 28
Write-In 0
ITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Not Candidate
****
R COMMISSIONER
17

COLEP (I) R 16
PRUDY (D) 36
Write-In 0
ITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Not Candidate

****
EATON
19

TON (I) R 11
LLER (D) 28
NHAM (L) 3
-In 0
TE-INS 0
In Candidates
Candidate
****
FIGEMORE
21

DEMORE (I) R 12
RANDOLPH (D) 26
PISH (L) 3
In 0
E-INS 0
In Candidates
Candidate
****
CE 5
40

LEWIS (I) R 35
In 1
-INS 1
In Candidates
Candidate
NOT
****




Fulton County
State of
Georgia General Election
November 8, 2016
DATE: Nov-08-2016
POLL OPN & CLS
MACHINE ID:
VERSION: 2
COPY: 3
COUNT:
SIZE:
ACCU-VOTE RELEASE:
REPORT:
TIME:
MACHINE SERIAL:
PUBLIC COUNTER:
SYSTEM COUNTER:
BALLOTS CAST BY PRECINCT
PRECINCT
QUANTITY
TOTAL BALLOTS

GOVERNOR
RACE # 3

S. KEMP (R) 7
S. ADAMS (D) 37
T. KOTZ (L) 1
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
LT GOVERNOR
RACE # 5

G. GUACON (R) 6
S. R. ANCO (D) 29
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
SECRETARY OF STATE
RACE # 7

G. MAYNARDVERGES (R) 3
J. BARROW (D) 35
S. DUAL (L) 1
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
ATTORNEY GENERAL
RACE # 9

C. DAVIS (R) R 3
C. BALLER (D) 34
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 11

G. BLACK (R) R 10
F. SHAW (D) 28
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 13

J. BECK (R) 10
J. LAWS (D) 22
G. FOSTER (L) 1
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT
RACE # 15

S. WEEKS (R) R 9
C. THOMPSON, JR. (D) 23
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
PUBLIC COMMISSIONER
RACE # 17

[illegible]

****
PSC SATOR
RACE # 18

C. SATOR (D) R 3
[illegible] MILLER (D) 24
R. SHAW (L) 1
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
PSC PETERSEN
RACE # 21

[illegible] PETERSEN (D) R 3
D. A. BARCLAY (D) 23
J. TURPIN (L) 1
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
US HOUSE 3
RACE # 40

[illegible] LEWIS (D) R 20
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
[illegible]
[illegible]
****

****
ELECTION RESULTS REPORT
****
Fulton County
State of
Georgia General Election

November 6, 2018
DATE: Nov-06-2018
POLL CTR: 280'00
02J, 02K & 06G
MACHINE ID: 13
VERSION: 2 COPY: 0
COUNT: 0 SIZE: 32M
ACCU-VOTE RELEASE: 4.5.2
REPORT: US 1.14.7

TIME: 19:31 11/06/2018
MACHINE SERIAL: 116073
PUBLIC COUNTER: 37
SYSTEM COUNTER: 4718

****
*** SUMMARY TOTALS
****

BALLOTS CAST BY PRECINCT

PRECINCT	QUANTITY
220	15
225	15
700	7
TOTAL BALLOTS	37

GOVERNOR
RACE # 3

G. KEMP (R)	6
S. ABRAMS (D)	27
T. METZ (L)	2
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
LT GOVERNOR
RACE # 5

G. DUNCAN (R)	10
S. R. AMICO (D)	26
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
SECRETARY OF STATE
RACE # 7

B. WATENDORFF (R)	9
J. BARROW (D)	25
S. DAVIS (L)	2
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
ATTORNEY GENERAL
RACE # 9

C. CARR (I) R	11
C. BAILEY (D)	24
Write-In	0
# WRITE-INS	0

Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate

REGISTRY COMMISSIONER
RACE # 11

G. BLACK (I) R 12
T. SAMM (D) 21
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 11

A. BOX (R) 9
J. LAND (D) 22
D. FOSTER (L) 3
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT
RACE # 13

B. WOOD (I) R 32
D. THOMPSON, JR. (D) 20
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
LABOR COMMISSIONER
RACE # 17

R. BOLLIN (I) R 30
T. ASHLEY (D) 22
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****

PSC EATON
RACE # 19

C. EATON (I) R 9
L. MILLER (D) 23
R. GRAHAM (L) 3
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
PSC PRIDEMORE
RACE # 21

T. PRIDEMORE (I) R 9
D. A. RANDOLPH (D) 22
J. TURPISH (L) 3
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
US HOUSE 5
RACE # 40

J. R. LEWIS (I) D 26
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****



****
ELECTION RESULTS REPORT
****
Fulton County
State of
Georgia General Election

November 6, 2018

DATE: NOV-06-2018
POLL CTR: 260_00
02J, 02K & 08G
MACHINE ID: 1A
VERSION: 2 COPY: 0
COUNT: 0 SIZE: 32M
ACCU-VOTE RELEASE: 4.5.2
REPORT: US 1.14.7

TIME: 19:32 11/06/2018
MACHINE SERIAL: 12863
PUBLIC COUNTER: 39
SYSTEM C[illegible] 10292

****
*** SUMMARY TOTALS ***
****

BALLOTS CAST BY PRECINCT

PRECINCT	QUANTITY
220	13
225	18
700	8
TOTAL BALLOTS	39

GOVERNOR
RACE # 3

B. ROW (R) 8
S. ADAMS (D) 32
T. PATT (L) 1
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
LT GOVERNOR
RACE # 5

S. SIMON (R) 7
S. R. MYERS (D) 32
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
SECRETARY OF STATE
RACE # 7

B. DEFENDERFER (R) 8
F. BARRY (D) 32
S. BOWL (L) 1
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
ATTORNEY GENERAL
RACE # 9

C. CRO (R) 8
F. MAZZY (D) 32
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
AUDITOR GENERAL
RACE # 11

C. [illegible] (R) [illegible]
[illegible] (D) [illegible]
Write-In [illegible]
WRITE-INS [illegible]
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****

****
INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
RACE # 13

J. BECK (R) 8
J. LAWS (D) 25
D. FOSTER (L) 8
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT
RACE # 15

C. WOODS (I) R 11
D. THORNTON, JR. (D) 25
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
LABOR COMMISSIONER
RACE # 17

K. BUTLER (I) R 32
K. KEATLEY (D) 25
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
PSC EAST
RACE # 19

C. CARON (I) R 9

PSC EATON
RACE # 18

C. EATON (I) R 9
D. MILLER (D) 28
E. GRAHAM (L) 4
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
PSC PRIDEMORE
RACE # 21

1. PRIDEMORE (I) R 9
2. A. RANDOLPH (D) 25
3. TURPISH (L) 4
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
US HOUSE 5
RACE # 40

A. K. LEWIS (I) D 28
Write-In 1
WRITE-INS 1
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
TO THE BACK FLAP
****

STATE SENATE 36
RACE # 185

A. OSBORN (I) D 30
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
STATE HOUSE 59
RACE # 355

F. CARSON (I) D 29
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
CO COM CARSONSON
RACE # 450

B. PITTS (I) R 27
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 1
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
SCL SUP WORK
RACE # 50

A. S. BOWE, JR (I) 25
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
WRITE-INS 1
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
****
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

EXHIBIT

B

This spreadsheet may be viewed at https://coaltionforgoodgovernance.sharefile.com/d-s3917ca95b4f4f45a

EXHIBIT C

ELECTION: (Check One)
- ☐ General
- ☐ Primary
- ☐ Runoff (if applicable)
- ☐ Special
- ☐ Presidential Preference Primary

USE BALL POINT PEN
Bear Down – You Are Making Three Copies

WHITE sheet to Secretary of State
YELLOW sheet to Superintendent
PINK sheet to Clerk of Superior Court or Municipal Clerk

DATE OF ELECTION December 6, 2018
COUNTY / MUNICIPALITY Fulton
PRECINCT 0FF/K 1 0660

TIME LAST VOTER VOTED _____

NUMBER OF REGISTERED VOTERS IN PRECINCT _____

DIRECT RECORD ELECTRONIC VOTING MACHINE RECAP

SECTION A: RECORD EACH UNIT

DRE UNIT NUMBER	Before Polls Open SEAL NUMBER	Before Polls Open COUNT NUMBER	After Polls Close SEAL NUMBER	After Polls Close COUNT NUMBER
103286	0768012			
103810	0691786			
102901	0691769			
136981	0691800			
142944	0691796			
142941	0691778			
132778	0691760			
140124	0691776			
125680	0691781			
107677	0691829			

SECTION B: TOTAL OF ALL VOTES CAST (ALL UNITS COMBINED) _____

SECTION C: NUMBERED LISTS and VOTER CERTIFICATES

ExpressPoll (See ExpressPoll Recap)	Supplemental	Total Voter's Certificates
Democratic Primary _____	Democratic Primary _____	Democratic Primary _____
Republican Primary _____	Republican Primary _____	Republican Primary _____
General/Special _____	General/Special _____	General/Special _____
Total (a) _____	**Total (b)** _____	**Total (c)** _____

SECTION D: TOTAL NUMBER OF PERSONS VOTING AS SHOWN BY:

1. Results Tapes (or Accumulator Tape Results) (Total from Section B above) = _____
2. "Voters Marked" (See ExpressPoll Recap) _____ + Supplemental List _____ = _____
3. Numbered Lists on ExpressPoll (a) _____ + Supplemental (b) _____ = _____
4. Voter's Certificates (c) = _____

NOTE: Numbers from D1, D2, D3, and D4 should match. If not, explain difference here: _____

We, the undersigned Managers, hereby certify that the above is a true and correct accounting on this the _____ day of _____, 2_____ **SIGNED IN TRIPLICATE**

Manager _____ Assistant Manager _____ Assistant Manager _____

Form RS-DRE-10

GA005504

EXHIBIT

D

Hancock County Election

November 8, 2016

DATE: Nov-08-2016
POLL CTR: 1130/00
MIDVALE ELEM
VERSION: 1 COPY: 0
CORE: 0 SIZE: 32M
ACCU-VOTE RELEASE: 4.5.2
REPORT: US 1.14.7

TIME: 19:05 11/08/2016
MACHINE SERIAL: 1000036
PUBLIC COUNTER: 727
SYSTEM COUNTER: 5081

****
Accumulated Results For:
Machine# Copy Count
1 0 104
2 0 98
3 0 108
4 0 100
5 0 112
6 0 102
7 0 113
****
Total Count: 727
****

****
** PRECINCT: 1070 **
MIDVALE ELEM
****

BLANK VOTED 9
UNDERVOTED 235
WRITE-IN 43
****
Governor
RACE # 10
RUNNING 3
TO VOTE FOR 1

TIMES COUNTED 727
TIMES BLANK VOTED 3
B. KEMP (R) 389
S. ABRAMS (D) 307
T. METZ (L) 25
Write-in 3
WRITE-INS 1
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
923217 GLORIFY JESUS
537209 LAURA ROLLMAN
453453 LEWIS BROWN
****
Lt Governor
RACE # 30
RUNNING 2
TO VOTE FOR 1

TIMES COUNTED 727
TIMES BLANK VOTED 28
G. DUNCAN (R) 406
S. R. AMICO (D) 292
Write-in 1
WRITE-INS 1

537209 LAURA ROLLMAN
453053 LEWIS BROWN
****
Lt Governor
RACE # 30
RUNNING 2
TO VOTE FOR 1

TIMES COUNTED 727
TIMES BLANK VOTED 28
G. DUNCAN (R) 406
S. R. AMICO (D) 292
Write-In 1
WRITE-INS 1
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
923217 CHRIST ALONE
****
Secretary of State
RACE # 50
RUNNING 3
TO VOTE FOR 1

TIMES COUNTED 727
TIMES BLANK VOTED 19
B. RAFFENSPERGER (R) 390
J. BARROW (D) 282
S. DUVAL (L) 36
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
Attorney General
RACE # 70
RUNNING 2
TO VOTE FOR 1

TIMES COUNTED 727
TIMES BLANK VOTED 18
C. CARR (D) R 420
C. BAILEY (D) 294
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
Commissioner of Agriculture
RACE # 90
RUNNING 2
TO VOTE FOR 1

TIMES COUNTED 727
TIMES BLANK VOTED 18

RUNNING 2
TO VOTE FOR 1

TIMES COUNTED 727
TIMES BLANK VOTED 18
C. CARR (I) R 420
C. BAILEY (D) 289
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
Commissioner of Agriculture
RACE # 90
RUNNING 2
TO VOTE FOR 1

TIMES COUNTED 727
TIMES BLANK VOTED 23
G. BLACK (I) R 445
F. SWANN (D) 253
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
Commissioner of Insurance
RACE # 110
RUNNING 3
TO VOTE FOR 1

TIMES COUNTED 727
TIMES BLANK VOTED 24
J. BECK (R) 396
J. LAWS (D) 257
G. FOSTER (L) 48
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates
Ballot Candidate
****
State Senator 5th District
RACE # 130
RUNNING 2
TO VOTE FOR 1

TIMES COUNTED 727
TIMES BLANK VOTED 28
R. WRIGHT (I) R 396
T. [illegible] (D) 303
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates 0

****

State School Superintendent

RACE # 130

RUNNING 2

TO VOTE FOR 1

TIMES COUNTED 727

TIMES BLANK VOTED 25

R. WOODS (1) R 402

D. THOMPSON, JR. (0) 290

Write-in 0

WRITE-INS 0

Write In Candidates

Ballot Candidate

****

Commissioner of Labor

RACE # 150

RUNNING 2

TO VOTE FOR 1

TIMES COUNTED 727

TIMES BLANK VOTED 30

M. BUTLER (1) R 435

R. KEATLEY (0) 293

Write-in 0

WRITE-INS 0

Write In Candidates

Ballot Candidate

****

PSC Eaton

RACE # 170

RUNNING 3

TO VOTE FOR 1

TIMES COUNTED 727

TIMES BLANK VOTED 24

C. EATON (1) R 379

L. MILLER (0) 271

R. GRAHAM (0) 51

Write-in 2

WRITE-INS 2

Write In Candidates

Ballot Candidate

[illegible] MILLER

[illegible] GRAHAM (R - [illegible])

****

PSC Robinson

RACE # 190

RUNNING 3

TO VOTE FOR 1

TIMES COUNTED 727

TIMES BLANK VOTED 23

[illegible] 396

[illegible] 305

[illegible] 3

[illegible] 0

[illegible] 0

[illegible] 0

****
PSC Commissioner
RACE # 190
RUNNING 3
TO VOTE FOR 1

TIMES COUNTED 727
TIMES BLANK VOTED 23
T. FRIEDMANN (R) 338
D. A. RANDOLPH (D) 358
J. DARPISH (L) 30
Write-In 1
WRITE-INS 1
Write In Candidates:
Ballot Candidate
WA3368 CHARLOTTE HELLER
****
US House 6
RACE # 220
RUNNING 2
TO VOTE FOR 1

TIMES COUNTED 727
TIMES BLANK VOTED 9
K. HANDEL (R) 418
L. MCBATH (D) 300
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates:
Ballot Candidate
****
State Senate 40
RACE # 250
RUNNING 2
TO VOTE FOR 1

TIMES COUNTED 727
TIMES BLANK VOTED 20
F. MILLAR (R) 395
S. HARRELL (D) 303
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates:
Ballot Candidate
****
State House 81
RACE # 350
RUNNING 2
TO VOTE FOR 1

TIMES COUNTED 570
TIMES BLANK VOTED 13
T. BRUNE (R) 309
D. HOLDEN (D) 255
Write-In 0
WRITE-INS 0
Write In Candidates:
Ballot Candidate
****
State House 97
RACE # 390
RUNNING 2
TO VOTE FOR 1

TIMES COUNTED 570
TIMES BLANK VOTED 12
[illegible]

EXHIBIT E

Exhibit D

Midvale Elementary Precinct

DRE Election Night poll tape comparisons to Sec. of State official posted tallies for example races

Candidate/Contest	**Midvale DRE Poll Tape**	**SOS Election Day Tally**
Amico	292	328
Duncan	406	468
Lt. Gov. total	698	796
Total Ballots Cast	727	not available
Governor	724	824
Sec. of State	708	809
Attorney General	709	810

Note: similar patterns continue through all contests for which we have photograph of poll tape.

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDLS
Date: 1/8/2019 4:42 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, *et al*	)
	) Civil Action File No. 2018CV313418
Plaintiff,	)
v.	)
ROBYN A CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, *et al*	)
Defendant	)

DEFENDANT FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS' OBJECTION TO PLAINTIFFS' NOTICE OF PROFFER OF EVIDENCE

COMES NOW, Defendant Fulton County Board of Registration and Elections ("FCBRE"), by and through its undersigned counsel of record, and respectfully files its Objection to Plaintiffs' Proffer of Evidence.

1.

On December 5, 2018, the Court entered an Order setting the following schedule for this litigation:

a) All Motions in this case shall be filed by 5:00 p.m. on December 20, 2018;

b) All filed Motions are set for hearing at 9:00 a.m. January 9, 2019;

c) The case is set for final hearing from January 17, 2019.

2.

Defendants FCRE, Gwinnett County Board of Registration and Elections Secretary State of Georgia Robyn A. Crittenden and Geoff Duncan have each filed Motions to Dismiss as directed by the Court's December 5, 2018 Order.

3.

On January 8, 2019, Plaintiffs filed Plaintiffs' Notice of Proffer of Evidence.

4.

Pursuant to OCGA §§ 9-11-12(b) and 9-11-56, evidence outside the pleadings converts these motions to dismiss to motions for summary judgment and consequently, Defendants are entitled to 30 day' notice. *See Cox Enterprises, Inc. v. Nix*, 273 Ga. 152, 153 (2000). Accordingly, Defendant FCBRE objects to Plaintiffs' Notice of Proffer of Evidence and asserts that this proffer should not be considered at the motions hearing of January 9, 2019.

Respectfully submitted this 8th day of January, 2019.

OFFICE OF THE FULTON COUNTY ATTORNEY
Patrise Perkins-Hooker
County Attorney
Georgia Bar No. 572358
Patrise.perkins-hooker@fultoncountyga.gov

/s/ Kaye Woodard Burwell
Kaye Woodard Burwell
Georgia Bar No. 775060
Kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov
Cheryl Melissa Ann Ringer
Georgia Bar No. 557420
Cheryl.ringer@fultoncountyga.gov

David Lowman
Georgia Bar No. 460298
David.lowman@fultoncountyga.gov
Attorneys for Defendant Fulton County BRE

141 Pryor Street, S.W.
Suite 4038
Atlanta, Georgia 30303
Office: (404) 612-0246
Fax: (404)730-6540

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, *et al*	)
	) Civil Action File No. 2018CV313418
Plaintiff,	)
v.	)
ROBYN A CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, *et al*	)
Defendant	)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that on this day, I have caused to be electronically filed the foregoing **DEFENDANT FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS' OBJECTION TO PLAINTIFFS' NOTICE OF PROFFER OF EVIDENCE** with the Fulton County Superior Court using the Odyssey efile GA system which will provide automatic notification of this filing to all counsel of record.

This 8h day of January, 2019.

/s/ Kaye Woodard Burwell
Kaye Woodard Burwell
Georgia Bar No. 775050

Page(S)
Intentionally
Left Blank

GA005518

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDMH
Date: 1/9/2019 11:44 AM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT,	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	
v.	)	CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV313418
ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS, GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, DEKALB COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, and GEOFF DUNCAN,	)	
Defendants.	)	

DEFENDANT ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN'S
NOTICE OF LIMITED PROFFER OF EVIDENCE

COMES NOW, Robyn A. Crittenden, in her official capacity as Secretary of State of the State of Georgia ("Defendant" or "Crittenden"), by and through counsel, and respectfully appears specially to proffer a transcript of the testimony of Richard A. DeMillo provided at an evidentiary hearing on September 12, 2018 in *Curling, et al. v. Kemp, et al.*, a case in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Georgia (No. 1:17-CV-2989-AT) in which one of the

Plaintiffs here, Coalition for Good Governance, also was a plaintiff and was represented by the same attorney representing it in this case. (A copy of the transcript is attached as **Exhibit A**.)

Respectfully submitted this 9th day of January, 2019.

Christopher M. Carr
Attorney General
Georgia Bar No. 112505
Annette M. Cowart
Deputy Attorney General
Georgia Bar No. 191199
Russell D. Willard
Senior Assistant Attorney General
Georgia Bar No. 760280
State Law Department
40 Capitol Square, S.W.
Atlanta, GA 30334
Telephone: (404) 656-3357

/s/ Josh Belinfante
Josh Belinfante
Georgia Bar No: 047399
jbelinfante@robbinsfirm.com
Vincent R. Russo
Georgia Bar No. 242628
vrusso@robbinsfirm.com
Brian E. Lake
Georgia Bar No. 575966
blake@robbinsfirm.com
Alexander Denton
Georgia Bar No. 660632
adenton@robbinsfirm.com
Special Assistant Attorneys General
Robbins Ross Alloy Belinfante Littlefield LLC
500 14th Street, N.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30318
Telephone: (678) 701-9381

Facsimile: (404) 856-3250

Attorneys for Defendant Robyn A. Crittenden, Secretary of State of Georgia

EXHIBIT A

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
ATLANTA DIVISION

DONNA CURLING, ET AL.,	:	
PLAINTIFFS,	:	
vs.	:	DOCKET NUMBER
	:	1:17-CV-2989-AT
BRIAN P. KEMP, ET AL.,	:	
DEFENDANTS.	:	

TRANSCRIPT OF EVIDENTIARY HEARING PROCEEDINGS

BEFORE THE HONORABLE AMY TOTENBERG

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

SEPTEMBER 12, 2018

10:16 A.M.

MECHANICAL STENOGRAPHY OF PROCEEDINGS AND COMPUTER-AIDED TRANSCRIPT PRODUCED BY:

OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER: ***SHANNON R. WELCH, RMR, CRR***
2394 UNITED STATES COURTHOUSE
75 TED TURNER DRIVE, SOUTHWEST
ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30303
(404) 215-1383

1 A P P E A R A N C E S O F C O U N S E L

2

3 **FOR THE PLAINTIFFS DONNA CURLING, DONNA PRICE, JEFFREY SCHOENBERG:**

4

5 DAVID D. CROSS
CATHERINE L. CHAPPLE
6 JANE P. BENTROTT
ROBERT W. MANOSO
7 MORRISON & FOERSTER, LLP

8 HALSEY G. KNAPP, JR.
KREVOLIN & HORST, LLC

9

10 **FOR THE PLAINTIFF COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE:**

11

ROBERT ALEXANDER McGUIRE, III
12 ROBERT McGUIRE LAW FIRM

13 BRUCE P. BROWN
BRUCE P. BROWN LAW

14

15 **FOR THE PLAINTIFFS COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, LAURA DIGGES, WILLIAM DIGGES, III, AND RICARDO DAVIS:**

16

17 CARY ICHTER
ICHTER DAVIS, LLC

18

19 **FOR THE STATE OF GEORGIA DEFENDANTS:**

20

JOHN FRANK SALTER, JR.
21 ROY E. BARNES
THE BARNES LAW GROUP, LLC

22

23

24

25 (...CONT'D...)

1 (...CONT'D....)

2

3 **FOR THE FULTON COUNTY DEFENDANTS:**

4

5 KAYE WOODARD BURWELL
CHERYL RINGER
DAVID R. LOWMAN

6 OFFICE OF THE FULTON COUNTY ATTORNEY

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

INDEX TO PROCEEDINGS

ELEVENTH AMENDMENT ISSUES REGARDING IMMUNITY AND STANDING

	PAGE
ARGUMENT	
by Mr. McGuire	16
by Ms. Bentrott	20
by Mr. Salter	22
by Mr. McGuire	31
RULING	
by The Court	32

HEARING ON MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION

OPENING STATEMENT	
by Mr. Cross	35
by Mr. Manuso	40
by Mr. Brown	44
by Mr. Salter	48
by Ms. Burwell	62
by Mr. Cross	66
by Mr. Brown	70
WITNESS	**PAGE**
J. ALEX HALDERMAN, Ph.D.	
Direct Examination by Ms. Chapple	73
Cross-Examination by Mr. Barnes	96
Cross-Examination by Ms. Burwell	118
RICHARD DeMILLO, Ph.D.	
Direct Examination by Mr. McGuire	130
Direct Examination by Mr. Cross	143

(...cont'd...)

(...cont'd...)

WITNESS	PAGE
Cross-Examination by Mr. Salter	148
Redirect Examination by Mr. Cross	160
CHRIS HARVEY	
Cross-Examination by Mr. Cross	163
Cross-Examination by Mr. McGuire	176
Direct Examination by Mr. Salter	185
Recross-Examination by Mr. Cross	195
Recross-Examination by Mr. McGuire	200
MICHAEL BARNES	
Direct Examination by Mr. Salter	205
Cross-Examination by Mr. Brown	217
Cross-Examination by Mr. Cross	225
Redirect Examination by Mr. Salter	234
CECILIA HOUSTON-TORRENCE	
Direct Examination by Ms. Burwell	236
Cross-Examination by Mr. Brown	241
RICHARD BARRON	
Direct Examination by Ms. Burwell	243
Cross-Examination by Mr. Cross	265
Cross-Examination by Mr. McGuire	271

(...cont'd...)

(...cont'd...)

WITNESS	PAGE
Redirect Examination by Ms. Burwell	272
CATHY COX	
Direct Examination by Mr. Salter	275
Cross-Examination by Mr. Cross	296
Cross-Examination by Mr. McGuire	305

* * *

CERTIFICATE 323

1 MR. SALTER: Yes, Your Honor.
2 THE COURT: Call your next witness.
3 MR. McGUIRE: Your Honor, we would call Richard A.
4 DeMillo.
5 COURTROOM DEPUTY CLERK: Good afternoon, sir. Please
6 raise your right hand.
7 **(Witness sworn)**
8 COURTROOM DEPUTY CLERK: Thank you. Please be
9 seated. Please pull up close to the microphone. You can
10 adjust the microphone if you need. It is very important that
11 we all be able to hear you.
12 I'm going to ask you to state your first and last
13 name again for the record, and then please spell those names
14 for the record.
15 THE WITNESS: Richard DeMillo. R-I-C-H-A-R-D. My
16 last name is spelled D-E, capital, M-I-L-L-O.
17 Whereupon,
18 RICHARD DEMILLO, PH.D.,
19 after having been first duly sworn, testified as follows:
20 DIRECT EXAMINATION
21 BY MR. MCGUIRE:
22 **Q.** Mr. DeMillo, I'm Robert McGuire, counsel for Coalition for
23 Good Governance. You submitted two affidavits in this case;
24 yes?
25 **A.** Yes.

1 Q. They were Documents 277 and 285. You said that your
2 primary focus and your experience there was information,
3 communication, cybersecurity, and computer system testing?
4 A. That is my background, yes.
5 Q. I'm going to ask you some questions in that area of
6 expertise. Mr. DeMillo, do you have an opinion as to
7 whether --
8 THE COURT: And you are a professor at Georgia Tech?
9 THE WITNESS: I'm a professor at Georgia Tech.
10 Q. **(BY MR. McGUIRE)** You have also worked for other places as
11 well; yes?
12 A. I have, yes.
13 Would you like to know some of them?
14 Q. Have you worked at Hewlett-Packard?
15 A. I have worked at Hewlett-Packard. I was the chief
16 technology officer at Hewlett-Packard.
17 Q. Have you worked for the Department of Defense?
18 A. I have worked for the Department of Defense. I ran a
19 project called the software test and evaluation project for the
20 Department of Defense.
21 Q. And in those positions, were you dealing with
22 cybersecurity and computer system testing?
23 A. Frequently, yes.
24 Q. Mr. DeMillo, I'm going to ask you if you have an
25 opinion -- have you formed an opinion on the question of

1 whether Georgia's DRE system can be trusted to accurately
2 deliver election results?
3 A. Yes, I have.
4 Q. What is your opinion?
5 A. My opinion is it is not a trustworthy system.
6 Q. Okay. Why do you have that opinion?
7 A. Well, cybersecurity depends on three pillars of trust:
8 Protection, detection, and containment. And I think in all
9 three of those areas, there are unanswered questions that
10 experts who have looked at these systems, experts who know
11 about cybersecurity have about Georgia's system, the
12 vulnerabilities we heard about this morning. There needs to be
13 a rather full explanation of what protections are in place in
14 order to address those vulnerabilities. We heard the
15 nonexistence of paper ballots. That makes it difficult,
16 impossible to detect the vulnerability breaches when they
17 occur.
18 And we have yet to hear anything about containment. So in
19 the event of a catastrophic breach, how do you go forward? How
20 do you conduct the election?
21 Q. So I want to take that conceptual framework, and I want to
22 put what happened in this case -- the allegations in this case
23 in the context of that framework.
24 So talk about protection. To your knowledge, based on
25 your understanding of the allegations in this case, has that

1 pillar been satisfied?
2 **A.** So you hear about vulnerabilities in computer systems.
3 And these voting machines, like this one, are just computers.
4 And the way that you protect against intrusions is to
5 understand those vulnerabilities and build in safeguards.
6 But you have to go through the vulnerabilities one-by-one,
7 and you have to explain how about that vulnerability is going
8 to be addressed.
9 I can give you just a couple of examples of that if you
10 would like.
11 **Q.** Real briefly.
12 **A.** So you want physical security. You want to know that the
13 equipment has been secured, that someone can't come in and
14 tamper with it. But in the case of Georgia, we have no such
15 assurance. We really don't know very much about the physical
16 security of the systems.
17 You have to be able to have logical security. These
18 systems, particularly the DREs, are built on top of 25-year-old
19 computer technology that really didn't have security
20 subsystems. There is nothing like an AV system, an antivirus
21 system, that sits on this computer.
22 And so all of the things that you would do in the normal
23 course of events with modern computers to say, well, here is
24 how I address this vulnerability is lacking.
25 **Q.** So rather than go through the other pillars, I'm just

1 going to jump into something specific. So this machine -- you
2 see this machine in front of you?
3 A. Yes.
4 Q. Now, you saw Professor Halderman hack this machine?
5 A. Yes.
6 Q. So we know this machine is compromised; yes?
7 A. Yes.
8 Q. If the State of Georgia came to you and said, Mr. DeMillo,
9 we want to find out if this machine is compromised, could you
10 figure that out?
11 A. You could conceivably figure it out, yes.
12 Q. What would you have to do for this machine to figure that
13 out?
14 A. So what I would probably do is open the machine up, attach
15 some probes to terminals in the back of the machine, and read
16 the memory of the machine just like you would read a disc on a
17 PC. I would compare that image to the latest software that we
18 had from Diebold to see if it was a match or not.
19 Q. If you did that, how long do you think it would take to do
20 this machine?
21 A. I'm guessing, but I would guess about a half hour per
22 machine.
23 Q. Now, if Georgia has 27,000 of these machines, how long
24 would it take to do that?
25 A. It would take -- it would take 14,000 hours to do that.

1 Q. And then if you did that, the system would be good; yes?
2 A. No. Because the infection was spread by a contaminated
3 memory card. So as soon as you insert that memory card back
4 into the machine, the machine is contaminated again.
5 Q. So you have to check memory cards also?
6 A. You have to check all the memory cards.
7 Q. Same process?
8 A. Basically, the memory card readers are commodity devices.
9 You insert the memory card and check to see what is there.
10 Q. We have heard about other components of the system like
11 the GEMS server, the optical scan units, e-poll books.
12 Would those have to be checked also?
13 A. Yes. But very different processes for those.
14 Q. Shorter? Quicker?
15 A. Well, in the case of GEMS servers, it is really a
16 difficult problem because we're talking about software that
17 hides in the deep recesses of the operating system. Sometimes
18 in the software that loads as the machine is starting -- it is
19 there to not be detected. So it is in many cases impossible to
20 find it.
21 Q. Let's say you can check every single component that
22 Georgia uses in its election system. You did that, and you had
23 enough time, and you took care of that.
24 Would the system then be trustworthy to accurately deliver
25 election results?

1 A. No.
2 Q. And why not?
3 A. Because the second pillar isn't in place. You have to be
4 able to detect. And without a written record of voter intent
5 to conduct an audit against, you have no way of determining
6 whether or not the votes have been changed.
7 Q. So when you say written record, what do you mean?
8 A. A paper ballot. Hand-marked paper ballot.
9 Q. So do machines like this ever have any kind of written
10 record?
11 A. No.
12 Q. Does Georgia's DRE system have the capability to produce
13 an independent and paper audit trail of every ballot cast?
14 A. No.
15 Q. How do you know that?
16 A. Because I know the architecture of the machines. When I
17 was at Hewlett-Packard, I used to manufacture machines very
18 similar to this. If the machine is compromised, all the memory
19 in the machine is compromised. It doesn't matter how often you
20 print it it is going to come out to be whatever the malware
21 wants it to be.
22 Q. Are you familiar with Georgia's machines in particular?
23 A. Am I familiar with Georgia -- so I don't think that there
24 is a Georgia machine. I think these machines are manufactured
25 by companies like Hewlett-Packard, like Diebold for mass

1 market. They are commodity electronics.
2 They have components that come from all over the world.
3 Sometimes those get packaged into machines that are delivered
4 to Georgia, sometimes to California. And so, you know, the
5 idea of a Georgia machine from the point of cybersecurity
6 doesn't really make a lot of sense.
7 Q. So you heard the cross-examination of Professor Halderman;
8 yes?
9 A. Yes.
10 Q. Do you put any significance in the fact that he's looked
11 at versions 4.3, 4.4, and 4.6 but not 4.5?
12 A. No, not really. If you -- even if you assumed that the
13 ballot station software were perfect, they were clean, they
14 weren't contaminated, you have to understand that the hardware
15 and software that runs on these machines is commodity
16 electronics.
17 It is a Windows CE operating system that is notoriously
18 insecure. It doesn't have a security subsystem, for example.
19 And that is what you would compromise.
20 If I were going to approach this machine, I probably
21 wouldn't start with the ballot station software. I would start
22 with known compromises for Windows CE.
23 Q. Are you familiar with the National Academy of Sciences
24 report?
25 A. I am.

1 Q. Did that address a system that has been compromised by
2 being available to the internet for six months, or did it
3 address -- what did it address?
4 A. Well, it addressed the vulnerabilities of the system.
5 MR. SALTER: Your Honor, one objection for the record
6 if I may. It assumes facts not in evidence. But go ahead.
7 THE COURT: All right. So noted.
8 Q. **(BY MR. McGUIRE)** Let me ask you the question again. Your
9 second affidavit attached the National Academy of Sciences
10 report?
11 A. Yes.
12 Q. Yes?
13 A. Yes.
14 Q. So did that report look at DREs in their pristine state or
15 DREs in a compromised state?
16 A. So the National Academy report looked at the inherent
17 vulnerabilities of DREs, in particular paperless DREs, and said
18 that they are so dangerous that they shouldn't be used in any
19 further elections.
20 Q. So if you start with DREs that have been evaluated that
21 way and you make them accessible to anyone in the world over
22 the internet for six months, does that make them in your
23 opinion more or less safe?
24 A. Well, it certainly doesn't help. It makes them more
25 vulnerable, I think.

1 Q. Now, the defendants have represented in their opening
2 statements that they do testing.
3 A. Uh-huh (affirmative).
4 Q. Have you observed any of that?
5 A. I have observed logic inaccuracy testing.
6 Q. Does that set your mind at ease as far as the security of
7 these systems?
8 A. No. It really doesn't tell you anything at all about the
9 accuracy of the system as they are used in elections. And in
10 particular, it doesn't tell you anything about the accuracy of
11 the systems when they are under attack.
12 Q. Mr. Salter's opening also represented that DREs can be
13 audited. Are you aware of that?
14 A. No.
15 Q. How would you audit a DRE?
16 A. Well, the very idea of an audit requires two independent
17 sources of information or two independent transactions to
18 compare against each other. And the idea of auditing a single
19 machine is sort of circular reasoning.
20 If the machine is compromised, you have to assume that
21 everything in the machine is compromised. Therefore anything
22 the machine tells you about its internal state is unreliable.
23 Q. What about the safeguard of being able to run a recount?
24 A. Same thing. Same thing. You are just adding the numbers
25 up again and expecting to get the same result.

1 Q. We have heard some testimony or some questioning about the
2 accuracy of paper ballots in recording voter intent versus
3 optical scanners -- read by optical scanners --
4 A. Yes.
5 Q. -- versus DREs?
6 A. Right.
7 Q. The implication, as I understood it, was that DREs are
8 more accurate. Do you have a view on that?
9 A. Well, so let me say at the beginning of my answer that
10 recording human choices is an inherently error prong process.
11 So any way of doing that is going to be subject to error.
12 I'm not aware of any studies that say that DREs are any
13 inherently -- any more inherently reliable at recording human
14 choices than paper ballots.
15 Q. How can DRE be inaccurate in recording your choice?
16 A. Well, a lot of things happen. So one thing that happens
17 is that when a machine is compromised what gets compromised are
18 the relationship between the touches that a voter makes on the
19 screen and the names of candidates that are supposed to be
20 voted for when the screen is touched. And that would destroy
21 the accuracy of the vote.
22 Even if the machine wasn't compromised by malicious
23 software, these are old machines. And they require constant
24 calibration. Now, in fact, the machines -- the contemporaries
25 of these machines, the little small handheld devices that we

1 used to sell, when you started the machines up would first ask
2 you to calibrate the screen. And that was because they can't
3 really tell when you touch the screen have you touched exactly
4 the mark that you intend to touch.
5 Q. So relying on what you know of cybersecurity and computer
6 system testing, what would your solution be to make the
7 security of this system better?
8 A. Well, as I said, I think these are designed to be unsafe.
9 It is not clear that you can design in security to the system
10 that we have in front of us here. I think introducing a
11 voter-verified paper trail would help a lot. I think replacing
12 these machines with hand-marked paper ballots would
13 dramatically improve the system.
14 Q. Now, the defendants have accused the plaintiffs of being
15 Luddites. Do you know what a Luddite is?
16 A. I do know what a Luddite is.
17 Q. What is a Luddite?
18 A. A Luddite is a person who doesn't like technology and is
19 prone to go out and smash them whenever he finds them.
20 Q. Do you consider yourself a Luddite?
21 A. This is a strange career for a Luddite.
22 Q. And would paper -- going to paper ballots be a Luddite
23 solution to this problem?
24 A. No. I think every -- every cybersecurity expert, every
25 computer scientist that I know of who has weighed in on this

1 matter thinks that paper is the safest way to conduct
2 elections.
3 The weaknesses in paper are well-known. But you don't
4 have the unknown weaknesses of cybersecurity to worry about.
5 Q. And are you aware of how many Americans vote using paper
6 ballots?
7 A. I'm not.
8 MR. McGUIRE: All right. I'm going to stop there and
9 turn it over to Mr. Cross. Thank you.
10 THE COURT: I just want to ask you to clarify what
11 you said about the cards. I just couldn't catch what -- I
12 missed something in terms of how to detect whether a card has
13 been infected.
14 THE WITNESS: So you would read the card. There are
15 card readers. The old-fashioned computers used to have these
16 slots that you would fit these big fat cards into. You would
17 read it just like you would read a disc.
18 Then there are programs that pull the information off
19 those cards. And you can use it to compare it to other files.
20 And if those files match, then you would know that the card
21 wasn't in its original -- or was in its original state.
22 THE COURT: All right. Do you know whether that is
23 being done or not?
24 THE WITNESS: I don't know.
25 THE COURT: All right.

1 MR. CROSS: Very briefly, permission to approach the
2 witness with an exhibit.
3 THE COURT: All right.
4 DIRECT EXAMINATION
5 BY MR. CROSS:
6 Q. Mr. DeMillo, I hand you what has been marked as
7 Plaintiffs' Exhibit 5.
8 Let me ask you a couple of foundational questions if I
9 may. Are you familiar with something called the SAFE
10 Commission in Georgia?
11 A. I am, yes.
12 Q. And what do you understand that to be?
13 A. I understand it to be the commission that the Secretary of
14 State formed to make recommendations for acquiring the next
15 generation of voting systems.
16 Q. Is there a member of that SAFE Commission selected by
17 Secretary Kemp who is an information technology and
18 cybersecurity expert?
19 A. Yes.
20 Q. What is his name?
21 A. His name is Professor Wenke Lee.
22 Q. Do you know him personally?
23 A. I do. He is a colleague.
24 Q. At Georgia Tech?
25 A. Yes.

1 Q. And did you attend a meeting of the SAFE Commission just
2 last week?
3 A. I did.
4 Q. And did Mr. -- did Professor Lee put up a presentation at
5 the meeting?
6 A. He did, yes.
7 Q. And was that meeting in sort of a private lunch session of
8 the committee as opposed to the public forum?
9 A. It was a smaller room. But the public was invited in to
10 view the session.
11 Q. And did you observe Professor Lee's presentation?
12 A. I did.
13 Q. And did that -- what did that presentation concern just
14 very generally and briefly?
15 A. It was --
16 MR. SALTER: We're getting into hearsay. I just want
17 to make an objection. I would move to strike.
18 THE COURT: He is talking about the subject matter of
19 the presentation. You may proceed. Objection overruled.
20 But if there is going to be hearsay --
21 MR. CROSS: Let me do this. I'm going to move in
22 Exhibit 5 once he takes a look at it and authenticates it.
23 But the argument on hearsay, I will say, Your Honor,
24 is the SAFE Commission is organized by Secretary Kemp. He runs
25 it. He picked the people. So this would fall under a party

1 opponent admission we would submit, Your Honor, because this
2 is, in fact -- it is funny they laugh. It is almost offensive.
3 But this is the only thing they pointed to in all their
4 briefing that the Secretary of State has done to secure the
5 election. This is it. They say it is his initiative. And
6 this is the man he handpicked to be his cybersecurity expert.
7 MR. BARNES: Bring him in here.
8 THE COURT: All right.
9 MR. CROSS: Please do. Sorry, Your Honor.
10 THE COURT: All right. Enough. Find out what the
11 subject matter is. And if there is a presentation, then he can
12 present -- provide a copy of the presentation.
13 MR. CROSS: Thank you, Your Honor.
14 **A.** It was a tutorial to the commission members on the basics
15 of cybersecurity.
16 **Q.** **(BY MR. CROSS)** Take a look at Exhibit 5 you have in front
17 of you, and let me know if that looks to be a fair and accurate
18 copy of the presentation that Professor Lee made at the SAFE
19 Commission meeting just last week.
20 **A.** Yes, it looks like the same presentation.
21 MR. CROSS: Your Honor, we move Exhibit 5 into
22 evidence.
23 MR. SALTER: Objection, Your Honor.
24 THE COURT: What?
25 MR. SALTER: Objection.

1 THE COURT: You have an objection?
2 MR. SALTER: I have a hearsay objection.
3 THE COURT: All right. Well --
4 MR. CROSS: Your Honor, the additional argument I'll
5 make just briefly, one, it is not hearsay for the reason I
6 said. The additional argument I will make is we don't frankly
7 have to put it in for the truth because it directly contradicts
8 their position. And so even just to get it in front of the
9 Court to show that the statements were made by their own
10 cybersecurity expert --
11 THE COURT: Well, let me see a copy of it.
12 MR. SALTER: I didn't mean to interrupt.
13 THE COURT: You made your objection. We're going
14 to -- I can always in the end determine it is hearsay. But
15 we're going to proceed for now.
16 MR. CROSS: Thank you, Your Honor. I'll also just
17 note for the record, if I may, the rules of evidence don't
18 strictly apply in a preliminary injunction hearing. And Your
19 Honor is entitled to hear hearsay as well.
20 That was all I had, Your Honor. Thank you.
21 THE COURT: Could I see the exhibit?
22 MR. CROSS: Yes, please. I handed him the marked
23 copy, Your Honor.
24 THE COURT: That is all right.
25 So just when we say AV industry in 1998 or in 2000,

1 AV refers to?
2 THE WITNESS: Antivirus.
3 THE COURT: Antivirus. All right.
4 Are you through?
5 MR. CROSS: I just didn't know if you had any
6 questions.
7 THE COURT: No.
8 MR. CROSS: Thank you, Your Honor.
9 MR. SALTER: Can I ask for a ruling from the Court?
10 I'm not sure where my bounds are as to this exhibit that was
11 just tendered by Brother Cross.
12 Is the Court going to admit it or simply just allow
13 some testimony in as kind of a treatise?
14 THE COURT: Well, this is the presentation that was
15 given?
16 THE WITNESS: Yes.
17 THE COURT: I mean, I don't have to accept it for the
18 truth of the matter. But I'm going to allow it for what was --
19 it was presented, and certainly it seems to me one of the
20 points that the state has is that you are moving forward. So I
21 think, you know, it is relevant in that regard. I don't have
22 to accept it all for the truth of the matter asserted in here
23 though. But for the high points, that is something else.
24 MR. SALTER: May I examine from it then, Your Honor,
25 subject to our objection that it is --

1 THE COURT: You haven't been given a copy?
2 MR. SALTER: I have a copy, Your Honor. Counsel
3 provided me with a copy.
4 CROSS-EXAMINATION
5 BY MR. SALTER:
6 Q. Mr. DeMillo -- is it DeMillo or DeMillo?
7 A. DeMillo.
8 Q. I'm John Salter. Good to meet you, sir. I'll try to move
9 along as quickly as I can.
10 You have performed a study of the overall security system
11 at the state before? You participated in one through Georgia
12 Tech?
13 A. I supervised one.
14 Q. You supervised. Was this back in 2007 for Karen Handel,
15 Secretary of State at the time?
16 A. Yes.
17 Q. Okay. I will try to --
18 MR. SALTER: May I approach the witness, Your Honor?
19 THE COURT: What?
20 MR. SALTER: May I approach the witness?
21 THE COURT: Yes.
22 MR. SALTER: I apologize. I don't have enough copies
23 for the Mongol horde of lawyers here on the other side of me.
24 Your Honor, if y'all want one.
25 I don't know if we'll tender this or not. But I

1 wanted to have it present if the witness wanted to read it.
2 **Q.** **(BY MR. SALTER)** Can you identify the title page of that
3 document, Professor?
4 **A.** Security Study of the Processes and Procedures Surrounding
5 Electronic Voting in Georgia.
6 **Q.** And I'm going to stick and move. If I confuse you, let me
7 know. But I'm going to try to move along because I promised
8 five minutes, and that was the representation.
9 So when was this study done?
10 **A.** It was delivered -- well, you see on the front page it was
11 delivered May 2008. So it was done in the seven months leading
12 up to that.
13 **Q.** And was this -- was the final report actually made to the
14 Secretary of State at the time?
15 **A.** Yes.
16 **Q.** And did this assume -- did this study basically assume the
17 similar kind of software vulnerabilities that you have
18 testified today --
19 **A.** Well, this was --
20 **Q.** -- as a potential problem?
21 **A.** This was 2007. So 2007 we knew much, much less about the
22 vulnerabilities than we do today.
23 **Q.** Well, it did assume that -- you didn't perform a software
24 audit of e-voting machines because you accepted the findings of
25 previous software security studies; correct?

1 **A.** I'm not an author of the report.
2 MR. CROSS: Your Honor, by Mr. Salter's own
3 objection, this would appear to be hearsay. Nor does this
4 witness appear on this document. Could we --
5 MR. SALTER: It is actually a Government report.
6 MR. CROSS: I'm sorry. Could we just maybe have some
7 context of what it is and what we are doing.
8 MR. SALTER: I'm not tendering it yet. I am using it
9 as a learning treatise. He actually participated in the study.
10 It was actually funded. It is a Georgia Tech -- if you want to
11 call it a public document, Government report, it could come in
12 under Rule 4, the exception to the hearsay rule.
13 I'm just using it to examine this witness as a
14 proffered expert on cybersecurity, including the systems in
15 action in Georgia.
16 THE COURT: All right. Well, you can ask him
17 questions about it, and I'm not sure where you are going
18 though.
19 To the extent you know -- because I know you
20 participated in the review. I'm not sure you participated in
21 the writing of the study.
22 THE WITNESS: So these authors worked for me. So --
23 and I am familiar with the methodology they used. I'm not an
24 author of this report.
25 THE COURT: All right.

1 Q. **(BY MR. SALTER)** But you directed some of the study?
2 A. I supervised the study.
3 Q. Supervised some of the study. Are you familiar with some
4 of the findings that were made and furnished to the Secretary
5 of State?
6 A. Some of them, yes. It has been a while since I have
7 looked at it.
8 COURT REPORTER: Y'all were talking at the same time.
9 I cannot get you.
10 Q. **(BY MR. SALTER)** She's going to get on to us. Okay. Are
11 you with me?
12 Were you familiar with the findings that were presented to
13 the Secretary of State back in 2007 or '8?
14 A. Yes.
15 Q. And was some of the findings -- I want to ask you if this
16 sounds familiar. Was some of the findings a concern that a
17 single trusted person could install malicious software on a DRE
18 machine? Was that a finding?
19 A. I don't recall.
20 Q. Okay. So if you turn to Page 4 of the executive
21 summary -- I'll try not to take you through the whole entire
22 report because we don't have time.
23 MR. SALTER: And if I could, Amy, if you would light
24 up the ELMO here.
25 Q. **(BY MR. SALTER)** Do you see where it says election

1 officials would likely be able to detect certain technical
2 attacks by voters discovered in previous studies provided that
3 they are trained to recognize suspicious voter behavior?
4 Did I read that right?
5 A. Yes.
6 Q. All right. And in terms of what that is referencing, it
7 is talking about the fact that we know even in 2007 and 2008
8 when this was actually published -- we knew that you could
9 install if you had free access to it -- if you assume that,
10 that you could install a memory card with a malicious virus on
11 a DRE machine? We knew that back then, didn't we?
12 A. We did, yes.
13 MR. CROSS: Your Honor, again, is this coming in for
14 the truth? What are we doing here? This is clearly hearsay.
15 MR. SALTER: Your Honor, their only theory on why all
16 the other case law doesn't matter is because they told the
17 Court, well, there is a new threat. This isn't a new threat.
18 It is new that it is Russian and coordinated.
19 THE COURT: No. That is not true. The cases you
20 bring in this court often are based on new technology and new
21 efforts. They are not necessarily based on Russia in terms of
22 data breach and hacking.
23 So, you know, you can do this. I think you can
24 examine it. But I don't know that it obliterates everything
25 else. And I really think that we would spend a lot better time

1 if we could also deal with what has happened since that time.
2 But you are welcome to do it. You can spend all your
3 time on 2008 if you want, ten years ago.
4 **A.** Can I respond to your question?
5 **Q.** **(BY MR. SALTER)** Yeah. Was it known in 2008 that you
6 could install -- if you had access to a memory card to install
7 malicious virus malware on a DRE machine?
8 **A.** The question is: Who do you mean by you? So in 2007, we
9 didn't know about the kind of threat called the advanced
10 persistent threat. This is a kind of threat that is mounted by
11 organized crime, by nation states using advanced techniques.
12 The cartoon that the Judge noted in Wenke Lee's
13 presentation makes a humorous point about that. But it
14 nevertheless is the point that what has happened since 2008 is
15 that these people have much more capabilities than they had
16 in -- you know, in this time frame.
17 And so the things that we could do back then can now be
18 done over a long period of time using very complicated tools,
19 using advanced capabilities.
20 THE COURT: Things that we can do back then, what do
21 you mean?
22 THE WITNESS: So what it would take to install
23 malware on a single voting machine in 2007 has now been
24 automated to the point where an advanced persistent threat can
25 make a process of it. They can just like manufacture malware

1 to send out to these machines without even -- without even
2 contacting someone that is internal to the system.
3 Q. **(BY MR. SALTER)** My question -- if I can direct you back
4 to my question, I don't want to be here all day. In terms of
5 the demonstration that was made this morning with Mr. Halderman
6 -- Professor Halderman -- do you recall that? -- where he
7 inserted a memory card. He had a programmed virus on it, and
8 you insert that in -- that was something that has been known
9 for more than a decade as a potential vulnerability with DRE
10 machines; is that fair?
11 A. Yes.
12 Q. Okay. And one of the things in terms of going in with a
13 memory card and you unlock the key -- remember how he had to
14 unlock the key? You had to have a key; right?
15 A. (Witness nods head affirmatively.)
16 Q. Can you answer?
17 A. Yes. But that misstates what the demonstration was.
18 Q. No. He had a key; correct?
19 A. But he was playing the role of a poll worker. He wasn't
20 playing the role of an attacker.
21 Q. I'm not arguing with you. But he had a key?
22 A. Yes.
23 Q. He had the memory card?
24 A. Yes.
25 Q. And so in terms of a poll worker doing that, using the

1 key, putting the memory card in there, is that the kind of
2 thing that in terms of y'all's studying back in 2008 that you
3 referenced that kind of thing if observed by another poll
4 worker would be a suspicious activity and likely to be
5 discovered; fair?
6 **A.** No.
7 **Q.** Okay.
8 **A.** No.
9 **Q.** Let's talk about this. This is Page 4 where it says, even
10 if you were a poll worker, a single trusted person choosing to
11 act against the state may find an opportunity to alter the
12 software on a machine. That is basically what we heard about
13 this morning; fair?
14 **A.** Right.
15 **Q.** Okay. By limiting an individual's access to the voting
16 equipment, reliance on each individual's trust can be reduced;
17 correct? Did I read that correctly?
18 **A.** Yes.
19 **Q.** Do you know whether or not this recommendation was acted
20 on and that there was a reg implemented to increase and train
21 poll workers regarding watching not only voters inserting
22 something but also other poll workers potentially tampering
23 with the machine?
24 **A.** Do I know that?
25 **Q.** Yes.

1 A. No, I do not know that.
2 Q. Fair enough. In terms of -- I want to flip to this.
3 Well, let me ask one other thing.
4 Are you aware -- let me say this. Is it reasonable to
5 expect any software program to be error-free?
6 A. No.
7 Q. Absolutely not? Knowing that, isn't it reasonable for
8 people to design a system that has enhanced consistently
9 implemented physical security measures regarding the software
10 components and the chain of custody involved that is implicated
11 by that; fair?
12 A. Physical security of software components?
13 Q. Locking up the actual software devices that make the
14 memory cards; fair? You would lock that machine up?
15 A. Those are connected to the internet.
16 Q. Are you -- hold on. Is it your testimony that your
17 opinions are based on the idea that the computers that form --
18 that populate the ballots that make the memory cards -- is it
19 your testimony that that is connected to the internet?
20 A. The GEMS servers are from time to time connected to the
21 internet, yes.
22 Q. That is the basis of your opinions here today?
23 A. I'm reading the training manuals that Diebold distributes
24 with its machines.
25 Q. So that -- so yes, the basis of your opinions today is

1 that the critical GEMS servers that populate the ballots for
2 the state and create the memory cards -- that that is sometimes
3 connected to the internet? That is your opinion?
4 A. Yes.
5 Q. One of the things you have testified that you are talking
6 about here in this presentation or whatever it was last week --
7 do you have a complete and reasonable understanding of the data
8 encryption that is used by the Secretary of State in the
9 Secretary of State's IT department?
10 A. No.
11 Q. Do you have a -- same question as to the blocking
12 parameters that are used in the Secretary of State's IT
13 department in relation to election security.
14 A. I don't know what blocking parameters means.
15 Q. In terms of how -- do you have personal direct knowledge
16 regarding how updated the security patches are on the critical
17 infrastructure technology equipment used by the Secretary of
18 State's IT department?
19 A. No.
20 Q. Do you have personal, direct knowledge regarding the
21 penetration testing and the methodology that is used?
22 THE COURT: All right. Listen, if you want to enter
23 into a stipulation as to all of those questions, since I know
24 none of it has been provided to me and it was very -- and might
25 have all been relevant, could have been under seal -- but there

1 is no indication that any -- because of the affidavits given
2 here that these individuals have been provided that access.
3 MR. SALTER: They haven't. Absolutely not. That is
4 my next question.
5 THE COURT: So then why don't you stipulate? We
6 don't have a jury here. Let's save time. Stipulate and just
7 move on.
8 MR. SALTER: Do y'all stipulate that the plaintiffs
9 have no knowledge regarding penetration technology
10 (unintelligible) --
11 COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, Mr. Salter. I just need
12 you to slow down, please.
13 MR. SALTER: Yes, ma'am. I'm sorry.
14 COURT REPORTER: I got about four words.
15 MR. BROWN: We will stipulate that there is no
16 evidence in the record describing what he is talking about and
17 that we're unaware of whether Professor DeMillo in his capacity
18 at Georgia Tech or otherwise has knowledge of that.
19 And Mr. Salter is way over his time and eating into
20 Fulton County's time and eating into our time later today.
21 MR. SALTER: Fair enough.
22 **Q. (BY MR. SALTER)** But do you have any personal knowledge to
23 that in terms of basing your opinions regarding how we use
24 penetration testing?
25 **A.** I'm not aware that you use penetration testing.

1 MR. SALTER: I will roll through this and wind up and
2 then let --
3 THE COURT: Well, I'm going to -- unless Fulton
4 County wants to -- I'm going to let Fulton County's counsel
5 appear at this point unless --
6 MS. BURWELL: I will concede my time.
7 **Q. (BY MR. SALTER)** Mr. DeMillo, can you testify from your
8 personal knowledge regarding a single instance in which a flash
9 drive or some other medium-type device was inserted -- has been
10 inserted into the server used at the Secretary of State's IT
11 department that led to a vote being changed on the DRE machine?
12 **A.** I'm not -- ask the question again. I'm not sure what you
13 are asking.
14 **Q.** Are you aware of a single instance in which a corrupted
15 flash drive or other medium was inserted into the server at the
16 Secretary of State's office, the one that is kept behind -- I
17 will represent to you is kept behind a locked door -- that
18 somehow resulted in a malicious virus that changed a vote in
19 Georgia?
20 **A.** I don't know how I would be aware of that.
21 **Q.** Do you have -- can you testify to an instance in which
22 that has happened anywhere in the country under election -- in
23 a real election anywhere in the country?
24 **A.** Ask me again what you are saying.
25 **Q.** I was removing it out of the Georgia Secretary of State's

1 server that populates the ballots that creates the memory
2 cards.
3 Are you aware of a single instance anywhere in the country
4 where a virus was propagated down through the server that
5 creates the ballot format, through the memory card, on to a DRE
6 machine?
7 A. I don't think so, no.
8 MR. SALTER: I'm over my time, Judge, and I know it,
9 and I'll hush, but I think that establishes where we are.
10 THE COURT: All right. And I trust then that you are
11 going to present some actual affirmative evidence from the
12 Secretary of State's office.
13 MR. SALTER: Could I ask one more question?
14 THE COURT: No. No, you cannot.
15 Is this witness excused?
16 MR. McGUIRE: Yes, Your Honor.
17 MR. CROSS: Your Honor, just one question if I may.
18 THE COURT: Yes.
19 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
20 BY MR. CROSS:
21 Q. Professor DeMillo, do you have Professor Lee's
22 presentation in front of you?
23 A. Yes, I do.
24 Q. So Mr. Salter turned you to the last page, operation and
25 maintenance and some best practices there. Do you see that?

1 A. The last page?
2 Q. Yes.
3 A. Yes.
4 Q. That is the one he put up?
5 A. Yes.
6 Q. Just very briefly, do you see the one on the page a few
7 pages before, same heading, with the subheading specification
8 and design and it says paper ballots done right?
9 A. Uh-huh (affirmative).
10 Q. Do I read this correctly that even with the best practices
11 that Mr. Salter put up the only specification and design for an
12 election system that Professor Lee offered in this presentation
13 that has the characteristics of verifiably cast as intended,
14 verifiably collected as cast, and verifiably counted as
15 collected, and cannot by completely controlled -- or be
16 completely controlled or manipulated by any cyber component --
17 the only specification design are paper ballots done right with
18 auditing?
19 A. Yes.
20 MR. CROSS: Thank you.
21 THE COURT: Do you want your -- what were you
22 planning to do, Mr. Salter?
23 MR. SALTER: Could we tender that, Judge. We'll
24 offer that as D-1.
25 MR. McGUIRE: We have nothing else for the professor.

1 THE COURT: Do you have any objection to the exhibit
2 being offered by Mr. Salter?
3 MR. SALTER: Let me identify it. I think it is the
4 2008 report by Georgia Tech in which Mr. -- is it Professor
5 DeMillo?
6 THE WITNESS: Professor, Dr. DeMillo.
7 MR. SALTER: -- that Dr. DeMillo had some role in
8 supervising the report.
9 Any objection?
10 MR. CROSS: Your Honor, our position would be that
11 they should be received the same way. So we have no objection
12 if he withdraws his objection to ours. If he is going to stand
13 on his objection, then we're forced to make a hearsay
14 objection. I say just let it all come in and let Your Honor
15 figure out the facts.
16 THE COURT: They are both basically presented to the
17 Government as part of the Government process. They are both
18 admitted.
19 MR. CROSS: Thank you, Your Honor.
20 THE COURT: Thank you.
21 Who is your next witness?
22 MR. CROSS: Your Honor, the plaintiffs call to the
23 stand Chris Harvey.
24 THE COURT: How long do you anticipate?
25 MR. CROSS: I'm going to try to stick to 15 minutes,

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served the within and foregoing **DEFENDANT ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN'S NOTICE OF LIMITED PROFFER OF EVIDENCE** on all parties by electronically filing it with the Clerk of the Court using the Odyssey eFileGA system, which will automatically send an email notification of such filing to counsel for the parties.

This 9th day of January, 2019.

/s/ Josh Belinfante
Josh Belinfante

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDQW
Date: 1/9/2019 4:14 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTH DUVAL, and JEANNE DUFORT, Plaintiffs,	)	
v.	)	Civil Action File No. 2018CV313418
ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et. al. Defendants.	)	

ORDER ON DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO DISMISS COUNT 2 AND COUNT 3 OF PLAINTIFFS' PETITION

The Court having heard oral argument on Defendants' Motion To Dismiss Count 2 and Count 3 of Plaintiffs' Petition and Defendants and Plaintiffs having been heard, and the Plaintiffs' Petition having been read and considered,

It is hereby ordered and adjudged as follows:

1.

"Under O.C.G.A. § 9-11-12(b)(6) a motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim upon which relief can be granted should not be sustained unless 1) the allegations of the complaint disclose with certainty that the claimant would not be entitled to relief under any state of provable facts asserted in support thereof; and (2) the movant establishes that the claimant could not possibly introduce evidence within the framework of the complaint sufficient to warrant a grant of the relief. Nevertheless, where the face of the complaint demonstrates that the plaintiff can prove no set of facts to support an essential element of a claim, dismissal of that claim is appropriate. Even when a complaint is liberally construed, there still must be some legal basis for recovery." Lord v. Lowe 318

Ga. App. 222 (2012)

2.

As to Plaintiff's allegations in Count 2 and Count 3 the Court finds there is no basis for recovery even if all allegations are deemed correct.

3.

Count 2 alleges that the individual Plaintiff's fundamental right to vote have been infringed in violation of the 14th Amendment.

4.

In Favorito v. Handel 285 Ga. 795 (2009) the Supreme Court of Georgia ruled that the establishment of uniform DRE voting system did not violate equal protection.

5.

The Plaintiffs have not alleged that their right to vote was denied or infringed.

6.

In Count 3 the Plaintiffs' allege that they were denied equal protection under the 14th Amendment because voting on DRE is less effective than those voters who used paper ballots.

7.

In Favorito v. Handel 285 Ga. 795 (2009) the Court held

"We cannot see how Georgia has violated the Equal Protection Clause of the 14th Amendment by making available these two alternative paths, neither of which can be assumed to be inherently more burdensome than the other." Jenness v. Fortson 403 U.S. 431, 440-441. (1971)

8.

The Plaintiffs have not alleged whether they voted on DRE by paper ballot.

9.

Applying all the applicable law to the Plaintiffs allegations in Count 2 and Count 3 the Plaintiffs have failed to state a claim upon which relief can be granted.

Therefore Count 2 and Count 3 of Plaintiffs' Complaint are dismissed.

SO ORDERED this 9th day of January, 2019.

The Honorable Adele P. Grubbs
Senior Judge, State of Georgia
Assisting per Order of Appointment

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDLS
Date: 1/11/2019 1:51 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, et al., **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV313418**

ORDER ON PENDING MOTIONS

This case came before the Court for a non-evidentiary hearing on the following pending motions: (a) the motions to dismiss filed by each of the defendants: Defendant Robyn A Crittenden ("the Secretary), Defendant Geoff Duncan ("Duncan"), Defendant Fulton County Board of Registration and Elections ("Fulton County Board"), and Defendant Gwinnett County Board of Registration and Elections ("the Gwinnett Board") (collectively "the Motions to Dismiss"); (b) Plaintiffs' Emergency Motion for Inspection of Electronic Election Equipment and Production of Documents ("Plaintiffs' Motion for Inspection"); and (c) Plaintiffs'

Motion for Perservation of Specific Electronic Election Records in Gordon and Murray Counties. After considering the briefs and responses, and arguments of consel, the Court rules as follows:

A. Motions to Dismiss

The Motions to Dismiss raised several distinct issues, ruled upon below:

1. Secretary as Proper Defendant

The Secretary moved to dismiss Count I of the Petition (the Election Contest Claim) as to the Secretary, arguing that she is not a proper "Defendant" for purposes of Plaintiffs' Election Contest Claim. The Court agrees. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2) defines "Defendant" as "(C) the election superintendent or superintendents who conducted the contested primary or election." O.C.G.A. § 21-2-2(35) in term defines "superintendent" as follows:

> Either the judge of the probate court of a county or the county board of elections, the county board of elections and registration, the joint city-county board of election, or the joint city-county board of elections and registrations, if a county has such.

The Secretary does not fall within any of the definitions of "superintendent."

Plaintiffs argue, among other things, that the definition of "election superintendent" found in Chapter 4 of Title 21 should control. O.C.G.A. § 21-4-3(A) defines "election superintendent": "In the case of any elected state officers, the Secretary of State." This definition, however, is found in Chapter 4, not

Chapter 2, and this election contest concerns Chapter 2. The Court disagrees, and holds that the definition of "superintendent" in the election contest chapter controls and, since the Secretary does not fall within that definition, the Secretary is not a proper party defendant to Count I and should be dismissed from that Count.

2. Whether 159 Counties are Necessary Parties

Fulton County Board and Gwinnett County Board argue that the other 157 election superintendents must be joined in the state-wide election contest because all 159 election superintendents "conducted the contested...election." O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2)(C). That section would allow other counties to be joined to this action, but does not require such joinder. In addition, O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(b) gives the Court "plenary power, throughout the area in which the contested primary or election was conducted, to make, issue, and enforce all necessary orders, rules, processes, and decrees for a full and proper understanding and final determination and enforcement of the decision of every such case." The joinder of the other 157 counties, therefore, is not necessary at this time, and the motions to this extent are denied.

3. Service of Process

In their briefs, Defendants argued that the Petition should be dismissed because service had not been perfected on the Defendants. Plaintiffs have now served Defendants so the motions to this extent are denied.

4. Coalition as Party to Count I

Defendants contend that Plaintiff Coalition for Good Governance is not a proper plaintiff to Count I, the election contest. Coalition does not disagree and has stipulated that it is not a party to Count I. Defendants' motion to this extent is granted as unopposed.

5. Failure to State a Claim

Defendants argue that the Petition fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. The Court disagrees in part. Defendants have failed to carry their burden of showing that Plaintiffs "would not be entitled to relief under any state of provable facts asserted" in the complaint or that Plaintiffs "could not possibly introduce evidence within the framework of the complaint sufficient to warrant a grant of the relief sought" as to Count 1 of the Petition. *Scouten v. Amerisave Mortg. Corp.*, 283 Ga. 72, 73 (2008) (citations omitted). The motions for failure to state a claim, therefore, are denied as to Count 1.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, by separate Order dated January 9, 2019, the Court granted the motions in part, dismissing Counts 2 and 3 of the Petition, which sought relief under the Fourteenth Amendment for violations of due process and equal protection, respectively, for failure to state a claim.

B. Plaintiffs' Motion for Inspection

Plaintiffs' Motion for Inspection is granted in part, as follows:

1. Plaintiffs (i.e., only Rhonda J. Martin, Smythe Duval, and Jeanne Dufort) are entitled to inspect the "GEMS" reports or complete electronic copies thereof for the November 2018 elections that are maintained by the Gwinnett County Board and the Fulton County Board. Specifically, Plaintiffs are entitled to the following GEMS reports maintained by the Gwinnett County and Fulton County Boards:

a. Base Precincts With Races Report

b. Ballot Image Report

c. Vote Center With Cards Report

d. Statement of Votes Cast Report

e. Summary Report

Reports shall be produced electronically or in hard copy. Only personnel of the Secretary of State or Fulton or Gwinnett Counties may access the GEMS servers

directly in connection with this inspection. The Plaintiffs shal be provided with copies of the information on CDs upon paying for the

2. Plaintiffs (i.e., only Rhonda J. Martin, Smythe Duval, and Jeanne Dufort) are entitled to inspect the DRE machines in post-election mode, using post-election memory cards that were used in the voting locations identified by Plaintiffs in the Petition, paragraphs 40,41, 44, 45, and 46. Plaintiffs may also examine the Internal memory storage of each such DRE unit. Plaintiffs are ordered not to in any way damage the DRE machines or the information contained therein, nor may Plaintiffs copy, image, save, or retain the DRE machines or the information contained therein. Plaintiffs may not upload or introduce any information into the DRE machines. Destructive testing shall not be permitted.

3. Defendants and Plaintiffs shall enter into an appropriate protective order preserving the confidentiality of confidential information, if any, obtained in this discovery prior to the commencement of any inspection authorized under this Order.

C. Plaintiffs' Motion Relating to Gordon and Murray Counties

This motion, which concerned the January 8, 2019 elections in Gordon and Murray, is denied as moot.

SO ORDERED this 11 day of January, 2019.



Honorable Adele P. Grubbs
Senior Judge, State of Georgia

~~Prepared by: Counsel for Defendants~~

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDQW
Date: 1/14/2019 10:54 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, Plaintiffs, v. ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al., Defendants.	Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE

Plaintiffs move for a continuance of the upcoming trial of this matter, which is currently set for Thursday, January 17, 2019, because (A) Defendants continue to delay in making the electronic election records and voting equipment available for examination; and (B) even if Defendants immediately begin to cooperate, it is not physically possible to complete even a small portion of the essential examination of the sample of DRE machines selected by the Court prior to trial on Thursday. As of the filing of this Motion, just two days before trial of this case, Plaintiffs have still not been granted access to the electronic voting equipment and related electronic records, access that Plaintiffs first sought six weeks ago by Emergency Motion on November 29, 2018. This alone warrants the granting of a continuance. In addition, Plaintiffs have not been afforded any opportunity to

conduct discovery, and Plaintiffs are entitled to discovery under the Election Contest Statute and the Civil Practice Act.

The Election Contest Statute contemplates that this Court will support the discovery efforts of voters who are challenging the legitimacy of a Georgia election by compelling production of evidence "in like manner and to the same extent as in other civil cases litigated before [the] court." O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(b). That right to discovery will be frustrated here if Plaintiffs are required to proceed in the constrained timeframe established by the current trial date. This Court certainly has authority to "proceed without delay to the hearing and determination of [this] contest, postponing for the purpose, if necessary, all other business," *id.*, but expedition at the expense of discovery is not required by the statute, for the Election Code expressly contemplates that a contest may be resolved after the office-holder has been sworn in. *See* O.C.G.A. § 21-2-503(c).

What is paramount is the legitimacy of the election. This election contest should not be expedited at the expense of permitting Plaintiffs to ascertain and prove, and the true extent of, the massive irregularities experienced almost statewide in the 2018 election and the resulting unprecedented abnormalities in the voting patterns that "place in doubt the result" of the election. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-527(d).

The sobering allegations in the Petition (¶ 31-33) regarding Georgia's compromised voting system must be reflected in the scope of the discovery

process, because the voting system, which was exposed to the public internet for at least six months during 2016-2017, has still not been examined for malware or repaired. The KSU server exposure had the potential to expose every voting machine in the state to malicious code or attack. Because of the unknown depth of issues that could stem from this massive compromise of the system, forensic examiners may encounter far more than routine programming errors, and their work cannot be given short shrift, given the serious implications of the entire system compromise.

The current schedule and scope of electronic records review will not provide Plaintiffs with a fair opportunity to prove the allegations and, crucially, will not provide the Court with the "full and proper understanding" of the case as the Election Contest Statute requires. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(b). Plaintiffs have done everything possible to accelerate this process thus far (having moved for an examination of priority of essential records more than six weeks ago) and will do everything in their power to complete the examination as soon as possible. But it is critically important that the Plaintiffs be permitted to choose the discovery necessary to prove their case—choices that will be informed by Plaintiffs' experts who know how DREs and the election system as a whole work and thus know where evidence necessary to prove the contest claim resides.

Crucially, Defendants and the Secretary of State do not contend, and have never contended, that the Plaintiffs' efforts at examination and forensic

investigation are not necessary to determine whether misprogramming, viruses, or malfunction caused the aberrant voting patterns or the alleged statewide machine malfunctions, or the impact of such problems.

Plaintiffs accordingly move for a modest continuance of this matter, to no earlier than three weeks after the date when the Defendants make available for inspection and examination all the electronic election records and equipment, and further move for an order requiring Defendants to make all such equipment and records available for examination by January 17, 2019, the original trial date.[1]

A. Facts

1. Plaintiffs' November 29, 2018 Emergency Motion

After promptly filing suit, Plaintiffs on November 29, 2018, filed an Emergency Motion for Inspection of a limited number of certain priority electronic and paper records and voting machines, to be supplemented or re-prioritized as discovery proceeded. On December 5, 2018, this Court stated that it would

[1] Plaintiffs anticipate filing a separate Motion Relating to the Inspection and Related Discovery Issues ("the Motion Relating to Inspection"). The Motion Relating to Inspection is not being filed this evening because this is a "moving target" and, as of the time of filing, Plaintiffs' expert is still working with Fulton County's technical staff in an effort to determine how best to copy the internal memory and to secure Fulton County's agreement to do so. Another issue presented is whether and to what extent the counties have altered probative evidence of the November 2018 election by using these DRE machines and associated memory cards in the December runoff election, use that can alter or destroy the memory and evidence of mis-programming.

In addition, in the Motion Relating to Inspection, Plaintiffs will be moving for access to the GEMS Microsoft Access databases from Fulton and Gwinnett County. Plaintiffs **will not** be seeking source code or an examination of the GEMS servers themselves, only the Access databases. As explained by Plaintiffs' expert, the GEMS documents that the Court ordered the Defendants to produce is not useful in the forensic examination. *See* Affidavit of M. Bernhard, submitted on January 10, 2019 (notarized copy attached hereto as Exhibit B). Access to the GEMS databases is crucially important in uncovering misprogramming or malfunction, and a more sophisticated forensic review will be impossible without it. *Id.* The Motion Relating to Inspection will also seek, to the extent necessary, expedited discovery on related issues.

address the Emergency Motion on January 9, 2018. Defendants did not file any papers in response to the Emergency Motion, thus conceding it.

2. Hearing on Emergency Motion and Order

The Court held a hearing on January 9, 2018, and, on January 11, 2018, entered an Order on Pending Motions that required Defendants to produce certain reports from the GEMS database and to permit Plaintiffs to inspect the internal memory of the DRE machines in five locations — three polling locations in Fulton County, one in Worth County, and one in Henry County. (Plaintiffs had referenced these polling locations in their Petition as illustrative examples of the alleged statewide problems with the voting equipment, but not as a comprehensive listing of election irregularities.)

The Court's Order on Pending Motions requires the counties to allow Plaintiffs to inspect the internal memory of selected DRE machines, and further states that the machines and contents shall not be damaged. Importantly, the only way the internal memory of the DRE machines may be examined without damaging and altering the internal memory is to make a complete image or copy of the internal memory, and then to examine that copy. The Court's Order does not permit the copying needed for an internal memory examination to be performed by Plaintiff (and it is Plaintiffs' preference to not be the party copying the memory). Thus, the requirements of the Order can be satisfied if the *counties* make a full copy of the internal memory, and then permit the Plaintiffs' experts to examine

that copy on county computers on site at the county location. This is what Plaintiffs proposed to Defendants on January 10, 2019, in an email between counsel. (*See* January 10, 2019 email from Plaintiffs' counsel to counsel for Fulton County, attached as Exhibit B to Affidavit of Matthew D. Bernhard, attached hereto as Exhibit B (and originally submitted to the Court on January 10, 2019)).

3. Defendants Still Have Not Made Election Records and Equipment Available for Inspection

On Wednesday, January 9, 2019, immediately after the motions hearing, Plaintiffs requested that the Defendants make their equipment and electronic records available on Friday, January 11, 2019. After some back-and-forth, Defendants indicated that the equipment and records could not be made available on Friday the 11th. Plaintiffs then requested that the equipment be made available on Saturday, January 12, 2019. No machines were made available on Saturday the 12th.

Meanwhile, in anticipation of conducting the inspection ordered by this Court, Plaintiffs on January 10 and January 13 sent counsel for Fulton County emails describing, step-by-step, how the investigation would need to proceed given the need to preserve the electronic evidence while also complying with the Court's Order. Plaintiffs flew their voting system computer science expert to Atlanta over the weekend to prepare for the inspection

It was not until today, Monday, January 14, 2019, that Fulton County assembled its DREs in the English Street location for potential examination by Plaintiffs' expert, beginning at 9 a.m. *See generally* Exhibit A (January 14, 2019 email from Plaintiffs' Expert Matt Bernhard to Plaintiffs' Counsel).[2] Plaintiff Rhonda Martin and Plaintiffs' expert, Matt Bernhard, arrived at the English Street location, but were unable to conduct any examination or investigation of the DRE machines or their internal memory. As noted above, Plaintiffs had explained to Fulton County last week (on January 10) that the only way for the parties to conduct the equipment examinations while complying with the Order on Pending Motions, and without altering the evidence, is for Fulton County to make a complete and accurate electronic copy of the memory. This morning, however, Fulton County had made no copy of the internal memory and was not prepared to allow Plaintiffs to instruct County personnel on how to make the copy. This prevented any examination of the DREs' internal memory, as the Court's Order on Pending Motions requires. The Fulton County officials told Plaintiffs' expert that they were unaware of the Court's order to permit examination of the internal memory, and further stated that they were unaware of a method to copy the internal memory. Plaintiffs' expert told Fulton's technical staff how internal memory can be copied without damage to the files or machine, based on his experience of

[2] Plaintiffs are filing this email without proper authentication in the interest of time. Plaintiffs will supplement the record with a proper affidavit authenticating the email.

frequently making such copies. The Fulton County officials then stated that they would need to consult with their colleagues, and the proceedings halted; Plaintiffs' expert left the premises without having been able to examine the internal memory of the DRE machines, which review has to precede any other examination of the DREs.

Plaintiffs are continuing to work with Fulton County's technical staff to educate staff on ways to securely make copies of the internal memory, and late this evening sent an additional email with alternative instructions that might enable faster work. Still, it is not certain whether Fulton County will agree to do what is necessary to allow for a proper examination of the DRE memory or when that examination can be expected to begin, let alone finish.

B. Need for Additional Time

In order for Plaintiffs to be able to prove the extent of the impact of misprogramming, viruses, or malfunction affecting the Lieutenant Governor's race, more time is required. Even if Fulton County were immediately to comply with the Court's Order on Pending Motions and start copying the selected DRE machines' memory onto laptops, it will physically take days before the copying is complete and it will require several weeks before the memory can be analyzed to produce evidence that can be presented meaningfully in court. According to Plaintiffs' experts, the time it takes to copy the internal memory of a DRE onto another computer can be quite variable, depending on the age and condition of the

machine and the size of the files. (*See* Exhibit A hereto). The copying can take from one hour per machine to several hours per machine. Though Plaintiffs will only be inspecting a few dozen DRE machines (a small fraction of the 27,000 machines used in the election), it will still take days to complete the copying and a substantial amount of time to forensically review the copies. *Id.* There is not enough time in the current case schedule for Plaintiffs to conduct these required activities.

It must be emphasized that there is no argument by the Defendants that this discovery is not relevant. They *know* it goes to the heart of the matter. Nor is there is any contention by the Defendants that this discovery can be completed prior to the trial of the case this Thursday, January 17, 2019.

Discussion

The Election Contest Statute gives this Court plenary power over discovery and scheduling so that the Court can gain a "full and proper understanding" of the case. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(b). Contrary to repeated statements by the Defendants, there is *nothing* in the Election Contest Statute that anticipates that the trial will be conducted in haste or that requires the Court to deny Plaintiffs a fair opportunity at discovery. The Election Contest Statute states that the contest is to be decided "promptly," but gives no deadline or arbitrary time limit on the proceedings. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(a). The Election Contest Statute states that the case is supposed to proceed "according to the course of practice in other civil

cases," and conducted "in like manner and to the same extent as in other civil cases litigated before such court." O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(b). This is a reference to the Civil Practice Act, of course, which applies to "[a]ll civil cases." O.C.G.A. § 9-11-40. The Civil Practice Act states: "All civil cases, including divorce and other domestic relations cases, shall be triable any time after the last day upon which defensive pleadings were required to be filed therein; provided, ***however, that the court shall in all cases afford to the parties reasonable time for discovery procedures, subsequent to the date that defensive pleadings were required to be filed.***" O.C.G.A. § 9-11-40 (emphasis added).

The law governing this Motion for Continuance, therefore, is clear: the Election Contest Statute does not mandate accelerated proceedings or set arbitrary deadlines, but instead contemplates that the trial court use its plenary power to gain a "full and proper understanding" of the matter. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(b). The Election Contest Statute further states that the case is to proceed "in like manner and to the same extent as in other civil cases." *Id.* The Civil Practice Act in turn states that "the court shall in *all* cases afford to the parties reasonable time for discovery." O.C.G.A. § 9-11-40 (emphasis added).

The application of this law to the facts also cannot reasonably be disputed: three days to a week is not a "reasonable time for discovery" in any case, much less a state-wide election contest involving an investigation of why complex electronic voting machines malfunctioned. Here, there is no argument—by either

side—that two or three days is a sufficient, much less a "reasonable," amount of time in which to conduct meaningful discovery. Trying this case this week will also not allow the Court to gain a "full and proper understanding" of the case, as the Election Contest Statute contemplates.

There are a number of cases, many cited in the footnote,[3] which emphasize that time is of the essence in election contest cases. But none of these cases hold that "reasonable" discovery may be denied or even curtailed. Moreover, in each of the reported cases, the plaintiffs appear to have been given full discovery, whether that involved an opportunity to find and count every misspelled absentee ballot, *Mead v. Sheffield,* 278 Ga. 268 (2004), or to investigate allegedly mis-calibrated voting machines, *Taggart v. Phillips*, 242 Ga. 454, 455 (1978). Crucially, in no case found by Plaintiffs has *any* court compromised the full and proper

[3] *E.g., Broughton v. Douglas Cty. Bd. of Elections*, 286 Ga. 528, 528–29 (2010) (swift resolution of election contests is vital for the smooth operation of government); *McCreary v. Martin*, 281 Ga. 668, 670 (2007) ("Statutes providing for election contests are premised on the recognition that election-related appeals must be timely considered. *Jordan v. Cook,* 277 Ga. 155, 156, 587 S.E.2d 52 (2003)."); *Swain v. Thompson*, 281 Ga. 30, 32 (2006) ("While Swain's status as a *pro se* litigant and his initial efforts to correct the deficiencies in service of process evoke sympathy for him, the public policy favoring swift resolution of election contests and the high regard in which appellate courts hold the exercise of discretion by trial courts dictate that we uphold the trial court's determination that the failure to effect timely service of appropriate process in this case requires dismissal of Swain's election contest petition."); *Plyman v. Glynn Cty.*, 276 Ga. 426, 427 (2003) ("Our legislature put a very short fuse on election contest cases. OCGA § 21–2-524 requires cases contesting election results to be brought within five days of certification of the returns. This short time period reflects the legislature's strong desire to avoid election uncertainty and the confusion and prejudice which can come in its wake. Certainly, the swift resolution of election contests is vital for the smooth operation of government.")

adjudication of the issues in order to obtain a faster decision. *E.g., McIntosh Cty. Bd. of Elections v. Deverger*, 282 Ga. 566, 566 (2007) (four months after the general election, the trial court ordered a new election; the Supreme Court affirmed); *Taggart v. Phillips*, 242 Ga. 454, 455 (1978) (two months after election, the Supreme Court reversed the trial court's dismissal of contest petition and remanded for further proceedings).

Plaintiffs are not seeking extended delay. Far from it. Plaintiffs only ask for three weeks beyond the production of necessary records and equipment. -This is a small fraction of the discovery that would be allowed and considered "reasonable" in any other civil case of this complexity, particularly involving forensic examination of electronic records stored on old equipment using out-of-date software technology. The short amount of extra time (three weeks) that Plaintiffs request is the bare minimum that is required for a forensic examination of the DREs. This schedule presumes that Plaintiffs' forensics experts will have very little time to write their reports and that Defendants do not themselves need time to study the findings of Plaintiffs' experts before trial. A forensic examination of this electronic equipment is absolutely essential so that the Court can gain a full and proper understanding of the causes and extent of the misprogramming, irregularities, and malfunctions that plagued the November 2018 Lieutenant Governor's election—and that caused the election to be so defective as "to place in doubt the result." O.C.G.A. § 21-2-527(d).

Plaintiffs' instant Motion, which seeks only a three-week continuance, should therefore be granted. Plaintiffs further request that this three-week period begin to run when the counties have certified to the Court that the counties have fully complied with the Court's orders relating to discovery. Plaintiffs assume Defendants are acting in good faith, but one problem with the current fixed trial date is that Defendants have a powerful disincentive to achieve full and timely compliance with their discovery obligations. Scheduling the trial with reference to the date that Defendants comply is fair to all parties, and will ensure the speedy and just resolution of this matter.

A proposed order is attached.

Respectfully submitted this 14th day of January, 2019.

/s/ Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Georgia Bar No. 064460
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com
Bruce P. Brown Law LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE, Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700

Attorney for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing via the Court's e-filing system to all counsel of record.

This 14th day of January, 2019.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown

EXHIBIT A

Subject: FW: Confidential Notes about today
Date: Monday, January 14, 2019 at 8:53:10 PM Eastern Standard Time
From: Bruce Brown
To: Bruce Brown

From: Matt Bernhard <mdb92nc@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, January 14, 2019 8:48 PM
To: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Subject: CONFIDENTIAL Notes about today

Hi Bruce,

I just wanted to recap what happened at English Street this morning as well as discuss steps moving forward.

The gist is that the state say that they didn't interpret "internal memory" accurately, and were unwilling to create copies to allow us to perform the inspection of the internal memory without first consulting with counsel.

Below is a detailed description of our time at English Street:

After we signed the confidentiality agreements, we went into the warehouse with Michael Barnes, Ryan Germany, Cheryl Ringer, Kevin Rayburn, Rick Barron, Derek Gilstrap. Ronnie Martin inquired as to why the SoS people were there now that they are no longer party to the lawsuit, and Ms. Ringer informed us that the SoS owns all the equipment and is therefore entitled to be there.

We then discussed how they were accommodating our request to inspect the internal machine memory, and Mr. Barnes (from the SOS office) said they intended to create a copy of the election archive for the November on each machine. I informed them that that is not an accurate interpretation of "examination of the internal memory."

Mr. Barnes said that he did not know how to give us access to the internal memory without ripping out the flash chips. I informed him about the JTAG process I have used in the past on TSx machines, and informed him, the SoS lawyers, and Ms. Ringer that I would be happy to provide them with all commands that I would run ahead of time. Someone (I think Mr. Rayburn) inquired as to whether using JTAG created a security vulnerability, and I told him that it can, but we would be happy for the state or Fulton county to provide the adapter and the laptop on which the copies would be made, and to let an independent inspector observe the process. Obviously, if the state or the county performs these processes as intended then there are fewer security risks, and I strongly prefer that outcome.

Then we went over to the GEMS server and Mr. Gilstrap started copying the reports in the court order to CD. We got all reports except the Ballot Image Report. Mr. Barnes explained that to generate the Ballot Image Report, Mr. Gilstrap would have to manually create over 2,000 reports, as the GEMS software does not support doing this in batches. Essentially, it stores a mapping of precinct->memory card->ballots, and reports can only be generated by selecting each memory card, of which there are 2,084 (I believe). We obtained two ballot image reports and said we'd discuss this with you prior to proceeding. Mr. Gilstrap burned me a CD with four standard reports, which I read and sent over to you later today. Obtaining the GEMS database would be equivalent to all of the above steps, and far more elegant, as it wouldn't require the county to

spend countless hours generating Ballot Image Reports for every voting machine in the county.

Then we went over to inspect the machines. All machines that were selected for inspection were TS machines. All but one were still sealed (apparently the seal broke off of one of the Grady High School machines, but I did not record its serial number). The County said that all of the machines were used in the December election, which will impair the value of forensic information we can obtain from them. I decided to contact you about the memory inspection prior to doing the physical inspection, as I didn't feel it made sense to unseal each machine, physically examine it, reseal it, and then do it all again tomorrow after they make copies of the memory. I also did not want to power on the machines, further altering the evidence, until we were sure that the county had made copies of each machine.

I should note that even if we solve the internal memory copying problem with the county, I don't currently have an estimate of how long it would take to copy the memory from each machine. My best estimate is that it will take several hours per machine, and it is not possible to copy more than one at a time unless there are multiple people and multiple laptops, adapters, and so forth for conducting the process. After copying, the other experts and I will need to analyze what has been copied, and that will take possibly weeks to do a thorough analysis of them all. Obviously, even if we start copying the internal memory tomorrow, there is no way we will have any meaningful results prior to the trial scheduled for Thursday.

If you have further questions please let me know.

-Matt

EXHIBIT

B

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV31348**

AFFIDAVIT OF MATTHEW BERNHARD

Appeared before me, the undersigned officer duly authorized to administered oaths, MATTHEW BERNHARD who, after being sworn, states as follows:

1. I am Ph.D. candidate at the University of Michigan in Computer Science with a focus on computer security. I received my Bachelor's degree from Rice University, and my Master's in Computer Science from the University of Michigan.

2. I have focused in the field of computer science, including cyber-security in voting systems since 2012, including specific work on new, secure voting technology (the STAR-Vote system from Austin, Texas), and better exploring the current landscape of voting technology and vulnerabilities. I have worked with the Verified Voting Foundation on gathering data about currently deployed voting systems. I consulted with the Jill Stein recount campaign in 2016 to assess threat models and incident reports in Michigan, Wisconsin, and Pennsylvania. I have also worked with other experts in the field to provide a theoretical survey of properties of election security.

3. During the last year, I have conducted focused research on Diebold AccuVote voting system, of the type used by Georgia, with a specific emphasis on TS and TSX machines. That research has included in-lab testing at the University of Michigan on machines acquired through eBay, during which we performed an in-depth technical analysis of the systems and found significant vulnerabilities. I have also on several occasions observed AccuVote units in the field in Georgia, both at the Fulton County Election Preparation Center on multiple occasions and at the Grady High School precinct during an election. As with lab testing, I observed

a significant number of operational vulnerabilities that make Georgia's election infrastructure fundamentally unsafe and untrustworthy.

4. I have published and spoken extensively about the cybersecurity and other risks of electronic voting systems and have assisted in preparation of other experts for Congressional testimony concerning these topics.

5. A copy of my curriculum vitae is attached as Exhibit A.

6. I am a consulting expert in the area of Georgia's Diebold Voting Systems for Plaintiffs in the voting system security case Curling v Kemp, pending in federal court in the Northern District of Georgia.

7. I am also a consulting expert on the topic of the Diebold Voting System for plaintiffs in this case. I have been asked to address the nature of the testing required for the DRE machines and GEMS database.

8. I have reviewed the proposed order submitted to the Court by the Defendants, particularly paragraphs B(1) and B(2) relating to discovery of the DRE machines and the GEMS database. In summary: as for the DREs: the "inspection" proposed by Defendants of the DREs would be entirely inadequate and of no value. A review of the internal memory is required to assess and identify

any potential programming errors or other malfunctions. As for the GEMS: defendants' proposal, limited to the production of documents that the GEMS system generates, also is no value whatsoever; if there are errors in the GEMS database that has been used to program the election, reports generated by GEMS will only verify what is in the database already.

9. If either the DREs or the GEMS database has been corrupted or incorrectly programmed in any way, relying solely on the reports generated by those pieces of software would be akin to relying solely on the testimony of a potential bank robber to determine his guilt. If we suspect the bank may have been robbed, but don't know exactly how much money may have been stolen, the only way to rule out that hypothesis is a holistic evaluation of all evidence supporting or refuting that hypothesis. The same is true for elections; to determine if any election results are incorrect, we cannot simply ask the voting machines to count the votes again. We must examine how they count the votes, and we do this through thorough forensic software examination (the memory on the DREs internal memory) as well as examining the data with which they were initially programmed (i.e. the GEMS database).

DREs

10. When reviewing an election conducted on Georgia's paperless DRE system, the only method to answer questions regarding anomalous results, or possible incorrect programming, is to review key electronic files and programs that were used in conducting the election. All of these files reside on the internal DRE memory and the memory cards. Such essential electronic records include, but are not limited to:

--Ballot programming and layout files,

--Vote tabulation instructions,

--Programs for reporting tallies and writing reports,

--System operation logs,

--Error messages generated by the system and DRE machines, and

--Votes recorded in internal memory of the DRE machine.

9. The DRE internal memory contains records that are not located anywhere on the system. My understanding is that, for this reason, Georgia law requires the internal memories of the DRE machines to be preserved in the event of an election contest such as this one.

10. Conversely, in a review of a DRE election, the only way to determine the extent and number of votes potentially impacted by programming errors or malfunctions is to review the electronic programming and operating files used during the election. No standard report that is printed by the Diebold system would expose a programming error, in particular because the programming that generates such reports may itself be faulty. Only a review of the electronic files containing the programming would detect errors.

11. Forensic review tools are available to review the internal memory and other electronic files in the voting system to determine if there may have been incorrect programming, tampering, viruses or malfunctions of the machines and related components. Such tools are commonly available and widely used in industry practice, and would in no way damage or otherwise alter the voting machines (or any election equipment) in question.

12. It is crucial to examine the DRE internal memory of electronic records for the first data created on the machine when the voter casts his or her ballot. The examiner will attempt to determine whether that vote was faithfully recorded and tallied as it was written to memory cards and passed through the chain of tallying and reporting functions. There is no way to make such a determination by

reviewing standard system reports, as again such reports may also be faulty if the program that produces them is faulty.

13. Such testing should be conducted on an exact electronic copy of the internal flash chips of the DRE machine. Testing a copy avoids any possibility of damaging the DRE machines or components. Standard protocols for such testing are generally agreed on by computer scientists and subject to little controversy for conducting the types of rather simple tests involved here. Moreover, such tests can be conducted in a transparent way to provide any interested parties sufficient evidence as to their results, as well as entirely preserving the machines being examined.

14. The inspection described by Plaintiffs in the attached email from Plaintiffs' counsel to Fulton County's counsel, will be efficient and useful, will not involve any disruptive testing, and is standard procedure for conducting a forensic examination of this kind. Such testing can be conducted in an expedient manner so as to not impact the administration of elections in the jurisdictions in question.

15. Additionally, only after the copy of the internal memory is created for testing, the DRE machine must be tested in election mode using the same program that was used on Election Day with the goal of determining if the reported anomaly

can be replicated. For example, examiners should select machines for which voters reported that the Lt. Governor was not on their electronic ballot, and determine whether that condition will occur during repeated attempts to vote on the machine. If the electronic ballot fails to display the Lt. Governor race, the expert will obtain clues on what electronic files in the internal memory to check first for a virus or programming error.

16. The same is true for the repeated reports of machines that reportedly cast votes before the voter had a chance to see the review screen to correct any errors. The examiner must be able to attempt to replicate the malfunctioning condition on machines where this malfunction was reported. This cannot be done in post-election mode which is the limitation that Fulton County has set.

GEMS

17. The Defendants' proposed "inspection" relating to the GEMS server and database also is completely inadequate. The documents offered by the Defendants from the GEMS server (Base Precincts With Races Report, Ballot Image Report, Vote Center With Cards Report, Statement of Votes Cast Report, and Summary Report) may be useful to review, but are public records and standard reports that

do not in any way provide an opportunity to detect a programming flaw, a virus, or a malfunction of the machines.

18. The GEMS Database is an unencrypted set of data for programming and tabulating the election that is built by the election official for each election using a generic off-the-shelf Microsoft Access database. Anyone with a Microsoft subscription to Microsoft Access can open and read all files.

19. An examination of the GEMS database to review for anomalies, programming errors, misconfiguration, or other irregularities should generally take about 2 days per county, assuming that no material irregularities were detected.

20. There is no proprietary software or programming in the GEMS database. The database has data related to the election, and it should contain only data that is public record.

21. The information contained in the GEMS server can be shared without risk to election security.

22. The review of the GEMS database can be accomplished without access to the GEMS server, by requiring either the Secretary of State or the County to merely copy their GEMS database CD and deliver to Plaintiffs' experts on a CD.

23. The GEMS database should be reviewed at two stages, at the start of the election programming and after the close of the election. The Secretary maintains a CD copy of the original programming prior to votes being entered into the system. A copy can be made in a matter of minutes and given to the Plaintiff's expert for review. The examiner should compare that database to the post-election database for the possibility of unauthorized changes to instructions coded into the database.

24. It is only with access to the full electronic GEMS database that a basic analysis of the programming of the election can take place.

Further Affiant sayeth not.

Matthew Bernhard

Sworn to and subscribed before me:
On this 11 day of Jan, 2019

Notary Public, State of Texas
My Commission Expires: 12-20-2020

SEAL

ADEWOLE JONATHAN ALITONOU
Notary Public, State of Texas
Comm. Expires 12-20-2020
Notary ID 129144120

Exhibit A

Matthew D. Bernhard
matber@umich.edu

2260 Hayward Street
Ann Arbor, MI 48109
Main/Cell: 281-725-8544

EDUCATION

University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI (2015 – present)
PhD Candidate Student, Computer Science and Engineering
Advisor: J. Alex Halderman
University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI (2015 – 2018)
Master of Science in Computer Science and Engineering
Rice University, Houston, TX (2012 – 2015)
Bachelor of the Arts, Computer Science
Advisor: Dan Wallach

EXPERIENCE

Expert Witness, *Curling v. Kemp* - 2018 - present
- Examined Georgia's voting system and provided consultation and testimony that the voting machines are insecure and unfit for use.

Verified Voting – 2018 - present
Data Science Consultant, Ann Arbor, MI
- Collected, organized, and wrote up technical information about new voting machines being acquired by localities

Cloudflare – San Francisco, CA
Cryptography Intern, *Summer 2017*
- Developed Certificate Transparency monitoring features. Also built an SSL detector to determine what SSL settings customer sites can support.

Computer Security Lab – Rice University, Houston TX
Lead Software Developer, Fall 2012 - Spring 2015
- Lead a team in upgrading and maintaining STAR-Vote, a pedagogical voting system
- Performed usability study on ballot preparation tool
- Explored leveraging utilities provided by the Chromium project to process sandbox and enforce tighter systems controls
- Examined secure data structures such as authenticated dictionaries for implementing a secure web bulletin board

Microsoft Research – Microsoft, Redmond WA
Research Intern, *Summer 2015,* Advised by Josh Benaloh
- Investigated trusted computing features in the Windows operating system
- Designed ASKVote, the Auditably Secure Voting scheme to provide software assurance and election evidence
- Designed voting client for conference demonstration hall survey

GA005601

TEACHING

Election Cybersecurity - University of Michigan
Graduate Student Instructor, Fall, 2018

- Designed course content, lectured, led discussion section, graded, and provided course support.

Introduction to Computer Security – University of Michigan
Graduate Student Instructor, Winter, 2018

- Lectured, led discussion section, graded, and provided course support.

Securing Digital Democracy – University of Michigan, Coursera
Course Operations Liaison, 2014 – 2018

- Compiled and maintained course resources and facilitated student discussion in message boards for course introducing the field of voting technology in the context of computer security

Fundamentals of Parallel Programming – COMP 322, Rice University
Teaching Assistant, Spring 2015

- Shaped curriculum and led lab discussions for an introductory course on parallel programming featuring Java parallelism and Apache Spark

Introduction to Program Design – COMP 215, Rice University
Teaching Assistant, Fall 2014

- Led lab discussions and wrote and reviewed assignments and exams for an introductory course on Java and Object Oriented Programming

PEER-REVIEWED PUBLICATIONS

On the Usability of HTTPS Deployment
Matthew Bernhard, Jonathan Sharman, Claudia Ziegler Acemyan, Philip Kortum, Dan S. Wallach, and J. Alex Halderman
To appear in *Proc. of CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems Proceedings* (CHI 2019), May 2019.

Bernoulli Ballot-Polling: A Manifest Improvement for Risk-Limiting Audits
Kellie Ottoboni, Matthew Bernhard, J. Alex Halderman, Ron Rivest, and Philip Stark
To appear in *Proc. 4th Workshop on Advances in Secure Electronic Voting* (Voting '19), February 2019

403 Forbidden: A Global View of Geoblocking
Allison McDonald, Matthew Bernhard, Benjamin VanderSloot, Will Scott, J. Alex Halderman, Roya Ensafi
Proc. of the ACM Internet Measurement Conference 2018 (IMC '18), Boston, Massachussetts. November 2018.

Voting Technologies, Recount Methods and Votes in Wisconsin and Michigan in 2016
Walter R. Mebane, Jr. and Matthew Bernhard
Proc. of the 3rd Workshop on Advances in Secure Electronic Voting (Voting '18). Nieuwpoort, Curaçao. March 2, 2018.

GA005602

Public Evidence from Secret Ballots
Matthew Bernhard, Josh Benaloh, J. Alex Halderman, Ronald L. Rivest, Peter Y. A. Ryan, Philip B. Stark, Vanessa Teague, Poorvi L. Vora, Dan S. Wallach
Proc. of the Second Annual Joint Conference on Electronic Voting (E-Vote-ID '17). Bregenz, Austria. October 24 - 27, 2017.

Understanding the Mirai Botnet
Manos Antonakakis, Tim April, Michael Bailey, Matthew Bernhard, Elie Bursztein, Jaime Cochran, Zakir Durumeric, J. Alex Halderman, Luca Invernizzi, Michalis Kallitsis, Deepak Kumar, Chaz Lever,Zane Ma, Joshua Mason, Damian Menscher, Chad Seaman, Nick Sullivan, Kurt Thomas, Yi Zhou
Proc. of the 26th USENIX Security Symposium (USENIX Security '17). Vancouver, BC, Canada. August 16 - 18, 2017.

Towards a Complete View of the Certificate Ecosystem
Benjamin VanderSloot, Johanna Amann, Matthew Bernhard, Zakir Durumeric, Michael Bailey, and J. Alex Halderman
Proc. 16th ACM Internet Measurement Conference (IMC '16), Santa Monica, California. November 2016

Implementing Attestable Kiosks
Matthew Bernhard, Gabe Stocco, and J. Alex Halderman
Proc. 14th Annual Conference on Privacy, Security, and Trust (PST '16), Auckland, New Zealand. December 2016

SELECTED OTHER PUBLICATIONS

What Might Go Wrong in the 2016 Election
Matthew Bernhard and J. Alex Halderman
Security at Michigan (a Medium publication), November 7th, 2016

The Security Challenges of Online Voting Have Not Gone Away
Robert Cunningham, Matthew Bernhard, and J. Alex Halderman
IEEE Spectrum, November 3rd, 2016

TALKS

Do We Want to Recount or Not? Presidential Election 2016
Matthew Bernhard and Kimball Brace
Election Verification Network (EVN) Symposium 2017, March 15, 2017

Recount 2016: An Uninvited Audit of the U.S. Presidential Election
Matthew Bernhard and J. Alex Halderman
Roadsec 2017, São Paulo, Brazil, November 11th, 2017
Roadsec Pro 2017, São Paulo, Brazil, November 10th, 2017
Electoral Technology Workshop, SBSeg 2017, Brasília, Brazil, November 6th, 2017
33rd Chaos Communication Congress (33c3), December 28th, 2016

MEDIA APPEARANCES

NPR, Reuters, BBC News, The Guardian, Le Monde, Motherboard, Forbes, The New Republic, Gothamist, The New Political, The Outline, and Voice of America News and others

Subject: Re: GEMS Voting Machines 2 Counties.mov
Date: Thursday, January 10, 2019 at 10:38:15 AM Eastern Standard Time
From: Bruce Brown
To: Ringer, Cheryl, Burwell, Kaye, Lowman, David
CC: Bryan Tyson, Vincent Russo, Josh Belinfante, Lindsey, Jr., Edward H., richard.carothers@carmitch.com

Cheryl, Kaye and David,

In our discussion yesterday evening about the inspection of Fulton machines, we had decided that it would be best for me to set out in writing our proposed protocol for the inspections. I had asked Vince Russo for a draft confidentiality order, but if you have one that Fulton would like to use, that might be best (since the Secretary is out of the case and, I believe, Vince is in meetings all day). We are flying in experts for the work, so please let us know as soon as possible when the machines would be made available (or what machines would be made available at what times). Here is our proposed protocol:

Inspection of Fulton County DRE Machines:

Location: Fulton County English Street location

Time and Date: 11 am through 5pm Friday, January 11, 2019, and continuing on Saturday, and until work is completed.

Machines and their related memory cards for inspection:

Early voting machines for Ponce de Leon Library
All election day machines for Grady High School
Election Day machines for Allen Temple AME Church

Fulton County to identify by machine serial number which machines were used in the December 4 runoff or otherwise used, operated or put through maintenance or testing after the November 6, 2018 election.

Complete recap sheets from all polling places should accompany the machines.

Machines should not be turned on, operated, re-programmed or otherwise altered in any way until Plaintiff's experts are on site.

Fulton County to make a full image of the contents of the internal flash chips of the all machines (or possibly a selected subset of machines to be determined after inspection begins) for forensic examination. These copies will be made under the observation of Plaintiff's experts.

After copies are made, plaintiff's experts will select 1 to 2 machines from each polling place location to have staff put into election mode (operating in exact same manner as the machines were in the polling places on when during the 11/6/18 election.)

Plaintiff's representatives will test votes on the machines, and conduct other tests in pre-election

mode, election-mode and post-election mode.

We appreciate Fulton County's prompt cooperation given the expedited schedule.

Many thanks,

Bruce

From: Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>
Date: Wednesday, January 9, 2019 at 9:47 PM
To: Bryan Tyson <bpt@sbllaw.net>, "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>, Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>
Cc: "Burwell, Kaye" <Kaye.Burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>, "Lowman, David" <David.Lowman@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: Re: GEMS Voting Machines 2 Counties.mov

And I am adding Kaye Burwell and David Lowman for Fulton.

Sent via the Samsung Galaxy S7, an AT&T 4G LTE smartphone

-------- Original message --------
From: Bryan Tyson <bpt@sbllaw.net>
Date: 1/9/19 8:06 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>, Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "Ringer, Cheryl" <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, richard.carothers@carmitch.com
Subject: RE: GEMS Voting Machines 2 Counties.mov

Thanks for sending this. I'm adding Dick Carothers for Gwinnett to this email chain as well.

Thanks,

Bryan

Bryan P. Tyson
Strickland Brockington Lewis LLP
678.347.2203 (direct)
bpt@sbllaw.net

-----Original Message-----
From: Bruce Brown [mailto:bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 09, 2019 7:53 PM
To: Vincent Russo; Josh Belinfante; Cheryl Ringer; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H.; Bryan Tyson
Subject: FW: GEMS Voting Machines 2 Counties.mov

On 1/9/19, 5:18 PM, "Bruce Brown" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com> wrote:

https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A__www.dropbox.com_s_e3z30u2zqd44dqd_GEMS-2520Voting-2520Machines-25202-2520Counties.mov-3Fdl-3D0&d=DwIGaQ&c=HPJvcKF4Kk5Wqru1T_u_fOsw8NVQVa3gp0ReMdlciXw&r=y8ep_8HDwKhdpPvTap-X2A7Mfy5KKxC8wOuT4JMy-p8&m=60rdPa6Tc56n5EZ_18HvFPM7CJyCesLASInKmGSTByY&s=w7w6mu-54_TgwFcC3tC0PY14Qo_oyo09bLfqVFWrh4w&e=

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDQW
Date: 1/14/2019 10:54 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, et al., **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV313418**

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE

Plaintiffs have filed a Motion for Continuance. Good cause having been shown, this Court, pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(a), GRANTS the Motion, and the evidentiary hearing on this matter is continued until no earlier than three weeks after the Defendants have given Plaintiffs full access to the equipment and records described in this Court's January 11, 2019 Order on Pending Motions.

SO ORDERED this __ day of January, 2019.

_____
Honorable Adele P. Grubbs
Senior Judge, State of Georgia

Prepared by: /s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Counsel for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing via the Court's e-filing system to all counsel of record.

This 14th day of January, 2019.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDAC
Date: 1/14/2019 4:09 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF Fulton COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

Coalition For Good Governance
v.
Robyn A. Crittenden, et.al.

CASE NO. 2018CV313418

REQUEST TO USE A RECORDING DEVICE PURSUANT TO RULE 22 ON RECORDING OF JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS.

Pursuant to Rule 22 of the Uniform Rules for Superior Court regarding Use of Electronic Devices in Courtrooms and Recording of Judicial Proceedings, the undersigned hereby requests permission to use a recording device in Courtroom 2000 in order to record images and/or sound during (all) (the following portions) of the proceedings in the above captioned case/calendar.

Consistent with the provisions of the rule, the undersigned desires to use the following described recording device(s): Video + Audio Recorders. The proceedings that the undersigned desires to record commence on (date). Subject to direction from the court regarding possible pooled coverage, the undersigned wishes to use this device in the courtroom on (date). The personnel who will be responsible for the use of this recording device are: (identify appropriate personnel).

The undersigned hereby certifies that the device to be used and the locations and operation of such device will be in conformity with Rule 22 and any guidelines issued by the court.

The undersigned understands and acknowledges that a violation of Rule 22 and any guidelines issued by the court may be grounds for removal or exclusion from the courtroom and a willful violation may subject the undersigned to penalties for contempt of court.

This 8th day of January 2019.

George Ballona
(Individual Signature)

Me
(Representing/Firm)

Concerned Citizen
(Position)

APPROVED: _____

_____
Senior Judge, Superior Court

_____ Judicial Circuit

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDLW
Date: 1/15/2019 4:52 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY

STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT,	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	
	)	CIVIL ACTION
v.	)	
	)	FILE NO. 2018CV313418
ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS, GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, DEKALB COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, and GEOFF DUNCAN,	)	
Defendants.	)	

RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE

COMES NOW, Brad Raffensperger, in his official capacity as Secretary of State of the State of Georgia ("Defendant" or "Raffensperger"), by and through counsel, and respectfully responds to Plaintiffs Coalition for Good Governance's ("Coalition"), Rhonda J. Martin's ("Martin"), Smythe Duval's ("Duval"), and

Jeanne Dufort's ("Dufort") (collectively, "Plaintiffs") Motion for Continuance (Plaintiffs' Motion), stating as follows[1]:

INTRODUCTION

Plaintiffs filed this action to contest the Contested Election pursuant to Article 13, O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520, *et seq.*, of the Georgia Election Code.

The election for Lieutenant Governor was on November 6, 2018. It is now over two months since the election and the new Lieutenant Governor, Geoff Duncan, has already been sworn into office. The Georgia State Senate, the legislative body over which Lt. Governor Duncan presides, has already been sworn and is conducting business. While these facts do not moot this lawsuit yet, they currently demonstrate why this Court should rule promptly to allow the Georgia government to conduct legislative business. Under Plaintiffs' proposal (and as shown below, under the standard of proof they have created for themselves), this lawsuit could go on for months, if not years. And, that is before any appeal that may be filed. This is not what election challenges are for, nor is it permitted by the Election Code.

FACTS

On January 9, 2019, all parties appeared before the Court. The Court issued

[1] On January 14, 2019 Secretary Raffensperger was sworn into office as the successor to Secretary Crittenden.

an Order related to pending motions on January 11, 2019 (the "Order"). The Order sets out three specific requirements for the parties to follow related to discovery. (Order at 5.)

As relevant here, Plaintiffs are entitled to "inspect the DRE machines in post-election mode, using post-election memory cards that were used in the voting locations identified by Plaintiffs in the Petition, paragraphs 40, 41, 44, 45, and 46." (Order at 6.) Further, "Plaintiffs may also examine the Internal memory storage of each such DRE unit." (Order at 6.) But Plaintiffs cannot "in any way damage the DRE machines or information contained therein . . . [or] copy, image, save, or retain the DRE machines or the information contained therein . . . [or] upload or introduce any information into the DRE machines." (Order at 6.) "Destructive testing shall not be permitted." (Order at 6.) The Court also ruled that the parties must enter into a protective order.

This Court also decided, via an electronic mail sent to all counsel, that no continuances would be granted in this matter as the case should be expedited given that it is an election case. (Email from Judge Adele Grubbs to Counsel, Jan. 11, 2019 ("Jan. 11, 2019 Email").) The Court went on to state that any discovery issues should be brought to the Court's attention via email or conference call. (Id.)

In response to the Order and after consulting with Plaintiff's Counsel, Defendant Fulton County Board of Registration and Elections ("Fulton County")

and non-party Henry County Board of Registrations and Elections ("Henry County") made the DRE units for the voting locations identified in paragraphs 40, 41, 44, and 46 of Plaintiffs' Petition available on January 14 and January 15, respectively. This required Fulton County and Henry County to not only locate and setup the DRE units, but also locate the sealed memory cards for each machine before the inspection could commence. The Secretary is not aware of any attempt by Plaintiffs to arrange for the inspection of the DRE machines in Worth County referenced in paragraph 45 of the Petition, but the Secretary has notified the county attorney for Worth County of this Court's Order.

In conjunction with the counties, the Secretary proposed a protocol for examination of the internal memory storage on the DRE units (which units are property of the State of Georgia). To access the election result file stored in the internal memory of a DRE, a new memory card containing (1) the election ID and (2) blank ballot information for the election corresponding to the election results being recovered from the internal memory must be inserted into a DRE unit. This allows the DRE unit to locate the specific archive file in its internal memory storage. The archive file is then extracted from the internal memory and saved to the inserted memory card. Thus, the inserted memory card would contain the election information extracted from the DRE's internal memory storage. The internal memory for the election can then be viewed through the DRE. This

protocol tracks the normal process for recovering election data stored on the internal memory of a DRE unit, and it does not damage the DRE machines or otherwise require imaging or the introduction of any information into the DRE machines.

On January 14, 2019, Plaintiffs' counsel proposed a vastly different protocol for examining the DRE machines.[2] Specifically, Plaintiffs rely on the statement of Matt Bernhard, a proffered expert for the Coalition for Good Governance, a non-party. Bernhard demanded that the counties remove the outside case on the DRE machines and to insert outside computer chips or adapters into the machines before imaging the machines. (See Ex. 3.) Thus, Plaintiffs would not only extract but they would alter, even temporarily, the DRE machines hardware. Indeed, Plaintiffs' protocol requires altering the motherboard on certain models of DRE units (TS models), installing new computer chips (EEPROM chips) on those DRE units, and then imaging the DRE units. (Id.) For other models of DRE machines in circulation (TSx models), Plaintiffs' protocol requires an outside adapter (JTAG adapter) to be connected to the DRE unit, a "flash probe" run on the DRE unit, and then an image of the internal memory copied to a laptop. (Id.) These procedures are not confined to an examination of the internal memory for the November 6,

[2] A copy of the January 14, 2019 email from Plaintiffs' counsel is attached as Exhibit 1.

2018 election, but instead copy everything on the DRE unit, including information for past elections and critical files related to the architecture of the machines. (Id.)[3]

When Fulton and Henry Counties offered to allow Plaintiffs to inspect the DRE machines as the Secretary suggested, Plaintiffs refused. Thus, Plaintiffs have not inspected any DRE machines, despite the fact that Fulton and Henry County made them available. Instead, Plaintiff filed their Motion for Continuance with this Court.

ARGUMENT AND CITATION TO AUTHORITY

I. Plaintiffs' Failed to Follow the Court's Order and Email Instructions.

As an initial matter, Plaintiff's Motion for Continuance is improper and in direct contradiction of the Court's instructions. The Court made clear that no continuances would be granted. (Jan. 11, 2019 Email.) Therefore, Plaintiffs' attempt at the last minute is fruitless and is another chance to delay this Court's final decision. Moreover, the Court added that any discovery issues should be brought to the Court's attention by email or conference call, not by motion. (Jan. 11, 2019 Email.) The Court should deny Plaintiffs' motion for failure to properly submit this issue to the Court.

---

[3] The Order says that Plaintiffs may not "copy, image, save or retain the DRE machines or the information contained therein." (Order at 6.)

II. Election Challenges Must Move Promptly.

The Election Code provides that this Court must "decide the contest promptly." O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(a). While Plaintiffs are correct that the statute does not provide a specific timeline for the Court to issue a final order, there is no doubt that a timely decision must be made. Plaintiffs respond by wrongly comparing the discovery in this case to normal civil discovery and complain that Plaintiffs are not being treated like a normal civil party. (Plaintiffs' Motion for Continuance at 12.)

First, Plaintiffs had argued that the Civil Practice Act does not apply in election contests to the extent that its provisions conflicted with the specific requirements of the Election Code. (Petrs.' Joint Consol. Resp. to Mots. To Dismiss at 15-16.) The conflict here is apparent: O.C.G.A. 21-2-525(a) specifically requires Courts "to decide the contest promptly." There is no equivalent provision in the Civil Practice Act. Therefore, there is no doubt that Plaintiffs should not receive six months of discovery that is usually allotted to parties to conduct ordinary civil discovery.

In addition, Plaintiffs complain that there is insufficient time for them to make copies of the internal memory of the DRE machines. (Plaintiffs' Motion for Continuance at 8-9.) But, this Court made clear that Plaintiffs cannot "copy,

image, save, or retain the DRE machines or the information contained therein." (Order at 6.)

Next, the Secretary has offered a protocol that is consistent with the Order. Plaintiffs would have access to each identified voting machine's archive file from the internal memory storage. They could also view the election information on each machine. This should allow Plaintiffs the ability to test their claims, without damaging or altering the DRE machines. It certainly is more consistent with the Order than Plaintiffs' proposal, which expressly calls for prohibited copying and alters the DRE machine hardware.

Finally, Plaintiffs' request for a three-week extension forecloses a prompt decision – this would cause the decision to be made in February at the earliest and in the middle of the legislative session. An appeal could extend the resolution out even longer. Plaintiffs currently have all of the necessary information and have been given an opportunity to receive anything else this Court's Order allowed.

III. Additional Discovery Will Not Satisfy Plaintiffs' Burden.

Critically, even if the Plaintiffs were permitted to expand the scope of the Order in the manner they seek, the exercise would be one in futility. Plaintiffs' main problem is that they have created a mouse trap from which they cannot escape. Specifically, at the time that Plaintiffs filed the election challenge, they acknowledged that the alleged "programming errors" and "manipulations" they

sought to find would require a "very sophisticated, expensive and **impractical time consuming forensic examination.**" (Pet. ¶¶ 22, 33 (emphasis added)). Now they seek to do just that.

In addition, Plaintiffs' own expert alleged that a sample audit – the very kind Plaintiffs seek here – "is not sufficient for safeguarding of the electronic evidence required to be used in discovery." (Decl. of Richard A DeMillo, attached to Petrs.' Emergency Mot. for Inspection of Electronic Election Equipment and Production of Documents ¶ 25.) The same proffered expert testified in the federal lawsuit regarding the Voting Machines. See Curling v. Kemp, Civil Act. No. 1:17-CV-2989-AT (N.D.Ga. 2017). There, DeMillo said that if he wanted to determine whether a machine was compromised, he would have to "open the machine up, attach some probes to terminals in the back of the machine, and read the memory of the machine just like you would read a disc on a PC. [He] would compare the image to the latest software that [he] had from Diebold to see if it was a match or not." (Curling v. Kemp, Tr. of hearing on September 12, 2018 at 134; entered into evidence in this case with the Secretary's Limited Response to Petitioners' Proffer of Evidence). DeMillo estimated that to do this across the state – as he claimed would be necessary – would take approximately "14,000 hours" or 583 days or 1.6 years. (Id.) Recognizing how unreasonable DeMillo's request is, Plaintiffs now offer something different. They are presumably exchanging (what their experts

deem to be) sufficiency for expediency. This trade-off, however, is inconsistent with the Order and cannot, by Plaintiffs' own terms, prove or disprove their case. It should not, therefore, be permitted to extend it.

The bottom line is that the Plaintiffs have created a universe where the DRE machines are subject to such secret and hidden manipulation that no one could find it this year or perhaps even next year. Thus, no amount of reasonable discovery will satisfy Plaintiffs or allow them to meet the burden of proof they have imposed on themselves. This weighs heavily in favor of denying Plaintiffs' most recent attempt to delay and extend the resolution of this dispute.

CONCLUSION

Plaintiffs' discovery needs were known to them when they filed this action. They have been offered access to the information they seek, but they are unsatisfied with it and this Court's order. Their disappointment provides no basis for continued delay, and their Motion for Continuance should be denied.

This 15th day of January, 2019.

Christopher M. Carr
Attorney General
Georgia Bar No. 112505
Annette M. Cowart
Deputy Attorney General
Georgia Bar No. 191199
Russell D. Willard
Senior Assistant Attorney General
Georgia Bar No. 760280
State Law Department

40 Capitol Square, S.W.
Atlanta, GA 30334
Telephone: (404) 656-3357

/s/ Josh Belinfante
Josh Belinfante
Georgia Bar No: 047399
jbelinfante@robbinsfirm.com
Vincent R. Russo
Georgia Bar No. 242628
vrusso@robbinsfirm.com
Brian E. Lake
Georgia Bar No. 575966
blake@robbinsfirm.com
Alexander Denton
Georgia Bar No. 660632
adenton@robbinsfirm.com
Special Assistant Attorneys General
Robbins Ross Alloy Belinfante Littlefield LLC
500 14th Street, N.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30318
Telephone: (678) 701-9381
Facsimile: (404) 856-3250

Attorneys for Defendant Robyn A. Crittenden, Secretary of State of Georgia

EXHIBIT 1

From:	Vincent Russo
To:	Bruce Brown
Cc:	Patrick Jaugstetter; rgermany@sos.ga.gov; mbarnes@sos.ga.gov; gdavis@co.henry.ga.us; Josh Belinfante; Alexander Denton
Subject:	Re: DRE and DRE Internal Memory Examination
Date:	Monday, January 14, 2019 8:13:55 PM

Bruce,

The protocol has not changed from today, and we do not expect Henry County to say anything different than Fulton County. The process includes extracting the internal memory of the DREs from the November 2018 election onto memory cards and examining the contents through the DREs.

Vincent

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 14, 2019, at 5:54 PM, Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com> wrote:

Patrick - we have a few questions with respect to the machines for Henry County early voting in November, covered by the court order:

1. Has Henry County preserved the memory cards as they existed after the November election, or have they reprogrammed them for the December election?

2. Has Henry County preserved the DRE machines intact from the November election or did they use them again for the December election?

3. Are Henry County's machines for the early voting site covered by the Court order, Model TS or Model TSX, or a combination of both?

Fulton County did not know how to make copies of the internal memory today, but our expert explained the process to Fulton County and the SOS representative, so we anticipate not having those problems tomorrow.

Attending tomorrow from the Plaintiffs will be our expert Matt Bernhard and my consultant Marilyn Marks.

Thanks,

Bruce

From: "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Date: Monday, January 14, 2019 at 4:22 PM
To: Patrick Jaugstetter <patrick@powerjaug.com>
Cc: "VRusso@robbinsfirm.com" <VRusso@robbinsfirm.com>, "rgermany@sos.ga.gov" <rgermany@sos.ga.gov>, "mbarnes@sos.ga.gov" <mbarnes@sos.ga.gov>, "gdavis@co.henry.ga.us" <gdavis@co.henry.ga.us>
Subject: Re: Court Order in Election Recall Case

Yes. Longer email to follow.

On Jan 14, 2019, at 1:01 PM, Patrick Jaugstetter <patrick@powerjaug.com> wrote:

Bruce:

Are we confirmed for 9am tomorrow?

Patrick D. Jaugstetter

Power•Jaugstetter
P.O. Box 70
108 Atlanta Street
McDonough, GA 30253
770-957-1765 x 305 (office)
678-233-8383 (cell)
770-957-1065 (fax)

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 11, 2019, at 5:07 PM, Patrick Jaugstetter <patrick@powerjaug.com> wrote:

> I serve as County Attorney in Henry County - in that capacity, I represent the Henry County Board of Elections and Registration ("Henry County"). Your email (below) has been forwarded to me.
>
> I have reviewed your email, the accompanying pleadings and the proposed instructions you have provided to Henry County. Please know that the Henry County **will** allow you to inspect the voting machines as required by the Court's Order. The protocol you proposed, however, is **not** acceptable and not compliant with the Court's Order.
>
> To comply with the Court's Order, Henry County will make available all of the voting machines put into use at the Elections Office during the November 6 Election - Henry County will likewise have available the post-election memory card (the "Card"). Henry County will insert the Card into a machine or machines of your choice (the machines will be placed into post-election mode) and you will be allowed to direct County staff to navigate to such screen(s) as you may elect. Henry County will not copy or make images of any data included on any machine or memory card. Likewise, Henry County will not permit the copying, imaging, saving or retention of any data on any machine or memory card.
>
> Of course, preservation of the confidentiality of these records is of utmost importance - please send me any protective order contemplated in the Court's Order so that I may assure the County that there are provisions in place to preserve the confidentiality of the information your clients will be inspecting.
>
> Compliance with this Order will take some effort and require some time on the part of the County. Accordingly, the inspection may proceed on **Tuesday, January 15, beginning at 9am.**
>
> Finally, I have invited representatives of the Secretary of State to join us on Tuesday

morning.

PLEASE NOTE MY NEW EMAIL ADDRESS: **patrick@powerjaug.com**

Patrick Jaugstetter



Power-Jaugstetter, PC
P.O. Box 70
108 Atlanta Street
McDonough, GA 30253
770-957-1765 x 305 (office)
678-233-8383 (cell)
770-957-1065 (fax)

http://www.powerjaug.com

CONFIDENTIAL
The information contained in this electronic message is legally privileged and confidential intended only for the use of the individual or entity named above. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or copying of this electronic message is strictly prohibited. If you have received this electronic message in error, please notify me immediately by telephone and delete the original message.

On Jan 11, 2019, at 3:36 PM, Grayson Davis <gdavis@co.henry.ga.us> wrote:

> Hey Patrick,
>
> We just received this. Tina is at the Hospital and knows about it but can't do anything about it.
>
> Grayson Davis
>
> Henry County Elections & Voter Registration
> 40 Atlanta St.
> McDonough, GA 30253
> Office: 770-288-6462
> Cell: 404-989-3050
> Fax: 770-288-6468
>
> **From:** Bruce Brown [mailto:bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com]
> **Sent:** Friday, January 11, 2019 3:24 PM
> **To:** Tina Lunsford; Grayson Davis
> **Subject:** Court Order in Election Recall Case
>
> Ms. Lunsford and Mr. Davis,
>
> I represent the Plaintiffs in the Coalition for Good Governance vs. Crittenden election contest case involving the Lt. Governor's election. (2018CV313418). The case is set for trial

this next Thursday, January 17, 2019, and this email concerns discovery concerning Henry County that the Judge has ordered to be completed in advance of the trial.

In the attached ruling just issued, the Honorable Judge Adele Grubbs ordered that Plaintiffs' experts may conduct forensic examination of the DRE voting machines (and their internal memory data) used in the Henry County Election Office early voting location for the November 6, 2018 election. I have attached Judge Grubb's order. In Part B of the her Order, Judge Grubbs references paragraph 40 of the Petition, which also is attached; Henry County is referenced in the Petition at paragraph 40. We apologize for the short notice. The order was just entered, and the Court has also just denied our request for a continuance.

We would like to arrange for the logistics for this examination, and I have summarized our proposal for that examination below:

Examination of Henry County DRE Machines:

Location: Henry County Elections Office

Time and Date: 9 am through 5pm, Monday January 14, 2019 and continuing until completion

Machines and their related memory cards for inspection:

Voting machines used in the Henry County Election Office during early voting for the November 6, 2018 election.

Henry County to identify by machine serial number which machines were used in the December 4 runoff or otherwise used, operated or put through maintenance or testing after the November 6, 2018 election.

Machines to be inspected should not be turned on, operated, re-programmed or otherwise altered in any way until Plaintiff's experts are on site.

Henry County will need to make a full image of the contents of the internal flash chips of the all machines for our experts' forensic examination to avoid any damage to the DREs as our experts examine the internal memory of the DREs . These copies should be made under the observation of Plaintiff's experts on site who will review the files on site, presumably on a county laptop. We anticipate that this effort may require a further discussion of protocols and security, as well as confidentiality agreements as anticipated by the Court.

Plaintiff's experts will then select machines from the group to have the experts examine in the "post-election mode" of the November 6, 2018 election.

We appreciate Henry County's prompt cooperation given the expedited schedule.

Please forward this message to your county attorney representing the Election Office. I searched on the Henry County website and did not find contact information for the county attorney's office.

Thanks and again and please give me a call if you have any questions.

Bruce Brown
(404) 881-0700

<20190111 Lt Gov Order on Pending Motions .pdf>

<20181123 LtGov Case Complaint Stamp Filed Final.pdf>

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day served the within and foregoing **RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE** on all parties by electronically filing it with the Clerk of the Court using the Odyssey eFileGA system, which will automatically send an email notification of such filing to counsel for the parties.

This 15th day of January, 2019.

/s/ Josh Belinfante
Josh Belinfante

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDLS
Date: 1/15/2019 5:44 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, *et al*	) Civil Action File No. 2018CV313418
Petitioner,	)
v.	)
ROBYN A CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, *et al*	)
Defendant	)

FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS' RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO PETITIONERS' MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE

The intention of the election laws is that election contests should be determined as speedily as possible. OCGA § 21-2-525 (a) provides:

> Within 20 days after the return day fixed in the notice as provided in subsection (a) of Code Section 21-2-524 to the defendant, the presiding judge shall fix a place and time for the hearing of the contest proceeding. Such judge may fix additional hearings at such other times and places as are necessary to decide the contest promptly.

Short time periods reflect the legislature's strong desire to avoid the confusion and prejudice which can come in the wake of election uncertainty. Plyman v. Glynn County, 276 Ga. 426, 578 S.E.2d 124 (2003).

On November 23, 2018, Petitioners filed a 40-page verified petition, excluding exhibits, that set forth the grounds on which they based their election contest. Petitioners' affidavits, attached to the Petition, allege that the "the contested result of the 2018 election for Lieutenant Governor for the State of Georgia is illegal and the return thereof incorrect." Petitioners now

appear to concede that contrary to the affidavits they executed, they do not have sufficient evidence to sustain that Petition. The hearing date has been set since December 5, 2018. Petitioners have had 41 days since the trial date was scheduled to prepare for the hearing. That is more than sufficient notice to prepare for the hearing.

In Head v. Williams, 269 Ga. 894 (1998), the Petitioner sought a continuance of the hearing date on the grounds that he needed time to hire an attorney, develop support for his complaint, and subpoena witnesses. The trial court denied the motion for a continuance. The Georgia Supreme Court upheld the denial of the continuance because

> Head knew that he had the burden of presenting evidence to support his allegations of illegal voting and tampering with absentee ballots. He had six days' notice that a hearing date was being set and three days' notice of the actual date of the hearing. Since he was the petitioner in the election contest, this notice was reasonable. Therefore, the trial court did not abuse its discretion in denying the petitioner's motion for a continuance.

Id. at 895.

In the present case, the Petition was filed on November 23, 2018. In addition to the allegations within the Petition, Petitioners have identified multiple experts. On January 8, 2019, Petitioners also submitted, as a proffer of evidence, the affidavits of two of their experts. Petitioners have obtained documents and information pursuant to Open Records Requests. Petitioners have known since December 5, 2018 that the hearing was scheduled for January 17, 2019. As in Head, Petitioners have had sufficient notice of the hearing date and sufficient opportunity to mount their evidence.

Further, Petitioners seek a continuance of the hearing date in order to obtain "discovery". However, contrary to Petitioners' assertions, OCGA §21-2-525 does not provide for discovery. What it does provide for is process by which testimony at the hearing can be compelled:

> The court shall have authority to subpoena and to compel the attendance of any officer of the primary or election complained of and of any person capable of testifying concerning

> the same; to compel the production of evidence **which may be required at such hearing**, in the like manner and to the same extent as in other civil cases litigated before such court...

There is no common law right to an election contest so Petitioners are constrained by the statutory procedures set forth in the statute. The Georgia Election Code makes no provision for discovery. That more far reaching discovery is available to a litigant under the Civil Practice Act is irrelevant in the instant matter. Election contests are governed by the Georgia Election Code, which differs from the Civil Practice Act in several respects[1].

Although Petitioners filed an Emergency Motion for Inspection on November 29, 2018, Petitioners waited until January 9, 2019 to advance this request. Nevertheless, on January 11, 2019, this Court entered an Order providing Petitioners with certain access. Petitioners are not satisfied with the breadth and depth of that access and now seek a continuance because they are not satisfied with the access granted. Lastly, Petitioners' request for far-reaching discovery has already been denied. Petitioners are unhappy that the production and inspection of the DRE machines that was begun on January 14, 2019 was under the oversight of Michael Barnes, Director of the Center for Election Systems in the Secretary of State's Office[2]. On January 10, 2019, Petitioners' counsel proposed a protocol that was abandoned once the Court entered its January 11, 2019 Order—which Order denied much of what Petitioners' proposed protocol

---

[1] Georgia Election Code (O.C.G.A. § 21-2-524(g)) does not allow amendments that add party defendants while the Civil Practice Act (OCGA §9-11-15) does. The Election Code (O.C.G.A. § 21-2-524(f)) requires special process and an answer date no longer than ten days while the Civil Practice Act (OCGA §§9-11-4, 9-11-12) allow a summons or waiver and a thirty or sixty day answer date.

[2] The Secretary of State is the chief election official in Georgia. Mr. Barnes, an employee of the Secretary of State, provided an affidavit concerning the scope of Petitioners' requested inspection on January 11, 2019. Mr. Barnes was the appropriate person to oversee the inspection because the contest asserts "multiple and complex irregularities created by a technologically flawed electronic voting system" [November 29, 2018 Motion for Clarification at p. 3] and "machine malfunctions and irregularities from all corners of the state" [January 11, 2019 Brief in Support of Proposed Order Regarding Inspection of Equipment at p. 6]. Fulton County utilizes the voting machines dictated by the Election Code.

sought to include. Fulton County BRE has made it abundantly clear that it is constrained to follow state election law. Therefore, it has, and will continue to, defer to the Secretary of State – Georgia's chief election official -- regarding the technicalities and confidentiality of Georgia's state-mandated voting system. Thus, Petitioners were advised that given the sensitivity of the election material sought that the inspection would take place under the supervision of Michael Barnes beginning at 9:00 a.m. on Monday, January 14, 2019. Mr. Barnes was present at that time and access to the applicable DRE machines, in accordance with the January 11, 2019 Order, was granted to Petitioners.

The interests of finality in elections require that challenges to elections be resolved in an expeditious manner. As is abundantly clear in the Election Code, a contest is not treated as an ordinary civil action. The procedure is designed for expeditious and timely resolution of election issues. Thus, the election contest statute does not provide for discovery. It does, however, provide for the prompt resolution of a contest—within 20 days of answer. OCGA §21-2-525(a). The hearing date of January 17, 2019 complies with OCGA §21-2-525(a).

Petitioners received sufficient notice of the hearing date as contemplated by OCGA § 21-2-525 (a). In furtherance of the Election Code's preference for finality and certainty in election results, and for the swift resolution of contests, Petitioners' Motion for Continuance should be denied.

Respectfully submitted this 15th day of January, 2019.

OFFICE OF THE FULTON COUNTY ATTORNEY
Patrise Perkins-Hooker
Georgia Bar No. 572358

/s/ Kaye Woodard Burwell
Kaye Woodard Burwell
Georgia Bar No. 775060
Kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov

Cheryl Melissa Ann Ringer
Georgia Bar No. 557420
Cheryl.ringer@fultoncountyga.gov
David Lowman
Georgia Bar No. 460298
David.lowman@fultoncountyga.gov
Attorneys for Defendant Fulton County BRE

141 Pryor Street, S.W.
Suite 4038
Atlanta, Georgia 30303
Office: (404) 612-0246
Fax: (404)730-6540

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, *et al*	)
	) Civil Action File No. 2018CV313418
Petitioner,	)
v.	)
ROBYN A CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, *et al*	)
Defendant	)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that on this day, I have caused to be electronically filed the foregoing **FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS' RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO PETITIONERS' MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE** with the Fulton County Superior Court using the Odyssey efile GA system which will provide automatic notification of this filing to all counsel of record.

This 15th day of January, 2019.

/s/ Kaye Woodard Burwell
Kaye Woodard Burwell
Georgia Bar No. 775050

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDMH
Date: 1/15/2019 9:06 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

RHONDA J. MARTIN, *et al.*, Plaintiffs, v. BRAD RAFFENSPERGER, Secretary of State of Georgia, *et al.*, Defendants.	Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

DEFENDANT GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS' RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE

Defendant Gwinnett County Board of Registrations and Elections (Gwinnett BORE) opposes Plaintiffs' Motion for Continuance and fully joins and incorporates the responses of the Secretary of State, Fulton County, and Geoff Duncan by reference. The Gwinnett BORE offers several additional arguments for the Court's consideration.

The Georgia Supreme Court recognizes the critical state interest in avoiding "election uncertainty and the confusion and prejudice that can come in its wake." *Broughton v. Douglas Cty. Bd. of Elections*, 286 Ga. 528, 529 (2010). This is why election contests must move far faster than normal litigation—because that uncertainty must be ended quickly. *Id.*

As explained by the other defendants, Plaintiffs are now facing the same problem they faced at the motion-to-dismiss stage: there are not enough votes in question for Plaintiffs to carry their burden. The reported totals for the Lieutenant Governor's race[1] show

[1] Available at https://results.enr.clarityelections.com/GA/91639/Web02-state.221451/#/cid/21000

Geoff Duncan receiving 1,951,738 votes and Sarah Riggs Amico receiving 1,828,566, for a difference of 123,172 votes.

Plaintiffs must show with mathematical precision that the outcome of the Lt. Governor's race would be placed in doubt by their evidence. *Mead v. Sheffield*, 278 Ga. 268, 271 (2004); *Howell v. Fears*, 275 Ga. 627, 628 (2002). Speculation, conjecture, and theories are simply not enough. *Id.*

Plaintiffs' own allegations led to the review of a handful of precincts they have the opportunity to inspect. Despite this limitation, Plaintiffs apparently have not even attended the proposed review of voting machines in several counties. Plaintiffs have never shown this Court how their intrusive—and perhaps destructive—proposed additional inspections would lead to any evidence whatsoever of an additional 123,172 votes in dispute. Without this showing, they cannot carry their burden.

Defendant Gwinnett BORE has spent and will continue to expend staff resources responding to the GEMS server information Plaintiffs were allowed to receive by this Court's Order. Defendant Gwinnett BORE urges the Court to deny the request for a continuance so that the unnecessary expenditure of taxpayer funds required by this case can end.

CONCLUSION

Plaintiffs lack any evidence of a hack of voting machines to affect the Lt. Governor's race. As a result, they now seek more time to engage in a fishing expedition. This Court should deny Plaintiffs' motion and proceed with the hearing on January 17, 2019.

This 15th day of January, 2019.

/s/ Bryan P. Tyson
Frank B. Strickland
Georgia Bar No. 687600
fbs@sbllaw.net
Bryan P. Tyson
Georgia Bar No. 515411
bpt@sbllaw.net
Anne W. Lewis
Georgia Bar No. 737490
awl@sbllaw.net
STRICKLAND BROCKINGTON
LEWIS LLP
Midtown Proscenium Suite 2200
1170 Peachtree Street NE
Atlanta, GA 30309
(678) 347-2200

Richard A. Carothers
Georgia Bar No. 111075
richard.carothers@carmitch.com
Brian R. Dempsey
Georgia Bar No. 217596
Brian.dempsey@carmitch.com
CAROTHERS & MITCHELL, LLC
1809 Buford Highway
Buford, GA 30518
(770) 932-3552

Attorneys for the Gwinnett County Board of Registrations and Elections

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

RHONDA J. MARTIN, *et al.*, Plaintiffs, v. BRAD RAFFENSPERGER, Secretary of State of Georgia, *et al.*, Defendants.	Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day electronically filed the foregoing DEFENDANT GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS' RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE with the Clerk of Court using the Odyssey E-Filing system which will provide automatic notification of such filing to all counsel of record.

This 15th day of January, 2019.

/s/ Bryan P. Tyson
Bryan P. Tyson
Georgia Bar No. 515411

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDMH
Date: 1/15/2019 5:12 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, and JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS, GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, DEKALB COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, and GEOFF DUNCAN,** **Defendants.**	**Civil Action No.: 2018CV313418**

RESPONSE OF DEFENDANT GEOFF DUNCAN TO PLAINTIFFS' RENEWED DISCOVERY DEMANDS AND MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE AND DEFENDANT DUNCAN'S MOTION TO RECONSIDER HIS PREVIOUS MOTION TO DISMISS

NOW COMES the Defendant Geoff Duncan, in response to Plaintiffs' Renewed Discovery Demands and Motion for Continuance, showing the following:

Response to Plaintiffs' Renewed Discovery Argument

Defendant Duncan incorporates herein by reference the response by the Georgia Secretary of State regarding the Plaintiffs' renewed argument regarding the examination of Secretary of State records and county electronic voting machines. This issue has already been litigated before the court and the position and actions of the County Defendants and the Secretary of State is consistent with the Court's previous ruling.

Response to Plaintiffs' Motion for Continuance

The Court set out the schedule for resolving this dispute at its preliminary scheduling conference on December 5, 2018. No objection was raised by any party at that time as to the expedited calendar. The Secretary of State and the local county election boards have provided the Plaintiffs with the discovery documents and equipment access consistent with the Court's ruling of January 11, 2019. Therefore, the are no reasonable grounds for the Plaintiffs' request for a continuance at this time.

Defendant Duncan's Motion to Reconsider his Previous Motion to Dismiss

Defendant Duncan is aware that a Motion to Reconsider ("Motion") is an extraordinary motion and rarely granted. However, the Plaintiffs' attempt to delay final adjudication of this important matter merits the Court's reexamination of its ruling denying our Motion to Dismiss which the court acknowledged was a "close call" in the hearing of January 9, 2019.

In support of our renewed Motion, this Defendant incorporates herein by reference Defendant Duncan's Supplemental Brief in Support of Geoff Duncan's Motion to Dismiss, filed January 8, 2019, which demonstrated that even when the Court accepts the Plaintiffs' factual allegations as true, the simple math does not place the outcome of the race in question in doubt given Lt. Governor Duncan's margin of victory of 123,172 votes. Exhibit A, 2018 Georgia General Election Returns.

The Parties stipulated the admissibility of public election returns maintained by the Secretary of State at the January 9, 2019 hearing. Courts may rely upon stipulated facts in considering a Motion to Dismiss. *See Lee v. Green, 222 Ga 141 (1966)*. The Court may also take judicial notice of public records. *See also Johnson V. Allied*, 323 Ga App. 427 (2013))

Therefore, Defendant Duncan further shows the court the following:

"The setting aside of an election in which the people have chosen their representative is a drastic remedy that should not be undertaken lightly, but instead should be reserved for cases in which the person challenging an election has clearly established that the violation has placed the result of the election in doubt." *Hart v. Crawford*, 270 Ga. 7, 10 (1998)

The Plaintiffs entire argument that a violation of some kind has occurred is their circumstantial factual argument that the total vote count in the Lt. Governor's race was lower than in other statewide races. However, a review of recent electoral history in Georgia demonstrates several valid reasons for the lower voter participation in the race at issue unrelated to any kind of nefarious or negligent activities:

1. *The Impact of Third Party Candidates*. All things being equal, voter participation can be substantially lower in down ballot General Elections were a third party candidate is not on the ballot. This was demonstrated most clearly in 2014, when two Public Service Commission seats were on the ballot. Both races had an incumbent seeking reelection. Both races were decided by a statewide vote. In the race for District 4, there was a Republican incumbent and both a Democratic and Libertarian challenger. The total vote count was 2,512,425 votes. In the District 4 race, there was also a Republican incumbent but only a Democratic challenger. The vote count in that race was only 2,243,060 -- a drop in voter participation of 269,365 votes. Exhibit B, 2014 Georgia General Election Returns.

2. *The Impact of Incumbents*. The Lt. Governor's race in 2016 not only did not have a third party candidate in the race, but it was also the only race in which there was not only no third party but also no incumbent, for whom a voter may be either more or less favorable to his or her record drawing a voter to be either more likely to vote for or against the incumbent. Exhibit A, 2018 Georgia General Election Returns.

3. *Voter Down ballot Drop Off Voting in High Turnout Years.* According to the Secretary of State's public records, 2018 saw a dramatic and unprecedented increase in voter registration in Georgia of 1,237,399 voters between 2014 and 2018. (5,191,182 in 2014 and 6,428,581 in 2018.) Voter turnout also increased from 50.03% in 2014 to 61.44% in 2018. Exhibits A & B, 2014 and 2018 Georgia General Election Returns. This means there were a substantially large number of new or occasional voters casting ballots in the 2018 General Election. In elections in which you have high turnout of such voters there is often a severe drop off from the top ballot races to even high profile down ballot races. For instance, in the 2016 General Election, there was a 76.53% voter turnout. However, there was a drop off in voter participation between the race for President and U.S. Senate of 194,581 votes. The drop off between the Presidential race and the race for Public Service Commission (in which unlike the race for President and U.S. Senate races there was also no third party option) was even more severe -- 501,461 votes. Exhibit C, 2016 Georgia General Election Returns. Therefore, when combined with previously cited factors, the drop off in the race at issue is not out of the ordinary in an election year such as 2018.

Conclusion

As the public record demonstrates, the simple mathematical flaws in the Plaintiffs' Petition is why the Democratic Candidate for Lt. Governor Ms. Sarah Riggs Amico herself in a letter attached to the Petition admitted: "The number of residual votes in the Lieutenant Governor's race is unlikely to affect the outcome of my race ..."

Therefore, the Plaintiffs' Petition seeking a new election should be dismissed as a matter of law.

This 15th day of January, 2019.

DENTONS US LLP

Edward H. Lindsey Jr.

Georgia Bar No. 453075

Samuel S. Olens
Georgia Bar No. 551540

Dentons US LLP
303 Peachtree Street, N.E.
Suite 5300
Atlanta, GA 30308
Telephone: 404-527-4000
Facsimile: 404-527-4198

Attorneys for Defendant, Geoff Duncan

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the foregoing **RESPONSE OF DEFENDANT GEOFF DUNCAN TO PLAINTIFFS' DISCOVERY DEMANDS AND MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE AND DEFENDANT DUNCAN'S MOTION TO RECONSIDER HIS PREVIOUS MOTION TO DISMISS** has been served upon all interested parties by electronically filing with the Clerk of the Court using the Odyssey eFileGA System, which will automatically send an e mail notification of such filing to counsel for the parties.

This 15th day of January, 2019.



Exhibit A

GEORGIA SECRETARY OF STATE ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN

GENERAL ELECTION

Official Results

STATE OFFICES

STATEWIDE OFFICES

Governor

Counties/Precincts Reporting: 100 %	Percentage	Votes
BRIAN KEMP (REP)	50.22%	1,978,408
STACEY ABRAMS (DEM)	48.83%	1,923,685
TED METZ (LIB)	0.95%	37,235
		3,939,328

Lieutenant Governor

Counties/Precincts Reporting: 100 %	Percentage	Votes
GEOFF DUNCAN (REP)	51.63%	1,951,738
SARAH RIGGS AMICO (DEM)	48.37%	1,828,566
		3,780,304

Secretary Of State

Counties/Precincts Reporting: 100 %	Percentage	Votes
BRAD RAFFENSPERGER (REP)	49.09%	1,906,588
JOHN BARROW (DEM)	48.67%	1,890,310
SMYTHE DUVAL (LIB)	2.23%	86,696
		3,883,594

GA005646

Attorney General

Counties/Precincts Reporting: **100 %**	Percentage	Votes
CHRIS CARR (I) (REP)	51.30%	1,981,563
CHARLIE BAILEY (DEM)	48.70%	1,880,807
		3,862,370

Commissioner Of Agriculture

Counties/Precincts Reporting: **100 %**	Percentage	Votes
GARY BLACK (I) (REP)	53.08%	2,040,097
FRED SWANN (DEM)	46.92%	1,803,383
		3,843,480

Commissioner Of Insurance

Counties/Precincts Reporting: **100 %**	Percentage	Votes
JIM BECK (REP)	50.37%	1,944,963
JANICE LAWS (DEM)	46.99%	1,814,499
DONNIE FOSTER (LIB)	2.65%	102,163
		3,861,625

State School Superintendent

Counties/Precincts Reporting: **100 %**	Percentage	Votes
RICHARD WOODS (I) (REP)	53.02%	2,048,003
OTHA E. THORNTON, JR (DEM)	46.98%	1,814,461
		3,862,464

Commissioner Of Labor

Counties/Precincts Reporting: **100 %**	Percentage	Votes
MARK BUTLER (I) (REP)	52.46%	2,019,389
RICHARD KEATLEY (DEM)	47.54%	1,830,061
		3,849,450

Public Service Commission, District 3 - Metro-Atlanta

Counties/Precincts Reporting: **100 %**	Percentage	Votes
CHUCK EATON (I) (REP)	49.70%	1,917,656
LINDY MILLER (DEM)	47.63%	1,838,020
RYAN GRAHAM (LIB)	2.67%	102,878
		3,858,554

Public Service Commission, District 5 - Western

Counties/Precincts Reporting: **100 %**	Percentage	Votes
TRICIA PRIDEMORE (I) (REP)	50.25%	1,937,599
DAWN A. RANDOLPH (DEM)	47.23%	1,820,868
JOHN TURPISH (LIB)	2.52%	97,203
		3,855,670

Counties/Precincts Reporting

- [x] Completely Reported
- [ ] Not Reporting
- [ ] Partially Reported

COUNTIES COMPLETE **159**/159

PRECINCTS COMPLETE **2634**/2634

GEORGIA SECRETARY OF STATE ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN

GENERAL ELECTION

Official Results

TURNOUT

11/17/2018 4:27:59 PM EST

- 0% - 10%
- 10% - 20%
- 20% - 30%
- 30% - 40%
- 40% - 50%
- 50% - 60%
- 60% - 70%
- 70% - 80%
- 80% - 90%
- 90% - 100%
- Greater than 100%
- Not Reporting
- Not Participating



Google

(https://maps.google.com/maps?ll=32.853[illegible]=l10m1l1e1l12b1?source=apiv3&(apsg=apiv3);l

General Turnout	3,949,905 **61.44%**

OUNTIES TURNOUT

County	Precincts Reported	Precincts Participating	Ballots Cast	Registered Voters	Voter Turnout
Appling	9	9	6,827	10,613	64.33%
Atkinson	4	4	2,527	4,252	59.43%
Bacon	1	1	3,836	6,010	63.83%
Baker	5	5	1,297	2,232	58.11%
Baldwin	14	14	15,690	23,399	67.05%
Banks	13	13	6,867	10,807	63.54%
Barrow	16	16	27,459	47,514	57.79%
Bartow	16	16	37,441	64,074	58.43%
Ben Hill	2	2	5,565	9,258	60.11%
Berrien	5	5	6,265	10,247	61.14%
Bibb	31	31	60,867	99,934	60.91%
Bleckley	1	1	4,872	6,944	70.16%
Brantley	3	3	5,711	9,984	57.20%
Brooks	7	7	5,749	9,911	58.01%
Bryan	10	10	15,019	25,712	58.41%
Bulloch	16	16	23,746	39,983	59.39%
Burke	16	16	8,758	14,449	60.61%
Butts	1	1	8,892	15,280	58.19%
			3,949,905	**6,428,581**	**61.44 %**

County	Precincts Reported	Precincts Participating	Ballots Cast	Registered Voters	Voter Turnout
Calhoun	5	5	1,912	2,982	64.12%
Camden	14	14	17,119	31,632	54.12%
Candler	2	2	3,549	5,572	63.69%
Carroll	28	28	41,959	72,908	57.55%
Catoosa	11	11	23,836	40,513	58.84%
Charlton	8	8	3,391	6,072	55.85%
Chatham	90	90	103,841	188,315	55.14%
Chattahoochee	1	1	1,107	3,132	35.34%
Chattooga	13	13	7,464	11,099	67.25%
Cherokee	42	42	106,632	165,612	64.39%
Clarke	24	24	43,450	70,597	61.55%
Clay	5	5	1,191	1,856	64.17%
Clayton	58	58	92,403	169,574	54.49%
Clinch	5	5	2,268	3,884	58.39%
Cobb	141	141	312,488	486,696	64.21%
Coffee	6	6	12,669	21,900	57.85%
Colquitt	19	19	13,001	21,948	59.24%
Columbia	46	46	61,779	95,779	64.50%
Cook	8	8	5,816	9,423	61.72%
Coweta	27	27	58,194	91,585	63.54%
			3,949,905	**6,428,581**	**61.44 %**

County	Precincts Reported	Precincts Participating	Ballots Cast	Registered Voters	Voter Turnout
Crawford	6	6	4,953	7,459	66.40%
Crisp	5	5	7,082	11,674	60.66%
Dade	7	7	5,478	10,496	52.19%
Dawson	3	3	11,606	18,278	63.50%
Decatur	9	9	9,172	15,201	60.34%
DeKalb	193	193	313,552	494,731	63.38%
Dodge	16	16	7,079	11,055	64.03%
Dooly	5	5	3,811	5,669	67.23%
Dougherty	28	28	31,537	57,817	54.55%
Douglas	25	25	55,358	89,305	61.99%
Early	5	5	4,159	6,992	59.48%
Echols	1	1	1,146	1,929	59.41%
Effingham	17	17	23,426	38,132	61.43%
Elbert	11	11	7,418	11,123	66.69%
Emanuel	11	11	7,751	12,343	62.80%
Evans	1	1	3,461	5,569	62.15%
Fannin	12	12	11,250	17,200	65.41%
Fayette	36	36	58,118	83,763	69.38%
Floyd	25	25	30,440	52,469	58.02%
Forsyth	16	16	93,526	143,680	65.09%
			3,949,905	**6,428,581**	**61.44 %**

County	Precincts Reported	Precincts Participating	Ballots Cast	Registered Voters	Voter Turnout
Franklin	7	7	8,171	13,069	62.52%
Fulton	373	373	425,139	703,177	60.46%
Gilmer	13	13	12,554	19,069	65.83%
Glascock	4	4	1,304	1,837	70.99%
Glynn	20	20	32,767	54,274	60.37%
Gordon	12	12	17,858	30,086	59.36%
Grady	13	13	8,398	13,546	62.00%
Greene	5	5	9,025	12,081	74.70%
Gwinnett	157	157	315,815	525,568	60.09%
Habersham	7	7	15,540	24,707	62.90%
Hall	31	31	67,582	114,817	58.86%
Hancock	10	10	3,554	5,601	63.45%
Haralson	12	12	10,612	17,923	59.21%
Harris	12	12	16,019	22,877	70.02%
Hart	7	7	9,666	14,706	65.73%
Heard	6	6	4,065	6,678	60.87%
Henry	37	37	98,743	154,376	63.96%
Houston	16	16	59,370	93,924	63.21%
Irwin	3	3	3,569	5,277	67.63%
Jackson	4	4	26,950	42,272	63.75%
			3,949,905	6,428,581	61.44 %

County	Precincts Reported	Precincts Participating	Ballots Cast	Registered Voters	Voter Turnout
Jasper	3	3	5,949	9,030	65.88%
Jeff Davis	9	9	4,830	7,556	63.92%
Jefferson	8	8	6,789	10,349	65.60%
Jenkins	5	5	2,889	4,677	61.77%
Johnson	4	4	3,489	5,060	68.95%
Jones	10	10	12,469	18,194	68.53%
Lamar	6	6	7,373	11,288	65.32%
Lanier	1	1	2,689	5,034	53.42%
Laurens	16	16	18,998	28,805	65.95%
Lee	10	10	13,571	21,012	64.59%
Liberty	13	13	15,404	31,051	49.61%
Lincoln	7	7	3,983	5,748	69.29%
Long	7	7	4,007	7,606	52.68%
Lowndes	9	9	35,817	67,459	53.09%
Lumpkin	1	1	11,587	19,567	59.22%
Macon	5	5	4,244	6,569	64.61%
Madison	12	12	11,724	18,018	65.07%
Marion	5	5	2,935	4,468	65.69%
McDuffie	9	9	8,819	13,587	64.91%
McIntosh	6	6	5,425	8,962	60.53%
			3,949,905	**6,428,581**	**61.44 %**

County	Precincts Reported	Precincts Participating	Ballots Cast	Registered Voters	Voter Turnout
Meriwether	14	14	8,678	13,710	63.30%
Miller	1	1	2,322	3,814	60.88%
Mitchell	11	11	7,470	13,324	56.06%
Monroe	14	14	12,987	18,724	69.36%
Montgomery	7	7	3,535	5,145	68.71%
Morgan	7	7	9,580	13,100	73.13%
Murray	7	7	11,114	19,170	57.98%
Muscogee	25	25	63,451	112,540	56.38%
Newton	22	22	43,213	69,805	61.91%
Oconee	13	13	20,796	27,538	75.52%
Oglethorpe	3	3	6,500	9,473	68.62%
Paulding	12	12	61,399	98,948	62.05%
Peach	7	7	10,472	16,632	62.96%
Pickens	12	12	13,394	21,151	63.33%
Pierce	8	8	6,897	10,855	63.54%
Pike	8	8	8,561	12,212	70.10%
Polk	7	7	12,901	20,970	61.52%
Pulaski	1	1	3,635	5,293	68.68%
Putnam	5	5	9,363	13,578	68.96%
Quitman	2	2	951	1,519	62.61%
			3,949,905	**6,428,581**	**61.44 %**

County	Precincts Reported	Precincts Participating	Ballots Cast	Registered Voters	Voter Turnout
Rabun	1	1	7,605	11,513	66.06%
Randolph	9	9	2,805	4,154	67.53%
Richmond	68	68	70,360	122,747	57.32%
Rockdale	16	16	36,731	58,299	63.00%
Schley	1	1	1,937	2,645	73.23%
Screven	12	12	5,438	8,594	63.28%
Seminole	5	5	3,248	5,341	60.81%
Spalding	18	18	24,471	41,325	59.22%
Stephens	1	1	9,118	17,058	53.45%
Stewart	4	4	1,829	2,917	62.70%
Sumter	11	11	10,594	16,395	64.62%
Talbot	7	7	2,962	4,334	68.34%
Taliaferro	2	2	938	1,211	77.46%
Tattnall	8	8	6,663	11,036	60.38%
Taylor	3	3	3,301	5,068	65.13%
Telfair	6	6	3,650	5,525	66.06%
Terrell	6	6	3,951	6,366	62.06%
Thomas	20	20	17,297	27,686	62.48%
Tift	12	12	13,702	22,064	62.10%
Toombs	5	5	8,887	15,700	56.61%
			3,949,905	**6,428,581**	**61.44 %**

County	Precincts Reported	Precincts Participating	Ballots Cast	Registered Voters	Voter Turnout
Towns	4	4	6,167	9,386	65.70%
Treutlen	2	2	2,621	4,025	65.12%
Troup	15	15	23,977	38,876	61.68%
Turner	3	3	3,285	5,126	64.09%
Twiggs	5	5	3,807	5,849	65.09%
Union	11	11	11,912	17,800	66.92%
Upson	4	4	10,602	15,930	66.55%
Walker	11	11	21,574	38,613	55.87%
Walton	21	21	38,771	61,655	62.88%
Ware	12	12	11,064	18,506	59.79%
Warren	1	1	2,273	3,519	64.59%
Washington	8	8	8,200	11,988	68.40%
Wayne	12	12	10,158	15,675	64.80%
Webster	1	1	1,102	1,493	73.81%
Wheeler	2	2	1,942	2,751	70.59%
White	11	11	11,479	18,137	63.29%
Whitfield	23	23	27,432	46,058	59.56%
Wilcox	6	6	2,824	4,237	66.65%
Wilkes	7	7	4,394	6,345	69.25%
Wilkinson	9	9	4,276	6,016	71.08%
			3,949,905	**6,428,581**	**61.44 %**

County	Precincts Reported	Precincts Participating	Ballots Cast	Registered Voters	Voter Turnout
Worth	15	15	7,867	12,645	62.21%
			3,949,905	**6,428,581**	**61.44 %**

Counties/Precincts Reporting

Completely Reported

Not Reporting

Partially Reported

COUNTIES COMPLETE **159**/159

PRECINCTS COMPLETE **2634**/2634

Voter Turnout

TOTAL	**61.44%**
Ballots Cast	3,949,905
Registered Voters	6,428,581

Copyright 2018 - www.scytl.com

Exhibit B



Statewide Results

General Election
November 4, 2014

Website last updated 11/10/2014 6:56:24 PM EST

Results by County

Registered Voters: 5,191,182
Ballots Cast: 2,596,947
Voter Turnout: 50.03 %

OFFICIAL RESULTS
Provisional ballots included

Counties Partially Reported: 0 of 159
Counties Completely Reported: 159 of 159
Counties Percent Reported: 100.00 %

Customize My Search
(272 of 272)

Go To Page 1 Display

United States Senator, Chambliss

159 of 159 Counties Reporting

	Percent	Votes
DAVID A. PERDUE (R)	52.89%	1,358,088
M. MICHELLE NUNN (D)	45.21%	1,160,811
AMANDA C. SWAFFORD (L)	1.90%	48,862
		2,567,761

Governor

159 of 159 Counties Reporting

	Percent	Votes
J. NATHAN DEAL (I)R	52.75%	1,345,237
JASON J. CARTER (D)	44.89%	1,144,794
ANDREW T. HUNT (L)	2.36%	60,185
		2,550,216

Lieutenant Governor

159 of 159 Counties Reporting

	Percent	Votes
L. S. 'CASEY' CAGLE (I)R	57.99%	1,466,505
CONNIE J. STOKES (D)	42.01%	1,062,557
		2,529,062

Secretary Of State

159 of 159 Counties Reporting

	Percent	Votes
BRIAN P. KEMP (I)R	57.47%	1,452,554
DOREEN CARTER (D)	42.53%	1,075,101
		2,527,655

Secretary Of State

Attorney General

159 of 159 Counties Reporting

	Percent	Votes
SAMUEL S. OLENS (I)R	56.93%	1,436,987
GREGORY K. 'GREG' HECHT(D)	43.07%	1,087,268
		2,524,255

Commissioner Of Agriculture

159 of 159 Counties Reporting

	Percent	Votes
GARY W. BLACK (I)R	58.26%	1,462,039
CHRISTOPHER JAMES IRVIN(D)	41.74%	1,047,339
		2,509,378

Commissioner Of Insurance

159 of 159 Counties Reporting

	Percent	Votes
RALPH T. HUDGENS(I)R	54.87%	1,382,551
ELIZABETH N. 'LIZ' JOHNSON(D)	41.70%	1,050,883
EDWARD T. 'TED' METZ (L)	3.43%	86,427
		2,519,861

State School Superintendent

159 of 159 Counties Reporting

	Percent	Votes
RICHARD L. WOODS(R)	55.11%	1,391,005
VALARIE D. WILSON(D)	44.89%	1,132,886
		2,523,891

Commissioner Of Labor

159 of 159 Counties Reporting

	Percent	Votes
J. MARK BUTLER (I)R	56.93%	1,427,662
ROBBIN K. SHIPP(D)	43.07%	1,079,898
		2,507,560

Public Service Commission, District 1 - Southern

159 of 159 Counties Reporting

	Percent	Votes
HERMAN D. 'DOUG' EVERETT (I)R	68.33%	1,532,652
JOHN H. MONDS (L)	31.67%	710,408
		2,243,060

Public Service Commission, District 4 - Northern

159 of 159 Counties Reporting

Public Service Commission, District 4 - Northern

	Percent	Votes
LAUREN W. 'BUBBA' MCDONALD (I)R	53.38%	1,341,182
DANIEL A. BLACKMAN (D)	41.75%	1,048,917
ROBIN AARON GILMER (L)	4.87%	122,326
		2,512,425

U.S. Representative, District 1

17 of 17 Counties Reporting

	Percent	Votes
E. L. 'BUDDY' CARTER(R)	60.91%	95,337
BRIAN CORWIN REESE (D)	39.09%	61,175
		156,512

U.S. Representative, District 2

29 of 29 Counties Reporting

	Percent	Votes
GREGORY P. 'GREG' DUKE(R)	40.85%	66,537
SANFORD D. BISHOP (I)D	59.15%	96,363
		162,900

U.S. Representative, District 3

13 of 13 Counties Reporting

	Percent	Votes
LYNN A. WESTMORELAND (I)R	100.00%	156,277
		156,277

U.S. Representative, District 4

4 of 4 Counties Reporting

	Percent	Votes
HENRY C. 'HANK' JOHNSON JR. (I)D	100.00%	161,211
		161,211

U.S. Representative, District 5

3 of 3 Counties Reporting

	Percent	Votes
JOHN R. LEWIS (I) D	100.00%	170,326
		170,326

U.S. Representative, District 6

3 of 3 Counties Reporting

	Percent	Votes
T. E. 'TOM' PRICE (I)R	66.04%	139,018
ROBERT G.MONTIGEL (D)	33.96%	71,486
		210,504

U.S. Representative, District 7

Exhibit C

GEORGIA ELECTION RESULTS

A public service of
Secretary of State Brian P. Kemp

Statewide Results
General Election
November 8, 2016

Website last updated 12/1/2016 2:06:22 PM EST

Results by County

Registered Voters: 5,443,046
Ballots Cast: 4,165,405
Voter Turnout: 76.53 %

OFFICIAL RESULTS
Provisional ballots are included

Counties Partially Reported: 0 of 159
Counties Completely Reported: 159 of 159

Customize My Search
(299 of 299)

Go To Page 1 Display

President of the United States

159 of 159 Counties Reporting

	Percent	Votes
DONALD J. TRUMP (REP)	51.05%	2,089,104
HILLARY CLINTON (DEM)	45.89%	1,877,963
GARY JOHNSON (LIB)	3.06%	125,306
		4,092,373

United States Senator, Isakson

159 of 159 Counties Reporting

	Percent	Votes
JOHNNY ISAKSON (I) (REP)	54.80%	2,135,806
JIM BARKSDALE (DEM)	41.04%	1,599,726
ALLEN BUCKLEY (LIB)	4.16%	162,260
		3,897,792

Public Service Commission, District 2 - Eastern

159 of 159 Counties Reporting

	Percent	Votes
TIM ECHOLS (I) (REP)	66.58%	2,390,836
ERIC HOSKINS (LIB)	33.42%	1,200,076
		3,590,912

U.S. Representative, District 1

17 of 17 Counties Reporting

	Percent	Votes
EARL "BUDDY" CARTER (I) (REP)	100.00%	210,243
		210,243

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDMH
Date: 1/15/2019 6:22 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, Plaintiffs, v. ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al., Defendants.	Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

PLAINTIFFS' REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE

Plaintiffs file this Reply to the briefs filed by non-party Secretary of State and Defendant Duncan.

On his predecessor's own motion, the Secretary became a non-party to this case and accordingly should not be filing briefs or interfering in discovery, and his brief should be stricken. If he wants to participate in this case, he may file a motion to intervene for the Court's consideration.

Plaintiffs' primary objection to the Secretary's Brief, however, is not that he filed it, but what he says. Defendant Duncan simply reargues his rejected motion to dismiss.

A. Facts

On the facts: the Court ordered the Defendants to allow Plaintiffs to examine the internal memory of the DRE machines. *There is no dispute that Fulton County has not done so.* Fulton County did not even make its machines available until yesterday, three days before trial, and when it did so it was technically unable to give Plaintiffs access to the internal memory. *This is undisputed.* The essential fact remains that Plaintiffs, now 36 hours from trial, have not been granted the limited discovery that this Court ordered – and that Plaintiffs originally sought six weeks ago. *This is not disputed.*

What also is not in dispute is that Fulton County (and now Gwinnett) have no plans to figure out how to make the internal memory available. In the "no good deed goes unpunished" category, the Secretary now blames Plaintiffs' expert for proposing ways that Fulton County can do what the Order requires without damaging the internal memory, by using a special erasable programable read only memory (EPROM) chip. Fulton County does not have to use the EPROM method, but it does have to comply with the Court's Order and, it if does not like the EPROM method, it can do so with the technology of its own selection. What it cannot do is refuse to comply with the Court's Order and "run out the clock."

The Secretary's Brief does *not* disclose Plaintiffs' proposed protocol - a glaring, inexcusable omission. Plaintiffs proposed last week that Fulton County copy the internal memory and allow Plaintiffs to examine the copy – examining a

copy is the only way to conduct a forensic examination without potentially altering the memory and destroying evidence. This protocol was originally explained in an email on January 10, and then explained in greater detailed in Plaintiffs' expert's January 11, 2019 affidavit, another copy of which was filed yesterday with the Motion for Continuance.

Most important, neither the Secretary nor Defendant Duncan disagree that, as a factual matter, it is impossible for Plaintiffs to conduct reasonable discovery in this time frame.

B. Law

Neither Defendant Duncan nor the Secretary have any response to Plaintiffs' legal argument for continuance. But the law is clear: the Election Contest Statute does not mandate accelerated proceedings or set arbitrary deadlines, but instead contemplates that the trial court use its plenary power to gain a "full and proper understanding" of the matter. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(b). The Election Contest Statute further states that the case is to proceed "in like manner and to the same extent as in other civil cases." *Id.* The Civil Practice Act in turn states that "the court shall in *all* cases afford to the parties reasonable time for discovery." O.C.G.A. § 9-11-40 (emphasis added).

And the Secretary and Defendant Duncan do not attempt to apply this law to the undisputed facts, for that too cannot reasonably be disputed: three days to a week is not a "reasonable time for discovery" in any case, much less a state-wide

election contest involving an investigation of why complex electronic voting machines malfunctioned.

The Secretary and Defendant Duncan also to not counter Plaintiffs' argument citing a number of Supreme Court cases, in each of which the plaintiffs appear to have been given full discovery, whether that involved an opportunity to find and count every misspelled absentee ballot, *Mead v. Sheffield,* 278 Ga. 268 (2004), or to investigate allegedly mis-calibrated voting machines, *Taggart v. Phillips*, 242 Ga. 454, 455 (1978). And, they do not disagree that in no case found by Plaintiffs has *any* court compromised the full and proper adjudication of the issues in order to obtain a faster decision. *E.g., McIntosh Cty. Bd. of Elections v. Deverger*, 282 Ga. 566, 566 (2007) (four months after the general election, the trial court ordered a new election; the Supreme Court affirmed); *Taggart v. Phillips*, 242 Ga. 454, 455 (1978) (two months after election, the Supreme Court reversed the trial court's dismissal of contest petition and remanded for further proceedings).

Defendant Duncan's brief is in effect a motion for reconsideration of the denial of his motion to dismiss. Though improper, Plaintiffs will respond briefly. As to the facts relating to the drop-off, none of what Defendant Duncan says explains why the unprecedented drop in votes for the Lt. Governor's race appeared only with the votes on electronic machines, and not on the votes cast on paper ballots. If the drop-off was because of not having a third-party candidate, for

example, why didn't this drop off appear in votes on paper ballots for the same race? (And why didn't that drop off appear on other races, like the race for attorney general, that also did not have a third-party candidate?) What, other than system malfunction, could explain such a difference? Defendant Duncan does not, cannot, answer that question.

This case needs to be tried, and a continuance is necessary for the trial to be fair and to comply with the law.

Respectfully submitted this 15th day of January, 2019.

/s/ Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Georgia Bar No. 064460
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com
Bruce P. Brown Law LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE, Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700

Attorney for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing via the Court's e-filing system to all counsel of record.

This 15th day of January, 2019.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDQW
Date: 1/16/2019 9:19 AM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, Plaintiffs, v. ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al., Defendants.	Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO COMPEL AND FOR ADDITIONAL DISCOVERY

Plaintiffs move to compel Defendants to comply with the Court's January 11, 2019, Order on Pending Motions and, in addition, seek the immediate production of the Defendants' GEMs databases. In the Order on Pending Motions, the Court stated: "Plaintiffs may also examine the internal memory storage of each such DRE unit," referring to the DREs from five polling locations (three in Fulton County, one in Henry County, and one in Worth County). Defendant Fulton County and Henry County, following the explicit instructions of non-party Secretary of State, have refused to permit Plaintiffs to examine the internal memory of any DRE unit. This is undisputed, and sufficient to warrant the granting of this Motion to Compel.

Defendants may claim that they do not understand what the Court meant by "internal memory" (though that term is well understood) or that they do not know how to allow Plaintiffs to examine the internal memory (though Plaintffs' experts have given Defendants' various options). More likely, Defendants simply disagree with the Court's Order, and believe the Court should have limited Plaintiffs' access to just a portion of the internal memory or reports generated by specific applications (conveniently) of Defendants' choice.

Whatever the reason or excuse, it is bedrock law that a party must obey a court order, even if it disagrees with it or believes that it is wrong. "[I]f the court has jurisdiction to make the order, it must be obeyed however wrong it may be." *Pearson v. George,* 211 Ga. 18, 18 (1954). In addition, if Defendants did not understand the Order, or understand how they could comply with the Order, the burden was on Defendants to obtain clarification, and to do so immediately. The one thing Defendants may not do is simply refuse to comply:

> "The orderly and expeditious administration of justice by the courts requires that an order issued by a court with jurisdiction over the subject matter and person must be obeyed ... until it is reversed by orderly and proper proceedings.... Such orders must be complied with promptly and completely, for the alternative would be to frustrate and disrupt the progress of the [court proceedings] with issues collateral to the central questions in [the case]."

Britt v. State, 282 Ga. 746, 750 (2007) (quoting *Maness v. Meyers,* 419 U.S. 449, 458-459 (1975).

The Court should grant this Motion to Compel and order Defendants, *again,* to allow Plaintiffs to examine the internal memory of each DRE machine identified in the Court's order, and that they must do so immediately. The Court should also order the Gwinnett County and Fulton County to produce their GEMS' database for the 2018 general election, as explained further below.

DISCUSSION

A. DRE Internal Memory

In its January 11, 2019 Order on Pending Motions, this Court stated as follows:

> Plaintiffs (i.e., only Rhonda J. Martin, Smythe Duval, and Jeanne Dufort) are entitled to inspect the DRE machines in post-election mode, using post-election memory cards that were used in the voting machines idenetified by Plaintiffs in the Petition, paragraph 40, 41, 44, 45 and 46. Plaintiffs may also examine the internal memory storage of each such DRE unit. Plaintiffs are ordered not to in any way damage the DRE machines or the information contained therein, nor may Plaintiffs copy, image, save or retain the DRE machines or the information contained therein. Plaintiffs may not upload or introduce any information into the DRE machines. Destructive testify shall not be permitted.

The key language in the Order is the statement that the Plaintiffs "may also examine the internal memory storage of each such DRE unit." Instead of allowing Plaintiffs to examine the internal memory, Defendants on Monday, January 14, 2019, offered to allow Plaintiffs to examine a report generated by one file in the

internal memory, the "Election Archive."[1] (Exhibit A to Bernhard Affidavit, which is attached as Exhibit 1). As concisely explained by Plaintiffs' expert Matthew Bernhard in his affidavit, what Defendants have offered is only an on-screen human readable report and interpretation of a small portion of the internal memory. As Mr. Bernhard explains: a "forensic examination of the data in the Election Archive would be incomplete and almost meaningless." (Bernhard Aff. ¶ 17).

Mr. Bernhard explains the significant difference between internal memory and the election archive on screen report in his affidavit, at paragraphs 3 through 8. They are not remotely the same thing. Separately, what Defendants are offering is just on-screen reports, not the program itself, and a review of on-screen reports related to the results of the prior elections cannot substitute for a review of the programs running on the DRE computer, when searching for the malware or error that caused the Lt. Governnor race issues.

As Mr. Bernhard explains, malicious software can put itself *anywhere* in internal memory, and frequently it will be hidden in the "bootloader" or the operating system, parts of internal memory that the user never sees. (Bernhard Aff. ¶ 9). In fact, published research into the AccuVote systems has demonstrated that malware that can steal votes can be hidden in the bootloader, the operating

[1] Plaintiffs did not take part in any machine demonstrations or review of on-screen reports of election archives prior to a copy of the internal memory being made so to preserve all evidence on the machine. Defendants, following the Secretary's orders, refused to make copies of the internal memory to preserve the machine's electronic evidence.

system, or the BallotStation application itself. (Bernhard Aff. ¶ 10). This is why it is critical to examine all of the internal memory during a forensic examination. No on screen report of past election archives will permit detection of a progamming flaw.

Defendants, therefore, have failed to comply with this Court's Order. Defendants should be compelled to do so immediately.

Defendants may offer up an excuse as to how it is difficult to allow an examination of the internal memory. It is time-consuming, but the internal memory can be copied by the counties using the protocols supplied by Plaintiffs' expert[2] without damage to the internal memory. This issue and need to copy the internal memory, before using it again, is not new to Defendants or the Secretary. Plaintiffs' counsel warned Secretary's counsel in mid-November not to use DREs in the December runoff election without copying the memory, a warning which was ignored. And indeed, Plaintiffs exchanged many emails and had conversations starting the evening of the Janaury 9 hearing in which Plaintiffs made it clear that copying the memory (by the counties) would be necessary for both preservation

[2] In the course of a conversation at the English Street warehouse where the DREs were assembled, Secretary of State official Barnes told Plaintiffs' expert that he did not know how to copy the internal memory without "ripping out of the chip." (Bernhard Aff., Exhibit 1). That evening Mr. Bernhard drafted a protocol (a) involving an EPROM chip that could be employed to safely copy the memory for the older TS models and (b) another protocol for the TSx model that did not require replacing the EPROM chip. Plaintiffs' counsel forwarded those protocols to counsel for Fulton County and counsel for Henry County. (Bernhard Aff., Exhibits B and C). Fulton County has not responded. There is an alternative method as well that Defendants may find more convenient that Plaintiffs' experts can make available to Defendants if they cannot accomplish it independently.

and examination. Defendants now act as if the need to preserve and review memory is a new topic, when it has been under discussion for months.

If the counties prefer a method other than Plaintiffs' recommended method, they are free to do so. But what they cannot do is fail to comply with this Court's Order. Defendants clearly are trying to avoid the embarrassment of the exposure of the cause of the massive number of missing votes in the contested election. The Defendants should therefore be ordered *again* to allow Plaintiffs to "examine the internal memory storage of each such DRE unit." In addition, Defendants failure to comply with this Court's Order is further grounds for the granting of a continuance in this case.

B. GEMS Database

A far easier issue is the production of the GEMS database. *See generally* Bernhard Affidavit, paragraphs 20-31. Unlike the DRE internal memory, it will take the counties just minutes to produce the GEMS database on a CD, and the GEMS database is the first and simplest place experts will look to attempt to detect the cause of the undervote irregularity seen in the Lieutenant Governor's election. In fact, given the widespread nature of that particular problem, it may take only a few hours to pinpoint the cause once experts are given access to the tables in the GEMS database, although there is no assurance that this will be the case.

The county election data is simply loaded into a generic Microsoft Access database to create the GEMS database, which contains no proprietary source code

or highly sensitive information. Unlike the print-outs that the Defendants would produce, the GEMS database likely holds important clues as to where mis-programming, viruses, malfunctions or hacks occurred, and the number of machines and votes affected.

The contents of the GEMS database are simply election data that belongs to the counties and statem (and their voters), and not the vendor, and contains no source code. Various out-of-state jurisdiction's GEMS databases have been posted on line and can be reviewed to confirm that no proprietary source code or private voter data resides on the GEMS database.

Conclusion

There are roughly 127,000 missing votes in the statewide Lieutenant Govenor's race. It is a matter of utmost public importance that the cause of these missing votes be isolated. There is a reasonable possibility that the matter may be easily solved by an immediate examination of the GEMS databases or a review of the internal memory of a sample of DRE machines. There is a compelling public interest to take the short time necessary to access the readily available data to determine the cause of the massive irregularities casting serious doubt upon the result in the Lieutenant Governor's race. This Motion should be granted.

A proposed order is attached.

Respectfully submitted this 16th day of January, 2019.

/s/ Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Georgia Bar No. 064460
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com
Bruce P. Brown Law LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE, Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Page(S)
Intentionally
Left Blank

GA005679

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing via the Court's e-filing system to the attorneys of record who have made appearances.

This 16th day of January, 2019.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown

EXHIBIT 1

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV31348**

AFFIDAVIT OF MATTHEW BERNHARD

Appeared before me, the undersigned officer duly authorized to administered oaths, MATTHEW BERNHARD who, after being sworn, states as follows:

1. I am a consulting expert on the topic of the Diebold Voting System for plaintiffs in this case. I have been asked to address what "internal memory" means and the relative utility of examining internal memory, as I understand the Court's January 11, 2019 Order on Motions requires, compared to examining the

"election archive," which is the limit of what the Defendants are willing to allow. I have also been asked to explain the necessity of reviewing the GEMS database.

2. My qualifications are set forth in detail in my January 10, 2019 affidavit of record in this case.

Internal Memory v. Election Archive

3. All computers rely on som permanent form of storage. In most consumer computers, this storage is a "hard drive," which is made out of spinning platters, or solid-state drives, which rely on flash memory for storage. Computers like the AccuVote voting machines (which are more similar to smart phones and PDAs), rely instead on "internal memory" for their primary storage, which is comprised of read-only flash memory (ROM). Here we are solely concerned with computers relying on internal memory as their primary storage mechanism. These computers use internal to store all the files they use, which on a normal computer might include things like Word documents, PDFs, music, movies, etc. However, there is a lot of other data stored in internal memory, much of which the user never sees.

4. When computers first get powered on, the computer runs a program that sets up all the other components connected to the computer, such as keyboards, screens, USB ports, etc., as well as the rest of the software that runs on

the computer. The program that does this is normally called a "bootloader," and is normally stored in a predesignated location in internal memory (these locations are called partitions).

5. Once the bootloader has been executed, the computer is still running at a fairly low level of functionality, so the next program that gets loaded is typically an operating system (like Windows on a PC, or OSX Mojave on a MacBook). Operating systems provide a much more usable interface to the computer, and they are what most users have experience with when interacting with a computer. The operating system is also stored in internal memory, in a different partition from the bootloader.

6. Once the operating system is running, users can run different programs (called applications) within the operating system. Typically this consists of applications like web browsers (like Internet Explorer) or text editors (like Microsoft Word). On voting machines, this will include applications that allow voters to vote and that can count votes. Georgia's voting machines use BallotStation, a Diebold/Premier application.

7. The operating system gives each application its own piece of internal memory, where it can store data for later use. BallotStation uses its piece of internal memory to store election data as well as to archive past elections.

8. Below is a diagram showing what internal memory looks like:



9. It is important to note that malicious software can put itself *anywhere* in internal memory, and frequently will try to "hide" by infecting the bootloader or operating system, parts of internal memory that users never see (shown pictorially below, with the malware program infecting the bootloader). This is why it is absolutely crucial to examine *all* of internal memory during a forensic examination to determine the source of malfunctions or incorrect programming.



10. In fact, earlier research into the AccuVote TS and TSx systems has demonstrated that malware that can steal votes can be hidden in the bootloader, the operating system, or the BallotStation application itself.[1][2][3][4]

[1] Feldman, Ariel J., J. Alex Halderman, and Edward W. Felten. "Security analysis of the Diebold AccuVote-TS voting machine." (2006).
[2] Hursti, Harri. "Critical security issues with Diebold TSx." *Black Box Voting, May* (2006).
[3] McDaniel, Patrick, M. Blaze, and G. Vigna. "EVEREST: Evaluation and validation of election-related equipment, standards and testing." *Ohio Secretary of State's EVEREST Project Report* (2007).
[4] Bowen, D. *Top-to-Bottom Review of voting machines certified for use in California.* Technical report, California Secretary of State, 2007.

GA005685

11. Below is depicted how the AccuVote machines store election archives, where the boxes labeled "A" represent the data corresponding to each archived election:



12. Plaintiff's forensic experts must review the entire internal memory to determine the source or existence of malware or programming errors, or machine malfunction.

13. In contrast, The Defendants are proposing that Plaintiff's experts' examination of each voting machine be limited to an on screen human readable report (not the code itself) of one "election archive" corresponding to the November 2018 election, shown below outlined in red:



14. If the malware is in the bootloader, as in the above diagram, then there's no way that looking at an election archive will detect it. The same is true if the malware has infected the operating system, or even BallotStation itself. Since the election archive is generated by BallotStation, if BallotStation is corrupted, the archive will reflect whatever the malicious code tells it to.

15. Further, merely reading the information presented on the screen is not remotely equivalent to examining what underlying code is executing on the device and what such code contains, and can be misleading. Programming accidents and malware alike can be notoriously difficult to encounter in normal use of the device, either because the error only affects one small piece of functionality (that may still result in inaccurate election results being report) or because the malware has gone out of its way to avoid detection (this is common, especially in sophisticated malware of the type produced by nation-states who have been actively probing U.S. election infrastructure).[5]

16. A visual representation can illustrate the difference between what Defendants are offering to provide as a review of internal memory and what the Plaintiffs' experts must access to undertake a reasonable and professional review. Below is a pictorial representation of the type of screen information that is available on a DRE machine screen while accessing the ballot archive reports.

[5] Joint Statement from the ODNI, DOJ, FBI and DHS: Combating Foreign Influence in U.S. Elections, 19 October 2018 https://www.dni.gov/index.php/newsroom/press-releases/item/1915-joint-statement-from-the-odni-doj-fbi-and-dhs-combating-foreign-influence-in-u-s-elections



17. This may be contrasted with the first several lines of a print out of a TSx machine internal memory (a hexadecimal encoding of the first 4,464 raw bytes of the internal memory):

```
0000000 fe 03 00 ea 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
0000010 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
*
0000040 45 43 45 43 c4 b1 09 84 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
0000050 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00
*
0001000 ae 00 00 ea 05 00 00 ea 20 00 00 ea 3a 00 00 ea
0001010 55 00 00 ea 00 00 a0 e1 6e 00 00 ea 88 00 00 ea
0001020 48 00 9f e5 08 10 a0 e3 00 10 80 e5 48 20 8f e2
0001030 3c 10 9f e5 3c 30 9f e5 08 30 93 e5 04 00 13 e3
0001040 09 00 00 1a 00 30 d2 e5 00 00 53 e3 06 00 00 0a
0001050 14 30 91 e5 40 30 13 e2 fc ff ff 0a 00 30 d2 e5
0001060 00 30 c1 e5 01 20 82 e2 f5 ff ff ea fe ff ff ea
0001070 24 00 e0 bc 00 00 20 bc 00 00 e0 bc 55 6e 64 65
0001080 66 69 6e 65 64 20 48 61 6e 64 6c 65 72 0d 0a 00
0001090 48 00 9f e5 08 10 a0 e3 00 10 80 e5 48 20 8f e2
00010a0 3c 10 9f e5 3c 30 9f e5 08 30 93 e5 04 00 13 e3
00010b0 09 00 00 1a 00 30 d2 e5 00 00 53 e3 06 00 00 0a
00010c0 14 30 91 e5 40 30 13 e2 fc ff ff 0a 00 30 d2 e5
00010d0 00 30 c1 e5 01 20 82 e2 f5 ff ff ea fe ff ff ea
00010e0 24 00 e0 bc 00 00 20 bc 00 00 e0 bc 53 57 49 20
00010f0 48 61 6e 64 6c 65 72 0d 0a 00 00 00 48 00 9f e5
0001100 08 10 a0 e3 00 10 80 e5 48 20 8f e2 3c 10 9f e5
0001110 3c 30 9f e5 08 30 93 e5 04 00 13 e3 09 00 00 1a
0001120 00 30 d2 e5 00 00 53 e3 06 00 00 0a 14 30 91 e5
0001130 40 30 13 e2 fc ff ff 0a 00 30 d2 e5 00 30 c1 e5
0001140 01 20 82 e2 f5 ff ff ea fe ff ff ea 24 00 e0 bc
0001150 00 00 20 bc 00 00 e0 bc 50 72 65 66 65 74 63 68
0001160 20 48 61 6e 64 6c 65 72 0d 0a 00 00 48 00 9f e5
```

18. It is important to stress that the term of art "internal memory" cannot be interpreted to mean one particular application or file that might be contained in the internal memory, but instead refers to all memory internally used in the voting machine. In addition, examining only the election archive is not nearly sufficient to determine if malware or incorrect programming resides in other places in the internal memory.

19. A forensic examination of the data in the Election Archive would be incomplete and almost meaningless, and certainly inconclusive, although even that

is not what is being offered by the Defendants, who want Plaintiffs' experts to merely review an on screen interpretation or report of certain selected Election Archive data, not the full contents of the Election Archive itself.

GEMS

20. The documents that the Defendants have been ordered to produce from the GEMS server (Base Precincts With Races Report, Ballot Image Report, Vote Center With Cards Report, Statement of Votes Cast Report, and Summary Report) may be useful to review, but are public records and standard reports that do not in any way provide an opportunity to detect a programming flaw, a virus, or a malfunction of the machines, such as is suspected in the Lieutenant Governor's race.

21. What is needed is the GEMS Database, which is an unencrypted set of data for programming and tabulating the election that is built by the election official for each election using a generic off-the-shelf Microsoft Access database. Anyone with a Microsoft subscription to Microsoft Access can open and read all files.

22. The video recording of the January 9, 2019 hearing reveals that the Secretary's attorney represented that the GEMS Database includes source code for the Diebold system. This is not correct. There is no source code in the GEMS

database. The GEMS database only contains data related to the election, not any programming.

23. In fact, roughly 1500 jurisdictions across the country, county staff members and sometimes third-party contractors and part time workers use the GEMS database to set up their local elections. I am not aware any need for confidentiality agreements or special clearance to work with the Microsoft Access Database that is called the "GEMS Database," which is a clear indication that there is no proprietary source code in a GEMS Database.

24. I have recently reviewed the Microsoft Access Database from Marin County, California posted online.[6] Attached at Exhibit A are examples of screenshots from miscellaneous pages, demonstrating that the contents are not proprietary source code as claimed by the Secretary.

25. An examination of the GEMS database to review for anomalies, programming errors, misconfiguration, or other irregularities should generally take about 2 days per county, assuming that no material irregularities were detected.

---

[6] http://blackboxvoting.org/docs/diebold/june10-primaryFINAL.zip

26. The information contained in the GEMS server can be shared with the public without risk to election security.

27. The review of the GEMS database can be accomplished without access to the GEMS server, by requiring either the Secretary of State or the County to merely copy their GEMS database CD and deliver to Plaintiffs' experts on a CD or by email.

28. The GEMS database should be reviewed at two stages, at the start of the election programming and after the close of the election.

29. The Secretary maintains a CD copy of the original programming prior to votes being entered into the system. A copy can be made in a matter of minutes and given to the Plaintiff's expert for review. The examiner should compare that database to the post-election database for the possibility of unauthorized changes to instructions coded into the database.

30. It is only with access to the full electronic GEMS database that a basic analysis of the programming of the election can take place, and that the existence of errors can be detected.

31. The errors reported during the November 2018 election, particularly in the Lieutenant Governor's race, are consistent with a pattern of either malicious tampering, software error, or incorrect programming of the election.

32. A forensic analysis is the only method to determine which of these occurred at this point. The only way to faithfully execute a forensic analysis is with access to a full copy of the internal memory of the voting machines in question, and a full copy of the GEMS database.

33. Attached hereto as Exhibit B is a true and correct copy of an email that I sent to Bruce Brown, counsel for Plaintiffs, on January 14, 2019. The statements in that email are true, and provide a fair account of the events at the English Street warehouse that day.

Attached hereto as Exhibit C is an email by Bruce Brown that forwards recommendations that I drafted relating to the copying of the internal memory on the AccuVote TS and TSx machines.

Further Affiant sayeth not.

Matthew Bernhard

Sworn to and subscribed before me:
On this 15 day of January, 2019
Timothy McMillan
Notary Public, State of Georgia
My Commission Expires: Sept 6 2020

SEAL

TIMOTHY WALLACE
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES
SEPT
6
2020
FULTON CO., GEORGIA
NOTARY PUBLIC

EXHIBIT A

Exhibit A

Fil | Home | Create | External Data | Database Tools | Help | Tell me what you want to do

View | Paste | Cut | Copy | Format Painter | Filter | Ascending | Descending | Remove Sort | Selection | Advanced | Toggle Filter | Refresh All | New | Save | Delete | Totals | Spelling | More | Find | Replace | Go To | Select | Size to Fit Form | Switch Windows | Calibri | 11

Views | Clipboard | Sort & Filter | Records | Find | Window | Text Formatting

All Access Objects

Search...

- PrintArtworkV2Sequence
- RaceCBVCRU
- RaceCounterControl
- RaceRotationWithVReg
- RaceVCenterControl
- RaceVCRU
- Reportunit
- ReportunitBaseunit
- ReportunitCat
- ReportunitCounterGroup
- ReportunitDistrict
- ReportunitRace
- ReportunitRaceVReg
- RUBatches
- RUClosed
- RUCounted
- RUGroupDistrid
- RUStatus
- RUSubGroupDist
- RUVCStatus
- SimpleVCCardRot
- SumCGRace
- SumRaceReportunitView
- SumRaceStats
- TDistrict
- V2VCenterSeqCount
- VCClosed
- VCenterDistrict
- VCenterRace
- VCenterRaceCounterGroup
- VCRUBUBallotRot
- VCRUBUCardRot
- VCRUBUCardRotWithLabels
- CandVGroupIntExport

ReportunitRaceVReg

ReportunitId	RaceId	TotalVoters
4	2	743
4	3	135
4	4	18
4	5	16
4	6	3
4	7	3
4	8	743
4	9	135
4	10	18
4	11	16
4	12	3
4	13	3
4	14	743
4	15	135
4	16	18
4	17	16
4	18	3
4	19	3
4	20	743
4	21	135
4	22	18
4	23	16
4	24	3
4	25	3
4	26	743
4	27	135
4	28	18
4	29	16
4	30	3
4	31	3
4	32	743
4	33	135
4	34	18
4	35	16
4	36	3
4	37	3
4	38	743

Record: 1 of 14954 | No Filter | Search

Datasheet View

File | Home | Create | External Data | Database Tools | Help | Design | Tell me what you want to do

Results: View, Run
Query Type: Select, Make Table, Append, Update, Crosstab, Delete, Union, Pass-Through, Data Definition
Query Setup: Show Table, Insert Rows, Delete Rows, Builder, Insert Columns, Delete Columns, Return: All
Show/Hide: Totals, Parameters, Property Sheet, Table Names

All Access Objects

Search...

- MonAnnVotRv2Sequence
- RaceCBVCRU
- RaceCounterControl
- RaceRotationWithVReg
- RaceVCenterControl
- RaceVCRU
- Reportunit
- ReportunitBaseunit
- ReportunitCat
- ReportunitCounterGroup
- ReportunitDistrict
- ReportunitRace
- ReportunitRaceVReg
- RUBatches
- RUClosed
- RUCounted
- RUGroupDistrict
- RUStatus
- RUSubGroupDist
- RUVCStatus
- SimpleVCCardRot
- SumCGRace
- SumRaceReportunitView
- SumRaceStats
- TDistrict
- V2VCenterSeqCount
- VCClosed
- VCenterDistrict
- VCenterRace
- VCenterRaceCounterGroup
- VCRUBUBallotRot
- VCRUBUCardRot
- VCRUBUCardRotWithLabels
- CandVGroupIntExport

ReportunitRaceVReg

Race: *, KeyId, Label, ShortLabel, SortSeq, RaceType

BaseunitDistrict: *, BaseunitId, DistrictId

ReportunitBaseunit: *, ReportunitId, BaseunitId

BaseunitVReg: *, BaseunitId, VGroup1Id, TotalVoters

VGroup: *, KeyId, Label, SortSeq, CandColumn, ShortLabel

Field:	ReportunitId	RaceId: KeyId	TotalVoters: TotalVote	VGroup1Id	DistrictId	BaseunitId	BaseunitId	KeyId	SeparateBallot	TrackRegistration	VGroup1Id
Table:	ReportunitBaseunit	Race	BaseunitVReg	Race	BaseunitDistrict	ReportunitBaseunit	BaseunitVReg	VGroup	VGroup	VGroup	BaseunitVReg
Total:	Group By	Group By	Sum	Where	Where	Where	Where	Where	Where	Where	Where
Sort:											
Show:	☑	☑	☑	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐	☐
Criteria:				0	[Race].[DistrictId]	[BaseunitDistrict].[Bas	[BaseunitDistrict].[Bas	[Race].[VGroup1Id]			
or:					[Race].[DistrictId]	[BaseunitDistrict].[Bas	[BaseunitDistrict].[Bas	[Race].[VGroup1Id]	0		
					[Race].[DistrictId]	[BaseunitDistrict].[Bas	[BaseunitDistrict].[Bas	[Race].[VGroup1Id]		0	
					[Race].[DistrictId]	[BaseunitDistrict].[Bas	[BaseunitDistrict].[Bas	[Race].[VGroup1Id]			[Race].[VGroup1Id]

Form View

GA005697

File | Home | Create | External Data | Database Tools | Help | Tell me what you want to do

All Access Objects

Search...

- BallotRotCardRot
- BallotRotVCenterControl
- BallotVGroup
- BaseunitA
- BaseunitControl
- BaseunitRaceRot
- CandidateCounterControl
- CandidateVCenterControl
- CardHeader
- CardHeaderLayout
- CardRace
- CardRotCounterControl
- CardRotRaceRot
- CardRotRaceRotLayout
- CardRotVCenterBaseunitV2SeqHelp
- CardRotVCenterControl
- CardRotVCenterControlWithBU
- DistrictMacro
- GeoDistrict
- PlateRotRaceRot
- PrintArtworkV1Precinct
- PrintArtworkV1Sequence
- PrintArtworkV2Precinct
- PrintArtworkV2Sequence
- RaceCBVCRU
- RaceCounterControl
- RaceRotationWithVReg
- RaceVCenterControl
- RaceVCRU
- Reportunit
- ReportunitBaseunit
- ReportunitCat
- ReportunitCounterGroup
- ReportunitDistrict

RaceSortSec	RaceId	RaceLabel	RaceVGroup	RaceRotatio	ReportunitS	Reportunitc	BaseunitSor	Baseunitid	BaseunitLab	TotalVoters
2006	71	SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE, OFFICE 3	NP	1	4017	183	10	8702	0004803	0
2006	71	SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE, OFFICE 3	NP	1	4018	184	10	8703	0004502A	5
2006	71	SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE, OFFICE 3	NP	1	4018	184	20	8704	0004901	140
2006	71	SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE, OFFICE 3	NP	1	4018	184	30	8705	0004902	101
2006	71	SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE, OFFICE 3	NP	1	4018	184	40	8706	0004501A	0
2006	71	SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE, OFFICE 3	NP	1	4101	120	10	8607	0004101	574
2006	71	SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE, OFFICE 3	NP	1	4103	121	10	8608	0004103	599
2006	71	SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE, OFFICE 3	NP	1	4200	122	10	8609	0004200	436
2006	71	SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE, OFFICE 3	NP	1	4300	123	10	8610	0004300	753
2006	71	SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE, OFFICE 3	NP	1	4302	124	10	8611	0004302	583
2006	71	SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE, OFFICE 3	NP	1	4305	125	10	8612	0004305	493
2006	71	SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE, OFFICE 3	NP	1	4400	126	10	8613	0004400	757
2006	71	SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE, OFFICE 3	NP	1	4401	127	10	8614	0004401	741
2006	71	SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE, OFFICE 3	NP	1	4503	128	10	8615	0004503	672
2006	71	SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE, OFFICE 3	NP	1	4600	129	10	8616	0004600	744
2006	71	SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE, OFFICE 3	NP	1	4601	130	10	8617	0004601	938
2006	71	SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE, OFFICE 3	NP	1	4707	131	10	8618	0004707	1014
2006	71	SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE, OFFICE 3	NP	1	4708	132	10	8619	0004708	659
2006	71	SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE, OFFICE 3	NP	1	4709	133	10	8620	0004709	895
2006	71	SUPERIOR COURT JUDGE, OFFICE 3	NP	1	4806	134	10	8621	0004806	424
4011	76	ASSESSOR-RECORDER-COUNTY CLERK	NP	0	1001	168	10	8665	0001100A	4
4011	76	ASSESSOR-RECORDER-COUNTY CLERK	NP	0	1002	4	10	8402	0001100B	679
4011	76	ASSESSOR-RECORDER-COUNTY CLERK	NP	0	1002	4	20	8403	0001101	514
4011	76	ASSESSOR-RECORDER-COUNTY CLERK	NP	0	1003	5	10	8404	0001104	545
4011	76	ASSESSOR-RECORDER-COUNTY CLERK	NP	0	1003	5	20	8405	0001105	395
4011	76	ASSESSOR-RECORDER-COUNTY CLERK	NP	0	1004	6	10	8406	0001108	464
4011	76	ASSESSOR-RECORDER-COUNTY CLERK	NP	0	1004	6	20	8407	0001116	492
4011	76	ASSESSOR-RECORDER-COUNTY CLERK	NP	0	1005	7	10	8408	0001109	373
4011	76	ASSESSOR-RECORDER-COUNTY CLERK	NP	0	1005	7	20	8409	0001115	630
4011	76	ASSESSOR-RECORDER-COUNTY CLERK	NP	0	1006	8	10	8410	0001111	389
4011	76	ASSESSOR-RECORDER-COUNTY CLERK	NP	0	1006	8	20	8411	0001119	220
4011	76	ASSESSOR-RECORDER-COUNTY CLERK	NP	0	1006	8	30	8412	0001120	308
4011	76	ASSESSOR-RECORDER-COUNTY CLERK	NP	0	1007	9	10	8413	0001112	541
4011	76	ASSESSOR-RECORDER-COUNTY CLERK	NP	0	1007	9	20	8414	0001126	466
4011	76	ASSESSOR-RECORDER-COUNTY CLERK	NP	0	1008	10	10	8415	0001114	701
4011	76	ASSESSOR-RECORDER-COUNTY CLERK	NP	0	1008	10	20	8416	0001125	658
4011	76	ASSESSOR-RECORDER-COUNTY CLERK	NP	0	1009	11	10	8417	0001117	524
4011	76	ASSESSOR-RECORDER-COUNTY CLERK	NP	0	1009	11	20	8418	0001118	432
4011	76	ASSESSOR-RECORDER-COUNTY CLERK	NP	0	1010	12	10	8419	0001122	351
4011	76	ASSESSOR-RECORDER-COUNTY CLERK	NP	0	1010	12	20	8420	0001123	697
4011	76	ASSESSOR-RECORDER-COUNTY CLERK	NP	0	1011	13	10	8421	0001201	361

Record: 1 of 1320

GA005698

File | Home | Create | External Data | Database Tools | Help | Fields | Table | Tell me what you want to do

All Access Objects

Tables: Artwork, AuditLog, Ballot, BallotBox, BallotRot, BallotRotCardRotPlateRot, BallotRotRateRot, BaseunitBallotRot, BaseunitDistrict, BaseunitVReg, Candidate, CandidateCounter, CandVGroup, Card, CardRot, CardRotCounter, ChallengeVoter, CounterBatch, CounterGroup, CounterGroupControl, District, ExportFmt, Header, KeyTable, Language, MonitorScript, Operator, Plate, PlateHeader, PlateRace, PlateRot, Preference, Race

AuditLog

KeyId	LogTime	LogLevel	UserId	Entry
	1188898053	1		User admin: Created Election
1	1188898053	1		User admin: Open Election: UDEL Template (UDEL Template) admin Host
2	1188898102	1		User admin: Election title changed
3	1188898137	1		User admin: Created Vote Center Category (1): Id 20, Label Absentee Vote Center
4	1188898137	1		User admin: Created CounterGroup (-1): Id 20, Label Absentee
5	1188898235	1		User admin: Changed CounterGroup (1): Id 20, Label Absentee
6	1188898315	1		User admin: Created Header (1): Id 10, Label OFFICIAL
7	1188898359	1		User admin: Created Header (2): Id 20, Label MEASURE
8	1188898395	1		User admin: Created Header (3): Id 30, Label SCHOOL
9	1188898417	1		User admin: Changed Race options
10	1188898432	1		User admin: Changed Header (3): Id 30, Label SCHOOL
11	1188898458	1		User admin: Created Header (4): Id 40, Label MUNICIPAL
12	1188898474	1		User admin: Created Header (5): Id 50, Label DISTRICT
13	1188898507	1		User admin: Created Header (6): Id 60, Label MEASURE - SCHOOL
14	1188898531	1		User admin: Created Header (7): Id 70, Label MEASURE - DISTRICT
15	1188898571	1		User admin: Created Header (8): Id 80, Label END
16	1188898712	1		User admin: Created VoteCenter (3): Id 1150, Label Provisional, Cat. 0, NumOSMemCards 0, NumTSMemCards 0, CountMethod 2
17	1188898741	1		User admin: Created VoteCenter (4): Id 1160, Label Mail Ballots, Cat. 0, NumOSMemCards 0, NumTSMemCards 0, CountMethod 2
18	1188898765	1		User admin: Changed VoteCenter (2): Id 1170, Label Absentee Vote Center <Default VC>, Cat. 1, NumOSMemCards 0, NumTSMemCards 0, CountMethod 2
19	1188898781	1		User admin: Created VoteCenter (5): Id 1180, Label Absentee, Cat. 1, NumOSMemCards 0, NumTSMemCards 0, CountMethod 2
20	1188898800	1		User admin: Deleted Vote Center (3): Id 1150 Label Provisional
21	1188898802	1		User admin: Deleted Vote Center (4): Id 1160 Label Mail Ballots
22	1188898807	1		User admin: Deleted Vote Center (5): Id 1180 Label Absentee
23	1188898865	1		User admin: Changed Race options
24	1188898904	1		User admin: Changed Ballot Style options
25	1188898917	1		User admin: Changed Ballot Style options
26	1188898956	1		User admin: Changed AccuVote-OS parameters
27	1188898985	1		User admin: Changed AccuVote-OS parameters
28	1188899008	1		User admin: Backed up election to C:\Program Files\GEMS\Backup\Template\Udel Template.gbf
29	1188899055	1		User admin: Delete Vote Center Category (1): Id 20, Label Absentee Vote Center
30	1188899055	1		User admin: Changed CounterGroup (0): Id 10, Label Polling
31	1188899055	1		User admin: Delete CounterGroup (1): Id 20, Label Absentee
32	1188899087	1		User admin: Backed up election to C:\Program Files\GEMS\Backup\Template\Udel Template.gbf
33	1188899117	1		User admin: Election title changed
34	1188899133	1		User admin: Backed up election to C:\Program Files\GEMS\Backup\Template\Udel Template.gbf
35	1189068695	1		User admin: Changed AccuVote-OS parameters
36	1195255525	1		User admin: Loaded Election

Record: 1 of 4736 | No Filter | Search

Datasheet View | Num Lock

EXHIBIT

B

Gmail

Exhibit B

Matt Bernhard <mdb92nc@gmail.com>

CONFIDENTIAL Revised notes about today

1 message

Matt Bernhard <mdb92nc@gmail.com> Mon, Jan 14, 2019 at 8:48 PM
To: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>

Hi Bruce,

I just wanted to recap what happened at English Street this morning as well as discuss steps moving forward.

The gist is that the state say that they didn't interpret "internal memory" accurately, and were unwilling to create copies to allow us to perform the inspection of the internal memory without first consulting with counsel.

Below is a detailed description of our time at English Street:

After we signed the confidentiality agreements, we went into the warehouse with Michael Barnes, Ryan Germany, Cheryl Ringer, Kevin Rayburn, Rick Barron, Derek Gilstrap. Ronnie Martin inquired as to why the SoS people were there now that they are no longer party to the lawsuit, and Ms. Ringer informed us that the SoS owns all the equipment and is therefore entitled to be there.

We then discussed how they were accommodating our request to inspect the internal machine memory, and Mr. Barnes (from the SOS office) said they intended to create a copy of the election archive for the November on each machine. I informed them that that is not an accurate interpretation of "examination of the internal memory."

Mr. Barnes said that he did not know how to give us access to the internal memory without ripping out the flash chips. I informed him about the JTAG process I have used in the past on TSx machines, and informed him, the SoS lawyers, and Ms. Ringer that I would be happy to provide them with all commands that I would run ahead of time. Someone (I think Mr. Rayburn) inquired as to whether using JTAG created a security vulnerability, and I told him that it can, but we would be happy for the state or Fulton county to provide the adapter and the laptop on which the copies would be made, and to let an independent inspector observe the process. Obviously, if the state or the county performs these processes as intended then there are fewer security risks, and I strongly prefer that outcome.

Then we went over to the GEMS server and Mr. Gilstrap started copying the reports in the court order to CD. We got all reports except the Ballot Image Report. Mr. Barnes explained that to generate the Ballot Image Report, Mr. Gilstrap would have to manually create over 2,000 reports, as the GEMS software does not support doing this in batches. Essentially, it stores a mapping of precinct->memory card->ballots, and reports can only be generated by selecting each memory card, of which there are 2,084 (I believe). We obtained two ballot image reports and said we'd discuss this with you prior to proceeding. Mr. Gilstrap burned me a CD with four standard reports, which I read and sent over to you later today. Obtaining the GEMS database would be equivalent to all of the above steps, and far more elegant, as it wouldn't require the county to spend countless hours generating Ballot Image Reports for every voting machine in the county.

Then we went over to inspect the machines. All machines that were selected for inspection were TS machines. All but one were still sealed (apparently the seal broke off of one of the Grady High School machines, but I did not record its serial number). The County said that all of the machines were used in the December election, which will impair the value of forensic information we can obtain from them. I decided to contact you about the memory inspection prior to doing the physical inspection, as I didn't feel it made sense to unseal each machine, physically examine it, reseal it, and then do it all again tomorrow after they make copies of the memory. I also did not want to power on the machines, further altering the evidence, until we were sure that the county had made copies of each machine.

I should note that even if we solve the internal memory copying problem with the county, I don't currently have an estimate of how long it would take to copy the memory from each machine. My best estimate is that it will take several hours per machine, and it is not possible to copy more than one at a time unless there are multiple people and multiple laptops, adapters, and so forth for conducting the process. After copying, the other experts and I will need to analyze what has been copied, and that will take possibly weeks to do a thorough analysis of them all. Obviously, even if we start copying the internal memory tomorrow, there is no way we will have any meaningful results prior to the trial scheduled for Thursday.

If you have further questions please let me know.

-Matt

EXHIBIT C

Gmail

Exhibit C

Matt Bernhard <mdb92nc@gmail.com>

CONFIDENTIAL Protocol for examining internal memory

Matt Bernhard <mdb92nc@gmail.com> Mon, Jan 14, 2019 at 6:44 PM
To: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>, Marilyn Marks <marilyn@aspenoffice.com>

Bruce and Marilyn,

Below is a summary of the materials and procedures needed to non-destructively examine the internal memory of both the TS and the TSx.

Materials

For the TS, we will need an EEPROM chip with a program that will instruct the machine to copy its flash contents to a compac flash card (of the same kind used to program and store election data). I can provide the chips by tomorrow evening, or the defendants can provide their own.

For the TSX, a JTAG adapter and a copy of OpenOCD will be needed. Again I can provide both, or the defendants can supply their own.

Procedures

For the TS, after removing the case from the machine to get access to the motherboard, we will remove the existing EEPROM a chip and replace it with our own. We will then set jumpers 2 and 8 to "on" and switch 2 to "1" and switch 4 to "2", all on the motherboard. Booting the machine in this configuration will run the program on our EEPROM chip and copy data to an installed CF card. Once the copy is complete, we will reset the jumpers and switches and put the original EEPROM chip back. This process will likely take about an hour per machine, but I will test it tomorrow once I have materials and verify.

For the TSX, we will remove the case and attach our JTAG adapter. We will then power on the machine and issue a "reset and halt" command in OpenOCD through the connected laptop. Then we will run the "flash probe" command to ensure the state of the machines memory, and then the "read image" command to copy the internal memory to a file on the laptop. We will then unplug the JTAG adapter and reboot the machine. This process will likely take an hour and a half per machine.

-Matt

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDLW
Date: 1/16/2019 2:11 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, Plaintiffs, v. ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al., Defendants.	Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

PLAINTIFFS' DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 21-2-526, Plaintiffs demand a trial by jury.

Respectfully submitted this 15th day of January, 2019.

/s/ Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Georgia Bar No. 064460
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com
Bruce P. Brown Law LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE, Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700

Attorney for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing via the Court's e-filing system to all counsel of record.

This 16th day of January, 2019.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDLS
Date: 1/16/2019 10:48 AM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, *et al.*, Plaintiffs, v. BRAD RAFFENSPERGER, Secretary of State of Georgia, *et al.*, Defendants.	Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

DEFENDANT GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS' RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO COMPEL

Defendant Gwinnett County Board of Registrations and Elections (Gwinnett BORE) opposes Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel for all the reasons previously stated in its opposition to Plaintiffs' prior motions seeking a continuance. In addition, while Defendant Gwinnett BORE does not have any DREs to inspect under the Court's Order, the Court should deny Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel regarding the GEMS database because is not a motion to compel—it is a motion for a reconsideration of the Court's prior order regarding discovery. The Court specified that only five reports from the GEMS database should be provided, recognizing the confidentiality of the GEMS database, as explained by the Court of Appeals in *Smith v. DeKalb County*, 288 Ga. App. 574 (2007). Plaintiffs now again seek the entire GEMS database in their purported motion to compel.

This Court has already resolved what information Plaintiffs are entitled to receive from the GEMS database. Titling their motion a "motion to compel" does not change the

fact that Plaintiffs are requesting a reconsideration of the Court's prior order. This Court should deny Plaintiffs' Motion and this case should proceed to trial.

This 16th day of January, 2019.

/s/ Bryan P. Tyson
Frank B. Strickland
Georgia Bar No. 687600
fbs@sbllaw.net
Bryan P. Tyson
Georgia Bar No. 515411
bpt@sbllaw.net
Anne W. Lewis
Georgia Bar No. 737490
awl@sbllaw.net
STRICKLAND BROCKINGTON
LEWIS LLP
Midtown Proscenium Suite 2200
1170 Peachtree Street NE
Atlanta, GA 30309
(678) 347-2200

Richard A. Carothers
Georgia Bar No. 111075
richard.carothers@carmitch.com
Brian R. Dempsey
Georgia Bar No. 217596
Brian.dempsey@carmitch.com
CAROTHERS & MITCHELL, LLC
1809 Buford Highway
Buford, GA 30518
(770) 932-3552

Attorneys for the Gwinnett County Board of Registrations and Elections

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, *et al.*, Plaintiffs, v. BRAD RAFFENSPERGER, Secretary of State of Georgia, *et al.*, Defendants.	Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day electronically filed the foregoing DEFENDANT GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS' RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO COMPEL with the Clerk of Court using the Odyssey E-Filing system which will provide automatic notification of such filing to all counsel of record.

This 16th day of January, 2019.

/s/ Bryan P. Tyson
Bryan P. Tyson
Georgia Bar No. 515411

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDLS
Date: 1/16/2019 4:58 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, *et al*	) Civil Action File No. 2018CV313418
Petitioner,	)
v.	)
ROBYN A CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, *et al*	)
Defendant	)

FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS' RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO PETITIONERS' DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

COMES NOW, Fulton County Board of Registrations and Elections ("FCBRE"), and hereby responds to Petitioners' Demand for Jury Trial, showing as follows:

On December 5, 2018, the Court entered an Order setting the final hearing in this case for January 17, 2019. O.C.GA. § 21-2-525(a) provides that within 20 days after the return date, the Court set a date for trial of the matter and that the case be decided promptly and expeditiously.

After refusing to participate in discovery, as directed in the Court's Order of January 11, 2019, Petitioners filed a Motion for Continuance on January 14, 2019. After being notified that the continuance would be denied, Petitioners filed a Motion to Compel and for Additional Discovery. In its Order of January 16, 2018, the Court notified the parties that the Motion to Compel would not continue the case and the trial would proceed as ordered on January 17, 2019.

Petitioners are now attempting to continue this case by filing their jury demand at the eleventh hour. Petitioners demand recites in its entirety: "Pursuant to O.C.GA. § 21-2-526, Plaintiffs demand a trial by jury."

O.C.GA. § 21-2-526 (a) provides:

> All issues of a contest shall be fully tried and determined by the court without the aid and intervention of a jury, unless a litigant to the contest shall demand a trial by jury at any time prior to the call of the case; and ***the court shall determine that it is an issue which under other laws of this state the litigant is entitled to have tried by a jury***. Upon such determination, a jury shall be impaneled and the cause shall proceed according to the practice and procedure of the court in jury cases. (Emphasis added).

Pursuant to provision, Petitioner must demonstrate there is an issue for a jury to determine. In this instance, Petitioner has made no such assertion or showing. It is in the Court's discretion to deny a jury trial in an election contest when there is no issue which entitles a litigant to a jury. *See Taggart v. Phillips*, 242 Ga. 454 (1978).

> "[U]nlike other situations where demand alone is sufficient, here there are two requirements: 1) demand, and 2) a determination that there are issues which under other laws of this State the litigant is entitled to have tried by a jury."

Henderson v. County Bd. of Registration and Elections, 126 Ga. App. 280 (1972). Because Petitioners have made no such showing, Petitioners are not entitled to a jury trial.

Further, if there were to be a jury trial of the statewide election contest involved in this case, which is based on alleged "machine malfunctions and irregularities from all corners of the state" [January 11, 2019 Brief in Support of Proposed Order Regarding Inspection of Equipment at p. 6]. There would presumably be the need for juries to be impaneled from multiple if not all 159 counties of the State of Georgia.

O.C.GA. § 21-2-52. (b) provides:

> (b) In a case contesting the result of a primary or election held in two or more counties, ***each issue to be tried by a jury shall be tried by a jury impaneled in the county where such issue or a part thereof arose.*** Such jury shall be impaneled by the superior court of the county in which the jury trial is to be conducted; such trial shall be presided over by the judge as described in Code Section 21-2-523; and such trial shall proceed, insofar as practicable, as though it were being conducted in the county of the superior court having jurisdiction of the contest. (Emphasis added).

Petitioner has made numerous assertions regarding alleged irregularities in counties other than those who are parties to this case. Consequently, multiple juries would need to be impaneled to try any jury issues, if any exist. The interests of finality in elections require that challenges to elections be resolved in an expeditious manner. As is abundantly clear in the Election Code, a contest is not treated as an ordinary civil action. The procedure is designed for expeditious and timely resolution of election issues. Petitioner's demand for jury is unsupported by evidence or argument that there exists an issue that entitles it to a jury trial; thus, because the interests of justice require an expedient resolution, the Court should deny Petitioners' jury demand.

Accordingly, the Court should deny Plaintiffs' Demand for Jury Trial and this case should proceed to final hearing on January 17, 2019 as ordered.

Respectfully submitted this 16th day of January, 2019.

OFFICE OF THE FULTON COUNTY ATTORNEY
Patrise Perkins-Hooker
Georgia Bar No. 572358

/s/ Kaye Woodard Burwell
Kaye Woodard Burwell
Georgia Bar No. 775060
Kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov

Cheryl Melissa Ann Ringer
Georgia Bar No. 557420
Cheryl.ringer@fultoncountyga.gov
David Lowman
Georgia Bar No. 460298
David.lowman@fultoncountyga.gov
Attorneys for Defendant Fulton County BRE

141 Pryor Street, S.W.
Suite 4038
Atlanta, Georgia 30303
Office: (404) 612-0246
Fax: (404)730-6540

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, *et al*	) Civil Action File No. 2018CV313418
Petitioner,	)
v.	)
ROBYN A CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, *et al*	)
Defendant	)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that on this day, I have caused to be electronically filed the foregoing **FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS' RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO PETITIONERS' DEMAND FIOR JURY TRIAL** with the Fulton County Superior Court using the Odyssey efile GA system which will provide automatic notification of this filing to all counsel of record.

This 16th day of January, 2019.

/s/ Kaye Woodard Burwell
Kaye Woodard Burwell
Georgia Bar No. 775050

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDLS
Date: 1/16/2019 3:36 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, *et al*	)
	) Civil Action File No. 2018CV313418
Petitioner,	)
v.	)
ROBYN A CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, *et al*	)
Defendant	)

FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS' RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO PETITIONERS' MOTION TO COMPEL

COMES NOW, Fulton County Board of Registrations and Elections ("FCBRE"), and hereby responds to Petitioner's Motion to Compel and for Additional Discovery, showing as follows:

FACTS

Petitioners filed an Emergency Motion for Inspection on November 29, 2018, Petitioners waited until January 9, 2019 to advance this request. On January 10, 2019, Petitioners' counsel proposed a protocol for inspection that was abandoned once the Court entered its January 11, 2019 Order, which denied much of what Petitioners' proposed protocol sought to include. On January 11, 2019, this Court entered an Order providing Petitioners with certain access. Petitioners are not satisfied with the breadth and depth of that access. The Order sets out three specific requirements for the parties to follow related to this discovery. (Order at 5).

Per the Order, Defendants are to provide Plaintiffs with GEMS reports maintained by Defendants (Order at 5.) Plaintiffs are also entitled to "inspect the DRE machines in post-election mode, using post-election memory cards that were used in the voting locations identified by Plaintiffs in the Petition, paragraphs 40, 41, 44, 45, and 46." (Order at 6.) Further, "Plaintiffs may also examine the internal memory storage of each such DRE unit." (Order at 6.) But Plaintiffs cannot "in any way damage the DRE machines or information contained therein ... [or] copy, image, save, or retain the DRE machines or the information contained therein ... [or] upload or introduce any information into the DRE machines." (Order at 6.) "Destructive testing shall not be permitted." (Order at 6.) The Court also ruled that the parties must enter into a protective order.[1]

In response to the Order and after consulting with Plaintiff's Counsel, Defendant Fulton County Board of Registration and Elections ("Fulton County") made the DRE units for the voting locations identified in paragraphs 41, 44, and 46 of Plaintiffs' Petition available on January 14, 2019. This required FCBRE staff to not only locate and retrieve the DRE units, but also locate the sealed memory cards for each machine before the inspection could commence.

In conjunction with the Secretary of State, FCBRE proposed a protocol for examination of the internal memory storage on the DRE units (the majority of such units are property of the State of Georgia). To access the election result file stored in the internal memory of a DRE, a new memory card containing (1) the election ID and (2) blank ballot information for the election corresponding to the election results being recovered from the internal memory must be inserted into a DRE unit. This allows the DRE unit to locate the specific archive file in its internal

[1] This Court also decided, via an electronic mail sent to all counsel that any discovery issues should be brought to the Court's attention via email or conference call. (Email from Judge Adele Grubbs to Counsel, Jan. 11, 2019 ("Jan. 11, 2019 Email").)

memory storage. The archive file is then extracted from the internal memory and saved to the inserted memory card. Thus, the inserted memory card would contain the election information extracted from the DRE's internal memory storage. The internal memory for the election can then be viewed through the DRE. This protocol tracks the normal process for recovering election data stored on the internal memory of a DRE unit, and it does not damage the DRE machines or otherwise require imaging or the introduction of any information into the DRE machines.

On January 14, 2019, Plaintiffs' representative proposed a vastly different protocol for examining the DRE machines. Specifically, Plaintiffs rely on the statement of Matt Bernhard, a proffered expert for the Coalition for Good Governance, a nonparty. Bernhard demanded that the counties remove the outside case on the DRE machines and to insert outside computer chips or adapters into the machines before imaging the machines. Thus, Plaintiffs would not only extract but they would alter, even temporarily, the DRE machines hardware. In fact, Plaintiffs' protocol requires altering the motherboard on certain models of DRE units (TS models), installing new computer chips (EEPROM chips) on those DRE units, and then imaging the DRE units. (Id.) For other models of DRE machines in circulation (TSx models), Plaintiffs' protocol requires an outside adapter (JTAG adapter) to be connected to the DRE unit, a "flash probe" run on the DRE unit, and then an image of the internal memory copied to a laptop. (Id.) These procedures are not confined to an examination of the internal memory for the November 6, 2018 election, but instead copy everything on the DRE unit, including information for past elections and critical files related to the architecture of the machines. (Id.)[2]

[2] The Order says that Plaintiffs may not "copy, image, save or retain the DRE machines or the information contained therein." (Order at 6.).

When Defendant FCBRE offered to allow Plaintiffs to inspect the DRE machines as the Secretary of State suggested, Plaintiffs refused. Thus, Plaintiffs have not inspected any DRE machines, despite the fact that Defendant FCBRE made them available. Instead, PlaintiffS filed a Motion for Continuance with this Court, and have now filed a Motion to Compel and for Additional Discovery.

Because Plaintiffs seek to obtain information and use methods specifically prohibited by the Court's Order, their Motion to Compel should be denied.

ARGUMENT AND CITATION OF AUTHORITY

As an initial matter, Plaintiffs Motion for Continuance is improper and in direct contradiction of the Court's instructions. The Court stated that any discovery issues should be brought to the Court's attention by email or conference call, not by motion. (Jan. 11, 2019 Email.) The Court should deny Plaintiffs' motion for failure to properly submit this issue to the Court.

The interests of finality in elections require that challenges to elections be resolved in an expeditious manner. As is abundantly clear in the Election Code, a contest is not treated as an ordinary civil action. The procedure is designed for expeditious and timely resolution of election issues. Thus, the election contest statute does not provide for discovery. It does, however, provide for the prompt resolution of a contest—within 20 days of answer. O.C.G.A. §21-2-525(a). The hearing date of January 17, 2019 complies with O.C.G.A. §21-2-525(a). Petitioners moved for a continuance of this case, and when the Court did not grant it, they have now moved to compel discovery that is outside the scope of the Court's Order and move for additional discovery. This motion should be denied as Defendant FCBRE, at all times, complied with the

Order in providing the GEMS Reports and allowing access to the identified machines as directed by the Court's Order.

The Secretary of State has offered and FCBRE has been willing to follow a protocol that is consistent with the Order. Plaintiffs would have access to each identified voting machine's archive file from the internal memory storage. They could also view the election information on each machine. This should allow Plaintiffs the ability to test their claims, without damaging or altering the DRE machines. It certainly is more consistent with the Order than Plaintiffs' proposal, which expressly calls for prohibited copying and alters the DRE machine hardware.

Finally, FCBRE joins Defendant Gwinnett County Board of Registration and Elections argument that the Court should deny Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel regarding the GEMS database because it is not a motion to compel—it is a motion for a reconsideration of the Court's prior order regarding discovery. The Court specified that only five reports from the GEMS database should be provided, recognizing the confidentiality of the GEMS database, as explained by the Court of Appeals in *Smith v. DeKalb County*, 288 Ga. App. 574 (2007). Plaintiffs now again seek the entire GEMS database in their purported motion to compel. This Court has already resolved what information Plaintiffs are entitled to receive from the GEMS database. Titling their motion a "motion to compel" does not change the fact that Plaintiffs are requesting a reconsideration of the Court's prior order. This Court should deny Plaintiffs' Motion and this case should proceed to trial.

Respectfully submitted this 16th day of January, 2019.

OFFICE OF THE FULTON COUNTY ATTORNEY
Patrise Perkins-Hooker
Georgia Bar No. 572358

/s/ Kaye Woodard Burwell
Kaye Woodard Burwell
Georgia Bar No. 775060
Kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov
Cheryl Melissa Ann Ringer
Georgia Bar No. 557420
Cheryl.ringer@fultoncountyga.gov
David Lowman
Georgia Bar No. 460298
David.lowman@fultoncountyga.gov
Attorneys for Defendant Fulton County BRE

141 Pryor Street, S.W.
Suite 4038
Atlanta, Georgia 30303
Office: (404) 612-0246
Fax: (404)730-6540

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, *et al*	)
	) Civil Action File No. 2018CV313418
Petitioner,	)
v.	)
ROBYN A CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, *et al*	)
Defendant	)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that on this day, I have caused to be electronically filed the foregoing **FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS' RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO PETITIONERS' MOTION TO COMPEL** with the Fulton County Superior Court using the Odyssey efile GA system which will provide automatic notification of this filing to all counsel of record.

This 16th day of January, 2019.

/s/ Kaye Woodard Burwell
Kaye Woodard Burwell
Georgia Bar No. 775050

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDQW
Date: 1/16/2019 9:19 AM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, et al., **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV313418**

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO COMPEL AND ORDERING PRODUCTION OF GEMS DATABASE

Plaintiffs have moved to compel Defendants to comply with this Court's January 11, 2019 Order on Pending Motions by allowing Plaintiffs to examine the internal memory of the subject DRE units. Good cause having been shown, the Motion is GRANTED, and Defendants are ordered to immediately comply with this Court's Order by allowing this access.

Plaintiffs has also moved for an order compelling Defendants to produce their county's GEMS database. Good causing having been shown, this motion also

is GRANTED, and Defendants are ordered to produce, immediately, their county's GEMS database.

SO ORDERED this __ day of January, 2019.

_____
Honorable Adele P. Grubbs
Senior Judge, State of Georgia

Prepared by: /s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Counsel for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing via the Court's e-filing system to all counsel of record.

This 15th day of January, 2019.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown

Coalition for Good Governance

v.

Robyn Critchlow, et. al.

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDQW
Date: 1/16/2019 11:58 AM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

CASE NO. 2018CV313418

REQUEST TO USE A RECORDING DEVICE PURSUANT TO RULE 22 ON RECORDING OF JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS.

Pursuant to Rule 22 of the Uniform Rules for Superior Court regarding Use of Electronic Devices in Courtrooms and Recording of Judicial Proceedings, the undersigned hereby requests permission to use a recording device in Courtroom 2000 in order to record images and/or sound during (all) (the following portions) of the proceedings in the above captioned case/calendar.

Consistent with the provisions of the rule, the undersigned desires to use the following described recording device(s): iPhone. The proceedings that the undersigned desires to record commence on (1/17/19). Subject to direction from the court regarding possible pooled coverage, the undersigned wishes to use this device in the courtroom on (1/17/19). The personnel who will be responsible for the use of this recording device are: (Jordan Wilkie).

The undersigned hereby certifies that the device to be used and the locations and operation of such device will be in conformity with Rule 22 and any guidelines issued by the court.

The undersigned understands and acknowledges that a violation of Rule 22 and any guidelines issued by the court may be grounds for removal or exclusion from the courtroom and a willful violation may subject the undersigned to penalties for contempt of court.

This 15 day of January, 2019.

Jordan Wilkie
(Individual Signature)

(Representing/Firm)

Journalist
(Position)

APPROVED: _____

_____
Senior Judge, Superior Court

_____ Judicial Circuit

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDQW
Date: 1/16/2019 10:30 AM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY

STATE OF GEORGIA

Rhonda Martin, Smythe Duval, Jeanne Dufort	*	
	*	CIVIL ACTION
Plaintiffs,	*	FILE NO.: 2018CV313418
vs.	*	
	*	
Geoff Duncan.	*	
Fulton County Board of Registration	*	
and Elections,	*	
Gwinnett county Board of Registration	*	
And Elections	*	
	*	
Defendants.	*	
	*	

ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFFS'MOTION TO CONTINUE

The Plaintiffs having filed a Motion to Continue the above styled case, and the Defendants having individually responded:

It is hereby ordered as follows:

1.

This is an election contest case in which the Plaintiffs filed suit contesting the result of the 2018 election for Lieutenant Governor for the State of Georgia as being illegal and therefore incorrect. The Petition was filed on November 23, 2018.

2.

At the hearing on December 5, 2018 the Court set the scheduling order for the case, including Motions for January 9th 2019 and trial for January 17th 2019. O.C.G..A. Section 21-2-525(a) provides that within 20days after the return date the Court set a date for trial of the matter and that the case be decided promptly and expeditiously.

3.

The Plaintiffs have the burden of going forward. The notice of trial is reasonable. The Plaintiffs' Motion to continue the case is denied.

4

At the hearing on January 9th, 2019 the Court orally pronounced its ruling as to discovery. The order was entered on January 11th, 2019. The Court said that it would handle discovery disputes by e mail or conference call. None were made.

5

The Court notified the parties that the case would not be continued two hours ago. Since that notification the Plaintiffs have filed a Motion to compel and sought additional grounds for a continuance. That Motion does not continue this case.

The trial of this case will go forward on January 17th, 2019 at 9:00 a.m.as was ordered on December 5th, 2018.

SO ORDERED this 16 day of January **2019**

JUDGE ADELE P. GRUBBS
Senior Judge , State of Georgia

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE is attached.

I will enter an Order denying the Motion to Continue today. The case remains on for trial tomorrow as scheduled. I wanted to give all of you notice of my decision as early as possible.

Adele Grubbs

Senior Judge
[Quoted text hidden]

Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com> Wed, Jan 16, 2019 at 10:16 AM
To: Bryan Tyson <bpt@sbllaw.net>
Cc: "Burwell, Kaye" <Kaye.Burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>, Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>, Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>, Laila Tehrani <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.com>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, Vincent Russo <VRusso@robbinsfirm.com>, Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "Perkins-Hooker, Patrise" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, "Ringer, Cheryl" <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>

Counsel,

Attached please find the order denying the continuance I have signed today.

Adele Grubbs
Senior Judge
[Quoted text hidden]

Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com> Wed, Jan 16, 2019 at 10:16 AM
To: Bryan Tyson <bpt@sbllaw.net>
Cc: "Burwell, Kaye" <Kaye.Burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>, Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>, Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>, Laila Tehrani <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.com>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, Vincent Russo <VRusso@robbinsfirm.com>, Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "Perkins-Hooker, Patrise" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, "Ringer, Cheryl" <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>

See Attached
[Quoted text hidden]

20190116101338.pdf
44K

Coalition for Good Governance
v.
Robyn Crittenden, et. al.

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDQW
Date: 1/16/2019 11:58 AM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

(STYLE OF CASE/CALENDAR) CASE NO. 2018CV313418

REQUEST TO USE A RECORDING DEVICE PURSUANT TO RULE 22 ON RECORDING OF JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS.

Pursuant to Rule 22 of the Uniform Rules for Superior Court regarding Use of Electronic Devices in Courtrooms and Recording of Judicial Proceedings, the undersigned hereby requests permission to use a recording device in Courtroom 2000 in order to record images and/or sound during (all) (the following portions) of the proceedings in the above captioned case/calendar.

Consistent with the provisions of the rule, the undersigned desires to use the following described recording device(s): Video + Audio recorders. The proceedings that the undersigned desires to record commence on (date). Subject to direction from the court regarding possible pooled coverage, the undersigned wishes to use this device in the courtroom on (date). The personnel who will be responsible for the use of this recording device are: (identify appropriate personnel).

The undersigned hereby certifies that the device to be used and the locations and operation of such device will be in conformity with Rule 22 and any guidelines issued by the court.

The undersigned understands and acknowledges that a violation of Rule 22 and any guidelines issued by the court may be grounds for removal or exclusion from the courtroom and a willful violation may subject the undersigned to penalties for contempt of court.

This 17th day of January 2019.

George Ballom
(Individual Signature)

Me
(Representing/Firm)

Concerned Citizen
(Position)

GA005730

APPROVED: _____

_____
Senior Judge, Superior Court

_____ Judicial Circuit

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDLW
Date: 1/17/2019 9:27 AM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY

STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT,	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	
	)	CIVIL ACTION
v.	)	
	)	FILE NO. 2018CV313418
ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS, GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, DEKALB COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, and GEOFF DUNCAN,	)	
Defendants.	)	

DEFENDANTS GEOFF DUNCAN AND FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS AND AMICUS CURIAE SECRETARY OF STATE BRAD RAFFENSPERGER'S RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS' JURY DEMAND

COMES NOW, Defendants Geoff Duncan ("Duncan") and Gwinnett County Board of Registration and Elections ("Gwinnett BRE"), and amicus curiae Brad Raffensperger, in his official capacity as Secretary of State of the State of Georgia ("Secretary") (collectively "Government Entities"), by and through counsel, and respectfully responds to Plaintiffs' Demand for a Jury Trial.

The Government Entities join the Fulton County Board of Registrations and Elections' ("Fulton County BRE") Opposition to Plaintiffs' Demand for a Jury Trial. The Government Entities write separately only to add that, yet again, Plaintiffs have failed to meet their statutory burden.

As the Fulton County BRE points out, there is no right to a jury trial in election cases. Henderson v. Cty. Bd. of Registration & Elections, 126 Ga. App. 280, 284 (1972). The burden is on the party demanding a jury to demonstrate that there are issues for which a jury is necessary, and this Court is empowered with discretion to decide the issue. See id. at 286-87; O.C.G.A. § 21-2-526(a).

Plaintiffs have failed to even attempt to make the requisite showing. Instead, Plaintiffs filed a one-page demand for a jury trial without any argument or proffered facts. This falls well short of their burden, and this Court should deny Plaintiffs' jury demand as the courts did in Henderson and Fuller v. Thomas, 284 Ga. 397 (2008). In both of those cases, the Supreme Court affirmed the superior courts' decisions.

CONCLUSION

Plaintiffs' attempts to delay this proceeding by re-arguing discovery motions and, at the eleventh hour, seeking a jury trial should be rejected by this Court. Plaintiffs have sat on their hands and failed to litigate this case with the diligence that a prompt decision requires. For these reasons, the reasons outlined in the

Fulton County BRE response, and as set forth above, the Government Entities request that this Court DENY Plaintiffs' Demand for a Jury Trial.

This 17th day of January, 2019.

/s/ Edward H. Lindsey, Jr. (w/ express permission)
Edward H. Lindsey, Jr.
Georgia Bar No. 453075
Samuel S. Olens
Georgia Bar No. 551540

Dentons US LLP
333 Peachtree Street, N.E.
Suite 5300
Atlanta, Georgia 30308
Telephone: (404) 527-4000
Facsimile: (404) 527-4198
Attorneys for Lieutenant Governor Geoff Duncan

/s/ Bryan P. Tyson (w/ express permission)
Frank B. Strickland
Georgia Bar No. 687600
Bryan P. Tyson
Georgia Bar No. 515411
Anne W. Lewis
Georgia Bar No. 737490

STRICKLAND BROCKINGTON
LEWIS LLP
Midtown Proscenium Suite 2200
1170 Peachtree Street NE
Atlanta, Georgia 30309
Telephone: (678) 347-2200

Richard A. Carothers
Georgia Bar No. 111075
Brian R. Dempsey
Georgia Bar No. 217596

CAROTHERS & MITCHELL, LLC
1809 Buford Highway
Buford, Georgia 30518
Telephone: (770) 932-3552
Attorneys for the Gwinnett County Board of Registrations and Elections

Christopher M. Carr
Attorney General
Georgia Bar No. 112505
Annette M. Cowart
Deputy Attorney General
Georgia Bar No. 191199
Russell D. Willard
Senior Assistant Attorney General
Georgia Bar No. 760280

State Law Department
40 Capitol Square, S.W.
Atlanta, GA 30334
Telephone: (404) 656-3357

/s/ Josh Belinfante
Josh Belinfante
Georgia Bar No: 047399
jbelinfante@robbinsfirm.com
Vincent R. Russo
Georgia Bar No. 242628
vrusso@robbinsfirm.com
Brian E. Lake
Georgia Bar No. 575966
blake@robbinsfirm.com
Alexander Denton
Georgia Bar No. 660632
adenton@robbinsfirm.com
Special Assistant Attorneys General

ROBBINS ROSS ALLOY BELINFANTE LITTLEFIELD LLC
500 14th Street, N.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30318
Telephone: (678) 701-9381
Facsimile: (404) 856-3250

Attorneys for Defendant Brad Raffensperger, Secretary of State of Georgia

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this day filed the foregoing **DEFENDANTS GEOFF DUNCAN AND FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS AND AMICUS CURIAE SECRETARY OF STATE BRAD RAFFENSPERGER'S IN OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS' JURY DEMAND** by electronically filing it with the Clerk of the Court using the Odyssey eFileGA system, which will automatically send an email notification of such filing to counsel for the parties.

This 17th day of January, 2019.

/s/ Josh Belinfante
Josh Belinfante

Coalition for Good Governance 2018 CV 313418

v.

Robyn Crittenden

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDQW
Date: 1/17/2019 1:57 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY STATE OF GEORGIA

Coalition for Good Governance et al v. Crittenden
2018CV313418

REQUEST TO USE A RECORDING DEVICE PURSUANT TO RULE 22 ON RECORDING OF JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS.

Pursuant to Rule 22 of the Uniform Rules for Superior Court regarding Use of Electronic Devices in Courtrooms and Recording of Judicial Proceedings, the undersigned hereby requests permission to bring into **the courtroom of Senior Superior Court Judge Adele Grubbs and use the following devices** during all of the proceedings in the above captioned case.

Consistent with the provisions of the rule, the undersigned desires to use the following described recording device(s): **a personal laptop and a personal cell phone.** The proceedings that the undersigned desires to record commence on **Jan. 17**. Subject to direction from the court regarding possible pooled coverage, the undersigned wishes to use this device in the courtroom on **Jan. 17 and Jan. 18 forward if the trial lasts longer than a day**. The personnel who will be responsible for the use of these recording devices **is R. Robin McDonald, staff writer, The Daily Report, Atlanta**.

The undersigned hereby certifies that the device to be used and the locations and operation of such device will be in conformity with Rule 22 and any guidelines issued by the court.

The undersigned understands and acknowledges that a violation of Rule 22 and any guidelines issued by the court may be grounds for removal or exclusion from the

courtroom and a willful violation may subject the undersigned to penalties for contempt of court.

This **14th day of January, 2019.**

R. Robin McDonald

Staff Writer

The Daily Report (404-358-2103: cell phone)
APPROVED: ✓



Judge, Superior Court

Stone Judicial Circuit

Coalition For Good Government

v.

Robyn Critenden

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDQW
Date: 1/17/2019 1:57 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

CASE NO. 2018 CV 313418

REQUEST TO USE A RECORDING DEVICE PURSUANT TO RULE 22 ON RECORDING OF JUDICIAL PROCEEDINGS.

Pursuant to Rule 22 of the Uniform Rules for Superior Court regarding Use of Electronic Devices in Courtrooms and Recording of Judicial Proceedings, the undersigned hereby requests permission to use a recording device in Courtroom ____ in order to record images and/or sound during (all) (the following portions) of the proceedings in the above captioned case/calendar.

Consistent with the provisions of the rule, the undersigned desires to use the following described recording device(s): laptop / smartphone. The proceedings that the undersigned desires to record commence on (date). Subject to direction from the court regarding possible pooled coverage, the undersigned wishes to use this device in the courtroom on (date). The personnel who will be responsible for the use of this recording device are: (identify appropriate personnel).

The undersigned hereby certifies that the device to be used and the locations and operation of such device will be in conformity with Rule 22 and any guidelines issued by the court.

The undersigned understands and acknowledges that a violation of Rule 22 and any guidelines issued by the court may be grounds for removal or exclusion from the courtroom and a willful violation may subject the undersigned to penalties for contempt of court.

This 17 day of Jan, 2019

Maya T. Prabhu
(Individual Signature)

The Atlanta Journal-Constitution
(Representing/Firm)

Reporter
(Position)

Maya T. Prabhu

APPROVED: _____

_____
Senior Judge, Superior Court

_____ Judicial Circuit

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDLW
Date: 1/18/2019 3:34 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV313418**

AFFIDAVIT OF BRUCE P. BROWN

Appeared before me, the undersigned officer duly authorized to administered oaths, Bruce P. Brown who, after being sworn, states as follows:

1. I represent the Plaintiffs in this action. I am qualified to give this affidavit, which is based on my personal knowledge.

2. Attached hereto are true and correct copies of emails and pleadings in this case:

A. Email from Bruce P. Brown to Judge Grubbs dated January 10, 2019, with attached Plaintiffs' Proposed Order;

B. Plaintiffs' Brief in Support of Proposed Order Regarding Inspection of Certain Equipment dated June 11, 2019.

C. Email from Bruce P. Brown to Judge Grubbs dated June 11, 2019.

D. Email from Judge Grubbs to Counsel dated June 11, 2019.

E. Email from Bruce P. Brown to Kay Burwell dated January 13, 2019.

F. Email from Bruce P. Brown to Kaye Burwell and Cheryl Ringer dated January 14, 2019.

G. Email from Patrick Jaugstetter to Bruce P. Brown dated January 15, 2019.

H. Email from Ryan Germany to Patrick Jaugstetter dated January 14, 2019, received from Secretary's counsel on January 15, 2019.

Further Affiant sayeth not.

Bruce P. Brown

Sworn to and subscribed before me:
On this 18 day of Jan, 2019

Notary Public, State of Georgia
My Commission Expires: 5/16/22

SEAL

CHUNDRA RAMBERT
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES
NOTARY
PUBLIC
MAY 16, 2022
ROCKDALE COUNTY, GEORGIA

EXHIBIT A

Subject: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Date: Thursday, January 10, 2019 at 12:12:36 PM Eastern Standard Time

From: Bruce Brown

To: Adele Grubbs

CC: laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net, richard.carothers@carmitch.com, sue@carmitch.com, brian.dempsey@carmitch.com, bpt@sbllaw.net, Lindsey, Jr., Edward H., Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org, Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov, DWare@hallboothsmith.com, Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov, JOvercash@7Jad.com, csims@7Jad.com, chall@lmjc.net, Josh Belinfante, Vincent Russo, Brian Lake, ccorreia@law.ga.gov, kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov, Alexander Denton

Attachments: 20190110 Lt Gov Proposed Order On Motions.docx

Dear Judge Grubbs:

I have attached Plaintiffs' proposed order on the motions heard by Your Honor yesterday. I circulated a draft of this proposed order yesterday afternoon to defendants' counsel, and again this morning, and have not received a response as to what changes they would recommend to it. Given the expedited nature of these proceedings, I thought it best to go ahead and submit Plaintiffs' proposed order without further delay.

We did have a conference call with defendants' counsel on discovery yesterday evening, both with respect to the terms of the proposed order and logistics. The parties did **not** agree on language for the proposed order with respect to discovery, but we did make progress on logistics, and Fulton County is readying machines for inspection.

I note that Part B(2) of the attached, which address the discovery of the DRE machines, references the paragraphs of the complaint that allege specific instances of machine malfunctions, except for paragraphs 42 and 43, which concerned locations in DeKalb County.

Many thanks,

Bruce Brown

Attorney for Plaintiffs

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, et al., **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV313418**

[PROPOSED] ORDER ON PENDING MOTIONS

This case came before the Court for a non-evidentiary hearing on the following pending motions: (a) the motions to dismiss filed by each of the defendants: Defendant Robyn A Crittenden ("the Secretary), Defendant Geoff Duncan ("Duncan"), Defendant Fulton County Board of Registration and Elections ("Fulton County Board"), and Defendant Gwinnett County Board of Registration and Elections ("the Gwinnett Board") (collectively "the Motions to Dismiss"); (b) Plaintiffs' Emergency Motion for Inspection of Electronic Election Equipment and Production of Documents ("Plaintiffs' Motion for Inspection"); and (c) Plaintiffs'

Motion for Perservation of Specific Electronic Election Records in Gordon and Murray Counties. After considering the briefs and responses, and arguments of consel, the Court rules as follows:

A. Motions to Dismiss

The Motions to Dismiss raised several distinct issues, ruled upon below:

1. Secretary as Proper Defendant

The Secretary moved to dismiss the Secretary as a party to Count I of the Petition (the Election Contest Claim), arguing that it is not a proper "Defendant" as that term is used in the Election Statute, O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2). The Court agrees. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2) defines Defendant as "(C) the election superintendent or superintendents who conducted the contested primary or election." O.C.G.A. § 21-2-2(35) in term defines "superintendent" as follows:

> Either the judge of the probate court of a county or the county board of elections, the county board of elections and registration, the joint city-county board of election, or the joint city-county board of elections and registrations, if a county has such.

The Secretary does not fall within any of the definitions of "superintendent."

Plaintiffs argue, among other things, that the definition of "election superintendent" found in Chapter 4 of Title 21 should control. O.C.G.A. § 21-4-3(A) defines "election superintendent": "In the case of any elected state officers, the Secretary of State." This definition, however, is found in Chapter 4, not

Chapter 2, and this election contest concerns Chapter 2. The Court disagrees, and holds that the definition of "superintendent" in the election contest chapter controls and, since the Secretary does not fall within that definition, the Secretary is not a proper party defendant to Count I and should be dismissed from that Count.

2. Whether 159 Counties are Necessary Parties

Fulton County Board and Gwinnett County Board concede that they are proper defendants, but argue that the other 157 counties must be joined in the state-wide election contest. In support of this argument, Gwinnett County Board cites O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2)(C). That section, however, would allow other counties to be joined to this action, but does not require such joinder. In addition, O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(b) gives the Court "plenary power, throughout the area in which the contested primary or election was conducted, to make, issue, and enforce all necessary orders, rules, processes, and decrees for a full and proper understanding and final determination and enforcement of the decision of every such case." The joinder of the other 157 counties, therefore, is not necessary and the motions to this extent are denied.

3. Service of Process

In their briefs, Defendants argued that the Petition should be dismissed because service had not been perfected on the Defendants. Defendants now

concede that they have been properly served, and the motions to this extent are denied.

4. Coalition as Party to Count I

Defendants contend that Plaintiff Coalition for Good Governance is not a proper plaintiff to Count I, the election contest. Coalition does not disagree and has stipulated that it is not a party to Count I. Defendants' motion to this extent is granted as unopposed.

5. Failure to State a Claim

Defendants argue that the Petition fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. The Court disagrees. Defendants have failed to carry their burden of showing that Plaintiffs "would not be entitled to relief under any state of provable facts asserted" in the complaint or that Plaintiffs "could not possibly introduce evidence within the framework of the complaint sufficient to warrant a grant of the relief sought." *Scouten v. Amerisave Mortg. Corp.*, 283 Ga. 72, 73 (2008) (citations omitted). The motions for failure to state a claim, therefore, are denied.

B. Plaintiffs' Motion for Inspection

Plaintiffs' Motion for Inspection is denied. The Court, however, will allow the following inspections and discovery:

1. Plaintiffs are entitled to inspect the "GEMS" servers and GEMS databases or complete electronic copies thereof for the November 2018 elections that are maintained by the Gwinnett County Board and the Fulton County Board.

2. Plaintiffs are entitled to conduct a forensic inspection of the DRE machines, including the internal memories of those machines, that were used in the voting locations identified by Plaintiffs in the Petition, paragraphs 40 and 41, and paragraphs 44 – 48. Plaintiffs are ordered to conduct the inspection and copying so as to not in any way damage the DRE machines.

3. Defendants and Plaintiff shall enter into an appropriate protective order preserving the confidentiality of confidential information, if any, obtained in this discovery.

C. Plaintiffs' Motion Relating to Gordon and Murray Counties

This motion, which concerned the January 8, 2019 elections in Gordon and Murray, is denied as moot.

SO ORDERED this __ day of January, 2018.

_____
Honorable Adele P. Grubbs
Senior Judge, State of Georgia

Prepared by: /s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Counsel for Plaintiffs

EXHIBIT

B

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, Plaintiffs, v. ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al., Defendants.	Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

PLAINTIFFS' BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED ORDER REGARDING INSPECTION OF CERTAIN EQUIPMENT

This brief addresses the two key differences between the parties' proposed orders on inspection and discovery of electronic election equipment: the GEMS database and the DRE machines.

A. GEMS Database

Defendants contend that discovery of the GEMS database should be limited to the output of the databases that is publicly available under the Open Records Act. As explained by Plaintiffs' expert Matt Bernhard in his affidavit, this information is virtually useless in determining the causes, quantification, and impact of programming errors or other machine malfunctions.

Plaintiffs' proposed order, on the other hand, would simply require Fulton and Gwinnett Counties to provide the GEMS database, an unencrypted and non-

confidential electronic database that can be reviewed efficiently when an expert is provided with an electronic copy. Such copies can be created in a matter of minutes by the Defendant counties. The GEMS database contains routine election data and no proprietary software or trade secrets. The county election data is simply loaded into a generic Microsoft Access database to create the GEMS database, which contains no proprietary source code or highly sensitive information. Unlike the print-outs that the Defendants would produce, the GEMS database may hold important clues as to where mis-programming, viruses, malfunctions or hacks occurred, and the number of machines and votes potentially affected.

The GEMS database would be the first, most efficient, and easiest place an expert would look for the cause and to quantify the impact of the irregularities. Both the County and the Secretary of State keep copies of the pre-election and post-election GEMS databases. Either office could produce and transmit an electronic copy within minutes, as Fulton County was asked to do on Wednesday, January 9.

As noted in the Affidavit of Matthew Bernhard, the GEMS database is merely a commercially available Microsoft database product (MSAccess), and can be read by anyone with a subscription to the Microsoft program. It contains election data, and no proprietary or sensitive information. All information in the database should be public record under Georgia's open record laws. There is no

reasonable way to compromise the security of future elections by reviewing the GEMS database files. To illustrate the non-proprietary nature of the information in a GEMS database, Plaintiffs will promptly provide the Court and parties with a link to the GEMS database from Marin County, California and illustrative screenshots of example contents, in a supplemental exhibit not available at the time of this filing.

Defendants may contend that the GEMS database contains proprietary, sensitive or confidential information. This is simply not the case, as illustrated by the decision of other states' to makes their GEMS' databases a public record. But even if there were some truth to Defendants' assertions, that concern is addressed by the execution of an appropriate confidentiality order. Defendants cannot avoid liability simply by claiming that evidence is confidential – not in an election contest and not in any other case.

B. DRE Machines

There are two basic disagreements between the parties concerning the DRE machines: the scope of what may be examined on each DRE, and which DREs may be examined.

1. Scope of Investigation

As to the scope, Defendants would limit the "inspection" to a running of the machines in "post-election" mode, again, a virtually useless exercise that is unlikely to yield any meaningful information. Plaintiffs instead propose what was

discussed at the January 9, 2019 hearing: a normal forensic examination that would include review of the internal memory of the machines.

As to the internal memory of the DRE machines, Georgia's Election Code Rule contemplates that the internal memory of the DRE machines would be an available record for review by parties in an election contest involving DRE machines. Georgia State Election Board Rule 183-1-12-.02 (6)(d) provides:

> *The election results, ballot styles, ballot images, and other information for each election* ***stored in the internal memory storage of each DRE unit*** *shall be maintained for a minimum of one month following each election after which time the results may be erased provided that there are no election contests pending concerning such election.*

As Mr. Bernhard explains in his affidavit, inspection of the internal memory is vitally necessary to determine the causes and impact of any programming errors. The internal memory includes the programming and operating information, both of which must be reviewed for malfunction, viruses, tampering or mis-programming.

2. DRE Machines to Inspect

Plaintiffs' proposed order is based on its understanding of what the Court ruled at the hearing. At the hearing, the Court referenced the specific location that were identified in the Petition, noting specifically that the locations in DeKalb County were not included. This is why Plaintiffs have referenced the non-DeKalb locations identified in the Petition, which are

paragraphs 40 and 41, and paragraphs 44 – 48, which include Henry County, Fulton County and Worthy County.

Note on Current Status

Just four business days before trial, neither the Defendants nor the Secretary of State (who owns the equipment and has copies of the GEMS databases) have not made any records or equipment available for inspection, and have not given Plaintiffs any indication of when this will be done. This is despite repeated requests from Plaintiffs for this information. Plaintiffs assume Defendants are acting in good faith, but nevertheless the effect of their actions is to "run out the clock" and prevent any meaningful investigation of the equipment prior to trial.

Plaintiffs have been diligent in prosecuting this case and seeking this discovery. Plaintiffs' motion for this inspection was filed six weeks ago, on November 29, 2018, and Plaintiffs have been doing everything possible to expedite this process, including obtaining relevant documents through a cumbersome and expensive process of public records requests to attempt to build a database of election records for quick reference when analyzing records that cannot be reasonably obtained except through the discovery process.

Plaintiffs recognize the impossibility of conducting examinations and preparing meaningful expert's reports for the Court prior to the scheduled trial on January 17, 2019. The election contest statute gives this Court plenary power over discovery and scheduling so that the Court can gain a "full and proper

understanding" of the case. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(b). Although time is of the essence in an election contest case, the election contest statute makes it clear that sufficient time should be taken to fully explore the facts and issues involved. The statute gives the Court the power to fix multiple hearing dates, to issue decrees, to issue subpoenas, and to compel and take testimony. Election contest cases are unusual is some respects, of course, but the election contest statute repeatedly states that the case is supposed to proceed "according to the course of practice in other civil cases," and conducted "in like manner and to the same extent as in other civil cases litigated before such court," all for the purpose of allowing the Court to gain a "full and proper understanding" of the matter. *Id.*

A proper forensic examination of this electronic equipment is absolutely essential so that the Court can gain an understanding of the causes and extent of malfunctions that plagued the November 2018 election. This is not a fishing expedition: Plaintiffs have already obtained hard evidence and eye-witness accounts of machine malfunctions and irregularities from all corners of the state, as well as apparent tabulation errors, and have presented and opinions from two leading experts who have concluded that the only plausible explanation for the aberrant election result pattern is system malfunction. Deliberate and exacting expert review of electronic records stored in the Defendant's equipment and files are essential for this Court's understanding of the impact of the malfunctions on the election results.

Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court order Defendants to immediately produce the specific electronic records and machines as contemplated in the Court's order of January 9, 2019. Undertaking this effort immediately may provide an established path for additional discovery, including certain paper records, such as poll tapes, that will be required and promptly requested by Plaintiffs.

Respectfully submitted this 11th day of January, 2019.

/s/ Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Georgia Bar No. 064460
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com
Bruce P. Brown Law LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE, Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700

Attorney for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing via the Court's e-filing system to the attorneys of record who have made appearances.

This 11th day of January, 2019.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown

EXHIBIT C

Subject: Appointment of Special Master and Continuance: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Date: Friday, January 11, 2019 at 12:45:36 PM Eastern Standard Time

From: Bruce Brown

To: Adele Grubbs

CC: Vincent Russo, Alexander Denton, laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net, richard.carothers@carmitch.com, sue@carmitch.com, brian.dempsey@carmitch.com, bpt@sbllaw.net, Josh Belinfante, Lindsey, Jr., Edward H., Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org, Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov, DWare@hallboothsmith.com, Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov, JOvercash@7Jad.com, csims@7Jad.com, chall@lmjc.net, Brian Lake, ccorreia@law.ga.gov, kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov

Judge Grubbs:

In light of the technical issues involved in the discovery and inspection, and the time constraints involved, Plaintiffs anticipate filing this afternoon a Motion for the Appointment of a Special Master under Uniform Superior Court Rule 46(A)(1)(C) and for a continuance of the trial. Before doing so, however, Plaintiffs will speak with counsel for Defendants to see if such a motion may be filed jointly, or whether Defendants would agree to all or part of such relief.

Many thanks,

Bruce Brown

EXHIBIT

D

Subject: Re: Proposed Order: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Date: Friday, January 11, 2019 at 1:29:39 PM Eastern Standard Time

From: Adele Grubbs

To: Vincent Russo

CC: Bruce Brown, Alexander Denton, laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net, richard.carothers@carmitch.com, sue@carmitch.com, brian.dempsey@carmitch.com, bpt@sbllaw.net, Josh Belinfante, Lindsey, Jr., Edward H., Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org, Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov, DWare@hallboothsmith.com, Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov, JOvercash@7Jad.com, csims@7Jad.com, chall@lmjc.net, Brian Lake, ccorreia@law.ga.gov, kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov

Attachments: image003.jpg, 20190111132600.pdf

Counsel,

Attached is the Order entered in this case. There will b no continuance or Special Master. This is an election contest only case that must be expedited.

If there are issue as the Plaintiffs conduct of discovery I will take them up by e mail or conference call if necessary.

Adele Grubbs
Senior Judge

On Fri, Jan 11, 2019 at 1:01 PM Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com> wrote:

> Judge Grubbs,
>
> Please note that the affidavit of Michael Barnes was signed and notarized. I had a typo in my email below referring to it as unsigned.
>
> Apologies for any confusion.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Vincent
>
> Sent from my iPhone
>
> On Jan 11, 2019, at 12:00 PM, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com> wrote:
>
> Judge Grubbs:
>
> The inspection that Plaintiffs' are now seeking would not only damage the voting machines, but it would expose highly confidential information about Georgia's voting system that would leave it vulnerable to attack. The Georgia Court of Appeals has already stated that the GEMS database should not be released due to the sensitivity of the information on it. Smith v. DeKalb County, 288 Ga. App. 574 (2007) (copy attached). Conversely, the scope of inspection proposed by Defendants would give Plaintiffs access to information sufficient to determine whether the irregularities alleged in the Petition existed while continuing to protect Georgia's voting system. Attached is an unsigned affidavit of Michael Barnes, Director of the Center for Election Systems in the Secretary of State's Office, further discussing these issues.

In regard to the GEMS inspection, Mr. Barnes states that the GEMS reports that Defendants have proposed to generate from GEMS show how races in the database are related to political districts within the county and how those races are then related to the ballots generated. Accordingly, if there was a programming failure (i.e., failure to relate a race to a given district which results in it not be present on the ballot), it would appear in those reports according to Mr. Barnes.

Additionally, the ballot images report generated from GEMS shows which voter selections were collected by race within each ballot recorded by the DRE. The image report contains all races and associated candidates contained on the ballot, the candidate who was selected, and which candidates were not selected. Defendants' proposed reports from GEMS also show which individual races were present on each ballot style configured by GEMS, which also goes to the alleged irregularity regarding missing races and candidates. Finally, the Secretary of State's Office can perform a HASH verification of the GEMS program installed on the county computer, which would confirm whether the program has been altered. As indicated in Mr. Barnes' affidavit, if there were irregularities or failures with respect to programming, vote recordation, the appearance of candidates on a ballot in a given election, they would appear in these reports.

Next, in regard to the DRE machines, the inspection that we have proposed relates directly to the DRE irregularities alleged by Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs have alleged that candidate names were missing from ballots on DRE machines (Pet. at ¶ 41), issues with calibration of DRE touchscreens (Pet. at ¶ 41, 47), cancelled ballots on machines (Pet. at ¶ 44), and discrepancies in the vote counts on precinct poll tapes (Pet. at ¶ 46). As indicated in the attached affidavit of Michael Barnes, inspecting the DRE machines in post-election mode, using post-election memory cards that were used in the voting locations identified by Plaintiffs in the Petition would allow Plaintiffs to discover whether any of these alleged irregularities actually existed, to the extent there were any.

In contrast, the DRE inspection proposed by Plaintiffs would require removing the memory chip from the motherboard on each machine, which damages the machines and prevents elections officials from using them ever again. Like the GEMS access proposed by Plaintiffs, Mr. Barnes has also stated that Defendants' proposed DRE inspection would expose sensitive information that would give a roadmap for hacking the system. The Ballot Station program on the DRE only opens files that reside in a specifically named folder and then only opens files in that folder that have specific file extensions. According to Mr. Barnes' affidavit, the data is copied directly from a memory card, someone would know how files are organized and named on the memory card. If a computer researcher wanted to "hack" the system, that person would want to know what file folders and file extensions Ballot Station recognizes.

Defendants' proposed inspection for the DRE machines includes the post-election memory cards. According to Mr. Barnes, if one has the memory card used in an Election, one has a copy of what is on the machine's Internal Memory already

Defendants' have proposed a scope of discovery that is in line with our understanding of your intent at the hearing on Wednesday. Plaintiffs proposed inspection would not only damage voting machines, but it would also provide a roadmap or schematic of the system that someone would need in order to create virus or show how one could be created. Plaintiffs' proposed Order would sanction a fishing expedition that is beyond the factual allegations in the Petition, so we urge the Court to adopt Defendants' Proposed Order.

Kind regards,

Vincent Russo

Vincent R. Russo |*404.856.3260* | **<image002.jpg>**

- - - - - - - -

From: Adele Grubbs [mailto:adele.grubbs@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, January 11, 2019 7:09 AM
To: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Cc: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>; laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov
Subject: Re: Proposed Order: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Please have ALL arguments about this order to me by Noon today so I can enter the order today.

Thank you.

Adele Grubbs

Senior Judge

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 11, 2019, at 12:48 AM, Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com> wrote:

Judge Grubbs:

Further to my email below on Defendants' proposed order (attached to

Mr. Denton's 4:59 PM email), Plaintiffs have no objections to the changes Defendants propose to Parts A, but would urge the Court to adopt Plaintiffs' Part B, for the reasons set forth in the Affidavit of Plaintiffs' expert Matt Bernhard, attached. I have attached to this email a Proposed Order that does just this: takes the Defendants' version of Part A, but Plaintiffs' prior version of Part B, the discovery provisions for the specific records and equipment included in the Court's ruling at the January 9, 2017 hearing. Plaintiffs have included in Part B the sentence proposed by Defendants – "Destructive testing shall not be permitted." (I note that no notary public was available tonight to notarize Mr. Bernhard's affidavit (he is out-of-state), but we will submit a notarized affidavit when it becomes available).

Mr. Bernhard explains in his affidavit that the inspection proposed by Defendants would be virtually useless, and how that the inspection that Plaintiffs proposes is the only way to discover system malfunctions and mis-programming.

Mr. Bernhard also references a Georgia law relating to the internal memory that is right on point. Georgia State Election Board Rule 183-1-12-.02 (6)(d) states:

> *The election results, ballot styles, ballot images, and other information for each election* ***stored in the internal memory storage of each DRE unit*** *shall be maintained for a minimum of one month following each election after which time the results may be erased provided that there are no election contests pending concerning such election.*

This Rule requires the counties to preserve the internal memory of the DRE machines for the specific purposes of having that memory available for inspection and review in an election contest. Fulton County's position that this information should not be made available in this election contest, therefore, is inconsistent with this rule and, for the additional reasons explained in Mr. Bernhard's affidavit, completely without merit.

As to the GEMS database, Mr. Bernhard explains that this can easily be produced on a CD to Plaintiffs' experts; it is not proprietary information and is crucially necessary for the examination.

Many thanks,

Bruce Brown

From: "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Date: Thursday, January 10, 2019 at 6:07 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>, "laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net" <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7Jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7Jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, "kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov" <kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: Re: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Dear Judge Grubbs:

With respect to Defendants' proposed order, relating specifically to discovery and inspection, Plaintiffs will be submitting later this evening a statement from one of our experts (a computer scientist specializing in voting systems) which will explain how the limitations that defendants would impose will render the inspection useless for the purposes of detecting the causes of any

malfunction, or misprogramming, either in the GEMS database or DRE machines, and is not a forensic examination that may detect programming errors (which is what we understand the Court instructed). We urge the Court to withhold considering the proposed order until the Court has the opportunity to consider that submission.

Respectfully,

Bruce Brown

From: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>
Date: Thursday, January 10, 2019 at 4:59 PM
To: Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>, Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: "laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net" <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7Jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7Jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, "kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov" <kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: RE: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs,

The Defendants have collaborated to prepare the attached proposed order for your consideration. It tracks the one Plaintiffs' counsel provided earlier today except as to those specific portions where they are not in agreement.

Thank you.

From: Josh Belinfante
Sent: Thursday, January 10, 2019 12:59 PM
To: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>; Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>
Subject: RE: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs:

Good afternoon. We have substantial agreement with portions of the proposed order but are drafting an alternative for your consideration. We are working to submit it as soon as possible today.

Thank you and best regards,

JB

From: Bruce Brown [mailto:bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 10, 2019 12:13 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>
Subject: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Dear Judge Grubbs:

I have attached Plaintiffs' proposed order on the motions heard by Your Honor yesterday. I circulated a draft of this proposed order yesterday afternoon to defendants' counsel, and again this morning, and have not received a response as to what changes they would recommend to it. Given the

expedited nature of these proceedings, I thought it best to go ahead and submit Plaintiffs' proposed order without further delay.

We did have a conference call with defendants' counsel on discovery yesterday evening, both with respect to the terms of the proposed order and logistics. The parties did **not** agree on language for the proposed order with respect to discovery, but we did make progress on logistics, and Fulton County is readying machines for inspection.

I note that Part B(2) of the attached, which address the discovery of the DRE machines, references the paragraphs of the complaint that allege specific instances of machine malfunctions, except for paragraphs 42 and 43, which concerned locations in DeKalb County.

Many thanks,

Bruce Brown

Attorney for Plaintiffs

<20190111 Plaintiffs' Proposed Order.docx>

<20180111 Lt Gov Bernhard Affidavit Final Signed.pdf>

<Smith v. DeKalb County_ 288 Ga. App. 574.pdf>
<Michael Barnes Affidavit re discovery protocol pdf.pdf>

EXHIBIT E

GA005771

Subject: RE: Protective Order
Date: Sunday, January 13, 2019 at 9:37:08 PM Eastern Standard Time
From: Bruce Brown
To: Burwell, Kaye
CC: Bryan Tyson (bpt@sbllaw.net), josh.belinfante@robbinsfirm.com, Vincent Russo, Ringer, Cheryl, Lowman, David, Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. (edward.lindsey@dentons.com)

Kaye,
The need to copy the internal memory was explained in my June 10 email to you, which email was also attached to our June 11 brief. The need to copy the internal memory also was explained in detail in Matt Berhard's affidavit, which also was attached to our June 11 brief. Finally, there is no way to examine the DRE internal memory consistent with Georgia State Election Board Rule 183-1-12-.02 (6) (d) (requiring the preservation of internal memory) without copying it first.
Thanks,
Bruce

From: Burwell, Kaye <Kaye.Burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Sent: Sunday, January 13, 2019 5:09 PM
To: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Cc: Bryan Tyson (bpt@sbllaw.net) <bpt@sbllaw.net>; josh.belinfante@robbinsfirm.com; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Ringer, Cheryl <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>; Lowman, David <David.Lowman@fultoncountyga.gov>; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. (edward.lindsey@dentons.com) <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>
Subject: FW: Protective Order

This is the first I am hearing about copying the internal memory and having laptops available. I will defer to the SOS on this issue. The inspection will only proceed with Mr. Barnes present unless he has someone else that can attend during his absence. I will review your proposed changes to the protective order and return to you shortly. Thanks.

From: Bruce Brown [mailto:bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com]
Sent: Sunday, January 13, 2019 4:52 PM
To: Burwell, Kaye
Cc: Bryan Tyson (bpt@sbllaw.net)
Subject: RE: Protective Order

Kaye:

First, the Plaintiffs' expert(s) will be at the English Street location at 9 am. The inspection needs to go forward with or without the presence of a representative of non-party Secretary of State. At this time, we do not object to his presence, but Plaintiffs' examination must proceed even if he has to leave for a bit because of another appointment.

Second, I wanted to review the protocol for the examination of the DRE's themselves (see January 10 email). The first crucial step is to preserve the evidence and ensure that no further alteration to the internal memory of the DRE machines occurs to both obey the Court's order and to preserve the evidence for forensic examination. Your staff making a County copy of the DRE internal memory before any examination of the DRE machines begins is therefore essential. So that the inspection may proceed without having any impact

on the existing DRE internal memory, as the Order requires, Plaintiffs' expert will observe the copying of the DRE internal memory onto a laptop that we assume will be provided by you (but we can bring our own, if you don't have one). The laptop will need to have certain utilities required for the examination downloaded from the internet, or we can bring a preloaded jump drive and install the utilities that way, whichever is your preference. You are likely to need multiple laptops so that our expert(s) can be simultaneously reviewing the internal memory on one while your staff is copying the next. We will be selecting the sequence of the machines examined, in the interest of time. To avoid any further alteration of the DRE internal memory, we will not examine the DRE machines themselves until their memory has been copied and checked for completeness.

Third, as I mentioned yesterday (and in prior days), please email or Dropbox the GEMS reports; these are all public records and therefore not subject to the confidentiality order. (See, for example, the GEMS reports that other counties have produced or that are available on public website).

Finally, we are fine with confidentiality agreement that you drafted, with one minor change. The GEMS documents that you are currently producing are public records, not confidential material, so those should not be subject to the agreement. If you would add some language indicating that they are not covered by the confidentiality agreement, we will sign.

Thanks,

Bruce

From: Burwell, Kaye <Kaye.Burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Sent: Sunday, January 13, 2019 2:40 PM
To: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Cc: Bryan Tyson (bpt@sbllaw.net) <bpt@sbllaw.net>
Subject: Protective Order

Bruce, If you have no edits, please execute the protective order, have your experts execute the certification and bring the executed protective order with you in the morning -- if you intend to move forward with the inspection. The Order provides that the commencement of the inspection is subject to the parties entering into a protective order. Thanks.

Kaye Woodard Burwell
Deputy County Attorney
Office of the Fulton County Attorney
141 Pryor Street
Suite 4038
Atlanta, Georgia 30303
(404) 612-0251

EXHIBIT F

Subject: Internal Memory Examination
Date: Monday, January 14, 2019 at 5:46:12 PM Eastern Standard Time
From: Bruce Brown
To: Burwell, Kaye, Cheryl Ringer

Cheryl and Kaye,

In attempting to confer with experts to consider a possible solutions for the access to the memory of the TS machines set for inspection at Fulton this morning, I have the following questions—

1. Please let us know whether your staff has instructions on how to access the memories of both the TS and TSx machines, or whether our experts need to provide more detailed explanations, or supply the parts necessary to comply with the court's order for examination of the internal memory.

2. Are the original memory cards for the machines on the list for inspection today still preserved just as they were after the November election? Please confirm that they were not programmed for the December runoff.

3. Please let us know what Fulton County voting locations (early and election day) used TS machines and which used TSx machines in the November election. (It may be that staff can more easily retrieve TSx internal memory.)

Thanks,

Bruce Brown

EXHIBIT G

Subject: Re: Safe Copying of DRE Internal Memory

Date: Tuesday, January 15, 2019 at 7:30:34 AM Eastern Standard Time

From: Patrick Jaugstetter

To: Bruce Brown

CC: Ringer, Cheryl, VRusso@robbinsfirm.com, Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com, richard.carothers@carmitch.com, bpt@sbllaw.net, Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com, edward.lindsey@dentons.com, Perkins-Hooker, Patrise, Burwell, Kaye, Lowman, David, rgermany@sos.ga.gov, Ruthie Snell

Bruce:

Henry County will be permitting inspection pursuant to the procedure referenced in Ryan Germany's e-mail last night.

Patrick D. Jaugstetter

Power•Jaugstetter
P.O. Box 70
108 Atlanta Street
McDonough, GA 30253
770-957-1765 x 305 (office)
678-233-8383 (cell)
770-957-1065 (fax)

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 14, 2019, at 10:03 PM, Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com> wrote:

Counsel,

Matt Bernhard, Plaintiffs' expert, has documented his recommendations for safely copying DRE's internal memory for both the TS and TSx model units. Please see his email below. Feel free to contact me or Marilyn if you have questions on the procedure.

Bruce

From: Matt Bernhard <mdb92nc@gmail.com>
Date: Monday, January 14, 2019 at 7:44 PM
To: Marilyn Marks <marilyn@aspenoffice.com>
Cc: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Subject: Re: CONFIDENTIAL Protocol for examining internal memory

Bruce and Marilyn,

Below is a summary of the materials and procedures needed to non-destructively examine the internal memory of both the TS and the TSx.

Materials

For the TS, the county will need an EEPROM chip with a program that will instruct the machine to copy its flash contents to a compact flash card (of the same kind used to program and store election data). I can provide the chips by tomorrow evening, if the defendants do not have ready access to them.

For the TSX, a JTAG adapter and a copy of OpenOCD will be needed. Again I can loan my equipment to them if helpful.

Procedures

For the TS, after removing the case from the machine to get access to the motherboard, the county staff should remove the existing EEPROM a chip and replace it with the new chip. They should then set jumpers 2 and 8 to "on" and switch 2 to "1" and switch 4 to "2", all on the motherboard. Booting the machine in this configuration will run the program on the new EEPROM chip chip and copy data to an installed CF card. Once the copy is complete, they should reset the jumpers and switches and put the original EEPROM chip back. This process will in no way alter the machine, as once the new EEPROM chip does nothing to alter the state of the machine. Once the old EEPROM chip is reinstalled, the machine will function as if nothing happened. This process will likely take about an hour per machine after a few run throughs; I will test it tomorrow once I have materials and verify. Obviously I'm assuming the best conditions possible for this type of work, so the time may be highly variable depending on the condition of the equipment, as well as unexpected conditions that may crop up and how the county handles them.

For the TSx, the county should remove the case and attach a JTAG adapter. they should then power on the machine and issue a "reset and halt" command in OpenOCD through the connected laptop. Then they should run the "flash probe" command to ensure the state of the machines memory, and then the "read image" command to copy the internal memory to a file on the laptop. They should then unplug the JTAG adapter and reboot the machine. This process will likely take an hour and a half per machine, again assuming ideal conditions.

I would like to be present when copies are being made, to both verify the process as well as to assist in whatever way I can. There are several other experts we can call on for additional support via phone call or skype as necessary.

-Matt

EXHIBIT H

Germany, Ryan

From: Germany, Ryan
Sent: Monday, January 14, 2019 12:48 PM
To: 'Patrick Jaugstetter'; 'rpowell@wilmotpowell.com'
Cc: 'Josh Belinfante'; Vincent Russo; Rayburn, Kevin; Barnes, Michael
Subject: discovery update

Patrick and Ralph,

Wanted to give you guys an update on the inspection in Fulton this morning. The plaintiffs did not think that our protocol for how to examine the DRE internal memory was sufficient. They want to attach a computer directly to the motherboard of the DRE (the voting machine) and extract the memory using a special program. That is not something we can allow, and it is not something that we think the judge's order allows. (The order says the Plaintiffs may not copy, image, save, or retain the information from the DREs or upload or introduce any information).

Allowing a third-party device to connect directly to the motherboard would require us to take the machines out of service. It's something we have never done. The plaintiffs are supposedly going to go to the judge today to try to get her to order us to accept their protocol. Will keep you posted.

Also, just a heads up that they had media present at Fulton County today. Fulton County did not allow the media person into the inspection.

Thanks,
Ryan

--
C. Ryan Germany
General Counsel
Assistant Commissioner of Securities & Charities
Office of Georgia Secretary of State Robyn A. Crittenden
Office: (404) 657-7778 | Cell: (678) 672-9230 | rgermany@sos.ga.gov

This message is intended exclusively for the individual or entity to which it is addressed. This communication may contain information that is proprietary, privileged, confidential or otherwise legally exempt from disclosure. If you are not the named addressee, you are not authorized to read, print, retain, copy or disseminate this message or any part of it. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately by e-mail and delete all copies of the message.

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDLS
Date: 1/18/2019 5:09 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY

STATE OF GEORGIA

Rhonda Martin, Smythe Duval, Jeanne Dufort	*	
	*	CIVIL ACTION
Plaintiffs,	*	FILE NO.: 2018CV313418
vs.	*	
	*	
Geoff Duncan.	*	
Fulton County Board of Registration	*	
and Elections,	*	
Gwinnett county Board of Registration	*	
And Elections	*	
	*	
Defendants.	*	
	*	

ORDER DISMISSING PLAINTIFFS' PETITION

The above and forgoing case having come on regularly to be heard with all parties represented by counsel and,

Plaintiffs having made Motions for Jury trial, see Fuller v. Thomas 284 Ga. 397 (2008), Continuance and to Compel Discovery and the Court having denied the same, and,

Plaintiffs having presented evidence and rested their case, and,

The Defendants having made a Motion for Involuntary Dismissal Pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 9-11-41(b),

It is hereby ordered as follows:

1.

O.C.G.A. § 9-11-41(b) states in material part –

"After the plaintiff, in an action tried by the court without a jury, has completed the presentation of his evidence, the defendant, without waiving his right to offer evidence in the event the motion is not granted, may move for dismissal on the ground that upon the fasts and the law the plaintiff has shown no right to relief."

2.

In this case the Plaintiffs contest the result of the election for Lieutenant Governor held November 6th, 2018, and request that the result be set aside and that a new election be held on paper ballots.

3.

The Plaintiffs have not shown any evidence that illegal votes have been received or legal votes rejected at the polls sufficient to change or place in doubt the result in the race for Lieutenant Governor held on November 6, 2018. O.C.G.A. §21-2-522.

4.

The Plaintiffs did presented evidence that the DRE system of voting used in Georgia has many problems and irregularities and is regarded as an outdated and inaccurate system of conducting a vote.

5.

In the particular race for Lieutenant Governor at issue here, the Plaintiffs showed that there were five instances of problems with voting at two precincts, that, of the 8 voting machines at the Winterville precinct in Clark County Georgia, 7 went decidedly Democratic and 1 went decidedly Republican and that there was a 4.5% undervote in the Lieutenant Governor race. There was no evidence of misconduct, fraud, or irregularity by any primary or election official or officials.

6.

"It is presumed that election results are valid, and the party contesting the Election has the burden of showing an irregularity or illegality sufficient to change place in doubt the result of the election" Hunt v. Crawford 270 GA.7 (1998). That case goes on to say "the setting aside of an election in which the people have chosen their representative is a drastic remedy that should not be undertaken lightly, but, instead should be reserved for cases in which the person challenging the election has clearly established a violation of election procedures and has demonstrated that the violation has placed the result of the election in doubt."

7.

In the Lieutenant Governor's race Geoff Duncan received 1,951,738 votes

and Sarah Amico received 1,828,566 votes, a difference of 123,172 votes. In the Lieutenant Governor's race there were 4.5% fewer voters than in the Governor's race. The numbers also show that Sarah Amico, a Democrat, also received more votes than those cast for the Democrat in the State-wide races for Commissioner of Agriculture, Commissioner of Insurance, State School Superintendent, and Commissioner of Labor. These number do not show any irregularity or illegality in themselves.

8.

"Where the focus is on improperly cast ballots or irregularities in the conduct of the election, the number of illegal of irregular ballots necessary to cast doubt on an election is derived by taking the difference between the total votes cast in the election and the race at issue, and adding the margin of victory in the race at issue" Fuller v. Thomas 284 Ga. 397 (2008)

9.

In the present case the most votes that the Plaintiff has shown that could be

in any way arguably considered irregular or illegal is approximately 32,000 votes. That assumes that all such votes would have been cast for Sarah Amico.

10.

Therefore, Plaintiffs Petition is hereby dismissed.

SO ORDERED this 18th day of January, **2019**



JUDGE ADELE P. GRUBBS
Senior Judge, State of Georgia

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE is attached.

Gmail

Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>

Plaintiffs' Demand for Jury Trial: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com> Fri, Jan 18, 2019 at 3:12 PM
To: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Cc: "Burwell, Kaye" <Kaye.Burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>, Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>, Laila Tehrani <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.com>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, Vincent Russo <VRusso@robbinsfirm.com>, Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "Perkins-Hooker, Patrise" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, "Ringer, Cheryl" <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, Bryan Tyson <bpt@sbllaw.net>

Attached please find the Order dismissing Plaintiff's Petition.

Adele Grubbs
Senior Judge
[Quoted text hidden]

20190118151003.pdf
88K

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDDG
Date: 1/23/2019 12:28 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, Plaintiffs, v. ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al., Defendants.	Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO SUPPLEMENT THE RECORD

Plaintiffs move to supplement the record in this case with email communications between the Court and counsel, true and correct copies of which are attached hereto as Exhibit 1. A proposed order is attached hereto as Exhibit 2.

Respectfully submitted this 23rd day of January, 2019.

/s/ Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Georgia Bar No. 064460
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com
Bruce P. Brown Law LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE, Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700

Attorney for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing via the Court's e-filing system to all counsel of record.

This 23rd day of January, 2019.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown

EXHIBIT

1

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV31348**

AFFIDAVIT OF BRUCE P. BROWN

Appeared before me, the undersigned officer duly authorized to administered oaths, Bruce P. Brown who, after being sworn, states as follows:

1. I represent the Plaintiffs in this action. I am qualified to give this affidavit, which is based on my personal knowledge.

2. Attached hereto are true and correct copies of emails between the Superior Court and counsel in this case:

A. Email from Judge Grubbs to Counsel dated December 7, 2018;

B. Email from Bruce P. Brown to Judge Grubbs dated January 10, 2019, with attached Plaintiffs' Proposed Order;

C. Email from Bruce P. Brown to Judge Grubbs dated January 11, 2019 (12:04 p.m.), with attached Plaintiffs' Brief in Support of Proposed Order Regarding Inspection of Certain Equipment dated January 11, 2019;

D. Email from Bruce P. Brown to Judge Grubbs dated January 11, 2019 (12:45 p.m.);

E. Email from Judge Grubbs to Counsel dated January 11, 2019 (1:29 p.m.);

F. Email from Bruce P. Brown to Judge Grubbs dated January 14, 2019 (11:05 p.m.);

G. Email from Bruce P. Brown to Judge Grubbs dated January 15, 2019 (6:18 p.m.);

H. Email from Bruce P. Brown to Judge Grubbs dated January 15, 2019 (5:23 p.m.);

I. Email from Bruce P. Brown to Judge Grubbs dated January 16, 2019 (2:06 p.m.);

J. Email from Bruce P. Brown to Judge Grubbs dated January 11, 2019 (9:30 a.m.).

Further Affiant sayeth not.

Bruce P. Brown

Sworn to and subscribed before me:
On this 23 day of January, 2019

Notary Public, State of Georgia
My Commission Expires: ~~My Commission Expires~~ February 16, 2020

SEAL

GA005792

EXHIBIT A

Subject: Re: Proposed Preservation Order; Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418 [IWOV-imanage.FID780744]

Date: Friday, December 7, 2018 at 11:31:36 AM Eastern Standard Time

From: Adele Grubbs

To: DWare@hallboothsmith.com

CC: Bruce Brown, richard.carothers@carmitch.com, sue@carmitch.com, brian.dempsey@carmitch.com, bpt@sbllaw.net, edward.lindsey@dentons.com, vernstes@dekalbcountyga.gov, Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov, Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov, bdbryan@dekalbcountyga.gov, chall@lmjc.net, Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com, Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com, Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com, ccorreia@law.ga.gov, RBritt@hallboothsmith.com, PCunningham@hallboothsmith.com, lkjohnson@dekalbcountyga.gov, vrusso@robbinsfirm.com

Attachments: image001.jpg, image001.jpg

Counsel,

The Plaintiff's Motion for expedited discovery will be heard on January 9th 2019 along with all the other Motions. If it is deemed necessary to move the trial date at that time the Court will consider it.

Adele Grubbs
Senior Judge

On Fri, Dec 7, 2018 at 10:40 AM David Ware <DWare@hallboothsmith.com> wrote:

> Judge Grubbs: good morning Your Honor.
>
> On behalf of the DeKalb County Board of Registration and Elections (DBRE), we just wanted to make the court aware that once served DBRE intends to move to dismiss the complaint as to it. Moreover, DeKalb County opposes the proffered "Emergency" Request for Inspection and believe it to lack merit. Once served, DBRE plans to file a response in opposition.
>
> Thank you for your time and consideration.
>
> **R. David Ware**
>
> **Partner**
>
> **Hall Booth Smith, P.C., Attorneys at Law**

191 Peachtree Street

Suite 2900

Atlanta, GA. 30303

dware@hallboothsmith.com

(404) 586-6619

(404) 402-0204 (cell)

www.hallboothsmith.com

Notice of Confidentiality:

This communication constitutes an electronic communication within the meaning of the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. Section 2510, and its disclosure is strictly limited to the recipient intended by the sender of this message. This communication, and any attachments thereto, contain confidential attorney-client privileged information and attorney work product. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, viewing, copying, distribution or use of any of the information contained in or attached to this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by replying to the message and deleting it from your computer. Thank you.

From: Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>
Sent: Friday, December 07, 2018 8:34 AM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; David Ware <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>; vernstes@dekalbcountyga.gov; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; bdbryan@dekalbcountyga.gov; chall@lmjc.net; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>; Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; Russell Britt <RBritt@hallboothsmith.com>; Pearson Cunningham <PCunningham@hallboothsmith.com>; Laura K. Johnson <lkjohnson@dekalbcountyga.gov>
Subject: Re: Proposed Preservation Order; Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418 [IWOV-imanage.FID780744]

Judge Grubbs:

If Plaintiffs' counsel would like to argue the discovery and preservation issues, we can be available today for a hearing. Fundamental problems with Plaintiffs' preservation and purported emergency discovery request were noted at the hearing. The runoff election has already occurred and special elections are forthcoming. More importantly, Defendant Crittenden has a pending Motion to Dismiss, which speaks to several threshold issues warranting dismissal of Plaintiffs' specious election contest. To date, Plaintiffs have not filed a response to our

motion, and instead continue requesting unnecessary discovery.

Defendants' Proposed Preservation Order makes clear that evidence relevant to the claims should be preserved, but it also takes a reasonable and practical approach to the preservation process. Please let us know how you would like us to proceed.

Kind regards,

Vincent Russo

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 7, 2018, at 7:56 AM, Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com> wrote:

Judge Grubbs,

Further to the issue of the proposed preservation orders, I have attached a document that compares Plaintiffs' Proposed Preservation Order and Defendants' Proposed Preservation Order. I note several things:

1. The parties agree on the description of the electronic and other evidence that is to be covered in the indented paragraphs 1, 2 and 3.

2. The long paragraphs added by the Defendants beneath the indented paragraphs concern the issue that I mentioned at the hearing Wednesday about how the continued use of the machines in election after election wipes the memory clean, according to our experts, and thus destroys potential evidence explaining why these machines have been malfunctioning. We therefore strongly object to an order that would give the State carte blanche to continue the destruction this evidence by overwriting the memory and changing the programming. Particularly inappropriate, Plaintiffs' believe, is the sentence added by Defendants stating: "Toward that end, this Order does not prohibit or otherwise limit the Defendants' use of any voting or election system equipment referenced herein, or alter any obligations of Defendants under Georgia law with respect to storage or preservation of election materials." This sentence renders the entire Preservation Order ineffective, and would allow the State to erase the evidence explaining the causes and extent of the systems' malfunctions.

   In Plaintiffs' view, the Court's instructions Wednesday at the hearing reasonably accommodates any legitimate concern that Defendants may have. The Court twice instructed the undersigned to draft the Order to say: "defendants shall preserve evidence as far as possible." That is what Plaintiffs' Proposed

Order provides.

3. However the preservation issue is resolved, Plaintiffs agree that it is imperative that discovery proceed immediately so that this evidence can be fully examined before there is any need (or temptation) to use this equipment (and wipe or overwrite the evidence) in upcoming elections.

Plaintiffs therefore request that the Court consider Plaintiffs' Emergency Motion for Inspection, filed on Thursday, November 29 (copy attached, with proposed order attached to it). Since defendants are not using the equipment now for elections, this is an ideal time to perform the inspections necessary to determine the causes of the system's malfunctioning. A proper inspection of the equipment may take some time, and the trial in January will be upon us quickly. Such an inspection will also be in the public interest and can be undertaken without prejudice to Defendants' opportunity to file and argue motions closer to trial.

Respectfully submitted,

Bruce Brown

Attorney for Plaintiffs

From: Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>
Date: Thursday, December 6, 2018 at 7:21 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, David Ware <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, "vernstes@dekalbcountyga.gov" <vernstes@dekalbcountyga.gov>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "bdbryan@dekalbcountyga.gov" <bdbryan@dekalbcountyga.gov>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>, Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>,

"ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, Russell Britt <RBritt@hallboothsmith.com>, Pearson Cunningham <PCunningham@hallboothsmith.com>, "Laura K. Johnson" <lkjohnson@dekalbcountyga.gov>
Subject: RE: Proposed Preservation Order; Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418 [IWOV-imanage.FID780744]

Judge Grubbs:

The attached Proposed Preservation Order is submitted on behalf of all Defendants, with the express permission of their counsel. Despite a good faith effort, the parties were unable to reach an agreement on reasonable terms for a joint Proposed Preservation Order. Accordingly, Defendants respectfully submit the attached Proposed Preservation Order for your consideration.

Kind regards,

Vincent Russo

Vincent R. Russo

Member
ROBBINS ♦ ROSS ♦ ALLOY ♦ BELINFANTE ♦ LITTLEFIELD LLC
500 14th Street, NW
Atlanta, GA 30318
404.856.3260 (Direct)
678.701.9381 (Main)
404.856.3250 (Fax)

www.robbinsfirm.com

From: Bruce Brown [mailto:bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com]
Sent: Thursday, December 06, 2018 6:06 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; David Ware <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>; vernstes@dekalbcountyga.gov; Patrise.Perkins-

Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; bdbryan@dekalbcountyga.gov; chall@lmjc.net; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>; Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; Russell Britt <RBritt@hallboothsmith.com>; Pearson Cunningham <PCunningham@hallboothsmith.com>; Laura K. Johnson <lkjohnson@dekalbcountyga.gov>
Subject: Proposed Preservation Order; Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418 [IWOV-imanage.FID780744]

Judge Grubbs:

I have attached Plaintiffs' Proposed Preservation Order. Defendants reviewed a prior draft and suggested significant changes; Plaintiffs agreed to some edits, but the parties were unfortunately unable to reach an agreement on the terms. Defendants will accordingly be submitting their own proposal to your Honor.

Respectfully submitted,

Bruce Brown

Attorney for Plaintiffs

<Comparison of Proposed Orders.docx>

<20181129 LtGov Final Stamped Rule 34 Motion with Exhibits (4).pdf>

EXHIBIT

B

Subject: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Date: Thursday, January 10, 2019 at 12:12:36 PM Eastern Standard Time

From: Bruce Brown

To: Adele Grubbs

CC: laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net, richard.carothers@carmitch.com, sue@carmitch.com, brian.dempsey@carmitch.com, bpt@sbllaw.net, Lindsey, Jr., Edward H., Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org, Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov, DWare@hallboothsmith.com, Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov, JOvercash@7Jad.com, csims@7Jad.com, chall@lmjc.net, Josh Belinfante, Vincent Russo, Brian Lake, ccorreia@law.ga.gov, kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov, Alexander Denton

Attachments: 20190110 Lt Gov Proposed Order On Motions.docx

Dear Judge Grubbs:
I have attached Plaintiffs' proposed order on the motions heard by Your Honor yesterday. I circulated a draft of this proposed order yesterday afternoon to defendants' counsel, and again this morning, and have not received a response as to what changes they would recommend to it. Given the expedited nature of these proceedings, I thought it best to go ahead and submit Plaintiffs' proposed order without further delay.
We did have a conference call with defendants' counsel on discovery yesterday evening, both with respect to the terms of the proposed order and logistics. The parties did **not** agree on language for the proposed order with respect to discovery, but we did make progress on logistics, and Fulton County is readying machines for inspection.
I note that Part B(2) of the attached, which address the discovery of the DRE machines, references the paragraphs of the complaint that allege specific instances of machine malfunctions, except for paragraphs 42 and 43, which concerned locations in DeKalb County.
Many thanks,
Bruce Brown
Attorney for Plaintiffs

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, et al., **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV313418**

[PROPOSED] ORDER ON PENDING MOTIONS

This case came before the Court for a non-evidentiary hearing on the following pending motions: (a) the motions to dismiss filed by each of the defendants: Defendant Robyn A Crittenden ("the Secretary), Defendant Geoff Duncan ("Duncan"), Defendant Fulton County Board of Registration and Elections ("Fulton County Board"), and Defendant Gwinnett County Board of Registration and Elections ("the Gwinnett Board") (collectively "the Motions to Dismiss"); (b) Plaintiffs' Emergency Motion for Inspection of Electronic Election Equipment and Production of Documents ("Plaintiffs' Motion for Inspection"); and (c) Plaintiffs'

Motion for Perservation of Specific Electronic Election Records in Gordon and Murray Counties. After considering the briefs and responses, and arguments of consel, the Court rules as follows:

A. Motions to Dismiss

The Motions to Dismiss raised several distinct issues, ruled upon below:

1. Secretary as Proper Defendant

The Secretary moved to dismiss the Secretary as a party to Count I of the Petition (the Election Contest Claim), arguing that it is not a proper "Defendant" as that term is used in the Election Statute, O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2). The Court agrees. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2) defines Defendant as "(C) the election superintendent or superintendents who conducted the contested primary or election." O.C.G.A. § 21-2-2(35) in term defines "superintendent" as follows:

> Either the judge of the probate court of a county or the county board of elections, the county board of elections and registration, the joint city-county board of election, or the joint city-county board of elections and registrations, if a county has such.

The Secretary does not fall within any of the definitions of "superintendent."

Plaintiffs argue, among other things, that the definition of "election superintendent" found in Chapter 4 of Title 21 should control. O.C.G.A. § 21-4-3(A) defines "election superintendent": "In the case of any elected state officers, the Secretary of State." This definition, however, is found in Chapter 4, not

Chapter 2, and this election contest concerns Chapter 2. The Court disagrees, and holds that the definition of "superintendent" in the election contest chapter controls and, since the Secretary does not fall within that definition, the Secretary is not a proper party defendant to Count I and should be dismissed from that Count.

2. *Whether 159 Counties are Necessary Parties*

Fulton County Board and Gwinnett County Board concede that they are proper defendants, but argue that the other 157 counties must be joined in the state-wide election contest. In support of this argument, Gwinnett County Board cites O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2)(C). That section, however, would allow other counties to be joined to this action, but does not require such joinder. In addition, O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(b) gives the Court "plenary power, throughout the area in which the contested primary or election was conducted, to make, issue, and enforce all necessary orders, rules, processes, and decrees for a full and proper understanding and final determination and enforcement of the decision of every such case." The joinder of the other 157 counties, therefore, is not necessary and the motions to this extent are denied.

3. *Service of Process*

In their briefs, Defendants argued that the Petition should be dismissed because service had not been perfected on the Defendants. Defendants now

concede that they have been properly served, and the motions to this extent are denied.

4. Coalition as Party to Count I

Defendants contend that Plaintiff Coalition for Good Governance is not a proper plaintiff to Count I, the election contest. Coalition does not disagree and has stipulated that it is not a party to Count I. Defendants' motion to this extent is granted as unopposed.

5. Failure to State a Claim

Defendants argue that the Petition fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. The Court disagrees. Defendants have failed to carry their burden of showing that Plaintiffs "would not be entitled to relief under any state of provable facts asserted" in the complaint or that Plaintiffs "could not possibly introduce evidence within the framework of the complaint sufficient to warrant a grant of the relief sought." *Scouten v. Amerisave Mortg. Corp.*, 283 Ga. 72, 73 (2008) (citations omitted). The motions for failure to state a claim, therefore, are denied.

B. Plaintiffs' Motion for Inspection

Plaintiffs' Motion for Inspection is denied. The Court, however, will allow the following inspections and discovery:

1. Plaintiffs are entitled to inspect the "GEMS" servers and GEMS databases or complete electronic copies thereof for the November 2018 elections that are maintained by the Gwinnett County Board and the Fulton County Board.

2. Plaintiffs are entitled to conduct a forensic inspection of the DRE machines, including the internal memories of those machines, that were used in the voting locations identified by Plaintiffs in the Petition, paragraphs 40 and 41, and paragraphs 44 – 48. Plaintiffs are ordered to conduct the inspection and copying so as to not in any way damage the DRE machines.

3. Defendants and Plaintiff shall enter into an appropriate protective order preserving the confidentiality of confidential information, if any, obtained in this discovery.

C. Plaintiffs' Motion Relating to Gordon and Murray Counties

This motion, which concerned the January 8, 2019 elections in Gordon and Murray, is denied as moot.

SO ORDERED this __ day of January, 2018.

_____
Honorable Adele P. Grubbs
Senior Judge, State of Georgia

Prepared by: /s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Counsel for Plaintiffs

EXHIBIT C

Subject: Re: Proposed Order: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Date: Friday, January 11, 2019 at 12:04:59 PM Eastern Standard Time

From: Bruce Brown

To: Adele Grubbs

CC: Vincent Russo, Alexander Denton, laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net, richard.carothers@carmitch.com, sue@carmitch.com, brian.dempsey@carmitch.com, bpt@sbllaw.net, Josh Belinfante, Lindsey, Jr., Edward H., Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org, Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov, DWare@hallboothsmith.com, Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov, JOvercash@7Jad.com, csims@7Jad.com, chall@lmjc.net, Brian Lake, ccorreia@law.ga.gov, kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov

Attachments: 20190111 Lt Gov Plaintiffs' Brief ISO propsed order.pdf, image001.jpg, image002.jpg

Judge,
Please see the attached brief.
Many thanks,
Bruce Brown

From: Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>
Date: Friday, January 11, 2019 at 12:01 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>, "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Cc: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>, "laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net" <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, "kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov" <kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: RE: Proposed Order: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs:

The inspection that Plaintiffs' are now seeking would not only damage the voting machines, but it would expose highly confidential information about Georgia's voting system that would leave it vulnerable to attack. The Georgia Court of Appeals has already stated that the GEMS database should not be released due to the sensitivity of the information on it. Smith v. DeKalb County, 288 Ga. App. 574 (2007) (copy attached). Conversely, the scope of inspection proposed by Defendants would give Plaintiffs access to information sufficient to determine whether the irregularities alleged in the Petition existed while continuing to protect Georgia's voting system. Attached is an unsigned affidavit of Michael Barnes, Director of the Center for Election Systems in the Secretary of State's Office, further discussing these issues.

In regard to the GEMS inspection, Mr. Barnes states that the GEMS reports that Defendants have proposed to generate from GEMS show how races in the database are related to political districts within the county and how those races are then related to the ballots generated. Accordingly, if there was a programming failure (i.e., failure to relate a race to a given district which results in it not be present on the ballot), it would appear in those reports according to Mr. Barnes.

Additionally, the ballot images report generated from GEMS shows which voter selections were collected by race within each ballot recorded by the DRE. The image report contains all races and associated candidates contained on the ballot, the candidate who was selected, and which candidates were not selected. Defendants' proposed reports from GEMS also show which individual races were present on each ballot style configured by GEMS, which also goes to the alleged irregularity regarding missing races and candidates. Finally, the Secretary of State's Office can perform a HASH verification of the GEMS program installed on the county computer, which would confirm whether the program has been altered. As indicated in Mr. Barnes' affidavit, if there were irregularities or failures with respect to programming, vote recordation, the appearance of candidates on a ballot in a given election, they would appear in these reports.

Next, in regard to the DRE machines, the inspection that we have proposed relates directly to the DRE irregularities alleged by Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs have alleged that candidate names were missing from ballots on DRE machines (Pet. at ¶ 41), issues with calibration of DRE touchscreens (Pet. at ¶ 41, 47), cancelled ballots on machines (Pet. at ¶ 44), and discrepancies in the vote counts on precinct poll tapes (Pet. at ¶ 46). As indicated in the attached affidavit of Michael Barnes, inspecting the DRE machines in post-election mode, using post-election memory cards that were used in the voting locations identified by Plaintiffs in the Petition would allow Plaintiffs to discover whether any of these alleged irregularities actually existed, to the extent there were any.

In contrast, the DRE inspection proposed by Plaintiffs would require removing the memory chip from the motherboard on each machine, which damages the machines and prevents elections officials from using them ever again. Like the GEMS access proposed by Plaintiffs, Mr. Barnes has also stated that Defendants' proposed DRE inspection would expose sensitive information that would give a roadmap for hacking the system. The Ballot Station program on the DRE only opens files that reside in a specifically named folder and then only opens files in that folder that have specific file extensions. According to Mr. Barnes' affidavit, the data is copied directly from a memory card, someone would know how files are organized and named on the memory card. If a computer researcher wanted to "hack" the system, that person would want to know what file folders and file extensions Ballot Station recognizes.

Defendants' proposed inspection for the DRE machines includes the post-election memory cards. According to Mr. Barnes, if one has the memory card used in an Election, one has a copy of what is on the machine's Internal Memory already

Defendants' have proposed a scope of discovery that is in line with our understanding of your intent at the hearing on Wednesday. Plaintiffs proposed inspection would not only damage voting machines, but it would also provide a roadmap or schematic of the system that someone would need in order to create virus or show how one could be created. Plaintiffs' proposed Order would sanction a fishing expedition that is beyond the factual allegations in the Petition, so we urge the Court to adopt Defendants' Proposed Order.

Kind regards,

Vincent Russo

From: Adele Grubbs [mailto:adele.grubbs@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, January 11, 2019 7:09 AM
To: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Cc: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>; laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov
Subject: Re: Proposed Order: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Please have ALL arguments about this order to me by Noon today so I can enter the order today.
Thank you.

Adele Grubbs
Senior Judge

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 11, 2019, at 12:48 AM, Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com> wrote:

Judge Grubbs:

Further to my email below on Defendants' proposed order (attached to Mr. Denton's 4:59 PM email), Plaintiffs have no objections to the changes Defendants propose to Parts A, but would urge the Court to adopt Plaintiffs' Part B, for the reasons set forth in the Affidavit of Plaintiffs' expert Matt Bernhard, attached. I have attached to this email a Proposed Order that does just this: takes the Defendants' version of Part A, but Plaintiffs' prior version of Part B, the discovery provisions for the specific records and equipment included in the Court's ruling at the January 9, 2017 hearing. Plaintiffs have included in Part B the sentence proposed by Defendants – "Destructive testing shall not be permitted." (I note that no notary public was available tonight to notarize Mr. Bernhard's affidavit (he is out-of-state), but we will submit a notarized affidavit when it becomes available).

Mr. Bernhard explains in his affidavit that the inspection proposed by Defendants would be virtually useless, and how that the inspection that

Plaintiffs proposes is the only way to discover system malfunctions and mis-programming.

Mr. Bernhard also references a Georgia law relating to the internal memory that is right on point. Georgia State Election Board Rule 183-1-12-.02 (6)(d) states:

> *The election results, ballot styles, ballot images, and other information for each election* ***stored in the internal memory storage of each DRE unit*** *shall be maintained for a minimum of one month following each election after which time the results may be erased provided that there are no election contests pending concerning such election.*

This Rule requires the counties to preserve the internal memory of the DRE machines for the specific purposes of having that memory available for inspection and review in an election contest. Fulton County's position that this information should not be made available in this election contest, therefore, is inconsistent with this rule and, for the additional reasons explained in Mr. Bernhard's affidavit, completely without merit.

As to the GEMS database, Mr. Bernhard explains that this can easily be produced on a CD to Plaintiffs' experts; it is not proprietary information and is crucially necessary for the examination.

Many thanks,

Bruce Brown

From: "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Date: Thursday, January 10, 2019 at 6:07 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>, "laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net" <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>,

"JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7Jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7Jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, "kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov" <kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: Re: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Dear Judge Grubbs:

With respect to Defendants' proposed order, relating specifically to discovery and inspection, Plaintiffs will be submitting later this evening a statement from one of our experts (a computer scientist specializing in voting systems) which will explain how the limitations that defendants would impose will render the inspection useless for the purposes of detecting the causes of any malfunction, or misprogramming, either in the GEMS database or DRE machines, and is not a forensic examination that may detect programming errors (which is what we understand the Court instructed). We urge the Court to withhold considering the proposed order until the Court has the opportunity to consider that submission.

Respectfully,

Bruce Brown

From: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>
Date: Thursday, January 10, 2019 at 4:59 PM
To: Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>, Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: "laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net" <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7Jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7Jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, "kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov" <kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: RE: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs,

The Defendants have collaborated to prepare the attached proposed order for your consideration. It tracks the one Plaintiffs' counsel provided earlier today except as to those specific portions where they are not in agreement.

Thank you.

Alexander Denton |*404.856.3276* | ROBBINS

From: Josh Belinfante
Sent: Thursday, January 10, 2019 12:59 PM
To: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>; Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>
Subject: RE: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs:

Good afternoon. We have substantial agreement with portions of the proposed order but are drafting an alternative for your consideration. We are working to submit it as soon as possible today.

Thank you and best regards,
JB

From: Bruce Brown [mailto:bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 10, 2019 12:13 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>
Subject: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Dear Judge Grubbs:
I have attached Plaintiffs' proposed order on the motions heard by Your Honor yesterday. I circulated a draft of this proposed order yesterday afternoon to defendants' counsel, and again this morning, and have not received a response as to what changes they would recommend to it. Given the expedited nature of these proceedings, I thought it best to go ahead and submit Plaintiffs' proposed order without further delay.
We did have a conference call with defendants' counsel on discovery yesterday evening, both with respect to the terms of the proposed order and logistics. The parties did **not** agree on language for the proposed order with respect to discovery, but we did make progress on

logistics, and Fulton County is readying machines for inspection.
I note that Part B(2) of the attached, which address the discovery of the DRE machines, references the paragraphs of the complaint that allege specific instances of machine malfunctions, except for paragraphs 42 and 43, which concerned locations in DeKalb County.
Many thanks,
Bruce Brown
Attorney for Plaintiffs

<20190111 Plaintiffs' Proposed Order.docx>

<20180111 Lt Gov Bernhard Affidavit Final Signed.pdf>

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, Plaintiffs, v. ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al., Defendants.	Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

PLAINTIFFS' BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED ORDER REGARDING INSPECTION OF CERTAIN EQUIPMENT

This brief addresses the two key differences between the parties' proposed orders on inspection and discovery of electronic election equipment: the GEMS database and the DRE machines.

A. GEMS Database

Defendants contend that discovery of the GEMS database should be limited to the output of the databases that is publicly available under the Open Records Act. As explained by Plaintiffs' expert Matt Bernhard in his affidavit, this information is virtually useless in determining the causes, quantification, and impact of programming errors or other machine malfunctions.

Plaintiffs' proposed order, on the other hand, would simply require Fulton and Gwinnett Counties to provide the GEMS database, an unencrypted and non-

confidential electronic database that can be reviewed efficiently when an expert is provided with an electronic copy. Such copies can be created in a matter of minutes by the Defendant counties. The GEMS database contains routine election data and no proprietary software or trade secrets. The county election data is simply loaded into a generic Microsoft Access database to create the GEMS database, which contains no proprietary source code or highly sensitive information. Unlike the print-outs that the Defendants would produce, the GEMS database may hold important clues as to where mis-programming, viruses, malfunctions or hacks occurred, and the number of machines and votes potentially affected.

The GEMS database would be the first, most efficient, and easiest place an expert would look for the cause and to quantify the impact of the irregularities. Both the County and the Secretary of State keep copies of the pre-election and post-election GEMS databases. Either office could produce and transmit an electronic copy within minutes, as Fulton County was asked to do on Wednesday, January 9.

As noted in the Affidavit of Matthew Bernhard, the GEMS database is merely a commercially available Microsoft database product (MSAccess), and can be read by anyone with a subscription to the Microsoft program. It contains election data, and no proprietary or sensitive information. All information in the database should be public record under Georgia's open record laws. There is no

reasonable way to compromise the security of future elections by reviewing the GEMS database files. To illustrate the non-proprietary nature of the information in a GEMS database, Plaintiffs will promptly provide the Court and parties with a link to the GEMS database from Marin County, California and illustrative screenshots of example contents, in a supplemental exhibit not available at the time of this filing.

Defendants may contend that the GEMS database contains proprietary, sensitive or confidential information. This is simply not the case, as illustrated by the decision of other states' to makes their GEMS' databases a public record. But even if there were some truth to Defendants' assertions, that concern is addressed by the execution of an appropriate confidentiality order. Defendants cannot avoid liability simply by claiming that evidence is confidential – not in an election contest and not in any other case.

B. DRE Machines

There are two basic disagreements between the parties concerning the DRE machines: the scope of what may be examined on each DRE, and which DREs may be examined.

1. Scope of Investigation

As to the scope, Defendants would limit the "inspection" to a running of the machines in "post-election" mode, again, a virtually useless exercise that is unlikely to yield any meaningful information. Plaintiffs instead propose what was

discussed at the January 9, 2019 hearing: a normal forensic examination that would include review of the internal memory of the machines.

As to the internal memory of the DRE machines, Georgia's Election Code Rule contemplates that the internal memory of the DRE machines would be an available record for review by parties in an election contest involving DRE machines. Georgia State Election Board Rule 183-1-12-.02 (6)(d) provides:

> *The election results, ballot styles, ballot images, and other information for each election* ***stored in the internal memory storage of each DRE unit*** *shall be maintained for a minimum of one month following each election after which time the results may be erased provided that there are no election contests pending concerning such election.*

As Mr. Bernhard explains in his affidavit, inspection of the internal memory is vitally necessary to determine the causes and impact of any programming errors. The internal memory includes the programming and operating information, both of which must be reviewed for malfunction, viruses, tampering or mis-programming.

2. DRE Machines to Inspect

Plaintiffs' proposed order is based on its understanding of what the Court ruled at the hearing. At the hearing, the Court referenced the specific location that were identified in the Petition, noting specifically that the locations in DeKalb County were not included. This is why Plaintiffs have referenced the non-DeKalb locations identified in the Petition, which are

paragraphs 40 and 41, and paragraphs 44 – 48, which include Henry County, Fulton County and Worthy County.

Note on Current Status

Just four business days before trial, neither the Defendants nor the Secretary of State (who owns the equipment and has copies of the GEMS databases) have not made any records or equipment available for inspection, and have not given Plaintiffs any indication of when this will be done. This is despite repeated requests from Plaintiffs for this information. Plaintiffs assume Defendants are acting in good faith, but nevertheless the effect of their actions is to "run out the clock" and prevent any meaningful investigation of the equipment prior to trial.

Plaintiffs have been diligent in prosecuting this case and seeking this discovery. Plaintiffs' motion for this inspection was filed six weeks ago, on November 29, 2018, and Plaintiffs have been doing everything possible to expedite this process, including obtaining relevant documents through a cumbersome and expensive process of public records requests to attempt to build a database of election records for quick reference when analyzing records that cannot be reasonably obtained except through the discovery process.

Plaintiffs recognize the impossibility of conducting examinations and preparing meaningful expert's reports for the Court prior to the scheduled trial on January 17, 2019. The election contest statute gives this Court plenary power over discovery and scheduling so that the Court can gain a "full and proper

understanding" of the case. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(b). Although time is of the essence in an election contest case, the election contest statute makes it clear that sufficient time should be taken to fully explore the facts and issues involved. The statute gives the Court the power to fix multiple hearing dates, to issue decrees, to issue subpoenas, and to compel and take testimony. Election contest cases are unusual is some respects, of course, but the election contest statute repeatedly states that the case is supposed to proceed "according to the course of practice in other civil cases," and conducted "in like manner and to the same extent as in other civil cases litigated before such court," all for the purpose of allowing the Court to gain a "full and proper understanding" of the matter. *Id.*

A proper forensic examination of this electronic equipment is absolutely essential so that the Court can gain an understanding of the causes and extent of malfunctions that plagued the November 2018 election. This is not a fishing expedition: Plaintiffs have already obtained hard evidence and eye-witness accounts of machine malfunctions and irregularities from all corners of the state, as well as apparent tabulation errors, and have presented and opinions from two leading experts who have concluded that the only plausible explanation for the aberrant election result pattern is system malfunction. Deliberate and exacting expert review of electronic records stored in the Defendant's equipment and files are essential for this Court's understanding of the impact of the malfunctions on the election results.

Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court order Defendants to immediately produce the specific electronic records and machines as contemplated in the Court's order of January 9, 2019. Undertaking this effort immediately may provide an established path for additional discovery, including certain paper records, such as poll tapes, that will be required and promptly requested by Plaintiffs.

Respectfully submitted this 11th day of January, 2019.

/s/ Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Georgia Bar No. 064460
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com
Bruce P. Brown Law LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE, Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700

Attorney for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing via the Court's e-filing system to the attorneys of record who have made appearances.

This 11th day of January, 2019.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown

EXHIBIT

D

Subject: Appointment of Special Master and Continuance: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Date: Friday, January 11, 2019 at 12:45:36 PM Eastern Standard Time

From: Bruce Brown

To: Adele Grubbs

CC: Vincent Russo, Alexander Denton, laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net, richard.carothers@carmitch.com, sue@carmitch.com, brian.dempsey@carmitch.com, bpt@sbllaw.net, Josh Belinfante, Lindsey, Jr., Edward H., Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org, Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov, DWare@hallboothsmith.com, Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov, JOvercash@7Jad.com, csims@7Jad.com, chall@lmjc.net, Brian Lake, ccorreia@law.ga.gov, kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov

Judge Grubbs:

In light of the technical issues involved in the discovery and inspection, and the time constraints involved, Plaintiffs anticipate filing this afternoon a Motion for the Appointment of a Special Master under Uniform Superior Court Rule 46(A)(1)(C) and for a continuance of the trial. Before doing so, however, Plaintiffs will speak with counsel for Defendants to see if such a motion may be filed jointly, or whether Defendants would agree to all or part of such relief.

Many thanks,

Bruce Brown

EXHIBIT E

Subject: Re: Proposed Order: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Date: Friday, January 11, 2019 at 1:29:39 PM Eastern Standard Time

From: Adele Grubbs

To: Vincent Russo

CC: Bruce Brown, Alexander Denton, laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net, richard.carothers@carmitch.com, sue@carmitch.com, brian.dempsey@carmitch.com, bpt@sbllaw.net, Josh Belinfante, Lindsey, Jr., Edward H., Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org, Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov, DWare@hallboothsmith.com, Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov, JOvercash@7Jad.com, csims@7Jad.com, chall@lmjc.net, Brian Lake, ccorreia@law.ga.gov, kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov

Attachments: image003.jpg, 20190111132600.pdf

Counsel,

Attached is the Order entered in this case. There will b no continuance or Special Master. This is an election contest only case that must be expedited.

If there are issue as the Plaintiffs conduct of discovery I will take them up by e mail or conference call if necessary.

Adele Grubbs
Senior Judge

On Fri, Jan 11, 2019 at 1:01 PM Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com> wrote:

> Judge Grubbs,
>
> Please note that the affidavit of Michael Barnes was signed and notarized. I had a typo in my email below referring to it as unsigned.
>
> Apologies for any confusion.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Vincent
>
> Sent from my iPhone
>
> On Jan 11, 2019, at 12:00 PM, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com> wrote:
>
> Judge Grubbs:
>
> The inspection that Plaintiffs' are now seeking would not only damage the voting machines, but it would expose highly confidential information about Georgia's voting system that would leave it vulnerable to attack. The Georgia Court of Appeals has already stated that the GEMS database should not be released due to the sensitivity of the information on it. Smith v. DeKalb County, 288 Ga. App. 574 (2007) (copy attached). Conversely, the scope of inspection proposed by Defendants would give Plaintiffs access to information sufficient to determine whether the irregularities alleged in the Petition existed while continuing to protect Georgia's voting system. Attached is an unsigned affidavit of Michael Barnes, Director of the Center for Election Systems in the Secretary of State's Office, further discussing these issues.

In regard to the GEMS inspection, Mr. Barnes states that the GEMS reports that Defendants have proposed to generate from GEMS show how races in the database are related to political districts within the county and how those races are then related to the ballots generated. Accordingly, if there was a programming failure (i.e., failure to relate a race to a given district which results in it not be present on the ballot), it would appear in those reports according to Mr. Barnes.

Additionally, the ballot images report generated from GEMS shows which voter selections were collected by race within each ballot recorded by the DRE. The image report contains all races and associated candidates contained on the ballot, the candidate who was selected, and which candidates were not selected. Defendants' proposed reports from GEMS also show which individual races were present on each ballot style configured by GEMS, which also goes to the alleged irregularity regarding missing races and candidates. Finally, the Secretary of State's Office can perform a HASH verification of the GEMS program installed on the county computer, which would confirm whether the program has been altered. As indicated in Mr. Barnes' affidavit, if there were irregularities or failures with respect to programming, vote recordation, the appearance of candidates on a ballot in a given election, they would appear in these reports.

Next, in regard to the DRE machines, the inspection that we have proposed relates directly to the DRE irregularities alleged by Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs have alleged that candidate names were missing from ballots on DRE machines (Pet. at ¶ 41), issues with calibration of DRE touchscreens (Pet. at ¶ 41, 47), cancelled ballots on machines (Pet. at ¶ 44), and discrepancies in the vote counts on precinct poll tapes (Pet. at ¶ 46). As indicated in the attached affidavit of Michael Barnes, inspecting the DRE machines in post-election mode, using post-election memory cards that were used in the voting locations identified by Plaintiffs in the Petition would allow Plaintiffs to discover whether any of these alleged irregularities actually existed, to the extent there were any.

In contrast, the DRE inspection proposed by Plaintiffs would require removing the memory chip from the motherboard on each machine, which damages the machines and prevents elections officials from using them ever again. Like the GEMS access proposed by Plaintiffs, Mr. Barnes has also stated that Defendants' proposed DRE inspection would expose sensitive information that would give a roadmap for hacking the system. The Ballot Station program on the DRE only opens files that reside in a specifically named folder and then only opens files in that folder that have specific file extensions. According to Mr. Barnes' affidavit, the data is copied directly from a memory card, someone would know how files are organized and named on the memory card. If a computer researcher wanted to "hack" the system, that person would want to know what file folders and file extensions Ballot Station recognizes.

Defendants' proposed inspection for the DRE machines includes the post-election memory cards. According to Mr. Barnes, if one has the memory card used in an Election, one has a copy of what is on the machine's Internal Memory already

Defendants' have proposed a scope of discovery that is in line with our understanding of your intent at the hearing on Wednesday. Plaintiffs proposed inspection would not only damage voting machines, but it would also provide a roadmap or schematic of the system that someone would need in order to create virus or show how one could be created. Plaintiffs' proposed Order would sanction a fishing expedition that is beyond the factual allegations in the Petition, so we urge the Court to adopt Defendants' Proposed Order.

Kind regards,

Vincent Russo

Vincent R. Russo |*404.856.3260* | **<image002.jpg>**

- - - -

From: Adele Grubbs [mailto:adele.grubbs@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, January 11, 2019 7:09 AM
To: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Cc: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>; laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov
Subject: Re: Proposed Order: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Please have ALL arguments about this order to me by Noon today so I can enter the order today.

Thank you.

Adele Grubbs

Senior Judge

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 11, 2019, at 12:48 AM, Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com> wrote:

Judge Grubbs:

Further to my email below on Defendants' proposed order (attached to

Mr. Denton's 4:59 PM email), Plaintiffs have no objections to the changes Defendants propose to Parts A, but would urge the Court to adopt Plaintiffs' Part B, for the reasons set forth in the Affidavit of Plaintiffs' expert Matt Bernhard, attached. I have attached to this email a Proposed Order that does just this: takes the Defendants' version of Part A, but Plaintiffs' prior version of Part B, the discovery provisions for the specific records and equipment included in the Court's ruling at the January 9, 2017 hearing. Plaintiffs have included in Part B the sentence proposed by Defendants – "Destructive testing shall not be permitted." (I note that no notary public was available tonight to notarize Mr. Bernhard's affidavit (he is out-of-state), but we will submit a notarized affidavit when it becomes available).

Mr. Bernhard explains in his affidavit that the inspection proposed by Defendants would be virtually useless, and how that the inspection that Plaintiffs proposes is the only way to discover system malfunctions and mis-programming.

Mr. Bernhard also references a Georgia law relating to the internal memory that is right on point. Georgia State Election Board Rule 183-1-12-.02 (6)(d) states:

> *The election results, ballot styles, ballot images, and other information for each election* ***stored in the internal memory storage of each DRE unit*** *shall be maintained for a minimum of one month following each election after which time the results may be erased provided that there are no election contests pending concerning such election.*

This Rule requires the counties to preserve the internal memory of the DRE machines for the specific purposes of having that memory available for inspection and review in an election contest. Fulton County's position that this information should not be made available in this election contest, therefore, is inconsistent with this rule and, for the additional reasons explained in Mr. Bernhard's affidavit, completely without merit.

As to the GEMS database, Mr. Bernhard explains that this can easily be produced on a CD to Plaintiffs' experts; it is not proprietary information and is crucially necessary for the examination.

Many thanks,

Bruce Brown

From: "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Date: Thursday, January 10, 2019 at 6:07 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>, "laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net" <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7Jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7Jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, "kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov" <kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: Re: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Dear Judge Grubbs:

With respect to Defendants' proposed order, relating specifically to discovery and inspection, Plaintiffs will be submitting later this evening a statement from one of our experts (a computer scientist specializing in voting systems) which will explain how the limitations that defendants would impose will render the inspection useless for the purposes of detecting the causes of any

malfunction, or misprogramming, either in the GEMS database or DRE machines, and is not a forensic examination that may detect programming errors (which is what we understand the Court instructed). We urge the Court to withhold considering the proposed order until the Court has the opportunity to consider that submission.

Respectfully,

Bruce Brown

From: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>
Date: Thursday, January 10, 2019 at 4:59 PM
To: Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>, Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: "laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net" <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7Jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7Jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, "kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov" <kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: RE: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs,

The Defendants have collaborated to prepare the attached proposed order for your consideration. It tracks the one Plaintiffs' counsel provided earlier today except as to those specific portions where they are not in agreement.

Thank you.

From: Josh Belinfante
Sent: Thursday, January 10, 2019 12:59 PM
To: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>; Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>
Subject: RE: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs:

Good afternoon. We have substantial agreement with portions of the proposed order but are drafting an alternative for your consideration. We are working to submit it as soon as possible today.

Thank you and best regards,

JB

From: Bruce Brown [mailto:bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 10, 2019 12:13 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>
Subject: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Dear Judge Grubbs:

I have attached Plaintiffs' proposed order on the motions heard by Your Honor yesterday. I circulated a draft of this proposed order yesterday afternoon to defendants' counsel, and again this morning, and have not received a response as to what changes they would recommend to it. Given the

expedited nature of these proceedings, I thought it best to go ahead and submit Plaintiffs' proposed order without further delay.

We did have a conference call with defendants' counsel on discovery yesterday evening, both with respect to the terms of the proposed order and logistics. The parties did not agree on language for the proposed order with respect to discovery, but we did make progress on logistics, and Fulton County is readying machines for inspection.

I note that Part B(2) of the attached, which address the discovery of the DRE machines, references the paragraphs of the complaint that allege specific instances of machine malfunctions, except for paragraphs 42 and 43, which concerned locations in DeKalb County.

Many thanks,

Bruce Brown

Attorney for Plaintiffs

<20190111 Plaintiffs' Proposed Order.docx>

<20180111 Lt Gov Bernhard Affidavit Final Signed.pdf>

<Smith v. DeKalb County_ 288 Ga. App. 574.pdf>
<Michael Barnes Affidavit re discovery protocol pdf.pdf>

EXHIBIT F

From:	Bruce Brown
To:	Adele Grubbs
Cc:	Alexander Denton; laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Josh Belinfante; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H.; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Vincent Russo; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmic.net; Brian Lake; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov
Subject:	Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418
Date:	Monday, January 14, 2019 11:05:18 PM
Attachments:	20190114 Lt Gov Plaintiffs' Motion for Continuance Final with Exhibits A and B.pdf 20190114 Lt Gov Proposed Order Granting Motion for Continuance Final.pdf

Judge Grubbs:

I have attached a Motion for Continuance that I e-filed this evening, and a proposed order. If the attachments do not transmit, the filings may also be accessed via this DropBox link: https://www.dropbox.com/sh/60rnzdp55n2ui6h/AADyKzh4VXGX8VDx86VBkdR-a?dl=0

Many thanks for your consideration of this Motion.

Respectfully submitted,

Bruce Brown
Counsel for Plaintiffs

E
X
H
I
B
I
T

G

From:	Bruce Brown
To:	Adele Grubbs
Cc:	Alexander Denton; laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H.; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Vincent Russo; Josh Belinfante; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Brian Lake; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov
Subject:	Re: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418
Date:	Tuesday, January 15, 2019 6:18:17 PM
Attachments:	20190115 Lt Gov Plaintiffs' Reply in Support of Motion for Continuance Final.pdf

Judge Grubbs,
Please find attached a Reply Brief in Support of Motion for Continuance. I will be e-filing it shortly.
Many thanks,
Bruce Brown

From: "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Date: Tuesday, January 15, 2019 at 5:23 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>, "laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net" <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, Vincent Russo <VRusso@robbinsfirm.com>, Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, "kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov" <kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: Re: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs:

I will be filing a Reply to the Briefs filed by non-party Secretary of State and Defendant Duncan before 6 PM. As these briefs (particularly the Secretary's) contains materially incorrect statements of fact, Plaintiffs urge you to withhold ruling on the Motion until you receive our Reply.
Many thanks,
Bruce Brown

From: Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>

Date: Tuesday, January 15, 2019 at 4:54 PM
To: "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>, Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>, "laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net" <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, "kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov" <kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: RE: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs:

Please find the Secretary's response to the Motion for Continuance.

Best regards,
JB

From: Bruce Brown [mailto:bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com]
Sent: Monday, January 14, 2019 11:05 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>; laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov
Subject: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs:

I have attached a Motion for Continuance that I e-filed this evening, and a proposed order. If the attachments do not transmit, the filings may also be accessed via this DropBox link:

https://www.dropbox.com/sh/60rnzdp55n2ui6h/AADyKzh4VXGX8VDx86VBkdR-a?dl=0

Many thanks for your consideration of this Motion.

Respectfully submitted,

Bruce Brown
Counsel for Plaintiffs

EXHIBIT H

From: Bruce Brown
To: Adele Grubbs
Cc: Alexander Denton; laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H.; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Vincent Russo; Josh Belinfante; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Brian Lake; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov
Subject: Re: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418
Date: Tuesday, January 15, 2019 5:23:34 PM

Judge Grubbs:

I will be filing a Reply to the Briefs filed by non-party Secretary of State and Defendant Duncan before 6 PM. As these briefs (particularly the Secretary's) contains materially incorrect statements of fact, Plaintiffs urge you to withhold ruling on the Motion until you receive our Reply.
Many thanks,
Bruce Brown

From: Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>
Date: Tuesday, January 15, 2019 at 4:54 PM
To: "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>, Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>, "laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net" <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, "kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov" <kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: RE: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs:

Please find the Secretary's response to the Motion for Continuance.

Best regards,
JB

From: Bruce Brown [mailto:bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com]
Sent: Monday, January 14, 2019 11:05 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>; laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov
Subject: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs:

I have attached a Motion for Continuance that I e-filed this evening, and a proposed order. If the attachments do not transmit, the filings may also be accessed via this DropBox link: https://www.dropbox.com/sh/60rnzdp55n2ui6h/AADyKzh4VXGX8VDx86VBkdR-a?dl=0

Many thanks for your consideration of this Motion.

Respectfully submitted,

Bruce Brown
Counsel for Plaintiffs

EXHIBIT I

From:	Bruce Brown
To:	Adele Grubbs
Cc:	Burwell, Kaye; Alexander Denton; Laila Tehrani; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H.; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Vincent Russo; Josh Belinfante; Perkins-Hooker, Patrise; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Ringer, Cheryl; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Brian Lake; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; Bryan Tyson
Subject:	Plaintiffs" Demand for Jury Trial: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418
Date:	Wednesday, January 16, 2019 2:06:07 PM
Attachments:	20190116 Lt Gov Plaintiffs" Jury Demand.pdf

Judge,

We are filing today a demand for trial by jury pursuant to O.C.G.A. 21-2-526, copy attached.

Respectfully,

Bruce Brown

EXHIBIT J

Subject: Re: Proposed Order: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Date: Friday, January 11, 2019 at 9:30:22 AM Eastern Standard Time

From: Bruce Brown

To: Adele Grubbs

CC: Alexander Denton, laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net, richard.carothers@carmitch.com, sue@carmitch.com, brian.dempsey@carmitch.com, bpt@sbllaw.net, Josh Belinfante, Lindsey, Jr., Edward H., Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org, Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov, DWare@hallboothsmith.com, Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov, JOvercash@7Jad.com, csims@7Jad.com, chall@lmjc.net, Vincent Russo, Brian Lake, ccorreia@law.ga.gov, kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov

Attachments: image001.jpg

Judge,
You may also be able to access the two files using this link:
https://www.dropbox.com/sh/7moph297xw9gdy8/AAA6L0CXAHvN_6WHvjcbnl3Oa?dl=0.
Thanks,
Bruce Brown

From: "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Date: Friday, January 11, 2019 at 9:20 AM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>, "laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net" <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, "kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov" <kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: Re: Proposed Order: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge,
I am sorry but do not know what the technical issue is. The two attachments appear on the "Sent" version of my email to you late last night, early this morning. I am attaching them again, and please let me know if you did not receive them. (In addition, if any counsel do not receive the attachments, please let me know).
Many thanks and very sorry for the inconvenience.
Bruce Brown

From: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Date: Friday, January 11, 2019 at 9:04 AM

To: "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Cc: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>, "laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net" <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, "kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov" <kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: Re: Proposed Order: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Nor do I have the new proposed order of the Plaintiffs.There are no attachments to today's e mail from the Plaintiffs.

Adele Grubbs

On Fri, Jan 11, 2019 at 9:01 AM Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com> wrote:

> I am in receipt of various emails. However I have not received an affidavit of Mr. Bernhart.
>
> Adele Grubbs
>
> On Fri, Jan 11, 2019 at 7:09 AM Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> > Please have ALL arguments about this order to me by Noon today so I can enter the order today. Thank you.
> >
> > Adele Grubbs
> > Senior Judge
>
> > Sent from my iPhone
> >
> > On Jan 11, 2019, at 12:48 AM, Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com> wrote:

Judge Grubbs:

Further to my email below on Defendants' proposed order (attached to Mr. Denton's 4:59 PM email), Plaintiffs have no objections to the changes Defendants propose to Parts A, but would urge the Court to adopt Plaintiffs' Part B, for the reasons set forth in the Affidavit of Plaintiffs' expert Matt Bernhard, attached. I have attached to this email a Proposed Order that does just this: takes the Defendants' version of Part A, but Plaintiffs' prior version of Part B, the discovery provisions for the specific records and equipment included in the Court's ruling at the January 9, 2017 hearing. Plaintiffs have included in Part B the sentence proposed by Defendants – "Destructive testing shall not be permitted." (I note that no notary public was available tonight to notarize Mr. Bernhard's affidavit (he is out-of-state), but we will submit a notarized affidavit when it becomes available).

Mr. Bernhard explains in his affidavit that the inspection proposed by Defendants would be virtually useless, and how that the inspection that Plaintiffs proposes is the only way to discover system malfunctions and mis-programming.

Mr. Bernhard also references a Georgia law relating to the internal memory that is right on point. Georgia State Election Board Rule 183-1-12-.02 (6)(d) states:

> *The election results, ballot styles, ballot images, and other information for each election* ***stored in the internal memory storage of each DRE unit*** *shall be maintained for a minimum of one month following each election after which time the results may be erased provided that there are no election contests pending concerning such election.*

This Rule requires the counties to preserve the internal memory of the

DRE machines for the specific purposes of having that memory available for inspection and review in an election contest. Fulton County's position that this information should not be made available in this election contest, therefore, is inconsistent with this rule and, for the additional reasons explained in Mr. Bernhard's affidavit, completely without merit.

As to the GEMS database, Mr. Bernhard explains that this can easily be produced on a CD to Plaintiffs' experts; it is not proprietary information and is crucially necessary for the examination.

Many thanks,

Bruce Brown

From: "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Date: Thursday, January 10, 2019 at 6:07 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>, "laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net" <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7Jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7Jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, "kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov" <kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: Re: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Dear Judge Grubbs:

With respect to Defendants' proposed order, relating specifically to discovery and inspection, Plaintiffs will be submitting later this evening a statement from one of our experts (a computer scientist specializing in voting systems) which will explain how the limitations that defendants would impose will render the inspection useless for the purposes of detecting the causes of any malfunction, or misprogramming, either in the GEMS database or DRE machines, and is not a forensic examination that may detect programming errors (which is what we understand the Court instructed). We urge the Court to withhold considering the proposed order until the Court has the opportunity to consider that submission.

Respectfully,

Bruce Brown

From: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>
Date: Thursday, January 10, 2019 at 4:59 PM
To: Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>, Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: "laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net" <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7Jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7Jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, "kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov" <kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: RE: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs,

The Defendants have collaborated to prepare the attached proposed order for your consideration. It tracks the one Plaintiffs' counsel provided earlier today except as to those specific portions where they are not in agreement.

Thank you.

Alexander Denton |*404.856.3276* | ROBBINS

From: Josh Belinfante
Sent: Thursday, January 10, 2019 12:59 PM
To: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>; Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>
Subject: RE: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs:

Good afternoon. We have substantial agreement with portions of the proposed order but are drafting an alternative for your consideration. We are working to submit it as soon as possible today.

Thank you and best regards,
JB

From: Bruce Brown [mailto:bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 10, 2019 12:13 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com;

Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>
Subject: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Dear Judge Grubbs:
I have attached Plaintiffs' proposed order on the motions heard by Your Honor yesterday. I circulated a draft of this proposed order yesterday afternoon to defendants' counsel, and again this morning, and have not received a response as to what changes they would recommend to it. Given the expedited nature of these proceedings, I thought it best to go ahead and submit Plaintiffs' proposed order without further delay.
We did have a conference call with defendants' counsel on discovery yesterday evening, both with respect to the terms of the proposed order and logistics. The parties did **not** agree on language for the proposed order with respect to discovery, but we did make progress on logistics, and Fulton County is readying machines for inspection.
I note that Part B(2) of the attached, which address the discovery of the DRE machines, references the paragraphs of the complaint that allege specific instances of machine malfunctions, except for paragraphs 42 and 43, which concerned locations in DeKalb County.
Many thanks,
Bruce Brown
Attorney for Plaintiffs

<20190111 Plaintiffs' Proposed Order.docx>

<20180111 Lt Gov Bernhard Affidavit Final Signed.pdf>

EXHIBIT 2

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, et al., **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV313418**

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO SUPPLEMENT THE RECOFD

Plaintiffs' Motion to Supplement the Record, having been considered and with good cause shown, is GRANTED.

SO ORDERED this __ day of January, 2019.

_____
Honorable Adele P. Grubbs
Senior Judge, State of Georgia

Prepared by: /s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Counsel for Plaintiffs

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDQW
Date: 1/30/2019 10:23 AM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY

STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, et al,	*	
Plaintiffs,	*	CIVIL ACTION FILE
VS.	*	NUMBER: 2018CV313418
ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Et al,	*	
Defendants	*	

ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'SMOTION TO SUPPLEMENT THE RECORD

Plaintiff's Motion to Supplement the Record, having been considered and all parties having agreed and the Court's Exhibit "A" attached being added thereto the Motion to Supplement the Record is GRANTED.

SO ORDERED, this the 30 day of January 2019.

SENIOR JUDGE ADELE P. GRUBBS
STATE OF GEORGIA

Grubbs, Adele

From: Grubbs, Adele
Sent: Monday, January 14, 2019 11:03 AM
To: Davis, Thomas
Subject: Re: Message from WILKIE JORDAN (2143921888)

Tom,

If you would. You know more about getting records than I do.

Thank you

Adeke

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 14, 2019, at 10:52 AM, Davis, Thomas <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org> wrote:

> Judge,
>
> This is a message from a journalist, he does not say from what outlet, who wants to talk to you about getting access to some sort of records in Fulton County regarding the election challenge, how would you like me to respond?
>
> Thank you,
>
> Thomas L. Davis
> Staff Attorney to the Senior Judges
> Cobb County Superior Court
> (770) 528-8091
> Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org
> **From:** unityconnection
> **Sent:** Monday, January 14, 2019 9:56 AM
> **To:** Davis, Thomas <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>
> **Subject:** Message from WILKIE JORDAN (2143921888)
>
> <VoiceMessage.wav>

Exhibit A

I have been in touch with the attorneys in this matter. There is a confidentiality agreement in regard to discovery in this case, therefore the press does not have access to the discovery process including observing as far as anything to do with the lawsuit is concerned. However, the trial will , of course, be public.

Adele Grubbs
Senior Judge

On Mon, Jan 14, 2019 at 10:18 AM Jordan Wilkie <wilkie.jordant@gmail.com> wrote:
Dear Judge Grubbs,

I am a reporter focused on election security and integrity. I was in your courtroom last week.

I am currently at Fulton County election warehouse where both parties just went back into the back room to inspect the machines.

Could I have clarity on the level of access that I, as a member of the press, should be allowed?

I understand that there is a confidentiality agreement for viewing internal memor ----- Message truncated -----

---

Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com> Mon, Jan 14, 2019 at 11:47 AM
To: josh.belinfonte@robbinslawfirm.com

[Quoted text hidden]
---------- Forwarded message ---------
From: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
To: Jordan Wilkie <wilkie.jordant@gmail.com>, bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com, josh.belinfonte@robbinslaw.com
Cc:
Bcc:
Date: Mon, 14 Jan 2019 11:45:11 -0500
Subject: Re: Journalistic access to Lt. Gov challenge
Mr. Wilkie,

I have been in touch with the attorneys in this matter. There is a confidentiality agreement in regard to discovery in this case, therefore the press does not have access to the discovery process including observing as far as anything to do with the lawsuit is concerned. However, the trial will , of course, be public.

Adele Grubbs
Senior Judge

On Mon, Jan 14, 2019 at 10:18 AM Jordan Wilkie <wilkie.jordant@gmail.com> wrote:
Dear Judge Grubbs,

I am a reporter focused on election security and integrity. I was in your courtroom last week.

I am currently at Fulton County election warehouse where both parties just went back into the back room to inspect the machines.

Could I have clarity on the level of access that I, as a member of the press, should be allowed?

I understand that there is a confidentiality agreement for viewing internal memor ----- Message truncated -----

Jordan Wilkie <wilkie.jordant@gmail.com> Mon, Jan 14, 2019 at 12:02 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com, josh.belinfonte@robbinslaw.com

Your honor,

Thank you for the speedy response. Does that pertain to the public records that will be a part of discovery (as per your court order)?

Even if I cannot be party to the discovery itself, can I observe or can you grant permission to the parties to tell me what level of access is being granted to the machines? That should avoid all issues of confidentiality, as I understand them, and I apologize if I'm off base. It would also answer the main question I need in my reporting. Are cyber security experts actually getting to review the internal memory and programming of DRE machines?

Finally, could you tell me which parties protested my attendence? What would be the case if the defendents and a county would allow me to be there, but not the secretary of state's office? Since they're not a party, would they have a say? I have had many issues with gaining access to public information and public records through their office before and am therefore concerned about their stance.

Thank you,
Jordan Wilkie
214.392.1888

P.S. Please forgive any typos as I am writing from my phone.
[Quoted text hidden]

Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com> Mon, Jan 14, 2019 at 12:11 PM
To: Jordan Wilkie <wilkie.jordant@gmail.com>
Cc: bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com, josh.belinfonte@robbinslaw.com

Mr. Wilkie,

You do not have access to the process of discovery or the items produced. Nor may you observe at this time. there is a Court order indicating what is discoverable and it is available to the public.

Adele Grubbs

Senior Judge
[Quoted text hidden]

Mail Delivery Subsystem <mailer-daemon@googlemail.com> Mon, Jan 14, 2019 at 12:11 PM
To: adele.grubbs@gmail.com

[Quoted text hidden]

Final-Recipient: rfc822; josh.belinfonte@robbinslaw.com
Action: failed
Status: 5.1.1
Remote-MTA: dns; mx1.smtp.exch081.serverdata.net. (64.78.25.102, the server for the domain robbinslaw.com.)
Diagnostic-Code: smtp; 550 5.1.1 <josh.belinfonte@robbinslaw.com>: Recipient address rejected: User unknown in relay recipient table
Last-Attempt-Date: Mon, 14 Jan 2019 09:11:42 -0800 (PST)

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDLW
Date: 2/1/2019 2:30 PM
Catheiene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, Plaintiffs, v. ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al., Defendants.	CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV313418

NOTICE OF FILING ORIGINAL TRANSCRIPTS

On February 1, 2019, Plaintiffs filed the following original transcripts with the Superior Court Clerk:

1. December 5, 2018 Hearing Transcript;
2. December 9, 2018 Hearing Transcript;
3. January 17, 2019 Hearing Transcript;
4. January 18, 2019 Hearing Transcript.

There being no other transcripts to be filed, this case is ready for transmittal to the Supreme Court of Georgia.

DATED: February 1, 2019.

/s/Bruce P. Brown

Bruce P. Brown
Georgia Bar No. 064460
BRUCE P. BROWN LAW LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE, Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700
Attorney for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing via the Court's e-filing system to all counsel or record.

This 1st day of February, 2019.

Bruce P. Brown
Georgia Bar No. 064460
BRUCE P. BROWN LAW LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE, Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDMH
Date: 2/6/2019 3:45 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV313418**

NOTICE OF FILING ELECTRONIC COPIES OF TRANSCRIPTS

Plaintiffs file the following electronic copies of transcripts pursuant to the instructions of the Superior Court Clerk (Ms. Quin Watson):

1. December 5, 2018 Hearing Transcript;
2. December 9, 2018 Hearing Transcript;
3. January 17, 2019 Hearing Transcript;
4. January 18, 2019 Hearing Transcript.

These transcripts were tendered physically on February 1, 2019, pursuant to the Clerk's instructions, but then rejected; then e-filed on February 1, 2019, and again rejected.

DATED: February 6, 2019.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Georgia Bar No. 064460
BRUCE P. BROWN LAW LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE, Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700
Attorney for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing via the Court's e-filing system to all counsel or record.

This 6st day of February, 2019.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Georgia Bar No. 064460

BRUCE P. BROWN LAW LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE, Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700

Attorney for Plaintiffs

EXHIBIT

1

000004

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
FULTON JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
STATE OF GEORGIA

FULTON COUNTY COALITION FOR	)	
	)	
GOOD GOVERNANCE, ET AL	)	
	)	
Plaintiffs	)	**Case Number**
	)	
Versus	)	**2018-CV-313418**
	)	
ROBYN CRITTENDEN, ET AL	)	
	)	
Defendants	)	

STATUS HEARING

A transcript of the proceedings before the **HONORABLE ADELE P. GRUBBS**, on December 5, 2018, at the Cobb County Courthouse, Marietta, Cobb County, Georgia.

APPEARANCE OF COUNSEL:

FOR PLAINTIFFS:	**BRUCE P. BROWN** Attorney at Law 1123 Zonolite Rd., Ste. 6 Atlanta, Ga. 30306 404-881-0700
FOR DEFENDANT CRITTENDEN:	**JOSH BELINFANTE, VINCENT R. RUSSO and ALEX DENTON** Attorneys at Law 500 14th St. NW Atlanta, Ga. 30318 678-701-9381
FOR DEFENDANT FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS:	**CHERYL RINGER & KAYE BURWELL** Attorneys at Law 141 Pryor St. SW, Ste. 4038 Atlanta, Ga. 30303 404-612-0263
FOR DEFENDANT GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS:	**BRYAN P. TYSON & DICK CAROTHERS** Attorney at Law P.O. Box 73053 Marietta, Ga. 30007 404-219-3160
FOR DEFENDANT DEKALB COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS:	**DAVID WARE, PEARSON CUNNINGHAM, RUSSELL BRITT, MR. BRYAN** Attorneys at Law 191 Peachtree St. NE, Ste. 2900 Atlanta, Ga. 30303 678-539-1611
FOR DEFENDANT GEOFF DUNCAN:	**EDWARD LINDSEY** Attorney at Law 303 Peachtree St., Ste 5300 Atlanta, Ga. 30308 404-527-4720

Paul D. Crowder, CCR, CVR
264 Mountain View Dr.
Woodstock, Ga. 30188 404-457-9289

1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 * * * * *

3 THE COURT: Fulton County Coalition for Good

4 Governance versus Robyn Crittenden. With due respect to

5 y'all, I need to kind of know who is who and who

6 represents who and so on and so forth. There's one face I

7 recognize. The rest of you I've never seen before. Good

8 morning Mr. Lindsey. Go ahead.

9 MR. BROWN: Judge, my name is Bruce Brown. I

10 represent the Plaintiffs.

11 THE COURT: Okay. And are all the Plaintiffs here?

12 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor. Two of the Plaintiffs

13 are here, Rhonda Martin and Jeanne Dufort.

14 THE COURT: Okay.

15 MR. BROWN: And Marilyn Morris, who is the executive

16 director of The Coalition is here at counsel's table. So

17 three of the Plaintiffs are here.

18 THE COURT: Okay. Then let me ask about on the

19 Defense side.

20 MR. BELINFANTE: Good morning, Judge, Special

21 Assistant Attorney General representing Secretary of State

22 Robyn Crittenden. I'm joined by my partner Vincent Russo

23 and Alex Denton.

24 THE COURT: Okay. So just take a seat. Have you

25 made an appearance of counsel? I don't have everything, I

1 don't think. I know this is really a Fulton County case
2 and we probably need to be doing this in Fulton County
3 from now on. This is more of a status, where are we,
4 where are we headed type hearing this morning, so I'll get
5 your names properly at some point. If I call you wrong,
6 please excuse me.
7 MR. LINDSEY: Good morning, Your Honor.
8 THE COURT: Good morning.
9 MR. LINDSEY: My name is Edward Lindsey. I represent
10 Lieutenant Governor Elect Geoff Duncan.
11 THE COURT: Who?
12 MR. LINDSEY: Geoff Duncan who's the Lieutenant
13 Governor Elect.
14 THE COURT: Okay. Yes, sir.
15 MR. TYSON: Good morning, Your Honor. I'm Bryan
16 Tyson, joined by Dick Carothers. We represent the
17 Gwinnett County Board of Registrations and Elections.
18 THE COURT: Yes.
19 MR. WARE: Good morning, Judge Grubbs. David Ware
20 for DeKalb County Board of Registration and Elections,
21 along with Pearson Cunningham, Russell Britt, and Mr.
22 Bryan.
23 THE COURT: All y'all four together?
24 MR. WARE: Yes, we're all together for DeKalb County.
25 THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.

1 MS. BURWELL: Kaye Burwell with Cheryl Ringer on
2 behalf of Fulton County.
3 THE COURT: Okay. So each county is represented, and
4 one of the candidates is represented. Okay, let me ask
5 you, Mr. Brown. I know there's been some confusion
6 because this was a recusal and I was told to take it. Is
7 there service on everybody?
8 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor. Should I stand at the
9 podium?
10 THE COURT: Whichever makes you more comfortable.
11 You will find that I'm a fairly -- Despite my reputation,
12 I'm a fairly easy going kind of person as long as you're
13 doing what you're supposed to do.
14 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. The Plaintiffs
15 have made every effort to effect service in accordance
16 with the special provisions of the election contest
17 statute. And what those provisions contemplate is that
18 actually the clerk and the assigned Judge perfect the
19 service.
20 THE COURT: Right.
21 MR. BROWN: And the necessary steps to that --
22 THE COURT: They sign the service.
23 MR. BROWN: Right. And so we tried it in Fulton
24 County.
25 THE COURT: Fulton didn't work.

1 MR. BROWN: It didn't work. And this is in the
2 record but I alerted the clerk when I filed the petition
3 that there were special provisions, both for the
4 assignment of the Judge and for the special summons.
5 THE COURT: Right.
6 MR. BROWN: That didn't make it through the court
7 system down there until finally the chief Judge took
8 control of it and assigned -- and made arrangements for
9 your assignment. And so one of the first things that I
10 would ask is that the Court direct the clerk in Fulton
11 County to issue the summons and to perfect service of the
12 summons upon the Defendants. We have drafted -- With
13 corrections from Judge Russell we have drafted the special
14 statutory summons and so I would be able to transmit to
15 your clerk or to your staff a Word copy of the summons
16 that could be modified to include what Your Honor would
17 specify as the answer date.
18 THE COURT: Okay.
19 MR. BROWN: One of the things that this law says is
20 that it's not 30 days. It's what you say their answer
21 date is.
22 THE COURT: I have a book.
23 MR. BROWN: So that would be one of the first things
24 that you did.
25 THE COURT: I knew I wouldn't have a computer so I

1 brought the book.
2 MR. BROWN: That would be one of the first things on
3 my list of things that would need to be covered would be
4 making sure that Your Honor has the paperwork necessary to
5 set this.
6 THE COURT: And you think it's necessary to process
7 it through my clerk or do I process it through Fulton
8 County?
9 MR. BROWN: Through Fulton County.
10 THE COURT: Okay.
11 MR. BROWN: So that would be the first thing we'd ask
12 for and we'd be happy to --
13 THE COURT: And you've got that paperwork with you.
14 MR. BROWN: I don't have it with me. It is with -- I
15 can have it tendered to you immediately.
16 THE COURT: You can email it to me.
17 MR. BROWN: Yes, ma'am.
18 THE COURT: Any response to all that about the
19 service, from anybody? Has anybody actually been served?
20 MR. RUSSO: The Secretary of State has not been
21 served.
22 THE COURT: But y'all got here.
23 MR. BELINFANTE: We're here special, Judge.
24 THE COURT: Okay. So I'm going to do that. If
25 you'll get that to me. I'll give you a card. I'll find

1 one before we get through.
2 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
3 THE COURT: I'll get you an address to go to. What
4 is next on your side, Mr. Brown?
5 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the next thing on the agenda
6 would be several things. First would be, if Your Honor is
7 prepared to do so, to maybe anticipate a trial date.
8 Second would be to discuss the necessary parties. And the
9 third would be our request for emergency discovery so that
10 the evidence, which could be vanishing now that the
11 elections are done, is preserved and inspected for
12 purposes of determining the causes of action.
13 THE COURT: Let me handle that backwards. Let me ask
14 everybody else, okay. Do you have any objection to an
15 order to preserve the evidence? I'm not -- I didn't say
16 anything about inspect. I just said preserve.
17 MR. BELINFANTE: Your Honor, Josh Belinfante again,
18 for Secretary Crittenden. And I should say -- I should
19 have said in the beginning, we are appearing specially
20 given the service issue.
21 THE COURT: I'm assuming everybody is appearing
22 specially because there's no service.
23 MR. BELINFANTE: Yes, Your Honor. In terms of
24 preserving the evidence, I think the problem here is that
25 the allegations seem to indicate or seem to question

1 whether that's even possible. And so the position of the
2 Secretary of State's Office is that there will be no
3 destruction or willful destruction of the evidence. But I
4 don't know that the Plaintiffs take the position that
5 that's even feasible.
6 THE COURT: Let me rephrase it. That you will
7 preserve the evidence as far as is possible.
8 MR. BELINFANTE: Yes, Your Honor.
9 THE COURT: I mean, I don't know -- I've read the
10 pleadings. I don't know about all the technicalities and
11 what's what.
12 MR. BELINFANTE: Right.
13 THE COURT: And we're all lawyers and not tech
14 people. So I would think, Mr. Brown, an order that they
15 preserve the evidence as far as is possible would be
16 appropriate at this minute. I'm not inclined to go
17 further than that, because there's been no service and I
18 haven't seen any answers and they haven't had a right to
19 challenge anything.
20 MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.
21 THE COURT: Let me hear from each one in turn.
22 MR. TYSON: Yes, Your Honor. For Gwinnett, also, we
23 wouldn't have a problem with that as far as possible.
24 Obviously we have to use the machines. We had to use them
25 yesterday.

1 THE COURT: As far as possible. There's to be no
2 destruction, deliberate destruction. What the machine
3 does to itself, who knows.
4 MR. TYSON: Right. So we have no objection, Your
5 Honor.
6 THE COURT: Okay.
7 MR. WARE: On behalf of DeKalb, Your Honor, we will
8 follow Your Honor's direction.
9 THE COURT: No problem with DeKalb. Fulton?
10 MS. RINGER: We, as well, will follow the order.
11 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Lindsey doesn't really have
12 any.
13 MR. LINDSEY: Given the fact, Your Honor, that we're
14 not in possession of any of the documents.
15 THE COURT: You're not in possession of anything.
16 MR. LINDSEY: We simply want everyone to behave.
17 THE COURT: I gotcha. Anybody I left out?
18 (No response.)
19 THE COURT: Okay. So if you'll do an order for me,
20 Mr. Brown. Like I say, I'm going to give everybody my
21 card when we're done. Unfortunately, Senior Judges are
22 movable people that wander all over the world. Well, I
23 don't go below McDonough but I've been to Ringgold. So
24 finding us sometimes is an issue and I give you my
25 personal email so that you can find me whenever you need

1 me. So just get it to me.
2 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, one point of clarification in
3 terms of as much as possible.
4 THE COURT: Right.
5 MR. BROWN: It is the Plaintiff's position that if
6 these machines are reused in subsequent elections, that
7 that will wipe the memory.
8 THE COURT: Okay. We're going to move as fast as we
9 can move on this case. As I'm looking at it, somebody has
10 a MARTA election in March. I don't know which -- I don't
11 know whether that involves anybody in this case.
12 MR. TYSON: Yes, that's Gwinnett County.
13 THE COURT: That's Gwinnett. Okay. We'll have
14 handled this before we get to March.
15 MR. BROWN: Thank you.
16 THE COURT: Okay. So right now there isn't anything
17 else that I know of. There aren't any other elections.
18 If something comes up, let me know.
19 MR. RUSSO: I think the City of Atlanta has one --
20 THE COURT: Huh?
21 MR. RUSSO: I think the City of Atlanta has one also
22 and I believe they use Fulton County's.
23 THE COURT: When is it, do you know?
24 MR. BELINFANTE: City Councilman Ivory Young.
25 THE COURT: Oh, yes, that's right. Okay. We'll move

1 as fast as we can move.
2 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. If it's okay with
3 Your Honor, what we will put in the draft preservation
4 order is that the Defendants will use other machines for
5 these smaller elections.
6 THE COURT: No, I didn't say that.
7 MR. BROWN: Okay.
8 THE COURT: That's not what I said.
9 MR. BROWN: I understand, Your Honor.
10 THE COURT: I mean, you're asking me something. At
11 this point I have no idea about the case.
12 MR. BROWN: I understand.
13 THE COURT: All I'm trying to do is maintain the
14 status quo as well as I can while everybody gets served.
15 Everybody has a right to file answers, motions, whatever
16 may be coming at you. That's all I'm trying to do is
17 preserve the status quo.
18 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
19 THE COURT: Okay. Now you mentioned something about
20 parties?
21 MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor. The Secretary of State
22 filed a Motion to Dismiss late last night, and the
23 Secretary of State is taking the position that the
24 Secretary of State is not a proper party to this.
25 THE COURT: Well I'm not going to rule on that. See,

1 that's one of the things I'm concerned about. I don't
2 know what kind of motions are coming. I'm assuming --
3 With due respect -- I don't know how many lawyers we have
4 in this courtroom -- but they're not going to just roll
5 over and play dead. They're going to be responsive. Is
6 that a good word? Responsive, okay. So I want to give
7 them the proper time to do that, and I'm not going to deal
8 with anything -- Now if there's a -- I haven't seen it.
9 If it came I'm -- My office is supposed to be served with
10 it up here. As I say, I'll give everybody a card so you
11 can -- You can send me copies direct of everything you've
12 got. So if I'm somewhere else, I can still get copies of
13 them and I can still print them and know what I'm looking
14 at and line them up. But no, I'm not dealing with any of
15 that.
16 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
17 THE COURT: Now, trial date. Having said there is a
18 motion pending -- And we've got to have service. If you
19 get me that order and I think I am required -- It's five
20 to ten days after service as I understand what the code
21 section says. So they have to do that. So you've got to
22 get me the order. We're probably looking at after
23 Christmas and it would seem to me that we could set it for
24 what I would term motion hearings or whatever else they
25 may file. And, of course, you may want to respond to some

1 of them, too. I understand all that. I think we just set
2 it for a date. Getting y'all together, though you've done
3 good today, can be somewhat difficult.
4 My suggestion would be either the 7th or the 9th of
5 January. Would you look and see if somebody has something
6 that would require -- I'm thinking one of those two days
7 just to hear whatever may be filed. And at that point
8 then we can set it down for trial. And we're looking at
9 probably the first week in February for trial is what
10 we're looking at. Let me give y'all a chance to talk
11 about that and see where you are on those dates. Y'all
12 take your time.
13 (Brief pause.)
14 MR. LINDSEY: Sorry about that, Your Honor.
15 THE COURT: No, no. I want to --
16 MR. LINDSEY: It's actually fairly --
17 THE COURT: It's just, is that enough time? What's
18 y'all's position?
19 MR. BELINFANTE: The Defendants' collective position,
20 Your Honor, is that, you know, there are statutory
21 deadlines set in there and so once service is done that
22 triggers one and triggers another. As long as we're not
23 waiving any defenses based on those statutory timelines,
24 we think that having motions due to the Court on or before
25 December 20 would be appropriate. And then if the Court

1 wants to have a hearing on the 7th or the 9th, all parties
2 -- at least all Defendants are available to do so. But we
3 would want to have a hearing on the merits presuming the
4 Court even chooses to do that or get that far, as soon as
5 possible thereafter.
6 THE COURT: I understand that and I was looking at
7 that. Say we did February the 7th for motions.
8 MR. BELINFANTE: January 7th, Your Honor?
9 THE COURT: January 7th for motions. We could do
10 trial the 17th and 18th.
11 MR. BELINFANTE: Of?
12 THE COURT: January. So motions due by December
13 20th, January the 7th will be motion hearings, and then
14 trial will be -- And we can do it all in one order,
15 because I think I'm required to do that. What did I say,
16 17th and 18th of February? I've got to find -- Well we'll
17 talk about that in a minute. Not February, of January.
18 Trial would be January 17th. So motions hearings on the
19 7th and trial on the 17th. Any problem with that, Mr.
20 Brown?
21 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor. If it's an open day for
22 the Court and for the Defendants, for the Plaintiffs the
23 9th would be marginally better for the initial hearing
24 rather than the 7th; however, we will be here whenever
25 we're ordered to do so, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Any issue with the 7th or the 9th?
2 MR. BELINFANTE: It does not appear to be, Your
3 Honor.
4 THE COURT: Everybody all right? Okay. We'll say
5 the motions are on the 9th. I've got to rule on them.
6 That gives me time. Motions on the 9th, trial on the 17th
7 and 18th. And I can do that order. I'll do that order.
8 MR. BELINFANTE: The only issue, Your Honor, I'm
9 truly not trying to be difficult, but I just want to --
10 THE COURT: No, no.
11 MR. BELINFANTE: I don't want the Court to think that
12 at some point we surprised it or anything of that nature.
13 Depending on when service happens. The statute appears to
14 indicate that there's about 20 days between the appearance
15 that is filed and then the actual trial date. This may,
16 again, depending on service, fall outside that. I just
17 want to make clear for the record that we're not waiving
18 any defenses based on those dates.
19 THE COURT: Right. And we're doing these days -- and
20 I'll do an order on the days, just to protect on the
21 different rules and regulations. We can move them. It
22 doesn't say we can't move them. And we can move them.
23 And if service doesn't get done -- He'll give me the
24 service papers. We'll send them to the clerk. The clerk
25 will send them to the sheriff. It's Christmas. I don't

1 know. But we'll just see where it goes. And I trust
2 we'll have a return of service on each one so that we will
3 not.
4 MR. BELINFANTE: Great. All right. Thank you,
5 Judge.
6 THE COURT: Okay. The issue is this, and let me say
7 this -- As I said, I go to Ringgold, so I go wherever I
8 need to go. Do you want to hear it in Cobb or do you need
9 to hear it in Fulton? Whatever is easier for y'all.
10 MR. BELINFANTE: On behalf of the secretary, we're
11 indifferent. Whatever is easiest for the Court is easiest
12 for us.
13 THE COURT: With due respect, when you come to Cobb
14 in the morning you're going the opposite way with the
15 traffic. I was trying to work out how to phrase that.
16 And so it may be just that we can keep doing this in Cobb.
17 If someone objects, I'll try and move it to Fulton.
18 MR. BROWN: No objection, Your Honor.
19 THE COURT: No objection. Nobody's objecting right
20 now.
21 MR. LINDSEY: No objection, Your Honor.
22 THE COURT: No objection. So we'll do it in Cobb. I
23 will try -- I will go right now and see which courtroom I
24 can get to do it in. How many lawyers do we have? We
25 have 16 lawyers. So we ought to get the big courtroom.

1 Let's see what I can do. And then, Madam Clerk, would you
2 put these out? I hope there's enough. That's one for
3 everybody. That's my contact information. It has my --
4 That's for everybody. It has my cell phone number on it.
5 It has everything that you need, because, as I say, we
6 move around a lot. Anything else we need to do this
7 morning?
8 (No response.)
9 THE COURT: We're done. If you get that service to
10 me as fast as possible, I'll get it filed and done. I do
11 have a law clerk. He's not here yet. And we'll get it
12 done.
13 MR. BELINFANTE: Judge, just so I'm clear, whenever
14 we filed something is it your preference that we also
15 email you a copy of what we file?
16 THE COURT: Yes. Just email me a copy so I've got it
17 and I can start looking at it and see where we are.
18 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
19 THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you, gentlemen.
20 (End of Proceedings).
21
22
23
24
25

1 **C E R T I F I C A T E**

2

3 STATE OF GEORGIA)

4 COUNTY OF COBB)

5

6 I hereby certify that the foregoing transcript was taken
7 down, as stated in the caption, and the questions and answers
8 thereto were reduced to type-written form by me personally;
9 that the foregoing pages 01 through 18 represent a true,
10 correct and complete transcript of the evidence given upon said
11 hearing; and I further certify that I am not of kin or counsel
12 to the parties in the case, am not in the regular employ of
13 counsel for any of the said parties, nor am I anywise
14 interested in the result of said case.

15 This the 23rd day of January, 2019.

16 The above certification is expressly withdrawn and denied
17 upon the alteration, disassembly or photocopying of foregoing
18 transcript, including exhibits, unless said alteration,
19 disassembly or photocopying is done under the auspices of Paul
20 D. Crowder, C.C.R., C.V.R., and the original signature and
21 original seal is attached thereto.

22

23 Paul D. Crowder

24 Paul D. Crowder, C.C.R., C.V.R.

25 Certified Court Reporter, B-1579

E

X

H

I

B

I

T

2

1 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY

2 STATE OF GEORGIA

3

4 COALITION FOR GOOD . CIVIL ACTION
GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. . FILE NO.: 2018-CV-313418
5

6 MARTIN, SMYTH DUVAL, and .
JEANNE DUFORT, . Taken at:
7

8 Plaintiffs, . Superior Court of Cobb County

9 vs. . 70 Haynes Street

10 ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, . Courtroom 2000

11 Secretary of State of . Marietta, Georgia 30090
Georgia, et al. .
12

13 Defendants. .
. . . . . .
14

15
TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING PROCEEDINGS
16

17 WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 9, 2019

18 8:59 a.m. to 11:04 a.m.

19

20 STATE OF GEORGIA SENIOR JUDGE ADELE P. GRUBBS

21

22
REPORTED BY:
23

24 PRISCILLA GARCIA, COURT REPORTER

25 NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF GEORGIA

1 APPEARANCES:

2
Plaintiff's Counsel: Bruce P. Brown, Esquire
3
4 Bruce P. Brown Law
Floataway Business Complex
5
6 1123 Zonolite Road N.E.
Suite 6
7
8 Atlanta, Georgia 30306
9 404-881-0700
10 bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com
11
Defendant's Counsel: John Belinfante, Esquire
12
13 Robbins, Ross, Alloy, Belinfante
& Littlefield, LLC
14
15 500 14th Street N.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30318
16
17 404-856-3262
18 jbelinfante@robbinsfirm.com
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:

2
Defendant's Counsel: Vincent R. Russo, Esquire
3
4 Robbins, Ross, Alloy, Belinfante
& Littlefield, LLC
5
6 999 Peachtree Street N.E.
Suite 1120
7
8 Atlanta, Georgia 30309
9 404-856-3260
10 vrusso@robbinsfirm.com
11
Defendant's Associate Counsel:
12
13 Alexander F. Denton, Esquire
Robbins, Ross, Allot, Belinfante
14
15 & Littlefield, LLC
500 14th Street N.W.
16
17 Atlanta, Georgia 30318
18 404-856-3276
adenton@robbinsfirm.com
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:

2
Defendant's Counsel: Edward H. Lindsey, Esquire
3
4 Dentons US, LLP
303 Peachtree Street N.E.
5
6 Suite 5300
Atlanta, Georgia 30308
7
8 404-527-4580
9 edward.lindsey@dentons.com
10
11 Defendant's Counsel: Kaye Woodard Burwell, Esquire
Office of the County Attorney
12
13 141 Pryor Street S.W.
Suite 4038
14
15 Atlanta, Georgia 30303
404-612-0251
16
17 kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov
18
Defendant's Counsel: Bryan P. Tyson, Esquire
19
20 Strickland, Brockington & Lewis,
LLP
21
22 1170 Peachtree Street N.E.
Atlanta, Georgia 30309
23
24 404-219-3160
25 bryan.tyson@sbllaw.com

1 I-N-D-E-X

2 EXHIBITS: DESCRIPTION PAGE
NONE

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 COBB COUNTY, GEORGIA

2 WEDNESDAY, January 9, 2018 - 8:59 p.m.

3

4 * * * * * * *

5

6 THE COURT: On this case we have a request on Rule 22 who is opposed to make -- that request. Is anybody --

7

8 MS. BURWELL: (inaudible)

9 THE COURT: This is on the case. This is on the

10 Coalition case.

11 MS. BURWELL: Right.
THE COURT: Does anyone have any objection to the

12

13 Rule 22? -- the cameraman wants to record the proceedings.

14

15 MR. BELINFANTE: No, Your Honor.
MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor.

16

17 THE COURT: Everyone's saying no.

18 MR. RUSSO: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. Then I will grant it. I find that

19

20 it is covered under Section -- Rule 22. I have an order confirming.

21

22 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you.
THE COURT: I've got the order in front of me. I've

23

24 got it.

25 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Will they know downstairs?

1 THE COURT: I'm sorry.

2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Will they know downstairs? If I don't have it signed, they won't let me go through
3

4 security. If I don't have a signed --
THE COURT: I just signed it.
5

6 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Oh, okay.
THE COURT: Pinpoint the rules though. I need to
7

8 explain to you what the rules are. You can only record

9 when I'm in courtroom.

10 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay.

11 THE COURT: Okay. You cannot record anything from counsel's table.
12

13 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay.
THE COURT: Okay. Or any conversation they may have.
14

15 I don't think there are any witnesses. It's not that kind of hearing today, but we'll see. It's not -- yet and
16

17 we'll see. Don't record anything that they've got

18 privilege and all those kinds of things. So be very careful on that.
19

20 The rules say no bench conferences. I can't imagine me trying to hold a bench conference with these people.
21

22 But we'll -- all I'm doing -- okay. So, I will sign the order. When you say downstairs, what do you mean?
23

24 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: To get through security I have to

25 have a signed form to get through.

1 THE COURT: Okay.

2 THE BAILIFF: I'll take care of that, Judge.
THE COURT: Okay.
3

4 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you.
THE COURT: There you go. I don't -- I've got a
5

6 couple of them, okay. Jim, I'll let him handle it, okay.
Okay. So, we have a good record, this is the Coalition
7

8 for Good Governance and various individuals versus Robyn

9 Crittenden, Fulton County, and Gwinnett County.

10 Can you each, Counsel, introduce yourselves for the

11 record so I have a record of who's here? Yes, sir.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, Bruce Brown for the
12

13 plaintiffs. With me at counsel table is Marilyn Marks,
the executive director of plaintiff Coalition for Good
14

15 Governance.
THE COURT: I will allow that right now. We'll see
16

17 where we go. Yes, sir.

18 MR. RUSSO: Good morning, Your Honor. Vincent Russo
for the Georgia Secretary of State.
19

20 MR. BELINFANTE: Josh Belinfante also for the
Secretary of State, Your Honor.
21

22 THE COURT: Okay.
MR. TYSON: Good morning, Your Honor. Bryan Tyson
23

24 and with me is Dick Carruthers. We represent the Gwinnett

25 Board of Registrations and Elections.

1 THE COURT: Okay.

2 MR. LINDSEY: Good morning, Your Honor. Edward Lindsey on behalf of Lieutenant Governor-elect Geoff

3

4 Duncan.

THE COURT: Okay.

5

6 MS. BURWELL: Kaye Burwell here on behalf of Fulton County.

7

8 THE COURT: How did you get stuck with this?

9 MS. BURWELL: There too many lawyers there this

10 morning, Your Honor.

11 THE COURT: We're trying to pretend you're not here. I gotcha. I got it. I know how that works, okay. That's

12

13 it. As I understand it, DeKalb County has been dismissed?

MR. BROWN: That's correct, Your Honor.

14

15 THE COURT: In looking at -- we're here -- let me be clear on this. We're here on motions today. This is not

16

17 evidentiary. Understand the statute of evidence has been

18 supposedly filed, the report's picked it up. That's not relevant today. It's got nothing to do with today.

19

20 That's not what we're here about, okay. We're here for the four corners of the petition; that's all we're

21

22 here about. So I need to be clear about that. I understand though, Mr. Brown, is that you have agreed that

23

24 the Coalition is not a proper party as to the election

25 contest; is that correct?

1 MR. BROWN: That is correct, Your Honor. The
2 Coalition is a party to the Federal 1983 claims, and the individual plaintiffs are the contestants in the election
3
4 contest.
THE COURT: Okay. And then with that covered, in
5
6 count 2 and count 3 are federal claims; is that correct?
MR. BROWN: That's correct, Your Honor.
7
8 THE COURT: Okay. Are those not being contested in
9 federal court right now?
10 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor. They're -- similar
11 claims have been raised in federal court, but these are -- these claims are also raised in this case.
12
13 THE COURT: Well, how many times can you raise the same claim? I mean, if this is a federal -- I'm going to
14
15 hear from everybody. If this is a federal issue, then why don't I just stay it and let the federals decide what it
16
17 is?
18 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the plaintiff's claims are right to be asserted here, and it may be that they -- that
19
20 those --
THE COURT: You can't keep asserting them in all
21
22 different kinds of -- of courtrooms.
MR. BROWN: That's correct, Your Honor. But had --
23
24 had the plaintiffs not asserted them, they could have
25 waived their right to the relief, if that relief were

1 deemed right before the other ones matured. The federal
2 court --
THE COURT: Let me hear from here from the -- from
3
4 the defendants on that issue. Don't mean to -- if I'm
making any sense this morning.
5
6 MR. RUSSO: Good morning, Your Honor. Vincent Russo
for the Secretary of State. This -- these are claims that
7
8 are pending and the case before Judge Totenberg in a
9 criminal matter. We don't have any objection to you also
10 considering those claims. We will do as you determine.
11 THE COURT: Okay.
MR. DENTON: And Your Honor, for Gwinnett, we're kind
12
13 of in the same position as Secretary of State.
THE COURT: Okay.
14
15 MR. DENTON: We're in the same position as the
Secretary of State's Office, and we don't have necessarily
16
17 an objection. We don't think we're really proper to be
18 part of the federal constitutional claims --
THE COURT: (inaudible)
19
20 MR. DENTON: -- so we can deal with that later. Yes.
THE COURT: We'll take one step at a time.
21
22 MR. DENTON: Yes.
THE COURT: I'm trying to take -- this is today,
23
24 okay. I'm trying to take it one step at a time, okay.
25 I'm fairly simplistic about -- I'm a math major, okay.

1 Explain life to me. You know, we're going to do it like
2 that. I do better if I keep my brain in one spot at a time. Fulton County, what do you say?
3
4 MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, on behalf of Fulton County, we take the position that the federal claims are pending
5
6 in federal court, and this is just another opportunity for these same plaintiffs to bring the same claim again, and
7
8 we agree with the Court that you want to stay the federal
9 issues, the constitutional issues they claim that they're
10 raising.
11 THE COURT: Mr. Lindsey.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I don't believe that Geoff
12
13 Duncan is really a party to the second and third count. They're simply a party in regard to the first count,
14
15 seeking a new election.
THE COURT: Is it -- I'm sorry?
16
17 MR. LINDSEY: We're simply a party in regard to the
18 first count, seeking a new election.
THE COURT: Right. But you're not really involved
19
20 in --
MR. LINDSEY: It certainly does, however, make sense
21
22 for you to stay.
THE COURT: You're right.
23
24 MR. LINDSEY: That'll do it.
25 THE COURT: Okay. Then I will hear the motions this

1 morning on that, but I'm still not convinced I'm going to
2 stay, okay. Because it's pending somewhere else. With that said, then let's go -- let me see. I'll hear -- let
3
4 me hear the Secretary of State's motions first, okay. Let -- what we're going to do -- a lot of them -- a
5
6 lot of them are the same. A lot of the motions are the same, so I'm going to -- I'm going to let you go first,
7
8 and then we'll go from there, okay.
9 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. And the
10 defendant's counsel, we did try to split up kind of all
11 arguments so that you aren't hearing -- THE COURT: Whatever you want to do, Mr. Brown.
12
13 MR. RUSSO: We're here on Secretary of State's motion to dismiss, along with the motions to dismiss filed by our
14
15 co-defendants. As you're aware, plaintiffs filed a petition contesting the results of the November 6, 2018,
16
17 general election and the race for lieutenant governor for
18 the State of Georgia. In that election the margin of victory was 123,172
19
20 votes. The plaintiffs in this case claim that the only explanations for the difference in the number of votes in
21
22 the lieutenant governor's race and other statewide races is that there were malfunctioning or erroneously
23
24 programmed voting machines or malicious manipulation of
25 the voting machines.

1 That that -- that those issues place in doubt the
2 results of the election. Now plaintiff's, of course,
3 completely disregard other reasonable explanations for the
4 differences in the votes, such as the fact that there were
5 third-party candidates in the other races and incumbents,
6 but that's for another day.
7 The Supreme Court has repeatedly stated that Georgia
8 law prohibits a contestant from merely speculating or
9 guessing as to the cause of an election contest. Here we
10 have -- the plaintiffs have asserted grounds under 2125 --
11 excuse me, 2102 520, under multiple different sections of
12 the election page, excuse me.
13 And the motion to dismiss, their response to the
14 motion to dismiss has them discuss -- the plaintiffs have
15 expanded the grounds for their contest to -- to additional
16 ones. So, there are threshold issues that pertain to our
17 client, which I'll address first.
18 My partner, Josh Belinfante, will address the federal
19 constitutional claims, and as I mentioned the other
20 parties will address those claims. Your Honor, has
21 already taken and confirmed the first step here that the
22 Coalition for Good Governance lacks standing to file an
23 election contest claim, so we're going to move past --
24 past that.
25 As Your Honor knows, election contests are authorized

1 and governed by the Georgia Election Code, which is in
2 Chapter 2 of Title 21. Pursuant to OCGA212520, there are only certain individuals who can be a defendant in an
3
4 election contest and none of those individuals in -- in this context would be the Secretary of State, which is the
5
6 first reason for dismissing the Secretary of State in the election contest.
7
8 THE COURT: Okay. I didn't -- Josh, I didn't realize
9 you had to go back to the courtroom.
10 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Yes.
11 THE COURT: Okay.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: (inaudible)
12
13 THE COURT: I didn't realize that they wouldn't let you go through. Let me give you a chance to get set up
14
15 because that was not -- I didn't realize that.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: I'm good.
16
17 THE COURT: It gets confusing because everybody has a
18 right to be heard, and I didn't realize they wouldn't let you bring it in, okay. But the fact that she -- that your
19
20 allegation is the Secretary of State should not be a defendant, let me hear that. That doesn't apply to
21
22 anybody else. Let me hear that one first.
MR. RUSSO: Yes, ma'am.
23
24 THE COURT: I understand it's a motion to dismiss,
25 but it's an issue that's out in front. Go ahead.

1 MR. RUSSO: Of course. Under OCGA212520,
2 subsection 2, a defendant is defined as -- as four different specific classes. A defendant could be either
3
4 the person whose nomination election is contested such as the lieutenant governor elect in this case, the person
5
6 whose eligibility to seek any nomination or office in a runoff primary or election is contested, the election
7
8 superintendent or superintendents who conducted the
9 contested primary or election, or the public officer who
10 formally declared the number of votes for and against a
11 ballot question submitted to the electors at an election. Under the Georgia Election Code, the Secretary of
12
13 State is not an election superintendent. Secretary of State does not conduct elections. Elections are conducted
14
15 in the State of Georgia by -- at the county level, by the county superintendents.
16
17 Under the definitions, the general definitions that
18 are applicable to the Georgia Election Code, a superintendent is specifically defined in 2122, 2,
19
20 subsection 35, as either a judge of the probate court of a county or the County Board of Elections, the County Board
21
22 of Elections and Registration, the joint City and County Board of Elections, or the joint City Board of Elections
23
24 and Registrations, if a county has one.
25 And there are -- there are three other categories,

1 which apply to municipal elections. None of those are
2 applicable here. As Your -- as Your Honor can tell here, you know, the plain language in the definition does not
3 include the Secretary of State, and -- and -- and that really goes to the fact that the Secretary of State,
4 again, doesn't conduct elections.
5 Where the Secretary of State -- the Secretary of
6 State is obviously involved in voter registration and must
7 maintain a statewide voter registration database, but that
8 doesn't make the Secretary of State a superintendent. The
9 county -- the -- the plaintiffs have alleged that or have argued that, you know, since it's a statewide election
10 involving a lieutenant governor, that the Secretary of State must -- must be a proper -- must be a superintendent
11 and must be a proper party.
12 The defendant -- or excuse me, the plaintiffs rely on
13 the case Mead vs. Sheffield, which involved a court of
14 appeals election contest, and in that case the -- in that case, it was a 2004 election contest in which one of the
15 -- the contestant missed the runoff by about 380 votes, because he had -- there was the wrong -- and there was a
16 wrong name on the ballot.
17 We do not know why the Secretary of State in that
18 case was a party. The plaintiffs claim that since she was
19 a party in that case, she -- the -- current Secretary of

1 State must, you know, must be a party in this case.

2 Of course, there's -- there's no precedent -- precedential value in that case on that precise point.

3

4 That said, what the Mead vs. Sheffield case does highlight is that even in an election contest involving a statewide

5

6 race, election contest is filed against the county superintendents, which it was Laurens County in that case,

7

8 where there alleged irregularities that occurred in that

9 particular county.

10 And that, you know, indicates where there is an

11 alleged irregularity involving an -- an illegal ballot as opposed to an illegal vote, the contestant must show that

12

13 the number of illegal ballots cast exceeds the margin of victory in order to prevail.

14

15 Again, this is -- the -- the reason the case was filed in Laurens County -- against Laurens County was

16

17 because that's where the irregularities occurred. I know

18 my colleagues at the -- that represent the other defendants, the county defendants will probably be

19

20 speaking to this issue, but Mead vs. Sheffield is clearly inapplicable here.

21

22 Plaintiffs also argue that the definition of election superintendent in the Recall Act of 1989, which is

23

24 Chapter 4 of Title 21, must apply to the Election Code.

25 Your Honor, I mean, it's a fundamental rule of

1 statutory construction that it's -- it's presumed that the
2 legislature acts intentionally and purposefully when it includes particular language in one section of a statute
3
4 but omits it from another. And that's Tolson v. Sistrunk, 332-Georgia at 324 from 2015.
5
6 Now in this rule of statutory construction is applied to the present case, it's clear that the word
7
8 "superintendent" as used for purposes of election contest
9 is -- is -- is referring to County Boards of Elections and
10 Registration or county probate judges, and that's for
11 counties that don't have, of course, a Board of Registrations and Elections.
12
13 Finally, Your Honor, the plaintiffs have made an argument about -- that -- that a public officer who --
14
15 that -- that the fourth subsection to the definition of "defendant" that discusses, you know, that a defendant can
16
17 be a public officer who declares the number of votes for
18 and against a question, submitted to -- submitted to the electors in an election that that somehow must wrap in the
19
20 Secretary of State also, but, Your Honor, of course, a question -- the question is defined to be, you know,
21
22 constitutional amendments or propositions, ballot referendum items that -- that voters vote on this, that
23
24 has nothing to do with election contests involving
25 candidates for public office.

1 And we would say that there's -- there's no basis for
2 making that -- that leap that the plaintiffs are -- are asking the Court to make. As Your Honor knows, election
3
4 laws that are in variegation to common law must be strictly construed here.
5
6 There's -- there's no basis for expanding the definition of "superintendent" to somehow wrap the
7
8 Secretary of State up into this election contest. The
9 proper defendants would be the counties, the county
10 superintendents in each county where the plaintiffs are
11 aware of election irregularities.
Now that moves to the next -- the next issue, and as
12
13 Your Honor pointed -- stated --
THE COURT: (inaudible)
14
15 MR. RUSSO: Well, within the four corners of -- of the complaint or the -- the petition.
16
17 THE COURT: Let me take the one issue, okay. Are you
18 arguing the motion to dismiss now?
MR. RUSSO: I'm still arguing the motion to dismiss,
19
20 just moving past whether --
THE COURT: That's not relevant here.
21
22 MR. RUSSO: Oh, I'm sorry. I apologize.
THE COURT: No, -- go ahead.
23
24 MR. RUSSO: Okay. The plaintiff's election contest
25 is also subject to dismissal for failing to meet the --

1 the pleading requirements.

2 THE COURT: Okay. That I'd like to take separately.
MR. RUSSO: Okay.
3

4 THE COURT: Let's take each step. That's what I said
in the beginning.
5

6 MR. RUSSO: Okay.
THE COURT: Let's take each step. You'll get to
7

8 argue that.

9 MR. RUSSO: No, I understand. I -- I thought you

10 meant each step -- I got you.

11 THE COURT: Let's -- the first issue before me is, is
the Secretary of State a proper -- okay.
12

13 MR. RUSSO: Sure.
THE COURT: All right. Anybody else on the defense
14

15 might want to bring a -- how about you, Mr. Brown?
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Judge. Bruce Brown for the
16

17 plaintiffs. The issue of whether the Secretary of State

18 is a proper defendant is actually -- it touches upon two
arguments that they make that are virtually the same. The
19

20 first is whether the Secretary is a proper defendant and
second whether the Secretary has sovereign immunity.
21

22 If the Secretary of State is a proper defendant, then
the sovereign immunity has been waived. The -- the
23

24 plaintiff's argument with respect to both issues is that

25 the election statute says defendant means election

1 superintendent. That is OCGA212250. The code then
2 defines election superintendent.
THE COURT: Are you saying 212250? 520.
3
4 MR. BROWN: I'm -- I'm sorry. 520.
THE COURT: 520. So y'all know, I have the book. I
5
6 don't necessarily have access to this particular computer, and so I -- bring this book down with me.
7
8 MR. BROWN: I apologize, Your Honor.
9 THE COURT: It's 220?
10 MR. BROWN: 220. I keep transposing those numbers.
11 THE COURT: Yeah. Don't confuse them.
MR. BROWN: And then the phrase "election
12
13 superintendent" means in a statewide election the Secretary of State. That is defined in OCGA2143. Now, it
14
15 is correct that that definition of "election superintendent" as the Secretary of State is in a
16
17 different chapter of the code.
18 However, there's no reason to believe that the legislature, in using the term election superintendent in
19
20 the contest chapter, meant anything different and, in fact, commonsense would require that in a statewide
21
22 election that the proper defendant who is conducting the election is the Secretary of State.
23
24 Particularly when the irregularity that is alleged is
25 an irregularity that is statewide in every single case.

1 Now the defendants, the Secretary of State option for
2 Your Honor, is to rule that in the statewide election contest that the plaintiff must join 159 counties, and
3
4 so --
THE COURT: Well, we haven't gotten to that yet,
5
6 either.
MR. BROWN: But this is -- but, Your Honor, it's the
7
8 same -- I would -- it's the same argument in that -- the
9 -- they're saying that they are not proper, but instead
10 the proper defendants are the 159 counties.
11 And we -- we think that's absurd and that's not what the legislator -- legislature intended. And it's not what
12
13 the legislature said.
Moreover, Your Honor, there has been, as far as we
14
15 know, only one statewide election contest on record, and that is the Mead vs. Sheffield case, which Mr. Russo
16
17 discussed. And in fact, in the Mead vs. Sheffield case
18 the attorney general defended and did not raise the sovereign immunity argument at all, and it's a
19
20 jurisdictional argument and it made it to the Supreme Court.
21
22 And it was clear to the Secretary of State and the attorney general at the time, Your Honor, that our reading
23
24 of the statute and commonsense meant, of course, that the
25 Secretary of State who is in charge of the elections is

1 the proper defendant in the statewide election contest.

2 Now, Mr. Russo said something to the effect, well, that was several years ago. I'm not sure why the

3

4 Secretary -- Secretary of State did it then, or why the former Secretary of State and former attorney general took

5

6 that position. I would like -- if I may approach, I found a case yesterday, Your Honor, which I believe is

7

8 instructed. But I'd like to approach the bench with a

9 copy of some of the papers, if I might.

10 THE COURT: All right. If you want to -- we don't

11 have a clerk because this is a Fulton County case. Thank you. So, it's just a brief?

12

13 MR. BROWN: It's a brief and then the case is attached to it, Your Honor.

14

15 THE COURT: I'm not going to -- I'm not going to take somebody else's brief. You want to argue it, fine, but

16

17 I'm not going to -- somebody else's brief and somebody

18 else's case is not -- and has got nothing to do with that.
MR. BROWN: Fair enough. If the -- the case, which

19

20 follows the copy of the brief, is a case called Dawkins-Haigler vs. Anderson. It's reported at 301-Georgia-27.

21

22 In that case, the Secretary of State was sued. And I quote, it's "Brian Kemp in his official capacity as state

23

24 election supervisor." That was the capacity in which he

25 was sued.

1 The -- the case was defended by Chris Carr, attorney
2 general, Dennis Dunn, Willard Chris Corea, the same attorney general law department lawyers who were defending
3
4 this case along with outside counsel from the Robbins firm. This is a case that was -- was tried last year,
5
6 Your Honor, and in that case the Secretary of State was -- THE COURT: Has there been an appellate decision on
7
8 the case?
9 MR. BROWN: Yes.
10 THE COURT: Okay.
11 MR. BROWN: It is 301-Georgia-27, and in that case the Secretary of State was sued quote "in its official
12
13 capacity as state election supervisor," and that styling of the Secretary of State appears in his own brief, which
14
15 is what I had shown to you, but the important thing is the reported decision, and in that case also the Secretary of
16
17 State stood up and defended the case on the merits.
18 THE COURT: Okay. If you want to give me -- but you know, if you've got a decision, let me have the final
19
20 decision, but what somebody else may have said and it's on the report, it's not relevant --
21
22 MR. BROWN: Your Honor the -- what the law department -- the position the law department said it is not binding
23
24 either on the law department or anybody else. They can
25 change their mind, of course, and not binding on Your

1 Honor.

2 However, it is reflective of what the law is, and the law says that defendant means election superintendent and

3

4 the law says "election superintendent" in a statewide race means the Secretary of State. So, our argument is that

5

6 the statute is clear.
There is no case in the history in the State of

7

8 Georgia holding that the Secretary of State has sovereign

9 immunity in an elections case. No case at all. They are

10 asking Your Honor to make a precedent setting, a brand-new

11 decision. It will be the first ever that ever granted this -- this relief.

12

13 THE COURT: If that's meant to intimidate me, it doesn't.

14

15 MR. BROWN: I don't think it should -- should intimidate you, Your Honor.

16

17 THE COURT: Well, you -- I've read a lot of what

18 you've provided me. I have not read the proffer of evidence because it's not relevant today --

19

20 MR. BROWN: I understand.
THE COURT: -- and I understand where you're coming

21

22 from.
MR. BROWN: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. That's our

23

24 argument on the sovereign immunity and the Secretary of

25 State being a proper defendant.

1 THE COURT: Okay. Any responses on that issue?

2 MR. RUSSO: Briefly, Your Honor. As you rightly noted these cases, this -- this brief and the cases cited
3

4 by plaintiff's counsel have no precedential value and basically stand for nothing on this -- on this point.
5

6 Plaintiff's counsel stated that the law says that in a statewide case, the superintendent is the Secretary of
7

8 State. Your Honor, I can't find anything on the books or

9 in the code that -- that says such.

10 Even Chapter 4, even the Recall Act that plaintiff's

11 counsel has referred to multiple times, states that in any case of elected state officers the Secretary of State --
12

13 and in short, you know, if somebody wants to challenge -- if there's a group that wants to recall a public officer
14

15 or state officer, they have to go get a petition signed. And that petition then goes to the Secretary of
16

17 State. It's similar to a third-party candidate or an

18 independent candidate trying to get on the ballot in a statewide race. None of this -- the Recall Act, of
19

20 course, has nothing to do with election contests, similar to independent candidates trying to file in a petition to
21

22 get on the ballot has nothing to do with election contests.
23

24 Your Honor, again, we don't know why or -- or if this

25 issue has -- was raised in -- in the 2004 case. However,

1 you know, the Secretary of State is not a statewide
2 election superintendent. Georgia law has long held that elections are -- are conducted at the county level and if
3
4 a contest is going to be filed, then it should be filed against the counties where those irregularities occurred.
5
6 And so again, we would ask you to dismiss the election contest on -- on that basis.
7
8 THE COURT: Okay. This goes to count 1 as I
9 understand it, and 2125 -- this is Section 2 of 21, which
10 is the election contest, okay, it -- it states that the
11 election superintendent was superintendent to conduct a contested -- or election, okay. What it says, in 2- --
12
13 again, this is within the same code, 212235 defines who those are.
14
15 It defines who the superintendents are, and it does not include Secretary of State. That's the law, and
16
17 definitions applicable to Section 2, okay. Now there may
18 be in the recall, which is Section 4, that that may be different, but it's under a different code section. It's
19
20 under a different section of the code. So as far as the election contest goes, count 1, I find the Secretary of
21
22 State is not a proper party, okay. And so, I'll grant your motion. -- I'll put my, you know, -- as to count 1,
23
24 okay.
25 MR. RUSSO: Yes, ma'am. Thank you,

1 THE COURT: Okay. What's the next question?

2 MR. RUSSO: I think some of my other motions are mooted at this point, so do you want to --

3

4 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, it would be best at this point for Gwinnett County to make its proper party

5

6 argument or would you rather hear from the Secretary of State on the constitutional claims, counts 2 and 3?

7

8 THE COURT: Well, you've got a motion to dismiss on

9 -- what, just on the general --

10 MR. RUSSO: Well, we have one every --

11 THE COURT: You just got a routine motion to dismiss on the four corners of the petition.

12

13 MR. RUSSO: That's right. We just had -- had other reasons why it should be dismissed.

14

15 THE COURT: I guess, Mr. Brown -- I guess I'd hear from Gwinnett first as to whether they should be a party

16

17 and then Fulton whether they should be a party. And then

18 we'll go into the -- if that's all right with you.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

19

20 THE COURT: Okay. Okay --
MR. TYSON: Thank you, Your Honor. Good morning.

21

22 Bryan Tyson for Gwinnett County Board of Registrations and Elections.

23

24 For our motion to dismiss, Your Honor, I think if we

25 determined now, if we've determined that the Secretary of

1 State is not a proper party to an election contest, I
2 think the logical questions is, well, who then is a proper party?
3
4 For -- for us as Gwinnett County, there is no question that the Gwinnett Board is an election
5
6 superintendent who conducted the contested election. So, there's no issue there. The challenge in this scenario is
7
8 that the plaintiffs have not sued all the parties that are
9 required to afford them full relief and Mr. Russo
10 referenced the Mead case where the case was filed against
11 the county's where the problem was alleged in a statewide election contest.
12
13 And if you look at and kind of do a comparison between 520 and 522, I think it helps explain a little bit
14
15 about how there's a connection between the grounds of the contest and who the defendant is in those cases. So,
16
17 under the -- under 520-2A and B, you have a candidate
18 who's the defendant -- the person who was nominated, the person whose eligibility is challenged.
19
20 That lines up to 522-2 where the allegation in the election contest is that the defendant, the candidate is
21
22 not eligible to hold the office. And so, in those cases where you're challenging eligibility, the other candidate
23
24 is obviously a logical defendant.
25 For 3, the election superintendent in 520 who

1 conducted the contested primary, that's where you have the
2 allegations on the grounds related to misconduct, related to illegal votes being cast or legal votes being rejected
3
4 or errors in counting.
And in this case the plaintiffs have made a number of
5
6 allegations about the various problems across the state, but they've only sued now Fulton and Gwinnett counties, as
7
8 is evidenced by other motions they filed, they want to
9 preserve evidence in Murray and Gordon counties.
10 There are allegations regarding DeKalb, regarding
11 Worth County, regarding a variety of other Georgia counties that are not parties to this case. And the
12
13 challenge in this situation is they have the evidence that Your Honor needs to be able to figure out is this a valid
14
15 contest or not.
If this is not a scenario where you can just pick a
16
17 county and then make allegations regarding and number of
18 other counties when they're not parties to the case and can't get -- get in front of the court.
19
20 The -- in addition, there is a lack of allegations regarding Gwinnett County as to some of the specifics. A
21
22 lot of the specific allegations involve other counties, many of whom are not parties. The other counties are the
23
24 ones that have the evidence.
25 So, for our position as Gwinnett, we believe this is

1 the basis for dismissal, because not only did plaintiffs
2 fail to name the proper parties to start with, but it's now too late for them to amend.
3
4 And while the election contest procedures clearly allow amendment of the petition, there's a difference when
5
6 you're amending to add additional parties. And the only the Supreme Court case en pointe here is Brody vs.
7
8 Champion. And in that case the -- the contestant had
9 filed the case against the director of elections for
10 DeKalb County, but had not sued the Board of Elections.
11 And the Supreme Court said, yes, you can modify, they were on notice within the statute; that's not a problem.
12
13 The Hanson case that the plaintiffs have cited involved a different procedure under a municipal election code that
14
15 involve a direct appeal or an independent lawsuit.
It's not really relevant here. What is relevant is
16
17 we are now beyond the five-day statute or well beyond
18 that. And the relation back under 9/11/15, there's nothing that the plaintiffs needed to know at the time
19
20 they filed their lawsuit where they couldn't have named the counties that are going to have evidence that they
21
22 claim they need.
In their petition they identify. These are the same
23
24 counties are the worst -- have the worst questions about
25 these percentages. They had anecdotes from counties that

1 were not included as parties in the case. So now because
2 we're too late to be able to do an amendment and add -- and have that relate back to the original filling date,
3
4 the statute has run as to those counties.
It is our position that those are necessary parties
5
6 in order to give full relief in this case. And because those parties were not joined, that's a basis for a
7
8 dismissal of the entirety of the election contest, as to
9 those allegations that have been made by the plaintiffs.
10 THE COURT: Anybody else on the defendants' side that
11 want to support that position? And pardon me -- argument at the same time.
12
13 MS. BURWELL: Kaye Burwell on behalf of Fulton County. We join in that argument, Your Honor, and we
14
15 would add that when the Court looks at the complaint in Paragraph 38, it references that there were thousands of
16
17 problems they alleged with the DRE machines where clearly
18 Gwinnett and Fulton County aren't in a position to speak to those thousands of problems.
19
20 The only issues we could speak to would be any issues they alleged on our particular counties. And since this
21
22 is a statewide issue, they're alleging the only way they would be able to bring information to the Court and the
23
24 only way the Court would be able to have a full and fair
25 hearing is if all those other counties that they're

1 complaining about, where they allege all these issues
2 occurred, were to be able to come in.
And as we noted on our motion to dismiss, Your Honor,
3
4 the Fulton County Board of Registrations and Elections
can't provide the petitioners with the relief they seek
5
6 because the DRE is constrained to follow state law. And
they have to use the DRE machines because that's what
7
8 state law requires.
9 And the only response the plaintiffs provided to
10 that, Your Honor, was that absentee ballots could be used,
11 but clearly the DRE doesn't have the ability to force
every Fulton County voter to use an absentee ballot. The
12
13 voters get to decide how they choose to vote.
THE COURT: You're off the -- you're off the --
14
15 you're off the field. So right now, so they join all the
counties, or shouldn't they?
16
17 MS. BURWELL: They should have joined all of the
18 counties, Your Honor.
THE COURT: That's the issue we're figuring with them
19
20 on this motion.
MS. BURWELL: Okay.
21
22 THE COURT: I'm trying -- I'm trying to do as clean a
record as I can.
23
24 MS. BURWELL: Okay.
25 THE COURT: Okay. So, you'll be heard on that.

1 Everybody's going to get heard on everything, okay.

2 MS. BURWELL: Okay.
THE COURT: I don't want to make you -- I'm just
3

4 trying to make a nice, clean record of the -- of the case.
MS. BURWELL: Yes.
5

6 THE COURT: Okay. So, you -- you -- you agree that they have joined -- they should have seen everybody.
7

8 MS. BURWELL: Yes.

9 THE COURT: Okay? Every county, okay. Anybody else?

10 No? Okay. Then you can, because you didn't find the

11 word --
MR. BROWN: (inaudible)
12

13 THE COURT: (inaudible)
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. The Fulton
14

15 County's argument points to sort of the overall issue of how the plaintiffs are getting whip shot here. Fulton
16

17 says, we can't defend this because the Secretary of State

18 does everything.
THE COURT: No. Don't go there. The issue we're
19

20 dealing with, the only issue I'm trying to read right this minute is, should you have joined 159 counties or not?
21

22 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor, and here's why.
THE COURT: Okay. That -- that's the issue.
23

24 MR. BROWN: We -- we have -- first, we have alleged

25 causes of action against Gwinnett and Fulton. Our

1 allegations cover -- they are proper defendants as they --
2 I think, believe as they have conceded, that they've -- they conducted the election.
3
4 And we have alleged that the irregularities occurred in their counties, and they occurred in every county. And
5
6 so, Fulton and Gwinnett are proper defendants, and so they stay. The question then is, should the other -- should
7
8 157 other counties have been joined?
9 That comes down into two separate questions, okay.
10 First is, what might we need discovery from 157 other
11 counties and from a non-party Secretary of State. The answer is, yes. We will need discovery from the Secretary
12
13 of State, and we'll need discovery from -- from other counties, maybe.
14
15 We don't believe actually that substantial discovery will be required from every county. And the reason for
16
17 that is that the Secretary of State, not as a party, Your
18 Honor, I'm not arguing that piece, but as the repository of the information.
19
20 The Secretary programs the ballots, programs all the machines, programs the poll books, tabulates the results,
21
22 announces the results, all of those things. And so, the -- so the Secretary is here within the jurisdiction of this
23
24 court -- to court -- to respond to discovery.
25 In addition, Your Honor, has plenary power, plenary

1 power in the statutes that we have cited, to grant the
2 relief that we're seeking. There's no requirement that we
file suit against every county. Instead, the counties
3
4 that we have sued are proper.
And whether we can prove that is up to the next
5
6 hearing, it's not up to today. But we believe we can
prove that through discovery. And that the 157 counties
7
8 are not necessary to be joined. If they are necessary to
9 join them, then we'll join them and that's a separate
10 issue. Thank you, Your Honor.
11 THE COURT: Anything further? Anything further?
MR. BROWN: I have nothing further, Your Honor.
12
13 THE COURT: We have to do these cases as fast as we
can do them, and I respect that, and I'm trying to do this
14
15 as fast as I can. But given the status of what is
actually before me, not all this 180 pages of evidence and
16
17 all this stuff I've been dumped on, and which -- anyway.
18 I won't go there. It's very hard for me to be able to
download some of that stuff.
19
20 But I've done them. I've taken them off. But in
that status, I'm going to deny your motion. Whether it
21
22 will become relevant at the end of the hearing, I don't
know. But at this point, both those motions as to adding
23
24 the parties and as to them being improper parties --
25 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Does Gwinnett have any other motions
2 applicable just to Gwinnett?
3 MR. RUSSO: No, Your Honor, I don't believe we do.
4 We obviously join the other motions regarding kind of the
5 -- claim.
6 THE COURT: (inaudible)
7 MR. RUSSO: There is a complaint, I think that's it,
8 so.
9 THE COURT: Okay. Fulton, you've got it. Anything
10 else that you wanted to say? Come around, if you will.
11 I'm sorry to address you by county, but I have a cheat
12 sheet here with everybody's name, and I think I've lost
13 it.
14 MS. BURWELL: The -- the point I was making on
15 whether or not Fulton County was a proper party was that
16 the Fulton County Board of Registrations and Elections
17 isn't capable of providing the petitioners with the relief
18 that they're seeking, which in essence is changing out DRE
19 machines.
20 They don't want Georgia citizens to use the DRE
21 voting system. Well, Fulton County, like every other
22 county in the state, is constrained by state law to comply
23 with state law, which requires us to use the DRE machines.
24 Now, the response that plaintiffs had to our motion
25 to dismiss was merely, number one, that the Board of

1 Registrations and Elections could require citizens to vote
2 via absentee, and that's clearly not the case. Citizens get to decide how they vote, not the Board of
3
4 Registrations and Elections.
The only other issue they raised was OCGA21-2-366 and
5
6 they raised that for the proposition of saying that "Fulton County in fact could decide what kind of voting
7
8 system is in use" and that's just not the case. What the
9 Court looks at 21-2-366, it only provides that the
10 governing authority of a county, which is not the Board of
11 Registrations and Elections, it's the Board of Commissioners -- can authorize the use of optical scanning
12
13 voting systems.
Well, the Board of Commissioners is not a party to
14
15 this action; the Board of Registrations and Elections is. And so, the -- our position is that the county's position
16
17 with respect to whether or not it is a proper defendant is
18 unrefuted by the plaintiffs.
And then the only other issue we had raised, Your
19
20 Honor, was the lack of service. At the time we filed our motion to dismiss, they had not served.
21
22 THE COURT: They had not served?
MS. BURWELL: Yes, they served us on December 26th.
23
24 THE COURT: All right.
25 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I believe Your Honor's

1 already ruled that Fulton and Gwinnett are proper parties.
2 And I'm not sure I understand Fulton's argument that they're incapable of -- of conducting the elections. I
3
4 think that's an issue that may come later in terms of what sort of remedy that you offer, Your Honor.
5
6 Our position is that, number one, when you have the trial, the -- we will be able to show that a new election
7
8 should be ordered; that's the first argument. The second
9 argument is, if you do order a new election, on what
10 machines or what is -- what type of election should that
11 be? Should that be machines, or should that be paper? And that's what Fulton County is talking about is
12
13 really two or three issues; two steps away from where we are today. Our position will be that Fulton County is
14
15 capable of -- of conducting a paper ballot election without using these flawed machines.
16
17 And that that's authorized under the code provisions
18 that -- that we have cited. And that they don't need a Secretary of State's approval to do that, but certainly
19
20 Your Honor could order them to do so, if you've felt that was necessary, but again I think that's --
21
22 THE COURT: Asking further from Fulton County? MS. BURWELL: No.
23
24 THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to deny that, again at
25 this point when you all stop talking, arguing the

1 evidence, then the motion to dismiss this is doing a lot,
2 okay. Does that mean -- after we have a -- have a hearing on the matter, I don't know. But I'm happy to try it.
3
4 But right at this point, I'm bound by what the rules say. That's if a slight -- allegations are -- I have to
5
6 follow. Okay, so we haven't generalized the motion to dismiss, did you need to be heard on that as well.
7
8 MR. RUSSO: No, not on that issue.
9 THE COURT: I didn't think so. This is generalized.
10 Everybody seems to have generalized motions dismissed on
11 the pleadings. Let me hear that.
MR. RUSSO: Would you like to hear from --
12
13 THE COURT: On count one.
MR. RUSSO: Sure. Would you still like to hear from
14
15 us or --
THE COURT: Whatever order you want to do it.
16
17 MR. BELINFANTE: I mean, I'm happy to make our
18 argument. I'm happy to make our arguments on it.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, Edward Lindsey once again,
19
20 attorney on behalf of Lieutenant Governor-elect Geoff Duncan. As was previously stated, Mr. Duncan won his race
21
22 by over 123,000 votes. I'm going to go through some numbers, Your Honor, and I'm going to ask you to simply
23
24 take judicial notice, which I believe you're entitled to.
25 As a matter of public records; in terms of public records.

1 The case I'll cite for taking judicial notice would
2 be Johnson vs. Adams 323-Georgia-P0427 and that particular case that was taking judicial notice of a separate lawsuit
3
4 of a -- separate case in bankruptcy court, but nevertheless, the rule is that the courts in a motion to
5
6 dismiss, I believe, courts can take judicial notice of such matters.
7
8 And the only thing that I'm going to be talking about
9 are the official numbers from the Georgia Secretary of
10 State's office, certified numbers. Court, in such cases
11 such as ours needs to remember that the setting aside of an election in which the people have chosen their
12
13 representative, is a drastic remedy that should not be undertaken lightly, but instead should be reserved for
14
15 cases in which a person challenging an election has clearly established a violation of elections procedures.
16
17 And has demonstrated that the violations have placed
18 the result of the election in doubt; that's not our assertion, Your Honor. That's a big court decision. In
19
20 the case of Hunt vs. Crawford 270-Georgia-7, a 1998 decision in my brief we filed yesterday, Your Honor, we
21
22 sort of outlined that that's -- what's clearly required is that the plaintiffs --
23
24 And at this point, Your Honor, that the pleadings
25 must show that the plaintiffs are asserting that as result

1 of the defects that they are alleging, that it places the
2 -- the outcome of the election in doubt. And the courts have established somewhat of a mathematical test.
3
4 And I'm glad to hear that you said you were a math major, so I'm going to simply talk about a little math
5
6 here. The -- the plaintiffs, you know, or the petitioners have alleged several things. On number one they say, that
7
8 "as a result of these nefarious defects, the -- the total
9 number of votes cast in the lieutenant governor's race was
10 dramatically below those of other down-ballot statewide
11 races."
Well, if you look at our particular case, like I
12
13 said, 123,000 votes, 123,172 votes; that's the certified result number. If you look at the -- the -- the highest
14
15 number of votes that were cast in any of the down-ballot races -- that would be the Secretary of State's race. And
16
17 in that race, there were 3,883,594 votes total cast.
18 In the lieutenant governor's race, that's 3,780,304. So even if we were to accept -- and the Court is required
19
20 to do here -- the petitioner's allegations that there was something nefarious or -- or negligent in terms of the
21
22 counts, votes that were counted.
The difference between what took place in the
23
24 lieutenant governor's race total votes and the Secretary
25 of State's total votes is only 103,290 votes. That's

1 19,882 votes short of the margin of victory that Mr.
2 Duncan enjoyed.
The next thing that the -- that the petitioner
3
4 asserts in its allegation is that there was a drop-in vote
between those -- in -- in terms of the drop-offs, which
5
6 are the number of people who voted in one race but didn't
vote in the another. They assert that there's a dramatic
7
8 difference between the drop-off between the governor and
9 the lieutenant governor's race, and between the paper
10 ballots versus the electronic voting.
11 And they assert essentially that in the paper ballots
there was a drop-off of only 98.9%, but in the other case
12
13 there was a dramatic drop-off below that number. If you
were to accept their assertion that there should have been
14
15 the same drop-off between the governor's race and the
lieutenant governor's race, the numbers still come up
16
17 short as a matter of math.
18 In this particular case, Your Honor, if we were to
accept the petitioner's allegations that there's -- that
19
20 the drop-off between the governor's race and the
lieutenant governor's race should have been the same
21
22 between the write-in, between the paper ballots and
electronic ballots that would have raised the number of
23
24 votes cast in the lieutenant governor's race to 3,895,955.
25 That's still, Your Honor, would have only increased

1 the number of votes cast to be 115,691 votes, which is
2 still below 123,000-plus votes cast. The third allegation that the petitioner asserts in their petition is that
3
4 there was in terms of the drop-off between -- in the electronic voting between those who voted in the
5
6 governor's race and the lieutenant governor's race had a particularly negative impact on the democratic candidate
7
8 Ms. Miko.
9 If you were to take that as truth and simply allow
10 for the same percentage of votes for Ms. Miko that Mr.
11 Duncan enjoyed in terms of -- of the -- the percentage of votes that they received versus the gubernatorial race,
12
13 you still end up 53,902 votes short of what the -- of the number that is needed that would have closed the gap at
14
15 53,902, so in other words you're still short of 123,000 votes.
16
17 And -- and that's the key -- and that's the key. In
18 all cases, in the Supreme Court cases, is you've got to have a show that the number of votes that were either
19
20 miscounted or not counted properly would have wiped away the margin of victory that the winner enjoyed.
21
22 And we've cited several cases as have everyone else that demonstrates that's the rule of thumb. By the -- in
23
24 terms of petitioner's allegations -- each of their
25 allegations, Your Honor, I want you to take judicial

1 notice of certified results from the Secretary of State.

2 They fall short of that golden number, by any number
between 8,000 to 80,000 votes short, depending on the --
3

4 their various allegations.
I would point out, Your Honor, that the petitioners'
5

6 themselves in their exhibit to their petition included an
exhibit from a letter from Ms. Amico who said in the
7

8 letter, "the number of residual votes in the lieutenant

9 governor makes it unlikely to affect the outcome of my

10 race." She's right, Your Honor, because she also

11 understands the math.
So, Your Honor, we would simply ask for a motion to
12

13 dismiss based on the petitioner's petition when you take
judicial notice of the public record regarding the
14

15 certified results from the election from all the races.
Thank you, Your Honor. Does the Court have any
16

17 questions?

18 THE COURT: No. Anybody else --
MR. TYSON: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you, Your Honor.
19

20 Again, Bryan Tyson for Gwinnett County.
I think the thing to keep in mind is that when we're
21

22 in this posture after a certified result from an election,
the election is presumed valid. And if you were in a
23

24 scenario where, let's say you have 100-vote margin.

25 And you allege, you put in your petition that 99

1 either illegal votes were cast or legal votes were
2 rejected, that petition would be properly subject for dismissal for failure -- failure to state a claim, because
3
4 you have to allege a sufficient number of votes that would have placed the result in doubt or would have been
5
6 sufficient to change the result of the election.
And in this case, the plaintiffs have not made any
7
8 such allegation in their petition. In addition, the --
9 there is conflicting causes of action referenced in the
10 motions to dismiss. In the petition the plaintiffs that
11 they say that they're proceeding under 521-1 and 3.
In their response to the motion to dismiss, they're
12
13 relying on 1, 3, 4, and 5, but I think the most important thing for the Court to remember in terms of looking at the
14
15 petition itself, is what it stated, is that the irregularities that the plaintiffs have alleged only
16
17 involve a 100 or so votes here and there across the state.
18 They also involve claims of machine malfunction in DeKalb County in paragraph 42 of the complaint. Of
19
20 course, the plaintiffs have dismissed DeKalb County from this lawsuit. And the -- the collection of information
21
22 that's in the petition is a bunch of kind of one-off programming errors.
23
24 Most of them are unverified and anonymous, and
25 significantly they don't get anywhere close to the

1 123,000-vote threshold that they would -- that the
2 plaintiffs had to plead in order to state a claim here because of the way the Supreme Court precedent works.
3
4 I'm in Ellis vs. Johnson 2623-Georgia-514, the Supreme Court is very clear that speculation alone is not
5
6 enough. There has to be -- has to be a specificity in terms of the mathematical certainty of what happened. And
7
8 we see that over in Mead, in Howell, and in just a number
9 of Supreme Court cases, that it is proper for the court to
10 look at motion to dismiss, first of all, because those
11 cases were decided based on motions to dismiss. But second that it's proper to look at the
12
13 allegations and see have the plaintiffs alleged this 123,000-vote margin that would be sufficient to place the
14
15 result in doubt. And we would submit that they have not done that in the four corners of their petition. On that
16
17 basis it should be dismissed for failure to state a claim.
18 THE COURT: Does Fulton want to be heard on that?
MS. BURWELL: We join in, all the others.
19
20 THE COURT: You just joining them now?
MS. BURWELL: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor.
21
22 THE COURT: (inaudible)
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. The -- the
23
24 defendants have pointed to one of the most egregious
25 pieces of evidence showing the total system failure of --

1 THE COURT: But -- but -- but that's not -- this is
2 -- that's a wonderful opening statement. This is not that day, okay?
3
4 MR. BROWN: Okay.
THE COURT: Just respond, if you will, to what --
5
6 MR. BROWN: Sure. The --
THE COURT: I don't do well with --
7
8 MR. BROWN: Okay. The --
9 THE COURT: -- inflammatory -- just stay within what
10 we're -- what --
11 MR. BROWN: Sure. The undervote is an illustration of the system problems that we allege in the complaint.
12
13 Allegations that, as Your Honor has already mentioned, must be accepted as true.
14
15 THE COURT: All right.
MR. BROWN: The -- the numbers that Mr. Lindsey was
16
17 giving, Your Honor, are not our entire case. Instead what
18 we have alleged is that the system malfunctioned as reflected by the undervote, which is unexplained in -- in
19
20 ways that happened statewide.
The defendants interpret -- we have a different
21
22 interpretation of the legal requirements here. First in terms of what's subjectively required, and then second,
23
24 the procedural policy.
25 First, Your Honor, in the Supreme Court in the cases

1 in which the nature of the voting problem is not
2 quantifiable, the plaintiff is not required to count up the votes and show the difference. For example, in the
3 Stiles case, 252-Georgia-260, the Supreme Court found that there were irregularities and misconduct and ordered a new
4 election despite no proof of the numbers involved.
5 Similarly, in the Hunt case, although the contestants
6 lost the Hunt case, this is 270-Georgia-7 -- one of the
7 reasons why the plaintiffs lost is that the Court found
8 quote "moreover, there was no evidence introduced at trial
9 from which it can be inferred that the misconduct was more widespread than the record indicates."
10 The Supreme Court is -- is signaling that if there is evidence introduced at trial from which it can be inferred
11 that there was a widespread problem, that the case may be made.
12 And so the -- the cases that they cite we have no
13 quarrel with, Your Honor, but those are cases in which the impact of a mistake is easily quantifiable because you
14 have the illegal ballots sitting there physically in front of the Court, and you're able to count them up and to
15 determine whether or not it's enough to make a difference.
16 And certainly, if you have seven illegal ballots in
17 front of you and the margin and difference is eight, then
18 you know that that's insufficient. But in those cases

1 where the mistake is not quantifiable, then that is not
2 the approach that the Court has taken, and that, again, is the Stiles case.
3
4 And -- but this leads, Your Honor, back to the procedural posture of the case. In all of these cases --
5
6 and I will just read these to you. What the -- what the courts are saying about the evidence is after a trial, for
7
8 example -- these are not examples. This is what I found
9 in -- in review of all of the cases they have cited.
10 In Banker vs. Kohl, 378-Georgia-532, what the Court
11 said, quote "Evidence presented at the trial court," and then went on to explain. In Dawkins-Haigler 30177, the
12
13 Supreme Court says, "after a lengthy hearing the trial court concluded..."
14
15 And then in Fuller 284-Georgia-397, the Court explained that the case proceeded to trial. In Hunt vs.
16
17 Crawford, 270-Georgia-7, the Supreme Court said, at trial,
18 "Hunt admitted making telephone calls," and then went into the evidence of misconduct.
19
20 In Mead vs. Sheffield, the case that's discussed by both parties, the Court notes, "it was established at the
21
22 evidentiary hearing," and then went in to show how it was established, that in fact the case had been made for a new
23
24 trial.
25 Scoggins, again, 288-Georgia-26, the Supreme Court

1 said, "after hearing on the merits," and then explained
2 how in fact the plaintiff prevailed after hearing on the merits. Your Honor, there is one case in which the trial
3
4 court did grant a motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim, and only one.
5
6 And that is the trial court in the Taggart case, 242-Georgia-454. The trial court, without an evidentiary
7
8 hearing, dismissed the petition for failure to state a
9 claim on similar grounds the defendants urge in this case.
10 So, the trial court decision in that case would be the
11 sole precedent that I can find to support the defendant's position in that case.
12
13 However, on appeal that holding was reversed by the Supreme Court. And the Supreme Court held, quote "A
14
15 petition should not be dismissed for failure to state a claim unless it discloses with certainty that the
16
17 plaintiff would not be entitled to relieve under any set
18 of facts."
Interestingly, the Taggart case also involved the
19
20 type of situation -- it involved actually two different kinds of challenges, two different problems in the
21
22 election. One problem had to do with quantifiable ballot issues where you could count them up and you could
23
24 determine whether or not it was enough to make a
25 difference.

1 But the other part was the -- the contestant alleged
2 that the machines were -- were operating improperly. More like our -- more analogous to our case here. And in
3
4 Taggart, the Supreme Court held, quote "Similarly, doubt may be cast on an election by showing improper maintenance
5
6 of the voting machines resulting in votes being miscast." And then in their ruling reversing the trial court
7
8 for dismissing the case, the Court notes, "All this is a
9 matter of proof." Now in the Taggart case it came back on
10 appeal and the plaintiff failed to prove it and lost. But
11 it is a matter of proof. If the plaintiff can prove that improper maintenance
12
13 of the voting machines resulted in votes being miscast, which is what we allege, Your Honor, then we're entitled
14
15 to victory and entitled to relief, and if we're not, if we can't prove it, then we're not entitled to relief. And
16
17 that's -- that's clear from the cases.
18 Now in terms of -- of -- I want to return to the allegations that we make and the -- the breadth of it.
19
20 First, we allege that the state's system is fundamentally flawed from the start and that we note that the persuasive
21
22 opinion by Judge Totenberg in which she describes the system as being --
23
24 THE COURT: I'm not bound by it to her at all.
25 MR. BROWN: You're not bound by her ruling at all.

1 THE COURT: You can try to hand it to me, but I'm not
2 bound by it.
3 MR. BROWN: No. It's -- we think it's persuasive
4 but, of course, you're not bound by it. But she did find
5 that the -- that the same system is profoundly vulnerable.
6 THE COURT: Okay. I -- I don't care what you've
7 found. It's got nothing to do with the burden of proof.
8 The burden of proof will be on you to get that far.
9 MR. BROWN: Right.
10 THE COURT: The burden of proof is on you.
11 MR. BROWN: Right.
12 THE COURT: But you can't prove it by -- the judge.
13 MR. BROWN: That's -- yes, Your Honor. But it will
14 be proven with the evidence that persuaded Judge
15 Totenberg, we would -- we would suggest. And if -- Your
16 Honor, it could very well be that at trial we're not
17 persuasive.
18 But we do have the opportunity to prove that and as
19 of -- one of the things that -- what motions to dismiss
20 law is, Your Honor, is that -- what the law says is that
21 there's no reasonable possibility that the plaintiffs can
22 prove their case, then they lose.
23 And what we're suggesting is, having already proven
24 some of these things, that it's -- it's important to
25 consider that and projecting what we'll be able to win in

1 this case.

2 THE COURT: Yeah, but I don't -- in this courtroom --
MR. BROWN: That is correct, Your Honor, but --
3

4 THE COURT: -- has proven that.
MR. BROWN: That's correct. But in considering the
5

6 motion to dismiss one of the issues is, will they be able
to prove it, and we believe we will.
7

8 Now, what we have alleged, Your Honor, which must be

9 accepted as true, regardless of Judge Totenberg's

10 decision, it must be accepted as true that these systems

11 are fundamentally unreliable, period. That has to be
accepted as a fact for right now.
12

13 Number two, we have given illustrations and alleged
of particular instances in which these machines have,
14

15 throughout the state, malfunctioned.
Three, we have shown the results of these
16

17 malfunctions in an unexplainable pattern of voting in

18 which we will present expert testimony saying that this
cannot be explained except in terms of machine
19

20 malfunction, a statewide systemic machine malfunction.
And it is not just simply the under-vote that Mr. Lindsey
21

22 tries to calculate. It's that the entire voting system
was flawed. And so, the votes for Mr. Duncan, the votes
23

24 for Ms. Amico, that entire election was flawed. And

25 that's what we allege, and we're entitled to be able to

1 prove it. And so, under those facts, we believe that
2 we're entitled. We have -- there -- we have alleged
evidence, Your Honor, of the -- this particular race not
3
4 being on the electronic screen, of the screen flipping
between one and the other.
5
6 THE COURT: Is that your petition?
MR. BROWN: It is. And instance after instance of
7
8 this that we have alleged, and we're entitled to prove
9 that, and we believe we will. And so, we have the -- we
10 have alleged a fundamental unreliability of these
11 machines. We have alleged specific incidences that can
only be explained by a malfunctioning system that was
12
13 statewide that can -- and from that, to borrow the
language from the Supreme Court in the Taggart case, from
14
15 which we believe, Your Honor may infer, you don't have to
but we believe we will be persuasive that you can infer a
16
17 much broader problem.
18 And we also believe that as one illustration of the
problem is the under-vote, the totally unexplained
19
20 difference between the electronic vote totals and the
paper vote totals.
21
22 The defendant's offer -- this is not an evidentiary
hearing, but they have not offered any plausible
23
24 explanation for why simply by the mode of electing, there
25 would ever be such a dramatic difference in the drop-off

1 rate.

2 And the -- what that shows are not that that particular margin is wrong, but that the entire race is

3

4 wrong. It's like -- there's that old phrase is the clock that struck 13, Your Honor. And when a clock strikes 13,

5

6 not only do you know it's not 13 o'clock, but you doubt every single chime that has come before it because the

7

8 clock isn't working.

9 And the under-vote is the clock that struck 13. And

10 we would -- we would say that for all those reasons we

11 state a claim for relief, and that in -- in no case held has a motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim in

12

13 election case been sustained and it certainly should not be the case in this case. Thank you, Your Honor.

14

15 MR. LINDSEY: If I may, Your Honor, please.
THE COURT: (inaudible)

16

17 MR. LINDSEY: The issue in this case is not an

18 allegation of misconduct by anyone advocating for or against a particular candidate. The allegation in this

19

20 case is that votes were not -- people were not able to cast votes, and votes were not properly counted. The case

21

22 in which Mr. Brown relies upon and cited to you repeatedly with the Stiles vs. Earnest -- Earnest who was the

23

24 election superintendent, 252-Georgia-260.

25 That particular case, Your Honor, had nothing to do

1 with whether or not votes were properly counted, or the
2 machines were operating properly. Instead, it had to do
with allegations of misconduct by advocates for a
3
4 referendum. Totally different situation.
In our situation, Your Honor, in which we are dealing
5
6 with whether or not votes were properly counted. The
cases that we have cited to you and I'll cite to you once
7
8 again, the Fuller vs. Thomas case, a Georgia Supreme Court
9 case, 284-Georgia-397, in which the Court held, when the
10 focus is on improperly cast ballots or irregularities in
11 the conduct of the election, the number of legal or
irregular ballots necessary to cast doubt on an election
12
13 is derived by taking the difference between the total
votes cast in the election and erase that issue and adding
14
15 the margin of victory in the race issue.
In other words, you've got to show -- to begin with,
16
17 you have to allege that any irregularities in terms of the
18 machines or anyone counting the votes would have an impact
on the margin of victory in which case -- in this case,
19
20 like I stated earlier, with over 123,000 votes.
The fact of the matter is within the four corners of
21
22 the plaintiff's petition, nowhere, nowhere do they allege
that these irregularities closed -- were -- resulted in
23
24 the number of votes that were improperly cast or not
25 properly counted were greater than 123,000 votes.

1 That's kind of the fundamental first step that they
2 must allege in order to have a case move forward. When you then add to that the public records that I've asked
3
4 you to take judicial notice of, that Mr. Brown has not disputed and I walked through so tediously, it
5
6 demonstrates that even in the worst-case scenario that were alleged in the Petition, he falls -- the votes fall
7
8 short of the margin of victory.
9 And for that reason, in this particular case we ask
10 for you to grant our motion to dismiss. Thank you.
11 THE COURT: (inaudible)
MR. RUSSO: Very brief, Your Honor. Just cover three
12
13 quick points quickly, Your Honor. First of all, the allegations here regarding the -- the only -- I should
14
15 say, the only type of election contest where you're not required to put forward specific evidence or pleading
16
17 regarding a number is in 524(c) when the error of counting
18 the votes is alleged as a ground of contest.
There's a specific provision that says you're not
19
20 required to show evidence to substantiate the allegation. You -- you're not required in that one type of contest.
21
22 That is not this type of contest.
Mr. Brown has, secondly, has alluded to the idea that
23
24 the lieutenant governor's race did not appear on some
25 ballots. If you look at both Mead and Howell, you'll see

1 cases where you had to plead and show the number of
2 ballots where the name of the candidate did not appear.
That's one of the allegations that the plaintiffs
3
4 have not pled that it did not appear on at least 123,000
voting machines along the way. Mr. Brown also continues
5
6 to insist that there's no other valid explanation when any
number of ballot explanations may exist.
7
8 And as we discussed before, the -- Mr. Brown and the
9 plaintiffs may not rely on mere speculation. They have to
10 plead with specificity in their petition that the outcome
11 would have changed or that the irregularities were
sufficient to place the result in doubt. Thank you.
12
13 THE COURT: This is so close. You know, it was
presumed, I'm waiting for -- is that the election returned
14
15 the bath. Okay? Now, the part of your job is above the -
- Your job, Counsel, -- of placing doubt on the results of
16
17 the election.
18 And that's done usually by numbers, through math.
And the math is against you. You have chosen not to
19
20 allege any math in your petition, okay. You have alleged
-- I had it somewhere in front of me, but anyway, you have
21
22 alleged that the result would be different or is in
substantial doubt. But I haven't found it.
23
24 MR. BELINFANTE: Yes, Your Honor.
25 THE COURT: I forgot the exact language. I had it,

1 but I've lost it. All right, yeah. The -- the -- to
2 place in doubt the result of the entire election, okay.
3 The numbers aren't weighty, okay. But you've got some
4 generalized arguments about the systemic failure of the
5 whole system that might -- that might cast -- which makes
6 the math an issue.
7 It's a huge burden for you to carry, but the
8 petition, it's sufficient to have a try. I -- I hate to
9 do that, but it's -- it's -- if we have to take the four
10 corners of the petition, it really doesn't matter if it's
11 badly pled. I'm not saying it's badly pled. You didn't
12 plead numbers.
13 But the cover clearly says, "under F of -- of 524,
14 that the form -- form of process is not material. It's --
15 it's -- the election contests are interesting. There was
16 kind of different than we've seen on things. It's a very
17 close question, sir.
18 It is a very close question, and -- and -- and you
19 need to be aware I don't do well with inflammatory stuff.
20 I don't get inflamed by attention -- and -- and what other
21 judges do is not -- okay. So, understand you've got two
22 rules.
23 I am going to deny the motion to dismiss. I -- I --
24 it's extremely close, but on the kind of pleadings, I'm
25 looking for originals in that cover section about, you

1 know, don't worry about form and so on and so forth. I'm
2 going to let it go forward, but the plain numbers are against you. So, you -- you've got some -- an uphill
3
4 battle.
MR. BELINFANTE: Okay then. Thank you, Your Honor.
5
6 THE COURT: Can you take a moment, drop a line -- and -- and --
7
8 MR. BELINFANTE: Yes, Your Honor.
9 THE COURT: You all know where I am because you've
10 been emailing me, so send it to me, okay. I can't
11 download a pack. Don't ask me why. I am supposed to be retired next year.
12
13 MR. RUSSO: Your Honor, would you like us to submit a joint motion?
14
15 THE COURT: What?
MR. RUSSO: Would you like us to submit one motion --
16
17 I mean, one order, one proposed order?
18 THE COURT: You could even do one proposed order, or if you can agree on it or you can do them separately about
19
20 that.
MR. BELINFANTE: I think we'll probably --
21
22 THE COURT: I'm fixing to go into two full weeks of work, so you'll be able to get -- okay. What else do we
23
24 have from the defendant?
25 MR. BELINFANTE: Your Honor, we have -- we have two

1 motions that are --

2 THE COURT: Well, no, I know what -- what you have.
MR. BELINFANTE: Oh, I'm sorry.

3

4 THE COURT: I don't think I read all this stuff,
okay? Okay?

5

6 MR. RUSSO: Your Honor, for the Secretary of State,
this is joined by the other defendants, I believe.

7

8 THE COURT: Is this on the two constitution --

9 MR. BELINFANTE: On the two constitutional --

10 THE COURT: We have two constitutional claims and

11 then we'll do your motions. And I've got those too; don't
worry about it. All right. Go ahead.

12

13 MR. BELINFANTE: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. I'm
going to address just the two counts. The one for due

14

15 process and the right being identified there is the right
to vote. The second is the Equal Protection Clause.

16

17 Now there's -- we'll rest largely on the briefs in

18 terms of sovereign immunity, but the point to take from it
is that the Equal Protection Clause and the due process

19

20 clause are completely contingent upon what Your Honor
rules on the underlying election challenge, count one.

21

22 Their briefs make that plain. The relief they seek,
it's in paragraph 71 and 75, is the right to vote in a new

23

24 election for lieutenant governor, not any other future

25 one. And in 72 and 76, it says that it would be remedied

1 by holding a new election.

2 So, if the Court at the conclusion of the evidence, or at least at the conclusion of the plaintiff's case,

3

4 decides that there is no basis to go forward, the constitutional claims equally fail. They -- they rise and

5

6 fall together.
So, the question before you on the motion to dismiss

7

8 is have they alleged sufficient facts to state a claim for

9 due process and for equal protection, and Your Honor, the

10 answer is simply no, based on the Favorito decision from

11 the Supreme Court of Georgia in 2009. I have a copy of it, if the Court would like, and provide one to opposing

12

13 counsel as well.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, sir.

14

15 MR. BELINFANTE: And I'll be referring to Favorito throughout the argument. The question on the due process

16

17 claim is what is the standard of review. Is it strict

18 scrutiny, is it rational basis?
And Favorito answers that question in the latter. It

19

20 is a rational basis test. It says on page 796, quoting a decision from the 11th Circuit, Wexler vs. Anderson, that

21

22 when a state election law provision imposes only reasonable non-discriminatory restrictions upon the 1st

23

24 and 14th Amendment rights of voters, the state's important

25 regulatory interests are generally sufficient.

1 Using the word "reasonable" the Supreme Court noted
2 and applied a rational basis test. And, in fact, it goes on to say that there is a rational reason to use DRE
3
4 machines on the same page.
It says, "it is the job of democratically-elected
5
6 representatives to weigh the pros and cons of the various balloting systems. So long as their choice is reasonable
7
8 and neutral, it is free from judicial second guessing,"
9 once again confirming that we're in a rational basis test
10 review.
11 And now using -- applying that standard, looking at the due process claim, which is paragraph 62 to 72, count
12
13 two, in the complaint. The defendants do not disagree that the right to vote is fundamental. The disagreement
14
15 is that they have not alleged a burden for which there is not a rational basis to use the DRE machines.
16
17 And to look -- to see that you need only look at
18 paragraph 69 of the complaint. There the plaintiffs or the petitioners allege that using defective DRE machines
19
20 in a flawed and inaccurate voter registration and electronic poll book system, defendants have knowingly and
21
22 severely burdened and infringed upon the fundamental right to vote.
23
24 Now you compare that to what the Supreme Court
25 described as the cause of action in Favorito. This is at

1 page 795 and 796 of that case in the Georgia reports.

2 There the Supreme Court described the petitioner's claims as -- in three counts of their complaint, appellants

3

4 allege that this state's use of the DRE equipment denies them equal protection under the federal and state

5

6 constitutions, and the fundamental right to vote under the due process clause of the 14th Amendment.

7

8 Their claims are virtually identical. And so, then

9 applying the rational basis test that Favorito did, the

10 law is clear. There is a rational basis to use the DRE

11 machines. And in the case, it's important that the Court citing the Weber vs. Shelley decision from the 9th Circuit

12

13 in 2003, acknowledged all the risks that are contained in the petitioners' claims now.

14

15 The court said in Favorito, again citing Weber, page 797, "no balloting system is perfect." Traditional paper

16

17 ballots, as became evident during the 2000 presidential

18 election, are prone to over-votes, under-votes, hanging chads, and other mechanical and human errors that may

19

20 thwart voter intent.
Meanwhile, touchscreen voting systems remedy a number

21

22 of these problems, albeit at the hypothetical price of vulnerability to certain types of fraud. And it's the job

23

24 the Court continued to say of the legislature to decide

25 which of those two risks it is going to use.

1 In fact, the Court says expressly, it's the job of
2 democratically-elected representatives to weigh the pros and cons. And so long as their choice is reasonable and
3
4 neutral, it's free from second guessing.
And then it goes on to really put the nail in the
5
6 coffin here, "in this instance Georgia made a reasonable politically neutral and nondiscriminatory choice to
7
8 certify touchscreen systems as an alternative to paper
9 ballots." Nothing in the Constitution prohibits this
10 choice.
11 That forecloses the due-process argument, because despite however it can be dressed up, it is an attack on
12
13 the DRE machines themselves. The DRE machines were attacked in 2006, and by the time they got to the Supreme
14
15 Court in 2009, the Court said it is insufficient.
The legislature is entitled to choose the DRE
16
17 machines understanding and knowing all of the risks that
18 they claim, it is still insufficient to state a claim under due process. If you then look to equal protection,
19
20 Favorito blocks the claims again.
Once again, the allegations are identical from what
21
22 the plaintiffs allege or the petitioners here and what they alleged in Favorito. Paragraph 74 of this complaint
23
24 says, "defendants knowingly treated electors who voted by
25 DRE differently than others similarly situated electors

1 voting in the same election in a jurisdiction who voted by
2 absentee ballot."
In other words, if you vote absentee you can do it
3
4 with a piece of paper. If you vote in person you use a
DRE machine and that is the distinction that they claim
5
6 sets up the Equal Protection Clause. They make that clear
on pages 43 and 44 of their response to the motion to
7
8 dismiss.
9 A state election regulation, which they go on to
10 cite, which discriminates on its face between two classes
11 of people, namely voters who vote in person and voters who
vote by absentee mail.
12
13 Now you then look at the Favorito and the court's
description of the argument there and it was the
14
15 appellants argued that electronic voters are treated
differently from voters who cast absentee ballots on paper
16
17 and the procedure for an accuracy of any recount would
18 differ. The claims are simply identical.
And so, the holding of Favorito is absolutely
19
20 dispositive. And this is at page 798. What the court
effectively says is, a voter can choose. You can vote by
21
22 absentee. We have absentee for any reason in this state,
and if you have a concern that the DRE machine is flawed,
23
24 then by all means, vote absentee.
25 It is not a distinction that the state mandates,

1 which is what is required under equal protection claim.

2 The Court specifically says in Favorito, that "as the trial court found, Georgia voters have an option."

3

4 And then it continues, if the trial court correctly concluded that quote "since every Georgia citizen could

5

6 vote either by absentee ballot or by utilizing touchscreen voting systems," appellants contention that there is some

7

8 state-based classification between voters is false.

9 And it continues then to say, "we cannot see how

10 Georgia has violated the Equal Protection Clause of the

11 14th Amendment by making these two available pacts. The allegations are the same. The case is directly en pointe

12

13 and Equal Protection and Due-Process Clause should be dismissed. Thank you.

14

15 THE COURT: Anybody else for the defendant on that issue?

16

17 MR. TYSON: We'll just join the Secretary of State's

18 argument on that point.
THE COURT: Okay.

19

20 MS. BURWELL: We join in their argument as well, Your Honor. Thank you.

21

22 MR. TYSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
MR. BROWN: In their motion to dismiss the federal

23

24 constitutional claims, first Mr. Belinfante's description

25 of how this claim will interact with the other's claims is

1 substantially correct, and that is, what we are -- what
2 the plaintiffs are alleging is that with any new election going forward prospectively should be conducted on -- not
3
4 on these machines, because doing so would be a violation of due process and equal protection.
5
6 So that's the -- sort of the procedural posture of how this claim is seeking prospective relief. Second, the
7
8 defendants rely exclusively on the Favorito and Wexler
9 decisions. The Favorito and Wexler decisions are
10 fundamentally distinguishable because Favorito dealt with
11 a 2006-era DRE system.
What's -- what has happened and what we allege in our
12
13 complaint all are real events in real life that have changed the system, that make it fundamentally different
14
15 than the system that was being reviewed by the courts in the -- the -- the -- the Favorito case.
16
17 And as we allege, Your Honor, since the Favorito
18 case, every single government agency that is responsible for national security has told the State of Georgia that
19
20 the current DRE system is fundamentally insecure.
The House Select Committee, the Senate Select
21
22 Committee, the Department of Homeland Protection. In addition, every known computer scientist on record has
23
24 said exactly the same thing and has said to Georgia, these
25 are unreliable.

1 They can't be trusted to count votes correctly. That
2 comes from every single source and there is no evidence contrary, Your Honor. And this comes from slews of
3
4 scientists, and it culminated in a report by the National Academy of Sciences that came out just this September, and
5
6 this is called a Consensus Report, which means that it's a unanimous of the scientists at the National Academy of
7
8 Sciences have collected to consider this exact issue.
9 And that is, are these -- is the system that Georgia
10 is now using secure enough to be relied upon from -- from
11 attack domestic and foreign. And the National Academy of Sciences in September said, don't use these machines
12
13 anymore. Don't even use them for the November election, which Georgia did anyway.
14
15 And so, the -- the environment is different, Your Honor. The national security concerns are different. In
16
17 2006, the -- the internet system was not getting bombarded
18 daily by nation states. The -- the system hasn't -- the -- the -- the malware environment is totally different.
19
20 We do not allege that the system right out of the box is flawed, although it's flawed in that it doesn't have a
21
22 paper backup, but that's not the nature of it. The nature of it is is that the way Georgia has not maintained the
23
24 system, coupled with the external threat, make it a system
25 -- and if you use it, you're violating the constitutional

1 rights of voters because it is fundamentally unreliable.

2 And the right to vote is nothing if their votes are not counted correctly. And in this system, you never know

3

4 if your votes are being collected, counted correctly or not. We will never know, because once a voter makes that

5

6 transient decision as to who to vote for, that record is lost forever.

7

8 We will never know, and this is why the courts who

9 have recently looked at it have concluded that using those

10 machines is substantially likely to violate due process

11 rights and equal production rights of Georgia voters. And there's no case to the contrary, Your Honor, is

12

13 that the cases now hold our way on those issues. And what the defendants do not address -- they -- they address a

14

15 sort of hypothetical complaint that was maybe filed 15 years ago.

16

17 They do not address these allegations. They don't

18 address the fundamental problems. They also don't address an entirely separate issue and that is that, as we alleged

19

20 in the complaint, the State of Georgia left this system -- we like to say, left this system out in the rain for six

21

22 months. The Secretary of State, we allege, left the system

23

24 open to the internet, to the public internet, from before

25 the 2016 election until well after, even though it was

1 informed that the system was open. And when it did so, it
2 -- it left itself open to be infected by any virus, by any hacker whether it's someone on their sofa in New Jersey or
3
4 in Peenya or in Russia.
That's what we also allege. And so, we have a system
5
6 that according to the National Academy of Sciences, even without it being left in the rain, is a violation, is so
7
8 fundamentally flawed and vulnerable, it's a violation of
9 constitutional rights.
10 And then to make matters worse, the Secretary of
11 State, even though it knew this was happening, left it exposed to the public internet to be abused and has not
12
13 undertaken any sort of forensic examination to determine whether or not it's been actually infected with malware as
14
15 the results of this recent election would suggest that it has.
16
17 And so, we have established that -- that we have
18 alleged the allegations necessary.
THE COURT: -- it's a question of what you allege.
19
20 MR. BROWN: That's exactly right, Your Honor. I misspoke. We have alleged --
21
22 THE COURT: -- what you have alleged.
MR. BROWN: We have alleged all the elements of the
23
24 claim, and therefore that motion should be dismissed, or
25 it could be revisited in a motion for summary judgment or

1 further motions after the election contest issue is
2 resolved. Thank you, Your Honor.
MR. BELINFANTE: Thank you, Your Honor. I'll be
3
4 brief.
On the subsident due process claim, everything,
5
6 everything that Mr. Brown just talked about was raised in
the Favorito decision and cited. And in the long footnote
7
8 that cites to the Weber case, the court adopts that no
9 balloting system is perfect.
10 And in specifically again talking about touchscreen
11 voting systems, it says that the DRE machines do not leave
Georgia voters without protection from fraud or any means
12
13 of verifying a vote or a way to audit the recount. The
unfortunate reality is that the possibility of electoral
14
15 fraud can never be completely eliminated, no matter which
type of ballot is used.
16
17 Even assuming that none of the advantages of the
18 touchscreen systems over traditional methods would be
sacrificed if voter-verified paper ballots were added to
19
20 the touchscreen system. And it talks about the choice
that the legislature makes and makes very clear nothing in
21
22 the constitution prohibits this choice.
The legislature is presumed to be aware of all of the
23
24 things that Mr. Brown talks about, and I'll get to that in
25 a second. And yet that choice cannot be second guessed by

1 the judiciary under the guides of a due process claim.

2 Now let's talk specifically about what the complaint alleges, because that's what we're here to discuss.

3

4 Nowhere does the complaint that I found cite to any specific inherent flaw with the machines. What it says,

5

6 over and over and over again, is that there are "vulnerabilities," potential vulnerabilities.

7

8 The National Academy of Sciences cites

9 vulnerabilities. It's the Homeland Security, cites

10 vulnerabilities. It's paragraphs 25 through 33, you'll

11 see a litany of that.
That is exactly the type of decision and the risk-

12

13 weighing that the general assembly is entitled to do, and the due process clause does not prevent them from doing.

14

15 Secondly, Mr. Brown tries to distinguish the Favorito case based on statements that are frankly not in the

16

17 record regarding the types of machines. If you look at

18 the complaint, the complaint talks about -- in paragraphs 21 to 23 is where it describes the machines and how

19

20 they're used and so on -- it doesn't say that they've been changed since 2006.

21

22 What he's talking about is that people now have additional concerns about them, but that just brings you

23

24 back to the holding of Favorito, which is that those

25 concerns are properly waived by the legislative branch,

1 not the judicial branch.

2 That also speaks to -- and I don't know that there was a specific response to the equal protection, but

3

4 certainly Favorito would say and hold here regardless of how it views the machines. As long as voters have a

5

6 choice; it is not a state-imposed distinction and no equal-protection claim can lie.

7

8 Thank you, Judge.

9 THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to have to take that,

10 and I'm going to rule on it, and I'm going to do it this

11 morning. I'll send an email. I want to relook at the petition. I am ruled -- ruled by what that petition says

12

13 this morning on -- on that issue, and I -- I want to look at it again. I've got the issues in my mind about it, and

14

15 I will look at it, okay.
MR. BELINFANTE: Thank you.

16

17 THE COURT: Anything else for the defendant?

18 MR. RUSSO: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay, then. Plaintiff has some other

19

20 motion.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. Yes, we have --

21

22 the -- the main motion that we had, Your Honor, is your November 29 emergency motion for inspection of electronic

23

24 election equipment and production of documents. To get to

25 the bottom of the causes for the machine malfunctions, we

1 need some discovery, and that's why in November we moved
2 for a right to inspect the equipment under Rule 34 and 91134, which is the --
3
4 THE COURT: What do -- I -- I seek -- don't propose more of which I'm not going to sign, which is way beyond
5
6 anything I think that I'm required to do or should be doing. Good many other things, obviously. Tell me a
7
8 little bit more specifically what it is you want. What do
9 you want done?
10 MR. BROWN: Well, we -- the plaintiffs would like
11 discovery of DRE machines to inspect the --
THE COURT: Which ones? Where? When? How? What?
12
13 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, we would like to inspect the machines that are identified in Exhibit B to our motion.
14
15 And we would be amenable to meeting with the defendants to determine a reasonable time and space -- place for that
16
17 inspection. And --
18 THE COURT: It's on the three --
MR. BROWN: It's the last two pages of the -- the
19
20 motion and the last two pages of the proposed order.
THE COURT: Yes. I have it now.
21
22 MR. BROWN: And we've identified by -- in -- in many instances by serial number the machines that we would like
23
24 to inspect. And certainly, by precinct, and as I
25 mentioned would be amenable to sitting down with the

1 defense counsel and coming up with a -- a protocol for the
2 inspection of this equipment that would be -- be orderly and helpful. And --
3
4 THE COURT: And when you -- when you mean inspection, what do you mean, to look at them? Oh, there's a machine.
5
6 Or do you want to do anything to the machine?
MR. BROWN: A full forensic examination to determine
7
8 what's causing these -- these mistakes to happened, Your
9 Honor.
10 THE COURT: What do you mean by a full forensic
11 examination?
MR. BROWN: That would be with computer experts to
12
13 look at the internal memory and programming to see -- to compare the machines to see what possible defects are
14
15 causing these systems to malfunction.
And they would involve comparing, for example -- one
16
17 -- in addition we would like machines that were not used
18 in the -- in the -- in the December 4th runoff elections because the difficulty is if you keep on using these
19
20 machines, they may overwrite the underlying memory. Our -- this case is about the November election, not the
21
22 December election, so we would like to look at November machines that were not used.
23
24 Now some of the ones, some of the hottest and -- and
25 most troublesome machines may have been involved in both;

1 they may have continued to use them. And we may have lost
2 some evidence there; we don't know, but we've identified by serial number and by precinct in Exhibit B the evidence
3
4 that we -- we would like to -- to collect.
And like I said it's -- some logistics are involved.
5
6 We would need the systems to be set up so that they actually run, they can work, so we need -- would need
7
8 internet connection and an AC tower to boot those up.
9 But, again, we would be happy to sit down with the
10 defendants and -- and quickly set up a protocol for doing
11 that.
THE COURT: Have you sent documents, what you -- did
12
13 you --
MR. BROWN: And then in addition, of the documents
14
15 that -- that we were seeking, I mean, we would like, you know, general discovery of documentary evidence relating
16
17 to the programming of the machines and so that the -- the
18 computer scientists can better understand exactly what's going on.
19
20 And we believe that's -- that's reasonable and can be done expeditiously and -- and may answer many important
21
22 questions that are -- that Your Honor has indicated are of interest. And the defendants have not responded to our
23
24 motion. I'm not sure what their position is on it.
25 THE COURT: Well, I just got it a couple days ago.

1 MR. RUSSO: Thank you, Your Honor. I'm Vince Russo
2 for the Secretary of State.
3 The plaintiffs are essentially asking for unfettered
4 access to voting equipment here in the state of Georgia.
5 The -- we -- the Secretary of State objects to the motion
6 that the plaintiffs have filed in the request. The
7 plaintiffs, for starters, have not alleged irregularities
8 in each of those precincts where the voting machines they
9 would like to inspect are located. So, the machines in
10 those precincts are completely unrelated, as far as we can
11 tell, to their claims, based on the pleadings at least.
12 Additionally, Your Honor, Mr. -- plaintiffs, one of
13 plaintiff's experts, I suppose, Mr. Demila (phonetic),
14 even testified -- he even included in his affidavit that's
15 attached to the second motion that the plaintiffs are --
16 are going to be arguing, but he -- he stated in his
17 affidavit that there's no statistically valid conclusion
18 that can be drawn from a random sample of -- of machines.
19 Your Honor, at the end of the day here, as my
20 colleagues have pointed out repeatedly, the plaintiffs
21 have to show that there are enough votes in question, over
22 123,000 votes that -- that call in doubt the result of the
23 election for valid election contest. The machines that
24 they want to look at are not going to -- they're not going
25 to have the -- they do not have the votes as far as -- as

1 far as we can tell and -- and plaintiffs' counsel hasn't
2 indicated that there were enough votes on those machines to call in doubt the result of the election.
3
4 And as such, Your Honor, we think giving them unfettered access to highly sensitive information about
5
6 the state voting machines when irregularities alleged by the plaintiffs would not change the result of the election
7
8 is unnecessary.
9 Additionally, Your Honor, in the filing that the
10 plaintiffs made to -- excuse me, that the Secretary of
11 State made this morning, which was regarding the proffer of evidence, Mr. Demila testified in the -- in the
12
13 Totenberg case and the Kerling (phonetic) case that it would take 14,000 hours to examine all of the machines and
14
15 that alone still wouldn't be enough.
And for reference, Your Honor, 14,000 hours is more
16
17 than a year and a half. While the plaintiffs have -- have
18 obviously cut down the scope of the -- of the number of machines they would like to inspect, Your Honor, there's
19
20 -- there's still no -- there's still no facts pled that indicate that the -- the precincts and the machines that
21
22 they want to -- that the plaintiffs want to inspect, would have any effect on the outcome of the election or that
23
24 there are any irregularities in those precincts. So, we
25 would ask that you deny this motion. Thank you.

1 Oh, I'm sorry. We have not -- we will be filing this
2 today.
THE COURT: You are.
3
4 MR. RUSSO: I notice proffer of evidence by Secretary
of State, which includes the transcript that I just
5
6 referenced from the testimony of their expert in the -- in
the federal case.
7
8 And I don't know if my colleagues have anything else.
9 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, just briefly on behalf of
10 Gwinnett County. For Gwinnett, we will do obviously
11 whatever the Court directs us to do in terms of making
machines available.
12
13 Our only concern is from a practical perspective.
Obviously, this is voting equipment that is kept in a very
14
15 sensitive -- and lock and key. We keep these machines
under seal with numbered seals and audit trail to
16
17 determine we know who has access to the machines.
18 And we would just want to be absolutely certain that
whatever access is occurring is done in a way in
19
20 coordination with the Secretary of State's office and
others who are involved with this to make sure that
21
22 nothing is altered with the machines themselves.
Gwinnett owns a mix. We have a mix of machines
23
24 partially owned by the State and partially owned by the
25 county, and we will work to determine the specific serial

1 numbers and who owns those machines. But that -- we are
2 ready to do whatever the Court directs us to do, but we just have those security concerns to be sure that nothing
3
4 with the machines themselves is being altered and that there's enough security regarding the -- this inspection
5
6 that the plaintiffs are proposing.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, if I may be heard briefly.
7
8 THE COURT: -- is this the original?
9 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, we're going to electronically
10 file it for --
11 THE COURT: I don't have one given to me. So, this is mine?
12
13 MR. TYSON: That's your copy.
MR. BELINFANTE: Which I have provided one to
14
15 opposing counsel and --
THE COURT: Okay. Right here.
16
17 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, if I may be heard very
18 briefly.
THE COURT: Sure.
19
20 MR. LINDSEY: The petitioner's request, I believe, is irrelevant to the outcome of this case as you -- based on
21
22 your ruling regarding our motion to dismiss in which you made very clear that the -- ultimately, the plaintiffs
23
24 must show that there were enough irregularities, neither
25 the votes counted improperly or votes not counted to

1 amount to 123,000 votes. The petitioner is seeking how
2 many -- how many exact number of -- number of machines?
MR. BROWN: You'd have to ask them.
3
4 MR. LINDSEY: A dozen or so machines? Your Honor,
that's not going to amount to 123,000. Their -- their
5
6 comeback to our specific numbers that we raise was --
well, we raised a general allegation that system wide
7
8 there were failures that resulted in the whole election
9 being cast in doubt.
10 If that's their allegation, Your Honor, merely
11 inspecting a dozen or so machines are not going to prove
or disprove that. And so, for that reason, Your Honor, we
12
13 would oppose their motion.
THE COURT: (inaudible)
14
15 MS. BURWELL: On behalf of Fulton County, Your Honor,
their list attached to their motion included counties
16
17 obviously outside of Fulton County. We don't take a
18 position with respect to those others.
With respect to Fulton County, we would point out to
19
20 the Court that the complaint only addresses two precincts
in Fulton County where they're alleging there were any
21
22 irregularities, yet their request for the review of
machines seeks far more than just those two precincts.
23
24 And so given the limited amount of time, we would
25 request that the Court is going to allow them some leeway

1 in doing some of this discovery that it not be as far-
2 reaching as they were requesting and instead that the Court be very tailored in terms of what they have actually
3
4 alleged in getting to the allegations rather than just a fishing expedition.
5
6 THE COURT: (inaudible)
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. First, Your
7
8 Honor, we have -- we have alleged irregularities in every
9 precinct, not just Grady, not just some other.
10 We've -- our allegations cover a systemic statewide
11 problem with these electronic voting machine, and we've only used as illustration some evidence that we have found
12
13 in particular precincts here and there, but those are just illustrations. Our allegations cover every single one.
14
15 Second, as to the suggestion that this discovery is unlikely to come up with anything that will allow us to
16
17 carry our burden of proof. That's just fundamentally
18 incorrect. We are also seeking discovery of the GEMS databases.
19
20 THE COURT: The who?
MR. BROWN: The GEMS is called -- it's an acronym,
21
22 GEMS, which is an acronym for the -- for the servers that collect the data, and so if there is a flaw in that it's
23
24 going to cover more than just the actual machines.
25 THE COURT: -- but that's not what you told me

1 before.

2 MR. BROWN: Yes. My -- the way I was --
THE COURT: That wasn't what you said when I came.
3

4 MR. BROWN: Well, the exhibit that I was referencing
covers DRE machines and also covers the GEMS databases
5

6 that are county specific and these databases cover more --
I mean, they are not just one by one. In addition, Your
7

8 Honor, but really much more fundamentally is that if there

9 is a programming --

10 THE COURT: Get back to the GEMS, because I'm not

11 understanding what you're saying.
MR. BROWN: The GEMS database is -- each county has a
12

13 GEMS --
THE COURT: Each county has an individual one.
14

15 MR. BROWN: Individual one.
THE COURT: Okay.
16

17 MR. BROWN: And then the Secretary of State has a

18 system that tabulates all of those. And so there could be
a programming flaw anywhere in the system. One of the
19

20 things that the data -- and this is the public information
that's available that Mr. Lindsey referred to, is that the
21

22 pattern oddly was found in every single county under votes
for the lieutenant governor's race, which no one had ever
23

24 seen before historically ever, and it comes up in every

25 single county.

1 And so that it is possible, I won't say likely, but
2 possible that there is a single or several programming mistakes, which have identified, will indicate that
3
4 there's the system wide failure.
But actually, more fundamentally, Your Honor, we'll
5
6 understand this quickly is that what the defendants are sort of analogizing this too is if we're hunting for
7
8 illegal paper ballots, like these cases. As if we're
9 looking for ballots in which Amico's name was misspelled,
10 like the Mead case. Or another case in which there's
11 something wrong with a hard copy of a ballot. And we can get that ballot and we can count them up and we can count
12
13 it up to 124,000 and therefore possibly win.
That's not this case. Because those ballots are gone
14
15 and because of the way the State has chosen to conduct these elections, that record is lost forever.
16
17 Instead what we have is the electronic memory and the
18 programming that drove the way these votes were counted, we think they were counted wrong. And so, we're entitled
19
20 to discovery, we believe, and we think it will be helpful and not necessarily that burdensome.
21
22 It's interesting that they now cite to the federal case for the declaration of Dr. Demila. Indeed, he said
23
24 if you had to look at every single machine and do a
25 forensic examination of every single machine it would have

1 took -- it would take a long time.

2 They haven't done it. We do not plan to do that. There's not time or resources to do that. Instead, this

3

4 will be a targeted examination to see if evidence of a systemic programming error either in the DRE machines

5

6 themselves or the databases have caused these problems. Thank you, Your Honor.

7

8 THE COURT: I have problems with words like "there

9 could be a flaw" or "there's a possible programming

10 mistake." And what you're really asking for in this

11 discovery and fishing expedition, and I'm not going to give it to you till - left, okay. I am going to -- I am

12

13 going to the GEMS are for each county?
MR. TYSON: Yes, Your Honor.

14

15 THE COURT: Okay.
MR. RUSSO: Your Honor, the date of the GEMS is

16

17 public -- is public record --

18 THE COURT: Even if it -- were it --
MR. RUSSO: Is highly confidential and the means

19

20 accessing --
THE COURT: Pardon.

21

22 MR. RUSSO: I said the source code and the means of accessing the GEMS, of course, is highly confidential, but

23

24 the data from the GEMS is public record.

25 THE COURT: I am going to allow you to look at the

1 GEMS in Fulton and in Gwinnett, okay. So -- will have to
2 access it. He's not to get the confidential access. And then he can look at. If it's public record, and you can
3
4 show him where the public record is, of course you can look at it, but record and you can show him where the
5
6 public record is.
Of course, you can look at all of them, okay. And it
7
8 that's up to you all to work out with and I'm going to let
9 him do it for those two counties because those are the two
10 counties that are a part of this.
11 He's got -- the only allegation he's got -- he's got an allegation in McDonough, he's -- DeKalb and what
12
13 county? Because DeKalb's not here. He's got one in Worth County. He's got Grady High School, -- Library, and then
14
15 Allen Temple A.M.E. are the ones that he's alleging were errors.
16
17 And I'm going to let him look at those, okay. It's
18 got to be supervised by somebody, okay. In the sense of -- somebody has to watch whatever he supposedly does so
19
20 there's no fundamental damage to the system in any way. Needs to be really clear. Got it?
21
22 MR. RUSSO: Your Honor, I mean, we would need to have some kind of confidentiality order for agreement,
23
24 obviously. There's -- when -- when his folks have access
25 to the machines, we don't -- I mean we can watch what

1 they're doing. We don't know what they're actually doing
2 and with the data in the -- the -- the computer technology.
3
4 THE COURT: -- any sense. You're not -- you're not going to do it yourself --
5
6 MR. RUSSO: What?
THE COURT: Like I said, if anything on those few
7
8 machines, anything is done to damage them in any way,
9 there will be some absolutely serious ramifications.
10 MR. RUSSO: Okay.
11 THE COURT: And that needs to be fully understood.
MR. RUSSO: Your -- Your Honor, I guess would -- we
12
13 would also want just clarification around what it is exactly -- we've mentioned that there's data machines that
14
15 we can pull --
THE COURT: (inaudible)
16
17 MR. RUSSO: We can pull the data from the GEMS
18 machines to give to them. If that's the extent of it, that's one thing.
19
20 THE COURT: What is it that you want to do on the individual machines?
21
22 MR. BROWN: We want to examine the internal memory, Your Honor, to determine how the program --
23
24 THE COURT: How do you examine the internal memory?
25 MR. BROWN: You do -- I -- our -- our experts will be

1 examining the internal memory to see if there are
2 programming flaws in the DRE machines that are causing these problems.
3
4 THE COURT: I got you. For programming flaws only?
MR. BROWN: Pardon me.
5
6 THE COURT: For programming flaws.
MR. BROWN: For programming flaws, yes, Your Honor.
7
8 THE COURT: See if they were programmed wrong, okay.
9 Simple language, okay.
10 MR. RUSSO: Okay. Not entirely sure what it means
11 when they get access to what they're looking at.
THE COURT: (inaudible)
12
13 MR. BROWN: I understand.
THE COURT: That these cases are supposed to be
14
15 disposed of fast, and I'm not going to give continuances. If -- if you would like to work together to do that, if
16
17 you run up on a stumbling block, you can always do it by
18 emails to me and I will email you back.
MR. RUSSO: As you said it would be confidential or
19
20 not be subject to --
THE COURT: (inaudible)
21
22 MR. RUSSO: -- because disclosure that's obviously important for our --
23
24 THE COURT: Once again your people would have to set
25 it up, where he can look at it. If he's just looking at

1 the results of it then, if you know how to show him
2 online, he can get it all in the mistakes, okay.
3 MR. RUSSO: Yeah, we'll be happy to let him see the
4 results of the election.
5 THE COURT: Let him see the results of the state, but
6 at that time --
7 MR. RUSSO: Yes.
8 THE COURT: -- all the counties involved and these
9 five places that he has indicated.
10 MR. RUSSO: Okay.
11 THE COURT: Okay.
12 MR. RUSSO: Thank you.
13 THE COURT: I'll let you submit me the order if you can agree on that. If you can't, let me know. Like I
14 said, I'm -- the hearing is on the 17th ?
15 MR. BROWN: Yes.
16 MR. RUSSO: I think, Your Honor.
17 THE COURT: I'm -- I'm here but I sleep occasionally, but apart from that time --
18 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, let me -- I want to recap what, Your Honor, has suggested just so we're very clear
19 on -- on this --
20 THE COURT: You want to do it so that you can expand
21 what I just said. Come on, I know that. Is this what you
22 said, Judge? Yeah. I know that but go ahead.
23
24
25

1 MR. BROWN: Didn't you say you favored widespread and
2 far-reaching discovery? No, I'm joking, but --
THE COURT: Okay.
3
4 MR. BROWN: Two counties -- limited to two counties?
THE COURT: Two counties. Gwinnett -- go ahead.
5
6 MR. BROWN: Gwinnett and Fulton. In the five.
THE COURT: In the five -- Henry County and
7
8 McDonough. It doesn't say specifically that one was.
9 Fulton, Allen County AME -- and Grady High School.
10 MR. BROWN: Okay. Yes, Your Honor.
11 THE COURT: That's what's alleged on the petition.
MR. BROWN: And that would be examination of the
12
13 machines under the conditions that you've described. In addition, the county servers -- that the county GEMS
14
15 servers under the instructions that you gave.
THE COURT: -- and if there's a way to get that
16
17 information if it is public record, then you can get it in
18 public record.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. At present the
19
20 trial is scheduled -- for the trial -- not at present. The trial is scheduled for the 17th --
21
22 THE COURT: Correct.
MR. BROWN: -- of -- would it make sense to postpone
23
24 that --
25 THE COURT: No, nothing postponed.

1 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

2 THE COURT: The rules are -- in fact I'm getting some pressure as to why this isn't going through faster than
3

4 it's been. It needs to be done; it needs to be completed; it needs to be over. I'm not going to move it.
5

6 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: And what I've allowed you to do on those
7

8 things can be done before that date.

9 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

10 THE COURT: If -- if you put your mind to it. Okay?

11 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Judge.
THE COURT: Okay, anything else? You had a motion
12

13 about -- but I guess that's moot.
MR. BROWN: That's moot.
14

15 THE COURT: Okay, anything else?
MR. RUSSO: No, Your Honor.
16

17 THE COURT: Okay.

18 MR. LINDSEY: Just to move the case along quickly and I'm going to stipulate to plaintiff's counsel be in
19

20 agreement -- just taking judicial notice so we don't have to bring somebody in to show the certified election
21

22 results of all the statewide elections.
THE COURT: Do you have an objection to --
23

24 MR. RUSSO: I assume we don't have any objections?

25 Just to the numbers. Do you have an objection? No,

1 Judge.

2 THE COURT: The numbers that he gave me are the numbers in the register. Do they have an objection?

3

4 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor.
MR. RUSSO: I just want to make that that's clear.

5

6 THE COURT: Okay. You can put those in without having to --

7

8 MR. RUSSO: No, Your Honor.

9 MR. BELINFANTE: We will be doing the same -- we will

10 be using the same numbers here on, Your Honor.

11 THE COURT: Okay. That's it.
MR. RUSSO: Okay.

12

13 THE COURT: Anything else? Thank you, gentleman.
MR. RUSSO: Thank you.

14

15 MR. BELINFANTE: Thank you, Your Honor.
MR. TYSON: Thank you, Judge.

16

17

18 (Whereupon, the hearing was concluded at 10:34 a.m.)

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 CERTIFICATE

2
STATE OF GEORGIA)
3

4) SS.
COUNTY OF DOUGLAS)
5

6
I, PRISCILLA GARCIA, A COURT REPORTER IN THE STATE OF
7

8 GEORGIA, DO HEREBY STATE THAT THE FOREGOING IS A TRUE AND

9 ACCURATE TRANSCRIPT AS TRANSCRIBED BY ME AT THE TIME,

10 PLACE, AND THE DATE HEREINBEFORE SET FORTH.

11 I DO FURTHER STATE THAT I AM NEITHER A RELATIVE NOR
EMPLOYEE NOR ATTORNEY NOR COUNSEL OF ANY OF THE PARTIES TO
12

13 THIS ACTION, AND THAT I AM NEITHER A RELATIVE NOR EMPLOYEE
OF SUCH ATTORNEY OR COUNSEL, AND THAT I AM NOT FINANCIALLY
14

15 INTERESTED IN THIS ACTION.
WITNESS MY HAND IN THE CITY OF DOUGLASVILLE, COUNTY
16

17 OF DOUGLAS, STATE OF GEORGIA, ON THIS 18th DAY OF JANUARY

18 2019. BY: Priscilla Garcia
19

20 PRISCILLA GARCIA, COURT REPORTER
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF GEORGIA
21

22 COMMISSION NO.: W-00379933
COMMISSION EXPIRES: 08/14/2022
23

24 CERTFICATION NO.: 5503-2677-8304-9216

25 LICENSE EXPIRES: 04/01/2019

1

1 28:8,20, 22 47:13
100 47:17
100-vote 46:24
103,290 43:25
115,691 45:1
11th 64:20
123,000 41:22 43:13 45:15 58:20,25 60:4 80:22 84:1,4
123,000-plus 45:2
123,000-vote 48:1
123,172 13:18 43:13
124,000 87:13
13 57:4,6,9
14,000 81:13,15
14th 64:24 66:6 69:11
15 72:15
157 36:8,10 37:6
159 23:2,10 35:20
17 92:15 93:20
180 37:15
19,882 44:1
1983 10:2
1989 18:22
1998 42:20
1st 64:22

2

2 10:6 15:2 16:2,18 28:9,17 29:6
2- 28:11
2000 66:17
2003 66:13
2004 17:18 27:25
2006 67:13 71:17 75:20
2006-era 70:11
2009 64:11 67:15
2015 19:4
2016 72:25
2018 6:2 13:15
21 15:2 18:24 28:9
21-2-366 39:9
2102 14:11
2122 16:18
212235 28:13
212250 22:2
2125 14:10 28:9
22 6:6,13, 20
220 22:9,10
23 75:18
242- 52:6
25 75:10
252-georgia-260 50:4 57:24
26 39:22
2623-georgia-514 48:4
270-georgia-7 42:20 50:8 51:17
284-georgia-397 51:15 58:9
288-georgia-26 51:25
29 76:22

3

3 10:6 29:6 30:25 47:11,13
3,780,304 43:18
3,883,594 43:17
3,895,955 44:24
301-georgia-27 24:20 25:11
30177 51:11
323-georgia-po427 42:2
324 19:4
33 75:10
34 77:2
35 16:20
378-georgia-532 51:10
38 33:15
380 17:20

4

4 18:24

27:10 28:18 47:13 78:18
42 47:18
43 68:6
44 68:6

5

5 47:13
520 14:11 22:2,4 30:13,25
520-2A 30:17
521-1 47:11
522 30:13
522-2 30:20
524 61:13
524(c) 59:17
53,902 45:13,15

6

6 13:15
62 65:11
69 65:18

7

71 63:22
72 63:25
65:11
74 67:22
75 63:22
76 63:25
795 66:1
796 64:20 66:1
798 68:20

8

8,000 46:2
80,000 46:2
8:59 6:2

9

9 6:2
9/11/15 32:18
98.9% 44:11
99 46:25
9th 66:11

A

A.M.E. 89:15
ability 34:11
absentee 34:10,11 39:2 68:2, 11,15,22, 24 69:6
absolutely 68:18 82:18 90:9
absurd 23:11
abused 73:11
AC 79:8
Academy 71:4,6,11 73:6 75:8
accept 43:18 44:13,18
accepted 49:13 55:9,10,11
access 22:6 80:4 81:4 82:17,18 89:2,24 91:11
accessing 88:20,22
accuracy 68:17
acknowledged 66:13
acronym 85:20,22
Act 18:22 27:10,18
action 35:25 39:15 47:9 65:25
acts 19:2
actual 85:24
Adams 42:2
add 32:6 33:2,15 59:2
added 74:18
adding 37:22 58:13
addition 31:20 36:25 47:8 70:22 78:17 79:13 86:6 93:13
additional 14:15 32:6 75:22
Additionally 80:11 81:9
address 14:17,18, 20 38:11 63:13 72:13,17, 18
addresses 84:20
admitted 51:18
adopts 74:8
advantages

74:17

advocates 58:2

advocating 57:18

affect 46:9

affidavit 80:13,17

afford 30:9

agency 70:18

agree 12:8 35:6 62:18 92:13

agreed 9:22

agreement 89:22 94:20

ahead 15:25 20:22 63:11 92:25 93:4

albeit 66:22

allegation 15:20 30:20 44:4 45:2 47:8 57:18 59:20 84:6,10 89:11

allegations 31:2,6,10, 17,20,22 33:9 36:1 41:4 43:20 44:18 45:24,25 46:4 48:13 49:13 53:18 58:2 59:13 60:2 67:20 69:11 72:17 73:18 85:4,10,13

allege 34:1 46:25 47:4 49:11 53:13,20 55:25 58:17,22 59:2 60:20 65:18 66:4 67:22 70:11,17 71:20 72:22 73:4,18

alleged 17:11 18:8,11 22:24 30:11 33:17,20 35:24 36:4 43:6 47:15 48:13 49:18 53:1 55:8,13 56:2,8,10, 11 59:6,18 60:20,22 64:8 65:15 67:22 72:18 73:18,20, 22 80:6 81:6 85:4, 8 93:11

alleges 75:2

alleging 33:22 43:1 70:2 84:20 89:15

Allen 89:15 93:9

allowed 94:6

alluded 59:22

altered 82:22 83:4

alternative 67:8

AME 93:9

amenable 77:15,25

amend 32:2

amending 32:6

amendment 32:4 33:2 64:24 66:6 69:11

amendments 19:22

Amico 46:6 55:24

Amico's 87:9

amount 84:1, 4,24

analogizing 87:6

analogous 53:2

Anderson 24:20 64:20

anecdotes 32:25

announces 36:22

anonymous 47:24

answers 64:18

anymore 71:13

apologize 20:22 22:8

appeal 32:15 52:13 53:10

appeals 17:18

appears 25:13

appellants 66:2 68:15 69:6

appellate 25:6

applicable 16:18 17:2 28:17 38:2

applied 19:6 65:2

apply 15:20 17:1 18:24

applying 65:11 66:9

approach 24:6,8 51:2

approval 40:18

aren't 13:11

argue 18:22 21:8 24:15

argued 17:11 68:15

arguing 20:18 36:18 40:25 80:15

argument 19:13 21:24 23:8,18,20 26:4,24 29:6 33:11,13 35:15 40:2,8,9 41:18 64:15 67:11 68:13 69:18,20

arguments 13:11 21:18 41:18 61:4

assembly 75:13

assert 44:6, 11

asserted 10:18,24 14:10

asserting 10:20 42:25

assertion 42:18 44:13

asserts 44:4 45:2

assume 94:24

assuming 74:17

attached 24:13 80:15 84:15

attack 67:11 71:11

attacked 67:13

attention 61:20

attorney 23:18,22 24:4 25:1, 2 41:20

audit 74:13 82:15

authority 39:10

authorize 39:11

authorized 14:25 40:17

aware 13:15 20:11 61:18 74:22

B

back 15:9 32:18 33:2 51:4 53:9 75:24 86:10 91:18

backup 71:22

badly 61:11

BAILIFF 8:2

ballot 16:11 17:22 18:11 19:22 27:18,22 34:11 40:15 52:22 60:6 68:2 69:6 74:15 87:11

balloting 65:6 66:15 74:9

ballots 18:13 34:10 36:20 44:10,11, 22 50:20, 22 58:10, 11 59:25 60:2 66:17 67:9 68:15 74:18 87:8,9,13

Banker 51:10

bankruptcy 42:4

based 46:13 48:11 64:10 75:15 80:11

83:20

basically 27:4

basis 20:1,6 28:6 32:1 33:6 48:17 64:4,18,20 65:2,9,15 66:9,10

bath 60:15

battle 62:4

begin 58:15

beginning 21:4

behalf 9:2,6 12:4 33:13 41:20 82:9 84:15

Belinfante 6:15 8:20 14:18 41:17 60:24 62:4,8,20, 25 63:2,9, 13 64:15 74:2 76:15 83:13

Belinfante's 69:24

bench 7:20 24:8

big 42:18

binding 25:22,25

bit 30:13 77:8

block 91:17

blocks 67:20

Board 8:25 16:20,22 19:11 29:22 30:4 32:10 34:4 38:15,25 39:2,10, 11,13,15

Boards 19:9

bombarded 71:17

book 22:4,6 65:20

books 27:8 36:20

boot 79:8

borrow 56:13

bottom 76:25

bound 41:4 53:24,25 54:2,4

box 71:20

brain 12:2

branch 75:25 76:1

brand-new 26:10

breadth 53:18

Brian 24:22

briefly 27:2 82:9 83:6, 18

briefs 63:17,22

bring 12:6 15:18 21:15 22:6 33:22 94:20

brings 75:22

broader 56:17

Brody 32:6

Brown 6:15 8:11 9:13, 22 10:1,6, 10,18,22 13:9,11 21:15 22:4,8,10, 11 23:6 24:13,18 25:9,11,22 26:15,20, 22 29:4, 15,18 35:11,13, 22,24 37:11,25 39:25 48:22 49:4,6,8, 11,15 53:25 54:2,9,11, 13 55:2,4 56:6 57:22 59:4,22 60:4,8 64:13 69:22 73:20,22 74:6,24 75:15 76:20 77:10,13, 18,22 78:6,11 79:13 84:2 85:6,20 86:2,4,11, 15,17 90:22,25 91:4,6,13 92:15,20 93:1,4,6, 10,11,18, 22 94:1,6, 9,11,13

Bruce 8:11 21:15

Bryan 8:22 29:22 46:20

bunch 47:22

burden 54:6, 8,10 61:6

65:15
85:17

burdened
65:22

burdensome
87:20

Burwell 6:8, 11 9:6,9 12:4 33:13 34:17,20, 24 35:2,4, 8 38:13 39:22 40:22 48:18,20 69:20 84:15

C

calculate
55:22

call 80:22 81:2

called 24:20 71:6 85:20

calls 51:18

cameraman
6:13

candidate
27:17,18 30:17,20, 22 45:6 57:18 60:2

candidates
14:4 19:25 27:20

can't 7:20 10:20 27:8 31:18 34:4 35:17

capable
38:17 40:15

capacity
24:22,24 25:13

care 8:2 54:6

careful 7:18

Carr 25:1

Carruthers
8:24

carry 61:6 85:17

case 6:6,9, 10 10:11 11:8 13:20 16:4 17:17,18, 24,25 18:1,2,4, 6,15 19:6 22:25 23:15,17 24:6,11, 13,18,20, 22 25:1,4, 6,8,11,15, 17 26:6,9 27:6,11,25 30:10 31:4,11,18 32:6,8,9, 13 33:1,6 35:4 39:2, 8 42:1,2, 4,20 43:11 44:11,18 47:6 49:17 50:4,6,8, 15 51:2,4, 15,20,22 52:2,6,9, 10,11,18 53:2,8,9 54:22 55:1 56:13 57:11,13, 17,20,25 58:8,9,18 59:2,9 64:2 66:1, 11 69:11 70:15,18 72:11 74:8 75:15 78:20 81:13 82:6 83:20 87:10,13, 22 94:18

cases 27:2 30:15,22 37:13 42:10,15 45:18,22 48:9,11 49:25 50:17,18, 25 51:4,9 53:17 58:6 60:1 72:13 87:8 91:13

cast 18:13 31:2 43:9, 15,17 44:24 45:1,2 47:1 53:4 57:20 58:10,11, 13,24 61:4 68:15 84:9

categories
16:25

caused 88:6

causing
78:8,15 91:2

certainty
48:6 52:15

certified
42:10 43:13 46:1,15,22 94:20

certify 67:8

chads 66:18

challenge
27:13 30:6 31:13 63:20

challenged 30:18

challenges 52:20

challenging 30:22 42:15

Champion 32:8

chance 15:13

change 25:25 47:6 81:6

changed 60:11 70:13 75:20

changing 38:18

chapter 15:2 18:24 22:17,20 27:10

charge 23:25

cheat 38:11

chime 57:6

choice 65:6 67:2,6,10 74:20,22, 25 76:6

choose 34:13 67:15 68:20

chosen 42:11 60:18 87:15

Chris 25:1,2

Circuit 64:20 66:11

cite 42:1 50:17 58:6 68:10 75:4 87:22

cited 27:2 32:13 37:1 40:18 45:22 51:9 57:22 58:6 74:6

cites 74:8 75:8,9

citing 66:11,15

citizen 69:4

citizens 38:20 39:1,2

City 16:22

claim 10:13 12:6,9 13:20 14:22 17:24 32:22 38:4 47:2 48:2, 17 52:4,9, 15 57:11 64:8,17 65:11 67:18 68:4 69:1,25 70:6 73:24 74:4 75:1 76:6

claims 10:2, 6,11,18 11:6,10,18 12:4 14:18,20 29:6 47:18 63:10 64:4 66:2,8,13 67:20 68:18 69:24,25 80:11

clarification 90:13

classes 16:2 68:10

classification 69:8

clause 63:15,18, 20 66:6 68:6 69:10,13 75:13

clean 34:22 35:4

clear 9:15, 22 19:6 23:22 26:6 48:4 53:17 66:10 68:6 74:20 83:22 89:20 92:20

clerk 24:11

client 14:17

clock 57:4, 8,9

close 47:25 60:13 61:17,18, 24

closed 45:13 58:22

co-defendants 13:15

Coalition 6:10 8:6, 13 9:24 10:2 14:22

COBB 6:1

code 15:1 16:11,18 18:24 22:1,17 27:9 28:13,18, 20 32:13 40:17 88:22

coffin 67:6

colleagues 18:18 80:20 82:8

collect 79:4 85:22

collected 71:8 72:4

collection 47:20

comeback 84:6

Commissioners 39:11,13

Committee 70:20,22

common 20:4

commonsense 22:20 23:24

compare 65:24 78:13

comparing 78:15

comparison 30:13

complaining 34:1

complaint 20:15 33:15 38:6 47:18 49:11 65:13,18 66:2 67:22 70:13 72:15,20 75:2,4,18 84:20

completed 94:4

completely 14:2 63:20 74:15 80:10

comply 38:22

computer 22:6 70:22 78:11 79:18 90:2

conceded 36:2

concern 68:22 82:13

concerns 71:15 75:22,25 83:2

concluded 51:13 69:4 72:9

conclusion 64:2 80:17

conditions 93:13

conduct 16:13 17:6 28:11 58:11 87:15

conducted 16:8,13 28:2 30:6 31:1 36:2 70:2

conducting 22:22 40:2,15

conference 7:20

conferences 7:20

confidential 88:18,22 89:2 91:18

confidentiality 89:22

confirmed 14:20

confirming 6:20 65:9

conflicting 47:9

confuse 22:11

confusing 15:17

connection 30:15 79:8

cons 65:6 67:2

Consensus 71:6

constitution 63:8 67:9 74:22

constitutional 11:18 12:9 14:18 19:22 29:6 63:9,10 64:4 69:24 71:25 73:9

constitutions 66:6

constrained 34:6 38:22

construction 19:1,6

construed 20:4

contained 66:13

contention 69:6

contest 9:25 10:4 14:9, 15,22 15:4,6 17:18 18:4,6 19:8 20:8, 24 22:20

23:2,15 24:1 28:4, 6,10,20 30:1,11, 15,20 31:15 32:4 33:8 59:15,18, 20,22 74:1 80:22

contestant 14:8 17:20 18:11 32:8 53:1

contestants 10:2 50:6

contested 10:8 16:4, 6,9 28:11 30:6 31:1

contesting 13:15

contests 14:25 19:24 27:20,22 61:15

context 15:4

contingent 63:20

continuances 91:15

continued 66:24 79:1

continues 60:4 69:4, 9

contrary 71:2 72:11

conversation 7:13

convinced 13:1

coordination 82:20

copy 24:9, 20 64:11 83:13 87:11

Corea 25:2

corners 9:20 20:15 29:11 48:15 58:20 61:10

correct 9:13,25 10:1,6,22 22:15 55:2,4 70:1 93:22

correctly 69:4 71:1 72:2,4

couldn't 32:20

counsel 8:10,13 13:10 25:4 27:4,6,11 60:15 64:13 78:1 81:1 83:15 94:18

counsel's 7:11

count 10:6 12:13,18 28:8,20,22 41:13 50:2,20 52:22 63:20 65:11 71:1 87:11

counted 43:22 45:20 57:20 58:1,6,25 72:2,4 83:25 87:18

counties 19:11 20:9 23:2,10 28:4 31:6, 9,11,18,22 32:20,24, 25 33:4, 20,25 34:15,18 35:20 36:4,8,11, 13 37:2,6 84:15 89:9,10 92:8 93:4

counting 31:4 58:18 59:17

counts 29:6 43:22 63:13 66:2

county 6:1 8:9 9:6,13 12:2,4 16:15,20, 22,24 17:11 18:6,9,15, 18 19:9,10 20:9,10 24:11 28:2 29:4,22 30:4 31:11,17, 20 32:10 33:13,18 34:4,11 35:9 36:4, 15 37:2 38:11,15, 20,22 39:6,10 40:11,13, 22 46:20 47:18,20 82:10,25 84:15,17, 18,20

86:6,11,
13,22,25
88:13
89:13
93:6,9,13

county's
30:11
35:15

county's
39:15

couple 8:6
79:25

coupled
71:24

court 6:6,9,
11,17,18,
22 7:1,4,
6,11,13
8:1,2,4,
15,22 9:1,
4,8,11,15
10:4,8,9,
11,13,20
11:2,11,
13,18,20,
22 12:6,8,
11,15,18,
22,25
13:11 14:6
15:8,11,
13,17,24
16:20
17:17
20:2,13,
17,20,22
21:2,4,6,
11,13
22:2,4,9,
11 23:4,20
24:10,15
25:6,10,18
26:13,17,
20 27:1
28:8 29:1,
8,11,15,20
31:18
32:6,11
33:10,15,
22,24
34:13,18,
22,25
35:2,6,9,
13,18,22
36:24
37:11,13
38:1,6,9
39:9,22,24
40:22,24
41:9,13,15
42:4,10,18
43:18
45:18
46:15,18
47:13
48:2,4,9,
18,20,22
49:1,4,6,
9,15,25
50:4,9,13,
20 51:2,
10,11,13,
15,17,20,
25 52:4,6,
10,13
53:4,6,8,
24 54:1,6,
10,11
55:2,4
56:6,13
57:15
58:8,9
59:11
60:13,25
62:6,9,15,
18,22
63:2,4,8,
10 64:2,11
65:1,24
66:2,11,
15,24
67:1,15
68:20
69:2,4,15,
18 73:18,
22 74:8
76:9,17,18
77:4,11,
18,20
78:4,10
79:11,25
82:2,11
83:2,8,11,
15,18
84:13,20,
25 85:2,6,
20,25
86:2,10,
13,15
88:8,15,
18,20,25
90:4,6,11,
15,20,24
91:4,6,8,
11,13,20,
24 92:4,8,
11,13,18,
22 93:2,4,
6,11,15,
22,25
94:2,6,10,
11,15,17,
22

court's
68:13

courtroom
7:9 15:9
55:2

courtrooms
10:22

courts 42:4,
6 43:2
51:6 70:15
72:8

cover 36:1
59:11
61:13,25
85:10,13,
24 86:6

covered 6:20
10:4

covers 86:4

Crawford
42:20
51:17

criminal
11:9

Crittenden 8:9
culminated 71:4
current 17:25 70:20
cut 81:18

D

daily 71:18
damage 89:20 90:8
data 85:22 86:20 88:24 90:2,13,17
database 17:9 86:11
databases 85:18 86:4,6 88:6
date 33:2 88:15 94:8
Dawkins- 24:20
Dawkins-haigler 51:11
day 14:6 49:2 80:18
days 79:25
deal 11:20
dealing 35:20 58:4
dealt 70:10
December 39:22 78:18,22
decide 10:15 34:13 39:2,6 66:24
decided 48:11
decides 64:4
decision 25:6,15, 18,20 26:11 42:18,20 52:10 55:10 64:10,20 66:11 72:6 74:6 75:11
decisions 70:9
declaration 87:22
declared 16:10
declares 19:17
deemed 11:1
defective 65:18
defects 43:1,8 78:13
defend 35:17
defendant 15:2,20 16:2 17:15 19:15 21:18,20, 22,25 22:22 24:1 26:2,25 30:15,18, 20,24 39:17 62:24 69:15 76:17
defendant's 52:11 56:22
defendants 11:4 18:18 20:9 23:1, 10 36:1,6 48:24 49:20 52:9 63:6 65:13,20 67:24 70:8 72:13 77:15 79:10,22 87:6
defendants' 33:10
defendant's 13:10
defended 23:18 25:1,17
defending 25:2
defense 21:13 78:1
defined 16:2,18 19:20 22:13
defines 22:2 28:13,15
definition 17:2 18:22 19:15 20:6 22:15
definitions 16:17 28:17
Dekalb 9:13 31:10 32:10 47:18,20 89:11
Dekalb's 89:13
Demila 80:13 81:11 87:22

democratic
45:6

democratically -elected
65:4 67:2

demonstrated
42:17

demonstrates
45:22 59:6

denies 66:4

Dennis 25:2

DENTON
11:11,15, 20,22

deny 37:20
40:24
61:22
81:25

department
25:2,22,24
70:22

depending
46:2

derived
58:13

describes
53:22
75:18

description
68:13
69:24

determine
11:10
50:22
52:24
73:13
77:15 78:6
82:17,25
90:22

determined
29:25

Dick 8:24

didn't 15:8, 13,18 41:9
44:6 93:1

differ 68:18

difference
13:20 32:4
43:22 44:8
50:2,22,24
52:25
56:20,25
58:13

differences
14:4

differently
67:25
68:15

difficulty
78:18

direct 32:15

directly
69:11

director
8:13 32:9

directs
82:11 83:2

disagree
65:13

disagreement
65:13

discloses
52:15

disclosure
91:22

discovery
36:10,11, 13,15,24
37:6 77:1, 11 79:15
85:1,15,18
87:20
88:11 93:2

discriminates
68:10

discuss
14:13 75:2

discussed
23:17
51:20 60:8

discusses
19:15

dismiss
13:13
14:13
15:24
20:18 28:6
29:8,11,24
34:2 38:25
39:20
41:1,6
42:6 46:13
47:10,11
48:10,11
52:4 54:18
55:6 57:11
59:10
61:22 64:6
68:8 69:22
83:22

dismissal
20:25 32:1
33:8 47:2

dismissed
9:13 29:13
41:10
47:20
48:17
52:8,15
69:13
73:24

dismissing
15:6 53:8

disposed
91:15

dispositive
68:20

disprove
84:11

disputed
59:4

disregard
14:2

distinction
68:4,25
76:6

distinguish 75:15

distinguishable 70:10

documentary 79:15

documents 76:24 79:11,13

doesn't 34:11 75:20

domestic 71:11

don't 7:2,4,17 10:15 11:9,15,17 12:11 19:11 22:11 26:15 27:24 36:15 37:22 38:2,20 40:18 41:2 47:25 49:6 79:2 89:25 90:1 94:20

doubt 14:1 42:18 43:2 47:4 48:15 53:4 57:6 58:11 60:11,15,22 61:2 80:22 81:2 84:9

down-ballot 43:10,15

download 37:18 62:11

downstairs 6:25 7:2,22

dozen 84:4,11

dramatic 44:6,13 56:25

dramatically 43:10

drastic 42:13

drawn 80:18

DRE 33:17 34:6,11 38:18,20,22 65:2,15,18 66:4,10 67:13,15,25 68:4,22 70:11,20 74:11 77:11 86:4 88:4 91:2

dressed 67:11

drop 62:6

drop-in 44:4

drop-off 44:8,11,13,15,20 45:4 56:25

drop-offs 44:4

drove 87:18

due 63:13,18 64:9,15 65:11 66:6 67:18 70:4 72:10 74:4 75:1,13

due-process 67:11 69:13

dumped 37:17

Duncan 9:4 12:13 41:20 44:2 45:11 55:22

Dunn 25:2

E

earlier 58:20

Earnest 57:22

easily 50:18

Edward 9:2 41:18

effect 24:2 81:22

effectively 68:20

egregious 48:24

elect 16:4

elected 27:11

electing 56:24

election 9:24 10:2 12:15,18 13:17,18 14:2,9,11,22,25 15:1,4,6 16:4,6,9,11,13,18 17:11,18 18:4,6,22,24 19:8,18,24 20:2,8,11,24 21:25 22:2,11,13,15,18,22 23:2,15 24:1,24 25:13 26:2,4 27:20,22 28:2,6,10,

11,20 30:1,4,6, 11,20,25 32:4,13 33:8 36:2 40:6,9,10, 15 42:11, 15,18 43:2 46:15,22 47:6 50:6 52:22 53:4 55:24 57:13,24 58:11,13 59:15 60:13,17 61:2,15 63:20,24 64:1,22 66:18 68:1,9 70:2 71:13 72:25 73:15 74:1 76:24 78:20,22 80:22 81:2,6,22 84:8 92:4 94:20

elections 8:25 16:13,20, 22 17:1,6 19:9,11 23:25 26:9 28:2 29:22 32:9,10 34:4 38:15 39:1,4,11, 15 40:2 42:15 78:18 87:15 94:22

electoral 74:13

electors 16:11 19:18 67:24,25

electronic 44:10,22 45:4 56:4, 20 65:20 68:15 76:22 85:11 87:17

electronically 83:9

elements 73:22

eligibility 16:6 30:18,22

eligible 30:22

eliminated 74:15

Ellis 48:4

else's 24:18

else's 24:15,17

email 76:11 91:18

emailing 62:10

emails 91:18

emergency 76:22

en 32:6 69:11

end 37:22 45:13 80:18

enjoyed 44:2 45:11,20

entire 49:17 55:22,24 57:2 61:2

entirety 33:8

entitled 41:24 52:17 53:13,15 55:25 56:2,8 67:15 75:13 87:18

environment 71:15,18

equal 63:15, 18 64:9 66:4 67:18 68:6 69:1, 10,13 70:4 72:11 76:2

equal-protection 76:6

equally 64:4

equipment 66:4 76:24 77:2 78:2 80:4 82:13

erase 58:13

erroneously 13:22

error 59:17 88:4

errors 31:4 47:22 66:18 89:15

essence 38:18

essentially 44:11 80:2

established 42:15 43:2 51:20,22 73:17

events 70:13

everybody's 35:1 38:11

Everyone's

6:17

evidence 9:17 26:18 31:9,13,24 32:20 37:15 41:1 48:25 50:10,13 51:6,11,18 54:13 56:2 59:15,20 64:2 71:2 79:2,15 81:11 82:4 85:11 88:4

evidenced 31:8

evident 66:17

evidentiary 9:17 51:22 52:6 56:22

exact 60:25 71:8 84:2

examination 73:13 78:6,11 87:25 88:4 93:11

examine 81:13 90:22,24

examining 91:1

examples 51:8

exceeds 18:13

exclusively 70:8

excuse 14:11 17:15 81:10

executive 8:13

exhibit 46:6 77:13 79:2 86:4

exist 60:6

expand 92:22

expanded 14:15

expanding 20:6

expedition 85:4 88:11

expeditiously 79:20

expert 55:18 82:6

experts 78:11 80:13 90:25

explain 7:8 12:1 30:13 51:11

explained 51:15 52:1 55:18 56:11

explanation 56:24 60:6

explanations 13:20 14:2 60:6

exposed 73:11

expressly 67:1

extent 90:18

external 71:24

extremely 61:24

F

face 68:10

fact 14:4 15:18 17:4 22:20 23:17 39:6 51:22 52:2 55:11 58:20 65:2 67:1 94:2

facts 52:18 56:1 64:8 81:20

fail 32:2 64:4

failed 53:10

failing 20:25

failure 47:2 48:17,25 52:4,8,15 57:11 61:4 87:4

failures 84:8

fair 24:18 33:24

fairly 11:25

fall 46:2 59:6 64:6

falls 59:6

false 69:8

far- 85:1

far-reaching 93:2

fast 37:13, 15 91:15

faster 94:2

favored 93:1

Favorito 64:10,15, 18 65:25 66:9,15 67:20,22 68:13,18 69:2 70:8, 9,10,15,17 74:6

75:15,24 76:4

federal 10:2,6,9, 11,13,15 11:1,18 12:4,6,8 14:18 66:4 69:22 82:6 87:22

federals 10:15

felt 40:20

field 34:15

figure 31:13

figuring 34:18

file 14:22 27:20 37:2 83:10

filed 9:18 13:13,15 18:6,15 28:4 30:10 31:8 32:9, 20 39:20 42:20 72:15 80:6

filing 81:9 82:1

filling 33:2

final 25:18

Finally 19:13

find 6:18 27:8 28:20 35:10 52:11 54:4

fine 24:15

firm 25:4

fishing 85:4 88:11

five-day 32:17

fixing 62:22

flaw 75:4 85:22 86:18 88:9

flawed 40:15 53:20 55:22,24 65:20 68:22 71:20 73:8

flaws 91:2, 4,6

flipping 56:4

focus 58:10

folks 89:24

follow 34:6 41:6

footnote 74:6

force 34:11

forecloses 67:11

foreign 71:11

forensic 73:13 78:6,10 87:25

forever 72:6 87:15

forgot 60:25

form 7:25 61:13 62:1

formally 16:10

forward 59:2,15 62:2 64:4 70:2

found 24:6 50:4,9 51:8 54:6 60:22 69:2 75:4 85:11 86:22

fourth 19:15

frankly 75:15

fraud 66:22 74:11,15

free 65:8 67:4

front 6:22 15:25 31:18 50:20,24 60:20

full 30:9 33:6,24 62:22 78:6,10

Fuller 51:15 58:8

fully 90:11

Fulton 8:9 9:6 12:2,4 24:11 29:17 31:6 33:13,18 34:4,11 35:13,15, 25 36:6 38:9,15,20 39:6 40:1, 11,13,22 48:18 84:15,17, 18,20 89:1 93:6,9

Fulton's 40:2

fundamental 18:25 56:10 59:1 65:13,22 66:6 72:18 89:20

fundamentally 53:20 55:11 70:10,13, 20 72:1

73:8 85:17 86:8 87:4

future 63:24

G

gap 45:13

gave 93:15

GEMS 85:18, 20,22 86:4,10, 11,13 88:13,15, 22,24 89:1 90:17 93:13

general 13:17 16:17 23:18,22 24:4 25:2 29:9 75:13 79:15 84:6

generalized 41:6,9,10 61:4

generally 64:25

Geoff 9:2 12:11 41:20

Georgia 6:1 8:18 13:18 14:6 15:1 16:11,15, 18 26:8 28:2 31:11 38:20 42:9 58:8 64:11 66:1 67:6 69:2,4,10 70:18,24 71:9,13,22 72:11,20 74:11 80:4

Georgia-454 52:6

give 15:13 25:18 33:6 88:11 90:18 91:15

giving 49:17 81:4

glad 43:4

golden 46:2

good 8:6,8, 13,18,22 9:2 11:6 14:22 15:15 29:20 77:6

Gordon 31:9

gotcha 9:11

Governance 8:8,15 14:22

governed 15:1

governing 39:10

government 70:18

governor 13:17 16:4 17:13 44:8 46:9 63:24

governor's 59:24 86:22

Governor-elect 9:2 41:20

governor's 13:22 43:9,18,24 44:9,15, 20,24 45:6

Grady 85:9 89:13 93:9

grant 6:18 28:22 37:1 52:4 59:10

granted 26:11

greater 58:25

ground 59:18

grounds 14:10,15 30:15 31:2 52:9

group 27:13

gubernatorial 45:11

guess 29:15 90:11 94:13

guessed 74:25

guessing 14:9 65:8 67:4

guides 75:1

Gwinnett 8:9,24 11:11 29:4,15,22 30:4 31:6, 20,25 33:18 35:25 36:6 38:1,2 40:1 46:20 82:10,22 89:1 93:4, 6

H

hacker 73:2

Haigler 24:20

half 81:17

hand 54:1

handle 8:6

hanging 66:18

Hanson 32:13

happened 48:6 49:20 70:11 78:8

happening 73:11

happy 41:2, 17,18 79:9 92:2

hard 37:18 87:11

hate 61:8

haven't 41:6

hear 10:15 11:2 12:25 13:2,4 15:20,22 29:6,15 41:11,13 43:4

heard 15:18 34:25 35:1 41:6 48:18 83:6,17

hearing 7:15 13:11 33:25 37:6,22 41:2 51:13,22 52:1,2,8 56:22 92:15

held 28:2 52:13 53:4 57:11 58:9

helpful 78:2 87:20

helps 30:13

Henry 93:6

here's 35:22

he's 75:22 89:11,13, 15 91:25

High 89:13 93:9

highest 43:13

highlight 18:4

highly 81:4 88:18,22

historically 86:24

history 26:6

hold 7:20 30:22 72:13 76:4

holding 26:8 52:13 64:1 68:18 75:24

Homeland 70:22 75:9

Honor 6:15, 18 8:11, 18,20,22 9:2,10,13 10:1,6,10, 18,22 11:6,11 12:4,11 13:9 14:20,25 17:2 18:25 19:13,20 20:2,13 22:8 23:2, 6,13,22 24:6,13 25:6,22 26:1,10, 15,22 27:2,8,24 29:4,18, 20,24 31:13 33:13 34:2,10,18 35:13,22 36:18,25 37:10,11, 25 38:2 39:20,25 40:4,20 41:18,22 42:18,20, 24 44:18, 25 45:25 46:4,10, 11,15,18 48:20,22 49:13,17, 25 50:18 51:4 52:2 53:13 54:13,15, 20 55:2,8 56:2,15 57:4,13, 15,25 58:4 59:11,13 60:24 62:4,8,13, 25 63:6, 13,20 64:9 69:20,22 70:17 71:2,15 72:11 73:20 74:2 76:18,20, 22 77:13 78:9 79:22 80:1,11,18 81:4,9,15, 18 82:9 83:6,9,17 84:4,10, 11,15 85:6,8 86:8 87:4 88:6,13,15 89:22 90:11,22 91:6 92:17,20 93:10,18 94:1,6,9, 15

Honor's 39:25

hottest 78:24
hours 81:13, 15
House 70:20
Howell 48:8 59:25
huge 61:6
human 66:18
Hunt 42:20 50:6,8 51:15,18
hunting 87:6
hypothetical 66:22 72:15

I

idea 59:22
identical 66:8 67:20 68:18
identified 63:15 77:13,22 79:2 87:2
identify 32:22
illegal 18:11,13 31:2 47:1 50:20,22 87:8
illustration 49:11 56:18 85:11
illustrations 55:13 85:13
imagine 7:20
immunity 21:20,22 23:18 26:9,24 63:18
impact 45:6 50:18 58:18
important 25:15 47:13 54:24 64:24 66:11 79:20 91:22
imposes 64:22
improper 37:24 53:4,11
improperly 53:2 58:10,24 83:25
inaccurate 65:20
inapplicable 18:20
inaudible 6:8 11:18 15:11 20:13 35:11,13 38:6 48:22 57:15 59:11 84:13 85:6 90:15 91:11,20
incapable 40:2
incidences 56:11
include 17:4 28:15
included 33:1 46:6 80:13 84:15
includes 19:2 82:4
incorrect 85:18
increased 44:25
incumbents 14:4
independent 27:18,20 32:15
individual 10:2 86:13,15 90:20
individuals 8:8 15:2,4
infected 73:2,13
infer 56:15
inferred 50:11,13
inflamed 61:20
inflammatory 49:9 61:18
information 33:22 36:18 47:20 81:4 86:20 93:17
informed 73:1
infringed 65:22
inherent 75:4
insecure 70:20
insist 60:6
inspect 77:2,11, 13,24 80:9

81:18,22

inspecting
84:11

inspection
76:22
77:17
78:2,4
83:4

instance
56:6 67:6

instances
55:13
77:22

instructed
24:8

instructions
93:15

insufficient
50:25
67:15,18

intended
23:11

intent 66:20

intentionally
19:2

interact
69:25

interest
79:22

interesting
61:15
87:22

Interestingly
52:18

interests
64:25

internal
78:13
90:22,24
91:1

internet
71:17
72:24
73:11 79:8

interpret
49:20

interpretation
49:22

intimidate
26:13,15

introduce
8:10

introduced
50:10,13

involve
31:22
32:15
47:17,18
78:15

involved
12:18
17:8,17
32:13 50:6
52:18,20
78:25 79:4
82:20 92:8

involving
17:13
18:4,11
19:24

irregular
58:11

irregularities
18:8,17
20:11 28:4
36:4 47:15
50:4
58:10,17,
22 60:11
80:6 81:6,
24 83:24
84:22 85:8

irregularity
18:11
22:24,25

irrelevant
83:20

isn't 38:17

issue 10:15
11:4 15:25
18:20
20:11,17
21:11,17
27:1,25
30:6 33:22
34:18
35:15,18,
20,22
37:10
39:4,18
40:4 41:8
57:17
58:13,15
61:6 69:15
71:8 72:18
74:1 76:13

issues 12:9
14:1,15
21:24
33:20 34:1
40:13
52:22 55:6
72:13
76:13

items 19:22

it's 13:2
17:11
18:25
19:1,6
23:6,8,11,
18 24:11,
13 27:17
28:18 32:2
37:6 39:11
48:11
75:9,10
77:18 79:4

I'd 21:2
24:8

I'll 8:2
13:2 14:17
28:22 42:1
74:24

I'm 7:1,9,
22 10:13
11:22,24,
25 13:1,6
20:18 22:4
24:2,15

36:18 38:11 40:2 41:17,18, 22 42:8 43:4 76:9, 10 88:11 89:8,17 91:15 92:15,18 93:2 94:2, 18

I've 6:22 8:4

J

January 6:2

Jersey 73:2

Jim 8:6

job 60:15 65:4 66:22 67:1

Johnson 42:2 48:4

join 23:2 33:13 34:15 37:9 38:4 48:18 69:17,20

joined 33:6 34:17 35:6,20 36:8 37:8 63:6

joining 48:20

joint 16:22 62:13

joking 93:2

Josh 8:20 14:18 15:8

judge 8:2 11:8 16:20 21:15 53:22 54:11,13 55:9 76:8 92:25 94:11

judges 19:10 61:20

judgment 73:25

judicial 41:24 42:1,2,6 45:25 46:13 59:4 65:8 76:1 94:20

judiciary 75:1

jurisdiction 36:22 68:1

jurisdictional 23:20

K

Kaye 9:6 33:13

Kemp 24:22

Kerling 81:13

key 45:17 82:15

kind 7:15 11:11 13:10 30:13 38:4 39:6 47:22 59:1 61:15,24 89:22

kinds 7:18 10:22 52:20

knew 73:11

knowing 67:17

knowingly 65:20 67:24

Kohl 51:10

L

lack 31:20 39:20

lacks 14:22

language 17:2 19:2 56:13 60:25 91:9

largely 63:17

late 32:2 33:2

Laurens 18:6,15

law 14:8 20:4 25:2, 22,24 26:2,4 27:6 28:2, 15 34:6,8 38:22 54:20 64:22 66:10

laws 20:4

lawsuit 32:15,20 42:2 47:20

lawyers 9:9 25:2

leads 51:4

leap 20:2

leave 74:11

leeway 84:25

left 72:20, 22 73:2,6, 11 88:11

legal 31:2 47:1 49:22 58:11

legislative

75:25

legislator 23:11

legislature 19:2 22:18 23:11,13 66:24 67:15 74:20,22

lengthy 51:13

letter 46:6, 8

let's 13:2 21:4 46:24 75:2

level 16:15 28:2

Library 89:13

lie 76:6

lieutenant 9:2 13:17, 22 16:4 17:13 41:20 43:9,18,24 44:9,15, 20,24 45:6 46:8 59:24 63:24 86:22

life 12:1 70:13

lightly 42:13

limited 84:24 93:4

Lindsey 9:2 12:11,17, 20,24 41:18 49:15 55:20 57:15,17 83:6,17,20 84:4 86:20 94:18

lines 30:20

list 84:15

litany 75:11

located 80:9

lock 82:15

logical 30:2,24

logistics 79:4

long 28:2 65:6 67:2 74:6 76:4 88:1

looked 72:9

lose 54:22

lost 38:11 50:8,9 53:10 61:1 72:6 79:1 87:15

lot 13:4,6 26:17 31:22 41:1

M

machine 47:18 55:18,20 68:4,22 76:25 78:4,6 85:11 87:24,25

machines 13:24,25 33:17 34:6 36:20 38:18,22 40:10,11, 15 53:2,6, 13 55:13 56:11 58:2,18 60:4 65:4, 15,18 66:11 67:13,17 70:4 71:11 72:10 74:11 75:4,17,18 76:4 77:11,13, 22 78:13, 17,20,22, 25 79:17 80:8,9,18, 22 81:2,6, 13,18,20 82:11,15, 17,22 83:1,4 84:2,4,11, 22 85:24 86:4 88:4 89:25 90:8,13, 18,20 91:2 93:13

made 19:13 23:20 31:4 33:9 47:6 50:15 51:22 67:6 81:10,11 83:22

mail 68:11

main 76:22

maintain 17:9

maintained 71:22

maintenance 53:4,11

major 11:25 43:4

make 6:6 12:20 17:10 20:2 21:18

26:10 29:4 31:17 35:2,4 41:17,18 50:22 52:24 53:18 63:22 68:6 70:13 71:24 73:10 82:20 93:22

makes 46:9 61:4 72:4 74:20

making 11:4 20:2 38:13 51:18 69:11 82:11

malfunction 47:18 55:20 78:15

malfunctioned 49:18 55:15

malfunctioning 13:22 56:11

malfunctions 55:17 76:25

malicious 13:24

malware 71:18 73:13

mandates 68:25

manipulation 13:24

margin 13:18 18:13 44:1 45:20 46:24 48:13 50:24 57:2 58:15,18 59:8

Marilyn 8:13

Marks 8:13

material 61:13

math 11:25 43:4 44:17 46:11 60:18,20 61:6

mathematical 43:2 48:6

matter 11:9 41:2,25 44:17 53:9,11 58:20 61:10 74:15

matters 42:6 73:10

matured 11:1

ma'am 15:22 28:25

Mcdonough 89:11 93:8

Mead 17:17 18:4,20 23:15,17 30:10 48:8 51:20 59:25 87:10

means 21:25 22:13 26:2,4 68:24 71:6 74:11 88:18,22 91:10

meant 21:10 22:20 23:24 26:13

mechanical 66:18

meet 20:25

meeting 77:15

memory 78:13,20 87:17 90:22,24 91:1

mentioned 14:18 49:13 77:25 90:13

mere 60:9

merits 25:17 52:1,2

methods 74:18

Miko 45:8, 10

mind 25:25 46:20 76:13 94:10

mine 83:11

minute 35:20

miscast 53:6,13

misconduct 31:2 50:4, 11 51:18 57:18 58:2

miscounted 45:20

missed 17:20

misspelled 87:9

misspoke 73:20

mistake 50:18 51:1 88:10

mistakes 78:8 87:2 92:2

mix 82:22

mode 56:24

modify 32:11

moment 62:6

months 72:22

moot 94:13

mooted 29:2

morning 8:18,22 9:2,10 11:4,6 13:1 29:20 76:11,13 81:11

motion 13:13 14:13 15:24 20:18 28:22 29:8,11,24 34:2,20 37:20 38:24 39:20 41:1,6 42:4 46:11 47:11 48:10 52:4 55:6 57:11 59:10 61:22 62:13,15 64:6 68:6 69:22 73:24,25 76:20,22 77:13,20 79:24 80:4,15 81:25 83:22 84:13,15 94:11

motions 9:15 12:25 13:4,6,13 29:2 31:8 37:22 38:1,4 41:10 47:10 48:11 54:18 63:1,11 74:1

move 14:22 59:2 94:4, 18

moved 77:1

moves 20:11

moving 20:20

multiple 14:11 27:11

municipal 17:1 32:13

Murray 31:9

N

nail 67:4

named 32:20

nation 71:18

national 70:18 71:4,6,11, 15 73:6 75:8

nature 50:1 71:22

necessarily 11:15 22:6 87:20

needed 32:18 45:13

nefarious 43:8,20

negative 45:6

negligent 43:20

neutral 65:8 67:4,6

nice 35:4

nominated 30:18

nomination 16:4,6

non-discriminatory 64:22

non-party 36:11

nondiscriminatory 67:6

note 53:20

noted 27:2 34:2 65:1

notes 51:20 53:8

notice 32:11 41:24 42:1,2,6 46:1,13 59:4 82:4 94:20

November 13:15 71:13 76:22 77:1 78:20,22

number 13:20 16:10 18:13 19:17 31:4,17 38:25 40:6 43:6,9,13, 15 44:6, 13,22 45:1,13,18 46:2,8

47:4 48:8 55:13 58:11,24 59:17 60:1,6 66:20 77:22 79:2 81:18 84:2

numbered 82:15

numbers 22:10 41:22 42:9,10 44:15 49:15 50:6 60:18 61:2,11 62:2 83:1 84:6 94:25

O

objection 6:11 11:9, 17 94:22, 25

objections 94:24

objects 80:4

occasionally 92:18

occurred 18:8,17 28:4 34:2 36:4

occurring 82:18

OCGA21-2-366 39:4

OCGA212250 22:1

OCGA212520 15:2 16:1

OCGA2143 22:13

oddly 86:22

offer 40:4 56:22

offered 56:22

office 11:15 16:6 19:25 30:22 42:10 82:20

officer 16:9 19:13,17 27:13,15

officers 27:11

official 24:22 25:11 42:9

omits 19:4

one-off 47:22

online 92:2

open 72:24 73:1,2

opening 49:2

operating 53:2 58:2

opinion 53:22

opportunity 12:6 54:18

oppose 84:13

opposed 6:6 18:11

opposing 64:11 83:15

optical 39:11

option 23:1 69:2

order 6:20, 22 7:22 18:13 33:6 40:9,20 41:15 48:2 59:2 62:17,18 77:20 89:22 92:13

ordered 40:8 50:4

orderly 78:2

original 33:2 83:8

originals 61:25

other's 69:25

outcome 43:2 46:9 60:10 81:22 83:20

outlined 42:22

over-votes 66:18

overwrite 78:20

owned 82:24

owns 82:22 83:1

P

p.m. 6:2

pack 62:11

pacts 69:11

pages 37:15 68:6 77:18,20

paper 40:11, 15 44:9, 11,22 56:20 66:15 67:8 68:4,15 71:22

74:18 87:8

papers 24:9

paragraph
33:15
47:18
63:22
65:11,18
67:22

paragraphs
75:10,18

pardon 33:11
88:20 91:4

part 11:18
53:1 60:15
89:10

partially
82:24

parties
14:20 30:8
31:11,18,
22 32:2,6
33:1,4,6
37:24 40:1
51:20

partner
14:18

party 9:24
10:2
12:13,17
17:15,24,
25 18:1
28:22
29:4,15,17
30:1,2
36:17
38:15
39:13

past 14:22,
24 20:20

pattern
55:17
86:22

Peenya 73:4

pending 11:8
12:4 13:2

people 7:20
42:11 44:6
57:20
68:11
75:22
91:24

percentage
45:10,11

percentages
32:25

perfect
66:15 74:9

period 55:11

person 16:4
30:18
42:15
68:4,11

perspective
82:13

persuaded
54:13

persuasive
53:20
54:2,17
56:15

pertain
14:15

petition
9:20 13:15
20:15
27:15,20
29:11
32:4,22
45:2 46:6,
13,25
47:2,8,10,
15,22
48:15
52:8,15
56:6 58:22
59:6
60:10,20
61:8,10
76:11
93:11

petitioner
44:2 45:2
84:1

petitioner's
66:2 83:20

petitioners
34:4 38:17
43:6 65:18
67:22

petitioners'
46:4 66:13

petitioner's
43:20
44:18
45:24
46:13

phonetic
80:13
81:13

phrase 22:11
57:4

physically
50:20

pick 31:15

picked 9:18

piece 36:18
68:4

pieces 48:25

Pinpoint 7:6

place 14:1
43:22
48:13
60:11 61:2
77:15

places 43:1
92:9

placing
60:15

plain 17:2
62:2 63:22

plaintiff
8:13 23:2
50:2 52:2,
17 53:10,
11 76:18

plaintiff's
58:22 64:2

80:13

plaintiffs 8:13 10:2, 24 12:6 13:15,20 14:10,13 17:11,15, 24 18:22 19:13 20:2,10 21:17 30:8 31:4 32:1, 13,18 33:9 34:9 35:15 38:24 39:18 42:22,25 43:6 47:6, 10,15,20 48:2,13 50:9 54:20 60:2,9 65:18 67:22 70:2 77:10 80:2,6,11, 15,20 81:6,10, 17,22 83:6,22

plaintiffs' 81:1

plaintiff's 10:18 14:2 20:24 21:24 27:4,6,10 94:18

plan 88:2

plausible 56:22

plead 48:2 60:1,10 61:11

pleading 21:1 59:15

pleadings 41:11 42:24 61:24 80:11

pled 60:4 61:11 81:20

plenary 36:25

point 18:2 27:4 29:2, 4 37:22 38:13 40:25 41:4 42:24 46:4 63:18 69:18 84:18

pointe 32:6 69:11

pointed 20:13 48:24 80:20

points 35:15 59:13

policy 49:24

politically 67:6

poll 36:20 65:20

position 11:13,15 12:4 24:6 25:22 31:25 33:4,11,18 39:15 40:6,13 52:11 79:24 84:18

possibility 54:20 74:13

possibly 87:13

postpone 93:22

postponed 93:25

posture 46:22 51:4 70:6

potential 75:6

power 36:25 37:1

practical 82:13

precedent 18:2 26:10 48:2 52:11

precedential 18:2 27:4

precinct 77:24 79:2 85:9

precincts 80:8,10 81:20,24 84:20,22 85:13

precise 18:2

present 19:6 55:18 93:18,20

presented 51:11

preserve 31:9

presidential 66:17

pressure 94:2

presumed 19:1 46:22 60:13 74:22

pretend 9:11

prevail

18:13

prevailed
52:2

prevent
75:13

previously
41:20

price 66:22

primary
16:6,9
31:1

privilege
7:18

probate
16:20
19:10

problem
30:11
32:11
50:1,15
52:22
56:17,18
85:11

problems
31:6
33:17,18
49:11
52:20
66:22
72:18
88:6,8
91:2

procedural
49:24 51:4
70:6

procedure
32:13
68:17

procedures
32:4 42:15

proceeded
51:15

proceeding
47:11

proceedings
6:13

process
61:13
63:15,18
64:9,15
65:11 66:6
67:18 70:4
72:10 74:4
75:1,13

production
72:11
76:24

proffer
26:18
81:11 82:4

profoundly
54:4

program
90:22

programmed
13:24 91:8

programming
47:22
78:13
79:17
86:9,18
87:2,18
88:4,9
91:2,4,6

programs
36:20

prohibits
14:8 67:9
74:22

projecting
54:25

prone 66:18

proof 50:6
53:9,11
54:6,8,10
85:17

proper 9:24
11:17
17:13,15
20:9
21:11,18,
20,22
22:22
23:9,10
24:1 26:25
28:22 29:4
30:1,2
32:2 36:1,
6 37:4
38:15
39:17 40:1
48:9,11

properly
45:20 47:2
57:20
58:1,2,6,
25 75:25

propose 77:4

proposed
62:17,18
77:20

proposing
83:6

proposition
39:6

propositions
19:22

pros 65:6
67:2

prospective
70:6

prospectively
70:2

protection
63:15,18
64:9 66:4
67:18 68:6
69:1,10,13
70:4,22
74:11 76:2

protocol
78:1 79:10

prove 37:4,6
53:10,11,
15 54:11,
18,22 55:6
56:1,8

84:11

proven 54:13,22 55:4

provide 34:4 64:11

provided 26:18 34:9 83:13

providing 38:17

provision 59:18 64:22

provisions 40:17

public 16:9 19:13,17, 25 27:13 41:25 46:13 59:2 72:24 73:11 86:20 88:17,24 89:2,4,6 93:17,18

pull 90:15, 17

purposefully 19:2

purposes 19:8

Pursuant 15:2

put 28:22 46:25 59:15 67:4 94:10

Q

quantifiable 50:2,18 51:1 52:22

quarrel 50:18

question 16:11 19:18,20 29:1 30:4 36:6 61:17,18 64:6,15,18 73:18 80:20

questions 30:2 32:24 36:9 46:17 79:22

quick 59:13

quickly 59:13 79:10 87:6 94:18

quote 24:22 25:11 50:10 51:11 52:13 53:4 69:4

quoting 64:20

R

race 13:17, 22 18:6 26:4 27:18 41:20 43:9,15, 17,18,24 44:6,9,15, 20,24 45:6,11 46:10 56:2 57:2 58:15 59:24 86:22

races 13:22 14:4 43:11,15 46:15

rain 72:20 73:6

raise 10:13 23:18 84:6

raised 10:11 27:25 39:4,6,18 44:22 74:6 84:6

raising 12:10

ramifications 90:9

random 80:18

rate 57:1

rational 64:18,20 65:2,9,15 66:9,10

reaching 85:2

read 26:17, 18 35:20 51:6 63:4

reading 23:22

ready 83:2

real 70:13

reality 74:13

realize 15:8,13, 15,18

reason 15:6 18:15 22:18 36:15 59:9 65:2 68:22 84:11

reasonable 14:2 54:20 64:22 65:1,6 67:2,6 77:15 79:20

reasons 29:13 50:9 57:10

recall 18:22 27:10,13, 18 28:18

recap 92:20

received 45:11

recent 73:15

recently 72:9

record 6:13 7:8,11,17 8:6,11 23:15 34:22 35:4 46:13 50:11 70:22 72:6 75:17 87:15 88:17,24 89:2,4,6 93:17,18

records 41:25 59:2

recount 68:17 74:13

reference 81:15

referenced 30:10 47:9 82:6

references 33:15

referencing 86:4

referendum 19:22 58:4

referred 27:11 86:20

referring 19:9 64:15

reflected 49:18

reflective 26:2

regard 12:13,17

registration 16:22 17:8,9 19:10 65:20

Registrations 8:25 16:24 19:11 29:22 34:4 38:15 39:1,4,11, 15

regulation 68:9

regulatory 64:25

rejected 31:2 47:2

relate 33:2

related 31:2

relating 79:15

relation 32:18

relevant 9:18 20:20 25:20 26:18 32:15 37:22

relied 71:10

relief 10:25 26:11 30:9 33:6 34:4 37:2 38:17 53:15 57:11 63:22 70:6

relies 57:22

relieve 52:17

relook 76:11

rely 17:15 60:9 70:8

relying 47:13

remedied 63:25

remedy 40:4 42:13 66:20

remember 42:11 47:13

repeatedly 14:6 57:22 80:20

report 25:20 71:4,6

report's 9:18

reported 24:20 25:15

reports 66:1

repository 36:18

represent 8:24 18:18

representative 42:13

representatives 65:6 67:2

request 6:6 80:6 83:20 84:22,25

requesting 85:2

require 22:20 39:1

required 30:9 36:15

42:22 43:18 49:22 50:2 59:15,20 69:1 77:6

requirement 37:2

requirements 21:1 49:22

requires 34:8 38:22

reserved 42:13

residual 46:8

resolved 74:2

resources 88:2

respect 21:24 37:13 39:17 84:18

respond 36:24 49:4

responded 79:22

response 14:13 34:9 38:24 47:11 68:6 76:2

responses 27:1

responsible 70:18

rest 63:17

restrictions 64:22

result 42:18,25 43:8,13 46:22 47:4,6 48:15 60:11,22 61:2 80:22 81:2,6

resulted 53:13 58:22 84:8

resulting 53:6

results 13:15 14:2 36:20,22 46:1,15 55:15 60:15 73:15 92:1,4 94:22

retired 62:11

return 53:18

returned 60:13

reversed 52:13

reversing 53:6

review 51:9 64:17 65:10 84:22

reviewed 70:15

revisited 73:25

rightly 27:2

rights 64:24 72:1,11 73:9

rise 64:4

risk- 75:11

risks 66:13, 25 67:17

Robbins 25:4

Robyn 8:8

routine 29:11

rule 6:6, 13,20 18:25 19:6 23:2 42:4 45:22 76:10 77:2

ruled 40:1 76:11

rules 7:6,8, 20 41:4 61:22 63:20 94:2

ruling 53:6, 25 83:22

run 33:4 79:6 91:17

runoff 16:6 17:20 78:18

Russia 73:4

Russo 6:18 8:18 11:6 13:13 15:22 16:1 20:15,18, 22,24 21:2,6,9, 13 23:15 24:2 27:2 28:25 29:2,10,13 30:9 38:2, 6 41:8,11, 13 59:11 62:13,15 63:6 76:18 80:1 82:4 88:15,18, 22 89:22 90:6,10, 11,17 91:10,18, 22 92:2,6, 10,11,17

94:15,24

S

sacrificed 74:18
sample 80:18
scanning 39:11
scenario 30:6 31:15 46:24 59:6
scheduled 93:20
School 89:13 93:9
Sciences 71:4,8,11 73:6 75:8
scientist 70:22
scientists 71:4,6 79:18
Scoggins 51:25
scope 81:18
screen 56:4
scrutiny 64:18
seal 82:15
seals 82:15
Secretary 8:18,20 11:6,13,15 13:4,13 15:4,6,20 16:11,13 17:4,6,10, 13,22,25 19:20 20:8 21:11,17, 20,22 22:13,15, 22 23:1, 22,25 24:4,22 25:6,11, 13,15 26:4,8,24 27:6,11,15 28:1,15,20 29:6,25 35:17 36:11,17, 20,22 40:18 42:9 43:15,24 46:1 63:6 69:17 72:22 73:10 80:2,4 81:10 82:4,20 86:17
section 6:20 19:2 28:9, 17,18,20 61:25
sections 14:11
secure 71:10
security 7:4,24 70:18 71:15 75:9 83:2,4
seek 16:6 34:4 63:22 77:4
seeking 12:15,18 37:2 38:18 70:6 79:15 84:1 85:18
seeks 84:22
Select 70:20
Senate 70:20
send 62:10 76:11
sense 11:4 12:20 89:18 90:4 93:22
sensitive 81:4 82:15
separate 36:9 37:9 42:2,4 72:18
separately 21:2 62:18
September 71:4,11
serial 77:22 79:2 82:25
served 39:20,22
servers 85:22 93:13,15
service 39:20
set 15:13 52:17 79:6,10 91:24
sets 68:6
setting 26:10 42:11
severely 65:22
sheet 38:11
Sheffield 17:17 18:4,20 23:15,17 51:20
Shelley 66:11
She's 46:10
short 27:13 44:1,17 45:13,15

46:2 59:8

shot 35:15

show 18:11 40:6 42:25 45:18 50:2 51:22 58:15 59:20 60:1 80:20 83:24 89:4 92:1 94:20

showing 48:25 53:4

shown 25:15 55:15

shows 57:2

side 33:10

sign 7:22 77:4

signaling 50:13

signed 7:2, 4,25 27:15

significantly 47:25

similar 10:10 27:17,20 52:9

similarly 50:6 53:4 67:25

Simple 91:9

simplistic 11:25

simply 12:13,17 41:22 43:4 45:9 46:11 55:20 56:24 64:10 68:18

single 22:25 57:6 70:18 71:2 85:13 86:22,25 87:2,24,25

sir 8:11,17 61:17 64:13

Sistrunk 19:4

sit 79:9

sitting 50:20 77:25

situated 67:25

situation 31:13 52:20 58:4

sleep 92:18

slews 71:2

slight 41:4

sofa 73:2

sole 52:11

sort 35:15 40:4 42:22 70:6 72:15 73:13 87:6

source 71:2 88:22

sovereign 21:20,22 23:18 26:8,24 63:18

space 77:15

speak 33:18, 20

speaking 18:20

speaks 76:2

specific 16:2 31:22 56:11 59:15,18 75:4 76:2 82:25 84:6 86:6

specifically 16:18 69:2 74:10 75:2 77:8 93:8

specificity 48:6 60:10

specifics 31:20

speculating 14:8

speculation 48:4 60:9

split 13:10

spot 12:2

stand 27:4

standard 64:17 65:11

standing 14:22

start 32:2 53:20

starters 80:6

state 8:18, 20 11:6,13 13:18 15:4,6,20 16:13,15 17:4,6,8, 10,13,22 18:1 19:20 20:8 21:11,17, 22 22:13, 15,22 23:1,22,25 24:4,22 25:6,11, 13,17 26:4,6,8, 25 27:8, 11,15,17

28:1,15,22 29:6 30:1 31:6 34:6, 8 35:17 36:11,13, 17 38:22 46:1 47:2, 17 48:2,17 52:4,8,15 55:15 57:11 63:6 64:8,22 66:4 67:18 68:9,22,25 70:18 72:20,22 73:11 80:2,4 81:6,11 82:4,24 86:17 87:15 92:4

state's 13:4,13 40:18 42:10 53:20 64:24 66:4 69:17 82:20

state-based 69:8

state-imposed 76:6

stated 14:6 20:13 27:6 41:20 47:15 58:20 80:15

statement 49:2

statements 75:15

states 27:11 28:10 71:18

statewide 13:22 17:9,11 18:4 22:13,20, 25 23:2,15 24:1 26:4 27:6,18 28:1 30:11 33:22 43:10 49:20 55:20 56:13 85:10 94:22

State's 11:15 43:15,25

statistically 80:17

status 37:15,20

statute 9:17 19:2 21:25 23:24 26:6 32:11,17 33:4

statutes 37:1

statutory 19:1,6

stay 10:15 12:8,22 13:2 36:6 49:9

step 11:20, 24 14:20 21:4,6,10 59:1

steps 40:13

Stiles 50:4 51:2 57:22

stipulate 94:18

stood 25:17

stop 40:25

strict 64:17

strictly 20:4

strikes 57:4

struck 57:4, 9

stuck 9:8

stuff 37:17, 18 61:18 63:4

stumbling 91:17

styling 25:13

subject 20:25 47:2 91:20

subjectively 49:22

submit 48:15 62:13,15 92:13

submitted 16:11 19:18

subsection 16:2,20 19:15

subsident 74:4

substantial 36:15 60:22

substantially 70:1 72:10

substantiate 59:20

sued 24:22, 25 25:11 30:8 31:6 32:10 37:4

sufficient 47:4,6 48:13

60:11 61:8 64:8,25

suggest 54:15 73:15

suggested 92:20

suggesting 54:22

suggestion 85:15

suit 37:2

summary 73:25

superintendent 16:8,13,18 17:10,13 18:22 19:8 20:6 22:1, 2,13,15,18 26:2,4 27:6 28:2, 11 30:6,25 57:24

superintendents 16:8,15 18:6 20:10 28:15

supervised 89:18

supervisor 24:24 25:13

support 33:11 52:11

suppose 80:13

supposed 62:11 91:13

supposedly 9:18 89:18

Supreme 14:6 23:20 32:6,11 45:18 48:2,4,9 49:25 50:4,13 51:13,17, 25 52:13 53:4 56:13 58:8 64:11 65:1,24 66:2 67:13

sustained 57:13

system 38:20 39:8 48:25 49:11,18 53:20,22 54:4 55:22 56:11 61:4 65:20 66:15 70:11,13, 15,20 71:9,17, 18,20,24 72:2,20,22 73:1,4 74:9,20 84:6 86:18 87:4 89:20

systemic 55:20 61:4 85:10 88:4

systems 39:13 55:10 65:6 66:20 67:8 69:6 74:11,18 78:15 79:6

T

table 7:11 8:13

tabulates 36:20 86:18

Taggart 52:6,18 53:4,9 56:13

tailored 85:2

taking 42:1, 2 58:13 94:20

talk 43:4 75:2

talked 74:6

talking 40:11,25 42:8 74:10 75:22

talks 74:20, 24 75:18

targeted 88:4

technology 90:2

tediously 59:4

telephone 51:18

Temple 89:15

term 22:18

terms 40:4 41:25 43:20 44:4 45:4,11,24 47:13 48:6 49:22 53:18 55:18 58:17 63:18 82:11 85:2

test 43:2 64:20 65:2,9 66:9

testified 80:13 81:11

testimony 55:18 82:6

That'll 12:24

that's 9:11, 13,18,20 10:6,22 18:17 19:4 23:11 26:22 28:15 29:13 32:11 33:6 34:6 37:9 39:2,8 40:4,8,11, 17,20 42:18,22 43:13,25 44:25 45:17,22 49:1 75:2 77:1 89:8 90:18 91:22

there's 20:1,6 22:18 27:13 32:4,18 37:2 44:6 78:4 88:9 89:24 90:13 93:15

they're 10:10 31:18 38:18 40:2 47:11 75:20 90:1 91:11

they've 31:6 75:20

thing 25:15 42:8 44:2 46:20 47:13 70:24 90:18

things 7:18 36:22 43:6 54:18,24 61:15 74:24 77:6 86:20 94:8

third-party 14:4 27:17

Thomas 58:8

thought 21:9

thousands 33:15,18

threat 71:24

threshold 14:15 48:1

thumb 45:22

thwart 66:20

till 88:11

time 11:20, 24 12:2 23:22 32:18 33:11 39:20 67:13 77:15 84:24 88:1,2 92:6,18

times 10:13 27:11

Title 15:2 18:24

today 7:15 9:15,18 11:22 26:18 37:6 40:13 82:2

told 70:18 85:25

Tolson 19:4

total 43:8, 17,24,25 48:25 58:13

totally 56:18 58:4 71:18

totals 56:20

Totenberg 11:8 53:22 54:15 81:13

Totenberg's 55:9

touches 21:18

touchscreen 66:20 67:8 69:6 74:10,18, 20

tower 79:8

traditional 66:15 74:18

trail 82:15

transcript 82:4

transient 72:6

transposing 22:10

treated 67:24 68:15

trial 40:6 50:10,13 51:6,11, 13,15,17, 24 52:2,6, 10 53:6 54:15 69:2,4 93:20

troublesome 78:25

true 49:13 55:9,10
trusted 71:1
truth 45:9
type 40:10 52:20 59:15,20, 22 74:15 75:11
types 66:22 75:17
Tyson 8:22 29:20,22 46:18,20 69:17,22 82:9 83:9, 13 88:13

U

ultimately 83:22
unanimous 71:6
under-vote 55:20 56:18 57:9
under-votes 66:18
underlying 63:20 78:20
understand 9:13,17,22 15:24 21:9 26:20 28:9 40:2 61:20 79:18 87:6 91:13
understanding 67:17 86:11
understands 46:11
understood 90:11
undertaken 42:13 73:13
undervote 49:11,18
unexplainable 55:17
unexplained 49:18 56:18
unfettered 80:2 81:4
unfortunate 74:13
unnecessary 81:8
unrefuted 39:18
unrelated 80:10
unreliability 56:10
unreliable 55:11 70:25 72:1
unverified 47:24
uphill 62:2
urge 52:9
utilizing 69:6

V

valid 31:13 46:22 60:6 80:17,22
variegation 20:4
variety 31:11
verifying 74:13
versus 8:8 44:10 45:11
victory 13:18 18:13 44:1 45:20 53:15 58:15,18 59:8
views 76:4
Vince 80:1
Vincent 8:18 11:6
violate 72:10
violated 69:10
violating 71:25
violation 42:15 70:4 73:6,8
violations 42:17
virtually 21:18 66:8
virus 73:2
vote 18:11 19:22 34:13 39:1,2 44:4,6 56:20 63:15,22 65:13,22 66:6 68:2, 4,11,20,24 69:6 72:2, 6 74:13
voted 44:6 45:4 67:24 68:1
voter 17:8,9 34:11 65:20 66:20

68:20 72:4

voter-verified 74:18

voters 19:22 34:13 64:24 68:11,15 69:2,8 72:1,11 74:11 76:4

votes 13:20 14:4 16:10 17:20 19:17 31:2 41:22 43:9,13, 15,17,22, 24,25 44:1,24 45:1,2,10, 11,13,15, 18 46:2,8 47:1,4,17 50:2 53:6, 13 55:22 57:20 58:1,6,13, 18,20,24, 25 59:6,18 71:1 72:2, 4 80:20, 22,25 81:2 83:25 84:1 86:22 87:18

voting 13:24,25 38:20 39:6,13 44:10 45:4 50:1 53:6, 13 55:17, 22 60:4 66:20 68:1 69:6 74:11 80:4,8 81:6 82:13 85:11

vulnerabilities 75:6,9,10

vulnerability 66:22

vulnerable 54:4 73:8

W

waiting 60:13

waived 10:25 21:22 75:25

walked 59:4

wanted 38:10

watch 89:18, 25

ways 49:20

Weber 66:11, 15 74:8

WEDNESDAY 6:2

weeks 62:22

weigh 65:6 67:2

weighing 75:13

weighty 61:2

Wexler 64:20 70:8,9

we'll 7:15 11:20 13:8 36:13 92:2

we're 9:11, 15,20 12:17 13:13 33:2 37:2 46:20 92:20

we've 45:22 77:22 79:2 90:13

what's 42:22 78:8

whip 35:15

who's 8:11

wide 84:6 87:4

widespread 50:11,15 93:1

Willard 25:2

win 54:25 87:13

winner 45:20

wiped 45:20

witnesses 7:15

won 41:20

wonderful 49:2

won't 7:2

word 19:6 35:11 65:1

words 45:15 58:15 68:2 88:8

work 62:22 79:6 82:25 89:8 91:15

working 57:8

works 9:11 48:2

worry 62:1 63:11

worse 73:10

worst 32:24

worst-case 59:6

Worth 31:11 89:13

wouldn't 15:13

wrap 19:18 20:6

write-in
44:22

wrong 17:20,
22 57:2,4
87:11,18
91:8

Y

y'all 22:4

year 25:4
62:11
81:17

years 24:2
72:15

yesterday
24:6 42:20

you'll 75:10

you're 26:20
30:22 32:6
34:13
41:24
45:15
88:10

you've 29:8
40:20
45:18
93:13

E

X

H

I

B

I

T

3

1 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY

2 STATE OF GEORGIA

3

4 COALITION FOR GOOD . CIVIL ACTION
GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. . FILE NO.: 2018-CV-313418
5

6 MARTIN, SMYTH DUVAL, and .
JEANNE DUFORT, . Taken at:
7

8 Plaintiffs, . Superior Court of Cobb County

9 vs. . 70 Haynes Street

10 ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, . Courtroom 2000

11 Secretary of State of . Marietta, Georgia 30090
Georgia, et. al. .
12

13 Defendants. .
. . . .
14

15
TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING PROCEEDINGS
16

17 THURSDAY, JANUARY 17, 2019

18 9:02 a.m. to 4:53 p.m.

19

20 STATE OF GEORGIA SENIOR JUDGE ADELE P. GRUBBS
REPORTED BY:
21

22 PRISCILLA GARCIA, COURT REPORTER
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF GEORGIA
23

24 TRANSCRIBED BY:

25 CHRISTIAN NAADEN

1

2

3

4 APPEARANCES:

Plaintiff's Counsel: BRUCE P. BROWN, ESQUIRE

5

6 BRUCE P. BROWN LAW
FLOATAWAY BUSINESS COMPLEX

7

8 1123 ZONOLITE ROAD N.E.

9 SUITE 6

10 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30306

11 404-881-0700
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com

12

13

Plaintiff's Counsel: MARILYN MARKS, ESQUIRE

14

15 COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE
7035 MARCHING DUCK DRIVE

16

17 SUITE E-504

18 CHARLOTTE, NORTH CAROLINA 28210
704-552-1618

19

20 marilyn@uscgg.org

21

22 Defendant's Counsel: JOHN BELINFANTE, ESQUIRE
ROBBINS, ROSS, ALLOT, BELINFANTE

23

24 & LITTLEFIELD, LLC

25 500 14TH STREET N.W.

1 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30318
2 404-856-3262
jbelinfante@robbinsfirm.com
3
4 CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:
5
6 Defendant's Counsel: VINCENT R. RUSSO, ESQUIRE
ROBBINS, ROSS, ALLOT, BELINFANTE
7
8 & LITTLEFIELD, LLC
9 999 PEACHTREE STREET N.E.
10 SUITE 1120
11 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30309
404-856-3260
12
13 vrusso@robbinsfirm.com
14
15 Defendant's Associate Counsel:
ALEXANDER F. DENTON, ESQUIRE
16
17 ROBBINS, ROSS, ALLOT, BELINFANTE
18 & LITTLEFIELD, LLC
500 14TH STREET N.W.
19
20 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30318
404-856-3276
21
22 adenton@robbinsfirm.com
23
24
25

1
2
3
4 CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:
5
6 Defendant's Counsel: EDWARD H. LINDSEY, JR., ESQUIRE
7 DENTONS US, LLP
8 303 PEACHTREE STREET N.E.
9 SUITE 5300
10 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30308
11 404-527-4580
12 edward.lindsey@dentons.com
13 Defendant's Counsel: SAMUEL S. OLENS, ESQUIRE
14 DENTONS US, LLP
15 303 PEACHTREE STREET N.E.
16 SUITE 5300
17 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30308
18 404-527-4108
19 sam.olens@dentons.com
20
21 Defendant's Counsel: KAYE WOODARD BURWELL, ESQUIRE
22 OFFICE OF THE COUNTY ATTORNEY
23 141 PRYOR STREET S.W.
24 SUITE 4038
25

1 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30303
2 404-612-0251
kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov
3
4 CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:
5
6 Defendant's Counsel: BRYAN P. TYSON, ESQUIRE
STRICKLAND, BROCKINGTON &
7
8 LEWIS, LLP
9 1170 PEACHTREE STREET N.E.
10 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30309
11 404-219-3160
bryan.tyson@sbllaw.com
12
13 Defendant's Co-Counsel: RICHARD A. CAROTHERS, ESQUIRE
14
15 CAROTHERS & MITCHELL, LLC
1809 BUFORD HIGHWAY
16
17 BUFORD, GEORGIA 30518
18 770-932-3552
richard.carothers@carsmith.com
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1

2

3

4 I N D E X

WITNESS	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
WITNESSES CALLED ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF				
Marilyn Marks	31	38,51,54	43,56	50
Sara LeClerc	60,72	74,77,78		
Jeanne Dufort	80			
Christopher Brill	84	89,91,127,128	93,120	113,114
Matthew Bernhard	137	150,188,198	156,187,204	
Michael Barnes	210,243	254,276	279	286,287
WITNESSES CALLED ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT				
None				

EXHIBITS MARKED ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF

NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE OFFERED	PAGE RECEIVED
P-1	- Secretary of State Email	41	Not Admitted
P-2	- LBJ Notes Excel Spreadsheet	64	66
P-3	- Brill's Affidavit	98	98
P-7	- National Academies of Science Engineering and Medicine's report, Secured Boot, from 2018	168	168
P-8	- Center for Election Systems at		

Kennesaw State Email Communication 2017	243	243

EXHIBITS MARKED ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT

NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE OFFERED	PAGE RECEIVED
1 -	Duncan-Tweet Regarding Fundraising	52	54
2 -	Ballot Design	236	236
3 -	Base Precinct with Reports	264	265
4 -	Base Precinct with Reports	264	265
5 -	Active Voter TS Status	266	266
6 -	Active Voter TS Status	266	266
7 -	Certified Returns Fulton County	273	273
8 -	Certified Returns Gwinnett County	273	273
9 -	Certified Returns Dekalb County	273	273
10 -	Official Election Returns 2010	273	274
11 -	Official Election Returns 2014	273	274
12 -	Official Election Returns 2018	273	274
13 -	Turnout Percentage Document	273	274

1
COBB COUNTY, GEORGIA
2
THURSDAY, January 17, 2018 - 9:02 a.m.
3
4
* * * * * * *
5
6
THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.
7
MALE: Good morning, Your Honor.
8
THE COURT: Take your seats, if you will.
9
THE COURT: First of all, if you are here for the
10
uncontested divorce calendar, that includes name changes
11
and all those kind of things, you are in the wrong place.
12
That is in Juvenile Court Number One. You have to go back
13
across the bridge if you're in here by mistake.
14
Okay. And we have some recordings. Rule 22. Is
15
everybody in here on -- you're here on the -- supposed to
16
be some others. Ms. McDonald.
17
MS. MCDONALD: Yes, ma'am.
18
THE COURT: You're here. Mr. Wilke?
19
MR. WILKE: Yes, ma'am.
20
THE COURT: Yeah, he's here. And who's the other
21
one?
22
THE COURT: Kauffman. Mr. Kauffman.
23
MR. KAUFFMAN: That's me.
24
THE COURT: How'd you get up there?
25

1 MR. KAUFFMAN: I asked Mr. Davis earlier if it was
2 okay if I sit here because recording.
THE COURT: And you did what, Mr. Davis?
3
4 MR. DAVIS: [Inaudible].
THE COURT: Why did he get preference than everybody
5
6 else?
MR. DAVIS: No one else asked.
7
8 THE COURT: Nobody else asked. Okay. Good statement.
9 Anybody else want to come in and [inaudible] -- okay.
10 We'll do that.
11 This is mainly on the case of Rhonda Martin, Smyth
Duval, and Jeanne Dufort versus Geoff Duncan, Fulton
12
13 County Board of Registration and Elections, Gwinnett
County Board of Registration and Elections. Mr. Brown,
14
15 where are your clients?
MR. BROWN: Jeanne Dufort is here.
16
17 THE COURT: She's what?
18 MR. BROWN: Your question was what, Your Honor?
THE COURT: Where are your clients?
19
20 MR. BROWN: My client, this is Jeanne Dufort.
THE COURT: Okay.
21
22 MR. BROWN: She is the plaintiff in the case.
THE COURT: Okay. And are the other two here too?
23
24 MR. BROWN: Smyth Duvall.
25 THE COURT: Mr. Duvall is here?

1 MR. BROWN: He is here. Yes, Your Honor.

2 THE COURT: Okay. Just trying to identify. Do you need seats to bring them to the table?

3

4 MR. BROWN: We're sort of crowded so this is fine.
THE COURT: You all need to be at this table?

5

6 MR. BROWN: No. He doesn't -- you're fine. I mean, we're okay.

7

8 THE COURT: You are?

9 MR. BROWN: Yeah.

10 THE COURT: And then on this side. Everybody's

11 together.
MR. BROWN: I would like to make a request that

12

13 Marilyn Marks, who is the executive director of the former plaintiff, Coalition, and it's been -- helping me in this

14

15 case, it would be an -- it would be a great assistance to me, just professionally, if she could also ask specific --

16

17 THE COURT: She can't sit at the table.

18 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: She can't sit at the table.

19

20 MALE: My only concern is that we do intend to invoke the Rule. She going be a witness?

21

22 MR. BROWN: She may and we will decide whether she goes first or not. So she can stay out here.

23

24 MR. LINDSEY: If she stays -- if she's the first

25 witness then I would object to her staying.

1 THE COURT: I'm sorry?

2 MR. LINDSEY: If she's the first witness knows the Rule, which I guess I have no objections --

3

4 THE COURT: Okay.
MR. LINDSEY: -- to her staying.

5

6 THE COURT: Okay. We'll follow that. Everybody else agree? I see Fulton and Gwinnett?

7

8 MS. BURWELL: Yes, Your Honor.

9 THE COURT: Okay.

10 MR. LINDSEY: [Inaudible] she could come in.

11 THE COURT: Okay. On the Rule 22 request, you cannot take anything at each individual table to the lobbies.

12

13 Okay. I know you were here last time and you did a good job, but you can't -- or any conversation between lawyers

14

15 and witnesses. You have to honor the confidentiality.
MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.

16

17 THE COURT: Okay. You all are standing up. I think

18 that Mr. Brown we filed a mandatory trial.
MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.

19

20 THE COURT: And I know I got a response from Fulton County.

21

22 MALE: Yeah. We also have a response as well that simply mimic the county's and we are filing it today.

23

24 THE COURT: Okay.

25 MALE: Can I approach the bench?

1 THE COURT: Mr. Groton does that. There's no clerk
2 because this is a Fulton County case. So he has to do that. Okay. I guess -- will I hear first from is -- let
3
4 me hear from -- Mr. Brown, why do you think juror demand is appropriate?
5
6 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the statute is very clear. It says that the case should be tried to the court unless
7
8 a jury demand is made. And that is explicit and without
9 exception. And we have made a demand for a jury trial and
10 the facts that will be tried, or triable to a jury both in
11 the election case and in any other case.
THE COURT: Okay.
12
13 MR. BROWN: So that's our request. Thank you.
THE COURT: What order are you going in over at that
14
15 time?
MALE: She filed -- Fulton County filed first of all
16
17 --
18 THE COURT: Are you Ms. Blackwell?
MS. BURWELL: Burwell. Burwell.
19
20 THE COURT: Burwell. I'm trying to get names right. Okay.
21
22 MS. BURWELL: Kaye. Right. Yes. Kaye Burwell on behalf of Fulton County.
23
24 MALE: Judge --
25 THE COURT: I got it.

1 MALE: Judge, I'm sorry. We have one more Rule 22
2 from the AJC that you haven't had a chance to review.
THE COURT: So I have not written [inaudible].
3
4 Anybody -- who's here from the AJC?
FEMALE: I am.
5
6 THE COURT: Okay. Anybody have an objection to the
AJC covering this? Mr. Brown.
7
8 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor.
9 MR. LINDSEY: In fact, they're more than welcome to
10 sit in the jury.
11 THE COURT: You're welcome to sit in the jury box.
Come sit over here if you want to.
12
13 MS. BURWELL: Think at a better angle.
THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.
14
15 MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, it is Fulton County's
position that the demand for a jury trial by the
16
17 petitioners is insufficient. We cited the Court to the
18 Henderson case, which clearly provides that there is a
two-step analysis for determining whether or not a jury
19
20 trial is available to a petitioner and they have satisfied
the first, which was merely to demand.
21
22 They haven't satisfied the second. They haven't
provided this Court with any information or argument to
23
24 support that under other laws at issue in the case, there
25 is a matter upon which they are entitled to have a trial

1 by jury. And that's the second part and they haven't
2 presented this Court with anything that would be sufficient for them to satisfy that burden. And so based
3
4 upon the statute itself, which is 21-2-522, as well as the Henderson case, because they utterly failed to allege
5
6 issues that would be triable to a jury, we believe that a jury trial demand is inappropriate.
7
8 Further, Your Honor, as we pointed out in our
9 response, the statute provides that if there are issues
10 that need to be tried, a jury from that particular county
11 needs to be impaneled. And in this instance, they are -- they have allegations in their complaint about Worth
12
13 County, Henry County, DeKalb County, and perhaps other counties that we don't know about yet. And so the Court
14
15 would be required if they had alleged something that was triable to a jury, would need to impanel juries from each
16
17 of those jurisdictions in order to hear whatever issues
18 would need to be heard.
And for that reason, Your Honor, obviously the ins of
19
20 the election contest statute, which is the swift and expedient determination of a contest, would be forwarded.
21
22 Thank you.
THE COURT: Thank you. Any additional arguments?
23
24 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, Bryan Tyson on behalf of
25 Gwinnett County, just very briefly. As the Fulton County

1 counsel, Ms. Burwell, has correctly pointed out, the cases
2 that they have cited control there is no constitutional right to a jury trial in an election contest case.
3
4 And I think under the circumstances that the demand only came after the motion of continuance had been denied
5
6 in the case that this is an attempt by the plaintiffs to delay this case when this case under the Election Code
7
8 needs to be resolved quickly and brought to a conclusion,
9 especially considering that the Legislature is already in
10 session and the lieutenant governor is presiding this
11 morning, even in the State Senate. Thank you.
THE COURT: Anything from you, Mr. Lindsey?
12
13 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we simply just joined with two other co-defendants with their positions.
14
15 THE COURT: Mr. Brown.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, quickly, three points.
16
17 Gwinnett raises the timeliness issue. Again, the statute
18 is clear and the demand needs to be made before the case is called.
19
20 Second, counsel for Fulton County says that we haven't made allegations about facts that are triable to a
21
22 jury. We have a very detailed fact-bound complaint and each of the factual allegations in there, in any civil
23
24 case, would be triable to a jury and I know of no
25 exception. For example, were significant programming

1 errors made? Can the vote tallies be reconciled? Are
2 there signs of malicious manipulation of the vote tallies? All of those things are factual issues. Thank you, Your
3
4 Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. I strike the demand for jury.
5
6 This is a bench trial. It does not comport with the statute. Okay. You want to make an opening statement --
7
8 whoa. Let's not do that. Okay.
9 MR. LINDSEY: I'm sorry. Sorry. And just as a
10 reminder, Your Honor, we have invoked the Rule.
11 THE COURT: The Rule is invoked. So witnesses, you have need to be outside.
12
13 MR. BROWN: Starting now, Your Honor?
THE COURT: Starting right now.
14
15 MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Starting right now.
16
17 MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, on behalf of Fulton County,
18 we have our [inaudible] representative, Mr. Barron. We'd like him to be able to stay to my --
19
20 THE COURT: He's who?
MS. BURWELL: Mr. Barron. Mr. Richard Barron. He's
21
22 the election superintendent for --
THE COURT: Is he being told to stay?
23
24 MS. BURWELL: -- Fulton County.
25 MR. BROWN: Clarification, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Yes, sir.

2 MR. BROWN: We have two expert witnesses and typically they are not sequestered because they may be

3 relying upon the client testimony. And so we would request Your Honor's clarification that it does not apply

5 to expert witnesses.

6 MR. LINDSEY: And we believe that in this case that

7 it should unless they intend -- we have no objection to

8 them remaining in the courtroom --

9 THE COURT: Once they testify.

10 MR. LINDSEY: -- once they testify in case they need to be brought back up on rebuttal based on any fact that

11 need to be brought. But in terms of their initial testimony, we would ask the rule be [inaudible].

12 THE COURT: I think Mr. Brown, you -- we do that when you have experts and they have experts. We have dueling

14 experts, but you've got two of the same. So once they've

15 testified, they can stay.

16 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

17 THE COURT: Okay. And that means you cannot discuss each other's testimony.

18 MR. LINDSEY: And for that matter, Your Honor, I just wanted to clarify for both sides. Once the witness has

19 testified, as long as there is an assurance by the lawyer

20 that that person will not be brought back up for any

1 further reason, I -- any purpose, but I don't have any
2 problem with them remaining in the courtroom once they've testified.
3
4 THE COURT: Okay.
MR. BROWN: My understanding of the rule, Your Honor,
5
6 is that if a witness is in the courtroom, the witness is thereafter disqualified from being called.
7
8 MR. LINDSEY: Yeah. That's all I'm saying.
9 THE COURT: That's correct.
10 MR. LINDSEY: But I'm saying I don't have a problem
11 with them staying after they've testified.
THE COURT: Okay. Opening statement, Mr. Brown.
12
13 Open? Want to make an opening statement?
MR. BROWN: Oh, yes, Your Honor. Initially, we -- as
14
15 a matter of procedure, we have still pending a motion to compel.
16
17 THE COURT: I've denied that motion to compel.
18 MR. BROWN: Okay. In addition -- just for the record, Your Honor, we would like to make a motion for
19
20 additional discovery under the Civil Practice Act.
THE COURT: Sir, I've denied all that.
21
22 MR. BROWN: I understand.
THE COURT: We've been through it. I looked. I have
23
24 17 filings in this case in the last two days; okay?
25 Nobody's going to believe my list of work in this case.

1 Those all have been denied. They are preserved for the
2 record.
MR. BROWN: Right.
3
4 THE COURT: I've ruled on them. The record is clear
that -- I've ruled. If that's what you're concerned
5
6 about, I've ruled; okay?
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, the
7
8 issue in this case is whether the election was so
9 defective as to place in doubt the result of the
10 lieutenant governor's contest. This case is not like any
11 other election case. In every other election case the
evidence of defectiveness, of a defective election, is
12
13 hard documentary evidence. It is misspelled names on an
absentee ballot.
14
15 It is a person who was ineligible to vote. It is
some flaw in the electoral process that can be
16
17 demonstrated with hard evidence in court. The State of
18 Georgia has chosen a voting system which embeds in it the
results, the true results of an election never to be
19
20 discovered after the vote is made.
The distinction between this case and every other
21
22 voting case is that there is no tangible evidence of the
result of the election. There is none at all. Instead,
23
24 all we have are traces, secondary evidence, giving some
25 hint as to whether or not the voting totals are correct or

1 not.

2 And what are those and what are those hints here? What evidence do we have that this vote is reliable? We

3

4 have none, Your Honor. What -- instead, what we have, and our experts will testify -- Your Honor, is there a issue

5

6 that I should address or is there --

THE COURT: Mm-hmm?

7

8 MR. BROWN: Are you -- let me --

9 THE COURT: A gentleman just came in and I'm not sure

10 if he's trying to record, that's the only thing.

11 MR. BROWN: Okay.

THE COURT: Sir, do you have anything to record?

12

13 MALE: No, Your Honor. I was sitting back here and I was having trouble hearing so I moved up.

14

15 THE COURT: No problem. Go ahead.

MR. BROWN: Sorry, Your Honor.

16

17 THE COURT: Sure.

18 MR. BROWN: Thank you. The --

THE COURT: I'm just trying to preserve the

19

20 courtroom. Go ahead. But I'm hearing you.

MR. BROWN: But what the evidence we do have are the

21

22 traces of what happened and all of that evidence shows that this election was defective. The most obvious

23

24 evidence is the vote patterns. And as Your Honor has read

25 in our briefs already, these voting patterns were aberrant

1 in the extreme.

2 And the reason we know they were aberrant, the reasons we know they were aberrant is that this has never

3

4 -- nothing like this has ever happened before, but to me most probative is that the pattern that we see in the

5

6 electronic voting, the under-vote for the lieutenant governor's contest, is not seen in the paper votes. We

7

8 have 200,000 paper votes, Your Honor.

9 It's a huge sample of people in all walks of life,

10 all around the state, of every political persuasion, and

11 for some reason they all, or 99 percent of them, voted in the lieutenant governor's race. One or a little bit more

12

13 than one or less than one out of 100 decided not to vote. Historically, that is exactly what you would expect. For

14

15 the last 20 years, the consistent under-vote for the lieutenant governor's contest in Georgia is .8 percent,

16

17 less than 1 in 100.

18 In Georgia, the history is if you're going to take the trouble to vote, and not everybody does sadly, but if

19

20 you're going to take the trouble to vote, whether in-person, early, absentee, or live, is that 1 out of 100

21

22 will not vote for the lieutenant governor. That is what happened in the paper ballots this year. One out of 100

23

24 decided not to vote for the lieutenant governor's race.

25 Now for some reason we have many, many thousands more on

1 the electronic ballot, a gap of 4 or 5 percent in the
2 electronic vote.
There is no legitimate explanation for that. It
3
4 could not have happened by chance. The samples are too
large for that to be simply random chance. So it's not
5
6 chance. Could it be because the lieutenant governor's
race was not very interesting to people? There's no
7
8 reason to believe that, Your Honor. And even if you were
9 inclined to believe that prima facie, then why did 99 out
10 of 100 vote on paper?
11 The same goes for every other explanation for this
anomaly. Let the defendants make that out. In a criminal
12
13 case a convicted -- a defendant may be sent to the
electric chair if the prosecution eliminates every
14
15 plausible alternative to their guilt. Here what we will
do, and what the evidence will show, is that every other
16
17 plausible explanation other than machine defect caused
18 this other vote and because it was machine defect it's a
defective election; so defective that its results are in
19
20 doubt.
A couple things to clarify our position to make sure
21
22 that Your Honor understands what our claim is. The
defendants claim that this is a matter of simple math.
23
24 Our response to that is two-fold. First is that their
25 simple math is wrong. It's just bad arithmetic, Your

1 Honor. Our second response -- and we'll explain that to
2 Your Honor in the course of these proceedings.
3 The second response to that is that it's not simple
4 math. You can't -- Your Honor understands this quite well
5 is that it's not simple math if you have multiple unknown
6 variables. Because this election was so defective the
7 State does not know the true vote got Mr. Duncan, does not
8 know the true for Ms. Amico. And third, it doesn't know
9 why there's a gap in the under-vote.
10 Our position isn't that there were some votes that
11 were lost. Our position is that the entire contents is
12 tainted because the under-vote shows that the machines
13 simply were not working -- that they were defective; so
14 defective as to cast the entire election in doubt and
15 require a new election.
16 The second point I wanted to make sure we were clear
17 on in terms of our position is that what we need to show
18 today and tomorrow is that the election was defective. We
19 do not need to show malice. We do not need to show that
20 the defendants or anybody else did something intentionally
21 to rig the vote or anything like that. That's not what
22 this case is about.
23 This case is about machines and whether or not they
24 worked and the evidence will show manifestly that they did
25 not. Your Honor, the course of these proceedings, and I

1 caution your understanding of this, is that this argument
2 is not rearguing the motions that we have lost, but is instead to take the case as it's presented right now. And
3
4 that is the plaintiffs have shown prima facie and will show prima facie that this election was defective.
5
6 I call Your Honor's attention to OCGA 24-14-22. That statute says, and I'll quote it, "If a party has evidence
7
8 in such party's power and within such party's reach by
9 which he or she may repel a claim or charge against him,
10 but omits to produce it or if a party has more certain and
11 satisfactory evidence in his or her power but relies on that which is of weaker or inferior nature, a presumption
12
13 arises that the charge or claim against such party is well founded; but this presumption may be rebutted."
14
15 Your Honor, what this law -- this law is commonsense but it's also fundamentally important in terms of fairness
16
17 and accuracy and decision-making by the courts. We will
18 show that there's no plausible explanation other than machine malfunction. The defendants can escape that
19
20 charge if they prove that they have investigated, they've done their own, and they can bring into court evidence
21
22 that this -- these machines are working.
Instead, they will not do so and as Your Honor is
23
24 well aware, they have resisted doing so, and they have not
25 done so in discovery. And so when the plaintiff makes his

1 case, or her cas, and the defendant's position is, well,
2 it's just a black box; we don't know what's in that black box, then the plaintiff wins, Your Honor.
3
4 Your Honor, in terms of the order of proof we have some witnesses -- the sequence of the witnesses may change
5
6 a little bit because of the sequestration. In addition, we will have applied witnesses, people who actually
7
8 attended -- actually voted or trying to vote. We will
9 have an expert on forensics and on these particular DRE
10 machines, and we will have an expert on the numbers and on
11 the politics behind the numbers.
And at the conclusion of this matter, we believe that
12
13 the Court will be authorized, if not compelled, to conclude that this election was so defective as to place
14
15 the results in doubt. Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: [Inaudible] Mr. Lindsey? Okay.
16
17 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, on
18 behalf of myself and Mr. Olens, we are here representing the Lieutenant Governor Geoff Duncan who won his election
19
20 on November 6, 2018, by a significant margin, 123,172 votes.
21
22 The statutory in case law is clear as set forth in the case of Hart versus Crawford, which said, and I quote,
23
24 "The setting aside of an election in which the people have
25 chosen the representative is a dramatic remedy that should

1 not be taken lightly but instead should be reserved for
2 cases in which the person challenging an election has clearly established that the violation has placed the
3 result of the election in doubt."
4 This requires, Your Honor, more than mere speculation
5 or guess work. More than saying that things might have possibly happened but they must show by clear evidence
6 that a -- that some type of problem occurred and that it
7 was a problem of great enough significance to wipe out a
8 margin of victory of over 123,000 votes.
9 In this case, not only are the plaintiffs not going to be able to show that they were the breach of Georgia's
10 election system, but we will also show why Ms. Amico received the vote counts she did, which I want to state
11 right here at the beginning, which is that within the range of the other unsuccessful Democratic candidates in
12 2006 [sic]. Ms. Amico received 1,828,566 votes.
13 Granted, this was less than the votes received by the more profiled Democratic candidates, such as Ms. Abrams,
14 but it is a vote count much higher than four other Democratic candidates and is within a few thousand votes
15 of two other ones. So in other words, she -- her vote count as a Democratic in the 2016 election was right
16 square in the middle among all the various Democrats who
17 ran statewide here in Georgia. That's number one.

1 Number two, in terms we will be able to establish why
2 the vote count for Ms. Amico was what it was and why there was a differentiation between the paper and electronic
3
4 ballots. The fact of the matter is, Your Honor, we were dealing with an ahistorical election; and never before has
5
6 Georgia had this many new voters vote in one election. In 2014, there were approximately 98,000 new voters that
7
8 voted.
9 In 2018, there were almost four times that number
10 that voted. The fact of the matter is when you have this
11 many new voters, you have a greater number amount of confusion that takes place. You also have the fact that
12
13 the way in which the ballot was configured on paper ballots versus the electronic system could have added to
14
15 that confusion inadvertently by virtue of how the various individuals on the ballot were placed.
16
17 In the case of the electronic ballots, they were in a
18 -- what you might call an east-west position. In the paper ballots they were in a north-south position right
19
20 next to each other, which would add to the confusion for many voters, particularly many new voters here in Georgia
21
22 as to whether or not Ms. Amico and Ms. Abrams were running on a ticket.
23
24 This is aggravated further by the way in which Ms.
25 Amico chose to run her campaign, which was to seek to run

1 as a team with Ms. Abrams. So that alone would suffice to
2 show why there was a difference between the paper and the electronic ballots. In addition, quite frankly, unlike a
3 lot of the other candidates, Ms. Amico received a lot of negative press in the closing days of the campaign.
4
5
6 And that closing -- that negative press was particularly being likely to dampen Democratic enthusiasm
7 for her candidacy given the fact that there were
8 allegations while she was a senior officer of a
9 corporation, that that corporation was engaged in
10 systematic racial discrimination.
11 So there were multiple reasons, Your Honor, not
12 simply one as to why there was the down-ballot voter gap that existed in this race as opposed to others. And we
13 will look forward to presenting those to you. Thank you, Your Honor.
14
15
16 THE COURT: Okay. [inaudible]?
17
18 MS. BURWELL: Thank you, Your Honor. The Fulton County Board of Registrations and Elections is charged
19 with following State law and to follow State law in order to ensure that citizens of Fulton County receive a fair
20 and accurate election when they go to the polls. And we believe the evidence will show that the Fulton County
21 Board of Registrations and Elections did just that.
22
23
24 We believe the evidence will show that the Board
25

1 accurately recorded the will of the Fulton County voters.

2 As this Court knows, there's no such thing as a perfect election. There never has been and there never will be.

3

4 But in this instance, these petitioners have a heavy burden and a burden we believe the evidence, with respect

5

6 to Fulton County, will now bear out their claims. And that's because the case is very clear that not every

7

8 garden variety irregularity entitles anyone to a new

9 election and that's because elections are designed to

10 carry out the will of the people.

11 And again, we believe that this election did just that. And at the close of the evidence we're going to ask

12

13 the Court to deny petitioner's request.
THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Tyson.

14

15 MR. TYSON: Thank you, Your Honor. Bryan Tyson for Gwinnett County. We are in agreement with the prior two

16

17 defendants. The key point for this Court is that the

18 burden on the plaintiffs is high.
The election is presumed valid. These are the

19

20 certified results, unless the plaintiffs can bring forward specific evidence of what was the irregularity that could

21

22 have caused the result to be placed in doubt, they cannot succeed in their claim. The availability of any number of

23

24 plausible explanations, which the evidence will show, will

25 defeat that. The ballot design question, the fact that

1 this election was the first time in a governor's election
2 that we've not had a U.S. Senate race also happening at the same time, and so that affected the ballot design
3
4 worked and affected the way -- on the DRE's particularly that voters were looking at those ballots.
5
6 Voter decisions obviously could play a role. Lack of a third party candidate could play a role. Any number of
7
8 factors could explain the dip in the votes for Ms. Amico's
9 candidacy. And the Supreme Court is abundantly clear that
10 speculation alone is not enough to overturn an election.
11 Plaintiffs have the burden of showing hard evidence, of demonstrating exactly what the cause was, and also
12
13 demonstrating that literally no other cause could have happened. They have to come forward with affirmative
14
15 evidence that demonstrate that fact. The constitutional claims, Your Honor, had been dismissed.
16
17 The only thing left in this case is a statutory
18 election contest and that is ultimately a mathematical issue. 123,000 votes have to be shown to be in question
19
20 in addition to the -- so that the plaintiffs can carry their burden and if they're not able to demonstrate that
21
22 or show an irregularity that places the entire result in doubt through hard evidence, then they will not be able to
23
24 succeed in their claims and we do not believe they'll be
25 able to and believe the election should be upheld. Thank

1 you.

2 THE COURT: First witness, Mr. Brown.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, we will call Marilyn Marks as
3

4 our first witness.
THE COURT: Would you swear the witness, please, Mr.
5

6 Brown?
MR. BROWN: Ms. Marks, please raise your right hand.
7

8 Do you promise to tell the truth, the whole truth, and

9 nothing but the truth?

10 MS. MARKS: Yes.

11 MR. BROWN: Have a seat.

12

13 Thereupon:

14

15 MARILYN MARKS

16

17 was called as a witness by the Petitioner; and,

18 having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

19

20 DIRECT EXAMINATION
OF MARILYN MARKS

21

22
BY MR. BROWN:
23

24 Q. Please state your full name for the record?

25 A. Marilyn Marks.

1 Q. Ms. Marks, by whom are you currently
2 employed?
3 A. I am the executive director of Coalition
4 for Good Governance.
5 Q. And what is the Coalition for Good
6 Governance?
7 A. Coalition for Good Governance is a
8 nonprofit, charitable organization, non-partisan
9 organization, that works on election transparency,
10 election integrity, voter privacy. We have a few
11 core issues of that nature that we really focused on
12 and we're a small organization.
13 Q. Is electronic voting one of those core
14 issues?
15 A. In fact, we probably -- yes, it is. In
16 fact, we've probably spent the better part of the
17 last three years with 100 percent of our resources
18 focused on problems with electronic voting in the
19 fact that electronic voting is not verifiable --
20 cannot be on the table --
21 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we object [inaudible] --
22 THE COURT: [inaudible] not responsive to the
23 question.
24 MR. BROWN: That's right. That's fine. Thank you.
25 THE COURT: Just answer -- you'll get there.

1 MR. BROWN: That's right.

2 THE COURT: He'll get to everything you need to say. Give him a chance; okay?

3

4 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. And what in particular about electronic

5

6 voting has caused the Coalition to focus upon that as one of their issues?

7

8 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'm going to object. She

9 hasn't been qualified as an expert and this isn't a case

10 as to whether or not there are doubts. It's the terms of

11 whether or not electronic voting versus paper ballots or some other system would be better. That's an argument

12

13 that needs to be done -- as a matter of fact, being done down at the State Capitol.

14

15 The question today is whether or not there was an actual taking place in this election, in this particular

16

17 election. So there are two objections. Number one, she

18 hasn't been qualified as an expert; and number two, the question is irrelevant to the issues before the Court at

19

20 this time.
THE COURT: Mr. Brown?

21

22 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I asked her a factual question as to what the Coalition's focus was on and she

23

24 was answering it.

25 THE COURT: Well, but she got beyond -- she started

1 to testify as an expert and she can't testify as an expert
2 unless you can qualify her. I understand your position and I know what the law is. I'm going to give them a
3
4 little latitude in this case.
MR. BROWN: I understand. Sure.
5
6 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. What is your -- I'm going to ask you some
7
8 questions about your background. And first, your
9 organization is based where?
10 A. Yes. Our headquarters is in Charlotte,
11 North Carolina.
Q. And what is your personal connection to
12
13 Georgia?
A. Well, actually I had my business career
14
15 here before I retired.
Q. And what was your business career?
16
17 A. I was the CEO and owner of a truck-trailer
18 manufacturing reorganization and we're headquartered here in Atlanta.
19
20 Q. And skipping back to -- so you've lived many years in Georgia; correct?
21
22 A. I lived in -- I plan to move back shortly.
Q. Okay.
23
24 A. I've been spending the vast majority of my
25 time here for the last four or five months.

1 Q. Ms. Marks, what is your experience
2 generally with electronic voting systems and whether that's a good method of recording votes?
3
4 A. Okay. Ten years ago I got very interested in elections; in fact, ran for Mayor of Aspen,
5
6 Colorado. And at that time, they were using the Diebold voting system that is also used in Georgia.
7
8 They were using it slightly differently. But at that
9 time is when I began to be concerned about the
10 electronic portion of the system.
11 And I tested the system as part of my work as a citizen and I became an election judge, and ended up
12
13 spending a considerable amount of time getting to know the system. And over the last ten years I
14
15 devoted myself -- having lost the election I devoted myself to the problems that were begin to be
16
17 uncovered in my own loss in the election.
18 And I have spent the last ten years doing work around troubled elections [inaudible] organization
19
20 tends to called into troubled elections, particularly when there are unverifiable electronic elections
21
22 where the results cannot be audited like this one.
Q. Have you ever been involved in a post-
23
24 election audit?
25 A. I have.

1 THE WITNESS: Your Honor, would it be all right if I
2 have a cup of water?
MR. BROWN: Yeah. Here. I'll get that, Your Honor.
3
4 THE COURT: Actually, your lawyer's got some.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
5
6 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. I believe you were testifying about post-
7
8 election audits, Ms. Marks.
9 A. Yes. I have been involved in various forms
10 of post-election audits starting with my own loss in
11 the election in 2009 and then primarily in Colorado,
although some in North Carolina, South Carolina, and
12
13 whereas in Georgia there is no post-election audit.
But, yes, I've been both in server; I've helped plan;
14
15 I've a poll watcher for post-election audits.
I've trained what in Colorado called canvas
16
17 board members who conduct the post-election audit.
18 So I've trained those for Libertarian Party and some
of the nonpartisan candidates -- not candidates,
19
20 excuse me, members of canvas boards. So I've spent
hundreds of hours in post-election auditing.
21
22 Q. Have you testified in court before, Ms.
Marks?
23
24 A. Yes, many times. Coalition for Good
25 Governance has done a lot of work in litigat- -- in

1 election litigation and so I have been in court a
2 lot.
3 Q. You mentioned paper ballots and the --
4 based upon your understanding of the allegations in
5 this petition, do you understand that the petitioners
6 are seeking a new election; do you understand that?
7 A. Yes.
8 Q. And do you have an understanding of whether
9 the petitioners want the new election to be on paper
10 ballot on the one hand or on the electronic ballot on
11 the other?
12 A. It is clear that the petitioners want only
13 a verifiable election, which has to be on paper
14 ballots.
15 Q. And you -- based upon your work, have you
16 become familiar with Georgia's processes and
17 procedures for conducting electronic and paper
18 elections?
19 A. Yes, I'm very familiar with those
20 procedures. I began my work in Georgia in the spring
21 of 2017 and began to analyze the problems in the
22 unverifiable DRE system. The debunked DRE system at
23 the time actually -- should I tell you about when --
24 Q. Just wait.
25 A. Okay.

1 Q. Based upon your understanding of Georgia's
2 practices and procedures in voting, would it be feasible for Georgia to conduct a paper ballot for
3
4 any re-elect new election?
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object to that question.
5
6 The foundation hasn't be laid for that; it's a legal conclusion. She's not qualified as an expert.
7
8 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would -- thank you, Mr.
9 Tyson, if I may interrupt. I should have before asking
10 that question and I'll withdraw it -- ask that Ms. Marks
11 be qualified as a witness about DRE machines generally and their use in Georgia and the practices and procedures of
12
13 the State of Georgia for paper ballots and for electronic ballots.
14
15 MR. TYSON: If I could ask [inaudible] show of questions of Ms. Marks.
16
17 THE COURT: Surely. Go ahead.
18 MR. TYSON: Good morning, Ms. Marks. My name is Bryan Tyson. I represent the Gwinnett County Board of
19
20 Elections -- Registrations and Elections. I just had a couple additional questions for you. You mentioned you
21
22 testified in court before.
23
24 CROSS-EXAMINATION
25 OF MARILYN MARKS

1

2 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. Have you ever qualified as an expert in a
3

4 court proceeding?
A. No. And have never intended to be.
5

6 Q. Okay. Have you ever been involved in the
administration of an election in Georgia a member of
7

8 the Board of Elections, a staff member in elections

9 office?

10 A. No, I have not been a Georgia resident so I

11 would not have been qualified for that. I have been
a poll watcher several times for candidates and for
12

13 the Libertarian Party in Georgia.
Q. Mr. Brown asked you about your work with
14

15 electronic voting machines. Do you have any degrees
or specialized training in computer science?
16

17 A. No. I just have a practical hands-on

18 experience.
Q. Do you -- have you -- I'm sorry. It's
19

20 correct, isn't it, that you have no first-hand
knowledge of any DRE machine that you personally
21

22 reviewed for the 2018 Georgia general election; is
that correct?
23

24 A. I'm sorry. Do you mind repeating the

25 question?

1 Q. Sure. It's correct, isn't it, that you
2 have not personally reviewed any DRE machines that
were used in the 2018 Georgia general election?
3
4 A. No. That is incorrect.
Q. Okay. Which machines have you reviewed?
5
6 A. Okay. So I'll not be able to tell you the
serial numbers, not off the top of my head,
7
8 certainly. The machines that I observed as I was a
9 poll watcher and I watched them operate -- I looked
10 at the data that was coming up on the screen in a
11 number of poll locations, and did so in a number of
the 2018 elections.
12
13 So, I did watch the operations, I watched the
shutdown of the machines, and then watched the
14
15 progression records through the compilation tallies.
So, yes, I have observed the operation of the
16
17 machines as a authorized statewide poll watcher.
18 Q. So, it would be correct to say, wouldn't
it, that you've observed them from a distance, but
19
20 you've not personally examined any of the DRE
machines; is that correct?
21
22 A. That would not be correct.
Q. Have you personally ever touched a DRE
23
24 machine?
25 A. Oh, certainly.

1 Q. Have you ever voted on a DRE machine?

2 A. I have.
Q. Have you voted on a Georgia DRE machine?
3

4 A. I have not voted in -- wait, excuse me. I
think I was about to not get that correct. When I
5

6 was a resident here, I believe they were just
bringing in the DRE machines and so I believe I did
7

8 vote many years ago on the DRE machine.

9 Q. But you're not sure?

10 A. I -- I voted on a DRE machine in North

11 Carolina.
Q. And you personally believe that Georgia
12

13 should move to a paper ballot system; correct?
A. Certainly.
14

15 MR. TYSON: I don't have any other questions at the
moment.
16

17 THE COURT: Anybody else have questions for her?

18 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, we renew our objection.
She's never been qualified as an expert.
19

20 THE COURT: Go ahead.
MR. TYSON: For one, she just finished saying that
21

22 she doesn't consider herself an expert. So -- and she's
not demonstrated any expertise on the technical issues
23

24 that are involved in this case. She certainly has some

25 very strong opinions and we understand it's good for her

1 to get up on the stand to sort of lay out what the
2 plaintiffs want. But in terms of providing any factual evidence to support their claim, she's simply not been
3
4 qualified as an expert [inaudible].
MR. LINDSEY: And, Your Honor, we would also object
5
6 to Ms. Marks being qualified as an expert. We already her expertise on the DRE machines. She's testified that it's
7
8 limited to just observing them from a distance.
9 And that we don't believe that that will in any way
10 assist the Court in determining fact in issue under 702(b)
11 because that's not one of the issues here in terms of what someone saw on the machine. The issue is what was the
12
13 vote in that issue.
In addition, we would also object to her
14
15 qualification regarding Georgia practices and procedures in that those are ensconced in the election code and Your
16
17 Honor is capable of determining those without the
18 assistance from an expert.
THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
19
20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, she's not being -- a lot of what Mr. Lindsey said I didn't quite follow, but she is
21
22 not being qualified as to the technical aspects of the DRE. We have another expert for that. She instead is
23
24 being qualified for the procedure. Thank you.
25 THE COURT: The question you asked would have

1 required her to be an expert and she's not qualified as an
2 expert. You asked, you know, could Georgia conduct paper
-- I am listening. I don't look like I am sometimes but
3
4 that helps me intensely listen.
You asked her really about whether paper ballots
5
6 could be done and she has no expertise to be able to
testify to something like that.
7
8 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
9
10 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
11 OF MARILYN MARKS
12
13 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Let me ask you some questions and you --
14
15 you have not been qualified as an expert, so when I
ask you a question it's going to have be based on
16
17 your personal knowledge; do you understand that?
18 A. Yes. Yes, I do. Uh-huh.
Q. Okay. Who is Taran Greenwald?
19
20 A. Taran Greenwald is an analyst for Coalition
for Good Governance.
21
22 Q. Okay.
MR. BROWN: And has the Coalition -- let me hand you
23
24 what I'll have marked --
25 THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.

1 MR. BROWN: -- as Exhibit 1.

2 [Thereupon, the referred-to document was entered into

3 evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 1]

5

6 MR. TYSON: If we may, Your Honor, could we see a copy first? Thank you, Your Honor.

7 THE COURT: [inaudible].

9 BY MR. BROWN:

10 Q. Ms. Marks, what is Exhibit 1?

11 A. Exhibit 1 is a voting records request that was made by Mr. Greenwald and the response from the

12 Secretary of State to his request to review certain of the records.

14 Q. Did Coalition for Good Governance send a number of Open Records Act requests to the Secretary?

16 A. Yes, we did.

18 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I object. I'm not real sure where we're going here.

19 THE COURT:

20 MR. LINDSEY: The Court -- I assume you're trying to

21 -- just trying to lay down proper evidence -- evidence you couldn't get in. No, you couldn't obtain.

23 THE COURT: Well, so far -- so far -- I haven't seen

25 the document; okay, he hasn't admitted. So far he hasn't

1 asked --

2 MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible]
THE COURT: -- an objectionable question yet.
3

4 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: I think -- I think both Mr. Lindsey --
5

6 MR. LINDSEY: I'm anticipating -- my apologies, Your Honor.
7

8 THE COURT: -- Mr. Lindsay and I are anticipating; we

9 may be absolutely wrong. Go ahead.

10 MR. LINDSEY: My apologies, Your Honor.

11 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Ms. Marks, is this a true and correct copy
12

13 of a January 9 email from open records at the Secretary of State to your company's analyst, Taran
14

15 Greenwald?
A. Yes. It is.
16

17 Q. And without going through everything, what

18 does the letter say?
THE COURT: Well, you'll have to tender it before --
19

20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would move to introduce Exhibit 1 into evidence.
21

22 THE COURT: Now, Mr. Lindsay.
MR. LINDSEY: Objection, Your Honor. It's hearsay
23

24 and it's lay -- you have to also lay a foundation as to

25 what's relevant to the particular issue before us.

1 THE COURT: Is it certified, Mr. Brown?

2 MR. BROWN: It is not. It's an email. It's a business record, Your Honor. It's an email from the

3 Secretary of State to her organization.

4 THE COURT: I -- it's not -- it's not tendered --

5 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

6 THE COURT: -- I mean, it's tendered; it's not

7 admitted.

8 MR. BROWN: Okay.

9 BY MR. BROWN:

10 Q. Ms. Marks, you testified that you were a poll watcher.

11 A. Yes.

12 Q. And was that in the November 2018 election?

13 A. I did. I wasn't a poll watcher in 2018, but I was also a poll watcher in previous 2018

14 elections and in Georgia.

15 Q. Okay. And were you ever a poll watcher in early voting for the 2018 election?

16 A. Yes. I was a statewide poll watcher for the Libertarian Party and the poll watcher

17 credentials covered the period of early voting through the of the election.

18 Q. Were you a poll watcher at the Ponce de

19 León Library polling location?

1 A. Yes, I was. And the way it works is that
2 we're a poll watcher for all locations.
3 Q. And -- but you were a poll watcher -- you
4 went to poll -- you went to the Ponce de León Library
5 polling location; correct?
6 A. Yes. During early voting.
7 Q. And what did you personally observe at the
8 -- that polling location?
9 A. The first thing I noticed when I got there
10 were two-hour long lines for people to get in and
11 then how hard it was for people to get into vote, and
12 I saw many people leaving because they couldn't vote.
13 And I could go through with you the troublesome --
14 Q. Well, let me ask you. Let me ask you that.
15 A. Uh-huh.
16 Q. Did you observe the DREs in operation from
17 an appropriate distance?
18 A. I did.
19 Q. Were they operating correctly?
20 A. They were not.
21 Q. And why so?
22 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'll object. I think this
23 requires Ms. Marks to have a degree of expertise regarding
24 the operation of the DRE machines for her to opine about
25 whether they were operating properly or not. There's not

1 a foundation for that.

2 THE COURT: I -- I --
MR. BROWN: I'll withdraw the question, Your Honor --
3

4 THE COURT: Withdraw --
MR. BROWN: -- and make it easier. I can make this
5

6 easy.
BY MR. BROWN:
7

8 Q. Were some of the voting machines not

9 working in that they were not taking votes at all?

10 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'll object again.

11 [inaudible] foundation, Ms. Marks can testify to that.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor --
12

13 THE COURT: She -- I think you're making much too
general of a question. I understand there's a specific
14

15 allegation. Get to the specific allegation.
MR. BROWN: Okay.
16

17 THE COURT: Okay.

18 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Ms. Marks, was the long line the result of
19

20 some of the machines not working?
A. Yes, it was.
21

22 Q. And how do you know that?
A. I -- the work of a poll watcher is to
23

24 observe the entirety of what's going on.

25 THE COURT: Okay. Just answer the question, please,

1 ma'am.

2 MR. BROWN: Yeah, just --
THE WITNESS: And I could see that the machines were
3

4 malfunctioning. I could see the screen, the machines were
malfunctioning. It was taking a very long time for those
5

6 voters to go back --
BY MR. BROWN:
7

8 Q. How were they malfunctioning, from your

9 perspective?

10 A. Okay. I was standing about five feet away

11 --
Q. And what --
12

13 A. -- it was a small place.
Q. And what did the machines do?
14

15 A. And so what would happen is a screen would
come up that would say, vote cancelled. As the voter
16

17 was attempting to enter their vote and a big red

18 warning sign came up, and the machine stopped
working. I observed that happening three times
19

20 during the 30 minutes I was at the library.
Q. Did you speak with any officials at the
21

22 polling location about that problem?
A. I attempted to. I was denied the ability
23

24 to talk to them.

25 Q. Okay. Thank you. Other than the

1 malfunctioning machines and the long lines, anything
2 else about the Ponce de León poll watching experience that is -- that struck you as unusual?
3
4 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'll just object to the question as worded. I don't think there's of the machine
5
6 were malfunctioning. I think there is testimony they displayed a red box; I don't think there's any testimony
7
8 that indicates that's that a malfunction.
9 MR. BROWN: I'll withdraw the question.
10 THE COURT: You'll have her on direct.
11 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Ms. Marks.
12
13 RECROSS-EXAMINATION
OF MARILYN MARKS
14
15
BY MR. TYSON:
16
17 Q. Ms. Marks, just one question before you
18 leave. I'll try to keep it short. You said that you saw three instances of the machine saying, vote
19
20 cancelling; is that correct?
A. That is correct.
21
22 Q. And you didn't witness any other indications of red screens coming on the machines
23
24 while you were at the Ponce precinct; is that
25 correct?

1 A. I witnessed three in 30 minutes and that is
2 all --
Q. Thank you.
3
4 A. -- and that was a sign that the machine
malfunctioning and the [inaudible] --
5
6 Q. I'm sorry. Yes. I don't believe there's
any evidence to explain it --
7
8 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, that's not a question. That
9 is a statement and I'd like to strike that from the
10 record.
11 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I request that the rest of
Ms. Marks' answer about the machine malfunction be
12
13 stricken; that there was no foundation for that statement.
THE COURT: You need to just answer the question. I
14
15 know -- I know your position; I know where you're coming
from; okay? No problem. I've already got that. You
16
17 don't have to impress me with that. Just answer the
18 questions.
MR. TYSON: All right. That's all I have. Thank
19
20 you.
THE COURT: Anybody else?
21
22 MR. LINDSEY: Just real briefly.
23
24 CROSS-EXAMINATION
25 OF MARILYN MARKS

1

2 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. Ms. Marks, is your position at the
3

4 Coalition a volunteer or paid position?
A. It is a volunteer position.
5

6 Q. Okay. Do you receive any compensation for
it?
7

8 A. None at all.

9 Q. Okay. Nevertheless, Ms. Marks, is it not

10 true --

11 MR. LINDSEY: Let me show you what we'll tender as
Duncan Defense 1.
12

13
[Thereupon, the referred-to document was entered into
14

15 evidence as Defendant's Duncan Exhibit No. 1]

16

17 BY MR. LINDSEY:

18 Q. This is a Tweet you sent out last night; is
it not? Is that not a Tweet you sent out last night?
19

20 A. It is.
Q. And does it not show that basically you are
21

22 using this trial as a fundraising mechanism for your
organization?
23

24 A. No, it does not show that.

25 Q. It does show that you are seeking to raise

1 money as part of this trial; correct?

2 A. Not as part of this trial; in order to pay attorney's fees and other legal cost.

3

4 Q. Okay.

A. That this trial will cost.

5

6 Q. Okay. And then you just sent out soliciting contributions as part of this trial;

7

8 correct?

9 A. Not as part of this trial, sir, but as part

10 -- routine requirements to raise money for a very

11 small organization with a high level of expenses.

Q. Okay.

12

13 A. We've been raising money for many years.

Q. All right. And this trial -- you sent this

14

15 out in anticipation of the trial today; correct?

A. This is consistent with fundraising

16

17 messages I've been sending out for years.

18 Q. Okay. So otherwise, you send other fundraising messages out when there are other trials

19

20 that are going on; correct?

A. Whether or not there are trials going on,

21

22 we are always in fundraising mode.

Q. Okay.

23

24 A. We need to be more.

25 Q. All right.

1 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we would tender this
2 Exhibit.
THE COURT: [inaudible] Let me have it, please.
3
4 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor. No objection, Your
Honor.
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: Defense Exhibit -- I guess it would be
Duncan 1.
7
8 THE COURT: Duncan 1. [inaudible], admitted. Go
9 ahead.
10
11 CROSS-EXAMINATION
OF MARILYN MARKS
12
13
BY MS. BURWELL:
14
15 Q. Ms. Marks, are you familiar with the
process for disabled voting on Georgia's DRE
16
17 machines?
18 A. I'm generally familiar with it. I've never
tested it myself as I have in other states.
19
20 Q. And so, what is your understanding of the
process?
21
22 A. To make sure I understand your question,
are you asking about an in-person disabled voter who
23
24 needs to use the assistive devices, is that what
25 you're --

1 Q. Yes.

2 A. -- asking me?
Q. Yes.
3

4 A. Okay. So I think that the process
generally is that the disabled voter can identify
5

6 themselves as needing the assistive device and the
poll worker ushers to a device, make sure they know
7

8 how to use it, and it's generally a vision-impaired

9 voter who would need the type of assistive device

10 that Georgia polls use and that they begin to mark

11 the ballots generally through a pad as they are
listening to what's called an audio ballot.
12

13 Q. So, are you familiar with how the actual
machine is set up for a disabled voter?
14

15 A. I am.
Q. Okay. And how would you be familiar with
16

17 that?

18 A. I've set one up before. Not in Georgia,
but just like them in other locations and I've tested
19

20 audio in other locations on the machine.
Q. So, are you familiar with what happens if
21

22 it is in disabled mode and someone uses it who is not
disabled?
23

24 A. Generally nothing very different happens,

25 not supposed to. Those machines are used frequently,

1 particularly when there are long lines. Those
2 machines are used frequently by voters in the standard mode.
3
4 Q. When you say, are used by voters in the standard mode, you mean a disabled voter?
5
6 A. No. I meant that while they do have the DREs with assistive devices, that they are not -- any
7
8 voter can, and they're not required to use the
9 assistive device.
10 Q. So, my question is, if -- and for a Georgia
11 machine it is set up for using an assistive device, but the person doesn't need it -- my question is, do
12
13 you know what happens on the machine? Do you know if it reveals anything or do you know what the machine
14
15 will show under those circumstances?
A. I don't think it shows if it is -- operates
16
17 as I believe it does -- I don't believe it shows
18 anything different than it does -- than a normal standard DRE setup does.
19
20 Q. Okay.
MR. BURWELL: Thank you.
21
22 THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
23
24 RE-DIRECT EXAMINATION
25 OF MARILYN MARKS

1

2 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Ms. Marks, if you would turn to Duncan 1, I
3

4 have a follow-up question for you.
A: I need a copy of it.
5

6 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, would you like my copy?
THE COURT: That's all right. I have it. But I
7

8 need to keep it in the stack.

9 BY MR. BROWN:

10 Q. Ms. Marks, your Tweet, which has been

11 identified as -- and introduced into evidence as
Duncan Number 1. In that you state, the state and
12

13 counties are opposing us at every turn in the court.
Do you see that?
14

15 A. I do.
Q. How has the Secretary opposed your efforts
16

17 in this matter?

18 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, objection to relevance.
Those have all been issues that have been raised to the
19

20 Court and by their very able attorney, Mr. Brown. He's
raised motions and you have denied each and every one of
21

22 those motions. And so --
THE COURT: Mr. Brown, why is that relevant to the
23

24 election contest we're trying right now? That's all we're

25 trying, is the election contest.

1 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, Mr. Lindsey opened the door
2 by introducing this into evidence in an effort to smear Ms. Marks and I'm --
3
4 THE COURT: He's not talking -- let's -- you know, I told you the other day about inflammatory language. He's
5
6 not trying to smear. He's trying to challenge her credibility.
7
8 MR. BROWN: Sure.
9 THE COURT: That's a whole -- that's a different
10 thing.
11 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. It was -- it was not my intention to smear Mr. Lindsey, I assure you.
12
13 THE COURT: I understand.
MR. BROWN: And -- thank you. I have no further
14
15 questions. Oh, well, I do. I'm sorry. If I may, Your Honor.
16
17 THE COURT: Go ahead.
18 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. HAVA. Do you know what HAVA is?
19
20 A. I do.
Q. And what does it stand for?
21
22 A. The Help America Vote Act.
Q. And are the -- is the examination of --
23
24 from Ms. Burwell, did that relate to that federal
25 law?

1 MR. LINDSAY: Your Honor, I'll object. That calls
2 for a legal conclusion.
3 MR. BROWN: Okay. Let me back up. I'll withdraw the
4 question.
5 THE COURT: [inaudible]. Sometimes it's just
6 rephrasing.
7 MR. BROWN: True. Thank you, Your Honor.
8 BY MR. BROWN:
9 Q. You -- is it your understanding, and this
10 is to follow up on the questions from Ms. Burwell --
11 is it your understanding that in some instances it is
12 required to use a DRE system that has the mechanisms
13 for assisting disabled voters?
14 MR. LINDSAY: Your Honor, I'll object again. I don't
15 think there's a foundation laid for this question and I
16 think we're back to a relevance objection again, as well,
17 that this is not relevant to the election contest.
18 THE COURT: I think -- and he's right. You've got
19 other experts coming in, so it's really neces- -- she's
20 not been qualified as an expert; she's not an expert. She
21 has a lot of information but she's not an expert. So I
22 think you need to wait for your experts for some of this.
23 MR. BROWN: That's fine, Your Honor. Thank you. I
24 have no further questions. Thank you, Ms. Marks.
25 THE COURT: You may go down. Next witness?

1 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, just to confirm, we are
2 excusing Ms. Marks -- is Ms. Marks excused so she can remain in the courtroom?
3
4 THE COURT: Yes. She is excused.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
5
6
[Thereupon, the testimony of the witness concluded at
7
8 10:25 a.m.]
9
10 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the plaintiffs would call
11 Sara LeClerc.
THE COURT: You'll have to go get her. We -- we only
12
13 see to have one deputy so you'll have to get her, or have someone go get her.
14
15 MR. BROWN: Please raise your right hand. Do you promise to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but
16
17 the truth?
18 THE WITNESS: I do.
19
20 Thereupon:
21
22 SARA LECLERC
23
24 was called as a witness by the Petitioner; and,
25 having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

1

2 DIRECT EXAMINATION
OF SARA LECLERC

3

4 BY MR. BROWN:

5

6 Q. Please state your full name for the record.
A. Sara M. LeClerc.

7

8 Q. Please have a seat. Okay. Could you spell

9 your last name for the court reporter, please?

10 A. Absolutely. It's L-E- capital C-L-E-R-C.

11 Q. Is it LeClerc; is that correct?
A. It's LeClerc, but --

12

13 Q. LeClerc.
A. -- [inaudible] doesn't matter.

14

15 Q. Ms. LeClerc, by whom are you currently
employed?

16

17 A. I work for myself. I'm an attorney and I -

18 -
THE COURT: I'm sorry.

19

20 THE WITNESS: I just work for myself. And I'm an
attorney and I -- so I work on a contract basis for other

21

22 firms.
BY MR. BROWN:

23

24 Q. And where did you go to law school?

25 A. The University of Virginia.

1 Q. Okay. And when did you graduate?
2 A. 2007.
3 Q. And did there come a time that you
4 participated in the 2018 elections in any way?
5 A. Yes.
6 Q. And what was your role?
7 A. Well, my first role I would say other --
8 well, other than actually in the election as a
9 citizen, I volunteered to observe, be a poll watcher,
10 a poll observer, so.
11 Q. And with whom did you volunteer? Was it an
12 organization that --
13 A. Yeah. I went to a training with the
14 Georgia Democrats.
15 Q. And did you end up observing any election?
16 A. Yes, I did.
17 Q. And where did you -- where were you?
18 A. Well, I did some early voting observations,
19 as well as Election Day, and then also the runoff
20 Election Day in December. So I was at different
21 locations for each of those days.
22 Q. And on November 7th, where were you
23 located?
24 A. It was November 6th.
25 Q. November 6th, I'm sorry.

1 A. And November 6th I was at Allen Temple AME
2 Church.
THE COURT: Which one?
3
4 A. Allen Temple AME. It's on Joseph Boone
Boulevard in Atlanta.
5
6 Q. And in the course of being an observer, do
you take contemporaneous notes of what you are
7
8 observing?
9 A. Yes. So, if something happens, it's not
10 just a perfectly smooth process, then I use my iPhone
11 and I have an app at the direction of to an LBJ
reporting tool. So I took notes directly to the
12
13 iPhone and website.
Q. And what does LBJ stand for, if you know?
14
15 In this instance.
A. Probably Lyndon Baines Johnson.
16
17 Q. Okay.
18 A. I believe it was named after him, given
[inaudible].
19
20 Q. Okay. And did you, in fact, enter your
notes and observations when you were at the AME
21
22 location on November 6th?
A. Yeah, I did; well, multiple times
23
24 throughout that day.
25 MR. BROWN: And I'm going to hand you a -- an Exhibit

1 and let me -- if I may explain this to counsel.

2 [Thereupon, the referred-to document was entered into

3 evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 2]

5

6 MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible].
MR. BROWN: Understood. Put it on the record while I

7 hand it to you because it's hard to read.

9 MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible] with my glasses.

10 BY MR. BROWN:

11 Q. And what I've handed to counsel is a large-
print version for Mr. Lindsey, like the books you get

12 from Amazon, and then the actual Excel spreadsheet,
which is too small even for me to read, and you could

14 just see that these blocks were copied onto this.
MR. LINDSEY: I understand. Which one do I get?

16 Both of these?

18 MR. BROWN: This is what I'm using as evidence.
MR. LINDSEY: Okay. Do I have a copy of that?

19 MR. BROWN: And you have that so you can verify it.
MR. LINDSEY: For the record, Your Honor, I had the

21 Lasix surgery. I can still read this.
THE COURT: This one? This is P-2?

23 MR. BROWN: This is P-2, Your Honor.

25 BY MR. BROWN:

1 Q. Now, Ms. LeClerc, the notes that you take
2 on the LBJ system appear on what looks like an Excel spreadsheet; is that correct? And that is not what's
3
4 in front of you. That's what I handed to Mr. Lindsey.
5
6 A. I have the large print of what you said is the Excel spreadsheet.
7
8 Q. And does that appear to be a large-print
9 version of the very small print on the Excel
10 spreadsheet?
11 A. Yes.
Q. And does the Excel spreadsheet have a true
12
13 and correct recording of the notes that you took on the LBJ system as you were observing things in the
14
15 AME voting location?
A. Yes. All of the notes that start with Sara
16
17 LeClerc, that's -- those notes are all on, yes.
18 Q. And if it's someone else -- excuse me. And if it's someone else, their name would appear, like,
19
20 Benjamin Thorpe [ph]; correct?
A. Right. There were maybe one or two notes
21
22 by a different person and their name appears next to those notes.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would like to introduce
25 Defendant's 2 into the record. I mean, sorry. P-2 into

1 the record.

2 MR. LINDSEY: If I understand it, these are contemporaneous notes that are from your observations; is

3

4 that correct?
THE WITNESS: Yes.

5

6 MR. LINDSEY: Okay. No objection.
THE COURT: [inaudible]. Yeah. Thank you.

7

8 BY MR. BROWN:

9 Q. Now, Ms. LeClerc, the way this prints out,

10 where do we start?

11 A. You actually start at the back, so these are my first pages and my last.

12

13 Q. Okay.
A. [inaudible].

14

15 Q. And let's just walk through your notes and I'll ask you some questions on what you were

16

17 observing. Looking at page four --

18 A. If I can clarify that.
Q. Sure.

19

20 A. Actually these are by incidence --
Q. Okay.

21

22 A. -- so the first incident actually starts at the bottom of page three.

23

24 Q. Okay. And what was that incident that you

25 observed?

1 A. There was an issue where the Express
2 machines were to pick up their ballots. The number that was on the machines -- well, there -- there were
3
4 two machines at this location. So, they -- the two poll workers were comparing the machines and noticed
5
6 that one machine was a couple numbers different from the other machine's count. So they seemed to be out
7
8 of sync and having discrepancies.
9 Q. And was that issue resolved?
10 A. Yes. That did get resolved. The poll
11 manager called in for a technical help but was told that it would self-correct in time and it did self-
12
13 correct [inaudible].
Q. And then what was the next incident?
14
15 A. The next incident that I started taking was that just one of the voters who came in had --
16
17 started ac- -- oh, I'm sorry.
18 Q. Could you -- I was going to ask you to refer to your notes, so maybe we could follow along
19
20 with your observations. Was this the senior voter?
A. Yes.
21
22 Q. Okay. Turn with me to the bottom of page two.
23
24 A. Yes.
25 Q. Are those your notes relating to that

1 senior voter?
2 A. Yes.
Q. And just go ahead and explain without
3
4 looking at this what you recall. That's fine.
A. So, I noticed that -- well, a voter came in
5
6 to check in, got their ballot. She was a rather
elderly lady walking on a cane, very kind, and she
7
8 went to the machine to vote. At that point, nothing
9 out of the ordinary had happened, but she started
10 turning around and asking for some help with the
11 machine.
So, we pulled to help her, to assist her. And
12
13 the two of them were at the machine for a brief time.
I think the manager left and the voter continued
14
15 voting. Then she called the manager back again and
the manager went back to assist. And so the two of
16
17 them were at the machine together for a little while
18 and appear to me ordinary but the manager is allowed
to assist the voter if the voter asks for help.
19
20 It lasted for a little while longer than I would
have anticipated and at the end of that, the voter
21
22 went down -- went over to some chairs to sit and
wait, and I noticed that the manager started shutting
23
24 that DRE machine down, closing it up, and so that was
25 unusual to me and I wanted to figure out what was

1 going on. Why was that machine getting closed? Was
2 there a problem?
So at first I was just observing. I didn't want
3
4 to get in the way of whatever the manager was doing.
And I went over to talk to the voter. She was
5
6 actually just sitting and waiting for her ride so
that she could get back to her home. So I went up to
7
8 her and asked her if everything okay. Was she able
9 to vote? And --
10 MR. LINDSEY: And, Your Honor, I'll object. Ms.
11 LeClerc's been asked [inaudible] what the voter said to be
hearsay.
12
13 THE COURT: [inaudible]. She asked her, fine. Go
ahead, what's next?
14
15 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Did you have a conversation with the voter?
16
17 A. I had a conversation with the voter.
18 Q. And based upon that conversation, did you
have an understanding of what was happening?
19
20 THE COURT: No. Understanding what's happening is
hearsay.
21
22 MR. BROWN: Okay.
THE COURT: Did you did anything as a result of it?
23
24 BY MR. BROWN:
25 Q. What did you do in response to receiving

1 the information from the voter?

2 A. So, I waited for the manager to finish up what she was doing with the machine and then

3

4 approached her to ask what happened, what -- why was the machine had been closed, what was going on.

5

6 Q. And what did the manager tell you?
MR. LINDSEY: Again, I'll object, on hearsay.

7

8 MR. BROWN: That is an admission. The manager is

9 employed by the defendants. That's an admission.

10 THE COURT: Well, does Fulton County -- does Fulton

11 County have anything to say about that?
MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible].

12

13 MS. BURWELL: Well, Your Honor, the -- it is true that the poll manager would be employed by Fulton County,

14

15 but I don't believe that the poll manager is in a position to bind the County.

16

17 THE COURT: I -- I -- I agree with that, but I'm

18 going to let the witness say what she said.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

19

20 THE WITNESS: So, the poll manager told me that she needed to close the machine because that machine had self-

21

22 cast the voter's ballot before the voter had finished voting. And the manager told me that she was assisting

23

24 the voter on the review screen. So after you make your

25 selection to get the review screen.

1 And the manager noticed that the -- there was no
2 selection made for the race for lieutenant governor and for one other race, which the manager didn't name to me.
3
4 And so she had asked the voter -- the voter intended to vote in those races. The voter said, yes --
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: That would be an objection, Your Honor. That's what the voter said.
7
8 THE COURT: That's hearsay at this point.
9 MR. LINDSEY: Yes.
10 THE COURT: But as a result of that -- I'm going to
11 let it go ahead on this one.
MR. BROWN: Okay.
12
13 THE COURT: Uh --
MR. BROWN: Go ahead, Ms. LeClerc.
14
15 THE WITNESS: So, the manager pointed to where the lieutenant governor race was, and the voter put her finger
16
17 on the area for the lieutenant governor race selection so
18 she could vote for the lieutenant governor race. And instead -- which is nowhere near the area for submit
19
20 ballot, but when she touched lieutenant governor, the machine said, your ballot has been submitted and there was
21
22 nothing they could do at that point.
So, it just self-cast before the voter could actually
23
24 make her selection on the lieutenant governor or the other
25 race.

1 BY MR. BROWN:

2 Q. Did you observe whether the poll officials took that machine out of service at that time?

3

4 A. Yes. The manager did take it out of service immediately.

5

6 Q. And then was that machine put back in service?

7

8 A. It was put back in service later in the

9 day. Yes.

10 THE COURT: Let's -- let's take a morning break, take

11 a 10-minute break.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

12

13

[Off the record at 10:25 a.m., and back on the record

14

15 at 10:37 a.m.]

16

17 THE COURT:

18

CONTINUATION DIRECT EXAMINATION

19

20 OF SARA LECLERC

21

22 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Ms. LeClerc, returning to your testimony

23

24 about your observations at the AME Church, did you

25 take any photographs of the poll tapes when you were

1 there?

2 A. Yes. I took photographs of the tops of the poll tapes that were able to print.

3

4 Q. And did you have the opportunity to observe any issues with the electronic polling?

5

6 A. Yes. And --
Q. And what did you see? What did you

7

8 observe?

9 A. So as far as the poll tapes were concerned

10 it's -- was my understanding that he receives at the

11 end of the night they print out a tape giving a record of whatever votes have been cast or at least a

12

13 breakdown by races, by each race.
At this location there were five DRE machines,

14

15 but this instance one of the machines would not print at all and the manager and workers tried many

16

17 different ways to try to get it to print. They

18 called the technical support, but they were never able to successfully from the fifth machine. So I

19

20 was not able to observe the tape of that fifth machine.

21

22 Q. Ms. LeClerc, what was the manager's reaction, if any, to the difficulties that you

23

24 described that the senior voter experienced?

25 A. To me she appeared very alarmed by what had

1 happened. When I spoke with her, she -- her tone of
2 voice was just kind of frantic and her -- you know,
3 she just with her arms and she couldn't believe that
4 the vote had cast because the voter's finger was
5 nowhere near the area for the submit ballot. So she
6 was just -- just incredulous to me.
7 Q. Did she indicate to you whether she was
8 going to file some sort of complaint with the
9 Secretary of State?
10 A. She did at one point that day. She said
11 she was going to -- she planned to file some
12 complaints because there were multiple technical
13 issues that day that they experienced.
14 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, that is the last question I
15 have for Ms. LeClerc. I have misplaced the photographs
16 that I was going to have tendered to her and I will --
17 THE COURT: [inaudible] to put them in, [inaudible].
18 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
19 THE COURT: [inaudible].
20 MR. BROWN: Oh, okay.
21 MS. LECLERC: May -- I'm sorry; may I make --
22 THE COURT: No. You can't say anything else.
23
24 CROSS-EXAMINATION
25 OF SARA LECLERC

1

2 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. Ms. LeClerc, your primary role that day was
3

4 to try to tabulate the votes that were being cast
from Ms. Abrams, correct --
5

6 A. Uh --
Q. -- and report back to the Democratic Party;
7

8 would that be fair?

9 A. No. No. That would not be fair.

10 Q. Well, that's what you did, though, didn't

11 you?
A. That was one component of what I did but it
12

13 was not about Ms. Abrams. It was just about
reporting total numbers --
14

15 Q. Oh, okay.
A. -- of votes cast, not by race or anything
16

17 like that. It wouldn't -- [inaudible] like that.

18 Q. I'm sorry. No problem. What I'm saying --
so some -- I want to make sure I understand. Your
19

20 job was to try to report back to the Democratic Party
the total votes that were cast from that precinct;
21

22 correct?
A. That was one of the [inaudible].
23

24 Q. Okay. Thank you.

25 A. [inaudible].

1 Q. And you reported what you understood to be
2 the total number of votes that were cast in that precinct; correct?
3
4 A. Yes, I did.
5 Q. Okay. Were you ever informed that there
6 was any kind of discrepancy with what was ultimately reported to the Secretary of State's office about the
7
8 total number of votes that were cast in -- from that
9 precinct?
10 A. I don't know what was reported to the
11 Secretary of State --
12 Q. Okay.
13 A. -- I just know what the poll workers and managers told me and what was printed on the --
14
15 Q. Okay.
16 A. -- four out of five tapes that printed.
17 Q. Okay. And you reported that -- my question
18 is, did you ever receive back word from the Democratic Party that they was a discrepancy?
19
20 A. No.
21 Q. Okay. Thank you. In regards to this
22 elderly worker from this report that you did, the elderly worker thought -- rather the elderly voter
23
24 thought that her vote had been properly cast;
25 correct?

1 A. She thought it had been submitted; that her
2 ballot had been submitted.
Q. Yes. And she didn't have any objections,
3
4 correct, when you talked to her?
A. No.
5
6 Q. Let me -- I think I've asked in the form of
a negative. Let me clean it up. My momma told me
7
8 never to do that. Did this voter ever report to you
9 any complaints about whether her vote had been
10 properly recorded?
11 A. No.
Q. Okay.
12
13
CROSS-EXAMINATION
14
15 OF SARA LECLERC
16
17 BY MR. TYSON:
18 Q. Good morning, Ms. LeClerc. My name's Bryan
Tyson with the Gwinnett Board of Elections. I just
19
20 wanted to ask you briefly. You indicated you were a
poll watcher, but later you said you were observing.
21
22 Were you a registered poll watcher or were you still
an observer?
23
24 A. Yes. I was a -- I was officially appointed
25 as a, I'm not sure if it's called poll watcher or

1 poll observer. But yes, I got a credential so that I
2 could be there and observe.
Q. And that was by the Democratic Party;
3
4 correct?
A. Yes.
5
6 Q. Okay. And regarding the voter that you
testified about, do you know what her name was?
7
8 A. I do not.
9 Q. Okay.
10 MR. TYSON: Thank you.
11
CROSS-EXAMINATION
12
13 OF SARA LECLERC
14
15 BY MS. BURWELL:
Q. Ms. LeClerc, the Exhibit 2 that had your
16
17 notes?
18 A. Yes.
Q. Does that include the incidents -- all the
19
20 incidents you testified about today?
A. Yes. Everything I talked about today,
21
22 those incidents are in the notes.
Q. Okay. Is there anything that's not in your
23
24 notes that you found -- excuse me?
25 A. Yes -- I mean, of course there -- I had

1 many observations that I didn't put in my notes, yes.

2 Q. But the things that you thought were important were the things that you put in the notes

3

4 that are Exhibit 2?

A. That's right.

5

6 Q. Okay. Thank you.

MR. BROWN: I don't have any further questions, Your

7

8 Honor.

9 THE COURT: Okay. You may go back to your seat.

10 Thank you. Next witness?

11

[Thereupon, the testimony of the witness concluded at

12

13 _ a.m.]

14

15 MR. BROWN: The plaintiffs would call plaintiff Jeanne Dufort.

16

17 Please raise your right hand. Do you promise to tell

18 the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?

THE WITNESS: I do.

19

20 MR. BROWN: Please have a seat.

21

22 Thereupon:

23

24 JEANNE DUFORT

25

1 was called as a witness by the Petitioner; and,
2 having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
3
4 DIRECT EXAMINATION
OF JEANNE DUFORT
5
6
BY MR. BROWN:
7
8 Q. Please have a seat so we can hear you
9 through the microphone. Please state your full name
10 for the record.
11 A. My name is Jeanne Dufort.
Q. Ms. Dufort, are you a plaintiff in this
12
13 election?
A. I am.
14
15 Q. In this litigation? Where are you from?
A. I live in Madison, Georgia, in Morgan
16
17 County.
18 Q. Are you a registered elector of the State
of Georgia?
19
20 A. I am.
Q. Are you a resident of Morgan County?
21
22 A. I am.
Q. And were you entitled to vote for either
23
24 Mr. Duncan or Ms. Amico in the contested election?
25 A. Yes.

1 Q. Mr. Dufort, what interest, just generally
2 and be very brief, but just to introduce yourself to the Court. What is your interest generally in
3
4 political activities and the election activities?
A. So, when I turned 18, I did two things. I
5
6 registered to vote and I registered to donate funds. And I've been doing both ever since. Gave --
7
8 THE COURT: [inaudible]
9 THE WITNESS: -- because I can do that, right? And
10 both of them --
11 THE COURT: It wasn't in the context. Go ahead.
THE WITNESS: Both of them lifelong habits, just
12
13 depends. Thanks to Sister Aletha, my high school civics teacher, I became very interested in American
14
15 political science and I was a major in that at the University of Chicago, which also while I was there as a
16
17 student was the first time, I was a poll watcher. So I
18 would say it's a lifelong habit of mine to be passionate about moving and about the wonderful and amazing system we
19
20 have of self-governance in the United States.
BY MR. BROWN:
21
22 Q. Ms. Dufort, have you been a poll watcher in Georgia?
23
24 A. Yes. Most recently in the 2018 general
25 election I was a registered poll watcher.

1 Q. Thank you.

2 MR. BROWN: I don't have any further questions.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I have four pages of
3

4 detailed cross-examination [inaudible].
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
5

6 MR. LINDSEY: Just have to thank you for being a poll
watcher and I apologize to the young woman for not
7

8 thanking her for being a poll watcher as well. That's

9 hard work, all.

10 THE COURT: I assume you're just trying to establish

11 qualifications as planned.
MR. BROWN: That's correct.
12

13 THE COURT: Okay.
MALE: [inaudible]
14

15 MS. BURWELL: Nothing for Fulton County.
THE COURT: Okay.
16

17 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

18
[Thereupon, the testimony of the witness concluded at
19

20 11:41 a.m.]

21

22 MR. BROWN: As long as you stipulate that they are
voters and they voted in the election. That's all
23

24 [inaudible].

25 We're going to enter into a stipulation, Your Honor,

1 to accelerate this and that is that the plaintiffs are
2 registered voters, that they were entitled to vote in the November 6th election for the contested election and that
3
4 would satisfy -- it would eliminate the need to call the other -- thank you, Your Honor.
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: No objection
THE COURT: And does Gwinnett agree with that --
7
8 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
9 THE COURT: Fulton agree with that?
10 MR. BROWN: Thank you.
11 THE COURT: Yeah. They have to be. I understand.
MR. BROWN: Just a short break, Your Honor.
12
13 THE COURT: Okay. Can we go forward, please?
MR. BROWN: The plaintiffs will call Chris Brill and
14
15 we're going to get him now.
THE COURT: Yeah. [inaudible] go do that.
16
17 [inaudible].
18 MR. BROWN: Please raise your right hand. Do you promise to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but
19
20 the truth?
THE WITNESS: I do.
21
22 MR. BROWN: Please have a seat.
23
24 Thereupon:
25

1 CHRISTOPHER BRILL

2 was called as a witness by the Petitioner; and,

3 having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

4

5

6 DIRECT EXAMINATION
OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL

7

8

9 BY MR. BROWN:

10 Q. Please state your name for the record.

11 A. Christopher Brill.

12 Q. And Mr. Brill, by whom are you currently employed?

13 A. A company called TargetSmart. TargetSmart.

14 Q. And have you been engaged by the plaintiffs to give expert advice in this matter?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. I would like to go through your background a little bit and your experience, if I may. Where did you graduate from college?

17 A. University of New Mexico.

18 Q. And what did you study?

19 A. Political science.

20 Q. And what have you been doing since graduating from University of New Mexico?

21

22

23

24

25

1 A. I'm working mostly with political
2 consulting, so working with political campaigns, nonprofits, activist organizations on electoral
3
4 strategies and how to reach hard-to-reach electorates.
5
6 Q. And have you -- you've at TargetSmart since graduating?
7
8 A. No, I have not. Just for the past six
9 years.
10 Q. Okay. And to get a little better idea of
11 your actual work experience, describe the business of TargetSmart.
12
13 A. So, TargetSmart puts together -- our core business is putting together databases, such as
14
15 individual voter -- voter-level databases using the statewide voter files, election results, other types
16
17 of publicly available information, consumer data, to
18 try to build advice and help clients determine how to target voters, how to reach voters, and how to engage
19
20 in local campaigns. So that's kind of our main business focus.
21
22 Q. And describe who your clients would be or the different categories of clients.
23
24 A. So, clients could be (C) -- you know (C)(3)
25 or (C)(4) organizations so that our nonprofits or

1 other organizations. They could political committees
2 such as national party committees. They could also
be other nonprofits. So kind of a range of -- from
3
4 nonprofits to political campaigns and the like.
Q. And describe, if you will, a -- the kind of
5
6 work that you would do for a nonprofit or a political
party, let's say, or political organization, and go
7
8 through exactly what you, Chris Brill, would do if
9 you could.
10 A. Yes. So if a political party or candidate,
11 you know, approached us, usually it's about they want
to study the election they're about to run in and,
12
13 you know, how they want -- how to go about trying to
get to essentially a win. So we go in and -- myself.
14
15 I'll analyze the election.
I will see what happened the past, how many
16
17 votes were cast in the past; you know, how different
18 candidates perform and then we usually try to -- we
call it election math, essentially, so we try to, you
19
20 know, game out, okay, this is what's happened before,
this is what we think is likely to happen in this
21
22 next election, and so let's develop a strategy to
kind of get you potentially to win.
23
24 So we use the voter file. We use election
25 results. We use other types of modeling and other

1 strategies to try to help them be as efficient as
2 they can with the resources usually limited and how they can go about trying to be successful, and so on.
3
4 Q. Mr. Brill, do you have any experience evaluating the voting trends among various
5
6 demographics?
A. Yes.
7
8 Q. And do you have -- describe that
9 experience.
10 A. Yes. So generally it usually breaks down.
11 We look at individual -- different individual demographics and trends. So, for instance, we'll
12
13 look at everything from a voter's age to race, gender, ethnicity. We use polling to try to tease
14
15 out, you know, where our voters kind of coming down on an issue or candidate based on those demographics.
16
17 We'll also use the geographic information that's
18 available so, you know, whatever comes in from the census. There's also election results and try to
19
20 package all that together into a strategy that they could use to try and contact these specific voters in
21
22 certain areas. And again, it's really about trying to find these deficiencies because there's a lot of
23
24 voters and trying to figure out which ones you want
25 to try to engage with and how to engage with them.

1 Q. And I take it in your experience you have
2 experience analyzing voting trends; correct?
A. Yes.
3
4 Q. Do you have experience -- have you ever
been an expert witness before?
5
6 A. I have not, no.
Q. Okay. Have you -- do you have experience
7
8 in evaluating voting participation?
9 A. Yes.
10 Q. Okay. And what is that experience?
11 A. Generally, that will -- we'll look at, you
know, past turnout trends. So we'll see, you know,
12
13 what does turnout look like in previous elections.
We will look at things like drop-off, especially for
14
15 our clients that are down-ticket to try to determine
-- you know, how to mitigate drop-off if you can, and
16
17 we'll work with, you know, trying to, you know, use
18 again, the voter file, kind of geographic data to
kind of craft -- you know, look at the trends that
19
20 especially, you know, demographically is also
politically to try to see, you know, how leverage
21
22 those trends to be, again, successful in their
campaign and for everyone.
23
24 Q. Let me take one piece of that and ask you
25 another question on it. Did you say that you might

1 be engaged by a down-ballot candidate?

2 A. Yes.
Q. And is one of the concerns they would have
3

4 being under-voting? Is that -- might be a concern?
A. Correct. Yes.
5

6 Q. Okay. And would your work involve
evaluating why people don't vote for a particular
7

8 race if they are voting at all?

9 A. Correct. Yes.

10 Q. Okay.

11 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would like to tender Mr.
Brill as an expert witness on voting trends and on reasons
12

13 for under-voting and for his evaluation of specific
results of the 2018 election.
14

15 MR. TYSON: I'd like to ask more questions of Mr.
Brill, if I may.
16

17 THE COURT: Yes. Go ahead.

18 MR. TYSON: Good morning, Mr. Brill. My name is
Bryan Tyson. I represent the Gwinnett County Board of
19

20 Registrations and Elections. I just had a couple of
questions for you.
21

22

CROSS-EXAMINATION
23

24 OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL

25

1 BY MR. TYSON:

2 Q. You were explaining earlier to Mr. Brown about your role with voting trends and those kinds of

3

4 things. Have you ever had any study or done any analysis of ballot design?

5

6 A. Ballot design? No.
Q. Have you had any experience in election

7

8 administration in terms of you personally helping

9 administer an election?

10 A. No.

11 Q. Do you have any knowledge of DRE machines in Georgia or how they function technically?

12

13 A. No.
Q. Okay. So it's correct, isn't it, you have

14

15 no firsthand knowledge of any DRE machine in the state of Georgia that was used in the 2018 general

16

17 election; correct?

18 A. Correct.
Q. Okay.

19

20 MR. TYSON: All right, Your Honor, at this time we would -- Gwinnett County would object mostly on those

21

22 questions I'd asked first.
THE COURT: Anybody else got any questions?

23

24 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, we object to Mr. Brill's --

25 THE COURT: No, I understand that. Let me do --

1 MR. TYSON: Yes. I'm sorry.

2 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, just a couple questions.

3

4 CROSS-EXAMINATION
OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL

5

6 BY MR. LINDSEY:

7

8 Q. You've never worked on a campaign in

9 Georgia; is that correct?

10 A. Like a candidate campaign?

11 Q. Yeah.
A. No.

12

13 Q. Okay.
MR. LINDSEY: No further questions.

14

15 MS. BURWELL: I have no questions.
THE COURT: Okay.

16

17 MS. BURWELL: Okay.

18 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, we do object to Mr. Brill's
admission as an expert on this case. First of all, on the

19

20 issue of voting trends, that has nothing to -- under
702(b) facts and issues of the case; voting trends are not

21

22 an issue in this case.
As to -- in terms of the reasons for the under-vote,

23

24 Mr. Brill just testified that he has no experience in

25 terms of ballot design, election administration, what's

1 going on with the DREs; and as to the specific results of
2 this particular under-vote we would, on the same issue,
that he has no experience that's relevant to the Court to
3
4 determine the issue of this particular case.
THE COURT: Anyone else at this time? Nope? Okay.
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: We would join in the objection, Your
Honor.
7
8 MR. BROWN: Yeah, Your Honor, the argument that Mr.
9 Tyson made was not about what he's being tendered as an
10 expert in. In that end, I have established that he does
11 have expertise in what he's going to testify about. And
it is abundantly clear under Georgia law that he should be
12
13 qualified as a witness to both discuss the actual election
results and what possible reasons for the under-vote there
14
15 might be. And it may go to wait, but it certainly does
not go to admissibility. Thank you, Your Honor.
16
17 THE COURT: I'm not going to qualify him as an
18 expert. I don't think that's an area of expertise. And
I'm having trouble with that. He's going to say why the
19
20 numbers were this way and what could have happened. An
expert usually says what has happened, and I'm not going
21
22 to let you qualify him as an expert. You can ask him
questions and see where we go.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Sure. I'm going to ask you some
25 questions about what has happened.

1

2 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL

3

4 BY MR. BROWN:

5

6 Q. You with me, Mr. Brill?
A. Yes.

7

8 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, this is a borderline issue
9 and it may be rearguing and if it is, I apologize, but I -
10 - this is an important witness to us and an important line
11 of inquiry.
We would be asking Mr. Brill to explain possible
12
13 causes for under-voting and the point of that testimony is
to rebut the -- is to address the issues of why other than
14
15 machine malfunction there might be for an under-vote. And
he has testified that he advises clients on that issue and
16
17 that that is something that he is knowledgeable about.
18 THE COURT: Years ago I was trying a case in front of
old Judge [inaudible], he was quite a character, and me
19
20 and the DA -- I was defending -- me and the DA were going
at it and he goes, doesn't a judge get time to think?
21
22 Give me time to think about this one a little bit.
Let me -- and make sure I understand you. He wants to put
23
24 up a bunch of ideas about why there was an under-vote.
25 And you think that's an expertise?

1 MR. BROWN: Based upon his experience and his
2 knowledge about what to address, the possible areas of under-voting, of why it would be under-voting and why it
3
4 might not be based upon his knowledge and experience.
THE COURT: Well, I don't see that he has any
5
6 expertise. I'm having trouble with that. You know, he's done some things and he's got some ideas; that doesn't
7
8 make him an expert. He doesn't have any training; he
9 doesn't have any background; he doesn't have -- I'll let
10 you ask the questions and we'll see where we go.
11 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Uh-huh.
12
13 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Did you review the results of the 2018
14
15 election in Georgia?
A. Yes.
16
17 Q. And describe for the Court where you looked
18 at and what you reviewed.
A. Yeah. So I examined the totals that were
19
20 cast for eight ticket races that were on the ballot from governor down to I believe commissioner of
21
22 labor. And then I examined the under-vote that took place for each of those races to try to see, you
23
24 know, what that trend looked like and that's when I
25 first saw, you know, the outlier and the anomaly that

1 was the lieutenant governor's vote totals.

2 So what my analysis kind of focused on was trying to figure out exactly what -- where that

3

4 under-vote came from, what counties, why -- you know, why vote the way it did and what kind of reasons, you

5

6 know, that could possibly, you know, entail for it.
Q. And the information that you received came

7

8 from the Secretary of State's databases; is that

9 right?

10 A. Correct. Yes.

11 Q. And in the course of your work, do you sometimes also review data from other states?

12

13 A. Correct. Uh-huh.
Q. And do you also review historical data,

14

15 like say, from Georgia?
A. Yes.

16

17 Q. And did you look at the Secretary of

18 State's information in Georgia on older Georgia races?

19

20 A. Yes.
Q. And did you compare the voting trends and

21

22 patterns between this race and prior Georgia races in other states, lieutenant governor races?

23

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. And is that the kind of work that you do

1 for a living also for your clients?
2 A. Yes.
Q. And does that require you to look at
3
4 various databases and to sift a lot of different
information in a systematic way?
5
6 A. Yes.
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, Mr. Brown is leading the
7
8 witness on a lot of these points and he's testifying. I
9 think he needs to ask questions that are directly
10 addressing the witness.
11 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Brill, in your experience, have you
12
13 developed an expertise or understanding of reasons
for under-voting?
14
15 A. Yes.
Q. And without disclosing the reasons for
16
17 under-voting can you testify as to how you gained
18 that experience and expertise?
A. By examining thousands of elections across
19
20 all 50 states.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would like to retender Mr.
21
22 Brill as an expert on under-voting and the particular
reasons for under-voting, and how his understanding of
23
24 under-voting relates to what he's observed in the results
25 which are in evidence of the Georgia election.

1 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, we'll renew our objection on
2 this point as to Mr. Brill; that he certainly could have looked at a lot of numbers, but numbers alone are not
3
4 going to tell you why something is happening and I think that's what Mr. Brown is trying to get at as to Mr.
5
6 Brill's opinion about why and he has not shown Mr. Brill has expertise from just looking at various numbers on that
7
8 point.
9 MR. LINDSEY: I'll also add to that objection, Your
10 Honor. There's been no testimony whatsoever that this
11 individual has any intimate knowledge regarding the details of this particular race that took place;
12
13 therefore, he would not be qualified to be a --
THE COURT: I'm not going to qualify him as an
14
15 expert. I mean, the reasons why, I mean, there are some pretty obvious simple statements in any race, but I have
16
17 trouble with reasons why. You can testify to numbers and
18 all that kind of thing. I don't see -- reasons why, this isn't going. Next question.
19
20 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: P-3?
21
22 MR. BROWN: Yes.
BY MR. BROWN:
23
24 Q. Mr. Brill, is P-3 your affidavit?
25 A. I'm sorry?

1 Q. Is P-3 your --

2 MR. BROWN: Well, Plaintiff's Exhibit 3 your affidavit?

3

4 [Thereupon, the referred-to document was entered into

5

6 evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 3]

7

8 THE WITNESS: Oh, I -- yes.

9 BY MR. BROWN:

10 Q. And without disclosing any contents yet --

11 MR. TYSON: Counsel, could I get a copy?
MR. BROWN: Oh, I'm very sorry. Sorry about that.

12

13 THE COURT: Let me cycle the record to everybody. Various people made proffers of evidence; I did not look

14

15 at any of them. Everything should come in the courtroom.
BY MR. BROWN:

16

17 Q. Mr. Brill, without disclosing the contents

18 of it yet, at the plaintiff's request you prepared a report on the analysis of the 2018 Georgia lieutenant

19

20 governor under-vote; correct?
A. Correct.

21

22 Q. And in the course of that you observed certain numbers that came from the Secretary of

23

24 State; correct?

25 A. Correct.

1 Q. And did you analyze those -- well, did you
2 present those numbers on page two of your report to the Coalition?
3
4 A. Yes. I did.
5 Q. Okay. Let me refer you to Table 1, which
6 is entitled, Total 2018 Under-Vote by Office; do you see that?
7
8 A. Yes.
9 Q. Does that -- is that table an accurate
10 report of information from the Secretary of State's
11 website?
12 A. Yes.
13 Q. And it shows -- and just explain in terms of your terminology these columns. The first column
14
15 is the name of the race; correct?
16 A. Correct.
17 Q. The second one is the total number of votes
18 that that race attracted; correct?
19 A. Correct.
20 Q. And the third is what you call the under-vote; is that right?
21
22 A. Yes.
23 Q. And here -- the way you do it, the under-
24 vote is a comparison between the particular race and
25 the governor's race; is that --

1 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, if I could object. Mr.
2 Brill can testify to what these terms mean instead of Mr. Brown explaining those and he's the leading the witness
3
4 again on that.
MR. BROWN: Sure. I'll withdraw the question. I'm
5
6 just trying to speed it up, Your Honor.
BY MR. BROWN:
7
8 Q. Mr. Brill, what is the under-vote -- what
9 is the calculation for the under-vote?
10 A. I calculated it by comparing the total that
11 was cast for the governor's election and comparing that against the total that was cast for every other
12
13 election [inaudible].
Q. Is the under-vote different than the under-
14
15 vote of the total number of people who voted?
A. It would be, yes. Yes.
16
17 Q. Is that because some people don't even vote
18 for a governor?
A. Correct.
19
20 Q. Okay. Do you have an understanding of how many, just in general terms, people in this election
21
22 did not vote for governor?
A. I would have to double check, but I think
23
24 it was something along the lines of 10 to 15,000,
25 maybe. I don't have an exact number in front of me.

1 Q. Fair to say it would be a small portion of
2 even 1 percent?
A. It's a fraction of a percent.
3
4 Q. And then in the last column it says,
dropout versus governor; do you see that?
5
6 A. Yes.
Q. And that would reflect the percent -- what
7
8 does that -- what is that calculation?
9 A. So that calculation again is just taking
10 the under-vote and -- as the percentage of the total
11 cast for governor. So, example, lieutenant governor,
the under-vote was about 4 percent lower than the
12
13 total that was cast for governor; and then secretary
of state, 1.4 percent and so forth. It's just taking
14
15 the under-vote number there and dividing it by the
total votes cast for governor.
16
17 Q. Let me direct your -- you testified earlier
18 that you reviewed data on the Secretary of State's
website relating to prior elections in Georgia; is
19
20 that correct?
A. Yes.
21
22 Q. And are those presented in Table 2?
A. Yes, they are.
23
24 Q. And if you would just describe to the Court
25 what those rows and columns show.

1 A. Yes. So, it's again the same calculation
2 as in Table 1, except looking at elections from 2002 to 2018, and so essentially in calculating that
3
4 under-vote percentage for each of those races across election -- across each election cycle up to 2018.
5
6 Q. And which has the highest under-vote total?
A. The 2018 lieutenant governor's race.
7
8 Q. And looking at the four prior races, have
9 you calculate the average under-vote for the
10 lieutenant governor's race for those four years?
11 A. Yes. It was around 0.8 percent.
Q. And can you do in your head -- doing the
12
13 math it would be necessary to determine what the under-vote number would be if the historical trend of
14
15 .8 were applied today?
A. If you have a percentage, the under-vote
16
17 would be around 31,532 -- thousand votes on under-
18 vote assumption.
THE COURT: Say that again. Someone coughed.
19
20 THE WITNESS: 31,532, I believe. In that range. I can double check exactly -- that exact amount.
21
22 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. And so rather than the under-vote being --
23
24 let me direct your attention back up to Table 1.
25 A. Uh-huh.

1 Q. Rather than the under-vote being 159,024,
2 if it had tracked the historical trend, it would be that number less 31,000-something; correct?
3
4 A. Correct, yeah.
Q. Okay.
5
6 A. Given all the -- what we know about elections, yes. That would be correct.
7
8 Q. Okay. Now, did you review data from other
9 states lieutenant governor elections?
10 A. I did, yes.
11 Q. And is -- does Chart 1 on the next page of your affidavit show that information?
12
13 A. Yes.
Q. That chart --
14
15 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, did I neglect to give you a copy of this affidavit?
16
17 THE COURT: No. That's not in evidence.
18 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. The long bar here is from California?
19
20 A. Correct.
Q. And did you do research to determine why
21
22 California had a much higher under-vote percentage?
A. Yes.
23
24 Q. And did you -- and what did you find?
25 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object. Mr. Brill's

1 going to be testifying to why California had a higher
2 under-vote percentage. I think under your prior explanation of terms in the opinion that's not an
3
4 appropriate area for him to testify to.
THE COURT: Sustained.
5
6 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Brill, I'm not going to ask you about
7
8 why California looked that way. My next question is,
9 apart from California, what state had the highest
10 under-vote total?
11 A. Georgia.
Q. Let me direct your attention to the next
12
13 page of your analysis.
Did you have the opportunity to compare the
14
15 under-voting in the Georgia race between votes that were cast electronically on the one hand in votes
16
17 that were cast on paper?
18 A. Yes.
Q. And where did you get that information
19
20 from?
A. From the Secretary of State's website.
21
22 They make that county-level canvas available that I use.
23
24 Q. Okay. And does Chart 2, on the next page
25 of your report, show the under-vote percentage by

1 office and vote method?

2 A. It does.
Q. And if you would just explain to the Court,
3

4 first for the lieutenant governor, what those bars
show.
5

6 A. So, I had to look at three here. The first
was Election Day under-vote and what that shows is
7

8 that lieutenant governor was about 4.5 percent rate

9 of under-vote. Advanced voting of the early vote

10 person was around 3.9 percent. But then absentee

11 voting was down to 1 percent.
Q. And is your understanding that advanced
12

13 voting is electronic voting?
A. That's my understanding, yes.
14

15 Q. And so looking at the -- these bars, paper
voting had only a 1 percent under-vote; is that
16

17 correct?

18 A. Yes.
Q. But the electronic had over 4 percent
19

20 under-vote; is that correct?
A. Correct.
21

22 Q. Okay. Did you see that same -- those same
types of numbers when you reviewed the Secretary of
23

24 State race or the attorney general race?

25 A. I did not.

1 Q. And what did you find when you reviewed
2 those?
3 A. When I reviewed those, I found that the
4 under-vote percentages were relatively even across
5 all those methods.
6 THE COURT: Say that again. Way too fast.
7 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I found that the under-vote
8 was relatively consistent percentage-wise across all three
9 methods of voting when you look at Secretary of State,
10 attorney general.
11 BY MR. BROWN:
12 Q. And so, you don't -- to make sure I'm clear
13 on this. So you don't see the disparity between
14 paper votes and electronic votes in the Secretary of
15 State's race or the attorney general's race that you
16 saw in the lieutenant governor's race; is that
17 correct?
18 MR. TYSON: Objection, Your Honor. Leading.
19 THE COURT: Rephrase. Sometimes when there's an
20 objection you don't bother responding and I -- on the
21 record it looks like I didn't give you a chance to speak.
22 Well, I'm giving you a chance to speak; okay?
23 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I'll withdraw the question.
24 THE COURT: Okay.
25 BY MR. BROWN:

1 Q. Let's go through these individual numbers,
2 Mr. Brill. The Secretary of State's office, what was the Election Day percent under-vote?
3
4 A. 1.5 percent.
5 Q. What was the advanced voting percentage
6 under-vote for the Secretary of State?
7 A. 1.3 percent.
8 Q. And then what was the absentee voting
9 percent?
10 A. 1.4 percent.
11 Q. And then for the attorney general, what was the under-vote for Election Day?
12
13 A. 2.2 percent.
14 Q. What was the percentage for advanced
15 voting?
16 A. 1.8 percent.
17 Q. And what was the percentage for absentee
18 voting?
19 A. 1.7 percent.
20 Q. And just to review for the record to make sure I have it in there, on the lieutenant governor's
21
22 race, what was the percentage for Election Day voting?
23
24 A. 4.5.
25 THE COURT: 4.5 percent. 3.9 percent, 1 percent.

1 Got it.

2 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Paying attention.
3

4 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. Thank you, Your Honor.
5

6 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Brill, in your experience have you seen
7

8 a voting pattern ever before that looks like the

9 voting pattern in the vote for the lieutenant

10 governor's race in Georgia?

11 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object that Mr. Brill is not qualified as an expert on this.
12

13 THE COURT: He's not qualified as an expert, Mr. Brown. I'm not -- he's putting numbers -- he can put in
14

15 the numbers. There's other things you can argue.
MR. BROWN: May I ask him what numbers he's never
16

17 seen that resemble this, Your Honor.

18 THE COURT: Well, just -- just watch where you go. I mean, you ask the questions, I'll rule as we go.
19

20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I'll ask this.
BY MR. BROWN:
21

22 Q. And Mr. Brill, don't answer, because there
may be an objection. Have you seen numbers in your
23

24 review of all of the races that you've reviewed in

25 the course of your work that resemble the pattern

1 seen in Georgia, ever?

2 MR. TYSON: And Your Honor, I'll object on that point, as we haven't laid a foundation for any --

3

4 THE COURT: We're dealing with this -- this race. I'm not dealing with California, Nevada, wherever. I'm

5

6 dealing with Georgia.
MR. BROWN: And I would like to ask a question for

7

8 the record.

9 BY MR. BROWN:

10 Q. Were you able to reach any conclusions

11 about whether the data that you reviewed cast a doubt over the accuracy of the final vote? And don't

12

13 answer yet.
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, objection for the same reason

14

15 that was stated.
THE COURT: I mean, you got the information from the

16

17 Secretary of State. Whether it's valid or not; who knows?

18 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, let me --
THE COURT: He -- he got the number [inaudible] that

19

20 what's he testified to. So I'm taking the numbers.
MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor. The reason for asking

21

22 it is that it is, in my view, it is probative and it goes to weight as to whether this witness based upon his

23

24 experience believes that the numbers cast doubt upon the

25 election. That's the reason for asking.

1 THE COURT: No. He can't -- he can't say that one
2 way or the other. That's -- that's -- can be my decision, I'm afraid. Okay.
3
4 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, if I may have just a --
THE COURT: Surely.
5
6 MR. BROWN: -- minute.
BY MR. BROWN:
7
8 Q. Mr. Brill, have you had the opportunity to
9 review the voting numbers that appear in the brief
10 that was filed by Defendant Duncan in this case?
11 A. I believe so, yes.
THE COURT: Didn't you stipulate that at the last
12
13 hearing?
MR. BROWN: Huh?
14
15 THE COURT: Wasn't there a stipulation that those numbers were correct at the last hearing?
16
17 MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.
18 THE COURT: Hmm? I thought there was. I thought we --
19
20 MR. BROWN: Yes.
THE COURT: So you would -- because I remember the
21
22 statement that you wouldn't have to prove them again. So they're stipulated so you can talk about them.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor. Okay.
25 BY MR. BROWN:

1 Q. Mr. Brill, did you identify any misleading
2 or incorrect statements in the brief?
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'm going to object to --
3
4 THE COURT: You stipulated, Mr. Brown.
MR. LINDSEY: I'm going to object to this.
5
6 THE COURT: You stipulated.
MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor, this is --
7
8 THE COURT: You can talk about -- okay. And the
9 language misleading and all this kind of stuff. Are your
10 numbers different from their numbers?
11 MR. LINDSEY: Yes. Your Honor, we're here today to
listen to -- to present evidence to you as to our
12
13 particular points. Both sides have delivered a brief to
you beforehand. I have no intention to simply bring up
14
15 their briefs and, you know, let's stick to the evidence as
presented here today. That being said --
16
17 THE COURT: Get -- get to your --
18 MR. LINDSEY: -- got my math off a little, Your
Honor.
19
20 THE COURT: Get to your point.
MR. LINDSEY: Right.
21
22 THE COURT: If you will, Mr. Brown.
BY MR. BROWN:
23
24 Q. Did you have a chance to review the numbers
25 reflected in Paragraph 1 under District 4?

1 THE COURT: Paragraph 1, Exhibit 4.

2 A. I don't think I have that document with me.
Q. Okay.
3

4 THE COURT: Exhibit A, you mean? You're talking
about Exhibit A?
5

6 MR. BROWN: This is in their brief, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Well, let me see what I'm looking at.
7

8 [inaudible] numbers, okay. Go ahead, see where we're

9 headed.

10 BY MR. BROWN:

11 Q. Looking at brief -- do you have that in
front of you?
12

13 A. I do.
THE COURT: Which brief? There are --
14

15 MR. BROWN: This is Exhibit 4. This is the response
to Defendant Geoff Duncan to plaintiff's renewed discovery
16

17 demands and motion for continuance and --

18 THE COURT: That's not the one I'm looking at. I'm
looking at the numbers on the back of the opposition to
19

20 plaintiff's motion for continuance.
MR. BROWN: Sorry.
21

22 THE COURT: I don't know which other set you got.
MR. BROWN: Okay. Sorry.
23

24 THE COURT: Go ahead. Ask him the question.

25 BY MR. BROWN:

1 Q. Did you have an opportunity to -- if you
2 would look at that -- point number one, the impact of
3 third-party candidates; do you see that?
4 A. I don't have that in front of me at the
5 moment. I remember going over it but that's not the
6 brief that's in front of me.
7 Q. Okay. Okay.
8 MR. BROWN: I don't have any further questions.
9 Thank you.
10 MR. TYSON: Very briefly, Your Honor.
11 RECROSS-EXAMINATION
12 OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL
13
14
15 BY MR. TYSON:
16 Q. Mr. Brill, when you looked at the historic
17 patterns, the numbers you testified to earlier, the
18 past Georgia races, did you look at any races for
19 Public Service Commission?
20 A. I did not, no.
21 Q. And you know that's a statewide race in
22 Georgia; correct?
23 A. I do, yes.
24 Q. Did you look at any races where Georgia had
25 a U.S. Senate race at the same time as a governor's

1 race?

2 A. I did not.
Q. Thank you.
3

4 MR. LINDSEY: Just a few questions, sir.

5

6 RECROSS-EXAMINATION
OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL
7

8

9 BY MR. LINDSEY:

10 Q. Did you in any way do any review of the

11 conduct of the campaign in the closing days of the campaign?
12

13 A. I did not.
Q. Okay. You, as someone who's been a
14

15 political consultant does, that the conduct in the campaign can often change how voters vote in the last
16

17 days; correct?

18 A. Right.
Q. Okay. A candidate, and sometimes that
19

20 changes the numbers between early voting and the day of the voting; correct?
21

22 A. Correct.
Q. And sometimes it can even change whether or
23

24 not voters choose to vote in a particular race on

25 Election Day that earlier voters may vote for;

1 correct?

2 A. That would -- I mean, that would, I suppose.

3

4 Q. Yeah, fair enough. That would [inaudible]. For instance, if you had a particular candidate

5

6 running for lieutenant governor as a Democrat and in the closing days of the campaign she had several very

7

8 negative press articles about her firm, about her

9 company that she headed up, in which there was

10 allegation of racial discrimination, [inaudible]

11 racial discrimination, that would have a negative impact on Democratic voters in particular; correct?

12

13 A. It could, yeah.
Q. It probably would dampen their enthusiasm

14

15 to vote for her; correct?
A. It could, but I don't know the context, so

16

17 I'd have to [inaudible]. It would take quite a bit

18 of effort, thought to --
Q. Okay.

19

20 A. -- [inaudible] votes.
Q. And you don't know the context because you

21

22 didn't follow the details of this race; correct?
A. Correct.

23

24 Q. Okay. And you have no knowledge as -- for

25 instance, as to tracking polling that took place in

1 the closing days of the campaign; correct?

2 A. Correct.
Q. Now Ms. Amico -- now, you sort of focused
3

4 on the total number of votes that were cast. Ms.
Amico did not receive the least number of votes for a
5

6 Democrat in this -- in the 2018 -- in statewide races
in 2018; correct?
7

8 A. Correct.

9 Q. As a matter of fact, her votes were

10 somewhere in the middle of all the votes along the

11 various folks who ran statewide in Georgia who were
Democrats; correct?
12

13 A. I'd have to look into that [inaudible] --
Q. Okay.
14

15 A. -- [inaudible].
Q. All right. So -- but you didn't -- but you
16

17 are familiar that she did sort of run -- one the

18 better term, the middle one, the pack among
Democrats; correct?
19

20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, this is not relevant. The
claim is that there were under-votes for this election,
21

22 not that one particular candidate received less votes.
Obviously, it's the total number of votes that are
23

24 claimed.

25 THE COURT: He went into what the attorney general

1 did, what the secretary of state, so I think -- you did,
2 so he's entitled to respond to that.
MR. LINDSEY: Yeah.
3
4 THE WITNESS: I didn't specifically analyze performance in this analysis. It was mostly just actual
5
6 vote totals.
BY MR. LINDSEY:
7
8 Q. Okay. For instance, you're not aware that
9 the Democratic candidates for agriculture, school
10 board superintendent, Public Service Commission, and
11 commissioner of insurance, Democratic candidates all received fewer votes than Ms. Amico in this
12
13 particular race?
A. And again, I'd have to double-check.
14
15 Q. Okay. And in fact, for two other races, those being another Public Service Commission and
16
17 Labor Commission race, Ms. Amico was within 8,000 or
18 less votes of the Democrats in those races; are you aware of that?
19
20 A. Again, I have to study that independent study --
21
22 Q. Okay.
A. -- candidate performance.
23
24 Q. All right. In fact, the only races in
25 which she received fewer votes than other Democrats

1 was Ms. Abrams' race, Mr. Barrow's race, and Mr.
2 Charles Bailey's race for attorney general. Are you aware of that?
3
4 A. Again, I'd have to study --
Q. Okay.
5
6 A. -- I didn't study candidate performance.
Q. All right. Even though you haven't studied
7
8 the performance, you are aware of the fact that Ms.
9 Abrams was a very high-profile candidate for
10 governor?
11 A. Correct.
Q. Okay. And are you familiar with the fact
12
13 that Mr. Barrow was a very high-profile candidate for Secretary of State on the Democratic side?
14
15 A. I'm not aware.
Q. Okay. Are you aware of the fact, for
16
17 instance, that he had been prior to this race a five-
18 term Congressman in Georgia?
A. I was not aware of that.
19
20 Q. Okay. And voter ID is very important in regards to individuals -- in regards to folks --
21
22 getting folks to vote for you; correct?
A. Voter ID?
23
24 Q. Yeah.
25 A. I'm sorry. I don't quite understand that,

1 the link.

2 THE COURT: Explain that application. I think you mean one thing and he's thinking another thing.

3

4 MR. LINDSEY: Okay.
BY MR. LINDSEY:

5

6 Q. You said you looked at other races in Georgia's past. Did you look at the number of new

7

8 voters that were -- that cast votes in 2018 versus

9 previous years?

10 A. No, I did not.

11 Q. Okay. So, are you aware of the fact that there was almost -- where there was a -- possibly a

12

13 three-and-a-half-time increase in new voters?
A. That I -- I don't know that exact number

14

15 but that would not surprise me.
Q. Okay. And based on your experience as a

16

17 political consultant, you know, that when it comes to

18 new voter, particularly down-ballot, it takes a lot more education to try to educate those new voters

19

20 than someone who's voted year in and year out; correct?

21

22 A. That's right.
MR. LINDSEY: No further questions.

23

24 THE COURT: [inaudible]?

25 MS. BURWELL: No questions, Your Honor.

1 [inaudible]

2 THE COURT: [inaudible]

3

4 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL

5

6 BY MR. BROWN:

7

8 Q. You testified in response to a question by

9 Mr. Lindsey, about whether you looked at the

10 lieutenant governor total in a race in which there

11 was a U.S. Senate race and there was a race in the governor's race; do you recall that?

12

13 A. Yes.
Q. And let me direct your attention to the

14

15 year 2014 and was there a Senate -- a U.S. Senate race in Georgia in 2014 involving David Perdue?

16

17 A. I believe so, yes.

18 Q. And what was the under-vote total in 2014?
A. Between -- I'm sorry?

19

20 Q. What was the under-vote for lieutenant governor in 2014?

21

22 A. The percentage?
Q. Yes.

23

24 A. 0.8 percent.

25 Q. Okay. You were asked some questions, and I

1 want to explore your answers to the questions on
2 cross-examination a little bit more. In particular, you were asked to give your opinion on some matters.
3
4 Now, you were asked whether under-voting could be caused by new voters being -- not having enough
5
6 attention on the -- about it; do you recall that testimony?
7
8 A. Yes.
9 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object to that. I don't
10 believe that's the question Mr. Brill was asked, but the
11 question was --
THE COURT: He didn't -- he didn't phrase it that
12
13 way. I will let you ask a question --
MR. BROWN: Okay.
14
15 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. In that regard, in your experience, what
16
17 are the more common reasons for under-voting?
18 THE COURT: No. That's -- that's not the appropriate question.
19
20 MR. TYSON: Yeah.
THE COURT: The question is about new voters. You
21
22 can ask him about new voters.
MR. BROWN: Just for the record, Your Honor, the
23
24 question called for the same type of expertise that my
25 question calls for.

1 THE COURT: Sir, I said you can -- you can only go
2 into what he talked about as to new voters.
MR. BROWN: Okay.
3
4 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Are -- do new voters sometimes vote less in
5
6 campaigns for various reasons?
A. It depends on the context of the election,
7
8 but new voters -- you know, we don't find any sort
9 of, you know, when they under-vote generally -- or it
10 can be -- for starters, we don't know exactly who
11 under-votes. I mean, I think that's point number
one. We don't know that. We don't have any way of
12
13 getting that information.
It's speculation when we see high turnout
14
15 elections and that encourages more infrequent newer
voters that come to the polls and if we see higher
16
17 rates of under-voting, that is sort of, you know,
18 something that we consider, but generally when we see
that under-vote it's very consistent, and so it
19
20 starts at one level at the top of the ballot and then
it goes down, then that under-vote kind of -- it will
21
22 increase as you go down the ballot.
I've never seen a type of under-vote where
23
24 voters, who generally don't know who these candidates
25 are anyway, decides to skip one race and then start

1 voting for commission of agriculture at higher rates
2 or commissioner of insurance at higher rates. That would be the first time I've ever seen something like
3
4 those.
Q. Thank you. You were asked by Mr. Lindsey a
5
6 number of questions of whether there were some other candidates who had received fewer votes than Ms.
7
8 Amico; do you recall that?
9 A. Yes.
10 Q. And in fact he actually had better
11 questions to ask and one would have been, isn't it true that the libertarian candidate got way more
12
13 votes -- way fewer votes than Ms. Amico in the governor's race?
14
15 A. I believe so, yeah.
Q. And so is it germane to your understanding
16
17 of the voting patterns that there might be some
18 candidates that got fewer votes down ballot or up ballot if they're third-party candidates or not
19
20 popular? Do you have anything to do with that?
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, gets back to the why. It
21
22 gets back to the why. He can testify as to what, but he's now entering into the why again. He's trying to get his
23
24 witness as an expert to the back door. He couldn't do it
25 through the front, he shouldn't be allowed to do it

1 through the back. [inaudible]

2 MR. BROWN: I'll withdraw the question, Your Honor.
BY MR. BROWN:

3

4 Q. I want to get back to the numbers because
you were asked about other down ballot races by Mr.

5

6 Lindsey, did you review the numbers on the state
representative seats up for election in 2018?

7

8 A. I did, yes.

9 Q. And did -- what did you find with respect

10 to whether -- about the voting patterns with respect

11 to those contests?
A. So, in those contests I examined the number

12

13 of votes that were cast for state representative to
try to establish the baseline in an election that I

14

15 know usually has, you know, high rates of under-
voting. What I found in that case was that there

16

17 were about a thousand precincts statewide where there

18 were actually fewer votes cast for lieutenant
governor than there were for state representative,

19

20 which again is odd.
Q. Let me direct your -- well, did you --

21

22 THE COURT: [inaudible]
THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I'm sorry. I'm sorry, Your

23

24 Honor.

25 BY MR. BROWN:

1 Q. Did you determine in how many of the
2 precinct -- precincts the Democratic candidate for state representative received all of the votes cast?
3
4 A. One -- yeah. Yes. 137 precincts.
Q. Okay. And in those -- did you determine
5
6 whether in those precincts --
THE COURT: 137 precincts?
7
8 THE WITNESS: Correct. 137.
9 THE COURT: What counties?
10 THE WITNESS: Across the state.
11 THE COURT: Are those [inaudible] 137 precincts in the whole state?
12
13 THE WITNESS: No, no. There are about 137 precincts where the candidate for state representative for the
14
15 Democratic --
THE COURT: Got more votes --
16
17 THE WITNESS: Got 100 percent of the votes, which
18 goes back to my points in the first part of the paper, which generally when a race is --
19
20 THE COURT: Okay. Don't go -- don't go --
MR. BROWN: Sorry. Thanks.
21
22 THE COURT: Because that'll get [inaudible].
MR. BROWN: Okay.
23
24 BY MR. BROWN:
25 Q. Did you determine in the races for state

1 representative in how many precincts the state
2 representative race got more votes than the lieutenant governor race?
3
4 A. Yes.
Q. And what did you find?
5
6 A. I found a thousand -- 1,012 precincts; 38 percent of the precincts statewide when the state
7
8 representative had more votes cast than the
9 lieutenant governor.
10 Q. Did you have the opportunity -- or did you
11 undertake to -- you were talking about other races. Did you compare the lieutenant governor race to the
12
13 race for attorney general?
A. I compared. Yes.
14
15 Q. And what did you find?
A. So in that case I found that there were
16
17 much fewer -- I'd have to go, I'd have to see the
18 exact number, but it was --
Q. Let me correct that. My question was
19
20 poorly framed.
A. 410.
21
22 Q. Did you compare the votes for state representative and attorney general?
23
24 A. Yes.
25 Q. And what did you find there?

1 A. What I found there, there were about 410
2 precincts statewide where there were more votes cast for state representative for -- than lieutenant
3
4 governor.
Q. Okay. Thank you. [inaudible]
5
6 MR. TYSON: Just briefly, Your Honor.
7
8 CROSS-EXAMINATION
9 OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL
10
11 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. Mr. Brill, you'd agree with me, wouldn't
12
13 you, that the 2018 election in Georgia was a very high turnout election, right?
14
15 A. Yes.
Q. And I asked you a question that I had not
16
17 worded quite correctly previously. Did you in any of
18 your analyses look at a race where there was a governor's race and no U.S. Senate race happening at
19
20 the same time, like what happened in 2018?
A. A governor's race with no U.S. Senate race?
21
22 Q. Yes.
A. I didn't look at that specifically.
23
24 Q. Okay. Mr. Brown asked you about the
25 precincts you analyzed for state representative; did

1 you break out those based on the DRE machines versus
2 absentee by mail or early voting categories, or did you look totally -- solely at precinct totals?
3
4 A. For this I looked at solely precinct totals.
5
6 Q. Okay. Thank you.
MR. TYSON: That's all I have.
7
8 MR. LINDSEY: Just real briefly.
9
10 CROSS-EXAMINATION
11 OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL
12
13 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. You were aware that in the AG's race there
14
15 was an incumbent running; correct?
A. Yes.
16
17 Q. And in the lieutenant governor's race there
18 were two newcomers coming -- running; correct?
A. Correct.
19
20 MR. LINDSEY: No further questions.
THE COURT: Mr. Brown, anything else?
21
22 MR. BROWN: I do not have anything further.
THE COURT: Okay. The witness may be excused.
23
24 Whatever he says. He's in charge of all that.
25 [inaudible].

1 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, at this point we have another
2 --
THE COURT: [inaudible] Are you taking notes on the
3
4 laptop?
THE NEWS REPORTER: Yes.
5
6 THE COURT: Did you comply with Rule 22?
THE NEWS REPORTER: Yes. [inaudible]
7
8 THE COURT: [inaudible]
9 THE NEWS REPORTER: Jordan [inaudible].
10 THE COURT: Who?
11 THE NEWS REPORTER: Jordan [inaudible], Your Honor.
MALE: [inaudible]
12
13 THE COURT: Okay.
MALE: Mr. [inaudible] did.
14
15 THE COURT: Making me nervous. Go ahead.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, logistically we have another
16
17 long witness. It would be convenient to have that go more
18 smoothly if we took our lunch break now, but of course
I'll defer to your schedule.
19
20 THE COURT: Anybody have an objection to taking lunch
now and coming back at 1:00? Let's take lunch now and
21
22 we'll back at 1:00.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
23
24
25 [Off the record at 11:41 a.m., and back on the record

1 at 1:00 p.m.]

2 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, in order to keep things

3 moving along, I just want to make sure I get all my
witnesses here or are here. This is our last witness.

5 THE COURT: You need time to do something?

6 MR. LINDSEY: No, no. I just want to make sure -- if

7 this is his last witness, I want to make sure I have

8 everybody here ready to go.

9 MR. BROWN: It depends on the ruling that she will

10 make. I have one live witness and one witness who I would
like to testify by telephone if the Court allows. Just to

12 give you an idea.

13 MR. LINDSEY: Okay, that's fine. I just want to --

14 I'll call my folks and make sure everybody's here. That's
all I wanted to know.

16 THE COURT: Okay. You understand that I cannot see

17 the clock from here because it's dark back there. I
cannot see that clock. I see the circle and I see the

19 exit sign but I can't see the hands from back here because
it's black; there's no light back there. So I have to go

21 by my own watch, which is not --

22 MR. LINDSEY: Well, let the record reflect that Mr.

23 Brown has already insulted my eyesight once today.

24 Therefore [inaudible].

25

1 THE COURT: Ready when you're ready.

2 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, two introductory items.
First, we would like to tender into evidence Defendant
3

4 Robyn A. Crittenden's notice of limited offer -- proffer
of evidence. And let me explain this. The -- when she
5

6 was a party, the Secretary of State submitted this as a
proffer of evidence and it is the testimony of a witness
7

8 in the Curling versus Kemp case, and so I'm going to

9 accept their proffer and I'm tendering it into evidence.

10 And so it --

11 THE COURT: Any comment on that?
MR. LINDSEY: I'm going to object to it, Your Honor.
12

13 The Secretary of State is no longer in the case and we
haven't had a chance to cross-examine that witness.
14

15 THE COURT: It's hearsay. I'm absolute hearsay; I'm
not going to allow it into evidence. And as I said, I
16

17 didn't read it. I didn't read any of the stuff that was -

18 - I'm sorry. I'm old school. I believe that the evidence
should come out in the courtroom; there ought to be a rite
19

20 of cross-examination.
I happen to believe in the Constitution because I
21

22 wasn't raised with one; okay? So I'm partial to it. I'm
not going to let that in. It's not evidence.
23

24 MR. BROWN: May I respond just briefly, Your Honor?

25 THE COURT: You may respond.

1 MR. BROWN: This is evidence that the other side
2 introduced into evidence.
THE COURT: I don't -- I don't care who introduced
3
4 it. It's not admissible. It's hearsay. And one party
who's not in the case introduced it, the rest [inaudible].
5
6 I'm not going to allow it.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. I'll go ahead and
7
8 mark it for --
9 THE COURT: You can put it in the record as a
10 proffer. Just --
11 MR. BROWN: -- as a proffer and proffer it as
evidence.
12
13 THE COURT: -- it's hearsay. They have a right, as
Mr. Lindsay said, to cross-examine it, and they didn't. So
14
15 --
MR. BROWN: That will -- what number is that? That's
16
17 number 4. Thank you, Your Honor.
18 The next witness we would like to call is Philip
Stark and Professor Stark is a professor at Berkeley and
19
20 we would like to introduce his testimony, with your
Court's permission, by telephone. And so, we would move
21
22 for that --
THE COURT: What does the defense say?
23
24 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, that's usually something
25 that's worked out before. There is a lot to be learned by

1 having the witness live to be able to cross-examine them
2 live right here. Now there are circumstances in which the parties do agree to do things by telephone. I've done it
3
4 a hundred times, if not more.
But this was not something that was worked out
5
6 previously. We would prefer to be able to cross-examine someone, and I'm sure Mr. Tyson would as well be able to
7
8 see someone in the eye to cross-examine them directly. So
9 we would object.
10 THE COURT: Mr. Tyson?
11 MR. TYSON: Yes, Your Honor. I'm pulling up the Rule right now but we'd be in the same boat. We'd like to have
12
13 -- this hearing has been on the books for over a month. We know it was going to be; we want to talk to Professor
14
15 Stark and cross-examine him live.
THE COURT: This case actually was set back December
16
17 5th. I'm reading things that say that's not so but it is
18 so. It was set November 5th [sic]; everybody's know- -- December 5th. Everybody's known about it.
19
20 I'm not going to do a witness by telephone. Part of -- it isn't words they say sometimes; it's the mannerisms.
21
22 And I've done them by Skype. I did some dear old ladies who've been ripped with that -- grandson calls and says
23
24 he's in the jail, those kinds of cases.
25 And we did those by Skype, which is much better and

1 you've got a full view and you can see the witnesses. But
2 I'm not going to do this one by telephone.
3 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. If we have the
4 opportunity, could we set up a Skype tomorrow for this witness?
5
6 THE COURT: It's up to you. I'm not telling you. I'm saying what I won't do; I'm not going to tell you what
7
8 to do.
9 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, we
10 would call --
11 THE COURT: And the defense haven't been heard on that. They should --
12
13 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, our position is, we're here today. [inaudible]
14
15 THE COURT: Okay. Next witness.
16 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, we would call Matthew
17 Bernhard as a witness.
18 THE COURT: Okay.
19 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I apologize. My client has
20 Skype on her laptop and --
21 THE COURT: Has what on her laptop?
22 MR. BROWN: Has Skype on her laptop and we would move to -- for -- to allow us to examine Mr. Stark by Skype.
23
24 THE COURT: What says the defense?
25 MR. TYSON: Same objection, Your Honor.

1 MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, I would ask the Court to
2 look at Superior Court Rule 9.2 with respect to video conferencing, which requires any request for video
3
4 conference be done by notice of intention prior to the [inaudible]
5
6 THE COURT: Rule 9.2?
MS. BURWELL: Yes.
7
8 THE COURT: At this time I've got the computer where
9 I'm supposed to be able to get into it, and I can see.
10 Let me look at it.
11 Okay. Let's see. I'll get it; just a minute. I've got LexisNexus. It's Superior Court Rule 9.2?
12
13 MS. BURWELL: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. Let's see. [inaudible]. Okay.
14
15 Yeah, Rule -- yeah. 9.2(c) says that you have to file as of your intent 30 days prior to the date scheduled and
16
17 then we have to have a hearing on the matter. That's what
18 it says.
MR, BROWN: Your Honor, with all due respect, I
19
20 believe that the -- some of these rules like the jury demand need to be read in context of the nature of this
21
22 proceeding. The nature of this proceeding is an accelerated process.
23
24 I understand that Your Honor did schedule this on
25 December 5th. Nevertheless, given the challenges

1 preparing a case of this complexity, we would ask for your
2 indulgence to -- as an exception to that rule to allow the testimony.
3
4 THE COURT: Mr. Lindsey?
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we've had several weeks to
5
6 prepare for trial and would have been available to do it by deposition, notice the person that's necessary to go --
7
8 to take a deposition. The plaintiffs have chosen not to
9 do so, but instead to do so at trial to set up [inaudible]
10 procedure. So we state our objections.
11 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, [inaudible] declaration he filed for him much earlier in the case as recently as 10
12
13 days ago, possibly earlier than that, opportunity to bring that before the Court [inaudible].
14
15 THE COURT: Well, I understand. We're in an expedited situation and we've had some service and other
16
17 issues. But we did have a hearing on January 9 and I
18 think that's the notice should have been given. Given the nature of the case I understand bending the rules because
19
20 of where we are.
But to suddenly walk in in the afternoon of trial and
21
22 say I want to call somebody by Skype or by phone is not in compliance with the rules at all. And so, yeah, if you
23
24 got up on January 9th and said you were going to do it,
25 then I'd given you a chance to be heard and we'd gone

1 through it. But I'm not going to violate the rule at this
2 time.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. And I believe --
3
4 THE COURT: What are you doing, ma'am? Go sit down, please.
5
6 MR. BROWN: I had lost something. I have a copy now. I'm just looking for my copies.
7
8 THE COURT: If you need to ask for something you can.
9 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. We would call --
10 THE COURT: Matthew Bernhard.
11 MR. BROWN: Yes.
Please raise your right hand. Do you promise to tell
12
13 the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?
THE WITNESS: I do.
14
15
Thereupon:
16
17
18 MATTHEW BERNHARD
19
20 was called as a witness by the Petitioner; and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
21
22
DIRECT EXAMINATION
23
24 OF MATTHEW BERNHARD
25

1 BY MR. BROWN:

2 Q. Please sit down and state your name for the record.

3

4 A. My name is Matthew Bernhard.
Q. Mr. Bernhard, what do you do?

5

6 A. I am a Ph.D. candidate at the University of Michigan studying computer science.

7

8 Q. Have you been engaged by the plaintiffs as

9 an expert in this case?

10 A. Yes.

11 THE COURT: You're a PhD candidate where?
THE WITNESS: At the University of Michigan.

12

13 THE COURT: Michigan. Okay.
THE WITNESS: Yes.

14

15 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Bernhard, I would like to go over your

16

17 qualifications, and do you have a CV that you have

18 prepared?
A. Yes.

19

20 Q. I'd like to briefly go over your education and experience. You -- where'd you go to college?

21

22 A. I went to Georgia Tech and graduated from Rice University.

23

24 Q. Okay. And what did you study at Rice?

25 A. Computer science.

1 Q. And did you go to graduate school?

2 A. Yes.
Q. And what did you study at graduate school?
3

4 A. Computer science.
Q. And that was --
5

6 THE COURT: You're going to have to speak up because
you're mumbling and I can't hear you. I got the Georgia
7

8 Tech bit for reasons of my allegiance but what was the

9 rest of it?

10 THE WITNESS: Rice University.

11 THE COURT: Rice. Okay.
THE WITNESS: Yes.
12

13 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. And then did you attend graduate school?
14

15 A. Yes. At the University of Michigan.
Q. And what did you study at the University of
16

17 Michigan?

18 A. Computer science.
Q. And did you obtain a degree at Michigan?
19

20 A. Yes, I got a Master's degree.
Q. And did you continue your studies at
21

22 Michigan?
A. I am currently still there.
23

24 Q. And how far are you along in your Ph.D.

25 work?

1 A. I'm -- this is my fourth year.

2 Q. Okay. And so if you graduated or obtained your degree, when would that be?

3

4 A. Probably about a year from now.

Q. Okay. And are you working on a

5

6 dissertation?

A. Yes, sir.

7

8 Q. And what's the subject matter of your

9 dissertation?

10 A. Election integrity and election security.

11 Q. Okay. Now have you --

THE WITNESS: Security.

12

13 THE COURT: Okay. [inaudible]

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.

14

15 THE COURT: [inaudible]

BY MR. BROWN:

16

17 Q. Have you -- do you teach?

18 A. Yes, sir. I taught the undergraduate cyber security course, introductory course, and I've also

19

20 recently conducted a -- an undergraduate research seminar in election security.

21

22 Q. Okay. Have you ever published anything?

A. Yes. I have published several papers

23

24 pertaining to election security and, you know,

25 election audits, and in several other things that

1 aren't related to election [inaudible].

2 Q. I mean, are these -- have you published peer review articles?

3

4 A. I guess almost every article I publish has been peer review.

5

6 Q. Okay. Have you -- outside of your academic work, have you worked with election systems?

7

8 A. Yes, sir. I -- in addition to working for

9 Verified Voting, who collects data about elections, I

10 worked with election officials all over the country

11 helping run audits, you know. And helping them better secure their election [inaudible].

12

13 Q. Let me go over your work experience, also then. You mentioned Verified Voting. You -- are you

14

15 -- do you work for them now?
A. Currently, yes, as a consultant.

16

17 Q. Okay. And what sort of work do you do for

18 Verified Voting?
A. I collect data about current -- the current

19

20 generation of election technology and its security properties, what kinds of operating systems they run

21

22 and, you know, what program languages it's programmed in and so forth.

23

24 Q. And what employment did you have

25 immediately before Verified Voting?

1 A. So before that I did a summer internship at
2 a company named CloudPlayer and then before that I was at Microsoft Research.
3
4 Q. And what did you do for Microsoft?
5 A. I researched Trusted Boot, which is a
6 particular way that computers can ensure the application if the running is the right one.
7
8 Q. Did you say boot?
9 A. Yeah. Boot.
10 Q. Okay.
11 A. With specific applications for voting machines.
12
13 Q. And why was Microsoft -- what's the connection between voting machines and working for
14
15 Microsoft?
16 A. Well, so most of the machines run through
17 Windows operating system, the ones in Georgia do, and
18 so they have a vested interest in making sure that, you know, they can support it and develop technology
19
20 scoring.
21 Q. And did your work at Microsoft relate to
22 the operating systems that are used generally or just for voting systems or both?
23
24 A. Generally; it's all Windows. I was mostly
25 focused on the more recent versions of Windows,

1 Windows 8 and Windows 10, both of which are used in
2 the current generation of voting technology.
3 Q. And skipping ahead, is that the voting
4 system used in Georgia --
5 A: No.
6 Q. -- the operating system used in Georgia?
7 A. No.
8 Q. Okay.
9 A. It is a -- the -- that operating system is
10 about 15 years down the line from the one that's used
11 in Georgia voting machines.
12 Q. Have you ever been qualified as an expert
13 in a case?
14 A. Yes, sir. I'm an expert -- qualified expert
15 witness in the Curling v. Kemp case in the Northern
District of Georgia.
16
17 Q. It --
18 A. [inaudible] federal court.
19 Q. And has -- have your opinions, your expert
20 opinions ever been cited by a court with approval or
indicating that the court does not disagree with your
21
22 conclusions?
23 A. Yes. In one of the rulings in the
24 [inaudible] and the Curling v. Kemp case she did cite
25 my opinions in the affirmative.

1 Q. Do you have either academic or hands-on
2 experience and knowledge about the operations generally of a DRE machine?
3
4 A. Yes, sir. I have worked in many different ways with DREs.
5
6 Q. And have you worked with the Diebold DREs?
A. Yes. We have about ten of them in my lab
7
8 in Michigan.
9 Q. And you have access to those?
10 A. Yes.
11 Q. And did you obtain those machines legally?
A. Yes. On Ebay.
12
13 Q. Are you able to tear it apart, study all the components?
14
15 A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Do you have knowledge and experience
16
17 through your training and your education about
18 whether DREs as a class of voting systems are vulnerable or not vulnerable?
19
20 A. Yes, I do.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor --
21
22 Q. And how have you --
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I would at this point
23
24 object. The questions today are not -- whether or not the
25 system could potentially be vulnerable and whether or not

1 some other system would be better. That's a decision
2 that's now being debated at the capitol. The questions today are whether the system was in fact compromised.
3
4 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I don't -- I'm not quite sure what the form of that objection was.
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: We object to the relevancy. I mean, I'm trying to keep the case focused on what not that was
7
8 in fact a compromise of the system.
9 MR. BROWN: Okay. Your Honor, I can ask him more
10 questions that establish the -- that connect the dots.
11 THE COURT: Do that.
BY MR. BROWN:
12
13 Q. Would the background vulnerability of a system like these DREs increase the likelihood that
14
15 it's defective today in Georgia?
A. Yes.
16
17 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, [inaudible] background
18 vulnerabilities [inaudible] foundation yet for what vulnerability is or [inaudible] this thing. If we're
19
20 trying to qualify him, that's one thing; but if we're trying get into the facts I think that's something else.
21
22 MR. BROWN: May I respond, Your Honor?
THE COURT: Well, whether the machines generally are
23
24 not very good doesn't really matter in this case. I'm
25 going to let you, if you're using it, to lay a foundation

1 to get somewhere, I'm going to let you do that for a
2 little bit.
But the fact that the machines, all wherever they may
3
4 be used, aren't the best is not relevant --
MR. BROWN: Your Honor --
5
6 THE COURT: -- in this case is the one [inaudible].
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, if I may. The witness'
7
8 testimony is different than what Your Honor said. What
9 his testimony was that it --
10 THE COURT: I'm going to let you ask that question;
11 okay?
MR. BROWN: Okay. Thank you.
12
13 THE COURT: I was just trying to give you some
direction.
14
15 MR. BROWN: Okay.
THE COURT: If not, they can get exercise jumping up
16
17 and down.
18 MR. BROWN: Okay. I want to get -- to focus your
attention more closely on the machines that were used in
19
20 this case.
BY MR. BROWN:
21
22 Q. Do you have any experience or knowledge or
expertise about whether Georgia's systems are more
23
24 vulnerable or less vulnerable than these machines are
25 generally?

1 A. Yes, I do.

2 Q. What's the basis for your expertise in that?

3

4 A. I reviewed -- so in addition to my knowledge about the Diebold voting system, in

5

6 particular, I reviewed Georgia's use of the system. I've been into, you know, particularly the Fulton

7

8 County election preparations and multiple times.

9 I've reviewed testimony from Michael Barnes, Rick

10 Barron, and other election officials in the state of

11 Georgia and have been observing Georgia's election since 2016.

12

13 Q. Have you -- in the course of your education and your experience, have you -- do you have

14

15 experience and expertise about problems, specific types of problems, that DREs can experience, Georgia

16

17 or elsewhere?

18 A. Yes.
Q. Would that include software problems?

19

20 A. Yes.
Q. And hardware problems also? You need to

21

22 say, yes.
A. Yes.

23

24 Q. Okay. Have you developed -- and now I'm

25 going to explore this in a little bit greater detail,

1 but have you developed an -- do you have experience
2 or developed expertise in how to detect problems with DREs forensically?
3
4 A. Yes. In fact, in 2017 I published a paper looking at how to do that with the machines.
5
6 Q. And when I use the word -- we use the word, forensically, when you use it -- and the reason I'm
7
8 asking this is that to many it might have connotation
9 of a criminal conduct; do you follow me?
10 A. Yes.
11 Q. In your field when you use the word, forensic investigation, do you mean to imply that
12
13 you're looking necessarily for criminal activity?
A. No. Typically it's a post hoc application
14
15 of the scientific method to determine what happens, whether it be criminal or not.
16
17 Q. But it's looks -- is it looking for the
18 causes of a mistake or a problem?
A. Yes, and to try to understand how that
19
20 problem came to be.
Q. Do you have any expertise in determining or
21
22 identifying what I would call the telltale signs from the outside as to whether there are system defects on
23
24 the inside?
25 A. Yes. See, for example, that paper that I

1 just mentioned.

2 Q. Okay. And have you -- do you have real hands-on experience with DREs trying to determine

3 whether and how they are defected?

4 A. Yes. In fact, we just talked to some

5 undergraduates how to do a forensic analysis of the Diebold DREs in particular.

6 Q. Do you have any experience in post-election

7 auditing?

8 A. Yes. I assisted the State of Michigan and

9 observed the audits in Colorado, the responding audits in Colorado.

10 Q. And what is a risk limiting audit?

11 A. The risk limiting audit is a statistical

12 way where you define hypothesis tests and you use that to sample a small subset of ballots and answer

13 the question, is the reporting elections all correct

14 or not.

15 Q. And just -- does your work -- does that

16 work require knowledge and use of methods of statistics?

17 A. Yes, it does. In particular, the development of new [inaudible] paper we just

18 published.

19 Q. Okay.

1 MR. BROWN: And, Your Honor, I would like to qualify
2 and tender Mr. Bernhard as an expert in DRE operation, DRE vulnerability, Georgia; and generally, the internal
3
4 mechanics and software of the DREs, problems with DREs, how to detect those problems, the telltale signs of those
5
6 problems --
THE COURT: Okay, you're drawing a huge, huge list of
7
8 stuff. Let's be a little bit -- yeah, I'm not going to go
9 through and say yes, no, yes, no. What you're wanting to
10 qualify him as an expert generally in what, DRE?
11 MR. BROWN: DREs, their problems, their repair, how to detect them, and how to fix them.
12
13 THE COURT: Okay. You keep adding to it. What say the defendant?
14
15 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, a few more questions for Bernhard.
16
17
18 CROSS-EXAMINATION
OF MATTHEW BERNHARD
19
20 BY MR. TYSON:
21
22 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Bernhard. My name is Bryan Tyson. I represent the Gwinnett County Board
23
24 of Registrations and Elections. So you mentioned to
25 Mr. Brown that you worked for Verified Voting. Does

1 Verified Voting have a position on the use of DREs,
2 generally?
A. Yes.
3
4 Q. And what is that position?
A. That DREs are unfit for us in U.S.
5
6 elections.
Q. And it would be correct to say that
7
8 Verified Voting wants to return to an all-paper
9 ballot system; correct?
10 A. A handwritten paper ballot system; correct.
11 Q. You mentioned that you were qualified as
expert in Curling versus Kemp case; do -- can you
12
13 explain to the Court briefly what the issues are in
that case that you're -- gave expert testimony on?
14
15 A. Yeah. The argument is that the inherent
vulnerability of Georgia's DRE voting system puts
16
17 voters in Georgia at a fundamentally different level
18 of -- you know, it abridges their constitutional
rights to a fair election in comparison to other
19
20 states, like Alabama next door
Q. And those claims were all [inaudible] in
21
22 that case? And are the same plaintiffs involved in
that case?
23
24 A. I don't believe so.
25 Q. Okay. And is Mr. Brown counsel for the

1 plaintiffs in that case?

2 A. Yes, sir.
Q. Okay. You mentioned you had several DREs
3

4 in your lab; do any of those DREs -- the Diebold DREs
specifically, run the same operating system as in use
5

6 in Georgia?
A. Yes, sir.
7

8 Q. Okay. How many of those run the same

9 operating system?

10 A. All of them.

11 Q. Do all of them run the same version of the
software that's used for voting in Diebold machines
12

13 in Georgia?
A. No, sir.
14

15 Q. And so do any of them have the same
software that is use in Georgia for running the
16

17 actual voting system?

18 A. No, sir. They all have the -- a more
recent version.
19

20 Q. So, it would be correct to say that none of
the Diebold machines in your lab are -- will match up
21

22 to any of the Diebold machines that are in Georgia
from a software perspective; correct?
23

24 A. There will be a significant amount of

25 similarities, but it won't be 100 percent the same.

1 Q. Okay. Great. Do you have any experience
2 in the field of election administration?
A. Yes, sir. I'm a trained poll worker in the
3
4 state of Michigan.
Q. Okay. Have you done any personal review of
5
6 any voting machines that were used in the November
2018 general election in Georgia?
7
8 A. [inaudible] personal reviews.
9 Q. So have you physically touched the
10 machines, inspected them, taken any steps to review
11 any machines that were used in the 2018 general
election in Georgia?
12
13 A. No, sir.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, that's compound.
14
15 THE COURT: [inaudible]
MR. BROWN: He asked about eight questions in --
16
17 MR. TYSON: I'll -- I'm going to try to rephrase.
18 I'm sorry.
THE COURT: Rephrase.
19
20 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. Mr. Bernhard, have you personally inspected
21
22 any machines that were used in 2018 election in
Georgia in general election?
23
24 A. No, sir.
25 Q. And so it would be correct to say, isn't

1 it, that you have no firsthand knowledge of any DRE
2 machine that was used in the 2018 Georgia election because you haven't inspected them; correct?
3
4 A. I suppose that's correct.
MR. TYSON: Okay. That's all I have.
5
6 THE COURT: Anybody else for the defendant have questions?
7
8 MR. LINDSEY: No, Your Honor.
9 THE COURT: Okay.
10 MR. BROWN: Just one follow-up question.
11 I don't have any further questions for him.
THE COURT: Okay. Anyone want to be heard on whether
12
13 he's an expert on DREs? That's basically what the issue is. All that other stuff I'm not going to rule on,
14
15 [inaudible] credibility [inaudible].
MR. TYSON: Yes, Your Honor. So we would object to
16
17 Mr. Bernhard serving as an expert in this case, both under
18 702(b) because he's testified he has no personal knowledge about the voting machines in use in Georgia. The machines
19
20 in his lab do not line up to ones that were in use in Georgia.
21
22 He has not personally inspected the voting machines that were used in the 2018 general election, so we don't
23
24 believe that he can offer anything to help the Court
25 determine if that's an issue which is required by that.

1 In addition, we don't believe there is anything
2 relevant that relates to the issue in the case. Mr. Bernhard can certainly testify about DREs generally. The
3
4 issue for this Court is focused on the lieutenant governor's election and Mr. Bernhard has not indicated he
5
6 has anything that would be useful to finder of facts in this case.
7
8 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we adopt the issue of
9 Gwinnett County and also make the same objections.
10 MR. BROWN: First -- the first objection was based
11 upon his line of personal knowledge. That, of course, is not an objection to an expert qualification of an expert.
12
13 The second was personal knowledge about inspection. That's also not a relevant objection.
14
15 I mean, if there's another idea lurking behind that, I'd like to hear it and respond to it, but that's not a
16
17 proper objection. The single objection that I could
18 understand, that's applicable to here, was that his particular model -- models that he has and that he can
19
20 take apart, is a different -- he uses a different version of the Microsoft operating system.
21
22 He testified that it is substantially identical and that is -- even if it were different, he has been
23
24 established as a leading expert in this field.
25 THE COURT: I'm not going to say he's a leading

1 expert. I have no evidence of him being an expert. But
2 leading expert -- I am going to allow him to testify as an expert. The weight and credibility of his testimony is
3
4 for me, and I can listen to it and I can [inaudible].
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
5
6
REDIRECT EXAMINATION
7
8 OF MATTHEW BERNHARD
9
10 BY MR. BROWN:
11 Q. Mr. Bernhard, the first part, I would like to review your -- of what materials you have reviewed
12
13 in connection with your work on this case. Are you with me?
14
15 A. Uh-huh.
Q. And have you reviewed the scholarly
16
17 literature?
18 A. Yes, sir. I've done a thorough survey of all of the academic works specifically about the Diebold
19
20 machines and [inaudible].
Q. Have you reviewed government publications
21
22 on [inaudible]?
A. Yes, sir. [inaudible] National Academy of
23
24 Sciences as well as various other government
25 agencies.

1 Q. Have you ever reviewed the source code for
2 the TS system?
3 A. Yes, sir. I've reviewed an early release
4 of the source code.
5 Q. Have you reviewed the voting results in the
6 Georgia 2018 election?
7 A. Yes, sir.
8 Q. Have reviewed studies of those results?
9 A. Yes, sir.
10 Q. Have you reviewed statements from voters
11 with their accounts of trying to vote on the machines
12 in Georgia?
13 A. Yes, sir.
14 Q. And who in -- focusing just on the -- on
15 those individual statements, what statements have you
16 -- let me back up a little bit. Let me finish. Have
17 you reviewed the testimony of the agents of the
18 defendants, including Michael Barnes and Rick Barron
19 in other cases?
20 A. Yes, sir.
21 Q. Have you reviewed the reported
22 vulnerability in the Georgia voter registration page
23 recorded during the 2018 election?
24 A. Yes.
25 Q. Have you spoken with other people who have

1 expert knowledge to gain a better understanding of
2 the issues?
A. Yes, sir.
3
4 Q. And who would those be?
A. For one, [inaudible] who published one of
5
6 the original security analyses of the DREs, I've
spoken with -- excuse me [inaudible] Philip Stark,
7
8 who is a leading expert on audits and [inaudible],
9 among others.
10 Q. Okay. Okay. Let's dive into then to some
11 of your opinions. First, with respect to the Diebold
DRE machine, do you have an opinion as to whether
12
13 that machine generally is defective or not defective?
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'm going to object to the
14
15 way that the question is asked. I don't think we've
established if there one [inaudible] DRE machine. We've
16
17 already heard there's different versions of software, are
18 there different hardware -- I think we need to be specific
about what's being asked.
19
20 MR. BROWN: Fair enough, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Well, it's a lot of different types of
21
22 machines. At least be able to identify which ones he's
done and which ones he hasn't.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Exactly. Your Honor, exact -- the
25 objection is well taken and let me reframe it.

1 BY MR. BROWN:

2 Q. Have you developed an opinion as to whether the DRE systems like the ones used in Georgia

3 generally are defective?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. And to get to the point of different DRE machines, other states use Diebold DRE machines; is

6 that correct?

7 A. Correct.

8 Q. Are they all identical or are they

9 different?

10 A. From a hardware perspective, they're all

11 identical. The software is different depending on the state; though there are only three major versions

12 of the software out there.

13 Q. With respect to whether they are defective

14 or not, is there any different -- material difference

15 in the different types of Diebold DRE machines?

16 A. No, sir. They all come with the same

17 software and they have the same aging hardware.

18 Q. And what is your opinion about the

19 defectiveness of the DRE machines that are used nationwide and in Georgia?

20 A. They're defective both from an

21 architectural standpoint, the actual implementation,

1 and the way they were designed and built, as well as
2 the way they are used in many places.
Again, these machines are 15 years old at this
3
4 point. The glue that holds the screens together is
starting to come apart, you know, as well as, you
5
6 know, who knows how many times they've been dropped
and so forth. And so I think it's -- they were
7
8 defective to begin with but they've only become more
9 defective over time.
10 Q. Mr. Bernhard, have you reviewed academic
11 literature on the subject of whether DRE systems are
generally defective?
12
13 A. Yes, sir.
Q. And just give me an example of some of the
14
15 things that you reviewed.
A. Yeah, so there was a forensic study in 2006
16
17 of the Diebold system that was actually published by
18 [inaudible]. There was the California top to bottom
review. There was the Ohio Everest report; the state
19
20 of Florida and Maryland both issued independent
reports. And then more recently we've seen the
21
22 National Academy of Science's report on the usage of
DREs, as well as numerous others.
23
24 Q. And what -- do they have a general
25 consensus or not?

1 A. Yeah, they -- unanimous conclusion is that
2 DRE machines, and particularly the kind of DRE that's used in Georgia are unsafe and unfit for use for
3
4 voting.
Q. And are the unsafe and unfit for voting
5
6 because they don't -- they're not accurate or they might not be accurate?
7
8 A. Yeah. They are, you know -- as I mentioned
9 before, inherently defective and on top of that, they
10 don't provide any recourse if the defect is detected.
11 Q. And what do you mean by no recourse?
A. You know, typically there's this notion of
12
13 software independence where even if the software does go bad, we can, one, detect it if it's happened; and
14
15 two, correct for it.
Because the DRE machines don't have any -- you
16
17 know, there's no paper trail. There's no external
18 source of evidence of how many votes are cast, who they were cast for. There is no way that the DREs
19
20 can provide significant evidence to the outcome of an election.
21
22 Q. But isn't that the case in any sort of computer program that there's no independence --
23
24 A. Yes.
25 Q. -- of verification?

1 A. Anything that doesn't have an external
2 source of evidence, yes.
3 Q. The -- let me ask the same question. You
4 were -- you responded with discussion of governmental
5 agencies. Have you reviewed opinions or publications
6 from the computer science community --
7 A. Yes.
8 Q. -- about the vulnerability or defectiveness
9 of the DREs?
10 A. Yes. That was the majority of the
11 literature is from.
12 Q. Okay. And what is the computer science --
13 is there a consensus or differences of opinion in the
14 computer science community with respect to the
15 defectiveness of the DREs?
16 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I object on the relevancy.
17 This has been going on for a little while. I'm not sure
18 when -- where general problems of the DREs is relevant to
19 allegations regarding the Georgia lieutenant governor's
20 election on a specific [inaudible] Georgia machines.
21 These are general allegations, not something specific.
22 MR. BROWN: Your Honor --
23 THE COURT: I understand that. He can answer that
24 question [inaudible].
25 MR. BROWN: Okay.

1 THE WITNESS: Again, the overall consensus is that
2 the machines are vulnerable and can cause problems.
3 MR. BROWN:
4 Q. Are you aware of any peer review computer science publication that takes a contrary view to the
5 view that the DREs are defective?
6 A. No, sir. The community is unanimous.
7 Q. Have you developed an opinion about whether
8 Georgia's system is less defective than the systems
9 used in other parts of the country or more defective?
10 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll just object for lack of foundation. I don't think we've had testimony about
11 Georgia yet. We've talked generally about that -- [inaudible]
12 THE COURT: I'll let you ask the question but if he doesn't give a basis for it I'll disregard it.
13 THE WITNESS: Okay. Yes, sir, I have.
14 BY MR. BROWN:
15 Q. And if I asked you this before, I
16 apologize. But have you talked to people or have you done some investigation about the vulnerability of
17 Georgia's system in particular, not as a general matter?
18 A. Yes, sir.
19 Q. And what's -- what did you do or what have

1 you done?

2 A. Again, reviewing testimony and interviewing voters in Georgia, reviewing Georgia electronic

3 regulation; like I said, testimony from Michael Barnes and Rick Barron in the Curling v. Kemp where

5 they explain more carefully the layout of the system. I talked with Michael Barnes a couple times, also,

7 about how Georgia's election system works.

9 Q. And have you evaluated, for example, the

10 testimony of Logan Lamb?

11 A. Yes.
THE COURT: Of who?

12 MR. BROWN: Logan Lamb. And have you --
THE COURT: So he's repeating somebody else's

14 testimony in another case? Is that what you're saying?
MR. BROWN: That's not the purpose. It was to

16 provide a foundation for his knowledge in determining --

18 THE COURT: Does he have any personal knowledge?
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, he's an expert in --

19 THE COURT: I understand he's an expert. Go ahead. [inaudible].

21 MR. BROWN: Okay.
BY MR. BROWN:

23 Q. Have you personally examined the

25 architecture of the system, from your perspective,

1 sufficient to understand whether the State of Georgia
2 by leaving it open to the internet for six months might have exposed the system to greater danger?
3
4 Have you looked at that personally?
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object to that again. I
5
6 don't think there's any evidence about an exposure for six months on the internet. There's no foundation for the
7
8 question -- that's also compounds [inaudible].
9 THE COURT: [inaudible] no time, no -- we're talking
10 about one day of one election. That's all we're talking
11 about.
MR. BROWN: Yeah. I'll establish a foundation for
12
13 that. The relevance -- I think there was a -- related to relevancy.
14
15 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Bernhard, in your experience and in
16
17 your expertise, would the likelihood of a defect in
18 the election on November the 6th, 2018, be increased or decreased based upon how Georgia's particular
19
20 system was maintained?
A. It would be increased.
21
22 Q. Okay. Now what did Georgia do or not do to increase the vulnerability of the DRE machine that it
23
24 uses?
25 A. We first found in 2016 from Logan Lamb that

1 there was a server that is connected to the
2 [inaudible] system or at least adjacent to it that was open to the internet running an incredibly
3
4 vulnerable version of a software called Drupal. To give you an idea of how vulnerable it is, I set up my
5
6 Drupal residence and within 24 hours it was compromised.
7
8 We also saw in 2018 leading up to the election
9 that the voter registration page has significant
10 vulnerabilities in it. And all of these could serve
11 as potential records for malware or, you know, other -- they all show a tendency for a lack of
12
13 fastidiousness and, you know, a general carelessness from a technical perspective that may indicate that
14
15 errors were made or there was malware in the system or -- you know.
16
17 Q. And did that contribute to your assessment
18 of the level risk in Georgia?
A. Yes.
19
20 Q. And what was your conclusion about whether the risk was increased or decreased?
21
22 A. So, based on the inherent vulnerability of the DREs, they're used and based on the repeated
23
24 security mistakes by the State of Georgia, my opinion
25 is that the -- Georgia's elections are far more

1 vulnerable than most other states and most other
2 elections in general.
Q. Now you identified -- you stated mistakes,
3
4 of what I interpreted to be mistakes. Could you list those again for us, please?
5
6 A. Yeah. The file system that used to distribute sample ballots and voter registration data
7
8 was left open to the internet. And the voter
9 registration page had two major vulnerabilities and
10 then one less significant vulnerability in it; and
11 because of the central nature of Georgia's election system, you know, they -- the Secretary of State's
12
13 office does distribute programming to all of the machines indirectly.
14
15 Those two vulnerabilities at the state level could potentially cause trouble, you know, everywhere
16
17 in the state; as well as, you know, again, show a
18 general lack of care for technical correctness, you know. Getting the technical stuff right and making
19
20 programming errors or for other things are more likely as well.
21
22 Q. Okay. Anything else? Any other problems, any other mistakes?
23
24 A. None come to mind.
25 Q. Okay. Let me -- to back up a little bit, I

1 do want to get something into the record.

2 THE COURT: This P-5? Is that P-5? P-7. Okay. I don't look at it until it's been admitted.

3

4 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Do you have Plaintiff's Exhibit 7 in front

5

6 of you?
A. Yes, sir.

7

8 Q. And what is Plaintiff's 7?

9 A. It is the National Academies of Science

10 Engineering and Medicine's report, Secured Boot, from

11 2018.
Q. And is it your understanding the entire

12

13 report is public and on the internet?
A. Yes, it is.

14

15 Q. And is Plaintiff 7 just a selection of those pages?

16

17 A. Yes, it appears to be.

18 Q. And what is your understanding of what the National Academy of Science's -- what study it

19

20 undertook and what the purpose of that was.
A. The National Academy of Scientists

21

22 attempted to kind of understand the overall --
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'm going to pose an

23

24 objection as to what another group of experts may have

25 said. Plus, it is what he said and he's the expert here.

1 He's the one that we can cross-examine. These folks
2 aren't here so we would object this line of testimony.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, he already testified.
3
4 [inaudible] kind of information -- he already testified
that this is the --
5
6 THE COURT: He can testify to what he's read and who
he's talked to, what all he's done. He can't just read
7
8 off a document that somebody else has -- based on having
9 done all that, then he has certain opinions, which I've
10 heard pretty loud and clear. Those are all his opinions
11 based on all this information and training and everything
he's done.
12
13 But we're not having the documents here.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I believe that it's
14
15 admissible because it is -- he has established that this
is the kind of information that expert --
16
17 THE COURT: No. No.
18 MR. BROWN: Okay.
THE COURT: It becomes a substitute for evidence and
19
20 we're not going to do that.
MR. BROWN: Okay.
21
22 THE COURT: But I understand. He read it; it formed
part of the decision that he's made in this case.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Is the -- and let me ask a foundation --
25 I understand your ruling but to get on the record, is --

1 BY MR. BROWN:

2 Q. Are opinions such as the one in the
National Academy of Sciences, things that experts in
3

4 your field rely upon to develop your expert opinion?
A. Yes.
5

6 Q. And is it standard in your profession to
rely upon that information?
7

8 A. Yes.

9 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would suggest again this is

10 the kind -- albeit hearsay, it is exactly the kind of

11 hearsay --
THE COURT: No. It's hearsay. He's relied on it;
12

13 he's read it; it's part of what -- you know, if he's up to
PhD he's probably read thousands of pages of stuff. We're
14

15 not putting it all in.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
16

17 BY MR. BROWN:

18 Q. You testified before that there are some
telltale signs of actual defective machines; do you
19

20 recall that?
A. Yes, sir.
21

22 Q. And have you reached an opinion about
whether those telltale signs were apparent in Georgia
23

24 for the 2018 election?

25 A. Yes, sir.

1 Q. And what in your expert opinion were those
2 telltale signs and what is the basis that you have for saying that it is?
3
4 A. So there is a -- to say that the literature is the [inaudible] where they lay out the foundation
5
6 for forensic election investigation --
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, same objection.
7
8 THE COURT: Hm?
9 MR. LINDSEY: You know, he could -- the question here
10 is, what were the telltale signs, not what another paper
11 may say --
THE COURT: You cannot quote what the paper said.
12
13 MR. LINDSEY: -- [inaudible] what another paper said.
MR. BROWN: That's right.
14
15 THE COURT: Let me make that -- you can say I relied on it to make my decision; don't have any problem with
16
17 that. That's what experts do. To get an expert in
18 anything you've got to read lots of stuff. But you can't say what it said; okay?
19
20 MR. BROWN: Bear that in mind.
BY MR. BROWN:
21
22 Q. Mr. Bernhard, what telltale signs did you observe of system defectiveness?
23
24 A. The significant under-vote rate in the
25 lieutenant governor's race, as well as reporting

1 various errors, you know. There were errors with the
2 review screen; voters were reporting the machines were [inaudible] in the middle of the voting
3
4 sessions, that error messages were popping up.
Q. Let's -- let me -- I can't -- I'm sorry.
5
6 Focusing on the election results, you said there was a significant under-vote and what is the basis of
7
8 your opinion that there was a significant under-vote?
9 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, first off, the objection is
10 that he hasn't established any kind of expert regarding
11 under-voting and whether or not the under-voting could be caught by machine or through other sources. He hasn't
12
13 laid that foundation.
As a matter of fact, they tried to lay that
14
15 foundation with the last expert and the judge ruled against them. So he simply has not laid any proper
16
17 foundation of kind of testimony.
18 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, the same objection, that there's not a foundation. Mr. Bernhard is not an expert
19
20 on election administration or under-votes. I think the numbers are already in the evidence -- we know what the
21
22 numbers are, so --
THE COURT: Yeah. We've already had testimony about
23
24 it live. So I sustain the objection.
25 MR. BROWN: Let me reframe it.

1 BY MR. BROWN:

2 Q. Do the voting results in some instances tell an expert like you that there may be a defect in

3 the system that needs to be investigated?

4 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, same objection as before.

5 THE COURT: That's a little bit different.

6 MR. LINDSEY: Same objection as before. I might also

7 add that he's asking for speculation of the word "may."

8 The --

9 THE COURT: I said weight and credibility is mine.

10 MR. LINDSEY: Huh?

11 THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to let him answer that

12 question.

13 MR. LINDSEY: Okay. Thank you.

14 THE WITNESS: Yes. I do believe that based on the data there were defects.

15 BY MR. BROWN:

16 Q. And what is it about the data that leads you to the opinion that there were defects in the

17 machines?

18 A. There would be -- there's a statically

19 significant correlation between the mode of voting and the amount of under-voting; and there's also a

20 statistic -- at least in one statistically

21 significant aberration in the [inaudible] where one

22

23

24

25

1 voting machine reported, you know, significantly
2 different results than every other voting machine in the precinct, which is highly unlikely.
3
4 Q. In your field -- well, let me back up a little bit. You said statistically significant
5
6 under-voting. Do you mean under-voting in the abstract or the comparison between under-voting on
7
8 the electronic machines and under-voting on the paper
9 machines?
10 A. Yes, that's correct. The under-voting rate
11 for paper ballots was significantly lower than it was for DRE machines in a statistically significant
12
13 [inaudible].
Q. And what is the statistical basis for what
14
15 you're saying?
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, objection. He's laid no
16
17 basis that he's in any way an expert on statistics.
18 MR. TYSON: Same objection, Your Honor.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor --
19
20 THE COURT: I mean, we've got all the figures. [inaudible] We've got all the figures. We know what
21
22 happened. We know what the numbers are. He says it's because the machines are defective. That's his opinion,
23
24 expert opinion, it's why all that happened.
25 Okay, beyond that, where are we going?

1 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the -- if I may back up a
2 little bit. With any phenomena, there's either direct
evidence, eyewitness testimony, or you go through and you
3
4 eliminate every other alternative. That's -- you do --
THE COURT: But I've taken his opinion. His opinion
5
6 is because of those numbers and because of the
differential between the paper and the machines, something
7
8 has to be wrong with the machines; am I right?
9 MR. BROWN: Okay.
10 THE COURT: I'm simplistic; I'm sorry.
11 MR. BROWN: Is there a way to --
THE COURT: I don't have a PhD.
12
13 MR. BROWN: Okay.
BY MR. BROWN:
14
15 Q. And let me ask this. Is there a way to
quantify the likelihood of that voting pattern
16
17 happening simply by chance?
18 A. Yes, there is.
Q. And what is the answer?
19
20 A. Typically, you use a hypothesis test. In
the two examples I cited [inaudible] one of them, the
21
22 -- I believe the under-vote has a less -- less than 1
to 10,000 chance of being -- happen -- sorry. A
23
24 less than 1 in 10,000 chance of appearing at random
25 or monthly in the course of an election.

1 Q. And then you -- you also testified about
2 Winterville?
3 A. Yes. The -- assuming that the voters were
4 randomly -- you know, that you didn't go to a machine based on your party or something like that. The odds
5
6 that one machine would have significantly more votes for one party but not the other machines have votes
7
8 for the other party is also incredibly low.
9 Q. And could you just explain -- we -- in case
10 the court has not heard about the Winterville
11 problem, could you just explain to the Court what you observed about the numbers at the Winterville voting
12
13 location?
14 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor --
15 THE COURT: About what? I missed the question. You went round and round and I didn't get it.
16
17 MR. BROWN: The question is, just to get -- he
18 testified about Winterville and what happened in Winterville, and I was just asking him to explain in
19
20 greater detail what did happen in Winterville in case that hadn't been made clear.
21
22 MR. TYSON: And Your Honor, this assumes facts that are not in evidence and I believe the evidence that
23
24 [inaudible] was submitted was not an avenue that Mr.
25 Bernhard regarding that precinct. So Mr. Barnhard [sic]

1 may need to lay a foundation and Mr. Barnhard needs to
2 talk about his personal analysis, if he conducted one.
BY MR. BROWN:
3
4 Q. Again, are you familiar with the voting
totals from the Winterville district?
5
6 A. Yes, sir.
Q. And where did you get that from?
7
8 A. The Secretary of State's vote results.
9 Q. And what did those numbers show?
10 A. In that precinct, I believe there were
11 eight DRE machines. On every DRE machine the
Democratic candidate won every race, except one where
12
13 a Republican candidate won every race by
approximately the same margin as the Democrat voting
14
15 on the other machines.
Q. And as a forensic expert, why is that -- is
16
17 that a telltale sign of a system defect?
18 A. Yeah. If you assume that the voters are
probably going to machines at random, you know, not
19
20 based on the party affiliation or anything like that,
it's extremely unlikely that you would see seven
21
22 machines with this incredibly regular pattern and
then one machine that's completely opposite of those
23
24 same machines.
25 Q. And from your background and experience in

1 software, is there an explanation for why that might
2 happen?
A. To me it seems like it might be a
3
4 programming error where you, you know -- all of the
machines you have A as the Republican, B as the
5
6 Democrat, but on this one machine for whatever reason
it was B as the Democrat -- or A as the Democrat, B
7
8 as the Republican.
9 Q. Just a code problem?
10 A. Yeah. Or I believe the other could be, you
11 know, obviously some other kind of error that the
machine incurred that wasn't even -- that was
12
13 programmed into it.
Q. And it could be an innocent mistake or a
14
15 malicious virus; correct?
A. Correct.
16
17 Q. Okay. And -- now, did you review
18 statements by eyewitnesses that led you to consider
one way or the other whether there may be telltale
19
20 signs of a defective system?
A. Yes.
21
22 Q. And what did you review?
A. I reviewed three affidavits from voters, as
23
24 well as the voter hotline report for -- for one
25 precinct.

1 Q. And from your perspective as an expert,
2 what sort of issues were reported that might have been telltale signs of defective equipment?
3
4 A. Voters were reporting machines were rebooting spontaneously. Some people were citing
5
6 that there were raw error codes showing up in logs on the machines, which is exceptionally rare in good
7
8 software.
9 There were also voters who had issues with the
10 review screen where they, you know -- one voter
11 reported that they voted their whole ballot, but they didn't see the lieutenant governor choice on it at
12
13 all. And then they get to the review screen and they see that it's there. Other voters were reporting
14
15 once they got to their review screen, they quit on a particular race to go back and change their answer or
16
17 review it or whatever. And it would just cast their
18 vote instead of taking them back to that screen. There were numerous errors that seemed to have
19
20 manifested.
Q. From your perspective, and based upon your
21
22 expertise, is there a way to -- just -- is there a way, one or the other, whether you can generalize
23
24 from those specific instances, any system-wide sort
25 of problems?

1 A. Generalized, no, I don't think so.

2 Q. And what would you need to do to determine
whether the extent of the defect?
3

4 A. A forensic analysis of the software that
was running on those machines would be necessary.
5

6 Q. Okay. And have you undertaken or started
or tried to do a forensic analysis of these DRE
7

8 machines?

9 A. Yes, sir. I showed up to Fulton County

10 Election [inaudible] on Monday and was told that I

11 would not be allowed to do it.
Q. Okay. And this is just this Monday, three
12

13 days ago?
A. Correct.
14

15 Q. Okay. And have you had the opportunity to
review the internal memory or examine the internal
16

17 memory of any of these machines?

18 A. No, sir.
Q. And if -- explain, if you will, sort of the
19

20 back and forth of the industry and I know it's all
collegial, but just what the back and forth was
21

22 between you and the technicians down there.
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, objection to the relevance.
23

24 The Court has heard their motions to compel, have heard

25 the motions for discovery. The Court has heard their

1 proffer regarding what they believe that she should have
2 been entitled to, but they -- but the Court ruled against them. So I would object as this is not relevant. The
3
4 Court's already ruled on this.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we further object on this
5
6 as it is hearsay, the conversations he had with the technicians. He can say what he said, but what the
7
8 technician said is hearsay.
9 MR. BROWN: I'll take that as -- in reverse. It's
10 not hearsay because it's statements by the adverse party
11 and --
THE COURT: Well, I have seen everybody's briefs on
12
13 this point, and I see both sides of it. I did not order a forensic investigation. I specifically left that word
14
15 out.
I set out certain things to be done. Did you get a
16
17 forensic? No, we didn't get a forensic because I didn't
18 order a forensic. I'm not going beyond that.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, would you take testimony of
19
20 what it means -- what the word, internal memory, means?
THE COURT: I have some knowledge.
21
22 MR. BROWN: And I understand.
THE COURT: I'm not completely stupid.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Your Honor --
25 THE COURT: I happen to be a math/physics major.

1 MR. BROWN: Your Honor.

2 THE COURT: Yeah. I got sidetracked. Yeah. So if you want to do it, go ahead. Don't worry about it.

3

4 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Were you offered access to the internal

5

6 memory of a DRE machine?
THE COURT: I'm not going into that either. That's

7

8 what they objected to.

9 MR. BROWN: Okay.

10 THE COURT: Okay? The question was, how did he do

11 it? Okay? That was the issue. I was available; nobody called, except Mr. [inaudible].

12

13 MR. BROWN: Okay.
THE COURT: He emailed; right? Repeatedly. And I

14

15 repeatedly responded. This case has been my life for a week and a half. Go ahead.

16

17 MR. BROWN: I think we've --

18 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Bernhard, why didn't you inspect the

19

20 DRE machine?
A. Turning on the machine would affect the

21

22 internal state, so we were ordered to review the internal memory of the machine. That includes the

23

24 ROM, the read-only memory where the operating system

25 and the boot loader as well as the election data is

1 stored. Turning on the machine would necessarily
2 alter that data. There are ways to extract that data
without damaging it in that way. But, of course, we
3
4 couldn't perform that operation.
Q. So, you could have accessed it -- is it --
5
6 let me try to unpack that a little bit. Had you
accessed it -- you could access but you would have
7
8 altered the evidence? Is that what you're saying?
9 A. I would have altered some of the evidence
10 and would only have seen a very small part, but I
11 would have only seen the election archive, which is
not the internal memory. It's just a very small part
12
13 of it.
Q. Okay. And so the -- faced with the
14
15 alternative of altering evidence and not getting the
evidence that you were looking for, you decided not
16
17 to conduct the investigation; correct?
18 A. That's correct.
Q. And can you explain to the Court in a
19
20 little bit greater detail how and why booting the
system without making a copy of the internal memory
21
22 first can alter evidence.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, this was an issue that was
23
24 raised to the Court; both sides briefed it. The Court
25 ordered if there were any further questions to request a

1 conference call or anything like that. No such call was
2 requested.
The Court then heard, I believe -- I may be terming -
3
4 - the term [inaudible] -- but that you didn't file a very
extensive brief saying that you needed additional
5
6 discovery that wasn't being allowed to you. The Court
considered that brief and ruled against you. And now
7
8 today we're dealing with an evidentiary trial as to
9 whether or not there was a defect in the election system
10 in Georgia, that it -- was it great enough to overturn a
11 margin of victory over 123,000 votes.
That's the sole issue here today. If all of this is
12
13 simply regurgitating the same concerns that were
previously raised and [inaudible].
14
15 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, we just object on relevance
along the same line. What -- how did you turn on the
16
17 machines and a forensic examination not relevant to the
18 lieutenant governor's race, [inaudible].
THE COURT: Mr. Brown.
19
20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the -- this is the foundation
for establishing that the petitioners have not been given
21
22 any --
THE COURT: No. The petitioner was given. You
23
24 refused to take it; you wanted to do it some other way.
25 That issue is done. So go -- move on to another subject.

1 MR. BROWN: Okay. Your Honor, if I may -- and I'm
2 not --
3 THE COURT: No. Not if you may. You always want an
4 if you may. No. We've been through this over and over
5 and over again. You email me at 11:00 at night. You
6 email me at 2:30 in the morning. You would not believe
7 all the stuff in this case.
8 I've looked at it. I've made the best decisions I
9 know how; move on to something else. Question of
10 discovery is done.
11 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, just one more thing. I'm
12 going to --
13 THE COURT: You don't listen to me, do you, sir?
14 MR. BROWN: It's a different topic.
15 THE COURT: Okay. Different topic's fine.
16 MR. BROWN: This is a different topic. Different
17 topic. The diff -- but I -- I would like to say the
18 different topic is going to sound like the same topic.
19 It's different.
20 MR. LINDSEY: Can I just object now?
21 THE COURT: This is just -- I guess I'm on the record
22 -- this is just really good lawyering on both sides. I
23 mean, it's like -- no. If it sounds -- what is it? If it
24 looks like a duck and it quacks like a duck, it is a duck;
25 is that the one where you talk about in the South?

1 MR. BROWN: And I never agree with that, Your Honor.

2 THE COURT: Try one more question and we'll see where we go. You might get to testify. Go ahead.

3

4 MR. BROWN: Yeah.
BY MR. BROWN:

5

6 Q. If the State of Georgia wanted to investigate the causes of apparent anomalies, what

7

8 could it do?

9 A. It could perform a --

10 MR. LINDSEY: Objection, Your Honor.

11 THE COURT: I'm going to let him. I'm going to let him answer that, what the state could do.

12

13 THE WITNESS: It could perform a forensic investigation, which are the best practices recommended by

14

15 experts and have been for decades.
BY MR. BROWN:

16

17 Q. And based upon your interactions with the

18 State and your knowledge of the --
THE COURT: No. Not based upon his interactions with

19

20 the State. We're not going there.
BY MR. BROWN:

21

22 Q. Did the State conduct that kind of forensic examination?

23

24 A. No.

25 Q. Okay.

1 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would like to take a break
2 just to get a glass of water and then finish up with this witness, quickly.
3
4 THE COURT:
MR. BROWN: Thank you. Thank you, Your Honor.
5
6
[Off the record at 2:09 p.m., and back on the record
7
8 at 2:22 p.m.]
9
10 THE COURT: [inaudible]
11
CONTINUATION OF REDIRECT EXAMINATION
12
13 OF MATTHEW BERNHARD
14
15 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Bernhard, do you have experience
16
17 evaluating GEMS databases?
18 A. Yes, sir.
Q. Have you in the course of your work
19
20 reviewed or had access to GEMS databases?
A. Yes, sir. I've been sent to GEMS databases
21
22 from the State of Colorado, State of California, I believe the State of Alaska as well.
23
24 Q. And were those treated as nonconfidential?
25 A. Yeah. They were public records.

1 Q. Okay. Have you received that from the
2 State of Georgia?
A. No.
3
4 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, he's going back down the same rabbit hole again and I object. This is once again a
5
6 matter that should have been taken up regarding discovery. We're here today to hear the evidence as to whether or not
7
8 there was some kind of breach and whether or not it was
9 significant enough to change the election votes, not
10 whether or not they should get something they didn't get.
11 THE COURT: I sustain the objection.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. No further
12
13 questions.
14
15 CROSS-EXAMINATION
OF MATTHEW BERNHARD
16
17
18 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. [inaudible], Mr. Bernhard. So let me ask
19
20 you first, is it your personal belief that only paper should be used for elections, hand-marked paper
21
22 ballots?
A. Hand-marked paper ballots that are
23
24 [inaudible].
25 THE COURT: [inaudible]

1 THE WITNESS: Hand-marked paper ballots [inaudible].
2 BY MR. TYSON:
3 Q. Have you ever worked on a political
4 campaign before?
5 A. No, sir.
6 Q. Now you talked about your research and various studies and what you've looked at. It's
7
8 correct, isn't it, that you're not aware of a single
9 instance anywhere in Georgia where there was a piece
10 of malware that was somehow propagated from a server
11 that creates the ballot format, down through memory card, onto the DRE; is that correct?
12
13 A. Correct. But again, that's just because they're machines. There's no [inaudible].
14
15 Q. But you're not aware of an instance where that's occurred; correct? Okay. And you're not
16
17 aware of an instance where anywhere in the nation
18 where a piece of malware has been propagated onto a DRE in an actual election, are you?
19
20 A. Not on the DRE voter registration systems, I guess.
21
22 Q. But as the DRE you're not?
23 A. [inaudible]
24 Q. Okay. Now you talked a little bit about
25 the Winterville precinct in Clarke County; is that

1 correct?

2 A. Yes, sir.
Q. Okay. And you said that -- did you perform
3

4 a statistical analysis at that precinct?
A. No, sir.
5

6 Q. Who performed that statistical analysis?
A. I think it was Philip Stark.
7

8 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor?

9 MR. TYSON: And so -- sorry.

10 MR. LINDSEY: He testified earlier as to the results

11 from that machine as if he himself performed that
examination after this Court specifically ruled that that
12

13 testimony from Mr. Stark was hearsay.
I'm going to move that that testimony that had been
14

15 previously raised regarding this be stricken from the
record because it's contrary to a prior ruling that he
16

17 could not testify as to what other people had done. And -

18 -
THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
19

20 MR. LINDSEY: -- and [inaudible] that he
mischaracterizes earlier testimony as well.
21

22 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I believe that the record
would say what the record says about what he says and
23

24 [inaudible] attempt to characterize it. The testimony was

25 that he had reviewed the evidence relating to the

1 Winterville.

2 I asked him what telltale signs and he used that as an example. We further established early on that the

3 reports of people like Stark are something that an expert in his capacity looks at and that he reviews the evidence

4 from all different sources.

5 MR. LINDSEY: He -- I'm sorry, go ahead. I'm sorry.

6 MR. BROWN: I don't --

7 MR. LINDSEY: I didn't mean to --

8 MR. BROWN: I don't remember him saying that he did

9 the math on Winterville, but that he knew the answer and he knew how to get it.

10 MR. LINDSEY: But he -- but -- but he has been specifically told on multiple occasions despite attempts

11 to solicit such testimony that he was not to testify as to what other people had done or what other people reported.

12 He was specifically admonished not to do that; and through

13 ambiguity and a question, he led this Court to believe that he, himself -- and I'm sorry, I --

14 MR. BROWN: Your Honor --

15 MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible]

16 THE COURT: Let him finish.

17 MR. LINDSEY: That he, himself, had conducted this

18 investigation of these tapes. He has not admitted that he

19 has not and I ask that his previous testimony be stricken.

1 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, same response. I would -- to
2 get at the truth --
THE COURT: Well, he gets -- it's his motion, so I'm
3
4 -- he testified as that he got the numbers from the
Secretary of State, and that I will accept.
5
6 MR. TYSON: But he's now [inaudible].
THE COURT: But the rest of it I will not accept.
7
8 MR. TYSON: Okay. But he's now testified that he
9 didn't actually do that investigation.
10 MR. BROWN: But now [inaudible]?
11 THE COURT: Not yet. But that's how I heard it. I
heard it that he got the numbers from Clarke County and
12
13 the rest of it -- he sounded -- he moved into it as if
he'd done it all, but no, he -- obviously he hadn't do it
14
15 all --
MR. LINDSEY: Just by testifying that he didn't do
16
17 it, that's [inaudible].
18 THE COURT: -- it wouldn't be admissible. Okay.
MR. LINDSEY: Make sure that's on [inaudible]. I'm
19
20 sorry.
BY MR. TYSON:
21
22 Q. Mr. Bernhard, so you -- I believe we had
just been through that Professor Stark had done the
23
24 statistical analysis of the Winterville precinct; is
25 that correct?

1 A. That's correct. I pulled the numbers
2 though beforehand.
Q. And so, when you say you pulled the
3
4 numbers, could you explain to us what that means?
A. I --
5
6 THE COURT: Did you pull the numbers?
THE WITNESS: Yes, I pulled the numbers.
7
8 THE COURT: I thought you said he pulled the numbers.
9 THE WITNESS: Okay.
10 THE COURT: And by moving into that you make it sound
11 like he did it all, but he didn't. He just pulled the
numbers.
12
13 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. So, Mr. Bernhard, after you pulled the
14
15 numbers it's correct isn't it that Professor Stark
did then the statistical analysis?
16
17 A. Correct.
18 Q. Okay. And you didn't personally perform
the statistical analysis in that precinct?
19
20 A. No, sir. Because Philip had already done
it. I didn't see a point in doing it again.
21
22 Q. How did you go about selecting or had -- do
you know how anybody selected the Winterville
23
24 precinct?
25 A. It was brought to my attention just because

1 of this oddity of his one machine having drastically
2 different vote totals than the other seven.
3 Q. And was that from plaintiff's counsel?
4 A. I believe so.
5 Q. And if I'm recalling correctly, the
6 machines on that precinct had anywhere between 117
7 and 144 votes per machine; is that correct?
8 A. That sounds about right.
9 Q. Okay. So the maximum number of votes on
10 the one machine would have been 144 votes; is that
11 correct?
12 A. That sounds about right.
13 Q. Okay. Mr. Bernhard, you don't have any
14 expertise in the process of ballot design; is that
15 correct?
16 A. I have designed ballots, but I suppose I
17 don't have that expertise; that's correct.
18 Q. And you never read any literature or
19 studies regarding design -- design of ballots and
20 voter behavior?
21 A. I have, yes.
22 Q. On voter behavior specifically?
23 A. Yes.
24 Q. Okay. So in scenarios where voters face a
25 high turnout a year -- strike that.

1 I believe your testimony earlier was that there
2 was an analysis of two precincts, the Winterville precinct and what was the other precinct?
3
4 A. I don't think I said there was an analysis. I -- two precincts were pointed out to me -- well,
5
6 more than two actually. Winterville [inaudible] the numbers off. Grady High School had machine errors
7
8 that were reported. The AME Church had errors that
9 were reported.
10 Q. So, in terms of an analysis though in your
11 expert opinion, you've only looked at the Winterville precinct; is that correct?
12
13 A. In terms of numerical analysis, yes. In terms of assessing how many errors that occurred, no.
14
15 Q. But let me just make sure I'm clear on that. So I thought you testified that Professor
16
17 Stark did the analysis on Winterville.
18 MR. BROWN: Objection.
Q. So have you --
19
20 MR. BROWN: Object, Your Honor. It's unclear as to what analysis he's talking about and there's a confusion
21
22 between the forensic [inaudible] --
THE COURT: Okay. Rephrase the question.
23
24 MR. TYSON: Okay. I'll be happy to rephrase. Thank
25 you.

1 BY MR. TYSON:

2 Q. So, correct me if I'm wrong, but I believe you testified Professor Stark performed the

3 statistical analysis of the Winterville precinct; correct?

5 A. That's correct, yes.

6 Q. Did you perform a statistical analysis of any precinct?

7 A. No, sir.

8 Q. Did you conduct a forensic analysis of any DRE machine in the state of Georgia for the November 2018 election?

9 A. No, sir.

10 Q. And so sitting here today, it's correct to say that you have no idea whether any malware resides on any DRE machine in the state of Georgia; is that correct.

11 A. Yes, that's correct and I reckon that no one else does either.

12 MR. TYSON: All right. That's all I've got.

13 CROSS-EXAMINATION
OF MATTHEW BERNHARD

14 BY MR. LINDSEY:

1 Q. Winterville's located in Clarke County;
2 correct?
A. I believe so.
3
4 Q. Okay. Clarke County is not in this
lawsuit, you're aware of that, right?
5
6 MR. BROWN: I object. It calls for a legal
conclusion and it's the wrong conclusion.
7
8 MR. TYSON: Clarke County is not a named party to
9 this case.
10 THE COURT: Well, Mr. Lindsay, what he said is in the
11 lawsuit --
MR. TYSON: I apologize.
12
13 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. Clarke County is not a named party in the
14
15 lawsuit; correct?
A. I believe so, yes.
16
17 Q. Okay. The voting strips haven't been --
18 you didn't bring the voting strips with you, did you?
A. You mean the pap- -- the poll tapes?
19
20 Q. Yeah.
A. No, sir. I don't have those [inaudible].
21
22 Q. And as you previously testified, it was Mr.
Stark that did the analysis of the eight machines
23
24 [inaudible]; correct?
25 A. He did the statistical analysis.

1 [inaudible].

2 Q. Okay. Now let's talk for a minute about your -- the odds that you raised. You've never done

3

4 any kind of -- well, let me back up. You're not a political science expert; correct?

5

6 A. No, sir.
Q. Okay. So you don't know the impact that an

7

8 -- that a large influx of new voters would have in

9 terms of their voting patterns with down-ballot

10 races? You don't know anything about that?

11 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I'll object to that and here's my objection. Cross-examination needs to be based

12

13 upon a good faith belief that there's going to be some submission of evidence to support the inference or the

14

15 predicate of the question.
That's the first point. In this case we know that

16

17 the State does not have any predicate because there's no

18 person on earth who could be qualified as an expert to render that opinion.

19

20 THE COURT: You're arguing. That's an argument. I'll overrule that objection.

21

22 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question?
BY MR. TYSON:

23

24 Q. I don't remember the question. I was

25 simply just by establishing that you have no

1 knowledge as to the pattern, behavior of new voters.
2 A. That's not true. I have -- I mean I've reviewed the political science literature insofar as
3 it informs my work.
4 Q. Okay. And if I understand correctly, you
5 don't have -- or rather you haven't done any investigation as to the particularities of this race;
6 correct?
7 A. I'm familiar with the races and the
8 candidates.
9 Q. Yeah. Are you familiar, for instance, with the fact -- with how the paper ballots were
10 configured in terms of the names versus the configuration of candidates on the electronic?
11 A. I can't say that I'm familiar with every electronic configuration because there can be
12 different -- on a county-by-county basis; the same
13 with paper ballots, but I'm generally familiar, yes.
14 Q. Okay. You are aware that the configuration
15 was different from the paper ballots versus the electronic; correct?
16 A. Yes, sir.
17 Q. Okay. Have you done any kind of analysis
18 regarding voter familiarity with Ms. Amico in terms
19 of how well they knew her? In terms of -- strike

1 that.

2 Are you familiar with any kind of data regarding Ms. Amico's name identification versus other

3 candidates running?

4 A. No, sir.

5 Q. You hadn't -- you hadn't reviewed any kind of polling that was conducted in this race prior to

6 the election; correct?

7 A. Not in this particular race, no.

8 Q. You haven't considered any kind of negative

9 treatment Ms. Amico received in the press shortly before the election; correct?

10 A. Correct. All of these factors can impact [inaudible].

11 Q. Uh-huh.

12 A. But the fact that this under-vote is so

13 incredibly different from all the other races on the

14 ballot, that seems incredibly suspicious. It's way different than, you know, any other case in Georgia

15 or anywhere else in the nation.

16 So even if there are factors like likability,

17 like lagging the polls, like ballot design, you would not normally expect to see that level of under-voting

18 distributed as uniformly across the state of Georgia

19 as it is and to defer between the votes voted [ph].

1 Q. Okay. Quite frankly -- and you're not
2 familiar with how the other races went in this state either are you?
3
4 A. I would with some of them.
5 Q. Yeah. You're familiar with the fact that
6 Ms. Abrams was, for want of a better term, on the Democratic side considered a superstar?
7
8 A. Yes, sir.
9 Q. Yeah. And you're familiar with the fact
10 that John Barrow running for Secretary of State, for
11 instance, was a well-known figure in Georgia politics?
12
13 A. Yes, sir.
14 Q. And are you aware of the fact that Mr.
15 Amico was still a relative unknown?
16 A. Yes. But I want to stress that the
17 particulars of the candidate would not necessarily
18 impact the under-votes. We saw under-votes impacting both candidates in this race.
19
20 Q. Uh-huh. And you don't know -- let me ask you this. While there was under-votes, you are aware
21
22 of the fact that, you know, in terms of the overall vote count for Ms. Amico vis-à-vis other Democratic
23
24 candidates, it's not like she got the least number of
25 votes; correct?

1 A. I'm afraid I don't understand your
2 question.
3 Q. Let me ask you this. There were ten
4 Democrats on the State ballot in 2006; correct?
5 A. 2006?
6 Q. I'm sorry. Wait a minute. 2018.
7 A. Yes.
8 Q. Okay. [inaudible] And there were other
9 Democratic candidates who received significantly
10 fewer number of votes that Ms. Amico received;
11 correct?
12 A. In the State races?
13 Q. Yes.
14 A. Statewide races? [inaudible].
15 Q. Yeah. As a matter of fact, Ms. Amico was
16 somewhere in the middle of the pack in terms of the
17 voters that she received versus other Democrats
18 running; correct?
19 A. Sure.
20 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I renew my motion -- my
21 objection to his testimony regarding Winterville and ask
22 that it be stricken in as much as he was not the
23 individual who did the analysis nor has the plaintiff
24 brought forth any of this --
25 THE COURT: I think I've ruled that the fact that --

1 MR. LINDSEY: -- [inaudible] testimony.

2 THE COURT: -- he looked at the numbers is admissible and the rest of it's not.

3

4 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor. Oh, one last question.

5

6 THE COURT: I'm glad you have Mr. Olens to help you, Mr. Lindsey.

7

8 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you.

9 BY MR. LINDSEY:

10 Q. You've been asked about Georgia when it

11 comes to machines and a -- [inaudible] involving the machines. You're not aware of any actual election,

12

13 not -- not a tested election but an actual election, where an actual vote cast on a DRE machine was -- was

14

15 not counted, are you?
A. No. But again, that's because of the way

16

17 the machine built, it's impossible to tell. You

18 cannot know.
Q. Okay.

19

20 MR. LINDSEY: No further questions.
THE COURT: Ms. Burwell, do you have anything?

21

22 MS. BURWELL: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Brown?

23

24 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

25

1 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

2 OF MATTHEW BERNHARD

3

4 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Bernhard, I want to go -- I want to go
5

6 over some of your answers.
When you were speaking about the under-vote as
7

8 being suspicious, were you referring to the under-

9 vote for the Democratic candidate or were you

10 referring to the under-vote the Democratic candidate

11 and the Republican candidate?
A. I was referring to both candidates.
12

13 Q. You testified that there -- I think Mr.
Tyson asked you whether they're in the actual
14

15 election any bad code had been found or something to
that effect; do you recall that?
16

17 A. Malware specifically.

18 Q. Malware. And I believe your response was
not what the DREs, but yes in the registration
19

20 system?
A. Correct.
21

22 Q. And could you explain your answer a little
bit in greater detail?
23

24 MR. TYSON: I'll object to the question. The voter

25 registration system is not an issue as far as I know at

1 any point in this lawsuit. This is about the election and
2 the DREs; it's not the voter registration system.
MR. LINDSEY: And it -- I'd add to that, Judge.
3
4 THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
MR. BROWN: Is the vote -- let me ask one more
5
6 question if I may help.
BY MR. BROWN:
7
8 Q. Is the voter registration system also a
9 part of the Diebold DRE system generally or is it
10 something else?
11 A. Yes, sir. Diebold makes the Express Poll
units.
12
13 THE COURT: Voter registration is not involved in
this matter.
14
15 MR. BROWN: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.
BY MR. BROWN:
16
17 Q. You testified and then you were asked very
18 quickly a question. I'm not sure if you finished
your answer, but that the anomalous voting pattern
19
20 was distributed evenly throughout Georgia. What did
you -- what was the basis of that testimony?
21
22 A. I believe something like 101, 159 counties
had an under-vote rate that was abnormal, and it
23
24 didn't seem to be, you know, tied to -- are they
25 left-leaning counties or right-leaning counties or

1 anything like that. It was only tied in with the
2 equipment.
Q. And would you -- sorry, you testified
3 earlier that you have expertise in a post-election
4 audit; is that right?
5 A. That's correct. Yes.
Q. And based upon your past post-election
6 audit experience and expertise, what would you
7 recommend the State of Georgia do to audit these
8 systems?
9 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object. What Mr.
10 Bernhard thinks or what he should do is a policy question
11 about a legislator is not relevant or pertaining to this
12 proceeding.
13 THE COURT: I'm going to let him say that solely
14 because they're asking for a brand-new election with paper
15 ballots and it would go to that issue should I get that
16 far.
MR. TYSON: Thank you, Your Honor. I just want to
17 object to one point related to that.
THE COURT: Yes.
18 MR. TYSON: I believe that the new election paper
19 ballots are the constitutional claims that are gone at
20 this point.
21 THE COURT: I understand that.

1 MR. TYSON: I think.

2 THE COURT: I understand that.
MR. BROWN: That's -- that's not correct. That's not
3

4 correct. That's not correct.
THE COURT: I understand what I can and can't do;
5

6 okay?
MR. BROWN: Okay.
7

8 THE WITNESS: Yes. I do recommend that Georgia run a

9 post-election audit. Given the anomalies that we saw, you

10 would likely have to target your auditing a little bit

11 more specifically. If we had a paper ballot system, we'd
be counting more ballots than if they weren't all these
12

13 [inaudible].
BY MR. BROWN:
14

15 Q. If you were doing a forensic examination in
place of an actual recounting audit, what would be
16

17 the first thing that you would look at if you were

18 the State of Georgia?
A. I would start with the programming. You
19

20 know, starting with the most innocent explanation and
working our way through all others, so looking at the
21

22 GEMS database, making sure it was coded correctly,
that there weren't errors with what you saw in
23

24 Winterville that may be a coding error. And making

25 sure, then after that you proceed.

1 Q. You -- I believe, your testimony was that
2 the first opportunity that you had to forensically inspect a DRE machine was Monday?
3
4 THE COURT: Let's not go there. I told you not o go there. You just did it and had the question and nobody
5
6 objected; they stood up but you didn't see them.
MR. BROWN: Okay.
7
8 THE COURT: Don't go there. The ruling has been
9 made.
10 MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.
11 THE COURT: I don't care what his was. This case was set for December 5th, period.
12
13 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor, we -- this is --
THE COURT: Sir, I don't want to hear --
14
15 MR. BROWN: I'll move on.
THE COURT: -- any more about that.
16
17 MR. BROWN: All right.
18 THE COURT: I am tired about hearing about that. I have gobs and gobs of paperwork about it; I've ruled.
19
20 Let's move on.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor.
21
22 THE COURT: To what real issue is here today.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I'm moving on.
23
24 THE COURT: You always want to get the last word;
25 I've noticed that, Mr. Brown.

1 MR. BROWN: No. I -- I want to not get the last word
2 out. I want to ---
THE COURT: You want to what?
3
4 MR. BROWN: I will -- I will stop right now.
THE COURT: Okay. Anybody else?
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: You may go. Thank you, sir.
7
8 MR. BROWN: Now, Your Honor, I'm not -- it sounds
9 like I'm arguing with you and this is just simply a matter
10 of procedure. We do not have the ruling yet on --- if you
11 issued a -- I mean clearly --
THE COURT: Ruling on what?
12
13 MR. BROWN: On the motion to compel.
THE COURT: I denied the motion to compel.
14
15 MR. BROWN: Okay. We -- was that -- you don't have
that?
16
17 MR. LINDSEY: I think she said, she denied all that.
18 THE COURT: It was somewhere in that last order I put
-- was it -- if it wasn't clear to you, it should be clear
19
20 now. The motion to compel was denied.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. We -- we -- just
21
22 for the record we did not know that.
THE COURT: Okay.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the petitioners would call
25 Michael Barnes.

1 THE COURT: Okay. Someone get Michael -- he's in the
2 courtroom. What's he -- who are those people?
3 MR. BROWN: Please raise your right hand. Do you
4 promise to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?
5
6 THE WITNESS: I do.
7
8 Thereupon:
9
10 MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES
11
12 was called as a witness by the Petitioner; and,
13 having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
14
15 DIRECT EXAMINATION
OF MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES
16
17
18 BY MR. BROWN:
19 Q. Please state your full name for the record?
20 A. Michael William Barnes.
21 Q. By whom are you currently employed?
22 A. The Secretary of State's office.
23 MR. BROWN: You may sit down. Thank you.
24 BY MR. BROWN:
25 Q. And what is your position with the

1 Secretary of State?

2 A. I am the director for the Center for Election Systems.

3

4 Q. And what is the Center for Election Systems?

5

6 A. We oversee the voting for in the state of Georgia. We also deal with the database programming

7

8 for all county elections executed in the state.

9 Q. Does --- was CES formally housed at

10 Kennesaw State University, for a lack of a better

11 expression?
A. It was.

12

13 Q. Okay. And when did it move to be a part of the Secretary of State's Office?

14

15 A. It ceased operations at Kennesaw State on December 31st of 2017 and was relocated to the

16

17 Secretary of State's office on January 1st.

18 Q. And when that happened, which staff members came -- which staff members were let go and which

19

20 staff members came with them?
A. I was the only staff member that came from

21

22 Kennesaw to the Secretary of State's office.
Q. And the other people were fired or let go

23

24 or whatever?

25 A. The other people were retained by the

1 university I believe for -- through the end of
2 January 3- -- January of 2018 and then with no funding to maintain the operation of the center, the
3
4 center was closed.
Q. Did your office manage the state's election
5
6 system in the November 2018 election?
A. Our center, as I stated earlier, oversees
7
8 the voting equipment that's used in the state of
9 Georgia for federal, state and county elections. And
10 we've constructed the GEMS database for all the 159
11 counties for the November 2018 election.
Q. And you said, "You constructed it for all
12
13 of the counties;" does each county get a different GEMS database?
14
15 A. Yes.
Q. And the GEMS database is a Microsoft Access
16
17 product, is that correct?
18 A. The GEMS database is -- stands for Global Election Management Systems and a data file is
19
20 produced from that executable program.
Q. And what is the executable program called?
21
22 A. GEMS, Global Elections Management System.
Q. Okay. And does the GEMS database contain
23
24 programming for the DRE machine memory cards?
25 A. The GEMS database is where -- from the

1 memory cards that are used to power the DRE units.
2 They're used for advance voting and in-person
Election Day use. The memory cards are created from
3
4 GEMS database.
Q. And could you just describe to the court
5
6 sort of physically the chain of custody of the -- of
the programming and I'm -- the purpose for this is to
7
8 distinguish between what the secretary does and what
9 the county does having to do with the county's
10 office? You said, that "the Secretary of State's
11 office is responsible for creating," I think you
said, "the GEMS database for all 159 counties," is
12
13 that right?
A. That's correct.
14
15 Q. All right. So, the counties then get a
GEMS database that's already done, for a lack of a
16
17 better expression?
18 A. Correct.
Q. And then what do the counties do with that
19
20 GEMS database or what is it used for?
A. Okay. Let me start first with the
21
22 Secretary of State's office; constructs the GEMS
database for the need of the given election. So
23
24 what's qualified and closest and we know what races
25 will be in specific election.

1 We know the candidates. We know the precincts
2 that will be involved based upon what the county has relayed back to us as their need for a given
3
4 election. With that information collected from the county, a database is then constructed for that given
5
6 election.
Once the database has been constructed by the
7
8 Secretary of State's office, it's then reviewed at
9 the Secretary of State's office for completeness to
10 validate that all of the races for that given
11 election and that have been included in the database, not only all of the races, but also all the
12
13 candidates.
Once our review of that process has been
14
15 completed we then provide to the jurisdiction, for lack of a better word -- sample ballots that are
16
17 produced from the GEMS database along with reports
18 that are detailing what ballots' files will be related to which precincts and to what district
19
20 combinational values those ballots are related to within those given precincts.
21
22 And that report packet is forwarded to each and every individual county with copies of their sample
23
24 ballots for them to review for their completeness,
25 for correctness. Once we then receive signoff from

1 the county and not until you receive signoff from the
2 county -- but once the signoff is received from the county, then the database is saved to a CD, burned to
3
4 a CD and that CD encrypted, and then that CD is forwarded to the local jurisdiction for them to then
5
6 take that CD, contact our office via phone, provide a verification ID and return the CD back to our office,
7
8 so that we know that they have the CD that we've
9 provided.
10 And then once we've validated, we're talking to
11 who we're supposed to be talking to at the county, we then give them the passcode to be able to then
12
13 decrypt the CD to access the data file that's saved to the CD; and then that file is loaded to the
14
15 county's local GEMS computer where they then -- the county -- inspects the file to validate that what has
16
17 then been provided to them is what they signed off
18 on.
Once it is loaded to their system then the
19
20 county begins configuring the database for that election. And what I mean by that is, is putting
21
22 information into the database that says we will be using x number of devices at these given locations
23
24 for advance voting, for Election Day voting, and also
25 preparing the memory cards that are used for the

1 optic scanners that scan the mailout absentee
2 ballots.
Q. And then just the -- what -- what in that
3 process then drives the actual programing of a
specific DRE machine, taking it from the GEMS
5 database to me voting or you voting on a machine?
A. Okay. All right. Once the county has
7 loaded the GEMS database into their GEMS computer,
9 they then tell -- instruct the database that they
10 need to correct a certain number of individual memory
11 cards.
So if they have a voting location that has the -
12 - I think they use five DRE units -- they have to put
that information into the GEMS database and say that
14 for location A we will be creating a five memory
cards. They then go through a process of
16 transferring the information within GEMS onto a
18 memory card that is read by a DRE machine.
Once that memory card is created, that memory
19 card is then taken into the DRE unit, which it will
married with for that given election. When that
21 process takes place there're labels on the memory
cards that notate what machine ID this memory card
23 is.
25 The county's also normally notate on those

1 little print-on labels the physical device and put
2 the physical device serial number to which they are placing that memory card into, so that when the
3
4 memory card is removed at a later point in time and something happens, they know what machine to give it
5
6 back to if they needed to access the machine for some reason.
7
8 The memory card is inserted, and then the
9 counties go through a logic and accuracy test where
10 they bring up -- they power on the device and they go
11 through testing it. This testing is done to validate, to calibrate the test screen to make sure
12
13 it's receptive to touch. They also set the date and time on the device to make sure it's set to the
14
15 proper date so that when tapes are printed out of the machine pre- and post-election, so that it has a
16
17 proper date stamp.
18 The date stamp doesn't affect the operation of the system, but it's helpful to have when you're
19
20 trying to make sure that things are done in the proper order. Once the memory card's loaded and they
21
22 go through their diagnostics testing, which is where they're testing the printer, they're testing
23
24 calibration or setting the calibration to make sure
25 it's proper, saving the date and time, testing the

1 printer.

2 Also testing the card reader that's used to read the voter access card that the voter will be

3 inserting. Once they complete that diagnostic testing, then they start doing -- they start

4 inputting test ballots, where they will take normally a test date that is prefilled by the jurisdiction.

5 It says we're going to cast X number of votes to

6 validate all touch positions on the DRE are

7 responsive; and also to validate that all races and

8 candidates are visible on the screen and are showing up on the all ballot as needed for the given

9 election.

10 That logic [inaudible] testing is publicized.

11 It is put into notification so that any member of the public that would wish to observe the testing and

12 come and observe the testing.

13 And it has to be included by a certain point in time so that the machines, once it clears logic and

14 active testing, they can be set for election, sealed and get ready for distribution to the polling

15 location or to the advance voting location.

16 Q. Have -- are you aware of any time when a

17 logic and accuracy test has picked up a programming

18 error?

1 A. Can you provide me -- programming errors,
2 what do you mean by programming errors?
Q. Sure. Let me give you an example. Suppose
3
4 -- well, let me back up two steps. The -- there
would be some programming to instruct the system and
5
6 then if the voter presses here the vote goes there,
are you with me?
7
8 A. Mm-hmm.
9 Q. The mapping of the votes from the screen to
10 the actual vote, are you with me?
11 A. Sure.
Q. Now, it would --- if you want, I'm not
12
13 suggesting you did this, but if you wanted to switch
that, so that pressing, you know, voting for the
14
15 Republican recorded a Democrat voter or a
Libertarian, where would that programming reside, and
16
17 could you do it?
18 A. As my knowledge based on the system, I can
speak to it as a user of the program --
19
20 Q. Sure.
A. -- of how you lay candidates out
21
22 specifically within a race. I'm not aware of a way
to organize the candidates to get one display and
23
24 then once where you touch it next to a candidate,
25 that their results show up next to another candidate

1 in another order. I'm not aware of how you would do
2 that.
3 Q. Now, there has been some testimony about
4 voting totals in a particular district where you had
5 -- it was heavily -- I'll just give you like a hypo
6 and then the purpose of this is not to or get you to
7 agree with the facts, but simply to pull out your
8 testimony on the program, but -- but just assume
9 there is a voting location in which is heavily
10 Democrat voting location and six of the machines show
11 heavily Democrat votes and the seventh machine shows
12 very heavy Republican votes, which some might lead
13 some access it didn't have, like you know, a six-pack
14 of beer on it or something that was attracting
15 everybody to win a particular vote, but that they
16 went evenly, there might be some sort of coding error
17 in which it was switching from one candidate to the
18 other now by mistake.
19 If that were a programming error and I just want
20 to know where would that be?
21 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'm going to object to
22 the questioning; assuming facts that are not in
23 evidence that the court has already stricken.
24 MR. BROWN: That's not --
25 THE COURT: Let him --

1 MR. BROWN: I'm -- I'm sorry. I'm sorry. I'm
2 sorry.
MR. LINDSEY: I believe the court has ruled to
3
4 strike that analogy that it lead to those to -- to
that allegation and for that reason, Your Honor, we
5
6 would object.
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, that also calls for Mr.
7
8 Brown speculates as far as what might have happened
9 or what did happen.
10 THE COURT: Well, it's a hypothetical and I'm
11 going to let him answer to the best of his knowledge.
MR. LINDSEY: Okay, Your Honor.
12
13 THE COURT: He's one of the experts on this
stuff. But I take it as a hypothetical.
14
15 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Do you understand all that?
16
17 THE WITNESS: I'm -- I'm trying, yes, I am. I'm
18 trying to figure out the best way to answer the
question to the best of my knowledge.
19
20 THE COURT: Okay.
THE WITNESS: When -- when the GEMS database is
21
22 built, it builds a particular race, with a particular
set of candidates, in a particular order. When it
23
24 creates a memory card for a given location and it
25 creates that image and places it to the memory card.

1 And then that memory card is loaded to a device.

2 If that -- if I create five memory cards for a given location, those five memory cards are the same

3

4 content in structure and layout and in operation; they don't know what machine their going to be placed

5

6 in. The memory card just contains data. The memory card is read by the machine; the machine then shows

7

8 then that.

9 Q. And so, have you ever seen it in doing the

10 logic and accuracy test? Has that ever yielded, you

11 know, if it's not logical; it's not accurate and we need to go back and look at the coding to see why

12

13 that happened?
A. I have never seen in any of the testing

14

15 that we have -- that I've been involved with since 2001, with using this equipment where something that

16

17 was placed in during the testing environment did not

18 feedback the expected result that was in the testing.
Also, when doing various tests within elections

19

20 mode -- my work within -- at Kennesaw State and also with the Secretary of State's office -- not just

21

22 testing in election -- pre-election test mode, which is where an LMA testing is done -- but also testing

23

24 in election mode which is when election -- the mode

25 where elections are executed, I have never

1 encountered a situation where I put in one particular
2 vote and this way and it came out differently in the report.
3
4 Q. Is it -- in one of the responses is collecting and reviewing complaints that you've
5
6 received from voters about problems with elections?
A. We -- if we do receive a complaint -- and
7
8 the majority of the complaints come into the
9 elections division, that arm of the office. Our main
10 collection point are election officials themselves.
11 And if we get a notification from a county election official that, you know, we encountered this
12
13 instance when doing our testing, then that would be something that we would go and look into, find out if
14
15 there was something out of order.
Q. Now, you've received complaints about the -
16
17 - the operations of the machines in the November
18 election, right?
A. In November -- I mean, it was a general
19
20 election where there were a few million people voting, so we did get complaints about a touch screen
21
22 not powering on. We got complaints about a voter access card being rejected prematurely; about a
23
24 touchscreen apparently not being calibrated properly.
25 Things that we hear about with every election and

1 we've heard every election since 2002.

2 Q. How -- the -- did the complainant know that the screen was miscalibrated?

3

4 A. How do I know that they know --
Q. No. How did --

5

6 A. How did they know it was miscalibrated?
Q. Right?

7

8 A. I can't speak for how they knew. It's just

9 that they said that, you know, they encountered a

10 calibration issue.

11 Q. So, what the original touchscreen was different then the summary screen or something like

12

13 that?
A. Or they, you know, felt like they were

14

15 touching one area, but another area it was -- was appearing.

16

17 Q. Okay. What other complaints -- you've

18 mentioned a number, but what other complaints did you receive in 2018?

19

20 A. Any of the complaints that I've already outlined are the general complaints that I've heard.

21

22 And I did not personally take a lot of complaints on Election Day. I think I wrote down in my notes maybe

23

24 five or six complaints on Election Day.

25 Q. Okay. And then what was the office that

1 you said takes the ones from voters themselves, not
2 from officials?
A. That's the election division.
3
4 Q. Okay.
A. That's a different section, Secretary of
5
6 State's office.
Q. And -- and those -- okay. Let me take you
7
8 back to the weekend before the November election; are
9 you familiar with the alleged hacking of the voter
10 registration database on that weekend?
11 A. [inaudible] --
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I would object on this. This
12
13 does not -- in any issue of the voter registration
database in this case; lieutenant governor's race. This
14
15 is not about the DREs. I don't think it's relevant to
anything in this court.
16
17 MR. LINDSEY: Same objection, Your Honor. We're here
18 to deal with one race and one election.
THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
19
20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, this is hacking into the
election system that the Secretary of State --
21
22 THE COURT: I'm not going to let you go into that
unless you can show hacking into the -- into the actual
23
24 voting system, not the voter registration system.
25 MR. BROWN: Let me ask a ---

1 BY MR. BROWN:

2 Q. Could -- could -- could hacking into the registration --- the hacking into the registration

3 system could affect the number of people who are allowed to vote for a particular election; correct?

4 MR. TYSON: Your Honor.

5 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, the same objection as

6 before. That's not the issue here today. The issue here

7 today that you've raised to overturn this election is that

8 there was some type of -- of breakdown in the election

9 system; not that there - a certain voter was not allowed to vote, which we would also deny, but that's a different

10 issue. That's the issue here, so we object to the relevance.

11 THE COURT: Sustained.

12 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.

13 BY MR. BROWN:

14 Q. All right. Let me ask you this; are you aware of any investigation that the Secretary of

15 State did into hacking relating to the 2018 election?

16 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, he's being vague.

17 THE COURT: That's the same question.

18 MR. LINDSEY: It's just leading.

19 THE COURT: Artfully. Phrased differently.

20 MR. TYSON: I disagree that it was artful, and I

1 disagree it was different; it's just simply broader.

2 MR. BROWN: I'm asking if there was any.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'm asking --
3

4 THE COURT: If it goes to the election system itself,
not to the voter registration, the actual -- actual -- was
5

6 there any hacking in the actual voting system?
THE WITNESS: [inaudible].
7

8 BY MR. BROWN:

9 Q. And you did not investigate any hacking

10 into the election system as distinguished from the

11 registration system; correct?
A. Correct.
12

13 Q. And the Secretary of State's -- okay --
you've testified about it before I know there's been
14

15 a lot of testimony about the exposure of the system
at Kennesaw State in 2016 and 2017; are you familiar
16

17 generally with that issue?

18 A. I am.
Q. What has -- has the state undertaken a
19

20 forensic examination of the components of the
election's system to determine whether or not it was
21

22 infected with any malware because of that it's the --
-
23

24 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, once again, we're talking

25 about two entirely different systems; and unless he's

1 dealing with specifically the voter system we're going to
2 object to relevancy.
MR. TYSON: We would object to the lack of foundation
3
4 as far as the 2016 incident that affected any sort of like
databases. This is two years ago. There's no explanation
5
6 as to how --
THE COURT: I sustain it as to whether there's been
7
8 something because of something that happened that isn't in
9 front of me. I mean, you know, did they investigate
10 routinely for malware? I mean, that's one thing. But --
11 not going back and try to put something else on the record
that's not before me.
12
13 MR. BROWN: Well, I'll get it before you, Your Honor.
BY MR. BROWN:
14
15 Q. Now, Mr. Barnes, the -- what was exposed to
the public internet in 2016 and 2017?
16
17 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, we going to renew the
18 objection again.
THE COURT: Sustained.
19
20 MR. BROWN: Okay.
BY MR. BROWN:
21
22 Q. Mr. Barnes, what forensic review has your
office done with respect to the DRE machine voting
23
24 systems that were used in the 2018 election?
25 A. The Secretary of State's office in 2017

1 undertook a recertification of the voting system and
2 examined the equipment in three separate counties;
Muskogee County, Richmond County and Bibb County, to
3
4 examine and verify the voting equipment was working
as had been previously certified. And the
5
6 examination found that the equipment was working in
the same fashion and the same way as it had done
7
8 through previous certification tests.
9 Q. I had asked you if you -- if the Secretary
10 of State had done a forensic analysis and I guess the
11 answer is no, but they have done -- they have
recertified it, is that fair to say?
12
13 A. That's fair to say.
Q. Okay. Has the Secretary of State
14
15 undertaken an investigation into possible causes of
the voting pattern that was seen on the May 2018
16
17 election?
18 A. Undertaken an investigation, like, opened
an investigation to present to the state election
19
20 board?
Q. I -- I didn't mean to -- to wrap too much
21
22 into the word investigation, what I mean is if have
they looked into it to see what happened?
23
24 A. With every election with the Secretary of
25 State's office and elections division always look at

1 their election to see is there anything that we can
2 learn from this election.
And every election there's always an opportunity
3
4 to learn more about doing the next election even
better. So to the circumstance, has the Secretary of
5
6 State's office looked at the elections to, you know,
garner what can we do better in the future?
7
8 Absolutely.
9 Q. Has the Secretary of State considered that
10 whether there was a system error that caused the
11 voting pattern that was actually experienced in the
2018 race?
12
13 A. To my knowledge, no.
Q. Has that issues been raised just in casual
14
15 discussion in -- in your office?
MR. TYSON: I'll object to that. That requires
16
17 hearsay. What other people have said to Mr. Barnes in his
18 office is not at issue, Your Honor.
MR. BROWN: I'll -- I'll rephrase it.
19
20 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Have -- have -- have you discussed with
21
22 your colleagues' potential causes of the -- of the
voting pattern that was going on 2018?
23
24 A. Well, being hypothetical of what, you know,
25 what may cause people to act the way they do in

1 certain situations?

2 Q. No. This is -- this is -- I'm not -- back up a little bit. I'm not -- this is a factual

3

4 question.
THE COURT: Well, it's kind of very, very broad and

5

6 he's interpreting it one way and you're meaning it some other way.

7

8 MR. BROWN: Right. Have -- let me ask it in several

9 different ways, okay.

10 BY MR. BROWN:

11 Q. Has the Secretary of State undertaken an investigation to determine whether system defects

12

13 caused the voting pattern in the 2018 race?
A. No, sir.

14

15 Q. Has the Secretary of State done any forensic examination of any of the components of the

16

17 system to determine whether or not they're were

18 infected with any malware or misprogramming that might have affected the vote, period?

19

20 A. The Secretary of State's office received a letter from one of the lieutenant governor candidates

21

22 post-election stating one of -- stating a concern and listed some counties in that letter. Upon receiving

23

24 that letter, Secretary of State's office went to one

25 of the counties in question and did look at the

1 uploaded records into the GEMS computer.

2 They also extracted information from individual machines that wer used on Election Day to validate

3

4 that the records contained on the machine matched what has been uploaded previously into the GEMS

5

6 computer. Those valid images were produced and examined and found that everything was balancing and

7

8 showing as what was reported initially by the county

9 on Election Night.

10 Q. So that if the vote was wrong at the

11 precinct level, it was wrong exactly the same way at the Secretary of State's office, is that what I'm

12

13 hearing?

MR. TYSON: I'll object. I think that assumes facts

14

15 that aren't in evidence. I don't understand what the question is on that.

16

17 MR. BROWN: I think he can answer it. I think he

18 knows where I'm going.

THE COURT: No. I sustain the objection. You've got

19

20 to rephrase it. You keep assuming a bunch of things. That's just --

21

22 MR. BROWN: Okay. [inaudible]

BY MR. BROWN:

23

24 Q. So the analysis showed that the vote total

25 in the precinct matched the vote total at the

1 Secretary of State's office; correct?

2 A. The analysis showed that the vote total calculated by the individual devices examined and the

3

4 vote total tabulated by the separate GEMS computer using the data extracted directly from the machine

5

6 and compared against the data uploaded from the memory card used on Election Day was identically the

7

8 same, in that the vote totals calculated being

9 exactly the same in all instances examined.

10 Q. Okay. If the machine itself had

11 incorrectly recorded the vote, your testing would not have detected that; correct?

12

13 A. The testing that was done was to validate that -- what the machine had produced, the machine

14

15 continued to produce and show that result [inaudible].

16

17 Q. Okay. Let me ask it to you again because

18 you didn't answer the question. I'm going to get there, okay. If the machine did not correctly record

19

20 the vote, you would not have detected then in your review; correct?

21

22 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'm going to object to that. I guess assuming things are in evidence here as far was

23

24 what's happening in the sequence of the technology. I

25 don't -- I don't see how this --

1 THE COURT: I understand what you're saying.

2 MR. TYSON: Okay.
BY MR. BROWN:
3

4 Q. Go ahead and answer.
A. What our examination did is show that the
5

6 machine collected a result and reported what it
collected. All of my testing has always shown in
7

8 pre-election, in the testing, that anything that goes

9 in is what the machine shows coming out. And we were

10 seeing the back end of what the machine was pushing

11 outward and it was matching up on what was reported
on Election Night, what was printed on Election Night
12

13 from the memory card, extracted from the device. It
was matching up with what was calculated by the GEMS
14

15 computer upon receipt of that memory card and also it
was matching of what was on the record contained by
16

17 the devices, so.

18 Q. But none of that -- again, none of that
could tell you if the machine itself is recording the
19

20 vote, right?
A. All I can speak to is what the machine has
21

22 in memory, what it reported, and what it's saying is
on record.
23

24 Q. And you're familiar with the expression

25 garbage in, garbage out?

1 A. I've heard it before, yes, sir.

2 Q. Okay. And in response to Candidate Amico's letter, did the Secretary of State conduct any

3

4 machine-level investigation with any of the memory chips or anything like to determine if there were any

5

6 flaws there?
A. We inspected two machines on site in Ben

7

8 Hill County. We recovered from the machine's

9 internal memory the election result file that was

10 stored on the machine. We then -- once that image

11 file was restored, we then looked at the ballot images that were collected in that file through the

12

13 DRE.
So we looked at the physical DRE display of

14

15 those collected ballots and that requires us to touch the screen to maneuver through and we did not

16

17 encounter any issues when touching the screen that

18 lead us to believe that the screen was not responsive to proper touch or use. And we then from those

19

20 devices printed out new totals from that device and those totals match all previous introduced totals.

21

22 Q. You say you looked at the election reports [inaudible]?

23

24 A. The election result data. The file --

25 result file.

1 THE COURT: I have no idea what that is.

2 MR. BROWN: It sounds like a machine.
THE COURT: Huh?
3

4 MR. BROWN: Printing machine.
THE COURT: The printing machine.
5

6 MR. BROWN: [inaudible]
THE COURT: What printing machine?
7

8 MR. BROWN: It's very fast.

9 THE COURT: I was going to say it was on the roof but
10 we're on the second floor and there's seven floors on this
11 building. It's information for the presiding judge who's
not in here. Yeah. It's information for the presiding
12
13 judge that's not in here, so. There's some -- they move
us all around all the time. So I'll put that back there.
14
15 There's Judge [inaudible]. Go ahead.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
16
17 BY MR. BROWN:
18 Q. Is the election -- well, what was the name
of that file again?
19
20 A. The ballot results on.
Q. The ballot results on. Okay. And so the
21
22 Secretary of State didn't look at other parts of the
internal memory, did it?
23
24 A. No.
25 Q. Okay. So it didn't look at the boot

1 loader?

2 A. No.
Q. It didn't look at the operating system?
3

4 A. When the machine powered on we did a review
of what operating system was displayed and it was the
5

6 standard certified operating system that was found on
those devices; as well as the install [inaudible] for
7

8 servers, that certified for use in the State of

9 Georgia.

10 Q. And the Secretary of State didn't give you

11 any actual sort of code-level review to look for
malware in the data?
12

13 A. Correct.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I caution that I'm entering
14

15 in an area that you've already ruled upon, but I want to
make a clarification.
16

17 The suggestion was made by the defendants in your

18 objection [inaudible] our case, it's not raised, issues
involving the registration --
19

20 THE COURT: Just ask the questions, please. Just ask
the questions. Don't ask me to prove judgment. Let's
21

22 just -- for the question, ask it, and we'll go from there.
MR. BROWN: I want to be careful --
23

24 THE COURT: If they don't like, they'll jump, and

25 we'll do it then.

1 MR. BROWN: I just want to be careful [inaudible]
2 respect to --
THE COURT: [inaudible]
3
4 MR. BROWN: Okay.
BY MR. BROWN:
5
6 Q. Now the election's registration system has been plagued with difficulties over the last --
7
8 THE COURT: I have ruled on that. Don't go there.
9 MR. BROWN: Okay. The reason I'm asking --
10 THE COURT: And like I said, they jumped up. Okay.
11 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would just point out just for the record is that is our allegation paragraph
12
13 [inaudible].
THE COURT: I ruled on it. Quit pushing me all the
14
15 time.
BY MR. BROWN:
16
17 Q. Let me direct your attention to the ballot
18 design. Now what kind of role does your office have in ballot design for the electronic system?
19
20 A. When using GEMS, we first lay out the ballots so that -- structurally is what we focus on
21
22 first, make sure we have the right local districts in play, have the right precincts in play, and have the
23
24 right split precincts in play when a precinct is
25 divided.

1 Q. Okay.

2 A. And then have the right races and have the right candidates and have the right polling

3

4 locations. GEMS then takes all of that relationship information and produces a ballot style. It is a

5

6 layout of individual races. It does it in two formats.

7

8 It lays out a ballot style for optical scan

9 purposes that we set the number of columns in the

10 ballot format for the general election in 2013. The

11 predominant format was three columns on the front side of the ballot and three columns on the back side

12

13 of the ballot. Some locations had to go to a four column in the front and four column in the back

14

15 because of the sheer number of races that were included on the ballot.

16

17 That's the configuration that we set up for the

18 printer op scan ballot. For the configuration of the touch screen we set up a two-column ballot and that

19

20 has been the format that we've had in place since before 2010. When we initially started using the

21

22 DREs in 2002, it was three-column ballot on the DRE. It's now currently transitioned to a two-column

23

24 ballot around 2008 or 2002. The decision was made at

25 that time that the State wanted to give more space on

1 the ballot for each individual race that was seen on
2 the DRE. So we set up a configuration from building the data set that we're going to have a two-column
3
4 ballot displayed on the DRE.
Q. Okay. Now the -- in terms of this
5
6 accessibility or fairness, are there some guidelines that you use for designing a ballot?
7
8 A. There are rules in the -- State Election
9 Board rules for displaying of candidate name, the
10 font size that you use, whether you do all caps. The
11 position of candidates is set by statute in general elections whether the Republican candidate or the
12
13 Democratic candidate is listed first or second. The order of races is set again by statute of what race
14
15 comes first in the ballot, what race is second, third, and so forth and so on.
16
17 Q. Are there rules or regulations governing
18 whether a ballot can go from one -- if a race can be on two pages? In other words, the first candidate on
19
20 one page and then the second candidate on the other page.
21
22 A. When we are building the database, I'm not aware there are rules that says it can't be that way.
23
24 But when we are building the database we -- one of
25 the things that we look at once we have built that

1 structure, we look at on a DRE to see if any of these
2 circumstances may be arising. Are the number of candidates so large in a given race that we have to
3
4 change the -- what we call the scaling of the image that is shown on the screen?
5
6 We did encounter this in 2016 with the presidential preference primary on the Republican
7
8 side. When we first built the database there -- when
9 we first built the first arrangement of the database
10 for proof of purpose it had 16 candidates. The
11 scaling size that we used was actually pushing candidates to a second screen. We adjusted the
12
13 scaling -- reduced the scaling so that all of the candidates showed up on one screen all together under
14
15 the same header so that we would produce any voter confusion.
16
17 Q. Now in this election, 2018, did you design
18 any ballots that had the lieutenant governor's race split between one screen and the next?
19
20 A. To my knowledge the -- every voter in the state of Georgia on Election Day when they were using
21
22 a DRE unit and it is the normal display, the standard display, it had two races on the first frame. It had
23
24 -- on the left-hand side it had the governor's race
25 and all the candidates, and on the right-hand side

1 it had the lieutenant governor's race and all
2 candidates. And those were the only two races on the screen.
3
4 Q. And if some other configuration appeared it would have been the result of a machine mistake or
5
6 error; correct?
A. If a voter had put it into magnifying mode,
7
8 which the voter has the ability to do so, they would
9 have only been showing one race on the first screen,
10 and that would have been the governor, and then the
11 second race would have been the lieutenant governor on the second screen.
12
13 Q. By that orientation, where would the splitting of the lieutenant governor race that you're
14
15 aware of [inaudible].
A. That I am aware of.
16
17 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, if I could take a minute
18 break --
THE COURT: Sure.
19
20 MR. BROWN: -- [inaudible]
THE COURT: Why don't we take a few minutes then.
21
22 I'll be back in about ten minutes. Okay.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
23
24 MR. TYSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
25 [Off the record at 3:30 p.m., and back on the record

1 at 3:37 p.m.]

2

CONTINUATION DIRECT EXAMINATION

3

4 OF MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES

5

6 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. I'm going to hand you what's been marked as

7

8 Plaintiff's 8 and [inaudible]

9 THE COURT: Exhibit 8? Okay.

10 Q. Let me direct you to the last page of

11 Exhibit 8. Is the first email on the last page of
Exhibit 8 an email from a Steven [phonetic] Clay to

12

13 you and others?
MR. TYSON: I object on this. This is related to the

14

15 [inaudible] state issue in 2016 it looks like in these
emails, so.

16

17 THE COURT: Okay. You're going to identify what 8 is

18 --
MR. BROWN: All right.

19

20 THE COURT: I know you're trying to identify the last
page, but what's the dates on it?

21

22 MR. BROWN: I can do all of [inaudible].
BY MR. BROWN:

23

24 Q. Mr. Barnes, does Exhibit 8 appear to be

25 true and correct [inaudible] emails between various people

1 at your office [inaudible]?

2 A. It appears to be email communications from the Center for Election Systems at Kennesaw State in

3

4 2017.
MR. BROWN: Move to admit, Your Honor.

5

6 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, this appears to be once again related to the incident at Kennesaw State --

7

8 THE COURT: In '17? In '17?

9 MR. BROWN: In '17 is related to the Kennesaw State

10 matter that does [inaudible].

11 THE COURT: You're going to have to [inaudible]. Based on what you just said does not [inaudible] for me to

12

13 admit. We're talking about 2018, November race, that's all we're talking about.

14

15 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, we have alleged and proven that [inaudible] in 2017 could still impact the system --

16

17 THE COURT: No, you haven't proven that.

18 MR. BROWN: Well, I haven't [inaudible]
THE COURT: [inaudible] You haven't done that. I'll

19

20 let it in; okay? I'm going to let it in, because you misstate so many things, Mr. Brown. You really do. And

21

22 if it's -- [inaudible]. I'll shut up and let you run the show.

23

24 MR. BROWN: No, ma'am, the reason why I said that is

25 the record was --

1 THE COURT: Sir, you have not proved it. I am the
2 one that determines what's proved. Whether you will, I don't know, let's find out.
3
4 MR. BROWN: I understand. Let me back up a little bit and I understand --
5
6 THE COURT: Don't argue with me all the time. Just ask the gentleman questions, please, sir.
7
8 MR. BROWN: Okay. [inaudible] I move to admit this
9 Exhibit --
10 THE COURT: I said I'll admit it. How many more
11 times do you want me to say that?
MR. BROWN: Turn to page 8.
12
13 THE COURT: Can I get a copy of it now, please? [inaudible] discretion.
14
15 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Barnes, what is the compromise that is
16
17 referred to on page 8 of Exhibit 8? And it's in the
18 email from Mr. Gay [ph] to Michael Barnes [inaudible].
19
20 A. I believe this is referring to the web server that was attacked at the Center for Election
21
22 Systems.
Q. And the web server housed what programs or
23
24 information?
25 A. It didn't house any programs. It was a

1 distribution server that counties would obtain, like,
2 ballot proofs, data files that power their Express
Poll devices; data that the Center for Election
3
4 Systems constructed at Kennesaw State while it was
under contract with the Secretary of State's office
5
6 and supporting counties in state and federal
elections.
7
8 Q. And how was the -- that site compromised?
9 A. It was a web server and somebody
10 infiltrated the portions of the web server files that
11 were behind password protection.
Q. Let me direct your attention to the next
12
13 page [inaudible] and this may not be in [inaudible]
sequence so I'm going to assume that they are
14
15 [inaudible]. On page 7 in Exhibit 8, do you recall
receiving this email? Or recall being cc'd on this
16
17 email?
18 A. [inaudible]
Q. Did you have an understanding when you
19
20 received this what the author meant, or what it meant
to you, that obviously this is kind of untenable in
21
22 the current atmosphere?
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, while you have
23
24 admitted this document --
25 THE COURT: [inaudible] I've just got to see it. The

1 first page refers to [inaudible] investigation in regard
2 to voter registration records.
MR. LINDSEY: Yes, Your Honor.
3
4 THE COURT: I've already ruled that out repeatedly; ruled that out.
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible] with whether or not the system that --
7
8 THE COURT: And these are not in any kind of order.
9 MR. LINDSEY: -- whether or not the system has --
10 whether or not this has anything to do with the system
11 that collects voters' actual votes in 2018. What about the system [inaudible] --
12
13 MR. BROWN: [inaudible]
MR. LINDSEY: Well, let me back up. I'm here to
14
15 state my [inaudible]. My objection, Your Honor, this dealt with an entirely different system. You've already
16
17 ruled it dealt with an entirely different system and we
18 would object --
THE COURT: I think the question was, there were
19
20 errors then, have they been corrected? That's the only question on the floor.
21
22 MR. LINDSEY: My --
THE COURT: [inaudible] isn't relevant.
23
24 MR. LINDSEY: Yeah, my objection is that this dealt
25 with a situation you've already ruled on, which was

1 [inaudible]

2 THE COURT: I can see we might want to [inaudible].
MR. LINDSEY: Is there a -- I mean, if we could
3

4 stipulate that whatever [inaudible] have not been fixed,
maybe that will speed things up. [inaudible] stipulate
5

6 that it's relevant because it's not [inaudible] with the
system at issue here regarding the 2018 election.
7

8 MR. BROWN: I would love to put Mr. Lindsey on the

9 witness stand for that but --

10 THE COURT: Why do you talk over the top of

11 everybody? Now you're talking over the top of Mr.
Lindsey.
12

13 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'm simply just restating
the fact that you've already previously ruled that the
14

15 issue at Kennesaw State had nothing to do with the
election in 2018 because it dealt with [inaudible]
16

17 THE COURT: I sustain your objection, Mr. Lindsey.

18 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
BY MR. BROWN:
19

20 Q. When did the Secretary of State change the
system after Kennesaw State?
21

22 A. The -- when operations transitioned from
Kennesaw State to the Secretary of State's office --
23

24 the transition began before the physical transition.

25 The Secretary of State began constructing their

1 internal --

2 Q. I apologize for interrupting you, but I'm asking you a date question.

3

4 A. January 1, 2018.
Q. [inaudible] January 1, 2018. And what did

5

6 they change?
A. The entire air gap private network that's

7

8 used to -- that houses the election programming

9 software, GEMS, at the state level was transitioned

10 to a new air gap system maintained by the Secretary

11 of State Information Technology office and became operational when the Center for Election Systems took

12

13 up residency within the Secretary of State's office. Prior to the use of that system, that system was put

14

15 through an analysis by [inaudible], which the voting system testing lab out of Huntsville, Alabama to

16

17 validate that the installation of GEMS owned that

18 system matches the installation that [inaudible] had previously inspected.

19

20 Q. Okay. So is it your testimony that the -- that everything was changed over on January 1, 2018?

21

22 A. That the system that's used to generate the GEMS databases that are designed -- that are

23

24 developed and issued to counties to execute their

25 elections, the system that those -- that work was

1 done on was a brand new system as of January 1, 2018.

2 Q. Okay. A brand new what? A brand new hardware, brand new programming?

3

4 A. Brand new hardware.
Q. Okay. What about --

5

6 A. We were still using the certified copy of GEMS that we had been using in the state of Georgia

7

8 since 2000 -- late 2011.

9 Q. Okay. So it was the same GEMS system?

10 A. We have been using the same GEMS program

11 throughout the state of Georgia uniformly since late 2011.

12

13 Q. And that same program that has continued in use was on -- was it on the web server --

14

15 A. No, sir.
Q. Okay. Was it put on a web server?

16

17 A. No, sir.

18 Q. Okay. So did anything on the web server get put on the same place as the GEMS database?

19

20 A. No, sir.
Q. Okay. The -- if the GEMS -- well, so you

21

22 said it's -- Mr. Lindsey said everything was changed, it's a different system, the GEMS database is the

23

24 same; correct?

25 A. We have been using the same GEMS program in

1 Georgia since late 2011.

2 Q. Thank you. Sorry to interrupt [inaudible].
Let me direct your attention to page 4 on Exhibit 8.
3

4 THE COURT: These were written before he said
everything was changed. So let's not go into --
5

6 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, he said the GEMS database
was not a change.
7

8 THE COURT: I understand.

9 MR. BROWN: [inaudible] Let me get right to the point

10 [inaudible] overtake I think an objection.

11 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Barnes, did any of the 45-plus critical
12

13 vulnerabilities -- 40-plus critical vulnerabilities
relate in any way to any -- to the GEMS database?
14

15 A. No, sir.
Q. Did the critical vulnerabilities relate to
16

17 -- into the DREs or in the way the DREs were current?

18 A. No, sir.
Q. What did they relate to?
19

20 A. They're related to a web server that was
distributing the data to counties -- counties for
21

22 elections. It was the portal that the Center for
Elections use as their outside communication tool,
23

24 their website.

25 Elections.Kennesaw.edu was housed on that

1 server. Counties have a sign-in to that location to
2 obtain some information like ballot proofs for review
3 purposes, where they could portal in and access that
4 information.
5 Q. Mr. Barnes, I want to go back to your
6 testimony about the ballot design; do you recall
7 that? And I want to clarify first, you were
8 describing the scalability of the ballot design,
9 different challenges. There's a lot of candidates,
10 like, in the presidential preference primary for the
11 Republicans in 2016. Does the county have an
12 opportunity to participate in ballot designs?
13 A. The county has an opportunity to review the
14 data set at any given time during the review process.
15 Most counties take the reports that we generate and
16 provide to them that are sample ballots in the
17 optical scan format, because that's the easiest thing
18 to produce, the PDF format, and provide the counties
19 for a layout -- of validating that the right races
20 are in the right place on the ballot.
21 But some counties choose, Gwinnett County being
22 one of them in November of 2018, to obtain a copy of
23 the GEMS database while it is in production. For
24 them then to then take that database, install it onto
25 a DRE so they can see what it's going to look like on

1 the DRE themselves. And if they see anything that
2 they would like adjusted, then they notify our office of what needs to be adjusted and that adjustment is
3
4 made.
Q. [inaudible] and Gwinnett was a longer
5
6 ballot because it was in two languages; is that right? Was that one of the issues?
7
8 A. It was a more complicated ballot. It was
9 the first election that Gwinnett County was having
10 with multiple language, so they were doing a lot of
11 proofing in multiple languages to make sure the ballot was being displayed as they needed it
12
13 displayed to be in adherence with federal statute.
Q. I asked you before with respect to the work
14
15 that you -- your office had done with respect to the ballot design whether in any other various -- the
16
17 ballots had been out, the election for the lieutenant
18 governor was split between two pages and you said, no. Do you know if that's the case with respect to
19
20 what the counties ended up using [inaudible]?
A. We have the same DRE equipment at our
21
22 disposal as the counties have at their disposal. The image that we can generate on the DRE should be equal
23
24 to what a county is seeing on display when they are
25 generating. That's the best to which I can answer

1 that question.

2 Q. To the best of your knowledge.
A. To the best of my knowledge.
3

4 Q. To the best of your knowledge the ballots
displayed in the county, did -- would not have shown
5

6 on the lieutenant governor's race on two different
screens; is that right?
7

8 A. That is correct.

9 Q. That's all I have. Thanks, again.

10 THE COURT: Any questions?

11

CROSS-EXAMINATION
12

13 OF MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES

14

15 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. Mr. Barnes, let's pick up where Mr. Brown
16

17 had been talking ballot design. Let me show you what

18 would be marked as -- where is it I'm at? Duncan 2?
Duncan 2.
19

20 And I'm going to ask you if you will -- if you
could identify this and tell me what it -- what is
21

22 shows, [inaudible] design of the [inaudible] ballots
in I believe 200- -- 2018, 2014, and 2010. Does this
23

24 accurately show the ballot design of the governor and

25 lieutenant governor's races for those three election

1 cycles? [inaudible]

2 A. Yes, sir, it does.
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I would tender these into
3

4 evidence.
MR. BROWN: No objection, Your Honor.
5

6 THE COURT: Received.
MR. TYSON: [inaudible]
7

8 BY MR. LINDSEY [inaudible]:

9 Q. There is in this packet two pictures of

10 each year. Could you explain to the Court why?

11 A. Sure. The two pictures -- the picture --
there are two DRE models that are used in the state
12

13 of Georgia. There is the TSx model and there is the
R6 model.
14

15 The TSx is -- has the gray case around it. The
screen and the R6 model has the white case around the
16

17 screen. So these are images of both models

18 displaying the ballot from November of 2018.
Q. And let me go back and show to the 2010
19

20 screen and that screen -- rather, in these screens
the U.S. Senate race and the governor's race is on
21

22 the first page and the next page has the lieutenant
governor and other races; correct?
23

24 A. Correct.

25 Q. In 2014, there was once again U.S. Senate

1 race and once again the U.S. Senate is -- and the
2 governor's race is on the first page and the race for lieutenant governor and other state constitutional
3
4 offices are on the next page; correct?
A. Correct.
5
6 Q. Now, the situation is different in 2018; is it not?
7
8 A. That's correct.
9 Q. Why?
10 A. There was no United States Senate race on
11 the ballot in 2018. The first race on all ballots across the state was for governor.
12
13 Q. So as a result, so instead of being on two different screens, the governor and lieutenant
14
15 governor's race are both on the same screen.
A. That's correct.
16
17 Q. Correct? Okay. Now, you are as part of
18 your job, concerned about ballot design; correct?
A. Correct.
19
20 Q. And for instance you are knowledgeable, for instance, what happened in Broward County; correct?
21
22 A. Correct.
Q. Tell the Judge what happened in Broward
23
24 County.
25 A. In November 2018 in Broward County, they

1 had a -- I believe it's -- the United States Senate
2 race was at the bottom of the first column on the ballot.
3
4 It came below the set instructions. So at the very -- after those instructions ended, they had
5
6 enough space at the bottom of this piece of paper that they could include the United States senate race
7
8 at the bottom of that column and then the governor's
9 race was at the top of the second column on the
10 ballot. Other counties -- that was Broward County --
11 is the United States senate was at the bottom of -- underneath the instructions.
12
13 Q. So as a result in Broward County fewer people voted in the U.S. Senate race than they did in
14
15 other counties?
A. The layout in Broward County was different
16
17 than it was in other counties.
18 Q. Yeah.
A. In other counties the United States Senate
19
20 race was at the top of the second column. There was no race below the instructions on other county
21
22 ballots in Florida.
Q. And did that have an impact on voter
23
24 participation? Was that the concern that had
25 impacted the voter [inaudible]?

1 A. There was concern that because the race was
2 at the -- below the instructions that voters might
not have noticed that it was below the instructions
3
4 and then may have just bypassed it and ignored it.
Q. Yeah. Now after this election, Mr. Brown
5
6 asked you, had you had any discussions about why
there's a difference between the paper ballot down-
7
8 ballot voting for lieutenant governor and the
9 electronic ballot down-ballot race? Do you recall
10 him asking you that?
11 A. Yes, sir.
Q. And have you in fact had any discussion
12
13 about whether or not the ballot design may have
impacted that race?
14
15 A. Post-election, you know, looking at the
design of the ballot, we have always been very
16
17 pleased with the two-column ballot because it gives,
18 you know -- you know, two races very clearly separate
apart but when we, you know, start thinking about the
19
20 election of what all was going on with the given
election, could this ballot layout been confusing to
21
22 voters.
And there's a possibility that it could have
23
24 been confusing, especially for new voters that are
25 voting for the first time in the state of Georgia

1 that may not have had an interaction in voting in
2 Georgia or using a DRE. You know, a cursory glance of the screen like this you may feel like because the
3
4 Republican candidates are line up and the Democratic candidates are lining up that you make one selection
5
6 and you're voting for a ticket.
So that could be how a voter interprets that or
7
8 it may not be. I could just be that they see the
9 first race and they are focused on when they get to
10 the next element. Each individual voter's actions
11 that -- are their own.
Q. And in fact you are aware that program's
12
13 [inaudible]?
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, this is already leading and,
14
15 Your Honor, I would [inaudible] leading the witness.
MR. LINDSEY: That doesn't matter. It has to be
16
17 adverse. [inaudible].
18 THE COURT: [inaudible], therefore he's got him on cross.
19
20 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
21
22 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. So obviously, you know, you're aware of the
23
24 fact that the president and my president, for instance,
25 run on a ticket; correct?

1 A. Correct.

2 Q. and are you aware that, I believe, in 25 states that have lieutenant governors and governors, they

3

4 run on a ticket; are you aware of that?
A. I learned something today that -- I know

5

6 that there are some jurisdictions where they on a ticket with a number.

7

8 Q. Okay. Are you aware that, for instance, in

9 Florida they run on a ticket?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. Are you aware that in South Carolina, two of our neighboring states, they also run on a ticket?

12

13 A. Yes.
Q. So it is common, is it not, that in many

14

15 states that the governor and lieutenant governor weren't on a ticket?

16

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Okay. Now, in addition to the general design, did you also do a analysis in terms of the

19

20 number of new voters voting in Georgia for the first time --

21

22 A. Post --
Q. -- in 2014 and 2018? Post-election.

23

24 A. Secretary of State's office did reach out

25 to their vendor of the voter registration system to

1 ask the question of how many new voters participated
2 for the -- or how many voters participated for the first time in 2018, also in 2014. In 2014, I believe
3
4 the number was --
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I object. This is hearsay.
5
6 It's [inaudible].
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, he's doing this in his
7
8 official capacity to basically analyze the number of new
9 voters.
10 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I'm not aware of any official
11 capacity except to the hearsay ruled upon.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, this is part of his
12
13 official duties is to --
THE COURT: We've talked about numbers all over the
14
15 place.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, these are not --
16
17 THE COURT: And all other kinds of ways. I'll allow
18 it.
MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
19
20 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. That in 2014, there were just over 98,000
21
22 voters in Georgia that participated for the first time in the November 2014 election, but in the 2018
23
24 election there were a number of 336,000 voters or a
25 little over that that voted for the first time on --

1 in November of 2018. And as far as your discussions,
2 was the concern about confusion by new voters coming in from other states?
3
4 A. Yes.
Q. And that's part of the concerns you had in
5
6 terms of the inadvertent layout that was created as a result of the U.S. Senate race not being on the
7
8 ballot?
9 A. Correct.
10 Q. Okay. Now Mr. Brown also asked you about
11 -- several questions about getting necessary reports from GEMS and elsewhere about verifying that the --
12
13 that the election results.
And at one point you were asked to run a report
14
15 that formed this lawsuit, a report that was given to the parties assuring every voter received lieutenant
16
17 governor on the ballot. Do you recall being asked
18 about that before?
A. Yes.
19
20 Q. Okay. You did not run a report for every county; correct?
21
22 A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. But you did run a report on the
23
24 counties that are all on -- named parties in this
25 lawsuit; correct?

1 A. That's correct.

2 Q. And that would be Fulton and Gwinnett; correct?

3

4 A. Correct.
MR. TYSON [inaudible]: [inaudible] what?

5

6 MR. LINDSEY: Fulton and Gwinnett.
Q. Let me first show you Gwinnett -- let me

7

8 show you both [inaudible]. First let me show you

9 Gwinnett and ask you to please identify Gwinnett

10 [inaudible]. If you could identify that for the

11 Court.
A. This is the base precinct with reports --

12

13 base precinct with races report for Gwinnett County and note there were 2018 election.

14

15 Q. And you -- tell the Court what that means -- what that means.

16

17 A. What this report shows is every -- a voter

18 is connected to a base precinct within a GEMS database. And what this shows is the base precinct

19

20 associated to the precinct and then what races are associated to that base precinct. So this show --

21

22 and a voter is going to be associated to the base precinct. So this is showing what races each

23

24 individual voter within a precinct would see when

25 they were given their ballot.

1 Q. Okay.

2 MR. LINDSEY: We would tender Exhibits 3 and 4. [inaudible] 3 and 4. [inaudible]

3

4 MR. BROWN: I have no objection, Your Honor.
THE COURT: They're admitted.

5

6 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. And what do these reports -- you would tell

7

8 the Court what these reports show.

9 A. It shows, again, all races for that given

10 base precinct or present all statewide races that

11 were being contested in November are shown with every base precinct listed in the report.

12

13 Q. Okay. So if I understand you correctly what you're telling me is that Mr. Duncan and Ms.

14

15 Amico appeared on every electronic ballot then in those two counties?

16

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. And did you ever receive any complaint from anywhere in the state that this race did not appear

19

20 on an electronic ballot?
A. We did not.

21

22 Q. To go back to the issue of configuration about how was the ballot that we just finished

23

24 discussing, the electronic ballot, differed from the

25 paper ballots that folks would receive when they did

1 write-in?

2 A. In relation to layout?
Q. Layout, particularly the governor and
3

4 lieutenant governor's race.
A. The optical scan ballot, again being three
5

6 or four columns in display, front and back, the
governor's race would have been the first race below
7

8 the ballot that are -- in the -- on the left -- far

9 left column and then the race right below that would

10 have been the lieutenant governor and then the race

11 below that would have been Secretary of State.
Q. So you wouldn't have had simply the
12

13 governor and lieutenant governors on the -- on only
one page?
14

15 A. That's correct.
Q. Plus you had a north-south situation as
16

17 opposed to east-west?

18 A. Correct.
Q. Now in addition to checking to make sure
19

20 that this race appeared on all the ballots, you also
were asked as part of the discovery in this case, to
21

22 prepare from GEMS a report testing to ensure that the
votes that are made on a machine are actually
23

24 calculated in the system; correct?

25 A. Correct.

1 Q. Okay. And you did this for both Fulton and
2 Gwinnett, correct --
A. Correct.
3
4 Q. -- were named parties in this case. And if
you would explain to the Court -- let me show you the
5
6 -- and ask you if this is [inaudible] would be and
then explain to the Court what actually this report
7
8 shows.
9 A. These are the active voter TS status
10 reports from the Fulton and Gwinnett county databases
11 and what these reports show are the number of memory
cards created for their voting locations and the
12
13 upload status of those memory cards.
Q. Okay. In English what do they [inaudible]?
14
15 A. It shows that the touch screen unit that
was used that the information collected by that
16
17 machine has been uploaded into GEMS [inaudible].
18 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we would tender these two
Exhibits which would be 5 and 6 [inaudible].
19
20 MR. BROWN: No objection, Your Honor.
THE COURT: So admitted..
21
22 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. And after analyzing the report once again,
23
24 what are they -- tell the Court what they showed.
25 A. They showed that there are no outstanding

1 memory cards. That all memory cards that were
2 created that would have collected that would have collected votes, have been uploaded and accounted for
3
4 by GEMS.
Q. Okay. Now you were asked by Mr. Brown also
5
6 about what you at the Secretary of State's office does beforehand to get ballots ready for voting. Do
7
8 you recall that last question?
9 A. Yes.
10 Q. Let me ask you, do you do any testing,
11 parallel testing for instance, on Election Day to make sure that the systems are operating correctly?
12
13 A. We do.
Q. Tell the Judge what you do.
14
15 A. In the days leading up to the election we will make -- Secretary of State's office will make a
16
17 request to a county in Georgia to provide to us a
18 copy of their GEMS database from their GEMS computer. The State constructs the database and sends it to the
19
20 county.
But for parallel testing we ask that whatever
21
22 the county has loaded into their system, that they make a copy of that database and send it back to the
23
24 State so that we at the Secretary of State's office
25 can then create our own memory cards from their copy

1 of their database, load into touch screen equipment
2 that we have in our possession, do a logic and accuracy test on the device to make sure it's working
3
4 as it should, and then on Election Day itself we videotape the input of a test deck into the device in
5
6 election mode.
So we will have an individual that is holding
7
8 the pre-filled ballot out and instructing the test
9 voter on what selections to make while that is all
10 being videotaped. And that's done within the voting
11 period on Election Day. And then at the end of that timeframe we'll end the election on those devices and
12
13 printout tapes from those devices, and validate the results being produced by the device match the known
14
15 results of the test deck.
Q. Okay. And you do that to make sure that if
16
17 Edward Lindsey cast a vote for Mike Boland for
18 governor, that it's properly counting?
A. Correct.
19
20 Q. And when you did that parallel testing on Election Day, did you discover any irregularities?
21
22 A. We did not.
Q. And among the races that you tested for was
23
24 the lieutenant governor's race; correct?
25 A. That's correct.

1 Q. Thank you. Now you were talking about
2 after the election Ms. Amico came and raised some concerns. And you mentioned that you went and
3
4 checked a county. What county was that, just for the record?
5
6 A. Ben Hill County.
7 Q. Ben Hill County. And you went to Ben Hill
8 because that was somebody Ms. Amico was concerned
9 about; correct?
10 A. Correct.
11 Q. Okay. And the results were the same things that were tabulated on the card were being uploaded
12
13 to the Secretary of State's office; correct?
14 A. That's correct.
15 Q. Okay. Now were you asked earlier why -- well, you were asked earlier whether the Secretary of
16
17 State had done any testing or discovered there was
18 any kind of malware on the system; correct?
19 A. Correct.
20 Q. Been asked that. Given what you do on the parallel testing, the outcome, did you believe there
21
22 was any reason to do any forensic testing for malware?
23
24 A. Based on what we have encountered, no, sir.
25 Q. Okay. Now you -- there have been several

1 questions raised about hacking, this, that, and the
2 other; could you explain -- from my understanding,
and I'm not a math major and a physics major, I'm a
3
4 history major with a specialty in Florentine
brimstone, so --
5
6 THE COURT: That's really helpful
Q. -- so I'm going to talk really slowly to
7
8 make this explanation here. Could you please explain
9 the difference between an open system that would be
10 on the web page, and a closed system?
11 A. A closed system has no external connection
port is that it is a device directly connected to
12
13 another device and there is not a line from that pair
going outward placing so that someone can portal
14
15 inward into that device. They can't access an IP
address. It's closed off.
16
17 The only way to interact with the system is
18 through direct interaction with the system. An open
network is akin to the internet. When you have
19
20 computers and multiple locations communicating to one
another through a public means.
21
22 Q. So someone [inaudible] Putin in Russia
could not use a computer there utilizing the internet
23
24 to be able to get into your system and hack it;
25 correct?

1 A. Correct.

2 Q. Okay. Were there any other anomalies in
this election, particularly regarding the lieutenant
3

4 governor's race, in regards to write-in votes?
A. When looking at the certified returns for
5

6 various counties in the Metro area, we saw that there
were -- for the statewide races that the lieutenant
7

8 governor's office seemed to have the highest number

9 of write-in votes collected.

10 Q. Okay. Now you didn't check every county,

11 did you?
A. No, sir.
12

13 Q. All right. You checked, I believe, three
counties; Fulton, Gwinnett, and DeKalb; correct?
14

15 A. Correct.
Q. Let me show you Fulton [inaudible] and let
16

17 me ask you to explain what these three documents --

18 certified documents I might add, show.
A. These appear to be the certified returns
19

20 from Dekalb County, Gwinnett County, and Fulton
County, and they are -- they contain the election
21

22 summary reports for those counties.
And the election summary report shows each
23

24 individual race that was present in the database for

25 that given county. It shows the total number of

1 precincts involved in that election shows the number
2 of precincts reporting by race. It shows the number of times that particular race was seen by the
3
4 tabulating system.
It shows the total number of votes that were
5
6 counted for that race and it shows the number of votes collected for each individual candidate listed
7
8 in that race, including write-ins.
9 Q. All right. Write-ins, if I understand
10 correctly, they are write-ins in which someone goes
11 to the trouble to get their vote tabulated and there are write-ins for Mickey Mouse, that sort of thing.
12
13 A. That's correct. There are certified write-in candidates and then there are candidates that are
14
15 not certified write-ins.
Q. And that includes those who are not
16
17 certified write-in candidates; correct?
18 A. This includes all write-ins collected by the system.
19
20 Q. When you reviewed that, what did you discover for these three counties, for instance,
21
22 using [inaudible] because they were named parties in this case? What did you discover about the number of
23
24 write-ins in the lieutenant governor's race versus
25 other races that were statewide?

1 A. That the highest number of write-ins cast
2 for statewide office was in the lieutenant governor's office.
3
4 Q. Okay.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we would tender Exhibits --
5
6 [inaudible] 7, 8, and 9.
THE COURT: Mr. Brown.
7
8 MR. BROWN: You're tendering those?
9 MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible] I'm tendering --
10 THE COURT: He tendered his exhibits.
11 MR. LINDSEY: I'm tendering the exhibits.
MR. BROWN: [inaudible]
12
13 THE COURT: Okay. No objection. That's [inaudible].
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I just have one last
14
15 [inaudible] and I will release him, I guess, [inaudible]. Your Honor, I believe the parties have stipulated to the
16
17 admissibility of Secretary of State certified statewide
18 races.
I just want to let it [inaudible] in the record. I
19
20 would, first of all, tender Exhibits 10, 11, and 12. Is that what I'm up to? Ten being the election for 2010, 11
21
22 being for '14, and 12 being for '18. Let me show you what I have here. '18 and '14, rather, and '10.
23
24 BY MR. LINDSEY:
25 Q. [inaudible] Let me simply ask you to look

1 at those. Those are in fact the official election
2 returns from those three years that are located on the Secretary of State's website; correct?
3
4 [inaudible] I don't think I have those [inaudible]. Okay. These are in fact the election
5
6 returns from the Secretary of State's office [inaudible]; correct?
7
8 A. Appear to be.
9 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we tender the Exhibits.
10 THE COURT: Any objections from --
11 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. Do you have extra copies of those,
12
13 the last three, Mr. Lindsey?
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, [inaudible].
14
15 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. And looking at the front page of '10 and
16
17 '14, does it not show, sir, the percentage of voters
18 from that year? For both '10 and '14 at the top?
A. The turnout percentage?
19
20 Q. Yes, sir.
A. The turnout percentage for November of '14
21
22 -- 2014, voter turnout 50.03 percent?
Q. Yes, sir. Now for a '18 -- for '18
23
24 [inaudible].
25 MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible], Your Honor. [inaudible].

1 And also, Your Honor, we tender this next Exhibit,

2 [inaudible] turnout and '18, just for the record.
Q. If you would identify what would be marked
3

4 as Exhibit 13, tell us what that is.
A. This is for November 2018 --
5

6 Q Yes, sir.
A. -- general turnout 61.34 percent.
7

8 MR. LINDSEY: We tender this document as well.

9 MR. BROWN: No objection.

10 BY MR. LINDSEY:

11 Q. So the turnout -- well, first of all, if
you were to look at '14 and '18, we've already talked
12

13 about the new votes. Let me just also sort of close
the loop on this line of questioning. If you would
14

15 look at '14 first and tell me how many registered
voters there were in '14.
16

17 A. In '14, there were 5,191,182.

18 Q. Okay. And in '18, how many were there?
A. 6,400,200 -- let's see. 6,428,584.
19

20 Q. Okay. And you've already testified that we
had high voter participation in '18?
21

22 A. [inaudible]
Q. So in '18, we have a larger number of
23

24 registered voters than in '14; correct?

25 A. Correct.

1 Q. And in '18, we had a larger turnout than we
2 did in '14; correct?
A. Correct.
3
4 Q. And in '18, we had a increase of about
three-and-a-half times the number of new voters
5
6 voting for the first time; correct?
A. Correct.
7
8 Q. All of those, based on your concern about -
9 - your knowledge about Broward County as you're
10 looking at the web design -- at the design of the
11 electronic ballot could have led to greater voter
confusion when they voted electronically versus when
12
13 they voted on a paper ballot; correct?
A. Correct.
14
15 MR. LINDSEY: That's all I have, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Mr. Tyson.
16
17 MR. TYSON: Thank you, Your Honor. I'll be brief.
18
CROSS-EXAMINATION
19
20 OF MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES
21
22 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. [inaudible] pick up real quickly on the
23
24 Broward review, that senate race that Mr. Lindsey was
25 asking you about. Do you know if Broward County uses

1 paper or DRE ballots?

2 A. I believe Broward uses centralized [inaudible].

3

4 Q. Okay. So those would be paper ballots; correct?

5

6 A. Yes.
Q. Mr. Brown earlier asked you about

7

8 complaints that he received around the election

9 administration, were the complaints in 2018 any more

10 unusual than complaints in prior years?

11 A. No.
Q. And Mr. Brown also asked you now

12

13 [inaudible] issues he said that, you know, if you push a button [inaudible] record votes somewhere else

14

15 for somebody else. Now would you consider that a programming issue or a calibration issue?

16

17 A. I would consider that a calibration issue.

18 Q. And is -- if the machine doesn't respond as expected on a calibration issue during testing, what

19

20 was the process for addressing that?
A. The counties normally do not allow that

21

22 system to pass. It is set aside and not used for that given election.

23

24 Q. And in the calibration you repair or fixed

25 electronic voting machines?

1 A. You can recalibrate the screen as part of
2 the initial LMA process of, say, [inaudible]
calibration on the machines is like one of the first
3
4 steps done. But even after setting calibration if
the county interacts with the system and they feel
5
6 like it's not responsive as it should be, then they
will take that unit out of operation.
7
8 Q. And so a programming error then would be
9 something like a candidate's name is missing; is that
10 correct?
11 A. That's correct.
Q. And how would you know that it was a
12
13 programming error of that nature where somebody's
name was omitted, for example?
14
15 A. It would be not present on the ballot. You
would not see the name or if there was a voting
16
17 position with no name associated to it, you would see
18 that.
Q. And how would you do that? Would you do
19
20 that from a GEMS report or some other method?
A. You do that for a GEMS reports. You do
21
22 that through the sample ballots that are generated
and provided to the counties for proofing purposes.
23
24 Q. And reviewing the DRE post-election mode
25 also show that problem with the candidate missing

1 name?

2 A. In post-election mode you can print out reports that show candidates listed by race. You

3 also have the ability to access the ballot -- the ballot view, which is the reported ballots that the

4 system recorded and it shows what races were on that ballot and what candidates were listed under those

5 races.

6 Q. So lieutenant governor's race didn't appear

7 on a DRE. The machine in post-election [inaudible]

8 to determine that [inaudible].

9 A. That's correct.

10 Q. Okay. Thank you.

11 MR. LINDSEY: I don't have anything else.

12 THE COURT: Wait a minute. Wait, wait, wait.

13 MR. BROWN: I'm sorry.

14 MS. BURWELL: I have no questions.

15 THE COURT: She's got -- okay. Okay. Now you can go.

16 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

17

18 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
OF MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES

19

20

21 BY MR. BROWN:

22

23

24

25

1 Q. When did you first hear of the theory that
2 the under-vote might be -- have been the result of people thinking that it was a [inaudible]
3
4 A. It would probably be close to the time of certification.
5
6 Q. And who did you hear it from?
A. It was just sort of hypothesized. There
7
8 was no one person that said it. It was just sort of
9 again looking at the election and seeing, you know,
10 what could -- what could be reasons for these things.
11 Q. For the under-vote that you noticed, right?
A. Yes.
12
13 Q. Okay. So you noticed the under-vote at the Secretary of State's office and one of the things
14
15 that was just thrown out was, maybe people thought it was a ticket, right?
16
17 A. Correct.
18 Q. And have you undertaken -- get any sort of expert opinion or analysis of that theory?
19
20 A. We have heard from people in relation to ballot design that are starting that for ballot
21
22 design moving forward that it's best for voters to see one race per screen when they're interacting with
23
24 a DRE device or a ballot-marking device. So, you
25 know, that's -- to eliminate that confusion that may

1 be present.

2 Q. Right. But that would mean that -- that doesn't relate to under-votes, does it; or does it

3 [inaudible]?

4 A. I'm not sure of the question.

5 Q. Is the concern there that people will skip a vote if there's more than one ballot on a page? Is

6 that the concern?

7 A. There's always concern that voters are not,

8 you know, receptive of what they're seeing. But each

9 individual voter is going to do what they want to do.

10 Q. Right. And in -- but in this election, if you turn the page for this election, you have

11 multiple ballots; correct?

12 A. If you hit the next button you go to the next screen, you have multiple races appearing, yes.

13 Q. And which races appeared on the second page

14 of November 6th ballot?

15 A. Let's see --

16 Q. And just go ahead and look at the [inaudible].

17 A. The November '18 -- for the 2018 ballot?

18 Q. Yes, sir.

19 A. There are four races present. Secretary of

20 State, attorney general, commissioner of agriculture,

1 commissioner of insurance.

2 Q. Okay. So in those -- even though there were four ballots on that screen, the voters were

3

4 able -- electronic and paper ballot voters had a significantly higher participation rate than the

5

6 lieutenant governor's race; correct?
MR. TYSON: Objection, Your Honor. It's leading.

7

8 THE COURT: Sustained.

9 BY MR. BROWN:

10 Q. Mr. Barnes, did -- before the other races

11 that were on the second page have a higher voter participation rate than lieutenant governor's race?

12

13 A. Based on the certified results from those counties that there were fewer under-votes in those

14

15 races, yes.
Q. Okay. Thank you. Now the -- there was

16

17 some testimony about calibration issue. Are you with

18 me?
A. Uh-huh.

19

20 Q. Okay. And what -- one calibration problem is a screen dysfunctioning, physical screen problem,

21

22 if you follow me, where what you're pushing doesn't connect with the code -- with whatever electronics

23

24 [inaudible]. You with me? It's a physical problem

25 with the screen. Is that a calibration problem?

1 A. Where -- the point that you're touching is
2 -- the response is showing in a different location? The response where you touch --
3
4 Q. Or doesn't respond or it blinks or something else, is that a calibration problem?
5
6 A. Potentially.
7 Q. The -- but there is software that tells the
8 computer, if you press this button, put another --
9 add one to the voter total for Mr. Duncan; correct?
10 A. The test screen basically is a grid. It's
11 a pressure-point grid. It says -- [inaudible] analysis and, you know, it comes up with an average
12
13 of pressure it says, if you see pressure here, then that should be indicated as a mark in this position
14
15 on the ballot.
16 Q. Right. And that's programming that does
17 that; correct? That says, if you [inaudible], add
18 one to the vote total for Amico, right?
19 A. Correct.
20 Q. Okay. And that program resides where?
21 A. That program resides on the DRE.
22 Q. On the individual DRE machines.
23 A. That's correct.
24 Q. And is that -- and is that -- and where on
25 those DRE machines does it reside?

1 A. That resides on an EPROM chip that's
2 connected to the motherboard.
Q. And is the EPROM chip connected to the
3
4 motherboard of something that the State --
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, bear with me here. I just
5
6 have one question on this.
Q. Is the EPROM chip that you referred to that
7
8 contains the programming for doing that something the
9 State offered to allow the petitioners to inspect?
10 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, objection again. He's once
11 again [inaudible] re-litigate what the Court has already
ruled upon in discovery [inaudible].
12
13 THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MR. BROWN:
14
15 Q. Is the EPROM the same thing as the election
archive?
16
17 A. The election archive information is saved
18 to the internal memory on the device and the internal
memory is contained in EPROM. [inaudible]
19
20 Q. Okay. And the internal -- okay. Can you
say that again?
21
22 A. The internal memory is where the archive
file is maintained and the internal memory resides on
23
24 EPROM.
25 Q. Okay. So the answer is no if they're on

1 the same thing, right?

2 A. The election archives is a data file that is saved to an internal memory and the internal

3

4 memory is -- resides on the EPROM.
Q. And other things other than the election

5

6 archive are on the internal memory, right?
A. I believe I already said that.

7

8 Q. Just yes or no.

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. Okay. And one of those other things

11 controls the mapping of the vote from the touch screen to the place in your system where it says, add

12

13 one more vote to somebody; correct?
A. Correct.

14

15 Q. Let me direct your attention to one of Mr. Lindsey's printouts and I'll just give you -- you

16

17 don't need to look at it.

18 Of course you may, but the -- he was asking you about write-in votes and how the lieutenant

19

20 governor's race had a lot of write-in votes, do you know whether that was because Libertarians who were

21

22 voting on the lieutenant governor's race did not have an option, therefore wrote in? Do you know --

23

24 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I believe that calls for

25 speculation [inaudible].

1 THE COURT: That would be speculation. [inaudible]
2 argue that it's not. [inaudible].
MR. BROWN: Thank you.
3
4 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Now the EPROM chip is the erasable, read-
5
6 only [inaudible], right? Or I guess erasable program
[inaudible], right?
7
8 A. Well, that's what EPROM stands for, yes.
9 Q. Now does the software reside on an EPROM
10 chip or in [inaudible] memory, if you know?
11 A. I can't answer that in an absolutely -- I
don't [inaudible].
12
13 Q. Okay.
MR. BROWN: That's all I have [inaudible].
14
15 THE COURT: [inaudible]
16
17 RECROSS EXAMINATION
18 OF MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES
19
20 BY MALE:
Q. Mr. Brown -- I've just got [inaudible].
21
22 Mr. Brown did ask about whether it was a touch screen
problem [inaudible] whether or not that could be a
23
24 system error; correct?
25 A. Correct.

1 Q. That would have shown up if it was a system
2 problem in your parallel testing on Election Day; correct?
3
4 A. Correct.
Q. And you didn't detect any problems;
5
6 correct?
A. We did not detect any problems.
7
8 MALE: No further questions.
9
10 RECROSS EXAMINATION
11 OF MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES
12
13 BY MR. TYSON [inaudible]:
Q. Mr. Barnes, Mr. Brown asked you about when
14
15 the voter information was recorded. When you push a button, when you push the part of the screen, it's
16
17 [inaudible] cast ballot that is recorded in the
18 internal memory of the unit; correct?
A. That is correct.
19
20 Q. All right. Are you familiar with the notices that are posted to voter -- polling places
21
22 regarding pressing the cast ballot button?
A. I am.
23
24 Q. I'm going to hand you what's been marked as
25 Gwinnett 1. Is this the notice for voter to be

1 posted in the polling places regarding pressing the
2 cast ballot button [inaudible], I'm sorry.
A. It is.
3
4 Q. And it very specifically says, you should
notify a poll worker if you have a problem or
5
6 question about the unit before you press the cast
ballot button; correct?
7
8 A. That's correct.
9 Q. Okay. Thank you.
10 MR. TYSON: I have no further questions. Oh, I'm
11 sorry, Your Honor. I tender Gwinnett 1.
MR. BROWN: No objection.
12
13 THE COURT: It's admitted.
THE COURT: Ms. Burwell, questions?
14
15 MS. BURWELL: [inaudible]
THE COURT: Mr. Brown.
16
17 MR. BROWN: I don't have anything further.
18 THE COURT: Okay. You may get down.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
19
20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, may this witness be excused?
THE COURT: Yes.
21
22 MR. BROWN: [inaudible]
THE COURT: Let me ask you, Mr. Brown, how much
23
24 longer do you think your case is going to last? I'm just
25 trying to work out logistics and various other things.

1 MR. BROWN: If I may have literally 30 seconds, I can
2 give you an answer if I could talk to my clients.
THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.
3
4 MR. BROWN: Your Honor.
THE COURT: Go ahead.
5
6 MR. BROWN: We have about an hour with Mr. Barron
probably total. He is here. He has a -- Mr. Barron is an
7
8 employee of Fulton County. [inaudible] Fulton County. He
9 has a childcare issue and we would be happy that he
10 [inaudible] in the morning and then starting [inaudible].
11 THE COURT: Start in the morning. You've got about
another hour?
12
13 MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: What does the defendants -- I'm looking
14
15 -- can we finish tomorrow?
MALE: [inaudible], Your Honor. I don't think the
16
17 [inaudible].
18 THE COURT: You want to take an oath on that?
MALE: What?
19
20 THE COURT: Do you want to take an oath on that?
MALE: No, Your Honor. I will not take an oath and I
21
22 will not [inaudible] in my place.
I will not take an oath. But [inaudible] some of my
23
24 preceding circumstances --
25 THE COURT: Okay. Tomorrow, so you know -- now you

1 have to understand I'm a senior judge [inaudible]
2 courtroom and therefore we won't have a courtroom
[inaudible] in two or three weeks with luck. But in the
3
4 meantime, they move us around.
So tomorrow we're back in the Magistrate's court on
5
6 the fourth floor. It's the old courtroom annex, 402.
Okay. Mr. Groban [ph] will be over there early to let you
7
8 in. I always bring my -- I've got so much stuff I'll
9 bring it.
10 MALE: Is there anywhere that we can store some of
11 our stuff? Because of the stuff I don't necessarily
[inaudible].
12
13 THE COURT: That's why I'm looking at all that but I
don't have a choice. They've got a mock trial in here
14
15 tomorrow.
MALE: No, I understand. [inaudible]
16
17 THE COURT: I don't know they were locked. Okay.
18 There's a jury room in here that you could use, but you'd
have to come real early to get in it and get it out.
19
20 MALE: I'd be happy to. I mean, that's --
[inaudible] take home.
21
22 THE COURT: Yeah. The other place that might do it
is the Bar office. Dekalb [inaudible] County Bar office
23
24 is right over there.
25 MALE: All right.

1 THE COURT: It's on this floor and they might let you
2 keep some stuff in there.
MALE: I'll tell you, we'll [inaudible].
3
4 THE COURT: Well, I mean they might let you --
they've got two conference rooms over there. They're
5
6 empty. You go out here and turn left. You know where it
is Mr. [inaudible].
7
8 MALE: Yes. [inaudible]
9 THE COURT: Okay. [inaudible] I'll see you in M-42
10 tomorrow. And if you want to use the [inaudible] now he
11 gets here at the crack of dawn anyway.
MALE: Well, I mean, do you think that that's a safe
12
13 place? If you get here at the crack of dawn, would it be
okay [inaudible]? I mean, is it inconveniencing you?
14
15 [inaudible] It's just a couple of boxes. [inaudible]
MALE: I get here by 7:30, 20 minutes to 8.
16
17 THE COURT: We start at 9:00 tomorrow.
18 MALE: [inaudible]
THE COURT: Okay.
19
20 MALE: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: We'll see you tomorrow.
21
22 [Whereupon, the hearing was concluded at 4:53 p.m.]
23
24
25

1 CERTIFICATE

2 STATE OF GEORGIA]
] SS.
3

4 COUNTY OF DOUGLAS]

5

6 I, PRISCILLA GARCIA, A COURT REPORTER IN THE STATE OF GEORGIA, DO HEREBY STATE THAT THE FOREGOING IS A TRUE AND
7

8 ACCURATE TRANSCRIPT AS TAKEN DOWN BY ME AT THE TIME,

9 PLACE, AND THE DATE HEREINBEFORE SET FORTH.

10 I DO FURTHER STATE THAT I AM NEITHER A RELATIVE NOR

11 EMPLOYEE NOR ATTORNEY NOR COUNSEL OF ANY OF THE PARTIES TO THIS ACTION, AND THAT I AM NEITHER A RELATIVE NOR EMPLOYEE
12

13 OF SUCH ATTORNEY OR COUNSEL, AND THAT I AM NOT FINANCIALLY INTERESTED IN THIS ACTION.
14

15 WITNESS MY HAND IN THE CITY OF DOUGLASVILLE, COUNTY
16

17 OF DOUGLAS, STATE OF GEORGIA, ON THIS 28th DAY OF JANUARY

18 2019. BY: Priscilla Garcia
19

20 PRISCILLA GARCIA, COURT REPORTER
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF GEORGIA
21

22 COMMISSION NO.: W-00379933
COMMISSION EXPIRES: 08/14/2022
23

24 CERTIFICATE NO.: 5503-2677-8304-9216

25 LICENSE EXPIRES: 04/01/2019

1
2
3 I, Chris Naaden, a transcriber, hereby declare
4 under penalty of perjury that to the best of my
5 ability the above 291 pages contain a full, true and
6 correct transcription of the tape-recording that I
7 received regarding the event listed on the caption on
8 page 1.
9
10 I further declare that I have no interest in the
11 event of the action.
12
13 January 28, 2019
14
15
16 Chris Naaden
17
18
19
20 (Transcript of Hearing Proceedings)
21
22
23
24
25

(

(C) 85:24

(C)(3) 85:24

(C)(4) 85:25

0

0.8 102:11 120:24

1

1 21:17,20 44:1,4,10, 11 45:20 52:11,15 54:6,8 57:2,11 99:4 101:2 102:2,24 103:11 105:11,15 107:25 111:25 112:1 175:22,24 249:4,20 250:1 287:25 288:11

1,012 126:6

1,828,566 26:17

1.3 107:6

1.4 101:13 107:10

1.5 107:4

1.7 107:18

1.8 107:15

10 100:24 136:11 143:1 273:20,22 274:15,18

10,000 175:22,24

10-minute 72:11

100 21:13, 17,20,22 22:10 32:17 125:17 152:25

101 205:22

10:25 60:8 72:13

10:37 72:15

11 273:20

117 194:6

11:00 185:4

11:41 82:20 129:25

12 273:20, 22

123,000 26:10 30:18 184:11

123,172 25:20

13 275:4

137 125:4, 6,8,11,13

14 273:22 274:17,18, 20 275:11, 15,17,24 276:2

144 194:6, 10

15 143:10 160:2

15,000 100:24

159 205:22 212:10 213:11

159,024 103:1

16 241:10

17 8:2 18:24 244:8,9

18 81:4 273:22 274:22 275:2,11, 18,20,22 276:1,4 281:22

1:00 129:20,22 130:1

1st 211:17

2

2 64:4 65:25 78:15 79:4 101:22 104:24 254:18

2.2 107:13

20 21:15 291:15

200,000 21:8

200- 254:22

2000 250:8

2002 102:2 224:1 239:22,24

2006 26:17 160:15 202:4

2007 62:2

2008 239:24

2009 36:11

2010 239:20 254:22 255:18 273:20

2011 250:8 251:1
2013 239:10
2014 120:15,18, 20 254:22 255:25 260:22 261:2,20, 22 274:22
2016 26:22 147:11 165:25 227:15 228:4,15 241:6 243:15 252:11
2017 37:20 148:4 211:15 227:15 228:15,25 244:4,15
2018 8:2 25:20 27:9 39:22 40:2,11 46:13,15, 18 62:4 81:24 89:13 90:15 94:13 98:18 99:6 102:2,4,6 116:6 119:8 124:6 127:13,20 153:11,22 154:2,22 157:6,22 165:18 166:8 168:11 170:24 202:6 212:2,6,11 224:18 226:20 228:24 229:15 230:22 231:13 241:17 244:13 247:11 248:6,15 249:4,20 250:1 252:22 254:22 255:18 256:6,11, 25 260:22 261:2,22 262:1 263:13 275:4 277:9 281:22
21-2-522 14:4
22 8:14 11:11 13:1 129:6
24 166:6
24-14-22 24:6
25 260:2
2:09 187:6
2:22 187:8
2:30 185:6

3

3 98:2,6 264:2
3- 212:2
3.9 105:10 107:25
30 49:20 51:1 135:15 289:1
31,000-something 103:2
31,532 102:17,20
31st 211:15
336,000 261:24
38 126:6
3:30 242:25
3:37 243:1

4

4 22:1 101:11 105:18 111:25 112:1,15 132:17 251:2 264:2
4.5 105:8 107:24,25
40-plus 251:13
402 290:6
410 126:20 127:1
45-plus 251:11
4:53 291:22

5

5 22:1 266:18
5,191,182 275:17
50 96:20
50.03 274:22
5th 133:17, 18 135:25 208:11

6

6 25:20 266:18
6,400,200 275:18
6,428,584 275:18
61.34 275:6
6th 62:24, 25 63:1,22 83:2 165:18 281:18

7

7 168:4,8, 15 246:15 273:6
702(b) 42:10 154:18
7:30 291:15
7th 62:22

8

8 21:15 102:15 143:1 243:8,9, 11,17,24 245:11,17 246:15 251:2 273:6 291:15
8,000 117:17

9

9 45:13 136:17 273:6
9.2 135:2, 6,11
9.2(c) 135:15
98,000 27:6 261:20
99 21:11 22:9
9:00 291:17
9:02 8:2
9th 136:24

A

a.m. 8:2 60:8 72:13,15 79:13 82:20 129:25
aberrant 20:25 21:2
aberration 173:25
ability 49:22 242:8 279:4
abnormal 205:22
Abrams 26:18 27:22 28:1 75:4,13 118:9 201:6
Abrams' 118:1
abridges 151:18
absentee 19:13 21:20 105:10 107:8,17 128:2 216:1
absolute 131:15
absolutely 45:9 61:10 230:8 286:11
abstract 174:6
abundantly 30:9 92:11
ac- 67:17
academic 141:6 144:1 156:18 160:10
Academies 168:9
Academy 156:22 160:22 168:18,20 170:2
accelerate 83:1
accelerated 135:22
accept 131:9 192:4,6
access 144:9 182:4 183:6 187:20 212:15 215:13 217:6 218:2 220:13 223:22 252:2 270:15 279:4
accessed 183:4,6
accessibility 240:6
accounted 267:2

accounts
157:11

accuracy
24:17
109:11
217:9
218:24
222:10
268:2

accurate
28:22 99:9
161:6
222:11

accurately
29:1
254:24

act 18:20
44:15
58:22
230:25

actions
259:10

active
218:20
266:9

activist
85:2

activities
81:4

activity
148:13

actual 33:15
55:13
64:13
85:11
92:13
117:4
152:17
159:25
170:18
189:18
203:11,13
204:13
207:15
216:4
219:10
225:22
227:4,6
237:11
247:11

add 27:20
97:9 173:8
205:2
271:18
283:9,17
285:11

added 27:13

adding
150:13

addition
18:18 25:6
28:2 30:20
42:13
141:8
147:4
155:1
260:18
265:18

additional
14:22
18:20
38:20
184:4

address 20:6
93:13 94:2
270:15

addressing
96:10
277:20

adherence
253:13

adjacent
166:2

adjusted
241:11
253:2

adjustment
253:2

administer
90:9

administration
39:6 90:8
91:25
153:2
172:20
277:9

admissibility
92:15
273:17

admissible
132:4
169:15
192:18
203:2

admission
70:8,9
91:18

admit 244:4,
13 245:8,
10

admitted
44:25 46:8
54:8 168:2
191:24
246:24
264:4
266:20
288:13

admonished
191:17

adopt 155:8

advance
213:2
215:24
218:22

advanced
105:9,11
107:4,13

adverse
181:10
259:17

advice 84:15
85:18

advises
93:15

affect
182:20
217:18

226:4

affected
30:2,4
228:4
231:18

affidavit
97:24 98:2
103:11,15

affidavits
178:22

affiliation
177:20

affirmative
30:13
143:25

afraid 110:2
202:1

afternoon
136:20
150:22

AG's 128:13

age 87:13

agencies
156:25
162:4

agents
157:17

aggravated
27:24

aging 159:20

agree 11:6
70:17
83:6,9
127:11
133:2
186:1
220:6

agreement
29:15

agriculture
117:9
123:1
281:25

ahead 13:13
20:15,20
38:17
41:20 45:9
54:9 58:17
68:2 69:13
71:11,13
81:11
89:17
112:8,24
129:15
132:6
143:2
164:20
182:2,15
186:2
191:6
234:4
236:15
281:20
289:2,4

ahistorical
27:4

air 249:6,
10

AJC 13:2,4,
6

akin 270:18

Alabama
151:20
249:15

alarmed
73:25

Alaska
187:22

albeit
170:10

Aletha 81:13

all-paper
151:8

allegation
48:15
115:10
221:4
238:11

allegations
14:11
15:20,22
28:9 37:4
162:18,20

allege 14:4

alleged
14:15
225:9
244:15

allegiance
139:8

Allen 63:1,4

allowed
68:18
123:25
180:11
184:6
226:4,11

alter 183:2,
22

altered
183:8,9

altering
183:15

alternative
22:15
175:4
183:15

amazing
81:18

Amazon 64:13

ambiguity
191:18

AME 63:1,4,
20 65:15
72:24
195:8

America
58:22

American
81:13

Amico 23:8
26:13,17
27:2,22,25
28:4 80:24
116:2,4
117:11,17

123:8,13
199:24
200:11
201:15,22
202:10,15
264:15
269:2,8
283:18

Amico's 30:8
200:2
235:2

amount 27:11
35:13
102:20
152:24
173:22

analogy
221:4

analyses
127:18
158:6

analysis
13:18 90:4
95:2 98:18
104:13
117:4
149:6
177:2
180:4,6
190:4,6
192:24
193:15,18
195:2,4,
10,13,17,
20 196:4,
6,10
197:22,25
199:22
202:22
229:10
232:24
233:2
249:15
260:18
280:18
283:11

analyst
43:20
45:13

analyze
37:20
86:15 99:1
117:4
261:8

analyzed
127:25

analyzing
88:2
266:22

angle 13:13

annex 290:6

anomalies
186:6
207:9
271:2

anomalous
205:18

anomaly
22:11
94:25

answering
33:24

answers
121:1
204:6

anticipated
68:20

anticipating
45:6,8

anticipation
53:15

apologies
45:6,10

apologize
82:6 93:9
134:18
163:20
197:11
249:2

app 63:11

apparent
170:22
186:6

apparently
223:24

appeared
73:25
242:4
264:15
265:20
281:17

appearing
175:24
224:15
281:15

appears
65:22
168:17
244:2,6

applicable
155:18

application
119:2
142:6
148:13

applications
142:11

applied 25:6
102:15

apply 17:4

appointed
77:24

approach
11:25

approached
70:4 86:11

approval
143:20

approximately
27:6
177:13

architectural
159:25

architecture
164:25

archive
183:11

284:15,17, 22 285:6

archives 285:2

area 71:17, 18 74:4 92:18 104:4 224:15 237:15 271:6

areas 87:22 94:2

argue 108:15 245:6 286:2

arguing 198:20 209:9

argument 13:22 24:1 33:11 92:8 151:15 198:20

arguments 14:22

arises 24:13

arising 241:2

arithmetic 22:25

arm 223:9

arms 74:2

arrangement 241:9

artful 226:25

Artfully 226:24

article 141:4

articles 115:8 141:2

asks 68:18

aspects 42:22

Aspen 35:4

assessing 195:13

assessment 166:17

assist 42:10 68:11,15, 18

assistance 10:15 42:18

assisted 149:10

assisting 59:13 70:22

assistive 54:24 55:6,9 56:6,9,11

assume 44:20 82:10 177:18 220:8 246:13

assumes 176:22 232:13

assuming 176:2 220:22 232:20 233:22

assumption 102:18

assurance 17:24

assure 58:11

assuring 262:15

Atlanta 34:18 63:4

atmosphere 246:22

attacked 245:20

attempt 15:6 190:24

attempted 49:22 168:22

attempting 49:17

attempts 191:13

attend 139:13

attended 25:8

attention 24:6 102:24 104:11 108:2 120:13 121:6 146:18 193:25 238:17 246:11 251:2 285:15

attorney 57:20 61:17,20 105:24 106:10,15 107:11 116:25 118:2 126:13,22 281:25

attorney's 53:2

attracted 99:18

attracting

220:13

audio 55:11, 20

audit 35:24 36:13,17 149:13 206:4,8,9 207:9,15

audited 35:22

auditing 36:20 149:9 207:10

audits 36:8, 10,15 140:25 141:11 149:11 158:8

author 246:20

authorized 25:13 40:17

availability 29:22

avenue 176:24

average 102:9 283:11

aware 24:24 117:8,18 118:2,8, 15,18 119:11 128:13 163:4 189:8,15, 17 197:4 199:18 201:13,20 203:11 218:22 219:22 220:1 226:18 240:22 242:15 259:11,22 260:2,4,8, 11 261:10

B

back 8:12 17:11,25 20:13 34:20,22 49:6 59:2, 15 66:11 68:15 69:6 72:6,8,13 75:6,20 76:18 79:9 102:24 112:18 123:20,22, 24 124:1,4 125:18 129:20,22, 25 130:18, 20 133:15 157:15 167:25 174:4 175:1 179:15,18 180:20 187:6 188:4 198:4 214:2 215:6 217:6 219:4 222:11 225:8 228:11 231:2 234:10 236:13 239:11,13 242:22,25 245:4 247:13 252:4 255:18 264:22 265:6 267:22 290:4

background 34:8 84:18 94:9 145:13,17 177:25

bad 22:25 161:13 204:15

Bailey's 118:2

Baines 63:15

balancing 232:6

ballot 19:13 22:1 27:13,15 29:25 30:2 37:10 38:2 41:13 55:11 68:6 70:22 71:20 74:4 77:2 90:4, 6 91:25 94:20 122:20,22 123:18 124:4 151:9,10 179:11 189:11 194:13 200:18,22 202:4 207:11 218:11 235:11 236:20 238:17,18 239:4,8, 10,11,13, 15,18,22, 24 240:1,

4,6,15,18
246:2
252:2,6,8,
11,20
253:6,8,
11,15
254:17,24
255:18
256:11,18
257:2,10
258:6,8,9,
13,15,17,
20 262:8,
17 263:25
264:15,20,
22,24
265:4,8
268:8
276:11,13
278:15
279:4,6
280:20
281:6,18,
22 282:4
283:15
287:17,22
288:2,6

ballot-marking
280:24

ballots
21:22
27:4,13,
17,18 28:2
30:4 33:11
37:2,13
38:13 43:4
55:11 67:2
149:15
167:6
174:11
188:22
189:1
194:15,18
199:11,18,
20 206:17,
22 207:11
214:15,20,
24 216:2
218:6
235:15
238:20
241:18
252:15
253:17
254:4,22
256:11
257:22
264:25
265:20
267:6
277:1,4
278:22
279:4
281:13
282:2

ballots'
214:18

bar 103:18
290:22

Barnes 147:9
157:18
164:4,6
209:25
210:10,15,
20 228:15,
22 230:17
243:4,24
245:15,18
251:11
252:4
254:13,15
276:20
279:22
282:10
286:18
287:11,13

Barnhard
176:25
177:1

Barron
16:18,20
147:10
157:18
164:4
289:6

Barrow
118:13
201:10

Barrow's
118:1

bars 105:4,
15

base
263:11,13,
18,20,22
264:10,11

based 14:2
17:11 34:9
37:4,15
38:1 43:15
69:18
87:15
94:1,4
109:22
119:15
128:1
155:10
165:18
166:22
169:8,11
173:15
176:4
177:20
179:20
186:17,18
198:11
206:6
214:2
219:18
244:11
269:24
276:8
282:13

baseline
124:13

basically
52:20
154:13
261:8
283:10

basis 61:20
147:2
163:15
171:2
172:6
174:13,17

199:17
205:20

bear 29:6
171:20
284:4

beer 220:13

began 35:9
37:20
248:24,25

begin 35:15
55:10
160:8

beginning
26:15

begins
215:20

behalf 12:22
14:24
16:17
25:18

behavior
194:20,22
199:1

belief
188:20
198:13

believes
109:24

Ben 235:6
269:6

bench 11:25
16:6

bending
136:18

Benjamin
65:20

Berkeley
132:18

Bernhard
134:17
137:10,18,
24 138:4,
15 150:2,
15,18,22
153:20
154:17
155:2,4
156:8,11
160:10
165:15
171:22
172:18
176:25
182:18
187:13,15
188:15,18
192:22
193:13
194:13
196:22
204:2,4
206:11

Bibb 229:2

big 49:17

bind 70:15

bit 21:11
25:6 84:18
93:22
115:17
121:2
139:8
146:2
147:25
150:8
157:15
167:25
173:6
174:4
175:2
183:6,20
189:24
204:22
207:10
231:2
245:4

black 25:2
130:20

Blackwell
12:18

blinks 283:4

blocks 64:15

board 9:13
28:18,24,
25 36:17
38:18 39:8
77:18
89:18
117:10
150:22
229:20
240:9

boards 36:20

boat 133:11

Boland
268:17

books 64:11
133:13

Boone 63:4

boot 142:4,
8,9 168:10
182:25
236:25

booting
183:20

borderline
93:8

bother
106:20

bottom 66:22
67:22
160:18
257:2,6,8,
11

Boulevard
63:4

box 13:11
25:2 50:6

boxes 291:15

brand 250:1,
2,4

brand-new
206:15

breach 26:11
188:8

break 72:10,
11 83:11
128:1

129:18
187:1
242:18

breakdown
73:13
226:10

breaks 87:10

bridge 8:13

briefed
183:24

briefly
14:25
51:22
77:20
113:10
127:6
128:8
131:24
138:20
151:13

briefs 20:25
111:15
181:11

Brill 83:13
84:1,6,11
86:8 87:4
89:11,15,
18,24
91:4,24
93:2,6,11
96:11,22
97:2,6,24
98:17
100:2,8
104:6
107:2
108:6,11,
22 110:8
111:1
113:13,15
114:6
120:4
121:10
127:9,11
128:11

Brill's
90:24
91:18 97:6
103:25

brimstone
270:4

bring 10:2
24:20
29:20
111:13
136:13
197:18
217:10
290:8,9

bringing
41:6

broad 231:4

broader
227:1

brought 15:8
17:11,13,
25 193:25
202:24

Broward
256:20,22,
25 257:10,
13,15
276:9,24,
25 277:2

Brown 9:13,
15,18,20,
22,24
10:1,4,6,
9,11,18,22
11:15,18
12:4,6,13
13:6,8
15:15
16:13,15,
25 17:2,
15,18
18:4,11,
13,18,22
19:2,6
20:8,11,
15,18,20
31:2,6,11,
22 32:24
33:1,4,20,
22 34:4,6
36:2,6
38:8 39:13
42:18,20
43:8,13,22
44:1,9
45:11,20
46:1,2,6,
9,10 48:2,
4,6,11,15,
18 49:2,6
50:9,11
51:8 54:4
57:2,6,9,
20,22
58:1,8,11,
13,18
59:2,6,8,
22 60:1,4,
10,15
61:4,22
63:25
64:6,10,
18,20,24,
25 65:24
66:8
69:15,22,
24 70:8,18
71:11,13
72:1,11,22
74:13,18,
20 79:6,
15,20 80:6
81:20
82:2,11,22
83:8,10,
11,13,18,
22 84:9
89:11 90:2
92:8,24
93:4,8
94:1,11,13
96:6,11,20
97:4,20,22
98:2,9,11,
15 100:2,
4,6 102:22
103:15,18
104:6
106:11,22,
25 108:2,
4,6,13,15,
20 109:6,

9,18,20
110:4,6,
13,17,20,
24,25
111:4,6,22
112:6,10,
15,20,22,
25 113:8
116:20
120:6
121:13,15,
22 122:2,4
124:2,25
125:20,22,
24 127:24
128:20,22
129:1,15,
22 130:10,
24 131:2,
24 132:1,
6,11,15
134:2,9,
15,18,22
135:18
137:2,6,9,
11 138:1,
15 139:13
140:15
145:4,9,
11,22
146:4,6,
11,15,18,
20 150:1,
11,25
151:25
153:13,15
154:10
155:10
156:4,10
158:20,24
159:1
162:22,25
163:2,18
164:13,15,
18,22
165:11,15
168:4
169:2,13,
18,20,24
170:1,9,
15,17
171:13,20
172:25
173:1,17
174:18
175:1,9,
11,13
176:17
177:2
181:9,18,
22,24
182:1,4,9,
13,17,18
184:18,20
185:1,11,
13,15
186:1,4,
15,20
187:1,4,15
188:11
190:18,22
191:8,10,
20 192:1,
10 195:18,
20 197:6
198:11
203:22,24
204:4
205:4,6,15
207:2,6,13
208:6,10,
13,15,17,
20,22,25
209:1,4,8,
13,15,20,
24 210:2,
18,22,24
220:24
221:1,8
225:18,20,
25 226:1,
17 227:2,8
228:13,20
230:18,20
231:8,10
232:17,22
234:2
236:2,4,6,
8,15,17
237:13,22
238:1,4,9,
11,15
242:17,20,
22 243:6,
18,22
244:4,9,
15,18,20,
24 245:4,
8,11,15
247:13
248:8,18
251:6,9,11
254:15
255:4
258:4
259:13,20
261:4,10
262:10
264:4
266:20
267:4
273:6,8,11
274:11
275:9
277:6,11
279:15,20,
25 282:9
284:4,13
286:2,4,
13,20,22
287:13
288:11,15,
17,20,22
289:1,4,6,
13

Bryan 14:24
29:15
38:18
77:18
89:18
150:22

build 85:18

building
236:11
240:2,22,
24

builds
221:22

built 160:1
203:17

221:22
240:25
241:8,9

bunch 93:24
232:20

burden 14:2
29:4,18
30:11,20

burned 215:2

Burwell 11:8
12:18,20,
22 13:13,
15 15:1
16:17,20,
24 28:18
54:13
56:20
58:24
59:10
70:13
78:15
82:15
91:15,17
119:25
135:1,6,13
203:20,22
279:17
288:13,15

business
34:13,15
46:2
85:11,13,
20

button
277:13
281:15
283:8
287:15,22
288:2,6

bypassed
258:4

C

C-L-E-R-C
61:10

calculate
102:9

calculated
100:10
233:2,8
234:13
265:24

calculating
102:2

calculation
100:9
101:8,9
102:1

calendar
8:10

calibrate
217:11

calibrated
223:24

calibration
217:24
224:10
277:15,17,
18,24
278:2,4
282:17,20,
25 283:4

California
103:18,22
104:1,8,9
109:4
160:18
187:22

call 24:6
27:18 31:2
60:10
79:15
83:4,13
86:18
99:20
130:15
132:18
134:10,15
136:22
137:9
148:22
184:1
209:24
241:4

called 15:18
18:6 31:17
35:20
36:15
55:11
60:24
67:11
68:15
73:18
77:25 80:1
84:2,13
121:24
137:20
166:4
182:11
210:11
212:20

calls 59:1
121:25
133:22
197:6
221:6
285:24

campaign
27:25 28:4
88:22
91:8,10
114:11,15
115:6
116:1
189:4

campaigns
85:2,20
86:4 122:6

cancelled
49:15

cancelling
50:20

candidacy
28:8 30:9

candidate
30:6 86:10
87:15 89:1
91:10
114:18
115:4
116:22
117:22

118:6,9,13
123:11
125:2,13
138:6,11
177:11,13
201:17
204:9,10,
11 219:24,
25 220:17
235:2
240:9,11,
13,18,20
272:6
278:25

candidate's
278:9

candidates
26:15,18,
20 28:4
36:18
39:11
86:18
113:2
117:9,11
122:24
123:6,18
199:10,13
200:4
201:18,24
202:9
204:11
214:1,13
218:11
219:20,22
221:22
231:20
239:2
240:11
241:2,10,
11,13,25
242:2
252:9
259:4
272:13,17
279:2,6

cane 68:6

canvas
36:15,20
104:22

capable
42:17

capacity
191:4
261:8,11

capital
61:10

capitol
33:13
145:2

caps 240:10

card 189:11
216:18,20,
22 217:2,
4,8 218:2
221:24,25
222:1,6
223:22
233:6
234:13,15
269:11

card's
217:20

cards 212:24
213:1,2
215:25
216:11,15,
22 222:2
266:11,13
267:1,25

care 132:2
167:18
208:11

career
34:13,15

careful
237:22
238:1

carefully
164:6

carelessness
166:13

Carolina
34:11
36:11
41:11
260:11

carry 29:10
30:20

cas 25:1

case 9:11,
22 10:15
12:2,6,11
13:18,24
14:4 15:2,
6,18,24
17:6,11
18:24,25
19:8,10,
11,20,22
22:13
23:22 24:2
25:1,22
26:11
27:17 29:6
30:17 33:9
34:4 41:24
91:18,20,
22 92:4
93:18
110:10
124:15
126:15
131:8,13
132:4
133:15
136:1,11,
18 138:9
143:13,15,
24 145:6,
24 146:6,
20 151:11,
13,22
152:1
154:17
155:2,6
156:13
161:22
164:15
169:22
176:9,20
182:15
185:6
197:9
198:15
200:18

208:11
225:13
237:18
253:18
255:15
265:20
266:4
272:22
288:24

cases 15:1
26:2
133:24
157:18

cast 23:13
70:22
73:11 74:4
75:4,15,20
76:2,8,24
86:17
94:20
100:11
101:11,13,
15 104:15,
17 109:11,
24 116:4
119:8
124:13,18
125:2
126:8
127:2
161:18
179:17
203:13
218:8
268:17
273:1
287:17,22
288:2,6

casual
230:13

categories
85:22
128:2

caught
172:11

caused 22:17
29:22 33:6
121:4
230:10
231:13

caution 24:1
237:13

cc'd 246:15

CD 215:2,4,
6,8,13

ceased
211:15

census 87:18

center
211:2,4
212:2,4,6
244:2
245:20
246:2
249:11
251:22

central
167:11

centralized
277:2

CEO 34:17

certification
229:8
280:4

certified
29:20 46:1
229:4
237:6,8
250:6
271:4,18
272:13,15,
17 273:17
282:13

CES 211:9

chain 213:6

chair 22:13

chairs 68:22

challenge
58:6

challenges
135:25
252:9

challenging
26:2

chance 13:2
22:4,6
33:2
106:20,22
111:24
131:13
136:25
175:17,22,
24

change 25:4
114:15,22
179:15
188:9
241:4
248:20
249:6
251:6

changed
249:20
250:22
251:4

character
93:18

characterize
190:24

charge 24:9,
13,20
128:24

charged
28:18

charitable
32:8

Charles
118:2

Charlotte
34:10

chart
103:11,13
104:24

check 68:6
100:22
102:20
271:10

checked

269:4
271:13

checking
265:18

Chicago
81:15

childcare
289:9

chip 284:1, 2,6 286:4, 10

chips 235:4

choice
179:11
290:13

choose
114:24
252:20

chose 27:25

chosen 19:18
25:25
136:8

Chris 83:13
86:8

Christopher
84:1,6,11
89:24 91:4
93:2
113:13
114:6
120:4
127:9
128:11

Church 63:2
72:24
195:8

circle
130:18

circumstance
230:4

circumstances
15:4 56:15
133:2
241:2
289:24

cite 143:24

cited 13:17
15:2
143:20
175:20

citing 179:4

citizen
35:11 62:9

citizens
28:20

civics 81:13

civil 15:22
18:20

claim 22:22
24:9,13
29:22 42:2
116:20

claimed
116:24

claims 29:6
30:15,24
151:20
206:22

clarification
16:25 17:4
237:15

clarify
17:22
22:20
66:18
252:6

Clarke
189:25
192:11
197:1,4,8, 13

class 144:18

Clay 243:11

clean 77:6

clear 12:6
15:18 19:4
23:15
25:22 26:6
29:6 30:9
37:11
92:11
106:11
169:10
176:20
195:15
209:18

clears
218:18

clerk 12:1

client 9:20
17:4
134:18

clients
9:15,18
85:18,22, 24 88:15
93:15 96:1
289:2

clock 130:18

close 29:11
70:20
275:13
280:4

closed 69:1
70:4 212:4
270:10,11, 15

closely
146:18

closest
213:24

closing
28:4,6
68:24
114:11
115:6
116:1

Cloudplayer
142:2

co-defendants
15:13

Coalition
10:13
32:2,4,6

33:6 36:24 43:20,22 44:15 52:4 99:2

Coalition's 33:22

COBB 8:1

code 15:6 42:15 157:1,4 178:9 204:15 282:22

code-level 237:11

coded 207:22

codes 179:6

coding 207:24 220:15 222:11

colleagues' 230:22

collect 141:18

collected 214:4 234:6 235:11,15 266:15 267:2 271:9 272:6,18

collecting 223:4

collection 223:10

collects 141:9 247:11

college 84:20 138:20

collegial 180:20

Colorado 35:6 36:11,15 149:11 187:22

column 99:13 101:4 239:13 257:2,8,9,20 265:9

columns 99:13 101:25 239:9,11 265:6

combinational 214:20

comment 131:11

commission 113:18 117:10,15,17 123:1

commissioner 94:20 117:11 123:2 281:25 282:1

committees 86:1,2

common 121:17 260:13

commonsense 24:15

communicating 270:20

communication 251:22

communications 244:2

community 162:6,13 163:6

company 84:13 115:9 142:2

company's 45:13

compare 95:20 104:13 126:11,22

compared 126:13 233:6

comparing 67:4 100:10,11

comparison 99:24 151:18 174:6

compel 18:15,17 180:24 209:13,20

compelled 25:13

compensation 52:6

compilation 40:15

complainant 224:2

complaint 14:11 15:22 74:8 223:6 264:18

complaints 74:11 77:9 223:4,8,15,20,22 224:17,18,20,22,24 277:8,9,10

complete 218:4

completed
214:15

completely
177:22
181:22

completeness
214:9,24

complexity
136:1

compliance
136:22

complicated
253:8

comply 129:6

component
75:11

components
144:13
227:20
231:15

comport 16:6

compound
153:13

compounds
165:8

compromise
145:8
245:15

compromised
145:2
166:6
246:8

computer
39:15
135:8
138:6,25
139:4,18
161:22
162:6,11,13 163:4
215:15
216:8
232:1,6
233:4
234:15
267:18
270:22
283:8

computers
142:6
270:20

concern
10:20 89:4
231:22
257:24
258:1
262:2
276:8
281:6,8,9

concerned
19:4 35:9
73:9
256:18
269:8

concerns
89:2
184:13
262:4
269:2

conclude
25:13

concluded
60:6 79:11
82:18
291:22

conclusion
15:8 25:11
38:6 59:2
161:1
166:20
197:6

conclusions
109:10
143:22

conduct
36:17 38:2
43:2
114:11,15
148:9
183:17
186:22
196:10
235:2

conducted
140:20
177:2
191:22
200:6

conducting
37:17

conference
135:4
184:1
291:4

conferencing
135:2

confidentiality 11:15

configuration
199:13,15,18 239:17,18 240:2
242:4
264:22

configured
27:13
199:13

configuring
215:20

confirm 60:1

confusing
258:20,24

confusion
27:11,15,20 195:20
241:15
262:2
276:11
280:25

Congressman
118:18

connect
145:10
282:22

connected
166:1
263:18
270:11
284:2

connection
34:11
142:13
156:13
270:11

connotation
148:8

consensus
160:25
162:13
163:1

considerable
35:13

considered
184:6
200:10
201:6
230:9

consistent
21:15
53:15
106:8
122:18

Constitution
131:20

constitutional
15:2 30:15
151:18
206:22
256:2

constructed
212:10,11
214:4,6
246:4

constructing
248:25

constructs
213:22
267:18

consultant
114:15
119:17
141:15

consulting
85:2

consumer
85:17

contact
87:20
215:6

contained
232:4
234:15
284:18

contemporaneous 63:6 66:2

content
222:4

contents
23:11
98:10,17

contest
14:20 15:2
19:10
21:6,15
30:18
57:24,25
59:17

contested
80:24 83:2
264:11

contests
124:11

context
81:11
115:15,20
122:6
135:20

continuance
15:4
112:17,20

CONTINUATION
72:18
187:11
243:2

continue
139:20

continued
68:13
233:15
250:13

contract
61:20
246:4

contrary
163:4
190:15

contribute
166:17

contributions
53:6

control 15:2

controls
285:11

convenient
129:17

conversation
11:13
69:15,17,18

conversations
181:6

convicted
22:13

copied 64:15

copies 137:6
214:22
274:11

copy 44:6
45:11
57:4,6
64:18
98:11
103:15
137:6
183:20
245:13
250:6
252:22
267:18,22,25

core 32:11,13 85:13

corporation
28:10

correct 18:9

19:25
34:20
39:20,22
40:1,18,
20,22
41:4,13
45:11 47:4
50:20,25
53:1,8,15,
20 61:11
65:2,13,20
66:4 67:13
75:4,22
76:2,25
77:4 78:4
82:11 88:2
89:4,9
90:13,17,
18 91:9
95:10,13
98:20,24,
25 99:15,
18 100:18
101:20
103:2,4,6,
20 105:17,
20 106:17
110:15
113:22
114:17,20,
22 115:1,
11,15,22
116:1,2,6,
8,11,18
118:11,22
119:20
125:8
126:18
128:15,18
149:17
151:6,9,10
152:20,22
153:25
154:2,4
159:8,9
161:15
174:10
178:15
180:13
183:17,18
189:8,11,
13,15
190:1
192:25
193:1,15,
17 194:6,
11,15,17
195:11
196:2,4,6,
13,17,18
197:2,15,
24 198:4
199:8,20
200:8,11,
13 201:25
202:4,11,
18 204:20
206:6
207:2,4
212:17
213:13,18
216:10
226:4
227:11
233:1,11,
20 237:13
242:6
243:25
250:24
254:8
255:22,24
256:4,8,
15,17,18,
20,22
259:25
260:1
262:9,20,
22,25
263:1,2,4
265:15,18,
24,25
266:2
268:18,24,
25 269:9,
10,13,18
270:25
271:1,13,
15 272:13,
17 274:2,6
275:24,25
276:2,6,13
277:4
278:10,11
279:11
280:17
281:13
282:6
283:9,17,
18,22
285:13
286:24,25
287:2,4,6,
18 288:6,8

corrected
247:20

correctly
15:1 47:18
127:17
194:4
199:4
207:22
233:18
264:13
267:11
272:10

correctness
167:18
214:25

correlation
173:22

cost 53:2,4

coughed
102:18

counsel
15:1,20
64:1,11
98:11
151:25
194:2

count 26:20,
22 27:2
67:6
201:22

counted
203:15
272:6

counties
14:13

57:13 95:4
125:9
205:22,25
212:11,13
213:11,15,
18 217:9
229:2
231:22,25
246:1,6
249:24
251:20
252:1,15,
18,20
253:20,22
257:10,15,
17,18
262:24
264:15
271:6,13,
22 272:20
277:20
278:22
282:13

counting
207:11
268:18

country
141:10
163:10

counts 26:13

county 8:1
9:13 11:20
12:2,15,22
14:10,13,
25 15:20
16:17,24
28:18,20,
22 29:1,6,
15 38:18
70:10,11,
13,15
80:17,20
82:15
89:18
90:20
147:8
150:22
155:9
180:9
189:25
192:11
197:1,4,8,
13 211:8
212:9,13
213:9
214:2,4,22
215:1,2,
11,15,20
216:6
223:11
229:2
232:8
235:8
252:11,13,
20 253:9,
24 254:4
256:20,24,
25 257:10,
13,15,20
262:20
263:13
266:10
267:17,20,
22 269:4,6
271:10,20,
25 276:9,
25 278:4
289:8
290:22

county's
11:22
13:15
213:9
215:15
216:25

county-by-county
199:17

county-level
104:22

couple 22:20
38:20 67:6
89:20 91:2
164:6
291:15

court 8:6,8,
9,12,18,
20,22,24
9:2,4,8,
17,18,20,
22,25
10:2,4,8,
10,17,18
11:1,4,6,
9,11,17,
20,24
12:1,6,11,
13,18,20,
25 13:2,6,
11,13,17,
22 14:2,
13,22
15:11,15
16:4,11,
13,15,20,
22 17:1,
10,15,20
18:4,9,11,
17,20,22
19:4,17
20:6,9,11,
15,17,18
24:20
25:13,15
28:17
29:2,13,17
30:9 31:2,
4 32:22,25
33:2,18,
20,25
36:4,22
37:1
38:17,22
39:4
41:17,20
42:10,18,
25 44:8,
20,24
45:2,4,8,
18,22
46:1,4,6
48:2,4,13,
17,25
50:10
51:13,20
54:2,8
57:6,13,
20,22
58:4,9,13,

17 59:4,
18,25
60:4,11
61:9,18
63:2 64:22
66:6
69:13,20,
22 70:10,
17 71:8,
10,13
72:10,17
74:17,18,
22 79:9
81:2,8,11
82:10,13,
15 83:6,9,
11,13,15
89:17
90:22,25
91:15
92:2,4,17
93:18
94:4,11,17
97:13,20
98:13
101:24
102:18
103:17
104:4
105:2
106:6,18,
24 107:25
108:2,13,
18 109:4,
15,18
110:1,4,
11,15,18,
20 111:4,
6,8,17,20,
22 112:1,
4,6,13,18,
22,24
116:25
119:2,24
120:2
121:11,18,
20 122:1
124:22
125:6,9,
11,15,20,
22 128:20,
22 129:2,
6,8,10,13,
15,20
130:6,11,
17 131:1,
11,15,25
132:2,9,
13,22
133:10,15
134:6,11,
15,18,20,
24 135:1,
2,6,8,11,
13 136:4,
13,15
137:4,8,10
138:11,13
139:6,11
140:13,15
143:18,20
145:11,22
146:6,10,
13,15
150:6,13
151:13
153:15,18
154:6,9,
11,24
155:4,25
158:20
162:22
163:15
164:11,13,
18,20
165:9
168:2
169:6,17,
18,22
170:11
171:8,11,
15 172:22
173:6,10,
11 174:20
175:4,10,
11 176:10,
11,15
180:24,25
181:2,11,
20,22,25
182:2,6,
10,13
183:18,24
184:2,6,
18,22
185:2,13,
15,20
186:2,11,
18 187:4,
10 188:11,
25 190:11,
18 191:18,
22 192:2,
6,11,18
193:6,8,10
195:22
197:10
198:20
202:25
203:2,6,
20,22
205:4,13
206:15,20,
25 207:2,4
208:4,8,
11,13,15,
18,22,24
209:2,4,6,
11,13,18,
22 210:1
213:4
220:22,25
221:2,10,
13,15,20
225:15,18,
22 226:15,
22,24
227:4
228:6,18
231:4
232:18
234:1
236:1,2,4,
6,9
237:20,24
238:2,8,
10,13
242:18,20
243:9,17,
20 244:8,
11,17,18
245:1,6,

10,13
246:25
247:4,8,
18,22
248:2,10,
17 251:4,8
254:10
255:6,10
259:18
261:13,17
263:11,15
264:4,8
266:4,6,
20,24
270:6
273:6,10,
13 274:10,
11 276:15
279:15,18
282:8
284:11,13
286:1,15
288:13,15,
18,20,22
289:2,4,
11,13,18,
20,25
290:4,13,
17,22
291:1,4,9,
17,18,20

Court's
132:20
181:4

courtroom
17:9 18:2,
6 20:20
60:2 98:15
131:18
210:2
290:2,6

courts 24:17

covered
46:22

covering
13:6

crack
291:11,13

craft 88:18

Crawford
25:22

create 222:2
267:25

created
213:2
216:18
262:6
266:11
267:2

creates
189:11
221:24,25

creating
213:11
216:15

credential
78:1

credentials
46:22

credibility
58:6
154:15
156:2
173:10

criminal
22:11
148:9,13,
15

critical
251:11,13,
15

Crittenden's
131:4

cross 259:18

cross-examination
38:24
51:24
54:11
74:24
77:13
78:11 82:4
89:22 91:4
121:2
127:8
128:10
131:20
150:18
188:15
196:22
198:11
254:11
276:18

cross-examine
131:13
132:13
133:1,6,8,
15 169:1

crowded 10:4

cup 36:2

Curling
131:8
143:15,24
151:11
164:4

current
141:18
143:2
246:22
251:17

cursory
259:2

custody
213:6

CV 138:17

cyber 140:18

cycle 98:13
102:4

cycles 255:1

D

DA 93:20

damaging
183:2

dampen 28:6
115:13

danger 165:2

dark 130:18

data 40:10
85:17
88:18
95:11,13
101:18
103:8
109:11
141:9,18
167:6
173:15,18
182:25
183:2
200:2
212:18
215:13
222:6
233:4,6
235:24
237:11
240:2
246:2
251:20
252:13
285:2

database
207:22
211:6
212:10,13,
15,18,22,
25 213:4,
11,15,20,
22 214:4,
6,11,17
215:2,20,
22 216:6,
8,9,13
221:20
225:10,13
240:22,24
241:8,9
250:18,22
251:6,13
252:22,24
263:18
267:18,22
268:1
271:24

databases
85:13,15
95:8 96:4
187:17,20
228:4
249:22
266:10

date 135:15
217:13,15,
17,18,25
218:6
249:2

dates 243:20

David 120:15

Davis 9:1,2,
4,6

dawn
291:11,13

day 58:4
62:18,20
63:24 72:9
74:10,13
75:2 105:6
107:2,11,
22 114:20,
25 165:10
213:2
215:24
224:22,24
232:2
233:6
241:20
267:11
268:4,11,
20 287:2

days 18:24
28:4 62:20
114:11,17
115:6
116:1
135:15
136:13
180:13
267:15

de 46:24
47:4 50:2

deal 211:6
225:18

dealing 27:4
109:4,6
184:8
228:1

dealt
247:15,17,
24 248:15

dear 133:22

debated
145:2

debunked
37:22

decades
186:15

December
62:20
133:15,18
135:25
208:11
211:15

decide 10:22

decided
21:13,24
183:15

decides
122:25

decision
110:2
145:1
169:22
171:15
239:24

decision-making 24:17

decisions
30:6 185:8

deck 268:4,
15

declaration
136:11

decreased
165:18
166:20

decrypt
215:13

defeat 29:25

defect
22:17,18
161:10
165:17
173:2
177:17
180:2
184:9

defected
149:4

defective
19:9,11
20:22
22:18
23:6,13,18
24:4 25:13
145:15
158:13
159:4,15,
24 160:8,
9,11 161:9
163:6,9,10
170:18
174:22
178:20
179:2

defectiveness
19:11
159:22
162:8,15
171:22

defects
148:22
173:15,18
231:11

defendant
22:13
110:10
112:15
131:2
150:13
154:6

defendant's
25:1 52:15
65:25

defendants
22:11,22
23:20
24:18
29:17 70:9
157:18
237:17
289:13

defending
93:20

defense
52:11 54:6
132:22
134:11,24

defer 129:18
200:25

deficiencies
87:22

define
149:15

degree 47:22
139:18,20
140:2

degrees
39:15

Dekalb 14:13
271:13,20
290:22

delay 15:6

delivered
111:13

demand 12:4,
8,9 13:15,
20 14:6
15:4,18
16:4
135:20

demands
112:17

Democrat
115:6
116:6
177:13
178:6
219:15
220:10,11

Democratic
26:15,18,
20,22 28:6
75:6,20
76:18 78:2
115:11
117:9,11
118:13
125:2,15
177:11
201:6,22
202:9
204:9,10
240:13
259:4

Democrats
26:24
62:13
116:11,18
117:18,25
202:4,17

demographicall y 88:20

demographics
87:6,11,15

demonstrate
30:15,20

demonstrated
19:17
41:22

demonstrating
30:11,13

denied 15:4
18:17,20
19:1 49:22
57:20
209:13,17,
20

deny 29:13
226:11

depending
159:13

depends
81:13
122:6
130:10

deposition
136:6,8

deputy 60:13

describe
85:11,22
86:4 87:8
94:17
101:24
213:4

describing
252:8

design 29:25
30:2 90:4,
6 91:25
194:13,18
200:22
238:18
241:17
252:6,8
253:15
254:17,22,
24 256:18
258:13,15
260:18
276:10
280:20,22

designed
29:9 160:1
194:15
249:22

designing
240:6

designs
252:11

detail
147:25
176:20
183:20
204:22

detailed
15:22 82:4

detailing
214:18

details
97:11
115:22

detect 148:2
150:4,11
161:13
287:4,6

detected
161:10
233:11,20

determination
14:20

determine
85:18
88:15 92:4
102:13
103:20
125:1,4,25
148:15
149:2
154:25
180:2
227:20
231:11,17
235:4
279:11

determines
245:2

determining
13:18
42:10,17
148:20
164:17

develop
86:22
142:18
170:4

developed
96:13
147:24
148:1,2
159:2
163:8
249:24

development
149:22

device 55:6,
9 56:9,11
217:1,2,
10,13
222:1
234:13
235:20
268:2,4,13
270:11,13,
15 280:24
284:18

devices
54:24 56:6
215:22
233:2
234:17
235:20
237:6
246:2
268:11,13

devoted
35:15

diagnostic
218:4

diagnostics
217:22

Diebold 35:6
144:6
147:4
149:6
152:4,11,
20,22
156:18
158:11
159:6,18
160:17
205:9,11

diff 185:17

differed
264:24

difference
28:2
159:17
258:6
270:9

differences
162:13

differential
175:6

differentiatio
n 27:2

differently
35:8 223:2
226:24

difficulties

73:22
238:6

dip 30:8

direct 31:20
50:10 61:2
72:18 80:4
84:6
101:17
102:24
104:11
120:13
124:20
137:22
175:2
210:15
238:17
243:2,10
246:11
251:2
270:18
285:15

direction
63:11
146:13

directly
63:11 96:9
133:8
233:4
270:11

director
10:13 32:2
211:2

disabled
54:15,22
55:4,13,22
56:4 59:13

disagree
143:20
226:25
227:1

disclosing
96:15
98:10,17

discover
268:20
272:20,22

discovered
19:20
269:17

discovery
18:20
24:25
112:15
180:25
184:6
185:10
188:6
265:20
284:11

discrepancies
67:8

discrepancy
76:6,18

discretion
245:13

discrimination
28:11
115:10,11

discuss
17:20
92:13

discussed
230:20

discussing
264:24

discussion
162:4
230:15
258:11

discussions
258:6
262:1

dismissed
30:15

disparity
106:13

display
219:22
235:13
241:22
253:24
265:6

displayed
50:6 237:4
240:4
253:11,13
254:4

displaying
240:9
255:18

disposal
253:22

disqualified
18:6

disregard
163:15

dissertation
140:6,9

distance
40:18 42:8
47:17

distinction
19:20

distinguish
213:8

distinguished
227:10

distribute
167:6,13

distributed
200:24
205:20

distributing
251:20

distribution
218:20
246:1

district
111:25
143:15
177:4
214:18
220:4

districts
238:22

dive 158:10

divided
238:25

dividing
101:15

division
223:9
225:2
229:25

divorce 8:10

document
44:2,25
52:13 64:2
98:4 112:2
169:8
246:24
275:8

documentary
19:13

documents
169:13
271:17,18

donate 81:6

door 58:1
123:24
151:20

dots 145:10

double
100:22
102:20

double-check
117:13

doubt 19:9
22:20
23:13
25:15 26:4
29:22
30:22
109:11,24

doubts 33:10

down- 258:6

down-ballot
28:13 89:1
119:18
198:9
258:9

down-ticket
88:15

dramatic
25:25

drastically
194:1

drawing
150:6

DRE 25:9
37:22
38:11
39:20
40:2,20,22
41:1,2,6,
8,10 42:6,
22 47:24
54:15
56:18
59:11
68:24
73:13
90:11,15
128:1
144:2
150:2,10
151:15
154:1
158:11,15
159:2,6,
18,22
160:11
161:2,15
165:22
174:11
177:11
180:6
182:6,20
189:11,18,
20,22
196:11,15
203:13
205:9
208:2
212:24
213:1
216:4,13,
18,20
218:9
228:22
235:13
239:22
240:2,4
241:1,22
252:25
253:1,20,
22 255:11
259:2
277:1
278:24
279:10
280:24
283:20,22,
25

DRE's 30:4

DRES 47:15
56:6 92:1
144:4,6,18
145:13
147:15
148:2
149:2,6
150:4,11
151:1,4
152:2,4
154:13
155:2
158:6
160:22
161:18
162:9,15,
18 163:6
166:22
204:18
205:2
225:15
239:22
251:17

drives 216:4

drop-off
88:13,15

dropout
101:4

dropped
160:6

Drupal
166:4,6

duck 185:24

due 135:18

dueling 17:15

Dufort 9:11, 15,20 79:15,24 80:4,11 81:1,22

duly 31:18 60:25 80:2 84:4 137:20 210:13

Duncan 9:11 23:6 25:18 52:11,15 54:6,8 57:2,11 80:24 110:10 112:15 254:18 264:13 283:9

duties 261:13

Duval 9:11

Duvall 9:24, 25

dysfunctioning 282:20

E

earlier 9:1 90:2 101:17 113:17 114:25 136:11,13 190:10,20 195:1 206:4 212:6 269:15 277:6

early 21:20 46:18,22 47:6 62:18 105:9 114:20 128:2 157:2 191:2 290:6,18

earth 198:18

easier 48:4

easiest 252:17

east-west 27:18 265:17

easy 48:6

Ebay 144:11

educate 119:18

education 119:18 138:20 144:17 147:13

Edward 268:17

effect 204:15

efficient 87:1

effort 58:2 115:18

efforts 57:15

elderly 68:6 76:22

election 12:11 14:20 15:2,6 16:22 19:8,11, 18,22 20:22 22:18 23:6,13, 15,18 24:4 25:13,18, 24 26:2,4, 13,22 27:4,6 28:22 29:2,9,11, 18 30:1, 10,18,25 32:9,10 33:15,17 35:11,15, 17,24 36:8,11 37:1,6,9, 13 38:4 39:6,22 40:2 42:15 46:13,18, 22 57:24, 25 59:17 62:8,15, 18,20 80:13,24 81:4,25 82:22 83:2 85:15 86:11,15, 18,22,24 87:18 89:13 90:6,9,17 91:25 92:13 94:15 96:25 100:11,13, 20 102:4 105:6 107:2,11, 22 109:25 114:25 116:20 122:6 124:6,13 127:13 140:10,20, 24,25 141:1,6, 10,11,20 147:8,10, 11 151:18

153:2,6,
11,22
154:2,22
155:4
157:6,22
161:20
162:20
164:8
165:10,18
166:8
167:11
170:24
171:6
172:6,20
175:25
180:10
182:25
183:11
184:9
188:9
189:18
196:11
200:8,11
203:11,13
204:15
205:1
206:15,22
211:2,4
212:4,6,
11,18
213:2,22,
25 214:4,
6,11
215:20,24
216:20
218:13,20
222:22,24
223:10,11,
18,20,25
224:1,22,
24 225:2,
8,18,20
226:4,9,
10,20
227:4,10
228:24
229:17,18,
24 230:1,
2,4 232:2,
9 233:6
234:11
235:9,22,
24 236:18
239:10
240:8
241:17,20
244:2
245:20
246:2
248:6,15
249:8,11
253:9,17
254:25
258:4,20
261:22,24
262:13
263:13
267:11,15
268:4,6,
11,20
269:2
271:2,20,
22 272:1
273:20
274:1,4
277:8,22
280:9
281:11,13
284:15,17
285:2,4
287:2

election's
227:20
238:6

elections
9:13
28:18,24
29:9 35:4,
18,20
37:18
38:20 39:8
40:11
46:17 62:4
77:18
88:13
89:20
96:18
101:18
102:2
103:6,9
122:15
141:9
149:17
150:24
151:6
166:25
167:2
188:20
211:8
212:9,22
222:18,25
223:6,9
229:25
230:6
240:11
246:6
249:25
251:22

Elections.kennesaw.edu
251:25

elector
80:18

electoral
19:15 85:2

electorates
85:4

electric
22:13

electronic
21:6 22:1,
2 27:2,13,
17 28:2
32:13,18
33:4,11
35:2,10,20
37:10,17
38:13
39:15 73:4
105:13,18
106:13
164:2
174:8
199:13,15,
20 238:18
258:9
264:15,20,
24 276:11
277:25

282:4

electronically 104:15 276:11

electronics 282:22

element 259:10

eliminate 83:4 175:4 280:25

eliminates 22:13

else's 164:13

email 45:13 46:2 185:4,6 243:11 244:2 245:18 246:15,17

emailed 182:13

emails 243:15,25

embeds 19:18

employed 32:2 61:15 70:9,13 84:13 210:20

employee 289:8

employment 141:24

empty 291:6

encounter 235:17 241:6

encountered 223:1,11 224:9 269:24

encourages 122:15

encrypted 215:4

end 62:15 68:20 73:11 92:10 212:1 234:10 268:11

ended 35:11 253:20 257:4

engage 85:18 87:25

engaged 28:10 84:15 89:1 138:8

Engineering 168:10

English 266:13

ensconced 42:15

ensure 28:20 142:6 265:22

entail 95:6

enter 49:17 63:20 82:25

entered 44:2 52:13 64:2 98:4

entering 123:22 237:13

enthusiasm 28:6 115:13

entire 23:11,13 30:22 168:11 249:6

entirety 48:24

entitled 13:25 80:22 83:2 99:6 117:2 181:2

entitles 29:8

environment 222:17

EPROM 284:1, 2,6,15,18, 24 285:4 286:4,8,9

equal 253:22

equipment 179:2 206:2 212:8 222:15 229:2,4,6 253:20 268:1

erasable 286:4,6

error 172:4 178:4,11 179:6 207:24 218:25 220:15,18 230:10 242:6 278:8,13 286:24

errors 16:1 166:15 167:20 172:1 179:18 195:6,8,13 207:22 219:1,2 247:20

escape 24:18

essentially
86:13,18
102:2

establish
27:1 82:10
124:13
145:10
165:11

established
26:2 92:10
155:24
158:15
169:15
172:10
191:2

establishing
184:20
198:25

ethnicity
87:13

evaluated
164:9

evaluating
87:4 88:8
89:6
187:17

evaluation
89:13

evenly
205:20
220:15

Everest
160:18

everybody's
10:10
130:15
133:18
181:11

evidence
19:11,13,
17,22,24
20:2,20,
22,24
22:15
23:24
24:6,11,20
26:6
28:22,25
29:4,11,
20,24
30:11,15,
22 42:2
44:4,22
45:20 51:6
52:15
57:11 58:2
64:4,18
96:25
98:6,13
103:17
111:11,15
131:2,4,6,
9,15,18,22
132:1,2,11
156:1
161:18,20
162:2
165:6
169:18
172:20
175:2
176:22
183:8,9,
15,22
188:6
190:25
191:4
198:13
220:22
232:15
233:22
255:4

evidentiary
184:8

exact 100:25
102:20
119:13
126:18
158:24

examination
31:20
43:10
56:24
58:22 61:2
72:18 80:4
84:6 93:2
120:4
137:22
156:6
184:17
186:22
187:11
190:11
204:1
207:15
210:15
227:20
229:6
231:15
234:4
243:2
279:22
286:17
287:10

examine
134:22
180:15
229:4

examined
40:20
94:18,22
124:11
164:24
229:2
232:6
233:2,9

examining
96:18

examples
175:20

Excel 64:13
65:2,6,9,
11

exception
12:9 15:25
136:2

exceptionally
179:6

excuse 36:20
41:4 65:18
78:24
158:6

excused
60:2,4

128:22
288:20

excusing
60:2

executable
212:20

execute
249:24

executed
211:8
222:25

executive
10:13 32:2

exercise
146:15

Exhibit
44:1,4,10,
11 45:20
52:15
54:2,6
63:25 64:4
78:15 79:4
98:2,6
112:1,4,15
168:4
243:9,11,
24 245:9,
17 246:15
251:2
275:1,4

exhibits
264:2
266:18
273:4,10,
11,20
274:9

existed
28:13

exit 130:20

expect 21:13
200:22

expected
222:18
277:18

expedient
14:20

expedited
136:15

expenses
53:11

experience
35:1 39:18
50:2 84:18
85:11
87:4,9
88:1,2,4,
6,10 90:6
91:24 92:2
94:1,4
96:11,18
108:6
109:24
119:15
121:15
138:20
141:13
144:2,15
146:22
147:13,15
148:1
149:2,8
153:1
165:15
177:25
187:15
206:8

experienced
73:24
74:13
230:11

expert 17:2,
6 25:9,10
33:9,18
34:1 38:6
39:2
41:18,22
42:4,6,18,
22 43:1,2,
15 59:20
84:15 88:4
89:11
91:18
92:10,18,
20,22 94:8
96:22
97:15
108:11,13
123:24
138:9
143:11,13,
18 150:2,
10 151:11,
13 154:13,
17 155:11,
24 156:1,2
158:1,8
164:18,20
168:25
169:15
170:4
171:1,17
172:10,15,
18 173:2
174:17,24
177:15
179:1
191:4
195:11
198:4,18
280:18

expertise
41:22 42:6
43:6 47:22
92:11,18
93:25 94:6
96:13,18
97:6
121:24
146:22
147:2,15
148:2,20
165:17
179:22
194:13,17
206:4,8

experts
17:15,17
20:4
59:18,22
168:24
170:2
171:17
186:15
221:13

explain 23:1 30:8 51:6 64:1 68:2 93:11 99:13 105:2 119:2 131:4 151:13 164:6 176:9,11, 18 180:18 183:18 193:4 204:22 255:10 266:4,6 270:2,8 271:17

explaining 90:2 100:2

explanation 22:2,11,17 24:18 104:2 178:1 207:20 228:4 270:8

explanations 29:24

explicit 12:8

explore 121:1 147:25

exposed 165:2 228:15

exposure 165:6 227:15

Express 67:1 205:11 246:2

expression 211:11 213:17 234:24

extensive 184:4

extent 180:2

external 161:17 162:1 270:11

extra 274:11

extract 183:2

extracted 232:2 233:4 234:13

extreme 21:1

extremely 177:20

eye 133:8

eyesight 130:24

eyewitness 175:2

eyewitnesses 178:18

F

face 194:24

faced 183:13

facie 22:9 24:4

fact 13:9 17:11 27:4,10,11 28:8 29:25 30:15 32:15,18 33:13 35:4 42:10 63:20 116:9 117:15,24 118:8,11, 15 119:11 123:10 145:2,8 146:2 148:4 149:4 172:13 199:11 200:15 201:4,9, 13,22 202:15,25 248:13 258:11 259:11,24 274:1,4

fact-bound 15:22

factors 30:8 200:13,20

facts 12:10 15:20 91:20 145:20 155:6 176:22 220:6,22 232:13

factual 15:22 16:2 33:22 42:2 231:2

failed 14:4

fair 28:20 75:8,9 101:1 115:4 151:18 158:20 229:11,13

fairness 24:15 240:6

faith 198:13

familiar 37:15,18 54:15,18 55:13,15, 20 116:17

118:11
177:4
199:9,11,
15,18
200:2
201:2,4,9
225:9
227:15
234:24
287:20

familiarity
199:24

fashion
229:6

fast 106:6
236:8

fastidiousness
166:13

feasible
38:2

federal
58:24
143:18
212:9
246:6
253:13

feedback
222:18

feel 259:2
278:4

fees 53:2

feet 49:10

felt 224:13

FEMALE 13:4

fewer
117:11,25
123:6,13,
18 124:18
126:17
202:10
257:13
282:13

field 148:11
153:2
155:24
170:4
174:4

figure 68:25
87:24 95:2
201:11
221:18

figures
174:20

file 74:8,
11 86:24
88:18
135:15
167:6
184:4
212:18
215:13,15
235:9,11,
24,25
236:18
284:22
285:2

filed 11:18
12:15
110:10
136:11

files 85:15
214:18
246:2,10

filing 11:22

filings
18:24

final 109:11

find 87:22
103:24
106:1
122:8
124:9
126:4,15,
25 223:13
245:2

finder 155:6

fine 10:4,6
32:24
59:22 68:4
69:13
130:13
185:15

finger 71:15
74:4

finish 70:2
157:15
187:2
191:22
289:15

finished
41:20
70:22
205:18
264:22

fired 211:22

firm 115:8

firms 61:22

first-hand
39:20

firsthand
90:15
154:1

five- 118:17

fix 150:11

fixed 248:4
277:24

flaw 19:15

flaws 235:6

floor 236:10
247:20
290:6
291:1

floors
236:10

Florentine
270:4

Florida
160:20
257:22
260:9

focus 33:6,
22 85:20
146:18
238:20

focused

32:11,18
95:2 116:2
142:25
145:6
155:4
259:9

focusing
157:13
172:6

folks 116:11
118:20,22
130:15
169:1
264:25

follow 11:6
28:20
42:20
59:10
67:18
115:22
148:9
282:22

follow-up
57:4
154:10

font 240:10

forensic
148:11
149:6
160:15
171:6
177:15
180:4,6
181:13,17,
18 184:17
186:13,22
195:22
196:10
207:15
227:20
228:22
229:10
231:15
269:22

forensically
148:2,6
208:2

forensics
25:9

form 77:6
145:4

formally
211:9

format
189:11
239:10,11,
20 252:17,
18

formats
239:6

formed
169:22
262:15

forms 36:9

forward
28:15
29:20
30:13
83:13
280:22

forwarded
14:20
214:22
215:4

found 78:24
106:2,6
124:15
126:6,15
127:1
165:25
204:15
229:6
232:6
237:6

foundation
38:6 45:24
48:1,11
51:13
59:15
109:2
145:18,25
163:11
164:17
165:6,11
169:24
171:4
172:13,15,
17,18
177:1
184:20
228:2

founded
24:13

fourth 140:1
290:6

fraction
101:2

frame 241:22

framed
126:20

frankly 28:2
201:1

frantic 74:2

frequently
55:25 56:2

front 65:4
93:18
100:25
112:11
113:4,6
123:25
168:4
228:9
239:11,13
265:6
274:15

full 31:24
61:6 80:9
134:1
210:18

Fulton 9:11
11:6,20
12:2,15,22
13:15
14:25
15:20
16:17,24
28:18,20,
22 29:1,6
70:10,13
82:15 83:9

147:6
180:9
263:2,6
266:1,10
271:13,15,
20 289:8

function
90:11

fundamentally
24:15
151:17

funding
212:2

fundraising
52:22
53:15,18,
22

funds 81:6

future 230:6

G

gain 158:1

gained 96:17

game 86:20

gap 22:1
23:9 28:13
249:6,10

garbage
234:25

garden 29:8

garner 230:6

gave 81:6
151:13

Gay 245:18

GEMS
187:17,20
207:22
212:10,13,
15,18,22,
25 213:4,
11,15,20,
22 214:17
215:15
216:4,8,
13,17
221:20
232:1,4
233:4
234:13
238:20
239:4
249:9,17,
22 250:6,
9,10,18,
20,22,25
251:6,13
252:22
262:11
263:18
265:22
266:17
267:4,18
278:20

gender 87:13

general
39:22 40:2
48:13
81:24
90:15
100:20
105:24
106:10
107:11
116:25
118:2
126:13,22
153:6,11,
22 154:22
160:24
162:18,20
163:22
166:13
167:2,18
223:18
224:20
239:10
240:11
260:18
275:6
281:25

general's
106:15

generalize
179:22

Generalized
180:1

generally
35:2 38:11
54:18
55:4,8,11,
24 81:1,2
87:10
88:11
122:9,18,
24 125:18
142:22,24
144:2
145:22
146:25
150:2,10
151:2
155:2
158:13
159:4
160:11
163:13
199:18
205:9
227:17

generate
249:22
252:15
253:22

generated
278:22

generating
253:25

generation
141:20
143:2

gentleman
20:9 245:6

gentlemen
8:6

Geoff 9:11
25:18
112:15

geographic
87:17
88:18

Georgia 8:1

19:18
21:15,18
26:25
27:6,20
34:13,20
35:6 36:13
37:20
38:2,11,13
39:6,10,
13,22 40:2
41:2,11
42:15 43:2
46:17
55:10,18
56:10
62:13
80:15,18
81:22
90:11,15
91:9 92:11
94:15
95:15,18,
22 96:25
98:18
101:18
104:11,15
108:10
109:1,6
113:18,22,
24 116:11
118:18
120:15
127:13
138:22
139:6
142:17
143:4,6,
11,15
145:15
147:11,15
150:2
151:17
152:6,13,
15,22
153:6,11,
22 154:2,
18,20
157:6,11,
22 159:2,
22 161:2
162:18,20
163:13
164:2
165:1,22
166:18,24
170:22
184:10
186:6
188:2
189:9
196:11,15
200:18,24
201:11
203:10
205:20
206:9
207:8,18
211:6
212:9
237:9
241:20
250:6,11
251:1
255:13
258:25
259:2
260:20
261:22
267:17

Georgia's
26:11
37:15 38:1
54:15
119:6
146:22
147:6,11
151:15
163:9,22
164:8
165:18
166:25
167:11

germane
123:15

get all
130:4

give 33:2
34:2 84:15
93:22
103:15
106:20
121:2
130:13
146:13
160:13
163:15
166:4
215:11
217:4
219:2
220:4
237:10
239:25
285:15
289:2

giving 19:24
73:11
106:22

glad 203:6

glance 259:2

glass 187:2

glasses 64:9

Global
212:18,22

glue 160:4

gobs 208:18

good 8:6,7
9:8 11:13
32:4,6
35:2 36:24
38:18
41:25
43:20
44:15
77:18
89:18
145:24
150:22
179:6
185:22
198:13

Governance
32:4,6
36:25
43:20
44:15

governing

240:17

government
156:20,24

governmental
162:4

governor
15:10
21:22
25:18
71:2,15,
17,18,20,
24 94:20
95:22
98:20
100:18,22
101:4,11,
13,15
103:9
105:4,8
115:6
118:10
120:10,20
124:18
126:2,9,11
127:4
179:11
231:20
242:10,11,
13 253:18
254:24
255:22
256:2,11,
13 258:8
260:15
262:17
265:2,10,
13 268:18

governor's
19:10
21:6,11,
15,24 22:6
30:1 95:1
99:25
100:11
102:6,10
106:15
107:20
108:10
113:25
120:11
123:13
127:18,20
128:17
155:4
162:18
171:25
184:18
225:13
241:18,24
242:1
254:6,25
255:20
256:2,15
257:8
265:4,6
268:24
271:4,8
272:24
273:2
279:9
282:6,11
285:20,22

governors
260:2
265:13

graduate
62:1 84:20
139:1,2,13

graduated
138:22
140:2

graduating
84:25 85:6

Grady 195:6

grandson
133:22

Granted
26:18

gray 255:15

great 10:15
26:9 153:1
184:10

greater
27:11
147:25
165:2
176:20
183:20
204:22
276:11

Greenwald
43:18,20
44:11
45:15

grid
283:10,11

Groban 290:6

Groton 12:1

group 168:24

guess 11:2
12:2 26:6
54:6 141:4
185:20
189:20
229:10
233:22
273:15
286:6

guidelines
240:6

guilt 22:15

Gwinnett
9:13 11:6
14:25
15:17
29:15
38:18
77:18 83:6
89:18
90:20
150:22
155:9
252:20
253:4,9
263:2,6,9,
13 266:2,
10 271:13,
20 287:25
288:11

H

habit 81:18

habits 81:11

hack 270:24

hacking
225:9,20, 22 226:2, 20 227:6,9 270:1

half 182:15

hand 31:6 37:10 43:22 60:15 63:25 64:8 79:17 83:18 104:15 137:11 210:2 243:6 287:24

hand-marked
188:20,22 189:1

handed 64:11 65:4

hands 130:20

hands-on
39:17 144:1 149:2

handwritten
151:10

happen 49:15 86:20 131:20 175:22 176:20 178:2 181:25 221:9

happened
20:22 21:4,22 22:4 26:6 30:13 68:9 70:4 74:1 86:15,20 92:20,25 127:20 161:13 174:22,24 176:18 211:18 221:8 222:13 228:8 229:22 256:20,22

happening
30:2 49:18 69:18,20 97:4 127:18 175:17 233:24

happy 195:24 289:9 290:20

hard 19:13, 17 30:11, 22 47:11 64:8 82:9

hard-to-reach
85:4

hardware
147:20 158:18 159:11,20 250:2,4

Hart 25:22

HAVA 58:18

He'll 33:2

head 40:6 102:11

headed 112:9 115:9

header
241:15

headquartered
34:18

headquarters
34:10

hear 12:2,4 14:17 80:8 139:6 155:15 188:6 208:13 223:25 280:1,6

heard 14:18 134:11 136:25 154:11 158:17 169:10 176:10 180:24,25 184:2 192:11 224:1,20 235:1 280:20

hearing
20:13,20 110:13,15 133:13 135:17 136:17 208:18 232:13 291:22

hearsay
45:22 69:11,20 70:6 71:8 131:15 132:4,13 170:10,11 181:6,8,10 190:13 230:17 261:4,11

heavily
220:4,9,11

heavy 29:4 220:11

helped 36:13

helpful
217:18 270:6

helping 10:13 90:8 141:11

helps 43:4

Henderson 13:18 14:4

Henry 14:13

high 29:18 53:11 81:13 122:13 124:15 127:13 194:25 195:6 275:20

high-profile 118:9,13

higher 26:20 103:22 104:1 122:15 123:1,2 282:4,11

highest 102:6 104:9 271:8 273:1

highly 174:2

Hill 235:8 269:6

hint 19:25

hints 20:2

historic 113:15

historical 95:13 102:13 103:2

Historically 21:13

history 21:18 270:4

hit 281:15

Hm 171:8

Hmm 110:18

hoc 148:13

holding 268:6

holds 160:4

hole 188:4

home 69:6 290:20

honor 8:7 9:18 10:1, 18 11:8, 15,18 12:6 13:8,15 14:8,18,24 15:13,15 16:4,10, 13,15,17, 25 17:18, 22 18:4, 13,18 19:6 20:4,13, 15,24 21:8 22:8,22 23:1,2,4, 25 24:15, 22 25:2,4, 15,17 26:4 27:4 28:11,15, 18 29:15 30:15 31:2 32:20 33:8,22 36:1,2 38:4,8 41:18 42:4,17,20 43:8 44:6, 18 45:4,6, 10,20,22 46:2,6 47:22 48:2,10,11 50:4 51:8, 11 54:1,4 57:6,18 58:1,11,15 59:1,6,13, 22 60:1,4, 10 64:20, 24 65:24 69:10 70:13,18 71:6 72:11 74:13,18 79:8 82:2, 25 83:4,8, 11 89:11 90:20,24 91:2,18 92:6,8,15 93:8 94:11 96:6,20 97:1,10,20 100:1,6 103:15,25 106:18,22 108:2,4, 11,17,20 109:2,13, 18,20 110:4,17, 24 111:2, 6,11,18 112:6 113:10 116:20 119:25 121:9,22 123:20 124:2,24 127:6 129:1,11, 15,22 130:2 131:2,11, 24 132:6, 17,24 133:11 134:2,9, 13,15,18, 25 135:1, 13,18,24 136:4,11 137:2,9 144:20,22 145:4,9,

17,22
146:4,6,8
150:1,15
153:13
154:8,15
155:8
156:4
158:13,20,
24 162:15,
22 163:11
164:18
165:4
168:22
169:2,13
170:9,15
171:6
172:9,18
173:4
174:15,18
175:1
176:13,22
180:22
181:4,18,
24 182:1
183:22
184:15,20
185:1,11
186:1,10
187:1,4
188:4,11
190:8,22
191:20
192:1
195:20
198:11
202:20
203:4,22,
24 205:15
206:11,18
208:10,13,
20,22
209:6,8,
20,24
220:20
221:4,6,
11,15
225:11,17,
20 226:6,
15,20
227:2,24
228:13,17
230:18
233:22
236:15
237:13
238:11
242:17,22,
24 244:4,
6,15
246:22
247:2,15
248:13,18
251:6
255:2,4
259:13,15,
20 261:4,
6,10,11,
15,18
264:4
266:18,20
273:4,13,
15 274:9,
11,13,25
275:1
276:15,17
279:20
282:6
284:4,10
285:24
288:11,20
289:4,13,
15,20
291:20

Honor's 17:4
24:6

hotline
178:24

hour 289:6,
11

hours 36:20
166:6

house 245:25

housed 211:9
245:22
251:25

houses 249:8

How'd 8:24

huge 21:9
150:6

hundred
133:4

hundreds
36:20

Huntsville
249:15

hypo 220:4

hypothesis
149:15
175:20

hypothesized
280:6

hypothetical
221:10,13
230:24

I

ID 118:20,
22 215:6
216:22

idea 85:10
130:13
155:15
166:4
196:15
236:1

ideas 93:24
94:6

identical
155:22
159:10,13

identically
233:6

identification
200:2

identified
57:11
167:2

identify
10:2 55:4
111:1
158:22
243:17,20
254:20

263:9,10
275:2

identifying
148:22

image 221:25
235:10
241:4
253:22

images 232:6
235:11
255:17

immediately
72:4
141:25

impact 113:2
115:11
198:6
200:13
201:18
244:15
257:22

impacted
257:25
258:13

impacting
201:18

impanel
14:15

impaneled
14:11

implementation
159:25

imply 148:11

important
24:15 79:2
93:10
118:20

impossible
203:17

impress
51:17

in- 21:20

in-person
54:22
213:2

inadvertent
262:6

inadvertently
27:15

inappropriate
14:6

inaudible
9:4,9
11:10 13:2
16:18
17:13
25:15
28:17
32:20,22
35:18
38:15 42:4
44:8 45:2
48:11 51:4
54:2,8
59:4 61:13
63:18
64:6,9
66:6,13
67:13
69:11,13
70:11
74:17,18
75:17,22,
25 81:8
82:4,13,24
83:15,17
93:18
100:13
109:18
112:8
115:4,10,
17,20
116:13,15
119:24
120:1,2
124:1,22
125:11,22
127:4
128:25
129:2,6,8,
9,11,13
130:25
132:4
134:13
135:4,13
136:9,11,
13 140:13,
15 141:1,
11 143:18,
24 145:17,
18 146:6
149:22
151:20
153:8,15
154:15
156:4,20,
22 158:4,
6,8,15
160:18
162:20,24
163:13
164:20
165:8,9
166:2
169:4
171:4,13
172:2
173:25
174:13,20
175:20
176:24
180:10
182:11
184:4,13,
18 187:10
188:18,24,
25 189:1,
13,22
190:20,24
191:20
192:6,10,
17,18
195:6,22
197:20,24
198:1
200:13
202:8,13
203:1,11
207:13
218:13
225:11
227:6
232:22
233:15

235:22
236:6,15
237:6,18
238:1,2,13
242:15,20
243:8,15,
22,25
244:1,10,
11,15,18,
22 245:8,
13,18
246:13,15,
18,25
247:1,6,
11,13,15,
22 248:1,
2,4,6,15
249:4,15,
18 251:2,
9,10
253:4,20
254:22
255:1,6,8
257:25
259:13,15,
17,18
261:6
263:4,8,10
264:2
266:6,13,
17,18
270:22
271:15
272:22
273:6,9,
11,13,15,
18,25
274:4,6,
13,24,25
275:2,22
276:22
277:2,13
278:2
279:10,11
280:2
281:4,20
282:24
283:11,17
284:11,18
285:25
286:1,2,6,
10,11,13,
15,20,22
287:13,17
288:2,15,
22 289:8,
10,15,17,
22 290:1,
2,11,15,
20,22
291:2,6,8,
9,10,13,
15,18

incidence
66:20

incident
66:22,24
67:13,15
228:4
244:6

incidents
78:18,20,
22

inclined
22:9

include
78:18
147:18
257:6

included
214:11
218:18
239:15

includes
8:10
182:22
272:15,18

including
157:18
272:8

inconveniencin g 291:13

incorrect
40:4 111:2

incorrectly
233:11

increase
119:13
122:22
145:13
165:22
276:4

increased
165:18,20
166:20

incredibly
166:2
176:8
177:22
200:17,18

incredulous
74:6

incumbent
128:15

incurred
178:11

independence
161:13,22

independent
117:20
160:20

indicating
143:20

indications
50:22

indirectly
167:13

individual
11:11
85:15
87:11
97:11
107:1
157:15
202:22
214:22
216:10
232:2
233:2
239:6
240:1
259:10
263:24
268:6
271:24

272:6
281:11
283:22

individuals
27:15
118:20

indulgence
136:2

industry
180:20

ineligible
19:15

infected
227:22
231:18

inference
198:13

inferior
24:11

infiltrated
246:10

inflammatory
58:4

influx 198:8

information
13:22
59:20 70:1
85:17
87:17
95:6,18
96:4 99:10
103:11
104:18
109:15
122:13
169:4,11,
15 170:6
214:4
215:22
216:13,17
232:2
236:11
239:4
245:24
249:11
252:2,4
266:15
284:17
287:15

informed
76:4

informs
199:4

infrequent
122:15

inherent
151:15
166:22

inherently
161:9

initial
17:13
278:2

initially
18:13
232:8
239:20

innocent
178:13
207:20

input 268:4

inputting
218:6

inquiry
93:11

ins 14:18

inserted
217:8

inserting
218:4

inside
148:24

inspect
182:18
208:2
284:9

inspected
153:10,20
154:2,22
235:6
249:18

inspection
155:13

inspects
215:15

install
237:6
252:24

installation
249:17,18

instance
14:11 29:4
63:15
73:15
87:11
115:4,25
117:8
118:17
189:9,15,
17 199:11
201:11
223:13
256:20
259:24
260:8
267:11
272:20

instances
50:18
59:11
173:2
179:24
233:9

instruct
216:9
219:4

instructing
268:8

instructions
257:4,11,
20 258:2

insufficient
13:17

insulted
130:24

insurance
117:11

123:2
282:1

integrity
32:10
140:10

intend 10:20
17:8

intended
39:4 71:4

intensely
43:4

intent
135:15

intention
58:11
111:13
135:4

intentionally
23:20

interact
270:17

interacting
280:22

interaction
259:1
270:18

interactions
186:17,18

interacts
278:4

interest
81:1,2
142:18

interested
35:4 81:13

interesting
22:6

internal
150:2
180:15
181:20
182:4,22
183:11,20
235:9
236:22
249:1
284:18,20,
22 285:2,6
287:18

internet
165:2,6
166:2
167:8
168:13
228:15
270:18,22

internship
142:1

interpreted
167:4

interpreting
231:6

interprets
259:6

interrupt
38:9 251:2

interrupting
249:2

interviewing
164:2

intimate
97:11

introduce
45:20
65:24 81:2
132:20

introduced
57:11
132:2,4
235:20

introducing
58:2

introductory
131:2
140:18

investigate
186:6
227:9
228:9

investigated
24:20
173:4

investigation
148:11
163:20
171:6
181:13
183:17
186:13
191:24
192:9
199:6
226:18
229:15,18,
22 231:11
235:4
247:1

invoke 10:20

invoked
16:10,11

involve 89:6

involved
35:22 36:9
39:6 41:24
151:22
205:13
214:2
222:15
272:1

involving
120:15
203:11
237:18

IP 270:15

iphone
63:10,13

irregularities
268:20

irregularity
29:8,20
30:22

irrelevant
33:18

issue 13:24
15:17 19:8
20:4 30:18
42:10,11,

13 45:25
67:1,9
87:15
91:20,22
92:2,4
93:8,15
154:13,25
155:2,4,8
182:11
183:22
184:11,25
204:25
206:17
208:22
224:10
225:13
226:8,13
227:17
230:18
243:15
248:6,15
264:22
277:15,17,
18 282:17
289:9

issued
160:20
209:11
249:24

issues 14:6,
9,17 16:2
32:11,13
33:6,18
41:22
42:11
57:18 73:4
74:13
91:20
93:13
136:17
151:13
158:2
179:2,9
230:13
235:17
237:18
253:6
277:13

items 131:2

J

jail 133:24

January 8:2
45:13
136:17,24
211:17
212:2
249:4,20
250:1

Jeanne 9:11,
15,20
79:15,24
80:4,11

job 11:13
75:20
256:18

John 201:10

Johnson
63:15

join 92:6

joined 15:13

Jordan
129:9,11

Joseph 63:4

judge 12:24
13:1 35:11
93:18,20
172:15
205:2
236:11,13,
15 256:22
267:13
290:1

judgment
237:20

jump 237:24

jumped
238:10

jumping
146:15

juries 14:15

jurisdiction
214:15
215:4
218:6

jurisdictions
14:17
260:6

juror 12:4

jury 12:8,
9,10
13:10,11,
15,18
14:1,6,10,
15 15:2,
22,24 16:4
135:20
290:18

Juvenile
8:12

K

Kauffman
8:22,23
9:1

Kaye 12:22

Kemp 131:8
143:15,24
151:11
164:4

Kennesaw
211:10,15,
22 222:20
227:15
244:2,6,9
246:4
248:15,20,
22

key 29:17

kind 8:11
68:6 74:2
76:6 85:20
86:2,4,22
87:15
88:18
95:2,4,25
97:18
111:9
122:20
161:2

168:22
169:4,15
170:10
172:10,17
178:11
186:22
188:8
198:4
199:22
200:2,6,10
231:4
238:18
246:20
247:8
269:18

kinds 90:2
133:24
141:20
261:17

knew 191:11
199:25
224:8

know- 133:18

knowledge
39:20
43:17
90:11,15
94:2,4
97:11
115:24
144:2,15
146:22
147:4
149:20
154:1,18
155:11,13
158:1
164:17,18
181:20
186:18
199:1
219:18
221:11,18
230:13
241:20
254:2,4
276:9

knowledgeable
93:17
256:20

L

L-E- 61:10

lab 144:6
152:4,20
154:20
249:15

labels
216:22
217:1

labor 94:22
117:17

lack 30:6
163:11
166:11
167:18
211:10
213:15
214:15
228:2

ladies 8:6
133:22

lady 68:6

lagging
200:22

laid 38:6
59:15
109:2
172:13,15
174:15

Lamb
164:10,13
165:25

language
58:4 111:9
253:10

languages
141:22
253:6,11

laptop 129:4
134:20,22

large 22:4
65:6 198:8
241:2

large- 64:11

large-print
65:8

larger
275:22
276:1

Lasix 64:22

lasted 68:20

late 250:8,
11 251:1

latitude
34:4

law 24:15
25:22
28:20 34:2
58:25
61:24
92:11

laws 13:24

lawsuit
197:4,11,
15 205:1
262:15,25

lawyer 17:24

lawyer's
36:4

lawyering
185:22

lawyers
11:13

lay 42:1
44:22
45:24
145:25
171:4
172:13
177:1
219:20
238:20

layout 164:6
222:4
239:6
252:18
257:15
258:20
262:6

265:2

lays 239:8

LBJ 63:11, 13 65:2,13

lead 220:11 221:4 235:18

leading 96:6 100:2 106:18 155:24,25 156:2 158:8 166:8 226:22 259:13,15 267:15 282:6

leads 173:18

learn 230:2, 4

learned 132:25 260:4

leave 50:18

leaving 47:11 165:2

Leclerc 60:11,22 61:2,6,11, 13,15 65:1,17 66:9 71:13 72:20,22 73:22 74:15,20, 25 75:2 77:15,18 78:13,15

Leclerc's 69:11

led 178:18 191:18 276:11

left 30:17 68:13 167:8 181:13 265:8,9 291:6

left-hand 241:24

left-leaning 205:25

legal 38:6 53:2 59:2 197:6

legally 144:11

legislator 206:13

Legislature 15:9

legitimate 22:2

letter 45:18 231:20,22, 24 235:2

level 53:11 122:20 151:17 166:18 167:15 200:22 232:11 249:9

leverage 88:20

Lexisnexus 135:11

León 46:25 47:4 50:2

libertarian 36:18 39:13 46:20 123:11 219:15

Libertarians 285:20

library 46:25 47:4 49:20

lieutenant 15:10 19:10 21:6,11, 15,22,24 22:6 25:18 71:2,15, 17,18,20, 24 95:1,22 98:18 101:11 102:6,10 103:9 105:4,8 106:15 107:20 108:9 115:6 120:10,20 124:18 126:2,9,11 127:2 128:17 155:4 162:18 171:25 179:11 184:18 225:13 231:20 241:18 242:1,11, 13 253:17 254:6,25 255:22 256:2,13 258:8 260:2,15 262:15 265:4,10, 13 268:24 271:2,6 272:24 273:2 279:9 282:6,11 285:18,22

life 21:9
182:15

lifelong
81:11,18

light 130:20

lightly 26:1

likability
200:20

likelihood
145:13
165:17
175:15

limited 42:8
87:2 131:4

limiting
149:13

Lindsay
45:8,22
59:1,13
132:13
197:10

Lindsey
10:24
11:2,4,10
13:9
15:11,13
16:9 17:6,
11,22
18:8,10
25:15,17
32:20 33:8
42:4,20
44:18,20
45:2,4,6,
10,22
47:22
48:10 50:4
51:22
52:2,11,17
54:1,6
58:1,11
64:6,9,11,
15,18,20
65:4 66:2,
6 69:10
70:6,11
71:6,9
75:2 82:2,
6 83:6
91:2,6,13
92:6 97:9
100:1
111:2,4,
11,18,20
114:4,9
117:2,6
119:4,22
120:9
123:4
124:6
128:8,13,
20 130:2,
6,13,22
131:11
132:24
136:4
144:20,22
145:6,17
154:8
155:8
162:15
171:6,9,13
172:9
173:4,6,
11,13
174:15
176:13
181:4
183:22
185:20
186:10
188:4
190:8,10,
20 191:6,
9,13,20,22
192:15,18
196:25
202:20
203:1,4,6,
8,9,20
205:2
209:6,17
220:20
221:2,11,
15 225:17
226:6,15,
20,22
227:2,24
246:22
247:2,6,9,
13,22,24
248:2,8,
11,13,17,
18 250:22
255:8
259:15,20,
22 261:6,
11,15,18,
20 263:6
264:2,6
266:18,22
268:17
273:4,9,
11,13,24
274:9,13,
15,25
275:8,10
276:15,24
279:13
284:10
285:24

Lindsey's
285:15

lines 47:10
50:1 56:1
100:24

lining 259:4

link 119:1

list 18:25
150:6
167:4

listed
231:22
240:13
264:11
272:6
279:2,6

listen 43:4
111:11
156:4
185:13

listening
43:2 55:11

literally
30:13
289:1

literature 156:17 160:11 162:11 171:4 194:18 199:2

litigat- 36:25

litigation 37:1 80:15

live 21:20 80:15 130:11 133:1,2,15 172:24

lived 34:20, 22

living 96:1

LMA 222:22 278:2

load 268:1

loaded 215:13,18 216:8 217:20 222:1 267:22

loader 182:25 237:1

lobbies 11:11

local 85:20 215:4,15 238:22

located 62:22 197:1 274:2

location 46:25 47:4,8 49:22 63:22 65:15 67:4 73:13 176:13 216:11,15 218:22 220:9,10 221:24 222:2 252:1 283:2

locations 40:11 47:2 55:18,20 62:20 215:22 239:4,13 266:11 270:20

locked 290:17

Logan 164:10,13 165:25

logic 217:9 218:13,18, 24 222:10 268:2

logical 222:11

logistically 129:15

logistics 288:25

logs 179:6

long 17:24 47:10 48:18 49:4 50:1 56:1 82:22 103:18 129:17

longer 68:20 131:13 253:4 288:24

looked 18:22 40:9 94:17,24 97:2 104:8 113:15 119:6 120:9 128:4 165:4 185:8 189:6 195:11 203:2 229:22 230:6 235:11,13, 22

loop 275:13

loss 35:17 36:10

lost 23:11 24:2 35:15 137:6

lot 28:4 36:25 37:2 42:20 59:20 87:22 96:4,8 97:2 119:18 132:25 158:20 224:22 227:15 252:9 253:10 285:20

lots 171:18

loud 169:10

love 248:8

low 176:8

lower 101:11 174:11

luck 290:2

lunch 129:18,20

lurking 155:15

Lyndon 63:15

M

M-42 291:9

machine
22:17,18
24:18
39:20
40:24
41:1,2,8,
10 42:11
49:18
50:4,18
51:4,11
55:13,20
56:11,13
67:6 68:8,
11,13,17,
24 69:1
70:2,4,20
71:20
72:2,6
73:18,20
90:15
93:15
144:2
154:2
158:11,13,
15 165:22
172:11
174:1,2
176:4,6
177:11,22
178:6,11
182:6,20,
22 183:1
190:11
194:1,6,10
195:6
196:11,15
203:13,17
208:2
212:24
216:4,6,
18,22
217:4,6,15
220:11
222:4,6
228:22
232:4
233:4,10,
13,18
234:6,9,
10,18,20
235:10
236:2,4,6
237:4
242:4
265:22
266:17
277:18
279:10

machine's
67:6 235:8

machine-level
235:4

machines
23:11,22
24:22
25:10
38:11
39:15
40:2,4,8,
13,17,20
41:6 42:6
47:24
48:8,20
49:2,4,13
50:1,22
54:17
55:25 56:2
67:2,4
73:13,15
90:11
128:1
142:11,13,
15 143:11
144:11
145:22
146:2,18,
24 148:4
152:11,20,
22 153:6,
10,11,22
154:18,22
156:20
157:11
158:22
159:6,18,
22 160:2
161:2,15
162:20
163:2
167:13
170:18
172:2
173:20
174:8,9,
11,22
175:6,8
176:6
177:11,15,
18,22,24
178:4
179:4,6
180:4,8,17
184:17
189:13
194:6
197:22
203:11
218:18
220:10
223:17
232:2
235:6
277:25
278:2
283:22,25

made 12:8,9
15:18,20
16:1 19:20
44:11 71:2
92:9 98:13
166:15
169:22
176:20
185:8
208:9
237:17
239:24
253:4
265:22

Madison
80:15

Magistrate's
290:4

magnifying
242:6

mail 128:2

mailout
216:1

main 85:20
223:9

maintain
212:2

maintained
165:20
249:10
284:22

major 81:15
159:13
167:9
181:25
270:2,4

majority
34:24
162:10
223:8

make 10:11
16:6
18:13,18
22:11,20
23:15 48:4
54:22 55:6
70:24
71:24
74:20
75:18
93:22 94:8
104:22
106:11
107:20
130:4,6,8,
11,15
155:9
171:15
192:18
193:10
195:15
217:11,13,
20,24
237:15
238:22
253:11
259:4
265:18
267:11,15,
22 268:2,
9,15 270:8

makes 24:25
205:11

making 48:13
129:15
142:18
167:18
183:20
207:22,24

MALE 8:7
10:20
11:22,25
12:15,24
13:1 20:13
82:13
129:11,13
286:20
287:8
289:15,18,
20 290:10,
15,20,25
291:2,8,
11,15,18,
20

malfunction
24:18 50:8
51:11
93:15

malfunctioning
49:4,8
50:1,6
51:4

malice 23:18

malicious
16:2
178:15

malware
166:11,15
189:10,18
196:15
204:17,18
227:22
228:10
231:18
237:11
269:18,22

manage 212:4

Management
212:18,22

manager
67:11
68:13,15,
18,22 69:4
70:2,6,8,
13,15,20,
22 71:1,2,
15 72:4
73:15

manager's
73:22

managers
76:13

mandatory
11:18

maneuver
235:15

manifested
179:20

manifestly
23:24

manipulation
16:2

mannerisms
133:20

manufacturing
34:18

mapping
219:9
285:11

margin 25:20
26:10
177:13
184:11

Marilyn
10:13
31:2,15,
20,25
38:25
43:11
50:13
51:25

54:11
56:25

mark 55:10
132:8
283:13

marked 43:24
243:6
254:18
275:2
287:24

Marks 10:13
31:2,6,10,
15,20,25
32:1 35:1
36:8,22
38:10,15,
18,25 42:6
43:11
44:10
45:11
46:11
47:22
48:11,18
50:11,13,
17 51:25
52:2,9
54:11,15
56:25
57:2,10
58:2 59:24
60:2

Marks' 51:11

married
216:20

Martin 9:11

Maryland
160:20

Master's
139:20

match 152:20
235:20
268:13

matched
232:4,25

matches
249:18

matching
234:11,13,
15

material
159:17

materials
156:11

math 22:22,
25 23:4
86:18
102:13
111:18
191:11
270:2

math/physics
181:25

mathematical
30:18

matter 13:25
17:22
18:15
22:22
25:11
27:4,10
33:13
57:17
61:13
84:15
116:9
135:17
140:8
145:24
163:22
172:13
188:6
202:15
205:13
209:9
244:10
259:15

matters
121:2

Matthew
134:15
137:10,18,
24 138:4
150:18
156:8
187:13
188:15
196:22
204:2

maximum
194:9

Mayor 35:4

Mcdonald
8:16,17

meaning
231:6

means 17:20
181:20
193:4
263:15
270:20

meant 56:6
246:20

meantime
290:4

mechanics
150:4

mechanism
52:22

mechanisms
59:11

Medicine's
168:10

member 39:6,
8 211:20
218:15

members
36:17,20
211:18,20

memory
180:15,17
181:20
182:6,22,
24 183:11,
20 189:11
212:24
213:1,2
215:25
216:10,15,
18,22
217:2,4,8,

20 221:24, 25 222:1, 2,6 233:6 234:13,15, 22 235:4,9 236:22 266:11,13 267:1,25 284:18,22 285:2,4,6 286:10 287:18

mentioned 37:2 38:20 141:13 149:1 150:24 151:11 152:2 161:8 224:18 269:2

mere 26:4

messages 53:17,18 172:4

method 35:2 105:1 148:15 278:20

methods 106:4,9 149:20

Metro 271:6

Mexico 84:20,25

Michael 147:9 157:18 164:4,6 209:25 210:1,10, 15,20 243:4 245:18 254:13 276:20 279:22 286:18 287:11

Michigan 138:6,11, 13 139:15, 17,18,22 144:8 149:10 153:4

Mickey 272:11

microphone 80:9

Microsoft 142:2,4, 13,15,20 155:20 212:15

middle 26:24 116:10,18 172:2 202:15

Mike 268:17

million 223:20

mimic 11:22

mind 39:24 167:24 171:20

mine 81:18 173:10

minute 110:6 135:11 198:2 202:6 242:17 279:15

minutes 49:20 51:1 242:20,22 291:15

miscalibrated 224:2,6

mischaracterizes 190:20

misleading 111:1,9

misplaced 74:15

misprogramming 231:18

missed 176:15

missing 278:9,25

misspelled 19:13

misstate 244:20

mistake 8:13 148:18 178:13 220:18 242:4

mistakes 166:24 167:2,4,22

mitigate 88:15

Mm-hmm 20:6 219:8

mock 290:13

mode 53:22 55:22 56:2,4 173:22 222:20,22, 24 242:6 268:6 278:24 279:2

model 155:18 255:13,15

modeling 86:25

models 155:18 255:11,17

moment 41:15

113:4

momma 77:6

Monday
180:10,11
208:2

money 53:1,
10,13

month 133:13

monthly
175:25

months 34:25
165:2,6

Morgan
80:15,20

morning 8:6,
7 15:11
38:18
72:10
77:18
89:18
185:6
289:10,11

motherboard
284:2,4

motion 15:4
18:15,17,
18 112:17,
20 192:2
202:20
209:13,20

motions 24:2
57:20,22
180:24,25

Mouse 272:11

move 34:22
41:13
45:20
132:20
134:22
184:25
185:9
190:13
208:15,20
211:13
236:13
244:4
245:8
290:4

moved 20:13
192:13

moving 81:18
130:4
193:10
208:22
280:22

multiple
23:4 28:11
63:22
74:11
147:8
191:13
253:10,11
270:20
281:13,15

mumbling
139:6

Muskogee
229:2

N

name's 77:18

named 63:18
142:2
197:8,13
262:24
266:4
272:22

names 12:20
19:13
199:13

nation
189:17
200:20

national
86:2
156:22
160:22
168:9,18,
20 170:2

nationwide
159:22

nature 24:11
32:11
135:20,22
136:18
167:11
278:13

neces- 59:18

necessarily
148:13
183:1
201:17
290:11

needed 70:20
184:4
217:6
218:11
253:11

needing 55:6

negative
28:4,6
77:6
115:8,11
200:10

neglect
103:15

neighboring
260:11

nervous
129:15

network
249:6
270:18

Nevada 109:4

newcomers
128:18

newer 122:15

NEWS 129:4,
6,9,11

night 52:18
73:11
185:4
232:9
234:11

Nobody's
18:25

non-partisan 32:8

nonconfidential 187:24

nonpartisan 36:18

nonprofit 32:8 86:6

nonprofits 85:2,25 86:2,4

normal 56:18 241:22

North 34:11 36:11 41:10

north-south 27:18 265:15

Northern 143:15

notate 216:22,25

note 263:13

notes 63:6, 11,20 65:1,13, 15,17,20, 22 66:2,15 67:18,25 78:17,22, 24 79:1,2 129:2 224:22

notice 131:4 135:4 136:6,18 287:25

noticed 47:9 67:4 68:4, 22 71:1 208:25 258:2 280:11,13

notices 287:20

notification 218:15 223:11

notify 253:2 288:4

notion 161:11

November 25:20 46:13 62:22,24, 25 63:1,22 83:2 133:18 153:6 165:18 196:11 212:6,11 223:17,18 225:8 244:13 252:22 255:18 256:25 261:22 262:1 264:11 274:20 275:4 281:18,22

number 8:12 26:25 27:1,9,11 29:22 30:6 33:17,18 40:11 44:15 57:11 67:2 76:2,8 99:17 100:15,25 101:15 102:13 103:2 109:18 113:2 116:4,22 119:6,13 122:11 123:6 124:11 126:18 132:15,17 194:9 201:24 202:10 215:22 216:10 217:2 218:8 224:18 226:4 239:9,15 241:2 260:6,20 261:4,8,24 266:11 271:8,25 272:1,2,4, 6,22 273:1 275:22 276:4

numbers 25:10,11 40:6 67:6 75:13 92:20 97:2,6,17 98:22 99:2 105:22 107:1 108:13,15, 22 109:20, 24 110:9, 15 111:10, 24 112:8, 18 113:17 114:20 124:4,6 172:20,22 174:22 175:6 176:11 177:9 192:4,11 193:1,4,6, 8,11,15 195:6 203:2 261:13

numerical
195:13

numerous
160:22
179:18

O

oath
289:18,20, 22

object 10:25
32:20 33:8
38:4 42:4, 13 44:18
47:22
48:10 50:4
59:1,13
69:10 70:6
90:20,24
91:18
100:1
103:25
108:11
109:2
111:2,4
121:9
131:11
133:9
144:24
145:6
154:15
158:13
162:15
163:11
165:4
169:2
181:2,4
184:15
185:20
188:4
195:20
197:6
198:11
204:24
206:11,20
220:20
221:6
225:11
226:13
228:2
230:15
232:13
233:22
243:13
247:18
261:4

objected
182:8
208:6

objection
13:6 17:8
41:18
45:22 54:4
57:18
59:15 66:6
71:6 83:6
92:6 97:1, 9 106:18, 20 108:22
109:13
129:20
134:25
145:4
155:10,11, 13,17
158:25
168:24
171:6
172:9,18, 24 173:4,6
174:15,18
180:22
186:10
188:11
195:18
198:11,20
202:20
225:17
226:6
228:18
232:18
237:18
247:15,24
248:17
251:10
255:4
264:4
266:20
273:13
275:9
282:6
284:10
288:11

objectionable
45:2

objections
11:2 33:17
77:2
136:10
155:9
274:10

observations
62:18
63:20 66:2
67:20
72:24 79:1

observe
47:6,15
48:24 62:9
72:2 73:4, 8,20 78:2
171:22
218:15,17

observed
40:8,15,18
49:18
66:25
96:24
98:22
149:11
176:11

observer
62:10 63:6
77:22 78:1

observing
42:8 62:15
63:8 65:13
66:17 69:2
77:20
147:11

obtain 44:22
139:18
144:11
246:1
252:2,22

obtained
140:2

obvious
20:22
97:15

occasions
191:13

occurred
26:8
189:15
195:13

OCGA 24:6

odd 124:20

oddity 194:1

odds 176:4
198:2

offer 131:4
154:24

offered
182:4
284:9

office 39:9
76:6 99:6
105:1
107:2
167:13
210:22
211:13,17,
22 212:4
213:10,11,
22 214:8,9
215:6
222:20
223:9
224:25
225:6
228:22,25
229:25
230:6,15,
18 231:20,
24 232:11
233:1
238:18
244:1
246:4
248:22
249:11,13
253:2,15
260:24
267:6,15,
24 269:13
271:8
273:2
274:6
280:13
290:22

officer 28:9

offices
256:4

official
223:11
261:8,10,
13 274:1

officially
77:24

officials
49:20 72:2
141:10
147:10
223:10
225:2

Ohio 160:18

older 95:18

Olens 25:18
203:6

omits 24:10

omitted
278:13

op 239:18

open 18:13
44:15
45:13
165:2
166:2
167:8
270:9,18

opened 58:1
229:18

opening 16:6
18:11,13

operate 40:9

operates
56:15

operating
47:18,25
141:20
142:17,22
143:6,9
152:4,9
155:20
182:24
237:2,4,6
267:11

operation
40:15
47:15,24
150:2
183:4
212:2
217:18
222:4
278:6

operational
249:11

operations
40:13
144:2
211:15
223:17
248:22

opine 47:24

opinion 97:6
104:2
121:2
158:11
159:2,20
162:13
163:8
166:24
170:4,22
171:1
172:8
173:18
174:22,24
175:4
195:11
198:18
280:18

opinions

41:25 143:18,20, 25 158:11 162:4 169:9,10 170:2

opportunity 73:4 104:13 110:8 113:1 126:10 134:4 136:13 180:15 208:2 230:2 252:11,13

opposed 28:13 57:15 265:17

opposing 57:13

opposite 177:22

opposition 112:18

optic 216:1

optical 239:8 252:17 265:4

option 285:22

order 12:13 14:17 25:4 28:20 53:2 130:2 181:13,18 209:18 217:20 220:1 221:22 223:15 240:13 247:8

ordered 182:22 183:25

ordinary 68:9,18

organization 32:8,9,11 34:9 35:18 46:4 52:22 53:11 62:11 86:6

organizations 85:2,25 86:1

organize 219:22

orientation 242:13

original 158:6 224:11

other's 17:20

outcome 161:20 269:20

outlier 94:25

outlined 224:20

outstanding 266:25

outward 234:11 270:13

overrule 198:20

oversee 211:6

oversees 212:6

overtake 251:10

overturn 30:10 184:10 226:9

owned 249:17

owner 34:17

P

P-2 64:22, 24 65:25

P-3 97:20, 24 98:1

P-5 168:2

P-7 168:2

p.m. 130:1 187:6,8 242:25 243:1 291:22

pack 116:18 202:15

package 87:20

packet 214:22 255:9

pad 55:11

pages 66:11 82:2 168:15 170:13 240:18 253:18

paid 52:4

pair 270:13

pap- 197:18

paper 21:6, 8,22 22:10 27:2,13,18 28:2 33:11 37:2,9,13, 17 38:2,13 41:13 43:2,4 104:17 105:15

106:13
125:18
148:4,25
149:22
151:10
161:17
171:10,11,
13 174:8,
11 175:6
188:20,22
189:1
199:11,18,
20 206:15,
22 207:11
257:6
258:6
264:25
276:13
277:1,4
282:4

papers
140:22

paperwork
208:18

paragraph
111:25
112:1
238:11

parallel
267:11,20
268:20
269:20
287:2

part 14:1
32:15
35:11
53:1,2,6,9
125:18
133:20
156:11
169:22
170:13
183:10,11
205:9
211:13
256:17
261:11
262:4
265:20
278:1
287:15

partial
131:22

participate
252:11

participated
62:4
261:1,2,22

participation
88:8
257:24
275:20
282:4,11

particularities 199:6

particulars
201:17

parties
133:2
262:15,24
266:4
272:22
273:15

parts 163:10
236:22

party 24:6,
10,13 30:6
36:18
39:13
46:20
75:6,20
76:18 78:2
86:2,6,10
131:6
132:4
176:4,6,8
177:20
181:10
197:8,13

party's 24:8

pass 277:22

passcode
215:11

passionate
81:18

password
246:11

past 85:8
86:15,17
88:11
113:18
119:6
206:6

pattern 21:4
108:8,9,25
175:15
177:22
199:1
205:18
229:15
230:11,22
231:13

patterns
20:24,25
95:22
113:17
123:17
124:10
198:9

pay 53:2

Paying 108:2

PDF 252:18

peer 141:2,
4 163:4

pending
18:15

people 21:9
22:6 25:6,
24 29:10
47:10,11
89:6 98:13
100:15,17,
20 157:25
163:20
179:4
190:17
191:4,15
210:2
211:22,25
223:20
226:4
230:17,25

243:25
257:13
280:2,15,
20 281:6

percent
21:11,15
22:1 32:17
101:2,6,
11,13
102:11
105:8,10,
11,15,18
107:2,4,6,
9,10,13,
15,18,25
120:24
125:17
126:6
152:25
274:22
275:6

percentage
101:10
102:4,15
103:22
104:2,25
107:4,13,
17,22
120:22
274:17,18,
20

percentage-wise 106:8

percentages
106:4

Perdue
120:15

perfect 29:2

perfectly
63:10

perform
86:18
183:4
186:9,13
190:2
193:18
196:6

performance
117:4,22
118:6,8

performed
190:6,11
196:2

period 46:22
208:11
231:18
268:11

permission
132:20

person 17:25
19:15
21:20 26:2
56:11
65:22
105:10
136:6
198:18
280:8

personal
34:11
43:17
153:4,8
154:18
155:11,13
164:18
177:2
188:20

personally
39:20
40:2,20,22
41:11 47:6
90:8
153:20
154:22
164:24
165:4
193:18
224:22

perspective
49:9
152:22
159:11
164:25
166:13
179:1,20

persuasion
21:10

pertaining
140:24
206:13

petition
37:4

petitioner
13:20
31:17
60:24 80:1
84:2
137:20
184:22
210:11

petitioner's
29:13

petitioners
13:17 29:4
37:4,9,11
184:20
209:24
284:9

ph 65:20
200:25
245:18
290:6

Ph.d. 138:6
139:24

Phd 138:11
170:13
175:11

phenomena
175:2

Philip
132:18
158:6
190:6
193:20

phone 136:22
215:6

phonetic
243:11

photographs
72:25 73:2
74:15

phrase
121:11

Phrased
226:24

physical
217:1,2
235:13
248:24
282:20,24

physically
153:9
213:6

physics
270:2

pick 67:2
254:15
276:22

picked
218:24

picture
255:11

pictures
255:9,11

piece 88:24
189:9,18
257:6

place 8:11
19:9 25:13
27:11
33:15
49:13
94:22
97:11
115:25
207:15
216:22
239:20
250:18
252:20
261:15
285:11
289:22
290:22
291:13

places 30:22
160:2
221:25
287:20
288:1

placing
217:2
270:13

plagued
238:6

plaintiff
9:22 10:13
24:25 25:2
79:15
80:11
168:15
202:22

plaintiff's
44:4 64:4
98:2,6,18
112:15,20
168:4,8
194:2
243:8

plaintiffs
15:6 24:4
26:11
29:18,20
30:11,20
42:2 60:10
79:15
83:1,13
84:15
136:8
138:8
151:22
152:1

plan 34:22
36:13

planned
74:11
82:11

plausible
22:15,17
24:18
29:24

play 30:6
238:22,24

pleased
258:17

point 23:15
29:17 68:8
71:8,22
74:10
93:13
97:2,8
109:2
111:20
113:2
122:11
129:1
144:22
159:6
160:4
181:13
193:20
198:15
205:1
206:20,24
217:4
218:18
223:10
238:11
251:9
262:13
283:1

pointed 14:8
15:1 71:15
195:4

points 15:15
96:8
111:13
125:18

policy
206:11

political
21:10
81:4,15
84:22
85:1,2
86:1,4,6,
10 114:15
119:17
189:2
198:4
199:2

politically
88:20

politics
25:11
201:11

poll 36:15
39:11
40:9,11,17
46:11,15,
18,20,24
47:2,4
48:22 50:2
55:6 62:9,
10 67:4,10
70:13,15,
20 72:2,25
73:2,9
76:13
77:20,22,
25 78:1
81:17,22,
25 82:6,8
153:2
197:18
205:11
246:2
288:4

polling
46:25
47:4,8
49:22 73:4
87:13
115:25
200:6
218:20
239:2
287:20
288:1

polls 28:22
55:10
122:15
200:22

Ponce 46:24
47:4 50:2,
24

poorly
126:20

popping
172:4

popular
123:20

port 270:11

portal
251:22
252:2
270:13

portion
35:10
101:1

portions
246:10

pose 168:22

position
13:15
22:20
23:10,11,
17 25:1
27:18 34:2
51:15
52:2,4
70:15
134:13
151:1,4
210:25
240:11
278:17
283:13

positions
15:13
218:9

possession
268:2

possibility
258:22

possibly
26:6 95:6
119:11
136:13

post 148:13
260:22

post- 35:22
36:6

post-election
36:10,13,
15,17,20
149:8
206:4,6
207:9
217:15
231:22
258:15
260:22
278:24
279:2,10

posted
287:20
288:1

potential
166:11
230:22

potentially
86:22
144:25
167:15
283:6

power 24:8,
11 213:1
217:10
246:2

powered
237:4

powering
223:22

practical
39:17

Practice
18:20

practices
38:2,11
42:15
186:13

pre- 217:15

pre-election
222:22
234:8

pre-filled
268:8

preceding
289:24

precinct
50:24
75:20

76:2,9
125:2
128:2,4
174:2
176:25
177:10
178:25
189:25
190:4
192:24
193:18,24
194:6
195:2,11
196:4,8
232:11,25
238:24
263:11,13,
18,20,22,
24 264:10,
11

precincts
124:17
125:2,4,6,
11,13
126:1,6
127:2,25
195:2,4
214:1,18,
20 238:22,
24 272:1,2

predicate
198:15,17

predominant
239:11

prefer 133:6

preference
9:4 241:6
252:10

prefilled
218:6

prematurely
223:22

preparations
147:8

prepare
136:6
265:22

prepared
98:18
138:18

preparing
136:1
215:25

present 99:2
111:11
229:18
264:10
271:24
278:15
281:1,24

presented
14:2 24:2
101:22
111:15

presenting
28:15

preserve
20:18

preserved
19:1

president
259:24

presidential
241:6
252:10

presiding
15:10
236:11

press 28:4,6
115:8
200:11
283:8
288:6

presses
219:6

pressing
219:13
287:22
288:1

pressure
283:13

pressure-point
283:11

presumed
29:18

presumption
24:11,13

pretty 97:15
169:10

previous
46:15
88:13
119:9
191:25
229:8
235:20

previously
127:17
133:6
184:13
190:15
197:22
229:4
232:4
248:13
249:18

prima 22:9
24:4

primarily
36:11

primary 75:2
241:6
252:10

print 64:11
65:6,9
73:2,11,
15,17
279:2

print-on
217:1

printed
76:13,15
217:15
234:11
235:20

printer
217:22
218:1
239:18

printing
236:4,6

printout
268:13

printouts
285:15

prints 66:9

prior 29:15
95:22
101:18
102:8
104:2
118:17
135:4,15
190:15
200:6
249:13
277:10

privacy
32:10

private
249:6

probative
21:4
109:22

problem
18:2,10
20:15
26:8,9
49:22
51:15 69:2
75:18
148:18,20
171:15
176:11
178:9
278:25
282:20,24,
25 283:4
286:22
287:2
288:4

problems
32:18
35:15
37:20
147:15,18,
20 148:2
150:4,6,11
162:18
163:2
167:22
179:25
223:6
287:4,6

procedure
18:15
42:24
136:10
209:10

procedures
37:17,20
38:2,11
42:15

proceed
207:25

proceeding
39:4
135:22
206:13

proceedings
23:2,25

process
19:15
54:15,20
55:4 63:10
135:22
194:13
214:13
216:4,15,
22 252:13
277:20
278:2

processes
37:15

produce
24:10
233:15
241:15
252:18

produced
212:20
214:17
232:6
233:13
268:13

produces
239:4

product
212:17

production
252:22

profession
170:6

professionally
10:15

professor
132:18
133:13
192:22
193:15
195:15
196:2

proffer
131:4,6,9
132:10,11
181:1

proffers
98:13

profiled
26:18

program
141:22
161:22
212:20
219:18
220:8
250:10,13,
25 283:20
286:6

program's
259:11

programing
216:4

programmed
141:22
178:13

programming
15:25
167:13,20
178:4

207:18
211:6
212:24
213:6
218:24
219:1,2,4,
15 220:18
249:8
250:2
277:15
278:8,13
283:15
284:8

programs
245:22,25

progression
40:15

promise 31:8
60:15
79:17
83:18
137:11
210:4

proof 25:4
241:10

proofing
253:11
278:22

proofs 246:2
252:2

propagated
189:10,18

proper 44:22
155:17
172:15
217:15,17,
20,25
235:18

properly
47:25
76:24
77:10
223:24
268:18

properties
141:20

prosecution
22:13

protection
246:11

prove 24:20
110:22
237:20

proved
245:1,2

proven
244:15,17

provide
161:10,20
164:17
214:15
215:6
219:1
252:15,18
267:17

provided
13:22
215:9,17
278:22

providing
42:2

public
113:18
117:10,15
168:13
187:25
218:15
228:15
270:20

publication
163:4

publications
156:20
162:4

publicized
218:13

publicly
85:17

publish
141:4

published
140:22
141:2
148:4
149:24
158:4
160:17

pull 193:6
220:6

pulled 68:11
193:1,2,6,
8,11,13

pulling
133:11

purpose 18:1
164:15
168:20
213:6
220:6
241:10

purposes
239:9
252:2
278:22

push 277:13
287:15

pushing
234:10
238:13
241:11
282:22

put 64:6
71:15
72:6,8
74:17
79:1,2
93:22
108:13
132:9
209:18
216:13
217:1
218:15
223:1
228:11
236:13
242:6
248:8
249:13

250:15,18
283:8

Putin 270:22

puts 85:13
151:15

putting
85:13
108:13
170:15
215:20

Q

quacks
185:24

qualification
42:15
155:11

qualifications
82:11
138:17

qualified
33:9,18
38:6,11
39:2,11
41:18
42:4,6,22,
24 43:1,15
59:20
92:13
97:13
108:11,13
143:11,13
151:11
198:18
213:24

qualify 34:2
92:17,22
97:13
145:20
150:1,10

quantify
175:15

question
9:18 29:25
30:18
32:22
33:15,18,
22 38:4,10
39:25
42:25
43:15 45:2
48:2,13,25
50:4,9,17
51:8,13
54:22
56:10,11
57:4 59:4,
15 74:13
76:17
88:25
97:18
100:4
104:8
106:22
109:6
112:24
120:8
121:10,11,
13,18,20,
24,25
124:2
126:18
127:15
146:10
149:17
154:10
158:15
162:2,24
163:15
165:8
171:9
173:13
176:15,17
182:10
185:9
186:2
191:18
195:22
198:15,22,
24 202:2
203:4
204:24
205:6,18
206:11
208:4
221:18
226:22
231:4,25
232:15
233:18
237:22
247:18,20
249:2
254:1
261:1
267:8
281:4
284:6
288:6

questioning
220:22
275:13

questions
34:8
38:15,20
41:15,17
43:13
51:18
58:15
59:10,24
66:15 79:6
82:2
89:15,20
90:22
91:2,13,15
92:22,25
94:10 96:9
108:18
113:8
114:4
119:22,25
120:25
121:1
123:6,11
128:20
144:24
145:2,10
150:15
153:15
154:6,11
183:25
188:13
203:20
237:20
245:6
254:10
262:11

270:1
279:17
287:8
288:10,13

quickly
15:8,15
187:2
205:18
276:22

quit 179:15
238:13

quote 24:6
25:22
171:11

R

R6 255:13, 15

rabbit 188:4

race 21:11, 24 22:6
28:13 30:2
71:2,15, 17,18,25
73:13
75:15
87:13 89:8
95:22
97:11,15
99:15,18, 24,25
102:6,10
104:15
105:24
106:15
107:22
108:10
109:4
113:20,25
114:1,24
115:22
117:13,17
118:1,2,17
120:10,11, 15 122:25
123:13
125:18
126:2,11, 13 127:18, 20 128:13, 17 171:25
177:11,13
179:15
184:18
199:6
200:6,9
201:18
219:22
221:22
225:13,18
230:11
231:13
240:1,13, 15,18
241:2,18, 24 242:1, 9,11,13
244:13
254:6
255:20
256:1,2, 10,11,15
257:2,6,9, 13,20
258:1,9,13
259:9
262:6
264:18
265:4,6,9, 10,20
268:24
271:4,24
272:2,6,8, 24 276:24
279:2,9
280:22
282:6,11
285:20,22

races 71:4
73:13
94:20,22
95:18,22
102:4,8
108:24
113:18,24
116:6
117:15,18, 24 119:6
124:4
125:25
126:11
198:10
199:9
200:17
201:2
202:11,13
213:24
214:10,11
218:10
239:2,6,15
240:13
241:22
242:2
252:18
254:25
255:22
258:18
263:13,20, 22 264:9, 10 268:22
271:6
272:25
273:18
279:6,8
281:15,17, 24 282:10, 15

racial 28:11
115:10,11

raise 31:6
52:25
53:10
60:15
79:17
83:18
137:11
210:2

raised
57:18,20
131:22
183:24
184:13
190:15
198:2
226:9
230:13
237:18
269:2

270:1

raises 15:17

raising
53:13

ran 26:25
35:4
116:11

random 22:4
175:24
177:18

randomly
176:4

range 26:15
86:2
102:20

rare 179:6

rate 105:8
171:24
174:10
205:22
282:4,11

rates 122:17
123:1,2
124:15

raw 179:6

RE-DIRECT
56:24

re-elect
38:4

re-litigate
284:11

reach 24:8
85:4,18
109:10
260:24

reached
170:22

reaction
73:22

read 20:24
64:8,13,22
131:17
135:20
169:6,22
170:13
171:18
194:18
216:18
218:2
222:6

read- 286:4

read-only
182:24

reader 218:2

reading
133:17

ready 130:9
131:1
218:20
267:6

real 44:18
51:22
128:8
149:2
208:22
276:22
290:18

rearguing
24:2 93:9

reason 14:18
18:1 21:2,
11,25 22:8
109:13,20,
25 148:6
178:6
217:6
221:4
238:9
244:24
269:22

reasons 21:2
28:11
89:11
91:22
92:13 95:4
96:13,15,
22 97:15,
17,18
121:17
122:6
139:8
280:10

rebooting
179:4

rebut 93:13

rebuttal
17:11

rebutted
24:13

recalibrate
278:1

recall 68:4
120:11
121:6
123:8
170:20
204:15
246:15
252:6
258:9
262:17
267:8

recalling
194:4

receipt
234:15

receive
28:20 52:6
76:18
116:4
214:25
215:1
223:6
224:18
264:18,25

received
26:13,17,
18 28:4
95:6
116:22
117:11,25
123:6
125:2
188:1
200:11
202:9,10,
17 215:2
223:6,15
231:20

246:20
255:6
262:15
277:8

receives
73:10

receiving
69:25
231:22
246:15

recent
142:25
152:18

recently
81:24
136:11
140:20
160:20

receptive
217:13
281:10

recertification 229:1

recertified
229:11

reckon
196:18

recommend
206:9
207:8

recommended
186:13

reconciled
16:1

record 18:18
19:2,4
20:10,11
31:24 46:2
51:10 61:6
64:6,20
65:25 66:1
72:13
73:11
80:10
84:10
98:13
106:20
107:20
109:8
121:22
129:25
130:22
132:9
138:2
168:1
169:25
185:20
187:6
190:15,22
209:22
210:18
228:11
233:18
234:15,22
238:11
242:25
244:25
269:4
273:18
275:2
277:13

recorded
29:1 77:10
157:22
219:15
233:11
279:6
287:15,17

recording
9:2 35:2
65:13
234:18

recordings
8:14

records
40:15
44:11,13,
15 45:13
166:11
187:25
232:1,4
247:2

recounting
207:15

recourse
161:10,11

recovered
235:8

RECROSS
286:17
287:10

RECROSS-EXAMINATION
50:13
113:11
114:6

red 49:17
50:6,22

REDIRECT
43:10 93:2
120:4
156:6
187:11
204:1
279:22

reduced
241:13

refer 67:18
99:4

referred
245:17
284:6

referred-to
44:2 52:13
64:2 98:4

referring
204:8,10,
11 245:20

refers 247:1

reflect
101:6
130:22

reflected
111:25

reframe
158:25
172:25

refused
184:24

regard
121:15
247:1

registered
77:22
80:18
81:6,25
83:2
275:15,24

registration
9:13
157:22
166:9
167:6,9
189:20
204:18,25
205:2,8,13
225:10,13,
24 226:2
227:4,11
237:18
238:6
247:2
260:25

Registrations
28:18,24
38:20
89:20
150:24

regular
177:22

regulation
164:4

regulations
240:17

regurgitating
184:13

rejected
223:22

relate 58:24
142:20
251:13,15,
18 281:2

related
141:1
165:13
206:20
214:18,20
243:13
244:6,9
251:20

relates
96:24
155:2

relating
67:25
101:18
190:25
226:20

relation
265:2
280:20

relationship
239:4

relative
201:15

relayed
214:2

release
157:2
273:15

relevance
57:18
59:15
165:13
180:22
184:15
226:13

relevancy
145:6
162:15
165:13
228:2

relevant
45:25
57:22
59:17 92:2
116:20
146:4
155:2,13
162:18
181:2
184:17
206:13
225:15
247:22
248:6

reliable
20:2

relied
170:11
171:15

relies 24:11

relocated
211:15

rely 170:4,
6

relying 17:4

remain 60:2

remaining
17:9 18:2

remedy 25:25

remember
110:20
113:4
191:10
198:24

reminder
16:10

removed
217:4

render
198:18

renew 41:18
97:1
202:20
228:17

renewed
112:15

reorganization
34:18

repair
150:11
277:24

repeat
198:22

repeated
166:22

repeatedly
182:13,15
247:4

repeating
39:24
164:13

repel 24:9

rephrase
106:18
153:17,18
195:22,24
230:18
232:20

rephrasing
59:6

report 75:6, 20 76:22
77:8 98:18
99:2,10
104:25
160:18,22
168:10,13
178:24
214:22
223:2
262:13,15, 20,22
263:13,17
264:11
265:22
266:6,22
271:22
278:20

reported
76:1,6,10, 17 157:20
174:1
179:2,11
191:15
195:8,9
232:8
234:6,11, 22 279:4

reporter
61:9
129:4,6,9, 11

reporting
63:11
75:13
149:17
171:25
172:2
179:4,13
272:2

reports
160:20
191:4
214:17
235:22
252:15
262:11
263:11
264:6,8
266:10,11
271:22
278:20
279:2

represent
38:18
89:18
150:22

representative
16:18
25:25
124:6,13, 18 125:2, 13 126:1, 2,8,22
127:2,25

representing
25:18

Republican
177:13
178:4,8
204:11
219:15
220:11
240:11
241:6
259:4

Republicans
252:11

request
10:11
11:11
12:13 17:4
29:13
44:11,13
51:11
98:18
135:2
183:25
267:17

requested
184:2

requests
44:15

require
23:15 96:2
149:20

required
14:15 43:1
56:8 59:11
154:25

requirements
53:10

requires
26:4 47:22
135:2
230:15
235:15

research
103:20
140:20
142:2
189:6

researched
142:4

resemble
108:17,25

reserved
26:1

reside
219:15
283:25
286:9

residence
166:6

residency

249:13

resident
39:10 41:6
80:20

resides
196:15
283:20
284:1,22
285:4

resisted
24:24

resolved
15:8 67:9,
10

resources
32:17 87:2

respect 29:4
124:9,10
135:2,18
158:11
159:15
162:13
228:22
238:2
253:13,15,
18

respond
117:2
131:24,25
145:22
155:15
277:18
283:4

responded
162:4
182:15

responding
106:20
149:11

response
11:20,22
14:9 22:24
23:1,2
44:11
69:25
112:15
120:8
192:1
204:18
235:2
283:2

responses
223:4

responsible
213:11

responsive
32:22
218:10
235:18
278:6

rest 51:11
132:4
139:9
192:6,13
203:2

restating
248:13

restored
235:11

result 19:9,
22 26:4
29:22
30:22
48:18
69:22
71:10
222:18
233:15
234:6
235:9,24,
25 242:4
256:13
257:13
262:6
280:2

results
19:18
22:18
25:15
29:20
35:22
85:15
86:25
87:18
89:13
92:1,13
94:13
96:24
157:4,8
172:6
173:2
174:2
177:8
190:10
219:25
236:20
262:13
268:13,15
269:11
282:13

retained
211:25

retender
96:20

retired
34:15

return 151:8
215:6

returning
72:22

returns
271:4,18
274:2,6

reveals
56:13

reverse
181:9

review 13:2
44:13
70:24,25
94:13
95:11,13
103:8
107:20
108:24
110:9
111:24
114:10
124:6
141:2,4
153:4,10
156:11
160:18

163:4
172:2
178:17,22
179:10,13,
15,17
180:15
182:22
214:13,24
228:22
233:20
237:4,11
252:2,13
276:24

reviewed
39:22
40:2,4
94:18
101:18
105:22
106:1,2
108:24
109:11
147:4,6,9
156:11,15,
20 157:1,
2,4,8,10,
17,20
160:10,15
162:4
178:22
187:20
190:25
199:2
200:6
214:8
272:20

reviewing
164:2
223:4
278:24

reviews
153:8
191:4

Rhonda 9:11

Rice
138:22,24
139:10,11

Richard
16:20

Richmond
229:2

Rick 147:9
157:18
164:4

ride 69:6

rig 23:20

right-hand
241:25

right-leaning
205:25

rights
151:18

ripped
133:22

risk 149:13
166:18,20

rite 131:18

Robyn 131:4

role 30:6
62:6 75:2
90:2
238:18

ROM 182:24

roof 236:9

room 290:18

rooms 291:4

round 176:15

routine
53:10

routinely
228:10

rows 101:25

rule 8:14
10:20
11:2,11
13:1
16:10,11
17:13 18:4
108:18
129:6
133:11
135:2,6,
11,15
136:2
137:1
154:13

ruled 19:4,6
172:15
181:2,4
184:6
190:11
202:25
208:18
221:2
237:15
238:8,13
247:4,17,
25 248:13
261:11
284:11

rules 135:20
136:18,22
240:8,9,
17,22

ruling
130:10
169:25
190:15
208:8
209:10,11

rulings
143:22

run 27:25
86:11
116:17
141:11,20
142:15
152:4,8,11
207:8
244:22
259:25
260:4,9,11
262:13,20,
22

running
27:22
115:6
128:15,18
142:6

152:15
166:2
180:4
200:4
201:10
202:18

runoff 62:18

Russia
270:22

S

sadly 21:18

safe 291:11

sample 21:9
149:15
167:6
214:15,22
252:15
278:22

samples 22:4

Sara 60:11,
22 61:2,6
65:15
72:20
74:25
77:15
78:13

satisfactory
24:11

satisfied
13:20,22

satisfy 14:2
83:4

saved 215:2,
13 284:17
285:2

saving
217:25

scalability
252:8

scaling
241:4,11,
13

scan 216:1
239:8,18
252:17
265:4

scanners
216:1

scenarios
194:24

schedule
129:18
135:24

scheduled
135:15

scholarly
156:15

school 61:24
81:13
117:9
131:18
139:1,2,13
195:6

science
39:15
81:15
84:22
138:6,25
139:4,18
162:6,11,
13 163:4
168:9
198:4
199:2

Science's
160:22
168:18

Sciences
156:24
170:2

scientific
148:15

Scientists
168:20

scoring
142:20

screen 40:10
49:4,15
70:24,25
172:2
179:10,13,
15,18
217:11
218:11
219:9
223:20
224:2,11
235:15,17,
18 239:18
241:4,11,
13,18
242:2,9,11
255:15,17,
20 256:15
259:2
266:15
268:1
278:1
280:22
281:15
282:2,20,
25 283:10
285:11
286:22
287:15

screens
50:22
160:4
254:6
255:20
256:13

sealed
218:20

seat 31:11
61:8 79:9,
20 80:8
83:22

seats 8:8
10:2 124:6

secondary
19:24

seconds
289:1

secretary
44:13,15
45:13 46:4
57:15 74:9

76:6,11
95:8,17
98:22
99:10
101:13,18
104:20
105:22
106:9,13
107:2,6
109:17
117:1
118:13
131:6,13
167:11
177:8
192:4
201:10
210:22
211:1,13,
17,22
213:8,10,
22 214:8,9
222:20
225:4,20
226:18
227:13
228:25
229:9,13,
24 230:4,9
231:11,15,
20,24
232:11
233:1
235:2
236:22
237:10
246:4
248:20,22,
25 249:10,
13 260:24
265:11
267:6,15,
24 269:13,
15 273:17
274:2,6
280:13
281:24

section
225:4

secure
141:11

Secured
168:10

security
140:10,11,
18,20,24
141:20
158:6
166:24

seek 27:25

seeking 37:6
52:25

selected
193:22

selecting
193:22

selection
70:25
71:2,17,24
168:15
259:4

selections
268:9

self- 67:11
70:20

self-cast
71:22

self-correct
67:11

self-governance
81:20

seminar
140:20

senate 15:11
30:2
113:25
120:11,15
127:18,20
255:20,25
256:1,10
257:1,6,
11,13,18
262:6
276:24

send 44:15
53:18
267:22

sending
53:17

sends 267:18

senior 28:9
67:20 68:1
73:24
290:1

separate
229:2
233:4
258:18

sequence
25:4
233:24
246:13

sequestered
17:2

sequestration
25:6

serial 40:6
217:2

serve 166:10

server 36:13
166:1
189:10
245:20,22
246:1,9,10
250:13,15,
18 251:20
252:1

servers
237:8

service
72:2,4,6,8
113:18
117:10,15
136:15

serving
154:17

session
15:10

sessions

172:4

set 25:22
55:13,18
56:11
112:22
133:15,18
134:4
136:9
166:4
181:15
208:11
217:13
218:20
221:22
239:9,17,
18 240:2,
11,13
252:13
257:4
277:22

setting
25:24
217:24
278:4

setup 56:18

seventh
220:11

sheer 239:15

short 50:18
83:11

shortly
34:22
200:11

show 22:15
23:17,18,
24 24:4,18
26:6,11,13
28:2,22,25
29:24
30:22
38:15
52:11,20,
24,25
56:15
101:25
103:11
104:25
105:4
166:11
167:17
177:9
219:25
220:10
225:22
233:15
234:4
244:22
254:17,24
255:18
263:6,8,20
264:8
266:4,11
271:15,18
273:22
274:17
278:25
279:2

showed 180:9
232:24
233:2
241:13
266:24,25

showing
30:11
179:6
218:11
232:8
242:9
263:22
283:2

shown 24:4
30:18 97:6
234:6
241:4
254:4
264:11
287:1

shows 20:22
23:11
56:15,17
99:13
105:6
220:11
222:6
234:9
254:22
263:17,18
264:9
266:8,15
271:22,25
272:1,2,4,
6 279:6

shut 244:22

shutdown
40:13

shutting
68:22

sic 26:17
133:18
176:25

side 10:10
118:13
132:1
201:6
239:11
241:8,24,
25

sides 17:22
111:13
181:13
183:24
185:22

sidetracked
182:2

sift 96:4

sign 49:18
51:4
130:20
177:17

sign-in
252:1

signed
215:17

significance
26:9

significant
15:25
25:20
152:24
161:20
166:9
167:10
171:24

172:6,8
173:22,25
174:4,11
188:9

significantly
174:1,11
176:6
202:9
282:4

signoff
214:25
215:1,2

signs 16:2
148:22
150:4
170:18,22
171:2,10,
22 178:20
179:2
191:2

similarities
152:25

simple
22:22,25
23:2,4
97:15

simplistic
175:10

simply 11:22
15:13 22:4
23:13
28:13 42:2
111:13
172:15
175:17
184:13
198:25
209:9
220:6
227:1
248:13
265:11
273:25

single
155:17
189:8

sir 17:1
18:20
20:11 53:9
114:4
122:1
140:6,18
141:8
143:13
144:4
152:2,6,
13,18
153:2,13,
24 156:18,
22 157:2,
6,9,13,20
158:2
159:18
160:13
163:6,17,
24 168:6
170:20,25
177:6
180:9,18
185:13
187:18,20
189:4
190:2,4
193:20
196:9,13
197:20
198:6
199:22
200:4
201:8,13
205:11
208:13
209:6
231:13
235:1
245:1,6
250:15,17,
20 251:15,
18 255:2
258:11
269:24
271:11
274:17,20,
22 275:6
281:22

Sister 81:13

sit 9:2
10:17,18
13:10,11
68:22
137:4
138:2
210:22

site 235:6
246:8

sitting
20:13 69:6
196:13

situation
136:15
223:1
247:25
256:6
265:15

situations
231:1

six-pack
220:13

size 240:10
241:11

skip 122:25
281:6

skipping
34:20
143:2

Skype
133:22,25
134:4,20,
22 136:22

slightly
35:8

slowly 270:6

small 32:11
49:13
53:11
64:13 65:9
101:1
149:15
183:10,11

smear 58:2,
6,11

smooth 63:10

smoothly 129:18

Smyth 9:11, 24

software 147:18 150:4 152:11,15, 22 158:17 159:13,15, 20 161:13 166:4 178:1 179:8 180:4 249:9 283:6 286:9

sole 184:11

solely 128:2,4 206:15

solicit 191:15

soliciting 53:6

somebody's 278:13

sort 10:4 42:1 74:8 116:2,17 122:8,17 141:17 161:22 179:2,24 180:18 213:6 220:15 228:4 237:11 272:11 275:13 280:6,8,18

sound 185:18 193:10

sounded 192:13

sounds 185:22 194:8,11 209:8 236:2

source 157:1,4 161:18 162:2

sources 172:11 191:6

South 36:11 185:25 260:11

space 239:25 257:6

speak 49:20 106:20,22 139:6 219:18 224:8 234:20

speaking 204:6

specialized 39:15

specialty 270:4

specific 10:15 29:20 48:13,15 87:20 89:13 92:1 142:11 147:15 158:18 162:20 179:24 213:25 216:4

specifically 117:4 127:22 152:4 156:18 181:13 190:11 191:13,17 194:22 204:17 207:11 219:22 228:1 288:4

speculates 221:8

speculation 26:4 30:10 122:13 173:8 285:25 286:1

speed 100:6 248:4

spell 61:8

spending 34:24 35:13

spent 32:15 35:18 36:20

split 238:24 241:18 253:18

splitting 242:13

spoke 74:1

spoken 157:25 158:6

spontaneously 179:4

spreadsheet 64:13 65:2,6,10, 11

spring 37:20

square 26:24

stack 57:8

staff 39:8

211:18,20

stamp
217:17,18

stand 42:1
58:20
63:13
248:9

standard
56:2,4,18
170:6
237:6
241:22

standing
11:17
49:10

standpoint
159:25

stands
212:18
286:8

Stark 132:18
133:15
134:22
158:6
190:6,13
191:4
192:22
193:15
195:17
196:2
197:22

start 65:15
66:10,11
122:25
207:18
213:20
218:4
258:18
289:11
291:17

started
33:25
67:15,17
68:9,22
180:6
239:20

starters
122:10

starting
16:13,15
36:10
160:4
207:20
280:20
289:10

starts 66:22
122:20

state 15:11
19:17
21:10 23:6
26:13
28:20
31:24
33:13
38:13
44:13
45:13 46:4
57:11 61:6
74:9 76:11
80:9,18
84:10
90:15
98:24
101:13
104:9
105:24
106:9
107:6
109:17
117:1
118:13
124:6,13,
18 125:2,
10,11,13,
25 126:1,
6,22
127:2,25
131:6,13
136:10
138:2
147:10
149:10
153:4
159:13
160:18
165:1
166:24
167:15,17
182:22
186:6,11,
18,20,22
187:22
188:2
192:4
196:11,15
198:17
200:24
201:2,10
202:4,11
206:9
207:18
210:18
211:1,6,8,
10,15
212:8,9
222:20
225:20
226:20
227:15,18
229:10,13,
18 230:9
231:11,15
235:2
236:22
237:8,10
239:25
240:8
241:20
243:15
244:2,6,9
246:4,6
247:15
248:15,20,
22,25
249:9,11
250:6,11
255:11
256:2,11
258:25
264:18
265:11
267:18,24
269:17
273:17
281:25
284:4,9

state's 76:6
95:8,18
99:10
101:18
104:20
106:15
107:2
167:11
177:8
210:22
211:13,17,
22 212:4
213:10,22
214:8,9
222:20
225:6
227:13
228:25
229:25
230:6
231:20,24
232:11
233:1
246:4
248:22
249:13
260:24
267:6,15,
24 269:13
274:2,6
280:13

stated
109:15
167:2
212:6

statement
9:8 16:6
18:11,13
51:9,13
110:22

statements
97:15
111:2
157:10,15
178:18
181:10

states 54:18
81:20
95:11,22
96:20
103:9
151:20
159:6
167:1
256:10
257:1,6,
11,18
260:2,11,
15 262:2

statewide
26:25
40:17
46:20
85:15
113:20
116:6,11
124:17
126:6
127:2
202:13
264:10
271:6
272:25
273:2,17

statically
173:20

stating
231:22

statistic
173:24

statistical
149:13
174:13
190:4,6
192:24
193:15,18
196:4,6
197:25

statistically
173:24
174:4,11

statistics
149:20
174:17

status
266:9,13

statute 12:6
14:4,9,20
15:17 16:6
24:6
240:11,13
253:13

statutory
25:22
30:17

stay 10:22
16:18,22
17:18

staying
10:25 11:4
18:11

stays 10:24

steps 153:10
219:4
278:4

Steven
243:11

stick 111:15

stipulate
82:22
110:11
248:4

stipulated
110:22
111:4,6
273:15

stipulation
82:25
110:15

stood 208:6

stop 209:4

stopped
49:18

store 290:10

stored 183:1
235:10

strategies
85:4 87:1

strategy
86:22
87:20

stress
201:15

stricken
51:13
190:15
191:25
202:22
220:22

strike 16:4
51:9
194:25
199:25
221:4

strips
197:17,18

strong 41:25

struck 50:2

structurally
238:20

structure
222:4
241:1

student
81:17

studied
118:6

studies
139:20
157:8
189:6
194:18

study 84:22
86:11 90:4
117:20
118:4,6
138:24
139:2,15
144:13
160:15
168:18

studying
138:6

stuff 111:9
131:17
150:8
154:13
167:18
170:13
171:18
185:6
221:13
290:8,11
291:2

stupid
181:22

style 239:4,8

subject
140:8
160:11
184:25

submission
198:13

submit 71:18
74:4

submitted
71:20
77:1,2
131:6
176:24

subset
149:15

substantially
155:22

substitute
169:18

succeed
29:22
30:24

successful
87:2 88:22

successfully
73:18

suddenly
136:20

suffice 28:1

sufficient
14:2 165:1

suggest
170:9

suggesting
219:13

suggestion
237:17

summary
224:11
271:22

summer 142:1

superintendent
16:22
117:10

Superior
135:2,11

superstar
201:6

support
13:24 42:2
73:18
142:18
198:13

supporting
246:6

suppose
115:2
154:4
194:15
219:2

supposed
8:15 55:25
135:9
215:11

Supreme 30:9

Surely 38:17
110:4

surgery
64:22

surprise
119:15

survey
156:18

suspicious
200:18
204:8

sustain
172:24

188:11
228:6
232:18
248:17

Sustained
104:4
226:15
228:18
282:8
284:13

swear 31:4

swift 14:20

switch
219:13

switching
220:17

sworn 31:18
60:25 80:2
84:4
137:20
210:13

sync 67:8

system 19:18
26:13
27:13
33:11
35:6,10,
11,13
37:22
41:13
59:11
65:2,13
81:18
142:17
143:4,6,9
144:25
145:1,2,8,
13 147:4,6
148:22
151:9,10,
15 152:4,
9,17
155:20
157:2
160:17
163:9,22
164:6,8,25
165:2,20
166:2,15
167:6,11
171:22
173:4
177:17
178:20
182:24
183:20
184:9
204:20,25
205:2,8,9
207:11
212:6,22
215:18
217:18
219:4,18
225:20,24
226:4,11
227:4,6,
10,11,15,
20 228:1
229:1
230:10
231:11,17
237:2,4,6
238:6,18
244:15
247:6,9,
10,11,15,
17 248:6,
20 249:10,
13,15,18,
22,25
250:1,9,22
260:25
265:24
267:22
269:18
270:9,10,
11,17,18,
24 272:4,
18 277:22
278:4
279:6
285:11
286:24
287:1

system-wide
179:24

systematic
28:11 96:4

systems 35:2
141:6,20
142:22
144:18
146:22
159:2
160:11
163:9
189:20
206:10
211:2,4
212:18
227:25
228:24
244:2
245:22
246:4
249:11
267:11

T

table 10:2,
4,17,18
11:11
32:20
99:4,9
101:22
102:2,24

tabulate
75:4

tabulated
233:4
269:11
272:11

tabulating
272:4

tainted
23:11

takes 27:11
119:18
163:4
216:22
225:1
239:4

taking 33:15
48:9 49:4

67:15
101:9,13
109:20
129:2,20
179:18
216:4

talk 49:24
69:4
110:22
111:8
133:13
177:2
185:25
198:2
248:10
270:6
289:2

talked 77:4
78:20
122:2
149:4
163:13,20
164:6
169:6
189:6,24
261:13
275:11

talking 58:4
112:4
126:11
165:9,10
195:20
215:10,11
227:24
244:13
248:11
254:17
269:1

tallies
16:1,2
40:15

tangible
19:22

tape 73:11,
20

tapes 72:25
73:2,9
76:15
191:24
197:18
217:15
268:13

Taran 43:18,
20 45:13

target 85:18
207:10

Targetsmart
84:13
85:6,11,13

taught
140:18

teach 140:17

teacher
81:13

team 28:1

tear 144:13

tease 87:13

Tech 138:22
139:8

technical
41:22
42:22
67:11
73:18
74:11
166:13
167:18

technically
90:11

technician
181:8

technicians
180:22
181:6

technology
141:20
142:18
143:2
233:24
249:11

telephone
130:11
132:20
133:2,20
134:2

telling
134:6
264:13

tells 283:6

telltale
148:22
150:4
170:18,22
171:2,10,
22 177:17
178:18
179:2
191:2

Temple 63:1,
4

ten 35:4,
13,18
144:6
202:2
242:22
273:20

tendency
166:11

tender 45:18
52:11 54:1
89:11
131:2
150:2
255:2
264:2
266:18
273:4,20
274:9
275:1,8
288:11

tendered
46:4,6
74:15 92:9
273:10

tendering
131:9
273:8,9,11

term 116:18
118:18
184:4

201:6

terming
184:2

terminology
99:13

terms 17:13
23:17
24:15 25:4
27:1 33:10
42:2,11
90:8
91:22,25
99:13
100:2,20
104:2
195:10,13
198:9
199:13,24,
25 201:22
202:15
240:4
260:18
262:6

test 175:20
217:9,11
218:6,24
222:10,22
268:2,4,8,
15 283:10

tested 35:11
54:18
55:18
203:13
268:22

testified
17:18,24
18:2,11
31:18
36:22
38:22 42:6
46:11
60:25
78:6,20
80:2 84:4
91:24
93:15
101:17
109:20
113:17
120:8
137:20
154:18
155:22
169:2,4
170:18
176:1,18
190:10
192:4,8
195:15
196:2
197:22
204:13
205:17
206:2
210:13
227:13
275:20

testify
17:10,11
20:4 34:1
43:6 48:11
92:11
96:17
97:17
100:2
104:4
123:22
130:11
155:2
156:2
169:6
186:2
190:17
191:15

testifying
36:6 96:8
104:1
192:15

testimony
17:4,13,20
50:6 60:6
72:22
79:11
82:18
93:13
97:10
121:6
131:6
132:20
136:2
146:8,9
147:9
151:13
156:2
157:17
163:11
164:2,4,
10,15
169:2
172:17,22
175:2
181:18
190:13,20,
24 191:15,
25 195:1
202:20
203:1
205:20
208:1
220:2,8
227:15
249:20
252:6
282:17

testing
217:11,22,
25 218:2,
4,13,15,
17,20
222:13,17,
18,22
223:13
233:11,13
234:6,8
249:15
265:22
267:10,11,
20 268:20
269:17,20,
22 277:18
287:2

tests 149:15
222:18
229:8

thanking
82:8

that'll
125:22

theory
280:1,18

there're
216:22

thing 20:10
29:2 30:17
47:9 58:10
97:18
119:2
145:18,20
185:11
207:17
228:10
252:17
272:11
284:15
285:1

things 8:11
16:2 22:20
26:6 65:13
79:2 81:4
88:13 90:4
94:6
108:15
130:2
133:2,17
140:25
160:15
167:20
170:2
181:15
217:20
223:25
232:20
233:22
240:25
244:20
248:4
269:11
280:10,13
285:4,10
288:25

thinking
119:2
258:18
280:2

thinks
206:11

third-party
113:2
123:18

Thorpe 65:20

thought
76:22,24
77:1 79:2
110:18
115:18
193:8
195:15
280:15

thousand
26:20
102:17
124:17
126:6

thousands
21:25
96:18
170:13

three-and-a-half 276:4

three-and-a-half-time
119:13

three-column
239:22

thrown
280:15

THURSDAY 8:2

ticket 27:22
94:20
259:6,25
260:4,6,9,11,15
280:15

tied 205:24
206:1

time 11:13
12:15
30:1,2
33:20
34:25
35:6,9,13
37:22 49:4
62:2 67:11
68:13 72:2
81:17
90:20 92:4
93:20,22
113:25
123:2
127:20
130:6
135:8
137:2
160:9
165:9
217:4,13,25 218:18,22 236:13
238:15
239:25
245:6
252:13
258:25
260:20
261:2,22,25 276:6
280:4

timeframe
268:11

timeliness
15:17

times 27:9
36:24
39:11
49:18
63:22
133:4
147:8
160:6
164:6
245:11
272:2
276:4

tired 208:18

today 11:22
23:18
33:15
53:15
78:20
102:15
111:11,15

130:24
134:13
144:24
145:2,15
184:8,11
188:6
196:13
208:22
226:8,9
260:4

told 16:22
58:4 67:11
70:20,22
76:13 77:6
180:10
191:13
208:4

tomorrow
23:18
134:4
289:15,25
290:4,15
291:10,17,
20

tone 74:1

tool 63:11
251:22

top 40:6
122:20
160:18
161:9
248:10,11
257:9,20
274:18

topic
185:13,15,
17,18

topic's
185:15

tops 73:2

total 75:13,
20 76:2,8
99:6,17
100:10,11,
15 101:10,
13,15
102:6
104:10
116:4,22
120:10,18
232:24,25
233:2,4
271:25
272:4
283:9,18
289:6

totally
128:2

totals 19:25
94:18 95:1
117:6
128:2,4
177:4
194:2
220:4
233:8
235:20

touch 217:13
218:9
219:24
223:20
235:15,18
239:18
266:15
268:1
283:2
285:11
286:22

touched
40:22
71:20
153:9

touching
224:15
235:17
283:1

touchscreen
223:24
224:11

traces 19:24
20:22

tracked
103:2

tracking
115:25

trail 161:17

trained
36:15,18
153:2

training
39:15
62:13 94:8
144:17
169:11

transferring
216:17

transition
248:24

transitioned
239:22
248:22
249:9

transparency
32:9

treated
187:24

treatment
200:11

trend 94:24
102:13
103:2

trends 87:4,
11 88:2,
11,18,22
89:11 90:2
91:20
95:20

triable
12:10
14:6,15
15:20,24

trial 11:18
12:9
13:15,20,
25 14:6
15:2 16:6
52:22
53:1,2,4,
6,9,13,15
136:6,9,20
184:8

290:13

trials 53:18,20

trouble 20:13 21:18,20 92:18 94:6 97:17 167:15 272:11

troubled 35:18,20

troublesome 47:13

truck-trailer 34:17

true 19:18 23:6,8 45:11 52:10 59:6 65:11 70:13 123:11 199:2 243:25

Trusted 142:4

truth 31:8,9 60:15,17 79:18 83:18,20 137:13 192:2 210:4

TS 157:2 266:9

TSX 255:13, 15

turn 57:2, 13 67:22 184:15 245:11 281:13 291:6

turned 81:4

turning 68:10 182:20 183:1

turnout 88:11,13 122:13 127:13 194:25 274:18,20, 22 275:2, 6,11 276:1

Tweet 52:18 57:10

two-column 239:18,22 240:2 258:17

two-fold 22:24

two-hour 47:10

two-step 13:18

type 26:8 55:9 121:24 122:22 226:10

types 85:15 86:25 105:22 147:15 158:20 159:18

typically 17:2 148:13 161:11 175:20

Tyson 14:24 29:13,15 38:4,9,15, 18 39:2 41:15,18, 20 44:6 50:15 51:11,18 57:18 77:17,18 78:10 89:15,18 90:1,20,24 91:1,18 92:9 96:6 97:1 98:11 103:25 106:18 108:11 109:2,13 113:10,15 121:9,20 123:20 127:6,11 128:6 133:6,10, 11 134:13, 25 136:11 150:15,20, 22 153:17, 20 154:4, 15 158:13 163:11 165:4 168:22 172:18 174:18 176:22 180:22 184:15 188:18 189:2 190:9 192:6,8,20 193:13 195:24 196:1,20 197:8,11, 13 198:22 204:13,24 206:11,18, 22 207:1 221:6 225:11 226:6,25 228:2,17 230:15 232:13 233:22

234:2
242:24
243:13
244:6
254:15
255:2,6
263:4
276:15,17,
22 282:6
287:13
288:10

U

U.S. 30:2
113:25
120:11,15
127:18,20
151:4
255:20,25
256:1
257:13
262:6

Uh-huh
43:18,25
47:15
56:22
94:11
95:13
102:25
156:15
200:15
201:20
282:18

ultimately
30:18 76:6

unanimous
161:1
163:6

unclear
195:20

uncontested
8:10

uncovered
35:17

under-
99:20,22
100:13
102:17
124:15
204:8

under-vote
21:6,15
23:9,11
91:22
92:2,13
93:15,24
94:22 95:4
98:20 99:6
100:8,9,13
101:10,11,
15 102:4,
6,9,13,15,
22 103:1,
22 104:2,
10,25
105:6,9,
15,20
106:4,6
107:2,6,11
120:18,20
122:9,18,
20,22
171:24
172:6,8
175:22
200:15
204:6,10
205:22
280:2,11,
13

under-votes
116:20
122:11
172:20
201:18,20
281:2
282:13

under-voting
89:4,13
93:13 94:2
96:13,17,
22,24
104:15
121:4,17
122:17
172:11
173:22
174:6,8,10
200:22

undergraduate
140:18,20

undergraduates
149:6

underneath
257:11

understand
18:22
34:2,4
37:4,6
41:25
43:17
48:13
54:22
58:13
64:15 66:2
75:18
83:11
90:25
93:22
118:25
130:17
135:24
136:15,18
148:18
155:18
162:22
164:20
165:1
168:22
169:22,25
181:22
199:4
202:1
206:25
207:2,4
221:15
232:15
234:1
245:4
251:8
264:13
272:9
290:1,15

understanding
18:4 24:1
37:4,8

38:1 54:20 59:9,11 69:18,20 73:10 96:13,22 100:20 105:11,13 123:15 158:1 168:11,18 246:18 270:2

understands 22:22 23:4

understood 64:6 76:1

undertake 126:11

undertaken 180:6 227:18 229:15,18 231:11 280:18

undertook 168:20 229:1

unfit 151:4 161:2,4

uniformly 200:24 250:11

unit 216:20 241:22 266:15 278:6 287:18 288:6

United 81:20 256:10 257:1,6, 11,18

units 205:11 213:1 216:13

university 61:25 81:15 84:20,25 138:6,11, 22 139:10, 15 211:10 212:1

unknown 23:4 201:15

unlike 28:2

unpack 183:6

unsafe 161:2,4

unsuccessful 26:15

untenable 246:20

unusual 50:2 68:25 277:10

unverifiable 35:20 37:22

upheld 30:25

upload 266:13

uploaded 232:1,4 233:6 266:17 267:2 269:11

usage 160:22

user 219:18

ushers 55:6

utilizing 270:22

utterly 14:4

V

vague 226:20

valid 29:18 109:17 232:6

validate 214:10 215:15 217:11 218:9,10 232:2 233:13 249:17 268:13

validated 215:10

validating 252:18

values 214:20

variables 23:6

variety 29:8

vast 34:24

vendor 260:25

verifiable 32:18 37:13

verification 161:25 215:6

Verified 141:9,13, 18,25 150:25 151:1,8

verify 64:20 229:4

verifying 262:11

version 64:11 65:9 152:11,18 155:20 166:4

versions 142:25 158:17 159:13

versus 9:11
25:22
27:13
33:11
101:4
119:8
128:1
131:8
151:11
199:13,20
200:2
202:17
272:24
276:11

vested
142:18

victory
26:10
184:11

video 135:2

videotape
268:4

view 109:22
134:1
163:4,6
279:4

violate
137:1

violation
26:2

Virginia
61:25

virtue 27:15

virus 178:15

vis-à-vis
201:22

visible
218:11

vision-impaired
55:8

voice 74:2

volunteer
52:4 62:11

volunteered
62:9

vote 16:1,2
19:15,20
20:2,24
21:13,18,
20,22,24
22:2,10,18
23:6,20
25:8
26:13,20,
22 27:2,6
41:8 42:13
47:11
49:15,17
50:18
58:22 68:8
69:9 71:4,
18 74:4
76:24 77:9
80:22 81:6
83:2 89:6
95:1,4
99:20,24
100:15,17,
22 102:18
105:1,9
108:9
109:11
114:15,24,
25 115:15
117:6
118:22
122:4
157:11
177:8
179:18
194:2
201:22
203:13
204:9
205:4
219:6,10
220:15
223:2
226:4,11
231:18
232:10,24,
25 233:2,
4,8,11,20
234:20
268:17
272:11
281:6
283:18
285:11,13

voted 21:11
25:8 27:8,
10 41:1,2,
4,10 82:22
100:15
119:20
179:11
200:25
257:13
261:25
276:11,13

voter 28:13
30:6 32:10
49:15
54:22
55:4,9,13
56:4,8
67:20
68:1,4,13,
18,20
69:4,11,
15,17
70:1,22,24
71:4,6,15,
22 73:24
76:22 77:8
78:6 85:15
86:24
88:18
118:20,22
119:18
157:22
166:9
167:6,8
178:24
179:10
189:20
194:20,22
199:24
204:24
205:2,8,13
218:2
219:6,15
223:22
225:9,13,
24 226:11

227:4
228:1
241:15,20
242:6,8
247:2
257:22,25
259:6
260:25
262:15
263:17,22,
24 266:9
268:9
274:22
275:20
276:11
281:11
282:11
283:9
287:15,20,
25

voter's
70:22 74:4
87:13
259:10

voter-level
85:15

voters 27:6,
11,20 29:1
30:4 49:6
56:2,4
59:13
67:15
82:22 83:2
85:18
87:15,20,
24 114:15,
24,25
115:11
119:8,13,
18 121:4,
20,22
122:2,4,8,
15,24
151:17
157:10
164:2
172:2
176:2
177:18
178:22
179:4,9,13
194:24
198:8
199:1
202:17
223:6
225:1
258:2,22,
24 260:20
261:1,2,9,
22,24
262:2
274:17
275:15,24
276:4
280:22
281:9
282:2,4

voters'
247:11

votes 21:6,8
23:10
25:20
26:10,17,
18,20
30:8,18
35:2 48:9
73:11
75:4,15,20
76:2,8
86:17
99:17
101:15
102:17
104:15
106:13
115:20
116:4,9,
10,22
117:11,18,
25 119:8
123:6,13,
18 124:13,
18 125:2,
15,17
126:2,8,22
127:2
161:18
176:6
184:11
188:9
194:6,9,10
200:25
201:25
202:10
218:8
219:9
220:11
247:11
265:22
267:2
271:4,9
272:4,6
275:13
277:13
285:18,20

voting
19:18,22,
25 20:25
21:6
32:13,18
33:6,11
35:2,6
38:2 39:15
44:11
46:18,22
47:6 48:8
54:15
62:18
65:15
68:15
70:22 87:4
88:2,8
89:8,11
90:2 91:20
95:20
105:9,11,
13,15
106:9
107:4,8,
15,18,22
108:8,9
110:9
114:20
123:1,17
124:10,15
128:2
141:9,13,
18,25
142:11,13,

22 143:2,
11 144:18
147:4
150:25
151:1,8,15
152:11,17
153:6
154:18,22
157:4
161:4
172:2
173:2,22
174:1,2
175:15
176:11
177:4,13
197:17,18
198:9
205:18
211:6
212:8
213:2
215:24
216:6,11
218:22
219:13
220:4,9,10
223:20
225:24
227:6
228:22
229:1,4,15
230:11,22
231:13
249:15
258:8,25
259:1,6
260:20
266:11
267:6
268:10
276:6
277:25
278:15
285:22

vulnerabilities 145:18
166:10
167:9,15
251:13,15

vulnerability
145:13,18
150:2
151:15
157:22
162:8
163:20
165:22
166:22
167:10

vulnerable
144:18,25
146:24
163:2
166:4
167:1

W

wait 37:24
41:4 59:22
68:22
92:15
202:6
279:15

waited 70:2

waiting 69:6

walk 66:15
136:20

walking 68:6

walks 21:9

wanted 17:22
23:15
68:25
77:20
130:15
184:24
186:6
219:13
239:25

wanting
150:9

warning
49:18

watch 40:13
108:18
130:22

watched
40:9,13

watcher
36:15
39:11
40:9,17
46:11,15,
18,20,24
47:2 48:22
62:9
77:20,22,
25 81:17,
22,25
82:6,8

watching
50:2

water 36:2
187:2

ways 73:17
144:4
183:2
231:9
261:17

weaker 24:11

web 245:20,
22 246:9,
10 250:13,
15,18
251:20
270:10
276:10

website
63:13
99:11
101:18
104:20
251:24
274:2

week 182:15

weekend
225:8,10

weeks 136:4
290:2

weight
109:22
156:2

173:10

well-known 201:11

wer 232:2

whatsoever 97:10

where'd 138:20

white 255:15

who've 133:22

whoa 16:8

Wilke 8:18, 19

William 210:10,15, 20 243:4 254:13 276:20 279:22 286:18 287:11

win 86:13, 22 220:15

Windows 142:17,24, 25 143:1

wins 25:2

Winterville 176:2,10, 11,18,20 177:4 189:25 191:1,11 192:24 193:22 195:2,6, 11,17 196:4 202:20 207:24

Winterville's 197:1

wipe 26:9

withdraw 38:10 48:2,4 50:9 59:2 100:4 106:22 124:2

witness' 146:6

witnessed 51:1

witnesses 11:15 16:11 17:2,6 25:4,6 130:4 134:1

woman 82:6

won 25:18 177:11,13

wonderful 81:18

word 76:18 148:6,11 173:8 181:13,20 208:24 209:1 214:15 229:22

worded 50:4 127:17

words 26:22 133:20 240:18

work 18:25 26:6 35:11,18 36:25 37:15,20 39:13 48:22 61:17,20 82:9 85:11 86:6 88:17 89:6 95:11,25 108:25 139:25 141:6,13, 15,17 142:20 149:18,20 156:13 187:18 199:4 222:20 249:25 253:13 288:25

worked 23:24 30:4 91:8 132:25 133:4 141:6,10 144:4,6 150:25 189:2

worker 55:6 76:22 153:2 288:4

workers 67:4 73:15 76:13

working 23:13 24:22 48:9,20 49:18 85:1,2 140:4 141:8 142:13 207:20 229:4,6 268:2

works 32:9 47:1 156:18 164:8

worry 182:2

Worth 14:11

wrap 229:20

write-
272:13

write-in
265:1
271:4,9
272:17
285:18,20

write-ins
272:8,9,
10,11,15,
18,24
273:1

written 13:2
251:4

wrong 8:11
22:25 45:9
175:8
196:2
197:6
232:10,11

wrote 224:22
285:22

Y

year 21:22
119:20
120:15
140:1,4
194:25
255:10
274:18

years 21:15
32:17
34:20
35:4,13,18
41:8
53:13,17
85:9 93:18
102:10
119:9
143:10
160:2
228:4
274:2
277:10

yielded
222:10

young 82:6

E

X

H

I

B

I

T

4

1 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY

2 STATE OF GEORGIA

3

4 COALITION FOR GOOD . CIVIL ACTION
GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. . FILE NO.: 2018-CV-313418
5

6 MARTIN, SMYTH DUVAL, and .
JEANNE DUFORT, . Taken at:
7

8 Plaintiffs, . Superior Court of Cobb County

9 vs. . 70 Haynes Street

10 ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, . Courtroom 402-M

11 Secretary of State of . Marietta, Georgia 30090
Georgia, et. al .
12

13 Defendants. .
. . . .
14

15

TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL PROCEEDINGS
16

17 FRIDAY, JANUARY 18, 2019

18 9:04 a.m. to 10:49 a.m.

19

20 STATE OF GEORGIA SENIOR JUDGE ADELE P. GRUBBS
REPORTED BY:
21

22 PRISCILLA GARCIA, COURT REPORTER
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF GEORGIA
23

24 TRANSCRIBED BY:

25 CHRISTIAN NAADEN

1

2 APPEARANCES:

3

4 Plaintiff's Counsel: Bruce P. Brown, Esquire

5

6 Bruce P. Brown Law
Floataway Business Complex

7

8 1123 Zonolite Road N.E.

9 Suite 6

10 Atlanta, Georgia 30306

11 404-881-0700
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com

12

13 Defendant's Counsel: John Belinfante, Esquire

14

15 Robbins, Ross, Allot, Belinfante
& Littlefield, LLC

16

17 500 14th Street N.W.

18 Atlanta, Georgia 30318
404-856-3262

19

20 jbelinfante@robbinsfirm.com

21

22

23

24

25

1

2 CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:

3

4 Defendant's Counsel: Vincent R. Russo, Esquire

5

6 Robbins, Ross, Allot, Belinfante
& Littlefield, LLC

7

8 999 Peachtree Street N.E.

9 Suite 1120

10 Atlanta, Georgia 30309

11 404-856-3260
vrusso@robbinsfirm.com

12

13 Defendant's Associate Counsel:

14

15 Alexander F. Denton, Esquire
Robbins, Ross, Allot, Belinfante

16

17 & Littlefield, LLC

18 500 14th Street N.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30318

19

20 404-856-3276
adenton@robbinsfirm.com

21

22

23

24

25

1

2 CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:

3

4 Defendant's Counsel: Edward H. Lindsey, Jr., Esquire

5

6 Dentons US, LLP
303 Peachtree Street N.E.

7

8 Suite 5300

9 Atlanta, Georgia 30308

10 404-527-4580

11 edward.lindsey@dentons.com

12

13 Defendant's Counsel: SAMUEL S. OLENS, ESQUIRE
Dentons US, LLP

14

15 303 Peachtree Street N.E.
Suite 5300

16

17 Atlanta, Georgia 30308

18 404-527-4108
sam.olens@dentons.com

19

20 Defendant's Counsel: Kaye Woodard Burwell, Esquire

21

22 Office of the County Attorney
141 Pryor Street S.W.

23

24 Suite 4038

25 Atlanta, Georgia 30303

1 404-612-0251

2 kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov

CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:

3

4

Defendant's Counsel: Bryan P. Tyson, Esquire

5

6 Strickland, Brockington
& Lewis, LLP

7

8 1170 Peachtree Street N.E.

9 Atlanta, Georgia 30309

10 404-219-3160

11 bryan.tyson@sbllaw.com

12

13 Defendant's Co-Counsel: Richard A. Carothers, Esquire
Carothers & Mitchell, LLC

14

15 1809 Buford Highway
Atlanta, Georgia 30518

16

17 770-932-3552

18 richard.carothers@carsmith.com

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

I-N-D-E-X

3

WITNESS	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
Terri R. Thomas	9	14		
Richard Barron	18	33		

EXHIBITS:	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
NONE		

1
2
3
4 COBB COUNTY, GEORGIA
FRIDAY, January 18, 2018 - 9:04 a.m.
5
6 * * * * * * *
7
8 THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. Take
9 your seats, if you will. I am a minute or two late, but
10 they wanted us to move again at noon.
11 MR. LINDSEY: Oh.
THE COURT: And I refused, so I've been working that
12
13 little problem out; okay?
MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
14
15 MR. TYSON: Thank you.
THE COURT: One move is enough; we shouldn't have had
16
17 to do that. So we'll see where we go. Okay. Day two,
18 where are we, Mr. Brown? Good morning.
MR. BROWN: Good morning, Your Honor. We have an eye
19
20 witness who will testify as to her voting experience who
is going through security right now.
21
22 THE COURT: Going through security; okay?
MS. BROWN: And then we'd like to put up her first,
23
24 but if we don't do that we would, you know --
25 THE COURT: We'll give -- we'll give her a minute,

1 if --

2 MS. BROWN: And then we have --
THE COURT: Twice the bailiffs in the box, is that
3

4 what we've got? Boy, if you were a jury like -- that --
that's an interesting jury up there in that box.
5

6 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I want to see if you
[inaudible] making sure everything's in line as to the
7

8 rule of [inaudible] in place.

9 THE COURT: Do what?

10 MR. LINDSEY: The rules.

11 THE COURT: The rules of sequestration is in force.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor --
12

13 THE COURT: Okay?
MR. BROWN: -- as to the rule of sequestration --
14

15 THE COURT: What?
MR. BROWN: The -- the question that -- there's law
16

17 that the rule of sequestration does not apply to a

18 rebuttal expert witnesses, and so we would --
THE COURT: Only if it's a rebuttal expert to an
19

20 expert.
MR. BROWN: Or to expert testimony or to --
21

22 THE COURT: We'll -- we'll address the issue when we
get there.
23

24 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, we

25 would call Terri Thomas to the witness stand.

1 THE COURT: Whose is this? Okay.

2 MR. BROWN: Please raise your right hand. Do you promise to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but

3

4 the truth?
THE WITNESS: I do.

5

6 MR. BROWN: Have a seat.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.

7

8

9 P R O C E E D I N G S

10 * * * * * * *

11

Thereupon:

12

13 TERRI R. THOMAS

14

15 was called as a witness, and having been first duly

16

17 sworn in, and testified upon her oath as follows:

18 DIRECT EXAMINATION

19

20 OF TERRI R. THOMAS

21

22 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Please state your name for the record?

23

24 A. Terri R. Thomas.

25 MR. BROWN: Ms. Thomas, my name is Bruce Brown, and I

1 am the attorney for the petitioners in this case.

2 THE WITNESS: Okay.
BY MR. BROWN:
3

4 Q. Where are you from?
A. I'm from Los Angeles.
5

6 Q. And where do you live now?
A. I live in Lithonia, Georgia.
7

8 Q. And did you drive from Lithonia this

9 morning here?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. Did you vote on November 20, 2018?
A. I did.
12

13 Q. And where did you vote?
A. At Lithonia High School.
14

15 Q. And when -- and did you vote on an
electronic machine with a screen?
16

17 A. I did.

18 Q. And when you -- did you pull up the ballot
on the screen?
19

20 A. I put the little card in the machine, yes.
Q. Did the ballot then appear for the
21

22 governor's race?
A. Yes, sir.
23

24 Q. Did the lieutenant governor's race appear

25 on the first several pages of the ballot?

1 A. No.

2 Q. How do you -- how do you know? How do you remember that?

3

4 A. Well, like I said, I'm from Los Angeles, and when I first -- the first thing that popped up

5

6 was the governor's race, and the first thing that I saw were these two large squares. And I was thinking

7

8 to myself, "Georgia sure is making sure we know which

9 candidate we're voting for. There are only --

10 there's only one category on this page, and I didn't

11 even -- it didn't even dawn on me that there was a third person running, because their little box was so

12

13 small on the writing outside.

Q. Did you -- did you see at any point in

14

15 going through the ballot, the election -- the race between Amico and Duncan?

16

17 A. Not initially.

18 Q. When was the first time you saw Amico's name?

19

20 A. It was -- I had gone through -- I had voted for everything except one amendments because I wasn't

21

22 familiar with them, and then it was at the end of my ballot, and it dawned on me that I hadn't voted for

23

24 her, so I just kept going previous and went all the

25 way back to the beginning of the ballot.

1 Q. And when you went back to the beginning of
2 the ballot, did you see her -- her name on the race?
A. I did.
3
4 Q. Okay. And did you -- were you able to cast
a vote then?
5
6 A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And do you have any -- and then you
7
8 went forward to the summary?
9 A. Correct, to the end, yes.
10 Q. Okay. And you cast your ballot?
11 A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Were there any other malfunctions in
12
13 the screen?
A. Not that I noticed.
14
15 Q. Not that you noticed. And did you complain
to anyone about the -- about the voting?
16
17 A. Not at the poll.
18 Q. Okay. Did you thereafter?
A. I'm sorry?
19
20 Q. Thereafter that, did you?
A. I did. I did. The first person I talked
21
22 to was my daughter, because we usually go vote
together and she left me that morning. And so she
23
24 had already voted, and when I got back home I was
25 telling her what happened to me. And she told me

1 that's not what she experienced.

2 Q. Okay.
MR. BROWN: Thank you very much.
3

4 THE WITNESS: Oh, you're welcome.
THE COURT: Any questions?
5

6 THE WITNESS: Excuse me.
MR. LINDSEY: Just a few quick questions, ma'am.
7

8 THE WITNESS: Yes. I was just going to finish my

9 statement here. I didn't finish.

10 MR. LINDSEY: Oh.

11 THE COURT: You're not here -- you have to be asked questions; okay? If they don't ask you the direct
12

13 question you want them to ask you, you don't get to tell it.
14

15 THE WITNESS: Okay.
THE COURT: Okay?
16

17 THE WITNESS: But it was about the previous question

18 he asked.
THE COURT: Well --
19

20 THE WITNESS: That's fine.
THE COURT: What do you think, Mr. Lindsey?
21

22 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, she's a good voter from Georgia. Please let her go ahead and finish it.
23

24 THE COURT: Okay.

25 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.

1 THE COURT: Okay, finish then.

2 MR. LINDSEY: Go ahead, ma'am.
THE WITNESS: Oh. I'm sorry. You had asked if I
3

4 complained about that. Like I said, the first person was
my daughter. The next thing I did that night or the next
5

6 morning, I wrote an email.
MR. BROWN: Okay.
7

8 BY MR. BROWN:

9 Q. And when -- the first time that you looked

10 at the ballot screen?

11 A. Yes.
Q. When you first looked at it?
12

13 A. Yes.
Q. Was Amico's name on there?
14

15 A. No, sir.
Q. And were you looking to vote for her?
16

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. And you didn't see it on the first screen?
A. Correct.
19

20 MR. BROWN: Thank you.

21

22 CROSS-EXAMINATION
OF TERRI R. THOMAS
23

24

25 BY MR. LINDSEY:

1 Q. Was this the first time that you've voted
2 in Georgia?
A. No, sir.
3
4 Q. Okay. I hate to ask a woman this, but how
-- how old are you, ma'am?
5
6 A. I am 62.
Q. Thank you. And you were -- how many times
7
8 have you voted in Georgia?
9 A. Probably about seven to eight times.
10 Q. Okay. And so, you went all the way
11 through?
A. Correct.
12
13 Q. And then when -- then you went back, and it
was on the screen; correct?
14
15 A. Yes, sir.
Q. You voted for Ms. -- I take it you voted
16
17 for Ms. Amico?
18 A. I did.
Q. Okay. And when you talked to your
19
20 daughter, your daughter said that she didn't have any
problems?
21
22 A. Correct.
Q. Okay.
23
24 MR. LINDSEY: No further questions.
25 THE COURT: Anything else, Mr. -- anyone else? Oh,

1 Mr. Tyson.

2 MR. TYSON: Yes. Just briefly. Hello, Ms. Thomas, my name is Bryan Tyson. I represent the Gwinnett County

3

4 Board of Registrations and Elections.
BY MR. TYSON:

5

6 Q. I just wanted to ask, when you said, when you first looked at the ballot you said, you saw "two

7

8 squares" when they came on there?

9 A. Correct.

10 Q. And you -- I'm assuming you were looking to

11 vote in the governor's race. Is it possible that the lieutenant governor's race was there, and you just

12

13 missed it the first time?
A. No, sir. No, sir. I'm retired; I have

14

15 plenty of time.
Q. Okay. And then your daughter, is she

16

17 involved at all with the coalition or any groups that

18 are interested in voting issues?
A. No, sir.

19

20 Q. Okay. And then, you said that there was a third person running. Did you say that it was

21

22 "smaller or difficult to see?" Can you describe for me what that was on that first screen?

23

24 A. I'm guessing it was -- it was Republican

25 and Democrat and Independent --

1 Q. Yes?

2 A. -- but his square was so small. Like I said, I was amazed because I was thinking -- you're

3

4 going to make sure I know who I'm voting. This is the only category on this page, and the squares were

5

6 so large, and he had a little square [inaudible] just looking at him.

7

8 Q. Thank you.

9 MR. TYSON: I don't have any further questions.

10 THE WITNESS: You're welcome.

11 THE COURT: Okay.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I have no further questions,

12

13 and may the witness be excused?
THE COURT: The witness may be excused.

14

15 MR. BROWN: Thank you very much.
THE COURT: Have a safe drive back to your home.

16

17 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

18 MR. BROWN: Thank you very much.
THE WITNESS: You're welcome.

19

20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the plaintiffs would call Richard Barron. Please state -- do you promise to tell

21

22 the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
THE WITNESS: Yes.

23

24 MR. BROWN: Have a seat.

25

1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 * * * * * * *

3

4 Thereupon:

5

6 RICHARD BARRON

7

8 was called as a witness, and having been first duly

9 sworn in, and testified upon his oath as follows:

10

11 DIRECT EXAMINATION
OF RICHARD BARRON

12

13 BY MR. BROWN:

14

15 Q. Please state your full name for the record?
A. Richard Barron.

16

17 Q. And by whom are you currently employed?

18 A. Fulton County.
Q. And what is your position with Fulton

19

20 County?
A. Director of Registration and Elections.

21

22 Q. How long have you had that position?
A. Five and a half years.

23

24 Q. What does a director of registration and

25 elections do?

1 A. I'm in charge of -- of administering all
2 the elections for Fulton County, taking care of all the polling places, training the poll workers,
3
4 dealing with the media, and overseeing the voter registration rolls.
5
6 Q. And how many full-time employees do you have?
7
8 A. 18.
9 Q. And during the election, how many part-time
10 employees do you have or contractors?
11 A. Well, it depends on -- it's usually about 60. That increases during early voting.
12
13 Q. Okay. You were here in yesterday's -- during yesterday's testimony; correct?
14
15 A. Yes.
Q. Okay. I would like to ask you some
16
17 questions, and I want to make sure I'm clear. There
18 is an election server at the Secretary of State's office, to the best of your understanding; correct?
19
20 A. Correct.
Q. And then separately, there's what we would
21
22 -- what some people call the GEM server at the county; is that correct?
23
24 A. Yes.
25 Q. And is it your understanding that the

1 election server at the Secretary of State was
2 reinstalled with a trusted copy of the GEMS software after it had been compromised in 2016?
3
4 A. I have no --
MR. TYSON: Object on that, Your Honor. I don't
5
6 think there is any foundation that's Mr. Barron's going to have knowledge about what the Secretary of State's servers
7
8 going to have or anything else.
9 THE COURT: But -- I --
10 MR. LINDSEY: I believe that they haven't laid a
11 proper foundation that there has been any type of compromise with the GEMS system.
12
13 THE COURT: We had testimony yesterday. You actually have him on direct and you're leading. That question
14
15 wasn't what you had previously. I sustain the objection to it.
16
17 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, may I treat him as a -- treat
18 him on cross as an adverse?
THE COURT: No, sir. You called him for -- you
19
20 called him on direct.
MR. BROWN: Okay.
21
22 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Was Fulton County's GEMS server replaced in
23
24 2016 or 2017?
25 A. I don't recall.

1 Q. Okay. Did Fulton County take efforts after
2 2016 to disinfect Fulton County's GEMS database?
MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, I am going to object to
3
4 assuming facts.
MR. BROWN: Let the record reflect that the witness
5
6 shook his head "No." Go ahead.
MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, his question assumes facts
7
8 that are not in evidence that there was something that
9 needed to be done. He hasn't laid a foundation.
10 THE COURT: Well, he just asked did they do it after
11 2016. That doesn't reflect -- he just -- I don't know why
I don't have a mic this morning. He just -- the question
12
13 is okay the way it is; okay?
THE WITNESS: I don't -- I don't understand what you
14
15 mean by "disinfect."
BY MR. BROWN:
16
17 Q. Was it examined for malware or any other
18 defects?
A. Not that I'm aware of.
19
20 Q. Was any of Fulton County's memory cards
that they used, were any of those memory cards
21
22 disinfected after 2016?
A. No.
23
24 Q. In 2018, you would have created a new
25 memory card for the 2018 election; correct?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. And those -- those memory cards would have
been the same memory cards that had been used in
3

4 previous elections; correct?
A. Yes.
5

6 Q. Elections prior to 2016; correct?
A. Yes.
7

8 Q. And you would have -- did you make those

9 memory cards from your GEMS -- your county GEMS

10 database?

11 A. Yeah. They -- that's where everything
originates, yes.
12

13 Q. Do you have any reason to believe that the
process that you go through in making the -- the
14

15 well, let me back up a little bit. Do you know if
other counties disinfected their memory cards after
16

17 2016 or not?

18 A. I still don't understand what you mean by
"disinfect," but I have no knowledge of any other
19

20 counties.
Q. Okay. Fair enough. Let me make sure
21

22 you're understanding my question. When I -- when I
say "disinfect," what I mean is to do anything to
23

24 remove any malware or mis-program [sic] that might be

25 on that particular device?

1 A. No.

2 Q. And so the answer is, your -- you didn't do that with the memory cards; correct?

3

4 A. No.

Q. Okay. And you, to -- to the best of your

5

6 knowledge, did any other counties do that?

A. I have no -- no knowledge of that.

7

8 Q. One way or the other?

9 A. No.

10 Q. Fair enough. Prior to the -- to the -- the

11 November 2018 election, did Fulton County review its GEMS database to determine if there were any coding

12

13 errors on that GEMS database?

A. No.

14

15 Q. You were here yesterday, and did you -- do you recall the testimony of Sara LeClerc?

16

17 A. Was she the poll watcher?

18 Q. Yes?

A. Yes.

19

20 Q. Were you -- do you recall what county she was -- had been overseeing?

21

22 A. What county?

Q. It was Fulton County, correct, and AME

23

24 church?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. That's one of your precincts; correct?
2 A. Correct.
3 Q. Did you get a report of the incidents that
4 she described when you were in -- during the election?
5
6 A. I didn't personally, no.
7 Q. Are you aware of someone in your office
8 getting a report like that? A report from AME or
9 about AME?
10 A. I -- I became aware of it through this --
11 this process, the court case.
12 Q. And -- and what is your understanding of --
13 of the information that was received by your office?
14 MS. BURWELL: Objection, Your Honor. He said that he
15 learned of it though this lawsuit, not through his office.
16 THE COURT: Sustained.
17 BY MR. BROWN:
18 Q. At any time, not in the November election
19 or not contemporaneously, but did you gain an
20 understanding of what happened at the AME Temple at
21 the church -- the AME Temple precinct?
22 A. I -- I've been made aware of the complaint,
23 yes.
24 Q. And did you or anybody under your
25 supervision do any kind of investigation to determine

1 why that happened?

2 A. Yes.
Q. And what did you find?
3

4 A. We found -- well, we found that the -- the
poll worker -- the poll worker had an issue with that
5

6 voter, and then she -- she took down the machine, and
then later she reopened the machine later in the day.
7

8 Q. Did anybody on your staff inspect the

9 machine to see if there were any coding errors or

10 anything like that?

11 A. It's my understanding -- no, we didn't look
for coding errors.
12

13 Q. Did you look for any mechanical type of
errors that weren't electronic, like with the screen
14

15 operation?
A. No, not that day.
16

17 Q. Ever?

18 A. I don't think so, no.
Q. Did you do any sort of examination of -- of
19

20 any of the DRE machines that Fulton County used after
the 2018 election?
21

22 A. We -- no. We immediately had to turn those
around for the -- the December election.
23

24 Q. And when you -- you said you had to use

25 them for the December runoff?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. And when you used those for the December runoff, do you reprogram them with a different

3

4 election memory card?
A. Yes. And they're -- yes.

5

6 Q. Okay. And so, at that point it would be -- describe that process for me, please?

7

8 A. Well, we have to run them through -- once

9 we get the database in, and we load the election, we

10 run them through a live -- a logic and accuracy

11 testing. We also -- we -- we calibrate them to make sure the time and date are correct. Just some basic

12

13 things that we do to get ready for them -- to get ready for the election and then the logic and

14

15 accuracy testing.
Q. Does that involve reprogramming the

16

17 machines?

18 A. Well, we -- we insert a new card in there, and that has the new election on it.

19

20 Q. And does -- and does the insertion of the new card and the running of these tests alter the

21

22 internal memory?
A. No.

23

24 Q. And how do you know?

25 A. I mean, it's not my understanding that it

1 does. It doesn't erase anything that's on there.

2 There's a hard packet that stays on there from the beginning, the life of the machine.

3

4 Q. How -- how do you know that?

A. That's -- well, because that's what I've

5

6 learned using these -- this equipment.

Q. Have you done any -- any forensic

7

8 examination of the -- the unit to determine if any

9 changes are made to that memory by reprogramming?

10 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object to that. I don't

11 think the foundation laid by Mr. Barron would have the type of capability to observe or the skill to do that. It

12

13 needs to be laid first before we get into that.

THE COURT: Well, he can answer the question. He's

14

15 only talking for himself.

THE WITNESS: No.

16

17 BY MR. BROWN:

18 Q. Has anybody under your supervision done that?

19

20 A. No.

Q. Are you aware of any scientific or computer

21

22 scientific opinion to the effect that reprogramming the machines for the subsequent election has altered

23

24 the memory of the machines?

25 A. No.

1 Q. You're not aware of that at all? You never
2 heard of that opinion?
A. No.
3
4 Q. You never heard that opinion expressed by
Mr. Bernhard?
5
6 THE COURT: Enough; okay? That -- that -- and I
understand you're an advocate and I respect that, but
7
8 that's far enough on that line of thought.
9 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
10 BY MR. BROWN:
11 Q. What is a voter access card?
A. That is -- that is the -- the yellow card
12
13 that has a chip on it that you -- when -- when a
voter checks in, you put it in -- the poll worker
14
15 puts it into the Express Poll to -- so that it -- it
will indicate which ballot on the DRE is accessible
16
17 by that voter.
18 Q. It's -- if you will, it's created by the
express electronic poll booth?
19
20 A. Yes, the Express Poll.
Q. The Express Poll. And is the Express Poll
21
22 part of the registration system in any way?
A. Well, the -- the voter registration
23
24 database is loaded with the information for the --
25 for everyone that's eligible to vote in that election

1 is put onto the Express Poll.

2 Q. I want to make sure that I have this correct. The -- the registration database, for lack

3

4 of a better expression, tells the poll books what each voter access card should have on it, right?

5

6 A. No.
MS. BURWELL: Objection, Your Honor.

7

8 MR. BROWN: He said, no.

9 MS. BURWELL: First of all, it's leading; and second

10 of all he hasn't defined some of those terms.

11 THE COURT: He said "No" anyway; okay? And -- and I -- I understand you're reading some questions you've got

12

13 and they're very scientific, but sometimes when you use scientific words, you may use one word and he may use

14

15 another word, and you may not be talking in the same language. And I think we're having some issues with that

16

17 this morning.

18 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Help me out on the terminology, Mr. Barron.

19

20 What is the system called, the biggest system in the process?

21

22 A. The biggest system?
Q. Right. It's called the registration

23

24 system?

25 A. E-net, Electionet is the voter registration

1 system.

2 Q. And that is maintained by who?
A. Well, it's maintained by the state.
3

4 Q. How does the Enet system inform -- does the
Enet system at the state level inform the county as
5

6 to which voter should vote where?
A. Well, every -- every voter, based on their
7

8 address, is given a ballot code and the only thing

9 that's -- the only information that is put onto the

10 voter access card is the -- the ballot code for that

11 voter, so that -- because all the ballots, the
different ballots for each precinct or during early
12

13 voting all the ballots are on there. The voter
access card enables the voter to access his or her
14

15 ballot.
Q. And to make sure I have my terminology
16

17 straight, the voter access cards are inserted at

18 Election Day into the Express Poll book's server;
correct?
19

20 A. Yeah. It's not a server; it's just a
standalone unit that -- the information is in the
21

22 Express Poll, the card goes in, the poll worker looks
up the voter, selects the name, that ballot code
23

24 information is put on there, and what it does is it

25 tells the DRE to access this ballot.

1 Q. Thank you for that. And the Express Poll
2 machine in turn gets its information from the state's Enet system; correct?
3
4 A. Not -- it's not why we're connected from it, but yeah, the source of information is from
5
6 there.
Q. And how does -- it's not connected live;
7
8 how does the information get from the Enet to the
9 county?
10 A. We -- we get -- we get a card, like, a
11 flash memory card that we -- we reproduce to put in the Express Polls, or the state reproduces all the
12
13 cards. We put them into the Express Polls for each precinct.
14
15 Q. Are you aware of reports that the Enet system was vulnerable to hacking prior to the
16
17 November 2018 election?
18 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object to that. I don't think there's a foundation about that, that any reports
19
20 about that. If Mr. Brown wants to reference a specific report, I think he can, but --
21
22 THE COURT: It's the way that you phrase the question. You -- you make it as if it were a statement of
23
24 fact. You can ask in that area, but you've got to
25 inquire, not make these false statements that you keep

1 making about things.

2 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Right. Are you aware of any reports
3

4 related to hacking involving the Enet system?
A. I read that in the AJC.
5

6 Q. And after you read it in the AJC, did you
follow up?
7

8 A. No.

9 Q. Did you investigate it at all?

10 A. No.

11 Q. Are you aware of anyone else investigating
that?
12

13 A. No.
Q. Was it a -- was it a concern to you?
14

15 A. I didn't -- you know, when I read the
story, I didn't know how seriously to take it. It
16

17 didn't -- I -- no.

18 Q. Were you aware -- or did the Secretary of
State have an investigation?
19

20 A. I don't know.
Q. Just for the record, how many voting
21

22 machines does Fulton County have?
A. 3,108.
23

24 MR. BROWN: Let me take just a moment here. That's

25 all that I have at this time.

1 THE COURT: Questions? Thank you.

2 MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, did you want me to do all
my questions now or just the ones related to what he's
3

4 been asked this morning?
THE COURT: You can ask him anything you want to ask
5

6 him.
MS. BURWELL: I can ask as much as I'd like to ask?
7

8 THE COURT: Go ahead.

9 MS. BURWELL: Okay. Thank you.

10 THE COURT: We don't have a podium.

11 MS. BURWELL: Okay.
THE COURT: I know some of you are used to a podium.
12

13 I don't know that I like podiums, but is it -- we have no
clerk, so you can use any of the clerk's area.
14

15 MS. BURWELL: Oh; okay? Well, maybe I can just --
THE COURT: Put it way over there on the end if you
16

17 want to be closer and seeing and not have the computer in

18 the way. Yeah, that's a good place.
MS. BURWELL: Okay.
19

20
CROSS-EXAMINATION
21

22 OF RICHARD BARRON
BY MS. BURWELL:
23

24 Q. Mr. Barron, can you tell the court how long

25 you've been involved in elections?

1 A. Since December of 1999.

2 Q. Okay. And can you tell the judge a little bit about your background with elections?

3

4 A. I've worked with Travis County elections in Austin, Texas, from 1999 to 2003. I also worked for

5

6 Sequoia Voting Systems from 2004 to 2005. In 2006, I worked for Hartman Civic, and then I went to work for

7

8 Williamson County in Brown -- Georgetown, Texas, from

9 2007 to 2013, and then I came here.

10 Q. So, you've been with Fulton County since

11 2013?
A. Yes.

12

13 Q. And so in connection with overseeing the election activities for the Fulton County Board of

14

15 Registration and Elections, are you required to follow state law?

16

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. And where do you find the state law that you're supposed to follow?

19

20 A. The Georgia election code and also there's an SED rule book.

21

22 Q. And what's the SED rule book?
A. It -- it -- it's -- from my understand,

23

24 it's basically an -- the state election board has

25 some rules that they interpret from the law, and I

1 think they also make some -- some rules in addition
2 to that.
Q. And you're required to follow those as
3
4 well?
A. Yes.
5
6 Q. Does the state oversee all of your election activities?
7
8 MR. BROWN: Object, object, Your Honor. She's asking
9 the witness what someone else does.
10 MS. BURWELL: May I respond, Your Honor?
11 THE COURT: Yes. We -- we -- we -- I think it's the way it's phrased. I -- I think you can ask the question.
12
13 Go ahead.
BY MS. BURWELL:
14
15 Q. Does anyone oversee the election activities that you undertake?
16
17 A. The state election board has the -- the
18 ultimate authority over the boards, the -- the Fulton County Board of Registration and Elections.
19
20 Q. And are there -- are you personally or is the Board of Registration and Elections subject to
21
22 penalties for not following the code and rules?
A. Yes.
23
24 Q. Can you tell the Court why Fulton County
25 uses the DRE-based voting system?

1 A. That is part of -- there -- there's a
2 uniform voting law in Georgia, and every county uses
the same equipment. It's a state law.
3
4 Q. Do you have a choice on whether or not to
use that equipment?
5
6 A. No.
Q. Who owns the DRE machines that are used in
7
8 Fulton County?
9 A. 70 to 80 percent of them were purchased by
10 the state, and Fulton County purchased the other 20
11 to 30 percent.
Q. Can you tell the Court whether or not
12
13 Fulton County has ever had any software issues with
its DRE machines?
14
15 A. No.
Q. Are you aware of any viruses that have ever
16
17 infiltrated a Fulton County DRE machine?
18 A. No.
Q. Let me ask you now the same questions about
19
20 the poll book that you used. Are you aware of any
software issues with that poll book?
21
22 A. No.
Q. What about the viruses with that poll book?
23
24 A. No.
25 Q. And let me ask you now about the GEMS

1 server, which is a -- yet a third component of the
2 system; correct?
A. Yes.
3
4 Q. Are you -- has Fulton County ever had any
software issues with the -- the GEM system?
5
6 A. No.
Q. And are you aware of any viruses with that
7
8 GEM system?
9 A. No.
10 Q. Are you aware -- is it -- are you aware of
11 any external attacks that Fulton County has had on
any of its machines?
12
13 A. No.
Q. Is it possible for there -- is -- is the
14
15 DRE machine hooked up to the Internet?
A. No.
16
17 Q. Is the DRE machine hooked up to anything
18 external, the Web, or anything like that?
A. No.
19
20 Q. Now, are the DRE machines tested by Fulton
County?
21
22 A. Yes.
Q. And do -- did you test the machines before
23
24 the November 6th election?
25 A. Yes.

1 Q. Is each DRE machine that's used in the
2 election tested?
A. Yes.
3
4 Q. And are DRE machines that are used in the
early voting tested as well?
5
6 A. Yes.
Q. Are any of the machines connected to each
7
8 other?
9 A. Only by electricity.
10 Q. Okay. So one DRE machine in a room isn't
11 connected to another DRE machine in a room?
A. No.
12
13 Q. They're both potentially plugged into the
electricity in the wall, but other than that --
14
15 A. Correct.
Q. Now, can you tell the judge in terms of the
16
17 November 6th general election what you did to prepare
18 for that election?
A. Well, we began in August. We have to -- we
19
20 have to get the ballot ready. We have to make sure
we get all the information from all of the local
21
22 candidates or from the municipalities that are having
elections to the state, so that they can build a
23
24 ballot.
25 We have to get all the -- the poll workers

1 recruited, poll workers trained; polling places we
2 have to make sure are -- are set.
We have to get all of our workers set up for
3
4 early voting. We have to get all the machines ready.
We -- we also make sure we get all of the -- the --
5
6 all of the voter registration applications processed
that are timely, and there are lots of little, sundry
7
8 duties that go along with all those.
9 Q. And those are the same -- the procedures
10 you go through for every election; is that correct?
11 A. Correct.
Q. Now, can you tell the judge about ballot
12
13 styles and what that means?
A. Well, we had 115 ballot styles in -- in the
14
15 November election. Those are based on -- on all the
-- the precincts and the districts, all the different
16
17 districts that -- that are within the county, from
18 state senate districts to -- to city boundaries to
House of Representative districts, all the -- all the
19
20 political districts are taken into account, along
with the precincts.
21
22 Q. So let me ask you about early voting. And
can you explain to the judge how early voting works?
23
24 A. During early voting, all of the ballots are
25 available at every polling place. You can vote

1 anywhere during early voting.
2 Q. So what does that mean in terms of ballot styles?
3
4 A. Well, for our 370-plus precincts that we have, all of those are available with the 115
5
6 different ballot styles.
Q. How does that differ from Election Day?
7
8 A. On Election Day, the voters have to go to
9 their -- their assigned precinct to vote. So those
10 -- those precincts are what are available in each
11 polling place.
Q. So on -- for early voting, if you live in
12
13 Roswell, you can vote in Chattahoochee Hills, and they can pull up your ballot?
14
15 A. Correct.
Q. But on Election Day, you can only vote in
16
17 Roswell?
18 A. Yes, at whatever assigned place in Roswell, yes.
19
20 Q. So during early voting, are there things that could occur that would cause a machine to say
21
22 "Cancel" on it?
A. Well, if it -- if they -- if the screen
23
24 comes up and there's a cancel sign on there, that --
25 that indicates that the ballot was created by the

1 Express Poll in -- in the disabled mode, for a
2 disabled voter.
Q. Okay. So explain for the -- to the judge
3
4 what that means?
A. There's -- the Express Poll has two
5
6 different modes. You can have the regular mode where
-- where the ballot comes up, or there's a -- there's
7
8 also a mode for -- for disabled voters, because those
9 voters, the ballot doesn't appear on the screen.
10 The only thing that appears is -- is a
11 "canceled" button, so -- to cancel that out. Now if
the voter goes up, he can put -- it's in the disabled
12
13 mode, the Express Poll operator has to select the
option to go back to regular mode.
14
15 If they don't, the next card they create is
going to be in disabled mode.
16
17 Q. And so is that what makes it flash
18 "Cancel"?
A. Yeah.
19
20 Q. Does that mean that there's a problem with
the machine?
21
22 A. No.
Q. Okay. What does that mean?
23
24 A. The DRE's doing what it's told.
25 Q. So what happens to the voter in that

1 instance, if it comes up and says "Cancel"?

2 A. Well, they would have to cancel the ballot and then go back to the Express Poll. They're

3 supposed to get -- all of our machines have a sign in them that say, "If there's anything wrong with your

4 ballot, whether it be that you've -- you think you have the wrong ballot, then you need to go get a poll

5 worker to help you."

6 Like to go back to the Express Poll and tell

7 them or show them what's on the screen. From there a

8 new card needs to be created that it's in the regular mode.

9 Q. Right. And it's not in disabled mode?

10 A. Correct.

11 Q. So then the voter can go and cast a ballot?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. So the fact that it says -- that it had

14 come up cancelled doesn't mean that the voter was unable to cast a vote --

15 A. Correct.

16 Q. -- on that day? Now let me ask you about

17 if an issue comes up with a DRE machine, and Mr. Brown asked you about something that happened at, I

18 believe, church. So you weren't at the church that

19 particular day, were you?

1 A. No.

2 Q. So you don't have any first-hand knowledge
about what particularly happened; correct?
3

4 A. Correct.
Q. But you listened to Ms. LeClerc's testimony
5

6 about what she observed?
A. Yes.
7

8 Q. Can you tell the judge what the process is

9 under those circumstances, if they're -- if this

10 particular voter has an issue?

11 A. Well, we have a help desk that -- there're
are different ways that a poll worker can contact the
12

13 office. They should call the help desk, and then a
ticket is created, and then a technician would be
14

15 sent to the polling place.
In the meantime, if the poll worker has a
16

17 concern about the machine, they -- they shut it down,

18 as they did, and so -- and that's what they're
supposed to do.
19

20 Q. Okay. Do you, as the director of
elections, have any concerns about the reliability of
21

22 the DRE machines that have been used in Fulton
County?
23

24 A. No.

25 Q. Do you have any concern about any memory

1 cards having ever been improperly accessed?
2 A. No.
Q. Can you tell the judge what the process is
3
4 for safety with respect to the memory cards?
A. All the -- all the machines will -- like,
5
6 in early voting, for example, all the machines are,
after they're tested, all of them are sealed to seal
7
8 the equipment, the serial numbers are recorded, and
9 the seal numbers are recorded, and those go out with
10 -- with the poll workers.
11 Every day those -- those machines are -- the
seals are broken, they're verified, they -- they seal
12
13 them up again, and it goes -- the -- the process goes
through the 19 days of early voting.
14
15 They're -- on Election Day, when we send those
out, they're -- they're sealed after the vote --
16
17 after, like, an accuracy is done. Those are also
18 delivered out, and they -- they go to the polling
place.
19
20 They're -- they are -- there is a recap sheet
with it, and they have the serial numbers and the --
21
22 the seal numbers are also recorded on there. The
poll workers verify that those -- the seals are not
23
24 broken, and if -- if one was to be broken, they're to
25 contact our office and to let us know that the seal

1 was -- is broken.

2 Q. So can you tell the judge what the process is for security with respect to the actual DRE

3

4 machines themselves? You told us about the cards being sealed and kept. What about the actual DRE

5

6 machines?
A. In our warehouse, they -- they are kept in

7

8 a -- in a large warehouse. There's a keypad to get

9 in. It's also -- the warehouse is alarmed, and we

10 also have cameras in -- in the warehouse.

11 Q. Do you have chain-of-custody forms --
A. Yes.

12

13 Q. -- for the machines? Are those kept under lock and key?

14

15 A. Yes.
Q. And how are the machines delivered to the

16

17 actual locations where voting occurs?

18 A. We have different either -- usually, it's either active-duty fire- -- firemen or officers or

19

20 retired firemen or officers that -- police officers that do -- do the deliveries.

21

22 Q. And when those DRE machines are delivered, they have seals on them?

23

24 A. They have seals on them, and there's a

25 cable through the door -- through the -- through the

1 handles --
2 Q. Can you --
A. -- to make sure that they're all kept
3
4 together.
Q. Can you explain to the judge what the seal
5
6 looks like and what it's made out of and what's on it?
7
8 A. When they go out, it's a -- it's a metal
9 seal, and it has -- it has a number on there that
10 identifies that seal, and it's unique to that seal.
11 Q. And so what do poll workers do when they get the machines?
12
13 A. After they -- they will verify that the seal is -- that we recorded in the warehouse is the
14
15 same that it is on that recap sheet, and then they break the seals to open the machines.
16
17 Q. Are you aware of any instance where a seal
18 was broken or tampered with while at a polling location?
19
20 A. No.
Q. Now, once the election is over and the
21
22 machines are returned to the warehouse, is there a seal on it when it's originally returned to the
23
24 warehouse?
25 A. Yes.

1 Q. And how long are you required to maintain
2 that seal?
A. 30 days.
3
4 Q. So if -- if a machine is sealed, is there
any way someone can access the door where the media
5
6 cards are located?
A. No.
7
8 Q. Okay, why is that?
9 A. Well, they would have to break the seal to
10 get in there, cut the cable, break the seal.
11 Q. Are you aware of that ever happening?
A. No. Also on the early voting machines,
12
13 there's a metal plate that is put over the -- the
doors on those. You'd have to crowbar those off, and
14
15 it would break the unit.
Q. Can you now tell the judge about the
16
17 security measures for the GEMS server?
18 A. That's password-protected. It's in the
same warehouse with the -- with the DREs, so there's
19
20 -- you have to have the access code to get in the
door. There's also an alarm, and there are cameras
21
22 in there.
MS. BURWELL: I have no further questions.
23
24 THE COURT: Yes, sir, Mr. Tyson. Mr. Lindsey?
25 MR. LINDSEY: I have some questions, Your Honor.

1 I think you've alluded to it, Mr. Barron, but perhaps
2 it would be a good idea to go ahead and explain.
3 Before I do that, my co-counsel has admonished
4 me for asking a woman her age, so to be fair, sure that
5 I'm not a sexist, what's your age?
6 THE WITNESS: 52.
7 MR. LINDSEY: All right. That's the last time I'm
8 going to ask a woman her age.
9 THE COURT: I think everybody in here's younger than
10 I am anyway, so don't worry about that. Go ahead.
11 MR. LINDSEY: Yes. All jokes aside, let's get to the
12 serious part.
13 BY MR. LINDSEY:
14 Q. You've alluded to it a couple times, but
15 let's tell the judge a little more specifically.
16 Prior to the election, for each machine, it is my
17 understanding that according to your testimony that
18 you conduct what is called a logic and accuracy
19 testing?
20 A. Yes.
21 Q. Explain to the judge exactly what that is?
22 A. We have a script that we -- we vote, and
23 then once you input those votes, then you run the
24 tape and make sure that -- that the results match the
25 script that was voted.

1 Q. Okay. And do you do that for each machine?
2 A. For each machine.
3 Q. Okay. And did all of the machines that
4 were used on November 6th, 2018 pass that test?
5 A. Yes.
6 MR. LINDSEY: No further questions.
7 THE COURT: Mr. --
8 MR. RUSSO: Briefly, I just -- only care about one
9 point.
10 BY MR. RUSSO:
11 Q. Mr. Barron, you indicated a script was run.
12 Does that -- does that require someone actually
13 pushing the screen and voting it, or is that a
14 software run on the computer?
15 A. No. We -- we actually input those manually
16 to make sure that all the positions are recording
17 votes.
18 MR. RUSSO: Thank you.
19 THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
20 BY MR. BROWN:
21 Q. Mr. Barron, you were asked about a law
22 relating to -- that required you to use the DRE
23 machines, right?
24 A. Um-hmm.
25 Q. And is it -- was it your understanding that

1 the law does require you to use the DRE machines?
2 A. Yes.
Q. And are you aware of -- of a court opinion
3
4 that says --
THE COURT: Okay. You -- you -- you -- that's what
5
6 we're having trouble with, and I can see movement over
here at this table. "Are you aware," you say that "are
7
8 you aware" as if it was a matter of fact. And it's fact
9 that's not in evidence, so rephrase the question.
10 BY MR. BROWN:
11 Q. Have you read the federal court decision,
Curling vs. Kemp?
12
13 A. No.
Q. Are you -- what is the statute that says --
14
15 do -- do you know what the statute is that says you
have to use DRE machines?
16
17 A. Not offhand.
18 Q. Are you -- are you -- do you know of any
statute that says that?
19
20 A. I could -- if I had an election code, I
could probably find it, but --
21
22 Q. And -- and you're -- what you're saying is
that the Georgia code says that it requires the use
23
24 of DREs?
25 A. Yes, and that was clarified by the

1 Secretary of State's office to all the counties
2 leading up to the fall election cycle.
Q. Did that opinion change after a ruling by
3
4 the federal court?
MR. LINDSEY: I'm going to objection to Mr. Brown.
5
6 He is an election official. He's got some general
knowledge, perhaps, of the law --
7
8 THE COURT: Yeah, you're using -- see, you used the
9 word "code," at one point. I -- whether he knows the
10 difference between the code and the law, which are two
11 different things, I don't know, but you're still -- you're
still -- it's the way you're asking the questions, Mr.
12
13 Brown.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I -- the -- if I might just
14
15 say one thing.
THE COURT: What?
16
17 MR. BROWN: They asked him, "What is your
18 understanding of the law?"
THE COURT: But -- and you can ask him what -- you --
19
20 you can test that, but you can ask, "Are you aware that --
that rule ever changed?"
21
22 MR. BROWN: Are you aware --
THE COURT: That does the same thing. See where I'm
23
24 coming from?
25 MR. BROWN: Yes.

1 THE COURT: You -- you can get the information. It's
2 just the way you phrase the question that's objectionable.
BY MR. BROWN:
3
4 Q. Mr. Barron, are you aware if that rule was
ever changed or interpreted differently as not
5
6 requiring --
A. No.
7
8 Q. -- the use of DREs? Okay. Were you, in
9 your position as the director of elections for Fulton
10 County, were you briefed on court orders from the
11 federal court in the Curling case?
A. Yes.
12
13 Q. And did that briefing include telling you,
quote, "But OCGA 21-2-383(b) does not require the use
14
15 of DREs," as defendant claims it does?
MS. BURWELL: Objection, Your Honor. First and
16
17 foremost, it appears that he's attempting to ask about a
18 privileged communication.
THE COURT: It's not in -- it's -- it's -- I sustain
19
20 the objection.
BY MR. BROWN:
21
22 Q. Is it your working understanding, Mr.
Barron, that the Georgia Code requires the use of
23
24 DREs?
25 MR. TYSON: Yeah, objection, on behalf of

1 [inaudible].

2 THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MR. BROWN:
3

4 Q. Mr. Barron, you talked about secure facilities and about how to secure the facilities.
5

6 You mentioned the security with the GEMS server. Do you recall that?
7

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. And you weren't talking about the GEMS

10 database; you were talking about the actual GEMS

11 server; correct?
A. Correct.
12

13 Q. Okay. You -- you were describing how the machines are marked up, is that right? When they're
14

15 in -- after they're in use; correct?
A. At the poll, yes.
16

17 Q. And you testified about how the memory

18 cards are secured in a secure location; correct?
A. Yes.
19

20 Q. Those are the memory cards that have been used for years; correct?
21

22 A. Yes.
Q. You testified about the tickets that are
23

24 created as a result of complaints from -- well, let

25 me ask you this. The ticket system from your prior

1 testimony, could you describe that for us in greater
2 detail?
A. Well, a poller would call into our help
3
4 desk on Election Day, and -- and then a ticket is
created basically to -- if -- if we need to send a
5
6 technician to that area. We have roaming technicians
that oversee certain precincts, and then we can -- we
7
8 can dispatch somebody.
9 Q. And where are those tickets saved?
10 A. We have -- we just have a database that
11 keeps a log of our tickets.
Q. What is that database called?
12
13 A. Help desk. I don't think it has a name. I
mean, it's just a help -- it's just our own internal
14
15 help desk.
Q. Is that --is that a record that you make
16
17 available to the public?
18 A. It's -- I mean, I guess it would be
available if -- if somebody put in an open records
19
20 request, yes.
Q. And how many tickets were created for the
21
22 November '18 election?
A. I don't know.
23
24 Q. Scores, hundreds?
25 A. I don't -- I don't think it was that many,

1 but I don't know.

2 Q. And what about for the runoff?
A. I don't know.
3

4 MR. BROWN: Just one second. I'd like to take one minute.
5

6 THE COURT: Sure.
BY MR. BROWN:
7

8 Q. You testified that Fulton County has put

9 the machines, the DRE machines through logic and

10 accuracy tests?

11 A. Correct.
Q. You -- has Fulton County forensically
12

13 examined the machines?
A. No.
14

15 Q. And just for the record, has -- has Fulton County allowed plaintiffs access to the machines for
16

17 that testing?

18 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor. Here we go. Once again, plaintiff's counsel is trying to bring back up discovery
19

20 issues that have arisen in this case that have all been brought before the judge on multiple occasions.
21

22 Judge in this court has ruled after hearing plaintiff's counsel giving him a reasonable opportunity to
23

24 argue, and the Court has overruled those objections, so

25 this entire line of questioning is found to be

1 interrupting.

2 THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, this goes back to the statute
3

4 that I quoted in my opening statement --
THE COURT: Okay.
5

6 MR. BROWN: -- and that has to do with the --
THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
7

8 MR. BROWN: Thank you.

9 MS. BURWELL: If I could, Your Honor, just for one

10 second?

11 THE COURT: Mm-hmm.
BY MS. BURWELL:
12

13 Q. Mr. Barron, I'm going to show you
OCGA 21-2-300. Is that what you were referring to
14

15 earlier about being required to use the state-
mandated DRE machines?
16

17 A. You said 302? Or 300?

18 Q. 300.
MR. BROWN: Excuse me, excuse me, Your Honor. I've
19

20 just -- in the here --
THE COURT: Let me -- let me say this. You can all
21

22 read the law to me in closing.
MS. BURWELL: Okay. All right.
23

24 THE COURT: You don't -- don't -- don't make a lay

25 witness a lawyer.

1 MS. BURWELL: Okay.

2 THE COURT: I'm sure he doesn't want to be one.
BY MS. BURWELL:
3

4 Q. Last thing, Mr. Barron. In Fulton County
you didn't receive any complaints of someone saying
5

6 that a race, specifically the lieutenant governor
race didn't appear on their ballot?
7

8 A. I don't recall that, no.

9 MS. BURWELL: Thank you.

10 MR. LINDSEY: For a follow-up to that.

11 THE COURT: Right.
BY MR. LINDSEY:
12

13 Q. If there had been something as serious as
that, do you believe that you would have been alerted
14

15 to it?
A. Yes.
16

17 MR. BROWN: Objection, Your Honor. That calls for

18 speculation as to reading the minds of other people who
might --
19

20 MR. LINDSEY: He's -- he's ahead --
THE COURT: Sustained.
21

22 MR. LINDSEY: -- of the office now.
THE COURT: Sir, I understand. "Did you get one" is
23

24 enough?

25 BY MR. LINDSEY:

1 Q. Did you ever get one that day that a race
2 was not on, that particularly the lieutenant
governor's race was not on the ballot?
3
4 A. No.
MR. LINDSEY: Thank you.
5
6 MR. BROWN: No further questions, Mr. Barron.
THE COURT: Okay. You may go now. Thank you.
7
8 THE WITNESS: Thanks.
9 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, at this point we have no
10 further witnesses, but I would like to make one
11 evidentiary argument that may be well. And it is to renew
our request for the admission of the National Academy of
12
13 Sciences report. And let me just very briefly describe
the law on that.
14
15 The -- when it was tendered, I made the argument that
-- that the basis of it being admissible by experts is
16
17 prinicipally -- it's hearsay, but the -- but the law
18 requires is that --
And this is what the law says, and I'm quoting 24-7-
19
20 703, "Such facts or data that are otherwise inadmissible
shall not be disclosed to the jury by the proponent of the
21
22 opinion or inference unless the court determines that the
probative value in assisting the jury to evaluate the
23
24 expert's opinion substantially outweighs their prejudicial
25 effect."

1 And so I would ask, Your Honor, to -- to allow the
2 opinion of -- to allow the National Academy of Science's report, because their probative value greatly outweighs
3
4 the prejudicial effect, and I would also, at this point, note that the report is independently admissible under
5
6 OCGA 24-7-803 (8)(C), which is the public records and reports exception of hearsay.
7
8 And I would cite the case of Chrysler v. Walden, 339
9 Ga. App. In that case, the court allowed the firm
10 allowance of a report of the National Highway Traffic
11 Safety Administration opposite defects investigation about a recall of a particular defective product.
12
13 We think that case is right on point. We believe, Your Honor, that -- I understand that Your Honor has not
14
15 reviewed this evidence because it wasn't admitted in the evidence.
16
17 THE COURT: Well, I thought it was unfair to
18 everybody for me to start reading stuff people were sending me to read. There was going to be evidence when
19
20 there was no -- I didn't know whether it was admissible or not, so I didn't --
21
22 MR. BROWN: We would urge Your Honor to consider reading it and to conclude that, since a consensus report
23
24 from the National Academy of Science is the best that this
25 country has.

1 And it's right on point about securing elections,
2 which is exactly what this case is about, it would be helpful to the triers of fact to have the best evidence
3
4 that this country could ever have about the issue in this case and that is the security of this machine.
5
6 MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, I would just note that this is not -- does not appear to be a complete copy. It looks
7
8 like the -- the cover page says -- looks like the document
9 is supposed to be 180 pages. This appears to be maybe the
10 first 8 pages of that document, because it begins at page
11 8 in here, but it's not a complete --
You can see that the -- the last paragraph continues
12
13 beyond this page, so it is not -- it's not even a complete copy.
14
15 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, admittedly I'm having to deal with what's on the line. But according to the
16
17 National Academy of Sciences on the webpage, the National
18 Academy of Sciences is not a public entity, not a governmental entity.
19
20 It is a private, nonprofit organization, therefore doesn't fit within the exception that gentleman has -- has
21
22 alluded to. The expert has already stated that he reviewed it, allowed it when he was giving his opinion.
23
24 It goes back once again to hearsay.
25 It's also irrelevant, Your Honor, because the

1 question here is whether or not the system that Georgia
2 has is the most secure possible, but whether or not in this particular case a breach actually occurred, and to
3
4 the extent that the results of the election weren't valid. That's the question, and --
5
6 THE COURT: Mr. Tyson? Sorry.
MR. BROWN: -- the NAS report, that's something
7
8 that's better argued downtown under the goal of the
9 academy.
10 MR. TYSON: And, Your Honor, we do agree with the
11 prior statements, and just would add that, to my knowledge, that there is nothing specific about the
12
13 Diebold Georgia voting machines in this report. It's about DREs generally.
14
15 As Mr. Lindsey said, the expert has already relied upon this in testifying yesterday, and unless there is
16
17 something specific about this election, I don't see that
18 there's any relevance for the Court to consider to admit it in evidence.
19
20 THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
MR. BROWN: First, Your Honor, just for the record,
21
22 as Your Honor is aware from the evidence, the -- the evidence is entirely, entirely contrary to what counsel
23
24 says.
25 THE COURT: No, it's not. You see, you -- and I

1 respect you, because you're an advocate. And you
2 absolutely believe your side of the case, and a lawyer is
supposed to do that. But that doesn't make it all right.
3
4 That's your view of it.
It's not -- yeah, I have to look at both sides. So
5
6 -- so that's really got nothing to do with it. I've got
-- let me say -- say what I -- go ahead and finish
7
8 arguing, because I very much know what I'm going to do on
9 it.
10 MR. BROWN: The evidence as we perceive it to be,
11 Your Honor, is -- and we're just simply not aware of the
contrary evidence, is that the defects are national, and
12
13 there's no difference, material difference between it in
Georgia, and we're not aware of any material testimony.
14
15 Therefore the unanswered court decision, I would instruct
it to leave it up to Your Honor [inaudible].
16
17 THE COURT: Okay. I -- I find it's not admissible.
18 It doesn't come in under public record, because it's not
public, okay? Number two, it was something that he relied
19
20 on in giving his opinion, and I've had his opinion. His
opinion is these -- if you listen to him, these pretty
21
22 much useless machines. I understand all that, and I'm not
going to read something that's hearsay.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
25 THE COURT: Okay? I mean, I understood what he was

1 saying.

2 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. At this time, we would also like to renew our demand for a jury. And the

3

4 reason why I'm renewing the demand, Your Honor, is that there is -- there is case law that may not be the best law

5

6 around, but it suggests that if you don't continue the demand --

7

8 THE COURT: I -- so we already in this hearing,

9 already in this trial, I have ruled. I'm one of these

10 judges, I'm afraid, who rules, you know. I make pretty

11 clear rulings, as we pointed out yesterday. I'm not an English major, so my stuff comes out ching-ching-ching.

12

13 I've ruled. Don't run in the face of it, okay?
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

14

15 THE COURT: Thank you. Do you rest?
MR. BROWN: We do.

16

17 THE COURT: Okay. Let me do this. Let me take ten

18 minutes, and then we'll hear from the other side.
MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.

19

20 MR. BROWN: Thank you.

21

22 [Off the record at 10:16 a.m., and back on the record at 10:21 a.m.]

23

24

25 BALIFF: Please take a seat. Court comes to order.

1 MALE: Oh, sorry.

2 THE COURT: Okay. Which defendant is to go first? Oh, you have a motion?

3

4 MS. BURWELL: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay.

5

6 MS. BURWELL: On behalf of Fulton County, Your Honor, I will move for an involuntary dismissal pursuant to 911

7

8 41(b) on the grounds that this court as the trier of fact

9 is allowed to adjudicate a motion to dismiss at the close

10 of the plaintiff's case.

11 And that is because the court is the finder of fact as well as the determiner of the law and the cases are

12

13 clear and I'd cite the court to Chalk v. Poletto 346 Ga. App 491 which is a 2018 case as well as Smith v. Georgia

14

15 Kaolin Company, Inc., 269 Georgia 475 both of which provide that a dismissal in a non-jury matter under

16

17 91141(b) does not require the court to construe the

18 evidence most favorably for the nonliving plaintiff. And that again is because the court determines the facts as

19

20 well as the law.
And in the instant case, the petitioner's under have

21

22 filed an election contest under 2125-22, Sections 1 and 3; and Section 1 requires the plaintiffs to have shown

23

24 misconduct, fraud, or irregularity in the election, by an

25 election official sufficient to change, replace, and doubt

1 the result.

2 Instead what these petitioners are alleging, is that just the DRE machines themselves, nothing that an election

3

4 official did, but just the machines themselves are -- they don't -- they don't care for the machines and think that

5

6 they're vulnerable.
Under section 2125-22(3), they had to show that

7

8 illegal votes were received or illegal votes rejected,

9 sufficient to change or place in doubt the results. And

10 there's been no evidence at all that there have been the

11 rejection, the receipt of illegal votes, or the rejection of votes at the polls sufficient to change or place in the

12

13 result. They brought in one woman who said she didn't see it, but then she was able to vote for that, which was Ms.

14

15 Thomas -- I think her name was -- this morning.
And the only other information was information from a

16

17 poll worker who said she got second hand information that

18 someone wasn't able to vote, but that is one vote at issue and there were over a 100,000 vote margin between the two

19

20 candidates.
So there is not sufficient information back. There

21

22 was no information in the record which would allow this court to find that there were legal votes rejected,

23

24 sufficient to change or place in doubt the result.

25 There's been no evidence of impropriety that led to this

1 under-vote. There's no evidence of any sort of tampering
2 that led to this under-vote.
3 And instead what they have done is they have said
4 there's a purely mathematical computation and they believe that a four percent under-vote for the Lieutenant
5 Governor's race is inappropriate.
6 And there is no evidence in the record about how or
7 why individuals choose not to vote for Lieutenant
8 Governor's race. But the one thing we do know is that
9 even based on the information they provided in every
10 single election, there are under-votes. Every single election.
11 The only difference here is that they complain that they believe that the amount of four percent is too large.
12 But again, there was no tie in between why there's an under-vote and any sort of impropriety on behalf of an
13 election official or any legal votes that have been
14 rejected. Thank you.
15 MR. TYSON: Your Honor -- Your Honor? Yeah. Your
16 Honor, just to briefly Gwinnett County Board of Registrations in elections joins in Fulton County's motion
17 requesting that this be treated as an adjudication on the merits which is allowed under 41(b) just to echo what Ms.
18 Burwell has raised the evidence before the court for the
19 reports that is that the Lieutenant Governor's race

1 appeared on every ballot in the state according to the
2 base precinct report with races.
That the -- all the cards were counted according to
3
4 the TS report and that there are a number of possible
reasons as the various witnesses testified about why an
5
6 under-vote may occur. It have been ballot design with the
lack of the US Senate race, the lack of a third party,
7
8 there were a number of additional write-in candidates.
9 Any of those issues could go to why the under-votes are
10 there, including the most important one, voters chose not
11 to vote at the race as was their option.
There is no evidence in the record that all -- that
12
13 any of those have been disproven as a possible basis. And
as a result, Mr. Brown and the plaintiff's elections
14
15 contest and must fail the election is presumed valid and
there was no evidence of irregularities sufficient to
16
17 place the results in doubt.
18 To the contrary, there is evidence that the results
should be placed, should be given the presumption that
19
20 it's favored because of the evidence in the record. And
as Ms. Burwell indicated, there is no indication that the
21
22 number of illegal votes cast or legal votes rejected was
anywhere near the margin of victory in this case, 123,000
23
24 votes. So we fully join in Fulton's motion.
25 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, first off, on behalf of the

1 Lieutenant Governor Jeff Thompkin [ph] we will adopt the
2 argument raised by both attorneys for Fulton County and Gwinnett County. And simply once again, I want to read it
3
4 off again, into Hart v. Crawford, which I read at the beginning, 20 Ga. 7, 1998 decision which clearly lays our
5
6 the fact that [inaudible] with the extraordinary, drastic -- I believe the word used by the board is drastic. There
7
8 must be clear evidence of some kind of issue in terms of
9 either malicious or negligent kind of issue that created
10 the problem. The fact of the matter is --
11 THE COURT: Quick, which case are you referring to? Sorry to interrupt you.
12
13 MR. LINDSEY: Hart [ph].
THE COURT: Hart.
14
15 MR. LINDSEY: Versus Crawford, 270 Ga. 7, 1998.
THE COURT: I got it. Okay.
16
17 MR. LINDSEY: In regards to the evidence that you've
18 heard that the plaintiff's expert himself admitted that he was not familiar with the particularities of this race in
19
20 terms of what was going on in this race, but simply doing so by doing mathematical formulations. He admitted that
21
22 he has no evidence of any kind of malware or malicious conduct by any individual, would have led to the under
23
24 voting that they have cited from the testimony of the
25 plaintiff's own witnesses.

1 That was never rebutted by any other witness of the
2 plaintiff. The -- there -- there -- there are ample
reasons why there was an under-vote including the fact
3
4 that we had a high number of new voters, voter confusion
in terms of the -- as a result of the layout of the ballot
5
6 Governor, Lieutenant Governor. Given the history of
Governors that Lieutenant Governors of other states
7
8 running as a ticket. And that, that is a reasonable
9 reason for why there was an under-vote, in addition to
10 other evidence that was elicited from the plaintiff's on
11 their reports.
There's also testimony, I think this is extremely
12
13 important. a lot of plaintiff's expert testify as to what
might have, could have, should have happened. The
14
15 undisputed testimony from both the Secretary of State and
from the Fulton County election official from the
16
17 plaintiffs' themselves called on direct, testified as to
18 the safe guards that are taken both before an election and
on election day to ensure that the system is operating
19
20 correctly in terms of the voter being able to cast a vote
for the candidate of their choice and that vote being
21
22 accurately reported by the state; that the testimony has
not been contradicted by anyone in terms of the safeguards
23
24 that were put in place.
25 Nor has -- while the plaintiff's expert talked about

1 systems that are on the web, we have undisputed testimony
2 that the system that deals with the actual recording -- accurate recording of a voters vote all the way up to the
3
4 counting of that vote is within a closed system that is not susceptible to the hacking at the plaintiff's expert
5
6 has outlined as part of their concerns. That testimony is uncontroverted.
7
8 So for those reasons as well as the reasons set forth
9 by my colleagues from Gwinnett and Fulton County, we
10 actually -- we also make this much at this time.
11 THE COURT: If -- I'm going to hear from Mr. Brown but before I do, do you have 911 with you?
12
13 MS. BURWELL: Okay.
THE COURT: Everybody -- everybody's only got the --
14
15 okay. I don't have a computer and I'm one of those -- I just have to go read it myself because I had forgotten
16
17 that you could do that. So if 911-41?
18 MS. BURWELL: Yes.
MR. LINDSEY: And Your Honor, since you don't have a
19
20 computer, would you like the Hart decision that I --
THE COURT: I've got it.
21
22 MR. LINDSEY: Okay.
THE COURT: I did some work.
23
24 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
25 THE COURT: I won't say how much. 911? Let me look

1 at -- here it is. Oh, I got one of these somewhere, let
2 me see 41. Let me see. Let me see. What subsection?
MS. BURWELL: Forty-one (b).
3
4 THE COURT: B. Okay. Go ahead, Mr. Brown.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the evidence established
5
6 first a national consensus on the [inaudible] are highly
vulnerable and shouldn't be used. This -- there was no
7
8 contrary evidence as to national consensus, either by
9 governmental agencies or assigned this.
10 So the background is undisputed, and that is that
11 these machines for vulnerable to attack and that they
should not be used. Second, there was a lot of evidence
12
13 about whether Georgia's particular DREs are worse than the
national decrepitude because of the way George has not
14
15 maintained these machines over the last couple of years.
Our position is that Georgia has not done set on --
16
17 that these machines are worse even than the national
18 average, which worse than general and in general -- even
if it were as good as general, they should not be used.
19
20 We believe Georgia's are much worse than even the bad ones
in other states that should not be used. And we had
21
22 substantial evidence that is the case. At all -- since --
since they're defective anyway, I don't want to spend too
23
24 much time on the evidence that they're very, very
25 effective.

1 But these are -- and I want to go through the
2 evidence very quickly. The -- Michael Barnes testified yesterday in response to my questions about the compromise
3
4 of the election server, that they had replaced one partial -- they replaced one piece of their system we established
5
6 today from Mr. Barren that the other components were not replaced and they were not disaffected. And so we believe
7
8 that Georgia's system is much more vulnerable than the
9 systems described by the national campus [ph] -- described
10 by our expert, recounted by our expert.
11 That the unanimous opinion of defense agencies, the scholars and the scientists, that they should not be used
12
13 and that Georgia's is even more vulnerable. And that is important because they're vulnerable that it naturally
14
15 increases the chances of what we're alleging in this election actually happened.
16
17 And then that was also the opinion of our expert or
18 at least un-rebutted yet. The other evidence that we have is the evidence that the results in this case, if you
19
20 compare the electronics and the paper ballot suggest that there's something about the electronic that was causing
21
22 the different vote. If Your Honor -- as the defendants' positions' has evolved, that seems to be where they're
23
24 headed also.
25 There is as -- as the evidence has shown, there is a

1 microscopic chance that the results on paper are different
2 than the results on the voting machines because of voter choice or chance. Instead, two different scenarios have
3
4 been presented to you. One is that it is caused by malware or misprogramming or it's a sham [ph].
5
6 The other, and that evidence was presented by our expert, it was informed by the national consensus that
7
8 something like that was likely and that the particular
9 results and evidence about voters experiences were
10 telltale signs that there is a system problem within --
11 that the -- with the computers that was causing this to happen.
12
13 What is the other evidence? The way that -- that -- that we view the case, Your Honor, is that for this
14
15 freakish result to happen and I believe the evidence is one in 10,000, for that to happen, on the one hand, it
16
17 could have been this thoroughly discredited, highly
18 vulnerable election system did not function properly. That's one option.
19
20 The other option is the one presented -- suggested by Mr. Lindsey in his questions of Mr. Barnes yesterday and
21
22 that is the -- that the voters thought that there was a tick. That the -- that for some reason they looked at the
23
24 screen and they thought, oh, we only need to vote for the
25 Republican ticket and the Democratic ticket.

1 There is, apart from the question by Mr. Lindsey and
2 the answer by Mr. Barnes, there's no evidence supporting that speculation. Your Honor remarked that we're more
3
4 concerned with why people did this. There -- the -- and -- and that was the basis -- one of the basis for not
5
6 allowing some of our testimony.
The -- the here, there is no testimony that any voter
7
8 did not vote for the Lieutenant Governor ticket because
9 they thought it was -- the Lieutenant Governor because
10 they thought it was the ticket. Instead -- and they
11 didn't even have an expert -- they don't even have an expert. They don't even try to get an expert.
12
13 THE COURT: Well, they haven't got that far yet. But the issue -- the issue as we're all --
14
15 MR. BROWN: We're all. So they have -- just the nature of, well, we can throw this idea out. Maybe this
16
17 is an explanation for it. We don't have any evidence.
18 It's not particularly plausible that a voter would do that particularly as many times and it's not based upon any
19
20 evidence whatsoever.
It really is speculation. But the defendants rule
21
22 that the defendants would have Your Honor adopt, is that if you challenge the result of a statewide election in
23
24 Georgia that it's done on electronic machine, is that you
25 have to find some bad code. That is what they would have

1 Your Honor rule. We got to find the code. There's no bad
2 code, there's no misprogramming, then the plaintiff will
lose. But we're not going to let you find that bad code
3
4 ever.
And so the rule that the defendants want, the
5
6 Secretary of State wants would insulate decisions in
Georgia -- would insulate elections on electronic
7
8 equipment in Georgia from any judicial review because
9 you've got approved bad code, but you're not going to be
10 able to look at it to find it.
11 And we believe that the combination of that rule and
the inability to discover it is inconsistent with the
12
13 statute, which was written by the legislature to allow
petitioners to show that -- to allow that them to show,
14
15 and they have the court decide that at the election, so
the fact that you can -- we believe a better reading of
16
17 the law is that once the petitioner has made a prima facie
18 showing of the likelihood that the machines caused the
difference, and here there's -- we have proven that Your
19
20 Honor, we believe to a very high degree.
There -- the evidence is that there's a 99.95 percent
21
22 chance that there was something about the machines that
caused the election results and we believe that in that
23
24 event, that we have survived and that we -- that the
25 election should be overturned [inaudible, paper shuffling]

1 then in additional, that Your Honor exercise your
2 discretion to call another hearing for the code to be -- after the code be evaluated.
3
4 THE COURT: Anything -- any -- any response to that?
MR. LINDSEY: Yes. Very briefly, Your Honor. The
5
6 fact of the matter is that tests were conducted, this is uncontroversial. As a matter of fact, the bi-witnesses
7
8 that the plaintiff chose to put on this stand, tests were
9 conducted that would have revealed if malware was in
10 place.
11 Tests were conducted prior to the election. Most importantly, tests were conducted on the day of the
12
13 election to see whether or not there was any kind of systemic problem with this -- with the voting system.
14
15 And that was great details as to what that costed, in which they had cameras on one individual actually live,
16
17 making sure -- making choices and then they had a parallel
18 system and then seeing whether or not there was any kind of deviation. That testimony is uncontroverted that these
19
20 tests were done and the testimony is also uncontroverted that if a malware had existed it would have come out at
21
22 that point.
It was also uncontroverted testimony today regarding
23
24 the specific inspections that are done on each machine
25 prior to the election to make sure that the machines are

1 operating correctly and that if a voter cast his vote for
2 individual A, it was going to be recorded as A. That
testimony is uncontroverted. So we actually do have, here
3
4 in Georgia, tests to make sure that when Edward Lindsey
cast a vote for Mr. Brown, that that's going to be
5
6 properly recorded by the Secretary of State, certified
correctly.
7
8 There is no controversy to that. There's no --
9 there's no evidence to the contrary that these tests took
10 place or what the results were. And as to the other
11 matters regarding if there was no malware, what was the
cause, we have presented -- by the plaintiff through the -
12
13 - with their own expert, with their own witnesses on cross
examination have admitted that there were plausible other
14
15 explanations. For that reason, Your Honor -- we believe
that this motion be passed.
16
17 THE COURT: Any -- anything else?
18 MR. TYSON: Yeah. Can I just briefly, again, for
what Mr. Brown explained, there's no prima facie showing
19
20 here at all as far as that all we can say is that they
might be vulnerable, they might be -- there might be a
21
22 problem, there might be an issue. Georgia Supreme Court
is clear in Middleton v. Smith, 273 GA. 202 in 2000 which
23
24 you cannot overturn an election on the basis of mere
25 speculation. And that's what we have here. We have no

1 evidence of additional [ph] votes. We have no evidence of
2 an irregularity happening.
3 Mr. Brown has also conveniently chosen to ignore the
4 fact that the ballot design that in 2018 you did not have a U.S. Senate race on the elect- -- on the ballot and
5 that's a deviation from a number of our past Governor's elections.
7
8 And so when a voter was presented with that
9 electronic machine, they saw the first two races instead
10 of being U.S. Senate and Governor, they were Governor and
11 Lieutenant Governor, which was a change from past years, which is also a very reasonable explanation for the
12 potential country vote.
13 So at the end of the day, the plaintiffs are left
14 with, we think maybe something might have happened and the Georgia Supreme Court is clear that mere speculation
16 cannot be the basis for overturning an election.
17
18 THE COURT: Anything further from you?
19 MS. BURWELL: Nothing further.
20 THE COURT: Okay. I had -- I guess I'm used to trying stuff to jury. I hadn't realized that I was in
21 this position.
22 And 91141(b) says, after the plaintiff in an action
23 tried by the court without a jury has completed the
24 presentation of his evidence, the defendant without
25

1 waiving his right to offer evidence in the event the
2 motion is not granted, may move for dismissal on the grounds at upon the facts and the law, the plaintiff has
3
4 shown note right to relief.
Taking everything the plaintiffs have said, your
5
6 attack is on the whole system and I respect that. And there is authority that says that scientific authority
7
8 that says that DRE -- DRE system is terrible and all those
9 kinds of things. I'm very aware of that.
10 But what I'm here on is one race. Just one race.
11 You haven't sued the state to get rid of the system; okay? That's one thing. I wouldn't be in this position if
12
13 that's where we were, but we're not, we're looking at one race.
14
15 And you brought it on 212522 one and three. There was absolutely nothing to support one. And then let me
16
17 look at three. There was no illegal votes received.
18 There's no legal votes rejected and in this race in the evidence. Now, I understand you've got the under-vote
19
20 issue. I'll get to that.
The law is very clear that it's presumed there is a
21
22 legal presumption that the election returns of ballot; okay? There's the presumption in ballot. The plaintiff
23
24 has the burden of showing an irregularity or illegality
25 sufficient to change all place in doubt the result of

1 election; okay? The result of this particular election,
2 not all of them, not the DRE, not any of that. One -- the one thing. It's a narrow issue.
3
4 It says the setting aside of an election, I'm reading the law, of an election in which the people have chosen
5
6 their representative is a drastic remedy that should not be undertaken lightly, but instead should be reserved for
7
8 cases in which a person challenging an election has
9 clearly established a violation of election's procedures
10 and has demonstrated the violation has placed the result
11 of the election in doubt. There is no -- the only thing you've got is the under -- is the under-vote and the --
12
13 144 votes in Winterville; okay?
If the numbers should have been equal and there is no
14
15 evidence to show of the under-vote, but let's assume somehow that was an error and there should have been 31
16
17 more thousand votes.
18 And let's assume that the one precinct in Winterville was 144 votes, should all have been given to Ms. Amico
19
20 and we'll take the 31,000 as all being given to Ms. Amico. We're still nowhere near -- the numbers do not --
21
22 there is -- it's not even close.
There is absolutely no evidence of the number of
23
24 votes sufficient to overcome. And there is no evidence
25 that this system didn't work during this election.

1 So on that, I'm going to grant the motion to dismiss.

2 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, with respect, the evidence with the --

3

4 THE COURT: Sir, I've ruled. This is one thing you haven't handled well with me because I rule. And now some

5

6 judges, you can keep talking and they change their mind. Old Judge Raven [ph] was that way. As long as you kept

7

8 talking, he would eventually change his mind. I don't do

9 that; okay?

10 MR. BROWN: [inaudible]

11 THE COURT: So let's not. I've ruled, I'm done. I've just got to clean my desk a minute.

12

13 MR. BROWN: We --
THE COURT: This is your book.

14

15 MR. BROWN: Would you like an discussion about the number you cited?

16

17 THE COURT: No, sir.

18 MR. BROWN: Okay.
THE COURT: Nothing.

19

20 MR. TYSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Thank you.

21

22 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor, thank you for your time.

23

24 THE COURT: It's going to take a minute, I have a

25 briefcase; you all just go. I've got to go way back in

1 the other building.

2 MR. LINDSEY: I -- you have a briefcase Your Honor --

3

4 [Whereupon, the hearing was concluded at 10:49 a.m.]

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 CERTIFICATE

2
STATE OF GEORGIA]
3

4] SS.
COUNTY OF DOUGLAS]
5

6
I, PRISCILLA GARCIA, A COURT REPORTER IN THE STATE OF
7

8 GEORGIA, DO HEREBY STATE THAT THE FOREGOING IS A TRUE AND

9 ACCURATE TRANSCRIPT AS TAKEN DOWN BY ME AT THE TIME,

10 PLACE, AND THE DATE HEREINBEFORE SET FORTH.

11 I DO FURTHER STATE THAT I AM NEITHER A RELATIVE NOR EMPLOYEE NOR ATTORNEY NOR COUNSEL OF ANY OF THE PARTIES TO
12

13 THIS ACTION, AND THAT I AM NEITHER A RELATIVE NOR EMPLOYEE OF SUCH ATTORNEY OR COUNSEL, AND THAT I AM NOT FINANCIALLY
14

15 INTERESTED IN THIS ACTION.
WITNESS MY HAND IN THE CITY OF DOUGLASVILLE, COUNTY
16

17 OF DOUGLAS, STATE OF GEORGIA, ON THIS 28TH DAY OF JANUARY

18 2019. BY: Priscilla Garcia
19

20 PRISCILLA GARCIA, COURT REPORTER
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF GEORGIA
21

22 COMMISSION NO.: W-00379933
COMMISSION EXPIRES: 08/14/2022
23

24 CERTIFICATION NO.: 5503-2677-8304-9216

25 LICENSE EXPIRES: 04/01/2019

1
2
3 I, Chris Naaden, a transcriber, hereby declare
4 under penalty of perjury that to the best of my
5 ability the above 82 pages contain a full, true and
6 correct transcription of the tape-recording that I
7 received regarding the event listed on the caption on
8 page 1.
9
10 I further declare that I have no interest in the
11 event of the action.
12
13 January 28, 2019
14
15
16 Chris Naaden
17
18
19
20 (Transcript of Trial Proceedings)
21
22
23
24
25

(

(8)(C) 59:6
(b) 71:2

-

--is 54:15

1

1 64:22
10,000 73:15
100,000 65:18
10:16 63:22
10:21 63:22
10:49 82:4
115 39:13 40:4
123,000 67:22
144 80:13, 18
18 7:4 19:8 54:22
180 60:9
19 44:13
1998 68:4, 15
1999 34:1,4

2

20 10:11 36:10 68:4
2000 77:22
2003 34:4
2004 34:6
2005 34:6
2006 34:6
2007 34:9
2013 34:9, 11
2016 20:2, 24 21:2, 11,22 22:6,17
2017 20:24
2018 7:4 10:11 21:24,25 23:11 25:20 31:17 49:4 64:13 78:4
202 77:22
21-2-300 56:13
21-2-383(b) 52:13
2125-22 64:22
2125-22(3) 65:6
212522 79:15
24-7- 58:18
24-7-803 59:6
269 64:15
270 68:15
273 77:22

3

3 64:22
3,108 32:22
30 36:11 47:2
300 56:17, 18
302 56:17
31 80:15
31,000 80:20
339 59:8
346 64:13
370-plus 40:4

4

41 71:2
41(b) 64:8 66:22
475 64:15
491 64:13

5

52 48:6

6

62 15:6
6th 37:24 38:17 49:4

7

7 68:4,15
70 36:9
703 58:20

8

8 60:10,11
80 36:9

9

911 64:6 70:11,25
911-41 70:17
91141(b) 64:17 78:22
99.95 75:20
9:04 7:4

A

a.m. 7:4 63:22 82:4
absolutely 62:2 79:15 80:22
academy 58:11 59:2,24 60:17,18 61:9
access 28:11 29:4 30:10,13, 17,25 47:4,20 55:15
accessed 44:1
accessible 28:15
account 39:20
accuracy 26:10,15 44:17 48:18 55:10
accurate 70:2
accurately 69:22
action 78:22
active-duty 45:18
activities 34:13 35:6,15
actual 45:2, 4,17 53:10 70:2
add 61:11
addition 35:1 69:9
additional 67:8 76:1 78:1
address 8:22 30:8
adjudicate 64:9
adjudication 66:22
administering 19:1
Administration 59:11
admissible 58:15 59:4,20 62:17
admission 58:11
admit 61:18
admitted 59:15 68:18,20 77:13
admittedly 60:15
admonished 48:2
adopt 68:1 74:22
adverse 20:18
advocate 28:6 62:1
afraid 63:10
age 48:4,8
agencies 71:9 72:11
agree 61:10
ahead 13:22 14:2 21:6 33:8 35:13 48:2,10 57:20 62:6 71:4
AJC 32:4,6
alarm 47:20
alarmed 45:9
alerted 57:13
alleging 65:2 72:15
allowance 59:10
allowed 55:15 59:9 60:22 64:9 66:22
allowing 74:6
alluded 48:1,13 60:22
alter 26:20
altered 27:22
amazed 17:2
AME 23:22 24:8,9,20
amendments 11:20
Amico 11:15 15:17 80:18,20
Amico's 11:18 14:13
amount 66:13
ample 69:2
Angeles 10:4 11:4
App 59:9 64:13
appeared 67:1
appears 41:10

52:17 60:9

applications 39:6

apply 8:17

approved 75:9

area 31:24 33:13 54:6

argue 55:24

argued 61:8

arguing 62:8

argument 58:11,15 68:2

arisen 55:20

assigned 40:9,18 71:9

assisting 58:22

assume 80:15,18

assumes 21:6

assuming 16:10 21:4

attack 71:11 79:6

attacks 37:11

attempting 52:17

attorney 10:1

attorneys 68:2

August 38:18

Austin 34:4

authority 35:18 79:6

average 71:18

aware 21:18 24:6,10,22 27:20 28:1 31:15 32:2,11,18 36:15,20 37:6,10 46:17 47:11 50:2,6,8 51:20,22 52:4 61:22 62:11,13 79:9

B

back 11:25 12:1,24 15:13 17:15 22:15 41:13 42:2,9 55:18 56:2 60:24 63:22 65:20 81:25

background 34:2 71:10

bad 71:20 74:25 75:1,2,9

bailiffs 8:2

BALIFF 63:25

ballot 10:18,20, 25 11:15, 22,25 12:2,10 14:10 16:6 28:15 30:8,10, 15,22,25 38:20,24 39:11,13 40:2,6,13, 25 41:6,9 42:2,6,15 57:6 58:2 67:1,6 69:4 72:20 78:4 79:22

ballots 30:11,13 39:24

Barnes 72:2 73:20 74:2

Barren 72:6

Barron 17:20 18:6,11,15 27:11 29:18 33:22,24 48:1 49:11,20 52:4,22 53:4 56:13 57:4 58:6

Barron's 20:6

base 67:2

based 30:6 39:15 66:10 74:18

basic 26:11

basically 34:24 54:4

basis 58:15 67:13 74:4 77:24 78:17

began 38:18

beginning 11:25 12:1 27:2 68:4

begins 60:10

behalf 52:25 64:6 66:15 67:25

Bernhard 28:4

bi-witnesses 76:6
biggest 29:20,22
bit 22:15 34:2
board 16:4 34:13,24 35:17,18, 20 66:20 68:6
boards 35:18
book 34:20, 22 36:20, 22 81:13
book's 30:18
books 29:4
booth 28:18
boundaries 39:18
box 8:2,4 11:11
Boy 8:4
breach 61:2
break 46:15 47:9,10,15
briefcase 81:25 82:2
briefed 52:10
briefing 52:13
briefly 16:2 49:8 58:13 66:20 76:4 77:18
bring 55:18
broken 44:11,24 45:1 46:18
brought 55:20 65:13 79:15
Brown 7:18, 22 8:2,11, 13,15,20, 24 9:2,6, 22,25 10:2 13:2 14:6, 8,20 17:11,15, 18,20,24 18:13 20:17,20, 22 21:4,15 24:17 27:17 28:9,10 29:8,18 31:20 32:2,24 34:8 35:8 42:22 49:18,20 50:10 51:4,13, 17,22,25 52:2,20 53:2 55:4, 6 56:2,6, 8,18 57:17 58:6,9 59:22 61:6,20 62:10,24 63:2,13, 15,20 67:13 70:11 71:4 74:15 77:4,18 78:2 81:2, 10,13,15, 18,22
Bruce 9:25
Bryan 16:2
build 38:22
building 82:1
burden 79:24
Burwell 21:2,6 24:13 29:6,9 33:2,6,9, 11,15,18, 22 35:10, 13 47:22 52:15 56:9,11,22 57:1,2,9 60:6 64:4, 6 66:24 67:20 70:13,18 71:2 78:18
button 41:11

C

cable 45:25 47:10
calibrate 26:11
call 8:25 17:20 19:22 43:13 54:2 76:2
called 9:15 18:8 20:18,20 29:20,22 48:18 54:11 69:17
calls 57:17
cameras 45:10 47:20 76:15
campus 72:9
cancel 40:22,24 41:11,18 42:1,2
canceled 41:11

cancelled 42:18

candidate 11:9 69:20

candidates 38:22 65:20 67:8

capability 27:11

card 10:20 21:25 26:4,18,20 28:11 29:4 30:10,13, 22 31:10, 11 41:15 42:11

cards 21:20 22:2,9,15 23:2 30:17 31:13 44:1,4 45:4 47:6 53:18,20 67:2

care 19:2 49:8 65:4

case 10:1 24:11 52:11 55:20 59:8,9,13 60:2,4 61:2 62:2 63:4 64:10,13, 20 67:22 68:11 71:22 72:18 73:13

cases 64:11 80:8

cast 12:4, 10 42:15, 18 67:22 69:20 77:1,4

category 11:10 17:4

caused 73:4 75:18,22

causing 72:20 73:11

certified 77:6

chain-of-custody 45:11

Chalk 64:13

challenge 74:22

challenging 80:8

chance 73:1, 2 75:22

chances 72:15

change 51:2 64:25 65:9,11,24 78:11 79:25 81:6,8

changed 51:20 52:4

charge 19:1

Chattahoochee 40:13

checks 28:13

ching-ching-ching 63:11

chip 28:13

choice 36:4 69:20 73:2

choices 76:17

choose 66:8

chose 67:10 76:8

chosen 78:2 80:4

Chrysler 59:8

church 23:24 24:20 42:24

circumstances 43:9

cite 59:8 64:13

cited 68:24 81:15

city 39:18

Civic 34:6

claims 52:15

clarified 50:25

clean 81:11

clear 19:17 63:11 64:13 68:8 77:22 78:15 79:20

clerk 33:13

clerk's 33:13

close 64:9 80:22

closed 70:4

closer 33:17

closing 56:22

co-counsel 48:2

coalition 16:17

COBB 7:4

code 30:8, 10,22 34:20 35:22

47:20
50:20,22
51:9,10
52:22
74:25
75:1,2,9
76:2

coding 23:11
25:9,11

colleagues
70:9

combination
75:11

communication
52:18

Company
64:15

compare
72:20

complain
12:15
66:13

complained
14:4

complaint
24:22

complaints
53:24 57:4

complete
60:6,11,13

completed
78:24

component
37:1

components
72:6

compromise
20:11 72:2

compromised
20:2

computation
66:4

computer
27:20
33:17
49:13
70:15,20

computers
73:11

concern
32:13
43:17,25

concerned
74:4

concerns
43:20 70:6

conclude
59:22

concluded
82:4

conduct
48:18
68:22

conducted
76:6,9,11

confusion
69:4

connected
31:4,6
38:6,11

connection
34:13

consensus
59:22
71:6,8
73:6

construe
64:17

contact
43:11
44:25

contemporaneously 24:18

contest
64:22
67:15

continue
63:6

continues
60:11

contractors
19:10

contradicted
69:22

contrary
61:22
62:11
67:18 71:8
77:9

controversy
77:8

conveniently
78:2

copy 20:2
60:6,13

correct 12:9
14:18
15:11,13,
22 16:9
19:13,18,
20,22
21:25
22:4,6
23:2,22
24:1,2
26:11 29:2
30:18 31:2
37:2 38:15
39:10,11
40:15
42:13,20
43:2,4
53:11,15,
18,20
55:11

correctly
69:20
77:1,6

costed 76:15

counsel
55:18,22
61:22

counted 67:2

counties

22:15,20 23:6 51:1

counting 70:4

country 59:25 60:4 78:13

county 7:4 16:2 18:18,20 19:2,22 21:1 22:9 23:11,20, 22 25:20 30:4 31:9 32:22 34:4,8,10, 13 35:18, 24 36:2,8, 10,13,17 37:4,11,20 39:17 43:22 52:10 55:8,11,15 57:4 64:6 66:20 68:2 69:15 70:9

County's 20:22 21:2,20 66:20

couple 48:13 71:15

court 7:8, 11,15,22, 25 8:2,9, 11,13,15, 18,22 9:1 13:4,11, 15,18,20, 24 14:1 15:25 17:11,13, 15 20:9, 13,18 21:10 24:11,15 27:13 28:6 29:11 31:22 33:1,4,8, 10,11,15, 24 35:11, 24 36:11 47:24 48:9 49:6,18 50:2,4,11 51:4,8,15, 18,22 52:1,10, 11,18 53:2 55:6,22,24 56:2,4,6, 11,20,24 57:2,11, 20,22 58:6,22 59:9,17 61:6,18, 20,25 62:15,17, 25 63:8, 15,17,25 64:2,4,8, 11,13,17, 18 65:22 66:24 68:11,13, 15 70:11, 13,20,22, 25 71:4 74:13 75:15 76:4 77:17,22 78:15,18, 20,24 81:4,11, 13,17,18, 20,24

cover 60:8

Crawford 68:4,15

create 41:15

created 21:24 28:18 40:25 42:11 43:13 53:24 54:4,20 68:9

cross 20:18 77:13

CROSS-EXAMINATION 14:22 33:20

crowbar 47:13

Curling 50:11 52:11

cut 47:10

cycle 51:2

D

data 58:20

database 21:2 22:10 23:11,13 26:9 28:24 29:2 53:10 54:10,11

date 26:11

daughter 12:22 14:4 15:20 16:15

dawn 11:11

dawned 11:22

day 7:17 25:6,15 30:18 40:6,8,15 42:20,25 44:11,15 54:4 58:1 69:18 76:11 78:13

days 44:13 47:2
deal 60:15
dealing 19:4
deals 70:2
December 25:22,25 26:2 34:1
decide 75:15
decision 50:11 62:15 68:4 70:20
decisions 75:6
decrepitude 71:13
defective 59:11 71:22
defects 21:18 59:11 62:11
defendant 52:15 64:2 78:25
defendants 74:20,22 75:4
defendants' 72:22
defense 72:11
defined 29:10
degree 75:20
delivered 44:18 45:15,22
deliveries 45:20
demand 63:2, 4,6
Democrat 16:25
Democratic 73:25
demonstrated 80:10
depends 19:11
describe 16:22 26:6 54:1 58:13
describing 53:13
design 67:6 78:4
desk 43:11, 13 54:4, 13,15 81:11
detail 54:2
details 76:15
determine 23:11 24:25 27:8
determiner 64:11
determines 58:22 64:18
deviation 76:18 78:6
device 22:25
Diebold 61:13
differ 40:6
difference 51:10 62:13 66:13 75:18
differently 52:4
difficult 16:22
direct 9:18 13:11 18:11 20:13,20 69:17
director 18:20,24 43:20 52:9
disabled 41:1,2,8, 11,15 42:13
disaffected 72:6
disclosed 58:20
discover 75:11
discovery 55:18
discredited 73:17
discretion 76:2
discussion 81:15
disinfect 21:2,15 22:18,22
disinfected 21:22 22:15
dismiss 64:9 81:1
dismissal 64:6,15 79:2
dispatch 54:8
disproven 67:13
districts 39:15,17, 18,20

document 60:8,10

door 45:25 47:4,20

doors 47:13

doubt 64:25 65:9,24 67:17 79:25 80:11

downtown 61:8

drastic 68:6 80:6

DRE 25:20 28:15 30:25 36:6,13,17 37:15,17, 20 38:1,4, 10,11 42:22 43:22 45:2,4,22 49:22 50:1,15 55:9 56:15 65:2 79:8 80:2

DRE's 41:24

DRE-BASED 35:25

DRES 47:18 50:24 52:8,15,24 61:13 71:13

drive 10:8 17:15

duly 9:15 18:8

Duncan 11:15

duties 39:8

E

E-NET 29:25

earlier 56:15

early 19:11 30:11 38:4 39:4,22,24 40:1,11,20 44:6,13 47:11

echo 66:22

Edward 77:4

effect 27:22 58:25 59:4

effective 71:25

efforts 21:1

elect- 78:4

election 11:15 19:9,18 20:1 21:25 23:11 24:4,18 25:20,22 26:4,9,13, 18 27:22 28:25 30:18 31:17 34:13,20, 24 35:6, 15,17 37:24 38:2,17,18 39:10,15 40:6,8,15 44:15 46:20 48:15 50:20 51:2,6 54:4,22 61:4,17 64:22,24, 25 65:2 66:11,17 67:15 69:15,18 72:4,15 73:18 74:22 75:15,22, 25 76:11, 13,25 77:24 78:17 79:22 80:1,4,8, 11,25

election's 80:9

Electionet 29:25

elections 16:4 18:20,25 19:2 22:4, 6 33:25 34:2,4,15 35:18,20 38:22 43:20 52:9 60:1 66:20 67:13 75:6 78:6

electricity 38:9,13

electronic 10:15 25:13 28:18 72:20 74:24 75:6 78:9

electronics 72:20

elicited 69:10

eligible 28:25

email 14:6

employed 18:17

employees 19:6,10
enables 30:13
end 11:22 12:9 33:15 78:13
Enet 30:4 31:2,8,15 32:4
English 63:11
ensure 69:18
entire 55:25
entity 60:18
equal 80:13
equipment 27:6 36:2, 4 44:8 75:8
erase 27:1
error 80:15
errors 23:13 25:9,11,13
established 71:4 72:4 80:9
evaluate 58:22
evaluated 76:2
event 75:24 79:1
eventually 81:8
everybody's 70:13
everything's 8:6
evidence 21:8 50:9 59:15,18 60:2 61:18,22 62:10,11 64:18 65:10,25 66:1,6,24 67:11,15, 18,20 68:8,17,22 69:10 71:4,8,11, 22,24 72:2,18,25 73:6,9,13, 15 74:2, 17,20 75:20 77:9 78:1,25 79:1,18 80:15,22, 24 81:2
evidentiary 58:11
evolved 72:22
examination 9:18 18:11 25:18 27:8 77:13
examined 21:17 55:13
exception 59:6 60:20
excuse 13:6 56:18
excused 17:13
exercise 76:1
existed 76:20
experience 7:20
experienced 13:1
experiences 73:9
expert 8:18, 20 60:22 61:15 68:18 69:13,25 70:4 72:10,17 73:6 74:11 77:13
expert's 58:24
experts 58:15
explain 39:22 41:2 46:4 48:2, 20
explained 77:18
explanation 74:17 78:11
explanations 77:15
express 28:15,18, 20 29:1 30:18,22 31:1,11,13 41:1,4,13 42:2,9
expressed 28:4
expression 29:4
extent 61:4
external 37:11,18
extraordinary 68:6
extremely 69:11
eye 7:18

F

face 63:13

facie 75:17 77:18

facilities 53:4

fact 31:24 42:17 50:8 60:2 64:8, 11 68:6,10 69:2 75:15 76:6 78:4

facts 21:4,6 58:20 64:18 79:2

fail 67:15

fair 22:20 23:10 48:4

fall 51:2

false 31:25

familiar 11:22 68:18

favorably 64:18

favored 67:20

federal 50:11 51:4 52:11

filed 64:22

find 25:2 34:18 50:20 62:17 65:22 74:25 75:1,2,10

finder 64:11

fine 13:20

finish 13:8, 9,22 14:1 62:6

fire- 45:18

firemen 45:18,20

firm 59:9

first-hand 43:2

fit 60:20

flash 31:11 41:17

follow 32:6 34:15,18 35:2

follow-up 57:10

force 8:11

foremost 52:17

forensic 27:6

forensically 55:11

forgotten 70:15

forms 45:11

formulations 68:20

Forty-one 71:2

forward 12:8

found 25:4 55:25

foundation 20:6,11 21:9 27:11 31:18

fraud 64:24

freakish 73:15

FRIDAY 7:4

full 18:15

full-time 19:6

fully 67:24

Fulton 18:18 19:2 20:22 21:1,2,20 23:11,22 25:20 32:22 34:10,13 35:18,24 36:8,10, 13,17 37:4,11,20 43:22 52:9 55:8,11,15 57:4 64:6 66:20 68:2 69:15 70:9

Fulton's 67:24

function 73:18

G

Ga 59:9 64:13 68:4,15 77:22

gain 24:18

GEM 19:22 37:4,8

GEMS 20:2, 11,22 21:2 22:9 23:11,13 36:25 47:17 53:6,9,10

general 38:17 51:6 71:18

generally 61:13

gentleman 60:20

gentlemen 7:8

George 71:13

Georgetown 34:8

Georgia 7:4 10:6 11:8 13:22 15:2,8 34:20 36:2 50:22 52:22 61:1,13 62:13 64:13,15 71:15 74:24 75:6,8 77:4,22 78:15

Georgia's 71:13,20 72:8,13

get all 38:20,25 39:2,4

give 7:25

giving 55:22 60:22 62:20

goal 61:8

good 7:8,18 13:22 33:18 48:2 71:18

governmental 60:18 71:9

governor 57:6 68:1 69:6 74:8,9 78:10,11

governor's 10:22,24 11:6 16:11 58:2 66:6,9,25 78:6

Governors 69:6

grant 81:1

granted 79:2

great 76:15

greater 54:1

greatly 59:2

grounds 64:8 79:2

groups 16:17

guards 69:18

guess 54:18 78:20

guessing 16:24

Gwinnett 16:2 66:20 68:2 70:9

H

hacking 31:15 32:4 70:4

half 18:22

hand 9:2 65:17 73:15

handled 81:4

handles 46:1

happen 73:11,15

happened 12:25 24:20 25:1 42:22 43:2 69:13 72:15 78:15

happening 47:11 78:2

hard 27:2

Hart 68:4,13 70:20

Hartman 34:6

hate 15:4

head 21:6

headed 72:24

hear 63:18 70:11

heard 28:2,4 68:18

hearing 55:22 63:8 76:2 82:4

hearsay 58:17 59:6 60:24 62:22

helpful 60:2

high 10:13 69:4 75:20

highly 71:6 73:17

Highway 59:10

Hills 40:13

history 69:6

home 12:24 17:15

Honor 7:13,18 8:6,11,24 13:22 17:11,20 20:4,17 21:2,6 24:13 27:10 28:9 29:6 31:18 33:2 35:8,10 47:25 51:13 52:15 55:18 56:2,9,18 57:17 58:9 59:1,13,22 60:6,15,25 61:10,20,22 62:11,15,24

63:2,4,13, 18 64:6 66:18,20 67:25 70:18,24 71:4 72:22 73:13 74:2,22 75:1,20 76:1,4 77:15 81:2,20,22 82:2

hooked 37:15,17

House 39:18

hundreds 54:24

I

idea 48:2 74:15

identifies 46:10

ignore 78:2

illegal 65:8,11 67:22 79:17

illegality 79:24

immediately 25:22

important 67:10 69:13 72:13

importantly 76:11

improperly 44:1

impropriety 65:25 66:15

inability 75:11

inadmissible 58:20

inappropriate 66:6

inaudible 8:6,8 17:6 53:1 62:15 68:6 71:6 75:25 81:10

incidents 24:2

include 52:13

including 67:10 69:2

inconsistent 75:11

increases 19:11 72:15

Independent 16:25

independently 59:4

indication 67:20

individual 68:22 76:15 77:2

individuals 66:8

inference 58:22

infiltrated 36:17

inform 30:4

information 24:13 28:24 30:9,20,24 31:2,4,8 38:20 52:1 65:15,17, 20,22 66:10

informed 73:6

initially 11:17

input 48:22 49:15

inquire 31:25

insert 26:18

inserted 30:17

insertion 26:20

inspect 25:8

inspections 76:24

instance 42:1 46:17

instant 64:20

instruct 62:15

insulate 75:6

interested 16:18

interesting 8:4

internal 26:22 54:13

Internet 37:15

interpret 34:25

interpreted 52:4

interrupt 68:11

interrupting

56:1

investigate 32:9

investigating 32:11

investigation 24:25 32:18 59:11

involuntary 64:6

involve 26:15

involved 16:17 33:25

involving 32:4

irregularities 67:15

irregularity 64:24 78:2 79:24

irrelevant 60:25

issue 8:22 25:4 42:22 43:10 60:4 65:18 68:8,9 74:13 77:22 79:20 80:2

issues 16:18 29:15 36:13,20 37:4 55:20 67:9

J

January 7:4

Jeff 68:1

join 67:24

joins 66:20

jokes 48:11

judge 34:2 38:15 39:11,22 41:2 43:8 44:2 45:2 46:4 47:15 48:15,20 55:20,22 81:6

judges 63:10 81:6

judicial 75:8

jury 8:4 58:20,22 63:2 78:20,24

K

Kaolin 64:15

Kemp 50:11

key 45:13

keypad 45:8

kind 24:25 68:8,9,22 76:13,18

kinds 79:9

knowledge 20:6 22:18 23:6 43:2 51:6 61:11

L

lack 29:2 67:6

ladies 7:8

laid 20:10 21:9 27:11,13

language 29:15

large 11:6 17:6 45:8 66:13

late 7:9

law 8:15 34:15,18, 25 36:2 49:20 50:1 51:6,10,18 56:22 58:13,17, 18 63:4 64:11,20 75:17 79:2,20 80:4

lawsuit 24:15

lawyer 56:25 62:2

lay 56:24

layout 69:4

lays 68:4

leading 20:13 29:9 51:2

learned 24:15 27:6

leave 62:15

Leclerc 23:15

Leclerc's 43:4

led 65:25 66:2 68:22

left 12:22 78:13

legal 65:22 66:17 67:22 79:18,22

legislature 75:13

level 30:4

lieutenant 10:24

16:11 57:6 58:2 66:4, 8,25 68:1 69:6 74:8, 9 78:11

life 27:2

lightly 80:6

likelihood 75:18

Lindsey 7:11,13 8:6,10 13:6,10, 20,22 14:2,25 15:24 20:10 47:24,25 48:6,11,13 49:6 51:4 55:18 57:10,11, 20,22,25 58:4 60:15 61:15 63:18 67:25 68:13,15, 17 70:18, 22,24 73:20 74:1 76:4 77:4 82:2

listen 62:20

listened 43:4

Lithonia 10:6,8,13

live 10:6 26:10 31:6 40:11 76:15

load 26:9

loaded 28:24

local 38:20

located 47:6

location 46:18 53:18

locations 45:17

lock 45:13

log 54:11

logic 26:10, 13 48:18 55:9

long 18:22 33:24 47:1 81:6

looked 14:9, 11 16:6 73:22

Los 10:4 11:4

lose 75:2

lot 69:13 71:11

lots 39:6

M

machine 10:15,20 25:6,9 27:2 31:2 36:17 37:15,17 38:1,10,11 40:20 41:20 42:22 43:17 47:4 48:15 49:1,2 60:4 74:24 76:24 78:9

machines 25:20 26:17 27:22,24 32:22 36:6,13 37:11,20, 22 38:4,6 39:4 42:4 43:22 44:4,6,11 45:4,6,13, 15,22 46:11,15, 22 47:11 49:2,22 50:1,15 53:13 55:9,13,15 56:15 61:13 62:22 65:2,4 71:11,15, 17 73:2 75:18,22 76:25

made 24:22 27:9 46:6 58:15 75:17

maintain 47:1

maintained 30:2 71:15

major 63:11

make 17:4 19:17 22:8,20 26:11 29:2 30:15 31:22,25 35:1 38:20 39:2,4 46:2 48:24 49:15 54:15 56:24 58:10 62:2 63:10 70:10 76:25 77:4

makes 41:17

making 8:6 11:8 22:13

32:1 76:17
MALE 64:1
malfunctions 12:11
malicious 68:9,22
malware 21:17 22:24 68:22 73:4 76:9,20 77:11
mandated 56:15
manually 49:15
margin 65:18 67:22
marked 53:13
match 48:24
material 62:13
mathematical 66:4 68:20
matter 50:8 64:15 68:10 76:6
matters 77:11
means 39:13 41:4
meantime 43:15
measures 47:17
mechanical 25:13
media 19:4 47:4
memory 21:20,25 22:2,9,15 23:2 26:4, 22 27:9,24 31:11 43:25 44:4 53:17,20
mentioned 53:6
mere 77:24 78:15
merits 66:22
metal 46:8 47:13
mic 21:11
Michael 72:2
microscopic 73:1
Middleton 77:22
mind 81:6,8
minds 57:18
minute 7:9, 25 55:4 81:11,24
minutes 63:18
mis-program 22:24
misconduct 64:24
misprogramming 73:4 75:2
missed 16:13
Mm-hmm 56:11
mode 41:1, 6,8,13,15 42:11,13
modes 41:6
moment 32:24
morning 7:8, 18 10:9 12:22 14:6 21:11 29:17 33:4 65:15
motion 64:2, 9 66:20 67:24 77:15 79:2 81:1
move 7:10, 15 64:6 79:2
movement 50:6
multiple 55:20
municipalities 38:22

N

narrow 80:2
NAS 61:6
national 58:11 59:2,10,24 60:17 62:11 71:6,8,13, 17 72:9 73:6
naturally 72:13
nature 74:15
needed 21:9
negligent 68:9
night 14:4
non-jury 64:15
nonliving 64:18
nonprofit 60:20
noon 7:10
note 59:4 60:6 79:4
noticed 12:13,15

November 10:11 23:11 24:18 31:17 37:24 38:17 39:15 49:4 54:22

number 46:9 62:18 67:4,8,22 69:4 78:6 80:22 81:15

numbers 44:8,9,20, 22 80:13, 20

O

oath 9:17 18:9

object 20:4 21:2 27:10 31:18 35:8

objection 20:15 24:13 29:6 51:4 52:15,20, 25 56:6 57:17

objectionable 52:2

objections 55:24

observe 27:11

observed 43:6

occasions 55:20

occur 40:20 67:6

occurred 61:2

occurs 45:17

OCGA 52:13 56:13 59:6

offer 79:1

offhand 50:17

office 19:18 24:6,13,15 43:13 44:25 51:1 57:22

officers 45:18,20

official 51:6 64:25 65:4 66:17 69:15

open 46:15 54:18

opening 56:4

operating 69:18 77:1

operation 25:15

operator 41:13

opinion 27:22 28:2,4 50:2 51:2 58:22,24 59:2 60:22 62:20 72:11,17

opportunity 55:22

opposite 59:11

option 41:13 67:11 73:18,20

order 63:25

orders 52:10

organization 60:20

originally 46:22

originates 22:11

outlined 70:6

outweighs 58:24 59:2

overcome 80:24

overruled 55:24

oversee 35:6,15 54:6

overseeing 19:4 23:20 34:13

overturn 77:24

overturned 75:25

overturning 78:17

owns 36:6

P

packet 27:2

pages 10:25 60:9,10

paper 72:20 73:1 75:25

paragraph 60:11

parallel 76:17

part 28:22 36:1 48:11 70:6

part-time

19:9

partial 72:4

particularities 68:18

party 67:6

pass 49:4

passed 77:15

password-protected 47:18

past 78:6, 11

penalties 35:22

people 19:22 57:18 59:18 74:4 80:4

perceive 62:10

percent 36:9,11 66:4,13 75:20

person 11:11 12:20 14:4 16:20 80:8

personally 24:6 35:20

petitioner 75:17

petitioner's 64:20

petitioners 10:1 65:2 75:13

ph 68:1,13 72:9 73:4 78:1 81:6

phrase 31:22 52:2

phrased 35:11

piece 72:4

place 8:8 33:18 39:25 40:11,18 43:15 44:18 65:9,11,24 67:17 69:24 76:10 77:10 79:25

places 19:2 39:1

plaintiff 64:18 69:2 75:2 76:8 77:11 78:22 79:2,22

plaintiff's 55:18,22 64:10 67:13 68:18,25 69:10,13, 25 70:4

plaintiffs 17:20 55:15 64:22 78:13 79:4

plaintiffs' 69:17

plate 47:13

plausible 74:18 77:13

plenty 16:15

plugged 38:13

podium 33:10,11

podiums 33:13

point 11:13 26:6 49:9 51:9 58:9 59:4,13 60:1 76:22

pointed 63:11

Poletto 64:13

police 45:20

political 39:20

poll 12:17 19:2 23:17 25:4 28:13,15, 18,20 29:1,4 30:18,22 31:1 36:20,22 38:25 39:1 41:1,4,13 42:2,6,9 43:11,15 44:10,22 46:11 53:15 65:17

poller 54:2

polling 19:2 39:1,25 40:11 43:15 44:18 46:18

polls 31:11, 13 65:11

popped 11:4

position 18:18,22 52:9 71:15 78:22 79:11

positions 49:15

positions'

72:22

potential
78:13

potentially
38:13

precinct
24:20
30:11
31:13 40:9
67:2 80:18

precincts
24:1
39:15,20
40:4,10
54:6

prejudicial
58:24 59:4

prepare
38:17

presentation
78:25

presented
73:4,6,20
77:11 78:8

presumed
67:15
79:20

presumption
67:18
79:22

pretty 62:20
63:10

previous
11:24
13:17 22:4

previously
20:15

prima 75:17
77:18

prinicipally
58:17

prior 22:6
23:10
31:15
48:15
53:25
61:11
76:11,25

private
60:20

privileged
52:18

probative
58:22 59:2

problem 7:13
41:20
68:10
73:10
76:13
77:22

problems
15:20

procedures
39:9 80:9

process
22:13
24:11 26:6
29:20 43:8
44:2,13
45:2

processed
39:6

product
59:11

promise 9:2
17:20

proper 20:11

properly
73:18 77:6

proponent
58:20

proven 75:18

provide
64:15

provided
66:10

public 54:17
59:6 60:18
62:18

pull 10:18
40:13

purchased
36:9,10

purely 66:4

pursuant
64:6

pushing
49:13

put 7:22
10:20
28:13 29:1
30:9,24
31:11,13
33:15
41:11
47:13
54:18 55:8
69:24 76:8

puts 28:15

Q

question
8:15
13:13,17
20:13
21:6,11
22:22
27:13
31:22
35:11 50:9
52:2 61:1,
4 74:1

questioning
55:25

questions
13:4,6,11
15:24
17:9,11
19:17
29:11
33:1,2
36:18
47:22,25
49:6 51:11
58:6 72:2
73:20

quick 13:6 68:11
quickly 72:2
quote 52:13
quoted 56:4
quoting 58:18

R

race 10:22, 24 11:6,15 12:2 16:11 57:6 58:1, 2 66:6,9, 25 67:6,11 68:18,20 78:4 79:10,13, 18
races 67:2 78:9
raise 9:2
raised 66:24 68:2
Raven 81:6
read 32:4, 6,15 50:11 56:22 59:18 62:22 68:2,4 70:15
reading 29:11 57:18 59:18,22 75:15 80:4
ready 26:13 38:20 39:4
realized 78:20
reason 22:13 63:4 69:9 73:22 77:15
reasonable 55:22 69:8 78:11
reasons 67:4 69:2 70:8
rebuttal 8:18
rebutted 69:1
recall 20:25 23:15,20 53:6 57:8 59:11
recap 44:20 46:15
receipt 65:11
receive 57:4
received 24:13 65:8 79:17
record 9:22 18:15 21:4 32:20 54:15 55:15 61:20 62:18 63:22 65:22 66:6 67:11,20
recorded 44:8,9,22 46:13 77:2,6
recording 49:15 70:2
records 54:18 59:6
recounted 72:10
recruited 39:1
reference 31:20
referring 56:13 68:11
reflect 21:4,11
refused 7:11
registration 18:20,24 19:4 28:22 29:2,22,25 34:15 35:18,20 39:6
Registrations 16:4 66:20
regular 41:6,13 42:11
reinstalled 20:2
rejected 65:8,22 66:18 67:22 79:18
rejection 65:11
related 32:4 33:2
relating 49:22
relevance 61:18
reliability 43:20
relied 61:15 62:18
relief 79:4
remarked 74:2
remedy 80:6
remember 11:2

remove 22:24
renew 58:11 63:2
renewing 63:4
reopened 25:6
rephrase 50:9
replace 64:25
replaced 20:22 72:4,6
report 24:2, 8 31:20 58:13 59:2,4,10, 22 61:6,13 67:2,4
reported 69:22
reports 31:15,18 32:2 59:6 66:25 69:11
represent 16:2
representative 39:18 80:6
reproduce 31:11
reproduces 31:11
reprogram 26:2
reprogramming 26:15 27:9,22
Republican 16:24 73:25
request 54:20 58:11
requesting 66:22
require 49:11 50:1 52:13 64:17
required 34:15 35:2 47:1 49:22 56:15
requires 50:22 52:22 58:18 64:22
requiring 52:6
reserved 80:6
respect 28:6 44:4 45:2 62:1 79:6 81:2
respond 35:10
response 72:2 76:4
rest 63:15
result 53:24 65:1,13,24 67:13 69:4 73:15 74:22 79:25 80:1,10
results 48:24 61:4 65:9 67:17,18 72:18 73:1,2,9 75:22 77:10
retired 16:13 45:20
returned 46:22
returns 79:22
revealed 76:9
review 23:11 75:8
reviewed 59:15 60:22
Richard 17:20 18:6,11,15 33:22
rid 79:11
roaming 54:6
rolls 19:4
room 38:10, 11
Roswell 40:13,17, 18
rule 8:8, 13,17 34:20,22 51:20 52:4 74:20 75:1,4,11 81:4
ruled 55:22 63:9,13 81:4,11
rules 8:10, 11 34:25 35:1,22 63:10
ruling 51:2
rulings 63:11
run 26:8,10 48:22 49:11,13

63:13

running 11:11 16:20 26:20 69:8

runoff 25:25 26:2 55:2

RUSSO 49:8, 10,18

S

safe 17:15 69:18

safeguards 69:22

safety 44:4 59:11

Sara 23:15

saved 54:9

scenarios 73:2

scholars 72:11

School 10:13

Science 59:24

Science's 59:2

Sciences 58:13 60:17,18

scientific 27:20,22 29:13 79:6

scientists 72:11

Scores 54:24

screen 10:15,18 12:13 14:10,18 15:13 16:22 25:13 40:22 41:9 42:10 49:13 73:24

script 48:22,25 49:11

seal 44:6, 9,11,22,25 46:4,9,10, 13,17,22 47:2,9,10

sealed 44:6, 15 45:4 47:4

seals 44:11, 22 45:22, 24 46:15

seat 9:6 17:24 63:25

seats 7:9

Secretary 19:18 20:1,6 32:18 51:1 69:15 75:6 77:6

section 64:22 65:6

Sections 64:22

secure 53:4, 18 61:2

secured 53:18

securing 60:1

security 7:20,22 45:2 47:17 53:6 60:4

SED 34:20, 22

select 41:13

selects 30:22

senate 39:18 67:6 78:4, 10

send 44:15 54:4

sending 59:18

separately 19:20

sequestration 8:11,13,17

Sequoia 34:6

serial 44:8, 20

server 19:18,22 20:1,22 30:18,20 37:1 47:17 53:6,11 72:4

servers 20:6

set 39:2 70:8 71:15

setting 80:4

sexist 48:4

sham 73:4

sheet 44:20 46:15

shook 21:6

show 42:10 56:13 65:6 75:13 80:15

showing 75:18 77:18 79:24

shown 64:22 72:25 79:4

shuffling 75:25
shut 43:17
sic 22:24
side 62:2 63:18
sides 62:4
sign 40:24 42:4
signs 73:10
simply 62:11 68:2,20
single 66:11
sir 10:22 14:15 15:2,15 16:13,18 20:18 47:24 57:22 81:4,17
skill 27:11
small 11:13 17:2
smaller 16:22
Smith 64:13 77:22
software 20:2 36:13,20 37:4 49:13
sort 25:18 66:1,15
source 31:4
specific 31:20 61:11,17 76:24
specifically 48:15 57:6
speculation 57:18 74:2,20 77:25 78:15
spend 71:22
square 17:2, 6
squares 11:6 16:8 17:4
staff 25:8
stand 8:25 76:8
standalone 30:20
start 59:18
state 9:22 17:20 18:15 20:1 30:2,4 31:11 32:18 34:15,18, 24 35:6,17 36:2,10 38:22 39:18 67:1 69:15,22 75:6 77:6 79:11
state's 19:18 20:6 31:2 51:1
state- 56:15
stated 60:22
statement 13:9 31:22 56:4
statements 31:25 61:11
states 69:6 71:20
statewide 74:22
statute 50:13,15, 18 56:2 75:13
stays 27:2
story 32:15
straight 30:17
stuff 59:18 63:11 78:20
styles 39:13 40:2,6
subject 35:20
subsection 71:2
subsequent 27:22
substantial 71:22
substantially 58:24
sued 79:11
sufficient 64:25 65:9,11, 20,24 67:15 79:25 80:24
suggest 72:20
suggested 73:20
suggests 63:6
summary 12:8
sundry 39:6
supervision 24:25 27:18
support 79:15
supporting 74:2

supposed 34:18 42:4 43:18 60:9 62:2

Supreme 77:22 78:15

survived 75:24

susceptible 70:4

sustain 20:15 52:18

sustained 24:15 53:2 56:6 57:20

sworn 9:17 18:9

system 20:11 28:22 29:20,22, 24 30:1,4 31:2,15 32:4 35:25 37:2,4,8 53:25 61:1 69:18 70:2,4 72:4,8 73:10,18 76:13,18 79:6,8,11 80:25

systemic 76:13

systems 34:6 70:1 72:9

T

table 50:6

taking 19:2 79:4

talked 12:20 15:18 53:4 69:25

talking 27:15 29:15 53:9,10 81:6,8

tampered 46:18

tampering 66:1

tape 48:24

technician 43:13 54:6

technicians 54:6

telling 12:25 52:13

tells 29:4 30:25

telltale 73:10

Temple 24:20

ten 63:17

tendered 58:15

terminology 29:18 30:15

terms 29:10 38:15 40:2 68:8,20 69:4,20,22

Terri 8:25 9:13,20,24 14:22

terrible 79:8

test 37:22 49:4 51:20

tested 37:20 38:2,4 44:6

testified 9:17 18:9 53:17,22 55:8 67:4 69:17 72:2

testify 7:20 69:13

testifying 61:15

testimony 8:20 19:13 20:13 23:15 43:4 48:17 54:1 62:13 68:24 69:11,15, 22 70:1,6 74:6 76:18,20, 22 77:2

testing 26:11,15 48:18 55:17

tests 26:20 55:10 76:6,8,11, 20 77:4,9

Texas 34:4,8

there're 43:11

thing 11:4,6 14:4 30:8 41:10 51:15,22 57:4 66:9 79:11 80:2,11 81:4

things 26:13 32:1 40:20 51:11 79:9

thinking 11:6 17:2

Thomas 8:25 9:13,20, 24,25

14:22 16:2
65:15

Thompkin
68:1

thought 28:8
59:17
73:22,24
74:9,10

thousand
80:17

throw 74:15

tick 73:22

ticket 43:13
53:25 54:4
69:8 73:25
74:8,10

tickets
53:22
54:9,11,20

tie 66:15

time 11:18
14:9 15:1
16:13,15
24:18
26:11
32:25 48:6
63:2 70:10
71:24
81:22

timely 39:6

times 15:6,9
48:13
74:18

today 72:6
76:22

told 12:25
41:24 45:4

Traffic
59:10

trained 39:1

training
19:2

Travis 34:4

treat 20:17

treated
66:22

trial 63:9

trier 64:8

triers 60:2

trouble 50:6

trusted 20:2

truth 9:2,4
17:22

TS 67:4

turn 25:22
31:2

type 20:11
25:13
27:11

Tyson 7:15
16:1,2,4
17:9 20:4
27:10
31:18
47:24
52:25
61:6,10
66:18
77:18
81:20

U

U.S. 78:4,
10

ultimate
35:18

Um-hmm 49:24

un-rebutted
72:18

unable 42:18

unanimous
72:11

unanswered
62:15

uncontroversial 76:6

uncontroverted
70:6
76:18,20,
22 77:2

under-vote
66:1,2,4,
15 67:6
69:2,9
79:18
80:11,15

under-votes
66:11 67:9

understand
21:13
22:18 28:6
29:11
34:22
57:22
59:13
62:22
79:18

understanding
19:18,25
22:22
24:11,20
25:11
26:25
48:17
49:25
51:18
52:22

understood
62:25

undertake
35:15

undertaken
80:6

undisputed
69:15 70:1
71:10

unfair 59:17

uniform 36:2

unique 46:10

unit 27:8
30:20
47:15

urge 59:22

useless 62:22

V

valid 61:4 67:15

verified 44:11

verify 44:22 46:13

Versus 68:15

victory 67:22

view 62:4 73:13

violation 80:9,10

viruses 36:15,22 37:6

vote 10:11, 13,15 12:4,22 14:15 16:11 28:25 30:6 39:25 40:9,13,15 42:18 44:15 48:22 65:13,18 66:8 67:11 69:20 70:2,4 72:22 73:24 74:8 77:1,4 78:13

voted 11:20, 22 12:24 15:1,8,15 48:25

voter 13:22 19:4 25:6 28:11,13, 17,22 29:4,25 30:6,10, 11,13,17, 22 39:6 41:2,11,25 42:15,18 43:10 69:4,20 73:2 74:6, 18 77:1 78:8

voters 40:8 41:8,9 67:10 69:4 70:2 73:9, 22

votes 48:22 49:17 65:8,11,22 66:17 67:22,24 78:1 79:17,18 80:13,17, 18,24

voting 7:20 11:9 12:15 16:18 17:4 19:11 30:13 32:20 34:6 35:25 36:2 38:4 39:4, 22,24 40:1,11,20 44:6,13 45:17 47:11 49:13 61:13 68:24 73:2 76:13

vulnerable 31:15 65:6 71:6,11 72:8,13 73:18 77:20

W

waiving 79:1

Walden 59:8

wall 38:13

wanted 7:10 16:6

warehouse 45:6,8,9, 10 46:13, 22,24 47:18

watcher 23:17

ways 43:11

web 37:18 70:1

webpage 60:17

whatsoever 74:20

Williamson 34:8

Winterville 80:13,18

witnesses 8:18 58:10 67:4 68:25 77:13

woman 15:4 48:4,8 65:13

word 29:13, 15 51:9 68:6

words 29:13

work 34:6 70:22 80:25

worked 34:4, 6

worker 25:4 28:13

30:22 42:8
43:11,15
65:17

workers 19:2
38:25
39:1,2
44:10,22
46:11

working 7:11
52:22

works 39:22

worry 48:10

worse 71:13,
17,18,20

write-in
67:8

writing
11:13

written
75:13

wrong 42:4,6

wrote 14:6

Y

years 18:22
53:20
71:15
78:11

yellow 28:11

yesterday
20:13
23:15
61:15
63:11 72:2
73:20

yesterday's
19:13

younger 48:9

CIVIL ACTION FILE NUMBER: 2018CV313418
FROM FULTON COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT

Coalition for Good Governance, Rhonda Martin, Smythe DuVal, Jeanne Dufort VS. Robyn Crittenden, Fulton County Board of Registration and Elections, Geoff Duncan, Gwinnett County Board of Registrations and Elections

INDEX

			PAGE
1.	STATUS HEARING TRANSCRIPT-HEARD ON DEC. 05, 2018	02/19/2019	1 - 19
2.	HEARING TRANSCRIPT-HEARD ON JAN. 09, 2019	02/19/2019	20 - 154
3.	HEARING TRANSCRIPT-HEARD ON JAN. 17, 2019	02/18/2019	155 - 543
4.	TRIAL TRANSCRIPT-HEARD ON JAN. 18, 2019 ******TO BE SUPPLEMENTED WITH SUPREME COURT CASE DOCKETING#: S19A0769******	02/18/2019	544 - 654

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDTAW
Date: 2/19/2019 11:48 AM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
FULTON JUDICIAL CIRCUIT
STATE OF GEORGIA

FULTON COUNTY COALITION FOR	)	
GOOD GOVERNANCE, ET AL	)	
Plaintiffs	)	Case Number
Versus	)	2018-CV-313418
ROBYN CRITTENDEN, ET AL	)	
Defendants	)	

STATUS HEARING

A transcript of the proceedings before the **HONORABLE ADELE P. GRUBBS**, on December 5, 2018, at the Cobb County Courthouse, Marietta, Cobb County, Georgia.

APPEARANCE OF COUNSEL:

FOR PLAINTIFFS:	**BRUCE P. BROWN** Attorney at Law 1123 Zonolite Rd., Ste. 6 Atlanta, Ga. 30306 404-881-0700
FOR DEFENDANT CRITTENDEN:	**JOSH BELINFANTE, VINCENT R. RUSSO and ALEX DENTON** Attorneys at Law 500 14th St. NW Atlanta, Ga. 30318 678-701-9381
FOR DEFENDANT FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS:	**CHERYL RINGER & KAYE BURWELL** Attorneys at Law 141 Pryor St. SW, Ste. 4038 Atlanta, Ga. 30303 404-612-0263
FOR DEFENDANT GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS:	**BRYAN P. TYSON & DICK CAROTHERS** Attorney at Law P.O. Box 73053 Marietta, Ga. 30007 404-219-3160
FOR DEFENDANT DEKALB COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS:	**DAVID WARE, PEARSON CUNNINGHAM, RUSSELL BRITT, MR. BRYAN** Attorneys at Law 191 Peachtree St. NE, Ste. 2900 Atlanta, Ga. 30303 678-539-1611
FOR DEFENDANT GEOFF DUNCAN:	**EDWARD LINDSEY** Attorney at Law 303 Peachtree St., Ste 5300 Atlanta, Ga. 30308 404-527-4720

Paul D. Crowder, CCR, CVR
264 Mountain View Dr.
Woodstock, Ga. 30188 404-457-9289

1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 * * * * *

3 THE COURT: Fulton County Coalition for Good

4 Governance versus Robyn Crittenden. With due respect to

5 y'all, I need to kind of know who is who and who

6 represents who and so on and so forth. There's one face I

7 recognize. The rest of you I've never seen before. Good

8 morning Mr. Lindsey. Go ahead.

9 MR. BROWN: Judge, my name is Bruce Brown. I

10 represent the Plaintiffs.

11 THE COURT: Okay. And are all the Plaintiffs here?

12 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor. Two of the Plaintiffs

13 are here, Rhonda Martin and Jeanne Dufort.

14 THE COURT: Okay.

15 MR. BROWN: And Marilyn Morris, who is the executive

16 director of The Coalition is here at counsel's table. So

17 three of the Plaintiffs are here.

18 THE COURT: Okay. Then let me ask about on the

19 Defense side.

20 MR. BELINFANTE: Good morning, Judge, Special

21 Assistant Attorney General representing Secretary of State

22 Robyn Crittenden. I'm joined by my partner Vincent Russo

23 and Alex Denton.

24 THE COURT: Okay. So just take a seat. Have you

25 made an appearance of counsel? I don't have everything, I

1 don't think. I know this is really a Fulton County case
2 and we probably need to be doing this in Fulton County
3 from now on. This is more of a status, where are we,
4 where are we headed type hearing this morning, so I'll get
5 your names properly at some point. If I call you wrong,
6 please excuse me.
7 MR. LINDSEY: Good morning, Your Honor.
8 THE COURT: Good morning.
9 MR. LINDSEY: My name is Edward Lindsey. I represent
10 Lieutenant Governor Elect Geoff Duncan.
11 THE COURT: Who?
12 MR. LINDSEY: Geoff Duncan who's the Lieutenant
13 Governor Elect.
14 THE COURT: Okay. Yes, sir.
15 MR. TYSON: Good morning, Your Honor. I'm Bryan
16 Tyson, joined by Dick Carothers. We represent the
17 Gwinnett County Board of Registrations and Elections.
18 THE COURT: Yes.
19 MR. WARE: Good morning, Judge Grubbs. David Ware
20 for DeKalb County Board of Registration and Elections,
21 along with Pearson Cunningham, Russell Britt, and Mr.
22 Bryan.
23 THE COURT: All y'all four together?
24 MR. WARE: Yes, we're all together for DeKalb County.
25 THE COURT: Yes, ma'am.

1 MS. BURWELL: Kaye Burwell with Cheryl Ringer on
2 behalf of Fulton County.
3 THE COURT: Okay. So each county is represented, and
4 one of the candidates is represented. Okay, let me ask
5 you, Mr. Brown. I know there's been some confusion
6 because this was a recusal and I was told to take it. Is
7 there service on everybody?
8 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor. Should I stand at the
9 podium?
10 THE COURT: Whichever makes you more comfortable.
11 You will find that I'm a fairly -- Despite my reputation,
12 I'm a fairly easy going kind of person as long as you're
13 doing what you're supposed to do.
14 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. The Plaintiffs
15 have made every effort to effect service in accordance
16 with the special provisions of the election contest
17 statute. And what those provisions contemplate is that
18 actually the clerk and the assigned Judge perfect the
19 service.
20 THE COURT: Right.
21 MR. BROWN: And the necessary steps to that --
22 THE COURT: They sign the service.
23 MR. BROWN: Right. And so we tried it in Fulton
24 County.
25 THE COURT: Fulton didn't work.

1 MR. BROWN: It didn't work. And this is in the
2 record but I alerted the clerk when I filed the petition
3 that there were special provisions, both for the
4 assignment of the Judge and for the special summons.
5 THE COURT: Right.
6 MR. BROWN: That didn't make it through the court
7 system down there until finally the chief Judge took
8 control of it and assigned -- and made arrangements for
9 your assignment. And so one of the first things that I
10 would ask is that the Court direct the clerk in Fulton
11 County to issue the summons and to perfect service of the
12 summons upon the Defendants. We have drafted -- With
13 corrections from Judge Russell we have drafted the special
14 statutory summons and so I would be able to transmit to
15 your clerk or to your staff a Word copy of the summons
16 that could be modified to include what Your Honor would
17 specify as the answer date.
18 THE COURT: Okay.
19 MR. BROWN: One of the things that this law says is
20 that it's not 30 days. It's what you say their answer
21 date is.
22 THE COURT: I have a book.
23 MR. BROWN: So that would be one of the first things
24 that you did.
25 THE COURT: I knew I wouldn't have a computer so I

1 brought the book.

2 MR. BROWN: That would be one of the first things on

3 my list of things that would need to be covered would be

4 making sure that Your Honor has the paperwork necessary to

5 set this.

6 THE COURT: And you think it's necessary to process

7 it through my clerk or do I process it through Fulton

8 County?

9 MR. BROWN: Through Fulton County.

10 THE COURT: Okay.

11 MR. BROWN: So that would be the first thing we'd ask

12 for and we'd be happy to --

13 THE COURT: And you've got that paperwork with you.

14 MR. BROWN: I don't have it with me. It is with -- I

15 can have it tendered to you immediately.

16 THE COURT: You can email it to me.

17 MR. BROWN: Yes, ma'am.

18 THE COURT: Any response to all that about the

19 service, from anybody? Has anybody actually been served?

20 MR. RUSSO: The Secretary of State has not been

21 served.

22 THE COURT: But y'all got here.

23 MR. BELINFANTE: We're here special, Judge.

24 THE COURT: Okay. So I'm going to do that. If

25 you'll get that to me. I'll give you a card. I'll find

1 one before we get through.

2 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

3 THE COURT: I'll get you an address to go to. What

4 is next on your side, Mr. Brown?

5 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the next thing on the agenda

6 would be several things. First would be, if Your Honor is

7 prepared to do so, to maybe anticipate a trial date.

8 Second would be to discuss the necessary parties. And the

9 third would be our request for emergency discovery so that

10 the evidence, which could be vanishing now that the

11 elections are done, is preserved and inspected for

12 purposes of determining the causes of action.

13 THE COURT: Let me handle that backwards. Let me ask

14 everybody else, okay. Do you have any objection to an

15 order to preserve the evidence? I'm not -- I didn't say

16 anything about inspect. I just said preserve.

17 MR. BELINFANTE: Your Honor, Josh Belinfante again,

18 for Secretary Crittenden. And I should say -- I should

19 have said in the beginning, we are appearing specially

20 given the service issue.

21 THE COURT: I'm assuming everybody is appearing

22 specially because there's no service.

23 MR. BELINFANTE: Yes, Your Honor. In terms of

24 preserving the evidence, I think the problem here is that

25 the allegations seem to indicate or seem to question

1 whether that's even possible. And so the position of the
2 Secretary of State's Office is that there will be no
3 destruction or willful destruction of the evidence. But I
4 don't know that the Plaintiffs take the position that
5 that's even feasible.
6 THE COURT: Let me rephrase it. That you will
7 preserve the evidence as far as is possible.
8 MR. BELINFANTE: Yes, Your Honor.
9 THE COURT: I mean, I don't know -- I've read the
10 pleadings. I don't know about all the technicalities and
11 what's what.
12 MR. BELINFANTE: Right.
13 THE COURT: And we're all lawyers and not tech
14 people. So I would think, Mr. Brown, an order that they
15 preserve the evidence as far as is possible would be
16 appropriate at this minute. I'm not inclined to go
17 further than that, because there's been no service and I
18 haven't seen any answers and they haven't had a right to
19 challenge anything.
20 MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.
21 THE COURT: Let me hear from each one in turn.
22 MR. TYSON: Yes, Your Honor. For Gwinnett, also, we
23 wouldn't have a problem with that as far as possible.
24 Obviously we have to use the machines. We had to use them
25 yesterday.

1 THE COURT: As far as possible. There's to be no
2 destruction, deliberate destruction. What the machine
3 does to itself, who knows.
4 MR. TYSON: Right. So we have no objection, Your
5 Honor.
6 THE COURT: Okay.
7 MR. WARE: On behalf of DeKalb, Your Honor, we will
8 follow Your Honor's direction.
9 THE COURT: No problem with DeKalb. Fulton?
10 MS. RINGER: We, as well, will follow the order.
11 THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Lindsey doesn't really have
12 any.
13 MR. LINDSEY: Given the fact, Your Honor, that we're
14 not in possession of any of the documents.
15 THE COURT: You're not in possession of anything.
16 MR. LINDSEY: We simply want everyone to behave.
17 THE COURT: I gotcha. Anybody I left out?
18 (No response.)
19 THE COURT: Okay. So if you'll do an order for me,
20 Mr. Brown. Like I say, I'm going to give everybody my
21 card when we're done. Unfortunately, Senior Judges are
22 movable people that wander all over the world. Well, I
23 don't go below McDonough but I've been to Ringgold. So
24 finding us sometimes is an issue and I give you my
25 personal email so that you can find me whenever you need

1 me. So just get it to me.

2 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, one point of clarification in

3 terms of as much as possible.

4 THE COURT: Right.

5 MR. BROWN: It is the Plaintiff's position that if

6 these machines are reused in subsequent elections, that

7 that will wipe the memory.

8 THE COURT: Okay. We're going to move as fast as we

9 can move on this case. As I'm looking at it, somebody has

10 a MARTA election in March. I don't know which -- I don't

11 know whether that involves anybody in this case.

12 MR. TYSON: Yes, that's Gwinnett County.

13 THE COURT: That's Gwinnett. Okay. We'll have

14 handled this before we get to March.

15 MR. BROWN: Thank you.

16 THE COURT: Okay. So right now there isn't anything

17 else that I know of. There aren't any other elections.

18 If something comes up, let me know.

19 MR. RUSSO: I think the City of Atlanta has one --

20 THE COURT: Huh?

21 MR. RUSSO: I think the City of Atlanta has one also

22 and I believe they use Fulton County's.

23 THE COURT: When is it, do you know?

24 MR. BELINFANTE: City Councilman Ivory Young.

25 THE COURT: Oh, yes, that's right. Okay. We'll move

1 as fast as we can move.

2 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. If it's okay with

3 Your Honor, what we will put in the draft preservation

4 order is that the Defendants will use other machines for

5 these smaller elections.

6 THE COURT: No, I didn't say that.

7 MR. BROWN: Okay.

8 THE COURT: That's not what I said.

9 MR. BROWN: I understand, Your Honor.

10 THE COURT: I mean, you're asking me something. At

11 this point I have no idea about the case.

12 MR. BROWN: I understand.

13 THE COURT: All I'm trying to do is maintain the

14 status quo as well as I can while everybody gets served.

15 Everybody has a right to file answers, motions, whatever

16 may be coming at you. That's all I'm trying to do is

17 preserve the status quo.

18 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

19 THE COURT: Okay. Now you mentioned something about

20 parties?

21 MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor. The Secretary of State

22 filed a Motion to Dismiss late last night, and the

23 Secretary of State is taking the position that the

24 Secretary of State is not a proper party to this.

25 THE COURT: Well I'm not going to rule on that. See,

1 that's one of the things I'm concerned about. I don't
2 know what kind of motions are coming. I'm assuming --
3 With due respect -- I don't know how many lawyers we have
4 in this courtroom -- but they're not going to just roll
5 over and play dead. They're going to be responsive. Is
6 that a good word? Responsive, okay. So I want to give
7 them the proper time to do that, and I'm not going to deal
8 with anything -- Now if there's a -- I haven't seen it.
9 If it came I'm -- My office is supposed to be served with
10 it up here. As I say, I'll give everybody a card so you
11 can -- You can send me copies direct of everything you've
12 got. So if I'm somewhere else, I can still get copies of
13 them and I can still print them and know what I'm looking
14 at and line them up. But no, I'm not dealing with any of
15 that.
16 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
17 THE COURT: Now, trial date. Having said there is a
18 motion pending -- And we've got to have service. If you
19 get me that order and I think I am required -- It's five
20 to ten days after service as I understand what the code
21 section says. So they have to do that. So you've got to
22 get me the order. We're probably looking at after
23 Christmas and it would seem to me that we could set it for
24 what I would term motion hearings or whatever else they
25 may file. And, of course, you may want to respond to some

1 of them, too. I understand all that. I think we just set
2 it for a date. Getting y'all together, though you've done
3 good today, can be somewhat difficult.
4 My suggestion would be either the 7th or the 9th of
5 January. Would you look and see if somebody has something
6 that would require -- I'm thinking one of those two days
7 just to hear whatever may be filed. And at that point
8 then we can set it down for trial. And we're looking at
9 probably the first week in February for trial is what
10 we're looking at. Let me give y'all a chance to talk
11 about that and see where you are on those dates. Y'all
12 take your time.
13 (Brief pause.)
14 MR. LINDSEY: Sorry about that, Your Honor.
15 THE COURT: No, no. I want to --
16 MR. LINDSEY: It's actually fairly --
17 THE COURT: It's just, is that enough time? What's
18 y'all's position?
19 MR. BELINFANTE: The Defendants' collective position,
20 Your Honor, is that, you know, there are statutory
21 deadlines set in there and so once service is done that
22 triggers one and triggers another. As long as we're not
23 waiving any defenses based on those statutory timelines,
24 we think that having motions due to the Court on or before
25 December 20 would be appropriate. And then if the Court

1 wants to have a hearing on the 7th or the 9th, all parties
2 -- at least all Defendants are available to do so. But we
3 would want to have a hearing on the merits presuming the
4 Court even chooses to do that or get that far, as soon as
5 possible thereafter.
6 THE COURT: I understand that and I was looking at
7 that. Say we did February the 7th for motions.
8 MR. BELINFANTE: January 7th, Your Honor?
9 THE COURT: January 7th for motions. We could do
10 trial the 17th and 18th.
11 MR. BELINFANTE: Of?
12 THE COURT: January. So motions due by December
13 20th, January the 7th will be motion hearings, and then
14 trial will be -- And we can do it all in one order,
15 because I think I'm required to do that. What did I say,
16 17th and 18th of February? I've got to find -- Well we'll
17 talk about that in a minute. Not February, of January.
18 Trial would be January 17th. So motions hearings on the
19 7th and trial on the 17th. Any problem with that, Mr.
20 Brown?
21 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor. If it's an open day for
22 the Court and for the Defendants, for the Plaintiffs the
23 9th would be marginally better for the initial hearing
24 rather than the 7th; however, we will be here whenever
25 we're ordered to do so, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Any issue with the 7th or the 9th?
2 MR. BELINFANTE: It does not appear to be, Your
3 Honor.
4 THE COURT: Everybody all right? Okay. We'll say
5 the motions are on the 9th. I've got to rule on them.
6 That gives me time. Motions on the 9th, trial on the 17th
7 and 18th. And I can do that order. I'll do that order.
8 MR. BELINFANTE: The only issue, Your Honor, I'm
9 truly not trying to be difficult, but I just want to --
10 THE COURT: No, no.
11 MR. BELINFANTE: I don't want the Court to think that
12 at some point we surprised it or anything of that nature.
13 Depending on when service happens. The statute appears to
14 indicate that there's about 20 days between the appearance
15 that is filed and then the actual trial date. This may,
16 again, depending on service, fall outside that. I just
17 want to make clear for the record that we're not waiving
18 any defenses based on those dates.
19 THE COURT: Right. And we're doing these days -- and
20 I'll do an order on the days, just to protect on the
21 different rules and regulations. We can move them. It
22 doesn't say we can't move them. And we can move them.
23 And if service doesn't get done -- He'll give me the
24 service papers. We'll send them to the clerk. The clerk
25 will send them to the sheriff. It's Christmas. I don't

1 know. But we'll just see where it goes. And I trust
2 we'll have a return of service on each one so that we will
3 not.
4 MR. BELINFANTE: Great. All right. Thank you,
5 Judge.
6 THE COURT: Okay. The issue is this, and let me say
7 this -- As I said, I go to Ringgold, so I go wherever I
8 need to go. Do you want to hear it in Cobb or do you need
9 to hear it in Fulton? Whatever is easier for y'all.
10 MR. BELINFANTE: On behalf of the secretary, we're
11 indifferent. Whatever is easiest for the Court is easiest
12 for us.
13 THE COURT: With due respect, when you come to Cobb
14 in the morning you're going the opposite way with the
15 traffic. I was trying to work out how to phrase that.
16 And so it may be just that we can keep doing this in Cobb.
17 If someone objects, I'll try and move it to Fulton.
18 MR. BROWN: No objection, Your Honor.
19 THE COURT: No objection. Nobody's objecting right
20 now.
21 MR. LINDSEY: No objection, Your Honor.
22 THE COURT: No objection. So we'll do it in Cobb. I
23 will try -- I will go right now and see which courtroom I
24 can get to do it in. How many lawyers do we have? We
25 have 16 lawyers. So we ought to get the big courtroom.

1 Let's see what I can do. And then, Madam Clerk, would you
2 put these out? I hope there's enough. That's one for
3 everybody. That's my contact information. It has my --
4 That's for everybody. It has my cell phone number on it.
5 It has everything that you need, because, as I say, we
6 move around a lot. Anything else we need to do this
7 morning?
8 (No response.)
9 THE COURT: We're done. If you get that service to
10 me as fast as possible, I'll get it filed and done. I do
11 have a law clerk. He's not here yet. And we'll get it
12 done.
13 MR. BELINFANTE: Judge, just so I'm clear, whenever
14 we filed something is it your preference that we also
15 email you a copy of what we file?
16 THE COURT: Yes. Just email me a copy so I've got it
17 and I can start looking at it and see where we are.
18 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
19 THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you, gentlemen.
20 (End of Proceedings).
21
22
23
24
25

1 **C E R T I F I C A T E**

2

3 STATE OF GEORGIA)

4 COUNTY OF COBB)

5

6 I hereby certify that the foregoing transcript was taken
7 down, as stated in the caption, and the questions and answers
8 thereto were reduced to type-written form by me personally;
9 that the foregoing pages 01 through 18 represent a true,
10 correct and complete transcript of the evidence given upon said
11 hearing; and I further certify that I am not of kin or counsel
12 to the parties in the case, am not in the regular employ of
13 counsel for any of the said parties, nor am I anywise
14 interested in the result of said case.

15 This the 23rd day of January, 2019.

16 The above certification is expressly withdrawn and denied
17 upon the alteration, disassembly or photocopying of foregoing
18 transcript, including exhibits, unless said alteration,
19 disassembly or photocopying is done under the auspices of Paul
20 D. Crowder, C.C.R., C.V.R., and the original signature and
21 original seal is attached thereto.

22

23 _____

24 Paul D. Crowder, C.C.R., C.V.R.

25 Certified Court Reporter, B-1579

1 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY

2 STATE OF GEORGIA

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDTAW
Date: 2/19/2019 12:00 AM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

3

4 COALITION FOR GOOD . CIVIL ACTION
GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. . FILE NO.: 2018-CV-313418
5

6 MARTIN, SMYTH DUVAL, and .
JEANNE DUFORT, . Taken at:
7

8 Plaintiffs, . Superior Court of Cobb County

9 vs. . 70 Haynes Street

10 ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, . Courtroom 2000

11 Secretary of State of . Marietta, Georgia 30090
Georgia, et al. .
12

13 Defendants. .
. . . . . .
14

15

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING PROCEEDINGS

16

17 WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 9, 2019

18 8:59 a.m. to 11:04 a.m.

19

20 STATE OF GEORGIA SENIOR JUDGE ADELE P. GRUBBS

21

22
REPORTED BY:
23

24 PRISCILLA GARCIA, COURT REPORTER

25 NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF GEORGIA

1 APPEARANCES:

2
Plaintiff's Counsel: Bruce P. Brown, Esquire
3
4 Bruce P. Brown Law
Floataway Business Complex
5
6 1123 Zonolite Road N.E.
Suite 6
7
8 Atlanta, Georgia 30306
9 404-881-0700
10 bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com
11
Defendant's Counsel: John Belinfante, Esquire
12
13 Robbins, Ross, Alloy, Belinfante
& Littlefield, LLC
14
15 500 14th Street N.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30318
16
17 404-856-3262
18 jbelinfante@robbinsfirm.com
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:

2
Defendant's Counsel: Vincent R. Russo, Esquire
3
4 Robbins, Ross, Alloy, Belinfante
& Littlefield, LLC
5
6 999 Peachtree Street N.E.
Suite 1120
7
8 Atlanta, Georgia 30309
9 404-856-3260
10 vrusso@robbinsfirm.com
11
Defendant's Associate Counsel:
12
13 Alexander F. Denton, Esquire
Robbins, Ross, Allot, Belinfante
14
15 & Littlefield, LLC
500 14th Street N.W.
16
17 Atlanta, Georgia 30318
18 404-856-3276
adenton@robbinsfirm.com
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1 CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:

2
Defendant's Counsel: Edward H. Lindsey, Esquire
3
4 Dentons US, LLP
303 Peachtree Street N.E.
5
6 Suite 5300
Atlanta, Georgia 30308
7
8 404-527-4580
9 edward.lindsey@dentons.com
10
11 Defendant's Counsel: Kaye Woodard Burwell, Esquire
Office of the County Attorney
12
13 141 Pryor Street S.W.
Suite 4038
14
15 Atlanta, Georgia 30303
404-612-0251
16
17 kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov
18
Defendant's Counsel: Bryan P. Tyson, Esquire
19
20 Strickland, Brockington & Lewis,
LLP
21
22 1170 Peachtree Street N.E.
Atlanta, Georgia 30309
23
24 404-219-3160
25 bryan.tyson@sbllaw.com

1 I-N-D-E-X

2 EXHIBITS: DESCRIPTION PAGE
NONE

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 COBB COUNTY, GEORGIA

2 WEDNESDAY, January 9, 2018 - 8:59 p.m.

3

4 * * * * * * *

5

6 THE COURT: On this case we have a request on Rule 22 who is opposed to make -- that request. Is anybody --

7

8 MS. BURWELL: (inaudible)

9 THE COURT: This is on the case. This is on the

10 Coalition case.

11 MS. BURWELL: Right.
THE COURT: Does anyone have any objection to the

12

13 Rule 22? -- the cameraman wants to record the proceedings.

14

15 MR. BELINFANTE: No, Your Honor.
MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor.

16

17 THE COURT: Everyone's saying no.

18 MR. RUSSO: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. Then I will grant it. I find that

19

20 it is covered under Section -- Rule 22. I have an order confirming.

21

22 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you.
THE COURT: I've got the order in front of me. I've

23

24 got it.

25 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Will they know downstairs?

1 THE COURT: I'm sorry.

2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Will they know downstairs? If I don't have it signed, they won't let me go through
3

4 security. If I don't have a signed --
THE COURT: I just signed it.
5

6 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Oh, okay.
THE COURT: Pinpoint the rules though. I need to
7

8 explain to you what the rules are. You can only record

9 when I'm in courtroom.

10 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay.

11 THE COURT: Okay. You cannot record anything from counsel's table.
12

13 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay.
THE COURT: Okay. Or any conversation they may have.
14

15 I don't think there are any witnesses. It's not that kind of hearing today, but we'll see. It's not -- yet and
16

17 we'll see. Don't record anything that they've got

18 privilege and all those kinds of things. So be very careful on that.
19

20 The rules say no bench conferences. I can't imagine me trying to hold a bench conference with these people.
21

22 But we'll -- all I'm doing -- okay. So, I will sign the order. When you say downstairs, what do you mean?
23

24 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: To get through security I have to

25 have a signed form to get through.

1 THE COURT: Okay.

2 THE BAILIFF: I'll take care of that, Judge.
THE COURT: Okay.
3

4 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you.
THE COURT: There you go. I don't -- I've got a
5

6 couple of them, okay. Jim, I'll let him handle it, okay.
Okay. So, we have a good record, this is the Coalition
7

8 for Good Governance and various individuals versus Robyn

9 Crittenden, Fulton County, and Gwinnett County.

10 Can you each, Counsel, introduce yourselves for the

11 record so I have a record of who's here? Yes, sir.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, Bruce Brown for the
12

13 plaintiffs. With me at counsel table is Marilyn Marks,
the executive director of plaintiff Coalition for Good
14

15 Governance.
THE COURT: I will allow that right now. We'll see
16

17 where we go. Yes, sir.

18 MR. RUSSO: Good morning, Your Honor. Vincent Russo
for the Georgia Secretary of State.
19

20 MR. BELINFANTE: Josh Belinfante also for the
Secretary of State, Your Honor.
21

22 THE COURT: Okay.
MR. TYSON: Good morning, Your Honor. Bryan Tyson
23

24 and with me is Dick Carruthers. We represent the Gwinnett

25 Board of Registrations and Elections.

1 THE COURT: Okay.

2 MR. LINDSEY: Good morning, Your Honor. Edward Lindsey on behalf of Lieutenant Governor-elect Geoff

3

4 Duncan.

THE COURT: Okay.

5

6 MS. BURWELL: Kaye Burwell here on behalf of Fulton County.

7

8 THE COURT: How did you get stuck with this?

9 MS. BURWELL: There too many lawyers there this

10 morning, Your Honor.

11 THE COURT: We're trying to pretend you're not here. I gotcha. I got it. I know how that works, okay. That's

12

13 it. As I understand it, DeKalb County has been dismissed?

MR. BROWN: That's correct, Your Honor.

14

15 THE COURT: In looking at -- we're here -- let me be clear on this. We're here on motions today. This is not

16

17 evidentiary. Understand the statute of evidence has been

18 supposedly filed, the report's picked it up. That's not relevant today. It's got nothing to do with today.

19

20 That's not what we're here about, okay. We're here for the four corners of the petition; that's all we're

21

22 here about. So I need to be clear about that. I understand though, Mr. Brown, is that you have agreed that

23

24 the Coalition is not a proper party as to the election

25 contest; is that correct?

1 MR. BROWN: That is correct, Your Honor. The
2 Coalition is a party to the Federal 1983 claims, and the individual plaintiffs are the contestants in the election
3
4 contest.
THE COURT: Okay. And then with that covered, in
5
6 count 2 and count 3 are federal claims; is that correct?
MR. BROWN: That's correct, Your Honor.
7
8 THE COURT: Okay. Are those not being contested in
9 federal court right now?
10 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor. They're -- similar
11 claims have been raised in federal court, but these are -- these claims are also raised in this case.
12
13 THE COURT: Well, how many times can you raise the same claim? I mean, if this is a federal -- I'm going to
14
15 hear from everybody. If this is a federal issue, then why don't I just stay it and let the federals decide what it
16
17 is?
18 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the plaintiff's claims are right to be asserted here, and it may be that they -- that
19
20 those --
THE COURT: You can't keep asserting them in all
21
22 different kinds of -- of courtrooms.
MR. BROWN: That's correct, Your Honor. But had --
23
24 had the plaintiffs not asserted them, they could have
25 waived their right to the relief, if that relief were

1 deemed right before the other ones matured. The federal
2 court --
THE COURT: Let me hear from here from the -- from
3
4 the defendants on that issue. Don't mean to -- if I'm
making any sense this morning.
5
6 MR. RUSSO: Good morning, Your Honor. Vincent Russo
for the Secretary of State. This -- these are claims that
7
8 are pending and the case before Judge Totenberg in a
9 criminal matter. We don't have any objection to you also
10 considering those claims. We will do as you determine.
11 THE COURT: Okay.
MR. DENTON: And Your Honor, for Gwinnett, we're kind
12
13 of in the same position as Secretary of State.
THE COURT: Okay.
14
15 MR. DENTON: We're in the same position as the
Secretary of State's Office, and we don't have necessarily
16
17 an objection. We don't think we're really proper to be
18 part of the federal constitutional claims --
THE COURT: (inaudible)
19
20 MR. DENTON: -- so we can deal with that later. Yes.
THE COURT: We'll take one step at a time.
21
22 MR. DENTON: Yes.
THE COURT: I'm trying to take -- this is today,
23
24 okay. I'm trying to take it one step at a time, okay.
25 I'm fairly simplistic about -- I'm a math major, okay.

1 Explain life to me. You know, we're going to do it like
2 that. I do better if I keep my brain in one spot at a time. Fulton County, what do you say?
3
4 MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, on behalf of Fulton County, we take the position that the federal claims are pending
5
6 in federal court, and this is just another opportunity for these same plaintiffs to bring the same claim again, and
7
8 we agree with the Court that you want to stay the federal
9 issues, the constitutional issues they claim that they're
10 raising.
11 THE COURT: Mr. Lindsey.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I don't believe that Geoff
12
13 Duncan is really a party to the second and third count. They're simply a party in regard to the first count,
14
15 seeking a new election.
THE COURT: Is it -- I'm sorry?
16
17 MR. LINDSEY: We're simply a party in regard to the
18 first count, seeking a new election.
THE COURT: Right. But you're not really involved
19
20 in --
MR. LINDSEY: It certainly does, however, make sense
21
22 for you to stay.
THE COURT: You're right.
23
24 MR. LINDSEY: That'll do it.
25 THE COURT: Okay. Then I will hear the motions this

1 morning on that, but I'm still not convinced I'm going to
2 stay, okay. Because it's pending somewhere else. With that said, then let's go -- let me see. I'll hear -- let
3
4 me hear the Secretary of State's motions first, okay. Let -- what we're going to do -- a lot of them -- a
5
6 lot of them are the same. A lot of the motions are the same, so I'm going to -- I'm going to let you go first,
7
8 and then we'll go from there, okay.
9 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. And the
10 defendant's counsel, we did try to split up kind of all
11 arguments so that you aren't hearing -- THE COURT: Whatever you want to do, Mr. Brown.
12
13 MR. RUSSO: We're here on Secretary of State's motion to dismiss, along with the motions to dismiss filed by our
14
15 co-defendants. As you're aware, plaintiffs filed a petition contesting the results of the November 6, 2018,
16
17 general election and the race for lieutenant governor for
18 the State of Georgia. In that election the margin of victory was 123,172
19
20 votes. The plaintiffs in this case claim that the only explanations for the difference in the number of votes in
21
22 the lieutenant governor's race and other statewide races is that there were malfunctioning or erroneously
23
24 programmed voting machines or malicious manipulation of
25 the voting machines.

1 That that -- that those issues place in doubt the
2 results of the election. Now plaintiff's, of course,
3 completely disregard other reasonable explanations for the
4 differences in the votes, such as the fact that there were
5 third-party candidates in the other races and incumbents,
6 but that's for another day.
7 The Supreme Court has repeatedly stated that Georgia
8 law prohibits a contestant from merely speculating or
9 guessing as to the cause of an election contest. Here we
10 have -- the plaintiffs have asserted grounds under 2125 --
11 excuse me, 2102 520, under multiple different sections of
12 the election page, excuse me.
13 And the motion to dismiss, their response to the
14 motion to dismiss has them discuss -- the plaintiffs have
15 expanded the grounds for their contest to -- to additional
16 ones. So, there are threshold issues that pertain to our
17 client, which I'll address first.
18 My partner, Josh Belinfante, will address the federal
19 constitutional claims, and as I mentioned the other
20 parties will address those claims. Your Honor, has
21 already taken and confirmed the first step here that the
22 Coalition for Good Governance lacks standing to file an
23 election contest claim, so we're going to move past --
24 past that.
25 As Your Honor knows, election contests are authorized

1 and governed by the Georgia Election Code, which is in
2 Chapter 2 of Title 21. Pursuant to OCGA212520, there are only certain individuals who can be a defendant in an
3 election contest and none of those individuals in -- in this context would be the Secretary of State, which is the
4 first reason for dismissing the Secretary of State in the election contest.
5
6 THE COURT: Okay. I didn't -- Josh, I didn't realize
7 you had to go back to the courtroom.
8 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Yes.
9 THE COURT: Okay.
10 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: (inaudible)
11 THE COURT: I didn't realize that they wouldn't let you go through. Let me give you a chance to get set up
12 because that was not -- I didn't realize that.
13 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: I'm good.
14
15 THE COURT: It gets confusing because everybody has a
16 right to be heard, and I didn't realize they wouldn't let you bring it in, okay. But the fact that she -- that your
17 allegation is the Secretary of State should not be a defendant, let me hear that. That doesn't apply to
18 anybody else. Let me hear that one first.
19 MR. RUSSO: Yes, ma'am.
20 THE COURT: I understand it's a motion to dismiss,
21 but it's an issue that's out in front. Go ahead.
22
23
24
25

1 MR. RUSSO: Of course. Under OCGA212520,
2 subsection 2, a defendant is defined as -- as four different specific classes. A defendant could be either
3
4 the person whose nomination election is contested such as the lieutenant governor elect in this case, the person
5
6 whose eligibility to seek any nomination or office in a runoff primary or election is contested, the election
7
8 superintendent or superintendents who conducted the
9 contested primary or election, or the public officer who
10 formally declared the number of votes for and against a
11 ballot question submitted to the electors at an election. Under the Georgia Election Code, the Secretary of
12
13 State is not an election superintendent. Secretary of State does not conduct elections. Elections are conducted
14
15 in the State of Georgia by -- at the county level, by the county superintendents.
16
17 Under the definitions, the general definitions that
18 are applicable to the Georgia Election Code, a superintendent is specifically defined in 2122, 2,
19
20 subsection 35, as either a judge of the probate court of a county or the County Board of Elections, the County Board
21
22 of Elections and Registration, the joint City and County Board of Elections, or the joint City Board of Elections
23
24 and Registrations, if a county has one.
25 And there are -- there are three other categories,

1 which apply to municipal elections. None of those are
2 applicable here. As Your -- as Your Honor can tell here, you know, the plain language in the definition does not
3
4 include the Secretary of State, and -- and -- and that really goes to the fact that the Secretary of State,
5
6 again, doesn't conduct elections.
Where the Secretary of State -- the Secretary of
7
8 State is obviously involved in voter registration and must
9 maintain a statewide voter registration database, but that
10 doesn't make the Secretary of State a superintendent. The
11 county -- the -- the plaintiffs have alleged that or have argued that, you know, since it's a statewide election
12
13 involving a lieutenant governor, that the Secretary of State must -- must be a proper -- must be a superintendent
14
15 and must be a proper party.
The defendant -- or excuse me, the plaintiffs rely on
16
17 the case Mead vs. Sheffield, which involved a court of
18 appeals election contest, and in that case the -- in that case, it was a 2004 election contest in which one of the
19
20 -- the contestant missed the runoff by about 380 votes, because he had -- there was the wrong -- and there was a
21
22 wrong name on the ballot.
We do not know why the Secretary of State in that
23
24 case was a party. The plaintiffs claim that since she was
25 a party in that case, she -- the -- current Secretary of

1 State must, you know, must be a party in this case.

2 Of course, there's -- there's no precedent -- precedential value in that case on that precise point.

3

4 That said, what the Mead vs. Sheffield case does highlight is that even in an election contest involving a statewide

5

6 race, election contest is filed against the county superintendents, which it was Laurens County in that case,

7

8 where there alleged irregularities that occurred in that

9 particular county.

10 And that, you know, indicates where there is an

11 alleged irregularity involving an -- an illegal ballot as opposed to an illegal vote, the contestant must show that

12

13 the number of illegal ballots cast exceeds the margin of victory in order to prevail.

14

15 Again, this is -- the -- the reason the case was filed in Laurens County -- against Laurens County was

16

17 because that's where the irregularities occurred. I know

18 my colleagues at the -- that represent the other defendants, the county defendants will probably be

19

20 speaking to this issue, but Mead vs. Sheffield is clearly inapplicable here.

21

22 Plaintiffs also argue that the definition of election superintendent in the Recall Act of 1989, which is

23

24 Chapter 4 of Title 21, must apply to the Election Code.

25 Your Honor, I mean, it's a fundamental rule of

1 statutory construction that it's -- it's presumed that the
2 legislature acts intentionally and purposefully when it includes particular language in one section of a statute
3
4 but omits it from another. And that's Tolson v. Sistrunk, 332-Georgia at 324 from 2015.
5
6 Now in this rule of statutory construction is applied to the present case, it's clear that the word
7
8 "superintendent" as used for purposes of election contest
9 is -- is -- is referring to County Boards of Elections and
10 Registration or county probate judges, and that's for
11 counties that don't have, of course, a Board of Registrations and Elections.
12
13 Finally, Your Honor, the plaintiffs have made an argument about -- that -- that a public officer who --
14
15 that -- that the fourth subsection to the definition of "defendant" that discusses, you know, that a defendant can
16
17 be a public officer who declares the number of votes for
18 and against a question, submitted to -- submitted to the electors in an election that that somehow must wrap in the
19
20 Secretary of State also, but, Your Honor, of course, a question -- the question is defined to be, you know,
21
22 constitutional amendments or propositions, ballot referendum items that -- that voters vote on this, that
23
24 has nothing to do with election contests involving
25 candidates for public office.

1 And we would say that there's -- there's no basis for
2 making that -- that leap that the plaintiffs are -- are asking the Court to make. As Your Honor knows, election
3
4 laws that are in variegation to common law must be strictly construed here.
5
6 There's -- there's no basis for expanding the definition of "superintendent" to somehow wrap the
7
8 Secretary of State up into this election contest. The
9 proper defendants would be the counties, the county
10 superintendents in each county where the plaintiffs are
11 aware of election irregularities.
Now that moves to the next -- the next issue, and as
12
13 Your Honor pointed -- stated --
THE COURT: (inaudible)
14
15 MR. RUSSO: Well, within the four corners of -- of the complaint or the -- the petition.
16
17 THE COURT: Let me take the one issue, okay. Are you
18 arguing the motion to dismiss now?
MR. RUSSO: I'm still arguing the motion to dismiss,
19
20 just moving past whether --
THE COURT: That's not relevant here.
21
22 MR. RUSSO: Oh, I'm sorry. I apologize.
THE COURT: No, -- go ahead.
23
24 MR. RUSSO: Okay. The plaintiff's election contest
25 is also subject to dismissal for failing to meet the --

1 the pleading requirements.

2 THE COURT: Okay. That I'd like to take separately.
MR. RUSSO: Okay.
3

4 THE COURT: Let's take each step. That's what I said
in the beginning.
5

6 MR. RUSSO: Okay.
THE COURT: Let's take each step. You'll get to
7

8 argue that.

9 MR. RUSSO: No, I understand. I -- I thought you

10 meant each step -- I got you.

11 THE COURT: Let's -- the first issue before me is, is
the Secretary of State a proper -- okay.
12

13 MR. RUSSO: Sure.
THE COURT: All right. Anybody else on the defense
14

15 might want to bring a -- how about you, Mr. Brown?
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Judge. Bruce Brown for the
16

17 plaintiffs. The issue of whether the Secretary of State

18 is a proper defendant is actually -- it touches upon two
arguments that they make that are virtually the same. The
19

20 first is whether the Secretary is a proper defendant and
second whether the Secretary has sovereign immunity.
21

22 If the Secretary of State is a proper defendant, then
the sovereign immunity has been waived. The -- the
23

24 plaintiff's argument with respect to both issues is that

25 the election statute says defendant means election

1 superintendent. That is OCGA212250. The code then
2 defines election superintendent.
THE COURT: Are you saying 212250? 520.
3
4 MR. BROWN: I'm -- I'm sorry. 520.
THE COURT: 520. So y'all know, I have the book. I
5
6 don't necessarily have access to this particular computer,
and so I -- bring this book down with me.
7
8 MR. BROWN: I apologize, Your Honor.
9 THE COURT: It's 220?
10 MR. BROWN: 220. I keep transposing those numbers.
11 THE COURT: Yeah. Don't confuse them.
MR. BROWN: And then the phrase "election
12
13 superintendent" means in a statewide election the
Secretary of State. That is defined in OCGA2143. Now, it
14
15 is correct that that definition of "election
superintendent" as the Secretary of State is in a
16
17 different chapter of the code.
18 However, there's no reason to believe that the
legislature, in using the term election superintendent in
19
20 the contest chapter, meant anything different and, in
fact, commonsense would require that in a statewide
21
22 election that the proper defendant who is conducting the
election is the Secretary of State.
23
24 Particularly when the irregularity that is alleged is
25 an irregularity that is statewide in every single case.

1 Now the defendants, the Secretary of State option for
2 Your Honor, is to rule that in the statewide election contest that the plaintiff must join 159 counties, and
3
4 so --
THE COURT: Well, we haven't gotten to that yet,
5
6 either.
MR. BROWN: But this is -- but, Your Honor, it's the
7
8 same -- I would -- it's the same argument in that -- the
9 -- they're saying that they are not proper, but instead
10 the proper defendants are the 159 counties.
11 And we -- we think that's absurd and that's not what the legislator -- legislature intended. And it's not what
12
13 the legislature said.
Moreover, Your Honor, there has been, as far as we
14
15 know, only one statewide election contest on record, and that is the Mead vs. Sheffield case, which Mr. Russo
16
17 discussed. And in fact, in the Mead vs. Sheffield case
18 the attorney general defended and did not raise the sovereign immunity argument at all, and it's a
19
20 jurisdictional argument and it made it to the Supreme Court.
21
22 And it was clear to the Secretary of State and the attorney general at the time, Your Honor, that our reading
23
24 of the statute and commonsense meant, of course, that the
25 Secretary of State who is in charge of the elections is

1 the proper defendant in the statewide election contest.

2 Now, Mr. Russo said something to the effect, well, that was several years ago. I'm not sure why the

3

4 Secretary -- Secretary of State did it then, or why the former Secretary of State and former attorney general took

5

6 that position. I would like -- if I may approach, I found a case yesterday, Your Honor, which I believe is

7

8 instructed. But I'd like to approach the bench with a

9 copy of some of the papers, if I might.

10 THE COURT: All right. If you want to -- we don't

11 have a clerk because this is a Fulton County case. Thank you. So, it's just a brief?

12

13 MR. BROWN: It's a brief and then the case is attached to it, Your Honor.

14

15 THE COURT: I'm not going to -- I'm not going to take somebody else's brief. You want to argue it, fine, but

16

17 I'm not going to -- somebody else's brief and somebody

18 else's case is not -- and has got nothing to do with that.
MR. BROWN: Fair enough. If the -- the case, which

19

20 follows the copy of the brief, is a case called Dawkins-Haigler vs. Anderson. It's reported at 301-Georgia-27.

21

22 In that case, the Secretary of State was sued. And I quote, it's "Brian Kemp in his official capacity as state

23

24 election supervisor." That was the capacity in which he

25 was sued.

1 The -- the case was defended by Chris Carr, attorney
2 general, Dennis Dunn, Willard Chris Corea, the same attorney general law department lawyers who were defending
3
4 this case along with outside counsel from the Robbins firm. This is a case that was -- was tried last year,
5
6 Your Honor, and in that case the Secretary of State was -- THE COURT: Has there been an appellate decision on
7
8 the case?
9 MR. BROWN: Yes.
10 THE COURT: Okay.
11 MR. BROWN: It is 301-Georgia-27, and in that case the Secretary of State was sued quote "in its official
12
13 capacity as state election supervisor," and that styling of the Secretary of State appears in his own brief, which
14
15 is what I had shown to you, but the important thing is the reported decision, and in that case also the Secretary of
16
17 State stood up and defended the case on the merits.
18 THE COURT: Okay. If you want to give me -- but you know, if you've got a decision, let me have the final
19
20 decision, but what somebody else may have said and it's on the report, it's not relevant --
21
22 MR. BROWN: Your Honor the -- what the law department -- the position the law department said it is not binding
23
24 either on the law department or anybody else. They can
25 change their mind, of course, and not binding on Your

1 Honor.

2 However, it is reflective of what the law is, and the law says that defendant means election superintendent and

3

4 the law says "election superintendent" in a statewide race means the Secretary of State. So, our argument is that

5

6 the statute is clear.
There is no case in the history in the State of

7

8 Georgia holding that the Secretary of State has sovereign

9 immunity in an elections case. No case at all. They are

10 asking Your Honor to make a precedent setting, a brand-new

11 decision. It will be the first ever that ever granted this -- this relief.

12

13 THE COURT: If that's meant to intimidate me, it doesn't.

14

15 MR. BROWN: I don't think it should -- should intimidate you, Your Honor.

16

17 THE COURT: Well, you -- I've read a lot of what

18 you've provided me. I have not read the proffer of evidence because it's not relevant today --

19

20 MR. BROWN: I understand.
THE COURT: -- and I understand where you're coming

21

22 from.
MR. BROWN: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. That's our

23

24 argument on the sovereign immunity and the Secretary of

25 State being a proper defendant.

1 THE COURT: Okay. Any responses on that issue?

2 MR. RUSSO: Briefly, Your Honor. As you rightly noted these cases, this -- this brief and the cases cited
3

4 by plaintiff's counsel have no precedential value and basically stand for nothing on this -- on this point.
5

6 Plaintiff's counsel stated that the law says that in a statewide case, the superintendent is the Secretary of
7

8 State. Your Honor, I can't find anything on the books or

9 in the code that -- that says such.

10 Even Chapter 4, even the Recall Act that plaintiff's

11 counsel has referred to multiple times, states that in any case of elected state officers the Secretary of State --
12

13 and in short, you know, if somebody wants to challenge -- if there's a group that wants to recall a public officer
14

15 or state officer, they have to go get a petition signed. And that petition then goes to the Secretary of
16

17 State. It's similar to a third-party candidate or an

18 independent candidate trying to get on the ballot in a statewide race. None of this -- the Recall Act, of
19

20 course, has nothing to do with election contests, similar to independent candidates trying to file in a petition to
21

22 get on the ballot has nothing to do with election contests.
23

24 Your Honor, again, we don't know why or -- or if this

25 issue has -- was raised in -- in the 2004 case. However,

1 you know, the Secretary of State is not a statewide
2 election superintendent. Georgia law has long held that elections are -- are conducted at the county level and if
3
4 a contest is going to be filed, then it should be filed against the counties where those irregularities occurred.
5
6 And so again, we would ask you to dismiss the election contest on -- on that basis.
7
8 THE COURT: Okay. This goes to count 1 as I
9 understand it, and 2125 -- this is Section 2 of 21, which
10 is the election contest, okay, it -- it states that the
11 election superintendent was superintendent to conduct a contested -- or election, okay. What it says, in 2- --
12
13 again, this is within the same code, 212235 defines who those are.
14
15 It defines who the superintendents are, and it does not include Secretary of State. That's the law, and
16
17 definitions applicable to Section 2, okay. Now there may
18 be in the recall, which is Section 4, that that may be different, but it's under a different code section. It's
19
20 under a different section of the code. So as far as the election contest goes, count 1, I find the Secretary of
21
22 State is not a proper party, okay. And so, I'll grant your motion. -- I'll put my, you know, -- as to count 1,
23
24 okay.
25 MR. RUSSO: Yes, ma'am. Thank you,

1 THE COURT: Okay. What's the next question?

2 MR. RUSSO: I think some of my other motions are mooted at this point, so do you want to --

3

4 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, it would be best at this point for Gwinnett County to make its proper party

5

6 argument or would you rather hear from the Secretary of State on the constitutional claims, counts 2 and 3?

7

8 THE COURT: Well, you've got a motion to dismiss on

9 -- what, just on the general --

10 MR. RUSSO: Well, we have one every --

11 THE COURT: You just got a routine motion to dismiss on the four corners of the petition.

12

13 MR. RUSSO: That's right. We just had -- had other reasons why it should be dismissed.

14

15 THE COURT: I guess, Mr. Brown -- I guess I'd hear from Gwinnett first as to whether they should be a party

16

17 and then Fulton whether they should be a party. And then

18 we'll go into the -- if that's all right with you.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

19

20 THE COURT: Okay. Okay --
MR. TYSON: Thank you, Your Honor. Good morning.

21

22 Bryan Tyson for Gwinnett County Board of Registrations and Elections.

23

24 For our motion to dismiss, Your Honor, I think if we

25 determined now, if we've determined that the Secretary of

1 State is not a proper party to an election contest, I
2 think the logical questions is, well, who then is a proper party?
3
4 For -- for us as Gwinnett County, there is no question that the Gwinnett Board is an election
5
6 superintendent who conducted the contested election. So, there's no issue there. The challenge in this scenario is
7
8 that the plaintiffs have not sued all the parties that are
9 required to afford them full relief and Mr. Russo
10 referenced the Mead case where the case was filed against
11 the county's where the problem was alleged in a statewide election contest.
12
13 And if you look at and kind of do a comparison between 520 and 522, I think it helps explain a little bit
14
15 about how there's a connection between the grounds of the contest and who the defendant is in those cases. So,
16
17 under the -- under 520-2A and B, you have a candidate
18 who's the defendant -- the person who was nominated, the person whose eligibility is challenged.
19
20 That lines up to 522-2 where the allegation in the election contest is that the defendant, the candidate is
21
22 not eligible to hold the office. And so, in those cases where you're challenging eligibility, the other candidate
23
24 is obviously a logical defendant.
25 For 3, the election superintendent in 520 who

1 conducted the contested primary, that's where you have the
2 allegations on the grounds related to misconduct, related to illegal votes being cast or legal votes being rejected
3
4 or errors in counting.
5 And in this case the plaintiffs have made a number of
6 allegations about the various problems across the state, but they've only sued now Fulton and Gwinnett counties, as
7
8 is evidenced by other motions they filed, they want to
9 preserve evidence in Murray and Gordon counties.
10 There are allegations regarding DeKalb, regarding
11 Worth County, regarding a variety of other Georgia counties that are not parties to this case. And the
12
13 challenge in this situation is they have the evidence that Your Honor needs to be able to figure out is this a valid
14
15 contest or not.
16 If this is not a scenario where you can just pick a
17 county and then make allegations regarding and number of
18 other counties when they're not parties to the case and can't get -- get in front of the court.
19
20 The -- in addition, there is a lack of allegations regarding Gwinnett County as to some of the specifics. A
21
22 lot of the specific allegations involve other counties, many of whom are not parties. The other counties are the
23
24 ones that have the evidence.
25 So, for our position as Gwinnett, we believe this is

1 the basis for dismissal, because not only did plaintiffs
2 fail to name the proper parties to start with, but it's now too late for them to amend.
3
4 And while the election contest procedures clearly allow amendment of the petition, there's a difference when
5
6 you're amending to add additional parties. And the only the Supreme Court case en pointe here is Brody vs.
7
8 Champion. And in that case the -- the contestant had
9 filed the case against the director of elections for
10 DeKalb County, but had not sued the Board of Elections.
11 And the Supreme Court said, yes, you can modify, they were on notice within the statute; that's not a problem.
12
13 The Hanson case that the plaintiffs have cited involved a different procedure under a municipal election code that
14
15 involve a direct appeal or an independent lawsuit.
It's not really relevant here. What is relevant is
16
17 we are now beyond the five-day statute or well beyond
18 that. And the relation back under 9/11/15, there's nothing that the plaintiffs needed to know at the time
19
20 they filed their lawsuit where they couldn't have named the counties that are going to have evidence that they
21
22 claim they need.
In their petition they identify. These are the same
23
24 counties are the worst -- have the worst questions about
25 these percentages. They had anecdotes from counties that

1 were not included as parties in the case. So now because
2 we're too late to be able to do an amendment and add -- and have that relate back to the original filling date,
3
4 the statute has run as to those counties.
It is our position that those are necessary parties
5
6 in order to give full relief in this case. And because those parties were not joined, that's a basis for a
7
8 dismissal of the entirety of the election contest, as to
9 those allegations that have been made by the plaintiffs.
10 THE COURT: Anybody else on the defendants' side that
11 want to support that position? And pardon me -- argument at the same time.
12
13 MS. BURWELL: Kaye Burwell on behalf of Fulton County. We join in that argument, Your Honor, and we
14
15 would add that when the Court looks at the complaint in Paragraph 38, it references that there were thousands of
16
17 problems they alleged with the DRE machines where clearly
18 Gwinnett and Fulton County aren't in a position to speak to those thousands of problems.
19
20 The only issues we could speak to would be any issues they alleged on our particular counties. And since this
21
22 is a statewide issue, they're alleging the only way they would be able to bring information to the Court and the
23
24 only way the Court would be able to have a full and fair
25 hearing is if all those other counties that they're

1 complaining about, where they allege all these issues
2 occurred, were to be able to come in.
And as we noted on our motion to dismiss, Your Honor,
3
4 the Fulton County Board of Registrations and Elections
can't provide the petitioners with the relief they seek
5
6 because the DRE is constrained to follow state law. And
they have to use the DRE machines because that's what
7
8 state law requires.
9 And the only response the plaintiffs provided to
10 that, Your Honor, was that absentee ballots could be used,
11 but clearly the DRE doesn't have the ability to force
every Fulton County voter to use an absentee ballot. The
12
13 voters get to decide how they choose to vote.
THE COURT: You're off the -- you're off the --
14
15 you're off the field. So right now, so they join all the
counties, or shouldn't they?
16
17 MS. BURWELL: They should have joined all of the
18 counties, Your Honor.
THE COURT: That's the issue we're figuring with them
19
20 on this motion.
MS. BURWELL: Okay.
21
22 THE COURT: I'm trying -- I'm trying to do as clean a
record as I can.
23
24 MS. BURWELL: Okay.
25 THE COURT: Okay. So, you'll be heard on that.

1 Everybody's going to get heard on everything, okay.

2 MS. BURWELL: Okay.
THE COURT: I don't want to make you -- I'm just
3

4 trying to make a nice, clean record of the -- of the case.
MS. BURWELL: Yes.
5

6 THE COURT: Okay. So, you -- you -- you agree that
they have joined -- they should have seen everybody.
7

8 MS. BURWELL: Yes.

9 THE COURT: Okay? Every county, okay. Anybody else?

10 No? Okay. Then you can, because you didn't find the

11 word --
MR. BROWN: (inaudible)
12

13 THE COURT: (inaudible)
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. The Fulton
14

15 County's argument points to sort of the overall issue of
how the plaintiffs are getting whip shot here. Fulton
16

17 says, we can't defend this because the Secretary of State

18 does everything.
THE COURT: No. Don't go there. The issue we're
19

20 dealing with, the only issue I'm trying to read right this
minute is, should you have joined 159 counties or not?
21

22 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor, and here's why.
THE COURT: Okay. That -- that's the issue.
23

24 MR. BROWN: We -- we have -- first, we have alleged

25 causes of action against Gwinnett and Fulton. Our

1 allegations cover -- they are proper defendants as they --
2 I think, believe as they have conceded, that they've -- they conducted the election.
3
4 And we have alleged that the irregularities occurred in their counties, and they occurred in every county. And
5
6 so, Fulton and Gwinnett are proper defendants, and so they stay. The question then is, should the other -- should
7
8 157 other counties have been joined?
9 That comes down into two separate questions, okay.
10 First is, what might we need discovery from 157 other
11 counties and from a non-party Secretary of State. The answer is, yes. We will need discovery from the Secretary
12
13 of State, and we'll need discovery from -- from other counties, maybe.
14
15 We don't believe actually that substantial discovery will be required from every county. And the reason for
16
17 that is that the Secretary of State, not as a party, Your
18 Honor, I'm not arguing that piece, but as the repository of the information.
19
20 The Secretary programs the ballots, programs all the machines, programs the poll books, tabulates the results,
21
22 announces the results, all of those things. And so, the -- so the Secretary is here within the jurisdiction of this
23
24 court -- to court -- to respond to discovery.
25 In addition, Your Honor, has plenary power, plenary

1 power in the statutes that we have cited, to grant the
2 relief that we're seeking. There's no requirement that we
file suit against every county. Instead, the counties
3
4 that we have sued are proper.
And whether we can prove that is up to the next
5
6 hearing, it's not up to today. But we believe we can
prove that through discovery. And that the 157 counties
7
8 are not necessary to be joined. If they are necessary to
9 join them, then we'll join them and that's a separate
10 issue. Thank you, Your Honor.
11 THE COURT: Anything further? Anything further?
MR. BROWN: I have nothing further, Your Honor.
12
13 THE COURT: We have to do these cases as fast as we
can do them, and I respect that, and I'm trying to do this
14
15 as fast as I can. But given the status of what is
actually before me, not all this 180 pages of evidence and
16
17 all this stuff I've been dumped on, and which -- anyway.
18 I won't go there. It's very hard for me to be able to
download some of that stuff.
19
20 But I've done them. I've taken them off. But in
that status, I'm going to deny your motion. Whether it
21
22 will become relevant at the end of the hearing, I don't
know. But at this point, both those motions as to adding
23
24 the parties and as to them being improper parties --
25 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Does Gwinnett have any other motions
2 applicable just to Gwinnett?
3 MR. RUSSO: No, Your Honor, I don't believe we do.
4 We obviously join the other motions regarding kind of the
5 -- claim.
6 THE COURT: (inaudible)
7 MR. RUSSO: There is a complaint, I think that's it,
8 so.
9 THE COURT: Okay. Fulton, you've got it. Anything
10 else that you wanted to say? Come around, if you will.
11 I'm sorry to address you by county, but I have a cheat
12 sheet here with everybody's name, and I think I've lost
13 it.
14 MS. BURWELL: The -- the point I was making on
15 whether or not Fulton County was a proper party was that
16 the Fulton County Board of Registrations and Elections
17 isn't capable of providing the petitioners with the relief
18 that they're seeking, which in essence is changing out DRE
19 machines.
20 They don't want Georgia citizens to use the DRE
21 voting system. Well, Fulton County, like every other
22 county in the state, is constrained by state law to comply
23 with state law, which requires us to use the DRE machines.
24 Now, the response that plaintiffs had to our motion
25 to dismiss was merely, number one, that the Board of

1 Registrations and Elections could require citizens to vote
2 via absentee, and that's clearly not the case. Citizens get to decide how they vote, not the Board of
3
4 Registrations and Elections.
The only other issue they raised was OCGA21-2-366 and
5
6 they raised that for the proposition of saying that "Fulton County in fact could decide what kind of voting
7
8 system is in use" and that's just not the case. What the
9 Court looks at 21-2-366, it only provides that the
10 governing authority of a county, which is not the Board of
11 Registrations and Elections, it's the Board of Commissioners -- can authorize the use of optical scanning
12
13 voting systems.
Well, the Board of Commissioners is not a party to
14
15 this action; the Board of Registrations and Elections is. And so, the -- our position is that the county's position
16
17 with respect to whether or not it is a proper defendant is
18 unrefuted by the plaintiffs.
And then the only other issue we had raised, Your
19
20 Honor, was the lack of service. At the time we filed our motion to dismiss, they had not served.
21
22 THE COURT: They had not served?
MS. BURWELL: Yes, they served us on December 26th.
23
24 THE COURT: All right.
25 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I believe Your Honor's

1 already ruled that Fulton and Gwinnett are proper parties.
2 And I'm not sure I understand Fulton's argument that they're incapable of -- of conducting the elections. I
3
4 think that's an issue that may come later in terms of what sort of remedy that you offer, Your Honor.
5
6 Our position is that, number one, when you have the trial, the -- we will be able to show that a new election
7
8 should be ordered; that's the first argument. The second
9 argument is, if you do order a new election, on what
10 machines or what is -- what type of election should that
11 be? Should that be machines, or should that be paper? And that's what Fulton County is talking about is
12
13 really two or three issues; two steps away from where we are today. Our position will be that Fulton County is
14
15 capable of -- of conducting a paper ballot election without using these flawed machines.
16
17 And that that's authorized under the code provisions
18 that -- that we have cited. And that they don't need a Secretary of State's approval to do that, but certainly
19
20 Your Honor could order them to do so, if you've felt that was necessary, but again I think that's --
21
22 THE COURT: Asking further from Fulton County?
MS. BURWELL: No.
23
24 THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to deny that, again at
25 this point when you all stop talking, arguing the

1 evidence, then the motion to dismiss this is doing a lot,
2 okay. Does that mean -- after we have a -- have a hearing on the matter, I don't know. But I'm happy to try it.
3
4 But right at this point, I'm bound by what the rules say. That's if a slight -- allegations are -- I have to
5
6 follow. Okay, so we haven't generalized the motion to dismiss, did you need to be heard on that as well.
7
8 MR. RUSSO: No, not on that issue.
9 THE COURT: I didn't think so. This is generalized.
10 Everybody seems to have generalized motions dismissed on
11 the pleadings. Let me hear that.
MR. RUSSO: Would you like to hear from --
12
13 THE COURT: On count one.
MR. RUSSO: Sure. Would you still like to hear from
14
15 us or --
THE COURT: Whatever order you want to do it.
16
17 MR. BELINFANTE: I mean, I'm happy to make our
18 argument. I'm happy to make our arguments on it.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, Edward Lindsey once again,
19
20 attorney on behalf of Lieutenant Governor-elect Geoff Duncan. As was previously stated, Mr. Duncan won his race
21
22 by over 123,000 votes. I'm going to go through some numbers, Your Honor, and I'm going to ask you to simply
23
24 take judicial notice, which I believe you're entitled to.
25 As a matter of public records; in terms of public records.

1 The case I'll cite for taking judicial notice would
2 be Johnson vs. Adams 323-Georgia-P0427 and that particular case that was taking judicial notice of a separate lawsuit
3
4 of a -- separate case in bankruptcy court, but nevertheless, the rule is that the courts in a motion to
5
6 dismiss, I believe, courts can take judicial notice of such matters.
7
8 And the only thing that I'm going to be talking about
9 are the official numbers from the Georgia Secretary of
10 State's office, certified numbers. Court, in such cases
11 such as ours needs to remember that the setting aside of an election in which the people have chosen their
12
13 representative, is a drastic remedy that should not be undertaken lightly, but instead should be reserved for
14
15 cases in which a person challenging an election has clearly established a violation of elections procedures.
16
17 And has demonstrated that the violations have placed
18 the result of the election in doubt; that's not our assertion, Your Honor. That's a big court decision. In
19
20 the case of Hunt vs. Crawford 270-Georgia-7, a 1998 decision in my brief we filed yesterday, Your Honor, we
21
22 sort of outlined that that's -- what's clearly required is that the plaintiffs --
23
24 And at this point, Your Honor, that the pleadings
25 must show that the plaintiffs are asserting that as result

1 of the defects that they are alleging, that it places the
2 -- the outcome of the election in doubt. And the courts have established somewhat of a mathematical test.
3
4 And I'm glad to hear that you said you were a math major, so I'm going to simply talk about a little math
5
6 here. The -- the plaintiffs, you know, or the petitioners have alleged several things. On number one they say, that
7
8 "as a result of these nefarious defects, the -- the total
9 number of votes cast in the lieutenant governor's race was
10 dramatically below those of other down-ballot statewide
11 races."
Well, if you look at our particular case, like I
12
13 said, 123,000 votes, 123,172 votes; that's the certified result number. If you look at the -- the -- the highest
14
15 number of votes that were cast in any of the down-ballot races -- that would be the Secretary of State's race. And
16
17 in that race, there were 3,883,594 votes total cast.
18 In the lieutenant governor's race, that's 3,780,304. So even if we were to accept -- and the Court is required
19
20 to do here -- the petitioner's allegations that there was something nefarious or -- or negligent in terms of the
21
22 counts, votes that were counted.
The difference between what took place in the
23
24 lieutenant governor's race total votes and the Secretary
25 of State's total votes is only 103,290 votes. That's

1 19,882 votes short of the margin of victory that Mr.
2 Duncan enjoyed.
The next thing that the -- that the petitioner
3
4 asserts in its allegation is that there was a drop-in vote
between those -- in -- in terms of the drop-offs, which
5
6 are the number of people who voted in one race but didn't
vote in the another. They assert that there's a dramatic
7
8 difference between the drop-off between the governor and
9 the lieutenant governor's race, and between the paper
10 ballots versus the electronic voting.
11 And they assert essentially that in the paper ballots
there was a drop-off of only 98.9%, but in the other case
12
13 there was a dramatic drop-off below that number. If you
were to accept their assertion that there should have been
14
15 the same drop-off between the governor's race and the
lieutenant governor's race, the numbers still come up
16
17 short as a matter of math.
18 In this particular case, Your Honor, if we were to
accept the petitioner's allegations that there's -- that
19
20 the drop-off between the governor's race and the
lieutenant governor's race should have been the same
21
22 between the write-in, between the paper ballots and
electronic ballots that would have raised the number of
23
24 votes cast in the lieutenant governor's race to 3,895,955.
25 That's still, Your Honor, would have only increased

1 the number of votes cast to be 115,691 votes, which is
2 still below 123,000-plus votes cast. The third allegation that the petitioner asserts in their petition is that
3
4 there was in terms of the drop-off between -- in the electronic voting between those who voted in the
5
6 governor's race and the lieutenant governor's race had a particularly negative impact on the democratic candidate
7
8 Ms. Miko.
9 If you were to take that as truth and simply allow
10 for the same percentage of votes for Ms. Miko that Mr.
11 Duncan enjoyed in terms of -- of the -- the percentage of votes that they received versus the gubernatorial race,
12
13 you still end up 53,902 votes short of what the -- of the number that is needed that would have closed the gap at
14
15 53,902, so in other words you're still short of 123,000 votes.
16
17 And -- and that's the key -- and that's the key. In
18 all cases, in the Supreme Court cases, is you've got to have a show that the number of votes that were either
19
20 miscounted or not counted properly would have wiped away the margin of victory that the winner enjoyed.
21
22 And we've cited several cases as have everyone else that demonstrates that's the rule of thumb. By the -- in
23
24 terms of petitioner's allegations -- each of their
25 allegations, Your Honor, I want you to take judicial

1 notice of certified results from the Secretary of State.

2 They fall short of that golden number, by any number
between 8,000 to 80,000 votes short, depending on the --
3

4 their various allegations.
I would point out, Your Honor, that the petitioners'
5

6 themselves in their exhibit to their petition included an
exhibit from a letter from Ms. Amico who said in the
7

8 letter, "the number of residual votes in the lieutenant

9 governor makes it unlikely to affect the outcome of my

10 race." She's right, Your Honor, because she also

11 understands the math.
So, Your Honor, we would simply ask for a motion to
12

13 dismiss based on the petitioner's petition when you take
judicial notice of the public record regarding the
14

15 certified results from the election from all the races.
Thank you, Your Honor. Does the Court have any
16

17 questions?

18 THE COURT: No. Anybody else --
MR. TYSON: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you, Your Honor.
19

20 Again, Bryan Tyson for Gwinnett County.
I think the thing to keep in mind is that when we're
21

22 in this posture after a certified result from an election,
the election is presumed valid. And if you were in a
23

24 scenario where, let's say you have 100-vote margin.

25 And you allege, you put in your petition that 99

1 either illegal votes were cast or legal votes were
2 rejected, that petition would be properly subject for dismissal for failure -- failure to state a claim, because
3
4 you have to allege a sufficient number of votes that would have placed the result in doubt or would have been
5
6 sufficient to change the result of the election.
And in this case, the plaintiffs have not made any
7
8 such allegation in their petition. In addition, the --
9 there is conflicting causes of action referenced in the
10 motions to dismiss. In the petition the plaintiffs that
11 they say that they're proceeding under 521-1 and 3.
In their response to the motion to dismiss, they're
12
13 relying on 1, 3, 4, and 5, but I think the most important thing for the Court to remember in terms of looking at the
14
15 petition itself, is what it stated, is that the irregularities that the plaintiffs have alleged only
16
17 involve a 100 or so votes here and there across the state.
18 They also involve claims of machine malfunction in DeKalb County in paragraph 42 of the complaint. Of
19
20 course, the plaintiffs have dismissed DeKalb County from this lawsuit. And the -- the collection of information
21
22 that's in the petition is a bunch of kind of one-off programming errors.
23
24 Most of them are unverified and anonymous, and
25 significantly they don't get anywhere close to the

1 123,000-vote threshold that they would -- that the
2 plaintiffs had to plead in order to state a claim here because of the way the Supreme Court precedent works.
3
4 I'm in Ellis vs. Johnson 2623-Georgia-514, the Supreme Court is very clear that speculation alone is not
5
6 enough. There has to be -- has to be a specificity in terms of the mathematical certainty of what happened. And
7
8 we see that over in Mead, in Howell, and in just a number
9 of Supreme Court cases, that it is proper for the court to
10 look at motion to dismiss, first of all, because those
11 cases were decided based on motions to dismiss. But second that it's proper to look at the
12
13 allegations and see have the plaintiffs alleged this 123,000-vote margin that would be sufficient to place the
14
15 result in doubt. And we would submit that they have not done that in the four corners of their petition. On that
16
17 basis it should be dismissed for failure to state a claim.
18 THE COURT: Does Fulton want to be heard on that?
MS. BURWELL: We join in, all the others.
19
20 THE COURT: You just joining them now?
MS. BURWELL: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor.
21
22 THE COURT: (inaudible)
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. The -- the
23
24 defendants have pointed to one of the most egregious
25 pieces of evidence showing the total system failure of --

1 THE COURT: But -- but -- but that's not -- this is
2 -- that's a wonderful opening statement. This is not that day, okay?
3
4 MR. BROWN: Okay.
THE COURT: Just respond, if you will, to what --
5
6 MR. BROWN: Sure. The --
THE COURT: I don't do well with --
7
8 MR. BROWN: Okay. The --
9 THE COURT: -- inflammatory -- just stay within what
10 we're -- what --
11 MR. BROWN: Sure. The undervote is an illustration of the system problems that we allege in the complaint.
12
13 Allegations that, as Your Honor has already mentioned, must be accepted as true.
14
15 THE COURT: All right.
MR. BROWN: The -- the numbers that Mr. Lindsey was
16
17 giving, Your Honor, are not our entire case. Instead what
18 we have alleged is that the system malfunctioned as reflected by the undervote, which is unexplained in -- in
19
20 ways that happened statewide.
The defendants interpret -- we have a different
21
22 interpretation of the legal requirements here. First in terms of what's subjectively required, and then second,
23
24 the procedural policy.
25 First, Your Honor, in the Supreme Court in the cases

1 in which the nature of the voting problem is not
2 quantifiable, the plaintiff is not required to count up the votes and show the difference. For example, in the
3 Stiles case, 252-Georgia-260, the Supreme Court found that there were irregularities and misconduct and ordered a new
5 election despite no proof of the numbers involved.
Similarly, in the Hunt case, although the contestants
7 lost the Hunt case, this is 270-Georgia-7 -- one of the
9 reasons why the plaintiffs lost is that the Court found
10 quote "moreover, there was no evidence introduced at trial
11 from which it can be inferred that the misconduct was more widespread than the record indicates."
12 The Supreme Court is -- is signaling that if there is
13 evidence introduced at trial from which it can be inferred
14 that there was a widespread problem, that the case may be made.
16 And so the -- the cases that they cite we have no
17 quarrel with, Your Honor, but those are cases in which the
18 impact of a mistake is easily quantifiable because you
19 have the illegal ballots sitting there physically in front of the Court, and you're able to count them up and to
21 determine whether or not it's enough to make a difference.
And certainly, if you have seven illegal ballots in
23 front of you and the margin and difference is eight, then
24 you know that that's insufficient. But in those cases
25

1 where the mistake is not quantifiable, then that is not
2 the approach that the Court has taken, and that, again, is the Stiles case.
3
4 And -- but this leads, Your Honor, back to the procedural posture of the case. In all of these cases --
5
6 and I will just read these to you. What the -- what the courts are saying about the evidence is after a trial, for
7
8 example -- these are not examples. This is what I found
9 in -- in review of all of the cases they have cited.
10 In Banker vs. Kohl, 378-Georgia-532, what the Court
11 said, quote "Evidence presented at the trial court," and then went on to explain. In Dawkins-Haigler 30177, the
12
13 Supreme Court says, "after a lengthy hearing the trial court concluded..."
14
15 And then in Fuller 284-Georgia-397, the Court explained that the case proceeded to trial. In Hunt vs.
16
17 Crawford, 270-Georgia-7, the Supreme Court said, at trial,
18 "Hunt admitted making telephone calls," and then went into the evidence of misconduct.
19
20 In Mead vs. Sheffield, the case that's discussed by both parties, the Court notes, "it was established at the
21
22 evidentiary hearing," and then went in to show how it was established, that in fact the case had been made for a new
23
24 trial.
25 Scoggins, again, 288-Georgia-26, the Supreme Court

1 said, "after hearing on the merits," and then explained
2 how in fact the plaintiff prevailed after hearing on the merits. Your Honor, there is one case in which the trial
3
4 court did grant a motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim, and only one.
5
6 And that is the trial court in the Taggart case, 242-Georgia-454. The trial court, without an evidentiary
7
8 hearing, dismissed the petition for failure to state a
9 claim on similar grounds the defendants urge in this case.
10 So, the trial court decision in that case would be the
11 sole precedent that I can find to support the defendant's position in that case.
12
13 However, on appeal that holding was reversed by the Supreme Court. And the Supreme Court held, quote "A
14
15 petition should not be dismissed for failure to state a claim unless it discloses with certainty that the
16
17 plaintiff would not be entitled to relieve under any set
18 of facts."
Interestingly, the Taggart case also involved the
19
20 type of situation -- it involved actually two different kinds of challenges, two different problems in the
21
22 election. One problem had to do with quantifiable ballot issues where you could count them up and you could
23
24 determine whether or not it was enough to make a
25 difference.

1 But the other part was the -- the contestant alleged
2 that the machines were -- were operating improperly. More like our -- more analogous to our case here. And in
3
4 Taggart, the Supreme Court held, quote "Similarly, doubt may be cast on an election by showing improper maintenance
5
6 of the voting machines resulting in votes being miscast." And then in their ruling reversing the trial court
7
8 for dismissing the case, the Court notes, "All this is a
9 matter of proof." Now in the Taggart case it came back on
10 appeal and the plaintiff failed to prove it and lost. But
11 it is a matter of proof. If the plaintiff can prove that improper maintenance
12
13 of the voting machines resulted in votes being miscast, which is what we allege, Your Honor, then we're entitled
14
15 to victory and entitled to relief, and if we're not, if we can't prove it, then we're not entitled to relief. And
16
17 that's -- that's clear from the cases.
18 Now in terms of -- of -- I want to return to the allegations that we make and the -- the breadth of it.
19
20 First, we allege that the state's system is fundamentally flawed from the start and that we note that the persuasive
21
22 opinion by Judge Totenberg in which she describes the system as being --
23
24 THE COURT: I'm not bound by it to her at all.
25 MR. BROWN: You're not bound by her ruling at all.

1 THE COURT: You can try to hand it to me, but I'm not
2 bound by it.
3 MR. BROWN: No. It's -- we think it's persuasive
4 but, of course, you're not bound by it. But she did find
5 that the -- that the same system is profoundly vulnerable.
6 THE COURT: Okay. I -- I don't care what you've
7 found. It's got nothing to do with the burden of proof.
8 The burden of proof will be on you to get that far.
9 MR. BROWN: Right.
10 THE COURT: The burden of proof is on you.
11 MR. BROWN: Right.
12 THE COURT: But you can't prove it by -- the judge.
13 MR. BROWN: That's -- yes, Your Honor. But it will
14 be proven with the evidence that persuaded Judge
15 Totenberg, we would -- we would suggest. And if -- Your
16 Honor, it could very well be that at trial we're not
17 persuasive.
18 But we do have the opportunity to prove that and as
19 of -- one of the things that -- what motions to dismiss
20 law is, Your Honor, is that -- what the law says is that
21 there's no reasonable possibility that the plaintiffs can
22 prove their case, then they lose.
23 And what we're suggesting is, having already proven
24 some of these things, that it's -- it's important to
25 consider that and projecting what we'll be able to win in

1 this case.

2 THE COURT: Yeah, but I don't -- in this courtroom --
MR. BROWN: That is correct, Your Honor, but --
3

4 THE COURT: -- has proven that.
MR. BROWN: That's correct. But in considering the
5

6 motion to dismiss one of the issues is, will they be able
to prove it, and we believe we will.
7

8 Now, what we have alleged, Your Honor, which must be

9 accepted as true, regardless of Judge Totenberg's

10 decision, it must be accepted as true that these systems

11 are fundamentally unreliable, period. That has to be
accepted as a fact for right now.
12

13 Number two, we have given illustrations and alleged
of particular instances in which these machines have,
14

15 throughout the state, malfunctioned.
Three, we have shown the results of these
16

17 malfunctions in an unexplainable pattern of voting in

18 which we will present expert testimony saying that this
cannot be explained except in terms of machine
19

20 malfunction, a statewide systemic machine malfunction.
And it is not just simply the under-vote that Mr. Lindsey
21

22 tries to calculate. It's that the entire voting system
was flawed. And so, the votes for Mr. Duncan, the votes
23

24 for Ms. Amico, that entire election was flawed. And

25 that's what we allege, and we're entitled to be able to

1 prove it. And so, under those facts, we believe that
2 we're entitled. We have -- there -- we have alleged evidence, Your Honor, of the -- this particular race not
3
4 being on the electronic screen, of the screen flipping between one and the other.
5
6 THE COURT: Is that your petition?
MR. BROWN: It is. And instance after instance of
7
8 this that we have alleged, and we're entitled to prove
9 that, and we believe we will. And so, we have the -- we
10 have alleged a fundamental unreliability of these
11 machines. We have alleged specific incidences that can only be explained by a malfunctioning system that was
12
13 statewide that can -- and from that, to borrow the language from the Supreme Court in the Taggart case, from
14
15 which we believe, Your Honor may infer, you don't have to but we believe we will be persuasive that you can infer a
16
17 much broader problem.
18 And we also believe that as one illustration of the problem is the under-vote, the totally unexplained
19
20 difference between the electronic vote totals and the paper vote totals.
21
22 The defendant's offer -- this is not an evidentiary hearing, but they have not offered any plausible
23
24 explanation for why simply by the mode of electing, there
25 would ever be such a dramatic difference in the drop-off

1 rate.

2 And the -- what that shows are not that that particular margin is wrong, but that the entire race is

3

4 wrong. It's like -- there's that old phrase is the clock that struck 13, Your Honor. And when a clock strikes 13,

5

6 not only do you know it's not 13 o'clock, but you doubt every single chime that has come before it because the

7

8 clock isn't working.

9 And the under-vote is the clock that struck 13. And

10 we would -- we would say that for all those reasons we

11 state a claim for relief, and that in -- in no case held has a motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim in

12

13 election case been sustained and it certainly should not be the case in this case. Thank you, Your Honor.

14

15 MR. LINDSEY: If I may, Your Honor, please.
THE COURT: (inaudible)

16

17 MR. LINDSEY: The issue in this case is not an

18 allegation of misconduct by anyone advocating for or against a particular candidate. The allegation in this

19

20 case is that votes were not -- people were not able to cast votes, and votes were not properly counted. The case

21

22 in which Mr. Brown relies upon and cited to you repeatedly with the Stiles vs. Earnest -- Earnest who was the

23

24 election superintendent, 252-Georgia-260.

25 That particular case, Your Honor, had nothing to do

1 with whether or not votes were properly counted, or the
2 machines were operating properly. Instead, it had to do
with allegations of misconduct by advocates for a
3
4 referendum. Totally different situation.
In our situation, Your Honor, in which we are dealing
5
6 with whether or not votes were properly counted. The
cases that we have cited to you and I'll cite to you once
7
8 again, the Fuller vs. Thomas case, a Georgia Supreme Court
9 case, 284-Georgia-397, in which the Court held, when the
10 focus is on improperly cast ballots or irregularities in
11 the conduct of the election, the number of legal or
irregular ballots necessary to cast doubt on an election
12
13 is derived by taking the difference between the total
votes cast in the election and erase that issue and adding
14
15 the margin of victory in the race issue.
In other words, you've got to show -- to begin with,
16
17 you have to allege that any irregularities in terms of the
18 machines or anyone counting the votes would have an impact
on the margin of victory in which case -- in this case,
19
20 like I stated earlier, with over 123,000 votes.
The fact of the matter is within the four corners of
21
22 the plaintiff's petition, nowhere, nowhere do they allege
that these irregularities closed -- were -- resulted in
23
24 the number of votes that were improperly cast or not
25 properly counted were greater than 123,000 votes.

1 That's kind of the fundamental first step that they
2 must allege in order to have a case move forward. When you then add to that the public records that I've asked
3
4 you to take judicial notice of, that Mr. Brown has not disputed and I walked through so tediously, it
5
6 demonstrates that even in the worst-case scenario that were alleged in the Petition, he falls -- the votes fall
7
8 short of the margin of victory.
9 And for that reason, in this particular case we ask
10 for you to grant our motion to dismiss. Thank you.
11 THE COURT: (inaudible)
MR. RUSSO: Very brief, Your Honor. Just cover three
12
13 quick points quickly, Your Honor. First of all, the allegations here regarding the -- the only -- I should
14
15 say, the only type of election contest where you're not required to put forward specific evidence or pleading
16
17 regarding a number is in 524(c) when the error of counting
18 the votes is alleged as a ground of contest.
There's a specific provision that says you're not
19
20 required to show evidence to substantiate the allegation. You -- you're not required in that one type of contest.
21
22 That is not this type of contest.
Mr. Brown has, secondly, has alluded to the idea that
23
24 the lieutenant governor's race did not appear on some
25 ballots. If you look at both Mead and Howell, you'll see

1 cases where you had to plead and show the number of
2 ballots where the name of the candidate did not appear.
That's one of the allegations that the plaintiffs
3
4 have not pled that it did not appear on at least 123,000
voting machines along the way. Mr. Brown also continues
5
6 to insist that there's no other valid explanation when any
number of ballot explanations may exist.
7
8 And as we discussed before, the -- Mr. Brown and the
9 plaintiffs may not rely on mere speculation. They have to
10 plead with specificity in their petition that the outcome
11 would have changed or that the irregularities were
sufficient to place the result in doubt. Thank you.
12
13 THE COURT: This is so close. You know, it was
presumed, I'm waiting for -- is that the election returned
14
15 the bath. Okay? Now, the part of your job is above the -
- Your job, Counsel, -- of placing doubt on the results of
16
17 the election.
18 And that's done usually by numbers, through math.
And the math is against you. You have chosen not to
19
20 allege any math in your petition, okay. You have alleged
-- I had it somewhere in front of me, but anyway, you have
21
22 alleged that the result would be different or is in
substantial doubt. But I haven't found it.
23
24 MR. BELINFANTE: Yes, Your Honor.
25 THE COURT: I forgot the exact language. I had it,

1 but I've lost it. All right, yeah. The -- the -- to
2 place in doubt the result of the entire election, okay.
The numbers aren't weighty, okay. But you've got some
3
4 generalized arguments about the systemic failure of the
whole system that might -- that might cast -- which makes
5
6 the math an issue.
It's a huge burden for you to carry, but the
7
8 petition, it's sufficient to have a try. I -- I hate to
9 do that, but it's -- it's -- if we have to take the four
10 corners of the petition, it really doesn't matter if it's
11 badly pled. I'm not saying it's badly pled. You didn't
plead numbers.
12
13 But the cover clearly says, "under F of -- of 524,
that the form -- form of process is not material. It's --
14
15 it's -- the election contests are interesting. There was
kind of different than we've seen on things. It's a very
16
17 close question, sir.
18 It is a very close question, and -- and -- and you
need to be aware I don't do well with inflammatory stuff.
19
20 I don't get inflamed by attention -- and -- and what other
judges do is not -- okay. So, understand you've got two
21
22 rules.
I am going to deny the motion to dismiss. I -- I --
23
24 it's extremely close, but on the kind of pleadings, I'm
25 looking for originals in that cover section about, you

1 know, don't worry about form and so on and so forth. I'm
2 going to let it go forward, but the plain numbers are against you. So, you -- you've got some -- an uphill
3
4 battle.
MR. BELINFANTE: Okay then. Thank you, Your Honor.
5
6 THE COURT: Can you take a moment, drop a line -- and -- and --
7
8 MR. BELINFANTE: Yes, Your Honor.
9 THE COURT: You all know where I am because you've
10 been emailing me, so send it to me, okay. I can't
11 download a pack. Don't ask me why. I am supposed to be retired next year.
12
13 MR. RUSSO: Your Honor, would you like us to submit a joint motion?
14
15 THE COURT: What?
MR. RUSSO: Would you like us to submit one motion --
16
17 I mean, one order, one proposed order?
18 THE COURT: You could even do one proposed order, or if you can agree on it or you can do them separately about
19
20 that.
MR. BELINFANTE: I think we'll probably --
21
22 THE COURT: I'm fixing to go into two full weeks of work, so you'll be able to get -- okay. What else do we
23
24 have from the defendant?
25 MR. BELINFANTE: Your Honor, we have -- we have two

1 motions that are --

2 THE COURT: Well, no, I know what -- what you have.
MR. BELINFANTE: Oh, I'm sorry.

3

4 THE COURT: I don't think I read all this stuff,
okay? Okay?

5

6 MR. RUSSO: Your Honor, for the Secretary of State,
this is joined by the other defendants, I believe.

7

8 THE COURT: Is this on the two constitution --

9 MR. BELINFANTE: On the two constitutional --

10 THE COURT: We have two constitutional claims and

11 then we'll do your motions. And I've got those too; don't
worry about it. All right. Go ahead.

12

13 MR. BELINFANTE: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. I'm
going to address just the two counts. The one for due

14

15 process and the right being identified there is the right
to vote. The second is the Equal Protection Clause.

16

17 Now there's -- we'll rest largely on the briefs in

18 terms of sovereign immunity, but the point to take from it
is that the Equal Protection Clause and the due process

19

20 clause are completely contingent upon what Your Honor
rules on the underlying election challenge, count one.

21

22 Their briefs make that plain. The relief they seek,
it's in paragraph 71 and 75, is the right to vote in a new

23

24 election for lieutenant governor, not any other future

25 one. And in 72 and 76, it says that it would be remedied

1 by holding a new election.

2 So, if the Court at the conclusion of the evidence, or at least at the conclusion of the plaintiff's case,

3

4 decides that there is no basis to go forward, the constitutional claims equally fail. They -- they rise and

5

6 fall together.

So, the question before you on the motion to dismiss

7

8 is have they alleged sufficient facts to state a claim for

9 due process and for equal protection, and Your Honor, the

10 answer is simply no, based on the Favorito decision from

11 the Supreme Court of Georgia in 2009. I have a copy of it, if the Court would like, and provide one to opposing

12

13 counsel as well.

MR. BROWN: Thank you, sir.

14

15 MR. BELINFANTE: And I'll be referring to Favorito throughout the argument. The question on the due process

16

17 claim is what is the standard of review. Is it strict

18 scrutiny, is it rational basis?

And Favorito answers that question in the latter. It

19

20 is a rational basis test. It says on page 796, quoting a decision from the 11th Circuit, Wexler vs. Anderson, that

21

22 when a state election law provision imposes only reasonable non-discriminatory restrictions upon the 1st

23

24 and 14th Amendment rights of voters, the state's important

25 regulatory interests are generally sufficient.

1 Using the word "reasonable" the Supreme Court noted
2 and applied a rational basis test. And, in fact, it goes on to say that there is a rational reason to use DRE
3
4 machines on the same page.
It says, "it is the job of democratically-elected
5
6 representatives to weigh the pros and cons of the various balloting systems. So long as their choice is reasonable
7
8 and neutral, it is free from judicial second guessing,"
9 once again confirming that we're in a rational basis test
10 review.
11 And now using -- applying that standard, looking at the due process claim, which is paragraph 62 to 72, count
12
13 two, in the complaint. The defendants do not disagree that the right to vote is fundamental. The disagreement
14
15 is that they have not alleged a burden for which there is not a rational basis to use the DRE machines.
16
17 And to look -- to see that you need only look at
18 paragraph 69 of the complaint. There the plaintiffs or the petitioners allege that using defective DRE machines
19
20 in a flawed and inaccurate voter registration and electronic poll book system, defendants have knowingly and
21
22 severely burdened and infringed upon the fundamental right to vote.
23
24 Now you compare that to what the Supreme Court
25 described as the cause of action in Favorito. This is at

1 page 795 and 796 of that case in the Georgia reports.

2 There the Supreme Court described the petitioner's claims as -- in three counts of their complaint, appellants

3

4 allege that this state's use of the DRE equipment denies them equal protection under the federal and state

5

6 constitutions, and the fundamental right to vote under the due process clause of the 14th Amendment.

7

8 Their claims are virtually identical. And so, then

9 applying the rational basis test that Favorito did, the

10 law is clear. There is a rational basis to use the DRE

11 machines. And in the case, it's important that the Court citing the Weber vs. Shelley decision from the 9th Circuit

12

13 in 2003, acknowledged all the risks that are contained in the petitioners' claims now.

14

15 The court said in Favorito, again citing Weber, page 797, "no balloting system is perfect." Traditional paper

16

17 ballots, as became evident during the 2000 presidential

18 election, are prone to over-votes, under-votes, hanging chads, and other mechanical and human errors that may

19

20 thwart voter intent.
Meanwhile, touchscreen voting systems remedy a number

21

22 of these problems, albeit at the hypothetical price of vulnerability to certain types of fraud. And it's the job

23

24 the Court continued to say of the legislature to decide

25 which of those two risks it is going to use.

1 In fact, the Court says expressly, it's the job of
2 democratically-elected representatives to weigh the pros and cons. And so long as their choice is reasonable and
3
4 neutral, it's free from second guessing.
5 And then it goes on to really put the nail in the
6 coffin here, "in this instance Georgia made a reasonable politically neutral and nondiscriminatory choice to
7
8 certify touchscreen systems as an alternative to paper
9 ballots." Nothing in the Constitution prohibits this
10 choice.
11 That forecloses the due-process argument, because despite however it can be dressed up, it is an attack on
12
13 the DRE machines themselves. The DRE machines were attacked in 2006, and by the time they got to the Supreme
14
15 Court in 2009, the Court said it is insufficient.
16 The legislature is entitled to choose the DRE
17 machines understanding and knowing all of the risks that
18 they claim, it is still insufficient to state a claim under due process. If you then look to equal protection,
19
20 Favorito blocks the claims again.
21 Once again, the allegations are identical from what
22 the plaintiffs allege or the petitioners here and what they alleged in Favorito. Paragraph 74 of this complaint
23
24 says, "defendants knowingly treated electors who voted by
25 DRE differently than others similarly situated electors

1 voting in the same election in a jurisdiction who voted by
2 absentee ballot."
In other words, if you vote absentee you can do it
3
4 with a piece of paper. If you vote in person you use a
DRE machine and that is the distinction that they claim
5
6 sets up the Equal Protection Clause. They make that clear
on pages 43 and 44 of their response to the motion to
7
8 dismiss.
9 A state election regulation, which they go on to
10 cite, which discriminates on its face between two classes
11 of people, namely voters who vote in person and voters who
vote by absentee mail.
12
13 Now you then look at the Favorito and the court's
description of the argument there and it was the
14
15 appellants argued that electronic voters are treated
differently from voters who cast absentee ballots on paper
16
17 and the procedure for an accuracy of any recount would
18 differ. The claims are simply identical.
And so, the holding of Favorito is absolutely
19
20 dispositive. And this is at page 798. What the court
effectively says is, a voter can choose. You can vote by
21
22 absentee. We have absentee for any reason in this state,
and if you have a concern that the DRE machine is flawed,
23
24 then by all means, vote absentee.
25 It is not a distinction that the state mandates,

1 which is what is required under equal protection claim.

2 The Court specifically says in Favorito, that "as the trial court found, Georgia voters have an option."

3

4 And then it continues, if the trial court correctly concluded that quote "since every Georgia citizen could

5

6 vote either by absentee ballot or by utilizing touchscreen voting systems," appellants contention that there is some

7

8 state-based classification between voters is false.

9 And it continues then to say, "we cannot see how

10 Georgia has violated the Equal Protection Clause of the

11 14th Amendment by making these two available pacts. The allegations are the same. The case is directly en pointe

12

13 and Equal Protection and Due-Process Clause should be dismissed. Thank you.

14

15 THE COURT: Anybody else for the defendant on that issue?

16

17 MR. TYSON: We'll just join the Secretary of State's

18 argument on that point.
THE COURT: Okay.

19

20 MS. BURWELL: We join in their argument as well, Your Honor. Thank you.

21

22 MR. TYSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
MR. BROWN: In their motion to dismiss the federal

23

24 constitutional claims, first Mr. Belinfante's description

25 of how this claim will interact with the other's claims is

1 substantially correct, and that is, what we are -- what
2 the plaintiffs are alleging is that with any new election going forward prospectively should be conducted on -- not
3
4 on these machines, because doing so would be a violation of due process and equal protection.
5
6 So that's the -- sort of the procedural posture of how this claim is seeking prospective relief. Second, the
7
8 defendants rely exclusively on the Favorito and Wexler
9 decisions. The Favorito and Wexler decisions are
10 fundamentally distinguishable because Favorito dealt with
11 a 2006-era DRE system.
What's -- what has happened and what we allege in our
12
13 complaint all are real events in real life that have changed the system, that make it fundamentally different
14
15 than the system that was being reviewed by the courts in the -- the -- the -- the Favorito case.
16
17 And as we allege, Your Honor, since the Favorito
18 case, every single government agency that is responsible for national security has told the State of Georgia that
19
20 the current DRE system is fundamentally insecure.
The House Select Committee, the Senate Select
21
22 Committee, the Department of Homeland Protection. In addition, every known computer scientist on record has
23
24 said exactly the same thing and has said to Georgia, these
25 are unreliable.

1 They can't be trusted to count votes correctly. That
2 comes from every single source and there is no evidence contrary, Your Honor. And this comes from slews of
3
4 scientists, and it culminated in a report by the National Academy of Sciences that came out just this September, and
5
6 this is called a Consensus Report, which means that it's a unanimous of the scientists at the National Academy of
7
8 Sciences have collected to consider this exact issue.
9 And that is, are these -- is the system that Georgia
10 is now using secure enough to be relied upon from -- from
11 attack domestic and foreign. And the National Academy of Sciences in September said, don't use these machines
12
13 anymore. Don't even use them for the November election, which Georgia did anyway.
14
15 And so, the -- the environment is different, Your Honor. The national security concerns are different. In
16
17 2006, the -- the internet system was not getting bombarded
18 daily by nation states. The -- the system hasn't -- the -- the -- the malware environment is totally different.
19
20 We do not allege that the system right out of the box is flawed, although it's flawed in that it doesn't have a
21
22 paper backup, but that's not the nature of it. The nature of it is is that the way Georgia has not maintained the
23
24 system, coupled with the external threat, make it a system
25 -- and if you use it, you're violating the constitutional

1 rights of voters because it is fundamentally unreliable.

2 And the right to vote is nothing if their votes are not counted correctly. And in this system, you never know

3

4 if your votes are being collected, counted correctly or not. We will never know, because once a voter makes that

5

6 transient decision as to who to vote for, that record is lost forever.

7

8 We will never know, and this is why the courts who

9 have recently looked at it have concluded that using those

10 machines is substantially likely to violate due process

11 rights and equal production rights of Georgia voters. And there's no case to the contrary, Your Honor, is

12

13 that the cases now hold our way on those issues. And what the defendants do not address -- they -- they address a

14

15 sort of hypothetical complaint that was maybe filed 15 years ago.

16

17 They do not address these allegations. They don't

18 address the fundamental problems. They also don't address an entirely separate issue and that is that, as we alleged

19

20 in the complaint, the State of Georgia left this system -- we like to say, left this system out in the rain for six

21

22 months. The Secretary of State, we allege, left the system

23

24 open to the internet, to the public internet, from before

25 the 2016 election until well after, even though it was

1 informed that the system was open. And when it did so, it
2 -- it left itself open to be infected by any virus, by any hacker whether it's someone on their sofa in New Jersey or
3
4 in Peenya or in Russia.
That's what we also allege. And so, we have a system
5
6 that according to the National Academy of Sciences, even without it being left in the rain, is a violation, is so
7
8 fundamentally flawed and vulnerable, it's a violation of
9 constitutional rights.
10 And then to make matters worse, the Secretary of
11 State, even though it knew this was happening, left it exposed to the public internet to be abused and has not
12
13 undertaken any sort of forensic examination to determine whether or not it's been actually infected with malware as
14
15 the results of this recent election would suggest that it has.
16
17 And so, we have established that -- that we have
18 alleged the allegations necessary.
THE COURT: -- it's a question of what you allege.
19
20 MR. BROWN: That's exactly right, Your Honor. I misspoke. We have alleged --
21
22 THE COURT: -- what you have alleged.
MR. BROWN: We have alleged all the elements of the
23
24 claim, and therefore that motion should be dismissed, or
25 it could be revisited in a motion for summary judgment or

1 further motions after the election contest issue is
2 resolved. Thank you, Your Honor.
MR. BELINFANTE: Thank you, Your Honor. I'll be
3
4 brief.
On the subsident due process claim, everything,
5
6 everything that Mr. Brown just talked about was raised in
the Favorito decision and cited. And in the long footnote
7
8 that cites to the Weber case, the court adopts that no
9 balloting system is perfect.
10 And in specifically again talking about touchscreen
11 voting systems, it says that the DRE machines do not leave
Georgia voters without protection from fraud or any means
12
13 of verifying a vote or a way to audit the recount. The
unfortunate reality is that the possibility of electoral
14
15 fraud can never be completely eliminated, no matter which
type of ballot is used.
16
17 Even assuming that none of the advantages of the
18 touchscreen systems over traditional methods would be
sacrificed if voter-verified paper ballots were added to
19
20 the touchscreen system. And it talks about the choice
that the legislature makes and makes very clear nothing in
21
22 the constitution prohibits this choice.
The legislature is presumed to be aware of all of the
23
24 things that Mr. Brown talks about, and I'll get to that in
25 a second. And yet that choice cannot be second guessed by

1 the judiciary under the guides of a due process claim.

2 Now let's talk specifically about what the complaint alleges, because that's what we're here to discuss.

3

4 Nowhere does the complaint that I found cite to any specific inherent flaw with the machines. What it says,

5

6 over and over and over again, is that there are "vulnerabilities," potential vulnerabilities.

7

8 The National Academy of Sciences cites

9 vulnerabilities. It's the Homeland Security, cites

10 vulnerabilities. It's paragraphs 25 through 33, you'll

11 see a litany of that.
That is exactly the type of decision and the risk-

12

13 weighing that the general assembly is entitled to do, and the due process clause does not prevent them from doing.

14

15 Secondly, Mr. Brown tries to distinguish the Favorito case based on statements that are frankly not in the

16

17 record regarding the types of machines. If you look at

18 the complaint, the complaint talks about -- in paragraphs 21 to 23 is where it describes the machines and how

19

20 they're used and so on -- it doesn't say that they've been changed since 2006.

21

22 What he's talking about is that people now have additional concerns about them, but that just brings you

23

24 back to the holding of Favorito, which is that those

25 concerns are properly waived by the legislative branch,

1 not the judicial branch.

2 That also speaks to -- and I don't know that there was a specific response to the equal protection, but

3

4 certainly Favorito would say and hold here regardless of how it views the machines. As long as voters have a

5

6 choice; it is not a state-imposed distinction and no equal-protection claim can lie.

7

8 Thank you, Judge.

9 THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to have to take that,

10 and I'm going to rule on it, and I'm going to do it this

11 morning. I'll send an email. I want to relook at the petition. I am ruled -- ruled by what that petition says

12

13 this morning on -- on that issue, and I -- I want to look at it again. I've got the issues in my mind about it, and

14

15 I will look at it, okay.
MR. BELINFANTE: Thank you.

16

17 THE COURT: Anything else for the defendant?

18 MR. RUSSO: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay, then. Plaintiff has some other

19

20 motion.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. Yes, we have --

21

22 the -- the main motion that we had, Your Honor, is your November 29 emergency motion for inspection of electronic

23

24 election equipment and production of documents. To get to

25 the bottom of the causes for the machine malfunctions, we

1 need some discovery, and that's why in November we moved
2 for a right to inspect the equipment under Rule 34 and 91134, which is the --
3
4 THE COURT: What do -- I -- I seek -- don't propose more of which I'm not going to sign, which is way beyond
5
6 anything I think that I'm required to do or should be doing. Good many other things, obviously. Tell me a
7
8 little bit more specifically what it is you want. What do
9 you want done?
10 MR. BROWN: Well, we -- the plaintiffs would like
11 discovery of DRE machines to inspect the --
THE COURT: Which ones? Where? When? How? What?
12
13 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, we would like to inspect the machines that are identified in Exhibit B to our motion.
14
15 And we would be amenable to meeting with the defendants to determine a reasonable time and space -- place for that
16
17 inspection. And --
18 THE COURT: It's on the three --
MR. BROWN: It's the last two pages of the -- the
19
20 motion and the last two pages of the proposed order.
THE COURT: Yes. I have it now.
21
22 MR. BROWN: And we've identified by -- in -- in many instances by serial number the machines that we would like
23
24 to inspect. And certainly, by precinct, and as I
25 mentioned would be amenable to sitting down with the

1 defense counsel and coming up with a -- a protocol for the
2 inspection of this equipment that would be -- be orderly and helpful. And --
3
4 THE COURT: And when you -- when you mean inspection, what do you mean, to look at them? Oh, there's a machine.
5
6 Or do you want to do anything to the machine?
MR. BROWN: A full forensic examination to determine
7
8 what's causing these -- these mistakes to happened, Your
9 Honor.
10 THE COURT: What do you mean by a full forensic
11 examination?
MR. BROWN: That would be with computer experts to
12
13 look at the internal memory and programming to see -- to compare the machines to see what possible defects are
14
15 causing these systems to malfunction.
And they would involve comparing, for example -- one
16
17 -- in addition we would like machines that were not used
18 in the -- in the -- in the December 4th runoff elections because the difficulty is if you keep on using these
19
20 machines, they may overwrite the underlying memory. Our -- this case is about the November election, not the
21
22 December election, so we would like to look at November machines that were not used.
23
24 Now some of the ones, some of the hottest and -- and
25 most troublesome machines may have been involved in both;

1 they may have continued to use them. And we may have lost
2 some evidence there; we don't know, but we've identified
by serial number and by precinct in Exhibit B the evidence
3
4 that we -- we would like to -- to collect.
And like I said it's -- some logistics are involved.
5
6 We would need the systems to be set up so that they
actually run, they can work, so we need -- would need
7
8 internet connection and an AC tower to boot those up.
9 But, again, we would be happy to sit down with the
10 defendants and -- and quickly set up a protocol for doing
11 that.
THE COURT: Have you sent documents, what you -- did
12
13 you --
MR. BROWN: And then in addition, of the documents
14
15 that -- that we were seeking, I mean, we would like, you
know, general discovery of documentary evidence relating
16
17 to the programming of the machines and so that the -- the
18 computer scientists can better understand exactly what's
going on.
19
20 And we believe that's -- that's reasonable and can be
done expeditiously and -- and may answer many important
21
22 questions that are -- that Your Honor has indicated are of
interest. And the defendants have not responded to our
23
24 motion. I'm not sure what their position is on it.
25 THE COURT: Well, I just got it a couple days ago.

1 MR. RUSSO: Thank you, Your Honor. I'm Vince Russo
2 for the Secretary of State.
3 The plaintiffs are essentially asking for unfettered
4 access to voting equipment here in the state of Georgia.
5 The -- we -- the Secretary of State objects to the motion
6 that the plaintiffs have filed in the request. The
7 plaintiffs, for starters, have not alleged irregularities
8 in each of those precincts where the voting machines they
9 would like to inspect are located. So, the machines in
10 those precincts are completely unrelated, as far as we can
11 tell, to their claims, based on the pleadings at least.
12 Additionally, Your Honor, Mr. -- plaintiffs, one of
13 plaintiff's experts, I suppose, Mr. Demila (phonetic),
14 even testified -- he even included in his affidavit that's
15 attached to the second motion that the plaintiffs are --
16 are going to be arguing, but he -- he stated in his
17 affidavit that there's no statistically valid conclusion
18 that can be drawn from a random sample of -- of machines.
19 Your Honor, at the end of the day here, as my
20 colleagues have pointed out repeatedly, the plaintiffs
21 have to show that there are enough votes in question, over
22 123,000 votes that -- that call in doubt the result of the
23 election for valid election contest. The machines that
24 they want to look at are not going to -- they're not going
25 to have the -- they do not have the votes as far as -- as

1 far as we can tell and -- and plaintiffs' counsel hasn't
2 indicated that there were enough votes on those machines to call in doubt the result of the election.
3
4 And as such, Your Honor, we think giving them unfettered access to highly sensitive information about
5
6 the state voting machines when irregularities alleged by the plaintiffs would not change the result of the election
7
8 is unnecessary.
9 Additionally, Your Honor, in the filing that the
10 plaintiffs made to -- excuse me, that the Secretary of
11 State made this morning, which was regarding the proffer of evidence, Mr. Demila testified in the -- in the
12
13 Totenberg case and the Kerling (phonetic) case that it would take 14,000 hours to examine all of the machines and
14
15 that alone still wouldn't be enough.
And for reference, Your Honor, 14,000 hours is more
16
17 than a year and a half. While the plaintiffs have -- have
18 obviously cut down the scope of the -- of the number of machines they would like to inspect, Your Honor, there's
19
20 -- there's still no -- there's still no facts pled that indicate that the -- the precincts and the machines that
21
22 they want to -- that the plaintiffs want to inspect, would have any effect on the outcome of the election or that
23
24 there are any irregularities in those precincts. So, we
25 would ask that you deny this motion. Thank you.

1 Oh, I'm sorry. We have not -- we will be filing this
2 today.
THE COURT: You are.
3
4 MR. RUSSO: I notice proffer of evidence by Secretary
of State, which includes the transcript that I just
5
6 referenced from the testimony of their expert in the -- in
the federal case.
7
8 And I don't know if my colleagues have anything else.
9 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, just briefly on behalf of
10 Gwinnett County. For Gwinnett, we will do obviously
11 whatever the Court directs us to do in terms of making
machines available.
12
13 Our only concern is from a practical perspective.
Obviously, this is voting equipment that is kept in a very
14
15 sensitive -- and lock and key. We keep these machines
under seal with numbered seals and audit trail to
16
17 determine we know who has access to the machines.
18 And we would just want to be absolutely certain that
whatever access is occurring is done in a way in
19
20 coordination with the Secretary of State's office and
others who are involved with this to make sure that
21
22 nothing is altered with the machines themselves.
Gwinnett owns a mix. We have a mix of machines
23
24 partially owned by the State and partially owned by the
25 county, and we will work to determine the specific serial

1 numbers and who owns those machines. But that -- we are
2 ready to do whatever the Court directs us to do, but we just have those security concerns to be sure that nothing
3
4 with the machines themselves is being altered and that there's enough security regarding the -- this inspection
5
6 that the plaintiffs are proposing.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, if I may be heard briefly.
7
8 THE COURT: -- is this the original?
9 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, we're going to electronically
10 file it for --
11 THE COURT: I don't have one given to me. So, this is mine?
12
13 MR. TYSON: That's your copy.
MR. BELINFANTE: Which I have provided one to
14
15 opposing counsel and --
THE COURT: Okay. Right here.
16
17 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, if I may be heard very
18 briefly.
THE COURT: Sure.
19
20 MR. LINDSEY: The petitioner's request, I believe, is irrelevant to the outcome of this case as you -- based on
21
22 your ruling regarding our motion to dismiss in which you made very clear that the -- ultimately, the plaintiffs
23
24 must show that there were enough irregularities, neither
25 the votes counted improperly or votes not counted to

1 amount to 123,000 votes. The petitioner is seeking how
2 many -- how many exact number of -- number of machines?
MR. BROWN: You'd have to ask them.
3
4 MR. LINDSEY: A dozen or so machines? Your Honor,
that's not going to amount to 123,000. Their -- their
5
6 comeback to our specific numbers that we raise was --
well, we raised a general allegation that system wide
7
8 there were failures that resulted in the whole election
9 being cast in doubt.
10 If that's their allegation, Your Honor, merely
11 inspecting a dozen or so machines are not going to prove
or disprove that. And so, for that reason, Your Honor, we
12
13 would oppose their motion.
THE COURT: (inaudible)
14
15 MS. BURWELL: On behalf of Fulton County, Your Honor,
their list attached to their motion included counties
16
17 obviously outside of Fulton County. We don't take a
18 position with respect to those others.
With respect to Fulton County, we would point out to
19
20 the Court that the complaint only addresses two precincts
in Fulton County where they're alleging there were any
21
22 irregularities, yet their request for the review of
machines seeks far more than just those two precincts.
23
24 And so given the limited amount of time, we would
25 request that the Court is going to allow them some leeway

1 in doing some of this discovery that it not be as far-
2 reaching as they were requesting and instead that the Court be very tailored in terms of what they have actually
3
4 alleged in getting to the allegations rather than just a fishing expedition.
5
6 THE COURT: (inaudible)
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. First, Your
7
8 Honor, we have -- we have alleged irregularities in every
9 precinct, not just Grady, not just some other.
10 We've -- our allegations cover a systemic statewide
11 problem with these electronic voting machine, and we've only used as illustration some evidence that we have found
12
13 in particular precincts here and there, but those are just illustrations. Our allegations cover every single one.
14
15 Second, as to the suggestion that this discovery is unlikely to come up with anything that will allow us to
16
17 carry our burden of proof. That's just fundamentally
18 incorrect. We are also seeking discovery of the GEMS databases.
19
20 THE COURT: The who?
MR. BROWN: The GEMS is called -- it's an acronym,
21
22 GEMS, which is an acronym for the -- for the servers that collect the data, and so if there is a flaw in that it's
23
24 going to cover more than just the actual machines.
25 THE COURT: -- but that's not what you told me

1 before.

2 MR. BROWN: Yes. My -- the way I was --
THE COURT: That wasn't what you said when I came.
3

4 MR. BROWN: Well, the exhibit that I was referencing
covers DRE machines and also covers the GEMS databases
5

6 that are county specific and these databases cover more --
I mean, they are not just one by one. In addition, Your
7

8 Honor, but really much more fundamentally is that if there

9 is a programming --

10 THE COURT: Get back to the GEMS, because I'm not

11 understanding what you're saying.
MR. BROWN: The GEMS database is -- each county has a
12

13 GEMS --
THE COURT: Each county has an individual one.
14

15 MR. BROWN: Individual one.
THE COURT: Okay.
16

17 MR. BROWN: And then the Secretary of State has a

18 system that tabulates all of those. And so there could be
a programming flaw anywhere in the system. One of the
19

20 things that the data -- and this is the public information
that's available that Mr. Lindsey referred to, is that the
21

22 pattern oddly was found in every single county under votes
for the lieutenant governor's race, which no one had ever
23

24 seen before historically ever, and it comes up in every

25 single county.

1 And so that it is possible, I won't say likely, but
2 possible that there is a single or several programming mistakes, which have identified, will indicate that
3
4 there's the system wide failure.
But actually, more fundamentally, Your Honor, we'll
5
6 understand this quickly is that what the defendants are sort of analogizing this too is if we're hunting for
7
8 illegal paper ballots, like these cases. As if we're
9 looking for ballots in which Amico's name was misspelled,
10 like the Mead case. Or another case in which there's
11 something wrong with a hard copy of a ballot. And we can get that ballot and we can count them up and we can count
12
13 it up to 124,000 and therefore possibly win.
That's not this case. Because those ballots are gone
14
15 and because of the way the State has chosen to conduct these elections, that record is lost forever.
16
17 Instead what we have is the electronic memory and the
18 programming that drove the way these votes were counted, we think they were counted wrong. And so, we're entitled
19
20 to discovery, we believe, and we think it will be helpful and not necessarily that burdensome.
21
22 It's interesting that they now cite to the federal case for the declaration of Dr. Demila. Indeed, he said
23
24 if you had to look at every single machine and do a
25 forensic examination of every single machine it would have

1 took -- it would take a long time.

2 They haven't done it. We do not plan to do that. There's not time or resources to do that. Instead, this

3

4 will be a targeted examination to see if evidence of a systemic programming error either in the DRE machines

5

6 themselves or the databases have caused these problems. Thank you, Your Honor.

7

8 THE COURT: I have problems with words like "there

9 could be a flaw" or "there's a possible programming

10 mistake." And what you're really asking for in this

11 discovery and fishing expedition, and I'm not going to give it to you till - left, okay. I am going to -- I am

12

13 going to the GEMS are for each county?
MR. TYSON: Yes, Your Honor.

14

15 THE COURT: Okay.
MR. RUSSO: Your Honor, the date of the GEMS is

16

17 public -- is public record --

18 THE COURT: Even if it -- were it --
MR. RUSSO: Is highly confidential and the means

19

20 accessing --
THE COURT: Pardon.

21

22 MR. RUSSO: I said the source code and the means of accessing the GEMS, of course, is highly confidential, but

23

24 the data from the GEMS is public record.

25 THE COURT: I am going to allow you to look at the

1 GEMS in Fulton and in Gwinnett, okay. So -- will have to
2 access it. He's not to get the confidential access. And then he can look at. If it's public record, and you can
3
4 show him where the public record is, of course you can look at it, but record and you can show him where the
5
6 public record is.
Of course, you can look at all of them, okay. And it
7
8 that's up to you all to work out with and I'm going to let
9 him do it for those two counties because those are the two
10 counties that are a part of this.
11 He's got -- the only allegation he's got -- he's got an allegation in McDonough, he's -- DeKalb and what
12
13 county? Because DeKalb's not here. He's got one in Worth County. He's got Grady High School, -- Library, and then
14
15 Allen Temple A.M.E. are the ones that he's alleging were errors.
16
17 And I'm going to let him look at those, okay. It's
18 got to be supervised by somebody, okay. In the sense of -- somebody has to watch whatever he supposedly does so
19
20 there's no fundamental damage to the system in any way. Needs to be really clear. Got it?
21
22 MR. RUSSO: Your Honor, I mean, we would need to have some kind of confidentiality order for agreement,
23
24 obviously. There's -- when -- when his folks have access
25 to the machines, we don't -- I mean we can watch what

1 they're doing. We don't know what they're actually doing
2 and with the data in the -- the -- the computer technology.
3
4 THE COURT: -- any sense. You're not -- you're not going to do it yourself --
5
6 MR. RUSSO: What?
THE COURT: Like I said, if anything on those few
7
8 machines, anything is done to damage them in any way,
9 there will be some absolutely serious ramifications.
10 MR. RUSSO: Okay.
11 THE COURT: And that needs to be fully understood.
MR. RUSSO: Your -- Your Honor, I guess would -- we
12
13 would also want just clarification around what it is exactly -- we've mentioned that there's data machines that
14
15 we can pull --
THE COURT: (inaudible)
16
17 MR. RUSSO: We can pull the data from the GEMS
18 machines to give to them. If that's the extent of it, that's one thing.
19
20 THE COURT: What is it that you want to do on the individual machines?
21
22 MR. BROWN: We want to examine the internal memory, Your Honor, to determine how the program --
23
24 THE COURT: How do you examine the internal memory?
25 MR. BROWN: You do -- I -- our -- our experts will be

1 examining the internal memory to see if there are
2 programming flaws in the DRE machines that are causing these problems.
3
4 THE COURT: I got you. For programming flaws only?
MR. BROWN: Pardon me.
5
6 THE COURT: For programming flaws.
MR. BROWN: For programming flaws, yes, Your Honor.
7
8 THE COURT: See if they were programmed wrong, okay.
9 Simple language, okay.
10 MR. RUSSO: Okay. Not entirely sure what it means
11 when they get access to what they're looking at.
THE COURT: (inaudible)
12
13 MR. BROWN: I understand.
THE COURT: That these cases are supposed to be
14
15 disposed of fast, and I'm not going to give continuances. If -- if you would like to work together to do that, if
16
17 you run up on a stumbling block, you can always do it by
18 emails to me and I will email you back.
MR. RUSSO: As you said it would be confidential or
19
20 not be subject to --
THE COURT: (inaudible)
21
22 MR. RUSSO: -- because disclosure that's obviously important for our --
23
24 THE COURT: Once again your people would have to set
25 it up, where he can look at it. If he's just looking at

1 the results of it then, if you know how to show him
2 online, he can get it all in the mistakes, okay.
3 MR. RUSSO: Yeah, we'll be happy to let him see the
4 results of the election.
5 THE COURT: Let him see the results of the state, but
6 at that time --
7 MR. RUSSO: Yes.
8 THE COURT: -- all the counties involved and these
9 five places that he has indicated.
10 MR. RUSSO: Okay.
11 THE COURT: Okay.
12 MR. RUSSO: Thank you.
13 THE COURT: I'll let you submit me the order if you
14 can agree on that. If you can't, let me know. Like I
15 said, I'm -- the hearing is on the 17th ?
16 MR. BROWN: Yes.
17 MR. RUSSO: I think, Your Honor.
18 THE COURT: I'm -- I'm here but I sleep occasionally,
19 but apart from that time --
20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, let me -- I want to recap
21 what, Your Honor, has suggested just so we're very clear
22 on -- on this --
23 THE COURT: You want to do it so that you can expand
24 what I just said. Come on, I know that. Is this what you
25 said, Judge? Yeah. I know that but go ahead.

1 MR. BROWN: Didn't you say you favored widespread and
2 far-reaching discovery? No, I'm joking, but --
THE COURT: Okay.
3
4 MR. BROWN: Two counties -- limited to two counties?
THE COURT: Two counties. Gwinnett -- go ahead.
5
6 MR. BROWN: Gwinnett and Fulton. In the five.
THE COURT: In the five -- Henry County and
7
8 McDonough. It doesn't say specifically that one was.
9 Fulton, Allen County AME -- and Grady High School.
10 MR. BROWN: Okay. Yes, Your Honor.
11 THE COURT: That's what's alleged on the petition.
MR. BROWN: And that would be examination of the
12
13 machines under the conditions that you've described. In
addition, the county servers -- that the county GEMS
14
15 servers under the instructions that you gave.
THE COURT: -- and if there's a way to get that
16
17 information if it is public record, then you can get it in
18 public record.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. At present the
19
20 trial is scheduled -- for the trial -- not at present.
The trial is scheduled for the 17th --
21
22 THE COURT: Correct.
MR. BROWN: -- of -- would it make sense to postpone
23
24 that --
25 THE COURT: No, nothing postponed.

1 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

2 THE COURT: The rules are -- in fact I'm getting some pressure as to why this isn't going through faster than
3

4 it's been. It needs to be done; it needs to be completed; it needs to be over. I'm not going to move it.
5

6 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: And what I've allowed you to do on those
7

8 things can be done before that date.

9 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

10 THE COURT: If -- if you put your mind to it. Okay?

11 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Judge.
THE COURT: Okay, anything else? You had a motion
12

13 about -- but I guess that's moot.
MR. BROWN: That's moot.
14

15 THE COURT: Okay, anything else?
MR. RUSSO: No, Your Honor.
16

17 THE COURT: Okay.

18 MR. LINDSEY: Just to move the case along quickly and I'm going to stipulate to plaintiff's counsel be in
19

20 agreement -- just taking judicial notice so we don't have to bring somebody in to show the certified election
21

22 results of all the statewide elections.
THE COURT: Do you have an objection to --
23

24 MR. RUSSO: I assume we don't have any objections?

25 Just to the numbers. Do you have an objection? No,

1 Judge.

2 THE COURT: The numbers that he gave me are the numbers in the register. Do they have an objection?

3

4 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor.
MR. RUSSO: I just want to make that that's clear.

5

6 THE COURT: Okay. You can put those in without having to --

7

8 MR. RUSSO: No, Your Honor.

9 MR. BELINFANTE: We will be doing the same -- we will

10 be using the same numbers here on, Your Honor.

11 THE COURT: Okay. That’s it.
MR. RUSSO: Okay.

12

13 THE COURT: Anything else? Thank you, gentleman.
MR. RUSSO: Thank you.

14

15 MR. BELINFANTE: Thank you, Your Honor.
MR. TYSON: Thank you, Judge.

16

17

18 (Whereupon, the hearing was concluded at 10:34 a.m.)

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 CERTIFICATE

2

STATE OF GEORGIA)

3

4) SS.

COUNTY OF DOUGLAS)

5

6 I, PRISCILLA GARCIA, A COURT REPORTER IN THE STATE OF

7

8 GEORGIA, DO HEREBY STATE THAT THE FOREGOING IS A TRUE AND

9 ACCURATE TRANSCRIPT AS TRANSCRIBED BY ME AT THE TIME,

10 PLACE, AND THE DATE HEREINBEFORE SET FORTH.

11 I DO FURTHER STATE THAT I AM NEITHER A RELATIVE NOR EMPLOYEE NOR ATTORNEY NOR COUNSEL OF ANY OF THE PARTIES TO

12

13 THIS ACTION, AND THAT I AM NEITHER A RELATIVE NOR EMPLOYEE OF SUCH ATTORNEY OR COUNSEL, AND THAT I AM NOT FINANCIALLY

14

15 INTERESTED IN THIS ACTION.

WITNESS MY HAND IN THE CITY OF DOUGLASVILLE, COUNTY

16

17 OF DOUGLAS, STATE OF GEORGIA, ON THIS 18th DAY OF JANUARY

18 2019. BY: Priscilla Garcia

19

20 PRISCILLA GARCIA, COURT REPORTER
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF GEORGIA

21

22 COMMISSION NO.: W-00379933
COMMISSION EXPIRES: 08/14/2022

23

24 CERTFICATION NO.: 5503-2677-8304-9216

25 LICENSE EXPIRES: 04/01/2019

1

1 28:8,20, 22 47:13
100 47:17
100-vote 46:24
103,290 43:25
115,691 45:1
11th 64:20
123,000 41:22 43:13 45:15 58:20,25 60:4 80:22 84:1,4
123,000-plus 45:2
123,000-vote 48:1
123,172 13:18 43:13
124,000 87:13
13 57:4,6,9
14,000 81:13,15
14th 64:24 66:6 69:11
15 72:15
157 36:8,10 37:6
159 23:2,10 35:20
17 92:15 93:20
180 37:15
19,882 44:1
1983 10:2
1989 18:22
1998 42:20
1st 64:22

2

2 10:6 15:2 16:2,18 28:9,17 29:6
2- 28:11
2000 66:17
2003 66:13
2004 17:18 27:25
2006 67:13 71:17 75:20
2006-era 70:11
2009 64:11 67:15
2015 19:4
2016 72:25
2018 6:2 13:15
21 15:2 18:24 28:9
21-2-366 39:9
2102 14:11
2122 16:18
212235 28:13
212250 22:2
2125 14:10 28:9
22 6:6,13, 20
220 22:9,10
23 75:18
242- 52:6
25 75:10
252-georgia-260 50:4 57:24
26 39:22
2623-georgia-514 48:4
270-georgia-7 42:20 50:8 51:17
284-georgia-397 51:15 58:9
288-georgia-26 51:25
29 76:22

3

3 10:6 29:6 30:25 47:11,13
3,780,304 43:18
3,883,594 43:17
3,895,955 44:24
301-georgia-27 24:20 25:11
30177 51:11
323-georgia-po427 42:2
324 19:4
33 75:10
34 77:2
35 16:20
378-georgia-532 51:10
38 33:15
380 17:20

4

4 18:24

27:10 28:18 47:13 78:18
42 47:18
43 68:6
44 68:6

5

5 47:13
520 14:11 22:2,4 30:13,25
520-2A 30:17
521-1 47:11
522 30:13
522-2 30:20
524 61:13
524(c) 59:17
53,902 45:13,15

6

6 13:15
62 65:11
69 65:18

7

71 63:22
72 63:25
65:11
74 67:22
75 63:22
76 63:25
795 66:1
796 64:20 66:1
798 68:20

8

8,000 46:2
80,000 46:2
8:59 6:2

9

9 6:2
9/11/15 32:18
98.9% 44:11
99 46:25
9th 66:11

A

A.M.E. 89:15
ability 34:11
absentee 34:10,11 39:2 68:2, 11,15,22, 24 69:6
absolutely 68:18 82:18 90:9
absurd 23:11
abused 73:11
AC 79:8
Academy 71:4,6,11 73:6 75:8
accept 43:18 44:13,18
accepted 49:13 55:9,10,11
access 22:6 80:4 81:4 82:17,18 89:2,24 91:11
accessing 88:20,22
accuracy 68:17
acknowledged 66:13
acronym 85:20,22
Act 18:22 27:10,18
action 35:25 39:15 47:9 65:25
acts 19:2
actual 85:24
Adams 42:2
add 32:6 33:2,15 59:2
added 74:18
adding 37:22 58:13
addition 31:20 36:25 47:8 70:22 78:17 79:13 86:6 93:13
additional 14:15 32:6 75:22
Additionally 80:11 81:9
address 14:17,18, 20 38:11 63:13 72:13,17, 18
addresses 84:20
admitted 51:18
adopts 74:8
advantages

74:17

advocates 58:2

advocating 57:18

affect 46:9

affidavit 80:13,17

afford 30:9

agency 70:18

agree 12:8 35:6 62:18 92:13

agreed 9:22

agreement 89:22 94:20

ahead 15:25 20:22 63:11 92:25 93:4

albeit 66:22

allegation 15:20 30:20 44:4 45:2 47:8 57:18 59:20 84:6,10 89:11

allegations 31:2,6,10, 17,20,22 33:9 36:1 41:4 43:20 44:18 45:24,25 46:4 48:13 49:13 53:18 58:2 59:13 60:2 67:20 69:11 72:17 73:18 85:4,10,13

allege 34:1 46:25 47:4 49:11 53:13,20 55:25 58:17,22 59:2 60:20 65:18 66:4 67:22 70:11,17 71:20 72:22 73:4,18

alleged 17:11 18:8,11 22:24 30:11 33:17,20 35:24 36:4 43:6 47:15 48:13 49:18 53:1 55:8,13 56:2,8,10, 11 59:6,18 60:20,22 64:8 65:15 67:22 72:18 73:18,20, 22 80:6 81:6 85:4, 8 93:11

alleges 75:2

alleging 33:22 43:1 70:2 84:20 89:15

Allen 89:15 93:9

allowed 94:6

alluded 59:22

altered 82:22 83:4

alternative 67:8

AME 93:9

amenable 77:15,25

amend 32:2

amending 32:6

amendment 32:4 33:2 64:24 66:6 69:11

amendments 19:22

Amico 46:6 55:24

Amico's 87:9

amount 84:1, 4,24

analogizing 87:6

analogous 53:2

Anderson 24:20 64:20

anecdotes 32:25

announces 36:22

anonymous 47:24

answers 64:18

anymore 71:13

apologize 20:22 22:8

appeal 32:15 52:13 53:10

appeals 17:18

appears 25:13

appellants 66:2 68:15 69:6

appellate 25:6

applicable 16:18 17:2 28:17 38:2

applied 19:6 65:2

apply 15:20 17:1 18:24

applying 65:11 66:9

approach 24:6,8 51:2

approval 40:18

aren't 13:11

argue 18:22 21:8 24:15

argued 17:11 68:15

arguing 20:18 36:18 40:25 80:15

argument 19:13 21:24 23:8,18,20 26:4,24 29:6 33:11,13 35:15 40:2,8,9 41:18 64:15 67:11 68:13 69:18,20

arguments 13:11 21:18 41:18 61:4

assembly 75:13

assert 44:6, 11

asserted 10:18,24 14:10

asserting 10:20 42:25

assertion 42:18 44:13

asserts 44:4 45:2

assume 94:24

assuming 74:17

attached 24:13 80:15 84:15

attack 67:11 71:11

attacked 67:13

attention 61:20

attorney 23:18,22 24:4 25:1, 2 41:20

audit 74:13 82:15

authority 39:10

authorize 39:11

authorized 14:25 40:17

aware 13:15 20:11 61:18 74:22

B

back 15:9 32:18 33:2 51:4 53:9 75:24 86:10 91:18

backup 71:22

badly 61:11

BAILIFF 8:2

ballot 16:11 17:22 18:11 19:22 27:18,22 34:11 40:15 52:22 60:6 68:2 69:6 74:15 87:11

balloting 65:6 66:15 74:9

ballots 18:13 34:10 36:20 44:10,11, 22 50:20, 22 58:10, 11 59:25 60:2 66:17 67:9 68:15 74:18 87:8,9,13

Banker 51:10

bankruptcy 42:4

based 46:13 48:11 64:10 75:15 80:11

83:20

basically 27:4

basis 20:1,6 28:6 32:1 33:6 48:17 64:4,18,20 65:2,9,15 66:9,10

bath 60:15

battle 62:4

begin 58:15

beginning 21:4

behalf 9:2,6 12:4 33:13 41:20 82:9 84:15

Belinfante 6:15 8:20 14:18 41:17 60:24 62:4,8,20, 25 63:2,9, 13 64:15 74:2 76:15 83:13

Belinfante's 69:24

bench 7:20 24:8

big 42:18

binding 25:22,25

bit 30:13 77:8

block 91:17

blocks 67:20

Board 8:25 16:20,22 19:11 29:22 30:4 32:10 34:4 38:15,25 39:2,10, 11,13,15

Boards 19:9

bombarded 71:17

book 22:4,6 65:20

books 27:8 36:20

boot 79:8

borrow 56:13

bottom 76:25

bound 41:4 53:24,25 54:2,4

box 71:20

brain 12:2

branch 75:25 76:1

brand-new 26:10

breadth 53:18

Brian 24:22

briefly 27:2 82:9 83:6, 18

briefs 63:17,22

bring 12:6 15:18 21:15 22:6 33:22 94:20

brings 75:22

broader 56:17

Brody 32:6

Brown 6:15 8:11 9:13, 22 10:1,6, 10,18,22 13:9,11 21:15 22:4,8,10, 11 23:6 24:13,18 25:9,11,22 26:15,20, 22 29:4, 15,18 35:11,13, 22,24 37:11,25 39:25 48:22 49:4,6,8, 11,15 53:25 54:2,9,11, 13 55:2,4 56:6 57:22 59:4,22 60:4,8 64:13 69:22 73:20,22 74:6,24 75:15 76:20 77:10,13, 18,22 78:6,11 79:13 84:2 85:6,20 86:2,4,11, 15,17 90:22,25 91:4,6,13 92:15,20 93:1,4,6, 10,11,18, 22 94:1,6, 9,11,13

Bruce 8:11 21:15

Bryan 8:22 29:22 46:20

bunch 47:22

burden 54:6, 8,10 61:6

65:15
85:17

burdened
65:22

burdensome
87:20

Burwell 6:8, 11 9:6,9 12:4 33:13 34:17,20, 24 35:2,4, 8 38:13 39:22 40:22 48:18,20 69:20 84:15

C

calculate
55:22

call 80:22 81:2

called 24:20 71:6 85:20

calls 51:18

cameraman
6:13

candidate
27:17,18 30:17,20, 22 45:6 57:18 60:2

candidates
14:4 19:25 27:20

can't 7:20 10:20 27:8 31:18 34:4 35:17

capable
38:17 40:15

capacity
24:22,24 25:13

care 8:2 54:6

careful 7:18

Carr 25:1

Carruthers
8:24

carry 61:6 85:17

case 6:6,9, 10 10:11 11:8 13:20 16:4 17:17,18, 24,25 18:1,2,4, 6,15 19:6 22:25 23:15,17 24:6,11, 13,18,20, 22 25:1,4, 6,8,11,15, 17 26:6,9 27:6,11,25 30:10 31:4,11,18 32:6,8,9, 13 33:1,6 35:4 39:2, 8 42:1,2, 4,20 43:11 44:11,18 47:6 49:17 50:4,6,8, 15 51:2,4, 15,20,22 52:2,6,9, 10,11,18 53:2,8,9 54:22 55:1 56:13 57:11,13, 17,20,25 58:8,9,18 59:2,9 64:2 66:1, 11 69:11 70:15,18 72:11 74:8 75:15 78:20 81:13 82:6 83:20 87:10,13, 22 94:18

cases 27:2 30:15,22 37:13 42:10,15 45:18,22 48:9,11 49:25 50:17,18, 25 51:4,9 53:17 58:6 60:1 72:13 87:8 91:13

cast 18:13 31:2 43:9, 15,17 44:24 45:1,2 47:1 53:4 57:20 58:10,11, 13,24 61:4 68:15 84:9

categories
16:25

caused 88:6

causing
78:8,15 91:2

certainty
48:6 52:15

certified
42:10 43:13 46:1,15,22 94:20

certify 67:8

chads 66:18

challenge
27:13 30:6 31:13 63:20

challenged 30:18

challenges 52:20

challenging 30:22 42:15

Champion 32:8

chance 15:13

change 25:25 47:6 81:6

changed 60:11 70:13 75:20

changing 38:18

chapter 15:2 18:24 22:17,20 27:10

charge 23:25

cheat 38:11

chime 57:6

choice 65:6 67:2,6,10 74:20,22, 25 76:6

choose 34:13 67:15 68:20

chosen 42:11 60:18 87:15

Chris 25:1,2

Circuit 64:20 66:11

cite 42:1 50:17 58:6 68:10 75:4 87:22

cited 27:2 32:13 37:1 40:18 45:22 51:9 57:22 58:6 74:6

cites 74:8 75:8,9

citing 66:11,15

citizen 69:4

citizens 38:20 39:1,2

City 16:22

claim 10:13 12:6,9 13:20 14:22 17:24 32:22 38:4 47:2 48:2, 17 52:4,9, 15 57:11 64:8,17 65:11 67:18 68:4 69:1,25 70:6 73:24 74:4 75:1 76:6

claims 10:2, 6,11,18 11:6,10,18 12:4 14:18,20 29:6 47:18 63:10 64:4 66:2,8,13 67:20 68:18 69:24,25 80:11

clarification 90:13

classes 16:2 68:10

classification 69:8

clause 63:15,18, 20 66:6 68:6 69:10,13 75:13

clean 34:22 35:4

clear 9:15, 22 19:6 23:22 26:6 48:4 53:17 66:10 68:6 74:20 83:22 89:20 92:20

clerk 24:11

client 14:17

clock 57:4, 8,9

close 47:25 60:13 61:17,18, 24

closed 45:13 58:22

co-defendants 13:15

Coalition 6:10 8:6, 13 9:24 10:2 14:22

COBB 6:1

code 15:1 16:11,18 18:24 22:1,17 27:9 28:13,18, 20 32:13 40:17 88:22

coffin 67:6

colleagues 18:18 80:20 82:8
collect 79:4 85:22
collected 71:8 72:4
collection 47:20
comeback 84:6
Commissioners 39:11,13
Committee 70:20,22
common 20:4
commonsense 22:20 23:24
compare 65:24 78:13
comparing 78:15
comparison 30:13
complaining 34:1
complaint 20:15 33:15 38:6 47:18 49:11 65:13,18 66:2 67:22 70:13 72:15,20 75:2,4,18 84:20
completed 94:4
completely 14:2 63:20 74:15 80:10
comply 38:22
computer 22:6 70:22 78:11 79:18 90:2
conceded 36:2
concern 68:22 82:13
concerns 71:15 75:22,25 83:2
concluded 51:13 69:4 72:9
conclusion 64:2 80:17
conditions 93:13
conduct 16:13 17:6 28:11 58:11 87:15
conducted 16:8,13 28:2 30:6 31:1 36:2 70:2
conducting 22:22 40:2,15
conference 7:20
conferences 7:20
confidential 88:18,22 89:2 91:18
confidentiality 89:22
confirmed 14:20
confirming 6:20 65:9
conflicting 47:9
confuse 22:11
confusing 15:17
connection 30:15 79:8
cons 65:6 67:2
Consensus 71:6
constitution 63:8 67:9 74:22
constitutional 11:18 12:9 14:18 19:22 29:6 63:9,10 64:4 69:24 71:25 73:9
constitutions 66:6
constrained 34:6 38:22
construction 19:1,6
construed 20:4
contained 66:13
contention 69:6
contest 9:25 10:4 14:9, 15,22 15:4,6 17:18 18:4,6 19:8 20:8, 24 22:20

23:2,15 24:1 28:4, 6,10,20 30:1,11, 15,20 31:15 32:4 33:8 59:15,18, 20,22 74:1 80:22

contestant 14:8 17:20 18:11 32:8 53:1

contestants 10:2 50:6

contested 10:8 16:4, 6,9 28:11 30:6 31:1

contesting 13:15

contests 14:25 19:24 27:20,22 61:15

context 15:4

contingent 63:20

continuances 91:15

continued 66:24 79:1

continues 60:4 69:4, 9

contrary 71:2 72:11

conversation 7:13

convinced 13:1

coordination 82:20

copy 24:9, 20 64:11 83:13 87:11

Corea 25:2

corners 9:20 20:15 29:11 48:15 58:20 61:10

correct 9:13,25 10:1,6,22 22:15 55:2,4 70:1 93:22

correctly 69:4 71:1 72:2,4

couldn't 32:20

counsel 8:10,13 13:10 25:4 27:4,6,11 60:15 64:13 78:1 81:1 83:15 94:18

counsel's 7:11

count 10:6 12:13,18 28:8,20,22 41:13 50:2,20 52:22 63:20 65:11 71:1 87:11

counted 43:22 45:20 57:20 58:1,6,25 72:2,4 83:25 87:18

counties 19:11 20:9 23:2,10 28:4 31:6, 9,11,18,22 32:20,24, 25 33:4, 20,25 34:15,18 35:20 36:4,8,11, 13 37:2,6 84:15 89:9,10 92:8 93:4

counting 31:4 58:18 59:17

counts 29:6 43:22 63:13 66:2

county 6:1 8:9 9:6,13 12:2,4 16:15,20, 22,24 17:11 18:6,9,15, 18 19:9,10 20:9,10 24:11 28:2 29:4,22 30:4 31:11,17, 20 32:10 33:13,18 34:4,11 35:9 36:4, 15 37:2 38:11,15, 20,22 39:6,10 40:11,13, 22 46:20 47:18,20 82:10,25 84:15,17, 18,20

86:6,11,
13,22,25
88:13
89:13
93:6,9,13

county's
30:11
35:15

county's
39:15

couple 8:6
79:25

coupled
71:24

court 6:6,9,
11,17,18,
22 7:1,4,
6,11,13
8:1,2,4,
15,22 9:1,
4,8,11,15
10:4,8,9,
11,13,20
11:2,11,
13,18,20,
22 12:6,8,
11,15,18,
22,25
13:11 14:6
15:8,11,
13,17,24
16:20
17:17
20:2,13,
17,20,22
21:2,4,6,
11,13
22:2,4,9,
11 23:4,20
24:10,15
25:6,10,18
26:13,17,
20 27:1
28:8 29:1,
8,11,15,20
31:18
32:6,11
33:10,15,
22,24
34:13,18,
22,25
35:2,6,9,
13,18,22
36:24
37:11,13
38:1,6,9
39:9,22,24
40:22,24
41:9,13,15
42:4,10,18
43:18
45:18
46:15,18
47:13
48:2,4,9,
18,20,22
49:1,4,6,
9,15,25
50:4,9,13,
20 51:2,
10,11,13,
15,17,20,
25 52:4,6,
10,13
53:4,6,8,
24 54:1,6,
10,11
55:2,4
56:6,13
57:15
58:8,9
59:11
60:13,25
62:6,9,15,
18,22
63:2,4,8,
10 64:2,11
65:1,24
66:2,11,
15,24
67:1,15
68:20
69:2,4,15,
18 73:18,
22 74:8
76:9,17,18
77:4,11,
18,20
78:4,10
79:11,25
82:2,11
83:2,8,11,
15,18
84:13,20,
25 85:2,6,
20,25
86:2,10,
13,15
88:8,15,
18,20,25
90:4,6,11,
15,20,24
91:4,6,8,
11,13,20,
24 92:4,8,
11,13,18,
22 93:2,4,
6,11,15,
22,25
94:2,6,10,
11,15,17,
22

court's
68:13

courtroom
7:9 15:9
55:2

courtrooms
10:22

courts 42:4,
6 43:2
51:6 70:15
72:8

cover 36:1
59:11
61:13,25
85:10,13,
24 86:6

covered 6:20
10:4

covers 86:4

Crawford
42:20
51:17

criminal
11:9

Crittenden 8:9
culminated 71:4
current 17:25 70:20
cut 81:18

D

daily 71:18
damage 89:20 90:8
data 85:22 86:20 88:24 90:2,13,17
database 17:9 86:11
databases 85:18 86:4,6 88:6
date 33:2 88:15 94:8
Dawkins- 24:20
Dawkins-haigler 51:11
day 14:6 49:2 80:18
days 79:25
deal 11:20
dealing 35:20 58:4
dealt 70:10
December 39:22 78:18,22
decide 10:15 34:13 39:2,6 66:24
decided 48:11
decides 64:4
decision 25:6,15, 18,20 26:11 42:18,20 52:10 55:10 64:10,20 66:11 72:6 74:6 75:11
decisions 70:9
declaration 87:22
declared 16:10
declares 19:17
deemed 11:1
defective 65:18
defects 43:1,8 78:13
defend 35:17
defendant 15:2,20 16:2 17:15 19:15 21:18,20, 22,25 22:22 24:1 26:2,25 30:15,18, 20,24 39:17 62:24 69:15 76:17
defendant's 52:11 56:22
defendants 11:4 18:18 20:9 23:1, 10 36:1,6 48:24 49:20 52:9 63:6 65:13,20 67:24 70:8 72:13 77:15 79:10,22 87:6
defendants' 33:10
defendant's 13:10
defended 23:18 25:1,17
defending 25:2
defense 21:13 78:1
defined 16:2,18 19:20 22:13
defines 22:2 28:13,15
definition 17:2 18:22 19:15 20:6 22:15
definitions 16:17 28:17
Dekalb 9:13 31:10 32:10 47:18,20 89:11
Dekalb's 89:13
Demila 80:13 81:11 87:22

democratic
45:6

democratically -elected
65:4 67:2

demonstrated
42:17

demonstrates
45:22 59:6

denies 66:4

Dennis 25:2

DENTON
11:11,15, 20,22

deny 37:20
40:24
61:22
81:25

department
25:2,22,24
70:22

depending
46:2

derived
58:13

describes
53:22
75:18

description
68:13
69:24

determine
11:10
50:22
52:24
73:13
77:15 78:6
82:17,25
90:22

determined
29:25

Dick 8:24

didn't 15:8, 13,18 41:9
44:6 93:1

differ 68:18

difference
13:20 32:4
43:22 44:8
50:2,22,24
52:25
56:20,25
58:13

differences
14:4

differently
67:25
68:15

difficulty
78:18

direct 32:15

directly
69:11

director
8:13 32:9

directs
82:11 83:2

disagree
65:13

disagreement
65:13

discloses
52:15

disclosure
91:22

discovery
36:10,11, 13,15,24
37:6 77:1, 11 79:15
85:1,15,18
87:20
88:11 93:2

discriminates
68:10

discuss
14:13 75:2

discussed
23:17
51:20 60:8

discusses
19:15

dismiss
13:13
14:13
15:24
20:18 28:6
29:8,11,24
34:2 38:25
39:20
41:1,6
42:6 46:13
47:10,11
48:10,11
52:4 54:18
55:6 57:11
59:10
61:22 64:6
68:8 69:22
83:22

dismissal
20:25 32:1
33:8 47:2

dismissed
9:13 29:13
41:10
47:20
48:17
52:8,15
69:13
73:24

dismissing
15:6 53:8

disposed
91:15

dispositive
68:20

disprove
84:11

disputed
59:4

disregard
14:2

distinction
68:4,25
76:6

distinguish 75:15
distinguishable 70:10
documentary 79:15
documents 76:24 79:11,13
doesn't 34:11 75:20
domestic 71:11
don't 7:2,4,17 10:15 11:9,15,17 12:11 19:11 22:11 26:15 27:24 36:15 37:22 38:2,20 40:18 41:2 47:25 49:6 79:2 89:25 90:1 94:20
doubt 14:1 42:18 43:2 47:4 48:15 53:4 57:6 58:11 60:11,15,22 61:2 80:22 81:2 84:9
down-ballot 43:10,15
download 37:18 62:11
downstairs 6:25 7:2,22
dozen 84:4,11
dramatic 44:6,13 56:25
dramatically 43:10
drastic 42:13
drawn 80:18
DRE 33:17 34:6,11 38:18,20,22 65:2,15,18 66:4,10 67:13,15,25 68:4,22 70:11,20 74:11 77:11 86:4 88:4 91:2
dressed 67:11
drop 62:6
drop-in 44:4
drop-off 44:8,11,13,15,20 45:4 56:25
drop-offs 44:4
drove 87:18
due 63:13,18 64:9,15 65:11 66:6 67:18 70:4 72:10 74:4 75:1,13
due-process 67:11 69:13
dumped 37:17
Duncan 9:4 12:13 41:20 44:2 45:11 55:22
Dunn 25:2

E

earlier 58:20
Earnest 57:22
easily 50:18
Edward 9:2 41:18
effect 24:2 81:22
effectively 68:20
egregious 48:24
elect 16:4
elected 27:11
electing 56:24
election 9:24 10:2 12:15,18 13:17,18 14:2,9,11,22,25 15:1,4,6 16:4,6,9,11,13,18 17:11,18 18:4,6,22,24 19:8,18,24 20:2,8,11,24 21:25 22:2,11,13,15,18,22 23:2,15 24:1,24 25:13 26:2,4 27:20,22 28:2,6,10,

11,20 30:1,4,6, 11,20,25 32:4,13 33:8 36:2 40:6,9,10, 15 42:11, 15,18 43:2 46:15,22 47:6 50:6 52:22 53:4 55:24 57:13,24 58:11,13 59:15 60:13,17 61:2,15 63:20,24 64:1,22 66:18 68:1,9 70:2 71:13 72:25 73:15 74:1 76:24 78:20,22 80:22 81:2,6,22 84:8 92:4 94:20

elections 8:25 16:13,20, 22 17:1,6 19:9,11 23:25 26:9 28:2 29:22 32:9,10 34:4 38:15 39:1,4,11, 15 40:2 42:15 78:18 87:15 94:22

electoral 74:13

electors 16:11 19:18 67:24,25

electronic 44:10,22 45:4 56:4, 20 65:20 68:15 76:22 85:11 87:17

electronically 83:9

elements 73:22

eligibility 16:6 30:18,22

eligible 30:22

eliminated 74:15

Ellis 48:4

else's 24:18

else's 24:15,17

email 76:11 91:18

emailing 62:10

emails 91:18

emergency 76:22

en 32:6 69:11

end 37:22 45:13 80:18

enjoyed 44:2 45:11,20

entire 49:17 55:22,24 57:2 61:2

entirety 33:8

entitled 41:24 52:17 53:13,15 55:25 56:2,8 67:15 75:13 87:18

environment 71:15,18

equal 63:15, 18 64:9 66:4 67:18 68:6 69:1, 10,13 70:4 72:11 76:2

equal-protection 76:6

equally 64:4

equipment 66:4 76:24 77:2 78:2 80:4 82:13

erase 58:13

erroneously 13:22

error 59:17 88:4

errors 31:4 47:22 66:18 89:15

essence 38:18

essentially 44:11 80:2

established 42:15 43:2 51:20,22 73:17

events 70:13

everybody's 35:1 38:11

Everyone's

6:17

evidence
9:17 26:18 31:9,13,24 32:20 37:15 41:1 48:25 50:10,13 51:6,11,18 54:13 56:2 59:15,20 64:2 71:2 79:2,15 81:11 82:4 85:11 88:4

evidenced
31:8

evident
66:17

evidentiary
9:17 51:22 52:6 56:22

exact 60:25 71:8 84:2

examination
73:13 78:6,11 87:25 88:4 93:11

examine
81:13 90:22,24

examining
91:1

examples
51:8

exceeds
18:13

exclusively
70:8

excuse 14:11 17:15 81:10

executive
8:13

exhibit 46:6 77:13 79:2 86:4

exist 60:6

expand 92:22

expanded
14:15

expanding
20:6

expedition
85:4 88:11

expeditiously
79:20

expert 55:18 82:6

experts
78:11 80:13 90:25

explain 7:8 12:1 30:13 51:11

explained
51:15 52:1 55:18 56:11

explanation
56:24 60:6

explanations
13:20 14:2 60:6

exposed
73:11

expressly
67:1

extent 90:18

external
71:24

extremely
61:24

F

face 68:10

fact 14:4 15:18 17:4 22:20 23:17 39:6 51:22 52:2 55:11 58:20 65:2 67:1 94:2

facts 52:18 56:1 64:8 81:20

fail 32:2 64:4

failed 53:10

failing
20:25

failure 47:2 48:17,25 52:4,8,15 57:11 61:4 87:4

failures
84:8

fair 24:18 33:24

fairly 11:25

fall 46:2 59:6 64:6

falls 59:6

false 69:8

far- 85:1

far-reaching
93:2

fast 37:13, 15 91:15

faster 94:2

favored 93:1

Favorito
64:10,15, 18 65:25 66:9,15 67:20,22 68:13,18 69:2 70:8, 9,10,15,17 74:6

75:15,24 76:4

federal 10:2,6,9, 11,13,15 11:1,18 12:4,6,8 14:18 66:4 69:22 82:6 87:22

federals 10:15

felt 40:20

field 34:15

figure 31:13

figuring 34:18

file 14:22 27:20 37:2 83:10

filed 9:18 13:13,15 18:6,15 28:4 30:10 31:8 32:9, 20 39:20 42:20 72:15 80:6

filing 81:9 82:1

filling 33:2

final 25:18

Finally 19:13

find 6:18 27:8 28:20 35:10 52:11 54:4

fine 24:15

firm 25:4

fishing 85:4 88:11

five-day 32:17

fixing 62:22

flaw 75:4 85:22 86:18 88:9

flawed 40:15 53:20 55:22,24 65:20 68:22 71:20 73:8

flaws 91:2, 4,6

flipping 56:4

focus 58:10

folks 89:24

follow 34:6 41:6

footnote 74:6

force 34:11

forecloses 67:11

foreign 71:11

forensic 73:13 78:6,10 87:25

forever 72:6 87:15

forgot 60:25

form 7:25 61:13 62:1

formally 16:10

forward 59:2,15 62:2 64:4 70:2

found 24:6 50:4,9 51:8 54:6 60:22 69:2 75:4 85:11 86:22

fourth 19:15

frankly 75:15

fraud 66:22 74:11,15

free 65:8 67:4

front 6:22 15:25 31:18 50:20,24 60:20

full 30:9 33:6,24 62:22 78:6,10

Fuller 51:15 58:8

fully 90:11

Fulton 8:9 9:6 12:2,4 24:11 29:17 31:6 33:13,18 34:4,11 35:13,15, 25 36:6 38:9,15,20 39:6 40:1, 11,13,22 48:18 84:15,17, 18,20 89:1 93:6,9

Fulton's 40:2

fundamental 18:25 56:10 59:1 65:13,22 66:6 72:18 89:20

fundamentally 53:20 55:11 70:10,13, 20 72:1

73:8 85:17 86:8 87:4

future 63:24

G

gap 45:13

gave 93:15

GEMS 85:18, 20,22 86:4,10, 11,13 88:13,15, 22,24 89:1 90:17 93:13

general 13:17 16:17 23:18,22 24:4 25:2 29:9 75:13 79:15 84:6

generalized 41:6,9,10 61:4

generally 64:25

Geoff 9:2 12:11 41:20

Georgia 6:1 8:18 13:18 14:6 15:1 16:11,15, 18 26:8 28:2 31:11 38:20 42:9 58:8 64:11 66:1 67:6 69:2,4,10 70:18,24 71:9,13,22 72:11,20 74:11 80:4

Georgia-454 52:6

give 15:13 25:18 33:6 88:11 90:18 91:15

giving 49:17 81:4

glad 43:4

golden 46:2

good 8:6,8, 13,18,22 9:2 11:6 14:22 15:15 29:20 77:6

Gordon 31:9

gotcha 9:11

Governance 8:8,15 14:22

governed 15:1

governing 39:10

government 70:18

governor 13:17 16:4 17:13 44:8 46:9 63:24

governor's 59:24 86:22

Governor-elect 9:2 41:20

governor's 13:22 43:9,18,24 44:9,15, 20,24 45:6

Grady 85:9 89:13 93:9

grant 6:18 28:22 37:1 52:4 59:10

granted 26:11

greater 58:25

ground 59:18

grounds 14:10,15 30:15 31:2 52:9

group 27:13

gubernatorial 45:11

guess 29:15 90:11 94:13

guessed 74:25

guessing 14:9 65:8 67:4

guides 75:1

Gwinnett 8:9,24 11:11 29:4,15,22 30:4 31:6, 20,25 33:18 35:25 36:6 38:1,2 40:1 46:20 82:10,22 89:1 93:4, 6

H

hacker 73:2

Haigler 24:20

half 81:17

hand 54:1

handle 8:6

hanging 66:18

Hanson 32:13

happened 48:6 49:20 70:11 78:8

happening 73:11

happy 41:2, 17,18 79:9 92:2

hard 37:18 87:11

hate 61:8

haven't 41:6

hear 10:15 11:2 12:25 13:2,4 15:20,22 29:6,15 41:11,13 43:4

heard 15:18 34:25 35:1 41:6 48:18 83:6,17

hearing 7:15 13:11 33:25 37:6,22 41:2 51:13,22 52:1,2,8 56:22 92:15

held 28:2 52:13 53:4 57:11 58:9

helpful 78:2 87:20

helps 30:13

Henry 93:6

here's 35:22

he's 75:22 89:11,13, 15 91:25

High 89:13 93:9

highest 43:13

highlight 18:4

highly 81:4 88:18,22

historically 86:24

history 26:6

hold 7:20 30:22 72:13 76:4

holding 26:8 52:13 64:1 68:18 75:24

Homeland 70:22 75:9

Honor 6:15, 18 8:11, 18,20,22 9:2,10,13 10:1,6,10, 18,22 11:6,11 12:4,11 13:9 14:20,25 17:2 18:25 19:13,20 20:2,13 22:8 23:2, 6,13,22 24:6,13 25:6,22 26:1,10, 15,22 27:2,8,24 29:4,18, 20,24 31:13 33:13 34:2,10,18 35:13,22 36:18,25 37:10,11, 25 38:2 39:20,25 40:4,20 41:18,22 42:18,20, 24 44:18, 25 45:25 46:4,10, 11,15,18 48:20,22 49:13,17, 25 50:18 51:4 52:2 53:13 54:13,15, 20 55:2,8 56:2,15 57:4,13, 15,25 58:4 59:11,13 60:24 62:4,8,13, 25 63:6, 13,20 64:9 69:20,22 70:17 71:2,15 72:11 73:20 74:2 76:18,20, 22 77:13 78:9 79:22 80:1,11,18 81:4,9,15, 18 82:9 83:6,9,17 84:4,10, 11,15 85:6,8 86:8 87:4 88:6,13,15 89:22 90:11,22 91:6 92:17,20 93:10,18 94:1,6,9, 15

Honor's 39:25

hottest 78:24
hours 81:13, 15
House 70:20
Howell 48:8 59:25
huge 61:6
human 66:18
Hunt 42:20 50:6,8 51:15,18
hunting 87:6
hypothetical 66:22 72:15

I

idea 59:22
identical 66:8 67:20 68:18
identified 63:15 77:13,22 79:2 87:2
identify 32:22
illegal 18:11,13 31:2 47:1 50:20,22 87:8
illustration 49:11 56:18 85:11
illustrations 55:13 85:13
imagine 7:20
immunity 21:20,22 23:18 26:9,24 63:18
impact 45:6 50:18 58:18
important 25:15 47:13 54:24 64:24 66:11 79:20 91:22
imposes 64:22
improper 37:24 53:4,11
improperly 53:2 58:10,24 83:25
inaccurate 65:20
inapplicable 18:20
inaudible 6:8 11:18 15:11 20:13 35:11,13 38:6 48:22 57:15 59:11 84:13 85:6 90:15 91:11,20
incapable 40:2
incidences 56:11
include 17:4 28:15
included 33:1 46:6 80:13 84:15
includes 19:2 82:4
incorrect 85:18
increased 44:25
incumbents 14:4
independent 27:18,20 32:15
individual 10:2 86:13,15 90:20
individuals 8:8 15:2,4
infected 73:2,13
infer 56:15
inferred 50:11,13
inflamed 61:20
inflammatory 49:9 61:18
information 33:22 36:18 47:20 81:4 86:20 93:17
informed 73:1
infringed 65:22
inherent 75:4
insecure 70:20
insist 60:6
inspect 77:2,11, 13,24 80:9

81:18,22

inspecting
84:11

inspection
76:22
77:17
78:2,4
83:4

instance
56:6 67:6

instances
55:13
77:22

instructed
24:8

instructions
93:15

insufficient
50:25
67:15,18

intended
23:11

intent 66:20

intentionally
19:2

interact
69:25

interest
79:22

interesting
61:15
87:22

Interestingly
52:18

interests
64:25

internal
78:13
90:22,24
91:1

internet
71:17
72:24
73:11 79:8

interpret
49:20

interpretation
49:22

intimidate
26:13,15

introduce
8:10

introduced
50:10,13

involve
31:22
32:15
47:17,18
78:15

involved
12:18
17:8,17
32:13 50:6
52:18,20
78:25 79:4
82:20 92:8

involving
17:13
18:4,11
19:24

irregular
58:11

irregularities
18:8,17
20:11 28:4
36:4 47:15
50:4
58:10,17,
22 60:11
80:6 81:6,
24 83:24
84:22 85:8

irregularity
18:11
22:24,25

irrelevant
83:20

isn't 38:17

issue 10:15
11:4 15:25
18:20
20:11,17
21:11,17
27:1,25
30:6 33:22
34:18
35:15,18,
20,22
37:10
39:4,18
40:4 41:8
57:17
58:13,15
61:6 69:15
71:8 72:18
74:1 76:13

issues 12:9
14:1,15
21:24
33:20 34:1
40:13
52:22 55:6
72:13
76:13

items 19:22

it's 13:2
17:11
18:25
19:1,6
23:6,8,11,
18 24:11,
13 27:17
28:18 32:2
37:6 39:11
48:11
75:9,10
77:18 79:4

I'd 21:2
24:8

I'll 8:2
13:2 14:17
28:22 42:1
74:24

I'm 7:1,9,
22 10:13
11:22,24,
25 13:1,6
20:18 22:4
24:2,15

36:18 38:11 40:2 41:17,18, 22 42:8 43:4 76:9, 10 88:11 89:8,17 91:15 92:15,18 93:2 94:2, 18

I've 6:22 8:4

J

January 6:2

Jersey 73:2

Jim 8:6

job 60:15 65:4 66:22 67:1

Johnson 42:2 48:4

join 23:2 33:13 34:15 37:9 38:4 48:18 69:17,20

joined 33:6 34:17 35:6,20 36:8 37:8 63:6

joining 48:20

joint 16:22 62:13

joking 93:2

Josh 8:20 14:18 15:8

judge 8:2 11:8 16:20 21:15 53:22 54:11,13 55:9 76:8 92:25 94:11

judges 19:10 61:20

judgment 73:25

judicial 41:24 42:1,2,6 45:25 46:13 59:4 65:8 76:1 94:20

judiciary 75:1

jurisdiction 36:22 68:1

jurisdictional 23:20

K

Kaye 9:6

33:13

Kemp 24:22

Kerling 81:13

key 45:17 82:15

kind 7:15 11:11 13:10 30:13 38:4 39:6 47:22 59:1 61:15,24 89:22

kinds 7:18 10:22 52:20

knew 73:11

knowing 67:17

knowingly 65:20 67:24

Kohl 51:10

L

lack 31:20 39:20

lacks 14:22

language 17:2 19:2 56:13 60:25 91:9

largely 63:17

late 32:2 33:2

Laurens 18:6,15

law 14:8 20:4 25:2, 22,24 26:2,4 27:6 28:2, 15 34:6,8 38:22 54:20 64:22 66:10

laws 20:4

lawsuit 32:15,20 42:2 47:20

lawyers 9:9 25:2

leads 51:4

leap 20:2

leave 74:11

leeway 84:25

left 72:20, 22 73:2,6, 11 88:11

legal 31:2 47:1 49:22 58:11

legislative

75:25

legislator 23:11

legislature 19:2 22:18 23:11,13 66:24 67:15 74:20,22

lengthy 51:13

letter 46:6, 8

let's 13:2 21:4 46:24 75:2

level 16:15 28:2

Library 89:13

lie 76:6

lieutenant 9:2 13:17, 22 16:4 17:13 41:20 43:9,18,24 44:9,15, 20,24 45:6 46:8 59:24 63:24 86:22

life 12:1 70:13

lightly 42:13

limited 84:24 93:4

Lindsey 9:2 12:11,17, 20,24 41:18 49:15 55:20 57:15,17 83:6,17,20 84:4 86:20 94:18

lines 30:20

list 84:15

litany 75:11

located 80:9

lock 82:15

logical 30:2,24

logistics 79:4

long 28:2 65:6 67:2 74:6 76:4 88:1

looked 72:9

lose 54:22

lost 38:11 50:8,9 53:10 61:1 72:6 79:1 87:15

lot 13:4,6 26:17 31:22 41:1

M

machine 47:18 55:18,20 68:4,22 76:25 78:4,6 85:11 87:24,25

machines 13:24,25 33:17 34:6 36:20 38:18,22 40:10,11, 15 53:2,6, 13 55:13 56:11 58:2,18 60:4 65:4, 15,18 66:11 67:13,17 70:4 71:11 72:10 74:11 75:4,17,18 76:4 77:11,13, 22 78:13, 17,20,22, 25 79:17 80:8,9,18, 22 81:2,6, 13,18,20 82:11,15, 17,22 83:1,4 84:2,4,11, 22 85:24 86:4 88:4 89:25 90:8,13, 18,20 91:2 93:13

made 19:13 23:20 31:4 33:9 47:6 50:15 51:22 67:6 81:10,11 83:22

mail 68:11

main 76:22

maintain 17:9

maintained 71:22

maintenance 53:4,11

major 11:25 43:4

make 6:6 12:20 17:10 20:2 21:18

26:10 29:4 31:17 35:2,4 41:17,18 50:22 52:24 53:18 63:22 68:6 70:13 71:24 73:10 82:20 93:22

makes 46:9 61:4 72:4 74:20

making 11:4 20:2 38:13 51:18 69:11 82:11

malfunction 47:18 55:20 78:15

malfunctioned 49:18 55:15

malfunctioning 13:22 56:11

malfunctions 55:17 76:25

malicious 13:24

malware 71:18 73:13

mandates 68:25

manipulation 13:24

margin 13:18 18:13 44:1 45:20 46:24 48:13 50:24 57:2 58:15,18 59:8

Marilyn 8:13

Marks 8:13

material 61:13

math 11:25 43:4 44:17 46:11 60:18,20 61:6

mathematical 43:2 48:6

matter 11:9 41:2,25 44:17 53:9,11 58:20 61:10 74:15

matters 42:6 73:10

matured 11:1

ma'am 15:22 28:25

Mcdonough 89:11 93:8

Mead 17:17 18:4,20 23:15,17 30:10 48:8 51:20 59:25 87:10

means 21:25 22:13 26:2,4 68:24 71:6 74:11 88:18,22 91:10

meant 21:10 22:20 23:24 26:13

mechanical 66:18

meet 20:25

meeting 77:15

memory 78:13,20 87:17 90:22,24 91:1

mentioned 14:18 49:13 77:25 90:13

mere 60:9

merits 25:17 52:1,2

methods 74:18

Miko 45:8, 10

mind 25:25 46:20 76:13 94:10

mine 83:11

minute 35:20

miscast 53:6,13

misconduct 31:2 50:4, 11 51:18 57:18 58:2

miscounted 45:20

missed 17:20

misspelled 87:9

misspoke 73:20

mistake 50:18 51:1 88:10

mistakes 78:8 87:2 92:2

mix 82:22

mode 56:24

modify 32:11

moment 62:6

months 72:22

moot 94:13

mooted 29:2

morning 8:18,22 9:2,10 11:4,6 13:1 29:20 76:11,13 81:11

motion 13:13 14:13 15:24 20:18 28:22 29:8,11,24 34:2,20 37:20 38:24 39:20 41:1,6 42:4 46:11 47:11 48:10 52:4 55:6 57:11 59:10 61:22 62:13,15 64:6 68:6 69:22 73:24,25 76:20,22 77:13,20 79:24 80:4,15 81:25 83:22 84:13,15 94:11

motions 9:15 12:25 13:4,6,13 29:2 31:8 37:22 38:1,4 41:10 47:10 48:11 54:18 63:1,11 74:1

move 14:22 59:2 94:4, 18

moved 77:1

moves 20:11

moving 20:20

multiple 14:11 27:11

municipal 17:1 32:13

Murray 31:9

N

nail 67:4

named 32:20

nation 71:18

national 70:18 71:4,6,11, 15 73:6 75:8

nature 50:1 71:22

necessarily 11:15 22:6 87:20

needed 32:18 45:13

nefarious 43:8,20

negative 45:6

negligent 43:20

neutral 65:8 67:4,6

nice 35:4

nominated 30:18

nomination 16:4,6

non-discriminatory 64:22

non-party 36:11

nondiscriminatory 67:6

note 53:20

noted 27:2 34:2 65:1

notes 51:20 53:8

notice 32:11 41:24 42:1,2,6 46:1,13 59:4 82:4 94:20

November 13:15 71:13 76:22 77:1 78:20,22

number 13:20 16:10 18:13 19:17 31:4,17 38:25 40:6 43:6,9,13, 15 44:6, 13,22 45:1,13,18 46:2,8

47:4 48:8 55:13 58:11,24 59:17 60:1,6 66:20 77:22 79:2 81:18 84:2

numbered 82:15

numbers 22:10 41:22 42:9,10 44:15 49:15 50:6 60:18 61:2,11 62:2 83:1 84:6 94:25

O

objection 6:11 11:9, 17 94:22, 25

objections 94:24

objects 80:4

occasionally 92:18

occurred 18:8,17 28:4 34:2 36:4

occurring 82:18

OCGA21-2-366 39:4

OCGA212250 22:1

OCGA212520 15:2 16:1

OCGA2143 22:13

oddly 86:22

offer 40:4 56:22

offered 56:22

office 11:15 16:6 19:25 30:22 42:10 82:20

officer 16:9 19:13,17 27:13,15

officers 27:11

official 24:22 25:11 42:9

omits 19:4

one-off 47:22

online 92:2

open 72:24 73:1,2

opening 49:2

operating 53:2 58:2

opinion 53:22

opportunity 12:6 54:18

oppose 84:13

opposed 6:6 18:11

opposing 64:11 83:15

optical 39:11

option 23:1 69:2

order 6:20, 22 7:22 18:13 33:6 40:9,20 41:15 48:2 59:2 62:17,18 77:20 89:22 92:13

ordered 40:8 50:4

orderly 78:2

original 33:2 83:8

originals 61:25

other's 69:25

outcome 43:2 46:9 60:10 81:22 83:20

outlined 42:22

over-votes 66:18

overwrite 78:20

owned 82:24

owns 82:22 83:1

P

p.m. 6:2

pack 62:11

pacts 69:11

pages 37:15 68:6 77:18,20

paper 40:11, 15 44:9, 11,22 56:20 66:15 67:8 68:4,15 71:22

74:18 87:8

papers 24:9

paragraph
33:15
47:18
63:22
65:11,18
67:22

paragraphs
75:10,18

pardon 33:11
88:20 91:4

part 11:18
53:1 60:15
89:10

partially
82:24

parties
14:20 30:8
31:11,18,
22 32:2,6
33:1,4,6
37:24 40:1
51:20

partner
14:18

party 9:24
10:2
12:13,17
17:15,24,
25 18:1
28:22
29:4,15,17
30:1,2
36:17
38:15
39:13

past 14:22,
24 20:20

pattern
55:17
86:22

Peenya 73:4

pending 11:8
12:4 13:2

people 7:20
42:11 44:6
57:20
68:11
75:22
91:24

percentage
45:10,11

percentages
32:25

perfect
66:15 74:9

period 55:11

person 16:4
30:18
42:15
68:4,11

perspective
82:13

persuaded
54:13

persuasive
53:20
54:2,17
56:15

pertain
14:15

petition
9:20 13:15
20:15
27:15,20
29:11
32:4,22
45:2 46:6,
13,25
47:2,8,10,
15,22
48:15
52:8,15
56:6 58:22
59:6
60:10,20
61:8,10
76:11
93:11

petitioner
44:2 45:2
84:1

petitioner's
66:2 83:20

petitioners
34:4 38:17
43:6 65:18
67:22

petitioners'
46:4 66:13

petitioner's
43:20
44:18
45:24
46:13

phonetic
80:13
81:13

phrase 22:11
57:4

physically
50:20

pick 31:15

picked 9:18

piece 36:18
68:4

pieces 48:25

Pinpoint 7:6

place 14:1
43:22
48:13
60:11 61:2
77:15

places 43:1
92:9

placing
60:15

plain 17:2
62:2 63:22

plaintiff
8:13 23:2
50:2 52:2,
17 53:10,
11 76:18

plaintiff's
58:22 64:2

80:13

plaintiffs 8:13 10:2, 24 12:6 13:15,20 14:10,13 17:11,15, 24 18:22 19:13 20:2,10 21:17 30:8 31:4 32:1, 13,18 33:9 34:9 35:15 38:24 39:18 42:22,25 43:6 47:6, 10,15,20 48:2,13 50:9 54:20 60:2,9 65:18 67:22 70:2 77:10 80:2,6,11, 15,20 81:6,10, 17,22 83:6,22

plaintiffs' 81:1

plaintiff's 10:18 14:2 20:24 21:24 27:4,6,10 94:18

plan 88:2

plausible 56:22

plead 48:2 60:1,10 61:11

pleading 21:1 59:15

pleadings 41:11 42:24 61:24 80:11

pled 60:4 61:11 81:20

plenary 36:25

point 18:2 27:4 29:2, 4 37:22 38:13 40:25 41:4 42:24 46:4 63:18 69:18 84:18

pointe 32:6 69:11

pointed 20:13 48:24 80:20

points 35:15 59:13

policy 49:24

politically 67:6

poll 36:20 65:20

position 11:13,15 12:4 24:6 25:22 31:25 33:4,11,18 39:15 40:6,13 52:11 79:24 84:18

possibility 54:20 74:13

possibly 87:13

postpone 93:22

postponed 93:25

posture 46:22 51:4 70:6

potential 75:6

power 36:25 37:1

practical 82:13

precedent 18:2 26:10 48:2 52:11

precedential 18:2 27:4

precinct 77:24 79:2 85:9

precincts 80:8,10 81:20,24 84:20,22 85:13

precise 18:2

present 19:6 55:18 93:18,20

presented 51:11

preserve 31:9

presidential 66:17

pressure 94:2

presumed 19:1 46:22 60:13 74:22

pretend 9:11

prevail

18:13

prevailed
52:2

prevent
75:13

previously
41:20

price 66:22

primary
16:6,9
31:1

privilege
7:18

probate
16:20
19:10

problem
30:11
32:11
50:1,15
52:22
56:17,18
85:11

problems
31:6
33:17,18
49:11
52:20
66:22
72:18
88:6,8
91:2

procedural
49:24 51:4
70:6

procedure
32:13
68:17

procedures
32:4 42:15

proceeded
51:15

proceeding
47:11

proceedings
6:13

process
61:13
63:15,18
64:9,15
65:11 66:6
67:18 70:4
72:10 74:4
75:1,13

production
72:11
76:24

proffer
26:18
81:11 82:4

profoundly
54:4

program
90:22

programmed
13:24 91:8

programming
47:22
78:13
79:17
86:9,18
87:2,18
88:4,9
91:2,4,6

programs
36:20

prohibits
14:8 67:9
74:22

projecting
54:25

prone 66:18

proof 50:6
53:9,11
54:6,8,10
85:17

proper 9:24
11:17
17:13,15
20:9
21:11,18,
20,22
22:22
23:9,10
24:1 26:25
28:22 29:4
30:1,2
32:2 36:1,
6 37:4
38:15
39:17 40:1
48:9,11

properly
45:20 47:2
57:20
58:1,2,6,
25 75:25

propose 77:4

proposed
62:17,18
77:20

proposing
83:6

proposition
39:6

propositions
19:22

pros 65:6
67:2

prospective
70:6

prospectively
70:2

protection
63:15,18
64:9 66:4
67:18 68:6
69:1,10,13
70:4,22
74:11 76:2

protocol
78:1 79:10

prove 37:4,6
53:10,11,
15 54:11,
18,22 55:6
56:1,8

84:11

proven 54:13,22 55:4

provide 34:4 64:11

provided 26:18 34:9 83:13

providing 38:17

provision 59:18 64:22

provisions 40:17

public 16:9 19:13,17, 25 27:13 41:25 46:13 59:2 72:24 73:11 86:20 88:17,24 89:2,4,6 93:17,18

pull 90:15, 17

purposefully 19:2

purposes 19:8

Pursuant 15:2

put 28:22 46:25 59:15 67:4 94:10

Q

quantifiable 50:2,18 51:1 52:22

quarrel 50:18

question 16:11 19:18,20 29:1 30:4 36:6 61:17,18 64:6,15,18 73:18 80:20

questions 30:2 32:24 36:9 46:17 79:22

quick 59:13

quickly 59:13 79:10 87:6 94:18

quote 24:22 25:11 50:10 51:11 52:13 53:4 69:4

quoting 64:20

R

race 13:17, 22 18:6 26:4 27:18 41:20 43:9,15, 17,18,24 44:6,9,15, 20,24 45:6,11 46:10 56:2 57:2 58:15 59:24 86:22

races 13:22 14:4 43:11,15 46:15

rain 72:20 73:6

raise 10:13 23:18 84:6

raised 10:11 27:25 39:4,6,18 44:22 74:6 84:6

raising 12:10

ramifications 90:9

random 80:18

rate 57:1

rational 64:18,20 65:2,9,15 66:9,10

reaching 85:2

read 26:17, 18 35:20 51:6 63:4

reading 23:22

ready 83:2

real 70:13

reality 74:13

realize 15:8,13, 15,18

reason 15:6 18:15 22:18 36:15 59:9 65:2 68:22 84:11

reasonable 14:2 54:20 64:22 65:1,6 67:2,6 77:15 79:20

reasons 29:13 50:9 57:10

recall 18:22 27:10,13, 18 28:18

recap 92:20

received 45:11

recent 73:15

recently 72:9

record 6:13 7:8,11,17 8:6,11 23:15 34:22 35:4 46:13 50:11 70:22 72:6 75:17 87:15 88:17,24 89:2,4,6 93:17,18

records 41:25 59:2

recount 68:17 74:13

reference 81:15

referenced 30:10 47:9 82:6

references 33:15

referencing 86:4

referendum 19:22 58:4

referred 27:11 86:20

referring 19:9 64:15

reflected 49:18

reflective 26:2

regard 12:13,17

registration 16:22 17:8,9 19:10 65:20

Registrations 8:25 16:24 19:11 29:22 34:4 38:15 39:1,4,11, 15

regulation 68:9

regulatory 64:25

rejected 31:2 47:2

relate 33:2

related 31:2

relating 79:15

relation 32:18

relevant 9:18 20:20 25:20 26:18 32:15 37:22

relied 71:10

relief 10:25 26:11 30:9 33:6 34:4 37:2 38:17 53:15 57:11 63:22 70:6

relies 57:22

relieve 52:17

relook 76:11

rely 17:15 60:9 70:8

relying 47:13

remedied 63:25

remedy 40:4 42:13 66:20

remember 42:11 47:13

repeatedly 14:6 57:22 80:20

report 25:20 71:4,6

report's 9:18

reported 24:20 25:15

reports 66:1

repository 36:18

represent 8:24 18:18

representative 42:13

representatives 65:6 67:2

request 6:6 80:6 83:20 84:22,25

requesting 85:2

require 22:20 39:1

required 30:9 36:15

42:22 43:18 49:22 50:2 59:15,20 69:1 77:6

requirement 37:2

requirements 21:1 49:22

requires 34:8 38:22

reserved 42:13

residual 46:8

resolved 74:2

resources 88:2

respect 21:24 37:13 39:17 84:18

respond 36:24 49:4

responded 79:22

response 14:13 34:9 38:24 47:11 68:6 76:2

responses 27:1

responsible 70:18

rest 63:17

restrictions 64:22

result 42:18,25 43:8,13 46:22 47:4,6 48:15 60:11,22 61:2 80:22 81:2,6

resulted 53:13 58:22 84:8

resulting 53:6

results 13:15 14:2 36:20,22 46:1,15 55:15 60:15 73:15 92:1,4 94:22

retired 62:11

return 53:18

returned 60:13

reversed 52:13

reversing 53:6

review 51:9 64:17 65:10 84:22

reviewed 70:15

revisited 73:25

rightly 27:2

rights 64:24 72:1,11 73:9

rise 64:4

risk- 75:11

risks 66:13, 25 67:17

Robbins 25:4

Robyn 8:8

routine 29:11

rule 6:6, 13,20 18:25 19:6 23:2 42:4 45:22 76:10 77:2

ruled 40:1 76:11

rules 7:6,8, 20 41:4 61:22 63:20 94:2

ruling 53:6, 25 83:22

run 33:4 79:6 91:17

runoff 16:6 17:20 78:18

Russia 73:4

Russo 6:18 8:18 11:6 13:13 15:22 16:1 20:15,18, 22,24 21:2,6,9, 13 23:15 24:2 27:2 28:25 29:2,10,13 30:9 38:2, 6 41:8,11, 13 59:11 62:13,15 63:6 76:18 80:1 82:4 88:15,18, 22 89:22 90:6,10, 11,17 91:10,18, 22 92:2,6, 10,11,17

94:15,24

S

sacrificed 74:18
sample 80:18
scanning 39:11
scenario 30:6 31:15 46:24 59:6
scheduled 93:20
School 89:13 93:9
Sciences 71:4,8,11 73:6 75:8
scientist 70:22
scientists 71:4,6 79:18
Scoggins 51:25
scope 81:18
screen 56:4
scrutiny 64:18
seal 82:15
seals 82:15
Secretary 8:18,20 11:6,13,15 13:4,13 15:4,6,20 16:11,13 17:4,6,10, 13,22,25 19:20 20:8 21:11,17, 20,22 22:13,15, 22 23:1, 22,25 24:4,22 25:6,11, 13,15 26:4,8,24 27:6,11,15 28:1,15,20 29:6,25 35:17 36:11,17, 20,22 40:18 42:9 43:15,24 46:1 63:6 69:17 72:22 73:10 80:2,4 81:10 82:4,20 86:17
section 6:20 19:2 28:9, 17,18,20 61:25
sections 14:11
secure 71:10
security 7:4,24 70:18 71:15 75:9 83:2,4
seek 16:6 34:4 63:22 77:4
seeking 12:15,18 37:2 38:18 70:6 79:15 84:1 85:18
seeks 84:22
Select 70:20
Senate 70:20
send 62:10 76:11
sense 11:4 12:20 89:18 90:4 93:22
sensitive 81:4 82:15
separate 36:9 37:9 42:2,4 72:18
separately 21:2 62:18
September 71:4,11
serial 77:22 79:2 82:25
served 39:20,22
servers 85:22 93:13,15
service 39:20
set 15:13 52:17 79:6,10 91:24
sets 68:6
setting 26:10 42:11
severely 65:22
sheet 38:11
Sheffield 17:17 18:4,20 23:15,17 51:20
Shelley 66:11
She's 46:10
short 27:13 44:1,17 45:13,15

46:2 59:8

shot 35:15

show 18:11 40:6 42:25 45:18 50:2 51:22 58:15 59:20 60:1 80:20 83:24 89:4 92:1 94:20

showing 48:25 53:4

shown 25:15 55:15

shows 57:2

side 33:10

sign 7:22 77:4

signaling 50:13

signed 7:2, 4,25 27:15

significantly 47:25

similar 10:10 27:17,20 52:9

similarly 50:6 53:4 67:25

Simple 91:9

simplistic 11:25

simply 12:13,17 41:22 43:4 45:9 46:11 55:20 56:24 64:10 68:18

single 22:25 57:6 70:18 71:2 85:13 86:22,25 87:2,24,25

sir 8:11,17 61:17 64:13

Sistrunk 19:4

sit 79:9

sitting 50:20 77:25

situated 67:25

situation 31:13 52:20 58:4

sleep 92:18

slews 71:2

slight 41:4

sofa 73:2

sole 52:11

sort 35:15 40:4 42:22 70:6 72:15 73:13 87:6

source 71:2 88:22

sovereign 21:20,22 23:18 26:8,24 63:18

space 77:15

speak 33:18, 20

speaking 18:20

speaks 76:2

specific 16:2 31:22 56:11 59:15,18 75:4 76:2 82:25 84:6 86:6

specifically 16:18 69:2 74:10 75:2 77:8 93:8

specificity 48:6 60:10

specifics 31:20

speculating 14:8

speculation 48:4 60:9

split 13:10

spot 12:2

stand 27:4

standard 64:17 65:11

standing 14:22

start 32:2 53:20

starters 80:6

state 8:18, 20 11:6,13 13:18 15:4,6,20 16:13,15 17:4,6,8, 10,13,22 18:1 19:20 20:8 21:11,17, 22 22:13, 15,22 23:1,22,25 24:4,22 25:6,11, 13,17 26:4,6,8, 25 27:8, 11,15,17

28:1,15,22 29:6 30:1 31:6 34:6, 8 35:17 36:11,13, 17 38:22 46:1 47:2, 17 48:2,17 52:4,8,15 55:15 57:11 63:6 64:8,22 66:4 67:18 68:9,22,25 70:18 72:20,22 73:11 80:2,4 81:6,11 82:4,24 86:17 87:15 92:4

state's 13:4,13 40:18 42:10 53:20 64:24 66:4 69:17 82:20

state-based 69:8

state-imposed 76:6

stated 14:6 20:13 27:6 41:20 47:15 58:20 80:15

statement 49:2

statements 75:15

states 27:11 28:10 71:18

statewide 13:22 17:9,11 18:4 22:13,20, 25 23:2,15 24:1 26:4 27:6,18 28:1 30:11 33:22 43:10 49:20 55:20 56:13 85:10 94:22

State's 11:15 43:15,25

statistically 80:17

status 37:15,20

statute 9:17 19:2 21:25 23:24 26:6 32:11,17 33:4

statutes 37:1

statutory 19:1,6

stay 10:15 12:8,22 13:2 36:6 49:9

step 11:20, 24 14:20 21:4,6,10 59:1

steps 40:13

Stiles 50:4 51:2 57:22

stipulate 94:18

stood 25:17

stop 40:25

strict 64:17

strictly 20:4

strikes 57:4

struck 57:4, 9

stuck 9:8

stuff 37:17, 18 61:18 63:4

stumbling 91:17

styling 25:13

subject 20:25 47:2 91:20

subjectively 49:22

submit 48:15 62:13,15 92:13

submitted 16:11 19:18

subsection 16:2,20 19:15

subsident 74:4

substantial 36:15 60:22

substantially 70:1 72:10

substantiate 59:20

sued 24:22, 25 25:11 30:8 31:6 32:10 37:4

sufficient 47:4,6 48:13

60:11 61:8
64:8,25

suggest
54:15
73:15

suggested
92:20

suggesting
54:22

suggestion
85:15

suit 37:2

summary
73:25

superintendent
16:8,13,18
17:10,13
18:22 19:8
20:6 22:1,
2,13,15,18
26:2,4
27:6 28:2,
11 30:6,25
57:24

superintendents 16:8,15
18:6 20:10
28:15

supervised
89:18

supervisor
24:24
25:13

support
33:11
52:11

suppose
80:13

supposed
62:11
91:13

supposedly
9:18 89:18

Supreme 14:6
23:20
32:6,11
45:18
48:2,4,9
49:25
50:4,13
51:13,17,
25 52:13
53:4 56:13
58:8 64:11
65:1,24
66:2 67:13

sustained
57:13

system 38:20
39:8 48:25
49:11,18
53:20,22
54:4 55:22
56:11 61:4
65:20
66:15
70:11,13,
15,20
71:9,17,
18,20,24
72:2,20,22
73:1,4
74:9,20
84:6 86:18
87:4 89:20

systemic
55:20 61:4
85:10 88:4

systems
39:13
55:10 65:6
66:20 67:8
69:6
74:11,18
78:15 79:6

T

table 7:11
8:13

tabulates
36:20
86:18

Taggart
52:6,18
53:4,9
56:13

tailored
85:2

taking 42:1,
2 58:13
94:20

talk 43:4
75:2

talked 74:6

talking
40:11,25
42:8 74:10
75:22

talks 74:20,
24 75:18

targeted
88:4

technology
90:2

tediously
59:4

telephone
51:18

Temple 89:15

term 22:18

terms 40:4
41:25
43:20 44:4
45:4,11,24
47:13 48:6
49:22
53:18
55:18
58:17
63:18
82:11 85:2

test 43:2
64:20
65:2,9
66:9

testified
80:13
81:11

testimony 55:18 82:6

That'll 12:24

that's 9:11, 13,18,20 10:6,22 18:17 19:4 23:11 26:22 28:15 29:13 32:11 33:6 34:6 37:9 39:2,8 40:4,8,11, 17,20 42:18,22 43:13,25 44:25 45:17,22 49:1 75:2 77:1 89:8 90:18 91:22

there's 20:1,6 22:18 27:13 32:4,18 37:2 44:6 78:4 88:9 89:24 90:13 93:15

they're 10:10 31:18 38:18 40:2 47:11 75:20 90:1 91:11

they've 31:6 75:20

thing 25:15 42:8 44:2 46:20 47:13 70:24 90:18

things 7:18 36:22 43:6 54:18,24 61:15 74:24 77:6 86:20 94:8

third-party 14:4 27:17

Thomas 58:8

thought 21:9

thousands 33:15,18

threat 71:24

threshold 14:15 48:1

thumb 45:22

thwart 66:20

till 88:11

time 11:20, 24 12:2 23:22 32:18 33:11 39:20 67:13 77:15 84:24 88:1,2 92:6,18

times 10:13 27:11

Title 15:2 18:24

today 7:15 9:15,18 11:22 26:18 37:6 40:13 82:2

told 70:18 85:25

Tolson 19:4

total 43:8, 17,24,25 48:25 58:13

totally 56:18 58:4 71:18

totals 56:20

Totenberg 11:8 53:22 54:15 81:13

Totenberg's 55:9

touches 21:18

touchscreen 66:20 67:8 69:6 74:10,18, 20

tower 79:8

traditional 66:15 74:18

trail 82:15

transcript 82:4

transient 72:6

transposing 22:10

treated 67:24 68:15

trial 40:6 50:10,13 51:6,11, 13,15,17, 24 52:2,6, 10 53:6 54:15 69:2,4 93:20

troublesome 78:25

true 49:13 55:9,10
trusted 71:1
truth 45:9
type 40:10 52:20 59:15,20, 22 74:15 75:11
types 66:22 75:17
Tyson 8:22 29:20,22 46:18,20 69:17,22 82:9 83:9, 13 88:13

U

ultimately 83:22
unanimous 71:6
under-vote 55:20 56:18 57:9
under-votes 66:18
underlying 63:20 78:20
understand 9:13,17,22 15:24 21:9 26:20 28:9 40:2 61:20 79:18 87:6 91:13
understanding 67:17 86:11
understands 46:11
understood 90:11
undertaken 42:13 73:13
undervote 49:11,18
unexplainable 55:17
unexplained 49:18 56:18
unfettered 80:2 81:4
unfortunate 74:13
unnecessary 81:8
unrefuted 39:18
unrelated 80:10
unreliability 56:10
unreliable 55:11 70:25 72:1
unverified 47:24
uphill 62:2
urge 52:9
utilizing 69:6

V

valid 31:13 46:22 60:6 80:17,22
variegation 20:4
variety 31:11
verifying 74:13
versus 8:8 44:10 45:11
victory 13:18 18:13 44:1 45:20 53:15 58:15,18 59:8
views 76:4
Vince 80:1
Vincent 8:18 11:6
violate 72:10
violated 69:10
violating 71:25
violation 42:15 70:4 73:6,8
violations 42:17
virtually 21:18 66:8
virus 73:2
vote 18:11 19:22 34:13 39:1,2 44:4,6 56:20 63:15,22 65:13,22 66:6 68:2, 4,11,20,24 69:6 72:2, 6 74:13
voted 44:6 45:4 67:24 68:1
voter 17:8,9 34:11 65:20 66:20

68:20 72:4

voter-verified 74:18

voters 19:22 34:13 64:24 68:11,15 69:2,8 72:1,11 74:11 76:4

votes 13:20 14:4 16:10 17:20 19:17 31:2 41:22 43:9,13, 15,17,22, 24,25 44:1,24 45:1,2,10, 11,13,15, 18 46:2,8 47:1,4,17 50:2 53:6, 13 55:22 57:20 58:1,6,13, 18,20,24, 25 59:6,18 71:1 72:2, 4 80:20, 22,25 81:2 83:25 84:1 86:22 87:18

voting 13:24,25 38:20 39:6,13 44:10 45:4 50:1 53:6, 13 55:17, 22 60:4 66:20 68:1 69:6 74:11 80:4,8 81:6 82:13 85:11

vulnerabilities 75:6,9,10

vulnerability 66:22

vulnerable 54:4 73:8

W

waiting 60:13

waived 10:25 21:22 75:25

walked 59:4

wanted 38:10

watch 89:18, 25

ways 49:20

Weber 66:11, 15 74:8

WEDNESDAY 6:2

weeks 62:22

weigh 65:6 67:2

weighing 75:13

weighty 61:2

Wexler 64:20 70:8,9

we'll 7:15 11:20 13:8 36:13 92:2

we're 9:11, 15,20 12:17 13:13 33:2 37:2 46:20 92:20

we've 45:22 77:22 79:2 90:13

what's 42:22 78:8

whip 35:15

who's 8:11

wide 84:6 87:4

widespread 50:11,15 93:1

Willard 25:2

win 54:25 87:13

winner 45:20

wiped 45:20

witnesses 7:15

won 41:20

wonderful 49:2

won't 7:2

word 19:6 35:11 65:1

words 45:15 58:15 68:2 88:8

work 62:22 79:6 82:25 89:8 91:15

working 57:8

works 9:11 48:2

worry 62:1 63:11

worse 73:10

worst 32:24

worst-case 59:6

Worth 31:11 89:13

wouldn't 15:13

wrap 19:18 20:6

write-in
44:22

wrong 17:20,
22 57:2,4
87:11,18
91:8

Y

y'all 22:4

year 25:4
62:11
81:17

years 24:2
72:15

yesterday
24:6 42:20

you'll 75:10

you're 26:20
30:22 32:6
34:13
41:24
45:15
88:10

you've 29:8
40:20
45:18
93:13

1 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY

2 STATE OF GEORGIA

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDAC
Date: 2/18/2019 11:58 AM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

3

4 COALITION FOR GOOD . CIVIL ACTION
GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. . FILE NO.: 2018-CV-313418
5

6 MARTIN, SMYTH DUVAL, and .
JEANNE DUFORT, . Taken at:
7

8 Plaintiffs, . Superior Court of Cobb County

9 vs. . 70 Haynes Street

10 ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, . Courtroom 2000

11 Secretary of State of . Marietta, Georgia 30090
Georgia, et. al. .
12

13 Defendants. .
. . . . . .
14

15

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING PROCEEDINGS

16

17 THURSDAY, JANUARY 17, 2019

18 9:02 a.m. to 4:53 p.m.

19

20 STATE OF GEORGIA SENIOR JUDGE ADELE P. GRUBBS
REPORTED BY:
21

22 PRISCILLA GARCIA, COURT REPORTER
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF GEORGIA
23

24 TRANSCRIBED BY:

25 CHRISTIAN NAADEN

1

2

3

4 APPEARANCES:
Plaintiff's Counsel: BRUCE P. BROWN, ESQUIRE
5

6 BRUCE P. BROWN LAW
FLOATAWAY BUSINESS COMPLEX
7

8 1123 ZONOLITE ROAD N.E.

9 SUITE 6

10 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30306

11 404-881-0700
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com
12

13
Plaintiff's Counsel: MARILYN MARKS, ESQUIRE
14

15 COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE
7035 MARCHING DUCK DRIVE
16

17 SUITE E-504

18 CHARLOTTE, NORTH CAROLINA 28210
704-552-1618
19

20 marilyn@uscgg.org

21

22 Defendant's Counsel: JOHN BELINFANTE, ESQUIRE
ROBBINS, ROSS, ALLOT, BELINFANTE
23

24 & LITTLEFIELD, LLC

25 500 14TH STREET N.W.

1 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30318
2 404-856-3262
jbelinfante@robbinsfirm.com
3
4 CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:
5
6 Defendant's Counsel: VINCENT R. RUSSO, ESQUIRE
ROBBINS, ROSS, ALLOT, BELINFANTE
7
8 & LITTLEFIELD, LLC
9 999 PEACHTREE STREET N.E.
10 SUITE 1120
11 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30309
404-856-3260
12
13 vrusso@robbinsfirm.com
14
15 Defendant's Associate Counsel:
ALEXANDER F. DENTON, ESQUIRE
16
17 ROBBINS, ROSS, ALLOT, BELINFANTE
18 & LITTLEFIELD, LLC
500 14TH STREET N.W.
19
20 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30318
404-856-3276
21
22 adenton@robbinsfirm.com
23
24
25

1
2
3
4 CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:
5
6 Defendant's Counsel: EDWARD H. LINDSEY, JR., ESQUIRE
7 DENTONS US, LLP
8 303 PEACHTREE STREET N.E.
9 SUITE 5300
10 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30308
11 404-527-4580
12 edward.lindsey@dentons.com
13 Defendant's Counsel: SAMUEL S. OLENS, ESQUIRE
14 DENTONS US, LLP
15 303 PEACHTREE STREET N.E.
16 SUITE 5300
17 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30308
18 404-527-4108
19 sam.olens@dentons.com
20
21
22 Defendant's Counsel: KAYE WOODARD BURWELL, ESQUIRE
23 OFFICE OF THE COUNTY ATTORNEY
24 141 PRYOR STREET S.W.
25 SUITE 4038

1 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30303
2 404-612-0251
kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov
3
4 CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:
5
6 Defendant's Counsel: BRYAN P. TYSON, ESQUIRE
STRICKLAND, BROCKINGTON &
7
8 LEWIS, LLP
9 1170 PEACHTREE STREET N.E.
10 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30309
11 404-219-3160
bryan.tyson@sbllaw.com
12
13 Defendant's Co-Counsel: RICHARD A. CAROTHERS, ESQUIRE
14
15 CAROTHERS & MITCHELL, LLC
1809 BUFORD HIGHWAY
16
17 BUFORD, GEORGIA 30518
18 770-932-3552
richard.carothers@carsmith.com
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1

2

3

4 I N D E X

WITNESS	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
WITNESSES CALLED ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF				
Marilyn Marks	31	38,51,54	43,56	50
Sara LeClerc	60,72	74,77,78		
Jeanne Dufort	80			
Christopher Brill	84	89,91,127,128	93,120	113,114
Matthew Bernhard	137	150,188,198	156,187,204	
Michael Barnes	210,243	254,276	279	286,287
WITNESSES CALLED ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT				
None				

EXHIBITS MARKED ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF

NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE OFFERED	PAGE RECEIVED
P-1	- Secretary of State Email	41	Not Admitted
P-2	- LBJ Notes Excel Spreadsheet	64	66
P-3	- Brill's Affidavit	98	98
P-7	- National Academies of Science Engineering and Medicine's report, Secured Boot, from 2018	168	168
P-8	- Center for Election Systems at		

1 Kennesaw State Email
2 Communication 2017 243 243
3
4 EXHIBITS MARKED ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT
PAGE PAGE
5
6 NO. DESCRIPTION OFFERED RECEIVED
1 - Duncan-Tweet Regarding Fundraising 52 54
7
2 - Ballot Design 236 236
8
3 - Base Precinct with Reports 264 265
9
4 - Base Precinct with Reports 264 265
10
5 - Active Voter TS Status 266 266
11
6 - Active Voter TS Status 266 266
12
7 - Certified Returns Fulton County 273 273
13
8 - Certified Returns Gwinnett County 273 273
14
9 - Certified Returns Dekalb County 273 273
15
10 - Official Election Returns 2010 273 274
16
11 - Official Election Returns 2014 273 274
17
12 - Official Election Returns 2018 273 274
18
13 - Turnout Percentage Document 273 274
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

1
COBB COUNTY, GEORGIA
2
THURSDAY, January 17, 2018 - 9:02 a.m.
3
4
* * * * * * *
5
6
THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.
7
MALE: Good morning, Your Honor.
8
THE COURT: Take your seats, if you will.
9
THE COURT: First of all, if you are here for the
10
uncontested divorce calendar, that includes name changes
11
and all those kind of things, you are in the wrong place.
12
That is in Juvenile Court Number One. You have to go back
13
across the bridge if you're in here by mistake.
14
Okay. And we have some recordings. Rule 22. Is
15
everybody in here on -- you're here on the -- supposed to
16
be some others. Ms. McDonald.
17
MS. MCDONALD: Yes, ma'am.
18
THE COURT: You're here. Mr. Wilke?
19
MR. WILKE: Yes, ma'am.
20
THE COURT: Yeah, he's here. And who's the other
21
one?
22
THE COURT: Kauffman. Mr. Kauffman.
23
MR. KAUFFMAN: That's me.
24
THE COURT: How'd you get up there?
25

1 MR. KAUFFMAN: I asked Mr. Davis earlier if it was
2 okay if I sit here because recording.
THE COURT: And you did what, Mr. Davis?
3
4 MR. DAVIS: [Inaudible].
THE COURT: Why did he get preference than everybody
5
6 else?
MR. DAVIS: No one else asked.
7
8 THE COURT: Nobody else asked. Okay. Good statement.
9 Anybody else want to come in and [inaudible] -- okay.
10 We'll do that.
11 This is mainly on the case of Rhonda Martin, Smyth
Duval, and Jeanne Dufort versus Geoff Duncan, Fulton
12
13 County Board of Registration and Elections, Gwinnett
County Board of Registration and Elections. Mr. Brown,
14
15 where are your clients?
MR. BROWN: Jeanne Dufort is here.
16
17 THE COURT: She's what?
18 MR. BROWN: Your question was what, Your Honor?
THE COURT: Where are your clients?
19
20 MR. BROWN: My client, this is Jeanne Dufort.
THE COURT: Okay.
21
22 MR. BROWN: She is the plaintiff in the case.
THE COURT: Okay. And are the other two here too?
23
24 MR. BROWN: Smyth Duvall.
25 THE COURT: Mr. Duvall is here?

1 MR. BROWN: He is here. Yes, Your Honor.

2 THE COURT: Okay. Just trying to identify. Do you need seats to bring them to the table?

3

4 MR. BROWN: We're sort of crowded so this is fine.
THE COURT: You all need to be at this table?

5

6 MR. BROWN: No. He doesn't -- you're fine. I mean, we're okay.

7

8 THE COURT: You are?

9 MR. BROWN: Yeah.

10 THE COURT: And then on this side. Everybody's

11 together.
MR. BROWN: I would like to make a request that

12

13 Marilyn Marks, who is the executive director of the former plaintiff, Coalition, and it's been -- helping me in this

14

15 case, it would be an -- it would be a great assistance to me, just professionally, if she could also ask specific --

16

17 THE COURT: She can't sit at the table.

18 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: She can't sit at the table.

19

20 MALE: My only concern is that we do intend to invoke the Rule. She going be a witness?

21

22 MR. BROWN: She may and we will decide whether she goes first or not. So she can stay out here.

23

24 MR. LINDSEY: If she stays -- if she's the first

25 witness then I would object to her staying.

1 THE COURT: I'm sorry?

2 MR. LINDSEY: If she's the first witness knows the Rule, which I guess I have no objections --

3

4 THE COURT: Okay.
MR. LINDSEY: -- to her staying.

5

6 THE COURT: Okay. We'll follow that. Everybody else agree? I see Fulton and Gwinnett?

7

8 MS. BURWELL: Yes, Your Honor.

9 THE COURT: Okay.

10 MR. LINDSEY: [Inaudible] she could come in.

11 THE COURT: Okay. On the Rule 22 request, you cannot take anything at each individual table to the lobbies.

12

13 Okay. I know you were here last time and you did a good job, but you can't -- or any conversation between lawyers

14

15 and witnesses. You have to honor the confidentiality.
MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.

16

17 THE COURT: Okay. You all are standing up. I think

18 that Mr. Brown we filed a mandatory trial.
MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.

19

20 THE COURT: And I know I got a response from Fulton County.

21

22 MALE: Yeah. We also have a response as well that simply mimic the county's and we are filing it today.

23

24 THE COURT: Okay.

25 MALE: Can I approach the bench?

1 THE COURT: Mr. Groton does that. There's no clerk
2 because this is a Fulton County case. So he has to do that. Okay. I guess -- will I hear first from is -- let
3
4 me hear from -- Mr. Brown, why do you think juror demand is appropriate?
5
6 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the statute is very clear. It says that the case should be tried to the court unless
7
8 a jury demand is made. And that is explicit and without
9 exception. And we have made a demand for a jury trial and
10 the facts that will be tried, or triable to a jury both in
11 the election case and in any other case.
THE COURT: Okay.
12
13 MR. BROWN: So that's our request. Thank you.
THE COURT: What order are you going in over at that
14
15 time?
MALE: She filed -- Fulton County filed first of all
16
17 --
18 THE COURT: Are you Ms. Blackwell?
MS. BURWELL: Burwell. Burwell.
19
20 THE COURT: Burwell. I'm trying to get names right. Okay.
21
22 MS. BURWELL: Kaye. Right. Yes. Kaye Burwell on behalf of Fulton County.
23
24 MALE: Judge --
25 THE COURT: I got it.

1 MALE: Judge, I'm sorry. We have one more Rule 22
2 from the AJC that you haven't had a chance to review.
THE COURT: So I have not written [inaudible].
3
4 Anybody -- who's here from the AJC?
FEMALE: I am.
5
6 THE COURT: Okay. Anybody have an objection to the
AJC covering this? Mr. Brown.
7
8 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor.
9 MR. LINDSEY: In fact, they're more than welcome to
10 sit in the jury.
11 THE COURT: You're welcome to sit in the jury box.
Come sit over here if you want to.
12
13 MS. BURWELL: Think at a better angle.
THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.
14
15 MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, it is Fulton County's
position that the demand for a jury trial by the
16
17 petitioners is insufficient. We cited the Court to the
18 Henderson case, which clearly provides that there is a
two-step analysis for determining whether or not a jury
19
20 trial is available to a petitioner and they have satisfied
the first, which was merely to demand.
21
22 They haven't satisfied the second. They haven't
provided this Court with any information or argument to
23
24 support that under other laws at issue in the case, there
25 is a matter upon which they are entitled to have a trial

1 by jury. And that's the second part and they haven't
2 presented this Court with anything that would be sufficient for them to satisfy that burden. And so based
3
4 upon the statute itself, which is 21-2-522, as well as the Henderson case, because they utterly failed to allege
5
6 issues that would be triable to a jury, we believe that a jury trial demand is inappropriate.
7
8 Further, Your Honor, as we pointed out in our
9 response, the statute provides that if there are issues
10 that need to be tried, a jury from that particular county
11 needs to be impaneled. And in this instance, they are -- they have allegations in their complaint about Worth
12
13 County, Henry County, DeKalb County, and perhaps other counties that we don't know about yet. And so the Court
14
15 would be required if they had alleged something that was triable to a jury, would need to impanel juries from each
16
17 of those jurisdictions in order to hear whatever issues
18 would need to be heard.
And for that reason, Your Honor, obviously the ins of
19
20 the election contest statute, which is the swift and expedient determination of a contest, would be forwarded.
21
22 Thank you.
THE COURT: Thank you. Any additional arguments?
23
24 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, Bryan Tyson on behalf of
25 Gwinnett County, just very briefly. As the Fulton County

1 counsel, Ms. Burwell, has correctly pointed out, the cases
2 that they have cited control there is no constitutional right to a jury trial in an election contest case.
3
4 And I think under the circumstances that the demand only came after the motion of continuance had been denied
5
6 in the case that this is an attempt by the plaintiffs to delay this case when this case under the Election Code
7
8 needs to be resolved quickly and brought to a conclusion,
9 especially considering that the Legislature is already in
10 session and the lieutenant governor is presiding this
11 morning, even in the State Senate. Thank you.
THE COURT: Anything from you, Mr. Lindsey?
12
13 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we simply just joined with two other co-defendants with their positions.
14
15 THE COURT: Mr. Brown.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, quickly, three points.
16
17 Gwinnett raises the timeliness issue. Again, the statute
18 is clear and the demand needs to be made before the case is called.
19
20 Second, counsel for Fulton County says that we haven't made allegations about facts that are triable to a
21
22 jury. We have a very detailed fact-bound complaint and each of the factual allegations in there, in any civil
23
24 case, would be triable to a jury and I know of no
25 exception. For example, were significant programming

1 errors made? Can the vote tallies be reconciled? Are
2 there signs of malicious manipulation of the vote tallies? All of those things are factual issues. Thank you, Your
3
4 Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. I strike the demand for jury.
5
6 This is a bench trial. It does not comport with the statute. Okay. You want to make an opening statement --
7
8 whoa. Let's not do that. Okay.
9 MR. LINDSEY: I'm sorry. Sorry. And just as a
10 reminder, Your Honor, we have invoked the Rule.
11 THE COURT: The Rule is invoked. So witnesses, you have need to be outside.
12
13 MR. BROWN: Starting now, Your Honor?
THE COURT: Starting right now.
14
15 MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Starting right now.
16
17 MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, on behalf of Fulton County,
18 we have our [inaudible] representative, Mr. Barron. We'd like him to be able to stay to my --
19
20 THE COURT: He's who?
MS. BURWELL: Mr. Barron. Mr. Richard Barron. He's
21
22 the election superintendent for --
THE COURT: Is he being told to stay?
23
24 MS. BURWELL: -- Fulton County.
25 MR. BROWN: Clarification, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Yes, sir.

2 MR. BROWN: We have two expert witnesses and typically they are not sequestered because they may be
3

4 relying upon the client testimony. And so we would request Your Honor's clarification that it does not apply
5

6 to expert witnesses.
MR. LINDSEY: And we believe that in this case that
7

8 it should unless they intend -- we have no objection to

9 them remaining in the courtroom --

10 THE COURT: Once they testify.

11 MR. LINDSEY: -- once they testify in case they need to be brought back up on rebuttal based on any fact that
12

13 need to be brought. But in terms of their initial testimony, we would ask the rule be [inaudible].
14

15 THE COURT: I think Mr. Brown, you -- we do that when you have experts and they have experts. We have dueling
16

17 experts, but you've got two of the same. So once they've

18 testified, they can stay.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
19

20 THE COURT: Okay. And that means you cannot discuss each other's testimony.
21

22 MR. LINDSEY: And for that matter, Your Honor, I just wanted to clarify for both sides. Once the witness has
23

24 testified, as long as there is an assurance by the lawyer

25 that that person will not be brought back up for any

1 further reason, I -- any purpose, but I don't have any
2 problem with them remaining in the courtroom once they've testified.
3
4 THE COURT: Okay.
MR. BROWN: My understanding of the rule, Your Honor,
5
6 is that if a witness is in the courtroom, the witness is thereafter disqualified from being called.
7
8 MR. LINDSEY: Yeah. That's all I'm saying.
9 THE COURT: That's correct.
10 MR. LINDSEY: But I'm saying I don't have a problem
11 with them staying after they've testified.
THE COURT: Okay. Opening statement, Mr. Brown.
12
13 Open? Want to make an opening statement?
MR. BROWN: Oh, yes, Your Honor. Initially, we -- as
14
15 a matter of procedure, we have still pending a motion to compel.
16
17 THE COURT: I've denied that motion to compel.
18 MR. BROWN: Okay. In addition -- just for the record, Your Honor, we would like to make a motion for
19
20 additional discovery under the Civil Practice Act.
THE COURT: Sir, I've denied all that.
21
22 MR. BROWN: I understand.
THE COURT: We've been through it. I looked. I have
23
24 17 filings in this case in the last two days; okay?
25 Nobody's going to believe my list of work in this case.

1 Those all have been denied. They are preserved for the
2 record.
MR. BROWN: Right.
3
4 THE COURT: I've ruled on them. The record is clear that -- I've ruled. If that's what you're concerned
5
6 about, I've ruled; okay?
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, the
7
8 issue in this case is whether the election was so
9 defective as to place in doubt the result of the
10 lieutenant governor's contest. This case is not like any
11 other election case. In every other election case the evidence of defectiveness, of a defective election, is
12
13 hard documentary evidence. It is misspelled names on an absentee ballot.
14
15 It is a person who was ineligible to vote. It is some flaw in the electoral process that can be
16
17 demonstrated with hard evidence in court. The State of
18 Georgia has chosen a voting system which embeds in it the results, the true results of an election never to be
19
20 discovered after the vote is made.
The distinction between this case and every other
21
22 voting case is that there is no tangible evidence of the result of the election. There is none at all. Instead,
23
24 all we have are traces, secondary evidence, giving some
25 hint as to whether or not the voting totals are correct or

1 not.

2 And what are those and what are those hints here? What evidence do we have that this vote is reliable? We

3

4 have none, Your Honor. What -- instead, what we have, and our experts will testify -- Your Honor, is there a issue

5

6 that I should address or is there --

THE COURT: Mm-hmm?

7

8 MR. BROWN: Are you -- let me --

9 THE COURT: A gentleman just came in and I'm not sure

10 if he's trying to record, that's the only thing.

11 MR. BROWN: Okay.

THE COURT: Sir, do you have anything to record?

12

13 MALE: No, Your Honor. I was sitting back here and I was having trouble hearing so I moved up.

14

15 THE COURT: No problem. Go ahead.

MR. BROWN: Sorry, Your Honor.

16

17 THE COURT: Sure.

18 MR. BROWN: Thank you. The --

THE COURT: I'm just trying to preserve the

19

20 courtroom. Go ahead. But I'm hearing you.

MR. BROWN: But what the evidence we do have are the

21

22 traces of what happened and all of that evidence shows that this election was defective. The most obvious

23

24 evidence is the vote patterns. And as Your Honor has read

25 in our briefs already, these voting patterns were aberrant

1 in the extreme.

2 And the reason we know they were aberrant, the reasons we know they were aberrant is that this has never

3

4 -- nothing like this has ever happened before, but to me most probative is that the pattern that we see in the

5

6 electronic voting, the under-vote for the lieutenant governor's contest, is not seen in the paper votes. We

7

8 have 200,000 paper votes, Your Honor.

9 It's a huge sample of people in all walks of life,

10 all around the state, of every political persuasion, and

11 for some reason they all, or 99 percent of them, voted in the lieutenant governor's race. One or a little bit more

12

13 than one or less than one out of 100 decided not to vote. Historically, that is exactly what you would expect. For

14

15 the last 20 years, the consistent under-vote for the lieutenant governor's contest in Georgia is .8 percent,

16

17 less than 1 in 100.

18 In Georgia, the history is if you're going to take the trouble to vote, and not everybody does sadly, but if

19

20 you're going to take the trouble to vote, whether in-person, early, absentee, or live, is that 1 out of 100

21

22 will not vote for the lieutenant governor. That is what happened in the paper ballots this year. One out of 100

23

24 decided not to vote for the lieutenant governor's race.

25 Now for some reason we have many, many thousands more on

1 the electronic ballot, a gap of 4 or 5 percent in the
2 electronic vote.
There is no legitimate explanation for that. It
3
4 could not have happened by chance. The samples are too
large for that to be simply random chance. So it's not
5
6 chance. Could it be because the lieutenant governor's
race was not very interesting to people? There's no
7
8 reason to believe that, Your Honor. And even if you were
9 inclined to believe that prima facie, then why did 99 out
10 of 100 vote on paper?
11 The same goes for every other explanation for this
anomaly. Let the defendants make that out. In a criminal
12
13 case a convicted -- a defendant may be sent to the
electric chair if the prosecution eliminates every
14
15 plausible alternative to their guilt. Here what we will
do, and what the evidence will show, is that every other
16
17 plausible explanation other than machine defect caused
18 this other vote and because it was machine defect it's a
defective election; so defective that its results are in
19
20 doubt.
A couple things to clarify our position to make sure
21
22 that Your Honor understands what our claim is. The
defendants claim that this is a matter of simple math.
23
24 Our response to that is two-fold. First is that their
25 simple math is wrong. It's just bad arithmetic, Your

1 Honor. Our second response -- and we'll explain that to
2 Your Honor in the course of these proceedings.
3 The second response to that is that it's not simple
4 math. You can't -- Your Honor understands this quite well
5 is that it's not simple math if you have multiple unknown
6 variables. Because this election was so defective the
7 State does not know the true vote got Mr. Duncan, does not
8 know the true for Ms. Amico. And third, it doesn't know
9 why there's a gap in the under-vote.
10 Our position isn't that there were some votes that
11 were lost. Our position is that the entire contents is
12 tainted because the under-vote shows that the machines
13 simply were not working -- that they were defective; so
14 defective as to cast the entire election in doubt and
15 require a new election.
16 The second point I wanted to make sure we were clear
17 on in terms of our position is that what we need to show
18 today and tomorrow is that the election was defective. We
19 do not need to show malice. We do not need to show that
20 the defendants or anybody else did something intentionally
21 to rig the vote or anything like that. That's not what
22 this case is about.
23 This case is about machines and whether or not they
24 worked and the evidence will show manifestly that they did
25 not. Your Honor, the course of these proceedings, and I

1 caution your understanding of this, is that this argument
2 is not rearguing the motions that we have lost, but is instead to take the case as it's presented right now. And
3
4 that is the plaintiffs have shown prima facie and will show prima facie that this election was defective.
5
6 I call Your Honor's attention to OCGA 24-14-22. That statute says, and I'll quote it, "If a party has evidence
7
8 in such party's power and within such party's reach by
9 which he or she may repel a claim or charge against him,
10 but omits to produce it or if a party has more certain and
11 satisfactory evidence in his or her power but relies on that which is of weaker or inferior nature, a presumption
12
13 arises that the charge or claim against such party is well founded; but this presumption may be rebutted."
14
15 Your Honor, what this law -- this law is commonsense but it's also fundamentally important in terms of fairness
16
17 and accuracy and decision-making by the courts. We will
18 show that there's no plausible explanation other than machine malfunction. The defendants can escape that
19
20 charge if they prove that they have investigated, they've done their own, and they can bring into court evidence
21
22 that this -- these machines are working.
Instead, they will not do so and as Your Honor is
23
24 well aware, they have resisted doing so, and they have not
25 done so in discovery. And so when the plaintiff makes his

1 case, or her cas, and the defendant's position is, well,
2 it's just a black box; we don't know what's in that black box, then the plaintiff wins, Your Honor.
3
4 Your Honor, in terms of the order of proof we have some witnesses -- the sequence of the witnesses may change
5
6 a little bit because of the sequestration. In addition, we will have applied witnesses, people who actually
7
8 attended -- actually voted or trying to vote. We will
9 have an expert on forensics and on these particular DRE
10 machines, and we will have an expert on the numbers and on
11 the politics behind the numbers.
And at the conclusion of this matter, we believe that
12
13 the Court will be authorized, if not compelled, to conclude that this election was so defective as to place
14
15 the results in doubt. Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: [Inaudible] Mr. Lindsey? Okay.
16
17 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, on
18 behalf of myself and Mr. Olens, we are here representing the Lieutenant Governor Geoff Duncan who won his election
19
20 on November 6, 2018, by a significant margin, 123,172 votes.
21
22 The statutory in case law is clear as set forth in the case of Hart versus Crawford, which said, and I quote,
23
24 "The setting aside of an election in which the people have
25 chosen the representative is a dramatic remedy that should

1 not be taken lightly but instead should be reserved for
2 cases in which the person challenging an election has clearly established that the violation has placed the
3
4 result of the election in doubt."
This requires, Your Honor, more than mere speculation
5
6 or guess work. More than saying that things might have possibly happened but they must show by clear evidence
7
8 that a -- that some type of problem occurred and that it
9 was a problem of great enough significance to wipe out a
10 margin of victory of over 123,000 votes.
11 In this case, not only are the plaintiffs not going to be able to show that they were the breach of Georgia's
12
13 election system, but we will also show why Ms. Amico received the vote counts she did, which I want to state
14
15 right here at the beginning, which is that within the range of the other unsuccessful Democratic candidates in
16
17 2006 [sic]. Ms. Amico received 1,828,566 votes.
18 Granted, this was less than the votes received by the more profiled Democratic candidates, such as Ms. Abrams,
19
20 but it is a vote count much higher than four other Democratic candidates and is within a few thousand votes
21
22 of two other ones. So in other words, she -- her vote count as a Democratic in the 2016 election was right
23
24 square in the middle among all the various Democrats who
25 ran statewide here in Georgia. That's number one.

1 Number two, in terms we will be able to establish why
2 the vote count for Ms. Amico was what it was and why there was a differentiation between the paper and electronic
3
4 ballots. The fact of the matter is, Your Honor, we were dealing with an ahistorical election; and never before has
5
6 Georgia had this many new voters vote in one election. In 2014, there were approximately 98,000 new voters that
7
8 voted.
9 In 2018, there were almost four times that number
10 that voted. The fact of the matter is when you have this
11 many new voters, you have a greater number amount of confusion that takes place. You also have the fact that
12
13 the way in which the ballot was configured on paper ballots versus the electronic system could have added to
14
15 that confusion inadvertently by virtue of how the various individuals on the ballot were placed.
16
17 In the case of the electronic ballots, they were in a
18 -- what you might call an east-west position. In the paper ballots they were in a north-south position right
19
20 next to each other, which would add to the confusion for many voters, particularly many new voters here in Georgia
21
22 as to whether or not Ms. Amico and Ms. Abrams were running on a ticket.
23
24 This is aggravated further by the way in which Ms.
25 Amico chose to run her campaign, which was to seek to run

1 as a team with Ms. Abrams. So that alone would suffice to
2 show why there was a difference between the paper and the electronic ballots. In addition, quite frankly, unlike a
3 lot of the other candidates, Ms. Amico received a lot of negative press in the closing days of the campaign.
4
5
6 And that closing -- that negative press was particularly being likely to dampen Democratic enthusiasm
7 for her candidacy given the fact that there were
8 allegations while she was a senior officer of a
9 corporation, that that corporation was engaged in
10 systematic racial discrimination.
11 So there were multiple reasons, Your Honor, not
12 simply one as to why there was the down-ballot voter gap that existed in this race as opposed to others. And we
13 will look forward to presenting those to you. Thank you, Your Honor.
14
15
16 THE COURT: Okay. [inaudible]?
17
18 MS. BURWELL: Thank you, Your Honor. The Fulton County Board of Registrations and Elections is charged
19 with following State law and to follow State law in order to ensure that citizens of Fulton County receive a fair
20 and accurate election when they go to the polls. And we believe the evidence will show that the Fulton County
21 Board of Registrations and Elections did just that.
22
23
24 We believe the evidence will show that the Board
25

1 accurately recorded the will of the Fulton County voters.

2 As this Court knows, there's no such thing as a perfect election. There never has been and there never will be.

3

4 But in this instance, these petitioners have a heavy burden and a burden we believe the evidence, with respect

5

6 to Fulton County, will now bear out their claims. And that's because the case is very clear that not every

7

8 garden variety irregularity entitles anyone to a new

9 election and that's because elections are designed to

10 carry out the will of the people.

11 And again, we believe that this election did just that. And at the close of the evidence we're going to ask

12

13 the Court to deny petitioner's request.
THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Tyson.

14

15 MR. TYSON: Thank you, Your Honor. Bryan Tyson for Gwinnett County. We are in agreement with the prior two

16

17 defendants. The key point for this Court is that the

18 burden on the plaintiffs is high.
The election is presumed valid. These are the

19

20 certified results, unless the plaintiffs can bring forward specific evidence of what was the irregularity that could

21

22 have caused the result to be placed in doubt, they cannot succeed in their claim. The availability of any number of

23

24 plausible explanations, which the evidence will show, will

25 defeat that. The ballot design question, the fact that

1 this election was the first time in a governor's election
2 that we've not had a U.S. Senate race also happening at the same time, and so that affected the ballot design
3
4 worked and affected the way -- on the DRE's particularly that voters were looking at those ballots.
5
6 Voter decisions obviously could play a role. Lack of a third party candidate could play a role. Any number of
7
8 factors could explain the dip in the votes for Ms. Amico's
9 candidacy. And the Supreme Court is abundantly clear that
10 speculation alone is not enough to overturn an election.
11 Plaintiffs have the burden of showing hard evidence, of demonstrating exactly what the cause was, and also
12
13 demonstrating that literally no other cause could have happened. They have to come forward with affirmative
14
15 evidence that demonstrate that fact. The constitutional claims, Your Honor, had been dismissed.
16
17 The only thing left in this case is a statutory
18 election contest and that is ultimately a mathematical issue. 123,000 votes have to be shown to be in question
19
20 in addition to the -- so that the plaintiffs can carry their burden and if they're not able to demonstrate that
21
22 or show an irregularity that places the entire result in doubt through hard evidence, then they will not be able to
23
24 succeed in their claims and we do not believe they'll be
25 able to and believe the election should be upheld. Thank

1 you.

2 THE COURT: First witness, Mr. Brown.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, we will call Marilyn Marks as
3

4 our first witness.
THE COURT: Would you swear the witness, please, Mr.
5

6 Brown?
MR. BROWN: Ms. Marks, please raise your right hand.
7

8 Do you promise to tell the truth, the whole truth, and

9 nothing but the truth?

10 MS. MARKS: Yes.

11 MR. BROWN: Have a seat.

12

13 Thereupon:

14

15 MARILYN MARKS

16

17 was called as a witness by the Petitioner; and,

18 having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

19

20 DIRECT EXAMINATION
OF MARILYN MARKS

21

22
BY MR. BROWN:
23

24 Q. Please state your full name for the record?

25 A. Marilyn Marks.

1 Q. Ms. Marks, by whom are you currently
2 employed?
A. I am the executive director of Coalition
3
4 for Good Governance.
Q. And what is the Coalition for Good
5
6 Governance?
A. Coalition for Good Governance is a
7
8 nonprofit, charitable organization, non-partisan
9 organization, that works on election transparency,
10 election integrity, voter privacy. We have a few
11 core issues of that nature that we really focused on
and we're a small organization.
12
13 Q. Is electronic voting one of those core
issues?
14
15 A. In fact, we probably -- yes, it is. In
fact, we've probably spent the better part of the
16
17 last three years with 100 percent of our resources
18 focused on problems with electronic voting in the
fact that electronic voting is not verifiable --
19
20 cannot be on the table --
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we object [inaudible] --
21
22 THE COURT: [inaudible] not responsive to the
question.
23
24 MR. BROWN: That's right. That's fine. Thank you.
25 THE COURT: Just answer -- you'll get there.

1 MR. BROWN: That's right.

2 THE COURT: He'll get to everything you need to say. Give him a chance; okay?

3

4 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. And what in particular about electronic

5

6 voting has caused the Coalition to focus upon that as one of their issues?

7

8 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'm going to object. She

9 hasn't been qualified as an expert and this isn't a case

10 as to whether or not there are doubts. It's the terms of

11 whether or not electronic voting versus paper ballots or some other system would be better. That's an argument

12

13 that needs to be done -- as a matter of fact, being done down at the State Capitol.

14

15 The question today is whether or not there was an actual taking place in this election, in this particular

16

17 election. So there are two objections. Number one, she

18 hasn't been qualified as an expert; and number two, the question is irrelevant to the issues before the Court at

19

20 this time.
THE COURT: Mr. Brown?

21

22 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I asked her a factual question as to what the Coalition's focus was on and she

23

24 was answering it.

25 THE COURT: Well, but she got beyond -- she started

1 to testify as an expert and she can't testify as an expert
2 unless you can qualify her. I understand your position and I know what the law is. I'm going to give them a
3
4 little latitude in this case.
MR. BROWN: I understand. Sure.
5
6 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. What is your -- I'm going to ask you some
7
8 questions about your background. And first, your
9 organization is based where?
10 A. Yes. Our headquarters is in Charlotte,
11 North Carolina.
Q. And what is your personal connection to
12
13 Georgia?
A. Well, actually I had my business career
14
15 here before I retired.
Q. And what was your business career?
16
17 A. I was the CEO and owner of a truck-trailer
18 manufacturing reorganization and we're headquartered here in Atlanta.
19
20 Q. And skipping back to -- so you've lived many years in Georgia; correct?
21
22 A. I lived in -- I plan to move back shortly.
Q. Okay.
23
24 A. I've been spending the vast majority of my
25 time here for the last four or five months.

1 Q. Ms. Marks, what is your experience
2 generally with electronic voting systems and whether that's a good method of recording votes?
3
4 A. Okay. Ten years ago I got very interested in elections; in fact, ran for Mayor of Aspen,
5
6 Colorado. And at that time, they were using the Diebold voting system that is also used in Georgia.
7
8 They were using it slightly differently. But at that
9 time is when I began to be concerned about the
10 electronic portion of the system.
11 And I tested the system as part of my work as a citizen and I became an election judge, and ended up
12
13 spending a considerable amount of time getting to know the system. And over the last ten years I
14
15 devoted myself -- having lost the election I devoted myself to the problems that were begin to be
16
17 uncovered in my own loss in the election.
18 And I have spent the last ten years doing work around troubled elections [inaudible] organization
19
20 tends to called into troubled elections, particularly when there are unverifiable electronic elections
21
22 where the results cannot be audited like this one.
Q. Have you ever been involved in a post-
23
24 election audit?
25 A. I have.

1 THE WITNESS: Your Honor, would it be all right if I
2 have a cup of water?
MR. BROWN: Yeah. Here. I'll get that, Your Honor.
3
4 THE COURT: Actually, your lawyer's got some.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
5
6 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. I believe you were testifying about post-
7
8 election audits, Ms. Marks.
9 A. Yes. I have been involved in various forms
10 of post-election audits starting with my own loss in
11 the election in 2009 and then primarily in Colorado,
although some in North Carolina, South Carolina, and
12
13 whereas in Georgia there is no post-election audit.
But, yes, I've been both in server; I've helped plan;
14
15 I've a poll watcher for post-election audits.
I've trained what in Colorado called canvas
16
17 board members who conduct the post-election audit.
18 So I've trained those for Libertarian Party and some
of the nonpartisan candidates -- not candidates,
19
20 excuse me, members of canvas boards. So I've spent
hundreds of hours in post-election auditing.
21
22 Q. Have you testified in court before, Ms.
Marks?
23
24 A. Yes, many times. Coalition for Good
25 Governance has done a lot of work in litigat- -- in

1 election litigation and so I have been in court a
2 lot.
3 Q. You mentioned paper ballots and the --
4 based upon your understanding of the allegations in
5 this petition, do you understand that the petitioners
6 are seeking a new election; do you understand that?
7 A. Yes.
8 Q. And do you have an understanding of whether
9 the petitioners want the new election to be on paper
10 ballot on the one hand or on the electronic ballot on
11 the other?
12 A. It is clear that the petitioners want only
13 a verifiable election, which has to be on paper
14 ballots.
15 Q. And you -- based upon your work, have you
16 become familiar with Georgia's processes and
17 procedures for conducting electronic and paper
18 elections?
19 A. Yes, I'm very familiar with those
20 procedures. I began my work in Georgia in the spring
21 of 2017 and began to analyze the problems in the
22 unverifiable DRE system. The debunked DRE system at
23 the time actually -- should I tell you about when --
24 Q. Just wait.
25 A. Okay.

1 Q. Based upon your understanding of Georgia's
2 practices and procedures in voting, would it be feasible for Georgia to conduct a paper ballot for
3
4 any re-elect new election?
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object to that question.
5
6 The foundation hasn't be laid for that; it's a legal conclusion. She's not qualified as an expert.
7
8 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would -- thank you, Mr.
9 Tyson, if I may interrupt. I should have before asking
10 that question and I'll withdraw it -- ask that Ms. Marks
11 be qualified as a witness about DRE machines generally and their use in Georgia and the practices and procedures of
12
13 the State of Georgia for paper ballots and for electronic ballots.
14
15 MR. TYSON: If I could ask [inaudible] show of questions of Ms. Marks.
16
17 THE COURT: Surely. Go ahead.
18 MR. TYSON: Good morning, Ms. Marks. My name is Bryan Tyson. I represent the Gwinnett County Board of
19
20 Elections -- Registrations and Elections. I just had a couple additional questions for you. You mentioned you
21
22 testified in court before.
23
24 CROSS-EXAMINATION
25 OF MARILYN MARKS

1

2 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. Have you ever qualified as an expert in a
3

4 court proceeding?
A. No. And have never intended to be.
5

6 Q. Okay. Have you ever been involved in the
administration of an election in Georgia a member of
7

8 the Board of Elections, a staff member in elections

9 office?

10 A. No, I have not been a Georgia resident so I

11 would not have been qualified for that. I have been
a poll watcher several times for candidates and for
12

13 the Libertarian Party in Georgia.
Q. Mr. Brown asked you about your work with
14

15 electronic voting machines. Do you have any degrees
or specialized training in computer science?
16

17 A. No. I just have a practical hands-on

18 experience.
Q. Do you -- have you -- I'm sorry. It's
19

20 correct, isn't it, that you have no first-hand
knowledge of any DRE machine that you personally
21

22 reviewed for the 2018 Georgia general election; is
that correct?
23

24 A. I'm sorry. Do you mind repeating the

25 question?

1 Q. Sure. It's correct, isn't it, that you
2 have not personally reviewed any DRE machines that
were used in the 2018 Georgia general election?
3
4 A. No. That is incorrect.
Q. Okay. Which machines have you reviewed?
5
6 A. Okay. So I'll not be able to tell you the
serial numbers, not off the top of my head,
7
8 certainly. The machines that I observed as I was a
9 poll watcher and I watched them operate -- I looked
10 at the data that was coming up on the screen in a
11 number of poll locations, and did so in a number of
the 2018 elections.
12
13 So, I did watch the operations, I watched the
shutdown of the machines, and then watched the
14
15 progression records through the compilation tallies.
So, yes, I have observed the operation of the
16
17 machines as a authorized statewide poll watcher.
18 Q. So, it would be correct to say, wouldn't
it, that you've observed them from a distance, but
19
20 you've not personally examined any of the DRE
machines; is that correct?
21
22 A. That would not be correct.
Q. Have you personally ever touched a DRE
23
24 machine?
25 A. Oh, certainly.

1 Q. Have you ever voted on a DRE machine?

2 A. I have.
Q. Have you voted on a Georgia DRE machine?
3

4 A. I have not voted in -- wait, excuse me. I
think I was about to not get that correct. When I
5

6 was a resident here, I believe they were just
bringing in the DRE machines and so I believe I did
7

8 vote many years ago on the DRE machine.

9 Q. But you're not sure?

10 A. I -- I voted on a DRE machine in North

11 Carolina.
Q. And you personally believe that Georgia
12

13 should move to a paper ballot system; correct?
A. Certainly.
14

15 MR. TYSON: I don't have any other questions at the
moment.
16

17 THE COURT: Anybody else have questions for her?

18 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, we renew our objection.
She's never been qualified as an expert.
19

20 THE COURT: Go ahead.
MR. TYSON: For one, she just finished saying that
21

22 she doesn't consider herself an expert. So -- and she's
not demonstrated any expertise on the technical issues
23

24 that are involved in this case. She certainly has some

25 very strong opinions and we understand it's good for her

1 to get up on the stand to sort of lay out what the
2 plaintiffs want. But in terms of providing any factual evidence to support their claim, she's simply not been
3
4 qualified as an expert [inaudible].
MR. LINDSEY: And, Your Honor, we would also object
5
6 to Ms. Marks being qualified as an expert. We already her expertise on the DRE machines. She's testified that it's
7
8 limited to just observing them from a distance.
9 And that we don't believe that that will in any way
10 assist the Court in determining fact in issue under 702(b)
11 because that's not one of the issues here in terms of what someone saw on the machine. The issue is what was the
12
13 vote in that issue.
In addition, we would also object to her
14
15 qualification regarding Georgia practices and procedures in that those are ensconced in the election code and Your
16
17 Honor is capable of determining those without the
18 assistance from an expert.
THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
19
20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, she's not being -- a lot of what Mr. Lindsey said I didn't quite follow, but she is
21
22 not being qualified as to the technical aspects of the DRE. We have another expert for that. She instead is
23
24 being qualified for the procedure. Thank you.
25 THE COURT: The question you asked would have

1 required her to be an expert and she's not qualified as an
2 expert. You asked, you know, could Georgia conduct paper
-- I am listening. I don't look like I am sometimes but
3
4 that helps me intensely listen.
You asked her really about whether paper ballots
5
6 could be done and she has no expertise to be able to
testify to something like that.
7
8 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
9
10 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
11 OF MARILYN MARKS
12
13 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Let me ask you some questions and you --
14
15 you have not been qualified as an expert, so when I
ask you a question it's going to have be based on
16
17 your personal knowledge; do you understand that?
18 A. Yes. Yes, I do. Uh-huh.
Q. Okay. Who is Taran Greenwald?
19
20 A. Taran Greenwald is an analyst for Coalition
for Good Governance.
21
22 Q. Okay.
MR. BROWN: And has the Coalition -- let me hand you
23
24 what I'll have marked --
25 THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.

1 MR. BROWN: -- as Exhibit 1.

2 [Thereupon, the referred-to document was entered into

3 evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 1]

5

6 MR. TYSON: If we may, Your Honor, could we see a copy first? Thank you, Your Honor.

7

8 THE COURT: [inaudible].

9 BY MR. BROWN:

10 Q. Ms. Marks, what is Exhibit 1?

11 A. Exhibit 1 is a voting records request that was made by Mr. Greenwald and the response from the

12 Secretary of State to his request to review certain of the records.

14

15 Q. Did Coalition for Good Governance send a number of Open Records Act requests to the Secretary?

16

17 A. Yes, we did.

18 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I object. I'm not real sure where we're going here.

19

20 THE COURT:
MR. LINDSEY: The Court -- I assume you're trying to

21 -- just trying to lay down proper evidence -- evidence you couldn't get in. No, you couldn't obtain.

23

24 THE COURT: Well, so far -- so far -- I haven't seen

25 the document; okay, he hasn't admitted. So far he hasn't

1 asked --

2 MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible]
THE COURT: -- an objectionable question yet.
3

4 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: I think -- I think both Mr. Lindsey --
5

6 MR. LINDSEY: I'm anticipating -- my apologies, Your Honor.
7

8 THE COURT: -- Mr. Lindsay and I are anticipating; we

9 may be absolutely wrong. Go ahead.

10 MR. LINDSEY: My apologies, Your Honor.

11 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Ms. Marks, is this a true and correct copy
12

13 of a January 9 email from open records at the Secretary of State to your company's analyst, Taran
14

15 Greenwald?
A. Yes. It is.
16

17 Q. And without going through everything, what

18 does the letter say?
THE COURT: Well, you'll have to tender it before --
19

20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would move to introduce Exhibit 1 into evidence.
21

22 THE COURT: Now, Mr. Lindsay.
MR. LINDSEY: Objection, Your Honor. It's hearsay
23

24 and it's lay -- you have to also lay a foundation as to

25 what's relevant to the particular issue before us.

1 THE COURT: Is it certified, Mr. Brown?

2 MR. BROWN: It is not. It's an email. It's a business record, Your Honor. It's an email from the

3

4 Secretary of State to her organization.
THE COURT: I -- it's not -- it's not tendered --

5

6 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: -- I mean, it's tendered; it's not

7

8 admitted.

9 MR. BROWN: Okay.

10 BY MR. BROWN:

11 Q. Ms. Marks, you testified that you were a poll watcher.

12

13 A. Yes.
Q. And was that in the November 2018 election?

14

15 A. I did. I wasn't a poll watcher in 2018, but I was also a poll watcher in previous 2018

16

17 elections and in Georgia.

18 Q. Okay. And were you ever a poll watcher in early voting for the 2018 election?

19

20 A. Yes. I was a statewide poll watcher for the Libertarian Party and the poll watcher

21

22 credentials covered the period of early voting through the of the election.

23

24 Q. Were you a poll watcher at the Ponce de

25 León Library polling location?

1 A. Yes, I was. And the way it works is that
2 we're a poll watcher for all locations.
3 Q. And -- but you were a poll watcher -- you
4 went to poll -- you went to the Ponce de León Library
5 polling location; correct?
6 A. Yes. During early voting.
7 Q. And what did you personally observe at the
8 -- that polling location?
9 A. The first thing I noticed when I got there
10 were two-hour long lines for people to get in and
11 then how hard it was for people to get into vote, and
12 I saw many people leaving because they couldn't vote.
13 And I could go through with you the troublesome --
14 Q. Well, let me ask you. Let me ask you that.
15 A. Uh-huh.
16 Q. Did you observe the DREs in operation from
17 an appropriate distance?
18 A. I did.
19 Q. Were they operating correctly?
20 A. They were not.
21 Q. And why so?
22 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'll object. I think this
23 requires Ms. Marks to have a degree of expertise regarding
24 the operation of the DRE machines for her to opine about
25 whether they were operating properly or not. There's not

1 a foundation for that.

2 THE COURT: I -- I --
MR. BROWN: I'll withdraw the question, Your Honor --
3

4 THE COURT: Withdraw --
MR. BROWN: -- and make it easier. I can make this
5

6 easy.
BY MR. BROWN:
7

8 Q. Were some of the voting machines not

9 working in that they were not taking votes at all?

10 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'll object again.

11 [inaudible] foundation, Ms. Marks can testify to that.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor --
12

13 THE COURT: She -- I think you're making much too
general of a question. I understand there's a specific
14

15 allegation. Get to the specific allegation.
MR. BROWN: Okay.
16

17 THE COURT: Okay.

18 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Ms. Marks, was the long line the result of
19

20 some of the machines not working?
A. Yes, it was.
21

22 Q. And how do you know that?
A. I -- the work of a poll watcher is to
23

24 observe the entirety of what's going on.

25 THE COURT: Okay. Just answer the question, please,

1 ma'am.

2 MR. BROWN: Yeah, just --
THE WITNESS: And I could see that the machines were
3

4 malfunctioning. I could see the screen, the machines were
malfunctioning. It was taking a very long time for those
5

6 voters to go back --
BY MR. BROWN:
7

8 Q. How were they malfunctioning, from your

9 perspective?

10 A. Okay. I was standing about five feet away

11 --
Q. And what --
12

13 A. -- it was a small place.
Q. And what did the machines do?
14

15 A. And so what would happen is a screen would
come up that would say, vote cancelled. As the voter
16

17 was attempting to enter their vote and a big red

18 warning sign came up, and the machine stopped
working. I observed that happening three times
19

20 during the 30 minutes I was at the library.
Q. Did you speak with any officials at the
21

22 polling location about that problem?
A. I attempted to. I was denied the ability
23

24 to talk to them.

25 Q. Okay. Thank you. Other than the

1 malfunctioning machines and the long lines, anything
2 else about the Ponce de León poll watching experience that is -- that struck you as unusual?
3
4 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'll just object to the question as worded. I don't think there's of the machine
5
6 were malfunctioning. I think there is testimony they displayed a red box; I don't think there's any testimony
7
8 that indicates that's that a malfunction.
9 MR. BROWN: I'll withdraw the question.
10 THE COURT: You'll have her on direct.
11 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Ms. Marks.
12
13 RECROSS-EXAMINATION
OF MARILYN MARKS
14
15
BY MR. TYSON:
16
17 Q. Ms. Marks, just one question before you
18 leave. I'll try to keep it short. You said that you saw three instances of the machine saying, vote
19
20 cancelling; is that correct?
A. That is correct.
21
22 Q. And you didn't witness any other indications of red screens coming on the machines
23
24 while you were at the Ponce precinct; is that
25 correct?

1 A. I witnessed three in 30 minutes and that is
2 all --
Q. Thank you.
3
4 A. -- and that was a sign that the machine
malfunctioning and the [inaudible] --
5
6 Q. I'm sorry. Yes. I don't believe there's
any evidence to explain it --
7
8 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, that's not a question. That
9 is a statement and I'd like to strike that from the
10 record.
11 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I request that the rest of
Ms. Marks' answer about the machine malfunction be
12
13 stricken; that there was no foundation for that statement.
THE COURT: You need to just answer the question. I
14
15 know -- I know your position; I know where you're coming
from; okay? No problem. I've already got that. You
16
17 don't have to impress me with that. Just answer the
18 questions.
MR. TYSON: All right. That's all I have. Thank
19
20 you.
THE COURT: Anybody else?
21
22 MR. LINDSEY: Just real briefly.
23
24 CROSS-EXAMINATION
25 OF MARILYN MARKS

1

2 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. Ms. Marks, is your position at the
3

4 Coalition a volunteer or paid position?
A. It is a volunteer position.
5

6 Q. Okay. Do you receive any compensation for
it?
7

8 A. None at all.

9 Q. Okay. Nevertheless, Ms. Marks, is it not

10 true --

11 MR. LINDSEY: Let me show you what we'll tender as
Duncan Defense 1.
12

13
[Thereupon, the referred-to document was entered into
14

15 evidence as Defendant's Duncan Exhibit No. 1]

16

17 BY MR. LINDSEY:

18 Q. This is a Tweet you sent out last night; is
it not? Is that not a Tweet you sent out last night?
19

20 A. It is.
Q. And does it not show that basically you are
21

22 using this trial as a fundraising mechanism for your
organization?
23

24 A. No, it does not show that.

25 Q. It does show that you are seeking to raise

1 money as part of this trial; correct?

2 A. Not as part of this trial; in order to pay attorney's fees and other legal cost.

3

4 Q. Okay.

A. That this trial will cost.

5

6 Q. Okay. And then you just sent out soliciting contributions as part of this trial;

7

8 correct?

9 A. Not as part of this trial, sir, but as part

10 -- routine requirements to raise money for a very

11 small organization with a high level of expenses.

Q. Okay.

12

13 A. We've been raising money for many years.

Q. All right. And this trial -- you sent this

14

15 out in anticipation of the trial today; correct?

A. This is consistent with fundraising

16

17 messages I've been sending out for years.

18 Q. Okay. So otherwise, you send other fundraising messages out when there are other trials

19

20 that are going on; correct?

A. Whether or not there are trials going on,

21

22 we are always in fundraising mode.

Q. Okay.

23

24 A. We need to be more.

25 Q. All right.

1 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we would tender this
2 Exhibit.
THE COURT: [inaudible] Let me have it, please.
3
4 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor. No objection, Your
Honor.
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: Defense Exhibit -- I guess it would be
Duncan 1.
7
8 THE COURT: Duncan 1. [inaudible], admitted. Go
9 ahead.
10
11 CROSS-EXAMINATION
OF MARILYN MARKS
12
13
BY MS. BURWELL:
14
15 Q. Ms. Marks, are you familiar with the
process for disabled voting on Georgia's DRE
16
17 machines?
18 A. I'm generally familiar with it. I've never
tested it myself as I have in other states.
19
20 Q. And so, what is your understanding of the
process?
21
22 A. To make sure I understand your question,
are you asking about an in-person disabled voter who
23
24 needs to use the assistive devices, is that what
25 you're --

1 Q. Yes.

2 A. -- asking me?
Q. Yes.
3

4 A. Okay. So I think that the process
generally is that the disabled voter can identify
5

6 themselves as needing the assistive device and the
poll worker ushers to a device, make sure they know
7

8 how to use it, and it's generally a vision-impaired

9 voter who would need the type of assistive device

10 that Georgia polls use and that they begin to mark

11 the ballots generally through a pad as they are
listening to what's called an audio ballot.
12

13 Q. So, are you familiar with how the actual
machine is set up for a disabled voter?
14

15 A. I am.
Q. Okay. And how would you be familiar with
16

17 that?

18 A. I've set one up before. Not in Georgia,
but just like them in other locations and I've tested
19

20 audio in other locations on the machine.
Q. So, are you familiar with what happens if
21

22 it is in disabled mode and someone uses it who is not
disabled?
23

24 A. Generally nothing very different happens,

25 not supposed to. Those machines are used frequently,

1 particularly when there are long lines. Those
2 machines are used frequently by voters in the standard mode.
3
4 Q. When you say, are used by voters in the standard mode, you mean a disabled voter?
5
6 A. No. I meant that while they do have the DREs with assistive devices, that they are not -- any
7
8 voter can, and they're not required to use the
9 assistive device.
10 Q. So, my question is, if -- and for a Georgia
11 machine it is set up for using an assistive device, but the person doesn't need it -- my question is, do
12
13 you know what happens on the machine? Do you know if it reveals anything or do you know what the machine
14
15 will show under those circumstances?
A. I don't think it shows if it is -- operates
16
17 as I believe it does -- I don't believe it shows
18 anything different than it does -- than a normal standard DRE setup does.
19
20 Q. Okay.
MR. BURWELL: Thank you.
21
22 THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
23
24 RE-DIRECT EXAMINATION
25 OF MARILYN MARKS

1

2 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Ms. Marks, if you would turn to Duncan 1, I
3

4 have a follow-up question for you.
A: I need a copy of it.
5

6 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, would you like my copy?
THE COURT: That's all right. I have it. But I
7

8 need to keep it in the stack.

9 BY MR. BROWN:

10 Q. Ms. Marks, your Tweet, which has been

11 identified as -- and introduced into evidence as
Duncan Number 1. In that you state, the state and
12

13 counties are opposing us at every turn in the court.
Do you see that?
14

15 A. I do.
Q. How has the Secretary opposed your efforts
16

17 in this matter?

18 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, objection to relevance.
Those have all been issues that have been raised to the
19

20 Court and by their very able attorney, Mr. Brown. He's
raised motions and you have denied each and every one of
21

22 those motions. And so --
THE COURT: Mr. Brown, why is that relevant to the
23

24 election contest we're trying right now? That's all we're

25 trying, is the election contest.

1 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, Mr. Lindsey opened the door
2 by introducing this into evidence in an effort to smear Ms. Marks and I'm --
3
4 THE COURT: He's not talking -- let's -- you know, I told you the other day about inflammatory language. He's
5
6 not trying to smear. He's trying to challenge her credibility.
7
8 MR. BROWN: Sure.
9 THE COURT: That's a whole -- that's a different
10 thing.
11 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. It was -- it was not my intention to smear Mr. Lindsey, I assure you.
12
13 THE COURT: I understand.
MR. BROWN: And -- thank you. I have no further
14
15 questions. Oh, well, I do. I'm sorry. If I may, Your Honor.
16
17 THE COURT: Go ahead.
18 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. HAVA. Do you know what HAVA is?
19
20 A. I do.
Q. And what does it stand for?
21
22 A. The Help America Vote Act.
Q. And are the -- is the examination of --
23
24 from Ms. Burwell, did that relate to that federal
25 law?

1 MR. LINDSAY: Your Honor, I'll object. That calls
2 for a legal conclusion.
3 MR. BROWN: Okay. Let me back up. I'll withdraw the
4 question.
5 THE COURT: [inaudible]. Sometimes it's just
6 rephrasing.
7 MR. BROWN: True. Thank you, Your Honor.
8 BY MR. BROWN:
9 Q. You -- is it your understanding, and this
10 is to follow up on the questions from Ms. Burwell --
11 is it your understanding that in some instances it is
12 required to use a DRE system that has the mechanisms
13 for assisting disabled voters?
14 MR. LINDSAY: Your Honor, I'll object again. I don't
15 think there's a foundation laid for this question and I
16 think we're back to a relevance objection again, as well,
17 that this is not relevant to the election contest.
18 THE COURT: I think -- and he's right. You've got
19 other experts coming in, so it's really neces- -- she's
20 not been qualified as an expert; she's not an expert. She
21 has a lot of information but she's not an expert. So I
22 think you need to wait for your experts for some of this.
23 MR. BROWN: That's fine, Your Honor. Thank you. I
24 have no further questions. Thank you, Ms. Marks.
25 THE COURT: You may go down. Next witness?

1 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, just to confirm, we are
2 excusing Ms. Marks -- is Ms. Marks excused so she can remain in the courtroom?
3
4 THE COURT: Yes. She is excused.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
5
6
[Thereupon, the testimony of the witness concluded at
7
8 10:25 a.m.]
9
10 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the plaintiffs would call
11 Sara LeClerc.
THE COURT: You'll have to go get her. We -- we only
12
13 see to have one deputy so you'll have to get her, or have someone go get her.
14
15 MR. BROWN: Please raise your right hand. Do you promise to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but
16
17 the truth?
18 THE WITNESS: I do.
19
20 Thereupon:
21
22 SARA LECLERC
23
24 was called as a witness by the Petitioner; and,
25 having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

1

2 DIRECT EXAMINATION
OF SARA LECLERC

3

4 BY MR. BROWN:

5

6 Q. Please state your full name for the record.
A. Sara M. LeClerc.

7

8 Q. Please have a seat. Okay. Could you spell

9 your last name for the court reporter, please?

10 A. Absolutely. It's L-E- capital C-L-E-R-C.

11 Q. Is it LeClerc; is that correct?
A. It's LeClerc, but --

12

13 Q. LeClerc.
A. -- [inaudible] doesn't matter.

14

15 Q. Ms. LeClerc, by whom are you currently
employed?

16

17 A. I work for myself. I'm an attorney and I -

18 -
THE COURT: I'm sorry.

19

20 THE WITNESS: I just work for myself. And I'm an
attorney and I -- so I work on a contract basis for other

21

22 firms.
BY MR. BROWN:

23

24 Q. And where did you go to law school?

25 A. The University of Virginia.

1 Q. Okay. And when did you graduate?
2 A. 2007.
3 Q. And did there come a time that you
4 participated in the 2018 elections in any way?
5 A. Yes.
6 Q. And what was your role?
7 A. Well, my first role I would say other --
8 well, other than actually in the election as a
9 citizen, I volunteered to observe, be a poll watcher,
10 a poll observer, so.
11 Q. And with whom did you volunteer? Was it an
12 organization that --
13 A. Yeah. I went to a training with the
14 Georgia Democrats.
15 Q. And did you end up observing any election?
16 A. Yes, I did.
17 Q. And where did you -- where were you?
18 A. Well, I did some early voting observations,
19 as well as Election Day, and then also the runoff
20 Election Day in December. So I was at different
21 locations for each of those days.
22 Q. And on November 7th, where were you
23 located?
24 A. It was November 6th.
25 Q. November 6th, I'm sorry.

1 A. And November 6th I was at Allen Temple AME
2 Church.
THE COURT: Which one?
3
4 A. Allen Temple AME. It's on Joseph Boone
Boulevard in Atlanta.
5
6 Q. And in the course of being an observer, do
you take contemporaneous notes of what you are
7
8 observing?
9 A. Yes. So, if something happens, it's not
10 just a perfectly smooth process, then I use my iPhone
11 and I have an app at the direction of to an LBJ
reporting tool. So I took notes directly to the
12
13 iPhone and website.
Q. And what does LBJ stand for, if you know?
14
15 In this instance.
A. Probably Lyndon Baines Johnson.
16
17 Q. Okay.
18 A. I believe it was named after him, given
[inaudible].
19
20 Q. Okay. And did you, in fact, enter your
notes and observations when you were at the AME
21
22 location on November 6th?
A. Yeah, I did; well, multiple times
23
24 throughout that day.
25 MR. BROWN: And I'm going to hand you a -- an Exhibit

1 and let me -- if I may explain this to counsel.

2 [Thereupon, the referred-to document was entered into

3 evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 2]

5

6 MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible].
MR. BROWN: Understood. Put it on the record while I

7 hand it to you because it's hard to read.

9 MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible] with my glasses.

10 BY MR. BROWN:

11 Q. And what I've handed to counsel is a large-
print version for Mr. Lindsey, like the books you get

12 from Amazon, and then the actual Excel spreadsheet,
which is too small even for me to read, and you could

14 just see that these blocks were copied onto this.
MR. LINDSEY: I understand. Which one do I get?

16 Both of these?

18 MR. BROWN: This is what I'm using as evidence.
MR. LINDSEY: Okay. Do I have a copy of that?

19 MR. BROWN: And you have that so you can verify it.
MR. LINDSEY: For the record, Your Honor, I had the

21 Lasix surgery. I can still read this.
THE COURT: This one? This is P-2?

23 MR. BROWN: This is P-2, Your Honor.

25 BY MR. BROWN:

1 Q. Now, Ms. LeClerc, the notes that you take
2 on the LBJ system appear on what looks like an Excel spreadsheet; is that correct? And that is not what's
3
4 in front of you. That's what I handed to Mr. Lindsey.
5
6 A. I have the large print of what you said is the Excel spreadsheet.
7
8 Q. And does that appear to be a large-print
9 version of the very small print on the Excel
10 spreadsheet?
11 A. Yes.
Q. And does the Excel spreadsheet have a true
12
13 and correct recording of the notes that you took on the LBJ system as you were observing things in the
14
15 AME voting location?
A. Yes. All of the notes that start with Sara
16
17 LeClerc, that's -- those notes are all on, yes.
18 Q. And if it's someone else -- excuse me. And if it's someone else, their name would appear, like,
19
20 Benjamin Thorpe [ph]; correct?
A. Right. There were maybe one or two notes
21
22 by a different person and their name appears next to those notes.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would like to introduce
25 Defendant's 2 into the record. I mean, sorry. P-2 into

1 the record.

2 MR. LINDSEY: If I understand it, these are contemporaneous notes that are from your observations; is

3

4 that correct?
THE WITNESS: Yes.

5

6 MR. LINDSEY: Okay. No objection.
THE COURT: [inaudible]. Yeah. Thank you.

7

8 BY MR. BROWN:

9 Q. Now, Ms. LeClerc, the way this prints out,

10 where do we start?

11 A. You actually start at the back, so these are my first pages and my last.

12

13 Q. Okay.
A. [inaudible].

14

15 Q. And let's just walk through your notes and I'll ask you some questions on what you were

16

17 observing. Looking at page four --

18 A. If I can clarify that.
Q. Sure.

19

20 A. Actually these are by incidence --
Q. Okay.

21

22 A. -- so the first incident actually starts at the bottom of page three.

23

24 Q. Okay. And what was that incident that you

25 observed?

1 A. There was an issue where the Express
2 machines were to pick up their ballots. The number that was on the machines -- well, there -- there were
3
4 two machines at this location. So, they -- the two poll workers were comparing the machines and noticed
5
6 that one machine was a couple numbers different from the other machine's count. So they seemed to be out
7
8 of sync and having discrepancies.
9 Q. And was that issue resolved?
10 A. Yes. That did get resolved. The poll
11 manager called in for a technical help but was told that it would self-correct in time and it did self-
12
13 correct [inaudible].
Q. And then what was the next incident?
14
15 A. The next incident that I started taking was that just one of the voters who came in had --
16
17 started ac- -- oh, I'm sorry.
18 Q. Could you -- I was going to ask you to refer to your notes, so maybe we could follow along
19
20 with your observations. Was this the senior voter?
A. Yes.
21
22 Q. Okay. Turn with me to the bottom of page two.
23
24 A. Yes.
25 Q. Are those your notes relating to that

1 senior voter?

2 A. Yes.
Q. And just go ahead and explain without
3

4 looking at this what you recall. That's fine.
A. So, I noticed that -- well, a voter came in
5

6 to check in, got their ballot. She was a rather
elderly lady walking on a cane, very kind, and she
7

8 went to the machine to vote. At that point, nothing

9 out of the ordinary had happened, but she started

10 turning around and asking for some help with the

11 machine.
So, we pulled to help her, to assist her. And
12

13 the two of them were at the machine for a brief time.
I think the manager left and the voter continued
14

15 voting. Then she called the manager back again and
the manager went back to assist. And so the two of
16

17 them were at the machine together for a little while

18 and appear to me ordinary but the manager is allowed
to assist the voter if the voter asks for help.
19

20 It lasted for a little while longer than I would
have anticipated and at the end of that, the voter
21

22 went down -- went over to some chairs to sit and
wait, and I noticed that the manager started shutting
23

24 that DRE machine down, closing it up, and so that was

25 unusual to me and I wanted to figure out what was

1 going on. Why was that machine getting closed? Was
2 there a problem?
So at first I was just observing. I didn't want
3
4 to get in the way of whatever the manager was doing.
And I went over to talk to the voter. She was
5
6 actually just sitting and waiting for her ride so
that she could get back to her home. So I went up to
7
8 her and asked her if everything okay. Was she able
9 to vote? And --
10 MR. LINDSEY: And, Your Honor, I'll object. Ms.
11 LeClerc's been asked [inaudible] what the voter said to be
hearsay.
12
13 THE COURT: [inaudible]. She asked her, fine. Go
ahead, what's next?
14
15 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Did you have a conversation with the voter?
16
17 A. I had a conversation with the voter.
18 Q. And based upon that conversation, did you
have an understanding of what was happening?
19
20 THE COURT: No. Understanding what's happening is
hearsay.
21
22 MR. BROWN: Okay.
THE COURT: Did you did anything as a result of it?
23
24 BY MR. BROWN:
25 Q. What did you do in response to receiving

1 the information from the voter?

2 A. So, I waited for the manager to finish up what she was doing with the machine and then

3

4 approached her to ask what happened, what -- why was the machine had been closed, what was going on.

5

6 Q. And what did the manager tell you?
MR. LINDSEY: Again, I'll object, on hearsay.

7

8 MR. BROWN: That is an admission. The manager is

9 employed by the defendants. That's an admission.

10 THE COURT: Well, does Fulton County -- does Fulton

11 County have anything to say about that?
MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible].

12

13 MS. BURWELL: Well, Your Honor, the -- it is true that the poll manager would be employed by Fulton County,

14

15 but I don't believe that the poll manager is in a position to bind the County.

16

17 THE COURT: I -- I -- I agree with that, but I'm

18 going to let the witness say what she said.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

19

20 THE WITNESS: So, the poll manager told me that she needed to close the machine because that machine had self-

21

22 cast the voter's ballot before the voter had finished voting. And the manager told me that she was assisting

23

24 the voter on the review screen. So after you make your

25 selection to get the review screen.

1 And the manager noticed that the -- there was no
2 selection made for the race for lieutenant governor and for one other race, which the manager didn't name to me.
3
4 And so she had asked the voter -- the voter intended to vote in those races. The voter said, yes --
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: That would be an objection, Your Honor. That's what the voter said.
7
8 THE COURT: That's hearsay at this point.
9 MR. LINDSEY: Yes.
10 THE COURT: But as a result of that -- I'm going to
11 let it go ahead on this one.
MR. BROWN: Okay.
12
13 THE COURT: Uh --
MR. BROWN: Go ahead, Ms. LeClerc.
14
15 THE WITNESS: So, the manager pointed to where the lieutenant governor race was, and the voter put her finger
16
17 on the area for the lieutenant governor race selection so
18 she could vote for the lieutenant governor race. And instead -- which is nowhere near the area for submit
19
20 ballot, but when she touched lieutenant governor, the machine said, your ballot has been submitted and there was
21
22 nothing they could do at that point.
So, it just self-cast before the voter could actually
23
24 make her selection on the lieutenant governor or the other
25 race.

1 BY MR. BROWN:

2 Q. Did you observe whether the poll officials took that machine out of service at that time?

3

4 A. Yes. The manager did take it out of service immediately.

5

6 Q. And then was that machine put back in service?

7

8 A. It was put back in service later in the

9 day. Yes.

10 THE COURT: Let's -- let's take a morning break, take

11 a 10-minute break.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

12

13

[Off the record at 10:25 a.m., and back on the record

14

15 at 10:37 a.m.]

16

17 THE COURT:

18

CONTINUATION DIRECT EXAMINATION

19

20 OF SARA LECLERC

21

22 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Ms. LeClerc, returning to your testimony

23

24 about your observations at the AME Church, did you

25 take any photographs of the poll tapes when you were

1 there?

2 A. Yes. I took photographs of the tops of the poll tapes that were able to print.

3

4 Q. And did you have the opportunity to observe any issues with the electronic polling?

5

6 A. Yes. And --
Q. And what did you see? What did you

7

8 observe?

9 A. So as far as the poll tapes were concerned

10 it's -- was my understanding that he receives at the

11 end of the night they print out a tape giving a record of whatever votes have been cast or at least a

12

13 breakdown by races, by each race.
At this location there were five DRE machines,

14

15 but this instance one of the machines would not print at all and the manager and workers tried many

16

17 different ways to try to get it to print. They

18 called the technical support, but they were never able to successfully from the fifth machine. So I

19

20 was not able to observe the tape of that fifth machine.

21

22 Q. Ms. LeClerc, what was the manager's reaction, if any, to the difficulties that you

23

24 described that the senior voter experienced?

25 A. To me she appeared very alarmed by what had

1 happened. When I spoke with her, she -- her tone of
2 voice was just kind of frantic and her -- you know,
3 she just with her arms and she couldn't believe that
4 the vote had cast because the voter's finger was
5 nowhere near the area for the submit ballot. So she
6 was just -- just incredulous to me.
7 Q. Did she indicate to you whether she was
8 going to file some sort of complaint with the
9 Secretary of State?
10 A. She did at one point that day. She said
11 she was going to -- she planned to file some
12 complaints because there were multiple technical
13 issues that day that they experienced.
14 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, that is the last question I
15 have for Ms. LeClerc. I have misplaced the photographs
16 that I was going to have tendered to her and I will --
17 THE COURT: [inaudible] to put them in, [inaudible].
18 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
19 THE COURT: [inaudible].
20 MR. BROWN: Oh, okay.
21 MS. LECLERC: May -- I'm sorry; may I make --
22 THE COURT: No. You can't say anything else.
23
24 CROSS-EXAMINATION
25 OF SARA LECLERC

1

2 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. Ms. LeClerc, your primary role that day was
3

4 to try to tabulate the votes that were being cast
from Ms. Abrams, correct --
5

6 A. Uh --
Q. -- and report back to the Democratic Party;
7

8 would that be fair?

9 A. No. No. That would not be fair.

10 Q. Well, that's what you did, though, didn't

11 you?
A. That was one component of what I did but it
12

13 was not about Ms. Abrams. It was just about
reporting total numbers --
14

15 Q. Oh, okay.
A. -- of votes cast, not by race or anything
16

17 like that. It wouldn't -- [inaudible] like that.

18 Q. I'm sorry. No problem. What I'm saying --
so some -- I want to make sure I understand. Your
19

20 job was to try to report back to the Democratic Party
the total votes that were cast from that precinct;
21

22 correct?
A. That was one of the [inaudible].
23

24 Q. Okay. Thank you.

25 A. [inaudible].

1 Q. And you reported what you understood to be
2 the total number of votes that were cast in that precinct; correct?
3
4 A. Yes, I did.
5 Q. Okay. Were you ever informed that there
6 was any kind of discrepancy with what was ultimately reported to the Secretary of State's office about the
7
8 total number of votes that were cast in -- from that
9 precinct?
10 A. I don't know what was reported to the
11 Secretary of State --
12 Q. Okay.
13 A. -- I just know what the poll workers and managers told me and what was printed on the --
14
15 Q. Okay.
16 A. -- four out of five tapes that printed.
17 Q. Okay. And you reported that -- my question
18 is, did you ever receive back word from the Democratic Party that they was a discrepancy?
19
20 A. No.
21 Q. Okay. Thank you. In regards to this
22 elderly worker from this report that you did, the elderly worker thought -- rather the elderly voter
23
24 thought that her vote had been properly cast;
25 correct?

1 A. She thought it had been submitted; that her
2 ballot had been submitted.
Q. Yes. And she didn't have any objections,
3
4 correct, when you talked to her?
A. No.
5
6 Q. Let me -- I think I've asked in the form of
a negative. Let me clean it up. My momma told me
7
8 never to do that. Did this voter ever report to you
9 any complaints about whether her vote had been
10 properly recorded?
11 A. No.
Q. Okay.
12
13
CROSS-EXAMINATION
14
15 OF SARA LECLERC
16
17 BY MR. TYSON:
18 Q. Good morning, Ms. LeClerc. My name's Bryan
Tyson with the Gwinnett Board of Elections. I just
19
20 wanted to ask you briefly. You indicated you were a
poll watcher, but later you said you were observing.
21
22 Were you a registered poll watcher or were you still
an observer?
23
24 A. Yes. I was a -- I was officially appointed
25 as a, I'm not sure if it's called poll watcher or

1 poll observer. But yes, I got a credential so that I
2 could be there and observe.
Q. And that was by the Democratic Party;
3
4 correct?
A. Yes.
5
6 Q. Okay. And regarding the voter that you
testified about, do you know what her name was?
7
8 A. I do not.
9 Q. Okay.
10 MR. TYSON: Thank you.
11
CROSS-EXAMINATION
12
13 OF SARA LECLERC
14
15 BY MS. BURWELL:
Q. Ms. LeClerc, the Exhibit 2 that had your
16
17 notes?
18 A. Yes.
Q. Does that include the incidents -- all the
19
20 incidents you testified about today?
A. Yes. Everything I talked about today,
21
22 those incidents are in the notes.
Q. Okay. Is there anything that's not in your
23
24 notes that you found -- excuse me?
25 A. Yes -- I mean, of course there -- I had

1 many observations that I didn't put in my notes, yes.

2 Q. But the things that you thought were important were the things that you put in the notes

3

4 that are Exhibit 2?
A. That's right.

5

6 Q. Okay. Thank you.
MR. BROWN: I don't have any further questions, Your

7

8 Honor.

9 THE COURT: Okay. You may go back to your seat.

10 Thank you. Next witness?

11

[Thereupon, the testimony of the witness concluded at

12

13 _ a.m.]

14

15 MR. BROWN: The plaintiffs would call plaintiff Jeanne Dufort.

16

17 Please raise your right hand. Do you promise to tell

18 the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?
THE WITNESS: I do.

19

20 MR. BROWN: Please have a seat.

21

22 Thereupon:

23

24 JEANNE DUFORT

25

1 was called as a witness by the Petitioner; and,
2 having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
3
4 DIRECT EXAMINATION
OF JEANNE DUFORT
5
6
BY MR. BROWN:
7
8 Q. Please have a seat so we can hear you
9 through the microphone. Please state your full name
10 for the record.
11 A. My name is Jeanne Dufort.
Q. Ms. Dufort, are you a plaintiff in this
12
13 election?
A. I am.
14
15 Q. In this litigation? Where are you from?
A. I live in Madison, Georgia, in Morgan
16
17 County.
18 Q. Are you a registered elector of the State
of Georgia?
19
20 A. I am.
Q. Are you a resident of Morgan County?
21
22 A. I am.
Q. And were you entitled to vote for either
23
24 Mr. Duncan or Ms. Amico in the contested election?
25 A. Yes.

1 Q. Mr. Dufort, what interest, just generally
2 and be very brief, but just to introduce yourself to the Court. What is your interest generally in
3
4 political activities and the election activities?
A. So, when I turned 18, I did two things. I
5
6 registered to vote and I registered to donate funds. And I've been doing both ever since. Gave --
7
8 THE COURT: [inaudible]
9 THE WITNESS: -- because I can do that, right? And
10 both of them --
11 THE COURT: It wasn't in the context. Go ahead.
THE WITNESS: Both of them lifelong habits, just
12
13 depends. Thanks to Sister Aletha, my high school civics teacher, I became very interested in American
14
15 political science and I was a major in that at the University of Chicago, which also while I was there as a
16
17 student was the first time, I was a poll watcher. So I
18 would say it's a lifelong habit of mine to be passionate about moving and about the wonderful and amazing system we
19
20 have of self-governance in the United States.
BY MR. BROWN:
21
22 Q. Ms. Dufort, have you been a poll watcher in Georgia?
23
24 A. Yes. Most recently in the 2018 general
25 election I was a registered poll watcher.

1 Q. Thank you.

2 MR. BROWN: I don't have any further questions.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I have four pages of
3

4 detailed cross-examination [inaudible].
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
5

6 MR. LINDSEY: Just have to thank you for being a poll
watcher and I apologize to the young woman for not
7

8 thanking her for being a poll watcher as well. That's

9 hard work, all.

10 THE COURT: I assume you're just trying to establish

11 qualifications as planned.
MR. BROWN: That's correct.
12

13 THE COURT: Okay.
MALE: [inaudible]
14

15 MS. BURWELL: Nothing for Fulton County.
THE COURT: Okay.
16

17 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

18
[Thereupon, the testimony of the witness concluded at
19

20 11:41 a.m.]

21

22 MR. BROWN: As long as you stipulate that they are
voters and they voted in the election. That's all
23

24 [inaudible].

25 We're going to enter into a stipulation, Your Honor,

1 to accelerate this and that is that the plaintiffs are
2 registered voters, that they were entitled to vote in the November 6th election for the contested election and that
3
4 would satisfy -- it would eliminate the need to call the other -- thank you, Your Honor.
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: No objection
THE COURT: And does Gwinnett agree with that --
7
8 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
9 THE COURT: Fulton agree with that?
10 MR. BROWN: Thank you.
11 THE COURT: Yeah. They have to be. I understand.
MR. BROWN: Just a short break, Your Honor.
12
13 THE COURT: Okay. Can we go forward, please?
MR. BROWN: The plaintiffs will call Chris Brill and
14
15 we're going to get him now.
THE COURT: Yeah. [inaudible] go do that.
16
17 [inaudible].
18 MR. BROWN: Please raise your right hand. Do you promise to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but
19
20 the truth?
THE WITNESS: I do.
21
22 MR. BROWN: Please have a seat.
23
24 Thereupon:
25

1 CHRISTOPHER BRILL

2 was called as a witness by the Petitioner; and,

3 having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

4

5

6 DIRECT EXAMINATION
OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL

7

8

9 BY MR. BROWN:

10 Q. Please state your name for the record.

11 A. Christopher Brill.

12 Q. And Mr. Brill, by whom are you currently employed?

13 A. A company called TargetSmart. TargetSmart.

14 Q. And have you been engaged by the plaintiffs to give expert advice in this matter?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. I would like to go through your background a little bit and your experience, if I may. Where did you graduate from college?

17 A. University of New Mexico.

18 Q. And what did you study?

19 A. Political science.

20 Q. And what have you been doing since graduating from University of New Mexico?

21

22

23

24

25

1 A. I'm working mostly with political
2 consulting, so working with political campaigns, nonprofits, activist organizations on electoral
3
4 strategies and how to reach hard-to-reach electorates.
5
6 Q. And have you -- you've at TargetSmart since graduating?
7
8 A. No, I have not. Just for the past six
9 years.
10 Q. Okay. And to get a little better idea of
11 your actual work experience, describe the business of TargetSmart.
12
13 A. So, TargetSmart puts together -- our core business is putting together databases, such as
14
15 individual voter -- voter-level databases using the statewide voter files, election results, other types
16
17 of publicly available information, consumer data, to
18 try to build advice and help clients determine how to target voters, how to reach voters, and how to engage
19
20 in local campaigns. So that's kind of our main business focus.
21
22 Q. And describe who your clients would be or the different categories of clients.
23
24 A. So, clients could be (C) -- you know (C)(3)
25 or (C)(4) organizations so that our nonprofits or

1 other organizations. They could political committees
2 such as national party committees. They could also
be other nonprofits. So kind of a range of -- from
3
4 nonprofits to political campaigns and the like.
Q. And describe, if you will, a -- the kind of
5
6 work that you would do for a nonprofit or a political
party, let's say, or political organization, and go
7
8 through exactly what you, Chris Brill, would do if
9 you could.
10 A. Yes. So if a political party or candidate,
11 you know, approached us, usually it's about they want
to study the election they're about to run in and,
12
13 you know, how they want -- how to go about trying to
get to essentially a win. So we go in and -- myself.
14
15 I'll analyze the election.
I will see what happened the past, how many
16
17 votes were cast in the past; you know, how different
18 candidates perform and then we usually try to -- we
call it election math, essentially, so we try to, you
19
20 know, game out, okay, this is what's happened before,
this is what we think is likely to happen in this
21
22 next election, and so let's develop a strategy to
kind of get you potentially to win.
23
24 So we use the voter file. We use election
25 results. We use other types of modeling and other

1 strategies to try to help them be as efficient as
2 they can with the resources usually limited and how they can go about trying to be successful, and so on.
3
4 Q. Mr. Brill, do you have any experience evaluating the voting trends among various
5
6 demographics?
A. Yes.
7
8 Q. And do you have -- describe that
9 experience.
10 A. Yes. So generally it usually breaks down.
11 We look at individual -- different individual demographics and trends. So, for instance, we'll
12
13 look at everything from a voter's age to race, gender, ethnicity. We use polling to try to tease
14
15 out, you know, where our voters kind of coming down on an issue or candidate based on those demographics.
16
17 We'll also use the geographic information that's
18 available so, you know, whatever comes in from the census. There's also election results and try to
19
20 package all that together into a strategy that they could use to try and contact these specific voters in
21
22 certain areas. And again, it's really about trying to find these deficiencies because there's a lot of
23
24 voters and trying to figure out which ones you want
25 to try to engage with and how to engage with them.

1 Q. And I take it in your experience you have
2 experience analyzing voting trends; correct?
A. Yes.
3
4 Q. Do you have experience -- have you ever
been an expert witness before?
5
6 A. I have not, no.
Q. Okay. Have you -- do you have experience
7
8 in evaluating voting participation?
9 A. Yes.
10 Q. Okay. And what is that experience?
11 A. Generally, that will -- we'll look at, you
know, past turnout trends. So we'll see, you know,
12
13 what does turnout look like in previous elections.
We will look at things like drop-off, especially for
14
15 our clients that are down-ticket to try to determine
-- you know, how to mitigate drop-off if you can, and
16
17 we'll work with, you know, trying to, you know, use
18 again, the voter file, kind of geographic data to
kind of craft -- you know, look at the trends that
19
20 especially, you know, demographically is also
politically to try to see, you know, how leverage
21
22 those trends to be, again, successful in their
campaign and for everyone.
23
24 Q. Let me take one piece of that and ask you
25 another question on it. Did you say that you might

1 be engaged by a down-ballot candidate?

2 A. Yes.
Q. And is one of the concerns they would have
3

4 being under-voting? Is that -- might be a concern?
A. Correct. Yes.
5

6 Q. Okay. And would your work involve
evaluating why people don't vote for a particular
7

8 race if they are voting at all?

9 A. Correct. Yes.

10 Q. Okay.

11 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would like to tender Mr.
Brill as an expert witness on voting trends and on reasons
12

13 for under-voting and for his evaluation of specific
results of the 2018 election.
14

15 MR. TYSON: I'd like to ask more questions of Mr.
Brill, if I may.
16

17 THE COURT: Yes. Go ahead.

18 MR. TYSON: Good morning, Mr. Brill. My name is
Bryan Tyson. I represent the Gwinnett County Board of
19

20 Registrations and Elections. I just had a couple of
questions for you.
21

22

CROSS-EXAMINATION
23

24 OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL

25

1 BY MR. TYSON:

2 Q. You were explaining earlier to Mr. Brown about your role with voting trends and those kinds of

3

4 things. Have you ever had any study or done any analysis of ballot design?

5

6 A. Ballot design? No.
Q. Have you had any experience in election

7

8 administration in terms of you personally helping

9 administer an election?

10 A. No.

11 Q. Do you have any knowledge of DRE machines in Georgia or how they function technically?

12

13 A. No.
Q. Okay. So it's correct, isn't it, you have

14

15 no firsthand knowledge of any DRE machine in the state of Georgia that was used in the 2018 general

16

17 election; correct?

18 A. Correct.
Q. Okay.

19

20 MR. TYSON: All right, Your Honor, at this time we would -- Gwinnett County would object mostly on those

21

22 questions I'd asked first.
THE COURT: Anybody else got any questions?

23

24 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, we object to Mr. Brill's --

25 THE COURT: No, I understand that. Let me do --

1 MR. TYSON: Yes. I'm sorry.

2 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, just a couple questions.

3

4 CROSS-EXAMINATION
OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL

5

6 BY MR. LINDSEY:

7

8 Q. You've never worked on a campaign in

9 Georgia; is that correct?

10 A. Like a candidate campaign?

11 Q. Yeah.
A. No.

12

13 Q. Okay.
MR. LINDSEY: No further questions.

14

15 MS. BURWELL: I have no questions.
THE COURT: Okay.

16

17 MS. BURWELL: Okay.

18 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, we do object to Mr. Brill's
admission as an expert on this case. First of all, on the

19

20 issue of voting trends, that has nothing to -- under
702(b) facts and issues of the case; voting trends are not

21

22 an issue in this case.
As to -- in terms of the reasons for the under-vote,

23

24 Mr. Brill just testified that he has no experience in

25 terms of ballot design, election administration, what's

1 going on with the DREs; and as to the specific results of
2 this particular under-vote we would, on the same issue, that he has no experience that's relevant to the Court to
3
4 determine the issue of this particular case.
THE COURT: Anyone else at this time? Nope? Okay.
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: We would join in the objection, Your Honor.
7
8 MR. BROWN: Yeah, Your Honor, the argument that Mr.
9 Tyson made was not about what he's being tendered as an
10 expert in. In that end, I have established that he does
11 have expertise in what he's going to testify about. And it is abundantly clear under Georgia law that he should be
12
13 qualified as a witness to both discuss the actual election results and what possible reasons for the under-vote there
14
15 might be. And it may go to wait, but it certainly does not go to admissibility. Thank you, Your Honor.
16
17 THE COURT: I'm not going to qualify him as an
18 expert. I don't think that's an area of expertise. And I'm having trouble with that. He's going to say why the
19
20 numbers were this way and what could have happened. An expert usually says what has happened, and I'm not going
21
22 to let you qualify him as an expert. You can ask him questions and see where we go.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Sure. I'm going to ask you some
25 questions about what has happened.

1

2 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL

3

4 BY MR. BROWN:

5

6 Q. You with me, Mr. Brill?
A. Yes.

7

8 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, this is a borderline issue
9 and it may be rearguing and if it is, I apologize, but I -
10 - this is an important witness to us and an important line
11 of inquiry.
We would be asking Mr. Brill to explain possible
12
13 causes for under-voting and the point of that testimony is
to rebut the -- is to address the issues of why other than
14
15 machine malfunction there might be for an under-vote. And
he has testified that he advises clients on that issue and
16
17 that that is something that he is knowledgeable about.
18 THE COURT: Years ago I was trying a case in front of
old Judge [inaudible], he was quite a character, and me
19
20 and the DA -- I was defending -- me and the DA were going
at it and he goes, doesn't a judge get time to think?
21
22 Give me time to think about this one a little bit.
Let me -- and make sure I understand you. He wants to put
23
24 up a bunch of ideas about why there was an under-vote.
25 And you think that's an expertise?

1 MR. BROWN: Based upon his experience and his
2 knowledge about what to address, the possible areas of under-voting, of why it would be under-voting and why it
3
4 might not be based upon his knowledge and experience.
THE COURT: Well, I don't see that he has any
5
6 expertise. I'm having trouble with that. You know, he's done some things and he's got some ideas; that doesn't
7
8 make him an expert. He doesn't have any training; he
9 doesn't have any background; he doesn't have -- I'll let
10 you ask the questions and we'll see where we go.
11 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Uh-huh.
12
13 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Did you review the results of the 2018
14
15 election in Georgia?
A. Yes.
16
17 Q. And describe for the Court where you looked
18 at and what you reviewed.
A. Yeah. So I examined the totals that were
19
20 cast for eight ticket races that were on the ballot from governor down to I believe commissioner of
21
22 labor. And then I examined the under-vote that took place for each of those races to try to see, you
23
24 know, what that trend looked like and that's when I
25 first saw, you know, the outlier and the anomaly that

1 was the lieutenant governor's vote totals.

2 So what my analysis kind of focused on was trying to figure out exactly what -- where that

3

4 under-vote came from, what counties, why -- you know, why vote the way it did and what kind of reasons, you

5

6 know, that could possibly, you know, entail for it.
Q. And the information that you received came

7

8 from the Secretary of State's databases; is that

9 right?

10 A. Correct. Yes.

11 Q. And in the course of your work, do you sometimes also review data from other states?

12

13 A. Correct. Uh-huh.
Q. And do you also review historical data,

14

15 like say, from Georgia?
A. Yes.

16

17 Q. And did you look at the Secretary of

18 State's information in Georgia on older Georgia races?

19

20 A. Yes.
Q. And did you compare the voting trends and

21

22 patterns between this race and prior Georgia races in other states, lieutenant governor races?

23

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. And is that the kind of work that you do

1 for a living also for your clients?
2 A. Yes.
Q. And does that require you to look at
3
4 various databases and to sift a lot of different
information in a systematic way?
5
6 A. Yes.
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, Mr. Brown is leading the
7
8 witness on a lot of these points and he's testifying. I
9 think he needs to ask questions that are directly
10 addressing the witness.
11 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Brill, in your experience, have you
12
13 developed an expertise or understanding of reasons
for under-voting?
14
15 A. Yes.
Q. And without disclosing the reasons for
16
17 under-voting can you testify as to how you gained
18 that experience and expertise?
A. By examining thousands of elections across
19
20 all 50 states.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would like to retender Mr.
21
22 Brill as an expert on under-voting and the particular
reasons for under-voting, and how his understanding of
23
24 under-voting relates to what he's observed in the results
25 which are in evidence of the Georgia election.

1 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, we'll renew our objection on
2 this point as to Mr. Brill; that he certainly could have looked at a lot of numbers, but numbers alone are not
3
4 going to tell you why something is happening and I think that's what Mr. Brown is trying to get at as to Mr.
5
6 Brill's opinion about why and he has not shown Mr. Brill has expertise from just looking at various numbers on that
7
8 point.
9 MR. LINDSEY: I'll also add to that objection, Your
10 Honor. There's been no testimony whatsoever that this
11 individual has any intimate knowledge regarding the details of this particular race that took place;
12
13 therefore, he would not be qualified to be a --
THE COURT: I'm not going to qualify him as an
14
15 expert. I mean, the reasons why, I mean, there are some pretty obvious simple statements in any race, but I have
16
17 trouble with reasons why. You can testify to numbers and
18 all that kind of thing. I don't see -- reasons why, this isn't going. Next question.
19
20 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: P-3?
21
22 MR. BROWN: Yes.
BY MR. BROWN:
23
24 Q. Mr. Brill, is P-3 your affidavit?
25 A. I'm sorry?

1 Q. Is P-3 your --

2 MR. BROWN: Well, Plaintiff's Exhibit 3 your affidavit?

3

4 [Thereupon, the referred-to document was entered into

5

6 evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 3]

7

8 THE WITNESS: Oh, I -- yes.

9 BY MR. BROWN:

10 Q. And without disclosing any contents yet --

11 MR. TYSON: Counsel, could I get a copy?
MR. BROWN: Oh, I'm very sorry. Sorry about that.

12

13 THE COURT: Let me cycle the record to everybody. Various people made proffers of evidence; I did not look

14

15 at any of them. Everything should come in the courtroom.
BY MR. BROWN:

16

17 Q. Mr. Brill, without disclosing the contents

18 of it yet, at the plaintiff's request you prepared a report on the analysis of the 2018 Georgia lieutenant

19

20 governor under-vote; correct?
A. Correct.

21

22 Q. And in the course of that you observed certain numbers that came from the Secretary of

23

24 State; correct?

25 A. Correct.

1 Q. And did you analyze those -- well, did you
2 present those numbers on page two of your report to the Coalition?
3
4 A. Yes. I did.
5 Q. Okay. Let me refer you to Table 1, which
6 is entitled, Total 2018 Under-Vote by Office; do you see that?
7
8 A. Yes.
9 Q. Does that -- is that table an accurate
10 report of information from the Secretary of State's
11 website?
12 A. Yes.
13 Q. And it shows -- and just explain in terms of your terminology these columns. The first column
14
15 is the name of the race; correct?
16 A. Correct.
17 Q. The second one is the total number of votes
18 that that race attracted; correct?
19 A. Correct.
20 Q. And the third is what you call the under-vote; is that right?
21
22 A. Yes.
23 Q. And here -- the way you do it, the under-
24 vote is a comparison between the particular race and
25 the governor's race; is that --

1 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, if I could object. Mr.
2 Brill can testify to what these terms mean instead of Mr. Brown explaining those and he's the leading the witness
3
4 again on that.
MR. BROWN: Sure. I'll withdraw the question. I'm
5
6 just trying to speed it up, Your Honor.
BY MR. BROWN:
7
8 Q. Mr. Brill, what is the under-vote -- what
9 is the calculation for the under-vote?
10 A. I calculated it by comparing the total that
11 was cast for the governor's election and comparing that against the total that was cast for every other
12
13 election [inaudible].
Q. Is the under-vote different than the under-
14
15 vote of the total number of people who voted?
A. It would be, yes. Yes.
16
17 Q. Is that because some people don't even vote
18 for a governor?
A. Correct.
19
20 Q. Okay. Do you have an understanding of how many, just in general terms, people in this election
21
22 did not vote for governor?
A. I would have to double check, but I think
23
24 it was something along the lines of 10 to 15,000,
25 maybe. I don't have an exact number in front of me.

1 Q. Fair to say it would be a small portion of
2 even 1 percent?
A. It's a fraction of a percent.
3
4 Q. And then in the last column it says,
dropout versus governor; do you see that?
5
6 A. Yes.
Q. And that would reflect the percent -- what
7
8 does that -- what is that calculation?
9 A. So that calculation again is just taking
10 the under-vote and -- as the percentage of the total
11 cast for governor. So, example, lieutenant governor,
the under-vote was about 4 percent lower than the
12
13 total that was cast for governor; and then secretary
of state, 1.4 percent and so forth. It's just taking
14
15 the under-vote number there and dividing it by the
total votes cast for governor.
16
17 Q. Let me direct your -- you testified earlier
18 that you reviewed data on the Secretary of State's
website relating to prior elections in Georgia; is
19
20 that correct?
A. Yes.
21
22 Q. And are those presented in Table 2?
A. Yes, they are.
23
24 Q. And if you would just describe to the Court
25 what those rows and columns show.

1 A. Yes. So, it's again the same calculation
2 as in Table 1, except looking at elections from 2002 to 2018, and so essentially in calculating that
3
4 under-vote percentage for each of those races across election -- across each election cycle up to 2018.
5
6 Q. And which has the highest under-vote total?
A. The 2018 lieutenant governor's race.
7
8 Q. And looking at the four prior races, have
9 you calculate the average under-vote for the
10 lieutenant governor's race for those four years?
11 A. Yes. It was around 0.8 percent.
Q. And can you do in your head -- doing the
12
13 math it would be necessary to determine what the under-vote number would be if the historical trend of
14
15 .8 were applied today?
A. If you have a percentage, the under-vote
16
17 would be around 31,532 -- thousand votes on under-
18 vote assumption.
THE COURT: Say that again. Someone coughed.
19
20 THE WITNESS: 31,532, I believe. In that range. I can double check exactly -- that exact amount.
21
22 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. And so rather than the under-vote being --
23
24 let me direct your attention back up to Table 1.
25 A. Uh-huh.

1 Q. Rather than the under-vote being 159,024,
2 if it had tracked the historical trend, it would be that number less 31,000-something; correct?
3
4 A. Correct, yeah.
Q. Okay.
5
6 A. Given all the -- what we know about elections, yes. That would be correct.
7
8 Q. Okay. Now, did you review data from other
9 states lieutenant governor elections?
10 A. I did, yes.
11 Q. And is -- does Chart 1 on the next page of your affidavit show that information?
12
13 A. Yes.
Q. That chart --
14
15 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, did I neglect to give you a copy of this affidavit?
16
17 THE COURT: No. That's not in evidence.
18 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. The long bar here is from California?
19
20 A. Correct.
Q. And did you do research to determine why
21
22 California had a much higher under-vote percentage?
A. Yes.
23
24 Q. And did you -- and what did you find?
25 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object. Mr. Brill's

1 going to be testifying to why California had a higher
2 under-vote percentage. I think under your prior explanation of terms in the opinion that's not an
3
4 appropriate area for him to testify to.
THE COURT: Sustained.
5
6 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Brill, I'm not going to ask you about
7
8 why California looked that way. My next question is,
9 apart from California, what state had the highest
10 under-vote total?
11 A. Georgia.
Q. Let me direct your attention to the next
12
13 page of your analysis.
Did you have the opportunity to compare the
14
15 under-voting in the Georgia race between votes that were cast electronically on the one hand in votes
16
17 that were cast on paper?
18 A. Yes.
Q. And where did you get that information
19
20 from?
A. From the Secretary of State's website.
21
22 They make that county-level canvas available that I use.
23
24 Q. Okay. And does Chart 2, on the next page
25 of your report, show the under-vote percentage by

1 office and vote method?

2 A. It does.
Q. And if you would just explain to the Court,
3

4 first for the lieutenant governor, what those bars
show.
5

6 A. So, I had to look at three here. The first
was Election Day under-vote and what that shows is
7

8 that lieutenant governor was about 4.5 percent rate

9 of under-vote. Advanced voting of the early vote

10 person was around 3.9 percent. But then absentee

11 voting was down to 1 percent.
Q. And is your understanding that advanced
12

13 voting is electronic voting?
A. That's my understanding, yes.
14

15 Q. And so looking at the -- these bars, paper
voting had only a 1 percent under-vote; is that
16

17 correct?

18 A. Yes.
Q. But the electronic had over 4 percent
19

20 under-vote; is that correct?
A. Correct.
21

22 Q. Okay. Did you see that same -- those same
types of numbers when you reviewed the Secretary of
23

24 State race or the attorney general race?

25 A. I did not.

1 Q. And what did you find when you reviewed
2 those?
3 A. When I reviewed those, I found that the
4 under-vote percentages were relatively even across
5 all those methods.
6 THE COURT: Say that again. Way too fast.
7 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I found that the under-vote
8 was relatively consistent percentage-wise across all three
9 methods of voting when you look at Secretary of State,
10 attorney general.
11 BY MR. BROWN:
12 Q. And so, you don't -- to make sure I'm clear
13 on this. So you don't see the disparity between
14 paper votes and electronic votes in the Secretary of
15 State's race or the attorney general's race that you
16 saw in the lieutenant governor's race; is that
17 correct?
18 MR. TYSON: Objection, Your Honor. Leading.
19 THE COURT: Rephrase. Sometimes when there's an
20 objection you don't bother responding and I -- on the
21 record it looks like I didn't give you a chance to speak.
22 Well, I'm giving you a chance to speak; okay?
23 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I'll withdraw the question.
24 THE COURT: Okay.
25 BY MR. BROWN:

1 Q. Let's go through these individual numbers,
2 Mr. Brill. The Secretary of State's office, what was the Election Day percent under-vote?
3
4 A. 1.5 percent.
5 Q. What was the advanced voting percentage
6 under-vote for the Secretary of State?
7 A. 1.3 percent.
8 Q. And then what was the absentee voting
9 percent?
10 A. 1.4 percent.
11 Q. And then for the attorney general, what was the under-vote for Election Day?
12
13 A. 2.2 percent.
14 Q. What was the percentage for advanced
15 voting?
16 A. 1.8 percent.
17 Q. And what was the percentage for absentee
18 voting?
19 A. 1.7 percent.
20 Q. And just to review for the record to make sure I have it in there, on the lieutenant governor's
21
22 race, what was the percentage for Election Day voting?
23
24 A. 4.5.
25 THE COURT: 4.5 percent. 3.9 percent, 1 percent.

1 Got it.

2 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Paying attention.
3

4 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. Thank you, Your Honor.
5

6 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Brill, in your experience have you seen
7

8 a voting pattern ever before that looks like the

9 voting pattern in the vote for the lieutenant

10 governor's race in Georgia?

11 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object that Mr. Brill is not qualified as an expert on this.
12

13 THE COURT: He's not qualified as an expert, Mr. Brown. I'm not -- he's putting numbers -- he can put in
14

15 the numbers. There's other things you can argue.
MR. BROWN: May I ask him what numbers he's never
16

17 seen that resemble this, Your Honor.

18 THE COURT: Well, just -- just watch where you go. I mean, you ask the questions, I'll rule as we go.
19

20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I'll ask this.
BY MR. BROWN:
21

22 Q. And Mr. Brill, don't answer, because there
may be an objection. Have you seen numbers in your
23

24 review of all of the races that you've reviewed in

25 the course of your work that resemble the pattern

1 seen in Georgia, ever?

2 MR. TYSON: And Your Honor, I'll object on that point, as we haven't laid a foundation for any --

3

4 THE COURT: We're dealing with this -- this race. I'm not dealing with California, Nevada, wherever. I'm

5

6 dealing with Georgia.
MR. BROWN: And I would like to ask a question for

7

8 the record.

9 BY MR. BROWN:

10 Q. Were you able to reach any conclusions

11 about whether the data that you reviewed cast a doubt over the accuracy of the final vote? And don't

12

13 answer yet.
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, objection for the same reason

14

15 that was stated.
THE COURT: I mean, you got the information from the

16

17 Secretary of State. Whether it's valid or not; who knows?

18 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, let me --
THE COURT: He -- he got the number [inaudible] that

19

20 what's he testified to. So I'm taking the numbers.
MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor. The reason for asking

21

22 it is that it is, in my view, it is probative and it goes to weight as to whether this witness based upon his

23

24 experience believes that the numbers cast doubt upon the

25 election. That's the reason for asking.

1 THE COURT: No. He can't -- he can't say that one
2 way or the other. That's -- that's -- can be my decision, I'm afraid. Okay.
3
4 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, if I may have just a --
THE COURT: Surely.
5
6 MR. BROWN: -- minute.
BY MR. BROWN:
7
8 Q. Mr. Brill, have you had the opportunity to
9 review the voting numbers that appear in the brief
10 that was filed by Defendant Duncan in this case?
11 A. I believe so, yes.
THE COURT: Didn't you stipulate that at the last
12
13 hearing?
MR. BROWN: Huh?
14
15 THE COURT: Wasn't there a stipulation that those numbers were correct at the last hearing?
16
17 MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.
18 THE COURT: Hmm? I thought there was. I thought we --
19
20 MR. BROWN: Yes.
THE COURT: So you would -- because I remember the
21
22 statement that you wouldn't have to prove them again. So they're stipulated so you can talk about them.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor. Okay.
25 BY MR. BROWN:

1 Q. Mr. Brill, did you identify any misleading
2 or incorrect statements in the brief?
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'm going to object to --
3
4 THE COURT: You stipulated, Mr. Brown.
MR. LINDSEY: I'm going to object to this.
5
6 THE COURT: You stipulated.
MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor, this is --
7
8 THE COURT: You can talk about -- okay. And the
9 language misleading and all this kind of stuff. Are your
10 numbers different from their numbers?
11 MR. LINDSEY: Yes. Your Honor, we're here today to
listen to -- to present evidence to you as to our
12
13 particular points. Both sides have delivered a brief to
you beforehand. I have no intention to simply bring up
14
15 their briefs and, you know, let's stick to the evidence as
presented here today. That being said --
16
17 THE COURT: Get -- get to your --
18 MR. LINDSEY: -- got my math off a little, Your
Honor.
19
20 THE COURT: Get to your point.
MR. LINDSEY: Right.
21
22 THE COURT: If you will, Mr. Brown.
BY MR. BROWN:
23
24 Q. Did you have a chance to review the numbers
25 reflected in Paragraph 1 under District 4?

1 THE COURT: Paragraph 1, Exhibit 4.

2 A. I don't think I have that document with me.
Q. Okay.
3

4 THE COURT: Exhibit A, you mean? You're talking
about Exhibit A?
5

6 MR. BROWN: This is in their brief, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Well, let me see what I'm looking at.
7

8 [inaudible] numbers, okay. Go ahead, see where we're

9 headed.

10 BY MR. BROWN:

11 Q. Looking at brief -- do you have that in
front of you?
12

13 A. I do.
THE COURT: Which brief? There are --
14

15 MR. BROWN: This is Exhibit 4. This is the response
to Defendant Geoff Duncan to plaintiff's renewed discovery
16

17 demands and motion for continuance and --

18 THE COURT: That's not the one I'm looking at. I'm
looking at the numbers on the back of the opposition to
19

20 plaintiff's motion for continuance.
MR. BROWN: Sorry.
21

22 THE COURT: I don't know which other set you got.
MR. BROWN: Okay. Sorry.
23

24 THE COURT: Go ahead. Ask him the question.

25 BY MR. BROWN:

1 Q. Did you have an opportunity to -- if you
2 would look at that -- point number one, the impact of
third-party candidates; do you see that?
3
4 A. I don't have that in front of me at the
moment. I remember going over it but that's not the
5
6 brief that's in front of me.
Q. Okay. Okay.
7
8 MR. BROWN: I don't have any further questions.
9 Thank you.
10 MR. TYSON: Very briefly, Your Honor.
11
RECROSS-EXAMINATION
12
13 OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL
14
15 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. Mr. Brill, when you looked at the historic
16
17 patterns, the numbers you testified to earlier, the
18 past Georgia races, did you look at any races for
Public Service Commission?
19
20 A. I did not, no.
Q. And you know that's a statewide race in
21
22 Georgia; correct?
A. I do, yes.
23
24 Q. Did you look at any races where Georgia had
25 a U.S. Senate race at the same time as a governor's

1 race?

2 A. I did not.
Q. Thank you.
3

4 MR. LINDSEY: Just a few questions, sir.

5

6 RECROSS-EXAMINATION
OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL
7

8

9 BY MR. LINDSEY:

10 Q. Did you in any way do any review of the

11 conduct of the campaign in the closing days of the campaign?
12

13 A. I did not.
Q. Okay. You, as someone who's been a
14

15 political consultant does, that the conduct in the campaign can often change how voters vote in the last
16

17 days; correct?

18 A. Right.
Q. Okay. A candidate, and sometimes that
19

20 changes the numbers between early voting and the day of the voting; correct?
21

22 A. Correct.
Q. And sometimes it can even change whether or
23

24 not voters choose to vote in a particular race on

25 Election Day that earlier voters may vote for;

1 correct?

2 A. That would -- I mean, that would, I suppose.

3

4 Q. Yeah, fair enough. That would [inaudible]. For instance, if you had a particular candidate

5

6 running for lieutenant governor as a Democrat and in the closing days of the campaign she had several very

7

8 negative press articles about her firm, about her

9 company that she headed up, in which there was

10 allegation of racial discrimination, [inaudible]

11 racial discrimination, that would have a negative impact on Democratic voters in particular; correct?

12

13 A. It could, yeah.
Q. It probably would dampen their enthusiasm

14

15 to vote for her; correct?
A. It could, but I don't know the context, so

16

17 I'd have to [inaudible]. It would take quite a bit

18 of effort, thought to --
Q. Okay.

19

20 A. -- [inaudible] votes.
Q. And you don't know the context because you

21

22 didn't follow the details of this race; correct?
A. Correct.

23

24 Q. Okay. And you have no knowledge as -- for

25 instance, as to tracking polling that took place in

1 the closing days of the campaign; correct?

2 A. Correct.
Q. Now Ms. Amico -- now, you sort of focused
3

4 on the total number of votes that were cast. Ms.
Amico did not receive the least number of votes for a
5

6 Democrat in this -- in the 2018 -- in statewide races
in 2018; correct?
7

8 A. Correct.

9 Q. As a matter of fact, her votes were

10 somewhere in the middle of all the votes along the

11 various folks who ran statewide in Georgia who were
Democrats; correct?
12

13 A. I'd have to look into that [inaudible] --
Q. Okay.
14

15 A. -- [inaudible].
Q. All right. So -- but you didn't -- but you
16

17 are familiar that she did sort of run -- one the

18 better term, the middle one, the pack among
Democrats; correct?
19

20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, this is not relevant. The
claim is that there were under-votes for this election,
21

22 not that one particular candidate received less votes.
Obviously, it's the total number of votes that are
23

24 claimed.

25 THE COURT: He went into what the attorney general

1 did, what the secretary of state, so I think -- you did,
2 so he's entitled to respond to that.
MR. LINDSEY: Yeah.
3
4 THE WITNESS: I didn't specifically analyze performance in this analysis. It was mostly just actual
5
6 vote totals.
BY MR. LINDSEY:
7
8 Q. Okay. For instance, you're not aware that
9 the Democratic candidates for agriculture, school
10 board superintendent, Public Service Commission, and
11 commissioner of insurance, Democratic candidates all received fewer votes than Ms. Amico in this
12
13 particular race?
A. And again, I'd have to double-check.
14
15 Q. Okay. And in fact, for two other races, those being another Public Service Commission and
16
17 Labor Commission race, Ms. Amico was within 8,000 or
18 less votes of the Democrats in those races; are you aware of that?
19
20 A. Again, I have to study that independent study --
21
22 Q. Okay.
A. -- candidate performance.
23
24 Q. All right. In fact, the only races in
25 which she received fewer votes than other Democrats

1 was Ms. Abrams' race, Mr. Barrow's race, and Mr.
2 Charles Bailey's race for attorney general. Are you aware of that?
3
4 A. Again, I'd have to study --
Q. Okay.
5
6 A. -- I didn't study candidate performance.
Q. All right. Even though you haven't studied
7
8 the performance, you are aware of the fact that Ms.
9 Abrams was a very high-profile candidate for
10 governor?
11 A. Correct.
Q. Okay. And are you familiar with the fact
12
13 that Mr. Barrow was a very high-profile candidate for Secretary of State on the Democratic side?
14
15 A. I'm not aware.
Q. Okay. Are you aware of the fact, for
16
17 instance, that he had been prior to this race a five-
18 term Congressman in Georgia?
A. I was not aware of that.
19
20 Q. Okay. And voter ID is very important in regards to individuals -- in regards to folks --
21
22 getting folks to vote for you; correct?
A. Voter ID?
23
24 Q. Yeah.
25 A. I'm sorry. I don't quite understand that,

1 the link.

2 THE COURT: Explain that application. I think you mean one thing and he's thinking another thing.

3

4 MR. LINDSEY: Okay.
BY MR. LINDSEY:

5

6 Q. You said you looked at other races in Georgia's past. Did you look at the number of new

7

8 voters that were -- that cast votes in 2018 versus

9 previous years?

10 A. No, I did not.

11 Q. Okay. So, are you aware of the fact that there was almost -- where there was a -- possibly a

12

13 three-and-a-half-time increase in new voters?
A. That I -- I don't know that exact number

14

15 but that would not surprise me.
Q. Okay. And based on your experience as a

16

17 political consultant, you know, that when it comes to

18 new voter, particularly down-ballot, it takes a lot more education to try to educate those new voters

19

20 than someone who's voted year in and year out; correct?

21

22 A. That's right.
MR. LINDSEY: No further questions.

23

24 THE COURT: [inaudible]?

25 MS. BURWELL: No questions, Your Honor.

1 [inaudible]

2 THE COURT: [inaudible]

3

4 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL

5

6 BY MR. BROWN:

7

8 Q. You testified in response to a question by

9 Mr. Lindsey, about whether you looked at the

10 lieutenant governor total in a race in which there

11 was a U.S. Senate race and there was a race in the governor's race; do you recall that?

12

13 A. Yes.
Q. And let me direct your attention to the

14

15 year 2014 and was there a Senate -- a U.S. Senate race in Georgia in 2014 involving David Perdue?

16

17 A. I believe so, yes.

18 Q. And what was the under-vote total in 2014?
A. Between -- I'm sorry?

19

20 Q. What was the under-vote for lieutenant governor in 2014?

21

22 A. The percentage?
Q. Yes.

23

24 A. 0.8 percent.

25 Q. Okay. You were asked some questions, and I

1 want to explore your answers to the questions on
2 cross-examination a little bit more. In particular, you were asked to give your opinion on some matters.
3
4 Now, you were asked whether under-voting could be caused by new voters being -- not having enough
5
6 attention on the -- about it; do you recall that testimony?
7
8 A. Yes.
9 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object to that. I don't
10 believe that's the question Mr. Brill was asked, but the
11 question was --
THE COURT: He didn't -- he didn't phrase it that
12
13 way. I will let you ask a question --
MR. BROWN: Okay.
14
15 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. In that regard, in your experience, what
16
17 are the more common reasons for under-voting?
18 THE COURT: No. That's -- that's not the appropriate question.
19
20 MR. TYSON: Yeah.
THE COURT: The question is about new voters. You
21
22 can ask him about new voters.
MR. BROWN: Just for the record, Your Honor, the
23
24 question called for the same type of expertise that my
25 question calls for.

1 THE COURT: Sir, I said you can -- you can only go
2 into what he talked about as to new voters.
MR. BROWN: Okay.
3
4 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Are -- do new voters sometimes vote less in
5
6 campaigns for various reasons?
A. It depends on the context of the election,
7
8 but new voters -- you know, we don't find any sort
9 of, you know, when they under-vote generally -- or it
10 can be -- for starters, we don't know exactly who
11 under-votes. I mean, I think that's point number
one. We don't know that. We don't have any way of
12
13 getting that information.
It's speculation when we see high turnout
14
15 elections and that encourages more infrequent newer
voters that come to the polls and if we see higher
16
17 rates of under-voting, that is sort of, you know,
18 something that we consider, but generally when we see
that under-vote it's very consistent, and so it
19
20 starts at one level at the top of the ballot and then
it goes down, then that under-vote kind of -- it will
21
22 increase as you go down the ballot.
I've never seen a type of under-vote where
23
24 voters, who generally don't know who these candidates
25 are anyway, decides to skip one race and then start

1 voting for commission of agriculture at higher rates
2 or commissioner of insurance at higher rates. That would be the first time I've ever seen something like
3
4 those.
Q. Thank you. You were asked by Mr. Lindsey a
5
6 number of questions of whether there were some other candidates who had received fewer votes than Ms.
7
8 Amico; do you recall that?
9 A. Yes.
10 Q. And in fact he actually had better
11 questions to ask and one would have been, isn't it true that the libertarian candidate got way more
12
13 votes -- way fewer votes than Ms. Amico in the governor's race?
14
15 A. I believe so, yeah.
Q. And so is it germane to your understanding
16
17 of the voting patterns that there might be some
18 candidates that got fewer votes down ballot or up ballot if they're third-party candidates or not
19
20 popular? Do you have anything to do with that?
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, gets back to the why. It
21
22 gets back to the why. He can testify as to what, but he's now entering into the why again. He's trying to get his
23
24 witness as an expert to the back door. He couldn't do it
25 through the front, he shouldn't be allowed to do it

1 through the back. [inaudible]

2 MR. BROWN: I'll withdraw the question, Your Honor.
BY MR. BROWN:

3

4 Q. I want to get back to the numbers because
you were asked about other down ballot races by Mr.

5

6 Lindsey, did you review the numbers on the state
representative seats up for election in 2018?

7

8 A. I did, yes.

9 Q. And did -- what did you find with respect

10 to whether -- about the voting patterns with respect

11 to those contests?
A. So, in those contests I examined the number

12

13 of votes that were cast for state representative to
try to establish the baseline in an election that I

14

15 know usually has, you know, high rates of under-
voting. What I found in that case was that there

16

17 were about a thousand precincts statewide where there

18 were actually fewer votes cast for lieutenant
governor than there were for state representative,

19

20 which again is odd.
Q. Let me direct your -- well, did you --

21

22 THE COURT: [inaudible]
THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I'm sorry. I'm sorry, Your

23

24 Honor.

25 BY MR. BROWN:

1 Q. Did you determine in how many of the
2 precinct -- precincts the Democratic candidate for state representative received all of the votes cast?
3
4 A. One -- yeah. Yes. 137 precincts.
Q. Okay. And in those -- did you determine
5
6 whether in those precincts --
THE COURT: 137 precincts?
7
8 THE WITNESS: Correct. 137.
9 THE COURT: What counties?
10 THE WITNESS: Across the state.
11 THE COURT: Are those [inaudible] 137 precincts in the whole state?
12
13 THE WITNESS: No, no. There are about 137 precincts where the candidate for state representative for the
14
15 Democratic --
THE COURT: Got more votes --
16
17 THE WITNESS: Got 100 percent of the votes, which
18 goes back to my points in the first part of the paper, which generally when a race is --
19
20 THE COURT: Okay. Don't go -- don't go --
MR. BROWN: Sorry. Thanks.
21
22 THE COURT: Because that'll get [inaudible].
MR. BROWN: Okay.
23
24 BY MR. BROWN:
25 Q. Did you determine in the races for state

1 representative in how many precincts the state
2 representative race got more votes than the lieutenant governor race?
3
4 A. Yes.
Q. And what did you find?
5
6 A. I found a thousand -- 1,012 precincts; 38 percent of the precincts statewide when the state
7
8 representative had more votes cast than the
9 lieutenant governor.
10 Q. Did you have the opportunity -- or did you
11 undertake to -- you were talking about other races. Did you compare the lieutenant governor race to the
12
13 race for attorney general?
A. I compared. Yes.
14
15 Q. And what did you find?
A. So in that case I found that there were
16
17 much fewer -- I'd have to go, I'd have to see the
18 exact number, but it was --
Q. Let me correct that. My question was
19
20 poorly framed.
A. 410.
21
22 Q. Did you compare the votes for state representative and attorney general?
23
24 A. Yes.
25 Q. And what did you find there?

1 A. What I found there, there were about 410
2 precincts statewide where there were more votes cast for state representative for -- than lieutenant
3
4 governor.
Q. Okay. Thank you. [inaudible]
5
6 MR. TYSON: Just briefly, Your Honor.
7
8 CROSS-EXAMINATION
9 OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL
10
11 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. Mr. Brill, you'd agree with me, wouldn't
12
13 you, that the 2018 election in Georgia was a very high turnout election, right?
14
15 A. Yes.
Q. And I asked you a question that I had not
16
17 worded quite correctly previously. Did you in any of
18 your analyses look at a race where there was a governor's race and no U.S. Senate race happening at
19
20 the same time, like what happened in 2018?
A. A governor's race with no U.S. Senate race?
21
22 Q. Yes.
A. I didn't look at that specifically.
23
24 Q. Okay. Mr. Brown asked you about the
25 precincts you analyzed for state representative; did

1 you break out those based on the DRE machines versus
2 absentee by mail or early voting categories, or did you look totally -- solely at precinct totals?
3
4 A. For this I looked at solely precinct totals.
5
6 Q. Okay. Thank you.
MR. TYSON: That's all I have.
7
8 MR. LINDSEY: Just real briefly.
9
10 CROSS-EXAMINATION
11 OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL
12
13 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. You were aware that in the AG's race there
14
15 was an incumbent running; correct?
A. Yes.
16
17 Q. And in the lieutenant governor's race there
18 were two newcomers coming -- running; correct?
A. Correct.
19
20 MR. LINDSEY: No further questions.
THE COURT: Mr. Brown, anything else?
21
22 MR. BROWN: I do not have anything further.
THE COURT: Okay. The witness may be excused.
23
24 Whatever he says. He's in charge of all that.
25 [inaudible].

1 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, at this point we have another
2 --
THE COURT: [inaudible] Are you taking notes on the
3
4 laptop?
THE NEWS REPORTER: Yes.
5
6 THE COURT: Did you comply with Rule 22?
THE NEWS REPORTER: Yes. [inaudible]
7
8 THE COURT: [inaudible]
9 THE NEWS REPORTER: Jordan [inaudible].
10 THE COURT: Who?
11 THE NEWS REPORTER: Jordan [inaudible], Your Honor.
MALE: [inaudible]
12
13 THE COURT: Okay.
MALE: Mr. [inaudible] did.
14
15 THE COURT: Making me nervous. Go ahead.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, logistically we have another
16
17 long witness. It would be convenient to have that go more
18 smoothly if we took our lunch break now, but of course
I'll defer to your schedule.
19
20 THE COURT: Anybody have an objection to taking lunch
now and coming back at 1:00? Let's take lunch now and
21
22 we'll back at 1:00.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
23
24
25 [Off the record at 11:41 a.m., and back on the record

1 at 1:00 p.m.]

2 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, in order to keep things

3 moving along, I just want to make sure I get all my
witnesses here or are here. This is our last witness.

5 THE COURT: You need time to do something?

6 MR. LINDSEY: No, no. I just want to make sure -- if

7 this is his last witness, I want to make sure I have

8 everybody here ready to go.

9 MR. BROWN: It depends on the ruling that she will

10 make. I have one live witness and one witness who I would
like to testify by telephone if the Court allows. Just to

12 give you an idea.

13 MR. LINDSEY: Okay, that's fine. I just want to --

14 I'll call my folks and make sure everybody's here. That's
all I wanted to know.

16 THE COURT: Okay. You understand that I cannot see

17 the clock from here because it's dark back there. I
cannot see that clock. I see the circle and I see the

19 exit sign but I can't see the hands from back here because
it's black; there's no light back there. So I have to go

21 by my own watch, which is not --

22 MR. LINDSEY: Well, let the record reflect that Mr.

23 Brown has already insulted my eyesight once today.

24 Therefore [inaudible].

25

1 THE COURT: Ready when you're ready.

2 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, two introductory items.
First, we would like to tender into evidence Defendant
3

4 Robyn A. Crittenden's notice of limited offer -- proffer
of evidence. And let me explain this. The -- when she
5

6 was a party, the Secretary of State submitted this as a
proffer of evidence and it is the testimony of a witness
7

8 in the Curling versus Kemp case, and so I'm going to

9 accept their proffer and I'm tendering it into evidence.

10 And so it --

11 THE COURT: Any comment on that?
MR. LINDSEY: I'm going to object to it, Your Honor.
12

13 The Secretary of State is no longer in the case and we
haven't had a chance to cross-examine that witness.
14

15 THE COURT: It's hearsay. I'm absolute hearsay; I'm
not going to allow it into evidence. And as I said, I
16

17 didn't read it. I didn't read any of the stuff that was -

18 - I'm sorry. I'm old school. I believe that the evidence
should come out in the courtroom; there ought to be a rite
19

20 of cross-examination.
I happen to believe in the Constitution because I
21

22 wasn't raised with one; okay? So I'm partial to it. I'm
not going to let that in. It's not evidence.
23

24 MR. BROWN: May I respond just briefly, Your Honor?

25 THE COURT: You may respond.

1 MR. BROWN: This is evidence that the other side
2 introduced into evidence.
THE COURT: I don't -- I don't care who introduced
3
4 it. It's not admissible. It's hearsay. And one party
who's not in the case introduced it, the rest [inaudible].
5
6 I'm not going to allow it.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. I'll go ahead and
7
8 mark it for --
9 THE COURT: You can put it in the record as a
10 proffer. Just --
11 MR. BROWN: -- as a proffer and proffer it as
evidence.
12
13 THE COURT: -- it's hearsay. They have a right, as
Mr. Lindsay said, to cross-examine it, and they didn't. So
14
15 --
MR. BROWN: That will -- what number is that? That's
16
17 number 4. Thank you, Your Honor.
18 The next witness we would like to call is Philip
Stark and Professor Stark is a professor at Berkeley and
19
20 we would like to introduce his testimony, with your
Court's permission, by telephone. And so, we would move
21
22 for that --
THE COURT: What does the defense say?
23
24 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, that's usually something
25 that's worked out before. There is a lot to be learned by

1 having the witness live to be able to cross-examine them
2 live right here. Now there are circumstances in which the parties do agree to do things by telephone. I've done it
3
4 a hundred times, if not more.
But this was not something that was worked out
5
6 previously. We would prefer to be able to cross-examine someone, and I'm sure Mr. Tyson would as well be able to
7
8 see someone in the eye to cross-examine them directly. So
9 we would object.
10 THE COURT: Mr. Tyson?
11 MR. TYSON: Yes, Your Honor. I'm pulling up the Rule right now but we'd be in the same boat. We'd like to have
12
13 -- this hearing has been on the books for over a month. We know it was going to be; we want to talk to Professor
14
15 Stark and cross-examine him live.
THE COURT: This case actually was set back December
16
17 5th. I'm reading things that say that's not so but it is
18 so. It was set November 5th [sic]; everybody's know- -- December 5th. Everybody's known about it.
19
20 I'm not going to do a witness by telephone. Part of -- it isn't words they say sometimes; it's the mannerisms.
21
22 And I've done them by Skype. I did some dear old ladies who've been ripped with that -- grandson calls and says
23
24 he's in the jail, those kinds of cases.
25 And we did those by Skype, which is much better and

1 you've got a full view and you can see the witnesses. But
2 I'm not going to do this one by telephone.
3 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. If we have the
4 opportunity, could we set up a Skype tomorrow for this witness?
5
6 THE COURT: It's up to you. I'm not telling you. I'm saying what I won't do; I'm not going to tell you what
7
8 to do.
9 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, we
10 would call --
11 THE COURT: And the defense haven't been heard on that. They should --
12
13 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, our position is, we're here today. [inaudible]
14
15 THE COURT: Okay. Next witness.
16 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, we would call Matthew
17 Bernhard as a witness.
18 THE COURT: Okay.
19 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I apologize. My client has
20 Skype on her laptop and --
21 THE COURT: Has what on her laptop?
22 MR. BROWN: Has Skype on her laptop and we would move to -- for -- to allow us to examine Mr. Stark by Skype.
23
24 THE COURT: What says the defense?
25 MR. TYSON: Same objection, Your Honor.

1 MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, I would ask the Court to
2 look at Superior Court Rule 9.2 with respect to video conferencing, which requires any request for video
3
4 conference be done by notice of intention prior to the [inaudible]
5
6 THE COURT: Rule 9.2?
MS. BURWELL: Yes.
7
8 THE COURT: At this time I've got the computer where
9 I'm supposed to be able to get into it, and I can see.
10 Let me look at it.
11 Okay. Let's see. I'll get it; just a minute. I've got LexisNexus. It's Superior Court Rule 9.2?
12
13 MS. BURWELL: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. Let's see. [inaudible]. Okay.
14
15 Yeah, Rule -- yeah. 9.2(c) says that you have to file as of your intent 30 days prior to the date scheduled and
16
17 then we have to have a hearing on the matter. That's what
18 it says.
MR, BROWN: Your Honor, with all due respect, I
19
20 believe that the -- some of these rules like the jury demand need to be read in context of the nature of this
21
22 proceeding. The nature of this proceeding is an accelerated process.
23
24 I understand that Your Honor did schedule this on
25 December 5th. Nevertheless, given the challenges

1 preparing a case of this complexity, we would ask for your
2 indulgence to -- as an exception to that rule to allow the testimony.
3
4 THE COURT: Mr. Lindsey?
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we've had several weeks to
5
6 prepare for trial and would have been available to do it by deposition, notice the person that's necessary to go --
7
8 to take a deposition. The plaintiffs have chosen not to
9 do so, but instead to do so at trial to set up [inaudible]
10 procedure. So we state our objections.
11 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, [inaudible] declaration he filed for him much earlier in the case as recently as 10
12
13 days ago, possibly earlier than that, opportunity to bring that before the Court [inaudible].
14
15 THE COURT: Well, I understand. We're in an expedited situation and we've had some service and other
16
17 issues. But we did have a hearing on January 9 and I
18 think that's the notice should have been given. Given the nature of the case I understand bending the rules because
19
20 of where we are.
But to suddenly walk in in the afternoon of trial and
21
22 say I want to call somebody by Skype or by phone is not in compliance with the rules at all. And so, yeah, if you
23
24 got up on January 9th and said you were going to do it,
25 then I'd given you a chance to be heard and we'd gone

1 through it. But I'm not going to violate the rule at this
2 time.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. And I believe --
3
4 THE COURT: What are you doing, ma'am? Go sit down, please.
5
6 MR. BROWN: I had lost something. I have a copy now. I'm just looking for my copies.
7
8 THE COURT: If you need to ask for something you can.
9 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. We would call --
10 THE COURT: Matthew Bernhard.
11 MR. BROWN: Yes.
Please raise your right hand. Do you promise to tell
12
13 the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?
THE WITNESS: I do.
14
15
Thereupon:
16
17
18 MATTHEW BERNHARD
19
20 was called as a witness by the Petitioner; and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
21
22
DIRECT EXAMINATION
23
24 OF MATTHEW BERNHARD
25

1 BY MR. BROWN:

2 Q. Please sit down and state your name for the record.

3

4 A. My name is Matthew Bernhard.
Q. Mr. Bernhard, what do you do?

5

6 A. I am a Ph.D. candidate at the University of Michigan studying computer science.

7

8 Q. Have you been engaged by the plaintiffs as

9 an expert in this case?

10 A. Yes.

11 THE COURT: You're a PhD candidate where?
THE WITNESS: At the University of Michigan.

12

13 THE COURT: Michigan. Okay.
THE WITNESS: Yes.

14

15 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Bernhard, I would like to go over your

16

17 qualifications, and do you have a CV that you have

18 prepared?
A. Yes.

19

20 Q. I'd like to briefly go over your education and experience. You -- where'd you go to college?

21

22 A. I went to Georgia Tech and graduated from Rice University.

23

24 Q. Okay. And what did you study at Rice?

25 A. Computer science.

1 Q. And did you go to graduate school?

2 A. Yes.
Q. And what did you study at graduate school?
3

4 A. Computer science.
Q. And that was --
5

6 THE COURT: You're going to have to speak up because
you're mumbling and I can't hear you. I got the Georgia
7

8 Tech bit for reasons of my allegiance but what was the

9 rest of it?

10 THE WITNESS: Rice University.

11 THE COURT: Rice. Okay.
THE WITNESS: Yes.
12

13 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. And then did you attend graduate school?
14

15 A. Yes. At the University of Michigan.
Q. And what did you study at the University of
16

17 Michigan?

18 A. Computer science.
Q. And did you obtain a degree at Michigan?
19

20 A. Yes, I got a Master's degree.
Q. And did you continue your studies at
21

22 Michigan?
A. I am currently still there.
23

24 Q. And how far are you along in your Ph.D.

25 work?

1 A. I'm -- this is my fourth year.

2 Q. Okay. And so if you graduated or obtained your degree, when would that be?

3

4 A. Probably about a year from now.

Q. Okay. And are you working on a

5

6 dissertation?

A. Yes, sir.

7

8 Q. And what's the subject matter of your

9 dissertation?

10 A. Election integrity and election security.

11 Q. Okay. Now have you --

THE WITNESS: Security.

12

13 THE COURT: Okay. [inaudible]

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.

14

15 THE COURT: [inaudible]

BY MR. BROWN:

16

17 Q. Have you -- do you teach?

18 A. Yes, sir. I taught the undergraduate cyber security course, introductory course, and I've also

19

20 recently conducted a -- an undergraduate research seminar in election security.

21

22 Q. Okay. Have you ever published anything?

A. Yes. I have published several papers

23

24 pertaining to election security and, you know,

25 election audits, and in several other things that

1 aren't related to election [inaudible].

2 Q. I mean, are these -- have you published peer review articles?

3

4 A. I guess almost every article I publish has been peer review.

5

6 Q. Okay. Have you -- outside of your academic work, have you worked with election systems?

7

8 A. Yes, sir. I -- in addition to working for

9 Verified Voting, who collects data about elections, I

10 worked with election officials all over the country

11 helping run audits, you know. And helping them better secure their election [inaudible].

12

13 Q. Let me go over your work experience, also then. You mentioned Verified Voting. You -- are you

14

15 -- do you work for them now?
A. Currently, yes, as a consultant.

16

17 Q. Okay. And what sort of work do you do for

18 Verified Voting?
A. I collect data about current -- the current

19

20 generation of election technology and its security properties, what kinds of operating systems they run

21

22 and, you know, what program languages it's programmed in and so forth.

23

24 Q. And what employment did you have

25 immediately before Verified Voting?

1 A. So before that I did a summer internship at
2 a company named CloudPlayer and then before that I was at Microsoft Research.
3
4 Q. And what did you do for Microsoft?
5 A. I researched Trusted Boot, which is a
6 particular way that computers can ensure the application if the running is the right one.
7
8 Q. Did you say boot?
9 A. Yeah. Boot.
10 Q. Okay.
11 A. With specific applications for voting machines.
12
13 Q. And why was Microsoft -- what's the connection between voting machines and working for
14
15 Microsoft?
16 A. Well, so most of the machines run through
17 Windows operating system, the ones in Georgia do, and
18 so they have a vested interest in making sure that, you know, they can support it and develop technology
19
20 scoring.
21 Q. And did your work at Microsoft relate to
22 the operating systems that are used generally or just for voting systems or both?
23
24 A. Generally; it's all Windows. I was mostly
25 focused on the more recent versions of Windows,

1 Windows 8 and Windows 10, both of which are used in
2 the current generation of voting technology.
3 Q. And skipping ahead, is that the voting
4 system used in Georgia --
5 A: No.
6 Q. -- the operating system used in Georgia?
7 A. No.
8 Q. Okay.
9 A. It is a -- the -- that operating system is
10 about 15 years down the line from the one that's used
11 in Georgia voting machines.
12 Q. Have you ever been qualified as an expert
13 in a case?
14 A. Yes, sir. I'm an expert -- qualified expert
15 witness in the Curling v. Kemp case in the Northern
District of Georgia.
16
17 Q. It --
18 A. [inaudible] federal court.
19 Q. And has -- have your opinions, your expert
20 opinions ever been cited by a court with approval or
indicating that the court does not disagree with your
21
22 conclusions?
23 A. Yes. In one of the rulings in the
24 [inaudible] and the Curling v. Kemp case she did cite
25 my opinions in the affirmative.

1 Q. Do you have either academic or hands-on
2 experience and knowledge about the operations generally of a DRE machine?
3
4 A. Yes, sir. I have worked in many different ways with DREs.
5
6 Q. And have you worked with the Diebold DREs?
A. Yes. We have about ten of them in my lab
7
8 in Michigan.
9 Q. And you have access to those?
10 A. Yes.
11 Q. And did you obtain those machines legally?
A. Yes. On Ebay.
12
13 Q. Are you able to tear it apart, study all the components?
14
15 A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Do you have knowledge and experience
16
17 through your training and your education about
18 whether DREs as a class of voting systems are vulnerable or not vulnerable?
19
20 A. Yes, I do.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor --
21
22 Q. And how have you --
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I would at this point
23
24 object. The questions today are not -- whether or not the
25 system could potentially be vulnerable and whether or not

1 some other system would be better. That's a decision
2 that's now being debated at the capitol. The questions today are whether the system was in fact compromised.
3
4 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I don't -- I'm not quite sure what the form of that objection was.
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: We object to the relevancy. I mean, I'm trying to keep the case focused on what not that was
7
8 in fact a compromise of the system.
9 MR. BROWN: Okay. Your Honor, I can ask him more
10 questions that establish the -- that connect the dots.
11 THE COURT: Do that.
BY MR. BROWN:
12
13 Q. Would the background vulnerability of a system like these DREs increase the likelihood that
14
15 it's defective today in Georgia?
A. Yes.
16
17 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, [inaudible] background
18 vulnerabilities [inaudible] foundation yet for what vulnerability is or [inaudible] this thing. If we're
19
20 trying to qualify him, that's one thing; but if we're trying get into the facts I think that's something else.
21
22 MR. BROWN: May I respond, Your Honor?
THE COURT: Well, whether the machines generally are
23
24 not very good doesn't really matter in this case. I'm
25 going to let you, if you're using it, to lay a foundation

1 to get somewhere, I'm going to let you do that for a
2 little bit.
But the fact that the machines, all wherever they may
3
4 be used, aren't the best is not relevant --
MR. BROWN: Your Honor --
5
6 THE COURT: -- in this case is the one [inaudible].
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, if I may. The witness'
7
8 testimony is different than what Your Honor said. What
9 his testimony was that it --
10 THE COURT: I'm going to let you ask that question;
11 okay?
MR. BROWN: Okay. Thank you.
12
13 THE COURT: I was just trying to give you some
direction.
14
15 MR. BROWN: Okay.
THE COURT: If not, they can get exercise jumping up
16
17 and down.
18 MR. BROWN: Okay. I want to get -- to focus your
attention more closely on the machines that were used in
19
20 this case.
BY MR. BROWN:
21
22 Q. Do you have any experience or knowledge or
expertise about whether Georgia's systems are more
23
24 vulnerable or less vulnerable than these machines are
25 generally?

1 A. Yes, I do.

2 Q. What's the basis for your expertise in that?

3

4 A. I reviewed -- so in addition to my knowledge about the Diebold voting system, in

5

6 particular, I reviewed Georgia's use of the system. I've been into, you know, particularly the Fulton

7

8 County election preparations and multiple times.

9 I've reviewed testimony from Michael Barnes, Rick

10 Barron, and other election officials in the state of

11 Georgia and have been observing Georgia's election since 2016.

12

13 Q. Have you -- in the course of your education and your experience, have you -- do you have

14

15 experience and expertise about problems, specific types of problems, that DREs can experience, Georgia

16

17 or elsewhere?

18 A. Yes.
Q. Would that include software problems?

19

20 A. Yes.
Q. And hardware problems also? You need to

21

22 say, yes.
A. Yes.

23

24 Q. Okay. Have you developed -- and now I'm

25 going to explore this in a little bit greater detail,

1 but have you developed an -- do you have experience
2 or developed expertise in how to detect problems with DREs forensically?
3
4 A. Yes. In fact, in 2017 I published a paper looking at how to do that with the machines.
5
6 Q. And when I use the word -- we use the word, forensically, when you use it -- and the reason I'm
7
8 asking this is that to many it might have connotation
9 of a criminal conduct; do you follow me?
10 A. Yes.
11 Q. In your field when you use the word, forensic investigation, do you mean to imply that
12
13 you're looking necessarily for criminal activity?
A. No. Typically it's a post hoc application
14
15 of the scientific method to determine what happens, whether it be criminal or not.
16
17 Q. But it's looks -- is it looking for the
18 causes of a mistake or a problem?
A. Yes, and to try to understand how that
19
20 problem came to be.
Q. Do you have any expertise in determining or
21
22 identifying what I would call the telltale signs from the outside as to whether there are system defects on
23
24 the inside?
25 A. Yes. See, for example, that paper that I

1 just mentioned.

2 Q. Okay. And have you -- do you have real hands-on experience with DREs trying to determine

3

4 whether and how they are defected?

A. Yes. In fact, we just talked to some

5

6 undergraduates how to do a forensic analysis of the Diebold DREs in particular.

7

8 Q. Do you have any experience in post-election

9 auditing?

10 A. Yes. I assisted the State of Michigan and

11 observed the audits in Colorado, the responding audits in Colorado.

12

13 Q. And what is a risk limiting audit?

A. The risk limiting audit is a statistical

14

15 way where you define hypothesis tests and you use that to sample a small subset of ballots and answer

16

17 the question, is the reporting elections all correct

18 or not.

Q. And just -- does your work -- does that

19

20 work require knowledge and use of methods of statistics?

21

22 A. Yes, it does. In particular, the development of new [inaudible] paper we just

23

24 published.

25 Q. Okay.

1 MR. BROWN: And, Your Honor, I would like to qualify
2 and tender Mr. Bernhard as an expert in DRE operation, DRE vulnerability, Georgia; and generally, the internal
3
4 mechanics and software of the DREs, problems with DREs, how to detect those problems, the telltale signs of those
5
6 problems --
THE COURT: Okay, you're drawing a huge, huge list of
7
8 stuff. Let's be a little bit -- yeah, I'm not going to go
9 through and say yes, no, yes, no. What you're wanting to
10 qualify him as an expert generally in what, DRE?
11 MR. BROWN: DREs, their problems, their repair, how to detect them, and how to fix them.
12
13 THE COURT: Okay. You keep adding to it. What say the defendant?
14
15 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, a few more questions for Bernhard.
16
17
18 CROSS-EXAMINATION
OF MATTHEW BERNHARD
19
20
BY MR. TYSON:
21
22 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Bernhard. My name is Bryan Tyson. I represent the Gwinnett County Board
23
24 of Registrations and Elections. So you mentioned to
25 Mr. Brown that you worked for Verified Voting. Does

1 Verified Voting have a position on the use of DREs,
2 generally?
A. Yes.
3
4 Q. And what is that position?
A. That DREs are unfit for us in U.S.
5
6 elections.
Q. And it would be correct to say that
7
8 Verified Voting wants to return to an all-paper
9 ballot system; correct?
10 A. A handwritten paper ballot system; correct.
11 Q. You mentioned that you were qualified as
expert in Curling versus Kemp case; do -- can you
12
13 explain to the Court briefly what the issues are in
that case that you're -- gave expert testimony on?
14
15 A. Yeah. The argument is that the inherent
vulnerability of Georgia's DRE voting system puts
16
17 voters in Georgia at a fundamentally different level
18 of -- you know, it abridges their constitutional
rights to a fair election in comparison to other
19
20 states, like Alabama next door
Q. And those claims were all [inaudible] in
21
22 that case? And are the same plaintiffs involved in
that case?
23
24 A. I don't believe so.
25 Q. Okay. And is Mr. Brown counsel for the

1 plaintiffs in that case?

2 A. Yes, sir.
Q. Okay. You mentioned you had several DREs
3

4 in your lab; do any of those DREs -- the Diebold DREs
specifically, run the same operating system as in use
5

6 in Georgia?
A. Yes, sir.
7

8 Q. Okay. How many of those run the same

9 operating system?

10 A. All of them.

11 Q. Do all of them run the same version of the
software that's used for voting in Diebold machines
12

13 in Georgia?
A. No, sir.
14

15 Q. And so do any of them have the same
software that is use in Georgia for running the
16

17 actual voting system?

18 A. No, sir. They all have the -- a more
recent version.
19

20 Q. So, it would be correct to say that none of
the Diebold machines in your lab are -- will match up
21

22 to any of the Diebold machines that are in Georgia
from a software perspective; correct?
23

24 A. There will be a significant amount of

25 similarities, but it won't be 100 percent the same.

1 Q. Okay. Great. Do you have any experience
2 in the field of election administration?
A. Yes, sir. I'm a trained poll worker in the
3
4 state of Michigan.
Q. Okay. Have you done any personal review of
5
6 any voting machines that were used in the November
2018 general election in Georgia?
7
8 A. [inaudible] personal reviews.
9 Q. So have you physically touched the
10 machines, inspected them, taken any steps to review
11 any machines that were used in the 2018 general
election in Georgia?
12
13 A. No, sir.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, that's compound.
14
15 THE COURT: [inaudible]
MR. BROWN: He asked about eight questions in --
16
17 MR. TYSON: I'll -- I'm going to try to rephrase.
18 I'm sorry.
THE COURT: Rephrase.
19
20 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. Mr. Bernhard, have you personally inspected
21
22 any machines that were used in 2018 election in
Georgia in general election?
23
24 A. No, sir.
25 Q. And so it would be correct to say, isn't

1 it, that you have no firsthand knowledge of any DRE
2 machine that was used in the 2018 Georgia election because you haven't inspected them; correct?
3
4 A. I suppose that's correct.
MR. TYSON: Okay. That's all I have.
5
6 THE COURT: Anybody else for the defendant have questions?
7
8 MR. LINDSEY: No, Your Honor.
9 THE COURT: Okay.
10 MR. BROWN: Just one follow-up question.
11 I don't have any further questions for him.
THE COURT: Okay. Anyone want to be heard on whether
12
13 he's an expert on DREs? That's basically what the issue is. All that other stuff I'm not going to rule on,
14
15 [inaudible] credibility [inaudible].
MR. TYSON: Yes, Your Honor. So we would object to
16
17 Mr. Bernhard serving as an expert in this case, both under
18 702(b) because he's testified he has no personal knowledge about the voting machines in use in Georgia. The machines
19
20 in his lab do not line up to ones that were in use in Georgia.
21
22 He has not personally inspected the voting machines that were used in the 2018 general election, so we don't
23
24 believe that he can offer anything to help the Court
25 determine if that's an issue which is required by that.

1 In addition, we don't believe there is anything
2 relevant that relates to the issue in the case. Mr. Bernhard can certainly testify about DREs generally. The
3
4 issue for this Court is focused on the lieutenant governor's election and Mr. Bernhard has not indicated he
5
6 has anything that would be useful to finder of facts in this case.
7
8 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we adopt the issue of
9 Gwinnett County and also make the same objections.
10 MR. BROWN: First -- the first objection was based
11 upon his line of personal knowledge. That, of course, is not an objection to an expert qualification of an expert.
12
13 The second was personal knowledge about inspection. That's also not a relevant objection.
14
15 I mean, if there's another idea lurking behind that, I'd like to hear it and respond to it, but that's not a
16
17 proper objection. The single objection that I could
18 understand, that's applicable to here, was that his particular model -- models that he has and that he can
19
20 take apart, is a different -- he uses a different version of the Microsoft operating system.
21
22 He testified that it is substantially identical and that is -- even if it were different, he has been
23
24 established as a leading expert in this field.
25 THE COURT: I'm not going to say he's a leading

1 expert. I have no evidence of him being an expert. But
2 leading expert -- I am going to allow him to testify as an expert. The weight and credibility of his testimony is
3
4 for me, and I can listen to it and I can [inaudible].
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
5
6
REDIRECT EXAMINATION
7
8 OF MATTHEW BERNHARD
9
10 BY MR. BROWN:
11 Q. Mr. Bernhard, the first part, I would like to review your -- of what materials you have reviewed
12
13 in connection with your work on this case. Are you with me?
14
15 A. Uh-huh.
Q. And have you reviewed the scholarly
16
17 literature?
18 A. Yes, sir. I've done a thorough survey of all of the academic works specifically about the Diebold
19
20 machines and [inaudible].
Q. Have you reviewed government publications
21
22 on [inaudible]?
A. Yes, sir. [inaudible] National Academy of
23
24 Sciences as well as various other government
25 agencies.

1 Q. Have you ever reviewed the source code for
2 the TS system?
3 A. Yes, sir. I've reviewed an early release
4 of the source code.
5 Q. Have you reviewed the voting results in the
6 Georgia 2018 election?
7 A. Yes, sir.
8 Q. Have reviewed studies of those results?
9 A. Yes, sir.
10 Q. Have you reviewed statements from voters
11 with their accounts of trying to vote on the machines
12 in Georgia?
13 A. Yes, sir.
14 Q. And who in -- focusing just on the -- on
15 those individual statements, what statements have you
16 -- let me back up a little bit. Let me finish. Have
17 you reviewed the testimony of the agents of the
18 defendants, including Michael Barnes and Rick Barron
19 in other cases?
20 A. Yes, sir.
21 Q. Have you reviewed the reported
22 vulnerability in the Georgia voter registration page
23 recorded during the 2018 election?
24 A. Yes.
25 Q. Have you spoken with other people who have

1 expert knowledge to gain a better understanding of
2 the issues?
A. Yes, sir.
3
4 Q. And who would those be?
A. For one, [inaudible] who published one of
5
6 the original security analyses of the DREs, I've
spoken with -- excuse me [inaudible] Philip Stark,
7
8 who is a leading expert on audits and [inaudible],
9 among others.
10 Q. Okay. Okay. Let's dive into then to some
11 of your opinions. First, with respect to the Diebold
DRE machine, do you have an opinion as to whether
12
13 that machine generally is defective or not defective?
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'm going to object to the
14
15 way that the question is asked. I don't think we've
established if there one [inaudible] DRE machine. We've
16
17 already heard there's different versions of software, are
18 there different hardware -- I think we need to be specific
about what's being asked.
19
20 MR. BROWN: Fair enough, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Well, it's a lot of different types of
21
22 machines. At least be able to identify which ones he's
done and which ones he hasn't.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Exactly. Your Honor, exact -- the
25 objection is well taken and let me reframe it.

1 BY MR. BROWN:

2 Q. Have you developed an opinion as to whether the DRE systems like the ones used in Georgia

3 generally are defective?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. And to get to the point of different DRE machines, other states use Diebold DRE machines; is

6 that correct?

7 A. Correct.

8 Q. Are they all identical or are they

9 different?

10 A. From a hardware perspective, they're all

11 identical. The software is different depending on the state; though there are only three major versions

12 of the software out there.

13 Q. With respect to whether they are defective

14 or not, is there any different -- material difference

15 in the different types of Diebold DRE machines?

16 A. No, sir. They all come with the same

17 software and they have the same aging hardware.

18 Q. And what is your opinion about the

19 defectiveness of the DRE machines that are used nationwide and in Georgia?

20 A. They're defective both from an

21 architectural standpoint, the actual implementation,

1 and the way they were designed and built, as well as
2 the way they are used in many places.
3 Again, these machines are 15 years old at this
4 point. The glue that holds the screens together is
5 starting to come apart, you know, as well as, you
6 know, who knows how many times they've been dropped
7 and so forth. And so I think it's -- they were
8 defective to begin with but they've only become more
9 defective over time.
10 Q. Mr. Bernhard, have you reviewed academic
11 literature on the subject of whether DRE systems are
12 generally defective?
13 A. Yes, sir.
14 Q. And just give me an example of some of the
15 things that you reviewed.
16 A. Yeah, so there was a forensic study in 2006
17 of the Diebold system that was actually published by
18 [inaudible]. There was the California top to bottom
19 review. There was the Ohio Everest report; the state
20 of Florida and Maryland both issued independent
21 reports. And then more recently we've seen the
22 National Academy of Science's report on the usage of
23 DREs, as well as numerous others.
24 Q. And what -- do they have a general
25 consensus or not?

1 A. Yeah, they -- unanimous conclusion is that
2 DRE machines, and particularly the kind of DRE that's used in Georgia are unsafe and unfit for use for
3
4 voting.
Q. And are the unsafe and unfit for voting
5
6 because they don't -- they're not accurate or they might not be accurate?
7
8 A. Yeah. They are, you know -- as I mentioned
9 before, inherently defective and on top of that, they
10 don't provide any recourse if the defect is detected.
11 Q. And what do you mean by no recourse?
A. You know, typically there's this notion of
12
13 software independence where even if the software does go bad, we can, one, detect it if it's happened; and
14
15 two, correct for it.
Because the DRE machines don't have any -- you
16
17 know, there's no paper trail. There's no external
18 source of evidence of how many votes are cast, who they were cast for. There is no way that the DREs
19
20 can provide significant evidence to the outcome of an election.
21
22 Q. But isn't that the case in any sort of computer program that there's no independence --
23
24 A. Yes.
25 Q. -- of verification?

1 A. Anything that doesn't have an external
2 source of evidence, yes.
3 Q. The -- let me ask the same question. You
4 were -- you responded with discussion of governmental
5 agencies. Have you reviewed opinions or publications
6 from the computer science community --
7 A. Yes.
8 Q. -- about the vulnerability or defectiveness
9 of the DREs?
10 A. Yes. That was the majority of the
11 literature is from.
12 Q. Okay. And what is the computer science --
13 is there a consensus or differences of opinion in the
14 computer science community with respect to the
15 defectiveness of the DREs?
16 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I object on the relevancy.
17 This has been going on for a little while. I'm not sure
18 when -- where general problems of the DREs is relevant to
19 allegations regarding the Georgia lieutenant governor's
20 election on a specific [inaudible] Georgia machines.
21 These are general allegations, not something specific.
22 MR. BROWN: Your Honor --
23 THE COURT: I understand that. He can answer that
24 question [inaudible].
25 MR. BROWN: Okay.

1 THE WITNESS: Again, the overall consensus is that
2 the machines are vulnerable and can cause problems.
3 MR. BROWN:
4 Q. Are you aware of any peer review computer science publication that takes a contrary view to the
5 view that the DREs are defective?
6 A. No, sir. The community is unanimous.
7 Q. Have you developed an opinion about whether
8 Georgia's system is less defective than the systems
9 used in other parts of the country or more defective?
10 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll just object for lack of foundation. I don't think we've had testimony about
11 Georgia yet. We've talked generally about that -- [inaudible]
12 THE COURT: I'll let you ask the question but if he doesn't give a basis for it I'll disregard it.
13 THE WITNESS: Okay. Yes, sir, I have.
14 BY MR. BROWN:
15 Q. And if I asked you this before, I
16 apologize. But have you talked to people or have you done some investigation about the vulnerability of
17 Georgia's system in particular, not as a general matter?
18 A. Yes, sir.
19 Q. And what's -- what did you do or what have

1 you done?

2 A. Again, reviewing testimony and interviewing voters in Georgia, reviewing Georgia electronic

3 regulation; like I said, testimony from Michael Barnes and Rick Barron in the Curling v. Kemp where

5 they explain more carefully the layout of the system. I talked with Michael Barnes a couple times, also,

7 about how Georgia's election system works.

9 Q. And have you evaluated, for example, the

10 testimony of Logan Lamb?

11 A. Yes.
THE COURT: Of who?

12 MR. BROWN: Logan Lamb. And have you --
THE COURT: So he's repeating somebody else's

14 testimony in another case? Is that what you're saying?
MR. BROWN: That's not the purpose. It was to

16 provide a foundation for his knowledge in determining --

18 THE COURT: Does he have any personal knowledge?
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, he's an expert in --

19 THE COURT: I understand he's an expert. Go ahead. [inaudible].

21 MR. BROWN: Okay.
BY MR. BROWN:

23 Q. Have you personally examined the

25 architecture of the system, from your perspective,

1 sufficient to understand whether the State of Georgia
2 by leaving it open to the internet for six months might have exposed the system to greater danger?
3
4 Have you looked at that personally?
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object to that again. I
5
6 don't think there's any evidence about an exposure for six months on the internet. There's no foundation for the
7
8 question -- that's also compounds [inaudible].
9 THE COURT: [inaudible] no time, no -- we're talking
10 about one day of one election. That's all we're talking
11 about.
MR. BROWN: Yeah. I'll establish a foundation for
12
13 that. The relevance -- I think there was a -- related to relevancy.
14
15 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Bernhard, in your experience and in
16
17 your expertise, would the likelihood of a defect in
18 the election on November the 6th, 2018, be increased or decreased based upon how Georgia's particular
19
20 system was maintained?
A. It would be increased.
21
22 Q. Okay. Now what did Georgia do or not do to increase the vulnerability of the DRE machine that it
23
24 uses?
25 A. We first found in 2016 from Logan Lamb that

1 there was a server that is connected to the
2 [inaudible] system or at least adjacent to it that was open to the internet running an incredibly
3
4 vulnerable version of a software called Drupal. To give you an idea of how vulnerable it is, I set up my
5
6 Drupal residence and within 24 hours it was compromised.
7
8 We also saw in 2018 leading up to the election
9 that the voter registration page has significant
10 vulnerabilities in it. And all of these could serve
11 as potential records for malware or, you know, other -- they all show a tendency for a lack of
12
13 fastidiousness and, you know, a general carelessness from a technical perspective that may indicate that
14
15 errors were made or there was malware in the system or -- you know.
16
17 Q. And did that contribute to your assessment
18 of the level risk in Georgia?
A. Yes.
19
20 Q. And what was your conclusion about whether the risk was increased or decreased?
21
22 A. So, based on the inherent vulnerability of the DREs, they're used and based on the repeated
23
24 security mistakes by the State of Georgia, my opinion
25 is that the -- Georgia's elections are far more

1 vulnerable than most other states and most other
2 elections in general.
Q. Now you identified -- you stated mistakes,
3
4 of what I interpreted to be mistakes. Could you list those again for us, please?
5
6 A. Yeah. The file system that used to distribute sample ballots and voter registration data
7
8 was left open to the internet. And the voter
9 registration page had two major vulnerabilities and
10 then one less significant vulnerability in it; and
11 because of the central nature of Georgia's election system, you know, they -- the Secretary of State's
12
13 office does distribute programming to all of the machines indirectly.
14
15 Those two vulnerabilities at the state level could potentially cause trouble, you know, everywhere
16
17 in the state; as well as, you know, again, show a
18 general lack of care for technical correctness, you know. Getting the technical stuff right and making
19
20 programming errors or for other things are more likely as well.
21
22 Q. Okay. Anything else? Any other problems, any other mistakes?
23
24 A. None come to mind.
25 Q. Okay. Let me -- to back up a little bit, I

1 do want to get something into the record.

2 THE COURT: This P-5? Is that P-5? P-7. Okay. I don't look at it until it's been admitted.

3

4 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Do you have Plaintiff's Exhibit 7 in front

5

6 of you?
A. Yes, sir.

7

8 Q. And what is Plaintiff's 7?

9 A. It is the National Academies of Science

10 Engineering and Medicine's report, Secured Boot, from

11 2018.
Q. And is it your understanding the entire

12

13 report is public and on the internet?
A. Yes, it is.

14

15 Q. And is Plaintiff 7 just a selection of those pages?

16

17 A. Yes, it appears to be.

18 Q. And what is your understanding of what the National Academy of Science's -- what study it

19

20 undertook and what the purpose of that was.
A. The National Academy of Scientists

21

22 attempted to kind of understand the overall --
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'm going to pose an

23

24 objection as to what another group of experts may have

25 said. Plus, it is what he said and he's the expert here.

1 He's the one that we can cross-examine. These folks
2 aren't here so we would object this line of testimony.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, he already testified.
3
4 [inaudible] kind of information -- he already testified
that this is the --
5
6 THE COURT: He can testify to what he's read and who
he's talked to, what all he's done. He can't just read
7
8 off a document that somebody else has -- based on having
9 done all that, then he has certain opinions, which I've
10 heard pretty loud and clear. Those are all his opinions
11 based on all this information and training and everything
he's done.
12
13 But we're not having the documents here.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I believe that it's
14
15 admissible because it is -- he has established that this
is the kind of information that expert --
16
17 THE COURT: No. No.
18 MR. BROWN: Okay.
THE COURT: It becomes a substitute for evidence and
19
20 we're not going to do that.
MR. BROWN: Okay.
21
22 THE COURT: But I understand. He read it; it formed
part of the decision that he's made in this case.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Is the -- and let me ask a foundation --
25 I understand your ruling but to get on the record, is --

1 BY MR. BROWN:

2 Q. Are opinions such as the one in the
National Academy of Sciences, things that experts in
3

4 your field rely upon to develop your expert opinion?
A. Yes.
5

6 Q. And is it standard in your profession to
rely upon that information?
7

8 A. Yes.

9 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would suggest again this is

10 the kind -- albeit hearsay, it is exactly the kind of

11 hearsay --
THE COURT: No. It's hearsay. He's relied on it;
12

13 he's read it; it's part of what -- you know, if he's up to
PhD he's probably read thousands of pages of stuff. We're
14

15 not putting it all in.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
16

17 BY MR. BROWN:

18 Q. You testified before that there are some
telltale signs of actual defective machines; do you
19

20 recall that?
A. Yes, sir.
21

22 Q. And have you reached an opinion about
whether those telltale signs were apparent in Georgia
23

24 for the 2018 election?

25 A. Yes, sir.

1 Q. And what in your expert opinion were those
2 telltale signs and what is the basis that you have for saying that it is?
3
4 A. So there is a -- to say that the literature is the [inaudible] where they lay out the foundation
5
6 for forensic election investigation --
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, same objection.
7
8 THE COURT: Hm?
9 MR. LINDSEY: You know, he could -- the question here
10 is, what were the telltale signs, not what another paper
11 may say --
THE COURT: You cannot quote what the paper said.
12
13 MR. LINDSEY: -- [inaudible] what another paper said.
MR. BROWN: That's right.
14
15 THE COURT: Let me make that -- you can say I relied on it to make my decision; don't have any problem with
16
17 that. That's what experts do. To get an expert in
18 anything you've got to read lots of stuff. But you can't say what it said; okay?
19
20 MR. BROWN: Bear that in mind.
BY MR. BROWN:
21
22 Q. Mr. Bernhard, what telltale signs did you observe of system defectiveness?
23
24 A. The significant under-vote rate in the
25 lieutenant governor's race, as well as reporting

1 various errors, you know. There were errors with the
2 review screen; voters were reporting the machines were [inaudible] in the middle of the voting
3
4 sessions, that error messages were popping up.
Q. Let's -- let me -- I can't -- I'm sorry.
5
6 Focusing on the election results, you said there was a significant under-vote and what is the basis of
7
8 your opinion that there was a significant under-vote?
9 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, first off, the objection is
10 that he hasn't established any kind of expert regarding
11 under-voting and whether or not the under-voting could be caught by machine or through other sources. He hasn't
12
13 laid that foundation.
As a matter of fact, they tried to lay that
14
15 foundation with the last expert and the judge ruled against them. So he simply has not laid any proper
16
17 foundation of kind of testimony.
18 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, the same objection, that there's not a foundation. Mr. Bernhard is not an expert
19
20 on election administration or under-votes. I think the numbers are already in the evidence -- we know what the
21
22 numbers are, so --
THE COURT: Yeah. We've already had testimony about
23
24 it live. So I sustain the objection.
25 MR. BROWN: Let me reframe it.

1 BY MR. BROWN:

2 Q. Do the voting results in some instances tell an expert like you that there may be a defect in

3 the system that needs to be investigated?

4 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, same objection as before.

5 THE COURT: That's a little bit different.

6 MR. LINDSEY: Same objection as before. I might also

7 add that he's asking for speculation of the word "may."

8 The --

9 THE COURT: I said weight and credibility is mine.

10 MR. LINDSEY: Huh?

11 THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to let him answer that

12 question.

13 MR. LINDSEY: Okay. Thank you.

14 THE WITNESS: Yes. I do believe that based on the data there were defects.

15 BY MR. BROWN:

16 Q. And what is it about the data that leads you to the opinion that there were defects in the

17 machines?

18 A. There would be -- there's a statically

19 significant correlation between the mode of voting and the amount of under-voting; and there's also a

20 statistic -- at least in one statistically

21 significant aberration in the [inaudible] where one

22

23

24

25

1 voting machine reported, you know, significantly
2 different results than every other voting machine in the precinct, which is highly unlikely.
3
4 Q. In your field -- well, let me back up a little bit. You said statistically significant
5
6 under-voting. Do you mean under-voting in the abstract or the comparison between under-voting on
7
8 the electronic machines and under-voting on the paper
9 machines?
10 A. Yes, that's correct. The under-voting rate
11 for paper ballots was significantly lower than it was for DRE machines in a statistically significant
12
13 [inaudible].
Q. And what is the statistical basis for what
14
15 you're saying?
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, objection. He's laid no
16
17 basis that he's in any way an expert on statistics.
18 MR. TYSON: Same objection, Your Honor.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor --
19
20 THE COURT: I mean, we've got all the figures. [inaudible] We've got all the figures. We know what
21
22 happened. We know what the numbers are. He says it's because the machines are defective. That's his opinion,
23
24 expert opinion, it's why all that happened.
25 Okay, beyond that, where are we going?

1 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the -- if I may back up a
2 little bit. With any phenomena, there's either direct
evidence, eyewitness testimony, or you go through and you
3
4 eliminate every other alternative. That's -- you do --
THE COURT: But I've taken his opinion. His opinion
5
6 is because of those numbers and because of the
differential between the paper and the machines, something
7
8 has to be wrong with the machines; am I right?
9 MR. BROWN: Okay.
10 THE COURT: I'm simplistic; I'm sorry.
11 MR. BROWN: Is there a way to --
THE COURT: I don't have a PhD.
12
13 MR. BROWN: Okay.
BY MR. BROWN:
14
15 Q. And let me ask this. Is there a way to
quantify the likelihood of that voting pattern
16
17 happening simply by chance?
18 A. Yes, there is.
Q. And what is the answer?
19
20 A. Typically, you use a hypothesis test. In
the two examples I cited [inaudible] one of them, the
21
22 -- I believe the under-vote has a less -- less than 1
to 10,000 chance of being -- happen -- sorry. A
23
24 less than 1 in 10,000 chance of appearing at random
25 or monthly in the course of an election.

1 Q. And then you -- you also testified about
2 Winterville?
A. Yes. The -- assuming that the voters were
3
4 randomly -- you know, that you didn't go to a machine
based on your party or something like that. The odds
5
6 that one machine would have significantly more votes
for one party but not the other machines have votes
7
8 for the other party is also incredibly low.
9 Q. And could you just explain -- we -- in case
10 the court has not heard about the Winterville
11 problem, could you just explain to the Court what you
observed about the numbers at the Winterville voting
12
13 location?
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor --
14
15 THE COURT: About what? I missed the question. You
went round and round and I didn't get it.
16
17 MR. BROWN: The question is, just to get -- he
18 testified about Winterville and what happened in
Winterville, and I was just asking him to explain in
19
20 greater detail what did happen in Winterville in case that
hadn't been made clear.
21
22 MR. TYSON: And Your Honor, this assumes facts that
are not in evidence and I believe the evidence that
23
24 [inaudible] was submitted was not an avenue that Mr.
25 Bernhard regarding that precinct. So Mr. Barnhard [sic]

1 may need to lay a foundation and Mr. Barnhard needs to
2 talk about his personal analysis, if he conducted one.
BY MR. BROWN:
3
4 Q. Again, are you familiar with the voting
totals from the Winterville district?
5
6 A. Yes, sir.
Q. And where did you get that from?
7
8 A. The Secretary of State's vote results.
9 Q. And what did those numbers show?
10 A. In that precinct, I believe there were
11 eight DRE machines. On every DRE machine the
Democratic candidate won every race, except one where
12
13 a Republican candidate won every race by
approximately the same margin as the Democrat voting
14
15 on the other machines.
Q. And as a forensic expert, why is that -- is
16
17 that a telltale sign of a system defect?
18 A. Yeah. If you assume that the voters are
probably going to machines at random, you know, not
19
20 based on the party affiliation or anything like that,
it's extremely unlikely that you would see seven
21
22 machines with this incredibly regular pattern and
then one machine that's completely opposite of those
23
24 same machines.
25 Q. And from your background and experience in

1 software, is there an explanation for why that might
2 happen?
A. To me it seems like it might be a
3
4 programming error where you, you know -- all of the
machines you have A as the Republican, B as the
5
6 Democrat, but on this one machine for whatever reason
it was B as the Democrat -- or A as the Democrat, B
7
8 as the Republican.
9 Q. Just a code problem?
10 A. Yeah. Or I believe the other could be, you
11 know, obviously some other kind of error that the
machine incurred that wasn't even -- that was
12
13 programmed into it.
Q. And it could be an innocent mistake or a
14
15 malicious virus; correct?
A. Correct.
16
17 Q. Okay. And -- now, did you review
18 statements by eyewitnesses that led you to consider
one way or the other whether there may be telltale
19
20 signs of a defective system?
A. Yes.
21
22 Q. And what did you review?
A. I reviewed three affidavits from voters, as
23
24 well as the voter hotline report for -- for one
25 precinct.

1 Q. And from your perspective as an expert,
2 what sort of issues were reported that might have been telltale signs of defective equipment?
3
4 A. Voters were reporting machines were rebooting spontaneously. Some people were citing
5
6 that there were raw error codes showing up in logs on the machines, which is exceptionally rare in good
7
8 software.
9 There were also voters who had issues with the
10 review screen where they, you know -- one voter
11 reported that they voted their whole ballot, but they didn't see the lieutenant governor choice on it at
12
13 all. And then they get to the review screen and they see that it's there. Other voters were reporting
14
15 once they got to their review screen, they quit on a particular race to go back and change their answer or
16
17 review it or whatever. And it would just cast their
18 vote instead of taking them back to that screen. There were numerous errors that seemed to have
19
20 manifested.
Q. From your perspective, and based upon your
21
22 expertise, is there a way to -- just -- is there a way, one or the other, whether you can generalize
23
24 from those specific instances, any system-wide sort
25 of problems?

1 A. Generalized, no, I don't think so.

2 Q. And what would you need to do to determine whether the extent of the defect?

3

4 A. A forensic analysis of the software that was running on those machines would be necessary.

5

6 Q. Okay. And have you undertaken or started or tried to do a forensic analysis of these DRE

7

8 machines?

9 A. Yes, sir. I showed up to Fulton County

10 Election [inaudible] on Monday and was told that I

11 would not be allowed to do it.
Q. Okay. And this is just this Monday, three

12

13 days ago?
A. Correct.

14

15 Q. Okay. And have you had the opportunity to review the internal memory or examine the internal

16

17 memory of any of these machines?

18 A. No, sir.
Q. And if -- explain, if you will, sort of the

19

20 back and forth of the industry and I know it's all collegial, but just what the back and forth was

21

22 between you and the technicians down there.
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, objection to the relevance.

23

24 The Court has heard their motions to compel, have heard

25 the motions for discovery. The Court has heard their

1 proffer regarding what they believe that she should have
2 been entitled to, but they -- but the Court ruled against them. So I would object as this is not relevant. The
3
4 Court's already ruled on this.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we further object on this
5
6 as it is hearsay, the conversations he had with the technicians. He can say what he said, but what the
7
8 technician said is hearsay.
9 MR. BROWN: I'll take that as -- in reverse. It's
10 not hearsay because it's statements by the adverse party
11 and --
THE COURT: Well, I have seen everybody's briefs on
12
13 this point, and I see both sides of it. I did not order a forensic investigation. I specifically left that word
14
15 out.
I set out certain things to be done. Did you get a
16
17 forensic? No, we didn't get a forensic because I didn't
18 order a forensic. I'm not going beyond that.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, would you take testimony of
19
20 what it means -- what the word, internal memory, means?
THE COURT: I have some knowledge.
21
22 MR. BROWN: And I understand.
THE COURT: I'm not completely stupid.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Your Honor --
25 THE COURT: I happen to be a math/physics major.

1 MR. BROWN: Your Honor.

2 THE COURT: Yeah. I got sidetracked. Yeah. So if you want to do it, go ahead. Don't worry about it.

3

4 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Were you offered access to the internal

5

6 memory of a DRE machine?
THE COURT: I'm not going into that either. That's

7

8 what they objected to.

9 MR. BROWN: Okay.

10 THE COURT: Okay? The question was, how did he do

11 it? Okay? That was the issue. I was available; nobody called, except Mr. [inaudible].

12

13 MR. BROWN: Okay.
THE COURT: He emailed; right? Repeatedly. And I

14

15 repeatedly responded. This case has been my life for a week and a half. Go ahead.

16

17 MR. BROWN: I think we've --

18 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Bernhard, why didn't you inspect the

19

20 DRE machine?
A. Turning on the machine would affect the

21

22 internal state, so we were ordered to review the internal memory of the machine. That includes the

23

24 ROM, the read-only memory where the operating system

25 and the boot loader as well as the election data is

1 stored. Turning on the machine would necessarily
2 alter that data. There are ways to extract that data
without damaging it in that way. But, of course, we
3
4 couldn't perform that operation.
Q. So, you could have accessed it -- is it --
5
6 let me try to unpack that a little bit. Had you
accessed it -- you could access but you would have
7
8 altered the evidence? Is that what you're saying?
9 A. I would have altered some of the evidence
10 and would only have seen a very small part, but I
11 would have only seen the election archive, which is
not the internal memory. It's just a very small part
12
13 of it.
Q. Okay. And so the -- faced with the
14
15 alternative of altering evidence and not getting the
evidence that you were looking for, you decided not
16
17 to conduct the investigation; correct?
18 A. That's correct.
Q. And can you explain to the Court in a
19
20 little bit greater detail how and why booting the
system without making a copy of the internal memory
21
22 first can alter evidence.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, this was an issue that was
23
24 raised to the Court; both sides briefed it. The Court
25 ordered if there were any further questions to request a

1 conference call or anything like that. No such call was
2 requested.
The Court then heard, I believe -- I may be terming -
3
4 - the term [inaudible] -- but that you didn't file a very
extensive brief saying that you needed additional
5
6 discovery that wasn't being allowed to you. The Court
considered that brief and ruled against you. And now
7
8 today we're dealing with an evidentiary trial as to
9 whether or not there was a defect in the election system
10 in Georgia, that it -- was it great enough to overturn a
11 margin of victory over 123,000 votes.
That's the sole issue here today. If all of this is
12
13 simply regurgitating the same concerns that were
previously raised and [inaudible].
14
15 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, we just object on relevance
along the same line. What -- how did you turn on the
16
17 machines and a forensic examination not relevant to the
18 lieutenant governor's race, [inaudible].
THE COURT: Mr. Brown.
19
20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the -- this is the foundation
for establishing that the petitioners have not been given
21
22 any --
THE COURT: No. The petitioner was given. You
23
24 refused to take it; you wanted to do it some other way.
25 That issue is done. So go -- move on to another subject.

1 MR. BROWN: Okay. Your Honor, if I may -- and I'm
2 not --
THE COURT: No. Not if you may. You always want an
3
4 if you may. No. We've been through this over and over
and over again. You email me at 11:00 at night. You
5
6 email me at 2:30 in the morning. You would not believe
all the stuff in this case.
7
8 I've looked at it. I've made the best decisions I
9 know how; move on to something else. Question of
10 discovery is done.
11 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, just one more thing. I'm
going to --
12
13 THE COURT: You don't listen to me, do you, sir?
MR. BROWN: It's a different topic.
14
15 THE COURT: Okay. Different topic's fine.
MR. BROWN: This is a different topic. Different
16
17 topic. The diff -- but I -- I would like to say the
18 different topic is going to sound like the same topic.
It's different.
19
20 MR. LINDSEY: Can I just object now?
THE COURT: This is just -- I guess I'm on the record
21
22 -- this is just really good lawyering on both sides. I
mean, it's like -- no. If it sounds -- what is it? If it
23
24 looks like a duck and it quacks like a duck, it is a duck;
25 is that the one where you talk about in the South?

1 MR. BROWN: And I never agree with that, Your Honor.

2 THE COURT: Try one more question and we'll see where we go. You might get to testify. Go ahead.

3

4 MR. BROWN: Yeah.
BY MR. BROWN:

5

6 Q. If the State of Georgia wanted to investigate the causes of apparent anomalies, what

7

8 could it do?

9 A. It could perform a --

10 MR. LINDSEY: Objection, Your Honor.

11 THE COURT: I'm going to let him. I'm going to let him answer that, what the state could do.

12

13 THE WITNESS: It could perform a forensic investigation, which are the best practices recommended by

14

15 experts and have been for decades.
BY MR. BROWN:

16

17 Q. And based upon your interactions with the

18 State and your knowledge of the --
THE COURT: No. Not based upon his interactions with

19

20 the State. We're not going there.
BY MR. BROWN:

21

22 Q. Did the State conduct that kind of forensic examination?

23

24 A. No.

25 Q. Okay.

1 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would like to take a break
2 just to get a glass of water and then finish up with this witness, quickly.
3
4 THE COURT:
MR. BROWN: Thank you. Thank you, Your Honor.
5
6
[Off the record at 2:09 p.m., and back on the record
7
8 at 2:22 p.m.]
9
10 THE COURT: [inaudible]
11
CONTINUATION OF REDIRECT EXAMINATION
12
13 OF MATTHEW BERNHARD
14
15 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Bernhard, do you have experience
16
17 evaluating GEMS databases?
18 A. Yes, sir.
Q. Have you in the course of your work
19
20 reviewed or had access to GEMS databases?
A. Yes, sir. I've been sent to GEMS databases
21
22 from the State of Colorado, State of California, I believe the State of Alaska as well.
23
24 Q. And were those treated as nonconfidential?
25 A. Yeah. They were public records.

1 Q. Okay. Have you received that from the
2 State of Georgia?
A. No.
3
4 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, he's going back down the same rabbit hole again and I object. This is once again a
5
6 matter that should have been taken up regarding discovery. We're here today to hear the evidence as to whether or not
7
8 there was some kind of breach and whether or not it was
9 significant enough to change the election votes, not
10 whether or not they should get something they didn't get.
11 THE COURT: I sustain the objection.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. No further
12
13 questions.
14
15 CROSS-EXAMINATION
OF MATTHEW BERNHARD
16
17
18 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. [inaudible], Mr. Bernhard. So let me ask
19
20 you first, is it your personal belief that only paper should be used for elections, hand-marked paper
21
22 ballots?
A. Hand-marked paper ballots that are
23
24 [inaudible].
25 THE COURT: [inaudible]

1 THE WITNESS: Hand-marked paper ballots [inaudible].
2 BY MR. TYSON:
3 Q. Have you ever worked on a political
4 campaign before?
5 A. No, sir.
6 Q. Now you talked about your research and various studies and what you've looked at. It's
7 correct, isn't it, that you're not aware of a single
8 instance anywhere in Georgia where there was a piece
9 of malware that was somehow propagated from a server
10 that creates the ballot format, down through memory card, onto the DRE; is that correct?
11 A. Correct. But again, that's just because they're machines. There's no [inaudible].
12 Q. But you're not aware of an instance where that's occurred; correct? Okay. And you're not
13 aware of an instance where anywhere in the nation
14 where a piece of malware has been propagated onto a DRE in an actual election, are you?
15 A. Not on the DRE voter registration systems, I guess.
16 Q. But as the DRE you're not?
17 A. [inaudible]
18 Q. Okay. Now you talked a little bit about
19 the Winterville precinct in Clarke County; is that

1 correct?

2 A. Yes, sir.
Q. Okay. And you said that -- did you perform
3

4 a statistical analysis at that precinct?
A. No, sir.
5

6 Q. Who performed that statistical analysis?
A. I think it was Philip Stark.
7

8 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor?

9 MR. TYSON: And so -- sorry.

10 MR. LINDSEY: He testified earlier as to the results

11 from that machine as if he himself performed that
examination after this Court specifically ruled that that
12

13 testimony from Mr. Stark was hearsay.
I'm going to move that that testimony that had been
14

15 previously raised regarding this be stricken from the
record because it's contrary to a prior ruling that he
16

17 could not testify as to what other people had done. And -

18 -
THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
19

20 MR. LINDSEY: -- and [inaudible] that he
mischaracterizes earlier testimony as well.
21

22 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I believe that the record
would say what the record says about what he says and
23

24 [inaudible] attempt to characterize it. The testimony was

25 that he had reviewed the evidence relating to the

1 Winterville.

2 I asked him what telltale signs and he used that as an example. We further established early on that the

3 reports of people like Stark are something that an expert in his capacity looks at and that he reviews the evidence

4 from all different sources.

5 MR. LINDSEY: He -- I'm sorry, go ahead. I'm sorry.

6 MR. BROWN: I don't --

7 MR. LINDSEY: I didn't mean to --

8 MR. BROWN: I don't remember him saying that he did

9 the math on Winterville, but that he knew the answer and he knew how to get it.

10 MR. LINDSEY: But he -- but -- but he has been specifically told on multiple occasions despite attempts

11 to solicit such testimony that he was not to testify as to what other people had done or what other people reported.

12 He was specifically admonished not to do that; and through

13 ambiguity and a question, he led this Court to believe that he, himself -- and I'm sorry, I --

14 MR. BROWN: Your Honor --

15 MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible]

16 THE COURT: Let him finish.

17 MR. LINDSEY: That he, himself, had conducted this

18 investigation of these tapes. He has not admitted that he

19 has not and I ask that his previous testimony be stricken.

1 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, same response. I would -- to
2 get at the truth --
THE COURT: Well, he gets -- it's his motion, so I'm
3
4 -- he testified as that he got the numbers from the
Secretary of State, and that I will accept.
5
6 MR. TYSON: But he's now [inaudible].
THE COURT: But the rest of it I will not accept.
7
8 MR. TYSON: Okay. But he's now testified that he
9 didn't actually do that investigation.
10 MR. BROWN: But now [inaudible]?
11 THE COURT: Not yet. But that's how I heard it. I
heard it that he got the numbers from Clarke County and
12
13 the rest of it -- he sounded -- he moved into it as if
he'd done it all, but no, he -- obviously he hadn't do it
14
15 all --
MR. LINDSEY: Just by testifying that he didn't do
16
17 it, that's [inaudible].
18 THE COURT: -- it wouldn't be admissible. Okay.
MR. LINDSEY: Make sure that's on [inaudible]. I'm
19
20 sorry.
BY MR. TYSON:
21
22 Q. Mr. Bernhard, so you -- I believe we had
just been through that Professor Stark had done the
23
24 statistical analysis of the Winterville precinct; is
25 that correct?

1 A. That's correct. I pulled the numbers
2 though beforehand.
Q. And so, when you say you pulled the
3
4 numbers, could you explain to us what that means?
A. I --
5
6 THE COURT: Did you pull the numbers?
THE WITNESS: Yes, I pulled the numbers.
7
8 THE COURT: I thought you said he pulled the numbers.
9 THE WITNESS: Okay.
10 THE COURT: And by moving into that you make it sound
11 like he did it all, but he didn't. He just pulled the
numbers.
12
13 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. So, Mr. Bernhard, after you pulled the
14
15 numbers it's correct isn't it that Professor Stark
did then the statistical analysis?
16
17 A. Correct.
18 Q. Okay. And you didn't personally perform
the statistical analysis in that precinct?
19
20 A. No, sir. Because Philip had already done
it. I didn't see a point in doing it again.
21
22 Q. How did you go about selecting or had -- do
you know how anybody selected the Winterville
23
24 precinct?
25 A. It was brought to my attention just because

1 of this oddity of his one machine having drastically
2 different vote totals than the other seven.
3 Q. And was that from plaintiff's counsel?
4 A. I believe so.
5 Q. And if I'm recalling correctly, the
6 machines on that precinct had anywhere between 117
7 and 144 votes per machine; is that correct?
8 A. That sounds about right.
9 Q. Okay. So the maximum number of votes on
10 the one machine would have been 144 votes; is that
11 correct?
12 A. That sounds about right.
13 Q. Okay. Mr. Bernhard, you don't have any
14 expertise in the process of ballot design; is that
15 correct?
16 A. I have designed ballots, but I suppose I
17 don't have that expertise; that's correct.
18 Q. And you never read any literature or
19 studies regarding design -- design of ballots and
20 voter behavior?
21 A. I have, yes.
22 Q. On voter behavior specifically?
23 A. Yes.
24 Q. Okay. So in scenarios where voters face a
25 high turnout a year -- strike that.

1 I believe your testimony earlier was that there
2 was an analysis of two precincts, the Winterville precinct and what was the other precinct?
3
4 A. I don't think I said there was an analysis. I -- two precincts were pointed out to me -- well,
5
6 more than two actually. Winterville [inaudible] the numbers off. Grady High School had machine errors
7
8 that were reported. The AME Church had errors that
9 were reported.
10 Q. So, in terms of an analysis though in your
11 expert opinion, you've only looked at the Winterville precinct; is that correct?
12
13 A. In terms of numerical analysis, yes. In terms of assessing how many errors that occurred, no.
14
15 Q. But let me just make sure I'm clear on that. So I thought you testified that Professor
16
17 Stark did the analysis on Winterville.
18 MR. BROWN: Objection.
Q. So have you --
19
20 MR. BROWN: Object, Your Honor. It's unclear as to what analysis he's talking about and there's a confusion
21
22 between the forensic [inaudible] --
THE COURT: Okay. Rephrase the question.
23
24 MR. TYSON: Okay. I'll be happy to rephrase. Thank
25 you.

1 BY MR. TYSON:

2 Q. So, correct me if I'm wrong, but I believe you testified Professor Stark performed the

3 statistical analysis of the Winterville precinct; correct?

5 A. That's correct, yes.

6 Q. Did you perform a statistical analysis of any precinct?

7 A. No, sir.

8 Q. Did you conduct a forensic analysis of any DRE machine in the state of Georgia for the November 2018 election?

9 A. No, sir.

10 Q. And so sitting here today, it's correct to say that you have no idea whether any malware resides on any DRE machine in the state of Georgia; is that correct.

11 A. Yes, that's correct and I reckon that no one else does either.

12 MR. TYSON: All right. That's all I've got.

13 CROSS-EXAMINATION
OF MATTHEW BERNHARD

14 BY MR. LINDSEY:

1 Q. Winterville's located in Clarke County;
2 correct?
A. I believe so.
3
4 Q. Okay. Clarke County is not in this
lawsuit, you're aware of that, right?
5
6 MR. BROWN: I object. It calls for a legal
conclusion and it's the wrong conclusion.
7
8 MR. TYSON: Clarke County is not a named party to
9 this case.
10 THE COURT: Well, Mr. Lindsay, what he said is in the
11 lawsuit --
MR. TYSON: I apologize.
12
13 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. Clarke County is not a named party in the
14
15 lawsuit; correct?
A. I believe so, yes.
16
17 Q. Okay. The voting strips haven't been --
18 you didn't bring the voting strips with you, did you?
A. You mean the pap- -- the poll tapes?
19
20 Q. Yeah.
A. No, sir. I don't have those [inaudible].
21
22 Q. And as you previously testified, it was Mr.
Stark that did the analysis of the eight machines
23
24 [inaudible]; correct?
25 A. He did the statistical analysis.

1 [inaudible].

2 Q. Okay. Now let's talk for a minute about your -- the odds that you raised. You've never done

3

4 any kind of -- well, let me back up. You're not a political science expert; correct?

5

6 A. No, sir.
Q. Okay. So you don't know the impact that an

7

8 -- that a large influx of new voters would have in

9 terms of their voting patterns with down-ballot

10 races? You don't know anything about that?

11 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I'll object to that and here's my objection. Cross-examination needs to be based

12

13 upon a good faith belief that there's going to be some submission of evidence to support the inference or the

14

15 predicate of the question.
That's the first point. In this case we know that

16

17 the State does not have any predicate because there's no

18 person on earth who could be qualified as an expert to render that opinion.

19

20 THE COURT: You're arguing. That's an argument. I'll overrule that objection.

21

22 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question?
BY MR. TYSON:

23

24 Q. I don't remember the question. I was

25 simply just by establishing that you have no

1 knowledge as to the pattern, behavior of new voters.

2 A. That's not true. I have -- I mean I've reviewed the political science literature insofar as

3 it informs my work.

4 Q. Okay. And if I understand correctly, you

5 don't have -- or rather you haven't done any investigation as to the particularities of this race;

6 correct?

7 A. I'm familiar with the races and the

8 candidates.

9 Q. Yeah. Are you familiar, for instance, with the fact -- with how the paper ballots were

10 configured in terms of the names versus the configuration of candidates on the electronic?

11 A. I can't say that I'm familiar with every electronic configuration because there can be

12 different -- on a county-by-county basis; the same

13 with paper ballots, but I'm generally familiar, yes.

14 Q. Okay. You are aware that the configuration

15 was different from the paper ballots versus the electronic; correct?

16 A. Yes, sir.

17 Q. Okay. Have you done any kind of analysis

18 regarding voter familiarity with Ms. Amico in terms

19 of how well they knew her? In terms of -- strike

1 that.

2 Are you familiar with any kind of data regarding Ms. Amico's name identification versus other

3

4 candidates running?
A. No, sir.

5

6 Q. You hadn't -- you hadn't reviewed any kind of polling that was conducted in this race prior to

7

8 the election; correct?

9 A. Not in this particular race, no.

10 Q. You haven't considered any kind of negative

11 treatment Ms. Amico received in the press shortly before the election; correct?

12

13 A. Correct. All of these factors can impact [inaudible].

14

15 Q. Uh-huh.
A. But the fact that this under-vote is so

16

17 incredibly different from all the other races on the

18 ballot, that seems incredibly suspicious. It's way different than, you know, any other case in Georgia

19

20 or anywhere else in the nation.
So even if there are factors like likability,

21

22 like lagging the polls, like ballot design, you would not normally expect to see that level of under-voting

23

24 distributed as uniformly across the state of Georgia

25 as it is and to defer between the votes voted [ph].

1 Q. Okay. Quite frankly -- and you're not
2 familiar with how the other races went in this state either are you?
3
4 A. I would with some of them.
5 Q. Yeah. You're familiar with the fact that
6 Ms. Abrams was, for want of a better term, on the Democratic side considered a superstar?
7
8 A. Yes, sir.
9 Q. Yeah. And you're familiar with the fact
10 that John Barrow running for Secretary of State, for
11 instance, was a well-known figure in Georgia politics?
12
13 A. Yes, sir.
14 Q. And are you aware of the fact that Mr.
15 Amico was still a relative unknown?
16 A. Yes. But I want to stress that the
17 particulars of the candidate would not necessarily
18 impact the under-votes. We saw under-votes impacting both candidates in this race.
19
20 Q. Uh-huh. And you don't know -- let me ask you this. While there was under-votes, you are aware
21
22 of the fact that, you know, in terms of the overall vote count for Ms. Amico vis-à-vis other Democratic
23
24 candidates, it's not like she got the least number of
25 votes; correct?

1 A. I'm afraid I don't understand your
2 question.
3 Q. Let me ask you this. There were ten
4 Democrats on the State ballot in 2006; correct?
5 A. 2006?
6 Q. I'm sorry. Wait a minute. 2018.
7 A. Yes.
8 Q. Okay. [inaudible] And there were other
9 Democratic candidates who received significantly
10 fewer number of votes that Ms. Amico received;
11 correct?
12 A. In the State races?
13 Q. Yes.
14 A. Statewide races? [inaudible].
15 Q. Yeah. As a matter of fact, Ms. Amico was
16 somewhere in the middle of the pack in terms of the
17 voters that she received versus other Democrats
18 running; correct?
19 A. Sure.
20 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I renew my motion -- my
21 objection to his testimony regarding Winterville and ask
22 that it be stricken in as much as he was not the
23 individual who did the analysis nor has the plaintiff
24 brought forth any of this --
25 THE COURT: I think I've ruled that the fact that --

1 MR. LINDSEY: -- [inaudible] testimony.

2 THE COURT: -- he looked at the numbers is admissible and the rest of it's not.

3

4 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor. Oh, one last question.

5

6 THE COURT: I'm glad you have Mr. Olens to help you, Mr. Lindsey.

7

8 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you.

9 BY MR. LINDSEY:

10 Q. You've been asked about Georgia when it

11 comes to machines and a -- [inaudible] involving the machines. You're not aware of any actual election,

12

13 not -- not a tested election but an actual election, where an actual vote cast on a DRE machine was -- was

14

15 not counted, are you?
A. No. But again, that's because of the way

16

17 the machine built, it's impossible to tell. You

18 cannot know.
Q. Okay.

19

20 MR. LINDSEY: No further questions.
THE COURT: Ms. Burwell, do you have anything?

21

22 MS. BURWELL: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Brown?

23

24 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

25

1 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

2 OF MATTHEW BERNHARD

3

4 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Bernhard, I want to go -- I want to go
5

6 over some of your answers.
When you were speaking about the under-vote as
7

8 being suspicious, were you referring to the under-

9 vote for the Democratic candidate or were you

10 referring to the under-vote the Democratic candidate

11 and the Republican candidate?
A. I was referring to both candidates.
12

13 Q. You testified that there -- I think Mr.
Tyson asked you whether they're in the actual
14

15 election any bad code had been found or something to
that effect; do you recall that?
16

17 A. Malware specifically.

18 Q. Malware. And I believe your response was
not what the DREs, but yes in the registration
19

20 system?
A. Correct.
21

22 Q. And could you explain your answer a little
bit in greater detail?
23

24 MR. TYSON: I'll object to the question. The voter

25 registration system is not an issue as far as I know at

1 any point in this lawsuit. This is about the election and
2 the DREs; it's not the voter registration system.
MR. LINDSEY: And it -- I'd add to that, Judge.
3
4 THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
MR. BROWN: Is the vote -- let me ask one more
5
6 question if I may help.
BY MR. BROWN:
7
8 Q. Is the voter registration system also a
9 part of the Diebold DRE system generally or is it
10 something else?
11 A. Yes, sir. Diebold makes the Express Poll
units.
12
13 THE COURT: Voter registration is not involved in
this matter.
14
15 MR. BROWN: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.
BY MR. BROWN:
16
17 Q. You testified and then you were asked very
18 quickly a question. I'm not sure if you finished
your answer, but that the anomalous voting pattern
19
20 was distributed evenly throughout Georgia. What did
you -- what was the basis of that testimony?
21
22 A. I believe something like 101, 159 counties
had an under-vote rate that was abnormal, and it
23
24 didn't seem to be, you know, tied to -- are they
25 left-leaning counties or right-leaning counties or

1 anything like that. It was only tied in with the
2 equipment.
Q. And would you -- sorry, you testified
3 earlier that you have expertise in a post-election
audit; is that right?
5
6 A. That's correct. Yes.
Q. And based upon your past post-election
7 audit experience and expertise, what would you
9 recommend the State of Georgia do to audit these
10 systems?
11 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object. What Mr.
Bernhard thinks or what he should do is a policy question
12 about a legislator is not relevant or pertaining to this
proceeding.
14
15 THE COURT: I'm going to let him say that solely
because they're asking for a brand-new election with paper
16 ballots and it would go to that issue should I get that
18 far.
MR. TYSON: Thank you, Your Honor. I just want to
19 object to one point related to that.
THE COURT: Yes.
21
22 MR. TYSON: I believe that the new election paper
ballots are the constitutional claims that are gone at
23 this point.
25 THE COURT: I understand that.

1 MR. TYSON: I think.

2 THE COURT: I understand that.
MR. BROWN: That's -- that's not correct. That's not
3

4 correct. That's not correct.
THE COURT: I understand what I can and can't do;
5

6 okay?
MR. BROWN: Okay.
7

8 THE WITNESS: Yes. I do recommend that Georgia run a

9 post-election audit. Given the anomalies that we saw, you

10 would likely have to target your auditing a little bit

11 more specifically. If we had a paper ballot system, we'd
be counting more ballots than if they weren't all these
12

13 [inaudible].
BY MR. BROWN:
14

15 Q. If you were doing a forensic examination in
place of an actual recounting audit, what would be
16

17 the first thing that you would look at if you were

18 the State of Georgia?
A. I would start with the programming. You
19

20 know, starting with the most innocent explanation and
working our way through all others, so looking at the
21

22 GEMS database, making sure it was coded correctly,
that there weren't errors with what you saw in
23

24 Winterville that may be a coding error. And making

25 sure, then after that you proceed.

1 Q. You -- I believe, your testimony was that
2 the first opportunity that you had to forensically inspect a DRE machine was Monday?
3
4 THE COURT: Let's not go there. I told you not o go there. You just did it and had the question and nobody
5
6 objected; they stood up but you didn't see them.
MR. BROWN: Okay.
7
8 THE COURT: Don't go there. The ruling has been
9 made.
10 MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.
11 THE COURT: I don't care what his was. This case was set for December 5th, period.
12
13 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor, we -- this is --
THE COURT: Sir, I don't want to hear --
14
15 MR. BROWN: I'll move on.
THE COURT: -- any more about that.
16
17 MR. BROWN: All right.
18 THE COURT: I am tired about hearing about that. I have gobs and gobs of paperwork about it; I've ruled.
19
20 Let's move on.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor.
21
22 THE COURT: To what real issue is here today.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I'm moving on.
23
24 THE COURT: You always want to get the last word;
25 I've noticed that, Mr. Brown.

1 MR. BROWN: No. I -- I want to not get the last word
2 out. I want to ---
THE COURT: You want to what?
3
4 MR. BROWN: I will -- I will stop right now.
THE COURT: Okay. Anybody else?
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: You may go. Thank you, sir.
7
8 MR. BROWN: Now, Your Honor, I'm not -- it sounds
9 like I'm arguing with you and this is just simply a matter
10 of procedure. We do not have the ruling yet on --- if you
11 issued a -- I mean clearly --
THE COURT: Ruling on what?
12
13 MR. BROWN: On the motion to compel.
THE COURT: I denied the motion to compel.
14
15 MR. BROWN: Okay. We -- was that -- you don't have
that?
16
17 MR. LINDSEY: I think she said, she denied all that.
18 THE COURT: It was somewhere in that last order I put
-- was it -- if it wasn't clear to you, it should be clear
19
20 now. The motion to compel was denied.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. We -- we -- just
21
22 for the record we did not know that.
THE COURT: Okay.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the petitioners would call
25 Michael Barnes.

1 THE COURT: Okay. Someone get Michael -- he's in the
2 courtroom. What's he -- who are those people?
3 MR. BROWN: Please raise your right hand. Do you
4 promise to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?
5
6 THE WITNESS: I do.
7
8 Thereupon:
9
10 MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES
11
12 was called as a witness by the Petitioner; and,
13 having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
14
15 DIRECT EXAMINATION
OF MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES
16
17
18 BY MR. BROWN:
19 Q. Please state your full name for the record?
20 A. Michael William Barnes.
21 Q. By whom are you currently employed?
22 A. The Secretary of State's office.
23 MR. BROWN: You may sit down. Thank you.
24 BY MR. BROWN:
25 Q. And what is your position with the

1 Secretary of State?

2 A. I am the director for the Center for Election Systems.

3

4 Q. And what is the Center for Election Systems?

5

6 A. We oversee the voting for in the state of Georgia. We also deal with the database programming

7

8 for all county elections executed in the state.

9 Q. Does --- was CES formally housed at

10 Kennesaw State University, for a lack of a better

11 expression?
A. It was.

12

13 Q. Okay. And when did it move to be a part of the Secretary of State's Office?

14

15 A. It ceased operations at Kennesaw State on December 31st of 2017 and was relocated to the

16

17 Secretary of State's office on January 1st.

18 Q. And when that happened, which staff members came -- which staff members were let go and which

19

20 staff members came with them?
A. I was the only staff member that came from

21

22 Kennesaw to the Secretary of State's office.
Q. And the other people were fired or let go

23

24 or whatever?

25 A. The other people were retained by the

1 university I believe for -- through the end of
2 January 3- -- January of 2018 and then with no funding to maintain the operation of the center, the
3
4 center was closed.
Q. Did your office manage the state's election
5
6 system in the November 2018 election?
A. Our center, as I stated earlier, oversees
7
8 the voting equipment that's used in the state of
9 Georgia for federal, state and county elections. And
10 we've constructed the GEMS database for all the 159
11 counties for the November 2018 election.
Q. And you said, "You constructed it for all
12
13 of the counties;" does each county get a different GEMS database?
14
15 A. Yes.
Q. And the GEMS database is a Microsoft Access
16
17 product, is that correct?
18 A. The GEMS database is -- stands for Global Election Management Systems and a data file is
19
20 produced from that executable program.
Q. And what is the executable program called?
21
22 A. GEMS, Global Elections Management System.
Q. Okay. And does the GEMS database contain
23
24 programming for the DRE machine memory cards?
25 A. The GEMS database is where -- from the

1 memory cards that are used to power the DRE units.
2 They're used for advance voting and in-person Election Day use. The memory cards are created from
3
4 GEMS database.
Q. And could you just describe to the court
5
6 sort of physically the chain of custody of the -- of the programming and I'm -- the purpose for this is to
7
8 distinguish between what the secretary does and what
9 the county does having to do with the county's
10 office? You said, that "the Secretary of State's
11 office is responsible for creating," I think you said, "the GEMS database for all 159 counties," is
12
13 that right?
A. That's correct.
14
15 Q. All right. So, the counties then get a GEMS database that's already done, for a lack of a
16
17 better expression?
18 A. Correct.
Q. And then what do the counties do with that
19
20 GEMS database or what is it used for?
A. Okay. Let me start first with the
21
22 Secretary of State's office; constructs the GEMS database for the need of the given election. So
23
24 what's qualified and closest and we know what races
25 will be in specific election.

1 We know the candidates. We know the precincts
2 that will be involved based upon what the county has relayed back to us as their need for a given
3
4 election. With that information collected from the county, a database is then constructed for that given
5
6 election.
Once the database has been constructed by the
7
8 Secretary of State's office, it's then reviewed at
9 the Secretary of State's office for completeness to
10 validate that all of the races for that given
11 election and that have been included in the database, not only all of the races, but also all the
12
13 candidates.
Once our review of that process has been
14
15 completed we then provide to the jurisdiction, for lack of a better word -- sample ballots that are
16
17 produced from the GEMS database along with reports
18 that are detailing what ballots' files will be related to which precincts and to what district
19
20 combinational values those ballots are related to within those given precincts.
21
22 And that report packet is forwarded to each and every individual county with copies of their sample
23
24 ballots for them to review for their completeness,
25 for correctness. Once we then receive signoff from

1 the county and not until you receive signoff from the
2 county -- but once the signoff is received from the county, then the database is saved to a CD, burned to
3
4 a CD and that CD encrypted, and then that CD is forwarded to the local jurisdiction for them to then
5
6 take that CD, contact our office via phone, provide a verification ID and return the CD back to our office,
7
8 so that we know that they have the CD that we've
9 provided.
10 And then once we've validated, we're talking to
11 who we're supposed to be talking to at the county, we then give them the passcode to be able to then
12
13 decrypt the CD to access the data file that's saved to the CD; and then that file is loaded to the
14
15 county's local GEMS computer where they then -- the county -- inspects the file to validate that what has
16
17 then been provided to them is what they signed off
18 on.
Once it is loaded to their system then the
19
20 county begins configuring the database for that election. And what I mean by that is, is putting
21
22 information into the database that says we will be using x number of devices at these given locations
23
24 for advance voting, for Election Day voting, and also
25 preparing the memory cards that are used for the

1 optic scanners that scan the mailout absentee
2 ballots.
Q. And then just the -- what -- what in that
3 process then drives the actual programing of a
4 specific DRE machine, taking it from the GEMS
5 database to me voting or you voting on a machine?
A. Okay. All right. Once the county has
6 loaded the GEMS database into their GEMS computer,
7 they then tell -- instruct the database that they
8 need to correct a certain number of individual memory
9 cards.
So if they have a voting location that has the -
10 - I think they use five DRE units -- they have to put
11 that information into the GEMS database and say that
12 for location A we will be creating a five memory
13 cards. They then go through a process of
14 transferring the information within GEMS onto a
15 memory card that is read by a DRE machine.
Once that memory card is created, that memory
16 card is then taken into the DRE unit, which it will
17 married with for that given election. When that
18 process takes place there're labels on the memory
19 cards that notate what machine ID this memory card
20 is.
21 The county's also normally notate on those

1 little print-on labels the physical device and put
2 the physical device serial number to which they are placing that memory card into, so that when the
3
4 memory card is removed at a later point in time and something happens, they know what machine to give it
5
6 back to if they needed to access the machine for some reason.
7
8 The memory card is inserted, and then the
9 counties go through a logic and accuracy test where
10 they bring up -- they power on the device and they go
11 through testing it. This testing is done to validate, to calibrate the test screen to make sure
12
13 it's receptive to touch. They also set the date and time on the device to make sure it's set to the
14
15 proper date so that when tapes are printed out of the machine pre- and post-election, so that it has a
16
17 proper date stamp.
18 The date stamp doesn't affect the operation of the system, but it's helpful to have when you're
19
20 trying to make sure that things are done in the proper order. Once the memory card's loaded and they
21
22 go through their diagnostics testing, which is where they're testing the printer, they're testing
23
24 calibration or setting the calibration to make sure
25 it's proper, saving the date and time, testing the

1 printer.

2 Also testing the card reader that's used to read the voter access card that the voter will be

3 inserting. Once they complete that diagnostic testing, then they start doing -- they start

4 inputting test ballots, where they will take normally a test date that is prefilled by the jurisdiction.

5 It says we're going to cast X number of votes to

6 validate all touch positions on the DRE are

7 responsive; and also to validate that all races and

8 candidates are visible on the screen and are showing up on the all ballot as needed for the given

9 election.

10 That logic [inaudible] testing is publicized.

11 It is put into notification so that any member of the public that would wish to observe the testing and

12 come and observe the testing.

13 And it has to be included by a certain point in time so that the machines, once it clears logic and

14 active testing, they can be set for election, sealed and get ready for distribution to the polling

15 location or to the advance voting location.

16 Q. Have -- are you aware of any time when a

17 logic and accuracy test has picked up a programming

18 error?

1 A. Can you provide me -- programming errors,
2 what do you mean by programming errors?
Q. Sure. Let me give you an example. Suppose
3
4 -- well, let me back up two steps. The -- there
would be some programming to instruct the system and
5
6 then if the voter presses here the vote goes there,
are you with me?
7
8 A. Mm-hmm.
9 Q. The mapping of the votes from the screen to
10 the actual vote, are you with me?
11 A. Sure.
Q. Now, it would --- if you want, I'm not
12
13 suggesting you did this, but if you wanted to switch
that, so that pressing, you know, voting for the
14
15 Republican recorded a Democrat voter or a
Libertarian, where would that programming reside, and
16
17 could you do it?
18 A. As my knowledge based on the system, I can
speak to it as a user of the program --
19
20 Q. Sure.
A. -- of how you lay candidates out
21
22 specifically within a race. I'm not aware of a way
to organize the candidates to get one display and
23
24 then once where you touch it next to a candidate,
25 that their results show up next to another candidate

1 in another order. I'm not aware of how you would do
2 that.
3 Q. Now, there has been some testimony about
4 voting totals in a particular district where you had
5 -- it was heavily -- I'll just give you like a hypo
6 and then the purpose of this is not to or get you to
7 agree with the facts, but simply to pull out your
8 testimony on the program, but -- but just assume
9 there is a voting location in which is heavily
10 Democrat voting location and six of the machines show
11 heavily Democrat votes and the seventh machine shows
12 very heavy Republican votes, which some might lead
13 some access it didn't have, like you know, a six-pack
14 of beer on it or something that was attracting
15 everybody to win a particular vote, but that they
16 went evenly, there might be some sort of coding error
17 in which it was switching from one candidate to the
18 other now by mistake.
19 If that were a programming error and I just want
20 to know where would that be?
21 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'm going to object to
22 the questioning; assuming facts that are not in
23 evidence that the court has already stricken.
24 MR. BROWN: That's not --
25 THE COURT: Let him --

1 MR. BROWN: I'm -- I'm sorry. I'm sorry. I'm
2 sorry.
MR. LINDSEY: I believe the court has ruled to
3
4 strike that analogy that it lead to those to -- to
that allegation and for that reason, Your Honor, we
5
6 would object.
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, that also calls for Mr.
7
8 Brown speculates as far as what might have happened
9 or what did happen.
10 THE COURT: Well, it's a hypothetical and I'm
11 going to let him answer to the best of his knowledge.
MR. LINDSEY: Okay, Your Honor.
12
13 THE COURT: He's one of the experts on this
stuff. But I take it as a hypothetical.
14
15 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Do you understand all that?
16
17 THE WITNESS: I'm -- I'm trying, yes, I am. I'm
18 trying to figure out the best way to answer the
question to the best of my knowledge.
19
20 THE COURT: Okay.
THE WITNESS: When -- when the GEMS database is
21
22 built, it builds a particular race, with a particular
set of candidates, in a particular order. When it
23
24 creates a memory card for a given location and it
25 creates that image and places it to the memory card.

1 And then that memory card is loaded to a device.

2 If that -- if I create five memory cards for a given location, those five memory cards are the same

3

4 content in structure and layout and in operation; they don't know what machine their going to be placed

5

6 in. The memory card just contains data. The memory card is read by the machine; the machine then shows

7

8 then that.

9 Q. And so, have you ever seen it in doing the

10 logic and accuracy test? Has that ever yielded, you

11 know, if it's not logical; it's not accurate and we need to go back and look at the coding to see why

12

13 that happened?
A. I have never seen in any of the testing

14

15 that we have -- that I've been involved with since 2001, with using this equipment where something that

16

17 was placed in during the testing environment did not

18 feedback the expected result that was in the testing.
Also, when doing various tests within elections

19

20 mode -- my work within -- at Kennesaw State and also with the Secretary of State's office -- not just

21

22 testing in election -- pre-election test mode, which is where an LMA testing is done -- but also testing

23

24 in election mode which is when election -- the mode

25 where elections are executed, I have never

1 encountered a situation where I put in one particular
2 vote and this way and it came out differently in the report.
3
4 Q. Is it -- in one of the responses is collecting and reviewing complaints that you've
5
6 received from voters about problems with elections?
A. We -- if we do receive a complaint -- and
7
8 the majority of the complaints come into the
9 elections division, that arm of the office. Our main
10 collection point are election officials themselves.
11 And if we get a notification from a county election official that, you know, we encountered this
12
13 instance when doing our testing, then that would be something that we would go and look into, find out if
14
15 there was something out of order.
Q. Now, you've received complaints about the -
16
17 - the operations of the machines in the November
18 election, right?
A. In November -- I mean, it was a general
19
20 election where there were a few million people voting, so we did get complaints about a touch screen
21
22 not powering on. We got complaints about a voter access card being rejected prematurely; about a
23
24 touchscreen apparently not being calibrated properly.
25 Things that we hear about with every election and

1 we've heard every election since 2002.

2 Q. How -- the -- did the complainant know that the screen was miscalibrated?

3

4 A. How do I know that they know --
Q. No. How did --

5

6 A. How did they know it was miscalibrated?
Q. Right?

7

8 A. I can't speak for how they knew. It's just

9 that they said that, you know, they encountered a

10 calibration issue.

11 Q. So, what the original touchscreen was different then the summary screen or something like

12

13 that?
A. Or they, you know, felt like they were

14

15 touching one area, but another area it was -- was appearing.

16

17 Q. Okay. What other complaints -- you've

18 mentioned a number, but what other complaints did you receive in 2018?

19

20 A. Any of the complaints that I've already outlined are the general complaints that I've heard.

21

22 And I did not personally take a lot of complaints on Election Day. I think I wrote down in my notes maybe

23

24 five or six complaints on Election Day.

25 Q. Okay. And then what was the office that

1 you said takes the ones from voters themselves, not
2 from officials?
A. That's the election division.
3
4 Q. Okay.
A. That's a different section, Secretary of
5
6 State's office.
Q. And -- and those -- okay. Let me take you
7
8 back to the weekend before the November election; are
9 you familiar with the alleged hacking of the voter
10 registration database on that weekend?
11 A. [inaudible] --
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I would object on this. This
12
13 does not -- in any issue of the voter registration
database in this case; lieutenant governor's race. This
14
15 is not about the DREs. I don't think it's relevant to
anything in this court.
16
17 MR. LINDSEY: Same objection, Your Honor. We're here
18 to deal with one race and one election.
THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
19
20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, this is hacking into the
election system that the Secretary of State --
21
22 THE COURT: I'm not going to let you go into that
unless you can show hacking into the -- into the actual
23
24 voting system, not the voter registration system.
25 MR. BROWN: Let me ask a ---

1 BY MR. BROWN:

2 Q. Could -- could -- could hacking into the registration --- the hacking into the registration

3 system could affect the number of people who are allowed to vote for a particular election; correct?

4 MR. TYSON: Your Honor.

5 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, the same objection as before. That's not the issue here today. The issue here today that you've raised to overturn this election is that there was some type of -- of breakdown in the election system; not that there - a certain voter was not allowed to vote, which we would also deny, but that's a different issue. That's the issue here, so we object to the relevance.

6 THE COURT: Sustained.

7 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.

8 BY MR. BROWN:

9 Q. All right. Let me ask you this; are you aware of any investigation that the Secretary of State did into hacking relating to the 2018 election?

10 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, he's being vague.

11 THE COURT: That's the same question.

12 MR. LINDSEY: It's just leading.

13 THE COURT: Artfully. Phrased differently.

14 MR. TYSON: I disagree that it was artful, and I

1 disagree it was different; it's just simply broader.

2 MR. BROWN: I'm asking if there was any.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'm asking --
3

4 THE COURT: If it goes to the election system itself,
not to the voter registration, the actual -- actual -- was
5

6 there any hacking in the actual voting system?
THE WITNESS: [inaudible].
7

8 BY MR. BROWN:

9 Q. And you did not investigate any hacking

10 into the election system as distinguished from the

11 registration system; correct?
A. Correct.
12

13 Q. And the Secretary of State's -- okay --
you've testified about it before I know there's been
14

15 a lot of testimony about the exposure of the system
at Kennesaw State in 2016 and 2017; are you familiar
16

17 generally with that issue?

18 A. I am.
Q. What has -- has the state undertaken a
19

20 forensic examination of the components of the
election's system to determine whether or not it was
21

22 infected with any malware because of that it's the --
-
23

24 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, once again, we're talking

25 about two entirely different systems; and unless he's

1 dealing with specifically the voter system we're going to
2 object to relevancy.
MR. TYSON: We would object to the lack of foundation
3
4 as far as the 2016 incident that affected any sort of like
databases. This is two years ago. There's no explanation
5
6 as to how --
THE COURT: I sustain it as to whether there's been
7
8 something because of something that happened that isn't in
9 front of me. I mean, you know, did they investigate
10 routinely for malware? I mean, that's one thing. But --
11 not going back and try to put something else on the record
that's not before me.
12
13 MR. BROWN: Well, I'll get it before you, Your Honor.
BY MR. BROWN:
14
15 Q. Now, Mr. Barnes, the -- what was exposed to
the public internet in 2016 and 2017?
16
17 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, we going to renew the
18 objection again.
THE COURT: Sustained.
19
20 MR. BROWN: Okay.
BY MR. BROWN:
21
22 Q. Mr. Barnes, what forensic review has your
office done with respect to the DRE machine voting
23
24 systems that were used in the 2018 election?
25 A. The Secretary of State's office in 2017

1 undertook a recertification of the voting system and
2 examined the equipment in three separate counties;
Muskogee County, Richmond County and Bibb County, to
3
4 examine and verify the voting equipment was working
as had been previously certified. And the
5
6 examination found that the equipment was working in
the same fashion and the same way as it had done
7
8 through previous certification tests.
9 Q. I had asked you if you -- if the Secretary
10 of State had done a forensic analysis and I guess the
11 answer is no, but they have done -- they have
recertified it, is that fair to say?
12
13 A. That's fair to say.
Q. Okay. Has the Secretary of State
14
15 undertaken an investigation into possible causes of
the voting pattern that was seen on the May 2018
16
17 election?
18 A. Undertaken an investigation, like, opened
an investigation to present to the state election
19
20 board?
Q. I -- I didn't mean to -- to wrap too much
21
22 into the word investigation, what I mean is if have
they looked into it to see what happened?
23
24 A. With every election with the Secretary of
25 State's office and elections division always look at

1 their election to see is there anything that we can
2 learn from this election.
And every election there's always an opportunity
3
4 to learn more about doing the next election even
better. So to the circumstance, has the Secretary of
5
6 State's office looked at the elections to, you know,
garner what can we do better in the future?
7
8 Absolutely.
9 Q. Has the Secretary of State considered that
10 whether there was a system error that caused the
11 voting pattern that was actually experienced in the
2018 race?
12
13 A. To my knowledge, no.
Q. Has that issues been raised just in casual
14
15 discussion in -- in your office?
MR. TYSON: I'll object to that. That requires
16
17 hearsay. What other people have said to Mr. Barnes in his
18 office is not at issue, Your Honor.
MR. BROWN: I'll -- I'll rephrase it.
19
20 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Have -- have -- have you discussed with
21
22 your colleagues' potential causes of the -- of the
voting pattern that was going on 2018?
23
24 A. Well, being hypothetical of what, you know,
25 what may cause people to act the way they do in

1 certain situations?

2 Q. No. This is -- this is -- I'm not -- back up a little bit. I'm not -- this is a factual

3

4 question.
THE COURT: Well, it's kind of very, very broad and

5

6 he's interpreting it one way and you're meaning it some other way.

7

8 MR. BROWN: Right. Have -- let me ask it in several

9 different ways, okay.

10 BY MR. BROWN:

11 Q. Has the Secretary of State undertaken an investigation to determine whether system defects

12

13 caused the voting pattern in the 2018 race?
A. No, sir.

14

15 Q. Has the Secretary of State done any forensic examination of any of the components of the

16

17 system to determine whether or not they're were

18 infected with any malware or misprogramming that might have affected the vote, period?

19

20 A. The Secretary of State's office received a letter from one of the lieutenant governor candidates

21

22 post-election stating one of -- stating a concern and listed some counties in that letter. Upon receiving

23

24 that letter, Secretary of State's office went to one

25 of the counties in question and did look at the

1 uploaded records into the GEMS computer.

2 They also extracted information from individual machines that wer used on Election Day to validate

3

4 that the records contained on the machine matched what has been uploaded previously into the GEMS

5

6 computer. Those valid images were produced and examined and found that everything was balancing and

7

8 showing as what was reported initially by the county

9 on Election Night.

10 Q. So that if the vote was wrong at the

11 precinct level, it was wrong exactly the same way at the Secretary of State's office, is that what I'm

12

13 hearing?
MR. TYSON: I'll object. I think that assumes facts

14

15 that aren't in evidence. I don't understand what the question is on that.

16

17 MR. BROWN: I think he can answer it. I think he

18 knows where I'm going.
THE COURT: No. I sustain the objection. You've got

19

20 to rephrase it. You keep assuming a bunch of things. That's just --

21

22 MR. BROWN: Okay. [inaudible]
BY MR. BROWN:

23

24 Q. So the analysis showed that the vote total

25 in the precinct matched the vote total at the

1 Secretary of State's office; correct?

2 A. The analysis showed that the vote total calculated by the individual devices examined and the

3

4 vote total tabulated by the separate GEMS computer using the data extracted directly from the machine

5

6 and compared against the data uploaded from the memory card used on Election Day was identically the

7

8 same, in that the vote totals calculated being

9 exactly the same in all instances examined.

10 Q. Okay. If the machine itself had

11 incorrectly recorded the vote, your testing would not have detected that; correct?

12

13 A. The testing that was done was to validate that -- what the machine had produced, the machine

14

15 continued to produce and show that result [inaudible].

16

17 Q. Okay. Let me ask it to you again because

18 you didn't answer the question. I'm going to get there, okay. If the machine did not correctly record

19

20 the vote, you would not have detected then in your review; correct?

21

22 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'm going to object to that. I guess assuming things are in evidence here as far was

23

24 what's happening in the sequence of the technology. I

25 don't -- I don't see how this --

1 THE COURT: I understand what you're saying.

2 MR. TYSON: Okay.
BY MR. BROWN:
3

4 Q. Go ahead and answer.
A. What our examination did is show that the
5

6 machine collected a result and reported what it
collected. All of my testing has always shown in
7

8 pre-election, in the testing, that anything that goes

9 in is what the machine shows coming out. And we were

10 seeing the back end of what the machine was pushing

11 outward and it was matching up on what was reported
on Election Night, what was printed on Election Night
12

13 from the memory card, extracted from the device. It
was matching up with what was calculated by the GEMS
14

15 computer upon receipt of that memory card and also it
was matching of what was on the record contained by
16

17 the devices, so.

18 Q. But none of that -- again, none of that
could tell you if the machine itself is recording the
19

20 vote, right?
A. All I can speak to is what the machine has
21

22 in memory, what it reported, and what it's saying is
on record.
23

24 Q. And you're familiar with the expression

25 garbage in, garbage out?

1 A. I've heard it before, yes, sir.

2 Q. Okay. And in response to Candidate Amico's letter, did the Secretary of State conduct any

3

4 machine-level investigation with any of the memory chips or anything like to determine if there were any

5

6 flaws there?
A. We inspected two machines on site in Ben

7

8 Hill County. We recovered from the machine's

9 internal memory the election result file that was

10 stored on the machine. We then -- once that image

11 file was restored, we then looked at the ballot images that were collected in that file through the

12

13 DRE.
So we looked at the physical DRE display of

14

15 those collected ballots and that requires us to touch the screen to maneuver through and we did not

16

17 encounter any issues when touching the screen that

18 lead us to believe that the screen was not responsive to proper touch or use. And we then from those

19

20 devices printed out new totals from that device and those totals match all previous introduced totals.

21

22 Q. You say you looked at the election reports [inaudible]?

23

24 A. The election result data. The file --

25 result file.

1 THE COURT: I have no idea what that is.

2 MR. BROWN: It sounds like a machine.
THE COURT: Huh?
3

4 MR. BROWN: Printing machine.
THE COURT: The printing machine.
5

6 MR. BROWN: [inaudible]
THE COURT: What printing machine?
7

8 MR. BROWN: It's very fast.

9 THE COURT: I was going to say it was on the roof but
10 we're on the second floor and there's seven floors on this
11 building. It's information for the presiding judge who's
not in here. Yeah. It's information for the presiding
12

13 judge that's not in here, so. There's some -- they move
us all around all the time. So I'll put that back there.
14

15 There's Judge [inaudible]. Go ahead.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
16

17 BY MR. BROWN:

18 Q. Is the election -- well, what was the name
of that file again?
19

20 A. The ballot results on.
Q. The ballot results on. Okay. And so the
21

22 Secretary of State didn't look at other parts of the
internal memory, did it?
23

24 A. No.

25 Q. Okay. So it didn't look at the boot

1 loader?

2 A. No.
Q. It didn't look at the operating system?
3

4 A. When the machine powered on we did a review
of what operating system was displayed and it was the
5

6 standard certified operating system that was found on
those devices; as well as the install [inaudible] for
7

8 servers, that certified for use in the State of

9 Georgia.

10 Q. And the Secretary of State didn't give you

11 any actual sort of code-level review to look for
malware in the data?
12

13 A. Correct.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I caution that I'm entering
14

15 in an area that you've already ruled upon, but I want to
make a clarification.
16

17 The suggestion was made by the defendants in your

18 objection [inaudible] our case, it's not raised, issues
involving the registration --
19

20 THE COURT: Just ask the questions, please. Just ask
the questions. Don't ask me to prove judgment. Let's
21

22 just -- for the question, ask it, and we'll go from there.
MR. BROWN: I want to be careful --
23

24 THE COURT: If they don't like, they'll jump, and

25 we'll do it then.

1 MR. BROWN: I just want to be careful [inaudible]
2 respect to --
THE COURT: [inaudible]
3
4 MR. BROWN: Okay.
BY MR. BROWN:
5
6 Q. Now the election's registration system has been plagued with difficulties over the last --
7
8 THE COURT: I have ruled on that. Don't go there.
9 MR. BROWN: Okay. The reason I'm asking --
10 THE COURT: And like I said, they jumped up. Okay.
11 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would just point out just for the record is that is our allegation paragraph
12
13 [inaudible].
THE COURT: I ruled on it. Quit pushing me all the
14
15 time.
BY MR. BROWN:
16
17 Q. Let me direct your attention to the ballot
18 design. Now what kind of role does your office have in ballot design for the electronic system?
19
20 A. When using GEMS, we first lay out the ballots so that -- structurally is what we focus on
21
22 first, make sure we have the right local districts in play, have the right precincts in play, and have the
23
24 right split precincts in play when a precinct is
25 divided.

1 Q. Okay.

2 A. And then have the right races and have the right candidates and have the right polling

3

4 locations. GEMS then takes all of that relationship information and produces a ballot style. It is a

5

6 layout of individual races. It does it in two formats.

7

8 It lays out a ballot style for optical scan

9 purposes that we set the number of columns in the

10 ballot format for the general election in 2013. The

11 predominant format was three columns on the front side of the ballot and three columns on the back side

12

13 of the ballot. Some locations had to go to a four column in the front and four column in the back

14

15 because of the sheer number of races that were included on the ballot.

16

17 That's the configuration that we set up for the

18 printer op scan ballot. For the configuration of the touch screen we set up a two-column ballot and that

19

20 has been the format that we've had in place since before 2010. When we initially started using the

21

22 DREs in 2002, it was three-column ballot on the DRE. It's now currently transitioned to a two-column

23

24 ballot around 2008 or 2002. The decision was made at

25 that time that the State wanted to give more space on

1 the ballot for each individual race that was seen on
2 the DRE. So we set up a configuration from building the data set that we're going to have a two-column
3
4 ballot displayed on the DRE.
Q. Okay. Now the -- in terms of this
5
6 accessibility or fairness, are there some guidelines that you use for designing a ballot?
7
8 A. There are rules in the -- State Election
9 Board rules for displaying of candidate name, the
10 font size that you use, whether you do all caps. The
11 position of candidates is set by statute in general elections whether the Republican candidate or the
12
13 Democratic candidate is listed first or second. The order of races is set again by statute of what race
14
15 comes first in the ballot, what race is second, third, and so forth and so on.
16
17 Q. Are there rules or regulations governing
18 whether a ballot can go from one -- if a race can be on two pages? In other words, the first candidate on
19
20 one page and then the second candidate on the other page.
21
22 A. When we are building the database, I'm not aware there are rules that says it can't be that way.
23
24 But when we are building the database we -- one of
25 the things that we look at once we have built that

1 structure, we look at on a DRE to see if any of these
2 circumstances may be arising. Are the number of candidates so large in a given race that we have to
3
4 change the -- what we call the scaling of the image that is shown on the screen?
5
6 We did encounter this in 2016 with the presidential preference primary on the Republican
7
8 side. When we first built the database there -- when
9 we first built the first arrangement of the database
10 for proof of purpose it had 16 candidates. The
11 scaling size that we used was actually pushing candidates to a second screen. We adjusted the
12
13 scaling -- reduced the scaling so that all of the candidates showed up on one screen all together under
14
15 the same header so that we would produce any voter confusion.
16
17 Q. Now in this election, 2018, did you design
18 any ballots that had the lieutenant governor's race split between one screen and the next?
19
20 A. To my knowledge the -- every voter in the state of Georgia on Election Day when they were using
21
22 a DRE unit and it is the normal display, the standard display, it had two races on the first frame. It had
23
24 -- on the left-hand side it had the governor's race
25 and all the candidates, and on the right-hand side

1 it had the lieutenant governor's race and all
2 candidates. And those were the only two races on the screen.
3
4 Q. And if some other configuration appeared it would have been the result of a machine mistake or
5
6 error; correct?
A. If a voter had put it into magnifying mode,
7
8 which the voter has the ability to do so, they would
9 have only been showing one race on the first screen,
10 and that would have been the governor, and then the
11 second race would have been the lieutenant governor on the second screen.
12
13 Q. By that orientation, where would the splitting of the lieutenant governor race that you're
14
15 aware of [inaudible].
A. That I am aware of.
16
17 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, if I could take a minute
18 break --
THE COURT: Sure.
19
20 MR. BROWN: -- [inaudible]
THE COURT: Why don't we take a few minutes then.
21
22 I'll be back in about ten minutes. Okay.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
23
24 MR. TYSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
25 [Off the record at 3:30 p.m., and back on the record

1 at 3:37 p.m.]

2

CONTINUATION DIRECT EXAMINATION

3

4 OF MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES

5

6 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. I'm going to hand you what's been marked as

7

8 Plaintiff's 8 and [inaudible]

9 THE COURT: Exhibit 8? Okay.

10 Q. Let me direct you to the last page of

11 Exhibit 8. Is the first email on the last page of
Exhibit 8 an email from a Steven [phonetic] Clay to

12

13 you and others?
MR. TYSON: I object on this. This is related to the

14

15 [inaudible] state issue in 2016 it looks like in these
emails, so.

16

17 THE COURT: Okay. You're going to identify what 8 is

18 --
MR. BROWN: All right.

19

20 THE COURT: I know you're trying to identify the last
page, but what's the dates on it?

21

22 MR. BROWN: I can do all of [inaudible].
BY MR. BROWN:

23

24 Q. Mr. Barnes, does Exhibit 8 appear to be

25 true and correct [inaudible] emails between various people

1 at your office [inaudible]?

2 A. It appears to be email communications from the Center for Election Systems at Kennesaw State in

3

4 2017.
MR. BROWN: Move to admit, Your Honor.

5

6 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, this appears to be once again related to the incident at Kennesaw State --

7

8 THE COURT: In '17? In '17?

9 MR. BROWN: In '17 is related to the Kennesaw State

10 matter that does [inaudible].

11 THE COURT: You're going to have to [inaudible]. Based on what you just said does not [inaudible] for me to

12

13 admit. We're talking about 2018, November race, that's all we're talking about.

14

15 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, we have alleged and proven that [inaudible] in 2017 could still impact the system --

16

17 THE COURT: No, you haven't proven that.

18 MR. BROWN: Well, I haven't [inaudible]
THE COURT: [inaudible] You haven't done that. I'll

19

20 let it in; okay? I'm going to let it in, because you misstate so many things, Mr. Brown. You really do. And

21

22 if it's -- [inaudible]. I'll shut up and let you run the show.

23

24 MR. BROWN: No, ma'am, the reason why I said that is

25 the record was --

1 THE COURT: Sir, you have not proved it. I am the
2 one that determines what's proved. Whether you will, I don't know, let's find out.
3
4 MR. BROWN: I understand. Let me back up a little bit and I understand --
5
6 THE COURT: Don't argue with me all the time. Just ask the gentleman questions, please, sir.
7
8 MR. BROWN: Okay. [inaudible] I move to admit this
9 Exhibit --
10 THE COURT: I said I'll admit it. How many more
11 times do you want me to say that?
MR. BROWN: Turn to page 8.
12
13 THE COURT: Can I get a copy of it now, please? [inaudible] discretion.
14
15 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Barnes, what is the compromise that is
16
17 referred to on page 8 of Exhibit 8? And it's in the
18 email from Mr. Gay [ph] to Michael Barnes [inaudible].
19
20 A. I believe this is referring to the web server that was attacked at the Center for Election
21
22 Systems.
Q. And the web server housed what programs or
23
24 information?
25 A. It didn't house any programs. It was a

1 distribution server that counties would obtain, like,
2 ballot proofs, data files that power their Express
Poll devices; data that the Center for Election
3
4 Systems constructed at Kennesaw State while it was
under contract with the Secretary of State's office
5
6 and supporting counties in state and federal
elections.
7
8 Q. And how was the -- that site compromised?
9 A. It was a web server and somebody
10 infiltrated the portions of the web server files that
11 were behind password protection.
Q. Let me direct your attention to the next
12
13 page [inaudible] and this may not be in [inaudible]
sequence so I'm going to assume that they are
14
15 [inaudible]. On page 7 in Exhibit 8, do you recall
receiving this email? Or recall being cc'd on this
16
17 email?
18 A. [inaudible]
Q. Did you have an understanding when you
19
20 received this what the author meant, or what it meant
to you, that obviously this is kind of untenable in
21
22 the current atmosphere?
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, while you have
23
24 admitted this document --
25 THE COURT: [inaudible] I've just got to see it. The

1 first page refers to [inaudible] investigation in regard
2 to voter registration records.
MR. LINDSEY: Yes, Your Honor.
3
4 THE COURT: I've already ruled that out repeatedly; ruled that out.
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible] with whether or not the system that --
7
8 THE COURT: And these are not in any kind of order.
9 MR. LINDSEY: -- whether or not the system has --
10 whether or not this has anything to do with the system
11 that collects voters' actual votes in 2018. What about the system [inaudible] --
12
13 MR. BROWN: [inaudible]
MR. LINDSEY: Well, let me back up. I'm here to
14
15 state my [inaudible]. My objection, Your Honor, this dealt with an entirely different system. You've already
16
17 ruled it dealt with an entirely different system and we
18 would object --
THE COURT: I think the question was, there were
19
20 errors then, have they been corrected? That's the only question on the floor.
21
22 MR. LINDSEY: My --
THE COURT: [inaudible] isn't relevant.
23
24 MR. LINDSEY: Yeah, my objection is that this dealt
25 with a situation you've already ruled on, which was

1 [inaudible]

2 THE COURT: I can see we might want to [inaudible].
MR. LINDSEY: Is there a -- I mean, if we could
3

4 stipulate that whatever [inaudible] have not been fixed,
maybe that will speed things up. [inaudible] stipulate
5

6 that it's relevant because it's not [inaudible] with the
system at issue here regarding the 2018 election.
7

8 MR. BROWN: I would love to put Mr. Lindsey on the

9 witness stand for that but --

10 THE COURT: Why do you talk over the top of

11 everybody? Now you're talking over the top of Mr.
Lindsey.
12

13 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'm simply just restating
the fact that you've already previously ruled that the
14

15 issue at Kennesaw State had nothing to do with the
election in 2018 because it dealt with [inaudible]
16

17 THE COURT: I sustain your objection, Mr. Lindsey.

18 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
BY MR. BROWN:
19

20 Q. When did the Secretary of State change the
system after Kennesaw State?
21

22 A. The -- when operations transitioned from
Kennesaw State to the Secretary of State's office --
23

24 the transition began before the physical transition.

25 The Secretary of State began constructing their

1 internal --

2 Q. I apologize for interrupting you, but I'm asking you a date question.

3

4 A. January 1, 2018.
Q. [inaudible] January 1, 2018. And what did

5

6 they change?
A. The entire air gap private network that's

7

8 used to -- that houses the election programming

9 software, GEMS, at the state level was transitioned

10 to a new air gap system maintained by the Secretary

11 of State Information Technology office and became operational when the Center for Election Systems took

12

13 up residency within the Secretary of State's office. Prior to the use of that system, that system was put

14

15 through an analysis by [inaudible], which the voting system testing lab out of Huntsville, Alabama to

16

17 validate that the installation of GEMS owned that

18 system matches the installation that [inaudible] had previously inspected.

19

20 Q. Okay. So is it your testimony that the -- that everything was changed over on January 1, 2018?

21

22 A. That the system that's used to generate the GEMS databases that are designed -- that are

23

24 developed and issued to counties to execute their

25 elections, the system that those -- that work was

1 done on was a brand new system as of January 1, 2018.

2 Q. Okay. A brand new what? A brand new hardware, brand new programming?

3

4 A. Brand new hardware.
Q. Okay. What about --

5

6 A. We were still using the certified copy of GEMS that we had been using in the state of Georgia

7

8 since 2000 -- late 2011.

9 Q. Okay. So it was the same GEMS system?

10 A. We have been using the same GEMS program

11 throughout the state of Georgia uniformly since late 2011.

12

13 Q. And that same program that has continued in use was on -- was it on the web server --

14

15 A. No, sir.
Q. Okay. Was it put on a web server?

16

17 A. No, sir.

18 Q. Okay. So did anything on the web server get put on the same place as the GEMS database?

19

20 A. No, sir.
Q. Okay. The -- if the GEMS -- well, so you

21

22 said it's -- Mr. Lindsey said everything was changed, it's a different system, the GEMS database is the

23

24 same; correct?

25 A. We have been using the same GEMS program in

1 Georgia since late 2011.

2 Q. Thank you. Sorry to interrupt [inaudible].
Let me direct your attention to page 4 on Exhibit 8.
3

4 THE COURT: These were written before he said
everything was changed. So let's not go into --
5

6 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, he said the GEMS database
was not a change.
7

8 THE COURT: I understand.

9 MR. BROWN: [inaudible] Let me get right to the point

10 [inaudible] overtake I think an objection.

11 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Barnes, did any of the 45-plus critical
12

13 vulnerabilities -- 40-plus critical vulnerabilities
relate in any way to any -- to the GEMS database?
14

15 A. No, sir.
Q. Did the critical vulnerabilities relate to
16

17 -- into the DREs or in the way the DREs were current?

18 A. No, sir.
Q. What did they relate to?
19

20 A. They're related to a web server that was
distributing the data to counties -- counties for
21

22 elections. It was the portal that the Center for
Elections use as their outside communication tool,
23

24 their website.

25 Elections.Kennesaw.edu was housed on that

1 server. Counties have a sign-in to that location to
2 obtain some information like ballot proofs for review
purposes, where they could portal in and access that
3
4 information.
Q. Mr. Barnes, I want to go back to your
5
6 testimony about the ballot design; do you recall
that? And I want to clarify first, you were
7
8 describing the scalability of the ballot design,
9 different challenges. There's a lot of candidates,
10 like, in the presidential preference primary for the
11 Republicans in 2016. Does the county have an
opportunity to participate in ballot designs?
12
13 A. The county has an opportunity to review the
data set at any given time during the review process.
14
15 Most counties take the reports that we generate and
provide to them that are sample ballots in the
16
17 optical scan format, because that's the easiest thing
18 to produce, the PDF format, and provide the counties
for a layout -- of validating that the right races
19
20 are in the right place on the ballot.
But some counties choose, Gwinnett County being
21
22 one of them in November of 2018, to obtain a copy of
the GEMS database while it is in production. For
23
24 them then to then take that database, install it onto
25 a DRE so they can see what it's going to look like on

1 the DRE themselves. And if they see anything that
2 they would like adjusted, then they notify our office of what needs to be adjusted and that adjustment is
3
4 made.
Q. [inaudible] and Gwinnett was a longer
5
6 ballot because it was in two languages; is that right? Was that one of the issues?
7
8 A. It was a more complicated ballot. It was
9 the first election that Gwinnett County was having
10 with multiple language, so they were doing a lot of
11 proofing in multiple languages to make sure the ballot was being displayed as they needed it
12
13 displayed to be in adherence with federal statute.
Q. I asked you before with respect to the work
14
15 that you -- your office had done with respect to the ballot design whether in any other various -- the
16
17 ballots had been out, the election for the lieutenant
18 governor was split between two pages and you said, no. Do you know if that's the case with respect to
19
20 what the counties ended up using [inaudible]?
A. We have the same DRE equipment at our
21
22 disposal as the counties have at their disposal. The image that we can generate on the DRE should be equal
23
24 to what a county is seeing on display when they are
25 generating. That's the best to which I can answer

1 that question.

2 Q. To the best of your knowledge.
A. To the best of my knowledge.
3

4 Q. To the best of your knowledge the ballots
displayed in the county, did -- would not have shown
5

6 on the lieutenant governor's race on two different
screens; is that right?
7

8 A. That is correct.

9 Q. That's all I have. Thanks, again.

10 THE COURT: Any questions?

11

CROSS-EXAMINATION
12

13 OF MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES

14

15 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. Mr. Barnes, let's pick up where Mr. Brown
16

17 had been talking ballot design. Let me show you what

18 would be marked as -- where is it I'm at? Duncan 2?
Duncan 2.
19

20 And I'm going to ask you if you will -- if you
could identify this and tell me what it -- what is
21

22 shows, [inaudible] design of the [inaudible] ballots
in I believe 200- -- 2018, 2014, and 2010. Does this
23

24 accurately show the ballot design of the governor and

25 lieutenant governor's races for those three election

1 cycles? [inaudible]

2 A. Yes, sir, it does.
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I would tender these into
3

4 evidence.
MR. BROWN: No objection, Your Honor.
5

6 THE COURT: Received.
MR. TYSON: [inaudible]
7

8 BY MR. LINDSEY [inaudible]:

9 Q. There is in this packet two pictures of

10 each year. Could you explain to the Court why?

11 A. Sure. The two pictures -- the picture --
there are two DRE models that are used in the state
12

13 of Georgia. There is the TSx model and there is the
R6 model.
14

15 The TSx is -- has the gray case around it. The
screen and the R6 model has the white case around the
16

17 screen. So these are images of both models

18 displaying the ballot from November of 2018.
Q. And let me go back and show to the 2010
19

20 screen and that screen -- rather, in these screens
the U.S. Senate race and the governor's race is on
21

22 the first page and the next page has the lieutenant
governor and other races; correct?
23

24 A. Correct.

25 Q. In 2014, there was once again U.S. Senate

1 race and once again the U.S. Senate is -- and the
2 governor's race is on the first page and the race for lieutenant governor and other state constitutional
3
4 offices are on the next page; correct?
A. Correct.
5
6 Q. Now, the situation is different in 2018; is it not?
7
8 A. That's correct.
9 Q. Why?
10 A. There was no United States Senate race on
11 the ballot in 2018. The first race on all ballots across the state was for governor.
12
13 Q. So as a result, so instead of being on two different screens, the governor and lieutenant
14
15 governor's race are both on the same screen.
A. That's correct.
16
17 Q. Correct? Okay. Now, you are as part of
18 your job, concerned about ballot design; correct?
A. Correct.
19
20 Q. And for instance you are knowledgeable, for instance, what happened in Broward County; correct?
21
22 A. Correct.
Q. Tell the Judge what happened in Broward
23
24 County.
25 A. In November 2018 in Broward County, they

1 had a -- I believe it's -- the United States Senate
2 race was at the bottom of the first column on the ballot.
3
4 It came below the set instructions. So at the very -- after those instructions ended, they had
5
6 enough space at the bottom of this piece of paper that they could include the United States senate race
7
8 at the bottom of that column and then the governor's
9 race was at the top of the second column on the
10 ballot. Other counties -- that was Broward County --
11 is the United States senate was at the bottom of -- underneath the instructions.
12
13 Q. So as a result in Broward County fewer people voted in the U.S. Senate race than they did in
14
15 other counties?
A. The layout in Broward County was different
16
17 than it was in other counties.
18 Q. Yeah.
A. In other counties the United States Senate
19
20 race was at the top of the second column. There was no race below the instructions on other county
21
22 ballots in Florida.
Q. And did that have an impact on voter
23
24 participation? Was that the concern that had
25 impacted the voter [inaudible]?

1 A. There was concern that because the race was
2 at the -- below the instructions that voters might not have noticed that it was below the instructions
3
4 and then may have just bypassed it and ignored it.
Q. Yeah. Now after this election, Mr. Brown
5
6 asked you, had you had any discussions about why there's a difference between the paper ballot down-
7
8 ballot voting for lieutenant governor and the
9 electronic ballot down-ballot race? Do you recall
10 him asking you that?
11 A. Yes, sir.
Q. And have you in fact had any discussion
12
13 about whether or not the ballot design may have impacted that race?
14
15 A. Post-election, you know, looking at the design of the ballot, we have always been very
16
17 pleased with the two-column ballot because it gives,
18 you know -- you know, two races very clearly separate apart but when we, you know, start thinking about the
19
20 election of what all was going on with the given election, could this ballot layout been confusing to
21
22 voters.
And there's a possibility that it could have
23
24 been confusing, especially for new voters that are
25 voting for the first time in the state of Georgia

1 that may not have had an interaction in voting in
2 Georgia or using a DRE. You know, a cursory glance of the screen like this you may feel like because the
3
4 Republican candidates are line up and the Democratic candidates are lining up that you make one selection
5
6 and you're voting for a ticket.
So that could be how a voter interprets that or
7
8 it may not be. I could just be that they see the
9 first race and they are focused on when they get to
10 the next element. Each individual voter's actions
11 that -- are their own.
Q. And in fact you are aware that program's
12
13 [inaudible]?
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, this is already leading and,
14
15 Your Honor, I would [inaudible] leading the witness.
MR. LINDSEY: That doesn't matter. It has to be
16
17 adverse. [inaudible].
18 THE COURT: [inaudible], therefore he's got him on cross.
19
20 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
21
22 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. So obviously, you know, you're aware of the
23
24 fact that the president and my president, for instance,
25 run on a ticket; correct?

1 A. Correct.

2 Q. and are you aware that, I believe, in 25 states that have lieutenant governors and governors, they

3

4 run on a ticket; are you aware of that?
A. I learned something today that -- I know

5

6 that there are some jurisdictions where they on a ticket with a number.

7

8 Q. Okay. Are you aware that, for instance, in

9 Florida they run on a ticket?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. Are you aware that in South Carolina, two of our neighboring states, they also run on a ticket?

12

13 A. Yes.
Q. So it is common, is it not, that in many

14

15 states that the governor and lieutenant governor weren't on a ticket?

16

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. Okay. Now, in addition to the general design, did you also do a analysis in terms of the

19

20 number of new voters voting in Georgia for the first time --

21

22 A. Post --
Q. -- in 2014 and 2018? Post-election.

23

24 A. Secretary of State's office did reach out

25 to their vendor of the voter registration system to

1 ask the question of how many new voters participated
2 for the -- or how many voters participated for the first time in 2018, also in 2014. In 2014, I believe
3
4 the number was --
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I object. This is hearsay.
5
6 It's [inaudible].
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, he's doing this in his
7
8 official capacity to basically analyze the number of new
9 voters.
10 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I'm not aware of any official
11 capacity except to the hearsay ruled upon.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, this is part of his
12
13 official duties is to --
THE COURT: We've talked about numbers all over the
14
15 place.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, these are not --
16
17 THE COURT: And all other kinds of ways. I'll allow
18 it.
MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
19
20 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. That in 2014, there were just over 98,000
21
22 voters in Georgia that participated for the first time in the November 2014 election, but in the 2018
23
24 election there were a number of 336,000 voters or a
25 little over that that voted for the first time on --

1 in November of 2018. And as far as your discussions,
2 was the concern about confusion by new voters coming in from other states?
3
4 A. Yes.
Q. And that's part of the concerns you had in
5
6 terms of the inadvertent layout that was created as a result of the U.S. Senate race not being on the
7
8 ballot?
9 A. Correct.
10 Q. Okay. Now Mr. Brown also asked you about
11 -- several questions about getting necessary reports from GEMS and elsewhere about verifying that the --
12
13 that the election results.
And at one point you were asked to run a report
14
15 that formed this lawsuit, a report that was given to the parties assuring every voter received lieutenant
16
17 governor on the ballot. Do you recall being asked
18 about that before?
A. Yes.
19
20 Q. Okay. You did not run a report for every county; correct?
21
22 A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. But you did run a report on the
23
24 counties that are all on -- named parties in this
25 lawsuit; correct?

1 A. That's correct.

2 Q. And that would be Fulton and Gwinnett; correct?

3

4 A. Correct.
MR. TYSON [inaudible]: [inaudible] what?

5

6 MR. LINDSEY: Fulton and Gwinnett.
Q. Let me first show you Gwinnett -- let me

7

8 show you both [inaudible]. First let me show you

9 Gwinnett and ask you to please identify Gwinnett

10 [inaudible]. If you could identify that for the

11 Court.
A. This is the base precinct with reports --

12

13 base precinct with races report for Gwinnett County and note there were 2018 election.

14

15 Q. And you -- tell the Court what that means -- what that means.

16

17 A. What this report shows is every -- a voter

18 is connected to a base precinct within a GEMS database. And what this shows is the base precinct

19

20 associated to the precinct and then what races are associated to that base precinct. So this show --

21

22 and a voter is going to be associated to the base precinct. So this is showing what races each

23

24 individual voter within a precinct would see when

25 they were given their ballot.

1 Q. Okay.

2 MR. LINDSEY: We would tender Exhibits 3 and 4. [inaudible] 3 and 4. [inaudible]

3

4 MR. BROWN: I have no objection, Your Honor.
THE COURT: They're admitted.

5

6 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. And what do these reports -- you would tell

7

8 the Court what these reports show.

9 A. It shows, again, all races for that given

10 base precinct or present all statewide races that

11 were being contested in November are shown with every base precinct listed in the report.

12

13 Q. Okay. So if I understand you correctly what you're telling me is that Mr. Duncan and Ms.

14

15 Amico appeared on every electronic ballot then in those two counties?

16

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. And did you ever receive any complaint from anywhere in the state that this race did not appear

19

20 on an electronic ballot?
A. We did not.

21

22 Q. To go back to the issue of configuration about how was the ballot that we just finished

23

24 discussing, the electronic ballot, differed from the

25 paper ballots that folks would receive when they did

1 write-in?

2 A. In relation to layout?
Q. Layout, particularly the governor and
3

4 lieutenant governor's race.
A. The optical scan ballot, again being three
5

6 or four columns in display, front and back, the
governor's race would have been the first race below
7

8 the ballot that are -- in the -- on the left -- far

9 left column and then the race right below that would

10 have been the lieutenant governor and then the race

11 below that would have been Secretary of State.
Q. So you wouldn't have had simply the
12

13 governor and lieutenant governors on the -- on only
one page?
14

15 A. That's correct.
Q. Plus you had a north-south situation as
16

17 opposed to east-west?

18 A. Correct.
Q. Now in addition to checking to make sure
19

20 that this race appeared on all the ballots, you also
were asked as part of the discovery in this case, to
21

22 prepare from GEMS a report testing to ensure that the
votes that are made on a machine are actually
23

24 calculated in the system; correct?

25 A. Correct.

1 Q. Okay. And you did this for both Fulton and
2 Gwinnett, correct --
A. Correct.
3
4 Q. -- were named parties in this case. And if
you would explain to the Court -- let me show you the
5
6 -- and ask you if this is [inaudible] would be and
then explain to the Court what actually this report
7
8 shows.
9 A. These are the active voter TS status
10 reports from the Fulton and Gwinnett county databases
11 and what these reports show are the number of memory
cards created for their voting locations and the
12
13 upload status of those memory cards.
Q. Okay. In English what do they [inaudible]?
14
15 A. It shows that the touch screen unit that
was used that the information collected by that
16
17 machine has been uploaded into GEMS [inaudible].
18 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we would tender these two
Exhibits which would be 5 and 6 [inaudible].
19
20 MR. BROWN: No objection, Your Honor.
THE COURT: So admitted..
21
22 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. And after analyzing the report once again,
23
24 what are they -- tell the Court what they showed.
25 A. They showed that there are no outstanding

1 memory cards. That all memory cards that were
2 created that would have collected that would have collected votes, have been uploaded and accounted for
3
4 by GEMS.
Q. Okay. Now you were asked by Mr. Brown also
5
6 about what you at the Secretary of State's office does beforehand to get ballots ready for voting. Do
7
8 you recall that last question?
9 A. Yes.
10 Q. Let me ask you, do you do any testing,
11 parallel testing for instance, on Election Day to make sure that the systems are operating correctly?
12
13 A. We do.
Q. Tell the Judge what you do.
14
15 A. In the days leading up to the election we will make -- Secretary of State's office will make a
16
17 request to a county in Georgia to provide to us a
18 copy of their GEMS database from their GEMS computer. The State constructs the database and sends it to the
19
20 county.
But for parallel testing we ask that whatever
21
22 the county has loaded into their system, that they make a copy of that database and send it back to the
23
24 State so that we at the Secretary of State's office
25 can then create our own memory cards from their copy

1 of their database, load into touch screen equipment
2 that we have in our possession, do a logic and accuracy test on the device to make sure it's working
3
4 as it should, and then on Election Day itself we videotape the input of a test deck into the device in
5
6 election mode.
So we will have an individual that is holding
7
8 the pre-filled ballot out and instructing the test
9 voter on what selections to make while that is all
10 being videotaped. And that's done within the voting
11 period on Election Day. And then at the end of that timeframe we'll end the election on those devices and
12
13 printout tapes from those devices, and validate the results being produced by the device match the known
14
15 results of the test deck.
Q. Okay. And you do that to make sure that if
16
17 Edward Lindsey cast a vote for Mike Boland for
18 governor, that it's properly counting?
A. Correct.
19
20 Q. And when you did that parallel testing on Election Day, did you discover any irregularities?
21
22 A. We did not.
Q. And among the races that you tested for was
23
24 the lieutenant governor's race; correct?
25 A. That's correct.

1 Q. Thank you. Now you were talking about
2 after the election Ms. Amico came and raised some concerns. And you mentioned that you went and
3
4 checked a county. What county was that, just for the record?
5
6 A. Ben Hill County.
7 Q. Ben Hill County. And you went to Ben Hill
8 because that was somebody Ms. Amico was concerned
9 about; correct?
10 A. Correct.
11 Q. Okay. And the results were the same things that were tabulated on the card were being uploaded
12
13 to the Secretary of State's office; correct?
14 A. That's correct.
15 Q. Okay. Now were you asked earlier why -- well, you were asked earlier whether the Secretary of
16
17 State had done any testing or discovered there was
18 any kind of malware on the system; correct?
19 A. Correct.
20 Q. Been asked that. Given what you do on the parallel testing, the outcome, did you believe there
21
22 was any reason to do any forensic testing for malware?
23
24 A. Based on what we have encountered, no, sir.
25 Q. Okay. Now you -- there have been several

1 questions raised about hacking, this, that, and the
2 other; could you explain -- from my understanding,
and I'm not a math major and a physics major, I'm a
3
4 history major with a specialty in Florentine
brimstone, so --
5
6 THE COURT: That's really helpful
Q. -- so I'm going to talk really slowly to
7
8 make this explanation here. Could you please explain
9 the difference between an open system that would be
10 on the web page, and a closed system?
11 A. A closed system has no external connection
port is that it is a device directly connected to
12
13 another device and there is not a line from that pair
going outward placing so that someone can portal
14
15 inward into that device. They can't access an IP
address. It's closed off.
16
17 The only way to interact with the system is
18 through direct interaction with the system. An open
network is akin to the internet. When you have
19
20 computers and multiple locations communicating to one
another through a public means.
21
22 Q. So someone [inaudible] Putin in Russia
could not use a computer there utilizing the internet
23
24 to be able to get into your system and hack it;
25 correct?

1 A. Correct.

2 Q. Okay. Were there any other anomalies in
this election, particularly regarding the lieutenant
3

4 governor's race, in regards to write-in votes?
A. When looking at the certified returns for
5

6 various counties in the Metro area, we saw that there
were -- for the statewide races that the lieutenant
7

8 governor's office seemed to have the highest number

9 of write-in votes collected.

10 Q. Okay. Now you didn't check every county,

11 did you?
A. No, sir.
12

13 Q. All right. You checked, I believe, three
counties; Fulton, Gwinnett, and DeKalb; correct?
14

15 A. Correct.
Q. Let me show you Fulton [inaudible] and let
16

17 me ask you to explain what these three documents --

18 certified documents I might add, show.
A. These appear to be the certified returns
19

20 from Dekalb County, Gwinnett County, and Fulton
County, and they are -- they contain the election
21

22 summary reports for those counties.
And the election summary report shows each
23

24 individual race that was present in the database for

25 that given county. It shows the total number of

1 precincts involved in that election shows the number
2 of precincts reporting by race. It shows the number of times that particular race was seen by the
3
4 tabulating system.
It shows the total number of votes that were
5
6 counted for that race and it shows the number of votes collected for each individual candidate listed
7
8 in that race, including write-ins.
9 Q. All right. Write-ins, if I understand
10 correctly, they are write-ins in which someone goes
11 to the trouble to get their vote tabulated and there are write-ins for Mickey Mouse, that sort of thing.
12
13 A. That's correct. There are certified write-in candidates and then there are candidates that are
14
15 not certified write-ins.
Q. And that includes those who are not
16
17 certified write-in candidates; correct?
18 A. This includes all write-ins collected by the system.
19
20 Q. When you reviewed that, what did you discover for these three counties, for instance,
21
22 using [inaudible] because they were named parties in this case? What did you discover about the number of
23
24 write-ins in the lieutenant governor's race versus
25 other races that were statewide?

1 A. That the highest number of write-ins cast
2 for statewide office was in the lieutenant governor's office.
3
4 Q. Okay.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we would tender Exhibits --
5
6 [inaudible] 7, 8, and 9.
THE COURT: Mr. Brown.
7
8 MR. BROWN: You're tendering those?
9 MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible] I'm tendering --
10 THE COURT: He tendered his exhibits.
11 MR. LINDSEY: I'm tendering the exhibits.
MR. BROWN: [inaudible]
12
13 THE COURT: Okay. No objection. That's [inaudible].
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I just have one last
14
15 [inaudible] and I will release him, I guess, [inaudible]. Your Honor, I believe the parties have stipulated to the
16
17 admissibility of Secretary of State certified statewide
18 races.
I just want to let it [inaudible] in the record. I
19
20 would, first of all, tender Exhibits 10, 11, and 12. Is that what I'm up to? Ten being the election for 2010, 11
21
22 being for '14, and 12 being for '18. Let me show you what I have here. '18 and '14, rather, and '10.
23
24 BY MR. LINDSEY:
25 Q. [inaudible] Let me simply ask you to look

1 at those. Those are in fact the official election
2 returns from those three years that are located on the Secretary of State's website; correct?
3
4 [inaudible] I don't think I have those [inaudible]. Okay. These are in fact the election
5
6 returns from the Secretary of State's office [inaudible]; correct?
7
8 A. Appear to be.
9 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we tender the Exhibits.
10 THE COURT: Any objections from --
11 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. Do you have extra copies of those,
12
13 the last three, Mr. Lindsey?
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, [inaudible].
14
15 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. And looking at the front page of '10 and
16
17 '14, does it not show, sir, the percentage of voters
18 from that year? For both '10 and '14 at the top?
A. The turnout percentage?
19
20 Q. Yes, sir.
A. The turnout percentage for November of '14
21
22 -- 2014, voter turnout 50.03 percent?
Q. Yes, sir. Now for a '18 -- for '18
23
24 [inaudible].
25 MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible], Your Honor. [inaudible].

1 And also, Your Honor, we tender this next Exhibit,

2 [inaudible] turnout and '18, just for the record.
Q. If you would identify what would be marked
3

4 as Exhibit 13, tell us what that is.
A. This is for November 2018 --
5

6 Q Yes, sir.
A. -- general turnout 61.34 percent.
7

8 MR. LINDSEY: We tender this document as well.

9 MR. BROWN: No objection.

10 BY MR. LINDSEY:

11 Q. So the turnout -- well, first of all, if
you were to look at '14 and '18, we've already talked
12

13 about the new votes. Let me just also sort of close
the loop on this line of questioning. If you would
14

15 look at '14 first and tell me how many registered
voters there were in '14.
16

17 A. In '14, there were 5,191,182.

18 Q. Okay. And in '18, how many were there?
A. 6,400,200 -- let's see. 6,428,584.
19

20 Q. Okay. And you've already testified that we
had high voter participation in '18?
21

22 A. [inaudible]
Q. So in '18, we have a larger number of
23

24 registered voters than in '14; correct?

25 A. Correct.

1 Q. And in '18, we had a larger turnout than we
2 did in '14; correct?
A. Correct.
3
4 Q. And in '18, we had a increase of about
three-and-a-half times the number of new voters
5
6 voting for the first time; correct?
A. Correct.
7
8 Q. All of those, based on your concern about -
9 - your knowledge about Broward County as you're
10 looking at the web design -- at the design of the
11 electronic ballot could have led to greater voter
confusion when they voted electronically versus when
12
13 they voted on a paper ballot; correct?
A. Correct.
14
15 MR. LINDSEY: That's all I have, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Mr. Tyson.
16
17 MR. TYSON: Thank you, Your Honor. I'll be brief.
18
CROSS-EXAMINATION
19
20 OF MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES
21
22 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. [inaudible] pick up real quickly on the
23
24 Broward review, that senate race that Mr. Lindsey was
25 asking you about. Do you know if Broward County uses

1 paper or DRE ballots?

2 A. I believe Broward uses centralized [inaudible].

3

4 Q. Okay. So those would be paper ballots; correct?

5

6 A. Yes.
Q. Mr. Brown earlier asked you about

7

8 complaints that he received around the election

9 administration, were the complaints in 2018 any more

10 unusual than complaints in prior years?

11 A. No.
Q. And Mr. Brown also asked you now

12

13 [inaudible] issues he said that, you know, if you push a button [inaudible] record votes somewhere else

14

15 for somebody else. Now would you consider that a programming issue or a calibration issue?

16

17 A. I would consider that a calibration issue.

18 Q. And is -- if the machine doesn't respond as expected on a calibration issue during testing, what

19

20 was the process for addressing that?
A. The counties normally do not allow that

21

22 system to pass. It is set aside and not used for that given election.

23

24 Q. And in the calibration you repair or fixed

25 electronic voting machines?

1 A. You can recalibrate the screen as part of
2 the initial LMA process of, say, [inaudible] calibration on the machines is like one of the first
3
4 steps done. But even after setting calibration if the county interacts with the system and they feel
5
6 like it's not responsive as it should be, then they will take that unit out of operation.
7
8 Q. And so a programming error then would be
9 something like a candidate's name is missing; is that
10 correct?
11 A. That's correct.
Q. And how would you know that it was a
12
13 programming error of that nature where somebody's name was omitted, for example?
14
15 A. It would be not present on the ballot. You would not see the name or if there was a voting
16
17 position with no name associated to it, you would see
18 that.
Q. And how would you do that? Would you do
19
20 that from a GEMS report or some other method?
A. You do that for a GEMS reports. You do
21
22 that through the sample ballots that are generated and provided to the counties for proofing purposes.
23
24 Q. And reviewing the DRE post-election mode
25 also show that problem with the candidate missing

1 name?

2 A. In post-election mode you can print out reports that show candidates listed by race. You

3 also have the ability to access the ballot -- the ballot view, which is the reported ballots that the

4 system recorded and it shows what races were on that ballot and what candidates were listed under those

5 races.

6 Q. So lieutenant governor's race didn't appear

7 on a DRE. The machine in post-election [inaudible]

8 to determine that [inaudible].

9 A. That's correct.

10 Q. Okay. Thank you.

11 MR. LINDSEY: I don't have anything else.

12 THE COURT: Wait a minute. Wait, wait, wait.

13 MR. BROWN: I'm sorry.

14 MS. BURWELL: I have no questions.

15 THE COURT: She's got -- okay. Okay. Now you can go.

16 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

17

18 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
OF MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES

19

20

21 BY MR. BROWN:

22

23

24

25

1 Q. When did you first hear of the theory that
2 the under-vote might be -- have been the result of people thinking that it was a [inaudible]
3
4 A. It would probably be close to the time of certification.
5
6 Q. And who did you hear it from?
A. It was just sort of hypothesized. There
7
8 was no one person that said it. It was just sort of
9 again looking at the election and seeing, you know,
10 what could -- what could be reasons for these things.
11 Q. For the under-vote that you noticed, right?
A. Yes.
12
13 Q. Okay. So you noticed the under-vote at the Secretary of State's office and one of the things
14
15 that was just thrown out was, maybe people thought it was a ticket, right?
16
17 A. Correct.
18 Q. And have you undertaken -- get any sort of expert opinion or analysis of that theory?
19
20 A. We have heard from people in relation to ballot design that are starting that for ballot
21
22 design moving forward that it's best for voters to see one race per screen when they're interacting with
23
24 a DRE device or a ballot-marking device. So, you
25 know, that's -- to eliminate that confusion that may

1 be present.

2 Q. Right. But that would mean that -- that doesn't relate to under-votes, does it; or does it

3 [inaudible]?

4 A. I'm not sure of the question.

5 Q. Is the concern there that people will skip a vote if there's more than one ballot on a page? Is

6 that the concern?

7 A. There's always concern that voters are not,

8 you know, receptive of what they're seeing. But each

9 individual voter is going to do what they want to do.

10 Q. Right. And in -- but in this election, if you turn the page for this election, you have

11 multiple ballots; correct?

12 A. If you hit the next button you go to the next screen, you have multiple races appearing, yes.

13 Q. And which races appeared on the second page

14 of November 6th ballot?

15 A. Let's see --

16 Q. And just go ahead and look at the [inaudible].

17 A. The November '18 -- for the 2018 ballot?

18 Q. Yes, sir.

19 A. There are four races present. Secretary of

20 State, attorney general, commissioner of agriculture,

1 commissioner of insurance.

2 Q. Okay. So in those -- even though there were four ballots on that screen, the voters were

3

4 able -- electronic and paper ballot voters had a significantly higher participation rate than the

5

6 lieutenant governor's race; correct?
MR. TYSON: Objection, Your Honor. It's leading.

7

8 THE COURT: Sustained.

9 BY MR. BROWN:

10 Q. Mr. Barnes, did -- before the other races

11 that were on the second page have a higher voter participation rate than lieutenant governor's race?

12

13 A. Based on the certified results from those counties that there were fewer under-votes in those

14

15 races, yes.
Q. Okay. Thank you. Now the -- there was

16

17 some testimony about calibration issue. Are you with

18 me?
A. Uh-huh.

19

20 Q. Okay. And what -- one calibration problem is a screen dysfunctioning, physical screen problem,

21

22 if you follow me, where what you're pushing doesn't connect with the code -- with whatever electronics

23

24 [inaudible]. You with me? It's a physical problem

25 with the screen. Is that a calibration problem?

1 A. Where -- the point that you're touching is
2 -- the response is showing in a different location? The response where you touch --
3
4 Q. Or doesn't respond or it blinks or something else, is that a calibration problem?
5
6 A. Potentially.
7 Q. The -- but there is software that tells the
8 computer, if you press this button, put another --
9 add one to the voter total for Mr. Duncan; correct?
10 A. The test screen basically is a grid. It's
11 a pressure-point grid. It says -- [inaudible] analysis and, you know, it comes up with an average
12
13 of pressure it says, if you see pressure here, then that should be indicated as a mark in this position
14
15 on the ballot.
16 Q. Right. And that's programming that does
17 that; correct? That says, if you [inaudible], add
18 one to the vote total for Amico, right?
19 A. Correct.
20 Q. Okay. And that program resides where?
21 A. That program resides on the DRE.
22 Q. On the individual DRE machines.
23 A. That's correct.
24 Q. And is that -- and is that -- and where on
25 those DRE machines does it reside?

1 A. That resides on an EPROM chip that's
2 connected to the motherboard.
Q. And is the EPROM chip connected to the
3
4 motherboard of something that the State --
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, bear with me here. I just
5
6 have one question on this.
Q. Is the EPROM chip that you referred to that
7
8 contains the programming for doing that something the
9 State offered to allow the petitioners to inspect?
10 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, objection again. He's once
11 again [inaudible] re-litigate what the Court has already
ruled upon in discovery [inaudible].
12
13 THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MR. BROWN:
14
15 Q. Is the EPROM the same thing as the election
archive?
16
17 A. The election archive information is saved
18 to the internal memory on the device and the internal
memory is contained in EPROM. [inaudible]
19
20 Q. Okay. And the internal -- okay. Can you
say that again?
21
22 A. The internal memory is where the archive
file is maintained and the internal memory resides on
23
24 EPROM.
25 Q. Okay. So the answer is no if they're on

1 the same thing, right?

2 A. The election archives is a data file that is saved to an internal memory and the internal

3

4 memory is -- resides on the EPROM.
Q. And other things other than the election

5

6 archive are on the internal memory, right?
A. I believe I already said that.

7

8 Q. Just yes or no.

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. Okay. And one of those other things

11 controls the mapping of the vote from the touch screen to the place in your system where it says, add

12

13 one more vote to somebody; correct?
A. Correct.

14

15 Q. Let me direct your attention to one of Mr. Lindsey's printouts and I'll just give you -- you

16

17 don't need to look at it.

18 Of course you may, but the -- he was asking you about write-in votes and how the lieutenant

19

20 governor's race had a lot of write-in votes, do you know whether that was because Libertarians who were

21

22 voting on the lieutenant governor's race did not have an option, therefore wrote in? Do you know --

23

24 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I believe that calls for

25 speculation [inaudible].

1 THE COURT: That would be speculation. [inaudible]
2 argue that it's not. [inaudible].
MR. BROWN: Thank you.
3
4 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Now the EPROM chip is the erasable, read-
5
6 only [inaudible], right? Or I guess erasable program
[inaudible], right?
7
8 A. Well, that's what EPROM stands for, yes.
9 Q. Now does the software reside on an EPROM
10 chip or in [inaudible] memory, if you know?
11 A. I can't answer that in an absolutely -- I
don't [inaudible].
12
13 Q. Okay.
MR. BROWN: That's all I have [inaudible].
14
15 THE COURT: [inaudible]
16
17 RECROSS EXAMINATION
18 OF MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES
19
20 BY MALE:
Q. Mr. Brown -- I've just got [inaudible].
21
22 Mr. Brown did ask about whether it was a touch screen
problem [inaudible] whether or not that could be a
23
24 system error; correct?
25 A. Correct.

1 Q. That would have shown up if it was a system
2 problem in your parallel testing on Election Day; correct?
3
4 A. Correct.
Q. And you didn't detect any problems;
5
6 correct?
A. We did not detect any problems.
7
8 MALE: No further questions.
9
10 RECROSS EXAMINATION
11 OF MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES
12
13 BY MR. TYSON [inaudible]:
Q. Mr. Barnes, Mr. Brown asked you about when
14
15 the voter information was recorded. When you push a button, when you push the part of the screen, it's
16
17 [inaudible] cast ballot that is recorded in the
18 internal memory of the unit; correct?
A. That is correct.
19
20 Q. All right. Are you familiar with the notices that are posted to voter -- polling places
21
22 regarding pressing the cast ballot button?
A. I am.
23
24 Q. I'm going to hand you what's been marked as
25 Gwinnett 1. Is this the notice for voter to be

1 posted in the polling places regarding pressing the
2 cast ballot button [inaudible], I'm sorry.
A. It is.
3
4 Q. And it very specifically says, you should
notify a poll worker if you have a problem or
5
6 question about the unit before you press the cast
ballot button; correct?
7
8 A. That's correct.
9 Q. Okay. Thank you.
10 MR. TYSON: I have no further questions. Oh, I'm
11 sorry, Your Honor. I tender Gwinnett 1.
MR. BROWN: No objection.
12
13 THE COURT: It's admitted.
THE COURT: Ms. Burwell, questions?
14
15 MS. BURWELL: [inaudible]
THE COURT: Mr. Brown.
16
17 MR. BROWN: I don't have anything further.
18 THE COURT: Okay. You may get down.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
19
20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, may this witness be excused?
THE COURT: Yes.
21
22 MR. BROWN: [inaudible]
THE COURT: Let me ask you, Mr. Brown, how much
23
24 longer do you think your case is going to last? I'm just
25 trying to work out logistics and various other things.

1 MR. BROWN: If I may have literally 30 seconds, I can
2 give you an answer if I could talk to my clients.
THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.
3
4 MR. BROWN: Your Honor.
THE COURT: Go ahead.
5
6 MR. BROWN: We have about an hour with Mr. Barron
probably total. He is here. He has a -- Mr. Barron is an
7
8 employee of Fulton County. [inaudible] Fulton County. He
9 has a childcare issue and we would be happy that he
10 [inaudible] in the morning and then starting [inaudible].
11 THE COURT: Start in the morning. You've got about
another hour?
12
13 MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: What does the defendants -- I'm looking
14
15 -- can we finish tomorrow?
MALE: [inaudible], Your Honor. I don't think the
16
17 [inaudible].
18 THE COURT: You want to take an oath on that?
MALE: What?
19
20 THE COURT: Do you want to take an oath on that?
MALE: No, Your Honor. I will not take an oath and I
21
22 will not [inaudible] in my place.
I will not take an oath. But [inaudible] some of my
23
24 preceding circumstances --
25 THE COURT: Okay. Tomorrow, so you know -- now you

1 have to understand I'm a senior judge [inaudible]
2 courtroom and therefore we won't have a courtroom
[inaudible] in two or three weeks with luck. But in the
3
4 meantime, they move us around.
So tomorrow we're back in the Magistrate's court on
5
6 the fourth floor. It's the old courtroom annex, 402.
Okay. Mr. Groban [ph] will be over there early to let you
7
8 in. I always bring my -- I've got so much stuff I'll
9 bring it.
10 MALE: Is there anywhere that we can store some of
11 our stuff? Because of the stuff I don't necessarily
[inaudible].
12
13 THE COURT: That's why I'm looking at all that but I
don't have a choice. They've got a mock trial in here
14
15 tomorrow.
MALE: No, I understand. [inaudible]
16
17 THE COURT: I don't know they were locked. Okay.
18 There's a jury room in here that you could use, but you'd
have to come real early to get in it and get it out.
19
20 MALE: I'd be happy to. I mean, that's --
[inaudible] take home.
21
22 THE COURT: Yeah. The other place that might do it
is the Bar office. Dekalb [inaudible] County Bar office
23
24 is right over there.
25 MALE: All right.

1 THE COURT: It's on this floor and they might let you
2 keep some stuff in there.
MALE: I'll tell you, we'll [inaudible].
3
4 THE COURT: Well, I mean they might let you --
they've got two conference rooms over there. They're
5
6 empty. You go out here and turn left. You know where it
is Mr. [inaudible].
7
8 MALE: Yes. [inaudible]
9 THE COURT: Okay. [inaudible] I'll see you in M-42
10 tomorrow. And if you want to use the [inaudible] now he
11 gets here at the crack of dawn anyway.
MALE: Well, I mean, do you think that that's a safe
12
13 place? If you get here at the crack of dawn, would it be
okay [inaudible]? I mean, is it inconveniencing you?
14
15 [inaudible] It's just a couple of boxes. [inaudible]
MALE: I get here by 7:30, 20 minutes to 8.
16
17 THE COURT: We start at 9:00 tomorrow.
18 MALE: [inaudible]
THE COURT: Okay.
19
20 MALE: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: We'll see you tomorrow.
21
22 [Whereupon, the hearing was concluded at 4:53 p.m.]
23
24
25

1 CERTIFICATE

2 STATE OF GEORGIA]
] SS.
3

4 COUNTY OF DOUGLAS]

5

6 I, PRISCILLA GARCIA, A COURT REPORTER IN THE STATE OF GEORGIA, DO HEREBY STATE THAT THE FOREGOING IS A TRUE AND
7

8 ACCURATE TRANSCRIPT AS TAKEN DOWN BY ME AT THE TIME,

9 PLACE, AND THE DATE HEREINBEFORE SET FORTH.

10 I DO FURTHER STATE THAT I AM NEITHER A RELATIVE NOR

11 EMPLOYEE NOR ATTORNEY NOR COUNSEL OF ANY OF THE PARTIES TO THIS ACTION, AND THAT I AM NEITHER A RELATIVE NOR EMPLOYEE
12

13 OF SUCH ATTORNEY OR COUNSEL, AND THAT I AM NOT FINANCIALLY INTERESTED IN THIS ACTION.
14

15 WITNESS MY HAND IN THE CITY OF DOUGLASVILLE, COUNTY
16

17 OF DOUGLAS, STATE OF GEORGIA, ON THIS 28th DAY OF JANUARY

18 2019. BY: Priscilla Garcia
19

20 PRISCILLA GARCIA, COURT REPORTER
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF GEORGIA
21

22 COMMISSION NO.: W-00379933
COMMISSION EXPIRES: 08/14/2022
23

24 CERTIFICATE NO.: 5503-2677-8304-9216

25 LICENSE EXPIRES: 04/01/2019

1
2
3 I, Chris Naaden, a transcriber, hereby declare
4 under penalty of perjury that to the best of my
5 ability the above 291 pages contain a full, true and
6 correct transcription of the tape-recording that I
7 received regarding the event listed on the caption on
8 page 1.
9
10 I further declare that I have no interest in the
11 event of the action.
12
13 January 28, 2019
14
15
16 Chris Naaden
17
18
19
20 (Transcript of Hearing Proceedings)
21
22
23
24
25

(

(C) 85:24
(C)(3) 85:24
(C)(4) 85:25

0

0.8 102:11 120:24

1

1 21:17,20 44:1,4,10, 11 45:20 52:11,15 54:6,8 57:2,11 99:4 101:2 102:2,24 103:11 105:11,15 107:25 111:25 112:1 175:22,24 249:4,20 250:1 287:25 288:11
1,012 126:6
1,828,566 26:17
1.3 107:6
1.4 101:13 107:10
1.5 107:4
1.7 107:18
1.8 107:15
10 100:24 136:11 143:1 273:20,22 274:15,18
10,000 175:22,24
10-minute 72:11
100 21:13, 17,20,22 22:10 32:17 125:17 152:25
101 205:22
10:25 60:8 72:13
10:37 72:15
11 273:20
117 194:6
11:00 185:4
11:41 82:20 129:25
12 273:20, 22
123,000 26:10 30:18 184:11
123,172 25:20
13 275:4
137 125:4, 6,8,11,13
14 273:22 274:17,18, 20 275:11, 15,17,24 276:2
144 194:6, 10
15 143:10 160:2
15,000 100:24
159 205:22 212:10 213:11
159,024 103:1
16 241:10
17 8:2 18:24 244:8,9
18 81:4 273:22 274:22 275:2,11, 18,20,22 276:1,4 281:22
1:00 129:20,22 130:1
1st 211:17

2

2 64:4 65:25 78:15 79:4 101:22 104:24 254:18
2.2 107:13
20 21:15 291:15
200,000 21:8
200- 254:22
2000 250:8
2002 102:2 224:1 239:22,24
2006 26:17 160:15 202:4
2007 62:2
2008 239:24
2009 36:11
2010 239:20 254:22 255:18 273:20

2011 250:8 251:1
2013 239:10
2014 120:15,18, 20 254:22 255:25 260:22 261:2,20, 22 274:22
2016 26:22 147:11 165:25 227:15 228:4,15 241:6 243:15 252:11
2017 37:20 148:4 211:15 227:15 228:15,25 244:4,15
2018 8:2 25:20 27:9 39:22 40:2,11 46:13,15, 18 62:4 81:24 89:13 90:15 94:13 98:18 99:6 102:2,4,6 116:6 119:8 124:6 127:13,20 153:11,22 154:2,22 157:6,22 165:18 166:8 168:11 170:24 202:6 212:2,6,11 224:18 226:20 228:24 229:15 230:22 231:13 241:17 244:13 247:11 248:6,15 249:4,20 250:1 252:22 254:22 255:18 256:6,11, 25 260:22 261:2,22 262:1 263:13 275:4 277:9 281:22
21-2-522 14:4
22 8:14 11:11 13:1 129:6
24 166:6
24-14-22 24:6
25 260:2
2:09 187:6
2:22 187:8
2:30 185:6

3

3 98:2,6 264:2
3- 212:2
3.9 105:10 107:25
30 49:20 51:1 135:15 289:1
31,000-something 103:2
31,532 102:17,20
31st 211:15
336,000 261:24
38 126:6
3:30 242:25
3:37 243:1

4

4 22:1 101:11 105:18 111:25 112:1,15 132:17 251:2 264:2
4.5 105:8 107:24,25
40-plus 251:13
402 290:6
410 126:20 127:1
45-plus 251:11
4:53 291:22

5

5 22:1 266:18
5,191,182 275:17
50 96:20
50.03 274:22
5th 133:17, 18 135:25 208:11

6

6 25:20 266:18
6,400,200 275:18
6,428,584 275:18
61.34 275:6
6th 62:24, 25 63:1,22 83:2 165:18 281:18

7

7 168:4,8, 15 246:15 273:6
702(b) 42:10 154:18
7:30 291:15
7th 62:22

8

8 21:15 102:15 143:1 243:8,9, 11,17,24 245:11,17 246:15 251:2 273:6 291:15
8,000 117:17

9

9 45:13 136:17 273:6
9.2 135:2, 6,11
9.2(c) 135:15
98,000 27:6 261:20
99 21:11 22:9
9:00 291:17
9:02 8:2
9th 136:24

A

a.m. 8:2 60:8 72:13,15 79:13 82:20 129:25
aberrant 20:25 21:2
aberration 173:25
ability 49:22 242:8 279:4
abnormal 205:22
Abrams 26:18 27:22 28:1 75:4,13 118:9 201:6
Abrams' 118:1
abridges 151:18
absentee 19:13 21:20 105:10 107:8,17 128:2 216:1
absolute 131:15
absolutely 45:9 61:10 230:8 286:11
abstract 174:6
abundantly 30:9 92:11
ac- 67:17
academic 141:6 144:1 156:18 160:10
Academies 168:9
Academy 156:22 160:22 168:18,20 170:2
accelerate 83:1
accelerated 135:22
accept 131:9 192:4,6
access 144:9 182:4 183:6 187:20 212:15 215:13 217:6 218:2 220:13 223:22 252:2 270:15 279:4
accessed 183:4,6
accessibility 240:6
accounted 267:2

accounts
157:11

accuracy
24:17
109:11
217:9
218:24
222:10
268:2

accurate
28:22 99:9
161:6
222:11

accurately
29:1
254:24

act 18:20
44:15
58:22
230:25

actions
259:10

active
218:20
266:9

activist
85:2

activities
81:4

activity
148:13

actual 33:15
55:13
64:13
85:11
92:13
117:4
152:17
159:25
170:18
189:18
203:11,13
204:13
207:15
216:4
219:10
225:22
227:4,6
237:11
247:11

add 27:20
97:9 173:8
205:2
271:18
283:9,17
285:11

added 27:13

adding
150:13

addition
18:18 25:6
28:2 30:20
42:13
141:8
147:4
155:1
260:18
265:18

additional
14:22
18:20
38:20
184:4

address 20:6
93:13 94:2
270:15

addressing
96:10
277:20

adherence
253:13

adjacent
166:2

adjusted
241:11
253:2

adjustment
253:2

administer
90:9

administration
39:6 90:8
91:25
153:2
172:20
277:9

admissibility
92:15
273:17

admissible
132:4
169:15
192:18
203:2

admission
70:8,9
91:18

admit 244:4,
13 245:8,
10

admitted
44:25 46:8
54:8 168:2
191:24
246:24
264:4
266:20
288:13

admonished
191:17

adopt 155:8

advance
213:2
215:24
218:22

advanced
105:9,11
107:4,13

adverse
181:10
259:17

advice 84:15
85:18

advises
93:15

affect
182:20
217:18

226:4

affected
30:2,4
228:4
231:18

affidavit
97:24 98:2
103:11,15

affidavits
178:22

affiliation
177:20

affirmative
30:13
143:25

afraid 110:2
202:1

afternoon
136:20
150:22

AG's 128:13

age 87:13

agencies
156:25
162:4

agents
157:17

aggravated
27:24

aging 159:20

agree 11:6
70:17
83:6,9
127:11
133:2
186:1
220:6

agreement
29:15

agriculture
117:9
123:1
281:25

ahead 13:13
20:15,20
38:17
41:20 45:9
54:9 58:17
68:2 69:13
71:11,13
81:11
89:17
112:8,24
129:15
132:6
143:2
164:20
182:2,15
186:2
191:6
234:4
236:15
281:20
289:2,4

ahistorical
27:4

air 249:6,
10

AJC 13:2,4,
6

akin 270:18

Alabama
151:20
249:15

alarmed
73:25

Alaska
187:22

albeit
170:10

Aletha 81:13

all-paper
151:8

allegation
48:15
115:10
221:4
238:11

allegations
14:11
15:20,22
28:9 37:4
162:18,20

allege 14:4

alleged
14:15
225:9
244:15

allegiance
139:8

Allen 63:1,4

allowed
68:18
123:25
180:11
184:6
226:4,11

alter 183:2,
22

altered
183:8,9

altering
183:15

alternative
22:15
175:4
183:15

amazing
81:18

Amazon 64:13

ambiguity
191:18

AME 63:1,4,
20 65:15
72:24
195:8

America
58:22

American
81:13

Amico 23:8
26:13,17
27:2,22,25
28:4 80:24
116:2,4
117:11,17

123:8,13
199:24
200:11
201:15,22
202:10,15
264:15
269:2,8
283:18

Amico's 30:8
200:2
235:2

amount 27:11
35:13
102:20
152:24
173:22

analogy
221:4

analyses
127:18
158:6

analysis
13:18 90:4
95:2 98:18
104:13
117:4
149:6
177:2
180:4,6
190:4,6
192:24
193:15,18
195:2,4,
10,13,17,
20 196:4,
6,10
197:22,25
199:22
202:22
229:10
232:24
233:2
249:15
260:18
280:18
283:11

analyst
43:20
45:13

analyze
37:20
86:15 99:1
117:4
261:8

analyzed
127:25

analyzing
88:2
266:22

angle 13:13

annex 290:6

anomalies
186:6
207:9
271:2

anomalous
205:18

anomaly
22:11
94:25

answering
33:24

answers
121:1
204:6

anticipated
68:20

anticipating
45:6,8

anticipation
53:15

apologies
45:6,10

apologize
82:6 93:9
134:18
163:20
197:11
249:2

app 63:11

apparent
170:22
186:6

apparently
223:24

appeared
73:25
242:4
264:15
265:20
281:17

appearing
175:24
224:15
281:15

appears
65:22
168:17
244:2,6

applicable
155:18

application
119:2
142:6
148:13

applications
142:11

applied 25:6
102:15

apply 17:4

appointed
77:24

approach
11:25

approached
70:4 86:11

approval
143:20

approximately
27:6
177:13

architectural
159:25

architecture
164:25

archive
183:11

284:15,17, 22 285:6

archives 285:2

area 71:17, 18 74:4 92:18 104:4 224:15 237:15 271:6

areas 87:22 94:2

argue 108:15 245:6 286:2

arguing 198:20 209:9

argument 13:22 24:1 33:11 92:8 151:15 198:20

arguments 14:22

arises 24:13

arising 241:2

arithmetic 22:25

arm 223:9

arms 74:2

arrangement 241:9

artful 226:25

Artfully 226:24

article 141:4

articles 115:8 141:2

asks 68:18

aspects 42:22

Aspen 35:4

assessing 195:13

assessment 166:17

assist 42:10 68:11,15, 18

assistance 10:15 42:18

assisted 149:10

assisting 59:13 70:22

assistive 54:24 55:6,9 56:6,9,11

assume 44:20 82:10 177:18 220:8 246:13

assumes 176:22 232:13

assuming 176:2 220:22 232:20 233:22

assumption 102:18

assurance 17:24

assure 58:11

assuring 262:15

Atlanta 34:18 63:4

atmosphere 246:22

attacked 245:20

attempt 15:6 190:24

attempted 49:22 168:22

attempting 49:17

attempts 191:13

attend 139:13

attended 25:8

attention 24:6 102:24 104:11 108:2 120:13 121:6 146:18 193:25 238:17 246:11 251:2 285:15

attorney 57:20 61:17,20 105:24 106:10,15 107:11 116:25 118:2 126:13,22 281:25

attorney's 53:2

attracted 99:18

attracting

220:13

audio 55:11, 20

audit 35:24 36:13,17 149:13 206:4,8,9 207:9,15

audited 35:22

auditing 36:20 149:9 207:10

audits 36:8, 10,15 140:25 141:11 149:11 158:8

author 246:20

authorized 25:13 40:17

availability 29:22

avenue 176:24

average 102:9 283:11

aware 24:24 117:8,18 118:2,8, 15,18 119:11 128:13 163:4 189:8,15, 17 197:4 199:18 201:13,20 203:11 218:22 219:22 220:1 226:18 240:22 242:15 259:11,22 260:2,4,8, 11 261:10

B

back 8:12 17:11,25 20:13 34:20,22 49:6 59:2, 15 66:11 68:15 69:6 72:6,8,13 75:6,20 76:18 79:9 102:24 112:18 123:20,22, 24 124:1,4 125:18 129:20,22, 25 130:18, 20 133:15 157:15 167:25 174:4 175:1 179:15,18 180:20 187:6 188:4 198:4 214:2 215:6 217:6 219:4 222:11 225:8 228:11 231:2 234:10 236:13 239:11,13 242:22,25 245:4 247:13 252:4 255:18 264:22 265:6 267:22 290:4

background 34:8 84:18 94:9 145:13,17 177:25

bad 22:25 161:13 204:15

Bailey's 118:2

Baines 63:15

balancing 232:6

ballot 19:13 22:1 27:13,15 29:25 30:2 37:10 38:2 41:13 55:11 68:6 70:22 71:20 74:4 77:2 90:4, 6 91:25 94:20 122:20,22 123:18 124:4 151:9,10 179:11 189:11 194:13 200:18,22 202:4 207:11 218:11 235:11 236:20 238:17,18 239:4,8, 10,11,13, 15,18,22, 24 240:1,

4,6,15,18
246:2
252:2,6,8,
11,20
253:6,8,
11,15
254:17,24
255:18
256:11,18
257:2,10
258:6,8,9,
13,15,17,
20 262:8,
17 263:25
264:15,20,
22,24
265:4,8
268:8
276:11,13
278:15
279:4,6
280:20
281:6,18,
22 282:4
283:15
287:17,22
288:2,6

ballot-marking
280:24

ballots
21:22
27:4,13,
17,18 28:2
30:4 33:11
37:2,13
38:13 43:4
55:11 67:2
149:15
167:6
174:11
188:22
189:1
194:15,18
199:11,18,
20 206:17,
22 207:11
214:15,20,
24 216:2
218:6
235:15
238:20
241:18
252:15
253:17
254:4,22
256:11
257:22
264:25
265:20
267:6
277:1,4
278:22
279:4
281:13
282:2

ballots'
214:18

bar 103:18
290:22

Barnes 147:9
157:18
164:4,6
209:25
210:10,15,
20 228:15,
22 230:17
243:4,24
245:15,18
251:11
252:4
254:13,15
276:20
279:22
282:10
286:18
287:11,13

Barnhard
176:25
177:1

Barron
16:18,20
147:10
157:18
164:4
289:6

Barrow
118:13
201:10

Barrow's
118:1

bars 105:4,
15

base
263:11,13,
18,20,22
264:10,11

based 14:2
17:11 34:9
37:4,15
38:1 43:15
69:18
87:15
94:1,4
109:22
119:15
128:1
155:10
165:18
166:22
169:8,11
173:15
176:4
177:20
179:20
186:17,18
198:11
206:6
214:2
219:18
244:11
269:24
276:8
282:13

baseline
124:13

basically
52:20
154:13
261:8
283:10

basis 61:20
147:2
163:15
171:2
172:6
174:13,17

199:17
205:20

bear 29:6
171:20
284:4

beer 220:13

began 35:9
37:20
248:24,25

begin 35:15
55:10
160:8

beginning
26:15

begins
215:20

behalf 12:22
14:24
16:17
25:18

behavior
194:20,22
199:1

belief
188:20
198:13

believes
109:24

Ben 235:6
269:6

bench 11:25
16:6

bending
136:18

Benjamin
65:20

Berkeley
132:18

Bernhard
134:17
137:10,18,
24 138:4,
15 150:2,
15,18,22
153:20
154:17
155:2,4
156:8,11
160:10
165:15
171:22
172:18
176:25
182:18
187:13,15
188:15,18
192:22
193:13
194:13
196:22
204:2,4
206:11

Bibb 229:2

big 49:17

bind 70:15

bit 21:11
25:6 84:18
93:22
115:17
121:2
139:8
146:2
147:25
150:8
157:15
167:25
173:6
174:4
175:2
183:6,20
189:24
204:22
207:10
231:2
245:4

black 25:2
130:20

Blackwell
12:18

blinks 283:4

blocks 64:15

board 9:13
28:18,24,
25 36:17
38:18 39:8
77:18
89:18
117:10
150:22
229:20
240:9

boards 36:20

boat 133:11

Boland
268:17

books 64:11
133:13

Boone 63:4

boot 142:4,
8,9 168:10
182:25
236:25

booting
183:20

borderline
93:8

bother
106:20

bottom 66:22
67:22
160:18
257:2,6,8,
11

Boulevard
63:4

box 13:11
25:2 50:6

boxes 291:15

brand 250:1,
2,4

brand-new
206:15

breach 26:11
188:8

break 72:10,
11 83:11
128:1

129:18
187:1
242:18

breakdown
73:13
226:10

breaks 87:10

bridge 8:13

briefed
183:24

briefly
14:25
51:22
77:20
113:10
127:6
128:8
131:24
138:20
151:13

briefs 20:25
111:15
181:11

Brill 83:13
84:1,6,11
86:8 87:4
89:11,15,
18,24
91:4,24
93:2,6,11
96:11,22
97:2,6,24
98:17
100:2,8
104:6
107:2
108:6,11,
22 110:8
111:1
113:13,15
114:6
120:4
121:10
127:9,11
128:11

Brill's
90:24
91:18 97:6
103:25

brimstone
270:4

bring 10:2
24:20
29:20
111:13
136:13
197:18
217:10
290:8,9

bringing
41:6

broad 231:4

broader
227:1

brought 15:8
17:11,13,
25 193:25
202:24

Broward
256:20,22,
25 257:10,
13,15
276:9,24,
25 277:2

Brown 9:13,
15,18,20,
22,24
10:1,4,6,
9,11,18,22
11:15,18
12:4,6,13
13:6,8
15:15
16:13,15,
25 17:2,
15,18
18:4,11,
13,18,22
19:2,6
20:8,11,
15,18,20
31:2,6,11,
22 32:24
33:1,4,20,
22 34:4,6
36:2,6
38:8 39:13
42:18,20
43:8,13,22
44:1,9
45:11,20
46:1,2,6,
9,10 48:2,
4,6,11,15,
18 49:2,6
50:9,11
51:8 54:4
57:2,6,9,
20,22
58:1,8,11,
13,18
59:2,6,8,
22 60:1,4,
10,15
61:4,22
63:25
64:6,10,
18,20,24,
25 65:24
66:8
69:15,22,
24 70:8,18
71:11,13
72:1,11,22
74:13,18,
20 79:6,
15,20 80:6
81:20
82:2,11,22
83:8,10,
11,13,18,
22 84:9
89:11 90:2
92:8,24
93:4,8
94:1,11,13
96:6,11,20
97:4,20,22
98:2,9,11,
15 100:2,
4,6 102:22
103:15,18
104:6
106:11,22,
25 108:2,
4,6,13,15,
20 109:6,

9,18,20
110:4,6,
13,17,20,
24,25
111:4,6,22
112:6,10,
15,20,22,
25 113:8
116:20
120:6
121:13,15,
22 122:2,4
124:2,25
125:20,22,
24 127:24
128:20,22
129:1,15,
22 130:10,
24 131:2,
24 132:1,
6,11,15
134:2,9,
15,18,22
135:18
137:2,6,9,
11 138:1,
15 139:13
140:15
145:4,9,
11,22
146:4,6,
11,15,18,
20 150:1,
11,25
151:25
153:13,15
154:10
155:10
156:4,10
158:20,24
159:1
162:22,25
163:2,18
164:13,15,
18,22
165:11,15
168:4
169:2,13,
18,20,24
170:1,9,
15,17
171:13,20
172:25
173:1,17
174:18
175:1,9,
11,13
176:17
177:2
181:9,18,
22,24
182:1,4,9,
13,17,18
184:18,20
185:1,11,
13,15
186:1,4,
15,20
187:1,4,15
188:11
190:18,22
191:8,10,
20 192:1,
10 195:18,
20 197:6
198:11
203:22,24
204:4
205:4,6,15
207:2,6,13
208:6,10,
13,15,17,
20,22,25
209:1,4,8,
13,15,20,
24 210:2,
18,22,24
220:24
221:1,8
225:18,20,
25 226:1,
17 227:2,8
228:13,20
230:18,20
231:8,10
232:17,22
234:2
236:2,4,6,
8,15,17
237:13,22
238:1,4,9,
11,15
242:17,20,
22 243:6,
18,22
244:4,9,
15,18,20,
24 245:4,
8,11,15
247:13
248:8,18
251:6,9,11
254:15
255:4
258:4
259:13,20
261:4,10
262:10
264:4
266:20
267:4
273:6,8,11
274:11
275:9
277:6,11
279:15,20,
25 282:9
284:4,13
286:2,4,
13,20,22
287:13
288:11,15,
17,20,22
289:1,4,6,
13

Bryan 14:24
29:15
38:18
77:18
89:18
150:22

build 85:18

building
236:11
240:2,22,
24

builds
221:22

built 160:1
203:17

221:22
240:25
241:8,9

bunch 93:24
232:20

burden 14:2
29:4,18
30:11,20

burned 215:2

Burwell 11:8
12:18,20,
22 13:13,
15 15:1
16:17,20,
24 28:18
54:13
56:20
58:24
59:10
70:13
78:15
82:15
91:15,17
119:25
135:1,6,13
203:20,22
279:17
288:13,15

business
34:13,15
46:2
85:11,13,
20

button
277:13
281:15
283:8
287:15,22
288:2,6

bypassed
258:4

C

C-L-E-R-C
61:10

calculate
102:9

calculated
100:10
233:2,8
234:13
265:24

calculating
102:2

calculation
100:9
101:8,9
102:1

calendar
8:10

calibrate
217:11

calibrated
223:24

calibration
217:24
224:10
277:15,17,
18,24
278:2,4
282:17,20,
25 283:4

California
103:18,22
104:1,8,9
109:4
160:18
187:22

call 24:6
27:18 31:2
60:10
79:15
83:4,13
86:18
99:20
130:15
132:18
134:10,15
136:22
137:9
148:22
184:1
209:24
241:4

called 15:18
18:6 31:17
35:20
36:15
55:11
60:24
67:11
68:15
73:18
77:25 80:1
84:2,13
121:24
137:20
166:4
182:11
210:11
212:20

calls 59:1
121:25
133:22
197:6
221:6
285:24

campaign
27:25 28:4
88:22
91:8,10
114:11,15
115:6
116:1
189:4

campaigns
85:2,20
86:4 122:6

cancelled
49:15

cancelling
50:20

candidacy
28:8 30:9

candidate
30:6 86:10
87:15 89:1
91:10
114:18
115:4
116:22
117:22

118:6,9,13
123:11
125:2,13
138:6,11
177:11,13
201:17
204:9,10,
11 219:24,
25 220:17
235:2
240:9,11,
13,18,20
272:6
278:25

candidate's
278:9

candidates
26:15,18,
20 28:4
36:18
39:11
86:18
113:2
117:9,11
122:24
123:6,18
199:10,13
200:4
201:18,24
202:9
204:11
214:1,13
218:11
219:20,22
221:22
231:20
239:2
240:11
241:2,10,
11,13,25
242:2
252:9
259:4
272:13,17
279:2,6

cane 68:6

canvas
36:15,20
104:22

capable
42:17

capacity
191:4
261:8,11

capital
61:10

capitol
33:13
145:2

caps 240:10

card 189:11
216:18,20,
22 217:2,
4,8 218:2
221:24,25
222:1,6
223:22
233:6
234:13,15
269:11

card's
217:20

cards 212:24
213:1,2
215:25
216:11,15,
22 222:2
266:11,13
267:1,25

care 132:2
167:18
208:11

career
34:13,15

careful
237:22
238:1

carefully
164:6

carelessness
166:13

Carolina
34:11
36:11
41:11
260:11

carry 29:10
30:20

cas 25:1

case 9:11,
22 10:15
12:2,6,11
13:18,24
14:4 15:2,
6,18,24
17:6,11
18:24,25
19:8,10,
11,20,22
22:13
23:22 24:2
25:1,22
26:11
27:17 29:6
30:17 33:9
34:4 41:24
91:18,20,
22 92:4
93:18
110:10
124:15
126:15
131:8,13
132:4
133:15
136:1,11,
18 138:9
143:13,15,
24 145:6,
24 146:6,
20 151:11,
13,22
152:1
154:17
155:2,6
156:13
161:22
164:15
169:22
176:9,20
182:15
185:6
197:9
198:15
200:18

208:11
225:13
237:18
253:18
255:15
265:20
266:4
272:22
288:24

cases 15:1
26:2
133:24
157:18

cast 23:13
70:22
73:11 74:4
75:4,15,20
76:2,8,24
86:17
94:20
100:11
101:11,13,
15 104:15,
17 109:11,
24 116:4
119:8
124:13,18
125:2
126:8
127:2
161:18
179:17
203:13
218:8
268:17
273:1
287:17,22
288:2,6

casual
230:13

categories
85:22
128:2

caught
172:11

caused 22:17
29:22 33:6
121:4
230:10
231:13

caution 24:1
237:13

cc'd 246:15

CD 215:2,4,
6,8,13

ceased
211:15

census 87:18

center
211:2,4
212:2,4,6
244:2
245:20
246:2
249:11
251:22

central
167:11

centralized
277:2

CEO 34:17

certification
229:8
280:4

certified
29:20 46:1
229:4
237:6,8
250:6
271:4,18
272:13,15,
17 273:17
282:13

CES 211:9

chain 213:6

chair 22:13

chairs 68:22

challenge
58:6

challenges
135:25
252:9

challenging
26:2

chance 13:2
22:4,6
33:2
106:20,22
111:24
131:13
136:25
175:17,22,
24

change 25:4
114:15,22
179:15
188:9
241:4
248:20
249:6
251:6

changed
249:20
250:22
251:4

character
93:18

characterize
190:24

charge 24:9,
13,20
128:24

charged
28:18

charitable
32:8

Charles
118:2

Charlotte
34:10

chart
103:11,13
104:24

check 68:6
100:22
102:20
271:10

checked

269:4
271:13

checking
265:18

Chicago
81:15

childcare
289:9

chip 284:1, 2,6 286:4, 10

chips 235:4

choice
179:11
290:13

choose
114:24
252:20

chose 27:25

chosen 19:18
25:25
136:8

Chris 83:13
86:8

Christopher
84:1,6,11
89:24 91:4
93:2
113:13
114:6
120:4
127:9
128:11

Church 63:2
72:24
195:8

circle
130:18

circumstance
230:4

circumstances
15:4 56:15
133:2
241:2
289:24

cite 143:24

cited 13:17
15:2
143:20
175:20

citing 179:4

citizen
35:11 62:9

citizens
28:20

civics 81:13

civil 15:22
18:20

claim 22:22
24:9,13
29:22 42:2
116:20

claimed
116:24

claims 29:6
30:15,24
151:20
206:22

clarification
16:25 17:4
237:15

clarify
17:22
22:20
66:18
252:6

Clarke
189:25
192:11
197:1,4,8, 13

class 144:18

Clay 243:11

clean 77:6

clear 12:6
15:18 19:4
23:15
25:22 26:6
29:6 30:9
37:11
92:11
106:11
169:10
176:20
195:15
209:18

clears
218:18

clerk 12:1

client 9:20
17:4
134:18

clients
9:15,18
85:18,22, 24 88:15
93:15 96:1
289:2

clock 130:18

close 29:11
70:20
275:13
280:4

closed 69:1
70:4 212:4
270:10,11, 15

closely
146:18

closest
213:24

closing
28:4,6
68:24
114:11
115:6
116:1

Cloudplayer
142:2

co-defendants
15:13

Coalition
10:13
32:2,4,6

33:6 36:24
43:20,22
44:15 52:4
99:2

Coalition's
33:22

COBB 8:1

code 15:6
42:15
157:1,4
178:9
204:15
282:22

code-level
237:11

coded 207:22

codes 179:6

coding
207:24
220:15
222:11

colleagues'
230:22

collect
141:18

collected
214:4
234:6
235:11,15
266:15
267:2
271:9
272:6,18

collecting
223:4

collection
223:10

collects
141:9
247:11

college
84:20
138:20

collegial
180:20

Colorado
35:6
36:11,15
149:11
187:22

column 99:13
101:4
239:13
257:2,8,9,
20 265:9

columns
99:13
101:25
239:9,11
265:6

combinational
214:20

comment
131:11

commission
113:18
117:10,15,
17 123:1

commissioner
94:20
117:11
123:2
281:25
282:1

committees
86:1,2

common
121:17
260:13

commonsense
24:15

communicating
270:20

communication
251:22

communications
244:2

community
162:6,13
163:6

company
84:13
115:9
142:2

company's
45:13

compare
95:20
104:13
126:11,22

compared
126:13
233:6

comparing
67:4
100:10,11

comparison
99:24
151:18
174:6

compel
18:15,17
180:24
209:13,20

compelled
25:13

compensation
52:6

compilation
40:15

complainant
224:2

complaint
14:11
15:22 74:8
223:6
264:18

complaints
74:11 77:9
223:4,8,
15,20,22
224:17,18,
20,22,24
277:8,9,10

complete
218:4

completed
214:15

completely
177:22
181:22

completeness
214:9,24

complexity
136:1

compliance
136:22

complicated
253:8

comply 129:6

component
75:11

components
144:13
227:20
231:15

comport 16:6

compound
153:13

compounds
165:8

compromise
145:8
245:15

compromised
145:2
166:6
246:8

computer
39:15
135:8
138:6,25
139:4,18
161:22
162:6,11,13 163:4
215:15
216:8
232:1,6
233:4
234:15
267:18
270:22
283:8

computers
142:6
270:20

concern
10:20 89:4
231:22
257:24
258:1
262:2
276:8
281:6,8,9

concerned
19:4 35:9
73:9
256:18
269:8

concerns
89:2
184:13
262:4
269:2

conclude
25:13

concluded
60:6 79:11
82:18
291:22

conclusion
15:8 25:11
38:6 59:2
161:1
166:20
197:6

conclusions
109:10
143:22

conduct
36:17 38:2
43:2
114:11,15
148:9
183:17
186:22
196:10
235:2

conducted
140:20
177:2
191:22
200:6

conducting
37:17

conference
135:4
184:1
291:4

conferencing
135:2

confidentiality 11:15

configuration
199:13,15,18 239:17,18 240:2
242:4
264:22

configured
27:13
199:13

configuring
215:20

confirm 60:1

confusing
258:20,24

confusion
27:11,15,20 195:20
241:15
262:2
276:11
280:25

Congressman
118:18

connect
145:10
282:22

connected
166:1
263:18
270:11
284:2

connection
34:11
142:13
156:13
270:11

connotation
148:8

consensus
160:25
162:13
163:1

considerable
35:13

considered
184:6
200:10
201:6
230:9

consistent
21:15
53:15
106:8
122:18

Constitution
131:20

constitutional
15:2 30:15
151:18
206:22
256:2

constructed
212:10,11
214:4,6
246:4

constructing
248:25

constructs
213:22
267:18

consultant
114:15
119:17
141:15

consulting
85:2

consumer
85:17

contact
87:20
215:6

contained
232:4
234:15
284:18

contemporaneous 63:6 66:2

content
222:4

contents
23:11
98:10,17

contest
14:20 15:2
19:10
21:6,15
30:18
57:24,25
59:17

contested
80:24 83:2
264:11

contests
124:11

context
81:11
115:15,20
122:6
135:20

continuance
15:4
112:17,20

CONTINUATION
72:18
187:11
243:2

continue
139:20

continued
68:13
233:15
250:13

contract
61:20
246:4

contrary
163:4
190:15

contribute
166:17

contributions
53:6

control 15:2

controls
285:11

convenient
129:17

conversation
11:13
69:15,17,18

conversations
181:6

convicted
22:13

copied 64:15

copies 137:6
214:22
274:11

copy 44:6
45:11
57:4,6
64:18
98:11
103:15
137:6
183:20
245:13
250:6
252:22
267:18,22,25

core 32:11,13 85:13

corporation
28:10

correct 18:9

19:25
34:20
39:20,22
40:1,18,
20,22
41:4,13
45:11 47:4
50:20,25
53:1,8,15,
20 61:11
65:2,13,20
66:4 67:13
75:4,22
76:2,25
77:4 78:4
82:11 88:2
89:4,9
90:13,17,
18 91:9
95:10,13
98:20,24,
25 99:15,
18 100:18
101:20
103:2,4,6,
20 105:17,
20 106:17
110:15
113:22
114:17,20,
22 115:1,
11,15,22
116:1,2,6,
8,11,18
118:11,22
119:20
125:8
126:18
128:15,18
149:17
151:6,9,10
152:20,22
153:25
154:2,4
159:8,9
161:15
174:10
178:15
180:13
183:17,18
189:8,11,
13,15
190:1
192:25
193:1,15,
17 194:6,
11,15,17
195:11
196:2,4,6,
13,17,18
197:2,15,
24 198:4
199:8,20
200:8,11,
13 201:25
202:4,11,
18 204:20
206:6
207:2,4
212:17
213:13,18
216:10
226:4
227:11
233:1,11,
20 237:13
242:6
243:25
250:24
254:8
255:22,24
256:4,8,
15,17,18,
20,22
259:25
260:1
262:9,20,
22,25
263:1,2,4
265:15,18,
24,25
266:2
268:18,24,
25 269:9,
10,13,18
270:25
271:1,13,
15 272:13,
17 274:2,6
275:24,25
276:2,6,13
277:4
278:10,11
279:11
280:17
281:13
282:6
283:9,17,
18,22
285:13
286:24,25
287:2,4,6,
18 288:6,8

corrected
247:20

correctly
15:1 47:18
127:17
194:4
199:4
207:22
233:18
264:13
267:11
272:10

correctness
167:18
214:25

correlation
173:22

cost 53:2,4

coughed
102:18

counsel
15:1,20
64:1,11
98:11
151:25
194:2

count 26:20,
22 27:2
67:6
201:22

counted
203:15
272:6

counties
14:13

57:13 95:4
125:9
205:22,25
212:11,13
213:11,15,
18 217:9
229:2
231:22,25
246:1,6
249:24
251:20
252:1,15,
18,20
253:20,22
257:10,15,
17,18
262:24
264:15
271:6,13,
22 272:20
277:20
278:22
282:13

counting
207:11
268:18

country
141:10
163:10

counts 26:13

county 8:1
9:13 11:20
12:2,15,22
14:10,13,
25 15:20
16:17,24
28:18,20,
22 29:1,6,
15 38:18
70:10,11,
13,15
80:17,20
82:15
89:18
90:20
147:8
150:22
155:9
180:9
189:25
192:11
197:1,4,8,
13 211:8
212:9,13
213:9
214:2,4,22
215:1,2,
11,15,20
216:6
223:11
229:2
232:8
235:8
252:11,13,
20 253:9,
24 254:4
256:20,24,
25 257:10,
13,15,20
262:20
263:13
266:10
267:17,20,
22 269:4,6
271:10,20,
25 276:9,
25 278:4
289:8
290:22

county's
11:22
13:15
213:9
215:15
216:25

county-by-county
199:17

county-level
104:22

couple 22:20
38:20 67:6
89:20 91:2
164:6
291:15

court 8:6,8,
9,12,18,
20,22,24
9:2,4,8,
17,18,20,
22,25
10:2,4,8,
10,17,18
11:1,4,6,
9,11,17,
20,24
12:1,6,11,
13,18,20,
25 13:2,6,
11,13,17,
22 14:2,
13,22
15:11,15
16:4,11,
13,15,20,
22 17:1,
10,15,20
18:4,9,11,
17,20,22
19:4,17
20:6,9,11,
15,17,18
24:20
25:13,15
28:17
29:2,13,17
30:9 31:2,
4 32:22,25
33:2,18,
20,25
36:4,22
37:1
38:17,22
39:4
41:17,20
42:10,18,
25 44:8,
20,24
45:2,4,8,
18,22
46:1,4,6
48:2,4,13,
17,25
50:10
51:13,20
54:2,8
57:6,13,
20,22
58:4,9,13,

17 59:4,
18,25
60:4,11
61:9,18
63:2 64:22
66:6
69:13,20,
22 70:10,
17 71:8,
10,13
72:10,17
74:17,18,
22 79:9
81:2,8,11
82:10,13,
15 83:6,9,
11,13,15
89:17
90:22,25
91:15
92:2,4,17
93:18
94:4,11,17
97:13,20
98:13
101:24
102:18
103:17
104:4
105:2
106:6,18,
24 107:25
108:2,13,
18 109:4,
15,18
110:1,4,
11,15,18,
20 111:4,
6,8,17,20,
22 112:1,
4,6,13,18,
22,24
116:25
119:2,24
120:2
121:11,18,
20 122:1
124:22
125:6,9,
11,15,20,
22 128:20,
22 129:2,
6,8,10,13,
15,20
130:6,11,
17 131:1,
11,15,25
132:2,9,
13,22
133:10,15
134:6,11,
15,18,20,
24 135:1,
2,6,8,11,
13 136:4,
13,15
137:4,8,10
138:11,13
139:6,11
140:13,15
143:18,20
145:11,22
146:6,10,
13,15
150:6,13
151:13
153:15,18
154:6,9,
11,24
155:4,25
158:20
162:22
163:15
164:11,13,
18,20
165:9
168:2
169:6,17,
18,22
170:11
171:8,11,
15 172:22
173:6,10,
11 174:20
175:4,10,
11 176:10,
11,15
180:24,25
181:2,11,
20,22,25
182:2,6,
10,13
183:18,24
184:2,6,
18,22
185:2,13,
15,20
186:2,11,
18 187:4,
10 188:11,
25 190:11,
18 191:18,
22 192:2,
6,11,18
193:6,8,10
195:22
197:10
198:20
202:25
203:2,6,
20,22
205:4,13
206:15,20,
25 207:2,4
208:4,8,
11,13,15,
18,22,24
209:2,4,6,
11,13,18,
22 210:1
213:4
220:22,25
221:2,10,
13,15,20
225:15,18,
22 226:15,
22,24
227:4
228:6,18
231:4
232:18
234:1
236:1,2,4,
6,9
237:20,24
238:2,8,
10,13
242:18,20
243:9,17,
20 244:8,
11,17,18
245:1,6,

10,13
246:25
247:4,8,
18,22
248:2,10,
17 251:4,8
254:10
255:6,10
259:18
261:13,17
263:11,15
264:4,8
266:4,6,
20,24
270:6
273:6,10,
13 274:10,
11 276:15
279:15,18
282:8
284:11,13
286:1,15
288:13,15,
18,20,22
289:2,4,
11,13,18,
20,25
290:4,13,
17,22
291:1,4,9,
17,18,20

Court's
132:20
181:4

courtroom
17:9 18:2,
6 20:20
60:2 98:15
131:18
210:2
290:2,6

courts 24:17

covered
46:22

covering
13:6

crack
291:11,13

craft 88:18

Crawford
25:22

create 222:2
267:25

created
213:2
216:18
262:6
266:11
267:2

creates
189:11
221:24,25

creating
213:11
216:15

credential
78:1

credentials
46:22

credibility
58:6
154:15
156:2
173:10

criminal
22:11
148:9,13,
15

critical
251:11,13,
15

Crittenden's
131:4

cross 259:18

cross-examination
38:24
51:24
54:11
74:24
77:13
78:11 82:4
89:22 91:4
121:2
127:8
128:10
131:20
150:18
188:15
196:22
198:11
254:11
276:18

cross-examine
131:13
132:13
133:1,6,8,
15 169:1

crowded 10:4

cup 36:2

Curling
131:8
143:15,24
151:11
164:4

current
141:18
143:2
246:22
251:17

cursory
259:2

custody
213:6

CV 138:17

cyber 140:18

cycle 98:13
102:4

cycles 255:1

D

DA 93:20

damaging
183:2

dampen 28:6
115:13

danger 165:2

dark 130:18

data 40:10
85:17
88:18
95:11,13
101:18
103:8
109:11
141:9,18
167:6
173:15,18
182:25
183:2
200:2
212:18
215:13
222:6
233:4,6
235:24
237:11
240:2
246:2
251:20
252:13
285:2

database
207:22
211:6
212:10,13,
15,18,22,
25 213:4,
11,15,20,
22 214:4,
6,11,17
215:2,20,
22 216:6,
8,9,13
221:20
225:10,13
240:22,24
241:8,9
250:18,22
251:6,13
252:22,24
263:18
267:18,22
268:1
271:24

databases
85:13,15
95:8 96:4
187:17,20
228:4
249:22
266:10

date 135:15
217:13,15,
17,18,25
218:6
249:2

dates 243:20

David 120:15

Davis 9:1,2,
4,6

dawn
291:11,13

day 58:4
62:18,20
63:24 72:9
74:10,13
75:2 105:6
107:2,11,
22 114:20,
25 165:10
213:2
215:24
224:22,24
232:2
233:6
241:20
267:11
268:4,11,
20 287:2

days 18:24
28:4 62:20
114:11,17
115:6
116:1
135:15
136:13
180:13
267:15

de 46:24
47:4 50:2

deal 211:6
225:18

dealing 27:4
109:4,6
184:8
228:1

dealt
247:15,17,
24 248:15

dear 133:22

debated
145:2

debunked
37:22

decades
186:15

December
62:20
133:15,18
135:25
208:11
211:15

decide 10:22

decided
21:13,24
183:15

decides
122:25

decision
110:2
145:1
169:22
171:15
239:24

decision-making 24:17

decisions
30:6 185:8

deck 268:4,
15

declaration
136:11

decreased
165:18
166:20

decrypt
215:13

defeat 29:25

defect
22:17,18
161:10
165:17
173:2
177:17
180:2
184:9

defected
149:4

defective
19:9,11
20:22
22:18
23:6,13,18
24:4 25:13
145:15
158:13
159:4,15,
24 160:8,
9,11 161:9
163:6,9,10
170:18
174:22
178:20
179:2

defectiveness
19:11
159:22
162:8,15
171:22

defects
148:22
173:15,18
231:11

defendant
22:13
110:10
112:15
131:2
150:13
154:6

defendant's
25:1 52:15
65:25

defendants
22:11,22
23:20
24:18
29:17 70:9
157:18
237:17
289:13

defending
93:20

defense
52:11 54:6
132:22
134:11,24

defer 129:18
200:25

deficiencies
87:22

define
149:15

degree 47:22
139:18,20
140:2

degrees
39:15

Dekalb 14:13
271:13,20
290:22

delay 15:6

delivered
111:13

demand 12:4,
8,9 13:15,
20 14:6
15:4,18
16:4
135:20

demands
112:17

Democrat
115:6
116:6
177:13
178:6
219:15
220:10,11

Democratic
26:15,18,
20,22 28:6
75:6,20
76:18 78:2
115:11
117:9,11
118:13
125:2,15
177:11
201:6,22
202:9
204:9,10
240:13
259:4

Democrats
26:24
62:13
116:11,18
117:18,25
202:4,17

demographicall y 88:20

demographics
87:6,11,15

demonstrate
30:15,20

demonstrated
19:17
41:22

demonstrating
30:11,13

denied 15:4
18:17,20
19:1 49:22
57:20
209:13,17,
20

deny 29:13
226:11

depending
159:13

depends
81:13
122:6
130:10

deposition
136:6,8

deputy 60:13

describe
85:11,22
86:4 87:8
94:17
101:24
213:4

describing
252:8

design 29:25
30:2 90:4,
6 91:25
194:13,18
200:22
238:18
241:17
252:6,8
253:15
254:17,22,
24 256:18
258:13,15
260:18
276:10
280:20,22

designed
29:9 160:1
194:15
249:22

designing
240:6

designs
252:11

detail
147:25
176:20
183:20
204:22

detailed
15:22 82:4

detailing
214:18

details
97:11
115:22

detect 148:2
150:4,11
161:13
287:4,6

detected
161:10
233:11,20

determination
14:20

determine
85:18
88:15 92:4
102:13
103:20
125:1,4,25
148:15
149:2
154:25
180:2
227:20
231:11,17
235:4
279:11

determines
245:2

determining
13:18
42:10,17
148:20
164:17

develop
86:22
142:18
170:4

developed
96:13
147:24
148:1,2
159:2
163:8
249:24

development
149:22

device 55:6,
9 56:9,11
217:1,2,
10,13
222:1
234:13
235:20
268:2,4,13
270:11,13,
15 280:24
284:18

devices
54:24 56:6
215:22
233:2
234:17
235:20
237:6
246:2
268:11,13

devoted
35:15

diagnostic
218:4

diagnostics
217:22

Diebold 35:6
144:6
147:4
149:6
152:4,11,
20,22
156:18
158:11
159:6,18
160:17
205:9,11

diff 185:17

differed
264:24

difference
28:2
159:17
258:6
270:9

differences
162:13

differential
175:6

differentiation 27:2

differently
35:8 223:2
226:24

difficulties

73:22
238:6

dip 30:8

direct 31:20
50:10 61:2
72:18 80:4
84:6
101:17
102:24
104:11
120:13
124:20
137:22
175:2
210:15
238:17
243:2,10
246:11
251:2
270:18
285:15

direction
63:11
146:13

directly
63:11 96:9
133:8
233:4
270:11

director
10:13 32:2
211:2

disabled
54:15,22
55:4,13,22
56:4 59:13

disagree
143:20
226:25
227:1

disclosing
96:15
98:10,17

discover
268:20
272:20,22

discovered
19:20
269:17

discovery
18:20
24:25
112:15
180:25
184:6
185:10
188:6
265:20
284:11

discrepancies
67:8

discrepancy
76:6,18

discretion
245:13

discrimination
28:11
115:10,11

discuss
17:20
92:13

discussed
230:20

discussing
264:24

discussion
162:4
230:15
258:11

discussions
258:6
262:1

dismissed
30:15

disparity
106:13

display
219:22
235:13
241:22
253:24
265:6

displayed
50:6 237:4
240:4
253:11,13
254:4

displaying
240:9
255:18

disposal
253:22

disqualified
18:6

disregard
163:15

dissertation
140:6,9

distance
40:18 42:8
47:17

distinction
19:20

distinguish
213:8

distinguished
227:10

distribute
167:6,13

distributed
200:24
205:20

distributing
251:20

distribution
218:20
246:1

district
111:25
143:15
177:4
214:18
220:4

districts
238:22

dive 158:10

divided
238:25

dividing
101:15

division
223:9
225:2
229:25

divorce 8:10

document
44:2,25
52:13 64:2
98:4 112:2
169:8
246:24
275:8

documentary
19:13

documents
169:13
271:17,18

donate 81:6

door 58:1
123:24
151:20

dots 145:10

double
100:22
102:20

double-check
117:13

doubt 19:9
22:20
23:13
25:15 26:4
29:22
30:22
109:11,24

doubts 33:10

down- 258:6

down-ballot
28:13 89:1
119:18
198:9
258:9

down-ticket
88:15

dramatic
25:25

drastically
194:1

drawing
150:6

DRE 25:9
37:22
38:11
39:20
40:2,20,22
41:1,2,6,
8,10 42:6,
22 47:24
54:15
56:18
59:11
68:24
73:13
90:11,15
128:1
144:2
150:2,10
151:15
154:1
158:11,15
159:2,6,
18,22
160:11
161:2,15
165:22
174:11
177:11
180:6
182:6,20
189:11,18,
20,22
196:11,15
203:13
205:9
208:2
212:24
213:1
216:4,13,
18,20
218:9
228:22
235:13
239:22
240:2,4
241:1,22
252:25
253:1,20,
22 255:11
259:2
277:1
278:24
279:10
280:24
283:20,22,
25

DRE's 30:4

DRES 47:15
56:6 92:1
144:4,6,18
145:13
147:15
148:2
149:2,6
150:4,11
151:1,4
152:2,4
154:13
155:2
158:6
160:22
161:18
162:9,15,
18 163:6
166:22
204:18
205:2
225:15
239:22
251:17

drives 216:4

drop-off
88:13,15

dropout
101:4

dropped
160:6

Drupal
166:4,6

duck 185:24

due 135:18

dueling 17:15

Dufort 9:11, 15,20 79:15,24 80:4,11 81:1,22

duly 31:18 60:25 80:2 84:4 137:20 210:13

Duncan 9:11 23:6 25:18 52:11,15 54:6,8 57:2,11 80:24 110:10 112:15 254:18 264:13 283:9

duties 261:13

Duval 9:11

Duvall 9:24, 25

dysfunctioning 282:20

E

earlier 9:1 90:2 101:17 113:17 114:25 136:11,13 190:10,20 195:1 206:4 212:6 269:15 277:6

early 21:20 46:18,22 47:6 62:18 105:9 114:20 128:2 157:2 191:2 290:6,18

earth 198:18

easier 48:4

easiest 252:17

east-west 27:18 265:17

easy 48:6

Ebay 144:11

educate 119:18

education 119:18 138:20 144:17 147:13

Edward 268:17

effect 204:15

efficient 87:1

effort 58:2 115:18

efforts 57:15

elderly 68:6 76:22

election 12:11 14:20 15:2,6 16:22 19:8,11, 18,22 20:22 22:18 23:6,13, 15,18 24:4 25:13,18, 24 26:2,4, 13,22 27:4,6 28:22 29:2,9,11, 18 30:1, 10,18,25 32:9,10 33:15,17 35:11,15, 17,24 36:8,11 37:1,6,9, 13 38:4 39:6,22 40:2 42:15 46:13,18, 22 57:24, 25 59:17 62:8,15, 18,20 80:13,24 81:4,25 82:22 83:2 85:15 86:11,15, 18,22,24 87:18 89:13 90:6,9,17 91:25 92:13 94:15 96:25 100:11,13, 20 102:4 105:6 107:2,11, 22 109:25 114:25 116:20 122:6 124:6,13 127:13 140:10,20, 24,25 141:1,6, 10,11,20 147:8,10, 11 151:18

153:2,6,
11,22
154:2,22
155:4
157:6,22
161:20
162:20
164:8
165:10,18
166:8
167:11
170:24
171:6
172:6,20
175:25
180:10
182:25
183:11
184:9
188:9
189:18
196:11
200:8,11
203:11,13
204:15
205:1
206:15,22
211:2,4
212:4,6,
11,18
213:2,22,
25 214:4,
6,11
215:20,24
216:20
218:13,20
222:22,24
223:10,11,
18,20,25
224:1,22,
24 225:2,
8,18,20
226:4,9,
10,20
227:4,10
228:24
229:17,18,
24 230:1,
2,4 232:2,
9 233:6
234:11
235:9,22,
24 236:18
239:10
240:8
241:17,20
244:2
245:20
246:2
248:6,15
249:8,11
253:9,17
254:25
258:4,20
261:22,24
262:13
263:13
267:11,15
268:4,6,
11,20
269:2
271:2,20,
22 272:1
273:20
274:1,4
277:8,22
280:9
281:11,13
284:15,17
285:2,4
287:2

election's
227:20
238:6

elections
9:13
28:18,24
29:9 35:4,
18,20
37:18
38:20 39:8
40:11
46:17 62:4
77:18
88:13
89:20
96:18
101:18
102:2
103:6,9
122:15
141:9
149:17
150:24
151:6
166:25
167:2
188:20
211:8
212:9,22
222:18,25
223:6,9
229:25
230:6
240:11
246:6
249:25
251:22

Elections.kennesaw.edu
251:25

elector
80:18

electoral
19:15 85:2

electorates
85:4

electric
22:13

electronic
21:6 22:1,
2 27:2,13,
17 28:2
32:13,18
33:4,11
35:2,10,20
37:10,17
38:13
39:15 73:4
105:13,18
106:13
164:2
174:8
199:13,15,
20 238:18
258:9
264:15,20,
24 276:11
277:25

282:4

electronically 104:15 276:11

electronics 282:22

element 259:10

eliminate 83:4 175:4 280:25

eliminates 22:13

else's 164:13

email 45:13 46:2 185:4,6 243:11 244:2 245:18 246:15,17

emailed 182:13

emails 243:15,25

embeds 19:18

employed 32:2 61:15 70:9,13 84:13 210:20

employee 289:8

employment 141:24

empty 291:6

encounter 235:17 241:6

encountered 223:1,11 224:9 269:24

encourages 122:15

encrypted 215:4

end 62:15 68:20 73:11 92:10 212:1 234:10 268:11

ended 35:11 253:20 257:4

engage 85:18 87:25

engaged 28:10 84:15 89:1 138:8

Engineering 168:10

English 266:13

ensconced 42:15

ensure 28:20 142:6 265:22

entail 95:6

enter 49:17 63:20 82:25

entered 44:2 52:13 64:2 98:4

entering 123:22 237:13

enthusiasm 28:6 115:13

entire 23:11,13 30:22 168:11 249:6

entirety 48:24

entitled 13:25 80:22 83:2 99:6 117:2 181:2

entitles 29:8

environment 222:17

EPROM 284:1, 2,6,15,18, 24 285:4 286:4,8,9

equal 253:22

equipment 179:2 206:2 212:8 222:15 229:2,4,6 253:20 268:1

erasable 286:4,6

error 172:4 178:4,11 179:6 207:24 218:25 220:15,18 230:10 242:6 278:8,13 286:24

errors 16:1 166:15 167:20 172:1 179:18 195:6,8,13 207:22 219:1,2 247:20

escape 24:18

essentially
86:13,18
102:2

establish
27:1 82:10
124:13
145:10
165:11

established
26:2 92:10
155:24
158:15
169:15
172:10
191:2

establishing
184:20
198:25

ethnicity
87:13

evaluated
164:9

evaluating
87:4 88:8
89:6
187:17

evaluation
89:13

evenly
205:20
220:15

Everest
160:18

everybody's
10:10
130:15
133:18
181:11

evidence
19:11,13,
17,22,24
20:2,20,
22,24
22:15
23:24
24:6,11,20
26:6
28:22,25
29:4,11,
20,24
30:11,15,
22 42:2
44:4,22
45:20 51:6
52:15
57:11 58:2
64:4,18
96:25
98:6,13
103:17
111:11,15
131:2,4,6,
9,15,18,22
132:1,2,11
156:1
161:18,20
162:2
165:6
169:18
172:20
175:2
176:22
183:8,9,
15,22
188:6
190:25
191:4
198:13
220:22
232:15
233:22
255:4

evidentiary
184:8

exact 100:25
102:20
119:13
126:18
158:24

examination
31:20
43:10
56:24
58:22 61:2
72:18 80:4
84:6 93:2
120:4
137:22
156:6
184:17
186:22
187:11
190:11
204:1
207:15
210:15
227:20
229:6
231:15
234:4
243:2
279:22
286:17
287:10

examine
134:22
180:15
229:4

examined
40:20
94:18,22
124:11
164:24
229:2
232:6
233:2,9

examining
96:18

examples
175:20

Excel 64:13
65:2,6,9,
11

exception
12:9 15:25
136:2

exceptionally
179:6

excuse 36:20
41:4 65:18
78:24
158:6

excused
60:2,4

128:22
288:20

excusing
60:2

executable
212:20

execute
249:24

executed
211:8
222:25

executive
10:13 32:2

exercise
146:15

Exhibit
44:1,4,10,
11 45:20
52:15
54:2,6
63:25 64:4
78:15 79:4
98:2,6
112:1,4,15
168:4
243:9,11,
24 245:9,
17 246:15
251:2
275:1,4

exhibits
264:2
266:18
273:4,10,
11,20
274:9

existed
28:13

exit 130:20

expect 21:13
200:22

expected
222:18
277:18

expedient
14:20

expedited
136:15

expenses
53:11

experience
35:1 39:18
50:2 84:18
85:11
87:4,9
88:1,2,4,
6,10 90:6
91:24 92:2
94:1,4
96:11,18
108:6
109:24
119:15
121:15
138:20
141:13
144:2,15
146:22
147:13,15
148:1
149:2,8
153:1
165:15
177:25
187:15
206:8

experienced
73:24
74:13
230:11

expert 17:2,
6 25:9,10
33:9,18
34:1 38:6
39:2
41:18,22
42:4,6,18,
22 43:1,2,
15 59:20
84:15 88:4
89:11
91:18
92:10,18,
20,22 94:8
96:22
97:15
108:11,13
123:24
138:9
143:11,13,
18 150:2,
10 151:11,
13 154:13,
17 155:11,
24 156:1,2
158:1,8
164:18,20
168:25
169:15
170:4
171:1,17
172:10,15,
18 173:2
174:17,24
177:15
179:1
191:4
195:11
198:4,18
280:18

expertise
41:22 42:6
43:6 47:22
92:11,18
93:25 94:6
96:13,18
97:6
121:24
146:22
147:2,15
148:2,20
165:17
179:22
194:13,17
206:4,8

experts
17:15,17
20:4
59:18,22
168:24
170:2
171:17
186:15
221:13

explain 23:1 30:8 51:6 64:1 68:2 93:11 99:13 105:2 119:2 131:4 151:13 164:6 176:9,11, 18 180:18 183:18 193:4 204:22 255:10 266:4,6 270:2,8 271:17

explaining 90:2 100:2

explanation 22:2,11,17 24:18 104:2 178:1 207:20 228:4 270:8

explanations 29:24

explicit 12:8

explore 121:1 147:25

exposed 165:2 228:15

exposure 165:6 227:15

Express 67:1 205:11 246:2

expression 211:11 213:17 234:24

extensive 184:4

extent 180:2

external 161:17 162:1 270:11

extra 274:11

extract 183:2

extracted 232:2 233:4 234:13

extreme 21:1

extremely 177:20

eye 133:8

eyesight 130:24

eyewitness 175:2

eyewitnesses 178:18

F

face 194:24

faced 183:13

facie 22:9 24:4

fact 13:9 17:11 27:4,10,11 28:8 29:25 30:15 32:15,18 33:13 35:4 42:10 63:20 116:9 117:15,24 118:8,11, 15 119:11 123:10 145:2,8 146:2 148:4 149:4 172:13 199:11 200:15 201:4,9, 13,22 202:15,25 248:13 258:11 259:11,24 274:1,4

fact-bound 15:22

factors 30:8 200:13,20

facts 12:10 15:20 91:20 145:20 155:6 176:22 220:6,22 232:13

factual 15:22 16:2 33:22 42:2 231:2

failed 14:4

fair 28:20 75:8,9 101:1 115:4 151:18 158:20 229:11,13

fairness 24:15 240:6

faith 198:13

familiar 37:15,18 54:15,18 55:13,15, 20 116:17

118:11
177:4
199:9,11,
15,18
200:2
201:2,4,9
225:9
227:15
234:24
287:20

familiarity
199:24

fashion
229:6

fast 106:6
236:8

fastidiousness
166:13

feasible
38:2

federal
58:24
143:18
212:9
246:6
253:13

feedback
222:18

feel 259:2
278:4

fees 53:2

feet 49:10

felt 224:13

FEMALE 13:4

fewer
117:11,25
123:6,13,
18 124:18
126:17
202:10
257:13
282:13

field 148:11
153:2
155:24
170:4
174:4

figure 68:25
87:24 95:2
201:11
221:18

figures
174:20

file 74:8,
11 86:24
88:18
135:15
167:6
184:4
212:18
215:13,15
235:9,11,
24,25
236:18
284:22
285:2

filed 11:18
12:15
110:10
136:11
264:22

files 85:15
214:18
246:2,10

filing 11:22

filings
18:24

final 109:11

find 87:22
103:24
106:1
122:8
124:9
126:4,15,
25 223:13
245:2

finder 155:6

fine 10:4,6
32:24
59:22 68:4
69:13
130:13
185:15

finger 71:15
74:4

finish 70:2
157:15
187:2
191:22
289:15

finished
41:20
70:22
205:18

fired 211:22

firm 115:8

firms 61:22

first-hand
39:20

firsthand
90:15
154:1

five- 118:17

fix 150:11

fixed 248:4
277:24

flaw 19:15

flaws 235:6

floor 236:10
247:20
290:6
291:1

floors
236:10

Florentine
270:4

Florida
160:20
257:22
260:9

focus 33:6,
22 85:20
146:18
238:20

focused

32:11,18
95:2 116:2
142:25
145:6
155:4
259:9

focusing
157:13
172:6

folks 116:11
118:20,22
130:15
169:1
264:25

follow 11:6
28:20
42:20
59:10
67:18
115:22
148:9
282:22

follow-up
57:4
154:10

font 240:10

forensic
148:11
149:6
160:15
171:6
177:15
180:4,6
181:13,17,
18 184:17
186:13,22
195:22
196:10
207:15
227:20
228:22
229:10
231:15
269:22

forensically
148:2,6
208:2

forensics
25:9

form 77:6
145:4

formally
211:9

format
189:11
239:10,11,
20 252:17,
18

formats
239:6

formed
169:22
262:15

forms 36:9

forward
28:15
29:20
30:13
83:13
280:22

forwarded
14:20
214:22
215:4

found 78:24
106:2,6
124:15
126:6,15
127:1
165:25
204:15
229:6
232:6
237:6

foundation
38:6 45:24
48:1,11
51:13
59:15
109:2
145:18,25
163:11
164:17
165:6,11
169:24
171:4
172:13,15,
17,18
177:1
184:20
228:2

founded
24:13

fourth 140:1
290:6

fraction
101:2

frame 241:22

framed
126:20

frankly 28:2
201:1

frantic 74:2

frequently
55:25 56:2

front 65:4
93:18
100:25
112:11
113:4,6
123:25
168:4
228:9
239:11,13
265:6
274:15

full 31:24
61:6 80:9
134:1
210:18

Fulton 9:11
11:6,20
12:2,15,22
13:15
14:25
15:20
16:17,24
28:18,20,
22 29:1,6
70:10,13
82:15 83:9

147:6
180:9
263:2,6
266:1,10
271:13,15,
20 289:8

function
90:11

fundamentally
24:15
151:17

funding
212:2

fundraising
52:22
53:15,18,
22

funds 81:6

future 230:6

G

gain 158:1

gained 96:17

game 86:20

gap 22:1
23:9 28:13
249:6,10

garbage
234:25

garden 29:8

garner 230:6

gave 81:6
151:13

Gay 245:18

GEMS
187:17,20
207:22
212:10,13,
15,18,22,
25 213:4,
11,15,20,
22 214:17
215:15
216:4,8,
13,17
221:20
232:1,4
233:4
234:13
238:20
239:4
249:9,17,
22 250:6,
9,10,18,
20,22,25
251:6,13
252:22
262:11
263:18
265:22
266:17
267:4,18
278:20

gender 87:13

general
39:22 40:2
48:13
81:24
90:15
100:20
105:24
106:10
107:11
116:25
118:2
126:13,22
153:6,11,
22 154:22
160:24
162:18,20
163:22
166:13
167:2,18
223:18
224:20
239:10
240:11
260:18
275:6
281:25

general's
106:15

generalize
179:22

Generalized
180:1

generally
35:2 38:11
54:18
55:4,8,11,
24 81:1,2
87:10
88:11
122:9,18,
24 125:18
142:22,24
144:2
145:22
146:25
150:2,10
151:2
155:2
158:13
159:4
160:11
163:13
199:18
205:9
227:17

generate
249:22
252:15
253:22

generated
278:22

generating
253:25

generation
141:20
143:2

gentleman
20:9 245:6

gentlemen
8:6

Geoff 9:11
25:18
112:15

geographic
87:17
88:18

Georgia 8:1

19:18
21:15,18
26:25
27:6,20
34:13,20
35:6 36:13
37:20
38:2,11,13
39:6,10,
13,22 40:2
41:2,11
42:15 43:2
46:17
55:10,18
56:10
62:13
80:15,18
81:22
90:11,15
91:9 92:11
94:15
95:15,18,
22 96:25
98:18
101:18
104:11,15
108:10
109:1,6
113:18,22,
24 116:11
118:18
120:15
127:13
138:22
139:6
142:17
143:4,6,
11,15
145:15
147:11,15
150:2
151:17
152:6,13,
15,22
153:6,11,
22 154:2,
18,20
157:6,11,
22 159:2,
22 161:2
162:18,20
163:13
164:2
165:1,22
166:18,24
170:22
184:10
186:6
188:2
189:9
196:11,15
200:18,24
201:11
203:10
205:20
206:9
207:8,18
211:6
212:9
237:9
241:20
250:6,11
251:1
255:13
258:25
259:2
260:20
261:22
267:17

Georgia's
26:11
37:15 38:1
54:15
119:6
146:22
147:6,11
151:15
163:9,22
164:8
165:18
166:25
167:11

germane
123:15

get all
130:4

give 33:2
34:2 84:15
93:22
103:15
106:20
121:2
130:13
146:13
160:13
163:15
166:4
215:11
217:4
219:2
220:4
237:10
239:25
285:15
289:2

giving 19:24
73:11
106:22

glad 203:6

glance 259:2

glass 187:2

glasses 64:9

Global
212:18,22

glue 160:4

gobs 208:18

good 8:6,7
9:8 11:13
32:4,6
35:2 36:24
38:18
41:25
43:20
44:15
77:18
89:18
145:24
150:22
179:6
185:22
198:13

Governance
32:4,6
36:25
43:20
44:15

governing

240:17

government
156:20,24

governmental
162:4

governor
15:10
21:22
25:18
71:2,15,
17,18,20,
24 94:20
95:22
98:20
100:18,22
101:4,11,
13,15
103:9
105:4,8
115:6
118:10
120:10,20
124:18
126:2,9,11
127:4
179:11
231:20
242:10,11,
13 253:18
254:24
255:22
256:2,11,
13 258:8
260:15
262:17
265:2,10,
13 268:18

governor's
19:10
21:6,11,
15,24 22:6
30:1 95:1
99:25
100:11
102:6,10
106:15
107:20
108:10
113:25
120:11
123:13
127:18,20
128:17
155:4
162:18
171:25
184:18
225:13
241:18,24
242:1
254:6,25
255:20
256:2,15
257:8
265:4,6
268:24
271:4,8
272:24
273:2
279:9
282:6,11
285:20,22

governors
260:2
265:13

graduate
62:1 84:20
139:1,2,13

graduated
138:22
140:2

graduating
84:25 85:6

Grady 195:6

grandson
133:22

Granted
26:18

gray 255:15

great 10:15
26:9 153:1
184:10

greater
27:11
147:25
165:2
176:20
183:20
204:22
276:11

Greenwald
43:18,20
44:11
45:15

grid
283:10,11

Groban 290:6

Groton 12:1

group 168:24

guess 11:2
12:2 26:6
54:6 141:4
185:20
189:20
229:10
233:22
273:15
286:6

guidelines
240:6

guilt 22:15

Gwinnett
9:13 11:6
14:25
15:17
29:15
38:18
77:18 83:6
89:18
90:20
150:22
155:9
252:20
253:4,9
263:2,6,9,
13 266:2,
10 271:13,
20 287:25
288:11

H

habit 81:18

habits 81:11

hack 270:24

hacking
225:9,20, 22 226:2, 20 227:6,9 270:1

half 182:15

hand 31:6 37:10 43:22 60:15 63:25 64:8 79:17 83:18 104:15 137:11 210:2 243:6 287:24

hand-marked
188:20,22 189:1

handed 64:11 65:4

hands 130:20

hands-on
39:17 144:1 149:2

handwritten
151:10

happen 49:15 86:20 131:20 175:22 176:20 178:2 181:25 221:9

happened
20:22 21:4,22 22:4 26:6 30:13 68:9 70:4 74:1 86:15,20 92:20,25 127:20 161:13 174:22,24 176:18 211:18 221:8 222:13 228:8 229:22 256:20,22

happening
30:2 49:18 69:18,20 97:4 127:18 175:17 233:24

happy 195:24 289:9 290:20

hard 19:13, 17 30:11, 22 47:11 64:8 82:9

hard-to-reach
85:4

hardware
147:20 158:18 159:11,20 250:2,4

Hart 25:22

HAVA 58:18

He'll 33:2

head 40:6 102:11

headed 112:9 115:9

header
241:15

headquartered
34:18

headquarters
34:10

hear 12:2,4 14:17 80:8 139:6 155:15 188:6 208:13 223:25 280:1,6

heard 14:18 134:11 136:25 154:11 158:17 169:10 176:10 180:24,25 184:2 192:11 224:1,20 235:1 280:20

hearing
20:13,20 110:13,15 133:13 135:17 136:17 208:18 232:13 291:22

hearsay
45:22 69:11,20 70:6 71:8 131:15 132:4,13 170:10,11 181:6,8,10 190:13 230:17 261:4,11

heavily
220:4,9,11

heavy 29:4 220:11

helped 36:13

helpful
217:18 270:6

helping 10:13 90:8 141:11

helps 43:4

Henderson 13:18 14:4

Henry 14:13

high 29:18 53:11 81:13 122:13 124:15 127:13 194:25 195:6 275:20

high-profile 118:9,13

higher 26:20 103:22 104:1 122:15 123:1,2 282:4,11

highest 102:6 104:9 271:8 273:1

highly 174:2

Hill 235:8 269:6

hint 19:25

hints 20:2

historic 113:15

historical 95:13 102:13 103:2

Historically 21:13

history 21:18 270:4

hit 281:15

Hm 171:8

Hmm 110:18

hoc 148:13

holding 268:6

holds 160:4

hole 188:4

home 69:6 290:20

honor 8:7 9:18 10:1, 18 11:8, 15,18 12:6 13:8,15 14:8,18,24 15:13,15 16:4,10, 13,15,17, 25 17:18, 22 18:4, 13,18 19:6 20:4,13, 15,24 21:8 22:8,22 23:1,2,4, 25 24:15, 22 25:2,4, 15,17 26:4 27:4 28:11,15, 18 29:15 30:15 31:2 32:20 33:8,22 36:1,2 38:4,8 41:18 42:4,17,20 43:8 44:6, 18 45:4,6, 10,20,22 46:2,6 47:22 48:2,10,11 50:4 51:8, 11 54:1,4 57:6,18 58:1,11,15 59:1,6,13, 22 60:1,4, 10 64:20, 24 65:24 69:10 70:13,18 71:6 72:11 74:13,18 79:8 82:2, 25 83:4,8, 11 89:11 90:20,24 91:2,18 92:6,8,15 93:8 94:11 96:6,20 97:1,10,20 100:1,6 103:15,25 106:18,22 108:2,4, 11,17,20 109:2,13, 18,20 110:4,17, 24 111:2, 6,11,18 112:6 113:10 116:20 119:25 121:9,22 123:20 124:2,24 127:6 129:1,11, 15,22 130:2 131:2,11, 24 132:6, 17,24 133:11 134:2,9, 13,15,18, 25 135:1, 13,18,24 136:4,11 137:2,9 144:20,22 145:4,9,

17,22
146:4,6,8
150:1,15
153:13
154:8,15
155:8
156:4
158:13,20,
24 162:15,
22 163:11
164:18
165:4
168:22
169:2,13
170:9,15
171:6
172:9,18
173:4
174:15,18
175:1
176:13,22
180:22
181:4,18,
24 182:1
183:22
184:15,20
185:1,11
186:1,10
187:1,4
188:4,11
190:8,22
191:20
192:1
195:20
198:11
202:20
203:4,22,
24 205:15
206:11,18
208:10,13,
20,22
209:6,8,
20,24
220:20
221:4,6,
11,15
225:11,17,
20 226:6,
15,20
227:2,24
228:13,17
230:18
233:22
236:15
237:13
238:11
242:17,22,
24 244:4,
6,15
246:22
247:2,15
248:13,18
251:6
255:2,4
259:13,15,
20 261:4,
6,10,11,
15,18
264:4
266:18,20
273:4,13,
15 274:9,
11,13,25
275:1
276:15,17
279:20
282:6
284:4,10
285:24
288:11,20
289:4,13,
15,20
291:20

Honor's 17:4
24:6

hotline
178:24

hour 289:6,
11

hours 36:20
166:6

house 245:25

housed 211:9
245:22
251:25

houses 249:8

How'd 8:24

huge 21:9
150:6

hundred
133:4

hundreds
36:20

Huntsville
249:15

hypo 220:4

hypothesis
149:15
175:20

hypothesized
280:6

hypothetical
221:10,13
230:24

I

ID 118:20,
22 215:6
216:22

idea 85:10
130:13
155:15
166:4
196:15
236:1

ideas 93:24
94:6

identical
155:22
159:10,13

identically
233:6

identification
200:2

identified
57:11
167:2

identify
10:2 55:4
111:1
158:22
243:17,20
254:20

263:9,10
275:2

identifying
148:22

image 221:25
235:10
241:4
253:22

images 232:6
235:11
255:17

immediately
72:4
141:25

impact 113:2
115:11
198:6
200:13
201:18
244:15
257:22

impacted
257:25
258:13

impacting
201:18

impanel
14:15

impaneled
14:11

implementation
159:25

imply 148:11

important
24:15 79:2
93:10
118:20

impossible
203:17

impress
51:17

in- 21:20

in-person
54:22
213:2

inadvertent
262:6

inadvertently
27:15

inappropriate
14:6

inaudible
9:4,9
11:10 13:2
16:18
17:13
25:15
28:17
32:20,22
35:18
38:15 42:4
44:8 45:2
48:11 51:4
54:2,8
59:4 61:13
63:18
64:6,9
66:6,13
67:13
69:11,13
70:11
74:17,18
75:17,22,
25 81:8
82:4,13,24
83:15,17
93:18
100:13
109:18
112:8
115:4,10,
17,20
116:13,15
119:24
120:1,2
124:1,22
125:11,22
127:4
128:25
129:2,6,8,
9,11,13
130:25
132:4
134:13
135:4,13
136:9,11,
13 140:13,
15 141:1,
11 143:18,
24 145:17,
18 146:6
149:22
151:20
153:8,15
154:15
156:4,20,
22 158:4,
6,8,15
160:18
162:20,24
163:13
164:20
165:8,9
166:2
169:4
171:4,13
172:2
173:25
174:13,20
175:20
176:24
180:10
182:11
184:4,13,
18 187:10
188:18,24,
25 189:1,
13,22
190:20,24
191:20
192:6,10,
17,18
195:6,22
197:20,24
198:1
200:13
202:8,13
203:1,11
207:13
218:13
225:11
227:6
232:22
233:15

235:22
236:6,15
237:6,18
238:1,2,13
242:15,20
243:8,15,
22,25
244:1,10,
11,15,18,
22 245:8,
13,18
246:13,15,
18,25
247:1,6,
11,13,15,
22 248:1,
2,4,6,15
249:4,15,
18 251:2,
9,10
253:4,20
254:22
255:1,6,8
257:25
259:13,15,
17,18
261:6
263:4,8,10
264:2
266:6,13,
17,18
270:22
271:15
272:22
273:6,9,
11,13,15,
18,25
274:4,6,
13,24,25
275:2,22
276:22
277:2,13
278:2
279:10,11
280:2
281:4,20
282:24
283:11,17
284:11,18
285:25
286:1,2,6,
10,11,13,
15,20,22
287:13,17
288:2,15,
22 289:8,
10,15,17,
22 290:1,
2,11,15,
20,22
291:2,6,8,
9,10,13,
15,18

incidence
66:20

incident
66:22,24
67:13,15
228:4
244:6

incidents
78:18,20,
22

inclined
22:9

include
78:18
147:18
257:6

included
214:11
218:18
239:15

includes
8:10
182:22
272:15,18

including
157:18
272:8

inconveniencin g 291:13

incorrect
40:4 111:2

incorrectly
233:11

increase
119:13
122:22
145:13
165:22
276:4

increased
165:18,20
166:20

incredibly
166:2
176:8
177:22
200:17,18

incredulous
74:6

incumbent
128:15

incurred
178:11

independence
161:13,22

independent
117:20
160:20

indicating
143:20

indications
50:22

indirectly
167:13

individual
11:11
85:15
87:11
97:11
107:1
157:15
202:22
214:22
216:10
232:2
233:2
239:6
240:1
259:10
263:24
268:6
271:24

272:6
281:11
283:22

individuals
27:15
118:20

indulgence
136:2

industry
180:20

ineligible
19:15

infected
227:22
231:18

inference
198:13

inferior
24:11

infiltrated
246:10

inflammatory
58:4

influx 198:8

information
13:22
59:20 70:1
85:17
87:17
95:6,18
96:4 99:10
103:11
104:18
109:15
122:13
169:4,11,
15 170:6
214:4
215:22
216:13,17
232:2
236:11
239:4
245:24
249:11
252:2,4
266:15
284:17
287:15

informed
76:4

informs
199:4

infrequent
122:15

inherent
151:15
166:22

inherently
161:9

initial
17:13
278:2

initially
18:13
232:8
239:20

innocent
178:13
207:20

input 268:4

inputting
218:6

inquiry
93:11

ins 14:18

inserted
217:8

inserting
218:4

inside
148:24

inspect
182:18
208:2
284:9

inspected
153:10,20
154:2,22
235:6
249:18

inspection
155:13

inspects
215:15

install
237:6
252:24

installation
249:17,18

instance
14:11 29:4
63:15
73:15
87:11
115:4,25
117:8
118:17
189:9,15,
17 199:11
201:11
223:13
256:20
259:24
260:8
267:11
272:20

instances
50:18
59:11
173:2
179:24
233:9

instruct
216:9
219:4

instructing
268:8

instructions
257:4,11,
20 258:2

insufficient
13:17

insulted
130:24

insurance
117:11

123:2
282:1

integrity
32:10
140:10

intend 10:20
17:8

intended
39:4 71:4

intensely
43:4

intent
135:15

intention
58:11
111:13
135:4

intentionally
23:20

interact
270:17

interacting
280:22

interaction
259:1
270:18

interactions
186:17,18

interacts
278:4

interest
81:1,2
142:18

interested
35:4 81:13

interesting
22:6

internal
150:2
180:15
181:20
182:4,22
183:11,20
235:9
236:22
249:1
284:18,20,
22 285:2,6
287:18

internet
165:2,6
166:2
167:8
168:13
228:15
270:18,22

internship
142:1

interpreted
167:4

interpreting
231:6

interprets
259:6

interrupt
38:9 251:2

interrupting
249:2

interviewing
164:2

intimate
97:11

introduce
45:20
65:24 81:2
132:20

introduced
57:11
132:2,4
235:20

introducing
58:2

introductory
131:2
140:18

investigate
186:6
227:9
228:9

investigated
24:20
173:4

investigation
148:11
163:20
171:6
181:13
183:17
186:13
191:24
192:9
199:6
226:18
229:15,18,
22 231:11
235:4
247:1

invoke 10:20

invoked
16:10,11

involve 89:6

involved
35:22 36:9
39:6 41:24
151:22
205:13
214:2
222:15
272:1

involving
120:15
203:11
237:18

IP 270:15

iphone
63:10,13

irregularities
268:20

irregularity
29:8,20
30:22

irrelevant
33:18

issue 13:24
15:17 19:8
20:4 30:18
42:10,11,

13 45:25
67:1,9
87:15
91:20,22
92:2,4
93:8,15
154:13,25
155:2,4,8
182:11
183:22
184:11,25
204:25
206:17
208:22
224:10
225:13
226:8,13
227:17
230:18
243:15
248:6,15
264:22
277:15,17,
18 282:17
289:9

issued
160:20
209:11
249:24

issues 14:6,
9,17 16:2
32:11,13
33:6,18
41:22
42:11
57:18 73:4
74:13
91:20
93:13
136:17
151:13
158:2
179:2,9
230:13
235:17
237:18
253:6
277:13

items 131:2

J

jail 133:24

January 8:2
45:13
136:17,24
211:17
212:2
249:4,20
250:1

Jeanne 9:11,
15,20
79:15,24
80:4,11

job 11:13
75:20
256:18

John 201:10

Johnson
63:15

join 92:6

joined 15:13

Jordan
129:9,11

Joseph 63:4

judge 12:24
13:1 35:11
93:18,20
172:15
205:2
236:11,13,
15 256:22
267:13
290:1

judgment
237:20

jump 237:24

jumped
238:10

jumping
146:15

juries 14:15

jurisdiction
214:15
215:4
218:6

jurisdictions
14:17
260:6

juror 12:4

jury 12:8,
9,10
13:10,11,
15,18
14:1,6,10,
15 15:2,
22,24 16:4
135:20
290:18

Juvenile
8:12

K

Kauffman
8:22,23
9:1

Kaye 12:22

Kemp 131:8
143:15,24
151:11
164:4

Kennesaw
211:10,15,
22 222:20
227:15
244:2,6,9
246:4
248:15,20,
22

key 29:17

kind 8:11
68:6 74:2
76:6 85:20
86:2,4,22
87:15
88:18
95:2,4,25
97:18
111:9
122:20
161:2

168:22
169:4,15
170:10
172:10,17
178:11
186:22
188:8
198:4
199:22
200:2,6,10
231:4
238:18
246:20
247:8
269:18

kinds 90:2
133:24
141:20
261:17

knew 191:11
199:25
224:8

know- 133:18

knowledge
39:20
43:17
90:11,15
94:2,4
97:11
115:24
144:2,15
146:22
147:4
149:20
154:1,18
155:11,13
158:1
164:17,18
181:20
186:18
199:1
219:18
221:11,18
230:13
241:20
254:2,4
276:9

knowledgeable
93:17
256:20

L

L-E- 61:10

lab 144:6
152:4,20
154:20
249:15

labels
216:22
217:1

labor 94:22
117:17

lack 30:6
163:11
166:11
167:18
211:10
213:15
214:15
228:2

ladies 8:6
133:22

lady 68:6

lagging
200:22

laid 38:6
59:15
109:2
172:13,15
174:15

Lamb
164:10,13
165:25

language
58:4 111:9
253:10

languages
141:22
253:6,11

laptop 129:4
134:20,22

large 22:4
65:6 198:8
241:2

large- 64:11

large-print
65:8

larger
275:22
276:1

Lasix 64:22

lasted 68:20

late 250:8,
11 251:1

latitude
34:4

law 24:15
25:22
28:20 34:2
58:25
61:24
92:11

laws 13:24

lawsuit
197:4,11,
15 205:1
262:15,25

lawyer 17:24

lawyer's
36:4

lawyering
185:22

lawyers
11:13

lay 42:1
44:22
45:24
145:25
171:4
172:13
177:1
219:20
238:20

layout 164:6
222:4
239:6
252:18
257:15
258:20
262:6

265:2

lays 239:8

LBJ 63:11, 13 65:2,13

lead 220:11 221:4 235:18

leading 96:6 100:2 106:18 155:24,25 156:2 158:8 166:8 226:22 259:13,15 267:15 282:6

leads 173:18

learn 230:2, 4

learned 132:25 260:4

leave 50:18

leaving 47:11 165:2

Leclerc 60:11,22 61:2,6,11, 13,15 65:1,17 66:9 71:13 72:20,22 73:22 74:15,20, 25 75:2 77:15,18 78:13,15

Leclerc's 69:11

led 178:18 191:18 276:11

left 30:17 68:13 167:8 181:13 265:8,9 291:6

left-hand 241:24

left-leaning 205:25

legal 38:6 53:2 59:2 197:6

legally 144:11

legislator 206:13

Legislature 15:9

legitimate 22:2

letter 45:18 231:20,22, 24 235:2

level 53:11 122:20 151:17 166:18 167:15 200:22 232:11 249:9

leverage 88:20

Lexisnexus 135:11

León 46:25 47:4 50:2

libertarian 36:18 39:13 46:20 123:11 219:15

Libertarians 285:20

library 46:25 47:4 49:20

lieutenant 15:10 19:10 21:6,11, 15,22,24 22:6 25:18 71:2,15, 17,18,20, 24 95:1,22 98:18 101:11 102:6,10 103:9 105:4,8 106:15 107:20 108:9 115:6 120:10,20 124:18 126:2,9,11 127:2 128:17 155:4 162:18 171:25 179:11 184:18 225:13 231:20 241:18 242:1,11, 13 253:17 254:6,25 255:22 256:2,13 258:8 260:2,15 262:15 265:4,10, 13 268:24 271:2,6 272:24 273:2 279:9 282:6,11 285:18,22

life 21:9
182:15

lifelong
81:11,18

light 130:20

lightly 26:1

likability
200:20

likelihood
145:13
165:17
175:15

limited 42:8
87:2 131:4

limiting
149:13

Lindsay
45:8,22
59:1,13
132:13
197:10

Lindsey
10:24
11:2,4,10
13:9
15:11,13
16:9 17:6,
11,22
18:8,10
25:15,17
32:20 33:8
42:4,20
44:18,20
45:2,4,6,
10,22
47:22
48:10 50:4
51:22
52:2,11,17
54:1,6
58:1,11
64:6,9,11,
15,18,20
65:4 66:2,
6 69:10
70:6,11
71:6,9
75:2 82:2,
6 83:6
91:2,6,13
92:6 97:9
100:1
111:2,4,
11,18,20
114:4,9
117:2,6
119:4,22
120:9
123:4
124:6
128:8,13,
20 130:2,
6,13,22
131:11
132:24
136:4
144:20,22
145:6,17
154:8
155:8
162:15
171:6,9,13
172:9
173:4,6,
11,13
174:15
176:13
181:4
183:22
185:20
186:10
188:4
190:8,10,
20 191:6,
9,13,20,22
192:15,18
196:25
202:20
203:1,4,6,
8,9,20
205:2
209:6,17
220:20
221:2,11,
15 225:17
226:6,15,
20,22
227:2,24
246:22
247:2,6,9,
13,22,24
248:2,8,
11,13,17,
18 250:22
255:8
259:15,20,
22 261:6,
11,15,18,
20 263:6
264:2,6
266:18,22
268:17
273:4,9,
11,13,24
274:9,13,
15,25
275:8,10
276:15,24
279:13
284:10
285:24

Lindsey's
285:15

lines 47:10
50:1 56:1
100:24

lining 259:4

link 119:1

list 18:25
150:6
167:4

listed
231:22
240:13
264:11
272:6
279:2,6

listen 43:4
111:11
156:4
185:13

listening
43:2 55:11

literally
30:13
289:1

literature 156:17 160:11 162:11 171:4 194:18 199:2

litigat- 36:25

litigation 37:1 80:15

live 21:20 80:15 130:11 133:1,2,15 172:24

lived 34:20, 22

living 96:1

LMA 222:22 278:2

load 268:1

loaded 215:13,18 216:8 217:20 222:1 267:22

loader 182:25 237:1

lobbies 11:11

local 85:20 215:4,15 238:22

located 62:22 197:1 274:2

location 46:25 47:4,8 49:22 63:22 65:15 67:4 73:13 176:13 216:11,15 218:22 220:9,10 221:24 222:2 252:1 283:2

locations 40:11 47:2 55:18,20 62:20 215:22 239:4,13 266:11 270:20

locked 290:17

Logan 164:10,13 165:25

logic 217:9 218:13,18, 24 222:10 268:2

logical 222:11

logistically 129:15

logistics 288:25

logs 179:6

long 17:24 47:10 48:18 49:4 50:1 56:1 82:22 103:18 129:17

longer 68:20 131:13 253:4 288:24

looked 18:22 40:9 94:17,24 97:2 104:8 113:15 119:6 120:9 128:4 165:4 185:8 189:6 195:11 203:2 229:22 230:6 235:11,13, 22

loop 275:13

loss 35:17 36:10

lost 23:11 24:2 35:15 137:6

lot 28:4 36:25 37:2 42:20 59:20 87:22 96:4,8 97:2 119:18 132:25 158:20 224:22 227:15 252:9 253:10 285:20

lots 171:18

loud 169:10

love 248:8

low 176:8

lower 101:11 174:11

luck 290:2

lunch 129:18,20

lurking 155:15

Lyndon 63:15

M

M-42 291:9

machine
22:17,18
24:18
39:20
40:24
41:1,2,8,
10 42:11
49:18
50:4,18
51:4,11
55:13,20
56:11,13
67:6 68:8,
11,13,17,
24 69:1
70:2,4,20
71:20
72:2,6
73:18,20
90:15
93:15
144:2
154:2
158:11,13,
15 165:22
172:11
174:1,2
176:4,6
177:11,22
178:6,11
182:6,20,
22 183:1
190:11
194:1,6,10
195:6
196:11,15
203:13,17
208:2
212:24
216:4,6,
18,22
217:4,6,15
220:11
222:4,6
228:22
232:4
233:4,10,
13,18
234:6,9,
10,18,20
235:10
236:2,4,6
237:4
242:4
265:22
266:17
277:18
279:10

machine's
67:6 235:8

machine-level
235:4

machines
23:11,22
24:22
25:10
38:11
39:15
40:2,4,8,
13,17,20
41:6 42:6
47:24
48:8,20
49:2,4,13
50:1,22
54:17
55:25 56:2
67:2,4
73:13,15
90:11
128:1
142:11,13,
15 143:11
144:11
145:22
146:2,18,
24 148:4
152:11,20,
22 153:6,
10,11,22
154:18,22
156:20
157:11
158:22
159:6,18,
22 160:2
161:2,15
162:20
163:2
167:13
170:18
172:2
173:20
174:8,9,
11,22
175:6,8
176:6
177:11,15,
18,22,24
178:4
179:4,6
180:4,8,17
184:17
189:13
194:6
197:22
203:11
218:18
220:10
223:17
232:2
235:6
277:25
278:2
283:22,25

made 12:8,9
15:18,20
16:1 19:20
44:11 71:2
92:9 98:13
166:15
169:22
176:20
185:8
208:9
237:17
239:24
253:4
265:22

Madison
80:15

Magistrate's
290:4

magnifying
242:6

mail 128:2

mailout
216:1

main 85:20
223:9

maintain
212:2

maintained
165:20
249:10
284:22

major 81:15
159:13
167:9
181:25
270:2,4

majority
34:24
162:10
223:8

make 10:11
16:6
18:13,18
22:11,20
23:15 48:4
54:22 55:6
70:24
71:24
74:20
75:18
93:22 94:8
104:22
106:11
107:20
130:4,6,8,
11,15
155:9
171:15
192:18
193:10
195:15
217:11,13,
20,24
237:15
238:22
253:11
259:4
265:18
267:11,15,
22 268:2,
9,15 270:8

makes 24:25
205:11

making 48:13
129:15
142:18
167:18
183:20
207:22,24

MALE 8:7
10:20
11:22,25
12:15,24
13:1 20:13
82:13
129:11,13
286:20
287:8
289:15,18,
20 290:10,
15,20,25
291:2,8,
11,15,18,
20

malfunction
24:18 50:8
51:11
93:15

malfunctioning
49:4,8
50:1,6
51:4

malice 23:18

malicious
16:2
178:15

malware
166:11,15
189:10,18
196:15
204:17,18
227:22
228:10
231:18
237:11
269:18,22

manage 212:4

Management
212:18,22

manager
67:11
68:13,15,
18,22 69:4
70:2,6,8,
13,15,20,
22 71:1,2,
15 72:4
73:15

manager's
73:22

managers
76:13

mandatory
11:18

maneuver
235:15

manifested
179:20

manifestly
23:24

manipulation
16:2

mannerisms
133:20

manufacturing
34:18

mapping
219:9
285:11

margin 25:20
26:10
177:13
184:11

Marilyn
10:13
31:2,15,
20,25
38:25
43:11
50:13
51:25

54:11
56:25

mark 55:10
132:8
283:13

marked 43:24
243:6
254:18
275:2
287:24

Marks 10:13
31:2,6,10,
15,20,25
32:1 35:1
36:8,22
38:10,15,
18,25 42:6
43:11
44:10
45:11
46:11
47:22
48:11,18
50:11,13,
17 51:25
52:2,9
54:11,15
56:25
57:2,10
58:2 59:24
60:2

Marks' 51:11

married
216:20

Martin 9:11

Maryland
160:20

Master's
139:20

match 152:20
235:20
268:13

matched
232:4,25

matches
249:18

matching
234:11,13,
15

material
159:17

materials
156:11

math 22:22,
25 23:4
86:18
102:13
111:18
191:11
270:2

math/physics
181:25

mathematical
30:18

matter 13:25
17:22
18:15
22:22
25:11
27:4,10
33:13
57:17
61:13
84:15
116:9
135:17
140:8
145:24
163:22
172:13
188:6
202:15
205:13
209:9
244:10
259:15

matters
121:2

Matthew
134:15
137:10,18,
24 138:4
150:18
156:8
187:13
188:15
196:22
204:2

maximum
194:9

Mayor 35:4

Mcdonald
8:16,17

meaning
231:6

means 17:20
181:20
193:4
263:15
270:20

meant 56:6
246:20

meantime
290:4

mechanics
150:4

mechanism
52:22

mechanisms
59:11

Medicine's
168:10

member 39:6,
8 211:20
218:15

members
36:17,20
211:18,20

memory
180:15,17
181:20
182:6,22,
24 183:11,
20 189:11
212:24
213:1,2
215:25
216:10,15,
18,22
217:2,4,8,

20 221:24, 25 222:1, 2,6 233:6 234:13,15, 22 235:4,9 236:22 266:11,13 267:1,25 284:18,22 285:2,4,6 286:10 287:18

mentioned 37:2 38:20 141:13 149:1 150:24 151:11 152:2 161:8 224:18 269:2

mere 26:4

messages 53:17,18 172:4

method 35:2 105:1 148:15 278:20

methods 106:4,9 149:20

Metro 271:6

Mexico 84:20,25

Michael 147:9 157:18 164:4,6 209:25 210:1,10, 15,20 243:4 245:18 254:13 276:20 279:22 286:18 287:11

Michigan 138:6,11, 13 139:15, 17,18,22 144:8 149:10 153:4

Mickey 272:11

microphone 80:9

Microsoft 142:2,4, 13,15,20 155:20 212:15

middle 26:24 116:10,18 172:2 202:15

Mike 268:17

million 223:20

mimic 11:22

mind 39:24 167:24 171:20

mine 81:18 173:10

minute 110:6 135:11 198:2 202:6 242:17 279:15

minutes 49:20 51:1 242:20,22 291:15

miscalibrated 224:2,6

mischaracterizes 190:20

misleading 111:1,9

misplaced 74:15

misprogramming 231:18

missed 176:15

missing 278:9,25

misspelled 19:13

misstate 244:20

mistake 8:13 148:18 178:13 220:18 242:4

mistakes 166:24 167:2,4,22

mitigate 88:15

Mm-hmm 20:6 219:8

mock 290:13

mode 53:22 55:22 56:2,4 173:22 222:20,22, 24 242:6 268:6 278:24 279:2

model 155:18 255:13,15

modeling 86:25

models 155:18 255:11,17

moment 41:15

113:4

momma 77:6

Monday
180:10,11
208:2

money 53:1,
10,13

month 133:13

monthly
175:25

months 34:25
165:2,6

Morgan
80:15,20

morning 8:6,
7 15:11
38:18
72:10
77:18
89:18
185:6
289:10,11

motherboard
284:2,4

motion 15:4
18:15,17,
18 112:17,
20 192:2
202:20
209:13,20

motions 24:2
57:20,22
180:24,25

Mouse 272:11

move 34:22
41:13
45:20
132:20
134:22
184:25
185:9
190:13
208:15,20
211:13
236:13
244:4
245:8
290:4

moved 20:13
192:13

moving 81:18
130:4
193:10
208:22
280:22

multiple
23:4 28:11
63:22
74:11
147:8
191:13
253:10,11
270:20
281:13,15

mumbling
139:6

Muskogee
229:2

N

name's 77:18

named 63:18
142:2
197:8,13
262:24
266:4
272:22

names 12:20
19:13
199:13

nation
189:17
200:20

national
86:2
156:22
160:22
168:9,18,
20 170:2

nationwide
159:22

nature 24:11
32:11
135:20,22
136:18
167:11
278:13

neces- 59:18

necessarily
148:13
183:1
201:17
290:11

needed 70:20
184:4
217:6
218:11
253:11

needing 55:6

negative
28:4,6
77:6
115:8,11
200:10

neglect
103:15

neighboring
260:11

nervous
129:15

network
249:6
270:18

Nevada 109:4

newcomers
128:18

newer 122:15

NEWS 129:4,
6,9,11

night 52:18
73:11
185:4
232:9
234:11

Nobody's
18:25

non-partisan 32:8

nonconfidential 187:24

nonpartisan 36:18

nonprofit 32:8 86:6

nonprofits 85:2,25 86:2,4

normal 56:18 241:22

North 34:11 36:11 41:10

north-south 27:18 265:15

Northern 143:15

notate 216:22,25

note 263:13

notes 63:6, 11,20 65:1,13, 15,17,20, 22 66:2,15 67:18,25 78:17,22, 24 79:1,2 129:2 224:22

notice 131:4 135:4 136:6,18 287:25

noticed 47:9 67:4 68:4, 22 71:1 208:25 258:2 280:11,13

notices 287:20

notification 218:15 223:11

notify 253:2 288:4

notion 161:11

November 25:20 46:13 62:22,24, 25 63:1,22 83:2 133:18 153:6 165:18 196:11 212:6,11 223:17,18 225:8 244:13 252:22 255:18 256:25 261:22 262:1 264:11 274:20 275:4 281:18,22

number 8:12 26:25 27:1,9,11 29:22 30:6 33:17,18 40:11 44:15 57:11 67:2 76:2,8 99:17 100:15,25 101:15 102:13 103:2 109:18 113:2 116:4,22 119:6,13 122:11 123:6 124:11 126:18 132:15,17 194:9 201:24 202:10 215:22 216:10 217:2 218:8 224:18 226:4 239:9,15 241:2 260:6,20 261:4,8,24 266:11 271:8,25 272:1,2,4, 6,22 273:1 275:22 276:4

numbers 25:10,11 40:6 67:6 75:13 92:20 97:2,6,17 98:22 99:2 105:22 107:1 108:13,15, 22 109:20, 24 110:9, 15 111:10, 24 112:8, 18 113:17 114:20 124:4,6 172:20,22 174:22 175:6 176:11 177:9 192:4,11 193:1,4,6, 8,11,15 195:6 203:2 261:13

numerical
195:13

numerous
160:22
179:18

O

oath
289:18,20, 22

object 10:25
32:20 33:8
38:4 42:4, 13 44:18
47:22
48:10 50:4
59:1,13
69:10 70:6
90:20,24
91:18
100:1
103:25
108:11
109:2
111:2,4
121:9
131:11
133:9
144:24
145:6
154:15
158:13
162:15
163:11
165:4
169:2
181:2,4
184:15
185:20
188:4
195:20
197:6
198:11
204:24
206:11,20
220:20
221:6
225:11
226:13
228:2
230:15
232:13
233:22
243:13
247:18
261:4

objected
182:8
208:6

objection
13:6 17:8
41:18
45:22 54:4
57:18
59:15 66:6
71:6 83:6
92:6 97:1, 9 106:18, 20 108:22
109:13
129:20
134:25
145:4
155:10,11, 13,17
158:25
168:24
171:6
172:9,18, 24 173:4,6
174:15,18
180:22
186:10
188:11
195:18
198:11,20
202:20
225:17
226:6
228:18
232:18
237:18
247:15,24
248:17
251:10
255:4
264:4
266:20
273:13
275:9
282:6
284:10
288:11

objectionable
45:2

objections
11:2 33:17
77:2
136:10
155:9
274:10

observations
62:18
63:20 66:2
67:20
72:24 79:1

observe
47:6,15
48:24 62:9
72:2 73:4, 8,20 78:2
171:22
218:15,17

observed
40:8,15,18
49:18
66:25
96:24
98:22
149:11
176:11

observer
62:10 63:6
77:22 78:1

observing
42:8 62:15
63:8 65:13
66:17 69:2
77:20
147:11

obtain 44:22
139:18
144:11
246:1
252:2,22

obtained
140:2

obvious
20:22
97:15

occasions
191:13

occurred
26:8
189:15
195:13

OCGA 24:6

odd 124:20

oddity 194:1

odds 176:4
198:2

offer 131:4
154:24

offered
182:4
284:9

office 39:9
76:6 99:6
105:1
107:2
167:13
210:22
211:13,17,
22 212:4
213:10,11,
22 214:8,9
215:6
222:20
223:9
224:25
225:6
228:22,25
229:25
230:6,15,
18 231:20,
24 232:11
233:1
238:18
244:1
246:4
248:22
249:11,13
253:2,15
260:24
267:6,15,
24 269:13
271:8
273:2
274:6
280:13
290:22

officer 28:9

offices
256:4

official
223:11
261:8,10,
13 274:1

officially
77:24

officials
49:20 72:2
141:10
147:10
223:10
225:2

Ohio 160:18

older 95:18

Olens 25:18
203:6

omits 24:10

omitted
278:13

op 239:18

open 18:13
44:15
45:13
165:2
166:2
167:8
270:9,18

opened 58:1
229:18

opening 16:6
18:11,13

operate 40:9

operates
56:15

operating
47:18,25
141:20
142:17,22
143:6,9
152:4,9
155:20
182:24
237:2,4,6
267:11

operation
40:15
47:15,24
150:2
183:4
212:2
217:18
222:4
278:6

operational
249:11

operations
40:13
144:2
211:15
223:17
248:22

opine 47:24

opinion 97:6
104:2
121:2
158:11
159:2,20
162:13
163:8
166:24
170:4,22
171:1
172:8
173:18
174:22,24
175:4
195:11
198:18
280:18

opinions

41:25 143:18,20, 25 158:11 162:4 169:9,10 170:2

opportunity 73:4 104:13 110:8 113:1 126:10 134:4 136:13 180:15 208:2 230:2 252:11,13

opposed 28:13 57:15 265:17

opposing 57:13

opposite 177:22

opposition 112:18

optic 216:1

optical 239:8 252:17 265:4

option 285:22

order 12:13 14:17 25:4 28:20 53:2 130:2 181:13,18 209:18 217:20 220:1 221:22 223:15 240:13 247:8

ordered 182:22 183:25

ordinary 68:9,18

organization 32:8,9,11 34:9 35:18 46:4 52:22 53:11 62:11 86:6

organizations 85:2,25 86:1

organize 219:22

orientation 242:13

original 158:6 224:11

other's 17:20

outcome 161:20 269:20

outlier 94:25

outlined 224:20

outstanding 266:25

outward 234:11 270:13

overrule 198:20

oversee 211:6

oversees 212:6

overtake 251:10

overturn 30:10 184:10 226:9

owned 249:17

owner 34:17

P

P-2 64:22, 24 65:25

P-3 97:20, 24 98:1

P-5 168:2

P-7 168:2

p.m. 130:1 187:6,8 242:25 243:1 291:22

pack 116:18 202:15

package 87:20

packet 214:22 255:9

pad 55:11

pages 66:11 82:2 168:15 170:13 240:18 253:18

paid 52:4

pair 270:13

pap- 197:18

paper 21:6, 8,22 22:10 27:2,13,18 28:2 33:11 37:2,9,13, 17 38:2,13 41:13 43:2,4 104:17 105:15

106:13
125:18
148:4,25
149:22
151:10
161:17
171:10,11,
13 174:8,
11 175:6
188:20,22
189:1
199:11,18,
20 206:15,
22 207:11
257:6
258:6
264:25
276:13
277:1,4
282:4

papers
140:22

paperwork
208:18

paragraph
111:25
112:1
238:11

parallel
267:11,20
268:20
269:20
287:2

part 14:1
32:15
35:11
53:1,2,6,9
125:18
133:20
156:11
169:22
170:13
183:10,11
205:9
211:13
256:17
261:11
262:4
265:20
278:1
287:15

partial
131:22

participate
252:11

participated
62:4
261:1,2,22

participation
88:8
257:24
275:20
282:4,11

particularities 199:6

particulars
201:17

parties
133:2
262:15,24
266:4
272:22
273:15

parts 163:10
236:22

party 24:6,
10,13 30:6
36:18
39:13
46:20
75:6,20
76:18 78:2
86:2,6,10
131:6
132:4
176:4,6,8
177:20
181:10
197:8,13

party's 24:8

pass 277:22

passcode
215:11

passionate
81:18

password
246:11

past 85:8
86:15,17
88:11
113:18
119:6
206:6

pattern 21:4
108:8,9,25
175:15
177:22
199:1
205:18
229:15
230:11,22
231:13

patterns
20:24,25
95:22
113:17
123:17
124:10
198:9

pay 53:2

Paying 108:2

PDF 252:18

peer 141:2,
4 163:4

pending
18:15

people 21:9
22:6 25:6,
24 29:10
47:10,11
89:6 98:13
100:15,17,
20 157:25
163:20
179:4
190:17
191:4,15
210:2
211:22,25
223:20
226:4
230:17,25

243:25
257:13
280:2,15,
20 281:6

percent
21:11,15
22:1 32:17
101:2,6,
11,13
102:11
105:8,10,
11,15,18
107:2,4,6,
9,10,13,
15,18,25
120:24
125:17
126:6
152:25
274:22
275:6

percentage
101:10
102:4,15
103:22
104:2,25
107:4,13,
17,22
120:22
274:17,18,
20

percentage-wise 106:8

percentages
106:4

Perdue
120:15

perfect 29:2

perfectly
63:10

perform
86:18
183:4
186:9,13
190:2
193:18
196:6

performance
117:4,22
118:6,8

performed
190:6,11
196:2

period 46:22
208:11
231:18
268:11

permission
132:20

person 17:25
19:15
21:20 26:2
56:11
65:22
105:10
136:6
198:18
280:8

personal
34:11
43:17
153:4,8
154:18
155:11,13
164:18
177:2
188:20

personally
39:20
40:2,20,22
41:11 47:6
90:8
153:20
154:22
164:24
165:4
193:18
224:22

perspective
49:9
152:22
159:11
164:25
166:13
179:1,20

persuasion
21:10

pertaining
140:24
206:13

petition
37:4

petitioner
13:20
31:17
60:24 80:1
84:2
137:20
184:22
210:11

petitioner's
29:13

petitioners
13:17 29:4
37:4,9,11
184:20
209:24
284:9

ph 65:20
200:25
245:18
290:6

Ph.d. 138:6
139:24

Phd 138:11
170:13
175:11

phenomena
175:2

Philip
132:18
158:6
190:6
193:20

phone 136:22
215:6

phonetic
243:11

photographs
72:25 73:2
74:15

phrase
121:11

Phrased
226:24

physical
217:1,2
235:13
248:24
282:20,24

physically
153:9
213:6

physics
270:2

pick 67:2
254:15
276:22

picked
218:24

picture
255:11

pictures
255:9,11

piece 88:24
189:9,18
257:6

place 8:11
19:9 25:13
27:11
33:15
49:13
94:22
97:11
115:25
207:15
216:22
239:20
250:18
252:20
261:15
285:11
289:22
290:22
291:13

places 30:22
160:2
221:25
287:20
288:1

placing
217:2
270:13

plagued
238:6

plaintiff
9:22 10:13
24:25 25:2
79:15
80:11
168:15
202:22

plaintiff's
44:4 64:4
98:2,6,18
112:15,20
168:4,8
194:2
243:8

plaintiffs
15:6 24:4
26:11
29:18,20
30:11,20
42:2 60:10
79:15
83:1,13
84:15
136:8
138:8
151:22
152:1

plan 34:22
36:13

planned
74:11
82:11

plausible
22:15,17
24:18
29:24

play 30:6
238:22,24

pleased
258:17

point 23:15
29:17 68:8
71:8,22
74:10
93:13
97:2,8
109:2
111:20
113:2
122:11
129:1
144:22
159:6
160:4
181:13
193:20
198:15
205:1
206:20,24
217:4
218:18
223:10
238:11
251:9
262:13
283:1

pointed 14:8
15:1 71:15
195:4

points 15:15
96:8
111:13
125:18

policy
206:11

political
21:10
81:4,15
84:22
85:1,2
86:1,4,6,
10 114:15
119:17
189:2
198:4
199:2

politically
88:20

politics
25:11
201:11

poll 36:15
39:11
40:9,11,17
46:11,15,
18,20,24
47:2,4
48:22 50:2
55:6 62:9,
10 67:4,10
70:13,15,
20 72:2,25
73:2,9
76:13
77:20,22,
25 78:1
81:17,22,
25 82:6,8
153:2
197:18
205:11
246:2
288:4

polling
46:25
47:4,8
49:22 73:4
87:13
115:25
200:6
218:20
239:2
287:20
288:1

polls 28:22
55:10
122:15
200:22

Ponce 46:24
47:4 50:2,
24

poorly
126:20

popping
172:4

popular
123:20

port 270:11

portal
251:22
252:2
270:13

portion
35:10
101:1

portions
246:10

pose 168:22

position
13:15
22:20
23:10,11,
17 25:1
27:18 34:2
51:15
52:2,4
70:15
134:13
151:1,4
210:25
240:11
278:17
283:13

positions
15:13
218:9

possession
268:2

possibility
258:22

possibly
26:6 95:6
119:11
136:13

post 148:13
260:22

post- 35:22
36:6

post-election
36:10,13,
15,17,20
149:8
206:4,6
207:9
217:15
231:22
258:15
260:22
278:24
279:2,10

posted
287:20
288:1

potential
166:11
230:22

potentially
86:22
144:25
167:15
283:6

power 24:8,
11 213:1
217:10
246:2

powered
237:4

powering
223:22

practical
39:17

Practice
18:20

practices
38:2,11
42:15
186:13

pre- 217:15

pre-election
222:22
234:8

pre-filled
268:8

preceding
289:24

precinct
50:24
75:20

76:2,9
125:2
128:2,4
174:2
176:25
177:10
178:25
189:25
190:4
192:24
193:18,24
194:6
195:2,11
196:4,8
232:11,25
238:24
263:11,13,
18,20,22,
24 264:10,
11

precincts
124:17
125:2,4,6,
11,13
126:1,6
127:2,25
195:2,4
214:1,18,
20 238:22,
24 272:1,2

predicate
198:15,17

predominant
239:11

prefer 133:6

preference
9:4 241:6
252:10

prefilled
218:6

prematurely
223:22

preparations
147:8

prepare
136:6
265:22

prepared
98:18
138:18

preparing
136:1
215:25

present 99:2
111:11
229:18
264:10
271:24
278:15
281:1,24

presented
14:2 24:2
101:22
111:15

presenting
28:15

preserve
20:18

preserved
19:1

president
259:24

presidential
241:6
252:10

presiding
15:10
236:11

press 28:4,6
115:8
200:11
283:8
288:6

presses
219:6

pressing
219:13
287:22
288:1

pressure
283:13

pressure-point
283:11

presumed
29:18

presumption
24:11,13

pretty 97:15
169:10

previous
46:15
88:13
119:9
191:25
229:8
235:20

previously
127:17
133:6
184:13
190:15
197:22
229:4
232:4
248:13
249:18

prima 22:9
24:4

primarily
36:11

primary 75:2
241:6
252:10

print 64:11
65:6,9
73:2,11,
15,17
279:2

print-on
217:1

printed
76:13,15
217:15
234:11
235:20

printer
217:22
218:1
239:18

printing
236:4,6

printout
268:13

printouts
285:15

prints 66:9

prior 29:15
95:22
101:18
102:8
104:2
118:17
135:4,15
190:15
200:6
249:13
277:10

privacy
32:10

private
249:6

probative
21:4
109:22

problem
18:2,10
20:15
26:8,9
49:22
51:15 69:2
75:18
148:18,20
171:15
176:11
178:9
278:25
282:20,24,
25 283:4
286:22
287:2
288:4

problems
32:18
35:15
37:20
147:15,18,
20 148:2
150:4,6,11
162:18
163:2
167:22
179:25
223:6
287:4,6

procedure
18:15
42:24
136:10
209:10

procedures
37:17,20
38:2,11
42:15

proceed
207:25

proceeding
39:4
135:22
206:13

proceedings
23:2,25

process
19:15
54:15,20
55:4 63:10
135:22
194:13
214:13
216:4,15,
22 252:13
277:20
278:2

processes
37:15

produce
24:10
233:15
241:15
252:18

produced
212:20
214:17
232:6
233:13
268:13

produces
239:4

product
212:17

production
252:22

profession
170:6

professionally
10:15

professor
132:18
133:13
192:22
193:15
195:15
196:2

proffer
131:4,6,9
132:10,11
181:1

proffers
98:13

profiled
26:18

program
141:22
161:22
212:20
219:18
220:8
250:10,13,
25 283:20
286:6

program's
259:11

programing
216:4

programmed
141:22
178:13

programming
15:25
167:13,20
178:4

207:18
211:6
212:24
213:6
218:24
219:1,2,4,
15 220:18
249:8
250:2
277:15
278:8,13
283:15
284:8

programs
245:22,25

progression
40:15

promise 31:8
60:15
79:17
83:18
137:11
210:4

proof 25:4
241:10

proofing
253:11
278:22

proofs 246:2
252:2

propagated
189:10,18

proper 44:22
155:17
172:15
217:15,17,
20,25
235:18

properly
47:25
76:24
77:10
223:24
268:18

properties
141:20

prosecution
22:13

protection
246:11

prove 24:20
110:22
237:20

proved
245:1,2

proven
244:15,17

provide
161:10,20
164:17
214:15
215:6
219:1
252:15,18
267:17

provided
13:22
215:9,17
278:22

providing
42:2

public
113:18
117:10,15
168:13
187:25
218:15
228:15
270:20

publication
163:4

publications
156:20
162:4

publicized
218:13

publicly
85:17

publish
141:4

published
140:22
141:2
148:4
149:24
158:4
160:17

pull 193:6
220:6

pulled 68:11
193:1,2,6,
8,11,13

pulling
133:11

purpose 18:1
164:15
168:20
213:6
220:6
241:10

purposes
239:9
252:2
278:22

push 277:13
287:15

pushing
234:10
238:13
241:11
282:22

put 64:6
71:15
72:6,8
74:17
79:1,2
93:22
108:13
132:9
209:18
216:13
217:1
218:15
223:1
228:11
236:13
242:6
248:8
249:13

250:15,18
283:8

Putin 270:22

puts 85:13
151:15

putting
85:13
108:13
170:15
215:20

Q

quacks
185:24

qualification
42:15
155:11

qualifications
82:11
138:17

qualified
33:9,18
38:6,11
39:2,11
41:18
42:4,6,22,
24 43:1,15
59:20
92:13
97:13
108:11,13
143:11,13
151:11
198:18
213:24

qualify 34:2
92:17,22
97:13
145:20
150:1,10

quantify
175:15

question
9:18 29:25
30:18
32:22
33:15,18,
22 38:4,10
39:25
42:25
43:15 45:2
48:2,13,25
50:4,9,17
51:8,13
54:22
56:10,11
57:4 59:4,
15 74:13
76:17
88:25
97:18
100:4
104:8
106:22
109:6
112:24
120:8
121:10,11,
13,18,20,
24,25
124:2
126:18
127:15
146:10
149:17
154:10
158:15
162:2,24
163:15
165:8
171:9
173:13
176:15,17
182:10
185:9
186:2
191:18
195:22
198:15,22,
24 202:2
203:4
204:24
205:6,18
206:11
208:4
221:18
226:22
231:4,25
232:15
233:18
237:22
247:18,20
249:2
254:1
261:1
267:8
281:4
284:6
288:6

questioning
220:22
275:13

questions
34:8
38:15,20
41:15,17
43:13
51:18
58:15
59:10,24
66:15 79:6
82:2
89:15,20
90:22
91:2,13,15
92:22,25
94:10 96:9
108:18
113:8
114:4
119:22,25
120:25
121:1
123:6,11
128:20
144:24
145:2,10
150:15
153:15
154:6,11
183:25
188:13
203:20
237:20
245:6
254:10
262:11

270:1
279:17
287:8
288:10,13

quickly
15:8,15
187:2
205:18
276:22

quit 179:15
238:13

quote 24:6
25:22
171:11

R

R6 255:13, 15

rabbit 188:4

race 21:11, 24 22:6
28:13 30:2
71:2,15, 17,18,25
73:13
75:15
87:13 89:8
95:22
97:11,15
99:15,18, 24,25
102:6,10
104:15
105:24
106:15
107:22
108:10
109:4
113:20,25
114:1,24
115:22
117:13,17
118:1,2,17
120:10,11, 15 122:25
123:13
125:18
126:2,11, 13 127:18, 20 128:13, 17 171:25
177:11,13
179:15
184:18
199:6
200:6,9
201:18
219:22
221:22
225:13,18
230:11
231:13
240:1,13, 15,18
241:2,18, 24 242:1, 9,11,13
244:13
254:6
255:20
256:1,2, 10,11,15
257:2,6,9, 13,20
258:1,9,13
259:9
262:6
264:18
265:4,6,9, 10,20
268:24
271:4,24
272:2,6,8, 24 276:24
279:2,9
280:22
282:6,11
285:20,22

races 71:4
73:13
94:20,22
95:18,22
102:4,8
108:24
113:18,24
116:6
117:15,18, 24 119:6
124:4
125:25
126:11
198:10
199:9
200:17
201:2
202:11,13
213:24
214:10,11
218:10
239:2,6,15
240:13
241:22
242:2
252:18
254:25
255:22
258:18
263:13,20, 22 264:9, 10 268:22
271:6
272:25
273:18
279:6,8
281:15,17, 24 282:10, 15

racial 28:11
115:10,11

raise 31:6
52:25
53:10
60:15
79:17
83:18
137:11
210:2

raised
57:18,20
131:22
183:24
184:13
190:15
198:2
226:9
230:13
237:18
269:2

270:1

raises 15:17

raising
53:13

ran 26:25
35:4
116:11

random 22:4
175:24
177:18

randomly
176:4

range 26:15
86:2
102:20

rare 179:6

rate 105:8
171:24
174:10
205:22
282:4,11

rates 122:17
123:1,2
124:15

raw 179:6

RE-DIRECT
56:24

re-elect
38:4

re-litigate
284:11

reach 24:8
85:4,18
109:10
260:24

reached
170:22

reaction
73:22

read 20:24
64:8,13,22
131:17
135:20
169:6,22
170:13
171:18
194:18
216:18
218:2
222:6

read- 286:4

read-only
182:24

reader 218:2

reading
133:17

ready 130:9
131:1
218:20
267:6

real 44:18
51:22
128:8
149:2
208:22
276:22
290:18

rearguing
24:2 93:9

reason 14:18
18:1 21:2,
11,25 22:8
109:13,20,
25 148:6
178:6
217:6
221:4
238:9
244:24
269:22

reasons 21:2
28:11
89:11
91:22
92:13 95:4
96:13,15,
22 97:15,
17,18
121:17
122:6
139:8
280:10

rebooting
179:4

rebut 93:13

rebuttal
17:11

rebutted
24:13

recalibrate
278:1

recall 68:4
120:11
121:6
123:8
170:20
204:15
246:15
252:6
258:9
262:17
267:8

recalling
194:4

receipt
234:15

receive
28:20 52:6
76:18
116:4
214:25
215:1
223:6
224:18
264:18,25

received
26:13,17,
18 28:4
95:6
116:22
117:11,25
123:6
125:2
188:1
200:11
202:9,10,
17 215:2
223:6,15
231:20

246:20
255:6
262:15
277:8

receives
73:10

receiving
69:25
231:22
246:15

recent
142:25
152:18

recently
81:24
136:11
140:20
160:20

receptive
217:13
281:10

recertification 229:1

recertified
229:11

reckon
196:18

recommend
206:9
207:8

recommended
186:13

reconciled
16:1

record 18:18
19:2,4
20:10,11
31:24 46:2
51:10 61:6
64:6,20
65:25 66:1
72:13
73:11
80:10
84:10
98:13
106:20
107:20
109:8
121:22
129:25
130:22
132:9
138:2
168:1
169:25
185:20
187:6
190:15,22
209:22
210:18
228:11
233:18
234:15,22
238:11
242:25
244:25
269:4
273:18
275:2
277:13

recorded
29:1 77:10
157:22
219:15
233:11
279:6
287:15,17

recording
9:2 35:2
65:13
234:18

recordings
8:14

records
40:15
44:11,13,
15 45:13
166:11
187:25
232:1,4
247:2

recounting
207:15

recourse
161:10,11

recovered
235:8

RECROSS
286:17
287:10

RECROSS-EXAMINATION
50:13
113:11
114:6

red 49:17
50:6,22

REDIRECT
43:10 93:2
120:4
156:6
187:11
204:1
279:22

reduced
241:13

refer 67:18
99:4

referred
245:17
284:6

referred-to
44:2 52:13
64:2 98:4

referring
204:8,10,
11 245:20

refers 247:1

reflect
101:6
130:22

reflected
111:25

reframe
158:25
172:25

refused
184:24

regard
121:15
247:1

registered
77:22
80:18
81:6,25
83:2
275:15,24

registration
9:13
157:22
166:9
167:6,9
189:20
204:18,25
205:2,8,13
225:10,13,
24 226:2
227:4,11
237:18
238:6
247:2
260:25

Registrations
28:18,24
38:20
89:20
150:24

regular
177:22

regulation
164:4

regulations
240:17

regurgitating
184:13

rejected
223:22

relate 58:24
142:20
251:13,15,
18 281:2

related
141:1
165:13
206:20
214:18,20
243:13
244:6,9
251:20

relates
96:24
155:2

relating
67:25
101:18
190:25
226:20

relation
265:2
280:20

relationship
239:4

relative
201:15

relayed
214:2

release
157:2
273:15

relevance
57:18
59:15
165:13
180:22
184:15
226:13

relevancy
145:6
162:15
165:13
228:2

relevant
45:25
57:22
59:17 92:2
116:20
146:4
155:2,13
162:18
181:2
184:17
206:13
225:15
247:22
248:6

reliable
20:2

relied
170:11
171:15

relies 24:11

relocated
211:15

rely 170:4,
6

relying 17:4

remain 60:2

remaining
17:9 18:2

remedy 25:25

remember
110:20
113:4
191:10
198:24

reminder
16:10

removed
217:4

render
198:18

renew 41:18
97:1
202:20
228:17

renewed
112:15

reorganization
34:18

repair
150:11
277:24

repeat
198:22

repeated
166:22

repeatedly
182:13,15
247:4

repeating
39:24
164:13

repel 24:9

rephrase
106:18
153:17,18
195:22,24
230:18
232:20

rephrasing
59:6

report 75:6, 20 76:22
77:8 98:18
99:2,10
104:25
160:18,22
168:10,13
178:24
214:22
223:2
262:13,15, 20,22
263:13,17
264:11
265:22
266:6,22
271:22
278:20

reported
76:1,6,10, 17 157:20
174:1
179:2,11
191:15
195:8,9
232:8
234:6,11, 22 279:4

reporter
61:9
129:4,6,9, 11

reporting
63:11
75:13
149:17
171:25
172:2
179:4,13
272:2

reports
160:20
191:4
214:17
235:22
252:15
262:11
263:11
264:6,8
266:10,11
271:22
278:20
279:2

represent
38:18
89:18
150:22

representative
16:18
25:25
124:6,13, 18 125:2, 13 126:1, 2,8,22
127:2,25

representing
25:18

Republican
177:13
178:4,8
204:11
219:15
220:11
240:11
241:6
259:4

Republicans
252:11

request
10:11
11:11
12:13 17:4
29:13
44:11,13
51:11
98:18
135:2
183:25
267:17

requested
184:2

requests
44:15

require
23:15 96:2
149:20

required
14:15 43:1
56:8 59:11
154:25

requirements
53:10

requires
26:4 47:22
135:2
230:15
235:15

research
103:20
140:20
142:2
189:6

researched
142:4

resemble
108:17,25

reserved
26:1

reside
219:15
283:25
286:9

residence
166:6

residency

249:13

resident
39:10 41:6
80:20

resides
196:15
283:20
284:1,22
285:4

resisted
24:24

resolved
15:8 67:9,
10

resources
32:17 87:2

respect 29:4
124:9,10
135:2,18
158:11
159:15
162:13
228:22
238:2
253:13,15,
18

respond
117:2
131:24,25
145:22
155:15
277:18
283:4

responded
162:4
182:15

responding
106:20
149:11

response
11:20,22
14:9 22:24
23:1,2
44:11
69:25
112:15
120:8
192:1
204:18
235:2
283:2

responses
223:4

responsible
213:11

responsive
32:22
218:10
235:18
278:6

rest 51:11
132:4
139:9
192:6,13
203:2

restating
248:13

restored
235:11

result 19:9,
22 26:4
29:22
30:22
48:18
69:22
71:10
222:18
233:15
234:6
235:9,24,
25 242:4
256:13
257:13
262:6
280:2

results
19:18
22:18
25:15
29:20
35:22
85:15
86:25
87:18
89:13
92:1,13
94:13
96:24
157:4,8
172:6
173:2
174:2
177:8
190:10
219:25
236:20
262:13
268:13,15
269:11
282:13

retained
211:25

retender
96:20

retired
34:15

return 151:8
215:6

returning
72:22

returns
271:4,18
274:2,6

reveals
56:13

reverse
181:9

review 13:2
44:13
70:24,25
94:13
95:11,13
103:8
107:20
108:24
110:9
111:24
114:10
124:6
141:2,4
153:4,10
156:11
160:18

163:4
172:2
178:17,22
179:10,13,
15,17
180:15
182:22
214:13,24
228:22
233:20
237:4,11
252:2,13
276:24

reviewed
39:22
40:2,4
94:18
101:18
105:22
106:1,2
108:24
109:11
147:4,6,9
156:11,15,
20 157:1,
2,4,8,10,
17,20
160:10,15
162:4
178:22
187:20
190:25
199:2
200:6
214:8
272:20

reviewing
164:2
223:4
278:24

reviews
153:8
191:4

Rhonda 9:11

Rice
138:22,24
139:10,11

Richard
16:20

Richmond
229:2

Rick 147:9
157:18
164:4

ride 69:6

rig 23:20

right-hand
241:25

right-leaning
205:25

rights
151:18

ripped
133:22

risk 149:13
166:18,20

rite 131:18

Robyn 131:4

role 30:6
62:6 75:2
90:2
238:18

ROM 182:24

roof 236:9

room 290:18

rooms 291:4

round 176:15

routine
53:10

routinely
228:10

rows 101:25

rule 8:14
10:20
11:2,11
13:1
16:10,11
17:13 18:4
108:18
129:6
133:11
135:2,6,
11,15
136:2
137:1
154:13

ruled 19:4,6
172:15
181:2,4
184:6
190:11
202:25
208:18
221:2
237:15
238:8,13
247:4,17,
25 248:13
261:11
284:11

rules 135:20
136:18,22
240:8,9,
17,22

ruling
130:10
169:25
190:15
208:8
209:10,11

rulings
143:22

run 27:25
86:11
116:17
141:11,20
142:15
152:4,8,11
207:8
244:22
259:25
260:4,9,11
262:13,20,
22

running
27:22
115:6
128:15,18
142:6

152:15
166:2
180:4
200:4
201:10
202:18

runoff 62:18

Russia
270:22

S

sadly 21:18

safe 291:11

sample 21:9
149:15
167:6
214:15,22
252:15
278:22

samples 22:4

Sara 60:11,
22 61:2,6
65:15
72:20
74:25
77:15
78:13

satisfactory
24:11

satisfied
13:20,22

satisfy 14:2
83:4

saved 215:2,
13 284:17
285:2

saving
217:25

scalability
252:8

scaling
241:4,11,
13

scan 216:1
239:8,18
252:17
265:4

scanners
216:1

scenarios
194:24

schedule
129:18
135:24

scheduled
135:15

scholarly
156:15

school 61:24
81:13
117:9
131:18
139:1,2,13
195:6

science
39:15
81:15
84:22
138:6,25
139:4,18
162:6,11,
13 163:4
168:9
198:4
199:2

Science's
160:22
168:18

Sciences
156:24
170:2

scientific
148:15

Scientists
168:20

scoring
142:20

screen 40:10
49:4,15
70:24,25
172:2
179:10,13,
15,18
217:11
218:11
219:9
223:20
224:2,11
235:15,17,
18 239:18
241:4,11,
13,18
242:2,9,11
255:15,17,
20 256:15
259:2
266:15
268:1
278:1
280:22
281:15
282:2,20,
25 283:10
285:11
286:22
287:15

screens
50:22
160:4
254:6
255:20
256:13

sealed
218:20

seat 31:11
61:8 79:9,
20 80:8
83:22

seats 8:8
10:2 124:6

secondary
19:24

seconds
289:1

secretary
44:13,15
45:13 46:4
57:15 74:9

76:6,11
95:8,17
98:22
99:10
101:13,18
104:20
105:22
106:9,13
107:2,6
109:17
117:1
118:13
131:6,13
167:11
177:8
192:4
201:10
210:22
211:1,13,
17,22
213:8,10,
22 214:8,9
222:20
225:4,20
226:18
227:13
228:25
229:9,13,
24 230:4,9
231:11,15,
20,24
232:11
233:1
235:2
236:22
237:10
246:4
248:20,22,
25 249:10,
13 260:24
265:11
267:6,15,
24 269:13,
15 273:17
274:2,6
280:13
281:24

section
225:4

secure
141:11

Secured
168:10

security
140:10,11,
18,20,24
141:20
158:6
166:24

seek 27:25

seeking 37:6
52:25

selected
193:22

selecting
193:22

selection
70:25
71:2,17,24
168:15
259:4

selections
268:9

self- 67:11
70:20

self-cast
71:22

self-correct
67:11

self-governance
81:20

seminar
140:20

senate 15:11
30:2
113:25
120:11,15
127:18,20
255:20,25
256:1,10
257:1,6,
11,13,18
262:6
276:24

send 44:15
53:18
267:22

sending
53:17

sends 267:18

senior 28:9
67:20 68:1
73:24
290:1

separate
229:2
233:4
258:18

sequence
25:4
233:24
246:13

sequestered
17:2

sequestration
25:6

serial 40:6
217:2

serve 166:10

server 36:13
166:1
189:10
245:20,22
246:1,9,10
250:13,15,
18 251:20
252:1

servers
237:8

service
72:2,4,6,8
113:18
117:10,15
136:15

serving
154:17

session
15:10

sessions

172:4

set 25:22
55:13,18
56:11
112:22
133:15,18
134:4
136:9
166:4
181:15
208:11
217:13
218:20
221:22
239:9,17,
18 240:2,
11,13
252:13
257:4
277:22

setting
25:24
217:24
278:4

setup 56:18

seventh
220:11

sheer 239:15

short 50:18
83:11

shortly
34:22
200:11

show 22:15
23:17,18,
24 24:4,18
26:6,11,13
28:2,22,25
29:24
30:22
38:15
52:11,20,
24,25
56:15
101:25
103:11
104:25
105:4
166:11
167:17
177:9
219:25
220:10
225:22
233:15
234:4
244:22
254:17,24
255:18
263:6,8,20
264:8
266:4,11
271:15,18
273:22
274:17
278:25
279:2

showed 180:9
232:24
233:2
241:13
266:24,25

showing
30:11
179:6
218:11
232:8
242:9
263:22
283:2

shown 24:4
30:18 97:6
234:6
241:4
254:4
264:11
287:1

shows 20:22
23:11
56:15,17
99:13
105:6
220:11
222:6
234:9
254:22
263:17,18
264:9
266:8,15
271:22,25
272:1,2,4,
6 279:6

shut 244:22

shutdown
40:13

shutting
68:22

sic 26:17
133:18
176:25

side 10:10
118:13
132:1
201:6
239:11
241:8,24,
25

sides 17:22
111:13
181:13
183:24
185:22

sidetracked
182:2

sift 96:4

sign 49:18
51:4
130:20
177:17

sign-in
252:1

signed
215:17

significance
26:9

significant
15:25
25:20
152:24
161:20
166:9
167:10
171:24

172:6,8
173:22,25
174:4,11
188:9

significantly
174:1,11
176:6
202:9
282:4

signoff
214:25
215:1,2

signs 16:2
148:22
150:4
170:18,22
171:2,10,
22 178:20
179:2
191:2

similarities
152:25

simple
22:22,25
23:2,4
97:15

simplistic
175:10

simply 11:22
15:13 22:4
23:13
28:13 42:2
111:13
172:15
175:17
184:13
198:25
209:9
220:6
227:1
248:13
265:11
273:25

single
155:17
189:8

sir 17:1
18:20
20:11 53:9
114:4
122:1
140:6,18
141:8
143:13
144:4
152:2,6,
13,18
153:2,13,
24 156:18,
22 157:2,
6,9,13,20
158:2
159:18
160:13
163:6,17,
24 168:6
170:20,25
177:6
180:9,18
185:13
187:18,20
189:4
190:2,4
193:20
196:9,13
197:20
198:6
199:22
200:4
201:8,13
205:11
208:13
209:6
231:13
235:1
245:1,6
250:15,17,
20 251:15,
18 255:2
258:11
269:24
271:11
274:17,20,
22 275:6
281:22

Sister 81:13

sit 9:2
10:17,18
13:10,11
68:22
137:4
138:2
210:22

site 235:6
246:8

sitting
20:13 69:6
196:13

situation
136:15
223:1
247:25
256:6
265:15

situations
231:1

six-pack
220:13

size 240:10
241:11

skip 122:25
281:6

skipping
34:20
143:2

Skype
133:22,25
134:4,20,
22 136:22

slightly
35:8

slowly 270:6

small 32:11
49:13
53:11
64:13 65:9
101:1
149:15
183:10,11

smear 58:2,
6,11

smooth 63:10

smoothly 129:18

Smyth 9:11, 24

software 147:18 150:4 152:11,15, 22 158:17 159:13,15, 20 161:13 166:4 178:1 179:8 180:4 249:9 283:6 286:9

sole 184:11

solely 128:2,4 206:15

solicit 191:15

soliciting 53:6

somebody's 278:13

sort 10:4 42:1 74:8 116:2,17 122:8,17 141:17 161:22 179:2,24 180:18 213:6 220:15 228:4 237:11 272:11 275:13 280:6,8,18

sound 185:18 193:10

sounded 192:13

sounds 185:22 194:8,11 209:8 236:2

source 157:1,4 161:18 162:2

sources 172:11 191:6

South 36:11 185:25 260:11

space 239:25 257:6

speak 49:20 106:20,22 139:6 219:18 224:8 234:20

speaking 204:6

specialized 39:15

specialty 270:4

specific 10:15 29:20 48:13,15 87:20 89:13 92:1 142:11 147:15 158:18 162:20 179:24 213:25 216:4

specifically 117:4 127:22 152:4 156:18 181:13 190:11 191:13,17 194:22 204:17 207:11 219:22 228:1 288:4

speculates 221:8

speculation 26:4 30:10 122:13 173:8 285:25 286:1

speed 100:6 248:4

spell 61:8

spending 34:24 35:13

spent 32:15 35:18 36:20

split 238:24 241:18 253:18

splitting 242:13

spoke 74:1

spoken 157:25 158:6

spontaneously 179:4

spreadsheet 64:13 65:2,6,10, 11

spring 37:20

square 26:24

stack 57:8

staff 39:8

211:18,20

stamp
217:17,18

stand 42:1
58:20
63:13
248:9

standard
56:2,4,18
170:6
237:6
241:22

standing
11:17
49:10

standpoint
159:25

stands
212:18
286:8

Stark 132:18
133:15
134:22
158:6
190:6,13
191:4
192:22
193:15
195:17
196:2
197:22

start 65:15
66:10,11
122:25
207:18
213:20
218:4
258:18
289:11
291:17

started
33:25
67:15,17
68:9,22
180:6
239:20

starters
122:10

starting
16:13,15
36:10
160:4
207:20
280:20
289:10

starts 66:22
122:20

state 15:11
19:17
21:10 23:6
26:13
28:20
31:24
33:13
38:13
44:13
45:13 46:4
57:11 61:6
74:9 76:11
80:9,18
84:10
90:15
98:24
101:13
104:9
105:24
106:9
107:6
109:17
117:1
118:13
124:6,13,
18 125:2,
10,11,13,
25 126:1,
6,22
127:2,25
131:6,13
136:10
138:2
147:10
149:10
153:4
159:13
160:18
165:1
166:24
167:15,17
182:22
186:6,11,
18,20,22
187:22
188:2
192:4
196:11,15
198:17
200:24
201:2,10
202:4,11
206:9
207:18
210:18
211:1,6,8,
10,15
212:8,9
222:20
225:20
226:20
227:15,18
229:10,13,
18 230:9
231:11,15
235:2
236:22
237:8,10
239:25
240:8
241:20
243:15
244:2,6,9
246:4,6
247:15
248:15,20,
22,25
249:9,11
250:6,11
255:11
256:2,11
258:25
264:18
265:11
267:18,24
269:17
273:17
281:25
284:4,9

state's 76:6
95:8,18
99:10
101:18
104:20
106:15
107:2
167:11
177:8
210:22
211:13,17,
22 212:4
213:10,22
214:8,9
222:20
225:6
227:13
228:25
229:25
230:6
231:20,24
232:11
233:1
246:4
248:22
249:13
260:24
267:6,15,
24 269:13
274:2,6
280:13

stated
109:15
167:2
212:6

statement
9:8 16:6
18:11,13
51:9,13
110:22

statements
97:15
111:2
157:10,15
178:18
181:10

states 54:18
81:20
95:11,22
96:20
103:9
151:20
159:6
167:1
256:10
257:1,6,
11,18
260:2,11,
15 262:2

statewide
26:25
40:17
46:20
85:15
113:20
116:6,11
124:17
126:6
127:2
202:13
264:10
271:6
272:25
273:2,17

statically
173:20

stating
231:22

statistic
173:24

statistical
149:13
174:13
190:4,6
192:24
193:15,18
196:4,6
197:25

statistically
173:24
174:4,11

statistics
149:20
174:17

status
266:9,13

statute 12:6
14:4,9,20
15:17 16:6
24:6
240:11,13
253:13

statutory
25:22
30:17

stay 10:22
16:18,22
17:18

staying
10:25 11:4
18:11

stays 10:24

steps 153:10
219:4
278:4

Steven
243:11

stick 111:15

stipulate
82:22
110:11
248:4

stipulated
110:22
111:4,6
273:15

stipulation
82:25
110:15

stood 208:6

stop 209:4

stopped
49:18

store 290:10

stored 183:1
235:10

strategies
85:4 87:1

strategy
86:22
87:20

stress
201:15

stricken
51:13
190:15
191:25
202:22
220:22

strike 16:4
51:9
194:25
199:25
221:4

strips
197:17,18

strong 41:25

struck 50:2

structurally
238:20

structure
222:4
241:1

student
81:17

studied
118:6

studies
139:20
157:8
189:6
194:18

study 84:22
86:11 90:4
117:20
118:4,6
138:24
139:2,15
144:13
160:15
168:18

studying
138:6

stuff 111:9
131:17
150:8
154:13
167:18
170:13
171:18
185:6
221:13
290:8,11
291:2

stupid
181:22

style 239:4,8

subject
140:8
160:11
184:25

submission
198:13

submit 71:18
74:4

submitted
71:20
77:1,2
131:6
176:24

subset
149:15

substantially
155:22

substitute
169:18

succeed
29:22
30:24

successful
87:2 88:22

successfully
73:18

suddenly
136:20

suffice 28:1

sufficient
14:2 165:1

suggest
170:9

suggesting
219:13

suggestion
237:17

summary
224:11
271:22

summer 142:1

superintendent
16:22
117:10

Superior
135:2,11

superstar
201:6

support
13:24 42:2
73:18
142:18
198:13

supporting
246:6

suppose
115:2
154:4
194:15
219:2

supposed
8:15 55:25
135:9
215:11

Supreme 30:9

Surely 38:17
110:4

surgery
64:22

surprise
119:15

survey
156:18

suspicious
200:18
204:8

sustain
172:24

188:11
228:6
232:18
248:17

Sustained
104:4
226:15
228:18
282:8
284:13

swear 31:4

swift 14:20

switch
219:13

switching
220:17

sworn 31:18
60:25 80:2
84:4
137:20
210:13

sync 67:8

system 19:18
26:13
27:13
33:11
35:6,10,
11,13
37:22
41:13
59:11
65:2,13
81:18
142:17
143:4,6,9
144:25
145:1,2,8,
13 147:4,6
148:22
151:9,10,
15 152:4,
9,17
155:20
157:2
160:17
163:9,22
164:6,8,25
165:2,20
166:2,15
167:6,11
171:22
173:4
177:17
178:20
182:24
183:20
184:9
204:20,25
205:2,8,9
207:11
212:6,22
215:18
217:18
219:4,18
225:20,24
226:4,11
227:4,6,
10,11,15,
20 228:1
229:1
230:10
231:11,17
237:2,4,6
238:6,18
244:15
247:6,9,
10,11,15,
17 248:6,
20 249:10,
13,15,18,
22,25
250:1,9,22
260:25
265:24
267:22
269:18
270:9,10,
11,17,18,
24 272:4,
18 277:22
278:4
279:6
285:11
286:24
287:1

system-wide
179:24

systematic
28:11 96:4

systems 35:2
141:6,20
142:22
144:18
146:22
159:2
160:11
163:9
189:20
206:10
211:2,4
212:18
227:25
228:24
244:2
245:22
246:4
249:11
267:11

T

table 10:2,
4,17,18
11:11
32:20
99:4,9
101:22
102:2,24

tabulate
75:4

tabulated
233:4
269:11
272:11

tabulating
272:4

tainted
23:11

takes 27:11
119:18
163:4
216:22
225:1
239:4

taking 33:15
48:9 49:4

67:15
101:9,13
109:20
129:2,20
179:18
216:4

talk 49:24
69:4
110:22
111:8
133:13
177:2
185:25
198:2
248:10
270:6
289:2

talked 77:4
78:20
122:2
149:4
163:13,20
164:6
169:6
189:6,24
261:13
275:11

talking 58:4
112:4
126:11
165:9,10
195:20
215:10,11
227:24
244:13
248:11
254:17
269:1

tallies
16:1,2
40:15

tangible
19:22

tape 73:11,
20

tapes 72:25
73:2,9
76:15
191:24
197:18
217:15
268:13

Taran 43:18,
20 45:13

target 85:18
207:10

Targetsmart
84:13
85:6,11,13

taught
140:18

teach 140:17

teacher
81:13

team 28:1

tear 144:13

tease 87:13

Tech 138:22
139:8

technical
41:22
42:22
67:11
73:18
74:11
166:13
167:18

technically
90:11

technician
181:8

technicians
180:22
181:6

technology
141:20
142:18
143:2
233:24
249:11

telephone
130:11
132:20
133:2,20
134:2

telling
134:6
264:13

tells 283:6

telltale
148:22
150:4
170:18,22
171:2,10,
22 177:17
178:18
179:2
191:2

Temple 63:1,
4

ten 35:4,
13,18
144:6
202:2
242:22
273:20

tendency
166:11

tender 45:18
52:11 54:1
89:11
131:2
150:2
255:2
264:2
266:18
273:4,20
274:9
275:1,8
288:11

tendered
46:4,6
74:15 92:9
273:10

tendering
131:9
273:8,9,11

term 116:18
118:18
184:4

201:6

terming
184:2

terminology
99:13

terms 17:13
23:17
24:15 25:4
27:1 33:10
42:2,11
90:8
91:22,25
99:13
100:2,20
104:2
195:10,13
198:9
199:13,24,
25 201:22
202:15
240:4
260:18
262:6

test 175:20
217:9,11
218:6,24
222:10,22
268:2,4,8,
15 283:10

tested 35:11
54:18
55:18
203:13
268:22

testified
17:18,24
18:2,11
31:18
36:22
38:22 42:6
46:11
60:25
78:6,20
80:2 84:4
91:24
93:15
101:17
109:20
113:17
120:8
137:20
154:18
155:22
169:2,4
170:18
176:1,18
190:10
192:4,8
195:15
196:2
197:22
204:13
205:17
206:2
210:13
227:13
275:20

testify
17:10,11
20:4 34:1
43:6 48:11
92:11
96:17
97:17
100:2
104:4
123:22
130:11
155:2
156:2
169:6
186:2
190:17
191:15

testifying
36:6 96:8
104:1
192:15

testimony
17:4,13,20
50:6 60:6
72:22
79:11
82:18
93:13
97:10
121:6
131:6
132:20
136:2
146:8,9
147:9
151:13
156:2
157:17
163:11
164:2,4,
10,15
169:2
172:17,22
175:2
181:18
190:13,20,
24 191:15,
25 195:1
202:20
203:1
205:20
208:1
220:2,8
227:15
249:20
252:6
282:17

testing
217:11,22,
25 218:2,
4,13,15,
17,20
222:13,17,
18,22
223:13
233:11,13
234:6,8
249:15
265:22
267:10,11,
20 268:20
269:17,20,
22 277:18
287:2

tests 149:15
222:18
229:8

thanking
82:8

that'll
125:22

theory
280:1,18

there're
216:22

thing 20:10
29:2 30:17
47:9 58:10
97:18
119:2
145:18,20
185:11
207:17
228:10
252:17
272:11
284:15
285:1

things 8:11
16:2 22:20
26:6 65:13
79:2 81:4
88:13 90:4
94:6
108:15
130:2
133:2,17
140:25
160:15
167:20
170:2
181:15
217:20
223:25
232:20
233:22
240:25
244:20
248:4
269:11
280:10,13
285:4,10
288:25

thinking
119:2
258:18
280:2

thinks
206:11

third-party
113:2
123:18

Thorpe 65:20

thought
76:22,24
77:1 79:2
110:18
115:18
193:8
195:15
280:15

thousand
26:20
102:17
124:17
126:6

thousands
21:25
96:18
170:13

three-and-a-half 276:4

three-and-a-half-time
119:13

three-column
239:22

thrown
280:15

THURSDAY 8:2

ticket 27:22
94:20
259:6,25
260:4,6,9,11,15
280:15

tied 205:24
206:1

time 11:13
12:15
30:1,2
33:20
34:25
35:6,9,13
37:22 49:4
62:2 67:11
68:13 72:2
81:17
90:20 92:4
93:20,22
113:25
123:2
127:20
130:6
135:8
137:2
160:9
165:9
217:4,13,25 218:18,22 236:13
238:15
239:25
245:6
252:13
258:25
260:20
261:2,22,25 276:6
280:4

timeframe
268:11

timeliness
15:17

times 27:9
36:24
39:11
49:18
63:22
133:4
147:8
160:6
164:6
245:11
272:2
276:4

tired 208:18

today 11:22
23:18
33:15
53:15
78:20
102:15
111:11,15

130:24
134:13
144:24
145:2,15
184:8,11
188:6
196:13
208:22
226:8,9
260:4

told 16:22
58:4 67:11
70:20,22
76:13 77:6
180:10
191:13
208:4

tomorrow
23:18
134:4
289:15,25
290:4,15
291:10,17,
20

tone 74:1

tool 63:11
251:22

top 40:6
122:20
160:18
161:9
248:10,11
257:9,20
274:18

topic
185:13,15,
17,18

topic's
185:15

tops 73:2

total 75:13,
20 76:2,8
99:6,17
100:10,11,
15 101:10,
13,15
102:6
104:10
116:4,22
120:10,18
232:24,25
233:2,4
271:25
272:4
283:9,18
289:6

totally
128:2

totals 19:25
94:18 95:1
117:6
128:2,4
177:4
194:2
220:4
233:8
235:20

touch 217:13
218:9
219:24
223:20
235:15,18
239:18
266:15
268:1
283:2
285:11
286:22

touched
40:22
71:20
153:9

touching
224:15
235:17
283:1

touchscreen
223:24
224:11

traces 19:24
20:22

tracked
103:2

tracking
115:25

trail 161:17

trained
36:15,18
153:2

training
39:15
62:13 94:8
144:17
169:11

transferring
216:17

transition
248:24

transitioned
239:22
248:22
249:9

transparency
32:9

treated
187:24

treatment
200:11

trend 94:24
102:13
103:2

trends 87:4,
11 88:2,
11,18,22
89:11 90:2
91:20
95:20

triable
12:10
14:6,15
15:20,24

trial 11:18
12:9
13:15,20,
25 14:6
15:2 16:6
52:22
53:1,2,4,
6,9,13,15
136:6,9,20
184:8

290:13

trials 53:18,20

trouble 20:13 21:18,20 92:18 94:6 97:17 167:15 272:11

troubled 35:18,20

troublesome 47:13

truck-trailer 34:17

true 19:18 23:6,8 45:11 52:10 59:6 65:11 70:13 123:11 199:2 243:25

Trusted 142:4

truth 31:8,9 60:15,17 79:18 83:18,20 137:13 192:2 210:4

TS 157:2 266:9

TSX 255:13, 15

turn 57:2, 13 67:22 184:15 245:11 281:13 291:6

turned 81:4

turning 68:10 182:20 183:1

turnout 88:11,13 122:13 127:13 194:25 274:18,20, 22 275:2, 6,11 276:1

Tweet 52:18 57:10

two-column 239:18,22 240:2 258:17

two-fold 22:24

two-hour 47:10

two-step 13:18

type 26:8 55:9 121:24 122:22 226:10

types 85:15 86:25 105:22 147:15 158:20 159:18

typically 17:2 148:13 161:11 175:20

Tyson 14:24 29:13,15 38:4,9,15, 18 39:2 41:15,18, 20 44:6 50:15 51:11,18 57:18 77:17,18 78:10 89:15,18 90:1,20,24 91:1,18 92:9 96:6 97:1 98:11 103:25 106:18 108:11 109:2,13 113:10,15 121:9,20 123:20 127:6,11 128:6 133:6,10, 11 134:13, 25 136:11 150:15,20, 22 153:17, 20 154:4, 15 158:13 163:11 165:4 168:22 172:18 174:18 176:22 180:22 184:15 188:18 189:2 190:9 192:6,8,20 193:13 195:24 196:1,20 197:8,11, 13 198:22 204:13,24 206:11,18, 22 207:1 221:6 225:11 226:6,25 228:2,17 230:15 232:13 233:22

234:2
242:24
243:13
244:6
254:15
255:2,6
263:4
276:15,17,
22 282:6
287:13
288:10

U

U.S. 30:2
113:25
120:11,15
127:18,20
151:4
255:20,25
256:1
257:13
262:6

Uh-huh
43:18,25
47:15
56:22
94:11
95:13
102:25
156:15
200:15
201:20
282:18

ultimately
30:18 76:6

unanimous
161:1
163:6

unclear
195:20

uncontested
8:10

uncovered
35:17

under-
99:20,22
100:13
102:17
124:15
204:8

under-vote
21:6,15
23:9,11
91:22
92:2,13
93:15,24
94:22 95:4
98:20 99:6
100:8,9,13
101:10,11,
15 102:4,
6,9,13,15,
22 103:1,
22 104:2,
10,25
105:6,9,
15,20
106:4,6
107:2,6,11
120:18,20
122:9,18,
20,22
171:24
172:6,8
175:22
200:15
204:6,10
205:22
280:2,11,
13

under-votes
116:20
122:11
172:20
201:18,20
281:2
282:13

under-voting
89:4,13
93:13 94:2
96:13,17,
22,24
104:15
121:4,17
122:17
172:11
173:22
174:6,8,10
200:22

undergraduate
140:18,20

undergraduates
149:6

underneath
257:11

understand
18:22
34:2,4
37:4,6
41:25
43:17
48:13
54:22
58:13
64:15 66:2
75:18
83:11
90:25
93:22
118:25
130:17
135:24
136:15,18
148:18
155:18
162:22
164:20
165:1
168:22
169:22,25
181:22
199:4
202:1
206:25
207:2,4
221:15
232:15
234:1
245:4
251:8
264:13
272:9
290:1,15

understanding
18:4 24:1
37:4,8

38:1 54:20 59:9,11 69:18,20 73:10 96:13,22 100:20 105:11,13 123:15 158:1 168:11,18 246:18 270:2

understands 22:22 23:4

understood 64:6 76:1

undertake 126:11

undertaken 180:6 227:18 229:15,18 231:11 280:18

undertook 168:20 229:1

unfit 151:4 161:2,4

uniformly 200:24 250:11

unit 216:20 241:22 266:15 278:6 287:18 288:6

United 81:20 256:10 257:1,6, 11,18

units 205:11 213:1 216:13

university 61:25 81:15 84:20,25 138:6,11, 22 139:10, 15 211:10 212:1

unknown 23:4 201:15

unlike 28:2

unpack 183:6

unsafe 161:2,4

unsuccessful 26:15

untenable 246:20

unusual 50:2 68:25 277:10

unverifiable 35:20 37:22

upheld 30:25

upload 266:13

uploaded 232:1,4 233:6 266:17 267:2 269:11

usage 160:22

user 219:18

ushers 55:6

utilizing 270:22

utterly 14:4

V

vague 226:20

valid 29:18 109:17 232:6

validate 214:10 215:15 217:11 218:9,10 232:2 233:13 249:17 268:13

validated 215:10

validating 252:18

values 214:20

variables 23:6

variety 29:8

vast 34:24

vendor 260:25

verifiable 32:18 37:13

verification 161:25 215:6

Verified 141:9,13, 18,25 150:25 151:1,8

verify 64:20 229:4

verifying 262:11

version 64:11 65:9 152:11,18 155:20 166:4

versions 142:25 158:17 159:13

versus 9:11
25:22
27:13
33:11
101:4
119:8
128:1
131:8
151:11
199:13,20
200:2
202:17
272:24
276:11

vested
142:18

victory
26:10
184:11

video 135:2

videotape
268:4

view 109:22
134:1
163:4,6
279:4

violate
137:1

violation
26:2

Virginia
61:25

virtue 27:15

virus 178:15

vis-à-vis
201:22

visible
218:11

vision-impaired
55:8

voice 74:2

volunteer
52:4 62:11

volunteered
62:9

vote 16:1,2
19:15,20
20:2,24
21:13,18,
20,22,24
22:2,10,18
23:6,20
25:8
26:13,20,
22 27:2,6
41:8 42:13
47:11
49:15,17
50:18
58:22 68:8
69:9 71:4,
18 74:4
76:24 77:9
80:22 81:6
83:2 89:6
95:1,4
99:20,24
100:15,17,
22 102:18
105:1,9
108:9
109:11
114:15,24,
25 115:15
117:6
118:22
122:4
157:11
177:8
179:18
194:2
201:22
203:13
204:9
205:4
219:6,10
220:15
223:2
226:4,11
231:18
232:10,24,
25 233:2,
4,8,11,20
234:20
268:17
272:11
281:6
283:18
285:11,13

voted 21:11
25:8 27:8,
10 41:1,2,
4,10 82:22
100:15
119:20
179:11
200:25
257:13
261:25
276:11,13

voter 28:13
30:6 32:10
49:15
54:22
55:4,9,13
56:4,8
67:20
68:1,4,13,
18,20
69:4,11,
15,17
70:1,22,24
71:4,6,15,
22 73:24
76:22 77:8
78:6 85:15
86:24
88:18
118:20,22
119:18
157:22
166:9
167:6,8
178:24
179:10
189:20
194:20,22
199:24
204:24
205:2,8,13
218:2
219:6,15
223:22
225:9,13,
24 226:11

227:4
228:1
241:15,20
242:6,8
247:2
257:22,25
259:6
260:25
262:15
263:17,22,
24 266:9
268:9
274:22
275:20
276:11
281:11
282:11
283:9
287:15,20,
25

voter's
70:22 74:4
87:13
259:10

voter-level
85:15

voters 27:6,
11,20 29:1
30:4 49:6
56:2,4
59:13
67:15
82:22 83:2
85:18
87:15,20,
24 114:15,
24,25
115:11
119:8,13,
18 121:4,
20,22
122:2,4,8,
15,24
151:17
157:10
164:2
172:2
176:2
177:18
178:22
179:4,9,13
194:24
198:8
199:1
202:17
223:6
225:1
258:2,22,
24 260:20
261:1,2,9,
22,24
262:2
274:17
275:15,24
276:4
280:22
281:9
282:2,4

voters'
247:11

votes 21:6,8
23:10
25:20
26:10,17,
18,20
30:8,18
35:2 48:9
73:11
75:4,15,20
76:2,8
86:17
99:17
101:15
102:17
104:15
106:13
115:20
116:4,9,
10,22
117:11,18,
25 119:8
123:6,13,
18 124:13,
18 125:2,
15,17
126:2,8,22
127:2
161:18
176:6
184:11
188:9
194:6,9,10
200:25
201:25
202:10
218:8
219:9
220:11
247:11
265:22
267:2
271:4,9
272:4,6
275:13
277:13
285:18,20

voting
19:18,22,
25 20:25
21:6
32:13,18
33:6,11
35:2,6
38:2 39:15
44:11
46:18,22
47:6 48:8
54:15
62:18
65:15
68:15
70:22 87:4
88:2,8
89:8,11
90:2 91:20
95:20
105:9,11,
13,15
106:9
107:4,8,
15,18,22
108:8,9
110:9
114:20
123:1,17
124:10,15
128:2
141:9,13,
18,25
142:11,13,

22 143:2,
11 144:18
147:4
150:25
151:1,8,15
152:11,17
153:6
154:18,22
157:4
161:4
172:2
173:2,22
174:1,2
175:15
176:11
177:4,13
197:17,18
198:9
205:18
211:6
212:8
213:2
215:24
216:6,11
218:22
219:13
220:4,9,10
223:20
225:24
227:6
228:22
229:1,4,15
230:11,22
231:13
249:15
258:8,25
259:1,6
260:20
266:11
267:6
268:10
276:6
277:25
278:15
285:22

vulnerabilities 145:18
166:10
167:9,15
251:13,15

vulnerability
145:13,18
150:2
151:15
157:22
162:8
163:20
165:22
166:22
167:10

vulnerable
144:18,25
146:24
163:2
166:4
167:1

W

wait 37:24
41:4 59:22
68:22
92:15
202:6
279:15

waited 70:2

waiting 69:6

walk 66:15
136:20

walking 68:6

walks 21:9

wanted 17:22
23:15
68:25
77:20
130:15
184:24
186:6
219:13
239:25

wanting
150:9

warning
49:18

watch 40:13
108:18
130:22

watched
40:9,13

watcher
36:15
39:11
40:9,17
46:11,15,
18,20,24
47:2 48:22
62:9
77:20,22,
25 81:17,
22,25
82:6,8

watching
50:2

water 36:2
187:2

ways 73:17
144:4
183:2
231:9
261:17

weaker 24:11

web 245:20,
22 246:9,
10 250:13,
15,18
251:20
270:10
276:10

website
63:13
99:11
101:18
104:20
251:24
274:2

week 182:15

weekend
225:8,10

weeks 136:4
290:2

weight
109:22
156:2

173:10

well-known 201:11

wer 232:2

whatsoever 97:10

where'd 138:20

white 255:15

who've 133:22

whoa 16:8

Wilke 8:18, 19

William 210:10,15, 20 243:4 254:13 276:20 279:22 286:18 287:11

win 86:13, 22 220:15

Windows 142:17,24, 25 143:1

wins 25:2

Winterville 176:2,10, 11,18,20 177:4 189:25 191:1,11 192:24 193:22 195:2,6, 11,17 196:4 202:20 207:24

Winterville's 197:1

wipe 26:9

withdraw 38:10 48:2,4 50:9 59:2 100:4 106:22 124:2

witness' 146:6

witnessed 51:1

witnesses 11:15 16:11 17:2,6 25:4,6 130:4 134:1

woman 82:6

won 25:18 177:11,13

wonderful 81:18

word 76:18 148:6,11 173:8 181:13,20 208:24 209:1 214:15 229:22

worded 50:4 127:17

words 26:22 133:20 240:18

work 18:25 26:6 35:11,18 36:25 37:15,20 39:13 48:22 61:17,20 82:9 85:11 86:6 88:17 89:6 95:11,25 108:25 139:25 141:6,13, 15,17 142:20 149:18,20 156:13 187:18 199:4 222:20 249:25 253:13 288:25

worked 23:24 30:4 91:8 132:25 133:4 141:6,10 144:4,6 150:25 189:2

worker 55:6 76:22 153:2 288:4

workers 67:4 73:15 76:13

working 23:13 24:22 48:9,20 49:18 85:1,2 140:4 141:8 142:13 207:20 229:4,6 268:2

works 32:9 47:1 156:18 164:8

worry 182:2

Worth 14:11

wrap 229:20

write-
272:13

write-in
265:1
271:4,9
272:17
285:18,20

write-ins
272:8,9,
10,11,15,
18,24
273:1

written 13:2
251:4

wrong 8:11
22:25 45:9
175:8
196:2
197:6
232:10,11

wrote 224:22
285:22

Y

year 21:22
119:20
120:15
140:1,4
194:25
255:10
274:18

years 21:15
32:17
34:20
35:4,13,18
41:8
53:13,17
85:9 93:18
102:10
119:9
143:10
160:2
228:4
274:2
277:10

yielded
222:10

young 82:6

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDAC
Date: 2/18/2019 12:00 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

1 IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY

2 STATE OF GEORGIA

3

4 COALITION FOR GOOD . CIVIL ACTION
GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. . FILE NO.: 2018-CV-313418
5

6 MARTIN, SMYTH DUVAL, and .
JEANNE DUFORT, . Taken at:
7

8 Plaintiffs, . Superior Court of Cobb County

9 vs. . 70 Haynes Street

10 ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, . Courtroom 402-M

11 Secretary of State of . Marietta, Georgia 30090
Georgia, et. al .
12

13 Defendants. .
. . . . . .
14

15

TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL PROCEEDINGS

16

17 FRIDAY, JANUARY 18, 2019

18 9:04 a.m. to 10:49 a.m.

19

20 STATE OF GEORGIA SENIOR JUDGE ADELE P. GRUBBS
REPORTED BY:
21

22 PRISCILLA GARCIA, COURT REPORTER
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF GEORGIA
23

24 TRANSCRIBED BY:

25 CHRISTIAN NAADEN

1

2 APPEARANCES:

3

4 Plaintiff's Counsel: Bruce P. Brown, Esquire

5

6 Bruce P. Brown Law
Floataway Business Complex

7

8 1123 Zonolite Road N.E.

9 Suite 6

10 Atlanta, Georgia 30306

11 404-881-0700
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com

12

13 Defendant's Counsel: John Belinfante, Esquire

14

15 Robbins, Ross, Allot, Belinfante
& Littlefield, LLC

16

17 500 14th Street N.W.

18 Atlanta, Georgia 30318
404-856-3262

19

20 jbelinfante@robbinsfirm.com

21

22

23

24

25

1

2 CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:

3

4 Defendant's Counsel: Vincent R. Russo, Esquire

5

6 Robbins, Ross, Allot, Belinfante
& Littlefield, LLC

7

8 999 Peachtree Street N.E.

9 Suite 1120

10 Atlanta, Georgia 30309

11 404-856-3260
vrusso@robbinsfirm.com

12

13 Defendant's Associate Counsel:

14

15 Alexander F. Denton, Esquire
Robbins, Ross, Allot, Belinfante

16

17 & Littlefield, LLC

18 500 14th Street N.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30318

19

20 404-856-3276
adenton@robbinsfirm.com

21

22

23

24

25

1

2 CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:

3

4 Defendant's Counsel: Edward H. Lindsey, Jr., Esquire

5

6 Dentons US, LLP
303 Peachtree Street N.E.

7

8 Suite 5300

9 Atlanta, Georgia 30308

10 404-527-4580

11 edward.lindsey@dentons.com

12

13 Defendant's Counsel: SAMUEL S. OLENS, ESQUIRE
Dentons US, LLP

14

15 303 Peachtree Street N.E.
Suite 5300

16

17 Atlanta, Georgia 30308

18 404-527-4108
sam.olens@dentons.com

19

20 Defendant's Counsel: Kaye Woodard Burwell, Esquire

21

22 Office of the County Attorney
141 Pryor Street S.W.

23

24 Suite 4038

25 Atlanta, Georgia 30303

1 404-612-0251

2 kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov

CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:

3

4

Defendant's Counsel: Bryan P. Tyson, Esquire

5

6 Strickland, Brockington
& Lewis, LLP

7

8 1170 Peachtree Street N.E.

9 Atlanta, Georgia 30309

10 404-219-3160

11 bryan.tyson@sbllaw.com

12

13 Defendant's Co-Counsel: Richard A. Carothers, Esquire
Carothers & Mitchell, LLC

14

15 1809 Buford Highway
Atlanta, Georgia 30518

16

17 770-932-3552

18 richard.carothers@carsmith.com

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

I-N-D-E-X

3

WITNESS	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
Terri R. Thomas	9	14		
Richard Barron	18	33		

EXHIBITS:	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
NONE		

1

2

3

4 COBB COUNTY, GEORGIA
FRIDAY, January 18, 2018 - 9:04 a.m.

5

6 * * * * * * *

7

8 THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. Take
9 your seats, if you will. I am a minute or two late, but
10 they wanted us to move again at noon.
11 MR. LINDSEY: Oh.
THE COURT: And I refused, so I've been working that
12
13 little problem out; okay?
MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
14
15 MR. TYSON: Thank you.
THE COURT: One move is enough; we shouldn't have had
16
17 to do that. So we'll see where we go. Okay. Day two,
18 where are we, Mr. Brown? Good morning.
MR. BROWN: Good morning, Your Honor. We have an eye
19
20 witness who will testify as to her voting experience who
is going through security right now.
21
22 THE COURT: Going through security; okay?
MS. BROWN: And then we'd like to put up her first,
23
24 but if we don't do that we would, you know --
25 THE COURT: We'll give -- we'll give her a minute,

1 if --

2 MS. BROWN: And then we have --
THE COURT: Twice the bailiffs in the box, is that
3

4 what we've got? Boy, if you were a jury like -- that --
that's an interesting jury up there in that box.
5

6 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I want to see if you
[inaudible] making sure everything's in line as to the
7

8 rule of [inaudible] in place.

9 THE COURT: Do what?

10 MR. LINDSEY: The rules.

11 THE COURT: The rules of sequestration is in force.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor --
12

13 THE COURT: Okay?
MR. BROWN: -- as to the rule of sequestration --
14

15 THE COURT: What?
MR. BROWN: The -- the question that -- there's law
16

17 that the rule of sequestration does not apply to a

18 rebuttal expert witnesses, and so we would --
THE COURT: Only if it's a rebuttal expert to an
19

20 expert.
MR. BROWN: Or to expert testimony or to --
21

22 THE COURT: We'll -- we'll address the issue when we
get there.
23

24 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, we

25 would call Terri Thomas to the witness stand.

1 THE COURT: Whose is this? Okay.

2 MR. BROWN: Please raise your right hand. Do you promise to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but

3

4 the truth?
THE WITNESS: I do.

5

6 MR. BROWN: Have a seat.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.

7

8

9 P R O C E E D I N G S

10 * * * * * * *

11

Thereupon:

12

13 TERRI R. THOMAS

14

15 was called as a witness, and having been first duly

16

17 sworn in, and testified upon her oath as follows:

18 DIRECT EXAMINATION

19

20 OF TERRI R. THOMAS

21

22 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Please state your name for the record?

23

24 A. Terri R. Thomas.

25 MR. BROWN: Ms. Thomas, my name is Bruce Brown, and I

1 am the attorney for the petitioners in this case.

2 THE WITNESS: Okay.
BY MR. BROWN:
3

4 Q. Where are you from?
A. I'm from Los Angeles.
5

6 Q. And where do you live now?
A. I live in Lithonia, Georgia.
7

8 Q. And did you drive from Lithonia this

9 morning here?

10 A. Yes.

11 Q. Did you vote on November 20, 2018?
A. I did.
12

13 Q. And where did you vote?
A. At Lithonia High School.
14

15 Q. And when -- and did you vote on an
electronic machine with a screen?
16

17 A. I did.

18 Q. And when you -- did you pull up the ballot
on the screen?
19

20 A. I put the little card in the machine, yes.
Q. Did the ballot then appear for the
21

22 governor's race?
A. Yes, sir.
23

24 Q. Did the lieutenant governor's race appear

25 on the first several pages of the ballot?

1 A. No.

2 Q. How do you -- how do you know? How do you remember that?

3

4 A. Well, like I said, I'm from Los Angeles, and when I first -- the first thing that popped up

5

6 was the governor's race, and the first thing that I saw were these two large squares. And I was thinking

7

8 to myself, "Georgia sure is making sure we know which

9 candidate we're voting for. There are only --

10 there's only one category on this page, and I didn't

11 even -- it didn't even dawn on me that there was a third person running, because their little box was so

12

13 small on the writing outside.

Q. Did you -- did you see at any point in

14

15 going through the ballot, the election -- the race between Amico and Duncan?

16

17 A. Not initially.

18 Q. When was the first time you saw Amico's name?

19

20 A. It was -- I had gone through -- I had voted for everything except one amendments because I wasn't

21

22 familiar with them, and then it was at the end of my ballot, and it dawned on me that I hadn't voted for

23

24 her, so I just kept going previous and went all the

25 way back to the beginning of the ballot.

1 Q. And when you went back to the beginning of
2 the ballot, did you see her -- her name on the race?
A. I did.
3
4 Q. Okay. And did you -- were you able to cast
a vote then?
5
6 A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And do you have any -- and then you
7
8 went forward to the summary?
9 A. Correct, to the end, yes.
10 Q. Okay. And you cast your ballot?
11 A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Were there any other malfunctions in
12
13 the screen?
A. Not that I noticed.
14
15 Q. Not that you noticed. And did you complain
to anyone about the -- about the voting?
16
17 A. Not at the poll.
18 Q. Okay. Did you thereafter?
A. I'm sorry?
19
20 Q. Thereafter that, did you?
A. I did. I did. The first person I talked
21
22 to was my daughter, because we usually go vote
together and she left me that morning. And so she
23
24 had already voted, and when I got back home I was
25 telling her what happened to me. And she told me

1 that's not what she experienced.

2 Q. Okay.
MR. BROWN: Thank you very much.
3

4 THE WITNESS: Oh, you're welcome.
THE COURT: Any questions?
5

6 THE WITNESS: Excuse me.
MR. LINDSEY: Just a few quick questions, ma'am.
7

8 THE WITNESS: Yes. I was just going to finish my

9 statement here. I didn't finish.

10 MR. LINDSEY: Oh.

11 THE COURT: You're not here -- you have to be asked questions; okay? If they don't ask you the direct
12

13 question you want them to ask you, you don't get to tell it.
14

15 THE WITNESS: Okay.
THE COURT: Okay?
16

17 THE WITNESS: But it was about the previous question

18 he asked.
THE COURT: Well --
19

20 THE WITNESS: That's fine.
THE COURT: What do you think, Mr. Lindsey?
21

22 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, she's a good voter from Georgia. Please let her go ahead and finish it.
23

24 THE COURT: Okay.

25 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.

1 THE COURT: Okay, finish then.

2 MR. LINDSEY: Go ahead, ma'am.
THE WITNESS: Oh. I'm sorry. You had asked if I
3

4 complained about that. Like I said, the first person was
my daughter. The next thing I did that night or the next
5

6 morning, I wrote an email.
MR. BROWN: Okay.
7

8 BY MR. BROWN:

9 Q. And when -- the first time that you looked

10 at the ballot screen?

11 A. Yes.
Q. When you first looked at it?
12

13 A. Yes.
Q. Was Amico's name on there?
14

15 A. No, sir.
Q. And were you looking to vote for her?
16

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. And you didn't see it on the first screen?
A. Correct.
19

20 MR. BROWN: Thank you.

21

22 CROSS-EXAMINATION
OF TERRI R. THOMAS
23

24

25 BY MR. LINDSEY:

1 Q. Was this the first time that you've voted
2 in Georgia?
A. No, sir.
3
4 Q. Okay. I hate to ask a woman this, but how
-- how old are you, ma'am?
5
6 A. I am 62.
Q. Thank you. And you were -- how many times
7
8 have you voted in Georgia?
9 A. Probably about seven to eight times.
10 Q. Okay. And so, you went all the way
11 through?
A. Correct.
12
13 Q. And then when -- then you went back, and it
was on the screen; correct?
14
15 A. Yes, sir.
Q. You voted for Ms. -- I take it you voted
16
17 for Ms. Amico?
18 A. I did.
Q. Okay. And when you talked to your
19
20 daughter, your daughter said that she didn't have any
problems?
21
22 A. Correct.
Q. Okay.
23
24 MR. LINDSEY: No further questions.
25 THE COURT: Anything else, Mr. -- anyone else? Oh,

1 Mr. Tyson.

2 MR. TYSON: Yes. Just briefly. Hello, Ms. Thomas, my name is Bryan Tyson. I represent the Gwinnett County

3

4 Board of Registrations and Elections.
BY MR. TYSON:

5

6 Q. I just wanted to ask, when you said, when you first looked at the ballot you said, you saw "two

7

8 squares" when they came on there?

9 A. Correct.

10 Q. And you -- I'm assuming you were looking to

11 vote in the governor's race. Is it possible that the lieutenant governor's race was there, and you just

12

13 missed it the first time?
A. No, sir. No, sir. I'm retired; I have

14

15 plenty of time.
Q. Okay. And then your daughter, is she

16

17 involved at all with the coalition or any groups that

18 are interested in voting issues?
A. No, sir.

19

20 Q. Okay. And then, you said that there was a third person running. Did you say that it was

21

22 "smaller or difficult to see?" Can you describe for me what that was on that first screen?

23

24 A. I'm guessing it was -- it was Republican

25 and Democrat and Independent --

1 Q. Yes?

2 A. -- but his square was so small. Like I said, I was amazed because I was thinking -- you're

3

4 going to make sure I know who I'm voting. This is the only category on this page, and the squares were

5

6 so large, and he had a little square [inaudible] just looking at him.

7

8 Q. Thank you.

9 MR. TYSON: I don't have any further questions.

10 THE WITNESS: You're welcome.

11 THE COURT: Okay.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I have no further questions,

12

13 and may the witness be excused?
THE COURT: The witness may be excused.

14

15 MR. BROWN: Thank you very much.
THE COURT: Have a safe drive back to your home.

16

17 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

18 MR. BROWN: Thank you very much.
THE WITNESS: You're welcome.

19

20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the plaintiffs would call Richard Barron. Please state -- do you promise to tell

21

22 the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?
THE WITNESS: Yes.

23

24 MR. BROWN: Have a seat.

25

1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 * * * * * * *

3

4 Thereupon:

5

6 RICHARD BARRON

7

8 was called as a witness, and having been first duly

9 sworn in, and testified upon his oath as follows:

10

11 DIRECT EXAMINATION
OF RICHARD BARRON

12

13 BY MR. BROWN:

14

15 Q. Please state your full name for the record?
A. Richard Barron.

16

17 Q. And by whom are you currently employed?

18 A. Fulton County.
Q. And what is your position with Fulton

19

20 County?
A. Director of Registration and Elections.

21

22 Q. How long have you had that position?
A. Five and a half years.

23

24 Q. What does a director of registration and

25 elections do?

1 A. I'm in charge of -- of administering all
2 the elections for Fulton County, taking care of all the polling places, training the poll workers,
3
4 dealing with the media, and overseeing the voter registration rolls.
5
6 Q. And how many full-time employees do you have?
7
8 A. 18.
9 Q. And during the election, how many part-time
10 employees do you have or contractors?
11 A. Well, it depends on -- it's usually about 60. That increases during early voting.
12
13 Q. Okay. You were here in yesterday's -- during yesterday's testimony; correct?
14
15 A. Yes.
Q. Okay. I would like to ask you some
16
17 questions, and I want to make sure I'm clear. There
18 is an election server at the Secretary of State's office, to the best of your understanding; correct?
19
20 A. Correct.
Q. And then separately, there's what we would
21
22 -- what some people call the GEM server at the county; is that correct?
23
24 A. Yes.
25 Q. And is it your understanding that the

1 election server at the Secretary of State was
2 reinstalled with a trusted copy of the GEMS software after it had been compromised in 2016?
3
4 A. I have no --
MR. TYSON: Object on that, Your Honor. I don't
5
6 think there is any foundation that's Mr. Barron's going to have knowledge about what the Secretary of State's servers
7
8 going to have or anything else.
9 THE COURT: But -- I --
10 MR. LINDSEY: I believe that they haven't laid a
11 proper foundation that there has been any type of compromise with the GEMS system.
12
13 THE COURT: We had testimony yesterday. You actually have him on direct and you're leading. That question
14
15 wasn't what you had previously. I sustain the objection to it.
16
17 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, may I treat him as a -- treat
18 him on cross as an adverse?
THE COURT: No, sir. You called him for -- you
19
20 called him on direct.
MR. BROWN: Okay.
21
22 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Was Fulton County's GEMS server replaced in
23
24 2016 or 2017?
25 A. I don't recall.

1 Q. Okay. Did Fulton County take efforts after
2 2016 to disinfect Fulton County's GEMS database?
MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, I am going to object to
3
4 assuming facts.
MR. BROWN: Let the record reflect that the witness
5
6 shook his head "No." Go ahead.
MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, his question assumes facts
7
8 that are not in evidence that there was something that
9 needed to be done. He hasn't laid a foundation.
10 THE COURT: Well, he just asked did they do it after
11 2016. That doesn't reflect -- he just -- I don't know why
I don't have a mic this morning. He just -- the question
12
13 is okay the way it is; okay?
THE WITNESS: I don't -- I don't understand what you
14
15 mean by "disinfect."
BY MR. BROWN:
16
17 Q. Was it examined for malware or any other
18 defects?
A. Not that I'm aware of.
19
20 Q. Was any of Fulton County's memory cards
that they used, were any of those memory cards
21
22 disinfected after 2016?
A. No.
23
24 Q. In 2018, you would have created a new
25 memory card for the 2018 election; correct?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. And those -- those memory cards would have been the same memory cards that had been used in

3 previous elections; correct?

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. Elections prior to 2016; correct?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. And you would have -- did you make those

8 memory cards from your GEMS -- your county GEMS

9 database?

10 A. Yeah. They -- that's where everything originates, yes.

11 Q. Do you have any reason to believe that the process that you go through in making the -- the

12 well, let me back up a little bit. Do you know if other counties disinfected their memory cards after

13 2016 or not?

14 A. I still don't understand what you mean by "disinfect," but I have no knowledge of any other

15 counties.

16 Q. Okay. Fair enough. Let me make sure

17 you're understanding my question. When I -- when I say "disinfect," what I mean is to do anything to

18 remove any malware or mis-program [sic] that might be

19 on that particular device?

1 A. No.

2 Q. And so the answer is, your -- you didn't do that with the memory cards; correct?

3 A. No.

4 Q. Okay. And you, to -- to the best of your knowledge, did any other counties do that?

5 A. I have no -- no knowledge of that.

6 Q. One way or the other?

7 A. No.

8 Q. Fair enough. Prior to the -- to the -- the November 2018 election, did Fulton County review its GEMS database to determine if there were any coding errors on that GEMS database?

9 A. No.

10 Q. You were here yesterday, and did you -- do you recall the testimony of Sara LeClerc?

11 A. Was she the poll watcher?

12 Q. Yes?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. Were you -- do you recall what county she was -- had been overseeing?

15 A. What county?

16 Q. It was Fulton County, correct, and AME church?

17 A. Yes.

1 Q. That's one of your precincts; correct?
2 A. Correct.
3 Q. Did you get a report of the incidents that
4 she described when you were in -- during the election?
5
6 A. I didn't personally, no.
7 Q. Are you aware of someone in your office
8 getting a report like that? A report from AME or
9 about AME?
10 A. I -- I became aware of it through this --
11 this process, the court case.
12 Q. And -- and what is your understanding of --
13 of the information that was received by your office?
14 MS. BURWELL: Objection, Your Honor. He said that he
15 learned of it though this lawsuit, not through his office.
16 THE COURT: Sustained.
17 BY MR. BROWN:
18 Q. At any time, not in the November election or not contemporaneously, but did you gain an
19
20 understanding of what happened at the AME Temple at the church -- the AME Temple precinct?
21
22 A. I -- I've been made aware of the complaint, yes.
23
24 Q. And did you or anybody under your
25 supervision do any kind of investigation to determine

1 why that happened?

2 A. Yes.
Q. And what did you find?
3

4 A. We found -- well, we found that the -- the
poll worker -- the poll worker had an issue with that
5

6 voter, and then she -- she took down the machine, and
then later she reopened the machine later in the day.
7

8 Q. Did anybody on your staff inspect the

9 machine to see if there were any coding errors or

10 anything like that?

11 A. It's my understanding -- no, we didn't look
for coding errors.
12

13 Q. Did you look for any mechanical type of
errors that weren't electronic, like with the screen
14

15 operation?
A. No, not that day.
16

17 Q. Ever?

18 A. I don't think so, no.
Q. Did you do any sort of examination of -- of
19

20 any of the DRE machines that Fulton County used after
the 2018 election?
21

22 A. We -- no. We immediately had to turn those
around for the -- the December election.
23

24 Q. And when you -- you said you had to use

25 them for the December runoff?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. And when you used those for the December runoff, do you reprogram them with a different

3

4 election memory card?
A. Yes. And they're -- yes.

5

6 Q. Okay. And so, at that point it would be -- describe that process for me, please?

7

8 A. Well, we have to run them through -- once

9 we get the database in, and we load the election, we

10 run them through a live -- a logic and accuracy

11 testing. We also -- we -- we calibrate them to make sure the time and date are correct. Just some basic

12

13 things that we do to get ready for them -- to get ready for the election and then the logic and

14

15 accuracy testing.
Q. Does that involve reprogramming the

16

17 machines?

18 A. Well, we -- we insert a new card in there, and that has the new election on it.

19

20 Q. And does -- and does the insertion of the new card and the running of these tests alter the

21

22 internal memory?
A. No.

23

24 Q. And how do you know?

25 A. I mean, it's not my understanding that it

1 does. It doesn't erase anything that's on there.

2 There's a hard packet that stays on there from the beginning, the life of the machine.

3

4 Q. How -- how do you know that?

A. That's -- well, because that's what I've

5

6 learned using these -- this equipment.

Q. Have you done any -- any forensic

7

8 examination of the -- the unit to determine if any

9 changes are made to that memory by reprogramming?

10 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object to that. I don't

11 think the foundation laid by Mr. Barron would have the type of capability to observe or the skill to do that. It

12

13 needs to be laid first before we get into that.

THE COURT: Well, he can answer the question. He's

14

15 only talking for himself.

THE WITNESS: No.

16

17 BY MR. BROWN:

18 Q. Has anybody under your supervision done that?

19

20 A. No.

Q. Are you aware of any scientific or computer

21

22 scientific opinion to the effect that reprogramming the machines for the subsequent election has altered

23

24 the memory of the machines?

25 A. No.

1 Q. You're not aware of that at all? You never
2 heard of that opinion?
A. No.
3
4 Q. You never heard that opinion expressed by
Mr. Bernhard?
5
6 THE COURT: Enough; okay? That -- that -- and I
understand you're an advocate and I respect that, but
7
8 that's far enough on that line of thought.
9 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
10 BY MR. BROWN:
11 Q. What is a voter access card?
A. That is -- that is the -- the yellow card
12
13 that has a chip on it that you -- when -- when a
voter checks in, you put it in -- the poll worker
14
15 puts it into the Express Poll to -- so that it -- it
will indicate which ballot on the DRE is accessible
16
17 by that voter.
18 Q. It's -- if you will, it's created by the
express electronic poll booth?
19
20 A. Yes, the Express Poll.
Q. The Express Poll. And is the Express Poll
21
22 part of the registration system in any way?
A. Well, the -- the voter registration
23
24 database is loaded with the information for the --
25 for everyone that's eligible to vote in that election

1 is put onto the Express Poll.

2 Q. I want to make sure that I have this correct. The -- the registration database, for lack

3

4 of a better expression, tells the poll books what each voter access card should have on it, right?

5

6 A. No.
MS. BURWELL: Objection, Your Honor.

7

8 MR. BROWN: He said, no.

9 MS. BURWELL: First of all, it's leading; and second

10 of all he hasn't defined some of those terms.

11 THE COURT: He said "No" anyway; okay? And -- and I -- I understand you're reading some questions you've got

12

13 and they're very scientific, but sometimes when you use scientific words, you may use one word and he may use

14

15 another word, and you may not be talking in the same language. And I think we're having some issues with that

16

17 this morning.

18 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Help me out on the terminology, Mr. Barron.

19

20 What is the system called, the biggest system in the process?

21

22 A. The biggest system?
Q. Right. It's called the registration

23

24 system?

25 A. E-net, Electionet is the voter registration

1 system.

2 Q. And that is maintained by who?
A. Well, it's maintained by the state.
3

4 Q. How does the Enet system inform -- does the
Enet system at the state level inform the county as
5

6 to which voter should vote where?
A. Well, every -- every voter, based on their
7

8 address, is given a ballot code and the only thing

9 that's -- the only information that is put onto the

10 voter access card is the -- the ballot code for that

11 voter, so that -- because all the ballots, the
different ballots for each precinct or during early
12

13 voting all the ballots are on there. The voter
access card enables the voter to access his or her
14

15 ballot.
Q. And to make sure I have my terminology
16

17 straight, the voter access cards are inserted at

18 Election Day into the Express Poll book's server;
correct?
19

20 A. Yeah. It's not a server; it's just a
standalone unit that -- the information is in the
21

22 Express Poll, the card goes in, the poll worker looks
up the voter, selects the name, that ballot code
23

24 information is put on there, and what it does is it

25 tells the DRE to access this ballot.

1 Q. Thank you for that. And the Express Poll
2 machine in turn gets its information from the state's Enet system; correct?
3
4 A. Not -- it's not why we're connected from it, but yeah, the source of information is from
5
6 there.
Q. And how does -- it's not connected live;
7
8 how does the information get from the Enet to the
9 county?
10 A. We -- we get -- we get a card, like, a
11 flash memory card that we -- we reproduce to put in the Express Polls, or the state reproduces all the
12
13 cards. We put them into the Express Polls for each precinct.
14
15 Q. Are you aware of reports that the Enet system was vulnerable to hacking prior to the
16
17 November 2018 election?
18 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object to that. I don't think there's a foundation about that, that any reports
19
20 about that. If Mr. Brown wants to reference a specific report, I think he can, but --
21
22 THE COURT: It's the way that you phrase the question. You -- you make it as if it were a statement of
23
24 fact. You can ask in that area, but you've got to
25 inquire, not make these false statements that you keep

1 making about things.

2 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Right. Are you aware of any reports
3

4 related to hacking involving the Enet system?
A. I read that in the AJC.
5

6 Q. And after you read it in the AJC, did you
follow up?
7

8 A. No.

9 Q. Did you investigate it at all?

10 A. No.

11 Q. Are you aware of anyone else investigating
that?
12

13 A. No.
Q. Was it a -- was it a concern to you?
14

15 A. I didn't -- you know, when I read the
story, I didn't know how seriously to take it. It
16

17 didn't -- I -- no.

18 Q. Were you aware -- or did the Secretary of
State have an investigation?
19

20 A. I don't know.
Q. Just for the record, how many voting
21

22 machines does Fulton County have?
A. 3,108.
23

24 MR. BROWN: Let me take just a moment here. That's

25 all that I have at this time.

1 THE COURT: Questions? Thank you.

2 MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, did you want me to do all
my questions now or just the ones related to what he's
3

4 been asked this morning?
THE COURT: You can ask him anything you want to ask
5

6 him.
MS. BURWELL: I can ask as much as I'd like to ask?
7

8 THE COURT: Go ahead.

9 MS. BURWELL: Okay. Thank you.

10 THE COURT: We don't have a podium.

11 MS. BURWELL: Okay.
THE COURT: I know some of you are used to a podium.
12

13 I don't know that I like podiums, but is it -- we have no
clerk, so you can use any of the clerk's area.
14

15 MS. BURWELL: Oh; okay? Well, maybe I can just --
THE COURT: Put it way over there on the end if you
16

17 want to be closer and seeing and not have the computer in

18 the way. Yeah, that's a good place.
MS. BURWELL: Okay.
19

20

CROSS-EXAMINATION
21

22 OF RICHARD BARRON
BY MS. BURWELL:
23

24 Q. Mr. Barron, can you tell the court how long

25 you've been involved in elections?

1 A. Since December of 1999.

2 Q. Okay. And can you tell the judge a little bit about your background with elections?

3

4 A. I've worked with Travis County elections in Austin, Texas, from 1999 to 2003. I also worked for

5

6 Sequoia Voting Systems from 2004 to 2005. In 2006, I worked for Hartman Civic, and then I went to work for

7

8 Williamson County in Brown -- Georgetown, Texas, from

9 2007 to 2013, and then I came here.

10 Q. So, you've been with Fulton County since

11 2013?
A. Yes.

12

13 Q. And so in connection with overseeing the election activities for the Fulton County Board of

14

15 Registration and Elections, are you required to follow state law?

16

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. And where do you find the state law that you're supposed to follow?

19

20 A. The Georgia election code and also there's an SED rule book.

21

22 Q. And what's the SED rule book?
A. It -- it -- it's -- from my understand,

23

24 it's basically an -- the state election board has

25 some rules that they interpret from the law, and I

1 think they also make some -- some rules in addition
2 to that.
Q. And you're required to follow those as
3
4 well?
A. Yes.
5
6 Q. Does the state oversee all of your election activities?
7
8 MR. BROWN: Object, object, Your Honor. She's asking
9 the witness what someone else does.
10 MS. BURWELL: May I respond, Your Honor?
11 THE COURT: Yes. We -- we -- we -- I think it's the way it's phrased. I -- I think you can ask the question.
12
13 Go ahead.
BY MS. BURWELL:
14
15 Q. Does anyone oversee the election activities that you undertake?
16
17 A. The state election board has the -- the
18 ultimate authority over the boards, the -- the Fulton County Board of Registration and Elections.
19
20 Q. And are there -- are you personally or is the Board of Registration and Elections subject to
21
22 penalties for not following the code and rules?
A. Yes.
23
24 Q. Can you tell the Court why Fulton County
25 uses the DRE-based voting system?

1 A. That is part of -- there -- there's a
2 uniform voting law in Georgia, and every county uses the same equipment. It's a state law.
3
4 Q. Do you have a choice on whether or not to use that equipment?
5
6 A. No.
7 Q. Who owns the DRE machines that are used in
8 Fulton County?
9 A. 70 to 80 percent of them were purchased by
10 the state, and Fulton County purchased the other 20
11 to 30 percent.
12 Q. Can you tell the Court whether or not
13 Fulton County has ever had any software issues with its DRE machines?
14
15 A. No.
16 Q. Are you aware of any viruses that have ever
17 infiltrated a Fulton County DRE machine?
18 A. No.
19 Q. Let me ask you now the same questions about
20 the poll book that you used. Are you aware of any software issues with that poll book?
21
22 A. No.
23 Q. What about the viruses with that poll book?
24 A. No.
25 Q. And let me ask you now about the GEMS

1 server, which is a -- yet a third component of the
2 system; correct?
A. Yes.
3
4 Q. Are you -- has Fulton County ever had any
software issues with the -- the GEM system?
5
6 A. No.
Q. And are you aware of any viruses with that
7
8 GEM system?
9 A. No.
10 Q. Are you aware -- is it -- are you aware of
11 any external attacks that Fulton County has had on
any of its machines?
12
13 A. No.
Q. Is it possible for there -- is -- is the
14
15 DRE machine hooked up to the Internet?
A. No.
16
17 Q. Is the DRE machine hooked up to anything
18 external, the Web, or anything like that?
A. No.
19
20 Q. Now, are the DRE machines tested by Fulton
County?
21
22 A. Yes.
Q. And do -- did you test the machines before
23
24 the November 6th election?
25 A. Yes.

1 Q. Is each DRE machine that's used in the
2 election tested?
A. Yes.
3
4 Q. And are DRE machines that are used in the
early voting tested as well?
5
6 A. Yes.
Q. Are any of the machines connected to each
7
8 other?
9 A. Only by electricity.
10 Q. Okay. So one DRE machine in a room isn't
11 connected to another DRE machine in a room?
A. No.
12
13 Q. They're both potentially plugged into the
electricity in the wall, but other than that --
14
15 A. Correct.
Q. Now, can you tell the judge in terms of the
16
17 November 6th general election what you did to prepare
18 for that election?
A. Well, we began in August. We have to -- we
19
20 have to get the ballot ready. We have to make sure
we get all the information from all of the local
21
22 candidates or from the municipalities that are having
elections to the state, so that they can build a
23
24 ballot.
25 We have to get all the -- the poll workers

1 recruited, poll workers trained; polling places we
2 have to make sure are -- are set.
We have to get all of our workers set up for
3
4 early voting. We have to get all the machines ready.
We -- we also make sure we get all of the -- the --
5
6 all of the voter registration applications processed
that are timely, and there are lots of little, sundry
7
8 duties that go along with all those.
9 Q. And those are the same -- the procedures
10 you go through for every election; is that correct?
11 A. Correct.
Q. Now, can you tell the judge about ballot
12
13 styles and what that means?
A. Well, we had 115 ballot styles in -- in the
14
15 November election. Those are based on -- on all the
-- the precincts and the districts, all the different
16
17 districts that -- that are within the county, from
18 state senate districts to -- to city boundaries to
House of Representative districts, all the -- all the
19
20 political districts are taken into account, along
with the precincts.
21
22 Q. So let me ask you about early voting. And
can you explain to the judge how early voting works?
23
24 A. During early voting, all of the ballots are
25 available at every polling place. You can vote

1 anywhere during early voting.
2 Q. So what does that mean in terms of ballot styles?
3
4 A. Well, for our 370-plus precincts that we have, all of those are available with the 115
5
6 different ballot styles.
Q. How does that differ from Election Day?
7
8 A. On Election Day, the voters have to go to
9 their -- their assigned precinct to vote. So those
10 -- those precincts are what are available in each
11 polling place.
Q. So on -- for early voting, if you live in
12
13 Roswell, you can vote in Chattahoochee Hills, and they can pull up your ballot?
14
15 A. Correct.
Q. But on Election Day, you can only vote in
16
17 Roswell?
18 A. Yes, at whatever assigned place in Roswell, yes.
19
20 Q. So during early voting, are there things that could occur that would cause a machine to say
21
22 "Cancel" on it?
A. Well, if it -- if they -- if the screen
23
24 comes up and there's a cancel sign on there, that --
25 that indicates that the ballot was created by the

1 Express Poll in -- in the disabled mode, for a
2 disabled voter.
Q. Okay. So explain for the -- to the judge
3
4 what that means?
A. There's -- the Express Poll has two
5
6 different modes. You can have the regular mode where
-- where the ballot comes up, or there's a -- there's
7
8 also a mode for -- for disabled voters, because those
9 voters, the ballot doesn't appear on the screen.
10 The only thing that appears is -- is a
11 "canceled" button, so -- to cancel that out. Now if
the voter goes up, he can put -- it's in the disabled
12
13 mode, the Express Poll operator has to select the
option to go back to regular mode.
14
15 If they don't, the next card they create is
going to be in disabled mode.
16
17 Q. And so is that what makes it flash
18 "Cancel"?
A. Yeah.
19
20 Q. Does that mean that there's a problem with
the machine?
21
22 A. No.
Q. Okay. What does that mean?
23
24 A. The DRE's doing what it's told.
25 Q. So what happens to the voter in that

1 instance, if it comes up and says "Cancel"?

2 A. Well, they would have to cancel the ballot and then go back to the Express Poll. They're

3 supposed to get -- all of our machines have a sign in them that say, "If there's anything wrong with your

4 ballot, whether it be that you've -- you think you have the wrong ballot, then you need to go get a poll

5 worker to help you."

6 Like to go back to the Express Poll and tell

7 them or show them what's on the screen. From there a

8 new card needs to be created that it's in the regular mode.

9 Q. Right. And it's not in disabled mode?

10 A. Correct.

11 Q. So then the voter can go and cast a ballot?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. So the fact that it says -- that it had

14 come up cancelled doesn't mean that the voter was unable to cast a vote --

15 A. Correct.

16 Q. -- on that day? Now let me ask you about

17 if an issue comes up with a DRE machine, and Mr. Brown asked you about something that happened at, I

18 believe, church. So you weren't at the church that

19 particular day, were you?

1 A. No.

2 Q. So you don't have any first-hand knowledge
about what particularly happened; correct?
3

4 A. Correct.
Q. But you listened to Ms. LeClerc's testimony
5

6 about what she observed?
A. Yes.
7

8 Q. Can you tell the judge what the process is

9 under those circumstances, if they're -- if this

10 particular voter has an issue?

11 A. Well, we have a help desk that -- there're
are different ways that a poll worker can contact the
12

13 office. They should call the help desk, and then a
ticket is created, and then a technician would be
14

15 sent to the polling place.
In the meantime, if the poll worker has a
16

17 concern about the machine, they -- they shut it down,

18 as they did, and so -- and that's what they're
supposed to do.
19

20 Q. Okay. Do you, as the director of
elections, have any concerns about the reliability of
21

22 the DRE machines that have been used in Fulton
County?
23

24 A. No.

25 Q. Do you have any concern about any memory

1 cards having ever been improperly accessed?
2 A. No.
Q. Can you tell the judge what the process is
3
4 for safety with respect to the memory cards?
A. All the -- all the machines will -- like,
5
6 in early voting, for example, all the machines are,
after they're tested, all of them are sealed to seal
7
8 the equipment, the serial numbers are recorded, and
9 the seal numbers are recorded, and those go out with
10 -- with the poll workers.
11 Every day those -- those machines are -- the
seals are broken, they're verified, they -- they seal
12
13 them up again, and it goes -- the -- the process goes
through the 19 days of early voting.
14
15 They're -- on Election Day, when we send those
out, they're -- they're sealed after the vote --
16
17 after, like, an accuracy is done. Those are also
18 delivered out, and they -- they go to the polling
place.
19
20 They're -- they are -- there is a recap sheet
with it, and they have the serial numbers and the --
21
22 the seal numbers are also recorded on there. The
poll workers verify that those -- the seals are not
23
24 broken, and if -- if one was to be broken, they're to
25 contact our office and to let us know that the seal

1 was -- is broken.

2 Q. So can you tell the judge what the process is for security with respect to the actual DRE

3

4 machines themselves? You told us about the cards being sealed and kept. What about the actual DRE

5

6 machines?
A. In our warehouse, they -- they are kept in

7

8 a -- in a large warehouse. There's a keypad to get

9 in. It's also -- the warehouse is alarmed, and we

10 also have cameras in -- in the warehouse.

11 Q. Do you have chain-of-custody forms --
A. Yes.

12

13 Q. -- for the machines? Are those kept under lock and key?

14

15 A. Yes.
Q. And how are the machines delivered to the

16

17 actual locations where voting occurs?

18 A. We have different either -- usually, it's either active-duty fire- -- firemen or officers or

19

20 retired firemen or officers that -- police officers that do -- do the deliveries.

21

22 Q. And when those DRE machines are delivered, they have seals on them?

23

24 A. They have seals on them, and there's a

25 cable through the door -- through the -- through the

1 handles --
2 Q. Can you --
A. -- to make sure that they're all kept
3
4 together.
Q. Can you explain to the judge what the seal
5
6 looks like and what it's made out of and what's on it?
7
8 A. When they go out, it's a -- it's a metal
9 seal, and it has -- it has a number on there that
10 identifies that seal, and it's unique to that seal.
11 Q. And so what do poll workers do when they get the machines?
12
13 A. After they -- they will verify that the seal is -- that we recorded in the warehouse is the
14
15 same that it is on that recap sheet, and then they break the seals to open the machines.
16
17 Q. Are you aware of any instance where a seal
18 was broken or tampered with while at a polling location?
19
20 A. No.
Q. Now, once the election is over and the
21
22 machines are returned to the warehouse, is there a seal on it when it's originally returned to the
23
24 warehouse?
25 A. Yes.

1 Q. And how long are you required to maintain
2 that seal?
A. 30 days.
3
4 Q. So if -- if a machine is sealed, is there
any way someone can access the door where the media
5
6 cards are located?
A. No.
7
8 Q. Okay, why is that?
9 A. Well, they would have to break the seal to
10 get in there, cut the cable, break the seal.
11 Q. Are you aware of that ever happening?
A. No. Also on the early voting machines,
12
13 there's a metal plate that is put over the -- the
doors on those. You'd have to crowbar those off, and
14
15 it would break the unit.
Q. Can you now tell the judge about the
16
17 security measures for the GEMS server?
18 A. That's password-protected. It's in the
same warehouse with the -- with the DREs, so there's
19
20 -- you have to have the access code to get in the
door. There's also an alarm, and there are cameras
21
22 in there.
MS. BURWELL: I have no further questions.
23
24 THE COURT: Yes, sir, Mr. Tyson. Mr. Lindsey?
25 MR. LINDSEY: I have some questions, Your Honor.

1 I think you've alluded to it, Mr. Barron, but perhaps
2 it would be a good idea to go ahead and explain.
3 Before I do that, my co-counsel has admonished
4 me for asking a woman her age, so to be fair, sure that
5 I'm not a sexist, what's your age?
6 THE WITNESS: 52.
7 MR. LINDSEY: All right. That's the last time I'm
8 going to ask a woman her age.
9 THE COURT: I think everybody in here's younger than
10 I am anyway, so don't worry about that. Go ahead.
11 MR. LINDSEY: Yes. All jokes aside, let's get to the
12 serious part.
13 BY MR. LINDSEY:
14 Q. You've alluded to it a couple times, but
15 let's tell the judge a little more specifically.
16 Prior to the election, for each machine, it is my
17 understanding that according to your testimony that
18 you conduct what is called a logic and accuracy
19 testing?
20 A. Yes.
21 Q. Explain to the judge exactly what that is?
22 A. We have a script that we -- we vote, and
23 then once you input those votes, then you run the
24 tape and make sure that -- that the results match the
25 script that was voted.

1 Q. Okay. And do you do that for each machine?
2 A. For each machine.
3 Q. Okay. And did all of the machines that
4 were used on November 6th, 2018 pass that test?
5 A. Yes.
6 MR. LINDSEY: No further questions.
7 THE COURT: Mr. --
8 MR. RUSSO: Briefly, I just -- only care about one
9 point.
10 BY MR. RUSSO:
11 Q. Mr. Barron, you indicated a script was run.
12 Does that -- does that require someone actually
13 pushing the screen and voting it, or is that a
14 software run on the computer?
15 A. No. We -- we actually input those manually
16 to make sure that all the positions are recording
17 votes.
18 MR. RUSSO: Thank you.
19 THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
20 BY MR. BROWN:
21 Q. Mr. Barron, you were asked about a law
22 relating to -- that required you to use the DRE
23 machines, right?
24 A. Um-hmm.
25 Q. And is it -- was it your understanding that

1 the law does require you to use the DRE machines?

2 A. Yes.
Q. And are you aware of -- of a court opinion
3

4 that says --
THE COURT: Okay. You -- you -- you -- that's what
5

6 we're having trouble with, and I can see movement over
here at this table. "Are you aware," you say that "are
7

8 you aware" as if it was a matter of fact. And it's fact

9 that's not in evidence, so rephrase the question.

10 BY MR. BROWN:

11 Q. Have you read the federal court decision,
Curling vs. Kemp?
12

13 A. No.
Q. Are you -- what is the statute that says --
14

15 do -- do you know what the statute is that says you
have to use DRE machines?
16

17 A. Not offhand.

18 Q. Are you -- are you -- do you know of any
statute that says that?
19

20 A. I could -- if I had an election code, I
could probably find it, but --
21

22 Q. And -- and you're -- what you're saying is
that the Georgia code says that it requires the use
23

24 of DREs?

25 A. Yes, and that was clarified by the

1 Secretary of State's office to all the counties
2 leading up to the fall election cycle.
Q. Did that opinion change after a ruling by
3
4 the federal court?
MR. LINDSEY: I'm going to objection to Mr. Brown.
5
6 He is an election official. He's got some general
knowledge, perhaps, of the law --
7
8 THE COURT: Yeah, you're using -- see, you used the
9 word "code," at one point. I -- whether he knows the
10 difference between the code and the law, which are two
11 different things, I don't know, but you're still -- you're
still -- it's the way you're asking the questions, Mr.
12
13 Brown.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I -- the -- if I might just
14
15 say one thing.
THE COURT: What?
16
17 MR. BROWN: They asked him, "What is your
18 understanding of the law?"
THE COURT: But -- and you can ask him what -- you --
19
20 you can test that, but you can ask, "Are you aware that --
that rule ever changed?"
21
22 MR. BROWN: Are you aware --
THE COURT: That does the same thing. See where I'm
23
24 coming from?
25 MR. BROWN: Yes.

1 THE COURT: You -- you can get the information. It's
2 just the way you phrase the question that's objectionable.
BY MR. BROWN:
3
4 Q. Mr. Barron, are you aware if that rule was
ever changed or interpreted differently as not
5
6 requiring --
A. No.
7
8 Q. -- the use of DREs? Okay. Were you, in
9 your position as the director of elections for Fulton
10 County, were you briefed on court orders from the
11 federal court in the Curling case?
A. Yes.
12
13 Q. And did that briefing include telling you,
quote, "But OCGA 21-2-383(b) does not require the use
14
15 of DREs," as defendant claims it does?
MS. BURWELL: Objection, Your Honor. First and
16
17 foremost, it appears that he's attempting to ask about a
18 privileged communication.
THE COURT: It's not in -- it's -- it's -- I sustain
19
20 the objection.
BY MR. BROWN:
21
22 Q. Is it your working understanding, Mr.
Barron, that the Georgia Code requires the use of
23
24 DREs?
25 MR. TYSON: Yeah, objection, on behalf of

1 [inaudible].

2 THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MR. BROWN:
3

4 Q. Mr. Barron, you talked about secure facilities and about how to secure the facilities.
5

6 You mentioned the security with the GEMS server. Do you recall that?
7

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. And you weren't talking about the GEMS

10 database; you were talking about the actual GEMS

11 server; correct?
A. Correct.
12

13 Q. Okay. You -- you were describing how the machines are marked up, is that right? When they're
14

15 in -- after they're in use; correct?
A. At the poll, yes.
16

17 Q. And you testified about how the memory

18 cards are secured in a secure location; correct?
A. Yes.
19

20 Q. Those are the memory cards that have been used for years; correct?
21

22 A. Yes.
Q. You testified about the tickets that are
23

24 created as a result of complaints from -- well, let

25 me ask you this. The ticket system from your prior

1 testimony, could you describe that for us in greater
2 detail?
A. Well, a poller would call into our help
3
4 desk on Election Day, and -- and then a ticket is
created basically to -- if -- if we need to send a
5
6 technician to that area. We have roaming technicians
that oversee certain precincts, and then we can -- we
7
8 can dispatch somebody.
9 Q. And where are those tickets saved?
10 A. We have -- we just have a database that
11 keeps a log of our tickets.
Q. What is that database called?
12
13 A. Help desk. I don't think it has a name. I
mean, it's just a help -- it's just our own internal
14
15 help desk.
Q. Is that --is that a record that you make
16
17 available to the public?
18 A. It's -- I mean, I guess it would be
available if -- if somebody put in an open records
19
20 request, yes.
Q. And how many tickets were created for the
21
22 November '18 election?
A. I don't know.
23
24 Q. Scores, hundreds?
25 A. I don't -- I don't think it was that many,

1 but I don't know.

2 Q. And what about for the runoff?
A. I don't know.
3

4 MR. BROWN: Just one second. I'd like to take one minute.
5

6 THE COURT: Sure.
BY MR. BROWN:
7

8 Q. You testified that Fulton County has put

9 the machines, the DRE machines through logic and

10 accuracy tests?

11 A. Correct.
Q. You -- has Fulton County forensically
12

13 examined the machines?
A. No.
14

15 Q. And just for the record, has -- has Fulton County allowed plaintiffs access to the machines for
16

17 that testing?

18 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor. Here we go. Once again, plaintiff's counsel is trying to bring back up discovery
19

20 issues that have arisen in this case that have all been brought before the judge on multiple occasions.
21

22 Judge in this court has ruled after hearing plaintiff's counsel giving him a reasonable opportunity to
23

24 argue, and the Court has overruled those objections, so

25 this entire line of questioning is found to be

1 interrupting.

2 THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, this goes back to the statute
3

4 that I quoted in my opening statement --
THE COURT: Okay.
5

6 MR. BROWN: -- and that has to do with the --
THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
7

8 MR. BROWN: Thank you.

9 MS. BURWELL: If I could, Your Honor, just for one

10 second?

11 THE COURT: Mm-hmm.
BY MS. BURWELL:
12

13 Q. Mr. Barron, I'm going to show you
OCGA 21-2-300. Is that what you were referring to
14

15 earlier about being required to use the state-
mandated DRE machines?
16

17 A. You said 302? Or 300?

18 Q. 300.
MR. BROWN: Excuse me, excuse me, Your Honor. I've
19

20 just -- in the here --
THE COURT: Let me -- let me say this. You can all
21

22 read the law to me in closing.
MS. BURWELL: Okay. All right.
23

24 THE COURT: You don't -- don't -- don't make a lay

25 witness a lawyer.

1 MS. BURWELL: Okay.

2 THE COURT: I'm sure he doesn't want to be one.
BY MS. BURWELL:
3

4 Q. Last thing, Mr. Barron. In Fulton County
you didn't receive any complaints of someone saying
5

6 that a race, specifically the lieutenant governor
race didn't appear on their ballot?
7

8 A. I don't recall that, no.

9 MS. BURWELL: Thank you.

10 MR. LINDSEY: For a follow-up to that.

11 THE COURT: Right.
BY MR. LINDSEY:
12

13 Q. If there had been something as serious as
that, do you believe that you would have been alerted
14

15 to it?
A. Yes.
16

17 MR. BROWN: Objection, Your Honor. That calls for

18 speculation as to reading the minds of other people who
might --
19

20 MR. LINDSEY: He's -- he's ahead --
THE COURT: Sustained.
21

22 MR. LINDSEY: -- of the office now.
THE COURT: Sir, I understand. "Did you get one" is
23

24 enough?

25 BY MR. LINDSEY:

1 Q. Did you ever get one that day that a race
2 was not on, that particularly the lieutenant
governor's race was not on the ballot?
3
4 A. No.
MR. LINDSEY: Thank you.
5
6 MR. BROWN: No further questions, Mr. Barron.
THE COURT: Okay. You may go now. Thank you.
7
8 THE WITNESS: Thanks.
9 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, at this point we have no
10 further witnesses, but I would like to make one
11 evidentiary argument that may be well. And it is to renew
our request for the admission of the National Academy of
12
13 Sciences report. And let me just very briefly describe
the law on that.
14
15 The -- when it was tendered, I made the argument that
-- that the basis of it being admissible by experts is
16
17 prinicipally -- it's hearsay, but the -- but the law
18 requires is that --
And this is what the law says, and I'm quoting 24-7-
19
20 703, "Such facts or data that are otherwise inadmissible
shall not be disclosed to the jury by the proponent of the
21
22 opinion or inference unless the court determines that the
probative value in assisting the jury to evaluate the
23
24 expert's opinion substantially outweighs their prejudicial
25 effect."

1 And so I would ask, Your Honor, to -- to allow the
2 opinion of -- to allow the National Academy of Science's report, because their probative value greatly outweighs
3
4 the prejudicial effect, and I would also, at this point, note that the report is independently admissible under
5
6 OCGA 24-7-803 (8)(C), which is the public records and reports exception of hearsay.
7
8 And I would cite the case of Chrysler v. Walden, 339
9 Ga. App. In that case, the court allowed the firm
10 allowance of a report of the National Highway Traffic
11 Safety Administration opposite defects investigation about a recall of a particular defective product.
12
13 We think that case is right on point. We believe, Your Honor, that -- I understand that Your Honor has not
14
15 reviewed this evidence because it wasn't admitted in the evidence.
16
17 THE COURT: Well, I thought it was unfair to
18 everybody for me to start reading stuff people were sending me to read. There was going to be evidence when
19
20 there was no -- I didn't know whether it was admissible or not, so I didn't --
21
22 MR. BROWN: We would urge Your Honor to consider reading it and to conclude that, since a consensus report
23
24 from the National Academy of Science is the best that this
25 country has.

1 And it's right on point about securing elections,
2 which is exactly what this case is about, it would be helpful to the triers of fact to have the best evidence
3
4 that this country could ever have about the issue in this case and that is the security of this machine.
5
6 MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, I would just note that this is not -- does not appear to be a complete copy. It looks
7
8 like the -- the cover page says -- looks like the document
9 is supposed to be 180 pages. This appears to be maybe the
10 first 8 pages of that document, because it begins at page
11 8 in here, but it's not a complete --
You can see that the -- the last paragraph continues
12
13 beyond this page, so it is not -- it's not even a complete copy.
14
15 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, admittedly I'm having to deal with what's on the line. But according to the
16
17 National Academy of Sciences on the webpage, the National
18 Academy of Sciences is not a public entity, not a governmental entity.
19
20 It is a private, nonprofit organization, therefore doesn't fit within the exception that gentleman has -- has
21
22 alluded to. The expert has already stated that he reviewed it, allowed it when he was giving his opinion.
23
24 It goes back once again to hearsay.
25 It's also irrelevant, Your Honor, because the

1 question here is whether or not the system that Georgia
2 has is the most secure possible, but whether or not in this particular case a breach actually occurred, and to
3
4 the extent that the results of the election weren't valid. That's the question, and --
5
6 THE COURT: Mr. Tyson? Sorry.
MR. BROWN: -- the NAS report, that's something
7
8 that's better argued downtown under the goal of the
9 academy.
10 MR. TYSON: And, Your Honor, we do agree with the
11 prior statements, and just would add that, to my knowledge, that there is nothing specific about the
12
13 Diebold Georgia voting machines in this report. It's about DREs generally.
14
15 As Mr. Lindsey said, the expert has already relied upon this in testifying yesterday, and unless there is
16
17 something specific about this election, I don't see that
18 there's any relevance for the Court to consider to admit it in evidence.
19
20 THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
MR. BROWN: First, Your Honor, just for the record,
21
22 as Your Honor is aware from the evidence, the -- the evidence is entirely, entirely contrary to what counsel
23
24 says.
25 THE COURT: No, it's not. You see, you -- and I

1 respect you, because you're an advocate. And you
2 absolutely believe your side of the case, and a lawyer is
supposed to do that. But that doesn't make it all right.
3
4 That's your view of it.
It's not -- yeah, I have to look at both sides. So
5
6 -- so that's really got nothing to do with it. I've got
-- let me say -- say what I -- go ahead and finish
7
8 arguing, because I very much know what I'm going to do on
9 it.
10 MR. BROWN: The evidence as we perceive it to be,
11 Your Honor, is -- and we're just simply not aware of the
contrary evidence, is that the defects are national, and
12
13 there's no difference, material difference between it in
Georgia, and we're not aware of any material testimony.
14
15 Therefore the unanswered court decision, I would instruct
it to leave it up to Your Honor [inaudible].
16
17 THE COURT: Okay. I -- I find it's not admissible.
18 It doesn't come in under public record, because it's not
public, okay? Number two, it was something that he relied
19
20 on in giving his opinion, and I've had his opinion. His
opinion is these -- if you listen to him, these pretty
21
22 much useless machines. I understand all that, and I'm not
going to read something that's hearsay.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
25 THE COURT: Okay? I mean, I understood what he was

1 saying.

2 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. At this time, we would also like to renew our demand for a jury. And the

3

4 reason why I'm renewing the demand, Your Honor, is that there is -- there is case law that may not be the best law

5

6 around, but it suggests that if you don't continue the demand --

7

8 THE COURT: I -- so we already in this hearing,

9 already in this trial, I have ruled. I'm one of these

10 judges, I'm afraid, who rules, you know. I make pretty

11 clear rulings, as we pointed out yesterday. I'm not an English major, so my stuff comes out ching-ching-ching.

12

13 I've ruled. Don't run in the face of it, okay?
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

14

15 THE COURT: Thank you. Do you rest?
MR. BROWN: We do.

16

17 THE COURT: Okay. Let me do this. Let me take ten

18 minutes, and then we'll hear from the other side.
MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.

19

20 MR. BROWN: Thank you.

21

22 [Off the record at 10:16 a.m., and back on the record at 10:21 a.m.]

23

24

25 BALIFF: Please take a seat. Court comes to order.

1 MALE: Oh, sorry.

2 THE COURT: Okay. Which defendant is to go first? Oh, you have a motion?

3

4 MS. BURWELL: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay.

5

6 MS. BURWELL: On behalf of Fulton County, Your Honor, I will move for an involuntary dismissal pursuant to 911

7

8 41(b) on the grounds that this court as the trier of fact

9 is allowed to adjudicate a motion to dismiss at the close

10 of the plaintiff's case.

11 And that is because the court is the finder of fact as well as the determiner of the law and the cases are

12

13 clear and I'd cite the court to Chalk v. Poletto 346 Ga. App 491 which is a 2018 case as well as Smith v. Georgia

14

15 Kaolin Company, Inc., 269 Georgia 475 both of which provide that a dismissal in a non-jury matter under

16

17 91141(b) does not require the court to construe the

18 evidence most favorably for the nonliving plaintiff. And that again is because the court determines the facts as

19

20 well as the law.
And in the instant case, the petitioner's under have

21

22 filed an election contest under 2125-22, Sections 1 and 3; and Section 1 requires the plaintiffs to have shown

23

24 misconduct, fraud, or irregularity in the election, by an

25 election official sufficient to change, replace, and doubt

1 the result.

2 Instead what these petitioners are alleging, is that just the DRE machines themselves, nothing that an election

3

4 official did, but just the machines themselves are -- they don't -- they don't care for the machines and think that

5

6 they're vulnerable.
Under section 2125-22(3), they had to show that

7

8 illegal votes were received or illegal votes rejected,

9 sufficient to change or place in doubt the results. And

10 there's been no evidence at all that there have been the

11 rejection, the receipt of illegal votes, or the rejection of votes at the polls sufficient to change or place in the

12

13 result. They brought in one woman who said she didn't see it, but then she was able to vote for that, which was Ms.

14

15 Thomas -- I think her name was -- this morning.
And the only other information was information from a

16

17 poll worker who said she got second hand information that

18 someone wasn't able to vote, but that is one vote at issue and there were over a 100,000 vote margin between the two

19

20 candidates.
So there is not sufficient information back. There

21

22 was no information in the record which would allow this court to find that there were legal votes rejected,

23

24 sufficient to change or place in doubt the result.

25 There's been no evidence of impropriety that led to this

1 under-vote. There's no evidence of any sort of tampering
2 that led to this under-vote.
3 And instead what they have done is they have said
4 there's a purely mathematical computation and they believe that a four percent under-vote for the Lieutenant
5 Governor's race is inappropriate.
6 And there is no evidence in the record about how or
7 why individuals choose not to vote for Lieutenant
8 Governor's race. But the one thing we do know is that
9 even based on the information they provided in every
10 single election, there are under-votes. Every single election.
11 The only difference here is that they complain that they believe that the amount of four percent is too large.
12 But again, there was no tie in between why there's an under-vote and any sort of impropriety on behalf of an
13 election official or any legal votes that have been
14 rejected. Thank you.
15 MR. TYSON: Your Honor -- Your Honor? Yeah. Your
16 Honor, just to briefly Gwinnett County Board of Registrations in elections joins in Fulton County's motion
17 requesting that this be treated as an adjudication on the merits which is allowed under 41(b) just to echo what Ms.
18 Burwell has raised the evidence before the court for the
19 reports that is that the Lieutenant Governor's race

1 appeared on every ballot in the state according to the
2 base precinct report with races.
That the -- all the cards were counted according to
3
4 the TS report and that there are a number of possible
reasons as the various witnesses testified about why an
5
6 under-vote may occur. It have been ballot design with the
lack of the US Senate race, the lack of a third party,
7
8 there were a number of additional write-in candidates.
9 Any of those issues could go to why the under-votes are
10 there, including the most important one, voters chose not
11 to vote at the race as was their option.
There is no evidence in the record that all -- that
12
13 any of those have been disproven as a possible basis. And
as a result, Mr. Brown and the plaintiff's elections
14
15 contest and must fail the election is presumed valid and
there was no evidence of irregularities sufficient to
16
17 place the results in doubt.
18 To the contrary, there is evidence that the results
should be placed, should be given the presumption that
19
20 it's favored because of the evidence in the record. And
as Ms. Burwell indicated, there is no indication that the
21
22 number of illegal votes cast or legal votes rejected was
anywhere near the margin of victory in this case, 123,000
23
24 votes. So we fully join in Fulton's motion.
25 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, first off, on behalf of the

1 Lieutenant Governor Jeff Thompkin [ph] we will adopt the
2 argument raised by both attorneys for Fulton County and Gwinnett County. And simply once again, I want to read it
3
4 off again, into Hart v. Crawford, which I read at the beginning, 20 Ga. 7, 1998 decision which clearly lays our
5
6 the fact that [inaudible] with the extraordinary, drastic -- I believe the word used by the board is drastic. There
7
8 must be clear evidence of some kind of issue in terms of
9 either malicious or negligent kind of issue that created
10 the problem. The fact of the matter is --
11 THE COURT: Quick, which case are you referring to? Sorry to interrupt you.
12
13 MR. LINDSEY: Hart [ph].
THE COURT: Hart.
14
15 MR. LINDSEY: Versus Crawford, 270 Ga. 7, 1998.
THE COURT: I got it. Okay.
16
17 MR. LINDSEY: In regards to the evidence that you've
18 heard that the plaintiff's expert himself admitted that he was not familiar with the particularities of this race in
19
20 terms of what was going on in this race, but simply doing so by doing mathematical formulations. He admitted that
21
22 he has no evidence of any kind of malware or malicious conduct by any individual, would have led to the under
23
24 voting that they have cited from the testimony of the
25 plaintiff's own witnesses.

1 That was never rebutted by any other witness of the
2 plaintiff. The -- there -- there -- there are ample
reasons why there was an under-vote including the fact
3
4 that we had a high number of new voters, voter confusion
in terms of the -- as a result of the layout of the ballot
5
6 Governor, Lieutenant Governor. Given the history of
Governors that Lieutenant Governors of other states
7
8 running as a ticket. And that, that is a reasonable
9 reason for why there was an under-vote, in addition to
10 other evidence that was elicited from the plaintiff's on
11 their reports.
There's also testimony, I think this is extremely
12
13 important. a lot of plaintiff's expert testify as to what
might have, could have, should have happened. The
14
15 undisputed testimony from both the Secretary of State and
from the Fulton County election official from the
16
17 plaintiffs' themselves called on direct, testified as to
18 the safe guards that are taken both before an election and
on election day to ensure that the system is operating
19
20 correctly in terms of the voter being able to cast a vote
for the candidate of their choice and that vote being
21
22 accurately reported by the state; that the testimony has
not been contradicted by anyone in terms of the safeguards
23
24 that were put in place.
25 Nor has -- while the plaintiff's expert talked about

1 systems that are on the web, we have undisputed testimony
2 that the system that deals with the actual recording -- accurate recording of a voters vote all the way up to the
3
4 counting of that vote is within a closed system that is not susceptible to the hacking at the plaintiff's expert
5
6 has outlined as part of their concerns. That testimony is uncontroverted.
7
8 So for those reasons as well as the reasons set forth
9 by my colleagues from Gwinnett and Fulton County, we
10 actually -- we also make this much at this time.
11 THE COURT: If -- I'm going to hear from Mr. Brown but before I do, do you have 911 with you?
12
13 MS. BURWELL: Okay.
THE COURT: Everybody -- everybody's only got the --
14
15 okay. I don't have a computer and I'm one of those -- I just have to go read it myself because I had forgotten
16
17 that you could do that. So if 911-41?
18 MS. BURWELL: Yes.
MR. LINDSEY: And Your Honor, since you don't have a
19
20 computer, would you like the Hart decision that I --
THE COURT: I've got it.
21
22 MR. LINDSEY: Okay.
THE COURT: I did some work.
23
24 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
25 THE COURT: I won't say how much. 911? Let me look

1 at -- here it is. Oh, I got one of these somewhere, let
2 me see 41. Let me see. Let me see. What subsection?
MS. BURWELL: Forty-one (b).
3
4 THE COURT: B. Okay. Go ahead, Mr. Brown.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the evidence established
5
6 first a national consensus on the [inaudible] are highly
vulnerable and shouldn't be used. This -- there was no
7
8 contrary evidence as to national consensus, either by
9 governmental agencies or assigned this.
10 So the background is undisputed, and that is that
11 these machines for vulnerable to attack and that they
should not be used. Second, there was a lot of evidence
12
13 about whether Georgia's particular DREs are worse than the
national decrepitude because of the way George has not
14
15 maintained these machines over the last couple of years.
Our position is that Georgia has not done set on --
16
17 that these machines are worse even than the national
18 average, which worse than general and in general -- even
if it were as good as general, they should not be used.
19
20 We believe Georgia's are much worse than even the bad ones
in other states that should not be used. And we had
21
22 substantial evidence that is the case. At all -- since --
since they're defective anyway, I don't want to spend too
23
24 much time on the evidence that they're very, very
25 effective.

1 But these are -- and I want to go through the
2 evidence very quickly. The -- Michael Barnes testified yesterday in response to my questions about the compromise
3
4 of the election server, that they had replaced one partial -- they replaced one piece of their system we established
5
6 today from Mr. Barren that the other components were not replaced and they were not disaffected. And so we believe
7
8 that Georgia's system is much more vulnerable than the
9 systems described by the national campus [ph] -- described
10 by our expert, recounted by our expert.
11 That the unanimous opinion of defense agencies, the scholars and the scientists, that they should not be used
12
13 and that Georgia's is even more vulnerable. And that is important because they're vulnerable that it naturally
14
15 increases the chances of what we're alleging in this election actually happened.
16
17 And then that was also the opinion of our expert or
18 at least un-rebutted yet. The other evidence that we have is the evidence that the results in this case, if you
19
20 compare the electronics and the paper ballot suggest that there's something about the electronic that was causing
21
22 the different vote. If Your Honor -- as the defendants' positions' has evolved, that seems to be where they're
23
24 headed also.
25 There is as -- as the evidence has shown, there is a

1 microscopic chance that the results on paper are different
2 than the results on the voting machines because of voter choice or chance. Instead, two different scenarios have
3
4 been presented to you. One is that it is caused by malware or misprogramming or it's a sham [ph].
5
6 The other, and that evidence was presented by our expert, it was informed by the national consensus that
7
8 something like that was likely and that the particular
9 results and evidence about voters experiences were
10 telltale signs that there is a system problem within --
11 that the -- with the computers that was causing this to happen.
12
13 What is the other evidence? The way that -- that -- that we view the case, Your Honor, is that for this
14
15 freakish result to happen and I believe the evidence is one in 10,000, for that to happen, on the one hand, it
16
17 could have been this thoroughly discredited, highly
18 vulnerable election system did not function properly. That's one option.
19
20 The other option is the one presented -- suggested by Mr. Lindsey in his questions of Mr. Barnes yesterday and
21
22 that is the -- that the voters thought that there was a tick. That the -- that for some reason they looked at the
23
24 screen and they thought, oh, we only need to vote for the
25 Republican ticket and the Democratic ticket.

1 There is, apart from the question by Mr. Lindsey and
2 the answer by Mr. Barnes, there's no evidence supporting that speculation. Your Honor remarked that we're more
3
4 concerned with why people did this. There -- the -- and -- and that was the basis -- one of the basis for not
5
6 allowing some of our testimony.
The -- the here, there is no testimony that any voter
7
8 did not vote for the Lieutenant Governor ticket because
9 they thought it was -- the Lieutenant Governor because
10 they thought it was the ticket. Instead -- and they
11 didn't even have an expert -- they don't even have an expert. They don't even try to get an expert.
12
13 THE COURT: Well, they haven't got that far yet. But the issue -- the issue as we're all --
14
15 MR. BROWN: We're all. So they have -- just the nature of, well, we can throw this idea out. Maybe this
16
17 is an explanation for it. We don't have any evidence.
18 It's not particularly plausible that a voter would do that particularly as many times and it's not based upon any
19
20 evidence whatsoever.
It really is speculation. But the defendants rule
21
22 that the defendants would have Your Honor adopt, is that if you challenge the result of a statewide election in
23
24 Georgia that it's done on electronic machine, is that you
25 have to find some bad code. That is what they would have

1 Your Honor rule. We got to find the code. There's no bad
2 code, there's no misprogramming, then the plaintiff will
lose. But we're not going to let you find that bad code
3
4 ever.
And so the rule that the defendants want, the
5
6 Secretary of State wants would insulate decisions in
Georgia -- would insulate elections on electronic
7
8 equipment in Georgia from any judicial review because
9 you've got approved bad code, but you're not going to be
10 able to look at it to find it.
11 And we believe that the combination of that rule and
the inability to discover it is inconsistent with the
12
13 statute, which was written by the legislature to allow
petitioners to show that -- to allow that them to show,
14
15 and they have the court decide that at the election, so
the fact that you can -- we believe a better reading of
16
17 the law is that once the petitioner has made a prima facie
18 showing of the likelihood that the machines caused the
difference, and here there's -- we have proven that Your
19
20 Honor, we believe to a very high degree.
There -- the evidence is that there's a 99.95 percent
21
22 chance that there was something about the machines that
caused the election results and we believe that in that
23
24 event, that we have survived and that we -- that the
25 election should be overturned [inaudible, paper shuffling]

1 then in additional, that Your Honor exercise your
2 discretion to call another hearing for the code to be -- after the code be evaluated.
3
4 THE COURT: Anything -- any -- any response to that?
MR. LINDSEY: Yes. Very briefly, Your Honor. The
5
6 fact of the matter is that tests were conducted, this is uncontroversial. As a matter of fact, the bi-witnesses
7
8 that the plaintiff chose to put on this stand, tests were
9 conducted that would have revealed if malware was in
10 place.
11 Tests were conducted prior to the election. Most importantly, tests were conducted on the day of the
12
13 election to see whether or not there was any kind of systemic problem with this -- with the voting system.
14
15 And that was great details as to what that costed, in which they had cameras on one individual actually live,
16
17 making sure -- making choices and then they had a parallel
18 system and then seeing whether or not there was any kind of deviation. That testimony is uncontroverted that these
19
20 tests were done and the testimony is also uncontroverted that if a malware had existed it would have come out at
21
22 that point.
It was also uncontroverted testimony today regarding
23
24 the specific inspections that are done on each machine
25 prior to the election to make sure that the machines are

1 operating correctly and that if a voter cast his vote for
2 individual A, it was going to be recorded as A. That
testimony is uncontroverted. So we actually do have, here
3
4 in Georgia, tests to make sure that when Edward Lindsey
cast a vote for Mr. Brown, that that's going to be
5
6 properly recorded by the Secretary of State, certified
correctly.
7
8 There is no controversy to that. There's no --
9 there's no evidence to the contrary that these tests took
10 place or what the results were. And as to the other
11 matters regarding if there was no malware, what was the
cause, we have presented -- by the plaintiff through the -
12
13 - with their own expert, with their own witnesses on cross
examination have admitted that there were plausible other
14
15 explanations. For that reason, Your Honor -- we believe
that this motion be passed.
16
17 THE COURT: Any -- anything else?
18 MR. TYSON: Yeah. Can I just briefly, again, for
what Mr. Brown explained, there's no prima facie showing
19
20 here at all as far as that all we can say is that they
might be vulnerable, they might be -- there might be a
21
22 problem, there might be an issue. Georgia Supreme Court
is clear in Middleton v. Smith, 273 GA. 202 in 2000 which
23
24 you cannot overturn an election on the basis of mere
25 speculation. And that's what we have here. We have no

1 evidence of additional [ph] votes. We have no evidence of
2 an irregularity happening.
3 Mr. Brown has also conveniently chosen to ignore the
4 fact that the ballot design that in 2018 you did not have a U.S. Senate race on the elect- -- on the ballot and
5 that's a deviation from a number of our past Governor's elections.
7
8 And so when a voter was presented with that
9 electronic machine, they saw the first two races instead
10 of being U.S. Senate and Governor, they were Governor and
11 Lieutenant Governor, which was a change from past years, which is also a very reasonable explanation for the
12 potential country vote.
13 So at the end of the day, the plaintiffs are left
14 with, we think maybe something might have happened and the Georgia Supreme Court is clear that mere speculation
16 cannot be the basis for overturning an election.
17
18 THE COURT: Anything further from you?
19 MS. BURWELL: Nothing further.
20 THE COURT: Okay. I had -- I guess I'm used to trying stuff to jury. I hadn't realized that I was in
21 this position.
22 And 91141(b) says, after the plaintiff in an action
23 tried by the court without a jury has completed the
24 presentation of his evidence, the defendant without
25

1 waiving his right to offer evidence in the event the
2 motion is not granted, may move for dismissal on the grounds at upon the facts and the law, the plaintiff has
3
4 shown note right to relief.
Taking everything the plaintiffs have said, your
5
6 attack is on the whole system and I respect that. And there is authority that says that scientific authority
7
8 that says that DRE -- DRE system is terrible and all those
9 kinds of things. I'm very aware of that.
10 But what I'm here on is one race. Just one race.
11 You haven't sued the state to get rid of the system; okay? That's one thing. I wouldn't be in this position if
12
13 that's where we were, but we're not, we're looking at one race.
14
15 And you brought it on 212522 one and three. There was absolutely nothing to support one. And then let me
16
17 look at three. There was no illegal votes received.
18 There's no legal votes rejected and in this race in the evidence. Now, I understand you've got the under-vote
19
20 issue. I'll get to that.
The law is very clear that it's presumed there is a
21
22 legal presumption that the election returns of ballot; okay? There's the presumption in ballot. The plaintiff
23
24 has the burden of showing an irregularity or illegality
25 sufficient to change all place in doubt the result of

1 election; okay? The result of this particular election,
2 not all of them, not the DRE, not any of that. One -- the one thing. It's a narrow issue.
3
4 It says the setting aside of an election, I'm reading the law, of an election in which the people have chosen
5
6 their representative is a drastic remedy that should not be undertaken lightly, but instead should be reserved for
7
8 cases in which a person challenging an election has
9 clearly established a violation of election's procedures
10 and has demonstrated the violation has placed the result
11 of the election in doubt. There is no -- the only thing you've got is the under -- is the under-vote and the --
12
13 144 votes in Winterville; okay?
If the numbers should have been equal and there is no
14
15 evidence to show of the under-vote, but let's assume somehow that was an error and there should have been 31
16
17 more thousand votes.
18 And let's assume that the one precinct in Winterville was 144 votes, should all have been given to Ms. Amico
19
20 and we'll take the 31,000 as all being given to Ms. Amico. We're still nowhere near -- the numbers do not --
21
22 there is -- it's not even close.
There is absolutely no evidence of the number of
23
24 votes sufficient to overcome. And there is no evidence
25 that this system didn't work during this election.

1 So on that, I'm going to grant the motion to dismiss.

2 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, with respect, the evidence with the --

3

4 THE COURT: Sir, I've ruled. This is one thing you haven't handled well with me because I rule. And now some

5

6 judges, you can keep talking and they change their mind. Old Judge Raven [ph] was that way. As long as you kept

7

8 talking, he would eventually change his mind. I don't do

9 that; okay?

10 MR. BROWN: [inaudible]

11 THE COURT: So let's not. I've ruled, I'm done. I've just got to clean my desk a minute.

12

13 MR. BROWN: We --
THE COURT: This is your book.

14

15 MR. BROWN: Would you like an discussion about the number you cited?

16

17 THE COURT: No, sir.

18 MR. BROWN: Okay.
THE COURT: Nothing.

19

20 MR. TYSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Thank you.

21

22 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor, thank you for your time.

23

24 THE COURT: It's going to take a minute, I have a

25 briefcase; you all just go. I've got to go way back in

1 the other building.

2 MR. LINDSEY: I -- you have a briefcase Your Honor --

3

4 [Whereupon, the hearing was concluded at 10:49 a.m.]

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 CERTIFICATE

2
STATE OF GEORGIA]
3

4] SS.
COUNTY OF DOUGLAS]
5

6
I, PRISCILLA GARCIA, A COURT REPORTER IN THE STATE OF
7

8 GEORGIA, DO HEREBY STATE THAT THE FOREGOING IS A TRUE AND

9 ACCURATE TRANSCRIPT AS TAKEN DOWN BY ME AT THE TIME,

10 PLACE, AND THE DATE HEREINBEFORE SET FORTH.

11 I DO FURTHER STATE THAT I AM NEITHER A RELATIVE NOR EMPLOYEE NOR ATTORNEY NOR COUNSEL OF ANY OF THE PARTIES TO
12

13 THIS ACTION, AND THAT I AM NEITHER A RELATIVE NOR EMPLOYEE OF SUCH ATTORNEY OR COUNSEL, AND THAT I AM NOT FINANCIALLY
14

15 INTERESTED IN THIS ACTION.
WITNESS MY HAND IN THE CITY OF DOUGLASVILLE, COUNTY
16

17 OF DOUGLAS, STATE OF GEORGIA, ON THIS 28TH DAY OF JANUARY

18 2019. BY: Priscilla Garcia
19

20 PRISCILLA GARCIA, COURT REPORTER
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF GEORGIA
21

22 COMMISSION NO.: W-00379933
COMMISSION EXPIRES: 08/14/2022
23

24 CERTIFICATION NO.: 5503-2677-8304-9216

25 LICENSE EXPIRES: 04/01/2019

1
2
3 I, Chris Naaden, a transcriber, hereby declare
4 under penalty of perjury that to the best of my
5 ability the above 82 pages contain a full, true and
6 correct transcription of the tape-recording that I
7 received regarding the event listed on the caption on
8 page 1.
9
10 I further declare that I have no interest in the
11 event of the action.
12
13 January 28, 2019
14
15
16 Chris Naaden
17
18
19
20 (Transcript of Trial Proceedings)
21
22
23
24
25

(

(8)(C) 59:6
(b) 71:2

-

--is 54:15

1

1 64:22
10,000 73:15
100,000 65:18
10:16 63:22
10:21 63:22
10:49 82:4
115 39:13 40:4
123,000 67:22
144 80:13, 18
18 7:4 19:8 54:22
180 60:9
19 44:13
1998 68:4, 15
1999 34:1,4

2

20 10:11 36:10 68:4
2000 77:22
2003 34:4
2004 34:6
2005 34:6
2006 34:6
2007 34:9
2013 34:9, 11
2016 20:2, 24 21:2, 11,22 22:6,17
2017 20:24
2018 7:4 10:11 21:24,25 23:11 25:20 31:17 49:4 64:13 78:4
202 77:22
21-2-300 56:13
21-2-383(b) 52:13
2125-22 64:22
2125-22(3) 65:6
212522 79:15
24-7- 58:18
24-7-803 59:6
269 64:15
270 68:15
273 77:22

3

3 64:22
3,108 32:22
30 36:11 47:2
300 56:17, 18
302 56:17
31 80:15
31,000 80:20
339 59:8
346 64:13
370-plus 40:4

4

41 71:2
41(b) 64:8 66:22
475 64:15
491 64:13

5

52 48:6

6

62 15:6
6th 37:24 38:17 49:4

7

7 68:4,15
70 36:9
703 58:20

8

8 60:10,11
80 36:9

9

911 64:6 70:11,25
911-41 70:17
91141(b) 64:17 78:22
99.95 75:20
9:04 7:4

A

a.m. 7:4 63:22 82:4
absolutely 62:2 79:15 80:22
academy 58:11 59:2,24 60:17,18 61:9
access 28:11 29:4 30:10,13, 17,25 47:4,20 55:15
accessed 44:1
accessible 28:15
account 39:20
accuracy 26:10,15 44:17 48:18 55:10
accurate 70:2
accurately 69:22
action 78:22
active-duty 45:18
activities 34:13 35:6,15
actual 45:2, 4,17 53:10 70:2
add 61:11
addition 35:1 69:9
additional 67:8 76:1 78:1
address 8:22 30:8
adjudicate 64:9
adjudication 66:22
administering 19:1
Administration 59:11
admissible 58:15 59:4,20 62:17
admission 58:11
admit 61:18
admitted 59:15 68:18,20 77:13
admittedly 60:15
admonished 48:2
adopt 68:1 74:22
adverse 20:18
advocate 28:6 62:1
afraid 63:10
age 48:4,8
agencies 71:9 72:11
agree 61:10
ahead 13:22 14:2 21:6 33:8 35:13 48:2,10 57:20 62:6 71:4
AJC 32:4,6
alarm 47:20
alarmed 45:9
alerted 57:13
alleging 65:2 72:15
allowance 59:10
allowed 55:15 59:9 60:22 64:9 66:22
allowing 74:6
alluded 48:1,13 60:22
alter 26:20
altered 27:22
amazed 17:2
AME 23:22 24:8,9,20
amendments 11:20
Amico 11:15 15:17 80:18,20
Amico's 11:18 14:13
amount 66:13
ample 69:2
Angeles 10:4 11:4
App 59:9 64:13
appeared 67:1
appears 41:10

52:17 60:9

applications 39:6

apply 8:17

approved 75:9

area 31:24 33:13 54:6

argue 55:24

argued 61:8

arguing 62:8

argument 58:11,15 68:2

arisen 55:20

assigned 40:9,18 71:9

assisting 58:22

assume 80:15,18

assumes 21:6

assuming 16:10 21:4

attack 71:11 79:6

attacks 37:11

attempting 52:17

attorney 10:1

attorneys 68:2

August 38:18

Austin 34:4

authority 35:18 79:6

average 71:18

aware 21:18 24:6,10,22 27:20 28:1 31:15 32:2,11,18 36:15,20 37:6,10 46:17 47:11 50:2,6,8 51:20,22 52:4 61:22 62:11,13 79:9

B

back 11:25 12:1,24 15:13 17:15 22:15 41:13 42:2,9 55:18 56:2 60:24 63:22 65:20 81:25

background 34:2 71:10

bad 71:20 74:25 75:1,2,9

bailiffs 8:2

BALIFF 63:25

ballot 10:18,20, 25 11:15, 22,25 12:2,10 14:10 16:6 28:15 30:8,10, 15,22,25 38:20,24 39:11,13 40:2,6,13, 25 41:6,9 42:2,6,15 57:6 58:2 67:1,6 69:4 72:20 78:4 79:22

ballots 30:11,13 39:24

Barnes 72:2 73:20 74:2

Barren 72:6

Barron 17:20 18:6,11,15 27:11 29:18 33:22,24 48:1 49:11,20 52:4,22 53:4 56:13 57:4 58:6

Barron's 20:6

base 67:2

based 30:6 39:15 66:10 74:18

basic 26:11

basically 34:24 54:4

basis 58:15 67:13 74:4 77:24 78:17

began 38:18

beginning 11:25 12:1 27:2 68:4

begins 60:10

behalf 52:25 64:6 66:15 67:25

Bernhard 28:4

bi-witnesses 76:6
biggest 29:20,22
bit 22:15 34:2
board 16:4 34:13,24 35:17,18, 20 66:20 68:6
boards 35:18
book 34:20, 22 36:20, 22 81:13
book's 30:18
books 29:4
booth 28:18
boundaries 39:18
box 8:2,4 11:11
Boy 8:4
breach 61:2
break 46:15 47:9,10,15
briefcase 81:25 82:2
briefed 52:10
briefing 52:13
briefly 16:2 49:8 58:13 66:20 76:4 77:18
bring 55:18
broken 44:11,24 45:1 46:18
brought 55:20 65:13 79:15
Brown 7:18, 22 8:2,11, 13,15,20, 24 9:2,6, 22,25 10:2 13:2 14:6, 8,20 17:11,15, 18,20,24 18:13 20:17,20, 22 21:4,15 24:17 27:17 28:9,10 29:8,18 31:20 32:2,24 34:8 35:8 42:22 49:18,20 50:10 51:4,13, 17,22,25 52:2,20 53:2 55:4, 6 56:2,6, 8,18 57:17 58:6,9 59:22 61:6,20 62:10,24 63:2,13, 15,20 67:13 70:11 71:4 74:15 77:4,18 78:2 81:2, 10,13,15, 18,22
Bruce 9:25
Bryan 16:2
build 38:22
building 82:1
burden 79:24
Burwell 21:2,6 24:13 29:6,9 33:2,6,9, 11,15,18, 22 35:10, 13 47:22 52:15 56:9,11,22 57:1,2,9 60:6 64:4, 6 66:24 67:20 70:13,18 71:2 78:18
button 41:11

C

cable 45:25 47:10
calibrate 26:11
call 8:25 17:20 19:22 43:13 54:2 76:2
called 9:15 18:8 20:18,20 29:20,22 48:18 54:11 69:17
calls 57:17
cameras 45:10 47:20 76:15
campus 72:9
cancel 40:22,24 41:11,18 42:1,2
canceled 41:11

cancelled 42:18

candidate 11:9 69:20

candidates 38:22 65:20 67:8

capability 27:11

card 10:20 21:25 26:4,18,20 28:11 29:4 30:10,13, 22 31:10, 11 41:15 42:11

cards 21:20 22:2,9,15 23:2 30:17 31:13 44:1,4 45:4 47:6 53:18,20 67:2

care 19:2 49:8 65:4

case 10:1 24:11 52:11 55:20 59:8,9,13 60:2,4 61:2 62:2 63:4 64:10,13, 20 67:22 68:11 71:22 72:18 73:13

cases 64:11 80:8

cast 12:4, 10 42:15, 18 67:22 69:20 77:1,4

category 11:10 17:4

caused 73:4 75:18,22

causing 72:20 73:11

certified 77:6

chain-of-custody 45:11

Chalk 64:13

challenge 74:22

challenging 80:8

chance 73:1, 2 75:22

chances 72:15

change 51:2 64:25 65:9,11,24 78:11 79:25 81:6,8

changed 51:20 52:4

charge 19:1

Chattahoochee 40:13

checks 28:13

ching-ching-ching 63:11

chip 28:13

choice 36:4 69:20 73:2

choices 76:17

choose 66:8

chose 67:10 76:8

chosen 78:2 80:4

Chrysler 59:8

church 23:24 24:20 42:24

circumstances 43:9

cite 59:8 64:13

cited 68:24 81:15

city 39:18

Civic 34:6

claims 52:15

clarified 50:25

clean 81:11

clear 19:17 63:11 64:13 68:8 77:22 78:15 79:20

clerk 33:13

clerk's 33:13

close 64:9 80:22

closed 70:4

closer 33:17

closing 56:22

co-counsel 48:2

coalition 16:17

COBB 7:4

code 30:8, 10,22 34:20 35:22

47:20
50:20,22
51:9,10
52:22
74:25
75:1,2,9
76:2

coding 23:11
25:9,11

colleagues
70:9

combination
75:11

communication
52:18

Company
64:15

compare
72:20

complain
12:15
66:13

complained
14:4

complaint
24:22

complaints
53:24 57:4

complete
60:6,11,13

completed
78:24

component
37:1

components
72:6

compromise
20:11 72:2

compromised
20:2

computation
66:4

computer
27:20
33:17
49:13
70:15,20

computers
73:11

concern
32:13
43:17,25

concerned
74:4

concerns
43:20 70:6

conclude
59:22

concluded
82:4

conduct
48:18
68:22

conducted
76:6,9,11

confusion
69:4

connected
31:4,6
38:6,11

connection
34:13

consensus
59:22
71:6,8
73:6

construe
64:17

contact
43:11
44:25

contemporaneously 24:18

contest
64:22
67:15

continue
63:6

continues
60:11

contractors
19:10

contradicted
69:22

contrary
61:22
62:11
67:18 71:8
77:9

controversy
77:8

conveniently
78:2

copy 20:2
60:6,13

correct 12:9
14:18
15:11,13,
22 16:9
19:13,18,
20,22
21:25
22:4,6
23:2,22
24:1,2
26:11 29:2
30:18 31:2
37:2 38:15
39:10,11
40:15
42:13,20
43:2,4
53:11,15,
18,20
55:11

correctly
69:20
77:1,6

costed 76:15

counsel
55:18,22
61:22

counted 67:2

counties

22:15,20 23:6 51:1

counting 70:4

country 59:25 60:4 78:13

county 7:4 16:2 18:18,20 19:2,22 21:1 22:9 23:11,20, 22 25:20 30:4 31:9 32:22 34:4,8,10, 13 35:18, 24 36:2,8, 10,13,17 37:4,11,20 39:17 43:22 52:10 55:8,11,15 57:4 64:6 66:20 68:2 69:15 70:9

County's 20:22 21:2,20 66:20

couple 48:13 71:15

court 7:8, 11,15,22, 25 8:2,9, 11,13,15, 18,22 9:1 13:4,11, 15,18,20, 24 14:1 15:25 17:11,13, 15 20:9, 13,18 21:10 24:11,15 27:13 28:6 29:11 31:22 33:1,4,8, 10,11,15, 24 35:11, 24 36:11 47:24 48:9 49:6,18 50:2,4,11 51:4,8,15, 18,22 52:1,10, 11,18 53:2 55:6,22,24 56:2,4,6, 11,20,24 57:2,11, 20,22 58:6,22 59:9,17 61:6,18, 20,25 62:15,17, 25 63:8, 15,17,25 64:2,4,8, 11,13,17, 18 65:22 66:24 68:11,13, 15 70:11, 13,20,22, 25 71:4 74:13 75:15 76:4 77:17,22 78:15,18, 20,24 81:4,11, 13,17,18, 20,24

cover 60:8

Crawford 68:4,15

create 41:15

created 21:24 28:18 40:25 42:11 43:13 53:24 54:4,20 68:9

cross 20:18 77:13

CROSS-EXAMINATION 14:22 33:20

crowbar 47:13

Curling 50:11 52:11

cut 47:10

cycle 51:2

D

data 58:20

database 21:2 22:10 23:11,13 26:9 28:24 29:2 53:10 54:10,11

date 26:11

daughter 12:22 14:4 15:20 16:15

dawn 11:11

dawned 11:22

day 7:17 25:6,15 30:18 40:6,8,15 42:20,25 44:11,15 54:4 58:1 69:18 76:11 78:13

days 44:13 47:2
deal 60:15
dealing 19:4
deals 70:2
December 25:22,25 26:2 34:1
decide 75:15
decision 50:11 62:15 68:4 70:20
decisions 75:6
decrepitude 71:13
defective 59:11 71:22
defects 21:18 59:11 62:11
defendant 52:15 64:2 78:25
defendants 74:20,22 75:4
defendants' 72:22
defense 72:11
defined 29:10
degree 75:20
delivered 44:18 45:15,22
deliveries 45:20
demand 63:2, 4,6
Democrat 16:25
Democratic 73:25
demonstrated 80:10
depends 19:11
describe 16:22 26:6 54:1 58:13
describing 53:13
design 67:6 78:4
desk 43:11, 13 54:4, 13,15 81:11
detail 54:2
details 76:15
determine 23:11 24:25 27:8
determiner 64:11
determines 58:22 64:18
deviation 76:18 78:6
device 22:25
Diebold 61:13
differ 40:6
difference 51:10 62:13 66:13 75:18
differently 52:4
difficult 16:22
direct 9:18 13:11 18:11 20:13,20 69:17
director 18:20,24 43:20 52:9
disabled 41:1,2,8, 11,15 42:13
disaffected 72:6
disclosed 58:20
discover 75:11
discovery 55:18
discredited 73:17
discretion 76:2
discussion 81:15
disinfect 21:2,15 22:18,22
disinfected 21:22 22:15
dismiss 64:9 81:1
dismissal 64:6,15 79:2
dispatch 54:8
disproven 67:13
districts 39:15,17, 18,20

document 60:8,10

door 45:25 47:4,20

doors 47:13

doubt 64:25 65:9,24 67:17 79:25 80:11

downtown 61:8

drastic 68:6 80:6

DRE 25:20 28:15 30:25 36:6,13,17 37:15,17, 20 38:1,4, 10,11 42:22 43:22 45:2,4,22 49:22 50:1,15 55:9 56:15 65:2 79:8 80:2

DRE's 41:24

DRE-BASED 35:25

DRES 47:18 50:24 52:8,15,24 61:13 71:13

drive 10:8 17:15

duly 9:15 18:8

Duncan 11:15

duties 39:8

E

E-NET 29:25

earlier 56:15

early 19:11 30:11 38:4 39:4,22,24 40:1,11,20 44:6,13 47:11

echo 66:22

Edward 77:4

effect 27:22 58:25 59:4

effective 71:25

efforts 21:1

elect- 78:4

election 11:15 19:9,18 20:1 21:25 23:11 24:4,18 25:20,22 26:4,9,13, 18 27:22 28:25 30:18 31:17 34:13,20, 24 35:6, 15,17 37:24 38:2,17,18 39:10,15 40:6,8,15 44:15 46:20 48:15 50:20 51:2,6 54:4,22 61:4,17 64:22,24, 25 65:2 66:11,17 67:15 69:15,18 72:4,15 73:18 74:22 75:15,22, 25 76:11, 13,25 77:24 78:17 79:22 80:1,4,8, 11,25

election's 80:9

Electionet 29:25

elections 16:4 18:20,25 19:2 22:4, 6 33:25 34:2,4,15 35:18,20 38:22 43:20 52:9 60:1 66:20 67:13 75:6 78:6

electricity 38:9,13

electronic 10:15 25:13 28:18 72:20 74:24 75:6 78:9

electronics 72:20

elicited 69:10

eligible 28:25

email 14:6

employed 18:17

employees 19:6,10
enables 30:13
end 11:22 12:9 33:15 78:13
Enet 30:4 31:2,8,15 32:4
English 63:11
ensure 69:18
entire 55:25
entity 60:18
equal 80:13
equipment 27:6 36:2, 4 44:8 75:8
erase 27:1
error 80:15
errors 23:13 25:9,11,13
established 71:4 72:4 80:9
evaluate 58:22
evaluated 76:2
event 75:24 79:1
eventually 81:8
everybody's 70:13
everything's 8:6
evidence 21:8 50:9 59:15,18 60:2 61:18,22 62:10,11 64:18 65:10,25 66:1,6,24 67:11,15, 18,20 68:8,17,22 69:10 71:4,8,11, 22,24 72:2,18,25 73:6,9,13, 15 74:2, 17,20 75:20 77:9 78:1,25 79:1,18 80:15,22, 24 81:2
evidentiary 58:11
evolved 72:22
examination 9:18 18:11 25:18 27:8 77:13
examined 21:17 55:13
exception 59:6 60:20
excuse 13:6 56:18
excused 17:13
exercise 76:1
existed 76:20
experience 7:20
experienced 13:1
experiences 73:9
expert 8:18, 20 60:22 61:15 68:18 69:13,25 70:4 72:10,17 73:6 74:11 77:13
expert's 58:24
experts 58:15
explain 39:22 41:2 46:4 48:2, 20
explained 77:18
explanation 74:17 78:11
explanations 77:15
express 28:15,18, 20 29:1 30:18,22 31:1,11,13 41:1,4,13 42:2,9
expressed 28:4
expression 29:4
extent 61:4
external 37:11,18
extraordinary 68:6
extremely 69:11
eye 7:18

F

face 63:13

facie 75:17 77:18

facilities 53:4

fact 31:24 42:17 50:8 60:2 64:8, 11 68:6,10 69:2 75:15 76:6 78:4

facts 21:4,6 58:20 64:18 79:2

fail 67:15

fair 22:20 23:10 48:4

fall 51:2

false 31:25

familiar 11:22 68:18

favorably 64:18

favored 67:20

federal 50:11 51:4 52:11

filed 64:22

find 25:2 34:18 50:20 62:17 65:22 74:25 75:1,2,10

finder 64:11

fine 13:20

finish 13:8, 9,22 14:1 62:6

fire- 45:18

firemen 45:18,20

firm 59:9

first-hand 43:2

fit 60:20

flash 31:11 41:17

follow 32:6 34:15,18 35:2

follow-up 57:10

force 8:11

foremost 52:17

forensic 27:6

forensically 55:11

forgotten 70:15

forms 45:11

formulations 68:20

Forty-one 71:2

forward 12:8

found 25:4 55:25

foundation 20:6,11 21:9 27:11 31:18

fraud 64:24

freakish 73:15

FRIDAY 7:4

full 18:15

full-time 19:6

fully 67:24

Fulton 18:18 19:2 20:22 21:1,2,20 23:11,22 25:20 32:22 34:10,13 35:18,24 36:8,10, 13,17 37:4,11,20 43:22 52:9 55:8,11,15 57:4 64:6 66:20 68:2 69:15 70:9

Fulton's 67:24

function 73:18

G

Ga 59:9 64:13 68:4,15 77:22

gain 24:18

GEM 19:22 37:4,8

GEMS 20:2, 11,22 21:2 22:9 23:11,13 36:25 47:17 53:6,9,10

general 38:17 51:6 71:18

generally 61:13

gentleman 60:20

gentlemen 7:8

George 71:13

Georgetown 34:8

Georgia 7:4 10:6 11:8 13:22 15:2,8 34:20 36:2 50:22 52:22 61:1,13 62:13 64:13,15 71:15 74:24 75:6,8 77:4,22 78:15

Georgia's 71:13,20 72:8,13

get all 38:20,25 39:2,4

give 7:25

giving 55:22 60:22 62:20

goal 61:8

good 7:8,18 13:22 33:18 48:2 71:18

governmental 60:18 71:9

governor 57:6 68:1 69:6 74:8,9 78:10,11

governor's 10:22,24 11:6 16:11 58:2 66:6,9,25 78:6

Governors 69:6

grant 81:1

granted 79:2

great 76:15

greater 54:1

greatly 59:2

grounds 64:8 79:2

groups 16:17

guards 69:18

guess 54:18 78:20

guessing 16:24

Gwinnett 16:2 66:20 68:2 70:9

H

hacking 31:15 32:4 70:4

half 18:22

hand 9:2 65:17 73:15

handled 81:4

handles 46:1

happen 73:11,15

happened 12:25 24:20 25:1 42:22 43:2 69:13 72:15 78:15

happening 47:11 78:2

hard 27:2

Hart 68:4,13 70:20

Hartman 34:6

hate 15:4

head 21:6

headed 72:24

hear 63:18 70:11

heard 28:2,4 68:18

hearing 55:22 63:8 76:2 82:4

hearsay 58:17 59:6 60:24 62:22

helpful 60:2

high 10:13 69:4 75:20

highly 71:6 73:17

Highway 59:10

Hills 40:13

history 69:6

home 12:24 17:15

Honor 7:13,18 8:6,11,24 13:22 17:11,20 20:4,17 21:2,6 24:13 27:10 28:9 29:6 31:18 33:2 35:8,10 47:25 51:13 52:15 55:18 56:2,9,18 57:17 58:9 59:1,13,22 60:6,15,25 61:10,20,22 62:11,15,24

63:2,4,13, 18 64:6 66:18,20 67:25 70:18,24 71:4 72:22 73:13 74:2,22 75:1,20 76:1,4 77:15 81:2,20,22 82:2

hooked 37:15,17

House 39:18

hundreds 54:24

I

idea 48:2 74:15

identifies 46:10

ignore 78:2

illegal 65:8,11 67:22 79:17

illegality 79:24

immediately 25:22

important 67:10 69:13 72:13

importantly 76:11

improperly 44:1

impropriety 65:25 66:15

inability 75:11

inadmissible 58:20

inappropriate 66:6

inaudible 8:6,8 17:6 53:1 62:15 68:6 71:6 75:25 81:10

incidents 24:2

include 52:13

including 67:10 69:2

inconsistent 75:11

increases 19:11 72:15

Independent 16:25

independently 59:4

indication 67:20

individual 68:22 76:15 77:2

individuals 66:8

inference 58:22

infiltrated 36:17

inform 30:4

information 24:13 28:24 30:9,20,24 31:2,4,8 38:20 52:1 65:15,17, 20,22 66:10

informed 73:6

initially 11:17

input 48:22 49:15

inquire 31:25

insert 26:18

inserted 30:17

insertion 26:20

inspect 25:8

inspections 76:24

instance 42:1 46:17

instant 64:20

instruct 62:15

insulate 75:6

interested 16:18

interesting 8:4

internal 26:22 54:13

Internet 37:15

interpret 34:25

interpreted 52:4

interrupt 68:11

interrupting

56:1

investigate 32:9

investigating 32:11

investigation 24:25 32:18 59:11

involuntary 64:6

involve 26:15

involved 16:17 33:25

involving 32:4

irregularities 67:15

irregularity 64:24 78:2 79:24

irrelevant 60:25

issue 8:22 25:4 42:22 43:10 60:4 65:18 68:8,9 74:13 77:22 79:20 80:2

issues 16:18 29:15 36:13,20 37:4 55:20 67:9

J

January 7:4

Jeff 68:1

join 67:24

joins 66:20

jokes 48:11

judge 34:2 38:15 39:11,22 41:2 43:8 44:2 45:2 46:4 47:15 48:15,20 55:20,22 81:6

judges 63:10 81:6

judicial 75:8

jury 8:4 58:20,22 63:2 78:20,24

K

Kaolin 64:15

Kemp 50:11

key 45:13

keypad 45:8

kind 24:25 68:8,9,22 76:13,18

kinds 79:9

knowledge 20:6 22:18 23:6 43:2 51:6 61:11

L

lack 29:2 67:6

ladies 7:8

laid 20:10 21:9 27:11,13

language 29:15

large 11:6 17:6 45:8 66:13

late 7:9

law 8:15 34:15,18, 25 36:2 49:20 50:1 51:6,10,18 56:22 58:13,17, 18 63:4 64:11,20 75:17 79:2,20 80:4

lawsuit 24:15

lawyer 56:25 62:2

lay 56:24

layout 69:4

lays 68:4

leading 20:13 29:9 51:2

learned 24:15 27:6

leave 62:15

Leclerc 23:15

Leclerc's 43:4

led 65:25 66:2 68:22

left 12:22 78:13

legal 65:22 66:17 67:22 79:18,22

legislature 75:13

level 30:4

lieutenant 10:24

16:11 57:6 58:2 66:4, 8,25 68:1 69:6 74:8, 9 78:11

life 27:2

lightly 80:6

likelihood 75:18

Lindsey 7:11,13 8:6,10 13:6,10, 20,22 14:2,25 15:24 20:10 47:24,25 48:6,11,13 49:6 51:4 55:18 57:10,11, 20,22,25 58:4 60:15 61:15 63:18 67:25 68:13,15, 17 70:18, 22,24 73:20 74:1 76:4 77:4 82:2

listen 62:20

listened 43:4

Lithonia 10:6,8,13

live 10:6 26:10 31:6 40:11 76:15

load 26:9

loaded 28:24

local 38:20

located 47:6

location 46:18 53:18

locations 45:17

lock 45:13

log 54:11

logic 26:10, 13 48:18 55:9

long 18:22 33:24 47:1 81:6

looked 14:9, 11 16:6 73:22

Los 10:4 11:4

lose 75:2

lot 69:13 71:11

lots 39:6

M

machine 10:15,20 25:6,9 27:2 31:2 36:17 37:15,17 38:1,10,11 40:20 41:20 42:22 43:17 47:4 48:15 49:1,2 60:4 74:24 76:24 78:9

machines 25:20 26:17 27:22,24 32:22 36:6,13 37:11,20, 22 38:4,6 39:4 42:4 43:22 44:4,6,11 45:4,6,13, 15,22 46:11,15, 22 47:11 49:2,22 50:1,15 53:13 55:9,13,15 56:15 61:13 62:22 65:2,4 71:11,15, 17 73:2 75:18,22 76:25

made 24:22 27:9 46:6 58:15 75:17

maintain 47:1

maintained 30:2 71:15

major 63:11

make 17:4 19:17 22:8,20 26:11 29:2 30:15 31:22,25 35:1 38:20 39:2,4 46:2 48:24 49:15 54:15 56:24 58:10 62:2 63:10 70:10 76:25 77:4

makes 41:17

making 8:6 11:8 22:13

32:1 76:17
MALE 64:1
malfunctions 12:11
malicious 68:9,22
malware 21:17 22:24 68:22 73:4 76:9,20 77:11
mandated 56:15
manually 49:15
margin 65:18 67:22
marked 53:13
match 48:24
material 62:13
mathematical 66:4 68:20
matter 50:8 64:15 68:10 76:6
matters 77:11
means 39:13 41:4
meantime 43:15
measures 47:17
mechanical 25:13
media 19:4 47:4
memory 21:20,25 22:2,9,15 23:2 26:4, 22 27:9,24 31:11 43:25 44:4 53:17,20
mentioned 53:6
mere 77:24 78:15
merits 66:22
metal 46:8 47:13
mic 21:11
Michael 72:2
microscopic 73:1
Middleton 77:22
mind 81:6,8
minds 57:18
minute 7:9, 25 55:4 81:11,24
minutes 63:18
mis-program 22:24
misconduct 64:24
misprogramming 73:4 75:2
missed 16:13
Mm-hmm 56:11
mode 41:1, 6,8,13,15 42:11,13
modes 41:6
moment 32:24
morning 7:8, 18 10:9 12:22 14:6 21:11 29:17 33:4 65:15
motion 64:2, 9 66:20 67:24 77:15 79:2 81:1
move 7:10, 15 64:6 79:2
movement 50:6
multiple 55:20
municipalities 38:22

N

narrow 80:2
NAS 61:6
national 58:11 59:2,10,24 60:17 62:11 71:6,8,13, 17 72:9 73:6
naturally 72:13
nature 74:15
needed 21:9
negligent 68:9
night 14:4
non-jury 64:15
nonliving 64:18
nonprofit 60:20
noon 7:10
note 59:4 60:6 79:4
noticed 12:13,15

November 10:11 23:11 24:18 31:17 37:24 38:17 39:15 49:4 54:22

number 46:9 62:18 67:4,8,22 69:4 78:6 80:22 81:15

numbers 44:8,9,20, 22 80:13, 20

O

oath 9:17 18:9

object 20:4 21:2 27:10 31:18 35:8

objection 20:15 24:13 29:6 51:4 52:15,20, 25 56:6 57:17

objectionable 52:2

objections 55:24

observe 27:11

observed 43:6

occasions 55:20

occur 40:20 67:6

occurred 61:2

occurs 45:17

OCGA 52:13 56:13 59:6

offer 79:1

offhand 50:17

office 19:18 24:6,13,15 43:13 44:25 51:1 57:22

officers 45:18,20

official 51:6 64:25 65:4 66:17 69:15

open 46:15 54:18

opening 56:4

operating 69:18 77:1

operation 25:15

operator 41:13

opinion 27:22 28:2,4 50:2 51:2 58:22,24 59:2 60:22 62:20 72:11,17

opportunity 55:22

opposite 59:11

option 41:13 67:11 73:18,20

order 63:25

orders 52:10

organization 60:20

originally 46:22

originates 22:11

outlined 70:6

outweighs 58:24 59:2

overcome 80:24

overruled 55:24

oversee 35:6,15 54:6

overseeing 19:4 23:20 34:13

overturn 77:24

overturned 75:25

overturning 78:17

owns 36:6

P

packet 27:2

pages 10:25 60:9,10

paper 72:20 73:1 75:25

paragraph 60:11

parallel 76:17

part 28:22 36:1 48:11 70:6

part-time

19:9

partial 72:4

particularities 68:18

party 67:6

pass 49:4

passed 77:15

password-protected 47:18

past 78:6, 11

penalties 35:22

people 19:22 57:18 59:18 74:4 80:4

perceive 62:10

percent 36:9,11 66:4,13 75:20

person 11:11 12:20 14:4 16:20 80:8

personally 24:6 35:20

petitioner 75:17

petitioner's 64:20

petitioners 10:1 65:2 75:13

ph 68:1,13 72:9 73:4 78:1 81:6

phrase 31:22 52:2

phrased 35:11

piece 72:4

place 8:8 33:18 39:25 40:11,18 43:15 44:18 65:9,11,24 67:17 69:24 76:10 77:10 79:25

places 19:2 39:1

plaintiff 64:18 69:2 75:2 76:8 77:11 78:22 79:2,22

plaintiff's 55:18,22 64:10 67:13 68:18,25 69:10,13, 25 70:4

plaintiffs 17:20 55:15 64:22 78:13 79:4

plaintiffs' 69:17

plate 47:13

plausible 74:18 77:13

plenty 16:15

plugged 38:13

podium 33:10,11

podiums 33:13

point 11:13 26:6 49:9 51:9 58:9 59:4,13 60:1 76:22

pointed 63:11

Poletto 64:13

police 45:20

political 39:20

poll 12:17 19:2 23:17 25:4 28:13,15, 18,20 29:1,4 30:18,22 31:1 36:20,22 38:25 39:1 41:1,4,13 42:2,6,9 43:11,15 44:10,22 46:11 53:15 65:17

poller 54:2

polling 19:2 39:1,25 40:11 43:15 44:18 46:18

polls 31:11, 13 65:11

popped 11:4

position 18:18,22 52:9 71:15 78:22 79:11

positions 49:15

positions'

72:22

potential
78:13

potentially
38:13

precinct
24:20
30:11
31:13 40:9
67:2 80:18

precincts
24:1
39:15,20
40:4,10
54:6

prejudicial
58:24 59:4

prepare
38:17

presentation
78:25

presented
73:4,6,20
77:11 78:8

presumed
67:15
79:20

presumption
67:18
79:22

pretty 62:20
63:10

previous
11:24
13:17 22:4

previously
20:15

prima 75:17
77:18

prinicipally
58:17

prior 22:6
23:10
31:15
48:15
53:25
61:11
76:11,25

private
60:20

privileged
52:18

probative
58:22 59:2

problem 7:13
41:20
68:10
73:10
76:13
77:22

problems
15:20

procedures
39:9 80:9

process
22:13
24:11 26:6
29:20 43:8
44:2,13
45:2

processed
39:6

product
59:11

promise 9:2
17:20

proper 20:11

properly
73:18 77:6

proponent
58:20

proven 75:18

provide
64:15

provided
66:10

public 54:17
59:6 60:18
62:18

pull 10:18
40:13

purchased
36:9,10

purely 66:4

pursuant
64:6

pushing
49:13

put 7:22
10:20
28:13 29:1
30:9,24
31:11,13
33:15
41:11
47:13
54:18 55:8
69:24 76:8

puts 28:15

Q

question
8:15
13:13,17
20:13
21:6,11
22:22
27:13
31:22
35:11 50:9
52:2 61:1,
4 74:1

questioning
55:25

questions
13:4,6,11
15:24
17:9,11
19:17
29:11
33:1,2
36:18
47:22,25
49:6 51:11
58:6 72:2
73:20

quick 13:6 68:11
quickly 72:2
quote 52:13
quoted 56:4
quoting 58:18

R

race 10:22, 24 11:6,15 12:2 16:11 57:6 58:1, 2 66:6,9, 25 67:6,11 68:18,20 78:4 79:10,13, 18
races 67:2 78:9
raise 9:2
raised 66:24 68:2
Raven 81:6
read 32:4, 6,15 50:11 56:22 59:18 62:22 68:2,4 70:15
reading 29:11 57:18 59:18,22 75:15 80:4
ready 26:13 38:20 39:4
realized 78:20
reason 22:13 63:4 69:9 73:22 77:15
reasonable 55:22 69:8 78:11
reasons 67:4 69:2 70:8
rebuttal 8:18
rebutted 69:1
recall 20:25 23:15,20 53:6 57:8 59:11
recap 44:20 46:15
receipt 65:11
receive 57:4
received 24:13 65:8 79:17
record 9:22 18:15 21:4 32:20 54:15 55:15 61:20 62:18 63:22 65:22 66:6 67:11,20
recorded 44:8,9,22 46:13 77:2,6
recording 49:15 70:2
records 54:18 59:6
recounted 72:10
recruited 39:1
reference 31:20
referring 56:13 68:11
reflect 21:4,11
refused 7:11
registration 18:20,24 19:4 28:22 29:2,22,25 34:15 35:18,20 39:6
Registrations 16:4 66:20
regular 41:6,13 42:11
reinstalled 20:2
rejected 65:8,22 66:18 67:22 79:18
rejection 65:11
related 32:4 33:2
relating 49:22
relevance 61:18
reliability 43:20
relied 61:15 62:18
relief 79:4
remarked 74:2
remedy 80:6
remember 11:2

remove 22:24
renew 58:11 63:2
renewing 63:4
reopened 25:6
rephrase 50:9
replace 64:25
replaced 20:22 72:4,6
report 24:2, 8 31:20 58:13 59:2,4,10, 22 61:6,13 67:2,4
reported 69:22
reports 31:15,18 32:2 59:6 66:25 69:11
represent 16:2
representative 39:18 80:6
reproduce 31:11
reproduces 31:11
reprogram 26:2
reprogramming 26:15 27:9,22
Republican 16:24 73:25
request 54:20 58:11
requesting 66:22
require 49:11 50:1 52:13 64:17
required 34:15 35:2 47:1 49:22 56:15
requires 50:22 52:22 58:18 64:22
requiring 52:6
reserved 80:6
respect 28:6 44:4 45:2 62:1 79:6 81:2
respond 35:10
response 72:2 76:4
rest 63:15
result 53:24 65:1,13,24 67:13 69:4 73:15 74:22 79:25 80:1,10
results 48:24 61:4 65:9 67:17,18 72:18 73:1,2,9 75:22 77:10
retired 16:13 45:20
returned 46:22
returns 79:22
revealed 76:9
review 23:11 75:8
reviewed 59:15 60:22
Richard 17:20 18:6,11,15 33:22
rid 79:11
roaming 54:6
rolls 19:4
room 38:10, 11
Roswell 40:13,17, 18
rule 8:8, 13,17 34:20,22 51:20 52:4 74:20 75:1,4,11 81:4
ruled 55:22 63:9,13 81:4,11
rules 8:10, 11 34:25 35:1,22 63:10
ruling 51:2
rulings 63:11
run 26:8,10 48:22 49:11,13

63:13

running 11:11 16:20 26:20 69:8

runoff 25:25 26:2 55:2

RUSSO 49:8, 10,18

S

safe 17:15 69:18

safeguards 69:22

safety 44:4 59:11

Sara 23:15

saved 54:9

scenarios 73:2

scholars 72:11

School 10:13

Science 59:24

Science's 59:2

Sciences 58:13 60:17,18

scientific 27:20,22 29:13 79:6

scientists 72:11

Scores 54:24

screen 10:15,18 12:13 14:10,18 15:13 16:22 25:13 40:22 41:9 42:10 49:13 73:24

script 48:22,25 49:11

seal 44:6, 9,11,22,25 46:4,9,10, 13,17,22 47:2,9,10

sealed 44:6, 15 45:4 47:4

seals 44:11, 22 45:22, 24 46:15

seat 9:6 17:24 63:25

seats 7:9

Secretary 19:18 20:1,6 32:18 51:1 69:15 75:6 77:6

section 64:22 65:6

Sections 64:22

secure 53:4, 18 61:2

secured 53:18

securing 60:1

security 7:20,22 45:2 47:17 53:6 60:4

SED 34:20, 22

select 41:13

selects 30:22

senate 39:18 67:6 78:4, 10

send 44:15 54:4

sending 59:18

separately 19:20

sequestration 8:11,13,17

Sequoia 34:6

serial 44:8, 20

server 19:18,22 20:1,22 30:18,20 37:1 47:17 53:6,11 72:4

servers 20:6

set 39:2 70:8 71:15

setting 80:4

sexist 48:4

sham 73:4

sheet 44:20 46:15

shook 21:6

show 42:10 56:13 65:6 75:13 80:15

showing 75:18 77:18 79:24

shown 64:22 72:25 79:4

shuffling 75:25
shut 43:17
sic 22:24
side 62:2 63:18
sides 62:4
sign 40:24 42:4
signs 73:10
simply 62:11 68:2,20
single 66:11
sir 10:22 14:15 15:2,15 16:13,18 20:18 47:24 57:22 81:4,17
skill 27:11
small 11:13 17:2
smaller 16:22
Smith 64:13 77:22
software 20:2 36:13,20 37:4 49:13
sort 25:18 66:1,15
source 31:4
specific 31:20 61:11,17 76:24
specifically 48:15 57:6
speculation 57:18 74:2,20 77:25 78:15
spend 71:22
square 17:2, 6
squares 11:6 16:8 17:4
staff 25:8
stand 8:25 76:8
standalone 30:20
start 59:18
state 9:22 17:20 18:15 20:1 30:2,4 31:11 32:18 34:15,18, 24 35:6,17 36:2,10 38:22 39:18 67:1 69:15,22 75:6 77:6 79:11
state's 19:18 20:6 31:2 51:1
state- 56:15
stated 60:22
statement 13:9 31:22 56:4
statements 31:25 61:11
states 69:6 71:20
statewide 74:22
statute 50:13,15, 18 56:2 75:13
stays 27:2
story 32:15
straight 30:17
stuff 59:18 63:11 78:20
styles 39:13 40:2,6
subject 35:20
subsection 71:2
subsequent 27:22
substantial 71:22
substantially 58:24
sued 79:11
sufficient 64:25 65:9,11, 20,24 67:15 79:25 80:24
suggest 72:20
suggested 73:20
suggests 63:6
summary 12:8
sundry 39:6
supervision 24:25 27:18
support 79:15
supporting 74:2

supposed 34:18 42:4 43:18 60:9 62:2

Supreme 77:22 78:15

survived 75:24

susceptible 70:4

sustain 20:15 52:18

sustained 24:15 53:2 56:6 57:20

sworn 9:17 18:9

system 20:11 28:22 29:20,22, 24 30:1,4 31:2,15 32:4 35:25 37:2,4,8 53:25 61:1 69:18 70:2,4 72:4,8 73:10,18 76:13,18 79:6,8,11 80:25

systemic 76:13

systems 34:6 70:1 72:9

T

table 50:6

taking 19:2 79:4

talked 12:20 15:18 53:4 69:25

talking 27:15 29:15 53:9,10 81:6,8

tampered 46:18

tampering 66:1

tape 48:24

technician 43:13 54:6

technicians 54:6

telling 12:25 52:13

tells 29:4 30:25

telltale 73:10

Temple 24:20

ten 63:17

tendered 58:15

terminology 29:18 30:15

terms 29:10 38:15 40:2 68:8,20 69:4,20,22

Terri 8:25 9:13,20,24 14:22

terrible 79:8

test 37:22 49:4 51:20

tested 37:20 38:2,4 44:6

testified 9:17 18:9 53:17,22 55:8 67:4 69:17 72:2

testify 7:20 69:13

testifying 61:15

testimony 8:20 19:13 20:13 23:15 43:4 48:17 54:1 62:13 68:24 69:11,15, 22 70:1,6 74:6 76:18,20, 22 77:2

testing 26:11,15 48:18 55:17

tests 26:20 55:10 76:6,8,11, 20 77:4,9

Texas 34:4,8

there're 43:11

thing 11:4,6 14:4 30:8 41:10 51:15,22 57:4 66:9 79:11 80:2,11 81:4

things 26:13 32:1 40:20 51:11 79:9

thinking 11:6 17:2

Thomas 8:25 9:13,20, 24,25

14:22 16:2
65:15

Thompkin
68:1

thought 28:8
59:17
73:22,24
74:9,10

thousand
80:17

throw 74:15

tick 73:22

ticket 43:13
53:25 54:4
69:8 73:25
74:8,10

tickets
53:22
54:9,11,20

tie 66:15

time 11:18
14:9 15:1
16:13,15
24:18
26:11
32:25 48:6
63:2 70:10
71:24
81:22

timely 39:6

times 15:6,9
48:13
74:18

today 72:6
76:22

told 12:25
41:24 45:4

Traffic
59:10

trained 39:1

training
19:2

Travis 34:4

treat 20:17

treated
66:22

trial 63:9

trier 64:8

triers 60:2

trouble 50:6

trusted 20:2

truth 9:2,4
17:22

TS 67:4

turn 25:22
31:2

type 20:11
25:13
27:11

Tyson 7:15
16:1,2,4
17:9 20:4
27:10
31:18
47:24
52:25
61:6,10
66:18
77:18
81:20

U

U.S. 78:4,
10

ultimate
35:18

Um-hmm 49:24

un-rebutted
72:18

unable 42:18

unanimous
72:11

unanswered
62:15

uncontroversial 76:6

uncontroverted
70:6
76:18,20,
22 77:2

under-vote
66:1,2,4,
15 67:6
69:2,9
79:18
80:11,15

under-votes
66:11 67:9

understand
21:13
22:18 28:6
29:11
34:22
57:22
59:13
62:22
79:18

understanding
19:18,25
22:22
24:11,20
25:11
26:25
48:17
49:25
51:18
52:22

understood
62:25

undertake
35:15

undertaken
80:6

undisputed
69:15 70:1
71:10

unfair 59:17

uniform 36:2

unique 46:10

unit 27:8
30:20
47:15

urge 59:22

useless 62:22

V

valid 61:4 67:15

verified 44:11

verify 44:22 46:13

Versus 68:15

victory 67:22

view 62:4 73:13

violation 80:9,10

viruses 36:15,22 37:6

vote 10:11, 13,15 12:4,22 14:15 16:11 28:25 30:6 39:25 40:9,13,15 42:18 44:15 48:22 65:13,18 66:8 67:11 69:20 70:2,4 72:22 73:24 74:8 77:1,4 78:13

voted 11:20, 22 12:24 15:1,8,15 48:25

voter 13:22 19:4 25:6 28:11,13, 17,22 29:4,25 30:6,10, 11,13,17, 22 39:6 41:2,11,25 42:15,18 43:10 69:4,20 73:2 74:6, 18 77:1 78:8

voters 40:8 41:8,9 67:10 69:4 70:2 73:9, 22

votes 48:22 49:17 65:8,11,22 66:17 67:22,24 78:1 79:17,18 80:13,17, 18,24

voting 7:20 11:9 12:15 16:18 17:4 19:11 30:13 32:20 34:6 35:25 36:2 38:4 39:4, 22,24 40:1,11,20 44:6,13 45:17 47:11 49:13 61:13 68:24 73:2 76:13

vulnerable 31:15 65:6 71:6,11 72:8,13 73:18 77:20

W

waiving 79:1

Walden 59:8

wall 38:13

wanted 7:10 16:6

warehouse 45:6,8,9, 10 46:13, 22,24 47:18

watcher 23:17

ways 43:11

web 37:18 70:1

webpage 60:17

whatsoever 74:20

Williamson 34:8

Winterville 80:13,18

witnesses 8:18 58:10 67:4 68:25 77:13

woman 15:4 48:4,8 65:13

word 29:13, 15 51:9 68:6

words 29:13

work 34:6 70:22 80:25

worked 34:4, 6

worker 25:4 28:13

30:22 42:8
43:11,15
65:17

workers 19:2
38:25
39:1,2
44:10,22
46:11

working 7:11
52:22

works 39:22

worry 48:10

worse 71:13,
17,18,20

write-in
67:8

writing
11:13

written
75:13

wrong 42:4,6

wrote 14:6

Y

years 18:22
53:20
71:15
78:11

yellow 28:11

yesterday
20:13
23:15
61:15
63:11 72:2
73:20

yesterday's
19:13

younger 48:9

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDLS
Date: 1/16/2019 3:36 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, *et al*	) Civil Action File No. 2018CV313418
Petitioner,	)
v.	)
ROBYN A CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, *et al*	)
Defendant	)

FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS' RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO PETITIONERS' MOTION TO COMPEL

COMES NOW, Fulton County Board of Registrations and Elections ("FCBRE"), and hereby responds to Petitioner's Motion to Compel and for Additional Discovery, showing as follows:

FACTS

Petitioners filed an Emergency Motion for Inspection on November 29, 2018, Petitioners waited until January 9, 2019 to advance this request. On January 10, 2019, Petitioners' counsel proposed a protocol for inspection that was abandoned once the Court entered its January 11, 2019 Order, which denied much of what Petitioners' proposed protocol sought to include. On January 11, 2019, this Court entered an Order providing Petitioners with certain access. Petitioners are not satisfied with the breadth and depth of that access. The Order sets out three specific requirements for the parties to follow related to this discovery. (Order at 5).

Per the Order, Defendants are to provide Plaintiffs with GEMS reports maintained by Defendants (Order at 5.) Plaintiffs are also entitled to "inspect the DRE machines in post-election mode, using post-election memory cards that were used in the voting locations identified by Plaintiffs in the Petition, paragraphs 40, 41, 44, 45, and 46." (Order at 6.) Further, "Plaintiffs may also examine the internal memory storage of each such DRE unit." (Order at 6.) But Plaintiffs cannot "in any way damage the DRE machines or information contained therein ... [or] copy, image, save, or retain the DRE machines or the information contained therein ... [or] upload or introduce any information into the DRE machines." (Order at 6.) "Destructive testing shall not be permitted." (Order at 6.) The Court also ruled that the parties must enter into a protective order.[1]

In response to the Order and after consulting with Plaintiff's Counsel, Defendant Fulton County Board of Registration and Elections ("Fulton County") made the DRE units for the voting locations identified in paragraphs 41, 44, and 46 of Plaintiffs' Petition available on January 14, 2019. This required FCBRE staff to not only locate and retrieve the DRE units, but also locate the sealed memory cards for each machine before the inspection could commence.

In conjunction with the Secretary of State, FCBRE proposed a protocol for examination of the internal memory storage on the DRE units (the majority of such units are property of the State of Georgia). To access the election result file stored in the internal memory of a DRE, a new memory card containing (1) the election ID and (2) blank ballot information for the election corresponding to the election results being recovered from the internal memory must be inserted into a DRE unit. This allows the DRE unit to locate the specific archive file in its internal

[1] This Court also decided, via an electronic mail sent to all counsel that any discovery issues should be brought to the Court's attention via email or conference call. (Email from Judge Adele Grubbs to Counsel, Jan. 11, 2019 ("Jan. 11, 2019 Email").)

memory storage. The archive file is then extracted from the internal memory and saved to the inserted memory card. Thus, the inserted memory card would contain the election information extracted from the DRE's internal memory storage. The internal memory for the election can then be viewed through the DRE. This protocol tracks the normal process for recovering election data stored on the internal memory of a DRE unit, and it does not damage the DRE machines or otherwise require imaging or the introduction of any information into the DRE machines.

On January 14, 2019, Plaintiffs' representative proposed a vastly different protocol for examining the DRE machines. Specifically, Plaintiffs rely on the statement of Matt Bernhard, a proffered expert for the Coalition for Good Governance, a nonparty. Bernhard demanded that the counties remove the outside case on the DRE machines and to insert outside computer chips or adapters into the machines before imaging the machines. Thus, Plaintiffs would not only extract but they would alter, even temporarily, the DRE machines hardware. In fact, Plaintiffs' protocol requires altering the motherboard on certain models of DRE units (TS models), installing new computer chips (EEPROM chips) on those DRE units, and then imaging the DRE units. (Id.) For other models of DRE machines in circulation (TSx models), Plaintiffs' protocol requires an outside adapter (JTAG adapter) to be connected to the DRE unit, a "flash probe" run on the DRE unit, and then an image of the internal memory copied to a laptop. (Id.) These procedures are not confined to an examination of the internal memory for the November 6, 2018 election, but instead copy everything on the DRE unit, including information for past elections and critical files related to the architecture of the machines. (Id.)[2]

[2] The Order says that Plaintiffs may not "copy, image, save or retain the DRE machines or the information contained therein." (Order at 6.).

When Defendant FCBRE offered to allow Plaintiffs to inspect the DRE machines as the Secretary of State suggested, Plaintiffs refused. Thus, Plaintiffs have not inspected any DRE machines, despite the fact that Defendant FCBRE made them available. Instead, PlaintiffS filed a Motion for Continuance with this Court, and have now filed a Motion to Compel and for Additional Discovery.

Because Plaintiffs seek to obtain information and use methods specifically prohibited by the Court's Order, their Motion to Compel should be denied.

ARGUMENT AND CITATION OF AUTHORITY

As an initial matter, Plaintiffs Motion for Continuance is improper and in direct contradiction of the Court's instructions. The Court stated that any discovery issues should be brought to the Court's attention by email or conference call, not by motion. (Jan. 11, 2019 Email.) The Court should deny Plaintiffs' motion for failure to properly submit this issue to the Court.

The interests of finality in elections require that challenges to elections be resolved in an expeditious manner. As is abundantly clear in the Election Code, a contest is not treated as an ordinary civil action. The procedure is designed for expeditious and timely resolution of election issues. Thus, the election contest statute does not provide for discovery. It does, however, provide for the prompt resolution of a contest—within 20 days of answer. O.C.G.A. §21-2-525(a). The hearing date of January 17, 2019 complies with O.C.G.A. §21-2-525(a). Petitioners moved for a continuance of this case, and when the Court did not grant it, they have now moved to compel discovery that is outside the scope of the Court's Order and move for additional discovery. This motion should be denied as Defendant FCBRE, at all times, complied with the

Order in providing the GEMS Reports and allowing access to the identified machines as directed by the Court's Order.

The Secretary of State has offered and FCBRE has been willing to follow a protocol that is consistent with the Order. Plaintiffs would have access to each identified voting machine's archive file from the internal memory storage. They could also view the election information on each machine. This should allow Plaintiffs the ability to test their claims, without damaging or altering the DRE machines. It certainly is more consistent with the Order than Plaintiffs' proposal, which expressly calls for prohibited copying and alters the DRE machine hardware.

Finally, FCBRE joins Defendant Gwinnett County Board of Registration and Elections argument that the Court should deny Plaintiffs' Motion to Compel regarding the GEMS database because it is not a motion to compel—it is a motion for a reconsideration of the Court's prior order regarding discovery. The Court specified that only five reports from the GEMS database should be provided, recognizing the confidentiality of the GEMS database, as explained by the Court of Appeals in *Smith v. DeKalb County*, 288 Ga. App. 574 (2007). Plaintiffs now again seek the entire GEMS database in their purported motion to compel. This Court has already resolved what information Plaintiffs are entitled to receive from the GEMS database. Titling their motion a "motion to compel" does not change the fact that Plaintiffs are requesting a reconsideration of the Court's prior order. This Court should deny Plaintiffs' Motion and this case should proceed to trial.

Respectfully submitted this 16th day of January, 2019.

OFFICE OF THE FULTON COUNTY ATTORNEY
Patrise Perkins-Hooker
Georgia Bar No. 572358

/s/ Kaye Woodard Burwell
Kaye Woodard Burwell
Georgia Bar No. 775060
Kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov
Cheryl Melissa Ann Ringer
Georgia Bar No. 557420
Cheryl.ringer@fultoncountyga.gov
David Lowman
Georgia Bar No. 460298
David.lowman@fultoncountyga.gov
Attorneys for Defendant Fulton County BRE

141 Pryor Street, S.W.
Suite 4038
Atlanta, Georgia 30303
Office: (404) 612-0246
Fax: (404)730-6540

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, *et al*	) Civil Action File No. 2018CV313418
Petitioner,	)
v.	)
ROBYN A CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, *et al*	)
Defendant	)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that on this day, I have caused to be electronically filed the foregoing **FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS' RESPONSE IN OPPOSITION TO PETITIONERS' MOTION TO COMPEL** with the Fulton County Superior Court using the Odyssey efile GA system which will provide automatic notification of this filing to all counsel of record.

This 16th day of January, 2019.

/s/ Kaye Woodard Burwell
Kaye Woodard Burwell
Georgia Bar No. 775050

0001

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTH DUVAL, and JEANNE DUFORT,	CIVIL ACTION FILE NO.: 2018-CV-313418
Plaintiffs, vs. ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et. al.	Taken at: Superior Court of Cobb County 70 Haynes Street Courtroom 2000 Marietta, Georgia 30090
Defendants.	

. . . . . .

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING PROCEEDINGS

THURSDAY, JANUARY 17, 2019
9:02 a.m. to 4:53 p.m.

STATE OF GEORGIA SENIOR JUDGE ADELE P. GRUBBS

REPORTED BY:

PRISCILLA GARCIA, COURT REPORTER
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF GEORGIA

TRANSCRIBED BY:
CHRISTIAN NAADEN

0002

APPEARANCES:

Plaintiff's Counsel: BRUCE P. BROWN, ESQUIRE

BRUCE P. BROWN LAW
FLOATAWAY BUSINESS COMPLEX
1123 ZONOLITE ROAD N.E.
SUITE 6
ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30306
404-881-0700
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com

Plaintiff's Counsel: MARILYN MARKS, ESQUIRE

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE
7035 MARCHING DUCK DRIVE
SUITE E-504
CHARLOTTE, NORTH CAROLINA 28210
704-552-1618
marilyn@uscgg.org

21
22 Defendant's Counsel: JOHN BELINFANTE, ESQUIRE
ROBBINS, ROSS, ALLOT, BELINFANTE
23
24 & LITTLEFIELD, LLC
25 500 14TH STREET N.W.
0003
1 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30318
2 404-856-3262
jbelinfante@robbinsfirm.com
3
4 CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:
5
6 Defendant's Counsel: VINCENT R. RUSSO, ESQUIRE
ROBBINS, ROSS, ALLOT, BELINFANTE
7
8 & LITTLEFIELD, LLC
9 999 PEACHTREE STREET N.E.
10 SUITE 1120
11 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30309
404-856-3260
12
13 vrusso@robbinsfirm.com
14
15 Defendant's Associate Counsel:
ALEXANDER F. DENTON, ESQUIRE
16
17 ROBBINS, ROSS, ALLOT, BELINFANTE
18 & LITTLEFIELD, LLC
500 14TH STREET N.W.
19
20 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30318
404-856-3276
21
22 adenton@robbinsfirm.com
23
24
25
0004
1
2
3
4 CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:
5
6 Defendant's Counsel: EDWARD H. LINDSEY, JR., ESQUIRE
DENTONS US, LLP
7
8 303 PEACHTREE STREET N.E.
9 SUITE 5300
10 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30308
11 404-527-4580
edward.lindsey@dentons.com
12
13
Defendant's Counsel: SAMUEL S. OLENS, ESQUIRE
14
15 DENTONS US, LLP
303 PEACHTREE STREET N.E.
16
17 SUITE 5300

18 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30308
404-527-4108
19
20 sam.olens@dentons.com
21
22 Defendant's Counsel: KAYE WOODARD BURWELL, ESQUIRE
OFFICE OF THE COUNTY ATTORNEY
23
24 141 PRYOR STREET S.W.
25 SUITE 4038
0005
1 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30303
2 404-612-0251
kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov
3
4 CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:
5
6 Defendant's Counsel: BRYAN P. TYSON, ESQUIRE
STRICKLAND, BROCKINGTON &
7
8 LEWIS, LLP
9 1170 PEACHTREE STREET N.E.
10 ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30309
11 404-219-3160
bryan.tyson@sbllaw.com
12
13
Defendant's Co-Counsel: RICHARD A. CAROTHERS, ESQUIRE
14
15 CAROTHERS & MITCHELL, LLC
1809 BUFORD HIGHWAY
16
17 BUFORD, GEORGIA 30518
18 770-932-3552
richard.carothers@carsmith.com
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
0006
1
2
3
4 I N D E X

WITNESS	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
5				
6 WITNESSES CALLED ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF				
Marilyn Marks	31	38,51,54	43,56	50
7				
8 Sara LeClerc	60,72	74,77,78		
9 Jeanne Dufort	80			
10 Christopher Brill	84	89,91,127,128	93,120	113,114
11 Matthew Bernhard	137	150,188,198	156,187,204	
Michael Barnes	210,243	254,276	279	286,287
12				
13				
WITNESSES CALLED ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT				

14
15 None

EXHIBITS MARKED ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF

16

NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE OFFERED	PAGE RECEIVED
P-1	- Secretary of State Email	41	Not Admitted
P-2	- LBJ Notes Excel Spreadsheet	64	66
P-3	- Brill's Affidavit	98	98
P-7	- National Academies of Science Engineering and Medicine's report, Secured Boot, from 2018	168	168
P-8	- Center for Election Systems at Kennesaw State Email Communication 2017	243	243

EXHIBITS MARKED ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT

NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE OFFERED	PAGE RECEIVED
1	- Duncan-Tweet Regarding Fundraising	52	54
2	- Ballot Design	236	236
3	- Base Precinct with Reports	264	265
4	- Base Precinct with Reports	264	265
5	- Active Voter TS Status	266	266
6	- Active Voter TS Status	266	266
7	- Certified Returns Fulton County	273	273
8	- Certified Returns Gwinnett County	273	273
9	- Certified Returns Dekalb County	273	273
10	- Official Election Returns 2010	273	274
11	- Official Election Returns 2014	273	274
12	- Official Election Returns 2018	273	274
13	- Turnout Percentage Document	273	274

COBB COUNTY, GEORGIA

THURSDAY, January 17, 2018 - 9:02 a.m.

* * * * * * *

THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.

MALE: Good morning, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Take your seats, if you will.

THE COURT: First of all, if you are here for the uncontested divorce calendar, that includes name changes and all those kind of things, you are in the wrong place. That is in Juvenile Court Number One. You have to go back across the bridge if you're in here by mistake.

Okay. And we have some recordings. Rule 22. Is everybody in here on -- you're here on the -- supposed to be some others. Ms. McDonald.

MS. MCDONALD: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: You're here. Mr. Wilke?

MR. WILKE: Yes, ma'am.

THE COURT: Yeah, he's here. And who's the other one?

THE COURT: Kauffman. Mr. Kauffman.

MR. KAUFFMAN: That's me.

THE COURT: How'd you get up there?

0009

MR. KAUFFMAN: I asked Mr. Davis earlier if it was okay if I sit here because recording.

THE COURT: And you did what, Mr. Davis?

MR. DAVIS: [Inaudible].

THE COURT: Why did he get preference than everybody else?

MR. DAVIS: No one else asked.

THE COURT: Nobody else asked. Okay. Good statement. Anybody else want to come in and [inaudible] -- okay. We'll do that.

This is mainly on the case of Rhonda Martin, Smyth Duval, and Jeanne Dufort versus Geoff Duncan, Fulton

12
13 County Board of Registration and Elections, Gwinnett
County Board of Registration and Elections. Mr. Brown,
14
15 where are your clients?
MR. BROWN: Jeanne Dufort is here.
16
17 THE COURT: She's what?
18 MR. BROWN: Your question was what, Your Honor?
THE COURT: Where are your clients?
19
20 MR. BROWN: My client, this is Jeanne Dufort.
THE COURT: Okay.
21
22 MR. BROWN: She is the plaintiff in the case.
THE COURT: Okay. And are the other two here too?
23
24 MR. BROWN: Smyth Duvall.
25 THE COURT: Mr. Duvall is here?
0010
1 MR. BROWN: He is here. Yes, Your Honor.
2 THE COURT: Okay. Just trying to identify. Do you
need seats to bring them to the table?
3
4 MR. BROWN: We're sort of crowded so this is fine.
THE COURT: You all need to be at this table?
5
6 MR. BROWN: No. He doesn't -- you're fine. I mean,
we're okay.
7
8 THE COURT: You are?
9 MR. BROWN: Yeah.
10 THE COURT: And then on this side. Everybody's
11 together.
MR. BROWN: I would like to make a request that
12
13 Marilyn Marks, who is the executive director of the former
plaintiff, Coalition, and it's been -- helping me in this
14
15 case, it would be an -- it would be a great assistance to
me, just professionally, if she could also ask specific --
16
17 THE COURT: She can't sit at the table.
18 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: She can't sit at the table.
19
20 MALE: My only concern is that we do intend to invoke
the Rule. She going be a witness?
21
22 MR. BROWN: She may and we will decide whether she
goes first or not. So she can stay out here.
23
24 MR. LINDSEY: If she stays -- if she's the first
25 witness then I would object to her staying.
0011
1 THE COURT: I'm sorry?
2 MR. LINDSEY: If she's the first witness knows the
Rule, which I guess I have no objections --
3
4 THE COURT: Okay.

MR. LINDSEY: -- to her staying.

5

6 THE COURT: Okay. We'll follow that. Everybody else agree? I see Fulton and Gwinnett?

7

8 MS. BURWELL: Yes, Your Honor.

9 THE COURT: Okay.

10 MR. LINDSEY: [Inaudible] she could come in.

11 THE COURT: Okay. On the Rule 22 request, you cannot take anything at each individual table to the lobbies.

12

13 Okay. I know you were here last time and you did a good job, but you can't -- or any conversation between lawyers

14

15 and witnesses. You have to honor the confidentiality.

MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.

16

17 THE COURT: Okay. You all are standing up. I think

18 that Mr. Brown we filed a mandatory trial.

MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.

19

20 THE COURT: And I know I got a response from Fulton County.

21

22 MALE: Yeah. We also have a response as well that simply mimic the county's and we are filing it today.

23

24 THE COURT: Okay.

25 MALE: Can I approach the bench?

0012

1 THE COURT: Mr. Groton does that. There's no clerk

2 because this is a Fulton County case. So he has to do that. Okay. I guess -- will I hear first from is -- let

3

4 me hear from -- Mr. Brown, why do you think juror demand is appropriate?

5

6 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the statute is very clear. It says that the case should be tried to the court unless

7

8 a jury demand is made. And that is explicit and without

9 exception. And we have made a demand for a jury trial and

10 the facts that will be tried, or triable to a jury both in

11 the election case and in any other case.

THE COURT: Okay.

12

13 MR. BROWN: So that's our request. Thank you.

THE COURT: What order are you going in over at that

14

15 time?

MALE: She filed -- Fulton County filed first of all

16

17 --

18 THE COURT: Are you Ms. Blackwell?

MS. BURWELL: Burwell. Burwell.

19

20 THE COURT: Burwell. I'm trying to get names right. Okay.

21

22 MS. BURWELL: Kaye. Right. Yes. Kaye Burwell on

behalf of Fulton County.

23

24 MALE: Judge --

25 THE COURT: I got it.

0013

1 MALE: Judge, I'm sorry. We have one more Rule 22

2 from the AJC that you haven't had a chance to review.

THE COURT: So I have not written [inaudible].

3

4 Anybody -- who's here from the AJC?

FEMALE: I am.

5

6 THE COURT: Okay. Anybody have an objection to the AJC covering this? Mr. Brown.

7

8 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor.

9 MR. LINDSEY: In fact, they're more than welcome to

10 sit in the jury.

11 THE COURT: You're welcome to sit in the jury box. Come sit over here if you want to.

12

13 MS. BURWELL: Think at a better angle.

THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.

14

15 MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, it is Fulton County's position that the demand for a jury trial by the

16

17 petitioners is insufficient. We cited the Court to the

18 Henderson case, which clearly provides that there is a two-step analysis for determining whether or not a jury

19

20 trial is available to a petitioner and they have satisfied the first, which was merely to demand.

21

22 They haven't satisfied the second. They haven't provided this Court with any information or argument to

23

24 support that under other laws at issue in the case, there

25 is a matter upon which they are entitled to have a trial

0014

1 by jury. And that's the second part and they haven't

2 presented this Court with anything that would be sufficient for them to satisfy that burden. And so based

3

4 upon the statute itself, which is 21-2-522, as well as the Henderson case, because they utterly failed to allege

5

6 issues that would be triable to a jury, we believe that a jury trial demand is inappropriate.

7

8 Further, Your Honor, as we pointed out in our

9 response, the statute provides that if there are issues

10 that need to be tried, a jury from that particular county

11 needs to be impaneled. And in this instance, they are -- they have allegations in their complaint about Worth

12

13 County, Henry County, DeKalb County, and perhaps other counties that we don't know about yet. And so the Court

14

15 would be required if they had alleged something that was

triable to a jury, would need to impanel juries from each
16
17 of those jurisdictions in order to hear whatever issues
18 would need to be heard.
And for that reason, Your Honor, obviously the ins of
19
20 the election contest statute, which is the swift and
expedient determination of a contest, would be forwarded.
21
22 Thank you.
THE COURT: Thank you. Any additional arguments?
23
24 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, Bryan Tyson on behalf of
25 Gwinnett County, just very briefly. As the Fulton County
0015
1 counsel, Ms. Burwell, has correctly pointed out, the cases
2 that they have cited control there is no constitutional
right to a jury trial in an election contest case.
3
4 And I think under the circumstances that the demand
only came after the motion of continuance had been denied
5
6 in the case that this is an attempt by the plaintiffs to
delay this case when this case under the Election Code
7
8 needs to be resolved quickly and brought to a conclusion,
9 especially considering that the Legislature is already in
10 session and the lieutenant governor is presiding this
11 morning, even in the State Senate. Thank you.
THE COURT: Anything from you, Mr. Lindsey?
12
13 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we simply just joined with
two other co-defendants with their positions.
14
15 THE COURT: Mr. Brown.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, quickly, three points.
16
17 Gwinnett raises the timeliness issue. Again, the statute
18 is clear and the demand needs to be made before the case
is called.
19
20 Second, counsel for Fulton County says that we
haven't made allegations about facts that are triable to a
21
22 jury. We have a very detailed fact-bound complaint and
each of the factual allegations in there, in any civil
23
24 case, would be triable to a jury and I know of no
25 exception. For example, were significant programming
0016
1 errors made? Can the vote tallies be reconciled? Are
2 there signs of malicious manipulation of the vote tallies?
All of those things are factual issues. Thank you, Your
3
4 Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. I strike the demand for jury.
5
6 This is a bench trial. It does not comport with the
statute. Okay. You want to make an opening statement --
7

8 whoa. Let's not do that. Okay.
9 MR. LINDSEY: I'm sorry. Sorry. And just as a
10 reminder, Your Honor, we have invoked the Rule.
11 THE COURT: The Rule is invoked. So witnesses, you have need to be outside.
12
13 MR. BROWN: Starting now, Your Honor?
THE COURT: Starting right now.
14
15 MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Starting right now.
16
17 MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, on behalf of Fulton County,
18 we have our [inaudible] representative, Mr. Barron. We'd like him to be able to stay to my --
19
20 THE COURT: He's who?
MS. BURWELL: Mr. Barron. Mr. Richard Barron. He's
21
22 the election superintendent for --
THE COURT: Is he being told to stay?
23
24 MS. BURWELL: -- Fulton County.
25 MR. BROWN: Clarification, Your Honor.
0017
1 THE COURT: Yes, sir.
2 MR. BROWN: We have two expert witnesses and typically they are not sequestered because they may be
3
4 relying upon the client testimony. And so we would request Your Honor's clarification that it does not apply
5
6 to expert witnesses.
MR. LINDSEY: And we believe that in this case that
7
8 it should unless they intend -- we have no objection to
9 them remaining in the courtroom --
10 THE COURT: Once they testify.
11 MR. LINDSEY: -- once they testify in case they need to be brought back up on rebuttal based on any fact that
12
13 need to be brought. But in terms of their initial testimony, we would ask the rule be [inaudible].
14
15 THE COURT: I think Mr. Brown, you -- we do that when you have experts and they have experts. We have dueling
16
17 experts, but you've got two of the same. So once they've
18 testified, they can stay.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
19
20 THE COURT: Okay. And that means you cannot discuss each other's testimony.
21
22 MR. LINDSEY: And for that matter, Your Honor, I just wanted to clarify for both sides. Once the witness has
23
24 testified, as long as there is an assurance by the lawyer
25 that that person will not be brought back up for any
0018

1 further reason, I -- any purpose, but I don't have any
2 problem with them remaining in the courtroom once they've
testified.
3
4 THE COURT: Okay.
MR. BROWN: My understanding of the rule, Your Honor,
5
6 is that if a witness is in the courtroom, the witness is
thereafter disqualified from being called.
7
8 MR. LINDSEY: Yeah. That's all I'm saying.
9 THE COURT: That's correct.
10 MR. LINDSEY: But I'm saying I don't have a problem
11 with them staying after they've testified.
THE COURT: Okay. Opening statement, Mr. Brown.
12
13 Open? Want to make an opening statement?
MR. BROWN: Oh, yes, Your Honor. Initially, we -- as
14
15 a matter of procedure, we have still pending a motion to
compel.
16
17 THE COURT: I've denied that motion to compel.
18 MR. BROWN: Okay. In addition -- just for the
record, Your Honor, we would like to make a motion for
19
20 additional discovery under the Civil Practice Act.
THE COURT: Sir, I've denied all that.
21
22 MR. BROWN: I understand.
THE COURT: We've been through it. I looked. I have
23
24 17 filings in this case in the last two days; okay?
25 Nobody's going to believe my list of work in this case.
0019
1 Those all have been denied. They are preserved for the
2 record.
MR. BROWN: Right.
3
4 THE COURT: I've ruled on them. The record is clear
that -- I've ruled. If that's what you're concerned
5
6 about, I've ruled; okay?
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, the
7
8 issue in this case is whether the election was so
9 defective as to place in doubt the result of the
10 lieutenant governor's contest. This case is not like any
11 other election case. In every other election case the
evidence of defectiveness, of a defective election, is
12
13 hard documentary evidence. It is misspelled names on an
absentee ballot.
14
15 It is a person who was ineligible to vote. It is
some flaw in the electoral process that can be
16
17 demonstrated with hard evidence in court. The State of
18 Georgia has chosen a voting system which embeds in it the
results, the true results of an election never to be

19
20 discovered after the vote is made.
The distinction between this case and every other
21
22 voting case is that there is no tangible evidence of the result of the election. There is none at all. Instead,
23
24 all we have are traces, secondary evidence, giving some
25 hint as to whether or not the voting totals are correct or
0020
1 not.
2 And what are those and what are those hints here? What evidence do we have that this vote is reliable? We
3
4 have none, Your Honor. What -- instead, what we have, and our experts will testify -- Your Honor, is there a issue
5
6 that I should address or is there --
THE COURT: Mm-hmm?
7
8 MR. BROWN: Are you -- let me --
9 THE COURT: A gentleman just came in and I'm not sure
10 if he's trying to record, that's the only thing.
11 MR. BROWN: Okay.
THE COURT: Sir, do you have anything to record?
12
13 MALE: No, Your Honor. I was sitting back here and I was having trouble hearing so I moved up.
14
15 THE COURT: No problem. Go ahead.
MR. BROWN: Sorry, Your Honor.
16
17 THE COURT: Sure.
18 MR. BROWN: Thank you. The --
THE COURT: I'm just trying to preserve the
19
20 courtroom. Go ahead. But I'm hearing you.
MR. BROWN: But what the evidence we do have are the
21
22 traces of what happened and all of that evidence shows that this election was defective. The most obvious
23
24 evidence is the vote patterns. And as Your Honor has read
25 in our briefs already, these voting patterns were aberrant
0021
1 in the extreme.
2 And the reason we know they were aberrant, the reasons we know they were aberrant is that this has never
3
4 -- nothing like this has ever happened before, but to me most probative is that the pattern that we see in the
5
6 electronic voting, the under-vote for the lieutenant governor's contest, is not seen in the paper votes. We
7
8 have 200,000 paper votes, Your Honor.
9 It's a huge sample of people in all walks of life,
10 all around the state, of every political persuasion, and
11 for some reason they all, or 99 percent of them, voted in the lieutenant governor's race. One or a little bit more

12
13 than one or less than one out of 100 decided not to vote. Historically, that is exactly what you would expect. For
14
15 the last 20 years, the consistent under-vote for the lieutenant governor's contest in Georgia is .8 percent,
16
17 less than 1 in 100.
18 In Georgia, the history is if you're going to take the trouble to vote, and not everybody does sadly, but if
19
20 you're going to take the trouble to vote, whether in-person, early, absentee, or live, is that 1 out of 100
21
22 will not vote for the lieutenant governor. That is what happened in the paper ballots this year. One out of 100
23
24 decided not to vote for the lieutenant governor's race.
25 Now for some reason we have many, many thousands more on
0022
1 the electronic ballot, a gap of 4 or 5 percent in the
2 electronic vote.
There is no legitimate explanation for that. It
3
4 could not have happened by chance. The samples are too large for that to be simply random chance. So it's not
5
6 chance. Could it be because the lieutenant governor's race was not very interesting to people? There's no
7
8 reason to believe that, Your Honor. And even if you were
9 inclined to believe that prima facie, then why did 99 out
10 of 100 vote on paper?
11 The same goes for every other explanation for this anomaly. Let the defendants make that out. In a criminal
12
13 case a convicted -- a defendant may be sent to the electric chair if the prosecution eliminates every
14
15 plausible alternative to their guilt. Here what we will do, and what the evidence will show, is that every other
16
17 plausible explanation other than machine defect caused
18 this other vote and because it was machine defect it's a defective election; so defective that its results are in
19
20 doubt.
A couple things to clarify our position to make sure
21
22 that Your Honor understands what our claim is. The defendants claim that this is a matter of simple math.
23
24 Our response to that is two-fold. First is that their
25 simple math is wrong. It's just bad arithmetic, Your
0023
1 Honor. Our second response -- and we'll explain that to
2 Your Honor in the course of these proceedings.
The second response to that is that it's not simple
3
4 math. You can't -- Your Honor understands this quite well

is that it's not simple math if you have multiple unknown
5
6 variables. Because this election was so defective the State does not know the true vote got Mr. Duncan, does not
7
8 know the true for Ms. Amico. And third, it doesn't know
9 why there's a gap in the under-vote.
10 Our position isn't that there were some votes that
11 were lost. Our position is that the entire contents is tainted because the under-vote shows that the machines
12
13 simply were not working -- that they were defective; so defective as to cast the entire election in doubt and
14
15 require a new election.
The second point I wanted to make sure we were clear
16
17 on in terms of our position is that what we need to show
18 today and tomorrow is that the election was defective. We do not need to show malice. We do not need to show that
19
20 the defendants or anybody else did something intentionally to rig the vote or anything like that. That's not what
21
22 this case is about.
This case is about machines and whether or not they
23
24 worked and the evidence will show manifestly that they did
25 not. Your Honor, the course of these proceedings, and I
0024
1 caution your understanding of this, is that this argument
2 is not rearguing the motions that we have lost, but is instead to take the case as it's presented right now. And
3
4 that is the plaintiffs have shown prima facie and will show prima facie that this election was defective.
5
6 I call Your Honor's attention to OCGA 24-14-22. That statute says, and I'll quote it, "If a party has evidence
7
8 in such party's power and within such party's reach by
9 which he or she may repel a claim or charge against him,
10 but omits to produce it or if a party has more certain and
11 satisfactory evidence in his or her power but relies on that which is of weaker or inferior nature, a presumption
12
13 arises that the charge or claim against such party is well founded; but this presumption may be rebutted."
14
15 Your Honor, what this law -- this law is commonsense but it's also fundamentally important in terms of fairness
16
17 and accuracy and decision-making by the courts. We will
18 show that there's no plausible explanation other than machine malfunction. The defendants can escape that
19
20 charge if they prove that they have investigated, they've done their own, and they can bring into court evidence
21
22 that this -- these machines are working.

Instead, they will not do so and as Your Honor is
23
24 well aware, they have resisted doing so, and they have not
25 done so in discovery. And so when the plaintiff makes his
0025
1 case, or her cas, and the defendant's position is, well,
2 it's just a black box; we don't know what's in that black
box, then the plaintiff wins, Your Honor.
3
4 Your Honor, in terms of the order of proof we have
some witnesses -- the sequence of the witnesses may change
5
6 a little bit because of the sequestration. In addition,
we will have applied witnesses, people who actually
7
8 attended -- actually voted or trying to vote. We will
9 have an expert on forensics and on these particular DRE
10 machines, and we will have an expert on the numbers and on
11 the politics behind the numbers.
And at the conclusion of this matter, we believe that
12
13 the Court will be authorized, if not compelled, to
conclude that this election was so defective as to place
14
15 the results in doubt. Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: [Inaudible] Mr. Lindsey? Okay.
16
17 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, on
18 behalf of myself and Mr. Olens, we are here representing
the Lieutenant Governor Geoff Duncan who won his election
19
20 on November 6, 2018, by a significant margin, 123,172
votes.
21
22 The statutory in case law is clear as set forth in
the case of Hart versus Crawford, which said, and I quote,
23
24 "The setting aside of an election in which the people have
25 chosen the representative is a dramatic remedy that should
0026
1 not be taken lightly but instead should be reserved for
2 cases in which the person challenging an election has
clearly established that the violation has placed the
3
4 result of the election in doubt."
This requires, Your Honor, more than mere speculation
5
6 or guess work. More than saying that things might have
possibly happened but they must show by clear evidence
7
8 that a -- that some type of problem occurred and that it
9 was a problem of great enough significance to wipe out a
10 margin of victory of over 123,000 votes.
11 In this case, not only are the plaintiffs not going
to be able to show that they were the breach of Georgia's
12
13 election system, but we will also show why Ms. Amico
received the vote counts she did, which I want to state
14
15 right here at the beginning, which is that within the

range of the other unsuccessful Democratic candidates in
16
17 2006 [sic]. Ms. Amico received 1,828,566 votes.
18 Granted, this was less than the votes received by the more profiled Democratic candidates, such as Ms. Abrams,
19
20 but it is a vote count much higher than four other Democratic candidates and is within a few thousand votes
21
22 of two other ones. So in other words, she -- her vote count as a Democratic in the 2016 election was right
23
24 square in the middle among all the various Democrats who
25 ran statewide here in Georgia. That's number one.
0027
1 Number two, in terms we will be able to establish why
2 the vote count for Ms. Amico was what it was and why there was a differentiation between the paper and electronic
3
4 ballots. The fact of the matter is, Your Honor, we were dealing with an ahistorical election; and never before has
5
6 Georgia had this many new voters vote in one election. In 2014, there were approximately 98,000 new voters that
7
8 voted.
9 In 2018, there were almost four times that number
10 that voted. The fact of the matter is when you have this
11 many new voters, you have a greater number amount of confusion that takes place. You also have the fact that
12
13 the way in which the ballot was configured on paper ballots versus the electronic system could have added to
14
15 that confusion inadvertently by virtue of how the various individuals on the ballot were placed.
16
17 In the case of the electronic ballots, they were in a
18 -- what you might call an east-west position. In the paper ballots they were in a north-south position right
19
20 next to each other, which would add to the confusion for many voters, particularly many new voters here in Georgia
21
22 as to whether or not Ms. Amico and Ms. Abrams were running on a ticket.
23
24 This is aggravated further by the way in which Ms.
25 Amico chose to run her campaign, which was to seek to run
0028
1 as a team with Ms. Abrams. So that alone would suffice to
2 show why there was a difference between the paper and the electronic ballots. In addition, quite frankly, unlike a
3
4 lot of the other candidates, Ms. Amico received a lot of negative press in the closing days of the campaign.
5
6 And that closing -- that negative press was particularly being likely to dampen Democratic enthusiasm
7

8 for her candidacy given the fact that there were
9 allegations while she was a senior officer of a
10 corporation, that that corporation was engaged in
11 systematic racial discrimination.
So there were multiple reasons, Your Honor, not
12
13 simply one as to why there was the down-ballot voter gap
that existed in this race as opposed to others. And we
14
15 will look forward to presenting those to you. Thank you,
Your Honor.
16
17 THE COURT: Okay. [inaudible]?
18 MS. BURWELL: Thank you, Your Honor. The Fulton
County Board of Registrations and Elections is charged
19
20 with following State law and to follow State law in order
to ensure that citizens of Fulton County receive a fair
21
22 and accurate election when they go to the polls. And we
believe the evidence will show that the Fulton County
23
24 Board of Registrations and Elections did just that.
25 We believe the evidence will show that the Board
0029
1 accurately recorded the will of the Fulton County voters.
2 As this Court knows, there's no such thing as a perfect
election. There never has been and there never will be.
3
4 But in this instance, these petitioners have a heavy
burden and a burden we believe the evidence, with respect
5
6 to Fulton County, will now bear out their claims. And
that's because the case is very clear that not every
7
8 garden variety irregularity entitles anyone to a new
9 election and that's because elections are designed to
10 carry out the will of the people.
11 And again, we believe that this election did just
that. And at the close of the evidence we're going to ask
12
13 the Court to deny petitioner's request.
THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Tyson.
14
15 MR. TYSON: Thank you, Your Honor. Bryan Tyson for
Gwinnett County. We are in agreement with the prior two
16
17 defendants. The key point for this Court is that the
18 burden on the plaintiffs is high.
The election is presumed valid. These are the
19
20 certified results, unless the plaintiffs can bring forward
specific evidence of what was the irregularity that could
21
22 have caused the result to be placed in doubt, they cannot
succeed in their claim. The availability of any number of
23
24 plausible explanations, which the evidence will show, will
25 defeat that. The ballot design question, the fact that
0030

1 this election was the first time in a governor's election
2 that we've not had a U.S. Senate race also happening at
the same time, and so that affected the ballot design
3
4 worked and affected the way -- on the DRE's particularly
that voters were looking at those ballots.
5
6 Voter decisions obviously could play a role. Lack of
a third party candidate could play a role. Any number of
7
8 factors could explain the dip in the votes for Ms. Amico's
9 candidacy. And the Supreme Court is abundantly clear that
10 speculation alone is not enough to overturn an election.
11 Plaintiffs have the burden of showing hard evidence,
of demonstrating exactly what the cause was, and also
12
13 demonstrating that literally no other cause could have
happened. They have to come forward with affirmative
14
15 evidence that demonstrate that fact. The constitutional
claims, Your Honor, had been dismissed.
16
17 The only thing left in this case is a statutory
18 election contest and that is ultimately a mathematical
issue. 123,000 votes have to be shown to be in question
19
20 in addition to the -- so that the plaintiffs can carry
their burden and if they're not able to demonstrate that
21
22 or show an irregularity that places the entire result in
doubt through hard evidence, then they will not be able to
23
24 succeed in their claims and we do not believe they'll be
25 able to and believe the election should be upheld. Thank
0031
1 you.
2 THE COURT: First witness, Mr. Brown.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, we will call Marilyn Marks as
3
4 our first witness.
THE COURT: Would you swear the witness, please, Mr.
5
6 Brown?
MR. BROWN: Ms. Marks, please raise your right hand.
7
8 Do you promise to tell the truth, the whole truth, and
9 nothing but the truth?
10 MS. MARKS: Yes.
11 MR. BROWN: Have a seat.
12
13 Thereupon:
14
15 MARILYN MARKS
16
17 was called as a witness by the Petitioner; and,
18 having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
19
20 DIRECT EXAMINATION
OF MARILYN MARKS
21

22
BY MR. BROWN:
23
24 Q. Please state your full name for the record?
25 A. Marilyn Marks.
0032
1 Q. Ms. Marks, by whom are you currently
2 employed?
A. I am the executive director of Coalition
3
4 for Good Governance.
Q. And what is the Coalition for Good
5
6 Governance?
A. Coalition for Good Governance is a
7
8 nonprofit, charitable organization, non-partisan
9 organization, that works on election transparency,
10 election integrity, voter privacy. We have a few
11 core issues of that nature that we really focused on
and we're a small organization.
12
13 Q. Is electronic voting one of those core
issues?
14
15 A. In fact, we probably -- yes, it is. In
fact, we've probably spent the better part of the
16
17 last three years with 100 percent of our resources
18 focused on problems with electronic voting in the
fact that electronic voting is not verifiable --
19
20 cannot be on the table --
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we object [inaudible] --
21
22 THE COURT: [inaudible] not responsive to the
question.
23
24 MR. BROWN: That's right. That's fine. Thank you.
25 THE COURT: Just answer -- you'll get there.
0033
1 MR. BROWN: That's right.
2 THE COURT: He'll get to everything you need to say.
Give him a chance; okay?
3
4 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. And what in particular about electronic
5
6 voting has caused the Coalition to focus upon that as
one of their issues?
7
8 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'm going to object. She
9 hasn't been qualified as an expert and this isn't a case
10 as to whether or not there are doubts. It's the terms of
11 whether or not electronic voting versus paper ballots or
some other system would be better. That's an argument
12
13 that needs to be done -- as a matter of fact, being done
down at the State Capitol.
14

15 The question today is whether or not there was an actual taking place in this election, in this particular
16
17 election. So there are two objections. Number one, she
18 hasn't been qualified as an expert; and number two, the question is irrelevant to the issues before the Court at
19
20 this time.
THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
21
22 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I asked her a factual question as to what the Coalition's focus was on and she
23
24 was answering it.
25 THE COURT: Well, but she got beyond -- she started
0034
1 to testify as an expert and she can't testify as an expert
2 unless you can qualify her. I understand your position and I know what the law is. I'm going to give them a
3
4 little latitude in this case.
MR. BROWN: I understand. Sure.
5
6 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. What is your -- I'm going to ask you some
7
8 questions about your background. And first, your
9 organization is based where?
10 A. Yes. Our headquarters is in Charlotte,
11 North Carolina.
Q. And what is your personal connection to
12
13 Georgia?
A. Well, actually I had my business career
14
15 here before I retired.
Q. And what was your business career?
16
17 A. I was the CEO and owner of a truck-trailer
18 manufacturing reorganization and we're headquartered here in Atlanta.
19
20 Q. And skipping back to -- so you've lived many years in Georgia; correct?
21
22 A. I lived in -- I plan to move back shortly.
Q. Okay.
23
24 A. I've been spending the vast majority of my
25 time here for the last four or five months.
0035
1 Q. Ms. Marks, what is your experience
2 generally with electronic voting systems and whether that's a good method of recording votes?
3
4 A. Okay. Ten years ago I got very interested in elections; in fact, ran for Mayor of Aspen,
5
6 Colorado. And at that time, they were using the Diebold voting system that is also used in Georgia.

7
8 They were using it slightly differently. But at that
9 time is when I began to be concerned about the
10 electronic portion of the system.
11 And I tested the system as part of my work as a
citizen and I became an election judge, and ended up
12
13 spending a considerable amount of time getting to
know the system. And over the last ten years I
14
15 devoted myself -- having lost the election I devoted
myself to the problems that were begin to be
16
17 uncovered in my own loss in the election.
18 And I have spent the last ten years doing work
around troubled elections [inaudible] organization
19
20 tends to called into troubled elections, particularly
when there are unverifiable electronic elections
21
22 where the results cannot be audited like this one.
Q. Have you ever been involved in a post-
23
24 election audit?
25 A. I have.
0036
1 THE WITNESS: Your Honor, would it be all right if I
2 have a cup of water?
MR. BROWN: Yeah. Here. I'll get that, Your Honor.
3
4 THE COURT: Actually, your lawyer's got some.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
5
6 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. I believe you were testifying about post-
7
8 election audits, Ms. Marks.
9 A. Yes. I have been involved in various forms
10 of post-election audits starting with my own loss in
11 the election in 2009 and then primarily in Colorado,
although some in North Carolina, South Carolina, and
12
13 whereas in Georgia there is no post-election audit.
But, yes, I've been both in server; I've helped plan;
14
15 I've a poll watcher for post-election audits.
I've trained what in Colorado called canvas
16
17 board members who conduct the post-election audit.
18 So I've trained those for Libertarian Party and some
of the nonpartisan candidates -- not candidates,
19
20 excuse me, members of canvas boards. So I've spent
hundreds of hours in post-election auditing.
21
22 Q. Have you testified in court before, Ms.
Marks?
23
24 A. Yes, many times. Coalition for Good
25 Governance has done a lot of work in litigat- -- in

0037
1 election litigation and so I have been in court a
2 lot.
Q. You mentioned paper ballots and the --
3
4 based upon your understanding of the allegations in
this petition, do you understand that the petitioners
5
6 are seeking a new election; do you understand that?
A. Yes.
7
8 Q. And do you have an understanding of whether
9 the petitioners want the new election to be on paper
10 ballot on the one hand or on the electronic ballot on
11 the other?
A. It is clear that the petitioners want only
12
13 a verifiable election, which has to be on paper
ballots.
14
15 Q. And you -- based upon your work, have you
become familiar with Georgia's processes and
16
17 procedures for conducting electronic and paper
18 elections?
A. Yes, I'm very familiar with those
19
20 procedures. I began my work in Georgia in the spring
of 2017 and began to analyze the problems in the
21
22 unverifiable DRE system. The debunked DRE system at
the time actually -- should I tell you about when --
23
24 Q. Just wait.
25 A. Okay.
0038
1 Q. Based upon your understanding of Georgia's
2 practices and procedures in voting, would it be
feasible for Georgia to conduct a paper ballot for
3
4 any re-elect new election?
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object to that question.
5
6 The foundation hasn't be laid for that; it's a legal
conclusion. She's not qualified as an expert.
7
8 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would -- thank you, Mr.
9 Tyson, if I may interrupt. I should have before asking
10 that question and I'll withdraw it -- ask that Ms. Marks
11 be qualified as a witness about DRE machines generally and
their use in Georgia and the practices and procedures of
12
13 the State of Georgia for paper ballots and for electronic
ballots.
14
15 MR. TYSON: If I could ask [inaudible] show of
questions of Ms. Marks.
16
17 THE COURT: Surely. Go ahead.
18 MR. TYSON: Good morning, Ms. Marks. My name is

Bryan Tyson. I represent the Gwinnett County Board of
19
20 Elections -- Registrations and Elections. I just had a couple additional questions for you. You mentioned you
21
22 testified in court before.
23
24 CROSS-EXAMINATION
25 OF MARILYN MARKS
0039
1
2 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. Have you ever qualified as an expert in a
3
4 court proceeding?
A. No. And have never intended to be.
5
6 Q. Okay. Have you ever been involved in the administration of an election in Georgia a member of
7
8 the Board of Elections, a staff member in elections
9 office?
10 A. No, I have not been a Georgia resident so I
11 would not have been qualified for that. I have been a poll watcher several times for candidates and for
12
13 the Libertarian Party in Georgia.
Q. Mr. Brown asked you about your work with
14
15 electronic voting machines. Do you have any degrees or specialized training in computer science?
16
17 A. No. I just have a practical hands-on
18 experience.
Q. Do you -- have you -- I'm sorry. It's
19
20 correct, isn't it, that you have no first-hand knowledge of any DRE machine that you personally
21
22 reviewed for the 2018 Georgia general election; is that correct?
23
24 A. I'm sorry. Do you mind repeating the
25 question?
0040
1 Q. Sure. It's correct, isn't it, that you
2 have not personally reviewed any DRE machines that were used in the 2018 Georgia general election?
3
4 A. No. That is incorrect.
Q. Okay. Which machines have you reviewed?
5
6 A. Okay. So I'll not be able to tell you the serial numbers, not off the top of my head,
7
8 certainly. The machines that I observed as I was a
9 poll watcher and I watched them operate -- I looked
10 at the data that was coming up on the screen in a
11 number of poll locations, and did so in a number of the 2018 elections.

12
13 So, I did watch the operations, I watched the shutdown of the machines, and then watched the
14
15 progression records through the compilation tallies. So, yes, I have observed the operation of the
16
17 machines as a authorized statewide poll watcher.
18 Q. So, it would be correct to say, wouldn't it, that you've observed them from a distance, but
19
20 you've not personally examined any of the DRE machines; is that correct?
21
22 A. That would not be correct.
Q. Have you personally ever touched a DRE
23
24 machine?
25 A. Oh, certainly.
0041
1 Q. Have you ever voted on a DRE machine?
2 A. I have.
Q. Have you voted on a Georgia DRE machine?
3
4 A. I have not voted in -- wait, excuse me. I think I was about to not get that correct. When I
5
6 was a resident here, I believe they were just bringing in the DRE machines and so I believe I did
7
8 vote many years ago on the DRE machine.
9 Q. But you're not sure?
10 A. I -- I voted on a DRE machine in North
11 Carolina.
Q. And you personally believe that Georgia
12
13 should move to a paper ballot system; correct?
A. Certainly.
14
15 MR. TYSON: I don't have any other questions at the moment.
16
17 THE COURT: Anybody else have questions for her?
18 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, we renew our objection. She's never been qualified as an expert.
19
20 THE COURT: Go ahead.
MR. TYSON: For one, she just finished saying that
21
22 she doesn't consider herself an expert. So -- and she's not demonstrated any expertise on the technical issues
23
24 that are involved in this case. She certainly has some
25 very strong opinions and we understand it's good for her
0042
1 to get up on the stand to sort of lay out what the
2 plaintiffs want. But in terms of providing any factual evidence to support their claim, she's simply not been
3
4 qualified as an expert [inaudible].

MR. LINDSEY: And, Your Honor, we would also object
5
6 to Ms. Marks being qualified as an expert. We already her expertise on the DRE machines. She's testified that it's
7
8 limited to just observing them from a distance.
9 And that we don't believe that that will in any way
10 assist the Court in determining fact in issue under 702(b)
11 because that's not one of the issues here in terms of what someone saw on the machine. The issue is what was the
12
13 vote in that issue.
In addition, we would also object to her
14
15 qualification regarding Georgia practices and procedures in that those are ensconced in the election code and Your
16
17 Honor is capable of determining those without the
18 assistance from an expert.
THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
19
20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, she's not being -- a lot of what Mr. Lindsey said I didn't quite follow, but she is
21
22 not being qualified as to the technical aspects of the DRE. We have another expert for that. She instead is
23
24 being qualified for the procedure. Thank you.
25 THE COURT: The question you asked would have
0043
1 required her to be an expert and she's not qualified as an
2 expert. You asked, you know, could Georgia conduct paper -- I am listening. I don't look like I am sometimes but
3
4 that helps me intensely listen.
You asked her really about whether paper ballots
5
6 could be done and she has no expertise to be able to testify to something like that.
7
8 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
9
10 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
11 OF MARILYN MARKS
12
13 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Let me ask you some questions and you --
14
15 you have not been qualified as an expert, so when I ask you a question it's going to have be based on
16
17 your personal knowledge; do you understand that?
18 A. Yes. Yes, I do. Uh-huh.
Q. Okay. Who is Taran Greenwald?
19
20 A. Taran Greenwald is an analyst for Coalition for Good Governance.
21
22 Q. Okay.
MR. BROWN: And has the Coalition -- let me hand you

23
24 what I'll have marked --
25 THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
0044
1 MR. BROWN: -- as Exhibit 1.
2
[Thereupon, the referred-to document was entered into
3
4 evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 1]
5
6 MR. TYSON: If we may, Your Honor, could we see a
copy first? Thank you, Your Honor.
7
8 THE COURT: [inaudible].
9 BY MR. BROWN:
10 Q. Ms. Marks, what is Exhibit 1?
11 A. Exhibit 1 is a voting records request that
was made by Mr. Greenwald and the response from the
12
13 Secretary of State to his request to review certain
of the records.
14
15 Q. Did Coalition for Good Governance send a
number of Open Records Act requests to the Secretary?
16
17 A. Yes, we did.
18 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I object. I'm not real
sure where we're going here.
19
20 THE COURT:
MR. LINDSEY: The Court -- I assume you're trying to
21
22 -- just trying to lay down proper evidence -- evidence you
couldn't get in. No, you couldn't obtain.
23
24 THE COURT: Well, so far -- so far -- I haven't seen
25 the document; okay, he hasn't admitted. So far he hasn't
0045
1 asked --
2 MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible]
THE COURT: -- an objectionable question yet.
3
4 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: I think -- I think both Mr. Lindsey --
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: I'm anticipating -- my apologies, Your
Honor.
7
8 THE COURT: -- Mr. Lindsay and I are anticipating; we
9 may be absolutely wrong. Go ahead.
10 MR. LINDSEY: My apologies, Your Honor.
11 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Ms. Marks, is this a true and correct copy
12
13 of a January 9 email from open records at the
Secretary of State to your company's analyst, Taran
14
15 Greenwald?
A. Yes. It is.
16

17 Q. And without going through everything, what
18 does the letter say?
THE COURT: Well, you'll have to tender it before --
19
20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would move to introduce
Exhibit 1 into evidence.
21
22 THE COURT: Now, Mr. Lindsay.
MR. LINDSEY: Objection, Your Honor. It's hearsay
23
24 and it's lay -- you have to also lay a foundation as to
25 what's relevant to the particular issue before us.
0046
1 THE COURT: Is it certified, Mr. Brown?
2 MR. BROWN: It is not. It's an email. It's a
business record, Your Honor. It's an email from the
3
4 Secretary of State to her organization.
THE COURT: I -- it's not -- it's not tendered --
5
6 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: -- I mean, it's tendered; it's not
7
8 admitted.
9 MR. BROWN: Okay.
10 BY MR. BROWN:
11 Q. Ms. Marks, you testified that you were a
poll watcher.
12
13 A. Yes.
Q. And was that in the November 2018 election?
14
15 A. I did. I wasn't a poll watcher in 2018,
but I was also a poll watcher in previous 2018
16
17 elections and in Georgia.
18 Q. Okay. And were you ever a poll watcher in
early voting for the 2018 election?
19
20 A. Yes. I was a statewide poll watcher for
the Libertarian Party and the poll watcher
21
22 credentials covered the period of early voting
through the of the election.
23
24 Q. Were you a poll watcher at the Ponce de
25 Len Library polling location?
0047
1 A. Yes, I was. And the way it works is that
2 we're a poll watcher for all locations.
Q. And -- but you were a poll watcher -- you
3
4 went to poll -- you went to the Ponce de Len Library
polling location; correct?
5
6 A. Yes. During early voting.
Q. And what did you personally observe at the
7
8 -- that polling location?
9 A. The first thing I noticed when I got there

10 were two-hour long lines for people to get in and
11 then how hard it was for people to get into vote, and
I saw many people leaving because they couldn't vote.
12
13 And I could go through with you the troublesome --
Q. Well, let me ask you. Let me ask you that.
14
15 A. Uh-huh.
Q. Did you observe the DREs in operation from
16
17 an appropriate distance?
18 A. I did.
Q. Were they operating correctly?
19
20 A. They were not.
Q. And why so?
21
22 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'll object. I think this
requires Ms. Marks to have a degree of expertise regarding
23
24 the operation of the DRE machines for her to opine about
25 whether they were operating properly or not. There's not
0048
1 a foundation for that.
2 THE COURT: I -- I --
MR. BROWN: I'll withdraw the question, Your Honor --
3
4 THE COURT: Withdraw --
MR. BROWN: -- and make it easier. I can make this
5
6 easy.
BY MR. BROWN:
7
8 Q. Were some of the voting machines not
9 working in that they were not taking votes at all?
10 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'll object again.
11 [inaudible] foundation, Ms. Marks can testify to that.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor --
12
13 THE COURT: She -- I think you're making much too
general of a question. I understand there's a specific
14
15 allegation. Get to the specific allegation.
MR. BROWN: Okay.
16
17 THE COURT: Okay.
18 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Ms. Marks, was the long line the result of
19
20 some of the machines not working?
A. Yes, it was.
21
22 Q. And how do you know that?
A. I -- the work of a poll watcher is to
23
24 observe the entirety of what's going on.
25 THE COURT: Okay. Just answer the question, please,
0049
1 ma'am.
2 MR. BROWN: Yeah, just --

THE WITNESS: And I could see that the machines were
3
4 malfunctioning. I could see the screen, the machines were malfunctioning. It was taking a very long time for those
5
6 voters to go back --
BY MR. BROWN:
7
8 Q. How were they malfunctioning, from your
9 perspective?
10 A. Okay. I was standing about five feet away
11 --
Q. And what --
12
13 A. -- it was a small place.
Q. And what did the machines do?
14
15 A. And so what would happen is a screen would come up that would say, vote cancelled. As the voter
16
17 was attempting to enter their vote and a big red
18 warning sign came up, and the machine stopped working. I observed that happening three times
19
20 during the 30 minutes I was at the library.
Q. Did you speak with any officials at the
21
22 polling location about that problem?
A. I attempted to. I was denied the ability
23
24 to talk to them.
25 Q. Okay. Thank you. Other than the
0050
1 malfunctioning machines and the long lines, anything
2 else about the Ponce de Len poll watching experience that is -- that struck you as unusual?
3
4 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'll just object to the question as worded. I don't think there's of the machine
5
6 were malfunctioning. I think there is testimony they displayed a red box; I don't think there's any testimony
7
8 that indicates that's that a malfunction.
9 MR. BROWN: I'll withdraw the question.
10 THE COURT: You'll have her on direct.
11 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Ms. Marks.
12
13 RECROSS-EXAMINATION
OF MARILYN MARKS
14
15
BY MR. TYSON:
16
17 Q. Ms. Marks, just one question before you
18 leave. I'll try to keep it short. You said that you saw three instances of the machine saying, vote
19
20 cancelling; is that correct?
A. That is correct.

21
22 Q. And you didn't witness any other indications of red screens coming on the machines
23
24 while you were at the Ponce precinct; is that
25 correct?
0051
1 A. I witnessed three in 30 minutes and that is
2 all --
Q. Thank you.
3
4 A. -- and that was a sign that the machine malfunctioning and the [inaudible] --
5
6 Q. I'm sorry. Yes. I don't believe there's any evidence to explain it --
7
8 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, that's not a question. That
9 is a statement and I'd like to strike that from the
10 record.
11 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I request that the rest of Ms. Marks' answer about the machine malfunction be
12
13 stricken; that there was no foundation for that statement.
THE COURT: You need to just answer the question. I
14
15 know -- I know your position; I know where you're coming from; okay? No problem. I've already got that. You
16
17 don't have to impress me with that. Just answer the
18 questions.
MR. TYSON: All right. That's all I have. Thank
19
20 you.
THE COURT: Anybody else?
21
22 MR. LINDSEY: Just real briefly.
23
24 CROSS-EXAMINATION
25 OF MARILYN MARKS
0052
1
2 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. Ms. Marks, is your position at the
3
4 Coalition a volunteer or paid position?
A. It is a volunteer position.
5
6 Q. Okay. Do you receive any compensation for it?
7
8 A. None at all.
9 Q. Okay. Nevertheless, Ms. Marks, is it not
10 true --
11 MR. LINDSEY: Let me show you what we'll tender as Duncan Defense 1.
12
13
[Thereupon, the referred-to document was entered into
14

15 evidence as Defendant's Duncan Exhibit No. 1]
16
17 BY MR. LINDSEY:
18 Q. This is a Tweet you sent out last night; is it not? Is that not a Tweet you sent out last night?
19
20 A. It is.
Q. And does it not show that basically you are
21
22 using this trial as a fundraising mechanism for your organization?
23
24 A. No, it does not show that.
25 Q. It does show that you are seeking to raise
0053
1 money as part of this trial; correct?
2 A. Not as part of this trial; in order to pay attorney's fees and other legal cost.
3
4 Q. Okay.
A. That this trial will cost.
5
6 Q. Okay. And then you just sent out soliciting contributions as part of this trial;
7
8 correct?
9 A. Not as part of this trial, sir, but as part
10 -- routine requirements to raise money for a very
11 small organization with a high level of expenses.
Q. Okay.
12
13 A. We've been raising money for many years.
Q. All right. And this trial -- you sent this
14
15 out in anticipation of the trial today; correct?
A. This is consistent with fundraising
16
17 messages I've been sending out for years.
18 Q. Okay. So otherwise, you send other fundraising messages out when there are other trials
19
20 that are going on; correct?
A. Whether or not there are trials going on,
21
22 we are always in fundraising mode.
Q. Okay.
23
24 A. We need to be more.
25 Q. All right.
0054
1 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we would tender this
2 Exhibit.
THE COURT: [inaudible] Let me have it, please.
3
4 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor. No objection, Your Honor.
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: Defense Exhibit -- I guess it would be Duncan 1.
7

8 THE COURT: Duncan 1. [inaudible], admitted. Go
9 ahead.
10
11 CROSS-EXAMINATION
OF MARILYN MARKS
12
13
BY MS. BURWELL:
14
15 Q. Ms. Marks, are you familiar with the
process for disabled voting on Georgia's DRE
16
17 machines?
18 A. I'm generally familiar with it. I've never
tested it myself as I have in other states.
19
20 Q. And so, what is your understanding of the
process?
21
22 A. To make sure I understand your question,
are you asking about an in-person disabled voter who
23
24 needs to use the assistive devices, is that what
25 you're --
0055
1 Q. Yes.
2 A. -- asking me?
Q. Yes.
3
4 A. Okay. So I think that the process
generally is that the disabled voter can identify
5
6 themselves as needing the assistive device and the
poll worker ushers to a device, make sure they know
7
8 how to use it, and it's generally a vision-impaired
9 voter who would need the type of assistive device
10 that Georgia polls use and that they begin to mark
11 the ballots generally through a pad as they are
listening to what's called an audio ballot.
12
13 Q. So, are you familiar with how the actual
machine is set up for a disabled voter?
14
15 A. I am.
Q. Okay. And how would you be familiar with
16
17 that?
18 A. I've set one up before. Not in Georgia,
but just like them in other locations and I've tested
19
20 audio in other locations on the machine.
Q. So, are you familiar with what happens if
21
22 it is in disabled mode and someone uses it who is not
disabled?
23
24 A. Generally nothing very different happens,
25 not supposed to. Those machines are used frequently,
0056

1 particularly when there are long lines. Those
2 machines are used frequently by voters in the
standard mode.
3
4 Q. When you say, are used by voters in the
standard mode, you mean a disabled voter?
5
6 A. No. I meant that while they do have the
DREs with assistive devices, that they are not -- any
7
8 voter can, and they're not required to use the
9 assistive device.
10 Q. So, my question is, if -- and for a Georgia
11 machine it is set up for using an assistive device,
but the person doesn't need it -- my question is, do
12
13 you know what happens on the machine? Do you know if
it reveals anything or do you know what the machine
14
15 will show under those circumstances?
A. I don't think it shows if it is -- operates
16
17 as I believe it does -- I don't believe it shows
18 anything different than it does -- than a normal
standard DRE setup does.
19
20 Q. Okay.
MR. BURWELL: Thank you.
21
22 THE WITNESS: Uh-huh.
23
24 RE-DIRECT EXAMINATION
25 OF MARILYN MARKS
0057
1
2 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Ms. Marks, if you would turn to Duncan 1, I
3
4 have a follow-up question for you.
A: I need a copy of it.
5
6 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, would you like my copy?
THE COURT: That's all right. I have it. But I
7
8 need to keep it in the stack.
9 BY MR. BROWN:
10 Q. Ms. Marks, your Tweet, which has been
11 identified as -- and introduced into evidence as
Duncan Number 1. In that you state, the state and
12
13 counties are opposing us at every turn in the court.
Do you see that?
14
15 A. I do.
Q. How has the Secretary opposed your efforts
16
17 in this matter?
18 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, objection to relevance.
Those have all been issues that have been raised to the
19

20 Court and by their very able attorney, Mr. Brown. He's raised motions and you have denied each and every one of
21
22 those motions. And so --
THE COURT: Mr. Brown, why is that relevant to the
23
24 election contest we're trying right now? That's all we're
25 trying, is the election contest.
0058
1 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, Mr. Lindsey opened the door
2 by introducing this into evidence in an effort to smear Ms. Marks and I'm --
3
4 THE COURT: He's not talking -- let's -- you know, I told you the other day about inflammatory language. He's
5
6 not trying to smear. He's trying to challenge her credibility.
7
8 MR. BROWN: Sure.
9 THE COURT: That's a whole -- that's a different
10 thing.
11 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. It was -- it was not my intention to smear Mr. Lindsey, I assure you.
12
13 THE COURT: I understand.
MR. BROWN: And -- thank you. I have no further
14
15 questions. Oh, well, I do. I'm sorry. If I may, Your Honor.
16
17 THE COURT: Go ahead.
18 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. HAVA. Do you know what HAVA is?
19
20 A. I do.
Q. And what does it stand for?
21
22 A. The Help America Vote Act.
Q. And are the -- is the examination of --
23
24 from Ms. Burwell, did that relate to that federal
25 law?
0059
1 MR. LINDSAY: Your Honor, I'll object. That calls
2 for a legal conclusion.
MR. BROWN: Okay. Let me back up. I'll withdraw the
3
4 question.
THE COURT: [inaudible]. Sometimes it's just
5
6 rephrasing.
MR. BROWN: True. Thank you, Your Honor.
7
8 BY MR. BROWN:
9 Q. You -- is it your understanding, and this
10 is to follow up on the questions from Ms. Burwell --
11 is it your understanding that in some instances it is required to use a DRE system that has the mechanisms
12

13 for assisting disabled voters?
MR. LINDSAY: Your Honor, I'll object again. I don't
14
15 think there's a foundation laid for this question and I think we're back to a relevance objection again, as well,
16
17 that this is not relevant to the election contest.
18 THE COURT: I think -- and he's right. You've got other experts coming in, so it's really neces- -- she's
19
20 not been qualified as an expert; she's not an expert. She has a lot of information but she's not an expert. So I
21
22 think you need to wait for your experts for some of this.
MR. BROWN: That's fine, Your Honor. Thank you. I
23
24 have no further questions. Thank you, Ms. Marks.
25 THE COURT: You may go down. Next witness?
0060
1 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, just to confirm, we are
2 excusing Ms. Marks -- is Ms. Marks excused so she can remain in the courtroom?
3
4 THE COURT: Yes. She is excused.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
5
6
[Thereupon, the testimony of the witness concluded at
7
8 10:25 a.m.]
9
10 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the plaintiffs would call
11 Sara LeClerc.
THE COURT: You'll have to go get her. We -- we only
12
13 see to have one deputy so you'll have to get her, or have someone go get her.
14
15 MR. BROWN: Please raise your right hand. Do you promise to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but
16
17 the truth?
18 THE WITNESS: I do.
19
20 Thereupon:
21
22 SARA LECLERC
23
24 was called as a witness by the Petitioner; and,
25 having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
0061
1
2 DIRECT EXAMINATION
OF SARA LECLERC
3
4
BY MR. BROWN:
5
6 Q. Please state your full name for the record.
A. Sara M. LeClerc.

7
8 Q. Please have a seat. Okay. Could you spell
9 your last name for the court reporter, please?
10 A. Absolutely. It's L-E- capital C-L-E-R-C.
11 Q. Is it LeClerc; is that correct?
A. It's LeClerc, but --
12
13 Q. LeClerc.
A. -- [inaudible] doesn't matter.
14
15 Q. Ms. LeClerc, by whom are you currently
employed?
16
17 A. I work for myself. I'm an attorney and I -
18 -
THE COURT: I'm sorry.
19
20 THE WITNESS: I just work for myself. And I'm an
attorney and I -- so I work on a contract basis for other
21
22 firms.
BY MR. BROWN:
23
24 Q. And where did you go to law school?
25 A. The University of Virginia.
0062
1 Q. Okay. And when did you graduate?
2 A. 2007.
Q. And did there come a time that you
3
4 participated in the 2018 elections in any way?
A. Yes.
5
6 Q. And what was your role?
A. Well, my first role I would say other --
7
8 well, other than actually in the election as a
9 citizen, I volunteered to observe, be a poll watcher,
10 a poll observer, so.
11 Q. And with whom did you volunteer? Was it an
organization that --
12
13 A. Yeah. I went to a training with the
Georgia Democrats.
14
15 Q. And did you end up observing any election?
A. Yes, I did.
16
17 Q. And where did you -- where were you?
18 A. Well, I did some early voting observations,
as well as Election Day, and then also the runoff
19
20 Election Day in December. So I was at different
locations for each of those days.
21
22 Q. And on November 7th, where were you
located?
23
24 A. It was November 6th.
25 Q. November 6th, I'm sorry.

0063
1 A. And November 6th I was at Allen Temple AME
2 Church.
THE COURT: Which one?
3
4 A. Allen Temple AME. It's on Joseph Boone
Boulevard in Atlanta.
5
6 Q. And in the course of being an observer, do
you take contemporaneous notes of what you are
7
8 observing?
9 A. Yes. So, if something happens, it's not
10 just a perfectly smooth process, then I use my iPhone
11 and I have an app at the direction of to an LBJ
reporting tool. So I took notes directly to the
12
13 iPhone and website.
Q. And what does LBJ stand for, if you know?
14
15 In this instance.
A. Probably Lyndon Baines Johnson.
16
17 Q. Okay.
18 A. I believe it was named after him, given
[inaudible].
19
20 Q. Okay. And did you, in fact, enter your
notes and observations when you were at the AME
21
22 location on November 6th?
A. Yeah, I did; well, multiple times
23
24 throughout that day.
25 MR. BROWN: And I'm going to hand you a -- an Exhibit
0064
1 and let me -- if I may explain this to counsel.
2
[Thereupon, the referred-to document was entered into
3
4 evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 2]
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible].
MR. BROWN: Understood. Put it on the record while I
7
8 hand it to you because it's hard to read.
9 MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible] with my glasses.
10 BY MR. BROWN:
11 Q. And what I've handed to counsel is a large-
print version for Mr. Lindsey, like the books you get
12
13 from Amazon, and then the actual Excel spreadsheet,
which is too small even for me to read, and you could
14
15 just see that these blocks were copied onto this.
MR. LINDSEY: I understand. Which one do I get?
16
17 Both of these?
18 MR. BROWN: This is what I'm using as evidence.
MR. LINDSEY: Okay. Do I have a copy of that?

19
20 MR. BROWN: And you have that so you can verify it.
MR. LINDSEY: For the record, Your Honor, I had the
21
22 Lasix surgery. I can still read this.
THE COURT: This one? This is P-2?
23
24 MR. BROWN: This is P-2, Your Honor.
25 BY MR. BROWN:
0065
1 Q. Now, Ms. LeClerc, the notes that you take
2 on the LBJ system appear on what looks like an Excel
spreadsheet; is that correct? And that is not what's
3
4 in front of you. That's what I handed to Mr.
Lindsey.
5
6 A. I have the large print of what you said is
the Excel spreadsheet.
7
8 Q. And does that appear to be a large-print
9 version of the very small print on the Excel
10 spreadsheet?
11 A. Yes.
Q. And does the Excel spreadsheet have a true
12
13 and correct recording of the notes that you took on
the LBJ system as you were observing things in the
14
15 AME voting location?
A. Yes. All of the notes that start with Sara
16
17 LeClerc, that's -- those notes are all on, yes.
18 Q. And if it's someone else -- excuse me. And
if it's someone else, their name would appear, like,
19
20 Benjamin Thorpe [ph]; correct?
A. Right. There were maybe one or two notes
21
22 by a different person and their name appears next to
those notes.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would like to introduce
25 Defendant's 2 into the record. I mean, sorry. P-2 into
0066
1 the record.
2 MR. LINDSEY: If I understand it, these are
contemporaneous notes that are from your observations; is
3
4 that correct?
THE WITNESS: Yes.
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: Okay. No objection.
THE COURT: [inaudible]. Yeah. Thank you.
7
8 BY MR. BROWN:
9 Q. Now, Ms. LeClerc, the way this prints out,
10 where do we start?
11 A. You actually start at the back, so these
are my first pages and my last.

12
13 Q. Okay.
A. [inaudible].
14
15 Q. And let's just walk through your notes and
I'll ask you some questions on what you were
16
17 observing. Looking at page four --
18 A. If I can clarify that.
Q. Sure.
19
20 A. Actually these are by incidence --
Q. Okay.
21
22 A. -- so the first incident actually starts at
the bottom of page three.
23
24 Q. Okay. And what was that incident that you
25 observed?
0067
1 A. There was an issue where the Express
2 machines were to pick up their ballots. The number
that was on the machines -- well, there -- there were
3
4 two machines at this location. So, they -- the two
poll workers were comparing the machines and noticed
5
6 that one machine was a couple numbers different from
the other machine's count. So they seemed to be out
7
8 of sync and having discrepancies.
9 Q. And was that issue resolved?
10 A. Yes. That did get resolved. The poll
11 manager called in for a technical help but was told
that it would self-correct in time and it did self-
12
13 correct [inaudible].
Q. And then what was the next incident?
14
15 A. The next incident that I started taking was
that just one of the voters who came in had --
16
17 started ac- -- oh, I'm sorry.
18 Q. Could you -- I was going to ask you to
refer to your notes, so maybe we could follow along
19
20 with your observations. Was this the senior voter?
A. Yes.
21
22 Q. Okay. Turn with me to the bottom of page
two.
23
24 A. Yes.
25 Q. Are those your notes relating to that
0068
1 senior voter?
2 A. Yes.
Q. And just go ahead and explain without
3
4 looking at this what you recall. That's fine.

A. So, I noticed that -- well, a voter came in
5
6 to check in, got their ballot. She was a rather elderly lady walking on a cane, very kind, and she
7
8 went to the machine to vote. At that point, nothing
9 out of the ordinary had happened, but she started
10 turning around and asking for some help with the
11 machine.
So, we pulled to help her, to assist her. And
12
13 the two of them were at the machine for a brief time. I think the manager left and the voter continued
14
15 voting. Then she called the manager back again and the manager went back to assist. And so the two of
16
17 them were at the machine together for a little while
18 and appear to me ordinary but the manager is allowed to assist the voter if the voter asks for help.
19
20 It lasted for a little while longer than I would have anticipated and at the end of that, the voter
21
22 went down -- went over to some chairs to sit and wait, and I noticed that the manager started shutting
23
24 that DRE machine down, closing it up, and so that was
25 unusual to me and I wanted to figure out what was
0069
1 going on. Why was that machine getting closed? Was
2 there a problem?
So at first I was just observing. I didn't want
3
4 to get in the way of whatever the manager was doing. And I went over to talk to the voter. She was
5
6 actually just sitting and waiting for her ride so that she could get back to her home. So I went up to
7
8 her and asked her if everything okay. Was she able
9 to vote? And --
10 MR. LINDSEY: And, Your Honor, I'll object. Ms.
11 LeClerc's been asked [inaudible] what the voter said to be hearsay.
12
13 THE COURT: [inaudible]. She asked her, fine. Go ahead, what's next?
14
15 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Did you have a conversation with the voter?
16
17 A. I had a conversation with the voter.
18 Q. And based upon that conversation, did you have an understanding of what was happening?
19
20 THE COURT: No. Understanding what's happening is hearsay.
21
22 MR. BROWN: Okay.

THE COURT: Did you did anything as a result of it?

23

24 BY MR. BROWN:

25 Q. What did you do in response to receiving

0070

1 the information from the voter?

2 A. So, I waited for the manager to finish up what she was doing with the machine and then

3

4 approached her to ask what happened, what -- why was the machine had been closed, what was going on.

5

6 Q. And what did the manager tell you?

MR. LINDSEY: Again, I'll object, on hearsay.

7

8 MR. BROWN: That is an admission. The manager is

9 employed by the defendants. That's an admission.

10 THE COURT: Well, does Fulton County -- does Fulton

11 County have anything to say about that?

MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible].

12

13 MS. BURWELL: Well, Your Honor, the -- it is true that the poll manager would be employed by Fulton County,

14

15 but I don't believe that the poll manager is in a position to bind the County.

16

17 THE COURT: I -- I -- I agree with that, but I'm

18 going to let the witness say what she said.

MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

19

20 THE WITNESS: So, the poll manager told me that she needed to close the machine because that machine had self-

21

22 cast the voter's ballot before the voter had finished voting. And the manager told me that she was assisting

23

24 the voter on the review screen. So after you make your

25 selection to get the review screen.

0071

1 And the manager noticed that the -- there was no

2 selection made for the race for lieutenant governor and for one other race, which the manager didn't name to me.

3

4 And so she had asked the voter -- the voter intended to vote in those races. The voter said, yes --

5

6 MR. LINDSEY: That would be an objection, Your Honor. That's what the voter said.

7

8 THE COURT: That's hearsay at this point.

9 MR. LINDSEY: Yes.

10 THE COURT: But as a result of that -- I'm going to

11 let it go ahead on this one.

MR. BROWN: Okay.

12

13 THE COURT: Uh --

MR. BROWN: Go ahead, Ms. LeClerc.

14

15 THE WITNESS: So, the manager pointed to where the

lieutenant governor race was, and the voter put her finger
16
17 on the area for the lieutenant governor race selection so
18 she could vote for the lieutenant governor race. And
instead -- which is nowhere near the area for submit
19
20 ballot, but when she touched lieutenant governor, the
machine said, your ballot has been submitted and there was
21
22 nothing they could do at that point.
So, it just self-cast before the voter could actually
23
24 make her selection on the lieutenant governor or the other
25 race.
0072
1 BY MR. BROWN:
2 Q. Did you observe whether the poll officials
took that machine out of service at that time?
3
4 A. Yes. The manager did take it out of
service immediately.
5
6 Q. And then was that machine put back in
service?
7
8 A. It was put back in service later in the
9 day. Yes.
10 THE COURT: Let's -- let's take a morning break, take
11 a 10-minute break.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
12
13
[Off the record at 10:25 a.m., and back on the record
14
15 at 10:37 a.m.]
16
17 THE COURT:
18
CONTINUATION DIRECT EXAMINATION
19
20 OF SARA LECLERC
21
22 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Ms. LeClerc, returning to your testimony
23
24 about your observations at the AME Church, did you
25 take any photographs of the poll tapes when you were
0073
1 there?
2 A. Yes. I took photographs of the tops of the
poll tapes that were able to print.
3
4 Q. And did you have the opportunity to observe
any issues with the electronic polling?
5
6 A. Yes. And --
Q. And what did you see? What did you
7
8 observe?
9 A. So as far as the poll tapes were concerned

10 it's -- was my understanding that he receives at the
11 end of the night they print out a tape giving a
record of whatever votes have been cast or at least a
12
13 breakdown by races, by each race.
At this location there were five DRE machines,
14
15 but this instance one of the machines would not print
at all and the manager and workers tried many
16
17 different ways to try to get it to print. They
18 called the technical support, but they were never
able to successfully from the fifth machine. So I
19
20 was not able to observe the tape of that fifth
machine.
21
22 Q. Ms. LeClerc, what was the manager's
reaction, if any, to the difficulties that you
23
24 described that the senior voter experienced?
25 A. To me she appeared very alarmed by what had
0074
1 happened. When I spoke with her, she -- her tone of
2 voice was just kind of frantic and her -- you know,
she just with her arms and she couldn't believe that
3
4 the vote had cast because the voter's finger was
nowhere near the area for the submit ballot. So she
5
6 was just -- just incredulous to me.
Q. Did she indicate to you whether she was
7
8 going to file some sort of complaint with the
9 Secretary of State?
10 A. She did at one point that day. She said
11 she was going to -- she planned to file some
complaints because there were multiple technical
12
13 issues that day that they experienced.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, that is the last question I
14
15 have for Ms. LeClerc. I have misplaced the photographs
that I was going to have tendered to her and I will --
16
17 THE COURT: [inaudible] to put them in, [inaudible].
18 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: [inaudible].
19
20 MR. BROWN: Oh, okay.
MS. LECLERC: May -- I'm sorry; may I make --
21
22 THE COURT: No. You can't say anything else.
23
24 CROSS-EXAMINATION
25 OF SARA LECLERC
0075
1
2 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. Ms. LeClerc, your primary role that day was

3
4 to try to tabulate the votes that were being cast from Ms. Abrams, correct --
5
6 A. Uh --
Q. -- and report back to the Democratic Party;
7
8 would that be fair?
9 A. No. No. That would not be fair.
10 Q. Well, that's what you did, though, didn't
11 you?
A. That was one component of what I did but it
12
13 was not about Ms. Abrams. It was just about reporting total numbers --
14
15 Q. Oh, okay.
A. -- of votes cast, not by race or anything
16
17 like that. It wouldn't -- [inaudible] like that.
18 Q. I'm sorry. No problem. What I'm saying -- so some -- I want to make sure I understand. Your
19
20 job was to try to report back to the Democratic Party the total votes that were cast from that precinct;
21
22 correct?
A. That was one of the [inaudible].
23
24 Q. Okay. Thank you.
25 A. [inaudible].
0076
1 Q. And you reported what you understood to be
2 the total number of votes that were cast in that precinct; correct?
3
4 A. Yes, I did.
Q. Okay. Were you ever informed that there
5
6 was any kind of discrepancy with what was ultimately reported to the Secretary of State's office about the
7
8 total number of votes that were cast in -- from that
9 precinct?
10 A. I don't know what was reported to the
11 Secretary of State --
Q. Okay.
12
13 A. -- I just know what the poll workers and managers told me and what was printed on the --
14
15 Q. Okay.
A. -- four out of five tapes that printed.
16
17 Q. Okay. And you reported that -- my question
18 is, did you ever receive back word from the Democratic Party that they was a discrepancy?
19
20 A. No.
Q. Okay. Thank you. In regards to this

21
22 elderly worker from this report that you did, the elderly worker thought -- rather the elderly voter
23
24 thought that her vote had been properly cast;
25 correct?
0077
1 A. She thought it had been submitted; that her
2 ballot had been submitted.
Q. Yes. And she didn't have any objections,
3
4 correct, when you talked to her?
A. No.
5
6 Q. Let me -- I think I've asked in the form of a negative. Let me clean it up. My momma told me
7
8 never to do that. Did this voter ever report to you
9 any complaints about whether her vote had been
10 properly recorded?
11 A. No.
Q. Okay.
12
13
CROSS-EXAMINATION
14
15 OF SARA LECLERC
16
17 BY MR. TYSON:
18 Q. Good morning, Ms. LeClerc. My name's Bryan Tyson with the Gwinnett Board of Elections. I just
19
20 wanted to ask you briefly. You indicated you were a poll watcher, but later you said you were observing.
21
22 Were you a registered poll watcher or were you still an observer?
23
24 A. Yes. I was a -- I was officially appointed
25 as a, I'm not sure if it's called poll watcher or
0078
1 poll observer. But yes, I got a credential so that I
2 could be there and observe.
Q. And that was by the Democratic Party;
3
4 correct?
A. Yes.
5
6 Q. Okay. And regarding the voter that you testified about, do you know what her name was?
7
8 A. I do not.
9 Q. Okay.
10 MR. TYSON: Thank you.
11
CROSS-EXAMINATION
12
13 OF SARA LECLERC
14
15 BY MS. BURWELL:

Q. Ms. LeClerc, the Exhibit 2 that had your
16
17 notes?
18 A. Yes.
Q. Does that include the incidents -- all the
19
20 incidents you testified about today?
A. Yes. Everything I talked about today,
21
22 those incidents are in the notes.
Q. Okay. Is there anything that's not in your
23
24 notes that you found -- excuse me?
25 A. Yes -- I mean, of course there -- I had
0079
1 many observations that I didn't put in my notes, yes.
2 Q. But the things that you thought were
important were the things that you put in the notes
3
4 that are Exhibit 2?
A. That's right.
5
6 Q. Okay. Thank you.
MR. BROWN: I don't have any further questions, Your
7
8 Honor.
9 THE COURT: Okay. You may go back to your seat.
10 Thank you. Next witness?
11
[Thereupon, the testimony of the witness concluded at
12
13 _ a.m.]
14
15 MR. BROWN: The plaintiffs would call plaintiff
Jeanne Dufort.
16
17 Please raise your right hand. Do you promise to tell
18 the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?
THE WITNESS: I do.
19
20 MR. BROWN: Please have a seat.
21
22 Thereupon:
23
24 JEANNE DUFORT
25
0080
1 was called as a witness by the Petitioner; and,
2 having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
3
4 DIRECT EXAMINATION
OF JEANNE DUFORT
5
6
BY MR. BROWN:
7
8 Q. Please have a seat so we can hear you
9 through the microphone. Please state your full name
10 for the record.
11 A. My name is Jeanne Dufort.

Q. Ms. Dufort, are you a plaintiff in this
12
13 election?
A. I am.
14
15 Q. In this litigation? Where are you from?
A. I live in Madison, Georgia, in Morgan
16
17 County.
18 Q. Are you a registered elector of the State
of Georgia?
19
20 A. I am.
Q. Are you a resident of Morgan County?
21
22 A. I am.
Q. And were you entitled to vote for either
23
24 Mr. Duncan or Ms. Amico in the contested election?
25 A. Yes.
0081
1 Q. Mr. Dufort, what interest, just generally
2 and be very brief, but just to introduce yourself to
the Court. What is your interest generally in
3
4 political activities and the election activities?
A. So, when I turned 18, I did two things. I
5
6 registered to vote and I registered to donate funds.
And I've been doing both ever since. Gave --
7
8 THE COURT: [inaudible]
9 THE WITNESS: -- because I can do that, right? And
10 both of them --
11 THE COURT: It wasn't in the context. Go ahead.
THE WITNESS: Both of them lifelong habits, just
12
13 depends. Thanks to Sister Aletha, my high school
civics teacher, I became very interested in American
14
15 political science and I was a major in that at the
University of Chicago, which also while I was there as a
16
17 student was the first time, I was a poll watcher. So I
18 would say it's a lifelong habit of mine to be passionate
about moving and about the wonderful and amazing system we
19
20 have of self-governance in the United States.
BY MR. BROWN:
21
22 Q. Ms. Dufort, have you been a poll watcher in
Georgia?
23
24 A. Yes. Most recently in the 2018 general
25 election I was a registered poll watcher.
0082
1 Q. Thank you.
2 MR. BROWN: I don't have any further questions.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I have four pages of
3

4 detailed cross-examination [inaudible].
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: Just have to thank you for being a poll watcher and I apologize to the young woman for not
7
8 thanking her for being a poll watcher as well. That's
9 hard work, all.
10 THE COURT: I assume you're just trying to establish
11 qualifications as planned.
MR. BROWN: That's correct.
12
13 THE COURT: Okay.
MALE: [inaudible]
14
15 MS. BURWELL: Nothing for Fulton County.
THE COURT: Okay.
16
17 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
18
[Thereupon, the testimony of the witness concluded at
19
20 11:41 a.m.]
21
22 MR. BROWN: As long as you stipulate that they are voters and they voted in the election. That's all
23
24 [inaudible].
25 We're going to enter into a stipulation, Your Honor,
0083
1 to accelerate this and that is that the plaintiffs are
2 registered voters, that they were entitled to vote in the November 6th election for the contested election and that
3
4 would satisfy -- it would eliminate the need to call the other -- thank you, Your Honor.
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: No objection
THE COURT: And does Gwinnett agree with that --
7
8 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
9 THE COURT: Fulton agree with that?
10 MR. BROWN: Thank you.
11 THE COURT: Yeah. They have to be. I understand.
MR. BROWN: Just a short break, Your Honor.
12
13 THE COURT: Okay. Can we go forward, please?
MR. BROWN: The plaintiffs will call Chris Brill and
14
15 we're going to get him now.
THE COURT: Yeah. [inaudible] go do that.
16
17 [inaudible].
18 MR. BROWN: Please raise your right hand. Do you promise to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but
19
20 the truth?
THE WITNESS: I do.
21
22 MR. BROWN: Please have a seat.

23
24 Thereupon:
25
0084
1 CHRISTOPHER BRILL
2
was called as a witness by the Petitioner; and,
3
4 having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
5
6 DIRECT EXAMINATION
OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL
7
8
9 BY MR. BROWN:
10 Q. Please state your name for the record.
11 A. Christopher Brill.
Q. And Mr. Brill, by whom are you currently
12
13 employed?
A. A company called TargetSmart. TargetSmart.
14
15 Q. And have you been engaged by the plaintiffs
to give expert advice in this matter?
16
17 A. Yes.
18 Q. I would like to go through your background
a little bit and your experience, if I may. Where
19
20 did you graduate from college?
A. University of New Mexico.
21
22 Q. And what did you study?
A. Political science.
23
24 Q. And what have you been doing since
25 graduating from University of New Mexico?
0085
1 A. I'm working mostly with political
2 consulting, so working with political campaigns,
nonprofits, activist organizations on electoral
3
4 strategies and how to reach hard-to-reach
electorates.
5
6 Q. And have you -- you've at TargetSmart since
graduating?
7
8 A. No, I have not. Just for the past six
9 years.
10 Q. Okay. And to get a little better idea of
11 your actual work experience, describe the business of
TargetSmart.
12
13 A. So, TargetSmart puts together -- our core
business is putting together databases, such as
14
15 individual voter -- voter-level databases using the
statewide voter files, election results, other types
16

17 of publicly available information, consumer data, to
18 try to build advice and help clients determine how to
target voters, how to reach voters, and how to engage
19
20 in local campaigns. So that's kind of our main
business focus.
21
22 Q. And describe who your clients would be or
the different categories of clients.
23
24 A. So, clients could be (C) -- you know (C)(3)
25 or (C)(4) organizations so that our nonprofits or
0086
1 other organizations. They could political committees
2 such as national party committees. They could also
be other nonprofits. So kind of a range of -- from
3
4 nonprofits to political campaigns and the like.
Q. And describe, if you will, a -- the kind of
5
6 work that you would do for a nonprofit or a political
party, let's say, or political organization, and go
7
8 through exactly what you, Chris Brill, would do if
9 you could.
10 A. Yes. So if a political party or candidate,
11 you know, approached us, usually it's about they want
to study the election they're about to run in and,
12
13 you know, how they want -- how to go about trying to
get to essentially a win. So we go in and -- myself.
14
15 I'll analyze the election.
I will see what happened the past, how many
16
17 votes were cast in the past; you know, how different
18 candidates perform and then we usually try to -- we
call it election math, essentially, so we try to, you
19
20 know, game out, okay, this is what's happened before,
this is what we think is likely to happen in this
21
22 next election, and so let's develop a strategy to
kind of get you potentially to win.
23
24 So we use the voter file. We use election
25 results. We use other types of modeling and other
0087
1 strategies to try to help them be as efficient as
2 they can with the resources usually limited and how
they can go about trying to be successful, and so on.
3
4 Q. Mr. Brill, do you have any experience
evaluating the voting trends among various
5
6 demographics?
A. Yes.
7
8 Q. And do you have -- describe that
9 experience.

10 A. Yes. So generally it usually breaks down.
11 We look at individual -- different individual demographics and trends. So, for instance, we'll
12
13 look at everything from a voter's age to race, gender, ethnicity. We use polling to try to tease
14
15 out, you know, where our voters kind of coming down on an issue or candidate based on those demographics.
16
17 We'll also use the geographic information that's
18 available so, you know, whatever comes in from the census. There's also election results and try to
19
20 package all that together into a strategy that they could use to try and contact these specific voters in
21
22 certain areas. And again, it's really about trying to find these deficiencies because there's a lot of
23
24 voters and trying to figure out which ones you want
25 to try to engage with and how to engage with them.
0088
1 Q. And I take it in your experience you have
2 experience analyzing voting trends; correct?
A. Yes.
3
4 Q. Do you have experience -- have you ever been an expert witness before?
5
6 A. I have not, no.
Q. Okay. Have you -- do you have experience
7
8 in evaluating voting participation?
9 A. Yes.
10 Q. Okay. And what is that experience?
11 A. Generally, that will -- we'll look at, you know, past turnout trends. So we'll see, you know,
12
13 what does turnout look like in previous elections. We will look at things like drop-off, especially for
14
15 our clients that are down-ticket to try to determine -- you know, how to mitigate drop-off if you can, and
16
17 we'll work with, you know, trying to, you know, use
18 again, the voter file, kind of geographic data to kind of craft -- you know, look at the trends that
19
20 especially, you know, demographically is also politically to try to see, you know, how leverage
21
22 those trends to be, again, successful in their campaign and for everyone.
23
24 Q. Let me take one piece of that and ask you
25 another question on it. Did you say that you might
0089
1 be engaged by a down-ballot candidate?
2 A. Yes.

Q. And is one of the concerns they would have
3
4 being under-voting? Is that -- might be a concern?
A. Correct. Yes.
5
6 Q. Okay. And would your work involve
evaluating why people don't vote for a particular
7
8 race if they are voting at all?
9 A. Correct. Yes.
10 Q. Okay.
11 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would like to tender Mr.
Brill as an expert witness on voting trends and on reasons
12
13 for under-voting and for his evaluation of specific
results of the 2018 election.
14
15 MR. TYSON: I'd like to ask more questions of Mr.
Brill, if I may.
16
17 THE COURT: Yes. Go ahead.
18 MR. TYSON: Good morning, Mr. Brill. My name is
Bryan Tyson. I represent the Gwinnett County Board of
19
20 Registrations and Elections. I just had a couple of
questions for you.
21
22
CROSS-EXAMINATION
23
24 OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL
25
0090
1 BY MR. TYSON:
2 Q. You were explaining earlier to Mr. Brown
about your role with voting trends and those kinds of
3
4 things. Have you ever had any study or done any
analysis of ballot design?
5
6 A. Ballot design? No.
Q. Have you had any experience in election
7
8 administration in terms of you personally helping
9 administer an election?
10 A. No.
11 Q. Do you have any knowledge of DRE machines
in Georgia or how they function technically?
12
13 A. No.
Q. Okay. So it's correct, isn't it, you have
14
15 no firsthand knowledge of any DRE machine in the
state of Georgia that was used in the 2018 general
16
17 election; correct?
18 A. Correct.
Q. Okay.
19
20 MR. TYSON: All right, Your Honor, at this time we

would -- Gwinnett County would object mostly on those
21
22 questions I'd asked first.
THE COURT: Anybody else got any questions?
23
24 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, we object to Mr. Brill's --
25 THE COURT: No, I understand that. Let me do --
0091
1 MR. TYSON: Yes. I'm sorry.
2 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, just a couple questions.
3
4 CROSS-EXAMINATION
OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL
5
6
BY MR. LINDSEY:
7
8 Q. You've never worked on a campaign in
9 Georgia; is that correct?
10 A. Like a candidate campaign?
11 Q. Yeah.
A. No.
12
13 Q. Okay.
MR. LINDSEY: No further questions.
14
15 MS. BURWELL: I have no questions.
THE COURT: Okay.
16
17 MS. BURWELL: Okay.
18 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, we do object to Mr. Brill's
admission as an expert on this case. First of all, on the
19
20 issue of voting trends, that has nothing to -- under
702(b) facts and issues of the case; voting trends are not
21
22 an issue in this case.
As to -- in terms of the reasons for the under-vote,
23
24 Mr. Brill just testified that he has no experience in
25 terms of ballot design, election administration, what's
0092
1 going on with the DREs; and as to the specific results of
2 this particular under-vote we would, on the same issue,
that he has no experience that's relevant to the Court to
3
4 determine the issue of this particular case.
THE COURT: Anyone else at this time? Nope? Okay.
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: We would join in the objection, Your
Honor.
7
8 MR. BROWN: Yeah, Your Honor, the argument that Mr.
9 Tyson made was not about what he's being tendered as an
10 expert in. In that end, I have established that he does
11 have expertise in what he's going to testify about. And
it is abundantly clear under Georgia law that he should be
12
13 qualified as a witness to both discuss the actual election
results and what possible reasons for the under-vote there

14
15 might be. And it may go to wait, but it certainly does not go to admissibility. Thank you, Your Honor.
16
17 THE COURT: I'm not going to qualify him as an
18 expert. I don't think that's an area of expertise. And I'm having trouble with that. He's going to say why the
19
20 numbers were this way and what could have happened. An expert usually says what has happened, and I'm not going
21
22 to let you qualify him as an expert. You can ask him questions and see where we go.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Sure. I'm going to ask you some
25 questions about what has happened.
0093
1
2 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL
3
4
BY MR. BROWN:
5
6 Q. You with me, Mr. Brill?
A. Yes.
7
8 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, this is a borderline issue
9 and it may be rearguing and if it is, I apologize, but I -
10 - this is an important witness to us and an important line
11 of inquiry.
We would be asking Mr. Brill to explain possible
12
13 causes for under-voting and the point of that testimony is to rebut the -- is to address the issues of why other than
14
15 machine malfunction there might be for an under-vote. And he has testified that he advises clients on that issue and
16
17 that that is something that he is knowledgeable about.
18 THE COURT: Years ago I was trying a case in front of old Judge [inaudible], he was quite a character, and me
19
20 and the DA -- I was defending -- me and the DA were going at it and he goes, doesn't a judge get time to think?
21
22 Give me time to think about this one a little bit. Let me -- and make sure I understand you. He wants to put
23
24 up a bunch of ideas about why there was an under-vote.
25 And you think that's an expertise?
0094
1 MR. BROWN: Based upon his experience and his
2 knowledge about what to address, the possible areas of under-voting, of why it would be under-voting and why it
3
4 might not be based upon his knowledge and experience.
THE COURT: Well, I don't see that he has any
5
6 expertise. I'm having trouble with that. You know, he's

done some things and he's got some ideas; that doesn't
7
8 make him an expert. He doesn't have any training; he
9 doesn't have any background; he doesn't have -- I'll let
10 you ask the questions and we'll see where we go.
11 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Uh-huh.
12
13 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Did you review the results of the 2018
14
15 election in Georgia?
A. Yes.
16
17 Q. And describe for the Court where you looked
18 at and what you reviewed.
A. Yeah. So I examined the totals that were
19
20 cast for eight ticket races that were on the ballot
from governor down to I believe commissioner of
21
22 labor. And then I examined the under-vote that took
place for each of those races to try to see, you
23
24 know, what that trend looked like and that's when I
25 first saw, you know, the outlier and the anomaly that
0095
1 was the lieutenant governor's vote totals.
2 So what my analysis kind of focused on was
trying to figure out exactly what -- where that
3
4 under-vote came from, what counties, why -- you know,
why vote the way it did and what kind of reasons, you
5
6 know, that could possibly, you know, entail for it.
Q. And the information that you received came
7
8 from the Secretary of State's databases; is that
9 right?
10 A. Correct. Yes.
11 Q. And in the course of your work, do you
sometimes also review data from other states?
12
13 A. Correct. Uh-huh.
Q. And do you also review historical data,
14
15 like say, from Georgia?
A. Yes.
16
17 Q. And did you look at the Secretary of
18 State's information in Georgia on older Georgia
races?
19
20 A. Yes.
Q. And did you compare the voting trends and
21
22 patterns between this race and prior Georgia races in
other states, lieutenant governor races?
23
24 A. Yes.

25 Q. And is that the kind of work that you do
0096
1 for a living also for your clients?
2 A. Yes.
Q. And does that require you to look at
3
4 various databases and to sift a lot of different
information in a systematic way?
5
6 A. Yes.
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, Mr. Brown is leading the
7
8 witness on a lot of these points and he's testifying. I
9 think he needs to ask questions that are directly
10 addressing the witness.
11 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Brill, in your experience, have you
12
13 developed an expertise or understanding of reasons
for under-voting?
14
15 A. Yes.
Q. And without disclosing the reasons for
16
17 under-voting can you testify as to how you gained
18 that experience and expertise?
A. By examining thousands of elections across
19
20 all 50 states.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would like to retender Mr.
21
22 Brill as an expert on under-voting and the particular
reasons for under-voting, and how his understanding of
23
24 under-voting relates to what he's observed in the results
25 which are in evidence of the Georgia election.
0097
1 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, we'll renew our objection on
2 this point as to Mr. Brill; that he certainly could have
looked at a lot of numbers, but numbers alone are not
3
4 going to tell you why something is happening and I think
that's what Mr. Brown is trying to get at as to Mr.
5
6 Brill's opinion about why and he has not shown Mr. Brill
has expertise from just looking at various numbers on that
7
8 point.
9 MR. LINDSEY: I'll also add to that objection, Your
10 Honor. There's been no testimony whatsoever that this
11 individual has any intimate knowledge regarding the
details of this particular race that took place;
12
13 therefore, he would not be qualified to be a --
THE COURT: I'm not going to qualify him as an
14
15 expert. I mean, the reasons why, I mean, there are some
pretty obvious simple statements in any race, but I have
16
17 trouble with reasons why. You can testify to numbers and

18 all that kind of thing. I don't see -- reasons why, this isn't going. Next question.
19
20 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: P-3?
21
22 MR. BROWN: Yes.
BY MR. BROWN:
23
24 Q. Mr. Brill, is P-3 your affidavit?
25 A. I'm sorry?
0098
1 Q. Is P-3 your -
2 MR. BROWN: Well, Plaintiff's Exhibit 3 your affidavit?
3
4
[Thereupon, the referred-to document was entered into
5
6 evidence as Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 3]
7
8 THE WITNESS: Oh, I -- yes.
9 BY MR. BROWN:
10 Q. And without disclosing any contents yet --
11 MR. TYSON: Counsel, could I get a copy?
MR. BROWN: Oh, I'm very sorry. Sorry about that.
12
13 THE COURT: Let me cycle the record to everybody. Various people made proffers of evidence; I did not look
14
15 at any of them. Everything should come in the courtroom.
BY MR. BROWN:
16
17 Q. Mr. Brill, without disclosing the contents
18 of it yet, at the plaintiff's request you prepared a report on the analysis of the 2018 Georgia lieutenant
19
20 governor under-vote; correct?
A. Correct.
21
22 Q. And in the course of that you observed certain numbers that came from the Secretary of
23
24 State; correct?
25 A. Correct.
0099
1 Q. And did you analyze those -- well, did you
2 present those numbers on page two of your report to the Coalition?
3
4 A. Yes. I did.
Q. Okay. Let me refer you to Table 1, which
5
6 is entitled, Total 2018 Under-Vote by Office; do you see that?
7
8 A. Yes.
9 Q. Does that -- is that table an accurate
10 report of information from the Secretary of State's
11 website?

A. Yes.

Q. And it shows -- and just explain in terms of your terminology these columns. The first column is the name of the race; correct?

A. Correct.

Q. The second one is the total number of votes that that race attracted; correct?

A. Correct.

Q. And the third is what you call the under-vote; is that right?

A. Yes.

Q. And here -- the way you do it, the under-vote is a comparison between the particular race and the governor's race; is that --

0100

MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, if I could object. Mr. Brill can testify to what these terms mean instead of Mr. Brown explaining those and he's the leading the witness again on that.

MR. BROWN: Sure. I'll withdraw the question. I'm just trying to speed it up, Your Honor.

BY MR. BROWN:

Q. Mr. Brill, what is the under-vote -- what is the calculation for the under-vote?

A. I calculated it by comparing the total that was cast for the governor's election and comparing that against the total that was cast for every other election [inaudible].

Q. Is the under-vote different than the under-vote of the total number of people who voted?

A. It would be, yes. Yes.

Q. Is that because some people don't even vote for a governor?

A. Correct.

Q. Okay. Do you have an understanding of how many, just in general terms, people in this election did not vote for governor?

A. I would have to double check, but I think it was something along the lines of 10 to 15,000, maybe. I don't have an exact number in front of me.

0101

Q. Fair to say it would be a small portion of even 1 percent?

A. It's a fraction of a percent.

4 Q. And then in the last column it says,
dropout versus governor; do you see that?
5
6 A. Yes.
Q. And that would reflect the percent -- what
7
8 does that -- what is that calculation?
9 A. So that calculation again is just taking
10 the under-vote and -- as the percentage of the total
11 cast for governor. So, example, lieutenant governor,
the under-vote was about 4 percent lower than the
12
13 total that was cast for governor; and then secretary
of state, 1.4 percent and so forth. It's just taking
14
15 the under-vote number there and dividing it by the
total votes cast for governor.
16
17 Q. Let me direct your -- you testified earlier
18 that you reviewed data on the Secretary of State's
website relating to prior elections in Georgia; is
19
20 that correct?
A. Yes.
21
22 Q. And are those presented in Table 2?
A. Yes, they are.
23
24 Q. And if you would just describe to the Court
25 what those rows and columns show.
0102
1 A. Yes. So, it's again the same calculation
2 as in Table 1, except looking at elections from 2002
to 2018, and so essentially in calculating that
3
4 under-vote percentage for each of those races across
election -- across each election cycle up to 2018.
5
6 Q. And which has the highest under-vote total?
A. The 2018 lieutenant governor's race.
7
8 Q. And looking at the four prior races, have
9 you calculate the average under-vote for the
10 lieutenant governor's race for those four years?
11 A. Yes. It was around 0.8 percent.
Q. And can you do in your head -- doing the
12
13 math it would be necessary to determine what the
under-vote number would be if the historical trend of
14
15 .8 were applied today?
A. If you have a percentage, the under-vote
16
17 would be around 31,532 -- thousand votes on under-
18 vote assumption.
THE COURT: Say that again. Someone coughed.
19
20 THE WITNESS: 31,532, I believe. In that range. I
can double check exactly -- that exact amount.
21

22 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. And so rather than the under-vote being --
23
24 let me direct your attention back up to Table 1.
25 A. Uh-huh.
0103
1 Q. Rather than the under-vote being 159,024,
2 if it had tracked the historical trend, it would be
that number less 31,000-something; correct?
3
4 A. Correct, yeah.
Q. Okay.
5
6 A. Given all the -- what we know about
elections, yes. That would be correct.
7
8 Q. Okay. Now, did you review data from other
9 states lieutenant governor elections?
10 A. I did, yes.
11 Q. And is -- does Chart 1 on the next page of
your affidavit show that information?
12
13 A. Yes.
Q. That chart --
14
15 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, did I neglect to give you a
copy of this affidavit?
16
17 THE COURT: No. That's not in evidence.
18 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. The long bar here is from California?
19
20 A. Correct.
Q. And did you do research to determine why
21
22 California had a much higher under-vote percentage?
A. Yes.
23
24 Q. And did you -- and what did you find?
25 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object. Mr. Brill's
0104
1 going to be testifying to why California had a higher
2 under-vote percentage. I think under your prior
explanation of terms in the opinion that's not an
3
4 appropriate area for him to testify to.
THE COURT: Sustained.
5
6 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Brill, I'm not going to ask you about
7
8 why California looked that way. My next question is,
9 apart from California, what state had the highest
10 under-vote total?
11 A. Georgia.
Q. Let me direct your attention to the next
12
13 page of your analysis.
Did you have the opportunity to compare the
14

15 under-voting in the Georgia race between votes that were cast electronically on the one hand in votes
16
17 that were cast on paper?
18 A. Yes.
Q. And where did you get that information
19
20 from?
A. From the Secretary of State's website.
21
22 They make that county-level canvas available that I use.
23
24 Q. Okay. And does Chart 2, on the next page
25 of your report, show the under-vote percentage by
0105
1 office and vote method?
2 A. It does.
Q. And if you would just explain to the Court,
3
4 first for the lieutenant governor, what those bars show.
5
6 A. So, I had to look at three here. The first was Election Day under-vote and what that shows is
7
8 that lieutenant governor was about 4.5 percent rate
9 of under-vote. Advanced voting of the early vote
10 person was around 3.9 percent. But then absentee
11 voting was down to 1 percent.
Q. And is your understanding that advanced
12
13 voting is electronic voting?
A. That's my understanding, yes.
14
15 Q. And so looking at the -- these bars, paper voting had only a 1 percent under-vote; is that
16
17 correct?
18 A. Yes.
Q. But the electronic had over 4 percent
19
20 under-vote; is that correct?
A. Correct.
21
22 Q. Okay. Did you see that same -- those same types of numbers when you reviewed the Secretary of
23
24 State race or the attorney general race?
25 A. I did not.
0106
1 Q. And what did you find when you reviewed
2 those?
A. When I reviewed those, I found that the
3
4 under-vote percentages were relatively even across all those methods.
5
6 THE COURT: Say that again. Way too fast.
THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I found that the under-vote

7
8 was relatively consistent percentage-wise across all three
9 methods of voting when you look at Secretary of State,
10 attorney general.
11 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. And so, you don't -- to make sure I'm clear
12
13 on this. So you don't see the disparity between
paper votes and electronic votes in the Secretary of
14
15 State's race or the attorney general's race that you
saw in the lieutenant governor's race; is that
16
17 correct?
18 MR. TYSON: Objection, Your Honor. Leading.
THE COURT: Rephrase. Sometimes when there's an
19
20 objection you don't bother responding and I -- on the
record it looks like I didn't give you a chance to speak.
21
22 Well, I'm giving you a chance to speak; okay?
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I'll withdraw the question.
23
24 THE COURT: Okay.
25 BY MR. BROWN:
0107
1 Q. Let's go through these individual numbers,
2 Mr. Brill. The Secretary of State's office, what was
the Election Day percent under-vote?
3
4 A. 1.5 percent.
Q. What was the advanced voting percentage
5
6 under-vote for the Secretary of State?
A. 1.3 percent.
7
8 Q. And then what was the absentee voting
9 percent?
10 A. 1.4 percent.
11 Q. And then for the attorney general, what was
the under-vote for Election Day?
12
13 A. 2.2 percent.
Q. What was the percentage for advanced
14
15 voting?
A. 1.8 percent.
16
17 Q. And what was the percentage for absentee
18 voting?
A. 1.7 percent.
19
20 Q. And just to review for the record to make
sure I have it in there, on the lieutenant governor's
21
22 race, what was the percentage for Election Day
voting?
23
24 A. 4.5.
25 THE COURT: 4.5 percent. 3.9 percent, 1 percent.

0108
1 Got it.
2 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Paying attention.
3
4 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. Thank you, Your Honor.
5
6 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Brill, in your experience have you seen
7
8 a voting pattern ever before that looks like the
9 voting pattern in the vote for the lieutenant
10 governor's race in Georgia?
11 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object that Mr. Brill is not qualified as an expert on this.
12
13 THE COURT: He's not qualified as an expert, Mr. Brown. I'm not -- he's putting numbers -- he can put in
14
15 the numbers. There's other things you can argue.
MR. BROWN: May I ask him what numbers he's never
16
17 seen that resemble this, Your Honor.
18 THE COURT: Well, just -- just watch where you go. I mean, you ask the questions, I'll rule as we go.
19
20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I'll ask this.
BY MR. BROWN:
21
22 Q. And Mr. Brill, don't answer, because there
may be an objection. Have you seen numbers in your
23
24 review of all of the races that you've reviewed in
25 the course of your work that resemble the pattern
0109
1 seen in Georgia, ever?
2 MR. TYSON: And Your Honor, I'll object on that point, as we haven't laid a foundation for any --
3
4 THE COURT: We're dealing with this -- this race. I'm not dealing with California, Nevada, wherever. I'm
5
6 dealing with Georgia.
MR. BROWN: And I would like to ask a question for
7
8 the record.
9 BY MR. BROWN:
10 Q. Were you able to reach any conclusions
11 about whether the data that you reviewed cast a doubt
over the accuracy of the final vote? And don't
12
13 answer yet.
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, objection for the same reason
14
15 that was stated.
THE COURT: I mean, you got the information from the
16
17 Secretary of State. Whether it's valid or not; who knows?
18 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, let me --

THE COURT: He -- he got the number [inaudible] that

what's he testified to. So I'm taking the numbers.

MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor. The reason for asking

it is that it is, in my view, it is probative and it goes to weight as to whether this witness based upon his

experience believes that the numbers cast doubt upon the election. That's the reason for asking.

0110

THE COURT: No. He can't -- he can't say that one way or the other. That's -- that's -- can be my decision, I'm afraid. Okay.

MR. BROWN: Your Honor, if I may have just a --

THE COURT: Surely.

MR. BROWN: -- minute.

BY MR. BROWN:

Q. Mr. Brill, have you had the opportunity to review the voting numbers that appear in the brief that was filed by Defendant Duncan in this case?

A. I believe so, yes.

THE COURT: Didn't you stipulate that at the last

hearing?

MR. BROWN: Huh?

THE COURT: Wasn't there a stipulation that those numbers were correct at the last hearing?

MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Hmm? I thought there was. I thought we --

MR. BROWN: Yes.

THE COURT: So you would -- because I remember the

statement that you wouldn't have to prove them again. So they're stipulated so you can talk about them.

MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor. Okay.

BY MR. BROWN:

0111

Q. Mr. Brill, did you identify any misleading or incorrect statements in the brief?

MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'm going to object to --

THE COURT: You stipulated, Mr. Brown.

MR. LINDSEY: I'm going to object to this.

THE COURT: You stipulated.

MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor, this is --

THE COURT: You can talk about -- okay. And the language misleading and all this kind of stuff. Are your numbers different from their numbers?

MR. LINDSEY: Yes. Your Honor, we're here today to

listen to -- to present evidence to you as to our
12
13 particular points. Both sides have delivered a brief to you beforehand. I have no intention to simply bring up
14
15 their briefs and, you know, let's stick to the evidence as presented here today. That being said --
16
17 THE COURT: Get -- get to your --
18 MR. LINDSEY: -- got my math off a little, Your Honor.
19
20 THE COURT: Get to your point.
MR. LINDSEY: Right.
21
22 THE COURT: If you will, Mr. Brown.
BY MR. BROWN:
23
24 Q. Did you have a chance to review the numbers
25 reflected in Paragraph 1 under District 4?
0112
1 THE COURT: Paragraph 1, Exhibit 4.
2 A. I don't think I have that document with me.
Q. Okay.
3
4 THE COURT: Exhibit A, you mean? You're talking about Exhibit A?
5
6 MR. BROWN: This is in their brief, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Well, let me see what I'm looking at.
7
8 [inaudible] numbers, okay. Go ahead, see where we're
9 headed.
10 BY MR. BROWN:
11 Q. Looking at brief -- do you have that in front of you?
12
13 A. I do.
THE COURT: Which brief? There are --
14
15 MR. BROWN: This is Exhibit 4. This is the response to Defendant Geoff Duncan to plaintiff's renewed discovery
16
17 demands and motion for continuance and --
18 THE COURT: That's not the one I'm looking at. I'm looking at the numbers on the back of the opposition to
19
20 plaintiff's motion for continuance.
MR. BROWN: Sorry.
21
22 THE COURT: I don't know which other set you got.
MR. BROWN: Okay. Sorry.
23
24 THE COURT: Go ahead. Ask him the question.
25 BY MR. BROWN:
0113
1 Q. Did you have an opportunity to -- if you
2 would look at that -- point number one, the impact of third-party candidates; do you see that?
3

4 A. I don't have that in front of me at the
moment. I remember going over it but that's not the
5
6 brief that's in front of me.
Q. Okay. Okay.
7
8 MR. BROWN: I don't have any further questions.
9 Thank you.
10 MR. TYSON: Very briefly, Your Honor.
11
RECROSS-EXAMINATION
12
13 OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL
14
15 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. Mr. Brill, when you looked at the historic
16
17 patterns, the numbers you testified to earlier, the
18 past Georgia races, did you look at any races for
Public Service Commission?
19
20 A. I did not, no.
Q. And you know that's a statewide race in
21
22 Georgia; correct?
A. I do, yes.
23
24 Q. Did you look at any races where Georgia had
25 a U.S. Senate race at the same time as a governor's
0114
1 race?
2 A. I did not.
Q. Thank you.
3
4 MR. LINDSEY: Just a few questions, sir.
5
6 RECROSS-EXAMINATION
OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL
7
8
9 BY MR. LINDSEY:
10 Q. Did you in any way do any review of the
11 conduct of the campaign in the closing days of the
campaign?
12
13 A. I did not.
Q. Okay. You, as someone who's been a
14
15 political consultant does, that the conduct in the
campaign can often change how voters vote in the last
16
17 days; correct?
18 A. Right.
Q. Okay. A candidate, and sometimes that
19
20 changes the numbers between early voting and the day
of the voting; correct?
21
22 A. Correct.
Q. And sometimes it can even change whether or

23
24 not voters choose to vote in a particular race on
25 Election Day that earlier voters may vote for;
0115
1 correct?
2 A. That would -- I mean, that would, I
suppose.
3
4 Q. Yeah, fair enough. That would [inaudible].
For instance, if you had a particular candidate
5
6 running for lieutenant governor as a Democrat and in
the closing days of the campaign she had several very
7
8 negative press articles about her firm, about her
9 company that she headed up, in which there was
10 allegation of racial discrimination, [inaudible]
11 racial discrimination, that would have a negative
impact on Democratic voters in particular; correct?
12
13 A. It could, yeah.
Q. It probably would dampen their enthusiasm
14
15 to vote for her; correct?
A. It could, but I don't know the context, so
16
17 I'd have to [inaudible]. It would take quite a bit
18 of effort, thought to --
Q. Okay.
19
20 A. -- [inaudible] votes.
Q. And you don't know the context because you
21
22 didn't follow the details of this race; correct?
A. Correct.
23
24 Q. Okay. And you have no knowledge as -- for
25 instance, as to tracking polling that took place in
0116
1 the closing days of the campaign; correct?
2 A. Correct.
Q. Now Ms. Amico -- now, you sort of focused
3
4 on the total number of votes that were cast. Ms.
Amico did not receive the least number of votes for a
5
6 Democrat in this -- in the 2018 -- in statewide races
in 2018; correct?
7
8 A. Correct.
9 Q. As a matter of fact, her votes were
10 somewhere in the middle of all the votes along the
11 various folks who ran statewide in Georgia who were
Democrats; correct?
12
13 A. I'd have to look into that [inaudible] --
Q. Okay.
14
15 A. -- [inaudible].
Q. All right. So -- but you didn't -- but you

16
17 are familiar that she did sort of run -- one the
18 better term, the middle one, the pack among
Democrats; correct?
19
20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, this is not relevant. The
claim is that there were under-votes for this election,
21
22 not that one particular candidate received less votes.
Obviously, it's the total number of votes that are
23
24 claimed.
25 THE COURT: He went into what the attorney general
0117
1 did, what the secretary of state, so I think -- you did,
2 so he's entitled to respond to that.
MR. LINDSEY: Yeah.
3
4 THE WITNESS: I didn't specifically analyze
performance in this analysis. It was mostly just actual
5
6 vote totals.
BY MR. LINDSEY:
7
8 Q. Okay. For instance, you're not aware that
9 the Democratic candidates for agriculture, school
10 board superintendent, Public Service Commission, and
11 commissioner of insurance, Democratic candidates all
received fewer votes than Ms. Amico in this
12
13 particular race?
A. And again, I'd have to double-check.
14
15 Q. Okay. And in fact, for two other races,
those being another Public Service Commission and
16
17 Labor Commission race, Ms. Amico was within 8,000 or
18 less votes of the Democrats in those races; are you
aware of that?
19
20 A. Again, I have to study that independent
study --
21
22 Q. Okay.
A. -- candidate performance.
23
24 Q. All right. In fact, the only races in
25 which she received fewer votes than other Democrats
0118
1 was Ms. Abrams' race, Mr. Barrow's race, and Mr.
2 Charles Bailey's race for attorney general. Are you
aware of that?
3
4 A. Again, I'd have to study --
Q. Okay.
5
6 A. -- I didn't study candidate performance.
Q. All right. Even though you haven't studied
7
8 the performance, you are aware of the fact that Ms.

9 Abrams was a very high-profile candidate for
10 governor?
11 A. Correct.
Q. Okay. And are you familiar with the fact
12
13 that Mr. Barrow was a very high-profile candidate for
Secretary of State on the Democratic side?
14
15 A. I'm not aware.
Q. Okay. Are you aware of the fact, for
16
17 instance, that he had been prior to this race a five-
18 term Congressman in Georgia?
A. I was not aware of that.
19
20 Q. Okay. And voter ID is very important in
regards to individuals -- in regards to folks --
21
22 getting folks to vote for you; correct?
A. Voter ID?
23
24 Q. Yeah.
25 A. I'm sorry. I don't quite understand that,
0119
1 the link.
2 THE COURT: Explain that application. I think you
mean one thing and he's thinking another thing.
3
4 MR. LINDSEY: Okay.
BY MR. LINDSEY:
5
6 Q. You said you looked at other races in
Georgia's past. Did you look at the number of new
7
8 voters that were -- that cast votes in 2018 versus
9 previous years?
10 A. No, I did not.
11 Q. Okay. So, are you aware of the fact that
there was almost -- where there was a -- possibly a
12
13 three-and-a-half-time increase in new voters?
A. That I -- I don't know that exact number
14
15 but that would not surprise me.
Q. Okay. And based on your experience as a
16
17 political consultant, you know, that when it comes to
18 new voter, particularly down-ballot, it takes a lot
more education to try to educate those new voters
19
20 than someone who's voted year in and year out;
correct?
21
22 A. That's right.
MR. LINDSEY: No further questions.
23
24 THE COURT: [inaudible]?
25 MS. BURWELL: No questions, Your Honor.
0120
1 [inaudible]

2 THE COURT: [inaudible]
3
4 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL
5
6
BY MR. BROWN:
7
8 Q. You testified in response to a question by
9 Mr. Lindsey, about whether you looked at the
10 lieutenant governor total in a race in which there
11 was a U.S. Senate race and there was a race in the
governor's race; do you recall that?
12
13 A. Yes.
Q. And let me direct your attention to the
14
15 year 2014 and was there a Senate -- a U.S. Senate
race in Georgia in 2014 involving David Perdue?
16
17 A. I believe so, yes.
18 Q. And what was the under-vote total in 2014?
A. Between -- I'm sorry?
19
20 Q. What was the under-vote for lieutenant
governor in 2014?
21
22 A. The percentage?
Q. Yes.
23
24 A. 0.8 percent.
25 Q. Okay. You were asked some questions, and I
0121
1 want to explore your answers to the questions on
2 cross-examination a little bit more. In particular,
you were asked to give your opinion on some matters.
3
4 Now, you were asked whether under-voting could be
caused by new voters being -- not having enough
5
6 attention on the -- about it; do you recall that
testimony?
7
8 A. Yes.
9 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object to that. I don't
10 believe that's the question Mr. Brill was asked, but the
11 question was --
THE COURT: He didn't -- he didn't phrase it that
12
13 way. I will let you ask a question --
MR. BROWN: Okay.
14
15 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. In that regard, in your experience, what
16
17 are the more common reasons for under-voting?
18 THE COURT: No. That's -- that's not the appropriate
question.
19
20 MR. TYSON: Yeah.

THE COURT: The question is about new voters. You

21

22 can ask him about new voters.

MR. BROWN: Just for the record, Your Honor, the

23

24 question called for the same type of expertise that my

25 question calls for.

0122

1 THE COURT: Sir, I said you can -- you can only go

2 into what he talked about as to new voters.

MR. BROWN: Okay.

3

4 BY MR. BROWN:

Q. Are -- do new voters sometimes vote less in

5

6 campaigns for various reasons?

A. It depends on the context of the election,

7

8 but new voters -- you know, we don't find any sort

9 of, you know, when they under-vote generally -- or it

10 can be -- for starters, we don't know exactly who

11 under-votes. I mean, I think that's point number

one. We don't know that. We don't have any way of

12

13 getting that information.

It's speculation when we see high turnout

14

15 elections and that encourages more infrequent newer

voters that come to the polls and if we see higher

16

17 rates of under-voting, that is sort of, you know,

18 something that we consider, but generally when we see

that under-vote it's very consistent, and so it

19

20 starts at one level at the top of the ballot and then

it goes down, then that under-vote kind of -- it will

21

22 increase as you go down the ballot.

I've never seen a type of under-vote where

23

24 voters, who generally don't know who these candidates

25 are anyway, decides to skip one race and then start

0123

1 voting for commission of agriculture at higher rates

2 or commissioner of insurance at higher rates. That

would be the first time I've ever seen something like

3

4 those.

Q. Thank you. You were asked by Mr. Lindsey a

5

6 number of questions of whether there were some other

candidates who had received fewer votes than Ms.

7

8 Amico; do you recall that?

9 A. Yes.

10 Q. And in fact he actually had better

11 questions to ask and one would have been, isn't it

true that the libertarian candidate got way more

12

13 votes -- way fewer votes than Ms. Amico in the

governor's race?

A. I believe so, yeah.

Q. And so is it germane to your understanding of the voting patterns that there might be some candidates that got fewer votes down ballot or up ballot if they're third-party candidates or not popular? Do you have anything to do with that?

MR. TYSON: Your Honor, gets back to the why. It gets back to the why. He can testify as to what, but he's now entering into the why again. He's trying to get his witness as an expert to the back door. He couldn't do it through the front, he shouldn't be allowed to do it

0124

through the back. [inaudible]

MR. BROWN: I'll withdraw the question, Your Honor.

BY MR. BROWN:

Q. I want to get back to the numbers because you were asked about other down ballot races by Mr. Lindsey, did you review the numbers on the state representative seats up for election in 2018?

A. I did, yes.

Q. And did -- what did you find with respect to whether -- about the voting patterns with respect to those contests?

A. So, in those contests I examined the number of votes that were cast for state representative to try to establish the baseline in an election that I know usually has, you know, high rates of under-voting. What I found in that case was that there were about a thousand precincts statewide where there were actually fewer votes cast for lieutenant governor than there were for state representative, which again is odd.

Q. Let me direct your -- well, did you --

THE COURT: [inaudible]

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I'm sorry. I'm sorry, Your Honor.

BY MR. BROWN:

0125

Q. Did you determine in how many of the precinct -- precincts the Democratic candidate for state representative received all of the votes cast?

A. One -- yeah. Yes. 137 precincts.

Q. Okay. And in those -- did you determine

6 whether in those precincts --
THE COURT: 137 precincts?
7
8 THE WITNESS: Correct. 137.
9 THE COURT: What counties?
10 THE WITNESS: Across the state.
11 THE COURT: Are those [inaudible] 137 precincts in the whole state?
12
13 THE WITNESS: No, no. There are about 137 precincts where the candidate for state representative for the
14
15 Democratic --
THE COURT: Got more votes --
16
17 THE WITNESS: Got 100 percent of the votes, which
18 goes back to my points in the first part of the paper, which generally when a race is --
19
20 THE COURT: Okay. Don't go -- don't go --
MR. BROWN: Sorry. Thanks.
21
22 THE COURT: Because that'll get [inaudible].
MR. BROWN: Okay.
23
24 BY MR. BROWN:
25 Q. Did you determine in the races for state
0126
1 representative in how many precincts the state
2 representative race got more votes than the lieutenant governor race?
3
4 A. Yes.
Q. And what did you find?
5
6 A. I found a thousand -- 1,012 precincts; 38 percent of the precincts statewide when the state
7
8 representative had more votes cast than the
9 lieutenant governor.
10 Q. Did you have the opportunity -- or did you
11 undertake to -- you were talking about other races. Did you compare the lieutenant governor race to the
12
13 race for attorney general?
A. I compared. Yes.
14
15 Q. And what did you find?
A. So in that case I found that there were
16
17 much fewer -- I'd have to go, I'd have to see the
18 exact number, but it was --
Q. Let me correct that. My question was
19
20 poorly framed.
A. 410.
21
22 Q. Did you compare the votes for state representative and attorney general?
23

24 A. Yes.
25 Q. And what did you find there?
0127
1 A. What I found there, there were about 410
2 precincts statewide where there were more votes cast
for state representative for -- than lieutenant
3
4 governor.
Q. Okay. Thank you. [inaudible]
5
6 MR. TYSON: Just briefly, Your Honor.
7
8 CROSS-EXAMINATION
9 OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL
10
11 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. Mr. Brill, you'd agree with me, wouldn't
12
13 you, that the 2018 election in Georgia was a very
high turnout election, right?
14
15 A. Yes.
Q. And I asked you a question that I had not
16
17 worded quite correctly previously. Did you in any of
18 your analyses look at a race where there was a
governor's race and no U.S. Senate race happening at
19
20 the same time, like what happened in 2018?
A. A governor's race with no U.S. Senate race?
21
22 Q. Yes.
A. I didn't look at that specifically.
23
24 Q. Okay. Mr. Brown asked you about the
25 precincts you analyzed for state representative; did
0128
1 you break out those based on the DRE machines versus
2 absentee by mail or early voting categories, or did
you look totally -- solely at precinct totals?
3
4 A. For this I looked at solely precinct
totals.
5
6 Q. Okay. Thank you.
MR. TYSON: That's all I have.
7
8 MR. LINDSEY: Just real briefly.
9
10 CROSS-EXAMINATION
11 OF CHRISTOPHER BRILL
12
13 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. You were aware that in the AG's race there
14
15 was an incumbent running; correct?
A. Yes.
16
17 Q. And in the lieutenant governor's race there
18 were two newcomers coming -- running; correct?

A. Correct.

19

20 MR. LINDSEY: No further questions.

THE COURT: Mr. Brown, anything else?

21

22 MR. BROWN: I do not have anything further.

THE COURT: Okay. The witness may be excused.

23

24 Whatever he says. He's in charge of all that.

25 [inaudible].

0129

1 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, at this point we have another

2 --

THE COURT: [inaudible] Are you taking notes on the

3

4 laptop?

THE NEWS REPORTER: Yes.

5

6 THE COURT: Did you comply with Rule 22?

THE NEWS REPORTER: Yes. [inaudible]

7

8 THE COURT: [inaudible]

9 THE NEWS REPORTER: Jordan [inaudible].

10 THE COURT: Who?

11 THE NEWS REPORTER: Jordan [inaudible], Your Honor.

MALE: [inaudible]

12

13 THE COURT: Okay.

MALE: Mr. [inaudible] did.

14

15 THE COURT: Making me nervous. Go ahead.

MR. BROWN: Your Honor, logistically we have another

16

17 long witness. It would be convenient to have that go more

18 smoothly if we took our lunch break now, but of course

I'll defer to your schedule.

19

20 THE COURT: Anybody have an objection to taking lunch

now and coming back at 1:00? Let's take lunch now and

21

22 we'll back at 1:00.

MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

23

24

25 [Off the record at 11:41 a.m., and back on the record

0130

1 at 1:00 p.m.]

2

MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, in order to keep things

3

4 moving along, I just want to make sure I get all my

witnesses here or are here. This is our last witness.

5

6 THE COURT: You need time to do something?

MR. LINDSEY: No, no. I just want to make sure -- if

7

8 this is his last witness, I want to make sure I have

9 everybody here ready to go.

10 MR. BROWN: It depends on the ruling that she will

11 make. I have one live witness and one witness who I would

like to testify by telephone if the Court allows. Just to
12
13 give you an idea.
MR. LINDSEY: Okay, that's fine. I just want to --
14
15 I'll call my folks and make sure everybody's here. That's
all I wanted to know.
16
17 THE COURT: Okay. You understand that I cannot see
18 the clock from here because it's dark back there. I
cannot see that clock. I see the circle and I see the
19
20 exit sign but I can't see the hands from back here because
it's black; there's no light back there. So I have to go
21
22 by my own watch, which is not --
MR. LINDSEY: Well, let the record reflect that Mr.
23
24 Brown has already insulted my eyesight once today.
25 Therefore [inaudible].
0131
1 THE COURT: Ready when you're ready.
2 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, two introductory items.
First, we would like to tender into evidence Defendant
3
4 Robyn A. Crittenden's notice of limited offer -- proffer
of evidence. And let me explain this. The -- when she
5
6 was a party, the Secretary of State submitted this as a
proffer of evidence and it is the testimony of a witness
7
8 in the Curling versus Kemp case, and so I'm going to
9 accept their proffer and I'm tendering it into evidence.
10 And so it --
11 THE COURT: Any comment on that?
MR. LINDSEY: I'm going to object to it, Your Honor.
12
13 The Secretary of State is no longer in the case and we
haven't had a chance to cross-examine that witness.
14
15 THE COURT: It's hearsay. I'm absolute hearsay; I'm
not going to allow it into evidence. And as I said, I
16
17 didn't read it. I didn't read any of the stuff that was -
18 - I'm sorry. I'm old school. I believe that the evidence
should come out in the courtroom; there ought to be a rite
19
20 of cross-examination.
I happen to believe in the Constitution because I
21
22 wasn't raised with one; okay? So I'm partial to it. I'm
not going to let that in. It's not evidence.
23
24 MR. BROWN: May I respond just briefly, Your Honor?
25 THE COURT: You may respond.
0132
1 MR. BROWN: This is evidence that the other side
2 introduced into evidence.
THE COURT: I don't -- I don't care who introduced
3

4 it. It's not admissible. It's hearsay. And one party who's not in the case introduced it, the rest [inaudible].
5
6 I'm not going to allow it.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. I'll go ahead and
7
8 mark it for --
9 THE COURT: You can put it in the record as a
10 proffer. Just --
11 MR. BROWN: -- as a proffer and proffer it as evidence.
12
13 THE COURT: -- it's hearsay. They have a right, as Mr. Lindsay said, to cross-examine it, and they didn't. So
14
15 --
MR. BROWN: That will -- what number is that? That's
16
17 number 4. Thank you, Your Honor.
18 The next witness we would like to call is Philip Stark and Professor Stark is a professor at Berkeley and
19
20 we would like to introduce his testimony, with your Court's permission, by telephone. And so, we would move
21
22 for that --
THE COURT: What does the defense say?
23
24 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, that's usually something
25 that's worked out before. There is a lot to be learned by
0133
1 having the witness live to be able to cross-examine them
2 live right here. Now there are circumstances in which the parties do agree to do things by telephone. I've done it
3
4 a hundred times, if not more.
But this was not something that was worked out
5
6 previously. We would prefer to be able to cross-examine someone, and I'm sure Mr. Tyson would as well be able to
7
8 see someone in the eye to cross-examine them directly. So
9 we would object.
10 THE COURT: Mr. Tyson?
11 MR. TYSON: Yes, Your Honor. I'm pulling up the Rule right now but we'd be in the same boat. We'd like to have
12
13 -- this hearing has been on the books for over a month. We know it was going to be; we want to talk to Professor
14
15 Stark and cross-examine him live.
THE COURT: This case actually was set back December
16
17 5th. I'm reading things that say that's not so but it is
18 so. It was set November 5th [sic]; everybody's know- -- December 5th. Everybody's known about it.
19
20 I'm not going to do a witness by telephone. Part of -- it isn't words they say sometimes; it's the mannerisms.
21

22 And I've done them by Skype. I did some dear old ladies
who've been ripped with that -- grandson calls and says
23
24 he's in the jail, those kinds of cases.
25 And we did those by Skype, which is much better and
0134
1 you've got a full view and you can see the witnesses. But
2 I'm not going to do this one by telephone.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. If we have the
3
4 opportunity, could we set up a Skype tomorrow for this
witness?
5
6 THE COURT: It's up to you. I'm not telling you.
I'm saying what I won't do; I'm not going to tell you what
7
8 to do.
9 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, we
10 would call --
11 THE COURT: And the defense haven't been heard on
that. They should --
12
13 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, our position is, we're here
today. [inaudible]
14
15 THE COURT: Okay. Next witness.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, we would call Matthew
16
17 Bernhard as a witness.
18 THE COURT: Okay.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I apologize. My client has
19
20 Skype on her laptop and --
THE COURT: Has what on her laptop?
21
22 MR. BROWN: Has Skype on her laptop and we would move
to -- for -- to allow us to examine Mr. Stark by Skype.
23
24 THE COURT: What says the defense?
25 MR. TYSON: Same objection, Your Honor.
0135
1 MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, I would ask the Court to
2 look at Superior Court Rule 9.2 with respect to video
conferencing, which requires any request for video
3
4 conference be done by notice of intention prior to the
[inaudible]
5
6 THE COURT: Rule 9.2?
MS. BURWELL: Yes.
7
8 THE COURT: At this time I've got the computer where
9 I'm supposed to be able to get into it, and I can see.
10 Let me look at it.
11 Okay. Let's see. I'll get it; just a minute. I've
got LexisNexus. It's Superior Court Rule 9.2?
12
13 MS. BURWELL: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. Let's see. [inaudible]. Okay.
14

15 Yeah, Rule -- yeah. 9.2(c) says that you have to file as of your intent 30 days prior to the date scheduled and
16
17 then we have to have a hearing on the matter. That's what
18 it says.
MR, BROWN: Your Honor, with all due respect, I
19
20 believe that the -- some of these rules like the jury demand need to be read in context of the nature of this
21
22 proceeding. The nature of this proceeding is an accelerated process.
23
24 I understand that Your Honor did schedule this on
25 December 5th. Nevertheless, given the challenges
0136
1 preparing a case of this complexity, we would ask for your
2 indulgence to -- as an exception to that rule to allow the testimony.
3
4 THE COURT: Mr. Lindsey?
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we've had several weeks to
5
6 prepare for trial and would have been available to do it by deposition, notice the person that's necessary to go --
7
8 to take a deposition. The plaintiffs have chosen not to
9 do so, but instead to do so at trial to set up [inaudible]
10 procedure. So we state our objections.
11 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, [inaudible] declaration he filed for him much earlier in the case as recently as 10
12
13 days ago, possibly earlier than that, opportunity to bring that before the Court [inaudible].
14
15 THE COURT: Well, I understand. We're in an expedited situation and we've had some service and other
16
17 issues. But we did have a hearing on January 9 and I
18 think that's the notice should have been given. Given the nature of the case I understand bending the rules because
19
20 of where we are.
But to suddenly walk in in the afternoon of trial and
21
22 say I want to call somebody by Skype or by phone is not in compliance with the rules at all. And so, yeah, if you
23
24 got up on January 9th and said you were going to do it,
25 then I'd given you a chance to be heard and we'd gone
0137
1 through it. But I'm not going to violate the rule at this
2 time.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. And I believe --
3
4 THE COURT: What are you doing, ma'am? Go sit down, please.
5
6 MR. BROWN: I had lost something. I have a copy now. I'm just looking for my copies.

7
8 THE COURT: If you need to ask for something you can.
9 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. We would call --
10 THE COURT: Matthew Bernhard.
11 MR. BROWN: Yes.
Please raise your right hand. Do you promise to tell
12
13 the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?
THE WITNESS: I do.
14
15
Thereupon:
16
17
18 MATTHEW BERNHARD
19
20 was called as a witness by the Petitioner; and,
having been duly sworn, testified as follows:
21
22
DIRECT EXAMINATION
23
24 OF MATTHEW BERNHARD
25
0138
1 BY MR. BROWN:
2 Q. Please sit down and state your name for the
record.
3
4 A. My name is Matthew Bernhard.
Q. Mr. Bernhard, what do you do?
5
6 A. I am a Ph.D. candidate at the University of
Michigan studying computer science.
7
8 Q. Have you been engaged by the plaintiffs as
9 an expert in this case?
10 A. Yes.
11 THE COURT: You're a PhD candidate where?
THE WITNESS: At the University of Michigan.
12
13 THE COURT: Michigan. Okay.
THE WITNESS: Yes.
14
15 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Bernhard, I would like to go over your
16
17 qualifications, and do you have a CV that you have
18 prepared?
A. Yes.
19
20 Q. I'd like to briefly go over your education
and experience. You -- where'd you go to college?
21
22 A. I went to Georgia Tech and graduated from
Rice University.
23
24 Q. Okay. And what did you study at Rice?
25 A. Computer science.
0139

1 Q. And did you go to graduate school?
2 A. Yes.
Q. And what did you study at graduate school?
3
4 A. Computer science.
Q. And that was --
5
6 THE COURT: You're going to have to speak up because
you're mumbling and I can't hear you. I got the Georgia
7
8 Tech bit for reasons of my allegiance but what was the
9 rest of it?
10 THE WITNESS: Rice University.
11 THE COURT: Rice. Okay.
THE WITNESS: Yes.
12
13 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. And then did you attend graduate school?
14
15 A. Yes. At the University of Michigan.
Q. And what did you study at the University of
16
17 Michigan?
18 A. Computer science.
Q. And did you obtain a degree at Michigan?
19
20 A. Yes, I got a Master's degree.
Q. And did you continue your studies at
21
22 Michigan?
A. I am currently still there.
23
24 Q. And how far are you along in your Ph.D.
25 work?
0140
1 A. I'm -- this is my fourth year.
2 Q. Okay. And so if you graduated or obtained
your degree, when would that be?
3
4 A. Probably about a year from now.
Q. Okay. And are you working on a
5
6 dissertation?
A. Yes, sir.
7
8 Q. And what's the subject matter of your
9 dissertation?
10 A. Election integrity and election security.
11 Q. Okay. Now have you --
THE WITNESS: Security.
12
13 THE COURT: Okay. [inaudible]
THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
14
15 THE COURT: [inaudible]
BY MR. BROWN:
16
17 Q. Have you -- do you teach?
18 A. Yes, sir. I taught the undergraduate cyber
security course, introductory course, and I've also

19
20 recently conducted a -- an undergraduate research seminar in election security.
21
22 Q. Okay. Have you ever published anything?
A. Yes. I have published several papers
23
24 pertaining to election security and, you know,
25 election audits, and in several other things that
0141
1 aren't related to election [inaudible].
2 Q. I mean, are these -- have you published peer review articles?
3
4 A. I guess almost every article I publish has been peer review.
5
6 Q. Okay. Have you -- outside of your academic work, have you worked with election systems?
7
8 A. Yes, sir. I -- in addition to working for
9 Verified Voting, who collects data about elections, I
10 worked with election officials all over the country
11 helping run audits, you know. And helping them better secure their election [inaudible].
12
13 Q. Let me go over your work experience, also then. You mentioned Verified Voting. You -- are you
14
15 -- do you work for them now?
A. Currently, yes, as a consultant.
16
17 Q. Okay. And what sort of work do you do for
18 Verified Voting?
A. I collect data about current -- the current
19
20 generation of election technology and its security properties, what kinds of operating systems they run
21
22 and, you know, what program languages it's programmed in and so forth.
23
24 Q. And what employment did you have
25 immediately before Verified Voting?
0142
1 A. So before that I did a summer internship at
2 a company named CloudPlayer and then before that I was at Microsoft Research.
3
4 Q. And what did you do for Microsoft?
A. I researched Trusted Boot, which is a
5
6 particular way that computers can ensure the application if the running is the right one.
7
8 Q. Did you say boot?
9 A. Yeah. Boot.
10 Q. Okay.
11 A. With specific applications for voting machines.

12
13 Q. And why was Microsoft -- what's the connection between voting machines and working for
14
15 Microsoft?
A. Well, so most of the machines run through
16
17 Windows operating system, the ones in Georgia do, and
18 so they have a vested interest in making sure that, you know, they can support it and develop technology
19
20 scoring.
Q. And did your work at Microsoft relate to
21
22 the operating systems that are used generally or just for voting systems or both?
23
24 A. Generally; it's all Windows. I was mostly
25 focused on the more recent versions of Windows,
0143
1 Windows 8 and Windows 10, both of which are used in
2 the current generation of voting technology.
Q. And skipping ahead, is that the voting
3
4 system used in Georgia --
A: No.
5
6 Q. -- the operating system used in Georgia?
A. No.
7
8 Q. Okay.
9 A. It is a -- the -- that operating system is
10 about 15 years down the line from the one that's used
11 in Georgia voting machines.
Q. Have you ever been qualified as an expert
12
13 in a case?
A. Yes, sir. I'm an expert -- qualified expert
14
15 witness in the Curling v. Kemp case in the Northern District of Georgia.
16
17 Q. It --
18 A. [inaudible] federal court.
Q. And has -- have your opinions, your expert
19
20 opinions ever been cited by a court with approval or indicating that the court does not disagree with your
21
22 conclusions?
A. Yes. In one of the rulings in the
23
24 [inaudible] and the Curling v. Kemp case she did cite
25 my opinions in the affirmative.
0144
1 Q. Do you have either academic or hands-on
2 experience and knowledge about the operations generally of a DRE machine?
3
4 A. Yes, sir. I have worked in many different

ways with DREs.
5
6 Q. And have you worked with the Diebold DREs?
A. Yes. We have about ten of them in my lab
7
8 in Michigan.
9 Q. And you have access to those?
10 A. Yes.
11 Q. And did you obtain those machines legally?
A. Yes. On Ebay.
12
13 Q. Are you able to tear it apart, study all
the components?
14
15 A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Do you have knowledge and experience
16
17 through your training and your education about
18 whether DREs as a class of voting systems are
vulnerable or not vulnerable?
19
20 A. Yes, I do.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor --
21
22 Q. And how have you --
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I would at this point
23
24 object. The questions today are not -- whether or not the
25 system could potentially be vulnerable and whether or not
0145
1 some other system would be better. That's a decision
2 that's now being debated at the capitol. The questions
today are whether the system was in fact compromised.
3
4 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I don't -- I'm not quite sure
what the form of that objection was.
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: We object to the relevancy. I mean,
I'm trying to keep the case focused on what not that was
7
8 in fact a compromise of the system.
9 MR. BROWN: Okay. Your Honor, I can ask him more
10 questions that establish the -- that connect the dots.
11 THE COURT: Do that.
BY MR. BROWN:
12
13 Q. Would the background vulnerability of a
system like these DREs increase the likelihood that
14
15 it's defective today in Georgia?
A. Yes.
16
17 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, [inaudible] background
18 vulnerabilities [inaudible] foundation yet for what
vulnerability is or [inaudible] this thing. If we're
19
20 trying to qualify him, that's one thing; but if we're
trying get into the facts I think that's something else.
21
22 MR. BROWN: May I respond, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Well, whether the machines generally are
23
24 not very good doesn't really matter in this case. I'm
25 going to let you, if you're using it, to lay a foundation
0146
1 to get somewhere, I'm going to let you do that for a
2 little bit.
But the fact that the machines, all wherever they may
3
4 be used, aren't the best is not relevant --
MR. BROWN: Your Honor --
5
6 THE COURT: -- in this case is the one [inaudible].
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, if I may. The witness'
7
8 testimony is different than what Your Honor said. What
9 his testimony was that it --
10 THE COURT: I'm going to let you ask that question;
11 okay?
MR. BROWN: Okay. Thank you.
12
13 THE COURT: I was just trying to give you some
direction.
14
15 MR. BROWN: Okay.
THE COURT: If not, they can get exercise jumping up
16
17 and down.
18 MR. BROWN: Okay. I want to get -- to focus your
attention more closely on the machines that were used in
19
20 this case.
BY MR. BROWN:
21
22 Q. Do you have any experience or knowledge or
expertise about whether Georgia's systems are more
23
24 vulnerable or less vulnerable than these machines are
25 generally?
0147
1 A. Yes, I do.
2 Q. What's the basis for your expertise in
that?
3
4 A. I reviewed -- so in addition to my
knowledge about the Diebold voting system, in
5
6 particular, I reviewed Georgia's use of the system.
I've been into, you know, particularly the Fulton
7
8 County election preparations and multiple times.
9 I've reviewed testimony from Michael Barnes, Rick
10 Barron, and other election officials in the state of
11 Georgia and have been observing Georgia's election
since 2016.
12
13 Q. Have you -- in the course of your education
and your experience, have you -- do you have
14
15 experience and expertise about problems, specific

types of problems, that DREs can experience, Georgia
16
17 or elsewhere?
18 A. Yes.
Q. Would that include software problems?
19
20 A. Yes.
Q. And hardware problems also? You need to
21
22 say, yes.
A. Yes.
23
24 Q. Okay. Have you developed -- and now I'm
25 going to explore this in a little bit greater detail,
0148
1 but have you developed an -- do you have experience
2 or developed expertise in how to detect problems with
DREs forensically?
3
4 A. Yes. In fact, in 2017 I published a paper
looking at how to do that with the machines.
5
6 Q. And when I use the word -- we use the word,
forensically, when you use it -- and the reason I'm
7
8 asking this is that to many it might have connotation
9 of a criminal conduct; do you follow me?
10 A. Yes.
11 Q. In your field when you use the word,
forensic investigation, do you mean to imply that
12
13 you're looking necessarily for criminal activity?
A. No. Typically it's a post hoc application
14
15 of the scientific method to determine what happens,
whether it be criminal or not.
16
17 Q. But it's looks -- is it looking for the
18 causes of a mistake or a problem?
A. Yes, and to try to understand how that
19
20 problem came to be.
Q. Do you have any expertise in determining or
21
22 identifying what I would call the telltale signs from
the outside as to whether there are system defects on
23
24 the inside?
25 A. Yes. See, for example, that paper that I
0149
1 just mentioned.
2 Q. Okay. And have you -- do you have real
hands-on experience with DREs trying to determine
3
4 whether and how they are defected?
A. Yes. In fact, we just talked to some
5
6 undergraduates how to do a forensic analysis of the
Diebold DREs in particular.
7

8 Q. Do you have any experience in post-election
9 auditing?
10 A. Yes. I assisted the State of Michigan and
11 observed the audits in Colorado, the responding
audits in Colorado.
12
13 Q. And what is a risk limiting audit?
A. The risk limiting audit is a statistical
14
15 way where you define hypothesis tests and you use
that to sample a small subset of ballots and answer
16
17 the question, is the reporting elections all correct
18 or not.
Q. And just -- does your work -- does that
19
20 work require knowledge and use of methods of
statistics?
21
22 A. Yes, it does. In particular, the
development of new [inaudible] paper we just
23
24 published.
25 Q. Okay.
0150
1 MR. BROWN: And, Your Honor, I would like to qualify
2 and tender Mr. Bernhard as an expert in DRE operation, DRE
vulnerability, Georgia; and generally, the internal
3
4 mechanics and software of the DREs, problems with DREs,
how to detect those problems, the telltale signs of those
5
6 problems --
THE COURT: Okay, you're drawing a huge, huge list of
7
8 stuff. Let's be a little bit -- yeah, I'm not going to go
9 through and say yes, no, yes, no. What you're wanting to
10 qualify him as an expert generally in what, DRE?
11 MR. BROWN: DREs, their problems, their repair, how
to detect them, and how to fix them.
12
13 THE COURT: Okay. You keep adding to it. What say
the defendant?
14
15 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, a few more questions for
Bernhard.
16
17
18 CROSS-EXAMINATION
OF MATTHEW BERNHARD
19
20
BY MR. TYSON:
21
22 Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Bernhard. My name is
Bryan Tyson. I represent the Gwinnett County Board
23
24 of Registrations and Elections. So you mentioned to
25 Mr. Brown that you worked for Verified Voting. Does
0151

1 Verified Voting have a position on the use of DREs,
2 generally?
A. Yes.
3
4 Q. And what is that position?
A. That DREs are unfit for us in U.S.
5
6 elections.
Q. And it would be correct to say that
7
8 Verified Voting wants to return to an all-paper
9 ballot system; correct?
10 A. A handwritten paper ballot system; correct.
11 Q. You mentioned that you were qualified as
expert in Curling versus Kemp case; do -- can you
12
13 explain to the Court briefly what the issues are in
that case that you're -- gave expert testimony on?
14
15 A. Yeah. The argument is that the inherent
vulnerability of Georgia's DRE voting system puts
16
17 voters in Georgia at a fundamentally different level
18 of -- you know, it abridges their constitutional
rights to a fair election in comparison to other
19
20 states, like Alabama next door
Q. And those claims were all [inaudible] in
21
22 that case? And are the same plaintiffs involved in
that case?
23
24 A. I don't believe so.
25 Q. Okay. And is Mr. Brown counsel for the
0152
1 plaintiffs in that case?
2 A. Yes, sir.
Q. Okay. You mentioned you had several DREs
3
4 in your lab; do any of those DREs -- the Diebold DREs
specifically, run the same operating system as in use
5
6 in Georgia?
A. Yes, sir.
7
8 Q. Okay. How many of those run the same
9 operating system?
10 A. All of them.
11 Q. Do all of them run the same version of the
software that's used for voting in Diebold machines
12
13 in Georgia?
A. No, sir.
14
15 Q. And so do any of them have the same
software that is use in Georgia for running the
16
17 actual voting system?
18 A. No, sir. They all have the -- a more
recent version.

19
20 Q. So, it would be correct to say that none of the Diebold machines in your lab are -- will match up
21
22 to any of the Diebold machines that are in Georgia from a software perspective; correct?
23
24 A. There will be a significant amount of
25 similarities, but it won't be 100 percent the same.
0153
1 Q. Okay. Great. Do you have any experience
2 in the field of election administration?
A. Yes, sir. I'm a trained poll worker in the
3
4 state of Michigan.
Q. Okay. Have you done any personal review of
5
6 any voting machines that were used in the November 2018 general election in Georgia?
7
8 A. [inaudible] personal reviews.
9 Q. So have you physically touched the
10 machines, inspected them, taken any steps to review
11 any machines that were used in the 2018 general election in Georgia?
12
13 A. No, sir.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, that's compound.
14
15 THE COURT: [inaudible]
MR. BROWN: He asked about eight questions in --
16
17 MR. TYSON: I'll -- I'm going to try to rephrase.
18 I'm sorry.
THE COURT: Rephrase.
19
20 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. Mr. Bernhard, have you personally inspected
21
22 any machines that were used in 2018 election in Georgia in general election?
23
24 A. No, sir.
25 Q. And so it would be correct to say, isn't
0154
1 it, that you have no firsthand knowledge of any DRE
2 machine that was used in the 2018 Georgia election because you haven't inspected them; correct?
3
4 A. I suppose that's correct.
MR. TYSON: Okay. That's all I have.
5
6 THE COURT: Anybody else for the defendant have questions?
7
8 MR. LINDSEY: No, Your Honor.
9 THE COURT: Okay.
10 MR. BROWN: Just one follow-up question.
11 I don't have any further questions for him.
THE COURT: Okay. Anyone want to be heard on whether

12
13 he's an expert on DREs? That's basically what the issue is. All that other stuff I'm not going to rule on,
14
15 [inaudible] credibility [inaudible].
MR. TYSON: Yes, Your Honor. So we would object to
16
17 Mr. Bernhard serving as an expert in this case, both under
18 702(b) because he's testified he has no personal knowledge about the voting machines in use in Georgia. The machines
19
20 in his lab do not line up to ones that were in use in Georgia.
21
22 He has not personally inspected the voting machines that were used in the 2018 general election, so we don't
23
24 believe that he can offer anything to help the Court
25 determine if that's an issue which is required by that.
0155
1 In addition, we don't believe there is anything
2 relevant that relates to the issue in the case. Mr. Bernhard can certainly testify about DREs generally. The
3
4 issue for this Court is focused on the lieutenant governor's election and Mr. Bernhard has not indicated he
5
6 has anything that would be useful to finder of facts in this case.
7
8 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we adopt the issue of
9 Gwinnett County and also make the same objections.
10 MR. BROWN: First -- the first objection was based
11 upon his line of personal knowledge. That, of course, is not an objection to an expert qualification of an expert.
12
13 The second was personal knowledge about inspection. That's also not a relevant objection.
14
15 I mean, if there's another idea lurking behind that, I'd like to hear it and respond to it, but that's not a
16
17 proper objection. The single objection that I could
18 understand, that's applicable to here, was that his particular model -- models that he has and that he can
19
20 take apart, is a different -- he uses a different version of the Microsoft operating system.
21
22 He testified that it is substantially identical and that is -- even if it were different, he has been
23
24 established as a leading expert in this field.
25 THE COURT: I'm not going to say he's a leading
0156
1 expert. I have no evidence of him being an expert. But
2 leading expert -- I am going to allow him to testify as an expert. The weight and credibility of his testimony is
3
4 for me, and I can listen to it and I can [inaudible].

MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

5

6

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

7

8 OF MATTHEW BERNHARD

9

10 BY MR. BROWN:

11 Q. Mr. Bernhard, the first part, I would like to review your -- of what materials you have reviewed

12

13 in connection with your work on this case. Are you with me?

14

15 A. Uh-huh.

Q. And have you reviewed the scholarly

16

17 literature?

18 A. Yes, sir. I've done a thorough survey of all of the academic works specifically about the Diebold

19

20 machines and [inaudible].

Q. Have you reviewed government publications

21

22 on [inaudible]?

A. Yes, sir. [inaudible] National Academy of

23

24 Sciences as well as various other government

25 agencies.

0157

1 Q. Have you ever reviewed the source code for

2 the TS system?

A. Yes, sir. I've reviewed an early release

3

4 of the source code.

Q. Have you reviewed the voting results in the

5

6 Georgia 2018 election?

A. Yes, sir.

7

8 Q. Have reviewed studies of those results?

9 A. Yes, sir.

10 Q. Have you reviewed statements from voters

11 with their accounts of trying to vote on the machines in Georgia?

12

13 A. Yes, sir.

Q. And who in -- focusing just on the -- on

14

15 those individual statements, what statements have you -- let me back up a little bit. Let me finish. Have

16

17 you reviewed the testimony of the agents of the

18 defendants, including Michael Barnes and Rick Barron in other cases?

19

20 A. Yes, sir.

Q. Have you reviewed the reported

21

22 vulnerability in the Georgia voter registration page

recorded during the 2018 election?
23
24 A. Yes.
25 Q. Have you spoken with other people who have
0158
1 expert knowledge to gain a better understanding of
2 the issues?
A. Yes, sir.
3
4 Q. And who would those be?
A. For one, [inaudible] who published one of
5
6 the original security analyses of the DREs, I've
spoken with -- excuse me [inaudible] Philip Stark,
7
8 who is a leading expert on audits and [inaudible],
9 among others.
10 Q. Okay. Okay. Let's dive into then to some
11 of your opinions. First, with respect to the Diebold
DRE machine, do you have an opinion as to whether
12
13 that machine generally is defective or not defective?
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'm going to object to the
14
15 way that the question is asked. I don't think we've
established if there one [inaudible] DRE machine. We've
16
17 already heard there's different versions of software, are
18 there different hardware -- I think we need to be specific
about what's being asked.
19
20 MR. BROWN: Fair enough, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Well, it's a lot of different types of
21
22 machines. At least be able to identify which ones he's
done and which ones he hasn't.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Exactly. Your Honor, exact -- the
25 objection is well taken and let me reframe it.
0159
1 BY MR. BROWN:
2 Q. Have you developed an opinion as to whether
the DRE systems like the ones used in Georgia
3
4 generally are defective?
A. Yes.
5
6 Q. And to get to the point of different DRE
machines, other states use Diebold DRE machines; is
7
8 that correct?
9 A. Correct.
10 Q. Are they all identical or are they
11 different?
A. From a hardware perspective, they're all
12
13 identical. The software is different depending on
the state; though there are only three major versions
14
15 of the software out there.

Q. With respect to whether they are defective
16
17 or not, is there any different -- material difference
18 in the different types of Diebold DRE machines?
A. No, sir. They all come with the same
19
20 software and they have the same aging hardware.
Q. And what is your opinion about the
21
22 defectiveness of the DRE machines that are used
nationwide and in Georgia?
23
24 A. They're defective both from an
25 architectural standpoint, the actual implementation,
0160
1 and the way they were designed and built, as well as
2 the way they are used in many places.
Again, these machines are 15 years old at this
3
4 point. The glue that holds the screens together is
starting to come apart, you know, as well as, you
5
6 know, who knows how many times they've been dropped
and so forth. And so I think it's -- they were
7
8 defective to begin with but they've only become more
9 defective over time.
10 Q. Mr. Bernhard, have you reviewed academic
11 literature on the subject of whether DRE systems are
generally defective?
12
13 A. Yes, sir.
Q. And just give me an example of some of the
14
15 things that you reviewed.
A. Yeah, so there was a forensic study in 2006
16
17 of the Diebold system that was actually published by
18 [inaudible]. There was the California top to bottom
review. There was the Ohio Everest report; the state
19
20 of Florida and Maryland both issued independent
reports. And then more recently we've seen the
21
22 National Academy of Science's report on the usage of
DREs, as well as numerous others.
23
24 Q. And what -- do they have a general
25 consensus or not?
0161
1 A. Yeah, they -- unanimous conclusion is that
2 DRE machines, and particularly the kind of DRE that's
used in Georgia are unsafe and unfit for use for
3
4 voting.
Q. And are the unsafe and unfit for voting
5
6 because they don't -- they're not accurate or they
might not be accurate?
7

8 A. Yeah. They are, you know -- as I mentioned
9 before, inherently defective and on top of that, they
10 don't provide any recourse if the defect is detected.
11 Q. And what do you mean by no recourse?
A. You know, typically there's this notion of
12
13 software independence where even if the software does
go bad, we can, one, detect it if it's happened; and
14
15 two, correct for it.
Because the DRE machines don't have any -- you
16
17 know, there's no paper trail. There's no external
18 source of evidence of how many votes are cast, who
they were cast for. There is no way that the DREs
19
20 can provide significant evidence to the outcome of an
election.
21
22 Q. But isn't that the case in any sort of
computer program that there's no independence --
23
24 A. Yes.
25 Q. -- of verification?
0162
1 A. Anything that doesn't have an external
2 source of evidence, yes.
Q. The -- let me ask the same question. You
3
4 were -- you responded with discussion of governmental
agencies. Have you reviewed opinions or publications
5
6 from the computer science community --
A. Yes.
7
8 Q. -- about the vulnerability or defectiveness
9 of the DREs?
10 A. Yes. That was the majority of the
11 literature is from.
Q. Okay. And what is the computer science --
12
13 is there a consensus or differences of opinion in the
computer science community with respect to the
14
15 defectiveness of the DREs?
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I object on the relevancy.
16
17 This has been going on for a little while. I'm not sure
18 when -- where general problems of the DREs is relevant to
allegations regarding the Georgia lieutenant governor's
19
20 election on a specific [inaudible] Georgia machines.
These are general allegations, not something specific.
21
22 MR. BROWN: Your Honor --
THE COURT: I understand that. He can answer that
23
24 question [inaudible].
25 MR. BROWN: Okay.
0163

1 THE WITNESS: Again, the overall consensus is that
2 the machines are vulnerable and can cause problems.
MR. BROWN:
3
4 Q. Are you aware of any peer review computer
science publication that takes a contrary view to the
5
6 view that the DREs are defective?
A. No, sir. The community is unanimous.
7
8 Q. Have you developed an opinion about whether
9 Georgia's system is less defective than the systems
10 used in other parts of the country or more defective?
11 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll just object for lack of
foundation. I don't think we've had testimony about
12
13 Georgia yet. We've talked generally about that --
[inaudible]
14
15 THE COURT: I'll let you ask the question but if he
doesn't give a basis for it I'll disregard it.
16
17 THE WITNESS: Okay. Yes, sir, I have.
18 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. And if I asked you this before, I
19
20 apologize. But have you talked to people or have you
done some investigation about the vulnerability of
21
22 Georgia's system in particular, not as a general
matter?
23
24 A. Yes, sir.
25 Q. And what's -- what did you do or what have
0164
1 you done?
2 A. Again, reviewing testimony and interviewing
voters in Georgia, reviewing Georgia electronic
3
4 regulation; like I said, testimony from Michael
Barnes and Rick Barron in the Curling v. Kemp where
5
6 they explain more carefully the layout of the system.
I talked with Michael Barnes a couple times, also,
7
8 about how Georgia's election system works.
9 Q. And have you evaluated, for example, the
10 testimony of Logan Lamb?
11 A. Yes.
THE COURT: Of who?
12
13 MR. BROWN: Logan Lamb. And have you --
THE COURT: So he's repeating somebody else's
14
15 testimony in another case? Is that what you're saying?
MR. BROWN: That's not the purpose. It was to
16
17 provide a foundation for his knowledge in determining --
18 THE COURT: Does he have any personal knowledge?
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, he's an expert in --

19
20 THE COURT: I understand he's an expert. Go ahead. [inaudible].
21
22 MR. BROWN: Okay.
BY MR. BROWN:
23
24 Q. Have you personally examined the
25 architecture of the system, from your perspective,
0165
1 sufficient to understand whether the State of Georgia
2 by leaving it open to the internet for six months might have exposed the system to greater danger?
3
4 Have you looked at that personally?
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object to that again. I
5
6 don't think there's any evidence about an exposure for six months on the internet. There's no foundation for the
7
8 question -- that's also compounds [inaudible].
9 THE COURT: [inaudible] no time, no -- we're talking
10 about one day of one election. That's all we're talking
11 about.
MR. BROWN: Yeah. I'll establish a foundation for
12
13 that. The relevance -- I think there was a -- related to relevancy.
14
15 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Bernhard, in your experience and in
16
17 your expertise, would the likelihood of a defect in
18 the election on November the 6th, 2018, be increased or decreased based upon how Georgia's particular
19
20 system was maintained?
A. It would be increased.
21
22 Q. Okay. Now what did Georgia do or not do to increase the vulnerability of the DRE machine that it
23
24 uses?
25 A. We first found in 2016 from Logan Lamb that
0166
1 there was a server that is connected to the
2 [inaudible] system or at least adjacent to it that was open to the internet running an incredibly
3
4 vulnerable version of a software called Drupal. To give you an idea of how vulnerable it is, I set up my
5
6 Drupal residence and within 24 hours it was compromised.
7
8 We also saw in 2018 leading up to the election
9 that the voter registration page has significant
10 vulnerabilities in it. And all of these could serve
11 as potential records for malware or, you know, other -- they all show a tendency for a lack of

12
13 fastidiousness and, you know, a general carelessness
from a technical perspective that may indicate that
14
15 errors were made or there was malware in the system
or -- you know.
16
17 Q. And did that contribute to your assessment
18 of the level risk in Georgia?
A. Yes.
19
20 Q. And what was your conclusion about whether
the risk was increased or decreased?
21
22 A. So, based on the inherent vulnerability of
the DREs, they're used and based on the repeated
23
24 security mistakes by the State of Georgia, my opinion
25 is that the -- Georgia's elections are far more
0167
1 vulnerable than most other states and most other
2 elections in general.
Q. Now you identified -- you stated mistakes,
3
4 of what I interpreted to be mistakes. Could you list
those again for us, please?
5
6 A. Yeah. The file system that used to
distribute sample ballots and voter registration data
7
8 was left open to the internet. And the voter
9 registration page had two major vulnerabilities and
10 then one less significant vulnerability in it; and
11 because of the central nature of Georgia's election
system, you know, they -- the Secretary of State's
12
13 office does distribute programming to all of the
machines indirectly.
14
15 Those two vulnerabilities at the state level
could potentially cause trouble, you know, everywhere
16
17 in the state; as well as, you know, again, show a
18 general lack of care for technical correctness, you
know. Getting the technical stuff right and making
19
20 programming errors or for other things are more
likely as well.
21
22 Q. Okay. Anything else? Any other problems,
any other mistakes?
23
24 A. None come to mind.
25 Q. Okay. Let me -- to back up a little bit, I
0168
1 do want to get something into the record.
2 THE COURT: This P-5? Is that P-5? P-7. Okay. I
don't look at it until it's been admitted.
3
4 BY MR. BROWN:

Q. Do you have Plaintiff's Exhibit 7 in front
5
6 of you?
A. Yes, sir.
7
8 Q. And what is Plaintiff's 7?
9 A. It is the National Academies of Science
10 Engineering and Medicine's report, Secured Boot, from
11 2018.
Q. And is it your understanding the entire
12
13 report is public and on the internet?
A. Yes, it is.
14
15 Q. And is Plaintiff 7 just a selection of
those pages?
16
17 A. Yes, it appears to be.
18 Q. And what is your understanding of what the
National Academy of Science's -- what study it
19
20 undertook and what the purpose of that was.
A. The National Academy of Scientists
21
22 attempted to kind of understand the overall --
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'm going to pose an
23
24 objection as to what another group of experts may have
25 said. Plus, it is what he said and he's the expert here.
0169
1 He's the one that we can cross-examine. These folks
2 aren't here so we would object this line of testimony.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, he already testified.
3
4 [inaudible] kind of information -- he already testified
that this is the --
5
6 THE COURT: He can testify to what he's read and who
he's talked to, what all he's done. He can't just read
7
8 off a document that somebody else has -- based on having
9 done all that, then he has certain opinions, which I've
10 heard pretty loud and clear. Those are all his opinions
11 based on all this information and training and everything
he's done.
12
13 But we're not having the documents here.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I believe that it's
14
15 admissible because it is -- he has established that this
is the kind of information that expert --
16
17 THE COURT: No. No.
18 MR. BROWN: Okay.
THE COURT: It becomes a substitute for evidence and
19
20 we're not going to do that.
MR. BROWN: Okay.
21
22 THE COURT: But I understand. He read it; it formed

part of the decision that he's made in this case.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Is the -- and let me ask a foundation --
25 I understand your ruling but to get on the record, is --
0170
1 BY MR. BROWN:
2 Q. Are opinions such as the one in the
National Academy of Sciences, things that experts in
3
4 your field rely upon to develop your expert opinion?
A. Yes.
5
6 Q. And is it standard in your profession to
rely upon that information?
7
8 A. Yes.
9 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would suggest again this is
10 the kind -- albeit hearsay, it is exactly the kind of
11 hearsay --
THE COURT: No. It's hearsay. He's relied on it;
12
13 he's read it; it's part of what -- you know, if he's up to
PhD he's probably read thousands of pages of stuff. We're
14
15 not putting it all in.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
16
17 BY MR. BROWN:
18 Q. You testified before that there are some
telltale signs of actual defective machines; do you
19
20 recall that?
A. Yes, sir.
21
22 Q. And have you reached an opinion about
whether those telltale signs were apparent in Georgia
23
24 for the 2018 election?
25 A. Yes, sir.
0171
1 Q. And what in your expert opinion were those
2 telltale signs and what is the basis that you have
for saying that it is?
3
4 A. So there is a -- to say that the literature
is the [inaudible] where they lay out the foundation
5
6 for forensic election investigation --
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, same objection.
7
8 THE COURT: Hm?
9 MR. LINDSEY: You know, he could -- the question here
10 is, what were the telltale signs, not what another paper
11 may say --
THE COURT: You cannot quote what the paper said.
12
13 MR. LINDSEY: -- [inaudible] what another paper said.
MR. BROWN: That's right.
14
15 THE COURT: Let me make that -- you can say I relied

on it to make my decision; don't have any problem with
16
17 that. That's what experts do. To get an expert in
18 anything you've got to read lots of stuff. But you can't
say what it said; okay?
19
20 MR. BROWN: Bear that in mind.
BY MR. BROWN:
21
22 Q. Mr. Bernhard, what telltale signs did you
observe of system defectiveness?
23
24 A. The significant under-vote rate in the
25 lieutenant governor's race, as well as reporting
0172
1 various errors, you know. There were errors with the
2 review screen; voters were reporting the machines
were [inaudible] in the middle of the voting
3
4 sessions, that error messages were popping up.
Q. Let's -- let me -- I can't -- I'm sorry.
5
6 Focusing on the election results, you said there was
a significant under-vote and what is the basis of
7
8 your opinion that there was a significant under-vote?
9 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, first off, the objection is
10 that he hasn't established any kind of expert regarding
11 under-voting and whether or not the under-voting could be
caught by machine or through other sources. He hasn't
12
13 laid that foundation.
As a matter of fact, they tried to lay that
14
15 foundation with the last expert and the judge ruled
against them. So he simply has not laid any proper
16
17 foundation of kind of testimony.
18 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, the same objection, that
there's not a foundation. Mr. Bernhard is not an expert
19
20 on election administration or under-votes. I think the
numbers are already in the evidence -- we know what the
21
22 numbers are, so --
THE COURT: Yeah. We've already had testimony about
23
24 it live. So I sustain the objection.
25 MR. BROWN: Let me reframe it.
0173
1 BY MR. BROWN:
2 Q. Do the voting results in some instances
tell an expert like you that there may be a defect in
3
4 the system that needs to be investigated?
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, same objection as before.
5
6 THE COURT: That's a little bit different.
MR. LINDSEY: Same objection as before. I might also
7

8 add that he's asking for speculation of the word "may."
9 The --
10 THE COURT: I said weight and credibility is mine.
11 MR. LINDSEY: Huh?
THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to let him answer that
12
13 question.
MR. LINDSEY: Okay. Thank you.
14
15 THE WITNESS: Yes. I do believe that based on the
data there were defects.
16
17 BY MR. BROWN:
18 Q. And what is it about the data that leads
you to the opinion that there were defects in the
19
20 machines?
A. There would be -- there's a statically
21
22 significant correlation between the mode of voting
and the amount of under-voting; and there's also a
23
24 statistic -- at least in one statistically
25 significant aberration in the [inaudible] where one
0174
1 voting machine reported, you know, significantly
2 different results than every other voting machine in
the precinct, which is highly unlikely.
3
4 Q. In your field -- well, let me back up a
little bit. You said statistically significant
5
6 under-voting. Do you mean under-voting in the
abstract or the comparison between under-voting on
7
8 the electronic machines and under-voting on the paper
9 machines?
10 A. Yes, that's correct. The under-voting rate
11 for paper ballots was significantly lower than it was
for DRE machines in a statistically significant
12
13 [inaudible].
Q. And what is the statistical basis for what
14
15 you're saying?
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, objection. He's laid no
16
17 basis that he's in any way an expert on statistics.
18 MR. TYSON: Same objection, Your Honor.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor --
19
20 THE COURT: I mean, we've got all the figures.
[inaudible] We've got all the figures. We know what
21
22 happened. We know what the numbers are. He says it's
because the machines are defective. That's his opinion,
23
24 expert opinion, it's why all that happened.
25 Okay, beyond that, where are we going?
0175

1 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the -- if I may back up a
2 little bit. With any phenomena, there's either direct
evidence, eyewitness testimony, or you go through and you
3
4 eliminate every other alternative. That's -- you do --
THE COURT: But I've taken his opinion. His opinion
5
6 is because of those numbers and because of the
differential between the paper and the machines, something
7
8 has to be wrong with the machines; am I right?
9 MR. BROWN: Okay.
10 THE COURT: I'm simplistic; I'm sorry.
11 MR. BROWN: Is there a way to --
THE COURT: I don't have a PhD.
12
13 MR. BROWN: Okay.
BY MR. BROWN:
14
15 Q. And let me ask this. Is there a way to
quantify the likelihood of that voting pattern
16
17 happening simply by chance?
18 A. Yes, there is.
Q. And what is the answer?
19
20 A. Typically, you use a hypothesis test. In
the two examples I cited [inaudible] one of them, the
21
22 -- I believe the under-vote has a less -- less than 1
to 10,000 chance of being -- happen -- sorry. A
23
24 less than 1 in 10,000 chance of appearing at random
25 or monthly in the course of an election.
0176
1 Q. And then you -- you also testified about
2 Winterville?
A. Yes. The -- assuming that the voters were
3
4 randomly -- you know, that you didn't go to a machine
based on your party or something like that. The odds
5
6 that one machine would have significantly more votes
for one party but not the other machines have votes
7
8 for the other party is also incredibly low.
9 Q. And could you just explain -- we -- in case
10 the court has not heard about the Winterville
11 problem, could you just explain to the Court what you
observed about the numbers at the Winterville voting
12
13 location?
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor --
14
15 THE COURT: About what? I missed the question. You
went round and round and I didn't get it.
16
17 MR. BROWN: The question is, just to get -- he
18 testified about Winterville and what happened in
Winterville, and I was just asking him to explain in

19
20 greater detail what did happen in Winterville in case that hadn't been made clear.
21
22 MR. TYSON: And Your Honor, this assumes facts that are not in evidence and I believe the evidence that
23
24 [inaudible] was submitted was not an avenue that Mr.
25 Bernhard regarding that precinct. So Mr. Barnhard [sic]
0177
1 may need to lay a foundation and Mr. Barnhard needs to
2 talk about his personal analysis, if he conducted one.
BY MR. BROWN:
3
4 Q. Again, are you familiar with the voting totals from the Winterville district?
5
6 A. Yes, sir.
Q. And where did you get that from?
7
8 A. The Secretary of State's vote results.
9 Q. And what did those numbers show?
10 A. In that precinct, I believe there were
11 eight DRE machines. On every DRE machine the Democratic candidate won every race, except one where
12
13 a Republican candidate won every race by approximately the same margin as the Democrat voting
14
15 on the other machines.
Q. And as a forensic expert, why is that -- is
16
17 that a telltale sign of a system defect?
18 A. Yeah. If you assume that the voters are probably going to machines at random, you know, not
19
20 based on the party affiliation or anything like that, it's extremely unlikely that you would see seven
21
22 machines with this incredibly regular pattern and then one machine that's completely opposite of those
23
24 same machines.
25 Q. And from your background and experience in
0178
1 software, is there an explanation for why that might
2 happen?
A. To me it seems like it might be a
3
4 programming error where you, you know -- all of the machines you have A as the Republican, B as the
5
6 Democrat, but on this one machine for whatever reason it was B as the Democrat -- or A as the Democrat, B
7
8 as the Republican.
9 Q. Just a code problem?
10 A. Yeah. Or I believe the other could be, you
11 know, obviously some other kind of error that the machine incurred that wasn't even -- that was

12
13 programmed into it.
Q. And it could be an innocent mistake or a
14
15 malicious virus; correct?
A. Correct.
16
17 Q. Okay. And -- now, did you review
18 statements by eyewitnesses that led you to consider
one way or the other whether there may be telltale
19
20 signs of a defective system?
A. Yes.
21
22 Q. And what did you review?
A. I reviewed three affidavits from voters, as
23
24 well as the voter hotline report for -- for one
25 precinct.
0179
1 Q. And from your perspective as an expert,
2 what sort of issues were reported that might have
been telltale signs of defective equipment?
3
4 A. Voters were reporting machines were
rebooting spontaneously. Some people were citing
5
6 that there were raw error codes showing up in logs on
the machines, which is exceptionally rare in good
7
8 software.
9 There were also voters who had issues with the
10 review screen where they, you know -- one voter
11 reported that they voted their whole ballot, but they
didn't see the lieutenant governor choice on it at
12
13 all. And then they get to the review screen and they
see that it's there. Other voters were reporting
14
15 once they got to their review screen, they quit on a
particular race to go back and change their answer or
16
17 review it or whatever. And it would just cast their
18 vote instead of taking them back to that screen.
There were numerous errors that seemed to have
19
20 manifested.
Q. From your perspective, and based upon your
21
22 expertise, is there a way to -- just -- is there a
way, one or the other, whether you can generalize
23
24 from those specific instances, any system-wide sort
25 of problems?
0180
1 A. Generalized, no, I don't think so.
2 Q. And what would you need to do to determine
whether the extent of the defect?
3
4 A. A forensic analysis of the software that

was running on those machines would be necessary.
5
6 Q. Okay. And have you undertaken or started
or tried to do a forensic analysis of these DRE
7
8 machines?
9 A. Yes, sir. I showed up to Fulton County
10 Election [inaudible] on Monday and was told that I
11 would not be allowed to do it.
Q. Okay. And this is just this Monday, three
12
13 days ago?
A. Correct.
14
15 Q. Okay. And have you had the opportunity to
review the internal memory or examine the internal
16
17 memory of any of these machines?
18 A. No, sir.
Q. And if -- explain, if you will, sort of the
19
20 back and forth of the industry and I know it's all
collegial, but just what the back and forth was
21
22 between you and the technicians down there.
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, objection to the relevance.
23
24 The Court has heard their motions to compel, have heard
25 the motions for discovery. The Court has heard their
0181
1 proffer regarding what they believe that she should have
2 been entitled to, but they -- but the Court ruled against
them. So I would object as this is not relevant. The
3
4 Court's already ruled on this.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we further object on this
5
6 as it is hearsay, the conversations he had with the
technicians. He can say what he said, but what the
7
8 technician said is hearsay.
9 MR. BROWN: I'll take that as -- in reverse. It's
10 not hearsay because it's statements by the adverse party
11 and --
THE COURT: Well, I have seen everybody's briefs on
12
13 this point, and I see both sides of it. I did not order a
forensic investigation. I specifically left that word
14
15 out.
I set out certain things to be done. Did you get a
16
17 forensic? No, we didn't get a forensic because I didn't
18 order a forensic. I'm not going beyond that.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, would you take testimony of
19
20 what it means -- what the word, internal memory, means?
THE COURT: I have some knowledge.
21
22 MR. BROWN: And I understand.

THE COURT: I'm not completely stupid.

23

24 MR. BROWN: Your Honor --

25 THE COURT: I happen to be a math/physics major.

0182

1 MR. BROWN: Your Honor.

2 THE COURT: Yeah. I got sidetracked. Yeah. So if you want to do it, go ahead. Don't worry about it.

3

4 BY MR. BROWN:

Q. Were you offered access to the internal

5

6 memory of a DRE machine?

THE COURT: I'm not going into that either. That's

7

8 what they objected to.

9 MR. BROWN: Okay.

10 THE COURT: Okay? The question was, how did he do

11 it? Okay? That was the issue. I was available; nobody called, except Mr. [inaudible].

12

13 MR. BROWN: Okay.

THE COURT: He emailed; right? Repeatedly. And I

14

15 repeatedly responded. This case has been my life for a week and a half. Go ahead.

16

17 MR. BROWN: I think we've --

18 BY MR. BROWN:

Q. Mr. Bernhard, why didn't you inspect the

19

20 DRE machine?

A. Turning on the machine would affect the

21

22 internal state, so we were ordered to review the internal memory of the machine. That includes the

23

24 ROM, the read-only memory where the operating system

25 and the boot loader as well as the election data is

0183

1 stored. Turning on the machine would necessarily

2 alter that data. There are ways to extract that data without damaging it in that way. But, of course, we

3

4 couldn't perform that operation.

Q. So, you could have accessed it -- is it --

5

6 let me try to unpack that a little bit. Had you accessed it -- you could access but you would have

7

8 altered the evidence? Is that what you're saying?

9 A. I would have altered some of the evidence

10 and would only have seen a very small part, but I

11 would have only seen the election archive, which is not the internal memory. It's just a very small part

12

13 of it.

Q. Okay. And so the -- faced with the

14

15 alternative of altering evidence and not getting the

evidence that you were looking for, you decided not
16
17 to conduct the investigation; correct?
18 A. That's correct.
Q. And can you explain to the Court in a
19
20 little bit greater detail how and why booting the
system without making a copy of the internal memory
21
22 first can alter evidence.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, this was an issue that was
23
24 raised to the Court; both sides briefed it. The Court
25 ordered if there were any further questions to request a
0184
1 conference call or anything like that. No such call was
2 requested.
The Court then heard, I believe -- I may be terming -
3
4 - the term [inaudible] -- but that you didn't file a very
extensive brief saying that you needed additional
5
6 discovery that wasn't being allowed to you. The Court
considered that brief and ruled against you. And now
7
8 today we're dealing with an evidentiary trial as to
9 whether or not there was a defect in the election system
10 in Georgia, that it -- was it great enough to overturn a
11 margin of victory over 123,000 votes.
That's the sole issue here today. If all of this is
12
13 simply regurgitating the same concerns that were
previously raised and [inaudible].
14
15 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, we just object on relevance
along the same line. What -- how did you turn on the
16
17 machines and a forensic examination not relevant to the
18 lieutenant governor's race, [inaudible].
THE COURT: Mr. Brown.
19
20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the -- this is the foundation
for establishing that the petitioners have not been given
21
22 any --
THE COURT: No. The petitioner was given. You
23
24 refused to take it; you wanted to do it some other way.
25 That issue is done. So go -- move on to another subject.
0185
1 MR. BROWN: Okay. Your Honor, if I may -- and I'm
2 not --
THE COURT: No. Not if you may. You always want an
3
4 if you may. No. We've been through this over and over
and over again. You email me at 11:00 at night. You
5
6 email me at 2:30 in the morning. You would not believe
all the stuff in this case.
7

8 I've looked at it. I've made the best decisions I
9 know how; move on to something else. Question of
10 discovery is done.
11 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, just one more thing. I'm
going to --
12
13 THE COURT: You don't listen to me, do you, sir?
MR. BROWN: It's a different topic.
14
15 THE COURT: Okay. Different topic's fine.
MR. BROWN: This is a different topic. Different
16
17 topic. The diff -- but I -- I would like to say the
18 different topic is going to sound like the same topic.
It's different.
19
20 MR. LINDSEY: Can I just object now?
THE COURT: This is just -- I guess I'm on the record
21
22 -- this is just really good lawyering on both sides. I
mean, it's like -- no. If it sounds -- what is it? If it
23
24 looks like a duck and it quacks like a duck, it is a duck;
25 is that the one where you talk about in the South?
0186
1 MR. BROWN: And I never agree with that, Your Honor.
2 THE COURT: Try one more question and we'll see where
we go. You might get to testify. Go ahead.
3
4 MR. BROWN: Yeah.
BY MR. BROWN:
5
6 Q. If the State of Georgia wanted to
investigate the causes of apparent anomalies, what
7
8 could it do?
9 A. It could perform a --
10 MR. LINDSEY: Objection, Your Honor.
11 THE COURT: I'm going to let him. I'm going to let
him answer that, what the state could do.
12
13 THE WITNESS: It could perform a forensic
investigation, which are the best practices recommended by
14
15 experts and have been for decades.
BY MR. BROWN:
16
17 Q. And based upon your interactions with the
18 State and your knowledge of the --
THE COURT: No. Not based upon his interactions with
19
20 the State. We're not going there.
BY MR. BROWN:
21
22 Q. Did the State conduct that kind of forensic
examination?
23
24 A. No.
25 Q. Okay.
0187

1 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would like to take a break
2 just to get a glass of water and then finish up with this
witness, quickly.
3
4 THE COURT:
MR. BROWN: Thank you. Thank you, Your Honor.
5
6
[Off the record at 2:09 p.m., and back on the record
7
8 at 2:22 p.m.]
9
10 THE COURT: [inaudible]
11
CONTINUATION OF REDIRECT EXAMINATION
12
13 OF MATTHEW BERNHARD
14
15 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Bernhard, do you have experience
16
17 evaluating GEMS databases?
18 A. Yes, sir.
Q. Have you in the course of your work
19
20 reviewed or had access to GEMS databases?
A. Yes, sir. I've been sent to GEMS databases
21
22 from the State of Colorado, State of California, I
believe the State of Alaska as well.
23
24 Q. And were those treated as nonconfidential?
25 A. Yeah. They were public records.
0188
1 Q. Okay. Have you received that from the
2 State of Georgia?
A. No.
3
4 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, he's going back down the
same rabbit hole again and I object. This is once again a
5
6 matter that should have been taken up regarding discovery.
We're here today to hear the evidence as to whether or not
7
8 there was some kind of breach and whether or not it was
9 significant enough to change the election votes, not
10 whether or not they should get something they didn't get.
11 THE COURT: I sustain the objection.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. No further
12
13 questions.
14
15 CROSS-EXAMINATION
OF MATTHEW BERNHARD
16
17
18 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. [inaudible], Mr. Bernhard. So let me ask
19
20 you first, is it your personal belief that only paper

should be used for elections, hand-marked paper
21
22 ballots?
A. Hand-marked paper ballots that are
23
24 [inaudible].
25 THE COURT: [inaudible]
0189
1 THE WITNESS: Hand-marked paper ballots [inaudible].
2 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. Have you ever worked on a political
3
4 campaign before?
A. No, sir.
5
6 Q. Now you talked about your research and
various studies and what you've looked at. It's
7
8 correct, isn't it, that you're not aware of a single
9 instance anywhere in Georgia where there was a piece
10 of malware that was somehow propagated from a server
11 that creates the ballot format, down through memory
card, onto the DRE; is that correct?
12
13 A. Correct. But again, that's just because
they're machines. There's no [inaudible].
14
15 Q. But you're not aware of an instance where
that's occurred; correct? Okay. And you're not
16
17 aware of an instance where anywhere in the nation
18 where a piece of malware has been propagated onto a
DRE in an actual election, are you?
19
20 A. Not on the DRE voter registration systems,
I guess.
21
22 Q. But as the DRE you're not?
A. [inaudible]
23
24 Q. Okay. Now you talked a little bit about
25 the Winterville precinct in Clarke County; is that
0190
1 correct?
2 A. Yes, sir.
Q. Okay. And you said that -- did you perform
3
4 a statistical analysis at that precinct?
A. No, sir.
5
6 Q. Who performed that statistical analysis?
A. I think it was Philip Stark.
7
8 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor?
9 MR. TYSON: And so -- sorry.
10 MR. LINDSEY: He testified earlier as to the results
11 from that machine as if he himself performed that
examination after this Court specifically ruled that that
12
13 testimony from Mr. Stark was hearsay.

I'm going to move that that testimony that had been
14
15 previously raised regarding this be stricken from the record because it's contrary to a prior ruling that he
16
17 could not testify as to what other people had done. And --
18
THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
19
20 MR. LINDSEY: -- and [inaudible] that he mischaracterizes earlier testimony as well.
21
22 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I believe that the record would say what the record says about what he says and
23
24 [inaudible] attempt to characterize it. The testimony was
25 that he had reviewed the evidence relating to the
0191
1 Winterville.
2 I asked him what telltale signs and he used that as an example. We further established early on that the
3
4 reports of people like Stark are something that an expert in his capacity looks at and that he reviews the evidence
5
6 from all different sources.
MR. LINDSEY: He -- I'm sorry, go ahead. I'm sorry.
7
8 MR. BROWN: I don't --
9 MR. LINDSEY: I didn't mean to --
10 MR. BROWN: I don't remember him saying that he did
11 the math on Winterville, but that he knew the answer and he knew how to get it.
12
13 MR. LINDSEY: But he -- but -- but he has been specifically told on multiple occasions despite attempts
14
15 to solicit such testimony that he was not to testify as to what other people had done or what other people reported.
16
17 He was specifically admonished not to do that; and through
18 ambiguity and a question, he led this Court to believe that he, himself -- and I'm sorry, I --
19
20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor --
MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible]
21
22 THE COURT: Let him finish.
MR. LINDSEY: That he, himself, had conducted this
23
24 investigation of these tapes. He has not admitted that he
25 has not and I ask that his previous testimony be stricken.
0192
1 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, same response. I would -- to
2 get at the truth --
THE COURT: Well, he gets -- it's his motion, so I'm
3
4 -- he testified as that he got the numbers from the Secretary of State, and that I will accept.
5

6 MR. TYSON: But he's now [inaudible].
THE COURT: But the rest of it I will not accept.
7
8 MR. TYSON: Okay. But he's now testified that he
9 didn't actually do that investigation.
10 MR. BROWN: But now [inaudible]?
11 THE COURT: Not yet. But that's how I heard it. I
heard it that he got the numbers from Clarke County and
12
13 the rest of it -- he sounded -- he moved into it as if
he'd done it all, but no, he -- obviously he hadn't do it
14
15 all --
MR. LINDSEY: Just by testifying that he didn't do
16
17 it, that's [inaudible].
18 THE COURT: -- it wouldn't be admissible. Okay.
MR. LINDSEY: Make sure that's on [inaudible]. I'm
19
20 sorry.
BY MR. TYSON:
21
22 Q. Mr. Bernhard, so you -- I believe we had
just been through that Professor Stark had done the
23
24 statistical analysis of the Winterville precinct; is
25 that correct?
0193
1 A. That's correct. I pulled the numbers
2 though beforehand.
Q. And so, when you say you pulled the
3
4 numbers, could you explain to us what that means?
A. I --
5
6 THE COURT: Did you pull the numbers?
THE WITNESS: Yes, I pulled the numbers.
7
8 THE COURT: I thought you said he pulled the numbers.
9 THE WITNESS: Okay.
10 THE COURT: And by moving into that you make it sound
11 like he did it all, but he didn't. He just pulled the
numbers.
12
13 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. So, Mr. Bernhard, after you pulled the
14
15 numbers it's correct isn't it that Professor Stark
did then the statistical analysis?
16
17 A. Correct.
18 Q. Okay. And you didn't personally perform
the statistical analysis in that precinct?
19
20 A. No, sir. Because Philip had already done
it. I didn't see a point in doing it again.
21
22 Q. How did you go about selecting or had -- do
you know how anybody selected the Winterville
23

24 precinct?
25 A. It was brought to my attention just because
0194
1 of this oddity of his one machine having drastically
2 different vote totals than the other seven.
Q. And was that from plaintiff's counsel?
3
4 A. I believe so.
Q. And if I'm recalling correctly, the
5
6 machines on that precinct had anywhere between 117
and 144 votes per machine; is that correct?
7
8 A. That sounds about right.
9 Q. Okay. So the maximum number of votes on
10 the one machine would have been 144 votes; is that
11 correct?
A. That sounds about right.
12
13 Q. Okay. Mr. Bernhard, you don't have any
expertise in the process of ballot design; is that
14
15 correct?
A. I have designed ballots, but I suppose I
16
17 don't have that expertise; that's correct.
18 Q. And you never read any literature or
studies regarding design -- design of ballots and
19
20 voter behavior?
A. I have, yes.
21
22 Q. On voter behavior specifically?
A. Yes.
23
24 Q. Okay. So in scenarios where voters face a
25 high turnout a year -- strike that.
0195
1 I believe your testimony earlier was that there
2 was an analysis of two precincts, the Winterville
precinct and what was the other precinct?
3
4 A. I don't think I said there was an analysis.
I -- two precincts were pointed out to me -- well,
5
6 more than two actually. Winterville [inaudible] the
numbers off. Grady High School had machine errors
7
8 that were reported. The AME Church had errors that
9 were reported.
10 Q. So, in terms of an analysis though in your
11 expert opinion, you've only looked at the Winterville
precinct; is that correct?
12
13 A. In terms of numerical analysis, yes. In
terms of assessing how many errors that occurred, no.
14
15 Q. But let me just make sure I'm clear on
that. So I thought you testified that Professor
16

17 Stark did the analysis on Winterville.
18 MR. BROWN: Objection.
Q. So have you --
19
20 MR. BROWN: Object, Your Honor. It's unclear as to
what analysis he's talking about and there's a confusion
21
22 between the forensic [inaudible] --
THE COURT: Okay. Rephrase the question.
23
24 MR. TYSON: Okay. I'll be happy to rephrase. Thank
25 you.
0196
1 BY MR. TYSON:
2 Q. So, correct me if I'm wrong, but I believe
you testified Professor Stark performed the
3
4 statistical analysis of the Winterville precinct;
correct?
5
6 A. That's correct, yes.
Q. Did you perform a statistical analysis of
7
8 any precinct?
9 A. No, sir.
10 Q. Did you conduct a forensic analysis of any
11 DRE machine in the state of Georgia for the November
2018 election?
12
13 A. No, sir.
Q. And so sitting here today, it's correct to
14
15 say that you have no idea whether any malware resides
on any DRE machine in the state of Georgia; is that
16
17 correct.
18 A. Yes, that's correct and I reckon that no
one else does either.
19
20 MR. TYSON: All right. That's all I've got.
21
22 CROSS-EXAMINATION
OF MATTHEW BERNHARD
23
24
25 BY MR. LINDSEY:
0197
1 Q. Winterville's located in Clarke County;
2 correct?
A. I believe so.
3
4 Q. Okay. Clarke County is not in this
lawsuit, you're aware of that, right?
5
6 MR. BROWN: I object. It calls for a legal
conclusion and it's the wrong conclusion.
7
8 MR. TYSON: Clarke County is not a named party to
9 this case.
10 THE COURT: Well, Mr. Lindsay, what he said is in the

11 lawsuit --
MR. TYSON: I apologize.
12
13 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. Clarke County is not a named party in the
14
15 lawsuit; correct?
A. I believe so, yes.
16
17 Q. Okay. The voting strips haven't been --
18 you didn't bring the voting strips with you, did you?
A. You mean the pap- -- the poll tapes?
19
20 Q. Yeah.
A. No, sir. I don't have those [inaudible].
21
22 Q. And as you previously testified, it was Mr.
Stark that did the analysis of the eight machines
23
24 [inaudible]; correct?
25 A. He did the statistical analysis.
0198
1 [inaudible].
2 Q. Okay. Now let's talk for a minute about
your -- the odds that you raised. You've never done
3
4 any kind of -- well, let me back up. You're not a
political science expert; correct?
5
6 A. No, sir.
Q. Okay. So you don't know the impact that an
7
8 -- that a large influx of new voters would have in
9 terms of their voting patterns with down-ballot
10 races? You don't know anything about that?
11 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I'll object to that and
here's my objection. Cross-examination needs to be based
12
13 upon a good faith belief that there's going to be some
submission of evidence to support the inference or the
14
15 predicate of the question.
That's the first point. In this case we know that
16
17 the State does not have any predicate because there's no
18 person on earth who could be qualified as an expert to
render that opinion.
19
20 THE COURT: You're arguing. That's an argument.
I'll overrule that objection.
21
22 THE WITNESS: Can you repeat the question?
BY MR. TYSON:
23
24 Q. I don't remember the question. I was
25 simply just by establishing that you have no
0199
1 knowledge as to the pattern, behavior of new voters.
2 A. That's not true. I have -- I mean I've
reviewed the political science literature insofar as

3
4 it informs my work.
Q. Okay. And if I understand correctly, you
5
6 don't have -- or rather you haven't done any
investigation as to the particularities of this race;
7
8 correct?
9 A. I'm familiar with the races and the
10 candidates.
11 Q. Yeah. Are you familiar, for instance, with
the fact -- with how the paper ballots were
12
13 configured in terms of the names versus the
configuration of candidates on the electronic?
14
15 A. I can't say that I'm familiar with every
electronic configuration because there can be
16
17 different -- on a county-by-county basis; the same
18 with paper ballots, but I'm generally familiar, yes.
Q. Okay. You are aware that the configuration
19
20 was different from the paper ballots versus the
electronic; correct?
21
22 A. Yes, sir.
Q. Okay. Have you done any kind of analysis
23
24 regarding voter familiarity with Ms. Amico in terms
25 of how well they knew her? In terms of -- strike
0200
1 that.
2 Are you familiar with any kind of data regarding
Ms. Amico's name identification versus other
3
4 candidates running?
A. No, sir.
5
6 Q. You hadn't -- you hadn't reviewed any kind
of polling that was conducted in this race prior to
7
8 the election; correct?
9 A. Not in this particular race, no.
10 Q. You haven't considered any kind of negative
11 treatment Ms. Amico received in the press shortly
before the election; correct?
12
13 A. Correct. All of these factors can impact
[inaudible].
14
15 Q. Uh-huh.
A. But the fact that this under-vote is so
16
17 incredibly different from all the other races on the
18 ballot, that seems incredibly suspicious. It's way
different than, you know, any other case in Georgia
19
20 or anywhere else in the nation.
So even if there are factors like likability,

21
22 like lagging the polls, like ballot design, you would
not normally expect to see that level of under-voting
23
24 distributed as uniformly across the state of Georgia
25 as it is and to defer between the votes voted [ph].
0201
1 Q. Okay. Quite frankly -- and you're not
2 familiar with how the other races went in this state
either are you?
3
4 A. I would with some of them.
Q. Yeah. You're familiar with the fact that
5
6 Ms. Abrams was, for want of a better term, on the
Democratic side considered a superstar?
7
8 A. Yes, sir.
9 Q. Yeah. And you're familiar with the fact
10 that John Barrow running for Secretary of State, for
11 instance, was a well-known figure in Georgia
politics?
12
13 A. Yes, sir.
Q. And are you aware of the fact that Mr.
14
15 Amico was still a relative unknown?
A. Yes. But I want to stress that the
16
17 particulars of the candidate would not necessarily
18 impact the under-votes. We saw under-votes impacting
both candidates in this race.
19
20 Q. Uh-huh. And you don't know -- let me ask
you this. While there was under-votes, you are aware
21
22 of the fact that, you know, in terms of the overall
vote count for Ms. Amico vis--vis other Democratic
23
24 candidates, it's not like she got the least number of
25 votes; correct?
0202
1 A. I'm afraid I don't understand your
2 question.
Q. Let me ask you this. There were ten
3
4 Democrats on the State ballot in 2006; correct?
A. 2006?
5
6 Q. I'm sorry. Wait a minute. 2018.
A. Yes.
7
8 Q. Okay. [inaudible] And there were other
9 Democratic candidates who received significantly
10 fewer number of votes that Ms. Amico received;
11 correct?
A. In the State races?
12
13 Q. Yes.
A. Statewide races? [inaudible].

14
15 Q. Yeah. As a matter of fact, Ms. Amico was somewhere in the middle of the pack in terms of the
16
17 voters that she received versus other Democrats
18 running; correct?
A. Sure.
19
20 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I renew my motion -- my objection to his testimony regarding Winterville and ask
21
22 that it be stricken in as much as he was not the individual who did the analysis nor has the plaintiff
23
24 brought forth any of this --
25 THE COURT: I think I've ruled that the fact that --
0203
1 MR. LINDSEY: -- [inaudible] testimony.
2 THE COURT: -- he looked at the numbers is admissible and the rest of it's not.
3
4 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor. Oh, one last question.
5
6 THE COURT: I'm glad you have Mr. Olens to help you, Mr. Lindsey.
7
8 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you.
9 BY MR. LINDSEY:
10 Q. You've been asked about Georgia when it
11 comes to machines and a -- [inaudible] involving the machines. You're not aware of any actual election,
12
13 not -- not a tested election but an actual election, where an actual vote cast on a DRE machine was -- was
14
15 not counted, are you?
A. No. But again, that's because of the way
16
17 the machine built, it's impossible to tell. You
18 cannot know.
Q. Okay.
19
20 MR. LINDSEY: No further questions.
THE COURT: Ms. Burwell, do you have anything?
21
22 MS. BURWELL: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Brown?
23
24 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
25
0204
1 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
2 OF MATTHEW BERNHARD
3
4 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Bernhard, I want to go -- I want to go
5
6 over some of your answers.
When you were speaking about the under-vote as

7
8 being suspicious, were you referring to the under-
9 vote for the Democratic candidate or were you
10 referring to the under-vote the Democratic candidate
11 and the Republican candidate?
A. I was referring to both candidates.
12
13 Q. You testified that there -- I think Mr.
Tyson asked you whether they're in the actual
14
15 election any bad code had been found or something to
that effect; do you recall that?
16
17 A. Malware specifically.
18 Q. Malware. And I believe your response was
not what the DREs, but yes in the registration
19
20 system?
A. Correct.
21
22 Q. And could you explain your answer a little
bit in greater detail?
23
24 MR. TYSON: I'll object to the question. The voter
25 registration system is not an issue as far as I know at
0205
1 any point in this lawsuit. This is about the election and
2 the DREs; it's not the voter registration system.
MR. LINDSEY: And it -- I'd add to that, Judge.
3
4 THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
MR. BROWN: Is the vote -- let me ask one more
5
6 question if I may help.
BY MR. BROWN:
7
8 Q. Is the voter registration system also a
9 part of the Diebold DRE system generally or is it
10 something else?
11 A. Yes, sir. Diebold makes the Express Poll
units.
12
13 THE COURT: Voter registration is not involved in
this matter.
14
15 MR. BROWN: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.
BY MR. BROWN:
16
17 Q. You testified and then you were asked very
18 quickly a question. I'm not sure if you finished
your answer, but that the anomalous voting pattern
19
20 was distributed evenly throughout Georgia. What did
you -- what was the basis of that testimony?
21
22 A. I believe something like 101, 159 counties
had an under-vote rate that was abnormal, and it
23
24 didn't seem to be, you know, tied to -- are they
25 left-leaning counties or right-leaning counties or

0206
1 anything like that. It was only tied in with the
2 equipment.
Q. And would you -- sorry, you testified
3
4 earlier that you have expertise in a post-election
audit; is that right?
5
6 A. That's correct. Yes.
Q. And based upon your past post-election
7
8 audit experience and expertise, what would you
9 recommend the State of Georgia do to audit these
10 systems?
11 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object. What Mr.
Bernhard thinks or what he should do is a policy question
12
13 about a legislator is not relevant or pertaining to this
proceeding.
14
15 THE COURT: I'm going to let him say that solely
because they're asking for a brand-new election with paper
16
17 ballots and it would go to that issue should I get that
18 far.
MR. TYSON: Thank you, Your Honor. I just want to
19
20 object to one point related to that.
THE COURT: Yes.
21
22 MR. TYSON: I believe that the new election paper
ballots are the constitutional claims that are gone at
23
24 this point.
25 THE COURT: I understand that.
0207
1 MR. TYSON: I think.
2 THE COURT: I understand that.
MR. BROWN: That's -- that's not correct. That's not
3
4 correct. That's not correct.
THE COURT: I understand what I can and can't do;
5
6 okay?
MR. BROWN: Okay.
7
8 THE WITNESS: Yes. I do recommend that Georgia run a
9 post-election audit. Given the anomalies that we saw, you
10 would likely have to target your auditing a little bit
11 more specifically. If we had a paper ballot system, we'd
be counting more ballots than if they weren't all these
12
13 [inaudible].
BY MR. BROWN:
14
15 Q. If you were doing a forensic examination in
place of an actual recounting audit, what would be
16
17 the first thing that you would look at if you were
18 the State of Georgia?

A. I would start with the programming. You
19
20 know, starting with the most innocent explanation and
working our way through all others, so looking at the
21
22 GEMS database, making sure it was coded correctly,
that there weren't errors with what you saw in
23
24 Winterville that may be a coding error. And making
25 sure, then after that you proceed.
0208
1 Q. You -- I believe, your testimony was that
2 the first opportunity that you had to forensically
inspect a DRE machine was Monday?
3
4 THE COURT: Let's not go there. I told you not o go
there. You just did it and had the question and nobody
5
6 objected; they stood up but you didn't see them.
MR. BROWN: Okay.
7
8 THE COURT: Don't go there. The ruling has been
9 made.
10 MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.
11 THE COURT: I don't care what his was. This case was
set for December 5th, period.
12
13 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor, we -- this is --
THE COURT: Sir, I don't want to hear --
14
15 MR. BROWN: I'll move on.
THE COURT: -- any more about that.
16
17 MR. BROWN: All right.
18 THE COURT: I am tired about hearing about that. I
have gobs and gobs of paperwork about it; I've ruled.
19
20 Let's move on.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor.
21
22 THE COURT: To what real issue is here today.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I'm moving on.
23
24 THE COURT: You always want to get the last word;
25 I've noticed that, Mr. Brown.
0209
1 MR. BROWN: No. I -- I want to not get the last word
2 out. I want to ---
THE COURT: You want to what?
3
4 MR. BROWN: I will -- I will stop right now.
THE COURT: Okay. Anybody else?
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: You may go. Thank you, sir.
7
8 MR. BROWN: Now, Your Honor, I'm not -- it sounds
9 like I'm arguing with you and this is just simply a matter
10 of procedure. We do not have the ruling yet on --- if you
11 issued a -- I mean clearly --

THE COURT: Ruling on what?

MR. BROWN: On the motion to compel.

THE COURT: I denied the motion to compel.

MR. BROWN: Okay. We -- was that -- you don't have that?

MR. LINDSEY: I think she said, she denied all that.

THE COURT: It was somewhere in that last order I put -- was it -- if it wasn't clear to you, it should be clear now. The motion to compel was denied.

MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. We -- we -- just for the record we did not know that.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the petitioners would call Michael Barnes.

0210

THE COURT: Okay. Someone get Michael -- he's in the courtroom. What's he -- who are those people?

MR. BROWN: Please raise your right hand. Do you promise to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth?

THE WITNESS: I do.

Thereupon:

MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES

was called as a witness by the Petitioner; and, having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION
OF MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES

BY MR. BROWN:

Q. Please state your full name for the record?

A. Michael William Barnes.

Q. By whom are you currently employed?

A. The Secretary of State's office.

MR. BROWN: You may sit down. Thank you.

BY MR. BROWN:

Q. And what is your position with the Secretary of State?

A. I am the director for the Center for Election Systems.

Q. And what is the Center for Election Systems?

5
6 A. We oversee the voting for in the state of Georgia. We also deal with the database programming
7
8 for all county elections executed in the state.
9 Q. Does --- was CES formally housed at
10 Kennesaw State University, for a lack of a better
11 expression?
A. It was.
12
13 Q. Okay. And when did it move to be a part of the Secretary of State's Office?
14
15 A. It ceased operations at Kennesaw State on December 31st of 2017 and was relocated to the
16
17 Secretary of State's office on January 1st.
18 Q. And when that happened, which staff members came -- which staff members were let go and which
19
20 staff members came with them?
A. I was the only staff member that came from
21
22 Kennesaw to the Secretary of State's office.
Q. And the other people were fired or let go
23
24 or whatever?
25 A. The other people were retained by the
0212
1 university I believe for -- through the end of
2 January 3- -- January of 2018 and then with no funding to maintain the operation of the center, the
3
4 center was closed.
Q. Did your office manage the state's election
5
6 system in the November 2018 election?
A. Our center, as I stated earlier, oversees
7
8 the voting equipment that's used in the state of
9 Georgia for federal, state and county elections. And
10 we've constructed the GEMS database for all the 159
11 counties for the November 2018 election.
Q. And you said, "You constructed it for all
12
13 of the counties;" does each county get a different GEMS database?
14
15 A. Yes.
Q. And the GEMS database is a Microsoft Access
16
17 product, is that correct?
18 A. The GEMS database is -- stands for Global Election Management Systems and a data file is
19
20 produced from that executable program.
Q. And what is the executable program called?
21
22 A. GEMS, Global Elections Management System.
Q. Okay. And does the GEMS database contain

23
24 programming for the DRE machine memory cards?
25 A. The GEMS database is where -- from the
0213
1 memory cards that are used to power the DRE units.
2 They're used for advance voting and in-person
Election Day use. The memory cards are created from
3
4 GEMS database.
Q. And could you just describe to the court
5
6 sort of physically the chain of custody of the -- of
the programming and I'm -- the purpose for this is to
7
8 distinguish between what the secretary does and what
9 the county does having to do with the county's
10 office? You said, that "the Secretary of State's
11 office is responsible for creating," I think you
said, "the GEMS database for all 159 counties," is
12
13 that right?
A. That's correct.
14
15 Q. All right. So, the counties then get a
GEMS database that's already done, for a lack of a
16
17 better expression?
18 A. Correct.
Q. And then what do the counties do with that
19
20 GEMS database or what is it used for?
A. Okay. Let me start first with the
21
22 Secretary of State's office; constructs the GEMS
database for the need of the given election. So
23
24 what's qualified and closest and we know what races
25 will be in specific election.
0214
1 We know the candidates. We know the precincts
2 that will be involved based upon what the county has
relayed back to us as their need for a given
3
4 election. With that information collected from the
county, a database is then constructed for that given
5
6 election.
Once the database has been constructed by the
7
8 Secretary of State's office, it's then reviewed at
9 the Secretary of State's office for completeness to
10 validate that all of the races for that given
11 election and that have been included in the database,
not only all of the races, but also all the
12
13 candidates.
Once our review of that process has been
14
15 completed we then provide to the jurisdiction, for
lack of a better word -- sample ballots that are

16
17 produced from the GEMS database along with reports
18 that are detailing what ballots' files will be
related to which precincts and to what district
19
20 combinational values those ballots are related to
within those given precincts.
21
22 And that report packet is forwarded to each and
every individual county with copies of their sample
23
24 ballots for them to review for their completeness,
25 for correctness. Once we then receive signoff from
0215
1 the county and not until you receive signoff from the
2 county -- but once the signoff is received from the
county, then the database is saved to a CD, burned to
3
4 a CD and that CD encrypted, and then that CD is
forwarded to the local jurisdiction for them to then
5
6 take that CD, contact our office via phone, provide a
verification ID and return the CD back to our office,
7
8 so that we know that they have the CD that we've
9 provided.
10 And then once we've validated, we're talking to
11 who we're supposed to be talking to at the county, we
then give them the passcode to be able to then
12
13 decrypt the CD to access the data file that's saved
to the CD; and then that file is loaded to the
14
15 county's local GEMS computer where they then -- the
county -- inspects the file to validate that what has
16
17 then been provided to them is what they signed off
18 on.
Once it is loaded to their system then the
19
20 county begins configuring the database for that
election. And what I mean by that is, is putting
21
22 information into the database that says we will be
using x number of devices at these given locations
23
24 for advance voting, for Election Day voting, and also
25 preparing the memory cards that are used for the
0216
1 optic scanners that scan the mailout absentee
2 ballots.
Q. And then just the -- what -- what in that
3
4 process then drives the actual programing of a
specific DRE machine, taking it from the GEMS
5
6 database to me voting or you voting on a machine?
A. Okay. All right. Once the county has
7
8 loaded the GEMS database into their GEMS computer,

9 they then tell -- instruct the database that they
10 need to correct a certain number of individual memory
11 cards.
So if they have a voting location that has the -
12
13 - I think they use five DRE units -- they have to put
that information into the GEMS database and say that
14
15 for location A we will be creating a five memory
cards. They then go through a process of
16
17 transferring the information within GEMS onto a
18 memory card that is read by a DRE machine.
Once that memory card is created, that memory
19
20 card is then taken into the DRE unit, which it will
married with for that given election. When that
21
22 process takes place there're labels on the memory
cards that notate what machine ID this memory card
23
24 is.
25 The county's also normally notate on those
0217
1 little print-on labels the physical device and put
2 the physical device serial number to which they are
placing that memory card into, so that when the
3
4 memory card is removed at a later point in time and
something happens, they know what machine to give it
5
6 back to if they needed to access the machine for some
reason.
7
8 The memory card is inserted, and then the
9 counties go through a logic and accuracy test where
10 they bring up -- they power on the device and they go
11 through testing it. This testing is done to
validate, to calibrate the test screen to make sure
12
13 it's receptive to touch. They also set the date and
time on the device to make sure it's set to the
14
15 proper date so that when tapes are printed out of the
machine pre- and post-election, so that it has a
16
17 proper date stamp.
18 The date stamp doesn't affect the operation of
the system, but it's helpful to have when you're
19
20 trying to make sure that things are done in the
proper order. Once the memory card's loaded and they
21
22 go through their diagnostics testing, which is where
they're testing the printer, they're testing
23
24 calibration or setting the calibration to make sure
25 it's proper, saving the date and time, testing the
0218
1 printer.

2 Also testing the card reader that's used to read the voter access card that the voter will be
3
4 inserting. Once they complete that diagnostic testing, then they start doing -- they start
5
6 inputting test ballots, where they will take normally a test date that is prefilled by the jurisdiction.
7
8 It says we're going to cast X number of votes to
9 validate all touch positions on the DRE are
10 responsive; and also to validate that all races and
11 candidates are visible on the screen and are showing up on the all ballot as needed for the given
12
13 election.
That logic [inaudible] testing is publicized.
14
15 It is put into notification so that any member of the public that would wish to observe the testing and
16
17 come and observe the testing.
18 And it has to be included by a certain point in time so that the machines, once it clears logic and
19
20 active testing, they can be set for election, sealed and get ready for distribution to the polling
21
22 location or to the advance voting location.
Q. Have -- are you aware of any time when a
23
24 logic and accuracy test has picked up a programming
25 error?
0219
1 A. Can you provide me -- programming errors,
2 what do you mean by programming errors?
Q. Sure. Let me give you an example. Suppose
3
4 -- well, let me back up two steps. The -- there would be some programming to instruct the system and
5
6 then if the voter presses here the vote goes there, are you with me?
7
8 A. Mm-hmm.
9 Q. The mapping of the votes from the screen to
10 the actual vote, are you with me?
11 A. Sure.
Q. Now, it would --- if you want, I'm not
12
13 suggesting you did this, but if you wanted to switch that, so that pressing, you know, voting for the
14
15 Republican recorded a Democrat voter or a Libertarian, where would that programming reside, and
16
17 could you do it?
18 A. As my knowledge based on the system, I can speak to it as a user of the program --
19

20 Q. Sure.
A. -- of how you lay candidates out
21
22 specifically within a race. I'm not aware of a way
to organize the candidates to get one display and
23
24 then once where you touch it next to a candidate,
25 that their results show up next to another candidate
0220
1 in another order. I'm not aware of how you would do
2 that.
Q. Now, there has been some testimony about
3
4 voting totals in a particular district where you had
-- it was heavily -- I'll just give you like a hypo
5
6 and then the purpose of this is not to or get you to
agree with the facts, but simply to pull out your
7
8 testimony on the program, but -- but just assume
9 there is a voting location in which is heavily
10 Democrat voting location and six of the machines show
11 heavily Democrat votes and the seventh machine shows
very heavy Republican votes, which some might lead
12
13 some access it didn't have, like you know, a six-pack
of beer on it or something that was attracting
14
15 everybody to win a particular vote, but that they
went evenly, there might be some sort of coding error
16
17 in which it was switching from one candidate to the
18 other now by mistake.
If that were a programming error and I just want
19
20 to know where would that be?
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'm going to object to
21
22 the questioning; assuming facts that are not in
evidence that the court has already stricken.
23
24 MR. BROWN: That's not --
25 THE COURT: Let him --
0221
1 MR. BROWN: I'm -- I'm sorry. I'm sorry. I'm
2 sorry.
MR. LINDSEY: I believe the court has ruled to
3
4 strike that analogy that it lead to those to -- to
that allegation and for that reason, Your Honor, we
5
6 would object.
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, that also calls for Mr.
7
8 Brown speculates as far as what might have happened
9 or what did happen.
10 THE COURT: Well, it's a hypothetical and I'm
11 going to let him answer to the best of his knowledge.
MR. LINDSEY: Okay, Your Honor.
12

13 THE COURT: He's one of the experts on this stuff. But I take it as a hypothetical.
14
15 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Do you understand all that?
16
17 THE WITNESS: I'm -- I'm trying, yes, I am. I'm
18 trying to figure out the best way to answer the question to the best of my knowledge.
19
20 THE COURT: Okay.
THE WITNESS: When -- when the GEMS database is
21
22 built, it builds a particular race, with a particular set of candidates, in a particular order. When it
23
24 creates a memory card for a given location and it
25 creates that image and places it to the memory card.
0222
1 And then that memory card is loaded to a device.
2 If that -- if I create five memory cards for a given location, those five memory cards are the same
3
4 content in structure and layout and in operation; they don't know what machine their going to be placed
5
6 in. The memory card just contains data. The memory card is read by the machine; the machine then shows
7
8 then that.
9 Q. And so, have you ever seen it in doing the
10 logic and accuracy test? Has that ever yielded, you
11 know, if it's not logical; it's not accurate and we need to go back and look at the coding to see why
12
13 that happened?
A. I have never seen in any of the testing
14
15 that we have -- that I've been involved with since 2001, with using this equipment where something that
16
17 was placed in during the testing environment did not
18 feedback the expected result that was in the testing.
Also, when doing various tests within elections
19
20 mode -- my work within -- at Kennesaw State and also with the Secretary of State's office -- not just
21
22 testing in election -- pre-election test mode, which is where an LMA testing is done -- but also testing
23
24 in election mode which is when election -- the mode
25 where elections are executed, I have never
0223
1 encountered a situation where I put in one particular
2 vote and this way and it came out differently in the report.
3
4 Q. Is it -- in one of the responses is collecting and reviewing complaints that you've

5
6 received from voters about problems with elections?
A. We -- if we do receive a complaint -- and
7
8 the majority of the complaints come into the
9 elections division, that arm of the office. Our main
10 collection point are election officials themselves.
11 And if we get a notification from a county
election official that, you know, we encountered this
12
13 instance when doing our testing, then that would be
something that we would go and look into, find out if
14
15 there was something out of order.
Q. Now, you've received complaints about the -
16
17 - the operations of the machines in the November
18 election, right?
A. In November -- I mean, it was a general
19
20 election where there were a few million people
voting, so we did get complaints about a touch screen
21
22 not powering on. We got complaints about a voter
access card being rejected prematurely; about a
23
24 touchscreen apparently not being calibrated properly.
25 Things that we hear about with every election and
0224
1 we've heard every election since 2002.
2 Q. How -- the -- did the complainant know that
the screen was miscalibrated?
3
4 A. How do I know that they know --
Q. No. How did --
5
6 A. How did they know it was miscalibrated?
Q. Right?
7
8 A. I can't speak for how they knew. It's just
9 that they said that, you know, they encountered a
10 calibration issue.
11 Q. So, what the original touchscreen was
different then the summary screen or something like
12
13 that?
A. Or they, you know, felt like they were
14
15 touching one area, but another area it was -- was
appearing.
16
17 Q. Okay. What other complaints -- you've
18 mentioned a number, but what other complaints did you
receive in 2018?
19
20 A. Any of the complaints that I've already
outlined are the general complaints that I've heard.
21
22 And I did not personally take a lot of complaints on
Election Day. I think I wrote down in my notes maybe

23
24 five or six complaints on Election Day.
25 Q. Okay. And then what was the office that
0225
1 you said takes the ones from voters themselves, not
2 from officials?
A. That's the election division.
3
4 Q. Okay.
A. That's a different section, Secretary of
5
6 State's office.
Q. And -- and those -- okay. Let me take you
7
8 back to the weekend before the November election; are
9 you familiar with the alleged hacking of the voter
10 registration database on that weekend?
11 A. [inaudible] --
MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I would object on this. This
12
13 does not -- in any issue of the voter registration
database in this case; lieutenant governor's race. This
14
15 is not about the DREs. I don't think it's relevant to
anything in this court.
16
17 MR. LINDSEY: Same objection, Your Honor. We're here
18 to deal with one race and one election.
THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
19
20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, this is hacking into the
election system that the Secretary of State --
21
22 THE COURT: I'm not going to let you go into that
unless you can show hacking into the -- into the actual
23
24 voting system, not the voter registration system.
25 MR. BROWN: Let me ask a ---
0226
1 BY MR. BROWN:
2 Q. Could -- could -- could hacking into the
registration --- the hacking into the registration
3
4 system could affect the number of people who are
allowed to vote for a particular election; correct?
5
6 MR. TYSON: Your Honor.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, the same objection as
7
8 before. That's not the issue here today. The issue here
9 today that you've raised to overturn this election is that
10 there was some type of -- of breakdown in the election
11 system; not that there - a certain voter was not allowed
to vote, which we would also deny, but that's a different
12
13 issue. That's the issue here, so we object to the
relevance.
14
15 THE COURT: Sustained.
MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.

16
17 BY MR. BROWN:
18 Q. All right. Let me ask you this; are you
aware of any investigation that the Secretary of
19
20 State did into hacking relating to the 2018 election?
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, he's being vague.
21
22 THE COURT: That's the same question.
MR. LINDSEY: It's just leading.
23
24 THE COURT: Artfully. Phrased differently.
25 MR. TYSON: I disagree that it was artful, and I
0227
1 disagree it was different; it's just simply broader.
2 MR. BROWN: I'm asking if there was any.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'm asking --
3
4 THE COURT: If it goes to the election system itself,
not to the voter registration, the actual -- actual -- was
5
6 there any hacking in the actual voting system?
THE WITNESS: [inaudible].
7
8 BY MR. BROWN:
9 Q. And you did not investigate any hacking
10 into the election system as distinguished from the
11 registration system; correct?
A. Correct.
12
13 Q. And the Secretary of State's -- okay --
you've testified about it before I know there's been
14
15 a lot of testimony about the exposure of the system
at Kennesaw State in 2016 and 2017; are you familiar
16
17 generally with that issue?
18 A. I am.
Q. What has -- has the state undertaken a
19
20 forensic examination of the components of the
election's system to determine whether or not it was
21
22 infected with any malware because of that it's the --
-
23
24 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, once again, we're talking
25 about two entirely different systems; and unless he's
0228
1 dealing with specifically the voter system we're going to
2 object to relevancy.
MR. TYSON: We would object to the lack of foundation
3
4 as far as the 2016 incident that affected any sort of like
databases. This is two years ago. There's no explanation
5
6 as to how --
THE COURT: I sustain it as to whether there's been
7
8 something because of something that happened that isn't in

9 front of me. I mean, you know, did they investigate
10 routinely for malware? I mean, that's one thing. But --
11 not going back and try to put something else on the record
that's not before me.
12
13 MR. BROWN: Well, I'll get it before you, Your Honor.
BY MR. BROWN:
14
15 Q. Now, Mr. Barnes, the -- what was exposed to
the public internet in 2016 and 2017?
16
17 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, we going to renew the
18 objection again.
THE COURT: Sustained.
19
20 MR. BROWN: Okay.
BY MR. BROWN:
21
22 Q. Mr. Barnes, what forensic review has your
office done with respect to the DRE machine voting
23
24 systems that were used in the 2018 election?
25 A. The Secretary of State's office in 2017
0229
1 undertook a recertification of the voting system and
2 examined the equipment in three separate counties;
Muskogee County, Richmond County and Bibb County, to
3
4 examine and verify the voting equipment was working
as had been previously certified. And the
5
6 examination found that the equipment was working in
the same fashion and the same way as it had done
7
8 through previous certification tests.
9 Q. I had asked you if you -- if the Secretary
10 of State had done a forensic analysis and I guess the
11 answer is no, but they have done -- they have
recertified it, is that fair to say?
12
13 A. That's fair to say.
Q. Okay. Has the Secretary of State
14
15 undertaken an investigation into possible causes of
the voting pattern that was seen on the May 2018
16
17 election?
18 A. Undertaken an investigation, like, opened
an investigation to present to the state election
19
20 board?
Q. I -- I didn't mean to - to wrap too much
21
22 into the word investigation, what I mean is if have
they looked into it to see what happened?
23
24 A. With every election with the Secretary of
25 State's office and elections division always look at
0230
1 their election to see is there anything that we can

2 learn from this election.
And every election there's always an opportunity
3
4 to learn more about doing the next election even
better. So to the circumstance, has the Secretary of
5
6 State's office looked at the elections to, you know,
garner what can we do better in the future?
7
8 Absolutely.
9 Q. Has the Secretary of State considered that
10 whether there was a system error that caused the
11 voting pattern that was actually experienced in the
2018 race?
12
13 A. To my knowledge, no.
Q. Has that issues been raised just in casual
14
15 discussion in -- in your office?
MR. TYSON: I'll object to that. That requires
16
17 hearsay. What other people have said to Mr. Barnes in his
18 office is not at issue, Your Honor.
MR. BROWN: I'll -- I'll rephrase it.
19
20 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Have -- have -- have you discussed with
21
22 your colleagues' potential causes of the -- of the
voting pattern that was going on 2018?
23
24 A. Well, being hypothetical of what, you know,
25 what may cause people to act the way they do in
0231
1 certain situations?
2 Q. No. This is -- this is -- I'm not -- back
up a little bit. I'm not -- this is a factual
3
4 question.
THE COURT: Well, it's kind of very, very broad and
5
6 he's interpreting it one way and you're meaning it some
other way.
7
8 MR. BROWN: Right. Have -- let me ask it in several
9 different ways, okay.
10 BY MR. BROWN:
11 Q. Has the Secretary of State undertaken an
investigation to determine whether system defects
12
13 caused the voting pattern in the 2018 race?
A. No, sir.
14
15 Q. Has the Secretary of State done any
forensic examination of any of the components of the
16
17 system to determine whether or not they're were
18 infected with any malware or misprogramming that
might have affected the vote, period?
19

20 A. The Secretary of State's office received a letter from one of the lieutenant governor candidates
21
22 post-election stating one of -- stating a concern and listed some counties in that letter. Upon receiving
23
24 that letter, Secretary of State's office went to one
25 of the counties in question and did look at the
0232
1 uploaded records into the GEMS computer.
2 They also extracted information from individual machines that wer used on Election Day to validate
3
4 that the records contained on the machine matched what has been uploaded previously into the GEMS
5
6 computer. Those valid images were produced and examined and found that everything was balancing and
7
8 showing as what was reported initially by the county
9 on Election Night.
10 Q. So that if the vote was wrong at the
11 precinct level, it was wrong exactly the same way at the Secretary of State's office, is that what I'm
12
13 hearing?
MR. TYSON: I'll object. I think that assumes facts
14
15 that aren't in evidence. I don't understand what the question is on that.
16
17 MR. BROWN: I think he can answer it. I think he
18 knows where I'm going.
THE COURT: No. I sustain the objection. You've got
19
20 to rephrase it. You keep assuming a bunch of things. That's just --
21
22 MR. BROWN: Okay. [inaudible]
BY MR. BROWN:
23
24 Q. So the analysis showed that the vote total
25 in the precinct matched the vote total at the
0233
1 Secretary of State's office; correct?
2 A. The analysis showed that the vote total calculated by the individual devices examined and the
3
4 vote total tabulated by the separate GEMS computer using the data extracted directly from the machine
5
6 and compared against the data uploaded from the memory card used on Election Day was identically the
7
8 same, in that the vote totals calculated being
9 exactly the same in all instances examined.
10 Q. Okay. If the machine itself had
11 incorrectly recorded the vote, your testing would not have detected that; correct?
12

13 A. The testing that was done was to validate
that -- what the machine had produced, the machine
14
15 continued to produce and show that result
[inaudible].
16
17 Q. Okay. Let me ask it to you again because
18 you didn't answer the question. I'm going to get
there, okay. If the machine did not correctly record
19
20 the vote, you would not have detected then in your
review; correct?
21
22 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'm going to object to that.
I guess assuming things are in evidence here as far was
23
24 what's happening in the sequence of the technology. I
25 don't -- I don't see how this --
0234
1 THE COURT: I understand what you're saying.
2 MR. TYSON: Okay.
BY MR. BROWN:
3
4 Q. Go ahead and answer.
A. What our examination did is show that the
5
6 machine collected a result and reported what it
collected. All of my testing has always shown in
7
8 pre-election, in the testing, that anything that goes
9 in is what the machine shows coming out. And we were
10 seeing the back end of what the machine was pushing
11 outward and it was matching up on what was reported
on Election Night, what was printed on Election Night
12
13 from the memory card, extracted from the device. It
was matching up with what was calculated by the GEMS
14
15 computer upon receipt of that memory card and also it
was matching of what was on the record contained by
16
17 the devices, so.
18 Q. But none of that -- again, none of that
could tell you if the machine itself is recording the
19
20 vote, right?
A. All I can speak to is what the machine has
21
22 in memory, what it reported, and what it's saying is
on record.
23
24 Q. And you're familiar with the expression
25 garbage in, garbage out?
0235
1 A. I've heard it before, yes, sir.
2 Q. Okay. And in response to Candidate Amico's
letter, did the Secretary of State conduct any
3
4 machine-level investigation with any of the memory
chips or anything like to determine if there were any

5
6 flaws there?
A. We inspected two machines on site in Ben
7
8 Hill County. We recovered from the machine's
9 internal memory the election result file that was
10 stored on the machine. We then -- once that image
11 file was restored, we then looked at the ballot
images that were collected in that file through the
12
13 DRE.
So we looked at the physical DRE display of
14
15 those collected ballots and that requires us to touch
the screen to maneuver through and we did not
16
17 encounter any issues when touching the screen that
18 lead us to believe that the screen was not responsive
to proper touch or use. And we then from those
19
20 devices printed out new totals from that device and
those totals match all previous introduced totals.
21
22 Q. You say you looked at the election reports
[inaudible]?
23
24 A. The election result data. The file --
25 result file.
0236
1 THE COURT: I have no idea what that is.
2 MR. BROWN: It sounds like a machine.
THE COURT: Huh?
3
4 MR. BROWN: Printing machine.
THE COURT: The printing machine.
5
6 MR. BROWN: [inaudible]
THE COURT: What printing machine?
7
8 MR. BROWN: It's very fast.
9 THE COURT: I was going to say it was on the roof but
10 we're on the second floor and there's seven floors on this
11 building. It's information for the presiding judge who's
not in here. Yeah. It's information for the presiding
12
13 judge that's not in here, so. There's some -- they move
us all around all the time. So I'll put that back there.
14
15 There's Judge [inaudible]. Go ahead.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
16
17 BY MR. BROWN:
18 Q. Is the election -- well, what was the name
of that file again?
19
20 A. The ballot results on.
Q. The ballot results on. Okay. And so the
21
22 Secretary of State didn't look at other parts of the
internal memory, did it?

23
24 A. No.
25 Q. Okay. So it didn't look at the boot
0237
1 loader?
2 A. No.
Q. It didn't look at the operating system?
3
4 A. When the machine powered on we did a review of what operating system was displayed and it was the
5
6 standard certified operating system that was found on those devices; as well as the install [inaudible] for
7
8 servers, that certified for use in the State of
9 Georgia.
10 Q. And the Secretary of State didn't give you
11 any actual sort of code-level review to look for malware in the data?
12
13 A. Correct.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I caution that I'm entering
14
15 in an area that you've already ruled upon, but I want to make a clarification.
16
17 The suggestion was made by the defendants in your
18 objection [inaudible] our case, it's not raised, issues involving the registration --
19
20 THE COURT: Just ask the questions, please. Just ask the questions. Don't ask me to prove judgment. Let's
21
22 just -- for the question, ask it, and we'll go from there.
MR. BROWN: I want to be careful --
23
24 THE COURT: If they don't like, they'll jump, and
25 we'll do it then.
0238
1 MR. BROWN: I just want to be careful [inaudible]
2 respect to --
THE COURT: [inaudible]
3
4 MR. BROWN: Okay.
BY MR. BROWN:
5
6 Q. Now the election's registration system has been plagued with difficulties over the last --
7
8 THE COURT: I have ruled on that. Don't go there.
9 MR. BROWN: Okay. The reason I'm asking --
10 THE COURT: And like I said, they jumped up. Okay.
11 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I would just point out just for the record is that is our allegation paragraph
12
13 [inaudible].
THE COURT: I ruled on it. Quit pushing me all the
14
15 time.
BY MR. BROWN:

16
17 Q. Let me direct your attention to the ballot
18 design. Now what kind of role does your office have
in ballot design for the electronic system?
19
20 A. When using GEMS, we first lay out the
ballots so that -- structurally is what we focus on
21
22 first, make sure we have the right local districts in
play, have the right precincts in play, and have the
23
24 right split precincts in play when a precinct is
25 divided.
0239
1 Q. Okay.
2 A. And then have the right races and have the
right candidates and have the right polling
3
4 locations. GEMS then takes all of that relationship
information and produces a ballot style. It is a
5
6 layout of individual races. It does it in two
formats.
7
8 It lays out a ballot style for optical scan
9 purposes that we set the number of columns in the
10 ballot format for the general election in 2013. The
11 predominant format was three columns on the front
side of the ballot and three columns on the back side
12
13 of the ballot. Some locations had to go to a four
column in the front and four column in the back
14
15 because of the sheer number of races that were
included on the ballot.
16
17 That's the configuration that we set up for the
18 printer op scan ballot. For the configuration of the
touch screen we set up a two-column ballot and that
19
20 has been the format that we've had in place since
before 2010. When we initially started using the
21
22 DREs in 2002, it was three-column ballot on the DRE.
It's now currently transitioned to a two-column
23
24 ballot around 2008 or 2002. The decision was made at
25 that time that the State wanted to give more space on
0240
1 the ballot for each individual race that was seen on
2 the DRE. So we set up a configuration from building
the data set that we're going to have a two-column
3
4 ballot displayed on the DRE.
Q. Okay. Now the -- in terms of this
5
6 accessibility or fairness, are there some guidelines
that you use for designing a ballot?
7
8 A. There are rules in the -- State Election

9 Board rules for displaying of candidate name, the
10 font size that you use, whether you do all caps. The
11 position of candidates is set by statute in general
elections whether the Republican candidate or the
12
13 Democratic candidate is listed first or second. The
order of races is set again by statute of what race
14
15 comes first in the ballot, what race is second,
third, and so forth and so on.
16
17 Q. Are there rules or regulations governing
18 whether a ballot can go from one -- if a race can be
on two pages? In other words, the first candidate on
19
20 one page and then the second candidate on the other
page.
21
22 A. When we are building the database, I'm not
aware there are rules that says it can't be that way.
23
24 But when we are building the database we -- one of
25 the things that we look at once we have built that
0241
1 structure, we look at on a DRE to see if any of these
2 circumstances may be arising. Are the number of
candidates so large in a given race that we have to
3
4 change the -- what we call the scaling of the image
that is shown on the screen?
5
6 We did encounter this in 2016 with the
presidential preference primary on the Republican
7
8 side. When we first built the database there -- when
9 we first built the first arrangement of the database
10 for proof of purpose it had 16 candidates. The
11 scaling size that we used was actually pushing
candidates to a second screen. We adjusted the
12
13 scaling -- reduced the scaling so that all of the
candidates showed up on one screen all together under
14
15 the same header so that we would produce any voter
confusion.
16
17 Q. Now in this election, 2018, did you design
18 any ballots that had the lieutenant governor's race
split between one screen and the next?
19
20 A. To my knowledge the -- every voter in the
state of Georgia on Election Day when they were using
21
22 a DRE unit and it is the normal display, the standard
display, it had two races on the first frame. It had
23
24 -- on the left-hand side it had the governor's race
25 and all the candidates, and on the right-hand side
0242
1 it had the lieutenant governor's race and all

2 candidates. And those were the only two races on the screen.
3
4 Q. And if some other configuration appeared it would have been the result of a machine mistake or
5
6 error; correct?
A. If a voter had put it into magnifying mode,
7
8 which the voter has the ability to do so, they would
9 have only been showing one race on the first screen,
10 and that would have been the governor, and then the
11 second race would have been the lieutenant governor on the second screen.
12
13 Q. By that orientation, where would the splitting of the lieutenant governor race that you're
14
15 aware of [inaudible].
A. That I am aware of.
16
17 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, if I could take a minute
18 break --
THE COURT: Sure.
19
20 MR. BROWN: -- [inaudible]
THE COURT: Why don't we take a few minutes then.
21
22 I'll be back in about ten minutes. Okay.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
23
24 MR. TYSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
25 [Off the record at 3:30 p.m., and back on the record
0243
1 at 3:37 p.m.]
2
CONTINUATION DIRECT EXAMINATION
3
4 OF MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES
5
6 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. I'm going to hand you what's been marked as
7
8 Plaintiff's 8 and [inaudible]
9 THE COURT: Exhibit 8? Okay.
10 Q. Let me direct you to the last page of
11 Exhibit 8. Is the first email on the last page of Exhibit 8 an email from a Steven [phonetic] Clay to
12
13 you and others?
MR. TYSON: I object on this. This is related to the
14
15 [inaudible] state issue in 2016 it looks like in these emails, so.
16
17 THE COURT: Okay. You're going to identify what 8 is
18 --
MR. BROWN: All right.
19
20 THE COURT: I know you're trying to identify the last

page, but what's the dates on it?

21

22 MR. BROWN: I can do all of [inaudible].

BY MR. BROWN:

23

24 Q. Mr. Barnes, does Exhibit 8 appear to be

25 true and correct [inaudible] emails between various people

0244

1 at your office [inaudible]?

2 A. It appears to be email communications from the Center for Election Systems at Kennesaw State in

3

4 2017.

MR. BROWN: Move to admit, Your Honor.

5

6 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, this appears to be once again related to the incident at Kennesaw State --

7

8 THE COURT: In '17? In '17?

9 MR. BROWN: In '17 is related to the Kennesaw State

10 matter that does [inaudible].

11 THE COURT: You're going to have to [inaudible]. Based on what you just said does not [inaudible] for me to

12

13 admit. We're talking about 2018, November race, that's all we're talking about.

14

15 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, we have alleged and proven that [inaudible] in 2017 could still impact the system --

16

17 THE COURT: No, you haven't proven that.

18 MR. BROWN: Well, I haven't [inaudible]

THE COURT: [inaudible] You haven't done that. I'll

19

20 let it in; okay? I'm going to let it in, because you misstate so many things, Mr. Brown. You really do. And

21

22 if it's -- [inaudible]. I'll shut up and let you run the show.

23

24 MR. BROWN: No, ma'am, the reason why I said that is

25 the record was --

0245

1 THE COURT: Sir, you have not proved it. I am the

2 one that determines what's proved. Whether you will, I don't know, let's find out.

3

4 MR. BROWN: I understand. Let me back up a little bit and I understand --

5

6 THE COURT: Don't argue with me all the time. Just ask the gentleman questions, please, sir.

7

8 MR. BROWN: Okay. [inaudible] I move to admit this

9 Exhibit --

10 THE COURT: I said I'll admit it. How many more

11 times do you want me to say that?

MR. BROWN: Turn to page 8.

12

13 THE COURT: Can I get a copy of it now, please?

[inaudible] discretion.

BY MR. BROWN:

Q. Mr. Barnes, what is the compromise that is referred to on page 8 of Exhibit 8? And it's in the email from Mr. Gay [ph] to Michael Barnes [inaudible].

A. I believe this is referring to the web server that was attacked at the Center for Election Systems.

Q. And the web server housed what programs or information?

A. It didn't house any programs. It was a

0246

distribution server that counties would obtain, like, ballot proofs, data files that power their Express Poll devices; data that the Center for Election Systems constructed at Kennesaw State while it was under contract with the Secretary of State's office and supporting counties in state and federal elections.

Q. And how was the -- that site compromised?

A. It was a web server and somebody infiltrated the portions of the web server files that were behind password protection.

Q. Let me direct your attention to the next page [inaudible] and this may not be in [inaudible] sequence so I'm going to assume that they are [inaudible]. On page 7 in Exhibit 8, do you recall receiving this email? Or recall being cc'd on this email?

A. [inaudible]

Q. Did you have an understanding when you received this what the author meant, or what it meant to you, that obviously this is kind of untenable in the current atmosphere?

MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, while you have admitted this document --

THE COURT: [inaudible] I've just got to see it. The

0247

first page refers to [inaudible] investigation in regard to voter registration records.

MR. LINDSEY: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I've already ruled that out repeatedly; ruled that out.

6 MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible] with whether or not the system that --

7

8 THE COURT: And these are not in any kind of order.

9 MR. LINDSEY: -- whether or not the system has --

10 whether or not this has anything to do with the system

11 that collects voters' actual votes in 2018. What about the system [inaudible] --

12

13 MR. BROWN: [inaudible]

MR. LINDSEY: Well, let me back up. I'm here to

14

15 state my [inaudible]. My objection, Your Honor, this dealt with an entirely different system. You've already

16

17 ruled it dealt with an entirely different system and we

18 would object --

THE COURT: I think the question was, there were

19

20 errors then, have they been corrected? That's the only question on the floor.

21

22 MR. LINDSEY: My --

THE COURT: [inaudible] isn't relevant.

23

24 MR. LINDSEY: Yeah, my objection is that this dealt

25 with a situation you've already ruled on, which was

0248

1 [inaudible]

2 THE COURT: I can see we might want to [inaudible].

MR. LINDSEY: Is there a -- I mean, if we could

3

4 stipulate that whatever [inaudible] have not been fixed, maybe that will speed things up. [inaudible] stipulate

5

6 that it's relevant because it's not [inaudible] with the system at issue here regarding the 2018 election.

7

8 MR. BROWN: I would love to put Mr. Lindsey on the

9 witness stand for that but --

10 THE COURT: Why do you talk over the top of

11 everybody? Now you're talking over the top of Mr. Lindsey.

12

13 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I'm simply just restating the fact that you've already previously ruled that the

14

15 issue at Kennesaw State had nothing to do with the election in 2018 because it dealt with [inaudible]

16

17 THE COURT: I sustain your objection, Mr. Lindsey.

18 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.

BY MR. BROWN:

19

20 Q. When did the Secretary of State change the system after Kennesaw State?

21

22 A. The -- when operations transitioned from Kennesaw State to the Secretary of State's office --

23

24 the transition began before the physical transition.
25 The Secretary of State began constructing their
0249
1 internal --
2 Q. I apologize for interrupting you, but I'm asking you a date question.
3
4 A. January 1, 2018.
Q. [inaudible] January 1, 2018. And what did
5
6 they change?
A. The entire air gap private network that's
7
8 used to -- that houses the election programming
9 software, GEMS, at the state level was transitioned
10 to a new air gap system maintained by the Secretary
11 of State Information Technology office and became operational when the Center for Election Systems took
12
13 up residency within the Secretary of State's office. Prior to the use of that system, that system was put
14
15 through an analysis by [inaudible], which the voting system testing lab out of Huntsville, Alabama to
16
17 validate that the installation of GEMS owned that
18 system matches the installation that [inaudible] had previously inspected.
19
20 Q. Okay. So is it your testimony that the -- that everything was changed over on January 1, 2018?
21
22 A. That the system that's used to generate the GEMS databases that are designed -- that are
23
24 developed and issued to counties to execute their
25 elections, the system that those -- that work was
0250
1 done on was a brand new system as of January 1, 2018.
2 Q. Okay. A brand new what? A brand new hardware, brand new programming?
3
4 A. Brand new hardware.
Q. Okay. What about --
5
6 A. We were still using the certified copy of GEMS that we had been using in the state of Georgia
7
8 since 2000 -- late 2011.
9 Q. Okay. So it was the same GEMS system?
10 A. We have been using the same GEMS program
11 throughout the state of Georgia uniformly since late 2011.
12
13 Q. And that same program that has continued in use was on -- was it on the web server --
14
15 A. No, sir.
Q. Okay. Was it put on a web server?
16

17 A. No, sir.
18 Q. Okay. So did anything on the web server
get put on the same place as the GEMS database?
19
20 A. No, sir.
Q. Okay. The -- if the GEMS -- well, so you
21
22 said it's -- Mr. Lindsey said everything was changed,
it's a different system, the GEMS database is the
23
24 same; correct?
25 A. We have been using the same GEMS program in
0251
1 Georgia since late 2011.
2 Q. Thank you. Sorry to interrupt [inaudible].
Let me direct your attention to page 4 on Exhibit 8.
3
4 THE COURT: These were written before he said
everything was changed. So let's not go into --
5
6 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, he said the GEMS database
was not a change.
7
8 THE COURT: I understand.
9 MR. BROWN: [inaudible] Let me get right to the point
10 [inaudible] overtake I think an objection.
11 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Barnes, did any of the 45-plus critical
12
13 vulnerabilities -- 40-plus critical vulnerabilities
relate in any way to any -- to the GEMS database?
14
15 A. No, sir.
Q. Did the critical vulnerabilities relate to
16
17 -- into the DREs or in the way the DREs were current?
18 A. No, sir.
Q. What did they relate to?
19
20 A. They're related to a web server that was
distributing the data to counties -- counties for
21
22 elections. It was the portal that the Center for
Elections use as their outside communication tool,
23
24 their website.
25 Elections.Kennesaw.edu was housed on that
0252
1 server. Counties have a sign-in to that location to
2 obtain some information like ballot proofs for review
purposes, where they could portal in and access that
3
4 information.
Q. Mr. Barnes, I want to go back to your
5
6 testimony about the ballot design; do you recall
that? And I want to clarify first, you were
7
8 describing the scalability of the ballot design,
9 different challenges. There's a lot of candidates,

10 like, in the presidential preference primary for the
11 Republicans in 2016. Does the county have an
opportunity to participate in ballot designs?
12
13 A. The county has an opportunity to review the
data set at any given time during the review process.
14
15 Most counties take the reports that we generate and
provide to them that are sample ballots in the
16
17 optical scan format, because that's the easiest thing
18 to produce, the PDF format, and provide the counties
for a layout -- of validating that the right races
19
20 are in the right place on the ballot.
But some counties choose, Gwinnett County being
21
22 one of them in November of 2018, to obtain a copy of
the GEMS database while it is in production. For
23
24 them then to then take that database, install it onto
25 a DRE so they can see what it's going to look like on
0253
1 the DRE themselves. And if they see anything that
2 they would like adjusted, then they notify our office
of what needs to be adjusted and that adjustment is
3
4 made.
Q. [inaudible] and Gwinnett was a longer
5
6 ballot because it was in two languages; is that
right? Was that one of the issues?
7
8 A. It was a more complicated ballot. It was
9 the first election that Gwinnett County was having
10 with multiple language, so they were doing a lot of
11 proofing in multiple languages to make sure the
ballot was being displayed as they needed it
12
13 displayed to be in adherence with federal statute.
Q. I asked you before with respect to the work
14
15 that you -- your office had done with respect to the
ballot design whether in any other various -- the
16
17 ballots had been out, the election for the lieutenant
18 governor was split between two pages and you said,
no. Do you know if that's the case with respect to
19
20 what the counties ended up using [inaudible]?
A. We have the same DRE equipment at our
21
22 disposal as the counties have at their disposal. The
image that we can generate on the DRE should be equal
23
24 to what a county is seeing on display when they are
25 generating. That's the best to which I can answer
0254
1 that question.
2 Q. To the best of your knowledge.

A. To the best of my knowledge.

Q. To the best of your knowledge the ballots displayed in the county, did -- would not have shown on the lieutenant governor's race on two different screens; is that right?

A. That is correct.

Q. That's all I have. Thanks, again.

THE COURT: Any questions?

CROSS-EXAMINATION

OF MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES

BY MR. TYSON:

Q. Mr. Barnes, let's pick up where Mr. Brown had been talking ballot design. Let me show you what would be marked as -- where is it I'm at? Duncan 2? Duncan 2.

And I'm going to ask you if you will -- if you could identify this and tell me what it -- what is shows, [inaudible] design of the [inaudible] ballots in I believe 200- -- 2018, 2014, and 2010. Does this accurately show the ballot design of the governor and lieutenant governor's races for those three election

0255

cycles? [inaudible]

A. Yes, sir, it does.

MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I would tender these into evidence.

MR. BROWN: No objection, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Received.

MR. TYSON: [inaudible]

BY MR. LINDSEY [inaudible]:

Q. There is in this packet two pictures of each year. Could you explain to the Court why?

A. Sure. The two pictures -- the picture -- there are two DRE models that are used in the state of Georgia. There is the TSx model and there is the R6 model.

The TSx is -- has the gray case around it. The screen and the R6 model has the white case around the screen. So these are images of both models displaying the ballot from November of 2018.

Q. And let me go back and show to the 2010 screen and that screen -- rather, in these screens the U.S. Senate race and the governor's race is on

21
22 the first page and the next page has the lieutenant
governor and other races; correct?
23
24 A. Correct.
25 Q. In 2014, there was once again U.S. Senate
0256
1 race and once again the U.S. Senate is -- and the
2 governor's race is on the first page and the race for
lieutenant governor and other state constitutional
3
4 offices are on the next page; correct?
A. Correct.
5
6 Q. Now, the situation is different in 2018; is
it not?
7
8 A. That's correct.
9 Q. Why?
10 A. There was no United States Senate race on
11 the ballot in 2018. The first race on all ballots
across the state was for governor.
12
13 Q. So as a result, so instead of being on two
different screens, the governor and lieutenant
14
15 governor's race are both on the same screen.
A. That's correct.
16
17 Q. Correct? Okay. Now, you are as part of
18 your job, concerned about ballot design; correct?
A. Correct.
19
20 Q. And for instance you are knowledgeable, for
instance, what happened in Broward County; correct?
21
22 A. Correct.
Q. Tell the Judge what happened in Broward
23
24 County.
25 A. In November 2018 in Broward County, they
0257
1 had a -- I believe it's -- the United States Senate
2 race was at the bottom of the first column on the
ballot.
3
4 It came below the set instructions. So at the
very -- after those instructions ended, they had
5
6 enough space at the bottom of this piece of paper
that they could include the United States senate race
7
8 at the bottom of that column and then the governor's
9 race was at the top of the second column on the
10 ballot. Other counties -- that was Broward County --
11 is the United States senate was at the bottom of --
underneath the instructions.
12
13 Q. So as a result in Broward County fewer
people voted in the U.S. Senate race than they did in

14
15 other counties?
A. The layout in Broward County was different
16
17 than it was in other counties.
18 Q. Yeah.
A. In other counties the United States Senate
19
20 race was at the top of the second column. There was
no race below the instructions on other county
21
22 ballots in Florida.
Q. And did that have an impact on voter
23
24 participation? Was that the concern that had
25 impacted the voter [inaudible]?
0258
1 A. There was concern that because the race was
2 at the -- below the instructions that voters might
not have noticed that it was below the instructions
3
4 and then may have just bypassed it and ignored it.
Q. Yeah. Now after this election, Mr. Brown
5
6 asked you, had you had any discussions about why
there's a difference between the paper ballot down-
7
8 ballot voting for lieutenant governor and the
9 electronic ballot down-ballot race? Do you recall
10 him asking you that?
11 A. Yes, sir.
Q. And have you in fact had any discussion
12
13 about whether or not the ballot design may have
impacted that race?
14
15 A. Post-election, you know, looking at the
design of the ballot, we have always been very
16
17 pleased with the two-column ballot because it gives,
18 you know -- you know, two races very clearly separate
apart but when we, you know, start thinking about the
19
20 election of what all was going on with the given
election, could this ballot layout been confusing to
21
22 voters.
And there's a possibility that it could have
23
24 been confusing, especially for new voters that are
25 voting for the first time in the state of Georgia
0259
1 that may not have had an interaction in voting in
2 Georgia or using a DRE. You know, a cursory glance
of the screen like this you may feel like because the
3
4 Republican candidates are line up and the Democratic
candidates are lining up that you make one selection
5
6 and you're voting for a ticket.

So that could be how a voter interprets that or

it may not be. I could just be that they see the first race and they are focused on when they get to the next element. Each individual voter's actions that -- are their own.

Q. And in fact you are aware that program's

[inaudible]?

MR. BROWN: Your Honor, this is already leading and,

Your Honor, I would [inaudible] leading the witness.

MR. LINDSEY: That doesn't matter. It has to be

adverse. [inaudible].

THE COURT: [inaudible], therefore he's got him on cross.

MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.

MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

BY MR. LINDSEY:

Q. So obviously, you know, you're aware of the

fact that the president and my president, for instance, run on a ticket; correct?

0260

A. Correct.

Q. and are you aware that, I believe, in 25 states that have lieutenant governors and governors, they

run on a ticket; are you aware of that?

A. I learned something today that -- I know

that there are some jurisdictions where they on a ticket with a number.

Q. Okay. Are you aware that, for instance, in Florida they run on a ticket?

A. Yes.

Q. Are you aware that in South Carolina, two of our neighboring states, they also run on a ticket?

A. Yes.

Q. So it is common, is it not, that in many

states that the governor and lieutenant governor weren't on a ticket?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. Now, in addition to the general design, did you also do a analysis in terms of the

number of new voters voting in Georgia for the first time --

A. Post --

Q. -- in 2014 and 2018? Post-election.

A. Secretary of State's office did reach out

25 to their vendor of the voter registration system to
0261
1 ask the question of how many new voters participated
2 for the -- or how many voters participated for the
first time in 2018, also in 2014. In 2014, I believe
3
4 the number was --
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I object. This is hearsay.
5
6 It's [inaudible].
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, he's doing this in his
7
8 official capacity to basically analyze the number of new
9 voters.
10 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I'm not aware of any official
11 capacity except to the hearsay ruled upon.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, this is part of his
12
13 official duties is to --
THE COURT: We've talked about numbers all over the
14
15 place.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, these are not --
16
17 THE COURT: And all other kinds of ways. I'll allow
18 it.
MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
19
20 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. That in 2014, there were just over 98,000
21
22 voters in Georgia that participated for the first
time in the November 2014 election, but in the 2018
23
24 election there were a number of 336,000 voters or a
25 little over that that voted for the first time on --
0262
1 in November of 2018. And as far as your discussions,
2 was the concern about confusion by new voters coming
in from other states?
3
4 A. Yes.
Q. And that's part of the concerns you had in
5
6 terms of the inadvertent layout that was created as a
result of the U.S. Senate race not being on the
7
8 ballot?
9 A. Correct.
10 Q. Okay. Now Mr. Brown also asked you about
11 -- several questions about getting necessary reports
from GEMS and elsewhere about verifying that the --
12
13 that the election results.
And at one point you were asked to run a report
14
15 that formed this lawsuit, a report that was given to
the parties assuring every voter received lieutenant
16
17 governor on the ballot. Do you recall being asked

18 about that before?
A. Yes.
19
20 Q. Okay. You did not run a report for every
county; correct?
21
22 A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. But you did run a report on the
23
24 counties that are all on -- named parties in this
25 lawsuit; correct?
0263
1 A. That's correct.
2 Q. And that would be Fulton and Gwinnett;
correct?
3
4 A. Correct.
MR. TYSON [inaudible]: [inaudible] what?
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: Fulton and Gwinnett.
Q. Let me first show you Gwinnett -- let me
7
8 show you both [inaudible]. First let me show you
9 Gwinnett and ask you to please identify Gwinnett
10 [inaudible]. If you could identify that for the
11 Court.
A. This is the base precinct with reports --
12
13 base precinct with races report for Gwinnett County
and note there were 2018 election.
14
15 Q. And you -- tell the Court what that means
-- what that means.
16
17 A. What this report shows is every -- a voter
18 is connected to a base precinct within a GEMS
database. And what this shows is the base precinct
19
20 associated to the precinct and then what races are
associated to that base precinct. So this show --
21
22 and a voter is going to be associated to the base
precinct. So this is showing what races each
23
24 individual voter within a precinct would see when
25 they were given their ballot.
0264
1 Q. Okay.
2 MR. LINDSEY: We would tender Exhibits 3 and 4.
[inaudible] 3 and 4. [inaudible]
3
4 MR. BROWN: I have no objection, Your Honor.
THE COURT: They're admitted.
5
6 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. And what do these reports -- you would tell
7
8 the Court what these reports show.
9 A. It shows, again, all races for that given
10 base precinct or present all statewide races that

11 were being contested in November are shown with every
base precinct listed in the report.
12
13 Q. Okay. So if I understand you correctly
what you're telling me is that Mr. Duncan and Ms.
14
15 Amico appeared on every electronic ballot then in
those two counties?
16
17 A. Yes.
18 Q. And did you ever receive any complaint from
anywhere in the state that this race did not appear
19
20 on an electronic ballot?
A. We did not.
21
22 Q. To go back to the issue of configuration
about how was the ballot that we just finished
23
24 discussing, the electronic ballot, differed from the
25 paper ballots that folks would receive when they did
0265
1 write-in?
2 A. In relation to layout?
Q. Layout, particularly the governor and
3
4 lieutenant governor's race.
A. The optical scan ballot, again being three
5
6 or four columns in display, front and back, the
governor's race would have been the first race below
7
8 the ballot that are -- in the -- on the left -- far
9 left column and then the race right below that would
10 have been the lieutenant governor and then the race
11 below that would have been Secretary of State.
Q. So you wouldn't have had simply the
12
13 governor and lieutenant governors on the -- on only
one page?
14
15 A. That's correct.
Q. Plus you had a north-south situation as
16
17 opposed to east-west?
18 A. Correct.
Q. Now in addition to checking to make sure
19
20 that this race appeared on all the ballots, you also
were asked as part of the discovery in this case, to
21
22 prepare from GEMS a report testing to ensure that the
votes that are made on a machine are actually
23
24 calculated in the system; correct?
25 A. Correct.
0266
1 Q. Okay. And you did this for both Fulton and
2 Gwinnett, correct --
A. Correct.

3
4 Q. -- were named parties in this case. And if
you would explain to the Court -- let me show you the
5
6 -- and ask you if this is [inaudible] would be and
then explain to the Court what actually this report
7
8 shows.
9 A. These are the active voter TS status
10 reports from the Fulton and Gwinnett county databases
11 and what these reports show are the number of memory
cards created for their voting locations and the
12
13 upload status of those memory cards.
Q. Okay. In English what do they [inaudible]?
14
15 A. It shows that the touch screen unit that
was used that the information collected by that
16
17 machine has been uploaded into GEMS [inaudible].
18 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we would tender these two
Exhibits which would be 5 and 6 [inaudible].
19
20 MR. BROWN: No objection, Your Honor.
THE COURT: So admitted..
21
22 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. And after analyzing the report once again,
23
24 what are they -- tell the Court what they showed.
25 A. They showed that there are no outstanding
0267
1 memory cards. That all memory cards that were
2 created that would have collected that would have
collected votes, have been uploaded and accounted for
3
4 by GEMS.
Q. Okay. Now you were asked by Mr. Brown also
5
6 about what you at the Secretary of State's office
does beforehand to get ballots ready for voting. Do
7
8 you recall that last question?
9 A. Yes.
10 Q. Let me ask you, do you do any testing,
11 parallel testing for instance, on Election Day to
make sure that the systems are operating correctly?
12
13 A. We do.
Q. Tell the Judge what you do.
14
15 A. In the days leading up to the election we
will make -- Secretary of State's office will make a
16
17 request to a county in Georgia to provide to us a
18 copy of their GEMS database from their GEMS computer.
The State constructs the database and sends it to the
19
20 county.
But for parallel testing we ask that whatever

21
22 the county has loaded into their system, that they
make a copy of that database and send it back to the
23
24 State so that we at the Secretary of State's office
25 can then create our own memory cards from their copy
0268
1 of their database, load into touch screen equipment
2 that we have in our possession, do a logic and
accuracy test on the device to make sure it's working
3
4 as it should, and then on Election Day itself we
videotape the input of a test deck into the device in
5
6 election mode.
So we will have an individual that is holding
7
8 the pre-filled ballot out and instructing the test
9 voter on what selections to make while that is all
10 being videotaped. And that's done within the voting
11 period on Election Day. And then at the end of that
timeframe we'll end the election on those devices and
12
13 printout tapes from those devices, and validate the
results being produced by the device match the known
14
15 results of the test deck.
Q. Okay. And you do that to make sure that if
16
17 Edward Lindsey cast a vote for Mike Boland for
18 governor, that it's properly counting?
A. Correct.
19
20 Q. And when you did that parallel testing on
Election Day, did you discover any irregularities?
21
22 A. We did not.
Q. And among the races that you tested for was
23
24 the lieutenant governor's race; correct?
25 A. That's correct.
0269
1 Q. Thank you. Now you were talking about
2 after the election Ms. Amico came and raised some
concerns. And you mentioned that you went and
3
4 checked a county. What county was that, just for the
record?
5
6 A. Ben Hill County.
Q. Ben Hill County. And you went to Ben Hill
7
8 because that was somebody Ms. Amico was concerned
9 about; correct?
10 A. Correct.
11 Q. Okay. And the results were the same things
that were tabulated on the card were being uploaded
12
13 to the Secretary of State's office; correct?
A. That's correct.

14
15 Q. Okay. Now were you asked earlier why -- well, you were asked earlier whether the Secretary of
16
17 State had done any testing or discovered there was
18 any kind of malware on the system; correct?
A. Correct.
19
20 Q. Been asked that. Given what you do on the parallel testing, the outcome, did you believe there
21
22 was any reason to do any forensic testing for malware?
23
24 A. Based on what we have encountered, no, sir.
25 Q. Okay. Now you -- there have been several
0270
1 questions raised about hacking, this, that, and the
2 other; could you explain -- from my understanding, and I'm not a math major and a physics major, I'm a
3
4 history major with a specialty in Florentine brimstone, so --
5
6 THE COURT: That's really helpful
Q. -- so I'm going to talk really slowly to
7
8 make this explanation here. Could you please explain
9 the difference between an open system that would be
10 on the web page, and a closed system?
11 A. A closed system has no external connection port is that it is a device directly connected to
12
13 another device and there is not a line from that pair going outward placing so that someone can portal
14
15 inward into that device. They can't access an IP address. It's closed off.
16
17 The only way to interact with the system is
18 through direct interaction with the system. An open network is akin to the internet. When you have
19
20 computers and multiple locations communicating to one another through a public means.
21
22 Q. So someone [inaudible] Putin in Russia could not use a computer there utilizing the internet
23
24 to be able to get into your system and hack it;
25 correct?
0271
1 A. Correct.
2 Q. Okay. Were there any other anomalies in this election, particularly regarding the lieutenant
3
4 governor's race, in regards to write-in votes?
A. When looking at the certified returns for
5
6 various counties in the Metro area, we saw that there

were -- for the statewide races that the lieutenant
7
8 governor's office seemed to have the highest number
9 of write-in votes collected.
10 Q. Okay. Now you didn't check every county,
11 did you?
A. No, sir.
12
13 Q. All right. You checked, I believe, three
counties; Fulton, Gwinnett, and DeKalb; correct?
14
15 A. Correct.
Q. Let me show you Fulton [inaudible] and let
16
17 me ask you to explain what these three documents --
18 certified documents I might add, show.
A. These appear to be the certified returns
19
20 from Dekalb County, Gwinnett County, and Fulton
County, and they are -- they contain the election
21
22 summary reports for those counties.
And the election summary report shows each
23
24 individual race that was present in the database for
25 that given county. It shows the total number of
0272
1 precincts involved in that election shows the number
2 of precincts reporting by race. It shows the number
of times that particular race was seen by the
3
4 tabulating system.
It shows the total number of votes that were
5
6 counted for that race and it shows the number of
votes collected for each individual candidate listed
7
8 in that race, including write-ins.
9 Q. All right. Write-ins, if I understand
10 correctly, they are write-ins in which someone goes
11 to the trouble to get their vote tabulated and there
are write-ins for Mickey Mouse, that sort of thing.
12
13 A. That's correct. There are certified write-
in candidates and then there are candidates that are
14
15 not certified write-ins.
Q. And that includes those who are not
16
17 certified write-in candidates; correct?
18 A. This includes all write-ins collected by
the system.
19
20 Q. When you reviewed that, what did you
discover for these three counties, for instance,
21
22 using [inaudible] because they were named parties in
this case? What did you discover about the number of
23
24 write-ins in the lieutenant governor's race versus

25 other races that were statewide?

0273

1 A. That the highest number of write-ins cast

2 for statewide office was in the lieutenant governor's office.

3

4 Q. Okay.

MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we would tender Exhibits --

5

6 [inaudible] 7, 8, and 9.

THE COURT: Mr. Brown.

7

8 MR. BROWN: You're tendering those?

9 MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible] I'm tendering --

10 THE COURT: He tendered his exhibits.

11 MR. LINDSEY: I'm tendering the exhibits.

MR. BROWN: [inaudible]

12

13 THE COURT: Okay. No objection. That's [inaudible].

MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I just have one last

14

15 [inaudible] and I will release him, I guess, [inaudible]. Your Honor, I believe the parties have stipulated to the

16

17 admissibility of Secretary of State certified statewide

18 races.

I just want to let it [inaudible] in the record. I

19

20 would, first of all, tender Exhibits 10, 11, and 12. Is that what I'm up to? Ten being the election for 2010, 11

21

22 being for '14, and 12 being for '18. Let me show you what I have here. '18 and '14, rather, and '10.

23

24 BY MR. LINDSEY:

25 Q. [inaudible] Let me simply ask you to look

0274

1 at those. Those are in fact the official election

2 returns from those three years that are located on the Secretary of State's website; correct?

3

4 [inaudible] I don't think I have those [inaudible]. Okay. These are in fact the election

5

6 returns from the Secretary of State's office [inaudible]; correct?

7

8 A. Appear to be.

9 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, we tender the Exhibits.

10 THE COURT: Any objections from --

11 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Do you have extra copies of those,

12

13 the last three, Mr. Lindsey?

MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, [inaudible].

14

15 BY MR. LINDSEY:

Q. And looking at the front page of '10 and

16

17 '14, does it not show, sir, the percentage of voters

18 from that year? For both '10 and '14 at the top?
A. The turnout percentage?
19
20 Q. Yes, sir.
A. The turnout percentage for November of '14
21
22 -- 2014, voter turnout 50.03 percent?
Q. Yes, sir. Now for a '18 -- for '18
23
24 [inaudible].
25 MR. LINDSEY: [inaudible], Your Honor. [inaudible].
0275
1 And also, Your Honor, we tender this next Exhibit,
2 [inaudible] turnout and '18, just for the record.
Q. If you would identify what would be marked
3
4 as Exhibit 13, tell us what that is.
A. This is for November 2018 --
5
6 Q Yes, sir.
A. -- general turnout 61.34 percent.
7
8 MR. LINDSEY: We tender this document as well.
9 MR. BROWN: No objection.
10 BY MR. LINDSEY:
11 Q. So the turnout -- well, first of all, if
you were to look at '14 and '18, we've already talked
12
13 about the new votes. Let me just also sort of close
the loop on this line of questioning. If you would
14
15 look at '14 first and tell me how many registered
voters there were in '14.
16
17 A. In '14, there were 5,191,182.
18 Q. Okay. And in '18, how many were there?
A. 6,400,200 -- let's see. 6,428,584.
19
20 Q. Okay. And you've already testified that we
had high voter participation in '18?
21
22 A. [inaudible]
Q. So in '18, we have a larger number of
23
24 registered voters than in '14; correct?
25 A. Correct.
0276
1 Q. And in '18, we had a larger turnout than we
2 did in '14; correct?
A. Correct.
3
4 Q. And in '18, we had a increase of about
three-and-a-half times the number of new voters
5
6 voting for the first time; correct?
A. Correct.
7
8 Q. All of those, based on your concern about -
9 - your knowledge about Broward County as you're
10 looking at the web design -- at the design of the

11 electronic ballot could have led to greater voter
confusion when they voted electronically versus when
12
13 they voted on a paper ballot; correct?
A. Correct.
14
15 MR. LINDSEY: That's all I have, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Mr. Tyson.
16
17 MR. TYSON: Thank you, Your Honor. I'll be brief.
18
CROSS-EXAMINATION
19
20 OF MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES
21
22 BY MR. TYSON:
Q. [inaudible] pick up real quickly on the
23
24 Broward review, that senate race that Mr. Lindsey was
25 asking you about. Do you know if Broward County uses
0277
1 paper or DRE ballots?
2 A. I believe Broward uses centralized
[inaudible].
3
4 Q. Okay. So those would be paper ballots;
correct?
5
6 A. Yes.
Q. Mr. Brown earlier asked you about
7
8 complaints that he received around the election
9 administration, were the complaints in 2018 any more
10 unusual than complaints in prior years?
11 A. No.
Q. And Mr. Brown also asked you now
12
13 [inaudible] issues he said that, you know, if you
push a button [inaudible] record votes somewhere else
14
15 for somebody else. Now would you consider that a
programming issue or a calibration issue?
16
17 A. I would consider that a calibration issue.
18 Q. And is -- if the machine doesn't respond as
expected on a calibration issue during testing, what
19
20 was the process for addressing that?
A. The counties normally do not allow that
21
22 system to pass. It is set aside and not used for
that given election.
23
24 Q. And in the calibration you repair or fixed
25 electronic voting machines?
0278
1 A. You can recalibrate the screen as part of
2 the initial LMA process of, say, [inaudible]
calibration on the machines is like one of the first
3

4 steps done. But even after setting calibration if
the county interacts with the system and they feel
5
6 like it's not responsive as it should be, then they
will take that unit out of operation.
7
8 Q. And so a programming error then would be
9 something like a candidate's name is missing; is that
10 correct?
11 A. That's correct.
Q. And how would you know that it was a
12
13 programming error of that nature where somebody's
name was omitted, for example?
14
15 A. It would be not present on the ballot. You
would not see the name or if there was a voting
16
17 position with no name associated to it, you would see
18 that.
Q. And how would you do that? Would you do
19
20 that from a GEMS report or some other method?
A. You do that for a GEMS reports. You do
21
22 that through the sample ballots that are generated
and provided to the counties for proofing purposes.
23
24 Q. And reviewing the DRE post-election mode
25 also show that problem with the candidate missing
0279
1 name?
2 A. In post-election mode you can print out
reports that show candidates listed by race. You
3
4 also have the ability to access the ballot -- the
ballot view, which is the reported ballots that the
5
6 system recorded and it shows what races were on that
ballot and what candidates were listed under those
7
8 races.
9 Q. So lieutenant governor's race didn't appear
10 on a DRE. The machine in post-election [inaudible]
11 to determine that [inaudible].
A. That's correct.
12
13 Q. Okay. Thank you.
MR. LINDSEY: I don't have anything else.
14
15 THE COURT: Wait a minute. Wait, wait, wait.
MR. BROWN: I'm sorry.
16
17 MS. BURWELL: I have no questions.
18 THE COURT: She's got -- okay. Okay. Now you can
go.
19
20 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
21
22 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

23
24
25 BY MR. BROWN:
0280
1 Q. When did you first hear of the theory that
2 the under-vote might be -- have been the result of people thinking that it was a [inaudible]
3
4 A. It would probably be close to the time of certification.
5
6 Q. And who did you hear it from?
A. It was just sort of hypothesized. There
7
8 was no one person that said it. It was just sort of
9 again looking at the election and seeing, you know,
10 what could -- what could be reasons for these things.
11 Q. For the under-vote that you noticed, right?
A. Yes.
12
13 Q. Okay. So you noticed the under-vote at the Secretary of State's office and one of the things
14
15 that was just thrown out was, maybe people thought it was a ticket, right?
16
17 A. Correct.
18 Q. And have you undertaken -- get any sort of expert opinion or analysis of that theory?
19
20 A. We have heard from people in relation to ballot design that are starting that for ballot
21
22 design moving forward that it's best for voters to see one race per screen when they're interacting with
23
24 a DRE device or a ballot-marking device. So, you
25 know, that's -- to eliminate that confusion that may
0281
1 be present.
2 Q. Right. But that would mean that -- that doesn't relate to under-votes, does it; or does it
3
4 [inaudible]?
A. I'm not sure of the question.
5
6 Q. Is the concern there that people will skip a vote if there's more than one ballot on a page? Is
7
8 that the concern?
9 A. There's always concern that voters are not,
10 you know, receptive of what they're seeing. But each
11 individual voter is going to do what they want to do.
Q. Right. And in -- but in this election, if
12
13 you turn the page for this election, you have multiple ballots; correct?
14
15 A. If you hit the next button you go to the

next screen, you have multiple races appearing, yes.
16
17 Q. And which races appeared on the second page
18 of November 6th ballot?
A. Let's see --
19
20 Q. And just go ahead and look at the
[inaudible].
21
22 A. The November '18 -- for the 2018 ballot?
Q. Yes, sir.
23
24 A. There are four races present. Secretary of
25 State, attorney general, commissioner of agriculture,
0282
1 commissioner of insurance.
2 Q. Okay. So in those -- even though there
were four ballots on that screen, the voters were
3
4 able -- electronic and paper ballot voters had a
significantly higher participation rate than the
5
6 lieutenant governor's race; correct?
MR. TYSON: Objection, Your Honor. It's leading.
7
8 THE COURT: Sustained.
9 BY MR. BROWN:
10 Q. Mr. Barnes, did -- before the other races
11 that were on the second page have a higher voter
participation rate than lieutenant governor's race?
12
13 A. Based on the certified results from those
counties that there were fewer under-votes in those
14
15 races, yes.
Q. Okay. Thank you. Now the -- there was
16
17 some testimony about calibration issue. Are you with
18 me?
A. Uh-huh.
19
20 Q. Okay. And what -- one calibration problem
is a screen dysfunctioning, physical screen problem,
21
22 if you follow me, where what you're pushing doesn't
connect with the code -- with whatever electronics
23
24 [inaudible]. You with me? It's a physical problem
25 with the screen. Is that a calibration problem?
0283
1 A. Where -- the point that you're touching is
2 -- the response is showing in a different location?
The response where you touch --
3
4 Q. Or doesn't respond or it blinks or
something else, is that a calibration problem?
5
6 A. Potentially.
Q. The -- but there is software that tells the
7

8 computer, if you press this button, put another --
9 add one to the voter total for Mr. Duncan; correct?
10 A. The test screen basically is a grid. It's
11 a pressure-point grid. It says -- [inaudible]
analysis and, you know, it comes up with an average
12
13 of pressure it says, if you see pressure here, then
that should be indicated as a mark in this position
14
15 on the ballot.
Q. Right. And that's programming that does
16
17 that; correct? That says, if you [inaudible], add
18 one to the vote total for Amico, right?
A. Correct.
19
20 Q. Okay. And that program resides where?
A. That program resides on the DRE.
21
22 Q. On the individual DRE machines.
A. That's correct.
23
24 Q. And is that -- and is that -- and where on
25 those DRE machines does it reside?
0284
1 A. That resides on an EPROM chip that's
2 connected to the motherboard.
Q. And is the EPROM chip connected to the
3
4 motherboard of something that the State --
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, bear with me here. I just
5
6 have one question on this.
Q. Is the EPROM chip that you referred to that
7
8 contains the programming for doing that something the
9 State offered to allow the petitioners to inspect?
10 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, objection again. He's once
11 again [inaudible] re-litigate what the Court has already
ruled upon in discovery [inaudible].
12
13 THE COURT: Sustained.
BY MR. BROWN:
14
15 Q. Is the EPROM the same thing as the election
archive?
16
17 A. The election archive information is saved
18 to the internal memory on the device and the internal
memory is contained in EPROM. [inaudible]
19
20 Q. Okay. And the internal -- okay. Can you
say that again?
21
22 A. The internal memory is where the archive
file is maintained and the internal memory resides on
23
24 EPROM.
25 Q. Okay. So the answer is no if they're on
0285

1 the same thing, right?
2 A. The election archives is a data file that
is saved to an internal memory and the internal
3
4 memory is -- resides on the EPROM.
Q. And other things other than the election
5
6 archive are on the internal memory, right?
A. I believe I already said that.
7
8 Q. Just yes or no.
9 A. Yes.
10 Q. Okay. And one of those other things
11 controls the mapping of the vote from the touch
screen to the place in your system where it says, add
12
13 one more vote to somebody; correct?
A. Correct.
14
15 Q. Let me direct your attention to one of Mr.
Lindsey's printouts and I'll just give you -- you
16
17 don't need to look at it.
18 Of course you may, but the -- he was asking you
about write-in votes and how the lieutenant
19
20 governor's race had a lot of write-in votes, do you
know whether that was because Libertarians who were
21
22 voting on the lieutenant governor's race did not have
an option, therefore wrote in? Do you know --
23
24 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I believe that calls for
25 speculation [inaudible].
0286
1 THE COURT: That would be speculation. [inaudible]
2 argue that it's not. [inaudible].
MR. BROWN: Thank you.
3
4 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Now the EPROM chip is the erasable, read-
5
6 only [inaudible], right? Or I guess erasable program
[inaudible], right?
7
8 A. Well, that's what EPROM stands for, yes.
9 Q. Now does the software reside on an EPROM
10 chip or in [inaudible] memory, if you know?
11 A. I can't answer that in an absolutely -- I
don't [inaudible].
12
13 Q. Okay.
MR. BROWN: That's all I have [inaudible].
14
15 THE COURT: [inaudible]
16
17 RECROSS EXAMINATION
18 OF MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES
19
20 BY MALE:

Q. Mr. Brown -- I've just got [inaudible].
21
22 Mr. Brown did ask about whether it was a touch screen problem [inaudible] whether or not that could be a
23
24 system error; correct?
25 A. Correct.
0287
1 Q. That would have shown up if it was a system
2 problem in your parallel testing on Election Day; correct?
3
4 A. Correct.
Q. And you didn't detect any problems;
5
6 correct?
A. We did not detect any problems.
7
8 MALE: No further questions.
9
10 RECROSS EXAMINATION
11 OF MICHAEL WILLIAM BARNES
12
13 BY MR. TYSON [inaudible]:
Q. Mr. Barnes, Mr. Brown asked you about when
14
15 the voter information was recorded. When you push a button, when you push the part of the screen, it's
16
17 [inaudible] cast ballot that is recorded in the
18 internal memory of the unit; correct?
A. That is correct.
19
20 Q. All right. Are you familiar with the notices that are posted to voter -- polling places
21
22 regarding pressing the cast ballot button?
A. I am.
23
24 Q. I'm going to hand you what's been marked as
25 Gwinnett 1. Is this the notice for voter to be
0288
1 posted in the polling places regarding pressing the
2 cast ballot button [inaudible], I'm sorry.
A. It is.
3
4 Q. And it very specifically says, you should notify a poll worker if you have a problem or
5
6 question about the unit before you press the cast ballot button; correct?
7
8 A. That's correct.
9 Q. Okay. Thank you.
10 MR. TYSON: I have no further questions. Oh, I'm
11 sorry, Your Honor. I tender Gwinnett 1.
MR. BROWN: No objection.
12
13 THE COURT: It's admitted.
THE COURT: Ms. Burwell, questions?

14
15 MS. BURWELL: [inaudible]
THE COURT: Mr. Brown.
16
17 MR. BROWN: I don't have anything further.
18 THE COURT: Okay. You may get down.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
19
20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, may this witness be excused?
THE COURT: Yes.
21
22 MR. BROWN: [inaudible]
THE COURT: Let me ask you, Mr. Brown, how much
23
24 longer do you think your case is going to last? I'm just
25 trying to work out logistics and various other things.
0289
1 MR. BROWN: If I may have literally 30 seconds, I can
2 give you an answer if I could talk to my clients.
THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead.
3
4 MR. BROWN: Your Honor.
THE COURT: Go ahead.
5
6 MR. BROWN: We have about an hour with Mr. Barron
probably total. He is here. He has a -- Mr. Barron is an
7
8 employee of Fulton County. [inaudible] Fulton County. He
9 has a childcare issue and we would be happy that he
10 [inaudible] in the morning and then starting [inaudible].
11 THE COURT: Start in the morning. You've got about
another hour?
12
13 MR. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: What does the defendants -- I'm looking
14
15 -- can we finish tomorrow?
MALE: [inaudible], Your Honor. I don't think the
16
17 [inaudible].
18 THE COURT: You want to take an oath on that?
MALE: What?
19
20 THE COURT: Do you want to take an oath on that?
MALE: No, Your Honor. I will not take an oath and I
21
22 will not [inaudible] in my place.
I will not take an oath. But [inaudible] some of my
23
24 preceding circumstances --
25 THE COURT: Okay. Tomorrow, so you know -- now you
0290
1 have to understand I'm a senior judge [inaudible]
2 courtroom and therefore we won't have a courtroom
[inaudible] in two or three weeks with luck. But in the
3
4 meantime, they move us around.
So tomorrow we're back in the Magistrate's court on
5
6 the fourth floor. It's the old courtroom annex, 402.

Okay. Mr. Groban [ph] will be over there early to let you
7
8 in. I always bring my -- I've got so much stuff I'll
9 bring it.
10 MALE: Is there anywhere that we can store some of
11 our stuff? Because of the stuff I don't necessarily
[inaudible].
12
13 THE COURT: That's why I'm looking at all that but I
don't have a choice. They've got a mock trial in here
14
15 tomorrow.
MALE: No, I understand. [inaudible]
16
17 THE COURT: I don't know they were locked. Okay.
18 There's a jury room in here that you could use, but you'd
have to come real early to get in it and get it out.
19
20 MALE: I'd be happy to. I mean, that's --
[inaudible] take home.
21
22 THE COURT: Yeah. The other place that might do it
is the Bar office. Dekalb [inaudible] County Bar office
23
24 is right over there.
25 MALE: All right.
0291
1 THE COURT: It's on this floor and they might let you
2 keep some stuff in there.
MALE: I'll tell you, we'll [inaudible].
3
4 THE COURT: Well, I mean they might let you --
they've got two conference rooms over there. They're
5
6 empty. You go out here and turn left. You know where it
is Mr. [inaudible].
7
8 MALE: Yes. [inaudible]
9 THE COURT: Okay. [inaudible] I'll see you in M-42
10 tomorrow. And if you want to use the [inaudible] now he
11 gets here at the crack of dawn anyway.
MALE: Well, I mean, do you think that that's a safe
12
13 place? If you get here at the crack of dawn, would it be
okay [inaudible]? I mean, is it inconveniencing you?
14
15 [inaudible] It's just a couple of boxes. [inaudible]
MALE: I get here by 7:30, 20 minutes to 8.
16
17 THE COURT: We start at 9:00 tomorrow.
18 MALE: [inaudible]
THE COURT: Okay.
19
20 MALE: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: We'll see you tomorrow.
21
22 [Whereupon, the hearing was concluded at 4:53 p.m.]
23
24
25

0292

CERTIFICATE

STATE OF GEORGIA]
] SS.

COUNTY OF DOUGLAS]

I, PRISCILLA GARCIA, A COURT REPORTER IN THE STATE OF GEORGIA, DO HEREBY STATE THAT THE FOREGOING IS A TRUE AND ACCURATE TRANSCRIPT AS TAKEN DOWN BY ME AT THE TIME, PLACE, AND THE DATE HEREINBEFORE SET FORTH.

I DO FURTHER STATE THAT I AM NEITHER A RELATIVE NOR EMPLOYEE NOR ATTORNEY NOR COUNSEL OF ANY OF THE PARTIES TO THIS ACTION, AND THAT I AM NEITHER A RELATIVE NOR EMPLOYEE OF SUCH ATTORNEY OR COUNSEL, AND THAT I AM NOT FINANCIALLY INTERESTED IN THIS ACTION.

WITNESS MY HAND IN THE CITY OF DOUGLASVILLE, COUNTY OF DOUGLAS, STATE OF GEORGIA, ON THIS 28th DAY OF JANUARY 2019.

BY: _____

PRISCILLA GARCIA, COURT REPORTER
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF GEORGIA

COMMISSION NO.: W-00379933
COMMISSION EXPIRES: 08/14/2022

CERTIFICATE NO.: 5503-2677-8304-9216
LICENSE EXPIRES: 04/01/2019

0293

I, Chris Naaden, a transcriber, hereby declare under penalty of perjury that to the best of my ability the above 291 pages contain a full, true and correct transcription of the tape-recording that I received regarding the event listed on the caption on page 1.

I further declare that I have no interest in the event of the action.

January 28, 2019

Chris Naaden

(Transcript of Hearing Proceedings)

0001

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J.	.	CIVIL ACTION FILE NO.: 2018-CV-313418
MARTIN, SMYTH DUVAL, and JEANNE DUFORT,	.	Taken at:
Plaintiffs,	.	Superior Court of Cobb County
vs.	.	70 Haynes Street
ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN,	.	Courtroom 402-M
Secretary of State of Georgia, et. al	.	Marietta, Georgia 30090
Defendants.	.	

. . . . . .

TRANSCRIPT OF TRIAL PROCEEDINGS

FRIDAY, JANUARY 18, 2019
9:04 a.m. to 10:49 a.m.

STATE OF GEORGIA SENIOR JUDGE ADELE P. GRUBBS

REPORTED BY:

PRISCILLA GARCIA, COURT REPORTER
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF GEORGIA

TRANSCRIBED BY:
CHRISTIAN NAADEN

0002

APPEARANCES:

Plaintiff's Counsel: Bruce P. Brown, Esquire

Bruce P. Brown Law
Floataway Business Complex

1123 Zonolite Road N.E.
Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
404-881-0700
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com

Defendant's Counsel: John Belinfante, Esquire

Robbins, Ross, Allot, Belinfante
& Littlefield, LLC

500 14th Street N.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30318
404-856-3262

20 jbelinfante@robbinsfirm.com
21
22
23
24
25
0003
1
2
CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:
3
4
Defendant's Counsel: Vincent R. Russo, Esquire
5
6 Robbins, Ross, Allot, Belinfante
& Littlefield, LLC
7
8 999 Peachtree Street N.E.
9 Suite 1120
10 Atlanta, Georgia 30309
11 404-856-3260
vrusso@robbinsfirm.com
12
13
Defendant's Associate Counsel:
14
15 Alexander F. Denton, Esquire
Robbins, Ross, Allot, Belinfante
16
17 & Littlefield, LLC
18 500 14th Street N.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30318
19
20 404-856-3276
adenton@robbinsfirm.com
21
22
23
24
25
0004
1
2
CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:
3
4
Defendant's Counsel: Edward H. Lindsey, Jr., Esquire
5
6 Dentons US, LLP
303 Peachtree Street N.E.
7
8 Suite 5300
9 Atlanta, Georgia 30308
10 404-527-4580
11 edward.lindsey@dentons.com
12
13 Defendant's Counsel: SAMUEL S. OLENS, ESQUIRE
Dentons US, LLP
14
15 303 Peachtree Street N.E.

Suite 5300

Atlanta, Georgia 30308
404-527-4108
sam.olens@dentons.com

Defendant's Counsel: Kaye Woodard Burwell, Esquire

Office of the County Attorney
141 Pryor Street S.W.

Suite 4038
Atlanta, Georgia 30303

404-612-0251
kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov

CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:

Defendant's Counsel: Bryan P. Tyson, Esquire

Strickland, Brockington
& Lewis, LLP

1170 Peachtree Street N.E.
Atlanta, Georgia 30309
404-219-3160
bryan.tyson@sbllaw.com

Defendant's Co-Counsel: Richard A. Carothers, Esquire
Carothers & Mitchell, LLC

1809 Buford Highway
Atlanta, Georgia 30518

770-932-3552
richard.carothers@carsmith.com

I-N-D-E-X

WITNESS	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
Terri R. Thomas	9	14		
Richard Barron	18	33		

EXHIBITS:	DESCRIPTION	PAGE
NONE		

12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
0007
1
2
3
4 COBB COUNTY, GEORGIA
FRIDAY, January 18, 2018 - 9:04 a.m.
5
6 * * * * * * *
7
8 THE COURT: Good morning, ladies and gentlemen. Take
9 your seats, if you will. I am a minute or two late, but
10 they wanted us to move again at noon.
11 MR. LINDSEY: Oh.
THE COURT: And I refused, so I've been working that
12
13 little problem out; okay?
MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
14
15 MR. TYSON: Thank you.
THE COURT: One move is enough; we shouldn't have had
16
17 to do that. So we'll see where we go. Okay. Day two,
18 where are we, Mr. Brown? Good morning.
MR. BROWN: Good morning, Your Honor. We have an eye
19
20 witness who will testify as to her voting experience who
is going through security right now.
21
22 THE COURT: Going through security; okay?
MS. BROWN: And then we'd like to put up her first,
23
24 but if we don't do that we would, you know --
25 THE COURT: We'll give -- we'll give her a minute,
0008
1 if --
2 MS. BROWN: And then we have --
THE COURT: Twice the bailiffs in the box, is that
3
4 what we've got? Boy, if you were a jury like -- that --
that's an interesting jury up there in that box.
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I want to see if you
[inaudible] making sure everything's in line as to the
7
8 rule of [inaudible] in place.
9 THE COURT: Do what?

10 MR. LINDSEY: The rules.
11 THE COURT: The rules of sequestration is in force.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor --
12
13 THE COURT: Okay?
MR. BROWN: -- as to the rule of sequestration --
14
15 THE COURT: What?
MR. BROWN: The -- the question that -- there's law
16
17 that the rule of sequestration does not apply to a
18 rebuttal expert witnesses, and so we would --
THE COURT: Only if it's a rebuttal expert to an
19
20 expert.
MR. BROWN: Or to expert testimony or to --
21
22 THE COURT: We'll -- we'll address the issue when we
get there.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, we
25 would call Terri Thomas to the witness stand.
0009
1 THE COURT: Whose is this? Okay.
2 MR. BROWN: Please raise your right hand. Do you
promise to tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but
3
4 the truth?
THE WITNESS: I do.
5
6 MR. BROWN: Have a seat.
THE WITNESS: Thank you.
7
8
9 P R O C E E D I N G S
10 * * * * * * *
11
Thereupon:
12
13
TERRI R. THOMAS
14
15
was called as a witness, and having been first duly
16
17 sworn in, and testified upon her oath as follows:
18
DIRECT EXAMINATION
19
20 OF TERRI R. THOMAS
21
22 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Please state your name for the record?
23
24 A. Terri R. Thomas.
25 MR. BROWN: Ms. Thomas, my name is Bruce Brown, and I
0010
1 am the attorney for the petitioners in this case.
2 THE WITNESS: Okay.
BY MR. BROWN:

3
4 Q. Where are you from?
A. I'm from Los Angeles.
5
6 Q. And where do you live now?
A. I live in Lithonia, Georgia.
7
8 Q. And did you drive from Lithonia this
9 morning here?
10 A. Yes.
11 Q. Did you vote on November 20, 2018?
A. I did.
12
13 Q. And where did you vote?
A. At Lithonia High School.
14
15 Q. And when -- and did you vote on an
electronic machine with a screen?
16
17 A. I did.
18 Q. And when you -- did you pull up the ballot
on the screen?
19
20 A. I put the little card in the machine, yes.
Q. Did the ballot then appear for the
21
22 governor's race?
A. Yes, sir.
23
24 Q. Did the lieutenant governor's race appear
25 on the first several pages of the ballot?
0011
1 A. No.
2 Q. How do you -- how do you know? How do you
remember that?
3
4 A. Well, like I said, I'm from Los Angeles,
and when I first -- the first thing that popped up
5
6 was the governor's race, and the first thing that I
saw were these two large squares. And I was thinking
7
8 to myself, "Georgia sure is making sure we know which
9 candidate we're voting for. There are only --
10 there's only one category on this page, and I didn't
11 even -- it didn't even dawn on me that there was a
third person running, because their little box was so
12
13 small on the writing outside.
Q. Did you -- did you see at any point in
14
15 going through the ballot, the election -- the race
between Amico and Duncan?
16
17 A. Not initially.
18 Q. When was the first time you saw Amico's
name?
19
20 A. It was -- I had gone through -- I had voted
for everything except one amendments because I wasn't

21
22 familiar with them, and then it was at the end of my
ballot, and it dawned on me that I hadn't voted for
23
24 her, so I just kept going previous and went all the
25 way back to the beginning of the ballot.
0012
1 Q. And when you went back to the beginning of
2 the ballot, did you see her -- her name on the race?
A. I did.
3
4 Q. Okay. And did you -- were you able to cast
a vote then?
5
6 A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And do you have any -- and then you
7
8 went forward to the summary?
9 A. Correct, to the end, yes.
10 Q. Okay. And you cast your ballot?
11 A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Were there any other malfunctions in
12
13 the screen?
A. Not that I noticed.
14
15 Q. Not that you noticed. And did you complain
to anyone about the -- about the voting?
16
17 A. Not at the poll.
18 Q. Okay. Did you thereafter?
A. I'm sorry?
19
20 Q. Thereafter that, did you?
A. I did. I did. The first person I talked
21
22 to was my daughter, because we usually go vote
together and she left me that morning. And so she
23
24 had already voted, and when I got back home I was
25 telling her what happened to me. And she told me
0013
1 that's not what she experienced.
2 Q. Okay.
MR. BROWN: Thank you very much.
3
4 THE WITNESS: Oh, you're welcome.
THE COURT: Any questions?
5
6 THE WITNESS: Excuse me.
MR. LINDSEY: Just a few quick questions, ma'am.
7
8 THE WITNESS: Yes. I was just going to finish my
9 statement here. I didn't finish.
10 MR. LINDSEY: Oh.
11 THE COURT: You're not here -- you have to be asked
questions; okay? If they don't ask you the direct
12
13 question you want them to ask you, you don't get to tell
it.

14
15 THE WITNESS: Okay.
THE COURT: Okay?
16
17 THE WITNESS: But it was about the previous question
18 he asked.
THE COURT: Well --
19
20 THE WITNESS: That's fine.
THE COURT: What do you think, Mr. Lindsey?
21
22 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, she's a good voter from
Georgia. Please let her go ahead and finish it.
23
24 THE COURT: Okay.
25 THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.
0014
1 THE COURT: Okay, finish then.
2 MR. LINDSEY: Go ahead, ma'am.
THE WITNESS: Oh. I'm sorry. You had asked if I
3
4 complained about that. Like I said, the first person was
my daughter. The next thing I did that night or the next
5
6 morning, I wrote an email.
MR. BROWN: Okay.
7
8 BY MR. BROWN:
9 Q. And when -- the first time that you looked
10 at the ballot screen?
11 A. Yes.
Q. When you first looked at it?
12
13 A. Yes.
Q. Was Amico's name on there?
14
15 A. No, sir.
Q. And were you looking to vote for her?
16
17 A. Yes.
18 Q. And you didn't see it on the first screen?
A. Correct.
19
20 MR. BROWN: Thank you.
21
22 CROSS-EXAMINATION
OF TERRI R. THOMAS
23
24
25 BY MR. LINDSEY:
0015
1 Q. Was this the first time that you've voted
2 in Georgia?
A. No, sir.
3
4 Q. Okay. I hate to ask a woman this, but how
-- how old are you, ma'am?
5
6 A. I am 62.
Q. Thank you. And you were -- how many times

7
8 have you voted in Georgia?
9 A. Probably about seven to eight times.
10 Q. Okay. And so, you went all the way
11 through?
A. Correct.
12
13 Q. And then when -- then you went back, and it
was on the screen; correct?
14
15 A. Yes, sir.
Q. You voted for Ms. -- I take it you voted
16
17 for Ms. Amico?
18 A. I did.
Q. Okay. And when you talked to your
19
20 daughter, your daughter said that she didn't have any
problems?
21
22 A. Correct.
Q. Okay.
23
24 MR. LINDSEY: No further questions.
25 THE COURT: Anything else, Mr. -- anyone else? Oh,
0016
1 Mr. Tyson.
2 MR. TYSON: Yes. Just briefly. Hello, Ms. Thomas,
my name is Bryan Tyson. I represent the Gwinnett County
3
4 Board of Registrations and Elections.
BY MR. TYSON:
5
6 Q. I just wanted to ask, when you said, when
you first looked at the ballot you said, you saw "two
7
8 squares" when they came on there?
9 A. Correct.
10 Q. And you -- I'm assuming you were looking to
11 vote in the governor's race. Is it possible that the
lieutenant governor's race was there, and you just
12
13 missed it the first time?
A. No, sir. No, sir. I'm retired; I have
14
15 plenty of time.
Q. Okay. And then your daughter, is she
16
17 involved at all with the coalition or any groups that
18 are interested in voting issues?
A. No, sir.
19
20 Q. Okay. And then, you said that there was a
third person running. Did you say that it was
21
22 "smaller or difficult to see?" Can you describe for
me what that was on that first screen?
23
24 A. I'm guessing it was -- it was Republican
25 and Democrat and Independent --

0017

1 Q. Yes?

2 A. -- but his square was so small. Like I said, I was amazed because I was thinking -- you're

3

4 going to make sure I know who I'm voting. This is the only category on this page, and the squares were

5

6 so large, and he had a little square [inaudible] just looking at him.

7

8 Q. Thank you.

9 MR. TYSON: I don't have any further questions.

10 THE WITNESS: You're welcome.

11 THE COURT: Okay.

MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I have no further questions,

12

13 and may the witness be excused?

THE COURT: The witness may be excused.

14

15 MR. BROWN: Thank you very much.

THE COURT: Have a safe drive back to your home.

16

17 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

18 MR. BROWN: Thank you very much.

THE WITNESS: You're welcome.

19

20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the plaintiffs would call Richard Barron. Please state -- do you promise to tell

21

22 the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

23

24 MR. BROWN: Have a seat.

25

0018

1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 * * * * * * *

3

4 Thereupon:

5

6 RICHARD BARRON

7

8 was called as a witness, and having been first duly

9 sworn in, and testified upon his oath as follows:

10

11 DIRECT EXAMINATION OF RICHARD BARRON

12

13

BY MR. BROWN:

14

15 Q. Please state your full name for the record?

A. Richard Barron.

16

17 Q. And by whom are you currently employed?

18 A. Fulton County.

Q. And what is your position with Fulton

19

20 County?

A. Director of Registration and Elections.
21
22 Q. How long have you had that position?
A. Five and a half years.
23
24 Q. What does a director of registration and
25 elections do?
0019
1 A. I'm in charge of -- of administering all
2 the elections for Fulton County, taking care of all
the polling places, training the poll workers,
3
4 dealing with the media, and overseeing the voter
registration rolls.
5
6 Q. And how many full-time employees do you
have?
7
8 A. 18.
9 Q. And during the election, how many part-time
10 employees do you have or contractors?
11 A. Well, it depends on -- it's usually about
60. That increases during early voting.
12
13 Q. Okay. You were here in yesterday's --
during yesterday's testimony; correct?
14
15 A. Yes.
Q. Okay. I would like to ask you some
16
17 questions, and I want to make sure I'm clear. There
18 is an election server at the Secretary of State's
office, to the best of your understanding; correct?
19
20 A. Correct.
Q. And then separately, there's what we would
21
22 -- what some people call the GEM server at the
county; is that correct?
23
24 A. Yes.
25 Q. And is it your understanding that the
0020
1 election server at the Secretary of State was
2 reinstalled with a trusted copy of the GEMS software
after it had been compromised in 2016?
3
4 A. I have no --
MR. TYSON: Object on that, Your Honor. I don't
5
6 think there is any foundation that's Mr. Barron's going to
have knowledge about what the Secretary of State's servers
7
8 going to have or anything else.
9 THE COURT: But -- I --
10 MR. LINDSEY: I believe that they haven't laid a
11 proper foundation that there has been any type of
compromise with the GEMS system.
12
13 THE COURT: We had testimony yesterday. You actually

have him on direct and you're leading. That question
14
15 wasn't what you had previously. I sustain the objection
to it.
16
17 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, may I treat him as a -- treat
18 him on cross as an adverse?
THE COURT: No, sir. You called him for -- you
19
20 called him on direct.
MR. BROWN: Okay.
21
22 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Was Fulton County's GEMS server replaced in
23
24 2016 or 2017?
25 A. I don't recall.
0021
1 Q. Okay. Did Fulton County take efforts after
2 2016 to disinfect Fulton County's GEMS database?
MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, I am going to object to
3
4 assuming facts.
MR. BROWN: Let the record reflect that the witness
5
6 shook his head "No." Go ahead.
MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, his question assumes facts
7
8 that are not in evidence that there was something that
9 needed to be done. He hasn't laid a foundation.
10 THE COURT: Well, he just asked did they do it after
11 2016. That doesn't reflect -- he just -- I don't know why
I don't have a mic this morning. He just -- the question
12
13 is okay the way it is; okay?
THE WITNESS: I don't -- I don't understand what you
14
15 mean by "disinfect."
BY MR. BROWN:
16
17 Q. Was it examined for malware or any other
18 defects?
A. Not that I'm aware of.
19
20 Q. Was any of Fulton County's memory cards
that they used, were any of those memory cards
21
22 disinfected after 2016?
A. No.
23
24 Q. In 2018, you would have created a new
25 memory card for the 2018 election; correct?
0022
1 A. Yes.
2 Q. And those -- those memory cards would have
been the same memory cards that had been used in
3
4 previous elections; correct?
A. Yes.
5

6 Q. Elections prior to 2016; correct?
A. Yes.
7
8 Q. And you would have -- did you make those
9 memory cards from your GEMS -- your county GEMS
10 database?
11 A. Yeah. They -- that's where everything
originates, yes.
12
13 Q. Do you have any reason to believe that the
process that you go through in making the -- the
14
15 well, let me back up a little bit. Do you know if
other counties disinfected their memory cards after
16
17 2016 or not?
18 A. I still don't understand what you mean by
"disinfect," but I have no knowledge of any other
19
20 counties.
Q. Okay. Fair enough. Let me make sure
21
22 you're understanding my question. When I -- when I
say "disinfect," what I mean is to do anything to
23
24 remove any malware or mis-program [sic] that might be
25 on that particular device?
0023
1 A. No.
2 Q. And so the answer is, your -- you didn't do
that with the memory cards; correct?
3
4 A. No.
Q. Okay. And you, to -- to the best of your
5
6 knowledge, did any other counties do that?
A. I have no -- no knowledge of that.
7
8 Q. One way or the other?
9 A. No.
10 Q. Fair enough. Prior to the -- to the -- the
11 November 2018 election, did Fulton County review its
GEMS database to determine if there were any coding
12
13 errors on that GEMS database?
A. No.
14
15 Q. You were here yesterday, and did you -- do
you recall the testimony of Sara LeClerc?
16
17 A. Was she the poll watcher?
18 Q. Yes?
A. Yes.
19
20 Q. Were you -- do you recall what county she
was -- had been overseeing?
21
22 A. What county?
Q. It was Fulton County, correct, and AME
23

24 church?
25 A. Yes.
0024
1 Q. That's one of your precincts; correct?
2 A. Correct.
Q. Did you get a report of the incidents that
3
4 she described when you were in -- during the
election?
5
6 A. I didn't personally, no.
Q. Are you aware of someone in your office
7
8 getting a report like that? A report from AME or
9 about AME?
10 A. I -- I became aware of it through this --
11 this process, the court case.
Q. And -- and what is your understanding of --
12
13 of the information that was received by your office?
MS. BURWELL: Objection, Your Honor. He said that he
14
15 learned of it though this lawsuit, not through his office.
THE COURT: Sustained.
16
17 BY MR. BROWN:
18 Q. At any time, not in the November election
or not contemporaneously, but did you gain an
19
20 understanding of what happened at the AME Temple at
the church -- the AME Temple precinct?
21
22 A. I -- I've been made aware of the complaint,
yes.
23
24 Q. And did you or anybody under your
25 supervision do any kind of investigation to determine
0025
1 why that happened?
2 A. Yes.
Q. And what did you find?
3
4 A. We found -- well, we found that the -- the
poll worker -- the poll worker had an issue with that
5
6 voter, and then she -- she took down the machine, and
then later she reopened the machine later in the day.
7
8 Q. Did anybody on your staff inspect the
9 machine to see if there were any coding errors or
10 anything like that?
11 A. It's my understanding -- no, we didn't look
for coding errors.
12
13 Q. Did you look for any mechanical type of
errors that weren't electronic, like with the screen
14
15 operation?
A. No, not that day.
16

17 Q. Ever?
18 A. I don't think so, no.
Q. Did you do any sort of examination of -- of
19
20 any of the DRE machines that Fulton County used after
the 2018 election?
21
22 A. We -- no. We immediately had to turn those
around for the -- the December election.
23
24 Q. And when you -- you said you had to use
25 them for the December runoff?
0026
1 A. Yes.
2 Q. And when you used those for the December
runoff, do you reprogram them with a different
3
4 election memory card?
A. Yes. And they're -- yes.
5
6 Q. Okay. And so, at that point it would be --
describe that process for me, please?
7
8 A. Well, we have to run them through -- once
9 we get the database in, and we load the election, we
10 run them through a live -- a logic and accuracy
11 testing. We also -- we -- we calibrate them to make
sure the time and date are correct. Just some basic
12
13 things that we do to get ready for them -- to get
ready for the election and then the logic and
14
15 accuracy testing.
Q. Does that involve reprogramming the
16
17 machines?
18 A. Well, we -- we insert a new card in there,
and that has the new election on it.
19
20 Q. And does -- and does the insertion of the
new card and the running of these tests alter the
21
22 internal memory?
A. No.
23
24 Q. And how do you know?
25 A. I mean, it's not my understanding that it
0027
1 does. It doesn't erase anything that's on there.
2 There's a hard packet that stays on there from the
beginning, the life of the machine.
3
4 Q. How -- how do you know that?
A. That's -- well, because that's what I've
5
6 learned using these -- this equipment.
Q. Have you done any -- any forensic
7
8 examination of the -- the unit to determine if any
9 changes are made to that memory by reprogramming?

10 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object to that. I don't
11 think the foundation laid by Mr. Barron would have the
type of capability to observe or the skill to do that. It
12
13 needs to be laid first before we get into that.
THE COURT: Well, he can answer the question. He's
14
15 only talking for himself.
THE WITNESS: No.
16
17 BY MR. BROWN:
18 Q. Has anybody under your supervision done
that?
19
20 A. No.
Q. Are you aware of any scientific or computer
21
22 scientific opinion to the effect that reprogramming
the machines for the subsequent election has altered
23
24 the memory of the machines?
25 A. No.
0028
1 Q. You're not aware of that at all? You never
2 heard of that opinion?
A. No.
3
4 Q. You never heard that opinion expressed by
Mr. Bernhard?
5
6 THE COURT: Enough; okay? That -- that -- and I
understand you're an advocate and I respect that, but
7
8 that's far enough on that line of thought.
9 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
10 BY MR. BROWN:
11 Q. What is a voter access card?
A. That is -- that is the -- the yellow card
12
13 that has a chip on it that you -- when -- when a
voter checks in, you put it in -- the poll worker
14
15 puts it into the Express Poll to -- so that it -- it
will indicate which ballot on the DRE is accessible
16
17 by that voter.
18 Q. It's -- if you will, it's created by the
express electronic poll booth?
19
20 A. Yes, the Express Poll.
Q. The Express Poll. And is the Express Poll
21
22 part of the registration system in any way?
A. Well, the -- the voter registration
23
24 database is loaded with the information for the --
25 for everyone that's eligible to vote in that election
0029
1 is put onto the Express Poll.
2 Q. I want to make sure that I have this

correct. The -- the registration database, for lack
3
4 of a better expression, tells the poll books what
each voter access card should have on it, right?
5
6 A. No.
MS. BURWELL: Objection, Your Honor.
7
8 MR. BROWN: He said, no.
9 MS. BURWELL: First of all, it's leading; and second
10 of all he hasn't defined some of those terms.
11 THE COURT: He said "No" anyway; okay? And -- and I
-- I understand you're reading some questions you've got
12
13 and they're very scientific, but sometimes when you use
scientific words, you may use one word and he may use
14
15 another word, and you may not be talking in the same
language. And I think we're having some issues with that
16
17 this morning.
18 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Help me out on the terminology, Mr. Barron.
19
20 What is the system called, the biggest system in the
process?
21
22 A. The biggest system?
Q. Right. It's called the registration
23
24 system?
25 A. E-net, Electionet is the voter registration
0030
1 system.
2 Q. And that is maintained by who?
A. Well, it's maintained by the state.
3
4 Q. How does the Enet system inform -- does the
Enet system at the state level inform the county as
5
6 to which voter should vote where?
A. Well, every -- every voter, based on their
7
8 address, is given a ballot code and the only thing
9 that's -- the only information that is put onto the
10 voter access card is the -- the ballot code for that
11 voter, so that -- because all the ballots, the
different ballots for each precinct or during early
12
13 voting all the ballots are on there. The voter
access card enables the voter to access his or her
14
15 ballot.
Q. And to make sure I have my terminology
16
17 straight, the voter access cards are inserted at
18 Election Day into the Express Poll book's server;
correct?
19
20 A. Yeah. It's not a server; it's just a

standalone unit that -- the information is in the
21
22 Express Poll, the card goes in, the poll worker looks up the voter, selects the name, that ballot code
23
24 information is put on there, and what it does is it
25 tells the DRE to access this ballot.
0031
1 Q. Thank you for that. And the Express Poll
2 machine in turn gets its information from the state's Enet system; correct?
3
4 A. Not -- it's not why we're connected from it, but yeah, the source of information is from
5
6 there.
Q. And how does -- it's not connected live;
7
8 how does the information get from the Enet to the
9 county?
10 A. We -- we get -- we get a card, like, a
11 flash memory card that we -- we reproduce to put in the Express Polls, or the state reproduces all the
12
13 cards. We put them into the Express Polls for each precinct.
14
15 Q. Are you aware of reports that the Enet system was vulnerable to hacking prior to the
16
17 November 2018 election?
18 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, I'll object to that. I don't think there's a foundation about that, that any reports
19
20 about that. If Mr. Brown wants to reference a specific report, I think he can, but --
21
22 THE COURT: It's the way that you phrase the question. You -- you make it as if it were a statement of
23
24 fact. You can ask in that area, but you've got to
25 inquire, not make these false statements that you keep
0032
1 making about things.
2 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Right. Are you aware of any reports
3
4 related to hacking involving the Enet system?
A. I read that in the AJC.
5
6 Q. And after you read it in the AJC, did you follow up?
7
8 A. No.
9 Q. Did you investigate it at all?
10 A. No.
11 Q. Are you aware of anyone else investigating that?
12
13 A. No.

Q. Was it a -- was it a concern to you?
14
15 A. I didn't -- you know, when I read the story, I didn't know how seriously to take it. It
16
17 didn't -- I -- no.
18 Q. Were you aware -- or did the Secretary of State have an investigation?
19
20 A. I don't know.
Q. Just for the record, how many voting
21
22 machines does Fulton County have?
A. 3,108.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Let me take just a moment here. That's
25 all that I have at this time.
0033
1 THE COURT: Questions? Thank you.
2 MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, did you want me to do all my questions now or just the ones related to what he's
3
4 been asked this morning?
THE COURT: You can ask him anything you want to ask
5
6 him.
MS. BURWELL: I can ask as much as I'd like to ask?
7
8 THE COURT: Go ahead.
9 MS. BURWELL: Okay. Thank you.
10 THE COURT: We don't have a podium.
11 MS. BURWELL: Okay.
THE COURT: I know some of you are used to a podium.
12
13 I don't know that I like podiums, but is it -- we have no clerk, so you can use any of the clerk's area.
14
15 MS. BURWELL: Oh; okay? Well, maybe I can just --
THE COURT: Put it way over there on the end if you
16
17 want to be closer and seeing and not have the computer in
18 the way. Yeah, that's a good place.
MS. BURWELL: Okay.
19
20
CROSS-EXAMINATION
21
22 OF RICHARD BARRON
BY MS. BURWELL:
23
24 Q. Mr. Barron, can you tell the court how long
25 you've been involved in elections?
0034
1 A. Since December of 1999.
2 Q. Okay. And can you tell the judge a little bit about your background with elections?
3
4 A. I've worked with Travis County elections in Austin, Texas, from 1999 to 2003. I also worked for
5

6 Sequoia Voting Systems from 2004 to 2005. In 2006, I
worked for Hartman Civic, and then I went to work for
7
8 Williamson County in Brown -- Georgetown, Texas, from
9 2007 to 2013, and then I came here.
10 Q. So, you've been with Fulton County since
11 2013?
A. Yes.
12
13 Q. And so in connection with overseeing the
election activities for the Fulton County Board of
14
15 Registration and Elections, are you required to
follow state law?
16
17 A. Yes.
18 Q. And where do you find the state law that
you're supposed to follow?
19
20 A. The Georgia election code and also there's
an SED rule book.
21
22 Q. And what's the SED rule book?
A. It -- it -- it's -- from my understand,
23
24 it's basically an -- the state election board has
25 some rules that they interpret from the law, and I
0035
1 think they also make some -- some rules in addition
2 to that.
Q. And you're required to follow those as
3
4 well?
A. Yes.
5
6 Q. Does the state oversee all of your election
activities?
7
8 MR. BROWN: Object, object, Your Honor. She's asking
9 the witness what someone else does.
10 MS. BURWELL: May I respond, Your Honor?
11 THE COURT: Yes. We -- we -- we -- I think it's the
way it's phrased. I -- I think you can ask the question.
12
13 Go ahead.
BY MS. BURWELL:
14
15 Q. Does anyone oversee the election activities
that you undertake?
16
17 A. The state election board has the -- the
18 ultimate authority over the boards, the -- the Fulton
County Board of Registration and Elections.
19
20 Q. And are there -- are you personally or is
the Board of Registration and Elections subject to
21
22 penalties for not following the code and rules?
A. Yes.
23

24 Q. Can you tell the Court why Fulton County
25 uses the DRE-based voting system?
0036
1 A. That is part of -- there -- there's a
2 uniform voting law in Georgia, and every county uses
the same equipment. It's a state law.
3
4 Q. Do you have a choice on whether or not to
use that equipment?
5
6 A. No.
Q. Who owns the DRE machines that are used in
7
8 Fulton County?
9 A. 70 to 80 percent of them were purchased by
10 the state, and Fulton County purchased the other 20
11 to 30 percent.
Q. Can you tell the Court whether or not
12
13 Fulton County has ever had any software issues with
its DRE machines?
14
15 A. No.
Q. Are you aware of any viruses that have ever
16
17 infiltrated a Fulton County DRE machine?
18 A. No.
Q. Let me ask you now the same questions about
19
20 the poll book that you used. Are you aware of any
software issues with that poll book?
21
22 A. No.
Q. What about the viruses with that poll book?
23
24 A. No.
25 Q. And let me ask you now about the GEMS
0037
1 server, which is a -- yet a third component of the
2 system; correct?
A. Yes.
3
4 Q. Are you -- has Fulton County ever had any
software issues with the -- the GEM system?
5
6 A. No.
Q. And are you aware of any viruses with that
7
8 GEM system?
9 A. No.
10 Q. Are you aware -- is it -- are you aware of
11 any external attacks that Fulton County has had on
any of its machines?
12
13 A. No.
Q. Is it possible for there -- is -- is the
14
15 DRE machine hooked up to the Internet?
A. No.
16

17 Q. Is the DRE machine hooked up to anything
18 external, the Web, or anything like that?
A. No.
19
20 Q. Now, are the DRE machines tested by Fulton
County?
21
22 A. Yes.
Q. And do -- did you test the machines before
23
24 the November 6th election?
25 A. Yes.
0038
1 Q. Is each DRE machine that's used in the
2 election tested?
A. Yes.
3
4 Q. And are DRE machines that are used in the
early voting tested as well?
5
6 A. Yes.
Q. Are any of the machines connected to each
7
8 other?
9 A. Only by electricity.
10 Q. Okay. So one DRE machine in a room isn't
11 connected to another DRE machine in a room?
A. No.
12
13 Q. They're both potentially plugged into the
electricity in the wall, but other than that --
14
15 A. Correct.
Q. Now, can you tell the judge in terms of the
16
17 November 6th general election what you did to prepare
18 for that election?
A. Well, we began in August. We have to -- we
19
20 have to get the ballot ready. We have to make sure
we get all the information from all of the local
21
22 candidates or from the municipalities that are having
elections to the state, so that they can build a
23
24 ballot.
25 We have to get all the -- the poll workers
0039
1 recruited, poll workers trained; polling places we
2 have to make sure are -- are set.
We have to get all of our workers set up for
3
4 early voting. We have to get all the machines ready.
We -- we also make sure we get all of the -- the --
5
6 all of the voter registration applications processed
that are timely, and there are lots of little, sundry
7
8 duties that go along with all those.
9 Q. And those are the same -- the procedures

10 you go through for every election; is that correct?
11 A. Correct.
Q. Now, can you tell the judge about ballot
12
13 styles and what that means?
A. Well, we had 115 ballot styles in -- in the
14
15 November election. Those are based on -- on all the
-- the precincts and the districts, all the different
16
17 districts that -- that are within the county, from
18 state senate districts to -- to city boundaries to
House of Representative districts, all the -- all the
19
20 political districts are taken into account, along
with the precincts.
21
22 Q. So let me ask you about early voting. And
can you explain to the judge how early voting works?
23
24 A. During early voting, all of the ballots are
25 available at every polling place. You can vote
0040
1 anywhere during early voting.
2 Q. So what does that mean in terms of ballot
styles?
3
4 A. Well, for our 370-plus precincts that we
have, all of those are available with the 115
5
6 different ballot styles.
Q. How does that differ from Election Day?
7
8 A. On Election Day, the voters have to go to
9 their -- their assigned precinct to vote. So those
10 -- those precincts are what are available in each
11 polling place.
Q. So on -- for early voting, if you live in
12
13 Roswell, you can vote in Chattahoochee Hills, and
they can pull up your ballot?
14
15 A. Correct.
Q. But on Election Day, you can only vote in
16
17 Roswell?
18 A. Yes, at whatever assigned place in Roswell,
yes.
19
20 Q. So during early voting, are there things
that could occur that would cause a machine to say
21
22 "Cancel" on it?
A. Well, if it -- if they -- if the screen
23
24 comes up and there's a cancel sign on there, that --
25 that indicates that the ballot was created by the
0041
1 Express Poll in -- in the disabled mode, for a
2 disabled voter.

Q. Okay. So explain for the -- to the judge
3
4 what that means?
A. There's -- the Express Poll has two
5
6 different modes. You can have the regular mode where
-- where the ballot comes up, or there's a -- there's
7
8 also a mode for -- for disabled voters, because those
9 voters, the ballot doesn't appear on the screen.
10 The only thing that appears is -- is a
11 "canceled" button, so -- to cancel that out. Now if
the voter goes up, he can put -- it's in the disabled
12
13 mode, the Express Poll operator has to select the
option to go back to regular mode.
14
15 If they don't, the next card they create is
going to be in disabled mode.
16
17 Q. And so is that what makes it flash
18 "Cancel"?
A. Yeah.
19
20 Q. Does that mean that there's a problem with
the machine?
21
22 A. No.
Q. Okay. What does that mean?
23
24 A. The DRE's doing what it's told.
25 Q. So what happens to the voter in that
0042
1 instance, if it comes up and says "Cancel"?
2 A. Well, they would have to cancel the ballot
and then go back to the Express Poll. They're
3
4 supposed to get -- all of our machines have a sign in
them that say, "If there's anything wrong with your
5
6 ballot, whether it be that you've -- you think you
have the wrong ballot, then you need to go get a poll
7
8 worker to help you."
9 Like to go back to the Express Poll and tell
10 them or show them what's on the screen. From there a
11 new card needs to be created that it's in the regular
mode.
12
13 Q. Right. And it's not in disabled mode?
A. Correct.
14
15 Q. So then the voter can go and cast a ballot?
A. Yes.
16
17 Q. So the fact that it says -- that it had
18 come up cancelled doesn't mean that the voter was
unable to cast a vote --
19
20 A. Correct.

Q. -- on that day? Now let me ask you about
21
22 if an issue comes up with a DRE machine, and Mr.
Brown asked you about something that happened at, I
23
24 believe, church. So you weren't at the church that
25 particular day, were you?
0043
1 A. No.
2 Q. So you don't have any first-hand knowledge
about what particularly happened; correct?
3
4 A. Correct.
Q. But you listened to Ms. LeClerc's testimony
5
6 about what she observed?
A. Yes.
7
8 Q. Can you tell the judge what the process is
9 under those circumstances, if they're -- if this
10 particular voter has an issue?
11 A. Well, we have a help desk that -- there're
are different ways that a poll worker can contact the
12
13 office. They should call the help desk, and then a
ticket is created, and then a technician would be
14
15 sent to the polling place.
In the meantime, if the poll worker has a
16
17 concern about the machine, they -- they shut it down,
18 as they did, and so -- and that's what they're
supposed to do.
19
20 Q. Okay. Do you, as the director of
elections, have any concerns about the reliability of
21
22 the DRE machines that have been used in Fulton
County?
23
24 A. No.
25 Q. Do you have any concern about any memory
0044
1 cards having ever been improperly accessed?
2 A. No.
Q. Can you tell the judge what the process is
3
4 for safety with respect to the memory cards?
A. All the -- all the machines will -- like,
5
6 in early voting, for example, all the machines are,
after they're tested, all of them are sealed to seal
7
8 the equipment, the serial numbers are recorded, and
9 the seal numbers are recorded, and those go out with
10 -- with the poll workers.
11 Every day those -- those machines are -- the
seals are broken, they're verified, they -- they seal
12
13 them up again, and it goes -- the -- the process goes

through the 19 days of early voting.
14
15 They're -- on Election Day, when we send those out, they're -- they're sealed after the vote --
16
17 after, like, an accuracy is done. Those are also
18 delivered out, and they -- they go to the polling place.
19
20 They're -- they are -- there is a recap sheet with it, and they have the serial numbers and the --
21
22 the seal numbers are also recorded on there. The poll workers verify that those -- the seals are not
23
24 broken, and if -- if one was to be broken, they're to
25 contact our office and to let us know that the seal
0045
1 was -- is broken.
2 Q. So can you tell the judge what the process is for security with respect to the actual DRE
3
4 machines themselves? You told us about the cards being sealed and kept. What about the actual DRE
5
6 machines?
A. In our warehouse, they -- they are kept in
7
8 a -- in a large warehouse. There's a keypad to get
9 in. It's also -- the warehouse is alarmed, and we
10 also have cameras in -- in the warehouse.
11 Q. Do you have chain-of-custody forms --
A. Yes.
12
13 Q. -- for the machines? Are those kept under lock and key?
14
15 A. Yes.
Q. And how are the machines delivered to the
16
17 actual locations where voting occurs?
18 A. We have different either -- usually, it's either active-duty fire- -- firemen or officers or
19
20 retired firemen or officers that -- police officers that do -- do the deliveries.
21
22 Q. And when those DRE machines are delivered, they have seals on them?
23
24 A. They have seals on them, and there's a
25 cable through the door -- through the -- through the
0046
1 handles --
2 Q. Can you --
A. -- to make sure that they're all kept
3
4 together.
Q. Can you explain to the judge what the seal
5

6 looks like and what it's made out of and what's on it?
7
8 A. When they go out, it's a -- it's a metal
9 seal, and it has -- it has a number on there that
10 identifies that seal, and it's unique to that seal.
11 Q. And so what do poll workers do when they get the machines?
12
13 A. After they -- they will verify that the seal is -- that we recorded in the warehouse is the
14
15 same that it is on that recap sheet, and then they break the seals to open the machines.
16
17 Q. Are you aware of any instance where a seal
18 was broken or tampered with while at a polling location?
19
20 A. No.
Q. Now, once the election is over and the
21
22 machines are returned to the warehouse, is there a seal on it when it's originally returned to the
23
24 warehouse?
25 A. Yes.
0047
1 Q. And how long are you required to maintain
2 that seal?
A. 30 days.
3
4 Q. So if -- if a machine is sealed, is there any way someone can access the door where the media
5
6 cards are located?
A. No.
7
8 Q. Okay, why is that?
9 A. Well, they would have to break the seal to
10 get in there, cut the cable, break the seal.
11 Q. Are you aware of that ever happening?
A. No. Also on the early voting machines,
12
13 there's a metal plate that is put over the -- the doors on those. You'd have to crowbar those off, and
14
15 it would break the unit.
Q. Can you now tell the judge about the
16
17 security measures for the GEMS server?
18 A. That's password-protected. It's in the same warehouse with the -- with the DREs, so there's
19
20 -- you have to have the access code to get in the door. There's also an alarm, and there are cameras
21
22 in there.
MS. BURWELL: I have no further questions.
23

24 THE COURT: Yes, sir, Mr. Tyson. Mr. Lindsey?
25 MR. LINDSEY: I have some questions, Your Honor.
0048
1 I think you've alluded to it, Mr. Barron, but perhaps
2 it would be a good idea to go ahead and explain.
Before I do that, my co-counsel has admonished
3
4 me for asking a woman her age, so to be fair, sure that
I'm not a sexist, what's your age?
5
6 THE WITNESS: 52.
MR. LINDSEY: All right. That's the last time I'm
7
8 going to ask a woman her age.
9 THE COURT: I think everybody in here's younger than
10 I am anyway, so don't worry about that. Go ahead.
11 MR. LINDSEY: Yes. All jokes aside, let's get to the
serious part.
12
13 BY MR. LINDSEY:
Q. You've alluded to it a couple times, but
14
15 let's tell the judge a little more specifically.
Prior to the election, for each machine, it is my
16
17 understanding that according to your testimony that
18 you conduct what is called a logic and accuracy
testing?
19
20 A. Yes.
Q. Explain to the judge exactly what that is?
21
22 A. We have a script that we -- we vote, and
then once you input those votes, then you run the
23
24 tape and make sure that -- that the results match the
25 script that was voted.
0049
1 Q. Okay. And do you do that for each machine?
2 A. For each machine.
Q. Okay. And did all of the machines that
3
4 were used on November 6th, 2018 pass that test?
A. Yes.
5
6 MR. LINDSEY: No further questions.
THE COURT: Mr. --
7
8 MR. RUSSO: Briefly, I just -- only care about one
9 point.
10 BY MR. RUSSO:
11 Q. Mr. Barron, you indicated a script was run.
Does that -- does that require someone actually
12
13 pushing the screen and voting it, or is that a
software run on the computer?
14
15 A. No. We -- we actually input those manually
to make sure that all the positions are recording
16

17 votes.
18 MR. RUSSO: Thank you.
THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
19
20 BY MR. BROWN:
Q. Mr. Barron, you were asked about a law
21
22 relating to -- that required you to use the DRE
machines, right?
23
24 A. Um-hmm.
25 Q. And is it -- was it your understanding that
0050
1 the law does require you to use the DRE machines?
2 A. Yes.
Q. And are you aware of -- of a court opinion
3
4 that says --
THE COURT: Okay. You -- you -- you -- that's what
5
6 we're having trouble with, and I can see movement over
here at this table. "Are you aware," you say that "are
7
8 you aware" as if it was a matter of fact. And it's fact
9 that's not in evidence, so rephrase the question.
10 BY MR. BROWN:
11 Q. Have you read the federal court decision,
Curling vs. Kemp?
12
13 A. No.
Q. Are you -- what is the statute that says --
14
15 do -- do you know what the statute is that says you
have to use DRE machines?
16
17 A. Not offhand.
18 Q. Are you -- are you -- do you know of any
statute that says that?
19
20 A. I could -- if I had an election code, I
could probably find it, but --
21
22 Q. And -- and you're -- what you're saying is
that the Georgia code says that it requires the use
23
24 of DREs?
25 A. Yes, and that was clarified by the
0051
1 Secretary of State's office to all the counties
2 leading up to the fall election cycle.
Q. Did that opinion change after a ruling by
3
4 the federal court?
MR. LINDSEY: I'm going to objection to Mr. Brown.
5
6 He is an election official. He's got some general
knowledge, perhaps, of the law --
7
8 THE COURT: Yeah, you're using -- see, you used the
9 word "code," at one point. I -- whether he knows the

10 difference between the code and the law, which are two
11 different things, I don't know, but you're still -- you're
still -- it's the way you're asking the questions, Mr.
12
13 Brown.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I -- the -- if I might just
14
15 say one thing.
THE COURT: What?
16
17 MR. BROWN: They asked him, "What is your
18 understanding of the law?"
THE COURT: But -- and you can ask him what -- you --
19
20 you can test that, but you can ask, "Are you aware that --
that rule ever changed?"
21
22 MR. BROWN: Are you aware --
THE COURT: That does the same thing. See where I'm
23
24 coming from?
25 MR. BROWN: Yes.
0052
1 THE COURT: You -- you can get the information. It's
2 just the way you phrase the question that's objectionable.
BY MR. BROWN:
3
4 Q. Mr. Barron, are you aware if that rule was
ever changed or interpreted differently as not
5
6 requiring --
A. No.
7
8 Q. -- the use of DREs? Okay. Were you, in
9 your position as the director of elections for Fulton
10 County, were you briefed on court orders from the
11 federal court in the Curling case?
A. Yes.
12
13 Q. And did that briefing include telling you,
quote, "But OCGA 21-2-383(b) does not require the use
14
15 of DREs," as defendant claims it does?
MS. BURWELL: Objection, Your Honor. First and
16
17 foremost, it appears that he's attempting to ask about a
18 privileged communication.
THE COURT: It's not in -- it's -- it's -- I sustain
19
20 the objection.
BY MR. BROWN:
21
22 Q. Is it your working understanding, Mr.
Barron, that the Georgia Code requires the use of
23
24 DREs?
25 MR. TYSON: Yeah, objection, on behalf of
0053
1 [inaudible].
2 THE COURT: Sustained.

BY MR. BROWN:

3

4 Q. Mr. Barron, you talked about secure facilities and about how to secure the facilities.

5

6 You mentioned the security with the GEMS server. Do you recall that?

7

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. And you weren't talking about the GEMS

10 database; you were talking about the actual GEMS

11 server; correct?

A. Correct.

12

13 Q. Okay. You -- you were describing how the machines are marked up, is that right? When they're

14

15 in -- after they're in use; correct?

A. At the poll, yes.

16

17 Q. And you testified about how the memory

18 cards are secured in a secure location; correct?

A. Yes.

19

20 Q. Those are the memory cards that have been used for years; correct?

21

22 A. Yes.

Q. You testified about the tickets that are

23

24 created as a result of complaints from -- well, let

25 me ask you this. The ticket system from your prior

0054

1 testimony, could you describe that for us in greater

2 detail?

A. Well, a poller would call into our help

3

4 desk on Election Day, and -- and then a ticket is created basically to -- if -- if we need to send a

5

6 technician to that area. We have roaming technicians that oversee certain precincts, and then we can -- we

7

8 can dispatch somebody.

9 Q. And where are those tickets saved?

10 A. We have -- we just have a database that

11 keeps a log of our tickets.

Q. What is that database called?

12

13 A. Help desk. I don't think it has a name. I mean, it's just a help -- it's just our own internal

14

15 help desk.

Q. Is that --is that a record that you make

16

17 available to the public?

18 A. It's -- I mean, I guess it would be available if -- if somebody put in an open records

19

20 request, yes.

Q. And how many tickets were created for the
21
22 November '18 election?
A. I don't know.
23
24 Q. Scores, hundreds?
25 A. I don't -- I don't think it was that many,
0055
1 but I don't know.
2 Q. And what about for the runoff?
A. I don't know.
3
4 MR. BROWN: Just one second. I'd like to take one
minute.
5
6 THE COURT: Sure.
BY MR. BROWN:
7
8 Q. You testified that Fulton County has put
9 the machines, the DRE machines through logic and
10 accuracy tests?
11 A. Correct.
Q. You -- has Fulton County forensically
12
13 examined the machines?
A. No.
14
15 Q. And just for the record, has -- has Fulton
County allowed plaintiffs access to the machines for
16
17 that testing?
18 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor. Here we go. Once again,
plaintiff's counsel is trying to bring back up discovery
19
20 issues that have arisen in this case that have all been
brought before the judge on multiple occasions.
21
22 Judge in this court has ruled after hearing
plaintiff's counsel giving him a reasonable opportunity to
23
24 argue, and the Court has overruled those objections, so
25 this entire line of questioning is found to be
0056
1 interrupting.
2 THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, this goes back to the statute
3
4 that I quoted in my opening statement --
THE COURT: Okay.
5
6 MR. BROWN: -- and that has to do with the --
THE COURT: The objection is sustained.
7
8 MR. BROWN: Thank you.
9 MS. BURWELL: If I could, Your Honor, just for one
10 second?
11 THE COURT: Mm-hmm.
BY MS. BURWELL:
12
13 Q. Mr. Barron, I'm going to show you

OCGA 21-2-300. Is that what you were referring to
14
15 earlier about being required to use the state-
mandated DRE machines?
16
17 A. You said 302? Or 300?
18 Q. 300.
MR. BROWN: Excuse me, excuse me, Your Honor. I've
19
20 just -- in the here --
THE COURT: Let me -- let me say this. You can all
21
22 read the law to me in closing.
MS. BURWELL: Okay. All right.
23
24 THE COURT: You don't -- don't -- don't make a lay
25 witness a lawyer.
0057
1 MS. BURWELL: Okay.
2 THE COURT: I'm sure he doesn't want to be one.
BY MS. BURWELL:
3
4 Q. Last thing, Mr. Barron. In Fulton County
you didn't receive any complaints of someone saying
5
6 that a race, specifically the lieutenant governor
race didn't appear on their ballot?
7
8 A. I don't recall that, no.
9 MS. BURWELL: Thank you.
10 MR. LINDSEY: For a follow-up to that.
11 THE COURT: Right.
BY MR. LINDSEY:
12
13 Q. If there had been something as serious as
that, do you believe that you would have been alerted
14
15 to it?
A. Yes.
16
17 MR. BROWN: Objection, Your Honor. That calls for
18 speculation as to reading the minds of other people who
might --
19
20 MR. LINDSEY: He's -- he's ahead --
THE COURT: Sustained.
21
22 MR. LINDSEY: -- of the office now.
THE COURT: Sir, I understand. "Did you get one" is
23
24 enough?
25 BY MR. LINDSEY:
0058
1 Q. Did you ever get one that day that a race
2 was not on, that particularly the lieutenant
governor's race was not on the ballot?
3
4 A. No.
MR. LINDSEY: Thank you.
5

6 MR. BROWN: No further questions, Mr. Barron.
THE COURT: Okay. You may go now. Thank you.
7
8 THE WITNESS: Thanks.
9 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, at this point we have no
10 further witnesses, but I would like to make one
11 evidentiary argument that may be well. And it is to renew
our request for the admission of the National Academy of
12
13 Sciences report. And let me just very briefly describe
the law on that.
14
15 The -- when it was tendered, I made the argument that
-- that the basis of it being admissible by experts is
16
17 prinicipally -- it's hearsay, but the -- but the law
18 requires is that --
And this is what the law says, and I'm quoting 24-7-
19
20 703, "Such facts or data that are otherwise inadmissible
shall not be disclosed to the jury by the proponent of the
21
22 opinion or inference unless the court determines that the
probative value in assisting the jury to evaluate the
23
24 expert's opinion substantially outweighs their prejudicial
25 effect."
0059
1 And so I would ask, Your Honor, to -- to allow the
2 opinion of -- to allow the National Academy of Science's
report, because their probative value greatly outweighs
3
4 the prejudicial effect, and I would also, at this point,
note that the report is independently admissible under
5
6 OCGA 24-7-803 (8)(C), which is the public records and
reports exception of hearsay.
7
8 And I would cite the case of Chrysler v. Walden, 339
9 Ga. App. In that case, the court allowed the firm
10 allowance of a report of the National Highway Traffic
11 Safety Administration opposite defects investigation about
a recall of a particular defective product.
12
13 We think that case is right on point. We believe,
Your Honor, that -- I understand that Your Honor has not
14
15 reviewed this evidence because it wasn't admitted in the
evidence.
16
17 THE COURT: Well, I thought it was unfair to
18 everybody for me to start reading stuff people were
sending me to read. There was going to be evidence when
19
20 there was no -- I didn't know whether it was admissible or
not, so I didn't --
21
22 MR. BROWN: We would urge Your Honor to consider
reading it and to conclude that, since a consensus report
23

24 from the National Academy of Science is the best that this
25 country has.
0060
1 And it's right on point about securing elections,
2 which is exactly what this case is about, it would be helpful to the triers of fact to have the best evidence
3
4 that this country could ever have about the issue in this case and that is the security of this machine.
5
6 MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, I would just note that this is not -- does not appear to be a complete copy. It looks
7
8 like the -- the cover page says -- looks like the document
9 is supposed to be 180 pages. This appears to be maybe the
10 first 8 pages of that document, because it begins at page
11 8 in here, but it's not a complete --
You can see that the -- the last paragraph continues
12
13 beyond this page, so it is not -- it's not even a complete copy.
14
15 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, admittedly I'm having to deal with what's on the line. But according to the
16
17 National Academy of Sciences on the webpage, the National
18 Academy of Sciences is not a public entity, not a governmental entity.
19
20 It is a private, nonprofit organization, therefore doesn't fit within the exception that gentleman has -- has
21
22 alluded to. The expert has already stated that he reviewed it, allowed it when he was giving his opinion.
23
24 It goes back once again to hearsay.
25 It's also irrelevant, Your Honor, because the
0061
1 question here is whether or not the system that Georgia
2 has is the most secure possible, but whether or not in this particular case a breach actually occurred, and to
3
4 the extent that the results of the election weren't valid. That's the question, and --
5
6 THE COURT: Mr. Tyson? Sorry.
MR. BROWN: -- the NAS report, that's something
7
8 that's better argued downtown under the goal of the
9 academy.
10 MR. TYSON: And, Your Honor, we do agree with the
11 prior statements, and just would add that, to my knowledge, that there is nothing specific about the
12
13 Diebold Georgia voting machines in this report. It's about DREs generally.
14
15 As Mr. Lindsey said, the expert has already relied upon this in testifying yesterday, and unless there is
16

17 something specific about this election, I don't see that
18 there's any relevance for the Court to consider to admit
it in evidence.
19
20 THE COURT: Mr. Brown?
MR. BROWN: First, Your Honor, just for the record,
21
22 as Your Honor is aware from the evidence, the -- the
evidence is entirely, entirely contrary to what counsel
23
24 says.
25 THE COURT: No, it's not. You see, you -- and I
0062
1 respect you, because you're an advocate. And you
2 absolutely believe your side of the case, and a lawyer is
supposed to do that. But that doesn't make it all right.
3
4 That's your view of it.
It's not -- yeah, I have to look at both sides. So
5
6 -- so that's really got nothing to do with it. I've got
-- let me say -- say what I -- go ahead and finish
7
8 arguing, because I very much know what I'm going to do on
9 it.
10 MR. BROWN: The evidence as we perceive it to be,
11 Your Honor, is -- and we're just simply not aware of the
contrary evidence, is that the defects are national, and
12
13 there's no difference, material difference between it in
Georgia, and we're not aware of any material testimony.
14
15 Therefore the unanswered court decision, I would instruct
it to leave it up to Your Honor [inaudible].
16
17 THE COURT: Okay. I -- I find it's not admissible.
18 It doesn't come in under public record, because it's not
public, okay? Number two, it was something that he relied
19
20 on in giving his opinion, and I've had his opinion. His
opinion is these -- if you listen to him, these pretty
21
22 much useless machines. I understand all that, and I'm not
going to read something that's hearsay.
23
24 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
25 THE COURT: Okay? I mean, I understood what he was
0063
1 saying.
2 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. At this time, we
would also like to renew our demand for a jury. And the
3
4 reason why I'm renewing the demand, Your Honor, is that
there is -- there is case law that may not be the best law
5
6 around, but it suggests that if you don't continue the
demand --
7
8 THE COURT: I -- so we already in this hearing,
9 already in this trial, I have ruled. I'm one of these

10 judges, I'm afraid, who rules, you know. I make pretty
11 clear rulings, as we pointed out yesterday. I'm not an
English major, so my stuff comes out ching-ching-ching.
12
13 I've ruled. Don't run in the face of it, okay?
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
14
15 THE COURT: Thank you. Do you rest?
MR. BROWN: We do.
16
17 THE COURT: Okay. Let me do this. Let me take ten
18 minutes, and then we'll hear from the other side.
MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
19
20 MR. BROWN: Thank you.
21
22 [Off the record at 10:16 a.m., and back on the record
at 10:21 a.m.]
23
24
25 BALIFF: Please take a seat. Court comes to order.
0064
1 MALE: Oh, sorry.
2 THE COURT: Okay. Which defendant is to go first?
Oh, you have a motion?
3
4 MS. BURWELL: Yes.
THE COURT: Okay.
5
6 MS. BURWELL: On behalf of Fulton County, Your Honor,
I will move for an involuntary dismissal pursuant to 911
7
8 41(b) on the grounds that this court as the trier of fact
9 is allowed to adjudicate a motion to dismiss at the close
10 of the plaintiff's case.
11 And that is because the court is the finder of fact
as well as the determiner of the law and the cases are
12
13 clear and I'd cite the court to Chalk v. Poletto 346 Ga.
App 491 which is a 2018 case as well as Smith v. Georgia
14
15 Kaolin Company, Inc., 269 Georgia 475 both of which
provide that a dismissal in a non-jury matter under
16
17 91141(b) does not require the court to construe the
18 evidence most favorably for the nonliving plaintiff. And
that again is because the court determines the facts as
19
20 well as the law.
And in the instant case, the petitioner's under have
21
22 filed an election contest under 2125-22, Sections 1 and 3;
and Section 1 requires the plaintiffs to have shown
23
24 misconduct, fraud, or irregularity in the election, by an
25 election official sufficient to change, replace, and doubt
0065
1 the result.
2 Instead what these petitioners are alleging, is that
just the DRE machines themselves, nothing that an election

3
4 official did, but just the machines themselves are -- they don't -- they don't care for the machines and think that
5
6 they're vulnerable.
Under section 2125-22(3), they had to show that
7
8 illegal votes were received or illegal votes rejected,
9 sufficient to change or place in doubt the results. And
10 there's been no evidence at all that there have been the
11 rejection, the receipt of illegal votes, or the rejection of votes at the polls sufficient to change or place in the
12
13 result. They brought in one woman who said she didn't see it, but then she was able to vote for that, which was Ms.
14
15 Thomas -- I think her name was -- this morning.
And the only other information was information from a
16
17 poll worker who said she got second hand information that
18 someone wasn't able to vote, but that is one vote at issue and there were over a 100,000 vote margin between the two
19
20 candidates.
So there is not sufficient information back. There
21
22 was no information in the record which would allow this court to find that there were legal votes rejected,
23
24 sufficient to change or place in doubt the result.
25 There's been no evidence of impropriety that led to this
0066
1 under-vote. There's no evidence of any sort of tampering
2 that led to this under-vote.
And instead what they have done is they have said
3
4 there's a purely mathematical computation and they believe that a four percent under-vote for the Lieutenant
5
6 Governor's race is inappropriate.
And there is no evidence in the record about how or
7
8 why individuals choose not to vote for Lieutenant
9 Governor's race. But the one thing we do know is that
10 even based on the information they provided in every
11 single election, there are under-votes. Every single election.
12
13 The only difference here is that they complain that they believe that the amount of four percent is too large.
14
15 But again, there was no tie in between why there's an under-vote and any sort of impropriety on behalf of an
16
17 election official or any legal votes that have been
18 rejected. Thank you.
MR. TYSON: Your Honor -- Your Honor? Yeah. Your
19
20 Honor, just to briefly Gwinnett County Board of Registrations in elections joins in Fulton County's motion

21
22 requesting that this be treated as an adjudication on the merits which is allowed under 41(b) just to echo what Ms.
23
24 Burwell has raised the evidence before the court for the
25 reports that is that the Lieutenant Governor's race
0067
1 appeared on every ballot in the state according to the
2 base precinct report with races.
That the -- all the cards were counted according to
3
4 the TS report and that there are a number of possible reasons as the various witnesses testified about why an
5
6 under-vote may occur. It have been ballot design with the lack of the US Senate race, the lack of a third party,
7
8 there were a number of additional write-in candidates.
9 Any of those issues could go to why the under-votes are
10 there, including the most important one, voters chose not
11 to vote at the race as was their option.
There is no evidence in the record that all -- that
12
13 any of those have been disproven as a possible basis. And as a result, Mr. Brown and the plaintiff's elections
14
15 contest and must fail the election is presumed valid and there was no evidence of irregularities sufficient to
16
17 place the results in doubt.
18 To the contrary, there is evidence that the results should be placed, should be given the presumption that
19
20 it's favored because of the evidence in the record. And as Ms. Burwell indicated, there is no indication that the
21
22 number of illegal votes cast or legal votes rejected was anywhere near the margin of victory in this case, 123,000
23
24 votes. So we fully join in Fulton's motion.
25 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, first off, on behalf of the
0068
1 Lieutenant Governor Jeff Thompkin [ph] we will adopt the
2 argument raised by both attorneys for Fulton County and Gwinnett County. And simply once again, I want to read it
3
4 off again, into Hart v. Crawford, which I read at the beginning, 20 Ga. 7, 1998 decision which clearly lays our
5
6 the fact that [inaudible] with the extraordinary, drastic -- I believe the word used by the board is drastic. There
7
8 must be clear evidence of some kind of issue in terms of
9 either malicious or negligent kind of issue that created
10 the problem. The fact of the matter is --
11 THE COURT: Quick, which case are you referring to? Sorry to interrupt you.
12
13 MR. LINDSEY: Hart [ph].
THE COURT: Hart.

14
15 MR. LINDSEY: Versus Crawford, 270 Ga. 7, 1998.
THE COURT: I got it. Okay.
16
17 MR. LINDSEY: In regards to the evidence that you've
18 heard that the plaintiff's expert himself admitted that he
was not familiar with the particularities of this race in
19
20 terms of what was going on in this race, but simply doing
so by doing mathematical formulations. He admitted that
21
22 he has no evidence of any kind of malware or malicious
conduct by any individual, would have led to the under
23
24 voting that they have cited from the testimony of the
25 plaintiff's own witnesses.
0069
1 That was never rebutted by any other witness of the
2 plaintiff. The -- there -- there -- there are ample
reasons why there was an under-vote including the fact
3
4 that we had a high number of new voters, voter confusion
in terms of the -- as a result of the layout of the ballot
5
6 Governor, Lieutenant Governor. Given the history of
Governors that Lieutenant Governors of other states
7
8 running as a ticket. And that, that is a reasonable
9 reason for why there was an under-vote, in addition to
10 other evidence that was elicited from the plaintiff's on
11 their reports.
There's also testimony, I think this is extremely
12
13 important. a lot of plaintiff's expert testify as to what
might have, could have, should have happened. The
14
15 undisputed testimony from both the Secretary of State and
from the Fulton County election official from the
16
17 plaintiffs' themselves called on direct, testified as to
18 the safe guards that are taken both before an election and
on election day to ensure that the system is operating
19
20 correctly in terms of the voter being able to cast a vote
for the candidate of their choice and that vote being
21
22 accurately reported by the state; that the testimony has
not been contradicted by anyone in terms of the safeguards
23
24 that were put in place.
25 Nor has -- while the plaintiff's expert talked about
0070
1 systems that are on the web, we have undisputed testimony
2 that the system that deals with the actual recording --
accurate recording of a voters vote all the way up to the
3
4 counting of that vote is within a closed system that is
not susceptible to the hacking at the plaintiff's expert
5
6 has outlined as part of their concerns. That testimony is

uncontroverted.

7

8 So for those reasons as well as the reasons set forth

9 by my colleagues from Gwinnett and Fulton County, we

10 actually -- we also make this much at this time.

11 THE COURT: If -- I'm going to hear from Mr. Brown but before I do, do you have 911 with you?

12

13 MS. BURWELL: Okay.

THE COURT: Everybody -- everybody's only got the --

14

15 okay. I don't have a computer and I'm one of those -- I just have to go read it myself because I had forgotten

16

17 that you could do that. So if 911-41?

18 MS. BURWELL: Yes.

MR. LINDSEY: And Your Honor, since you don't have a

19

20 computer, would you like the Hart decision that I --

THE COURT: I've got it.

21

22 MR. LINDSEY: Okay.

THE COURT: I did some work.

23

24 MR. LINDSEY: Thank you, Your Honor.

25 THE COURT: I won't say how much. 911? Let me look

0071

1 at -- here it is. Oh, I got one of these somewhere, let

2 me see 41. Let me see. Let me see. What subsection?

MS. BURWELL: Forty-one (b).

3

4 THE COURT: B. Okay. Go ahead, Mr. Brown.

MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the evidence established

5

6 first a national consensus on the [inaudible] are highly vulnerable and shouldn't be used. This -- there was no

7

8 contrary evidence as to national consensus, either by

9 governmental agencies or assigned this.

10 So the background is undisputed, and that is that

11 these machines for vulnerable to attack and that they should not be used. Second, there was a lot of evidence

12

13 about whether Georgia's particular DREs are worse than the national decrepitude because of the way George has not

14

15 maintained these machines over the last couple of years.

Our position is that Georgia has not done set on --

16

17 that these machines are worse even than the national

18 average, which worse than general and in general -- even if it were as good as general, they should not be used.

19

20 We believe Georgia's are much worse than even the bad ones in other states that should not be used. And we had

21

22 substantial evidence that is the case. At all -- since -- since they're defective anyway, I don't want to spend too

23

24 much time on the evidence that they're very, very

25 effective.

0072

1 But these are -- and I want to go through the
2 evidence very quickly. The -- Michael Barnes testified yesterday in response to my questions about the compromise
3
4 of the election server, that they had replaced one partial -- they replaced one piece of their system we established
5
6 today from Mr. Barren that the other components were not replaced and they were not disaffected. And so we believe
7
8 that Georgia's system is much more vulnerable than the
9 systems described by the national campus [ph] -- described
10 by our expert, recounted by our expert.
11 That the unanimous opinion of defense agencies, the scholars and the scientists, that they should not be used
12
13 and that Georgia's is even more vulnerable. And that is important because they're vulnerable that it naturally
14
15 increases the chances of what we're alleging in this election actually happened.
16
17 And then that was also the opinion of our expert or
18 at least un-rebutted yet. The other evidence that we have is the evidence that the results in this case, if you
19
20 compare the electronics and the paper ballot suggest that there's something about the electronic that was causing
21
22 the different vote. If Your Honor -- as the defendants' positions' has evolved, that seems to be where they're
23
24 headed also.
25 There is as -- as the evidence has shown, there is a

0073

1 microscopic chance that the results on paper are different
2 than the results on the voting machines because of voter choice or chance. Instead, two different scenarios have
3
4 been presented to you. One is that it is caused by malware or misprogramming or it's a sham [ph].
5
6 The other, and that evidence was presented by our expert, it was informed by the national consensus that
7
8 something like that was likely and that the particular
9 results and evidence about voters experiences were
10 telltale signs that there is a system problem within --
11 that the -- with the computers that was causing this to happen.
12
13 What is the other evidence? The way that -- that -- that we view the case, Your Honor, is that for this
14
15 freakish result to happen and I believe the evidence is one in 10,000, for that to happen, on the one hand, it
16
17 could have been this thoroughly discredited, highly

18 vulnerable election system did not function properly.
That's one option.
19
20 The other option is the one presented -- suggested by
Mr. Lindsey in his questions of Mr. Barnes yesterday and
21
22 that is the -- that the voters thought that there was a
tick. That the -- that for some reason they looked at the
23
24 screen and they thought, oh, we only need to vote for the
25 Republican ticket and the Democratic ticket.
0074
1 There is, apart from the question by Mr. Lindsey and
2 the answer by Mr. Barnes, there's no evidence supporting
that speculation. Your Honor remarked that we're more
3
4 concerned with why people did this. There -- the -- and -
- and that was the basis -- one of the basis for not
5
6 allowing some of our testimony.
The -- the here, there is no testimony that any voter
7
8 did not vote for the Lieutenant Governor ticket because
9 they thought it was -- the Lieutenant Governor because
10 they thought it was the ticket. Instead -- and they
11 didn't even have an expert -- they don't even have an
expert. They don't even try to get an expert.
12
13 THE COURT: Well, they haven't got that far yet. But
the issue -- the issue as we're all --
14
15 MR. BROWN: We're all. So they have -- just the
nature of, well, we can throw this idea out. Maybe this
16
17 is an explanation for it. We don't have any evidence.
18 It's not particularly plausible that a voter would do that
particularly as many times and it's not based upon any
19
20 evidence whatsoever.
It really is speculation. But the defendants rule
21
22 that the defendants would have Your Honor adopt, is that
if you challenge the result of a statewide election in
23
24 Georgia that it's done on electronic machine, is that you
25 have to find some bad code. That is what they would have
0075
1 Your Honor rule. We got to find the code. There's no bad
2 code, there's no misprogramming, then the plaintiff will
lose. But we're not going to let you find that bad code
3
4 ever.
And so the rule that the defendants want, the
5
6 Secretary of State wants would insulate decisions in
Georgia -- would insulate elections on electronic
7
8 equipment in Georgia from any judicial review because
9 you've got approved bad code, but you're not going to be
10 able to look at it to find it.

11 And we believe that the combination of that rule and the inability to discover it is inconsistent with the
12
13 statute, which was written by the legislature to allow petitioners to show that -- to allow that them to show,
14
15 and they have the court decide that at the election, so the fact that you can -- we believe a better reading of
16
17 the law is that once the petitioner has made a prima facie
18 showing of the likelihood that the machines caused the difference, and here there's -- we have proven that Your
19
20 Honor, we believe to a very high degree.
There -- the evidence is that there's a 99.95 percent
21
22 chance that there was something about the machines that caused the election results and we believe that in that
23
24 event, that we have survived and that we -- that the
25 election should be overturned [inaudible, paper shuffling]
0076
1 then in additional, that Your Honor exercise your
2 discretion to call another hearing for the code to be -- after the code be evaluated.
3
4 THE COURT: Anything -- any -- any response to that?
MR. LINDSEY: Yes. Very briefly, Your Honor. The
5
6 fact of the matter is that tests were conducted, this is uncontroversial. As a matter of fact, the bi-witnesses
7
8 that the plaintiff chose to put on this stand, tests were
9 conducted that would have revealed if malware was in
10 place.
11 Tests were conducted prior to the election. Most importantly, tests were conducted on the day of the
12
13 election to see whether or not there was any kind of systemic problem with this -- with the voting system.
14
15 And that was great details as to what that costed, in which they had cameras on one individual actually live,
16
17 making sure -- making choices and then they had a parallel
18 system and then seeing whether or not there was any kind of deviation. That testimony is uncontroverted that these
19
20 tests were done and the testimony is also uncontroverted that if a malware had existed it would have come out at
21
22 that point.
It was also uncontroverted testimony today regarding
23
24 the specific inspections that are done on each machine
25 prior to the election to make sure that the machines are
0077
1 operating correctly and that if a voter cast his vote for
2 individual A, it was going to be recorded as A. That testimony is uncontroverted. So we actually do have, here

3
4 in Georgia, tests to make sure that when Edward Lindsey cast a vote for Mr. Brown, that that's going to be
5
6 properly recorded by the Secretary of State, certified correctly.
7
8 There is no controversy to that. There's no --
9 there's no evidence to the contrary that these tests took
10 place or what the results were. And as to the other
11 matters regarding if there was no malware, what was the cause, we have presented -- by the plaintiff through the -
12
13 - with their own expert, with their own witnesses on cross examination have admitted that there were plausible other
14
15 explanations. For that reason, Your Honor -- we believe that this motion be passed.
16
17 THE COURT: Any -- anything else?
18 MR. TYSON: Yeah. Can I just briefly, again, for what Mr. Brown explained, there's no prima facie showing
19
20 here at all as far as that all we can say is that they might be vulnerable, they might be -- there might be a
21
22 problem, there might be an issue. Georgia Supreme Court is clear in Middleton v. Smith, 273 GA. 202 in 2000 which
23
24 you cannot overturn an election on the basis of mere
25 speculation. And that's what we have here. We have no
0078
1 evidence of additional [ph] votes. We have no evidence of
2 an irregularity happening.
Mr. Brown has also conveniently chosen to ignore the
3
4 fact that the ballot design that in 2018 you did not have a U.S. Senate race on the elect- -- on the ballot and
5
6 that's a deviation from a number of our past Governor's elections.
7
8 And so when a voter was presented with that
9 electronic machine, they saw the first two races instead
10 of being U.S. Senate and Governor, they were Governor and
11 Lieutenant Governor, which was a change from past years, which is also a very reasonable explanation for the
12
13 potential country vote.
So at the end of the day, the plaintiffs are left
14
15 with, we think maybe something might have happened and the Georgia Supreme Court is clear that mere speculation
16
17 cannot be the basis for overturning an election.
18 THE COURT: Anything further from you?
MS. BURWELL: Nothing further.
19
20 THE COURT: Okay. I had -- I guess I'm used to trying stuff to jury. I hadn't realized that I was in

21
22 this position.
And 91141(b) says, after the plaintiff in an action
23
24 tried by the court without a jury has completed the
25 presentation of his evidence, the defendant without
0079
1 waiving his right to offer evidence in the event the
2 motion is not granted, may move for dismissal on the
grounds at upon the facts and the law, the plaintiff has
3
4 shown note right to relief.
Taking everything the plaintiffs have said, your
5
6 attack is on the whole system and I respect that. And
there is authority that says that scientific authority
7
8 that says that DRE -- DRE system is terrible and all those
9 kinds of things. I'm very aware of that.
10 But what I'm here on is one race. Just one race.
11 You haven't sued the state to get rid of the system; okay?
That's one thing. I wouldn't be in this position if
12
13 that's where we were, but we're not, we're looking at one
race.
14
15 And you brought it on 212522 one and three. There
was absolutely nothing to support one. And then let me
16
17 look at three. There was no illegal votes received.
18 There's no legal votes rejected and in this race in the
evidence. Now, I understand you've got the under-vote
19
20 issue. I'll get to that.
The law is very clear that it's presumed there is a
21
22 legal presumption that the election returns of ballot;
okay? There's the presumption in ballot. The plaintiff
23
24 has the burden of showing an irregularity or illegality
25 sufficient to change all place in doubt the result of
0080
1 election; okay? The result of this particular election,
2 not all of them, not the DRE, not any of that. One -- the
one thing. It's a narrow issue.
3
4 It says the setting aside of an election, I'm reading
the law, of an election in which the people have chosen
5
6 their representative is a drastic remedy that should not
be undertaken lightly, but instead should be reserved for
7
8 cases in which a person challenging an election has
9 clearly established a violation of election's procedures
10 and has demonstrated the violation has placed the result
11 of the election in doubt. There is no -- the only thing
you've got is the under -- is the under-vote and the --
12
13 144 votes in Winterville; okay?
If the numbers should have been equal and there is no

14
15 evidence to show of the under-vote, but let's assume somehow that was an error and there should have been 31
16
17 more thousand votes.
18 And let's assume that the one precinct in Winterville was 144 votes, should all have been given to Ms. Amico
19
20 and we'll take the 31,000 as all being given to Ms. Amico. We're still nowhere near -- the numbers do not --
21
22 there is -- it's not even close.
There is absolutely no evidence of the number of
23
24 votes sufficient to overcome. And there is no evidence
25 that this system didn't work during this election.
0081
1 So on that, I'm going to grant the motion to dismiss.
2 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, with respect, the evidence with the --
3
4 THE COURT: Sir, I've ruled. This is one thing you haven't handled well with me because I rule. And now some
5
6 judges, you can keep talking and they change their mind. Old Judge Raven [ph] was that way. As long as you kept
7
8 talking, he would eventually change his mind. I don't do
9 that; okay?
10 MR. BROWN: [inaudible]
11 THE COURT: So let's not. I've ruled, I'm done. I've just got to clean my desk a minute.
12
13 MR. BROWN: We --
THE COURT: This is your book.
14
15 MR. BROWN: Would you like an discussion about the number you cited?
16
17 THE COURT: No, sir.
18 MR. BROWN: Okay.
THE COURT: Nothing.
19
20 MR. TYSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Thank you.
21
22 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor, thank you for your time.
23
24 THE COURT: It's going to take a minute, I have a
25 briefcase; you all just go. I've got to go way back in
0082
1 the other building.
2 MR. LINDSEY: I -- you have a briefcase Your Honor --
3
4 [Whereupon, the hearing was concluded at 10:49 a.m.]
5
6
7
8

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
0083
1 CERTIFICATE
2
STATE OF GEORGIA]
3
4] SS.
COUNTY OF DOUGLAS]
5
6
I, PRISCILLA GARCIA, A COURT REPORTER IN THE STATE OF
7
8 GEORGIA, DO HEREBY STATE THAT THE FOREGOING IS A TRUE AND
9 ACCURATE TRANSCRIPT AS TAKEN DOWN BY ME AT THE TIME,
10 PLACE, AND THE DATE HEREINBEFORE SET FORTH.
11 I DO FURTHER STATE THAT I AM NEITHER A RELATIVE NOR
EMPLOYEE NOR ATTORNEY NOR COUNSEL OF ANY OF THE PARTIES TO
12
13 THIS ACTION, AND THAT I AM NEITHER A RELATIVE NOR EMPLOYEE
OF SUCH ATTORNEY OR COUNSEL, AND THAT I AM NOT FINANCIALLY
14
15 INTERESTED IN THIS ACTION.
WITNESS MY HAND IN THE CITY OF DOUGLASVILLE, COUNTY
16
17 OF DOUGLAS, STATE OF GEORGIA, ON THIS 28TH DAY OF JANUARY
18 2019.
BY: _____
19
20 PRISCILLA GARCIA, COURT REPORTER
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF GEORGIA
21
22 COMMISSION NO.: W-00379933
COMMISSION EXPIRES: 08/14/2022
23
24 CERTIFICATION NO.: 5503-2677-8304-9216
25 LICENSE EXPIRES: 04/01/2019
0084
1
2
3 I, Chris Naaden, a transcriber, hereby declare
4 under penalty of perjury that to the best of my
5 ability the above 82 pages contain a full, true and
6 correct transcription of the tape-recording that I
7 received regarding the event listed on the caption on

8 page 1.
9
10 I further declare that I have no interest in the
11 event of the action.
12
13 January 28, 2019
14
15
16 Chris Naaden
17
18
19
20 (Transcript of Trial Proceedings)
21
22
23
24
25

0001

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J.	.	CIVIL ACTION FILE NO.: 2018-CV-313418
MARTIN, SMYTH DUVAL, and JEANNE DUFORT,	.	Taken at:
Plaintiffs,	.	Superior Court of Cobb County
vs.	.	70 Haynes Street
ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN,	.	Courtroom 2000
Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.	.	Marietta, Georgia 30090
Defendants.	.	

. . . . . .

TRANSCRIPT OF HEARING PROCEEDINGS

WEDNESDAY, JANUARY 9, 2019
8:59 a.m. to 11:04 a.m.

STATE OF GEORGIA SENIOR JUDGE ADELE P. GRUBBS

REPORTED BY:

PRISCILLA GARCIA, COURT REPORTER
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF GEORGIA

0002

APPEARANCES:

Plaintiffs Counsel: Bruce P. Brown, Esquire

Bruce P. Brown Law
Floataway Business Complex

1123 Zonolite Road N.E.
Suite 6

Atlanta, Georgia 30306
404-881-0700
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com

Defendants Counsel: John Belinfante, Esquire

Robbins, Ross, Alloy, Belinfante
& Littlefield, LLC

500 14th Street N.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30318

404-856-3262
jbelinfante@robbinsfirm.com

22
23
24
25
0003
1 CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:
2
Defendants Counsel: Vincent R. Russo, Esquire
3
4 Robbins, Ross, Alloy, Belinfante
& Littlefield, LLC
5
6 999 Peachtree Street N.E.
Suite 1120
7
8 Atlanta, Georgia 30309
9 404-856-3260
10 vrusso@robbinsfirm.com
11
Defendants Associate Counsel:
12
13 Alexander F. Denton, Esquire
Robbins, Ross, Allot, Belinfante
14
15 & Littlefield, LLC
500 14th Street N.W.
16
17 Atlanta, Georgia 30318
18 404-856-3276
adenton@robbinsfirm.com
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
0004
1 CONTINUATION OF APPEARANCES:
2
Defendants Counsel: Edward H. Lindsey, Esquire
3
4 Dentons US, LLP
303 Peachtree Street N.E.
5
6 Suite 5300
Atlanta, Georgia 30308
7
8 404-527-4580
9 edward.lindsey@dentons.com
10
11 Defendants Counsel: Kaye Woodard Burwell, Esquire
Office of the County Attorney
12
13 141 Pryor Street S.W.
Suite 4038
14
15 Atlanta, Georgia 30303
404-612-0251
16

17 kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov
18
Defendants Counsel: Bryan P. Tyson, Esquire
19
20 Strickland, Brockington & Lewis, LLP
21
22 1170 Peachtree Street N.E.
Atlanta, Georgia 30309
23
24 404-219-3160
25 bryan.tyson@sbllaw.com
0005
1 I-N-D-E-X
2 EXHIBITS: DESCRIPTION PAGE
NONE
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
0006
1 COBB COUNTY, GEORGIA
2 WEDNESDAY, January 9, 2018 - 8:59 p.m.
3
4 * * * * * * *
5
6 THE COURT: On this case we have a request on Rule 22 who is opposed to make -- that request. Is anybody --
7
8 MS. BURWELL: (inaudible)
9 THE COURT: This is on the case. This is on the
10 Coalition case.
11 MS. BURWELL: Right.
THE COURT: Does anyone have any objection to the
12
13 Rule 22? -- the cameraman wants to record the proceedings.
14
15 MR. BELINFANTE: No, Your Honor.
MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor.
16

17 THE COURT: Everyone's saying no.
18 MR. RUSSO: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. Then I will grant it. I find that
19
20 it is covered under Section -- Rule 22. I have an order
confirming.
21
22 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you.
THE COURT: Ive got the order in front of me. Ive
23
24 got it.
25 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Will they know downstairs?
0007
1 THE COURT: Im sorry.
2 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Will they know downstairs? If I
dont have it signed, they wont let me go through
3
4 security. If I dont have a signed --
THE COURT: I just signed it.
5
6 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Oh, okay.
THE COURT: Pinpoint the rules though. I need to
7
8 explain to you what the rules are. You can only record
9 when Im in courtroom.
10 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay.
11 THE COURT: Okay. You cannot record anything from
counsels table.
12
13 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Okay.
THE COURT: Okay. Or any conversation they may have.
14
15 I don't think there are any witnesses. It's not that kind
of hearing today, but well see. It's not -- yet and
16
17 we'll see. Dont record anything that they've got
18 privilege and all those kinds of things. So be very
careful on that.
19
20 The rules say no bench conferences. I cant imagine
me trying to hold a bench conference with these people.
21
22 But we'll -- all Im doing -- okay. So, I will sign the
order. When you say downstairs, what do you mean?
23
24 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: To get through security I have to
25 have a signed form to get through.
0008
1 THE COURT: Okay.
2 THE BAILIFF: Ill take care of that, Judge.
THE COURT: Okay.
3
4 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you.
THE COURT: There you go. I don't -- Ive got a
5
6 couple of them, okay. Jim, I'll let him handle it, okay.
Okay. So, we have a good record, this is the Coalition
7
8 for Good Governance and various individuals versus Robyn
9 Crittenden, Fulton County, and Gwinnett County.

10 Can you each, Counsel, introduce yourselves for the
11 record so I have a record of whos here? Yes, sir.
MR. BROWN: Your Honor, Bruce Brown for the
12
13 plaintiffs. With me at counsel table is Marilyn Marks,
the executive director of plaintiff Coalition for Good
14
15 Governance.
THE COURT: I will allow that right now. We'll see
16
17 where we go. Yes, sir.
18 MR. RUSSO: Good morning, Your Honor. Vincent Russo
for the Georgia Secretary of State.
19
20 MR. BELINFANTE: Josh Belinfante also for the
Secretary of State, Your Honor.
21
22 THE COURT: Okay.
MR. TYSON: Good morning, Your Honor. Bryan Tyson
23
24 and with me is Dick Carruthers. We represent the Gwinnett
25 Board of Registrations and Elections.
0009
1 THE COURT: Okay.
2 MR. LINDSEY: Good morning, Your Honor. Edward
Lindsey on behalf of Lieutenant Governor-elect Geoff
3
4 Duncan.
THE COURT: Okay.
5
6 MS. BURWELL: Kaye Burwell here on behalf of Fulton
County.
7
8 THE COURT: How did you get stuck with this?
9 MS. BURWELL: There too many lawyers there this
10 morning, Your Honor.
11 THE COURT: Were trying to pretend you're not here.
I gotcha. I got it. I know how that works, okay. Thats
12
13 it. As I understand it, DeKalb County has been dismissed?
MR. BROWN: Thats correct, Your Honor.
14
15 THE COURT: In looking at -- were here -- let me be
clear on this. Were here on motions today. This is not
16
17 evidentiary. Understand the statute of evidence has been
18 supposedly filed, the report's picked it up. Thats not
relevant today. It's got nothing to do with today.
19
20 Thats not what were here about, okay. Were here
for the four corners of the petition; thats all were
21
22 here about. So I need to be clear about that. I
understand though, Mr. Brown, is that you have agreed that
23
24 the Coalition is not a proper party as to the election
25 contest; is that correct?
0010
1 MR. BROWN: That is correct, Your Honor. The
2 Coalition is a party to the Federal 1983 claims, and the

individual plaintiffs are the contestants in the election
3
4 contest.
THE COURT: Okay. And then with that covered, in
5
6 count 2 and count 3 are federal claims; is that correct?
MR. BROWN: Thats correct, Your Honor.
7
8 THE COURT: Okay. Are those not being contested in
9 federal court right now?
10 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor. Theyre -- similar
11 claims have been raised in federal court, but these are --
these claims are also raised in this case.
12
13 THE COURT: Well, how many times can you raise the
same claim? I mean, if this is a federal -- Im going to
14
15 hear from everybody. If this is a federal issue, then why
dont I just stay it and let the federals decide what it
16
17 is?
18 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, the plaintiffs claims are
right to be asserted here, and it may be that they -- that
19
20 those --
THE COURT: You cant keep asserting them in all
21
22 different kinds of -- of courtrooms.
MR. BROWN: Thats correct, Your Honor. But had --
23
24 had the plaintiffs not asserted them, they could have
25 waived their right to the relief, if that relief were
0011
1 deemed right before the other ones matured. The federal
2 court --
THE COURT: Let me hear from here from the -- from
3
4 the defendants on that issue. Don't mean to -- if I'm
making any sense this morning.
5
6 MR. RUSSO: Good morning, Your Honor. Vincent Russo
for the Secretary of State. This -- these are claims that
7
8 are pending and the case before Judge Totenberg in a
9 criminal matter. We dont have any objection to you also
10 considering those claims. We will do as you determine.
11 THE COURT: Okay.
MR. DENTON: And Your Honor, for Gwinnett, we're kind
12
13 of in the same position as Secretary of State.
THE COURT: Okay.
14
15 MR. DENTON: We're in the same position as the
Secretary of States Office, and we dont have necessarily
16
17 an objection. We dont think we're really proper to be
18 part of the federal constitutional claims --
THE COURT: (inaudible)
19
20 MR. DENTON: -- so we can deal with that later. Yes.

THE COURT: Well take one step at a time.

21

22 MR. DENTON: Yes.

THE COURT: Im trying to take -- this is today,

23

24 okay. Im trying to take it one step at a time, okay.

25 I'm fairly simplistic about -- Im a math major, okay.

0012

1 Explain life to me. You know, we're going to do it like

2 that. I do better if I keep my brain in one spot at a time. Fulton County, what do you say?

3

4 MS. BURWELL: Your Honor, on behalf of Fulton County, we take the position that the federal claims are pending

5

6 in federal court, and this is just another opportunity for these same plaintiffs to bring the same claim again, and

7

8 we agree with the Court that you want to stay the federal

9 issues, the constitutional issues they claim that they're

10 raising.

11 THE COURT: Mr. Lindsey.

MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, I dont believe that Geoff

12

13 Duncan is really a party to the second and third count. They're simply a party in regard to the first count,

14

15 seeking a new election.

THE COURT: Is it -- I'm sorry?

16

17 MR. LINDSEY: Were simply a party in regard to the

18 first count, seeking a new election.

THE COURT: Right. But you're not really involved

19

20 in --

MR. LINDSEY: It certainly does, however, make sense

21

22 for you to stay.

THE COURT: You're right.

23

24 MR. LINDSEY: Thatll do it.

25 THE COURT: Okay. Then I will hear the motions this

0013

1 morning on that, but Im still not convinced I'm going to

2 stay, okay. Because its pending somewhere else. With that said, then lets go -- let me see. Ill hear -- let

3

4 me hear the Secretary of State's motions first, okay.

Let -- what we're going to do -- a lot of them -- a

5

6 lot of them are the same. A lot of the motions are the same, so Im going to -- I'm going to let you go first,

7

8 and then well go from there, okay.

9 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. And the

10 defendants counsel, we did try to split up kind of all

11 arguments so that you arent hearing --

THE COURT: Whatever you want to do, Mr. Brown.

12

13 MR. RUSSO: Were here on Secretary of State's motion

to dismiss, along with the motions to dismiss filed by our
14
15 co-defendants. As you're aware, plaintiffs filed a petition contesting the results of the November 6, 2018,
16
17 general election and the race for lieutenant governor for
18 the State of Georgia.
In that election the margin of victory was 123,172
19
20 votes. The plaintiffs in this case claim that the only explanations for the difference in the number of votes in
21
22 the lieutenant governors race and other statewide races is that there were malfunctioning or erroneously
23
24 programmed voting machines or malicious manipulation of
25 the voting machines.
0014
1 That that -- that those issues place in doubt the
2 results of the election. Now plaintiffs, of course, completely disregard other reasonable explanations for the
3
4 differences in the votes, such as the fact that there were third-party candidates in the other races and incumbents,
5
6 but that's for another day.
The Supreme Court has repeatedly stated that Georgia
7
8 law prohibits a contestant from merely speculating or
9 guessing as to the cause of an election contest. Here we
10 have -- the plaintiffs have asserted grounds under 2125 --
11 excuse me, 2102 520, under multiple different sections of the election page, excuse me.
12
13 And the motion to dismiss, their response to the motion to dismiss has them discuss -- the plaintiffs have
14
15 expanded the grounds for their contest to -- to additional ones. So, there are threshold issues that pertain to our
16
17 client, which Ill address first.
18 My partner, Josh Belinfante, will address the federal constitutional claims, and as I mentioned the other
19
20 parties will address those claims. Your Honor, has already taken and confirmed the first step here that the
21
22 Coalition for Good Governance lacks standing to file an election contest claim, so we're going to move past --
23
24 past that.
25 As Your Honor knows, election contests are authorized
0015
1 and governed by the Georgia Election Code, which is in
2 Chapter 2 of Title 21. Pursuant to OCGA212520, there are only certain individuals who can be a defendant in an
3
4 election contest and none of those individuals in -- in this context would be the Secretary of State, which is the
5

6 first reason for dismissing the Secretary of State in the election contest.
7
8 THE COURT: Okay. I didnt -- Josh, I didn't realize
9 you had to go back to the courtroom.
10 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Yes.
11 THE COURT: Okay.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: (inaudible)
12
13 THE COURT: I didnt realize that they wouldnt let you go through. Let me give you a chance to get set up
14
15 because that was not -- I didn't realize that.
THE VIDEOGRAPHER: I'm good.
16
17 THE COURT: It gets confusing because everybody has a
18 right to be heard, and I didnt realize they wouldn't let you bring it in, okay. But the fact that she -- that your
19
20 allegation is the Secretary of State should not be a defendant, let me hear that. That doesn't apply to
21
22 anybody else. Let me hear that one first.
MR. RUSSO: Yes, maam.
23
24 THE COURT: I understand it's a motion to dismiss,
25 but it's an issue that's out in front. Go ahead.
0016
1 MR. RUSSO: Of course. Under OCGA212520,
2 subsection 2, a defendant is defined as -- as four different specific classes. A defendant could be either
3
4 the person whose nomination election is contested such as the lieutenant governor elect in this case, the person
5
6 whose eligibility to seek any nomination or office in a runoff primary or election is contested, the election
7
8 superintendent or superintendents who conducted the
9 contested primary or election, or the public officer who
10 formally declared the number of votes for and against a
11 ballot question submitted to the electors at an election.
Under the Georgia Election Code, the Secretary of
12
13 State is not an election superintendent. Secretary of State does not conduct elections. Elections are conducted
14
15 in the State of Georgia by -- at the county level, by the county superintendents.
16
17 Under the definitions, the general definitions that
18 are applicable to the Georgia Election Code, a superintendent is specifically defined in 2122, 2,
19
20 subsection 35, as either a judge of the probate court of a county or the County Board of Elections, the County Board
21
22 of Elections and Registration, the joint City and County Board of Elections, or the joint City Board of Elections
23

24 and Registrations, if a county has one.
25 And there are -- there are three other categories,
0017
1 which apply to municipal elections. None of those are
2 applicable here. As Your -- as Your Honor can tell here,
you know, the plain language in the definition does not
3
4 include the Secretary of State, and -- and -- and that
really goes to the fact that the Secretary of State,
5
6 again, doesn't conduct elections.
Where the Secretary of State -- the Secretary of
7
8 State is obviously involved in voter registration and must
9 maintain a statewide voter registration database, but that
10 doesn't make the Secretary of State a superintendent. The
11 county -- the -- the plaintiffs have alleged that or have
argued that, you know, since its a statewide election
12
13 involving a lieutenant governor, that the Secretary of
State must -- must be a proper -- must be a superintendent
14
15 and must be a proper party.
The defendant -- or excuse me, the plaintiffs rely on
16
17 the case Mead vs. Sheffield, which involved a court of
18 appeals election contest, and in that case the -- in that
case, it was a 2004 election contest in which one of the
19
20 -- the contestant missed the runoff by about 380 votes,
because he had -- there was the wrong -- and there was a
21
22 wrong name on the ballot.
We do not know why the Secretary of State in that
23
24 case was a party. The plaintiffs claim that since she was
25 a party in that case, she -- the -- current Secretary of
0018
1 State must, you know, must be a party in this case.
2 Of course, there's -- there's no precedent --
precedential value in that case on that precise point.
3
4 That said, what the Mead vs. Sheffield case does highlight
is that even in an election contest involving a statewide
5
6 race, election contest is filed against the county
superintendents, which it was Laurens County in that case,
7
8 where there alleged irregularities that occurred in that
9 particular county.
10 And that, you know, indicates where there is an
11 alleged irregularity involving an -- an illegal ballot as
opposed to an illegal vote, the contestant must show that
12
13 the number of illegal ballots cast exceeds the margin of
victory in order to prevail.
14
15 Again, this is -- the -- the reason the case was
filed in Laurens County -- against Laurens County was
16

17 because thats where the irregularities occurred. I know
18 my colleagues at the -- that represent the other
defendants, the county defendants will probably be
19
20 speaking to this issue, but Mead vs. Sheffield is clearly
inapplicable here.
21
22 Plaintiffs also argue that the definition of election
superintendent in the Recall Act of 1989, which is
23
24 Chapter 4 of Title 21, must apply to the Election Code.
25 Your Honor, I mean, its a fundamental rule of
0019
1 statutory construction that it's -- its presumed that the
2 legislature acts intentionally and purposefully when it
includes particular language in one section of a statute
3
4 but omits it from another. And thats Tolson v. Sistrunk,
332-Georgia at 324 from 2015.
5
6 Now in this rule of statutory construction is applied
to the present case, its clear that the word
7
8 "superintendent" as used for purposes of election contest
9 is -- is -- is referring to County Boards of Elections and
10 Registration or county probate judges, and that's for
11 counties that dont have, of course, a Board of
Registrations and Elections.
12
13 Finally, Your Honor, the plaintiffs have made an
argument about -- that -- that a public officer who --
14
15 that -- that the fourth subsection to the definition of
"defendant" that discusses, you know, that a defendant can
16
17 be a public officer who declares the number of votes for
18 and against a question, submitted to -- submitted to the
electors in an election that that somehow must wrap in the
19
20 Secretary of State also, but, Your Honor, of course, a
question -- the question is defined to be, you know,
21
22 constitutional amendments or propositions, ballot
referendum items that -- that voters vote on this, that
23
24 has nothing to do with election contests involving
25 candidates for public office.
0020
1 And we would say that theres -- there's no basis for
2 making that -- that leap that the plaintiffs are -- are
asking the Court to make. As Your Honor knows, election
3
4 laws that are in variegation to common law must be
strictly construed here.
5
6 Theres -- there's no basis for expanding the
definition of "superintendent" to somehow wrap the
7
8 Secretary of State up into this election contest. The
9 proper defendants would be the counties, the county

10 superintendents in each county where the plaintiffs are
11 aware of election irregularities.
Now that moves to the next -- the next issue, and as
12
13 Your Honor pointed -- stated --
THE COURT: (inaudible)
14
15 MR. RUSSO: Well, within the four corners of -- of
the complaint or the -- the petition.
16
17 THE COURT: Let me take the one issue, okay. Are you
18 arguing the motion to dismiss now?
MR. RUSSO: Im still arguing the motion to dismiss,
19
20 just moving past whether --
THE COURT: That's not relevant here.
21
22 MR. RUSSO: Oh, I'm sorry. I apologize.
THE COURT: No, -- go ahead.
23
24 MR. RUSSO: Okay. The plaintiffs election contest
25 is also subject to dismissal for failing to meet the --
0021
1 the pleading requirements.
2 THE COURT: Okay. That Id like to take separately.
MR. RUSSO: Okay.
3
4 THE COURT: Lets take each step. That's what I said
in the beginning.
5
6 MR. RUSSO: Okay.
THE COURT: Let's take each step. You'll get to
7
8 argue that.
9 MR. RUSSO: No, I understand. I -- I thought you
10 meant each step -- I got you.
11 THE COURT: Let's -- the first issue before me is, is
the Secretary of State a proper -- okay.
12
13 MR. RUSSO: Sure.
THE COURT: All right. Anybody else on the defense
14
15 might want to bring a -- how about you, Mr. Brown?
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Judge. Bruce Brown for the
16
17 plaintiffs. The issue of whether the Secretary of State
18 is a proper defendant is actually -- it touches upon two
arguments that they make that are virtually the same. The
19
20 first is whether the Secretary is a proper defendant and
second whether the Secretary has sovereign immunity.
21
22 If the Secretary of State is a proper defendant, then
the sovereign immunity has been waived. The -- the
23
24 plaintiffs argument with respect to both issues is that
25 the election statute says defendant means election
0022
1 superintendent. That is OCGA212250. The code then
2 defines election superintendent.

THE COURT: Are you saying 212250? 520.

3

4 MR. BROWN: Im -- I'm sorry. 520.

THE COURT: 520. So y'all know, I have the book. I

5

6 don't necessarily have access to this particular computer, and so I -- bring this book down with me.

7

8 MR. BROWN: I apologize, Your Honor.

9 THE COURT: It's 220?

10 MR. BROWN: 220. I keep transposing those numbers.

11 THE COURT: Yeah. Dont confuse them.

MR. BROWN: And then the phrase "election

12

13 superintendent" means in a statewide election the Secretary of State. That is defined in OCGA2143. Now, it

14

15 is correct that that definition of "election superintendent" as the Secretary of State is in a

16

17 different chapter of the code.

18 However, theres no reason to believe that the legislature, in using the term election superintendent in

19

20 the contest chapter, meant anything different and, in fact, commonsense would require that in a statewide

21

22 election that the proper defendant who is conducting the election is the Secretary of State.

23

24 Particularly when the irregularity that is alleged is

25 an irregularity that is statewide in every single case.

0023

1 Now the defendants, the Secretary of State option for

2 Your Honor, is to rule that in the statewide election contest that the plaintiff must join 159 counties, and

3

4 so --

THE COURT: Well, we haven't gotten to that yet,

5

6 either.

MR. BROWN: But this is -- but, Your Honor, its the

7

8 same -- I would -- its the same argument in that -- the

9 -- they're saying that they are not proper, but instead

10 the proper defendants are the 159 counties.

11 And we -- we think thats absurd and thats not what the legislator -- legislature intended. And its not what

12

13 the legislature said.

Moreover, Your Honor, there has been, as far as we

14

15 know, only one statewide election contest on record, and that is the Mead vs. Sheffield case, which Mr. Russo

16

17 discussed. And in fact, in the Mead vs. Sheffield case

18 the attorney general defended and did not raise the sovereign immunity argument at all, and its a

19

20 jurisdictional argument and it made it to the Supreme

Court.

And it was clear to the Secretary of State and the attorney general at the time, Your Honor, that our reading of the statute and commonsense meant, of course, that the Secretary of State who is in charge of the elections is

0024

the proper defendant in the statewide election contest.

Now, Mr. Russo said something to the effect, well, that was several years ago. Im not sure why the Secretary -- Secretary of State did it then, or why the former Secretary of State and former attorney general took that position. I would like -- if I may approach, I found a case yesterday, Your Honor, which I believe is instructed. But Id like to approach the bench with a copy of some of the papers, if I might.

THE COURT: All right. If you want to -- we don't have a clerk because this is a Fulton County case. Thank you. So, its just a brief?

MR. BROWN: Its a brief and then the case is attached to it, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Im not going to -- I'm not going to take somebody elses brief. You want to argue it, fine, but I'm not going to -- somebody elses brief and somebody else's case is not -- and has got nothing to do with that.

MR. BROWN: Fair enough. If the -- the case, which follows the copy of the brief, is a case called Dawkins-Haigler vs. Anderson. It's reported at 301-Georgia-27. In that case, the Secretary of State was sued. And I quote, it's "Brian Kemp in his official capacity as state election supervisor. That was the capacity in which he was sued.

0025

The -- the case was defended by Chris Carr, attorney general, Dennis Dunn, Willard Chris Corea, the same attorney general law department lawyers who were defending this case along with outside counsel from the Robbins firm. This is a case that was -- was tried last year, Your Honor, and in that case the Secretary of State was --

THE COURT: Has there been an appellate decision on the case?

MR. BROWN: Yes.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. BROWN: It is 301-Georgia-27, and in that case the Secretary of State was sued quote in its official capacity as state election supervisor, and that styling

of the Secretary of State appears in his own brief, which
14
15 is what I had shown to you, but the important thing is the reported decision, and in that case also the Secretary of
16
17 State stood up and defended the case on the merits.
18 THE COURT: Okay. If you want to give me -- but you know, if you've got a decision, let me have the final
19
20 decision, but what somebody else may have said and it's on the report, it's not relevant --
21
22 MR. BROWN: Your Honor the -- what the law department -- the position the law department said it is not binding
23
24 either on the law department or anybody else. They can
25 change their mind, of course, and not binding on Your
0026
1 Honor.
2 However, it is reflective of what the law is, and the law says that defendant means election superintendent and
3
4 the law says "election superintendent" in a statewide race means the Secretary of State. So, our argument is that
5
6 the statute is clear.
There is no case in the history in the State of
7
8 Georgia holding that the Secretary of State has sovereign
9 immunity in an elections case. No case at all. They are
10 asking Your Honor to make a precedent setting, a brand-new
11 decision. It will be the first ever that ever granted this -- this relief.
12
13 THE COURT: If that's meant to intimidate me, it doesn't.
14
15 MR. BROWN: I dont think it should -- should intimidate you, Your Honor.
16
17 THE COURT: Well, you -- I've read a lot of what
18 you've provided me. I have not read the proffer of evidence because it's not relevant today --
19
20 MR. BROWN: I understand.
THE COURT: -- and I understand where youre coming
21
22 from.
MR. BROWN: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. Thats our
23
24 argument on the sovereign immunity and the Secretary of
25 State being a proper defendant.
0027
1 THE COURT: Okay. Any responses on that issue?
2 MR. RUSSO: Briefly, Your Honor. As you rightly noted these cases, this -- this brief and the cases cited
3
4 by plaintiffs counsel have no precedential value and basically stand for nothing on this -- on this point.
5

6 Plaintiffs counsel stated that the law says that in
a statewide case, the superintendent is the Secretary of
7
8 State. Your Honor, I cant find anything on the books or
9 in the code that -- that says such.
10 Even Chapter 4, even the Recall Act that plaintiffs
11 counsel has referred to multiple times, states that in any
case of elected state officers the Secretary of State --
12
13 and in short, you know, if somebody wants to challenge --
if theres a group that wants to recall a public officer
14
15 or state officer, they have to go get a petition signed.
And that petition then goes to the Secretary of
16
17 State. Its similar to a third-party candidate or an
18 independent candidate trying to get on the ballot in a
statewide race. None of this -- the Recall Act, of
19
20 course, has nothing to do with election contests, similar
to independent candidates trying to file in a petition to
21
22 get on the ballot has nothing to do with election
contests.
23
24 Your Honor, again, we dont know why or -- or if this
25 issue has -- was raised in -- in the 2004 case. However,
0028
1 you know, the Secretary of State is not a statewide
2 election superintendent. Georgia law has long held that
elections are -- are conducted at the county level and if
3
4 a contest is going to be filed, then it should be filed
against the counties where those irregularities occurred.
5
6 And so again, we would ask you to dismiss the
election contest on -- on that basis.
7
8 THE COURT: Okay. This goes to count 1 as I
9 understand it, and 2125 -- this is Section 2 of 21, which
10 is the election contest, okay, it -- it states that the
11 election superintendent was superintendent to conduct a
contested -- or election, okay. What it says, in 2- --
12
13 again, this is within the same code, 212235 defines who
those are.
14
15 It defines who the superintendents are, and it does
not include Secretary of State. Thats the law, and
16
17 definitions applicable to Section 2, okay. Now there may
18 be in the recall, which is Section 4, that that may be
different, but it's under a different code section. Its
19
20 under a different section of the code. So as far as the
election contest goes, count 1, I find the Secretary of
21
22 State is not a proper party, okay. And so, Ill grant
your motion. -- I'll put my, you know, -- as to count 1,
23

24 okay.
25 MR. RUSSO: Yes, maam. Thank you,
0029
1 THE COURT: Okay. What's the next question?
2 MR. RUSSO: I think some of my other motions are mooted at this point, so do you want to --
3
4 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, it would be best at this point for Gwinnett County to make its proper party
5
6 argument or would you rather hear from the Secretary of State on the constitutional claims, counts 2 and 3?
7
8 THE COURT: Well, youve got a motion to dismiss on
9 -- what, just on the general --
10 MR. RUSSO: Well, we have one every --
11 THE COURT: You just got a routine motion to dismiss on the four corners of the petition.
12
13 MR. RUSSO: Thats right. We just had -- had other reasons why it should be dismissed.
14
15 THE COURT: I guess, Mr. Brown -- I guess I'd hear from Gwinnett first as to whether they should be a party
16
17 and then Fulton whether they should be a party. And then
18 we'll go into the -- if that's all right with you.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
19
20 THE COURT: Okay. Okay --
MR. TYSON: Thank you, Your Honor. Good morning.
21
22 Bryan Tyson for Gwinnett County Board of Registrations and Elections.
23
24 For our motion to dismiss, Your Honor, I think if we
25 determined now, if we've determined that the Secretary of
0030
1 State is not a proper party to an election contest, I
2 think the logical questions is, well, who then is a proper party?
3
4 For -- for us as Gwinnett County, there is no question that the Gwinnett Board is an election
5
6 superintendent who conducted the contested election. So, there's no issue there. The challenge in this scenario is
7
8 that the plaintiffs have not sued all the parties that are
9 required to afford them full relief and Mr. Russo
10 referenced the Mead case where the case was filed against
11 the county's where the problem was alleged in a statewide election contest.
12
13 And if you look at and kind of do a comparison between 520 and 522, I think it helps explain a little bit
14
15 about how there's a connection between the grounds of the contest and who the defendant is in those cases. So,
16

17 under the -- under 520-2A and B, you have a candidate
18 who's the defendant -- the person who was nominated, the
person whose eligibility is challenged.
19
20 That lines up to 522-2 where the allegation in the
election contest is that the defendant, the candidate is
21
22 not eligible to hold the office. And so, in those cases
where youre challenging eligibility, the other candidate
23
24 is obviously a logical defendant.
25 For 3, the election superintendent in 520 who
0031
1 conducted the contested primary, that's where you have the
2 allegations on the grounds related to misconduct, related
to illegal votes being cast or legal votes being rejected
3
4 or errors in counting.
And in this case the plaintiffs have made a number of
5
6 allegations about the various problems across the state,
but theyve only sued now Fulton and Gwinnett counties, as
7
8 is evidenced by other motions they filed, they want to
9 preserve evidence in Murray and Gordon counties.
10 There are allegations regarding DeKalb, regarding
11 Worth County, regarding a variety of other Georgia
counties that are not parties to this case. And the
12
13 challenge in this situation is they have the evidence that
Your Honor needs to be able to figure out is this a valid
14
15 contest or not.
If this is not a scenario where you can just pick a
16
17 county and then make allegations regarding and number of
18 other counties when theyre not parties to the case and
cant get -- get in front of the court.
19
20 The -- in addition, there is a lack of allegations
regarding Gwinnett County as to some of the specifics. A
21
22 lot of the specific allegations involve other counties,
many of whom are not parties. The other counties are the
23
24 ones that have the evidence.
25 So, for our position as Gwinnett, we believe this is
0032
1 the basis for dismissal, because not only did plaintiffs
2 fail to name the proper parties to start with, but its
now too late for them to amend.
3
4 And while the election contest procedures clearly
allow amendment of the petition, theres a difference when
5
6 youre amending to add additional parties. And the only
the Supreme Court case en pointe here is Brody vs.
7
8 Champion. And in that case the -- the contestant had
9 filed the case against the director of elections for

10 DeKalb County, but had not sued the Board of Elections.
11 And the Supreme Court said, yes, you can modify, they were on notice within the statute; thats not a problem.
12
13 The Hanson case that the plaintiffs have cited involved a different procedure under a municipal election code that
14
15 involve a direct appeal or an independent lawsuit.
It's not really relevant here. What is relevant is
16
17 we are now beyond the five-day statute or well beyond
18 that. And the relation back under 9/11/15, theres nothing that the plaintiffs needed to know at the time
19
20 they filed their lawsuit where they couldnt have named the counties that are going to have evidence that they
21
22 claim they need.
In their petition they identify. These are the same
23
24 counties are the worst -- have the worst questions about
25 these percentages. They had anecdotes from counties that
0033
1 were not included as parties in the case. So now because
2 were too late to be able to do an amendment and add -- and have that relate back to the original filling date,
3
4 the statute has run as to those counties.
It is our position that those are necessary parties
5
6 in order to give full relief in this case. And because those parties were not joined, thats a basis for a
7
8 dismissal of the entirety of the election contest, as to
9 those allegations that have been made by the plaintiffs.
10 THE COURT: Anybody else on the defendants' side that
11 want to support that position? And pardon me -- argument at the same time.
12
13 MS. BURWELL: Kaye Burwell on behalf of Fulton County. We join in that argument, Your Honor, and we
14
15 would add that when the Court looks at the complaint in Paragraph 38, it references that there were thousands of
16
17 problems they alleged with the DRE machines where clearly
18 Gwinnett and Fulton County aren't in a position to speak to those thousands of problems.
19
20 The only issues we could speak to would be any issues they alleged on our particular counties. And since this
21
22 is a statewide issue, they're alleging the only way they would be able to bring information to the Court and the
23
24 only way the Court would be able to have a full and fair
25 hearing is if all those other counties that they're
0034
1 complaining about, where they allege all these issues
2 occurred, were to be able to come in.

And as we noted on our motion to dismiss, Your Honor,
3
4 the Fulton County Board of Registrations and Elections
cant provide the petitioners with the relief they seek
5
6 because the DRE is constrained to follow state law. And
they have to use the DRE machines because thats what
7
8 state law requires.
9 And the only response the plaintiffs provided to
10 that, Your Honor, was that absentee ballots could be used,
11 but clearly the DRE doesnt have the ability to force
every Fulton County voter to use an absentee ballot. The
12
13 voters get to decide how they choose to vote.
THE COURT: Youre off the -- you're off the --
14
15 you're off the field. So right now, so they join all the
counties, or shouldn't they?
16
17 MS. BURWELL: They should have joined all of the
18 counties, Your Honor.
THE COURT: That's the issue we're figuring with them
19
20 on this motion.
MS. BURWELL: Okay.
21
22 THE COURT: I'm trying -- I'm trying to do as clean a
record as I can.
23
24 MS. BURWELL: Okay.
25 THE COURT: Okay. So, you'll be heard on that.
0035
1 Everybody's going to get heard on everything, okay.
2 MS. BURWELL: Okay.
THE COURT: I don't want to make you -- I'm just
3
4 trying to make a nice, clean record of the -- of the case.
MS. BURWELL: Yes.
5
6 THE COURT: Okay. So, you -- you -- you agree that
they have joined -- they should have seen everybody.
7
8 MS. BURWELL: Yes.
9 THE COURT: Okay? Every county, okay. Anybody else?
10 No? Okay. Then you can, because you didn't find the
11 word --
MR. BROWN: (inaudible)
12
13 THE COURT: (inaudible)
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. The Fulton
14
15 County's argument points to sort of the overall issue of
how the plaintiffs are getting whip shot here. Fulton
16
17 says, we cant defend this because the Secretary of State
18 does everything.
THE COURT: No. Don't go there. The issue we're
19
20 dealing with, the only issue I'm trying to read right this

minute is, should you have joined 159 counties or not?

MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor, and heres why.

THE COURT: Okay. That -- that's the issue.

MR. BROWN: We -- we have -- first, we have alleged causes of action against Gwinnett and Fulton. Our

0036

allegations cover -- they are proper defendants as they -- I think, believe as they have conceded, that they've -- they conducted the election.

And we have alleged that the irregularities occurred in their counties, and they occurred in every county. And

so, Fulton and Gwinnett are proper defendants, and so they stay. The question then is, should the other -- should

157 other counties have been joined?

That comes down into two separate questions, okay. First is, what might we need discovery from 157 other counties and from a non-party Secretary of State. The answer is, yes. We will need discovery from the Secretary

of State, and well need discovery from -- from other counties, maybe.

We dont believe actually that substantial discovery will be required from every county. And the reason for

that is that the Secretary of State, not as a party, Your Honor, Im not arguing that piece, but as the repository of the information.

The Secretary programs the ballots, programs all the machines, programs the poll books, tabulates the results,

announces the results, all of those things. And so, the -- so the Secretary is here within the jurisdiction of this

court -- to court -- to respond to discovery.

In addition, Your Honor, has plenary power, plenary

0037

power in the statutes that we have cited, to grant the relief that were seeking. Theres no requirement that we file suit against every county. Instead, the counties

that we have sued are proper.

And whether we can prove that is up to the next

hearing, its not up to today. But we believe we can prove that through discovery. And that the 157 counties

are not necessary to be joined. If they are necessary to join them, then we'll join them and thats a separate issue. Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Anything further? Anything further?

MR. BROWN: I have nothing further, Your Honor.

THE COURT: We have to do these cases as fast as we

can do them, and I respect that, and I'm trying to do this
14
15 as fast as I can. But given the status of what is
actually before me, not all this 180 pages of evidence and
16
17 all this stuff I've been dumped on, and which -- anyway.
18 I won't go there. It's very hard for me to be able to
download some of that stuff.
19
20 But I've done them. I've taken them off. But in
that status, I'm going to deny your motion. Whether it
21
22 will become relevant at the end of the hearing, I dont
know. But at this point, both those motions as to adding
23
24 the parties and as to them being improper parties --
25 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
0038
1 THE COURT: Does Gwinnett have any other motions
2 applicable just to Gwinnett?
MR. RUSSO: No, Your Honor, I dont believe we do.
3
4 We obviously join the other motions regarding kind of the
-- claim.
5
6 THE COURT: (inaudible)
MR. RUSSO: There is a complaint, I think that's it,
7
8 so.
9 THE COURT: Okay. Fulton, you've got it. Anything
10 else that you wanted to say? Come around, if you will.
11 Im sorry to address you by county, but I have a cheat
sheet here with everybody's name, and I think I've lost
12
13 it.
MS. BURWELL: The -- the point I was making on
14
15 whether or not Fulton County was a proper party was that
the Fulton County Board of Registrations and Elections
16
17 isnt capable of providing the petitioners with the relief
18 that theyre seeking, which in essence is changing out DRE
machines.
19
20 They dont want Georgia citizens to use the DRE
voting system. Well, Fulton County, like every other
21
22 county in the state, is constrained by state law to comply
with state law, which requires us to use the DRE machines.
23
24 Now, the response that plaintiffs had to our motion
25 to dismiss was merely, number one, that the Board of
0039
1 Registrations and Elections could require citizens to vote
2 via absentee, and thats clearly not the case. Citizens
get to decide how they vote, not the Board of
3
4 Registrations and Elections.
The only other issue they raised was OCGA21-2-366 and
5

6 they raised that for the proposition of saying that
Fulton County in fact could decide what kind of voting
7
8 system is in use and thats just not the case. What the
9 Court looks at 21-2-366, it only provides that the
10 governing authority of a county, which is not the Board of
11 Registrations and Elections, its the Board of
Commissioners -- can authorize the use of optical scanning
12
13 voting systems.
Well, the Board of Commissioners is not a party to
14
15 this action; the Board of Registrations and Elections is.
And so, the -- our position is that the countys position
16
17 with respect to whether or not it is a proper defendant is
18 unrefuted by the plaintiffs.
And then the only other issue we had raised, Your
19
20 Honor, was the lack of service. At the time we filed our
motion to dismiss, they had not served.
21
22 THE COURT: They had not served?
th
MS. BURWELL: Yes, they served us on December 26 .
23
24 THE COURT: All right.
25 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, I believe Your Honor's
0040
1 already ruled that Fulton and Gwinnett are proper parties.
2 And Im not sure I understand Fultons argument that
theyre incapable of -- of conducting the elections. I
3
4 think thats an issue that may come later in terms of what
sort of remedy that you offer, Your Honor.
5
6 Our position is that, number one, when you have the
trial, the -- we will be able to show that a new election
7
8 should be ordered; thats the first argument. The second
9 argument is, if you do order a new election, on what
10 machines or what is -- what type of election should that
11 be? Should that be machines, or should that be paper?
And thats what Fulton County is talking about is
12
13 really two or three issues; two steps away from where we
are today. Our position will be that Fulton County is
14
15 capable of -- of conducting a paper ballot election
without using these flawed machines.
16
17 And that thats authorized under the code provisions
18 that -- that we have cited. And that they dont need a
Secretary of State's approval to do that, but certainly
19
20 Your Honor could order them to do so, if youve felt that
was necessary, but again I think thats --
21
22 THE COURT: Asking further from Fulton County?
MS. BURWELL: No.

23
24 THE COURT: Okay. I'm going to deny that, again at
25 this point when you all stop talking, arguing the
0041
1 evidence, then the motion to dismiss this is doing a lot,
2 okay. Does that mean -- after we have a -- have a hearing
on the matter, I dont know. But I'm happy to try it.
3
4 But right at this point, I'm bound by what the rules
say. That's if a slight -- allegations are -- I have to
5
6 follow. Okay, so we havent generalized the motion to
dismiss, did you need to be heard on that as well.
7
8 MR. RUSSO: No, not on that issue.
9 THE COURT: I didnt think so. This is generalized.
10 Everybody seems to have generalized motions dismissed on
11 the pleadings. Let me hear that.
MR. RUSSO: Would you like to hear from --
12
13 THE COURT: On count one.
MR. RUSSO: Sure. Would you still like to hear from
14
15 us or --
THE COURT: Whatever order you want to do it.
16
17 MR. BELINFANTE: I mean, Im happy to make our
18 argument. Im happy to make our arguments on it.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, Edward Lindsey once again,
19
20 attorney on behalf of Lieutenant Governor-elect Geoff
Duncan. As was previously stated, Mr. Duncan won his race
21
22 by over 123,000 votes. Im going to go through some
numbers, Your Honor, and Im going to ask you to simply
23
24 take judicial notice, which I believe youre entitled to.
25 As a matter of public records; in terms of public records.
0042
1 The case Ill cite for taking judicial notice would
2 be Johnson vs. Adams 323-Georgia-PO427 and that particular
case that was taking judicial notice of a separate lawsuit
3
4 of a -- separate case in bankruptcy court, but
nevertheless, the rule is that the courts in a motion to
5
6 dismiss, I believe, courts can take judicial notice of
such matters.
7
8 And the only thing that Im going to be talking about
9 are the official numbers from the Georgia Secretary of
10 State's office, certified numbers. Court, in such cases
11 such as ours needs to remember that the setting aside of
an election in which the people have chosen their
12
13 representative, is a drastic remedy that should not be
undertaken lightly, but instead should be reserved for
14
15 cases in which a person challenging an election has
clearly established a violation of elections procedures.

16
17 And has demonstrated that the violations have placed
18 the result of the election in doubt; thats not our
assertion, Your Honor. Thats a big court decision. In
19
20 the case of Hunt vs. Crawford 270-Georgia-7, a 1998
decision in my brief we filed yesterday, Your Honor, we
21
22 sort of outlined that thats -- whats clearly required is
that the plaintiffs --
23
24 And at this point, Your Honor, that the pleadings
25 must show that the plaintiffs are asserting that as result
0043
1 of the defects that they are alleging, that it places the
2 -- the outcome of the election in doubt. And the courts
have established somewhat of a mathematical test.
3
4 And I'm glad to hear that you said you were a math
major, so Im going to simply talk about a little math
5
6 here. The -- the plaintiffs, you know, or the petitioners
have alleged several things. On number one they say, that
7
8 as a result of these nefarious defects, the -- the total
9 number of votes cast in the lieutenant governors race was
10 dramatically below those of other down-ballot statewide
11 races.
Well, if you look at our particular case, like I
12
13 said, 123,000 votes, 123,172 votes; thats the certified
result number. If you look at the -- the -- the highest
14
15 number of votes that were cast in any of the down-ballot
races -- that would be the Secretary of States race. And
16
17 in that race, there were 3,883,594 votes total cast.
18 In the lieutenant governors race, that's 3,780,304.
So even if we were to accept -- and the Court is required
19
20 to do here -- the petitioners allegations that there was
something nefarious or -- or negligent in terms of the
21
22 counts, votes that were counted.
The difference between what took place in the
23
24 lieutenant governors race total votes and the Secretary
25 of States total votes is only 103,290 votes. Thats
0044
1 19,882 votes short of the margin of victory that Mr.
2 Duncan enjoyed.
The next thing that the -- that the petitioner
3
4 asserts in its allegation is that there was a drop-in vote
between those -- in -- in terms of the drop-offs, which
5
6 are the number of people who voted in one race but didnt
vote in the another. They assert that theres a dramatic
7
8 difference between the drop-off between the governor and

9 the lieutenant governors race, and between the paper
10 ballots versus the electronic voting.
11 And they assert essentially that in the paper ballots
there was a drop-off of only 98.9%, but in the other case
12
13 there was a dramatic drop-off below that number. If you
were to accept their assertion that there should have been
14
15 the same drop-off between the governors race and the
lieutenant governors race, the numbers still come up
16
17 short as a matter of math.
18 In this particular case, Your Honor, if we were to
accept the petitioners allegations that there's -- that
19
20 the drop-off between the governors race and the
lieutenant governors race should have been the same
21
22 between the write-in, between the paper ballots and
electronic ballots that would have raised the number of
23
24 votes cast in the lieutenant governors race to 3,895,955.
25 Thats still, Your Honor, would have only increased
0045
1 the number of votes cast to be 115,691 votes, which is
2 still below 123,000-plus votes cast. The third allegation
that the petitioner asserts in their petition is that
3
4 there was in terms of the drop-off between -- in the
electronic voting between those who voted in the
5
6 governors race and the lieutenant governors race had a
particularly negative impact on the democratic candidate
7
8 Ms. Miko.
9 If you were to take that as truth and simply allow
10 for the same percentage of votes for Ms. Miko that Mr.
11 Duncan enjoyed in terms of -- of the -- the percentage of
votes that they received versus the gubernatorial race,
12
13 you still end up 53,902 votes short of what the -- of the
number that is needed that would have closed the gap at
14
15 53,902, so in other words youre still short of 123,000
votes.
16
17 And -- and thats the key -- and that's the key. In
18 all cases, in the Supreme Court cases, is youve got to
have a show that the number of votes that were either
19
20 miscounted or not counted properly would have wiped away
the margin of victory that the winner enjoyed.
21
22 And weve cited several cases as have everyone else
that demonstrates thats the rule of thumb. By the -- in
23
24 terms of petitioners allegations -- each of their
25 allegations, Your Honor, I want you to take judicial
0046
1 notice of certified results from the Secretary of State.

2 They fall short of that golden number, by any number
between 8,000 to 80,000 votes short, depending on the --
3
4 their various allegations.
I would point out, Your Honor, that the petitioners'
5
6 themselves in their exhibit to their petition included an
exhibit from a letter from Ms. Amico who said in the
7
8 letter, the number of residual votes in the lieutenant
9 governor makes it unlikely to affect the outcome of my
10 race. Shes right, Your Honor, because she also
11 understands the math.
So, Your Honor, we would simply ask for a motion to
12
13 dismiss based on the petitioners petition when you take
judicial notice of the public record regarding the
14
15 certified results from the election from all the races.
Thank you, Your Honor. Does the Court have any
16
17 questions?
18 THE COURT: No. Anybody else --
MR. TYSON: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you, Your Honor.
19
20 Again, Bryan Tyson for Gwinnett County.
I think the thing to keep in mind is that when were
21
22 in this posture after a certified result from an election,
the election is presumed valid. And if you were in a
23
24 scenario where, lets say you have 100-vote margin.
25 And you allege, you put in your petition that 99
0047
1 either illegal votes were cast or legal votes were
2 rejected, that petition would be properly subject for
dismissal for failure -- failure to state a claim, because
3
4 you have to allege a sufficient number of votes that would
have placed the result in doubt or would have been
5
6 sufficient to change the result of the election.
And in this case, the plaintiffs have not made any
7
8 such allegation in their petition. In addition, the --
9 there is conflicting causes of action referenced in the
10 motions to dismiss. In the petition the plaintiffs that
11 they say that they're proceeding under 521-1 and 3.
In their response to the motion to dismiss, theyre
12
13 relying on 1, 3, 4, and 5, but I think the most important
thing for the Court to remember in terms of looking at the
14
15 petition itself, is what it stated, is that the
irregularities that the plaintiffs have alleged only
16
17 involve a 100 or so votes here and there across the state.
18 They also involve claims of machine malfunction in
DeKalb County in paragraph 42 of the complaint. Of
19

20 course, the plaintiffs have dismissed DeKalb County from
this lawsuit. And the -- the collection of information
21
22 that's in the petition is a bunch of kind of one-off
programming errors.
23
24 Most of them are unverified and anonymous, and
25 significantly they dont get anywhere close to the
0048
1 123,000-vote threshold that they would -- that the
2 plaintiffs had to plead in order to state a claim here
because of the way the Supreme Court precedent works.
3
4 I'm in Ellis vs. Johnson 2623-Georgia-514, the
Supreme Court is very clear that speculation alone is not
5
6 enough. There has to be -- has to be a specificity in
terms of the mathematical certainty of what happened. And
7
8 we see that over in Mead, in Howell, and in just a number
9 of Supreme Court cases, that it is proper for the court to
10 look at motion to dismiss, first of all, because those
11 cases were decided based on motions to dismiss.
But second that its proper to look at the
12
13 allegations and see have the plaintiffs alleged this
123,000-vote margin that would be sufficient to place the
14
15 result in doubt. And we would submit that they have not
done that in the four corners of their petition. On that
16
17 basis it should be dismissed for failure to state a claim.
18 THE COURT: Does Fulton want to be heard on that?
MS. BURWELL: We join in, all the others.
19
20 THE COURT: You just joining them now?
MS. BURWELL: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor.
21
22 THE COURT: (inaudible)
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. The -- the
23
24 defendants have pointed to one of the most egregious
25 pieces of evidence showing the total system failure of --
0049
1 THE COURT: But -- but -- but thats not -- this is
2 -- that's a wonderful opening statement. This is not that
day, okay?
3
4 MR. BROWN: Okay.
THE COURT: Just respond, if you will, to what --
5
6 MR. BROWN: Sure. The --
THE COURT: I dont do well with --
7
8 MR. BROWN: Okay. The --
9 THE COURT: -- inflammatory -- just stay within what
10 we're -- what --
11 MR. BROWN: Sure. The undervote is an illustration
of the system problems that we allege in the complaint.
12

13 Allegations that, as Your Honor has already mentioned,
must be accepted as true.
14
15 THE COURT: All right.
MR. BROWN: The -- the numbers that Mr. Lindsey was
16
17 giving, Your Honor, are not our entire case. Instead what
18 we have alleged is that the system malfunctioned as
reflected by the undervote, which is unexplained in -- in
19
20 ways that happened statewide.
The defendants interpret -- we have a different
21
22 interpretation of the legal requirements here. First in
terms of what's subjectively required, and then second,
23
24 the procedural policy.
25 First, Your Honor, in the Supreme Court in the cases
0050
1 in which the nature of the voting problem is not
2 quantifiable, the plaintiff is not required to count up
the votes and show the difference. For example, in the
3
4 Stiles case, 252-Georgia-260, the Supreme Court found that
there were irregularities and misconduct and ordered a new
5
6 election despite no proof of the numbers involved.
Similarly, in the Hunt case, although the contestants
7
8 lost the Hunt case, this is 270-Georgia-7 -- one of the
9 reasons why the plaintiffs lost is that the Court found
10 quote "moreover, there was no evidence introduced at trial
11 from which it can be inferred that the misconduct was more
widespread than the record indicates."
12
13 The Supreme Court is -- is signaling that if there is
evidence introduced at trial from which it can be inferred
14
15 that there was a widespread problem, that the case may be
made.
16
17 And so the -- the cases that they cite we have no
18 quarrel with, Your Honor, but those are cases in which the
impact of a mistake is easily quantifiable because you
19
20 have the illegal ballots sitting there physically in front
of the Court, and you're able to count them up and to
21
22 determine whether or not it's enough to make a difference.
And certainly, if you have seven illegal ballots in
23
24 front of you and the margin and difference is eight, then
25 you know that that's insufficient. But in those cases
0051
1 where the mistake is not quantifiable, then that is not
2 the approach that the Court has taken, and that, again, is
the Stiles case.
3
4 And -- but this leads, Your Honor, back to the
procedural posture of the case. In all of these cases --

5
6 and I will just read these to you. What the -- what the courts are saying about the evidence is after a trial, for
7
8 example -- these are not examples. This is what I found
9 in -- in review of all of the cases they have cited.
10 In Banker vs. Kohl, 378-Georgia-532, what the Court
11 said, quote "Evidence presented at the trial court," and then went on to explain. In Dawkins-Haigler 30177, the
12
13 Supreme Court says, "after a lengthy hearing the trial court concluded..."
14
15 And then in Fuller 284-Georgia-397, the Court explained that the case proceeded to trial. In Hunt vs.
16
17 Crawford, 270-Georgia-7, the Supreme Court said, at trial,
18 "Hunt admitted making telephone calls," and then went into the evidence of misconduct.
19
20 In Mead vs. Sheffield, the case that's discussed by both parties, the Court notes, "it was established at the
21
22 evidentiary hearing," and then went in to show how it was established, that in fact the case had been made for a new
23
24 trial.
25 Scoggins, again, 288-Georgia-26, the Supreme Court
0052
1 said, "after hearing on the merits," and then explained
2 how in fact the plaintiff prevailed after hearing on the merits. Your Honor, there is one case in which the trial
3
4 court did grant a motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim, and only one.
5
6 And that is the trial court in the Taggart case, 242-Georgia-454. The trial court, without an evidentiary
7
8 hearing, dismissed the petition for failure to state a
9 claim on similar grounds the defendants urge in this case.
10 So, the trial court decision in that case would be the
11 sole precedent that I can find to support the defendant's position in that case.
12
13 However, on appeal that holding was reversed by the Supreme Court. And the Supreme Court held, quote "A
14
15 petition should not be dismissed for failure to state a claim unless it discloses with certainty that the
16
17 plaintiff would not be entitled to relieve under any set
18 of facts."
Interestingly, the Taggart case also involved the
19
20 type of situation -- it involved actually two different kinds of challenges, two different problems in the
21
22 election. One problem had to do with quantifiable ballot issues where you could count them up and you could

23
24 determine whether or not it was enough to make a
25 difference.
0053
1 But the other part was the -- the contestant alleged
2 that the machines were -- were operating improperly. More
like our -- more analogous to our case here. And in
3
4 Taggart, the Supreme Court held, quote "Similarly, doubt
may be cast on an election by showing improper maintenance
5
6 of the voting machines resulting in votes being miscast."
And then in their ruling reversing the trial court
7
8 for dismissing the case, the Court notes, "All this is a
9 matter of proof." Now in the Taggart case it came back on
10 appeal and the plaintiff failed to prove it and lost. But
11 it is a matter of proof.
If the plaintiff can prove that improper maintenance
12
13 of the voting machines resulted in votes being miscast,
which is what we allege, Your Honor, then we're entitled
14
15 to victory and entitled to relief, and if we're not, if we
can't prove it, then we're not entitled to relief. And
16
17 that's -- that's clear from the cases.
18 Now in terms of -- of -- I want to return to the
allegations that we make and the -- the breadth of it.
19
20 First, we allege that the state's system is fundamentally
flawed from the start and that we note that the persuasive
21
22 opinion by Judge Totenberg in which she describes the
system as being --
23
24 THE COURT: I'm not bound by it to her at all.
25 MR. BROWN: You're not bound by her ruling at all.
0054
1 THE COURT: You can try to hand it to me, but I'm not
2 bound by it.
MR. BROWN: No. It's -- we think it's persuasive
3
4 but, of course, you're not bound by it. But she did find
that the -- that the same system is profoundly vulnerable.
5
6 THE COURT: Okay. I -- I don't care what you've
found. It's got nothing to do with the burden of proof.
7
8 The burden of proof will be on you to get that far.
9 MR. BROWN: Right.
10 THE COURT: The burden of proof is on you.
11 MR. BROWN: Right.
THE COURT: But you can't prove it by -- the judge.
12
13 MR. BROWN: That's -- yes, Your Honor. But it will
be proven with the evidence that persuaded Judge
14
15 Totenberg, we would -- we would suggest. And if -- Your
Honor, it could very well be that at trial we're not

16
17 persuasive.
18 But we do have the opportunity to prove that and as of -- one of the things that -- what motions to dismiss
19
20 law is, Your Honor, is that -- what the law says is that there's no reasonable possibility that the plaintiffs can
21
22 prove their case, then they lose.
And what we're suggesting is, having already proven
23
24 some of these things, that it's -- it's important to
25 consider that and projecting what we'll be able to win in
0055
1 this case.
2 THE COURT: Yeah, but I don't -- in this courtroom --
MR. BROWN: That is correct, Your Honor, but --
3
4 THE COURT: -- has proven that.
MR. BROWN: That's correct. But in considering the
5
6 motion to dismiss one of the issues is, will they be able to prove it, and we believe we will.
7
8 Now, what we have alleged, Your Honor, which must be
9 accepted as true, regardless of Judge Totenberg's
10 decision, it must be accepted as true that these systems
11 are fundamentally unreliable, period. That has to be accepted as a fact for right now.
12
13 Number two, we have given illustrations and alleged of particular instances in which these machines have,
14
15 throughout the state, malfunctioned.
Three, we have shown the results of these
16
17 malfunctions in an unexplainable pattern of voting in
18 which we will present expert testimony saying that this cannot be explained except in terms of machine
19
20 malfunction, a statewide systemic machine malfunction. And it is not just simply the under-vote that Mr. Lindsey
21
22 tries to calculate. It's that the entire voting system was flawed. And so, the votes for Mr. Duncan, the votes
23
24 for Ms. Amico, that entire election was flawed. And
25 that's what we allege, and we're entitled to be able to
0056
1 prove it. And so, under those facts, we believe that
2 we're entitled. We have -- there -- we have alleged evidence, Your Honor, of the -- this particular race not
3
4 being on the electronic screen, of the screen flipping between one and the other.
5
6 THE COURT: Is that your petition?
MR. BROWN: It is. And instance after instance of
7
8 this that we have alleged, and we're entitled to prove

9 that, and we believe we will. And so, we have the -- we
10 have alleged a fundamental unreliability of these
11 machines. We have alleged specific incidences that can
only be explained by a malfunctioning system that was
12
13 statewide that can -- and from that, to borrow the
language from the Supreme Court in the Taggart case, from
14
15 which we believe, Your Honor may infer, you don't have to
but we believe we will be persuasive that you can infer a
16
17 much broader problem.
18 And we also believe that as one illustration of the
problem is the under-vote, the totally unexplained
19
20 difference between the electronic vote totals and the
paper vote totals.
21
22 The defendant's offer -- this is not an evidentiary
hearing, but they have not offered any plausible
23
24 explanation for why simply by the mode of electing, there
25 would ever be such a dramatic difference in the drop-off
0057
1 rate.
2 And the -- what that shows are not that that
particular margin is wrong, but that the entire race is
3
4 wrong. It's like -- there's that old phrase is the clock
that struck 13, Your Honor. And when a clock strikes 13,
5
6 not only do you know it's not 13 o'clock, but you doubt
every single chime that has come before it because the
7
8 clock isn't working.
9 And the under-vote is the clock that struck 13. And
10 we would -- we would say that for all those reasons we
11 state a claim for relief, and that in -- in no case held
has a motion to dismiss for failure to state a claim in
12
13 election case been sustained and it certainly should not
be the case in this case. Thank you, Your Honor.
14
15 MR. LINDSEY: If I may, Your Honor, please.
THE COURT: (inaudible)
16
17 MR. LINDSEY: The issue in this case is not an
18 allegation of misconduct by anyone advocating for or
against a particular candidate. The allegation in this
19
20 case is that votes were not -- people were not able to
cast votes, and votes were not properly counted. The case
21
22 in which Mr. Brown relies upon and cited to you repeatedly
with the Stiles vs. Earnest -- Earnest who was the
23
24 election superintendent, 252-Georgia-260.
25 That particular case, Your Honor, had nothing to do
0058
1 with whether or not votes were properly counted, or the

2 machines were operating properly. Instead, it had to do
with allegations of misconduct by advocates for a
3
4 referendum. Totally different situation.
In our situation, Your Honor, in which we are dealing
5
6 with whether or not votes were properly counted. The
cases that we have cited to you and I'll cite to you once
7
8 again, the Fuller vs. Thomas case, a Georgia Supreme Court
9 case, 284-Georgia-397, in which the Court held, when the
10 focus is on improperly cast ballots or irregularities in
11 the conduct of the election, the number of legal or
irregular ballots necessary to cast doubt on an election
12
13 is derived by taking the difference between the total
votes cast in the election and erase that issue and adding
14
15 the margin of victory in the race issue.
In other words, you've got to show -- to begin with,
16
17 you have to allege that any irregularities in terms of the
18 machines or anyone counting the votes would have an impact
on the margin of victory in which case -- in this case,
19
20 like I stated earlier, with over 123,000 votes.
The fact of the matter is within the four corners of
21
22 the plaintiff's petition, nowhere, nowhere do they allege
that these irregularities closed -- were -- resulted in
23
24 the number of votes that were improperly cast or not
25 properly counted were greater than 123,000 votes.
0059
1 That's kind of the fundamental first step that they
2 must allege in order to have a case move forward. When
you then add to that the public records that I've asked
3
4 you to take judicial notice of, that Mr. Brown has not
disputed and I walked through so tediously, it
5
6 demonstrates that even in the worst-case scenario that
were alleged in the Petition, he falls -- the votes fall
7
8 short of the margin of victory.
9 And for that reason, in this particular case we ask
10 for you to grant our motion to dismiss. Thank you.
11 THE COURT: (inaudible)
MR. RUSSO: Very brief, Your Honor. Just cover three
12
13 quick points quickly, Your Honor. First of all, the
allegations here regarding the -- the only -- I should
14
15 say, the only type of election contest where you're not
required to put forward specific evidence or pleading
16
17 regarding a number is in 524(c) when the error of counting
18 the votes is alleged as a ground of contest.
There's a specific provision that says you're not
19

20 required to show evidence to substantiate the allegation.
You -- you're not required in that one type of contest.
21
22 That is not this type of contest.
Mr. Brown has, secondly, has alluded to the idea that
23
24 the lieutenant governor's race did not appear on some
25 ballots. If you look at both Mead and Howell, you'll see
0060
1 cases where you had to plead and show the number of
2 ballots where the name of the candidate did not appear.
That's one of the allegations that the plaintiffs
3
4 have not pled that it did not appear on at least 123,000
voting machines along the way. Mr. Brown also continues
5
6 to insist that there's no other valid explanation when any
number of ballot explanations may exist.
7
8 And as we discussed before, the -- Mr. Brown and the
9 plaintiffs may not rely on mere speculation. They have to
10 plead with specificity in their petition that the outcome
11 would have changed or that the irregularities were
sufficient to place the result in doubt. Thank you.
12
13 THE COURT: This is so close. You know, it was
presumed, I'm waiting for -- is that the election returned
14
15 the bath. Okay? Now, the part of your job is above the -
- Your job, Counsel, -- of placing doubt on the results of
16
17 the election.
18 And that's done usually by numbers, through math.
And the math is against you. You have chosen not to
19
20 allege any math in your petition, okay. You have alleged
-- I had it somewhere in front of me, but anyway, you have
21
22 alleged that the result would be different or is in
substantial doubt. But I haven't found it.
23
24 MR. BELINFANTE: Yes, Your Honor.
25 THE COURT: I forgot the exact language. I had it,
0061
1 but I've lost it. All right, yeah. The -- the -- to
2 place in doubt the result of the entire election, okay.
The numbers aren't weighty, okay. But you've got some
3
4 generalized arguments about the systemic failure of the
whole system that might -- that might cast -- which makes
5
6 the math an issue.
It's a huge burden for you to carry, but the
7
8 petition, it's sufficient to have a try. I -- I hate to
9 do that, but it's -- it's -- if we have to take the four
10 corners of the petition, it really doesn't matter if it's
11 badly pled. I'm not saying it's badly pled. You didn't
plead numbers.
12

13 But the cover clearly says, "under F of -- of 524, that the form -- form of process is not material. It's --
14
15 it's -- the election contests are interesting. There was kind of different than we've seen on things. It's a very
16
17 close question, sir.
18 It is a very close question, and -- and -- and you need to be aware I don't do well with inflammatory stuff.
19
20 I don't get inflamed by attention -- and -- and what other judges do is not -- okay. So, understand you've got two
21
22 rules.
I am going to deny the motion to dismiss. I -- I --
23
24 it's extremely close, but on the kind of pleadings, I'm
25 looking for originals in that cover section about, you
0062
1 know, don't worry about form and so on and so forth. I'm
2 going to let it go forward, but the plain numbers are against you. So, you -- you've got some -- an uphill
3
4 battle.
MR. BELINFANTE: Okay then. Thank you, Your Honor.
5
6 THE COURT: Can you take a moment, drop a line -- and -- and --
7
8 MR. BELINFANTE: Yes, Your Honor.
9 THE COURT: You all know where I am because you've
10 been emailing me, so send it to me, okay. I can't
11 download a pack. Don't ask me why. I am supposed to be retired next year.
12
13 MR. RUSSO: Your Honor, would you like us to submit a joint motion?
14
15 THE COURT: What?
MR. RUSSO: Would you like us to submit one motion --
16
17 I mean, one order, one proposed order?
18 THE COURT: You could even do one proposed order, or if you can agree on it or you can do them separately about
19
20 that.
MR. BELINFANTE: I think we'll probably --
21
22 THE COURT: I'm fixing to go into two full weeks of work, so you'll be able to get -- okay. What else do we
23
24 have from the defendant?
25 MR. BELINFANTE: Your Honor, we have -- we have two
0063
1 motions that are --
2 THE COURT: Well, no, I know what -- what you have.
MR. BELINFANTE: Oh, I'm sorry.
3
4 THE COURT: I don't think I read all this stuff, okay? Okay?

5
6 MR. RUSSO: Your Honor, for the Secretary of State, this is joined by the other defendants, I believe.
7
8 THE COURT: Is this on the two constitution --
9 MR. BELINFANTE: On the two constitutional --
10 THE COURT: We have two constitutional claims and
11 then we'll do your motions. And I've got those too; don't worry about it. All right. Go ahead.
12
13 MR. BELINFANTE: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor. I'm going to address just the two counts. The one for due
14
15 process and the right being identified there is the right to vote. The second is the Equal Protection Clause.
16
17 Now there's -- we'll rest largely on the briefs in
18 terms of sovereign immunity, but the point to take from it is that the Equal Protection Clause and the due process
19
20 clause are completely contingent upon what Your Honor rules on the underlying election challenge, count one.
21
22 Their briefs make that plain. The relief they seek, it's in paragraph 71 and 75, is the right to vote in a new
23
24 election for lieutenant governor, not any other future
25 one. And in 72 and 76, it says that it would be remedied
0064
1 by holding a new election.
2 So, if the Court at the conclusion of the evidence, or at least at the conclusion of the plaintiff's case,
3
4 decides that there is no basis to go forward, the constitutional claims equally fail. They -- they rise and
5
6 fall together.
So, the question before you on the motion to dismiss
7
8 is have they alleged sufficient facts to state a claim for
9 due process and for equal protection, and Your Honor, the
10 answer is simply no, based on the Favorito decision from
11 the Supreme Court of Georgia in 2009. I have a copy of it, if the Court would like, and provide one to opposing
12
13 counsel as well.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, sir.
14
15 MR. BELINFANTE: And I'll be referring to Favorito throughout the argument. The question on the due process
16
17 claim is what is the standard of review. Is it strict
18 scrutiny, is it rational basis?
And Favorito answers that question in the latter. It
19
20 is a rational basis test. It says on page 796, quoting a decision from the 11th Circuit, Wexler vs. Anderson, that
21
22 when a state election law provision imposes only reasonable non-discriminatory restrictions upon the 1st

23
24 and 14th Amendment rights of voters, the state's important
25 regulatory interests are generally sufficient.
0065
1 Using the word "reasonable" the Supreme Court noted
2 and applied a rational basis test. And, in fact, it goes
on to say that there is a rational reason to use DRE
3
4 machines on the same page.
It says, "it is the job of democratically-elected
5
6 representatives to weigh the pros and cons of the various
balloting systems. So long as their choice is reasonable
7
8 and neutral, it is free from judicial second guessing,"
9 once again confirming that we're in a rational basis test
10 review.
11 And now using -- applying that standard, looking at
the due process claim, which is paragraph 62 to 72, count
12
13 two, in the complaint. The defendants do not disagree
that the right to vote is fundamental. The disagreement
14
15 is that they have not alleged a burden for which there is
not a rational basis to use the DRE machines.
16
17 And to look -- to see that you need only look at
18 paragraph 69 of the complaint. There the plaintiffs or
the petitioners allege that using defective DRE machines
19
20 in a flawed and inaccurate voter registration and
electronic poll book system, defendants have knowingly and
21
22 severely burdened and infringed upon the fundamental right
to vote.
23
24 Now you compare that to what the Supreme Court
25 described as the cause of action in Favorito. This is at
0066
1 page 795 and 796 of that case in the Georgia reports.
2 There the Supreme Court described the petitioner's claims
as -- in three counts of their complaint, appellants
3
4 allege that this state's use of the DRE equipment denies
them equal protection under the federal and state
5
6 constitutions, and the fundamental right to vote under the
due process clause of the 14th Amendment.
7
8 Their claims are virtually identical. And so, then
9 applying the rational basis test that Favorito did, the
10 law is clear. There is a rational basis to use the DRE
11 machines. And in the case, it's important that the Court
citing the Weber vs. Shelley decision from the 9th Circuit
12
13 in 2003, acknowledged all the risks that are contained in
the petitioners' claims now.
14
15 The court said in Favorito, again citing Weber, page
797, "no balloting system is perfect." Traditional paper

16
17 ballots, as became evident during the 2000 presidential
18 election, are prone to over-votes, under-votes, hanging
chads, and other mechanical and human errors that may
19
20 thwart voter intent.
Meanwhile, touchscreen voting systems remedy a number
21
22 of these problems, albeit at the hypothetical price of
vulnerability to certain types of fraud. And it's the job
23
24 the Court continued to say of the legislature to decide
25 which of those two risks it is going to use.
0067
1 In fact, the Court says expressly, it's the job of
2 democratically-elected representatives to weigh the pros
and cons. And so long as their choice is reasonable and
3
4 neutral, it's free from second guessing.
And then it goes on to really put the nail in the
5
6 coffin here, "in this instance Georgia made a reasonable
politically neutral and nondiscriminatory choice to
7
8 certify touchscreen systems as an alternative to paper
9 ballots." Nothing in the Constitution prohibits this
10 choice.
11 That forecloses the due-process argument, because
despite however it can be dressed up, it is an attack on
12
13 the DRE machines themselves. The DRE machines were
attacked in 2006, and by the time they got to the Supreme
14
15 Court in 2009, the Court said it is insufficient.
The legislature is entitled to choose the DRE
16
17 machines understanding and knowing all of the risks that
18 they claim, it is still insufficient to state a claim
under due process. If you then look to equal protection,
19
20 Favorito blocks the claims again.
Once again, the allegations are identical from what
21
22 the plaintiffs allege or the petitioners here and what
they alleged in Favorito. Paragraph 74 of this complaint
23
24 says, "defendants knowingly treated electors who voted by
25 DRE differently than others similarly situated electors
0068
1 voting in the same election in a jurisdiction who voted by
2 absentee ballot."
In other words, if you vote absentee you can do it
3
4 with a piece of paper. If you vote in person you use a
DRE machine and that is the distinction that they claim
5
6 sets up the Equal Protection Clause. They make that clear
on pages 43 and 44 of their response to the motion to
7
8 dismiss.

9 A state election regulation, which they go on to
10 cite, which discriminates on its face between two classes
11 of people, namely voters who vote in person and voters who vote by absentee mail.
12
13 Now you then look at the Favorito and the court's description of the argument there and it was the
14
15 appellants argued that electronic voters are treated differently from voters who cast absentee ballots on paper
16
17 and the procedure for an accuracy of any recount would
18 differ. The claims are simply identical.
And so, the holding of Favorito is absolutely
19
20 dispositive. And this is at page 798. What the court effectively says is, a voter can choose. You can vote by
21
22 absentee. We have absentee for any reason in this state, and if you have a concern that the DRE machine is flawed,
23
24 then by all means, vote absentee.
25 It is not a distinction that the state mandates,
0069
1 which is what is required under equal protection claim.
2 The Court specifically says in Favorito, that "as the trial court found, Georgia voters have an option."
3
4 And then it continues, if the trial court correctly concluded that quote "since every Georgia citizen could
5
6 vote either by absentee ballot or by utilizing touchscreen voting systems," appellants contention that there is some
7
8 state-based classification between voters is false.
9 And it continues then to say, "we cannot see how
10 Georgia has violated the Equal Protection Clause of the
11 14th Amendment by making these two available pacts. The allegations are the same. The case is directly en pointe
12
13 and Equal Protection and Due-Process Clause should be dismissed. Thank you.
14
15 THE COURT: Anybody else for the defendant on that issue?
16
17 MR. TYSON: We'll just join the Secretary of State's
18 argument on that point.
THE COURT: Okay.
19
20 MS. BURWELL: We join in their argument as well, Your Honor. Thank you.
21
22 MR. TYSON: Thank you, Your Honor.
MR. BROWN: In their motion to dismiss the federal
23
24 constitutional claims, first Mr. Belinfante's description
25 of how this claim will interact with the other's claims is
0070
1 substantially correct, and that is, what we are -- what

2 the plaintiffs are alleging is that with any new election
going forward prospectively should be conducted on -- not
3
4 on these machines, because doing so would be a violation
of due process and equal protection.
5
6 So that's the -- sort of the procedural posture of
how this claim is seeking prospective relief. Second, the
7
8 defendants rely exclusively on the Favorito and Wexler
9 decisions. The Favorito and Wexler decisions are
10 fundamentally distinguishable because Favorito dealt with
11 a 2006-era DRE system.
What's -- what has happened and what we allege in our
12
13 complaint all are real events in real life that have
changed the system, that make it fundamentally different
14
15 than the system that was being reviewed by the courts in
the -- the -- the -- the Favorito case.
16
17 And as we allege, Your Honor, since the Favorito
18 case, every single government agency that is responsible
for national security has told the State of Georgia that
19
20 the current DRE system is fundamentally insecure.
The House Select Committee, the Senate Select
21
22 Committee, the Department of Homeland Protection. In
addition, every known computer scientist on record has
23
24 said exactly the same thing and has said to Georgia, these
25 are unreliable.
0071
1 They can't be trusted to count votes correctly. That
2 comes from every single source and there is no evidence
contrary, Your Honor. And this comes from slews of
3
4 scientists, and it culminated in a report by the National
Academy of Sciences that came out just this September, and
5
6 this is called a Consensus Report, which means that it's a
unanimous of the scientists at the National Academy of
7
8 Sciences have collected to consider this exact issue.
9 And that is, are these -- is the system that Georgia
10 is now using secure enough to be relied upon from -- from
11 attack domestic and foreign. And the National Academy of
Sciences in September said, don't use these machines
12
13 anymore. Don't even use them for the November election,
which Georgia did anyway.
14
15 And so, the -- the environment is different, Your
Honor. The national security concerns are different. In
16
17 2006, the -- the internet system was not getting bombarded
18 daily by nation states. The -- the system hasn't -- the
-- the -- the malware environment is totally different.
19

20 We do not allege that the system right out of the box
is flawed, although it's flawed in that it doesn't have a
21
22 paper backup, but that's not the nature of it. The nature
of it is is that the way Georgia has not maintained the
23
24 system, coupled with the external threat, make it a system
25 -- and if you use it, you're violating the constitutional
0072
1 rights of voters because it is fundamentally unreliable.
2 And the right to vote is nothing if their votes are
not counted correctly. And in this system, you never know
3
4 if your votes are being collected, counted correctly or
not. We will never know, because once a voter makes that
5
6 transient decision as to who to vote for, that record is
lost forever.
7
8 We will never know, and this is why the courts who
9 have recently looked at it have concluded that using those
10 machines is substantially likely to violate due process
11 rights and equal production rights of Georgia voters.
And there's no case to the contrary, Your Honor, is
12
13 that the cases now hold our way on those issues. And what
the defendants do not address -- they -- they address a
14
15 sort of hypothetical complaint that was maybe filed 15
years ago.
16
17 They do not address these allegations. They don't
18 address the fundamental problems. They also don't address
an entirely separate issue and that is that, as we alleged
19
20 in the complaint, the State of Georgia left this system --
we like to say, left this system out in the rain for six
21
22 months.
The Secretary of State, we allege, left the system
23
24 open to the internet, to the public internet, from before
25 the 2016 election until well after, even though it was
0073
1 informed that the system was open. And when it did so, it
2 -- it left itself open to be infected by any virus, by any
hacker whether it's someone on their sofa in New Jersey or
3
4 in Peenya or in Russia.
That's what we also allege. And so, we have a system
5
6 that according to the National Academy of Sciences, even
without it being left in the rain, is a violation, is so
7
8 fundamentally flawed and vulnerable, it's a violation of
9 constitutional rights.
10 And then to make matters worse, the Secretary of
11 State, even though it knew this was happening, left it
exposed to the public internet to be abused and has not
12

13 undertaken any sort of forensic examination to determine
whether or not it's been actually infected with malware as
14
15 the results of this recent election would suggest that it
has.
16
17 And so, we have established that -- that we have
18 alleged the allegations necessary.
THE COURT: -- it's a question of what you allege.
19
20 MR. BROWN: That's exactly right, Your Honor. I
misspoke. We have alleged --
21
22 THE COURT: -- what you have alleged.
MR. BROWN: We have alleged all the elements of the
23
24 claim, and therefore that motion should be dismissed, or
25 it could be revisited in a motion for summary judgment or
0074
1 further motions after the election contest issue is
2 resolved. Thank you, Your Honor.
MR. BELINFANTE: Thank you, Your Honor. I'll be
3
4 brief.
On the subsident due process claim, everything,
5
6 everything that Mr. Brown just talked about was raised in
the Favorito decision and cited. And in the long footnote
7
8 that cites to the Weber case, the court adopts that no
9 balloting system is perfect.
10 And in specifically again talking about touchscreen
11 voting systems, it says that the DRE machines do not leave
Georgia voters without protection from fraud or any means
12
13 of verifying a vote or a way to audit the recount. The
unfortunate reality is that the possibility of electoral
14
15 fraud can never be completely eliminated, no matter which
type of ballot is used.
16
17 Even assuming that none of the advantages of the
18 touchscreen systems over traditional methods would be
sacrificed if voter-verified paper ballots were added to
19
20 the touchscreen system. And it talks about the choice
that the legislature makes and makes very clear nothing in
21
22 the constitution prohibits this choice.
The legislature is presumed to be aware of all of the
23
24 things that Mr. Brown talks about, and Ill get to that in
25 a second. And yet that choice cannot be second guessed by
0075
1 the judiciary under the guides of a due process claim.
2 Now lets talk specifically about what the complaint
alleges, because thats what we're here to discuss.
3
4 Nowhere does the complaint that I found cite to any
specific inherent flaw with the machines. What it says,

5
6 over and over and over again, is that there are vulnerabilities, potential vulnerabilities.
7
8 The National Academy of Sciences cites
9 vulnerabilities. Its the Homeland Security, cites
10 vulnerabilities. Its paragraphs 25 through 33, youll
11 see a litany of that.
That is exactly the type of decision and the risk-
12
13 weighing that the general assembly is entitled to do, and the due process clause does not prevent them from doing.
14
15 Secondly, Mr. Brown tries to distinguish the Favorito case based on statements that are frankly not in the
16
17 record regarding the types of machines. If you look at
18 the complaint, the complaint talks about -- in paragraphs 21 to 23 is where it describes the machines and how
19
20 theyre used and so on -- it doesnt say that theyve been changed since 2006.
21
22 What hes talking about is that people now have additional concerns about them, but that just brings you
23
24 back to the holding of Favorito, which is that those
25 concerns are properly waived by the legislative branch,
0076
1 not the judicial branch.
2 That also speaks to -- and I don't know that there was a specific response to the equal protection, but
3
4 certainly Favorito would say and hold here regardless of how it views the machines. As long as voters have a
5
6 choice; it is not a state-imposed distinction and no equal-protection claim can lie.
7
8 Thank you, Judge.
9 THE COURT: Okay. Im going to have to take that,
10 and Im going to rule on it, and Im going to do it this
11 morning. I'll send an email. I want to relook at the petition. I am ruled -- ruled by what that petition says
12
13 this morning on -- on that issue, and I -- I want to look at it again. I've got the issues in my mind about it, and
14
15 I will look at it, okay.
MR. BELINFANTE: Thank you.
16
17 THE COURT: Anything else for the defendant?
18 MR. RUSSO: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay, then. Plaintiff has some other
19
20 motion.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. Yes, we have --
21
22 the -- the main motion that we had, Your Honor, is your November 29 emergency motion for inspection of electronic

23
24 election equipment and production of documents. To get to
25 the bottom of the causes for the machine malfunctions, we
0077
1 need some discovery, and thats why in November we moved
2 for a right to inspect the equipment under Rule 34 and
91134, which is the --
3
4 THE COURT: What do -- I -- I seek -- don't propose
more of which I'm not going to sign, which is way beyond
5
6 anything I think that I'm required to do or should be
doing. Good many other things, obviously. Tell me a
7
8 little bit more specifically what it is you want. What do
9 you want done?
10 MR. BROWN: Well, we -- the plaintiffs would like
11 discovery of DRE machines to inspect the --
THE COURT: Which ones? Where? When? How? What?
12
13 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, we would like to inspect the
machines that are identified in Exhibit B to our motion.
14
15 And we would be amenable to meeting with the defendants to
determine a reasonable time and space -- place for that
16
17 inspection. And --
18 THE COURT: Its on the three --
MR. BROWN: Its the last two pages of the -- the
19
20 motion and the last two pages of the proposed order.
THE COURT: Yes. I have it now.
21
22 MR. BROWN: And weve identified by -- in -- in many
instances by serial number the machines that we would like
23
24 to inspect. And certainly, by precinct, and as I
25 mentioned would be amenable to sitting down with the
0078
1 defense counsel and coming up with a -- a protocol for the
2 inspection of this equipment that would be -- be orderly
and helpful. And --
3
4 THE COURT: And when you -- when you mean inspection,
what do you mean, to look at them? Oh, theres a machine.
5
6 Or do you want to do anything to the machine?
MR. BROWN: A full forensic examination to determine
7
8 whats causing these -- these mistakes to happened, Your
9 Honor.
10 THE COURT: What do you mean by a full forensic
11 examination?
MR. BROWN: That would be with computer experts to
12
13 look at the internal memory and programming to see -- to
compare the machines to see what possible defects are
14
15 causing these systems to malfunction.
And they would involve comparing, for example -- one

16
17 -- in addition we would like machines that were not used
th
18 in the -- in the -- in the December 4 runoff elections
because the difficulty is if you keep on using these
19
20 machines, they may overwrite the underlying memory. Our
-- this case is about the November election, not the
21
22 December election, so we would like to look at November
machines that were not used.
23
24 Now some of the ones, some of the hottest and -- and
25 most troublesome machines may have been involved in both;
0079
1 they may have continued to use them. And we may have lost
2 some evidence there; we dont know, but weve identified
by serial number and by precinct in Exhibit B the evidence
3
4 that we -- we would like to -- to collect.
And like I said its -- some logistics are involved.
5
6 We would need the systems to be set up so that they
actually run, they can work, so we need -- would need
7
8 internet connection and an AC tower to boot those up.
9 But, again, we would be happy to sit down with the
10 defendants and -- and quickly set up a protocol for doing
11 that.
THE COURT: Have you sent documents, what you -- did
12
13 you --
MR. BROWN: And then in addition, of the documents
14
15 that -- that we were seeking, I mean, we would like, you
know, general discovery of documentary evidence relating
16
17 to the programming of the machines and so that the -- the
18 computer scientists can better understand exactly what's
going on.
19
20 And we believe that's -- that's reasonable and can be
done expeditiously and -- and may answer many important
21
22 questions that are -- that Your Honor has indicated are of
interest. And the defendants have not responded to our
23
24 motion. I'm not sure what their position is on it.
25 THE COURT: Well, I just got it a couple days ago.
0080
1 MR. RUSSO: Thank you, Your Honor. I'm Vince Russo
2 for the Secretary of State.
The plaintiffs are essentially asking for unfettered
3
4 access to voting equipment here in the state of Georgia.
The -- we -- the Secretary of State objects to the motion
5
6 that the plaintiffs have filed in the request. The
plaintiffs, for starters, have not alleged irregularities
7

8 in each of those precincts where the voting machines they
9 would like to inspect are located. So, the machines in
10 those precincts are completely unrelated, as far as we can
11 tell, to their claims, based on the pleadings at least.
Additionally, Your Honor, Mr. -- plaintiffs, one of
12
13 plaintiff's experts, I suppose, Mr. Demila (phonetic),
even testified -- he even included in his affidavit that's
14
15 attached to the second motion that the plaintiffs are --
are going to be arguing, but he -- he stated in his
16
17 affidavit that there's no statistically valid conclusion
18 that can be drawn from a random sample of -- of machines.
Your Honor, at the end of the day here, as my
19
20 colleagues have pointed out repeatedly, the plaintiffs
have to show that there are enough votes in question, over
21
22 123,000 votes that -- that call in doubt the result of the
election for valid election contest. The machines that
23
24 they want to look at are not going to -- they're not going
25 to have the -- they do not have the votes as far as -- as
0081
1 far as we can tell and -- and plaintiffs' counsel hasn't
2 indicated that there were enough votes on those machines
to call in doubt the result of the election.
3
4 And as such, Your Honor, we think giving them
unfettered access to highly sensitive information about
5
6 the state voting machines when irregularities alleged by
the plaintiffs would not change the result of the election
7
8 is unnecessary.
9 Additionally, Your Honor, in the filing that the
10 plaintiffs made to -- excuse me, that the Secretary of
11 State made this morning, which was regarding the proffer
of evidence, Mr. Demila testified in the -- in the
12
13 Totenberg case and the Kerling (phonetic) case that it
would take 14,000 hours to examine all of the machines and
14
15 that alone still wouldn't be enough.
And for reference, Your Honor, 14,000 hours is more
16
17 than a year and a half. While the plaintiffs have -- have
18 obviously cut down the scope of the -- of the number of
machines they would like to inspect, Your Honor, there's
19
20 -- there's still no -- there's still no facts pled that
indicate that the -- the precincts and the machines that
21
22 they want to -- that the plaintiffs want to inspect, would
have any effect on the outcome of the election or that
23
24 there are any irregularities in those precincts. So, we
25 would ask that you deny this motion. Thank you.
0082

1 Oh, I'm sorry. We have not -- we will be filing this
2 today.
THE COURT: You are.
3
4 MR. RUSSO: I notice proffer of evidence by Secretary
of State, which includes the transcript that I just
5
6 referenced from the testimony of their expert in the -- in
the federal case.
7
8 And I don't know if my colleagues have anything else.
9 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, just briefly on behalf of
10 Gwinnett County. For Gwinnett, we will do obviously
11 whatever the Court directs us to do in terms of making
machines available.
12
13 Our only concern is from a practical perspective.
Obviously, this is voting equipment that is kept in a very
14
15 sensitive -- and lock and key. We keep these machines
under seal with numbered seals and audit trail to
16
17 determine we know who has access to the machines.
18 And we would just want to be absolutely certain that
whatever access is occurring is done in a way in
19
20 coordination with the Secretary of State's office and
others who are involved with this to make sure that
21
22 nothing is altered with the machines themselves.
Gwinnett owns a mix. We have a mix of machines
23
24 partially owned by the State and partially owned by the
25 county, and we will work to determine the specific serial
0083
1 numbers and who owns those machines. But that -- we are
2 ready to do whatever the Court directs us to do, but we
just have those security concerns to be sure that nothing
3
4 with the machines themselves is being altered and that
there's enough security regarding the -- this inspection
5
6 that the plaintiffs are proposing.
MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, if I may be heard briefly.
7
8 THE COURT: -- is this the original?
9 MR. TYSON: Your Honor, we're going to electronically
10 file it for --
11 THE COURT: I don't have one given to me. So, this
is mine?
12
13 MR. TYSON: That's your copy.
MR. BELINFANTE: Which I have provided one to
14
15 opposing counsel and --
THE COURT: Okay. Right here.
16
17 MR. LINDSEY: Your Honor, if I may be heard very
18 briefly.
THE COURT: Sure.

19
20 MR. LINDSEY: The petitioner's request, I believe, is irrelevant to the outcome of this case as you -- based on
21
22 your ruling regarding our motion to dismiss in which you made very clear that the -- ultimately, the plaintiffs
23
24 must show that there were enough irregularities, neither
25 the votes counted improperly or votes not counted to
0084
1 amount to 123,000 votes. The petitioner is seeking how
2 many -- how many exact number of -- number of machines?
MR. BROWN: You'd have to ask them.
3
4 MR. LINDSEY: A dozen or so machines? Your Honor, that's not going to amount to 123,000. Their -- their
5
6 comeback to our specific numbers that we raise was -- well, we raised a general allegation that system wide
7
8 there were failures that resulted in the whole election
9 being cast in doubt.
10 If that's their allegation, Your Honor, merely
11 inspecting a dozen or so machines are not going to prove or disprove that. And so, for that reason, Your Honor, we
12
13 would oppose their motion.
THE COURT: (inaudible)
14
15 MS. BURWELL: On behalf of Fulton County, Your Honor, their list attached to their motion included counties
16
17 obviously outside of Fulton County. We don't take a
18 position with respect to those others.
With respect to Fulton County, we would point out to
19
20 the Court that the complaint only addresses two precincts in Fulton County where they're alleging there were any
21
22 irregularities, yet their request for the review of machines seeks far more than just those two precincts.
23
24 And so given the limited amount of time, we would
25 request that the Court is going to allow them some leeway
0085
1 in doing some of this discovery that it not be as far-
2 reaching as they were requesting and instead that the Court be very tailored in terms of what they have actually
3
4 alleged in getting to the allegations rather than just a fishing expedition.
5
6 THE COURT: (inaudible)
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. First, Your
7
8 Honor, we have -- we have alleged irregularities in every
9 precinct, not just Grady, not just some other.
10 We've -- our allegations cover a systemic statewide
11 problem with these electronic voting machine, and we've only used as illustration some evidence that we have found

12
13 in particular precincts here and there, but those are just illustrations. Our allegations cover every single one.
14
15 Second, as to the suggestion that this discovery is unlikely to come up with anything that will allow us to
16
17 carry our burden of proof. That's just fundamentally
18 incorrect. We are also seeking discovery of the GEMS databases.
19
20 THE COURT: The who?
MR. BROWN: The GEMS is called -- it's an acronym,
21
22 GEMS, which is an acronym for the -- for the servers that collect the data, and so if there is a flaw in that it's
23
24 going to cover more than just the actual machines.
25 THE COURT: -- but that's not what you told me
0086
1 before.
2 MR. BROWN: Yes. My -- the way I was --
THE COURT: That wasn't what you said when I came.
3
4 MR. BROWN: Well, the exhibit that I was referencing covers DRE machines and also covers the GEMS databases
5
6 that are county specific and these databases cover more -- I mean, they are not just one by one. In addition, Your
7
8 Honor, but really much more fundamentally is that if there
9 is a programming --
10 THE COURT: Get back to the GEMS, because I'm not
11 understanding what you're saying.
MR. BROWN: The GEMS database is -- each county has a
12
13 GEMS --
THE COURT: Each county has an individual one.
14
15 MR. BROWN: Individual one.
THE COURT: Okay.
16
17 MR. BROWN: And then the Secretary of State has a
18 system that tabulates all of those. And so there could be a programming flaw anywhere in the system. One of the
19
20 things that the data -- and this is the public information that's available that Mr. Lindsey referred to, is that the
21
22 pattern oddly was found in every single county under votes for the lieutenant governor's race, which no one had ever
23
24 seen before historically ever, and it comes up in every
25 single county.
0087
1 And so that it is possible, I won't say likely, but
2 possible that there is a single or several programming mistakes, which have identified, will indicate that
3
4 there's the system wide failure.

But actually, more fundamentally, Your Honor, we'll
5
6 understand this quickly is that what the defendants are
sort of analogizing this too is if we're hunting for
7
8 illegal paper ballots, like these cases. As if we're
9 looking for ballots in which Amico's name was misspelled,
10 like the Mead case. Or another case in which there's
11 something wrong with a hard copy of a ballot. And we can
get that ballot and we can count them up and we can count
12
13 it up to 124,000 and therefore possibly win.
That's not this case. Because those ballots are gone
14
15 and because of the way the State has chosen to conduct
these elections, that record is lost forever.
16
17 Instead what we have is the electronic memory and the
18 programming that drove the way these votes were counted,
we think they were counted wrong. And so, we're entitled
19
20 to discovery, we believe, and we think it will be helpful
and not necessarily that burdensome.
21
22 It's interesting that they now cite to the federal
case for the declaration of Dr. Demila. Indeed, he said
23
24 if you had to look at every single machine and do a
25 forensic examination of every single machine it would have
0088
1 took -- it would take a long time.
2 They haven't done it. We do not plan to do that.
There's not time or resources to do that. Instead, this
3
4 will be a targeted examination to see if evidence of a
systemic programming error either in the DRE machines
5
6 themselves or the databases have caused these problems.
Thank you, Your Honor.
7
8 THE COURT: I have problems with words like "there
9 could be a flaw" or "theres a possible programming
10 mistake." And what youre really asking for in this
11 discovery and fishing expedition, and Im not going to
give it to you till left, okay. I am going to -- I am
12
13 going to the GEMS are for each county?
MR. TYSON: Yes, Your Honor.
14
15 THE COURT: Okay.
MR. RUSSO: Your Honor, the date of the GEMS is
16
17 public -- is public record --
18 THE COURT: Even if it -- were it --
MR. RUSSO: Is highly confidential and the means
19
20 accessing --
THE COURT: Pardon.
21
22 MR. RUSSO: I said the source code and the means of

accessing the GEMS, of course, is highly confidential, but
23
24 the data from the GEMS is public record.
25 THE COURT: I am going to allow you to look at the
0089
1 GEMS in Fulton and in Gwinnett, okay. So -- will have to
2 access it. He's not to get the confidential access. And
then he can look at. If it's public record, and you can
3
4 show him where the public record is, of course you can
look at it, but record and you can show him where the
5
6 public record is.
Of course, you can look at all of them, okay. And it
7
8 thats up to you all to work out with and Im going to let
9 him do it for those two counties because those are the two
10 counties that are a part of this.
11 Hes got -- the only allegation hes got -- he's got
an allegation in McDonough, he's -- DeKalb and what
12
13 county? Because DeKalb's not here. He's got one in Worth
County. Hes got Grady High School, -- Library, and then
14
15 Allen Temple A.M.E. are the ones that hes alleging were
errors.
16
17 And Im going to let him look at those, okay. It's
18 got to be supervised by somebody, okay. In the sense of
-- somebody has to watch whatever he supposedly does so
19
20 there's no fundamental damage to the system in any way.
Needs to be really clear. Got it?
21
22 MR. RUSSO: Your Honor, I mean, we would need to have
some kind of confidentiality order for agreement,
23
24 obviously. Theres -- when -- when his folks have access
25 to the machines, we dont -- I mean we can watch what
0090
1 theyre doing. We dont know what theyre actually doing
2 and with the data in the -- the -- the computer
technology.
3
4 THE COURT: -- any sense. You're not -- you're not
going to do it yourself --
5
6 MR. RUSSO: What?
THE COURT: Like I said, if anything on those few
7
8 machines, anything is done to damage them in any way,
9 there will be some absolutely serious ramifications.
10 MR. RUSSO: Okay.
11 THE COURT: And that needs to be fully understood.
MR. RUSSO: Your -- Your Honor, I guess would -- we
12
13 would also want just clarification around what it is
exactly -- weve mentioned that theres data machines that
14
15 we can pull --

THE COURT: (inaudible)

MR. RUSSO: We can pull the data from the GEMS machines to give to them. If thats the extent of it, thats one thing.

THE COURT: What is it that you want to do on the individual machines?

MR. BROWN: We want to examine the internal memory, Your Honor, to determine how the program --

THE COURT: How do you examine the internal memory?

MR. BROWN: You do -- I -- our -- our experts will be

0091

examining the internal memory to see if there are programming flaws in the DRE machines that are causing these problems.

THE COURT: I got you. For programming flaws only?

MR. BROWN: Pardon me.

THE COURT: For programming flaws.

MR. BROWN: For programming flaws, yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: See if they were programmed wrong, okay. Simple language, okay.

MR. RUSSO: Okay. Not entirely sure what it means when they get access to what theyre looking at.

THE COURT: (inaudible)

MR. BROWN: I understand.

THE COURT: That these cases are supposed to be

disposed of fast, and Im not going to give continuances. If -- if you would like to work together to do that, if

you run up on a stumbling block, you can always do it by emails to me and I will email you back.

MR. RUSSO: As you said it would be confidential or

not be subject to --

THE COURT: (inaudible)

MR. RUSSO: -- because disclosure thats obviously important for our --

THE COURT: Once again your people would have to set it up, where he can look at it. If hes just looking at

0092

the results of it then, if you know how to show him online, he can get it all in the mistakes, okay.

MR. RUSSO: Yeah, well be happy to let him see the

results of the election.

THE COURT: Let him see the results of the state, but

at that time --

MR. RUSSO: Yes.

8 THE COURT: -- all the counties involved and these
9 five places that he has indicated.
10 MR. RUSSO: Okay.
11 THE COURT: Okay.
MR. RUSSO: Thank you.
12
13 THE COURT: I'll let you submit me the order if you
can agree on that. If you can't, let me know. Like I
14
th
15 said, Im -- the hearing is on the 17 ?
MR. BROWN: Yes.
16
17 MR. RUSSO: I think, Your Honor.
18 THE COURT: Im -- I'm here but I sleep occasionally,
but apart from that time --
19
20 MR. BROWN: Your Honor, let me -- I want to recap
what, Your Honor, has suggested just so were very clear
21
22 on -- on this --
THE COURT: You want to do it so that you can expand
23
24 what I just said. Come on, I know that. Is this what you
25 said, Judge? Yeah. I know that but go ahead.
0093
1 MR. BROWN: Didnt you say you favored widespread and
2 far-reaching discovery? No, Im joking, but --
THE COURT: Okay.
3
4 MR. BROWN: Two counties -- limited to two counties?
THE COURT: Two counties. Gwinnett -- go ahead.
5
6 MR. BROWN: Gwinnett and Fulton. In the five.
THE COURT: In the five -- Henry County and
7
8 McDonough. It doesn't say specifically that one was.
9 Fulton, Allen County AME -- and Grady High School.
10 MR. BROWN: Okay. Yes, Your Honor.
11 THE COURT: That's what's alleged on the petition.
MR. BROWN: And that would be examination of the
12
13 machines under the conditions that youve described. In
addition, the county servers -- that the county GEMS
14
15 servers under the instructions that you gave.
THE COURT: -- and if theres a way to get that
16
17 information if it is public record, then you can get it in
18 public record.
MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. At present the
19
20 trial is scheduled -- for the trial -- not at present.
th
The trial is scheduled for the 17 --
21
22 THE COURT: Correct.
MR. BROWN: -- of -- would it make sense to postpone
23
24 that --

25 THE COURT: No, nothing postponed.

0094

1 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

2 THE COURT: The rules are -- in fact Im getting some pressure as to why this isn't going through faster than

3

4 it's been. It needs to be done; it needs to be completed; it needs to be over. I'm not going to move it.

5

6 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And what I've allowed you to do on those

7

8 things can be done before that date.

9 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.

10 THE COURT: If -- if you put your mind to it. Okay?

11 MR. BROWN: Thank you, Judge.

THE COURT: Okay, anything else? You had a motion

12

13 about -- but I guess that's moot.

MR. BROWN: That's moot.

14

15 THE COURT: Okay, anything else?

MR. RUSSO: No, Your Honor.

16

17 THE COURT: Okay.

18 MR. LINDSEY: Just to move the case along quickly and Im going to stipulate to plaintiffs counsel be in

19

20 agreement -- just taking judicial notice so we dont have to bring somebody in to show the certified election

21

22 results of all the statewide elections.

THE COURT: Do you have an objection to --

23

24 MR. RUSSO: I assume we don't have any objections?

25 Just to the numbers. Do you have an objection? No,

0095

1 Judge.

2 THE COURT: The numbers that he gave me are the numbers in the register. Do they have an objection?

3

4 MR. BROWN: No, Your Honor.

MR. RUSSO: I just want to make that that's clear.

5

6 THE COURT: Okay. You can put those in without having to --

7

8 MR. RUSSO: No, Your Honor.

9 MR. BELINFANTE: We will be doing the same -- we will

10 be using the same numbers here on, Your Honor.

11 THE COURT: Okay. Thats it.

MR. RUSSO: Okay.

12

13 THE COURT: Anything else? Thank you, gentleman.

MR. RUSSO: Thank you.

14

15 MR. BELINFANTE: Thank you, Your Honor.

MR. TYSON: Thank you, Judge.

16

17

18 (Whereupon, the hearing was concluded at 10:34 a.m.)
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
0096
1 CERTIFICATE
2
STATE OF GEORGIA)
3
4) SS.
COUNTY OF DOUGLAS)
5
6
I, PRISCILLA GARCIA, A COURT REPORTER IN THE STATE OF
7
8 GEORGIA, DO HEREBY STATE THAT THE FOREGOING IS A TRUE AND
9 ACCURATE TRANSCRIPT AS TRANSCRIBED BY ME AT THE TIME,
10 PLACE, AND THE DATE HEREINBEFORE SET FORTH.
11 I DO FURTHER STATE THAT I AM NEITHER A RELATIVE NOR
EMPLOYEE NOR ATTORNEY NOR COUNSEL OF ANY OF THE PARTIES TO
12
13 THIS ACTION, AND THAT I AM NEITHER A RELATIVE NOR EMPLOYEE
OF SUCH ATTORNEY OR COUNSEL, AND THAT I AM NOT FINANCIALLY
14
15 INTERESTED IN THIS ACTION.
WITNESS MY HAND IN THE CITY OF DOUGLASVILLE, COUNTY
16
17 OF DOUGLAS, STATE OF GEORGIA, ON THIS 18th DAY OF JANUARY
18 2019.
BY: _____
19
20 PRISCILLA GARCIA, COURT REPORTER
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF GEORGIA
21
22 COMMISSION NO.: W-00379933
COMMISSION EXPIRES: 08/14/2022
23
24 CERTFICATION NO.: 5503-2677-8304-9216
25 LICENSE EXPIRES: 04/01/2019

From: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 06, 2018 6:06 PM
To: Adele Grubbs
Cc: Vincent Russo; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H.; David Ware; vernstes@dekalbcountyga.gov; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; bdbryan@dekalbcountyga.gov; chall@lmjc.net; Alexander Denton; Josh Belinfante; Brian Lake; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; Russell Britt; Pearson Cunningham; Laura K. Johnson
Subject: Proposed Preservation Order; Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418 [IWOV-imanage.FID780744]
Attachments: 20181206 CGG Lt. Gov Contest Preservation Order.docx

Judge Grubbs:

I have attached Plaintiffs' Proposed Preservation Order. Defendants reviewed a prior draft and suggested significant changes; Plaintiffs agreed to some edits, but the parties were unfortunately unable to reach an agreement on the terms. Defendants will accordingly be submitting their own proposal to your Honor.

Respectfully submitted,

Bruce Brown
Attorney for Plaintiffs

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT,

Plaintiffs,

v.
CIVIL ACTION FILE
NO. 2018CV313418

ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,

Defendants.

[PROPOSED] PRESERVATION ORDER

The Parties shall preserve evidence that may be relevant to this case as far as is possible in order to preserve the status quo. Evidence that may be relevant to claims and defenses include, *but are not limited to,* the following:

1. The Direct Electronic Recording ("DRE") touchscreen voting units, DRE internal memory and related memory cards, ExpressPoll units and

associated electronic data, ExpressPoll memory cards, the General Election Management ("GEMS") servers and associated electronic data, optical scanner electronic data and memory cards, machine results tapes, voter access cards, supervisor cards, administrative access cards, media devices transferring data from the GEMS server to other systems and applications, ENet system records, and all iterations of voter registration files ("the Voting System Evidence");

2. Any documents relating to the maintenance, programming, testing, security and operations of the Voting System Evidence; and
3. Any documents relating to the November 6, 2018 and December 4, 2018 runoff elections, including all ballots, ballot programming, tabulations, machine results tapes, polling place recap sheets, audit data and records, provisional ballot and mail ballot records, communications, training materials, maintenance records, voter complaint records, system logs, and troubleshooting reports.

Defendant Secretary of State shall inform third parties in possession of relevant evidence, including vendors and non-party Georgia county election officials, of their obligations to comply with this Order.

Failure to obey this Order in good faith by any party or entity may result in the imposition of sanctions pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 9-11-37 or contempt proceedings.

IT IS SO ORDERED this ___ day of _____, 2018.

_____
Adele P. Grubbs
Senior Judge,
State of Georgia

Prepared by:
/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Georgia Bar No. 064460
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com
Bruce P. Brown Law LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE
Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700

Attorney for Plaintiffs

GA007463

From: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Sent: Thursday, December 06, 2018 11:31 PM
To: Adele Grubbs
Cc: Vincent Russo; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H.; David Ware; vernstes@dekalbcountyga.gov; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; bdbryan@dekalbcountyga.gov; chall@lmjc.net; Alexander Denton; Josh Belinfante; Brian Lake; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; Russell Britt; Pearson Cunningham; Laura K. Johnson; Bruce Brown
Subject: Re: Proposed Preservation Order; Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418 [IWOV-imanage.FID780744]
Attachments: Comparison of Proposed Orders.docx; 20181129 LtGov Final Stamped Rule 34 Motion with Exhibits (4).pdf

Judge Grubbs,

Further to the issue of the proposed preservation orders, I have attached a document that compares Plaintiffs' Proposed Preservation Order and Defendants' Proposed Preservation Order. I note several things:

1. The parties agree on the description of the electronic and other evidence that is to be covered in the indented paragraphs 1, 2 and 3.

2. The long paragraphs added by the Defendants beneath the indented paragraphs concern the issue that I mentioned at the hearing Wednesday about how the continued use of the machines in election after election wipes the memory clean, according to our experts, and thus destroys potential evidence explaining why these machines have been malfunctioning. We therefore strongly object to an order that would give the State carte blanche to continue the destruction this evidence by overwriting the memory and changing the programming. Particularly inappropriate, Plaintiffs' believe, is the sentence added by Defendants stating: "Toward that end, this Order does not prohibit or otherwise limit the Defendants' use of any voting or election system equipment referenced herein, or alter any obligations of Defendants under Georgia law with respect to storage or preservation of election materials." This sentence renders the entire Preservation Order ineffective, and would allow the State to erase the evidence explaining the causes and extent of the systems' malfunctions.

   In Plaintiffs' view, the Court's instructions Wednesday at the hearing reasonably accommodates any legitimate concern that Defendants may have. The Court twice instructed the undersigned to draft the Order to say: "defendants shall preserve evidence as far as possible." That is what Plaintiffs' Proposed Order provides.

3. However the preservation issue is resolved, Plaintiffs agree that it is imperative that discovery proceed immediately so that this evidence can be fully examined before there is any need (or temptation) to use this equipment (and wipe or overwrite the evidence) in upcoming elections.

   Plaintiffs therefore request that the Court consider Plaintiffs' Emergency Motion for Inspection, filed on Thursday, November 29 (copy attached, with proposed order attached to it). Since defendants are not using the equipment now for elections, this is an ideal time to perform the inspections necessary to determine the causes of the system's malfunctioning. A proper inspection of the equipment may take some time, and the trial in January will be upon us quickly. Such an inspection will also be in the public interest and can be undertaken without prejudice to Defendants' opportunity to file and argue motions closer to trial.

Respectfully submitted,

Bruce Brown
Attorney for Plaintiffs

From: Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>
Date: Thursday, December 6, 2018 at 7:21 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, David Ware <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, "vernstes@dekalbcountyga.gov" <vernstes@dekalbcountyga.gov>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "bdbryan@dekalbcountyga.gov" <bdbryan@dekalbcountyga.gov>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>, Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, Russell Britt <RBritt@hallboothsmith.com>, Pearson Cunningham <PCunningham@hallboothsmith.com>, "Laura K. Johnson" <lkjohnson@dekalbcountyga.gov>
Subject: RE: Proposed Preservation Order; Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418 [IWOV-imanage.FID780744]

Judge Grubbs:

The attached Proposed Preservation Order is submitted on behalf of all Defendants, with the express permission of their counsel. Despite a good faith effort, the parties were unable to reach an agreement on reasonable terms for a joint Proposed Preservation Order. Accordingly, Defendants respectfully submit the attached Proposed Preservation Order for your consideration.

Kind regards,

Vincent Russo

ROBBINS
Vincent R. Russo
Member
ROBBINS ♦ ROSS ♦ ALLOY ♦ BELINFANTE ♦ LITTLEFIELD LLC
500 14th Street, NW
Atlanta, GA 30318
404.856.3260 (Direct)
678.701.9381 (Main)
404.856.3250 (Fax)

www.robbinsfirm.com

From: Bruce Brown [mailto:bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com]
Sent: Thursday, December 06, 2018 6:06 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; David Ware <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>; vernstes@dekalbcountyga.gov; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; bdbryan@dekalbcountyga.gov; chall@lmjc.net; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>; Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; Russell Britt <RBritt@hallboothsmith.com>; Pearson Cunningham <PCunningham@hallboothsmith.com>; Laura K. Johnson <lkjohnson@dekalbcountyga.gov>
Subject: Proposed Preservation Order; Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418 [IWOV-imanage.FID780744]

Judge Grubbs:

I have attached Plaintiffs' Proposed Preservation Order. Defendants reviewed a prior draft and suggested significant changes; Plaintiffs agreed to some edits, but the parties were unfortunately unable to reach an agreement on the terms. Defendants will accordingly be submitting their own proposal to your Honor.

Respectfully submitted,

Bruce Brown
Attorney for Plaintiffs

ROBBINS

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT,

Plaintiffs,

v.
CIVIL ACTION FILE
NO. 2018CV313418

ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,

Defendants.

[PROPOSED] PRESERVATION ORDER

The Parties shall take reasonable efforts to preserve evidence that may be relevant to the claims and defenses in this case as far as is reasonably possible in order to preserve the status quo. Evidence that Plaintiffs believe may be relevant to their claims include the following:

1. The Direct Electronic Recording ("DRE") touchscreen voting units, DRE internal memory and related memory cards, ExpressPoll units and

associated electronic data, ExpressPoll memory cards, the General Election Management ("GEMS") servers and associated electronic data, optical scanner electronic data and memory cards, machine results tapes, voter access cards, supervisor cards, administrative access cards, media devices transferring data from the GEMS server to other systems and applications, ENet system records, and all iterations of voter registration files ("the Voting System Evidence");

2. Any documents relating to the maintenance, programming, testing, security and operations of the Voting System Evidence; and
3. Any documents relating to the November 6, 2018 and December 4, 2018 runoff elections, including all ballots, ballot programming, tabulations, machine results tapes, polling place recap sheets, audit data and records, provisional ballot and mail ballot records, communications, training materials, maintenance records, voter complaint records, system logs, and troubleshooting reports.

The Court recognizes this case involves public officials and county boards of registration and elections with legal duties and responsibilities, including but not limited to the administration of future elections. Toward that end, this Order does not prohibit or otherwise limit the Defendants' use of any voting or election system equipment referenced herein, or alter any obligations of Defendants under Georgia

law with respect to storage or preservation of election materials. Should there be any conflict between a duty of preservation arguably imposed by this Order and legal duties of the Defendants, the compliance with or performance of such legal duty shall be recognized as a good-faith defense to any alleged non-compliance with this Order.

This Order does not prevent any party from later objecting to any discovery requested in this matter, and no party is deemed to have waived any objections or any position on any particular issue as a result of this Order. All arguments, rights, or defenses of the Parties as to any motion seeking enforcement or for spoliation are expressly reserved until such time as a particular motion is filed.

This Order does not impose upon Defendants any duty or responsibility as to actions of non-parties outside their direct control.

Purposeful failure to obey this Order in good faith by any party may result in the imposition of sanctions pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 9-11-37 or contempt proceedings.

IT IS SO ORDERED this ___ day of _____, 2018.

_____
Adele P. Grubbs
Senior Judge,

State of Georgia

Prepared by:

Christopher M. Carr
Attorney General
Georgia Bar No. 112505
Annette M. Cowart
Deputy Attorney General
Georgia Bar No. 191199
Russell D. Willard
Senior Assistant Attorney General
Georgia Bar No. 760280
State Law Department
40 Capitol Square, S.W.
Atlanta, GA 30334
Telephone: (404) 656-3357

/s/ Vincent R. Russo
Josh Belinfante
Georgia Bar No: 047399
jbelinfante@robbinsfirm.com
Vincent R. Russo
Georgia Bar No. 242628
vrusso@robbinsfirm.com
Brian E. Lake
Georgia Bar No. 575966
blake@robbinsfirm.com
Alexander Denton
Georgia Bar No. 660632
adenton@robbinsfirm.com
Special Assistant Attorneys General
Robbins Ross Alloy Belinfante Littlefield LLC
500 14th Street, N.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30318
Telephone: (678) 701-9381
Facsimile: (404) 856-3250

Attorneys for Defendant Robyn A. Crittenden,
Secretary of State of Georgia

GA007473

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDDG
Date: 11/29/2018 5:31 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV31348**

PLAINTIFFS' EMERGENCY MOTION FOR INSPECTION OF ELECTRONIC ELECTION EQUIPMENT AND PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

Pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 9-11-34(a)(1), Plaintiffs file this Emergency Motion for Inspection of Electronic Voting Equipment and Production of Documents, and show the Court the following:

I. Summary

There is substantial evidence that the electronic voting equipment used in the 2018 general election was "so defective" "as to place in doubt the result" of the

election for Lieutenant Governor, including scores of voters' and eye-witness reports of malfunctioning Direct Electronic Recording ("DRE") touchscreen machines and an aberrant pattern of votes from DRE machines that does not appear in the 230,000+ votes cast on paper ballots. *See* O.C.G.A. § 21-2-527(d). *See Taggart v. Phillips,* 242 Ga. 454, 455 (1978) ("doubt may be cast on an election by showing improper maintenance of the voting machines resulting in votes being miscast"). Additionally, there are material and verifiable reports of machine malfunctions including "vote flipping," the premature casting of ballots without voter review, and irregularities of vote counts appearing on the DRE voting machine poll tapes.

Vital to the determination of the causes of these irregularities is an expert forensic examination of the electronic equipment itself, equipment that is currently in the possession of Defendants and third-parties but which may be made available for immediate inspection without disruption of ongoing election activity. This examination must occur immediately because of an imminent trial and because the Supreme Court of Georgia has repeatedly instructed that "litigants should make every effort to dispose of election disputes with dispatch." *Payne v. Chatman,* 267 Ga. 873, 876 (1997). The requested examination is expressly authorized by O.C.G.A. § 9-11-34(a)(1), which allows the inspection, sampling and testing of

"any tangible things" that are relevant to the subject matter of the litigation. Additionally, some of the voting machines to which some of the irregularities can be traced are improperly being prepared for use in the December 4, runoff election in violation of preservation requirements in the Election Code. Others of the machines are not properly secured to protect the electronic evidence on those machines.

In addition, the immediate production of a well-defined set of documents relating to complaints that the Defendants received from voters about the equipment is appropriate.

II. Motion

Plaintiffs accordingly move the Court to enter an order granting the following relief. A proposed order is filed herewith.

1. Allowing Plaintiffs to serve each of the Defendants with requests under O.C.G.A. § 9-11-34 for the inspection, sampling and copying of the electronic election equipment and related election records in the particular Defendants' possession identified on the attached Exhibit A and Exhibit B. For example, Gwinnett County Board would be responsible for making available the equipment identified on the attached Exhibits A and B used in Gwinnett County, etc. The Secretary would be responsible for making available the DRE equipment which is

owned by the state that is currently located in other counties which are not Defendant counties.

2. The inspection, sampling and copy shall proceed during the week of December 3, 2018, at a place and dates to be agreed upon by the parties. If the parties do not reach an agreement within forty-eight hours, Plaintiffs' counsel will notify the Court and the Court will decide on a place and time for the inspection, sampling and copying.

3. Representative of the Defendants may be present throughout any such inspection, sampling or copying.

4. Plaintiffs' representatives shall be permitted to make copies of any of the electronic files associated with the electronic equipment that is being inspected.

5. Given that one purpose of the inspection is to ascertain the nature of the malfunctions and operations of the electronic voting equipment in an election, Defendants shall make available to Plaintiffs' representatives additional equipment, information or programming necessary to make the electronic equipment operative, such as a/c power, internet connections, voter cards, supervisor cards, passwords, etc.

6. Defendants shall also produce responsive documents or make such documents available for inspection and, if necessary, copying.

III. Discussion

A. O.C.G.A. § 9-11-34

O.C.G.A. § 9-11-34, is titled "Production of documents and things and entry upon land for inspection and other purposes." The rule gives a party the right to inspect, sample and copy any electronic machinery, electronic programming and electronically stored information. *See* Comments to the 1970 Amendment to Rule 34 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure ("Comments"). *Norfolk S. Ry. Co. v. Hartry,* 316 Ga. App. 532, 534 (2012) (discussing O.C.G.A. § 9-11-34, noting that "the Georgia discovery rules are based on the 1970 amendments to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure"). This broad right of discovery encompasses the right to inspect and investigate the operation of equipment or machines in the other party's possession. *Comments, supra.*

B. Relevance of Requested Discovery

Without doubt, the operation of the electronic voting equipment and related electronic and paper records in Defendants' possession is highly relevant to this case. There is substantial credible evidence that the equipment malfunctioned or was misprogrammed. Though some malicious computer viruses are designed to wreak havoc and then destroy themselves, leaving no trace, evidence of "mere" programming errors, maintenance problems, less sophisticated malicious viruses,

or other causes of these malfunctions may still exist on this equipment and related electronic records. To potentially determine the causes of these malfunctions, Plaintiffs are entitled to inspect a fair sample of the equipment and related electronic records.

In addition, as to the production of documents, Defendant Secretary maintained a "hot-line" for voters to use when experiencing voting difficulty. Documents reflecting these complaints may be relevant to the issues before the Court.

C. Reasonableness of Request

The Election Code contemplates the preservation and inspection of the DRE voting computer information for purposes of an election contest as indicated in Georgia Election Rule 1-183-12-.02(6)(d), which states:

> *The election results, ballot styles, ballot images, and other information for each election* ***stored in the internal memory storage of each DRE unit*** *shall be maintained for a minimum of one month following each election after which time the results may be erased* ***provided that there are no election contests pending concerning such election.***

There is a compelling need for this Rule to be followed and enforced: DRE voting units have no auditable or verifiable paper trail that can be used in an election contest to determine the actual electronic votes as intended by voters; therefore, forensic review after an election may be required to determine the

presence or source of programming errors, machine malfunction, malicious manipulation, or viruses. Such critical electronic information regarding the irregularity may be available ***only*** in the internal memory of the DRE machine. Rule 1-183-12-.02(6)(d) contemplates that the internal memory may need to be accessed in an election contest such as this case and orders the preservation of machines used in the election in controversy.

Such electronic records of the presence and introduction of unauthorized or irregular programs or data are most likely to be located through forensic examination of unaltered internal memory data, not merely selected files of ballot images, ballot programming or tallies. Forensic examination of the machines that voters have identified as having problems should be conducted using those specific machines in the unaltered condition in which they were used in the polling place with their unaltered memory cards. Replicating problems that the voters experienced will be made more difficult and impossible if the DRE machines are re-programmed or subjected to risk of insecure conditions such as polling places.

Given the compelling need for an inspection of the malfunctioning electronic election equipment, the requested relief is reasonable and appropriate. Defendants can make this equipment available for inspection and copying without material impact upon its provisioning of equipment for the ongoing elections. For

the December 4, 2018 run off, the State does not need and is not planning to use all the voting machines used in the November 6, 2018 election. The turn-out for the run-off elections, and the State's resulting need for the equipment, is far less than for the general election. The short ballot, with only two races, reduces voting time, also reducing the number of voting machines required, and early voting locations are significantly fewer for the December runoff. The locations for early voting in the metro area, for example, has been slashed from 31 to 15 in Fulton County, 10 to 3 in DeKalb County, and 8 to 1 in Gwinnett County. In addition, the requested equipment is a small fraction of the State's inventory, which consists of over 27,000 DRE machines and associated gear.

Supporting and related electronic equipment and paper records are also required in order for voting system computer experts and forensic scientists to identify the sources of the problems causing the irregularities.

Respectfully submitted this 29th day of November, 2018.

/s/ Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Georgia Bar No. 064460
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com
Bruce P. Brown Law LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE, Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700

Attorney for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing via the Court's e-filing system to the attorneys of record who have made appearances.

This 29th day of November, 2018.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown

EXHIBIT

A

DRE Machines Required for Inspection

All DRE machines used in the November 6, 2018 election that fit the following descriptions of DRE voting machines:

1. All machines taken out of service, temporarily or permanently.
2. All machines for which voter complaints were received regarding "vote flipping" or "slipping" –where voter's vote was recorded for a different choice than voter indicated on the touch screen.
3. All machines reported as not permitting the voter to review the summary screen and press the final review/cast vote target area before the machine cast the ballot. (There have been numerous reports of summary screen displaying for a short time before automatically casting ballot without voter touching the screen.)
4. All machines reported as not displaying the Lieutenant Governor's race on the initial voting screen.
5. All machines reporting one or more blank ballots.
6. All machines for which the public counter number on the machine tape is different than the total ballots cast on the machine tape.

EXHIBIT

B

COUNTY	PRECINCT(S)	POLLING LOCATION(S)	Specific DREs and associated memory cards	Other equipment and electronic records	Other related records
Bleckley	Early voting	County Courthouse	2 (SN unknown)--EARLY VOTING		
Chatham	2-07c	Christ Community Church at Morningside	0 (SN Unknown)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Clarke	240	8c Firestation 7	3 (SN 158013)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Clarke	10	Winterville Train Depot	2 (SN 121031) 3 (SN 121481)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Clarke	80	Thomas N Lay Park.	3 (SN 135990) 4 (SN 135991)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Clayton	260	Lovejoy High	SN: 123994		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Clayton	21/114	Kemp Elementary	all inclduing 3 (SN 114416)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Cobb	Early voiing	N Cobb Senior Center	4 (SN unknown)--EARLY VOTING	Cobb GEMS programming CD	Final GEMS results report certtified by BoE
Cobb	Early voiing	East Cobb Government Center	SN 122966		
Cobb	2150	Marietta 7A	2 (SN 111475) and 3 (SN 137969)		Copy of DRE machine tapes ; Precinct recap sheet
Cobb	1330	Dickerson 01	all machines including 1 (SN 138013)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Columbia	Early voiing	Ronald Reagan Building	Machine 16 (SN unknown)--EARLY VOTING		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
DeKalb	1260	Oakcliff Elementary School	all	DeKalb GEMS programming CD	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
DeKalb	1070	Midvale Elem	All		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
DeKalb		Decatur First Christian Church	All --Election Day	ExpressPoll units incuding voter list data card; GEMS programming CD,	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
DeKalb	750	Hawthorne Elem	all machines	DeKalb GEMS programming CD	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
DeKalb	880	Lakeside High	all machines		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
DeKalb	1770	Tucker Library	all machines		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Douglas		Mirror Lake Elementary	All --Election Day	ExpressPoll units including voter list data card; GEMS programming CD	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Fannin	40	Hemptown	1 (SN 208952)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Forsyth	27	27 Concord	All including (SN 149722) 12 (SN 146030) 15 (SN 130272)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Fulton	02J, 02K, 06G	Grady High School	All including 2 (SN 124301) and 3 (SN 136081)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Fulton	2710	Esther Jackson Elem	All including 1 (SN 126005) and SN 125547		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Fulton	2560	River Eves Elem	SN: 121736		Final GEMS results report certtified by BoE
Fulton	02D, 05J, 06F	Butler Street Baptist Church.	0 (SN 149323), 1 (SN 144439), 2 (SN 111091), 16 (SN 122853)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Fulton	06B, 06J	Inman Middle School	ALL		
Fulton	SS18A, SS18B, SS31	Sandy Springs Christian Church	3 (SN 124392)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Fulton	SS03	Lake Forest Elementary	SN 144220 and SN 107088		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Fulton	Early voting	Either N. Annex or Central office.	SN 297220--EARLY VOTING	Express Poll units at this location including voter list data card; Fulton GEMS programming CD	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Fulton		New Life Presbyterian Church	3, 4, 7 (SN unknown)	Express Poll units including voter list data card	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Fulton	Early voting	Ponce de Leon library	All machines	Express Poll units including voter list data card	Final GEMS results report certtified by BoE
Fulton	01D, 01E	Georgia Hill	all including 7 (SN 139762) and 2 (SN 117516)	Express Poll units including voter list data card	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Fulton	2570	Elkins Pointe	4 (SN 121631)		
Greene	8	Lakeside Church	3, 4 (SN unknown)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Gwinnett	960	096 Duluth G	1 (SN 273306)	Gwinnett programming CD	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Gwinnett	1500	150 Duluth K Shorty Howell	1 (SN 297780)		
Gwinnett	Early voting	Gwinnett County BoE	All including Last machine in back corner of room"--EARLY VOTING		All precinct recap sheets, copy of all DRE machine tapes
Hall	23	Gainsville 1st Church of Nazarene	2 (SN unknown)		
Morgan	30	Beth/Springfield	1 (SN 143704), 0 (SN 120925)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Morgan	10	Northeast	all including 2 (SN 127715)	Express Poll units including voter list data card; programming CD	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Muscogee	early voting	Citizen Service Center	All	Express Poll units including voter list data card; programming CD	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes

Randolph	All	All locations-election day		Programming CD for GEMS	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Spalding	16	Gary Reid Fire Station	All including SN 133123	Express Poll units including voter list data card; programming CD	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Union	50	Owltown	all including 2 (SN 128303) and 3 (SN 129424)	Express Poll units including voter list data card; programming CD	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Walker	30	Rock Spring	7 (SN 288261) and 8 (SN 221353)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes

Fulton County Superior Court
EFILEDQW
Date: 11/30/2018 12:41 PM
Cathelene Robinson, Clerk

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV31348**

[PROPOSED] ORDER
GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' EMERGENCY MOTION FOR INSPECTION OF ELECTRONICELECTION EQUIPMENT
AND PRODUCTION OF DOCUMENTS

Before the Court is the Plaintiffs' Emergency Motion for Inspection of Electronic Voting Equipment and Production of Documents. Based upon the Motion, and the other filings of record, the Court finds that the Motion should be GRANTED and orders the following:

1. Plaintiffs may serve each of the Defendants with requests under O.C.G.A. § 9-11-34 for the inspection, sampling and copying of the electronic election equipment and related election records in the particular Defendants' possession identified on the attached Exhibit A and Exhibit B. The Secretary is responsible for making available the DRE equipment which is owned by the state that is currently located in other counties which are not Defendant counties.

2. The inspection, sampling and copy shall proceed during the week of December 3, 2018, at a place and dates to be agreed upon by the parties. If the parties do not reach an agreement within forty-eight hours, Plaintiffs' counsel will notify the Court and the Court will decide on a place and time for the inspection, sampling and copying.

3. Representative of the Defendants may be present throughout any such inspection, sampling or copying.

4. Plaintiffs' representatives shall be permitted to make copies of any of the electronic files associated with the electronic equipment that is being inspected.

5. Given that one purpose of the inspection is to ascertain the nature of the malfunctions and operations of the electronic voting equipment in an election, Defendants shall make available to Plaintiffs' representatives additional equipment, information or programming necessary to make the electronic

equipment operative, such as a/c power, internet connections, voter cards, supervisor cards, passwords, etc.

6. Defendants shall also produce responsive documents identified on Exhibit B or make such documents available for inspection and, if necessary, copying.

IT IS SO ORDERED this ___ day of _____, 2018.

_____
Superior Court Judge,
State of Georgia

Prepared by:
/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Georgia Bar No. 064460
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com
Bruce P. Brown Law LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE
Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700

Attorney for Plaintiffs

GA007490

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing via the Court's e-filing system to the attorneys of record who have made appearances.

This 30th day of November, 2018.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown

EXHIBIT

A

DRE Machines Required for Inspection

All DRE machines used in the November 6, 2018 election that fit the following descriptions of DRE voting machines:

1. All machines taken out of service, temporarily or permanently.
2. All machines for which voter complaints were received regarding "vote flipping" or "slipping" –where voter's vote was recorded for a different choice than voter indicated on the touch screen.
3. All machines reported as not permitting the voter to review the summary screen and press the final review/cast vote target area before the machine cast the ballot. (There have been numerous reports of summary screen displaying for a short time before automatically casting ballot without voter touching the screen.)
4. All machines reported as not displaying the Lieutenant Governor's race on the initial voting screen.
5. All machines reporting one or more blank ballots.
6. All machines for which the public counter number on the machine tape is different than the total ballots cast on the machine tape.

EXHIBIT

B

COUNTY	PRECINCT(S)	POLLING LOCATION(S)	Specific DREs and associated memory cards	Other equipment and electronic records	Other related records
Bleckley	Early voting	County Courthouse	2 (SN unknown)--EARLY VOTING		
Chatham	2-07c	Christ Community Church at Morningside	0 (SN Unknown)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Clarke	240	8c Firestation 7	3 (SN 158013)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Clarke	10	Winterville Train Depot	2 (SN 121031) 3 (SN 121481)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Clarke	80	Thomas N Lay Park.	3 (SN 135990) 4 (SN 135991)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Clayton	260	Lovejoy High	SN: 123994		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Clayton	21/114	Kemp Elementary	all inclduing 3 (SN 114416)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Cobb	Early voiing	N Cobb Senior Center	4 (SN unknown)--EARLY VOTING	Cobb GEMS programming CD	Final GEMS results report certtified by BoE
Cobb	Early voiing	East Cobb Government Center	SN 122966		
Cobb	2150	Marietta 7A	2 (SN 111475) and 3 (SN 137969)		Copy of DRE machine tapes ; Precinct recap sheet
Cobb	1330	Dickerson 01	all machines including 1 (SN 138013)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Columbia	Early voiing	Ronald Reagan Building	Machine 16 (SN unknown)--EARLY VOTING		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
DeKalb	1260	Oakcliff Elementary School	all	DeKalb GEMS programming CD	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
DeKalb	1070	Midvale Elem	All		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
DeKalb		Decatur First Christian Church	All --Election Day	ExpressPoll units incuding voter list data card; GEMS programming CD,	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
DeKalb	750	Hawthorne Elem	all machines	DeKalb GEMS programming CD	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
DeKalb	880	Lakeside High	all machines		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
DeKalb	1770	Tucker Library	all machines		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Douglas		Mirror Lake Elementary	All --Election Day	ExpressPoll units including voter list data card; GEMS programming CD	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Fannin	40	Hemptown	1 (SN 208952)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Forsyth	27	27 Concord	All including (SN 149722) 12 (SN 146030) 15 (SN 130272)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Fulton	02J, 02K, 06G	Grady High School	All including 2 (SN 124301) and 3 (SN 136081)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Fulton	2710	Esther Jackson Elem	All including 1 (SN 126005) and SN 125547		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Fulton	2560	River Eves Elem	SN: 121736		Final GEMS results report certtified by BoE
Fulton	02D, 05J, 06F	Butler Street Baptist Church.	0 (SN 149323), 1 (SN 144439), 2 (SN 111091), 16 (SN 122853)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Fulton	06B, 06J	Inman Middle School	ALL		
Fulton	SS18A, SS18B, SS31	Sandy Springs Christian Church	3 (SN 124392)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Fulton	SS03	Lake Forest Elementary	SN 144220 and SN 107088		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Fulton	Early voting	Either N. Annex or Central office.	SN 297220--EARLY VOTING	Express Poll units at this location including voter list data card; Fulton GEMS programming CD	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Fulton		New Life Presbyterian Church	3, 4, 7 (SN unknown)	Express Poll units including voter list data card	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Fulton	Early voting	Ponce de Leon library	All machines	Express Poll units including voter list data card	Final GEMS results report certtified by BoE
Fulton	01D, 01E	Georgia Hill	all including 7 (SN 139762) and 2 (SN 117516)	Express Poll units including voter list data card	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Fulton	2570	Elkins Pointe	4 (SN 121631)		
Greene	8	Lakeside Church	3, 4 (SN unknown)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Gwinnett	960	096 Duluth G	1 (SN 273306)	Gwinnett programming CD	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Gwinnett	1500	150 Duluth K Shorty Howell	1 (SN 297780)		
Gwinnett	Early voting	Gwinnett County BoE	All including Last machine in back corner of room"--EARLY VOTING		All precinct recap sheets, copy of all DRE machine tapes
Hall	23	Gainsville 1st Church of Nazarene	2 (SN unknown)		
Morgan	30	Beth/Springfield	1 (SN 143704), 0 (SN 120925)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Morgan	10	Northeast	all including 2 (SN 127715)	Express Poll units including voter list data card; programming CD	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Muscogee	early voting	Citizen Service Center	All	Express Poll units including voter list data card; programming CD	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes

Randolph	All	All locations-election day		Programming CD for GEMS	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Spalding	16	Gary Reid Fire Station	All including SN 133123	Express Poll units including voter list data card; programming CD	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Union	50	Owltown	all including 2 (SN 128303) and 3 (SN 129424)	Express Poll units including voter list data card; programming CD	Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes
Walker	30	Rock Spring	7 (SN 288261) and 8 (SN 221353)		Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes Precinct recap sheet; copy of DRE machine poll tapes

From: Vincent Russo
Sent: Thursday, December 06, 2018 7:21 PM
To: Adele Grubbs
Cc: Bruce Brown; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H.; David Ware; vernstes@dekalbcountyga.gov; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; bdbryan@dekalbcountyga.gov; chall@lmjc.net; Alexander Denton; Josh Belinfante; Brian Lake; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; Russell Britt; Pearson Cunningham; Laura K. Johnson
Subject: RE: Proposed Preservation Order; Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418 [IWOV-imanage.FID780744]
Attachments: 20181205 CGG Lt. Gov Contest Preservation Order (Revised - Defendants).docx

Judge Grubbs:

The attached Proposed Preservation Order is submitted on behalf of all Defendants, with the express permission of their counsel. Despite a good faith effort, the parties were unable to reach an agreement on reasonable terms for a joint Proposed Preservation Order. Accordingly, Defendants respectfully submit the attached Proposed Preservation Order for your consideration.

Kind regards,

Vincent Russo

ROBBINS
Vincent R. Russo
Member
ROBBINS ♦ ROSS ♦ ALLOY ♦ BELINFANTE ♦ LITTLEFIELD LLC
500 14th Street, NW
Atlanta, GA 30318
404.856.3260 (Direct)
678.701.9381 (Main)
404.856.3250 (Fax)

www.robbinsfirm.com

From: Bruce Brown [mailto:bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com]
Sent: Thursday, December 06, 2018 6:06 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; David Ware <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>; vernstes@dekalbcountyga.gov; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; bdbryan@dekalbcountyga.gov; chall@lmjc.net; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>; Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; Russell Britt <RBritt@hallboothsmith.com>; Pearson Cunningham <PCunningham@hallboothsmith.com>; Laura K. Johnson <lkjohnson@dekalbcountyga.gov>

Subject: Proposed Preservation Order; Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418 [IWOV-imanage.FID780744]

Judge Grubbs:

I have attached Plaintiffs' Proposed Preservation Order. Defendants reviewed a prior draft and suggested significant changes; Plaintiffs agreed to some edits, but the parties were unfortunately unable to reach an agreement on the terms. Defendants will accordingly be submitting their own proposal to your Honor.

Respectfully submitted,

Bruce Brown
Attorney for Plaintiffs

ROBBINS

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT,

Plaintiffs,

v.
CIVIL ACTION FILE
NO. 2018CV313418

ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,

Defendants.

[PROPOSED] PRESERVATION ORDER

The Parties shall take reasonable efforts to preserve evidence that may be relevant to the claims and defenses in this case as far as is reasonably possible in order to preserve the status quo. Evidence that Plaintiffs believe may be relevant to their claims include the following:

1. The Direct Electronic Recording ("DRE") touchscreen voting units, DRE internal memory and related memory cards, ExpressPoll units and

associated electronic data, ExpressPoll memory cards, the General Election Management ("GEMS") servers and associated electronic data, optical scanner electronic data and memory cards, machine results tapes, voter access cards, supervisor cards, administrative access cards, media devices transferring data from the GEMS server to other systems and applications, ENet system records, and all iterations of voter registration files ("the Voting System Evidence");

2. Any documents relating to the maintenance, programming, testing, security and operations of the Voting System Evidence; and
3. Any documents relating to the November 6, 2018 and December 4, 2018 runoff elections, including all ballots, ballot programming, tabulations, machine results tapes, polling place recap sheets, audit data and records, provisional ballot and mail ballot records, communications, training materials, maintenance records, voter complaint records, system logs, and troubleshooting reports.

The Court recognizes this case involves public officials and county boards of registration and elections with legal duties and responsibilities, including but not limited to the administration of future elections. Toward that end, this Order does not prohibit or otherwise limit the Defendants' use of any voting or election system equipment referenced herein, or alter any obligations of Defendants under Georgia

law with respect to storage or preservation of election materials. Should there be any conflict between a duty of preservation arguably imposed by this Order and legal duties of the Defendants, the compliance with or performance of such legal duty shall be recognized as a good-faith defense to any alleged non-compliance with this Order.

This Order does not prevent any party from later objecting to any discovery requested in this matter, and no party is deemed to have waived any objections or any position on any particular issue as a result of this Order. All arguments, rights, or defenses of the Parties as to any motion seeking enforcement or for spoliation are expressly reserved until such time as a particular motion is filed.

This Order does not impose upon Defendants any duty or responsibility as to actions of non-parties outside their direct control.

Purposeful failure to obey this Order in good faith by any party may result in the imposition of sanctions pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 9-11-37 or contempt proceedings.

IT IS SO ORDERED this ___ day of _____, 2018.

_____
Adele P. Grubbs
Senior Judge,

State of Georgia

Prepared by:

Christopher M. Carr
Attorney General
Georgia Bar No. 112505
Annette M. Cowart
Deputy Attorney General
Georgia Bar No. 191199
Russell D. Willard
Senior Assistant Attorney General
Georgia Bar No. 760280
State Law Department
40 Capitol Square, S.W.
Atlanta, GA 30334
Telephone: (404) 656-3357

/s/ Vincent R. Russo
Josh Belinfante
Georgia Bar No: 047399
jbelinfante@robbinsfirm.com
Vincent R. Russo
Georgia Bar No. 242628
vrusso@robbinsfirm.com
Brian E. Lake
Georgia Bar No. 575966
blake@robbinsfirm.com
Alexander Denton
Georgia Bar No. 660632
adenton@robbinsfirm.com
Special Assistant Attorneys General
Robbins Ross Alloy Belinfante Littlefield LLC
500 14th Street, N.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30318
Telephone: (678) 701-9381
Facsimile: (404) 856-3250

Attorneys for Defendant Robyn A. Crittenden,
Secretary of State of Georgia

GA007505

From: Vincent Russo
Sent: Friday, December 07, 2018 8:34 AM
To: Adele Grubbs
Cc: Bruce Brown; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H.; David Ware; vernstes@dekalbcountyga.gov; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; bdbryan@dekalbcountyga.gov; chall@lmjc.net; Alexander Denton; Josh Belinfante; Brian Lake; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; Russell Britt; Pearson Cunningham; Laura K. Johnson
Subject: Re: Proposed Preservation Order; Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418 [IWOV-imanage.FID780744]

Judge Grubbs:

If Plaintiffs' counsel would like to argue the discovery and preservation issues, we can be available today for a hearing. Fundamental problems with Plaintiffs' preservation and purported emergency discovery request were noted at the hearing. The runoff election has already occurred and special elections are forthcoming. More importantly, Defendant Crittenden has a pending Motion to Dismiss, which speaks to several threshold issues warranting dismissal of Plaintiffs' specious election contest. To date, Plaintiffs have not filed a response to our motion, and instead continue requesting unnecessary discovery.

Defendants' Proposed Preservation Order makes clear that evidence relevant to the claims should be preserved, but it also takes a reasonable and practical approach to the preservation process. Please let us know how you would like us to proceed.

Kind regards,

Vincent Russo

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 7, 2018, at 7:56 AM, Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com> wrote:

Judge Grubbs,

Further to the issue of the proposed preservation orders, I have attached a document that compares Plaintiffs' Proposed Preservation Order and Defendants' Proposed Preservation Order. I note several things:

1. The parties agree on the description of the electronic and other evidence that is to be covered in the indented paragraphs 1, 2 and 3.

2. The long paragraphs added by the Defendants beneath the indented paragraphs concern the issue that I mentioned at the hearing Wednesday about how the continued use of the machines in election after election wipes the memory clean, according to our experts, and thus destroys potential evidence explaining why these machines have been malfunctioning. We therefore strongly object to an order that would give the State carte blanche to continue the destruction this evidence by overwriting the memory and changing the programming. Particularly inappropriate, Plaintiffs' believe, is the sentence added by Defendants stating: "Toward that end, this Order does not prohibit or otherwise limit the Defendants' use of any voting or election system equipment referenced herein, or alter any obligations of Defendants under Georgia law with respect to storage or preservation of election materials." This sentence renders the entire Preservation Order ineffective, and would allow the State to erase the evidence explaining the causes and extent of the systems' malfunctions.

   In Plaintiffs' view, the Court's instructions Wednesday at the hearing reasonably accommodates any legitimate concern that Defendants may have. The Court twice instructed the undersigned to draft the Order to say: "defendants shall preserve evidence as far as possible." That is what Plaintiffs' Proposed Order provides.

3. However the preservation issue is resolved, Plaintiffs agree that it is imperative that discovery proceed immediately so that this evidence can be fully examined before there is any need (or temptation) to use this equipment (and wipe or overwrite the evidence) in upcoming elections.

   Plaintiffs therefore request that the Court consider Plaintiffs' Emergency Motion for Inspection, filed on Thursday, November 29 (copy attached, with proposed order attached to it). Since defendants are not using the equipment now for elections, this is an ideal time to perform the inspections necessary to determine the causes of the system's malfunctioning. A proper inspection of the equipment may take some time, and the trial in January will be upon us quickly. Such an inspection will also be in the public interest and can be undertaken without prejudice to Defendants' opportunity to file and argue motions closer to trial.

Respectfully submitted,

Bruce Brown
Attorney for Plaintiffs

From: Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>
Date: Thursday, December 6, 2018 at 7:21 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, David Ware <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, "vernstes@dekalbcountyga.gov" <vernstes@dekalbcountyga.gov>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "bdbryan@dekalbcountyga.gov" <bdbryan@dekalbcountyga.gov>, "chall@lmic.net" <chall@lmic.net>, Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>, Josh Belinfante

<Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, Russell Britt <RBritt@hallboothsmith.com>, Pearson Cunningham <PCunningham@hallboothsmith.com>, "Laura K. Johnson" <lkjohnson@dekalbcountyga.gov>
Subject: RE: Proposed Preservation Order; Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418 [IWOV-imanage.FID780744]

Judge Grubbs:

The attached Proposed Preservation Order is submitted on behalf of all Defendants, with the express permission of their counsel. Despite a good faith effort, the parties were unable to reach an agreement on reasonable terms for a joint Proposed Preservation Order. Accordingly, Defendants respectfully submit the attached Proposed Preservation Order for your consideration.

Kind regards,

Vincent Russo

ROBBINS
Vincent R. Russo
Member
ROBBINS ♦ ROSS ♦ ALLOY ♦ BELINFANTE ♦ LITTLEFIELD LLC
500 14th Street, NW
Atlanta, GA 30318
404.856.3260 (Direct)
678.701.9381 (Main)
404.856.3250 (Fax)

www.robbinsfirm.com

From: Bruce Brown [mailto:bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com]
Sent: Thursday, December 06, 2018 6:06 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; David Ware <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>; vernstes@dekalbcountyga.gov; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; bdbryan@dekalbcountyga.gov; chall@lmjc.net; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>; Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; Russell Britt <RBritt@hallboothsmith.com>; Pearson Cunningham <PCunningham@hallboothsmith.com>; Laura K. Johnson <lkjohnson@dekalbcountyga.gov>
Subject: Proposed Preservation Order; Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418 [IWOV-imanage.FID780744]

Judge Grubbs:

I have attached Plaintiffs' Proposed Preservation Order. Defendants reviewed a prior draft and suggested significant changes; Plaintiffs agreed to some edits, but the parties were unfortunately unable to reach an agreement on the terms. Defendants will accordingly be submitting their own proposal to your Honor.

Respectfully submitted,

Bruce Brown
Attorney for Plaintiffs

<Comparison of Proposed Orders.docx>
<20181129 LtGov Final Stamped Rule 34 Motion with Exhibits (4).pdf>

ROBBINS

ROBBINS

From: Vincent Russo
Sent: Friday, December 07, 2018 3:11 PM
To: Adele Grubbs; DWare@hallboothsmith.com
Cc: bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; edward.lindsey@dentons.com; vernstes@dekalbcountyga.gov; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; bdbryan@dekalbcountyga.gov; chall@lmjc.net; Alexander Denton; Josh Belinfante; Brian Lake; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; RBritt@hallboothsmith.com; PCunningham@hallboothsmith.com; lkjohnson@dekalbcountyga.gov
Subject: RE: Proposed Preservation Order; Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418 [IWOV-imanage.FID780744]
Attachments: Call for HD14 Special Republican Primary Election.pdf; Call for Special Election (HD5).pdf

Judge Grubbs:

On behalf of Secretary Crittenden, and in accordance with your Preservation Order entered earlier today, I want to notify you of two special elections scheduled prior to the January 9, 2018 hearing. None of the election superintendents responsible for administering these special elections is a party to Plaintiffs' election contest.

First, a special election is set for December 18, 2018 in Bartow and Floyd counties to fill the vacancy in House District 14 due to Rep. Christian Coomer's appointment to the Georgia Court of Appeals.

Second, a special election is set for January 8, 2019 in Gordon and Murray counties to fill the vacancy in House District 5 due to the passing of Representative John Meadows.

I have attached the calls for the upcoming special elections for your reference.

Sincerely,

Vincent Russo

ROBBINS
Vincent R. Russo
Member
ROBBINS ♦ ROSS ♦ ALLOY ♦ BELINFANTE ♦ LITTLEFIELD LLC
500 14th Street, NW
Atlanta, GA 30318
404.856.3260 (Direct)
678.701.9381 (Main)
404.856.3250 (Fax)

www.robbinsfirm.com

From: Adele Grubbs [mailto:adele.grubbs@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, December 07, 2018 11:32 AM
To: DWare@hallboothsmith.com

Cc: bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; edward.lindsey@dentons.com; vernstes@dekalbcountyga.gov; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; bdbryan@dekalbcountyga.gov; chall@lmjc.net; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>; Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; RBritt@hallboothsmith.com; PCunningham@hallboothsmith.com; lkjohnson@dekalbcountyga.gov; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>
Subject: Re: Proposed Preservation Order; Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418 [IWOV-imanage.FID780744]

Counsel,

The Plaintiff's Motion for expedited discovery will be heard on January 9th 2019 along with all the other Motions. If it is deemed necessary to move the trial date at that time the Court will consider it.

Adele Grubbs
Senior Judge

On Fri, Dec 7, 2018 at 10:40 AM David Ware <DWare@hallboothsmith.com> wrote:

Judge Grubbs: good morning Your Honor.

On behalf of the DeKalb County Board of Registration and Elections (DBRE), we just wanted to make the court aware that once served DBRE intends to move to dismiss the complaint as to it. Moreover, DeKalb County opposes the proffered "Emergency" Request for Inspection and believe it to lack merit. Once served, DBRE plans to file a response in opposition.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

R. David Ware

Partner

Hall Booth Smith, P.C., Attorneys at Law

191 Peachtree Street

Suite 2900

Atlanta, GA. 30303

dware@hallboothsmith.com

(404) 586-6619

(404) 402-0204 (cell)

www.hallboothsmith.com

Notice of Confidentiality:

This communication constitutes an electronic communication within the meaning of the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. Section 2510, and its disclosure is strictly limited to the recipient intended by the sender of this message. This communication, and any attachments thereto, contain confidential attorney-client privileged information and attorney work product. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, viewing, copying, distribution or use of any of the information contained in or attached to this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by replying to the message and deleting it from your computer. Thank you.

From: Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>
Sent: Friday, December 07, 2018 8:34 AM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; David Ware <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>; vernstes@dekalbcountyga.gov; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; bdbryan@dekalbcountyga.gov; chall@lmjc.net; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>; Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; Russell Britt <RBritt@hallboothsmith.com>; Pearson Cunningham <PCunningham@hallboothsmith.com>; Laura K. Johnson <lkjohnson@dekalbcountyga.gov>
Subject: Re: Proposed Preservation Order; Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418 [IWOV-imanage.FID780744]

Judge Grubbs:

If Plaintiffs' counsel would like to argue the discovery and preservation issues, we can be available today for a hearing. Fundamental problems with Plaintiffs' preservation and purported emergency discovery request were noted at the hearing. The runoff election has already occurred and special elections are forthcoming. More importantly, Defendant Crittenden has a pending Motion to Dismiss, which speaks to several threshold issues warranting dismissal of Plaintiffs' specious election contest. To date, Plaintiffs have not filed a response to our motion, and instead continue requesting unnecessary discovery.

Defendants' Proposed Preservation Order makes clear that evidence relevant to the claims should be preserved, but it also takes a reasonable and practical approach to the preservation process. Please let us know how you would like us to proceed.

Kind regards,

Vincent Russo

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 7, 2018, at 7:56 AM, Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com> wrote:

Judge Grubbs,

Further to the issue of the proposed preservation orders, I have attached a document that compares Plaintiffs' Proposed Preservation Order and Defendants' Proposed Preservation Order. I note several things:

1. The parties agree on the description of the electronic and other evidence that is to be covered in the indented paragraphs 1, 2 and 3.

2. The long paragraphs added by the Defendants beneath the indented paragraphs concern the issue that I mentioned at the hearing Wednesday about how the continued use of the machines in election after election wipes the memory clean, according to our experts, and thus destroys potential evidence explaining why these machines have been malfunctioning. We therefore strongly object to an order that would give the State carte blanche to continue the destruction this evidence by overwriting the memory and changing the programming. Particularly inappropriate, Plaintiffs' believe, is the sentence added by Defendants stating: "Toward that end, this Order does not prohibit or otherwise limit the Defendants' use of any voting or election system equipment referenced herein, or alter any obligations of Defendants under Georgia law with respect to storage or preservation of election materials." This sentence renders the entire Preservation Order ineffective, and would allow the State to erase the evidence explaining the causes and extent of the systems' malfunctions.

   In Plaintiffs' view, the Court's instructions Wednesday at the hearing reasonably accommodates any legitimate concern that Defendants may have. The Court twice instructed the undersigned to draft the Order to say: "defendants shall preserve evidence as far as possible." That is what Plaintiffs' Proposed Order provides.

3. However the preservation issue is resolved, Plaintiffs agree that it is imperative that discovery proceed immediately so that this evidence can be fully examined before there is any need (or temptation) to use this equipment (and wipe or overwrite the evidence) in upcoming elections.

Plaintiffs therefore request that the Court consider Plaintiffs' Emergency Motion for Inspection, filed on Thursday, November 29 (copy attached, with proposed order attached to it). Since defendants are not using the equipment now for elections, this is an ideal time to perform the inspections necessary to determine the causes of the system's malfunctioning. A proper inspection of the equipment may take some time, and the trial in January will be upon us quickly. Such an inspection will also be in the public interest and can be undertaken without prejudice to Defendants' opportunity to file and argue motions closer to trial.

Respectfully submitted,

Bruce Brown

Attorney for Plaintiffs

From: Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>
Date: Thursday, December 6, 2018 at 7:21 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, David Ware <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, "vernstes@dekalbcountyga.gov" <vernstes@dekalbcountyga.gov>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "bdbryan@dekalbcountyga.gov" <bdbryan@dekalbcountyga.gov>, "chall@lmic.net" <chall@lmic.net>, Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>, Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, Russell Britt <RBritt@hallboothsmith.com>, Pearson Cunningham <PCunningham@hallboothsmith.com>, "Laura K. Johnson" <lkjohnson@dekalbcountyga.gov>
Subject: RE: Proposed Preservation Order; Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418 [IWOV-imanage.FID780744]

Judge Grubbs:

The attached Proposed Preservation Order is submitted on behalf of all Defendants, with the express permission of their counsel. Despite a good faith effort, the parties were unable to reach an agreement on reasonable terms for a joint Proposed Preservation Order. Accordingly, Defendants respectfully submit the attached Proposed Preservation Order for your consideration.

Kind regards,

Vincent Russo

Vincent R. Russo

Member
ROBBINS ♦ ROSS ♦ ALLOY ♦ BELINFANTE ♦ LITTLEFIELD LLC
500 14th Street, NW
Atlanta, GA 30318
404.856.3260 (Direct)
678.701.9381 (Main)
404.856.3250 (Fax)

www.robbinsfirm.com

From: Bruce Brown [mailto:bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com]
Sent: Thursday, December 06, 2018 6:06 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; David Ware <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>; vernstes@dekalbcountyga.gov; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; bdbryan@dekalbcountyga.gov; chall@lmic.net; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>; Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; Russell Britt <RBritt@hallboothsmith.com>; Pearson Cunningham <PCunningham@hallboothsmith.com>; Laura K. Johnson <lkjohnson@dekalbcountyga.gov>
Subject: Proposed Preservation Order; Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418 [IWOV-imanage.FID780744]

Judge Grubbs:

I have attached Plaintiffs' Proposed Preservation Order. Defendants reviewed a prior draft and suggested significant changes; Plaintiffs agreed to some edits, but the parties were unfortunately unable to reach an agreement on the terms. Defendants will accordingly be submitting their own proposal to your Honor.

Respectfully submitted,

Bruce Brown

Attorney for Plaintiffs

<Comparison of Proposed Orders.docx>

<20181129 LtGov Final Stamped Rule 34 Motion with Exhibits (4).pdf>

The Office of Secretary of State

Robyn A. Crittenden
SECRETARY OF STATE

2 Martin Luther King Jr., Drive
802 West Tower
Atlanta, Georgia 30334

Chris Harvey
ELECTIONS DIRECTOR

LEGAL NOTICE

PLEASE PRINT
NOTICE ONCE ON
NOVEMBER 19, 2018

CALL FOR SPECIAL REPUBLICAN PRIMARY ELECTION FOR STATE REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT 14

Notice is hereby given that a Special Republican Primary Election shall be held on December 18, 2018 in the parts of Bartow and Floyd counties that comprise Georgia House District 14 to fill the Republican Party Nomination after the withdrawal of Rep. Christian Coomer. A run-off, if needed, shall be held on January 15, 2019.

Qualifying for the special primary shall be held at 3110 Maple Drive, Suite 150, Atlanta, GA 30305. The dates and hours of qualifying will be Monday, November 19, 2018 beginning at 9:00 a.m. and ending at 5:00 p.m., Tuesday, November 20, 2018 beginning at 9:00 a.m. and ending at 5:00 p.m., and Wednesday, November 21, 2018 beginning at 9:00 a.m. and ending at 1:00 p.m. The qualifying fee shall be $400.00.

Monday, November 19, 2018 is the last day to register to vote for all persons who are not registered to vote and who desire to vote in the Special Republican Primary Election.

Robyn A. Crittenden
Secretary of State

The Office of Secretary of State

Robyn A. Crittenden
SECRETARY OF STATE

2 Martin Luther King Jr., Drive
802 West Tower
Atlanta, Georgia 30334

Chris Harvey
ELECTIONS DIRECTOR

LEGAL NOTICE

PLEASE PRINT
NOTICE ONCE ON
DECEMBER 5, 2018

CALL FOR SPECIAL ELECTION FOR STATE REPRESENTATIVE, DISTRICT 5

Notice is hereby given that a special election shall be held on January 8, 2019 in the parts of Gordon and Murray Counties that comprise Georgia House District 5 after the passing of State Representative John Meadows. A run-off, if needed, shall be held on February 5, 2019.

Qualifying for the special election shall be held in the Elections Division of the Office of Secretary of State, 2 Martin Luther King Jr. Drive SE, West Tower Suite 802, Atlanta, Georgia 30334. The dates and hours of qualifying will be Wednesday, December 5, 2018 beginning at 9:00 a.m. and ending at 5:00 p.m., Thursday, December 6, 2018 beginning at 9:00 a.m. and ending at 5:00 p.m., and Friday, December 7, 2018 beginning at 9:00 a.m. and ending at 1:00 p.m. The qualifying fee shall be $400.00.

Wednesday, December 12, 2018 is the last day to register to vote for all persons who are not registered to vote and who desire to vote in the special election.

Robyn A. Crittenden
Secretary of State

ROBBINS

From: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, December 07, 2018 11:32 AM
To: DWare@hallboothsmith.com
Cc: bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; edward.lindsey@dentons.com; vernstes@dekalbcountyga.gov; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; bdbryan@dekalbcountyga.gov; chall@lmjc.net; Alexander Denton; Josh Belinfante; Brian Lake; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; RBritt@hallboothsmith.com; PCunningham@hallboothsmith.com; lkjohnson@dekalbcountyga.gov; Vincent Russo
Subject: Re: Proposed Preservation Order; Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418 [IWOV-imanage.FID780744]
Attachments: image001.jpg

Counsel,

The Plaintiff's Motion for expedited discovery will be heard on January 9th 2019 along with all the other Motions. If it is deemed necessary to move the trial date at that time the Court will consider it.

Adele Grubbs
Senior Judge

On Fri, Dec 7, 2018 at 10:40 AM David Ware <DWare@hallboothsmith.com> wrote:

> Judge Grubbs: good morning Your Honor.
>
> On behalf of the DeKalb County Board of Registration and Elections (DBRE), we just wanted to make the court aware that once served DBRE intends to move to dismiss the complaint as to it. Moreover, DeKalb County opposes the proffered "Emergency" Request for Inspection and believe it to lack merit. Once served, DBRE plans to file a response in opposition.
>
> Thank you for your time and consideration.
>
> **R. David Ware**

Partner

Hall Booth Smith, P.C., Attorneys at Law

191 Peachtree Street

Suite 2900

Atlanta, GA. 30303

dware@hallboothsmith.com

(404) 586-6619

(404) 402-0204 (cell)

www.hallboothsmith.com

Notice of Confidentiality:

This communication constitutes an electronic communication within the meaning of the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. Section 2510, and its disclosure is strictly limited to the recipient intended by the sender of this message. This communication, and any attachments thereto, contain confidential attorney-client privileged information and attorney work product. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, viewing, copying, distribution or use of any of the information contained in or attached to this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by replying to the message and deleting it from your computer. Thank you.

From: Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>
Sent: Friday, December 07, 2018 8:34 AM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; David Ware <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>; vernstes@dekalbcountyga.gov; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; bdbryan@dekalbcountyga.gov; chall@lmjc.net; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>; Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; Russell Britt <RBritt@hallboothsmith.com>; Pearson Cunningham <PCunningham@hallboothsmith.com>; Laura K. Johnson <lkjohnson@dekalbcountyga.gov>
Subject: Re: Proposed Preservation Order; Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418 [IWOV-imanage.FID780744]

Judge Grubbs:

If Plaintiffs' counsel would like to argue the discovery and preservation issues, we can be available today for a hearing. Fundamental problems with Plaintiffs' preservation and purported emergency discovery request were noted at the hearing. The runoff election has already occurred and special elections are forthcoming. More importantly, Defendant Crittenden has a pending Motion to Dismiss, which speaks to several threshold issues warranting dismissal of Plaintiffs' specious election contest. To date, Plaintiffs have not filed a response to our motion, and instead continue requesting unnecessary discovery.

Defendants' Proposed Preservation Order makes clear that evidence relevant to the claims should be preserved, but it also takes a reasonable and practical approach to the preservation process. Please let us know how you would like us to proceed.

Kind regards,

Vincent Russo

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 7, 2018, at 7:56 AM, Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com> wrote:

Judge Grubbs,

Further to the issue of the proposed preservation orders, I have attached a document that compares Plaintiffs' Proposed Preservation Order and Defendants' Proposed Preservation Order. I note several things:

1. The parties agree on the description of the electronic and other evidence that is to be covered in the indented paragraphs 1, 2 and 3.

2. The long paragraphs added by the Defendants beneath the indented paragraphs concern the issue that I mentioned at the hearing Wednesday about how the continued use of the machines in election after election wipes the memory clean, according to our experts, and thus destroys potential evidence explaining why these machines have been malfunctioning. We therefore strongly object to an order that would give the State carte blanche to continue the destruction this evidence by overwriting the memory and changing the programming. Particularly inappropriate, Plaintiffs' believe, is the sentence added by Defendants stating: "Toward that end, this Order does not prohibit or otherwise limit the Defendants' use of any voting or election system equipment referenced herein, or alter any obligations

of Defendants under Georgia law with respect to storage or preservation of election materials." This sentence renders the entire Preservation Order ineffective, and would allow the State to erase the evidence explaining the causes and extent of the systems' malfunctions.

In Plaintiffs' view, the Court's instructions Wednesday at the hearing reasonably accommodates any legitimate concern that Defendants may have. The Court twice instructed the undersigned to draft the Order to say: "defendants shall preserve evidence as far as possible." That is what Plaintiffs' Proposed Order provides.

3. However the preservation issue is resolved, Plaintiffs agree that it is imperative that discovery proceed immediately so that this evidence can be fully examined before there is any need (or temptation) to use this equipment (and wipe or overwrite the evidence) in upcoming elections.

Plaintiffs therefore request that the Court consider Plaintiffs' Emergency Motion for Inspection, filed on Thursday, November 29 (copy attached, with proposed order attached to it). Since defendants are not using the equipment now for elections, this is an ideal time to perform the inspections necessary to determine the causes of the system's malfunctioning. A proper inspection of the equipment may take some time, and the trial in January will be upon us quickly. Such an inspection will also be in the public interest and can be undertaken without prejudice to Defendants' opportunity to file and argue motions closer to trial.

Respectfully submitted,

Bruce Brown

Attorney for Plaintiffs

From: Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>
Date: Thursday, December 6, 2018 at 7:21 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>,

"Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, David Ware <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, "vernstes@dekalbcountyga.gov" <vernstes@dekalbcountyga.gov>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "bdbryan@dekalbcountyga.gov" <bdbryan@dekalbcountyga.gov>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>, Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, Russell Britt <RBritt@hallboothsmith.com>, Pearson Cunningham <PCunningham@hallboothsmith.com>, "Laura K. Johnson" <lkjohnson@dekalbcountyga.gov>
Subject: RE: Proposed Preservation Order; Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418 [IWOV-imanage.FID780744]

Judge Grubbs:

The attached Proposed Preservation Order is submitted on behalf of all Defendants, with the express permission of their counsel. Despite a good faith effort, the parties were unable to reach an agreement on reasonable terms for a joint Proposed Preservation Order. Accordingly, Defendants respectfully submit the attached Proposed Preservation Order for your consideration.

Kind regards,

Vincent Russo

Vincent R. Russo

Member
ROBBINS ♦ ROSS ♦ ALLOY ♦ BELINFANTE ♦ LITTLEFIELD LLC
500 14th Street, NW
Atlanta, GA 30318
404.856.3260 (Direct)
678.701.9381 (Main)
404.856.3250 (Fax)

www.robbinsfirm.com

From: Bruce Brown [mailto:bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com]
Sent: Thursday, December 06, 2018 6:06 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; David Ware <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>; vernstes@dekalbcountyga.gov; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; bdbryan@dekalbcountyga.gov; chall@lmjc.net; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>; Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; Russell Britt <RBritt@hallboothsmith.com>; Pearson Cunningham <PCunningham@hallboothsmith.com>; Laura K. Johnson <lkjohnson@dekalbcountyga.gov>
Subject: Proposed Preservation Order; Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418 [IWOV-imanage.FID780744]

Judge Grubbs:

I have attached Plaintiffs' Proposed Preservation Order. Defendants reviewed a prior draft and suggested significant changes; Plaintiffs agreed to some edits, but the parties were unfortunately unable to reach an agreement on the terms. Defendants will accordingly be submitting their own proposal to your Honor.

Respectfully submitted,

Bruce Brown

Attorney for Plaintiffs

<Comparison of Proposed Orders.docx>

<20181129 LtGov Final Stamped Rule 34 Motion with Exhibits (4).pdf>

ROBBINS

ROBBINS

GA007530

From: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Sent: Thursday, January 10, 2019 12:13 PM
To: Adele Grubbs
Cc: laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H.; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Josh Belinfante; Vincent Russo; Brian Lake; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov; Alexander Denton
Subject: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418
Attachments: 20190110 Lt Gov Proposed Order On Motions.docx

Dear Judge Grubbs:

I have attached Plaintiffs' proposed order on the motions heard by Your Honor yesterday. I circulated a draft of this proposed order yesterday afternoon to defendants' counsel, and again this morning, and have not received a response as to what changes they would recommend to it. Given the expedited nature of these proceedings, I thought it best to go ahead and submit Plaintiffs' proposed order without further delay.

We did have a conference call with defendants' counsel on discovery yesterday evening, both with respect to the terms of the proposed order and logistics. The parties did **not** agree on language for the proposed order with respect to discovery, but we did make progress on logistics, and Fulton County is readying machines for inspection.

I note that Part B(2) of the attached, which address the discovery of the DRE machines, references the paragraphs of the complaint that allege specific instances of machine malfunctions, except for paragraphs 42 and 43, which concerned locations in DeKalb County.

Many thanks,

Bruce Brown

Attorney for Plaintiffs

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.
CIVIL ACTION FILE
NO. 2018CV313418

ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,

Defendants.

[PROPOSED] ORDER ON PENDING MOTIONS

This case came before the Court for a non-evidentiary hearing on the following pending motions: (a) the motions to dismiss filed by each of the defendants: Defendant Robyn A Crittenden ("the Secretary), Defendant Geoff Duncan ("Duncan"), Defendant Fulton County Board of Registration and Elections ("Fulton County Board"), and Defendant Gwinnett County Board of Registration

and Elections ("the Gwinnett Board") (collectively "the Motions to Dismiss"); (b) Plaintiffs' Emergency Motion for Inspection of Electronic Election Equipment and Production of Documents ("Plaintiffs' Motion for Inspection"); and (c) Plaintiffs' Motion for Perservation of Specific Electronic Election Records in Gordon and Murray Counties. After considering the briefs and responses, and arguments of consel, the Court rules as follows:

A. Motions to Dismiss

The Motions to Dismiss raised several distinct issues, ruled upon below:

1. Secretary as Proper Defendant

The Secretary moved to dismiss the Secretary as a party to Count I of the Petition (the Election Contest Claim), arguing that it is not a proper "Defendant" as that term is used in the Election Statute, O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2). The Court agrees. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2) defines Defendant as "(C) the election superintendent or superintendents who conducted the contested primary or election." O.C.G.A. § 21-2-2(35) in term defines "superintendent" as follows:

> Either the judge of the probate court of a county or the county board of elections, the county board of elections and registration, the joint city-county board of election, or the joint city-county board of elections and registrations, if a county has such.

The Secretary does not fall within any of the definitions of "superintendent."

Plaintiffs argue, among other things, that the definition of "election superintendent" found in Chapter 4 of Title 21 should control. O.C.G.A. § 21-4-3(A) defines "election superintendent": "In the case of any elected state officers, the Secretary of State." This definition, however, is found in Chapter 4, not Chapter 2, and this election contest concerns Chapter 2. The Court disagrees, and holds that the definition of "superintendent" in the election contest chapter controls and, since the Secretary does not fall within that definition, the Secretary is not a proper party defendant to Count I and should be dismissed from that Count.

2. Whether 159 Counties are Necessary Parties

Fulton County Board and Gwinnett County Board concede that they are proper defendants, but argue that the other 157 counties must be joined in the state-wide election contest. In support of this argument, Gwinnett County Board cites O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2)(C). That section, however, would allow other counties to be joined to this action, but does not require such joinder. In addition, O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(b) gives the Court "plenary power, throughout the area in which the contested primary or election was conducted, to make, issue, and enforce all necessary orders, rules, processes, and decrees for a full and proper understanding and final determination and enforcement of the decision of every such case." The

joinder of the other 157 counties, therefore, is not necessary and the motions to this extent are denied.

3. Service of Process

In their briefs, Defendants argued that the Petition should be dismissed because service had not been perfected on the Defendants. Defendants now concede that they have been properly served, and the motions to this extent are denied.

4. Coalition as Party to Count I

Defendants contend that Plaintiff Coalition for Good Governance is not a proper plaintiff to Count I, the election contest. Coalition does not disagree and has stipulated that it is not a party to Count I. Defendants' motion to this extent is granted as unopposed.

5. Failure to State a Claim

Defendants argue that the Petition fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. The Court disagrees. Defendants have failed to carry their burden of showing that Plaintiffs "would not be entitled to relief under any state of provable facts asserted" in the complaint or that Plaintiffs "could not possibly introduce evidence within the framework of the complaint sufficient to warrant a grant of the relief sought." *Scouten v. Amerisave Mortg. Corp.,* 283 Ga. 72, 73

GA007535

(2008) (citations omitted). The motions for failure to state a claim, therefore, are denied.

B. Plaintiffs' Motion for Inspection

Plaintiffs' Motion for Inspection is denied. The Court, however, will allow the following inspections and discovery:

1. Plaintiffs are entitled to inspect the "GEMS" servers and GEMS databases or complete electronic copies thereof for the November 2018 elections that are maintained by the Gwinnett County Board and the Fulton County Board.

2. Plaintiffs are entitled to conduct a forensic inspection of the DRE machines, including the internal memories of those machines, that were used in the voting locations identified by Plaintiffs in the Petition, paragraphs 40 and 41, and paragraphs 44 – 48. Plaintiffs are ordered to conduct the inspection and copying so as to not in any way damage the DRE machines.

3. Defendants and Plaintiff shall enter into an appropriate protective order preserving the confidentiality of confidential information, if any, obtained in this discovery.

C. Plaintiffs' Motion Relating to Gordon and Murray Counties

This motion, which concerned the January 8, 2019 elections in Gordon and Murray, is denied as moot.

SO ORDERED this __ day of January, 2018.

_____
Honorable Adele P. Grubbs
Senior Judge, State of Georgia

Prepared by: /s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Counsel for Plaintiffs

From: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Sent: Thursday, January 10, 2019 6:07 PM
To: Adele Grubbs
Cc: Alexander Denton; laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Josh Belinfante; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H.; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Vincent Russo; Brian Lake; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov
Subject: Re: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Dear Judge Grubbs:

With respect to Defendants' proposed order, relating specifically to discovery and inspection, Plaintiffs will be submitting later this evening a statement from one of our experts (a computer scientist specializing in voting systems) which will explain how the limitations that defendants would impose will render the inspection useless for the purposes of detecting the causes of any malfunction, or misprogramming, either in the GEMS database or DRE machines, and is not a forensic examination that may detect programming errors (which is what we understand the Court instructed). We urge the Court to withhold considering the proposed order until the Court has the opportunity to consider that submission.

Respectfully,

Bruce Brown

From: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>
Date: Thursday, January 10, 2019 at 4:59 PM
To: Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>, Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: "laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net" <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7Jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7Jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, "kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov" <kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: RE: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs,

The Defendants have collaborated to prepare the attached proposed order for your consideration. It tracks the one Plaintiffs' counsel provided earlier today except as to those specific portions where they are not in agreement.

Thank you.

Alexander Denton |*404.856.3276* | ROBBINS

From: Josh Belinfante
Sent: Thursday, January 10, 2019 12:59 PM
To: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>; Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>
Subject: RE: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs:

Good afternoon. We have substantial agreement with portions of the proposed order but are drafting an alternative for your consideration. We are working to submit it as soon as possible today.

Thank you and best regards,
JB

From: Bruce Brown [mailto:bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 10, 2019 12:13 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>
Subject: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Dear Judge Grubbs:

I have attached Plaintiffs' proposed order on the motions heard by Your Honor yesterday. I circulated a draft of this proposed order yesterday afternoon to defendants' counsel, and again this morning, and have not received a response as to what changes they would recommend to it. Given the expedited nature of these proceedings, I thought it best to go ahead and submit Plaintiffs' proposed order without further delay.

We did have a conference call with defendants' counsel on discovery yesterday evening, both with respect to the terms of the proposed order and logistics. The parties did **not** agree on language for the proposed order with respect to discovery, but we did make progress on logistics, and Fulton County is readying machines for inspection.

I note that Part B(2) of the attached, which address the discovery of the DRE machines, references the paragraphs of the complaint that allege specific instances of machine malfunctions, except for paragraphs 42 and 43, which concerned locations in DeKalb County.

Many thanks,

Bruce Brown

Attorney for Plaintiffs

ROBBINS

GA007541

From: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Sent: Friday, January 11, 2019 12:46 PM
To: Adele Grubbs
Cc: Vincent Russo; Alexander Denton; laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Josh Belinfante; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H.; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Brian Lake; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov
Subject: Appointment of Special Master and Continuance: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs:

In light of the technical issues involved in the discovery and inspection, and the time constraints involved, Plaintiffs anticipate filing this afternoon a Motion for the Appointment of a Special Master under Uniform Superior Court Rule 46(A)(1)(C) and for a continuance of the trial. Before doing so, however, Plaintiffs will speak with counsel for Defendants to see if such a motion may be filed jointly, or whether Defendants would agree to all or part of such relief.

Many thanks,

Bruce Brown

From: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Sent: Friday, January 11, 2019 12:48 AM
To: Adele Grubbs
Cc: Alexander Denton; laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Josh Belinfante; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H.; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Vincent Russo; Brian Lake; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov
Subject: Proposed Order: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418
Attachments: 20190111 Plaintiffs' Proposed Order.docx; 20180111 Lt Gov Bernhard Affidavit Final Signed.pdf

Judge Grubbs:

Further to my email below on Defendants' proposed order (attached to Mr. Denton's 4:59 PM email), Plaintiffs have no objections to the changes Defendants propose to Parts A, but would urge the Court to adopt Plaintiffs' Part B, for the reasons set forth in the Affidavit of Plaintiffs' expert Matt Bernhard, attached. I have attached to this email a Proposed Order that does just this: takes the Defendants' version of Part A, but Plaintiffs' prior version of Part B, the discovery provisions for the specific records and equipment included in the Court's ruling at the January 9, 2017 hearing. Plaintiffs have included in Part B the sentence proposed by Defendants – "Destructive testing shall not be permitted." (I note that no notary public was available tonight to notarize Mr. Bernhard's affidavit (he is out-of-state), but we will submit a notarized affidavit when it becomes available).

Mr. Bernhard explains in his affidavit that the inspection proposed by Defendants would be virtually useless, and how that the inspection that Plaintiffs proposes is the only way to discover system malfunctions and mis-programming.

Mr. Bernhard also references a Georgia law relating to the internal memory that is right on point. Georgia State Election Board Rule 183-1-12-.02 (6)(d) states:

> *The election results, ballot styles, ballot images, and other information for each election stored in the internal memory storage of each DRE unit shall be maintained for a minimum of one month following each election after which time the results may be erased provided that there are no election contests pending concerning such election.*

This Rule requires the counties to preserve the internal memory of the DRE machines for the specific purposes of having that memory available for inspection and review in an election contest. Fulton County's position that this information should not be made available in this election contest, therefore, is inconsistent with this rule and, for the additional reasons explained in Mr. Bernhard's affidavit, completely without merit.

As to the GEMS database, Mr. Bernhard explains that this can easily be produced on a CD to Plaintiffs' experts; it is not proprietary information and is crucially necessary for the examination.

Many thanks,

Bruce Brown

From: "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Date: Thursday, January 10, 2019 at 6:07 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>, "laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net" <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7Jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7Jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, "kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov" <kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: Re: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Dear Judge Grubbs:

With respect to Defendants' proposed order, relating specifically to discovery and inspection, Plaintiffs will be submitting later this evening a statement from one of our experts (a computer scientist specializing in voting systems) which will explain how the limitations that defendants would impose will render the inspection useless for the purposes of detecting the causes of any malfunction, or misprogramming, either in the GEMS database or DRE machines, and is not a forensic examination that may detect programming errors (which is what we understand the Court instructed). We urge the Court to withhold considering the proposed order until the Court has the opportunity to consider that submission.

Respectfully,

Bruce Brown

From: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>
Date: Thursday, January 10, 2019 at 4:59 PM
To: Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>, Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: "laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net" <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7Jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7Jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, "kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov" <kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: RE: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs,

The Defendants have collaborated to prepare the attached proposed order for your consideration. It tracks the one Plaintiffs' counsel provided earlier today except as to those specific portions where they are not in agreement.

Thank you.

Alexander Denton |*404.856.3276* | ROBBINS

From: Josh Belinfante
Sent: Thursday, January 10, 2019 12:59 PM
To: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>; Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>
Subject: RE: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs:

Good afternoon. We have substantial agreement with portions of the proposed order but are drafting an alternative for your consideration. We are working to submit it as soon as possible today.

Thank you and best regards,
JB

From: Bruce Brown [mailto:bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 10, 2019 12:13 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org;

Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@imic.net; Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>
Subject: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Dear Judge Grubbs:

I have attached Plaintiffs' proposed order on the motions heard by Your Honor yesterday. I circulated a draft of this proposed order yesterday afternoon to defendants' counsel, and again this morning, and have not received a response as to what changes they would recommend to it. Given the expedited nature of these proceedings, I thought it best to go ahead and submit Plaintiffs' proposed order without further delay.

We did have a conference call with defendants' counsel on discovery yesterday evening, both with respect to the terms of the proposed order and logistics. The parties did **not** agree on language for the proposed order with respect to discovery, but we did make progress on logistics, and Fulton County is readying machines for inspection.

I note that Part B(2) of the attached, which address the discovery of the DRE machines, references the paragraphs of the complaint that allege specific instances of machine malfunctions, except for paragraphs 42 and 43, which concerned locations in DeKalb County.

Many thanks,

Bruce Brown

Attorney for Plaintiffs

ROBINS

GA007547

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.
CIVIL ACTION FILE
NO. 2018CV313418

ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,

Defendants.

[PROPOSED] ORDER ON PENDING MOTIONS

This case came before the Court for a non-evidentiary hearing on the following pending motions: (a) the motions to dismiss filed by each of the defendants: Defendant Robyn A Crittenden ("the Secretary), Defendant Geoff Duncan ("Duncan"), Defendant Fulton County Board of Registration and Elections ("Fulton County Board"), and Defendant Gwinnett County Board of Registration

and Elections ("the Gwinnett Board") (collectively "the Motions to Dismiss"); (b) Plaintiffs' Emergency Motion for Inspection of Electronic Election Equipment and Production of Documents ("Plaintiffs' Motion for Inspection"); and (c) Plaintiffs' Motion for Perservation of Specific Electronic Election Records in Gordon and Murray Counties. After considering the briefs and responses, and arguments of consel, the Court rules as follows:

A. Motions to Dismiss

The Motions to Dismiss raised several distinct issues, ruled upon below:

1. Secretary as Proper Defendant

The Secretary moved to dismiss Count I of the Petition (the Election Contest Claim) as to the Secretary, arguing that she is not a proper "Defendant" for purposes of Plaintiffs' Election Contest Claim. The Court agrees. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2) defines "Defendant" as "(C) the election superintendent or superintendents who conducted the contested primary or election." O.C.G.A. § 21-2-2(35) in term defines "superintendent" as follows:

> Either the judge of the probate court of a county or the county board of elections, the county board of elections and registration, the joint city-county board of election, or the joint city-county board of elections and registrations, if a county has such.

The Secretary does not fall within any of the definitions of "superintendent."

GA007549

Plaintiffs argue, among other things, that the definition of "election superintendent" found in Chapter 4 of Title 21 should control. O.C.G.A. § 21-4-3(A) defines "election superintendent": "In the case of any elected state officers, the Secretary of State." This definition, however, is found in Chapter 4, not Chapter 2, and this election contest concerns Chapter 2. The Court disagrees, and holds that the definition of "superintendent" in the election contest chapter controls and, since the Secretary does not fall within that definition, the Secretary is not a proper party defendant to Count I and should be dismissed from that Count.

2. Whether 159 Counties are Necessary Parties

Fulton County Board and Gwinnett County Board argue that the other 157 election superintendents must be joined in the state-wide election contest because all 159 election superintendents "conducted the contested…election." O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2)(C). That section would allow other counties to be joined to this action, but does not require such joinder. In addition, O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(b) gives the Court "plenary power, throughout the area in which the contested primary or election was conducted, to make, issue, and enforce all necessary orders, rules, processes, and decrees for a full and proper understanding and final determination and enforcement of the decision of every such case." The joinder of

the other 157 counties, therefore, is not necessary at this time, and the motions to this extent are denied.

3. Service of Process

In their briefs, Defendants argued that the Petition should be dismissed because service had not been perfected on the Defendants. Plaintiffs have now served Defendants so the motions to this extent are denied.

4. Coalition as Party to Count I

Defendants contend that Plaintiff Coalition for Good Governance is not a proper plaintiff to Count I, the election contest. Coalition does not disagree and has stipulated that it is not a party to Count I. Defendants' motion to this extent is granted as unopposed.

5. Failure to State a Claim

Defendants argue that the Petition fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. The Court disagrees in part. Defendants have failed to carry their burden of showing that Plaintiffs "would not be entitled to relief under any state of provable facts asserted" in the complaint or that Plaintiffs "could not possibly introduce evidence within the framework of the complaint sufficient to warrant a grant of the relief sought" as to Count 1 of the Petition. *Scouten v. Amerisave*

Mortg. Corp., 283 Ga. 72, 73 (2008) (citations omitted). The motions for failure to state a claim, therefore, are denied as to Count 1.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, by separate Order dated January 9, 2019, the Court granted the motions in part, dismissing Counts 2 and 3 of the Petition, which sought relief under the Fourteenth Amendment for violations of due process and equal protection, respectively, for failure to state a claim.

B. Plaintiffs' Motion for Inspection

Plaintiffs' Motion for Inspection is denied. The Court, however, will allow the following inspections and discovery:

1. Plaintiffs are entitled to inspect the "GEMS" servers and GEMS databases or complete electronic copies thereof for the November 2018 elections that are maintained by the Gwinnett County Board and the Fulton County Board.

2. Plaintiffs are entitled to conduct a forensic inspection of the DRE machines, including the internal memories of those machines, that were used in the voting locations identified by Plaintiffs in the Petition, paragraphs 40 and 41, and paragraphs 44 – 48. Plaintiffs are ordered to conduct the inspection and copying so as to not in any way damage the DRE machines or the information contained therein. Destructive testing shall not be permitted.

3. Defendants and Plaintiffs shall enter into an appropriate protective order preserving the confidentiality of confidential information, if any, obtained in this discovery prior to the commencement of any inspection authorized under this Order.

C. Plaintiffs' Motion Relating to Gordon and Murray Counties

This motion, which concerned the January 8, 2019 elections in Gordon and Murray, is denied as moot.

SO ORDERED this __ day of January, 2019.

_____
Honorable Adele P. Grubbs
Senior Judge, State of Georgia

Prepared by: Counsel for Defendants

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV31348**

AFFIDAVIT OF MATTHEW BERNHARD

Appeared before me, the undersigned officer duly authorized to administered oaths, MATTHEW BERNHARD who, after being sworn, states as follows:

1. I am Ph.D. candidate at the University of Michigan in Computer Science with a focus on computer security. I received my Bachelor's degree from Rice University, and my Master's in Computer Science from the University of Michigan.

2. I have focused in the field of computer science, including cyber-security in voting systems since 2012, including specific work on new, secure voting technology (the STAR-Vote system from Austin, Texas), and better exploring the current landscape of voting technology and vulnerabilities. I have worked with the Verified Voting Foundation on gathering data about currently deployed voting systems. I consulted with the Jill Stein recount campaign in 2016 to assess threat models and incident reports in Michigan, Wisconsin, and Pennsylvania. I have also worked with other experts in the field to provide a theoretical survey of properties of election security.

3. During the last year, I have conducted focused research on Diebold AccuVote voting system, of the type used by Georgia, with a specific emphasis on TS and TSX machines. That research has included in-lab testing at the University of Michigan on machines acquired through eBay, during which we performed an in-depth technical analysis of the systems and found significant vulnerabilities. I have also on several occasions observed AccuVote units in the field in Georgia, both at the Fulton County Election Preparation Center on multiple occasions and at the Grady High School precinct during an election. As with lab testing, I observed

a significant number of operational vulnerabilities that make Georgia's election infrastructure fundamentally unsafe and untrustworthy.

4. I have published and spoken extensively about the cybersecurity and other risks of electronic voting systems and have assisted in preparation of other experts for Congressional testimony concerning these topics.

5. A copy of my curriculum vitae is attached as Exhibit A.

6. I am a consulting expert in the area of Georgia's Diebold Voting Systems for Plaintiffs in the voting system security case Curling v Kemp, pending in federal court in the Northern District of Georgia.

7. I am also a consulting expert on the topic of the Diebold Voting System for plaintiffs in this case. I have been asked to address the nature of the testing required for the DRE machines and GEMS database.

8. I have reviewed the proposed order submitted to the Court by the Defendants, particularly paragraphs B(1) and B(2) relating to discovery of the DRE machines and the GEMS database. In summary: as for the DREs: the "inspection" proposed by Defendants of the DREs would be entirely inadequate and of no value. A review of the internal memory is required to assess and identify

any potential programming errors or other malfunctions. As for the GEMS: defendants' proposal, limited to the production of documents that the GEMS system generates, also is no value whatsoever; if there are errors in the GEMS database that has been used to program the election, reports generated by GEMS will only verify what is in the database already.

9. If either the DREs or the GEMS database has been corrupted or incorrectly programmed in any way, relying solely on the reports generated by those pieces of software would be akin to relying solely on the testimony of a potential bank robber to determine his guilt. If we suspect the bank may have been robbed, but don't know exactly how much money may have been stolen, the only way to rule out that hypothesis is a holistic evaluation of all evidence supporting or refuting that hypothesis. The same is true for elections; to determine if any election results are incorrect, we cannot simply ask the voting machines to count the votes again. We must examine how they count the votes, and we do this through thorough forensic software examination (the memory on the DREs internal memory) as well as examining the data with which they were initially programmed (i.e. the GEMS database).

DREs

10. When reviewing an election conducted on Georgia's paperless DRE system, the only method to answer questions regarding anomalous results, or possible incorrect programming, is to review key electronic files and programs that were used in conducting the election. All of these files reside on the internal DRE memory and the memory cards. Such essential electronic records include, but are not limited to:

--Ballot programming and layout files,

--Vote tabulation instructions,

--Programs for reporting tallies and writing reports,

--System operation logs,

--Error messages generated by the system and DRE machines, and

--Votes recorded in internal memory of the DRE machine.

9. The DRE internal memory contains records that are not located anywhere on the system. My understanding is that, for this reason, Georgia law requires the internal memories of the DRE machines to be preserved in the event of an election contest such as this one.

10. Conversely, in a review of a DRE election, the only way to determine the extent and number of votes potentially impacted by programming errors or malfunctions is to review the electronic programming and operating files used during the election. No standard report that is printed by the Diebold system would expose a programming error, in particular because the programming that generates such reports may itself be faulty. Only a review of the electronic files containing the programming would detect errors.

11. Forensic review tools are available to review the internal memory and other electronic files in the voting system to determine if there may have been incorrect programming, tampering, viruses or malfunctions of the machines and related components. Such tools are commonly available and widely used in industry practice, and would in no way damage or otherwise alter the voting machines (or any election equipment) in question.

12. It is crucial to examine the DRE internal memory of electronic records for the first data created on the machine when the voter casts his or her ballot. The examiner will attempt to determine whether that vote was faithfully recorded and tallied as it was written to memory cards and passed through the chain of tallying and reporting functions. There is no way to make such a determination by

reviewing standard system reports, as again such reports may also be faulty if the program that produces them is faulty.

13. Such testing should be conducted on an exact electronic copy of the internal flash chips of the DRE machine. Testing a copy avoids any possibility of damaging the DRE machines or components. Standard protocols for such testing are generally agreed on by computer scientists and subject to little controversy for conducting the types of rather simple tests involved here. Moreover, such tests can be conducted in a transparent way to provide any interested parties sufficient evidence as to their results, as well as entirely preserving the machines being examined.

14. The inspection described by Plaintiffs in the attached email from Plaintiffs' counsel to Fulton County's counsel, will be efficient and useful, will not involve any disruptive testing, and is standard procedure for conducting a forensic examination of this kind. Such testing can be conducted in an expedient manner so as to not impact the administration of elections in the jurisdictions in question.

15. Additionally, only after the copy of the internal memory is created for testing, the DRE machine must be tested in election mode using the same program that was used on Election Day with the goal of determining if the reported anomaly

can be replicated. For example, examiners should select machines for which voters reported that the Lt. Governor was not on their electronic ballot, and determine whether that condition will occur during repeated attempts to vote on the machine. If the electronic ballot fails to display the Lt. Governor race, the expert will obtain clues on what electronic files in the internal memory to check first for a virus or programming error.

16. The same is true for the repeated reports of machines that reportedly cast votes before the voter had a chance to see the review screen to correct any errors. The examiner must be able to attempt to replicate the malfunctioning condition on machines where this malfunction was reported. This cannot be done in post-election mode which is the limitation that Fulton County has set.

GEMS

17. The Defendants' proposed "inspection" relating to the GEMS server and database also is completely inadequate. The documents offered by the Defendants from the GEMS server (Base Precincts With Races Report, Ballot Image Report, Vote Center With Cards Report, Statement of Votes Cast Report, and Summary Report) may be useful to review, but are public records and standard reports that

do not in any way provide an opportunity to detect a programming flaw, a virus, or a malfunction of the machines.

18. The GEMS Database is an unencrypted set of data for programming and tabulating the election that is built by the election official for each election using a generic off-the-shelf Microsoft Access database. Anyone with a Microsoft subscription to Microsoft Access can open and read all files.

19. An examination of the GEMS database to review for anomalies, programming errors, misconfiguration, or other irregularities should generally take about 2 days per county, assuming that no material irregularities were detected.

20. There is no proprietary software or programming in the GEMS database. The database has data related to the election, and it should contain only data that is public record.

21. The information contained in the GEMS server can be shared without risk to election security.

22. The review of the GEMS database can be accomplished without access to the GEMS server, by requiring either the Secretary of State or the County to merely copy their GEMS database CD and deliver to Plaintiffs' experts on a CD.

23. The GEMS database should be reviewed at two stages, at the start of the election programming and after the close of the election. The Secretary maintains a CD copy of the original programming prior to votes being entered into the system. A copy can be made in a matter of minutes and given to the Plaintiff's expert for review. The examiner should compare that database to the post-election database for the possibility of unauthorized changes to instructions coded into the database.

24. It is only with access to the full electronic GEMS database that a basic analysis of the programming of the election can take place.

Further Affiant sayeth not.

Matthew Bernhard

Sworn to and subscribed before me:
On this____ day of _____, 2019

_____
Notary Public, State of _____
My Commission Expires: _____ SEAL

Exhibit A

Matthew D. Bernhard
matber@umich.edu

2260 Hayward Street
Ann Arbor, MI 48109
Main/Cell: 281-725-8544

EDUCATION

University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI (2015 – present)
PhD Candidate Student, Computer Science and Engineering
Advisor: J. Alex Halderman
University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI (2015 – 2018)
Master of Science in Computer Science and Engineering
Rice University, Houston, TX (2012 – 2015)
Bachelor of the Arts, Computer Science
Advisor: Dan Wallach

EXPERIENCE

Expert Witness, *Curling v. Kemp* - 2018 - present
- Examined Georgia's voting system and provided consultation and testimony that the voting machines are insecure and unfit for use.

Verified Voting – 2018 - present
Data Science Consultant, Ann Arbor, MI
- Collected, organized, and wrote up technical information about new voting machines being acquired by localities

Cloudflare – San Francisco, CA
Cryptography Intern, *Summer 2017*
- Developed Certificate Transparency monitoring features. Also built an SSL detector to determine what SSL settings customer sites can support.

Computer Security Lab – Rice University, Houston TX
Lead Software Developer, Fall 2012 - Spring 2015
- Lead a team in upgrading and maintaining STAR-Vote, a pedagogical voting system
- Performed usability study on ballot preparation tool
- Explored leveraging utilities provided by the Chromium project to process sandbox and enforce tighter systems controls
- Examined secure data structures such as authenticated dictionaries for implementing a secure web bulletin board

Microsoft Research – Microsoft, Redmond WA
Research Intern, *Summer 2015,* Advised by Josh Benaloh
- Investigated trusted computing features in the Windows operating system
- Designed ASKVote, the Auditably Secure Voting scheme to provide software assurance and election evidence
- Designed voting client for conference demonstration hall survey

TEACHING

Election Cybersecurity - University of Michigan
Graduate Student Instructor, Fall, 2018

- Designed course content, lectured, led discussion section, graded, and provided course support.

Introduction to Computer Security – University of Michigan
Graduate Student Instructor, Winter, 2018

- Lectured, led discussion section, graded, and provided course support.

Securing Digital Democracy – University of Michigan, Coursera
Course Operations Liaison, 2014 – 2018

- Compiled and maintained course resources and facilitated student discussion in message boards for course introducing the field of voting technology in the context of computer security

Fundamentals of Parallel Programming – COMP 322, Rice University
Teaching Assistant, Spring 2015

- Shaped curriculum and led lab discussions for an introductory course on parallel programming featuring Java parallelism and Apache Spark

Introduction to Program Design – COMP 215, Rice University
Teaching Assistant, Fall 2014

- Led lab discussions and wrote and reviewed assignments and exams for an introductory course on Java and Object Oriented Programming

PEER-REVIEWED PUBLICATIONS

On the Usability of HTTPS Deployment
Matthew Bernhard, Jonathan Sharman, Claudia Ziegler Acemyan, Philip Kortum, Dan S. Wallach, and J. Alex Halderman
To appear in *Proc. of CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems Proceedings* (CHI 2019), May 2019.

Bernoulli Ballot-Polling: A Manifest Improvement for Risk-Limiting Audits
Kellie Ottoboni, Matthew Bernhard, J. Alex Halderman, Ron Rivest, and Philip Stark
To appear in *Proc. 4th Workshop on Advances in Secure Electronic Voting* (Voting '19), February 2019

403 Forbidden: A Global View of Geoblocking
Allison McDonald, Matthew Bernhard, Benjamin VanderSloot, Will Scott, J. Alex Halderman, Roya Ensafi
Proc. of the ACM Internet Measurement Conference 2018 (IMC '18), Boston, Massachussetts. November 2018.

Voting Technologies, Recount Methods and Votes in Wisconsin and Michigan in 2016
Walter R. Mebane, Jr. and Matthew Bernhard
Proc. of the 3rd Workshop on Advances in Secure Electronic Voting (Voting '18). Nieuwpoort, Curaçao. March 2, 2018.

Public Evidence from Secret Ballots
Matthew Bernhard, Josh Benaloh, J. Alex Halderman, Ronald L. Rivest, Peter Y. A. Ryan, Philip B. Stark, Vanessa Teague, Poorvi L. Vora, Dan S. Wallach
Proc. of the Second Annual Joint Conference on Electronic Voting (E-Vote-ID '17). Bregenz, Austria. October 24 - 27, 2017.

Understanding the Mirai Botnet
Manos Antonakakis, Tim April, Michael Bailey, Matthew Bernhard, Elie Bursztein, Jaime Cochran, Zakir Durumeric, J. Alex Halderman, Luca Invernizzi, Michalis Kallitsis, Deepak Kumar, Chaz Lever,Zane Ma, Joshua Mason, Damian Menscher, Chad Seaman, Nick Sullivan, Kurt Thomas, Yi Zhou
Proc. of the 26th USENIX Security Symposium (USENIX Security '17). Vancouver, BC, Canada. August 16 - 18, 2017.

Towards a Complete View of the Certificate Ecosystem
Benjamin VanderSloot, Johanna Amann, Matthew Bernhard, Zakir Durumeric, Michael Bailey, and J. Alex Halderman
Proc. 16th ACM Internet Measurement Conference (IMC '16), Santa Monica, California. November 2016

Implementing Attestable Kiosks
Matthew Bernhard, Gabe Stocco, and J. Alex Halderman
Proc. 14th Annual Conference on Privacy, Security, and Trust (PST '16), Auckland, New Zealand. December 2016

SELECTED OTHER PUBLICATIONS

What Might Go Wrong in the 2016 Election
Matthew Bernhard and J. Alex Halderman
Security at Michigan (a Medium publication), November 7th, 2016

The Security Challenges of Online Voting Have Not Gone Away
Robert Cunningham, Matthew Bernhard, and J. Alex Halderman
IEEE Spectrum, November 3rd, 2016

TALKS

Do We Want to Recount or Not? Presidential Election 2016
Matthew Bernhard and Kimball Brace
Election Verification Network (EVN) Symposium 2017, March 15, 2017

Recount 2016: An Uninvited Audit of the U.S. Presidential Election
Matthew Bernhard and J. Alex Halderman
Roadsec 2017, São Paulo, Brazil, November 11th, 2017
Roadsec Pro 2017, São Paulo, Brazil, November 10th, 2017
Electoral Technology Workshop, SBSeg 2017, Brasília, Brazil, November 6th, 2017
33rd Chaos Communication Congress (33c3), December 28th, 2016

MEDIA APPEARANCES

NPR, Reuters, BBC News, The Guardian, Le Monde, Motherboard, Forbes, The New Republic, Gothamist, The New Political, The Outline, and Voice of America News and others

Subject: Re: GEMS Voting Machines 2 Counties.mov
Date: Thursday, January 10, 2019 at 10:38:15 AM Eastern Standard Time
From: Bruce Brown
To: Ringer, Cheryl, Burwell, Kaye, Lowman, David
CC: Bryan Tyson, Vincent Russo, Josh Belinfante, Lindsey, Jr., Edward H., richard.carothers@carmitch.com

Cheryl, Kaye and David,

In our discussion yesterday evening about the inspection of Fulton machines, we had decided that it would be best for me to set out in writing our proposed protocol for the inspections. I had asked Vince Russo for a draft confidentiality order, but if you have one that Fulton would like to use, that might be best (since the Secretary is out of the case and, I believe, Vince is in meetings all day). We are flying in experts for the work, so please let us know as soon as possible when the machines would be made available (or what machines would be made available at what times). Here is our proposed protocol:

Inspection of Fulton County DRE Machines:

Location: Fulton County English Street location

Time and Date: 11 am through 5pm Friday, January 11, 2019, and continuing on Saturday, and until work is completed.

Machines and their related memory cards for inspection:

Early voting machines for Ponce de Leon Library
All election day machines for Grady High School
Election Day machines for Allen Temple AME Church

Fulton County to identify by machine serial number which machines were used in the December 4 runoff or otherwise used, operated or put through maintenance or testing after the November 6, 2018 election.

Complete recap sheets from all polling places should accompany the machines.

Machines should not be turned on, operated, re-programmed or otherwise altered in any way until Plaintiff's experts are on site.

Fulton County to make a full image of the contents of the internal flash chips of the all machines (or possibly a selected subset of machines to be determined after inspection begins) for forensic examination. These copies will be made under the observation of Plaintiff's experts.

After copies are made, plaintiff's experts will select 1 to 2 machines from each polling place location to have staff put into election mode (operating in exact same manner as the machines were in the polling places on when during the 11/6/18 election.)

Plaintiff's representatives will test votes on the machines, and conduct other tests in pre-election

mode, election-mode and post-election mode.

We appreciate Fulton County's prompt cooperation given the expedited schedule.

Many thanks,

Bruce

From: Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>
Date: Wednesday, January 9, 2019 at 9:47 PM
To: Bryan Tyson <bpt@sbllaw.net>, "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>, Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>
Cc: "Burwell, Kaye" <Kaye.Burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>, "Lowman, David" <David.Lowman@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: Re: GEMS Voting Machines 2 Counties.mov

And I am adding Kaye Burwell and David Lowman for Fulton.

Sent via the Samsung Galaxy S7, an AT&T 4G LTE smartphone

-------- Original message --------
From: Bryan Tyson <bpt@sbllaw.net>
Date: 1/9/19 8:06 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>, Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "Ringer, Cheryl" <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, richard.carothers@carmitch.com
Subject: RE: GEMS Voting Machines 2 Counties.mov

Thanks for sending this. I'm adding Dick Carothers for Gwinnett to this email chain as well.

Thanks,

Bryan

Bryan P. Tyson
Strickland Brockington Lewis LLP
678.347.2203 (direct)
bpt@sbllaw.net

-----Original Message-----
From: Bruce Brown [mailto:bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 09, 2019 7:53 PM
To: Vincent Russo; Josh Belinfante; Cheryl Ringer; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H.; Bryan Tyson
Subject: FW: GEMS Voting Machines 2 Counties.mov

On 1/9/19, 5:18 PM, "Bruce Brown" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com> wrote:

https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A__www.dropbox.com_s_e3z30u2zqd44dqd_GEMS-2520Voting-2520Machines-25202-2520Counties.mov-3Fdl-3D0&d=DwIGaQ&c=HPJvcKF4Kk5Wqru1T_u_fOsw8NVQVa3gp0ReMdlciXw&r=y8ep_8HDwKhdpPvTap-X2A7Mfy5KKxC8wOuT4JMy-p8&m=60rdPa6Tc56n5EZ_18HvFPM7CJyCesLASInKmGSTByY&s=w7w6mu-54_TgwFcC3tC0PY14Qo_oyo09bLfqVFWrh4w&e=

From: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Sent: Friday, January 11, 2019 12:05 PM
To: Adele Grubbs
Cc: Vincent Russo; Alexander Denton; laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Josh Belinfante; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H.; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Brian Lake; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov
Subject: Re: Proposed Order: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418
Attachments: 20190111 Lt Gov Plaintiffs' Brief ISO propsed order.pdf

Judge,
Please see the attached brief.
Many thanks,
Bruce Brown

From: Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>
Date: Friday, January 11, 2019 at 12:01 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>, "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Cc: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>, "laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net" <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, "kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov" <kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: RE: Proposed Order: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs:

The inspection that Plaintiffs' are now seeking would not only damage the voting machines, but it would expose highly confidential information about Georgia's voting system that would leave it vulnerable to attack. The Georgia Court of Appeals has already stated that the GEMS database should not be released due to the sensitivity of the information on it. Smith v. DeKalb County, 288 Ga. App. 574 (2007) (copy attached). Conversely, the scope of inspection proposed by Defendants would give Plaintiffs access to information sufficient to determine whether the irregularities alleged in the Petition existed while continuing to protect Georgia's voting system. Attached is an unsigned affidavit of Michael Barnes, Director of the Center for Election Systems in the Secretary of State's Office, further discussing these issues.

In regard to the GEMS inspection, Mr. Barnes states that the GEMS reports that Defendants have proposed to generate from GEMS show how races in the database are related to political districts within the county and how those races are then related to the ballots generated. Accordingly, if there was a programming failure (i.e., failure to relate a race to a given district which results in it not be present on the ballot), it would appear in those reports according to Mr. Barnes.

Additionally, the ballot images report generated from GEMS shows which voter selections were collected by race within each ballot recorded by the DRE. The image report contains all races and associated candidates contained on the ballot, the candidate who was selected, and which candidates were not selected. Defendants' proposed reports from GEMS also show which individual races were present on each ballot style configured by GEMS, which also goes to the alleged irregularity regarding missing races and candidates. Finally, the Secretary of State's Office can perform a HASH verification of the GEMS program installed on the county computer, which would confirm whether the program has been altered. As indicated in Mr. Barnes' affidavit, if there were irregularities or failures with respect to programming, vote recordation, the appearance of candidates on a ballot in a given election, they would appear in these reports.

Next, in regard to the DRE machines, the inspection that we have proposed relates directly to the DRE irregularities alleged by Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs have alleged that candidate names were missing from ballots on DRE machines (Pet. at ¶ 41), issues with calibration of DRE touchscreens (Pet. at ¶ 41, 47), cancelled ballots on machines (Pet. at ¶ 44), and discrepancies in the vote counts on precinct poll tapes (Pet. at ¶ 46). As indicated in the attached affidavit of Michael Barnes, inspecting the DRE machines in post-election mode, using post-election memory cards that were used in the voting locations identified by Plaintiffs in the Petition would allow Plaintiffs to discover whether any of these alleged irregularities actually existed, to the extent there were any.

In contrast, the DRE inspection proposed by Plaintiffs would require removing the memory chip from the motherboard on each machine, which damages the machines and prevents elections officials from using them ever again. Like the GEMS access proposed by Plaintiffs, Mr. Barnes has also stated that Defendants' proposed DRE inspection would expose sensitive information that would give a roadmap for hacking the system. The Ballot Station program on the DRE only opens files that reside in a specifically named folder and then only opens files in that folder that have specific file extensions. According to Mr. Barnes' affidavit, the data is copied directly from a memory card, someone would know how files are organized and named on the memory card. If a computer researcher wanted to "hack" the system, that person would want to know what file folders and file extensions Ballot Station recognizes.

Defendants' proposed inspection for the DRE machines includes the post-election memory cards. According to Mr. Barnes, if one has the memory card used in an Election, one has a copy of what is on the machine's Internal Memory already

Defendants' have proposed a scope of discovery that is in line with our understanding of your intent at the hearing on Wednesday. Plaintiffs proposed inspection would not only damage voting machines, but it would also provide a roadmap or schematic of the system that someone would need in order to create virus or show how one could be created. Plaintiffs' proposed Order would sanction a fishing expedition that is beyond the factual allegations in the Petition, so we urge the Court to adopt Defendants' Proposed Order.

Kind regards,

Vincent Russo

Vincent R. Russo |*404.856.3260* | ROBBINS

From: Adele Grubbs [mailto:adele.grubbs@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, January 11, 2019 7:09 AM
To: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>

Cc: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>; laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov
Subject: Re: Proposed Order: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Please have ALL arguments about this order to me by Noon today so I can enter the order today.
Thank you.

Adele Grubbs
Senior Judge

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 11, 2019, at 12:48 AM, Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com> wrote:

Judge Grubbs:

Further to my email below on Defendants' proposed order (attached to Mr. Denton's 4:59 PM email), Plaintiffs have no objections to the changes Defendants propose to Parts A, but would urge the Court to adopt Plaintiffs' Part B, for the reasons set forth in the Affidavit of Plaintiffs' expert Matt Bernhard, attached. I have attached to this email a Proposed Order that does just this: takes the Defendants' version of Part A, but Plaintiffs' prior version of Part B, the discovery provisions for the specific records and equipment included in the Court's ruling at the January 9, 2017 hearing. Plaintiffs have included in Part B the sentence proposed by Defendants – "Destructive testing shall not be permitted." (I note that no notary public was available tonight to notarize Mr. Bernhard's affidavit (he is out-of-state), but we will submit a notarized affidavit when it becomes available).

Mr. Bernhard explains in his affidavit that the inspection proposed by Defendants would be virtually useless, and how that the inspection that Plaintiffs proposes is the only way to discover system malfunctions and mis-programming.

Mr. Bernhard also references a Georgia law relating to the internal memory that is right on point. Georgia State Election Board Rule 183-1-12-.02 (6)(d) states:

> *The election results, ballot styles, ballot images, and other information for each election stored in the internal memory storage of each DRE unit shall be maintained for a minimum of one month*

following each election after which time the results may be erased provided that there are no election contests pending concerning such election.

This Rule requires the counties to preserve the internal memory of the DRE machines for the specific purposes of having that memory available for inspection and review in an election contest. Fulton County's position that this information should not be made available in this election contest, therefore, is inconsistent with this rule and, for the additional reasons explained in Mr. Bernhard's affidavit, completely without merit.

As to the GEMS database, Mr. Bernhard explains that this can easily be produced on a CD to Plaintiffs' experts; it is not proprietary information and is crucially necessary for the examination.

Many thanks,

Bruce Brown

From: "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Date: Thursday, January 10, 2019 at 6:07 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>, "laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net" <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7Jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7Jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, "kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov" <kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: Re: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Dear Judge Grubbs:

With respect to Defendants' proposed order, relating specifically to discovery and inspection, Plaintiffs will be submitting later this evening a statement from one of our experts (a computer scientist specializing in voting systems) which will explain how the limitations that defendants would impose will render the inspection useless for the purposes of detecting the causes of any malfunction, or

misprogramming, either in the GEMS database or DRE machines, and is not a forensic examination that may detect programming errors (which is what we understand the Court instructed). We urge the Court to withhold considering the proposed order until the Court has the opportunity to consider that submission.

Respectfully,

Bruce Brown

From: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>
Date: Thursday, January 10, 2019 at 4:59 PM
To: Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>, Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: "laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net" <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7Jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7Jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, "kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov" <kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: RE: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs,

The Defendants have collaborated to prepare the attached proposed order for your consideration. It tracks the one Plaintiffs' counsel provided earlier today except as to those specific portions where they are not in agreement.

Thank you.

Alexander Denton |*404.856.3276* | ROBBINS

From: Josh Belinfante
Sent: Thursday, January 10, 2019 12:59 PM
To: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>; Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov;

Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>
Subject: RE: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs:

Good afternoon. We have substantial agreement with portions of the proposed order but are drafting an alternative for your consideration. We are working to submit it as soon as possible today.

Thank you and best regards,
JB

From: Bruce Brown [mailto:bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 10, 2019 12:13 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmic.net; Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>
Subject: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Dear Judge Grubbs:

I have attached Plaintiffs' proposed order on the motions heard by Your Honor yesterday. I circulated a draft of this proposed order yesterday afternoon to defendants' counsel, and again this morning, and have not received a response as to what changes they would recommend to it. Given the expedited nature of these proceedings, I thought it best to go ahead and submit Plaintiffs' proposed order without further delay.

We did have a conference call with defendants' counsel on discovery yesterday evening, both with respect to the terms of the proposed order and logistics. The parties did **not** agree on language for the proposed order with respect to discovery, but we did make progress on logistics, and Fulton County is readying machines for inspection.

I note that Part B(2) of the attached, which address the discovery of the DRE machines, references the paragraphs of the complaint that allege specific instances of machine malfunctions, except for paragraphs 42 and 43, which concerned locations in DeKalb County.

Many thanks,

Bruce Brown

Attorney for Plaintiffs

<20190111 Plaintiffs' Proposed Order.docx>

<20180111 Lt Gov Bernhard Affidavit Final Signed.pdf>

ROBBINS

GA007577

ROBBINS

GA007578

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, Plaintiffs, v. ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al., Defendants.	Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

PLAINTIFFS' BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED ORDER REGARDING INSPECTION OF CERTAIN EQUIPMENT

This brief addresses the two key differences between the parties' proposed orders on inspection and discovery of electronic election equipment: the GEMS database and the DRE machines.

A. GEMS Database

Defendants contend that discovery of the GEMS database should be limited to the output of the databases that is publicly available under the Open Records Act. As explained by Plaintiffs' expert Matt Bernhard in his affidavit, this information is virtually useless in determining the causes, quantification, and impact of programming errors or other machine malfunctions.

Plaintiffs' proposed order, on the other hand, would simply require Fulton and Gwinnett Counties to provide the GEMS database, an unencrypted and non-

confidential electronic database that can be reviewed efficiently when an expert is provided with an electronic copy. Such copies can be created in a matter of minutes by the Defendant counties. The GEMS database contains routine election data and no proprietary software or trade secrets. The county election data is simply loaded into a generic Microsoft Access database to create the GEMS database, which contains no proprietary source code or highly sensitive information. Unlike the print-outs that the Defendants would produce, the GEMS database may hold important clues as to where mis-programming, viruses, malfunctions or hacks occurred, and the number of machines and votes potentially affected.

The GEMS database would be the first, most efficient, and easiest place an expert would look for the cause and to quantify the impact of the irregularities. Both the County and the Secretary of State keep copies of the pre-election and post-election GEMS databases. Either office could produce and transmit an electronic copy within minutes, as Fulton County was asked to do on Wednesday, January 9.

As noted in the Affidavit of Matthew Bernhard, the GEMS database is merely a commercially available Microsoft database product (MSAccess), and can be read by anyone with a subscription to the Microsoft program. It contains election data, and no proprietary or sensitive information. All information in the database should be public record under Georgia's open record laws. There is no

reasonable way to compromise the security of future elections by reviewing the GEMS database files. To illustrate the non-proprietary nature of the information in a GEMS database, Plaintiffs will promptly provide the Court and parties with a link to the GEMS database from Marin County, California and illustrative screenshots of example contents, in a supplemental exhibit not available at the time of this filing.

Defendants may contend that the GEMS database contains proprietary, sensitive or confidential information. This is simply not the case, as illustrated by the decision of other states' to makes their GEMS' databases a public record. But even if there were some truth to Defendants' assertions, that concern is addressed by the execution of an appropriate confidentiality order. Defendants cannot avoid liability simply by claiming that evidence is confidential – not in an election contest and not in any other case.

B. DRE Machines

There are two basic disagreements between the parties concerning the DRE machines: the scope of what may be examined on each DRE, and which DREs may be examined.

1. Scope of Investigation

As to the scope, Defendants would limit the "inspection" to a running of the machines in "post-election" mode, again, a virtually useless exercise that is unlikely to yield any meaningful information. Plaintiffs instead propose what was

discussed at the January 9, 2019 hearing: a normal forensic examination that would include review of the internal memory of the machines.

As to the internal memory of the DRE machines, Georgia's Election Code Rule contemplates that the internal memory of the DRE machines would be an available record for review by parties in an election contest involving DRE machines. Georgia State Election Board Rule 183-1-12-.02 (6)(d) provides:

> *The election results, ballot styles, ballot images, and other information for each election* ***stored in the internal memory storage of each DRE unit*** *shall be maintained for a minimum of one month following each election after which time the results may be erased provided that there are no election contests pending concerning such election.*

As Mr. Bernhard explains in his affidavit, inspection of the internal memory is vitally necessary to determine the causes and impact of any programming errors. The internal memory includes the programming and operating information, both of which must be reviewed for malfunction, viruses, tampering or mis-programming.

2. DRE Machines to Inspect

Plaintiffs' proposed order is based on its understanding of what the Court ruled at the hearing. At the hearing, the Court referenced the specific location that were identified in the Petition, noting specifically that the locations in DeKalb County were not included. This is why Plaintiffs have referenced the non-DeKalb locations identified in the Petition, which are

paragraphs 40 and 41, and paragraphs 44 – 48, which include Henry County, Fulton County and Worthy County.

Note on Current Status

Just four business days before trial, neither the Defendants nor the Secretary of State (who owns the equipment and has copies of the GEMS databases) have not made any records or equipment available for inspection, and have not given Plaintiffs any indication of when this will be done. This is despite repeated requests from Plaintiffs for this information. Plaintiffs assume Defendants are acting in good faith, but nevertheless the effect of their actions is to "run out the clock" and prevent any meaningful investigation of the equipment prior to trial.

Plaintiffs have been diligent in prosecuting this case and seeking this discovery. Plaintiffs' motion for this inspection was filed six weeks ago, on November 29, 2018, and Plaintiffs have been doing everything possible to expedite this process, including obtaining relevant documents through a cumbersome and expensive process of public records requests to attempt to build a database of election records for quick reference when analyzing records that cannot be reasonably obtained except through the discovery process.

Plaintiffs recognize the impossibility of conducting examinations and preparing meaningful expert's reports for the Court prior to the scheduled trial on January 17, 2019. The election contest statute gives this Court plenary power over discovery and scheduling so that the Court can gain a "full and proper

understanding" of the case. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(b). Although time is of the essence in an election contest case, the election contest statute makes it clear that sufficient time should be taken to fully explore the facts and issues involved. The statute gives the Court the power to fix multiple hearing dates, to issue decrees, to issue subpoenas, and to compel and take testimony. Election contest cases are unusual is some respects, of course, but the election contest statute repeatedly states that the case is supposed to proceed "according to the course of practice in other civil cases," and conducted "in like manner and to the same extent as in other civil cases litigated before such court," all for the purpose of allowing the Court to gain a "full and proper understanding" of the matter. *Id.*

A proper forensic examination of this electronic equipment is absolutely essential so that the Court can gain an understanding of the causes and extent of malfunctions that plagued the November 2018 election. This is not a fishing expedition: Plaintiffs have already obtained hard evidence and eye-witness accounts of machine malfunctions and irregularities from all corners of the state, as well as apparent tabulation errors, and have presented and opinions from two leading experts who have concluded that the only plausible explanation for the aberrant election result pattern is system malfunction. Deliberate and exacting expert review of electronic records stored in the Defendant's equipment and files are essential for this Court's understanding of the impact of the malfunctions on the election results.

Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court order Defendants to immediately produce the specific electronic records and machines as contemplated in the Court's order of January 9, 2019. Undertaking this effort immediately may provide an established path for additional discovery, including certain paper records, such as poll tapes, that will be required and promptly requested by Plaintiffs.

Respectfully submitted this 11th day of January, 2019.

/s/ Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Georgia Bar No. 064460
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com
Bruce P. Brown Law LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE, Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700

Attorney for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing via the Court's e-filing system to the attorneys of record who have made appearances.

This 11th day of January, 2019.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown

From: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, January 11, 2019 1:30 PM
To: Vincent Russo
Cc: Bruce Brown; Alexander Denton; laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Josh Belinfante; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H.; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Brian Lake; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov
Subject: Re: Proposed Order: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418
Attachments: 20190111132600.pdf

Counsel,

Attached is the Order entered in this case. There will b no continuance or Special Master. This is an election contest only case that must be expedited.

If there are issue as the Plaintiffs conduct of discovery I will take them up by e mail or conference call if necessary.

Adele Grubbs
Senior Judge

On Fri, Jan 11, 2019 at 1:01 PM Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com> wrote:

Judge Grubbs,

Please note that the affidavit of Michael Barnes was signed and notarized. I had a typo in my email below referring to it as unsigned.

Apologies for any confusion.

Thanks,

Vincent

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 11, 2019, at 12:00 PM, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com> wrote:

Judge Grubbs:

The inspection that Plaintiffs' are now seeking would not only damage the voting machines, but it would expose highly confidential information about Georgia's voting system that would leave it vulnerable to attack. The Georgia Court of Appeals has already stated that the GEMS database should not be released due to the

sensitivity of the information on it. Smith v. DeKalb County, 288 Ga. App. 574 (2007) (copy attached). Conversely, the scope of inspection proposed by Defendants would give Plaintiffs access to information sufficient to determine whether the irregularities alleged in the Petition existed while continuing to protect Georgia's voting system. Attached is an unsigned affidavit of Michael Barnes, Director of the Center for Election Systems in the Secretary of State's Office, further discussing these issues.

In regard to the GEMS inspection, Mr. Barnes states that the GEMS reports that Defendants have proposed to generate from GEMS show how races in the database are related to political districts within the county and how those races are then related to the ballots generated. Accordingly, if there was a programming failure (i.e., failure to relate a race to a given district which results in it not be present on the ballot), it would appear in those reports according to Mr. Barnes.

Additionally, the ballot images report generated from GEMS shows which voter selections were collected by race within each ballot recorded by the DRE. The image report contains all races and associated candidates contained on the ballot, the candidate who was selected, and which candidates were not selected. Defendants' proposed reports from GEMS also show which individual races were present on each ballot style configured by GEMS, which also goes to the alleged irregularity regarding missing races and candidates. Finally, the Secretary of State's Office can perform a HASH verification of the GEMS program installed on the county computer, which would confirm whether the program has been altered. As indicated in Mr. Barnes' affidavit, if there were irregularities or failures with respect to programming, vote recordation, the appearance of candidates on a ballot in a given election, they would appear in these reports.

Next, in regard to the DRE machines, the inspection that we have proposed relates directly to the DRE irregularities alleged by Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs have alleged that candidate names were missing from ballots on DRE machines (Pet. at ¶ 41), issues with calibration of DRE touchscreens (Pet. at ¶ 41, 47), cancelled ballots on machines (Pet. at ¶ 44), and discrepancies in the vote counts on precinct poll tapes (Pet. at ¶ 46). As indicated in the attached affidavit of Michael Barnes, inspecting the DRE machines in post-election mode, using post-election memory cards that were used in the voting locations identified by Plaintiffs in the Petition would allow Plaintiffs to discover whether any of these alleged irregularities actually existed, to the extent there were any.

In contrast, the DRE inspection proposed by Plaintiffs would require removing the memory chip from the motherboard on each machine, which damages the machines and prevents elections officials from using them ever again. Like the GEMS access proposed by Plaintiffs, Mr. Barnes has also stated that Defendants' proposed DRE inspection would expose sensitive information that would give a roadmap for hacking the system. The Ballot Station program on the DRE only opens files that reside in a specifically named folder and then only opens files in that folder that have specific file extensions. According to Mr. Barnes' affidavit, the data is copied directly from a memory card, someone would know how files are organized and named on the memory card. If a computer researcher wanted to "hack" the system, that person would want to know what file folders and file extensions Ballot Station recognizes.

Defendants' proposed inspection for the DRE machines includes the post-election memory cards. According to Mr. Barnes, if one has the memory card used in an Election, one has a copy of what is on the machine's Internal Memory already

Defendants' have proposed a scope of discovery that is in line with our understanding of your intent at the hearing on Wednesday. Plaintiffs proposed inspection would not only damage voting machines, but it would also provide a roadmap or schematic of the system that someone would need in order to create virus or show how one could be created. Plaintiffs' proposed Order would sanction a fishing expedition that is beyond the factual allegations in the Petition, so we urge the Court to adopt Defendants' Proposed Order.

Kind regards,

Vincent Russo

Vincent R. Russo |*404.856.3260* | **<image002.jpg>**

From: Adele Grubbs [mailto:adele.grubbs@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, January 11, 2019 7:09 AM
To: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Cc: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>; laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov
Subject: Re: Proposed Order: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Please have ALL arguments about this order to me by Noon today so I can enter the order today.

Thank you.

Adele Grubbs

Senior Judge

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 11, 2019, at 12:48 AM, Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com> wrote:

Judge Grubbs:

Further to my email below on Defendants' proposed order (attached to Mr. Denton's 4:59 PM email), Plaintiffs have no objections to the changes Defendants propose to Parts A, but would urge the Court to adopt Plaintiffs' Part B, for the reasons set forth in the Affidavit of Plaintiffs' expert Matt Bernhard, attached. I have attached to this email a Proposed Order that does just this: takes the Defendants' version of Part A, but Plaintiffs' prior version of Part B, the discovery provisions for the specific records and equipment included in the Court's ruling at the January 9, 2017 hearing. Plaintiffs have included in Part B the sentence proposed by Defendants – "Destructive testing shall not be permitted." (I note that no notary public was available tonight to notarize Mr. Bernhard's affidavit (he is out-of-state), but we will submit a notarized affidavit when it becomes available).

Mr. Bernhard explains in his affidavit that the inspection proposed by Defendants would be virtually useless, and how that the inspection that Plaintiffs proposes is the only way to discover system malfunctions and mis-programming.

Mr. Bernhard also references a Georgia law relating to the internal memory that is right on point. Georgia State Election Board Rule 183-1-12-.02 (6)(d) states:

> *The election results, ballot styles, ballot images, and other information for each election stored in the internal memory storage of each DRE unit shall be maintained for a minimum of one month following each election after which time the results may be erased*

provided that there are no election contests pending concerning such election.

This Rule requires the counties to preserve the internal memory of the DRE machines for the specific purposes of having that memory available for inspection and review in an election contest. Fulton County's position that this information should not be made available in this election contest, therefore, is inconsistent with this rule and, for the additional reasons explained in Mr. Bernhard's affidavit, completely without merit.

As to the GEMS database, Mr. Bernhard explains that this can easily be produced on a CD to Plaintiffs' experts; it is not proprietary information and is crucially necessary for the examination.

Many thanks,

Bruce Brown

From: "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Date: Thursday, January 10, 2019 at 6:07 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>, "laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net" <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7Jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7Jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, "kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov" <kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: Re: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Dear Judge Grubbs:

With respect to Defendants' proposed order, relating specifically to discovery and inspection, Plaintiffs will be submitting later this evening a statement from one of our experts (a computer scientist specializing in voting systems) which will explain how the limitations that defendants would impose will render the inspection useless for the purposes of detecting the causes of any malfunction, or misprogramming, either in the GEMS database or DRE machines, and is not a forensic examination that may detect programming errors (which is what we understand the Court instructed). We urge the Court to withhold considering the proposed order until the Court has the opportunity to consider that submission.

Respectfully,

Bruce Brown

From: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>
Date: Thursday, January 10, 2019 at 4:59 PM
To: Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>, Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: "laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net" <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7Jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7Jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, "kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov" <kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: RE: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs,

The Defendants have collaborated to prepare the attached proposed order for your consideration. It tracks the one Plaintiffs' counsel provided earlier today except as to those specific portions where they are not in agreement.

Thank you.

Alexander Denton |*404.856.3276* | ROBBINS

From: Josh Belinfante
Sent: Thursday, January 10, 2019 12:59 PM
To: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>; Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>
Subject: RE: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs:

Good afternoon. We have substantial agreement with portions of the proposed order but are drafting an alternative for your consideration. We are working to submit it as soon as possible today.

Thank you and best regards,

JB

From: Bruce Brown [mailto:bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 10, 2019 12:13 PM

To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>
Subject: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Dear Judge Grubbs:

I have attached Plaintiffs' proposed order on the motions heard by Your Honor yesterday. I circulated a draft of this proposed order yesterday afternoon to defendants' counsel, and again this morning, and have not received a response as to what changes they would recommend to it. Given the expedited nature of these proceedings, I thought it best to go ahead and submit Plaintiffs' proposed order without further delay.

We did have a conference call with defendants' counsel on discovery yesterday evening, both with respect to the terms of the proposed order and logistics. The parties did **not** agree on language for the proposed order with respect to discovery, but we did make progress on logistics, and Fulton County is readying machines for inspection.

I note that Part B(2) of the attached, which address the discovery of the DRE machines, references the paragraphs of the complaint that allege specific instances of machine malfunctions, except for paragraphs 42 and 43, which concerned locations in DeKalb County.

Many thanks,

Bruce Brown

Attorney for Plaintiffs

<20190111 Plaintiffs' Proposed Order.docx>

<20180111 Lt Gov Bernhard Affidavit Final Signed.pdf>

<Smith v. DeKalb County_ 288 Ga. App. 574.pdf>
<Michael Barnes Affidavit re discovery protocol pdf.pdf>

ROBBINS

GA007595

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, et al., **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV313418**

ORDER ON PENDING MOTIONS

This case came before the Court for a non-evidentiary hearing on the following pending motions: (a) the motions to dismiss filed by each of the defendants: Defendant Robyn A Crittenden ("the Secretary), Defendant Geoff Duncan ("Duncan"), Defendant Fulton County Board of Registration and Elections ("Fulton County Board"), and Defendant Gwinnett County Board of Registration and Elections ("the Gwinnett Board") (collectively "the Motions to Dismiss"); (b) Plaintiffs' Emergency Motion for Inspection of Electronic Election Equipment and Production of Documents ("Plaintiffs' Motion for Inspection"); and (c) Plaintiffs'

GA007596

Motion for Perservation of Specific Electronic Election Records in Gordon and Murray Counties. After considering the briefs and responses, and arguments of consel, the Court rules as follows:

A. Motions to Dismiss

The Motions to Dismiss raised several distinct issues, ruled upon below:

1. Secretary as Proper Defendant

The Secretary moved to dismiss Count I of the Petition (the Election Contest Claim) as to the Secretary, arguing that she is not a proper "Defendant" for purposes of Plaintiffs' Election Contest Claim. The Court agrees. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2) defines "Defendant" as "(C) the election superintendent or superintendents who conducted the contested primary or election." O.C.G.A. § 21-2-2(35) in term defines "superintendent" as follows:

> Either the judge of the probate court of a county or the county board of elections, the county board of elections and registration, the joint city-county board of election, or the joint city-county board of elections and registrations, if a county has such.

The Secretary does not fall within any of the definitions of "superintendent."

Plaintiffs argue, among other things, that the definition of "election superintendent" found in Chapter 4 of Title 21 should control. O.C.G.A. § 21-4-3(A) defines "election superintendent": "In the case of any elected state officers, the Secretary of State." This definition, however, is found in Chapter 4, not

Chapter 2, and this election contest concerns Chapter 2. The Court disagrees, and holds that the definition of "superintendent" in the election contest chapter controls and, since the Secretary does not fall within that definition, the Secretary is not a proper party defendant to Count I and should be dismissed from that Count.

2. *Whether 159 Counties are Necessary Parties*

Fulton County Board and Gwinnett County Board argue that the other 157 election superintendents must be joined in the state-wide election contest because all 159 election superintendents "conducted the contested…election." O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2)(C). That section would allow other counties to be joined to this action, but does not require such joinder. In addition, O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(b) gives the Court "plenary power, throughout the area in which the contested primary or election was conducted, to make, issue, and enforce all necessary orders, rules, processes, and decrees for a full and proper understanding and final determination and enforcement of the decision of every such case." The joinder of the other 157 counties, therefore, is not necessary at this time, and the motions to this extent are denied.

3. *Service of Process*

In their briefs, Defendants argued that the Petition should be dismissed because service had not been perfected on the Defendants. Plaintiffs have now served Defendants so the motions to this extent are denied.

4. *Coalition as Party to Count I*

Defendants contend that Plaintiff Coalition for Good Governance is not a proper plaintiff to Count I, the election contest. Coalition does not disagree and has stipulated that it is not a party to Count I. Defendants' motion to this extent is granted as unopposed.

5. *Failure to State a Claim*

Defendants argue that the Petition fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. The Court disagrees in part. Defendants have failed to carry their burden of showing that Plaintiffs "would not be entitled to relief under any state of provable facts asserted" in the complaint or that Plaintiffs "could not possibly introduce evidence within the framework of the complaint sufficient to warrant a grant of the relief sought" as to Count 1 of the Petition. *Scouten v. Amerisave Mortg. Corp.*, 283 Ga. 72, 73 (2008) (citations omitted). The motions for failure to state a claim, therefore, are denied as to Count 1.

GA007599

Notwithstanding the foregoing, by separate Order dated January 9, 2019, the Court granted the motions in part, dismissing Counts 2 and 3 of the Petition, which sought relief under the Fourteenth Amendment for violations of due process and equal protection, respectively, for failure to state a claim.

B. Plaintiffs' Motion for Inspection

Plaintiffs' Motion for Inspection is granted in part, as follows:

1. Plaintiffs (i.e., only Rhonda J. Martin, Smythe Duval, and Jeanne Dufort) are entitled to inspect the "GEMS" reports or complete electronic copies thereof for the November 2018 elections that are maintained by the Gwinnett County Board and the Fulton County Board. Specifically, Plaintiffs are entitled to the following GEMS reports maintained by the Gwinnett County and Fulton County Boards:

a. Base Precincts With Races Report

b. Ballot Image Report

c. Vote Center With Cards Report

d. Statement of Votes Cast Report

e. Summary Report

Reports shall be produced electronically or in hard copy. Only personnel of the Secretary of State or Fulton or Gwinnett Counties may access the GEMS servers

directly in connection with this inspection. The Plaintiffs shal be provided with copies of the information on CDs upon paying for the

2. Plaintiffs (i.e., only Rhonda J. Martin, Smythe Duval, and Jeanne Dufort) are entitled to inspect the DRE machines in post-election mode, using post-election memory cards that were used in the voting locations identified by Plaintiffs in the Petition, paragraphs 40,41, 44, 45, and 46. Plaintiffs may also examine the Internal memory storage of each such DRE unit. Plaintiffs are ordered not to in any way damage the DRE machines or the information contained therein, nor may Plaintiffs copy, image, save, or retain the DRE machines or the information contained therein. Plaintiffs may not upload or introduce any information into the DRE machines. Destructive testing shall not be permitted.

3. Defendants and Plaintiffs shall enter into an appropriate protective order preserving the confidentiality of confidential information, if any, obtained in this discovery prior to the commencement of any inspection authorized under this Order.

C. Plaintiffs' Motion Relating to Gordon and Murray Counties

This motion, which concerned the January 8, 2019 elections in Gordon and Murray, is denied as moot.

SO ORDERED this 11 day of January, 2019.

Honorable Adele P. Grubbs
Senior Judge, State of Georgia

~~Prepared by: Counsel for Defendants~~

From: Vincent Russo
Sent: Friday, January 11, 2019 12:00 PM
To: Adele Grubbs; Bruce Brown
Cc: Alexander Denton; laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Josh Belinfante; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H.; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Brian Lake; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov
Subject: RE: Proposed Order: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418
Attachments: Smith v. DeKalb County_ 288 Ga. App. 574.pdf; Michael Barnes Affidavit re discovery protocol pdf.pdf

Judge Grubbs:

The inspection that Plaintiffs' are now seeking would not only damage the voting machines, but it would expose highly confidential information about Georgia's voting system that would leave it vulnerable to attack. The Georgia Court of Appeals has already stated that the GEMS database should not be released due to the sensitivity of the information on it. Smith v. DeKalb County, 288 Ga. App. 574 (2007) (copy attached). Conversely, the scope of inspection proposed by Defendants would give Plaintiffs access to information sufficient to determine whether the irregularities alleged in the Petition existed while continuing to protect Georgia's voting system. Attached is an unsigned affidavit of Michael Barnes, Director of the Center for Election Systems in the Secretary of State's Office, further discussing these issues.

In regard to the GEMS inspection, Mr. Barnes states that the GEMS reports that Defendants have proposed to generate from GEMS show how races in the database are related to political districts within the county and how those races are then related to the ballots generated. Accordingly, if there was a programming failure (i.e., failure to relate a race to a given district which results in it not be present on the ballot), it would appear in those reports according to Mr. Barnes.

Additionally, the ballot images report generated from GEMS shows which voter selections were collected by race within each ballot recorded by the DRE. The image report contains all races and associated candidates contained on the ballot, the candidate who was selected, and which candidates were not selected. Defendants' proposed reports from GEMS also show which individual races were present on each ballot style configured by GEMS, which also goes to the alleged irregularity regarding missing races and candidates. Finally, the Secretary of State's Office can perform a HASH verification of the GEMS program installed on the county computer, which would confirm whether the program has been altered. As indicated in Mr. Barnes' affidavit, if there were irregularities or failures with respect to programming, vote recordation, the appearance of candidates on a ballot in a given election, they would appear in these reports.

Next, in regard to the DRE machines, the inspection that we have proposed relates directly to the DRE irregularities alleged by Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs have alleged that candidate names were missing from ballots on DRE machines (Pet. at ¶ 41), issues with calibration of DRE touchscreens (Pet. at ¶ 41, 47), cancelled ballots on machines (Pet. at ¶ 44), and discrepancies in the vote counts on precinct poll tapes (Pet. at ¶ 46). As indicated in the attached affidavit of Michael Barnes, inspecting the DRE machines in post-election mode, using post-election memory cards that were used in the voting locations identified by Plaintiffs in the Petition would allow Plaintiffs to discover whether any of these alleged irregularities actually existed, to the extent there were any.

In contrast, the DRE inspection proposed by Plaintiffs would require removing the memory chip from the motherboard on each machine, which damages the machines and prevents elections officials from using them ever again. Like the

GEMS access proposed by Plaintiffs, Mr. Barnes has also stated that Defendants' proposed DRE inspection would expose sensitive information that would give a roadmap for hacking the system. The Ballot Station program on the DRE only opens files that reside in a specifically named folder and then only opens files in that folder that have specific file extensions. According to Mr. Barnes' affidavit, the data is copied directly from a memory card, someone would know how files are organized and named on the memory card. If a computer researcher wanted to "hack" the system, that person would want to know what file folders and file extensions Ballot Station recognizes.

Defendants' proposed inspection for the DRE machines includes the post-election memory cards. According to Mr. Barnes, if one has the memory card used in an Election, one has a copy of what is on the machine's Internal Memory already

Defendants' have proposed a scope of discovery that is in line with our understanding of your intent at the hearing on Wednesday. Plaintiffs proposed inspection would not only damage voting machines, but it would also provide a roadmap or schematic of the system that someone would need in order to create virus or show how one could be created. Plaintiffs' proposed Order would sanction a fishing expedition that is beyond the factual allegations in the Petition, so we urge the Court to adopt Defendants' Proposed Order.

Kind regards,

Vincent Russo

Vincent R. Russo |*404.856.3260* | ROBBINS

From: Adele Grubbs [mailto:adele.grubbs@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, January 11, 2019 7:09 AM
To: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Cc: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>; laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov
Subject: Re: Proposed Order: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Please have ALL arguments about this order to me by Noon today so I can enter the order today.
Thank you.

Adele Grubbs
Senior Judge

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 11, 2019, at 12:48 AM, Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com> wrote:

Judge Grubbs:

Further to my email below on Defendants' proposed order (attached to Mr. Denton's 4:59 PM email), Plaintiffs have no objections to the changes Defendants propose to Parts A, but would urge the Court to adopt Plaintiffs' Part B, for the reasons set forth in the Affidavit of Plaintiffs' expert Matt Bernhard, attached. I have attached to this email a Proposed Order that does just this: takes the Defendants' version of Part A, but Plaintiffs' prior version of Part B, the discovery provisions for the specific records and equipment included in the Court's ruling at the January 9, 2017 hearing. Plaintiffs have included in Part B the sentence proposed by Defendants – "Destructive testing shall not be permitted." (I note that no notary public was available tonight to notarize Mr. Bernhard's affidavit (he is out-of-state), but we will submit a notarized affidavit when it becomes available).

Mr. Bernhard explains in his affidavit that the inspection proposed by Defendants would be virtually useless, and how that the inspection that Plaintiffs proposes is the only way to discover system malfunctions and mis-programming.

Mr. Bernhard also references a Georgia law relating to the internal memory that is right on point. Georgia State Election Board Rule 183-1-12-.02 (6)(d) states:

> *The election results, ballot styles, ballot images, and other information for each election stored in the internal memory storage of each DRE unit shall be maintained for a minimum of one month following each election after which time the results may be erased provided that there are no election contests pending concerning such election.*

This Rule requires the counties to preserve the internal memory of the DRE machines for the specific purposes of having that memory available for inspection and review in an election contest. Fulton County's position that this information should not be made available in this election contest, therefore, is inconsistent with this rule and, for the additional reasons explained in Mr. Bernhard's affidavit, completely without merit.

As to the GEMS database, Mr. Bernhard explains that this can easily be produced on a CD to Plaintiffs' experts; it is not proprietary information and is crucially necessary for the examination.

Many thanks,

Bruce Brown

From: "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Date: Thursday, January 10, 2019 at 6:07 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>, "laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net" <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7Jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7Jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, "kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov" <kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: Re: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Dear Judge Grubbs:

With respect to Defendants' proposed order, relating specifically to discovery and inspection, Plaintiffs will be submitting later this evening a statement from one of our experts (a computer scientist specializing in voting systems) which will explain how the limitations that defendants would impose will render the inspection useless for the purposes of detecting the causes of any malfunction, or misprogramming, either in the GEMS database or DRE machines, and is not a forensic examination that may detect programming errors (which is what we understand the Court instructed). We urge the Court to withhold considering the proposed order until the Court has the opportunity to consider that submission.

Respectfully,

Bruce Brown

From: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>
Date: Thursday, January 10, 2019 at 4:59 PM
To: Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>, Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: "laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net" <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, Cheryl Ringer

<Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7Jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7Jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, "kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov" <kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: RE: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs,

The Defendants have collaborated to prepare the attached proposed order for your consideration. It tracks the one Plaintiffs' counsel provided earlier today except as to those specific portions where they are not in agreement.

Thank you.

Alexander Denton |*404.856.3276* | ROBBINS

From: Josh Belinfante
Sent: Thursday, January 10, 2019 12:59 PM
To: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>; Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>
Subject: RE: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs:

Good afternoon. We have substantial agreement with portions of the proposed order but are drafting an alternative for your consideration. We are working to submit it as soon as possible today.

Thank you and best regards,
JB

From: Bruce Brown [mailto:bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 10, 2019 12:13 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>
Subject: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Dear Judge Grubbs:

I have attached Plaintiffs' proposed order on the motions heard by Your Honor yesterday. I circulated a draft of this proposed order yesterday afternoon to defendants' counsel, and again this morning, and have not received a response as to what changes they would recommend to it. Given the expedited nature of these proceedings, I thought it best to go ahead and submit Plaintiffs' proposed order without further delay.

We did have a conference call with defendants' counsel on discovery yesterday evening, both with respect to the terms of the proposed order and logistics. The parties did **not** agree on language for the proposed order with respect to discovery, but we did make progress on logistics, and Fulton County is readying machines for inspection.

I note that Part B(2) of the attached, which address the discovery of the DRE machines, references the paragraphs of the complaint that allege specific instances of machine malfunctions, except for paragraphs 42 and 43, which concerned locations in DeKalb County.

Many thanks,

Bruce Brown

Attorney for Plaintiffs

<20190111 Plaintiffs' Proposed Order.docx>

<20180111 Lt Gov Bernhard Affidavit Final Signed.pdf>

Caution
As of: January 3, 2019 1:55 PM Z

Smith v. DeKalb County

Court of Appeals of Georgia

November 27, 2007, Decided

A07A1490.

Reporter
288 Ga. App. 574 *; 654 S.E.2d 469 **; 2007 Ga. App. LEXIS 1254 ***; 2007 Fulton County D. Rep. 3715

SMITH v. DEKALB COUNTY et al.

Subsequent History: Cert. applied for.

Writ of certiorari denied Smith v. DeKalb Cty., 2008 Ga. LEXIS 291 (Ga., Mar. 10, 2008)

Prior History: Open Records Act. DeKalb Superior Court. Before Judge McMurray, Senior Judge.

Disposition: **[***1]** Judgment affirmed.

Core Terms

election, records, ballot, permanent injunction, superior court, trial court, inspection, software, seal, exempted, designated, encryption, returns, voting, codes

Case Summary

Procedural Posture
After a requestor sought election documents under the Georgia Open Records Act, O.C.G.A. § 50-18-70 et seq., the Georgia Secretary of State sought a permanent injunction preventing a county from releasing a certain computer disk - read only memory (CD-ROM). The DeKalb County Superior Court (Georgia) enjoined the county from releasing the CD-ROM. The requestor appealed.

Overview
The court first stated that the trial court properly held that the Secretary had standing to object to the request. Under O.C.G.A. §§ 21-2-30, 21-2-31, 21-2-32, 21-2-50 et seq., and 45-13-20 et seq., the Secretary was charged with the supervision of all elections in Georgia. Next, under O.C.G.A. § 21-2-500(a), the custodian of a CD-ROM created by an election superintendent had to maintain it under seal following the election for at least 24 months, unless otherwise directed by a superior court. A superior court had not ordered that the seal be lifted. Thus, the CD-ROM was by law prohibited or specifically exempted from being open to inspection by the general public under O.C.G.A. § 50-18-70(b). Furthermore, the trial court had found that release of the CD-ROM, which contained passwords, encryption codes, and other security information, would compromise election security and thus was exempt from disclosure under O.C.G.A. § 50-18-72(a)(15)(A)(iv). Although the requestor argued that the State could copy the CD-ROM without including such information, O.C.G.A. § 50-18-70(d) provided that an agency was not required to create records that were not in existence at the time of the request.

Outcome
The court affirmed the judgment.

Counsel: *J. M. Raffauf*, for appellant.

Thurbert E. Baker, Attorney General, Stefan E. Ritter, Calandra A. Almond, Assistant Attorneys General, William J. Linkous III, for appellees.

Judges: ELLINGTON, Judge. Andrews, P. J., and Adams, J., concur.

Opinion by: ELLINGTON

Opinion

[*574] [469]** ELLINGTON, Judge.

Philip Smith appeals from an order of the DeKalb County Superior Court granting a permanent injunction to Cathy Cox, in her **[**470]** official capacity as Georgia's Secretary of State. [1] Smith contends that the

[1] Cox's term as Georgia's Secretary of State has since

court erred in finding that the Secretary of State had standing to pursue the injunction, in granting the Secretary of State's request for temporary restraining orders and the permanent injunction, and in denying his motion to recuse. For the following reasons, we affirm.

The record shows the following facts. On October 23, 2006, Smith's attorney, Mike Raffauf, submitted a written request, pursuant to the Georgia Open Records Act, OCGA § 50-18-70 et seq., to Linda Latimore, the DeKalb County Director of Voter Registration and Elections, for disclosure of certain information concerning the 4th Congressional District 2006 primary and runoff elections. Raffauf requested that Latimore make available for copying and inspection the following materials:

> A copy of the GEMS CD-ROM(S), **[***2]** [2] generated pursuant to OCGA § 21-2-500 (a) [3] and [Rule of the State Election Board] **[*575]** 183-1-12-.02 (6) (a), [4] which contains a copy of the information on each memory card (PCMCIA Card) which shall include all ballot images and ballot styles as well as vote totals and a copy of the consolidated returns from the election management system.

According to Raffauf's request, "[a] review of the entire GEMS backup CD-ROM(S) for both elections is the only way … to undertake a complete audit."

In response to the request, DeKalb County advised Raffauf by letter that it would produce the requested CD-ROM on November 9, 2006. The county also noted, however, that it was going to utilize the letter to "notify the Secretary of State [and] the Attorney General … of [its] impending release of the requested CD-ROM in the event they choose to take action." Further, the county refused to produce "documents or records that are not subject to production under the [Open Records] Act" and expressly reserved "any and all statutory exemptions from disclosure provided by OCGA § 50-18-72, and any and all other exemptions or protections provided by law, including **[***5]** but not limited to

---

expired. Karen Handel became Secretary of State on January 8, 2007.

[2] "GEMS" is an acronym for a software program known as the "Global Election Management System," which is produced by Diebold Election Systems. "CD-ROM" is an acronym for "computer disk – read only memory."

[3] OCGA § 21-2-500 (a) states as follows:

> Immediately upon completing the returns required by this article, in the case of elections other than municipal elections, the superintendent shall deliver in sealed containers to the clerk of the superior court or, if designated by the clerk of the superior court, to the county records manager or other office or officer under the jurisdiction of a county governing authority which maintains or is responsible for records, as provided in Code Section 50-18-99, the **[***3]** used and void ballots and the stubs of all ballots used; one copy of the oaths of poll officers; and one copy of each numbered list of voters, tally paper, voting machine paper proof sheet, and return sheet involved in the primary or election. In addition, the superintendent shall deliver copies of the voting machine ballot labels, computer chips containing ballot tabulation programs, copies of computer records of ballot design, and similar items or an electronic record of the program by which votes are to be recorded or tabulated, which is captured prior to the election, and which is stored on some alternative medium such as a CD-ROM or floppy disk simultaneously with the programming of the PROM or other memory storage device. The clerk, county records manager, or the office or officer designated by the clerk shall hold such ballots and other documents under seal, unless otherwise directed by the superior court, for at least 24 months, after which time they shall be presented to the grand jury for inspection at its next meeting. Such ballots and other documents shall be preserved in the office of the clerk, county records manager, or officer designated by the clerk until the adjournment **[***4]** of such grand jury, and then they may be destroyed, unless otherwise provided by order of the superior court.

[4] Ga. Comp. R. & Regs. r. 183-1-12-.02 (6) states, in pertinent part, as follows:

> Storage of Returns. (a) After tabulating and consolidating the results, the election superintendent shall prepare a CD-ROM which shall contain a copy of the information contained on each memory card (PCMCIA card) which shall include all ballot images as well as vote totals and a copy of the consolidated returns from the election management system.

privileged and confidential documents."

On November 9, 2006, the Secretary of State objected to the open records request **[**471]** and filed a petition for a temporary restraining order ("TRO") and a verified complaint for a permanent injunction prohibiting DeKalb County from releasing the CD-ROM. After the trial court granted two TROs, [5] Smith intervened. The court conducted a hearing on the petition for a permanent injunction, and the Secretary of State and Smith presented evidence and argument. The court **[*576]** permanently restrained and enjoined DeKalb County and Latimore from "releasing, disclosing, or providing to any person not authorized by law to obtain them copies of the pre-election and post-election CD-ROMs." Smith appeals from this order.

1. Smith claims that the trial court erred in finding that the Secretary of State had standing to object to his Open Records Act request. As the court found, however, the Secretary of State "is statutorily charged with the supervision of all elections in **[***6]** this State, and as such has a complete right to seek the Court's intervention in this matter." See OCGA §§ 21-2-30 (creating the State Election Board and naming the Secretary of State as the board chair); 21-2-31 (duties of the State Election Board); 21-2-32 (authorizing the State Election Board to institute or intervene in court actions involving elections); 21-2-50 et seq. (powers and duties of the Secretary of State regarding elections); 45-13-20 et seq. (general duties of the Secretary of State); see also *Ga. Dept. of Natural Resources v. Theragenics Corp.*, 273 Ga. 724, 725 (545 SE2d 904) (2001) (a corporation had the right to enjoin a state agency from allowing a third-party competitor to review the agency's file on the corporation, which included some of the corporation's trade secrets, after the third party filed a request with the agency under the Open Records Act).

2. Smith contends that the court erred in granting the permanent injunction. Smith claims that he is entitled to inspect the CD-ROM by running a copy on an independent computer which would enable him to examine the CD-ROM's computer codes to determine when various voting records were created and by whom, "to verify **[***7]** file formats, software versions, [and] file sizes" on the CD-ROM, and to look for evidence of irregularities resulting from election fraud and malfunctions of the electronic voting equipment and election software. [6]

> In determining whether the trial court's grant of a permanent injunction was proper, the standard of review on appeal is whether or not the trial court manifestly abused its discretion. A trial judge manifestly abuses his discretion when he grants an injunction adverse to a party without any evidence to support such judgment and contrary to the law and equity. Entry of a permanent injunction is appropriate in clear and urgent cases where there is a vital necessity to prevent a party from being damaged and left without an adequate remedy at law.

[*577] (Citations and punctuation omitted.) *City of Atlanta v. Southern States Police Benevolent Assn. &c.*, 276 Ga. App. 446, 458 (4) (623 SE2d 557) (2005). (1) We conclude that the court's ruling that Smith is not entitled to a copy of the CD-ROM under the Open Records Act is proper for several reasons.

Under Georgia's Open Records Act,

> [a]ll public records of an agency as defined in subsection (a) of this Code section, except those which by order of a court of this state or by law are prohibited or specifically exempted from being open to inspection by the general public, shall be open for a personal inspection by any citizen of this state at a reasonable time and place; and those in charge of such records shall not refuse this privilege to any citizen.

OCGA § 50-18-70 (b). As the trial court found, the Georgia Code provides that the designated custodian of a CD-ROM created by the county or municipal superintendent of an election must maintain it *under seal* following the election for at least 24 months, unless otherwise directed by the superior **[**472]** court. OCGA § 21-2-500 (a); [7] see Ga. Comp. R. & Regs. r. 183-1-12-.02 (6) (storage of returns). The superior court in this

---

[5] The record shows that the court initially granted a TRO restraining DeKalb County from releasing the CD-ROM on November 9, 2006. The court granted a second TRO on December 11, 2006.

[6] Although Smith does not have the GEMS software necessary to access the encrypted information on the CD-ROM, his witness claimed he could break **[***8]** the encryption codes with software from other sources.

[7] After a minimum of 24 months after an election, the custodian shall present the sealed voting records to the grand jury for inspection at its next meeting. OCGA § 21-2-500 (a). After the grand jury adjourns, the custodian may retain the records under seal or destroy them, "unless otherwise provided by order of the superior court." Id.

case has not ordered that the seal be lifted as to the CD-ROM Smith seeks. Thus, because the CD-ROM is statutorily designated to be kept under seal, it is by law prohibited or specifically exempted from being open to inspection by the general public and, therefore, **[***9]** is not an open record subject to disclosure. OCGA § 50-18-70 (b). As a result, the trial court did not abuse its discretion in granting the Secretary of State's petition for a permanent injunction prohibiting the custodian from opening the record in response to Smith's Open Records Act request.

In addition, the trial court found, based on evidence tendered by the Secretary of State, that release of the CD-ROM, which contains passwords, encryption codes, and other security information, would compromise election security. As a result, the trial court ruled that the CD-ROM was exempted from the Open Records Act on the alternative basis of the exemption for "material which if made public could compromise security against sabotage, criminal, or terroristic acts." OCGA § 50-18-72 (a) (15) (A) (iv). See footnote 6, supra (regarding Smith's witness' claim that **[***10]** he could break the encryption codes with software from other sources). Although Smith argues that the **[*578]** State could copy the CD-ROM without including the passwords, encryption codes, and other security information, the Open Records Act specifically provides that the government agency is not required to create reports, summaries, or compilations that were not in existence at the time of the request. OCGA § 50-18-70 (d). [8] Accordingly, the trial court did not abuse its discretion in granting the Secretary of State's petition for an injunction on this alternative basis.

In sum, the record supports the court's finding that Smith is not entitled to a copy of the CD-ROM under the Open Records Act.

3. Smith argues that the court improperly granted the TROs and that a prior judge to whom the case had been assigned improperly denied his motion to recuse. The record shows, however, that Smith **[***11]** was not a party to this action when the court granted the TROs or when it denied the motion to recuse. Further, the judge who denied his motion to recuse was no longer assigned to the case at the time of the hearing on the request for a permanent injunction or the court's ruling thereon. Thus, these allegations of error lack merit.

Judgment affirmed. Andrews, P. J., and Adams, J., concur.

End of Document

[8] Notably, the evidence showed that DeKalb County has provided the voting records from the 2006 4th Congressional District primary to Smith, allowing his attorney to use a county computer that runs the necessary software so that he could review the records and providing him with print-outs of the information.

ROBBINS

GA007613

ROBBINS

GA007614

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT,	)	
Plaintiffs,	)	
v.	)	CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV313418
ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, FULTON COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATION AND ELECTIONS, GWINNETT COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, DEKALB COUNTY BOARD OF REGISTRATIONS AND ELECTIONS, and GEOFF DUNCAN,	)	
Defendants.	)	

AFFIDAVIT OF MICHAEL BARNES

COMES NOW Michael Barnes before the undersigned officer, authorized to administer oaths, who deposes and states:

1.

I am over twenty-one years of age and am competent to testify regarding the matters herein. Unless otherwise indicated, statements in this affidavit are based on my personal knowledge.

2.

I currently am the Director of the Center for Election Systems, Office of Secretary of State – Robyn A. Crittenden ("CES"). I was formally Director of the Center for Election Systems at Kennesaw State University ("KSU"), which was an outside contractor with the Office of the Secretary of State, from 2010 until December 31, 2017. Beginning on January 1, 2018, all elections functions that were previously performed at KSU were moved to an internal department in the Office of the Secretary of State. I first began working at CES KSU in 2005. Prior to that time, I was the Assistant Director of Elections for the Georgia Secretary of State, and in that position I directed the State of Georgia's transfer to a uniform system of voting; i.e., DRE (direct electronic voting) machines.

3.

My work at CES and KSU to acceptance test DRE voting machines ("DREs"), build election databases for DREs, and to train and assist counties in preparing and using DREs has caused me to understand both how Georgia's DRE voting machines save and preserve data after an election as well as the operation of the Global Election Management Software ("GEMS") in Georgia.

4.

Georgia's DREs use both internal memory hardware and removable external memory cards. During an election, data files are saved to both the internal memory

and removable external memory cards. These files, contain the data collected and operations performed during the DRE's use in an election. For example, when a voter hits the cast ballot button on a DRE, the audit log records an entry with a timestamp and the words "Ballot Cast". The information about who the voter was or what selections he or she made are not recorded to the audit log. The elector's vote selections are recorded in both the intnernal memory and the removable external memory card. No information about a voter is recorded to any file on the DRE.

5.

Once the external memory card is inserted into the DRE and the DRE is powered on, the DRE begins to store identical copies of dataon both the external memory card and the DRE's internal memory. As the DRE is interacted with by election officials and voters, both the external memory card and internal memory are updated in tandem. When the election is ended on the DRE by a poll manager on election night, the external memory card that is removed in order for votes to be tallied contains a copy of the data collected and operations performed. The DRE's internal memory keeps its identical copy of the same data in a folder specific to that election.

6.

The GEMS database in each county in Georgia is capable of producing numerous reports of election data, including five different reports that speak directly

to the allegations made in this case: (1) Base Precincts With Races Report; (2) Ballot Image Report; (3) Vote Center With Cards Report; (4) Statement Of Votes Cast Report; and (5) Summary Report. If there were irregularities or failures with respect to programming, vote recordation, the appearance of candidates on a ballot in a given election, they would appear in these reports. These reports can be made available electronically or in hard copy.

7.

Providing further access to GEMS presents a significant risk to the security of Georgia's election system because it would expose critical, confidential information that could allow a malicious actor to compromise the integrity of Georgia's elections. If someone had direct access to the GEMS database, he or she would have a roadmap or schematic needed to create malware that could attack Georgia's election technology. Individuals who build malware used to infect voting machines have been trying to get access to a copy of the GEMS database for many years, but Georgia has never released this information. Releasing a copy of the GEMS database, as opposed to letting Plaintiffs view the GEMS data through its reports, would critically affect Georgia's ability to conduct secure elections.

8.

Inspection of the internal memory of a DRE itself would be destructive, because it requires direct access to and removal of the memory chip on the DRE's

motherboard. Viewing the data on the removable memory card that was used in an election provides a copy of the DRE's internal memory recorded in that election, however, and that is accessible without causing damage or destruction to the DRE. However, allowing Plaintiffs to have a copy of a memory card, rather than allowing them to view the data through a DRE as the memory cards are meant to be used, would also critically affect the security of Georgia's elections. A copy of the memory card would contain file names, structures, and other information that a researcher or hacker would want in order to create malware meant to affect the correct operation of those cards. Georgia has received multiple requests for copies of the data from an external memory card but has never released that data. The state has allowed people to view the contents of a memory card through a DRE as it is meant to be used.

9.

Direct examination, inspection, or testing of a DRE machine's internal memory would expose critical, confidential information that could allow a malicious actor to compromise the integrity of Georgia's elections, as would the act of copying data from a removable memory card.

FURTHER AFFIANT SAYETH NOT.

Michael Barnes

Sworn to and subscribed before me
this 11th day of January, 2019.

Breanna Thomas
Notary Public

BREANNA THOMAS
NOTARY PUBLIC
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES
DECEMBER 15, 2020
DEKALB COUNTY, GEORGIA

From: Bryan Tyson <bpt@sbllaw.net>
Sent: Monday, January 14, 2019 12:00 PM
To: Burwell, Kaye; Josh Belinfante; Bruce Brown
Cc: Adele Grubbs; Vincent Russo; Alexander Denton; Laila Tehrani; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H.; thomas.davis@cobbcounty.org; Perkins-Hooker, Patrise; dware@hallboothsmith.com; Ringer, Cheryl; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Brian Lake; ccorreia@law.ga.gov
Subject: Re: Proposed Order: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Gwinnett agrees with the Secretary as well.

Thanks,

Bryan

Bryan P. Tyson
Strickland Brockington Lewis LLP
678.347.2203 direct
bpt@sbllaw.net

From: Burwell, Kaye <kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Sent: Monday, January 14, 2019 11:54 AM
To: Josh Belinfante; Bruce Brown
Cc: Adele Grubbs; Vincent Russo; Alexander Denton; Laila Tehrani; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; Bryan Tyson; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H.; thomas.davis@cobbcounty.org; Perkins-Hooker, Patrise; dware@hallboothsmith.com; Ringer, Cheryl; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Brian Lake; ccorreia@law.ga.gov
Subject: RE: Proposed Order: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Fulton County agrees with the Secretary. Thank you.

From: Josh Belinfante [mailto:Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com]
Sent: Monday, January 14, 2019 11:36 AM
To: Bruce Brown
Cc: Adele Grubbs; Vincent Russo; Alexander Denton; laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H.; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Perkins-Hooker, Patrise; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Ringer, Cheryl; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Brian Lake; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; Burwell, Kaye
Subject: Re: Proposed Order: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs:

For the Secretary, we agree with Mr. Brown's first statement. We disagree with the second, and we find the proposal to be unworkable and impossible to enforce.

We are not speaking for Fulton and DeKalb Counties, though they may agree.

Best regards,
JB

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 14, 2019, at 11:30 AM, Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com> wrote:

Judge,

Plaintiffs' position is in two parts: First, since members of the press and public are NOT signatories to the Protective Order governing confidential communication, the press and public should not be given access to discovery material that is confidential. Second, Plaintiffs position is that members of the press should be allowed to observe, from a distance that does not allow access to confidential information, the inspection of the election equipment.

Thank you,

Bruce Brown

From: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Date: Monday, January 14, 2019 at 11:08 AM
To: Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>
Cc: "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>, Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>, "laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net" <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, "kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov" <kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: Re: Proposed Order: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

I have received a communication from a gentleman, Mr. Wilke , who is a reporter seeking access to the discovery you are found. Please let me know your position on the issue.

Adele Grubbs

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 11, 2019, at 1:01 PM, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com> wrote:

Judge Grubbs,

Please note that the affidavit of Michael Barnes was signed and notarized. I had a typo in my email below referring to it as unsigned.

Apologies for any confusion.

Thanks,

Vincent

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 11, 2019, at 12:00 PM, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com> wrote:

Judge Grubbs:

The inspection that Plaintiffs' are now seeking would not only damage the voting machines, but it would expose highly confidential information about Georgia's voting system that would leave it vulnerable to attack. The Georgia Court of Appeals has already stated that the GEMS database should not be released due to the sensitivity of the information on it. Smith v. DeKalb County, 288 Ga. App. 574 (2007) (copy attached). Conversely, the scope of inspection proposed by Defendants would give Plaintiffs access to information sufficient to determine whether the irregularities alleged in the Petition existed while continuing to protect Georgia's voting system. Attached is an unsigned affidavit of Michael Barnes, Director of the Center for Election Systems in the Secretary of State's Office, further discussing these issues.

In regard to the GEMS inspection, Mr. Barnes states that the GEMS reports that Defendants have proposed to generate from GEMS show how races in the database are related to political districts within the county and how those races are then related to the ballots generated. Accordingly, if there was a programming failure (i.e., failure to relate a race to a given district which results in it not be present on the ballot), it would appear in those reports according to Mr. Barnes.

Additionally, the ballot images report generated from GEMS shows which voter selections were collected by race within each ballot recorded by the DRE. The image report contains all races and associated candidates contained on the ballot, the candidate who was selected, and which candidates were not selected. Defendants' proposed reports from GEMS also show which individual races were present on each ballot style configured by GEMS, which also goes to the alleged irregularity regarding missing races and candidates. Finally, the Secretary of State's Office can perform a HASH verification of the GEMS program installed on the county computer, which would confirm whether the program has been altered. As indicated in Mr. Barnes' affidavit, if there were irregularities or failures with respect to programming, vote recordation, the appearance of candidates on a ballot in a given election, they would appear in these reports.

Next, in regard to the DRE machines, the inspection that we have proposed relates directly to the DRE irregularities alleged by Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs have alleged that candidate names were missing from ballots on DRE machines (Pet. at ¶ 41), issues with calibration of DRE touchscreens (Pet. at ¶ 41, 47), cancelled ballots on machines (Pet. at ¶ 44), and discrepancies in the vote counts on precinct poll tapes (Pet. at ¶ 46). As

indicated in the attached affidavit of Michael Barnes, inspecting the DRE machines in post-election mode, using post-election memory cards that were used in the voting locations identified by Plaintiffs in the Petition would allow Plaintiffs to discover whether any of these alleged irregularities actually existed, to the extent there were any.

In contrast, the DRE inspection proposed by Plaintiffs would require removing the memory chip from the motherboard on each machine, which damages the machines and prevents elections officials from using them ever again. Like the GEMS access proposed by Plaintiffs, Mr. Barnes has also stated that Defendants' proposed DRE inspection would expose sensitive information that would give a roadmap for hacking the system. The Ballot Station program on the DRE only opens files that reside in a specifically named folder and then only opens files in that folder that have specific file extensions. According to Mr. Barnes' affidavit, the data is copied directly from a memory card, someone would know how files are organized and named on the memory card. If a computer researcher wanted to "hack" the system, that person would want to know what file folders and file extensions Ballot Station recognizes.

Defendants' proposed inspection for the DRE machines includes the post-election memory cards. According to Mr. Barnes, if one has the memory card used in an Election, one has a copy of what is on the machine's Internal Memory already

Defendants' have proposed a scope of discovery that is in line with our understanding of your intent at the hearing on Wednesday. Plaintiffs proposed inspection would not only damage voting machines, but it would also provide a roadmap or schematic of the system that someone would need in order to create virus or show how one could be created. Plaintiffs' proposed Order would sanction a fishing expedition that is beyond the factual allegations in the Petition, so we urge the Court to adopt Defendants' Proposed Order.

Kind regards,

Vincent Russo

Vincent R. Russo |*404.856.3260* | <image002.jpg>

From: Adele Grubbs [mailto:adele.grubbs@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, January 11, 2019 7:09 AM
To: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Cc: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>; laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov
Subject: Re: Proposed Order: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Please have ALL arguments about this order to me by Noon today so I can enter the order today.
Thank you.

Adele Grubbs
Senior Judge

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 11, 2019, at 12:48 AM, Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com> wrote:

Judge Grubbs:

Further to my email below on Defendants' proposed order (attached to Mr. Denton's 4:59 PM email), Plaintiffs have no objections to the changes Defendants propose to Parts A, but would urge the Court to adopt Plaintiffs' Part B, for the reasons set forth in the Affidavit of Plaintiffs' expert Matt Bernhard, attached. I have attached to this email a Proposed Order that does just this: takes the Defendants' version of Part A, but Plaintiffs' prior version of Part B, the discovery provisions for the specific records and equipment included in the Court's ruling at the January 9, 2017 hearing. Plaintiffs have included in Part B the sentence proposed by Defendants – "Destructive testing shall not be permitted." (I note that no notary public was available tonight to notarize Mr. Bernhard's affidavit (he is out-of-state), but we will submit a notarized affidavit when it becomes available).

Mr. Bernhard explains in his affidavit that the inspection proposed by Defendants would be virtually useless, and how that the inspection that Plaintiffs proposes is the only way to discover system malfunctions and mis-programming.

Mr. Bernhard also references a Georgia law relating to the internal memory that is right on point. Georgia State Election Board Rule 183-1-12-.02 (6)(d) states:

> *The election results, ballot styles, ballot images, and other information for each election stored in the internal memory storage of each DRE unit shall be maintained for a minimum of one month following each election after which time the results may be erased provided that there are no election contests pending concerning such election.*

This Rule requires the counties to preserve the internal memory of the DRE machines for the specific purposes of having that memory available for inspection and review in an election contest. Fulton County's position that this information should not be made available in this election contest, therefore, is inconsistent with this rule and, for the additional reasons explained in Mr. Bernhard's affidavit, completely without merit.

As to the GEMS database, Mr. Bernhard explains that this can easily be produced on a CD to Plaintiffs' experts; it is not proprietary information and is crucially necessary for the examination.

Many thanks,

Bruce Brown

From: "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Date: Thursday, January 10, 2019 at 6:07 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>, "laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net" <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org"

<Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7Jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7Jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, "kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov" <kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: Re: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Dear Judge Grubbs:

With respect to Defendants' proposed order, relating specifically to discovery and inspection, Plaintiffs will be submitting later this evening a statement from one of our experts (a computer scientist specializing in voting systems) which will explain how the limitations that defendants would impose will render the inspection useless for the purposes of detecting the causes of any malfunction, or misprogramming, either in the GEMS database or DRE machines, and is not a forensic examination that may detect programming errors (which is what we understand the Court instructed). We urge the Court to withhold considering the proposed order until the Court has the opportunity to consider that submission.

Respectfully,

Bruce Brown

From: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>
Date: Thursday, January 10, 2019 at 4:59 PM
To: Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>, Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: "laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net" <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, "Patrise.Perkins-

Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7Jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7Jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, "kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov" <kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: RE: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs,

The Defendants have collaborated to prepare the attached proposed order for your consideration. It tracks the one Plaintiffs' counsel provided earlier today except as to those specific portions where they are not in agreement.

Thank you.

Alexander Denton |*404.856.3276* | <image001.jpg>

From: Josh Belinfante
Sent: Thursday, January 10, 2019 12:59 PM
To: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>; Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>
Subject: RE: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs:

Good afternoon. We have substantial agreement with portions of the proposed order but are drafting an alternative for your consideration. We are working to submit it as soon as possible today.

Thank you and best regards,
JB

From: Bruce Brown [mailto:bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 10, 2019 12:13 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmic.net; Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>
Subject: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Dear Judge Grubbs:
I have attached Plaintiffs' proposed order on the motions heard by Your Honor yesterday. I circulated a draft of this proposed order yesterday afternoon to defendants' counsel, and again this morning, and have not received a response as to what changes they would recommend to it. Given the expedited nature of these proceedings, I thought it best to go ahead and submit Plaintiffs' proposed order without further delay.
We did have a conference call with defendants' counsel on discovery yesterday evening, both with respect to the terms of the proposed order and logistics. The parties did **not** agree on language for the proposed order with respect to discovery, but we did make progress on logistics, and Fulton County is readying machines for inspection.
I note that Part B(2) of the attached, which address the discovery of the DRE machines, references the paragraphs of the complaint that allege specific instances of machine malfunctions, except for paragraphs 42 and 43, which concerned locations in DeKalb County.
Many thanks,
Bruce Brown
Attorney for Plaintiffs

<20190111 Plaintiffs' Proposed Order.docx>

<20180111 Lt Gov Bernhard Affidavit Final Signed.pdf>

<Smith v. DeKalb County_ 288 Ga. App. 574.pdf>
<Michael Barnes Affidavit re discovery protocol pdf.pdf>

From: Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>
Sent: Monday, January 14, 2019 12:11 PM
To: Bryan Tyson
Cc: Burwell, Kaye; Josh Belinfante; Bruce Brown; Adele Grubbs; Vincent Russo; Alexander Denton; Laila Tehrani; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; thomas.davis@cobbcounty.org; Perkins-Hooker, Patrise; dware@hallboothsmith.com; Ringer, Cheryl; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Brian Lake; ccorreia@law.ga.gov
Subject: Re: Proposed Order: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge: On behalf of the Defendant Duncan, we are not familiar with the layout of the facility where the inspections are taking place and do not want to have anything in the discovery process slow the case. Therefore, we must defer to the Secretary and other Defendant's position as their offices are familiar with the unpracticality of the request.

Edward Lindsey
Partner
D: 404-527-4580
M: 404-316-4466
Dentons US LLP
大成 Salans FMC SNR Denton McKenna Long

[http://logo.dentons.com/dentons_logo.png]

Edward H. Lindsey, Jr.
Partner

D +1 404 527 4580 | M +1 404 316 4466 | O +1 404 316 4466 | US Internal 74580
edward.lindsey@dentons.com<mailto:edward.lindsey@dentons.com>
Bio<http://www.dentons.com/ch.aspx?email=edward.lindsey@dentons.com&action=biolink> |
Website<http://www.dentons.com>
Assistant: Tricia Nay

Dentons US LLP
303 Peachtree Street, NE, Suite 5300, Atlanta, GA 30308

Hamilton Harrison & Mathews > Mardemootoo Balgobin > HPRP > Zain & Co. > Delany Law > Dinner Martin > Maclay Murray & Spens > Gallo Barrios Pickmann > Muñoz > Cardenas & Cardenas > Lopez Velarde > Rodyk > Boekel > OPF Partners > 大成

Dentons is a global legal practice providing client services worldwide through its member firms and affiliates. This email may be confidential and protected by legal privilege. If you are not the intended recipient, disclosure, copying, distribution and use are prohibited; please notify us immediately and delete this copy from your system. Please see dentons.com for Legal Notices.

On Jan 14, 2019, at 12:00 PM, Bryan Tyson <bpt@sbllaw.net<mailto:bpt@sbllaw.net>> wrote:

Gwinnett agrees with the Secretary as well.

Thanks,

Bryan

Bryan P. Tyson
Strickland Brockington Lewis LLP
678.347.2203 direct
bpt@sbllaw.net<mailto:bpt@sbllaw.net>

From: Burwell, Kaye <kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov<mailto:kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>>
Sent: Monday, January 14, 2019 11:54 AM
To: Josh Belinfante; Bruce Brown
Cc: Adele Grubbs; Vincent Russo; Alexander Denton; Laila Tehrani; richard.carothers@carmitch.com<mailto:richard.carothers@carmitch.com>; sue@carmitch.com<mailto:sue@carmitch.com>; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com<mailto:brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>; Bryan Tyson; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H.; thomas.davis@cobbcounty.org<mailto:thomas.davis@cobbcounty.org>; Perkins-Hooker, Patrise; dware@hallboothsmith.com<mailto:dware@hallboothsmith.com>; Ringer, Cheryl; JOvercash@7Jad.com<mailto:JOvercash@7Jad.com>; csims@7Jad.com<mailto:csims@7Jad.com>; chall@lmjc.net<mailto:chall@lmjc.net>; Brian Lake; ccorreia@law.ga.gov<mailto:ccorreia@law.ga.gov>
Subject: RE: Proposed Order: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No.

2018CV313418

Fulton County agrees with the Secretary. Thank you.

From: Josh Belinfante [mailto:Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com]
Sent: Monday, January 14, 2019 11:36 AM
To: Bruce Brown
Cc: Adele Grubbs; Vincent Russo; Alexander Denton;
laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net<mailto:laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>;
richard.carothers@carmitch.com<mailto:richard.carothers@carmitch.com>;
sue@carmitch.com<mailto:sue@carmitch.com>;
brian.dempsey@carmitch.com<mailto:brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>;
bpt@sbllaw.net<mailto:bpt@sbllaw.net>; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H.;
Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org<mailto:Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>; Perkins-Hooker, Patrise;
DWare@hallboothsmith.com<mailto:DWare@hallboothsmith.com>; Ringer, Cheryl;
JOvercash@7Jad.com<mailto:JOvercash@7Jad.com>; csims@7Jad.com<mailto:csims@7Jad.com>;
chall@lmjc.net<mailto:chall@lmjc.net>; Brian Lake; ccorreia@law.ga.gov<mailto:ccorreia@law.ga.gov>;
Burwell, Kaye
Subject: Re: Proposed Order: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs:

For the Secretary, we agree with Mr. Brown's first statement. We disagree with the second, and we find the proposal to be unworkable and impossible to enforce.

We are not speaking for Fulton and DeKalb Counties, though they may agree.

Best regards,
JB
Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 14, 2019, at 11:30 AM, Bruce Brown
<bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com<mailto:bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>> wrote:
Judge,

Plaintiffs' position is in two parts: First, since members of the press and public are NOT signatories to the Protective Order governing confidential communication, the press and public should not be given access to discovery material that is confidential. Second, Plaintiffs position is that members of the press should be allowed to observe, from a distance that does not allow access to confidential information, the inspection of the election equipment.

Thank you,

Bruce Brown

From: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com<mailto:adele.grubbs@gmail.com>>
Date: Monday, January 14, 2019 at 11:08 AM
To: Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com<mailto:vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>>
Cc: "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com<mailto:bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>"
<bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com<mailto:bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>>, Alexander Denton

<Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com<mailto:Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>>,
"laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net<mailto:laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>"
<laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net<mailto:laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>>,
"richard.carothers@carmitch.com<mailto:richard.carothers@carmitch.com>"
<richard.carothers@carmitch.com<mailto:richard.carothers@carmitch.com>>,
"sue@carmitch.com<mailto:sue@carmitch.com>" <sue@carmitch.com<mailto:sue@carmitch.com>>,
"brian.dempsey@carmitch.com<mailto:brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>"
<brian.dempsey@carmitch.com<mailto:brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>>,
"bpt@sbllaw.net<mailto:bpt@sbllaw.net>" <bpt@sbllaw.net<mailto:bpt@sbllaw.net>>, Josh Belinfante
<Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com<mailto:Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H."
<edward.lindsey@dentons.com<mailto:edward.lindsey@dentons.com>>,
"Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org<mailto:Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>"
<Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org<mailto:Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>>, "Patrise.Perkins-
Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov<mailto:Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>" <Patrise.Perkins-
Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov<mailto:Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>>,
"DWare@hallboothsmith.com<mailto:DWare@hallboothsmith.com>"
<DWare@hallboothsmith.com<mailto:DWare@hallboothsmith.com>>, Cheryl Ringer
<Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov<mailto:Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>>,
"JOvercash@7Jad.com<mailto:JOvercash@7Jad.com>"
<JOvercash@7jad.com<mailto:JOvercash@7jad.com>>, "csims@7Jad.com<mailto:csims@7Jad.com>"
<csims@7jad.com<mailto:csims@7jad.com>>, "chall@lmjc.net<mailto:chall@lmjc.net>"
<chall@lmjc.net<mailto:chall@lmjc.net>>, Brian Lake
<Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com<mailto:Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>>,
"ccorreia@law.ga.gov<mailto:ccorreia@law.ga.gov>" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov<mailto:ccorreia@law.ga.gov>>,
"kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov<mailto:kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>"
<kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov<mailto:kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>>
Subject: Re: Proposed Order: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

I have received a communication from a gentleman, Mr. Wilke , who is a reporter seeking access to the discovery you are found. Please let me know your position on the issue.

Adele Grubbs
Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 11, 2019, at 1:01 PM, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com<mailto:vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>> wrote:
Judge Grubbs,

Please note that the affidavit of Michael Barnes was signed and notarized. I had a typo in my email below referring to it as unsigned.

Apologies for any confusion.

Thanks,

Vincent

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 11, 2019, at 12:00 PM, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com<mailto:vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>>

wrote:
Judge Grubbs:

The inspection that Plaintiffs' are now seeking would not only damage the voting machines, but it would expose highly confidential information about Georgia's voting system that would leave it vulnerable to attack. The Georgia Court of Appeals has already stated that the GEMS database should not be released due to the sensitivity of the information on it. Smith v. DeKalb County, 288 Ga. App. 574 (2007) (copy attached). Conversely, the scope of inspection proposed by Defendants would give Plaintiffs access to information sufficient to determine whether the irregularities alleged in the Petition existed while continuing to protect Georgia's voting system. Attached is an unsigned affidavit of Michael Barnes, Director of the Center for Election Systems in the Secretary of State's Office, further discussing these issues.

In regard to the GEMS inspection, Mr. Barnes states that the GEMS reports that Defendants have proposed to generate from GEMS show how races in the database are related to political districts within the county and how those races are then related to the ballots generated. Accordingly, if there was a programming failure (i.e., failure to relate a race to a given district which results in it not be present on the ballot), it would appear in those reports according to Mr. Barnes.

Additionally, the ballot images report generated from GEMS shows which voter selections were collected by race within each ballot recorded by the DRE. The image report contains all races and associated candidates contained on the ballot, the candidate who was selected, and which candidates were not selected. Defendants' proposed reports from GEMS also show which individual races were present on each ballot style configured by GEMS, which also goes to the alleged irregularity regarding missing races and candidates. Finally, the Secretary of State's Office can perform a HASH verification of the GEMS program installed on the county computer, which would confirm whether the program has been altered. As indicated in Mr. Barnes' affidavit, if there were irregularities or failures with respect to programming, vote recordation, the appearance of candidates on a ballot in a given election, they would appear in these reports.

Next, in regard to the DRE machines, the inspection that we have proposed relates directly to the DRE irregularities alleged by Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs have alleged that candidate names were missing from ballots on DRE machines (Pet. at ¶ 41), issues with calibration of DRE touchscreens (Pet. at ¶ 41, 47), cancelled ballots on machines (Pet. at ¶ 44), and discrepancies in the vote counts on precinct poll tapes (Pet. at ¶ 46). As indicated in the attached affidavit of Michael Barnes, inspecting the DRE machines in post-election mode, using post-election memory cards that were used in the voting locations identified by Plaintiffs in the Petition would allow Plaintiffs to discover whether any of these alleged irregularities actually existed, to the extent there were any.

In contrast, the DRE inspection proposed by Plaintiffs would require removing the memory chip from the motherboard on each machine, which damages the machines and prevents elections officials from using them ever again. Like the GEMS access proposed by Plaintiffs, Mr. Barnes has also stated that Defendants' proposed DRE inspection would expose sensitive information that would give a roadmap for hacking the system. The Ballot Station program on the DRE only opens files that reside in a specifically named folder and then only opens files in that folder that have specific file extensions. According to Mr. Barnes' affidavit, the data is copied directly from a memory card, someone would know how files are organized and named on the memory card. If a computer researcher wanted to "hack" the system, that person would want to know what file folders and file extensions Ballot Station recognizes.

Defendants' proposed inspection for the DRE machines includes the post-election memory cards. According to Mr. Barnes, if one has the memory card used in an Election, one has a copy of what is on the machine's Internal Memory already

Defendants' have proposed a scope of discovery that is in line with our understanding of your intent at the

hearing on Wednesday. Plaintiffs proposed inspection would not only damage voting machines, but it would also provide a roadmap or schematic of the system that someone would need in order to create virus or show how one could be created. Plaintiffs' proposed Order would sanction a fishing expedition that is beyond the factual allegations in the Petition, so we urge the Court to adopt Defendants' Proposed Order.

Kind regards,

Vincent Russo

Vincent R. Russo |404.856.3260 | <image002.jpg>

From: Adele Grubbs [mailto:adele.grubbs@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, January 11, 2019 7:09 AM
To: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com<mailto:bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>>
Cc: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com<mailto:Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>>; laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net<mailto:laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>; richard.carothers@carmitch.com<mailto:richard.carothers@carmitch.com>; sue@carmitch.com<mailto:sue@carmitch.com>; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com<mailto:brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>; bpt@sbllaw.net<mailto:bpt@sbllaw.net>; Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com<mailto:Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>>; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com<mailto:edward.lindsey@dentons.com>>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org<mailto:Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov<mailto:Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>; DWare@hallboothsmith.com<mailto:DWare@hallboothsmith.com>; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov<mailto:Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>; JOvercash@7Jad.com<mailto:JOvercash@7Jad.com>; csims@7Jad.com<mailto:csims@7Jad.com>; chall@lmjc.net<mailto:chall@lmjc.net>; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com<mailto:vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com<mailto:Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov<mailto:ccorreia@law.ga.gov>; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov<mailto:kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: Re: Proposed Order: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Please have ALL arguments about this order to me by Noon today so I can enter the order today.
Thank you.

Adele Grubbs
Senior Judge
Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 11, 2019, at 12:48 AM, Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com<mailto:bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>> wrote:

Judge Grubbs:

Further to my email below on Defendants' proposed order (attached to Mr. Denton's 4:59 PM email), Plaintiffs have no objections to the changes Defendants propose to Parts A, but would urge the Court to adopt Plaintiffs'

Part B, for the reasons set forth in the Affidavit of Plaintiffs' expert Matt Bernhard, attached. I have attached to this email a Proposed Order that does just this: takes the Defendants' version of Part A, but Plaintiffs' prior version of Part B, the discovery provisions for the specific records and equipment included in the Court's ruling at the January 9, 2017 hearing. Plaintiffs have included in Part B the sentence proposed by Defendants – "Destructive testing shall not be permitted." (I note that no notary public was available tonight to notarize Mr. Bernhard's affidavit (he is out-of-state), but we will submit a notarized affidavit when it becomes available).

Mr. Bernhard explains in his affidavit that the inspection proposed by Defendants would be virtually useless, and how that the inspection that Plaintiffs proposes is the only way to discover system malfunctions and mis-programming.

Mr. Bernhard also references a Georgia law relating to the internal memory that is right on point. Georgia State Election Board Rule 183-1-12-.02 (6)(d) states:

The election results, ballot styles, ballot images, and other information for each election stored in the internal memory storage of each DRE unit shall be maintained for a minimum of one month following each election after which time the results may be erased provided that there are no election contests pending concerning such election.

This Rule requires the counties to preserve the internal memory of the DRE machines for the specific purposes of having that memory available for inspection and review in an election contest. Fulton County's position that this information should not be made available in this election contest, therefore, is inconsistent with this rule and, for the additional reasons explained in Mr. Bernhard's affidavit, completely without merit.

As to the GEMS database, Mr. Bernhard explains that this can easily be produced on a CD to Plaintiffs' experts; it is not proprietary information and is crucially necessary for the examination.

Many thanks,

Bruce Brown

From: "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com<mailto:bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>"
<bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com<mailto:bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>>
Date: Thursday, January 10, 2019 at 6:07 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com<mailto:adele.grubbs@gmail.com>>
Cc: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com<mailto:Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>>,
"laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net<mailto:laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>"
<laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net<mailto:laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>>,
"richard.carothers@carmitch.com<mailto:richard.carothers@carmitch.com>"
<richard.carothers@carmitch.com<mailto:richard.carothers@carmitch.com>>,
"sue@carmitch.com<mailto:sue@carmitch.com>" <sue@carmitch.com<mailto:sue@carmitch.com>>,
"brian.dempsey@carmitch.com<mailto:brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>"
<brian.dempsey@carmitch.com<mailto:brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>>,
"bpt@sbllaw.net<mailto:bpt@sbllaw.net>" <bpt@sbllaw.net<mailto:bpt@sbllaw.net>>, Josh Belinfante
<Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com<mailto:Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H."
<edward.lindsey@dentons.com<mailto:edward.lindsey@dentons.com>>,
"Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org<mailto:Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>"
<Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org<mailto:Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>>, "Patrise.Perkins-
Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov<mailto:Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>" <Patrise.Perkins-
Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov<mailto:Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>>,

"DWare@hallboothsmith.com<mailto:DWare@hallboothsmith.com>"
<DWare@hallboothsmith.com<mailto:DWare@hallboothsmith.com>>, Cheryl Ringer
<Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov<mailto:Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>>,
"JOvercash@7Jad.com<mailto:JOvercash@7Jad.com>"
<JOvercash@7Jad.com<mailto:JOvercash@7Jad.com>>, "csims@7Jad.com<mailto:csims@7Jad.com>"
<csims@7Jad.com<mailto:csims@7Jad.com>>, "chall@lmjc.net<mailto:chall@lmjc.net>"
<chall@lmjc.net<mailto:chall@lmjc.net>>, Vincent Russo
<vrusso@robbinsfirm.com<mailto:vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>>, Brian Lake
<Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com<mailto:Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>>,
"ccorreia@law.ga.gov<mailto:ccorreia@law.ga.gov>" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov<mailto:ccorreia@law.ga.gov>>,
"kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov<mailto:kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>"
<kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov<mailto:kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>>
Subject: Re: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Dear Judge Grubbs:

With respect to Defendants' proposed order, relating specifically to discovery and inspection, Plaintiffs will be submitting later this evening a statement from one of our experts (a computer scientist specializing in voting systems) which will explain how the limitations that defendants would impose will render the inspection useless for the purposes of detecting the causes of any malfunction, or misprogramming, either in the GEMS database or DRE machines, and is not a forensic examination that may detect programming errors (which is what we understand the Court instructed). We urge the Court to withhold considering the proposed order until the Court has the opportunity to consider that submission.

Respectfully,

Bruce Brown

From: Alexander Denton
<Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com<mailto:Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>>
Date: Thursday, January 10, 2019 at 4:59 PM
To: Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com<mailto:Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>>,
"bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com<mailto:bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>"
<bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com<mailto:bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>>, Adele Grubbs
<adele.grubbs@gmail.com<mailto:adele.grubbs@gmail.com>>
Cc: "laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net<mailto:laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>"
<laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net<mailto:laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>>,
"richard.carothers@carmitch.com<mailto:richard.carothers@carmitch.com>"
<richard.carothers@carmitch.com<mailto:richard.carothers@carmitch.com>>,
"sue@carmitch.com<mailto:sue@carmitch.com>" <sue@carmitch.com<mailto:sue@carmitch.com>>,
"brian.dempsey@carmitch.com<mailto:brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>"
<brian.dempsey@carmitch.com<mailto:brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>>,
"bpt@sbllaw.net<mailto:bpt@sbllaw.net>" <bpt@sbllaw.net<mailto:bpt@sbllaw.net>>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com<mailto:edward.lindsey@dentons.com>>,
"Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org<mailto:Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>"
<Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org<mailto:Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov<mailto:Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov<mailto:Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>>,
"DWare@hallboothsmith.com<mailto:DWare@hallboothsmith.com>"

<DWare@hallboothsmith.com<mailto:DWare@hallboothsmith.com>>, Cheryl Ringer
<Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov<mailto:Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>>,
"JOvercash@7Jad.com<mailto:JOvercash@7Jad.com>"
<JOvercash@7Jad.com<mailto:JOvercash@7Jad.com>>, "csims@7Jad.com<mailto:csims@7Jad.com>"
<csims@7Jad.com<mailto:csims@7Jad.com>>, "chall@lmjc.net<mailto:chall@lmjc.net>"
<chall@lmjc.net<mailto:chall@lmjc.net>>, Vincent Russo
<vrusso@robbinsfirm.com<mailto:vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>>, Brian Lake
<Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com<mailto:Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>>,
"ccorreia@law.ga.gov<mailto:ccorreia@law.ga.gov>" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov<mailto:ccorreia@law.ga.gov>>,
"kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov<mailto:kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>"
<kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov<mailto:kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>>
Subject: RE: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs,

The Defendants have collaborated to prepare the attached proposed order for your consideration. It tracks the one Plaintiffs' counsel provided earlier today except as to those specific portions where they are not in agreement.

Thank you.

Alexander Denton |404.856.3276 | <image001.jpg>

From: Josh Belinfante
Sent: Thursday, January 10, 2019 12:59 PM
To: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com<mailto:bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>>; Adele Grubbs
<adele.grubbs@gmail.com<mailto:adele.grubbs@gmail.com>>
Cc: laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net<mailto:laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>;
richard.carothers@carmitch.com<mailto:richard.carothers@carmitch.com>;
sue@carmitch.com<mailto:sue@carmitch.com>;
brian.dempsey@carmitch.com<mailto:brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>;
bpt@sbllaw.net<mailto:bpt@sbllaw.net>; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H.
<edward.lindsey@dentons.com<mailto:edward.lindsey@dentons.com>>;
Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org<mailto:Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>; Patrise.Perkins-
Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov<mailto:Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>;
DWare@hallboothsmith.com<mailto:DWare@hallboothsmith.com>;
Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov<mailto:Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>;
JOvercash@7Jad.com<mailto:JOvercash@7Jad.com>; csims@7Jad.com<mailto:csims@7Jad.com>;
chall@lmjc.net<mailto:chall@lmjc.net>; Vincent Russo
<vrusso@robbinsfirm.com<mailto:vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>>; Brian Lake
<Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com<mailto:Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>>;
ccorreia@law.ga.gov<mailto:ccorreia@law.ga.gov>;
kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov<mailto:kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>; Alexander Denton
<Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com<mailto:Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>>
Subject: RE: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs:

Good afternoon. We have substantial agreement with portions of the proposed order but are drafting an alternative for your consideration. We are working to submit it as soon as possible today.

Thank you and best regards,
JB

From: Bruce Brown [mailto:bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 10, 2019 12:13 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com<mailto:adele.grubbs@gmail.com>>
Cc: laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net<mailto:laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>;
richard.carothers@carmitch.com<mailto:richard.carothers@carmitch.com>;
sue@carmitch.com<mailto:sue@carmitch.com>;
brian.dempsey@carmitch.com<mailto:brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>;
bpt@sbllaw.net<mailto:bpt@sbllaw.net>; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H.
<edward.lindsey@dentons.com<mailto:edward.lindsey@dentons.com>>;
Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org<mailto:Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>; Patrise.Perkins-
Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov<mailto:Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>;
DWare@hallboothsmith.com<mailto:DWare@hallboothsmith.com>;
Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov<mailto:Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>;
JOvercash@7Jad.com<mailto:JOvercash@7Jad.com>; csims@7Jad.com<mailto:csims@7Jad.com>;
chall@lmjc.net<mailto:chall@lmjc.net>; Josh Belinfante
<Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com<mailto:Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>>; Vincent Russo
<vrusso@robbinsfirm.com<mailto:vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>>; Brian Lake
<Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com<mailto:Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>>;
ccorreia@law.ga.gov<mailto:ccorreia@law.ga.gov>;
kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov<mailto:kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>; Alexander Denton
<Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com<mailto:Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>>
Subject: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Dear Judge Grubbs:
I have attached Plaintiffs' proposed order on the motions heard by Your Honor yesterday. I circulated a draft of this proposed order yesterday afternoon to defendants' counsel, and again this morning, and have not received a response as to what changes they would recommend to it. Given the expedited nature of these proceedings, I thought it best to go ahead and submit Plaintiffs' proposed order without further delay.
We did have a conference call with defendants' counsel on discovery yesterday evening, both with respect to the terms of the proposed order and logistics. The parties did not agree on language for the proposed order with respect to discovery, but we did make progress on logistics, and Fulton County is readying machines for inspection.
I note that Part B(2) of the attached, which address the discovery of the DRE machines, references the paragraphs of the complaint that allege specific instances of machine malfunctions, except for paragraphs 42 and 43, which concerned locations in DeKalb County.
Many thanks,
Bruce Brown
Attorney for Plaintiffs

<20190111 Plaintiffs' Proposed Order.docx>
<20180111 Lt Gov Bernhard Affidavit Final Signed.pdf>
<Smith v. DeKalb County_ 288 Ga. App. 574.pdf>
<Michael Barnes Affidavit re discovery protocol pdf.pdf>

From: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, January 14, 2019 11:09 AM
To: Vincent Russo
Cc: Bruce Brown; Alexander Denton; laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Josh Belinfante; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H.; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Brian Lake; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov
Subject: Re: Proposed Order: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

I have received a communication from a gentleman, Mr. Wilke , who is a reporter seeking access to the discovery you are found. Please let me know your position on the issue.

Adele Grubbs

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 11, 2019, at 1:01 PM, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com> wrote:

> Judge Grubbs,
>
> Please note that the affidavit of Michael Barnes was signed and notarized. I had a typo in my email below referring to it as unsigned.
>
> Apologies for any confusion.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Vincent
>
> Sent from my iPhone
>
> On Jan 11, 2019, at 12:00 PM, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com> wrote:
>
> Judge Grubbs:
>
> The inspection that Plaintiffs' are now seeking would not only damage the voting machines, but it would expose highly confidential information about Georgia's voting system that would leave it vulnerable to attack. The Georgia Court of Appeals has already stated that the GEMS database should not be released due to the sensitivity of the information on it. Smith v. DeKalb County, 288 Ga. App. 574 (2007) (copy attached). Conversely, the scope of inspection proposed by Defendants would give Plaintiffs access to information sufficient to determine whether the irregularities alleged in the Petition existed while continuing to protect Georgia's voting system. Attached is an unsigned affidavit of Michael Barnes, Director of the Center for Election Systems in the Secretary of State's Office, further discussing these issues.

In regard to the GEMS inspection, Mr. Barnes states that the GEMS reports that Defendants have proposed to generate from GEMS show how races in the database are related to political districts within the county and how those races are then related to the ballots generated. Accordingly, if there was a programming failure (i.e., failure to relate a race to a given district which results in it not be present on the ballot), it would appear in those reports according to Mr. Barnes.

Additionally, the ballot images report generated from GEMS shows which voter selections were collected by race within each ballot recorded by the DRE. The image report contains all races and associated candidates contained on the ballot, the candidate who was selected, and which candidates were not selected. Defendants' proposed reports from GEMS also show which individual races were present on each ballot style configured by GEMS, which also goes to the alleged irregularity regarding missing races and candidates. Finally, the Secretary of State's Office can perform a HASH verification of the GEMS program installed on the county computer, which would confirm whether the program has been altered. As indicated in Mr. Barnes' affidavit, if there were irregularities or failures with respect to programming, vote recordation, the appearance of candidates on a ballot in a given election, they would appear in these reports.

Next, in regard to the DRE machines, the inspection that we have proposed relates directly to the DRE irregularities alleged by Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs have alleged that candidate names were missing from ballots on DRE machines (Pet. at ¶ 41), issues with calibration of DRE touchscreens (Pet. at ¶ 41, 47), cancelled ballots on machines (Pet. at ¶ 44), and discrepancies in the vote counts on precinct poll tapes (Pet. at ¶ 46). As indicated in the attached affidavit of Michael Barnes, inspecting the DRE machines in post-election mode, using post-election memory cards that were used in the voting locations identified by Plaintiffs in the Petition would allow Plaintiffs to discover whether any of these alleged irregularities actually existed, to the extent there were any.

In contrast, the DRE inspection proposed by Plaintiffs would require removing the memory chip from the motherboard on each machine, which damages the machines and prevents elections officials from using them ever again. Like the GEMS access proposed by Plaintiffs, Mr. Barnes has also stated that Defendants' proposed DRE inspection would expose sensitive information that would give a roadmap for hacking the system. The Ballot Station program on the DRE only opens files that reside in a specifically named folder and then only opens files in that folder that have specific file extensions. According to Mr. Barnes' affidavit, the data is copied directly from a memory card, someone would know how files are organized and named on the memory card. If a computer researcher wanted to "hack" the system, that person would want to know what file folders and file extensions Ballot Station recognizes.

Defendants' proposed inspection for the DRE machines includes the post-election memory cards. According to Mr. Barnes, if one has the memory card used in an Election, one has a copy of what is on the machine's Internal Memory already

Defendants' have proposed a scope of discovery that is in line with our understanding of your intent at the hearing on Wednesday. Plaintiffs proposed inspection would not only damage voting machines, but it would also provide a roadmap or schematic of the system that someone would need in order to create virus or show how one could be created. Plaintiffs' proposed Order would sanction a fishing expedition that is beyond the factual allegations in the Petition, so we urge the Court to adopt Defendants' Proposed Order.

Kind regards,

Vincent Russo

Vincent R. Russo |*404.856.3260* | <image002.jpg>

From: Adele Grubbs [mailto:adele.grubbs@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, January 11, 2019 7:09 AM
To: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Cc: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>; laila.tehrani@sblaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sblaw.net; Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov
Subject: Re: Proposed Order: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Please have ALL arguments about this order to me by Noon today so I can enter the order today. Thank you.

Adele Grubbs
Senior Judge

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 11, 2019, at 12:48 AM, Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com> wrote:

Judge Grubbs:

Further to my email below on Defendants' proposed order (attached to Mr. Denton's 4:59 PM email), Plaintiffs have no objections to the changes Defendants propose to Parts A, but would urge the Court to adopt Plaintiffs' Part B, for the reasons set forth in the Affidavit of Plaintiffs' expert Matt Bernhard, attached. I have attached to this email a Proposed Order that does just this: takes the Defendants' version of Part A, but Plaintiffs' prior version of Part B, the discovery provisions for the specific records and equipment included in the Court's ruling at the January 9, 2017 hearing. Plaintiffs have included in Part B the sentence proposed by Defendants – "Destructive testing shall not be permitted." (I note that no notary public was available tonight to notarize Mr. Bernhard's affidavit (he is out-of-state), but we will submit a notarized affidavit when it becomes available).

Mr. Bernhard explains in his affidavit that the inspection proposed by Defendants would be virtually useless, and how that the inspection

that Plaintiffs proposes is the only way to discover system malfunctions and mis-programming.

Mr. Bernhard also references a Georgia law relating to the internal memory that is right on point. Georgia State Election Board Rule 183-1-12-.02 (6)(d) states:

> *The election results, ballot styles, ballot images, and other information for each election stored in the internal memory storage of each DRE unit shall be maintained for a minimum of one month following each election after which time the results may be erased provided that there are no election contests pending concerning such election.*

This Rule requires the counties to preserve the internal memory of the DRE machines for the specific purposes of having that memory available for inspection and review in an election contest. Fulton County's position that this information should not be made available in this election contest, therefore, is inconsistent with this rule and, for the additional reasons explained in Mr. Bernhard's affidavit, completely without merit.

As to the GEMS database, Mr. Bernhard explains that this can easily be produced on a CD to Plaintiffs' experts; it is not proprietary information and is crucially necessary for the examination.

Many thanks,

Bruce Brown

From: "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Date: Thursday, January 10, 2019 at 6:07 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>, "laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net" <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, "Patrise.Perkins-

Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7Jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7Jad.com>, "chall@lmic.net" <chall@lmic.net>, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, "kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov" <kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: Re: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Dear Judge Grubbs:

With respect to Defendants' proposed order, relating specifically to discovery and inspection, Plaintiffs will be submitting later this evening a statement from one of our experts (a computer scientist specializing in voting systems) which will explain how the limitations that defendants would impose will render the inspection useless for the purposes of detecting the causes of any malfunction, or misprogramming, either in the GEMS database or DRE machines, and is not a forensic examination that may detect programming errors (which is what we understand the Court instructed). We urge the Court to withhold considering the proposed order until the Court has the opportunity to consider that submission.

Respectfully,

Bruce Brown

From: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>
Date: Thursday, January 10, 2019 at 4:59 PM
To: Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>, Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: "laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net" <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7Jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7Jad.com>, "chall@lmic.net" <chall@lmic.net>, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, "kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov" <kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>

Subject: RE: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs,

The Defendants have collaborated to prepare the attached proposed order for your consideration. It tracks the one Plaintiffs' counsel provided earlier today except as to those specific portions where they are not in agreement.

Thank you.

Alexander Denton |*404.856.3276* | ROBBINS

From: Josh Belinfante
Sent: Thursday, January 10, 2019 12:59 PM
To: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>; Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>
Subject: RE: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs:

Good afternoon. We have substantial agreement with portions of the proposed order but are drafting an alternative for your consideration. We are working to submit it as soon as possible today.

Thank you and best regards,
JB

From: Bruce Brown [mailto:bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 10, 2019 12:13 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>
Subject: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Dear Judge Grubbs:

I have attached Plaintiffs' proposed order on the motions heard by Your Honor yesterday. I circulated a draft of this proposed order yesterday afternoon to defendants' counsel, and again this morning, and have not received a response as to what changes they would recommend to it. Given the expedited nature of these proceedings, I thought it best to go ahead and submit Plaintiffs' proposed order without further delay.

We did have a conference call with defendants' counsel on discovery yesterday evening, both with respect to the terms of the proposed order and logistics. The parties did **not** agree on language for the proposed order with respect to discovery, but we did make progress on logistics, and Fulton County is readying machines for inspection.

I note that Part B(2) of the attached, which address the discovery of the DRE machines, references the paragraphs of the complaint that allege specific instances of machine malfunctions, except for paragraphs 42 and 43, which concerned locations in DeKalb County.

Many thanks,

Bruce Brown

Attorney for Plaintiffs

<20190111 Plaintiffs' Proposed Order.docx>

<20180111 Lt Gov Bernhard Affidavit Final Signed.pdf>

<Smith v. DeKalb County_ 288 Ga. App. 574.pdf>
<Michael Barnes Affidavit re discovery protocol pdf.pdf>

ROBBINS

GA007647

From: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Sent: Monday, January 14, 2019 11:31 AM
To: Adele Grubbs; Vincent Russo
Cc: Alexander Denton; laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Josh Belinfante; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H.; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Brian Lake; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov
Subject: Re: Proposed Order: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge,

Plaintiffs' position is in two parts: First, since members of the press and public are NOT signatories to the Protective Order governing confidential communication, the press and public should not be given access to discovery material that is confidential. Second, Plaintiffs position is that members of the press should be allowed to observe, from a distance that does not allow access to confidential information, the inspection of the election equipment.

Thank you,

Bruce Brown

From: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Date: Monday, January 14, 2019 at 11:08 AM
To: Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>
Cc: "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>, Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>, "laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net" <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, "kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov" <kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: Re: Proposed Order: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

I have received a communication from a gentleman, Mr. Wilke , who is a reporter seeking access to the discovery you are found. Please let me know your position on the issue.

Adele Grubbs

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 11, 2019, at 1:01 PM, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com> wrote:

Judge Grubbs,

Please note that the affidavit of Michael Barnes was signed and notarized. I had a typo in my email below referring to it as unsigned.

Apologies for any confusion.

Thanks,

Vincent

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 11, 2019, at 12:00 PM, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com> wrote:

Judge Grubbs:

The inspection that Plaintiffs' are now seeking would not only damage the voting machines, but it would expose highly confidential information about Georgia's voting system that would leave it vulnerable to attack. The Georgia Court of Appeals has already stated that the GEMS database should not be released due to the sensitivity of the information on it. Smith v. DeKalb County, 288 Ga. App. 574 (2007) (copy attached). Conversely, the scope of inspection proposed by Defendants would give Plaintiffs access to information sufficient to determine whether the irregularities alleged in the Petition existed while continuing to protect Georgia's voting system. Attached is an unsigned affidavit of Michael Barnes, Director of the Center for Election Systems in the Secretary of State's Office, further discussing these issues.

In regard to the GEMS inspection, Mr. Barnes states that the GEMS reports that Defendants have proposed to generate from GEMS show how races in the database are related to political districts within the county and how those races are then related to the ballots generated. Accordingly, if there was a programming failure (i.e., failure to relate a race to a given district which results in it not be present on the ballot), it would appear in those reports according to Mr. Barnes.

Additionally, the ballot images report generated from GEMS shows which voter selections were collected by race within each ballot recorded by the DRE. The image report contains all races and associated candidates contained on the ballot, the candidate who was selected, and which candidates were not selected. Defendants' proposed reports from GEMS also show which individual races were present on each ballot style configured by GEMS, which also goes to the alleged irregularity regarding missing races and candidates. Finally, the Secretary of State's Office can perform a HASH verification of the GEMS program installed on the county computer, which would confirm whether the program has been altered. As indicated in Mr. Barnes' affidavit, if there were irregularities or failures with respect to programming, vote recordation, the appearance of candidates on a ballot in a given election, they would appear in these reports.

Next, in regard to the DRE machines, the inspection that we have proposed relates directly to the DRE irregularities alleged by Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs have alleged that candidate names were missing from ballots on DRE machines (Pet. at ¶ 41), issues with calibration of DRE touchscreens (Pet. at ¶ 41, 47), cancelled ballots on machines (Pet. at ¶ 44), and discrepancies in the vote counts on precinct poll tapes (Pet. at ¶ 46). As indicated in the attached affidavit of Michael Barnes, inspecting the DRE machines in post-election mode, using post-election memory cards that were used in the voting locations identified

by Plaintiffs in the Petition would allow Plaintiffs to discover whether any of these alleged irregularities actually existed, to the extent there were any.

In contrast, the DRE inspection proposed by Plaintiffs would require removing the memory chip from the motherboard on each machine, which damages the machines and prevents elections officials from using them ever again. Like the GEMS access proposed by Plaintiffs, Mr. Barnes has also stated that Defendants' proposed DRE inspection would expose sensitive information that would give a roadmap for hacking the system. The Ballot Station program on the DRE only opens files that reside in a specifically named folder and then only opens files in that folder that have specific file extensions. According to Mr. Barnes' affidavit, the data is copied directly from a memory card, someone would know how files are organized and named on the memory card. If a computer researcher wanted to "hack" the system, that person would want to know what file folders and file extensions Ballot Station recognizes.

Defendants' proposed inspection for the DRE machines includes the post-election memory cards. According to Mr. Barnes, if one has the memory card used in an Election, one has a copy of what is on the machine's Internal Memory already

Defendants' have proposed a scope of discovery that is in line with our understanding of your intent at the hearing on Wednesday. Plaintiffs proposed inspection would not only damage voting machines, but it would also provide a roadmap or schematic of the system that someone would need in order to create virus or show how one could be created. Plaintiffs' proposed Order would sanction a fishing expedition that is beyond the factual allegations in the Petition, so we urge the Court to adopt Defendants' Proposed Order.

Kind regards,

Vincent Russo

Vincent R. Russo |*404.856.3260* | <image002.jpg>

From: Adele Grubbs [mailto:adele.grubbs@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, January 11, 2019 7:09 AM
To: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Cc: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>; laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov
Subject: Re: Proposed Order: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Please have ALL arguments about this order to me by Noon today so I can enter the order today. Thank you.

Adele Grubbs
Senior Judge

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 11, 2019, at 12:48 AM, Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com> wrote:

Judge Grubbs:

Further to my email below on Defendants' proposed order (attached to Mr. Denton's 4:59 PM email), Plaintiffs have no objections to the changes Defendants propose to Parts A, but would urge the Court to adopt Plaintiffs' Part B, for the reasons set forth in the Affidavit of Plaintiffs' expert Matt Bernhard, attached. I have attached to this email a Proposed Order that does just this: takes the Defendants' version of Part A, but Plaintiffs' prior version of Part B, the discovery provisions for the specific records and equipment included in the Court's ruling at the January 9, 2017 hearing. Plaintiffs have included in Part B the sentence proposed by Defendants – "Destructive testing shall not be permitted." (I note that no notary public was available tonight to notarize Mr. Bernhard's affidavit (he is out-of-state), but we will submit a notarized affidavit when it becomes available).

Mr. Bernhard explains in his affidavit that the inspection proposed by Defendants would be virtually useless, and how that the inspection that Plaintiffs proposes is the only way to discover system malfunctions and mis-programming.

Mr. Bernhard also references a Georgia law relating to the internal memory that is right on point. Georgia State Election Board Rule 183-1-12-.02 (6)(d) states:

> *The election results, ballot styles, ballot images, and other information for each election stored in the internal memory storage of each DRE unit shall be maintained for a minimum of one month following each election after which time the results may be erased provided that there are no election contests pending concerning such election.*

This Rule requires the counties to preserve the internal memory of the DRE machines for the specific purposes of having that memory available for inspection and review in an election contest. Fulton

County's position that this information should not be made available in this election contest, therefore, is inconsistent with this rule and, for the additional reasons explained in Mr. Bernhard's affidavit, completely without merit.

As to the GEMS database, Mr. Bernhard explains that this can easily be produced on a CD to Plaintiffs' experts; it is not proprietary information and is crucially necessary for the examination.

Many thanks,

Bruce Brown

From: "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Date: Thursday, January 10, 2019 at 6:07 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>, "laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net" <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7Jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7Jad.com>, "chall@lmic.net" <chall@lmic.net>, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, "kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov" <kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: Re: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Dear Judge Grubbs:

With respect to Defendants' proposed order, relating specifically to discovery and inspection, Plaintiffs will be submitting later this evening a statement from one of our experts (a computer scientist specializing in voting systems) which will explain how the limitations that defendants would impose will render the inspection useless for the purposes of detecting the causes of any malfunction, or misprogramming, either in the GEMS database or DRE machines, and is not a forensic examination that may detect programming errors (which is what we understand the Court instructed). We urge the Court to withhold considering the proposed order until the Court has the opportunity to consider that submission.

Respectfully,

Bruce Brown

From: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>
Date: Thursday, January 10, 2019 at 4:59 PM
To: Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>, Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: "laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net" <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7Jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7Jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, "kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov" <kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: RE: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs,

The Defendants have collaborated to prepare the attached proposed order for your consideration. It tracks the one Plaintiffs' counsel provided earlier today except as to those specific portions where they are not in agreement.

Thank you.

Alexander Denton |*404.856.3276* | ROBBINS

From: Josh Belinfante
Sent: Thursday, January 10, 2019 12:59 PM
To: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>; Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake

<Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>
Subject: RE: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs:

Good afternoon. We have substantial agreement with portions of the proposed order but are drafting an alternative for your consideration. We are working to submit it as soon as possible today.

Thank you and best regards,
JB

From: Bruce Brown [mailto:bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 10, 2019 12:13 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>
Subject: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Dear Judge Grubbs:

I have attached Plaintiffs' proposed order on the motions heard by Your Honor yesterday. I circulated a draft of this proposed order yesterday afternoon to defendants' counsel, and again this morning, and have not received a response as to what changes they would recommend to it. Given the expedited nature of these proceedings, I thought it best to go ahead and submit Plaintiffs' proposed order without further delay.

We did have a conference call with defendants' counsel on discovery yesterday evening, both with respect to the terms of the proposed order and logistics. The parties did **not** agree on language for the proposed order with respect to discovery, but we did make progress on logistics, and Fulton County is readying machines for inspection.

I note that Part B(2) of the attached, which address the discovery of the DRE machines, references the paragraphs of the complaint that allege specific instances of machine malfunctions, except for paragraphs 42 and 43, which concerned locations in DeKalb County.

Many thanks,

Bruce Brown

Attorney for Plaintiffs

<20190111 Plaintiffs' Proposed Order.docx>

<20180111 Lt Gov Bernhard Affidavit Final Signed.pdf>

<Smith v. DeKalb County_ 288 Ga. App. 574.pdf>
<Michael Barnes Affidavit re discovery protocol pdf.pdf>

ROBINS

GA007656

From: Josh Belinfante
Sent: Monday, January 14, 2019 11:36 AM
To: Bruce Brown
Cc: Adele Grubbs; Vincent Russo; Alexander Denton; laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H.; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Brian Lake; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov
Subject: Re: Proposed Order: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs:

For the Secretary, we agree with Mr. Brown's first statement. We disagree with the second, and we find the proposal to be unworkable and impossible to enforce.

We are not speaking for Fulton and DeKalb Counties, though they may agree.

Best regards,
JB

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 14, 2019, at 11:30 AM, Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com> wrote:

> Judge,
>
> Plaintiffs' position is in two parts: First, since members of the press and public are NOT signatories to the Protective Order governing confidential communication, the press and public should not be given access to discovery material that is confidential. Second, Plaintiffs position is that members of the press should be allowed to observe, from a distance that does not allow access to confidential information, the inspection of the election equipment.
>
> Thank you,
>
> Bruce Brown
>
> ---
>
> **From:** Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
> **Date:** Monday, January 14, 2019 at 11:08 AM
> **To:** Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>
> **Cc:** "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>, Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>, "laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net" <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org"

<Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, "kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov" <kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: Re: Proposed Order: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

I have received a communication from a gentleman, Mr. Wilke , who is a reporter seeking access to the discovery you are found. Please let me know your position on the issue.

Adele Grubbs

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 11, 2019, at 1:01 PM, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com> wrote:

> Judge Grubbs,
>
> Please note that the affidavit of Michael Barnes was signed and notarized. I had a typo in my email below referring to it as unsigned.
>
> Apologies for any confusion.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Vincent
>
> Sent from my iPhone
>
> On Jan 11, 2019, at 12:00 PM, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com> wrote:
>
> Judge Grubbs:
>
> The inspection that Plaintiffs' are now seeking would not only damage the voting machines, but it would expose highly confidential information about Georgia's voting system that would leave it vulnerable to attack. The Georgia Court of Appeals has already stated that the GEMS database should not be released due to the sensitivity of the information on it. Smith v. DeKalb County, 288 Ga. App. 574 (2007) (copy attached). Conversely, the scope of inspection proposed by Defendants would give Plaintiffs access to information sufficient to determine whether the irregularities alleged in the Petition existed while continuing to protect Georgia's voting system. Attached is an unsigned affidavit of Michael Barnes, Director of the Center for Election Systems in the Secretary of State's Office, further discussing these issues.
>
> In regard to the GEMS inspection, Mr. Barnes states that the GEMS reports that Defendants have proposed to generate from GEMS show how races in the database are related to political districts within the county and how those races are then related to the ballots generated. Accordingly, if there was a programming failure (i.e., failure to

relate a race to a given district which results in it not be present on the ballot), it would appear in those reports according to Mr. Barnes.

Additionally, the ballot images report generated from GEMS shows which voter selections were collected by race within each ballot recorded by the DRE. The image report contains all races and associated candidates contained on the ballot, the candidate who was selected, and which candidates were not selected. Defendants' proposed reports from GEMS also show which individual races were present on each ballot style configured by GEMS, which also goes to the alleged irregularity regarding missing races and candidates. Finally, the Secretary of State's Office can perform a HASH verification of the GEMS program installed on the county computer, which would confirm whether the program has been altered. As indicated in Mr. Barnes' affidavit, if there were irregularities or failures with respect to programming, vote recordation, the appearance of candidates on a ballot in a given election, they would appear in these reports.

Next, in regard to the DRE machines, the inspection that we have proposed relates directly to the DRE irregularities alleged by Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs have alleged that candidate names were missing from ballots on DRE machines (Pet. at ¶ 41), issues with calibration of DRE touchscreens (Pet. at ¶ 41, 47), cancelled ballots on machines (Pet. at ¶ 44), and discrepancies in the vote counts on precinct poll tapes (Pet. at ¶ 46). As indicated in the attached affidavit of Michael Barnes, inspecting the DRE machines in post-election mode, using post-election memory cards that were used in the voting locations identified by Plaintiffs in the Petition would allow Plaintiffs to discover whether any of these alleged irregularities actually existed, to the extent there were any.

In contrast, the DRE inspection proposed by Plaintiffs would require removing the memory chip from the motherboard on each machine, which damages the machines and prevents elections officials from using them ever again. Like the GEMS access proposed by Plaintiffs, Mr. Barnes has also stated that Defendants' proposed DRE inspection would expose sensitive information that would give a roadmap for hacking the system. The Ballot Station program on the DRE only opens files that reside in a specifically named folder and then only opens files in that folder that have specific file extensions. According to Mr. Barnes' affidavit, the data is copied directly from a memory card, someone would know how files are organized and named on the memory card. If a computer researcher wanted to "hack" the system, that person would want to know what file folders and file extensions Ballot Station recognizes.

Defendants' proposed inspection for the DRE machines includes the post-election memory cards. According to Mr. Barnes, if one has the memory card used in an Election, one has a copy of what is on the machine's Internal Memory already

Defendants' have proposed a scope of discovery that is in line with our understanding of your intent at the hearing on Wednesday. Plaintiffs proposed inspection would not only damage voting machines, but it would also provide a roadmap or schematic of the system that someone would need in order to create virus or show how one could be created. Plaintiffs' proposed Order would sanction a fishing expedition that is beyond the factual allegations in the Petition, so we urge the Court to adopt Defendants' Proposed Order.

Kind regards,

Vincent Russo

Vincent R. Russo |*404.856.3260* | <image002.jpg>

From: Adele Grubbs [mailto:adele.grubbs@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, January 11, 2019 7:09 AM
To: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Cc: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>; laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov
Subject: Re: Proposed Order: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Please have ALL arguments about this order to me by Noon today so I can enter the order today.
Thank you.

Adele Grubbs
Senior Judge

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 11, 2019, at 12:48 AM, Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com> wrote:

> Judge Grubbs:
>
> Further to my email below on Defendants' proposed order (attached to Mr. Denton's 4:59 PM email), Plaintiffs have no objections to the changes Defendants propose to Parts A, but would urge the Court to adopt Plaintiffs' Part B, for the reasons set forth in the Affidavit of Plaintiffs' expert Matt Bernhard, attached. I have attached to this email a Proposed Order that does just this: takes the Defendants' version of Part A, but Plaintiffs' prior version of Part B, the discovery provisions for the specific records and equipment included in the Court's ruling at the January 9, 2017 hearing. Plaintiffs have included in Part B the sentence

proposed by Defendants – "Destructive testing shall not be permitted." (I note that no notary public was available tonight to notarize Mr. Bernhard's affidavit (he is out-of-state), but we will submit a notarized affidavit when it becomes available).

Mr. Bernhard explains in his affidavit that the inspection proposed by Defendants would be virtually useless, and how that the inspection that Plaintiffs proposes is the only way to discover system malfunctions and mis-programming.

Mr. Bernhard also references a Georgia law relating to the internal memory that is right on point. Georgia State Election Board Rule 183-1-12-.02 (6)(d) states:

> *The election results, ballot styles, ballot images, and other information for each election stored in the internal memory storage of each DRE unit shall be maintained for a minimum of one month following each election after which time the results may be erased provided that there are no election contests pending concerning such election.*

This Rule requires the counties to preserve the internal memory of the DRE machines for the specific purposes of having that memory available for inspection and review in an election contest. Fulton County's position that this information should not be made available in this election contest, therefore, is inconsistent with this rule and, for the additional reasons explained in Mr. Bernhard's affidavit, completely without merit.

As to the GEMS database, Mr. Bernhard explains that this can easily be produced on a CD to Plaintiffs' experts; it is not proprietary information and is crucially necessary for the examination.

Many thanks,

Bruce Brown

From: "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Date: Thursday, January 10, 2019 at 6:07 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>, "laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net" <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7Jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7Jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, "kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov" <kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: Re: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Dear Judge Grubbs:

With respect to Defendants' proposed order, relating specifically to discovery and inspection, Plaintiffs will be submitting later this evening a statement from one of our experts (a computer scientist specializing in voting systems) which will explain how the limitations that defendants would impose will render the inspection useless for the purposes of detecting the causes of any malfunction, or misprogramming, either in the GEMS database or DRE machines, and is not a forensic examination that may detect programming errors (which is what we understand the Court instructed). We urge the Court to withhold considering the proposed order until the Court has the opportunity to consider that submission.

Respectfully,

Bruce Brown

From: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>
Date: Thursday, January 10, 2019 at 4:59 PM
To: Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>, Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: "laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net" <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7Jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7Jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, "kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov" <kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: RE: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs,

The Defendants have collaborated to prepare the attached proposed order for your consideration. It tracks the one Plaintiffs' counsel provided earlier today except as to those specific portions where they are not in agreement.

Thank you.

Alexander Denton |*404.856.3276* | <image001.jpg>

From: Josh Belinfante
Sent: Thursday, January 10, 2019 12:59 PM
To: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>; Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>;

Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmic.net; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>
Subject: RE: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs:

Good afternoon. We have substantial agreement with portions of the proposed order but are drafting an alternative for your consideration. We are working to submit it as soon as possible today.

Thank you and best regards,
JB

From: Bruce Brown [mailto:bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 10, 2019 12:13 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmic.net; Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>
Subject: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Dear Judge Grubbs:

I have attached Plaintiffs' proposed order on the motions heard by Your Honor yesterday. I circulated a draft of this proposed order yesterday afternoon to defendants' counsel, and again this morning, and have not received a response as to what changes they would recommend to it. Given the expedited nature of these proceedings, I thought it best to go ahead and submit Plaintiffs' proposed order without further delay.

We did have a conference call with defendants' counsel on discovery yesterday evening, both with respect to the terms of the proposed order and logistics. The parties did **not** agree on language for the proposed order with respect to discovery, but we did make progress on logistics, and Fulton County is readying machines for inspection.

I note that Part B(2) of the attached, which address the discovery of the DRE machines, references the paragraphs of the complaint that allege specific instances of machine malfunctions, except for paragraphs 42 and 43, which concerned locations in DeKalb County.

Many thanks,

Bruce Brown

Attorney for Plaintiffs

<20190111 Plaintiffs' Proposed Order.docx>

<20180111 Lt Gov Bernhard Affidavit Final Signed.pdf>

<Smith v. DeKalb County_ 288 Ga. App. 574.pdf>
<Michael Barnes Affidavit re discovery protocol pdf.pdf>

ROBBINS

GA007666

From: Burwell, Kaye <Kaye.Burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Sent: Monday, January 14, 2019 11:52 AM
To: Josh Belinfante; Bruce Brown
Cc: Adele Grubbs; Vincent Russo; Alexander Denton; laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H.; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Perkins-Hooker, Patrise; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Ringer, Cheryl; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Brian Lake; ccorreia@law.ga.gov
Subject: RE: Proposed Order: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Fulton County agrees with the Secretary. Thank you.

From: Josh Belinfante [mailto:Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com]
Sent: Monday, January 14, 2019 11:36 AM
To: Bruce Brown
Cc: Adele Grubbs; Vincent Russo; Alexander Denton; laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H.; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Perkins-Hooker, Patrise; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Ringer, Cheryl; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Brian Lake; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; Burwell, Kaye
Subject: Re: Proposed Order: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs:

For the Secretary, we agree with Mr. Brown's first statement. We disagree with the second, and we find the proposal to be unworkable and impossible to enforce.

We are not speaking for Fulton and DeKalb Counties, though they may agree.

Best regards,
JB

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 14, 2019, at 11:30 AM, Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com> wrote:

> Judge,
>
> Plaintiffs' position is in two parts: First, since members of the press and public are NOT signatories to the Protective Order governing confidential communication, the press and public should not be given access to discovery material that is confidential. Second, Plaintiffs position is that members of the press should be allowed to observe, from a distance that does not allow access to confidential information, the inspection of the election equipment.
>
> Thank you,
>
> Bruce Brown

From: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Date: Monday, January 14, 2019 at 11:08 AM
To: Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>
Cc: "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>, Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>, "laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net" <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, "kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov" <kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: Re: Proposed Order: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

I have received a communication from a gentleman, Mr. Wilke , who is a reporter seeking access to the discovery you are found. Please let me know your position on the issue.

Adele Grubbs

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 11, 2019, at 1:01 PM, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com> wrote:

> Judge Grubbs,
>
> Please note that the affidavit of Michael Barnes was signed and notarized. I had a typo in my email below referring to it as unsigned.
>
> Apologies for any confusion.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Vincent
>
> Sent from my iPhone
>
> On Jan 11, 2019, at 12:00 PM, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com> wrote:
>
> Judge Grubbs:
>
> The inspection that Plaintiffs' are now seeking would not only damage the voting machines, but it would expose highly confidential information about Georgia's voting system that would leave it vulnerable to attack. The Georgia Court of Appeals has already stated that the GEMS database should not be released due to the sensitivity of the information on it. Smith v. DeKalb County, 288 Ga. App. 574 (2007) (copy attached). Conversely, the scope of inspection proposed by Defendants would give

Plaintiffs access to information sufficient to determine whether the irregularities alleged in the Petition existed while continuing to protect Georgia's voting system. Attached is an unsigned affidavit of Michael Barnes, Director of the Center for Election Systems in the Secretary of State's Office, further discussing these issues.

In regard to the GEMS inspection, Mr. Barnes states that the GEMS reports that Defendants have proposed to generate from GEMS show how races in the database are related to political districts within the county and how those races are then related to the ballots generated. Accordingly, if there was a programming failure (i.e., failure to relate a race to a given district which results in it not be present on the ballot), it would appear in those reports according to Mr. Barnes.

Additionally, the ballot images report generated from GEMS shows which voter selections were collected by race within each ballot recorded by the DRE. The image report contains all races and associated candidates contained on the ballot, the candidate who was selected, and which candidates were not selected. Defendants' proposed reports from GEMS also show which individual races were present on each ballot style configured by GEMS, which also goes to the alleged irregularity regarding missing races and candidates. Finally, the Secretary of State's Office can perform a HASH verification of the GEMS program installed on the county computer, which would confirm whether the program has been altered. As indicated in Mr. Barnes' affidavit, if there were irregularities or failures with respect to programming, vote recordation, the appearance of candidates on a ballot in a given election, they would appear in these reports.

Next, in regard to the DRE machines, the inspection that we have proposed relates directly to the DRE irregularities alleged by Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs have alleged that candidate names were missing from ballots on DRE machines (Pet. at ¶ 41), issues with calibration of DRE touchscreens (Pet. at ¶ 41, 47), cancelled ballots on machines (Pet. at ¶ 44), and discrepancies in the vote counts on precinct poll tapes (Pet. at ¶ 46). As indicated in the attached affidavit of Michael Barnes, inspecting the DRE machines in post-election mode, using post-election memory cards that were used in the voting locations identified by Plaintiffs in the Petition would allow Plaintiffs to discover whether any of these alleged irregularities actually existed, to the extent there were any.

In contrast, the DRE inspection proposed by Plaintiffs would require removing the memory chip from the motherboard on each machine, which damages the machines and prevents elections officials from using them ever again. Like the GEMS access proposed by Plaintiffs, Mr. Barnes has also stated that Defendants' proposed DRE inspection would expose sensitive information that would give a roadmap for hacking the system. The Ballot Station program on the DRE only opens files that reside in a specifically named folder and then only opens files in that folder that have specific file extensions. According to Mr. Barnes' affidavit, the data is copied directly from a memory card, someone would know how files are organized and named on the memory card. If a computer researcher wanted to "hack" the system, that person would want to know what file folders and file extensions Ballot Station recognizes.

Defendants' proposed inspection for the DRE machines includes the post-election memory cards. According to Mr. Barnes, if one has the memory card used in an Election, one has a copy of what is on the machine's Internal Memory already

Defendants' have proposed a scope of discovery that is in line with our understanding of your intent at the hearing on Wednesday. Plaintiffs proposed inspection would not only damage voting machines, but it would also provide a roadmap or schematic of the system that someone would need in order to create virus or show how one could be created. Plaintiffs' proposed Order would sanction a fishing expedition that is beyond the factual allegations in the Petition, so we urge the Court to adopt Defendants' Proposed Order.

Kind regards,

Vincent Russo

Vincent R. Russo |*404.856.3260* | <image002.jpg>

From: Adele Grubbs [mailto:adele.grubbs@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, January 11, 2019 7:09 AM
To: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Cc: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>; laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov
Subject: Re: Proposed Order: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Please have ALL arguments about this order to me by Noon today so I can enter the order today.
Thank you.

Adele Grubbs
Senior Judge

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 11, 2019, at 12:48 AM, Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com> wrote:

> Judge Grubbs:
>
> Further to my email below on Defendants' proposed order (attached to Mr. Denton's 4:59 PM email), Plaintiffs have no objections to the changes Defendants propose to Parts A, but would urge the Court to adopt Plaintiffs' Part B, for the reasons set forth in the Affidavit

of Plaintiffs' expert Matt Bernhard, attached. I have attached to this email a Proposed Order that does just this: takes the Defendants' version of Part A, but Plaintiffs' prior version of Part B, the discovery provisions for the specific records and equipment included in the Court's ruling at the January 9, 2017 hearing. Plaintiffs have included in Part B the sentence proposed by Defendants – "Destructive testing shall not be permitted." (I note that no notary public was available tonight to notarize Mr. Bernhard's affidavit (he is out-of-state), but we will submit a notarized affidavit when it becomes available).

Mr. Bernhard explains in his affidavit that the inspection proposed by Defendants would be virtually useless, and how that the inspection that Plaintiffs proposes is the only way to discover system malfunctions and mis-programming.

Mr. Bernhard also references a Georgia law relating to the internal memory that is right on point. Georgia State Election Board Rule 183-1-12-.02 (6)(d) states:

> *The election results, ballot styles, ballot images, and other information for each election stored in the internal memory storage of each DRE unit shall be maintained for a minimum of one month following each election after which time the results may be erased provided that there are no election contests pending concerning such election.*

This Rule requires the counties to preserve the internal memory of the DRE machines for the specific purposes of having that memory available for inspection and review in an election contest. Fulton County's position that this information should not be made available in this election contest, therefore, is inconsistent with this rule and, for the additional reasons explained in Mr. Bernhard's affidavit, completely without merit.

As to the GEMS database, Mr. Bernhard explains that this can easily be produced on a CD to Plaintiffs' experts; it is not proprietary information and is crucially necessary for the examination.

Many thanks,

Bruce Brown

From: "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Date: Thursday, January 10, 2019 at 6:07 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>, "laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net" <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7Jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7Jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, "kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov" <kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: Re: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Dear Judge Grubbs:

With respect to Defendants' proposed order, relating specifically to discovery and inspection, Plaintiffs will be submitting later this evening a statement from one of our experts (a computer scientist specializing in voting systems) which will explain how the limitations that defendants would impose will render the inspection useless for the purposes of detecting the causes of any malfunction, or

misprogramming, either in the GEMS database or DRE machines, and is not a forensic examination that may detect programming errors (which is what we understand the Court instructed). We urge the Court to withhold considering the proposed order until the Court has the opportunity to consider that submission.

Respectfully,

Bruce Brown

From: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>
Date: Thursday, January 10, 2019 at 4:59 PM
To: Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>, Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: "laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net" <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7Jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7Jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, "kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov" <kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: RE: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs,

The Defendants have collaborated to prepare the attached proposed order for your consideration. It tracks the one Plaintiffs' counsel provided earlier today except as to those specific portions where they are not in agreement.

Thank you.

Alexander Denton |*404.856.3276* | <image001.jpg>

From: Josh Belinfante
Sent: Thursday, January 10, 2019 12:59 PM
To: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>; Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmic.net; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>
Subject: RE: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs:

Good afternoon. We have substantial agreement with portions of the proposed order but are drafting an alternative for your consideration. We are working to submit it as soon as possible today.

Thank you and best regards,
JB

From: Bruce Brown [mailto:bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 10, 2019 12:13 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmic.net; Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>
Subject: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Dear Judge Grubbs:

I have attached Plaintiffs' proposed order on the motions heard by Your Honor yesterday. I circulated a draft of this proposed order yesterday afternoon to defendants' counsel, and again this morning, and have not

received a response as to what changes they would recommend to it. Given the expedited nature of these proceedings, I thought it best to go ahead and submit Plaintiffs' proposed order without further delay.

We did have a conference call with defendants' counsel on discovery yesterday evening, both with respect to the terms of the proposed order and logistics. The parties did **not** agree on language for the proposed order with respect to discovery, but we did make progress on logistics, and Fulton County is readying machines for inspection.

I note that Part B(2) of the attached, which address the discovery of the DRE machines, references the paragraphs of the complaint that allege specific instances of machine malfunctions, except for paragraphs 42 and 43, which concerned locations in DeKalb County.

Many thanks,

Bruce Brown

Attorney for Plaintiffs

<20190111 Plaintiffs' Proposed Order.docx>

<20180111 Lt Gov Bernhard Affidavit Final Signed.pdf>

<Smith v. DeKalb County_ 288 Ga. App. 574.pdf>
<Michael Barnes Affidavit re discovery protocol pdf.pdf>

From: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, January 18, 2019 3:13 PM
To: Bruce Brown
Cc: Burwell, Kaye; Alexander Denton; Laila Tehrani; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H.; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Vincent Russo; Josh Belinfante; Perkins-Hooker, Patrise; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Ringer, Cheryl; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Brian Lake; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; Bryan Tyson
Subject: Re: Plaintiffs' Demand for Jury Trial: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418
Attachments: 20190118151003.pdf

Attached please find the Order dismissing Plaintiff's Petition.

Adele Grubbs
Senior Judge

On Wed, Jan 16, 2019 at 2:06 PM Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com> wrote:

> Judge,
>
> We are filing today a demand for trial by jury pursuant to O.C.G.A. 21-2-526, copy attached.
>
> Respectfully,
>
> Bruce Brown

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

Rhonda Martin, Smythe Duval, Jeanne Dufort	*	
	*	CIVIL ACTION
Plaintiffs,	*	FILE NO.: 2018CV313418
vs.	*	
	*	
Geoff Duncan.	*	
Fulton County Board of Registration and Elections,	*	
Gwinnett county Board of Registration And Elections	*	
	*	
Defendants.	*	
	*	

ORDER DISMISSING PLAINTIFFS' PETITION

The above and forgoing case having come on regularly to be heard with all parties represented by counsel and,

Plaintiffs having made Motions for Jury trial, see Fuller v. Thomas 284 Ga. 397 (2008), Continuance and to Compel Discovery and the Court having denied the same, and,

Plaintiffs having presented evidence and rested their case, and,

The Defendants having made a Motion for Involuntary Dismissal Pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 9-11-41(b),

It is hereby ordered as follows:

1.

O.C.G.A. § 9-11-41(b) states in material part –

"After the plaintiff, in an action tried by the court without a jury, has completed the presentation of his evidence, the defendant, without waiving his right to offer evidence in the event the motion is not granted, may move for dismissal on the ground that upon the fasts and the law the plaintiff has shown no right to relief."

2.

In this case the Plaintiffs contest the result of the election for Lieutenant Governor held November 6th, 2018, and request that the result be set aside and that a new election be held on paper ballots.

3.

The Plaintiffs have not shown any evidence that illegal votes have been received or legal votes rejected at the polls sufficient to change or place in doubt the result in the race for Lieutenant Governor held on November 6, 2018. O.C.G.A. §21-2-522.

4.

The Plaintiffs did presented evidence that the DRE system of voting used in Georgia has many problems and irregularities and is regarded as an outdated and inaccurate system of conducting a vote.

5.

In the particular race for Lieutenant Governor at issue here, the Plaintiffs showed that there were five instances of problems with voting at two precincts, that, of the 8 voting machines at the Winterville precinct in Clark County Georgia, 7 went decidedly Democratic and 1 went decidedly Republican and that there was a 4.5% undervote in the Lieutenant Governor race. There was no evidence of misconduct, fraud, or irregularity by any primary or election official or officials.

6.

"It is presumed that election results are valid, and the party contesting the Election has the burden of showing an irregularity or illegality sufficient to change place in doubt the result of the election" Hunt v. Crawford 270 GA.7 (1998). That case goes on to say "the setting aside of an election in which the people have chosen their representative is a drastic remedy that should not be undertaken lightly, but, instead should be reserved for cases in which the person challenging the election has clearly established a violation of election procedures and has demonstrated that the violation has placed the result of the election in doubt."

7.

In the Lieutenant Governor's race Geoff Duncan received 1,951,738 votes

and Sarah Amico received 1,828,566 votes, a difference of 123,172 votes. In the Lieutenant Governor's race there were 4.5% fewer voters than in the Governor's race. The numbers also show that Sarah Amico, a Democrat, also received more votes than those cast for the Democrat in the State-wide races for Commissioner of Agriculture, Commissioner of Insurance, State School Superintendent, and Commissioner of Labor. These number do not show any irregularity or illegality in themselves.

8.

"Where the focus is on improperly cast ballots or irregularities in the conduct of the election, the number of illegal of irregular ballots necessary to cast doubt on an election is derived by taking the difference between the total votes cast in the election and the race at issue, and adding the margin of victory in the race at issue" Fuller v. Thomas 284 Ga. 397 (2008)

9.

In the present case the most votes that the Plaintiff has shown that could be

in any way arguably considered irregular or illegal is approximately 32,000 votes. That assumes that all such votes would have been cast for Sarah Amico.

10.

Therefore, Plaintiffs Petition is hereby dismissed.

SO ORDERED this 18th day of January, **2019**



JUDGE ADELE P. GRUBBS
Senior Judge, State of Georgia

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE is attached.

From: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Sent: Wednesday, January 23, 2019 12:35 PM
To: Adele Grubbs
Cc: Burwell, Kaye; Alexander Denton; Laila Tehrani; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H.; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Vincent Russo; Josh Belinfante; Perkins-Hooker, Patrise; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Ringer, Cheryl; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Brian Lake; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; Bryan Tyson
Subject: Motion to Supplement the Record
Attachments: 20190123 Lt Gov Plaintiffs' Motion to Supplement the Record Final with Attachments and Proposed Order.pdf

Judge:

I have attached Plaintiffs' Motion to Supplement the Record with copies of email communications between the Court and counsel. At the end of the motion is a proposed order granting same.

Judge, we have filed a pre-notice of appeal motion for expedited consideration with the Georgia Supreme Court. Your Order dated January 18, 2019 fully disposes of the matter and is therefore appealable as a final judgment, and Plaintiffs will shortly be filing a formal notice of appeal.

Many thanks, again,

Respectfully,

Bruce Brown

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, Plaintiffs, v. ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al., Defendants.	Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO SUPPLEMENT THE RECORD

Plaintiffs move to supplement the record in this case with email communications between the Court and counsel, true and correct copies of which are attached hereto as Exhibit 1. A proposed order is attached hereto as Exhibit 2.

Respectfully submitted this 23rd day of January, 2019.

/s/ Bruce P. Brown

Bruce P. Brown
Georgia Bar No. 064460
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com
Bruce P. Brown Law LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE, Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700

Attorney for Plaintiffs

GA007683

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing via the Court's e-filing system to all counsel of record.

This 23rd day of January, 2019.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown

EXHIBIT

1

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV31348**

AFFIDAVIT OF BRUCE P. BROWN

Appeared before me, the undersigned officer duly authorized to administered oaths, Bruce P. Brown who, after being sworn, states as follows:

1. I represent the Plaintiffs in this action. I am qualified to give this affidavit, which is based on my personal knowledge.

2. Attached hereto are true and correct copies of emails between the Superior Court and counsel in this case:

A. Email from Judge Grubbs to Counsel dated December 7, 2018;

B. Email from Bruce P. Brown to Judge Grubbs dated January 10, 2019, with attached Plaintiffs' Proposed Order;

C. Email from Bruce P. Brown to Judge Grubbs dated January 11, 2019 (12:04 p.m.), with attached Plaintiffs' Brief in Support of Proposed Order Regarding Inspection of Certain Equipment dated January 11, 2019;

D. Email from Bruce P. Brown to Judge Grubbs dated January 11, 2019 (12:45 p.m.);

E. Email from Judge Grubbs to Counsel dated January 11, 2019 (1:29 p.m.);

F. Email from Bruce P. Brown to Judge Grubbs dated January 14, 2019 (11:05 p.m.);

G. Email from Bruce P. Brown to Judge Grubbs dated January 15, 2019 (6:18 p.m.);

H. Email from Bruce P. Brown to Judge Grubbs dated January 15, 2019 (5:23 p.m.);

I. Email from Bruce P. Brown to Judge Grubbs dated January 16, 2019 (2:06 p.m.);

J. Email from Bruce P. Brown to Judge Grubbs dated January 11, 2019 (9:30 a.m.).

Further Affiant sayeth not.

Bruce P. Brown

Sworn to and subscribed before me:
On this 23 day of January, 2019

Notary Public, State of Georgia
My Commission Expires: ~~My Commission Expires~~ February 16, 2020

SEAL

EXHIBIT

A

Subject: Re: Proposed Preservation Order; Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418 [IWOV-imanage.FID780744]

Date: Friday, December 7, 2018 at 11:31:36 AM Eastern Standard Time

From: Adele Grubbs

To: DWare@hallboothsmith.com

CC: Bruce Brown, richard.carothers@carmitch.com, sue@carmitch.com, brian.dempsey@carmitch.com, bpt@sbllaw.net, edward.lindsey@dentons.com, vernstes@dekalbcountyga.gov, Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov, Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov, bdbryan@dekalbcountyga.gov, chall@lmjc.net, Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com, Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com, Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com, ccorreia@law.ga.gov, RBritt@hallboothsmith.com, PCunningham@hallboothsmith.com, lkjohnson@dekalbcountyga.gov, vrusso@robbinsfirm.com

Attachments: image001.jpg, image001.jpg

Counsel,

The Plaintiff's Motion for expedited discovery will be heard on January 9th 2019 along with all the other Motions. If it is deemed necessary to move the trial date at that time the Court will consider it.

Adele Grubbs
Senior Judge

On Fri, Dec 7, 2018 at 10:40 AM David Ware <DWare@hallboothsmith.com> wrote:

> Judge Grubbs: good morning Your Honor.
>
> On behalf of the DeKalb County Board of Registration and Elections (DBRE), we just wanted to make the court aware that once served DBRE intends to move to dismiss the complaint as to it. Moreover, DeKalb County opposes the proffered "Emergency" Request for Inspection and believe it to lack merit. Once served, DBRE plans to file a response in opposition.
>
> Thank you for your time and consideration.
>
> R. David Ware
>
> Partner
>
> Hall Booth Smith, P.C., Attorneys at Law

191 Peachtree Street

Suite 2900

Atlanta, GA. 30303

dware@hallboothsmith.com

(404) 586-6619

(404) 402-0204 (cell)

www.hallboothsmith.com

Notice of Confidentiality:

This communication constitutes an electronic communication within the meaning of the Electronic Communications Privacy Act, 18 U.S.C. Section 2510, and its disclosure is strictly limited to the recipient intended by the sender of this message. This communication, and any attachments thereto, contain confidential attorney-client privileged information and attorney work product. If you are not the intended recipient, any disclosure, viewing, copying, distribution or use of any of the information contained in or attached to this communication is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please notify us immediately by replying to the message and deleting it from your computer. Thank you.

From: Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>
Sent: Friday, December 07, 2018 8:34 AM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; David Ware <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>; vernstes@dekalbcountyga.gov; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; bdbryan@dekalbcountyga.gov; chall@lmjc.net; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>; Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; Russell Britt <RBritt@hallboothsmith.com>; Pearson Cunningham <PCunningham@hallboothsmith.com>; Laura K. Johnson <lkjohnson@dekalbcountyga.gov>
Subject: Re: Proposed Preservation Order; Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418 [IWOV-imanage.FID780744]

Judge Grubbs:

If Plaintiffs' counsel would like to argue the discovery and preservation issues, we can be available today for a hearing. Fundamental problems with Plaintiffs' preservation and purported emergency discovery request were noted at the hearing. The runoff election has already occurred and special elections are forthcoming. More importantly, Defendant Crittenden has a pending Motion to Dismiss, which speaks to several threshold issues warranting dismissal of Plaintiffs' specious election contest. To date, Plaintiffs have not filed a response to our

motion, and instead continue requesting unnecessary discovery.

Defendants' Proposed Preservation Order makes clear that evidence relevant to the claims should be preserved, but it also takes a reasonable and practical approach to the preservation process. Please let us know how you would like us to proceed.

Kind regards,

Vincent Russo

Sent from my iPhone

On Dec 7, 2018, at 7:56 AM, Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com> wrote:

Judge Grubbs,

Further to the issue of the proposed preservation orders, I have attached a document that compares Plaintiffs' Proposed Preservation Order and Defendants' Proposed Preservation Order. I note several things:

1. The parties agree on the description of the electronic and other evidence that is to be covered in the indented paragraphs 1, 2 and 3.

2. The long paragraphs added by the Defendants beneath the indented paragraphs concern the issue that I mentioned at the hearing Wednesday about how the continued use of the machines in election after election wipes the memory clean, according to our experts, and thus destroys potential evidence explaining why these machines have been malfunctioning. We therefore strongly object to an order that would give the State carte blanche to continue the destruction this evidence by overwriting the memory and changing the programming. Particularly inappropriate, Plaintiffs' believe, is the sentence added by Defendants stating: "Toward that end, this Order does not prohibit or otherwise limit the Defendants' use of any voting or election system equipment referenced herein, or alter any obligations of Defendants under Georgia law with respect to storage or preservation of election materials." This sentence renders the entire Preservation Order ineffective, and would allow the State to erase the evidence explaining the causes and extent of the systems' malfunctions.

   In Plaintiffs' view, the Court's instructions Wednesday at the hearing reasonably accommodates any legitimate concern that Defendants may have. The Court twice instructed the undersigned to draft the Order to say: "defendants shall preserve evidence as far as possible." That is what Plaintiffs' Proposed

Order provides.

3. However the preservation issue is resolved, Plaintiffs agree that it is imperative that discovery proceed immediately so that this evidence can be fully examined before there is any need (or temptation) to use this equipment (and wipe or overwrite the evidence) in upcoming elections.

Plaintiffs therefore request that the Court consider Plaintiffs' Emergency Motion for Inspection, filed on Thursday, November 29 (copy attached, with proposed order attached to it). Since defendants are not using the equipment now for elections, this is an ideal time to perform the inspections necessary to determine the causes of the system's malfunctioning. A proper inspection of the equipment may take some time, and the trial in January will be upon us quickly. Such an inspection will also be in the public interest and can be undertaken without prejudice to Defendants' opportunity to file and argue motions closer to trial.

Respectfully submitted,

Bruce Brown

Attorney for Plaintiffs

From: Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>
Date: Thursday, December 6, 2018 at 7:21 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, David Ware <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, "vernstes@dekalbcountyga.gov" <vernstes@dekalbcountyga.gov>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "bdbryan@dekalbcountyga.gov" <bdbryan@dekalbcountyga.gov>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>, Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>,

"ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, Russell Britt <RBritt@hallboothsmith.com>, Pearson Cunningham <PCunningham@hallboothsmith.com>, "Laura K. Johnson" <lkjohnson@dekalbcountyga.gov>
Subject: RE: Proposed Preservation Order; Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418 [IWOV-imanage.FID780744]

Judge Grubbs:

The attached Proposed Preservation Order is submitted on behalf of all Defendants, with the express permission of their counsel. Despite a good faith effort, the parties were unable to reach an agreement on reasonable terms for a joint Proposed Preservation Order. Accordingly, Defendants respectfully submit the attached Proposed Preservation Order for your consideration.

Kind regards,

Vincent Russo

Vincent R. Russo

Member
ROBBINS ♦ ROSS ♦ ALLOY ♦ BELINFANTE ♦ LITTLEFIELD LLC
500 14th Street, NW
Atlanta, GA 30318
404.856.3260 (Direct)
678.701.9381 (Main)
404.856.3250 (Fax)

www.robbinsfirm.com

From: Bruce Brown [mailto:bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com]
Sent: Thursday, December 06, 2018 6:06 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; David Ware <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>; vernstes@dekalbcountyga.gov; Patrise.Perkins-

Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; bdbryan@dekalbcountyga.gov; chall@lmjc.net; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>; Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; Russell Britt <RBritt@hallboothsmith.com>; Pearson Cunningham <PCunningham@hallboothsmith.com>; Laura K. Johnson <lkjohnson@dekalbcountyga.gov>
Subject: Proposed Preservation Order; Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418 [IWOV-imanage.FID780744]

Judge Grubbs:

I have attached Plaintiffs' Proposed Preservation Order. Defendants reviewed a prior draft and suggested significant changes; Plaintiffs agreed to some edits, but the parties were unfortunately unable to reach an agreement on the terms. Defendants will accordingly be submitting their own proposal to your Honor.

Respectfully submitted,

Bruce Brown

Attorney for Plaintiffs

<Comparison of Proposed Orders.docx>

<20181129 LtGov Final Stamped Rule 34 Motion with Exhibits (4).pdf>

EXHIBIT

B

Subject: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Date: Thursday, January 10, 2019 at 12:12:36 PM Eastern Standard Time

From: Bruce Brown

To: Adele Grubbs

CC: laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net, richard.carothers@carmitch.com, sue@carmitch.com, brian.dempsey@carmitch.com, bpt@sbllaw.net, Lindsey, Jr., Edward H., Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org, Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov, DWare@hallboothsmith.com, Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov, JOvercash@7Jad.com, csims@7Jad.com, chall@lmjc.net, Josh Belinfante, Vincent Russo, Brian Lake, ccorreia@law.ga.gov, kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov, Alexander Denton

Attachments: 20190110 Lt Gov Proposed Order On Motions.docx

Dear Judge Grubbs:
I have attached Plaintiffs' proposed order on the motions heard by Your Honor yesterday. I circulated a draft of this proposed order yesterday afternoon to defendants' counsel, and again this morning, and have not received a response as to what changes they would recommend to it. Given the expedited nature of these proceedings, I thought it best to go ahead and submit Plaintiffs' proposed order without further delay.
We did have a conference call with defendants' counsel on discovery yesterday evening, both with respect to the terms of the proposed order and logistics. The parties did **not** agree on language for the proposed order with respect to discovery, but we did make progress on logistics, and Fulton County is readying machines for inspection.
I note that Part B(2) of the attached, which address the discovery of the DRE machines, references the paragraphs of the complaint that allege specific instances of machine malfunctions, except for paragraphs 42 and 43, which concerned locations in DeKalb County.
Many thanks,
Bruce Brown
Attorney for Plaintiffs

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, et al., **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV313418**

[PROPOSED] ORDER ON PENDING MOTIONS

This case came before the Court for a non-evidentiary hearing on the following pending motions: (a) the motions to dismiss filed by each of the defendants: Defendant Robyn A Crittenden ("the Secretary), Defendant Geoff Duncan ("Duncan"), Defendant Fulton County Board of Registration and Elections ("Fulton County Board"), and Defendant Gwinnett County Board of Registration and Elections ("the Gwinnett Board") (collectively "the Motions to Dismiss"); (b) Plaintiffs' Emergency Motion for Inspection of Electronic Election Equipment and Production of Documents ("Plaintiffs' Motion for Inspection"); and (c) Plaintiffs'

Motion for Perservation of Specific Electronic Election Records in Gordon and Murray Counties. After considering the briefs and responses, and arguments of consel, the Court rules as follows:

A. Motions to Dismiss

The Motions to Dismiss raised several distinct issues, ruled upon below:

1. Secretary as Proper Defendant

The Secretary moved to dismiss the Secretary as a party to Count I of the Petition (the Election Contest Claim), arguing that it is not a proper "Defendant" as that term is used in the Election Statute, O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2). The Court agrees. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2) defines Defendant as "(C) the election superintendent or superintendents who conducted the contested primary or election." O.C.G.A. § 21-2-2(35) in term defines "superintendent" as follows:

> Either the judge of the probate court of a county or the county board of elections, the county board of elections and registration, the joint city-county board of election, or the joint city-county board of elections and registrations, if a county has such.

The Secretary does not fall within any of the definitions of "superintendent."

Plaintiffs argue, among other things, that the definition of "election superintendent" found in Chapter 4 of Title 21 should control. O.C.G.A. § 21-4-3(A) defines "election superintendent": "In the case of any elected state officers, the Secretary of State." This definition, however, is found in Chapter 4, not

GA007699

Chapter 2, and this election contest concerns Chapter 2. The Court disagrees, and holds that the definition of "superintendent" in the election contest chapter controls and, since the Secretary does not fall within that definition, the Secretary is not a proper party defendant to Count I and should be dismissed from that Count.

2. Whether 159 Counties are Necessary Parties

Fulton County Board and Gwinnett County Board concede that they are proper defendants, but argue that the other 157 counties must be joined in the state-wide election contest. In support of this argument, Gwinnett County Board cites O.C.G.A. § 21-2-520(2)(C). That section, however, would allow other counties to be joined to this action, but does not require such joinder. In addition, O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(b) gives the Court "plenary power, throughout the area in which the contested primary or election was conducted, to make, issue, and enforce all necessary orders, rules, processes, and decrees for a full and proper understanding and final determination and enforcement of the decision of every such case." The joinder of the other 157 counties, therefore, is not necessary and the motions to this extent are denied.

3. Service of Process

In their briefs, Defendants argued that the Petition should be dismissed because service had not been perfected on the Defendants. Defendants now

concede that they have been properly served, and the motions to this extent are denied.

4. Coalition as Party to Count I

Defendants contend that Plaintiff Coalition for Good Governance is not a proper plaintiff to Count I, the election contest. Coalition does not disagree and has stipulated that it is not a party to Count I. Defendants' motion to this extent is granted as unopposed.

5. Failure to State a Claim

Defendants argue that the Petition fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted. The Court disagrees. Defendants have failed to carry their burden of showing that Plaintiffs "would not be entitled to relief under any state of provable facts asserted" in the complaint or that Plaintiffs "could not possibly introduce evidence within the framework of the complaint sufficient to warrant a grant of the relief sought." *Scouten v. Amerisave Mortg. Corp.,* 283 Ga. 72, 73 (2008) (citations omitted). The motions for failure to state a claim, therefore, are denied.

B. Plaintiffs' Motion for Inspection

Plaintiffs' Motion for Inspection is denied. The Court, however, will allow the following inspections and discovery:

1. Plaintiffs are entitled to inspect the "GEMS" servers and GEMS databases or complete electronic copies thereof for the November 2018 elections that are maintained by the Gwinnett County Board and the Fulton County Board.

2. Plaintiffs are entitled to conduct a forensic inspection of the DRE machines, including the internal memories of those machines, that were used in the voting locations identified by Plaintiffs in the Petition, paragraphs 40 and 41, and paragraphs 44 – 48. Plaintiffs are ordered to conduct the inspection and copying so as to not in any way damage the DRE machines.

3. Defendants and Plaintiff shall enter into an appropriate protective order preserving the confidentiality of confidential information, if any, obtained in this discovery.

C. Plaintiffs' Motion Relating to Gordon and Murray Counties

This motion, which concerned the January 8, 2019 elections in Gordon and Murray, is denied as moot.

SO ORDERED this __ day of January, 2018.

_____
Honorable Adele P. Grubbs
Senior Judge, State of Georgia

Prepared by: /s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Counsel for Plaintiffs

EXHIBIT C

Subject: Re: Proposed Order: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Date: Friday, January 11, 2019 at 12:04:59 PM Eastern Standard Time

From: Bruce Brown

To: Adele Grubbs

CC: Vincent Russo, Alexander Denton, laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net, richard.carothers@carmitch.com, sue@carmitch.com, brian.dempsey@carmitch.com, bpt@sbllaw.net, Josh Belinfante, Lindsey, Jr., Edward H., Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org, Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov, DWare@hallboothsmith.com, Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov, JOvercash@7Jad.com, csims@7Jad.com, chall@lmjc.net, Brian Lake, ccorreia@law.ga.gov, kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov

Attachments: 20190111 Lt Gov Plaintiffs' Brief ISO propsed order.pdf, image001.jpg, image002.jpg

Judge,
Please see the attached brief.
Many thanks,
Bruce Brown

From: Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>
Date: Friday, January 11, 2019 at 12:01 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>, "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Cc: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>, "laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net" <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, "kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov" <kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: RE: Proposed Order: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs:

The inspection that Plaintiffs' are now seeking would not only damage the voting machines, but it would expose highly confidential information about Georgia's voting system that would leave it vulnerable to attack. The Georgia Court of Appeals has already stated that the GEMS database should not be released due to the sensitivity of the information on it. Smith v. DeKalb County, 288 Ga. App. 574 (2007) (copy attached). Conversely, the scope of inspection proposed by Defendants would give Plaintiffs access to information sufficient to determine whether the irregularities alleged in the Petition existed while continuing to protect Georgia's voting system. Attached is an unsigned affidavit of Michael Barnes, Director of the Center for Election Systems in the Secretary of State's Office, further discussing these issues.

In regard to the GEMS inspection, Mr. Barnes states that the GEMS reports that Defendants have proposed to generate from GEMS show how races in the database are related to political districts within the county and how those races are then related to the ballots generated. Accordingly, if there was a programming failure (i.e., failure to relate a race to a given district which results in it not be present on the ballot), it would appear in those reports according to Mr. Barnes.

Additionally, the ballot images report generated from GEMS shows which voter selections were collected by race within each ballot recorded by the DRE. The image report contains all races and associated candidates contained on the ballot, the candidate who was selected, and which candidates were not selected. Defendants' proposed reports from GEMS also show which individual races were present on each ballot style configured by GEMS, which also goes to the alleged irregularity regarding missing races and candidates. Finally, the Secretary of State's Office can perform a HASH verification of the GEMS program installed on the county computer, which would confirm whether the program has been altered. As indicated in Mr. Barnes' affidavit, if there were irregularities or failures with respect to programming, vote recordation, the appearance of candidates on a ballot in a given election, they would appear in these reports.

Next, in regard to the DRE machines, the inspection that we have proposed relates directly to the DRE irregularities alleged by Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs have alleged that candidate names were missing from ballots on DRE machines (Pet. at ¶ 41), issues with calibration of DRE touchscreens (Pet. at ¶ 41, 47), cancelled ballots on machines (Pet. at ¶ 44), and discrepancies in the vote counts on precinct poll tapes (Pet. at ¶ 46). As indicated in the attached affidavit of Michael Barnes, inspecting the DRE machines in post-election mode, using post-election memory cards that were used in the voting locations identified by Plaintiffs in the Petition would allow Plaintiffs to discover whether any of these alleged irregularities actually existed, to the extent there were any.

In contrast, the DRE inspection proposed by Plaintiffs would require removing the memory chip from the motherboard on each machine, which damages the machines and prevents elections officials from using them ever again. Like the GEMS access proposed by Plaintiffs, Mr. Barnes has also stated that Defendants' proposed DRE inspection would expose sensitive information that would give a roadmap for hacking the system. The Ballot Station program on the DRE only opens files that reside in a specifically named folder and then only opens files in that folder that have specific file extensions. According to Mr. Barnes' affidavit, the data is copied directly from a memory card, someone would know how files are organized and named on the memory card. If a computer researcher wanted to "hack" the system, that person would want to know what file folders and file extensions Ballot Station recognizes.

Defendants' proposed inspection for the DRE machines includes the post-election memory cards. According to Mr. Barnes, if one has the memory card used in an Election, one has a copy of what is on the machine's Internal Memory already

Defendants' have proposed a scope of discovery that is in line with our understanding of your intent at the hearing on Wednesday. Plaintiffs proposed inspection would not only damage voting machines, but it would also provide a roadmap or schematic of the system that someone would need in order to create virus or show how one could be created. Plaintiffs' proposed Order would sanction a fishing expedition that is beyond the factual allegations in the Petition, so we urge the Court to adopt Defendants' Proposed Order.

Kind regards,

Vincent Russo

From: Adele Grubbs [mailto:adele.grubbs@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, January 11, 2019 7:09 AM
To: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Cc: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>; laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov
Subject: Re: Proposed Order: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Please have ALL arguments about this order to me by Noon today so I can enter the order today.
Thank you.

Adele Grubbs
Senior Judge

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 11, 2019, at 12:48 AM, Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com> wrote:

Judge Grubbs:

Further to my email below on Defendants' proposed order (attached to Mr. Denton's 4:59 PM email), Plaintiffs have no objections to the changes Defendants propose to Parts A, but would urge the Court to adopt Plaintiffs' Part B, for the reasons set forth in the Affidavit of Plaintiffs' expert Matt Bernhard, attached. I have attached to this email a Proposed Order that does just this: takes the Defendants' version of Part A, but Plaintiffs' prior version of Part B, the discovery provisions for the specific records and equipment included in the Court's ruling at the January 9, 2017 hearing. Plaintiffs have included in Part B the sentence proposed by Defendants – "Destructive testing shall not be permitted." (I note that no notary public was available tonight to notarize Mr. Bernhard's affidavit (he is out-of-state), but we will submit a notarized affidavit when it becomes available).

Mr. Bernhard explains in his affidavit that the inspection proposed by Defendants would be virtually useless, and how that the inspection that

Plaintiffs proposes is the only way to discover system malfunctions and mis-programming.

Mr. Bernhard also references a Georgia law relating to the internal memory that is right on point. Georgia State Election Board Rule 183-1-12-.02 (6)(d) states:

> *The election results, ballot styles, ballot images, and other information for each election stored in the internal memory storage of each DRE unit shall be maintained for a minimum of one month following each election after which time the results may be erased provided that there are no election contests pending concerning such election.*

This Rule requires the counties to preserve the internal memory of the DRE machines for the specific purposes of having that memory available for inspection and review in an election contest. Fulton County's position that this information should not be made available in this election contest, therefore, is inconsistent with this rule and, for the additional reasons explained in Mr. Bernhard's affidavit, completely without merit.

As to the GEMS database, Mr. Bernhard explains that this can easily be produced on a CD to Plaintiffs' experts; it is not proprietary information and is crucially necessary for the examination.

Many thanks,

Bruce Brown

From: "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Date: Thursday, January 10, 2019 at 6:07 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>, "laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net" <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>,

"JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7Jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7Jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, "kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov" <kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: Re: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Dear Judge Grubbs:

With respect to Defendants' proposed order, relating specifically to discovery and inspection, Plaintiffs will be submitting later this evening a statement from one of our experts (a computer scientist specializing in voting systems) which will explain how the limitations that defendants would impose will render the inspection useless for the purposes of detecting the causes of any malfunction, or misprogramming, either in the GEMS database or DRE machines, and is not a forensic examination that may detect programming errors (which is what we understand the Court instructed). We urge the Court to withhold considering the proposed order until the Court has the opportunity to consider that submission.

Respectfully,

Bruce Brown

From: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>
Date: Thursday, January 10, 2019 at 4:59 PM
To: Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>, Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: "laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net" <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7Jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7Jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, "kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov" <kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: RE: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs,

The Defendants have collaborated to prepare the attached proposed order for your consideration. It tracks the one Plaintiffs' counsel provided earlier today except as to those specific portions where they are not in agreement.

Thank you.

Alexander Denton |*404.856.3276* | ROBBINS

From: Josh Belinfante
Sent: Thursday, January 10, 2019 12:59 PM
To: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>; Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>
Subject: RE: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs:

Good afternoon. We have substantial agreement with portions of the proposed order but are drafting an alternative for your consideration. We are working to submit it as soon as possible today.

Thank you and best regards,
JB

From: Bruce Brown [mailto:bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 10, 2019 12:13 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>
Subject: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Dear Judge Grubbs:
I have attached Plaintiffs' proposed order on the motions heard by Your Honor yesterday. I circulated a draft of this proposed order yesterday afternoon to defendants' counsel, and again this morning, and have not received a response as to what changes they would recommend to it. Given the expedited nature of these proceedings, I thought it best to go ahead and submit Plaintiffs' proposed order without further delay.
We did have a conference call with defendants' counsel on discovery yesterday evening, both with respect to the terms of the proposed order and logistics. The parties did **not** agree on language for the proposed order with respect to discovery, but we did make progress on

logistics, and Fulton County is readying machines for inspection.
I note that Part B(2) of the attached, which address the discovery of the DRE machines, references the paragraphs of the complaint that allege specific instances of machine malfunctions, except for paragraphs 42 and 43, which concerned locations in DeKalb County.
Many thanks,
Bruce Brown
Attorney for Plaintiffs

<20190111 Plaintiffs' Proposed Order.docx>

<20180111 Lt Gov Bernhard Affidavit Final Signed.pdf>

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, Plaintiffs, v. ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al., Defendants.	Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

PLAINTIFFS' BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF PROPOSED ORDER REGARDING INSPECTION OF CERTAIN EQUIPMENT

This brief addresses the two key differences between the parties' proposed orders on inspection and discovery of electronic election equipment: the GEMS database and the DRE machines.

A. GEMS Database

Defendants contend that discovery of the GEMS database should be limited to the output of the databases that is publicly available under the Open Records Act. As explained by Plaintiffs' expert Matt Bernhard in his affidavit, this information is virtually useless in determining the causes, quantification, and impact of programming errors or other machine malfunctions.

Plaintiffs' proposed order, on the other hand, would simply require Fulton and Gwinnett Counties to provide the GEMS database, an unencrypted and non-

confidential electronic database that can be reviewed efficiently when an expert is provided with an electronic copy. Such copies can be created in a matter of minutes by the Defendant counties. The GEMS database contains routine election data and no proprietary software or trade secrets. The county election data is simply loaded into a generic Microsoft Access database to create the GEMS database, which contains no proprietary source code or highly sensitive information. Unlike the print-outs that the Defendants would produce, the GEMS database may hold important clues as to where mis-programming, viruses, malfunctions or hacks occurred, and the number of machines and votes potentially affected.

The GEMS database would be the first, most efficient, and easiest place an expert would look for the cause and to quantify the impact of the irregularities. Both the County and the Secretary of State keep copies of the pre-election and post-election GEMS databases. Either office could produce and transmit an electronic copy within minutes, as Fulton County was asked to do on Wednesday, January 9.

As noted in the Affidavit of Matthew Bernhard, the GEMS database is merely a commercially available Microsoft database product (MSAccess), and can be read by anyone with a subscription to the Microsoft program. It contains election data, and no proprietary or sensitive information. All information in the database should be public record under Georgia's open record laws. There is no

reasonable way to compromise the security of future elections by reviewing the GEMS database files. To illustrate the non-proprietary nature of the information in a GEMS database, Plaintiffs will promptly provide the Court and parties with a link to the GEMS database from Marin County, California and illustrative screenshots of example contents, in a supplemental exhibit not available at the time of this filing.

Defendants may contend that the GEMS database contains proprietary, sensitive or confidential information. This is simply not the case, as illustrated by the decision of other states' to makes their GEMS' databases a public record. But even if there were some truth to Defendants' assertions, that concern is addressed by the execution of an appropriate confidentiality order. Defendants cannot avoid liability simply by claiming that evidence is confidential – not in an election contest and not in any other case.

B. DRE Machines

There are two basic disagreements between the parties concerning the DRE machines: the scope of what may be examined on each DRE, and which DREs may be examined.

1. Scope of Investigation

As to the scope, Defendants would limit the "inspection" to a running of the machines in "post-election" mode, again, a virtually useless exercise that is unlikely to yield any meaningful information. Plaintiffs instead propose what was

discussed at the January 9, 2019 hearing: a normal forensic examination that would include review of the internal memory of the machines.

As to the internal memory of the DRE machines, Georgia's Election Code Rule contemplates that the internal memory of the DRE machines would be an available record for review by parties in an election contest involving DRE machines. Georgia State Election Board Rule 183-1-12-.02 (6)(d) provides:

> *The election results, ballot styles, ballot images, and other information for each election* ***stored in the internal memory storage of each DRE unit*** *shall be maintained for a minimum of one month following each election after which time the results may be erased provided that there are no election contests pending concerning such election.*

As Mr. Bernhard explains in his affidavit, inspection of the internal memory is vitally necessary to determine the causes and impact of any programming errors. The internal memory includes the programming and operating information, both of which must be reviewed for malfunction, viruses, tampering or mis-programming.

2. DRE Machines to Inspect

Plaintiffs' proposed order is based on its understanding of what the Court ruled at the hearing. At the hearing, the Court referenced the specific location that were identified in the Petition, noting specifically that the locations in DeKalb County were not included. This is why Plaintiffs have referenced the non-DeKalb locations identified in the Petition, which are

paragraphs 40 and 41, and paragraphs 44 – 48, which include Henry County, Fulton County and Worthy County.

Note on Current Status

Just four business days before trial, neither the Defendants nor the Secretary of State (who owns the equipment and has copies of the GEMS databases) have not made any records or equipment available for inspection, and have not given Plaintiffs any indication of when this will be done. This is despite repeated requests from Plaintiffs for this information. Plaintiffs assume Defendants are acting in good faith, but nevertheless the effect of their actions is to "run out the clock" and prevent any meaningful investigation of the equipment prior to trial.

Plaintiffs have been diligent in prosecuting this case and seeking this discovery. Plaintiffs' motion for this inspection was filed six weeks ago, on November 29, 2018, and Plaintiffs have been doing everything possible to expedite this process, including obtaining relevant documents through a cumbersome and expensive process of public records requests to attempt to build a database of election records for quick reference when analyzing records that cannot be reasonably obtained except through the discovery process.

Plaintiffs recognize the impossibility of conducting examinations and preparing meaningful expert's reports for the Court prior to the scheduled trial on January 17, 2019. The election contest statute gives this Court plenary power over discovery and scheduling so that the Court can gain a "full and proper

understanding" of the case. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(b). Although time is of the essence in an election contest case, the election contest statute makes it clear that sufficient time should be taken to fully explore the facts and issues involved. The statute gives the Court the power to fix multiple hearing dates, to issue decrees, to issue subpoenas, and to compel and take testimony. Election contest cases are unusual is some respects, of course, but the election contest statute repeatedly states that the case is supposed to proceed "according to the course of practice in other civil cases," and conducted "in like manner and to the same extent as in other civil cases litigated before such court," all for the purpose of allowing the Court to gain a "full and proper understanding" of the matter. *Id.*

A proper forensic examination of this electronic equipment is absolutely essential so that the Court can gain an understanding of the causes and extent of malfunctions that plagued the November 2018 election. This is not a fishing expedition: Plaintiffs have already obtained hard evidence and eye-witness accounts of machine malfunctions and irregularities from all corners of the state, as well as apparent tabulation errors, and have presented and opinions from two leading experts who have concluded that the only plausible explanation for the aberrant election result pattern is system malfunction. Deliberate and exacting expert review of electronic records stored in the Defendant's equipment and files are essential for this Court's understanding of the impact of the malfunctions on the election results.

Plaintiffs respectfully request that the Court order Defendants to immediately produce the specific electronic records and machines as contemplated in the Court's order of January 9, 2019. Undertaking this effort immediately may provide an established path for additional discovery, including certain paper records, such as poll tapes, that will be required and promptly requested by Plaintiffs.

Respectfully submitted this 11th day of January, 2019.

/s/ Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Georgia Bar No. 064460
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com
Bruce P. Brown Law LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE, Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700

Attorney for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing via the Court's e-filing system to the attorneys of record who have made appearances.

This 11th day of January, 2019.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown

EXHIBIT

D

Subject: Appointment of Special Master and Continuance: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Date: Friday, January 11, 2019 at 12:45:36 PM Eastern Standard Time

From: Bruce Brown

To: Adele Grubbs

CC: Vincent Russo, Alexander Denton, laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net, richard.carothers@carmitch.com, sue@carmitch.com, brian.dempsey@carmitch.com, bpt@sbllaw.net, Josh Belinfante, Lindsey, Jr., Edward H., Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org, Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov, DWare@hallboothsmith.com, Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov, JOvercash@7Jad.com, csims@7Jad.com, chall@lmjc.net, Brian Lake, ccorreia@law.ga.gov, kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov

Judge Grubbs:

In light of the technical issues involved in the discovery and inspection, and the time constraints involved, Plaintiffs anticipate filing this afternoon a Motion for the Appointment of a Special Master under Uniform Superior Court Rule 46(A)(1)(C) and for a continuance of the trial. Before doing so, however, Plaintiffs will speak with counsel for Defendants to see if such a motion may be filed jointly, or whether Defendants would agree to all or part of such relief.

Many thanks,

Bruce Brown

EXHIBIT E

Subject: Re: Proposed Order: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Date: Friday, January 11, 2019 at 1:29:39 PM Eastern Standard Time

From: Adele Grubbs

To: Vincent Russo

CC: Bruce Brown, Alexander Denton, laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net, richard.carothers@carmitch.com, sue@carmitch.com, brian.dempsey@carmitch.com, bpt@sbllaw.net, Josh Belinfante, Lindsey, Jr., Edward H., Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org, Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov, DWare@hallboothsmith.com, Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov, JOvercash@7Jad.com, csims@7Jad.com, chall@lmjc.net, Brian Lake, ccorreia@law.ga.gov, kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov

Attachments: image003.jpg, 20190111132600.pdf

Counsel,

Attached is the Order entered in this case. There will b no continuance or Special Master. This is an election contest only case that must be expedited.

If there are issue as the Plaintiffs conduct of discovery I will take them up by e mail or conference call if necessary.

Adele Grubbs
Senior Judge

On Fri, Jan 11, 2019 at 1:01 PM Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com> wrote:

> Judge Grubbs,
>
> Please note that the affidavit of Michael Barnes was signed and notarized. I had a typo in my email below referring to it as unsigned.
>
> Apologies for any confusion.
>
> Thanks,
>
> Vincent
>
> Sent from my iPhone
>
> On Jan 11, 2019, at 12:00 PM, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com> wrote:
>
> Judge Grubbs:
>
> The inspection that Plaintiffs' are now seeking would not only damage the voting machines, but it would expose highly confidential information about Georgia's voting system that would leave it vulnerable to attack. The Georgia Court of Appeals has already stated that the GEMS database should not be released due to the sensitivity of the information on it. Smith v. DeKalb County, 288 Ga. App. 574 (2007) (copy attached). Conversely, the scope of inspection proposed by Defendants would give Plaintiffs access to information sufficient to determine whether the irregularities alleged in the Petition existed while continuing to protect Georgia's voting system. Attached is an unsigned affidavit of Michael Barnes, Director of the Center for Election Systems in the Secretary of State's Office, further discussing these issues.

In regard to the GEMS inspection, Mr. Barnes states that the GEMS reports that Defendants have proposed to generate from GEMS show how races in the database are related to political districts within the county and how those races are then related to the ballots generated. Accordingly, if there was a programming failure (i.e., failure to relate a race to a given district which results in it not be present on the ballot), it would appear in those reports according to Mr. Barnes.

Additionally, the ballot images report generated from GEMS shows which voter selections were collected by race within each ballot recorded by the DRE. The image report contains all races and associated candidates contained on the ballot, the candidate who was selected, and which candidates were not selected. Defendants' proposed reports from GEMS also show which individual races were present on each ballot style configured by GEMS, which also goes to the alleged irregularity regarding missing races and candidates. Finally, the Secretary of State's Office can perform a HASH verification of the GEMS program installed on the county computer, which would confirm whether the program has been altered. As indicated in Mr. Barnes' affidavit, if there were irregularities or failures with respect to programming, vote recordation, the appearance of candidates on a ballot in a given election, they would appear in these reports.

Next, in regard to the DRE machines, the inspection that we have proposed relates directly to the DRE irregularities alleged by Plaintiffs. Plaintiffs have alleged that candidate names were missing from ballots on DRE machines (Pet. at ¶ 41), issues with calibration of DRE touchscreens (Pet. at ¶ 41, 47), cancelled ballots on machines (Pet. at ¶ 44), and discrepancies in the vote counts on precinct poll tapes (Pet. at ¶ 46). As indicated in the attached affidavit of Michael Barnes, inspecting the DRE machines in post-election mode, using post-election memory cards that were used in the voting locations identified by Plaintiffs in the Petition would allow Plaintiffs to discover whether any of these alleged irregularities actually existed, to the extent there were any.

In contrast, the DRE inspection proposed by Plaintiffs would require removing the memory chip from the motherboard on each machine, which damages the machines and prevents elections officials from using them ever again. Like the GEMS access proposed by Plaintiffs, Mr. Barnes has also stated that Defendants' proposed DRE inspection would expose sensitive information that would give a roadmap for hacking the system. The Ballot Station program on the DRE only opens files that reside in a specifically named folder and then only opens files in that folder that have specific file extensions. According to Mr. Barnes' affidavit, the data is copied directly from a memory card, someone would know how files are organized and named on the memory card. If a computer researcher wanted to "hack" the system, that person would want to know what file folders and file extensions Ballot Station recognizes.

Defendants' proposed inspection for the DRE machines includes the post-election memory cards. According to Mr. Barnes, if one has the memory card used in an Election, one has a copy of what is on the machine's Internal Memory already

Defendants' have proposed a scope of discovery that is in line with our understanding of your intent at the hearing on Wednesday. Plaintiffs proposed inspection would not only damage voting machines, but it would also provide a roadmap or schematic of the system that someone would need in order to create virus or show how one could be created. Plaintiffs' proposed Order would sanction a fishing expedition that is beyond the factual allegations in the Petition, so we urge the Court to adopt Defendants' Proposed Order.

Kind regards,

Vincent Russo

Vincent R. Russo |*404.856.3260* | <image002.jpg>

From: Adele Grubbs [mailto:adele.grubbs@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, January 11, 2019 7:09 AM
To: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Cc: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>; laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov
Subject: Re: Proposed Order: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Please have ALL arguments about this order to me by Noon today so I can enter the order today.

Thank you.

Adele Grubbs

Senior Judge

Sent from my iPhone

On Jan 11, 2019, at 12:48 AM, Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com> wrote:

Judge Grubbs:

Further to my email below on Defendants' proposed order (attached to

Mr. Denton's 4:59 PM email), Plaintiffs have no objections to the changes Defendants propose to Parts A, but would urge the Court to adopt Plaintiffs' Part B, for the reasons set forth in the Affidavit of Plaintiffs' expert Matt Bernhard, attached. I have attached to this email a Proposed Order that does just this: takes the Defendants' version of Part A, but Plaintiffs' prior version of Part B, the discovery provisions for the specific records and equipment included in the Court's ruling at the January 9, 2017 hearing. Plaintiffs have included in Part B the sentence proposed by Defendants – "Destructive testing shall not be permitted." (I note that no notary public was available tonight to notarize Mr. Bernhard's affidavit (he is out-of-state), but we will submit a notarized affidavit when it becomes available).

Mr. Bernhard explains in his affidavit that the inspection proposed by Defendants would be virtually useless, and how that the inspection that Plaintiffs proposes is the only way to discover system malfunctions and mis-programming.

Mr. Bernhard also references a Georgia law relating to the internal memory that is right on point. Georgia State Election Board Rule 183-1-12-.02 (6)(d) states:

> *The election results, ballot styles, ballot images, and other information for each election stored in the internal memory storage of each DRE unit shall be maintained for a minimum of one month following each election after which time the results may be erased provided that there are no election contests pending concerning such election.*

This Rule requires the counties to preserve the internal memory of the DRE machines for the specific purposes of having that memory available for inspection and review in an election contest. Fulton County's position that this information should not be made available in this election contest, therefore, is inconsistent with this rule and, for the additional reasons explained in Mr. Bernhard's affidavit, completely without merit.

As to the GEMS database, Mr. Bernhard explains that this can easily be produced on a CD to Plaintiffs' experts; it is not proprietary information and is crucially necessary for the examination.

Many thanks,

Bruce Brown

From: "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Date: Thursday, January 10, 2019 at 6:07 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>, "laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net" <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7Jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7Jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, "kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov" <kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: Re: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Dear Judge Grubbs:

With respect to Defendants' proposed order, relating specifically to discovery and inspection, Plaintiffs will be submitting later this evening a statement from one of our experts (a computer scientist specializing in voting systems) which will explain how the limitations that defendants would impose will render the inspection useless for the purposes of detecting the causes of any

malfunction, or misprogramming, either in the GEMS database or DRE machines, and is not a forensic examination that may detect programming errors (which is what we understand the Court instructed). We urge the Court to withhold considering the proposed order until the Court has the opportunity to consider that submission.

Respectfully,

Bruce Brown

From: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>
Date: Thursday, January 10, 2019 at 4:59 PM
To: Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>, Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: "laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net" <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7Jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7Jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, "kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov" <kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: RE: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs,

The Defendants have collaborated to prepare the attached proposed order for your consideration. It tracks the one Plaintiffs' counsel provided earlier today except as to those specific portions where they are not in agreement.

Thank you.

Alexander Denton |*404.856.3276* | ROBBINS

From: Josh Belinfante
Sent: Thursday, January 10, 2019 12:59 PM
To: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>; Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>
Subject: RE: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs:

Good afternoon. We have substantial agreement with portions of the proposed order but are drafting an alternative for your consideration. We are working to submit it as soon as possible today.

Thank you and best regards,

JB

From: Bruce Brown [mailto:bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 10, 2019 12:13 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>
Subject: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Dear Judge Grubbs:

I have attached Plaintiffs' proposed order on the motions heard by Your Honor yesterday. I circulated a draft of this proposed order yesterday afternoon to defendants' counsel, and again this morning, and have not received a response as to what changes they would recommend to it. Given the

expedited nature of these proceedings, I thought it best to go ahead and submit Plaintiffs' proposed order without further delay.

We did have a conference call with defendants' counsel on discovery yesterday evening, both with respect to the terms of the proposed order and logistics. The parties did **not** agree on language for the proposed order with respect to discovery, but we did make progress on logistics, and Fulton County is readying machines for inspection.

I note that Part B(2) of the attached, which address the discovery of the DRE machines, references the paragraphs of the complaint that allege specific instances of machine malfunctions, except for paragraphs 42 and 43, which concerned locations in DeKalb County.

Many thanks,

Bruce Brown

Attorney for Plaintiffs

<20190111 Plaintiffs' Proposed Order.docx>

<20180111 Lt Gov Bernhard Affidavit Final Signed.pdf>

<Smith v. DeKalb County_ 288 Ga. App. 574.pdf>
<Michael Barnes Affidavit re discovery protocol pdf.pdf>

EXHIBIT

F

From:	Bruce Brown
To:	Adele Grubbs
Cc:	Alexander Denton; laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; hpt@sbllaw.net; Josh Belinfante; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H.; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Vincent Russo; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7lad.com; csims@7lad.com; chall@lmic.net; Brian Lake; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov
Subject:	Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418
Date:	Monday, January 14, 2019 11:05:18 PM
Attachments:	20190114 Lt Gov Plaintiffs' Motion for Continuance Final with Exhibits A and B.pdf 20190114 Lt Gov Proposed Order Granting Motion for Continuance Final.pdf

Judge Grubbs:

I have attached a Motion for Continuance that I e-filed this evening, and a proposed order. If the attachments do not transmit, the filings may also be accessed via this DropBox link: https://www.dropbox.com/sh/60rnzdp55n2ui6h/AADyKzh4VXGX8VDx86VBkdR-a?dl=0

Many thanks for your consideration of this Motion.

Respectfully submitted,

Bruce Brown
Counsel for Plaintiffs

EXHIBIT G

From:	Bruce Brown
To:	Adele Grubbs
Cc:	Alexander Denton; laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H.; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Vincent Russo; Josh Belinfante; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Brian Lake; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov
Subject:	Re: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418
Date:	Tuesday, January 15, 2019 6:18:17 PM
Attachments:	20190115 Lt Gov Plaintiffs' Reply in Support of Motion for Continuance Final.pdf

Judge Grubbs,
Please find attached a Reply Brief in Support of Motion for Continuance. I will be e-filing it shortly.
Many thanks,
Bruce Brown

From: "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Date: Tuesday, January 15, 2019 at 5:23 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>, "laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net" <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, Vincent Russo <VRusso@robbinsfirm.com>, Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, "kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov" <kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: Re: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs:

I will be filing a Reply to the Briefs filed by non-party Secretary of State and Defendant Duncan before 6 PM. As these briefs (particularly the Secretary's) contains materially incorrect statements of fact, Plaintiffs urge you to withhold ruling on the Motion until you receive our Reply.
Many thanks,
Bruce Brown

From: Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>

Date: Tuesday, January 15, 2019 at 4:54 PM
To: "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>, Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>, "laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net" <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, "kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov" <kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: RE: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs:

Please find the Secretary's response to the Motion for Continuance.

Best regards,
JB

From: Bruce Brown [mailto:bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com]
Sent: Monday, January 14, 2019 11:05 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>; laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov
Subject: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs:

I have attached a Motion for Continuance that I e-filed this evening, and a proposed order. If the attachments do not transmit, the filings may also be accessed via this DropBox link:

https://www.dropbox.com/sh/60rnzdo55n2ui6h/AADvKzh4VXGX8VDx86VBkdR-a?dl=0

Many thanks for your consideration of this Motion.

Respectfully submitted,

Bruce Brown
Counsel for Plaintiffs

EXHIBIT H

From: Bruce Brown
To: Adele Grubbs
Cc: Alexander Denton; laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H.; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Vincent Russo; Josh Belinfante; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Brian Lake; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov
Subject: Re: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418
Date: Tuesday, January 15, 2019 5:23:34 PM

Judge Grubbs:

I will be filing a Reply to the Briefs filed by non-party Secretary of State and Defendant Duncan before 6 PM. As these briefs (particularly the Secretary's) contains materially incorrect statements of fact, Plaintiffs urge you to withhold ruling on the Motion until you receive our Reply.
Many thanks,
Bruce Brown

From: Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>
Date: Tuesday, January 15, 2019 at 4:54 PM
To: "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>, Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>, "laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net" <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, "kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov" <kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: RE: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs:

Please find the Secretary's response to the Motion for Continuance.

Best regards,
JB

From: Bruce Brown [mailto:bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com]
Sent: Monday, January 14, 2019 11:05 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>; laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov
Subject: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs:

I have attached a Motion for Continuance that I e-filed this evening, and a proposed order. If the attachments do not transmit, the filings may also be accessed via this DropBox link: https://www.dropbox.com/sh/60rnzdp55n2ui6h/AADyKzh4VXGX8VDx86VBkdR-a?dl=0

Many thanks for your consideration of this Motion.

Respectfully submitted,

Bruce Brown
Counsel for Plaintiffs

EXHIBIT I

From:	Bruce Brown
To:	Adele Grubbs
Cc:	Burwell, Kaye; Alexander Denton; Laila Tehrani; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H.; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Vincent Russo; Josh Belinfante; Perkins-Hooker, Patrise; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Ringer, Cheryl; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmic.net; Brian Lake; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; Bryan Tyson
Subject:	Plaintiffs" Demand for Jury Trial: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418
Date:	Wednesday, January 16, 2019 2:06:07 PM
Attachments:	20190116 Lt Gov Plaintiffs" Jury Demand.pdf

Judge,

We are filing today a demand for trial by jury pursuant to O.C.G.A. 21-2-526, copy attached.

Respectfully,

Bruce Brown

EXHIBIT

J

Subject: Re: Proposed Order: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Date: Friday, January 11, 2019 at 9:30:22 AM Eastern Standard Time

From: Bruce Brown

To: Adele Grubbs

CC: Alexander Denton, laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net, richard.carothers@carmitch.com, sue@carmitch.com, brian.dempsey@carmitch.com, bpt@sbllaw.net, Josh Belinfante, Lindsey, Jr., Edward H., Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org, Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov, DWare@hallboothsmith.com, Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov, JOvercash@7Jad.com, csims@7Jad.com, chall@lmjc.net, Vincent Russo, Brian Lake, ccorreia@law.ga.gov, kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov

Attachments: image001.jpg

Judge,
You may also be able to access the two files using this link:
https://www.dropbox.com/sh/7moph297xw9gdy8/AAA6L0CXAHvN_6WHvjcbnl3Oa?dl=0.
Thanks,
Bruce Brown

From: "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Date: Friday, January 11, 2019 at 9:20 AM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>, "laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net" <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, "kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov" <kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: Re: Proposed Order: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge,
I am sorry but do not know what the technical issue is. The two attachments appear on the "Sent" version of my email to you late last night, early this morning. I am attaching them again, and please let me know if you did not receive them. (In addition, if any counsel do not receive the attachments, please let me know).
Many thanks and very sorry for the inconvenience.
Bruce Brown

From: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Date: Friday, January 11, 2019 at 9:04 AM

To: "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Cc: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>, "laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net" <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, "kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov" <kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: Re: Proposed Order: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Nor do I have the new proposed order of the Plaintiffs.There are no attachments to today's e mail from the Plaintiffs.

Adele Grubbs

On Fri, Jan 11, 2019 at 9:01 AM Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com> wrote:

> I am in receipt of various emails. However I have not received an affidavit of Mr. Bernhart.
>
> Adele Grubbs
>
> On Fri, Jan 11, 2019 at 7:09 AM Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com> wrote:
>
> > Please have ALL arguments about this order to me by Noon today so I can enter the order today. Thank you.
> >
> > Adele Grubbs
> > Senior Judge
> >
> > Sent from my iPhone
> >
> > On Jan 11, 2019, at 12:48 AM, Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com> wrote:

Judge Grubbs:

Further to my email below on Defendants' proposed order (attached to Mr. Denton's 4:59 PM email), Plaintiffs have no objections to the changes Defendants propose to Parts A, but would urge the Court to adopt Plaintiffs' Part B, for the reasons set forth in the Affidavit of Plaintiffs' expert Matt Bernhard, attached. I have attached to this email a Proposed Order that does just this: takes the Defendants' version of Part A, but Plaintiffs' prior version of Part B, the discovery provisions for the specific records and equipment included in the Court's ruling at the January 9, 2017 hearing. Plaintiffs have included in Part B the sentence proposed by Defendants – "Destructive testing shall not be permitted." (I note that no notary public was available tonight to notarize Mr. Bernhard's affidavit (he is out-of-state), but we will submit a notarized affidavit when it becomes available).

Mr. Bernhard explains in his affidavit that the inspection proposed by Defendants would be virtually useless, and how that the inspection that Plaintiffs proposes is the only way to discover system malfunctions and mis-programming.

Mr. Bernhard also references a Georgia law relating to the internal memory that is right on point. Georgia State Election Board Rule 183-1-12-.02 (6)(d) states:

> *The election results, ballot styles, ballot images, and other information for each election stored in the internal memory storage of each DRE unit shall be maintained for a minimum of one month following each election after which time the results may be erased provided that there are no election contests pending concerning such election.*

This Rule requires the counties to preserve the internal memory of the

DRE machines for the specific purposes of having that memory available for inspection and review in an election contest. Fulton County's position that this information should not be made available in this election contest, therefore, is inconsistent with this rule and, for the additional reasons explained in Mr. Bernhard's affidavit, completely without merit.

As to the GEMS database, Mr. Bernhard explains that this can easily be produced on a CD to Plaintiffs' experts; it is not proprietary information and is crucially necessary for the examination.

Many thanks,

Bruce Brown

From: "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Date: Thursday, January 10, 2019 at 6:07 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>, "laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net" <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7Jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7Jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, "kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov" <kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: Re: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Dear Judge Grubbs:

With respect to Defendants' proposed order, relating specifically to discovery and inspection, Plaintiffs will be submitting later this evening a statement from one of our experts (a computer scientist specializing in voting systems) which will explain how the limitations that defendants would impose will render the inspection useless for the purposes of detecting the causes of any malfunction, or misprogramming, either in the GEMS database or DRE machines, and is not a forensic examination that may detect programming errors (which is what we understand the Court instructed). We urge the Court to withhold considering the proposed order until the Court has the opportunity to consider that submission.

Respectfully,

Bruce Brown

From: Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>
Date: Thursday, January 10, 2019 at 4:59 PM
To: Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>, Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: "laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net" <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>, "sue@carmitch.com" <sue@carmitch.com>, "brian.dempsey@carmitch.com" <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>, "bpt@sbllaw.net" <bpt@sbllaw.net>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org" <Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org>, "Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov" <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>, "DWare@hallboothsmith.com" <DWare@hallboothsmith.com>, Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "JOvercash@7Jad.com" <JOvercash@7Jad.com>, "csims@7Jad.com" <csims@7Jad.com>, "chall@lmjc.net" <chall@lmjc.net>, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>, Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>, "ccorreia@law.ga.gov" <ccorreia@law.ga.gov>, "kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov" <kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: RE: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs,

The Defendants have collaborated to prepare the attached proposed order for your consideration. It tracks the one Plaintiffs' counsel provided earlier today except as to those specific portions where they are not in agreement.

Thank you.

Alexander Denton |*404.856.3276* | ROBBINS

From: Josh Belinfante
Sent: Thursday, January 10, 2019 12:59 PM
To: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>; Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>
Subject: RE: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Judge Grubbs:

Good afternoon. We have substantial agreement with portions of the proposed order but are drafting an alternative for your consideration. We are working to submit it as soon as possible today.

Thank you and best regards,
JB

From: Bruce Brown [mailto:bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com]
Sent: Thursday, January 10, 2019 12:13 PM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: laila.tehrani@sbllaw.net; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; bpt@sbllaw.net; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov; DWare@hallboothsmith.com;

Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmic.net; Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; kaye.burwell@fultoncountyga.gov; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>
Subject: Coalition for Good Governance, et al. v. Crittenden, et al. - Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

Dear Judge Grubbs:
I have attached Plaintiffs' proposed order on the motions heard by Your Honor yesterday. I circulated a draft of this proposed order yesterday afternoon to defendants' counsel, and again this morning, and have not received a response as to what changes they would recommend to it. Given the expedited nature of these proceedings, I thought it best to go ahead and submit Plaintiffs' proposed order without further delay.
We did have a conference call with defendants' counsel on discovery yesterday evening, both with respect to the terms of the proposed order and logistics. The parties did **not** agree on language for the proposed order with respect to discovery, but we did make progress on logistics, and Fulton County is readying machines for inspection.
I note that Part B(2) of the attached, which address the discovery of the DRE machines, references the paragraphs of the complaint that allege specific instances of machine malfunctions, except for paragraphs 42 and 43, which concerned locations in DeKalb County.
Many thanks,
Bruce Brown
Attorney for Plaintiffs

<20190111 Plaintiffs' Proposed Order.docx>

<20180111 Lt Gov Bernhard Affidavit Final Signed.pdf>

EXHIBIT

2

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, et al., **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV313418**

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO SUPPLEMENT THE RECOFD

Plaintiffs' Motion to Supplement the Record, having been considered and with good cause shown, is GRANTED.

SO ORDERED this __ day of January, 2019.

_____
Honorable Adele P. Grubbs
Senior Judge, State of Georgia

Prepared by: /s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Counsel for Plaintiffs

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, Plaintiffs, v. ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al., Defendants.	Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE

Plaintiffs move for a continuance of the upcoming trial of this matter, which is currently set for Thursday, January 17, 2019, because (A) Defendants continue to delay in making the electronic election records and voting equipment available for examination; and (B) even if Defendants immediately begin to cooperate, it is not physically possible to complete even a small portion of the essential examination of the sample of DRE machines selected by the Court prior to trial on Thursday. As of the filing of this Motion, just two days before trial of this case, Plaintiffs have still not been granted access to the electronic voting equipment and related electronic records, access that Plaintiffs first sought six weeks ago by Emergency Motion on November 29, 2018. This alone warrants the granting of a continuance. In addition, Plaintiffs have not been afforded any opportunity to

conduct discovery, and Plaintiffs are entitled to discovery under the Election Contest Statute and the Civil Practice Act.

The Election Contest Statute contemplates that this Court will support the discovery efforts of voters who are challenging the legitimacy of a Georgia election by compelling production of evidence "in like manner and to the same extent as in other civil cases litigated before [the] court." O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(b). That right to discovery will be frustrated here if Plaintiffs are required to proceed in the constrained timeframe established by the current trial date. This Court certainly has authority to "proceed without delay to the hearing and determination of [this] contest, postponing for the purpose, if necessary, all other business," *id.*, but expedition at the expense of discovery is not required by the statute, for the Election Code expressly contemplates that a contest may be resolved after the office-holder has been sworn in. *See* O.C.G.A. § 21-2-503(c).

What is paramount is the legitimacy of the election. This election contest should not be expedited at the expense of permitting Plaintiffs to ascertain and prove, and the true extent of, the massive irregularities experienced almost statewide in the 2018 election and the resulting unprecedented abnormalities in the voting patterns that "place in doubt the result" of the election. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-527(d).

The sobering allegations in the Petition (¶ 31-33) regarding Georgia's compromised voting system must be reflected in the scope of the discovery

process, because the voting system, which was exposed to the public internet for at least six months during 2016-2017, has still not been examined for malware or repaired. The KSU server exposure had the potential to expose every voting machine in the state to malicious code or attack. Because of the unknown depth of issues that could stem from this massive compromise of the system, forensic examiners may encounter far more than routine programming errors, and their work cannot be given short shrift, given the serious implications of the entire system compromise.

The current schedule and scope of electronic records review will not provide Plaintiffs with a fair opportunity to prove the allegations and, crucially, will not provide the Court with the "full and proper understanding" of the case as the Election Contest Statute requires. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(b). Plaintiffs have done everything possible to accelerate this process thus far (having moved for an examination of priority of essential records more than six weeks ago) and will do everything in their power to complete the examination as soon as possible. But it is critically important that the Plaintiffs be permitted to choose the discovery necessary to prove their case—choices that will be informed by Plaintiffs' experts who know how DREs and the election system as a whole work and thus know where evidence necessary to prove the contest claim resides.

Crucially, Defendants and the Secretary of State do not contend, and have never contended, that the Plaintiffs' efforts at examination and forensic

investigation are not necessary to determine whether misprogramming, viruses, or malfunction caused the aberrant voting patterns or the alleged statewide machine malfunctions, or the impact of such problems.

Plaintiffs accordingly move for a modest continuance of this matter, to no earlier than three weeks after the date when the Defendants make available for inspection and examination all the electronic election records and equipment, and further move for an order requiring Defendants to make all such equipment and records available for examination by January 17, 2019, the original trial date.[1]

A. Facts

1. Plaintiffs' November 29, 2018 Emergency Motion

After promptly filing suit, Plaintiffs on November 29, 2018, filed an Emergency Motion for Inspection of a limited number of certain priority electronic and paper records and voting machines, to be supplemented or re-prioritized as discovery proceeded. On December 5, 2018, this Court stated that it would

---

[1] Plaintiffs anticipate filing a separate Motion Relating to the Inspection and Related Discovery Issues ("the Motion Relating to Inspection"). The Motion Relating to Inspection is not being filed this evening because this is a "moving target" and, as of the time of filing, Plaintiffs' expert is still working with Fulton County's technical staff in an effort to determine how best to copy the internal memory and to secure Fulton County's agreement to do so. Another issue presented is whether and to what extent the counties have altered probative evidence of the November 2018 election by using these DRE machines and associated memory cards in the December runoff election, use that can alter or destroy the memory and evidence of mis-programming.

In addition, in the Motion Relating to Inspection, Plaintiffs will be moving for access to the GEMS Microsoft Access databases from Fulton and Gwinnett County. Plaintiffs **will not** be seeking source code or an examination of the GEMS servers themselves, only the Access databases. As explained by Plaintiffs' expert, the GEMS documents that the Court ordered the Defendants to produce is not useful in the forensic examination. *See* Affidavit of M. Bernhard, submitted on January 10, 2019 (notarized copy attached hereto as Exhibit B). Access to the GEMS databases is crucially important in uncovering misprogramming or malfunction, and a more sophisticated forensic review will be impossible without it. *Id.* The Motion Relating to Inspection will also seek, to the extent necessary, expedited discovery on related issues.

GA007754

address the Emergency Motion on January 9, 2018. Defendants did not file any papers in response to the Emergency Motion, thus conceding it.

2. Hearing on Emergency Motion and Order

The Court held a hearing on January 9, 2018, and, on January 11, 2018, entered an Order on Pending Motions that required Defendants to produce certain reports from the GEMS database and to permit Plaintiffs to inspect the internal memory of the DRE machines in five locations — three polling locations in Fulton County, one in Worth County, and one in Henry County. (Plaintiffs had referenced these polling locations in their Petition as illustrative examples of the alleged statewide problems with the voting equipment, but not as a comprehensive listing of election irregularities.)

The Court's Order on Pending Motions requires the counties to allow Plaintiffs to inspect the internal memory of selected DRE machines, and further states that the machines and contents shall not be damaged. Importantly, the only way the internal memory of the DRE machines may be examined without damaging and altering the internal memory is to make a complete image or copy of the internal memory, and then to examine that copy. The Court's Order does not permit the copying needed for an internal memory examination to be performed by Plaintiff (and it is Plaintiffs' preference to not be the party copying the memory). Thus, the requirements of the Order can be satisfied if the *counties* make a full copy of the internal memory, and then permit the Plaintiffs' experts to examine

that copy on county computers on site at the county location. This is what Plaintiffs proposed to Defendants on January 10, 2019, in an email between counsel. (*See* January 10, 2019 email from Plaintiffs' counsel to counsel for Fulton County, attached as Exhibit B to Affidavit of Matthew D. Bernhard, attached hereto as Exhibit B (and originally submitted to the Court on January 10, 2019)).

3. Defendants Still Have Not Made Election Records and Equipment Available for Inspection

On Wednesday, January 9, 2019, immediately after the motions hearing, Plaintiffs requested that the Defendants make their equipment and electronic records available on Friday, January 11, 2019. After some back-and-forth, Defendants indicated that the equipment and records could not be made available on Friday the 11th. Plaintiffs then requested that the equipment be made available on Saturday, January 12, 2019. No machines were made available on Saturday the 12th.

Meanwhile, in anticipation of conducting the inspection ordered by this Court, Plaintiffs on January 10 and January 13 sent counsel for Fulton County emails describing, step-by-step, how the investigation would need to proceed given the need to preserve the electronic evidence while also complying with the Court's Order. Plaintiffs flew their voting system computer science expert to Atlanta over the weekend to prepare for the inspection

It was not until today, Monday, January 14, 2019, that Fulton County assembled its DREs in the English Street location for potential examination by Plaintiffs' expert, beginning at 9 a.m. *See generally* Exhibit A (January 14, 2019 email from Plaintiffs' Expert Matt Bernhard to Plaintiffs' Counsel).[2] Plaintiff Rhonda Martin and Plaintiffs' expert, Matt Bernhard, arrived at the English Street location, but were unable to conduct any examination or investigation of the DRE machines or their internal memory. As noted above, Plaintiffs had explained to Fulton County last week (on January 10) that the only way for the parties to conduct the equipment examinations while complying with the Order on Pending Motions, and without altering the evidence, is for Fulton County to make a complete and accurate electronic copy of the memory. This morning, however, Fulton County had made no copy of the internal memory and was not prepared to allow Plaintiffs to instruct County personnel on how to make the copy. This prevented any examination of the DREs' internal memory, as the Court's Order on Pending Motions requires. The Fulton County officials told Plaintiffs' expert that they were unaware of the Court's order to permit examination of the internal memory, and further stated that they were unaware of a method to copy the internal memory. Plaintiffs' expert told Fulton's technical staff how internal memory can be copied without damage to the files or machine, based on his experience of

[2] Plaintiffs are filing this email without proper authentication in the interest of time. Plaintiffs will supplement the record with a proper affidavit authenticating the email.

frequently making such copies. The Fulton County officials then stated that they would need to consult with their colleagues, and the proceedings halted; Plaintiffs' expert left the premises without having been able to examine the internal memory of the DRE machines, which review has to precede any other examination of the DREs.

Plaintiffs are continuing to work with Fulton County's technical staff to educate staff on ways to securely make copies of the internal memory, and late this evening sent an additional email with alternative instructions that might enable faster work. Still, it is not certain whether Fulton County will agree to do what is necessary to allow for a proper examination of the DRE memory or when that examination can be expected to begin, let alone finish.

B. Need for Additional Time

In order for Plaintiffs to be able to prove the extent of the impact of misprogramming, viruses, or malfunction affecting the Lieutenant Governor's race, more time is required. Even if Fulton County were immediately to comply with the Court's Order on Pending Motions and start copying the selected DRE machines' memory onto laptops, it will physically take days before the copying is complete and it will require several weeks before the memory can be analyzed to produce evidence that can be presented meaningfully in court. According to Plaintiffs' experts, the time it takes to copy the internal memory of a DRE onto another computer can be quite variable, depending on the age and condition of the

machine and the size of the files. (*See* Exhibit A hereto). The copying can take from one hour per machine to several hours per machine. Though Plaintiffs will only be inspecting a few dozen DRE machines (a small fraction of the 27,000 machines used in the election), it will still take days to complete the copying and a substantial amount of time to forensically review the copies. *Id.* There is not enough time in the current case schedule for Plaintiffs to conduct these required activities.

It must be emphasized that there is no argument by the Defendants that this discovery is not relevant. They *know* it goes to the heart of the matter. Nor is there is any contention by the Defendants that this discovery can be completed prior to the trial of the case this Thursday, January 17, 2019.

Discussion

The Election Contest Statute gives this Court plenary power over discovery and scheduling so that the Court can gain a "full and proper understanding" of the case. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(b). Contrary to repeated statements by the Defendants, there is *nothing* in the Election Contest Statute that anticipates that the trial will be conducted in haste or that requires the Court to deny Plaintiffs a fair opportunity at discovery. The Election Contest Statute states that the contest is to be decided "promptly," but gives no deadline or arbitrary time limit on the proceedings. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(a). The Election Contest Statute states that the case is supposed to proceed "according to the course of practice in other civil

cases," and conducted "in like manner and to the same extent as in other civil cases litigated before such court." O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(b). This is a reference to the Civil Practice Act, of course, which applies to "[a]ll civil cases." O.C.G.A. § 9-11-40. The Civil Practice Act states: "All civil cases, including divorce and other domestic relations cases, shall be triable any time after the last day upon which defensive pleadings were required to be filed therein; provided, ***however, that the court shall in all cases afford to the parties reasonable time for discovery procedures, subsequent to the date that defensive pleadings were required to be filed***." O.C.G.A. § 9-11-40 (emphasis added).

The law governing this Motion for Continuance, therefore, is clear: the Election Contest Statute does not mandate accelerated proceedings or set arbitrary deadlines, but instead contemplates that the trial court use its plenary power to gain a "full and proper understanding" of the matter. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(b). The Election Contest Statute further states that the case is to proceed "in like manner and to the same extent as in other civil cases." *Id.* The Civil Practice Act in turn states that "the court shall in *all* cases afford to the parties reasonable time for discovery." O.C.G.A. § 9-11-40 (emphasis added).

The application of this law to the facts also cannot reasonably be disputed: three days to a week is not a "reasonable time for discovery" in any case, much less a state-wide election contest involving an investigation of why complex electronic voting machines malfunctioned. Here, there is no argument—by either

side—that two or three days is a sufficient, much less a "reasonable," amount of time in which to conduct meaningful discovery. Trying this case this week will also not allow the Court to gain a "full and proper understanding" of the case, as the Election Contest Statute contemplates.

There are a number of cases, many cited in the footnote,[3] which emphasize that time is of the essence in election contest cases. But none of these cases hold that "reasonable" discovery may be denied or even curtailed. Moreover, in each of the reported cases, the plaintiffs appear to have been given full discovery, whether that involved an opportunity to find and count every misspelled absentee ballot, *Mead v. Sheffield,* 278 Ga. 268 (2004), or to investigate allegedly mis-calibrated voting machines, *Taggart v. Phillips*, 242 Ga. 454, 455 (1978). Crucially, in no case found by Plaintiffs has *any* court compromised the full and proper

[3] *E.g., Broughton v. Douglas Cty. Bd. of Elections*, 286 Ga. 528, 528–29 (2010) (swift resolution of election contests is vital for the smooth operation of government); *McCreary v. Martin*, 281 Ga. 668, 670 (2007) ("Statutes providing for election contests are premised on the recognition that election-related appeals must be timely considered. *Jordan v. Cook,* 277 Ga. 155, 156, 587 S.E.2d 52 (2003)."); *Swain v. Thompson*, 281 Ga. 30, 32 (2006) ("While Swain's status as a *pro se* litigant and his initial efforts to correct the deficiencies in service of process evoke sympathy for him, the public policy favoring swift resolution of election contests and the high regard in which appellate courts hold the exercise of discretion by trial courts dictate that we uphold the trial court's determination that the failure to effect timely service of appropriate process in this case requires dismissal of Swain's election contest petition."); *Plyman v. Glynn Cty.,* 276 Ga. 426, 427 (2003) ("Our legislature put a very short fuse on election contest cases. OCGA § 21–2-524 requires cases contesting election results to be brought within five days of certification of the returns. This short time period reflects the legislature's strong desire to avoid election uncertainty and the confusion and prejudice which can come in its wake. Certainly, the swift resolution of election contests is vital for the smooth operation of government.")

GA007761

adjudication of the issues in order to obtain a faster decision. *E.g., McIntosh Cty. Bd. of Elections v. Deverger*, 282 Ga. 566, 566 (2007) (four months after the general election, the trial court ordered a new election; the Supreme Court affirmed); *Taggart v. Phillips*, 242 Ga. 454, 455 (1978) (two months after election, the Supreme Court reversed the trial court's dismissal of contest petition and remanded for further proceedings).

Plaintiffs are not seeking extended delay. Far from it. Plaintiffs only ask for three weeks beyond the production of necessary records and equipment. -This is a small fraction of the discovery that would be allowed and considered "reasonable" in any other civil case of this complexity, particularly involving forensic examination of electronic records stored on old equipment using out-of-date software technology. The short amount of extra time (three weeks) that Plaintiffs request is the bare minimum that is required for a forensic examination of the DREs. This schedule presumes that Plaintiffs' forensics experts will have very little time to write their reports and that Defendants do not themselves need time to study the findings of Plaintiffs' experts before trial. A forensic examination of this electronic equipment is absolutely essential so that the Court can gain a full and proper understanding of the causes and extent of the misprogramming, irregularities, and malfunctions that plagued the November 2018 Lieutenant Governor's election—and that caused the election to be so defective as "to place in doubt the result." O.C.G.A. § 21-2-527(d).

Plaintiffs' instant Motion, which seeks only a three-week continuance, should therefore be granted. Plaintiffs further request that this three-week period begin to run when the counties have certified to the Court that the counties have fully complied with the Court's orders relating to discovery. Plaintiffs assume Defendants are acting in good faith, but one problem with the current fixed trial date is that Defendants have a powerful disincentive to achieve full and timely compliance with their discovery obligations. Scheduling the trial with reference to the date that Defendants comply is fair to all parties, and will ensure the speedy and just resolution of this matter.

A proposed order is attached.

Respectfully submitted this 14th day of January, 2019.

/s/ Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Georgia Bar No. 064460
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com
Bruce P. Brown Law LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE, Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700

Attorney for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing via the Court's e-filing system to all counsel of record.

This 14th day of January, 2019.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown

EXHIBIT

A

Subject: FW: Confidential Notes about today
Date: Monday, January 14, 2019 at 8:53:10 PM Eastern Standard Time
From: Bruce Brown
To: Bruce Brown

From: Matt Bernhard <mdb92nc@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, January 14, 2019 8:48 PM
To: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Subject: CONFIDENTIAL Notes about today

Hi Bruce,

I just wanted to recap what happened at English Street this morning as well as discuss steps moving forward.

The gist is that the state say that they didn't interpret "internal memory" accurately, and were unwilling to create copies to allow us to perform the inspection of the internal memory without first consulting with counsel.

Below is a detailed description of our time at English Street:

After we signed the confidentiality agreements, we went into the warehouse with Michael Barnes, Ryan Germany, Cheryl Ringer, Kevin Rayburn, Rick Barron, Derek Gilstrap. Ronnie Martin inquired as to why the SoS people were there now that they are no longer party to the lawsuit, and Ms. Ringer informed us that the SoS owns all the equipment and is therefore entitled to be there.

We then discussed how they were accommodating our request to inspect the internal machine memory, and Mr. Barnes (from the SOS office) said they intended to create a copy of the election archive for the November on each machine. I informed them that that is not an accurate interpretation of "examination of the internal memory."

Mr. Barnes said that he did not know how to give us access to the internal memory without ripping out the flash chips. I informed him about the JTAG process I have used in the past on TSx machines, and informed him, the SoS lawyers, and Ms. Ringer that I would be happy to provide them with all commands that I would run ahead of time. Someone (I think Mr. Rayburn) inquired as to whether using JTAG created a security vulnerability, and I told him that it can, but we would be happy for the state or Fulton county to provide the adapter and the laptop on which the copies would be made, and to let an independent inspector observe the process. Obviously, if the state or the county performs these processes as intended then there are fewer security risks, and I strongly prefer that outcome.

Then we went over to the GEMS server and Mr. Gilstrap started copying the reports in the court order to CD. We got all reports except the Ballot Image Report. Mr. Barnes explained that to generate the Ballot Image Report, Mr. Gilstrap would have to manually create over 2,000 reports, as the GEMS software does not support doing this in batches. Essentially, it stores a mapping of precinct->memory card->ballots, and reports can only be generated by selecting each memory card, of which there are 2,084 (I believe). We obtained two ballot image reports and said we'd discuss this with you prior to proceeding. Mr. Gilstrap burned me a CD with four standard reports, which I read and sent over to you later today. Obtaining the GEMS database would be equivalent to all of the above steps, and far more elegant, as it wouldn't require the county to

GA007766

spend countless hours generating Ballot Image Reports for every voting machine in the county.

Then we went over to inspect the machines. All machines that were selected for inspection were TS machines. All but one were still sealed (apparently the seal broke off of one of the Grady High School machines, but I did not record its serial number). The County said that all of the machines were used in the December election, which will impair the value of forensic information we can obtain from them. I decided to contact you about the memory inspection prior to doing the physical inspection, as I didn't feel it made sense to unseal each machine, physically examine it, reseal it, and then do it all again tomorrow after they make copies of the memory. I also did not want to power on the machines, further altering the evidence, until we were sure that the county had made copies of each machine.

I should note that even if we solve the internal memory copying problem with the county, I don't currently have an estimate of how long it would take to copy the memory from each machine. My best estimate is that it will take several hours per machine, and it is not possible to copy more than one at a time unless there are multiple people and multiple laptops, adapters, and so forth for conducting the process. After copying, the other experts and I will need to analyze what has been copied, and that will take possibly weeks to do a thorough analysis of them all. Obviously, even if we start copying the internal memory tomorrow, there is no way we will have any meaningful results prior to the trial scheduled for Thursday.

If you have further questions please let me know.

-Matt

EXHIBIT

B

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV31348**

AFFIDAVIT OF MATTHEW BERNHARD

Appeared before me, the undersigned officer duly authorized to administered oaths, MATTHEW BERNHARD who, after being sworn, states as follows:

1. I am Ph.D. candidate at the University of Michigan in Computer Science with a focus on computer security. I received my Bachelor's degree from Rice University, and my Master's in Computer Science from the University of Michigan.

2. I have focused in the field of computer science, including cyber-security in voting systems since 2012, including specific work on new, secure voting technology (the STAR-Vote system from Austin, Texas), and better exploring the current landscape of voting technology and vulnerabilities. I have worked with the Verified Voting Foundation on gathering data about currently deployed voting systems. I consulted with the Jill Stein recount campaign in 2016 to assess threat models and incident reports in Michigan, Wisconsin, and Pennsylvania. I have also worked with other experts in the field to provide a theoretical survey of properties of election security.

3. During the last year, I have conducted focused research on Diebold AccuVote voting system, of the type used by Georgia, with a specific emphasis on TS and TSX machines. That research has included in-lab testing at the University of Michigan on machines acquired through eBay, during which we performed an in-depth technical analysis of the systems and found significant vulnerabilities. I have also on several occasions observed AccuVote units in the field in Georgia, both at the Fulton County Election Preparation Center on multiple occasions and at the Grady High School precinct during an election. As with lab testing, I observed

a significant number of operational vulnerabilities that make Georgia's election infrastructure fundamentally unsafe and untrustworthy.

4. I have published and spoken extensively about the cybersecurity and other risks of electronic voting systems and have assisted in preparation of other experts for Congressional testimony concerning these topics.

5. A copy of my curriculum vitae is attached as Exhibit A.

6. I am a consulting expert in the area of Georgia's Diebold Voting Systems for Plaintiffs in the voting system security case Curling v Kemp, pending in federal court in the Northern District of Georgia.

7. I am also a consulting expert on the topic of the Diebold Voting System for plaintiffs in this case. I have been asked to address the nature of the testing required for the DRE machines and GEMS database.

8. I have reviewed the proposed order submitted to the Court by the Defendants, particularly paragraphs B(1) and B(2) relating to discovery of the DRE machines and the GEMS database. In summary: as for the DREs: the "inspection" proposed by Defendants of the DREs would be entirely inadequate and of no value. A review of the internal memory is required to assess and identify

any potential programming errors or other malfunctions. As for the GEMS: defendants' proposal, limited to the production of documents that the GEMS system generates, also is no value whatsoever; if there are errors in the GEMS database that has been used to program the election, reports generated by GEMS will only verify what is in the database already.

9. If either the DREs or the GEMS database has been corrupted or incorrectly programmed in any way, relying solely on the reports generated by those pieces of software would be akin to relying solely on the testimony of a potential bank robber to determine his guilt. If we suspect the bank may have been robbed, but don't know exactly how much money may have been stolen, the only way to rule out that hypothesis is a holistic evaluation of all evidence supporting or refuting that hypothesis. The same is true for elections; to determine if any election results are incorrect, we cannot simply ask the voting machines to count the votes again. We must examine how they count the votes, and we do this through thorough forensic software examination (the memory on the DREs internal memory) as well as examining the data with which they were initially programmed (i.e. the GEMS database).

DREs

10. When reviewing an election conducted on Georgia's paperless DRE system, the only method to answer questions regarding anomalous results, or possible incorrect programming, is to review key electronic files and programs that were used in conducting the election. All of these files reside on the internal DRE memory and the memory cards. Such essential electronic records include, but are not limited to:

--Ballot programming and layout files,

--Vote tabulation instructions,

--Programs for reporting tallies and writing reports,

--System operation logs,

--Error messages generated by the system and DRE machines, and

--Votes recorded in internal memory of the DRE machine.

9. The DRE internal memory contains records that are not located anywhere on the system. My understanding is that, for this reason, Georgia law requires the internal memories of the DRE machines to be preserved in the event of an election contest such as this one.

10. Conversely, in a review of a DRE election, the only way to determine the extent and number of votes potentially impacted by programming errors or malfunctions is to review the electronic programming and operating files used during the election. No standard report that is printed by the Diebold system would expose a programming error, in particular because the programming that generates such reports may itself be faulty. Only a review of the electronic files containing the programming would detect errors.

11. Forensic review tools are available to review the internal memory and other electronic files in the voting system to determine if there may have been incorrect programming, tampering, viruses or malfunctions of the machines and related components. Such tools are commonly available and widely used in industry practice, and would in no way damage or otherwise alter the voting machines (or any election equipment) in question.

12. It is crucial to examine the DRE internal memory of electronic records for the first data created on the machine when the voter casts his or her ballot. The examiner will attempt to determine whether that vote was faithfully recorded and tallied as it was written to memory cards and passed through the chain of tallying and reporting functions. There is no way to make such a determination by

reviewing standard system reports, as again such reports may also be faulty if the program that produces them is faulty.

13. Such testing should be conducted on an exact electronic copy of the internal flash chips of the DRE machine. Testing a copy avoids any possibility of damaging the DRE machines or components. Standard protocols for such testing are generally agreed on by computer scientists and subject to little controversy for conducting the types of rather simple tests involved here. Moreover, such tests can be conducted in a transparent way to provide any interested parties sufficient evidence as to their results, as well as entirely preserving the machines being examined.

14. The inspection described by Plaintiffs in the attached email from Plaintiffs' counsel to Fulton County's counsel, will be efficient and useful, will not involve any disruptive testing, and is standard procedure for conducting a forensic examination of this kind. Such testing can be conducted in an expedient manner so as to not impact the administration of elections in the jurisdictions in question.

15. Additionally, only after the copy of the internal memory is created for testing, the DRE machine must be tested in election mode using the same program that was used on Election Day with the goal of determining if the reported anomaly

can be replicated. For example, examiners should select machines for which voters reported that the Lt. Governor was not on their electronic ballot, and determine whether that condition will occur during repeated attempts to vote on the machine. If the electronic ballot fails to display the Lt. Governor race, the expert will obtain clues on what electronic files in the internal memory to check first for a virus or programming error.

16. The same is true for the repeated reports of machines that reportedly cast votes before the voter had a chance to see the review screen to correct any errors. The examiner must be able to attempt to replicate the malfunctioning condition on machines where this malfunction was reported. This cannot be done in post-election mode which is the limitation that Fulton County has set.

GEMS

17. The Defendants' proposed "inspection" relating to the GEMS server and database also is completely inadequate. The documents offered by the Defendants from the GEMS server (Base Precincts With Races Report, Ballot Image Report, Vote Center With Cards Report, Statement of Votes Cast Report, and Summary Report) may be useful to review, but are public records and standard reports that

do not in any way provide an opportunity to detect a programming flaw, a virus, or a malfunction of the machines.

18. The GEMS Database is an unencrypted set of data for programming and tabulating the election that is built by the election official for each election using a generic off-the-shelf Microsoft Access database. Anyone with a Microsoft subscription to Microsoft Access can open and read all files.

19. An examination of the GEMS database to review for anomalies, programming errors, misconfiguration, or other irregularities should generally take about 2 days per county, assuming that no material irregularities were detected.

20. There is no proprietary software or programming in the GEMS database. The database has data related to the election, and it should contain only data that is public record.

21. The information contained in the GEMS server can be shared without risk to election security.

22. The review of the GEMS database can be accomplished without access to the GEMS server, by requiring either the Secretary of State or the County to merely copy their GEMS database CD and deliver to Plaintiffs' experts on a CD.

23. The GEMS database should be reviewed at two stages, at the start of the election programming and after the close of the election. The Secretary maintains a CD copy of the original programming prior to votes being entered into the system. A copy can be made in a matter of minutes and given to the Plaintiff's expert for review. The examiner should compare that database to the post-election database for the possibility of unauthorized changes to instructions coded into the database.

24. It is only with access to the full electronic GEMS database that a basic analysis of the programming of the election can take place.

Further Affiant sayeth not.

Matthew Bernhard

Sworn to and subscribed before me:
On this 11 day of Jan, 2019

Notary Public, State of Texas
My Commission Expires: 12-20-2020

SEAL

ADEWOLE JUNAITH NAJIMOU
Notary Public, State of Texas
Comm. Expires 12-20-2020
Notary ID 129144120

Exhibit A

Matthew D. Bernhard
matber@umich.edu

2260 Hayward Street
Ann Arbor, MI 48109
Main/Cell: 281-725-8544

EDUCATION

University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI (2015 – present)
PhD Candidate Student, Computer Science and Engineering
Advisor: J. Alex Halderman
University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI (2015 – 2018)
Master of Science in Computer Science and Engineering
Rice University, Houston, TX (2012 – 2015)
Bachelor of the Arts, Computer Science
Advisor: Dan Wallach

EXPERIENCE

Expert Witness, *Curling v. Kemp* - 2018 - present
- Examined Georgia's voting system and provided consultation and testimony that the voting machines are insecure and unfit for use.

Verified Voting – 2018 - present
Data Science Consultant, Ann Arbor, MI
- Collected, organized, and wrote up technical information about new voting machines being acquired by localities

Cloudflare – San Francisco, CA
Cryptography Intern, *Summer 2017*
- Developed Certificate Transparency monitoring features. Also built an SSL detector to determine what SSL settings customer sites can support.

Computer Security Lab – Rice University, Houston TX
Lead Software Developer, Fall 2012 - Spring 2015
- Lead a team in upgrading and maintaining STAR-Vote, a pedagogical voting system
- Performed usability study on ballot preparation tool
- Explored leveraging utilities provided by the Chromium project to process sandbox and enforce tighter systems controls
- Examined secure data structures such as authenticated dictionaries for implementing a secure web bulletin board

Microsoft Research – Microsoft, Redmond WA
Research Intern, *Summer 2015,* Advised by Josh Benaloh
- Investigated trusted computing features in the Windows operating system
- Designed ASKVote, the Auditably Secure Voting scheme to provide software assurance and election evidence
- Designed voting client for conference demonstration hall survey

TEACHING

Election Cybersecurity - University of Michigan
Graduate Student Instructor, Fall, 2018

- Designed course content, lectured, led discussion section, graded, and provided course support.

Introduction to Computer Security – University of Michigan
Graduate Student Instructor, Winter, 2018

- Lectured, led discussion section, graded, and provided course support.

Securing Digital Democracy – University of Michigan, Coursera
Course Operations Liaison, 2014 – 2018

- Compiled and maintained course resources and facilitated student discussion in message boards for course introducing the field of voting technology in the context of computer security

Fundamentals of Parallel Programming – COMP 322, Rice University
Teaching Assistant, Spring 2015

- Shaped curriculum and led lab discussions for an introductory course on parallel programming featuring Java parallelism and Apache Spark

Introduction to Program Design – COMP 215, Rice University
Teaching Assistant, Fall 2014

- Led lab discussions and wrote and reviewed assignments and exams for an introductory course on Java and Object Oriented Programming

PEER-REVIEWED PUBLICATIONS

On the Usability of HTTPS Deployment
Matthew Bernhard, Jonathan Sharman, Claudia Ziegler Acemyan, Philip Kortum, Dan S. Wallach, and J. Alex Halderman
To appear in *Proc. of CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems Proceedings* (CHI 2019), May 2019.

Bernoulli Ballot-Polling: A Manifest Improvement for Risk-Limiting Audits
Kellie Ottoboni, Matthew Bernhard, J. Alex Halderman, Ron Rivest, and Philip Stark
To appear in *Proc. 4th Workshop on Advances in Secure Electronic Voting* (Voting '19), February 2019

403 Forbidden: A Global View of Geoblocking
Allison McDonald, Matthew Bernhard, Benjamin VanderSloot, Will Scott, J. Alex Halderman, Roya Ensafi
Proc. of the ACM Internet Measurement Conference 2018 (IMC '18), Boston, Massachussetts. November 2018.

Voting Technologies, Recount Methods and Votes in Wisconsin and Michigan in 2016
Walter R. Mebane, Jr. and Matthew Bernhard
Proc. of the 3rd Workshop on Advances in Secure Electronic Voting (Voting '18). Nieuwpoort, Curaçao. March 2, 2018.

Public Evidence from Secret Ballots
Matthew Bernhard, Josh Benaloh, J. Alex Halderman, Ronald L. Rivest, Peter Y. A. Ryan, Philip B. Stark, Vanessa Teague, Poorvi L. Vora, Dan S. Wallach
Proc. of the Second Annual Joint Conference on Electronic Voting (E-Vote-ID '17). Bregenz, Austria. October 24 - 27, 2017.

Understanding the Mirai Botnet
Manos Antonakakis, Tim April, Michael Bailey, Matthew Bernhard, Elie Bursztein, Jaime Cochran, Zakir Durumeric, J. Alex Halderman, Luca Invernizzi, Michalis Kallitsis, Deepak Kumar, Chaz Lever,Zane Ma, Joshua Mason, Damian Menscher, Chad Seaman, Nick Sullivan, Kurt Thomas, Yi Zhou
Proc. of the 26th USENIX Security Symposium (USENIX Security '17). Vancouver, BC, Canada. August 16 - 18, 2017.

Towards a Complete View of the Certificate Ecosystem
Benjamin VanderSloot, Johanna Amann, Matthew Bernhard, Zakir Durumeric, Michael Bailey, and J. Alex Halderman
Proc. 16th ACM Internet Measurement Conference (IMC '16), Santa Monica, California. November 2016

Implementing Attestable Kiosks
Matthew Bernhard, Gabe Stocco, and J. Alex Halderman
Proc. 14th Annual Conference on Privacy, Security, and Trust (PST '16), Auckland, New Zealand. December 2016

SELECTED OTHER PUBLICATIONS

What Might Go Wrong in the 2016 Election
Matthew Bernhard and J. Alex Halderman
Security at Michigan (a Medium publication), November 7th, 2016

The Security Challenges of Online Voting Have Not Gone Away
Robert Cunningham, Matthew Bernhard, and J. Alex Halderman
IEEE Spectrum, November 3rd, 2016

TALKS

Do We Want to Recount or Not? Presidential Election 2016
Matthew Bernhard and Kimball Brace
Election Verification Network (EVN) Symposium 2017, March 15, 2017

Recount 2016: An Uninvited Audit of the U.S. Presidential Election
Matthew Bernhard and J. Alex Halderman
Roadsec 2017, São Paulo, Brazil, November 11th, 2017
Roadsec Pro 2017, São Paulo, Brazil, November 10th, 2017
Electoral Technology Workshop, SBSeg 2017, Brasília, Brazil, November 6th, 2017
33rd Chaos Communication Congress (33c3), December 28th, 2016

MEDIA APPEARANCES

NPR, Reuters, BBC News, The Guardian, Le Monde, Motherboard, Forbes, The New Republic, Gothamist, The New Political, The Outline, and Voice of America News and others

Subject: Re: GEMS Voting Machines 2 Counties.mov
Date: Thursday, January 10, 2019 at 10:38:15 AM Eastern Standard Time
From: Bruce Brown
To: Ringer, Cheryl, Burwell, Kaye, Lowman, David
CC: Bryan Tyson, Vincent Russo, Josh Belinfante, Lindsey, Jr., Edward H., richard.carothers@carmitch.com

Cheryl, Kaye and David,

In our discussion yesterday evening about the inspection of Fulton machines, we had decided that it would be best for me to set out in writing our proposed protocol for the inspections. I had asked Vince Russo for a draft confidentiality order, but if you have one that Fulton would like to use, that might be best (since the Secretary is out of the case and, I believe, Vince is in meetings all day). We are flying in experts for the work, so please let us know as soon as possible when the machines would be made available (or what machines would be made available at what times). Here is our proposed protocol:

Inspection of Fulton County DRE Machines:

Location: Fulton County English Street location

Time and Date: 11 am through 5pm Friday, January 11, 2019, and continuing on Saturday, and until work is completed.

Machines and their related memory cards for inspection:

Early voting machines for Ponce de Leon Library
All election day machines for Grady High School
Election Day machines for Allen Temple AME Church

Fulton County to identify by machine serial number which machines were used in the December 4 runoff or otherwise used, operated or put through maintenance or testing after the November 6, 2018 election.

Complete recap sheets from all polling places should accompany the machines.

Machines should not be turned on, operated, re-programmed or otherwise altered in any way until Plaintiff's experts are on site.

Fulton County to make a full image of the contents of the internal flash chips of the all machines (or possibly a selected subset of machines to be determined after inspection begins) for forensic examination. These copies will be made under the observation of Plaintiff's experts.

After copies are made, plaintiff's experts will select 1 to 2 machines from each polling place location to have staff put into election mode (operating in exact same manner as the machines were in the polling places on when during the 11/6/18 election.)

Plaintiff's representatives will test votes on the machines, and conduct other tests in pre-election

mode, election-mode and post-election mode.

We appreciate Fulton County's prompt cooperation given the expedited schedule.

Many thanks,

Bruce

From: Cheryl Ringer <Cheryl.ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>
Date: Wednesday, January 9, 2019 at 9:47 PM
To: Bryan Tyson <bpt@sbllaw.net>, "bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>, Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, "richard.carothers@carmitch.com" <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>
Cc: "Burwell, Kaye" <Kaye.Burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>, "Lowman, David" <David.Lowman@fultoncountyga.gov>
Subject: Re: GEMS Voting Machines 2 Counties.mov

And I am adding Kaye Burwell and David Lowman for Fulton.

Sent via the Samsung Galaxy S7, an AT&T 4G LTE smartphone

-------- Original message --------
From: Bryan Tyson <bpt@sbllaw.net>
Date: 1/9/19 8:06 PM (GMT-05:00)
To: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>, Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>, Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>, "Ringer, Cheryl" <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>, "Lindsey, Jr., Edward H." <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>, richard.carothers@carmitch.com
Subject: RE: GEMS Voting Machines 2 Counties.mov

Thanks for sending this. I'm adding Dick Carothers for Gwinnett to this email chain as well.

Thanks,

Bryan

Bryan P. Tyson
Strickland Brockington Lewis LLP
678.347.2203 (direct)
bpt@sbllaw.net

-----Original Message-----
From: Bruce Brown [mailto:bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com]
Sent: Wednesday, January 09, 2019 7:53 PM
To: Vincent Russo; Josh Belinfante; Cheryl Ringer; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H.; Bryan Tyson
Subject: FW: GEMS Voting Machines 2 Counties.mov

On 1/9/19, 5:18 PM, "Bruce Brown" <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com> wrote:

https://urldefense.proofpoint.com/v2/url?u=https-3A__www.dropbox.com_s_e3z30u2zqd44dqd_GEMS-2520Voting-2520Machines-25202-2520Counties.mov-3Fdl-3D0&d=DwIGaQ&c=HPJvcKF4Kk5Wqru1T_u_fOsw8NVQVa3gp0ReMdlciXw&r=y8ep_8HDwKhdpPvTap-X2A7Mfy5KKxC8wOuT4JMy-p8&m=60rdPa6Tc56n5EZ_18HvFPM7CJyCesLASInKmGSTByY&s=w7w6mu-54_TgwFcC3tC0PY14Qo_oyo09bLfqVFWrh4w&e=

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, et al., **Plaintiffs,** **v.** **ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al.,** **Defendants.**	**CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. 2018CV313418**

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE

Plaintiffs have filed a Motion for Continuance. Good cause having been shown, this Court, pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(a), GRANTS the Motion, and the evidentiary hearing on this matter is continued until no earlier than three weeks after the Defendants have given Plaintiffs full access to the equipment and records described in this Court's January 11, 2019 Order on Pending Motions.

SO ORDERED this __ day of January, 2019.

_____
Honorable Adele P. Grubbs
Senior Judge, State of Georgia

Prepared by: /s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Counsel for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing via the Court's e-filing system to all counsel of record.

This 14th day of January, 2019.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, Plaintiffs, v. ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al., Defendants.	Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

PLAINTIFFS' REPLY BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR CONTINUANCE

Plaintiffs file this Reply to the briefs filed by non-party Secretary of State and Defendant Duncan.

On his predecessor's own motion, the Secretary became a non-party to this case and accordingly should not be filing briefs or interfering in discovery, and his brief should be stricken. If he wants to participate in this case, he may file a motion to intervene for the Court's consideration.

Plaintiffs' primary objection to the Secretary's Brief, however, is not that he filed it, but what he says. Defendant Duncan simply reargues his rejected motion to dismiss.

A. Facts

On the facts: the Court ordered the Defendants to allow Plaintiffs to examine the internal memory of the DRE machines. *There is no dispute that Fulton County has not done so.* Fulton County did not even make its machines available until yesterday, three days before trial, and when it did so it was technically unable to give Plaintiffs access to the internal memory. *This is undisputed.* The essential fact remains that Plaintiffs, now 36 hours from trial, have not been granted the limited discovery that this Court ordered – and that Plaintiffs originally sought six weeks ago. *This is not disputed.*

What also is not in dispute is that Fulton County (and now Gwinnett) have no plans to figure out how to make the internal memory available. In the "no good deed goes unpunished" category, the Secretary now blames Plaintiffs' expert for proposing ways that Fulton County can do what the Order requires without damaging the internal memory, by using a special erasable programable read only memory (EPROM) chip. Fulton County does not have to use the EPROM method, but it does have to comply with the Court's Order and, it if does not like the EPROM method, it can do so with the technology of its own selection. What it cannot do is refuse to comply with the Court's Order and "run out the clock."

The Secretary's Brief does *not* disclose Plaintiffs' proposed protocol - a glaring, inexcusable omission. Plaintiffs proposed last week that Fulton County copy the internal memory and allow Plaintiffs to examine the copy – examining a

copy is the only way to conduct a forensic examination without potentially altering the memory and destroying evidence. This protocol was originally explained in an email on January 10, and then explained in greater detailed in Plaintiffs' expert's January 11, 2019 affidavit, another copy of which was filed yesterday with the Motion for Continuance.

Most important, neither the Secretary nor Defendant Duncan disagree that, as a factual matter, it is impossible for Plaintiffs to conduct reasonable discovery in this time frame.

B. Law

Neither Defendant Duncan nor the Secretary have any response to Plaintiffs' legal argument for continuance. But the law is clear: the Election Contest Statute does not mandate accelerated proceedings or set arbitrary deadlines, but instead contemplates that the trial court use its plenary power to gain a "full and proper understanding" of the matter. O.C.G.A. § 21-2-525(b). The Election Contest Statute further states that the case is to proceed "in like manner and to the same extent as in other civil cases." *Id.* The Civil Practice Act in turn states that "the court shall in *all* cases afford to the parties reasonable time for discovery." O.C.G.A. § 9-11-40 (emphasis added).

And the Secretary and Defendant Duncan do not attempt to apply this law to the undisputed facts, for that too cannot reasonably be disputed: three days to a week is not a "reasonable time for discovery" in any case, much less a state-wide

election contest involving an investigation of why complex electronic voting machines malfunctioned.

The Secretary and Defendant Duncan also to not counter Plaintiffs' argument citing a number of Supreme Court cases, in each of which the plaintiffs appear to have been given full discovery, whether that involved an opportunity to find and count every misspelled absentee ballot, *Mead v. Sheffield,* 278 Ga. 268 (2004), or to investigate allegedly mis-calibrated voting machines, *Taggart v. Phillips*, 242 Ga. 454, 455 (1978). And, they do not disagree that in no case found by Plaintiffs has *any* court compromised the full and proper adjudication of the issues in order to obtain a faster decision. *E.g., McIntosh Cty. Bd. of Elections v. Deverger*, 282 Ga. 566, 566 (2007) (four months after the general election, the trial court ordered a new election; the Supreme Court affirmed); *Taggart v. Phillips*, 242 Ga. 454, 455 (1978) (two months after election, the Supreme Court reversed the trial court's dismissal of contest petition and remanded for further proceedings).

Defendant Duncan's brief is in effect a motion for reconsideration of the denial of his motion to dismiss. Though improper, Plaintiffs will respond briefly. As to the facts relating to the drop-off, none of what Defendant Duncan says explains why the unprecedented drop in votes for the Lt. Governor's race appeared only with the votes on electronic machines, and not on the votes cast on paper ballots. If the drop-off was because of not having a third-party candidate, for

example, why didn't this drop off appear in votes on paper ballots for the same race? (And why didn't that drop off appear on other races, like the race for attorney general, that also did not have a third-party candidate?) What, other than system malfunction, could explain such a difference? Defendant Duncan does not, cannot, answer that question.

This case needs to be tried, and a continuance is necessary for the trial to be fair and to comply with the law.

Respectfully submitted this 15th day of January, 2019.

/s/ Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Georgia Bar No. 064460
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com
Bruce P. Brown Law LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE, Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700

Attorney for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing via the Court's e-filing system to all counsel of record.

This 15th day of January, 2019.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown

IN THE SUPERIOR COURT OF FULTON COUNTY
STATE OF GEORGIA

COALITION FOR GOOD GOVERNANCE, RHONDA J. MARTIN, SMYTHE DUVAL, AND JEANNE DUFORT, Plaintiffs, v. ROBYN A. CRITTENDEN, Secretary of State of Georgia, et al., Defendants.	Civil Action No. 2018CV313418

PLAINTIFFS' DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Pursuant to O.C.G.A. § 21-2-526, Plaintiffs demand a trial by jury.

Respectfully submitted this 15th day of January, 2019.

/s/ Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown
Georgia Bar No. 064460
bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com
Bruce P. Brown Law LLC
1123 Zonolite Rd. NE, Suite 6
Atlanta, Georgia 30306
(404) 881-0700

Attorney for Plaintiffs

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I have this day served a copy of the foregoing via the Court's e-filing system to all counsel of record.

This 16th day of January, 2019.

/s/Bruce P. Brown
Bruce P. Brown

From: Bryan Tyson <bpt@sbllaw.net>
Sent: Tuesday, January 29, 2019 11:45 AM
To: Lindsey, Jr., Edward H.; Josh Belinfante; Adele Grubbs
Cc: Bruce Brown; Burwell, Kaye; Alexander Denton; Laila Tehrani; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Vincent Russo; Carey Miller; Perkins-Hooker, Patrise; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Ringer, Cheryl; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Brian Lake; ccorreia@law.ga.gov
Subject: Re: Motion to Supplement the Record

Judge Grubbs:

The Gwinnett Board likewise does not oppose the motion to supplement the record.

Thanks,

Bryan

From: Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 29, 2019 11:33:55 AM
To: Josh Belinfante; Adele Grubbs
Cc: Bruce Brown; Burwell, Kaye; Alexander Denton; Laila Tehrani; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Vincent Russo; Carey Miller; Perkins-Hooker, Patrise; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Ringer, Cheryl; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Brian Lake; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; Bryan Tyson
Subject: RE: Motion to Supplement the Record

Judge Grubbs: On behalf of Lt. Governor Duncan we also have no objections to the motion to supplement the record.

DENTONS

Edward H. Lindsey, Jr.
Partner

D +1 404 527 4580 | M +1 404 316 4466 | O +1 404 316 4466 | US Internal 74580
edward.lindsey@dentons.com
Bio | Website
Assistant: Tricia Nay

Dentons US LLP
303 Peachtree Street, NE, Suite 5300, Atlanta, GA 30308

Hamilton Harrison & Mathews > Mardemootoo Balgobin > HPRP > Zain & Co. > Delany Law > Dinner Martin > Maclay Murray & Spens > Gallo Barrios Pickmann > Muñoz > Cardenas & Cardenas > Lopez Velarde > Rodyk > Boekel > OPF Partners > 大成

Dentons is a global legal practice providing client services worldwide through its member firms and affiliates. This email may be confidential and protected by legal privilege. If you are not the intended recipient, disclosure, copying, distribution and use are prohibited; please notify us immediately and delete this copy from your system.

Please see dentons.com for Legal Notices.

From: Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 29, 2019 11:22 AM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>; Burwell, Kaye <Kaye.Burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>; Laila Tehrani <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.com>; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Carey Miller <carey.miller@robbinsfirm.com>; Perkins-Hooker, Patrise <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Ringer, Cheryl <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; Bryan Tyson <bpt@sbllaw.net>
Subject: RE: Motion to Supplement the Record

Judge Grubbs:

Fulton County courts are closed today, but Secretary Raffensperger will not be filing an opposition response to the Petitioners' Motion.

Best regards,
JB

From: Adele Grubbs [mailto:adele.grubbs@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, January 25, 2019 5:15 PM
To: Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>
Cc: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>; Burwell, Kaye <Kaye.Burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>; Laila Tehrani <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.com>; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Carey Miller <carey.miller@robbinsfirm.com>; Perkins-Hooker, Patrise <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Ringer, Cheryl <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; Bryan Tyson <bpt@sbllaw.net>
Subject: Re: Motion to Supplement the Record

Responses by Tuesday at 9:00 a.m. are find.

Adele Grubbs

On Fri, Jan 25, 2019 at 5:10 PM Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com> wrote:

> Judge Grubbs:
>
> Sorry for the delay in getting back to you. On behalf of the Secretary, we will be responding on Monday. We hope that is satisfactory to the Court.
>
> Best regards,
> JB
>
> **From:** Adele Grubbs [mailto:adele.grubbs@gmail.com]
> **Sent:** Friday, January 25, 2019 12:37 PM

To: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Cc: Burwell, Kaye <Kaye.Burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>; Laila Tehrani <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.com>; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>; Perkins-Hooker, Patrise <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Ringer, Cheryl <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; Bryan Tyson <bpt@sbllaw.net>
Subject: Re: Motion to Supplement the Record

Defense Counsel,

I am in receipt of Mr. Brown's Motion to Supplement the Record in this case. Some of the emails have been omitted. Please let me have your response as soon as possible.

Thank you.

Adele Grubbs

On Wed, Jan 23, 2019 at 12:35 PM Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com> wrote:

> Judge:
> I have attached Plaintiffs' Motion to Supplement the Record with copies of email communications between the Court and counsel. At the end of the motion is a proposed order granting same.
> Judge, we have filed a pre-notice of appeal motion for expedited consideration with the Georgia Supreme Court. Your Order dated January 18, 2019 fully disposes of the matter and is therefore appealable as a final judgment, and Plaintiffs will shortly be filing a formal notice of appeal.
> Many thanks, again,
> Respectfully,
> Bruce Brown

大成 DENTONS

GA007798

From: Burwell, Kaye <Kaye.Burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, January 29, 2019 11:45 AM
To: Lindsey, Jr., Edward H.; Josh Belinfante; Adele Grubbs
Cc: Bruce Brown; Alexander Denton; Laila Tehrani; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Vincent Russo; Carey Miller; Perkins-Hooker, Patrise; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Ringer, Cheryl; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Brian Lake; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; Bryan Tyson
Subject: RE: Motion to Supplement the Record

Judge Grubbs, Fulton County has no objection.

From: Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. [mailto:edward.lindsey@dentons.com]
Sent: Tuesday, January 29, 2019 12:34 PM
To: Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>; Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>; Burwell, Kaye <Kaye.Burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>; Laila Tehrani <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.com>; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Carey Miller <carey.miller@robbinsfirm.com>; Perkins-Hooker, Patrise <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Ringer, Cheryl <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; Bryan Tyson <bpt@sbllaw.net>
Subject: RE: Motion to Supplement the Record

Judge Grubbs: On behalf of Lt. Governor Duncan we also have no objections to the motion to supplement the record.

DENTONS

Edward H. Lindsey, Jr.
Partner

D +1 404 527 4580 | M +1 404 316 4466 | O +1 404 316 4466 | US Internal 74580
edward.lindsey@dentons.com
Bio | Website
Assistant: Tricia Nay

Dentons US LLP
303 Peachtree Street, NE, Suite 5300, Atlanta, GA 30308

Hamilton Harrison & Mathews > Mardemootoo Balgobin > HPRP > Zain & Co. > Delany Law > Dinner Martin > Maclay Murray & Spens > Gallo Barrios Pickmann > Muñoz > Cardenas & Cardenas > Lopez Velarde > Rodyk > Boekel > OPF Partners > 大成

Dentons is a global legal practice providing client services worldwide through its member firms and affiliates. This email may be confidential and protected by legal privilege. If you are not the intended recipient, disclosure, copying, distribution and use are prohibited; please notify us immediately and delete this copy from your system. Please see dentons.com for Legal Notices.

From: Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 29, 2019 11:22 AM

To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>; Burwell, Kaye <Kaye.Burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>; Laila Tehrani <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.com>; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Carey Miller <carey.miller@robbinsfirm.com>; Perkins-Hooker, Patrise <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Ringer, Cheryl <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; Bryan Tyson <bpt@sbllaw.net>
Subject: RE: Motion to Supplement the Record

Judge Grubbs:

Fulton County courts are closed today, but Secretary Raffensperger will not be filing an opposition response to the Petitioners' Motion.

Best regards,
JB

From: Adele Grubbs [mailto:adele.grubbs@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, January 25, 2019 5:15 PM
To: Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>
Cc: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>; Burwell, Kaye <Kaye.Burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>; Laila Tehrani <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.com>; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Carey Miller <carey.miller@robbinsfirm.com>; Perkins-Hooker, Patrise <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Ringer, Cheryl <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; Bryan Tyson <bpt@sbllaw.net>
Subject: Re: Motion to Supplement the Record

Responses by Tuesday at 9:00 a.m. are find.

Adele Grubbs

On Fri, Jan 25, 2019 at 5:10 PM Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com> wrote:

> Judge Grubbs:
>
> Sorry for the delay in getting back to you. On behalf of the Secretary, we will be responding on Monday. We hope that is satisfactory to the Court.
>
> Best regards,
>
> JB

From: Adele Grubbs [mailto:adele.grubbs@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, January 25, 2019 12:37 PM
To: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Cc: Burwell, Kaye <Kaye.Burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>; Laila Tehrani <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.com>; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>; Perkins-Hooker, Patrise <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Ringer, Cheryl <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; Bryan Tyson <bpt@sbllaw.net>
Subject: Re: Motion to Supplement the Record

Defense Counsel,

I am in receipt of Mr. Brown's Motion to Supplement the Record in this case. Some of the emails have been omitted. Please let me have your response as soon as possible.

Thank you.

Adele Grubbs

On Wed, Jan 23, 2019 at 12:35 PM Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com> wrote:

> Judge:
>
> I have attached Plaintiffs' Motion to Supplement the Record with copies of email communications between the Court and counsel. At the end of the motion is a proposed order granting same.
>
> Judge, we have filed a pre-notice of appeal motion for expedited consideration with the Georgia Supreme Court. Your Order dated January 18, 2019 fully disposes of the matter and is therefore appealable as a final judgment, and Plaintiffs will shortly be filing a formal notice of appeal.
>
> Many thanks, again,
>
> Respectfully,
>
> Bruce Brown

大成 DENTONS

GA007802

From: Richard Carothers <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 29, 2019 12:19 PM
To: Burwell, Kaye; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H.; Josh Belinfante; Adele Grubbs
Cc: Bruce Brown; Alexander Denton; Laila Tehrani; Sue Ingrao; Brian Dempsey; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Vincent Russo; Carey Miller; Perkins-Hooker, Patrise; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Ringer, Cheryl; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Brian Lake; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; Bryan Tyson
Subject: RE: Motion to Supplement the Record

Judge Grubbs,

The Gwinnett Board of Registrations and Elections has no objection.

From: Burwell, Kaye <Kaye.Burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>
Sent: Tuesday, January 29, 2019 11:45 AM
To: Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>; Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>; Laila Tehrani <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.com>; Richard Carothers <richard.carothers@carmitch.com>; Sue Ingrao <sue.ingrao@carmitch.com>; Brian Dempsey <brian.dempsey@carmitch.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Carey Miller <carey.miller@robbinsfirm.com>; Perkins-Hooker, Patrise <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Ringer, Cheryl <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; Bryan Tyson <bpt@sbllaw.net>
Subject: RE: Motion to Supplement the Record

Judge Grubbs, Fulton County has no objection.

From: Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. [mailto:edward.lindsey@dentons.com]
Sent: Tuesday, January 29, 2019 12:34 PM
To: Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>; Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>; Burwell, Kaye <Kaye.Burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>; Laila Tehrani <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.com>; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Carey Miller <carey.miller@robbinsfirm.com>; Perkins-Hooker, Patrise <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Ringer, Cheryl <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; Bryan Tyson <bpt@sbllaw.net>
Subject: RE: Motion to Supplement the Record

Judge Grubbs: On behalf of Lt. Governor Duncan we also have no objections to the motion to supplement the record.

DENTONS Edward H. Lindsey, Jr.
Partner

D +1 404 527 4580 | M +1 404 316 4466 | O +1 404 316 4466 | US Internal 74580
edward.lindsey@dentons.com

Bio | Website
Assistant: Tricia Nay

Dentons US LLP
303 Peachtree Street, NE, Suite 5300, Atlanta, GA 30308

Hamilton Harrison & Mathews > Mardemootoo Balgobin > HPRP > Zain & Co. > Delany Law > Dinner Martin > Maclay Murray & Spens > Gallo Barrios Pickmann > Muñoz > Cardenas & Cardenas > Lopez Velarde > Rodyk > Boekel > OPF Partners > 大成

Dentons is a global legal practice providing client services worldwide through its member firms and affiliates. This email may be confidential and protected by legal privilege. If you are not the intended recipient, disclosure, copying, distribution and use are prohibited; please notify us immediately and delete this copy from your system. Please see dentons.com for Legal Notices.

From: Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>
Sent: Tuesday, January 29, 2019 11:22 AM
To: Adele Grubbs <adele.grubbs@gmail.com>
Cc: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>; Burwell, Kaye <Kaye.Burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>; Laila Tehrani <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.com>; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Carey Miller <carey.miller@robbinsfirm.com>; Perkins-Hooker, Patrise <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Ringer, Cheryl <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; Bryan Tyson <bpt@sbllaw.net>
Subject: RE: Motion to Supplement the Record

Judge Grubbs:

Fulton County courts are closed today, but Secretary Raffensperger will not be filing an opposition response to the Petitioners' Motion.

Best regards,
JB

From: Adele Grubbs [mailto:adele.grubbs@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, January 25, 2019 5:15 PM
To: Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>
Cc: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>; Burwell, Kaye <Kaye.Burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>; Laila Tehrani <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.com>; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Carey Miller <carey.miller@robbinsfirm.com>; Perkins-Hooker, Patrise <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Ringer, Cheryl <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; Bryan Tyson <bpt@sbllaw.net>
Subject: Re: Motion to Supplement the Record

Responses by Tuesday at 9:00 a.m. are find.

Adele Grubbs

On Fri, Jan 25, 2019 at 5:10 PM Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com> wrote:

Judge Grubbs:

Sorry for the delay in getting back to you. On behalf of the Secretary, we will be responding on Monday. We hope that is satisfactory to the Court.

Best regards,

JB

From: Adele Grubbs [mailto:adele.grubbs@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, January 25, 2019 12:37 PM
To: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Cc: Burwell, Kaye <Kaye.Burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>; Laila Tehrani <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.com>; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>; Perkins-Hooker, Patrise <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Ringer, Cheryl <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; Bryan Tyson <bpt@sbllaw.net>
Subject: Re: Motion to Supplement the Record

Defense Counsel,

I am in receipt of Mr. Brown's Motion to Supplement the Record in this case. Some of the emails have been omitted. Please let me have your response as soon as possible.

Thank you.

Adele Grubbs

On Wed, Jan 23, 2019 at 12:35 PM Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com> wrote:

Judge:

I have attached Plaintiffs' Motion to Supplement the Record with copies of email communications between the Court and counsel. At the end of the motion is a proposed order granting same.

Judge, we have filed a pre-notice of appeal motion for expedited consideration with the Georgia Supreme Court. Your Order dated January 18, 2019 fully disposes of the matter and is therefore appealable as a final judgment, and Plaintiffs will shortly be filing a formal notice of appeal.

Many thanks, again,

Respectfully,

Bruce Brown

大成 DENTONS

GA007807

From: Josh Belinfante
Sent: Tuesday, January 29, 2019 11:22 AM
To: Adele Grubbs
Cc: Bruce Brown; Burwell, Kaye; Alexander Denton; Laila Tehrani; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H.; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Vincent Russo; Carey Miller; Perkins-Hooker, Patrise; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Ringer, Cheryl; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Brian Lake; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; Bryan Tyson
Subject: RE: Motion to Supplement the Record

Judge Grubbs:

Fulton County courts are closed today, but Secretary Raffensperger will not be filing an opposition response to the Petitioners' Motion.

Best regards,
JB

From: Adele Grubbs [mailto:adele.grubbs@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, January 25, 2019 5:15 PM
To: Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>
Cc: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>; Burwell, Kaye <Kaye.Burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>; Laila Tehrani <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.com>; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Carey Miller <carey.miller@robbinsfirm.com>; Perkins-Hooker, Patrise <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Ringer, Cheryl <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; Bryan Tyson <bpt@sbllaw.net>
Subject: Re: Motion to Supplement the Record

Responses by Tuesday at 9:00 a.m. are find.

Adele Grubbs

On Fri, Jan 25, 2019 at 5:10 PM Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com> wrote:

> Judge Grubbs:
>
> Sorry for the delay in getting back to you. On behalf of the Secretary, we will be responding on Monday. We hope that is satisfactory to the Court.
>
> Best regards,
>
> JB

From: Adele Grubbs [mailto:adele.grubbs@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, January 25, 2019 12:37 PM
To: Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com>
Cc: Burwell, Kaye <Kaye.Burwell@fultoncountyga.gov>; Alexander Denton <Alexander.Denton@robbinsfirm.com>; Laila Tehrani <laila.tehrani@sbllaw.com>; richard.carothers@carmitch.com; sue@carmitch.com; brian.dempsey@carmitch.com; Lindsey, Jr., Edward H. <edward.lindsey@dentons.com>; Thomas.Davis@cobbcounty.org; Vincent Russo <vrusso@robbinsfirm.com>; Josh Belinfante <Josh.Belinfante@robbinsfirm.com>; Perkins-Hooker, Patrise <Patrise.Perkins-Hooker@fultoncountyga.gov>; DWare@hallboothsmith.com; Ringer, Cheryl <Cheryl.Ringer@fultoncountyga.gov>; JOvercash@7Jad.com; csims@7Jad.com; chall@lmjc.net; Brian Lake <Brian.Lake@robbinsfirm.com>; ccorreia@law.ga.gov; Bryan Tyson <bpt@sbllaw.net>
Subject: Re: Motion to Supplement the Record

Defense Counsel,

I am in receipt of Mr. Brown's Motion to Supplement the Record in this case. Some of the emails have been omitted. Please let me have your response as soon as possible.

Thank you.

Adele Grubbs

On Wed, Jan 23, 2019 at 12:35 PM Bruce Brown <bbrown@brucepbrownlaw.com> wrote:

> Judge:
>
> I have attached Plaintiffs' Motion to Supplement the Record with copies of email communications between the Court and counsel. At the end of the motion is a proposed order granting same.
>
> Judge, we have filed a pre-notice of appeal motion for expedited consideration with the Georgia Supreme Court. Your Order dated January 18, 2019 fully disposes of the matter and is therefore appealable as a final judgment, and Plaintiffs will shortly be filing a formal notice of appeal.
>
> Many thanks, again,
>
> Respectfully,
>
> Bruce Brown

GA007810

Election Summary Report
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Summary For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Informe Resumido de las Elecciones
Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

Registered Voters 525568 - Cards Cast 338125 64.34% Num. Report Precinct 157 - Num. Reporting 157 100.00%

Governor / Gobernador

	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	157	157	157	157	157	157	
Precincts Reporting	157	157	157	157	157	157	100.0 %
B. KEMP (R)	69135	7725	27654	27814	670	132998	42.21%
S. ABRAMS (D)	83386	12404	34388	46401	1518	178097	56.52%
T. METZ (L)	2625	268	474	435	21	3823	1.21%
Write-in Votes	129	15	27	24	1	196	0.06%

Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador

	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	157	157	157	157	157	157	
Precincts Reporting	157	157	157	157	157	157	100.0 %
G. DUNCAN (R)	69336	7879	27519	27568	690	132992	43.82%
S. R. AMICO (D)	79115	12373	33066	44195	1480	170229	56.08%
Write-in Votes	188	21	38	50	2	299	0.10%

Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado

	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	157	157	157	157	157	157	
Precincts Reporting	157	157	157	157	157	157	100.0 %
B. RAFFENSPERGER (R)	67980	7607	27181	27382	663	130813	41.96%
J. BARROW (D)	80116	11995	33415	45265	1422	172213	55.23%
S. DUVAL (L)	5260	588	1399	1311	76	8634	2.77%
Write-in Votes	81	9	17	20	0	127	0.04%

Attorney General / Fiscal General

	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	157	157	157	157	157	157	
Precincts Reporting	157	157	157	157	157	157	100.0 %
C. CARR (I) R	70787	8007	28129	28225	697	135845	43.80%
C. BAILEY (D)	81576	11981	33698	45445	1432	174132	56.14%
Write-in Votes	118	14	25	28	2	187	0.06%

Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura

	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	157	157	157	157	157	157	
Precincts Reporting	157	157	157	157	157	157	100.0 %
G. BLACK (I) R	73374	8348	28901	28890	706	140219	45.41%
F. SWANN (D)	78133	11767	32624	44363	1456	168343	54.51%
Write-in Votes	178	12	29	26	2	247	0.08%

Election Summary Report
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Summary For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Informe Resumido de las Elecciones
Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

Registered Voters 525568 - Cards Cast 338125 64.34% Num. Report Precinct 157 - Num. Reporting 157 100.00%

Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	157	157	157	157	157	157	
Precincts Reporting	157	157	157	157	157	157	100.0 %
J. BECK (R)	68633	7639	27267	27419	665	131623	42.43%
J. LAWS (D)	77586	11955	32829	44641	1402	168413	54.29%
D. FOSTER (L)	6117	539	1679	1593	98	10026	3.23%
Write-in Votes	74	10	17	23	2	126	0.04%

State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	157	157	157	157	157	157	
Precincts Reporting	157	157	157	157	157	157	100.0 %
R. WOODS (I) R	74437	8410	29034	29167	711	141759	45.66%
O. THORNTON, JR. (D)	78088	11723	32725	44526	1429	168491	54.27%
Write-in Votes	121	18	33	47	2	221	0.07%

Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	157	157	157	157	157	157	
Precincts Reporting	157	157	157	157	157	157	100.0 %
M. BUTLER (I) R	72917	8114	28540	28644	697	138912	44.90%
R. KEATLEY (D)	78901	11963	33066	44890	1466	170286	55.05%
Write-in Votes	92	11	21	26	2	152	0.05%

PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	157	157	157	157	157	157	
Precincts Reporting	157	157	157	157	157	157	100.0 %
C. EATON (I) R	67137	7504	27025	27225	652	129543	41.80%
L. MILLER (D)	78987	11981	33133	44870	1423	170394	54.99%
R. GRAHAM (L)	6148	599	1532	1493	82	9854	3.18%
Write-in Votes	51	11	11	15	3	91	0.03%

PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	157	157	157	157	157	157	
Precincts Reporting	157	157	157	157	157	157	100.0 %
T. PRIDEMORE (I) R	68560	7614	27302	27483	660	131619	42.49%
D. A. RANDOLPH (D)	77679	11886	32958	44804	1418	168745	54.47%
J. TURPISH (L)	5822	555	1492	1383	71	9323	3.01%
Write-in Votes	54	14	12	13	3	96	0.03%

Election Summary Report
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Summary For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Informe Resumido de las Elecciones
Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

Registered Voters 525568 - Cards Cast 338125 64.34% Num. Report Precinct 157 - Num. Reporting 157 100.00%

US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	34	34	34	34	34	34	
Precincts Reporting	34	34	34	34	34	34	100.0 %
J. PROFIT (R)	10570	1298	5454	4395	94	21811	33.72%
H. JOHNSON, JR.(I) D	18068	2693	8704	12997	356	42818	66.19%
Write-in Votes	24	13	9	14	1	61	0.09%

US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	112	112	112	112	112	112	
Precincts Reporting	112	112	112	112	112	112	100.0 %
R. WOODALL (I) R	51723	5897	15286	22161	443	95510	44.53%
C. BOURDEAUX (D)	58033	8809	21003	30168	817	118830	55.40%
Write-in Votes	85	12	22	30	1	150	0.07%

US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	11	11	11	11	11	11	
Precincts Reporting	11	11	11	11	11	11	100.0 %
J. HICE (I) R	8999	728	7319	1548	28	18622	58.16%
T. JOHNSON-GREEN (D)	5740	806	4189	2621	19	13375	41.78%
Write-in Votes	11	3	4	1	0	19	0.06%

State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	27	27	27	27	27	27	
Precincts Reporting	27	27	27	27	27	27	100.0 %
S. RAHMAN (D)	18237	2335	7277	6391	189	34429	97.50%
Write-in Votes	478	87	164	147	6	882	2.50%

State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	43	43	43	43	43	43	
Precincts Reporting	43	43	43	43	43	43	100.0 %
P. MARTIN IV (I) R	21486	2300	8632	9894	91	42403	51.91%
C. R. MOSES (D)	17067	2656	6900	12516	108	39247	48.05%
Write-in Votes	21	6	4	5	0	36	0.04%

Election Summary Report
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Summary For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Informe Resumido de las Elecciones
Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

Registered Voters 525568 - Cards Cast 338125 64.34% Num. Report Precinct 157 - Num. Reporting 157 100.00%

State Senate 40 / Senado Estatal 40

	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	8	8	8	8	8	8	
Precincts Reporting	8	8	8	8	8	8	100.0 %
F. MILLAR (I) R	3695	375	1063	133	12	5278	46.36%
S. HARRELL (D)	3696	453	1782	160	13	6104	53.61%
Write-in Votes	2	0	0	1	0	3	0.03%

State Senate 41 / Senado Estatal 41

	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	10	10	10	10	10	10	
Precincts Reporting	10	10	10	10	10	10	100.0 %
S. HENSON (I) D	5394	738	2918	1292	31	10373	97.28%
Write-in Votes	151	25	78	35	1	290	2.72%

State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45

	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	34	34	34	34	34	34	
Precincts Reporting	34	34	34	34	34	34	100.0 %
R. S. UNTERMAN (I) R	22518	2147	10769	8660	219	44313	57.99%
J. RODGERS (D)	15091	2328	6748	7688	182	32037	41.93%
Write-in Votes	35	4	11	11	2	63	0.08%

State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48

	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	20	20	20	20	20	20	
Precincts Reporting	20	20	20	20	20	20	100.0 %
M. REEVES (R)	10362	1395	3726	3479	45	19007	44.82%
Z. KARINSHAK (D)	10977	2053	6060	4194	87	23371	55.11%
Write-in Votes	17	3	9	3	1	33	0.08%

State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55

	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	20	20	20	20	20	20	
Precincts Reporting	20	20	20	20	20	20	100.0 %
A. D. JACKSON (R)	6403	774	1540	4280	52	13049	29.66%
G. S. BUTLER (I) D	12694	1909	2539	13562	228	30932	70.30%
Write-in Votes	11	3	1	6	0	21	0.05%

Election Summary Report
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Summary For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Informe Resumido de las Elecciones
Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

Registered Voters 525568 - Cards Cast 338125 64.34% Num. Report Precinct 157 - Num. Reporting 157 100.00%

State House 81 / Camara Estatal 81

	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Precincts Reporting	1	1	1	1	1	1	100.0 %
E. DIEHL (R)	177	24	84	7	0	292	27.16%
S. HOLCOMB (I) D	451	67	235	29	0	782	72.74%
Write-in Votes	1	0	0	0	0	1	0.09%

State House 93 / Camara Estatal 93

	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	7	7	7	7	7	7	
Precincts Reporting	7	7	7	7	7	7	100.0 %
D. KENDRICK (I) D	5925	1005	648	7515	132	15225	97.98%
Write-in Votes	139	25	17	124	9	314	2.02%

State House 94 / Camara Estatal 94

	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	8	8	8	8	8	8	
Precincts Reporting	8	8	8	8	8	8	100.0 %
K. BENNETT (I) D	3792	477	1910	2179	23	8381	96.83%
Write-in Votes	130	22	74	46	2	274	3.17%

State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95

	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	14	14	14	14	14	14	
Precincts Reporting	14	14	14	14	14	14	100.0 %
S. HILTON (I) R	6720	746	2713	265	27	10471	47.32%
B. MOORE (D)	6308	972	3975	352	35	11642	52.61%
Write-in Votes	9	1	3	0	1	14	0.06%

State House 96 / Camara Estatal 96

	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	10	10	10	10	10	10	
Precincts Reporting	10	10	10	10	10	10	100.0 %
P. MARIN (I) D	5703	849	3561	647	50	10810	96.77%
Write-in Votes	183	33	117	23	5	361	3.23%

Election Summary Report
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Summary For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Informe Resumido de las Elecciones
Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

Registered Voters 525568 - Cards Cast 338125 64.34% Num. Report Precinct 157 - Num. Reporting 157 100.00%

State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97

	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	13	13	13	13	13	13	
Precincts Reporting	13	13	13	13	13	13	100.0 %
B. RICH (R)	6880	880	1347	4388	43	13538	55.82%
A. YAQOOB (D)	4734	946	1688	3298	30	10696	44.11%
Write-in Votes	9	1	2	4	1	17	0.07%

State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98

	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	10	10	10	10	10	10	
Precincts Reporting	10	10	10	10	10	10	100.0 %
D. CLARK (I) R	8888	947	4988	2620	86	17529	95.19%
Write-in Votes	362	80	270	163	10	885	4.81%

State House 99 / Camara Estatal 99

	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	8	8	8	8	8	8	
Precincts Reporting	8	8	8	8	8	8	100.0 %
B. L. ROMERO (I) D	3864	481	2764	235	18	7362	98.00%
Write-in Votes	78	14	49	9	0	150	2.00%

State House 100 / Camara Estatal 100

	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	9	9	9	9	9	9	
Precincts Reporting	9	9	9	9	9	9	100.0 %
D. L. MCCLAIN (I) D	6011	785	2565	1256	51	10668	98.30%
Write-in Votes	99	29	40	14	2	184	1.70%

State House 101 / Camara Estatal 101

	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	12	12	12	12	12	12	
Precincts Reporting	12	12	12	12	12	12	100.0 %
V. CLARK (R)	4463	582	280	2695	7	8027	41.15%
S. PARK (I) D	5775	896	894	3861	33	11459	58.74%
Write-in Votes	11	3	1	5	1	21	0.11%

Election Summary Report
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Summary For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Informe Resumido de las Elecciones
Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

Registered Voters 525568 - Cards Cast 338125 64.34% Num. Report Precinct 157 - Num. Reporting 157 100.00%

State House 102 / Camara Estatal 102

	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	11	11	11	11	11	11	
Precincts Reporting	11	11	11	11	11	11	100.0 %
P. HASTINGS (R)	5379	540	866	3234	14	10033	48.53%
G. KENNARD (D)	5155	750	932	3756	24	10617	51.35%
Write-in Votes	15	4	2	1	2	24	0.12%

State House 103 / Camara Estatal 103

	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	7	7	7	7	7	7	
Precincts Reporting	7	7	7	7	7	7	100.0 %
T. BARR (I) R	5771	603	4240	811	9	11434	95.53%
Write-in Votes	228	51	185	69	2	535	4.47%

State House 104 / Camara Estatal 104

	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	11	11	11	11	11	11	
Precincts Reporting	11	11	11	11	11	11	100.0 %
C. EFSTRATION (I) R	6392	519	5710	853	19	13493	53.33%
A. STEPHENSON (D)	5179	690	3716	2188	17	11790	46.60%
Write-in Votes	9	0	3	3	1	16	0.06%

State House 105 / Camara Estatal 105

	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	10	10	10	10	10	10	
Precincts Reporting	10	10	10	10	10	10	100.0 %
D. SHELDON (R)	5230	527	766	3686	15	10224	41.60%
D. MCLEOD (D)	5853	937	580	6945	20	14335	58.33%
Write-in Votes	12	0	0	3	2	17	0.07%

State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106

	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	14	14	14	14	14	14	
Precincts Reporting	14	14	14	14	14	14	100.0 %
B. HARRELL (I) R	7937	980	875	5145	36	14973	89.43%
Write-in Votes	692	155	147	772	4	1770	10.57%

Election Summary Report
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Summary For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Informe Resumido de las Elecciones
Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

Registered Voters 525568 - Cards Cast 338125 64.34% Num. Report Precinct 157 - Num. Reporting 157 100.00%

State House 107 / Camara Estatal 107

	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	11	11	11	11	11	11	
Precincts Reporting	11	11	11	11	11	11	100.0 %
J. MIHOCI (R)	4965	561	485	2688	10	8709	41.17%
S. HUTCHINSON (D)	6215	863	867	4428	52	12425	58.73%
Write-in Votes	11	4	1	5	1	22	0.10%

State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108

	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	15	15	15	15	15	15	
Precincts Reporting	15	15	15	15	15	15	100.0 %
C. COX (I) R	5171	629	4180	589	16	10585	49.30%
J. CLARK (D)	4762	716	4744	606	49	10877	50.66%
Write-in Votes	5	0	3	1	1	10	0.05%

State House 114 / Camara Estatal 114

	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	2	2	2	2	2	2	
Precincts Reporting	2	2	2	2	2	2	100.0 %
T. KIRBY (I) R	1335	142	47	977	2	2503	90.95%
Write-in Votes	100	21	8	120	0	249	9.05%

Solicitor General / Procurador General

	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	157	157	157	157	157	157	
Precincts Reporting	157	157	157	157	157	157	100.0 %
R. M. SZABO (I) R	73521	8318	28934	29034	694	140501	45.51%
B. W. WHITESIDE (D)	78205	11319	32658	44506	1331	168019	54.42%
Write-in Votes	103	34	23	35	13	208	0.07%

County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2

	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	47	47	47	47	47	47	
Precincts Reporting	47	47	47	47	47	47	100.0 %
L. HOWARD (I) R	17537	1970	8834	2394	85	30820	45.50%
B. KU (D)	18456	2616	12801	2793	212	36878	54.44%
Write-in Votes	23	4	12	2	1	42	0.06%

Election Summary Report
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Summary For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Informe Resumido de las Elecciones
Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

Registered Voters 525568 - Cards Cast 338125 64.34% Num. Report Precinct 157 - Num. Reporting 157 100.00%

County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	39	39	39	39	39	39	
Precincts Reporting	39	39	39	39	39	39	100.0 %
J. HEARD (I) R	17416	1902	7464	6493	117	33392	45.38%
M. FOSQUE (D)	19435	2759	6158	11616	173	40141	54.55%
Write-in Votes	30	3	9	9	0	51	0.07%

Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	31	31	31	31	31	31	
Precincts Reporting	31	31	31	31	31	31	100.0 %
S. KNUDSEN (R)	16089	1581	7594	6183	89	31536	50.06%
W. TAYLOR (D)	14900	2269	5137	9007	105	31418	49.87%
Write-in Votes	24	5	9	9	0	47	0.07%

Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	41	41	41	41	41	41	
Precincts Reporting	41	41	41	41	41	41	100.0 %
C. STUDEBAKER (R)	15111	1758	6323	6038	107	29337	42.77%
E. BLAIR, JR (D)	17347	2515	8207	10932	237	39238	57.20%
Write-in Votes	13	1	5	5	1	25	0.04%

Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	157	157	157	157	157	157	
Precincts Reporting	157	157	157	157	157	157	100.0 %
DAVID VANLANDINGHAM	122247	15408	48168	54693	1544	242060	97.76%
Write-in Votes	2463	378	1065	1583	56	5545	2.24%

CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	157	157	157	157	157	157	
Precincts Reporting	157	157	157	157	157	157	100.0 %
YES	127898	17248	51867	62530	1708	261251	84.91%
NO	23919	2369	9403	10503	241	46435	15.09%

Election Summary Report
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Summary For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Informe Resumido de las Elecciones
Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

Registered Voters 525568 - Cards Cast 338125 64.34% Num. Report Precinct 157 - Num. Reporting 157 100.00%

CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	157	157	157	157	157	157	
Precincts Reporting	157	157	157	157	157	157	100.0 %
YES	106301	13692	41690	51339	1526	214548	71.38%
NO	42357	5383	18041	19850	399	86030	28.62%

CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	157	157	157	157	157	157	
Precincts Reporting	157	157	157	157	157	157	100.0 %
YES	95117	12266	37192	44273	1334	190182	63.99%
NO	52068	6697	21803	25887	581	107036	36.01%

CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	157	157	157	157	157	157	
Precincts Reporting	157	157	157	157	157	157	100.0 %
YES	123886	16808	50460	62442	1744	255340	83.37%
NO	27291	2478	10530	10373	261	50933	16.63%

CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	157	157	157	157	157	157	
Precincts Reporting	157	157	157	157	157	157	100.0 %
YES	110769	13987	43793	53523	1604	223676	74.27%
NO	38111	4955	16110	17931	370	77477	25.73%

REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	157	157	157	157	157	157	
Precincts Reporting	157	157	157	157	157	157	100.0 %
YES	84955	10551	33772	41218	1187	171683	58.71%
NO	59857	7758	24267	28183	695	120760	41.29%

REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	157	157	157	157	157	157	
Precincts Reporting	157	157	157	157	157	157	100.0 %
YES	118143	13613	47063	57519	1419	237757	79.71%
NO	30111	3853	12614	13659	272	60509	20.29%

Election Summary Report
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Summary For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Informe Resumido de las Elecciones
Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

Registered Voters 525568 - Cards Cast 338125 64.34% Num. Report Precinct 157 - Num. Reporting 157 100.00%

Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	157	157	157	157	157	157	
Precincts Reporting	157	157	157	157	157	157	100.0 %
YES	98493	12366	38841	45263	1282	196245	64.45%
NO	51984	6675	21911	27033	635	108238	35.55%

Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	157	157	157	157	157	157	
Precincts Reporting	157	157	157	157	157	157	100.0 %
YES	114543	14718	45325	56304	1582	232472	78.41%
NO	31968	3995	13060	14690	307	64020	21.59%

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
10-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
813-01A	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	370	STATE HOUSE 59	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
20-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
813-01B	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	370	STATE HOUSE 59	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
35-0 813-01C	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	370	STATE HOUSE 59	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
40-0 817-01D	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	355	STATE HOUSE 58	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
50-0 813-01E	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	370	STATE HOUSE 59	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
60-0 817-01F	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	355	STATE HOUSE 58	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
70-0 813-01G	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	370	STATE HOUSE 59	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
75-0 818-01H	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	355	STATE HOUSE 58	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
90-0 813-01J	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	370	STATE HOUSE 59	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
95-0 813-01P	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	370	STATE HOUSE 59	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
110-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
813-01R	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	370	STATE HOUSE 59	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
120-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
816-01S	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	380	STATE HOUSE 60	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
130-0 813-01T	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	370	STATE HOUSE 59	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
140-0 819-02A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	350	STATE HOUSE 57	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
150-0 820-02B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	350	STATE HOUSE 57	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
160-0 821-02C	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	355	STATE HOUSE 58	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
170-0 821-02D	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	355	STATE HOUSE 58	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
180-0 822-02E	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	370	STATE HOUSE 59	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
190-0 822-02F1	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	370	STATE HOUSE 59	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
200-0 821-02F2	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	355	STATE HOUSE 58	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
210-0 821-02G	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	355	STATE HOUSE 58	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
220-1 821-02J	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	355	STATE HOUSE 58	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
220-10 FED 5	40	US HOUSE 5		
220-20 FED 6	55	US HOUSE 6		
220-30 FED 11	65	US HOUSE 11		
220-40 FED 13	73	US HOUSE 13		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
225-0 821-02K	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	355	STATE HOUSE 58	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
230-0 823-02L1	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	350	STATE HOUSE 57	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
240-0 824-02L2	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	340	STATE HOUSE 56	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
250-0 821-02S	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	355	STATE HOUSE 58	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
260-0 825-02W	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	340	STATE HOUSE 56	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
280-0 826-03A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	340	STATE HOUSE 56	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
300-0 827-03B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	340	STATE HOUSE 56	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
320-0 827-03C	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	340	STATE HOUSE 56	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
330-0 826-03D	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	340	STATE HOUSE 56	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
340-0 826-03E	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	340	STATE HOUSE 56	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
350-0 828-03F	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	340	STATE HOUSE 56	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
360-0 826-03G	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	340	STATE HOUSE 56	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
370-0 829-03H	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	330	STATE HOUSE 55	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
375-0 826-03I	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	340	STATE HOUSE 56	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
380-0 826-03L	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	340	STATE HOUSE 56	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
390-0 829-03M	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	330	STATE HOUSE 55	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
400-0 826-03N	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	340	STATE HOUSE 56	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
410-0 828-03P1A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	340	STATE HOUSE 56	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
420-0 830-03P2	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	340	STATE HOUSE 56	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
440-0 829-03S	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	330	STATE HOUSE 55	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
450-0 830-03T	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	340	STATE HOUSE 56	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
470-0 831-04A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	340	STATE HOUSE 56	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
480-0 832-04B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	350	STATE HOUSE 57	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
485-0 831-04C	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	340	STATE HOUSE 56	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
490-0 831-04D	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	340	STATE HOUSE 56	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
500-0 833-04F	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	340	STATE HOUSE 56	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
510-0 832-04G	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	350	STATE HOUSE 57	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
520-0 832-04I	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	350	STATE HOUSE 57	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
525-0 833-04J	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	340	STATE HOUSE 56	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
530-0 832-04K	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	350	STATE HOUSE 57	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
540-0 831-04L	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	340	STATE HOUSE 56	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
550-0 834-04M	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	355	STATE HOUSE 58	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
560-0 832-04S	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	350	STATE HOUSE 57	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
570-0 832-04T	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	350	STATE HOUSE 57	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
575-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
508-04V	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	350	STATE HOUSE 57	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
580-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
832-04W	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	350	STATE HOUSE 57	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
590-0 835-04X1	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	350	STATE HOUSE 57	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
600-0 831-04X2	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	340	STATE HOUSE 56	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
615-0 956-05A1	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	355	STATE HOUSE 58	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
617-0 836-05A2	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	370	STATE HOUSE 59	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
620-0 837-05B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	355	STATE HOUSE 58	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
630-0 837-05C	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	355	STATE HOUSE 58	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
631-0 838-05D	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	350	STATE HOUSE 57	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
632-0 837-05F	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	355	STATE HOUSE 58	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
633-0 837-05J	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	355	STATE HOUSE 58	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
634-0 837-05K	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	355	STATE HOUSE 58	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
660-0 839-06B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	355	STATE HOUSE 58	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
670-0 840-06D	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	350	STATE HOUSE 57	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
680-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
841-06E	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	340	STATE HOUSE 56	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
690-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
961-06F	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	355	STATE HOUSE 58	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
700-0 839-06G	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	355	STATE HOUSE 58	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
720-0 843-06I	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	315	STATE HOUSE 54	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
730-0 840-06J	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	350	STATE HOUSE 57	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
745-0 841-06L1	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	340	STATE HOUSE 56	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
746-0 844-06L2	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	330	STATE HOUSE 55	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
747-0 844-06N	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	330	STATE HOUSE 55	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
748-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
843-06Q	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	315	STATE HOUSE 54	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
750-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
841-06R	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	340	STATE HOUSE 56	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
770-0 846-07A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	65	US HOUSE 11	85	STATE SENATE 6
	315	STATE HOUSE 54	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
780-0 846-07B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	65	US HOUSE 11	85	STATE SENATE 6
	315	STATE HOUSE 54	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
790-0 847-07C	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	85	STATE SENATE 6
	315	STATE HOUSE 54	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
800-0 847-07D	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	85	STATE SENATE 6
	315	STATE HOUSE 54	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
810-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
848-07E	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	315	STATE HOUSE 54	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
820-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
848-07F	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	315	STATE HOUSE 54	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
840-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
848-07H	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	315	STATE HOUSE 54	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
850-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
849-07J	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	330	STATE HOUSE 55	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
880-0 848-07M	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	315	STATE HOUSE 54	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
900-0 848-07N	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	315	STATE HOUSE 54	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
910-0 851-08A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	65	US HOUSE 11	85	STATE SENATE 6
	220	STATE HOUSE 40	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
920-0 852-08B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	65	US HOUSE 11	85	STATE SENATE 6
	290	STATE HOUSE 52	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
930-0 852-08C	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	65	US HOUSE 11	85	STATE SENATE 6
	290	STATE HOUSE 52	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
940-0 852-08D	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	65	US HOUSE 11	85	STATE SENATE 6
	290	STATE HOUSE 52	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
950-0 853-08E	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	65	US HOUSE 11	85	STATE SENATE 6
	310	STATE HOUSE 53	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
960-0 854-08F1	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	85	STATE SENATE 6
	315	STATE HOUSE 54	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
970-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
850-08G	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	315	STATE HOUSE 54	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
980-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
850-08H	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	315	STATE HOUSE 54	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
990-0 855-08J	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	315	STATE HOUSE 54	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
1000-0 856-08K	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	330	STATE HOUSE 55	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1010-0 856-08L	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	330	STATE HOUSE 55	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
1020-0 854-08M	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	85	STATE SENATE 6
	315	STATE HOUSE 54	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1030-0 852-08N1	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	65	US HOUSE 11	85	STATE SENATE 6
	290	STATE HOUSE 52	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
1035-0 857-08N2	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	65	US HOUSE 11	85	STATE SENATE 6
	315	STATE HOUSE 54	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1040-0 856-08P	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	330	STATE HOUSE 55	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
1050-0 858-09A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	310	STATE HOUSE 53	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1060-0 858-09B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	310	STATE HOUSE 53	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
1070-0 858-09C	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	310	STATE HOUSE 53	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1080-0 858-09D	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	310	STATE HOUSE 53	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
1090-0 858-09E	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	310	STATE HOUSE 53	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1100-0 859-09F	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	85	STATE SENATE 6
	310	STATE HOUSE 53	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
1110-0 970-09G	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	330	STATE HOUSE 55	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1116-0 861-09H	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	330	STATE HOUSE 55	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
1117-0 970-09I	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	330	STATE HOUSE 55	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1120-0 858-09K	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	310	STATE HOUSE 53	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
1140-0 858-09M	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	310	STATE HOUSE 53	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1150-0 864-10A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	390	STATE HOUSE 61	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
1151-0 865-10B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	330	STATE HOUSE 55	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1160-0 864-10C	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	390	STATE HOUSE 61	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
1170-0 866-10D	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	310	STATE HOUSE 53	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1180-0 866-10E	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	310	STATE HOUSE 53	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
1190-0 866-10F	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	310	STATE HOUSE 53	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1200-0 867-10G	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	340	STATE HOUSE 56	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
1205-0 873-10H1	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	310	STATE HOUSE 53	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1210-0 865-10H2	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	330	STATE HOUSE 55	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
1211-0 868-10I	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	350	STATE HOUSE 57	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1220-0 869-10J	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	340	STATE HOUSE 56	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
1225-0 870-10K	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	350	STATE HOUSE 57	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1240-0 870-10M	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	350	STATE HOUSE 57	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
1260-0 866-10P	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	310	STATE HOUSE 53	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1270-0 873-10R	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	310	STATE HOUSE 53	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
1310-0 520-11B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	330	STATE HOUSE 55	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1330-0 874-11C	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	330	STATE HOUSE 55	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
1340-0 962-11E1	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	390	STATE HOUSE 61	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1350-0 877-11E2	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	330	STATE HOUSE 55	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
1360-0 875-11E3	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	390	STATE HOUSE 61	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1380-0 529-11G	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	355	STATE HOUSE 58	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
1390-0 878-11H	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	350	STATE HOUSE 57	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1420-0 974-11J	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	380	STATE HOUSE 60	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
1430-0 880-11K	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	355	STATE HOUSE 58	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1440-0 881-11M	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	330	STATE HOUSE 55	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
1450-0 877-11N	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	330	STATE HOUSE 55	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1460-0 877-11P	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	330	STATE HOUSE 55	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
1470-0 880-11R	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	355	STATE HOUSE 58	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1480-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
531-12A	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	355	STATE HOUSE 58	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
1510-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
885-12D	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	380	STATE HOUSE 60	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1520-0 882-12E1	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	370	STATE HOUSE 59	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
1530-0 882-12F	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	370	STATE HOUSE 59	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1540-0 882-12G	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	370	STATE HOUSE 59	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
1545-0 882-12H1	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	370	STATE HOUSE 59	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1550-0 885-12H2	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	380	STATE HOUSE 60	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
1551-0 886-12I	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	355	STATE HOUSE 58	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1560-0 885-12J	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	380	STATE HOUSE 60	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
1561-0 887-12K	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	350	STATE HOUSE 57	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1570-0 531-12L	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	355	STATE HOUSE 58	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
1580-0 885-12M	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	380	STATE HOUSE 60	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1581-0 531-12N	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	355	STATE HOUSE 58	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
1590-0 531-12S	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	355	STATE HOUSE 58	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1610-0 745-AP01A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	260	STATE HOUSE 49	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	680	ALPHARETTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	690	ALPHARETTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
1620-0 730-AP01B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	260	STATE HOUSE 49	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	680	ALPHARETTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	690	ALPHARETTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1630-0 731-AP01C	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	260	STATE HOUSE 49	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	680	ALPHARETTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	690	ALPHARETTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
1631-0 732-AP01D	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	260	STATE HOUSE 49	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	680	ALPHARETTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	690	ALPHARETTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1641-0 735-AP02B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	250	STATE HOUSE 48	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	680	ALPHARETTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	690	ALPHARETTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
1650-0 735-AP03	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	250	STATE HOUSE 48	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	680	ALPHARETTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	690	ALPHARETTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1660-0 736-AP04A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	240	STATE HOUSE 47	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	680	ALPHARETTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	690	ALPHARETTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
1661-0 738-AP04B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	240	STATE HOUSE 47	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	680	ALPHARETTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	690	ALPHARETTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1670-0 739-AP05	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	95	STATE SENATE 21
	260	STATE HOUSE 49	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	680	ALPHARETTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	690	ALPHARETTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
1680-0 740-AP06	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	95	STATE SENATE 21
	260	STATE HOUSE 49	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	680	ALPHARETTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	690	ALPHARETTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1690-0 740-AP07A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	95	STATE SENATE 21
	260	STATE HOUSE 49	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	680	ALPHARETTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	690	ALPHARETTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
1700-0 741-AP07B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	95	STATE SENATE 21
	240	STATE HOUSE 47	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	680	ALPHARETTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	690	ALPHARETTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1710-0 739-AP09A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	95	STATE SENATE 21
	260	STATE HOUSE 49	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	680	ALPHARETTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	690	ALPHARETTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
1720-0 743-AP09B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	180	STATE SENATE 48
	260	STATE HOUSE 49	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	680	ALPHARETTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	690	ALPHARETTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1721-0 734-AP021	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	260	STATE HOUSE 49	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	680	ALPHARETTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	690	ALPHARETTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
1722-0 737-AP022	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	260	STATE HOUSE 49	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	680	ALPHARETTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	690	ALPHARETTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1730-0 740-AP10	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	95	STATE SENATE 21
	260	STATE HOUSE 49	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	680	ALPHARETTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	690	ALPHARETTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
1740-0 739-AP12A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	95	STATE SENATE 21
	260	STATE HOUSE 49	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	680	ALPHARETTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	690	ALPHARETTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1741-0 744-AP12B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	95	STATE SENATE 21
	240	STATE HOUSE 47	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	680	ALPHARETTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	690	ALPHARETTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
1742-0 740-AP12C	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	95	STATE SENATE 21
	260	STATE HOUSE 49	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	680	ALPHARETTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	690	ALPHARETTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1789-0 731-AP14	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	260	STATE HOUSE 49	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	680	ALPHARETTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	690	ALPHARETTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
1790-0 950-CH01	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	110	STATE SENATE 28
	430	STATE HOUSE 65	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1800-0 951-CH02	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	110	STATE SENATE 28
	430	STATE HOUSE 65	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX		
1810-0 952-CH03	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	110	STATE SENATE 28
	430	STATE HOUSE 65	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1820-0 954-CH04A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	110	STATE SENATE 28
	430	STATE HOUSE 65	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX		
1830-0 955-CH05	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	110	STATE SENATE 28
	430	STATE HOUSE 65	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1841-0 747-CP01B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	380	STATE HOUSE 60	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	720	COLLEGE PARK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
1842-0 742-CP011	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	400	STATE HOUSE 62	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	720	COLLEGE PARK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1850-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
749-CP012	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	400	STATE HOUSE 62	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	720	COLLEGE PARK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
1860-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
942-CP02	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	380	STATE HOUSE 60	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	720	COLLEGE PARK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1880-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
1025-CP04A	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	380	STATE HOUSE 60	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	720	COLLEGE PARK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
1881-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
751-CP04B	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	380	STATE HOUSE 60	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	720	COLLEGE PARK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1904-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
752-CP05B	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	410	STATE HOUSE 63	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	720	COLLEGE PARK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
1905-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
753-CP051	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	420	STATE HOUSE 64	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	720	COLLEGE PARK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1910-0 754-CP06A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	145	STATE SENATE 36
	410	STATE HOUSE 63	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	720	COLLEGE PARK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
1920-0 943-CP07B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	410	STATE HOUSE 63	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	720	COLLEGE PARK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1922-0 889-CP07C	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	420	STATE HOUSE 64	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	720	COLLEGE PARK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
1924-0 753-CP07D	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	420	STATE HOUSE 64	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	720	COLLEGE PARK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1925-0 889-CP07E	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	420	STATE HOUSE 64	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	720	COLLEGE PARK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
1926-0 753-CP07F	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	420	STATE HOUSE 64	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	720	COLLEGE PARK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1950-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
1024-CP081	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	166	STATE SENATE 39
	410	STATE HOUSE 63	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	720	COLLEGE PARK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
1952-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
965-CP083	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	166	STATE SENATE 39
	410	STATE HOUSE 63	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	720	COLLEGE PARK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1955-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
1024-CP084	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	166	STATE SENATE 39
	410	STATE HOUSE 63	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	720	COLLEGE PARK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
1962-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
965-CP08A	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	166	STATE SENATE 39
	410	STATE HOUSE 63	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	720	COLLEGE PARK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

GA007922

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1970-1 978-EP01A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	380	STATE HOUSE 60	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
1971-0 979-EP01B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	380	STATE HOUSE 60	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1980-1	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
760-EP02A	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	370	STATE HOUSE 59	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX		
1981-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
929-EP02B	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	370	STATE HOUSE 59	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1982-0 971-EP02C	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	380	STATE HOUSE 60	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX		
1983-0 986-EP02D	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	380	STATE HOUSE 60	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1984-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
987-EP02E	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	380	STATE HOUSE 60	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
1990-1	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
980-EP03A	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	380	STATE HOUSE 60	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1991-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
897-EP03B	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	400	STATE HOUSE 62	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
2000-1	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
765-EP04A	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	400	STATE HOUSE 62	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2005-0 766-EP04B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	166	STATE SENATE 39
	400	STATE HOUSE 62	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
2160-0 767-FA01A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	131	STATE SENATE 35
	430	STATE HOUSE 65	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2170-0 767-FA01B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	131	STATE SENATE 35
	430	STATE HOUSE 65	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX		
2171-0 768-FA01C	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	131	STATE SENATE 35
	420	STATE HOUSE 64	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2180-0 975-HP01	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	370	STATE HOUSE 59	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	730	HAPEVILLE - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2190-0 770-JC01	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	180	STATE SENATE 48
	270	STATE HOUSE 50	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	740	JOHNS CREEK - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	750	JOHNS CREEK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2200-0 770-JC02	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	180	STATE SENATE 48
	270	STATE HOUSE 50	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	740	JOHNS CREEK - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	750	JOHNS CREEK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2205-0 771-JC03A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	180	STATE SENATE 48
	210	STATE HOUSE 25	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	740	JOHNS CREEK - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	750	JOHNS CREEK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2210-0 770-JC03B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	180	STATE SENATE 48
	270	STATE HOUSE 50	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	740	JOHNS CREEK - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	750	JOHNS CREEK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2220-0 771-JC04A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	180	STATE SENATE 48
	210	STATE HOUSE 25	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	740	JOHNS CREEK - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	750	JOHNS CREEK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2220-10 1028-JC04A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	180	STATE SENATE 48
	210	STATE HOUSE 25	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	740	JOHNS CREEK - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	750	JOHNS CREEK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2230-0 773-JC04B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	180	STATE SENATE 48
	260	STATE HOUSE 49	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	740	JOHNS CREEK - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	750	JOHNS CREEK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2240-0 770-JC05	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	180	STATE SENATE 48
	270	STATE HOUSE 50	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	740	JOHNS CREEK - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	750	JOHNS CREEK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2250-0 770-JC06	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	180	STATE SENATE 48
	270	STATE HOUSE 50	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	740	JOHNS CREEK - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	750	JOHNS CREEK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2260-0 770-JC07	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	180	STATE SENATE 48
	270	STATE HOUSE 50	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	740	JOHNS CREEK - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	750	JOHNS CREEK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2270-0 770-JC08	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	180	STATE SENATE 48
	270	STATE HOUSE 50	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	740	JOHNS CREEK - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	750	JOHNS CREEK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2280-0 770-JC09	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	180	STATE SENATE 48
	270	STATE HOUSE 50	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	740	JOHNS CREEK - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	750	JOHNS CREEK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2290-0 770-JC10	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	180	STATE SENATE 48
	270	STATE HOUSE 50	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	740	JOHNS CREEK - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	750	JOHNS CREEK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2300-0 770-JC11	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	180	STATE SENATE 48
	270	STATE HOUSE 50	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	740	JOHNS CREEK - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	750	JOHNS CREEK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2310-0 770-JC12	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	180	STATE SENATE 48
	270	STATE HOUSE 50	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	740	JOHNS CREEK - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	750	JOHNS CREEK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2330-0 774-JC13A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	445	STATE HOUSE 95	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	740	JOHNS CREEK - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	750	JOHNS CREEK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2340-0 770-JC13B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	180	STATE SENATE 48
	270	STATE HOUSE 50	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	740	JOHNS CREEK - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	750	JOHNS CREEK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2350-0 776-JC14	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	270	STATE HOUSE 50	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	740	JOHNS CREEK - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	750	JOHNS CREEK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2360-0 777-JC15	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	260	STATE HOUSE 49	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	740	JOHNS CREEK - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	750	JOHNS CREEK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2370-0 777-JC16	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	260	STATE HOUSE 49	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	740	JOHNS CREEK - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	750	JOHNS CREEK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2390-0 778-JC18	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	280	STATE HOUSE 51	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	740	JOHNS CREEK - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	750	JOHNS CREEK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2400-0 778-JC19	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	280	STATE HOUSE 51	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	740	JOHNS CREEK - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	750	JOHNS CREEK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2410-0 890-ML011	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	240	STATE HOUSE 47	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	760	MILTON - CITY COUNCIL D1 P2
	766	MILTON - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	770	MILTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2411-0 891-ML012	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	240	STATE HOUSE 47	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	760	MILTON - CITY COUNCIL D1 P2
	766	MILTON - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	770	MILTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2412-0 891-ML01B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	240	STATE HOUSE 47	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	760	MILTON - CITY COUNCIL D1 P2
	766	MILTON - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	770	MILTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2413-0 893-ML021	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	95	STATE SENATE 21
	200	STATE HOUSE 22	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	766	MILTON - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	770	MILTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
2430-0 892-ML022	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	95	STATE SENATE 21
	200	STATE HOUSE 22	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	760	MILTON - CITY COUNCIL D1 P2
	766	MILTON - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	770	MILTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2440-0 894-ML023	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	95	STATE SENATE 21
	240	STATE HOUSE 47	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	760	MILTON - CITY COUNCIL D1 P2
	766	MILTON - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	770	MILTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2441-0 895-ML024	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	95	STATE SENATE 21
	240	STATE HOUSE 47	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	766	MILTON - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	770	MILTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2450-0 895-ML03	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	95	STATE SENATE 21
	240	STATE HOUSE 47	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	766	MILTON - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	770	MILTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
2460-0 894-ML04A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	95	STATE SENATE 21
	240	STATE HOUSE 47	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	760	MILTON - CITY COUNCIL D1 P2
	766	MILTON - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	770	MILTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2461-0 895-ML04B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	95	STATE SENATE 21
	240	STATE HOUSE 47	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	766	MILTON - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	770	MILTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
2462-0 896-ML04C	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	95	STATE SENATE 21
	240	STATE HOUSE 47	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	766	MILTON - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	770	MILTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2470-0 894-ML05A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	95	STATE SENATE 21
	240	STATE HOUSE 47	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	760	MILTON - CITY COUNCIL D1 P2
	766	MILTON - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	770	MILTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2471-0 895-ML05B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	95	STATE SENATE 21
	240	STATE HOUSE 47	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	766	MILTON - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	770	MILTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2472-0 896-ML05C	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	95	STATE SENATE 21
	240	STATE HOUSE 47	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	766	MILTON - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	770	MILTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
2480-0 894-ML06A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	95	STATE SENATE 21
	240	STATE HOUSE 47	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	760	MILTON - CITY COUNCIL D1 P2
	766	MILTON - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	770	MILTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2481-0 896-ML06B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	95	STATE SENATE 21
	240	STATE HOUSE 47	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	766	MILTON - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	770	MILTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
2482-0 896-ML071	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	95	STATE SENATE 21
	240	STATE HOUSE 47	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	766	MILTON - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	770	MILTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2483-0 895-ML072	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	95	STATE SENATE 21
	240	STATE HOUSE 47	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	766	MILTON - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	770	MILTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
2490-0 893-ML07A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	95	STATE SENATE 21
	200	STATE HOUSE 22	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	766	MILTON - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	770	MILTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2500-0 922-MP01	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	240	STATE HOUSE 47	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX		
2510-0 924-PA01	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	131	STATE SENATE 35
	430	STATE HOUSE 65	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2520-0 779-RW01	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	250	STATE HOUSE 48	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	775	ROSWELL - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	776	ROSWELL - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
2530-0 779-RW02	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	250	STATE HOUSE 48	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	775	ROSWELL - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	776	ROSWELL - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2540-0 779-RW03	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	250	STATE HOUSE 48	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	775	ROSWELL - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	776	ROSWELL - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
2560-0 780-RW04	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	280	STATE HOUSE 51	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	775	ROSWELL - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	776	ROSWELL - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2570-0 779-RW05	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	250	STATE HOUSE 48	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	775	ROSWELL - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	776	ROSWELL - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
2580-0 780-RW06	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	280	STATE HOUSE 51	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	775	ROSWELL - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	776	ROSWELL - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2590-0 781-RW07A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	250	STATE HOUSE 48	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	775	ROSWELL - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	776	ROSWELL - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2591-0 779-RW07B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	250	STATE HOUSE 48	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	775	ROSWELL - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	776	ROSWELL - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2610-0 779-RW08	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	250	STATE HOUSE 48	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	775	ROSWELL - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	776	ROSWELL - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
2620-0 782-RW09	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	240	STATE HOUSE 47	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	775	ROSWELL - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	776	ROSWELL - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2630-0 779-RW10	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	250	STATE HOUSE 48	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	775	ROSWELL - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	776	ROSWELL - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
2640-0 779-RW11A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	250	STATE HOUSE 48	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	775	ROSWELL - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	776	ROSWELL - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2650-0 782-RW12	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	240	STATE HOUSE 47	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	775	ROSWELL - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	776	ROSWELL - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
2680-0 925-RW13	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	250	STATE HOUSE 48	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	775	ROSWELL - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	776	ROSWELL - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2700-0 779-RW16	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	250	STATE HOUSE 48	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	775	ROSWELL - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	776	ROSWELL - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
2710-0 781-RW17	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	250	STATE HOUSE 48	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	775	ROSWELL - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	776	ROSWELL - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2730-0 782-RW19	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	240	STATE HOUSE 47	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	775	ROSWELL - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	776	ROSWELL - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
2740-0 780-RW20	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	280	STATE HOUSE 51	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	775	ROSWELL - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	776	ROSWELL - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2750-0 780-RW21	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	280	STATE HOUSE 51	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	775	ROSWELL - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	776	ROSWELL - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2770-0 780-RW22A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	280	STATE HOUSE 51	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	775	ROSWELL - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	776	ROSWELL - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2772-0 991-SC01A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	390	STATE HOUSE 61	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2780-0 1027-SC01B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	390	STATE HOUSE 61	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2781-0 991-SC01C	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	390	STATE HOUSE 61	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2782-0 784-SC01D	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	390	STATE HOUSE 61	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2790-0 1004-SC02	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	330	STATE HOUSE 55	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2800-0 993-SC04	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	131	STATE SENATE 35
	430	STATE HOUSE 65	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2810-0 996-SC05A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	131	STATE SENATE 35
	430	STATE HOUSE 65	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2811-0 997-SC05B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	131	STATE SENATE 35
	400	STATE HOUSE 62	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

GA007965

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2812-0 998-SC05D	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	131	STATE SENATE 35
	400	STATE HOUSE 62	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2813-0 1014-SC05E	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	131	STATE SENATE 35
	400	STATE HOUSE 62	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2820-0 993-SC07A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	131	STATE SENATE 35
	430	STATE HOUSE 65	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2821-0 1022-SC07C	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	131	STATE SENATE 35
	430	STATE HOUSE 65	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2831-0 1016-SC08B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	166	STATE SENATE 39
	420	STATE HOUSE 64	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2832-0 999-SC08C	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	166	STATE SENATE 39
	400	STATE HOUSE 62	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2833-0 1011-SC08D	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	166	STATE SENATE 39
	410	STATE HOUSE 63	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2834-0 1010-SC08E	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	131	STATE SENATE 35
	420	STATE HOUSE 64	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2835-0 1001-SC08F	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	166	STATE SENATE 39
	430	STATE HOUSE 65	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
2836-0 1015-SC08G	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	166	STATE SENATE 39
	430	STATE HOUSE 65	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2837-0 1019-SC08H	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	166	STATE SENATE 39
	430	STATE HOUSE 65	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2840-0 1011-SC09A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	166	STATE SENATE 39
	410	STATE HOUSE 63	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2841-0 1016-SC09B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	166	STATE SENATE 39
	420	STATE HOUSE 64	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2842-0 1012-SC09C	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	166	STATE SENATE 39
	410	STATE HOUSE 63	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2844-0 1011-SC10	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	166	STATE SENATE 39
	410	STATE HOUSE 63	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2861-0 1012-SC11A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	166	STATE SENATE 39
	410	STATE HOUSE 63	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2862-0 1013-SC11B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	166	STATE SENATE 39
	420	STATE HOUSE 64	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2863-0 993-SC13	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	131	STATE SENATE 35
	430	STATE HOUSE 65	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2890-0 991-SC14A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	390	STATE HOUSE 61	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2891-0 784-SC14B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	390	STATE HOUSE 61	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2895-0 992-SC15	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	131	STATE SENATE 35
	400	STATE HOUSE 62	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2900-0 991-SC16A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	390	STATE HOUSE 61	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2901-0 784-SC16B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	390	STATE HOUSE 61	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2920-0 999-SC17A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	166	STATE SENATE 39
	400	STATE HOUSE 62	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

GA007977

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2921-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
1001-SC17B	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	166	STATE SENATE 39
	430	STATE HOUSE 65	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2923-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
1002-SC17C	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	166	STATE SENATE 39
	420	STATE HOUSE 64	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2930-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
1003-SC18A	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	131	STATE SENATE 35
	390	STATE HOUSE 61	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2931-0 994-SC18B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	131	STATE SENATE 35
	400	STATE HOUSE 62	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2932-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
997-SC18C	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	131	STATE SENATE 35
	400	STATE HOUSE 62	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2940-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
999-SC19A	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	166	STATE SENATE 39
	400	STATE HOUSE 62	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2941-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
1002-SC19B	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	166	STATE SENATE 39
	420	STATE HOUSE 64	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2945-0 994-SC20	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	131	STATE SENATE 35
	400	STATE HOUSE 62	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
2950-0 1005-SC211	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	131	STATE SENATE 35
	420	STATE HOUSE 64	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2951-0 1006-SC212	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	131	STATE SENATE 35
	420	STATE HOUSE 64	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2960-0 1012-SC23A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	166	STATE SENATE 39
	410	STATE HOUSE 63	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2961-0 1008-SC23B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	166	STATE SENATE 39
	420	STATE HOUSE 64	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2962-0 1007-SC23C	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	166	STATE SENATE 39
	410	STATE HOUSE 63	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2970-0 1012-SC27	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	166	STATE SENATE 39
	410	STATE HOUSE 63	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2980-0 1005-SC29A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	131	STATE SENATE 35
	420	STATE HOUSE 64	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2990-0 1004-SC30A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	330	STATE HOUSE 55	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2991-0 995-SC30B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	330	STATE HOUSE 55	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
3000-0 898-SS01	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	170	STATE SENATE 40
	280	STATE HOUSE 51	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
3010-0 899-SS02A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	290	STATE HOUSE 52	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
3020-0 900-SS02B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	120	STATE SENATE 32
	290	STATE HOUSE 52	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
3030-0 900-SS03	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	120	STATE SENATE 32
	290	STATE HOUSE 52	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
3040-0 901-SS04	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	120	STATE SENATE 32
	290	STATE HOUSE 52	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
3050-0 902-SS05	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	120	STATE SENATE 32
	290	STATE HOUSE 52	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
3060-0 903-SS06	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	85	STATE SENATE 6
	290	STATE HOUSE 52	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
3070-0 904-SS07A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	85	STATE SENATE 6
	290	STATE HOUSE 52	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
3080-0 903-SS07B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	85	STATE SENATE 6
	290	STATE HOUSE 52	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
3090-0 905-SS07C	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	85	STATE SENATE 6
	435	STATE HOUSE 80	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
3100-0 905-SS08A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	85	STATE SENATE 6
	435	STATE HOUSE 80	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
3110-0 903-SS08B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	85	STATE SENATE 6
	290	STATE HOUSE 52	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
3120-0 904-SS08C	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	85	STATE SENATE 6
	290	STATE HOUSE 52	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
3121-0 906-SS08D	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	85	STATE SENATE 6
	435	STATE HOUSE 80	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
3130-0 907-SS09A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	65	US HOUSE 11	120	STATE SENATE 32
	290	STATE HOUSE 52	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
3131-0 908-SS09B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	65	US HOUSE 11	85	STATE SENATE 6
	290	STATE HOUSE 52	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
3150-0 909-SS11A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	85	STATE SENATE 6
	290	STATE HOUSE 52	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
3160-0 910-SS11B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	85	STATE SENATE 6
	290	STATE HOUSE 52	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
3170-0 911-SS11C	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	85	STATE SENATE 6
	435	STATE HOUSE 80	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
3180-0 908-SS11D	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	65	US HOUSE 11	85	STATE SENATE 6
	290	STATE HOUSE 52	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
3190-0 905-SS12	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	85	STATE SENATE 6
	435	STATE HOUSE 80	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
3200-0 912-SS13A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	65	US HOUSE 11	85	STATE SENATE 6
	290	STATE HOUSE 52	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
3210-0 908-SS13B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	65	US HOUSE 11	85	STATE SENATE 6
	290	STATE HOUSE 52	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
3220-0 913-SS14	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	65	US HOUSE 11	85	STATE SENATE 6
	435	STATE HOUSE 80	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
3230-0 914-SS15A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	280	STATE HOUSE 51	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
3240-0 915-SS15B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	280	STATE HOUSE 51	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
3250-0 902-SS16	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	120	STATE SENATE 32
	290	STATE HOUSE 52	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
3260-0 898-SS17	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	170	STATE SENATE 40
	280	STATE HOUSE 51	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
3270-0 902-SS18A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	120	STATE SENATE 32
	290	STATE HOUSE 52	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
3280-0 916-SS18B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	120	STATE SENATE 32
	290	STATE HOUSE 52	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
3281-0 917-SS19A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	230	STATE HOUSE 45	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
3290-0 918-SS19B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	290	STATE HOUSE 52	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
3300-0 915-SS20	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	280	STATE HOUSE 51	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
3310-0 917-SS22	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	230	STATE HOUSE 45	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
3330-0 919-SS26	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	280	STATE HOUSE 51	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
3340-0 920-SS29A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	120	STATE SENATE 32
	435	STATE HOUSE 80	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
3350-0 903-SS31	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	85	STATE SENATE 6
	290	STATE HOUSE 52	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
3360-0 802-UC01A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	131	STATE SENATE 35
	430	STATE HOUSE 65	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	860	UNION CITY - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
3365-0 923-UC01B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	166	STATE SENATE 39
	410	STATE HOUSE 63	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	860	UNION CITY - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
3368-0 945-UC01D	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	166	STATE SENATE 39
	430	STATE HOUSE 65	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	860	UNION CITY - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
3369-0 968-UC01E	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	166	STATE SENATE 39
	400	STATE HOUSE 62	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	860	UNION CITY - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
3370-0 804-UC02A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	131	STATE SENATE 35
	430	STATE HOUSE 65	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	860	UNION CITY - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
3375-0 805-UC02B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	131	STATE SENATE 35
	400	STATE HOUSE 62	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	860	UNION CITY - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
3380-0 806-UC031	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	131	STATE SENATE 35
	420	STATE HOUSE 64	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	860	UNION CITY - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
3390-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
807-UC032	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	131	STATE SENATE 35
	420	STATE HOUSE 64	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	860	UNION CITY - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
10-10 231-001	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	120	US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	305	State House 104 / Camara Estatal 104	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
10-20 232-001	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	120	US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	305	State House 104 / Camara Estatal 104	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
10-30 233-001	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	120	US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	320	State House 105 / Camara Estatal 105	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
20-10 309-002	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	181	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55
	202	State House 93 / Camara Estatal 93	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
30-10 231-003	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	120	US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	305	State House 104 / Camara Estatal 104	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
30-20 232-003	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	120	US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	305	State House 104 / Camara Estatal 104	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
40-10 275-004	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	241	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
40-20 276-004	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	241	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
40-30	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
277-004	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	241	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
50-10 316-005	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	330	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
50-20	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
317-005	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	330	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
60-10 283-006	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	281	State House 101 / Camara Estatal 101	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
70-10 294-007	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	241	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
70-20 295-007	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	241	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
70-30 296-007	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	241	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
80-10 234-008	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	120	US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	305	State House 104 / Camara Estatal 104	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
90-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
258-009	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	251	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
90-20 259-009	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	251	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
100-10 316-010	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	330	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
100-20 318-010	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	330	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
110-10 329-011	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	152	State Senate 41 / Senado Estatal 41
	345	State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
120-10 307-012	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	152	State Senate 41 / Senado Estatal 41
	345	State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
120-20 308-012	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	152	State Senate 41 / Senado Estatal 41
	345	State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
130-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
273-013	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	120	US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	305	State House 104 / Camara Estatal 104	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
140-10 303-014	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	345	State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
150-10 208-015	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	281	State House 101 / Camara Estatal 101	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
150-20 209-015	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	281	State House 101 / Camara Estatal 101	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		
150-30 Fed - Cong 015	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
160-10 214-016	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	330	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
160-20 215-016	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	330	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
170-10 345-017	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	272	State House 100 / Camara Estatal 100	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
170-20 346-017	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	281	State House 101 / Camara Estatal 101	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
180-10 236-018	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	281	State House 101 / Camara Estatal 101	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
190-10 327-019	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	152	State Senate 41 / Senado Estatal 41
	335	State House 107 / Camara Estatal 107	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
200-10 340-020	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	232	State House 96 / Camara Estatal 96	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
210-10 330-021	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	262	State House 99 / Camara Estatal 99	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
220-10 247-022	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	141	State Senate 40 / Senado Estatal 40
	221	State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
230-10 342-023	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	262	State House 99 / Camara Estatal 99	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
240-10 255-024	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	241	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
240-20 256-024	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	241	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
240-30 257-024	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	251	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
240-40 258-024	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	251	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
240-50 259-024	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	251	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
240-60 260-024	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	251	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
240-70 263-024	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	291	State House 102 / Camara Estatal 102	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
240-80 366-024	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	251	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
250-10 259-025	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	251	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
250-20 278-025	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	251	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
250-30 279-025	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	251	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
250-40 280-025	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	251	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
250-50 282-025	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	251	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
260-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
263-026	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	291	State House 102 / Camara Estatal 102	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
260-20	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
265-026	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	291	State House 102 / Camara Estatal 102	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
270-10 210-027	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	301	State House 103 / Camara Estatal 103	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
270-20	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
229-027	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	305	State House 104 / Camara Estatal 104	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
280-10 231-028	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	120	US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	305	State House 104 / Camara Estatal 104	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
280-20 234-028	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	120	US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	305	State House 104 / Camara Estatal 104	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
280-30	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
235-028	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	120	US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	305	State House 104 / Camara Estatal 104	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
290-10 323-029	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	345	State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
300-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
312-030	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	181	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55
	330	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
300-20 313-030	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	181	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55
	330	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
310-10 252-031	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	301	State House 103 / Camara Estatal 103	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
320-10 303-032	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	345	State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
330-10 303-033	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	345	State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
330-20 304-033	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	345	State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
340-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
325-034	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	152	State Senate 41 / Senado Estatal 41
	272	State House 100 / Camara Estatal 100	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
340-20 326-034	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	152	State Senate 41 / Senado Estatal 41
	272	State House 100 / Camara Estatal 100	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
350-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
300-035	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	330	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
350-20 301-035	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	330	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
350-30	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
302-035	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	330	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
351-10 315 - 035	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	330	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
360-10 300-036	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	330	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
370-10 356-037	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	141	State Senate 40 / Senado Estatal 40
	221	State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
380-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
333-038	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	191	State House 81 / Camara Estatal 81	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
380-20 334-038	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	191	State House 81 / Camara Estatal 81	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
390-10 271-039	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	120	US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	301	State House 103 / Camara Estatal 103	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
390-20 272-039	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	120	US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	301	State House 103 / Camara Estatal 103	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
390-30 361-039	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	120	US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	301	State House 103 / Camara Estatal 103	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
390-40 362-039	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	120	US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	301	State House 103 / Camara Estatal 103	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
390-50 363-039	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	120	US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	301	State House 103 / Camara Estatal 103	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
400-20	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
288-040	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	221	State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
400-30 289-040	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	221	State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
400-40 358-040	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	221	State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
400-50	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
359-040	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	221	State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
410-10 201-041	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	181	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55
	202	State House 93 / Camara Estatal 93	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
410-20 202-041	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	181	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55
	202	State House 93 / Camara Estatal 93	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
420-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
250-042	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	291	State House 102 / Camara Estatal 102	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
420-20 251-042	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	291	State House 102 / Camara Estatal 102	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
430-10 344-043	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	272	State House 100 / Camara Estatal 100	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
440-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
311-044	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	181	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55
	212	State House 94 / Camara Estatal 94	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
450-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
311-045	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	181	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55
	212	State House 94 / Camara Estatal 94	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
460-10 318-046	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	330	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
470-10 321-047	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	335	State House 107 / Camara Estatal 107	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
470-20 322-047	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	335	State House 107 / Camara Estatal 107	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
480-10 290-048	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	232	State House 96 / Camara Estatal 96	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
480-20 291-048	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	232	State House 96 / Camara Estatal 96	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
490-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
310-049	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	181	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55
	212	State House 94 / Camara Estatal 94	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
500-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
337-050	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	232	State House 96 / Camara Estatal 96	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
510-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
330-051	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	262	State House 99 / Camara Estatal 99	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
520-10 314-052	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	181	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55
	345	State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
530-10 312-053	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	181	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55
	330	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
530-20 313-053	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	181	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55
	330	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
540-10 259-054	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	251	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
540-20 278-054	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	251	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
540-30 279-054	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	251	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
540-40 280-054	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	251	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
540-50 282-054	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	251	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
550-10 258-055	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	251	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
550-20 259-055	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	251	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
550-30 260-055	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	251	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
550-40 261-055	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	251	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
550-50	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
280-055	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	251	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
560-10 285-056	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	221	State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
560-20 294-056	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	241	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
560-30 295-056	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	241	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
570-10 290-057	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	232	State House 96 / Camara Estatal 96	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
570-20 291-057	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	232	State House 96 / Camara Estatal 96	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
580-10 341-058	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	262	State House 99 / Camara Estatal 99	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
580-20 350-058	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	152	State Senate 41 / Senado Estatal 41
	262	State House 99 / Camara Estatal 99	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
590-10 349-059	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	141	State Senate 40 / Senado Estatal 40
	221	State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
590-20 356-059	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	141	State Senate 40 / Senado Estatal 40
	221	State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
590-30	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
357-059	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	141	State Senate 40 / Senado Estatal 40
	221	State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
600-10 240-060	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	305	State House 104 / Camara Estatal 104	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
600-20	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
241-060	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	305	State House 104 / Camara Estatal 104	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
600-30 242-060	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	320	State House 105 / Camara Estatal 105	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
600-40 243-060	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	320	State House 105 / Camara Estatal 105	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
610-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
245-061	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	281	State House 101 / Camara Estatal 101	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
610-20 249-061	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	281	State House 101 / Camara Estatal 101	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
620-10 247-062	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	141	State Senate 40 / Senado Estatal 40
	221	State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
620-20 248-062	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	141	State Senate 40 / Senado Estatal 40
	221	State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
630-0 359-063	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	221	State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
640-10 309-064	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	181	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55
	202	State House 93 / Camara Estatal 93	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
640-20 311-064	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	181	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55
	212	State House 94 / Camara Estatal 94	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
650-10 305-065	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	152	State Senate 41 / Senado Estatal 41
	262	State House 99 / Camara Estatal 99	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
650-20 306-065	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	152	State Senate 41 / Senado Estatal 41
	262	State House 99 / Camara Estatal 99	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
660-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
330-066	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	262	State House 99 / Camara Estatal 99	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
670-10 258-067	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	251	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
670-20 259-067	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	251	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
680-10 319-068	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	335	State House 107 / Camara Estatal 107	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
680-20 320-068	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	335	State House 107 / Camara Estatal 107	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
690-10 299-069	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	212	State House 94 / Camara Estatal 94	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
690-20 300-069	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	330	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
700-10 266-070	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	291	State House 102 / Camara Estatal 102	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
710-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
242-071	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	320	State House 105 / Camara Estatal 105	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
710-20	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
243-071	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	320	State House 105 / Camara Estatal 105	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
720-10 344-072	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	272	State House 100 / Camara Estatal 100	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
730-10 247-073	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	141	State Senate 40 / Senado Estatal 40
	221	State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
740-10 344-074	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	272	State House 100 / Camara Estatal 100	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
750-10 309-075	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	181	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55
	202	State House 93 / Camara Estatal 93	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
750-20	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
311-075	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	181	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55
	212	State House 94 / Camara Estatal 94	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
760-10 201-076	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	181	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55
	202	State House 93 / Camara Estatal 93	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
770-10 297-077	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	281	State House 101 / Camara Estatal 101	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
780-10 211-078	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	320	State House 105 / Camara Estatal 105	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
780-20 212-078	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	320	State House 105 / Camara Estatal 105	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
790-10 319-079	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	335	State House 107 / Camara Estatal 107	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
800-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
233-080	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	120	US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	320	State House 105 / Camara Estatal 105	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
810-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
301-081	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	330	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
810-20 302-081	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	330	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
810-30	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
312-081	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	181	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55
	330	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
810-40	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
313-081	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	181	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55
	330	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
820-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
285-082	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	221	State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
830-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
266-083	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	291	State House 102 / Camara Estatal 102	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
840-10 206-084	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	335	State House 107 / Camara Estatal 107	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
840-20	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
207-084	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	335	State House 107 / Camara Estatal 107	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
850-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
250-085	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	291	State House 102 / Camara Estatal 102	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
850-20 266-085	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	291	State House 102 / Camara Estatal 102	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
850-30 267-085	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	291	State House 102 / Camara Estatal 102	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
860-10 344-086	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	272	State House 100 / Camara Estatal 100	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
870-10 337-087	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	232	State House 96 / Camara Estatal 96	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
870-20 338-087	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	232	State House 96 / Camara Estatal 96	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
880-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
311-088	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	181	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55
	212	State House 94 / Camara Estatal 94	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
890-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
253-089	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	241	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
890-20 254-089	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	241	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
890-30	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
255-089	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	241	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
900-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
364-090	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	291	State House 102 / Camara Estatal 102	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
900-20	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
365-090	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	291	State House 102 / Camara Estatal 102	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
910-10 211-091	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	320	State House 105 / Camara Estatal 105	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
910-20 212-091	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	320	State House 105 / Camara Estatal 105	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
920-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
303-092	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	345	State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
920-20 304-092	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	345	State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
930-10 319-093	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	335	State House 107 / Camara Estatal 107	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
940-10 316-094	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	330	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
940-20 318-094	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	330	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
950-5 297-095	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	281	State House 101 / Camara Estatal 101	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
950-10 352-095	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	232	State House 96 / Camara Estatal 96	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
960-10 294-096	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	241	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
960-20 295-096	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	241	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
970-10 297-097	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	281	State House 101 / Camara Estatal 101	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
970-20 298-097	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	281	State House 101 / Camara Estatal 101	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
980-10 262-098	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	281	State House 101 / Camara Estatal 101	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
990-10 281-099	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	232	State House 96 / Camara Estatal 96	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
990-20	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
293-099	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	232	State House 96 / Camara Estatal 96	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
990-30 360-099	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	232	State House 96 / Camara Estatal 96	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1000-10 263-100	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	291	State House 102 / Camara Estatal 102	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1000-20 264-100	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	291	State House 102 / Camara Estatal 102	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1000-30 265-100	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	291	State House 102 / Camara Estatal 102	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1010-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
356-101	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	141	State Senate 40 / Senado Estatal 40
	221	State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1020-10 258-102	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	251	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1020-20 259-102	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	251	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
1030-10 351-103	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	152	State Senate 41 / Senado Estatal 41
	272	State House 100 / Camara Estatal 100	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1040-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
201-104	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	181	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55
	202	State House 93 / Camara Estatal 93	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1050-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
253-105	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	241	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1050-20	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
254-105	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	241	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1060-10 294-106	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	241	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
1060-20 296-106	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	241	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1070-10 323-107	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	345	State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1080-10 203-108	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	181	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55
	330	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
1080-20 204-108	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	181	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55
	330	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1080-30 205-108	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	181	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55
	330	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1090-10 323-109	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	345	State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1090-20 324-109	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	345	State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1100-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
236-110	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	281	State House 101 / Camara Estatal 101	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1100-20	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
237-110	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	281	State House 101 / Camara Estatal 101	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1110-10 294-111	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	241	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
1110-20 295-111	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	241	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1120-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
303-112	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	345	State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1130-10 330-113	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	262	State House 99 / Camara Estatal 99	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1140-30 336-114	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	221	State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1140-40 340-114	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	232	State House 96 / Camara Estatal 96	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1140-50 367-114	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	221	State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1150-10 244-115	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	335	State House 107 / Camara Estatal 107	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1160-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
345-116	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	272	State House 100 / Camara Estatal 100	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1170-10 345-117	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	272	State House 100 / Camara Estatal 100	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1180-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
310-118	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	181	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55
	212	State House 94 / Camara Estatal 94	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1190-10 323-119	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	345	State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1200-10 328-120	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	152	State Senate 41 / Senado Estatal 41
	345	State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1200-20 329-120	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	152	State Senate 41 / Senado Estatal 41
	345	State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1210-10 358-121	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	221	State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1210-20	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
359-121	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	221	State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1220-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
309-122	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	181	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55
	202	State House 93 / Camara Estatal 93	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1230-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
330-123	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	262	State House 99 / Camara Estatal 99	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1230-20	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
331-123	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	345	State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1240-10 284-124	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	291	State House 102 / Camara Estatal 102	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1250-10 247-125	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	141	State Senate 40 / Senado Estatal 40
	221	State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1250-20 248-125	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	141	State Senate 40 / Senado Estatal 40
	221	State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1260-10 247-126	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	141	State Senate 40 / Senado Estatal 40
	221	State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1270-10 206-127	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	335	State House 107 / Camara Estatal 107	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1280-10 297-128	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	281	State House 101 / Camara Estatal 101	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1290-10 273-129	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	120	US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	305	State House 104 / Camara Estatal 104	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1300-10 278-130	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	251	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
1300-20 279-130	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	251	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1310-10 275-131	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	241	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
1310-20 276-131	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	241	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1310-30 277-131	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	241	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1320-10 266-132	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	291	State House 102 / Camara Estatal 102	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1330-10 231-133	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	120	US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	305	State House 104 / Camara Estatal 104	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
1330-20 232-133	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	120	US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	305	State House 104 / Camara Estatal 104	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1340-10 223-134	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	181	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55
	320	State House 105 / Camara Estatal 105	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
1340-20 224-134	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	181	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55
	320	State House 105 / Camara Estatal 105	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1340-30	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
225-134	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	181	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55
	320	State House 105 / Camara Estatal 105	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1350-10 268-135	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	301	State House 103 / Camara Estatal 103	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1350-20 270-135	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	301	State House 103 / Camara Estatal 103	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1360-10 258-136	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	251	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1360-20 268-136	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	301	State House 103 / Camara Estatal 103	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1370-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
222-137	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	301	State House 103 / Camara Estatal 103	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1380-10 290-138	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	232	State House 96 / Camara Estatal 96	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
1380-20 294-138	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	241	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1380-30 295-138	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	241	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1390-10 221-139	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	152	State Senate 41 / Senado Estatal 41
	335	State House 107 / Camara Estatal 107	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1400-10 275-140	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	241	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
1400-20 277-140	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	241	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1410-10 278-141	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	251	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
1410-20 279-141	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	251	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1420-10 263-142	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	291	State House 102 / Camara Estatal 102	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1420-20	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
265-142	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	291	State House 102 / Camara Estatal 102	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1430-10 216-143	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	335	State House 107 / Camara Estatal 107	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
1430-20 217-143	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	335	State House 107 / Camara Estatal 107	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1440-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
240-144	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	305	State House 104 / Camara Estatal 104	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1440-50	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
241-144	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	305	State House 104 / Camara Estatal 104	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1450-10 226-145	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	181	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55
	355	State House 114 / Camara Estatal 114	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
1450-20 227-145	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	181	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55
	355	State House 114 / Camara Estatal 114	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1450-30 228-145	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	181	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55
	355	State House 114 / Camara Estatal 114	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
1460-10 211-146	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	320	State House 105 / Camara Estatal 105	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1460-20 212-146	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	320	State House 105 / Camara Estatal 105	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
1460-30 219-146	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	355	State House 114 / Camara Estatal 114	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1460-40 220-146	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	355	State House 114 / Camara Estatal 114	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
1470-10 211-147	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	320	State House 105 / Camara Estatal 105	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1470-20 213-147	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	320	State House 105 / Camara Estatal 105	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1480-20 303-148	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	345	State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1480-30 304-148	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	345	State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1480-40 307-148	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	152	State Senate 41 / Senado Estatal 41
	345	State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1480-50 308-148	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	152	State Senate 41 / Senado Estatal 41
	345	State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1490-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
283-149	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	281	State House 101 / Camara Estatal 101	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1500-10 352-150	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	232	State House 96 / Camara Estatal 96	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
1510-10 233-151	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	120	US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	320	State House 105 / Camara Estatal 105	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1520-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
231-152	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	120	US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	305	State House 104 / Camara Estatal 104	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1530-10 218-153	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	335	State House 107 / Camara Estatal 107	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1540-10 268-154	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	301	State House 103 / Camara Estatal 103	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1550-10 294-155	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	241	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
1550-20 296-155	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	241	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1560-10 203-156	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	181	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55
	330	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
1560-20 205-156	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	181	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55
	330	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Election Summary Report
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

Registered Voters 703177 - Cards Cast 425140 60.46%

Num. Report Precinct 373 - Num. Reporting 373 100.00%

GOVERNOR

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	
Precincts Reporting	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 703177)	180086	17913	77380	84463	63155	1995	424992	60.4 %
Total Votes	179624	17853	77262	84290	63074	1985	424088	
B. KEMP (R)	49326	4696	16375	39787	2607	200	112991	26.64%
S. ABRAMS (D)	127473	12983	60356	43723	60284	1771	306590	72.29%
T. METZ (L)	2643	163	482	752	156	12	4208	0.99%
Write-in Votes	182	11	49	28	27	2	299	0.07%

LT GOVERNOR

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	
Precincts Reporting	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 703177)	180086	17913	77380	84463	63155	1995	424992	60.4 %
Total Votes	171526	17632	74774	82045	60033	1922	407932	
G. DUNCAN (R)	52556	4914	17307	40134	2987	244	118142	28.96%
S. R. AMICO (D)	118729	12703	57416	41867	56996	1672	289383	70.94%
Write-in Votes	241	15	51	44	50	6	407	0.10%

SECRETARY OF STATE

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	
Precincts Reporting	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 703177)	180086	17913	77380	84463	63155	1995	424992	60.4 %
Total Votes	176468	17515	76002	83490	62091	1910	417476	
B. RAFFENSPERGER (R)	50100	4679	16415	39567	2730	216	113707	27.24%
J. BARROW (D)	119823	12332	57729	42084	58275	1616	291859	69.91%
S. DUVAL (L)	6450	499	1823	1816	1058	73	11719	2.81%
Write-in Votes	95	5	35	23	28	5	191	0.05%

ATTORNEY GENERAL

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	
Precincts Reporting	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 703177)	180086	17913	77380	84463	63155	1995	424992	60.4 %
Total Votes	175554	17456	75754	83181	61916	1895	415756	
C. CARR (I) R	54525	5058	18414	41036	3323	259	122615	29.49%
C. BAILEY (D)	120908	12379	57307	42125	58559	1632	292910	70.45%
Write-in Votes	121	19	33	20	34	4	231	0.06%

AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	
Precincts Reporting	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 703177)	180086	17913	77380	84463	63155	1995	424992	60.4 %
Total Votes	173902	17282	75145	82628	61710	1881	412548	
G. BLACK (I) R	58232	5346	20310	42169	3935	289	130281	31.58%
F. SWANN (D)	115486	11922	54803	40433	57748	1591	281983	68.35%
Write-in Votes	184	14	32	26	27	1	284	0.07%

INSURANCE COMMISSIONER

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	
Precincts Reporting	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 703177)	180086	17913	77380	84463	63155	1995	424992	60.4 %
Total Votes	174532	17372	75386	82926	61995	1905	414116	
J. BECK (R)	51610	4779	17305	39667	2805	220	116386	28.10%
J. LAWS (D)	115682	12205	56065	41008	58122	1609	284691	68.75%
D. FOSTER (L)	7123	381	1974	2229	1048	72	12827	3.10%
Write-in Votes	117	7	42	22	20	4	212	0.05%

Election Summary Report
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

Registered Voters 703177 - Cards Cast 425140 60.46%

Num. Report Precinct 373 - Num. Reporting 373 100.00%

STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	
Precincts Reporting	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 703177)	180086	17913	77380	84463	63155	1995	424992	60.4 %
Total Votes	174594	17307	75226	82924	62017	1888	413956	
R. WOODS (I) R	58362	5356	20305	43038	3530	262	130853	31.61%
O. THORNTON, JR. (D)	116064	11935	54867	39854	58439	1621	282780	68.31%
Write-in Votes	168	16	54	32	48	5	323	0.08%

LABOR COMMISSIONER

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	
Precincts Reporting	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 703177)	180086	17913	77380	84463	63155	1995	424992	60.4 %
Total Votes	173840	17181	74979	82559	61862	1880	412301	
M. BUTLER (I) R	56852	5103	18858	41697	3537	263	126310	30.64%
R. KEATLEY (D)	116880	12062	56090	40847	58306	1613	285798	69.32%
Write-in Votes	108	16	31	15	19	4	193	0.05%

PSC EATON

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	
Precincts Reporting	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 703177)	180086	17913	77380	84463	63155	1995	424992	60.4 %
Total Votes	174641	17240	75345	82792	62008	1887	413913	
C. EATON (I) R	50101	4574	16979	39391	2894	219	114158	27.58%
L. MILLER (D)	117653	12261	56484	41212	58069	1600	287279	69.41%
R. GRAHAM (L)	6817	404	1862	2174	1033	65	12355	2.98%
Write-in Votes	70	1	20	15	12	3	121	0.03%

PSC PRIDEMORE

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	
Precincts Reporting	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 703177)	180086	17913	77380	84463	63155	1995	424992	60.4 %
Total Votes	174306	17194	75222	82820	62013	1865	413420	
T. PRIDEMORE (I) R	51920	4673	17548	39808	3023	232	117204	28.35%
D. A. RANDOLPH (D)	115916	12105	55956	40854	58177	1577	284585	68.84%
J. TURPISH (L)	6385	412	1691	2143	797	55	11483	2.78%
Write-in Votes	85	4	27	15	16	1	148	0.04%

US HOUSE 5

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	185	185	185	185	185	185	185	
Precincts Reporting	185	185	185	185	185	185	185	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 343478)	89712	7232	60369	2894	32091	1390	193688	56.4 %
Total Votes	81242	6456	54172	2637	30691	1112	176310	
J. R. LEWIS (I) D	79499	6307	52910	2580	30438	1102	172836	98.03%
Write-in Votes	1743	149	1262	57	253	10	3474	1.97%

US HOUSE 6

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	114	114	114	114	114	114	114	
Precincts Reporting	114	114	114	114	114	114	114	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 235150)	60690	8099	3830	80536	468	294	153917	65.5 %
Total Votes	59974	7997	3779	79903	458	220	152331	
K. HANDEL (I) R	31925	3143	1386	39783	98	88	76423	50.17%
L. MCBATH (D)	27969	4846	2388	40065	359	132	75759	49.73%
Write-in Votes	80	8	5	55	1	0	149	0.10%

US HOUSE 11

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	
Precincts Reporting	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 32996)	8666	1362	12131	810	120	41	23130	70.1 %
Total Votes	8450	1329	11921	790	117	18	22625	
B. LOUDERMILK (I) R	5368	562	6609	427	35	8	13009	57.50%
F. D. BROADY, JR (D)	3073	767	5306	363	82	10	9601	42.44%
Write-in Votes	9	0	6	0	0	0	15	0.07%

Election Summary Report
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

Registered Voters 703177 - Cards Cast 425140 60.46%

Num. Report Precinct 373 - Num. Reporting 373 100.00%

US HOUSE 13

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	61	61	61	61	61	61	61	
Precincts Reporting	61	61	61	61	61	61	61	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 91553)	21018	1368	1050	223	30476	270	54405	59.4 %
Total Votes	20603	1346	1033	222	30061	173	53438	
D. CALLAHAN (R)	2028	169	36	7	1328	6	3574	6.69%
D. SCOTT (I) (D)	18544	1173	997	215	28678	164	49771	93.14%
Write-in Votes	31	4	0	0	55	3	93	0.17%

STATE SENATE 6

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	32	32	32	32	32	32	32	
Precincts Reporting	32	32	32	32	32	32	32	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 62513)	16567	2682	18499	4013	268	112	42141	67.4 %
Total Votes	16252	2596	18260	3952	264	41	41365	
L. ALDRIDGE (R)	8879	1066	9203	1702	67	18	20935	50.61%
J. JORDAN (I) D	7368	1528	9047	2249	197	23	20412	49.35%
Write-in Votes	5	2	10	1	0	0	18	0.04%

STATE SENATE 21

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	
Precincts Reporting	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 41176)	10433	1214	220	15034	57	74	27032	65.6 %
Total Votes	10210	1180	215	14805	57	34	26501	
B. BEACH (I) R	6367	517	71	8604	12	15	15586	58.81%
N. B. NASH (D)	3837	663	144	6193	45	19	10901	41.13%
Write-in Votes	6	0	0	8	0	0	14	0.05%

STATE SENATE 28

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
Precincts Reporting	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 2082)	883	38	23	5	367	4	1320	63.4 %
Total Votes	693	27	15	3	220	0	958	
M. BRASS (I) R	675	20	14	3	200	0	912	95.20%
Write-in Votes	18	7	1	0	20	0	46	4.80%

STATE SENATE 32

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	
Precincts Reporting	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 16090)	4741	653	1573	4134	49	28	11178	69.5 %
Total Votes	4587	630	1545	4073	49	2	10886	
K. KIRKPATRICK (I) R	2607	231	795	1666	12	1	5312	48.80%
C. TRIEBSCH (D)	1976	399	750	2407	37	1	5570	51.17%
Write-in Votes	4	0	0	0	0	0	4	0.04%

STATE SENATE 35

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	26	26	26	26	26	26	26	
Precincts Reporting	26	26	26	26	26	26	26	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 60573)	13560	965	652	163	21821	168	37329	61.6 %
Total Votes	12537	840	639	155	20810	88	35069	
D. JAMES (I) D	12459	825	638	154	20721	88	34885	99.48%
Write-in Votes	78	15	1	1	89	0	184	0.52%

STATE SENATE 36

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	81	81	81	81	81	81	81	
Precincts Reporting	81	81	81	81	81	81	81	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 138269)	38521	2450	21832	1316	10119	747	74985	54.2 %
Total Votes	34560	2192	20200	1205	9226	523	67906	
N. ORROCK (I) D	34243	2169	20054	1195	9148	518	67327	99.15%
Write-in Votes	317	23	146	10	78	5	579	0.85%

Election Summary Report
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

Registered Voters 703177 - Cards Cast 425140 60.46%

Num. Report Precinct 373 - Num. Reporting 373 100.00%

STATE SENATE 38

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	46	46	46	46	46	46	46	
Precincts Reporting	46	46	46	46	46	46	46	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 81751)	18959	1629	15828	562	10145	233	47356	57.9 %
Total Votes	18247	1597	15288	541	9925	93	45691	
T. KLAVOHN (R)	2543	141	1797	43	232	9	4765	10.43%
H. TATE (I)	15693	1456	13479	497	9686	84	40895	89.50%
Write-in Votes	11	0	12	1	7	0	31	0.07%

STATE SENATE 39

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	82	82	82	82	82	82	82	
Precincts Reporting	82	82	82	82	82	82	82	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 136601)	34612	2727	17719	963	20024	487	76532	56.0 %
Total Votes	30614	2382	14969	840	19047	197	68049	
N. WILLIAMS (I) D	30237	2343	14710	825	18986	192	67293	98.89%
Write-in Votes	377	39	259	15	61	5	756	1.11%

STATE SENATE 40

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	
Precincts Reporting	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 7884)	2220	401	85	2767	16	10	5499	69.7 %
Total Votes	2172	388	83	2744	16	3	5406	
F. MILLAR (I) R	1427	174	36	1557	6	0	3200	59.19%
S. HARRELL (D)	745	214	47	1187	10	3	2206	40.81%
Write-in Votes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%

STATE SENATE 48

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	
Precincts Reporting	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 37347)	9125	1361	236	13459	64	33	24278	65.0 %
Total Votes	8904	1317	229	13253	63	13	23779	
M. REEVES (R)	4737	466	53	6429	14	4	11703	49.22%
Z. KARINSHAK (D)	4165	849	176	6821	49	9	12069	50.75%
Write-in Votes	2	2	0	3	0	0	7	0.03%

STATE SENATE 56

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	49	49	49	49	49	49	49	
Precincts Reporting	49	49	49	49	49	49	49	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 118891)	30465	3793	713	42047	225	99	77342	65.1 %
Total Votes	29795	3693	699	41437	220	67	75911	
J. ALBERS (I) R	16427	1534	179	21125	47	29	39341	51.83%
E. JEAGER (D)	13351	2156	520	20299	173	38	36537	48.13%
Write-in Votes	17	3	0	13	0	0	33	0.04%

STATE HOUSE 22

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	
Precincts Reporting	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 2939)	922	76	11	974	0	6	1989	67.7 %
Total Votes	903	74	11	959	0	1	1948	
W. CANTRELL (I) R	721	42	4	702	0	0	1469	75.41%
C. RAVENSCRAFT (D)	182	32	7	257	0	1	479	24.59%
Write-in Votes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%

STATE HOUSE 25

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	
Precincts Reporting	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 4582)	931	169	31	1938	6	1	3076	67.1 %
Total Votes	890	164	31	1895	6	0	2986	
T. JONES (I) R	499	50	5	901	1	0	1456	48.76%
A. H. TUCKER (D)	390	114	26	994	5	0	1529	51.21%
Write-in Votes	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.03%

Election Summary Report
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

Registered Voters 703177 - Cards Cast 425140 60.46%

Num. Report Precinct 373 - Num. Reporting 373 100.00%

STATE HOUSE 40

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Precincts Reporting	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 2799)	722	104	1088	22	10	3	1949	69.6 %
Total Votes	708	100	1071	21	10	0	1910	
M. BENTLEY (R)	403	42	588	8	2	0	1043	54.61%
E. E. ALLEN (D)	305	58	483	13	8	0	867	45.39%
Write-in Votes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%

STATE HOUSE 45

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	
Precincts Reporting	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 5730)	1255	195	36	1786	24	0	3296	57.5 %
Total Votes	1223	181	34	1756	23	0	3217	
M. DOLLAR (I) R	477	56	7	565	2	0	1107	34.41%
E. JOHNSON (D)	744	125	27	1190	21	0	2107	65.50%
Write-in Votes	2	0	0	1	0	0	3	0.09%

STATE HOUSE 47

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	
Precincts Reporting	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 40815)	10851	1306	246	15200	63	33	27699	67.9 %
Total Votes	10596	1265	237	14966	62	3	27129	
J. JONES (I) R	6922	576	79	9123	15	3	16718	61.62%
A. NUGENT (D)	3669	688	158	5841	47	0	10403	38.35%
Write-in Votes	5	1	0	2	0	0	8	0.03%

STATE HOUSE 48

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	
Precincts Reporting	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 34846)	10495	1189	199	10545	57	20	22505	64.6 %
Total Votes	10281	1156	196	10367	54	19	22073	
B. PRICE (I) R	5503	498	56	4880	10	5	10952	49.62%
M. ROBICHAUX (D)	4769	656	140	5479	44	14	11102	50.30%
Write-in Votes	9	2	0	8	0	0	19	0.09%

STATE HOUSE 49

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	
Precincts Reporting	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 38207)	9358	1218	226	14254	65	70	25191	65.9 %
Total Votes	9098	1170	221	14025	65	36	24615	
C. E. MARTIN (I) R	5125	499	63	7611	20	16	13334	54.17%
K. A. BRALLEY (D)	3966	671	158	6408	45	20	11268	45.78%
Write-in Votes	7	0	0	6	0	0	13	0.05%

STATE HOUSE 50

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	
Precincts Reporting	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 34177)	8562	1275	218	12001	61	32	22149	64.8 %
Total Votes	8369	1235	209	11841	60	9	21723	
K. STEWART (R)	4366	442	58	5815	13	4	10698	49.25%
A. KAUSCHE (D)	3999	790	151	6023	47	5	11015	50.71%
Write-in Votes	4	3	0	3	0	0	10	0.05%

STATE HOUSE 51

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	
Precincts Reporting	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 38735)	8795	1163	237	14482	76	50	24803	64.0 %
Total Votes	8566	1126	233	14269	76	36	24306	
A. B. KAUFMAN (R)	4373	443	59	6861	17	14	11767	48.41%
J. MCLAURIN (D)	4187	683	174	7406	59	22	12531	51.56%
Write-in Votes	6	0	0	2	0	0	8	0.03%

Election Summary Report
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

Registered Voters 703177 - Cards Cast 425140 60.46%

Num. Report Precinct 373 - Num. Reporting 373 100.00%

STATE HOUSE 52

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	26	26	26	26	26	26	26	
Precincts Reporting	26	26	26	26	26	26	26	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 39989)	10575	1643	7789	7238	137	64	27446	68.6 %
Total Votes	10296	1590	7664	7130	134	8	26822	
D. SILCOX (I) R	5916	669	4319	3071	41	2	14018	52.26%
S. ROBERTS (D)	4378	921	3341	4057	93	6	12796	47.71%
Write-in Votes	2	0	4	2	0	0	8	0.03%

STATE HOUSE 53

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	
Precincts Reporting	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 32756)	8149	633	8560	198	550	105	18195	55.5 %
Total Votes	6961	535	7277	166	518	47	15504	
S. JONES (I) D	6861	527	7162	165	512	46	15273	98.51%
Write-in Votes	100	8	115	1	6	1	231	1.49%

STATE HOUSE 54

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	
Precincts Reporting	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 46134)	12092	1744	15408	422	344	79	30089	65.2 %
Total Votes	11793	1666	15139	414	337	27	29376	
B. BESKIN (I) R	6297	678	6965	165	61	13	14179	48.27%
B. HOLLAND (D)	5493	987	8167	249	276	14	15186	51.70%
Write-in Votes	3	1	7	0	0	0	11	0.04%

STATE HOUSE 55

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	23	23	23	23	23	23	23	
Precincts Reporting	23	23	23	23	23	23	23	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 43878)	11056	1002	8063	327	6129	102	26679	60.8 %
Total Votes	9551	890	7010	291	5788	15	23545	
M. R. METZE (I) D	9440	871	6919	288	5778	14	23310	99.00%
Write-in Votes	111	19	91	3	10	1	235	1.00%

STATE HOUSE 56

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	
Precincts Reporting	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 37484)	10119	634	5279	456	1654	212	18354	49.0 %
Total Votes	9108	568	4823	401	1536	70	16506	
A. M. THOMAS (I) D	8987	561	4781	399	1513	70	16311	98.82%
Write-in Votes	121	7	42	2	23	0	195	1.18%

STATE HOUSE 57

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	
Precincts Reporting	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 52854)	13532	1157	8970	517	3539	404	28119	53.2 %
Total Votes	11971	1027	8133	469	3289	253	25142	
P. GARDNER (I) D	11844	1016	8046	460	3270	250	24886	98.98%
Write-in Votes	127	11	87	9	19	3	256	1.02%

STATE HOUSE 58

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	28	28	28	28	28	28	28	
Precincts Reporting	28	28	28	28	28	28	28	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 46059)	12789	912	8164	605	3528	167	26165	56.8 %
Total Votes	11541	822	7568	563	3282	58	23834	
P. CANNON (I) D	11448	817	7512	558	3271	57	23663	99.28%
Write-in Votes	93	5	56	5	11	1	171	0.72%

Election Summary Report
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

Registered Voters 703177 - Cards Cast 425140 60.46%

Num. Report Precinct 373 - Num. Reporting 373 100.00%

STATE HOUSE 59

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	
Precincts Reporting	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 39054)	12345	662	6920	302	2667	200	23096	59.1 %
Total Votes	11052	594	6441	277	2420	105	20889	
D. DREYER (I) D	10959	588	6407	275	2394	105	20728	99.23%
Write-in Votes	93	6	34	2	26	0	161	0.77%

STATE HOUSE 60

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	
Precincts Reporting	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 25919)	6746	421	1540	70	4352	62	13191	50.9 %
Total Votes	6268	376	1447	64	4028	5	12188	
K. SCHOFIELD (I) D	6231	372	1445	63	4009	5	12125	99.48%
Write-in Votes	37	4	2	1	19	0	63	0.52%

STATE HOUSE 61

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	
Precincts Reporting	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 20208)	3808	355	1841	106	6111	59	12280	60.8 %
Total Votes	3614	333	1722	100	5863	12	11644	
R. BRUCE (I) D	3604	331	1718	99	5837	12	11601	99.63%
Write-in Votes	10	2	4	1	26	0	43	0.37%

STATE HOUSE 62

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	
Precincts Reporting	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 28870)	5602	421	447	84	11843	57	18454	63.9 %
Total Votes	5311	374	433	83	11297	18	17516	
W. K. BODDIE JR (I)D	5289	369	433	83	11247	18	17439	99.56%
Write-in Votes	22	5	0	0	50	0	77	0.44%

STATE HOUSE 63

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	
Precincts Reporting	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 16737)	4003	203	209	39	4005	75	8534	51.0 %
Total Votes	3831	186	202	38	3828	39	8124	
D. BAZEMORE (I) D	3825	186	202	38	3821	38	8110	99.83%
Write-in Votes	6	0	0	0	7	1	14	0.17%

STATE HOUSE 64

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	
Precincts Reporting	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 23742)	5457	362	265	45	7082	65	13276	55.9 %
Total Votes	5080	323	258	43	6761	1	12466	
D. L. JACKSON (I) D	5064	321	258	43	6746	1	12433	99.74%
Write-in Votes	16	2	0	0	15	0	33	0.26%

STATE HOUSE 65

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	
Precincts Reporting	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 34519)	8671	526	319	77	10813	98	20504	59.4 %
Total Votes	7569	444	304	71	10076	45	18509	
BEASLEY-TEAGUE (I) D	7493	434	301	70	10032	45	18375	99.28%
Write-in Votes	76	10	3	1	44	0	134	0.72%

Election Summary Report
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

Registered Voters 703177 - Cards Cast 425140 60.46%

Num. Report Precinct 373 - Num. Reporting 373 100.00%

STATE HOUSE 80

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	
Precincts Reporting	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 10029)	2746	471	1300	1909	38	27	6491	64.7 %
Total Votes	2686	461	1270	1881	37	9	6344	
M. HANSON (I) R	1458	185	677	765	8	4	3097	48.82%
M. WILSON (D)	1226	276	592	1115	29	5	3243	51.12%
Write-in Votes	2	0	1	1	0	0	4	0.06%

STATE HOUSE 95

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Precincts Reporting	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 2115)	505	72	14	866	1	4	1462	69.1 %
Total Votes	496	68	14	851	1	0	1430	
S. HILTON (I) R	352	33	5	581	0	0	971	67.90%
B. MOORE (D)	144	35	9	270	1	0	459	32.10%
Write-in Votes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%

CO COMM CHAIRPERSON

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	
Precincts Reporting	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 703177)	180086	17913	77380	84463	63155	1995	424992	60.4 %
Total Votes	149722	14488	65833	61366	59433	1731	352573	
R. PITTS (I) D	146300	14082	64726	58577	59041	1713	344439	97.69%
Write-in Votes	3422	406	1107	2789	392	18	8134	2.31%

CO COMM DIST 1

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	41	41	41	41	41	41	41	
Precincts Reporting	41	41	41	41	41	41	41	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 103719)	25566	3555	654	38429	166	94	68464	66.0 %
Total Votes	19945	2572	455	28442	114	46	51574	
L. HAUSMANN (I) R	19170	2372	419	26876	104	44	48985	94.98%
Write-in Votes	775	200	36	1566	10	2	2589	5.02%

CO COMM DIST 3

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	
Precincts Reporting	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 128349)	35756	4795	37419	5645	1530	218	85363	66.5 %
Total Votes	26488	3086	26007	3895	864	83	60423	
L. MORRIS (I) R	25226	2823	24408	3607	716	81	56861	94.10%
Write-in Votes	1262	263	1599	288	148	2	3562	5.90%

CO COMM DIST 5

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	
Precincts Reporting	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 117643)	30335	1962	13557	605	19373	438	66270	56.3 %
Total Votes	27910	1781	12573	550	18338	226	61378	
M. ARRINGTON JR (I)D	27760	1758	12512	542	18245	224	61041	99.45%
Write-in Votes	150	23	61	8	93	2	337	0.55%

SOIL AND WATER

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	
Precincts Reporting	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 703177)	180086	17913	77380	84463	63155	1995	424992	60.4 %
Total Votes	185122	21984	77947	82760	67737	2226	437776	
W. S. REKUC, JR (I)	112192	11399	47166	52505	40404	1107	264773	60.48%
J. R. ULSETH	71136	10314	30076	29448	26713	1086	168773	38.55%
Write-in Votes	1794	271	705	807	620	33	4230	0.97%

Election Summary Report
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

Registered Voters 703177 - Cards Cast 425140 60.46%

Num. Report Precinct 373 - Num. Reporting 373 100.00%

CONST AMENDMENT 1

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	
Precincts Reporting	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 703177)	180086	17913	77380	84463	63155	1995	424992	60.4 %
Total Votes	175233	16813	75246	82034	61620	1792	412738	
YES	154812	15201	67676	70175	55447	1601	364912	88.41%
NO	20421	1612	7570	11859	6173	191	47826	11.59%

CONST AMENDMENT 2

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	
Precincts Reporting	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 703177)	180086	17913	77380	84463	63155	1995	424992	60.4 %
Total Votes	169595	16002	72306	78984	60285	1756	398928	
YES	125673	11020	50096	55753	46077	1465	290084	72.72%
NO	43922	4982	22210	23231	14208	291	108844	27.28%

CONST AMENDMENT 3

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	
Precincts Reporting	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 703177)	180086	17913	77380	84463	63155	1995	424992	60.4 %
Total Votes	166719	15494	70896	77947	58862	1721	391639	
YES	113070	10513	49512	51960	38784	1148	264987	67.66%
NO	53649	4981	21384	25987	20078	573	126652	32.34%

CONST AMENDMENT 4

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	
Precincts Reporting	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 703177)	180086	17913	77380	84463	63155	1995	424992	60.4 %
Total Votes	173266	16551	74190	81028	61742	1798	408575	
YES	144982	13974	60379	66832	56410	1591	344168	84.24%
NO	28284	2577	13811	14196	5332	207	64407	15.76%

CONST AMENDMENT 5

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	
Precincts Reporting	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 703177)	180086	17913	77380	84463	63155	1995	424992	60.4 %
Total Votes	168645	15765	71714	78958	60271	1749	397102	
YES	130477	11870	55505	56454	49442	1459	305207	76.86%
NO	38168	3895	16209	22504	10829	290	91895	23.14%

REFERENDUM A

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	
Precincts Reporting	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 703177)	180086	17913	77380	84463	63155	1995	424992	60.4 %
Total Votes	163174	14931	68997	75960	59375	1670	384107	
YES	105183	8834	44886	46562	40805	1050	247320	64.39%
NO	57991	6097	24111	29398	18570	620	136787	35.61%

REFERENDUM B

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	
Precincts Reporting	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 703177)	180086	17913	77380	84463	63155	1995	424992	60.4 %
Total Votes	169325	15917	72237	79031	60744	1748	399002	
YES	141058	12895	60258	62823	52853	1517	331404	83.06%
NO	28267	3022	11979	16208	7891	231	67598	16.94%

REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	
Precincts Reporting	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 703177)	180086	17913	77380	84463	63155	1995	424992	60.4 %
Total Votes	156250	14547	65266	71677	57734	1632	367106	
YES	101469	9038	41338	42930	38221	1099	234095	63.77%
NO	54781	5509	23928	28747	19513	533	133011	36.23%

Election Summary Report
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

Registered Voters 703177 - Cards Cast 425140 60.46%

Num. Report Precinct 373 - Num. Reporting 373 100.00%

HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	
Precincts Reporting	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 703177)	180086	17913	77380	84463	63155	1995	424992	60.4 %
Total Votes	163660	15552	69854	76843	59918	1618	387445	
YES	133730	13064	58453	64710	52946	1218	324121	83.66%
NO	29930	2488	11401	12133	6972	400	63324	16.34%

HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	215	215	215	215	215	215	215	
Precincts Reporting	215	215	215	215	215	215	215	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 382378)	95001	10584	9412	81530	44413	678	241618	63.2 %
Total Votes	84772	8951	8094	71846	41703	441	215807	
YES	61727	6403	5689	52608	31421	333	158181	73.30%
NO	23045	2548	2405	19238	10282	108	57626	26.70%

ALPHARETTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	
Precincts Reporting	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 40296)	9707	1309	229	15013	70	77	26405	65.5 %
Total Votes	8585	1125	191	13471	67	37	23476	
YES	6464	858	131	10644	49	28	18174	77.42%
NO	2121	267	60	2827	18	9	5302	22.58%

ALPHARETTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	
Precincts Reporting	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 40296)	9707	1309	229	15013	70	77	26405	65.5 %
Total Votes	9458	1230	218	14592	70	55	25623	
YES	6747	956	179	10837	53	40	18812	73.42%
NO	2711	274	39	3755	17	15	6811	26.58%

ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	158	158	158	158	158	158	158	
Precincts Reporting	158	158	158	158	158	158	158	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 320799)	85085	7329	67968	2933	18742	1317	183374	57.2 %
Total Votes	74908	6039	59026	2577	16931	963	160444	
YES	53408	4081	41966	1762	11889	675	113781	70.92%
NO	21500	1958	17060	815	5042	288	46663	29.08%

ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	158	158	158	158	158	158	158	
Precincts Reporting	158	158	158	158	158	158	158	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 320799)	85085	7329	67968	2933	18742	1317	183374	57.2 %
Total Votes	82427	6802	65782	2851	18131	1105	177098	
YES	61828	5117	49844	2165	12851	758	132563	74.85%
NO	20599	1685	15938	686	5280	347	44535	25.15%

COLLEGE PARK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	
Precincts Reporting	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 8758)	1704	99	61	16	2137	29	4046	46.2 %
Total Votes	1659	92	60	16	2087	21	3935	
YES	1238	63	41	11	1523	15	2891	73.47%
NO	421	29	19	5	564	6	1044	26.53%

HAPEVILLE - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Precincts Reporting	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 3212)	1136	37	74	4	523	6	1780	55.4 %
Total Votes	1118	29	72	3	518	5	1745	
YES	819	16	55	1	401	4	1296	74.27%
NO	299	13	17	2	117	1	449	25.73%

Election Summary Report
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

Registered Voters 703177 - Cards Cast 425140 60.46%

Num. Report Precinct 373 - Num. Reporting 373 100.00%

JOHNS CREEK - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	
Precincts Reporting	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 50888)	12445	1810	315	18888	86	48	33592	66.0 %
Total Votes	11038	1572	247	17078	76	32	30043	
YES	8316	1200	167	13123	54	21	22881	76.16%
NO	2722	372	80	3955	22	11	7162	23.84%

JOHNS CREEK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	
Precincts Reporting	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 50888)	12445	1810	315	18888	86	48	33592	66.0 %
Total Votes	12091	1696	307	18403	86	45	32628	
YES	8323	1243	242	13220	63	27	23118	70.85%
NO	3768	453	65	5183	23	18	9510	29.15%

MILTON - CITY COUNCIL D1 P2

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	
Precincts Reporting	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 8604)	2024	223	49	3204	6	11	5517	64.1 %
Total Votes	1578	161	37	2503	6	2	4287	
C. E. COOKERLY	1555	159	36	2445	6	2	4203	98.04%
Write-in Votes	23	2	1	58	0	0	84	1.96%

MILTON - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	
Precincts Reporting	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 24990)	6385	700	141	9152	25	33	16436	65.8 %
Total Votes	5681	609	120	8328	22	9	14769	
YES	4373	486	89	6666	19	7	11640	78.81%
NO	1308	123	31	1662	3	2	3129	21.19%

MILTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	
Precincts Reporting	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 24990)	6385	700	141	9152	25	33	16436	65.8 %
Total Votes	6172	668	135	8893	24	20	15912	
YES	4681	494	110	6818	21	15	12139	76.29%
NO	1491	174	25	2075	3	5	3773	23.71%

ROSWELL - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	
Precincts Reporting	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 62145)	17360	2041	340	21669	98	29	41537	66.8 %
Total Votes	15395	1766	290	19529	85	20	37085	
YES	11516	1325	208	15247	67	15	28378	76.52%
NO	3879	441	82	4282	18	5	8707	23.48%

ROSWELL - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	
Precincts Reporting	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 62145)	17360	2041	340	21669	98	29	41537	66.8 %
Total Votes	16976	1920	330	21138	96	23	40483	
YES	12626	1476	276	16110	66	16	30570	75.51%
NO	4350	444	54	5028	30	7	9913	24.49%

SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	37	37	37	37	37	37	37	
Precincts Reporting	37	37	37	37	37	37	37	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 67015)	17495	2659	6307	16410	233	114	43218	64.5 %
Total Votes	16934	2510	6120	15918	227	90	41799	
YES	12931	1986	4879	12085	174	65	32120	76.84%
NO	4003	524	1241	3833	53	25	9679	23.16%

Election Summary Report
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

Registered Voters 703177 - Cards Cast 425140 60.46%

Num. Report Precinct 373 - Num. Reporting 373 100.00%

SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	49	49	49	49	49	49	49	
Precincts Reporting	49	49	49	49	49	49	49	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 72034)	14946	1133	1166	216	27408	179	45048	62.5 %
Total Votes	13871	1009	1067	204	25642	72	41865	
YES	9392	621	728	144	16760	52	27697	66.16%
NO	4479	388	339	60	8882	20	14168	33.84%

SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	49	49	49	49	49	49	49	
Precincts Reporting	49	49	49	49	49	49	49	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 72034)	14946	1133	1166	216	27408	179	45048	62.5 %
Total Votes	13681	1004	1040	201	25385	69	41380	
YES	9057	572	667	129	15956	44	26425	63.86%
NO	4624	432	373	72	9429	25	14955	36.14%

SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	49	49	49	49	49	49	49	
Precincts Reporting	49	49	49	49	49	49	49	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 72034)	14946	1133	1166	216	27408	179	45048	62.5 %
Total Votes	13717	1001	1056	202	25416	70	41462	
YES	8910	537	665	128	15530	49	25819	62.27%
NO	4807	464	391	74	9886	21	15643	37.73%

SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	49	49	49	49	49	49	49	
Precincts Reporting	49	49	49	49	49	49	49	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 72034)	14946	1133	1166	216	27408	179	45048	62.5 %
Total Votes	13842	994	1054	202	25529	65	41686	
YES	9197	578	700	129	15909	48	26561	63.72%
NO	4645	416	354	73	9620	17	15125	36.28%

SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	49	49	49	49	49	49	49	
Precincts Reporting	49	49	49	49	49	49	49	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 72034)	14946	1133	1166	216	27408	179	45048	62.5 %
Total Votes	14549	1075	1138	210	26768	120	43860	
YES	10166	723	797	152	19173	84	31095	70.90%
NO	4383	352	341	58	7595	36	12765	29.10%

UNION CITY - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	
Precincts Reporting	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 14542)	3476	209	126	35	3932	59	7837	53.9 %
Total Votes	3394	197	122	35	3854	35	7637	
YES	2267	106	87	24	2610	24	5118	67.02%
NO	1127	91	35	11	1244	11	2519	32.98%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	TURN OUT		
	Reg. Voters	Cards Cast	% Turnout
Jurisdiction Wide			
01A	3199	2362	73.84%
01B	3839	2737	71.29%
01C	1459	612	41.95%
01D	511	307	60.08%
01E	3363	2410	71.66%
01F	1254	452	36.04%
01G	1909	1102	57.73%
01H	758	379	50.00%
01J	2416	1184	49.01%
01P	1244	542	43.57%
01R	1344	491	36.53%
01S	1749	823	47.06%
01T	1506	796	52.86%
02A	4584	2949	64.33%
02B	3518	1265	35.96%
02C	2037	1205	59.16%
02D	4475	2660	59.44%
02E	1905	1423	74.70%
02F1	2962	2033	68.64%
02F2	788	458	58.12%
02G	3443	2361	68.57%
02J	2159	1580	73.18%
02K	1419	951	67.02%
02L1	6213	3978	64.03%
02L2	1364	782	57.33%
02S	708	427	60.31%
02W	682	399	58.50%
03A	1927	692	35.91%
03B	560	181	32.32%
03C	1534	674	43.94%
03D	1017	402	39.53%
03E	2284	914	40.02%
03F	1925	1115	57.92%
03G	802	360	44.89%
03H	900	369	41.00%
03I	1360	566	41.62%
03L	594	229	38.55%
03M	766	452	59.01%
03N	2288	938	41.00%
03P1A	3882	1966	50.64%
03P2	13	7	53.85%
03S	761	356	46.78%
03T	1505	715	47.51%
04A	1202	560	46.59%
04B	2242	922	41.12%
04C	136	54	39.71%
04D	1481	714	48.21%
04F	2086	935	44.82%
04G	828	312	37.68%
04I	3362	561	16.69%
04J	1230	317	25.77%
04K	2339	1266	54.13%
04L	1655	867	52.39%
04M	773	292	37.77%
04S	2165	1118	51.64%
04T	1145	584	51.00%
04V	2848	1211	42.52%
04W	2203	820	37.22%
04X1	1942	950	48.92%
04X2	737	364	49.39%
05A1	2491	1728	69.37%
05A2	2910	1998	68.66%
05B	1763	901	51.11%
05C	146	67	45.89%
05D	386	93	24.09%
05F	223	136	60.99%
05J	639	416	65.10%
05K	2600	1327	51.04%
06B	1756	1238	70.50%
06D	8568	6060	70.73%
06E	2250	1439	63.96%
06F	2709	1757	64.86%
06G	536	369	68.84%
06I	3412	1957	57.36%
06J	2539	1904	74.99%
06L1	917	679	74.05%
06L2	654	487	74.46%
06N	1844	1085	58.84%
06Q	527	295	55.98%
06R	1836	1206	65.69%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	TURN OUT		
	Reg. Voters	Cards Cast	% Turnout
07A	6600	4093	62.02%
07B	2763	2107	76.26%
07C	3927	2498	63.61%
07D	4231	2413	57.03%
07E	2031	1358	66.86%
07F	3017	2041	67.65%
07H	1923	1424	74.05%
07J	2647	1753	66.23%
07M	2155	1180	54.76%
07N	1946	1044	53.65%
08A	2799	1949	69.63%
08B	3199	2255	70.49%
08C	1392	984	70.69%
08D	1022	770	75.34%
08E	2429	1864	76.74%
08F1	2071	1628	78.61%
08G	2787	1669	59.89%
08H	2465	1874	76.02%
08J	2981	2223	74.57%
08K	973	702	72.15%
08L	4194	2706	64.52%
08M	1907	1358	71.21%
08N1	767	587	76.53%
08N2	1391	927	66.64%
08P	1633	928	56.83%
09A	3338	2152	64.47%
09B	385	174	45.19%
09C	2954	1522	51.52%
09D	1879	858	45.66%
09E	2782	1420	51.04%
09F	3619	2526	69.80%
09G	3519	2282	64.85%
09H	1064	645	60.62%
09I	1194	503	42.13%
09K	4403	1851	42.04%
09M	2259	1611	71.31%
10A	2850	1622	56.91%
10B	3686	2464	66.85%
10C	2466	1168	47.36%
10D	4220	1850	43.84%
10E	950	524	55.16%
10F	1053	590	56.03%
10G	1205	813	67.47%
10H1	408	238	58.33%
10H2	2207	1032	46.76%
10I	329	258	78.42%
10J	1012	466	46.05%
10K	1415	858	60.64%
10M	2529	1414	55.91%
10P	1364	668	48.97%
10R	713	347	48.67%
11B	5162	3088	59.82%
11C	2822	2003	70.98%
11E1	1141	634	55.57%
11E2	847	497	58.68%
11E3	3124	1835	58.74%
11G	2092	855	40.87%
11H	677	356	52.58%
11J	1827	1018	55.72%
11K	1818	1104	60.73%
11M	3039	1659	54.59%
11N	2166	1358	62.70%
11P	1833	1020	55.65%
11R	1849	672	36.34%
12A	4828	2734	56.63%
12D	1209	501	41.44%
12E1	1621	591	36.46%
12F	943	428	45.39%
12G	800	430	53.75%
12H1	1006	310	30.82%
12H2	2562	1189	46.41%
12I	970	413	42.58%
12J	2535	1108	43.71%
12K	3022	1240	41.03%
12L	613	238	38.83%
12M	2533	1171	46.23%
12N	907	327	36.05%
12S	1794	959	53.46%
AP01A	4724	3141	66.49%
AP01B	913	516	56.52%
AP01C	2233	1498	67.08%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	TURN OUT		
	Reg. Voters	Cards Cast	% Turnout
AP01D	915	539	58.91%
AP02B	474	263	55.49%
AP03	741	314	42.38%
AP04A	1647	1183	71.83%
AP04B	415	290	69.88%
AP05	4367	2981	68.26%
AP06	1827	1309	71.65%
AP07A	3422	2102	61.43%
AP07B	1650	1144	69.33%
AP09A	4051	2687	66.33%
AP09B	810	531	65.56%
AP021	2994	1963	65.56%
AP022	2210	1429	64.66%
AP10	942	681	72.29%
AP12A	658	374	56.84%
AP12B	747	428	57.30%
AP12C	1584	1005	63.45%
AP14	2972	2027	68.20%
CH01	330	164	49.70%
CH02	319	202	63.32%
CH03	379	250	65.96%
CH04A	647	444	68.62%
CH05	407	260	63.88%
CP01B	381	227	59.58%
CP011	1135	617	54.36%
CP012	1318	844	64.04%
CP02	1000	553	55.30%
CP04A	8	2	25.00%
CP04B	39	14	35.90%
CP05B	143	76	53.15%
CP051	1612	874	54.22%
CP06A	598	208	34.78%
CP07B	7	2	28.57%
CP07C	244	47	19.26%
CP07D	694	208	29.97%
CP07E	125	41	32.80%
CP07F	184	55	29.89%
CP081	343	77	22.45%
CP083	219	25	11.42%
CP084	3	1	33.33%
CP08A	705	175	24.82%
EP01A	2609	1464	56.11%
EP01B	3038	1539	50.66%
EP02A	1967	890	45.25%
EP02B	1449	977	67.43%
EP02C	428	251	58.64%
EP02D	326	192	58.90%
EP02E	1382	627	45.37%
EP03A	4293	2512	58.51%
EP03B	1421	973	68.47%
EP04A	5432	2626	48.34%
EP04B	2024	1203	59.44%
FA01A	3574	2126	59.49%
FA01B	4833	2965	61.35%
FA01C	681	401	58.88%
HP01	3212	1780	55.42%
JC01	4977	3215	64.60%
JC02	2460	1474	59.92%
JC03A	540	384	71.11%
JC03B	1448	955	65.95%
JC04A	4042	2692	66.60%
JC04B	255	156	61.18%
JC05	2136	1391	65.12%
JC06	2627	1580	60.14%
JC07	4083	2627	64.34%
JC08	2737	1870	68.32%
JC09	2928	1878	64.14%
JC10	2392	1507	63.00%
JC11	3186	2085	65.44%
JC12	2455	1753	71.41%
JC13A	2115	1462	69.13%
JC13B	271	180	66.42%
JC14	2477	1634	65.97%
JC15	834	523	62.71%
JC16	2496	1729	69.27%
JC18	2670	1969	73.75%
JC19	3759	2528	67.25%
ML011	2439	1681	68.92%
ML012	485	338	69.69%
ML01B	138	96	69.57%
ML021	1991	1369	68.76%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	TURN OUT		
	Reg. Voters	Cards Cast	% Turnout
ML022	241	148	61.41%
ML023	1542	1027	66.60%
ML024	113	70	61.95%
ML03	3757	2575	68.54%
ML04A	1747	1176	67.32%
ML04B	1206	838	69.49%
ML04C	79	66	83.54%
ML05A	39	27	69.23%
ML05B	381	250	65.62%
ML05C	4023	2615	65.00%
ML06A	1973	1024	51.90%
ML06B	2775	1734	62.49%
ML071	105	73	69.52%
ML072	1249	857	68.61%
ML07A	707	472	66.76%
MP01	450	323	71.78%
PA01	2509	1330	53.01%
RW01	4717	3355	71.13%
RW02	3794	2675	70.51%
RW03	4510	2745	60.86%
RW04	1803	1359	75.37%
RW05	2322	1207	51.98%
RW06	2820	1914	67.87%
RW07A	1549	677	43.71%
RW07B	388	214	55.15%
RW08	2138	1462	68.38%
RW09	5918	4216	71.24%
RW10	3347	2376	70.99%
RW11A	2667	1693	63.48%
RW12	5944	4234	71.23%
RW13	3555	2476	69.65%
RW16	1850	1275	68.92%
RW17	2794	1773	63.46%
RW19	1993	1434	71.95%
RW20	2486	1797	72.28%
RW21	3144	2169	68.99%
RW22A	4406	2486	56.42%
SC01A	20	25	125.00%
SC01B	1707	1295	75.86%
SC01C	2063	1513	73.34%
SC01D	294	162	55.10%
SC02	872	662	75.92%
SC04	922	616	66.81%
SC05A	646	409	63.31%
SC05B	3204	2188	68.29%
SC05D	2171	1428	65.78%
SC05E	623	434	69.66%
SC07A	5595	3698	66.09%
SC07C	29	10	34.48%
SC08B	3382	2008	59.37%
SC08C	74	53	71.62%
SC08D	499	314	62.93%
SC08E	562	316	56.23%
SC08F	700	366	52.29%
SC08G	12	8	66.67%
SC08H	4	3	75.00%
SC09A	1628	839	51.54%
SC09B	1687	1084	64.26%
SC09C	1566	842	53.77%
SC10	1678	661	39.39%
SC11A	1411	813	57.62%
SC11B	1506	878	58.30%
SC13	2465	1636	66.37%
SC14A	916	429	46.83%
SC14B	502	45	8.96%
SC15	6193	4213	68.03%
SC16A	4601	3208	69.72%
SC16B	14	15	107.14%
SC17A	55	42	76.36%
SC17B	7	4	57.14%
SC17C	1134	669	58.99%
SC18A	510	329	64.51%
SC18B	663	462	69.68%
SC18C	1850	1352	73.08%
SC19A	195	129	66.15%
SC19B	1267	690	54.46%
SC20	2197	1665	75.79%
SC211	1328	841	63.33%
SC212	3378	1947	57.64%
SC23A	3161	1730	54.73%
SC23B	483	284	58.80%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	TURN OUT		
	Reg. Voters	Cards Cast	% Turnout
SC23C	2252	1302	57.82%
SC27	2129	1259	59.14%
SC29A	2784	1544	55.46%
SC30A	135	97	71.85%
SC30B	960	531	55.31%
SS01	4487	3270	72.88%
SS02A	1120	755	67.41%
SS02B	1255	756	60.24%
SS03	1464	1027	70.15%
SS04	3264	2064	63.24%
SS05	1746	1376	78.81%
SS06	1397	1073	76.81%
SS07A	968	683	70.56%
SS07B	609	405	66.50%
SS07C	755	436	57.75%
SS08A	1316	758	57.60%
SS08B	635	453	71.34%
SS08C	632	393	62.18%
SS08D	1368	847	61.92%
SS09A	3003	2210	73.59%
SS09B	3358	2452	73.02%
SS11A	3655	2227	60.93%
SS11B	1257	707	56.25%
SS11C	269	146	54.28%
SS11D	365	254	69.59%
SS12	2559	1732	67.68%
SS13A	1633	1072	65.65%
SS13B	1001	629	62.84%
SS14	1274	977	76.69%
SS15A	2572	1199	46.62%
SS15B	1579	902	57.12%
SS16	2030	1534	75.57%
SS17	3397	2229	65.62%
SS18A	619	454	73.34%
SS18B	221	162	73.30%
SS19A	3016	1683	55.80%
SS19B	2032	1226	60.33%
SS20	2060	1159	56.26%
SS22	2714	1613	59.43%
SS26	3552	1822	51.30%
SS29A	2488	1595	64.11%
SS31	1345	938	69.74%
UC01A	3622	1715	47.35%
UC01B	395	210	53.16%
UC01D	6	2	33.33%
UC01E	285	206	72.28%
UC02A	7513	4296	57.18%
UC02B	30	19	63.33%
UC031	2399	1203	50.15%
UC032	292	186	63.70%
Total	703177	425140	60.46%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

GOVERNOR

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	B. KEMP (R)		S. ABRAMS (D)		T. METZ (L)		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide											
01A	3199	2362	2360	177	7.50%	2159	91.48%	22	0.93%	2	0.08%
01B	3839	2737	2732	217	7.94%	2474	90.56%	40	1.46%	1	0.04%
01C	1459	612	612	14	2.29%	593	96.90%	5	0.82%	0	0.00%
01D	511	307	307	15	4.89%	292	95.11%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
01E	3363	2410	2406	238	9.89%	2137	88.82%	30	1.25%	1	0.04%
01F	1254	452	451	9	2.00%	439	97.34%	2	0.44%	1	0.22%
01G	1909	1102	1098	50	4.55%	1031	93.90%	16	1.46%	1	0.09%
01H	758	379	379	15	3.96%	363	95.78%	1	0.26%	0	0.00%
01J	2416	1184	1181	29	2.46%	1148	97.21%	4	0.34%	0	0.00%
01P	1244	542	542	10	1.85%	530	97.79%	0	0.00%	2	0.37%
01R	1344	491	489	8	1.64%	479	97.96%	2	0.41%	0	0.00%
01S	1749	823	820	21	2.56%	797	97.20%	2	0.24%	0	0.00%
01T	1506	796	796	53	6.66%	739	92.84%	4	0.50%	0	0.00%
02A	4584	2949	2945	518	17.59%	2391	81.19%	33	1.12%	3	0.10%
02B	3518	1265	1264	120	9.49%	1135	89.79%	9	0.71%	0	0.00%
02C	2037	1205	1205	89	7.39%	1108	91.95%	8	0.66%	0	0.00%
02D	4475	2660	2657	220	8.28%	2411	90.74%	24	0.90%	2	0.08%
02E	1905	1423	1423	119	8.36%	1289	90.58%	15	1.05%	0	0.00%
02F1	2962	2033	2029	216	10.65%	1782	87.83%	30	1.48%	1	0.05%
02F2	788	458	458	41	8.95%	412	89.96%	5	1.09%	0	0.00%
02G	3443	2361	2359	223	9.45%	2103	89.15%	31	1.31%	2	0.08%
02J	2159	1432	1432	143	9.99%	1271	88.76%	18	1.26%	0	0.00%
02K	1419	951	948	107	11.29%	829	87.45%	9	0.95%	3	0.32%
02L1	6213	3978	3974	567	14.27%	3347	84.22%	57	1.43%	3	0.08%
02L2	1364	782	782	115	14.71%	657	84.02%	10	1.28%	0	0.00%
02S	708	427	427	24	5.62%	397	92.97%	6	1.41%	0	0.00%
02W	682	399	398	47	11.81%	347	87.19%	4	1.01%	0	0.00%
03A	1927	692	690	10	1.45%	677	98.12%	3	0.43%	0	0.00%
03B	560	181	181	7	3.87%	174	96.13%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
03C	1534	674	671	12	1.79%	658	98.06%	1	0.15%	0	0.00%
03D	1017	402	401	12	2.99%	387	96.51%	2	0.50%	0	0.00%
03E	2284	914	912	20	2.19%	889	97.48%	3	0.33%	0	0.00%
03F	1925	1115	1113	146	13.12%	957	85.98%	9	0.81%	1	0.09%
03G	802	360	359	6	1.67%	351	97.77%	2	0.56%	0	0.00%
03H	900	369	368	6	1.63%	356	96.74%	5	1.36%	1	0.27%
03I	1360	566	565	64	11.33%	483	85.49%	16	2.83%	2	0.35%
03L	594	229	228	4	1.75%	222	97.37%	2	0.88%	0	0.00%
03M	766	452	452	84	18.58%	351	77.65%	16	3.54%	1	0.22%
03N	2288	938	935	27	2.89%	899	96.15%	8	0.86%	1	0.11%
03P1A	3882	1966	1966	195	9.92%	1742	88.61%	27	1.37%	2	0.10%
03P2	13	7	7	0	0.00%	7	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
03S	761	356	355	5	1.41%	348	98.03%	2	0.56%	0	0.00%
03T	1505	715	714	15	2.10%	696	97.48%	3	0.42%	0	0.00%
04A	1202	560	560	3	0.54%	554	98.93%	2	0.36%	1	0.18%
04B	2242	922	922	13	1.41%	904	98.05%	3	0.33%	2	0.22%
04C	136	54	54	0	0.00%	54	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
04D	1481	714	713	20	2.81%	692	97.05%	1	0.14%	0	0.00%
04F	2086	935	935	62	6.63%	867	92.73%	5	0.53%	1	0.11%
04G	828	312	311	5	1.61%	306	98.39%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
04I	3362	561	561	37	6.60%	517	92.16%	6	1.07%	1	0.18%
04J	1230	317	317	6	1.89%	311	98.11%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
04K	2339	1266	1261	34	2.70%	1224	97.07%	3	0.24%	0	0.00%
04L	1655	867	864	21	2.43%	836	96.76%	6	0.69%	1	0.12%
04M	773	292	290	7	2.41%	282	97.24%	1	0.34%	0	0.00%
04S	2165	1118	1112	19	1.71%	1090	98.02%	2	0.18%	1	0.09%
04T	1145	584	583	8	1.37%	573	98.28%	2	0.34%	0	0.00%
04V	2848	1211	1207	12	0.99%	1192	98.76%	2	0.17%	1	0.08%
04W	2203	820	820	3	0.37%	814	99.27%	2	0.24%	1	0.12%
04X1	1942	950	947	16	1.69%	926	97.78%	4	0.42%	1	0.11%
04X2	737	364	364	5	1.37%	357	98.08%	2	0.55%	0	0.00%
05A1	2491	1728	1728	128	7.41%	1582	91.55%	17	0.98%	1	0.06%
05A2	2910	1998	1997	133	6.66%	1838	92.04%	22	1.10%	4	0.20%
05B	1763	901	894	30	3.36%	858	95.97%	4	0.45%	2	0.22%
05C	146	67	67	3	4.48%	62	92.54%	2	2.99%	0	0.00%
05D	386	93	93	5	5.38%	87	93.55%	1	1.08%	0	0.00%
05F	223	136	136	6	4.41%	129	94.85%	1	0.74%	0	0.00%
05J	639	416	414	41	9.90%	369	89.13%	4	0.97%	0	0.00%
05K	2600	1327	1325	68	5.13%	1246	94.04%	11	0.83%	0	0.00%
06B	1756	1238	1235	188	15.22%	1031	83.48%	14	1.13%	2	0.16%
06D	8568	6060	6050	1126	18.61%	4848	80.13%	72	1.19%	4	0.07%
06E	2250	1439	1438	244	16.97%	1178	81.92%	16	1.11%	0	0.00%
06F	2709	1757	1753	259	14.77%	1476	84.20%	18	1.03%	0	0.00%
06G	536	369	369	52	14.09%	311	84.28%	6	1.63%	0	0.00%
06I	3412	1957	1953	228	11.67%	1698	86.94%	25	1.28%	2	0.10%
06J	2539	1904	1900	335	17.63%	1528	80.42%	35	1.84%	2	0.11%
06L1	917	679	677	150	22.16%	517	76.37%	10	1.48%	0	0.00%
06L2	654	487	484	140	28.93%	338	69.83%	5	1.03%	1	0.21%
06N	1844	1085	1083	356	32.87%	715	66.02%	12	1.11%	0	0.00%
06Q	527	295	295	22	7.46%	271	91.86%	2	0.68%	0	0.00%
06R	1836	1206	1203	230	19.12%	963	80.05%	10	0.83%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

GOVERNOR

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	B. KEMP (R)		S. ABRAMS (D)		T. METZ (L)		Write-In Votes	
07A	6600	4093	4085	1719	42.08%	2310	56.55%	48	1.18%	8	0.20%
07B	2763	2107	2098	1256	59.87%	815	38.85%	25	1.19%	2	0.10%
07C	3927	2498	2490	1078	43.29%	1373	55.14%	35	1.41%	4	0.16%
07D	4231	2413	2409	937	38.90%	1431	59.40%	39	1.62%	2	0.08%
07E	2031	1358	1355	421	31.07%	917	67.68%	16	1.18%	1	0.07%
07F	3017	2041	2037	827	40.60%	1178	57.83%	30	1.47%	2	0.10%
07H	1923	1424	1420	613	43.17%	794	55.92%	11	0.77%	2	0.14%
07J	2647	1753	1750	582	33.26%	1129	64.51%	34	1.94%	5	0.29%
07M	2155	1180	1180	378	32.03%	778	65.93%	21	1.78%	3	0.25%
07N	1946	1044	1041	158	15.18%	872	83.77%	11	1.06%	0	0.00%
08A	2799	1949	1947	975	50.08%	942	48.38%	28	1.44%	2	0.10%
08B	3199	2255	2247	1239	55.14%	969	43.12%	36	1.60%	3	0.13%
08C	1392	984	982	642	65.38%	327	33.30%	13	1.32%	0	0.00%
08D	1022	770	766	490	63.97%	273	35.64%	2	0.26%	1	0.13%
08E	2429	1864	1861	1070	57.50%	766	41.16%	22	1.18%	3	0.16%
08F1	2071	1628	1619	1006	62.14%	593	36.63%	20	1.24%	0	0.00%
08G	2787	1669	1665	669	40.18%	977	58.68%	15	0.90%	4	0.24%
08H	2465	1874	1864	993	53.27%	847	45.44%	22	1.18%	2	0.11%
08J	2981	2223	2219	955	43.04%	1224	55.16%	38	1.71%	2	0.09%
08K	973	702	699	262	37.48%	417	59.66%	20	2.86%	0	0.00%
08L	4194	2706	2701	765	28.32%	1882	69.68%	48	1.78%	6	0.22%
08M	1907	1358	1354	786	58.05%	552	40.77%	14	1.03%	2	0.15%
08N1	767	587	586	349	59.56%	224	38.23%	12	2.05%	1	0.17%
08N2	1391	927	921	399	43.32%	510	55.37%	12	1.30%	0	0.00%
08P	1633	928	926	147	15.87%	768	82.94%	9	0.97%	2	0.22%
09A	3338	2152	2150	448	20.84%	1664	77.40%	37	1.72%	1	0.05%
09B	385	174	174	2	1.15%	172	98.85%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
09C	2954	1522	1518	26	1.71%	1489	98.09%	3	0.20%	0	0.00%
09D	1879	858	857	16	1.87%	840	98.02%	1	0.12%	0	0.00%
09E	2782	1420	1416	67	4.73%	1340	94.63%	9	0.64%	0	0.00%
09F	3619	2526	2522	1012	40.13%	1469	58.25%	39	1.55%	2	0.08%
09G	3519	2282	2280	428	18.77%	1809	79.34%	43	1.89%	0	0.00%
09H	1064	645	644	56	8.70%	576	89.44%	11	1.71%	1	0.16%
09I	1194	503	500	12	2.40%	486	97.20%	1	0.20%	1	0.20%
09K	4403	1851	1848	45	2.44%	1800	97.40%	2	0.11%	1	0.05%
09M	2259	1611	1610	298	18.51%	1284	79.75%	25	1.55%	3	0.19%
10A	2850	1622	1620	25	1.54%	1592	98.27%	3	0.19%	0	0.00%
10B	3686	2464	2455	32	1.30%	2414	98.33%	8	0.33%	1	0.04%
10C	2466	1168	1164	10	0.86%	1149	98.71%	4	0.34%	1	0.09%
10D	4220	1850	1839	19	1.03%	1816	98.75%	3	0.16%	1	0.05%
10E	950	524	523	13	2.49%	509	97.32%	1	0.19%	0	0.00%
10F	1053	590	588	14	2.38%	574	97.62%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
10G	1205	813	811	11	1.36%	793	97.78%	6	0.74%	1	0.12%
10H1	408	238	238	1	0.42%	237	99.58%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
10H2	2207	1032	1030	14	1.36%	1014	98.45%	2	0.19%	0	0.00%
10I	329	258	258	5	1.94%	253	98.06%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
10J	1012	466	465	2	0.43%	458	98.49%	5	1.08%	0	0.00%
10K	1415	858	856	13	1.52%	832	97.20%	7	0.82%	4	0.47%
10M	2529	1414	1408	24	1.70%	1377	97.80%	7	0.50%	0	0.00%
10P	1364	668	667	12	1.80%	653	97.90%	2	0.30%	0	0.00%
10R	713	347	347	3	0.86%	344	99.14%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
11B	5162	3088	3083	50	1.62%	3023	98.05%	6	0.19%	4	0.13%
11C	2822	2003	2002	22	1.10%	1977	98.75%	2	0.10%	1	0.05%
11E1	1141	634	634	13	2.05%	617	97.32%	2	0.32%	2	0.32%
11E2	847	497	495	10	2.02%	485	97.98%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
11E3	3124	1835	1835	27	1.47%	1803	98.26%	3	0.16%	2	0.11%
11G	2092	855	850	16	1.88%	832	97.88%	2	0.24%	0	0.00%
11H	677	356	355	12	3.38%	343	96.62%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
11J	1827	1018	1014	21	2.07%	990	97.63%	2	0.20%	1	0.10%
11K	1818	1104	1103	23	2.09%	1074	97.37%	5	0.45%	1	0.09%
11M	3039	1659	1657	23	1.39%	1629	98.31%	2	0.12%	3	0.18%
11N	2166	1358	1355	25	1.85%	1326	97.86%	2	0.15%	2	0.15%
11P	1833	1020	1018	12	1.18%	1002	98.43%	4	0.39%	0	0.00%
11R	1849	672	671	8	1.19%	661	98.51%	2	0.30%	0	0.00%
12A	4828	2734	2731	101	3.70%	2614	95.72%	16	0.59%	0	0.00%
12D	1209	501	497	8	1.61%	489	98.39%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
12E1	1621	591	590	20	3.39%	569	96.44%	1	0.17%	0	0.00%
12F	943	428	427	8	1.87%	417	97.66%	2	0.47%	0	0.00%
12G	800	430	427	15	3.51%	412	96.49%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
12H1	1006	310	309	14	4.53%	295	95.47%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
12H2	2562	1189	1187	18	1.52%	1166	98.23%	1	0.08%	2	0.17%
12I	970	413	412	4	0.97%	408	99.03%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
12J	2535	1108	1108	14	1.26%	1091	98.47%	2	0.18%	1	0.09%
12K	3022	1240	1235	44	3.56%	1188	96.19%	3	0.24%	0	0.00%
12L	613	238	238	2	0.84%	236	99.16%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
12M	2533	1171	1168	16	1.37%	1149	98.37%	3	0.26%	0	0.00%
12N	907	327	324	8	2.47%	316	97.53%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
12S	1794	959	957	18	1.88%	936	97.81%	3	0.31%	0	0.00%
AP01A	4724	3141	3139	1572	50.08%	1528	48.68%	37	1.18%	2	0.06%
AP01B	913	516	515	234	45.44%	271	52.62%	10	1.94%	0	0.00%
AP01C	2233	1498	1495	779	52.11%	703	47.02%	12	0.80%	1	0.07%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

GOVERNOR

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	B. KEMP (R)		S. ABRAMS (D)		T. METZ (L)		Write-In Votes	
AP01D	915	539	539	213	39.52%	315	58.44%	11	2.04%	0	0.00%
AP02B	474	263	263	125	47.53%	136	51.71%	2	0.76%	0	0.00%
AP03	741	314	314	69	21.97%	238	75.80%	6	1.91%	1	0.32%
AP04A	1647	1183	1181	691	58.51%	460	38.95%	28	2.37%	2	0.17%
AP04B	415	290	290	153	52.76%	132	45.52%	5	1.72%	0	0.00%
AP05	4367	2981	2977	1676	56.30%	1268	42.59%	32	1.07%	1	0.03%
AP06	1827	1309	1305	762	58.39%	514	39.39%	27	2.07%	2	0.15%
AP07A	3422	2102	2099	1066	50.79%	992	47.26%	36	1.72%	5	0.24%
AP07B	1650	1144	1141	594	52.06%	533	46.71%	12	1.05%	2	0.18%
AP09A	4051	2687	2683	1275	47.52%	1366	50.91%	39	1.45%	3	0.11%
AP09B	810	531	531	208	39.17%	321	60.45%	2	0.38%	0	0.00%
AP021	2994	1963	1956	995	50.87%	932	47.65%	27	1.38%	2	0.10%
AP022	2210	1429	1418	656	46.26%	747	52.68%	14	0.99%	1	0.07%
AP10	942	681	680	387	56.91%	277	40.74%	16	2.35%	0	0.00%
AP12A	658	374	374	126	33.69%	243	64.97%	5	1.34%	0	0.00%
AP12B	747	428	427	137	32.08%	287	67.21%	3	0.70%	0	0.00%
AP12C	1584	1005	1001	539	53.85%	459	45.85%	3	0.30%	0	0.00%
AP14	2972	2027	2023	1004	49.63%	986	48.74%	32	1.58%	1	0.05%
CH01	330	164	164	42	25.61%	122	74.39%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CH02	319	202	202	121	59.90%	79	39.11%	2	0.99%	0	0.00%
CH03	379	250	250	162	64.80%	87	34.80%	1	0.40%	0	0.00%
CH04A	647	444	444	224	50.45%	217	48.87%	3	0.68%	0	0.00%
CH05	407	260	260	188	72.31%	68	26.15%	4	1.54%	0	0.00%
CP01B	381	227	227	71	31.28%	149	65.64%	6	2.64%	1	0.44%
CP011	1135	617	617	121	19.61%	487	78.93%	9	1.46%	0	0.00%
CP012	1318	844	844	141	16.71%	689	81.64%	14	1.66%	0	0.00%
CP02	1000	553	550	68	12.36%	477	86.73%	5	0.91%	0	0.00%
CP04A	8	2	1	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP04B	39	14	14	1	7.14%	13	92.86%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP05B	143	76	76	1	1.32%	75	98.68%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP051	1612	874	872	27	3.10%	843	96.67%	1	0.11%	1	0.11%
CP06A	598	208	207	3	1.45%	203	98.07%	1	0.48%	0	0.00%
CP07B	7	2	2	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP07C	244	47	47	1	2.13%	46	97.87%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP07D	694	208	207	3	1.45%	204	98.55%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP07E	125	41	41	0	0.00%	41	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP07F	184	55	54	0	0.00%	54	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP081	343	77	77	4	5.19%	72	93.51%	1	1.30%	0	0.00%
CP083	219	25	25	0	0.00%	25	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP084	3	1	1	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP08A	705	175	174	2	1.15%	172	98.85%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
EP01A	2609	1464	1462	136	9.30%	1317	90.08%	8	0.55%	1	0.07%
EP01B	3038	1539	1536	92	5.99%	1436	93.49%	8	0.52%	0	0.00%
EP02A	1967	890	886	38	4.29%	845	95.37%	3	0.34%	0	0.00%
EP02B	1449	977	974	122	12.53%	845	86.76%	6	0.62%	1	0.10%
EP02C	428	251	251	45	17.93%	203	80.88%	3	1.20%	0	0.00%
EP02D	326	192	191	5	2.62%	186	97.38%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
EP02E	1382	627	624	25	4.01%	598	95.83%	1	0.16%	0	0.00%
EP03A	4293	2512	2508	105	4.19%	2392	95.37%	8	0.32%	3	0.12%
EP03B	1421	973	970	36	3.71%	932	96.08%	2	0.21%	0	0.00%
EP04A	5432	2626	2622	43	1.64%	2570	98.02%	5	0.19%	4	0.15%
EP04B	2024	1203	1202	13	1.08%	1187	98.75%	2	0.17%	0	0.00%
FA01A	3574	2126	2123	207	9.75%	1906	89.78%	10	0.47%	0	0.00%
FA01B	4833	2965	2960	287	9.70%	2663	89.97%	9	0.30%	1	0.03%
FA01C	681	401	399	16	4.01%	383	95.99%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
HP01	3212	1780	1775	462	26.03%	1293	72.85%	19	1.07%	1	0.06%
JC01	4977	3215	3209	1227	38.24%	1944	60.58%	37	1.15%	1	0.03%
JC02	2460	1474	1467	646	44.04%	808	55.08%	13	0.89%	0	0.00%
JC03A	540	384	384	169	44.01%	207	53.91%	8	2.08%	0	0.00%
JC03B	1448	955	950	355	37.37%	584	61.47%	11	1.16%	0	0.00%
JC04A	4042	2692	2686	1182	44.01%	1448	53.91%	54	2.01%	2	0.07%
JC04B	255	156	155	65	41.94%	84	54.19%	6	3.87%	0	0.00%
JC05	2136	1391	1389	592	42.62%	763	54.93%	30	2.16%	4	0.29%
JC06	2627	1580	1575	857	54.41%	699	44.38%	19	1.21%	0	0.00%
JC07	4083	2627	2617	1139	43.52%	1448	55.33%	29	1.11%	1	0.04%
JC08	2737	1870	1866	865	46.36%	955	51.18%	45	2.41%	1	0.05%
JC09	2928	1878	1877	739	39.37%	1112	59.24%	26	1.39%	0	0.00%
JC10	2392	1507	1503	565	37.59%	916	60.94%	22	1.46%	0	0.00%
JC11	3186	2085	2079	1139	54.79%	921	44.30%	19	0.91%	0	0.00%
JC12	2455	1753	1748	1143	65.39%	589	33.70%	14	0.80%	2	0.11%
JC13A	2115	1462	1456	950	65.25%	491	33.72%	13	0.89%	2	0.14%
JC13B	271	180	179	135	75.42%	40	22.35%	4	2.23%	0	0.00%
JC14	2477	1634	1627	910	55.93%	704	43.27%	12	0.74%	1	0.06%
JC15	834	523	520	193	37.12%	309	59.42%	18	3.46%	0	0.00%
JC16	2496	1729	1725	828	48.00%	867	50.26%	29	1.68%	1	0.06%
JC18	2670	1969	1966	1073	54.58%	866	44.05%	25	1.27%	2	0.10%
JC19	3759	2528	2524	1190	47.15%	1277	50.59%	55	2.18%	2	0.08%
ML011	2439	1681	1677	982	58.56%	670	39.95%	24	1.43%	1	0.06%
ML012	485	338	338	227	67.16%	107	31.66%	4	1.18%	0	0.00%
ML01B	138	96	96	57	59.38%	38	39.58%	1	1.04%	0	0.00%
ML021	1991	1369	1365	1003	73.48%	350	25.64%	11	0.81%	1	0.07%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	GOVERNOR											
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	B. KEMP (R)		S. ABRAMS (D)		T. METZ (L)		Write-In Votes	
ML022	241	148	148	106	71.62%	37	25.00%	5	3.38%	0	0.00%
ML023	1542	1027	1027	725	70.59%	295	28.72%	7	0.68%	0	0.00%
ML024	113	70	70	59	84.29%	11	15.71%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
ML03	3757	2575	2571	1751	68.11%	788	30.65%	31	1.21%	1	0.04%
ML04A	1747	1176	1174	676	57.58%	483	41.14%	15	1.28%	0	0.00%
ML04B	1206	838	838	576	68.74%	255	30.43%	7	0.84%	0	0.00%
ML04C	79	66	66	47	71.21%	19	28.79%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
ML05A	39	27	27	19	70.37%	8	29.63%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
ML05B	381	250	248	166	66.94%	81	32.66%	1	0.40%	0	0.00%
ML05C	4023	2615	2608	1322	50.69%	1259	48.27%	23	0.88%	4	0.15%
ML06A	1973	1024	1023	341	33.33%	671	65.59%	11	1.08%	0	0.00%
ML06B	2775	1734	1730	606	35.03%	1099	63.53%	24	1.39%	1	0.06%
ML071	105	73	73	50	68.49%	23	31.51%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
ML072	1249	857	857	590	68.84%	260	30.34%	7	0.82%	0	0.00%
ML07A	707	472	471	312	66.24%	155	32.91%	4	0.85%	0	0.00%
MP01	450	323	323	145	44.89%	172	53.25%	6	1.86%	0	0.00%
PA01	2509	1330	1328	304	22.89%	1017	76.58%	5	0.38%	2	0.15%
RW01	4717	3355	3341	1923	57.56%	1365	40.86%	50	1.50%	3	0.09%
RW02	3794	2675	2668	1346	50.45%	1274	47.75%	47	1.76%	1	0.04%
RW03	4510	2745	2740	1152	42.04%	1544	56.35%	42	1.53%	2	0.07%
RW04	1803	1359	1353	650	48.04%	667	49.30%	31	2.29%	5	0.37%
RW05	2322	1207	1204	401	33.31%	789	65.53%	13	1.08%	1	0.08%
RW06	2820	1914	1910	884	46.28%	994	52.04%	31	1.62%	1	0.05%
RW07A	1549	677	676	87	12.87%	577	85.36%	12	1.78%	0	0.00%
RW07B	388	214	212	52	24.53%	156	73.58%	4	1.89%	0	0.00%
RW08	2138	1462	1449	891	61.49%	529	36.51%	28	1.93%	1	0.07%
RW09	5918	4216	4206	2659	63.22%	1486	35.33%	60	1.43%	1	0.02%
RW10	3347	2376	2371	1353	57.06%	979	41.29%	38	1.60%	1	0.04%
RW11A	2667	1693	1689	755	44.70%	911	53.94%	23	1.36%	0	0.00%
RW12	5944	4234	4221	2449	58.02%	1692	40.09%	77	1.82%	3	0.07%
RW13	3555	2476	2467	1232	49.94%	1187	48.12%	46	1.86%	2	0.08%
RW16	1850	1275	1275	701	54.98%	556	43.61%	17	1.33%	1	0.08%
RW17	2794	1773	1765	642	36.37%	1079	61.13%	44	2.49%	0	0.00%
RW19	1993	1434	1431	847	59.19%	556	38.85%	28	1.96%	0	0.00%
RW20	2486	1797	1792	943	52.62%	815	45.48%	34	1.90%	0	0.00%
RW21	3144	2169	2165	1285	59.35%	839	38.75%	40	1.85%	1	0.05%
RW22A	4406	2486	2481	900	36.28%	1545	62.27%	35	1.41%	1	0.04%
SC01A	20	25	25	3	12.00%	22	88.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC01B	1707	1295	1295	23	1.78%	1269	97.99%	3	0.23%	0	0.00%
SC01C	2063	1513	1513	35	2.31%	1475	97.49%	1	0.07%	2	0.13%
SC01D	294	162	162	0	0.00%	162	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC02	872	662	661	27	4.08%	633	95.76%	1	0.15%	0	0.00%
SC04	922	616	616	195	31.66%	418	67.86%	3	0.49%	0	0.00%
SC05A	646	409	409	4	0.98%	405	99.02%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC05B	3204	2188	2185	56	2.56%	2123	97.16%	5	0.23%	1	0.05%
SC05D	2171	1428	1427	36	2.52%	1384	96.99%	6	0.42%	1	0.07%
SC05E	623	434	432	67	15.51%	364	84.26%	1	0.23%	0	0.00%
SC07A	5595	3698	3696	284	7.68%	3403	92.07%	8	0.22%	1	0.03%
SC07C	29	10	10	0	0.00%	10	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC08B	3382	2008	2006	48	2.39%	1949	97.16%	9	0.45%	0	0.00%
SC08C	74	53	53	0	0.00%	53	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC08D	499	314	314	4	1.27%	310	98.73%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC08E	562	316	314	2	0.64%	312	99.36%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC08F	700	366	365	10	2.74%	355	97.26%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC08G	12	8	8	0	0.00%	8	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC08H	4	3	3	0	0.00%	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC09A	1628	839	837	21	2.51%	814	97.25%	1	0.12%	1	0.12%
SC09B	1687	1084	1083	17	1.57%	1064	98.25%	2	0.18%	0	0.00%
SC09C	1566	842	841	20	2.38%	820	97.50%	1	0.12%	0	0.00%
SC10	1678	661	661	10	1.51%	648	98.03%	3	0.45%	0	0.00%
SC11A	1411	813	812	16	1.97%	795	97.91%	1	0.12%	0	0.00%
SC11B	1506	878	876	18	2.05%	856	97.72%	2	0.23%	0	0.00%
SC13	2465	1636	1634	71	4.35%	1561	95.53%	2	0.12%	0	0.00%
SC14A	916	429	429	2	0.47%	427	99.53%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC14B	502	45	45	7	15.56%	38	84.44%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC15	6193	4213	4209	117	2.78%	4085	97.05%	7	0.17%	0	0.00%
SC16A	4601	3208	3205	58	1.81%	3136	97.85%	7	0.22%	4	0.12%
SC16B	14	15	15	0	0.00%	15	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC17A	55	42	42	0	0.00%	41	97.62%	1	2.38%	0	0.00%
SC17B	7	4	4	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC17C	1134	669	668	17	2.54%	651	97.46%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC18A	510	329	328	5	1.52%	323	98.48%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC18B	663	462	461	13	2.82%	447	96.96%	1	0.22%	0	0.00%
SC18C	1850	1352	1349	46	3.41%	1301	96.44%	2	0.15%	0	0.00%
SC19A	195	129	128	3	2.34%	125	97.66%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC19B	1267	690	689	21	3.05%	664	96.37%	2	0.29%	2	0.29%
SC20	2197	1665	1665	26	1.56%	1631	97.96%	7	0.42%	1	0.06%
SC211	1328	841	839	27	3.22%	810	96.54%	2	0.24%	0	0.00%
SC212	3378	1947	1943	80	4.12%	1859	95.68%	4	0.21%	0	0.00%
SC23A	3161	1730	1727	26	1.51%	1700	98.44%	1	0.06%	0	0.00%
SC23B	483	284	283	7	2.47%	276	97.53%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	GOVERNOR											
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	B. KEMP (R)		S. ABRAMS (D)		T. METZ (L)		Write-In Votes	
SC23C	2252	1302	1300	25	1.92%	1271	97.77%	4	0.31%	0	0.00%
SC27	2129	1259	1255	22	1.75%	1232	98.17%	1	0.08%	0	0.00%
SC29A	2784	1544	1541	31	2.01%	1508	97.86%	1	0.06%	1	0.06%
SC30A	135	97	96	1	1.04%	95	98.96%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC30B	960	531	530	10	1.89%	519	97.92%	1	0.19%	0	0.00%
SS01	4487	3270	3253	1893	58.19%	1320	40.58%	38	1.17%	2	0.06%
SS02A	1120	755	754	303	40.19%	438	58.09%	12	1.59%	1	0.13%
SS02B	1255	756	756	174	23.02%	571	75.53%	10	1.32%	1	0.13%
SS03	1464	1027	1025	432	42.15%	577	56.29%	13	1.27%	3	0.29%
SS04	3264	2064	2058	684	33.24%	1346	65.40%	26	1.26%	2	0.10%
SS05	1746	1376	1372	663	48.32%	685	49.93%	20	1.46%	4	0.29%
SS06	1397	1073	1070	539	50.37%	518	48.41%	13	1.21%	0	0.00%
SS07A	968	683	679	359	52.87%	312	45.95%	8	1.18%	0	0.00%
SS07B	609	405	403	159	39.45%	239	59.31%	5	1.24%	0	0.00%
SS07C	755	436	435	211	48.51%	218	50.11%	6	1.38%	0	0.00%
SS08A	1316	758	754	219	29.05%	528	70.03%	7	0.93%	0	0.00%
SS08B	635	453	453	225	49.67%	222	49.01%	6	1.32%	0	0.00%
SS08C	632	393	391	118	30.18%	269	68.80%	4	1.02%	0	0.00%
SS08D	1368	847	846	276	32.62%	562	66.43%	8	0.95%	0	0.00%
SS09A	3003	2210	2208	1204	54.53%	974	44.11%	26	1.18%	4	0.18%
SS09B	3358	2452	2445	1287	52.64%	1123	45.93%	35	1.43%	0	0.00%
SS11A	3655	2227	2218	850	38.32%	1324	59.69%	40	1.80%	4	0.18%
SS11B	1257	707	704	187	26.56%	504	71.59%	13	1.85%	0	0.00%
SS11C	269	146	145	23	15.86%	122	84.14%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SS11D	365	254	253	141	55.73%	107	42.29%	3	1.19%	2	0.79%
SS12	2559	1732	1727	855	49.51%	853	49.39%	19	1.10%	0	0.00%
SS13A	1633	1072	1069	486	45.46%	561	52.48%	22	2.06%	0	0.00%
SS13B	1001	629	628	288	45.86%	337	53.66%	3	0.48%	0	0.00%
SS14	1274	977	977	586	59.98%	372	38.08%	18	1.84%	1	0.10%
SS15A	2572	1199	1197	155	12.95%	1031	86.13%	10	0.84%	1	0.08%
SS15B	1579	902	902	266	29.49%	624	69.18%	12	1.33%	0	0.00%
SS16	2030	1534	1529	819	53.56%	681	44.54%	25	1.64%	4	0.26%
SS17	3397	2229	2226	1109	49.82%	1085	48.74%	28	1.26%	4	0.18%
SS18A	619	454	453	220	48.57%	228	50.33%	4	0.88%	1	0.22%
SS18B	221	162	161	76	47.20%	81	50.31%	3	1.86%	1	0.62%
SS19A	3016	1683	1680	383	22.80%	1274	75.83%	22	1.31%	1	0.06%
SS19B	2032	1226	1226	360	29.36%	853	69.58%	11	0.90%	2	0.16%
SS20	2060	1159	1158	375	32.38%	765	66.06%	16	1.38%	2	0.17%
SS22	2714	1613	1608	648	40.30%	939	58.40%	21	1.31%	0	0.00%
SS26	3552	1822	1818	305	16.78%	1495	82.23%	17	0.94%	1	0.06%
SS29A	2488	1595	1592	632	39.70%	937	58.86%	23	1.44%	0	0.00%
SS31	1345	938	935	388	41.50%	534	57.11%	12	1.28%	1	0.11%
UC01A	3622	1715	1714	58	3.38%	1653	96.44%	3	0.18%	0	0.00%
UC01B	395	210	210	4	1.90%	206	98.10%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
UC01D	6	2	2	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
UC01E	285	206	206	4	1.94%	202	98.06%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
UC02A	7513	4296	4289	115	2.68%	4162	97.04%	10	0.23%	2	0.05%
UC02B	30	19	19	5	26.32%	14	73.68%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
UC031	2399	1203	1201	207	17.24%	991	82.51%	2	0.17%	1	0.08%
UC032	292	186	186	28	15.05%	156	83.87%	2	1.08%	0	0.00%
Total	703177	424992	424088	112991	26.64%	306590	72.29%	4208	0.99%	299	0.07%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	LT GOVERNOR								
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	G. DUNCAN (R)		S. R. AMICO (D)		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide									
01A	3199	2362	2299	221	9.61%	2074	90.21%	4	0.17%
01B	3839	2737	2671	252	9.43%	2418	90.53%	1	0.04%
01C	1459	612	578	17	2.94%	561	97.06%	0	0.00%
01D	511	307	301	14	4.65%	287	95.35%	0	0.00%
01E	3363	2410	2341	289	12.35%	2047	87.44%	5	0.21%
01F	1254	452	419	13	3.10%	405	96.66%	1	0.24%
01G	1909	1102	1053	68	6.46%	983	93.35%	2	0.19%
01H	758	379	352	16	4.55%	335	95.17%	1	0.28%
01J	2416	1184	1100	46	4.18%	1052	95.64%	2	0.18%
01P	1244	542	496	15	3.02%	481	96.98%	0	0.00%
01R	1344	491	436	15	3.44%	420	96.33%	1	0.23%
01S	1749	823	763	31	4.06%	731	95.81%	1	0.13%
01T	1506	796	761	58	7.62%	703	92.38%	0	0.00%
02A	4584	2949	2898	599	20.67%	2295	79.19%	4	0.14%
02B	3518	1265	1224	145	11.85%	1077	87.99%	2	0.16%
02C	2037	1205	1157	104	8.99%	1052	90.92%	1	0.09%
02D	4475	2660	2569	275	10.70%	2293	89.26%	1	0.04%
02E	1905	1423	1393	127	9.12%	1265	90.81%	1	0.07%
02F1	2962	2033	1983	261	13.16%	1721	86.79%	1	0.05%
02F2	788	458	445	52	11.69%	392	88.09%	1	0.22%
02G	3443	2361	2309	299	12.95%	2005	86.83%	5	0.22%
02J	2159	1432	1397	170	12.17%	1224	87.62%	3	0.21%
02K	1419	951	924	121	13.10%	802	86.80%	1	0.11%
02L1	6213	3978	3894	649	16.67%	3242	83.26%	3	0.08%
02L2	1364	782	764	126	16.49%	637	83.38%	1	0.13%
02S	708	427	417	28	6.71%	389	93.29%	0	0.00%
02W	682	399	388	59	15.21%	329	84.79%	0	0.00%
03A	1927	692	623	16	2.57%	605	97.11%	2	0.32%
03B	560	181	167	8	4.79%	158	94.61%	1	0.60%
03C	1534	674	625	12	1.92%	611	97.76%	2	0.32%
03D	1017	402	376	18	4.79%	358	95.21%	0	0.00%
03E	2284	914	854	30	3.51%	823	96.37%	1	0.12%
03F	1925	1115	1075	166	15.44%	909	84.56%	0	0.00%
03G	802	360	339	9	2.65%	329	97.05%	1	0.29%
03H	900	369	334	10	2.99%	323	96.71%	1	0.30%
03I	1360	566	552	86	15.58%	464	84.06%	2	0.36%
03L	594	229	208	9	4.33%	199	95.67%	0	0.00%
03M	766	452	436	103	23.62%	333	76.38%	0	0.00%
03N	2288	938	867	37	4.27%	828	95.50%	2	0.23%
03P1A	3882	1966	1918	237	12.36%	1680	87.59%	1	0.05%
03P2	13	7	7	0	0.00%	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
03S	761	356	327	9	2.75%	318	97.25%	0	0.00%
03T	1505	715	673	30	4.46%	643	95.54%	0	0.00%
04A	1202	560	522	8	1.53%	512	98.08%	2	0.38%
04B	2242	922	874	17	1.95%	857	98.05%	0	0.00%
04C	136	54	51	1	1.96%	50	98.04%	0	0.00%
04D	1481	714	661	23	3.48%	638	96.52%	0	0.00%
04F	2086	935	892	70	7.85%	820	91.93%	2	0.22%
04G	828	312	282	10	3.55%	272	96.45%	0	0.00%
04I	3362	561	528	41	7.77%	485	91.86%	2	0.38%
04J	1230	317	309	7	2.27%	302	97.73%	0	0.00%
04K	2339	1266	1166	50	4.29%	1112	95.37%	4	0.34%
04L	1655	867	822	33	4.01%	784	95.38%	5	0.61%
04M	773	292	271	7	2.58%	264	97.42%	0	0.00%
04S	2165	1118	1034	34	3.29%	1000	96.71%	0	0.00%
04T	1145	584	537	12	2.23%	524	97.58%	1	0.19%
04V	2848	1211	1115	18	1.61%	1096	98.30%	1	0.09%
04W	2203	820	799	5	0.63%	790	98.87%	4	0.50%
04X1	1942	950	888	39	4.39%	848	95.50%	1	0.11%
04X2	737	364	335	16	4.78%	319	95.22%	0	0.00%
05A1	2491	1728	1677	160	9.54%	1517	90.46%	0	0.00%
05A2	2910	1998	1953	175	8.96%	1775	90.89%	3	0.15%
05B	1763	901	819	34	4.15%	783	95.60%	2	0.24%
05C	146	67	63	4	6.35%	59	93.65%	0	0.00%
05D	386	93	89	4	4.49%	85	95.51%	0	0.00%
05F	223	136	135	8	5.93%	127	94.07%	0	0.00%
05J	639	416	404	40	9.90%	364	90.10%	0	0.00%
05K	2600	1327	1291	80	6.20%	1211	93.80%	0	0.00%
06B	1756	1238	1219	237	19.44%	981	80.48%	1	0.08%
06D	8568	6060	5937	1253	21.10%	4679	78.81%	5	0.08%
06E	2250	1439	1413	291	20.59%	1121	79.33%	1	0.07%
06F	2709	1757	1705	297	17.42%	1407	82.52%	1	0.06%
06G	536	369	361	59	16.34%	302	83.66%	0	0.00%
06I	3412	1957	1904	262	13.76%	1640	86.13%	2	0.11%
06J	2539	1904	1855	384	20.70%	1471	79.30%	0	0.00%
06L1	917	679	663	172	25.94%	490	73.91%	1	0.15%
06L2	654	487	477	152	31.87%	324	67.92%	1	0.21%
06N	1844	1085	1058	385	36.39%	673	63.61%	0	0.00%
06Q	527	295	289	25	8.65%	264	91.35%	0	0.00%
06R	1836	1206	1179	252	21.37%	926	78.54%	1	0.08%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	LT GOVERNOR								
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	G. DUNCAN (R)		S. R. AMICO (D)		Write-In Votes	
07A	6600	4093	3978	1792	45.05%	2180	54.80%	6	0.15%
07B	2763	2107	2062	1304	63.24%	755	36.61%	3	0.15%
07C	3927	2498	2423	1122	46.31%	1298	53.57%	3	0.12%
07D	4231	2413	2325	978	42.06%	1344	57.81%	3	0.13%
07E	2031	1358	1325	446	33.66%	877	66.19%	2	0.15%
07F	3017	2041	1999	871	43.57%	1127	56.38%	1	0.05%
07H	1923	1424	1379	641	46.48%	736	53.37%	2	0.15%
07J	2647	1753	1700	630	37.06%	1067	62.76%	3	0.18%
07M	2155	1180	1151	399	34.67%	751	65.25%	1	0.09%
07N	1946	1044	997	173	17.35%	824	82.65%	0	0.00%
08A	2799	1949	1895	996	52.56%	898	47.39%	1	0.05%
08B	3199	2255	2200	1284	58.36%	916	41.64%	0	0.00%
08C	1392	984	958	665	69.42%	293	30.58%	0	0.00%
08D	1022	770	745	500	67.11%	244	32.75%	1	0.13%
08E	2429	1864	1821	1096	60.19%	724	39.76%	1	0.05%
08F1	2071	1628	1580	1027	65.00%	553	35.00%	0	0.00%
08G	2787	1669	1613	683	42.34%	929	57.59%	1	0.06%
08H	2465	1874	1817	1036	57.02%	778	42.82%	3	0.17%
08J	2981	2223	2179	1043	47.87%	1133	52.00%	3	0.14%
08K	973	702	686	301	43.88%	385	56.12%	0	0.00%
08L	4194	2706	2646	827	31.25%	1815	68.59%	4	0.15%
08M	1907	1358	1318	830	62.97%	488	37.03%	0	0.00%
08N1	767	587	572	364	63.64%	208	36.36%	0	0.00%
08N2	1391	927	892	420	47.09%	471	52.80%	1	0.11%
08P	1633	928	904	167	18.47%	736	81.42%	1	0.11%
09A	3338	2152	2055	497	24.18%	1555	75.67%	3	0.15%
09B	385	174	155	4	2.58%	151	97.42%	0	0.00%
09C	2954	1522	1392	40	2.87%	1352	97.13%	0	0.00%
09D	1879	858	771	25	3.24%	746	96.76%	0	0.00%
09E	2782	1420	1342	94	7.00%	1247	92.92%	1	0.07%
09F	3619	2526	2467	1069	43.33%	1398	56.67%	0	0.00%
09G	3519	2282	2227	501	22.50%	1723	77.37%	3	0.13%
09H	1064	645	629	69	10.97%	559	88.87%	1	0.16%
09I	1194	503	456	15	3.29%	440	96.49%	1	0.22%
09K	4403	1851	1711	64	3.74%	1645	96.14%	2	0.12%
09M	2259	1611	1569	346	22.05%	1222	77.88%	1	0.06%
10A	2850	1622	1492	41	2.75%	1449	97.12%	2	0.13%
10B	3686	2464	2344	59	2.52%	2282	97.35%	3	0.13%
10C	2466	1168	1089	25	2.30%	1061	97.43%	3	0.28%
10D	4220	1850	1670	47	2.81%	1621	97.07%	2	0.12%
10E	950	524	479	19	3.97%	460	96.03%	0	0.00%
10F	1053	590	544	15	2.76%	528	97.06%	1	0.18%
10G	1205	813	760	20	2.63%	737	96.97%	3	0.39%
10H1	408	238	225	2	0.89%	223	99.11%	0	0.00%
10H2	2207	1032	938	26	2.77%	911	97.12%	1	0.11%
10I	329	258	253	5	1.98%	248	98.02%	0	0.00%
10J	1012	466	431	5	1.16%	426	98.84%	0	0.00%
10K	1415	858	808	27	3.34%	781	96.66%	0	0.00%
10M	2529	1414	1321	44	3.33%	1276	96.59%	1	0.08%
10P	1364	668	617	23	3.73%	594	96.27%	0	0.00%
10R	713	347	317	6	1.89%	311	98.11%	0	0.00%
11B	5162	3088	2881	77	2.67%	2799	97.15%	5	0.17%
11C	2822	2003	1909	30	1.57%	1877	98.32%	2	0.10%
11E1	1141	634	603	20	3.32%	582	96.52%	1	0.17%
11E2	847	497	464	10	2.16%	454	97.84%	0	0.00%
11E3	3124	1835	1753	42	2.40%	1710	97.55%	1	0.06%
11G	2092	855	771	32	4.15%	738	95.72%	1	0.13%
11H	677	356	335	17	5.07%	318	94.93%	0	0.00%
11J	1827	1018	946	27	2.85%	917	96.93%	2	0.21%
11K	1818	1104	1035	33	3.19%	1001	96.71%	1	0.10%
11M	3039	1659	1570	30	1.91%	1539	98.03%	1	0.06%
11N	2166	1358	1276	31	2.43%	1244	97.49%	1	0.08%
11P	1833	1020	937	18	1.92%	919	98.08%	0	0.00%
11R	1849	672	612	19	3.10%	592	96.73%	1	0.16%
12A	4828	2734	2557	140	5.48%	2414	94.41%	3	0.12%
12D	1209	501	461	12	2.60%	449	97.40%	0	0.00%
12E1	1621	591	536	25	4.66%	510	95.15%	1	0.19%
12F	943	428	395	9	2.28%	385	97.47%	1	0.25%
12G	800	430	383	17	4.44%	366	95.56%	0	0.00%
12H1	1006	310	281	19	6.76%	261	92.88%	1	0.36%
12H2	2562	1189	1107	29	2.62%	1076	97.20%	2	0.18%
12I	970	413	360	7	1.94%	353	98.06%	0	0.00%
12J	2535	1108	1005	18	1.79%	983	97.81%	4	0.40%
12K	3022	1240	1140	55	4.82%	1084	95.09%	1	0.09%
12L	613	238	225	5	2.22%	220	97.78%	0	0.00%
12M	2533	1171	1102	21	1.91%	1079	97.91%	2	0.18%
12N	907	327	309	14	4.53%	294	95.15%	1	0.32%
12S	1794	959	875	26	2.97%	847	96.80%	2	0.23%
AP01A	4724	3141	3064	1614	52.68%	1450	47.32%	0	0.00%
AP01B	913	516	500	232	46.40%	267	53.40%	1	0.20%
AP01C	2233	1498	1460	779	53.36%	680	46.58%	1	0.07%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	LT GOVERNOR								
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	G. DUNCAN (R)		S. R. AMICO (D)		Write-In Votes	
AP01D	915	539	523	221	42.26%	302	57.74%	0	0.00%
AP02B	474	263	253	123	48.62%	130	51.38%	0	0.00%
AP03	741	314	297	68	22.90%	229	77.10%	0	0.00%
AP04A	1647	1183	1138	698	61.34%	440	38.66%	0	0.00%
AP04B	415	290	286	156	54.55%	130	45.45%	0	0.00%
AP05	4367	2981	2900	1699	58.59%	1199	41.34%	2	0.07%
AP06	1827	1309	1273	795	62.45%	477	37.47%	1	0.08%
AP07A	3422	2102	2031	1076	52.98%	950	46.77%	5	0.25%
AP07B	1650	1144	1108	620	55.96%	485	43.77%	3	0.27%
AP09A	4051	2687	2599	1280	49.25%	1317	50.67%	2	0.08%
AP09B	810	531	519	211	40.66%	308	59.34%	0	0.00%
AP021	2994	1963	1905	1033	54.23%	869	45.62%	3	0.16%
AP022	2210	1429	1368	664	48.54%	703	51.39%	1	0.07%
AP10	942	681	661	405	61.27%	256	38.73%	0	0.00%
AP12A	658	374	355	127	35.77%	228	64.23%	0	0.00%
AP12B	747	428	418	137	32.78%	281	67.22%	0	0.00%
AP12C	1584	1005	989	538	54.40%	451	45.60%	0	0.00%
AP14	2972	2027	1973	1038	52.61%	933	47.29%	2	0.10%
CH01	330	164	158	41	25.95%	117	74.05%	0	0.00%
CH02	319	202	193	121	62.69%	71	36.79%	1	0.52%
CH03	379	250	240	153	63.75%	87	36.25%	0	0.00%
CH04A	647	444	431	226	52.44%	205	47.56%	0	0.00%
CH05	407	260	251	183	72.91%	68	27.09%	0	0.00%
CP01B	381	227	215	79	36.74%	136	63.26%	0	0.00%
CP011	1135	617	586	137	23.38%	449	76.62%	0	0.00%
CP012	1318	844	807	155	19.21%	652	80.79%	0	0.00%
CP02	1000	553	521	70	13.44%	451	86.56%	0	0.00%
CP04A	8	2	2	1	50.00%	1	50.00%	0	0.00%
CP04B	39	14	12	2	16.67%	10	83.33%	0	0.00%
CP05B	143	76	73	5	6.85%	68	93.15%	0	0.00%
CP051	1612	874	819	40	4.88%	778	94.99%	1	0.12%
CP06A	598	208	191	5	2.62%	185	96.86%	1	0.52%
CP07B	7	2	2	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP07C	244	47	43	1	2.33%	42	97.67%	0	0.00%
CP07D	694	208	187	3	1.60%	184	98.40%	0	0.00%
CP07E	125	41	38	1	2.63%	37	97.37%	0	0.00%
CP07F	184	55	46	1	2.17%	45	97.83%	0	0.00%
CP081	343	77	72	4	5.56%	68	94.44%	0	0.00%
CP083	219	25	23	0	0.00%	23	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP084	3	1	1	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP08A	705	175	160	7	4.38%	153	95.63%	0	0.00%
EP01A	2609	1464	1373	136	9.91%	1236	90.02%	1	0.07%
EP01B	3038	1539	1467	102	6.95%	1365	93.05%	0	0.00%
EP02A	1967	890	824	49	5.95%	774	93.93%	1	0.12%
EP02B	1449	977	939	125	13.31%	812	86.47%	2	0.21%
EP02C	428	251	242	45	18.60%	197	81.40%	0	0.00%
EP02D	326	192	186	10	5.38%	176	94.62%	0	0.00%
EP02E	1382	627	590	28	4.75%	562	95.25%	0	0.00%
EP03A	4293	2512	2387	117	4.90%	2267	94.97%	3	0.13%
EP03B	1421	973	918	47	5.12%	871	94.88%	0	0.00%
EP04A	5432	2626	2489	58	2.33%	2427	97.51%	4	0.16%
EP04B	2024	1203	1154	14	1.21%	1138	98.61%	2	0.17%
FA01A	3574	2126	2007	206	10.26%	1800	89.69%	1	0.05%
FA01B	4833	2965	2842	283	9.96%	2559	90.04%	0	0.00%
FA01C	681	401	385	18	4.68%	366	95.06%	1	0.26%
HP01	3212	1780	1678	446	26.58%	1230	73.30%	2	0.12%
JC01	4977	3215	3116	1256	40.31%	1858	59.63%	2	0.06%
JC02	2460	1474	1427	641	44.92%	786	55.08%	0	0.00%
JC03A	540	384	365	171	46.85%	194	53.15%	0	0.00%
JC03B	1448	955	919	366	39.83%	551	59.96%	2	0.22%
JC04A	4042	2692	2615	1235	47.23%	1376	52.62%	4	0.15%
JC04B	255	156	147	65	44.22%	82	55.78%	0	0.00%
JC05	2136	1391	1341	610	45.49%	731	54.51%	0	0.00%
JC06	2627	1580	1536	868	56.51%	667	43.42%	1	0.07%
JC07	4083	2627	2539	1160	45.69%	1379	54.31%	0	0.00%
JC08	2737	1870	1815	907	49.97%	907	49.97%	1	0.06%
JC09	2928	1878	1820	762	41.87%	1056	58.02%	2	0.11%
JC10	2392	1507	1451	570	39.28%	880	60.65%	1	0.07%
JC11	3186	2085	2016	1157	57.39%	856	42.46%	3	0.15%
JC12	2455	1753	1705	1150	67.45%	555	32.55%	0	0.00%
JC13A	2115	1462	1422	964	67.79%	457	32.14%	1	0.07%
JC13B	271	180	174	139	79.89%	35	20.11%	0	0.00%
JC14	2477	1634	1590	932	58.62%	656	41.26%	2	0.13%
JC15	834	523	506	198	39.13%	308	60.87%	0	0.00%
JC16	2496	1729	1666	838	50.30%	825	49.52%	3	0.18%
JC18	2670	1969	1919	1096	57.11%	822	42.83%	1	0.05%
JC19	3759	2528	2448	1221	49.88%	1225	50.04%	2	0.08%
ML011	2439	1681	1637	1008	61.58%	628	38.36%	1	0.06%
ML012	485	338	326	225	69.02%	101	30.98%	0	0.00%
ML01B	138	96	92	59	64.13%	33	35.87%	0	0.00%
ML021	1991	1369	1349	1023	75.83%	326	24.17%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	LT GOVERNOR								
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	G. DUNCAN (R)		S. R. AMICO (D)		Write-In Votes	
ML022	241	148	145	106	73.10%	39	26.90%	0	0.00%
ML023	1542	1027	1010	731	72.38%	279	27.62%	0	0.00%
ML024	113	70	68	58	85.29%	10	14.71%	0	0.00%
ML03	3757	2575	2529	1779	70.34%	750	29.66%	0	0.00%
ML04A	1747	1176	1142	692	60.60%	448	39.23%	2	0.18%
ML04B	1206	838	824	579	70.27%	245	29.73%	0	0.00%
ML04C	79	66	65	47	72.31%	18	27.69%	0	0.00%
ML05A	39	27	27	19	70.37%	8	29.63%	0	0.00%
ML05B	381	250	243	168	69.14%	74	30.45%	1	0.41%
ML05C	4023	2615	2542	1326	52.16%	1215	47.80%	1	0.04%
ML06A	1973	1024	993	342	34.44%	648	65.26%	3	0.30%
ML06B	2775	1734	1670	626	37.49%	1041	62.34%	3	0.18%
ML071	105	73	73	51	69.86%	22	30.14%	0	0.00%
ML072	1249	857	833	586	70.35%	246	29.53%	1	0.12%
ML07A	707	472	460	314	68.26%	146	31.74%	0	0.00%
MP01	450	323	315	148	46.98%	167	53.02%	0	0.00%
PA01	2509	1330	1258	296	23.53%	960	76.31%	2	0.16%
RW01	4717	3355	3247	1944	59.87%	1302	40.10%	1	0.03%
RW02	3794	2675	2594	1397	53.86%	1194	46.03%	3	0.12%
RW03	4510	2745	2647	1171	44.24%	1472	55.61%	4	0.15%
RW04	1803	1359	1336	689	51.57%	644	48.20%	3	0.22%
RW05	2322	1207	1158	404	34.89%	754	65.11%	0	0.00%
RW06	2820	1914	1855	911	49.11%	941	50.73%	3	0.16%
RW07A	1549	677	634	91	14.35%	543	85.65%	0	0.00%
RW07B	388	214	199	51	25.63%	148	74.37%	0	0.00%
RW08	2138	1462	1410	902	63.97%	508	36.03%	0	0.00%
RW09	5918	4216	4109	2679	65.20%	1427	34.73%	3	0.07%
RW10	3347	2376	2311	1380	59.71%	926	40.07%	5	0.22%
RW11A	2667	1693	1643	779	47.41%	864	52.59%	0	0.00%
RW12	5944	4234	4118	2502	60.76%	1614	39.19%	2	0.05%
RW13	3555	2476	2401	1260	52.48%	1136	47.31%	5	0.21%
RW16	1850	1275	1237	714	57.72%	522	42.20%	1	0.08%
RW17	2794	1773	1706	655	38.39%	1048	61.43%	3	0.18%
RW19	1993	1434	1402	878	62.62%	524	37.38%	0	0.00%
RW20	2486	1797	1741	965	55.43%	774	44.46%	2	0.11%
RW21	3144	2169	2110	1323	62.70%	785	37.20%	2	0.09%
RW22A	4406	2486	2392	921	38.50%	1467	61.33%	4	0.17%
SC01A	20	25	22	1	4.55%	21	95.45%	0	0.00%
SC01B	1707	1295	1246	32	2.57%	1212	97.27%	2	0.16%
SC01C	2063	1513	1434	35	2.44%	1398	97.49%	1	0.07%
SC01D	294	162	158	0	0.00%	158	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC02	872	662	638	31	4.86%	606	94.98%	1	0.16%
SC04	922	616	586	192	32.76%	392	66.89%	2	0.34%
SC05A	646	409	387	6	1.55%	381	98.45%	0	0.00%
SC05B	3204	2188	2090	69	3.30%	2018	96.56%	3	0.14%
SC05D	2171	1428	1375	43	3.13%	1330	96.73%	2	0.15%
SC05E	623	434	414	62	14.98%	352	85.02%	0	0.00%
SC07A	5595	3698	3533	291	8.24%	3241	91.74%	1	0.03%
SC07C	29	10	10	0	0.00%	10	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08B	3382	2008	1886	63	3.34%	1821	96.55%	2	0.11%
SC08C	74	53	51	0	0.00%	51	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08D	499	314	295	3	1.02%	292	98.98%	0	0.00%
SC08E	562	316	294	2	0.68%	291	98.98%	1	0.34%
SC08F	700	366	350	12	3.43%	337	96.29%	1	0.29%
SC08G	12	8	8	0	0.00%	8	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08H	4	3	2	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC09A	1628	839	778	23	2.96%	753	96.79%	2	0.26%
SC09B	1687	1084	1028	19	1.85%	1008	98.05%	1	0.10%
SC09C	1566	842	794	24	3.02%	770	96.98%	0	0.00%
SC10	1678	661	618	16	2.59%	602	97.41%	0	0.00%
SC11A	1411	813	782	17	2.17%	765	97.83%	0	0.00%
SC11B	1506	878	824	27	3.28%	796	96.60%	1	0.12%
SC13	2465	1636	1571	67	4.26%	1504	95.74%	0	0.00%
SC14A	916	429	412	11	2.67%	400	97.09%	1	0.24%
SC14B	502	45	39	9	23.08%	30	76.92%	0	0.00%
SC15	6193	4213	4014	129	3.21%	3884	96.76%	1	0.02%
SC16A	4601	3208	3086	73	2.37%	3008	97.47%	5	0.16%
SC16B	14	15	15	1	6.67%	14	93.33%	0	0.00%
SC17A	55	42	42	0	0.00%	42	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC17B	7	4	4	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%
SC17C	1134	669	631	23	3.65%	606	96.04%	2	0.32%
SC18A	510	329	309	8	2.59%	300	97.09%	1	0.32%
SC18B	663	462	441	18	4.08%	423	95.92%	0	0.00%
SC18C	1850	1352	1299	50	3.85%	1247	96.00%	2	0.15%
SC19A	195	129	122	3	2.46%	119	97.54%	0	0.00%
SC19B	1267	690	647	28	4.33%	616	95.21%	3	0.46%
SC20	2197	1665	1615	35	2.17%	1577	97.65%	3	0.19%
SC211	1328	841	804	32	3.98%	771	95.90%	1	0.12%
SC212	3378	1947	1858	93	5.01%	1763	94.89%	2	0.11%
SC23A	3161	1730	1618	42	2.60%	1576	97.40%	0	0.00%
SC23B	483	284	270	9	3.33%	261	96.67%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	LT GOVERNOR								
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	G. DUNCAN (R)		S. R. AMICO (D)		Write-In Votes	
SC23C	2252	1302	1201	28	2.33%	1173	97.67%	0	0.00%
SC27	2129	1259	1171	24	2.05%	1145	97.78%	2	0.17%
SC29A	2784	1544	1434	37	2.58%	1395	97.28%	2	0.14%
SC30A	135	97	89	3	3.37%	86	96.63%	0	0.00%
SC30B	960	531	504	13	2.58%	491	97.42%	0	0.00%
SS01	4487	3270	3181	1924	60.48%	1256	39.48%	1	0.03%
SS02A	1120	755	733	302	41.20%	431	58.80%	0	0.00%
SS02B	1255	756	716	176	24.58%	538	75.14%	2	0.28%
SS03	1464	1027	993	456	45.92%	536	53.98%	1	0.10%
SS04	3264	2064	1997	715	35.80%	1280	64.10%	2	0.10%
SS05	1746	1376	1333	697	52.29%	635	47.64%	1	0.08%
SS06	1397	1073	1045	553	52.92%	491	46.99%	1	0.10%
SS07A	968	683	656	357	54.42%	299	45.58%	0	0.00%
SS07B	609	405	391	166	42.46%	225	57.54%	0	0.00%
SS07C	755	436	420	208	49.52%	212	50.48%	0	0.00%
SS08A	1316	758	731	226	30.92%	505	69.08%	0	0.00%
SS08B	635	453	441	238	53.97%	203	46.03%	0	0.00%
SS08C	632	393	378	117	30.95%	261	69.05%	0	0.00%
SS08D	1368	847	822	288	35.04%	534	64.96%	0	0.00%
SS09A	3003	2210	2151	1240	57.65%	910	42.31%	1	0.05%
SS09B	3358	2452	2391	1335	55.83%	1053	44.04%	3	0.13%
SS11A	3655	2227	2160	888	41.11%	1270	58.80%	2	0.09%
SS11B	1257	707	679	201	29.60%	477	70.25%	1	0.15%
SS11C	269	146	140	25	17.86%	114	81.43%	1	0.71%
SS11D	365	254	244	142	58.20%	102	41.80%	0	0.00%
SS12	2559	1732	1689	880	52.10%	808	47.84%	1	0.06%
SS13A	1633	1072	1051	516	49.10%	532	50.62%	3	0.29%
SS13B	1001	629	613	301	49.10%	312	50.90%	0	0.00%
SS14	1274	977	957	606	63.32%	349	36.47%	2	0.21%
SS15A	2572	1199	1161	164	14.13%	996	85.79%	1	0.09%
SS15B	1579	902	868	274	31.57%	594	68.43%	0	0.00%
SS16	2030	1534	1503	861	57.29%	642	42.71%	0	0.00%
SS17	3397	2229	2155	1131	52.48%	1019	47.29%	5	0.23%
SS18A	619	454	446	228	51.12%	218	48.88%	0	0.00%
SS18B	221	162	153	79	51.63%	74	48.37%	0	0.00%
SS19A	3016	1683	1616	395	24.44%	1221	75.56%	0	0.00%
SS19B	2032	1226	1175	364	30.98%	809	68.85%	2	0.17%
SS20	2060	1159	1115	386	34.62%	727	65.20%	2	0.18%
SS22	2714	1613	1542	663	43.00%	878	56.94%	1	0.06%
SS26	3552	1822	1728	311	18.00%	1415	81.89%	2	0.12%
SS29A	2488	1595	1557	661	42.45%	895	57.48%	1	0.06%
SS31	1345	938	907	393	43.33%	513	56.56%	1	0.11%
UC01A	3622	1715	1605	67	4.17%	1537	95.76%	1	0.06%
UC01B	395	210	197	5	2.54%	192	97.46%	0	0.00%
UC01D	6	2	2	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
UC01E	285	206	203	3	1.48%	200	98.52%	0	0.00%
UC02A	7513	4296	4063	135	3.32%	3922	96.53%	6	0.15%
UC02B	30	19	18	5	27.78%	13	72.22%	0	0.00%
UC031	2399	1203	1125	206	18.31%	919	81.69%	0	0.00%
UC032	292	186	177	30	16.95%	147	83.05%	0	0.00%
Total	703177	424992	407932	118142	28.96%	289383	70.94%	407	0.10%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

SECRETARY OF STATE

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	B. RAFFENSPERGER (R)		J. BARROW (D)		S. DUVAL (L)		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide											
01A	3199	2362	2325	189	8.13%	2048	88.09%	87	3.74%	1	0.04%
01B	3839	2737	2688	222	8.26%	2366	88.02%	100	3.72%	0	0.00%
01C	1459	612	599	20	3.34%	558	93.16%	21	3.51%	0	0.00%
01D	511	307	302	12	3.97%	275	91.06%	15	4.97%	0	0.00%
01E	3363	2410	2374	248	10.45%	2019	85.05%	105	4.42%	2	0.08%
01F	1254	452	440	8	1.82%	417	94.77%	15	3.41%	0	0.00%
01G	1909	1102	1080	52	4.81%	985	91.20%	43	3.98%	0	0.00%
01H	758	379	369	14	3.79%	344	93.22%	11	2.98%	0	0.00%
01J	2416	1184	1150	42	3.65%	1074	93.39%	32	2.78%	2	0.17%
01P	1244	542	518	12	2.32%	497	95.95%	9	1.74%	0	0.00%
01R	1344	491	464	11	2.37%	445	95.91%	8	1.72%	0	0.00%
01S	1749	823	796	29	3.64%	750	94.22%	17	2.14%	0	0.00%
01T	1506	796	776	48	6.19%	701	90.34%	27	3.48%	0	0.00%
02A	4584	2949	2909	535	18.39%	2272	78.10%	101	3.47%	1	0.03%
02B	3518	1265	1254	132	10.53%	1075	85.73%	47	3.75%	0	0.00%
02C	2037	1205	1179	90	7.63%	1052	89.23%	35	2.97%	2	0.17%
02D	4475	2660	2614	258	9.87%	2268	86.76%	84	3.21%	4	0.15%
02E	1905	1423	1406	117	8.32%	1237	87.98%	52	3.70%	0	0.00%
02F1	2962	2033	1999	228	11.41%	1687	84.39%	84	4.20%	0	0.00%
02F2	788	458	449	44	9.80%	384	85.52%	21	4.68%	0	0.00%
02G	3443	2361	2325	256	11.01%	1971	84.77%	98	4.22%	0	0.00%
02J	2159	1432	1413	155	10.97%	1195	84.57%	63	4.46%	0	0.00%
02K	1419	951	935	107	11.44%	792	84.71%	36	3.85%	0	0.00%
02L1	6213	3978	3920	601	15.33%	3167	80.79%	151	3.85%	1	0.03%
02L2	1364	782	772	122	15.80%	617	79.92%	33	4.27%	0	0.00%
02S	708	427	422	21	4.98%	384	91.00%	17	4.03%	0	0.00%
02W	682	399	395	56	14.18%	323	81.77%	15	3.80%	1	0.25%
03A	1927	692	665	13	1.95%	636	95.64%	16	2.41%	0	0.00%
03B	560	181	171	7	4.09%	163	95.32%	1	0.58%	0	0.00%
03C	1534	674	648	14	2.16%	612	94.44%	22	3.40%	0	0.00%
03D	1017	402	390	17	4.36%	363	93.08%	10	2.56%	0	0.00%
03E	2284	914	891	38	4.26%	830	93.15%	23	2.58%	0	0.00%
03F	1925	1115	1102	147	13.34%	903	81.94%	52	4.72%	0	0.00%
03G	802	360	352	6	1.70%	332	94.32%	14	3.98%	0	0.00%
03H	900	369	349	11	3.15%	327	93.70%	11	3.15%	0	0.00%
03I	1360	566	554	71	12.82%	436	78.70%	47	8.48%	0	0.00%
03L	594	229	219	3	1.37%	208	94.98%	8	3.65%	0	0.00%
03M	766	452	440	96	21.82%	315	71.59%	29	6.59%	0	0.00%
03N	2288	938	910	40	4.40%	836	91.87%	34	3.74%	0	0.00%
03P1A	3882	1966	1934	199	10.29%	1631	84.33%	103	5.33%	1	0.05%
03P2	13	7	7	0	0.00%	7	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
03S	761	356	350	11	3.14%	334	95.43%	5	1.43%	0	0.00%
03T	1505	715	697	20	2.87%	662	94.98%	15	2.15%	0	0.00%
04A	1202	560	540	10	1.85%	517	95.74%	13	2.41%	0	0.00%
04B	2242	922	903	20	2.21%	861	95.35%	21	2.33%	1	0.11%
04C	136	54	54	0	0.00%	52	96.30%	2	3.70%	0	0.00%
04D	1481	714	688	24	3.49%	647	94.04%	17	2.47%	0	0.00%
04F	2086	935	911	57	6.26%	817	89.68%	36	3.95%	1	0.11%
04G	828	312	305	9	2.95%	292	95.74%	4	1.31%	0	0.00%
04I	3362	561	545	35	6.42%	483	88.62%	27	4.95%	0	0.00%
04J	1230	317	313	8	2.56%	297	94.89%	7	2.24%	1	0.32%
04K	2339	1266	1216	36	2.96%	1142	93.91%	37	3.04%	1	0.08%
04L	1655	867	840	34	4.05%	772	91.90%	34	4.05%	0	0.00%
04M	773	292	286	10	3.50%	267	93.36%	9	3.15%	0	0.00%
04S	2165	1118	1073	33	3.08%	1005	93.66%	34	3.17%	1	0.09%
04T	1145	584	564	12	2.13%	537	95.21%	14	2.48%	1	0.18%
04V	2848	1211	1177	20	1.70%	1124	95.50%	32	2.72%	1	0.08%
04W	2203	820	808	5	0.62%	775	95.92%	25	3.09%	3	0.37%
04X1	1942	950	923	22	2.38%	870	94.26%	30	3.25%	1	0.11%
04X2	737	364	357	13	3.64%	334	93.56%	10	2.80%	0	0.00%
05A1	2491	1728	1703	136	7.99%	1489	87.43%	78	4.58%	0	0.00%
05A2	2910	1998	1972	142	7.20%	1752	88.84%	76	3.85%	2	0.10%
05B	1763	901	850	32	3.76%	787	92.59%	29	3.41%	2	0.24%
05C	146	67	66	4	6.06%	58	87.88%	4	6.06%	0	0.00%
05D	386	93	91	3	3.30%	81	89.01%	7	7.69%	0	0.00%
05F	223	136	136	10	7.35%	126	92.65%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
05J	639	416	410	41	10.00%	350	85.37%	19	4.63%	0	0.00%
05K	2600	1327	1311	68	5.19%	1185	90.39%	57	4.35%	1	0.08%
06B	1756	1238	1220	205	16.80%	964	79.02%	50	4.10%	1	0.08%
06D	8568	6060	5985	1140	19.05%	4652	77.73%	192	3.21%	1	0.02%
06E	2250	1439	1427	259	18.15%	1115	78.14%	53	3.71%	0	0.00%
06F	2709	1757	1719	269	15.65%	1390	80.86%	60	3.49%	0	0.00%
06G	536	369	365	56	15.34%	300	82.19%	9	2.47%	0	0.00%
06I	3412	1957	1940	245	12.63%	1610	82.99%	84	4.33%	1	0.05%
06J	2539	1904	1876	346	18.44%	1467	78.20%	62	3.30%	1	0.05%
06L1	917	679	668	155	23.20%	489	73.20%	24	3.59%	0	0.00%
06L2	654	487	480	146	30.42%	327	68.13%	7	1.46%	0	0.00%
06N	1844	1085	1072	345	32.18%	692	64.55%	34	3.17%	1	0.09%
06Q	527	295	295	24	8.14%	258	87.46%	13	4.41%	0	0.00%
06R	1836	1206	1196	232	19.40%	935	78.18%	29	2.42%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

SECRETARY OF STATE

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	B. RAFFENSPERGER (R)		J. BARROW (D)		S. DUVAL (L)		Write-In Votes	
07A	6600	4093	4021	1723	42.85%	2176	54.12%	118	2.93%	4	0.10%
07B	2763	2107	2076	1246	60.02%	770	37.09%	60	2.89%	0	0.00%
07C	3927	2498	2453	1066	43.46%	1333	54.34%	53	2.16%	1	0.04%
07D	4231	2413	2365	953	40.30%	1311	55.43%	101	4.27%	0	0.00%
07E	2031	1358	1337	419	31.34%	876	65.52%	42	3.14%	0	0.00%
07F	3017	2041	2003	822	41.04%	1121	55.97%	60	3.00%	0	0.00%
07H	1923	1424	1396	617	44.20%	748	53.58%	30	2.15%	1	0.07%
07J	2647	1753	1725	585	33.91%	1077	62.43%	63	3.65%	0	0.00%
07M	2155	1180	1166	388	33.28%	732	62.78%	46	3.95%	0	0.00%
07N	1946	1044	1021	165	16.16%	808	79.14%	47	4.60%	1	0.10%
08A	2799	1949	1917	960	50.08%	908	47.37%	49	2.56%	0	0.00%
08B	3199	2255	2218	1224	55.18%	927	41.79%	67	3.02%	0	0.00%
08C	1392	984	967	641	66.29%	306	31.64%	20	2.07%	0	0.00%
08D	1022	770	757	487	64.33%	260	34.35%	10	1.32%	0	0.00%
08E	2429	1864	1829	1037	56.70%	749	40.95%	43	2.35%	0	0.00%
08F1	2071	1628	1594	979	61.42%	569	35.70%	46	2.89%	0	0.00%
08G	2787	1669	1631	644	39.48%	951	58.31%	36	2.21%	0	0.00%
08H	2465	1874	1823	958	52.55%	830	45.53%	35	1.92%	0	0.00%
08J	2981	2223	2180	975	44.72%	1138	52.20%	67	3.07%	0	0.00%
08K	973	702	693	284	40.98%	385	55.56%	24	3.46%	0	0.00%
08L	4194	2706	2662	778	29.23%	1773	66.60%	108	4.06%	3	0.11%
08M	1907	1358	1328	787	59.26%	518	39.01%	21	1.58%	2	0.15%
08N1	767	587	577	353	61.18%	212	36.74%	12	2.08%	0	0.00%
08N2	1391	927	907	396	43.66%	489	53.91%	22	2.43%	0	0.00%
08P	1633	928	915	156	17.05%	731	79.89%	27	2.95%	1	0.11%
09A	3338	2152	2108	462	21.92%	1544	73.24%	102	4.84%	0	0.00%
09B	385	174	171	3	1.75%	164	95.91%	4	2.34%	0	0.00%
09C	2954	1522	1470	39	2.65%	1401	95.31%	30	2.04%	0	0.00%
09D	1879	858	814	27	3.32%	761	93.49%	25	3.07%	1	0.12%
09E	2782	1420	1381	80	5.79%	1248	90.37%	52	3.77%	1	0.07%
09F	3619	2526	2483	1006	40.52%	1386	55.82%	88	3.54%	3	0.12%
09G	3519	2282	2239	455	20.32%	1681	75.08%	103	4.60%	0	0.00%
09H	1064	645	635	66	10.39%	537	84.57%	31	4.88%	1	0.16%
09I	1194	503	479	19	3.97%	448	93.53%	11	2.30%	1	0.21%
09K	4403	1851	1798	63	3.50%	1702	94.66%	32	1.78%	1	0.06%
09M	2259	1611	1583	298	18.83%	1203	75.99%	80	5.05%	2	0.13%
10A	2850	1622	1578	38	2.41%	1516	96.07%	22	1.39%	2	0.13%
10B	3686	2464	2402	40	1.67%	2309	96.13%	49	2.04%	4	0.17%
10C	2466	1168	1128	23	2.04%	1083	96.01%	22	1.95%	0	0.00%
10D	4220	1850	1773	36	2.03%	1697	95.71%	37	2.09%	3	0.17%
10E	950	524	507	16	3.16%	484	95.46%	7	1.38%	0	0.00%
10F	1053	590	573	14	2.44%	544	94.94%	15	2.62%	0	0.00%
10G	1205	813	795	14	1.76%	764	96.10%	16	2.01%	1	0.13%
10H1	408	238	234	2	0.85%	224	95.73%	8	3.42%	0	0.00%
10H2	2207	1032	997	25	2.51%	957	95.99%	14	1.40%	1	0.10%
10I	329	258	252	5	1.98%	245	97.22%	2	0.79%	0	0.00%
10J	1012	466	450	5	1.11%	440	97.78%	5	1.11%	0	0.00%
10K	1415	858	830	22	2.65%	785	94.58%	22	2.65%	1	0.12%
10M	2529	1414	1376	40	2.91%	1288	93.60%	47	3.42%	1	0.07%
10P	1364	668	643	25	3.89%	602	93.62%	15	2.33%	1	0.16%
10R	713	347	339	7	2.06%	324	95.58%	8	2.36%	0	0.00%
11B	5162	3088	3013	59	1.96%	2890	95.92%	62	2.06%	2	0.07%
11C	2822	2003	1976	26	1.32%	1924	97.37%	24	1.21%	2	0.10%
11E1	1141	634	617	15	2.43%	586	94.98%	15	2.43%	1	0.16%
11E2	847	497	485	8	1.65%	464	95.67%	13	2.68%	0	0.00%
11E3	3124	1835	1815	34	1.87%	1752	96.53%	27	1.49%	2	0.11%
11G	2092	855	828	26	3.14%	783	94.57%	19	2.29%	0	0.00%
11H	677	356	347	14	4.03%	316	91.07%	17	4.90%	0	0.00%
11J	1827	1018	984	27	2.74%	940	95.53%	17	1.73%	0	0.00%
11K	1818	1104	1068	26	2.43%	1011	94.66%	29	2.72%	2	0.19%
11M	3039	1659	1620	20	1.23%	1564	96.54%	35	2.16%	1	0.06%
11N	2166	1358	1316	26	1.98%	1273	96.73%	17	1.29%	0	0.00%
11P	1833	1020	979	20	2.04%	939	95.91%	20	2.04%	0	0.00%
11R	1849	672	645	17	2.64%	618	95.81%	10	1.55%	0	0.00%
12A	4828	2734	2669	119	4.46%	2452	91.87%	95	3.56%	3	0.11%
12D	1209	501	487	9	1.85%	467	95.89%	11	2.26%	0	0.00%
12E1	1621	591	569	33	5.80%	525	92.27%	11	1.93%	0	0.00%
12F	943	428	418	14	3.35%	395	94.50%	9	2.15%	0	0.00%
12G	800	430	407	16	3.93%	386	94.84%	5	1.23%	0	0.00%
12H1	1006	310	301	18	5.98%	274	91.03%	8	2.66%	1	0.33%
12H2	2562	1189	1159	29	2.50%	1108	95.60%	20	1.73%	2	0.17%
12I	970	413	394	8	2.03%	378	95.94%	8	2.03%	0	0.00%
12J	2535	1108	1068	21	1.97%	1026	96.07%	18	1.69%	3	0.28%
12K	3022	1240	1193	53	4.44%	1106	92.71%	34	2.85%	0	0.00%
12L	613	238	232	8	3.45%	221	95.26%	3	1.29%	0	0.00%
12M	2533	1171	1146	23	2.01%	1099	95.90%	22	1.92%	2	0.17%
12N	907	327	315	11	3.49%	296	93.97%	8	2.54%	0	0.00%
12S	1794	959	914	19	2.08%	871	95.30%	24	2.63%	0	0.00%
AP01A	4724	3141	3096	1587	51.26%	1434	46.32%	74	2.39%	1	0.03%
AP01B	913	516	507	220	43.39%	261	51.48%	26	5.13%	0	0.00%
AP01C	2233	1498	1476	769	52.10%	670	45.39%	37	2.51%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

SECRETARY OF STATE

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	B. RAFFENSPERGER (R)		J. BARROW (D)		S. DUVAL (L)		Write-In Votes	
AP01D	915	539	532	212	39.85%	301	56.58%	19	3.57%	0	0.00%
AP02B	474	263	261	125	47.89%	131	50.19%	5	1.92%	0	0.00%
AP03	741	314	308	62	20.13%	235	76.30%	11	3.57%	0	0.00%
AP04A	1647	1183	1158	674	58.20%	441	38.08%	43	3.71%	0	0.00%
AP04B	415	290	284	153	53.87%	125	44.01%	6	2.11%	0	0.00%
AP05	4367	2981	2941	1687	57.36%	1193	40.56%	59	2.01%	2	0.07%
AP06	1827	1309	1280	749	58.52%	483	37.73%	48	3.75%	0	0.00%
AP07A	3422	2102	2070	1049	50.68%	952	45.99%	68	3.29%	1	0.05%
AP07B	1650	1144	1129	610	54.03%	497	44.02%	21	1.86%	1	0.09%
AP09A	4051	2687	2658	1268	47.71%	1318	49.59%	71	2.67%	1	0.04%
AP09B	810	531	526	208	39.54%	308	58.56%	10	1.90%	0	0.00%
AP021	2994	1963	1935	994	51.37%	873	45.12%	66	3.41%	2	0.10%
AP022	2210	1429	1405	641	45.62%	721	51.32%	43	3.06%	0	0.00%
AP10	942	681	676	393	58.14%	262	38.76%	21	3.11%	0	0.00%
AP12A	658	374	368	124	33.70%	232	63.04%	12	3.26%	0	0.00%
AP12B	747	428	420	134	31.90%	277	65.95%	9	2.14%	0	0.00%
AP12C	1584	1005	989	525	53.08%	444	44.89%	20	2.02%	0	0.00%
AP14	2972	2027	2000	1002	50.10%	945	47.25%	53	2.65%	0	0.00%
CH01	330	164	162	39	24.07%	122	75.31%	1	0.62%	0	0.00%
CH02	319	202	197	120	60.91%	72	36.55%	5	2.54%	0	0.00%
CH03	379	250	247	157	63.56%	87	35.22%	3	1.21%	0	0.00%
CH04A	647	444	441	220	49.89%	215	48.75%	6	1.36%	0	0.00%
CH05	407	260	258	186	72.09%	66	25.58%	6	2.33%	0	0.00%
CP01B	381	227	223	76	34.08%	139	62.33%	8	3.59%	0	0.00%
CP011	1135	617	607	129	21.25%	451	74.30%	26	4.28%	1	0.16%
CP012	1318	844	822	154	18.73%	641	77.98%	27	3.28%	0	0.00%
CP02	1000	553	533	61	11.44%	454	85.18%	18	3.38%	0	0.00%
CP04A	8	2	2	1	50.00%	1	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP04B	39	14	14	2	14.29%	11	78.57%	1	7.14%	0	0.00%
CP05B	143	76	75	4	5.33%	71	94.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP051	1612	874	854	27	3.16%	817	95.67%	9	1.05%	1	0.12%
CP06A	598	208	202	4	1.98%	193	95.54%	5	2.48%	0	0.00%
CP07B	7	2	2	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP07C	244	47	47	4	8.51%	42	89.36%	1	2.13%	0	0.00%
CP07D	694	208	202	6	2.97%	195	96.53%	1	0.50%	0	0.00%
CP07E	125	41	38	1	2.63%	37	97.37%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP07F	184	55	52	1	1.92%	51	98.08%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP081	343	77	76	3	3.95%	73	96.05%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP083	219	25	24	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP084	3	1	1	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP08A	705	175	170	5	2.94%	161	94.71%	4	2.35%	0	0.00%
EP01A	2609	1464	1432	136	9.50%	1260	87.99%	35	2.44%	1	0.07%
EP01B	3038	1539	1515	98	6.47%	1379	91.02%	38	2.51%	0	0.00%
EP02A	1967	890	862	42	4.87%	808	93.74%	11	1.28%	1	0.12%
EP02B	1449	977	967	123	12.72%	805	83.25%	37	3.83%	2	0.21%
EP02C	428	251	247	44	17.81%	196	79.35%	7	2.83%	0	0.00%
EP02D	326	192	186	9	4.84%	176	94.62%	1	0.54%	0	0.00%
EP02E	1382	627	611	30	4.91%	562	91.98%	19	3.11%	0	0.00%
EP03A	4293	2512	2451	109	4.45%	2279	92.98%	60	2.45%	3	0.12%
EP03B	1421	973	955	40	4.19%	893	93.51%	22	2.30%	0	0.00%
EP04A	5432	2626	2589	59	2.28%	2487	96.06%	41	1.58%	2	0.08%
EP04B	2024	1203	1190	21	1.76%	1158	97.31%	10	0.84%	1	0.08%
FA01A	3574	2126	2082	203	9.75%	1840	88.38%	39	1.87%	0	0.00%
FA01B	4833	2965	2926	280	9.57%	2581	88.21%	62	2.12%	3	0.10%
FA01C	681	401	396	18	4.55%	368	92.93%	9	2.27%	1	0.25%
HP01	3212	1780	1744	424	24.31%	1247	71.50%	73	4.19%	0	0.00%
JC01	4977	3215	3179	1275	40.11%	1832	57.63%	72	2.26%	0	0.00%
JC02	2460	1474	1454	648	44.57%	767	52.75%	39	2.68%	0	0.00%
JC03A	540	384	380	176	46.32%	192	50.53%	12	3.16%	0	0.00%
JC03B	1448	955	940	362	38.51%	550	58.51%	27	2.87%	1	0.11%
JC04A	4042	2692	2652	1225	46.19%	1352	50.98%	75	2.83%	0	0.00%
JC04B	255	156	154	63	40.91%	83	53.90%	8	5.19%	0	0.00%
JC05	2136	1391	1376	610	44.33%	728	52.91%	38	2.76%	0	0.00%
JC06	2627	1580	1565	859	54.89%	663	42.36%	43	2.75%	0	0.00%
JC07	4083	2627	2586	1173	45.36%	1348	52.13%	63	2.44%	2	0.08%
JC08	2737	1870	1846	899	48.70%	880	47.67%	65	3.52%	2	0.11%
JC09	2928	1878	1864	753	40.40%	1065	57.14%	46	2.47%	0	0.00%
JC10	2392	1507	1481	573	38.69%	868	58.61%	39	2.63%	1	0.07%
JC11	3186	2085	2063	1150	55.74%	868	42.07%	44	2.13%	1	0.05%
JC12	2455	1753	1735	1145	65.99%	558	32.16%	31	1.79%	1	0.06%
JC13A	2115	1462	1447	966	66.76%	459	31.72%	22	1.52%	0	0.00%
JC13B	271	180	174	130	74.71%	40	22.99%	4	2.30%	0	0.00%
JC14	2477	1634	1616	924	57.18%	645	39.91%	47	2.91%	0	0.00%
JC15	834	523	512	194	37.89%	298	58.20%	20	3.91%	0	0.00%
JC16	2496	1729	1710	847	49.53%	816	47.72%	46	2.69%	1	0.06%
JC18	2670	1969	1957	1080	55.19%	827	42.26%	50	2.55%	0	0.00%
JC19	3759	2528	2506	1192	47.57%	1218	48.60%	95	3.79%	1	0.04%
ML011	2439	1681	1660	983	59.22%	631	38.01%	46	2.77%	0	0.00%
ML012	485	338	334	223	66.77%	99	29.64%	12	3.59%	0	0.00%
ML01B	138	96	95	56	58.95%	38	40.00%	1	1.05%	0	0.00%
ML021	1991	1369	1351	970	71.80%	335	24.80%	46	3.40%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

SECRETARY OF STATE

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	B. RAFFENSPERGER (R)		J. BARROW (D)		S. DUVAL (L)		Write-In Votes	
ML022	241	148	146	111	76.03%	32	21.92%	3	2.05%	0	0.00%
ML023	1542	1027	1016	723	71.16%	272	26.77%	21	2.07%	0	0.00%
ML024	113	70	70	58	82.86%	10	14.29%	2	2.86%	0	0.00%
ML03	3757	2575	2546	1730	67.95%	749	29.42%	67	2.63%	0	0.00%
ML04A	1747	1176	1160	687	59.22%	445	38.36%	28	2.41%	0	0.00%
ML04B	1206	838	830	564	67.95%	244	29.40%	21	2.53%	1	0.12%
ML04C	79	66	66	46	69.70%	18	27.27%	2	3.03%	0	0.00%
ML05A	39	27	27	17	62.96%	10	37.04%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
ML05B	381	250	246	164	66.67%	79	32.11%	2	0.81%	1	0.41%
ML05C	4023	2615	2578	1313	50.93%	1206	46.78%	58	2.25%	1	0.04%
ML06A	1973	1024	1014	339	33.43%	650	64.10%	25	2.47%	0	0.00%
ML06B	2775	1734	1709	607	35.52%	1042	60.97%	60	3.51%	0	0.00%
ML071	105	73	72	50	69.44%	22	30.56%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
ML072	1249	857	846	587	69.39%	241	28.49%	17	2.01%	1	0.12%
ML07A	707	472	467	314	67.24%	141	30.19%	12	2.57%	0	0.00%
MP01	450	323	319	140	43.89%	166	52.04%	13	4.08%	0	0.00%
PA01	2509	1330	1303	299	22.95%	981	75.29%	22	1.69%	1	0.08%
RW01	4717	3355	3294	1902	57.74%	1302	39.53%	89	2.70%	1	0.03%
RW02	3794	2675	2632	1316	50.00%	1214	46.12%	102	3.88%	0	0.00%
RW03	4510	2745	2692	1137	42.24%	1451	53.90%	104	3.86%	0	0.00%
RW04	1803	1359	1343	651	48.47%	646	48.10%	44	3.28%	2	0.15%
RW05	2322	1207	1193	384	32.19%	773	64.79%	36	3.02%	0	0.00%
RW06	2820	1914	1884	862	45.75%	942	50.00%	80	4.25%	0	0.00%
RW07A	1549	677	666	89	13.36%	552	82.88%	24	3.60%	1	0.15%
RW07B	388	214	213	51	23.94%	153	71.83%	9	4.23%	0	0.00%
RW08	2138	1462	1423	872	61.28%	505	35.49%	46	3.23%	0	0.00%
RW09	5918	4216	4161	2633	63.28%	1402	33.69%	125	3.00%	1	0.02%
RW10	3347	2376	2340	1352	57.78%	918	39.23%	70	2.99%	0	0.00%
RW11A	2667	1693	1664	739	44.41%	869	52.22%	56	3.37%	0	0.00%
RW12	5944	4234	4174	2436	58.36%	1618	38.76%	120	2.87%	0	0.00%
RW13	3555	2476	2430	1228	50.53%	1114	45.84%	88	3.62%	0	0.00%
RW16	1850	1275	1260	688	54.60%	536	42.54%	35	2.78%	1	0.08%
RW17	2794	1773	1749	640	36.59%	1031	58.95%	78	4.46%	0	0.00%
RW19	1993	1434	1413	856	60.58%	518	36.66%	39	2.76%	0	0.00%
RW20	2486	1797	1779	949	53.34%	771	43.34%	58	3.26%	1	0.06%
RW21	3144	2169	2141	1271	59.36%	792	36.99%	77	3.60%	1	0.05%
RW22A	4406	2486	2460	891	36.22%	1472	59.84%	97	3.94%	0	0.00%
SC01A	20	25	23	2	8.70%	21	91.30%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC01B	1707	1295	1285	26	2.02%	1238	96.34%	19	1.48%	2	0.16%
SC01C	2063	1513	1485	33	2.22%	1430	96.30%	21	1.41%	1	0.07%
SC01D	294	162	161	2	1.24%	153	95.03%	6	3.73%	0	0.00%
SC02	872	662	652	27	4.14%	620	95.09%	3	0.46%	2	0.31%
SC04	922	616	608	184	30.26%	405	66.61%	18	2.96%	1	0.16%
SC05A	646	409	401	3	0.75%	387	96.51%	11	2.74%	0	0.00%
SC05B	3204	2188	2163	62	2.87%	2066	95.52%	35	1.62%	0	0.00%
SC05D	2171	1428	1414	43	3.04%	1352	95.62%	17	1.20%	2	0.14%
SC05E	623	434	423	67	15.84%	351	82.98%	5	1.18%	0	0.00%
SC07A	5595	3698	3652	289	7.91%	3313	90.72%	48	1.31%	2	0.05%
SC07C	29	10	10	0	0.00%	10	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC08B	3382	2008	1967	61	3.10%	1875	95.32%	29	1.47%	2	0.10%
SC08C	74	53	53	0	0.00%	52	98.11%	1	1.89%	0	0.00%
SC08D	499	314	313	5	1.60%	303	96.81%	5	1.60%	0	0.00%
SC08E	562	316	309	3	0.97%	297	96.12%	9	2.91%	0	0.00%
SC08F	700	366	362	12	3.31%	345	95.30%	5	1.38%	0	0.00%
SC08G	12	8	8	0	0.00%	8	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC08H	4	3	3	0	0.00%	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC09A	1628	839	822	28	3.41%	779	94.77%	13	1.58%	2	0.24%
SC09B	1687	1084	1068	21	1.97%	1028	96.25%	18	1.69%	1	0.09%
SC09C	1566	842	828	21	2.54%	790	95.41%	17	2.05%	0	0.00%
SC10	1678	661	649	14	2.16%	617	95.07%	17	2.62%	1	0.15%
SC11A	1411	813	803	22	2.74%	775	96.51%	6	0.75%	0	0.00%
SC11B	1506	878	865	23	2.66%	828	95.72%	14	1.62%	0	0.00%
SC13	2465	1636	1619	69	4.26%	1523	94.07%	26	1.61%	1	0.06%
SC14A	916	429	415	10	2.41%	395	95.18%	10	2.41%	0	0.00%
SC14B	502	45	44	8	18.18%	36	81.82%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC15	6193	4213	4161	121	2.91%	3971	95.43%	66	1.59%	3	0.07%
SC16A	4601	3208	3166	62	1.96%	3061	96.68%	42	1.33%	1	0.03%
SC16B	14	15	15	0	0.00%	15	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC17A	55	42	42	0	0.00%	40	95.24%	2	4.76%	0	0.00%
SC17B	7	4	4	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC17C	1134	669	656	18	2.74%	629	95.88%	9	1.37%	0	0.00%
SC18A	510	329	324	5	1.54%	313	96.60%	6	1.85%	0	0.00%
SC18B	663	462	457	16	3.50%	432	94.53%	8	1.75%	1	0.22%
SC18C	1850	1352	1338	55	4.11%	1269	94.84%	14	1.05%	0	0.00%
SC19A	195	129	129	4	3.10%	123	95.35%	2	1.55%	0	0.00%
SC19B	1267	690	675	24	3.56%	635	94.07%	15	2.22%	1	0.15%
SC20	2197	1665	1653	36	2.18%	1595	96.49%	22	1.33%	0	0.00%
SC211	1328	841	832	31	3.73%	787	94.59%	13	1.56%	1	0.12%
SC212	3378	1947	1911	79	4.13%	1797	94.03%	33	1.73%	2	0.10%
SC23A	3161	1730	1692	36	2.13%	1627	96.16%	28	1.65%	1	0.06%
SC23B	483	284	280	10	3.57%	267	95.36%	3	1.07%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

SECRETARY OF STATE

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	B. RAFFENSPERGER (R)		J. BARROW (D)		S. DUVAL (L)		Write-In Votes	
SC23C	2252	1302	1284	32	2.49%	1239	96.50%	12	0.93%	1	0.08%
SC27	2129	1259	1232	23	1.87%	1190	96.59%	18	1.46%	1	0.08%
SC29A	2784	1544	1520	40	2.63%	1458	95.92%	22	1.45%	0	0.00%
SC30A	135	97	92	1	1.09%	91	98.91%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC30B	960	531	524	10	1.91%	502	95.80%	12	2.29%	0	0.00%
SS01	4487	3270	3216	1864	57.96%	1271	39.52%	81	2.52%	0	0.00%
SS02A	1120	755	749	287	38.32%	429	57.28%	33	4.41%	0	0.00%
SS02B	1255	756	748	178	23.80%	547	73.13%	22	2.94%	1	0.13%
SS03	1464	1027	1010	429	42.48%	540	53.47%	40	3.96%	1	0.10%
SS04	3264	2064	2038	681	33.42%	1282	62.90%	75	3.68%	0	0.00%
SS05	1746	1376	1350	651	48.22%	666	49.33%	33	2.44%	0	0.00%
SS06	1397	1073	1056	546	51.70%	480	45.45%	30	2.84%	0	0.00%
SS07A	968	683	673	352	52.30%	307	45.62%	14	2.08%	0	0.00%
SS07B	609	405	402	160	39.80%	225	55.97%	17	4.23%	0	0.00%
SS07C	755	436	428	206	48.13%	209	48.83%	13	3.04%	0	0.00%
SS08A	1316	758	749	227	30.31%	502	67.02%	19	2.54%	1	0.13%
SS08B	635	453	451	228	50.55%	217	48.12%	6	1.33%	0	0.00%
SS08C	632	393	383	110	28.72%	264	68.93%	9	2.35%	0	0.00%
SS08D	1368	847	838	274	32.70%	532	63.48%	32	3.82%	0	0.00%
SS09A	3003	2210	2173	1194	54.95%	941	43.30%	38	1.75%	0	0.00%
SS09B	3358	2452	2415	1300	53.83%	1065	44.10%	49	2.03%	1	0.04%
SS11A	3655	2227	2191	850	38.80%	1258	57.42%	82	3.74%	1	0.05%
SS11B	1257	707	694	193	27.81%	472	68.01%	28	4.03%	1	0.14%
SS11C	269	146	141	24	17.02%	112	79.43%	5	3.55%	0	0.00%
SS11D	365	254	248	138	55.65%	102	41.13%	8	3.23%	0	0.00%
SS12	2559	1732	1697	828	48.79%	823	48.50%	46	2.71%	0	0.00%
SS13A	1633	1072	1055	463	43.89%	549	52.04%	43	4.08%	0	0.00%
SS13B	1001	629	622	290	46.62%	316	50.80%	15	2.41%	1	0.16%
SS14	1274	977	963	584	60.64%	350	36.34%	27	2.80%	2	0.21%
SS15A	2572	1199	1182	159	13.45%	981	82.99%	41	3.47%	1	0.08%
SS15B	1579	902	891	262	29.41%	584	65.54%	45	5.05%	0	0.00%
SS16	2030	1534	1516	812	53.56%	659	43.47%	45	2.97%	0	0.00%
SS17	3397	2229	2192	1083	49.41%	1045	47.67%	61	2.78%	3	0.14%
SS18A	619	454	449	211	46.99%	227	50.56%	11	2.45%	0	0.00%
SS18B	221	162	158	78	49.37%	76	48.10%	4	2.53%	0	0.00%
SS19A	3016	1683	1663	386	23.21%	1214	73.00%	62	3.73%	1	0.06%
SS19B	2032	1226	1211	348	28.74%	812	67.05%	50	4.13%	1	0.08%
SS20	2060	1159	1142	362	31.70%	742	64.97%	38	3.33%	0	0.00%
SS22	2714	1613	1584	652	41.16%	881	55.62%	51	3.22%	0	0.00%
SS26	3552	1822	1801	305	16.94%	1433	79.57%	63	3.50%	0	0.00%
SS29A	2488	1595	1571	629	40.04%	899	57.22%	42	2.67%	1	0.06%
SS31	1345	938	923	385	41.71%	508	55.04%	29	3.14%	1	0.11%
UC01A	3622	1715	1697	63	3.71%	1597	94.11%	37	2.18%	0	0.00%
UC01B	395	210	205	6	2.93%	197	96.10%	2	0.98%	0	0.00%
UC01D	6	2	2	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
UC01E	285	206	206	2	0.97%	197	95.63%	7	3.40%	0	0.00%
UC02A	7513	4296	4228	133	3.15%	4047	95.72%	45	1.06%	3	0.07%
UC02B	30	19	19	4	21.05%	14	73.68%	1	5.26%	0	0.00%
UC031	2399	1203	1185	209	17.64%	956	80.68%	19	1.60%	1	0.08%
UC032	292	186	184	28	15.22%	154	83.70%	2	1.09%	0	0.00%
Total	703177	424992	417476	113707	27.24%	291859	69.91%	11719	2.81%	191	0.05%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

ATTORNEY GENERAL

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	C. CARR (I) R		C. BAILEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide									
01A	3199	2362	2318	248	10.70%	2070	89.30%	0	0.00%
01B	3839	2737	2679	285	10.64%	2393	89.32%	1	0.04%
01C	1459	612	601	29	4.83%	572	95.17%	0	0.00%
01D	511	307	302	17	5.63%	285	94.37%	0	0.00%
01E	3363	2410	2361	319	13.51%	2041	86.45%	1	0.04%
01F	1254	452	438	15	3.42%	422	96.35%	1	0.23%
01G	1909	1102	1063	70	6.59%	992	93.32%	1	0.09%
01H	758	379	366	18	4.92%	347	94.81%	1	0.27%
01J	2416	1184	1150	56	4.87%	1094	95.13%	0	0.00%
01P	1244	542	515	24	4.66%	491	95.34%	0	0.00%
01R	1344	491	462	23	4.98%	439	95.02%	0	0.00%
01S	1749	823	794	47	5.92%	746	93.95%	1	0.13%
01T	1506	796	780	66	8.46%	714	91.54%	0	0.00%
02A	4584	2949	2909	627	21.55%	2281	78.41%	1	0.03%
02B	3518	1265	1248	153	12.26%	1095	87.74%	0	0.00%
02C	2037	1205	1173	115	9.80%	1055	89.94%	3	0.26%
02D	4475	2660	2614	334	12.78%	2278	87.15%	2	0.08%
02E	1905	1423	1404	164	11.68%	1240	88.32%	0	0.00%
02F1	2962	2033	1981	286	14.44%	1693	85.46%	2	0.10%
02F2	788	458	446	57	12.78%	389	87.22%	0	0.00%
02G	3443	2361	2320	325	14.01%	1994	85.95%	1	0.04%
02J	2159	1432	1404	203	14.46%	1201	85.54%	0	0.00%
02K	1419	951	928	133	14.33%	795	85.67%	0	0.00%
02L1	6213	3978	3905	729	18.67%	3175	81.31%	1	0.03%
02L2	1364	782	770	146	18.96%	624	81.04%	0	0.00%
02S	708	427	419	34	8.11%	384	91.65%	1	0.24%
02W	682	399	391	57	14.58%	334	85.42%	0	0.00%
03A	1927	692	662	21	3.17%	641	96.83%	0	0.00%
03B	560	181	173	9	5.20%	164	94.80%	0	0.00%
03C	1534	674	646	24	3.72%	621	96.13%	1	0.15%
03D	1017	402	390	23	5.90%	367	94.10%	0	0.00%
03E	2284	914	887	50	5.64%	836	94.25%	1	0.11%
03F	1925	1115	1103	175	15.87%	928	84.13%	0	0.00%
03G	802	360	348	11	3.16%	337	96.84%	0	0.00%
03H	900	369	348	11	3.16%	337	96.84%	0	0.00%
03I	1360	566	545	93	17.06%	452	82.94%	0	0.00%
03L	594	229	217	9	4.15%	208	95.85%	0	0.00%
03M	766	452	433	115	26.56%	316	72.98%	2	0.46%
03N	2288	938	904	51	5.64%	853	94.36%	0	0.00%
03P1A	3882	1966	1925	263	13.66%	1660	86.23%	2	0.10%
03P2	13	7	7	0	0.00%	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
03S	761	356	349	12	3.44%	337	96.56%	0	0.00%
03T	1505	715	699	31	4.43%	668	95.57%	0	0.00%
04A	1202	560	538	18	3.35%	520	96.65%	0	0.00%
04B	2242	922	898	23	2.56%	874	97.33%	1	0.11%
04C	136	54	53	3	5.66%	50	94.34%	0	0.00%
04D	1481	714	686	36	5.25%	650	94.75%	0	0.00%
04F	2086	935	907	79	8.71%	827	91.18%	1	0.11%
04G	828	312	298	12	4.03%	286	95.97%	0	0.00%
04I	3362	561	543	43	7.92%	497	91.53%	3	0.55%
04J	1230	317	311	9	2.89%	302	97.11%	0	0.00%
04K	2339	1266	1209	60	4.96%	1148	94.95%	1	0.08%
04L	1655	867	840	41	4.88%	799	95.12%	0	0.00%
04M	773	292	284	10	3.52%	274	96.48%	0	0.00%
04S	2165	1118	1064	40	3.76%	1023	96.15%	1	0.09%
04T	1145	584	558	20	3.58%	537	96.24%	1	0.18%
04V	2848	1211	1169	34	2.91%	1135	97.09%	0	0.00%
04W	2203	820	809	9	1.11%	797	98.52%	3	0.37%
04X1	1942	950	922	34	3.69%	886	96.10%	2	0.22%
04X2	737	364	351	13	3.70%	338	96.30%	0	0.00%
05A1	2491	1728	1692	172	10.17%	1520	89.83%	0	0.00%
05A2	2910	1998	1959	193	9.85%	1764	90.05%	2	0.10%
05B	1763	901	847	47	5.55%	797	94.10%	3	0.35%
05C	146	67	63	4	6.35%	59	93.65%	0	0.00%
05D	386	93	90	4	4.44%	86	95.56%	0	0.00%
05F	223	136	136	11	8.09%	125	91.91%	0	0.00%
05J	639	416	408	41	10.05%	366	89.71%	1	0.25%
05K	2600	1327	1305	93	7.13%	1211	92.80%	1	0.08%
06B	1756	1238	1219	247	20.26%	971	79.66%	1	0.08%
06D	8568	6060	5970	1342	22.48%	4625	77.47%	3	0.05%
06E	2250	1439	1419	281	19.80%	1137	80.13%	1	0.07%
06F	2709	1757	1712	331	19.33%	1381	80.67%	0	0.00%
06G	536	369	364	69	18.96%	295	81.04%	0	0.00%
06I	3412	1957	1925	306	15.90%	1618	84.05%	1	0.05%
06J	2539	1904	1859	428	23.02%	1430	76.92%	1	0.05%
06L1	917	679	663	184	27.75%	479	72.25%	0	0.00%
06L2	654	487	480	159	33.13%	321	66.88%	0	0.00%
06N	1844	1085	1068	408	38.20%	659	61.70%	1	0.09%
06Q	527	295	294	24	8.16%	270	91.84%	0	0.00%
06R	1836	1206	1184	289	24.41%	895	75.59%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

ATTORNEY GENERAL

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	C. CARR (I) R		C. BAILEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
07A	6600	4093	3998	1818	45.47%	2173	54.35%	7	0.18%
07B	2763	2107	2078	1335	64.24%	742	35.71%	1	0.05%
07C	3927	2498	2437	1145	46.98%	1292	53.02%	0	0.00%
07D	4231	2413	2351	1025	43.60%	1325	56.36%	1	0.04%
07E	2031	1358	1331	456	34.26%	873	65.59%	2	0.15%
07F	3017	2041	1995	895	44.86%	1100	55.14%	0	0.00%
07H	1923	1424	1387	675	48.67%	710	51.19%	2	0.14%
07J	2647	1753	1713	675	39.40%	1037	60.54%	1	0.06%
07M	2155	1180	1157	426	36.82%	731	63.18%	0	0.00%
07N	1946	1044	1010	187	18.51%	822	81.39%	1	0.10%
08A	2799	1949	1914	1037	54.18%	877	45.82%	0	0.00%
08B	3199	2255	2214	1342	60.61%	872	39.39%	0	0.00%
08C	1392	984	963	674	69.99%	289	30.01%	0	0.00%
08D	1022	770	754	520	68.97%	234	31.03%	0	0.00%
08E	2429	1864	1835	1134	61.80%	701	38.20%	0	0.00%
08F1	2071	1628	1588	1073	67.57%	515	32.43%	0	0.00%
08G	2787	1669	1634	699	42.78%	933	57.10%	2	0.12%
08H	2465	1874	1828	1077	58.92%	750	41.03%	1	0.05%
08J	2981	2223	2176	1094	50.28%	1082	49.72%	0	0.00%
08K	973	702	694	313	45.10%	380	54.76%	1	0.14%
08L	4194	2706	2651	897	33.84%	1753	66.13%	1	0.04%
08M	1907	1358	1327	850	64.05%	476	35.87%	1	0.08%
08N1	767	587	578	385	66.61%	192	33.22%	1	0.17%
08N2	1391	927	905	433	47.85%	472	52.15%	0	0.00%
08P	1633	928	909	175	19.25%	732	80.53%	2	0.22%
09A	3338	2152	2105	546	25.94%	1558	74.01%	1	0.05%
09B	385	174	168	3	1.79%	165	98.21%	0	0.00%
09C	2954	1522	1460	48	3.29%	1412	96.71%	0	0.00%
09D	1879	858	816	29	3.55%	786	96.32%	1	0.12%
09E	2782	1420	1364	115	8.43%	1249	91.57%	0	0.00%
09F	3619	2526	2486	1103	44.37%	1383	55.63%	0	0.00%
09G	3519	2282	2239	536	23.94%	1702	76.02%	1	0.04%
09H	1064	645	633	73	11.53%	559	88.31%	1	0.16%
09I	1194	503	477	21	4.40%	455	95.39%	1	0.21%
09K	4403	1851	1785	84	4.71%	1700	95.24%	1	0.06%
09M	2259	1611	1568	358	22.83%	1207	76.98%	3	0.19%
10A	2850	1622	1571	46	2.93%	1525	97.07%	0	0.00%
10B	3686	2464	2395	70	2.92%	2322	96.95%	3	0.13%
10C	2466	1168	1122	35	3.12%	1086	96.79%	1	0.09%
10D	4220	1850	1757	69	3.93%	1686	95.96%	2	0.11%
10E	950	524	502	16	3.19%	486	96.81%	0	0.00%
10F	1053	590	567	24	4.23%	542	95.59%	1	0.18%
10G	1205	813	789	17	2.15%	770	97.59%	2	0.25%
10H1	408	238	235	6	2.55%	229	97.45%	0	0.00%
10H2	2207	1032	996	38	3.82%	957	96.08%	1	0.10%
10I	329	258	255	6	2.35%	249	97.65%	0	0.00%
10J	1012	466	453	12	2.65%	441	97.35%	0	0.00%
10K	1415	858	826	37	4.48%	789	95.52%	0	0.00%
10M	2529	1414	1365	63	4.62%	1301	95.31%	1	0.07%
10P	1364	668	639	26	4.07%	613	95.93%	0	0.00%
10R	713	347	332	8	2.41%	323	97.29%	1	0.30%
11B	5162	3088	2984	97	3.25%	2883	96.62%	4	0.13%
11C	2822	2003	1976	44	2.23%	1931	97.72%	1	0.05%
11E1	1141	634	619	22	3.55%	596	96.28%	1	0.16%
11E2	847	497	485	13	2.68%	472	97.32%	0	0.00%
11E3	3124	1835	1813	39	2.15%	1771	97.68%	3	0.17%
11G	2092	855	817	36	4.41%	781	95.59%	0	0.00%
11H	677	356	342	17	4.97%	325	95.03%	0	0.00%
11J	1827	1018	974	36	3.70%	937	96.20%	1	0.10%
11K	1818	1104	1074	33	3.07%	1040	96.83%	1	0.09%
11M	3039	1659	1622	52	3.21%	1568	96.67%	2	0.12%
11N	2166	1358	1323	45	3.40%	1278	96.60%	0	0.00%
11P	1833	1020	970	23	2.37%	947	97.63%	0	0.00%
11R	1849	672	641	21	3.28%	620	96.72%	0	0.00%
12A	4828	2734	2651	160	6.04%	2487	93.81%	4	0.15%
12D	1209	501	487	17	3.49%	470	96.51%	0	0.00%
12E1	1621	591	559	37	6.62%	522	93.38%	0	0.00%
12F	943	428	412	14	3.40%	398	96.60%	0	0.00%
12G	800	430	403	26	6.45%	377	93.55%	0	0.00%
12H1	1006	310	301	26	8.64%	274	91.03%	1	0.33%
12H2	2562	1189	1152	41	3.56%	1109	96.27%	2	0.17%
12I	970	413	386	11	2.85%	375	97.15%	0	0.00%
12J	2535	1108	1065	30	2.82%	1033	97.00%	2	0.19%
12K	3022	1240	1195	76	6.36%	1118	93.56%	1	0.08%
12L	613	238	229	8	3.49%	221	96.51%	0	0.00%
12M	2533	1171	1145	31	2.71%	1113	97.21%	1	0.09%
12N	907	327	312	13	4.17%	298	95.51%	1	0.32%
12S	1794	959	915	32	3.50%	883	96.50%	0	0.00%
AP01A	4724	3141	3094	1651	53.36%	1443	46.64%	0	0.00%
AP01B	913	516	510	241	47.25%	269	52.75%	0	0.00%
AP01C	2233	1498	1482	798	53.85%	684	46.15%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

ATTORNEY GENERAL

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	C. CARR (I) R		C. BAILEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
AP01D	915	539	528	227	42.99%	301	57.01%	0	0.00%
AP02B	474	263	261	132	50.57%	129	49.43%	0	0.00%
AP03	741	314	308	71	23.05%	237	76.95%	0	0.00%
AP04A	1647	1183	1163	702	60.36%	461	39.64%	0	0.00%
AP04B	415	290	285	163	57.19%	122	42.81%	0	0.00%
AP05	4367	2981	2933	1724	58.78%	1206	41.12%	3	0.10%
AP06	1827	1309	1282	806	62.87%	476	37.13%	0	0.00%
AP07A	3422	2102	2057	1099	53.43%	957	46.52%	1	0.05%
AP07B	1650	1144	1124	626	55.69%	498	44.31%	0	0.00%
AP09A	4051	2687	2638	1324	50.19%	1313	49.77%	1	0.04%
AP09B	810	531	527	213	40.42%	314	59.58%	0	0.00%
AP021	2994	1963	1925	1042	54.13%	881	45.77%	2	0.10%
AP022	2210	1429	1390	672	48.35%	718	51.65%	0	0.00%
AP10	942	681	667	415	62.22%	252	37.78%	0	0.00%
AP12A	658	374	364	128	35.16%	236	64.84%	0	0.00%
AP12B	747	428	421	137	32.54%	284	67.46%	0	0.00%
AP12C	1584	1005	988	554	56.07%	434	43.93%	0	0.00%
AP14	2972	2027	1987	1044	52.54%	941	47.36%	2	0.10%
CH01	330	164	162	43	26.54%	119	73.46%	0	0.00%
CH02	319	202	195	120	61.54%	74	37.95%	1	0.51%
CH03	379	250	244	152	62.30%	92	37.70%	0	0.00%
CH04A	647	444	435	225	51.72%	210	48.28%	0	0.00%
CH05	407	260	254	176	69.29%	78	30.71%	0	0.00%
CP01B	381	227	223	83	37.22%	140	62.78%	0	0.00%
CP011	1135	617	601	129	21.46%	472	78.54%	0	0.00%
CP012	1318	844	819	175	21.37%	644	78.63%	0	0.00%
CP02	1000	553	536	75	13.99%	461	86.01%	0	0.00%
CP04A	8	2	2	1	50.00%	1	50.00%	0	0.00%
CP04B	39	14	14	3	21.43%	11	78.57%	0	0.00%
CP05B	143	76	75	6	8.00%	69	92.00%	0	0.00%
CP051	1612	874	850	38	4.47%	811	95.41%	1	0.12%
CP06A	598	208	201	4	1.99%	197	98.01%	0	0.00%
CP07B	7	2	2	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP07C	244	47	47	3	6.38%	44	93.62%	0	0.00%
CP07D	694	208	200	6	3.00%	194	97.00%	0	0.00%
CP07E	125	41	39	1	2.56%	38	97.44%	0	0.00%
CP07F	184	55	51	1	1.96%	50	98.04%	0	0.00%
CP081	343	77	76	5	6.58%	71	93.42%	0	0.00%
CP083	219	25	25	0	0.00%	25	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP084	3	1	1	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP08A	705	175	172	7	4.07%	165	95.93%	0	0.00%
EP01A	2609	1464	1423	154	10.82%	1268	89.11%	1	0.07%
EP01B	3038	1539	1510	116	7.68%	1394	92.32%	0	0.00%
EP02A	1967	890	858	57	6.64%	801	93.36%	0	0.00%
EP02B	1449	977	961	132	13.74%	828	86.16%	1	0.10%
EP02C	428	251	247	54	21.86%	192	77.73%	1	0.40%
EP02D	326	192	185	9	4.86%	176	95.14%	0	0.00%
EP02E	1382	627	613	31	5.06%	582	94.94%	0	0.00%
EP03A	4293	2512	2447	136	5.56%	2302	94.07%	9	0.37%
EP03B	1421	973	947	51	5.39%	895	94.51%	1	0.11%
EP04A	5432	2626	2583	75	2.90%	2505	96.98%	3	0.12%
EP04B	2024	1203	1193	25	2.10%	1168	97.90%	0	0.00%
FA01A	3574	2126	2082	217	10.42%	1865	89.58%	0	0.00%
FA01B	4833	2965	2918	299	10.25%	2619	89.75%	0	0.00%
FA01C	681	401	399	18	4.51%	380	95.24%	1	0.25%
HP01	3212	1780	1729	444	25.68%	1284	74.26%	1	0.06%
JC01	4977	3215	3159	1307	41.37%	1850	58.56%	2	0.06%
JC02	2460	1474	1446	654	45.23%	792	54.77%	0	0.00%
JC03A	540	384	378	189	50.00%	189	50.00%	0	0.00%
JC03B	1448	955	936	374	39.96%	562	60.04%	0	0.00%
JC04A	4042	2692	2641	1277	48.35%	1361	51.53%	3	0.11%
JC04B	255	156	152	71	46.71%	81	53.29%	0	0.00%
JC05	2136	1391	1365	625	45.79%	740	54.21%	0	0.00%
JC06	2627	1580	1549	880	56.81%	669	43.19%	0	0.00%
JC07	4083	2627	2566	1189	46.34%	1377	53.66%	0	0.00%
JC08	2737	1870	1836	912	49.67%	924	50.33%	0	0.00%
JC09	2928	1878	1858	782	42.09%	1076	57.91%	0	0.00%
JC10	2392	1507	1474	590	40.03%	884	59.97%	0	0.00%
JC11	3186	2085	2054	1177	57.30%	875	42.60%	2	0.10%
JC12	2455	1753	1720	1158	67.33%	562	32.67%	0	0.00%
JC13A	2115	1462	1429	971	67.95%	458	32.05%	0	0.00%
JC13B	271	180	175	139	79.43%	36	20.57%	0	0.00%
JC14	2477	1634	1602	944	58.93%	658	41.07%	0	0.00%
JC15	834	523	507	206	40.63%	301	59.37%	0	0.00%
JC16	2496	1729	1689	857	50.74%	828	49.02%	4	0.24%
JC18	2670	1969	1943	1123	57.80%	820	42.20%	0	0.00%
JC19	3759	2528	2487	1256	50.50%	1229	49.42%	2	0.08%
ML011	2439	1681	1648	1025	62.20%	623	37.80%	0	0.00%
ML012	485	338	330	232	70.30%	98	29.70%	0	0.00%
ML01B	138	96	94	61	64.89%	33	35.11%	0	0.00%
ML021	1991	1369	1349	1021	75.69%	328	24.31%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

ATTORNEY GENERAL

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	C. CARR (I) R		C. BAILEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
ML022	241	148	146	112	76.71%	34	23.29%	0	0.00%
ML023	1542	1027	1009	747	74.03%	262	25.97%	0	0.00%
ML024	113	70	70	60	85.71%	10	14.29%	0	0.00%
ML03	3757	2575	2540	1796	70.71%	743	29.25%	1	0.04%
ML04A	1747	1176	1157	713	61.62%	444	38.38%	0	0.00%
ML04B	1206	838	827	583	70.50%	244	29.50%	0	0.00%
ML04C	79	66	65	45	69.23%	20	30.77%	0	0.00%
ML05A	39	27	27	18	66.67%	9	33.33%	0	0.00%
ML05B	381	250	246	164	66.67%	81	32.93%	1	0.41%
ML05C	4023	2615	2576	1355	52.60%	1220	47.36%	1	0.04%
ML06A	1973	1024	1011	351	34.72%	660	65.28%	0	0.00%
ML06B	2775	1734	1705	657	38.53%	1048	61.47%	0	0.00%
ML071	105	73	72	48	66.67%	24	33.33%	0	0.00%
ML072	1249	857	846	596	70.45%	249	29.43%	1	0.12%
ML07A	707	472	468	327	69.87%	141	30.13%	0	0.00%
MP01	450	323	317	151	47.63%	166	52.37%	0	0.00%
PA01	2509	1330	1295	300	23.17%	993	76.68%	2	0.15%
RW01	4717	3355	3285	1997	60.79%	1287	39.18%	1	0.03%
RW02	3794	2675	2613	1393	53.31%	1218	46.61%	2	0.08%
RW03	4510	2745	2686	1196	44.53%	1489	55.44%	1	0.04%
RW04	1803	1359	1333	680	51.01%	652	48.91%	1	0.08%
RW05	2322	1207	1186	412	34.74%	774	65.26%	0	0.00%
RW06	2820	1914	1888	938	49.68%	949	50.26%	1	0.05%
RW07A	1549	677	659	96	14.57%	562	85.28%	1	0.15%
RW07B	388	214	208	50	24.04%	158	75.96%	0	0.00%
RW08	2138	1462	1422	900	63.29%	522	36.71%	0	0.00%
RW09	5918	4216	4147	2734	65.93%	1411	34.02%	2	0.05%
RW10	3347	2376	2320	1408	60.69%	911	39.27%	1	0.04%
RW11A	2667	1693	1661	799	48.10%	862	51.90%	0	0.00%
RW12	5944	4234	4148	2546	61.38%	1602	38.62%	0	0.00%
RW13	3555	2476	2425	1284	52.95%	1139	46.97%	2	0.08%
RW16	1850	1275	1258	712	56.60%	546	43.40%	0	0.00%
RW17	2794	1773	1738	681	39.18%	1055	60.70%	2	0.12%
RW19	1993	1434	1412	904	64.02%	508	35.98%	0	0.00%
RW20	2486	1797	1767	986	55.80%	781	44.20%	0	0.00%
RW21	3144	2169	2132	1364	63.98%	768	36.02%	0	0.00%
RW22A	4406	2486	2448	935	38.19%	1511	61.72%	2	0.08%
SC01A	20	25	24	2	8.33%	22	91.67%	0	0.00%
SC01B	1707	1295	1283	38	2.96%	1244	96.96%	1	0.08%
SC01C	2063	1513	1487	47	3.16%	1438	96.70%	2	0.13%
SC01D	294	162	162	2	1.23%	160	98.77%	0	0.00%
SC02	872	662	651	37	5.68%	613	94.16%	1	0.15%
SC04	922	616	604	193	31.95%	411	68.05%	0	0.00%
SC05A	646	409	400	11	2.75%	389	97.25%	0	0.00%
SC05B	3204	2188	2158	80	3.71%	2076	96.20%	2	0.09%
SC05D	2171	1428	1418	51	3.60%	1367	96.40%	0	0.00%
SC05E	623	434	426	64	15.02%	362	84.98%	0	0.00%
SC07A	5595	3698	3640	290	7.97%	3350	92.03%	0	0.00%
SC07C	29	10	10	0	0.00%	10	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08B	3382	2008	1958	73	3.73%	1884	96.22%	1	0.05%
SC08C	74	53	50	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08D	499	314	313	6	1.92%	306	97.76%	1	0.32%
SC08E	562	316	307	6	1.95%	301	98.05%	0	0.00%
SC08F	700	366	361	16	4.43%	345	95.57%	0	0.00%
SC08G	12	8	8	0	0.00%	8	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08H	4	3	3	0	0.00%	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC09A	1628	839	814	34	4.18%	779	95.70%	1	0.12%
SC09B	1687	1084	1061	36	3.39%	1025	96.61%	0	0.00%
SC09C	1566	842	825	21	2.55%	804	97.45%	0	0.00%
SC10	1678	661	649	21	3.24%	628	96.76%	0	0.00%
SC11A	1411	813	798	21	2.63%	776	97.24%	1	0.13%
SC11B	1506	878	866	31	3.58%	833	96.19%	2	0.23%
SC13	2465	1636	1618	80	4.94%	1535	94.87%	3	0.19%
SC14A	916	429	415	18	4.34%	396	95.42%	1	0.24%
SC14B	502	45	42	8	19.05%	34	80.95%	0	0.00%
SC15	6193	4213	4151	159	3.83%	3989	96.10%	3	0.07%
SC16A	4601	3208	3162	86	2.72%	3075	97.25%	1	0.03%
SC16B	14	15	15	0	0.00%	15	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC17A	55	42	42	0	0.00%	42	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC17B	7	4	4	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%
SC17C	1134	669	656	25	3.81%	631	96.19%	0	0.00%
SC18A	510	329	322	7	2.17%	315	97.83%	0	0.00%
SC18B	663	462	454	18	3.96%	436	96.04%	0	0.00%
SC18C	1850	1352	1336	71	5.31%	1265	94.69%	0	0.00%
SC19A	195	129	126	5	3.97%	121	96.03%	0	0.00%
SC19B	1267	690	671	31	4.62%	638	95.08%	2	0.30%
SC20	2197	1665	1651	50	3.03%	1600	96.91%	1	0.06%
SC211	1328	841	833	38	4.56%	794	95.32%	1	0.12%
SC212	3378	1947	1913	100	5.23%	1810	94.62%	3	0.16%
SC23A	3161	1730	1701	44	2.59%	1657	97.41%	0	0.00%
SC23B	483	284	281	12	4.27%	269	95.73%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

ATTORNEY GENERAL

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	C. CARR (I) R		C. BAILEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
SC23C	2252	1302	1273	31	2.44%	1241	97.49%	1	0.08%
SC27	2129	1259	1228	32	2.61%	1194	97.23%	2	0.16%
SC29A	2784	1544	1507	48	3.19%	1458	96.75%	1	0.07%
SC30A	135	97	92	1	1.09%	91	98.91%	0	0.00%
SC30B	960	531	516	13	2.52%	503	97.48%	0	0.00%
SS01	4487	3270	3205	1953	60.94%	1252	39.06%	0	0.00%
SS02A	1120	755	745	313	42.01%	432	57.99%	0	0.00%
SS02B	1255	756	745	191	25.64%	554	74.36%	0	0.00%
SS03	1464	1027	1008	459	45.54%	548	54.37%	1	0.10%
SS04	3264	2064	2025	731	36.10%	1293	63.85%	1	0.05%
SS05	1746	1376	1344	714	53.13%	630	46.88%	0	0.00%
SS06	1397	1073	1048	581	55.44%	467	44.56%	0	0.00%
SS07A	968	683	664	367	55.27%	297	44.73%	0	0.00%
SS07B	609	405	401	172	42.89%	229	57.11%	0	0.00%
SS07C	755	436	426	214	50.23%	212	49.77%	0	0.00%
SS08A	1316	758	748	237	31.68%	511	68.32%	0	0.00%
SS08B	635	453	448	235	52.46%	213	47.54%	0	0.00%
SS08C	632	393	386	118	30.57%	268	69.43%	0	0.00%
SS08D	1368	847	834	294	35.25%	540	64.75%	0	0.00%
SS09A	3003	2210	2167	1268	58.51%	898	41.44%	1	0.05%
SS09B	3358	2452	2408	1385	57.52%	1022	42.44%	1	0.04%
SS11A	3655	2227	2173	898	41.33%	1274	58.63%	1	0.05%
SS11B	1257	707	687	209	30.42%	478	69.58%	0	0.00%
SS11C	269	146	140	27	19.29%	113	80.71%	0	0.00%
SS11D	365	254	246	158	64.23%	88	35.77%	0	0.00%
SS12	2559	1732	1692	895	52.90%	796	47.04%	1	0.06%
SS13A	1633	1072	1057	517	48.91%	540	51.09%	0	0.00%
SS13B	1001	629	617	316	51.22%	301	48.78%	0	0.00%
SS14	1274	977	960	618	64.38%	341	35.52%	1	0.10%
SS15A	2572	1199	1174	171	14.57%	1003	85.43%	0	0.00%
SS15B	1579	902	883	273	30.92%	610	69.08%	0	0.00%
SS16	2030	1534	1506	886	58.83%	620	41.17%	0	0.00%
SS17	3397	2229	2192	1154	52.65%	1037	47.31%	1	0.05%
SS18A	619	454	450	226	50.22%	224	49.78%	0	0.00%
SS18B	221	162	158	80	50.63%	78	49.37%	0	0.00%
SS19A	3016	1683	1655	418	25.26%	1235	74.62%	2	0.12%
SS19B	2032	1226	1204	383	31.81%	821	68.19%	0	0.00%
SS20	2060	1159	1138	394	34.62%	744	65.38%	0	0.00%
SS22	2714	1613	1568	676	43.11%	892	56.89%	0	0.00%
SS26	3552	1822	1790	338	18.88%	1452	81.12%	0	0.00%
SS29A	2488	1595	1562	665	42.57%	897	57.43%	0	0.00%
SS31	1345	938	922	413	44.79%	509	55.21%	0	0.00%
UC01A	3622	1715	1690	77	4.56%	1613	95.44%	0	0.00%
UC01B	395	210	206	7	3.40%	199	96.60%	0	0.00%
UC01D	6	2	2	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
UC01E	285	206	206	3	1.46%	203	98.54%	0	0.00%
UC02A	7513	4296	4208	162	3.85%	4042	96.06%	4	0.10%
UC02B	30	19	19	4	21.05%	15	78.95%	0	0.00%
UC031	2399	1203	1171	206	17.59%	964	82.32%	1	0.09%
UC032	292	186	182	29	15.93%	153	84.07%	0	0.00%
Total	703177	424992	415756	122615	29.49%	292910	70.45%	231	0.06%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	G. BLACK (I) R		F. SWANN (D)		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide									
01A	3199	2362	2307	310	13.44%	1994	86.43%	3	0.13%
01B	3839	2737	2654	378	14.24%	2276	85.76%	0	0.00%
01C	1459	612	593	28	4.72%	565	95.28%	0	0.00%
01D	511	307	300	16	5.33%	284	94.67%	0	0.00%
01E	3363	2410	2330	398	17.08%	1930	82.83%	2	0.09%
01F	1254	452	438	21	4.79%	416	94.98%	1	0.23%
01G	1909	1102	1059	100	9.44%	959	90.56%	0	0.00%
01H	758	379	367	19	5.18%	347	94.55%	1	0.27%
01J	2416	1184	1146	50	4.36%	1095	95.55%	1	0.09%
01P	1244	542	503	17	3.38%	486	96.62%	0	0.00%
01R	1344	491	460	16	3.48%	444	96.52%	0	0.00%
01S	1749	823	783	44	5.62%	737	94.13%	2	0.26%
01T	1506	796	764	71	9.29%	693	90.71%	0	0.00%
02A	4584	2949	2885	726	25.16%	2158	74.80%	1	0.03%
02B	3518	1265	1232	184	14.94%	1048	85.06%	0	0.00%
02C	2037	1205	1156	162	14.01%	992	85.81%	2	0.17%
02D	4475	2660	2591	403	15.55%	2187	84.41%	1	0.04%
02E	1905	1423	1390	232	16.69%	1158	83.31%	0	0.00%
02F1	2962	2033	1968	376	19.11%	1588	80.69%	4	0.20%
02F2	788	458	445	64	14.38%	381	85.62%	0	0.00%
02G	3443	2361	2293	414	18.05%	1877	81.86%	2	0.09%
02J	2159	1432	1382	263	19.03%	1119	80.97%	0	0.00%
02K	1419	951	917	165	17.99%	752	82.01%	0	0.00%
02L1	6213	3978	3871	834	21.54%	3036	78.43%	1	0.03%
02L2	1364	782	756	168	22.22%	587	77.65%	1	0.13%
02S	708	427	417	48	11.51%	369	88.49%	0	0.00%
02W	682	399	388	77	19.85%	311	80.15%	0	0.00%
03A	1927	692	661	34	5.14%	627	94.86%	0	0.00%
03B	560	181	172	7	4.07%	165	95.93%	0	0.00%
03C	1534	674	639	27	4.23%	612	95.77%	0	0.00%
03D	1017	402	384	16	4.17%	368	95.83%	0	0.00%
03E	2284	914	878	47	5.35%	830	94.53%	1	0.11%
03F	1925	1115	1095	207	18.90%	888	81.10%	0	0.00%
03G	802	360	347	15	4.32%	332	95.68%	0	0.00%
03H	900	369	342	18	5.26%	324	94.74%	0	0.00%
03I	1360	566	543	122	22.47%	420	77.35%	1	0.18%
03L	594	229	217	8	3.69%	209	96.31%	0	0.00%
03M	766	452	429	124	28.90%	305	71.10%	0	0.00%
03N	2288	938	902	70	7.76%	832	92.24%	0	0.00%
03P1A	3882	1966	1919	316	16.47%	1600	83.38%	3	0.16%
03P2	13	7	7	0	0.00%	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
03S	761	356	344	14	4.07%	330	95.93%	0	0.00%
03T	1505	715	693	38	5.48%	655	94.52%	0	0.00%
04A	1202	560	533	20	3.75%	511	95.87%	2	0.38%
04B	2242	922	895	29	3.24%	865	96.65%	1	0.11%
04C	136	54	54	1	1.85%	53	98.15%	0	0.00%
04D	1481	714	685	42	6.13%	643	93.87%	0	0.00%
04F	2086	935	905	85	9.39%	819	90.50%	1	0.11%
04G	828	312	296	18	6.08%	278	93.92%	0	0.00%
04I	3362	561	542	59	10.89%	482	88.93%	1	0.18%
04J	1230	317	310	13	4.19%	297	95.81%	0	0.00%
04K	2339	1266	1199	63	5.25%	1133	94.50%	3	0.25%
04L	1655	867	835	66	7.90%	767	91.86%	2	0.24%
04M	773	292	283	20	7.07%	263	92.93%	0	0.00%
04S	2165	1118	1055	49	4.64%	1006	95.36%	0	0.00%
04T	1145	584	553	27	4.88%	525	94.94%	1	0.18%
04V	2848	1211	1163	38	3.27%	1125	96.73%	0	0.00%
04W	2203	820	805	15	1.86%	787	97.76%	3	0.37%
04X1	1942	950	916	55	6.00%	859	93.78%	2	0.22%
04X2	737	364	345	21	6.09%	324	93.91%	0	0.00%
05A1	2491	1728	1679	216	12.86%	1461	87.02%	2	0.12%
05A2	2910	1998	1951	266	13.63%	1682	86.21%	3	0.15%
05B	1763	901	846	55	6.50%	787	93.03%	4	0.47%
05C	146	67	65	5	7.69%	60	92.31%	0	0.00%
05D	386	93	89	8	8.99%	81	91.01%	0	0.00%
05F	223	136	136	11	8.09%	125	91.91%	0	0.00%
05J	639	416	406	51	12.56%	355	87.44%	0	0.00%
05K	2600	1327	1302	123	9.45%	1176	90.32%	3	0.23%
06B	1756	1238	1207	326	27.01%	881	72.99%	0	0.00%
06D	8568	6060	5896	1657	28.10%	4237	71.86%	2	0.03%
06E	2250	1439	1413	333	23.57%	1079	76.36%	1	0.07%
06F	2709	1757	1693	419	24.75%	1272	75.13%	2	0.12%
06G	536	369	358	83	23.18%	275	76.82%	0	0.00%
06I	3412	1957	1915	344	17.96%	1570	81.98%	1	0.05%
06J	2539	1904	1846	515	27.90%	1330	72.05%	1	0.05%
06L1	917	679	648	225	34.72%	422	65.12%	1	0.15%
06L2	654	487	470	192	40.85%	278	59.15%	0	0.00%
06N	1844	1085	1057	457	43.24%	600	56.76%	0	0.00%
06Q	527	295	292	33	11.30%	258	88.36%	1	0.34%
06R	1836	1206	1180	377	31.95%	802	67.97%	1	0.08%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	G. BLACK (I) R		F. SWANN (D)		Write-In Votes	
07A	6600	4093	3948	1942	49.19%	2000	50.66%	6	0.15%
07B	2763	2107	2045	1389	67.92%	656	32.08%	0	0.00%
07C	3927	2498	2411	1208	50.10%	1203	49.90%	0	0.00%
07D	4231	2413	2332	1092	46.83%	1239	53.13%	1	0.04%
07E	2031	1358	1315	491	37.34%	823	62.59%	1	0.08%
07F	3017	2041	1962	957	48.78%	1004	51.17%	1	0.05%
07H	1923	1424	1370	714	52.12%	655	47.81%	1	0.07%
07J	2647	1753	1696	744	43.87%	951	56.07%	1	0.06%
07M	2155	1180	1155	454	39.31%	701	60.69%	0	0.00%
07N	1946	1044	1001	214	21.38%	787	78.62%	0	0.00%
08A	2799	1949	1903	1079	56.70%	823	43.25%	1	0.05%
08B	3199	2255	2188	1414	64.63%	773	35.33%	1	0.05%
08C	1392	984	951	700	73.61%	251	26.39%	0	0.00%
08D	1022	770	741	545	73.55%	196	26.45%	0	0.00%
08E	2429	1864	1807	1189	65.80%	617	34.14%	1	0.06%
08F1	2071	1628	1561	1105	70.79%	454	29.08%	2	0.13%
08G	2787	1669	1615	744	46.07%	871	53.93%	0	0.00%
08H	2465	1874	1805	1148	63.60%	656	36.34%	1	0.06%
08J	2981	2223	2132	1179	55.30%	953	44.70%	0	0.00%
08K	973	702	688	348	50.58%	340	49.42%	0	0.00%
08L	4194	2706	2618	989	37.78%	1627	62.15%	2	0.08%
08M	1907	1358	1310	888	67.79%	422	32.21%	0	0.00%
08N1	767	587	569	397	69.77%	172	30.23%	0	0.00%
08N2	1391	927	887	459	51.75%	428	48.25%	0	0.00%
08P	1633	928	902	201	22.28%	699	77.49%	2	0.22%
09A	3338	2152	2085	611	29.30%	1471	70.55%	3	0.14%
09B	385	174	166	2	1.20%	164	98.80%	0	0.00%
09C	2954	1522	1450	56	3.86%	1394	96.14%	0	0.00%
09D	1879	858	811	36	4.44%	774	95.44%	1	0.12%
09E	2782	1420	1361	118	8.67%	1243	91.33%	0	0.00%
09F	3619	2526	2443	1181	48.34%	1259	51.53%	3	0.12%
09G	3519	2282	2225	615	27.64%	1610	72.36%	0	0.00%
09H	1064	645	628	105	16.72%	522	83.12%	1	0.16%
09I	1194	503	472	26	5.51%	446	94.49%	0	0.00%
09K	4403	1851	1781	95	5.33%	1685	94.61%	1	0.06%
09M	2259	1611	1558	401	25.74%	1154	74.07%	3	0.19%
10A	2850	1622	1554	50	3.22%	1503	96.72%	1	0.06%
10B	3686	2464	2384	91	3.82%	2290	96.06%	3	0.13%
10C	2466	1168	1113	39	3.50%	1073	96.41%	1	0.09%
10D	4220	1850	1754	76	4.33%	1676	95.55%	2	0.11%
10E	950	524	505	25	4.95%	480	95.05%	0	0.00%
10F	1053	590	567	32	5.64%	535	94.36%	0	0.00%
10G	1205	813	785	26	3.31%	758	96.56%	1	0.13%
10H1	408	238	233	10	4.29%	223	95.71%	0	0.00%
10H2	2207	1032	980	44	4.49%	935	95.41%	1	0.10%
10I	329	258	247	6	2.43%	240	97.17%	1	0.40%
10J	1012	466	446	12	2.69%	434	97.31%	0	0.00%
10K	1415	858	829	38	4.58%	791	95.42%	0	0.00%
10M	2529	1414	1355	63	4.65%	1291	95.28%	1	0.07%
10P	1364	668	635	33	5.20%	601	94.65%	1	0.16%
10R	713	347	329	11	3.34%	318	96.66%	0	0.00%
11B	5162	3088	2992	119	3.98%	2870	95.92%	3	0.10%
11C	2822	2003	1963	56	2.85%	1905	97.05%	2	0.10%
11E1	1141	634	617	26	4.21%	589	95.46%	2	0.32%
11E2	847	497	482	16	3.32%	466	96.68%	0	0.00%
11E3	3124	1835	1811	52	2.87%	1756	96.96%	3	0.17%
11G	2092	855	812	41	5.05%	771	94.95%	0	0.00%
11H	677	356	337	23	6.82%	314	93.18%	0	0.00%
11J	1827	1018	958	40	4.18%	918	95.82%	0	0.00%
11K	1818	1104	1064	55	5.17%	1008	94.74%	1	0.09%
11M	3039	1659	1612	53	3.29%	1557	96.59%	2	0.12%
11N	2166	1358	1311	58	4.42%	1253	95.58%	0	0.00%
11P	1833	1020	964	36	3.73%	928	96.27%	0	0.00%
11R	1849	672	635	28	4.41%	607	95.59%	0	0.00%
12A	4828	2734	2631	199	7.56%	2429	92.32%	3	0.11%
12D	1209	501	487	20	4.11%	467	95.89%	0	0.00%
12E1	1621	591	557	40	7.18%	516	92.64%	1	0.18%
12F	943	428	412	25	6.07%	387	93.93%	0	0.00%
12G	800	430	403	24	5.96%	379	94.04%	0	0.00%
12H1	1006	310	300	23	7.67%	276	92.00%	1	0.33%
12H2	2562	1189	1141	46	4.03%	1093	95.79%	2	0.18%
12I	970	413	384	16	4.17%	368	95.83%	0	0.00%
12J	2535	1108	1063	37	3.48%	1023	96.24%	3	0.28%
12K	3022	1240	1177	91	7.73%	1085	92.18%	1	0.08%
12L	613	238	225	9	4.00%	216	96.00%	0	0.00%
12M	2533	1171	1137	36	3.17%	1101	96.83%	0	0.00%
12N	907	327	309	18	5.83%	291	94.17%	0	0.00%
12S	1794	959	907	43	4.74%	864	95.26%	0	0.00%
AP01A	4724	3141	3058	1687	55.17%	1369	44.77%	2	0.07%
AP01B	913	516	503	242	48.11%	261	51.89%	0	0.00%
AP01C	2233	1498	1466	832	56.75%	633	43.18%	1	0.07%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	G. BLACK (I) R		F. SWANN (D)		Write-In Votes	
AP01D	915	539	526	237	45.06%	289	54.94%	0	0.00%
AP02B	474	263	257	134	52.14%	123	47.86%	0	0.00%
AP03	741	314	308	77	25.00%	231	75.00%	0	0.00%
AP04A	1647	1183	1152	732	63.54%	420	36.46%	0	0.00%
AP04B	415	290	283	161	56.89%	121	42.76%	1	0.35%
AP05	4367	2981	2908	1757	60.42%	1148	39.48%	3	0.10%
AP06	1827	1309	1263	831	65.80%	432	34.20%	0	0.00%
AP07A	3422	2102	2047	1127	55.06%	917	44.80%	3	0.15%
AP07B	1650	1144	1121	643	57.36%	478	42.64%	0	0.00%
AP09A	4051	2687	2614	1362	52.10%	1249	47.78%	3	0.11%
AP09B	810	531	519	220	42.39%	298	57.42%	1	0.19%
AP021	2994	1963	1915	1088	56.81%	824	43.03%	3	0.16%
AP022	2210	1429	1381	701	50.76%	679	49.17%	1	0.07%
AP10	942	681	658	424	64.44%	234	35.56%	0	0.00%
AP12A	658	374	363	140	38.57%	223	61.43%	0	0.00%
AP12B	747	428	416	141	33.89%	274	65.87%	1	0.24%
AP12C	1584	1005	974	559	57.39%	415	42.61%	0	0.00%
AP14	2972	2027	1977	1095	55.39%	882	44.61%	0	0.00%
CH01	330	164	161	43	26.71%	118	73.29%	0	0.00%
CH02	319	202	195	124	63.59%	70	35.90%	1	0.51%
CH03	379	250	244	160	65.57%	83	34.02%	1	0.41%
CH04A	647	444	435	244	56.09%	191	43.91%	0	0.00%
CH05	407	260	254	191	75.20%	62	24.41%	1	0.39%
CP01B	381	227	222	86	38.74%	136	61.26%	0	0.00%
CP011	1135	617	598	159	26.59%	439	73.41%	0	0.00%
CP012	1318	844	811	176	21.70%	635	78.30%	0	0.00%
CP02	1000	553	536	76	14.18%	460	85.82%	0	0.00%
CP04A	8	2	2	1	50.00%	1	50.00%	0	0.00%
CP04B	39	14	14	4	28.57%	10	71.43%	0	0.00%
CP05B	143	76	73	3	4.11%	70	95.89%	0	0.00%
CP051	1612	874	838	37	4.42%	800	95.47%	1	0.12%
CP06A	598	208	198	4	2.02%	194	97.98%	0	0.00%
CP07B	7	2	2	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP07C	244	47	47	4	8.51%	43	91.49%	0	0.00%
CP07D	694	208	199	6	3.02%	193	96.98%	0	0.00%
CP07E	125	41	39	1	2.56%	38	97.44%	0	0.00%
CP07F	184	55	50	1	2.00%	49	98.00%	0	0.00%
CP081	343	77	76	7	9.21%	69	90.79%	0	0.00%
CP083	219	25	25	1	4.00%	24	96.00%	0	0.00%
CP084	3	1	1	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP08A	705	175	173	7	4.05%	166	95.95%	0	0.00%
EP01A	2609	1464	1418	169	11.92%	1247	87.94%	2	0.14%
EP01B	3038	1539	1504	129	8.58%	1375	91.42%	0	0.00%
EP02A	1967	890	851	57	6.70%	794	93.30%	0	0.00%
EP02B	1449	977	956	158	16.53%	797	83.37%	1	0.10%
EP02C	428	251	247	53	21.46%	194	78.54%	0	0.00%
EP02D	326	192	185	9	4.86%	175	94.59%	1	0.54%
EP02E	1382	627	604	35	5.79%	569	94.21%	0	0.00%
EP03A	4293	2512	2441	152	6.23%	2286	93.65%	3	0.12%
EP03B	1421	973	948	65	6.86%	883	93.14%	0	0.00%
EP04A	5432	2626	2564	81	3.16%	2481	96.76%	2	0.08%
EP04B	2024	1203	1185	28	2.36%	1157	97.64%	0	0.00%
FA01A	3574	2126	2074	240	11.57%	1834	88.43%	0	0.00%
FA01B	4833	2965	2893	329	11.37%	2564	88.63%	0	0.00%
FA01C	681	401	397	20	5.04%	376	94.71%	1	0.25%
HP01	3212	1780	1719	488	28.39%	1231	71.61%	0	0.00%
JC01	4977	3215	3131	1336	42.67%	1788	57.11%	7	0.22%
JC02	2460	1474	1433	659	45.99%	774	54.01%	0	0.00%
JC03A	540	384	375	185	49.33%	190	50.67%	0	0.00%
JC03B	1448	955	927	388	41.86%	538	58.04%	1	0.11%
JC04A	4042	2692	2614	1312	50.19%	1299	49.69%	3	0.11%
JC04B	255	156	147	72	48.98%	75	51.02%	0	0.00%
JC05	2136	1391	1357	637	46.94%	720	53.06%	0	0.00%
JC06	2627	1580	1533	891	58.12%	642	41.88%	0	0.00%
JC07	4083	2627	2549	1225	48.06%	1322	51.86%	2	0.08%
JC08	2737	1870	1820	956	52.53%	862	47.36%	2	0.11%
JC09	2928	1878	1844	815	44.20%	1027	55.69%	2	0.11%
JC10	2392	1507	1464	609	41.60%	855	58.40%	0	0.00%
JC11	3186	2085	2038	1199	58.83%	839	41.17%	0	0.00%
JC12	2455	1753	1716	1175	68.47%	541	31.53%	0	0.00%
JC13A	2115	1462	1425	980	68.77%	445	31.23%	0	0.00%
JC13B	271	180	171	138	80.70%	33	19.30%	0	0.00%
JC14	2477	1634	1593	971	60.95%	620	38.92%	2	0.13%
JC15	834	523	505	210	41.58%	295	58.42%	0	0.00%
JC16	2496	1729	1685	900	53.41%	782	46.41%	3	0.18%
JC18	2670	1969	1928	1143	59.28%	783	40.61%	2	0.10%
JC19	3759	2528	2469	1292	52.33%	1177	47.67%	0	0.00%
ML011	2439	1681	1642	1045	63.64%	597	36.36%	0	0.00%
ML012	485	338	329	245	74.47%	84	25.53%	0	0.00%
ML01B	138	96	94	65	69.15%	29	30.85%	0	0.00%
ML021	1991	1369	1340	1033	77.09%	307	22.91%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	G. BLACK (I) R		F. SWANN (D)		Write-In Votes	
ML022	241	148	147	117	79.59%	30	20.41%	0	0.00%
ML023	1542	1027	999	748	74.87%	251	25.13%	0	0.00%
ML024	113	70	70	61	87.14%	9	12.86%	0	0.00%
ML03	3757	2575	2529	1830	72.36%	699	27.64%	0	0.00%
ML04A	1747	1176	1140	714	62.63%	425	37.28%	1	0.09%
ML04B	1206	838	818	592	72.37%	226	27.63%	0	0.00%
ML04C	79	66	63	44	69.84%	19	30.16%	0	0.00%
ML05A	39	27	27	19	70.37%	8	29.63%	0	0.00%
ML05B	381	250	245	171	69.80%	73	29.80%	1	0.41%
ML05C	4023	2615	2549	1399	54.88%	1147	45.00%	3	0.12%
ML06A	1973	1024	1007	380	37.74%	627	62.26%	0	0.00%
ML06B	2775	1734	1693	671	39.63%	1021	60.31%	1	0.06%
ML071	105	73	71	53	74.65%	18	25.35%	0	0.00%
ML072	1249	857	838	602	71.84%	235	28.04%	1	0.12%
ML07A	707	472	461	330	71.58%	131	28.42%	0	0.00%
MP01	450	323	318	160	50.31%	158	49.69%	0	0.00%
PA01	2509	1330	1287	318	24.71%	967	75.14%	2	0.16%
RW01	4717	3355	3245	2045	63.02%	1199	36.95%	1	0.03%
RW02	3794	2675	2596	1447	55.74%	1147	44.18%	2	0.08%
RW03	4510	2745	2660	1253	47.11%	1406	52.86%	1	0.04%
RW04	1803	1359	1322	706	53.40%	615	46.52%	1	0.08%
RW05	2322	1207	1175	424	36.09%	751	63.91%	0	0.00%
RW06	2820	1914	1866	968	51.88%	896	48.02%	2	0.11%
RW07A	1549	677	657	103	15.68%	552	84.02%	2	0.30%
RW07B	388	214	207	59	28.50%	148	71.50%	0	0.00%
RW08	2138	1462	1411	939	66.55%	471	33.38%	1	0.07%
RW09	5918	4216	4119	2808	68.17%	1308	31.76%	3	0.07%
RW10	3347	2376	2299	1457	63.38%	841	36.58%	1	0.04%
RW11A	2667	1693	1649	832	50.45%	817	49.55%	0	0.00%
RW12	5944	4234	4121	2595	62.97%	1525	37.01%	1	0.02%
RW13	3555	2476	2412	1329	55.10%	1081	44.82%	2	0.08%
RW16	1850	1275	1240	752	60.65%	488	39.35%	0	0.00%
RW17	2794	1773	1723	713	41.38%	1008	58.50%	2	0.12%
RW19	1993	1434	1401	929	66.31%	471	33.62%	1	0.07%
RW20	2486	1797	1747	1008	57.70%	736	42.13%	3	0.17%
RW21	3144	2169	2121	1387	65.39%	734	34.61%	0	0.00%
RW22A	4406	2486	2420	982	40.58%	1434	59.26%	4	0.17%
SC01A	20	25	23	1	4.35%	22	95.65%	0	0.00%
SC01B	1707	1295	1280	53	4.14%	1225	95.70%	2	0.16%
SC01C	2063	1513	1481	53	3.58%	1427	96.35%	1	0.07%
SC01D	294	162	161	1	0.62%	160	99.38%	0	0.00%
SC02	872	662	654	40	6.12%	614	93.88%	0	0.00%
SC04	922	616	600	204	34.00%	395	65.83%	1	0.17%
SC05A	646	409	397	10	2.52%	387	97.48%	0	0.00%
SC05B	3204	2188	2151	98	4.56%	2052	95.40%	1	0.05%
SC05D	2171	1428	1415	58	4.10%	1356	95.83%	1	0.07%
SC05E	623	434	427	71	16.63%	356	83.37%	0	0.00%
SC07A	5595	3698	3635	347	9.55%	3287	90.43%	1	0.03%
SC07C	29	10	9	1	11.11%	8	88.89%	0	0.00%
SC08B	3382	2008	1958	82	4.19%	1875	95.76%	1	0.05%
SC08C	74	53	52	0	0.00%	52	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08D	499	314	310	13	4.19%	297	95.81%	0	0.00%
SC08E	562	316	308	5	1.62%	303	98.38%	0	0.00%
SC08F	700	366	359	19	5.29%	340	94.71%	0	0.00%
SC08G	12	8	8	0	0.00%	8	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08H	4	3	3	0	0.00%	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC09A	1628	839	808	39	4.83%	769	95.17%	0	0.00%
SC09B	1687	1084	1058	33	3.12%	1025	96.88%	0	0.00%
SC09C	1566	842	819	40	4.88%	779	95.12%	0	0.00%
SC10	1678	661	648	29	4.48%	619	95.52%	0	0.00%
SC11A	1411	813	795	27	3.40%	767	96.48%	1	0.13%
SC11B	1506	878	865	36	4.16%	828	95.72%	1	0.12%
SC13	2465	1636	1608	97	6.03%	1509	93.84%	2	0.12%
SC14A	916	429	410	18	4.39%	391	95.37%	1	0.24%
SC14B	502	45	42	6	14.29%	36	85.71%	0	0.00%
SC15	6193	4213	4138	175	4.23%	3961	95.72%	2	0.05%
SC16A	4601	3208	3150	100	3.17%	3046	96.70%	4	0.13%
SC16B	14	15	14	1	7.14%	13	92.86%	0	0.00%
SC17A	55	42	42	0	0.00%	42	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC17B	7	4	4	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%
SC17C	1134	669	654	29	4.43%	625	95.57%	0	0.00%
SC18A	510	329	323	8	2.48%	314	97.21%	1	0.31%
SC18B	663	462	454	23	5.07%	431	94.93%	0	0.00%
SC18C	1850	1352	1333	73	5.48%	1259	94.45%	1	0.08%
SC19A	195	129	128	4	3.13%	124	96.88%	0	0.00%
SC19B	1267	690	672	32	4.76%	638	94.94%	2	0.30%
SC20	2197	1665	1644	52	3.16%	1592	96.84%	0	0.00%
SC211	1328	841	828	42	5.07%	785	94.81%	1	0.12%
SC212	3378	1947	1910	114	5.97%	1795	93.98%	1	0.05%
SC23A	3161	1730	1694	56	3.31%	1637	96.64%	1	0.06%
SC23B	483	284	280	11	3.93%	269	96.07%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER								
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	G. BLACK (I) R		F. SWANN (D)		Write-In Votes	
SC23C	2252	1302	1270	35	2.76%	1235	97.24%	0	0.00%
SC27	2129	1259	1222	37	3.03%	1183	96.81%	2	0.16%
SC29A	2784	1544	1500	59	3.93%	1439	95.93%	2	0.13%
SC30A	135	97	93	2	2.15%	91	97.85%	0	0.00%
SC30B	960	531	511	15	2.94%	496	97.06%	0	0.00%
SS01	4487	3270	3165	2017	63.73%	1147	36.24%	1	0.03%
SS02A	1120	755	741	337	45.48%	404	54.52%	0	0.00%
SS02B	1255	756	734	212	28.88%	522	71.12%	0	0.00%
SS03	1464	1027	991	493	49.75%	497	50.15%	1	0.10%
SS04	3264	2064	2012	787	39.12%	1224	60.83%	1	0.05%
SS05	1746	1376	1334	752	56.37%	581	43.55%	1	0.07%
SS06	1397	1073	1036	603	58.20%	433	41.80%	0	0.00%
SS07A	968	683	665	391	58.80%	274	41.20%	0	0.00%
SS07B	609	405	396	181	45.71%	215	54.29%	0	0.00%
SS07C	755	436	422	221	52.37%	201	47.63%	0	0.00%
SS08A	1316	758	738	256	34.69%	482	65.31%	0	0.00%
SS08B	635	453	441	246	55.78%	195	44.22%	0	0.00%
SS08C	632	393	380	124	32.63%	256	67.37%	0	0.00%
SS08D	1368	847	832	302	36.30%	530	63.70%	0	0.00%
SS09A	3003	2210	2145	1329	61.96%	815	38.00%	1	0.05%
SS09B	3358	2452	2389	1476	61.78%	912	38.17%	1	0.04%
SS11A	3655	2227	2156	953	44.20%	1201	55.71%	2	0.09%
SS11B	1257	707	681	228	33.48%	453	66.52%	0	0.00%
SS11C	269	146	139	28	20.14%	111	79.86%	0	0.00%
SS11D	365	254	243	153	62.96%	90	37.04%	0	0.00%
SS12	2559	1732	1666	930	55.82%	735	44.12%	1	0.06%
SS13A	1633	1072	1042	540	51.82%	502	48.18%	0	0.00%
SS13B	1001	629	615	322	52.36%	293	47.64%	0	0.00%
SS14	1274	977	957	656	68.55%	301	31.45%	0	0.00%
SS15A	2572	1199	1171	185	15.80%	985	84.12%	1	0.09%
SS15B	1579	902	876	283	32.31%	593	67.69%	0	0.00%
SS16	2030	1534	1490	928	62.28%	561	37.65%	1	0.07%
SS17	3397	2229	2172	1201	55.29%	969	44.61%	2	0.09%
SS18A	619	454	447	250	55.93%	197	44.07%	0	0.00%
SS18B	221	162	154	83	53.90%	71	46.10%	0	0.00%
SS19A	3016	1683	1648	449	27.25%	1197	72.63%	2	0.12%
SS19B	2032	1226	1200	437	36.42%	763	63.58%	0	0.00%
SS20	2060	1159	1132	417	36.84%	714	63.07%	1	0.09%
SS22	2714	1613	1565	705	45.05%	858	54.82%	2	0.13%
SS26	3552	1822	1776	358	20.16%	1418	79.84%	0	0.00%
SS29A	2488	1595	1543	698	45.24%	844	54.70%	1	0.06%
SS31	1345	938	907	429	47.30%	478	52.70%	0	0.00%
UC01A	3622	1715	1690	91	5.38%	1597	94.50%	2	0.12%
UC01B	395	210	203	7	3.45%	196	96.55%	0	0.00%
UC01D	6	2	2	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
UC01E	285	206	205	5	2.44%	200	97.56%	0	0.00%
UC02A	7513	4296	4187	177	4.23%	4004	95.63%	6	0.14%
UC02B	30	19	19	4	21.05%	15	78.95%	0	0.00%
UC031	2399	1203	1151	207	17.98%	944	82.02%	0	0.00%
UC032	292	186	183	30	16.39%	153	83.61%	0	0.00%
Total	703177	424992	412548	130281	31.58%	281983	68.35%	284	0.07%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

INSURANCE COMMISSIONER

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	J. BECK (R)		J. LAWS (D)		D. FOSTER (L)		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide											
01A	3199	2362	2303	209	9.08%	1989	86.37%	102	4.43%	3	0.13%
01B	3839	2737	2661	240	9.02%	2297	86.32%	124	4.66%	0	0.00%
01C	1459	612	598	22	3.68%	557	93.14%	19	3.18%	0	0.00%
01D	511	307	300	14	4.67%	273	91.00%	13	4.33%	0	0.00%
01E	3363	2410	2340	281	12.01%	1958	83.68%	100	4.27%	1	0.04%
01F	1254	452	437	13	2.97%	410	93.82%	13	2.97%	1	0.23%
01G	1909	1102	1067	55	5.15%	968	90.72%	43	4.03%	1	0.09%
01H	758	379	369	14	3.79%	344	93.22%	10	2.71%	1	0.27%
01J	2416	1184	1151	39	3.39%	1081	93.92%	30	2.61%	1	0.09%
01P	1244	542	518	12	2.32%	493	95.17%	13	2.51%	0	0.00%
01R	1344	491	462	12	2.60%	442	95.67%	8	1.73%	0	0.00%
01S	1749	823	792	31	3.91%	744	93.94%	15	1.89%	2	0.25%
01T	1506	796	774	57	7.36%	678	87.60%	39	5.04%	0	0.00%
02A	4584	2949	2887	582	20.16%	2198	76.13%	106	3.67%	1	0.03%
02B	3518	1265	1236	129	10.44%	1055	85.36%	52	4.21%	0	0.00%
02C	2037	1205	1163	109	9.37%	1013	87.10%	39	3.35%	2	0.17%
02D	4475	2660	2600	297	11.42%	2205	84.81%	98	3.77%	0	0.00%
02E	1905	1423	1399	141	10.08%	1196	85.49%	62	4.43%	0	0.00%
02F1	2962	2033	1965	261	13.28%	1600	81.42%	102	5.19%	2	0.10%
02F2	788	458	443	45	10.16%	373	84.20%	25	5.64%	0	0.00%
02G	3443	2361	2297	281	12.23%	1910	83.15%	105	4.57%	1	0.04%
02J	2159	1432	1388	169	12.18%	1154	83.14%	65	4.68%	0	0.00%
02K	1419	951	916	128	13.97%	741	80.90%	47	5.13%	0	0.00%
02L1	6213	3978	3880	661	17.04%	3070	79.12%	149	3.84%	0	0.00%
02L2	1364	782	761	140	18.40%	586	77.00%	35	4.60%	0	0.00%
02S	708	427	420	28	6.67%	374	89.05%	17	4.05%	1	0.24%
02W	682	399	385	60	15.58%	312	81.04%	12	3.12%	1	0.26%
03A	1927	692	654	18	2.75%	614	93.88%	21	3.21%	1	0.15%
03B	560	181	173	8	4.62%	160	92.49%	5	2.89%	0	0.00%
03C	1534	674	643	12	1.87%	617	95.96%	14	2.18%	0	0.00%
03D	1017	402	391	15	3.84%	369	94.37%	7	1.79%	0	0.00%
03E	2284	914	887	30	3.38%	836	94.25%	21	2.37%	0	0.00%
03F	1925	1115	1095	164	14.98%	890	81.28%	41	3.74%	0	0.00%
03G	802	360	351	4	1.14%	336	95.73%	11	3.13%	0	0.00%
03H	900	369	352	13	3.69%	333	94.60%	6	1.70%	0	0.00%
03I	1360	566	547	76	13.89%	436	79.71%	35	6.40%	0	0.00%
03L	594	229	218	5	2.29%	207	94.95%	6	2.75%	0	0.00%
03M	766	452	429	99	23.08%	304	70.86%	26	6.06%	0	0.00%
03N	2288	938	902	39	4.32%	832	92.24%	31	3.44%	0	0.00%
03P1A	3882	1966	1912	232	12.13%	1588	83.05%	90	4.71%	2	0.10%
03P2	13	7	6	0	0.00%	6	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
03S	761	356	348	11	3.16%	330	94.83%	7	2.01%	0	0.00%
03T	1505	715	698	29	4.15%	648	92.84%	21	3.01%	0	0.00%
04A	1202	560	537	12	2.23%	512	95.34%	13	2.42%	0	0.00%
04B	2242	922	894	15	1.68%	854	95.53%	24	2.68%	1	0.11%
04C	136	54	54	0	0.00%	53	98.15%	1	1.85%	0	0.00%
04D	1481	714	683	21	3.07%	645	94.44%	17	2.49%	0	0.00%
04F	2086	935	913	68	7.45%	814	89.16%	30	3.29%	1	0.11%
04G	828	312	300	8	2.67%	287	95.67%	5	1.67%	0	0.00%
04I	3362	561	543	36	6.63%	480	88.40%	27	4.97%	0	0.00%
04J	1230	317	313	9	2.88%	290	92.65%	14	4.47%	0	0.00%
04K	2339	1266	1212	37	3.05%	1137	93.81%	37	3.05%	1	0.08%
04L	1655	867	837	35	4.18%	769	91.88%	33	3.94%	0	0.00%
04M	773	292	287	12	4.18%	259	90.24%	16	5.57%	0	0.00%
04S	2165	1118	1064	29	2.73%	1007	94.64%	28	2.63%	0	0.00%
04T	1145	584	560	17	3.04%	524	93.57%	17	3.04%	2	0.36%
04V	2848	1211	1164	13	1.12%	1115	95.79%	36	3.09%	0	0.00%
04W	2203	820	808	10	1.24%	775	95.92%	22	2.72%	1	0.12%
04X1	1942	950	921	26	2.82%	874	94.90%	20	2.17%	1	0.11%
04X2	737	364	346	11	3.18%	321	92.77%	14	4.05%	0	0.00%
05A1	2491	1728	1686	158	9.37%	1448	85.88%	79	4.69%	1	0.06%
05A2	2910	1998	1945	158	8.12%	1704	87.61%	81	4.16%	2	0.10%
05B	1763	901	850	37	4.35%	780	91.76%	31	3.65%	2	0.24%
05C	146	67	64	4	6.25%	57	89.06%	3	4.69%	0	0.00%
05D	386	93	90	6	6.67%	81	90.00%	3	3.33%	0	0.00%
05F	223	136	136	10	7.35%	126	92.65%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
05J	639	416	409	37	9.05%	352	86.06%	20	4.89%	0	0.00%
05K	2600	1327	1305	83	6.36%	1179	90.34%	42	3.22%	1	0.08%
06B	1756	1238	1202	232	19.30%	919	76.46%	50	4.16%	1	0.08%
06D	8568	6060	5909	1270	21.49%	4424	74.87%	212	3.59%	3	0.05%
06E	2250	1439	1416	290	20.48%	1058	74.72%	67	4.73%	1	0.07%
06F	2709	1757	1695	315	18.58%	1318	77.76%	62	3.66%	0	0.00%
06G	536	369	357	57	15.97%	286	80.11%	14	3.92%	0	0.00%
06I	3412	1957	1916	267	13.94%	1577	82.31%	71	3.71%	1	0.05%
06J	2539	1904	1843	395	21.43%	1368	74.23%	78	4.23%	2	0.11%
06L1	917	679	654	181	27.68%	451	68.96%	22	3.36%	0	0.00%
06L2	654	487	473	158	33.40%	303	64.06%	12	2.54%	0	0.00%
06N	1844	1085	1055	388	36.78%	636	60.28%	31	2.94%	0	0.00%
06Q	527	295	291	27	9.28%	254	87.29%	10	3.44%	0	0.00%
06R	1836	1206	1179	271	22.99%	878	74.47%	30	2.54%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

INSURANCE COMMISSIONER

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	J. BECK (R)		J. LAWS (D)		D. FOSTER (L)		Write-In Votes	
07A	6600	4093	3959	1761	44.48%	2062	52.08%	132	3.33%	4	0.10%
07B	2763	2107	2047	1302	63.61%	677	33.07%	67	3.27%	1	0.05%
07C	3927	2498	2425	1120	46.19%	1249	51.51%	54	2.23%	2	0.08%
07D	4231	2413	2331	996	42.73%	1227	52.64%	105	4.50%	3	0.13%
07E	2031	1358	1320	448	33.94%	837	63.41%	35	2.65%	0	0.00%
07F	3017	2041	1973	871	44.15%	1028	52.10%	74	3.75%	0	0.00%
07H	1923	1424	1372	631	45.99%	701	51.09%	39	2.84%	1	0.07%
07J	2647	1753	1692	636	37.59%	988	58.39%	68	4.02%	0	0.00%
07M	2155	1180	1150	386	33.57%	713	62.00%	51	4.43%	0	0.00%
07N	1946	1044	1007	178	17.68%	785	77.95%	44	4.37%	0	0.00%
08A	2799	1949	1907	999	52.39%	854	44.78%	54	2.83%	0	0.00%
08B	3199	2255	2190	1287	58.77%	830	37.90%	71	3.24%	2	0.09%
08C	1392	984	957	663	69.28%	275	28.74%	18	1.88%	1	0.10%
08D	1022	770	741	509	68.69%	216	29.15%	15	2.02%	1	0.13%
08E	2429	1864	1812	1092	60.26%	671	37.03%	49	2.70%	0	0.00%
08F1	2071	1628	1562	1044	66.84%	471	30.15%	46	2.94%	1	0.06%
08G	2787	1669	1625	674	41.48%	905	55.69%	45	2.77%	1	0.06%
08H	2465	1874	1812	1030	56.84%	730	40.29%	50	2.76%	2	0.11%
08J	2981	2223	2139	1040	48.62%	1000	46.75%	97	4.53%	2	0.09%
08K	973	702	683	310	45.39%	348	50.95%	25	3.66%	0	0.00%
08L	4194	2706	2625	832	31.70%	1662	63.31%	128	4.88%	3	0.11%
08M	1907	1358	1306	821	62.86%	450	34.46%	35	2.68%	0	0.00%
08N1	767	587	569	368	64.67%	182	31.99%	18	3.16%	1	0.18%
08N2	1391	927	897	418	46.60%	460	51.28%	19	2.12%	0	0.00%
08P	1633	928	901	172	19.09%	693	76.91%	34	3.77%	2	0.22%
09A	3338	2152	2083	490	23.52%	1477	70.91%	115	5.52%	1	0.05%
09B	385	174	169	2	1.18%	166	98.22%	1	0.59%	0	0.00%
09C	2954	1522	1461	34	2.33%	1401	95.89%	26	1.78%	0	0.00%
09D	1879	858	807	16	1.98%	777	96.28%	13	1.61%	1	0.12%
09E	2782	1420	1366	83	6.08%	1229	89.97%	53	3.88%	1	0.07%
09F	3619	2526	2449	1053	43.00%	1304	53.25%	91	3.72%	1	0.04%
09G	3519	2282	2214	494	22.31%	1615	72.94%	103	4.65%	2	0.09%
09H	1064	645	629	62	9.86%	530	84.26%	36	5.72%	1	0.16%
09I	1194	503	479	16	3.34%	450	93.95%	12	2.51%	1	0.21%
09K	4403	1851	1794	58	3.23%	1702	94.87%	33	1.84%	1	0.06%
09M	2259	1611	1559	320	20.53%	1154	74.02%	79	5.07%	6	0.38%
10A	2850	1622	1573	26	1.65%	1520	96.63%	27	1.72%	0	0.00%
10B	3686	2464	2401	48	2.00%	2309	96.17%	41	1.71%	3	0.12%
10C	2466	1168	1125	16	1.42%	1091	96.98%	18	1.60%	0	0.00%
10D	4220	1850	1774	36	2.03%	1698	95.72%	39	2.20%	1	0.06%
10E	950	524	507	17	3.35%	481	94.87%	9	1.78%	0	0.00%
10F	1053	590	567	15	2.65%	534	94.18%	18	3.17%	0	0.00%
10G	1205	813	790	12	1.52%	759	96.08%	16	2.03%	3	0.38%
10H1	408	238	234	5	2.14%	225	96.15%	4	1.71%	0	0.00%
10H2	2207	1032	996	23	2.31%	960	96.39%	12	1.20%	1	0.10%
10I	329	258	255	5	1.96%	248	97.25%	2	0.78%	0	0.00%
10J	1012	466	446	4	0.90%	434	97.31%	8	1.79%	0	0.00%
10K	1415	858	830	21	2.53%	794	95.66%	14	1.69%	1	0.12%
10M	2529	1414	1361	32	2.35%	1289	94.71%	38	2.79%	2	0.15%
10P	1364	668	645	13	2.02%	622	96.43%	10	1.55%	0	0.00%
10R	713	347	337	7	2.08%	320	94.96%	10	2.97%	0	0.00%
11B	5162	3088	3010	58	1.93%	2902	96.41%	48	1.59%	2	0.07%
11C	2822	2003	1980	29	1.46%	1921	97.02%	28	1.41%	2	0.10%
11E1	1141	634	622	12	1.93%	591	95.02%	18	2.89%	1	0.16%
11E2	847	497	486	10	2.06%	468	96.30%	8	1.65%	0	0.00%
11E3	3124	1835	1812	31	1.71%	1751	96.63%	29	1.60%	1	0.06%
11G	2092	855	820	24	2.93%	780	95.12%	16	1.95%	0	0.00%
11H	677	356	344	14	4.07%	320	93.02%	9	2.62%	1	0.29%
11J	1827	1018	977	25	2.56%	934	95.60%	18	1.84%	0	0.00%
11K	1818	1104	1078	29	2.69%	1019	94.53%	30	2.78%	0	0.00%
11M	3039	1659	1628	27	1.66%	1572	96.56%	27	1.66%	2	0.12%
11N	2166	1358	1319	25	1.90%	1278	96.89%	16	1.21%	0	0.00%
11P	1833	1020	973	14	1.44%	952	97.84%	7	0.72%	0	0.00%
11R	1849	672	644	11	1.71%	622	96.58%	11	1.71%	0	0.00%
12A	4828	2734	2662	130	4.88%	2435	91.47%	94	3.53%	3	0.11%
12D	1209	501	485	10	2.06%	459	94.64%	16	3.30%	0	0.00%
12E1	1621	591	560	27	4.82%	521	93.04%	12	2.14%	0	0.00%
12F	943	428	419	14	3.34%	387	92.36%	18	4.30%	0	0.00%
12G	800	430	407	15	3.69%	387	95.09%	5	1.23%	0	0.00%
12H1	1006	310	302	19	6.29%	274	90.73%	8	2.65%	1	0.33%
12H2	2562	1189	1148	32	2.79%	1092	95.12%	22	1.92%	2	0.17%
12I	970	413	392	10	2.55%	375	95.66%	7	1.79%	0	0.00%
12J	2535	1108	1066	14	1.31%	1030	96.62%	19	1.78%	3	0.28%
12K	3022	1240	1191	57	4.79%	1101	92.44%	33	2.77%	0	0.00%
12L	613	238	227	8	3.52%	218	96.04%	1	0.44%	0	0.00%
12M	2533	1171	1137	21	1.85%	1101	96.83%	15	1.32%	0	0.00%
12N	907	327	310	16	5.16%	285	91.94%	9	2.90%	0	0.00%
12S	1794	959	913	19	2.08%	864	94.63%	29	3.18%	1	0.11%
AP01A	4724	3141	3073	1590	51.74%	1389	45.20%	93	3.03%	1	0.03%
AP01B	913	516	503	233	46.32%	250	49.70%	20	3.98%	0	0.00%
AP01C	2233	1498	1475	777	52.68%	647	43.86%	50	3.39%	1	0.07%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

INSURANCE COMMISSIONER

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	J. BECK (R)		J. LAWS (D)		D. FOSTER (L)		Write-In Votes	
AP01D	915	539	526	217	41.25%	291	55.32%	18	3.42%	0	0.00%
AP02B	474	263	259	128	49.42%	127	49.03%	4	1.54%	0	0.00%
AP03	741	314	307	69	22.48%	225	73.29%	13	4.23%	0	0.00%
AP04A	1647	1183	1153	682	59.15%	422	36.60%	49	4.25%	0	0.00%
AP04B	415	290	283	151	53.36%	121	42.76%	11	3.89%	0	0.00%
AP05	4367	2981	2912	1678	57.62%	1163	39.94%	70	2.40%	1	0.03%
AP06	1827	1309	1266	787	62.16%	442	34.91%	36	2.84%	1	0.08%
AP07A	3422	2102	2049	1045	51.00%	919	44.85%	82	4.00%	3	0.15%
AP07B	1650	1144	1118	611	54.65%	476	42.58%	30	2.68%	1	0.09%
AP09A	4051	2687	2633	1267	48.12%	1274	48.39%	89	3.38%	3	0.11%
AP09B	810	531	524	212	40.46%	296	56.49%	16	3.05%	0	0.00%
AP021	2994	1963	1917	1012	52.79%	833	43.45%	70	3.65%	2	0.10%
AP022	2210	1429	1387	660	47.58%	671	48.38%	56	4.04%	0	0.00%
AP10	942	681	663	386	58.22%	245	36.95%	32	4.83%	0	0.00%
AP12A	658	374	365	130	35.62%	221	60.55%	14	3.84%	0	0.00%
AP12B	747	428	420	133	31.67%	277	65.95%	10	2.38%	0	0.00%
AP12C	1584	1005	987	529	53.60%	431	43.67%	27	2.74%	0	0.00%
AP14	2972	2027	1981	1003	50.63%	912	46.04%	65	3.28%	1	0.05%
CH01	330	164	161	38	23.60%	122	75.78%	1	0.62%	0	0.00%
CH02	319	202	195	118	60.51%	72	36.92%	4	2.05%	1	0.51%
CH03	379	250	243	154	63.37%	85	34.98%	4	1.65%	0	0.00%
CH04A	647	444	436	229	52.52%	199	45.64%	7	1.61%	1	0.23%
CH05	407	260	256	185	72.27%	65	25.39%	6	2.34%	0	0.00%
CP01B	381	227	222	77	34.68%	139	62.61%	6	2.70%	0	0.00%
CP011	1135	617	604	127	21.03%	452	74.83%	25	4.14%	0	0.00%
CP012	1318	844	813	162	19.93%	627	77.12%	24	2.95%	0	0.00%
CP02	1000	553	539	69	12.80%	453	84.04%	17	3.15%	0	0.00%
CP04A	8	2	2	1	50.00%	1	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP04B	39	14	14	2	14.29%	12	85.71%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP05B	143	76	73	4	5.48%	67	91.78%	2	2.74%	0	0.00%
CP051	1612	874	849	33	3.89%	807	95.05%	7	0.82%	2	0.24%
CP06A	598	208	199	6	3.02%	185	92.96%	8	4.02%	0	0.00%
CP07B	7	2	2	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP07C	244	47	47	3	6.38%	42	89.36%	2	4.26%	0	0.00%
CP07D	694	208	198	7	3.54%	188	94.95%	3	1.52%	0	0.00%
CP07E	125	41	38	0	0.00%	37	97.37%	1	2.63%	0	0.00%
CP07F	184	55	52	0	0.00%	51	98.08%	1	1.92%	0	0.00%
CP081	343	77	76	4	5.26%	71	93.42%	1	1.32%	0	0.00%
CP083	219	25	24	0	0.00%	23	95.83%	1	4.17%	0	0.00%
CP084	3	1	1	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP08A	705	175	172	5	2.91%	165	95.93%	2	1.16%	0	0.00%
EP01A	2609	1464	1419	129	9.09%	1247	87.88%	43	3.03%	0	0.00%
EP01B	3038	1539	1515	101	6.67%	1366	90.17%	48	3.17%	0	0.00%
EP02A	1967	890	859	42	4.89%	802	93.36%	15	1.75%	0	0.00%
EP02B	1449	977	954	128	13.42%	783	82.08%	43	4.51%	0	0.00%
EP02C	428	251	246	43	17.48%	193	78.46%	10	4.07%	0	0.00%
EP02D	326	192	186	7	3.76%	173	93.01%	6	3.23%	0	0.00%
EP02E	1382	627	613	31	5.06%	570	92.99%	12	1.96%	0	0.00%
EP03A	4293	2512	2441	113	4.63%	2276	93.24%	51	2.09%	1	0.04%
EP03B	1421	973	956	49	5.13%	894	93.51%	13	1.36%	0	0.00%
EP04A	5432	2626	2584	59	2.28%	2492	96.44%	32	1.24%	1	0.04%
EP04B	2024	1203	1189	22	1.85%	1152	96.89%	14	1.18%	1	0.08%
FA01A	3574	2126	2090	207	9.90%	1833	87.70%	50	2.39%	0	0.00%
FA01B	4833	2965	2917	292	10.01%	2577	88.34%	48	1.65%	0	0.00%
FA01C	681	401	399	17	4.26%	373	93.48%	8	2.01%	1	0.25%
HP01	3212	1780	1726	436	25.26%	1226	71.03%	64	3.71%	0	0.00%
JC01	4977	3215	3151	1247	39.57%	1808	57.38%	94	2.98%	2	0.06%
JC02	2460	1474	1436	630	43.87%	767	53.41%	39	2.72%	0	0.00%
JC03A	540	384	376	174	46.28%	192	51.06%	10	2.66%	0	0.00%
JC03B	1448	955	930	354	38.06%	543	58.39%	33	3.55%	0	0.00%
JC04A	4042	2692	2627	1206	45.91%	1327	50.51%	92	3.50%	2	0.08%
JC04B	255	156	149	64	42.95%	76	51.01%	9	6.04%	0	0.00%
JC05	2136	1391	1361	599	44.01%	719	52.83%	43	3.16%	0	0.00%
JC06	2627	1580	1549	857	55.33%	641	41.38%	51	3.29%	0	0.00%
JC07	4083	2627	2559	1143	44.67%	1328	51.90%	87	3.40%	1	0.04%
JC08	2737	1870	1827	870	47.62%	881	48.22%	75	4.11%	1	0.05%
JC09	2928	1878	1850	742	40.11%	1038	56.11%	70	3.78%	0	0.00%
JC10	2392	1507	1474	559	37.92%	853	57.87%	62	4.21%	0	0.00%
JC11	3186	2085	2044	1143	55.92%	847	41.44%	54	2.64%	0	0.00%
JC12	2455	1753	1718	1124	65.42%	548	31.90%	45	2.62%	1	0.06%
JC13A	2115	1462	1435	964	67.18%	443	30.87%	28	1.95%	0	0.00%
JC13B	271	180	167	128	76.65%	35	20.96%	4	2.40%	0	0.00%
JC14	2477	1634	1596	916	57.39%	637	39.91%	42	2.63%	1	0.06%
JC15	834	523	511	193	37.77%	292	57.14%	26	5.09%	0	0.00%
JC16	2496	1729	1670	827	49.52%	787	47.13%	55	3.29%	1	0.06%
JC18	2670	1969	1932	1068	55.28%	802	41.51%	62	3.21%	0	0.00%
JC19	3759	2528	2473	1199	48.48%	1170	47.31%	104	4.21%	0	0.00%
ML011	2439	1681	1649	987	59.85%	605	36.69%	57	3.46%	0	0.00%
ML012	485	338	328	218	66.46%	95	28.96%	15	4.57%	0	0.00%
ML01B	138	96	93	57	61.29%	34	36.56%	2	2.15%	0	0.00%
ML021	1991	1369	1344	995	74.03%	303	22.54%	46	3.42%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

INSURANCE COMMISSIONER

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	J. BECK (R)		J. LAWS (D)		D. FOSTER (L)		Write-In Votes	
ML022	241	148	146	113	77.40%	31	21.23%	2	1.37%	0	0.00%
ML023	1542	1027	1006	727	72.27%	251	24.95%	27	2.68%	1	0.10%
ML024	113	70	69	56	81.16%	9	13.04%	4	5.80%	0	0.00%
ML03	3757	2575	2527	1752	69.33%	697	27.58%	78	3.09%	0	0.00%
ML04A	1747	1176	1150	688	59.83%	427	37.13%	35	3.04%	0	0.00%
ML04B	1206	838	824	566	68.69%	233	28.28%	25	3.03%	0	0.00%
ML04C	79	66	66	44	66.67%	18	27.27%	4	6.06%	0	0.00%
ML05A	39	27	27	17	62.96%	9	33.33%	1	3.70%	0	0.00%
ML05B	381	250	243	164	67.49%	74	30.45%	4	1.65%	1	0.41%
ML05C	4023	2615	2560	1327	51.84%	1161	45.35%	70	2.73%	2	0.08%
ML06A	1973	1024	1010	339	33.56%	633	62.67%	37	3.66%	1	0.10%
ML06B	2775	1734	1697	611	36.00%	1011	59.58%	75	4.42%	0	0.00%
ML071	105	73	72	53	73.61%	19	26.39%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
ML072	1249	857	844	594	70.38%	233	27.61%	16	1.90%	1	0.12%
ML07A	707	472	461	308	66.81%	136	29.50%	17	3.69%	0	0.00%
MP01	450	323	317	147	46.37%	152	47.95%	18	5.68%	0	0.00%
PA01	2509	1330	1293	296	22.89%	965	74.63%	32	2.47%	0	0.00%
RW01	4717	3355	3262	1917	58.77%	1225	37.55%	119	3.65%	1	0.03%
RW02	3794	2675	2605	1323	50.79%	1144	43.92%	137	5.26%	1	0.04%
RW03	4510	2745	2678	1162	43.39%	1407	52.54%	109	4.07%	0	0.00%
RW04	1803	1359	1333	646	48.46%	631	47.34%	55	4.13%	1	0.08%
RW05	2322	1207	1183	396	33.47%	744	62.89%	43	3.63%	0	0.00%
RW06	2820	1914	1874	877	46.80%	904	48.24%	91	4.86%	2	0.11%
RW07A	1549	677	656	90	13.72%	539	82.16%	26	3.96%	1	0.15%
RW07B	388	214	210	49	23.33%	146	69.52%	15	7.14%	0	0.00%
RW08	2138	1462	1410	881	62.48%	467	33.12%	62	4.40%	0	0.00%
RW09	5918	4216	4135	2658	64.28%	1338	32.36%	138	3.34%	1	0.02%
RW10	3347	2376	2317	1364	58.87%	856	36.94%	96	4.14%	1	0.04%
RW11A	2667	1693	1655	763	46.10%	827	49.97%	65	3.93%	0	0.00%
RW12	5944	4234	4127	2433	58.95%	1540	37.32%	152	3.68%	2	0.05%
RW13	3555	2476	2403	1243	51.73%	1052	43.78%	107	4.45%	1	0.04%
RW16	1850	1275	1247	694	55.65%	509	40.82%	43	3.45%	1	0.08%
RW17	2794	1773	1739	641	36.86%	1001	57.56%	97	5.58%	0	0.00%
RW19	1993	1434	1400	845	60.36%	492	35.14%	62	4.43%	1	0.07%
RW20	2486	1797	1757	938	53.39%	742	42.23%	75	4.27%	2	0.11%
RW21	3144	2169	2124	1290	60.73%	746	35.12%	88	4.14%	0	0.00%
RW22A	4406	2486	2435	893	36.67%	1444	59.30%	97	3.98%	1	0.04%
SC01A	20	25	24	2	8.33%	22	91.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC01B	1707	1295	1281	25	1.95%	1234	96.33%	21	1.64%	1	0.08%
SC01C	2063	1513	1490	37	2.48%	1435	96.31%	17	1.14%	1	0.07%
SC01D	294	162	162	1	0.62%	156	96.30%	5	3.09%	0	0.00%
SC02	872	662	656	29	4.42%	620	94.51%	7	1.07%	0	0.00%
SC04	922	616	607	188	30.97%	404	66.56%	14	2.31%	1	0.16%
SC05A	646	409	400	5	1.25%	386	96.50%	9	2.25%	0	0.00%
SC05B	3204	2188	2158	74	3.43%	2053	95.13%	31	1.44%	0	0.00%
SC05D	2171	1428	1413	46	3.26%	1349	95.47%	18	1.27%	0	0.00%
SC05E	623	434	425	65	15.29%	354	83.29%	5	1.18%	1	0.24%
SC07A	5595	3698	3641	284	7.80%	3300	90.63%	56	1.54%	1	0.03%
SC07C	29	10	10	1	10.00%	9	90.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC08B	3382	2008	1966	54	2.75%	1868	95.02%	42	2.14%	2	0.10%
SC08C	74	53	53	0	0.00%	53	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC08D	499	314	310	6	1.94%	299	96.45%	4	1.29%	1	0.32%
SC08E	562	316	309	4	1.29%	298	96.44%	7	2.27%	0	0.00%
SC08F	700	366	361	13	3.60%	339	93.91%	9	2.49%	0	0.00%
SC08G	12	8	8	0	0.00%	8	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC08H	4	3	3	0	0.00%	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC09A	1628	839	822	25	3.04%	781	95.01%	16	1.95%	0	0.00%
SC09B	1687	1084	1056	26	2.46%	1006	95.27%	24	2.27%	0	0.00%
SC09C	1566	842	824	24	2.91%	781	94.78%	18	2.18%	1	0.12%
SC10	1678	661	642	13	2.02%	615	95.79%	14	2.18%	0	0.00%
SC11A	1411	813	801	20	2.50%	767	95.76%	14	1.75%	0	0.00%
SC11B	1506	878	867	20	2.31%	833	96.08%	14	1.61%	0	0.00%
SC13	2465	1636	1619	76	4.69%	1516	93.64%	25	1.54%	2	0.12%
SC14A	916	429	420	9	2.14%	401	95.48%	9	2.14%	1	0.24%
SC14B	502	45	42	7	16.67%	34	80.95%	1	2.38%	0	0.00%
SC15	6193	4213	4136	119	2.88%	3948	95.45%	67	1.62%	2	0.05%
SC16A	4601	3208	3157	57	1.81%	3051	96.64%	48	1.52%	1	0.03%
SC16B	14	15	15	0	0.00%	14	93.33%	1	6.67%	0	0.00%
SC17A	55	42	42	0	0.00%	41	97.62%	1	2.38%	0	0.00%
SC17B	7	4	4	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC17C	1134	669	657	18	2.74%	627	95.43%	12	1.83%	0	0.00%
SC18A	510	329	325	5	1.54%	313	96.31%	6	1.85%	1	0.31%
SC18B	663	462	454	14	3.08%	433	95.37%	7	1.54%	0	0.00%
SC18C	1850	1352	1340	53	3.96%	1273	95.00%	14	1.04%	0	0.00%
SC19A	195	129	128	4	3.13%	122	95.31%	2	1.56%	0	0.00%
SC19B	1267	690	680	22	3.24%	646	95.00%	9	1.32%	3	0.44%
SC20	2197	1665	1655	35	2.11%	1597	96.50%	23	1.39%	0	0.00%
SC211	1328	841	832	30	3.61%	791	95.07%	10	1.20%	1	0.12%
SC212	3378	1947	1919	85	4.43%	1798	93.69%	36	1.88%	0	0.00%
SC23A	3161	1730	1698	42	2.47%	1631	96.05%	23	1.35%	2	0.12%
SC23B	483	284	281	12	4.27%	264	93.95%	5	1.78%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

INSURANCE COMMISSIONER

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	J. BECK (R)		J. LAWS (D)		D. FOSTER (L)		Write-In Votes	
SC23C	2252	1302	1271	29	2.28%	1226	96.46%	16	1.26%	0	0.00%
SC27	2129	1259	1231	24	1.95%	1188	96.51%	18	1.46%	1	0.08%
SC29A	2784	1544	1513	38	2.51%	1450	95.84%	24	1.59%	1	0.07%
SC30A	135	97	93	1	1.08%	91	97.85%	1	1.08%	0	0.00%
SC30B	960	531	517	9	1.74%	504	97.49%	4	0.77%	0	0.00%
SS01	4487	3270	3185	1902	59.72%	1194	37.49%	89	2.79%	0	0.00%
SS02A	1120	755	741	298	40.22%	408	55.06%	35	4.72%	0	0.00%
SS02B	1255	756	738	193	26.15%	519	70.33%	25	3.39%	1	0.14%
SS03	1464	1027	996	443	44.48%	500	50.20%	52	5.22%	1	0.10%
SS04	3264	2064	2013	720	35.77%	1231	61.15%	61	3.03%	1	0.05%
SS05	1746	1376	1328	689	51.88%	600	45.18%	39	2.94%	0	0.00%
SS06	1397	1073	1039	559	53.80%	452	43.50%	28	2.69%	0	0.00%
SS07A	968	683	656	359	54.73%	289	44.05%	8	1.22%	0	0.00%
SS07B	609	405	399	168	42.11%	216	54.14%	15	3.76%	0	0.00%
SS07C	755	436	427	209	48.95%	207	48.48%	11	2.58%	0	0.00%
SS08A	1316	758	741	228	30.77%	495	66.80%	17	2.29%	1	0.13%
SS08B	635	453	445	229	51.46%	196	44.04%	19	4.27%	1	0.22%
SS08C	632	393	381	114	29.92%	254	66.67%	13	3.41%	0	0.00%
SS08D	1368	847	827	275	33.25%	519	62.76%	32	3.87%	1	0.12%
SS09A	3003	2210	2143	1232	57.49%	859	40.08%	52	2.43%	0	0.00%
SS09B	3358	2452	2391	1335	55.83%	996	41.66%	60	2.51%	0	0.00%
SS11A	3655	2227	2161	874	40.44%	1214	56.18%	71	3.29%	2	0.09%
SS11B	1257	707	686	197	28.72%	462	67.35%	27	3.94%	0	0.00%
SS11C	269	146	138	23	16.67%	106	76.81%	9	6.52%	0	0.00%
SS11D	365	254	245	141	57.55%	97	39.59%	7	2.86%	0	0.00%
SS12	2559	1732	1673	861	51.46%	759	45.37%	51	3.05%	2	0.12%
SS13A	1633	1072	1042	493	47.31%	505	48.46%	44	4.22%	0	0.00%
SS13B	1001	629	615	306	49.76%	291	47.32%	18	2.93%	0	0.00%
SS14	1274	977	951	605	63.62%	316	33.23%	29	3.05%	1	0.11%
SS15A	2572	1199	1180	163	13.81%	980	83.05%	36	3.05%	1	0.08%
SS15B	1579	902	883	268	30.35%	578	65.46%	37	4.19%	0	0.00%
SS16	2030	1534	1500	849	56.60%	601	40.07%	50	3.33%	0	0.00%
SS17	3397	2229	2174	1107	50.92%	988	45.45%	77	3.54%	2	0.09%
SS18A	619	454	442	225	50.90%	206	46.61%	11	2.49%	0	0.00%
SS18B	221	162	156	75	48.08%	74	47.44%	7	4.49%	0	0.00%
SS19A	3016	1683	1642	385	23.45%	1183	72.05%	73	4.45%	1	0.06%
SS19B	2032	1226	1196	355	29.68%	787	65.80%	53	4.43%	1	0.08%
SS20	2060	1159	1137	375	32.98%	711	62.53%	51	4.49%	0	0.00%
SS22	2714	1613	1571	654	41.63%	868	55.25%	49	3.12%	0	0.00%
SS26	3552	1822	1783	308	17.27%	1400	78.52%	75	4.21%	0	0.00%
SS29A	2488	1595	1552	644	41.49%	858	55.28%	50	3.22%	0	0.00%
SS31	1345	938	911	393	43.14%	490	53.79%	27	2.96%	1	0.11%
UC01A	3622	1715	1689	59	3.49%	1589	94.08%	40	2.37%	1	0.06%
UC01B	395	210	205	6	2.93%	198	96.59%	1	0.49%	0	0.00%
UC01D	6	2	2	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
UC01E	285	206	206	3	1.46%	194	94.17%	9	4.37%	0	0.00%
UC02A	7513	4296	4218	130	3.08%	4018	95.26%	68	1.61%	2	0.05%
UC02B	30	19	19	4	21.05%	15	78.95%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
UC031	2399	1203	1174	195	16.61%	960	81.77%	18	1.53%	1	0.09%
UC032	292	186	181	29	16.02%	148	81.77%	4	2.21%	0	0.00%
Total	703177	424992	414116	116386	28.10%	284691	68.75%	12827	3.10%	212	0.05%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	R. WOODS (I) R		O. THORNTON, JR. (D)		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide									
01A	3199	2362	2297	318	13.84%	1978	86.11%	1	0.04%
01B	3839	2737	2654	393	14.81%	2259	85.12%	2	0.08%
01C	1459	612	596	25	4.19%	571	95.81%	0	0.00%
01D	511	307	300	23	7.67%	277	92.33%	0	0.00%
01E	3363	2410	2326	393	16.90%	1929	82.93%	4	0.17%
01F	1254	452	442	19	4.30%	422	95.48%	1	0.23%
01G	1909	1102	1062	88	8.29%	971	91.43%	3	0.28%
01H	758	379	370	17	4.59%	353	95.41%	0	0.00%
01J	2416	1184	1152	63	5.47%	1088	94.44%	1	0.09%
01P	1244	542	521	18	3.45%	503	96.55%	0	0.00%
01R	1344	491	470	21	4.47%	449	95.53%	0	0.00%
01S	1749	823	792	41	5.18%	751	94.82%	0	0.00%
01T	1506	796	770	76	9.87%	693	90.00%	1	0.13%
02A	4584	2949	2877	705	24.50%	2167	75.32%	5	0.17%
02B	3518	1265	1235	174	14.09%	1060	85.83%	1	0.08%
02C	2037	1205	1165	129	11.07%	1035	88.84%	1	0.09%
02D	4475	2660	2590	363	14.02%	2227	85.98%	0	0.00%
02E	1905	1423	1391	223	16.03%	1167	83.90%	1	0.07%
02F1	2962	2033	1959	353	18.02%	1605	81.93%	1	0.05%
02F2	788	458	446	65	14.57%	381	85.43%	0	0.00%
02G	3443	2361	2281	384	16.83%	1895	83.08%	2	0.09%
02J	2159	1432	1383	243	17.57%	1138	82.28%	2	0.14%
02K	1419	951	915	168	18.36%	747	81.64%	0	0.00%
02L1	6213	3978	3858	834	21.62%	3024	78.38%	0	0.00%
02L2	1364	782	753	165	21.91%	588	78.09%	0	0.00%
02S	708	427	420	37	8.81%	383	91.19%	0	0.00%
02W	682	399	389	67	17.22%	320	82.26%	2	0.51%
03A	1927	692	667	23	3.45%	644	96.55%	0	0.00%
03B	560	181	173	7	4.05%	166	95.95%	0	0.00%
03C	1534	674	647	24	3.71%	623	96.29%	0	0.00%
03D	1017	402	385	17	4.42%	368	95.58%	0	0.00%
03E	2284	914	889	40	4.50%	848	95.39%	1	0.11%
03F	1925	1115	1094	192	17.55%	901	82.36%	1	0.09%
03G	802	360	348	16	4.60%	332	95.40%	0	0.00%
03H	900	369	349	12	3.44%	337	96.56%	0	0.00%
03I	1360	566	542	105	19.37%	437	80.63%	0	0.00%
03L	594	229	221	8	3.62%	213	96.38%	0	0.00%
03M	766	452	435	122	28.05%	313	71.95%	0	0.00%
03N	2288	938	914	67	7.33%	847	92.67%	0	0.00%
03P1A	3882	1966	1918	292	15.22%	1624	84.67%	2	0.10%
03P2	13	7	7	0	0.00%	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
03S	761	356	345	18	5.22%	327	94.78%	0	0.00%
03T	1505	715	700	38	5.43%	661	94.43%	1	0.14%
04A	1202	560	545	15	2.75%	530	97.25%	0	0.00%
04B	2242	922	895	32	3.58%	862	96.31%	1	0.11%
04C	136	54	53	1	1.89%	52	98.11%	0	0.00%
04D	1481	714	687	31	4.51%	656	95.49%	0	0.00%
04F	2086	935	913	89	9.75%	823	90.14%	1	0.11%
04G	828	312	301	19	6.31%	282	93.69%	0	0.00%
04I	3362	561	541	56	10.35%	484	89.46%	1	0.18%
04J	1230	317	311	8	2.57%	303	97.43%	0	0.00%
04K	2339	1266	1207	58	4.81%	1146	94.95%	3	0.25%
04L	1655	867	833	47	5.64%	785	94.24%	1	0.12%
04M	773	292	286	15	5.24%	271	94.76%	0	0.00%
04S	2165	1118	1066	42	3.94%	1023	95.97%	1	0.09%
04T	1145	584	565	23	4.07%	542	95.93%	0	0.00%
04V	2848	1211	1167	40	3.43%	1127	96.57%	0	0.00%
04W	2203	820	804	5	0.62%	797	99.13%	2	0.25%
04X1	1942	950	931	47	5.05%	882	94.74%	2	0.21%
04X2	737	364	348	16	4.60%	331	95.11%	1	0.29%
05A1	2491	1728	1676	200	11.93%	1475	88.01%	1	0.06%
05A2	2910	1998	1948	235	12.06%	1711	87.83%	2	0.10%
05B	1763	901	842	46	5.46%	792	94.06%	4	0.48%
05C	146	67	65	4	6.15%	61	93.85%	0	0.00%
05D	386	93	91	5	5.49%	86	94.51%	0	0.00%
05F	223	136	136	10	7.35%	126	92.65%	0	0.00%
05J	639	416	409	49	11.98%	360	88.02%	0	0.00%
05K	2600	1327	1302	124	9.52%	1178	90.48%	0	0.00%
06B	1756	1238	1191	308	25.86%	883	74.14%	0	0.00%
06D	8568	6060	5866	1671	28.49%	4187	71.38%	8	0.14%
06E	2250	1439	1405	336	23.91%	1069	76.09%	0	0.00%
06F	2709	1757	1683	400	23.77%	1282	76.17%	1	0.06%
06G	536	369	358	76	21.23%	282	78.77%	0	0.00%
06I	3412	1957	1913	319	16.68%	1592	83.22%	2	0.10%
06J	2539	1904	1832	538	29.37%	1294	70.63%	0	0.00%
06L1	917	679	645	229	35.50%	414	64.19%	2	0.31%
06L2	654	487	477	203	42.56%	274	57.44%	0	0.00%
06N	1844	1085	1049	468	44.61%	581	55.39%	0	0.00%
06Q	527	295	291	31	10.65%	260	89.35%	0	0.00%
06R	1836	1206	1168	371	31.76%	796	68.15%	1	0.09%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	R. WOODS (I) R		O. THORNTON, JR. (D)		Write-In Votes	
07A	6600	4093	3956	1956	49.44%	1995	50.43%	5	0.13%
07B	2763	2107	2036	1410	69.25%	625	30.70%	1	0.05%
07C	3927	2498	2402	1206	50.21%	1196	49.79%	0	0.00%
07D	4231	2413	2330	1089	46.74%	1240	53.22%	1	0.04%
07E	2031	1358	1318	498	37.78%	819	62.14%	1	0.08%
07F	3017	2041	1955	968	49.51%	985	50.38%	2	0.10%
07H	1923	1424	1362	707	51.91%	654	48.02%	1	0.07%
07J	2647	1753	1686	723	42.88%	961	57.00%	2	0.12%
07M	2155	1180	1153	452	39.20%	701	60.80%	0	0.00%
07N	1946	1044	1012	217	21.44%	795	78.56%	0	0.00%
08A	2799	1949	1902	1088	57.20%	812	42.69%	2	0.11%
08B	3199	2255	2179	1404	64.43%	774	35.52%	1	0.05%
08C	1392	984	952	717	75.32%	234	24.58%	1	0.11%
08D	1022	770	740	545	73.65%	195	26.35%	0	0.00%
08E	2429	1864	1808	1220	67.48%	588	32.52%	0	0.00%
08F1	2071	1628	1573	1147	72.92%	424	26.95%	2	0.13%
08G	2787	1669	1620	747	46.11%	871	53.77%	2	0.12%
08H	2465	1874	1805	1137	62.99%	666	36.90%	2	0.11%
08J	2981	2223	2138	1175	54.96%	963	45.04%	0	0.00%
08K	973	702	681	338	49.63%	342	50.22%	1	0.15%
08L	4194	2706	2614	988	37.80%	1623	62.09%	3	0.11%
08M	1907	1358	1309	890	67.99%	419	32.01%	0	0.00%
08N1	767	587	567	401	70.72%	166	29.28%	0	0.00%
08N2	1391	927	897	477	53.18%	420	46.82%	0	0.00%
08P	1633	928	901	193	21.42%	707	78.47%	1	0.11%
09A	3338	2152	2084	588	28.21%	1494	71.69%	2	0.10%
09B	385	174	171	5	2.92%	166	97.08%	0	0.00%
09C	2954	1522	1471	50	3.40%	1421	96.60%	0	0.00%
09D	1879	858	812	31	3.82%	780	96.06%	1	0.12%
09E	2782	1420	1371	114	8.32%	1254	91.47%	3	0.22%
09F	3619	2526	2430	1177	48.44%	1250	51.44%	3	0.12%
09G	3519	2282	2213	588	26.57%	1622	73.29%	3	0.14%
09H	1064	645	627	83	13.24%	543	86.60%	1	0.16%
09I	1194	503	474	24	5.06%	449	94.73%	1	0.21%
09K	4403	1851	1794	84	4.68%	1708	95.21%	2	0.11%
09M	2259	1611	1556	391	25.13%	1163	74.74%	2	0.13%
10A	2850	1622	1576	48	3.05%	1528	96.95%	0	0.00%
10B	3686	2464	2402	71	2.96%	2327	96.88%	4	0.17%
10C	2466	1168	1124	28	2.49%	1096	97.51%	0	0.00%
10D	4220	1850	1781	63	3.54%	1716	96.35%	2	0.11%
10E	950	524	510	22	4.31%	487	95.49%	1	0.20%
10F	1053	590	566	23	4.06%	543	95.94%	0	0.00%
10G	1205	813	793	23	2.90%	768	96.85%	2	0.25%
10H1	408	238	234	11	4.70%	223	95.30%	0	0.00%
10H2	2207	1032	996	29	2.91%	965	96.89%	2	0.20%
10I	329	258	250	7	2.80%	243	97.20%	0	0.00%
10J	1012	466	454	14	3.08%	440	96.92%	0	0.00%
10K	1415	858	832	29	3.49%	803	96.51%	0	0.00%
10M	2529	1414	1367	66	4.83%	1300	95.10%	1	0.07%
10P	1364	668	639	27	4.23%	611	95.62%	1	0.16%
10R	713	347	335	9	2.69%	326	97.31%	0	0.00%
11B	5162	3088	3013	94	3.12%	2917	96.81%	2	0.07%
11C	2822	2003	1970	41	2.08%	1928	97.87%	1	0.05%
11E1	1141	634	618	21	3.40%	597	96.60%	0	0.00%
11E2	847	497	478	8	1.67%	469	98.12%	1	0.21%
11E3	3124	1835	1816	40	2.20%	1775	97.74%	1	0.06%
11G	2092	855	828	40	4.83%	788	95.17%	0	0.00%
11H	677	356	343	15	4.37%	327	95.34%	1	0.29%
11J	1827	1018	975	41	4.21%	933	95.69%	1	0.10%
11K	1818	1104	1071	37	3.45%	1034	96.55%	0	0.00%
11M	3039	1659	1623	42	2.59%	1580	97.35%	1	0.06%
11N	2166	1358	1322	41	3.10%	1280	96.82%	1	0.08%
11P	1833	1020	971	36	3.71%	935	96.29%	0	0.00%
11R	1849	672	644	26	4.04%	618	95.96%	0	0.00%
12A	4828	2734	2654	178	6.71%	2474	93.22%	2	0.08%
12D	1209	501	490	13	2.65%	477	97.35%	0	0.00%
12E1	1621	591	561	35	6.24%	525	93.58%	1	0.18%
12F	943	428	417	17	4.08%	400	95.92%	0	0.00%
12G	800	430	410	19	4.63%	391	95.37%	0	0.00%
12H1	1006	310	302	19	6.29%	282	93.38%	1	0.33%
12H2	2562	1189	1148	40	3.48%	1106	96.34%	2	0.17%
12I	970	413	391	14	3.58%	377	96.42%	0	0.00%
12J	2535	1108	1082	33	3.05%	1045	96.58%	4	0.37%
12K	3022	1240	1191	76	6.38%	1114	93.53%	1	0.08%
12L	613	238	228	8	3.51%	220	96.49%	0	0.00%
12M	2533	1171	1146	29	2.53%	1116	97.38%	1	0.09%
12N	907	327	307	14	4.56%	293	95.44%	0	0.00%
12S	1794	959	914	41	4.49%	871	95.30%	2	0.22%
AP01A	4724	3141	3079	1742	56.58%	1336	43.39%	1	0.03%
AP01B	913	516	508	253	49.80%	255	50.20%	0	0.00%
AP01C	2233	1498	1467	858	58.49%	608	41.45%	1	0.07%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	R. WOODS (I) R		O. THORNTON, JR. (D)		Write-In Votes	
AP01D	915	539	528	233	44.13%	295	55.87%	0	0.00%
AP02B	474	263	255	134	52.55%	121	47.45%	0	0.00%
AP03	741	314	307	68	22.15%	238	77.52%	1	0.33%
AP04A	1647	1183	1155	749	64.85%	406	35.15%	0	0.00%
AP04B	415	290	288	169	58.68%	119	41.32%	0	0.00%
AP05	4367	2981	2924	1814	62.04%	1108	37.89%	2	0.07%
AP06	1827	1309	1277	843	66.01%	433	33.91%	1	0.08%
AP07A	3422	2102	2045	1153	56.38%	891	43.57%	1	0.05%
AP07B	1650	1144	1120	665	59.38%	454	40.54%	1	0.09%
AP09A	4051	2687	2624	1382	52.67%	1239	47.22%	3	0.11%
AP09B	810	531	518	221	42.66%	297	57.34%	0	0.00%
AP021	2994	1963	1912	1094	57.22%	817	42.73%	1	0.05%
AP022	2210	1429	1385	692	49.96%	693	50.04%	0	0.00%
AP10	942	681	660	427	64.70%	233	35.30%	0	0.00%
AP12A	658	374	365	140	38.36%	225	61.64%	0	0.00%
AP12B	747	428	421	149	35.39%	272	64.61%	0	0.00%
AP12C	1584	1005	984	569	57.83%	415	42.17%	0	0.00%
AP14	2972	2027	1986	1137	57.25%	847	42.65%	2	0.10%
CH01	330	164	162	40	24.69%	122	75.31%	0	0.00%
CH02	319	202	196	123	62.76%	72	36.73%	1	0.51%
CH03	379	250	243	160	65.84%	82	33.74%	1	0.41%
CH04A	647	444	434	246	56.68%	188	43.32%	0	0.00%
CH05	407	260	257	193	75.10%	64	24.90%	0	0.00%
CP01B	381	227	221	80	36.20%	141	63.80%	0	0.00%
CP011	1135	617	600	150	25.00%	450	75.00%	0	0.00%
CP012	1318	844	819	181	22.10%	637	77.78%	1	0.12%
CP02	1000	553	540	77	14.26%	463	85.74%	0	0.00%
CP04A	8	2	2	1	50.00%	1	50.00%	0	0.00%
CP04B	39	14	14	4	28.57%	10	71.43%	0	0.00%
CP05B	143	76	75	4	5.33%	71	94.67%	0	0.00%
CP051	1612	874	855	41	4.80%	814	95.20%	0	0.00%
CP06A	598	208	196	7	3.57%	189	96.43%	0	0.00%
CP07B	7	2	2	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP07C	244	47	47	3	6.38%	44	93.62%	0	0.00%
CP07D	694	208	200	9	4.50%	191	95.50%	0	0.00%
CP07E	125	41	38	0	0.00%	38	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP07F	184	55	52	1	1.92%	51	98.08%	0	0.00%
CP081	343	77	77	6	7.79%	71	92.21%	0	0.00%
CP083	219	25	24	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP084	3	1	1	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP08A	705	175	173	5	2.89%	168	97.11%	0	0.00%
EP01A	2609	1464	1432	157	10.96%	1274	88.97%	1	0.07%
EP01B	3038	1539	1514	111	7.33%	1403	92.67%	0	0.00%
EP02A	1967	890	868	57	6.57%	811	93.43%	0	0.00%
EP02B	1449	977	959	155	16.16%	804	83.84%	0	0.00%
EP02C	428	251	247	51	20.65%	195	78.95%	1	0.40%
EP02D	326	192	187	12	6.42%	175	93.58%	0	0.00%
EP02E	1382	627	610	33	5.41%	577	94.59%	0	0.00%
EP03A	4293	2512	2448	142	5.80%	2302	94.04%	4	0.16%
EP03B	1421	973	958	58	6.05%	900	93.95%	0	0.00%
EP04A	5432	2626	2589	76	2.94%	2512	97.03%	1	0.04%
EP04B	2024	1203	1192	28	2.35%	1163	97.57%	1	0.08%
FA01A	3574	2126	2076	227	10.93%	1849	89.07%	0	0.00%
FA01B	4833	2965	2920	318	10.89%	2601	89.08%	1	0.03%
FA01C	681	401	397	23	5.79%	373	93.95%	1	0.25%
HP01	3212	1780	1734	497	28.66%	1235	71.22%	2	0.12%
JC01	4977	3215	3146	1399	44.47%	1745	55.47%	2	0.06%
JC02	2460	1474	1442	690	47.85%	751	52.08%	1	0.07%
JC03A	540	384	377	191	50.66%	186	49.34%	0	0.00%
JC03B	1448	955	929	398	42.84%	530	57.05%	1	0.11%
JC04A	4042	2692	2633	1383	52.53%	1246	47.32%	4	0.15%
JC04B	255	156	147	75	51.02%	72	48.98%	0	0.00%
JC05	2136	1391	1367	676	49.45%	690	50.48%	1	0.07%
JC06	2627	1580	1547	911	58.89%	636	41.11%	0	0.00%
JC07	4083	2627	2561	1267	49.47%	1294	50.53%	0	0.00%
JC08	2737	1870	1830	987	53.93%	842	46.01%	1	0.05%
JC09	2928	1878	1852	819	44.22%	1032	55.72%	1	0.05%
JC10	2392	1507	1473	625	42.43%	847	57.50%	1	0.07%
JC11	3186	2085	2046	1223	59.78%	822	40.18%	1	0.05%
JC12	2455	1753	1719	1201	69.87%	518	30.13%	0	0.00%
JC13A	2115	1462	1430	1004	70.21%	426	29.79%	0	0.00%
JC13B	271	180	172	140	81.40%	32	18.60%	0	0.00%
JC14	2477	1634	1591	986	61.97%	605	38.03%	0	0.00%
JC15	834	523	507	213	42.01%	294	57.99%	0	0.00%
JC16	2496	1729	1682	908	53.98%	770	45.78%	4	0.24%
JC18	2670	1969	1932	1169	60.51%	763	39.49%	0	0.00%
JC19	3759	2528	2465	1317	53.43%	1147	46.53%	1	0.04%
ML011	2439	1681	1651	1079	65.35%	572	34.65%	0	0.00%
ML012	485	338	329	247	75.08%	82	24.92%	0	0.00%
ML01B	138	96	94	60	63.83%	34	36.17%	0	0.00%
ML021	1991	1369	1343	1047	77.96%	296	22.04%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	R. WOODS (I) R		O. THORNTON, JR. (D)		Write-In Votes	
ML022	241	148	145	117	80.69%	28	19.31%	0	0.00%
ML023	1542	1027	1009	768	76.11%	241	23.89%	0	0.00%
ML024	113	70	68	58	85.29%	10	14.71%	0	0.00%
ML03	3757	2575	2526	1850	73.24%	676	26.76%	0	0.00%
ML04A	1747	1176	1149	735	63.97%	413	35.94%	1	0.09%
ML04B	1206	838	821	606	73.81%	214	26.07%	1	0.12%
ML04C	79	66	64	47	73.44%	17	26.56%	0	0.00%
ML05A	39	27	26	18	69.23%	8	30.77%	0	0.00%
ML05B	381	250	245	175	71.43%	70	28.57%	0	0.00%
ML05C	4023	2615	2555	1410	55.19%	1144	44.77%	1	0.04%
ML06A	1973	1024	1012	380	37.55%	631	62.35%	1	0.10%
ML06B	2775	1734	1701	694	40.80%	1006	59.14%	1	0.06%
ML071	105	73	70	52	74.29%	18	25.71%	0	0.00%
ML072	1249	857	839	619	73.78%	218	25.98%	2	0.24%
ML07A	707	472	459	335	72.98%	123	26.80%	1	0.22%
MP01	450	323	317	156	49.21%	161	50.79%	0	0.00%
PA01	2509	1330	1296	314	24.23%	981	75.69%	1	0.08%
RW01	4717	3355	3255	2070	63.59%	1184	36.37%	1	0.03%
RW02	3794	2675	2597	1480	56.99%	1112	42.82%	5	0.19%
RW03	4510	2745	2679	1261	47.07%	1418	52.93%	0	0.00%
RW04	1803	1359	1322	724	54.77%	596	45.08%	2	0.15%
RW05	2322	1207	1186	431	36.34%	755	63.66%	0	0.00%
RW06	2820	1914	1872	988	52.78%	883	47.17%	1	0.05%
RW07A	1549	677	662	100	15.11%	562	84.89%	0	0.00%
RW07B	388	214	207	55	26.57%	152	73.43%	0	0.00%
RW08	2138	1462	1406	958	68.14%	448	31.86%	0	0.00%
RW09	5918	4216	4140	2851	68.86%	1288	31.11%	1	0.02%
RW10	3347	2376	2308	1482	64.21%	823	35.66%	3	0.13%
RW11A	2667	1693	1658	845	50.97%	812	48.97%	1	0.06%
RW12	5944	4234	4129	2639	63.91%	1489	36.06%	1	0.02%
RW13	3555	2476	2407	1349	56.04%	1055	43.83%	3	0.12%
RW16	1850	1275	1247	759	60.87%	486	38.97%	2	0.16%
RW17	2794	1773	1733	726	41.89%	1005	57.99%	2	0.12%
RW19	1993	1434	1401	947	67.59%	453	32.33%	1	0.07%
RW20	2486	1797	1763	1041	59.05%	721	40.90%	1	0.06%
RW21	3144	2169	2130	1407	66.06%	723	33.94%	0	0.00%
RW22A	4406	2486	2435	975	40.04%	1456	59.79%	4	0.16%
SC01A	20	25	24	3	12.50%	21	87.50%	0	0.00%
SC01B	1707	1295	1285	44	3.42%	1239	96.42%	2	0.16%
SC01C	2063	1513	1485	53	3.57%	1430	96.30%	2	0.13%
SC01D	294	162	162	6	3.70%	154	95.06%	2	1.23%
SC02	872	662	653	31	4.75%	622	95.25%	0	0.00%
SC04	922	616	604	207	34.27%	391	64.74%	6	0.99%
SC05A	646	409	400	7	1.75%	392	98.00%	1	0.25%
SC05B	3204	2188	2166	95	4.39%	2070	95.57%	1	0.05%
SC05D	2171	1428	1413	58	4.10%	1354	95.82%	1	0.07%
SC05E	623	434	426	69	16.20%	357	83.80%	0	0.00%
SC07A	5595	3698	3657	326	8.91%	3324	90.89%	7	0.19%
SC07C	29	10	10	1	10.00%	9	90.00%	0	0.00%
SC08B	3382	2008	1979	67	3.39%	1910	96.51%	2	0.10%
SC08C	74	53	52	0	0.00%	52	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08D	499	314	311	9	2.89%	302	97.11%	0	0.00%
SC08E	562	316	308	5	1.62%	303	98.38%	0	0.00%
SC08F	700	366	361	17	4.71%	344	95.29%	0	0.00%
SC08G	12	8	8	0	0.00%	8	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08H	4	3	3	0	0.00%	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC09A	1628	839	823	32	3.89%	791	96.11%	0	0.00%
SC09B	1687	1084	1070	31	2.90%	1038	97.01%	1	0.09%
SC09C	1566	842	816	24	2.94%	790	96.81%	2	0.25%
SC10	1678	661	649	23	3.54%	625	96.30%	1	0.15%
SC11A	1411	813	800	20	2.50%	780	97.50%	0	0.00%
SC11B	1506	878	863	28	3.24%	835	96.76%	0	0.00%
SC13	2465	1636	1615	79	4.89%	1529	94.67%	7	0.43%
SC14A	916	429	415	10	2.41%	405	97.59%	0	0.00%
SC14B	502	45	42	6	14.29%	36	85.71%	0	0.00%
SC15	6193	4213	4155	148	3.56%	4004	96.37%	3	0.07%
SC16A	4601	3208	3168	91	2.87%	3073	97.00%	4	0.13%
SC16B	14	15	15	1	6.67%	14	93.33%	0	0.00%
SC17A	55	42	42	0	0.00%	42	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC17B	7	4	4	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%
SC17C	1134	669	660	27	4.09%	632	95.76%	1	0.15%
SC18A	510	329	325	7	2.15%	318	97.85%	0	0.00%
SC18B	663	462	454	19	4.19%	435	95.81%	0	0.00%
SC18C	1850	1352	1335	67	5.02%	1268	94.98%	0	0.00%
SC19A	195	129	129	4	3.10%	125	96.90%	0	0.00%
SC19B	1267	690	675	26	3.85%	642	95.11%	7	1.04%
SC20	2197	1665	1657	53	3.20%	1603	96.74%	1	0.06%
SC211	1328	841	832	35	4.21%	797	95.79%	0	0.00%
SC212	3378	1947	1922	108	5.62%	1812	94.28%	2	0.10%
SC23A	3161	1730	1703	53	3.11%	1650	96.89%	0	0.00%
SC23B	483	284	281	15	5.34%	266	94.66%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	R. WOODS (I) R		O. THORNTON, JR. (D)		Write-In Votes	
SC23C	2252	1302	1281	35	2.73%	1246	97.27%	0	0.00%
SC27	2129	1259	1229	28	2.28%	1197	97.40%	4	0.33%
SC29A	2784	1544	1517	49	3.23%	1467	96.70%	1	0.07%
SC30A	135	97	93	1	1.08%	92	98.92%	0	0.00%
SC30B	960	531	521	20	3.84%	501	96.16%	0	0.00%
SS01	4487	3270	3183	2060	64.72%	1123	35.28%	0	0.00%
SS02A	1120	755	739	340	46.01%	399	53.99%	0	0.00%
SS02B	1255	756	741	212	28.61%	529	71.39%	0	0.00%
SS03	1464	1027	991	497	50.15%	492	49.65%	2	0.20%
SS04	3264	2064	2007	774	38.57%	1231	61.34%	2	0.10%
SS05	1746	1376	1332	773	58.03%	559	41.97%	0	0.00%
SS06	1397	1073	1041	629	60.42%	412	39.58%	0	0.00%
SS07A	968	683	664	401	60.39%	263	39.61%	0	0.00%
SS07B	609	405	396	181	45.71%	215	54.29%	0	0.00%
SS07C	755	436	421	218	51.78%	203	48.22%	0	0.00%
SS08A	1316	758	740	259	35.00%	481	65.00%	0	0.00%
SS08B	635	453	441	262	59.41%	179	40.59%	0	0.00%
SS08C	632	393	385	127	32.99%	258	67.01%	0	0.00%
SS08D	1368	847	827	313	37.85%	514	62.15%	0	0.00%
SS09A	3003	2210	2140	1350	63.08%	789	36.87%	1	0.05%
SS09B	3358	2452	2383	1490	62.53%	892	37.43%	1	0.04%
SS11A	3655	2227	2170	954	43.96%	1216	56.04%	0	0.00%
SS11B	1257	707	678	223	32.89%	455	67.11%	0	0.00%
SS11C	269	146	140	32	22.86%	108	77.14%	0	0.00%
SS11D	365	254	244	160	65.57%	84	34.43%	0	0.00%
SS12	2559	1732	1671	976	58.41%	694	41.53%	1	0.06%
SS13A	1633	1072	1039	548	52.74%	491	47.26%	0	0.00%
SS13B	1001	629	619	333	53.80%	284	45.88%	2	0.32%
SS14	1274	977	954	643	67.40%	310	32.49%	1	0.10%
SS15A	2572	1199	1176	177	15.05%	998	84.86%	1	0.09%
SS15B	1579	902	884	290	32.81%	594	67.19%	0	0.00%
SS16	2030	1534	1496	949	63.44%	546	36.50%	1	0.07%
SS17	3397	2229	2169	1170	53.94%	996	45.92%	3	0.14%
SS18A	619	454	448	254	56.70%	194	43.30%	0	0.00%
SS18B	221	162	156	85	54.49%	71	45.51%	0	0.00%
SS19A	3016	1683	1648	468	28.40%	1177	71.42%	3	0.18%
SS19B	2032	1226	1197	429	35.84%	767	64.08%	1	0.08%
SS20	2060	1159	1135	431	37.97%	703	61.94%	1	0.09%
SS22	2714	1613	1562	700	44.81%	858	54.93%	4	0.26%
SS26	3552	1822	1788	369	20.64%	1418	79.31%	1	0.06%
SS29A	2488	1595	1543	706	45.76%	835	54.12%	2	0.13%
SS31	1345	938	914	457	50.00%	457	50.00%	0	0.00%
UC01A	3622	1715	1696	77	4.54%	1618	95.40%	1	0.06%
UC01B	395	210	205	7	3.41%	198	96.59%	0	0.00%
UC01D	6	2	2	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
UC01E	285	206	204	6	2.94%	198	97.06%	0	0.00%
UC02A	7513	4296	4224	170	4.02%	4051	95.90%	3	0.07%
UC02B	30	19	19	4	21.05%	15	78.95%	0	0.00%
UC031	2399	1203	1175	209	17.79%	965	82.13%	1	0.09%
UC032	292	186	181	27	14.92%	154	85.08%	0	0.00%
Total	703177	424992	413956	130853	31.61%	282780	68.31%	323	0.08%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	LABOR COMMISSIONER								
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	M. BUTLER (I) R		R. KEATLEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide									
01A	3199	2362	2289	268	11.71%	2020	88.25%	1	0.04%
01B	3839	2737	2645	317	11.98%	2328	88.02%	0	0.00%
01C	1459	612	597	32	5.36%	565	94.64%	0	0.00%
01D	511	307	300	18	6.00%	282	94.00%	0	0.00%
01E	3363	2410	2311	338	14.63%	1971	85.29%	2	0.09%
01F	1254	452	441	17	3.85%	423	95.92%	1	0.23%
01G	1909	1102	1061	73	6.88%	987	93.03%	1	0.09%
01H	758	379	365	12	3.29%	352	96.44%	1	0.27%
01J	2416	1184	1145	57	4.98%	1087	94.93%	1	0.09%
01P	1244	542	519	20	3.85%	499	96.15%	0	0.00%
01R	1344	491	463	19	4.10%	444	95.90%	0	0.00%
01S	1749	823	790	33	4.18%	756	95.70%	1	0.13%
01T	1506	796	771	66	8.56%	704	91.31%	1	0.13%
02A	4584	2949	2867	668	23.30%	2197	76.63%	2	0.07%
02B	3518	1265	1232	170	13.80%	1061	86.12%	1	0.08%
02C	2037	1205	1165	131	11.24%	1033	88.67%	1	0.09%
02D	4475	2660	2587	357	13.80%	2229	86.16%	1	0.04%
02E	1905	1423	1387	181	13.05%	1205	86.88%	1	0.07%
02F1	2962	2033	1956	324	16.56%	1628	83.23%	4	0.20%
02F2	788	458	444	66	14.86%	378	85.14%	0	0.00%
02G	3443	2361	2272	359	15.80%	1912	84.15%	1	0.04%
02J	2159	1432	1370	207	15.11%	1162	84.82%	1	0.07%
02K	1419	951	910	143	15.71%	767	84.29%	0	0.00%
02L1	6213	3978	3862	788	20.40%	3074	79.60%	0	0.00%
02L2	1364	782	753	161	21.38%	592	78.62%	0	0.00%
02S	708	427	418	35	8.37%	383	91.63%	0	0.00%
02W	682	399	387	66	17.05%	320	82.69%	1	0.26%
03A	1927	692	665	26	3.91%	639	96.09%	0	0.00%
03B	560	181	175	6	3.43%	169	96.57%	0	0.00%
03C	1534	674	644	27	4.19%	617	95.81%	0	0.00%
03D	1017	402	387	24	6.20%	363	93.80%	0	0.00%
03E	2284	914	881	47	5.33%	833	94.55%	1	0.11%
03F	1925	1115	1089	195	17.91%	894	82.09%	0	0.00%
03G	802	360	343	12	3.50%	331	96.50%	0	0.00%
03H	900	369	351	13	3.70%	338	96.30%	0	0.00%
03I	1360	566	537	101	18.81%	436	81.19%	0	0.00%
03L	594	229	218	12	5.50%	206	94.50%	0	0.00%
03M	766	452	429	113	26.34%	315	73.43%	1	0.23%
03N	2288	938	912	64	7.02%	847	92.87%	1	0.11%
03P1A	3882	1966	1913	296	15.47%	1617	84.53%	0	0.00%
03P2	13	7	7	0	0.00%	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
03S	761	356	342	12	3.51%	330	96.49%	0	0.00%
03T	1505	715	691	34	4.92%	657	95.08%	0	0.00%
04A	1202	560	540	15	2.78%	525	97.22%	0	0.00%
04B	2242	922	888	23	2.59%	865	97.41%	0	0.00%
04C	136	54	53	0	0.00%	53	100.00%	0	0.00%
04D	1481	714	682	34	4.99%	648	95.01%	0	0.00%
04F	2086	935	904	89	9.85%	813	89.93%	2	0.22%
04G	828	312	298	12	4.03%	286	95.97%	0	0.00%
04I	3362	561	542	54	9.96%	488	90.04%	0	0.00%
04J	1230	317	308	9	2.92%	299	97.08%	0	0.00%
04K	2339	1266	1206	56	4.64%	1150	95.36%	0	0.00%
04L	1655	867	836	50	5.98%	785	93.90%	1	0.12%
04M	773	292	287	18	6.27%	269	93.73%	0	0.00%
04S	2165	1118	1068	35	3.28%	1033	96.72%	0	0.00%
04T	1145	584	557	24	4.31%	533	95.69%	0	0.00%
04V	2848	1211	1164	31	2.66%	1133	97.34%	0	0.00%
04W	2203	820	807	15	1.86%	791	98.02%	1	0.12%
04X1	1942	950	925	43	4.65%	881	95.24%	1	0.11%
04X2	737	364	346	14	4.05%	332	95.95%	0	0.00%
05A1	2491	1728	1665	193	11.59%	1472	88.41%	0	0.00%
05A2	2910	1998	1930	209	10.83%	1719	89.07%	2	0.10%
05B	1763	901	848	39	4.60%	807	95.17%	2	0.24%
05C	146	67	64	3	4.69%	61	95.31%	0	0.00%
05D	386	93	90	5	5.56%	85	94.44%	0	0.00%
05F	223	136	136	10	7.35%	126	92.65%	0	0.00%
05J	639	416	405	45	11.11%	360	88.89%	0	0.00%
05K	2600	1327	1299	114	8.78%	1184	91.15%	1	0.08%
06B	1756	1238	1189	284	23.89%	905	76.11%	0	0.00%
06D	8568	6060	5857	1439	24.57%	4416	75.40%	2	0.03%
06E	2250	1439	1406	324	23.04%	1081	76.88%	1	0.07%
06F	2709	1757	1677	356	21.23%	1319	78.65%	2	0.12%
06G	536	369	354	71	20.06%	283	79.94%	0	0.00%
06I	3412	1957	1907	321	16.83%	1585	83.11%	1	0.05%
06J	2539	1904	1821	465	25.54%	1356	74.46%	0	0.00%
06L1	917	679	649	189	29.12%	458	70.57%	2	0.31%
06L2	654	487	472	168	35.59%	304	64.41%	0	0.00%
06N	1844	1085	1046	427	40.82%	619	59.18%	0	0.00%
06Q	527	295	290	32	11.03%	258	88.97%	0	0.00%
06R	1836	1206	1166	304	26.07%	862	73.93%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

LABOR COMMISSIONER

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	M. BUTLER (I) R		R. KEATLEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
07A	6600	4093	3935	1896	48.18%	2035	51.72%	4	0.10%
07B	2763	2107	2033	1362	66.99%	671	33.01%	0	0.00%
07C	3927	2498	2396	1154	48.16%	1241	51.79%	1	0.04%
07D	4231	2413	2326	1075	46.22%	1250	53.74%	1	0.04%
07E	2031	1358	1320	472	35.76%	848	64.24%	0	0.00%
07F	3017	2041	1947	921	47.30%	1026	52.70%	0	0.00%
07H	1923	1424	1363	675	49.52%	687	50.40%	1	0.07%
07J	2647	1753	1676	691	41.23%	983	58.65%	2	0.12%
07M	2155	1180	1147	437	38.10%	709	61.81%	1	0.09%
07N	1946	1044	1002	207	20.66%	795	79.34%	0	0.00%
08A	2799	1949	1894	1064	56.18%	830	43.82%	0	0.00%
08B	3199	2255	2176	1361	62.55%	815	37.45%	0	0.00%
08C	1392	984	946	692	73.15%	254	26.85%	0	0.00%
08D	1022	770	739	528	71.45%	211	28.55%	0	0.00%
08E	2429	1864	1804	1149	63.69%	655	36.31%	0	0.00%
08F1	2071	1628	1547	1094	70.72%	453	29.28%	0	0.00%
08G	2787	1669	1609	726	45.12%	883	54.88%	0	0.00%
08H	2465	1874	1795	1087	60.56%	707	39.39%	1	0.06%
08J	2981	2223	2131	1123	52.70%	1008	47.30%	0	0.00%
08K	973	702	681	334	49.05%	347	50.95%	0	0.00%
08L	4194	2706	2615	934	35.72%	1679	64.21%	2	0.08%
08M	1907	1358	1305	870	66.67%	435	33.33%	0	0.00%
08N1	767	587	561	391	69.70%	170	30.30%	0	0.00%
08N2	1391	927	885	439	49.60%	445	50.28%	1	0.11%
08P	1633	928	890	180	20.22%	709	79.66%	1	0.11%
09A	3338	2152	2074	565	27.24%	1508	72.71%	1	0.05%
09B	385	174	171	2	1.17%	169	98.83%	0	0.00%
09C	2954	1522	1462	52	3.56%	1410	96.44%	0	0.00%
09D	1879	858	811	32	3.95%	778	95.93%	1	0.12%
09E	2782	1420	1370	116	8.47%	1254	91.53%	0	0.00%
09F	3619	2526	2432	1142	46.96%	1288	52.96%	2	0.08%
09G	3519	2282	2211	581	26.28%	1629	73.68%	1	0.05%
09H	1064	645	628	87	13.85%	541	86.15%	0	0.00%
09I	1194	503	475	21	4.42%	452	95.16%	2	0.42%
09K	4403	1851	1787	78	4.36%	1709	95.64%	0	0.00%
09M	2259	1611	1549	377	24.34%	1170	75.53%	2	0.13%
10A	2850	1622	1567	42	2.68%	1524	97.26%	1	0.06%
10B	3686	2464	2396	58	2.42%	2337	97.54%	1	0.04%
10C	2466	1168	1131	31	2.74%	1100	97.26%	0	0.00%
10D	4220	1850	1764	57	3.23%	1705	96.66%	2	0.11%
10E	950	524	501	22	4.39%	479	95.61%	0	0.00%
10F	1053	590	567	26	4.59%	541	95.41%	0	0.00%
10G	1205	813	788	21	2.66%	766	97.21%	1	0.13%
10H1	408	238	231	4	1.73%	227	98.27%	0	0.00%
10H2	2207	1032	991	34	3.43%	956	96.47%	1	0.10%
10I	329	258	253	6	2.37%	247	97.63%	0	0.00%
10J	1012	466	451	12	2.66%	439	97.34%	0	0.00%
10K	1415	858	826	34	4.12%	792	95.88%	0	0.00%
10M	2529	1414	1363	57	4.18%	1304	95.67%	2	0.15%
10P	1364	668	644	20	3.11%	624	96.89%	0	0.00%
10R	713	347	334	10	2.99%	324	97.01%	0	0.00%
11B	5162	3088	3002	101	3.36%	2899	96.57%	2	0.07%
11C	2822	2003	1961	42	2.14%	1918	97.81%	1	0.05%
11E1	1141	634	615	24	3.90%	591	96.10%	0	0.00%
11E2	847	497	482	12	2.49%	470	97.51%	0	0.00%
11E3	3124	1835	1808	51	2.82%	1756	97.12%	1	0.06%
11G	2092	855	822	35	4.26%	787	95.74%	0	0.00%
11H	677	356	343	15	4.37%	327	95.34%	1	0.29%
11J	1827	1018	976	34	3.48%	941	96.41%	1	0.10%
11K	1818	1104	1068	48	4.49%	1019	95.41%	1	0.09%
11M	3039	1659	1621	49	3.02%	1571	96.92%	1	0.06%
11N	2166	1358	1319	42	3.18%	1277	96.82%	0	0.00%
11P	1833	1020	968	34	3.51%	934	96.49%	0	0.00%
11R	1849	672	641	23	3.59%	618	96.41%	0	0.00%
12A	4828	2734	2650	162	6.11%	2486	93.81%	2	0.08%
12D	1209	501	482	15	3.11%	467	96.89%	0	0.00%
12E1	1621	591	565	33	5.84%	532	94.16%	0	0.00%
12F	943	428	413	15	3.63%	398	96.37%	0	0.00%
12G	800	430	404	21	5.20%	383	94.80%	0	0.00%
12H1	1006	310	304	25	8.22%	278	91.45%	1	0.33%
12H2	2562	1189	1146	30	2.62%	1114	97.21%	2	0.17%
12I	970	413	389	11	2.83%	378	97.17%	0	0.00%
12J	2535	1108	1074	23	2.14%	1048	97.58%	3	0.28%
12K	3022	1240	1190	75	6.30%	1114	93.61%	1	0.08%
12L	613	238	224	9	4.02%	215	95.98%	0	0.00%
12M	2533	1171	1143	26	2.27%	1117	97.73%	0	0.00%
12N	907	327	310	16	5.16%	294	94.84%	0	0.00%
12S	1794	959	919	36	3.92%	883	96.08%	0	0.00%
AP01A	4724	3141	3070	1684	54.85%	1386	45.15%	0	0.00%
AP01B	913	516	503	240	47.71%	263	52.29%	0	0.00%
AP01C	2233	1498	1460	831	56.92%	628	43.01%	1	0.07%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	LABOR COMMISSIONER								
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	M. BUTLER (I) R		R. KEATLEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
AP01D	915	539	527	232	44.02%	295	55.98%	0	0.00%
AP02B	474	263	256	133	51.95%	123	48.05%	0	0.00%
AP03	741	314	304	68	22.37%	236	77.63%	0	0.00%
AP04A	1647	1183	1146	727	63.44%	419	36.56%	0	0.00%
AP04B	415	290	285	168	58.95%	117	41.05%	0	0.00%
AP05	4367	2981	2908	1762	60.59%	1142	39.27%	4	0.14%
AP06	1827	1309	1262	815	64.58%	447	35.42%	0	0.00%
AP07A	3422	2102	2034	1106	54.38%	927	45.58%	1	0.05%
AP07B	1650	1144	1118	652	58.32%	466	41.68%	0	0.00%
AP09A	4051	2687	2619	1348	51.47%	1269	48.45%	2	0.08%
AP09B	810	531	519	220	42.39%	299	57.61%	0	0.00%
AP021	2994	1963	1906	1069	56.09%	836	43.86%	1	0.05%
AP022	2210	1429	1366	678	49.63%	688	50.37%	0	0.00%
AP10	942	681	661	418	63.24%	243	36.76%	0	0.00%
AP12A	658	374	364	134	36.81%	230	63.19%	0	0.00%
AP12B	747	428	418	138	33.01%	280	66.99%	0	0.00%
AP12C	1584	1005	977	563	57.63%	414	42.37%	0	0.00%
AP14	2972	2027	1974	1085	54.96%	889	45.04%	0	0.00%
CH01	330	164	160	41	25.63%	119	74.38%	0	0.00%
CH02	319	202	196	120	61.22%	75	38.27%	1	0.51%
CH03	379	250	243	156	64.20%	87	35.80%	0	0.00%
CH04A	647	444	434	237	54.61%	197	45.39%	0	0.00%
CH05	407	260	257	189	73.54%	68	26.46%	0	0.00%
CP01B	381	227	220	85	38.64%	135	61.36%	0	0.00%
CP011	1135	617	599	149	24.87%	450	75.13%	0	0.00%
CP012	1318	844	816	176	21.57%	640	78.43%	0	0.00%
CP02	1000	553	534	76	14.23%	458	85.77%	0	0.00%
CP04A	8	2	2	1	50.00%	1	50.00%	0	0.00%
CP04B	39	14	14	4	28.57%	10	71.43%	0	0.00%
CP05B	143	76	74	3	4.05%	71	95.95%	0	0.00%
CP051	1612	874	849	44	5.18%	804	94.70%	1	0.12%
CP06A	598	208	199	5	2.51%	194	97.49%	0	0.00%
CP07B	7	2	2	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP07C	244	47	47	4	8.51%	43	91.49%	0	0.00%
CP07D	694	208	199	6	3.02%	193	96.98%	0	0.00%
CP07E	125	41	40	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP07F	184	55	52	1	1.92%	51	98.08%	0	0.00%
CP081	343	77	77	5	6.49%	71	92.21%	1	1.30%
CP083	219	25	24	1	4.17%	23	95.83%	0	0.00%
CP084	3	1	1	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP08A	705	175	171	6	3.51%	165	96.49%	0	0.00%
EP01A	2609	1464	1422	148	10.41%	1273	89.52%	1	0.07%
EP01B	3038	1539	1504	120	7.98%	1384	92.02%	0	0.00%
EP02A	1967	890	856	54	6.31%	802	93.69%	0	0.00%
EP02B	1449	977	950	143	15.05%	806	84.84%	1	0.11%
EP02C	428	251	246	55	22.36%	191	77.64%	0	0.00%
EP02D	326	192	185	11	5.95%	174	94.05%	0	0.00%
EP02E	1382	627	608	33	5.43%	575	94.57%	0	0.00%
EP03A	4293	2512	2439	136	5.58%	2299	94.26%	4	0.16%
EP03B	1421	973	953	49	5.14%	904	94.86%	0	0.00%
EP04A	5432	2626	2580	75	2.91%	2503	97.02%	2	0.08%
EP04B	2024	1203	1192	25	2.10%	1167	97.90%	0	0.00%
FA01A	3574	2126	2080	232	11.15%	1848	88.85%	0	0.00%
FA01B	4833	2965	2914	327	11.22%	2587	88.78%	0	0.00%
FA01C	681	401	396	18	4.55%	377	95.20%	1	0.25%
HP01	3212	1780	1728	477	27.60%	1250	72.34%	1	0.06%
JC01	4977	3215	3129	1324	42.31%	1803	57.62%	2	0.06%
JC02	2460	1474	1435	672	46.83%	763	53.17%	0	0.00%
JC03A	540	384	376	189	50.27%	187	49.73%	0	0.00%
JC03B	1448	955	931	382	41.03%	549	58.97%	0	0.00%
JC04A	4042	2692	2608	1314	50.38%	1292	49.54%	2	0.08%
JC04B	255	156	147	70	47.62%	77	52.38%	0	0.00%
JC05	2136	1391	1356	652	48.08%	704	51.92%	0	0.00%
JC06	2627	1580	1532	886	57.83%	646	42.17%	0	0.00%
JC07	4083	2627	2530	1231	48.66%	1299	51.34%	0	0.00%
JC08	2737	1870	1809	965	53.34%	844	46.66%	0	0.00%
JC09	2928	1878	1841	799	43.40%	1041	56.55%	1	0.05%
JC10	2392	1507	1465	608	41.50%	857	58.50%	0	0.00%
JC11	3186	2085	2026	1188	58.64%	838	41.36%	0	0.00%
JC12	2455	1753	1707	1176	68.89%	531	31.11%	0	0.00%
JC13A	2115	1462	1413	975	69.00%	437	30.93%	1	0.07%
JC13B	271	180	170	138	81.18%	32	18.82%	0	0.00%
JC14	2477	1634	1580	974	61.65%	606	38.35%	0	0.00%
JC15	834	523	508	209	41.14%	299	58.86%	0	0.00%
JC16	2496	1729	1676	883	52.68%	790	47.14%	3	0.18%
JC18	2670	1969	1923	1135	59.02%	788	40.98%	0	0.00%
JC19	3759	2528	2452	1271	51.84%	1179	48.08%	2	0.08%
ML011	2439	1681	1638	1046	63.86%	592	36.14%	0	0.00%
ML012	485	338	329	240	72.95%	89	27.05%	0	0.00%
ML01B	138	96	96	60	62.50%	36	37.50%	0	0.00%
ML021	1991	1369	1342	1038	77.35%	304	22.65%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	LABOR COMMISSIONER								
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	M. BUTLER (I) R		R. KEATLEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
ML022	241	148	145	116	80.00%	29	20.00%	0	0.00%
ML023	1542	1027	1000	758	75.80%	242	24.20%	0	0.00%
ML024	113	70	69	60	86.96%	9	13.04%	0	0.00%
ML03	3757	2575	2508	1828	72.89%	680	27.11%	0	0.00%
ML04A	1747	1176	1146	725	63.26%	420	36.65%	1	0.09%
ML04B	1206	838	820	590	71.95%	230	28.05%	0	0.00%
ML04C	79	66	63	47	74.60%	16	25.40%	0	0.00%
ML05A	39	27	26	17	65.38%	9	34.62%	0	0.00%
ML05B	381	250	243	168	69.14%	75	30.86%	0	0.00%
ML05C	4023	2615	2554	1386	54.27%	1167	45.69%	1	0.04%
ML06A	1973	1024	1004	369	36.75%	634	63.15%	1	0.10%
ML06B	2775	1734	1701	664	39.04%	1036	60.91%	1	0.06%
ML071	105	73	71	50	70.42%	21	29.58%	0	0.00%
ML072	1249	857	839	598	71.28%	240	28.61%	1	0.12%
ML07A	707	472	458	327	71.40%	131	28.60%	0	0.00%
MP01	450	323	316	155	49.05%	161	50.95%	0	0.00%
PA01	2509	1330	1299	310	23.86%	988	76.06%	1	0.08%
RW01	4717	3355	3236	2021	62.45%	1214	37.52%	1	0.03%
RW02	3794	2675	2586	1454	56.23%	1129	43.66%	3	0.12%
RW03	4510	2745	2652	1204	45.40%	1448	54.60%	0	0.00%
RW04	1803	1359	1320	702	53.18%	618	46.82%	0	0.00%
RW05	2322	1207	1181	420	35.56%	759	64.27%	2	0.17%
RW06	2820	1914	1862	953	51.18%	907	48.71%	2	0.11%
RW07A	1549	677	662	102	15.41%	560	84.59%	0	0.00%
RW07B	388	214	207	53	25.60%	154	74.40%	0	0.00%
RW08	2138	1462	1407	932	66.24%	475	33.76%	0	0.00%
RW09	5918	4216	4109	2797	68.07%	1311	31.91%	1	0.02%
RW10	3347	2376	2286	1441	63.04%	843	36.88%	2	0.09%
RW11A	2667	1693	1645	813	49.42%	832	50.58%	0	0.00%
RW12	5944	4234	4112	2597	63.16%	1515	36.84%	0	0.00%
RW13	3555	2476	2399	1322	55.11%	1075	44.81%	2	0.08%
RW16	1850	1275	1244	745	59.89%	498	40.03%	1	0.08%
RW17	2794	1773	1728	704	40.74%	1022	59.14%	2	0.12%
RW19	1993	1434	1401	919	65.60%	482	34.40%	0	0.00%
RW20	2486	1797	1744	997	57.17%	746	42.78%	1	0.06%
RW21	3144	2169	2107	1377	65.35%	730	34.65%	0	0.00%
RW22A	4406	2486	2427	973	40.09%	1452	59.83%	2	0.08%
SC01A	20	25	23	2	8.70%	21	91.30%	0	0.00%
SC01B	1707	1295	1278	41	3.21%	1236	96.71%	1	0.08%
SC01C	2063	1513	1478	57	3.86%	1420	96.08%	1	0.07%
SC01D	294	162	161	1	0.62%	160	99.38%	0	0.00%
SC02	872	662	654	40	6.12%	614	93.88%	0	0.00%
SC04	922	616	604	204	33.77%	399	66.06%	1	0.17%
SC05A	646	409	400	7	1.75%	392	98.00%	1	0.25%
SC05B	3204	2188	2152	85	3.95%	2065	95.96%	2	0.09%
SC05D	2171	1428	1413	56	3.96%	1357	96.04%	0	0.00%
SC05E	623	434	426	72	16.90%	354	83.10%	0	0.00%
SC07A	5595	3698	3649	342	9.37%	3305	90.57%	2	0.05%
SC07C	29	10	10	1	10.00%	9	90.00%	0	0.00%
SC08B	3382	2008	1966	67	3.41%	1897	96.49%	2	0.10%
SC08C	74	53	52	0	0.00%	52	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08D	499	314	310	7	2.26%	302	97.42%	1	0.32%
SC08E	562	316	308	6	1.95%	302	98.05%	0	0.00%
SC08F	700	366	357	18	5.04%	339	94.96%	0	0.00%
SC08G	12	8	8	0	0.00%	8	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08H	4	3	3	0	0.00%	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC09A	1628	839	817	37	4.53%	780	95.47%	0	0.00%
SC09B	1687	1084	1057	33	3.12%	1024	96.88%	0	0.00%
SC09C	1566	842	825	29	3.52%	796	96.48%	0	0.00%
SC10	1678	661	646	21	3.25%	624	96.59%	1	0.15%
SC11A	1411	813	795	22	2.77%	773	97.23%	0	0.00%
SC11B	1506	878	859	28	3.26%	831	96.74%	0	0.00%
SC13	2465	1636	1612	89	5.52%	1521	94.35%	2	0.12%
SC14A	916	429	417	17	4.08%	400	95.92%	0	0.00%
SC14B	502	45	42	7	16.67%	35	83.33%	0	0.00%
SC15	6193	4213	4148	150	3.62%	3996	96.34%	2	0.05%
SC16A	4601	3208	3165	96	3.03%	3066	96.87%	3	0.09%
SC16B	14	15	15	0	0.00%	15	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC17A	55	42	42	0	0.00%	42	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC17B	7	4	4	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%
SC17C	1134	669	660	23	3.48%	637	96.52%	0	0.00%
SC18A	510	329	321	7	2.18%	314	97.82%	0	0.00%
SC18B	663	462	456	19	4.17%	437	95.83%	0	0.00%
SC18C	1850	1352	1329	70	5.27%	1259	94.73%	0	0.00%
SC19A	195	129	128	4	3.13%	124	96.88%	0	0.00%
SC19B	1267	690	675	27	4.00%	646	95.70%	2	0.30%
SC20	2197	1665	1648	50	3.03%	1598	96.97%	0	0.00%
SC211	1328	841	830	40	4.82%	790	95.18%	0	0.00%
SC212	3378	1947	1914	108	5.64%	1806	94.36%	0	0.00%
SC23A	3161	1730	1697	53	3.12%	1644	96.88%	0	0.00%
SC23B	483	284	281	16	5.69%	265	94.31%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	LABOR COMMISSIONER								
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	M. BUTLER (I) R		R. KEATLEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
SC23C	2252	1302	1277	37	2.90%	1240	97.10%	0	0.00%
SC27	2129	1259	1234	33	2.67%	1198	97.08%	3	0.24%
SC29A	2784	1544	1518	54	3.56%	1463	96.38%	1	0.07%
SC30A	135	97	92	1	1.09%	91	98.91%	0	0.00%
SC30B	960	531	515	22	4.27%	493	95.73%	0	0.00%
SS01	4487	3270	3165	2010	63.51%	1155	36.49%	0	0.00%
SS02A	1120	755	734	323	44.01%	411	55.99%	0	0.00%
SS02B	1255	756	738	199	26.96%	539	73.04%	0	0.00%
SS03	1464	1027	990	488	49.29%	501	50.61%	1	0.10%
SS04	3264	2064	1999	777	38.87%	1221	61.08%	1	0.05%
SS05	1746	1376	1332	735	55.18%	597	44.82%	0	0.00%
SS06	1397	1073	1035	600	57.97%	435	42.03%	0	0.00%
SS07A	968	683	662	381	57.55%	281	42.45%	0	0.00%
SS07B	609	405	396	170	42.93%	226	57.07%	0	0.00%
SS07C	755	436	422	217	51.42%	205	48.58%	0	0.00%
SS08A	1316	758	737	249	33.79%	488	66.21%	0	0.00%
SS08B	635	453	443	249	56.21%	194	43.79%	0	0.00%
SS08C	632	393	379	122	32.19%	257	67.81%	0	0.00%
SS08D	1368	847	830	303	36.51%	527	63.49%	0	0.00%
SS09A	3003	2210	2130	1290	60.56%	838	39.34%	2	0.09%
SS09B	3358	2452	2378	1419	59.67%	958	40.29%	1	0.04%
SS11A	3655	2227	2157	953	44.18%	1203	55.77%	1	0.05%
SS11B	1257	707	679	221	32.55%	458	67.45%	0	0.00%
SS11C	269	146	139	27	19.42%	112	80.58%	0	0.00%
SS11D	365	254	241	153	63.49%	87	36.10%	1	0.41%
SS12	2559	1732	1660	915	55.12%	744	44.82%	1	0.06%
SS13A	1633	1072	1034	528	51.06%	506	48.94%	0	0.00%
SS13B	1001	629	614	319	51.95%	295	48.05%	0	0.00%
SS14	1274	977	949	636	67.02%	312	32.88%	1	0.11%
SS15A	2572	1199	1172	180	15.36%	992	84.64%	0	0.00%
SS15B	1579	902	874	283	32.38%	591	67.62%	0	0.00%
SS16	2030	1534	1490	895	60.07%	595	39.93%	0	0.00%
SS17	3397	2229	2169	1178	54.31%	989	45.60%	2	0.09%
SS18A	619	454	445	245	55.06%	200	44.94%	0	0.00%
SS18B	221	162	156	82	52.56%	74	47.44%	0	0.00%
SS19A	3016	1683	1642	425	25.88%	1216	74.06%	1	0.06%
SS19B	2032	1226	1193	397	33.28%	795	66.64%	1	0.08%
SS20	2060	1159	1127	401	35.58%	726	64.42%	0	0.00%
SS22	2714	1613	1559	685	43.94%	874	56.06%	0	0.00%
SS26	3552	1822	1776	349	19.65%	1427	80.35%	0	0.00%
SS29A	2488	1595	1534	683	44.52%	850	55.41%	1	0.07%
SS31	1345	938	911	429	47.09%	482	52.91%	0	0.00%
UC01A	3622	1715	1692	83	4.91%	1607	94.98%	2	0.12%
UC01B	395	210	204	6	2.94%	198	97.06%	0	0.00%
UC01D	6	2	2	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
UC01E	285	206	205	6	2.93%	198	96.59%	1	0.49%
UC02A	7513	4296	4214	171	4.06%	4042	95.92%	1	0.02%
UC02B	30	19	19	4	21.05%	15	78.95%	0	0.00%
UC031	2399	1203	1173	209	17.82%	964	82.18%	0	0.00%
UC032	292	186	182	29	15.93%	153	84.07%	0	0.00%
Total	703177	424992	412301	126310	30.64%	285798	69.32%	193	0.05%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

PSC EATON

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	C. EATON (I) R		L. MILLER (D)		R. GRAHAM (L)		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide											
01A	3199	2362	2298	207	9.01%	1998	86.95%	92	4.00%	1	0.04%
01B	3839	2737	2660	243	9.14%	2303	86.58%	114	4.29%	0	0.00%
01C	1459	612	600	15	2.50%	562	93.67%	23	3.83%	0	0.00%
01D	511	307	301	11	3.65%	279	92.69%	11	3.65%	0	0.00%
01E	3363	2410	2339	257	10.99%	1980	84.65%	102	4.36%	0	0.00%
01F	1254	452	442	13	2.94%	417	94.34%	11	2.49%	1	0.23%
01G	1909	1102	1067	54	5.06%	971	91.00%	42	3.94%	0	0.00%
01H	758	379	367	17	4.63%	342	93.19%	7	1.91%	1	0.27%
01J	2416	1184	1146	38	3.32%	1081	94.33%	27	2.36%	0	0.00%
01P	1244	542	515	10	1.94%	500	97.09%	5	0.97%	0	0.00%
01R	1344	491	461	7	1.52%	444	96.31%	10	2.17%	0	0.00%
01S	1749	823	788	27	3.43%	745	94.54%	15	1.90%	1	0.13%
01T	1506	796	770	47	6.10%	688	89.35%	34	4.42%	1	0.13%
02A	4584	2949	2868	564	19.67%	2206	76.92%	97	3.38%	1	0.03%
02B	3518	1265	1228	136	11.07%	1053	85.75%	39	3.18%	0	0.00%
02C	2037	1205	1168	101	8.65%	1028	88.01%	38	3.25%	1	0.09%
02D	4475	2660	2583	277	10.72%	2226	86.18%	80	3.10%	0	0.00%
02E	1905	1423	1401	132	9.42%	1220	87.08%	49	3.50%	0	0.00%
02F1	2962	2033	1972	253	12.83%	1634	82.86%	85	4.31%	0	0.00%
02F2	788	458	449	49	10.91%	378	84.19%	22	4.90%	0	0.00%
02G	3443	2361	2286	267	11.68%	1920	83.99%	99	4.33%	0	0.00%
02J	2159	1432	1388	170	12.25%	1157	83.36%	61	4.39%	0	0.00%
02K	1419	951	918	123	13.40%	762	83.01%	33	3.59%	0	0.00%
02L1	6213	3978	3877	664	17.13%	3054	78.77%	159	4.10%	0	0.00%
02L2	1364	782	756	130	17.20%	592	78.31%	34	4.50%	0	0.00%
02S	708	427	418	25	5.98%	379	90.67%	14	3.35%	0	0.00%
02W	682	399	389	59	15.17%	317	81.49%	12	3.08%	1	0.26%
03A	1927	692	671	14	2.09%	641	95.53%	15	2.24%	1	0.15%
03B	560	181	173	6	3.47%	163	94.22%	4	2.31%	0	0.00%
03C	1534	674	648	15	2.31%	618	95.37%	15	2.31%	0	0.00%
03D	1017	402	390	16	4.10%	364	93.33%	10	2.56%	0	0.00%
03E	2284	914	887	28	3.16%	844	95.15%	15	1.69%	0	0.00%
03F	1925	1115	1099	151	13.74%	901	81.98%	47	4.28%	0	0.00%
03G	802	360	347	6	1.73%	329	94.81%	11	3.17%	1	0.29%
03H	900	369	350	8	2.29%	335	95.71%	7	2.00%	0	0.00%
03I	1360	566	543	83	15.29%	431	79.37%	29	5.34%	0	0.00%
03L	594	229	220	7	3.18%	206	93.64%	7	3.18%	0	0.00%
03M	766	452	432	103	23.84%	304	70.37%	25	5.79%	0	0.00%
03N	2288	938	908	38	4.19%	844	92.95%	26	2.86%	0	0.00%
03P1A	3882	1966	1913	225	11.76%	1605	83.90%	83	4.34%	0	0.00%
03P2	13	7	7	0	0.00%	7	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
03S	761	356	346	9	2.60%	335	96.82%	2	0.58%	0	0.00%
03T	1505	715	697	23	3.30%	654	93.83%	20	2.87%	0	0.00%
04A	1202	560	544	11	2.02%	518	95.22%	15	2.76%	0	0.00%
04B	2242	922	895	14	1.56%	858	95.87%	23	2.57%	0	0.00%
04C	136	54	53	0	0.00%	52	98.11%	1	1.89%	0	0.00%
04D	1481	714	681	18	2.64%	644	94.57%	19	2.79%	0	0.00%
04F	2086	935	908	64	7.05%	816	89.87%	28	3.08%	0	0.00%
04G	828	312	300	10	3.33%	281	93.67%	9	3.00%	0	0.00%
04I	3362	561	544	39	7.17%	477	87.68%	27	4.96%	1	0.18%
04J	1230	317	311	8	2.57%	296	95.18%	7	2.25%	0	0.00%
04K	2339	1266	1214	35	2.88%	1143	94.15%	36	2.97%	0	0.00%
04L	1655	867	844	29	3.44%	782	92.65%	31	3.67%	2	0.24%
04M	773	292	287	7	2.44%	268	93.38%	12	4.18%	0	0.00%
04S	2165	1118	1070	29	2.71%	1014	94.77%	27	2.52%	0	0.00%
04T	1145	584	557	9	1.62%	540	96.95%	8	1.44%	0	0.00%
04V	2848	1211	1169	15	1.28%	1129	96.58%	25	2.14%	0	0.00%
04W	2203	820	804	7	0.87%	771	95.90%	24	2.99%	2	0.25%
04X1	1942	950	927	29	3.13%	874	94.28%	23	2.48%	1	0.11%
04X2	737	364	347	10	2.88%	329	94.81%	8	2.31%	0	0.00%
05A1	2491	1728	1674	149	8.90%	1465	87.51%	60	3.58%	0	0.00%
05A2	2910	1998	1938	163	8.41%	1695	87.46%	79	4.08%	1	0.05%
05B	1763	901	851	36	4.23%	794	93.30%	19	2.23%	2	0.24%
05C	146	67	65	3	4.62%	59	90.77%	3	4.62%	0	0.00%
05D	386	93	89	4	4.49%	78	87.64%	7	7.87%	0	0.00%
05F	223	136	136	8	5.88%	126	92.65%	2	1.47%	0	0.00%
05J	639	416	409	37	9.05%	355	86.80%	17	4.16%	0	0.00%
05K	2600	1327	1304	86	6.60%	1176	90.18%	42	3.22%	0	0.00%
06B	1756	1238	1199	232	19.35%	912	76.06%	55	4.59%	0	0.00%
06D	8568	6060	5907	1188	20.11%	4529	76.67%	188	3.18%	2	0.03%
06E	2250	1439	1416	269	19.00%	1091	77.05%	55	3.88%	1	0.07%
06F	2709	1757	1688	303	17.95%	1320	78.20%	65	3.85%	0	0.00%
06G	536	369	359	59	16.43%	285	79.39%	15	4.18%	0	0.00%
06I	3412	1957	1913	261	13.64%	1583	82.75%	68	3.55%	1	0.05%
06J	2539	1904	1839	369	20.07%	1392	75.69%	77	4.19%	1	0.05%
06L1	917	679	659	152	23.07%	490	74.36%	17	2.58%	0	0.00%
06L2	654	487	475	151	31.79%	314	66.11%	10	2.11%	0	0.00%
06N	1844	1085	1044	367	35.15%	641	61.40%	36	3.45%	0	0.00%
06Q	527	295	290	24	8.28%	258	88.97%	8	2.76%	0	0.00%
06R	1836	1206	1177	252	21.41%	894	75.96%	31	2.63%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

PSC EATON

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	C. EATON (I) R		L. MILLER (D)		R. GRAHAM (L)		Write-In Votes	
07A	6600	4093	3950	1718	43.49%	2117	53.59%	113	2.86%	2	0.05%
07B	2763	2107	2050	1270	61.95%	719	35.07%	61	2.98%	0	0.00%
07C	3927	2498	2412	1074	44.53%	1280	53.07%	58	2.40%	0	0.00%
07D	4231	2413	2339	974	41.64%	1265	54.08%	99	4.23%	1	0.04%
07E	2031	1358	1320	434	32.88%	855	64.77%	31	2.35%	0	0.00%
07F	3017	2041	1963	824	41.98%	1074	54.71%	65	3.31%	0	0.00%
07H	1923	1424	1371	628	45.81%	706	51.50%	36	2.63%	1	0.07%
07J	2647	1753	1687	617	36.57%	998	59.16%	71	4.21%	1	0.06%
07M	2155	1180	1153	391	33.91%	718	62.27%	43	3.73%	1	0.09%
07N	1946	1044	1008	162	16.07%	801	79.46%	44	4.37%	1	0.10%
08A	2799	1949	1911	981	51.33%	866	45.32%	64	3.35%	0	0.00%
08B	3199	2255	2192	1254	57.21%	862	39.32%	76	3.47%	0	0.00%
08C	1392	984	954	659	69.08%	281	29.45%	14	1.47%	0	0.00%
08D	1022	770	744	507	68.15%	220	29.57%	17	2.28%	0	0.00%
08E	2429	1864	1820	1078	59.23%	695	38.19%	47	2.58%	0	0.00%
08F1	2071	1628	1575	1016	64.51%	519	32.95%	40	2.54%	0	0.00%
08G	2787	1669	1619	656	40.52%	922	56.95%	41	2.53%	0	0.00%
08H	2465	1874	1816	999	55.01%	770	42.40%	47	2.59%	0	0.00%
08J	2981	2223	2144	1013	47.25%	1051	49.02%	80	3.73%	0	0.00%
08K	973	702	686	287	41.84%	367	53.50%	32	4.66%	0	0.00%
08L	4194	2706	2632	803	30.51%	1711	65.01%	115	4.37%	3	0.11%
08M	1907	1358	1314	808	61.49%	473	36.00%	33	2.51%	0	0.00%
08N1	767	587	573	361	63.00%	198	34.55%	14	2.44%	0	0.00%
08N2	1391	927	895	404	45.14%	468	52.29%	23	2.57%	0	0.00%
08P	1633	928	903	161	17.83%	710	78.63%	31	3.43%	1	0.11%
09A	3338	2152	2077	473	22.77%	1492	71.83%	112	5.39%	0	0.00%
09B	385	174	171	3	1.75%	167	97.66%	1	0.58%	0	0.00%
09C	2954	1522	1464	43	2.94%	1391	95.01%	30	2.05%	0	0.00%
09D	1879	858	815	15	1.84%	780	95.71%	19	2.33%	1	0.12%
09E	2782	1420	1371	80	5.84%	1253	91.39%	38	2.77%	0	0.00%
09F	3619	2526	2439	1025	42.03%	1321	54.16%	93	3.81%	0	0.00%
09G	3519	2282	2214	490	22.13%	1619	73.13%	105	4.74%	0	0.00%
09H	1064	645	629	68	10.81%	525	83.47%	36	5.72%	0	0.00%
09I	1194	503	475	17	3.58%	445	93.68%	12	2.53%	1	0.21%
09K	4403	1851	1800	59	3.28%	1706	94.78%	35	1.94%	0	0.00%
09M	2259	1611	1560	310	19.87%	1171	75.06%	77	4.94%	2	0.13%
10A	2850	1622	1571	30	1.91%	1514	96.37%	26	1.65%	1	0.06%
10B	3686	2464	2404	54	2.25%	2316	96.34%	33	1.37%	1	0.04%
10C	2466	1168	1122	18	1.60%	1083	96.52%	21	1.87%	0	0.00%
10D	4220	1850	1772	31	1.75%	1705	96.22%	34	1.92%	2	0.11%
10E	950	524	506	17	3.36%	478	94.47%	11	2.17%	0	0.00%
10F	1053	590	570	23	4.04%	534	93.68%	13	2.28%	0	0.00%
10G	1205	813	788	17	2.16%	752	95.43%	18	2.28%	1	0.13%
10H1	408	238	231	2	0.87%	221	95.67%	8	3.46%	0	0.00%
10H2	2207	1032	1000	19	1.90%	970	97.00%	10	1.00%	1	0.10%
10I	329	258	252	7	2.78%	240	95.24%	5	1.98%	0	0.00%
10J	1012	466	448	9	2.01%	432	96.43%	7	1.56%	0	0.00%
10K	1415	858	836	26	3.11%	785	93.90%	25	2.99%	0	0.00%
10M	2529	1414	1370	37	2.70%	1291	94.23%	41	2.99%	1	0.07%
10P	1364	668	639	18	2.82%	609	95.31%	12	1.88%	0	0.00%
10R	713	347	333	5	1.50%	324	97.30%	4	1.20%	0	0.00%
11B	5162	3088	3002	72	2.40%	2874	95.74%	55	1.83%	1	0.03%
11C	2822	2003	1965	27	1.37%	1916	97.51%	22	1.12%	0	0.00%
11E1	1141	634	616	15	2.44%	582	94.48%	19	3.08%	0	0.00%
11E2	847	497	484	7	1.45%	462	95.45%	14	2.89%	1	0.21%
11E3	3124	1835	1814	36	1.98%	1745	96.20%	32	1.76%	1	0.06%
11G	2092	855	824	25	3.03%	781	94.78%	18	2.18%	0	0.00%
11H	677	356	344	10	2.91%	324	94.19%	9	2.62%	1	0.29%
11J	1827	1018	974	24	2.46%	924	94.87%	26	2.67%	0	0.00%
11K	1818	1104	1070	33	3.08%	1009	94.30%	27	2.52%	1	0.09%
11M	3039	1659	1624	29	1.79%	1569	96.61%	23	1.42%	3	0.18%
11N	2166	1358	1323	31	2.34%	1270	95.99%	22	1.66%	0	0.00%
11P	1833	1020	974	18	1.85%	944	96.92%	12	1.23%	0	0.00%
11R	1849	672	641	10	1.56%	614	95.79%	17	2.65%	0	0.00%
12A	4828	2734	2663	123	4.62%	2443	91.74%	96	3.60%	1	0.04%
12D	1209	501	488	8	1.64%	467	95.70%	13	2.66%	0	0.00%
12E1	1621	591	559	26	4.65%	524	93.74%	9	1.61%	0	0.00%
12F	943	428	411	9	2.19%	385	93.67%	17	4.14%	0	0.00%
12G	800	430	412	13	3.16%	398	96.60%	1	0.24%	0	0.00%
12H1	1006	310	302	19	6.29%	274	90.73%	8	2.65%	1	0.33%
12H2	2562	1189	1148	22	1.92%	1105	96.25%	19	1.66%	2	0.17%
12I	970	413	389	6	1.54%	377	96.92%	6	1.54%	0	0.00%
12J	2535	1108	1072	12	1.12%	1044	97.39%	14	1.31%	2	0.19%
12K	3022	1240	1192	48	4.03%	1115	93.54%	29	2.43%	0	0.00%
12L	613	238	228	6	2.63%	221	96.93%	1	0.44%	0	0.00%
12M	2533	1171	1136	23	2.02%	1101	96.92%	12	1.06%	0	0.00%
12N	907	327	314	10	3.18%	296	94.27%	8	2.55%	0	0.00%
12S	1794	959	914	18	1.97%	866	94.75%	30	3.28%	0	0.00%
AP01A	4724	3141	3074	1593	51.82%	1402	45.61%	79	2.57%	0	0.00%
AP01B	913	516	504	222	44.05%	259	51.39%	23	4.56%	0	0.00%
AP01C	2233	1498	1468	771	52.52%	653	44.48%	44	3.00%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

PSC EATON

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	C. EATON (I) R		L. MILLER (D)		R. GRAHAM (L)		Write-In Votes	
AP01D	915	539	522	216	41.38%	289	55.36%	17	3.26%	0	0.00%
AP02B	474	263	255	127	49.80%	119	46.67%	9	3.53%	0	0.00%
AP03	741	314	306	66	21.57%	229	74.84%	11	3.59%	0	0.00%
AP04A	1647	1183	1148	684	59.58%	419	36.50%	45	3.92%	0	0.00%
AP04B	415	290	284	154	54.23%	123	43.31%	7	2.46%	0	0.00%
AP05	4367	2981	2911	1670	57.37%	1170	40.19%	70	2.40%	1	0.03%
AP06	1827	1309	1262	764	60.54%	448	35.50%	49	3.88%	1	0.08%
AP07A	3422	2102	2040	1033	50.64%	927	45.44%	79	3.87%	1	0.05%
AP07B	1650	1144	1119	602	53.80%	479	42.81%	38	3.40%	0	0.00%
AP09A	4051	2687	2615	1245	47.61%	1278	48.87%	91	3.48%	1	0.04%
AP09B	810	531	515	202	39.22%	303	58.83%	10	1.94%	0	0.00%
AP021	2994	1963	1905	977	51.29%	851	44.67%	76	3.99%	1	0.05%
AP022	2210	1429	1376	622	45.20%	702	51.02%	52	3.78%	0	0.00%
AP10	942	681	660	380	57.58%	254	38.48%	26	3.94%	0	0.00%
AP12A	658	374	366	118	32.24%	229	62.57%	19	5.19%	0	0.00%
AP12B	747	428	417	136	32.61%	271	64.99%	10	2.40%	0	0.00%
AP12C	1584	1005	984	529	53.76%	426	43.29%	29	2.95%	0	0.00%
AP14	2972	2027	1979	1014	51.24%	899	45.43%	66	3.34%	0	0.00%
CH01	330	164	160	38	23.75%	119	74.38%	3	1.88%	0	0.00%
CH02	319	202	197	118	59.90%	75	38.07%	4	2.03%	0	0.00%
CH03	379	250	245	146	59.59%	94	38.37%	5	2.04%	0	0.00%
CH04A	647	444	430	219	50.93%	203	47.21%	8	1.86%	0	0.00%
CH05	407	260	258	185	71.71%	68	26.36%	5	1.94%	0	0.00%
CP01B	381	227	220	73	33.18%	139	63.18%	8	3.64%	0	0.00%
CP011	1135	617	608	131	21.55%	455	74.84%	22	3.62%	0	0.00%
CP012	1318	844	817	144	17.63%	648	79.31%	25	3.06%	0	0.00%
CP02	1000	553	535	65	12.15%	458	85.61%	12	2.24%	0	0.00%
CP04A	8	2	2	1	50.00%	1	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP04B	39	14	14	2	14.29%	12	85.71%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP05B	143	76	73	2	2.74%	69	94.52%	2	2.74%	0	0.00%
CP051	1612	874	849	34	4.00%	803	94.58%	11	1.30%	1	0.12%
CP06A	598	208	199	5	2.51%	190	95.48%	4	2.01%	0	0.00%
CP07B	7	2	2	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP07C	244	47	47	2	4.26%	45	95.74%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP07D	694	208	204	5	2.45%	194	95.10%	5	2.45%	0	0.00%
CP07E	125	41	41	0	0.00%	39	95.12%	2	4.88%	0	0.00%
CP07F	184	55	52	1	1.92%	51	98.08%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP081	343	77	77	5	6.49%	70	90.91%	2	2.60%	0	0.00%
CP083	219	25	24	0	0.00%	23	95.83%	1	4.17%	0	0.00%
CP084	3	1	1	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP08A	705	175	173	6	3.47%	162	93.64%	5	2.89%	0	0.00%
EP01A	2609	1464	1419	134	9.44%	1245	87.74%	40	2.82%	0	0.00%
EP01B	3038	1539	1510	102	6.75%	1371	90.79%	36	2.38%	1	0.07%
EP02A	1967	890	862	43	4.99%	805	93.39%	14	1.62%	0	0.00%
EP02B	1449	977	950	118	12.42%	792	83.37%	39	4.11%	1	0.11%
EP02C	428	251	247	42	17.00%	196	79.35%	9	3.64%	0	0.00%
EP02D	326	192	186	8	4.30%	175	94.09%	3	1.61%	0	0.00%
EP02E	1382	627	611	26	4.26%	571	93.45%	14	2.29%	0	0.00%
EP03A	4293	2512	2454	112	4.56%	2288	93.24%	52	2.12%	2	0.08%
EP03B	1421	973	955	40	4.19%	895	93.72%	20	2.09%	0	0.00%
EP04A	5432	2626	2587	55	2.13%	2487	96.13%	43	1.66%	2	0.08%
EP04B	2024	1203	1193	19	1.59%	1161	97.32%	12	1.01%	1	0.08%
FA01A	3574	2126	2089	203	9.72%	1849	88.51%	36	1.72%	1	0.05%
FA01B	4833	2965	2911	284	9.76%	2576	88.49%	51	1.75%	0	0.00%
FA01C	681	401	398	17	4.27%	373	93.72%	7	1.76%	1	0.25%
HP01	3212	1780	1729	421	24.35%	1239	71.66%	69	3.99%	0	0.00%
JC01	4977	3215	3136	1245	39.70%	1804	57.53%	85	2.71%	2	0.06%
JC02	2460	1474	1441	639	44.34%	765	53.09%	37	2.57%	0	0.00%
JC03A	540	384	376	172	45.74%	193	51.33%	11	2.93%	0	0.00%
JC03B	1448	955	928	351	37.82%	549	59.16%	28	3.02%	0	0.00%
JC04A	4042	2692	2616	1228	46.94%	1298	49.62%	90	3.44%	0	0.00%
JC04B	255	156	149	60	40.27%	80	53.69%	9	6.04%	0	0.00%
JC05	2136	1391	1359	588	43.27%	723	53.20%	48	3.53%	0	0.00%
JC06	2627	1580	1536	847	55.14%	642	41.80%	47	3.06%	0	0.00%
JC07	4083	2627	2547	1142	44.84%	1319	51.79%	86	3.38%	0	0.00%
JC08	2737	1870	1814	853	47.02%	873	48.13%	87	4.80%	1	0.06%
JC09	2928	1878	1841	736	39.98%	1054	57.25%	51	2.77%	0	0.00%
JC10	2392	1507	1469	551	37.51%	862	58.68%	55	3.74%	1	0.07%
JC11	3186	2085	2039	1128	55.32%	857	42.03%	53	2.60%	1	0.05%
JC12	2455	1753	1713	1113	64.97%	554	32.34%	46	2.69%	0	0.00%
JC13A	2115	1462	1421	937	65.94%	445	31.32%	38	2.67%	1	0.07%
JC13B	271	180	170	130	76.47%	36	21.18%	4	2.35%	0	0.00%
JC14	2477	1634	1588	909	57.24%	643	40.49%	36	2.27%	0	0.00%
JC15	834	523	509	184	36.15%	295	57.96%	30	5.89%	0	0.00%
JC16	2496	1729	1686	826	48.99%	808	47.92%	51	3.02%	1	0.06%
JC18	2670	1969	1925	1078	56.00%	791	41.09%	55	2.86%	1	0.05%
JC19	3759	2528	2466	1182	47.93%	1184	48.01%	100	4.06%	0	0.00%
ML011	2439	1681	1645	991	60.24%	603	36.66%	51	3.10%	0	0.00%
ML012	485	338	328	227	69.21%	90	27.44%	11	3.35%	0	0.00%
ML01B	138	96	94	60	63.83%	34	36.17%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
ML021	1991	1369	1340	977	72.91%	315	23.51%	48	3.58%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

PSC EATON

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	C. EATON (I) R		L. MILLER (D)		R. GRAHAM (L)		Write-In Votes	
ML022	241	148	147	113	76.87%	32	21.77%	2	1.36%	0	0.00%
ML023	1542	1027	1004	713	71.02%	264	26.29%	27	2.69%	0	0.00%
ML024	113	70	67	54	80.60%	9	13.43%	4	5.97%	0	0.00%
ML03	3757	2575	2510	1728	68.84%	709	28.25%	73	2.91%	0	0.00%
ML04A	1747	1176	1147	675	58.85%	437	38.10%	34	2.96%	1	0.09%
ML04B	1206	838	824	572	69.42%	234	28.40%	18	2.18%	0	0.00%
ML04C	79	66	65	44	67.69%	18	27.69%	3	4.62%	0	0.00%
ML05A	39	27	26	17	65.38%	9	34.62%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
ML05B	381	250	243	163	67.08%	76	31.28%	3	1.23%	1	0.41%
ML05C	4023	2615	2549	1289	50.57%	1184	46.45%	74	2.90%	2	0.08%
ML06A	1973	1024	1009	336	33.30%	635	62.93%	38	3.77%	0	0.00%
ML06B	2775	1734	1700	614	36.12%	1021	60.06%	65	3.82%	0	0.00%
ML071	105	73	70	50	71.43%	20	28.57%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
ML072	1249	857	844	579	68.60%	246	29.15%	18	2.13%	1	0.12%
ML07A	707	472	462	316	68.40%	126	27.27%	20	4.33%	0	0.00%
MP01	450	323	316	141	44.62%	160	50.63%	15	4.75%	0	0.00%
PA01	2509	1330	1300	287	22.08%	981	75.46%	32	2.46%	0	0.00%
RW01	4717	3355	3259	1888	57.93%	1266	38.85%	104	3.19%	1	0.03%
RW02	3794	2675	2601	1308	50.29%	1170	44.98%	122	4.69%	1	0.04%
RW03	4510	2745	2671	1131	42.34%	1430	53.54%	110	4.12%	0	0.00%
RW04	1803	1359	1321	642	48.60%	628	47.54%	51	3.86%	0	0.00%
RW05	2322	1207	1186	379	31.96%	769	64.84%	38	3.20%	0	0.00%
RW06	2820	1914	1877	865	46.08%	922	49.12%	90	4.79%	0	0.00%
RW07A	1549	677	666	84	12.61%	557	83.63%	25	3.75%	0	0.00%
RW07B	388	214	210	52	24.76%	147	70.00%	11	5.24%	0	0.00%
RW08	2138	1462	1407	861	61.19%	488	34.68%	58	4.12%	0	0.00%
RW09	5918	4216	4129	2625	63.57%	1356	32.84%	148	3.58%	0	0.00%
RW10	3347	2376	2317	1341	57.88%	883	38.11%	91	3.93%	2	0.09%
RW11A	2667	1693	1648	755	45.81%	839	50.91%	53	3.22%	1	0.06%
RW12	5944	4234	4135	2431	58.79%	1552	37.53%	152	3.68%	0	0.00%
RW13	3555	2476	2394	1205	50.33%	1081	45.15%	107	4.47%	1	0.04%
RW16	1850	1275	1245	682	54.78%	520	41.77%	43	3.45%	0	0.00%
RW17	2794	1773	1738	634	36.48%	1008	58.00%	96	5.52%	0	0.00%
RW19	1993	1434	1396	858	61.46%	490	35.10%	48	3.44%	0	0.00%
RW20	2486	1797	1760	937	53.24%	753	42.78%	70	3.98%	0	0.00%
RW21	3144	2169	2120	1282	60.47%	757	35.71%	81	3.82%	0	0.00%
RW22A	4406	2486	2434	876	35.99%	1449	59.53%	108	4.44%	1	0.04%
SC01A	20	25	23	2	8.70%	20	86.96%	1	4.35%	0	0.00%
SC01B	1707	1295	1283	27	2.10%	1230	95.87%	25	1.95%	1	0.08%
SC01C	2063	1513	1485	40	2.69%	1424	95.89%	18	1.21%	3	0.20%
SC01D	294	162	160	1	0.63%	153	95.63%	6	3.75%	0	0.00%
SC02	872	662	653	28	4.29%	619	94.79%	6	0.92%	0	0.00%
SC04	922	616	605	190	31.40%	401	66.28%	14	2.31%	0	0.00%
SC05A	646	409	400	6	1.50%	384	96.00%	10	2.50%	0	0.00%
SC05B	3204	2188	2162	67	3.10%	2063	95.42%	32	1.48%	0	0.00%
SC05D	2171	1428	1413	50	3.54%	1343	95.05%	20	1.42%	0	0.00%
SC05E	623	434	425	67	15.76%	354	83.29%	4	0.94%	0	0.00%
SC07A	5595	3698	3653	289	7.91%	3303	90.42%	60	1.64%	1	0.03%
SC07C	29	10	10	0	0.00%	10	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC08B	3382	2008	1969	55	2.79%	1884	95.68%	29	1.47%	1	0.05%
SC08C	74	53	52	0	0.00%	52	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC08D	499	314	310	7	2.26%	297	95.81%	6	1.94%	0	0.00%
SC08E	562	316	308	3	0.97%	294	95.45%	11	3.57%	0	0.00%
SC08F	700	366	359	19	5.29%	333	92.76%	7	1.95%	0	0.00%
SC08G	12	8	8	0	0.00%	8	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC08H	4	3	3	0	0.00%	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC09A	1628	839	822	31	3.77%	778	94.65%	13	1.58%	0	0.00%
SC09B	1687	1084	1063	22	2.07%	1021	96.05%	20	1.88%	0	0.00%
SC09C	1566	842	826	26	3.15%	779	94.31%	21	2.54%	0	0.00%
SC10	1678	661	651	14	2.15%	624	95.85%	13	2.00%	0	0.00%
SC11A	1411	813	802	17	2.12%	772	96.26%	13	1.62%	0	0.00%
SC11B	1506	878	865	24	2.77%	827	95.61%	14	1.62%	0	0.00%
SC13	2465	1636	1614	74	4.58%	1520	94.18%	19	1.18%	1	0.06%
SC14A	916	429	421	8	1.90%	407	96.67%	5	1.19%	1	0.24%
SC14B	502	45	42	7	16.67%	34	80.95%	1	2.38%	0	0.00%
SC15	6193	4213	4152	131	3.16%	3952	95.18%	68	1.64%	1	0.02%
SC16A	4601	3208	3162	60	1.90%	3047	96.36%	54	1.71%	1	0.03%
SC16B	14	15	15	0	0.00%	15	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC17A	55	42	42	0	0.00%	41	97.62%	1	2.38%	0	0.00%
SC17B	7	4	4	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC17C	1134	669	659	21	3.19%	625	94.84%	13	1.97%	0	0.00%
SC18A	510	329	325	4	1.23%	317	97.54%	3	0.92%	1	0.31%
SC18B	663	462	454	14	3.08%	432	95.15%	8	1.76%	0	0.00%
SC18C	1850	1352	1337	59	4.41%	1266	94.69%	12	0.90%	0	0.00%
SC19A	195	129	129	4	3.10%	123	95.35%	2	1.55%	0	0.00%
SC19B	1267	690	679	23	3.39%	644	94.85%	10	1.47%	2	0.29%
SC20	2197	1665	1653	40	2.42%	1584	95.83%	29	1.75%	0	0.00%
SC211	1328	841	832	31	3.73%	793	95.31%	8	0.96%	0	0.00%
SC212	3378	1947	1906	82	4.30%	1792	94.02%	32	1.68%	0	0.00%
SC23A	3161	1730	1705	38	2.23%	1638	96.07%	29	1.70%	0	0.00%
SC23B	483	284	280	12	4.29%	261	93.21%	7	2.50%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

PSC EATON

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	C. EATON (I) R		L. MILLER (D)		R. GRAHAM (L)		Write-In Votes	
SC23C	2252	1302	1281	32	2.50%	1234	96.33%	15	1.17%	0	0.00%
SC27	2129	1259	1235	28	2.27%	1183	95.79%	23	1.86%	1	0.08%
SC29A	2784	1544	1518	37	2.44%	1464	96.44%	17	1.12%	0	0.00%
SC30A	135	97	93	1	1.08%	92	98.92%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC30B	960	531	513	10	1.95%	492	95.91%	11	2.14%	0	0.00%
SS01	4487	3270	3186	1827	57.34%	1266	39.74%	92	2.89%	1	0.03%
SS02A	1120	755	743	284	38.22%	420	56.53%	39	5.25%	0	0.00%
SS02B	1255	756	741	184	24.83%	531	71.66%	26	3.51%	0	0.00%
SS03	1464	1027	996	427	42.87%	518	52.01%	50	5.02%	1	0.10%
SS04	3264	2064	2009	702	34.94%	1232	61.32%	74	3.68%	1	0.05%
SS05	1746	1376	1340	682	50.90%	617	46.04%	41	3.06%	0	0.00%
SS06	1397	1073	1046	548	52.39%	467	44.65%	31	2.96%	0	0.00%
SS07A	968	683	661	355	53.71%	298	45.08%	8	1.21%	0	0.00%
SS07B	609	405	397	161	40.55%	218	54.91%	18	4.53%	0	0.00%
SS07C	755	436	427	204	47.78%	213	49.88%	10	2.34%	0	0.00%
SS08A	1316	758	742	224	30.19%	497	66.98%	20	2.70%	1	0.13%
SS08B	635	453	444	229	51.58%	204	45.95%	11	2.48%	0	0.00%
SS08C	632	393	379	108	28.50%	259	68.34%	12	3.17%	0	0.00%
SS08D	1368	847	831	273	32.85%	528	63.54%	30	3.61%	0	0.00%
SS09A	3003	2210	2155	1192	55.31%	914	42.41%	49	2.27%	0	0.00%
SS09B	3358	2452	2395	1293	53.99%	1034	43.17%	68	2.84%	0	0.00%
SS11A	3655	2227	2167	848	39.13%	1239	57.18%	79	3.65%	1	0.05%
SS11B	1257	707	684	199	29.09%	465	67.98%	20	2.92%	0	0.00%
SS11C	269	146	137	24	17.52%	105	76.64%	8	5.84%	0	0.00%
SS11D	365	254	240	140	58.33%	89	37.08%	11	4.58%	0	0.00%
SS12	2559	1732	1682	819	48.69%	812	48.28%	51	3.03%	0	0.00%
SS13A	1633	1072	1048	471	44.94%	533	50.86%	44	4.20%	0	0.00%
SS13B	1001	629	616	294	47.73%	305	49.51%	17	2.76%	0	0.00%
SS14	1274	977	956	585	61.19%	337	35.25%	32	3.35%	2	0.21%
SS15A	2572	1199	1181	161	13.63%	981	83.07%	39	3.30%	0	0.00%
SS15B	1579	902	877	252	28.73%	575	65.56%	50	5.70%	0	0.00%
SS16	2030	1534	1502	804	53.53%	650	43.28%	48	3.20%	0	0.00%
SS17	3397	2229	2176	1086	49.91%	1017	46.74%	72	3.31%	1	0.05%
SS18A	619	454	445	214	48.09%	222	49.89%	9	2.02%	0	0.00%
SS18B	221	162	155	72	46.45%	79	50.97%	4	2.58%	0	0.00%
SS19A	3016	1683	1651	382	23.14%	1195	72.38%	73	4.42%	1	0.06%
SS19B	2032	1226	1198	349	29.13%	803	67.03%	45	3.76%	1	0.08%
SS20	2060	1159	1133	358	31.60%	733	64.70%	42	3.71%	0	0.00%
SS22	2714	1613	1566	634	40.49%	875	55.87%	57	3.64%	0	0.00%
SS26	3552	1822	1780	304	17.08%	1417	79.61%	59	3.31%	0	0.00%
SS29A	2488	1595	1553	617	39.73%	881	56.73%	54	3.48%	1	0.06%
SS31	1345	938	917	379	41.33%	516	56.27%	22	2.40%	0	0.00%
UC01A	3622	1715	1687	61	3.62%	1587	94.07%	39	2.31%	0	0.00%
UC01B	395	210	205	4	1.95%	198	96.59%	3	1.46%	0	0.00%
UC01D	6	2	2	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
UC01E	285	206	206	4	1.94%	191	92.72%	11	5.34%	0	0.00%
UC02A	7513	4296	4207	131	3.11%	4016	95.46%	59	1.40%	1	0.02%
UC02B	30	19	19	6	31.58%	13	68.42%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
UC031	2399	1203	1172	197	16.81%	956	81.57%	19	1.62%	0	0.00%
UC032	292	186	182	26	14.29%	153	84.07%	3	1.65%	0	0.00%
Total	703177	424992	413913	114158	27.58%	287279	69.41%	12355	2.98%	121	0.03%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

PSC PRIDEMORE

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	T. PRIDEMORE (I) R		D. A. RANDOLPH (D)		J. TURPISH (L)		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide											
01A	3199	2362	2300	207	9.00%	2011	87.43%	82	3.57%	0	0.00%
01B	3839	2737	2661	238	8.94%	2318	87.11%	105	3.95%	0	0.00%
01C	1459	612	597	15	2.51%	560	93.80%	22	3.69%	0	0.00%
01D	511	307	299	11	3.68%	278	92.98%	10	3.34%	0	0.00%
01E	3363	2410	2326	272	11.69%	1956	84.09%	96	4.13%	2	0.09%
01F	1254	452	442	15	3.39%	418	94.57%	8	1.81%	1	0.23%
01G	1909	1102	1066	64	6.00%	963	90.34%	38	3.56%	1	0.09%
01H	758	379	367	14	3.81%	345	94.01%	7	1.91%	1	0.27%
01J	2416	1184	1141	43	3.77%	1079	94.57%	19	1.67%	0	0.00%
01P	1244	542	518	15	2.90%	497	95.95%	6	1.16%	0	0.00%
01R	1344	491	462	15	3.25%	442	95.67%	5	1.08%	0	0.00%
01S	1749	823	791	36	4.55%	743	93.93%	11	1.39%	1	0.13%
01T	1506	796	772	52	6.74%	695	90.03%	24	3.11%	1	0.13%
02A	4584	2949	2864	581	20.29%	2187	76.36%	95	3.32%	1	0.03%
02B	3518	1265	1233	144	11.68%	1051	85.24%	38	3.08%	0	0.00%
02C	2037	1205	1164	104	8.93%	1018	87.46%	40	3.44%	2	0.17%
02D	4475	2660	2580	305	11.82%	2211	85.70%	64	2.48%	0	0.00%
02E	1905	1423	1394	144	10.33%	1202	86.23%	48	3.44%	0	0.00%
02F1	2962	2033	1965	248	12.62%	1625	82.70%	92	4.68%	0	0.00%
02F2	788	458	449	50	11.14%	381	84.86%	18	4.01%	0	0.00%
02G	3443	2361	2289	287	12.54%	1915	83.66%	87	3.80%	0	0.00%
02J	2159	1432	1382	173	12.52%	1151	83.29%	58	4.20%	0	0.00%
02K	1419	951	912	122	13.38%	755	82.79%	35	3.84%	0	0.00%
02L1	6213	3978	3876	681	17.57%	3053	78.77%	141	3.64%	1	0.03%
02L2	1364	782	755	134	17.75%	587	77.75%	34	4.50%	0	0.00%
02S	708	427	416	27	6.49%	376	90.38%	13	3.13%	0	0.00%
02W	682	399	389	61	15.68%	315	80.98%	12	3.08%	1	0.26%
03A	1927	692	664	22	3.31%	626	94.28%	15	2.26%	1	0.15%
03B	560	181	173	6	3.47%	164	94.80%	3	1.73%	0	0.00%
03C	1534	674	643	27	4.20%	608	94.56%	8	1.24%	0	0.00%
03D	1017	402	388	18	4.64%	362	93.30%	8	2.06%	0	0.00%
03E	2284	914	886	36	4.06%	833	94.02%	17	1.92%	0	0.00%
03F	1925	1115	1094	165	15.08%	892	81.54%	37	3.38%	0	0.00%
03G	802	360	347	8	2.31%	332	95.68%	7	2.02%	0	0.00%
03H	900	369	348	12	3.45%	329	94.54%	7	2.01%	0	0.00%
03I	1360	566	544	85	15.63%	432	79.41%	27	4.96%	0	0.00%
03L	594	229	221	11	4.98%	204	92.31%	6	2.71%	0	0.00%
03M	766	452	429	99	23.08%	304	70.86%	26	6.06%	0	0.00%
03N	2288	938	913	47	5.15%	833	91.24%	33	3.61%	0	0.00%
03P1A	3882	1966	1910	231	12.09%	1599	83.72%	78	4.08%	2	0.10%
03P2	13	7	7	0	0.00%	7	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
03S	761	356	348	11	3.16%	330	94.83%	7	2.01%	0	0.00%
03T	1505	715	695	23	3.31%	657	94.53%	15	2.16%	0	0.00%
04A	1202	560	543	10	1.84%	522	96.13%	11	2.03%	0	0.00%
04B	2242	922	891	17	1.91%	852	95.62%	22	2.47%	0	0.00%
04C	136	54	53	0	0.00%	52	98.11%	1	1.89%	0	0.00%
04D	1481	714	685	22	3.21%	653	95.33%	10	1.46%	0	0.00%
04F	2086	935	912	71	7.79%	814	89.25%	27	2.96%	0	0.00%
04G	828	312	300	12	4.00%	284	94.67%	4	1.33%	0	0.00%
04I	3362	561	544	44	8.09%	477	87.68%	23	4.23%	0	0.00%
04J	1230	317	311	8	2.57%	296	95.18%	7	2.25%	0	0.00%
04K	2339	1266	1206	41	3.40%	1139	94.44%	25	2.07%	1	0.08%
04L	1655	867	843	37	4.39%	779	92.41%	26	3.08%	1	0.12%
04M	773	292	286	10	3.50%	265	92.66%	11	3.85%	0	0.00%
04S	2165	1118	1066	38	3.56%	1006	94.37%	22	2.06%	0	0.00%
04T	1145	584	561	14	2.50%	535	95.37%	12	2.14%	0	0.00%
04V	2848	1211	1168	26	2.23%	1117	95.63%	25	2.14%	0	0.00%
04W	2203	820	807	9	1.12%	780	96.65%	16	1.98%	2	0.25%
04X1	1942	950	923	31	3.36%	874	94.69%	17	1.84%	1	0.11%
04X2	737	364	349	17	4.87%	325	93.12%	7	2.01%	0	0.00%
05A1	2491	1728	1669	154	9.23%	1456	87.24%	59	3.54%	0	0.00%
05A2	2910	1998	1938	169	8.72%	1698	87.62%	70	3.61%	1	0.05%
05B	1763	901	841	38	4.52%	783	93.10%	18	2.14%	2	0.24%
05C	146	67	65	3	4.62%	60	92.31%	2	3.08%	0	0.00%
05D	386	93	89	5	5.62%	80	89.89%	4	4.49%	0	0.00%
05F	223	136	136	10	7.35%	125	91.91%	1	0.74%	0	0.00%
05J	639	416	410	38	9.27%	356	86.83%	16	3.90%	0	0.00%
05K	2600	1327	1302	93	7.14%	1171	89.94%	36	2.76%	2	0.15%
06B	1756	1238	1194	240	20.10%	909	76.13%	45	3.77%	0	0.00%
06D	8568	6060	5879	1255	21.35%	4435	75.44%	187	3.18%	2	0.03%
06E	2250	1439	1410	285	20.21%	1069	75.82%	55	3.90%	1	0.07%
06F	2709	1757	1683	306	18.18%	1310	77.84%	66	3.92%	1	0.06%
06G	536	369	359	63	17.55%	282	78.55%	14	3.90%	0	0.00%
06I	3412	1957	1910	272	14.24%	1574	82.41%	63	3.30%	1	0.05%
06J	2539	1904	1829	394	21.54%	1361	74.41%	74	4.05%	0	0.00%
06L1	917	679	654	165	25.23%	465	71.10%	24	3.67%	0	0.00%
06L2	654	487	477	159	33.33%	307	64.36%	11	2.31%	0	0.00%
06N	1844	1085	1048	376	35.88%	634	60.50%	38	3.63%	0	0.00%
06Q	527	295	289	27	9.34%	255	88.24%	7	2.42%	0	0.00%
06R	1836	1206	1178	270	22.92%	880	74.70%	27	2.29%	1	0.08%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

PSC PRIDEMORE

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	T. PRIDEMORE (I) R		D. A. RANDOLPH (D)		J. TURPISH (L)		Write-In Votes	
07A	6600	4093	3938	1748	44.39%	2056	52.21%	132	3.35%	2	0.05%
07B	2763	2107	2049	1328	64.81%	667	32.55%	54	2.64%	0	0.00%
07C	3927	2498	2405	1106	45.99%	1239	51.52%	60	2.49%	0	0.00%
07D	4231	2413	2332	988	42.37%	1248	53.52%	95	4.07%	1	0.04%
07E	2031	1358	1314	442	33.64%	836	63.62%	36	2.74%	0	0.00%
07F	3017	2041	1963	866	44.12%	1028	52.37%	69	3.52%	0	0.00%
07H	1923	1424	1370	646	47.15%	687	50.15%	35	2.55%	2	0.15%
07J	2647	1753	1684	643	38.18%	973	57.78%	66	3.92%	2	0.12%
07M	2155	1180	1148	392	34.15%	712	62.02%	44	3.83%	0	0.00%
07N	1946	1044	1009	160	15.86%	801	79.39%	47	4.66%	1	0.10%
08A	2799	1949	1898	993	52.32%	846	44.57%	58	3.06%	1	0.05%
08B	3199	2255	2186	1293	59.15%	819	37.47%	74	3.39%	0	0.00%
08C	1392	984	957	680	71.06%	252	26.33%	25	2.61%	0	0.00%
08D	1022	770	743	514	69.18%	214	28.80%	15	2.02%	0	0.00%
08E	2429	1864	1805	1102	61.05%	643	35.62%	60	3.32%	0	0.00%
08F1	2071	1628	1562	1048	67.09%	476	30.47%	37	2.37%	1	0.06%
08G	2787	1669	1619	677	41.82%	899	55.53%	43	2.66%	0	0.00%
08H	2465	1874	1807	1051	58.16%	707	39.13%	49	2.71%	0	0.00%
08J	2981	2223	2135	1045	48.95%	1018	47.68%	72	3.37%	0	0.00%
08K	973	702	685	306	44.67%	349	50.95%	30	4.38%	0	0.00%
08L	4194	2706	2616	839	32.07%	1655	63.26%	119	4.55%	3	0.11%
08M	1907	1358	1305	828	63.45%	447	34.25%	29	2.22%	1	0.08%
08N1	767	587	568	368	64.79%	188	33.10%	12	2.11%	0	0.00%
08N2	1391	927	891	420	47.14%	451	50.62%	20	2.24%	0	0.00%
08P	1633	928	899	157	17.46%	710	78.98%	31	3.45%	1	0.11%
09A	3338	2152	2074	501	24.16%	1480	71.36%	93	4.48%	0	0.00%
09B	385	174	171	5	2.92%	166	97.08%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
09C	2954	1522	1456	43	2.95%	1395	95.81%	18	1.24%	0	0.00%
09D	1879	858	818	32	3.91%	775	94.74%	10	1.22%	1	0.12%
09E	2782	1420	1365	89	6.52%	1239	90.77%	36	2.64%	1	0.07%
09F	3619	2526	2429	1048	43.15%	1282	52.78%	98	4.03%	1	0.04%
09G	3519	2282	2205	504	22.86%	1615	73.24%	86	3.90%	0	0.00%
09H	1064	645	628	71	11.31%	527	83.92%	30	4.78%	0	0.00%
09I	1194	503	473	21	4.44%	439	92.81%	11	2.33%	2	0.42%
09K	4403	1851	1792	73	4.07%	1701	94.92%	17	0.95%	1	0.06%
09M	2259	1611	1553	330	21.25%	1146	73.79%	74	4.76%	3	0.19%
10A	2850	1622	1573	49	3.12%	1507	95.80%	17	1.08%	0	0.00%
10B	3686	2464	2408	54	2.24%	2318	96.26%	35	1.45%	1	0.04%
10C	2466	1168	1126	32	2.84%	1076	95.56%	18	1.60%	0	0.00%
10D	4220	1850	1766	42	2.38%	1699	96.21%	23	1.30%	2	0.11%
10E	950	524	508	17	3.35%	483	95.08%	8	1.57%	0	0.00%
10F	1053	590	571	24	4.20%	534	93.52%	13	2.28%	0	0.00%
10G	1205	813	790	19	2.41%	758	95.95%	12	1.52%	1	0.13%
10H1	408	238	234	7	2.99%	224	95.73%	3	1.28%	0	0.00%
10H2	2207	1032	994	30	3.02%	954	95.98%	9	0.91%	1	0.10%
10I	329	258	251	7	2.79%	241	96.02%	3	1.20%	0	0.00%
10J	1012	466	454	7	1.54%	438	96.48%	9	1.98%	0	0.00%
10K	1415	858	832	27	3.25%	783	94.11%	21	2.52%	1	0.12%
10M	2529	1414	1367	39	2.85%	1288	94.22%	39	2.85%	1	0.07%
10P	1364	668	643	22	3.42%	608	94.56%	13	2.02%	0	0.00%
10R	713	347	332	7	2.11%	320	96.39%	5	1.51%	0	0.00%
11B	5162	3088	3015	79	2.62%	2898	96.12%	37	1.23%	1	0.03%
11C	2822	2003	1965	39	1.98%	1910	97.20%	16	0.81%	0	0.00%
11E1	1141	634	618	15	2.43%	592	95.79%	11	1.78%	0	0.00%
11E2	847	497	485	8	1.65%	469	96.70%	8	1.65%	0	0.00%
11E3	3124	1835	1815	43	2.37%	1748	96.31%	24	1.32%	0	0.00%
11G	2092	855	823	30	3.65%	777	94.41%	16	1.94%	0	0.00%
11H	677	356	342	16	4.68%	315	92.11%	10	2.92%	1	0.29%
11J	1827	1018	969	33	3.41%	918	94.74%	17	1.75%	1	0.10%
11K	1818	1104	1077	37	3.44%	1018	94.52%	21	1.95%	1	0.09%
11M	3039	1659	1616	33	2.04%	1558	96.41%	21	1.30%	4	0.25%
11N	2166	1358	1325	40	3.02%	1277	96.38%	8	0.60%	0	0.00%
11P	1833	1020	967	27	2.79%	926	95.76%	14	1.45%	0	0.00%
11R	1849	672	644	15	2.33%	618	95.96%	11	1.71%	0	0.00%
12A	4828	2734	2654	134	5.05%	2434	91.71%	86	3.24%	0	0.00%
12D	1209	501	489	15	3.07%	460	94.07%	14	2.86%	0	0.00%
12E1	1621	591	558	32	5.73%	519	93.01%	7	1.25%	0	0.00%
12F	943	428	413	14	3.39%	385	93.22%	14	3.39%	0	0.00%
12G	800	430	406	17	4.19%	384	94.58%	5	1.23%	0	0.00%
12H1	1006	310	302	25	8.28%	273	90.40%	3	0.99%	1	0.33%
12H2	2562	1189	1148	25	2.18%	1104	96.17%	17	1.48%	2	0.17%
12I	970	413	387	6	1.55%	374	96.64%	7	1.81%	0	0.00%
12J	2535	1108	1073	25	2.33%	1033	96.27%	13	1.21%	2	0.19%
12K	3022	1240	1197	61	5.10%	1112	92.90%	23	1.92%	1	0.08%
12L	613	238	227	8	3.52%	219	96.48%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
12M	2533	1171	1147	29	2.53%	1111	96.86%	7	0.61%	0	0.00%
12N	907	327	312	15	4.81%	289	92.63%	8	2.56%	0	0.00%
12S	1794	959	910	24	2.64%	867	95.27%	19	2.09%	0	0.00%
AP01A	4724	3141	3072	1597	51.99%	1390	45.25%	85	2.77%	0	0.00%
AP01B	913	516	504	225	44.64%	258	51.19%	21	4.17%	0	0.00%
AP01C	2233	1498	1470	783	53.27%	638	43.40%	49	3.33%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

PSC PRIDEMORE

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	T. PRIDEMORE (I) R		D. A. RANDOLPH (D)		J. TURPISH (L)		Write-In Votes	
AP01D	915	539	526	218	41.44%	291	55.32%	16	3.04%	1	0.19%
AP02B	474	263	255	123	48.24%	124	48.63%	8	3.14%	0	0.00%
AP03	741	314	304	66	21.71%	227	74.67%	11	3.62%	0	0.00%
AP04A	1647	1183	1143	680	59.49%	414	36.22%	49	4.29%	0	0.00%
AP04B	415	290	284	155	54.58%	121	42.61%	8	2.82%	0	0.00%
AP05	4367	2981	2922	1696	58.04%	1166	39.90%	59	2.02%	1	0.03%
AP06	1827	1309	1262	764	60.54%	445	35.26%	53	4.20%	0	0.00%
AP07A	3422	2102	2040	1046	51.27%	912	44.71%	81	3.97%	1	0.05%
AP07B	1650	1144	1119	618	55.23%	465	41.55%	36	3.22%	0	0.00%
AP09A	4051	2687	2623	1264	48.19%	1265	48.23%	92	3.51%	2	0.08%
AP09B	810	531	517	200	38.68%	307	59.38%	10	1.93%	0	0.00%
AP021	2994	1963	1906	991	51.99%	839	44.02%	74	3.88%	2	0.10%
AP022	2210	1429	1380	635	46.01%	688	49.86%	57	4.13%	0	0.00%
AP10	942	681	661	386	58.40%	242	36.61%	32	4.84%	1	0.15%
AP12A	658	374	364	121	33.24%	226	62.09%	17	4.67%	0	0.00%
AP12B	747	428	417	131	31.41%	275	65.95%	11	2.64%	0	0.00%
AP12C	1584	1005	983	531	54.02%	426	43.34%	26	2.64%	0	0.00%
AP14	2972	2027	1980	1030	52.02%	881	44.49%	68	3.43%	1	0.05%
CH01	330	164	161	39	24.22%	119	73.91%	3	1.86%	0	0.00%
CH02	319	202	195	118	60.51%	73	37.44%	4	2.05%	0	0.00%
CH03	379	250	244	155	63.52%	85	34.84%	4	1.64%	0	0.00%
CH04A	647	444	433	227	52.42%	201	46.42%	5	1.15%	0	0.00%
CH05	407	260	255	183	71.76%	66	25.88%	6	2.35%	0	0.00%
CP01B	381	227	220	77	35.00%	137	62.27%	6	2.73%	0	0.00%
CP011	1135	617	600	132	22.00%	445	74.17%	23	3.83%	0	0.00%
CP012	1318	844	822	160	19.46%	633	77.01%	29	3.53%	0	0.00%
CP02	1000	553	537	65	12.10%	457	85.10%	15	2.79%	0	0.00%
CP04A	8	2	2	1	50.00%	1	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP04B	39	14	14	4	28.57%	10	71.43%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP05B	143	76	74	4	5.41%	69	93.24%	1	1.35%	0	0.00%
CP051	1612	874	854	33	3.86%	810	94.85%	11	1.29%	0	0.00%
CP06A	598	208	200	4	2.00%	194	97.00%	2	1.00%	0	0.00%
CP07B	7	2	2	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP07C	244	47	46	4	8.70%	42	91.30%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP07D	694	208	202	4	1.98%	197	97.52%	1	0.50%	0	0.00%
CP07E	125	41	40	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP07F	184	55	52	1	1.92%	50	96.15%	1	1.92%	0	0.00%
CP081	343	77	77	6	7.79%	69	89.61%	2	2.60%	0	0.00%
CP083	219	25	24	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP084	3	1	1	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP08A	705	175	173	7	4.05%	164	94.80%	2	1.16%	0	0.00%
EP01A	2609	1464	1424	137	9.62%	1246	87.50%	40	2.81%	1	0.07%
EP01B	3038	1539	1513	107	7.07%	1367	90.35%	39	2.58%	0	0.00%
EP02A	1967	890	862	44	5.10%	802	93.04%	16	1.86%	0	0.00%
EP02B	1449	977	954	126	13.21%	795	83.33%	32	3.35%	1	0.10%
EP02C	428	251	248	46	18.55%	196	79.03%	6	2.42%	0	0.00%
EP02D	326	192	187	9	4.81%	176	94.12%	2	1.07%	0	0.00%
EP02E	1382	627	609	26	4.27%	569	93.43%	14	2.30%	0	0.00%
EP03A	4293	2512	2456	123	5.01%	2284	93.00%	45	1.83%	4	0.16%
EP03B	1421	973	952	45	4.73%	894	93.91%	12	1.26%	1	0.11%
EP04A	5432	2626	2589	60	2.32%	2497	96.45%	31	1.20%	1	0.04%
EP04B	2024	1203	1196	25	2.09%	1163	97.24%	7	0.59%	1	0.08%
FA01A	3574	2126	2082	210	10.09%	1843	88.52%	29	1.39%	0	0.00%
FA01B	4833	2965	2909	299	10.28%	2570	88.35%	40	1.38%	0	0.00%
FA01C	681	401	395	20	5.06%	369	93.42%	5	1.27%	1	0.25%
HP01	3212	1780	1724	428	24.83%	1222	70.88%	74	4.29%	0	0.00%
JC01	4977	3215	3137	1248	39.78%	1795	57.22%	94	3.00%	0	0.00%
JC02	2460	1474	1437	645	44.89%	761	52.96%	30	2.09%	1	0.07%
JC03A	540	384	375	178	47.47%	185	49.33%	12	3.20%	0	0.00%
JC03B	1448	955	928	365	39.33%	531	57.22%	32	3.45%	0	0.00%
JC04A	4042	2692	2621	1242	47.39%	1280	48.84%	98	3.74%	1	0.04%
JC04B	255	156	150	61	40.67%	80	53.33%	9	6.00%	0	0.00%
JC05	2136	1391	1360	593	43.60%	715	52.57%	52	3.82%	0	0.00%
JC06	2627	1580	1542	849	55.06%	642	41.63%	51	3.31%	0	0.00%
JC07	4083	2627	2543	1151	45.26%	1305	51.32%	87	3.42%	0	0.00%
JC08	2737	1870	1815	876	48.26%	866	47.71%	72	3.97%	1	0.06%
JC09	2928	1878	1841	756	41.06%	1024	55.62%	60	3.26%	1	0.05%
JC10	2392	1507	1465	551	37.61%	857	58.50%	57	3.89%	0	0.00%
JC11	3186	2085	2044	1138	55.68%	851	41.63%	54	2.64%	1	0.05%
JC12	2455	1753	1717	1134	66.05%	539	31.39%	44	2.56%	0	0.00%
JC13A	2115	1462	1426	951	66.69%	436	30.58%	39	2.73%	0	0.00%
JC13B	271	180	170	131	77.06%	35	20.59%	4	2.35%	0	0.00%
JC14	2477	1634	1584	924	58.33%	622	39.27%	38	2.40%	0	0.00%
JC15	834	523	508	198	38.98%	289	56.89%	21	4.13%	0	0.00%
JC16	2496	1729	1678	839	50.00%	791	47.14%	45	2.68%	3	0.18%
JC18	2670	1969	1924	1082	56.24%	792	41.16%	50	2.60%	0	0.00%
JC19	3759	2528	2462	1196	48.58%	1167	47.40%	98	3.98%	1	0.04%
ML011	2439	1681	1640	998	60.85%	593	36.16%	48	2.93%	1	0.06%
ML012	485	338	331	231	69.79%	88	26.59%	12	3.63%	0	0.00%
ML01B	138	96	95	60	63.16%	35	36.84%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
ML021	1991	1369	1339	980	73.19%	309	23.08%	50	3.73%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

PSC PRIDEMORE

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	T. PRIDEMORE (I) R		D. A. RANDOLPH (D)		J. TURPISH (L)		Write-In Votes	
ML022	241	148	146	114	78.08%	30	20.55%	2	1.37%	0	0.00%
ML023	1542	1027	1005	728	72.44%	256	25.47%	21	2.09%	0	0.00%
ML024	113	70	68	56	82.35%	10	14.71%	2	2.94%	0	0.00%
ML03	3757	2575	2508	1746	69.62%	688	27.43%	74	2.95%	0	0.00%
ML04A	1747	1176	1147	684	59.63%	424	36.97%	38	3.31%	1	0.09%
ML04B	1206	838	823	571	69.38%	226	27.46%	26	3.16%	0	0.00%
ML04C	79	66	65	44	67.69%	17	26.15%	4	6.15%	0	0.00%
ML05A	39	27	26	17	65.38%	9	34.62%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
ML05B	381	250	243	167	68.72%	68	27.98%	8	3.29%	0	0.00%
ML05C	4023	2615	2555	1321	51.70%	1160	45.40%	72	2.82%	2	0.08%
ML06A	1973	1024	1008	341	33.83%	637	63.19%	30	2.98%	0	0.00%
ML06B	2775	1734	1700	628	36.94%	1008	59.29%	64	3.76%	0	0.00%
ML071	105	73	71	49	69.01%	21	29.58%	1	1.41%	0	0.00%
ML072	1249	857	842	586	69.60%	236	28.03%	19	2.26%	1	0.12%
ML07A	707	472	457	311	68.05%	128	28.01%	18	3.94%	0	0.00%
MP01	450	323	316	145	45.89%	157	49.68%	14	4.43%	0	0.00%
PA01	2509	1330	1296	302	23.30%	973	75.08%	21	1.62%	0	0.00%
RW01	4717	3355	3256	1928	59.21%	1233	37.87%	94	2.89%	1	0.03%
RW02	3794	2675	2594	1329	51.23%	1135	43.75%	130	5.01%	0	0.00%
RW03	4510	2745	2666	1154	43.29%	1410	52.89%	102	3.83%	0	0.00%
RW04	1803	1359	1327	655	49.36%	616	46.42%	56	4.22%	0	0.00%
RW05	2322	1207	1184	389	32.85%	760	64.19%	35	2.96%	0	0.00%
RW06	2820	1914	1872	882	47.12%	890	47.54%	99	5.29%	1	0.05%
RW07A	1549	677	664	88	13.25%	548	82.53%	28	4.22%	0	0.00%
RW07B	388	214	207	50	24.15%	148	71.50%	9	4.35%	0	0.00%
RW08	2138	1462	1411	877	62.15%	477	33.81%	57	4.04%	0	0.00%
RW09	5918	4216	4137	2666	64.44%	1323	31.98%	148	3.58%	0	0.00%
RW10	3347	2376	2301	1361	59.15%	850	36.94%	90	3.91%	0	0.00%
RW11A	2667	1693	1649	769	46.63%	828	50.21%	52	3.15%	0	0.00%
RW12	5944	4234	4127	2449	59.34%	1522	36.88%	156	3.78%	0	0.00%
RW13	3555	2476	2403	1242	51.69%	1055	43.90%	103	4.29%	3	0.12%
RW16	1850	1275	1250	695	55.60%	516	41.28%	39	3.12%	0	0.00%
RW17	2794	1773	1732	643	37.12%	1003	57.91%	86	4.97%	0	0.00%
RW19	1993	1434	1392	867	62.28%	479	34.41%	46	3.30%	0	0.00%
RW20	2486	1797	1756	948	53.99%	742	42.26%	66	3.76%	0	0.00%
RW21	3144	2169	2125	1297	61.04%	746	35.11%	82	3.86%	0	0.00%
RW22A	4406	2486	2427	905	37.29%	1431	58.96%	90	3.71%	1	0.04%
SC01A	20	25	22	2	9.09%	20	90.91%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC01B	1707	1295	1284	33	2.57%	1234	96.11%	16	1.25%	1	0.08%
SC01C	2063	1513	1486	48	3.23%	1420	95.56%	16	1.08%	2	0.13%
SC01D	294	162	161	2	1.24%	156	96.89%	3	1.86%	0	0.00%
SC02	872	662	653	31	4.75%	618	94.64%	4	0.61%	0	0.00%
SC04	922	616	605	193	31.90%	400	66.12%	12	1.98%	0	0.00%
SC05A	646	409	400	8	2.00%	388	97.00%	4	1.00%	0	0.00%
SC05B	3204	2188	2161	71	3.29%	2065	95.56%	25	1.16%	0	0.00%
SC05D	2171	1428	1417	53	3.74%	1354	95.55%	10	0.71%	0	0.00%
SC05E	623	434	428	65	15.19%	354	82.71%	8	1.87%	1	0.23%
SC07A	5595	3698	3652	304	8.32%	3312	90.69%	35	0.96%	1	0.03%
SC07C	29	10	10	1	10.00%	8	80.00%	1	10.00%	0	0.00%
SC08B	3382	2008	1968	58	2.95%	1885	95.78%	24	1.22%	1	0.05%
SC08C	74	53	52	0	0.00%	52	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC08D	499	314	310	6	1.94%	299	96.45%	4	1.29%	1	0.32%
SC08E	562	316	308	3	0.97%	301	97.73%	4	1.30%	0	0.00%
SC08F	700	366	360	17	4.72%	334	92.78%	9	2.50%	0	0.00%
SC08G	12	8	8	0	0.00%	8	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC08H	4	3	3	0	0.00%	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC09A	1628	839	823	31	3.77%	782	95.02%	9	1.09%	1	0.12%
SC09B	1687	1084	1069	27	2.53%	1030	96.35%	12	1.12%	0	0.00%
SC09C	1566	842	820	23	2.80%	782	95.37%	14	1.71%	1	0.12%
SC10	1678	661	649	18	2.77%	624	96.15%	7	1.08%	0	0.00%
SC11A	1411	813	800	19	2.38%	774	96.75%	7	0.88%	0	0.00%
SC11B	1506	878	869	25	2.88%	835	96.09%	9	1.04%	0	0.00%
SC13	2465	1636	1615	77	4.77%	1526	94.49%	11	0.68%	1	0.06%
SC14A	916	429	421	9	2.14%	406	96.44%	6	1.43%	0	0.00%
SC14B	502	45	42	6	14.29%	35	83.33%	1	2.38%	0	0.00%
SC15	6193	4213	4157	132	3.18%	3979	95.72%	45	1.08%	1	0.02%
SC16A	4601	3208	3169	75	2.37%	3062	96.62%	31	0.98%	1	0.03%
SC16B	14	15	15	0	0.00%	15	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC17A	55	42	42	0	0.00%	41	97.62%	1	2.38%	0	0.00%
SC17B	7	4	4	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC17C	1134	669	661	22	3.33%	628	95.01%	11	1.66%	0	0.00%
SC18A	510	329	323	6	1.86%	313	96.90%	3	0.93%	1	0.31%
SC18B	663	462	456	16	3.51%	434	95.18%	6	1.32%	0	0.00%
SC18C	1850	1352	1337	57	4.26%	1266	94.69%	13	0.97%	1	0.07%
SC19A	195	129	129	4	3.10%	123	95.35%	2	1.55%	0	0.00%
SC19B	1267	690	674	25	3.71%	641	95.10%	6	0.89%	2	0.30%
SC20	2197	1665	1650	34	2.06%	1603	97.15%	13	0.79%	0	0.00%
SC211	1328	841	833	34	4.08%	794	95.32%	5	0.60%	0	0.00%
SC212	3378	1947	1917	95	4.96%	1794	93.58%	27	1.41%	1	0.05%
SC23A	3161	1730	1700	40	2.35%	1641	96.53%	19	1.12%	0	0.00%
SC23B	483	284	281	12	4.27%	264	93.95%	5	1.78%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

PSC PRIDEMORE

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	T. PRIDEMORE (I) R		D. A. RANDOLPH (D)		J. TURPISH (L)		Write-In Votes	
SC23C	2252	1302	1273	33	2.59%	1228	96.47%	12	0.94%	0	0.00%
SC27	2129	1259	1227	24	1.96%	1189	96.90%	14	1.14%	0	0.00%
SC29A	2784	1544	1513	40	2.64%	1461	96.56%	12	0.79%	0	0.00%
SC30A	135	97	93	1	1.08%	92	98.92%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC30B	960	531	519	13	2.50%	501	96.53%	5	0.96%	0	0.00%
SS01	4487	3270	3175	1869	58.87%	1204	37.92%	101	3.18%	1	0.03%
SS02A	1120	755	735	290	39.46%	410	55.78%	35	4.76%	0	0.00%
SS02B	1255	756	736	187	25.41%	524	71.20%	25	3.40%	0	0.00%
SS03	1464	1027	987	453	45.90%	490	49.65%	43	4.36%	1	0.10%
SS04	3264	2064	2012	715	35.54%	1230	61.13%	65	3.23%	2	0.10%
SS05	1746	1376	1328	693	52.18%	598	45.03%	37	2.79%	0	0.00%
SS06	1397	1073	1040	559	53.75%	447	42.98%	34	3.27%	0	0.00%
SS07A	968	683	658	365	55.47%	285	43.31%	8	1.22%	0	0.00%
SS07B	609	405	395	164	41.52%	219	55.44%	12	3.04%	0	0.00%
SS07C	755	436	425	208	48.94%	205	48.24%	12	2.82%	0	0.00%
SS08A	1316	758	738	229	31.03%	490	66.40%	19	2.57%	0	0.00%
SS08B	635	453	443	231	52.14%	198	44.70%	14	3.16%	0	0.00%
SS08C	632	393	379	116	30.61%	254	67.02%	8	2.11%	1	0.26%
SS08D	1368	847	829	283	34.14%	519	62.61%	27	3.26%	0	0.00%
SS09A	3003	2210	2136	1223	57.26%	853	39.93%	59	2.76%	1	0.05%
SS09B	3358	2452	2390	1343	56.19%	978	40.92%	68	2.85%	1	0.04%
SS11A	3655	2227	2166	862	39.80%	1223	56.46%	81	3.74%	0	0.00%
SS11B	1257	707	682	202	29.62%	461	67.60%	19	2.79%	0	0.00%
SS11C	269	146	139	25	17.99%	105	75.54%	9	6.47%	0	0.00%
SS11D	365	254	242	148	61.16%	86	35.54%	8	3.31%	0	0.00%
SS12	2559	1732	1666	867	52.04%	756	45.38%	42	2.52%	1	0.06%
SS13A	1633	1072	1042	486	46.64%	515	49.42%	41	3.93%	0	0.00%
SS13B	1001	629	613	304	49.59%	294	47.96%	15	2.45%	0	0.00%
SS14	1274	977	954	598	62.68%	316	33.12%	38	3.98%	2	0.21%
SS15A	2572	1199	1177	163	13.85%	983	83.52%	31	2.63%	0	0.00%
SS15B	1579	902	877	262	29.87%	567	64.65%	48	5.47%	0	0.00%
SS16	2030	1534	1503	844	56.15%	603	40.12%	56	3.73%	0	0.00%
SS17	3397	2229	2171	1115	51.36%	986	45.42%	69	3.18%	1	0.05%
SS18A	619	454	443	219	49.44%	213	48.08%	11	2.48%	0	0.00%
SS18B	221	162	154	71	46.10%	76	49.35%	7	4.55%	0	0.00%
SS19A	3016	1683	1644	396	24.09%	1188	72.26%	59	3.59%	1	0.06%
SS19B	2032	1226	1194	360	30.15%	788	66.00%	46	3.85%	0	0.00%
SS20	2060	1159	1128	376	33.33%	719	63.74%	33	2.93%	0	0.00%
SS22	2714	1613	1567	635	40.52%	877	55.97%	54	3.45%	1	0.06%
SS26	3552	1822	1780	324	18.20%	1409	79.16%	47	2.64%	0	0.00%
SS29A	2488	1595	1544	638	41.32%	854	55.31%	52	3.37%	0	0.00%
SS31	1345	938	912	398	43.64%	488	53.51%	26	2.85%	0	0.00%
UC01A	3622	1715	1693	70	4.13%	1593	94.09%	28	1.65%	2	0.12%
UC01B	395	210	204	6	2.94%	195	95.59%	3	1.47%	0	0.00%
UC01D	6	2	2	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
UC01E	285	206	206	4	1.94%	196	95.15%	6	2.91%	0	0.00%
UC02A	7513	4296	4212	136	3.23%	4019	95.42%	56	1.33%	1	0.02%
UC02B	30	19	18	4	22.22%	14	77.78%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
UC031	2399	1203	1171	200	17.08%	965	82.41%	6	0.51%	0	0.00%
UC032	292	186	180	26	14.44%	154	85.56%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	703177	424992	413420	117204	28.35%	284585	68.84%	11483	2.78%	148	0.04%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	US HOUSE 5						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	J. R. LEWIS (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide							
01A	3199	2362	2234	2206	98.75%	28	1.25%
01B	3839	2737	2546	2509	98.55%	37	1.45%
01C	1459	612	594	593	99.83%	1	0.17%
01D	511	307	288	286	99.31%	2	0.69%
01E	3363	2410	2215	2184	98.60%	31	1.40%
01F	1254	452	439	437	99.54%	2	0.46%
01G	1909	1102	1052	1034	98.29%	18	1.71%
01H	758	379	366	366	100.00%	0	0.00%
01J	2416	1184	1136	1135	99.91%	1	0.09%
01P	1244	542	521	519	99.62%	2	0.38%
01R	1344	491	474	473	99.79%	1	0.21%
01S	1749	823	792	788	99.49%	4	0.51%
01T	1506	796	744	736	98.92%	8	1.08%
02A	4584	2949	2628	2541	96.69%	87	3.31%
02B	3518	1265	1192	1173	98.41%	19	1.59%
02C	2037	1205	1142	1123	98.34%	19	1.66%
02D	4475	2660	2501	2475	98.96%	26	1.04%
02E	1905	1423	1343	1327	98.81%	16	1.19%
02F1	2962	2033	1879	1843	98.08%	36	1.92%
02F2	788	458	435	432	99.31%	3	0.69%
02G	3443	2361	2216	2183	98.51%	33	1.49%
02J	2159	1580	1401	1376	98.22%	25	1.78%
02K	1419	951	870	854	98.16%	16	1.84%
02L1	6213	3978	3616	3529	97.59%	87	2.41%
02L2	1364	782	702	688	98.01%	14	1.99%
02S	708	427	409	404	98.78%	5	1.22%
02W	682	399	370	362	97.84%	8	2.16%
03A	1927	692	659	653	99.09%	6	0.91%
03B	560	181	164	163	99.39%	1	0.61%
03C	1534	674	652	649	99.54%	3	0.46%
03D	1017	402	383	379	98.96%	4	1.04%
03E	2284	914	881	874	99.21%	7	0.79%
03F	1925	1115	1017	997	98.03%	20	1.97%
03G	802	360	345	340	98.55%	5	1.45%
03H	900	369	347	347	100.00%	0	0.00%
03I	1360	566	530	515	97.17%	15	2.83%
03L	594	229	213	212	99.53%	1	0.47%
03M	766	452	398	377	94.72%	21	5.28%
03N	2288	938	898	893	99.44%	5	0.56%
03P1A	3882	1966	1824	1793	98.30%	31	1.70%
03P2	13	7	7	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
03S	761	356	350	350	100.00%	0	0.00%
03T	1505	715	694	691	99.57%	3	0.43%
04A	1202	560	533	530	99.44%	3	0.56%
04B	2242	922	891	890	99.89%	1	0.11%
04C	136	54	53	53	100.00%	0	0.00%
04D	1481	714	680	678	99.71%	2	0.29%
04F	2086	935	888	876	98.65%	12	1.35%
04G	828	312	300	298	99.33%	2	0.67%
04I	3362	561	523	509	97.32%	14	2.68%
04J	1230	317	310	309	99.68%	1	0.32%
04K	2339	1266	1205	1199	99.50%	6	0.50%
04L	1655	867	828	823	99.40%	5	0.60%
04M	773	292	282	280	99.29%	2	0.71%
04S	2165	1118	1072	1066	99.44%	6	0.56%
04T	1145	584	562	560	99.64%	2	0.36%
04V	2848	1211	1169	1165	99.66%	4	0.34%
04W	2203	820	805	800	99.38%	5	0.62%
04X1	1942	950	913	905	99.12%	8	0.88%
04X2	737	364	337	336	99.70%	1	0.30%
05A1	2491	1728	1625	1611	99.14%	14	0.86%
05A2	2910	1998	1885	1856	98.46%	29	1.54%
05B	1763	901	854	848	99.30%	6	0.70%
05C	146	67	63	63	100.00%	0	0.00%
05D	386	93	89	89	100.00%	0	0.00%
05F	223	136	132	130	98.48%	2	1.52%
05J	639	416	387	383	98.97%	4	1.03%
05K	2600	1327	1277	1265	99.06%	12	0.94%
06B	1756	1238	1122	1089	97.06%	33	2.94%
06D	8568	6060	5331	5164	96.87%	167	3.13%
06E	2250	1439	1284	1239	96.50%	45	3.50%
06F	2709	1757	1564	1525	97.51%	39	2.49%
06G	536	369	337	326	96.74%	11	3.26%
06I	3412	1957	1827	1783	97.59%	44	2.41%
06J	2539	1904	1680	1625	96.73%	55	3.27%
06L1	917	679	566	542	95.76%	24	4.24%
06L2	654	487	397	374	94.21%	23	5.79%
06N	1844	1085	863	819	94.90%	44	5.10%
06Q	527	295	283	282	99.65%	1	0.35%
06R	1836	1206	1054	1026	97.34%	28	2.66%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	US HOUSE 5						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	J. R. LEWIS (I) D		Write-In Votes	
07C	3927	2498	1818	1680	92.41%	138	7.59%
07D	4231	2413	1840	1714	93.15%	126	6.85%
07E	2031	1358	1102	1046	94.92%	56	5.08%
07F	3017	2041	1526	1441	94.43%	85	5.57%
07H	1923	1424	1021	963	94.32%	58	5.68%
07J	2647	1753	1392	1324	95.11%	68	4.89%
07M	2155	1180	952	897	94.22%	55	5.78%
07N	1946	1044	931	909	97.64%	22	2.36%
08F1	2071	1628	1042	933	89.54%	109	10.46%
08G	2787	1669	1246	1153	92.54%	93	7.46%
08H	2465	1874	1220	1118	91.64%	102	8.36%
08J	2981	2223	1676	1543	92.06%	133	7.94%
08K	973	702	548	513	93.61%	35	6.39%
08L	4194	2706	2247	2143	95.37%	104	4.63%
08M	1907	1358	899	797	88.65%	102	11.35%
08P	1633	928	830	798	96.14%	32	3.86%
09A	3338	2152	1886	1815	96.24%	71	3.76%
09B	385	174	170	169	99.41%	1	0.59%
09C	2954	1522	1461	1456	99.66%	5	0.34%
09D	1879	858	805	805	100.00%	0	0.00%
09E	2782	1420	1342	1326	98.81%	16	1.19%
09F	3619	2526	1898	1777	93.62%	121	6.38%
09G	3519	2282	2017	1962	97.27%	55	2.73%
09H	1064	645	605	597	98.68%	8	1.32%
09I	1194	503	476	472	99.16%	4	0.84%
09K	4403	1851	1784	1773	99.38%	11	0.62%
09M	2259	1611	1421	1382	97.26%	39	2.74%
10A	2850	1622	1567	1560	99.55%	7	0.45%
10B	3686	2464	2394	2387	99.71%	7	0.29%
10C	2466	1168	1134	1131	99.74%	3	0.26%
10D	4220	1850	1781	1777	99.78%	4	0.22%
10E	950	524	503	499	99.20%	4	0.80%
10F	1053	590	564	561	99.47%	3	0.53%
10G	1205	813	783	775	98.98%	8	1.02%
10H1	408	238	232	231	99.57%	1	0.43%
10H2	2207	1032	990	980	98.99%	10	1.01%
10I	329	258	249	249	100.00%	0	0.00%
10J	1012	466	447	445	99.55%	2	0.45%
10K	1415	858	816	815	99.88%	1	0.12%
10M	2529	1414	1361	1354	99.49%	7	0.51%
10P	1364	668	641	636	99.22%	5	0.78%
10R	713	347	338	338	100.00%	0	0.00%
11B	5162	3088	2977	2961	99.46%	16	0.54%
11C	2822	2003	1954	1936	99.08%	18	0.92%
11E1	1141	634	615	611	99.35%	4	0.65%
11E2	847	497	483	481	99.59%	2	0.41%
11E3	3124	1835	1787	1779	99.55%	8	0.45%
11G	2092	855	819	815	99.51%	4	0.49%
11H	677	356	343	341	99.42%	2	0.58%
11J	1827	1018	984	978	99.39%	6	0.61%
11K	1818	1104	1067	1057	99.06%	10	0.94%
11M	3039	1659	1608	1597	99.32%	11	0.68%
11N	2166	1358	1313	1305	99.39%	8	0.61%
11P	1833	1020	981	979	99.80%	2	0.20%
11R	1849	672	640	638	99.69%	2	0.31%
12A	4828	2734	2607	2581	99.00%	26	1.00%
12D	1209	501	483	480	99.38%	3	0.62%
12E1	1621	591	553	548	99.10%	5	0.90%
12F	943	428	414	414	100.00%	0	0.00%
12G	800	430	406	405	99.75%	1	0.25%
12H1	1006	310	295	291	98.64%	4	1.36%
12H2	2562	1189	1147	1139	99.30%	8	0.70%
12I	970	413	391	391	100.00%	0	0.00%
12J	2535	1108	1070	1063	99.35%	7	0.65%
12K	3022	1240	1171	1157	98.80%	14	1.20%
12L	613	238	228	228	100.00%	0	0.00%
12M	2533	1171	1134	1133	99.91%	1	0.09%
12N	907	327	308	302	98.05%	6	1.95%
12S	1794	959	915	913	99.78%	2	0.22%
CP01B	381	227	172	163	94.77%	9	5.23%
CP011	1135	617	540	514	95.19%	26	4.81%
CP012	1318	844	735	709	96.46%	26	3.54%
CP02	1000	553	502	493	98.21%	9	1.79%
CP04A	8	2	2	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP04B	39	14	14	14	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP05B	143	76	70	70	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP051	1612	874	843	840	99.64%	3	0.36%
CP07B	7	2	2	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP07C	244	47	44	43	97.73%	1	2.27%
CP07D	694	208	196	194	98.98%	2	1.02%
CP07E	125	41	39	39	100.00%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	US HOUSE 5						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	J. R. LEWIS (I) D		Write-In Votes	
CP07F	184	55	51	51	100.00%	0	0.00%
EP01A	2609	1464	1362	1338	98.24%	24	1.76%
EP01B	3038	1539	1470	1457	99.12%	13	0.88%
EP02A	1967	890	853	846	99.18%	7	0.82%
EP02B	1449	977	875	858	98.06%	17	1.94%
EP02C	428	251	216	210	97.22%	6	2.78%
EP02D	326	192	184	182	98.91%	2	1.09%
EP02E	1382	627	597	590	98.83%	7	1.17%
EP03A	4293	2512	2391	2375	99.33%	16	0.67%
EP03B	1421	973	932	924	99.14%	8	0.86%
EP04A	5432	2626	2558	2548	99.61%	10	0.39%
HP01	3212	1780	1501	1446	96.34%	55	3.66%
SC01A	20	25	23	23	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC01B	1707	1295	1269	1261	99.37%	8	0.63%
SC01C	2063	1513	1462	1451	99.25%	11	0.75%
SC01D	294	162	159	159	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC02	872	662	637	633	99.37%	4	0.63%
SC14A	916	429	419	415	99.05%	4	0.95%
SC14B	502	45	41	41	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC16A	4601	3208	3128	3112	99.49%	16	0.51%
SC16B	14	15	15	15	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC18A	510	329	318	318	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC30A	135	97	93	93	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC30B	960	531	511	508	99.41%	3	0.59%
Total	343478	193688	176310	172836	98.03%	3474	1.97%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

US HOUSE 6

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	K. HANDEL (I) R		L. MCBATH (D)		Write-In Votes	
02J	0	0	0	0	-	0	-	0	-
AP01A	4724	3141	3115	1615	51.85%	1497	48.06%	3	0.10%
AP01B	913	516	508	231	45.47%	277	54.53%	0	0.00%
AP01C	2233	1498	1494	792	53.01%	700	46.85%	2	0.13%
AP01D	915	539	532	226	42.48%	305	57.33%	1	0.19%
AP02B	474	263	260	128	49.23%	132	50.77%	0	0.00%
AP03	741	314	312	72	23.08%	240	76.92%	0	0.00%
AP04A	1647	1183	1172	709	60.49%	463	39.51%	0	0.00%
AP04B	415	290	289	160	55.36%	129	44.64%	0	0.00%
AP05	4367	2981	2963	1726	58.25%	1236	41.71%	1	0.03%
AP06	1827	1309	1289	776	60.20%	509	39.49%	4	0.31%
AP07A	3422	2102	2078	1089	52.41%	986	47.45%	3	0.14%
AP07B	1650	1144	1139	622	54.61%	516	45.30%	1	0.09%
AP09A	4051	2687	2656	1317	49.59%	1339	50.41%	0	0.00%
AP09B	810	531	524	211	40.27%	313	59.73%	0	0.00%
AP021	2994	1963	1945	1021	52.49%	921	47.35%	3	0.15%
AP022	2210	1429	1408	675	47.94%	729	51.78%	4	0.28%
AP10	942	681	673	394	58.54%	276	41.01%	3	0.45%
AP12A	658	374	370	133	35.95%	237	64.05%	0	0.00%
AP12B	747	428	423	138	32.62%	283	66.90%	2	0.47%
AP12C	1584	1005	993	548	55.19%	445	44.81%	0	0.00%
AP14	2972	2027	2009	1026	51.07%	980	48.78%	3	0.15%
JC01	4977	3215	3181	1272	39.99%	1905	59.89%	4	0.13%
JC02	2460	1474	1459	658	45.10%	800	54.83%	1	0.07%
JC03A	540	384	382	181	47.38%	201	52.62%	0	0.00%
JC03B	1448	955	942	368	39.07%	572	60.72%	2	0.21%
JC04A	4042	2692	2662	1234	46.36%	1426	53.57%	2	0.08%
JC04B	255	156	152	68	44.74%	84	55.26%	0	0.00%
JC05	2136	1391	1374	618	44.98%	754	54.88%	2	0.15%
JC06	2627	1580	1558	866	55.58%	692	44.42%	0	0.00%
JC07	4083	2627	2600	1183	45.50%	1413	54.35%	4	0.15%
JC08	2737	1870	1856	910	49.03%	943	50.81%	3	0.16%
JC09	2928	1878	1869	763	40.82%	1104	59.07%	2	0.11%
JC10	2392	1507	1493	588	39.38%	903	60.48%	2	0.13%
JC11	3186	2085	2072	1167	56.32%	905	43.68%	0	0.00%
JC12	2455	1753	1732	1167	67.38%	565	32.62%	0	0.00%
JC13A	2115	1462	1446	970	67.08%	474	32.78%	2	0.14%
JC13B	271	180	176	136	77.27%	40	22.73%	0	0.00%
JC14	2477	1634	1621	932	57.50%	689	42.50%	0	0.00%
JC15	834	523	514	200	38.91%	314	61.09%	0	0.00%
JC16	2496	1729	1710	851	49.77%	857	50.12%	2	0.12%
JC18	2670	1969	1958	1122	57.30%	836	42.70%	0	0.00%
JC19	3759	2528	2507	1243	49.58%	1261	50.30%	3	0.12%
ML011	2439	1681	1667	1010	60.59%	655	39.29%	2	0.12%
ML012	485	338	335	227	67.76%	106	31.64%	2	0.60%
ML01B	138	96	95	59	62.11%	36	37.89%	0	0.00%
ML021	1991	1369	1357	1021	75.24%	336	24.76%	0	0.00%
ML022	241	148	148	110	74.32%	38	25.68%	0	0.00%
ML023	1542	1027	1014	728	71.79%	285	28.11%	1	0.10%
ML024	113	70	68	57	83.82%	10	14.71%	1	1.47%
ML03	3757	2575	2552	1784	69.91%	768	30.09%	0	0.00%
ML04A	1747	1176	1161	693	59.69%	465	40.05%	3	0.26%
ML04B	1206	838	836	582	69.62%	253	30.26%	1	0.12%
ML04C	79	66	64	46	71.88%	18	28.13%	0	0.00%
ML05A	39	27	27	18	66.67%	9	33.33%	0	0.00%
ML05B	381	250	245	165	67.35%	80	32.65%	0	0.00%
ML05C	4023	2615	2589	1336	51.60%	1251	48.32%	2	0.08%
ML06A	1973	1024	1011	353	34.92%	656	64.89%	2	0.20%
ML06B	2775	1734	1715	623	36.33%	1090	63.56%	2	0.12%
ML071	105	73	73	49	67.12%	24	32.88%	0	0.00%
ML072	1249	857	847	597	70.48%	248	29.28%	2	0.24%
ML07A	707	472	466	318	68.24%	148	31.76%	0	0.00%
MP01	450	323	319	152	47.65%	167	52.35%	0	0.00%
RW01	4717	3355	3320	1994	60.06%	1324	39.88%	2	0.06%
RW02	3794	2675	2655	1396	52.58%	1255	47.27%	4	0.15%
RW03	4510	2745	2721	1184	43.51%	1536	56.45%	1	0.04%
RW04	1803	1359	1349	681	50.48%	667	49.44%	1	0.07%
RW05	2322	1207	1194	411	34.42%	781	65.41%	2	0.17%
RW06	2820	1914	1897	913	48.13%	978	51.56%	6	0.32%
RW07A	1549	677	666	90	13.51%	576	86.49%	0	0.00%
RW07B	388	214	210	53	25.24%	157	74.76%	0	0.00%
RW08	2138	1462	1433	899	62.74%	531	37.06%	3	0.21%
RW09	5918	4216	4182	2721	65.06%	1457	34.84%	4	0.10%
RW10	3347	2376	2348	1389	59.16%	956	40.72%	3	0.13%
RW11A	2667	1693	1676	775	46.24%	900	53.70%	1	0.06%
RW12	5944	4234	4193	2511	59.89%	1679	40.04%	3	0.07%
RW13	3555	2476	2456	1303	53.05%	1149	46.78%	4	0.16%
RW16	1850	1275	1261	713	56.54%	544	43.14%	4	0.32%
RW17	2794	1773	1760	685	38.92%	1074	61.02%	1	0.06%
RW19	1993	1434	1418	885	62.41%	531	37.45%	2	0.14%
RW20	2486	1797	1785	972	54.45%	811	45.43%	2	0.11%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	US HOUSE 6								
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	K. HANDEL (I) R		L. MCBATH (D)		Write-In Votes	
RW21	3144	2169	2145	1335	62.24%	808	37.67%	2	0.09%
RW22A	4406	2486	2458	937	38.12%	1518	61.76%	3	0.12%
SS01	4487	3270	3234	1950	60.30%	1281	39.61%	3	0.09%
SS02A	1120	755	749	308	41.12%	440	58.74%	1	0.13%
SS02B	1255	756	748	183	24.47%	565	75.53%	0	0.00%
SS03	1464	1027	1013	453	44.72%	558	55.08%	2	0.20%
SS04	3264	2064	2040	716	35.10%	1324	64.90%	0	0.00%
SS05	1746	1376	1354	698	51.55%	655	48.38%	1	0.07%
SS06	1397	1073	1067	583	54.64%	484	45.36%	0	0.00%
SS07A	968	683	672	364	54.17%	307	45.68%	1	0.15%
SS07B	609	405	402	166	41.29%	236	58.71%	0	0.00%
SS07C	755	436	428	215	50.23%	213	49.77%	0	0.00%
SS08A	1316	758	745	236	31.68%	509	68.32%	0	0.00%
SS08B	635	453	449	236	52.56%	213	47.44%	0	0.00%
SS08C	632	393	386	119	30.83%	267	69.17%	0	0.00%
SS08D	1368	847	839	292	34.80%	547	65.20%	0	0.00%
SS11A	3655	2227	2191	894	40.80%	1295	59.11%	2	0.09%
SS11B	1257	707	694	199	28.67%	495	71.33%	0	0.00%
SS11C	269	146	142	27	19.01%	115	80.99%	0	0.00%
SS12	2559	1732	1702	888	52.17%	813	47.77%	1	0.06%
SS15A	2572	1199	1188	169	14.23%	1019	85.77%	0	0.00%
SS15B	1579	902	895	279	31.17%	615	68.72%	1	0.11%
SS16	2030	1534	1520	877	57.70%	643	42.30%	0	0.00%
SS17	3397	2229	2209	1143	51.74%	1064	48.17%	2	0.09%
SS18A	619	454	450	232	51.56%	217	48.22%	1	0.22%
SS18B	221	162	158	79	50.00%	79	50.00%	0	0.00%
SS19A	3016	1683	1669	413	24.75%	1256	75.25%	0	0.00%
SS19B	2032	1226	1213	369	30.42%	841	69.33%	3	0.25%
SS20	2060	1159	1148	384	33.45%	762	66.38%	2	0.17%
SS22	2714	1613	1591	674	42.36%	916	57.57%	1	0.06%
SS26	3552	1822	1798	327	18.19%	1471	81.81%	0	0.00%
SS29A	2488	1595	1578	659	41.76%	918	58.17%	1	0.06%
SS31	1345	938	927	410	44.23%	515	55.56%	2	0.22%
Total	235150	153917	152331	76423	50.17%	75759	49.73%	149	0.10%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

US HOUSE 11

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	B. LOUDERMILK (I) R		F. D. BROADY, JR (D)		Write-In Votes	
02J	0	0	0	0	-	0	-	0	-
07A	6600	4093	3986	1841	46.19%	2140	53.69%	5	0.13%
07B	2763	2107	2066	1344	65.05%	721	34.90%	1	0.05%
08A	2799	1949	1922	1036	53.90%	886	46.10%	0	0.00%
08B	3199	2255	2206	1352	61.29%	851	38.58%	3	0.14%
08C	1392	984	957	678	70.85%	279	29.15%	0	0.00%
08D	1022	770	754	526	69.76%	228	30.24%	0	0.00%
08E	2429	1864	1823	1140	62.53%	683	37.47%	0	0.00%
08N1	767	587	575	383	66.61%	192	33.39%	0	0.00%
08N2	1391	927	900	432	48.00%	468	52.00%	0	0.00%
SS09A	3003	2210	2155	1279	59.35%	874	40.56%	2	0.09%
SS09B	3358	2452	2405	1398	58.13%	1006	41.83%	1	0.04%
SS11D	365	254	251	162	64.54%	89	35.46%	0	0.00%
SS13A	1633	1072	1048	514	49.05%	532	50.76%	2	0.19%
SS13B	1001	629	617	308	49.92%	309	50.08%	0	0.00%
SS14	1274	977	960	616	64.17%	343	35.73%	1	0.10%
Total	32996	23130	22625	13009	57.50%	9601	42.44%	15	0.07%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

US HOUSE 13

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	D. CALLAHAN (R)		D. SCOTT (I) (D)		Write-In Votes	
02J	0	0	0	0	-	0	-	0	-
CH01	330	164	157	40	25.48%	117	74.52%	0	0.00%
CH02	319	202	195	120	61.54%	74	37.95%	1	0.51%
CH03	379	250	244	157	64.34%	87	35.66%	0	0.00%
CH04A	647	444	439	230	52.39%	209	47.61%	0	0.00%
CH05	407	260	255	183	71.76%	72	28.24%	0	0.00%
CP06A	598	208	198	4	2.02%	194	97.98%	0	0.00%
CP081	343	77	76	5	6.58%	70	92.11%	1	1.32%
CP083	219	25	21	0	0.00%	21	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP084	3	1	1	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP08A	705	175	170	4	2.35%	166	97.65%	0	0.00%
EP04B	2024	1203	1187	20	1.68%	1163	97.98%	4	0.34%
FA01A	3574	2126	2090	218	10.43%	1868	89.38%	4	0.19%
FA01B	4833	2965	2912	299	10.27%	2612	89.70%	1	0.03%
FA01C	681	401	397	19	4.79%	376	94.71%	2	0.50%
PA01	2509	1330	1307	306	23.41%	998	76.36%	3	0.23%
SC04	922	616	605	191	31.57%	414	68.43%	0	0.00%
SC05A	646	409	400	6	1.50%	394	98.50%	0	0.00%
SC05B	3204	2188	2150	73	3.40%	2073	96.42%	4	0.19%
SC05D	2171	1428	1405	44	3.13%	1358	96.65%	3	0.21%
SC05E	623	434	429	65	15.15%	363	84.62%	1	0.23%
SC07A	5595	3698	3645	301	8.26%	3336	91.52%	8	0.22%
SC07C	29	10	10	0	0.00%	10	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08B	3382	2008	1970	64	3.25%	1904	96.65%	2	0.10%
SC08C	74	53	51	0	0.00%	51	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08D	499	314	309	5	1.62%	304	98.38%	0	0.00%
SC08E	562	316	307	10	3.26%	297	96.74%	0	0.00%
SC08F	700	366	361	15	4.16%	344	95.29%	2	0.55%
SC08G	12	8	8	0	0.00%	8	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08H	4	3	3	0	0.00%	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC09A	1628	839	818	25	3.06%	790	96.58%	3	0.37%
SC09B	1687	1084	1063	22	2.07%	1038	97.65%	3	0.28%
SC09C	1566	842	822	28	3.41%	794	96.59%	0	0.00%
SC10	1678	661	648	17	2.62%	631	97.38%	0	0.00%
SC11A	1411	813	801	19	2.37%	782	97.63%	0	0.00%
SC11B	1506	878	862	26	3.02%	835	96.87%	1	0.12%
SC13	2465	1636	1607	72	4.48%	1532	95.33%	3	0.19%
SC15	6193	4213	4146	126	3.04%	4015	96.84%	5	0.12%
SC17A	55	42	42	0	0.00%	42	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC17B	7	4	4	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%
SC17C	1134	669	655	19	2.90%	635	96.95%	1	0.15%
SC18B	663	462	456	12	2.63%	443	97.15%	1	0.22%
SC18C	1850	1352	1333	54	4.05%	1276	95.72%	3	0.23%
SC19A	195	129	129	4	3.10%	124	96.12%	1	0.78%
SC19B	1267	690	675	22	3.26%	650	96.30%	3	0.44%
SC20	2197	1665	1641	34	2.07%	1604	97.75%	3	0.18%
SC211	1328	841	829	33	3.98%	796	96.02%	0	0.00%
SC212	3378	1947	1913	91	4.76%	1815	94.88%	7	0.37%
SC23A	3161	1730	1698	36	2.12%	1660	97.76%	2	0.12%
SC23B	483	284	282	10	3.55%	272	96.45%	0	0.00%
SC23C	2252	1302	1283	32	2.49%	1250	97.43%	1	0.08%
SC27	2129	1259	1234	22	1.78%	1209	97.97%	3	0.24%
SC29A	2784	1544	1517	36	2.37%	1477	97.36%	4	0.26%
UC01A	3622	1715	1686	70	4.15%	1614	95.73%	2	0.12%
UC01B	395	210	208	5	2.40%	203	97.60%	0	0.00%
UC01D	6	2	2	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
UC01E	285	206	206	7	3.40%	199	96.60%	0	0.00%
UC02A	7513	4296	4214	150	3.56%	4054	96.20%	10	0.24%
UC02B	30	19	17	4	23.53%	13	76.47%	0	0.00%
UC031	2399	1203	1164	192	16.49%	971	83.42%	1	0.09%
UC032	292	186	181	26	14.36%	155	85.64%	0	0.00%
Total	91553	54405	53438	3574	6.69%	49771	93.14%	93	0.17%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE SENATE 6

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	L. ALDRIDGE (R)		J. JORDAN (I) D		Write-In Votes	
07A	6600	4093	3995	1791	44.83%	2202	55.12%	2	0.05%
07B	2763	2107	2085	1307	62.69%	778	37.31%	0	0.00%
07C	3927	2498	2438	1121	45.98%	1315	53.94%	2	0.08%
07D	4231	2413	2338	998	42.69%	1339	57.27%	1	0.04%
08A	2799	1949	1922	1020	53.07%	902	46.93%	0	0.00%
08B	3199	2255	2221	1286	57.90%	935	42.10%	0	0.00%
08C	1392	984	972	667	68.62%	305	31.38%	0	0.00%
08D	1022	770	761	501	65.83%	260	34.17%	0	0.00%
08E	2429	1864	1842	1080	58.63%	762	41.37%	0	0.00%
08F1	2071	1628	1601	1019	63.65%	581	36.29%	1	0.06%
08M	1907	1358	1333	811	60.84%	522	39.16%	0	0.00%
08N1	767	587	579	367	63.39%	209	36.10%	3	0.52%
08N2	1391	927	912	415	45.50%	497	54.50%	0	0.00%
09F	3619	2526	2482	1053	42.43%	1428	57.53%	1	0.04%
SS06	1397	1073	1061	561	52.87%	500	47.13%	0	0.00%
SS07A	968	683	667	362	54.27%	305	45.73%	0	0.00%
SS07B	609	405	402	167	41.54%	235	58.46%	0	0.00%
SS07C	755	436	423	209	49.41%	214	50.59%	0	0.00%
SS08A	1316	758	741	234	31.58%	506	68.29%	1	0.13%
SS08B	635	453	448	232	51.79%	216	48.21%	0	0.00%
SS08C	632	393	377	116	30.77%	261	69.23%	0	0.00%
SS08D	1368	847	831	282	33.94%	549	66.06%	0	0.00%
SS09B	3358	2452	2417	1344	55.61%	1072	44.35%	1	0.04%
SS11A	3655	2227	2179	906	41.58%	1272	58.38%	1	0.05%
SS11B	1257	707	692	205	29.62%	486	70.23%	1	0.14%
SS11C	269	146	139	27	19.42%	112	80.58%	0	0.00%
SS11D	365	254	246	141	57.32%	105	42.68%	0	0.00%
SS12	2559	1732	1698	879	51.77%	818	48.17%	1	0.06%
SS13A	1633	1072	1058	510	48.20%	545	51.51%	3	0.28%
SS13B	1001	629	619	305	49.27%	314	50.73%	0	0.00%
SS14	1274	977	964	617	64.00%	347	36.00%	0	0.00%
SS31	1345	938	922	402	43.60%	520	56.40%	0	0.00%
Total	62513	42141	41365	20935	50.61%	20412	49.35%	18	0.04%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE SENATE 21

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	B. BEACH (I) R		N. B. NASH (D)		Write-In Votes	
AP05	4367	2981	2933	1756	59.87%	1174	40.03%	3	0.10%
AP06	1827	1309	1282	842	65.68%	440	34.32%	0	0.00%
AP07A	3422	2102	2039	1081	53.02%	956	46.89%	2	0.10%
AP07B	1650	1144	1122	650	57.93%	472	42.07%	0	0.00%
AP09A	4051	2687	2635	1337	50.74%	1297	49.22%	1	0.04%
AP10	942	681	665	417	62.71%	248	37.29%	0	0.00%
AP12A	658	374	367	134	36.51%	233	63.49%	0	0.00%
AP12B	747	428	419	141	33.65%	278	66.35%	0	0.00%
AP12C	1584	1005	981	551	56.17%	428	43.63%	2	0.20%
ML021	1991	1369	1348	1038	77.00%	310	23.00%	0	0.00%
ML022	241	148	145	112	77.24%	33	22.76%	0	0.00%
ML023	1542	1027	1006	760	75.55%	246	24.45%	0	0.00%
ML024	113	70	67	57	85.07%	10	14.93%	0	0.00%
ML03	3757	2575	2540	1829	72.01%	708	27.87%	3	0.12%
ML04A	1747	1176	1145	708	61.83%	435	37.99%	2	0.17%
ML04B	1206	838	826	597	72.28%	229	27.72%	0	0.00%
ML04C	79	66	66	47	71.21%	19	28.79%	0	0.00%
ML05A	39	27	27	19	70.37%	8	29.63%	0	0.00%
ML05B	381	250	245	170	69.39%	75	30.61%	0	0.00%
ML05C	4023	2615	2565	1370	53.41%	1195	46.59%	0	0.00%
ML06A	1973	1024	1006	352	34.99%	654	65.01%	0	0.00%
ML06B	2775	1734	1701	654	38.45%	1047	61.55%	0	0.00%
ML071	105	73	72	52	72.22%	20	27.78%	0	0.00%
ML072	1249	857	838	589	70.29%	248	29.59%	1	0.12%
ML07A	707	472	461	323	70.07%	138	29.93%	0	0.00%
Total	41176	27032	26501	15586	58.81%	10901	41.13%	14	0.05%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE SENATE 28

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	M. BRASS (I) R		Write-In Votes	
CH01	330	164	94	87	92.55%	7	7.45%
CH02	319	202	154	148	96.10%	6	3.90%
CH03	379	250	186	177	95.16%	9	4.84%
CH04A	647	444	304	286	94.08%	18	5.92%
CH05	407	260	220	214	97.27%	6	2.73%
Total	2082	1320	958	912	95.20%	46	4.80%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE SENATE 32

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	K. KIRKPATRICK (I) R		C. TRIEBSCH (D)		Write-In Votes	
SS02B	1255	756	743	193	25.98%	549	73.89%	1	0.13%
SS03	1464	1027	994	468	47.08%	525	52.82%	1	0.10%
SS04	3264	2064	1995	733	36.74%	1261	63.21%	1	0.05%
SS05	1746	1376	1343	727	54.13%	616	45.87%	0	0.00%
SS09A	3003	2210	2152	1288	59.85%	863	40.10%	1	0.05%
SS16	2030	1534	1502	906	60.32%	596	39.68%	0	0.00%
SS18A	619	454	449	242	53.90%	207	46.10%	0	0.00%
SS18B	221	162	156	83	53.21%	73	46.79%	0	0.00%
SS29A	2488	1595	1552	672	43.30%	880	56.70%	0	0.00%
Total	16090	11178	10886	5312	48.80%	5570	51.17%	4	0.04%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE SENATE 35

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	D. JAMES (I) D		Write-In Votes	
FA01A	3574	2126	1945	1936	99.54%	9	0.46%
FA01B	4833	2965	2722	2709	99.52%	13	0.48%
FA01C	681	401	385	380	98.70%	5	1.30%
PA01	2509	1330	1111	1095	98.56%	16	1.44%
SC04	922	616	484	475	98.14%	9	1.86%
SC05A	646	409	397	395	99.50%	2	0.50%
SC05B	3204	2188	2099	2090	99.57%	9	0.43%
SC05D	2171	1428	1368	1364	99.71%	4	0.29%
SC05E	623	434	389	382	98.20%	7	1.80%
SC07A	5595	3698	3463	3443	99.42%	20	0.58%
SC07C	29	10	10	10	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08E	562	316	305	304	99.67%	1	0.33%
SC13	2465	1636	1566	1557	99.43%	9	0.57%
SC15	6193	4213	4073	4060	99.68%	13	0.32%
SC18A	510	329	320	320	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC18B	663	462	446	439	98.43%	7	1.57%
SC18C	1850	1352	1291	1283	99.38%	8	0.62%
SC20	2197	1665	1617	1608	99.44%	9	0.56%
SC211	1328	841	796	794	99.75%	2	0.25%
SC212	3378	1947	1854	1845	99.51%	9	0.49%
SC29A	2784	1544	1490	1481	99.40%	9	0.60%
UC01A	3622	1715	1646	1641	99.70%	5	0.30%
UC02A	7513	4296	4088	4076	99.71%	12	0.29%
UC02B	30	19	13	13	100.00%	0	0.00%
UC031	2399	1203	1029	1023	99.42%	6	0.58%
UC032	292	186	162	162	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	60573	37329	35069	34885	99.48%	184	0.52%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE SENATE 36

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	N. ORROCK (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide							
01A	3199	2362	2188	2167	99.04%	21	0.96%
01B	3839	2737	2493	2470	99.08%	23	0.92%
01C	1459	612	575	574	99.83%	1	0.17%
01D	511	307	284	283	99.65%	1	0.35%
01E	3363	2410	2163	2140	98.94%	23	1.06%
01F	1254	452	425	424	99.76%	1	0.24%
01G	1909	1102	1022	1007	98.53%	15	1.47%
01H	758	379	351	351	100.00%	0	0.00%
01J	2416	1184	1095	1093	99.82%	2	0.18%
01P	1244	542	502	501	99.80%	1	0.20%
01R	1344	491	449	449	100.00%	0	0.00%
01S	1749	823	768	765	99.61%	3	0.39%
01T	1506	796	729	724	99.31%	5	0.69%
02B	3518	1265	1151	1141	99.13%	10	0.87%
02C	2037	1205	1110	1098	98.92%	12	1.08%
02D	4475	2660	2446	2431	99.39%	15	0.61%
02E	1905	1423	1325	1317	99.40%	8	0.60%
02F1	2962	2033	1830	1807	98.74%	23	1.26%
02F2	788	458	418	417	99.76%	1	0.24%
02G	3443	2361	2172	2151	99.03%	21	0.97%
02J	2159	1432	1295	1282	99.00%	13	1.00%
02K	1419	951	851	843	99.06%	8	0.94%
02S	708	427	404	400	99.01%	4	0.99%
03P2	13	7	7	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
03T	1505	715	658	657	99.85%	1	0.15%
04B	2242	922	862	861	99.88%	1	0.12%
04F	2086	935	854	848	99.30%	6	0.70%
04G	828	312	285	284	99.65%	1	0.35%
04I	3362	561	515	504	97.86%	11	2.14%
04J	1230	317	303	302	99.67%	1	0.33%
04K	2339	1266	1143	1140	99.74%	3	0.26%
04M	773	292	276	274	99.28%	2	0.72%
04S	2165	1118	1013	1012	99.90%	1	0.10%
04T	1145	584	539	539	100.00%	0	0.00%
04V	2848	1211	1134	1133	99.91%	1	0.09%
04W	2203	820	797	796	99.87%	1	0.13%
05A1	2491	1728	1593	1583	99.37%	10	0.63%
05A2	2910	1998	1850	1835	99.19%	15	0.81%
05B	1763	901	802	797	99.38%	5	0.62%
05C	146	67	64	64	100.00%	0	0.00%
05D	386	93	87	87	100.00%	0	0.00%
05F	223	136	131	131	100.00%	0	0.00%
05J	639	416	380	376	98.95%	4	1.05%
05K	2600	1327	1264	1257	99.45%	7	0.55%
06B	1756	1238	1092	1073	98.26%	19	1.74%
06D	8568	6060	5179	5108	98.63%	71	1.37%
06F	2709	1757	1510	1483	98.21%	27	1.79%
06G	536	369	326	320	98.16%	6	1.84%
06J	2539	1904	1625	1595	98.15%	30	1.85%
12A	4828	2734	2527	2505	99.13%	22	0.87%
12D	1209	501	467	466	99.79%	1	0.21%
12E1	1621	591	526	523	99.43%	3	0.57%
12F	943	428	400	400	100.00%	0	0.00%
12G	800	430	375	375	100.00%	0	0.00%
12H1	1006	310	280	279	99.64%	1	0.36%
12H2	2562	1189	1095	1091	99.63%	4	0.37%
12J	2535	1108	1032	1028	99.61%	4	0.39%
12K	3022	1240	1121	1115	99.46%	6	0.54%
12L	613	238	220	220	100.00%	0	0.00%
12M	2533	1171	1101	1100	99.91%	1	0.09%
12N	907	327	296	296	100.00%	0	0.00%
12S	1794	959	878	875	99.66%	3	0.34%
CP01B	381	227	161	158	98.14%	3	1.86%
CP011	1135	617	530	517	97.55%	13	2.45%
CP012	1318	844	712	696	97.75%	16	2.25%
CP02	1000	553	492	486	98.78%	6	1.22%
CP04A	8	2	2	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP04B	39	14	13	13	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP05B	143	76	70	69	98.57%	1	1.43%
CP051	1612	874	815	813	99.75%	2	0.25%
CP06A	598	208	191	190	99.48%	1	0.52%
CP07B	7	2	2	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP07C	244	47	40	40	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP07D	694	208	194	193	99.48%	1	0.52%
CP07E	125	41	38	38	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP07F	184	55	49	49	100.00%	0	0.00%
EP02A	1967	890	835	830	99.40%	5	0.60%
EP02B	1449	977	856	846	98.83%	10	1.17%
EP02C	428	251	212	207	97.64%	5	2.36%
EP02E	1382	627	580	576	99.31%	4	0.69%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	STATE SENATE 36						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	N. ORROCK (I) D		Write-In Votes	
HP01	3212	1780	1461	1428	97.74%	33	2.26%
Total	138269	74985	67906	67327	99.15%	579	0.85%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE SENATE 38

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	T. KLAVOHN (R)		H. TATE (I)		Write-In Votes	
03B	560	181	172	8	4.65%	164	95.35%	0	0.00%
03C	1534	674	635	21	3.31%	614	96.69%	0	0.00%
03H	900	369	346	13	3.76%	333	96.24%	0	0.00%
03M	766	452	431	102	23.67%	328	76.10%	1	0.23%
03S	761	356	342	12	3.51%	330	96.49%	0	0.00%
08J	2981	2223	2127	1061	49.88%	1066	50.12%	0	0.00%
08K	973	702	677	294	43.43%	382	56.43%	1	0.15%
08L	4194	2706	2595	836	32.22%	1759	67.78%	0	0.00%
08P	1633	928	895	169	18.88%	724	80.89%	2	0.22%
09A	3338	2152	2078	528	25.41%	1547	74.45%	3	0.14%
09B	385	174	167	4	2.40%	163	97.60%	0	0.00%
09C	2954	1522	1459	37	2.54%	1422	97.46%	0	0.00%
09D	1879	858	806	23	2.85%	783	97.15%	0	0.00%
09E	2782	1420	1373	103	7.50%	1269	92.43%	1	0.07%
09G	3519	2282	2201	503	22.85%	1697	77.10%	1	0.05%
09H	1064	645	622	69	11.09%	553	88.91%	0	0.00%
09I	1194	503	472	20	4.24%	452	95.76%	0	0.00%
09K	4403	1851	1774	53	2.99%	1721	97.01%	0	0.00%
09M	2259	1611	1550	349	22.52%	1201	77.48%	0	0.00%
10A	2850	1622	1563	29	1.86%	1534	98.14%	0	0.00%
10B	3686	2464	2395	46	1.92%	2346	97.95%	3	0.13%
10C	2466	1168	1129	23	2.04%	1105	97.87%	1	0.09%
10D	4220	1850	1754	43	2.45%	1707	97.32%	4	0.23%
10E	950	524	507	17	3.35%	489	96.45%	1	0.20%
10F	1053	590	564	16	2.84%	548	97.16%	0	0.00%
10G	1205	813	791	15	1.90%	774	97.85%	2	0.25%
10H1	408	238	232	6	2.59%	226	97.41%	0	0.00%
10H2	2207	1032	983	19	1.93%	962	97.86%	2	0.20%
10I	329	258	252	6	2.38%	246	97.62%	0	0.00%
10J	1012	466	446	8	1.79%	437	97.98%	1	0.22%
10P	1364	668	647	23	3.55%	624	96.45%	0	0.00%
10R	713	347	330	3	0.91%	327	99.09%	0	0.00%
11B	5162	3088	2986	75	2.51%	2909	97.42%	2	0.07%
11C	2822	2003	1963	29	1.48%	1933	98.47%	1	0.05%
11E1	1141	634	615	16	2.60%	599	97.40%	0	0.00%
SC01A	20	25	23	2	8.70%	21	91.30%	0	0.00%
SC01B	1707	1295	1279	28	2.19%	1249	97.65%	2	0.16%
SC01C	2063	1513	1471	40	2.72%	1430	97.21%	1	0.07%
SC01D	294	162	158	3	1.90%	155	98.10%	0	0.00%
SC02	872	662	645	24	3.72%	621	96.28%	0	0.00%
SC14A	916	429	414	8	1.93%	406	98.07%	0	0.00%
SC14B	502	45	41	7	17.07%	34	82.93%	0	0.00%
SC16A	4601	3208	3157	59	1.87%	3097	98.10%	1	0.03%
SC16B	14	15	15	0	0.00%	15	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC30A	135	97	94	2	2.13%	92	97.87%	0	0.00%
SC30B	960	531	515	13	2.52%	501	97.28%	1	0.19%
Total	81751	47356	45691	4765	10.43%	40895	89.50%	31	0.07%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE SENATE 39

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	N. WILLIAMS (I) D		Write-In Votes	
02A	4584	2949	2560	2503	97.77%	57	2.23%
02L1	6213	3978	3531	3467	98.19%	64	1.81%
02L2	1364	782	685	674	98.39%	11	1.61%
02W	682	399	364	356	97.80%	8	2.20%
03A	1927	692	650	649	99.85%	1	0.15%
03D	1017	402	370	367	99.19%	3	0.81%
03E	2284	914	846	843	99.65%	3	0.35%
03F	1925	1115	1011	1002	99.11%	9	0.89%
03G	802	360	303	301	99.34%	2	0.66%
03I	1360	566	517	508	98.26%	9	1.74%
03L	594	229	204	203	99.51%	1	0.49%
03N	2288	938	874	871	99.66%	3	0.34%
03P1A	3882	1966	1797	1775	98.78%	22	1.22%
04A	1202	560	528	525	99.43%	3	0.57%
04C	136	54	52	52	100.00%	0	0.00%
04D	1481	714	657	655	99.70%	2	0.30%
04L	1655	867	808	804	99.50%	4	0.50%
04X1	1942	950	883	880	99.66%	3	0.34%
04X2	737	364	333	333	100.00%	0	0.00%
06E	2250	1439	1255	1223	97.45%	32	2.55%
06I	3412	1957	1804	1769	98.06%	35	1.94%
06L1	917	679	522	513	98.28%	9	1.72%
06L2	654	487	372	359	96.51%	13	3.49%
06N	1844	1085	816	789	96.69%	27	3.31%
06Q	527	295	281	280	99.64%	1	0.36%
06R	1836	1206	986	971	98.48%	15	1.52%
07E	2031	1358	1047	1007	96.18%	40	3.82%
07F	3017	2041	1437	1392	96.87%	45	3.13%
07H	1923	1424	944	907	96.08%	37	3.92%
07J	2647	1753	1327	1289	97.14%	38	2.86%
07M	2155	1180	913	881	96.50%	32	3.50%
07N	1946	1044	910	897	98.57%	13	1.43%
08G	2787	1669	1161	1112	95.78%	49	4.22%
08H	2465	1874	1091	1029	94.32%	62	5.68%
10K	1415	858	790	788	99.75%	2	0.25%
10M	2529	1414	1326	1322	99.70%	4	0.30%
11E2	847	497	465	465	100.00%	0	0.00%
11E3	3124	1835	1773	1768	99.72%	5	0.28%
11G	2092	855	799	798	99.87%	1	0.13%
11H	677	356	323	323	100.00%	0	0.00%
11J	1827	1018	950	950	100.00%	0	0.00%
11K	1818	1104	1038	1033	99.52%	5	0.48%
11M	3039	1659	1584	1575	99.43%	9	0.57%
11N	2166	1358	1285	1279	99.53%	6	0.47%
11P	1833	1020	948	948	100.00%	0	0.00%
11R	1849	672	623	622	99.84%	1	0.16%
12I	970	413	373	372	99.73%	1	0.27%
CP081	343	77	74	74	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP083	219	25	24	24	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP084	3	1	1	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP08A	705	175	165	165	100.00%	0	0.00%
EP01A	2609	1464	1334	1320	98.95%	14	1.05%
EP01B	3038	1539	1428	1419	99.37%	9	0.63%
EP02D	326	192	174	172	98.85%	2	1.15%
EP03A	4293	2512	2349	2338	99.53%	11	0.47%
EP03B	1421	973	916	914	99.78%	2	0.22%
EP04A	5432	2626	2529	2522	99.72%	7	0.28%
EP04B	2024	1203	1172	1170	99.83%	2	0.17%
SC08B	3382	2008	1910	1909	99.95%	1	0.05%
SC08C	74	53	50	50	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08D	499	314	306	306	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08F	700	366	348	348	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08G	12	8	7	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08H	4	3	3	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC09A	1628	839	794	792	99.75%	2	0.25%
SC09B	1687	1084	1037	1036	99.90%	1	0.10%
SC09C	1566	842	798	794	99.50%	4	0.50%
SC10	1678	661	633	632	99.84%	1	0.16%
SC11A	1411	813	784	783	99.87%	1	0.13%
SC11B	1506	878	840	839	99.88%	1	0.12%
SC17A	55	42	42	42	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC17B	7	4	3	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC17C	1134	669	637	635	99.69%	2	0.31%
SC19A	195	129	126	125	99.21%	1	0.79%
SC19B	1267	690	650	648	99.69%	2	0.31%
SC23A	3161	1730	1668	1667	99.94%	1	0.06%
SC23B	483	284	277	277	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC23C	2252	1302	1240	1238	99.84%	2	0.16%
SC27	2129	1259	1207	1204	99.75%	3	0.25%
UC01B	395	210	201	201	100.00%	0	0.00%
UC01D	6	2	2	2	100.00%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE SENATE 39

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	N. WILLIAMS (I) D		Write-In Votes	
UC01E	285	206	204	204	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	136601	76532	68049	67293	98.89%	756	1.11%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE SENATE 40

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	F. MILLAR (I) R		S. HARRELL (D)		Write-In Votes	
SS01	4487	3270	3210	2028	63.18%	1182	36.82%	0	0.00%
SS17	3397	2229	2196	1172	53.37%	1024	46.63%	0	0.00%
Total	7884	5499	5406	3200	59.19%	2206	40.81%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE SENATE 48

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	M. REEVES (R)		Z. KARINSHAK (D)		Write-In Votes	
AP09B	810	531	521	220	42.23%	301	57.77%	0	0.00%
JC01	4977	3215	3146	1307	41.54%	1838	58.42%	1	0.03%
JC02	2460	1474	1446	683	47.23%	763	52.77%	0	0.00%
JC03A	540	384	377	184	48.81%	193	51.19%	0	0.00%
JC03B	1448	955	933	382	40.94%	549	58.84%	2	0.21%
JC04A	4042	2692	2622	1295	49.39%	1325	50.53%	2	0.08%
JC04B	255	156	147	68	46.26%	79	53.74%	0	0.00%
JC05	2136	1391	1365	642	47.03%	723	52.97%	0	0.00%
JC06	2627	1580	1554	896	57.66%	658	42.34%	0	0.00%
JC07	4083	2627	2568	1196	46.57%	1372	53.43%	0	0.00%
JC08	2737	1870	1829	932	50.96%	896	48.99%	1	0.05%
JC09	2928	1878	1853	790	42.63%	1063	57.37%	0	0.00%
JC10	2392	1507	1475	613	41.56%	861	58.37%	1	0.07%
JC11	3186	2085	2052	1182	57.60%	870	42.40%	0	0.00%
JC12	2455	1753	1717	1170	68.14%	547	31.86%	0	0.00%
JC13B	271	180	174	143	82.18%	31	17.82%	0	0.00%
Total	37347	24278	23779	11703	49.22%	12069	50.75%	7	0.03%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE SENATE 56

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	J. ALBERS (I) R		E. JEAGER (D)		Write-In Votes	
AP01A	4724	3141	3083	1652	53.58%	1430	46.38%	1	0.03%
AP01B	913	516	507	244	48.13%	263	51.87%	0	0.00%
AP01C	2233	1498	1478	819	55.41%	659	44.59%	0	0.00%
AP01D	915	539	526	232	44.11%	294	55.89%	0	0.00%
AP02B	474	263	257	131	50.97%	126	49.03%	0	0.00%
AP03	741	314	308	76	24.68%	232	75.32%	0	0.00%
AP04A	1647	1183	1156	733	63.41%	423	36.59%	0	0.00%
AP04B	415	290	287	171	59.58%	116	40.42%	0	0.00%
AP021	2994	1963	1919	1050	54.72%	868	45.23%	1	0.05%
AP022	2210	1429	1386	695	50.14%	691	49.86%	0	0.00%
AP14	2972	2027	1993	1066	53.49%	927	46.51%	0	0.00%
JC13A	2115	1462	1431	985	68.83%	446	31.17%	0	0.00%
JC14	2477	1634	1606	950	59.15%	656	40.85%	0	0.00%
JC15	834	523	511	208	40.70%	303	59.30%	0	0.00%
JC16	2496	1729	1682	871	51.78%	809	48.10%	2	0.12%
JC18	2670	1969	1933	1120	57.94%	813	42.06%	0	0.00%
JC19	3759	2528	2478	1254	50.61%	1221	49.27%	3	0.12%
ML011	2439	1681	1649	1047	63.49%	602	36.51%	0	0.00%
ML012	485	338	331	238	71.90%	93	28.10%	0	0.00%
ML01B	138	96	93	60	64.52%	33	35.48%	0	0.00%
MP01	450	323	319	153	47.96%	166	52.04%	0	0.00%
RW01	4717	3355	3291	2043	62.08%	1246	37.86%	2	0.06%
RW02	3794	2675	2629	1444	54.93%	1185	45.07%	0	0.00%
RW03	4510	2745	2688	1234	45.91%	1454	54.09%	0	0.00%
RW04	1803	1359	1341	705	52.57%	635	47.35%	1	0.07%
RW05	2322	1207	1190	418	35.13%	772	64.87%	0	0.00%
RW06	2820	1914	1877	931	49.60%	944	50.29%	2	0.11%
RW07A	1549	677	664	98	14.76%	566	85.24%	0	0.00%
RW07B	388	214	208	54	25.96%	154	74.04%	0	0.00%
RW08	2138	1462	1425	960	67.37%	465	32.63%	0	0.00%
RW09	5918	4216	4157	2801	67.38%	1352	32.52%	4	0.10%
RW10	3347	2376	2334	1449	62.08%	883	37.83%	2	0.09%
RW11A	2667	1693	1661	827	49.79%	833	50.15%	1	0.06%
RW12	5944	4234	4166	2609	62.63%	1557	37.37%	0	0.00%
RW13	3555	2476	2428	1317	54.24%	1109	45.68%	2	0.08%
RW16	1850	1275	1256	744	59.24%	512	40.76%	0	0.00%
RW17	2794	1773	1741	699	40.15%	1041	59.79%	1	0.06%
RW19	1993	1434	1412	926	65.58%	486	34.42%	0	0.00%
RW20	2486	1797	1769	1004	56.76%	763	43.13%	2	0.11%
RW21	3144	2169	2131	1369	64.24%	761	35.71%	1	0.05%
RW22A	4406	2486	2446	951	38.88%	1492	61.00%	3	0.12%
SS02A	1120	755	743	319	42.93%	424	57.07%	0	0.00%
SS15A	2572	1199	1181	175	14.82%	1005	85.10%	1	0.08%
SS15B	1579	902	885	281	31.75%	604	68.25%	0	0.00%
SS19A	3016	1683	1649	420	25.47%	1227	74.41%	2	0.12%
SS19B	2032	1226	1203	383	31.84%	818	68.00%	2	0.17%
SS20	2060	1159	1136	396	34.86%	740	65.14%	0	0.00%
SS22	2714	1613	1581	688	43.52%	893	56.48%	0	0.00%
SS26	3552	1822	1786	341	19.09%	1445	80.91%	0	0.00%
Total	118891	77342	75911	39341	51.83%	36537	48.13%	33	0.04%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE HOUSE 22

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	W. CANTRELL (I) R		C. RAVENSCRAFT (D)		Write-In Votes	
ML021	1991	1369	1343	1033	76.92%	310	23.08%	0	0.00%
ML022	241	148	144	115	79.86%	29	20.14%	0	0.00%
ML07A	707	472	461	321	69.63%	140	30.37%	0	0.00%
Total	2939	1989	1948	1469	75.41%	479	24.59%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE HOUSE 25

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	T. JONES (I) R		A. H. TUCKER (D)		Write-In Votes	
JC03A	540	384	373	181	48.53%	192	51.47%	0	0.00%
JC04A	4042	2692	2613	1275	48.79%	1337	51.17%	1	0.04%
Total	4582	3076	2986	1456	48.76%	1529	51.21%	1	0.03%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE HOUSE 40

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	M. BENTLEY (R)		E. E. ALLEN (D)		Write-In Votes	
08A	2799	1949	1910	1043	54.61%	867	45.39%	0	0.00%
Total	2799	1949	1910	1043	54.61%	867	45.39%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE HOUSE 45

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	M. DOLLAR (I) R		E. JOHNSON (D)		Write-In Votes	
SS19A	3016	1683	1647	417	25.32%	1228	74.56%	2	0.12%
SS22	2714	1613	1570	690	43.95%	879	55.99%	1	0.06%
Total	5730	3296	3217	1107	34.41%	2107	65.50%	3	0.09%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE HOUSE 47

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	J. JONES (I) R		A. NUGENT (D)		Write-In Votes	
AP04A	1647	1183	1156	732	63.32%	424	36.68%	0	0.00%
AP04B	415	290	283	164	57.95%	119	42.05%	0	0.00%
AP07B	1650	1144	1116	637	57.08%	479	42.92%	0	0.00%
AP12B	747	428	414	139	33.57%	275	66.43%	0	0.00%
ML011	2439	1681	1640	1056	64.39%	584	35.61%	0	0.00%
ML012	485	338	333	247	74.17%	86	25.83%	0	0.00%
ML01B	138	96	95	62	65.26%	33	34.74%	0	0.00%
ML023	1542	1027	1002	745	74.35%	257	25.65%	0	0.00%
ML024	113	70	69	63	91.30%	6	8.70%	0	0.00%
ML03	3757	2575	2528	1843	72.90%	684	27.06%	1	0.04%
ML04A	1747	1176	1154	730	63.26%	421	36.48%	3	0.26%
ML04B	1206	838	830	611	73.61%	219	26.39%	0	0.00%
ML04C	79	66	65	48	73.85%	17	26.15%	0	0.00%
ML05A	39	27	27	18	66.67%	9	33.33%	0	0.00%
ML05B	381	250	242	173	71.49%	69	28.51%	0	0.00%
ML05C	4023	2615	2559	1383	54.04%	1176	45.96%	0	0.00%
ML06A	1973	1024	1007	360	35.75%	647	64.25%	0	0.00%
ML06B	2775	1734	1698	659	38.81%	1039	61.19%	0	0.00%
ML071	105	73	73	54	73.97%	19	26.03%	0	0.00%
ML072	1249	857	840	597	71.07%	241	28.69%	2	0.24%
MP01	450	323	319	152	47.65%	167	52.35%	0	0.00%
RW09	5918	4216	4143	2788	67.29%	1354	32.68%	1	0.02%
RW12	5944	4234	4138	2556	61.77%	1582	38.23%	0	0.00%
RW19	1993	1434	1398	901	64.45%	496	35.48%	1	0.07%
Total	40815	27699	27129	16718	61.62%	10403	38.35%	8	0.03%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE HOUSE 48

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	B. PRICE (I) R		M. ROBICHAUX (D)		Write-In Votes	
AP02B	474	263	257	131	50.97%	126	49.03%	0	0.00%
AP03	741	314	308	70	22.73%	238	77.27%	0	0.00%
RW01	4717	3355	3286	1945	59.19%	1337	40.69%	4	0.12%
RW02	3794	2675	2622	1365	52.06%	1253	47.79%	4	0.15%
RW03	4510	2745	2695	1186	44.01%	1508	55.96%	1	0.04%
RW05	2322	1207	1185	419	35.36%	766	64.64%	0	0.00%
RW07A	1549	677	661	93	14.07%	568	85.93%	0	0.00%
RW07B	388	214	211	51	24.17%	160	75.83%	0	0.00%
RW08	2138	1462	1423	911	64.02%	511	35.91%	1	0.07%
RW10	3347	2376	2343	1378	58.81%	961	41.02%	4	0.17%
RW11A	2667	1693	1656	785	47.40%	869	52.48%	2	0.12%
RW13	3555	2476	2429	1225	50.43%	1203	49.53%	1	0.04%
RW16	1850	1275	1251	715	57.15%	535	42.77%	1	0.08%
RW17	2794	1773	1746	678	38.83%	1067	61.11%	1	0.06%
Total	34846	22505	22073	10952	49.62%	11102	50.30%	19	0.09%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE HOUSE 49

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	C. E. MARTIN (I) R		K. A. BRALLEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
AP01A	4724	3141	3083	1671	54.20%	1411	45.77%	1	0.03%
AP01B	913	516	511	245	47.95%	266	52.05%	0	0.00%
AP01C	2233	1498	1477	822	55.65%	655	44.35%	0	0.00%
AP01D	915	539	521	223	42.80%	298	57.20%	0	0.00%
AP05	4367	2981	2925	1769	60.48%	1154	39.45%	2	0.07%
AP06	1827	1309	1271	832	65.46%	439	34.54%	0	0.00%
AP07A	3422	2102	2049	1112	54.27%	934	45.58%	3	0.15%
AP09A	4051	2687	2628	1348	51.29%	1279	48.67%	1	0.04%
AP09B	810	531	519	216	41.62%	303	58.38%	0	0.00%
AP021	2994	1963	1923	1086	56.47%	834	43.37%	3	0.16%
AP022	2210	1429	1383	702	50.76%	681	49.24%	0	0.00%
AP10	942	681	663	420	63.35%	243	36.65%	0	0.00%
AP12A	658	374	365	136	37.26%	229	62.74%	0	0.00%
AP12C	1584	1005	984	551	56.00%	433	44.00%	0	0.00%
AP14	2972	2027	1978	1055	53.34%	923	46.66%	0	0.00%
JC04B	255	156	147	68	46.26%	79	53.74%	0	0.00%
JC15	834	523	506	207	40.91%	299	59.09%	0	0.00%
JC16	2496	1729	1682	871	51.78%	808	48.04%	3	0.18%
Total	38207	25191	24615	13334	54.17%	11268	45.78%	13	0.05%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE HOUSE 50

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	K. STEWART (R)		A. KAUSCHE (D)		Write-In Votes	
JC01	4977	3215	3150	1286	40.83%	1862	59.11%	2	0.06%
JC02	2460	1474	1440	659	45.76%	781	54.24%	0	0.00%
JC03B	1448	955	932	371	39.81%	560	60.09%	1	0.11%
JC05	2136	1391	1362	633	46.48%	729	53.52%	0	0.00%
JC06	2627	1580	1551	890	57.38%	661	42.62%	0	0.00%
JC07	4083	2627	2575	1168	45.36%	1406	54.60%	1	0.04%
JC08	2737	1870	1829	919	50.25%	909	49.70%	1	0.05%
JC09	2928	1878	1857	775	41.73%	1080	58.16%	2	0.11%
JC10	2392	1507	1477	591	40.01%	885	59.92%	1	0.07%
JC11	3186	2085	2044	1164	56.95%	879	43.00%	1	0.05%
JC12	2455	1753	1723	1172	68.02%	551	31.98%	0	0.00%
JC13B	271	180	173	136	78.61%	37	21.39%	0	0.00%
JC14	2477	1634	1610	934	58.01%	675	41.93%	1	0.06%
Total	34177	22149	21723	10698	49.25%	11015	50.71%	10	0.05%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE HOUSE 51

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	A. B. KAUFMAN (R)		J. MCLAURIN (D)		Write-In Votes	
JC18	2670	1969	1937	1124	58.03%	813	41.97%	0	0.00%
JC19	3759	2528	2476	1269	51.25%	1206	48.71%	1	0.04%
RW04	1803	1359	1330	697	52.41%	633	47.59%	0	0.00%
RW06	2820	1914	1870	934	49.95%	935	50.00%	1	0.05%
RW20	2486	1797	1770	1007	56.89%	761	42.99%	2	0.11%
RW21	3144	2169	2136	1419	66.43%	717	33.57%	0	0.00%
RW22A	4406	2486	2438	946	38.80%	1489	61.07%	3	0.12%
SS01	4487	3270	3187	2000	62.75%	1187	37.25%	0	0.00%
SS15A	2572	1199	1179	175	14.84%	1004	85.16%	0	0.00%
SS15B	1579	902	887	287	32.36%	599	67.53%	1	0.11%
SS17	3397	2229	2183	1167	53.46%	1016	46.54%	0	0.00%
SS20	2060	1159	1136	402	35.39%	734	64.61%	0	0.00%
SS26	3552	1822	1777	340	19.13%	1437	80.87%	0	0.00%
Total	38735	24803	24306	11767	48.41%	12531	51.56%	8	0.03%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE HOUSE 52

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	D. SILCOX (I) R		S. ROBERTS (D)		Write-In Votes	
08B	3199	2255	2202	1371	62.26%	831	37.74%	0	0.00%
08C	1392	984	957	693	72.41%	264	27.59%	0	0.00%
08D	1022	770	760	556	73.16%	204	26.84%	0	0.00%
08N1	767	587	576	400	69.44%	176	30.56%	0	0.00%
SS02A	1120	755	746	332	44.50%	413	55.36%	1	0.13%
SS02B	1255	756	745	200	26.85%	545	73.15%	0	0.00%
SS03	1464	1027	991	473	47.73%	517	52.17%	1	0.10%
SS04	3264	2064	2002	750	37.46%	1252	62.54%	0	0.00%
SS05	1746	1376	1348	744	55.19%	604	44.81%	0	0.00%
SS06	1397	1073	1057	614	58.09%	443	41.91%	0	0.00%
SS07A	968	683	667	383	57.42%	284	42.58%	0	0.00%
SS07B	609	405	396	178	44.95%	218	55.05%	0	0.00%
SS08B	635	453	442	244	55.20%	198	44.80%	0	0.00%
SS08C	632	393	375	117	31.20%	258	68.80%	0	0.00%
SS09A	3003	2210	2164	1306	60.35%	857	39.60%	1	0.05%
SS09B	3358	2452	2402	1452	60.45%	950	39.55%	0	0.00%
SS11A	3655	2227	2166	931	42.98%	1232	56.88%	3	0.14%
SS11B	1257	707	688	216	31.40%	472	68.60%	0	0.00%
SS11D	365	254	247	147	59.51%	100	40.49%	0	0.00%
SS13A	1633	1072	1045	521	49.86%	524	50.14%	0	0.00%
SS13B	1001	629	617	320	51.86%	296	47.97%	1	0.16%
SS16	2030	1534	1509	934	61.90%	575	38.10%	0	0.00%
SS18A	619	454	447	242	54.14%	205	45.86%	0	0.00%
SS18B	221	162	156	81	51.92%	75	48.08%	0	0.00%
SS19B	2032	1226	1201	388	32.31%	812	67.61%	1	0.08%
SS31	1345	938	916	425	46.40%	491	53.60%	0	0.00%
Total	39989	27446	26822	14018	52.26%	12796	47.71%	8	0.03%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE HOUSE 53

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	S. JONES (I) D		Write-In Votes	
08E	2429	1864	1137	1079	94.90%	58	5.10%
09A	3338	2152	1837	1788	97.33%	49	2.67%
09B	385	174	165	165	100.00%	0	0.00%
09C	2954	1522	1428	1425	99.79%	3	0.21%
09D	1879	858	781	781	100.00%	0	0.00%
09E	2782	1420	1306	1295	99.16%	11	0.84%
09F	3619	2526	1798	1736	96.55%	62	3.45%
09K	4403	1851	1729	1719	99.42%	10	0.58%
09M	2259	1611	1381	1354	98.04%	27	1.96%
10D	4220	1850	1728	1725	99.83%	3	0.17%
10E	950	524	493	489	99.19%	4	0.81%
10F	1053	590	544	542	99.63%	2	0.37%
10H1	408	238	229	229	100.00%	0	0.00%
10P	1364	668	624	622	99.68%	2	0.32%
10R	713	347	324	324	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	32756	18195	15504	15273	98.51%	231	1.49%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE HOUSE 54

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	B. BESKIN (I) R		B. HOLLAND (D)		Write-In Votes	
06I	3412	1957	1908	301	15.78%	1605	84.12%	2	0.10%
06Q	527	295	291	28	9.62%	263	90.38%	0	0.00%
07A	6600	4093	3972	1886	47.48%	2084	52.47%	2	0.05%
07B	2763	2107	2078	1409	67.81%	669	32.19%	0	0.00%
07C	3927	2498	2435	1182	48.54%	1253	51.46%	0	0.00%
07D	4231	2413	2339	1041	44.51%	1296	55.41%	2	0.09%
07E	2031	1358	1320	469	35.53%	851	64.47%	0	0.00%
07F	3017	2041	1996	932	46.69%	1064	53.31%	0	0.00%
07H	1923	1424	1399	705	50.39%	693	49.54%	1	0.07%
07M	2155	1180	1158	435	37.56%	722	62.35%	1	0.09%
07N	1946	1044	1004	193	19.22%	811	80.78%	0	0.00%
08F1	2071	1628	1606	1165	72.54%	440	27.40%	1	0.06%
08G	2787	1669	1627	744	45.73%	883	54.27%	0	0.00%
08H	2465	1874	1830	1127	61.58%	702	38.36%	1	0.05%
08J	2981	2223	2183	1219	55.84%	964	44.16%	0	0.00%
08M	1907	1358	1325	882	66.57%	442	33.36%	1	0.08%
08N2	1391	927	905	461	50.94%	444	49.06%	0	0.00%
Total	46134	30089	29376	14179	48.27%	15186	51.70%	11	0.04%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE HOUSE 55

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	M. R. METZE (I) D		Write-In Votes	
03H	900	369	330	330	100.00%	0	0.00%
03M	766	452	374	363	97.06%	11	2.94%
03S	761	356	332	332	100.00%	0	0.00%
06L2	654	487	366	356	97.27%	10	2.73%
06N	1844	1085	818	799	97.68%	19	2.32%
07J	2647	1753	1314	1281	97.49%	33	2.51%
08K	973	702	518	503	97.10%	15	2.90%
08L	4194	2706	2155	2097	97.31%	58	2.69%
08P	1633	928	806	790	98.01%	16	1.99%
09G	3519	2282	1956	1926	98.47%	30	1.53%
09H	1064	645	594	589	99.16%	5	0.84%
09I	1194	503	443	441	99.55%	2	0.45%
10B	3686	2464	2316	2312	99.83%	4	0.17%
10H2	2207	1032	953	947	99.37%	6	0.63%
11B	5162	3088	2867	2855	99.58%	12	0.42%
11C	2822	2003	1918	1917	99.95%	1	0.05%
11E2	847	497	471	471	100.00%	0	0.00%
11M	3039	1659	1575	1568	99.56%	7	0.44%
11N	2166	1358	1279	1275	99.69%	4	0.31%
11P	1833	1020	944	943	99.89%	1	0.11%
SC02	872	662	627	627	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC30A	135	97	89	89	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC30B	960	531	500	499	99.80%	1	0.20%
Total	43878	26679	23545	23310	99.00%	235	1.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE HOUSE 56

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	A. M. THOMAS (I) D		Write-In Votes	
02L2	1364	782	677	668	98.67%	9	1.33%
02W	682	399	366	357	97.54%	9	2.46%
03A	1927	692	645	642	99.53%	3	0.47%
03B	560	181	163	162	99.39%	1	0.61%
03C	1534	674	620	615	99.19%	5	0.81%
03D	1017	402	373	369	98.93%	4	1.07%
03E	2284	914	853	839	98.36%	14	1.64%
03F	1925	1115	1000	995	99.50%	5	0.50%
03G	802	360	313	310	99.04%	3	0.96%
03I	1360	566	517	510	98.65%	7	1.35%
03L	594	229	200	200	100.00%	0	0.00%
03N	2288	938	872	847	97.13%	25	2.87%
03P1A	3882	1966	1784	1766	98.99%	18	1.01%
03P2	13	7	6	6	100.00%	0	0.00%
03T	1505	715	667	662	99.25%	5	0.75%
04A	1202	560	521	516	99.04%	5	0.96%
04C	136	54	53	53	100.00%	0	0.00%
04D	1481	714	668	665	99.55%	3	0.45%
04F	2086	935	857	847	98.83%	10	1.17%
04J	1230	317	287	285	99.30%	2	0.70%
04L	1655	867	805	799	99.25%	6	0.75%
04X2	737	364	331	329	99.40%	2	0.60%
06E	2250	1439	1242	1213	97.67%	29	2.33%
06L1	917	679	513	501	97.66%	12	2.34%
06R	1836	1206	958	944	98.54%	14	1.46%
10G	1205	813	771	767	99.48%	4	0.52%
10J	1012	466	444	444	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	37484	18354	16506	16311	98.82%	195	1.18%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE HOUSE 57

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	P. GARDNER (I) D		Write-In Votes	
02A	4584	2949	2564	2512	97.97%	52	2.03%
02B	3518	1265	1154	1144	99.13%	10	0.87%
02L1	6213	3978	3532	3473	98.33%	59	1.67%
04B	2242	922	864	863	99.88%	1	0.12%
04G	828	312	285	284	99.65%	1	0.35%
04I	3362	561	511	500	97.85%	11	2.15%
04K	2339	1266	1160	1156	99.66%	4	0.34%
04S	2165	1118	1021	1020	99.90%	1	0.10%
04T	1145	584	542	542	100.00%	0	0.00%
04V	2848	1211	1135	1133	99.82%	2	0.18%
04W	2203	820	784	782	99.74%	2	0.26%
04X1	1942	950	881	877	99.55%	4	0.45%
05D	386	93	88	88	100.00%	0	0.00%
06D	8568	6060	5189	5122	98.71%	67	1.29%
06J	2539	1904	1637	1609	98.29%	28	1.71%
10I	329	258	243	242	99.59%	1	0.41%
10K	1415	858	787	785	99.75%	2	0.25%
10M	2529	1414	1322	1316	99.55%	6	0.45%
11H	677	356	326	326	100.00%	0	0.00%
12K	3022	1240	1117	1112	99.55%	5	0.45%
Total	52854	28119	25142	24886	98.98%	256	1.02%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE HOUSE 58

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	P. CANNON (I) D		Write-In Votes	
01D	511	307	275	273	99.27%	2	0.73%
01F	1254	452	431	430	99.77%	1	0.23%
01H	758	379	351	351	100.00%	0	0.00%
02C	2037	1205	1109	1099	99.10%	10	0.90%
02D	4475	2660	2443	2423	99.18%	20	0.82%
02F2	788	458	414	413	99.76%	1	0.24%
02G	3443	2361	2151	2133	99.16%	18	0.84%
02J	2159	1432	1292	1277	98.84%	15	1.16%
02K	1419	951	844	837	99.17%	7	0.83%
02S	708	427	397	394	99.24%	3	0.76%
04M	773	292	277	275	99.28%	2	0.72%
05A1	2491	1728	1588	1579	99.43%	9	0.57%
05B	1763	901	800	795	99.38%	5	0.63%
05C	146	67	64	64	100.00%	0	0.00%
05F	223	136	130	130	100.00%	0	0.00%
05J	639	416	381	378	99.21%	3	0.79%
05K	2600	1327	1252	1249	99.76%	3	0.24%
06B	1756	1238	1084	1064	98.15%	20	1.85%
06F	2709	1757	1504	1480	98.40%	24	1.60%
06G	536	369	320	314	98.13%	6	1.88%
11G	2092	855	790	790	100.00%	0	0.00%
11K	1818	1104	1030	1025	99.51%	5	0.49%
11R	1849	672	615	615	100.00%	0	0.00%
12A	4828	2734	2522	2507	99.41%	15	0.59%
12I	970	413	377	377	100.00%	0	0.00%
12L	613	238	223	223	100.00%	0	0.00%
12N	907	327	287	286	99.65%	1	0.35%
12S	1794	959	883	882	99.89%	1	0.11%
Total	46059	26165	23834	23663	99.28%	171	0.72%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE HOUSE 59

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	D. DREYER (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide							
01A	3199	2362	2186	2165	99.04%	21	0.96%
01B	3839	2737	2489	2469	99.20%	20	0.80%
01C	1459	612	576	575	99.83%	1	0.17%
01E	3363	2410	2155	2137	99.16%	18	0.84%
01G	1909	1102	1015	1007	99.21%	8	0.79%
01J	2416	1184	1090	1090	100.00%	0	0.00%
01P	1244	542	499	499	100.00%	0	0.00%
01R	1344	491	451	451	100.00%	0	0.00%
01T	1506	796	729	726	99.59%	3	0.41%
02E	1905	1423	1312	1307	99.62%	5	0.38%
02F1	2962	2033	1825	1808	99.07%	17	0.93%
05A2	2910	1998	1836	1817	98.97%	19	1.03%
12E1	1621	591	529	526	99.43%	3	0.57%
12F	943	428	399	399	100.00%	0	0.00%
12G	800	430	380	380	100.00%	0	0.00%
12H1	1006	310	281	280	99.64%	1	0.36%
EP02A	1967	890	828	824	99.52%	4	0.48%
EP02B	1449	977	857	847	98.83%	10	1.17%
HP01	3212	1780	1452	1421	97.87%	31	2.13%
Total	39054	23096	20889	20728	99.23%	161	0.77%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE HOUSE 60

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	K. SCHOFIELD (I) D		Write-In Votes	
01S	1749	823	768	765	99.61%	3	0.39%
11J	1827	1018	955	954	99.90%	1	0.10%
12D	1209	501	471	470	99.79%	1	0.21%
12H2	2562	1189	1104	1100	99.64%	4	0.36%
12J	2535	1108	1040	1035	99.52%	5	0.48%
12M	2533	1171	1118	1116	99.82%	2	0.18%
CP01B	381	227	159	157	98.74%	2	1.26%
CP02	1000	553	484	479	98.97%	5	1.03%
CP04A	8	2	2	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP04B	39	14	13	13	100.00%	0	0.00%
EP01A	2609	1464	1335	1322	99.03%	13	0.97%
EP01B	3038	1539	1434	1425	99.37%	9	0.63%
EP02C	428	251	209	205	98.09%	4	1.91%
EP02D	326	192	176	174	98.86%	2	1.14%
EP02E	1382	627	577	574	99.48%	3	0.52%
EP03A	4293	2512	2343	2334	99.62%	9	0.38%
Total	25919	13191	12188	12125	99.48%	63	0.52%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE HOUSE 61

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	R. BRUCE (I) D		Write-In Votes	
10A	2850	1622	1507	1503	99.73%	4	0.27%
10C	2466	1168	1079	1078	99.91%	1	0.09%
11E1	1141	634	598	596	99.67%	2	0.33%
11E3	3124	1835	1772	1765	99.60%	7	0.40%
SC01A	20	25	22	22	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC01B	1707	1295	1241	1236	99.60%	5	0.40%
SC01C	2063	1513	1424	1416	99.44%	8	0.56%
SC01D	294	162	158	158	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC14A	916	429	401	400	99.75%	1	0.25%
SC14B	502	45	38	38	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC16A	4601	3208	3072	3057	99.51%	15	0.49%
SC16B	14	15	15	15	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC18A	510	329	317	317	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	20208	12280	11644	11601	99.63%	43	0.37%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE HOUSE 62

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	W. K. BODDIE JR (I)D		Write-In Votes	
CP011	1135	617	529	519	98.11%	10	1.89%
CP012	1318	844	719	703	97.77%	16	2.23%
EP03B	1421	973	910	909	99.89%	1	0.11%
EP04A	5432	2626	2531	2523	99.68%	8	0.32%
EP04B	2024	1203	1166	1163	99.74%	3	0.26%
SC05B	3204	2188	2089	2084	99.76%	5	0.24%
SC05D	2171	1428	1367	1366	99.93%	1	0.07%
SC05E	623	434	376	371	98.67%	5	1.33%
SC08C	74	53	51	51	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC15	6193	4213	4035	4023	99.70%	12	0.30%
SC17A	55	42	42	42	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC18B	663	462	444	440	99.10%	4	0.90%
SC18C	1850	1352	1290	1286	99.69%	4	0.31%
SC19A	195	129	127	126	99.21%	1	0.79%
SC20	2197	1665	1621	1614	99.57%	7	0.43%
UC01E	285	206	205	205	100.00%	0	0.00%
UC02B	30	19	14	14	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	28870	18454	17516	17439	99.56%	77	0.44%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE HOUSE 63

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	D. BAZEMORE (I) D		Write-In Votes	
CP05B	143	76	70	70	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP06A	598	208	195	194	99.49%	1	0.51%
CP07B	7	2	2	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP081	343	77	73	73	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP083	219	25	24	24	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP084	3	1	1	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP08A	705	175	162	162	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08D	499	314	305	305	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC09A	1628	839	789	787	99.75%	2	0.25%
SC09C	1566	842	787	784	99.62%	3	0.38%
SC10	1678	661	626	626	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC11A	1411	813	781	780	99.87%	1	0.13%
SC23A	3161	1730	1655	1653	99.88%	2	0.12%
SC23C	2252	1302	1243	1241	99.84%	2	0.16%
SC27	2129	1259	1210	1207	99.75%	3	0.25%
UC01B	395	210	201	201	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	16737	8534	8124	8110	99.83%	14	0.17%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE HOUSE 64

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	D. L. JACKSON (I) D		Write-In Votes	
CP051	1612	874	819	817	99.76%	2	0.24%
CP07C	244	47	44	44	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP07D	694	208	194	193	99.48%	1	0.52%
CP07E	125	41	36	36	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP07F	184	55	49	49	100.00%	0	0.00%
FA01C	681	401	377	372	98.67%	5	1.33%
SC08B	3382	2008	1903	1901	99.89%	2	0.11%
SC08E	562	316	305	304	99.67%	1	0.33%
SC09B	1687	1084	1038	1037	99.90%	1	0.10%
SC11B	1506	878	841	841	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC17C	1134	669	636	634	99.69%	2	0.31%
SC19B	1267	690	654	652	99.69%	2	0.31%
SC211	1328	841	802	801	99.88%	1	0.12%
SC212	3378	1947	1839	1833	99.67%	6	0.33%
SC23B	483	284	274	274	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC29A	2784	1544	1478	1473	99.66%	5	0.34%
UC031	2399	1203	1018	1013	99.51%	5	0.49%
UC032	292	186	159	159	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	23742	13276	12466	12433	99.74%	33	0.26%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE HOUSE 65

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	BEASLEY-TEAGUE (I) D		Write-In Votes	
CH01	330	164	131	128	97.71%	3	2.29%
CH02	319	202	129	122	94.57%	7	5.43%
CH03	379	250	137	128	93.43%	9	6.57%
CH04A	647	444	263	251	95.44%	12	4.56%
CH05	407	260	134	126	94.03%	8	5.97%
FA01A	3574	2126	1938	1931	99.64%	7	0.36%
FA01B	4833	2965	2712	2701	99.59%	11	0.41%
PA01	2509	1330	1100	1086	98.73%	14	1.27%
SC04	922	616	472	462	97.88%	10	2.12%
SC05A	646	409	397	396	99.75%	1	0.25%
SC07A	5595	3698	3445	3423	99.36%	22	0.64%
SC07C	29	10	9	9	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08F	700	366	342	341	99.71%	1	0.29%
SC08G	12	8	8	8	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08H	4	3	3	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC13	2465	1636	1553	1542	99.29%	11	0.71%
SC17B	7	4	3	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
UC01A	3622	1715	1638	1635	99.82%	3	0.18%
UC01D	6	2	2	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
UC02A	7513	4296	4093	4078	99.63%	15	0.37%
Total	34519	20504	18509	18375	99.28%	134	0.72%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE HOUSE 80

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	M. HANSON (I) R		M. WILSON (D)		Write-In Votes	
SS07C	755	436	424	228	53.77%	196	46.23%	0	0.00%
SS08A	1316	758	742	254	34.23%	488	65.77%	0	0.00%
SS08D	1368	847	834	310	37.17%	523	62.71%	1	0.12%
SS11C	269	146	139	28	20.14%	111	79.86%	0	0.00%
SS12	2559	1732	1685	940	55.79%	744	44.15%	1	0.06%
SS14	1274	977	961	646	67.22%	315	32.78%	0	0.00%
SS29A	2488	1595	1559	691	44.32%	866	55.55%	2	0.13%
Total	10029	6491	6344	3097	48.82%	3243	51.12%	4	0.06%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE HOUSE 95

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	S. HILTON (I) R		B. MOORE (D)		Write-In Votes	
JC13A	2115	1462	1430	971	67.90%	459	32.10%	0	0.00%
Total	2115	1462	1430	971	67.90%	459	32.10%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	R. PITTS (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide							
01A	3199	2362	2159	2140	99.12%	19	0.88%
01B	3839	2737	2450	2432	99.27%	18	0.73%
01C	1459	612	585	583	99.66%	2	0.34%
01D	511	307	283	281	99.29%	2	0.71%
01E	3363	2410	2130	2112	99.15%	18	0.85%
01F	1254	452	438	437	99.77%	1	0.23%
01G	1909	1102	1025	1015	99.02%	10	0.98%
01H	758	379	355	353	99.44%	2	0.56%
01J	2416	1184	1114	1113	99.91%	1	0.09%
01P	1244	542	511	511	100.00%	0	0.00%
01R	1344	491	464	464	100.00%	0	0.00%
01S	1749	823	773	769	99.48%	4	0.52%
01T	1506	796	719	714	99.30%	5	0.70%
02A	4584	2949	2565	2513	97.97%	52	2.03%
02B	3518	1265	1140	1125	98.68%	15	1.32%
02C	2037	1205	1119	1110	99.20%	9	0.80%
02D	4475	2660	2452	2427	98.98%	25	1.02%
02E	1905	1423	1290	1282	99.38%	8	0.62%
02F1	2962	2033	1813	1796	99.06%	17	0.94%
02F2	788	458	419	418	99.76%	1	0.24%
02G	3443	2361	2134	2111	98.92%	23	1.08%
02J	2159	1432	1276	1261	98.82%	15	1.18%
02K	1419	951	838	830	99.05%	8	0.95%
02L1	6213	3978	3531	3473	98.36%	58	1.64%
02L2	1364	782	686	677	98.69%	9	1.31%
02S	708	427	406	403	99.26%	3	0.74%
02W	682	399	363	355	97.80%	8	2.20%
03A	1927	692	658	656	99.70%	2	0.30%
03B	560	181	165	165	100.00%	0	0.00%
03C	1534	674	635	632	99.53%	3	0.47%
03D	1017	402	373	369	98.93%	4	1.07%
03E	2284	914	860	858	99.77%	2	0.23%
03F	1925	1115	1005	997	99.20%	8	0.80%
03G	802	360	340	339	99.71%	1	0.29%
03H	900	369	339	339	100.00%	0	0.00%
03I	1360	566	518	510	98.46%	8	1.54%
03L	594	229	205	203	99.02%	2	0.98%
03M	766	452	373	363	97.32%	10	2.68%
03N	2288	938	888	885	99.66%	3	0.34%
03P1A	3882	1966	1783	1767	99.10%	16	0.90%
03P2	13	7	7	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
03S	761	356	349	349	100.00%	0	0.00%
03T	1505	715	674	672	99.70%	2	0.30%
04A	1202	560	532	530	99.62%	2	0.38%
04B	2242	922	880	879	99.89%	1	0.11%
04C	136	54	53	53	100.00%	0	0.00%
04D	1481	714	672	669	99.55%	3	0.45%
04F	2086	935	872	866	99.31%	6	0.69%
04G	828	312	292	291	99.66%	1	0.34%
04I	3362	561	515	500	97.09%	15	2.91%
04J	1230	317	306	305	99.67%	1	0.33%
04K	2339	1266	1185	1180	99.58%	5	0.42%
04L	1655	867	808	802	99.26%	6	0.74%
04M	773	292	282	281	99.65%	1	0.35%
04S	2165	1118	1040	1037	99.71%	3	0.29%
04T	1145	584	547	547	100.00%	0	0.00%
04V	2848	1211	1149	1147	99.83%	2	0.17%
04W	2203	820	797	794	99.62%	3	0.38%
04X1	1942	950	908	904	99.56%	4	0.44%
04X2	737	364	331	330	99.70%	1	0.30%
05A1	2491	1728	1578	1570	99.49%	8	0.51%
05A2	2910	1998	1835	1814	98.86%	21	1.14%
05B	1763	901	824	818	99.27%	6	0.73%
05C	146	67	64	64	100.00%	0	0.00%
05D	386	93	88	88	100.00%	0	0.00%
05F	223	136	131	131	100.00%	0	0.00%
05J	639	416	380	375	98.68%	5	1.32%
05K	2600	1327	1261	1253	99.37%	8	0.63%
06B	1756	1238	1065	1046	98.22%	19	1.78%
06D	8568	6060	5091	5022	98.64%	69	1.36%
06E	2250	1439	1252	1221	97.52%	31	2.48%
06F	2709	1757	1499	1472	98.20%	27	1.80%
06G	536	369	326	317	97.24%	9	2.76%
06I	3412	1957	1801	1769	98.22%	32	1.78%
06J	2539	1904	1595	1566	98.18%	29	1.82%
06L1	917	679	527	520	98.67%	7	1.33%
06L2	654	487	378	369	97.62%	9	2.38%
06N	1844	1085	840	826	98.33%	14	1.67%
06Q	527	295	277	276	99.64%	1	0.36%
06R	1836	1206	987	976	98.89%	11	1.11%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	R. PITTS (I) D		Write-In Votes	
07A	6600	4093	3153	3041	96.45%	112	3.55%
07B	2763	2107	1498	1418	94.66%	80	5.34%
07C	3927	2498	1784	1724	96.64%	60	3.36%
07D	4231	2413	1798	1732	96.33%	66	3.67%
07E	2031	1358	1056	1019	96.50%	37	3.50%
07F	3017	2041	1485	1458	98.18%	27	1.82%
07H	1923	1424	1017	985	96.85%	32	3.15%
07J	2647	1753	1338	1309	97.83%	29	2.17%
07M	2155	1180	929	899	96.77%	30	3.23%
07N	1946	1044	908	899	99.01%	9	0.99%
08A	2799	1949	1439	1398	97.15%	41	2.85%
08B	3199	2255	1719	1665	96.86%	54	3.14%
08C	1392	984	693	669	96.54%	24	3.46%
08D	1022	770	543	513	94.48%	30	5.52%
08E	2429	1864	1194	1145	95.90%	49	4.10%
08F1	2071	1628	1032	995	96.41%	37	3.59%
08G	2787	1669	1209	1171	96.86%	38	3.14%
08H	2465	1874	1226	1176	95.92%	50	4.08%
08J	2981	2223	1647	1578	95.81%	69	4.19%
08K	973	702	528	521	98.67%	7	1.33%
08L	4194	2706	2170	2122	97.79%	48	2.21%
08M	1907	1358	898	843	93.88%	55	6.12%
08N1	767	587	396	378	95.45%	18	4.55%
08N2	1391	927	720	700	97.22%	20	2.78%
08P	1633	928	811	798	98.40%	13	1.60%
09A	3338	2152	1847	1796	97.24%	51	2.76%
09B	385	174	168	168	100.00%	0	0.00%
09C	2954	1522	1434	1428	99.58%	6	0.42%
09D	1879	858	789	789	100.00%	0	0.00%
09E	2782	1420	1312	1300	99.09%	12	0.91%
09F	3619	2526	1863	1811	97.21%	52	2.79%
09G	3519	2282	1951	1921	98.46%	30	1.54%
09H	1064	645	594	590	99.33%	4	0.67%
09I	1194	503	459	457	99.56%	2	0.44%
09K	4403	1851	1750	1743	99.60%	7	0.40%
09M	2259	1611	1385	1361	98.27%	24	1.73%
10A	2850	1622	1529	1524	99.67%	5	0.33%
10B	3686	2464	2344	2327	99.27%	17	0.73%
10C	2466	1168	1113	1110	99.73%	3	0.27%
10D	4220	1850	1755	1747	99.54%	8	0.46%
10E	950	524	496	490	98.79%	6	1.21%
10F	1053	590	545	540	99.08%	5	0.92%
10G	1205	813	766	760	99.22%	6	0.78%
10H1	408	238	226	225	99.56%	1	0.44%
10H2	2207	1032	974	969	99.49%	5	0.51%
10I	329	258	240	238	99.17%	2	0.83%
10J	1012	466	446	446	100.00%	0	0.00%
10K	1415	858	804	801	99.63%	3	0.37%
10M	2529	1414	1338	1328	99.25%	10	0.75%
10P	1364	668	626	624	99.68%	2	0.32%
10R	713	347	333	332	99.70%	1	0.30%
11B	5162	3088	2920	2913	99.76%	7	0.24%
11C	2822	2003	1927	1918	99.53%	9	0.47%
11E1	1141	634	605	603	99.67%	2	0.33%
11E2	847	497	469	467	99.57%	2	0.43%
11E3	3124	1835	1778	1773	99.72%	5	0.28%
11G	2092	855	804	802	99.75%	2	0.25%
11H	677	356	331	331	100.00%	0	0.00%
11J	1827	1018	960	956	99.58%	4	0.42%
11K	1818	1104	1034	1028	99.42%	6	0.58%
11M	3039	1659	1592	1579	99.18%	13	0.82%
11N	2166	1358	1302	1296	99.54%	6	0.46%
11P	1833	1020	961	958	99.69%	3	0.31%
11R	1849	672	635	635	100.00%	0	0.00%
12A	4828	2734	2533	2513	99.21%	20	0.79%
12D	1209	501	474	472	99.58%	2	0.42%
12E1	1621	591	542	538	99.26%	4	0.74%
12F	943	428	404	404	100.00%	0	0.00%
12G	800	430	398	398	100.00%	0	0.00%
12H1	1006	310	290	287	98.97%	3	1.03%
12H2	2562	1189	1130	1125	99.56%	5	0.44%
12I	970	413	390	390	100.00%	0	0.00%
12J	2535	1108	1056	1053	99.72%	3	0.28%
12K	3022	1240	1143	1136	99.39%	7	0.61%
12L	613	238	222	221	99.55%	1	0.45%
12M	2533	1171	1119	1117	99.82%	2	0.18%
12N	907	327	297	297	100.00%	0	0.00%
12S	1794	959	890	888	99.78%	2	0.22%
AP01A	4724	3141	2293	2199	95.90%	94	4.10%
AP01B	913	516	378	361	95.50%	17	4.50%
AP01C	2233	1498	1108	1025	92.51%	83	7.49%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	R. PITTS (I) D		Write-In Votes	
AP01D	915	539	417	395	94.72%	22	5.28%
AP02B	474	263	199	198	99.50%	1	0.50%
AP03	741	314	272	271	99.63%	1	0.37%
AP04A	1647	1183	794	761	95.84%	33	4.16%
AP04B	415	290	206	196	95.15%	10	4.85%
AP05	4367	2981	2026	1938	95.66%	88	4.34%
AP06	1827	1309	918	865	94.23%	53	5.77%
AP07A	3422	2102	1478	1416	95.81%	62	4.19%
AP07B	1650	1144	817	774	94.74%	43	5.26%
AP09A	4051	2687	1967	1870	95.07%	97	4.93%
AP09B	810	531	416	402	96.63%	14	3.37%
AP021	2994	1963	1423	1346	94.59%	77	5.41%
AP022	2210	1429	1043	995	95.40%	48	4.60%
AP10	942	681	468	442	94.44%	26	5.56%
AP12A	658	374	294	290	98.64%	4	1.36%
AP12B	747	428	351	342	97.44%	9	2.56%
AP12C	1584	1005	695	664	95.54%	31	4.46%
AP14	2972	2027	1499	1441	96.13%	58	3.87%
CH01	330	164	133	129	96.99%	4	3.01%
CH02	319	202	129	125	96.90%	4	3.10%
CH03	379	250	139	133	95.68%	6	4.32%
CH04A	647	444	277	267	96.39%	10	3.61%
CH05	407	260	136	128	94.12%	8	5.88%
CP01B	381	227	164	164	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP011	1135	617	525	512	97.52%	13	2.48%
CP012	1318	844	716	699	97.63%	17	2.37%
CP02	1000	553	492	488	99.19%	4	0.81%
CP04A	8	2	2	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP04B	39	14	13	13	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP05B	143	76	71	71	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP051	1612	874	823	816	99.15%	7	0.85%
CP06A	598	208	198	197	99.49%	1	0.51%
CP07B	7	2	1	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP07C	244	47	43	43	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP07D	694	208	199	199	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP07E	125	41	39	39	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP07F	184	55	51	51	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP081	343	77	73	73	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP083	219	25	25	25	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP084	3	1	1	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP08A	705	175	163	163	100.00%	0	0.00%
EP01A	2609	1464	1336	1323	99.03%	13	0.97%
EP01B	3038	1539	1443	1433	99.31%	10	0.69%
EP02A	1967	890	834	830	99.52%	4	0.48%
EP02B	1449	977	854	840	98.36%	14	1.64%
EP02C	428	251	212	207	97.64%	5	2.36%
EP02D	326	192	180	178	98.89%	2	1.11%
EP02E	1382	627	575	571	99.30%	4	0.70%
EP03A	4293	2512	2351	2341	99.57%	10	0.43%
EP03B	1421	973	910	905	99.45%	5	0.55%
EP04A	5432	2626	2535	2526	99.64%	9	0.36%
EP04B	2024	1203	1166	1161	99.57%	5	0.43%
FA01A	3574	2126	1939	1934	99.74%	5	0.26%
FA01B	4833	2965	2716	2701	99.45%	15	0.55%
FA01C	681	401	383	377	98.43%	6	1.57%
HP01	3212	1780	1463	1436	98.15%	27	1.85%
JC01	4977	3215	2501	2422	96.84%	79	3.16%
JC02	2460	1474	1102	1072	97.28%	30	2.72%
JC03A	540	384	291	274	94.16%	17	5.84%
JC03B	1448	955	748	725	96.93%	23	3.07%
JC04A	4042	2692	2050	1954	95.32%	96	4.68%
JC04B	255	156	116	109	93.97%	7	6.03%
JC05	2136	1391	1073	1027	95.71%	46	4.29%
JC06	2627	1580	1087	1034	95.12%	53	4.88%
JC07	4083	2627	1941	1866	96.14%	75	3.86%
JC08	2737	1870	1387	1316	94.88%	71	5.12%
JC09	2928	1878	1460	1416	96.99%	44	3.01%
JC10	2392	1507	1176	1130	96.09%	46	3.91%
JC11	3186	2085	1487	1390	93.48%	97	6.52%
JC12	2455	1753	1068	1001	93.73%	67	6.27%
JC13A	2115	1462	935	878	93.90%	57	6.10%
JC13B	271	180	108	100	92.59%	8	7.41%
JC14	2477	1634	1177	1090	92.61%	87	7.39%
JC15	834	523	412	398	96.60%	14	3.40%
JC16	2496	1729	1253	1188	94.81%	65	5.19%
JC18	2670	1969	1382	1298	93.92%	84	6.08%
JC19	3759	2528	1854	1755	94.66%	99	5.34%
ML011	2439	1681	1184	1102	93.07%	82	6.93%
ML012	485	338	218	203	93.12%	15	6.88%
ML01B	138	96	72	67	93.06%	5	6.94%
ML021	1991	1369	869	786	90.45%	83	9.55%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	R. PITTS (I) D		Write-In Votes	
ML022	241	148	88	83	94.32%	5	5.68%
ML023	1542	1027	685	621	90.66%	64	9.34%
ML024	113	70	38	36	94.74%	2	5.26%
ML03	3757	2575	1646	1512	91.86%	134	8.14%
ML04A	1747	1176	830	771	92.89%	59	7.11%
ML04B	1206	838	559	501	89.62%	58	10.38%
ML04C	79	66	46	40	86.96%	6	13.04%
ML05A	39	27	18	16	88.89%	2	11.11%
ML05B	381	250	161	150	93.17%	11	6.83%
ML05C	4023	2615	1888	1804	95.55%	84	4.45%
ML06A	1973	1024	836	806	96.41%	30	3.59%
ML06B	2775	1734	1407	1352	96.09%	55	3.91%
ML071	105	73	51	45	88.24%	6	11.76%
ML072	1249	857	575	517	89.91%	58	10.09%
ML07A	707	472	317	290	91.48%	27	8.52%
MP01	450	323	247	235	95.14%	12	4.86%
PA01	2509	1330	1097	1085	98.91%	12	1.09%
RW01	4717	3355	2270	2148	94.63%	122	5.37%
RW02	3794	2675	1933	1836	94.98%	97	5.02%
RW03	4510	2745	2100	1989	94.71%	111	5.29%
RW04	1803	1359	960	917	95.52%	43	4.48%
RW05	2322	1207	982	951	96.84%	31	3.16%
RW06	2820	1914	1409	1344	95.39%	65	4.61%
RW07A	1549	677	600	587	97.83%	13	2.17%
RW07B	388	214	176	169	96.02%	7	3.98%
RW08	2138	1462	962	922	95.84%	40	4.16%
RW09	5918	4216	2800	2598	92.79%	202	7.21%
RW10	3347	2376	1706	1623	95.13%	83	4.87%
RW11A	2667	1693	1281	1240	96.80%	41	3.20%
RW12	5944	4234	2908	2745	94.39%	163	5.61%
RW13	3555	2476	1786	1692	94.74%	94	5.26%
RW16	1850	1275	915	861	94.10%	54	5.90%
RW17	2794	1773	1412	1349	95.54%	63	4.46%
RW19	1993	1434	986	931	94.42%	55	5.58%
RW20	2486	1797	1279	1203	94.06%	76	5.94%
RW21	3144	2169	1434	1368	95.40%	66	4.60%
RW22A	4406	2486	1998	1932	96.70%	66	3.30%
SC01A	20	25	22	22	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC01B	1707	1295	1248	1239	99.28%	9	0.72%
SC01C	2063	1513	1425	1415	99.30%	10	0.70%
SC01D	294	162	159	159	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC02	872	662	625	623	99.68%	2	0.32%
SC04	922	616	477	469	98.32%	8	1.68%
SC05A	646	409	389	389	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC05B	3204	2188	2085	2073	99.42%	12	0.58%
SC05D	2171	1428	1365	1361	99.71%	4	0.29%
SC05E	623	434	375	369	98.40%	6	1.60%
SC07A	5595	3698	3439	3414	99.27%	25	0.73%
SC07C	29	10	10	10	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08B	3382	2008	1909	1903	99.69%	6	0.31%
SC08C	74	53	52	52	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08D	499	314	307	307	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08E	562	316	306	304	99.35%	2	0.65%
SC08F	700	366	343	341	99.42%	2	0.58%
SC08G	12	8	8	8	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08H	4	3	3	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC09A	1628	839	797	794	99.62%	3	0.38%
SC09B	1687	1084	1032	1027	99.52%	5	0.48%
SC09C	1566	842	803	800	99.63%	3	0.37%
SC10	1678	661	626	624	99.68%	2	0.32%
SC11A	1411	813	781	777	99.49%	4	0.51%
SC11B	1506	878	845	843	99.76%	2	0.24%
SC13	2465	1636	1555	1546	99.42%	9	0.58%
SC14A	916	429	412	410	99.51%	2	0.49%
SC14B	502	45	41	41	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC15	6193	4213	4021	3994	99.33%	27	0.67%
SC16A	4601	3208	3077	3056	99.32%	21	0.68%
SC16B	14	15	15	15	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC17A	55	42	41	41	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC17B	7	4	3	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC17C	1134	669	630	628	99.68%	2	0.32%
SC18A	510	329	315	315	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC18B	663	462	443	439	99.10%	4	0.90%
SC18C	1850	1352	1274	1263	99.14%	11	0.86%
SC19A	195	129	126	125	99.21%	1	0.79%
SC19B	1267	690	647	645	99.69%	2	0.31%
SC20	2197	1665	1605	1594	99.31%	11	0.69%
SC211	1328	841	796	793	99.62%	3	0.38%
SC212	3378	1947	1855	1846	99.51%	9	0.49%
SC23A	3161	1730	1666	1661	99.70%	5	0.30%
SC23B	483	284	276	275	99.64%	1	0.36%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	R. PITTS (I) D		Write-In Votes	
SC23C	2252	1302	1243	1242	99.92%	1	0.08%
SC27	2129	1259	1215	1213	99.84%	2	0.16%
SC29A	2784	1544	1482	1477	99.66%	5	0.34%
SC30A	135	97	87	85	97.70%	2	2.30%
SC30B	960	531	500	500	100.00%	0	0.00%
SS01	4487	3270	2234	2123	95.03%	111	4.97%
SS02A	1120	755	589	573	97.28%	16	2.72%
SS02B	1255	756	634	620	97.79%	14	2.21%
SS03	1464	1027	792	768	96.97%	24	3.03%
SS04	3264	2064	1668	1619	97.06%	49	2.94%
SS05	1746	1376	983	940	95.63%	43	4.37%
SS06	1397	1073	777	752	96.78%	25	3.22%
SS07A	968	683	480	463	96.46%	17	3.54%
SS07B	609	405	311	301	96.78%	10	3.22%
SS07C	755	436	314	306	97.45%	8	2.55%
SS08A	1316	758	615	589	95.77%	26	4.23%
SS08B	635	453	336	323	96.13%	13	3.87%
SS08C	632	393	319	308	96.55%	11	3.45%
SS08D	1368	847	683	660	96.63%	23	3.37%
SS09A	3003	2210	1571	1510	96.12%	61	3.88%
SS09B	3358	2452	1795	1726	96.16%	69	3.84%
SS11A	3655	2227	1759	1714	97.44%	45	2.56%
SS11B	1257	707	595	583	97.98%	12	2.02%
SS11C	269	146	129	127	98.45%	2	1.55%
SS11D	365	254	197	184	93.40%	13	6.60%
SS12	2559	1732	1291	1233	95.51%	58	4.49%
SS13A	1633	1072	838	798	95.23%	40	4.77%
SS13B	1001	629	473	457	96.62%	16	3.38%
SS14	1274	977	680	633	93.09%	47	6.91%
SS15A	2572	1199	1075	1055	98.14%	20	1.86%
SS15B	1579	902	732	707	96.58%	25	3.42%
SS16	2030	1534	1095	1050	95.89%	45	4.11%
SS17	3397	2229	1562	1502	96.16%	60	3.84%
SS18A	619	454	337	315	93.47%	22	6.53%
SS18B	221	162	119	115	96.64%	4	3.36%
SS19A	3016	1683	1425	1390	97.54%	35	2.46%
SS19B	2032	1226	1022	987	96.58%	35	3.42%
SS20	2060	1159	925	897	96.97%	28	3.03%
SS22	2714	1613	1228	1189	96.82%	39	3.18%
SS26	3552	1822	1575	1535	97.46%	40	2.54%
SS29A	2488	1595	1229	1179	95.93%	50	4.07%
SS31	1345	938	723	702	97.10%	21	2.90%
UC01A	3622	1715	1643	1641	99.88%	2	0.12%
UC01B	395	210	199	199	100.00%	0	0.00%
UC01D	6	2	2	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
UC01E	285	206	204	202	99.02%	2	0.98%
UC02A	7513	4296	4092	4074	99.56%	18	0.44%
UC02B	30	19	16	16	100.00%	0	0.00%
UC031	2399	1203	1022	1016	99.41%	6	0.59%
UC032	292	186	158	157	99.37%	1	0.63%
Total	703177	424992	352573	344439	97.69%	8134	2.31%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	CO COMM DIST 1						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	L. HAUSMANN (I) R		Write-In Votes	
AP01A	4724	3141	2455	2350	95.72%	105	4.28%
AP01B	913	516	365	348	95.34%	17	4.66%
AP01C	2233	1498	1146	1099	95.90%	47	4.10%
AP01D	915	539	370	345	93.24%	25	6.76%
AP05	4367	2981	2382	2306	96.81%	76	3.19%
AP09A	4051	2687	2009	1908	94.97%	101	5.03%
AP09B	810	531	360	326	90.56%	34	9.44%
AP12A	658	374	264	247	93.56%	17	6.44%
AP12B	747	428	273	251	91.94%	22	8.06%
AP14	2972	2027	1584	1520	95.96%	64	4.04%
JC01	4977	3215	2323	2165	93.20%	158	6.80%
JC02	2460	1474	1061	1000	94.25%	61	5.75%
JC03A	540	384	286	271	94.76%	15	5.24%
JC03B	1448	955	683	633	92.68%	50	7.32%
JC04A	4042	2692	2024	1900	93.87%	124	6.13%
JC04B	255	156	115	108	93.91%	7	6.09%
JC05	2136	1391	1010	963	95.35%	47	4.65%
JC06	2627	1580	1239	1186	95.72%	53	4.28%
JC07	4083	2627	1896	1787	94.25%	109	5.75%
JC08	2737	1870	1412	1335	94.55%	77	5.45%
JC09	2928	1878	1348	1262	93.62%	86	6.38%
JC10	2392	1507	1026	954	92.98%	72	7.02%
JC11	3186	2085	1653	1579	95.52%	74	4.48%
JC12	2455	1753	1442	1398	96.95%	44	3.05%
JC13A	2115	1462	1198	1169	97.58%	29	2.42%
JC13B	271	180	158	155	98.10%	3	1.90%
JC14	2477	1634	1321	1273	96.37%	48	3.63%
JC15	834	523	366	348	95.08%	18	4.92%
JC16	2496	1729	1297	1238	95.45%	59	4.55%
JC18	2670	1969	1547	1487	96.12%	60	3.88%
JC19	3759	2528	1888	1794	95.02%	94	4.98%
RW04	1803	1359	973	928	95.38%	45	4.62%
RW06	2820	1914	1445	1368	94.67%	77	5.33%
RW07A	1549	677	392	345	88.01%	47	11.99%
RW13	3555	2476	1882	1773	94.21%	109	5.79%
RW17	2794	1773	1239	1134	91.53%	105	8.47%
RW20	2486	1797	1393	1340	96.20%	53	3.80%
RW21	3144	2169	1758	1718	97.72%	40	2.28%
RW22A	4406	2486	1724	1587	92.05%	137	7.95%
SS01	4487	3270	2580	2498	96.82%	82	3.18%
SS17	3397	2229	1687	1589	94.19%	98	5.81%
Total	103719	68464	51574	48985	94.98%	2589	5.02%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	CO COMM DIST 3						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	L. MORRIS (I) R		Write-In Votes	
02A	4584	2949	1842	1642	89.14%	200	10.86%
02W	682	399	241	197	81.74%	44	18.26%
03F	1925	1115	693	609	87.88%	84	12.12%
03P1A	3882	1966	1246	1076	86.36%	170	13.64%
06D	8568	6060	3658	3327	90.95%	331	9.05%
06E	2250	1439	925	819	88.54%	106	11.46%
06I	3412	1957	1184	1028	86.82%	156	13.18%
06J	2539	1904	1169	1060	90.68%	109	9.32%
06L1	917	679	407	384	94.35%	23	5.65%
06L2	654	487	329	313	95.14%	16	4.86%
06N	1844	1085	788	744	94.42%	44	5.58%
06Q	527	295	177	150	84.75%	27	15.25%
06R	1836	1206	740	685	92.57%	55	7.43%
07A	6600	4093	2914	2785	95.57%	129	4.43%
07B	2763	2107	1762	1725	97.90%	37	2.10%
07C	3927	2498	1762	1658	94.10%	104	5.90%
07D	4231	2413	1720	1618	94.07%	102	5.93%
07E	2031	1358	872	802	91.97%	70	8.03%
07F	3017	2041	1479	1410	95.33%	69	4.67%
07H	1923	1424	1018	987	96.95%	31	3.05%
07J	2647	1753	1239	1158	93.46%	81	6.54%
07M	2155	1180	809	759	93.82%	50	6.18%
07N	1946	1044	641	575	89.70%	66	10.30%
08A	2799	1949	1505	1435	95.35%	70	4.65%
08B	3199	2255	1809	1743	96.35%	66	3.65%
08C	1392	984	830	817	98.43%	13	1.57%
08D	1022	770	638	628	98.43%	10	1.57%
08E	2429	1864	1450	1410	97.24%	40	2.76%
08F1	2071	1628	1318	1295	98.25%	23	1.75%
08G	2787	1669	1134	1071	94.44%	63	5.56%
08H	2465	1874	1431	1393	97.34%	38	2.66%
08J	2981	2223	1670	1597	95.63%	73	4.37%
08K	973	702	524	503	95.99%	21	4.01%
08L	4194	2706	1812	1704	94.04%	108	5.96%
08M	1907	1358	1091	1068	97.89%	23	2.11%
08N1	767	587	478	463	96.86%	15	3.14%
08N2	1391	927	658	632	96.05%	26	3.95%
08P	1633	928	589	524	88.96%	65	11.04%
09H	1064	645	386	340	88.08%	46	11.92%
SS05	1746	1376	1007	956	94.94%	51	5.06%
SS06	1397	1073	814	778	95.58%	36	4.42%
SS07B	609	405	275	262	95.27%	13	4.73%
SS07C	755	436	315	303	96.19%	12	3.81%
SS08A	1316	758	506	456	90.12%	50	9.88%
SS08B	635	453	355	346	97.46%	9	2.54%
SS08D	1368	847	571	513	89.84%	58	10.16%
SS09A	3003	2210	1687	1632	96.74%	55	3.26%
SS09B	3358	2452	1948	1870	96.00%	78	4.00%
SS11A	3655	2227	1572	1465	93.19%	107	6.81%
SS11B	1257	707	457	415	90.81%	42	9.19%
SS11C	269	146	95	81	85.26%	14	14.74%
SS11D	365	254	199	193	96.98%	6	3.02%
SS12	2559	1732	1285	1226	95.41%	59	4.59%
SS13A	1633	1072	804	759	94.40%	45	5.60%
SS13B	1001	629	484	461	95.25%	23	4.75%
SS14	1274	977	801	783	97.75%	18	2.25%
SS16	2030	1534	1213	1186	97.77%	27	2.23%
SS18A	619	454	338	319	94.38%	19	5.62%
SS18B	221	162	104	99	95.19%	5	4.81%
SS31	1345	938	655	624	95.27%	31	4.73%
Total	128349	85363	60423	56861	94.10%	3562	5.90%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

CO COMM DIST 5

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	M. ARRINGTON JR (I)D		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide							
01A	3199	2362	2148	2129	99.12%	19	0.88%
01B	3839	2737	2443	2428	99.39%	15	0.61%
01C	1459	612	579	577	99.65%	2	0.35%
01D	511	307	279	276	98.92%	3	1.08%
01E	3363	2410	2110	2098	99.43%	12	0.57%
01F	1254	452	435	434	99.77%	1	0.23%
01G	1909	1102	1008	1000	99.21%	8	0.79%
01J	2416	1184	1107	1106	99.91%	1	0.09%
01P	1244	542	513	513	100.00%	0	0.00%
01R	1344	491	459	459	100.00%	0	0.00%
01S	1749	823	777	774	99.61%	3	0.39%
01T	1506	796	714	710	99.44%	4	0.56%
02E	1905	1423	1265	1257	99.37%	8	0.63%
02F1	2962	2033	1803	1787	99.11%	16	0.89%
04V	2848	1211	1137	1136	99.91%	1	0.09%
05A1	2491	1728	1563	1555	99.49%	8	0.51%
05A2	2910	1998	1821	1802	98.96%	19	1.04%
06F	2709	1757	1474	1446	98.10%	28	1.90%
11B	5162	3088	2916	2907	99.69%	9	0.31%
11E1	1141	634	602	601	99.83%	1	0.17%
11E2	847	497	471	469	99.58%	2	0.42%
11E3	3124	1835	1754	1750	99.77%	4	0.23%
11G	2092	855	806	806	100.00%	0	0.00%
11J	1827	1018	962	960	99.79%	2	0.21%
11N	2166	1358	1303	1300	99.77%	3	0.23%
11P	1833	1020	960	956	99.58%	4	0.42%
12A	4828	2734	2527	2512	99.41%	15	0.59%
12D	1209	501	477	476	99.79%	1	0.21%
12E1	1621	591	540	534	98.89%	6	1.11%
12F	943	428	405	405	100.00%	0	0.00%
12G	800	430	371	370	99.73%	1	0.27%
12H1	1006	310	281	281	100.00%	0	0.00%
12H2	2562	1189	1126	1122	99.64%	4	0.36%
12I	970	413	386	386	100.00%	0	0.00%
12J	2535	1108	1045	1042	99.71%	3	0.29%
12K	3022	1240	1138	1131	99.38%	7	0.62%
12L	613	238	222	221	99.55%	1	0.45%
12M	2533	1171	1115	1113	99.82%	2	0.18%
12N	907	327	300	300	100.00%	0	0.00%
12S	1794	959	891	888	99.66%	3	0.34%
CP07C	244	47	43	43	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP07E	125	41	37	37	100.00%	0	0.00%
EP01A	2609	1464	1320	1309	99.17%	11	0.83%
EP01B	3038	1539	1432	1423	99.37%	9	0.63%
EP02B	1449	977	850	839	98.71%	11	1.29%
EP02D	326	192	178	177	99.44%	1	0.56%
EP02E	1382	627	577	574	99.48%	3	0.52%
EP03A	4293	2512	2346	2334	99.49%	12	0.51%
EP03B	1421	973	910	907	99.67%	3	0.33%
EP04A	5432	2626	2535	2528	99.72%	7	0.28%
EP04B	2024	1203	1157	1154	99.74%	3	0.26%
SC05B	3204	2188	2075	2063	99.42%	12	0.58%
SC08C	74	53	53	53	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08F	700	366	344	343	99.71%	1	0.29%
SC17A	55	42	42	42	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC17B	7	4	3	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC17C	1134	669	636	635	99.84%	1	0.16%
SC18A	510	329	313	313	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC18B	663	462	439	436	99.32%	3	0.68%
SC18C	1850	1352	1293	1286	99.46%	7	0.54%
SC19A	195	129	128	127	99.22%	1	0.78%
SC19B	1267	690	653	651	99.69%	2	0.31%
SC20	2197	1665	1576	1542	97.84%	34	2.16%
UC01D	6	2	2	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
UC01E	285	206	203	203	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	117643	66270	61378	61041	99.45%	337	0.55%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

SOIL AND WATER

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	W. S. REKUC, JR (I)		J. R. ULSETH		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide									
01A	3199	2362	2725	1563	57.36%	1142	41.91%	20	0.73%
01B	3839	2737	3066	1751	57.11%	1292	42.14%	23	0.75%
01C	1459	612	661	378	57.19%	282	42.66%	1	0.15%
01D	511	307	351	200	56.98%	146	41.60%	5	1.42%
01E	3363	2410	2616	1509	57.68%	1085	41.48%	22	0.84%
01F	1254	452	486	271	55.76%	207	42.59%	8	1.65%
01G	1909	1102	1242	703	56.60%	525	42.27%	14	1.13%
01H	758	379	373	217	58.18%	151	40.48%	5	1.34%
01J	2416	1184	1213	711	58.62%	493	40.64%	9	0.74%
01P	1244	542	534	323	60.49%	206	38.58%	5	0.94%
01R	1344	491	459	283	61.66%	171	37.25%	5	1.09%
01S	1749	823	826	490	59.32%	331	40.07%	5	0.61%
01T	1506	796	866	487	56.24%	371	42.84%	8	0.92%
02A	4584	2949	3019	1740	57.63%	1246	41.27%	33	1.09%
02B	3518	1265	1396	795	56.95%	585	41.91%	16	1.15%
02C	2037	1205	1391	818	58.81%	561	40.33%	12	0.86%
02D	4475	2660	3124	1790	57.30%	1308	41.87%	26	0.83%
02E	1905	1423	1582	913	57.71%	653	41.28%	16	1.01%
02F1	2962	2033	2409	1375	57.08%	1018	42.26%	16	0.66%
02F2	788	458	536	320	59.70%	216	40.30%	0	0.00%
02G	3443	2361	2692	1566	58.17%	1107	41.12%	19	0.71%
02J	2159	1432	1672	937	56.04%	720	43.06%	15	0.90%
02K	1419	951	1076	605	56.23%	470	43.68%	1	0.09%
02L1	6213	3978	4651	2658	57.15%	1956	42.06%	37	0.80%
02L2	1364	782	976	561	57.48%	406	41.60%	9	0.92%
02S	708	427	513	293	57.12%	218	42.50%	2	0.39%
02W	682	399	434	247	56.91%	181	41.71%	6	1.38%
03A	1927	692	687	415	60.41%	265	38.57%	7	1.02%
03B	560	181	160	95	59.38%	65	40.63%	0	0.00%
03C	1534	674	623	365	58.59%	255	40.93%	3	0.48%
03D	1017	402	409	247	60.39%	155	37.90%	7	1.71%
03E	2284	914	965	555	57.51%	397	41.14%	13	1.35%
03F	1925	1115	1174	681	58.01%	475	40.46%	18	1.53%
03G	802	360	414	231	55.80%	181	43.72%	2	0.48%
03H	900	369	339	196	57.82%	139	41.00%	4	1.18%
03I	1360	566	774	441	56.98%	322	41.60%	11	1.42%
03L	594	229	203	120	59.11%	83	40.89%	0	0.00%
03M	766	452	494	282	57.09%	202	40.89%	10	2.02%
03N	2288	938	1067	612	57.36%	446	41.80%	9	0.84%
03P1A	3882	1966	2173	1202	55.32%	945	43.49%	26	1.20%
03P2	13	7	8	4	50.00%	4	50.00%	0	0.00%
03S	761	356	363	193	53.17%	167	46.01%	3	0.83%
03T	1505	715	800	467	58.38%	327	40.88%	6	0.75%
04A	1202	560	579	320	55.27%	254	43.87%	5	0.86%
04B	2242	922	1076	623	57.90%	445	41.36%	8	0.74%
04C	136	54	62	42	67.74%	20	32.26%	0	0.00%
04D	1481	714	685	415	60.58%	265	38.69%	5	0.73%
04F	2086	935	1068	601	56.27%	458	42.88%	9	0.84%
04G	828	312	325	190	58.46%	133	40.92%	2	0.62%
04I	3362	561	619	340	54.93%	264	42.65%	15	2.42%
04J	1230	317	373	224	60.05%	146	39.14%	3	0.80%
04K	2339	1266	1313	745	56.74%	555	42.27%	13	0.99%
04L	1655	867	924	514	55.63%	395	42.75%	15	1.62%
04M	773	292	305	162	53.11%	141	46.23%	2	0.66%
04S	2165	1118	1056	632	59.85%	418	39.58%	6	0.57%
04T	1145	584	570	329	57.72%	237	41.58%	4	0.70%
04V	2848	1211	1214	715	58.90%	492	40.53%	7	0.58%
04W	2203	820	1070	590	55.14%	470	43.93%	10	0.93%
04X1	1942	950	990	576	58.18%	410	41.41%	4	0.40%
04X2	737	364	374	212	56.68%	159	42.51%	3	0.80%
05A1	2491	1728	1940	1121	57.78%	801	41.29%	18	0.93%
05A2	2910	1998	2263	1297	57.31%	947	41.85%	19	0.84%
05B	1763	901	899	508	56.51%	379	42.16%	12	1.33%
05C	146	67	87	47	54.02%	35	40.23%	5	5.75%
05D	386	93	111	59	53.15%	50	45.05%	2	1.80%
05F	223	136	167	93	55.69%	73	43.71%	1	0.60%
05J	639	416	467	275	58.89%	189	40.47%	3	0.64%
05K	2600	1327	1630	919	56.38%	698	42.82%	13	0.80%
06B	1756	1238	1387	795	57.32%	576	41.53%	16	1.15%
06D	8568	6060	5763	3330	57.78%	2372	41.16%	61	1.06%
06E	2250	1439	1446	848	58.64%	575	39.76%	23	1.59%
06F	2709	1757	1914	1101	57.52%	797	41.64%	16	0.84%
06G	536	369	426	245	57.51%	179	42.02%	2	0.47%
06I	3412	1957	2079	1138	54.74%	894	43.00%	47	2.26%
06J	2539	1904	1850	1087	58.76%	742	40.11%	21	1.14%
06L1	917	679	586	353	60.24%	225	38.40%	8	1.37%
06L2	654	487	447	268	59.96%	176	39.37%	3	0.67%
06N	1844	1085	1035	636	61.45%	387	37.39%	12	1.16%
06Q	527	295	320	168	52.50%	144	45.00%	8	2.50%
06R	1836	1206	1137	678	59.63%	452	39.75%	7	0.62%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

SOIL AND WATER

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	W. S. REKUC, JR (I)		J. R. ULSETH		Write-In Votes	
07A	6600	4093	3970	2473	62.29%	1458	36.73%	39	0.98%
07B	2763	2107	1968	1319	67.02%	629	31.96%	20	1.02%
07C	3927	2498	2302	1459	63.38%	815	35.40%	28	1.22%
07D	4231	2413	2303	1400	60.79%	878	38.12%	25	1.09%
07E	2031	1358	1262	745	59.03%	503	39.86%	14	1.11%
07F	3017	2041	1807	1139	63.03%	663	36.69%	5	0.28%
07H	1923	1424	1236	772	62.46%	452	36.57%	12	0.97%
07J	2647	1753	1641	995	60.63%	624	38.03%	22	1.34%
07M	2155	1180	1156	688	59.52%	457	39.53%	11	0.95%
07N	1946	1044	1019	560	54.96%	442	43.38%	17	1.67%
08A	2799	1949	1829	1194	65.28%	619	33.84%	16	0.87%
08B	3199	2255	2161	1407	65.11%	732	33.87%	22	1.02%
08C	1392	984	874	609	69.68%	264	30.21%	1	0.11%
08D	1022	770	713	484	67.88%	220	30.86%	9	1.26%
08E	2429	1864	1632	1105	67.71%	518	31.74%	9	0.55%
08F1	2071	1628	1369	947	69.17%	412	30.09%	10	0.73%
08G	2787	1669	1424	879	61.73%	524	36.80%	21	1.47%
08H	2465	1874	1620	1071	66.11%	534	32.96%	15	0.93%
08J	2981	2223	2085	1317	63.17%	747	35.83%	21	1.01%
08K	973	702	691	437	63.24%	251	36.32%	3	0.43%
08L	4194	2706	2623	1599	60.96%	1001	38.16%	23	0.88%
08M	1907	1358	1228	828	67.43%	385	31.35%	15	1.22%
08N1	767	587	512	346	67.58%	159	31.05%	7	1.37%
08N2	1391	927	853	524	61.43%	318	37.28%	11	1.29%
08P	1633	928	983	568	57.78%	407	41.40%	8	0.81%
09A	3338	2152	2341	1360	58.09%	951	40.62%	30	1.28%
09B	385	174	171	93	54.39%	77	45.03%	1	0.58%
09C	2954	1522	1527	896	58.68%	618	40.47%	13	0.85%
09D	1879	858	828	485	58.57%	340	41.06%	3	0.36%
09E	2782	1420	1472	862	58.56%	597	40.56%	13	0.88%
09F	3619	2526	2505	1536	61.32%	949	37.88%	20	0.80%
09G	3519	2282	2633	1535	58.30%	1076	40.87%	22	0.84%
09H	1064	645	685	386	56.35%	290	42.34%	9	1.31%
09I	1194	503	467	273	58.46%	188	40.26%	6	1.28%
09K	4403	1851	1819	1019	56.02%	788	43.32%	12	0.66%
09M	2259	1611	1783	993	55.69%	763	42.79%	27	1.51%
10A	2850	1622	1606	945	58.84%	651	40.54%	10	0.62%
10B	3686	2464	2541	1525	60.02%	992	39.04%	24	0.94%
10C	2466	1168	1165	662	56.82%	493	42.32%	10	0.86%
10D	4220	1850	1735	1010	58.21%	708	40.81%	17	0.98%
10E	950	524	535	297	55.51%	232	43.36%	6	1.12%
10F	1053	590	593	342	57.67%	244	41.15%	7	1.18%
10G	1205	813	819	474	57.88%	328	40.05%	17	2.08%
10H1	408	238	244	141	57.79%	102	41.80%	1	0.41%
10H2	2207	1032	1014	596	58.78%	408	40.24%	10	0.99%
10I	329	258	296	170	57.43%	122	41.22%	4	1.35%
10J	1012	466	456	259	56.80%	194	42.54%	3	0.66%
10K	1415	858	890	551	61.91%	332	37.30%	7	0.79%
10M	2529	1414	1463	865	59.13%	589	40.26%	9	0.62%
10P	1364	668	622	366	58.84%	250	40.19%	6	0.96%
10R	713	347	348	198	56.90%	149	42.82%	1	0.29%
11B	5162	3088	3160	1876	59.37%	1257	39.78%	27	0.85%
11C	2822	2003	2209	1311	59.35%	879	39.79%	19	0.86%
11E1	1141	634	697	413	59.25%	279	40.03%	5	0.72%
11E2	847	497	520	328	63.08%	184	35.38%	8	1.54%
11E3	3124	1835	2087	1214	58.17%	850	40.73%	23	1.10%
11G	2092	855	854	509	59.60%	341	39.93%	4	0.47%
11H	677	356	342	202	59.06%	134	39.18%	6	1.75%
11J	1827	1018	1011	622	61.52%	378	37.39%	11	1.09%
11K	1818	1104	1139	690	60.58%	432	37.93%	17	1.49%
11M	3039	1659	1804	1069	59.26%	716	39.69%	19	1.05%
11N	2166	1358	1375	827	60.15%	540	39.27%	8	0.58%
11P	1833	1020	1021	606	59.35%	409	40.06%	6	0.59%
11R	1849	672	656	386	58.84%	268	40.85%	2	0.30%
12A	4828	2734	2808	1643	58.51%	1137	40.49%	28	1.00%
12D	1209	501	511	292	57.14%	219	42.86%	0	0.00%
12E1	1621	591	562	332	59.07%	224	39.86%	6	1.07%
12F	943	428	434	257	59.22%	176	40.55%	1	0.23%
12G	800	430	373	220	58.98%	151	40.48%	2	0.54%
12H1	1006	310	307	175	57.00%	129	42.02%	3	0.98%
12H2	2562	1189	1228	727	59.20%	492	40.07%	9	0.73%
12I	970	413	390	241	61.79%	147	37.69%	2	0.51%
12J	2535	1108	1099	636	57.87%	449	40.86%	14	1.27%
12K	3022	1240	1240	705	56.85%	524	42.26%	11	0.89%
12L	613	238	249	152	61.04%	96	38.55%	1	0.40%
12M	2533	1171	1177	695	59.05%	472	40.10%	10	0.85%
12N	907	327	314	183	58.28%	125	39.81%	6	1.91%
12S	1794	959	961	576	59.94%	377	39.23%	8	0.83%
AP01A	4724	3141	3191	2065	64.71%	1101	34.50%	25	0.78%
AP01B	913	516	514	309	60.12%	197	38.33%	8	1.56%
AP01C	2233	1498	1450	929	64.07%	509	35.10%	12	0.83%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

SOIL AND WATER

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	W. S. REKUC, JR (I)		J. R. ULSETH		Write-In Votes	
AP01D	915	539	519	317	61.08%	188	36.22%	14	2.70%
AP02B	474	263	277	171	61.73%	106	38.27%	0	0.00%
AP03	741	314	339	167	49.26%	171	50.44%	1	0.29%
AP04A	1647	1183	1195	790	66.11%	398	33.31%	7	0.59%
AP04B	415	290	306	197	64.38%	104	33.99%	5	1.63%
AP05	4367	2981	2922	1953	66.84%	944	32.31%	25	0.86%
AP06	1827	1309	1330	889	66.84%	431	32.41%	10	0.75%
AP07A	3422	2102	2141	1344	62.77%	779	36.38%	18	0.84%
AP07B	1650	1144	1197	765	63.91%	419	35.00%	13	1.09%
AP09A	4051	2687	2692	1700	63.15%	951	35.33%	41	1.52%
AP09B	810	531	499	284	56.91%	208	41.68%	7	1.40%
AP021	2994	1963	2022	1286	63.60%	718	35.51%	18	0.89%
AP022	2210	1429	1440	867	60.21%	562	39.03%	11	0.76%
AP10	942	681	699	444	63.52%	253	36.19%	2	0.29%
AP12A	658	374	351	207	58.97%	137	39.03%	7	1.99%
AP12B	747	428	408	237	58.09%	169	41.42%	2	0.49%
AP12C	1584	1005	1060	648	61.13%	399	37.64%	13	1.23%
AP14	2972	2027	2081	1329	63.86%	734	35.27%	18	0.86%
CH01	330	164	148	88	59.46%	58	39.19%	2	1.35%
CH02	319	202	165	113	68.48%	51	30.91%	1	0.61%
CH03	379	250	184	117	63.59%	59	32.07%	8	4.35%
CH04A	647	444	358	234	65.36%	122	34.08%	2	0.56%
CH05	407	260	221	141	63.80%	74	33.48%	6	2.71%
CP01B	381	227	211	125	59.24%	86	40.76%	0	0.00%
CP011	1135	617	660	395	59.85%	262	39.70%	3	0.45%
CP012	1318	844	874	527	60.30%	340	38.90%	7	0.80%
CP02	1000	553	600	340	56.67%	253	42.17%	7	1.17%
CP04A	8	2	2	1	50.00%	1	50.00%	0	0.00%
CP04B	39	14	13	9	69.23%	4	30.77%	0	0.00%
CP05B	143	76	72	41	56.94%	30	41.67%	1	1.39%
CP051	1612	874	914	560	61.27%	346	37.86%	8	0.88%
CP06A	598	208	214	121	56.54%	89	41.59%	4	1.87%
CP07B	7	2	2	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP07C	244	47	40	22	55.00%	18	45.00%	0	0.00%
CP07D	694	208	216	124	57.41%	91	42.13%	1	0.46%
CP07E	125	41	46	29	63.04%	17	36.96%	0	0.00%
CP07F	184	55	54	31	57.41%	23	42.59%	0	0.00%
CP081	343	77	91	48	52.75%	39	42.86%	4	4.40%
CP083	219	25	27	14	51.85%	13	48.15%	0	0.00%
CP084	3	1	2	1	50.00%	1	50.00%	0	0.00%
CP08A	705	175	189	105	55.56%	82	43.39%	2	1.06%
EP01A	2609	1464	1577	911	57.77%	648	41.09%	18	1.14%
EP01B	3038	1539	1654	969	58.59%	674	40.75%	11	0.67%
EP02A	1967	890	901	521	57.82%	373	41.40%	7	0.78%
EP02B	1449	977	1065	607	57.00%	445	41.78%	13	1.22%
EP02C	428	251	274	160	58.39%	109	39.78%	5	1.82%
EP02D	326	192	220	125	56.82%	93	42.27%	2	0.91%
EP02E	1382	627	655	375	57.25%	271	41.37%	9	1.37%
EP03A	4293	2512	2634	1555	59.04%	1062	40.32%	17	0.65%
EP03B	1421	973	1022	636	62.23%	380	37.18%	6	0.59%
EP04A	5432	2626	2962	1721	58.10%	1213	40.95%	28	0.95%
EP04B	2024	1203	1375	814	59.20%	553	40.22%	8	0.58%
FA01A	3574	2126	2261	1327	58.69%	919	40.65%	15	0.66%
FA01B	4833	2965	3166	1885	59.54%	1263	39.89%	18	0.57%
FA01C	681	401	435	234	53.79%	193	44.37%	8	1.84%
HP01	3212	1780	1874	1093	58.32%	766	40.88%	15	0.80%
JC01	4977	3215	3261	1968	60.35%	1255	38.49%	38	1.17%
JC02	2460	1474	1493	890	59.61%	584	39.12%	19	1.27%
JC03A	540	384	388	240	61.86%	146	37.63%	2	0.52%
JC03B	1448	955	947	553	58.39%	385	40.65%	9	0.95%
JC04A	4042	2692	2644	1666	63.01%	942	35.63%	36	1.36%
JC04B	255	156	156	99	63.46%	55	35.26%	2	1.28%
JC05	2136	1391	1358	878	64.65%	465	34.24%	15	1.10%
JC06	2627	1580	1571	995	63.34%	560	35.65%	16	1.02%
JC07	4083	2627	2564	1580	61.62%	944	36.82%	40	1.56%
JC08	2737	1870	1879	1201	63.92%	653	34.75%	25	1.33%
JC09	2928	1878	1880	1134	60.32%	728	38.72%	18	0.96%
JC10	2392	1507	1486	886	59.62%	579	38.96%	21	1.41%
JC11	3186	2085	2100	1350	64.29%	725	34.52%	25	1.19%
JC12	2455	1753	1668	1119	67.09%	530	31.77%	19	1.14%
JC13A	2115	1462	1417	950	67.04%	450	31.76%	17	1.20%
JC13B	271	180	175	121	69.14%	54	30.86%	0	0.00%
JC14	2477	1634	1642	1081	65.83%	540	32.89%	21	1.28%
JC15	834	523	527	322	61.10%	203	38.52%	2	0.38%
JC16	2496	1729	1699	1089	64.10%	584	34.37%	26	1.53%
JC18	2670	1969	1921	1256	65.38%	649	33.78%	16	0.83%
JC19	3759	2528	2546	1606	63.08%	915	35.94%	25	0.98%
ML011	2439	1681	1744	1153	66.11%	584	33.49%	7	0.40%
ML012	485	338	347	249	71.76%	97	27.95%	1	0.29%
ML01B	138	96	97	67	69.07%	29	29.90%	1	1.03%
ML021	1991	1369	1423	956	67.18%	449	31.55%	18	1.26%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

SOIL AND WATER

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	W. S. REKUC, JR (I)		J. R. ULSETH		Write-In Votes	
ML022	241	148	144	98	68.06%	46	31.94%	0	0.00%
ML023	1542	1027	1055	742	70.33%	308	29.19%	5	0.47%
ML024	113	70	69	45	65.22%	24	34.78%	0	0.00%
ML03	3757	2575	2522	1714	67.96%	779	30.89%	29	1.15%
ML04A	1747	1176	1228	789	64.25%	434	35.34%	5	0.41%
ML04B	1206	838	818	572	69.93%	239	29.22%	7	0.86%
ML04C	79	66	60	40	66.67%	19	31.67%	1	1.67%
ML05A	39	27	15	12	80.00%	3	20.00%	0	0.00%
ML05B	381	250	240	164	68.33%	73	30.42%	3	1.25%
ML05C	4023	2615	2755	1735	62.98%	983	35.68%	37	1.34%
ML06A	1973	1024	1147	668	58.24%	470	40.98%	9	0.78%
ML06B	2775	1734	1876	1076	57.36%	779	41.52%	21	1.12%
ML071	105	73	68	49	72.06%	19	27.94%	0	0.00%
ML072	1249	857	883	585	66.25%	281	31.82%	17	1.93%
ML07A	707	472	457	323	70.68%	131	28.67%	3	0.66%
MP01	450	323	340	196	57.65%	140	41.18%	4	1.18%
PA01	2509	1330	1323	806	60.92%	509	38.47%	8	0.60%
RW01	4717	3355	3293	2166	65.78%	1097	33.31%	30	0.91%
RW02	3794	2675	2713	1754	64.65%	939	34.61%	20	0.74%
RW03	4510	2745	2831	1682	59.41%	1119	39.53%	30	1.06%
RW04	1803	1359	1276	805	63.09%	464	36.36%	7	0.55%
RW05	2322	1207	1309	716	54.70%	584	44.61%	9	0.69%
RW06	2820	1914	1898	1185	62.43%	688	36.25%	25	1.32%
RW07A	1549	677	694	339	48.85%	346	49.86%	9	1.30%
RW07B	388	214	218	118	54.13%	97	44.50%	3	1.38%
RW08	2138	1462	1421	947	66.64%	468	32.93%	6	0.42%
RW09	5918	4216	4203	2792	66.43%	1375	32.71%	36	0.86%
RW10	3347	2376	2384	1601	67.16%	767	32.17%	16	0.67%
RW11A	2667	1693	1731	1078	62.28%	649	37.49%	4	0.23%
RW12	5944	4234	4175	2769	66.32%	1381	33.08%	25	0.60%
RW13	3555	2476	2402	1518	63.20%	847	35.26%	37	1.54%
RW16	1850	1275	1345	862	64.09%	472	35.09%	11	0.82%
RW17	2794	1773	1770	1052	59.44%	696	39.32%	22	1.24%
RW19	1993	1434	1423	967	67.96%	443	31.13%	13	0.91%
RW20	2486	1797	1773	1137	64.13%	620	34.97%	16	0.90%
RW21	3144	2169	2124	1441	67.84%	656	30.89%	27	1.27%
RW22A	4406	2486	2542	1476	58.06%	1039	40.87%	27	1.06%
SC01A	20	25	19	11	57.89%	8	42.11%	0	0.00%
SC01B	1707	1295	1479	877	59.30%	594	40.16%	8	0.54%
SC01C	2063	1513	1673	1009	60.31%	656	39.21%	8	0.48%
SC01D	294	162	193	115	59.59%	75	38.86%	3	1.55%
SC02	872	662	734	437	59.54%	290	39.51%	7	0.95%
SC04	922	616	619	378	61.07%	234	37.80%	7	1.13%
SC05A	646	409	444	254	57.21%	183	41.22%	7	1.58%
SC05B	3204	2188	2453	1443	58.83%	989	40.32%	21	0.86%
SC05D	2171	1428	1629	936	57.46%	669	41.07%	24	1.47%
SC05E	623	434	453	264	58.28%	183	40.40%	6	1.32%
SC07A	5595	3698	4109	2400	58.41%	1665	40.52%	44	1.07%
SC07C	29	10	12	5	41.67%	7	58.33%	0	0.00%
SC08B	3382	2008	2161	1285	59.46%	858	39.70%	18	0.83%
SC08C	74	53	61	40	65.57%	21	34.43%	0	0.00%
SC08D	499	314	365	211	57.81%	150	41.10%	4	1.10%
SC08E	562	316	342	194	56.73%	142	41.52%	6	1.75%
SC08F	700	366	382	230	60.21%	150	39.27%	2	0.52%
SC08G	12	8	8	5	62.50%	3	37.50%	0	0.00%
SC08H	4	3	3	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC09A	1628	839	859	517	60.19%	338	39.35%	4	0.47%
SC09B	1687	1084	1162	690	59.38%	465	40.02%	7	0.60%
SC09C	1566	842	894	537	60.07%	354	39.60%	3	0.34%
SC10	1678	661	703	414	58.89%	286	40.68%	3	0.43%
SC11A	1411	813	878	523	59.57%	343	39.07%	12	1.37%
SC11B	1506	878	959	580	60.48%	372	38.79%	7	0.73%
SC13	2465	1636	1908	1094	57.34%	795	41.67%	19	1.00%
SC14A	916	429	461	281	60.95%	174	37.74%	6	1.30%
SC14B	502	45	40	24	60.00%	15	37.50%	1	2.50%
SC15	6193	4213	4807	2835	58.98%	1916	39.86%	56	1.16%
SC16A	4601	3208	3577	2117	59.18%	1422	39.75%	38	1.06%
SC16B	14	15	18	8	44.44%	10	55.56%	0	0.00%
SC17A	55	42	54	30	55.56%	23	42.59%	1	1.85%
SC17B	7	4	4	3	75.00%	1	25.00%	0	0.00%
SC17C	1134	669	713	436	61.15%	274	38.43%	3	0.42%
SC18A	510	329	359	218	60.72%	140	39.00%	1	0.28%
SC18B	663	462	493	292	59.23%	198	40.16%	3	0.61%
SC18C	1850	1352	1454	909	62.52%	531	36.52%	14	0.96%
SC19A	195	129	146	90	61.64%	54	36.99%	2	1.37%
SC19B	1267	690	733	432	58.94%	293	39.97%	8	1.09%
SC20	2197	1665	1912	1169	61.14%	721	37.71%	22	1.15%
SC211	1328	841	915	538	58.80%	368	40.22%	9	0.98%
SC212	3378	1947	2147	1205	56.12%	915	42.62%	27	1.26%
SC23A	3161	1730	1916	1133	59.13%	768	40.08%	15	0.78%
SC23B	483	284	312	186	59.62%	125	40.06%	1	0.32%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

SOIL AND WATER

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	W. S. REKUC, JR (I)		J. R. ULSETH		Write-In Votes	
SC23C	2252	1302	1399	813	58.11%	574	41.03%	12	0.86%
SC27	2129	1259	1332	811	60.89%	509	38.21%	12	0.90%
SC29A	2784	1544	1652	996	60.29%	644	38.98%	12	0.73%
SC30A	135	97	95	54	56.84%	40	42.11%	1	1.05%
SC30B	960	531	573	345	60.21%	221	38.57%	7	1.22%
SS01	4487	3270	3166	2118	66.90%	1028	32.47%	20	0.63%
SS02A	1120	755	795	486	61.13%	305	38.36%	4	0.50%
SS02B	1255	756	808	438	54.21%	362	44.80%	8	0.99%
SS03	1464	1027	1070	650	60.75%	411	38.41%	9	0.84%
SS04	3264	2064	2239	1282	57.26%	933	41.67%	24	1.07%
SS05	1746	1376	1282	863	67.32%	406	31.67%	13	1.01%
SS06	1397	1073	992	659	66.43%	327	32.96%	6	0.60%
SS07A	968	683	658	425	64.59%	227	34.50%	6	0.91%
SS07B	609	405	402	252	62.69%	148	36.82%	2	0.50%
SS07C	755	436	441	274	62.13%	163	36.96%	4	0.91%
SS08A	1316	758	754	428	56.76%	321	42.57%	5	0.66%
SS08B	635	453	421	284	67.46%	135	32.07%	2	0.48%
SS08C	632	393	424	232	54.72%	187	44.10%	5	1.18%
SS08D	1368	847	857	514	59.98%	322	37.57%	21	2.45%
SS09A	3003	2210	2082	1400	67.24%	671	32.23%	11	0.53%
SS09B	3358	2452	2443	1617	66.19%	803	32.87%	23	0.94%
SS11A	3655	2227	2271	1376	60.59%	871	38.35%	24	1.06%
SS11B	1257	707	732	421	57.51%	305	41.67%	6	0.82%
SS11C	269	146	155	75	48.39%	72	46.45%	8	5.16%
SS11D	365	254	240	166	69.17%	72	30.00%	2	0.83%
SS12	2559	1732	1678	1079	64.30%	581	34.62%	18	1.07%
SS13A	1633	1072	1063	675	63.50%	375	35.28%	13	1.22%
SS13B	1001	629	633	411	64.93%	214	33.81%	8	1.26%
SS14	1274	977	946	635	67.12%	301	31.82%	10	1.06%
SS15A	2572	1199	1363	688	50.48%	653	47.91%	22	1.61%
SS15B	1579	902	1009	566	56.10%	434	43.01%	9	0.89%
SS16	2030	1534	1457	954	65.48%	497	34.11%	6	0.41%
SS17	3397	2229	2192	1350	61.59%	813	37.09%	29	1.32%
SS18A	619	454	423	280	66.19%	136	32.15%	7	1.65%
SS18B	221	162	154	93	60.39%	58	37.66%	3	1.95%
SS19A	3016	1683	1835	968	52.75%	837	45.61%	30	1.63%
SS19B	2032	1226	1338	758	56.65%	566	42.30%	14	1.05%
SS20	2060	1159	1252	698	55.75%	535	42.73%	19	1.52%
SS22	2714	1613	1675	978	58.39%	685	40.90%	12	0.72%
SS26	3552	1822	2038	1062	52.11%	955	46.86%	21	1.03%
SS29A	2488	1595	1678	989	58.94%	673	40.11%	16	0.95%
SS31	1345	938	901	548	60.82%	349	38.73%	4	0.44%
UC01A	3622	1715	1898	1067	56.22%	808	42.57%	23	1.21%
UC01B	395	210	238	138	57.98%	96	40.34%	4	1.68%
UC01D	6	2	3	1	33.33%	2	66.67%	0	0.00%
UC01E	285	206	241	151	62.66%	88	36.51%	2	0.83%
UC02A	7513	4296	4627	2693	58.20%	1888	40.80%	46	0.99%
UC02B	30	19	17	6	35.29%	10	58.82%	1	5.88%
UC031	2399	1203	1154	685	59.36%	460	39.86%	9	0.78%
UC032	292	186	202	119	58.91%	80	39.60%	3	1.49%
Total	703177	424992	437776	264773	60.48%	168773	38.55%	4230	0.97%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	CONST AMENDMENT 1						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
Jurisdiction Wide							
01A	3199	2362	2329	2177	93.47%	152	6.53%
01B	3839	2737	2709	2498	92.21%	211	7.79%
01C	1459	612	593	535	90.22%	58	9.78%
01D	511	307	296	268	90.54%	28	9.46%
01E	3363	2410	2366	2185	92.35%	181	7.65%
01F	1254	452	436	397	91.06%	39	8.94%
01G	1909	1102	1079	981	90.92%	98	9.08%
01H	758	379	364	336	92.31%	28	7.69%
01J	2416	1184	1142	1027	89.93%	115	10.07%
01P	1244	542	520	476	91.54%	44	8.46%
01R	1344	491	465	413	88.82%	52	11.18%
01S	1749	823	777	696	89.58%	81	10.42%
01T	1506	796	773	699	90.43%	74	9.57%
02A	4584	2949	2888	2620	90.72%	268	9.28%
02B	3518	1265	1242	1120	90.18%	122	9.82%
02C	2037	1205	1172	1089	92.92%	83	7.08%
02D	4475	2660	2608	2427	93.06%	181	6.94%
02E	1905	1423	1408	1311	93.11%	97	6.89%
02F1	2962	2033	1989	1868	93.92%	121	6.08%
02F2	788	458	447	414	92.62%	33	7.38%
02G	3443	2361	2325	2153	92.60%	172	7.40%
02J	2159	1432	1410	1311	92.98%	99	7.02%
02K	1419	951	923	852	92.31%	71	7.69%
02L1	6213	3978	3901	3567	91.44%	334	8.56%
02L2	1364	782	772	720	93.26%	52	6.74%
02S	708	427	422	401	95.02%	21	4.98%
02W	682	399	391	362	92.58%	29	7.42%
03A	1927	692	662	600	90.63%	62	9.37%
03B	560	181	171	146	85.38%	25	14.62%
03C	1534	674	633	566	89.42%	67	10.58%
03D	1017	402	387	348	89.92%	39	10.08%
03E	2284	914	895	803	89.72%	92	10.28%
03F	1925	1115	1101	1001	90.92%	100	9.08%
03G	802	360	343	323	94.17%	20	5.83%
03H	900	369	345	314	91.01%	31	8.99%
03I	1360	566	559	534	95.53%	25	4.47%
03L	594	229	215	194	90.23%	21	9.77%
03M	766	452	443	407	91.87%	36	8.13%
03N	2288	938	914	840	91.90%	74	8.10%
03P1A	3882	1966	1926	1792	93.04%	134	6.96%
03P2	13	7	7	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
03S	761	356	346	305	88.15%	41	11.85%
03T	1505	715	687	635	92.43%	52	7.57%
04A	1202	560	541	482	89.09%	59	10.91%
04B	2242	922	899	832	92.55%	67	7.45%
04C	136	54	53	48	90.57%	5	9.43%
04D	1481	714	693	624	90.04%	69	9.96%
04F	2086	935	914	841	92.01%	73	7.99%
04G	828	312	299	268	89.63%	31	10.37%
04I	3362	561	539	499	92.58%	40	7.42%
04J	1230	317	310	289	93.23%	21	6.77%
04K	2339	1266	1193	1095	91.79%	98	8.21%
04L	1655	867	838	777	92.72%	61	7.28%
04M	773	292	284	258	90.85%	26	9.15%
04S	2165	1118	1060	932	87.92%	128	12.08%
04T	1145	584	561	502	89.48%	59	10.52%
04V	2848	1211	1168	1057	90.50%	111	9.50%
04W	2203	820	801	758	94.63%	43	5.37%
04X1	1942	950	917	838	91.38%	79	8.62%
04X2	737	364	356	325	91.29%	31	8.71%
05A1	2491	1728	1695	1615	95.28%	80	4.72%
05A2	2910	1998	1959	1841	93.98%	118	6.02%
05B	1763	901	855	793	92.75%	62	7.25%
05C	146	67	65	57	87.69%	8	12.31%
05D	386	93	91	86	94.51%	5	5.49%
05F	223	136	133	129	96.99%	4	3.01%
05J	639	416	403	379	94.04%	24	5.96%
05K	2600	1327	1306	1225	93.80%	81	6.20%
06B	1756	1238	1226	1128	92.01%	98	7.99%
06D	8568	6060	5942	5434	91.45%	508	8.55%
06E	2250	1439	1414	1296	91.65%	118	8.35%
06F	2709	1757	1725	1601	92.81%	124	7.19%
06G	536	369	362	325	89.78%	37	10.22%
06I	3412	1957	1924	1798	93.45%	126	6.55%
06J	2539	1904	1875	1687	89.97%	188	10.03%
06L1	917	679	667	608	91.15%	59	8.85%
06L2	654	487	478	421	88.08%	57	11.92%
06N	1844	1085	1055	929	88.06%	126	11.94%
06Q	527	295	292	267	91.44%	25	8.56%
06R	1836	1206	1189	1084	91.17%	105	8.83%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	CONST AMENDMENT 1						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
07A	6600	4093	3949	3439	87.09%	510	12.91%
07B	2763	2107	2056	1748	85.02%	308	14.98%
07C	3927	2498	2367	2019	85.30%	348	14.70%
07D	4231	2413	2328	2071	88.96%	257	11.04%
07E	2031	1358	1322	1156	87.44%	166	12.56%
07F	3017	2041	1995	1753	87.87%	242	12.13%
07H	1923	1424	1380	1189	86.16%	191	13.84%
07J	2647	1753	1714	1540	89.85%	174	10.15%
07M	2155	1180	1154	996	86.31%	158	13.69%
07N	1946	1044	1016	934	91.93%	82	8.07%
08A	2799	1949	1893	1573	83.10%	320	16.90%
08B	3199	2255	2193	1904	86.82%	289	13.18%
08C	1392	984	954	794	83.23%	160	16.77%
08D	1022	770	746	619	82.98%	127	17.02%
08E	2429	1864	1813	1544	85.16%	269	14.84%
08F1	2071	1628	1575	1347	85.52%	228	14.48%
08G	2787	1669	1591	1370	86.11%	221	13.89%
08H	2465	1874	1808	1565	86.56%	243	13.44%
08J	2981	2223	2176	1906	87.59%	270	12.41%
08K	973	702	682	612	89.74%	70	10.26%
08L	4194	2706	2645	2393	90.47%	252	9.53%
08M	1907	1358	1322	1159	87.67%	163	12.33%
08N1	767	587	565	485	85.84%	80	14.16%
08N2	1391	927	890	780	87.64%	110	12.36%
08P	1633	928	908	830	91.41%	78	8.59%
09A	3338	2152	2103	1906	90.63%	197	9.37%
09B	385	174	167	151	90.42%	16	9.58%
09C	2954	1522	1445	1308	90.52%	137	9.48%
09D	1879	858	803	721	89.79%	82	10.21%
09E	2782	1420	1374	1253	91.19%	121	8.81%
09F	3619	2526	2468	2180	88.33%	288	11.67%
09G	3519	2282	2257	2077	92.02%	180	7.98%
09H	1064	645	632	599	94.78%	33	5.22%
09I	1194	503	473	421	89.01%	52	10.99%
09K	4403	1851	1776	1621	91.27%	155	8.73%
09M	2259	1611	1588	1443	90.87%	145	9.13%
10A	2850	1622	1533	1361	88.78%	172	11.22%
10B	3686	2464	2375	2160	90.95%	215	9.05%
10C	2466	1168	1114	1020	91.56%	94	8.44%
10D	4220	1850	1745	1569	89.91%	176	10.09%
10E	950	524	504	438	86.90%	66	13.10%
10F	1053	590	562	508	90.39%	54	9.61%
10G	1205	813	787	694	88.18%	93	11.82%
10H1	408	238	233	203	87.12%	30	12.88%
10H2	2207	1032	980	884	90.20%	96	9.80%
10I	329	258	252	228	90.48%	24	9.52%
10J	1012	466	443	394	88.94%	49	11.06%
10K	1415	858	819	756	92.31%	63	7.69%
10M	2529	1414	1374	1255	91.34%	119	8.66%
10P	1364	668	629	547	86.96%	82	13.04%
10R	713	347	327	297	90.83%	30	9.17%
11B	5162	3088	2971	2635	88.69%	336	11.31%
11C	2822	2003	1952	1768	90.57%	184	9.43%
11E1	1141	634	610	547	89.67%	63	10.33%
11E2	847	497	479	422	88.10%	57	11.90%
11E3	3124	1835	1793	1628	90.80%	165	9.20%
11G	2092	855	819	748	91.33%	71	8.67%
11H	677	356	335	303	90.45%	32	9.55%
11J	1827	1018	967	882	91.21%	85	8.79%
11K	1818	1104	1070	968	90.47%	102	9.53%
11M	3039	1659	1615	1470	91.02%	145	8.98%
11N	2166	1358	1306	1173	89.82%	133	10.18%
11P	1833	1020	960	885	92.19%	75	7.81%
11R	1849	672	639	576	90.14%	63	9.86%
12A	4828	2734	2631	2356	89.55%	275	10.45%
12D	1209	501	489	442	90.39%	47	9.61%
12E1	1621	591	562	500	88.97%	62	11.03%
12F	943	428	414	368	88.89%	46	11.11%
12G	800	430	404	362	89.60%	42	10.40%
12H1	1006	310	297	262	88.22%	35	11.78%
12H2	2562	1189	1138	997	87.61%	141	12.39%
12I	970	413	386	338	87.56%	48	12.44%
12J	2535	1108	1058	934	88.28%	124	11.72%
12K	3022	1240	1192	1063	89.18%	129	10.82%
12L	613	238	223	197	88.34%	26	11.66%
12M	2533	1171	1131	1008	89.12%	123	10.88%
12N	907	327	305	272	89.18%	33	10.82%
12S	1794	959	903	812	89.92%	91	10.08%
AP01A	4724	3141	3030	2618	86.40%	412	13.60%
AP01B	913	516	495	421	85.05%	74	14.95%
AP01C	2233	1498	1469	1236	84.14%	233	15.86%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	CONST AMENDMENT 1						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
AP01D	915	539	526	463	88.02%	63	11.98%
AP02B	474	263	250	221	88.40%	29	11.60%
AP03	741	314	306	277	90.52%	29	9.48%
AP04A	1647	1183	1136	981	86.36%	155	13.64%
AP04B	415	290	286	236	82.52%	50	17.48%
AP05	4367	2981	2881	2444	84.83%	437	15.17%
AP06	1827	1309	1255	1089	86.77%	166	13.23%
AP07A	3422	2102	2043	1748	85.56%	295	14.44%
AP07B	1650	1144	1109	933	84.13%	176	15.87%
AP09A	4051	2687	2602	2230	85.70%	372	14.30%
AP09B	810	531	517	465	89.94%	52	10.06%
AP021	2994	1963	1910	1642	85.97%	268	14.03%
AP022	2210	1429	1370	1215	88.69%	155	11.31%
AP10	942	681	652	562	86.20%	90	13.80%
AP12A	658	374	359	324	90.25%	35	9.75%
AP12B	747	428	411	367	89.29%	44	10.71%
AP12C	1584	1005	975	823	84.41%	152	15.59%
AP14	2972	2027	1985	1730	87.15%	255	12.85%
CH01	330	164	161	131	81.37%	30	18.63%
CH02	319	202	196	148	75.51%	48	24.49%
CH03	379	250	239	175	73.22%	64	26.78%
CH04A	647	444	431	356	82.60%	75	17.40%
CH05	407	260	243	176	72.43%	67	27.57%
CP01B	381	227	219	181	82.65%	38	17.35%
CP011	1135	617	607	529	87.15%	78	12.85%
CP012	1318	844	823	730	88.70%	93	11.30%
CP02	1000	553	537	487	90.69%	50	9.31%
CP04A	8	2	2	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP04B	39	14	13	12	92.31%	1	7.69%
CP05B	143	76	74	66	89.19%	8	10.81%
CP051	1612	874	856	772	90.19%	84	9.81%
CP06A	598	208	202	184	91.09%	18	8.91%
CP07B	7	2	2	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP07C	244	47	44	42	95.45%	2	4.55%
CP07D	694	208	203	186	91.63%	17	8.37%
CP07E	125	41	40	37	92.50%	3	7.50%
CP07F	184	55	53	49	92.45%	4	7.55%
CP081	343	77	76	66	86.84%	10	13.16%
CP083	219	25	25	22	88.00%	3	12.00%
CP084	3	1	1	0	0.00%	1	100.00%
CP08A	705	175	171	152	88.89%	19	11.11%
EP01A	2609	1464	1437	1315	91.51%	122	8.49%
EP01B	3038	1539	1483	1359	91.64%	124	8.36%
EP02A	1967	890	860	785	91.28%	75	8.72%
EP02B	1449	977	954	847	88.78%	107	11.22%
EP02C	428	251	246	220	89.43%	26	10.57%
EP02D	326	192	185	171	92.43%	14	7.57%
EP02E	1382	627	606	558	92.08%	48	7.92%
EP03A	4293	2512	2435	2184	89.69%	251	10.31%
EP03B	1421	973	953	859	90.14%	94	9.86%
EP04A	5432	2626	2573	2317	90.05%	256	9.95%
EP04B	2024	1203	1177	1077	91.50%	100	8.50%
FA01A	3574	2126	2074	1864	89.87%	210	10.13%
FA01B	4833	2965	2882	2549	88.45%	333	11.55%
FA01C	681	401	394	350	88.83%	44	11.17%
HP01	3212	1780	1727	1541	89.23%	186	10.77%
JC01	4977	3215	3108	2683	86.33%	425	13.67%
JC02	2460	1474	1405	1223	87.05%	182	12.95%
JC03A	540	384	377	312	82.76%	65	17.24%
JC03B	1448	955	917	801	87.35%	116	12.65%
JC04A	4042	2692	2621	2282	87.07%	339	12.93%
JC04B	255	156	149	128	85.91%	21	14.09%
JC05	2136	1391	1347	1174	87.16%	173	12.84%
JC06	2627	1580	1537	1262	82.11%	275	17.89%
JC07	4083	2627	2551	2157	84.56%	394	15.44%
JC08	2737	1870	1817	1555	85.58%	262	14.42%
JC09	2928	1878	1831	1608	87.82%	223	12.18%
JC10	2392	1507	1450	1273	87.79%	177	12.21%
JC11	3186	2085	2022	1689	83.53%	333	16.47%
JC12	2455	1753	1700	1338	78.71%	362	21.29%
JC13A	2115	1462	1412	1147	81.23%	265	18.77%
JC13B	271	180	178	134	75.28%	44	24.72%
JC14	2477	1634	1578	1342	85.04%	236	14.96%
JC15	834	523	507	436	86.00%	71	14.00%
JC16	2496	1729	1674	1405	83.93%	269	16.07%
JC18	2670	1969	1912	1647	86.14%	265	13.86%
JC19	3759	2528	2464	2128	86.36%	336	13.64%
ML011	2439	1681	1634	1416	86.66%	218	13.34%
ML012	485	338	321	274	85.36%	47	14.64%
ML01B	138	96	92	71	77.17%	21	22.83%
ML021	1991	1369	1323	1105	83.52%	218	16.48%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	CONST AMENDMENT 1						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
ML022	241	148	142	126	88.73%	16	11.27%
ML023	1542	1027	993	837	84.29%	156	15.71%
ML024	113	70	68	52	76.47%	16	23.53%
ML03	3757	2575	2486	2062	82.94%	424	17.06%
ML04A	1747	1176	1137	977	85.93%	160	14.07%
ML04B	1206	838	824	677	82.16%	147	17.84%
ML04C	79	66	65	53	81.54%	12	18.46%
ML05A	39	27	27	25	92.59%	2	7.41%
ML05B	381	250	241	190	78.84%	51	21.16%
ML05C	4023	2615	2532	2186	86.33%	346	13.67%
ML06A	1973	1024	1007	905	89.87%	102	10.13%
ML06B	2775	1734	1682	1479	87.93%	203	12.07%
ML071	105	73	72	63	87.50%	9	12.50%
ML072	1249	857	830	684	82.41%	146	17.59%
ML07A	707	472	458	385	84.06%	73	15.94%
MP01	450	323	320	273	85.31%	47	14.69%
PA01	2509	1330	1284	1082	84.27%	202	15.73%
RW01	4717	3355	3226	2674	82.89%	552	17.11%
RW02	3794	2675	2598	2242	86.30%	356	13.70%
RW03	4510	2745	2662	2330	87.53%	332	12.47%
RW04	1803	1359	1330	1132	85.11%	198	14.89%
RW05	2322	1207	1176	1029	87.50%	147	12.50%
RW06	2820	1914	1873	1629	86.97%	244	13.03%
RW07A	1549	677	654	581	88.84%	73	11.16%
RW07B	388	214	211	189	89.57%	22	10.43%
RW08	2138	1462	1398	1212	86.70%	186	13.30%
RW09	5918	4216	4094	3399	83.02%	695	16.98%
RW10	3347	2376	2300	1914	83.22%	386	16.78%
RW11A	2667	1693	1658	1456	87.82%	202	12.18%
RW12	5944	4234	4110	3461	84.21%	649	15.79%
RW13	3555	2476	2402	2062	85.85%	340	14.15%
RW16	1850	1275	1243	1030	82.86%	213	17.14%
RW17	2794	1773	1733	1521	87.77%	212	12.23%
RW19	1993	1434	1385	1181	85.27%	204	14.73%
RW20	2486	1797	1744	1499	85.95%	245	14.05%
RW21	3144	2169	2109	1777	84.26%	332	15.74%
RW22A	4406	2486	2416	2122	87.83%	294	12.17%
SC01A	20	25	24	21	87.50%	3	12.50%
SC01B	1707	1295	1281	1159	90.48%	122	9.52%
SC01C	2063	1513	1478	1349	91.27%	129	8.73%
SC01D	294	162	157	142	90.45%	15	9.55%
SC02	872	662	644	580	90.06%	64	9.94%
SC04	922	616	602	512	85.05%	90	14.95%
SC05A	646	409	394	364	92.39%	30	7.61%
SC05B	3204	2188	2141	1944	90.80%	197	9.20%
SC05D	2171	1428	1403	1273	90.73%	130	9.27%
SC05E	623	434	425	378	88.94%	47	11.06%
SC07A	5595	3698	3632	3260	89.76%	372	10.24%
SC07C	29	10	10	10	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08B	3382	2008	1957	1723	88.04%	234	11.96%
SC08C	74	53	51	46	90.20%	5	9.80%
SC08D	499	314	306	281	91.83%	25	8.17%
SC08E	562	316	309	279	90.29%	30	9.71%
SC08F	700	366	363	325	89.53%	38	10.47%
SC08G	12	8	7	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08H	4	3	3	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC09A	1628	839	815	737	90.43%	78	9.57%
SC09B	1687	1084	1053	957	90.88%	96	9.12%
SC09C	1566	842	815	725	88.96%	90	11.04%
SC10	1678	661	640	585	91.41%	55	8.59%
SC11A	1411	813	794	712	89.67%	82	10.33%
SC11B	1506	878	864	788	91.20%	76	8.80%
SC13	2465	1636	1607	1426	88.74%	181	11.26%
SC14A	916	429	421	386	91.69%	35	8.31%
SC14B	502	45	43	42	97.67%	1	2.33%
SC15	6193	4213	4138	3709	89.63%	429	10.37%
SC16A	4601	3208	3137	2826	90.09%	311	9.91%
SC16B	14	15	14	12	85.71%	2	14.29%
SC17A	55	42	40	38	95.00%	2	5.00%
SC17B	7	4	3	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC17C	1134	669	656	599	91.31%	57	8.69%
SC18A	510	329	316	298	94.30%	18	5.70%
SC18B	663	462	455	405	89.01%	50	10.99%
SC18C	1850	1352	1315	1192	90.65%	123	9.35%
SC19A	195	129	126	109	86.51%	17	13.49%
SC19B	1267	690	672	615	91.52%	57	8.48%
SC20	2197	1665	1638	1490	90.96%	148	9.04%
SC211	1328	841	818	724	88.51%	94	11.49%
SC212	3378	1947	1904	1714	90.02%	190	9.98%
SC23A	3161	1730	1697	1524	89.81%	173	10.19%
SC23B	483	284	272	246	90.44%	26	9.56%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	CONST AMENDMENT 1						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
SC23C	2252	1302	1280	1149	89.77%	131	10.23%
SC27	2129	1259	1226	1106	90.21%	120	9.79%
SC29A	2784	1544	1495	1323	88.49%	172	11.51%
SC30A	135	97	92	86	93.48%	6	6.52%
SC30B	960	531	520	461	88.65%	59	11.35%
SS01	4487	3270	3164	2625	82.96%	539	17.04%
SS02A	1120	755	738	639	86.59%	99	13.41%
SS02B	1255	756	732	652	89.07%	80	10.93%
SS03	1464	1027	1011	892	88.23%	119	11.77%
SS04	3264	2064	2005	1758	87.68%	247	12.32%
SS05	1746	1376	1326	1137	85.75%	189	14.25%
SS06	1397	1073	1040	872	83.85%	168	16.15%
SS07A	968	683	645	545	84.50%	100	15.50%
SS07B	609	405	390	354	90.77%	36	9.23%
SS07C	755	436	416	364	87.50%	52	12.50%
SS08A	1316	758	735	664	90.34%	71	9.66%
SS08B	635	453	442	373	84.39%	69	15.61%
SS08C	632	393	381	347	91.08%	34	8.92%
SS08D	1368	847	820	732	89.27%	88	10.73%
SS09A	3003	2210	2139	1807	84.48%	332	15.52%
SS09B	3358	2452	2376	2030	85.44%	346	14.56%
SS11A	3655	2227	2166	1902	87.81%	264	12.19%
SS11B	1257	707	681	610	89.57%	71	10.43%
SS11C	269	146	144	126	87.50%	18	12.50%
SS11D	365	254	240	204	85.00%	36	15.00%
SS12	2559	1732	1690	1469	86.92%	221	13.08%
SS13A	1633	1072	1053	928	88.13%	125	11.87%
SS13B	1001	629	616	544	88.31%	72	11.69%
SS14	1274	977	955	822	86.07%	133	13.93%
SS15A	2572	1199	1169	1053	90.08%	116	9.92%
SS15B	1579	902	886	810	91.42%	76	8.58%
SS16	2030	1534	1496	1245	83.22%	251	16.78%
SS17	3397	2229	2160	1825	84.49%	335	15.51%
SS18A	619	454	441	373	84.58%	68	15.42%
SS18B	221	162	153	128	83.66%	25	16.34%
SS19A	3016	1683	1635	1440	88.07%	195	11.93%
SS19B	2032	1226	1189	1050	88.31%	139	11.69%
SS20	2060	1159	1130	995	88.05%	135	11.95%
SS22	2714	1613	1563	1334	85.35%	229	14.65%
SS26	3552	1822	1782	1591	89.28%	191	10.72%
SS29A	2488	1595	1535	1326	86.38%	209	13.62%
SS31	1345	938	911	785	86.17%	126	13.83%
UC01A	3622	1715	1682	1528	90.84%	154	9.16%
UC01B	395	210	208	184	88.46%	24	11.54%
UC01D	6	2	2	1	50.00%	1	50.00%
UC01E	285	206	201	185	92.04%	16	7.96%
UC02A	7513	4296	4187	3752	89.61%	435	10.39%
UC02B	30	19	18	15	83.33%	3	16.67%
UC031	2399	1203	1145	997	87.07%	148	12.93%
UC032	292	186	182	162	89.01%	20	10.99%
Total	703177	424992	412738	364912	88.41%	47826	11.59%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	CONST AMENDMENT 2						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
Jurisdiction Wide							
01A	3199	2362	2227	1260	56.58%	967	43.42%
01B	3839	2737	2581	1328	51.45%	1253	48.55%
01C	1459	612	579	480	82.90%	99	17.10%
01D	511	307	284	193	67.96%	91	32.04%
01E	3363	2410	2263	1336	59.04%	927	40.96%
01F	1254	452	422	351	83.18%	71	16.82%
01G	1909	1102	1049	730	69.59%	319	30.41%
01H	758	379	352	272	77.27%	80	22.73%
01J	2416	1184	1124	899	79.98%	225	20.02%
01P	1244	542	510	418	81.96%	92	18.04%
01R	1344	491	453	391	86.31%	62	13.69%
01S	1749	823	771	642	83.27%	129	16.73%
01T	1506	796	762	530	69.55%	232	30.45%
02A	4584	2949	2796	1792	64.09%	1004	35.91%
02B	3518	1265	1206	864	71.64%	342	28.36%
02C	2037	1205	1128	757	67.11%	371	32.89%
02D	4475	2660	2502	1606	64.19%	896	35.81%
02E	1905	1423	1355	697	51.44%	658	48.56%
02F1	2962	2033	1906	1151	60.39%	755	39.61%
02F2	788	458	428	292	68.22%	136	31.78%
02G	3443	2361	2232	1383	61.96%	849	38.04%
02J	2159	1432	1356	775	57.15%	581	42.85%
02K	1419	951	871	480	55.11%	391	44.89%
02L1	6213	3978	3754	2420	64.46%	1334	35.54%
02L2	1364	782	744	480	64.52%	264	35.48%
02S	708	427	413	267	64.65%	146	35.35%
02W	682	399	378	249	65.87%	129	34.13%
03A	1927	692	640	515	80.47%	125	19.53%
03B	560	181	162	135	83.33%	27	16.67%
03C	1534	674	618	509	82.36%	109	17.64%
03D	1017	402	376	310	82.45%	66	17.55%
03E	2284	914	876	710	81.05%	166	18.95%
03F	1925	1115	1070	719	67.20%	351	32.80%
03G	802	360	338	284	84.02%	54	15.98%
03H	900	369	340	276	81.18%	64	18.82%
03I	1360	566	533	330	61.91%	203	38.09%
03L	594	229	204	163	79.90%	41	20.10%
03M	766	452	431	267	61.95%	164	38.05%
03N	2288	938	887	716	80.72%	171	19.28%
03P1A	3882	1966	1829	1256	68.67%	573	31.33%
03P2	13	7	7	6	85.71%	1	14.29%
03S	761	356	337	267	79.23%	70	20.77%
03T	1505	715	672	532	79.17%	140	20.83%
04A	1202	560	524	418	79.77%	106	20.23%
04B	2242	922	864	679	78.59%	185	21.41%
04C	136	54	51	40	78.43%	11	21.57%
04D	1481	714	679	533	78.50%	146	21.50%
04F	2086	935	891	664	74.52%	227	25.48%
04G	828	312	285	220	77.19%	65	22.81%
04I	3362	561	523	367	70.17%	156	29.83%
04J	1230	317	306	251	82.03%	55	17.97%
04K	2339	1266	1163	875	75.24%	288	24.76%
04L	1655	867	819	572	69.84%	247	30.16%
04M	773	292	280	218	77.86%	62	22.14%
04S	2165	1118	1035	825	79.71%	210	20.29%
04T	1145	584	547	434	79.34%	113	20.66%
04V	2848	1211	1145	939	82.01%	206	17.99%
04W	2203	820	794	658	82.87%	136	17.13%
04X1	1942	950	897	698	77.81%	199	22.19%
04X2	737	364	345	278	80.58%	67	19.42%
05A1	2491	1728	1613	900	55.80%	713	44.20%
05A2	2910	1998	1865	1103	59.14%	762	40.86%
05B	1763	901	814	598	73.46%	216	26.54%
05C	146	67	62	50	80.65%	12	19.35%
05D	386	93	87	70	80.46%	17	19.54%
05F	223	136	125	94	75.20%	31	24.80%
05J	639	416	396	257	64.90%	139	35.10%
05K	2600	1327	1262	899	71.24%	363	28.76%
06B	1756	1238	1172	696	59.39%	476	40.61%
06D	8568	6060	5677	3531	62.20%	2146	37.80%
06E	2250	1439	1354	889	65.66%	465	34.34%
06F	2709	1757	1649	970	58.82%	679	41.18%
06G	536	369	344	212	61.63%	132	38.37%
06I	3412	1957	1865	1329	71.26%	536	28.74%
06J	2539	1904	1814	1064	58.65%	750	41.35%
06L1	917	679	639	405	63.38%	234	36.62%
06L2	654	487	464	300	64.66%	164	35.34%
06N	1844	1085	1022	727	71.14%	295	28.86%
06Q	527	295	281	176	62.63%	105	37.37%
06R	1836	1206	1155	716	61.99%	439	38.01%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	CONST AMENDMENT 2						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
07A	6600	4093	3774	2744	72.71%	1030	27.29%
07B	2763	2107	1954	1409	72.11%	545	27.89%
07C	3927	2498	2259	1607	71.14%	652	28.86%
07D	4231	2413	2195	1621	73.85%	574	26.15%
07E	2031	1358	1269	907	71.47%	362	28.53%
07F	3017	2041	1934	1381	71.41%	553	28.59%
07H	1923	1424	1329	952	71.63%	377	28.37%
07J	2647	1753	1625	1124	69.17%	501	30.83%
07M	2155	1180	1108	777	70.13%	331	29.87%
07N	1946	1044	975	728	74.67%	247	25.33%
08A	2799	1949	1792	1329	74.16%	463	25.84%
08B	3199	2255	2075	1488	71.71%	587	28.29%
08C	1392	984	917	690	75.25%	227	24.75%
08D	1022	770	708	519	73.31%	189	26.69%
08E	2429	1864	1733	1267	73.11%	466	26.89%
08F1	2071	1628	1474	1094	74.22%	380	25.78%
08G	2787	1669	1532	1101	71.87%	431	28.13%
08H	2465	1874	1737	1220	70.24%	517	29.76%
08J	2981	2223	2033	1473	72.45%	560	27.55%
08K	973	702	668	476	71.26%	192	28.74%
08L	4194	2706	2548	1758	69.00%	790	31.00%
08M	1907	1358	1251	920	73.54%	331	26.46%
08N1	767	587	534	403	75.47%	131	24.53%
08N2	1391	927	839	606	72.23%	233	27.77%
08P	1633	928	882	616	69.84%	266	30.16%
09A	3338	2152	2033	1390	68.37%	643	31.63%
09B	385	174	168	141	83.93%	27	16.07%
09C	2954	1522	1413	1098	77.71%	315	22.29%
09D	1879	858	782	637	81.46%	145	18.54%
09E	2782	1420	1335	1049	78.58%	286	21.42%
09F	3619	2526	2384	1680	70.47%	704	29.53%
09G	3519	2282	2171	1463	67.39%	708	32.61%
09H	1064	645	612	409	66.83%	203	33.17%
09I	1194	503	459	383	83.44%	76	16.56%
09K	4403	1851	1732	1446	83.49%	286	16.51%
09M	2259	1611	1527	1012	66.27%	515	33.73%
10A	2850	1622	1511	1213	80.28%	298	19.72%
10B	3686	2464	2323	1756	75.59%	567	24.41%
10C	2466	1168	1100	933	84.82%	167	15.18%
10D	4220	1850	1714	1420	82.85%	294	17.15%
10E	950	524	487	374	76.80%	113	23.20%
10F	1053	590	552	437	79.17%	115	20.83%
10G	1205	813	762	568	74.54%	194	25.46%
10H1	408	238	228	161	70.61%	67	29.39%
10H2	2207	1032	964	790	81.95%	174	18.05%
10I	329	258	248	173	69.76%	75	30.24%
10J	1012	466	436	343	78.67%	93	21.33%
10K	1415	858	793	613	77.30%	180	22.70%
10M	2529	1414	1333	964	72.32%	369	27.68%
10P	1364	668	616	496	80.52%	120	19.48%
10R	713	347	320	272	85.00%	48	15.00%
11B	5162	3088	2906	2212	76.12%	694	23.88%
11C	2822	2003	1925	1412	73.35%	513	26.65%
11E1	1141	634	605	477	78.84%	128	21.16%
11E2	847	497	465	369	79.35%	96	20.65%
11E3	3124	1835	1765	1413	80.06%	352	19.94%
11G	2092	855	797	666	83.56%	131	16.44%
11H	677	356	324	260	80.25%	64	19.75%
11J	1827	1018	951	786	82.65%	165	17.35%
11K	1818	1104	1026	776	75.63%	250	24.37%
11M	3039	1659	1582	1232	77.88%	350	22.12%
11N	2166	1358	1263	986	78.07%	277	21.93%
11P	1833	1020	937	795	84.85%	142	15.15%
11R	1849	672	626	524	83.71%	102	16.29%
12A	4828	2734	2544	1811	71.19%	733	28.81%
12D	1209	501	477	388	81.34%	89	18.66%
12E1	1621	591	549	440	80.15%	109	19.85%
12F	943	428	405	334	82.47%	71	17.53%
12G	800	430	393	340	86.51%	53	13.49%
12H1	1006	310	291	246	84.54%	45	15.46%
12H2	2562	1189	1109	881	79.44%	228	20.56%
12I	970	413	379	309	81.53%	70	18.47%
12J	2535	1108	1031	882	85.55%	149	14.45%
12K	3022	1240	1154	867	75.13%	287	24.87%
12L	613	238	218	171	78.44%	47	21.56%
12M	2533	1171	1105	890	80.54%	215	19.46%
12N	907	327	299	233	77.93%	66	22.07%
12S	1794	959	868	671	77.30%	197	22.70%
AP01A	4724	3141	2891	2126	73.54%	765	26.46%
AP01B	913	516	480	350	72.92%	130	27.08%
AP01C	2233	1498	1396	1019	72.99%	377	27.01%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	CONST AMENDMENT 2						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
AP01D	915	539	505	337	66.73%	168	33.27%
AP02B	474	263	237	189	79.75%	48	20.25%
AP03	741	314	298	239	80.20%	59	19.80%
AP04A	1647	1183	1090	779	71.47%	311	28.53%
AP04B	415	290	278	208	74.82%	70	25.18%
AP05	4367	2981	2786	2034	73.01%	752	26.99%
AP06	1827	1309	1220	869	71.23%	351	28.77%
AP07A	3422	2102	1965	1430	72.77%	535	27.23%
AP07B	1650	1144	1064	780	73.31%	284	26.69%
AP09A	4051	2687	2502	1798	71.86%	704	28.14%
AP09B	810	531	493	353	71.60%	140	28.40%
AP021	2994	1963	1847	1299	70.33%	548	29.67%
AP022	2210	1429	1312	973	74.16%	339	25.84%
AP10	942	681	622	444	71.38%	178	28.62%
AP12A	658	374	342	244	71.35%	98	28.65%
AP12B	747	428	394	289	73.35%	105	26.65%
AP12C	1584	1005	931	687	73.79%	244	26.21%
AP14	2972	2027	1887	1374	72.81%	513	27.19%
CH01	330	164	157	107	68.15%	50	31.85%
CH02	319	202	192	114	59.38%	78	40.63%
CH03	379	250	230	132	57.39%	98	42.61%
CH04A	647	444	406	222	54.68%	184	45.32%
CH05	407	260	240	134	55.83%	106	44.17%
CP01B	381	227	213	151	70.89%	62	29.11%
CP011	1135	617	589	430	73.01%	159	26.99%
CP012	1318	844	799	572	71.59%	227	28.41%
CP02	1000	553	516	411	79.65%	105	20.35%
CP04A	8	2	2	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP04B	39	14	14	12	85.71%	2	14.29%
CP05B	143	76	73	61	83.56%	12	16.44%
CP051	1612	874	842	698	82.90%	144	17.10%
CP06A	598	208	198	163	82.32%	35	17.68%
CP07B	7	2	2	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP07C	244	47	43	38	88.37%	5	11.63%
CP07D	694	208	200	174	87.00%	26	13.00%
CP07E	125	41	39	32	82.05%	7	17.95%
CP07F	184	55	52	48	92.31%	4	7.69%
CP081	343	77	76	59	77.63%	17	22.37%
CP083	219	25	24	21	87.50%	3	12.50%
CP084	3	1	1	0	0.00%	1	100.00%
CP08A	705	175	166	141	84.94%	25	15.06%
EP01A	2609	1464	1397	1026	73.44%	371	26.56%
EP01B	3038	1539	1461	1145	78.37%	316	21.63%
EP02A	1967	890	838	689	82.22%	149	17.78%
EP02B	1449	977	925	585	63.24%	340	36.76%
EP02C	428	251	236	167	70.76%	69	29.24%
EP02D	326	192	184	148	80.43%	36	19.57%
EP02E	1382	627	588	462	78.57%	126	21.43%
EP03A	4293	2512	2382	1817	76.28%	565	23.72%
EP03B	1421	973	932	712	76.39%	220	23.61%
EP04A	5432	2626	2543	2054	80.77%	489	19.23%
EP04B	2024	1203	1165	905	77.68%	260	22.32%
FA01A	3574	2126	2035	1594	78.33%	441	21.67%
FA01B	4833	2965	2825	2257	79.89%	568	20.11%
FA01C	681	401	385	315	81.82%	70	18.18%
HP01	3212	1780	1686	1203	71.35%	483	28.65%
JC01	4977	3215	2997	2159	72.04%	838	27.96%
JC02	2460	1474	1351	991	73.35%	360	26.65%
JC03A	540	384	366	249	68.03%	117	31.97%
JC03B	1448	955	883	663	75.08%	220	24.92%
JC04A	4042	2692	2510	1815	72.31%	695	27.69%
JC04B	255	156	140	97	69.29%	43	30.71%
JC05	2136	1391	1292	933	72.21%	359	27.79%
JC06	2627	1580	1480	1079	72.91%	401	27.09%
JC07	4083	2627	2444	1742	71.28%	702	28.72%
JC08	2737	1870	1746	1265	72.45%	481	27.55%
JC09	2928	1878	1744	1229	70.47%	515	29.53%
JC10	2392	1507	1392	983	70.62%	409	29.38%
JC11	3186	2085	1944	1387	71.35%	557	28.65%
JC12	2455	1753	1632	1124	68.87%	508	31.13%
JC13A	2115	1462	1356	973	71.76%	383	28.24%
JC13B	271	180	170	124	72.94%	46	27.06%
JC14	2477	1634	1521	1122	73.77%	399	26.23%
JC15	834	523	488	348	71.31%	140	28.69%
JC16	2496	1729	1605	1078	67.17%	527	32.83%
JC18	2670	1969	1829	1273	69.60%	556	30.40%
JC19	3759	2528	2340	1631	69.70%	709	30.30%
ML011	2439	1681	1592	1207	75.82%	385	24.18%
ML012	485	338	316	233	73.73%	83	26.27%
ML01B	138	96	89	65	73.03%	24	26.97%
ML021	1991	1369	1278	906	70.89%	372	29.11%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	CONST AMENDMENT 2						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
ML022	241	148	138	104	75.36%	34	24.64%
ML023	1542	1027	952	725	76.16%	227	23.84%
ML024	113	70	61	41	67.21%	20	32.79%
ML03	3757	2575	2362	1716	72.65%	646	27.35%
ML04A	1747	1176	1087	782	71.94%	305	28.06%
ML04B	1206	838	794	547	68.89%	247	31.11%
ML04C	79	66	63	51	80.95%	12	19.05%
ML05A	39	27	25	20	80.00%	5	20.00%
ML05B	381	250	229	156	68.12%	73	31.88%
ML05C	4023	2615	2447	1788	73.07%	659	26.93%
ML06A	1973	1024	973	702	72.15%	271	27.85%
ML06B	2775	1734	1623	1202	74.06%	421	25.94%
ML071	105	73	70	48	68.57%	22	31.43%
ML072	1249	857	812	595	73.28%	217	26.72%
ML07A	707	472	439	321	73.12%	118	26.88%
MP01	450	323	307	217	70.68%	90	29.32%
PA01	2509	1330	1259	956	75.93%	303	24.07%
RW01	4717	3355	3078	2157	70.08%	921	29.92%
RW02	3794	2675	2491	1703	68.37%	788	31.63%
RW03	4510	2745	2563	1814	70.78%	749	29.22%
RW04	1803	1359	1273	835	65.59%	438	34.41%
RW05	2322	1207	1148	855	74.48%	293	25.52%
RW06	2820	1914	1789	1222	68.31%	567	31.69%
RW07A	1549	677	644	480	74.53%	164	25.47%
RW07B	388	214	204	146	71.57%	58	28.43%
RW08	2138	1462	1347	956	70.97%	391	29.03%
RW09	5918	4216	3925	2804	71.44%	1121	28.56%
RW10	3347	2376	2216	1511	68.19%	705	31.81%
RW11A	2667	1693	1602	1119	69.85%	483	30.15%
RW12	5944	4234	3937	2780	70.61%	1157	29.39%
RW13	3555	2476	2314	1566	67.68%	748	32.32%
RW16	1850	1275	1193	793	66.47%	400	33.53%
RW17	2794	1773	1643	1103	67.13%	540	32.87%
RW19	1993	1434	1321	938	71.01%	383	28.99%
RW20	2486	1797	1675	1219	72.78%	456	27.22%
RW21	3144	2169	2010	1462	72.74%	548	27.26%
RW22A	4406	2486	2325	1698	73.03%	627	26.97%
SC01A	20	25	22	15	68.18%	7	31.82%
SC01B	1707	1295	1257	962	76.53%	295	23.47%
SC01C	2063	1513	1446	1122	77.59%	324	22.41%
SC01D	294	162	159	130	81.76%	29	18.24%
SC02	872	662	638	477	74.76%	161	25.24%
SC04	922	616	587	425	72.40%	162	27.60%
SC05A	646	409	386	327	84.72%	59	15.28%
SC05B	3204	2188	2098	1627	77.55%	471	22.45%
SC05D	2171	1428	1385	1139	82.24%	246	17.76%
SC05E	623	434	421	321	76.25%	100	23.75%
SC07A	5595	3698	3571	2831	79.28%	740	20.72%
SC07C	29	10	10	8	80.00%	2	20.00%
SC08B	3382	2008	1917	1547	80.70%	370	19.30%
SC08C	74	53	51	42	82.35%	9	17.65%
SC08D	499	314	304	242	79.61%	62	20.39%
SC08E	562	316	306	247	80.72%	59	19.28%
SC08F	700	366	358	296	82.68%	62	17.32%
SC08G	12	8	7	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08H	4	3	3	1	33.33%	2	66.67%
SC09A	1628	839	802	664	82.79%	138	17.21%
SC09B	1687	1084	1036	824	79.54%	212	20.46%
SC09C	1566	842	799	641	80.23%	158	19.77%
SC10	1678	661	629	527	83.78%	102	16.22%
SC11A	1411	813	779	639	82.03%	140	17.97%
SC11B	1506	878	851	711	83.55%	140	16.45%
SC13	2465	1636	1575	1238	78.60%	337	21.40%
SC14A	916	429	410	333	81.22%	77	18.78%
SC14B	502	45	39	35	89.74%	4	10.26%
SC15	6193	4213	4067	3206	78.83%	861	21.17%
SC16A	4601	3208	3100	2386	76.97%	714	23.03%
SC16B	14	15	13	12	92.31%	1	7.69%
SC17A	55	42	40	33	82.50%	7	17.50%
SC17B	7	4	3	2	66.67%	1	33.33%
SC17C	1134	669	646	519	80.34%	127	19.66%
SC18A	510	329	314	258	82.17%	56	17.83%
SC18B	663	462	450	343	76.22%	107	23.78%
SC18C	1850	1352	1295	970	74.90%	325	25.10%
SC19A	195	129	125	98	78.40%	27	21.60%
SC19B	1267	690	662	546	82.48%	116	17.52%
SC20	2197	1665	1614	1232	76.33%	382	23.67%
SC211	1328	841	809	650	80.35%	159	19.65%
SC212	3378	1947	1865	1516	81.29%	349	18.71%
SC23A	3161	1730	1675	1375	82.09%	300	17.91%
SC23B	483	284	267	219	82.02%	48	17.98%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	CONST AMENDMENT 2						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
SC23C	2252	1302	1255	1071	85.34%	184	14.66%
SC27	2129	1259	1206	982	81.43%	224	18.57%
SC29A	2784	1544	1475	1203	81.56%	272	18.44%
SC30A	135	97	94	78	82.98%	16	17.02%
SC30B	960	531	506	392	77.47%	114	22.53%
SS01	4487	3270	3055	2132	69.79%	923	30.21%
SS02A	1120	755	708	477	67.37%	231	32.63%
SS02B	1255	756	710	495	69.72%	215	30.28%
SS03	1464	1027	978	661	67.59%	317	32.41%
SS04	3264	2064	1941	1393	71.77%	548	28.23%
SS05	1746	1376	1266	811	64.06%	455	35.94%
SS06	1397	1073	1003	666	66.40%	337	33.60%
SS07A	968	683	625	422	67.52%	203	32.48%
SS07B	609	405	376	271	72.07%	105	27.93%
SS07C	755	436	390	275	70.51%	115	29.49%
SS08A	1316	758	701	509	72.61%	192	27.39%
SS08B	635	453	424	295	69.58%	129	30.42%
SS08C	632	393	366	273	74.59%	93	25.41%
SS08D	1368	847	787	560	71.16%	227	28.84%
SS09A	3003	2210	2068	1478	71.47%	590	28.53%
SS09B	3358	2452	2267	1589	70.09%	678	29.91%
SS11A	3655	2227	2088	1478	70.79%	610	29.21%
SS11B	1257	707	655	455	69.47%	200	30.53%
SS11C	269	146	137	94	68.61%	43	31.39%
SS11D	365	254	230	173	75.22%	57	24.78%
SS12	2559	1732	1609	1172	72.84%	437	27.16%
SS13A	1633	1072	993	691	69.59%	302	30.41%
SS13B	1001	629	596	459	77.01%	137	22.99%
SS14	1274	977	910	642	70.55%	268	29.45%
SS15A	2572	1199	1144	857	74.91%	287	25.09%
SS15B	1579	902	853	604	70.81%	249	29.19%
SS16	2030	1534	1436	1010	70.33%	426	29.67%
SS17	3397	2229	2076	1457	70.18%	619	29.82%
SS18A	619	454	425	274	64.47%	151	35.53%
SS18B	221	162	149	96	64.43%	53	35.57%
SS19A	3016	1683	1580	1153	72.97%	427	27.03%
SS19B	2032	1226	1145	850	74.24%	295	25.76%
SS20	2060	1159	1082	778	71.90%	304	28.10%
SS22	2714	1613	1514	1098	72.52%	416	27.48%
SS26	3552	1822	1717	1254	73.03%	463	26.97%
SS29A	2488	1595	1474	1024	69.47%	450	30.53%
SS31	1345	938	872	612	70.18%	260	29.82%
UC01A	3622	1715	1659	1383	83.36%	276	16.64%
UC01B	395	210	204	167	81.86%	37	18.14%
UC01D	6	2	2	1	50.00%	1	50.00%
UC01E	285	206	198	162	81.82%	36	18.18%
UC02A	7513	4296	4120	3370	81.80%	750	18.20%
UC02B	30	19	18	13	72.22%	5	27.78%
UC031	2399	1203	1116	909	81.45%	207	18.55%
UC032	292	186	178	145	81.46%	33	18.54%
Total	703177	424992	398928	290084	72.72%	108844	27.28%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	CONST AMENDMENT 3						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
Jurisdiction Wide							
01A	3199	2362	2193	1585	72.28%	608	27.72%
01B	3839	2737	2555	1877	73.46%	678	26.54%
01C	1459	612	565	400	70.80%	165	29.20%
01D	511	307	284	214	75.35%	70	24.65%
01E	3363	2410	2242	1594	71.10%	648	28.90%
01F	1254	452	414	285	68.84%	129	31.16%
01G	1909	1102	1029	738	71.72%	291	28.28%
01H	758	379	343	254	74.05%	89	25.95%
01J	2416	1184	1085	746	68.76%	339	31.24%
01P	1244	542	483	317	65.63%	166	34.37%
01R	1344	491	445	308	69.21%	137	30.79%
01S	1749	823	750	511	68.13%	239	31.87%
01T	1506	796	741	511	68.96%	230	31.04%
02A	4584	2949	2747	1960	71.35%	787	28.65%
02B	3518	1265	1186	813	68.55%	373	31.45%
02C	2037	1205	1104	812	73.55%	292	26.45%
02D	4475	2660	2473	1835	74.20%	638	25.80%
02E	1905	1423	1343	976	72.67%	367	27.33%
02F1	2962	2033	1885	1449	76.87%	436	23.13%
02F2	788	458	420	307	73.10%	113	26.90%
02G	3443	2361	2205	1601	72.61%	604	27.39%
02J	2159	1432	1349	982	72.79%	367	27.21%
02K	1419	951	857	627	73.16%	230	26.84%
02L1	6213	3978	3707	2708	73.05%	999	26.95%
02L2	1364	782	738	532	72.09%	206	27.91%
02S	708	427	406	316	77.83%	90	22.17%
02W	682	399	360	254	70.56%	106	29.44%
03A	1927	692	627	423	67.46%	204	32.54%
03B	560	181	158	109	68.99%	49	31.01%
03C	1534	674	597	426	71.36%	171	28.64%
03D	1017	402	371	261	70.35%	110	29.65%
03E	2284	914	855	587	68.65%	268	31.35%
03F	1925	1115	1051	744	70.79%	307	29.21%
03G	802	360	337	237	70.33%	100	29.67%
03H	900	369	329	234	71.12%	95	28.88%
03I	1360	566	534	399	74.72%	135	25.28%
03L	594	229	205	154	75.12%	51	24.88%
03M	766	452	422	322	76.30%	100	23.70%
03N	2288	938	881	661	75.03%	220	24.97%
03P1A	3882	1966	1821	1331	73.09%	490	26.91%
03P2	13	7	7	6	85.71%	1	14.29%
03S	761	356	332	216	65.06%	116	34.94%
03T	1505	715	661	472	71.41%	189	28.59%
04A	1202	560	513	360	70.18%	153	29.82%
04B	2242	922	855	606	70.88%	249	29.12%
04C	136	54	49	33	67.35%	16	32.65%
04D	1481	714	646	452	69.97%	194	30.03%
04F	2086	935	870	620	71.26%	250	28.74%
04G	828	312	285	203	71.23%	82	28.77%
04I	3362	561	510	359	70.39%	151	29.61%
04J	1230	317	303	206	67.99%	97	32.01%
04K	2339	1266	1135	805	70.93%	330	29.07%
04L	1655	867	809	552	68.23%	257	31.77%
04M	773	292	276	197	71.38%	79	28.62%
04S	2165	1118	995	703	70.65%	292	29.35%
04T	1145	584	523	363	69.41%	160	30.59%
04V	2848	1211	1130	771	68.23%	359	31.77%
04W	2203	820	775	567	73.16%	208	26.84%
04X1	1942	950	887	615	69.33%	272	30.67%
04X2	737	364	330	241	73.03%	89	26.97%
05A1	2491	1728	1598	1216	76.10%	382	23.90%
05A2	2910	1998	1843	1378	74.77%	465	25.23%
05B	1763	901	804	592	73.63%	212	26.37%
05C	146	67	64	49	76.56%	15	23.44%
05D	386	93	87	64	73.56%	23	26.44%
05F	223	136	129	102	79.07%	27	20.93%
05J	639	416	389	289	74.29%	100	25.71%
05K	2600	1327	1250	940	75.20%	310	24.80%
06B	1756	1238	1160	832	71.72%	328	28.28%
06D	8568	6060	5574	3955	70.95%	1619	29.05%
06E	2250	1439	1337	958	71.65%	379	28.35%
06F	2709	1757	1613	1198	74.27%	415	25.73%
06G	536	369	338	254	75.15%	84	24.85%
06I	3412	1957	1821	1326	72.82%	495	27.18%
06J	2539	1904	1771	1258	71.03%	513	28.97%
06L1	917	679	615	429	69.76%	186	30.24%
06L2	654	487	450	300	66.67%	150	33.33%
06N	1844	1085	1006	658	65.41%	348	34.59%
06Q	527	295	276	188	68.12%	88	31.88%
06R	1836	1206	1144	862	75.35%	282	24.65%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	CONST AMENDMENT 3						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
07A	6600	4093	3723	2476	66.51%	1247	33.49%
07B	2763	2107	1905	1225	64.30%	680	35.70%
07C	3927	2498	2232	1508	67.56%	724	32.44%
07D	4231	2413	2163	1498	69.26%	665	30.74%
07E	2031	1358	1233	840	68.13%	393	31.87%
07F	3017	2041	1861	1290	69.32%	571	30.68%
07H	1923	1424	1304	874	67.02%	430	32.98%
07J	2647	1753	1593	1121	70.37%	472	29.63%
07M	2155	1180	1073	697	64.96%	376	35.04%
07N	1946	1044	956	702	73.43%	254	26.57%
08A	2799	1949	1797	1168	65.00%	629	35.00%
08B	3199	2255	2030	1343	66.16%	687	33.84%
08C	1392	984	896	592	66.07%	304	33.93%
08D	1022	770	705	464	65.82%	241	34.18%
08E	2429	1864	1701	1087	63.90%	614	36.10%
08F1	2071	1628	1461	972	66.53%	489	33.47%
08G	2787	1669	1494	1016	68.01%	478	31.99%
08H	2465	1874	1702	1126	66.16%	576	33.84%
08J	2981	2223	2006	1390	69.29%	616	30.71%
08K	973	702	650	451	69.38%	199	30.62%
08L	4194	2706	2485	1763	70.95%	722	29.05%
08M	1907	1358	1220	838	68.69%	382	31.31%
08N1	767	587	521	347	66.60%	174	33.40%
08N2	1391	927	823	588	71.45%	235	28.55%
08P	1633	928	871	612	70.26%	259	29.74%
09A	3338	2152	1994	1390	69.71%	604	30.29%
09B	385	174	162	103	63.58%	59	36.42%
09C	2954	1522	1372	935	68.15%	437	31.85%
09D	1879	858	755	512	67.81%	243	32.19%
09E	2782	1420	1301	878	67.49%	423	32.51%
09F	3619	2526	2306	1573	68.21%	733	31.79%
09G	3519	2282	2133	1524	71.45%	609	28.55%
09H	1064	645	600	435	72.50%	165	27.50%
09I	1194	503	439	315	71.75%	124	28.25%
09K	4403	1851	1696	1173	69.16%	523	30.84%
09M	2259	1611	1506	994	66.00%	512	34.00%
10A	2850	1622	1474	953	64.65%	521	35.35%
10B	3686	2464	2257	1509	66.86%	748	33.14%
10C	2466	1168	1073	725	67.57%	348	32.43%
10D	4220	1850	1673	1172	70.05%	501	29.95%
10E	950	524	475	326	68.63%	149	31.37%
10F	1053	590	532	352	66.17%	180	33.83%
10G	1205	813	755	507	67.15%	248	32.85%
10H1	408	238	216	131	60.65%	85	39.35%
10H2	2207	1032	936	667	71.26%	269	28.74%
10I	329	258	238	150	63.03%	88	36.97%
10J	1012	466	432	287	66.44%	145	33.56%
10K	1415	858	788	519	65.86%	269	34.14%
10M	2529	1414	1312	905	68.98%	407	31.02%
10P	1364	668	590	396	67.12%	194	32.88%
10R	713	347	308	232	75.32%	76	24.68%
11B	5162	3088	2836	1815	64.00%	1021	36.00%
11C	2822	2003	1874	1274	67.98%	600	32.02%
11E1	1141	634	591	397	67.17%	194	32.83%
11E2	847	497	455	295	64.84%	160	35.16%
11E3	3124	1835	1734	1164	67.13%	570	32.87%
11G	2092	855	777	576	74.13%	201	25.87%
11H	677	356	318	226	71.07%	92	28.93%
11J	1827	1018	922	666	72.23%	256	27.77%
11K	1818	1104	1006	651	64.71%	355	35.29%
11M	3039	1659	1565	1066	68.12%	499	31.88%
11N	2166	1358	1215	823	67.74%	392	32.26%
11P	1833	1020	902	659	73.06%	243	26.94%
11R	1849	672	611	441	72.18%	170	27.82%
12A	4828	2734	2514	1737	69.09%	777	30.91%
12D	1209	501	467	325	69.59%	142	30.41%
12E1	1621	591	532	369	69.36%	163	30.64%
12F	943	428	397	271	68.26%	126	31.74%
12G	800	430	377	279	74.01%	98	25.99%
12H1	1006	310	294	193	65.65%	101	34.35%
12H2	2562	1189	1091	724	66.36%	367	33.64%
12I	970	413	371	261	70.35%	110	29.65%
12J	2535	1108	1002	715	71.36%	287	28.64%
12K	3022	1240	1137	817	71.86%	320	28.14%
12L	613	238	209	139	66.51%	70	33.49%
12M	2533	1171	1072	749	69.87%	323	30.13%
12N	907	327	296	213	71.96%	83	28.04%
12S	1794	959	845	586	69.35%	259	30.65%
AP01A	4724	3141	2860	1888	66.01%	972	33.99%
AP01B	913	516	468	309	66.03%	159	33.97%
AP01C	2233	1498	1368	845	61.77%	523	38.23%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	CONST AMENDMENT 3						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
AP01D	915	539	495	342	69.09%	153	30.91%
AP02B	474	263	236	172	72.88%	64	27.12%
AP03	741	314	297	208	70.03%	89	29.97%
AP04A	1647	1183	1074	724	67.41%	350	32.59%
AP04B	415	290	272	175	64.34%	97	35.66%
AP05	4367	2981	2732	1807	66.14%	925	33.86%
AP06	1827	1309	1192	814	68.29%	378	31.71%
AP07A	3422	2102	1926	1324	68.74%	602	31.26%
AP07B	1650	1144	1051	712	67.75%	339	32.25%
AP09A	4051	2687	2457	1659	67.52%	798	32.48%
AP09B	810	531	485	331	68.25%	154	31.75%
AP021	2994	1963	1817	1222	67.25%	595	32.75%
AP022	2210	1429	1299	919	70.75%	380	29.25%
AP10	942	681	618	444	71.84%	174	28.16%
AP12A	658	374	340	244	71.76%	96	28.24%
AP12B	747	428	389	280	71.98%	109	28.02%
AP12C	1584	1005	916	591	64.52%	325	35.48%
AP14	2972	2027	1877	1296	69.05%	581	30.95%
CH01	330	164	154	84	54.55%	70	45.45%
CH02	319	202	185	100	54.05%	85	45.95%
CH03	379	250	225	125	55.56%	100	44.44%
CH04A	647	444	408	254	62.25%	154	37.75%
CH05	407	260	234	116	49.57%	118	50.43%
CP01B	381	227	210	131	62.38%	79	37.62%
CP011	1135	617	576	384	66.67%	192	33.33%
CP012	1318	844	788	537	68.15%	251	31.85%
CP02	1000	553	504	348	69.05%	156	30.95%
CP04A	8	2	2	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP04B	39	14	13	8	61.54%	5	38.46%
CP05B	143	76	73	50	68.49%	23	31.51%
CP051	1612	874	814	562	69.04%	252	30.96%
CP06A	598	208	196	135	68.88%	61	31.12%
CP07B	7	2	2	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP07C	244	47	44	30	68.18%	14	31.82%
CP07D	694	208	198	137	69.19%	61	30.81%
CP07E	125	41	36	23	63.89%	13	36.11%
CP07F	184	55	52	41	78.85%	11	21.15%
CP081	343	77	72	40	55.56%	32	44.44%
CP083	219	25	24	16	66.67%	8	33.33%
CP084	3	1	1	0	0.00%	1	100.00%
CP08A	705	175	167	114	68.26%	53	31.74%
EP01A	2609	1464	1357	951	70.08%	406	29.92%
EP01B	3038	1539	1440	1012	70.28%	428	29.72%
EP02A	1967	890	820	577	70.37%	243	29.63%
EP02B	1449	977	928	601	64.76%	327	35.24%
EP02C	428	251	236	163	69.07%	73	30.93%
EP02D	326	192	178	125	70.22%	53	29.78%
EP02E	1382	627	576	416	72.22%	160	27.78%
EP03A	4293	2512	2316	1558	67.27%	758	32.73%
EP03B	1421	973	901	621	68.92%	280	31.08%
EP04A	5432	2626	2480	1645	66.33%	835	33.67%
EP04B	2024	1203	1139	736	64.62%	403	35.38%
FA01A	3574	2126	1984	1272	64.11%	712	35.89%
FA01B	4833	2965	2759	1794	65.02%	965	34.98%
FA01C	681	401	373	247	66.22%	126	33.78%
HP01	3212	1780	1667	1130	67.79%	537	32.21%
JC01	4977	3215	2952	1987	67.31%	965	32.69%
JC02	2460	1474	1330	869	65.34%	461	34.66%
JC03A	540	384	362	236	65.19%	126	34.81%
JC03B	1448	955	868	592	68.20%	276	31.80%
JC04A	4042	2692	2476	1647	66.52%	829	33.48%
JC04B	255	156	143	93	65.03%	50	34.97%
JC05	2136	1391	1280	849	66.33%	431	33.67%
JC06	2627	1580	1454	921	63.34%	533	36.66%
JC07	4083	2627	2402	1584	65.95%	818	34.05%
JC08	2737	1870	1709	1124	65.77%	585	34.23%
JC09	2928	1878	1720	1131	65.76%	589	34.24%
JC10	2392	1507	1367	913	66.79%	454	33.21%
JC11	3186	2085	1911	1223	64.00%	688	36.00%
JC12	2455	1753	1615	975	60.37%	640	39.63%
JC13A	2115	1462	1343	817	60.83%	526	39.17%
JC13B	271	180	166	100	60.24%	66	39.76%
JC14	2477	1634	1509	951	63.02%	558	36.98%
JC15	834	523	491	325	66.19%	166	33.81%
JC16	2496	1729	1584	1043	65.85%	541	34.15%
JC18	2670	1969	1782	1142	64.09%	640	35.91%
JC19	3759	2528	2302	1513	65.73%	789	34.27%
ML011	2439	1681	1556	1087	69.86%	469	30.14%
ML012	485	338	312	217	69.55%	95	30.45%
ML01B	138	96	86	47	54.65%	39	45.35%
ML021	1991	1369	1254	812	64.75%	442	35.25%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	CONST AMENDMENT 3						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
ML022	241	148	137	99	72.26%	38	27.74%
ML023	1542	1027	934	622	66.60%	312	33.40%
ML024	113	70	62	33	53.23%	29	46.77%
ML03	3757	2575	2326	1553	66.77%	773	33.23%
ML04A	1747	1176	1071	711	66.39%	360	33.61%
ML04B	1206	838	785	502	63.95%	283	36.05%
ML04C	79	66	61	40	65.57%	21	34.43%
ML05A	39	27	24	21	87.50%	3	12.50%
ML05B	381	250	228	130	57.02%	98	42.98%
ML05C	4023	2615	2419	1631	67.42%	788	32.58%
ML06A	1973	1024	960	676	70.42%	284	29.58%
ML06B	2775	1734	1617	1122	69.39%	495	30.61%
ML071	105	73	66	44	66.67%	22	33.33%
ML072	1249	857	800	493	61.63%	307	38.38%
ML07A	707	472	432	268	62.04%	164	37.96%
MP01	450	323	304	209	68.75%	95	31.25%
PA01	2509	1330	1226	785	64.03%	441	35.97%
RW01	4717	3355	3016	1943	64.42%	1073	35.58%
RW02	3794	2675	2460	1637	66.54%	823	33.46%
RW03	4510	2745	2525	1682	66.61%	843	33.39%
RW04	1803	1359	1255	829	66.06%	426	33.94%
RW05	2322	1207	1132	755	66.70%	377	33.30%
RW06	2820	1914	1780	1169	65.67%	611	34.33%
RW07A	1549	677	622	420	67.52%	202	32.48%
RW07B	388	214	202	144	71.29%	58	28.71%
RW08	2138	1462	1323	890	67.27%	433	32.73%
RW09	5918	4216	3863	2492	64.51%	1371	35.49%
RW10	3347	2376	2184	1409	64.51%	775	35.49%
RW11A	2667	1693	1578	1044	66.16%	534	33.84%
RW12	5944	4234	3888	2556	65.74%	1332	34.26%
RW13	3555	2476	2270	1520	66.96%	750	33.04%
RW16	1850	1275	1186	771	65.01%	415	34.99%
RW17	2794	1773	1643	1106	67.32%	537	32.68%
RW19	1993	1434	1287	842	65.42%	445	34.58%
RW20	2486	1797	1647	1100	66.79%	547	33.21%
RW21	3144	2169	1971	1271	64.49%	700	35.51%
RW22A	4406	2486	2292	1533	66.88%	759	33.12%
SC01A	20	25	21	12	57.14%	9	42.86%
SC01B	1707	1295	1233	769	62.37%	464	37.63%
SC01C	2063	1513	1401	948	67.67%	453	32.33%
SC01D	294	162	151	87	57.62%	64	42.38%
SC02	872	662	616	411	66.72%	205	33.28%
SC04	922	616	569	344	60.46%	225	39.54%
SC05A	646	409	374	251	67.11%	123	32.89%
SC05B	3204	2188	2058	1324	64.33%	734	35.67%
SC05D	2171	1428	1368	863	63.08%	505	36.92%
SC05E	623	434	410	266	64.88%	144	35.12%
SC07A	5595	3698	3491	2187	62.65%	1304	37.35%
SC07C	29	10	10	5	50.00%	5	50.00%
SC08B	3382	2008	1875	1154	61.55%	721	38.45%
SC08C	74	53	48	32	66.67%	16	33.33%
SC08D	499	314	299	185	61.87%	114	38.13%
SC08E	562	316	300	187	62.33%	113	37.67%
SC08F	700	366	348	232	66.67%	116	33.33%
SC08G	12	8	7	4	57.14%	3	42.86%
SC08H	4	3	3	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC09A	1628	839	784	526	67.09%	258	32.91%
SC09B	1687	1084	994	644	64.79%	350	35.21%
SC09C	1566	842	778	510	65.55%	268	34.45%
SC10	1678	661	615	417	67.80%	198	32.20%
SC11A	1411	813	761	491	64.52%	270	35.48%
SC11B	1506	878	831	583	70.16%	248	29.84%
SC13	2465	1636	1548	950	61.37%	598	38.63%
SC14A	916	429	401	279	69.58%	122	30.42%
SC14B	502	45	39	35	89.74%	4	10.26%
SC15	6193	4213	3974	2512	63.21%	1462	36.79%
SC16A	4601	3208	3000	1962	65.40%	1038	34.60%
SC16B	14	15	14	10	71.43%	4	28.57%
SC17A	55	42	40	28	70.00%	12	30.00%
SC17B	7	4	3	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC17C	1134	669	630	420	66.67%	210	33.33%
SC18A	510	329	301	212	70.43%	89	29.57%
SC18B	663	462	433	264	60.97%	169	39.03%
SC18C	1850	1352	1262	812	64.34%	450	35.66%
SC19A	195	129	125	82	65.60%	43	34.40%
SC19B	1267	690	643	445	69.21%	198	30.79%
SC20	2197	1665	1579	1006	63.71%	573	36.29%
SC211	1328	841	789	506	64.13%	283	35.87%
SC212	3378	1947	1842	1177	63.90%	665	36.10%
SC23A	3161	1730	1639	1108	67.60%	531	32.40%
SC23B	483	284	263	169	64.26%	94	35.74%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	CONST AMENDMENT 3						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
SC23C	2252	1302	1225	825	67.35%	400	32.65%
SC27	2129	1259	1173	771	65.73%	402	34.27%
SC29A	2784	1544	1435	951	66.27%	484	33.73%
SC30A	135	97	87	63	72.41%	24	27.59%
SC30B	960	531	489	324	66.26%	165	33.74%
SS01	4487	3270	3002	1858	61.89%	1144	38.11%
SS02A	1120	755	693	467	67.39%	226	32.61%
SS02B	1255	756	703	490	69.70%	213	30.30%
SS03	1464	1027	964	659	68.36%	305	31.64%
SS04	3264	2064	1904	1338	70.27%	566	29.73%
SS05	1746	1376	1231	792	64.34%	439	35.66%
SS06	1397	1073	975	609	62.46%	366	37.54%
SS07A	968	683	613	417	68.03%	196	31.97%
SS07B	609	405	370	260	70.27%	110	29.73%
SS07C	755	436	371	259	69.81%	112	30.19%
SS08A	1316	758	703	474	67.43%	229	32.57%
SS08B	635	453	425	274	64.47%	151	35.53%
SS08C	632	393	360	248	68.89%	112	31.11%
SS08D	1368	847	780	528	67.69%	252	32.31%
SS09A	3003	2210	2018	1321	65.46%	697	34.54%
SS09B	3358	2452	2218	1461	65.87%	757	34.13%
SS11A	3655	2227	2021	1372	67.89%	649	32.11%
SS11B	1257	707	653	460	70.44%	193	29.56%
SS11C	269	146	138	92	66.67%	46	33.33%
SS11D	365	254	229	143	62.45%	86	37.55%
SS12	2559	1732	1576	1050	66.62%	526	33.38%
SS13A	1633	1072	990	663	66.97%	327	33.03%
SS13B	1001	629	590	399	67.63%	191	32.37%
SS14	1274	977	890	590	66.29%	300	33.71%
SS15A	2572	1199	1132	774	68.37%	358	31.63%
SS15B	1579	902	845	592	70.06%	253	29.94%
SS16	2030	1534	1412	911	64.52%	501	35.48%
SS17	3397	2229	2059	1346	65.37%	713	34.63%
SS18A	619	454	424	267	62.97%	157	37.03%
SS18B	221	162	150	99	66.00%	51	34.00%
SS19A	3016	1683	1564	1048	67.01%	516	32.99%
SS19B	2032	1226	1147	796	69.40%	351	30.60%
SS20	2060	1159	1061	702	66.16%	359	33.84%
SS22	2714	1613	1500	993	66.20%	507	33.80%
SS26	3552	1822	1710	1179	68.95%	531	31.05%
SS29A	2488	1595	1461	955	65.37%	506	34.63%
SS31	1345	938	861	604	70.15%	257	29.85%
UC01A	3622	1715	1634	1084	66.34%	550	33.66%
UC01B	395	210	202	137	67.82%	65	32.18%
UC01D	6	2	2	1	50.00%	1	50.00%
UC01E	285	206	192	126	65.63%	66	34.38%
UC02A	7513	4296	4048	2634	65.07%	1414	34.93%
UC02B	30	19	17	10	58.82%	7	41.18%
UC031	2399	1203	1103	723	65.55%	380	34.45%
UC032	292	186	173	113	65.32%	60	34.68%
Total	703177	424992	391639	264987	67.66%	126652	32.34%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	CONST AMENDMENT 4						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
Jurisdiction Wide							
01A	3199	2362	2282	1664	72.92%	618	27.08%
01B	3839	2737	2657	1815	68.31%	842	31.69%
01C	1459	612	589	529	89.81%	60	10.19%
01D	511	307	294	249	84.69%	45	15.31%
01E	3363	2410	2323	1686	72.58%	637	27.42%
01F	1254	452	435	403	92.64%	32	7.36%
01G	1909	1102	1071	891	83.19%	180	16.81%
01H	758	379	357	328	91.88%	29	8.12%
01J	2416	1184	1142	1032	90.37%	110	9.63%
01P	1244	542	524	472	90.08%	52	9.92%
01R	1344	491	475	433	91.16%	42	8.84%
01S	1749	823	793	714	90.04%	79	9.96%
01T	1506	796	774	622	80.36%	152	19.64%
02A	4584	2949	2839	2229	78.51%	610	21.49%
02B	3518	1265	1218	1014	83.25%	204	16.75%
02C	2037	1205	1156	973	84.17%	183	15.83%
02D	4475	2660	2568	2004	78.04%	564	21.96%
02E	1905	1423	1384	925	66.84%	459	33.16%
02F1	2962	2033	1939	1443	74.42%	496	25.58%
02F2	788	458	425	349	82.12%	76	17.88%
02G	3443	2361	2261	1693	74.88%	568	25.12%
02J	2159	1432	1379	993	72.01%	386	27.99%
02K	1419	951	912	664	72.81%	248	27.19%
02L1	6213	3978	3836	2966	77.32%	870	22.68%
02L2	1364	782	750	584	77.87%	166	22.13%
02S	708	427	414	333	80.43%	81	19.57%
02W	682	399	381	312	81.89%	69	18.11%
03A	1927	692	659	598	90.74%	61	9.26%
03B	560	181	170	151	88.82%	19	11.18%
03C	1534	674	642	584	90.97%	58	9.03%
03D	1017	402	390	350	89.74%	40	10.26%
03E	2284	914	884	792	89.59%	92	10.41%
03F	1925	1115	1085	904	83.32%	181	16.68%
03G	802	360	349	328	93.98%	21	6.02%
03H	900	369	350	328	93.71%	22	6.29%
03I	1360	566	548	447	81.57%	101	18.43%
03L	594	229	218	199	91.28%	19	8.72%
03M	766	452	440	309	70.23%	131	29.77%
03N	2288	938	910	803	88.24%	107	11.76%
03P1A	3882	1966	1881	1548	82.30%	333	17.70%
03P2	13	7	7	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
03S	761	356	343	303	88.34%	40	11.66%
03T	1505	715	689	630	91.44%	59	8.56%
04A	1202	560	549	493	89.80%	56	10.20%
04B	2242	922	891	820	92.03%	71	7.97%
04C	136	54	53	50	94.34%	3	5.66%
04D	1481	714	693	630	90.91%	63	9.09%
04F	2086	935	910	782	85.93%	128	14.07%
04G	828	312	300	267	89.00%	33	11.00%
04I	3362	561	535	441	82.43%	94	17.57%
04J	1230	317	310	290	93.55%	20	6.45%
04K	2339	1266	1205	1062	88.13%	143	11.87%
04L	1655	867	834	702	84.17%	132	15.83%
04M	773	292	284	263	92.61%	21	7.39%
04S	2165	1118	1065	980	92.02%	85	7.98%
04T	1145	584	552	501	90.76%	51	9.24%
04V	2848	1211	1161	1070	92.16%	91	7.84%
04W	2203	820	798	753	94.36%	45	5.64%
04X1	1942	950	915	826	90.27%	89	9.73%
04X2	737	364	354	321	90.68%	33	9.32%
05A1	2491	1728	1642	1153	70.22%	489	29.78%
05A2	2910	1998	1916	1399	73.02%	517	26.98%
05B	1763	901	849	740	87.16%	109	12.84%
05C	146	67	65	58	89.23%	7	10.77%
05D	386	93	90	81	90.00%	9	10.00%
05F	223	136	131	107	81.68%	24	18.32%
05J	639	416	404	334	82.67%	70	17.33%
05K	2600	1327	1300	1126	86.62%	174	13.38%
06B	1756	1238	1196	878	73.41%	318	26.59%
06D	8568	6060	5783	4269	73.82%	1514	26.18%
06E	2250	1439	1384	1087	78.54%	297	21.46%
06F	2709	1757	1687	1213	71.90%	474	28.10%
06G	536	369	357	269	75.35%	88	24.65%
06I	3412	1957	1903	1600	84.08%	303	15.92%
06J	2539	1904	1823	1347	73.89%	476	26.11%
06L1	917	679	648	460	70.99%	188	29.01%
06L2	654	487	464	322	69.40%	142	30.60%
06N	1844	1085	1047	821	78.41%	226	21.59%
06Q	527	295	287	244	85.02%	43	14.98%
06R	1836	1206	1167	833	71.38%	334	28.62%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	CONST AMENDMENT 4						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
07A	6600	4093	3871	3149	81.35%	722	18.65%
07B	2763	2107	1985	1522	76.68%	463	23.32%
07C	3927	2498	2323	1857	79.94%	466	20.06%
07D	4231	2413	2261	1823	80.63%	438	19.37%
07E	2031	1358	1296	1053	81.25%	243	18.75%
07F	3017	2041	1944	1516	77.98%	428	22.02%
07H	1923	1424	1362	1032	75.77%	330	24.23%
07J	2647	1753	1681	1311	77.99%	370	22.01%
07M	2155	1180	1128	938	83.16%	190	16.84%
07N	1946	1044	1006	854	84.89%	152	15.11%
08A	2799	1949	1843	1503	81.55%	340	18.45%
08B	3199	2255	2125	1626	76.52%	499	23.48%
08C	1392	984	924	725	78.46%	199	21.54%
08D	1022	770	731	568	77.70%	163	22.30%
08E	2429	1864	1777	1340	75.41%	437	24.59%
08F1	2071	1628	1512	1165	77.05%	347	22.95%
08G	2787	1669	1570	1272	81.02%	298	18.98%
08H	2465	1874	1768	1344	76.02%	424	23.98%
08J	2981	2223	2085	1647	78.99%	438	21.01%
08K	973	702	676	539	79.73%	137	20.27%
08L	4194	2706	2595	2058	79.31%	537	20.69%
08M	1907	1358	1277	1006	78.78%	271	21.22%
08N1	767	587	544	417	76.65%	127	23.35%
08N2	1391	927	877	716	81.64%	161	18.36%
08P	1633	928	889	732	82.34%	157	17.66%
09A	3338	2152	2058	1634	79.40%	424	20.60%
09B	385	174	168	153	91.07%	15	8.93%
09C	2954	1522	1466	1359	92.70%	107	7.30%
09D	1879	858	814	746	91.65%	68	8.35%
09E	2782	1420	1370	1205	87.96%	165	12.04%
09F	3619	2526	2423	1921	79.28%	502	20.72%
09G	3519	2282	2192	1720	78.47%	472	21.53%
09H	1064	645	621	518	83.41%	103	16.59%
09I	1194	503	474	434	91.56%	40	8.44%
09K	4403	1851	1793	1656	92.36%	137	7.64%
09M	2259	1611	1562	1219	78.04%	343	21.96%
10A	2850	1622	1559	1438	92.24%	121	7.76%
10B	3686	2464	2408	2218	92.11%	190	7.89%
10C	2466	1168	1130	1054	93.27%	76	6.73%
10D	4220	1850	1766	1636	92.64%	130	7.36%
10E	950	524	501	457	91.22%	44	8.78%
10F	1053	590	566	523	92.40%	43	7.60%
10G	1205	813	790	725	91.77%	65	8.23%
10H1	408	238	230	207	90.00%	23	10.00%
10H2	2207	1032	991	924	93.24%	67	6.76%
10I	329	258	249	233	93.57%	16	6.43%
10J	1012	466	449	408	90.87%	41	9.13%
10K	1415	858	829	744	89.75%	85	10.25%
10M	2529	1414	1362	1177	86.42%	185	13.58%
10P	1364	668	631	582	92.23%	49	7.77%
10R	713	347	332	310	93.37%	22	6.63%
11B	5162	3088	2997	2755	91.93%	242	8.07%
11C	2822	2003	1958	1812	92.54%	146	7.46%
11E1	1141	634	620	582	93.87%	38	6.13%
11E2	847	497	479	453	94.57%	26	5.43%
11E3	3124	1835	1800	1677	93.17%	123	6.83%
11G	2092	855	816	771	94.49%	45	5.51%
11H	677	356	343	322	93.88%	21	6.12%
11J	1827	1018	983	914	92.98%	69	7.02%
11K	1818	1104	1064	945	88.82%	119	11.18%
11M	3039	1659	1622	1500	92.48%	122	7.52%
11N	2166	1358	1315	1230	93.54%	85	6.46%
11P	1833	1020	979	914	93.36%	65	6.64%
11R	1849	672	636	590	92.77%	46	7.23%
12A	4828	2734	2626	2221	84.58%	405	15.42%
12D	1209	501	488	452	92.62%	36	7.38%
12E1	1621	591	570	514	90.18%	56	9.82%
12F	943	428	419	382	91.17%	37	8.83%
12G	800	430	388	359	92.53%	29	7.47%
12H1	1006	310	300	274	91.33%	26	8.67%
12H2	2562	1189	1136	1023	90.05%	113	9.95%
12I	970	413	398	372	93.47%	26	6.53%
12J	2535	1108	1062	984	92.66%	78	7.34%
12K	3022	1240	1185	1028	86.75%	157	13.25%
12L	613	238	222	202	90.99%	20	9.01%
12M	2533	1171	1139	1048	92.01%	91	7.99%
12N	907	327	306	283	92.48%	23	7.52%
12S	1794	959	904	833	92.15%	71	7.85%
AP01A	4724	3141	2982	2483	83.27%	499	16.73%
AP01B	913	516	499	418	83.77%	81	16.23%
AP01C	2233	1498	1437	1173	81.63%	264	18.37%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	CONST AMENDMENT 4						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
AP01D	915	539	513	423	82.46%	90	17.54%
AP02B	474	263	245	214	87.35%	31	12.65%
AP03	741	314	307	270	87.95%	37	12.05%
AP04A	1647	1183	1127	937	83.14%	190	16.86%
AP04B	415	290	280	233	83.21%	47	16.79%
AP05	4367	2981	2833	2354	83.09%	479	16.91%
AP06	1827	1309	1233	985	79.89%	248	20.11%
AP07A	3422	2102	2019	1697	84.05%	322	15.95%
AP07B	1650	1144	1099	910	82.80%	189	17.20%
AP09A	4051	2687	2548	2089	81.99%	459	18.01%
AP09B	810	531	506	433	85.57%	73	14.43%
AP021	2994	1963	1880	1544	82.13%	336	17.87%
AP022	2210	1429	1357	1155	85.11%	202	14.89%
AP10	942	681	643	505	78.54%	138	21.46%
AP12A	658	374	358	310	86.59%	48	13.41%
AP12B	747	428	409	360	88.02%	49	11.98%
AP12C	1584	1005	960	808	84.17%	152	15.83%
AP14	2972	2027	1941	1600	82.43%	341	17.57%
CH01	330	164	162	140	86.42%	22	13.58%
CH02	319	202	194	149	76.80%	45	23.20%
CH03	379	250	240	169	70.42%	71	29.58%
CH04A	647	444	420	311	74.05%	109	25.95%
CH05	407	260	247	184	74.49%	63	25.51%
CP01B	381	227	220	175	79.55%	45	20.45%
CP011	1135	617	602	515	85.55%	87	14.45%
CP012	1318	844	821	716	87.21%	105	12.79%
CP02	1000	553	535	479	89.53%	56	10.47%
CP04A	8	2	2	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP04B	39	14	13	11	84.62%	2	15.38%
CP05B	143	76	76	66	86.84%	10	13.16%
CP051	1612	874	848	787	92.81%	61	7.19%
CP06A	598	208	205	195	95.12%	10	4.88%
CP07B	7	2	2	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP07C	244	47	45	43	95.56%	2	4.44%
CP07D	694	208	206	192	93.20%	14	6.80%
CP07E	125	41	40	37	92.50%	3	7.50%
CP07F	184	55	51	45	88.24%	6	11.76%
CP081	343	77	76	68	89.47%	8	10.53%
CP083	219	25	25	24	96.00%	1	4.00%
CP084	3	1	1	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP08A	705	175	168	159	94.64%	9	5.36%
EP01A	2609	1464	1418	1232	86.88%	186	13.12%
EP01B	3038	1539	1502	1359	90.48%	143	9.52%
EP02A	1967	890	855	791	92.51%	64	7.49%
EP02B	1449	977	953	754	79.12%	199	20.88%
EP02C	428	251	243	211	86.83%	32	13.17%
EP02D	326	192	186	171	91.94%	15	8.06%
EP02E	1382	627	607	542	89.29%	65	10.71%
EP03A	4293	2512	2456	2259	91.98%	197	8.02%
EP03B	1421	973	953	865	90.77%	88	9.23%
EP04A	5432	2626	2593	2425	93.52%	168	6.48%
EP04B	2024	1203	1190	1103	92.69%	87	7.31%
FA01A	3574	2126	2079	1902	91.49%	177	8.51%
FA01B	4833	2965	2893	2657	91.84%	236	8.16%
FA01C	681	401	394	372	94.42%	22	5.58%
HP01	3212	1780	1724	1437	83.35%	287	16.65%
JC01	4977	3215	3044	2520	82.79%	524	17.21%
JC02	2460	1474	1401	1161	82.87%	240	17.13%
JC03A	540	384	374	307	82.09%	67	17.91%
JC03B	1448	955	901	761	84.46%	140	15.54%
JC04A	4042	2692	2588	2197	84.89%	391	15.11%
JC04B	255	156	144	123	85.42%	21	14.58%
JC05	2136	1391	1316	1107	84.12%	209	15.88%
JC06	2627	1580	1514	1260	83.22%	254	16.78%
JC07	4083	2627	2504	2079	83.03%	425	16.97%
JC08	2737	1870	1785	1505	84.31%	280	15.69%
JC09	2928	1878	1805	1520	84.21%	285	15.79%
JC10	2392	1507	1428	1217	85.22%	211	14.78%
JC11	3186	2085	1987	1615	81.28%	372	18.72%
JC12	2455	1753	1680	1351	80.42%	329	19.58%
JC13A	2115	1462	1392	1107	79.53%	285	20.47%
JC13B	271	180	170	130	76.47%	40	23.53%
JC14	2477	1634	1566	1309	83.59%	257	16.41%
JC15	834	523	502	420	83.67%	82	16.33%
JC16	2496	1729	1648	1327	80.52%	321	19.48%
JC18	2670	1969	1870	1526	81.60%	344	18.40%
JC19	3759	2528	2416	1991	82.41%	425	17.59%
ML011	2439	1681	1605	1316	81.99%	289	18.01%
ML012	485	338	321	269	83.80%	52	16.20%
ML01B	138	96	93	70	75.27%	23	24.73%
ML021	1991	1369	1297	1054	81.26%	243	18.74%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	CONST AMENDMENT 4						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
ML022	241	148	143	125	87.41%	18	12.59%
ML023	1542	1027	960	791	82.40%	169	17.60%
ML024	113	70	64	45	70.31%	19	29.69%
ML03	3757	2575	2432	1976	81.25%	456	18.75%
ML04A	1747	1176	1132	943	83.30%	189	16.70%
ML04B	1206	838	810	626	77.28%	184	22.72%
ML04C	79	66	64	53	82.81%	11	17.19%
ML05A	39	27	26	23	88.46%	3	11.54%
ML05B	381	250	239	169	70.71%	70	29.29%
ML05C	4023	2615	2519	2148	85.27%	371	14.73%
ML06A	1973	1024	998	840	84.17%	158	15.83%
ML06B	2775	1734	1662	1448	87.12%	214	12.88%
ML071	105	73	69	64	92.75%	5	7.25%
ML072	1249	857	824	687	83.37%	137	16.63%
ML07A	707	472	458	360	78.60%	98	21.40%
MP01	450	323	314	245	78.03%	69	21.97%
PA01	2509	1330	1289	1161	90.07%	128	9.93%
RW01	4717	3355	3182	2563	80.55%	619	19.45%
RW02	3794	2675	2554	2058	80.58%	496	19.42%
RW03	4510	2745	2638	2157	81.77%	481	18.23%
RW04	1803	1359	1307	1012	77.43%	295	22.57%
RW05	2322	1207	1164	996	85.57%	168	14.43%
RW06	2820	1914	1840	1472	80.00%	368	20.00%
RW07A	1549	677	653	575	88.06%	78	11.94%
RW07B	388	214	203	173	85.22%	30	14.78%
RW08	2138	1462	1391	1144	82.24%	247	17.76%
RW09	5918	4216	4038	3279	81.20%	759	18.80%
RW10	3347	2376	2283	1789	78.36%	494	21.64%
RW11A	2667	1693	1631	1316	80.69%	315	19.31%
RW12	5944	4234	4046	3284	81.17%	762	18.83%
RW13	3555	2476	2378	1956	82.25%	422	17.75%
RW16	1850	1275	1221	981	80.34%	240	19.66%
RW17	2794	1773	1706	1407	82.47%	299	17.53%
RW19	1993	1434	1358	1120	82.47%	238	17.53%
RW20	2486	1797	1715	1422	82.92%	293	17.08%
RW21	3144	2169	2073	1699	81.96%	374	18.04%
RW22A	4406	2486	2403	2062	85.81%	341	14.19%
SC01A	20	25	22	20	90.91%	2	9.09%
SC01B	1707	1295	1281	1186	92.58%	95	7.42%
SC01C	2063	1513	1475	1370	92.88%	105	7.12%
SC01D	294	162	157	142	90.45%	15	9.55%
SC02	872	662	648	603	93.06%	45	6.94%
SC04	922	616	601	517	86.02%	84	13.98%
SC05A	646	409	396	370	93.43%	26	6.57%
SC05B	3204	2188	2152	1988	92.38%	164	7.62%
SC05D	2171	1428	1404	1316	93.73%	88	6.27%
SC05E	623	434	430	396	92.09%	34	7.91%
SC07A	5595	3698	3634	3365	92.60%	269	7.40%
SC07C	29	10	10	10	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08B	3382	2008	1958	1825	93.21%	133	6.79%
SC08C	74	53	50	50	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08D	499	314	311	292	93.89%	19	6.11%
SC08E	562	316	309	286	92.56%	23	7.44%
SC08F	700	366	361	333	92.24%	28	7.76%
SC08G	12	8	8	8	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08H	4	3	3	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC09A	1628	839	818	766	93.64%	52	6.36%
SC09B	1687	1084	1057	986	93.28%	71	6.72%
SC09C	1566	842	826	761	92.13%	65	7.87%
SC10	1678	661	644	602	93.48%	42	6.52%
SC11A	1411	813	797	749	93.98%	48	6.02%
SC11B	1506	878	860	811	94.30%	49	5.70%
SC13	2465	1636	1608	1490	92.66%	118	7.34%
SC14A	916	429	422	378	89.57%	44	10.43%
SC14B	502	45	44	41	93.18%	3	6.82%
SC15	6193	4213	4134	3862	93.42%	272	6.58%
SC16A	4601	3208	3154	2926	92.77%	228	7.23%
SC16B	14	15	15	15	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC17A	55	42	41	39	95.12%	2	4.88%
SC17B	7	4	4	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC17C	1134	669	651	604	92.78%	47	7.22%
SC18A	510	329	322	304	94.41%	18	5.59%
SC18B	663	462	452	417	92.26%	35	7.74%
SC18C	1850	1352	1327	1242	93.59%	85	6.41%
SC19A	195	129	125	115	92.00%	10	8.00%
SC19B	1267	690	673	633	94.06%	40	5.94%
SC20	2197	1665	1643	1521	92.57%	122	7.43%
SC211	1328	841	821	763	92.94%	58	7.06%
SC212	3378	1947	1913	1774	92.73%	139	7.27%
SC23A	3161	1730	1699	1559	91.76%	140	8.24%
SC23B	483	284	276	261	94.57%	15	5.43%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	CONST AMENDMENT 4						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
SC23C	2252	1302	1270	1191	93.78%	79	6.22%
SC27	2129	1259	1233	1155	93.67%	78	6.33%
SC29A	2784	1544	1505	1401	93.09%	104	6.91%
SC30A	135	97	93	88	94.62%	5	5.38%
SC30B	960	531	512	472	92.19%	40	7.81%
SS01	4487	3270	3128	2504	80.05%	624	19.95%
SS02A	1120	755	724	561	77.49%	163	22.51%
SS02B	1255	756	723	588	81.33%	135	18.67%
SS03	1464	1027	998	782	78.36%	216	21.64%
SS04	3264	2064	1982	1670	84.26%	312	15.74%
SS05	1746	1376	1295	976	75.37%	319	24.63%
SS06	1397	1073	1029	783	76.09%	246	23.91%
SS07A	968	683	647	515	79.60%	132	20.40%
SS07B	609	405	378	312	82.54%	66	17.46%
SS07C	755	436	400	331	82.75%	69	17.25%
SS08A	1316	758	730	628	86.03%	102	13.97%
SS08B	635	453	442	355	80.32%	87	19.68%
SS08C	632	393	373	326	87.40%	47	12.60%
SS08D	1368	847	816	690	84.56%	126	15.44%
SS09A	3003	2210	2107	1687	80.07%	420	19.93%
SS09B	3358	2452	2316	1766	76.25%	550	23.75%
SS11A	3655	2227	2138	1764	82.51%	374	17.49%
SS11B	1257	707	674	557	82.64%	117	17.36%
SS11C	269	146	142	120	84.51%	22	15.49%
SS11D	365	254	240	203	84.58%	37	15.42%
SS12	2559	1732	1638	1301	79.43%	337	20.57%
SS13A	1633	1072	1024	818	79.88%	206	20.12%
SS13B	1001	629	604	488	80.79%	116	19.21%
SS14	1274	977	928	705	75.97%	223	24.03%
SS15A	2572	1199	1173	1040	88.66%	133	11.34%
SS15B	1579	902	873	739	84.65%	134	15.35%
SS16	2030	1534	1471	1104	75.05%	367	24.95%
SS17	3397	2229	2122	1755	82.70%	367	17.30%
SS18A	619	454	439	341	77.68%	98	22.32%
SS18B	221	162	151	112	74.17%	39	25.83%
SS19A	3016	1683	1628	1378	84.64%	250	15.36%
SS19B	2032	1226	1169	987	84.43%	182	15.57%
SS20	2060	1159	1122	938	83.60%	184	16.40%
SS22	2714	1613	1553	1271	81.84%	282	18.16%
SS26	3552	1822	1777	1569	88.29%	208	11.71%
SS29A	2488	1595	1516	1220	80.47%	296	19.53%
SS31	1345	938	890	728	81.80%	162	18.20%
UC01A	3622	1715	1688	1574	93.25%	114	6.75%
UC01B	395	210	207	198	95.65%	9	4.35%
UC01D	6	2	2	1	50.00%	1	50.00%
UC01E	285	206	203	187	92.12%	16	7.88%
UC02A	7513	4296	4202	3908	93.00%	294	7.00%
UC02B	30	19	18	17	94.44%	1	5.56%
UC031	2399	1203	1171	1083	92.49%	88	7.51%
UC032	292	186	182	169	92.86%	13	7.14%
Total	703177	424992	408575	344168	84.24%	64407	15.76%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	CONST AMENDMENT 5						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
Jurisdiction Wide							
01A	3199	2362	2197	1744	79.38%	453	20.62%
01B	3839	2737	2555	1976	77.34%	579	22.66%
01C	1459	612	573	490	85.51%	83	14.49%
01D	511	307	283	231	81.63%	52	18.37%
01E	3363	2410	2259	1764	78.09%	495	21.91%
01F	1254	452	425	360	84.71%	65	15.29%
01G	1909	1102	1047	864	82.52%	183	17.48%
01H	758	379	350	306	87.43%	44	12.57%
01J	2416	1184	1116	931	83.42%	185	16.58%
01P	1244	542	503	437	86.88%	66	13.12%
01R	1344	491	450	372	82.67%	78	17.33%
01S	1749	823	768	636	82.81%	132	17.19%
01T	1506	796	758	619	81.66%	139	18.34%
02A	4584	2949	2759	2088	75.68%	671	24.32%
02B	3518	1265	1195	976	81.67%	219	18.33%
02C	2037	1205	1115	911	81.70%	204	18.30%
02D	4475	2660	2500	2029	81.16%	471	18.84%
02E	1905	1423	1331	1020	76.63%	311	23.37%
02F1	2962	2033	1874	1510	80.58%	364	19.42%
02F2	788	458	429	345	80.42%	84	19.58%
02G	3443	2361	2197	1742	79.29%	455	20.71%
02J	2159	1432	1341	1040	77.55%	301	22.45%
02K	1419	951	862	702	81.44%	160	18.56%
02L1	6213	3978	3696	2888	78.14%	808	21.86%
02L2	1364	782	734	571	77.79%	163	22.21%
02S	708	427	409	328	80.20%	81	19.80%
02W	682	399	374	315	84.22%	59	15.78%
03A	1927	692	639	541	84.66%	98	15.34%
03B	560	181	161	136	84.47%	25	15.53%
03C	1534	674	609	509	83.58%	100	16.42%
03D	1017	402	375	303	80.80%	72	19.20%
03E	2284	914	866	729	84.18%	137	15.82%
03F	1925	1115	1068	861	80.62%	207	19.38%
03G	802	360	337	285	84.57%	52	15.43%
03H	900	369	335	278	82.99%	57	17.01%
03I	1360	566	541	452	83.55%	89	16.45%
03L	594	229	212	183	86.32%	29	13.68%
03M	766	452	427	324	75.88%	103	24.12%
03N	2288	938	891	759	85.19%	132	14.81%
03P1A	3882	1966	1834	1520	82.88%	314	17.12%
03P2	13	7	7	6	85.71%	1	14.29%
03S	761	356	339	274	80.83%	65	19.17%
03T	1505	715	670	563	84.03%	107	15.97%
04A	1202	560	521	443	85.03%	78	14.97%
04B	2242	922	870	759	87.24%	111	12.76%
04C	136	54	51	41	80.39%	10	19.61%
04D	1481	714	671	563	83.90%	108	16.10%
04F	2086	935	883	712	80.63%	171	19.37%
04G	828	312	285	233	81.75%	52	18.25%
04I	3362	561	522	435	83.33%	87	16.67%
04J	1230	317	307	259	84.36%	48	15.64%
04K	2339	1266	1148	943	82.14%	205	17.86%
04L	1655	867	818	683	83.50%	135	16.50%
04M	773	292	278	236	84.89%	42	15.11%
04S	2165	1118	1027	867	84.42%	160	15.58%
04T	1145	584	539	440	81.63%	99	18.37%
04V	2848	1211	1138	971	85.33%	167	14.67%
04W	2203	820	789	698	88.47%	91	11.53%
04X1	1942	950	893	736	82.42%	157	17.58%
04X2	737	364	341	285	83.58%	56	16.42%
05A1	2491	1728	1589	1325	83.39%	264	16.61%
05A2	2910	1998	1856	1519	81.84%	337	18.16%
05B	1763	901	819	676	82.54%	143	17.46%
05C	146	67	65	56	86.15%	9	13.85%
05D	386	93	90	78	86.67%	12	13.33%
05F	223	136	129	115	89.15%	14	10.85%
05J	639	416	392	322	82.14%	70	17.86%
05K	2600	1327	1277	1097	85.90%	180	14.10%
06B	1756	1238	1152	887	77.00%	265	23.00%
06D	8568	6060	5576	4259	76.38%	1317	23.62%
06E	2250	1439	1345	1039	77.25%	306	22.75%
06F	2709	1757	1634	1297	79.38%	337	20.62%
06G	536	369	345	279	80.87%	66	19.13%
06I	3412	1957	1842	1540	83.60%	302	16.40%
06J	2539	1904	1774	1337	75.37%	437	24.63%
06L1	917	679	608	442	72.70%	166	27.30%
06L2	654	487	450	326	72.44%	124	27.56%
06N	1844	1085	1003	752	74.98%	251	25.02%
06Q	527	295	277	218	78.70%	59	21.30%
06R	1836	1206	1134	855	75.40%	279	24.60%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	CONST AMENDMENT 5						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
07A	6600	4093	3760	2784	74.04%	976	25.96%
07B	2763	2107	1938	1333	68.78%	605	31.22%
07C	3927	2498	2237	1665	74.43%	572	25.57%
07D	4231	2413	2185	1616	73.96%	569	26.04%
07E	2031	1358	1238	923	74.56%	315	25.44%
07F	3017	2041	1861	1352	72.65%	509	27.35%
07H	1923	1424	1306	902	69.07%	404	30.93%
07J	2647	1753	1610	1194	74.16%	416	25.84%
07M	2155	1180	1093	809	74.02%	284	25.98%
07N	1946	1044	975	796	81.64%	179	18.36%
08A	2799	1949	1781	1236	69.40%	545	30.60%
08B	3199	2255	2064	1426	69.09%	638	30.91%
08C	1392	984	893	589	65.96%	304	34.04%
08D	1022	770	710	469	66.06%	241	33.94%
08E	2429	1864	1701	1118	65.73%	583	34.27%
08F1	2071	1628	1447	1000	69.11%	447	30.89%
08G	2787	1669	1491	1112	74.58%	379	25.42%
08H	2465	1874	1700	1189	69.94%	511	30.06%
08J	2981	2223	2025	1509	74.52%	516	25.48%
08K	973	702	649	470	72.42%	179	27.58%
08L	4194	2706	2496	1910	76.52%	586	23.48%
08M	1907	1358	1226	859	70.07%	367	29.93%
08N1	767	587	531	342	64.41%	189	35.59%
08N2	1391	927	844	645	76.42%	199	23.58%
08P	1633	928	869	695	79.98%	174	20.02%
09A	3338	2152	2015	1587	78.76%	428	21.24%
09B	385	174	165	129	78.18%	36	21.82%
09C	2954	1522	1414	1173	82.96%	241	17.04%
09D	1879	858	777	647	83.27%	130	16.73%
09E	2782	1420	1328	1098	82.68%	230	17.32%
09F	3619	2526	2330	1695	72.75%	635	27.25%
09G	3519	2282	2145	1712	79.81%	433	20.19%
09H	1064	645	615	495	80.49%	120	19.51%
09I	1194	503	453	390	86.09%	63	13.91%
09K	4403	1851	1730	1474	85.20%	256	14.80%
09M	2259	1611	1518	1191	78.46%	327	21.54%
10A	2850	1622	1505	1228	81.59%	277	18.41%
10B	3686	2464	2310	1886	81.65%	424	18.35%
10C	2466	1168	1104	948	85.87%	156	14.13%
10D	4220	1850	1707	1433	83.95%	274	16.05%
10E	950	524	482	387	80.29%	95	19.71%
10F	1053	590	545	438	80.37%	107	19.63%
10G	1205	813	767	605	78.88%	162	21.12%
10H1	408	238	230	187	81.30%	43	18.70%
10H2	2207	1032	951	798	83.91%	153	16.09%
10I	329	258	246	193	78.46%	53	21.54%
10J	1012	466	436	364	83.49%	72	16.51%
10K	1415	858	799	631	78.97%	168	21.03%
10M	2529	1414	1325	1069	80.68%	256	19.32%
10P	1364	668	608	499	82.07%	109	17.93%
10R	713	347	317	268	84.54%	49	15.46%
11B	5162	3088	2899	2316	79.89%	583	20.11%
11C	2822	2003	1927	1565	81.21%	362	18.79%
11E1	1141	634	601	492	81.86%	109	18.14%
11E2	847	497	466	364	78.11%	102	21.89%
11E3	3124	1835	1781	1531	85.96%	250	14.04%
11G	2092	855	800	693	86.63%	107	13.38%
11H	677	356	327	278	85.02%	49	14.98%
11J	1827	1018	942	786	83.44%	156	16.56%
11K	1818	1104	1023	827	80.84%	196	19.16%
11M	3039	1659	1572	1297	82.51%	275	17.49%
11N	2166	1358	1273	1070	84.05%	203	15.95%
11P	1833	1020	922	800	86.77%	122	13.23%
11R	1849	672	621	519	83.57%	102	16.43%
12A	4828	2734	2548	2042	80.14%	506	19.86%
12D	1209	501	469	392	83.58%	77	16.42%
12E1	1621	591	554	464	83.75%	90	16.25%
12F	943	428	400	343	85.75%	57	14.25%
12G	800	430	389	338	86.89%	51	13.11%
12H1	1006	310	294	257	87.41%	37	12.59%
12H2	2562	1189	1109	916	82.60%	193	17.40%
12I	970	413	382	326	85.34%	56	14.66%
12J	2535	1108	1027	878	85.49%	149	14.51%
12K	3022	1240	1161	982	84.58%	179	15.42%
12L	613	238	219	181	82.65%	38	17.35%
12M	2533	1171	1097	908	82.77%	189	17.23%
12N	907	327	303	259	85.48%	44	14.52%
12S	1794	959	862	708	82.13%	154	17.87%
AP01A	4724	3141	2910	2094	71.96%	816	28.04%
AP01B	913	516	475	349	73.47%	126	26.53%
AP01C	2233	1498	1396	958	68.62%	438	31.38%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	CONST AMENDMENT 5						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
AP01D	915	539	497	366	73.64%	131	26.36%
AP02B	474	263	237	175	73.84%	62	26.16%
AP03	741	314	301	249	82.72%	52	17.28%
AP04A	1647	1183	1086	771	70.99%	315	29.01%
AP04B	415	290	279	193	69.18%	86	30.82%
AP05	4367	2981	2757	1931	70.04%	826	29.96%
AP06	1827	1309	1202	840	69.88%	362	30.12%
AP07A	3422	2102	1960	1413	72.09%	547	27.91%
AP07B	1650	1144	1068	747	69.94%	321	30.06%
AP09A	4051	2687	2508	1792	71.45%	716	28.55%
AP09B	810	531	491	358	72.91%	133	27.09%
AP021	2994	1963	1831	1313	71.71%	518	28.29%
AP022	2210	1429	1314	986	75.04%	328	24.96%
AP10	942	681	631	460	72.90%	171	27.10%
AP12A	658	374	342	268	78.36%	74	21.64%
AP12B	747	428	392	313	79.85%	79	20.15%
AP12C	1584	1005	928	642	69.18%	286	30.82%
AP14	2972	2027	1892	1358	71.78%	534	28.22%
CH01	330	164	149	112	75.17%	37	24.83%
CH02	319	202	188	105	55.85%	83	44.15%
CH03	379	250	226	123	54.42%	103	45.58%
CH04A	647	444	406	261	64.29%	145	35.71%
CH05	407	260	236	126	53.39%	110	46.61%
CP01B	381	227	213	147	69.01%	66	30.99%
CP011	1135	617	581	462	79.52%	119	20.48%
CP012	1318	844	794	620	78.09%	174	21.91%
CP02	1000	553	526	416	79.09%	110	20.91%
CP04A	8	2	2	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP04B	39	14	13	10	76.92%	3	23.08%
CP05B	143	76	73	62	84.93%	11	15.07%
CP051	1612	874	835	702	84.07%	133	15.93%
CP06A	598	208	205	180	87.80%	25	12.20%
CP07B	7	2	2	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP07C	244	47	44	40	90.91%	4	9.09%
CP07D	694	208	201	175	87.06%	26	12.94%
CP07E	125	41	37	33	89.19%	4	10.81%
CP07F	184	55	52	48	92.31%	4	7.69%
CP081	343	77	75	57	76.00%	18	24.00%
CP083	219	25	24	23	95.83%	1	4.17%
CP084	3	1	1	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP08A	705	175	168	145	86.31%	23	13.69%
EP01A	2609	1464	1382	1139	82.42%	243	17.58%
EP01B	3038	1539	1458	1175	80.59%	283	19.41%
EP02A	1967	890	838	719	85.80%	119	14.20%
EP02B	1449	977	924	709	76.73%	215	23.27%
EP02C	428	251	234	184	78.63%	50	21.37%
EP02D	326	192	183	151	82.51%	32	17.49%
EP02E	1382	627	583	483	82.85%	100	17.15%
EP03A	4293	2512	2383	1939	81.37%	444	18.63%
EP03B	1421	973	930	765	82.26%	165	17.74%
EP04A	5432	2626	2525	2124	84.12%	401	15.88%
EP04B	2024	1203	1162	994	85.54%	168	14.46%
FA01A	3574	2126	2034	1657	81.47%	377	18.53%
FA01B	4833	2965	2830	2309	81.59%	521	18.41%
FA01C	681	401	386	318	82.38%	68	17.62%
HP01	3212	1780	1674	1287	76.88%	387	23.12%
JC01	4977	3215	2976	2178	73.19%	798	26.81%
JC02	2460	1474	1357	1012	74.58%	345	25.42%
JC03A	540	384	363	252	69.42%	111	30.58%
JC03B	1448	955	872	680	77.98%	192	22.02%
JC04A	4042	2692	2511	1792	71.37%	719	28.63%
JC04B	255	156	139	100	71.94%	39	28.06%
JC05	2136	1391	1285	964	75.02%	321	24.98%
JC06	2627	1580	1483	1017	68.58%	466	31.42%
JC07	4083	2627	2448	1744	71.24%	704	28.76%
JC08	2737	1870	1736	1224	70.51%	512	29.49%
JC09	2928	1878	1769	1304	73.71%	465	26.29%
JC10	2392	1507	1385	1026	74.08%	359	25.92%
JC11	3186	2085	1923	1335	69.42%	588	30.58%
JC12	2455	1753	1634	1058	64.75%	576	35.25%
JC13A	2115	1462	1363	888	65.15%	475	34.85%
JC13B	271	180	165	103	62.42%	62	37.58%
JC14	2477	1634	1529	1047	68.48%	482	31.52%
JC15	834	523	492	377	76.63%	115	23.37%
JC16	2496	1729	1602	1104	68.91%	498	31.09%
JC18	2670	1969	1842	1263	68.57%	579	31.43%
JC19	3759	2528	2346	1691	72.08%	655	27.92%
ML011	2439	1681	1582	1126	71.18%	456	28.82%
ML012	485	338	317	212	66.88%	105	33.12%
ML01B	138	96	89	65	73.03%	24	26.97%
ML021	1991	1369	1262	813	64.42%	449	35.58%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	CONST AMENDMENT 5						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
ML022	241	148	139	89	64.03%	50	35.97%
ML023	1542	1027	933	626	67.10%	307	32.90%
ML024	113	70	62	35	56.45%	27	43.55%
ML03	3757	2575	2363	1566	66.27%	797	33.73%
ML04A	1747	1176	1092	770	70.51%	322	29.49%
ML04B	1206	838	788	510	64.72%	278	35.28%
ML04C	79	66	62	40	64.52%	22	35.48%
ML05A	39	27	23	18	78.26%	5	21.74%
ML05B	381	250	229	132	57.64%	97	42.36%
ML05C	4023	2615	2447	1774	72.50%	673	27.50%
ML06A	1973	1024	976	797	81.66%	179	18.34%
ML06B	2775	1734	1627	1241	76.28%	386	23.72%
ML071	105	73	67	37	55.22%	30	44.78%
ML072	1249	857	808	535	66.21%	273	33.79%
ML07A	707	472	441	271	61.45%	170	38.55%
MP01	450	323	307	215	70.03%	92	29.97%
PA01	2509	1330	1250	975	78.00%	275	22.00%
RW01	4717	3355	3075	2089	67.93%	986	32.07%
RW02	3794	2675	2489	1778	71.43%	711	28.57%
RW03	4510	2745	2563	1877	73.23%	686	26.77%
RW04	1803	1359	1267	840	66.30%	427	33.70%
RW05	2322	1207	1153	891	77.28%	262	22.72%
RW06	2820	1914	1799	1274	70.82%	525	29.18%
RW07A	1549	677	643	543	84.45%	100	15.55%
RW07B	388	214	203	153	75.37%	50	24.63%
RW08	2138	1462	1342	955	71.16%	387	28.84%
RW09	5918	4216	3902	2655	68.04%	1247	31.96%
RW10	3347	2376	2190	1492	68.13%	698	31.87%
RW11A	2667	1693	1580	1141	72.22%	439	27.78%
RW12	5944	4234	3939	2718	69.00%	1221	31.00%
RW13	3555	2476	2310	1657	71.73%	653	28.27%
RW16	1850	1275	1196	827	69.15%	369	30.85%
RW17	2794	1773	1650	1233	74.73%	417	25.27%
RW19	1993	1434	1311	900	68.65%	411	31.35%
RW20	2486	1797	1668	1190	71.34%	478	28.66%
RW21	3144	2169	2002	1425	71.18%	577	28.82%
RW22A	4406	2486	2353	1795	76.29%	558	23.71%
SC01A	20	25	22	19	86.36%	3	13.64%
SC01B	1707	1295	1262	1056	83.68%	206	16.32%
SC01C	2063	1513	1441	1187	82.37%	254	17.63%
SC01D	294	162	157	135	85.99%	22	14.01%
SC02	872	662	639	521	81.53%	118	18.47%
SC04	922	616	577	434	75.22%	143	24.78%
SC05A	646	409	386	334	86.53%	52	13.47%
SC05B	3204	2188	2105	1738	82.57%	367	17.43%
SC05D	2171	1428	1385	1177	84.98%	208	15.02%
SC05E	623	434	420	341	81.19%	79	18.81%
SC07A	5595	3698	3566	2941	82.47%	625	17.53%
SC07C	29	10	10	10	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08B	3382	2008	1917	1564	81.59%	353	18.41%
SC08C	74	53	49	41	83.67%	8	16.33%
SC08D	499	314	304	251	82.57%	53	17.43%
SC08E	562	316	306	257	83.99%	49	16.01%
SC08F	700	366	356	302	84.83%	54	15.17%
SC08G	12	8	7	6	85.71%	1	14.29%
SC08H	4	3	3	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC09A	1628	839	809	691	85.41%	118	14.59%
SC09B	1687	1084	1024	855	83.50%	169	16.50%
SC09C	1566	842	804	658	81.84%	146	18.16%
SC10	1678	661	630	537	85.24%	93	14.76%
SC11A	1411	813	778	657	84.45%	121	15.55%
SC11B	1506	878	840	734	87.38%	106	12.62%
SC13	2465	1636	1581	1269	80.27%	312	19.73%
SC14A	916	429	409	344	84.11%	65	15.89%
SC14B	502	45	42	35	83.33%	7	16.67%
SC15	6193	4213	4067	3395	83.48%	672	16.52%
SC16A	4601	3208	3077	2552	82.94%	525	17.06%
SC16B	14	15	14	11	78.57%	3	21.43%
SC17A	55	42	40	34	85.00%	6	15.00%
SC17B	7	4	4	3	75.00%	1	25.00%
SC17C	1134	669	641	526	82.06%	115	17.94%
SC18A	510	329	311	265	85.21%	46	14.79%
SC18B	663	462	443	372	83.97%	71	16.03%
SC18C	1850	1352	1302	1039	79.80%	263	20.20%
SC19A	195	129	126	98	77.78%	28	22.22%
SC19B	1267	690	657	546	83.11%	111	16.89%
SC20	2197	1665	1611	1364	84.67%	247	15.33%
SC211	1328	841	808	675	83.54%	133	16.46%
SC212	3378	1947	1870	1548	82.78%	322	17.22%
SC23A	3161	1730	1677	1428	85.15%	249	14.85%
SC23B	483	284	271	225	83.03%	46	16.97%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	CONST AMENDMENT 5						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
SC23C	2252	1302	1256	1060	84.39%	196	15.61%
SC27	2129	1259	1197	1008	84.21%	189	15.79%
SC29A	2784	1544	1474	1219	82.70%	255	17.30%
SC30A	135	97	89	83	93.26%	6	6.74%
SC30B	960	531	502	421	83.86%	81	16.14%
SS01	4487	3270	3047	2078	68.20%	969	31.80%
SS02A	1120	755	712	525	73.74%	187	26.26%
SS02B	1255	756	703	548	77.95%	155	22.05%
SS03	1464	1027	968	701	72.42%	267	27.58%
SS04	3264	2064	1931	1490	77.16%	441	22.84%
SS05	1746	1376	1257	876	69.69%	381	30.31%
SS06	1397	1073	997	690	69.21%	307	30.79%
SS07A	968	683	625	452	72.32%	173	27.68%
SS07B	609	405	372	277	74.46%	95	25.54%
SS07C	755	436	388	291	75.00%	97	25.00%
SS08A	1316	758	711	547	76.93%	164	23.07%
SS08B	635	453	421	284	67.46%	137	32.54%
SS08C	632	393	365	285	78.08%	80	21.92%
SS08D	1368	847	796	619	77.76%	177	22.24%
SS09A	3003	2210	2030	1409	69.41%	621	30.59%
SS09B	3358	2452	2259	1543	68.30%	716	31.70%
SS11A	3655	2227	2078	1576	75.84%	502	24.16%
SS11B	1257	707	656	487	74.24%	169	25.76%
SS11C	269	146	133	110	82.71%	23	17.29%
SS11D	365	254	232	166	71.55%	66	28.45%
SS12	2559	1732	1598	1133	70.90%	465	29.10%
SS13A	1633	1072	1004	723	72.01%	281	27.99%
SS13B	1001	629	592	436	73.65%	156	26.35%
SS14	1274	977	899	576	64.07%	323	35.93%
SS15A	2572	1199	1148	962	83.80%	186	16.20%
SS15B	1579	902	865	672	77.69%	193	22.31%
SS16	2030	1534	1423	971	68.24%	452	31.76%
SS17	3397	2229	2069	1468	70.95%	601	29.05%
SS18A	619	454	429	287	66.90%	142	33.10%
SS18B	221	162	150	101	67.33%	49	32.67%
SS19A	3016	1683	1593	1247	78.28%	346	21.72%
SS19B	2032	1226	1150	882	76.70%	268	23.30%
SS20	2060	1159	1087	834	76.72%	253	23.28%
SS22	2714	1613	1513	1110	73.36%	403	26.64%
SS26	3552	1822	1733	1425	82.23%	308	17.77%
SS29A	2488	1595	1472	1086	73.78%	386	26.22%
SS31	1345	938	871	624	71.64%	247	28.36%
UC01A	3622	1715	1661	1416	85.25%	245	14.75%
UC01B	395	210	204	176	86.27%	28	13.73%
UC01D	6	2	2	1	50.00%	1	50.00%
UC01E	285	206	199	165	82.91%	34	17.09%
UC02A	7513	4296	4130	3455	83.66%	675	16.34%
UC02B	30	19	18	13	72.22%	5	27.78%
UC031	2399	1203	1110	915	82.43%	195	17.57%
UC032	292	186	173	145	83.82%	28	16.18%
Total	703177	424992	397102	305207	76.86%	91895	23.14%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	REFERENDUM A						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
Jurisdiction Wide							
01A	3199	2362	2107	1399	66.40%	708	33.60%
01B	3839	2737	2487	1612	64.82%	875	35.18%
01C	1459	612	563	407	72.29%	156	27.71%
01D	511	307	278	200	71.94%	78	28.06%
01E	3363	2410	2166	1480	68.33%	686	31.67%
01F	1254	452	416	286	68.75%	130	31.25%
01G	1909	1102	1004	698	69.52%	306	30.48%
01H	758	379	338	234	69.23%	104	30.77%
01J	2416	1184	1101	763	69.30%	338	30.70%
01P	1244	542	497	352	70.82%	145	29.18%
01R	1344	491	443	316	71.33%	127	28.67%
01S	1749	823	753	547	72.64%	206	27.36%
01T	1506	796	743	501	67.43%	242	32.57%
02A	4584	2949	2656	1683	63.37%	973	36.63%
02B	3518	1265	1170	778	66.50%	392	33.50%
02C	2037	1205	1073	705	65.70%	368	34.30%
02D	4475	2660	2385	1567	65.70%	818	34.30%
02E	1905	1423	1294	875	67.62%	419	32.38%
02F1	2962	2033	1773	1145	64.58%	628	35.42%
02F2	788	458	408	282	69.12%	126	30.88%
02G	3443	2361	2111	1409	66.75%	702	33.25%
02J	2159	1432	1291	831	64.37%	460	35.63%
02K	1419	951	823	514	62.45%	309	37.55%
02L1	6213	3978	3601	2322	64.48%	1279	35.52%
02L2	1364	782	703	438	62.30%	265	37.70%
02S	708	427	393	264	67.18%	129	32.82%
02W	682	399	353	235	66.57%	118	33.43%
03A	1927	692	627	435	69.38%	192	30.62%
03B	560	181	162	103	63.58%	59	36.42%
03C	1534	674	611	443	72.50%	168	27.50%
03D	1017	402	373	274	73.46%	99	26.54%
03E	2284	914	851	609	71.56%	242	28.44%
03F	1925	1115	1017	653	64.21%	364	35.79%
03G	802	360	331	208	62.84%	123	37.16%
03H	900	369	331	237	71.60%	94	28.40%
03I	1360	566	512	310	60.55%	202	39.45%
03L	594	229	208	153	73.56%	55	26.44%
03M	766	452	416	269	64.66%	147	35.34%
03N	2288	938	864	602	69.68%	262	30.32%
03P1A	3882	1966	1741	1049	60.25%	692	39.75%
03P2	13	7	6	4	66.67%	2	33.33%
03S	761	356	335	225	67.16%	110	32.84%
03T	1505	715	653	443	67.84%	210	32.16%
04A	1202	560	517	353	68.28%	164	31.72%
04B	2242	922	848	545	64.27%	303	35.73%
04C	136	54	50	32	64.00%	18	36.00%
04D	1481	714	655	462	70.53%	193	29.47%
04F	2086	935	855	581	67.95%	274	32.05%
04G	828	312	285	187	65.61%	98	34.39%
04I	3362	561	507	347	68.44%	160	31.56%
04J	1230	317	301	198	65.78%	103	34.22%
04K	2339	1266	1134	803	70.81%	331	29.19%
04L	1655	867	810	554	68.40%	256	31.60%
04M	773	292	275	191	69.45%	84	30.55%
04S	2165	1118	1015	706	69.56%	309	30.44%
04T	1145	584	533	368	69.04%	165	30.96%
04V	2848	1211	1111	801	72.10%	310	27.90%
04W	2203	820	774	489	63.18%	285	36.82%
04X1	1942	950	881	600	68.10%	281	31.90%
04X2	737	364	336	229	68.15%	107	31.85%
05A1	2491	1728	1527	1061	69.48%	466	30.52%
05A2	2910	1998	1772	1192	67.27%	580	32.73%
05B	1763	901	781	533	68.25%	248	31.75%
05C	146	67	59	40	67.80%	19	32.20%
05D	386	93	85	58	68.24%	27	31.76%
05F	223	136	123	71	57.72%	52	42.28%
05J	639	416	377	245	64.99%	132	35.01%
05K	2600	1327	1209	784	64.85%	425	35.15%
06B	1756	1238	1096	664	60.58%	432	39.42%
06D	8568	6060	5349	3412	63.79%	1937	36.21%
06E	2250	1439	1293	821	63.50%	472	36.50%
06F	2709	1757	1559	1016	65.17%	543	34.83%
06G	536	369	328	234	71.34%	94	28.66%
06I	3412	1957	1764	1102	62.47%	662	37.53%
06J	2539	1904	1709	1100	64.37%	609	35.63%
06L1	917	679	577	343	59.45%	234	40.55%
06L2	654	487	433	271	62.59%	162	37.41%
06N	1844	1085	971	603	62.10%	368	37.90%
06Q	527	295	270	147	54.44%	123	45.56%
06R	1836	1206	1115	705	63.23%	410	36.77%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	REFERENDUM A						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
07A	6600	4093	3577	2168	60.61%	1409	39.39%
07B	2763	2107	1808	1111	61.45%	697	38.55%
07C	3927	2498	2128	1258	59.12%	870	40.88%
07D	4231	2413	2042	1246	61.02%	796	38.98%
07E	2031	1358	1196	731	61.12%	465	38.88%
07F	3017	2041	1787	1146	64.13%	641	35.87%
07H	1923	1424	1253	793	63.29%	460	36.71%
07J	2647	1753	1533	961	62.69%	572	37.31%
07M	2155	1180	1044	615	58.91%	429	41.09%
07N	1946	1044	934	631	67.56%	303	32.44%
08A	2799	1949	1687	1015	60.17%	672	39.83%
08B	3199	2255	1955	1184	60.56%	771	39.44%
08C	1392	984	856	533	62.27%	323	37.73%
08D	1022	770	679	423	62.30%	256	37.70%
08E	2429	1864	1625	961	59.14%	664	40.86%
08F1	2071	1628	1385	880	63.54%	505	36.46%
08G	2787	1669	1433	911	63.57%	522	36.43%
08H	2465	1874	1631	1023	62.72%	608	37.28%
08J	2981	2223	1924	1233	64.09%	691	35.91%
08K	973	702	627	382	60.93%	245	39.07%
08L	4194	2706	2389	1527	63.92%	862	36.08%
08M	1907	1358	1167	717	61.44%	450	38.56%
08N1	767	587	504	305	60.52%	199	39.48%
08N2	1391	927	784	479	61.10%	305	38.90%
08P	1633	928	843	532	63.11%	311	36.89%
09A	3338	2152	1940	1318	67.94%	622	32.06%
09B	385	174	162	104	64.20%	58	35.80%
09C	2954	1522	1395	951	68.17%	444	31.83%
09D	1879	858	760	525	69.08%	235	30.92%
09E	2782	1420	1309	911	69.60%	398	30.40%
09F	3619	2526	2247	1371	61.01%	876	38.99%
09G	3519	2282	2046	1325	64.76%	721	35.24%
09H	1064	645	574	372	64.81%	202	35.19%
09I	1194	503	451	324	71.84%	127	28.16%
09K	4403	1851	1706	1231	72.16%	475	27.84%
09M	2259	1611	1465	992	67.71%	473	32.29%
10A	2850	1622	1478	999	67.59%	479	32.41%
10B	3686	2464	2265	1567	69.18%	698	30.82%
10C	2466	1168	1072	751	70.06%	321	29.94%
10D	4220	1850	1684	1197	71.08%	487	28.92%
10E	950	524	476	319	67.02%	157	32.98%
10F	1053	590	536	358	66.79%	178	33.21%
10G	1205	813	744	488	65.59%	256	34.41%
10H1	408	238	220	151	68.64%	69	31.36%
10H2	2207	1032	932	666	71.46%	266	28.54%
10I	329	258	237	152	64.14%	85	35.86%
10J	1012	466	428	298	69.63%	130	30.37%
10K	1415	858	795	525	66.04%	270	33.96%
10M	2529	1414	1309	891	68.07%	418	31.93%
10P	1364	668	598	411	68.73%	187	31.27%
10R	713	347	309	235	76.05%	74	23.95%
11B	5162	3088	2855	1929	67.57%	926	32.43%
11C	2822	2003	1879	1287	68.49%	592	31.51%
11E1	1141	634	594	421	70.88%	173	29.12%
11E2	847	497	467	329	70.45%	138	29.55%
11E3	3124	1835	1726	1231	71.32%	495	28.68%
11G	2092	855	787	576	73.19%	211	26.81%
11H	677	356	323	224	69.35%	99	30.65%
11J	1827	1018	930	684	73.55%	246	26.45%
11K	1818	1104	1007	658	65.34%	349	34.66%
11M	3039	1659	1559	1106	70.94%	453	29.06%
11N	2166	1358	1244	875	70.34%	369	29.66%
11P	1833	1020	905	670	74.03%	235	25.97%
11R	1849	672	608	422	69.41%	186	30.59%
12A	4828	2734	2490	1718	69.00%	772	31.00%
12D	1209	501	462	303	65.58%	159	34.42%
12E1	1621	591	543	389	71.64%	154	28.36%
12F	943	428	395	282	71.39%	113	28.61%
12G	800	430	385	279	72.47%	106	27.53%
12H1	1006	310	291	214	73.54%	77	26.46%
12H2	2562	1189	1096	758	69.16%	338	30.84%
12I	970	413	380	257	67.63%	123	32.37%
12J	2535	1108	1005	721	71.74%	284	28.26%
12K	3022	1240	1136	805	70.86%	331	29.14%
12L	613	238	214	154	71.96%	60	28.04%
12M	2533	1171	1096	775	70.71%	321	29.29%
12N	907	327	293	209	71.33%	84	28.67%
12S	1794	959	845	612	72.43%	233	27.57%
AP01A	4724	3141	2755	1621	58.84%	1134	41.16%
AP01B	913	516	459	285	62.09%	174	37.91%
AP01C	2233	1498	1326	757	57.09%	569	42.91%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	REFERENDUM A						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
AP01D	915	539	475	280	58.95%	195	41.05%
AP02B	474	263	222	135	60.81%	87	39.19%
AP03	741	314	293	176	60.07%	117	39.93%
AP04A	1647	1183	1062	678	63.84%	384	36.16%
AP04B	415	290	265	175	66.04%	90	33.96%
AP05	4367	2981	2651	1616	60.96%	1035	39.04%
AP06	1827	1309	1160	704	60.69%	456	39.31%
AP07A	3422	2102	1895	1211	63.91%	684	36.09%
AP07B	1650	1144	1025	630	61.46%	395	38.54%
AP09A	4051	2687	2386	1442	60.44%	944	39.56%
AP09B	810	531	477	276	57.86%	201	42.14%
AP021	2994	1963	1775	1120	63.10%	655	36.90%
AP022	2210	1429	1248	811	64.98%	437	35.02%
AP10	942	681	594	387	65.15%	207	34.85%
AP12A	658	374	328	197	60.06%	131	39.94%
AP12B	747	428	371	213	57.41%	158	42.59%
AP12C	1584	1005	895	535	59.78%	360	40.22%
AP14	2972	2027	1784	1074	60.20%	710	39.80%
CH01	330	164	156	96	61.54%	60	38.46%
CH02	319	202	182	89	48.90%	93	51.10%
CH03	379	250	227	113	49.78%	114	50.22%
CH04A	647	444	399	234	58.65%	165	41.35%
CH05	407	260	238	117	49.16%	121	50.84%
CP01B	381	227	206	125	60.68%	81	39.32%
CP011	1135	617	562	374	66.55%	188	33.45%
CP012	1318	844	770	526	68.31%	244	31.69%
CP02	1000	553	507	330	65.09%	177	34.91%
CP04A	8	2	2	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP04B	39	14	14	10	71.43%	4	28.57%
CP05B	143	76	72	52	72.22%	20	27.78%
CP051	1612	874	823	571	69.38%	252	30.62%
CP06A	598	208	198	130	65.66%	68	34.34%
CP07B	7	2	2	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP07C	244	47	45	31	68.89%	14	31.11%
CP07D	694	208	197	152	77.16%	45	22.84%
CP07E	125	41	36	26	72.22%	10	27.78%
CP07F	184	55	50	35	70.00%	15	30.00%
CP081	343	77	73	42	57.53%	31	42.47%
CP083	219	25	24	16	66.67%	8	33.33%
CP084	3	1	1	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP08A	705	175	167	117	70.06%	50	29.94%
EP01A	2609	1464	1356	932	68.73%	424	31.27%
EP01B	3038	1539	1443	975	67.57%	468	32.43%
EP02A	1967	890	827	590	71.34%	237	28.66%
EP02B	1449	977	915	568	62.08%	347	37.92%
EP02C	428	251	231	156	67.53%	75	32.47%
EP02D	326	192	181	121	66.85%	60	33.15%
EP02E	1382	627	580	405	69.83%	175	30.17%
EP03A	4293	2512	2332	1598	68.52%	734	31.48%
EP03B	1421	973	921	665	72.20%	256	27.80%
EP04A	5432	2626	2489	1699	68.26%	790	31.74%
EP04B	2024	1203	1148	795	69.25%	353	30.75%
FA01A	3574	2126	2012	1341	66.65%	671	33.35%
FA01B	4833	2965	2776	1878	67.65%	898	32.35%
FA01C	681	401	375	265	70.67%	110	29.33%
HP01	3212	1780	1664	1093	65.69%	571	34.31%
JC01	4977	3215	2881	1737	60.29%	1144	39.71%
JC02	2460	1474	1306	786	60.18%	520	39.82%
JC03A	540	384	352	199	56.53%	153	43.47%
JC03B	1448	955	837	496	59.26%	341	40.74%
JC04A	4042	2692	2384	1430	59.98%	954	40.02%
JC04B	255	156	134	78	58.21%	56	41.79%
JC05	2136	1391	1224	720	58.82%	504	41.18%
JC06	2627	1580	1422	828	58.23%	594	41.77%
JC07	4083	2627	2323	1400	60.27%	923	39.73%
JC08	2737	1870	1658	963	58.08%	695	41.92%
JC09	2928	1878	1701	1017	59.79%	684	40.21%
JC10	2392	1507	1331	789	59.28%	542	40.72%
JC11	3186	2085	1846	1049	56.83%	797	43.17%
JC12	2455	1753	1563	865	55.34%	698	44.66%
JC13A	2115	1462	1289	704	54.62%	585	45.38%
JC13B	271	180	157	94	59.87%	63	40.13%
JC14	2477	1634	1458	849	58.23%	609	41.77%
JC15	834	523	475	286	60.21%	189	39.79%
JC16	2496	1729	1533	925	60.34%	608	39.66%
JC18	2670	1969	1760	1017	57.78%	743	42.22%
JC19	3759	2528	2248	1348	59.96%	900	40.04%
ML011	2439	1681	1520	956	62.89%	564	37.11%
ML012	485	338	309	197	63.75%	112	36.25%
ML01B	138	96	87	53	60.92%	34	39.08%
ML021	1991	1369	1220	745	61.07%	475	38.93%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	REFERENDUM A						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
ML022	241	148	126	76	60.32%	50	39.68%
ML023	1542	1027	892	548	61.43%	344	38.57%
ML024	113	70	59	35	59.32%	24	40.68%
ML03	3757	2575	2260	1384	61.24%	876	38.76%
ML04A	1747	1176	1051	668	63.56%	383	36.44%
ML04B	1206	838	764	453	59.29%	311	40.71%
ML04C	79	66	61	38	62.30%	23	37.70%
ML05A	39	27	23	14	60.87%	9	39.13%
ML05B	381	250	222	124	55.86%	98	44.14%
ML05C	4023	2615	2356	1480	62.82%	876	37.18%
ML06A	1973	1024	939	565	60.17%	374	39.83%
ML06B	2775	1734	1561	981	62.84%	580	37.16%
ML071	105	73	69	44	63.77%	25	36.23%
ML072	1249	857	779	475	60.98%	304	39.02%
ML07A	707	472	426	258	60.56%	168	39.44%
MP01	450	323	302	212	70.20%	90	29.80%
PA01	2509	1330	1236	793	64.16%	443	35.84%
RW01	4717	3355	2925	1792	61.26%	1133	38.74%
RW02	3794	2675	2386	1446	60.60%	940	39.40%
RW03	4510	2745	2467	1521	61.65%	946	38.35%
RW04	1803	1359	1215	706	58.11%	509	41.89%
RW05	2322	1207	1105	681	61.63%	424	38.37%
RW06	2820	1914	1704	1005	58.98%	699	41.02%
RW07A	1549	677	622	407	65.43%	215	34.57%
RW07B	388	214	197	125	63.45%	72	36.55%
RW08	2138	1462	1279	800	62.55%	479	37.45%
RW09	5918	4216	3764	2313	61.45%	1451	38.55%
RW10	3347	2376	2143	1300	60.66%	843	39.34%
RW11A	2667	1693	1523	901	59.16%	622	40.84%
RW12	5944	4234	3794	2391	63.02%	1403	36.98%
RW13	3555	2476	2216	1369	61.78%	847	38.22%
RW16	1850	1275	1144	698	61.01%	446	38.99%
RW17	2794	1773	1581	964	60.97%	617	39.03%
RW19	1993	1434	1248	780	62.50%	468	37.50%
RW20	2486	1797	1616	977	60.46%	639	39.54%
RW21	3144	2169	1901	1109	58.34%	792	41.66%
RW22A	4406	2486	2246	1326	59.04%	920	40.96%
SC01A	20	25	18	10	55.56%	8	44.44%
SC01B	1707	1295	1246	838	67.26%	408	32.74%
SC01C	2063	1513	1421	972	68.40%	449	31.60%
SC01D	294	162	155	101	65.16%	54	34.84%
SC02	872	662	630	438	69.52%	192	30.48%
SC04	922	616	581	382	65.75%	199	34.25%
SC05A	646	409	382	277	72.51%	105	27.49%
SC05B	3204	2188	2074	1475	71.12%	599	28.88%
SC05D	2171	1428	1370	969	70.73%	401	29.27%
SC05E	623	434	411	269	65.45%	142	34.55%
SC07A	5595	3698	3540	2399	67.77%	1141	32.23%
SC07C	29	10	10	6	60.00%	4	40.00%
SC08B	3382	2008	1898	1280	67.44%	618	32.56%
SC08C	74	53	50	37	74.00%	13	26.00%
SC08D	499	314	300	207	69.00%	93	31.00%
SC08E	562	316	301	194	64.45%	107	35.55%
SC08F	700	366	346	253	73.12%	93	26.88%
SC08G	12	8	7	5	71.43%	2	28.57%
SC08H	4	3	3	2	66.67%	1	33.33%
SC09A	1628	839	795	566	71.19%	229	28.81%
SC09B	1687	1084	1018	706	69.35%	312	30.65%
SC09C	1566	842	790	548	69.37%	242	30.63%
SC10	1678	661	614	449	73.13%	165	26.87%
SC11A	1411	813	773	566	73.22%	207	26.78%
SC11B	1506	878	834	583	69.90%	251	30.10%
SC13	2465	1636	1562	1058	67.73%	504	32.27%
SC14A	916	429	406	266	65.52%	140	34.48%
SC14B	502	45	40	33	82.50%	7	17.50%
SC15	6193	4213	4018	2787	69.36%	1231	30.64%
SC16A	4601	3208	3051	2111	69.19%	940	30.81%
SC16B	14	15	13	9	69.23%	4	30.77%
SC17A	55	42	39	28	71.79%	11	28.21%
SC17B	7	4	4	3	75.00%	1	25.00%
SC17C	1134	669	636	457	71.86%	179	28.14%
SC18A	510	329	306	211	68.95%	95	31.05%
SC18B	663	462	435	289	66.44%	146	33.56%
SC18C	1850	1352	1297	889	68.54%	408	31.46%
SC19A	195	129	126	89	70.63%	37	29.37%
SC19B	1267	690	652	461	70.71%	191	29.29%
SC20	2197	1665	1595	1096	68.71%	499	31.29%
SC211	1328	841	793	554	69.86%	239	30.14%
SC212	3378	1947	1859	1286	69.18%	573	30.82%
SC23A	3161	1730	1655	1179	71.24%	476	28.76%
SC23B	483	284	263	179	68.06%	84	31.94%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	REFERENDUM A						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
SC23C	2252	1302	1243	893	71.84%	350	28.16%
SC27	2129	1259	1187	818	68.91%	369	31.09%
SC29A	2784	1544	1450	1048	72.28%	402	27.72%
SC30A	135	97	87	66	75.86%	21	24.14%
SC30B	960	531	492	346	70.33%	146	29.67%
SS01	4487	3270	2916	1706	58.50%	1210	41.50%
SS02A	1120	755	678	394	58.11%	284	41.89%
SS02B	1255	756	682	437	64.08%	245	35.92%
SS03	1464	1027	930	564	60.65%	366	39.35%
SS04	3264	2064	1862	1136	61.01%	726	38.99%
SS05	1746	1376	1190	662	55.63%	528	44.37%
SS06	1397	1073	945	523	55.34%	422	44.66%
SS07A	968	683	590	356	60.34%	234	39.66%
SS07B	609	405	356	212	59.55%	144	40.45%
SS07C	755	436	367	220	59.95%	147	40.05%
SS08A	1316	758	674	403	59.79%	271	40.21%
SS08B	635	453	405	243	60.00%	162	40.00%
SS08C	632	393	348	221	63.51%	127	36.49%
SS08D	1368	847	749	480	64.09%	269	35.91%
SS09A	3003	2210	1932	1149	59.47%	783	40.53%
SS09B	3358	2452	2130	1217	57.14%	913	42.86%
SS11A	3655	2227	1968	1153	58.59%	815	41.41%
SS11B	1257	707	624	363	58.17%	261	41.83%
SS11C	269	146	132	86	65.15%	46	34.85%
SS11D	365	254	221	143	64.71%	78	35.29%
SS12	2559	1732	1494	876	58.63%	618	41.37%
SS13A	1633	1072	929	556	59.85%	373	40.15%
SS13B	1001	629	562	330	58.72%	232	41.28%
SS14	1274	977	852	489	57.39%	363	42.61%
SS15A	2572	1199	1116	724	64.87%	392	35.13%
SS15B	1579	902	816	481	58.95%	335	41.05%
SS16	2030	1534	1374	797	58.01%	577	41.99%
SS17	3397	2229	1969	1143	58.05%	826	41.95%
SS18A	619	454	415	235	56.63%	180	43.37%
SS18B	221	162	141	77	54.61%	64	45.39%
SS19A	3016	1683	1517	925	60.98%	592	39.02%
SS19B	2032	1226	1107	679	61.34%	428	38.66%
SS20	2060	1159	1046	615	58.80%	431	41.20%
SS22	2714	1613	1457	882	60.54%	575	39.46%
SS26	3552	1822	1659	1031	62.15%	628	37.85%
SS29A	2488	1595	1400	851	60.79%	549	39.21%
SS31	1345	938	829	495	59.71%	334	40.29%
UC01A	3622	1715	1634	1121	68.60%	513	31.40%
UC01B	395	210	201	135	67.16%	66	32.84%
UC01D	6	2	2	0	0.00%	2	100.00%
UC01E	285	206	196	133	67.86%	63	32.14%
UC02A	7513	4296	4070	2843	69.85%	1227	30.15%
UC02B	30	19	18	12	66.67%	6	33.33%
UC031	2399	1203	1091	726	66.54%	365	33.46%
UC032	292	186	174	130	74.71%	44	25.29%
Total	703177	424992	384107	247320	64.39%	136787	35.61%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

REFERENDUM B

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
Jurisdiction Wide							
01A	3199	2362	2218	1867	84.17%	351	15.83%
01B	3839	2737	2585	2141	82.82%	444	17.18%
01C	1459	612	585	523	89.40%	62	10.60%
01D	511	307	292	262	89.73%	30	10.27%
01E	3363	2410	2256	1923	85.24%	333	14.76%
01F	1254	452	427	390	91.33%	37	8.67%
01G	1909	1102	1060	902	85.09%	158	14.91%
01H	758	379	354	319	90.11%	35	9.89%
01J	2416	1184	1133	1003	88.53%	130	11.47%
01P	1244	542	508	458	90.16%	50	9.84%
01R	1344	491	461	410	88.94%	51	11.06%
01S	1749	823	771	683	88.59%	88	11.41%
01T	1506	796	758	642	84.70%	116	15.30%
02A	4584	2949	2782	2289	82.28%	493	17.72%
02B	3518	1265	1211	1030	85.05%	181	14.95%
02C	2037	1205	1129	981	86.89%	148	13.11%
02D	4475	2660	2517	2170	86.21%	347	13.79%
02E	1905	1423	1355	1121	82.73%	234	17.27%
02F1	2962	2033	1886	1620	85.90%	266	14.10%
02F2	788	458	428	365	85.28%	63	14.72%
02G	3443	2361	2228	1895	85.05%	333	14.95%
02J	2159	1432	1346	1146	85.14%	200	14.86%
02K	1419	951	880	734	83.41%	146	16.59%
02L1	6213	3978	3726	3115	83.60%	611	16.40%
02L2	1364	782	738	612	82.93%	126	17.07%
02S	708	427	408	356	87.25%	52	12.75%
02W	682	399	376	327	86.97%	49	13.03%
03A	1927	692	649	579	89.21%	70	10.79%
03B	560	181	166	143	86.14%	23	13.86%
03C	1534	674	626	561	89.62%	65	10.38%
03D	1017	402	379	332	87.60%	47	12.40%
03E	2284	914	874	772	88.33%	102	11.67%
03F	1925	1115	1077	907	84.22%	170	15.78%
03G	802	360	342	305	89.18%	37	10.82%
03H	900	369	341	314	92.08%	27	7.92%
03I	1360	566	539	452	83.86%	87	16.14%
03L	594	229	215	184	85.58%	31	14.42%
03M	766	452	429	372	86.71%	57	13.29%
03N	2288	938	895	810	90.50%	85	9.50%
03P1A	3882	1966	1852	1574	84.99%	278	15.01%
03P2	13	7	7	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
03S	761	356	341	297	87.10%	44	12.90%
03T	1505	715	672	596	88.69%	76	11.31%
04A	1202	560	525	472	89.90%	53	10.10%
04B	2242	922	873	782	89.58%	91	10.42%
04C	136	54	52	45	86.54%	7	13.46%
04D	1481	714	676	593	87.72%	83	12.28%
04F	2086	935	893	777	87.01%	116	12.99%
04G	828	312	291	242	83.16%	49	16.84%
04I	3362	561	529	463	87.52%	66	12.48%
04J	1230	317	305	272	89.18%	33	10.82%
04K	2339	1266	1178	1035	87.86%	143	12.14%
04L	1655	867	833	724	86.91%	109	13.09%
04M	773	292	283	254	89.75%	29	10.25%
04S	2165	1118	1054	922	87.48%	132	12.52%
04T	1145	584	550	478	86.91%	72	13.09%
04V	2848	1211	1143	1009	88.28%	134	11.72%
04W	2203	820	791	735	92.92%	56	7.08%
04X1	1942	950	907	814	89.75%	93	10.25%
04X2	737	364	339	299	88.20%	40	11.80%
05A1	2491	1728	1614	1415	87.67%	199	12.33%
05A2	2910	1998	1889	1634	86.50%	255	13.50%
05B	1763	901	833	736	88.36%	97	11.64%
05C	146	67	64	57	89.06%	7	10.94%
05D	386	93	90	87	96.67%	3	3.33%
05F	223	136	130	117	90.00%	13	10.00%
05J	639	416	394	341	86.55%	53	13.45%
05K	2600	1327	1276	1121	87.85%	155	12.15%
06B	1756	1238	1159	946	81.62%	213	18.38%
06D	8568	6060	5599	4600	82.16%	999	17.84%
06E	2250	1439	1343	1115	83.02%	228	16.98%
06F	2709	1757	1640	1366	83.29%	274	16.71%
06G	536	369	353	306	86.69%	47	13.31%
06I	3412	1957	1871	1590	84.98%	281	15.02%
06J	2539	1904	1780	1458	81.91%	322	18.09%
06L1	917	679	620	501	80.81%	119	19.19%
06L2	654	487	460	361	78.48%	99	21.52%
06N	1844	1085	1016	821	80.81%	195	19.19%
06Q	527	295	282	248	87.94%	34	12.06%
06R	1836	1206	1147	928	80.91%	219	19.09%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

REFERENDUM B

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
07A	6600	4093	3771	3007	79.74%	764	20.26%
07B	2763	2107	1926	1500	77.88%	426	22.12%
07C	3927	2498	2253	1768	78.47%	485	21.53%
07D	4231	2413	2185	1761	80.59%	424	19.41%
07E	2031	1358	1250	1018	81.44%	232	18.56%
07F	3017	2041	1886	1508	79.96%	378	20.04%
07H	1923	1424	1311	1040	79.33%	271	20.67%
07J	2647	1753	1614	1288	79.80%	326	20.20%
07M	2155	1180	1092	857	78.48%	235	21.52%
07N	1946	1044	980	840	85.71%	140	14.29%
08A	2799	1949	1770	1422	80.34%	348	19.66%
08B	3199	2255	2057	1625	79.00%	432	21.00%
08C	1392	984	898	667	74.28%	231	25.72%
08D	1022	770	708	537	75.85%	171	24.15%
08E	2429	1864	1713	1302	76.01%	411	23.99%
08F1	2071	1628	1445	1133	78.41%	312	21.59%
08G	2787	1669	1512	1247	82.47%	265	17.53%
08H	2465	1874	1705	1314	77.07%	391	22.93%
08J	2981	2223	2030	1634	80.49%	396	19.51%
08K	973	702	649	530	81.66%	119	18.34%
08L	4194	2706	2512	2073	82.52%	439	17.48%
08M	1907	1358	1234	986	79.90%	248	20.10%
08N1	767	587	528	403	76.33%	125	23.67%
08N2	1391	927	845	689	81.54%	156	18.46%
08P	1633	928	872	745	85.44%	127	14.56%
09A	3338	2152	2027	1716	84.66%	311	15.34%
09B	385	174	164	142	86.59%	22	13.41%
09C	2954	1522	1440	1269	88.13%	171	11.88%
09D	1879	858	783	705	90.04%	78	9.96%
09E	2782	1420	1347	1164	86.41%	183	13.59%
09F	3619	2526	2334	1832	78.49%	502	21.51%
09G	3519	2282	2154	1798	83.47%	356	16.53%
09H	1064	645	607	527	86.82%	80	13.18%
09I	1194	503	461	405	87.85%	56	12.15%
09K	4403	1851	1754	1552	88.48%	202	11.52%
09M	2259	1611	1515	1278	84.36%	237	15.64%
10A	2850	1622	1527	1345	88.08%	182	11.92%
10B	3686	2464	2342	2030	86.68%	312	13.32%
10C	2466	1168	1099	987	89.81%	112	10.19%
10D	4220	1850	1724	1516	87.94%	208	12.06%
10E	950	524	480	422	87.92%	58	12.08%
10F	1053	590	552	482	87.32%	70	12.68%
10G	1205	813	773	648	83.83%	125	16.17%
10H1	408	238	227	197	86.78%	30	13.22%
10H2	2207	1032	963	841	87.33%	122	12.67%
10I	329	258	243	207	85.19%	36	14.81%
10J	1012	466	436	386	88.53%	50	11.47%
10K	1415	858	810	696	85.93%	114	14.07%
10M	2529	1414	1344	1163	86.53%	181	13.47%
10P	1364	668	613	536	87.44%	77	12.56%
10R	713	347	324	283	87.35%	41	12.65%
11B	5162	3088	2933	2537	86.50%	396	13.50%
11C	2822	2003	1925	1672	86.86%	253	13.14%
11E1	1141	634	606	528	87.13%	78	12.87%
11E2	847	497	474	415	87.55%	59	12.45%
11E3	3124	1835	1784	1592	89.24%	192	10.76%
11G	2092	855	805	729	90.56%	76	9.44%
11H	677	356	329	296	89.97%	33	10.03%
11J	1827	1018	960	872	90.83%	88	9.17%
11K	1818	1104	1053	897	85.19%	156	14.81%
11M	3039	1659	1592	1412	88.69%	180	11.31%
11N	2166	1358	1287	1147	89.12%	140	10.88%
11P	1833	1020	960	872	90.83%	88	9.17%
11R	1849	672	619	537	86.75%	82	13.25%
12A	4828	2734	2572	2215	86.12%	357	13.88%
12D	1209	501	478	422	88.28%	56	11.72%
12E1	1621	591	550	487	88.55%	63	11.45%
12F	943	428	409	363	88.75%	46	11.25%
12G	800	430	397	356	89.67%	41	10.33%
12H1	1006	310	292	265	90.75%	27	9.25%
12H2	2562	1189	1120	989	88.30%	131	11.70%
12I	970	413	391	332	84.91%	59	15.09%
12J	2535	1108	1037	922	88.91%	115	11.09%
12K	3022	1240	1160	1011	87.16%	149	12.84%
12L	613	238	217	192	88.48%	25	11.52%
12M	2533	1171	1117	966	86.48%	151	13.52%
12N	907	327	301	273	90.70%	28	9.30%
12S	1794	959	882	786	89.12%	96	10.88%
AP01A	4724	3141	2908	2264	77.85%	644	22.15%
AP01B	913	516	481	387	80.46%	94	19.54%
AP01C	2233	1498	1389	1090	78.47%	299	21.53%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

REFERENDUM B

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
AP01D	915	539	498	400	80.32%	98	19.68%
AP02B	474	263	236	196	83.05%	40	16.95%
AP03	741	314	304	264	86.84%	40	13.16%
AP04A	1647	1183	1098	896	81.60%	202	18.40%
AP04B	415	290	271	212	78.23%	59	21.77%
AP05	4367	2981	2757	2138	77.55%	619	22.45%
AP06	1827	1309	1210	972	80.33%	238	19.67%
AP07A	3422	2102	1955	1579	80.77%	376	19.23%
AP07B	1650	1144	1071	836	78.06%	235	21.94%
AP09A	4051	2687	2497	1977	79.18%	520	20.82%
AP09B	810	531	497	397	79.88%	100	20.12%
AP021	2994	1963	1839	1502	81.67%	337	18.33%
AP022	2210	1429	1320	1093	82.80%	227	17.20%
AP10	942	681	613	499	81.40%	114	18.60%
AP12A	658	374	349	292	83.67%	57	16.33%
AP12B	747	428	394	324	82.23%	70	17.77%
AP12C	1584	1005	929	725	78.04%	204	21.96%
AP14	2972	2027	1896	1544	81.43%	352	18.57%
CH01	330	164	156	133	85.26%	23	14.74%
CH02	319	202	189	129	68.25%	60	31.75%
CH03	379	250	230	159	69.13%	71	30.87%
CH04A	647	444	409	305	74.57%	104	25.43%
CH05	407	260	236	161	68.22%	75	31.78%
CP01B	381	227	212	158	74.53%	54	25.47%
CP011	1135	617	586	475	81.06%	111	18.94%
CP012	1318	844	802	688	85.79%	114	14.21%
CP02	1000	553	524	439	83.78%	85	16.22%
CP04A	8	2	2	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP04B	39	14	14	13	92.86%	1	7.14%
CP05B	143	76	71	67	94.37%	4	5.63%
CP051	1612	874	838	735	87.71%	103	12.29%
CP06A	598	208	200	173	86.50%	27	13.50%
CP07B	7	2	2	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP07C	244	47	45	41	91.11%	4	8.89%
CP07D	694	208	202	178	88.12%	24	11.88%
CP07E	125	41	38	33	86.84%	5	13.16%
CP07F	184	55	53	47	88.68%	6	11.32%
CP081	343	77	75	65	86.67%	10	13.33%
CP083	219	25	25	24	96.00%	1	4.00%
CP084	3	1	1	0	0.00%	1	100.00%
CP08A	705	175	172	143	83.14%	29	16.86%
EP01A	2609	1464	1392	1219	87.57%	173	12.43%
EP01B	3038	1539	1467	1292	88.07%	175	11.93%
EP02A	1967	890	848	746	87.97%	102	12.03%
EP02B	1449	977	940	777	82.66%	163	17.34%
EP02C	428	251	235	196	83.40%	39	16.60%
EP02D	326	192	183	169	92.35%	14	7.65%
EP02E	1382	627	601	519	86.36%	82	13.64%
EP03A	4293	2512	2385	2057	86.25%	328	13.75%
EP03B	1421	973	928	828	89.22%	100	10.78%
EP04A	5432	2626	2538	2240	88.26%	298	11.74%
EP04B	2024	1203	1168	1039	88.96%	129	11.04%
FA01A	3574	2126	2043	1761	86.20%	282	13.80%
FA01B	4833	2965	2856	2476	86.69%	380	13.31%
FA01C	681	401	388	348	89.69%	40	10.31%
HP01	3212	1780	1692	1372	81.09%	320	18.91%
JC01	4977	3215	2986	2327	77.93%	659	22.07%
JC02	2460	1474	1360	1060	77.94%	300	22.06%
JC03A	540	384	365	294	80.55%	71	19.45%
JC03B	1448	955	879	697	79.29%	182	20.71%
JC04A	4042	2692	2489	1959	78.71%	530	21.29%
JC04B	255	156	143	114	79.72%	29	20.28%
JC05	2136	1391	1284	1008	78.50%	276	21.50%
JC06	2627	1580	1479	1135	76.74%	344	23.26%
JC07	4083	2627	2429	1877	77.27%	552	22.73%
JC08	2737	1870	1746	1371	78.52%	375	21.48%
JC09	2928	1878	1765	1415	80.17%	350	19.83%
JC10	2392	1507	1393	1109	79.61%	284	20.39%
JC11	3186	2085	1923	1486	77.28%	437	22.72%
JC12	2455	1753	1640	1230	75.00%	410	25.00%
JC13A	2115	1462	1365	1038	76.04%	327	23.96%
JC13B	271	180	169	118	69.82%	51	30.18%
JC14	2477	1634	1527	1153	75.51%	374	24.49%
JC15	834	523	496	407	82.06%	89	17.94%
JC16	2496	1729	1589	1276	80.30%	313	19.70%
JC18	2670	1969	1847	1453	78.67%	394	21.33%
JC19	3759	2528	2357	1899	80.57%	458	19.43%
ML011	2439	1681	1572	1254	79.77%	318	20.23%
ML012	485	338	313	244	77.96%	69	22.04%
ML01B	138	96	88	63	71.59%	25	28.41%
ML021	1991	1369	1261	989	78.43%	272	21.57%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	REFERENDUM B						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
ML022	241	148	138	119	86.23%	19	13.77%
ML023	1542	1027	938	734	78.25%	204	21.75%
ML024	113	70	60	47	78.33%	13	21.67%
ML03	3757	2575	2349	1835	78.12%	514	21.88%
ML04A	1747	1176	1093	842	77.04%	251	22.96%
ML04B	1206	838	792	587	74.12%	205	25.88%
ML04C	79	66	62	46	74.19%	16	25.81%
ML05A	39	27	24	20	83.33%	4	16.67%
ML05B	381	250	227	165	72.69%	62	27.31%
ML05C	4023	2615	2446	1940	79.31%	506	20.69%
ML06A	1973	1024	966	812	84.06%	154	15.94%
ML06B	2775	1734	1630	1338	82.09%	292	17.91%
ML071	105	73	71	51	71.83%	20	28.17%
ML072	1249	857	802	625	77.93%	177	22.07%
ML07A	707	472	444	336	75.68%	108	24.32%
MP01	450	323	310	237	76.45%	73	23.55%
PA01	2509	1330	1262	1047	82.96%	215	17.04%
RW01	4717	3355	3065	2367	77.23%	698	22.77%
RW02	3794	2675	2501	1984	79.33%	517	20.67%
RW03	4510	2745	2575	2087	81.05%	488	18.95%
RW04	1803	1359	1267	980	77.35%	287	22.65%
RW05	2322	1207	1150	959	83.39%	191	16.61%
RW06	2820	1914	1790	1424	79.55%	366	20.45%
RW07A	1549	677	645	565	87.60%	80	12.40%
RW07B	388	214	199	161	80.90%	38	19.10%
RW08	2138	1462	1337	1032	77.19%	305	22.81%
RW09	5918	4216	3917	3033	77.43%	884	22.57%
RW10	3347	2376	2221	1756	79.06%	465	20.94%
RW11A	2667	1693	1596	1259	78.88%	337	21.12%
RW12	5944	4234	3954	3117	78.83%	837	21.17%
RW13	3555	2476	2305	1833	79.52%	472	20.48%
RW16	1850	1275	1184	932	78.72%	252	21.28%
RW17	2794	1773	1659	1366	82.34%	293	17.66%
RW19	1993	1434	1307	1043	79.80%	264	20.20%
RW20	2486	1797	1677	1324	78.95%	353	21.05%
RW21	3144	2169	2005	1571	78.35%	434	21.65%
RW22A	4406	2486	2355	1929	81.91%	426	18.09%
SC01A	20	25	22	19	86.36%	3	13.64%
SC01B	1707	1295	1272	1109	87.19%	163	12.81%
SC01C	2063	1513	1453	1261	86.79%	192	13.21%
SC01D	294	162	155	137	88.39%	18	11.61%
SC02	872	662	638	552	86.52%	86	13.48%
SC04	922	616	585	463	79.15%	122	20.85%
SC05A	646	409	391	351	89.77%	40	10.23%
SC05B	3204	2188	2111	1847	87.49%	264	12.51%
SC05D	2171	1428	1392	1230	88.36%	162	11.64%
SC05E	623	434	421	353	83.85%	68	16.15%
SC07A	5595	3698	3593	3133	87.20%	460	12.80%
SC07C	29	10	10	9	90.00%	1	10.00%
SC08B	3382	2008	1939	1673	86.28%	266	13.72%
SC08C	74	53	49	43	87.76%	6	12.24%
SC08D	499	314	303	262	86.47%	41	13.53%
SC08E	562	316	309	274	88.67%	35	11.33%
SC08F	700	366	354	321	90.68%	33	9.32%
SC08G	12	8	7	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08H	4	3	3	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC09A	1628	839	809	714	88.26%	95	11.74%
SC09B	1687	1084	1040	916	88.08%	124	11.92%
SC09C	1566	842	812	700	86.21%	112	13.79%
SC10	1678	661	637	567	89.01%	70	10.99%
SC11A	1411	813	790	691	87.47%	99	12.53%
SC11B	1506	878	846	758	89.60%	88	10.40%
SC13	2465	1636	1588	1352	85.14%	236	14.86%
SC14A	916	429	413	358	86.68%	55	13.32%
SC14B	502	45	41	36	87.80%	5	12.20%
SC15	6193	4213	4071	3585	88.06%	486	11.94%
SC16A	4601	3208	3105	2764	89.02%	341	10.98%
SC16B	14	15	15	12	80.00%	3	20.00%
SC17A	55	42	41	37	90.24%	4	9.76%
SC17B	7	4	4	3	75.00%	1	25.00%
SC17C	1134	669	644	572	88.82%	72	11.18%
SC18A	510	329	315	285	90.48%	30	9.52%
SC18B	663	462	449	378	84.19%	71	15.81%
SC18C	1850	1352	1311	1114	84.97%	197	15.03%
SC19A	195	129	127	107	84.25%	20	15.75%
SC19B	1267	690	657	576	87.67%	81	12.33%
SC20	2197	1665	1608	1411	87.75%	197	12.25%
SC211	1328	841	806	710	88.09%	96	11.91%
SC212	3378	1947	1886	1651	87.54%	235	12.46%
SC23A	3161	1730	1678	1497	89.21%	181	10.79%
SC23B	483	284	270	242	89.63%	28	10.37%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	REFERENDUM B						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
SC23C	2252	1302	1258	1124	89.35%	134	10.65%
SC27	2129	1259	1213	1062	87.55%	151	12.45%
SC29A	2784	1544	1486	1301	87.55%	185	12.45%
SC30A	135	97	90	83	92.22%	7	7.78%
SC30B	960	531	514	451	87.74%	63	12.26%
SS01	4487	3270	3047	2322	76.21%	725	23.79%
SS02A	1120	755	713	571	80.08%	142	19.92%
SS02B	1255	756	695	574	82.59%	121	17.41%
SS03	1464	1027	967	752	77.77%	215	22.23%
SS04	3264	2064	1950	1583	81.18%	367	18.82%
SS05	1746	1376	1266	988	78.04%	278	21.96%
SS06	1397	1073	986	746	75.66%	240	24.34%
SS07A	968	683	624	487	78.04%	137	21.96%
SS07B	609	405	369	295	79.95%	74	20.05%
SS07C	755	436	392	310	79.08%	82	20.92%
SS08A	1316	758	699	578	82.69%	121	17.31%
SS08B	635	453	423	321	75.89%	102	24.11%
SS08C	632	393	371	309	83.29%	62	16.71%
SS08D	1368	847	796	652	81.91%	144	18.09%
SS09A	3003	2210	2028	1561	76.97%	467	23.03%
SS09B	3358	2452	2258	1746	77.33%	512	22.67%
SS11A	3655	2227	2070	1697	81.98%	373	18.02%
SS11B	1257	707	654	547	83.64%	107	16.36%
SS11C	269	146	135	114	84.44%	21	15.56%
SS11D	365	254	234	180	76.92%	54	23.08%
SS12	2559	1732	1598	1249	78.16%	349	21.84%
SS13A	1633	1072	1000	800	80.00%	200	20.00%
SS13B	1001	629	594	485	81.65%	109	18.35%
SS14	1274	977	900	707	78.56%	193	21.44%
SS15A	2572	1199	1145	986	86.11%	159	13.89%
SS15B	1579	902	854	706	82.67%	148	17.33%
SS16	2030	1534	1425	1107	77.68%	318	22.32%
SS17	3397	2229	2070	1629	78.70%	441	21.30%
SS18A	619	454	430	319	74.19%	111	25.81%
SS18B	221	162	151	113	74.83%	38	25.17%
SS19A	3016	1683	1580	1314	83.16%	266	16.84%
SS19B	2032	1226	1151	967	84.01%	184	15.99%
SS20	2060	1159	1089	873	80.17%	216	19.83%
SS22	2714	1613	1505	1182	78.54%	323	21.46%
SS26	3552	1822	1735	1488	85.76%	247	14.24%
SS29A	2488	1595	1466	1166	79.54%	300	20.46%
SS31	1345	938	869	690	79.40%	179	20.60%
UC01A	3622	1715	1666	1496	89.80%	170	10.20%
UC01B	395	210	204	187	91.67%	17	8.33%
UC01D	6	2	2	1	50.00%	1	50.00%
UC01E	285	206	200	170	85.00%	30	15.00%
UC02A	7513	4296	4134	3643	88.12%	491	11.88%
UC02B	30	19	18	15	83.33%	3	16.67%
UC031	2399	1203	1140	988	86.67%	152	13.33%
UC032	292	186	179	152	84.92%	27	15.08%
Total	703177	424992	399002	331404	83.06%	67598	16.94%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
Jurisdiction Wide							
01A	3199	2362	1965	1215	61.83%	750	38.17%
01B	3839	2737	2286	1408	61.59%	878	38.41%
01C	1459	612	553	398	71.97%	155	28.03%
01D	511	307	270	172	63.70%	98	36.30%
01E	3363	2410	2019	1236	61.22%	783	38.78%
01F	1254	452	407	296	72.73%	111	27.27%
01G	1909	1102	980	664	67.76%	316	32.24%
01H	758	379	332	245	73.80%	87	26.20%
01J	2416	1184	1073	763	71.11%	310	28.89%
01P	1244	542	483	345	71.43%	138	28.57%
01R	1344	491	434	323	74.42%	111	25.58%
01S	1749	823	738	524	71.00%	214	29.00%
01T	1506	796	703	456	64.86%	247	35.14%
02A	4584	2949	2508	1555	62.00%	953	38.00%
02B	3518	1265	1138	730	64.15%	408	35.85%
02C	2037	1205	1033	674	65.25%	359	34.75%
02D	4475	2660	2269	1525	67.21%	744	32.79%
02E	1905	1423	1187	728	61.33%	459	38.67%
02F1	2962	2033	1673	1041	62.22%	632	37.78%
02F2	788	458	388	260	67.01%	128	32.99%
02G	3443	2361	1959	1208	61.66%	751	38.34%
02J	2159	1432	1194	746	62.48%	448	37.52%
02K	1419	951	775	488	62.97%	287	37.03%
02L1	6213	3978	3376	2099	62.17%	1277	37.83%
02L2	1364	782	671	420	62.59%	251	37.41%
02S	708	427	375	239	63.73%	136	36.27%
02W	682	399	343	229	66.76%	114	33.24%
03A	1927	692	609	438	71.92%	171	28.08%
03B	560	181	158	108	68.35%	50	31.65%
03C	1534	674	589	415	70.46%	174	29.54%
03D	1017	402	357	247	69.19%	110	30.81%
03E	2284	914	844	586	69.43%	258	30.57%
03F	1925	1115	962	593	61.64%	369	38.36%
03G	802	360	330	231	70.00%	99	30.00%
03H	900	369	321	228	71.03%	93	28.97%
03I	1360	566	498	314	63.05%	184	36.95%
03L	594	229	198	139	70.20%	59	29.80%
03M	766	452	378	254	67.20%	124	32.80%
03N	2288	938	849	602	70.91%	247	29.09%
03P1A	3882	1966	1676	1052	62.77%	624	37.23%
03P2	13	7	7	5	71.43%	2	28.57%
03S	761	356	325	223	68.62%	102	31.38%
03T	1505	715	640	438	68.44%	202	31.56%
04A	1202	560	499	346	69.34%	153	30.66%
04B	2242	922	821	543	66.14%	278	33.86%
04C	136	54	48	24	50.00%	24	50.00%
04D	1481	714	621	425	68.44%	196	31.56%
04F	2086	935	838	555	66.23%	283	33.77%
04G	828	312	277	189	68.23%	88	31.77%
04I	3362	561	484	334	69.01%	150	30.99%
04J	1230	317	293	198	67.58%	95	32.42%
04K	2339	1266	1093	730	66.79%	363	33.21%
04L	1655	867	776	523	67.40%	253	32.60%
04M	773	292	263	194	73.76%	69	26.24%
04S	2165	1118	982	709	72.20%	273	27.80%
04T	1145	584	513	354	69.01%	159	30.99%
04V	2848	1211	1093	812	74.29%	281	25.71%
04W	2203	820	766	554	72.32%	212	27.68%
04X1	1942	950	847	588	69.42%	259	30.58%
04X2	737	364	321	226	70.40%	95	29.60%
05A1	2491	1728	1417	928	65.49%	489	34.51%
05A2	2910	1998	1660	1063	64.04%	597	35.96%
05B	1763	901	756	515	68.12%	241	31.88%
05C	146	67	61	48	78.69%	13	21.31%
05D	386	93	85	65	76.47%	20	23.53%
05F	223	136	121	81	66.94%	40	33.06%
05J	639	416	363	227	62.53%	136	37.47%
05K	2600	1327	1192	792	66.44%	400	33.56%
06B	1756	1238	1000	644	64.40%	356	35.60%
06D	8568	6060	4965	3114	62.72%	1851	37.28%
06E	2250	1439	1211	757	62.51%	454	37.49%
06F	2709	1757	1428	893	62.54%	535	37.46%
06G	536	369	310	193	62.26%	117	37.74%
06I	3412	1957	1708	1119	65.52%	589	34.48%
06J	2539	1904	1578	985	62.42%	593	37.58%
06L1	917	679	547	312	57.04%	235	42.96%
06L2	654	487	411	256	62.29%	155	37.71%
06N	1844	1085	920	585	63.59%	335	36.41%
06Q	527	295	254	154	60.63%	100	39.37%
06R	1836	1206	1039	643	61.89%	396	38.11%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
07A	6600	4093	3364	2080	61.83%	1284	38.17%
07B	2763	2107	1716	1053	61.36%	663	38.64%
07C	3927	2498	1979	1152	58.21%	827	41.79%
07D	4231	2413	1953	1205	61.70%	748	38.30%
07E	2031	1358	1129	699	61.91%	430	38.09%
07F	3017	2041	1670	1015	60.78%	655	39.22%
07H	1923	1424	1177	720	61.17%	457	38.83%
07J	2647	1753	1433	868	60.57%	565	39.43%
07M	2155	1180	990	604	61.01%	386	38.99%
07N	1946	1044	892	574	64.35%	318	35.65%
08A	2799	1949	1605	951	59.25%	654	40.75%
08B	3199	2255	1836	1107	60.29%	729	39.71%
08C	1392	984	791	501	63.34%	290	36.66%
08D	1022	770	629	394	62.64%	235	37.36%
08E	2429	1864	1531	912	59.57%	619	40.43%
08F1	2071	1628	1260	770	61.11%	490	38.89%
08G	2787	1669	1360	810	59.56%	550	40.44%
08H	2465	1874	1537	941	61.22%	596	38.78%
08J	2981	2223	1772	1064	60.05%	708	39.95%
08K	973	702	577	348	60.31%	229	39.69%
08L	4194	2706	2274	1385	60.91%	889	39.09%
08M	1907	1358	1096	706	64.42%	390	35.58%
08N1	767	587	460	278	60.43%	182	39.57%
08N2	1391	927	748	478	63.90%	270	36.10%
08P	1633	928	810	528	65.19%	282	34.81%
09A	3338	2152	1856	1178	63.47%	678	36.53%
09B	385	174	158	108	68.35%	50	31.65%
09C	2954	1522	1357	944	69.57%	413	30.43%
09D	1879	858	741	515	69.50%	226	30.50%
09E	2782	1420	1274	880	69.07%	394	30.93%
09F	3619	2526	2093	1269	60.63%	824	39.37%
09G	3519	2282	1947	1246	64.00%	701	36.00%
09H	1064	645	550	364	66.18%	186	33.82%
09I	1194	503	426	296	69.48%	130	30.52%
09K	4403	1851	1669	1205	72.20%	464	27.80%
09M	2259	1611	1369	844	61.65%	525	38.35%
10A	2850	1622	1442	961	66.64%	481	33.36%
10B	3686	2464	2208	1438	65.13%	770	34.87%
10C	2466	1168	1041	747	71.76%	294	28.24%
10D	4220	1850	1645	1155	70.21%	490	29.79%
10E	950	524	463	294	63.50%	169	36.50%
10F	1053	590	518	348	67.18%	170	32.82%
10G	1205	813	731	459	62.79%	272	37.21%
10H1	408	238	210	133	63.33%	77	36.67%
10H2	2207	1032	902	668	74.06%	234	25.94%
10I	329	258	229	133	58.08%	96	41.92%
10J	1012	466	423	304	71.87%	119	28.13%
10K	1415	858	748	478	63.90%	270	36.10%
10M	2529	1414	1265	849	67.11%	416	32.89%
10P	1364	668	583	399	68.44%	184	31.56%
10R	713	347	309	240	77.67%	69	22.33%
11B	5162	3088	2776	1807	65.09%	969	34.91%
11C	2822	2003	1833	1138	62.08%	695	37.92%
11E1	1141	634	582	394	67.70%	188	32.30%
11E2	847	497	450	302	67.11%	148	32.89%
11E3	3124	1835	1711	1162	67.91%	549	32.09%
11G	2092	855	759	566	74.57%	193	25.43%
11H	677	356	305	203	66.56%	102	33.44%
11J	1827	1018	896	642	71.65%	254	28.35%
11K	1818	1104	981	613	62.49%	368	37.51%
11M	3039	1659	1515	1019	67.26%	496	32.74%
11N	2166	1358	1197	775	64.75%	422	35.25%
11P	1833	1020	893	646	72.34%	247	27.66%
11R	1849	672	595	446	74.96%	149	25.04%
12A	4828	2734	2391	1612	67.42%	779	32.58%
12D	1209	501	448	314	70.09%	134	29.91%
12E1	1621	591	530	391	73.77%	139	26.23%
12F	943	428	385	269	69.87%	116	30.13%
12G	800	430	353	267	75.64%	86	24.36%
12H1	1006	310	284	205	72.18%	79	27.82%
12H2	2562	1189	1072	756	70.52%	316	29.48%
12I	970	413	364	254	69.78%	110	30.22%
12J	2535	1108	984	721	73.27%	263	26.73%
12K	3022	1240	1095	754	68.86%	341	31.14%
12L	613	238	208	162	77.88%	46	22.12%
12M	2533	1171	1061	767	72.29%	294	27.71%
12N	907	327	289	212	73.36%	77	26.64%
12S	1794	959	814	569	69.90%	245	30.10%
AP01A	4724	3141	2626	1585	60.36%	1041	39.64%
AP01B	913	516	436	281	64.45%	155	35.55%
AP01C	2233	1498	1273	762	59.86%	511	40.14%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
AP01D	915	539	448	281	62.72%	167	37.28%
AP02B	474	263	212	121	57.08%	91	42.92%
AP03	741	314	282	177	62.77%	105	37.23%
AP04A	1647	1183	985	586	59.49%	399	40.51%
AP04B	415	290	249	150	60.24%	99	39.76%
AP05	4367	2981	2498	1492	59.73%	1006	40.27%
AP06	1827	1309	1090	661	60.64%	429	39.36%
AP07A	3422	2102	1790	1078	60.22%	712	39.78%
AP07B	1650	1144	982	610	62.12%	372	37.88%
AP09A	4051	2687	2269	1397	61.57%	872	38.43%
AP09B	810	531	453	268	59.16%	185	40.84%
AP021	2994	1963	1685	1033	61.31%	652	38.69%
AP022	2210	1429	1169	741	63.39%	428	36.61%
AP10	942	681	566	360	63.60%	206	36.40%
AP12A	658	374	312	187	59.94%	125	40.06%
AP12B	747	428	365	217	59.45%	148	40.55%
AP12C	1584	1005	831	512	61.61%	319	38.39%
AP14	2972	2027	1700	1048	61.65%	652	38.35%
CH01	330	164	150	81	54.00%	69	46.00%
CH02	319	202	180	85	47.22%	95	52.78%
CH03	379	250	217	100	46.08%	117	53.92%
CH04A	647	444	370	192	51.89%	178	48.11%
CH05	407	260	226	115	50.88%	111	49.12%
CP01B	381	227	199	111	55.78%	88	44.22%
CP011	1135	617	536	324	60.45%	212	39.55%
CP012	1318	844	758	487	64.25%	271	35.75%
CP02	1000	553	500	330	66.00%	170	34.00%
CP04A	8	2	2	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP04B	39	14	13	11	84.62%	2	15.38%
CP05B	143	76	70	60	85.71%	10	14.29%
CP051	1612	874	799	547	68.46%	252	31.54%
CP06A	598	208	189	140	74.07%	49	25.93%
CP07B	7	2	2	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP07C	244	47	42	32	76.19%	10	23.81%
CP07D	694	208	196	155	79.08%	41	20.92%
CP07E	125	41	36	27	75.00%	9	25.00%
CP07F	184	55	51	41	80.39%	10	19.61%
CP081	343	77	74	50	67.57%	24	32.43%
CP083	219	25	23	18	78.26%	5	21.74%
CP084	3	1	1	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP08A	705	175	168	123	73.21%	45	26.79%
EP01A	2609	1464	1317	870	66.06%	447	33.94%
EP01B	3038	1539	1408	959	68.11%	449	31.89%
EP02A	1967	890	799	561	70.21%	238	29.79%
EP02B	1449	977	883	559	63.31%	324	36.69%
EP02C	428	251	218	127	58.26%	91	41.74%
EP02D	326	192	173	117	67.63%	56	32.37%
EP02E	1382	627	551	379	68.78%	172	31.22%
EP03A	4293	2512	2272	1499	65.98%	773	34.02%
EP03B	1421	973	904	605	66.92%	299	33.08%
EP04A	5432	2626	2454	1645	67.03%	809	32.97%
EP04B	2024	1203	1105	737	66.70%	368	33.30%
FA01A	3574	2126	1961	1250	63.74%	711	36.26%
FA01B	4833	2965	2741	1808	65.96%	933	34.04%
FA01C	681	401	373	245	65.68%	128	34.32%
HP01	3212	1780	1611	1020	63.31%	591	36.69%
JC01	4977	3215	2714	1677	61.79%	1037	38.21%
JC02	2460	1474	1240	749	60.40%	491	39.60%
JC03A	540	384	326	183	56.13%	143	43.87%
JC03B	1448	955	790	469	59.37%	321	40.63%
JC04A	4042	2692	2249	1360	60.47%	889	39.53%
JC04B	255	156	127	75	59.06%	52	40.94%
JC05	2136	1391	1165	690	59.23%	475	40.77%
JC06	2627	1580	1351	817	60.47%	534	39.53%
JC07	4083	2627	2208	1373	62.18%	835	37.82%
JC08	2737	1870	1592	894	56.16%	698	43.84%
JC09	2928	1878	1600	956	59.75%	644	40.25%
JC10	2392	1507	1248	767	61.46%	481	38.54%
JC11	3186	2085	1767	1040	58.86%	727	41.14%
JC12	2455	1753	1485	888	59.80%	597	40.20%
JC13A	2115	1462	1237	758	61.28%	479	38.72%
JC13B	271	180	148	91	61.49%	57	38.51%
JC14	2477	1634	1380	847	61.38%	533	38.62%
JC15	834	523	452	280	61.95%	172	38.05%
JC16	2496	1729	1465	858	58.57%	607	41.43%
JC18	2670	1969	1644	980	59.61%	664	40.39%
JC19	3759	2528	2129	1292	60.69%	837	39.31%
ML011	2439	1681	1414	886	62.66%	528	37.34%
ML012	485	338	295	187	63.39%	108	36.61%
ML01B	138	96	81	47	58.02%	34	41.98%
ML021	1991	1369	1150	701	60.96%	449	39.04%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
ML022	241	148	119	76	63.87%	43	36.13%
ML023	1542	1027	847	532	62.81%	315	37.19%
ML024	113	70	58	41	70.69%	17	29.31%
ML03	3757	2575	2121	1278	60.25%	843	39.75%
ML04A	1747	1176	989	598	60.47%	391	39.53%
ML04B	1206	838	715	427	59.72%	288	40.28%
ML04C	79	66	59	32	54.24%	27	45.76%
ML05A	39	27	17	11	64.71%	6	35.29%
ML05B	381	250	211	104	49.29%	107	50.71%
ML05C	4023	2615	2209	1310	59.30%	899	40.70%
ML06A	1973	1024	907	570	62.84%	337	37.16%
ML06B	2775	1734	1512	938	62.04%	574	37.96%
ML071	105	73	64	36	56.25%	28	43.75%
ML072	1249	857	743	457	61.51%	286	38.49%
ML07A	707	472	402	230	57.21%	172	42.79%
MP01	450	323	277	163	58.84%	114	41.16%
PA01	2509	1330	1197	763	63.74%	434	36.26%
RW01	4717	3355	2721	1606	59.02%	1115	40.98%
RW02	3794	2675	2248	1305	58.05%	943	41.95%
RW03	4510	2745	2342	1411	60.25%	931	39.75%
RW04	1803	1359	1142	639	55.95%	503	44.05%
RW05	2322	1207	1065	681	63.94%	384	36.06%
RW06	2820	1914	1647	960	58.29%	687	41.71%
RW07A	1549	677	619	398	64.30%	221	35.70%
RW07B	388	214	191	119	62.30%	72	37.70%
RW08	2138	1462	1191	713	59.87%	478	40.13%
RW09	5918	4216	3568	2132	59.75%	1436	40.25%
RW10	3347	2376	1987	1178	59.29%	809	40.71%
RW11A	2667	1693	1443	856	59.32%	587	40.68%
RW12	5944	4234	3538	2137	60.40%	1401	39.60%
RW13	3555	2476	2090	1255	60.05%	835	39.95%
RW16	1850	1275	1071	647	60.41%	424	39.59%
RW17	2794	1773	1513	953	62.99%	560	37.01%
RW19	1993	1434	1151	674	58.56%	477	41.44%
RW20	2486	1797	1529	904	59.12%	625	40.88%
RW21	3144	2169	1801	1090	60.52%	711	39.48%
RW22A	4406	2486	2154	1306	60.63%	848	39.37%
SC01A	20	25	19	13	68.42%	6	31.58%
SC01B	1707	1295	1221	826	67.65%	395	32.35%
SC01C	2063	1513	1386	920	66.38%	466	33.62%
SC01D	294	162	148	105	70.95%	43	29.05%
SC02	872	662	611	406	66.45%	205	33.55%
SC04	922	616	562	344	61.21%	218	38.79%
SC05A	646	409	365	263	72.05%	102	27.95%
SC05B	3204	2188	2038	1389	68.16%	649	31.84%
SC05D	2171	1428	1343	941	70.07%	402	29.93%
SC05E	623	434	402	273	67.91%	129	32.09%
SC07A	5595	3698	3460	2363	68.29%	1097	31.71%
SC07C	29	10	10	6	60.00%	4	40.00%
SC08B	3382	2008	1866	1289	69.08%	577	30.92%
SC08C	74	53	50	30	60.00%	20	40.00%
SC08D	499	314	293	202	68.94%	91	31.06%
SC08E	562	316	296	207	69.93%	89	30.07%
SC08F	700	366	336	216	64.29%	120	35.71%
SC08G	12	8	7	5	71.43%	2	28.57%
SC08H	4	3	3	2	66.67%	1	33.33%
SC09A	1628	839	786	587	74.68%	199	25.32%
SC09B	1687	1084	993	681	68.58%	312	31.42%
SC09C	1566	842	773	518	67.01%	255	32.99%
SC10	1678	661	619	460	74.31%	159	25.69%
SC11A	1411	813	761	555	72.93%	206	27.07%
SC11B	1506	878	814	606	74.45%	208	25.55%
SC13	2465	1636	1529	1008	65.93%	521	34.07%
SC14A	916	429	406	274	67.49%	132	32.51%
SC14B	502	45	40	29	72.50%	11	27.50%
SC15	6193	4213	3938	2736	69.48%	1202	30.52%
SC16A	4601	3208	2978	2043	68.60%	935	31.40%
SC16B	14	15	13	9	69.23%	4	30.77%
SC17A	55	42	38	28	73.68%	10	26.32%
SC17B	7	4	4	2	50.00%	2	50.00%
SC17C	1134	669	620	437	70.48%	183	29.52%
SC18A	510	329	303	204	67.33%	99	32.67%
SC18B	663	462	428	302	70.56%	126	29.44%
SC18C	1850	1352	1264	833	65.90%	431	34.10%
SC19A	195	129	123	76	61.79%	47	38.21%
SC19B	1267	690	645	441	68.37%	204	31.63%
SC20	2197	1665	1564	1036	66.24%	528	33.76%
SC211	1328	841	776	524	67.53%	252	32.47%
SC212	3378	1947	1819	1263	69.43%	556	30.57%
SC23A	3161	1730	1618	1144	70.70%	474	29.30%
SC23B	483	284	258	172	66.67%	86	33.33%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
SC23C	2252	1302	1222	892	73.00%	330	27.00%
SC27	2129	1259	1165	820	70.39%	345	29.61%
SC29A	2784	1544	1427	996	69.80%	431	30.20%
SC30A	135	97	87	66	75.86%	21	24.14%
SC30B	960	531	484	321	66.32%	163	33.68%
SS01	4487	3270	2751	1631	59.29%	1120	40.71%
SS02A	1120	755	639	357	55.87%	282	44.13%
SS02B	1255	756	643	364	56.61%	279	43.39%
SS03	1464	1027	889	550	61.87%	339	38.13%
SS04	3264	2064	1768	1073	60.69%	695	39.31%
SS05	1746	1376	1109	629	56.72%	480	43.28%
SS06	1397	1073	877	506	57.70%	371	42.30%
SS07A	968	683	563	343	60.92%	220	39.08%
SS07B	609	405	340	205	60.29%	135	39.71%
SS07C	755	436	341	222	65.10%	119	34.90%
SS08A	1316	758	642	394	61.37%	248	38.63%
SS08B	635	453	387	208	53.75%	179	46.25%
SS08C	632	393	327	199	60.86%	128	39.14%
SS08D	1368	847	717	443	61.79%	274	38.21%
SS09A	3003	2210	1818	1089	59.90%	729	40.10%
SS09B	3358	2452	2012	1146	56.96%	866	43.04%
SS11A	3655	2227	1887	1102	58.40%	785	41.60%
SS11B	1257	707	609	352	57.80%	257	42.20%
SS11C	269	146	125	85	68.00%	40	32.00%
SS11D	365	254	206	123	59.71%	83	40.29%
SS12	2559	1732	1405	823	58.58%	582	41.42%
SS13A	1633	1072	888	536	60.36%	352	39.64%
SS13B	1001	629	531	335	63.09%	196	36.91%
SS14	1274	977	806	467	57.94%	339	42.06%
SS15A	2572	1199	1090	684	62.75%	406	37.25%
SS15B	1579	902	773	459	59.38%	314	40.62%
SS16	2030	1534	1256	713	56.77%	543	43.23%
SS17	3397	2229	1892	1135	59.99%	757	40.01%
SS18A	619	454	388	218	56.19%	170	43.81%
SS18B	221	162	132	73	55.30%	59	44.70%
SS19A	3016	1683	1481	872	58.88%	609	41.12%
SS19B	2032	1226	1057	663	62.72%	394	37.28%
SS20	2060	1159	1011	624	61.72%	387	38.28%
SS22	2714	1613	1404	857	61.04%	547	38.96%
SS26	3552	1822	1600	1010	63.13%	590	36.88%
SS29A	2488	1595	1342	827	61.62%	515	38.38%
SS31	1345	938	790	467	59.11%	323	40.89%
UC01A	3622	1715	1617	1166	72.11%	451	27.89%
UC01B	395	210	198	133	67.17%	65	32.83%
UC01D	6	2	2	1	50.00%	1	50.00%
UC01E	285	206	194	132	68.04%	62	31.96%
UC02A	7513	4296	3983	2712	68.09%	1271	31.91%
UC02B	30	19	18	10	55.56%	8	44.44%
UC031	2399	1203	1062	728	68.55%	334	31.45%
UC032	292	186	166	107	64.46%	59	35.54%
Total	703177	424992	367106	234095	63.77%	133011	36.23%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
Jurisdiction Wide							
01A	3199	2362	2113	1773	83.91%	340	16.09%
01B	3839	2737	2482	2090	84.21%	392	15.79%
01C	1459	612	563	489	86.86%	74	13.14%
01D	511	307	277	243	87.73%	34	12.27%
01E	3363	2410	2197	1882	85.66%	315	14.34%
01F	1254	452	413	352	85.23%	61	14.77%
01G	1909	1102	1021	858	84.04%	163	15.96%
01H	758	379	337	283	83.98%	54	16.02%
01J	2416	1184	1095	920	84.02%	175	15.98%
01P	1244	542	498	411	82.53%	87	17.47%
01R	1344	491	447	369	82.55%	78	17.45%
01S	1749	823	755	643	85.17%	112	14.83%
01T	1506	796	746	617	82.71%	129	17.29%
02A	4584	2949	2655	2040	76.84%	615	23.16%
02B	3518	1265	1182	997	84.35%	185	15.65%
02C	2037	1205	1068	862	80.71%	206	19.29%
02D	4475	2660	2369	1878	79.27%	491	20.73%
02E	1905	1423	1273	1027	80.68%	246	19.32%
02F1	2962	2033	1757	1390	79.11%	367	20.89%
02F2	788	458	411	328	79.81%	83	20.19%
02G	3443	2361	2088	1669	79.93%	419	20.07%
02J	2159	1432	1279	997	77.95%	282	22.05%
02K	1419	951	818	637	77.87%	181	22.13%
02L1	6213	3978	3551	2715	76.46%	836	23.54%
02L2	1364	782	707	518	73.27%	189	26.73%
02S	708	427	388	332	85.57%	56	14.43%
02W	682	399	351	268	76.35%	83	23.65%
03A	1927	692	633	564	89.10%	69	10.90%
03B	560	181	162	127	78.40%	35	21.60%
03C	1534	674	621	532	85.67%	89	14.33%
03D	1017	402	376	332	88.30%	44	11.70%
03E	2284	914	865	743	85.90%	122	14.10%
03F	1925	1115	1015	814	80.20%	201	19.80%
03G	802	360	329	273	82.98%	56	17.02%
03H	900	369	330	284	86.06%	46	13.94%
03I	1360	566	507	324	63.91%	183	36.09%
03L	594	229	209	177	84.69%	32	15.31%
03M	766	452	414	341	82.37%	73	17.63%
03N	2288	938	863	694	80.42%	169	19.58%
03P1A	3882	1966	1716	1243	72.44%	473	27.56%
03P2	13	7	7	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
03S	761	356	333	277	83.18%	56	16.82%
03T	1505	715	654	536	81.96%	118	18.04%
04A	1202	560	515	434	84.27%	81	15.73%
04B	2242	922	832	682	81.97%	150	18.03%
04C	136	54	50	40	80.00%	10	20.00%
04D	1481	714	663	551	83.11%	112	16.89%
04F	2086	935	854	710	83.14%	144	16.86%
04G	828	312	289	240	83.04%	49	16.96%
04I	3362	561	509	419	82.32%	90	17.68%
04J	1230	317	296	242	81.76%	54	18.24%
04K	2339	1266	1141	1008	88.34%	133	11.66%
04L	1655	867	812	710	87.44%	102	12.56%
04M	773	292	276	233	84.42%	43	15.58%
04S	2165	1118	1031	864	83.80%	167	16.20%
04T	1145	584	538	444	82.53%	94	17.47%
04V	2848	1211	1109	914	82.42%	195	17.58%
04W	2203	820	761	578	75.95%	183	24.05%
04X1	1942	950	881	750	85.13%	131	14.87%
04X2	737	364	335	290	86.57%	45	13.43%
05A1	2491	1728	1537	1327	86.34%	210	13.66%
05A2	2910	1998	1786	1497	83.82%	289	16.18%
05B	1763	901	768	606	78.91%	162	21.09%
05C	146	67	61	50	81.97%	11	18.03%
05D	386	93	81	66	81.48%	15	18.52%
05F	223	136	125	98	78.40%	27	21.60%
05J	639	416	376	327	86.97%	49	13.03%
05K	2600	1327	1205	927	76.93%	278	23.07%
06B	1756	1238	1097	838	76.39%	259	23.61%
06D	8568	6060	5396	4400	81.54%	996	18.46%
06E	2250	1439	1278	1000	78.25%	278	21.75%
06F	2709	1757	1541	1217	78.97%	324	21.03%
06G	536	369	338	282	83.43%	56	16.57%
06I	3412	1957	1737	1323	76.17%	414	23.83%
06J	2539	1904	1727	1417	82.05%	310	17.95%
06L1	917	679	601	504	83.86%	97	16.14%
06L2	654	487	444	374	84.23%	70	15.77%
06N	1844	1085	991	788	79.52%	203	20.48%
06Q	527	295	261	204	78.16%	57	21.84%
06R	1836	1206	1115	929	83.32%	186	16.68%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
07A	6600	4093	3594	2869	79.83%	725	20.17%
07B	2763	2107	1893	1562	82.51%	331	17.49%
07C	3927	2498	2146	1733	80.75%	413	19.25%
07D	4231	2413	2068	1608	77.76%	460	22.24%
07E	2031	1358	1232	1054	85.55%	178	14.45%
07F	3017	2041	1842	1514	82.19%	328	17.81%
07H	1923	1424	1292	1080	83.59%	212	16.41%
07J	2647	1753	1552	1202	77.45%	350	22.55%
07M	2155	1180	1061	834	78.61%	227	21.39%
07N	1946	1044	928	734	79.09%	194	20.91%
08A	2799	1949	1716	1417	82.58%	299	17.42%
08B	3199	2255	2001	1590	79.46%	411	20.54%
08C	1392	984	879	745	84.76%	134	15.24%
08D	1022	770	682	566	82.99%	116	17.01%
08E	2429	1864	1677	1369	81.63%	308	18.37%
08F1	2071	1628	1416	1158	81.78%	258	18.22%
08G	2787	1669	1458	1211	83.06%	247	16.94%
08H	2465	1874	1678	1423	84.80%	255	15.20%
08J	2981	2223	1921	1516	78.92%	405	21.08%
08K	973	702	626	473	75.56%	153	24.44%
08L	4194	2706	2427	1944	80.10%	483	19.90%
08M	1907	1358	1187	969	81.63%	218	18.37%
08N1	767	587	517	412	79.69%	105	20.31%
08N2	1391	927	797	672	84.32%	125	15.68%
08P	1633	928	847	651	76.86%	196	23.14%
09A	3338	2152	1970	1623	82.39%	347	17.61%
09B	385	174	162	138	85.19%	24	14.81%
09C	2954	1522	1403	1216	86.67%	187	13.33%
09D	1879	858	768	654	85.16%	114	14.84%
09E	2782	1420	1318	1127	85.51%	191	14.49%
09F	3619	2526	2273	1805	79.41%	468	20.59%
09G	3519	2282	2046	1593	77.86%	453	22.14%
09H	1064	645	573	456	79.58%	117	20.42%
09I	1194	503	451	388	86.03%	63	13.97%
09K	4403	1851	1723	1444	83.81%	279	16.19%
09M	2259	1611	1472	1232	83.70%	240	16.30%
10A	2850	1622	1496	1268	84.76%	228	15.24%
10B	3686	2464	2309	2068	89.56%	241	10.44%
10C	2466	1168	1072	927	86.47%	145	13.53%
10D	4220	1850	1693	1442	85.17%	251	14.83%
10E	950	524	485	426	87.84%	59	12.16%
10F	1053	590	546	482	88.28%	64	11.72%
10G	1205	813	762	683	89.63%	79	10.37%
10H1	408	238	222	192	86.49%	30	13.51%
10H2	2207	1032	937	809	86.34%	128	13.66%
10I	329	258	243	213	87.65%	30	12.35%
10J	1012	466	432	372	86.11%	60	13.89%
10K	1415	858	799	718	89.86%	81	10.14%
10M	2529	1414	1321	1154	87.36%	167	12.64%
10P	1364	668	606	518	85.48%	88	14.52%
10R	713	347	315	272	86.35%	43	13.65%
11B	5162	3088	2896	2534	87.50%	362	12.50%
11C	2822	2003	1898	1734	91.36%	164	8.64%
11E1	1141	634	600	524	87.33%	76	12.67%
11E2	847	497	462	392	84.85%	70	15.15%
11E3	3124	1835	1755	1571	89.52%	184	10.48%
11G	2092	855	781	671	85.92%	110	14.08%
11H	677	356	327	295	90.21%	32	9.79%
11J	1827	1018	933	819	87.78%	114	12.22%
11K	1818	1104	1021	901	88.25%	120	11.75%
11M	3039	1659	1576	1394	88.45%	182	11.55%
11N	2166	1358	1273	1140	89.55%	133	10.45%
11P	1833	1020	922	796	86.33%	126	13.67%
11R	1849	672	607	508	83.69%	99	16.31%
12A	4828	2734	2510	2156	85.90%	354	14.10%
12D	1209	501	461	386	83.73%	75	16.27%
12E1	1621	591	544	452	83.09%	92	16.91%
12F	943	428	402	348	86.57%	54	13.43%
12G	800	430	376	324	86.17%	52	13.83%
12H1	1006	310	288	233	80.90%	55	19.10%
12H2	2562	1189	1099	958	87.17%	141	12.83%
12I	970	413	380	309	81.32%	71	18.68%
12J	2535	1108	1010	857	84.85%	153	15.15%
12K	3022	1240	1139	958	84.11%	181	15.89%
12L	613	238	216	183	84.72%	33	15.28%
12M	2533	1171	1094	934	85.37%	160	14.63%
12N	907	327	297	247	83.16%	50	16.84%
12S	1794	959	849	733	86.34%	116	13.66%
AP01A	4724	3141	2791	2281	81.73%	510	18.27%
AP01B	913	516	459	354	77.12%	105	22.88%
AP01C	2233	1498	1342	1115	83.08%	227	16.92%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
AP01D	915	539	477	390	81.76%	87	18.24%
AP02B	474	263	228	193	84.65%	35	15.35%
AP03	741	314	283	232	81.98%	51	18.02%
AP04A	1647	1183	1076	952	88.48%	124	11.52%
AP04B	415	290	264	223	84.47%	41	15.53%
AP05	4367	2981	2692	2247	83.47%	445	16.53%
AP06	1827	1309	1184	1009	85.22%	175	14.78%
AP07A	3422	2102	1921	1623	84.49%	298	15.51%
AP07B	1650	1144	1036	847	81.76%	189	18.24%
AP09A	4051	2687	2430	2029	83.50%	401	16.50%
AP09B	810	531	468	377	80.56%	91	19.44%
AP021	2994	1963	1804	1523	84.42%	281	15.58%
AP022	2210	1429	1272	1108	87.11%	164	12.89%
AP10	942	681	619	529	85.46%	90	14.54%
AP12A	658	374	331	280	84.59%	51	15.41%
AP12B	747	428	373	300	80.43%	73	19.57%
AP12C	1584	1005	911	778	85.40%	133	14.60%
AP14	2972	2027	1799	1509	83.88%	290	16.12%
CH01	330	164	152	130	85.53%	22	14.47%
CH02	319	202	193	163	84.46%	30	15.54%
CH03	379	250	230	180	78.26%	50	21.74%
CH04A	647	444	398	322	80.90%	76	19.10%
CH05	407	260	240	200	83.33%	40	16.67%
CP01B	381	227	209	169	80.86%	40	19.14%
CP011	1135	617	577	491	85.10%	86	14.90%
CP012	1318	844	794	687	86.52%	107	13.48%
CP02	1000	553	517	438	84.72%	79	15.28%
CP04A	8	2	2	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP04B	39	14	14	13	92.86%	1	7.14%
CP05B	143	76	73	57	78.08%	16	21.92%
CP051	1612	874	827	734	88.75%	93	11.25%
CP06A	598	208	194	164	84.54%	30	15.46%
CP07B	7	2	2	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP07C	244	47	44	36	81.82%	8	18.18%
CP07D	694	208	196	158	80.61%	38	19.39%
CP07E	125	41	36	32	88.89%	4	11.11%
CP07F	184	55	49	35	71.43%	14	28.57%
CP081	343	77	75	58	77.33%	17	22.67%
CP083	219	25	24	21	87.50%	3	12.50%
CP084	3	1	1	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP08A	705	175	169	133	78.70%	36	21.30%
EP01A	2609	1464	1372	1189	86.66%	183	13.34%
EP01B	3038	1539	1441	1277	88.62%	164	11.38%
EP02A	1967	890	825	707	85.70%	118	14.30%
EP02B	1449	977	920	809	87.93%	111	12.07%
EP02C	428	251	231	200	86.58%	31	13.42%
EP02D	326	192	182	162	89.01%	20	10.99%
EP02E	1382	627	587	499	85.01%	88	14.99%
EP03A	4293	2512	2356	2046	86.84%	310	13.16%
EP03B	1421	973	932	829	88.95%	103	11.05%
EP04A	5432	2626	2507	2181	87.00%	326	13.00%
EP04B	2024	1203	1154	1009	87.44%	145	12.56%
FA01A	3574	2126	2022	1732	85.66%	290	14.34%
FA01B	4833	2965	2809	2457	87.47%	352	12.53%
FA01C	681	401	384	332	86.46%	52	13.54%
HP01	3212	1780	1666	1408	84.51%	258	15.49%
JC01	4977	3215	2865	2307	80.52%	558	19.48%
JC02	2460	1474	1312	1047	79.80%	265	20.20%
JC03A	540	384	349	280	80.23%	69	19.77%
JC03B	1448	955	830	682	82.17%	148	17.83%
JC04A	4042	2692	2407	1985	82.47%	422	17.53%
JC04B	255	156	135	112	82.96%	23	17.04%
JC05	2136	1391	1234	1001	81.12%	233	18.88%
JC06	2627	1580	1429	1170	81.88%	259	18.12%
JC07	4083	2627	2339	1920	82.09%	419	17.91%
JC08	2737	1870	1695	1391	82.06%	304	17.94%
JC09	2928	1878	1697	1393	82.09%	304	17.91%
JC10	2392	1507	1340	1128	84.18%	212	15.82%
JC11	3186	2085	1875	1524	81.28%	351	18.72%
JC12	2455	1753	1601	1337	83.51%	264	16.49%
JC13A	2115	1462	1322	1106	83.66%	216	16.34%
JC13B	271	180	164	141	85.98%	23	14.02%
JC14	2477	1634	1466	1206	82.26%	260	17.74%
JC15	834	523	478	387	80.96%	91	19.04%
JC16	2496	1729	1561	1303	83.47%	258	16.53%
JC18	2670	1969	1776	1474	83.00%	302	17.00%
JC19	3759	2528	2280	1926	84.47%	354	15.53%
ML011	2439	1681	1520	1304	85.79%	216	14.21%
ML012	485	338	316	278	87.97%	38	12.03%
ML01B	138	96	85	70	82.35%	15	17.65%
ML021	1991	1369	1219	1034	84.82%	185	15.18%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
ML022	241	148	128	107	83.59%	21	16.41%
ML023	1542	1027	923	746	80.82%	177	19.18%
ML024	113	70	62	50	80.65%	12	19.35%
ML03	3757	2575	2301	1915	83.22%	386	16.78%
ML04A	1747	1176	1063	876	82.41%	187	17.59%
ML04B	1206	838	773	642	83.05%	131	16.95%
ML04C	79	66	61	54	88.52%	7	11.48%
ML05A	39	27	20	17	85.00%	3	15.00%
ML05B	381	250	226	191	84.51%	35	15.49%
ML05C	4023	2615	2371	1940	81.82%	431	18.18%
ML06A	1973	1024	930	733	78.82%	197	21.18%
ML06B	2775	1734	1585	1321	83.34%	264	16.66%
ML071	105	73	67	61	91.04%	6	8.96%
ML072	1249	857	791	669	84.58%	122	15.42%
ML07A	707	472	436	357	81.88%	79	18.12%
MP01	450	323	298	261	87.58%	37	12.42%
PA01	2509	1330	1240	1055	85.08%	185	14.92%
RW01	4717	3355	3001	2497	83.21%	504	16.79%
RW02	3794	2675	2397	1985	82.81%	412	17.19%
RW03	4510	2745	2477	2037	82.24%	440	17.76%
RW04	1803	1359	1244	995	79.98%	249	20.02%
RW05	2322	1207	1097	887	80.86%	210	19.14%
RW06	2820	1914	1736	1426	82.14%	310	17.86%
RW07A	1549	677	615	487	79.19%	128	20.81%
RW07B	388	214	194	149	76.80%	45	23.20%
RW08	2138	1462	1308	1132	86.54%	176	13.46%
RW09	5918	4216	3847	3265	84.87%	582	15.13%
RW10	3347	2376	2152	1797	83.50%	355	16.50%
RW11A	2667	1693	1548	1282	82.82%	266	17.18%
RW12	5944	4234	3837	3301	86.03%	536	13.97%
RW13	3555	2476	2241	1870	83.44%	371	16.56%
RW16	1850	1275	1153	947	82.13%	206	17.87%
RW17	2794	1773	1608	1342	83.46%	266	16.54%
RW19	1993	1434	1260	1055	83.73%	205	16.27%
RW20	2486	1797	1626	1351	83.09%	275	16.91%
RW21	3144	2169	1963	1647	83.90%	316	16.10%
RW22A	4406	2486	2243	1801	80.29%	442	19.71%
SC01A	20	25	20	17	85.00%	3	15.00%
SC01B	1707	1295	1262	1133	89.78%	129	10.22%
SC01C	2063	1513	1457	1318	90.46%	139	9.54%
SC01D	294	162	151	122	80.79%	29	19.21%
SC02	872	662	638	580	90.91%	58	9.09%
SC04	922	616	579	505	87.22%	74	12.78%
SC05A	646	409	380	343	90.26%	37	9.74%
SC05B	3204	2188	2100	1881	89.57%	219	10.43%
SC05D	2171	1428	1377	1228	89.18%	149	10.82%
SC05E	623	434	418	387	92.58%	31	7.42%
SC07A	5595	3698	3572	3164	88.58%	408	11.42%
SC07C	29	10	10	9	90.00%	1	10.00%
SC08B	3382	2008	1917	1682	87.74%	235	12.26%
SC08C	74	53	49	41	83.67%	8	16.33%
SC08D	499	314	298	245	82.21%	53	17.79%
SC08E	562	316	303	265	87.46%	38	12.54%
SC08F	700	366	354	299	84.46%	55	15.54%
SC08G	12	8	7	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08H	4	3	3	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC09A	1628	839	790	690	87.34%	100	12.66%
SC09B	1687	1084	1024	903	88.18%	121	11.82%
SC09C	1566	842	801	708	88.39%	93	11.61%
SC10	1678	661	625	538	86.08%	87	13.92%
SC11A	1411	813	785	699	89.04%	86	10.96%
SC11B	1506	878	834	749	89.81%	85	10.19%
SC13	2465	1636	1576	1408	89.34%	168	10.66%
SC14A	916	429	410	350	85.37%	60	14.63%
SC14B	502	45	39	36	92.31%	3	7.69%
SC15	6193	4213	4039	3619	89.60%	420	10.40%
SC16A	4601	3208	3073	2764	89.94%	309	10.06%
SC16B	14	15	13	10	76.92%	3	23.08%
SC17A	55	42	39	37	94.87%	2	5.13%
SC17B	7	4	4	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC17C	1134	669	642	568	88.47%	74	11.53%
SC18A	510	329	306	272	88.89%	34	11.11%
SC18B	663	462	441	389	88.21%	52	11.79%
SC18C	1850	1352	1305	1175	90.04%	130	9.96%
SC19A	195	129	127	115	90.55%	12	9.45%
SC19B	1267	690	652	563	86.35%	89	13.65%
SC20	2197	1665	1609	1449	90.06%	160	9.94%
SC211	1328	841	803	703	87.55%	100	12.45%
SC212	3378	1947	1862	1606	86.25%	256	13.75%
SC23A	3161	1730	1653	1437	86.93%	216	13.07%
SC23B	483	284	268	233	86.94%	35	13.06%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
SC23C	2252	1302	1245	1095	87.95%	150	12.05%
SC27	2129	1259	1199	1077	89.82%	122	10.18%
SC29A	2784	1544	1466	1301	88.74%	165	11.26%
SC30A	135	97	87	80	91.95%	7	8.05%
SC30B	960	531	497	440	88.53%	57	11.47%
SS01	4487	3270	2977	2505	84.15%	472	15.85%
SS02A	1120	755	679	534	78.65%	145	21.35%
SS02B	1255	756	675	544	80.59%	131	19.41%
SS03	1464	1027	944	768	81.36%	176	18.64%
SS04	3264	2064	1871	1523	81.40%	348	18.60%
SS05	1746	1376	1222	1003	82.08%	219	17.92%
SS06	1397	1073	955	767	80.31%	188	19.69%
SS07A	968	683	610	514	84.26%	96	15.74%
SS07B	609	405	359	307	85.52%	52	14.48%
SS07C	755	436	369	305	82.66%	64	17.34%
SS08A	1316	758	676	530	78.40%	146	21.60%
SS08B	635	453	415	345	83.13%	70	16.87%
SS08C	632	393	345	284	82.32%	61	17.68%
SS08D	1368	847	752	602	80.05%	150	19.95%
SS09A	3003	2210	1993	1695	85.05%	298	14.95%
SS09B	3358	2452	2189	1826	83.42%	363	16.58%
SS11A	3655	2227	1974	1584	80.24%	390	19.76%
SS11B	1257	707	633	492	77.73%	141	22.27%
SS11C	269	146	134	98	73.13%	36	26.87%
SS11D	365	254	220	185	84.09%	35	15.91%
SS12	2559	1732	1528	1264	82.72%	264	17.28%
SS13A	1633	1072	945	742	78.52%	203	21.48%
SS13B	1001	629	562	445	79.18%	117	20.82%
SS14	1274	977	882	716	81.18%	166	18.82%
SS15A	2572	1199	1111	884	79.57%	227	20.43%
SS15B	1579	902	811	653	80.52%	158	19.48%
SS16	2030	1534	1396	1172	83.95%	224	16.05%
SS17	3397	2229	1993	1644	82.49%	349	17.51%
SS18A	619	454	415	335	80.72%	80	19.28%
SS18B	221	162	145	128	88.28%	17	11.72%
SS19A	3016	1683	1529	1178	77.04%	351	22.96%
SS19B	2032	1226	1110	888	80.00%	222	20.00%
SS20	2060	1159	1048	847	80.82%	201	19.18%
SS22	2714	1613	1471	1196	81.31%	275	18.69%
SS26	3552	1822	1636	1301	79.52%	335	20.48%
SS29A	2488	1595	1429	1148	80.34%	281	19.66%
SS31	1345	938	851	743	87.31%	108	12.69%
UC01A	3622	1715	1634	1410	86.29%	224	13.71%
UC01B	395	210	197	163	82.74%	34	17.26%
UC01D	6	2	2	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
UC01E	285	206	198	185	93.43%	13	6.57%
UC02A	7513	4296	4090	3575	87.41%	515	12.59%
UC02B	30	19	18	18	100.00%	0	0.00%
UC031	2399	1203	1105	931	84.25%	174	15.75%
UC032	292	186	175	157	89.71%	18	10.29%
Total	703177	424992	387445	324121	83.66%	63324	16.34%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
AP01A	4724	3141	2701	1971	72.97%	730	27.03%
AP01B	913	516	446	301	67.49%	145	32.51%
AP01C	2233	1498	1316	961	73.02%	355	26.98%
AP01D	915	539	461	310	67.25%	151	32.75%
AP02B	474	263	218	164	75.23%	54	24.77%
AP03	741	314	280	207	73.93%	73	26.07%
AP04A	1647	1183	1029	799	77.65%	230	22.35%
AP04B	415	290	260	205	78.85%	55	21.15%
AP05	4367	2981	2618	1947	74.37%	671	25.63%
AP06	1827	1309	1134	858	75.66%	276	24.34%
AP07A	3422	2102	1854	1376	74.22%	478	25.78%
AP07B	1650	1144	1012	760	75.10%	252	24.90%
AP09A	4051	2687	2349	1751	74.54%	598	25.46%
AP09B	810	531	461	346	75.05%	115	24.95%
AP021	2994	1963	1762	1305	74.06%	457	25.94%
AP022	2210	1429	1229	924	75.18%	305	24.82%
AP10	942	681	601	466	77.54%	135	22.46%
AP12A	658	374	330	239	72.42%	91	27.58%
AP12B	747	428	368	254	69.02%	114	30.98%
AP12C	1584	1005	873	636	72.85%	237	27.15%
AP14	2972	2027	1730	1275	73.70%	455	26.30%
CH01	330	164	152	114	75.00%	38	25.00%
CH02	319	202	186	116	62.37%	70	37.63%
CH03	379	250	226	130	57.52%	96	42.48%
CH04A	647	444	389	256	65.81%	133	34.19%
CH05	407	260	234	139	59.40%	95	40.60%
CP01B	381	227	202	144	71.29%	58	28.71%
CP011	1135	617	557	410	73.61%	147	26.39%
CP012	1318	844	778	570	73.26%	208	26.74%
CP02	1000	553	506	367	72.53%	139	27.47%
CP04A	8	2	2	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP04B	39	14	14	11	78.57%	3	21.43%
CP05B	143	76	73	60	82.19%	13	17.81%
CP051	1612	874	820	617	75.24%	203	24.76%
CP06A	598	208	190	154	81.05%	36	18.95%
CP07B	7	2	2	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP07C	244	47	41	29	70.73%	12	29.27%
CP07D	694	208	198	154	77.78%	44	22.22%
CP07E	125	41	35	28	80.00%	7	20.00%
CP07F	184	55	51	40	78.43%	11	21.57%
CP081	343	77	72	51	70.83%	21	29.17%
CP083	219	25	23	22	95.65%	1	4.35%
CP084	3	1	1	0	0.00%	1	100.00%
CP08A	705	175	166	121	72.89%	45	27.11%
EP01A	2609	1464	1351	1017	75.28%	334	24.72%
EP01B	3038	1539	1439	1094	76.03%	345	23.97%
EP02A	1967	890	825	631	76.48%	194	23.52%
EP02B	1449	977	906	640	70.64%	266	29.36%
EP02C	428	251	230	173	75.22%	57	24.78%
EP02D	326	192	181	134	74.03%	47	25.97%
EP02E	1382	627	581	431	74.18%	150	25.82%
EP03A	4293	2512	2338	1742	74.51%	596	25.49%
EP03B	1421	973	921	709	76.98%	212	23.02%
EP04A	5432	2626	2489	1893	76.05%	596	23.95%
EP04B	2024	1203	1149	880	76.59%	269	23.41%
FA01A	3574	2126	2014	1425	70.75%	589	29.25%
FA01B	4833	2965	2805	2115	75.40%	690	24.60%
FA01C	681	401	378	305	80.69%	73	19.31%
HP01	3212	1780	1637	1190	72.69%	447	27.31%
JC01	4977	3215	2811	2028	72.15%	783	27.85%
JC02	2460	1474	1286	937	72.86%	349	27.14%
JC03A	540	384	336	236	70.24%	100	29.76%
JC03B	1448	955	814	587	72.11%	227	27.89%
JC04A	4042	2692	2358	1733	73.49%	625	26.51%
JC04B	255	156	133	94	70.68%	39	29.32%
JC05	2136	1391	1212	886	73.10%	326	26.90%
JC06	2627	1580	1391	976	70.17%	415	29.83%
JC07	4083	2627	2278	1635	71.77%	643	28.23%
JC08	2737	1870	1643	1176	71.58%	467	28.42%
JC09	2928	1878	1659	1196	72.09%	463	27.91%
JC10	2392	1507	1290	938	72.71%	352	27.29%
JC11	3186	2085	1807	1269	70.23%	538	29.77%
JC12	2455	1753	1540	1100	71.43%	440	28.57%
JC13A	2115	1462	1273	920	72.27%	353	27.73%
JC13B	271	180	159	120	75.47%	39	24.53%
JC14	2477	1634	1421	1019	71.71%	402	28.29%
JC15	834	523	466	327	70.17%	139	29.83%
JC16	2496	1729	1506	1084	71.98%	422	28.02%
JC18	2670	1969	1717	1271	74.02%	446	25.98%
JC19	3759	2528	2207	1598	72.41%	609	27.59%
ML011	2439	1681	1486	1117	75.17%	369	24.83%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
ML012	485	338	300	227	75.67%	73	24.33%
ML01B	138	96	83	60	72.29%	23	27.71%
ML021	1991	1369	1188	915	77.02%	273	22.98%
ML022	241	148	120	89	74.17%	31	25.83%
ML023	1542	1027	887	639	72.04%	248	27.96%
ML024	113	70	60	48	80.00%	12	20.00%
ML03	3757	2575	2241	1677	74.83%	564	25.17%
ML04A	1747	1176	1039	756	72.76%	283	27.24%
ML04B	1206	838	759	562	74.04%	197	25.96%
ML04C	79	66	61	45	73.77%	16	26.23%
ML05A	39	27	19	15	78.95%	4	21.05%
ML05B	381	250	213	139	65.26%	74	34.74%
ML05C	4023	2615	2310	1706	73.85%	604	26.15%
ML06A	1973	1024	920	651	70.76%	269	29.24%
ML06B	2775	1734	1545	1128	73.01%	417	26.99%
ML071	105	73	64	48	75.00%	16	25.00%
ML072	1249	857	779	580	74.45%	199	25.55%
ML07A	707	472	423	319	75.41%	104	24.59%
MP01	450	323	289	220	76.12%	69	23.88%
PA01	2509	1330	1237	902	72.92%	335	27.08%
RW01	4717	3355	2885	2126	73.69%	759	26.31%
RW02	3794	2675	2335	1695	72.59%	640	27.41%
RW03	4510	2745	2412	1728	71.64%	684	28.36%
RW04	1803	1359	1203	839	69.74%	364	30.26%
RW05	2322	1207	1080	797	73.80%	283	26.20%
RW06	2820	1914	1683	1209	71.84%	474	28.16%
RW07A	1549	677	604	428	70.86%	176	29.14%
RW07B	388	214	191	135	70.68%	56	29.32%
RW08	2138	1462	1241	927	74.70%	314	25.30%
RW09	5918	4216	3720	2767	74.38%	953	25.62%
RW10	3347	2376	2101	1530	72.82%	571	27.18%
RW11A	2667	1693	1504	1089	72.41%	415	27.59%
RW12	5944	4234	3734	2798	74.93%	936	25.07%
RW13	3555	2476	2152	1589	73.84%	563	26.16%
RW16	1850	1275	1121	827	73.77%	294	26.23%
RW17	2794	1773	1546	1139	73.67%	407	26.33%
RW19	1993	1434	1219	920	75.47%	299	24.53%
RW20	2486	1797	1582	1171	74.02%	411	25.98%
RW21	3144	2169	1869	1355	72.50%	514	27.50%
RW22A	4406	2486	2187	1576	72.06%	611	27.94%
SC01A	20	25	19	18	94.74%	1	5.26%
SC01B	1707	1295	1222	917	75.04%	305	24.96%
SC01C	2063	1513	1414	1047	74.05%	367	25.95%
SC01D	294	162	149	108	72.48%	41	27.52%
SC02	872	662	616	477	77.44%	139	22.56%
SC04	922	616	568	414	72.89%	154	27.11%
SC05A	646	409	374	285	76.20%	89	23.80%
SC05B	3204	2188	2039	1530	75.04%	509	24.96%
SC05D	2171	1428	1357	1050	77.38%	307	22.62%
SC05E	623	434	404	311	76.98%	93	23.02%
SC07A	5595	3698	3499	2596	74.19%	903	25.81%
SC07C	29	10	10	7	70.00%	3	30.00%
SC08B	3382	2008	1876	1398	74.52%	478	25.48%
SC08C	74	53	48	34	70.83%	14	29.17%
SC08D	499	314	300	227	75.67%	73	24.33%
SC08E	562	316	299	227	75.92%	72	24.08%
SC08F	700	366	347	272	78.39%	75	21.61%
SC08G	12	8	7	5	71.43%	2	28.57%
SC08H	4	3	3	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC09A	1628	839	777	611	78.64%	166	21.36%
SC09B	1687	1084	994	744	74.85%	250	25.15%
SC09C	1566	842	780	609	78.08%	171	21.92%
SC10	1678	661	615	468	76.10%	147	23.90%
SC11A	1411	813	769	569	73.99%	200	26.01%
SC11B	1506	878	825	628	76.12%	197	23.88%
SC13	2465	1636	1540	1119	72.66%	421	27.34%
SC14A	916	429	401	299	74.56%	102	25.44%
SC14B	502	45	41	37	90.24%	4	9.76%
SC15	6193	4213	3983	3041	76.35%	942	23.65%
SC16A	4601	3208	3001	2317	77.21%	684	22.79%
SC16B	14	15	14	10	71.43%	4	28.57%
SC17A	55	42	40	30	75.00%	10	25.00%
SC17B	7	4	4	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC17C	1134	669	631	475	75.28%	156	24.72%
SC18A	510	329	298	241	80.87%	57	19.13%
SC18B	663	462	429	326	75.99%	103	24.01%
SC18C	1850	1352	1266	953	75.28%	313	24.72%
SC19A	195	129	123	90	73.17%	33	26.83%
SC19B	1267	690	642	474	73.83%	168	26.17%
SC20	2197	1665	1568	1198	76.40%	370	23.60%
SC211	1328	841	790	590	74.68%	200	25.32%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
SC212	3378	1947	1826	1387	75.96%	439	24.04%
SC23A	3161	1730	1630	1269	77.85%	361	22.15%
SC23B	483	284	265	191	72.08%	74	27.92%
SC23C	2252	1302	1224	945	77.21%	279	22.79%
SC27	2129	1259	1167	895	76.69%	272	23.31%
SC29A	2784	1544	1438	1112	77.33%	326	22.67%
SC30A	135	97	86	70	81.40%	16	18.60%
SC30B	960	531	482	367	76.14%	115	23.86%
SS01	4487	3270	2814	1978	70.29%	836	29.71%
SS02A	1120	755	649	436	67.18%	213	32.82%
SS02B	1255	756	658	472	71.73%	186	28.27%
SS03	1464	1027	919	645	70.18%	274	29.82%
SS04	3264	2064	1816	1306	71.92%	510	28.08%
SS05	1746	1376	1174	812	69.17%	362	30.83%
SS06	1397	1073	917	611	66.63%	306	33.37%
SS07A	968	683	576	395	68.58%	181	31.42%
SS07B	609	405	343	260	75.80%	83	24.20%
SS07C	755	436	345	247	71.59%	98	28.41%
SS08A	1316	758	655	452	69.01%	203	30.99%
SS08B	635	453	392	272	69.39%	120	30.61%
SS08C	632	393	342	238	69.59%	104	30.41%
SS08D	1368	847	733	499	68.08%	234	31.92%
SS09A	3003	2210	1887	1314	69.63%	573	30.37%
SS09B	3358	2452	2083	1414	67.88%	669	32.12%
SS11A	3655	2227	1911	1322	69.18%	589	30.82%
SS11B	1257	707	606	398	65.68%	208	34.32%
SS11C	269	146	126	92	73.02%	34	26.98%
SS11D	365	254	215	161	74.88%	54	25.12%
SS12	2559	1732	1467	992	67.62%	475	32.38%
SS13A	1633	1072	910	625	68.68%	285	31.32%
SS13B	1001	629	546	354	64.84%	192	35.16%
SS14	1274	977	831	557	67.03%	274	32.97%
SS15A	2572	1199	1095	787	71.87%	308	28.13%
SS15B	1579	902	790	539	68.23%	251	31.77%
SS16	2030	1534	1329	957	72.01%	372	27.99%
SS17	3397	2229	1922	1350	70.24%	572	29.76%
SS18A	619	454	396	273	68.94%	123	31.06%
SS18B	221	162	137	101	73.72%	36	26.28%
SS19A	3016	1683	1494	1023	68.47%	471	31.53%
SS19B	2032	1226	1074	747	69.55%	327	30.45%
SS20	2060	1159	1019	702	68.89%	317	31.11%
SS22	2714	1613	1416	971	68.57%	445	31.43%
SS26	3552	1822	1600	1129	70.56%	471	29.44%
SS29A	2488	1595	1363	958	70.29%	405	29.71%
SS31	1345	938	824	582	70.63%	242	29.37%
UC01A	3622	1715	1625	1282	78.89%	343	21.11%
UC01B	395	210	196	148	75.51%	48	24.49%
UC01D	6	2	2	1	50.00%	1	50.00%
UC01E	285	206	194	146	75.26%	48	24.74%
UC02A	7513	4296	4036	3078	76.26%	958	23.74%
UC02B	30	19	17	16	94.12%	1	5.88%
UC031	2399	1203	1095	820	74.89%	275	25.11%
UC032	292	186	175	135	77.14%	40	22.86%
Total	382378	241618	215807	158181	73.30%	57626	26.70%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

ALPHARETTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
AP01A	4724	3141	2775	2113	76.14%	662	23.86%
AP01B	913	516	451	318	70.51%	133	29.49%
AP01C	2233	1498	1334	1027	76.99%	307	23.01%
AP01D	915	539	466	340	72.96%	126	27.04%
AP02B	474	263	221	163	73.76%	58	26.24%
AP03	741	314	280	194	69.29%	86	30.71%
AP04A	1647	1183	1055	839	79.53%	216	20.47%
AP04B	415	290	265	215	81.13%	50	18.87%
AP05	4367	2981	2656	2080	78.31%	576	21.69%
AP06	1827	1309	1160	914	78.79%	246	21.21%
AP07A	3422	2102	1894	1502	79.30%	392	20.70%
AP07B	1650	1144	1034	801	77.47%	233	22.53%
AP09A	4051	2687	2381	1853	77.82%	528	22.18%
AP09B	810	531	471	363	77.07%	108	22.93%
AP021	2994	1963	1785	1404	78.66%	381	21.34%
AP022	2210	1429	1252	977	78.04%	275	21.96%
AP10	942	681	613	513	83.69%	100	16.31%
AP12A	658	374	330	249	75.45%	81	24.55%
AP12B	747	428	367	254	69.21%	113	30.79%
AP12C	1584	1005	904	696	76.99%	208	23.01%
AP14	2972	2027	1782	1359	76.26%	423	23.74%
Total	40296	26405	23476	18174	77.42%	5302	22.58%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

ALPHARETTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
AP01A	4724	3141	3039	2208	72.66%	831	27.34%
AP01B	913	516	499	370	74.15%	129	25.85%
AP01C	2233	1498	1461	1128	77.21%	333	22.79%
AP01D	915	539	521	380	72.94%	141	27.06%
AP02B	474	263	251	162	64.54%	89	35.46%
AP03	741	314	309	225	72.82%	84	27.18%
AP04A	1647	1183	1144	841	73.51%	303	26.49%
AP04B	415	290	284	202	71.13%	82	28.87%
AP05	4367	2981	2918	2148	73.61%	770	26.39%
AP06	1827	1309	1274	974	76.45%	300	23.55%
AP07A	3422	2102	2031	1448	71.29%	583	28.71%
AP07B	1650	1144	1107	811	73.26%	296	26.74%
AP09A	4051	2687	2614	1880	71.92%	734	28.08%
AP09B	810	531	510	332	65.10%	178	34.90%
AP021	2994	1963	1914	1422	74.29%	492	25.71%
AP022	2210	1429	1372	1005	73.25%	367	26.75%
AP10	942	681	659	501	76.02%	158	23.98%
AP12A	658	374	357	268	75.07%	89	24.93%
AP12B	747	428	411	274	66.67%	137	33.33%
AP12C	1584	1005	974	747	76.69%	227	23.31%
AP14	2972	2027	1974	1486	75.28%	488	24.72%
Total	40296	26405	25623	18812	73.42%	6811	26.58%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
Jurisdiction Wide							
01A	3199	2362	1992	1441	72.34%	551	27.66%
01B	3839	2737	2374	1728	72.79%	646	27.21%
01C	1459	612	558	403	72.22%	155	27.78%
01D	511	307	271	193	71.22%	78	28.78%
01E	3363	2410	2076	1500	72.25%	576	27.75%
01F	1254	452	407	296	72.73%	111	27.27%
01G	1909	1102	998	700	70.14%	298	29.86%
01H	758	379	337	244	72.40%	93	27.60%
01J	2416	1184	1085	809	74.56%	276	25.44%
01P	1244	542	493	343	69.57%	150	30.43%
01R	1344	491	444	324	72.97%	120	27.03%
01S	1749	823	756	542	71.69%	214	28.31%
01T	1506	796	721	518	71.84%	203	28.16%
02A	4584	2949	2530	1739	68.74%	791	31.26%
02B	3518	1265	1151	831	72.20%	320	27.80%
02C	2037	1205	1042	749	71.88%	293	28.12%
02D	4475	2660	2284	1613	70.62%	671	29.38%
02E	1905	1423	1215	869	71.52%	346	28.48%
02F1	2962	2033	1689	1191	70.52%	498	29.48%
02F2	788	458	393	277	70.48%	116	29.52%
02G	3443	2361	1999	1381	69.08%	618	30.92%
02J	2159	1432	1223	827	67.62%	396	32.38%
02K	1419	951	780	543	69.62%	237	30.38%
02L1	6213	3978	3396	2298	67.67%	1098	32.33%
02L2	1364	782	687	488	71.03%	199	28.97%
02S	708	427	373	265	71.05%	108	28.95%
02W	682	399	341	227	66.57%	114	33.43%
03A	1927	692	623	462	74.16%	161	25.84%
03B	560	181	162	108	66.67%	54	33.33%
03C	1534	674	610	424	69.51%	186	30.49%
03D	1017	402	365	259	70.96%	106	29.04%
03E	2284	914	848	646	76.18%	202	23.82%
03F	1925	1115	975	691	70.87%	284	29.13%
03G	802	360	328	235	71.65%	93	28.35%
03H	900	369	326	230	70.55%	96	29.45%
03I	1360	566	488	323	66.19%	165	33.81%
03L	594	229	206	156	75.73%	50	24.27%
03M	766	452	387	260	67.18%	127	32.82%
03N	2288	938	857	622	72.58%	235	27.42%
03P1A	3882	1966	1658	1094	65.98%	564	34.02%
03P2	13	7	7	6	85.71%	1	14.29%
03S	761	356	332	243	73.19%	89	26.81%
03T	1505	715	646	451	69.81%	195	30.19%
04A	1202	560	507	372	73.37%	135	26.63%
04B	2242	922	821	590	71.86%	231	28.14%
04C	136	54	50	35	70.00%	15	30.00%
04D	1481	714	641	458	71.45%	183	28.55%
04F	2086	935	835	605	72.46%	230	27.54%
04G	828	312	283	201	71.02%	82	28.98%
04I	3362	561	492	346	70.33%	146	29.67%
04J	1230	317	296	207	69.93%	89	30.07%
04K	2339	1266	1119	806	72.03%	313	27.97%
04L	1655	867	798	573	71.80%	225	28.20%
04M	773	292	270	198	73.33%	72	26.67%
04S	2165	1118	1016	745	73.33%	271	26.67%
04T	1145	584	525	370	70.48%	155	29.52%
04V	2848	1211	1103	799	72.44%	304	27.56%
04W	2203	820	757	574	75.83%	183	24.17%
04X1	1942	950	874	622	71.17%	252	28.83%
04X2	737	364	326	242	74.23%	84	25.77%
05A1	2491	1728	1451	1057	72.85%	394	27.15%
05A2	2910	1998	1700	1198	70.47%	502	29.53%
05B	1763	901	761	548	72.01%	213	27.99%
05C	146	67	61	41	67.21%	20	32.79%
05D	386	93	84	58	69.05%	26	30.95%
05F	223	136	121	86	71.07%	35	28.93%
05J	639	416	365	256	70.14%	109	29.86%
05K	2600	1327	1167	842	72.15%	325	27.85%
06B	1756	1238	1031	704	68.28%	327	31.72%
06D	8568	6060	5135	3684	71.74%	1451	28.26%
06E	2250	1439	1245	871	69.96%	374	30.04%
06F	2709	1757	1457	1022	70.14%	435	29.86%
06G	536	369	320	226	70.63%	94	29.38%
06I	3412	1957	1689	1109	65.66%	580	34.34%
06J	2539	1904	1651	1194	72.32%	457	27.68%
06L1	917	679	560	407	72.68%	153	27.32%
06L2	654	487	426	332	77.93%	94	22.07%
06N	1844	1085	948	700	73.84%	248	26.16%
06Q	527	295	253	158	62.45%	95	37.55%
06R	1836	1206	1067	773	72.45%	294	27.55%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
07A	6600	4093	3485	2423	69.53%	1062	30.47%
07B	2763	2107	1830	1363	74.48%	467	25.52%
07C	3927	2498	2051	1374	66.99%	677	33.01%
07D	4231	2413	1993	1336	67.03%	657	32.97%
07E	2031	1358	1163	838	72.06%	325	27.94%
07F	3017	2041	1738	1254	72.15%	484	27.85%
07H	1923	1424	1224	882	72.06%	342	27.94%
07J	2647	1753	1479	983	66.46%	496	33.54%
07M	2155	1180	1006	667	66.30%	339	33.70%
07N	1946	1044	895	630	70.39%	265	29.61%
08A	2799	1949	1658	1182	71.29%	476	28.71%
08B	3199	2255	1928	1385	71.84%	543	28.16%
08C	1392	984	849	644	75.85%	205	24.15%
08D	1022	770	662	486	73.41%	176	26.59%
08E	2429	1864	1617	1198	74.09%	419	25.91%
08F1	2071	1628	1370	1029	75.11%	341	24.89%
08G	2787	1669	1409	972	68.99%	437	31.01%
08H	2465	1874	1598	1170	73.22%	428	26.78%
08J	2981	2223	1828	1337	73.14%	491	26.86%
08K	973	702	602	413	68.60%	189	31.40%
08L	4194	2706	2294	1563	68.13%	731	31.87%
08M	1907	1358	1149	853	74.24%	296	25.76%
08N1	767	587	491	360	73.32%	131	26.68%
08N2	1391	927	765	556	72.68%	209	27.32%
08P	1633	928	811	549	67.69%	262	32.31%
09A	3338	2152	1881	1326	70.49%	555	29.51%
09B	385	174	158	108	68.35%	50	31.65%
09C	2954	1522	1370	984	71.82%	386	28.18%
09D	1879	858	757	544	71.86%	213	28.14%
09E	2782	1420	1295	934	72.12%	361	27.88%
09F	3619	2526	2152	1497	69.56%	655	30.44%
09G	3519	2282	1967	1367	69.50%	600	30.50%
09H	1064	645	556	386	69.42%	170	30.58%
09I	1194	503	437	319	73.00%	118	27.00%
09K	4403	1851	1695	1234	72.80%	461	27.20%
09M	2259	1611	1397	999	71.51%	398	28.49%
10A	2850	1622	1461	982	67.21%	479	32.79%
10B	3686	2464	2257	1596	70.71%	661	29.29%
10C	2466	1168	1062	754	71.00%	308	29.00%
10D	4220	1850	1682	1186	70.51%	496	29.49%
10E	950	524	469	323	68.87%	146	31.13%
10F	1053	590	538	365	67.84%	173	32.16%
10G	1205	813	756	514	67.99%	242	32.01%
10H1	408	238	215	145	67.44%	70	32.56%
10H2	2207	1032	923	670	72.59%	253	27.41%
10I	329	258	234	162	69.23%	72	30.77%
10J	1012	466	424	299	70.52%	125	29.48%
10K	1415	858	777	535	68.85%	242	31.15%
10M	2529	1414	1295	946	73.05%	349	26.95%
10P	1364	668	594	428	72.05%	166	27.95%
10R	713	347	311	222	71.38%	89	28.62%
11B	5162	3088	2820	1912	67.80%	908	32.20%
11C	2822	2003	1864	1338	71.78%	526	28.22%
11E1	1141	634	591	420	71.07%	171	28.93%
11E2	847	497	463	312	67.39%	151	32.61%
11E3	3124	1835	1722	1267	73.58%	455	26.42%
11G	2092	855	781	563	72.09%	218	27.91%
11H	677	356	317	238	75.08%	79	24.92%
11J	1827	1018	927	668	72.06%	259	27.94%
11K	1818	1104	988	681	68.93%	307	31.07%
11M	3039	1659	1534	1139	74.25%	395	25.75%
11N	2166	1358	1242	881	70.93%	361	29.07%
11P	1833	1020	910	660	72.53%	250	27.47%
11R	1849	672	596	430	72.15%	166	27.85%
12A	4828	2734	2457	1720	70.00%	737	30.00%
12D	1209	501	454	322	70.93%	132	29.07%
12E1	1621	591	534	372	69.66%	162	30.34%
12F	943	428	397	304	76.57%	93	23.43%
12G	800	430	383	282	73.63%	101	26.37%
12H1	1006	310	291	210	72.16%	81	27.84%
12H2	2562	1189	1084	756	69.74%	328	30.26%
12I	970	413	373	263	70.51%	110	29.49%
12J	2535	1108	996	697	69.98%	299	30.02%
12K	3022	1240	1111	788	70.93%	323	29.07%
12L	613	238	204	144	70.59%	60	29.41%
12M	2533	1171	1076	756	70.26%	320	29.74%
12N	907	327	299	226	75.59%	73	24.41%
12S	1794	959	834	602	72.18%	232	27.82%
Total	320799	183374	160444	113781	70.92%	46663	29.08%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
Jurisdiction Wide							
01A	3199	2362	2291	1901	82.98%	390	17.02%
01B	3839	2737	2663	2222	83.44%	441	16.56%
01C	1459	612	593	434	73.19%	159	26.81%
01D	511	307	297	221	74.41%	76	25.59%
01E	3363	2410	2349	1894	80.63%	455	19.37%
01F	1254	452	435	320	73.56%	115	26.44%
01G	1909	1102	1069	783	73.25%	286	26.75%
01H	758	379	362	247	68.23%	115	31.77%
01J	2416	1184	1132	788	69.61%	344	30.39%
01P	1244	542	516	344	66.67%	172	33.33%
01R	1344	491	470	313	66.60%	157	33.40%
01S	1749	823	781	530	67.86%	251	32.14%
01T	1506	796	781	581	74.39%	200	25.61%
02A	4584	2949	2891	2384	82.46%	507	17.54%
02B	3518	1265	1234	951	77.07%	283	22.93%
02C	2037	1205	1162	899	77.37%	263	22.63%
02D	4475	2660	2605	2149	82.50%	456	17.50%
02E	1905	1423	1401	1201	85.72%	200	14.28%
02F1	2962	2033	1980	1684	85.05%	296	14.95%
02F2	788	458	445	354	79.55%	91	20.45%
02G	3443	2361	2319	1970	84.95%	349	15.05%
02J	2159	1432	1408	1231	87.43%	177	12.57%
02K	1419	951	929	797	85.79%	132	14.21%
02L1	6213	3978	3892	3208	82.43%	684	17.57%
02L2	1364	782	769	647	84.14%	122	15.86%
02S	708	427	420	336	80.00%	84	20.00%
02W	682	399	386	315	81.61%	71	18.39%
03A	1927	692	653	433	66.31%	220	33.69%
03B	560	181	169	111	65.68%	58	34.32%
03C	1534	674	645	444	68.84%	201	31.16%
03D	1017	402	380	250	65.79%	130	34.21%
03E	2284	914	882	603	68.37%	279	31.63%
03F	1925	1115	1090	799	73.30%	291	26.70%
03G	802	360	341	213	62.46%	128	37.54%
03H	900	369	342	217	63.45%	125	36.55%
03I	1360	566	555	423	76.22%	132	23.78%
03L	594	229	213	147	69.01%	66	30.99%
03M	766	452	443	354	79.91%	89	20.09%
03N	2288	938	913	637	69.77%	276	30.23%
03P1A	3882	1966	1921	1471	76.57%	450	23.43%
03P2	13	7	7	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
03S	761	356	338	224	66.27%	114	33.73%
03T	1505	715	691	474	68.60%	217	31.40%
04A	1202	560	534	359	67.23%	175	32.77%
04B	2242	922	886	574	64.79%	312	35.21%
04C	136	54	51	27	52.94%	24	47.06%
04D	1481	714	686	482	70.26%	204	29.74%
04F	2086	935	900	668	74.22%	232	25.78%
04G	828	312	296	204	68.92%	92	31.08%
04I	3362	561	539	412	76.44%	127	23.56%
04J	1230	317	309	184	59.55%	125	40.45%
04K	2339	1266	1192	820	68.79%	372	31.21%
04L	1655	867	841	581	69.08%	260	30.92%
04M	773	292	284	200	70.42%	84	29.58%
04S	2165	1118	1064	716	67.29%	348	32.71%
04T	1145	584	560	396	70.71%	164	29.29%
04V	2848	1211	1154	822	71.23%	332	28.77%
04W	2203	820	790	475	60.13%	315	39.87%
04X1	1942	950	918	639	69.61%	279	30.39%
04X2	737	364	357	234	65.55%	123	34.45%
05A1	2491	1728	1668	1401	83.99%	267	16.01%
05A2	2910	1998	1948	1583	81.26%	365	18.74%
05B	1763	901	848	622	73.35%	226	26.65%
05C	146	67	65	45	69.23%	20	30.77%
05D	386	93	90	59	65.56%	31	34.44%
05F	223	136	132	95	71.97%	37	28.03%
05J	639	416	404	318	78.71%	86	21.29%
05K	2600	1327	1290	908	70.39%	382	29.61%
06B	1756	1238	1219	1043	85.56%	176	14.44%
06D	8568	6060	5869	4785	81.53%	1084	18.47%
06E	2250	1439	1395	1124	80.57%	271	19.43%
06F	2709	1757	1710	1452	84.91%	258	15.09%
06G	536	369	359	304	84.68%	55	15.32%
06I	3412	1957	1915	1462	76.34%	453	23.66%
06J	2539	1904	1860	1556	83.66%	304	16.34%
06L1	917	679	647	530	81.92%	117	18.08%
06L2	654	487	472	402	85.17%	70	14.83%
06N	1844	1085	1043	806	77.28%	237	22.72%
06Q	527	295	289	210	72.66%	79	27.34%
06R	1836	1206	1183	987	83.43%	196	16.57%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
07A	6600	4093	3961	2946	74.38%	1015	25.62%
07B	2763	2107	2050	1594	77.76%	456	22.24%
07C	3927	2498	2370	1720	72.57%	650	27.43%
07D	4231	2413	2334	1803	77.25%	531	22.75%
07E	2031	1358	1307	991	75.82%	316	24.18%
07F	3017	2041	1970	1532	77.77%	438	22.23%
07H	1923	1424	1380	1085	78.62%	295	21.38%
07J	2647	1753	1710	1374	80.35%	336	19.65%
07M	2155	1180	1153	850	73.72%	303	26.28%
07N	1946	1044	1010	717	70.99%	293	29.01%
08A	2799	1949	1876	1380	73.56%	496	26.44%
08B	3199	2255	2181	1647	75.52%	534	24.48%
08C	1392	984	943	706	74.87%	237	25.13%
08D	1022	770	747	549	73.49%	198	26.51%
08E	2429	1864	1796	1370	76.28%	426	23.72%
08F1	2071	1628	1573	1224	77.81%	349	22.19%
08G	2787	1669	1590	1149	72.26%	441	27.74%
08H	2465	1874	1782	1348	75.65%	434	24.35%
08J	2981	2223	2147	1693	78.85%	454	21.15%
08K	973	702	683	520	76.13%	163	23.87%
08L	4194	2706	2631	2111	80.24%	520	19.76%
08M	1907	1358	1316	995	75.61%	321	24.39%
08N1	767	587	571	435	76.18%	136	23.82%
08N2	1391	927	887	683	77.00%	204	23.00%
08P	1633	928	900	704	78.22%	196	21.78%
09A	3338	2152	2101	1640	78.06%	461	21.94%
09B	385	174	165	108	65.45%	57	34.55%
09C	2954	1522	1443	998	69.16%	445	30.84%
09D	1879	858	799	550	68.84%	249	31.16%
09E	2782	1420	1379	970	70.34%	409	29.66%
09F	3619	2526	2452	1917	78.18%	535	21.82%
09G	3519	2282	2230	1767	79.24%	463	20.76%
09H	1064	645	633	486	76.78%	147	23.22%
09I	1194	503	464	335	72.20%	129	27.80%
09K	4403	1851	1774	1171	66.01%	603	33.99%
09M	2259	1611	1575	1214	77.08%	361	22.92%
10A	2850	1622	1543	1018	65.98%	525	34.02%
10B	3686	2464	2385	1595	66.88%	790	33.12%
10C	2466	1168	1113	736	66.13%	377	33.87%
10D	4220	1850	1753	1147	65.43%	606	34.57%
10E	950	524	491	330	67.21%	161	32.79%
10F	1053	590	558	352	63.08%	206	36.92%
10G	1205	813	783	517	66.03%	266	33.97%
10H1	408	238	229	144	62.88%	85	37.12%
10H2	2207	1032	983	687	69.89%	296	30.11%
10I	329	258	252	168	66.67%	84	33.33%
10J	1012	466	440	295	67.05%	145	32.95%
10K	1415	858	825	555	67.27%	270	32.73%
10M	2529	1414	1370	993	72.48%	377	27.52%
10P	1364	668	629	426	67.73%	203	32.27%
10R	713	347	332	214	64.46%	118	35.54%
11B	5162	3088	2962	1931	65.19%	1031	34.81%
11C	2822	2003	1945	1357	69.77%	588	30.23%
11E1	1141	634	611	393	64.32%	218	35.68%
11E2	847	497	482	312	64.73%	170	35.27%
11E3	3124	1835	1801	1226	68.07%	575	31.93%
11G	2092	855	819	549	67.03%	270	32.97%
11H	677	356	336	234	69.64%	102	30.36%
11J	1827	1018	968	668	69.01%	300	30.99%
11K	1818	1104	1045	704	67.37%	341	32.63%
11M	3039	1659	1601	1112	69.46%	489	30.54%
11N	2166	1358	1313	906	69.00%	407	31.00%
11P	1833	1020	956	622	65.06%	334	34.94%
11R	1849	672	637	410	64.36%	227	35.64%
12A	4828	2734	2623	1883	71.79%	740	28.21%
12D	1209	501	490	330	67.35%	160	32.65%
12E1	1621	591	560	365	65.18%	195	34.82%
12F	943	428	412	300	72.82%	112	27.18%
12G	800	430	395	277	70.13%	118	29.87%
12H1	1006	310	301	196	65.12%	105	34.88%
12H2	2562	1189	1128	747	66.22%	381	33.78%
12I	970	413	400	271	67.75%	129	32.25%
12J	2535	1108	1054	707	67.08%	347	32.92%
12K	3022	1240	1198	876	73.12%	322	26.88%
12L	613	238	217	137	63.13%	80	36.87%
12M	2533	1171	1126	757	67.23%	369	32.77%
12N	907	327	313	226	72.20%	87	27.80%
12S	1794	959	906	612	67.55%	294	32.45%
Total	320799	183374	177098	132563	74.85%	44535	25.15%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

COLLEGE PARK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
CP01B	381	227	222	163	73.42%	59	26.58%
CP011	1135	617	608	487	80.10%	121	19.90%
CP012	1318	844	819	619	75.58%	200	24.42%
CP02	1000	553	531	383	72.13%	148	27.87%
CP04A	8	2	2	1	50.00%	1	50.00%
CP04B	39	14	14	8	57.14%	6	42.86%
CP05B	143	76	76	48	63.16%	28	36.84%
CP051	1612	874	848	604	71.23%	244	28.77%
CP06A	598	208	203	143	70.44%	60	29.56%
CP07B	7	2	2	1	50.00%	1	50.00%
CP07C	244	47	47	31	65.96%	16	34.04%
CP07D	694	208	201	142	70.65%	59	29.35%
CP07E	125	41	37	30	81.08%	7	18.92%
CP07F	184	55	54	36	66.67%	18	33.33%
CP081	343	77	76	47	61.84%	29	38.16%
CP083	219	25	24	17	70.83%	7	29.17%
CP084	3	1	1	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP08A	705	175	170	130	76.47%	40	23.53%
Total	8758	4046	3935	2891	73.47%	1044	26.53%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

HAPEVILLE - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
HP01	3212	1780	1745	1296	74.27%	449	25.73%
Total	3212	1780	1745	1296	74.27%	449	25.73%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

JOHNS CREEK - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
JC01	4977	3215	2865	2133	74.45%	732	25.55%
JC02	2460	1474	1314	982	74.73%	332	25.27%
JC03A	540	384	345	261	75.65%	84	24.35%
JC03B	1448	955	848	647	76.30%	201	23.70%
JC04A	4042	2692	2405	1868	77.67%	537	22.33%
JC04B	255	156	133	98	73.68%	35	26.32%
JC05	2136	1391	1242	938	75.52%	304	24.48%
JC06	2627	1580	1431	1067	74.56%	364	25.44%
JC07	4083	2627	2337	1766	75.57%	571	24.43%
JC08	2737	1870	1672	1266	75.72%	406	24.28%
JC09	2928	1878	1692	1270	75.06%	422	24.94%
JC10	2392	1507	1341	1022	76.21%	319	23.79%
JC11	3186	2085	1859	1392	74.88%	467	25.12%
JC12	2455	1753	1583	1231	77.76%	352	22.24%
JC13A	2115	1462	1308	1015	77.60%	293	22.40%
JC13B	271	180	163	125	76.69%	38	23.31%
JC14	2477	1634	1476	1149	77.85%	327	22.15%
JC15	834	523	473	348	73.57%	125	26.43%
JC16	2496	1729	1553	1195	76.95%	358	23.05%
JC18	2670	1969	1752	1381	78.82%	371	21.18%
JC19	3759	2528	2251	1727	76.72%	524	23.28%
Total	50888	33592	30043	22881	76.16%	7162	23.84%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

JOHNS CREEK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
JC01	4977	3215	3117	2109	67.66%	1008	32.34%
JC02	2460	1474	1418	954	67.28%	464	32.72%
JC03A	540	384	377	264	70.03%	113	29.97%
JC03B	1448	955	920	621	67.50%	299	32.50%
JC04A	4042	2692	2631	1893	71.95%	738	28.05%
JC04B	255	156	148	88	59.46%	60	40.54%
JC05	2136	1391	1348	967	71.74%	381	28.26%
JC06	2627	1580	1543	1057	68.50%	486	31.50%
JC07	4083	2627	2540	1752	68.98%	788	31.02%
JC08	2737	1870	1824	1288	70.61%	536	29.39%
JC09	2928	1878	1822	1296	71.13%	526	28.87%
JC10	2392	1507	1452	1004	69.15%	448	30.85%
JC11	3186	2085	2027	1440	71.04%	587	28.96%
JC12	2455	1753	1708	1179	69.03%	529	30.97%
JC13A	2115	1462	1421	1039	73.12%	382	26.88%
JC13B	271	180	176	136	77.27%	40	22.73%
JC14	2477	1634	1601	1201	75.02%	400	24.98%
JC15	834	523	509	347	68.17%	162	31.83%
JC16	2496	1729	1673	1236	73.88%	437	26.12%
JC18	2670	1969	1921	1443	75.12%	478	24.88%
JC19	3759	2528	2452	1804	73.57%	648	26.43%
Total	50888	33592	32628	23118	70.85%	9510	29.15%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	MILTON - CITY COUNCIL D1 P2						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	C. E. COOKERLY		Write-In Votes	
ML011	2439	1681	1312	1286	98.02%	26	1.98%
ML012	485	338	273	265	97.07%	8	2.93%
ML01B	138	96	75	72	96.00%	3	4.00%
ML022	241	148	110	109	99.09%	1	0.91%
ML023	1542	1027	780	763	97.82%	17	2.18%
ML04A	1747	1176	908	892	98.24%	16	1.76%
ML05A	39	27	15	14	93.33%	1	6.67%
ML06A	1973	1024	814	802	98.53%	12	1.47%
Total	8604	5517	4287	4203	98.04%	84	1.96%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

MILTON - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
ML011	2439	1681	1502	1211	80.63%	291	19.37%
ML012	485	338	310	248	80.00%	62	20.00%
ML01B	138	96	85	66	77.65%	19	22.35%
ML021	1991	1369	1226	984	80.26%	242	19.74%
ML022	241	148	123	99	80.49%	24	19.51%
ML023	1542	1027	906	728	80.35%	178	19.65%
ML024	113	70	63	52	82.54%	11	17.46%
ML03	3757	2575	2297	1852	80.63%	445	19.37%
ML04A	1747	1176	1056	841	79.64%	215	20.36%
ML04B	1206	838	776	604	77.84%	172	22.16%
ML04C	79	66	63	50	79.37%	13	20.63%
ML05A	39	27	21	16	76.19%	5	23.81%
ML05B	381	250	217	167	76.96%	50	23.04%
ML05C	4023	2615	2362	1847	78.20%	515	21.80%
ML06A	1973	1024	910	650	71.43%	260	28.57%
ML06B	2775	1734	1564	1193	76.28%	371	23.72%
ML071	105	73	67	51	76.12%	16	23.88%
ML072	1249	857	784	623	79.46%	161	20.54%
ML07A	707	472	437	358	81.92%	79	18.08%
Total	24990	16436	14769	11640	78.81%	3129	21.19%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

MILTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
ML011	2439	1681	1615	1277	79.07%	338	20.93%
ML012	485	338	323	256	79.26%	67	20.74%
ML01B	138	96	90	74	82.22%	16	17.78%
ML021	1991	1369	1320	1029	77.95%	291	22.05%
ML022	241	148	142	111	78.17%	31	21.83%
ML023	1542	1027	990	782	78.99%	208	21.01%
ML024	113	70	68	44	64.71%	24	35.29%
ML03	3757	2575	2492	1936	77.69%	556	22.31%
ML04A	1747	1176	1132	861	76.06%	271	23.94%
ML04B	1206	838	822	650	79.08%	172	20.92%
ML04C	79	66	64	54	84.38%	10	15.63%
ML05A	39	27	25	19	76.00%	6	24.00%
ML05B	381	250	242	175	72.31%	67	27.69%
ML05C	4023	2615	2554	1914	74.94%	640	25.06%
ML06A	1973	1024	981	728	74.21%	253	25.79%
ML06B	2775	1734	1679	1195	71.17%	484	28.83%
ML071	105	73	73	54	73.97%	19	26.03%
ML072	1249	857	838	612	73.03%	226	26.97%
ML07A	707	472	462	368	79.65%	94	20.35%
Total	24990	16436	15912	12139	76.29%	3773	23.71%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

ROSWELL - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
RW01	4717	3355	2952	2290	77.57%	662	22.43%
RW02	3794	2675	2397	1834	76.51%	563	23.49%
RW03	4510	2745	2461	1839	74.73%	622	25.27%
RW04	1803	1359	1217	916	75.27%	301	24.73%
RW05	2322	1207	1089	818	75.11%	271	24.89%
RW06	2820	1914	1698	1282	75.50%	416	24.50%
RW07A	1549	677	606	424	69.97%	182	30.03%
RW07B	388	214	193	143	74.09%	50	25.91%
RW08	2138	1462	1284	1012	78.82%	272	21.18%
RW09	5918	4216	3803	2946	77.47%	857	22.53%
RW10	3347	2376	2163	1666	77.02%	497	22.98%
RW11A	2667	1693	1526	1165	76.34%	361	23.66%
RW12	5944	4234	3809	2989	78.47%	820	21.53%
RW13	3555	2476	2198	1689	76.84%	509	23.16%
RW16	1850	1275	1140	887	77.81%	253	22.19%
RW17	2794	1773	1579	1197	75.81%	382	24.19%
RW19	1993	1434	1251	1000	79.94%	251	20.06%
RW20	2486	1797	1609	1237	76.88%	372	23.12%
RW21	3144	2169	1911	1467	76.77%	444	23.23%
RW22A	4406	2486	2199	1577	71.71%	622	28.29%
Total	62145	41537	37085	28378	76.52%	8707	23.48%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

ROSWELL - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
RW01	4717	3355	3244	2404	74.11%	840	25.89%
RW02	3794	2675	2609	2080	79.72%	529	20.28%
RW03	4510	2745	2685	2000	74.49%	685	25.51%
RW04	1803	1359	1318	1024	77.69%	294	22.31%
RW05	2322	1207	1181	823	69.69%	358	30.31%
RW06	2820	1914	1880	1448	77.02%	432	22.98%
RW07A	1549	677	663	441	66.52%	222	33.48%
RW07B	388	214	207	132	63.77%	75	36.23%
RW08	2138	1462	1416	1076	75.99%	340	24.01%
RW09	5918	4216	4115	3151	76.57%	964	23.43%
RW10	3347	2376	2325	1819	78.24%	506	21.76%
RW11A	2667	1693	1663	1307	78.59%	356	21.41%
RW12	5944	4234	4133	3100	75.01%	1033	24.99%
RW13	3555	2476	2413	1823	75.55%	590	24.45%
RW16	1850	1275	1249	948	75.90%	301	24.10%
RW17	2794	1773	1723	1303	75.62%	420	24.38%
RW19	1993	1434	1389	1042	75.02%	347	24.98%
RW20	2486	1797	1748	1295	74.08%	453	25.92%
RW21	3144	2169	2110	1624	76.97%	486	23.03%
RW22A	4406	2486	2412	1730	71.72%	682	28.28%
Total	62145	41537	40483	30570	75.51%	9913	24.49%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
SS01	4487	3270	3155	2410	76.39%	745	23.61%
SS02A	1120	755	729	566	77.64%	163	22.36%
SS02B	1255	756	729	555	76.13%	174	23.87%
SS03	1464	1027	1008	782	77.58%	226	22.42%
SS04	3264	2064	2000	1517	75.85%	483	24.15%
SS05	1746	1376	1315	1050	79.85%	265	20.15%
SS06	1397	1073	1044	831	79.60%	213	20.40%
SS07A	968	683	652	485	74.39%	167	25.61%
SS07B	609	405	392	285	72.70%	107	27.30%
SS07C	755	436	412	309	75.00%	103	25.00%
SS08A	1316	758	730	558	76.44%	172	23.56%
SS08B	635	453	439	345	78.59%	94	21.41%
SS08C	632	393	382	304	79.58%	78	20.42%
SS08D	1368	847	829	615	74.19%	214	25.81%
SS09A	3003	2210	2128	1686	79.23%	442	20.77%
SS09B	3358	2452	2369	1901	80.24%	468	19.76%
SS11A	3655	2227	2161	1649	76.31%	512	23.69%
SS11B	1257	707	675	527	78.07%	148	21.93%
SS11C	269	146	143	98	68.53%	45	31.47%
SS11D	365	254	240	195	81.25%	45	18.75%
SS12	2559	1732	1669	1322	79.21%	347	20.79%
SS13A	1633	1072	1044	805	77.11%	239	22.89%
SS13B	1001	629	618	473	76.54%	145	23.46%
SS14	1274	977	951	769	80.86%	182	19.14%
SS15A	2572	1199	1164	831	71.39%	333	28.61%
SS15B	1579	902	875	648	74.06%	227	25.94%
SS16	2030	1534	1494	1198	80.19%	296	19.81%
SS17	3397	2229	2138	1683	78.72%	455	21.28%
SS18A	619	454	441	341	77.32%	100	22.68%
SS18B	221	162	155	114	73.55%	41	26.45%
SS19A	3016	1683	1648	1186	71.97%	462	28.03%
SS19B	2032	1226	1190	893	75.04%	297	24.96%
SS20	2060	1159	1117	852	76.28%	265	23.72%
SS22	2714	1613	1542	1166	75.62%	376	24.38%
SS26	3552	1822	1767	1255	71.02%	512	28.98%
SS29A	2488	1595	1542	1198	77.69%	344	22.31%
SS31	1345	938	912	718	78.73%	194	21.27%
Total	67015	43218	41799	32120	76.84%	9679	23.16%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
SC01A	20	25	20	13	65.00%	7	35.00%
SC01B	1707	1295	1221	790	64.70%	431	35.30%
SC01C	2063	1513	1391	937	67.36%	454	32.64%
SC01D	294	162	146	104	71.23%	42	28.77%
SC02	872	662	617	445	72.12%	172	27.88%
SC04	922	616	553	326	58.95%	227	41.05%
SC05A	646	409	375	244	65.07%	131	34.93%
SC05B	3204	2188	2022	1306	64.59%	716	35.41%
SC05D	2171	1428	1343	862	64.18%	481	35.82%
SC05E	623	434	407	267	65.60%	140	34.40%
SC07A	5595	3698	3487	2147	61.57%	1340	38.43%
SC07C	29	10	9	5	55.56%	4	44.44%
SC08B	3382	2008	1858	1190	64.05%	668	35.95%
SC08C	74	53	49	29	59.18%	20	40.82%
SC08D	499	314	296	199	67.23%	97	32.77%
SC08E	562	316	294	205	69.73%	89	30.27%
SC08F	700	366	340	225	66.18%	115	33.82%
SC08G	12	8	7	3	42.86%	4	57.14%
SC08H	4	3	3	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC09A	1628	839	769	536	69.70%	233	30.30%
SC09B	1687	1084	990	642	64.85%	348	35.15%
SC09C	1566	842	779	505	64.83%	274	35.17%
SC10	1678	661	607	445	73.31%	162	26.69%
SC11A	1411	813	759	512	67.46%	247	32.54%
SC11B	1506	878	833	591	70.95%	242	29.05%
SC13	2465	1636	1537	874	56.86%	663	43.14%
SC14A	916	429	393	268	68.19%	125	31.81%
SC14B	502	45	38	29	76.32%	9	23.68%
SC15	6193	4213	3958	2645	66.83%	1313	33.17%
SC16A	4601	3208	2966	2068	69.72%	898	30.28%
SC16B	14	15	13	8	61.54%	5	38.46%
SC17A	55	42	40	29	72.50%	11	27.50%
SC17B	7	4	4	2	50.00%	2	50.00%
SC17C	1134	669	623	401	64.37%	222	35.63%
SC18A	510	329	298	204	68.46%	94	31.54%
SC18B	663	462	431	295	68.45%	136	31.55%
SC18C	1850	1352	1269	827	65.17%	442	34.83%
SC19A	195	129	123	76	61.79%	47	38.21%
SC19B	1267	690	638	441	69.12%	197	30.88%
SC20	2197	1665	1550	1008	65.03%	542	34.97%
SC211	1328	841	778	487	62.60%	291	37.40%
SC212	3378	1947	1798	1200	66.74%	598	33.26%
SC23A	3161	1730	1622	1136	70.04%	486	29.96%
SC23B	483	284	258	187	72.48%	71	27.52%
SC23C	2252	1302	1211	856	70.69%	355	29.31%
SC27	2129	1259	1159	795	68.59%	364	31.41%
SC29A	2784	1544	1424	948	66.57%	476	33.43%
SC30A	135	97	87	65	74.71%	22	25.29%
SC30B	960	531	472	317	67.16%	155	32.84%
Total	72034	45048	41865	27697	66.16%	14168	33.84%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
SC01A	20	25	20	12	60.00%	8	40.00%
SC01B	1707	1295	1218	757	62.15%	461	37.85%
SC01C	2063	1513	1367	895	65.47%	472	34.53%
SC01D	294	162	146	103	70.55%	43	29.45%
SC02	872	662	612	431	70.42%	181	29.58%
SC04	922	616	553	320	57.87%	233	42.13%
SC05A	646	409	366	236	64.48%	130	35.52%
SC05B	3204	2188	2005	1233	61.50%	772	38.50%
SC05D	2171	1428	1331	808	60.71%	523	39.29%
SC05E	623	434	404	250	61.88%	154	38.12%
SC07A	5595	3698	3451	2049	59.37%	1402	40.63%
SC07C	29	10	9	8	88.89%	1	11.11%
SC08B	3382	2008	1836	1154	62.85%	682	37.15%
SC08C	74	53	49	32	65.31%	17	34.69%
SC08D	499	314	287	179	62.37%	108	37.63%
SC08E	562	316	294	194	65.99%	100	34.01%
SC08F	700	366	342	205	59.94%	137	40.06%
SC08G	12	8	7	3	42.86%	4	57.14%
SC08H	4	3	3	2	66.67%	1	33.33%
SC09A	1628	839	765	521	68.10%	244	31.90%
SC09B	1687	1084	968	610	63.02%	358	36.98%
SC09C	1566	842	760	494	65.00%	266	35.00%
SC10	1678	661	607	427	70.35%	180	29.65%
SC11A	1411	813	753	495	65.74%	258	34.26%
SC11B	1506	878	820	570	69.51%	250	30.49%
SC13	2465	1636	1522	850	55.85%	672	44.15%
SC14A	916	429	389	253	65.04%	136	34.96%
SC14B	502	45	39	29	74.36%	10	25.64%
SC15	6193	4213	3900	2509	64.33%	1391	35.67%
SC16A	4601	3208	2927	1966	67.17%	961	32.83%
SC16B	14	15	13	6	46.15%	7	53.85%
SC17A	55	42	38	28	73.68%	10	26.32%
SC17B	7	4	4	2	50.00%	2	50.00%
SC17C	1134	669	617	391	63.37%	226	36.63%
SC18A	510	329	297	196	65.99%	101	34.01%
SC18B	663	462	426	264	61.97%	162	38.03%
SC18C	1850	1352	1251	789	63.07%	462	36.93%
SC19A	195	129	122	70	57.38%	52	42.62%
SC19B	1267	690	630	426	67.62%	204	32.38%
SC20	2197	1665	1541	968	62.82%	573	37.18%
SC211	1328	841	773	474	61.32%	299	38.68%
SC212	3378	1947	1779	1121	63.01%	658	36.99%
SC23A	3161	1730	1600	1090	68.13%	510	31.88%
SC23B	483	284	256	177	69.14%	79	30.86%
SC23C	2252	1302	1188	803	67.59%	385	32.41%
SC27	2129	1259	1149	777	67.62%	372	32.38%
SC29A	2784	1544	1403	899	64.08%	504	35.92%
SC30A	135	97	83	53	63.86%	30	36.14%
SC30B	960	531	460	296	64.35%	164	35.65%
Total	72034	45048	41380	26425	63.86%	14955	36.14%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
SC01A	20	25	20	13	65.00%	7	35.00%
SC01B	1707	1295	1220	714	58.52%	506	41.48%
SC01C	2063	1513	1375	851	61.89%	524	38.11%
SC01D	294	162	146	103	70.55%	43	29.45%
SC02	872	662	615	417	67.80%	198	32.20%
SC04	922	616	546	306	56.04%	240	43.96%
SC05A	646	409	371	241	64.96%	130	35.04%
SC05B	3204	2188	2003	1214	60.61%	789	39.39%
SC05D	2171	1428	1334	820	61.47%	514	38.53%
SC05E	623	434	404	245	60.64%	159	39.36%
SC07A	5595	3698	3441	1970	57.25%	1471	42.75%
SC07C	29	10	9	5	55.56%	4	44.44%
SC08B	3382	2008	1845	1123	60.87%	722	39.13%
SC08C	74	53	49	32	65.31%	17	34.69%
SC08D	499	314	287	181	63.07%	106	36.93%
SC08E	562	316	297	193	64.98%	104	35.02%
SC08F	700	366	339	210	61.95%	129	38.05%
SC08G	12	8	7	3	42.86%	4	57.14%
SC08H	4	3	3	2	66.67%	1	33.33%
SC09A	1628	839	764	507	66.36%	257	33.64%
SC09B	1687	1084	975	589	60.41%	386	39.59%
SC09C	1566	842	763	490	64.22%	273	35.78%
SC10	1678	661	598	405	67.73%	193	32.27%
SC11A	1411	813	757	493	65.13%	264	34.87%
SC11B	1506	878	825	555	67.27%	270	32.73%
SC13	2465	1636	1528	845	55.30%	683	44.70%
SC14A	916	429	393	246	62.60%	147	37.40%
SC14B	502	45	38	28	73.68%	10	26.32%
SC15	6193	4213	3898	2448	62.80%	1450	37.20%
SC16A	4601	3208	2948	1923	65.23%	1025	34.77%
SC16B	14	15	13	7	53.85%	6	46.15%
SC17A	55	42	38	28	73.68%	10	26.32%
SC17B	7	4	4	2	50.00%	2	50.00%
SC17C	1134	669	612	374	61.11%	238	38.89%
SC18A	510	329	301	187	62.13%	114	37.87%
SC18B	663	462	427	270	63.23%	157	36.77%
SC18C	1850	1352	1259	771	61.24%	488	38.76%
SC19A	195	129	122	67	54.92%	55	45.08%
SC19B	1267	690	630	418	66.35%	212	33.65%
SC20	2197	1665	1542	931	60.38%	611	39.62%
SC211	1328	841	772	475	61.53%	297	38.47%
SC212	3378	1947	1788	1104	61.74%	684	38.26%
SC23A	3161	1730	1604	1067	66.52%	537	33.48%
SC23B	483	284	256	167	65.23%	89	34.77%
SC23C	2252	1302	1194	803	67.25%	391	32.75%
SC27	2129	1259	1145	746	65.15%	399	34.85%
SC29A	2784	1544	1408	882	62.64%	526	37.36%
SC30A	135	97	85	55	64.71%	30	35.29%
SC30B	960	531	464	293	63.15%	171	36.85%
Total	72034	45048	41462	25819	62.27%	15643	37.73%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
SC01A	20	25	20	14	70.00%	6	30.00%
SC01B	1707	1295	1222	752	61.54%	470	38.46%
SC01C	2063	1513	1382	878	63.53%	504	36.47%
SC01D	294	162	147	94	63.95%	53	36.05%
SC02	872	662	614	416	67.75%	198	32.25%
SC04	922	616	557	323	57.99%	234	42.01%
SC05A	646	409	376	242	64.36%	134	35.64%
SC05B	3204	2188	2023	1264	62.48%	759	37.52%
SC05D	2171	1428	1336	828	61.98%	508	38.02%
SC05E	623	434	403	241	59.80%	162	40.20%
SC07A	5595	3698	3455	2029	58.73%	1426	41.27%
SC07C	29	10	9	7	77.78%	2	22.22%
SC08B	3382	2008	1849	1161	62.79%	688	37.21%
SC08C	74	53	48	30	62.50%	18	37.50%
SC08D	499	314	293	181	61.77%	112	38.23%
SC08E	562	316	300	192	64.00%	108	36.00%
SC08F	700	366	346	223	64.45%	123	35.55%
SC08G	12	8	7	4	57.14%	3	42.86%
SC08H	4	3	3	2	66.67%	1	33.33%
SC09A	1628	839	769	520	67.62%	249	32.38%
SC09B	1687	1084	978	619	63.29%	359	36.71%
SC09C	1566	842	773	509	65.85%	264	34.15%
SC10	1678	661	605	417	68.93%	188	31.07%
SC11A	1411	813	757	513	67.77%	244	32.23%
SC11B	1506	878	821	557	67.84%	264	32.16%
SC13	2465	1636	1528	855	55.96%	673	44.04%
SC14A	916	429	388	259	66.75%	129	33.25%
SC14B	502	45	37	27	72.97%	10	27.03%
SC15	6193	4213	3936	2513	63.85%	1423	36.15%
SC16A	4601	3208	2961	1996	67.41%	965	32.59%
SC16B	14	15	14	9	64.29%	5	35.71%
SC17A	55	42	39	28	71.79%	11	28.21%
SC17B	7	4	4	2	50.00%	2	50.00%
SC17C	1134	669	618	412	66.67%	206	33.33%
SC18A	510	329	295	187	63.39%	108	36.61%
SC18B	663	462	426	268	62.91%	158	37.09%
SC18C	1850	1352	1255	785	62.55%	470	37.45%
SC19A	195	129	123	70	56.91%	53	43.09%
SC19B	1267	690	633	427	67.46%	206	32.54%
SC20	2197	1665	1557	966	62.04%	591	37.96%
SC211	1328	841	775	485	62.58%	290	37.42%
SC212	3378	1947	1791	1128	62.98%	663	37.02%
SC23A	3161	1730	1623	1088	67.04%	535	32.96%
SC23B	483	284	259	182	70.27%	77	29.73%
SC23C	2252	1302	1202	812	67.55%	390	32.45%
SC27	2129	1259	1159	758	65.40%	401	34.60%
SC29A	2784	1544	1421	929	65.38%	492	34.62%
SC30A	135	97	84	60	71.43%	24	28.57%
SC30B	960	531	465	299	64.30%	166	35.70%
Total	72034	45048	41686	26561	63.72%	15125	36.28%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
SC01A	20	25	23	15	65.22%	8	34.78%
SC01B	1707	1295	1278	920	71.99%	358	28.01%
SC01C	2063	1513	1468	1044	71.12%	424	28.88%
SC01D	294	162	161	105	65.22%	56	34.78%
SC02	872	662	643	481	74.81%	162	25.19%
SC04	922	616	590	391	66.27%	199	33.73%
SC05A	646	409	399	294	73.68%	105	26.32%
SC05B	3204	2188	2129	1526	71.68%	603	28.32%
SC05D	2171	1428	1399	971	69.41%	428	30.59%
SC05E	623	434	425	268	63.06%	157	36.94%
SC07A	5595	3698	3615	2479	68.58%	1136	31.42%
SC07C	29	10	10	5	50.00%	5	50.00%
SC08B	3382	2008	1955	1376	70.38%	579	29.62%
SC08C	74	53	50	38	76.00%	12	24.00%
SC08D	499	314	306	237	77.45%	69	22.55%
SC08E	562	316	307	207	67.43%	100	32.57%
SC08F	700	366	358	254	70.95%	104	29.05%
SC08G	12	8	7	3	42.86%	4	57.14%
SC08H	4	3	3	1	33.33%	2	66.67%
SC09A	1628	839	810	579	71.48%	231	28.52%
SC09B	1687	1084	1043	761	72.96%	282	27.04%
SC09C	1566	842	819	569	69.47%	250	30.53%
SC10	1678	661	639	456	71.36%	183	28.64%
SC11A	1411	813	792	560	70.71%	232	29.29%
SC11B	1506	878	860	597	69.42%	263	30.58%
SC13	2465	1636	1594	1089	68.32%	505	31.68%
SC14A	916	429	414	293	70.77%	121	29.23%
SC14B	502	45	42	35	83.33%	7	16.67%
SC15	6193	4213	4113	2947	71.65%	1166	28.35%
SC16A	4601	3208	3139	2313	73.69%	826	26.31%
SC16B	14	15	14	11	78.57%	3	21.43%
SC17A	55	42	41	36	87.80%	5	12.20%
SC17B	7	4	4	3	75.00%	1	25.00%
SC17C	1134	669	649	476	73.34%	173	26.66%
SC18A	510	329	315	217	68.89%	98	31.11%
SC18B	663	462	450	312	69.33%	138	30.67%
SC18C	1850	1352	1322	943	71.33%	379	28.67%
SC19A	195	129	125	91	72.80%	34	27.20%
SC19B	1267	690	661	476	72.01%	185	27.99%
SC20	2197	1665	1632	1184	72.55%	448	27.45%
SC211	1328	841	820	574	70.00%	246	30.00%
SC212	3378	1947	1893	1348	71.21%	545	28.79%
SC23A	3161	1730	1681	1204	71.62%	477	28.38%
SC23B	483	284	276	201	72.83%	75	27.17%
SC23C	2252	1302	1263	865	68.49%	398	31.51%
SC27	2129	1259	1221	875	71.66%	346	28.34%
SC29A	2784	1544	1495	1044	69.83%	451	30.17%
SC30A	135	97	91	61	67.03%	30	32.97%
SC30B	960	531	516	360	69.77%	156	30.23%
Total	72034	45048	43860	31095	70.90%	12765	29.10%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

UNION CITY - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
UC01A	3622	1715	1681	1158	68.89%	523	31.11%
UC01B	395	210	204	144	70.59%	60	29.41%
UC01D	6	2	2	1	50.00%	1	50.00%
UC01E	285	206	203	138	67.98%	65	32.02%
UC02A	7513	4296	4178	2809	67.23%	1369	32.77%
UC02B	30	19	19	12	63.16%	7	36.84%
UC031	2399	1203	1164	733	62.97%	431	37.03%
UC032	292	186	186	123	66.13%	63	33.87%
Total	14542	7837	7637	5118	67.02%	2519	32.98%

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	70	01A	10	01A	0	813-01A	1	1	1	NP	NP
		80	01B	20	01B	0	813-01B	1	1	1	NP	NP
		90	01C & 01S	35	01C	0	813-01C	1	1	1	NP	NP
				120	01S	0	816-01S	3	3	3	NP	NP
		100	01D & 01E	40	01D	0	817-01D	2	2	1	NP	NP
				50	01E	0	813-01E	1	1	2	NP	NP
		120	01F	60	01F	0	817-01F	2	2	1	NP	NP
		130	01G	70	01G	0	813-01G	1	1	1	NP	NP
		131	01H, 02S, 05B, 05C & 05K	75	01H	0	818-01H	5	5	1	NP	NP
				250	02S	0	821-02S	5	5	4	NP	NP
				620	05B	0	837-05B	5	5	2	NP	NP
				630	05C	0	837-05C	5	5	3	NP	NP
				634	05K	0	837-05K	5	5	5	NP	NP
		140	01J & 12S	90	01J	0	813-01J	1	1	1	NP	NP
				1590	12S	0	531-12S	2	2	2	NP	NP
		150	01P	95	01P	0	813-01P	1	1	1	NP	NP
		170	01R	110	01R	0	813-01R	1	1	1	NP	NP
		190	01T	130	01T	0	813-01T	1	1	1	NP	NP
		200	02A, 02L1 & 02L2	140	02A	0	819-02A	4	4	3	NP	NP
				230	02L1	0	823-02L1	6	6	1	NP	NP
				240	02L2	0	824-02L2	7	7	2	NP	NP
		210	02B & 05D	150	02B	0	820-02B	12	12	1	NP	NP
				631	05D	0	838-05D	12	12	2	NP	NP
		220	02C & 05F	160	02C	0	821-02C	5	5	1	NP	NP
				632	05F	0	837-05F	5	5	2	NP	NP
		230	02D, 05J & 06F	170	02D	0	821-02D	5	5	1	NP	NP
				633	05J	0	837-05J	5	5	2	NP	NP
				690	06F	0	961-06F	2	2	3	NP	NP
		240	02E	180	02E	0	822-02E	1	1	1	NP	NP
		250	02F1 & 02F2	190	02F1	0	822-02F1	1	1	1	NP	NP
				200	02F2	0	821-02F2	5	5	2	NP	NP
		270	02G									

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	270	02G	210	02G	0	821-02G	5	5	1	NP	NP
		280	02J, 02K & 06G	220	02J	1	821-02J	5	5	1	NP	NP
						10	FED 5	90	90	1	NP	NP
						20	FED 6	91	91	1	NP	NP
						30	FED 11	92	92	1	NP	NP
						40	FED 13	93	93	1	NP	NP
				225	02K	0	821-02K	5	5	2	NP	NP
				700	06G	0	839-06G	5	5	3	NP	NP
		320	02W, 03F, 06L1 & 06L2	260	02W	0	825-02W	8	8	1	NP	NP
				350	03F	0	828-03F	8	8	4	NP	NP
				745	06L1	0	841-06L1	8	8	2	NP	NP
				746	06L2	0	844-06L2	17	17	3	NP	NP
		340	03A	280	03A	0	826-03A	7	7	1	NP	NP
		360	03B, 03H & 09I	300	03B	0	827-03B	9	9	1	NP	NP
				370	03H	0	829-03H	10	10	2	NP	NP
				1117	09I	0	970-09I	10	10	3	NP	NP
		380	03C	320	03C	0	827-03C	9	9	1	NP	NP
		390	03D, 04A & 04B	330	03D	0	826-03D	7	7	1	NP	NP
				470	04A	0	831-04A	7	7	2	NP	NP
				480	04B	0	832-04B	12	12	3	NP	NP
		400	03E	340	03E	0	826-03E	7	7	1	NP	NP
		420	03G	360	03G	0	826-03G	7	7	1	NP	NP
		421	03I & 04F	375	03I	0	826-03I	7	7	1	NP	NP
				500	04F	0	833-04F	11	11	2	NP	NP
		440	03L	380	03L	0	826-03L	7	7	1	NP	NP
		450	03M	390	03M	0	829-03M	10	10	1	NP	NP
		460	03N	400	03N	0	826-03N	7	7	1	NP	NP
		470	03P1A & 03P2	410	03P1A	0	828-03P1A	8	8	1	NP	NP
				420	03P2	0	830-03P2	11	11	2	NP	NP
		500	03S & 10F	440	03S	0	829-03S	10	10	1	NP	NP
				1190	10F	0	866-10F	23	23	2	NP	NP
		510	03T & 04J	450	03T	0	830-03T	11	11	1	NP	NP
				525	04J	0	833-04J	11	11	2	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	550	04C & 04D	485	04C	0	831-04C	7	7	2	NP	NP
				490	04D	0	831-04D	7	7	1	NP	NP
		570	04G & 04M	510	04G	0	832-04G	12	12	1	NP	NP
				550	04M	0	834-04M	5	5	2	NP	NP
		580	04I	520	04I	0	832-04I	12	12	1	NP	NP
		590	04K	530	04K	0	832-04K	12	12	1	NP	NP
		600	04L	540	04L	0	831-04L	7	7	1	NP	NP
		620	04S	560	04S	0	832-04S	12	12	1	NP	NP
		630	04T	570	04T	0	832-04T	12	12	1	NP	NP
		635	04V	575	04V	0	508-04V	82	82	1	NP	NP
		640	04W	580	04W	0	832-04W	12	12	1	NP	NP
		650	04X1, 04X2 & 10M	590	04X1	0	835-04X1	6	6	1	NP	NP
				600	04X2	0	831-04X2	7	7	2	NP	NP
				1240	10M	0	870-10M	6	6	3	NP	NP
		670	05A1 & 05A2	615	05A1	0	956-05A1	2	2	1	NP	NP
				617	05A2	0	836-05A2	1	1	2	NP	NP
		720	06B & 06J	660	06B	0	839-06B	5	5	1	NP	NP
				730	06J	0	840-06J	13	13	2	NP	NP
		730	06D & 06E	670	06D	0	840-06D	13	13	1	NP	NP
				680	06E	0	841-06E	8	8	2	NP	NP
		780	06I, 07E, 07J & 07N	720	06I	0	843-06I	14	14	1	NP	NP
				810	07E	0	848-07E	14	14	2	NP	NP
				850	07J	0	849-07J	17	17	3	NP	NP
				900	07N	0	848-07N	14	14	4	NP	NP
		785	06N, 06Q & 07H	747	06N	0	844-06N	17	17	1	NP	NP
				748	06Q	0	843-06Q	14	14	2	NP	NP
				840	07H	0	848-07H	14	14	3	NP	NP
		810	06R	750	06R	0	841-06R	8	8	1	NP	NP
		830	07A	770	07A	0	846-07A	15	15	1	NP	NP
		840	07B & 07C	780	07B	0	846-07B	15	15	1	NP	NP
				790	07C	0	847-07C	16	16	2	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	860	07D & 08M	800	07D	0	847-07D	16	16	1	NP	NP
				1020	08M	0	854-08M	16	16	2	NP	NP
		880	07F & 08L	820	07F	0	848-07F	14	14	1	NP	NP
				1010	08L	0	856-08L	22	22	2	NP	NP
		940	07M	880	07M	0	848-07M	14	14	1	NP	NP
		970	08A	910	08A	0	851-08A	18	18	1	NP	NP
		980	08B & 08C	920	08B	0	852-08B	19	19	1	NP	NP
				930	08C	0	852-08C	19	19	2	NP	NP
		990	08D, 08N1 & 08N2	940	08D	0	852-08D	19	19	3	NP	NP
				1030	08N1	0	852-08N1	19	19	1	NP	NP
				1035	08N2	0	857-08N2	15	15	2	NP	NP
		1010	08E	950	08E	0	853-08E	20	20	1	NP	NP
		1020	08F1	960	08F1	0	854-08F1	16	16	1	NP	NP
		1030	08G	970	08G	0	850-08G	14	14	1	NP	NP
		1040	08H & 08J	980	08H	0	850-08H	14	14	1	NP	NP
				990	08J	0	855-08J	21	21	2	NP	NP
		1060	08K	1000	08K	0	856-08K	22	22	1	NP	NP
		1100	08P, 09G & 09H	1040	08P	0	856-08P	22	22	1	NP	NP
				1110	09G	0	970-09G	10	10	3	NP	NP
				1116	09H	0	861-09H	22	22	2	NP	NP
		1110	09A	1050	09A	0	858-09A	23	23	1	NP	NP
		1120	09B	1060	09B	0	858-09B	23	23	1	NP	NP
		1130	09C & 10E	1070	09C	0	858-09C	23	23	1	NP	NP
				1180	10E	0	866-10E	23	23	2	NP	NP
		1140	09D	1080	09D	0	858-09D	23	23	1	NP	NP
		1150	09E	1090	09E	0	858-09E	23	23	1	NP	NP
		1160	09F	1100	09F	0	859-09F	24	24	1	NP	NP
		1180	09K	1120	09K	0	858-09K	23	23	1	NP	NP
		1200	09M	1140	09M	0	858-09M	23	23	1	NP	NP
		1210	10A	1150	10A	0	864-10A	25	25	1	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	1211	10B & 10I	1151	10B	0	865-10B	10	10	1	NP	NP
				1211	10I	0	868-10I	103	103	2	NP	NP
		1220	10C & 10D	1160	10C	0	864-10C	25	25	1	NP	NP
				1170	10D	0	866-10D	23	23	3	NP	NP
		1260	10G, 10H1, 10H2, 10K & 11H	1200	10G	0	867-10G	9	9	1	NP	NP
				1205	10H1	0	873-10H1	23	23	2	NP	NP
				1210	10H2	0	865-10H2	10	10	3	NP	NP
				1225	10K	0	870-10K	6	6	4	NP	NP
				1390	11H	0	878-11H	6	6	5	NP	NP
		1280	10J	1220	10J	0	869-10J	9	9	1	NP	NP
		1320	10P	1260	10P	0	866-10P	23	23	1	NP	NP
		1330	10R	1270	10R	0	873-10R	23	23	1	NP	NP
		1370	11B	1310	11B	0	520-11B	26	26	1	NP	NP
		1380	11C	1330	11C	0	874-11C	10	10	1	NP	NP
		1400	11E1, 11E2 & 11E3	1340	11E1	0	962-11E1	27	27	1	NP	NP
				1350	11E2	0	877-11E2	33	33	2	NP	NP
				1360	11E3	0	875-11E3	28	28	3	NP	NP
		1440	11G, 12I & 12L	1380	11G	0	529-11G	29	29	1	NP	NP
				1551	12I	0	886-12I	29	29	2	NP	NP
				1570	12L	0	531-12L	2	2	3	NP	NP
		1480	11J	1420	11J	0	974-11J	30	30	1	NP	NP
		1490	11K	1430	11K	0	880-11K	31	31	1	NP	NP
		1510	11M, 11N & 11R	1440	11M	0	881-11M	32	32	3	NP	NP
				1450	11N	0	877-11N	33	33	1	NP	NP
				1470	11R	0	880-11R	31	31	2	NP	NP
		1520	11P	1460	11P	0	877-11P	33	33	1	NP	NP
		1540	12A	1480	12A	0	531-12A	2	2	1	NP	NP
		1545	12D	1510	12D	0	885-12D	3	3	1	NP	NP
		1570	12E1, 12H1, 12H2 & 12J	1520	12E1	0	882-12E1	1	1	2	NP	NP
				1545	12H1	0	882-12H1	1	1	3	NP	NP
				1550	12H2	0	885-12H2	3	3	4	NP	NP
				1560	12J	0	885-12J	3	3	5	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	1590	12F	1530	12F	0	882-12F	1	1	1	NP	NP
		1600	12G	1540	12G	0	882-12G	1	1	1	NP	NP
		1621	12K & 12N	1561	12K	0	887-12K	82	82	1	NP	NP
				1581	12N	0	531-12N	2	2	2	NP	NP
		1640	12M	1580	12M	0	885-12M	3	3	1	NP	NP
		3450	Absentee by Mail 1	10	01A	0	813-01A	1	1	1	NP	NP
				20	01B	0	813-01B	1	1	2	NP	NP
				35	01C	0	813-01C	1	1	3	NP	NP
				40	01D	0	817-01D	2	2	4	NP	NP
				50	01E	0	813-01E	1	1	5	NP	NP
				60	01F	0	817-01F	2	2	6	NP	NP
				70	01G	0	813-01G	1	1	7	NP	NP
				75	01H	0	818-01H	5	5	8	NP	NP
				90	01J	0	813-01J	1	1	9	NP	NP
				95	01P	0	813-01P	1	1	10	NP	NP
				110	01R	0	813-01R	1	1	11	NP	NP
				120	01S	0	816-01S	3	3	12	NP	NP
				130	01T	0	813-01T	1	1	13	NP	NP
				140	02A	0	819-02A	4	4	14	NP	NP
				150	02B	0	820-02B	12	12	15	NP	NP
				160	02C	0	821-02C	5	5	16	NP	NP
				170	02D	0	821-02D	5	5	17	NP	NP
				180	02E	0	822-02E	1	1	18	NP	NP
				190	02F1	0	822-02F1	1	1	19	NP	NP
				200	02F2	0	821-02F2	5	5	20	NP	NP
		3540	Absentee by Mail 10	1789	AP14	0	731-AP14	34	34	23	NP	NP
				1790	CH01	0	950-CH01	40	40	1	NP	NP
				1800	CH02	0	951-CH02	40	40	2	NP	NP
				1810	CH03	0	952-CH03	40	40	3	NP	NP
				1820	CH04A	0	954-CH04A	40	40	4	NP	NP
				1830	CH05	0	955-CH05	40	40	5	NP	NP
				1841	CP01B	0	747-CP01B	42	42	6	NP	NP
				1842	CP011	0	742-CP011	41	41	7	NP	NP
				1850	CP012	0	749-CP012	41	41	8	NP	NP
				1860	CP02	0	942-CP02	42	42	9	NP	NP
				1880	CP04A	0	1025-CP04A	42	42	10	NP	NP
				1881	CP04B	0	751-CP04B	42	42	11	NP	NP
				1904	CP05B	0	752-CP05B	106	106	12	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3540	Absentee by Mail 10	1905	CP051	0	753-CP051	99	99	13	NP	NP
				1910	CP06A	0	754-CP06A	96	96	14	NP	NP
				1920	CP07B	0	943-CP07B	106	106	20	NP	NP
				1922	CP07C	0	889-CP07C	109	109	15	NP	NP
				1924	CP07D	0	753-CP07D	99	99	16	NP	NP
				1925	CP07E	0	889-CP07E	109	109	21	NP	NP
				1926	CP07F	0	753-CP07F	99	99	22	NP	NP
		3550	Absentee by Mail 11	1950	CP081	0	1024-CP081	89	89	22	NP	NP
				1952	CP083	0	965-CP083	89	89	24	NP	NP
				1955	CP084	0	1024-CP084	89	89	23	NP	NP
				1962	CP08A	0	965-CP08A	89	89	25	NP	NP
				1970	EP01A	1	978-EP01A	43	43	21	NP	NP
				1971	EP01B	0	979-EP01B	43	43	1	NP	NP
				1980	EP02A	1	760-EP02A	97	97	2	NP	NP
				1981	EP02B	0	929-EP02B	110	110	3	NP	NP
				1982	EP02C	0	971-EP02C	111	111	4	NP	NP
				1983	EP02D	0	986-EP02D	43	43	5	NP	NP
				1984	EP02E	0	987-EP02E	112	112	6	NP	NP
				1990	EP03A	1	980-EP03A	43	43	7	NP	NP
				1991	EP03B	0	897-EP03B	44	44	8	NP	NP
				2000	EP04A	1	765-EP04A	44	44	9	NP	NP
				2005	EP04B	0	766-EP04B	113	113	10	NP	NP
				2160	FA01A	0	767-FA01A	45	45	11	NP	NP
				2170	FA01B	0	767-FA01B	45	45	12	NP	NP
				2171	FA01C	0	768-FA01C	114	114	13	NP	NP
				2180	HP01	0	975-HP01	46	46	14	NP	NP
				2190	JC01	0	770-JC01	47	47	26	NP	NP
		3560	Absentee by Mail 12	2200	JC02	0	770-JC02	47	47	22	NP	NP
				2205	JC03A	0	771-JC03A	48	48	23	NP	NP
				2210	JC03B	0	770-JC03B	47	47	24	NP	NP
				2220	JC04A	0	771-JC04A	48	48	25	NP	NP
						10	1028-JC04A	48	48	25	NP	NP
				2230	JC04B	0	773-JC04B	49	49	21	NP	NP
				2240	JC05	0	770-JC05	47	47	1	NP	NP
				2250	JC06	0	770-JC06	47	47	2	NP	NP
				2260	JC07	0	770-JC07	47	47	3	NP	NP
				2270	JC08	0	770-JC08	47	47	4	NP	NP
				2280	JC09	0	770-JC09	47	47	5	NP	NP
				2290	JC10	0	770-JC10	47	47	6	NP	NP
				2300	JC11	0	770-JC11	47	47	7	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3560	Absentee by Mail 12	2310	JC12	0	770-JC12	47	47	8	NP	NP
				2330	JC13A	0	774-JC13A	50	50	9	NP	NP
				2340	JC13B	0	770-JC13B	47	47	10	NP	NP
				2350	JC14	0	776-JC14	98	98	11	NP	NP
				2360	JC15	0	777-JC15	51	51	12	NP	NP
				2370	JC16	0	777-JC16	51	51	13	NP	NP
				2390	JC18	0	778-JC18	83	83	14	NP	NP
				2400	JC19	0	778-JC19	83	83	15	NP	NP
		3570	Absentee by Mail 13	2410	ML011	0	890-ML011	84	84	21	NP	NP
				2411	ML012	0	891-ML012	84	84	22	NP	NP
				2412	ML01B	0	891-ML01B	84	84	23	NP	NP
				2413	ML021	0	893-ML021	52	52	24	NP	NP
				2430	ML022	0	892-ML022	53	53	25	NP	NP
				2440	ML023	0	894-ML023	54	54	1	NP	NP
				2441	ML024	0	895-ML024	55	55	2	NP	NP
				2450	ML03	0	895-ML03	55	55	3	NP	NP
				2460	ML04A	0	894-ML04A	54	54	4	NP	NP
				2461	ML04B	0	895-ML04B	55	55	5	NP	NP
				2462	ML04C	0	896-ML04C	55	55	6	NP	NP
				2470	ML05A	0	894-ML05A	54	54	7	NP	NP
				2471	ML05B	0	895-ML05B	55	55	8	NP	NP
				2472	ML05C	0	896-ML05C	55	55	9	NP	NP
				2480	ML06A	0	894-ML06A	54	54	10	NP	NP
				2481	ML06B	0	896-ML06B	55	55	11	NP	NP
				2482	ML071	0	896-ML071	55	55	12	NP	NP
				2483	ML072	0	895-ML072	55	55	13	NP	NP
				2490	ML07A	0	893-ML07A	52	52	14	NP	NP
				2500	MP01	0	922-MP01	56	56	15	NP	NP
		3580	Absentee by Mail 14	2510	PA01	0	924-PA01	45	45	21	NP	NP
				2520	RW01	0	779-RW01	57	57	22	NP	NP
				2530	RW02	0	779-RW02	57	57	23	NP	NP
				2540	RW03	0	779-RW03	57	57	24	NP	NP
				2560	RW04	0	780-RW04	58	58	25	NP	NP
				2570	RW05	0	779-RW05	57	57	1	NP	NP
				2580	RW06	0	780-RW06	58	58	2	NP	NP
				2590	RW07A	0	781-RW07A	59	59	3	NP	NP
				2591	RW07B	0	779-RW07B	57	57	4	NP	NP
				2610	RW08	0	779-RW08	57	57	5	NP	NP
				2620	RW09	0	782-RW09	60	60	6	NP	NP
				2630	RW10	0	779-RW10	57	57	7	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3580	Absentee by Mail 14	2640	RW11A	0	779-RW11A	57	57	8	NP	NP
				2650	RW12	0	782-RW12	60	60	9	NP	NP
				2680	RW13	0	925-RW13	59	59	10	NP	NP
				2700	RW16	0	779-RW16	57	57	11	NP	NP
				2710	RW17	0	781-RW17	59	59	12	NP	NP
				2730	RW19	0	782-RW19	60	60	13	NP	NP
				2740	RW20	0	780-RW20	58	58	14	NP	NP
				2750	RW21	0	780-RW21	58	58	15	NP	NP
		3590	Absentee by Mail 15	2770	RW22A	0	780-RW22A	58	58	26	NP	NP
				2772	SC01A	0	991-SC01A	61	61	21	NP	NP
				2780	SC01B	0	1027-SC01B	61	61	22	NP	NP
				2781	SC01C	0	991-SC01C	61	61	23	NP	NP
				2782	SC01D	0	784-SC01D	61	61	24	NP	NP
				2790	SC02	0	1004-SC02	62	62	25	NP	NP
				2800	SC04	0	993-SC04	63	63	1	NP	NP
				2810	SC05A	0	996-SC05A	63	63	2	NP	NP
				2811	SC05B	0	997-SC05B	88	88	3	NP	NP
				2812	SC05D	0	998-SC05D	107	107	4	NP	NP
				2813	SC05E	0	1014-SC05E	107	107	5	NP	NP
				2820	SC07A	0	993-SC07A	63	63	6	NP	NP
				2821	SC07C	0	1022-SC07C	63	63	7	NP	NP
				2831	SC08B	0	1016-SC08B	85	85	8	NP	NP
				2832	SC08C	0	999-SC08C	64	64	9	NP	NP
				2833	SC08D	0	1011-SC08D	66	66	10	NP	NP
				2834	SC08E	0	1010-SC08E	65	65	11	NP	NP
				2835	SC08F	0	1001-SC08F	86	86	12	NP	NP
				2836	SC08G	0	1015-SC08G	115	115	13	NP	NP
				2837	SC08H	0	1019-SC08H	115	115	14	NP	NP
		3600	Absentee by Mail 16	2840	SC09A	0	1011-SC09A	66	66	26	NP	NP
				2841	SC09B	0	1016-SC09B	85	85	21	NP	NP
				2842	SC09C	0	1012-SC09C	66	66	22	NP	NP
				2844	SC10	0	1011-SC10	66	66	23	NP	NP
				2861	SC11A	0	1012-SC11A	66	66	24	NP	NP
				2862	SC11B	0	1013-SC11B	85	85	25	NP	NP
				2863	SC13	0	993-SC13	63	63	1	NP	NP
				2890	SC14A	0	991-SC14A	61	61	2	NP	NP
				2891	SC14B	0	784-SC14B	61	61	3	NP	NP
				2895	SC15	0	992-SC15	107	107	4	NP	NP
				2900	SC16A	0	991-SC16A	61	61	5	NP	NP
				2901	SC16B	0	784-SC16B	61	61	6	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3600	Absentee by Mail 16	2920	SC17A	0	999-SC17A	64	64	7	NP	NP
				2921	SC17B	0	1001-SC17B	86	86	8	NP	NP
				2923	SC17C	0	1002-SC17C	87	87	9	NP	NP
				2930	SC18A	0	1003-SC18A	100	100	10	NP	NP
				2931	SC18B	0	994-SC18B	88	88	11	NP	NP
				2932	SC18C	0	997-SC18C	88	88	12	NP	NP
				2940	SC19A	0	999-SC19A	64	64	13	NP	NP
				2941	SC19B	0	1002-SC19B	87	87	14	NP	NP
		3610	Absentee by Mail 17	2945	SC20	0	994-SC20	88	88	34	NP	NP
				2950	SC211	0	1005-SC211	65	65	21	NP	NP
				2951	SC212	0	1006-SC212	65	65	22	NP	NP
				2960	SC23A	0	1012-SC23A	66	66	23	NP	NP
				2961	SC23B	0	1008-SC23B	85	85	24	NP	NP
				2962	SC23C	0	1007-SC23C	66	66	25	NP	NP
				2970	SC27	0	1012-SC27	66	66	1	NP	NP
				2980	SC29A	0	1005-SC29A	65	65	2	NP	NP
				2990	SC30A	0	1004-SC30A	62	62	3	NP	NP
				2991	SC30B	0	995-SC30B	62	62	4	NP	NP
				3000	SS01	0	898-SS01	67	67	35	NP	NP
				3010	SS02A	0	899-SS02A	68	68	36	NP	NP
				3020	SS02B	0	900-SS02B	69	69	37	NP	NP
				3030	SS03	0	900-SS03	69	69	38	NP	NP
				3040	SS04	0	901-SS04	69	69	39	NP	NP
				3050	SS05	0	902-SS05	70	70	40	NP	NP
				3060	SS06	0	903-SS06	72	72	41	NP	NP
				3070	SS07A	0	904-SS07A	71	71	42	NP	NP
				3080	SS07B	0	903-SS07B	72	72	43	NP	NP
				3090	SS07C	0	905-SS07C	73	73	44	NP	NP
		3820	Absentee by Mail 18	3100	SS08A	0	905-SS08A	73	73	36	NP	NP
				3110	SS08B	0	903-SS08B	72	72	21	NP	NP
				3120	SS08C	0	904-SS08C	71	71	22	NP	NP
				3121	SS08D	0	906-SS08D	73	73	23	NP	NP
				3130	SS09A	0	907-SS09A	74	74	24	NP	NP
				3131	SS09B	0	908-SS09B	75	75	25	NP	NP
				3150	SS11A	0	909-SS11A	72	72	1	NP	NP
				3160	SS11B	0	910-SS11B	72	72	2	NP	NP
				3170	SS11C	0	911-SS11C	73	73	3	NP	NP
				3180	SS11D	0	908-SS11D	75	75	4	NP	NP
				3190	SS12	0	905-SS12	73	73	37	NP	NP
				3200	SS13A	0	912-SS13A	75	75	38	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3820	Absentee by Mail 18	3210	SS13B	0	908-SS13B	75	75	39	NP	NP
				3220	SS14	0	913-SS14	76	76	40	NP	NP
				3230	SS15A	0	914-SS15A	77	77	41	NP	NP
				3240	SS15B	0	915-SS15B	77	77	42	NP	NP
				3250	SS16	0	902-SS16	70	70	43	NP	NP
				3260	SS17	0	898-SS17	67	67	44	NP	NP
				3270	SS18A	0	902-SS18A	70	70	45	NP	NP
				3280	SS18B	0	916-SS18B	70	70	46	NP	NP
		3850	Absentee by Mail 19	3281	SS19A	0	917-SS19A	78	78	25	NP	NP
				3290	SS19B	0	918-SS19B	68	68	10	NP	NP
				3300	SS20	0	915-SS20	77	77	11	NP	NP
				3310	SS22	0	917-SS22	78	78	12	NP	NP
				3330	SS26	0	919-SS26	77	77	13	NP	NP
				3340	SS29A	0	920-SS29A	79	79	14	NP	NP
				3350	SS31	0	903-SS31	72	72	1	NP	NP
				3360	UC01A	0	802-UC01A	80	80	26	NP	NP
				3365	UC01B	0	923-UC01B	101	101	27	NP	NP
				3368	UC01D	0	945-UC01D	105	105	28	NP	NP
				3369	UC01E	0	968-UC01E	108	108	29	NP	NP
				3370	UC02A	0	804-UC02A	80	80	30	NP	NP
				3375	UC02B	0	805-UC02B	102	102	31	NP	NP
				3380	UC031	0	806-UC031	81	81	32	NP	NP
				3390	UC032	0	807-UC032	81	81	33	NP	NP
		3460	Absentee by Mail 2	210	02G	0	821-02G	5	5	1	NP	NP
				220	02J	1	821-02J	5	5	2	NP	NP
						10	FED 5	90	90	2	NP	NP
						20	FED 6	91	91	2	NP	NP
						30	FED 11	92	92	2	NP	NP
						40	FED 13	93	93	2	NP	NP
				225	02K	0	821-02K	5	5	3	NP	NP
				230	02L1	0	823-02L1	6	6	4	NP	NP
				240	02L2	0	824-02L2	7	7	5	NP	NP
				250	02S	0	821-02S	5	5	6	NP	NP
				260	02W	0	825-02W	8	8	7	NP	NP
				280	03A	0	826-03A	7	7	8	NP	NP
				300	03B	0	827-03B	9	9	9	NP	NP
				320	03C	0	827-03C	9	9	10	NP	NP
				330	03D	0	826-03D	7	7	11	NP	NP
				340	03E	0	826-03E	7	7	12	NP	NP
				350	03F	0	828-03F	8	8	13	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3460	Absentee by Mail 2	360	03G	0	826-03G	7	7	14	NP	NP
				370	03H	0	829-03H	10	10	15	NP	NP
				375	03I	0	826-03I	7	7	16	NP	NP
				380	03L	0	826-03L	7	7	17	NP	NP
				390	03M	0	829-03M	10	10	18	NP	NP
				400	03N	0	826-03N	7	7	19	NP	NP
				410	03P1A	0	828-03P1A	8	8	20	NP	NP
		3470	Absentee by Mail 3	420	03P2	0	830-03P2	11	11	1	NP	NP
				440	03S	0	829-03S	10	10	2	NP	NP
				450	03T	0	830-03T	11	11	3	NP	NP
				470	04A	0	831-04A	7	7	4	NP	NP
				480	04B	0	832-04B	12	12	5	NP	NP
				485	04C	0	831-04C	7	7	6	NP	NP
				490	04D	0	831-04D	7	7	7	NP	NP
				500	04F	0	833-04F	11	11	8	NP	NP
				510	04G	0	832-04G	12	12	9	NP	NP
				520	04I	0	832-04I	12	12	10	NP	NP
				525	04J	0	833-04J	11	11	11	NP	NP
				530	04K	0	832-04K	12	12	12	NP	NP
				540	04L	0	831-04L	7	7	13	NP	NP
				550	04M	0	834-04M	5	5	14	NP	NP
				560	04S	0	832-04S	12	12	15	NP	NP
				570	04T	0	832-04T	12	12	16	NP	NP
				575	04V	0	508-04V	82	82	17	NP	NP
				580	04W	0	832-04W	12	12	18	NP	NP
				590	04X1	0	835-04X1	6	6	19	NP	NP
				600	04X2	0	831-04X2	7	7	20	NP	NP
		3480	Absentee by Mail 4	615	05A1	0	956-05A1	2	2	1	NP	NP
				617	05A2	0	836-05A2	1	1	2	NP	NP
				620	05B	0	837-05B	5	5	3	NP	NP
				630	05C	0	837-05C	5	5	4	NP	NP
				631	05D	0	838-05D	12	12	5	NP	NP
				632	05F	0	837-05F	5	5	6	NP	NP
				633	05J	0	837-05J	5	5	7	NP	NP
				634	05K	0	837-05K	5	5	8	NP	NP
				660	06B	0	839-06B	5	5	9	NP	NP
				670	06D	0	840-06D	13	13	10	NP	NP
				680	06E	0	841-06E	8	8	11	NP	NP
				690	06F	0	961-06F	2	2	12	NP	NP
				700	06G	0	839-06G	5	5	13	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3480	Absentee by Mail 4	720	06I	0	843-06I	14	14	14	NP	NP
				730	06J	0	840-06J	13	13	15	NP	NP
				745	06L1	0	841-06L1	8	8	16	NP	NP
				746	06L2	0	844-06L2	17	17	17	NP	NP
				747	06N	0	844-06N	17	17	18	NP	NP
				748	06Q	0	843-06Q	14	14	19	NP	NP
				750	06R	0	841-06R	8	8	20	NP	NP
		3490	Absentee by Mail 5	770	07A	0	846-07A	15	15	2	NP	NP
				780	07B	0	846-07B	15	15	3	NP	NP
				790	07C	0	847-07C	16	16	4	NP	NP
				800	07D	0	847-07D	16	16	5	NP	NP
				810	07E	0	848-07E	14	14	6	NP	NP
				820	07F	0	848-07F	14	14	7	NP	NP
				840	07H	0	848-07H	14	14	8	NP	NP
				850	07J	0	849-07J	17	17	9	NP	NP
				880	07M	0	848-07M	14	14	10	NP	NP
				900	07N	0	848-07N	14	14	11	NP	NP
				910	08A	0	851-08A	18	18	12	NP	NP
				920	08B	0	852-08B	19	19	13	NP	NP
				930	08C	0	852-08C	19	19	14	NP	NP
				940	08D	0	852-08D	19	19	15	NP	NP
				950	08E	0	853-08E	20	20	16	NP	NP
				960	08F1	0	854-08F1	16	16	17	NP	NP
				970	08G	0	850-08G	14	14	18	NP	NP
				980	08H	0	850-08H	14	14	19	NP	NP
				990	08J	0	855-08J	21	21	20	NP	NP
		3500	Absentee by Mail 6	1000	08K	0	856-08K	22	22	1	NP	NP
				1010	08L	0	856-08L	22	22	2	NP	NP
				1020	08M	0	854-08M	16	16	3	NP	NP
				1030	08N1	0	852-08N1	19	19	4	NP	NP
				1035	08N2	0	857-08N2	15	15	5	NP	NP
				1040	08P	0	856-08P	22	22	6	NP	NP
				1050	09A	0	858-09A	23	23	7	NP	NP
				1060	09B	0	858-09B	23	23	8	NP	NP
				1070	09C	0	858-09C	23	23	9	NP	NP
				1080	09D	0	858-09D	23	23	10	NP	NP
				1090	09E	0	858-09E	23	23	11	NP	NP
				1100	09F	0	859-09F	24	24	12	NP	NP
				1110	09G	0	970-09G	10	10	13	NP	NP
				1116	09H	0	861-09H	22	22	14	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3500	Absentee by Mail 6	1117	09I	0	970-09I	10	10	15	NP	NP
				1120	09K	0	858-09K	23	23	16	NP	NP
				1140	09M	0	858-09M	23	23	17	NP	NP
				1150	10A	0	864-10A	25	25	18	NP	NP
				1151	10B	0	865-10B	10	10	19	NP	NP
				1160	10C	0	864-10C	25	25	20	NP	NP
		3510	Absentee by Mail 7	1170	10D	0	866-10D	23	23	1	NP	NP
				1180	10E	0	866-10E	23	23	2	NP	NP
				1190	10F	0	866-10F	23	23	3	NP	NP
				1200	10G	0	867-10G	9	9	4	NP	NP
				1205	10H1	0	873-10H1	23	23	5	NP	NP
				1210	10H2	0	865-10H2	10	10	6	NP	NP
				1211	10I	0	868-10I	103	103	7	NP	NP
				1220	10J	0	869-10J	9	9	8	NP	NP
				1225	10K	0	870-10K	6	6	9	NP	NP
				1240	10M	0	870-10M	6	6	10	NP	NP
				1260	10P	0	866-10P	23	23	11	NP	NP
				1270	10R	0	873-10R	23	23	12	NP	NP
				1310	11B	0	520-11B	26	26	13	NP	NP
				1330	11C	0	874-11C	10	10	14	NP	NP
				1340	11E1	0	962-11E1	27	27	15	NP	NP
				1350	11E2	0	877-11E2	33	33	16	NP	NP
				1360	11E3	0	875-11E3	28	28	17	NP	NP
				1380	11G	0	529-11G	29	29	18	NP	NP
				1390	11H	0	878-11H	6	6	19	NP	NP
				1420	11J	0	974-11J	30	30	20	NP	NP
		3520	Absentee by Mail 8	1430	11K	0	880-11K	31	31	1	NP	NP
				1440	11M	0	881-11M	32	32	2	NP	NP
				1450	11N	0	877-11N	33	33	3	NP	NP
				1460	11P	0	877-11P	33	33	4	NP	NP
				1470	11R	0	880-11R	31	31	5	NP	NP
				1480	12A	0	531-12A	2	2	6	NP	NP
				1510	12D	0	885-12D	3	3	7	NP	NP
				1520	12E1	0	882-12E1	1	1	8	NP	NP
				1530	12F	0	882-12F	1	1	9	NP	NP
				1540	12G	0	882-12G	1	1	10	NP	NP
				1545	12H1	0	882-12H1	1	1	11	NP	NP
				1550	12H2	0	885-12H2	3	3	12	NP	NP
				1551	12I	0	886-12I	29	29	13	NP	NP
				1560	12J	0	885-12J	3	3	14	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3520	Absentee by Mail 8	1561	12K	0	887-12K	82	82	15	NP	NP
				1570	12L	0	531-12L	2	2	16	NP	NP
				1580	12M	0	885-12M	3	3	17	NP	NP
				1581	12N	0	531-12N	2	2	18	NP	NP
				1590	12S	0	531-12S	2	2	19	NP	NP
		3530	Absentee by Mail 9	1610	AP01A	0	745-AP01A	34	34	21	NP	NP
				1620	AP01B	0	730-AP01B	34	34	1	NP	NP
				1630	AP01C	0	731-AP01C	34	34	2	NP	NP
				1631	AP01D	0	732-AP01D	34	34	3	NP	NP
				1641	AP02B	0	735-AP02B	35	35	4	NP	NP
				1650	AP03	0	735-AP03	35	35	5	NP	NP
				1660	AP04A	0	736-AP04A	36	36	6	NP	NP
				1661	AP04B	0	738-AP04B	36	36	7	NP	NP
				1670	AP05	0	739-AP05	37	37	8	NP	NP
				1680	AP06	0	740-AP06	38	38	9	NP	NP
				1690	AP07A	0	740-AP07A	38	38	10	NP	NP
				1700	AP07B	0	741-AP07B	95	95	11	NP	NP
				1710	AP09A	0	739-AP09A	37	37	12	NP	NP
				1720	AP09B	0	743-AP09B	39	39	13	NP	NP
				1721	AP021	0	734-AP021	94	94	14	NP	NP
				1722	AP022	0	737-AP022	94	94	15	NP	NP
				1730	AP10	0	740-AP10	38	38	16	NP	NP
				1740	AP12A	0	739-AP12A	37	37	17	NP	NP
				1741	AP12B	0	744-AP12B	104	104	18	NP	NP
				1742	AP12C	0	740-AP12C	38	38	19	NP	NP
		3441	Advance in Person 1	10	01A	0	813-01A	1	1	1	NP	NP
				20	01B	0	813-01B	1	1	2	NP	NP
				35	01C	0	813-01C	1	1	3	NP	NP
				40	01D	0	817-01D	2	2	4	NP	NP
				50	01E	0	813-01E	1	1	5	NP	NP
				60	01F	0	817-01F	2	2	6	NP	NP
				70	01G	0	813-01G	1	1	7	NP	NP
				75	01H	0	818-01H	5	5	8	NP	NP
				90	01J	0	813-01J	1	1	9	NP	NP
				95	01P	0	813-01P	1	1	10	NP	NP
				110	01R	0	813-01R	1	1	11	NP	NP
				120	01S	0	816-01S	3	3	12	NP	NP
				130	01T	0	813-01T	1	1	13	NP	NP
				140	02A	0	819-02A	4	4	14	NP	NP
				150	02B	0	820-02B	12	12	15	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3441	Advance in Person 1	160	02C	0	821-02C	5	5	16	NP	NP
				170	02D	0	821-02D	5	5	17	NP	NP
				180	02E	0	822-02E	1	1	18	NP	NP
				190	02F1	0	822-02F1	1	1	19	NP	NP
				200	02F2	0	821-02F2	5	5	20	NP	NP
				210	02G	0	821-02G	5	5	21	NP	NP
				220	02J	1	821-02J	5	5	22	NP	NP
						10	FED 5	90	90	22	NP	NP
						20	FED 6	91	91	22	NP	NP
						30	FED 11	92	92	22	NP	NP
						40	FED 13	93	93	22	NP	NP
				225	02K	0	821-02K	5	5	23	NP	NP
				230	02L1	0	823-02L1	6	6	24	NP	NP
				240	02L2	0	824-02L2	7	7	25	NP	NP
				250	02S	0	821-02S	5	5	26	NP	NP
				260	02W	0	825-02W	8	8	27	NP	NP
				280	03A	0	826-03A	7	7	28	NP	NP
				300	03B	0	827-03B	9	9	29	NP	NP
				320	03C	0	827-03C	9	9	30	NP	NP
				330	03D	0	826-03D	7	7	31	NP	NP
				340	03E	0	826-03E	7	7	32	NP	NP
				350	03F	0	828-03F	8	8	33	NP	NP
				360	03G	0	826-03G	7	7	34	NP	NP
				370	03H	0	829-03H	10	10	35	NP	NP
				375	03I	0	826-03I	7	7	36	NP	NP
				380	03L	0	826-03L	7	7	37	NP	NP
				390	03M	0	829-03M	10	10	38	NP	NP
				400	03N	0	826-03N	7	7	39	NP	NP
				410	03P1A	0	828-03P1A	8	8	40	NP	NP
				420	03P2	0	830-03P2	11	11	41	NP	NP
				440	03S	0	829-03S	10	10	42	NP	NP
				450	03T	0	830-03T	11	11	43	NP	NP
				470	04A	0	831-04A	7	7	44	NP	NP
				480	04B	0	832-04B	12	12	45	NP	NP
				485	04C	0	831-04C	7	7	46	NP	NP
				490	04D	0	831-04D	7	7	47	NP	NP
				500	04F	0	833-04F	11	11	48	NP	NP
				510	04G	0	832-04G	12	12	49	NP	NP
				520	04I	0	832-04I	12	12	50	NP	NP
				525	04J	0	833-04J	11	11	51	NP	NP
				530	04K	0	832-04K	12	12	52	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3441	Advance in Person 1	540	04L	0	831-04L	7	7	53	NP	NP
				550	04M	0	834-04M	5	5	54	NP	NP
				560	04S	0	832-04S	12	12	55	NP	NP
				570	04T	0	832-04T	12	12	56	NP	NP
				575	04V	0	508-04V	82	82	57	NP	NP
				580	04W	0	832-04W	12	12	58	NP	NP
				590	04X1	0	835-04X1	6	6	59	NP	NP
				600	04X2	0	831-04X2	7	7	60	NP	NP
				615	05A1	0	956-05A1	2	2	61	NP	NP
				617	05A2	0	836-05A2	1	1	62	NP	NP
				620	05B	0	837-05B	5	5	63	NP	NP
				630	05C	0	837-05C	5	5	64	NP	NP
				631	05D	0	838-05D	12	12	65	NP	NP
				632	05F	0	837-05F	5	5	66	NP	NP
				633	05J	0	837-05J	5	5	67	NP	NP
				634	05K	0	837-05K	5	5	68	NP	NP
				660	06B	0	839-06B	5	5	69	NP	NP
				670	06D	0	840-06D	13	13	70	NP	NP
				680	06E	0	841-06E	8	8	71	NP	NP
				690	06F	0	961-06F	2	2	72	NP	NP
				700	06G	0	839-06G	5	5	73	NP	NP
				720	06I	0	843-06I	14	14	74	NP	NP
				730	06J	0	840-06J	13	13	75	NP	NP
				745	06L1	0	841-06L1	8	8	76	NP	NP
				746	06L2	0	844-06L2	17	17	77	NP	NP
				747	06N	0	844-06N	17	17	78	NP	NP
				748	06Q	0	843-06Q	14	14	79	NP	NP
				750	06R	0	841-06R	8	8	80	NP	NP
				770	07A	0	846-07A	15	15	81	NP	NP
				780	07B	0	846-07B	15	15	82	NP	NP
				790	07C	0	847-07C	16	16	83	NP	NP
				800	07D	0	847-07D	16	16	84	NP	NP
				810	07E	0	848-07E	14	14	85	NP	NP
				820	07F	0	848-07F	14	14	86	NP	NP
				840	07H	0	848-07H	14	14	87	NP	NP
				850	07J	0	849-07J	17	17	88	NP	NP
				880	07M	0	848-07M	14	14	89	NP	NP
				900	07N	0	848-07N	14	14	90	NP	NP
				910	08A	0	851-08A	18	18	91	NP	NP
				920	08B	0	852-08B	19	19	92	NP	NP
				930	08C	0	852-08C	19	19	93	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3441	Advance in Person 1	940	08D	0	852-08D	19	19	94	NP	NP
				950	08E	0	853-08E	20	20	95	NP	NP
				960	08F1	0	854-08F1	16	16	96	NP	NP
				970	08G	0	850-08G	14	14	97	NP	NP
				980	08H	0	850-08H	14	14	98	NP	NP
				990	08J	0	855-08J	21	21	99	NP	NP
				1000	08K	0	856-08K	22	22	100	NP	NP
				1010	08L	0	856-08L	22	22	101	NP	NP
				1020	08M	0	854-08M	16	16	102	NP	NP
				1030	08N1	0	852-08N1	19	19	103	NP	NP
				1035	08N2	0	857-08N2	15	15	104	NP	NP
				1040	08P	0	856-08P	22	22	105	NP	NP
				1050	09A	0	858-09A	23	23	106	NP	NP
				1060	09B	0	858-09B	23	23	107	NP	NP
				1070	09C	0	858-09C	23	23	108	NP	NP
				1080	09D	0	858-09D	23	23	109	NP	NP
				1090	09E	0	858-09E	23	23	110	NP	NP
				1100	09F	0	859-09F	24	24	111	NP	NP
				1110	09G	0	970-09G	10	10	112	NP	NP
				1116	09H	0	861-09H	22	22	113	NP	NP
				1117	09I	0	970-09I	10	10	114	NP	NP
				1120	09K	0	858-09K	23	23	115	NP	NP
				1140	09M	0	858-09M	23	23	116	NP	NP
				1150	10A	0	864-10A	25	25	117	NP	NP
				1151	10B	0	865-10B	10	10	118	NP	NP
				1160	10C	0	864-10C	25	25	119	NP	NP
				1170	10D	0	866-10D	23	23	120	NP	NP
				1180	10E	0	866-10E	23	23	121	NP	NP
				1190	10F	0	866-10F	23	23	122	NP	NP
				1200	10G	0	867-10G	9	9	123	NP	NP
				1205	10H1	0	873-10H1	23	23	124	NP	NP
				1210	10H2	0	865-10H2	10	10	125	NP	NP
				1211	10I	0	868-10I	103	103	126	NP	NP
				1220	10J	0	869-10J	9	9	127	NP	NP
				1225	10K	0	870-10K	6	6	128	NP	NP
				1240	10M	0	870-10M	6	6	129	NP	NP
				1260	10P	0	866-10P	23	23	130	NP	NP
				1270	10R	0	873-10R	23	23	131	NP	NP
				1310	11B	0	520-11B	26	26	132	NP	NP
				1330	11C	0	874-11C	10	10	133	NP	NP
				1340	11E1	0	962-11E1	27	27	134	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3441	Advance in Person 1	1350	11E2	0	877-11E2	33	33	135	NP	NP
				1360	11E3	0	875-11E3	28	28	136	NP	NP
				1380	11G	0	529-11G	29	29	137	NP	NP
				1390	11H	0	878-11H	6	6	138	NP	NP
				1420	11J	0	974-11J	30	30	139	NP	NP
				1430	11K	0	880-11K	31	31	140	NP	NP
				1440	11M	0	881-11M	32	32	141	NP	NP
				1450	11N	0	877-11N	33	33	142	NP	NP
				1460	11P	0	877-11P	33	33	143	NP	NP
				1470	11R	0	880-11R	31	31	144	NP	NP
				1480	12A	0	531-12A	2	2	145	NP	NP
				1510	12D	0	885-12D	3	3	146	NP	NP
				1520	12E1	0	882-12E1	1	1	147	NP	NP
				1530	12F	0	882-12F	1	1	148	NP	NP
				1540	12G	0	882-12G	1	1	149	NP	NP
				1545	12H1	0	882-12H1	1	1	150	NP	NP
				1550	12H2	0	885-12H2	3	3	151	NP	NP
				1551	12I	0	886-12I	29	29	152	NP	NP
				1560	12J	0	885-12J	3	3	153	NP	NP
				1561	12K	0	887-12K	82	82	154	NP	NP
				1570	12L	0	531-12L	2	2	155	NP	NP
				1580	12M	0	885-12M	3	3	156	NP	NP
				1581	12N	0	531-12N	2	2	157	NP	NP
				1590	12S	0	531-12S	2	2	158	NP	NP
				1610	AP01A	0	745-AP01A	34	34	159	NP	NP
				1620	AP01B	0	730-AP01B	34	34	160	NP	NP
				1630	AP01C	0	731-AP01C	34	34	161	NP	NP
				1631	AP01D	0	732-AP01D	34	34	162	NP	NP
				1641	AP02B	0	735-AP02B	35	35	163	NP	NP
				1650	AP03	0	735-AP03	35	35	164	NP	NP
				1660	AP04A	0	736-AP04A	36	36	165	NP	NP
				1661	AP04B	0	738-AP04B	36	36	166	NP	NP
				1670	AP05	0	739-AP05	37	37	167	NP	NP
				1680	AP06	0	740-AP06	38	38	168	NP	NP
				1690	AP07A	0	740-AP07A	38	38	169	NP	NP
				1700	AP07B	0	741-AP07B	95	95	170	NP	NP
				1710	AP09A	0	739-AP09A	37	37	171	NP	NP
				1720	AP09B	0	743-AP09B	39	39	172	NP	NP
				1721	AP021	0	734-AP021	94	94	173	NP	NP
				1722	AP022	0	737-AP022	94	94	174	NP	NP
				1730	AP10	0	740-AP10	38	38	175	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3441	Advance in Person 1	1740	AP12A	0	739-AP12A	37	37	176	NP	NP
				1741	AP12B	0	744-AP12B	104	104	177	NP	NP
				1742	AP12C	0	740-AP12C	38	38	178	NP	NP
				1789	AP14	0	731-AP14	34	34	179	NP	NP
				1790	CH01	0	950-CH01	40	40	180	NP	NP
				1800	CH02	0	951-CH02	40	40	181	NP	NP
				1810	CH03	0	952-CH03	40	40	182	NP	NP
				1820	CH04A	0	954-CH04A	40	40	183	NP	NP
				1830	CH05	0	955-CH05	40	40	184	NP	NP
				1841	CP01B	0	747-CP01B	42	42	185	NP	NP
				1842	CP011	0	742-CP011	41	41	186	NP	NP
				1850	CP012	0	749-CP012	41	41	187	NP	NP
				1860	CP02	0	942-CP02	42	42	188	NP	NP
				1880	CP04A	0	1025-CP04A	42	42	189	NP	NP
				1881	CP04B	0	751-CP04B	42	42	190	NP	NP
				1904	CP05B	0	752-CP05B	106	106	191	NP	NP
				1905	CP051	0	753-CP051	99	99	192	NP	NP
				1910	CP06A	0	754-CP06A	96	96	193	NP	NP
				1920	CP07B	0	943-CP07B	106	106	194	NP	NP
				1922	CP07C	0	889-CP07C	109	109	195	NP	NP
				1924	CP07D	0	753-CP07D	99	99	196	NP	NP
				1925	CP07E	0	889-CP07E	109	109	197	NP	NP
				1926	CP07F	0	753-CP07F	99	99	198	NP	NP
				1950	CP081	0	1024-CP081	89	89	199	NP	NP
				1952	CP083	0	965-CP083	89	89	201	NP	NP
				1955	CP084	0	1024-CP084	89	89	200	NP	NP
				1962	CP08A	0	965-CP08A	89	89	202	NP	NP
				1970	EP01A	1	978-EP01A	43	43	203	NP	NP
				1971	EP01B	0	979-EP01B	43	43	204	NP	NP
				1980	EP02A	1	760-EP02A	97	97	205	NP	NP
				1981	EP02B	0	929-EP02B	110	110	206	NP	NP
				1982	EP02C	0	971-EP02C	111	111	207	NP	NP
				1983	EP02D	0	986-EP02D	43	43	208	NP	NP
				1984	EP02E	0	987-EP02E	112	112	209	NP	NP
				1990	EP03A	1	980-EP03A	43	43	210	NP	NP
				1991	EP03B	0	897-EP03B	44	44	211	NP	NP
				2000	EP04A	1	765-EP04A	44	44	212	NP	NP
				2005	EP04B	0	766-EP04B	113	113	213	NP	NP
				2160	FA01A	0	767-FA01A	45	45	214	NP	NP
				2170	FA01B	0	767-FA01B	45	45	215	NP	NP
				2171	FA01C	0	768-FA01C	114	114	216	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3441	Advance in Person 1	2180	HP01	0	975-HP01	46	46	217	NP	NP
				2190	JC01	0	770-JC01	47	47	218	NP	NP
				2200	JC02	0	770-JC02	47	47	219	NP	NP
				2205	JC03A	0	771-JC03A	48	48	220	NP	NP
				2210	JC03B	0	770-JC03B	47	47	221	NP	NP
				2220	JC04A	0	771-JC04A	48	48	222	NP	NP
						10	1028-JC04A	48	48	222	NP	NP
				2230	JC04B	0	773-JC04B	49	49	223	NP	NP
				2240	JC05	0	770-JC05	47	47	224	NP	NP
				2250	JC06	0	770-JC06	47	47	225	NP	NP
				2260	JC07	0	770-JC07	47	47	226	NP	NP
				2270	JC08	0	770-JC08	47	47	227	NP	NP
				2280	JC09	0	770-JC09	47	47	228	NP	NP
				2290	JC10	0	770-JC10	47	47	229	NP	NP
				2300	JC11	0	770-JC11	47	47	230	NP	NP
				2310	JC12	0	770-JC12	47	47	231	NP	NP
				2330	JC13A	0	774-JC13A	50	50	232	NP	NP
				2340	JC13B	0	770-JC13B	47	47	233	NP	NP
				2350	JC14	0	776-JC14	98	98	234	NP	NP
				2360	JC15	0	777-JC15	51	51	235	NP	NP
				2370	JC16	0	777-JC16	51	51	236	NP	NP
				2390	JC18	0	778-JC18	83	83	237	NP	NP
				2400	JC19	0	778-JC19	83	83	238	NP	NP
				2410	ML011	0	890-ML011	84	84	239	NP	NP
				2411	ML012	0	891-ML012	84	84	240	NP	NP
				2412	ML01B	0	891-ML01B	84	84	241	NP	NP
				2413	ML021	0	893-ML021	52	52	242	NP	NP
				2430	ML022	0	892-ML022	53	53	243	NP	NP
				2440	ML023	0	894-ML023	54	54	244	NP	NP
				2441	ML024	0	895-ML024	55	55	245	NP	NP
				2450	ML03	0	895-ML03	55	55	246	NP	NP
				2460	ML04A	0	894-ML04A	54	54	247	NP	NP
				2461	ML04B	0	895-ML04B	55	55	248	NP	NP
				2462	ML04C	0	896-ML04C	55	55	249	NP	NP
				2470	ML05A	0	894-ML05A	54	54	250	NP	NP
				2471	ML05B	0	895-ML05B	55	55	251	NP	NP
				2472	ML05C	0	896-ML05C	55	55	252	NP	NP
				2480	ML06A	0	894-ML06A	54	54	253	NP	NP
				2481	ML06B	0	896-ML06B	55	55	254	NP	NP
				2482	ML071	0	896-ML071	55	55	255	NP	NP
				2483	ML072	0	895-ML072	55	55	256	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3441	Advance in Person 1	2490	ML07A	0	893-ML07A	52	52	257	NP	NP
				2500	MP01	0	922-MP01	56	56	258	NP	NP
				2510	PA01	0	924-PA01	45	45	259	NP	NP
				2520	RW01	0	779-RW01	57	57	260	NP	NP
				2530	RW02	0	779-RW02	57	57	261	NP	NP
				2540	RW03	0	779-RW03	57	57	262	NP	NP
				2560	RW04	0	780-RW04	58	58	263	NP	NP
				2570	RW05	0	779-RW05	57	57	264	NP	NP
				2580	RW06	0	780-RW06	58	58	265	NP	NP
				2590	RW07A	0	781-RW07A	59	59	266	NP	NP
				2591	RW07B	0	779-RW07B	57	57	267	NP	NP
				2610	RW08	0	779-RW08	57	57	268	NP	NP
				2620	RW09	0	782-RW09	60	60	269	NP	NP
				2630	RW10	0	779-RW10	57	57	270	NP	NP
				2640	RW11A	0	779-RW11A	57	57	271	NP	NP
				2650	RW12	0	782-RW12	60	60	272	NP	NP
				2680	RW13	0	925-RW13	59	59	273	NP	NP
				2700	RW16	0	779-RW16	57	57	274	NP	NP
				2710	RW17	0	781-RW17	59	59	275	NP	NP
				2730	RW19	0	782-RW19	60	60	276	NP	NP
				2740	RW20	0	780-RW20	58	58	277	NP	NP
				2750	RW21	0	780-RW21	58	58	278	NP	NP
				2770	RW22A	0	780-RW22A	58	58	279	NP	NP
				2772	SC01A	0	991-SC01A	61	61	280	NP	NP
				2780	SC01B	0	1027-SC01B	61	61	281	NP	NP
				2781	SC01C	0	991-SC01C	61	61	282	NP	NP
				2782	SC01D	0	784-SC01D	61	61	283	NP	NP
				2790	SC02	0	1004-SC02	62	62	284	NP	NP
				2800	SC04	0	993-SC04	63	63	285	NP	NP
				2810	SC05A	0	996-SC05A	63	63	286	NP	NP
				2811	SC05B	0	997-SC05B	88	88	287	NP	NP
				2812	SC05D	0	998-SC05D	107	107	288	NP	NP
				2813	SC05E	0	1014-SC05E	107	107	289	NP	NP
				2820	SC07A	0	993-SC07A	63	63	290	NP	NP
				2821	SC07C	0	1022-SC07C	63	63	291	NP	NP
				2831	SC08B	0	1016-SC08B	85	85	292	NP	NP
				2832	SC08C	0	999-SC08C	64	64	293	NP	NP
				2833	SC08D	0	1011-SC08D	66	66	294	NP	NP
				2834	SC08E	0	1010-SC08E	65	65	295	NP	NP
				2835	SC08F	0	1001-SC08F	86	86	296	NP	NP
				2836	SC08G	0	1015-SC08G	115	115	297	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3441	Advance in Person 1	2837	SC08H	0	1019-SC08H	115	115	298	NP	NP
				2840	SC09A	0	1011-SC09A	66	66	299	NP	NP
				2841	SC09B	0	1016-SC09B	85	85	300	NP	NP
				2842	SC09C	0	1012-SC09C	66	66	301	NP	NP
				2844	SC10	0	1011-SC10	66	66	302	NP	NP
				2861	SC11A	0	1012-SC11A	66	66	303	NP	NP
				2862	SC11B	0	1013-SC11B	85	85	304	NP	NP
				2863	SC13	0	993-SC13	63	63	305	NP	NP
				2890	SC14A	0	991-SC14A	61	61	306	NP	NP
				2891	SC14B	0	784-SC14B	61	61	307	NP	NP
				2895	SC15	0	992-SC15	107	107	308	NP	NP
				2900	SC16A	0	991-SC16A	61	61	309	NP	NP
				2901	SC16B	0	784-SC16B	61	61	310	NP	NP
				2920	SC17A	0	999-SC17A	64	64	311	NP	NP
				2921	SC17B	0	1001-SC17B	86	86	312	NP	NP
				2923	SC17C	0	1002-SC17C	87	87	313	NP	NP
				2930	SC18A	0	1003-SC18A	100	100	314	NP	NP
				2931	SC18B	0	994-SC18B	88	88	315	NP	NP
				2932	SC18C	0	997-SC18C	88	88	316	NP	NP
				2940	SC19A	0	999-SC19A	64	64	317	NP	NP
				2941	SC19B	0	1002-SC19B	87	87	318	NP	NP
				2945	SC20	0	994-SC20	88	88	319	NP	NP
				2950	SC211	0	1005-SC211	65	65	320	NP	NP
				2951	SC212	0	1006-SC212	65	65	321	NP	NP
				2960	SC23A	0	1012-SC23A	66	66	322	NP	NP
				2961	SC23B	0	1008-SC23B	85	85	323	NP	NP
				2962	SC23C	0	1007-SC23C	66	66	324	NP	NP
				2970	SC27	0	1012-SC27	66	66	325	NP	NP
				2980	SC29A	0	1005-SC29A	65	65	326	NP	NP
				2990	SC30A	0	1004-SC30A	62	62	327	NP	NP
				2991	SC30B	0	995-SC30B	62	62	328	NP	NP
				3000	SS01	0	898-SS01	67	67	329	NP	NP
				3010	SS02A	0	899-SS02A	68	68	330	NP	NP
				3020	SS02B	0	900-SS02B	69	69	331	NP	NP
				3030	SS03	0	900-SS03	69	69	332	NP	NP
				3040	SS04	0	901-SS04	69	69	333	NP	NP
				3050	SS05	0	902-SS05	70	70	334	NP	NP
				3060	SS06	0	903-SS06	72	72	335	NP	NP
				3070	SS07A	0	904-SS07A	71	71	336	NP	NP
				3080	SS07B	0	903-SS07B	72	72	337	NP	NP
				3090	SS07C	0	905-SS07C	73	73	338	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3441	Advance in Person 1	3100	SS08A	0	905-SS08A	73	73	339	NP	NP
				3110	SS08B	0	903-SS08B	72	72	340	NP	NP
				3120	SS08C	0	904-SS08C	71	71	341	NP	NP
				3121	SS08D	0	906-SS08D	73	73	342	NP	NP
				3130	SS09A	0	907-SS09A	74	74	343	NP	NP
				3131	SS09B	0	908-SS09B	75	75	344	NP	NP
				3150	SS11A	0	909-SS11A	72	72	345	NP	NP
				3160	SS11B	0	910-SS11B	72	72	346	NP	NP
				3170	SS11C	0	911-SS11C	73	73	347	NP	NP
				3180	SS11D	0	908-SS11D	75	75	348	NP	NP
				3190	SS12	0	905-SS12	73	73	349	NP	NP
				3200	SS13A	0	912-SS13A	75	75	350	NP	NP
				3210	SS13B	0	908-SS13B	75	75	351	NP	NP
				3220	SS14	0	913-SS14	76	76	352	NP	NP
				3230	SS15A	0	914-SS15A	77	77	353	NP	NP
				3240	SS15B	0	915-SS15B	77	77	354	NP	NP
				3250	SS16	0	902-SS16	70	70	355	NP	NP
				3260	SS17	0	898-SS17	67	67	356	NP	NP
				3270	SS18A	0	902-SS18A	70	70	357	NP	NP
				3280	SS18B	0	916-SS18B	70	70	358	NP	NP
				3281	SS19A	0	917-SS19A	78	78	359	NP	NP
				3290	SS19B	0	918-SS19B	68	68	360	NP	NP
				3300	SS20	0	915-SS20	77	77	361	NP	NP
				3310	SS22	0	917-SS22	78	78	362	NP	NP
				3330	SS26	0	919-SS26	77	77	363	NP	NP
				3340	SS29A	0	920-SS29A	79	79	364	NP	NP
				3350	SS31	0	903-SS31	72	72	365	NP	NP
				3360	UC01A	0	802-UC01A	80	80	366	NP	NP
				3365	UC01B	0	923-UC01B	101	101	367	NP	NP
				3368	UC01D	0	945-UC01D	105	105	368	NP	NP
				3369	UC01E	0	968-UC01E	108	108	369	NP	NP
				3370	UC02A	0	804-UC02A	80	80	370	NP	NP
				3375	UC02B	0	805-UC02B	102	102	371	NP	NP
				3380	UC031	0	806-UC031	81	81	372	NP	NP
				3390	UC032	0	807-UC032	81	81	373	NP	NP
		3442	Advance in Person 2	10	01A	0	813-01A	1	1	1	NP	NP
				20	01B	0	813-01B	1	1	2	NP	NP
				35	01C	0	813-01C	1	1	3	NP	NP
				40	01D	0	817-01D	2	2	4	NP	NP
				50	01E	0	813-01E	1	1	5	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3442	Advance in Person 2	60	01F	0	817-01F	2	2	6	NP	NP
				70	01G	0	813-01G	1	1	7	NP	NP
				75	01H	0	818-01H	5	5	8	NP	NP
				90	01J	0	813-01J	1	1	9	NP	NP
				95	01P	0	813-01P	1	1	10	NP	NP
				110	01R	0	813-01R	1	1	11	NP	NP
				120	01S	0	816-01S	3	3	12	NP	NP
				130	01T	0	813-01T	1	1	13	NP	NP
				140	02A	0	819-02A	4	4	14	NP	NP
				150	02B	0	820-02B	12	12	15	NP	NP
				160	02C	0	821-02C	5	5	16	NP	NP
				170	02D	0	821-02D	5	5	17	NP	NP
				180	02E	0	822-02E	1	1	18	NP	NP
				190	02F1	0	822-02F1	1	1	19	NP	NP
				200	02F2	0	821-02F2	5	5	20	NP	NP
				210	02G	0	821-02G	5	5	21	NP	NP
				220	02J	1	821-02J	5	5	22	NP	NP
						10	FED 5	90	90	22	NP	NP
						20	FED 6	91	91	22	NP	NP
						30	FED 11	92	92	22	NP	NP
						40	FED 13	93	93	22	NP	NP
				225	02K	0	821-02K	5	5	23	NP	NP
				230	02L1	0	823-02L1	6	6	24	NP	NP
				240	02L2	0	824-02L2	7	7	25	NP	NP
				250	02S	0	821-02S	5	5	26	NP	NP
				260	02W	0	825-02W	8	8	27	NP	NP
				280	03A	0	826-03A	7	7	28	NP	NP
				300	03B	0	827-03B	9	9	29	NP	NP
				320	03C	0	827-03C	9	9	30	NP	NP
				330	03D	0	826-03D	7	7	31	NP	NP
				340	03E	0	826-03E	7	7	32	NP	NP
				350	03F	0	828-03F	8	8	33	NP	NP
				360	03G	0	826-03G	7	7	34	NP	NP
				370	03H	0	829-03H	10	10	35	NP	NP
				375	03I	0	826-03I	7	7	36	NP	NP
				380	03L	0	826-03L	7	7	37	NP	NP
				390	03M	0	829-03M	10	10	38	NP	NP
				400	03N	0	826-03N	7	7	39	NP	NP
				410	03P1A	0	828-03P1A	8	8	40	NP	NP
				420	03P2	0	830-03P2	11	11	41	NP	NP
				440	03S	0	829-03S	10	10	42	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3442	Advance in Person 2	450	03T	0	830-03T	11	11	43	NP	NP
				470	04A	0	831-04A	7	7	44	NP	NP
				480	04B	0	832-04B	12	12	45	NP	NP
				485	04C	0	831-04C	7	7	46	NP	NP
				490	04D	0	831-04D	7	7	47	NP	NP
				500	04F	0	833-04F	11	11	48	NP	NP
				510	04G	0	832-04G	12	12	49	NP	NP
				520	04I	0	832-04I	12	12	50	NP	NP
				525	04J	0	833-04J	11	11	51	NP	NP
				530	04K	0	832-04K	12	12	52	NP	NP
				540	04L	0	831-04L	7	7	53	NP	NP
				550	04M	0	834-04M	5	5	54	NP	NP
				560	04S	0	832-04S	12	12	55	NP	NP
				570	04T	0	832-04T	12	12	56	NP	NP
				575	04V	0	508-04V	82	82	57	NP	NP
				580	04W	0	832-04W	12	12	58	NP	NP
				590	04X1	0	835-04X1	6	6	59	NP	NP
				600	04X2	0	831-04X2	7	7	60	NP	NP
				615	05A1	0	956-05A1	2	2	61	NP	NP
				617	05A2	0	836-05A2	1	1	62	NP	NP
				620	05B	0	837-05B	5	5	63	NP	NP
				630	05C	0	837-05C	5	5	64	NP	NP
				631	05D	0	838-05D	12	12	65	NP	NP
				632	05F	0	837-05F	5	5	66	NP	NP
				633	05J	0	837-05J	5	5	67	NP	NP
				634	05K	0	837-05K	5	5	68	NP	NP
				660	06B	0	839-06B	5	5	69	NP	NP
				670	06D	0	840-06D	13	13	70	NP	NP
				680	06E	0	841-06E	8	8	71	NP	NP
				690	06F	0	961-06F	2	2	72	NP	NP
				700	06G	0	839-06G	5	5	73	NP	NP
				720	06I	0	843-06I	14	14	74	NP	NP
				730	06J	0	840-06J	13	13	75	NP	NP
				745	06L1	0	841-06L1	8	8	76	NP	NP
				746	06L2	0	844-06L2	17	17	77	NP	NP
				747	06N	0	844-06N	17	17	78	NP	NP
				748	06Q	0	843-06Q	14	14	79	NP	NP
				750	06R	0	841-06R	8	8	80	NP	NP
				770	07A	0	846-07A	15	15	81	NP	NP
				780	07B	0	846-07B	15	15	82	NP	NP
				790	07C	0	847-07C	16	16	83	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3442	Advance in Person 2	800	07D	0	847-07D	16	16	84	NP	NP
				810	07E	0	848-07E	14	14	85	NP	NP
				820	07F	0	848-07F	14	14	86	NP	NP
				840	07H	0	848-07H	14	14	87	NP	NP
				850	07J	0	849-07J	17	17	88	NP	NP
				880	07M	0	848-07M	14	14	89	NP	NP
				900	07N	0	848-07N	14	14	90	NP	NP
				910	08A	0	851-08A	18	18	91	NP	NP
				920	08B	0	852-08B	19	19	92	NP	NP
				930	08C	0	852-08C	19	19	93	NP	NP
				940	08D	0	852-08D	19	19	94	NP	NP
				950	08E	0	853-08E	20	20	95	NP	NP
				960	08F1	0	854-08F1	16	16	96	NP	NP
				970	08G	0	850-08G	14	14	97	NP	NP
				980	08H	0	850-08H	14	14	98	NP	NP
				990	08J	0	855-08J	21	21	99	NP	NP
				1000	08K	0	856-08K	22	22	100	NP	NP
				1010	08L	0	856-08L	22	22	101	NP	NP
				1020	08M	0	854-08M	16	16	102	NP	NP
				1030	08N1	0	852-08N1	19	19	103	NP	NP
				1035	08N2	0	857-08N2	15	15	104	NP	NP
				1040	08P	0	856-08P	22	22	105	NP	NP
				1050	09A	0	858-09A	23	23	106	NP	NP
				1060	09B	0	858-09B	23	23	107	NP	NP
				1070	09C	0	858-09C	23	23	108	NP	NP
				1080	09D	0	858-09D	23	23	109	NP	NP
				1090	09E	0	858-09E	23	23	110	NP	NP
				1100	09F	0	859-09F	24	24	111	NP	NP
				1110	09G	0	970-09G	10	10	112	NP	NP
				1116	09H	0	861-09H	22	22	113	NP	NP
				1117	09I	0	970-09I	10	10	114	NP	NP
				1120	09K	0	858-09K	23	23	115	NP	NP
				1140	09M	0	858-09M	23	23	116	NP	NP
				1150	10A	0	864-10A	25	25	117	NP	NP
				1151	10B	0	865-10B	10	10	118	NP	NP
				1160	10C	0	864-10C	25	25	119	NP	NP
				1170	10D	0	866-10D	23	23	120	NP	NP
				1180	10E	0	866-10E	23	23	121	NP	NP
				1190	10F	0	866-10F	23	23	122	NP	NP
				1200	10G	0	867-10G	9	9	123	NP	NP
				1205	10H1	0	873-10H1	23	23	124	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3442	Advance in Person 2	1210	10H2	0	865-10H2	10	10	125	NP	NP
				1211	10I	0	868-10I	103	103	126	NP	NP
				1220	10J	0	869-10J	9	9	127	NP	NP
				1225	10K	0	870-10K	6	6	128	NP	NP
				1240	10M	0	870-10M	6	6	129	NP	NP
				1260	10P	0	866-10P	23	23	130	NP	NP
				1270	10R	0	873-10R	23	23	131	NP	NP
				1310	11B	0	520-11B	26	26	132	NP	NP
				1330	11C	0	874-11C	10	10	133	NP	NP
				1340	11E1	0	962-11E1	27	27	134	NP	NP
				1350	11E2	0	877-11E2	33	33	135	NP	NP
				1360	11E3	0	875-11E3	28	28	136	NP	NP
				1380	11G	0	529-11G	29	29	137	NP	NP
				1390	11H	0	878-11H	6	6	138	NP	NP
				1420	11J	0	974-11J	30	30	139	NP	NP
				1430	11K	0	880-11K	31	31	140	NP	NP
				1440	11M	0	881-11M	32	32	141	NP	NP
				1450	11N	0	877-11N	33	33	142	NP	NP
				1460	11P	0	877-11P	33	33	143	NP	NP
				1470	11R	0	880-11R	31	31	144	NP	NP
				1480	12A	0	531-12A	2	2	145	NP	NP
				1510	12D	0	885-12D	3	3	146	NP	NP
				1520	12E1	0	882-12E1	1	1	147	NP	NP
				1530	12F	0	882-12F	1	1	148	NP	NP
				1540	12G	0	882-12G	1	1	149	NP	NP
				1545	12H1	0	882-12H1	1	1	150	NP	NP
				1550	12H2	0	885-12H2	3	3	151	NP	NP
				1551	12I	0	886-12I	29	29	152	NP	NP
				1560	12J	0	885-12J	3	3	153	NP	NP
				1561	12K	0	887-12K	82	82	154	NP	NP
				1570	12L	0	531-12L	2	2	155	NP	NP
				1580	12M	0	885-12M	3	3	156	NP	NP
				1581	12N	0	531-12N	2	2	157	NP	NP
				1590	12S	0	531-12S	2	2	158	NP	NP
				1610	AP01A	0	745-AP01A	34	34	159	NP	NP
				1620	AP01B	0	730-AP01B	34	34	160	NP	NP
				1630	AP01C	0	731-AP01C	34	34	161	NP	NP
				1631	AP01D	0	732-AP01D	34	34	162	NP	NP
				1641	AP02B	0	735-AP02B	35	35	163	NP	NP
				1650	AP03	0	735-AP03	35	35	164	NP	NP
				1660	AP04A	0	736-AP04A	36	36	165	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3442	Advance in Person 2	1661	AP04B	0	738-AP04B	36	36	166	NP	NP
				1670	AP05	0	739-AP05	37	37	167	NP	NP
				1680	AP06	0	740-AP06	38	38	168	NP	NP
				1690	AP07A	0	740-AP07A	38	38	169	NP	NP
				1700	AP07B	0	741-AP07B	95	95	170	NP	NP
				1710	AP09A	0	739-AP09A	37	37	171	NP	NP
				1720	AP09B	0	743-AP09B	39	39	172	NP	NP
				1721	AP021	0	734-AP021	94	94	173	NP	NP
				1722	AP022	0	737-AP022	94	94	174	NP	NP
				1730	AP10	0	740-AP10	38	38	175	NP	NP
				1740	AP12A	0	739-AP12A	37	37	176	NP	NP
				1741	AP12B	0	744-AP12B	104	104	177	NP	NP
				1742	AP12C	0	740-AP12C	38	38	178	NP	NP
				1789	AP14	0	731-AP14	34	34	179	NP	NP
				1790	CH01	0	950-CH01	40	40	180	NP	NP
				1800	CH02	0	951-CH02	40	40	181	NP	NP
				1810	CH03	0	952-CH03	40	40	182	NP	NP
				1820	CH04A	0	954-CH04A	40	40	183	NP	NP
				1830	CH05	0	955-CH05	40	40	184	NP	NP
				1841	CP01B	0	747-CP01B	42	42	185	NP	NP
				1842	CP011	0	742-CP011	41	41	186	NP	NP
				1850	CP012	0	749-CP012	41	41	187	NP	NP
				1860	CP02	0	942-CP02	42	42	188	NP	NP
				1880	CP04A	0	1025-CP04A	42	42	189	NP	NP
				1881	CP04B	0	751-CP04B	42	42	190	NP	NP
				1904	CP05B	0	752-CP05B	106	106	191	NP	NP
				1905	CP051	0	753-CP051	99	99	192	NP	NP
				1910	CP06A	0	754-CP06A	96	96	193	NP	NP
				1920	CP07B	0	943-CP07B	106	106	194	NP	NP
				1922	CP07C	0	889-CP07C	109	109	195	NP	NP
				1924	CP07D	0	753-CP07D	99	99	196	NP	NP
				1925	CP07E	0	889-CP07E	109	109	197	NP	NP
				1926	CP07F	0	753-CP07F	99	99	198	NP	NP
				1950	CP081	0	1024-CP081	89	89	199	NP	NP
				1952	CP083	0	965-CP083	89	89	201	NP	NP
				1955	CP084	0	1024-CP084	89	89	200	NP	NP
				1962	CP08A	0	965-CP08A	89	89	202	NP	NP
				1970	EP01A	1	978-EP01A	43	43	203	NP	NP
				1971	EP01B	0	979-EP01B	43	43	204	NP	NP
				1980	EP02A	1	760-EP02A	97	97	205	NP	NP
				1981	EP02B	0	929-EP02B	110	110	206	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3442	Advance in Person 2	1982	EP02C	0	971-EP02C	111	111	207	NP	NP
				1983	EP02D	0	986-EP02D	43	43	208	NP	NP
				1984	EP02E	0	987-EP02E	112	112	209	NP	NP
				1990	EP03A	1	980-EP03A	43	43	210	NP	NP
				1991	EP03B	0	897-EP03B	44	44	211	NP	NP
				2000	EP04A	1	765-EP04A	44	44	212	NP	NP
				2005	EP04B	0	766-EP04B	113	113	213	NP	NP
				2160	FA01A	0	767-FA01A	45	45	214	NP	NP
				2170	FA01B	0	767-FA01B	45	45	215	NP	NP
				2171	FA01C	0	768-FA01C	114	114	216	NP	NP
				2180	HP01	0	975-HP01	46	46	217	NP	NP
				2190	JC01	0	770-JC01	47	47	218	NP	NP
				2200	JC02	0	770-JC02	47	47	219	NP	NP
				2205	JC03A	0	771-JC03A	48	48	220	NP	NP
				2210	JC03B	0	770-JC03B	47	47	221	NP	NP
				2220	JC04A	0	771-JC04A	48	48	222	NP	NP
						10	1028-JC04A	48	48	222	NP	NP
				2230	JC04B	0	773-JC04B	49	49	223	NP	NP
				2240	JC05	0	770-JC05	47	47	224	NP	NP
				2250	JC06	0	770-JC06	47	47	225	NP	NP
				2260	JC07	0	770-JC07	47	47	226	NP	NP
				2270	JC08	0	770-JC08	47	47	227	NP	NP
				2280	JC09	0	770-JC09	47	47	228	NP	NP
				2290	JC10	0	770-JC10	47	47	229	NP	NP
				2300	JC11	0	770-JC11	47	47	230	NP	NP
				2310	JC12	0	770-JC12	47	47	231	NP	NP
				2330	JC13A	0	774-JC13A	50	50	232	NP	NP
				2340	JC13B	0	770-JC13B	47	47	233	NP	NP
				2350	JC14	0	776-JC14	98	98	234	NP	NP
				2360	JC15	0	777-JC15	51	51	235	NP	NP
				2370	JC16	0	777-JC16	51	51	236	NP	NP
				2390	JC18	0	778-JC18	83	83	237	NP	NP
				2400	JC19	0	778-JC19	83	83	238	NP	NP
				2410	ML011	0	890-ML011	84	84	239	NP	NP
				2411	ML012	0	891-ML012	84	84	240	NP	NP
				2412	ML01B	0	891-ML01B	84	84	241	NP	NP
				2413	ML021	0	893-ML021	52	52	242	NP	NP
				2430	ML022	0	892-ML022	53	53	243	NP	NP
				2440	ML023	0	894-ML023	54	54	244	NP	NP
				2441	ML024	0	895-ML024	55	55	245	NP	NP
				2450	ML03	0	895-ML03	55	55	246	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3442	Advance in Person 2	2460	ML04A	0	894-ML04A	54	54	247	NP	NP
				2461	ML04B	0	895-ML04B	55	55	248	NP	NP
				2462	ML04C	0	896-ML04C	55	55	249	NP	NP
				2470	ML05A	0	894-ML05A	54	54	250	NP	NP
				2471	ML05B	0	895-ML05B	55	55	251	NP	NP
				2472	ML05C	0	896-ML05C	55	55	252	NP	NP
				2480	ML06A	0	894-ML06A	54	54	253	NP	NP
				2481	ML06B	0	896-ML06B	55	55	254	NP	NP
				2482	ML071	0	896-ML071	55	55	255	NP	NP
				2483	ML072	0	895-ML072	55	55	256	NP	NP
				2490	ML07A	0	893-ML07A	52	52	257	NP	NP
				2500	MP01	0	922-MP01	56	56	258	NP	NP
				2510	PA01	0	924-PA01	45	45	259	NP	NP
				2520	RW01	0	779-RW01	57	57	260	NP	NP
				2530	RW02	0	779-RW02	57	57	261	NP	NP
				2540	RW03	0	779-RW03	57	57	262	NP	NP
				2560	RW04	0	780-RW04	58	58	263	NP	NP
				2570	RW05	0	779-RW05	57	57	264	NP	NP
				2580	RW06	0	780-RW06	58	58	265	NP	NP
				2590	RW07A	0	781-RW07A	59	59	266	NP	NP
				2591	RW07B	0	779-RW07B	57	57	267	NP	NP
				2610	RW08	0	779-RW08	57	57	268	NP	NP
				2620	RW09	0	782-RW09	60	60	269	NP	NP
				2630	RW10	0	779-RW10	57	57	270	NP	NP
				2640	RW11A	0	779-RW11A	57	57	271	NP	NP
				2650	RW12	0	782-RW12	60	60	272	NP	NP
				2680	RW13	0	925-RW13	59	59	273	NP	NP
				2700	RW16	0	779-RW16	57	57	274	NP	NP
				2710	RW17	0	781-RW17	59	59	275	NP	NP
				2730	RW19	0	782-RW19	60	60	276	NP	NP
				2740	RW20	0	780-RW20	58	58	277	NP	NP
				2750	RW21	0	780-RW21	58	58	278	NP	NP
				2770	RW22A	0	780-RW22A	58	58	279	NP	NP
				2772	SC01A	0	991-SC01A	61	61	280	NP	NP
				2780	SC01B	0	1027-SC01B	61	61	281	NP	NP
				2781	SC01C	0	991-SC01C	61	61	282	NP	NP
				2782	SC01D	0	784-SC01D	61	61	283	NP	NP
				2790	SC02	0	1004-SC02	62	62	284	NP	NP
				2800	SC04	0	993-SC04	63	63	285	NP	NP
				2810	SC05A	0	996-SC05A	63	63	286	NP	NP
				2811	SC05B	0	997-SC05B	88	88	287	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3442	Advance in Person 2	2812	SC05D	0	998-SC05D	107	107	288	NP	NP
				2813	SC05E	0	1014-SC05E	107	107	289	NP	NP
				2820	SC07A	0	993-SC07A	63	63	290	NP	NP
				2821	SC07C	0	1022-SC07C	63	63	291	NP	NP
				2831	SC08B	0	1016-SC08B	85	85	292	NP	NP
				2832	SC08C	0	999-SC08C	64	64	293	NP	NP
				2833	SC08D	0	1011-SC08D	66	66	294	NP	NP
				2834	SC08E	0	1010-SC08E	65	65	295	NP	NP
				2835	SC08F	0	1001-SC08F	86	86	296	NP	NP
				2836	SC08G	0	1015-SC08G	115	115	297	NP	NP
				2837	SC08H	0	1019-SC08H	115	115	298	NP	NP
				2840	SC09A	0	1011-SC09A	66	66	299	NP	NP
				2841	SC09B	0	1016-SC09B	85	85	300	NP	NP
				2842	SC09C	0	1012-SC09C	66	66	301	NP	NP
				2844	SC10	0	1011-SC10	66	66	302	NP	NP
				2861	SC11A	0	1012-SC11A	66	66	303	NP	NP
				2862	SC11B	0	1013-SC11B	85	85	304	NP	NP
				2863	SC13	0	993-SC13	63	63	305	NP	NP
				2890	SC14A	0	991-SC14A	61	61	306	NP	NP
				2891	SC14B	0	784-SC14B	61	61	307	NP	NP
				2895	SC15	0	992-SC15	107	107	308	NP	NP
				2900	SC16A	0	991-SC16A	61	61	309	NP	NP
				2901	SC16B	0	784-SC16B	61	61	310	NP	NP
				2920	SC17A	0	999-SC17A	64	64	311	NP	NP
				2921	SC17B	0	1001-SC17B	86	86	312	NP	NP
				2923	SC17C	0	1002-SC17C	87	87	313	NP	NP
				2930	SC18A	0	1003-SC18A	100	100	314	NP	NP
				2931	SC18B	0	994-SC18B	88	88	315	NP	NP
				2932	SC18C	0	997-SC18C	88	88	316	NP	NP
				2940	SC19A	0	999-SC19A	64	64	317	NP	NP
				2941	SC19B	0	1002-SC19B	87	87	318	NP	NP
				2945	SC20	0	994-SC20	88	88	319	NP	NP
				2950	SC211	0	1005-SC211	65	65	320	NP	NP
				2951	SC212	0	1006-SC212	65	65	321	NP	NP
				2960	SC23A	0	1012-SC23A	66	66	322	NP	NP
				2961	SC23B	0	1008-SC23B	85	85	323	NP	NP
				2962	SC23C	0	1007-SC23C	66	66	324	NP	NP
				2970	SC27	0	1012-SC27	66	66	325	NP	NP
				2980	SC29A	0	1005-SC29A	65	65	326	NP	NP
				2990	SC30A	0	1004-SC30A	62	62	327	NP	NP
				2991	SC30B	0	995-SC30B	62	62	328	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3442	Advance in Person 2	3000	SS01	0	898-SS01	67	67	329	NP	NP
				3010	SS02A	0	899-SS02A	68	68	330	NP	NP
				3020	SS02B	0	900-SS02B	69	69	331	NP	NP
				3030	SS03	0	900-SS03	69	69	332	NP	NP
				3040	SS04	0	901-SS04	69	69	333	NP	NP
				3050	SS05	0	902-SS05	70	70	334	NP	NP
				3060	SS06	0	903-SS06	72	72	335	NP	NP
				3070	SS07A	0	904-SS07A	71	71	336	NP	NP
				3080	SS07B	0	903-SS07B	72	72	337	NP	NP
				3090	SS07C	0	905-SS07C	73	73	338	NP	NP
				3100	SS08A	0	905-SS08A	73	73	339	NP	NP
				3110	SS08B	0	903-SS08B	72	72	340	NP	NP
				3120	SS08C	0	904-SS08C	71	71	341	NP	NP
				3121	SS08D	0	906-SS08D	73	73	342	NP	NP
				3130	SS09A	0	907-SS09A	74	74	343	NP	NP
				3131	SS09B	0	908-SS09B	75	75	344	NP	NP
				3150	SS11A	0	909-SS11A	72	72	345	NP	NP
				3160	SS11B	0	910-SS11B	72	72	346	NP	NP
				3170	SS11C	0	911-SS11C	73	73	347	NP	NP
				3180	SS11D	0	908-SS11D	75	75	348	NP	NP
				3190	SS12	0	905-SS12	73	73	349	NP	NP
				3200	SS13A	0	912-SS13A	75	75	350	NP	NP
				3210	SS13B	0	908-SS13B	75	75	351	NP	NP
				3220	SS14	0	913-SS14	76	76	352	NP	NP
				3230	SS15A	0	914-SS15A	77	77	353	NP	NP
				3240	SS15B	0	915-SS15B	77	77	354	NP	NP
				3250	SS16	0	902-SS16	70	70	355	NP	NP
				3260	SS17	0	898-SS17	67	67	356	NP	NP
				3270	SS18A	0	902-SS18A	70	70	357	NP	NP
				3280	SS18B	0	916-SS18B	70	70	358	NP	NP
				3281	SS19A	0	917-SS19A	78	78	359	NP	NP
				3290	SS19B	0	918-SS19B	68	68	360	NP	NP
				3300	SS20	0	915-SS20	77	77	361	NP	NP
				3310	SS22	0	917-SS22	78	78	362	NP	NP
				3330	SS26	0	919-SS26	77	77	363	NP	NP
				3340	SS29A	0	920-SS29A	79	79	364	NP	NP
				3350	SS31	0	903-SS31	72	72	365	NP	NP
				3360	UC01A	0	802-UC01A	80	80	366	NP	NP
				3365	UC01B	0	923-UC01B	101	101	367	NP	NP
				3368	UC01D	0	945-UC01D	105	105	368	NP	NP
				3369	UC01E	0	968-UC01E	108	108	369	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3442	Advance in Person 2	3370	UC02A	0	804-UC02A	80	80	370	NP	NP
				3375	UC02B	0	805-UC02B	102	102	371	NP	NP
				3380	UC031	0	806-UC031	81	81	372	NP	NP
				3390	UC032	0	807-UC032	81	81	373	NP	NP
		3443	Advance in Person 3	10	01A	0	813-01A	1	1	1	NP	NP
				20	01B	0	813-01B	1	1	2	NP	NP
				35	01C	0	813-01C	1	1	3	NP	NP
				40	01D	0	817-01D	2	2	4	NP	NP
				50	01E	0	813-01E	1	1	5	NP	NP
				60	01F	0	817-01F	2	2	6	NP	NP
				70	01G	0	813-01G	1	1	7	NP	NP
				75	01H	0	818-01H	5	5	8	NP	NP
				90	01J	0	813-01J	1	1	9	NP	NP
				95	01P	0	813-01P	1	1	10	NP	NP
				110	01R	0	813-01R	1	1	11	NP	NP
				120	01S	0	816-01S	3	3	12	NP	NP
				130	01T	0	813-01T	1	1	13	NP	NP
				140	02A	0	819-02A	4	4	14	NP	NP
				150	02B	0	820-02B	12	12	15	NP	NP
				160	02C	0	821-02C	5	5	16	NP	NP
				170	02D	0	821-02D	5	5	17	NP	NP
				180	02E	0	822-02E	1	1	18	NP	NP
				190	02F1	0	822-02F1	1	1	19	NP	NP
				200	02F2	0	821-02F2	5	5	20	NP	NP
				210	02G	0	821-02G	5	5	21	NP	NP
				220	02J	1	821-02J	5	5	22	NP	NP
						10	FED 5	90	90	22	NP	NP
						20	FED 6	91	91	22	NP	NP
						30	FED 11	92	92	22	NP	NP
						40	FED 13	93	93	22	NP	NP
				225	02K	0	821-02K	5	5	23	NP	NP
				230	02L1	0	823-02L1	6	6	24	NP	NP
				240	02L2	0	824-02L2	7	7	25	NP	NP
				250	02S	0	821-02S	5	5	26	NP	NP
				260	02W	0	825-02W	8	8	27	NP	NP
				280	03A	0	826-03A	7	7	28	NP	NP
				300	03B	0	827-03B	9	9	29	NP	NP
				320	03C	0	827-03C	9	9	30	NP	NP
				330	03D	0	826-03D	7	7	31	NP	NP
				340	03E	0	826-03E	7	7	32	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3443	Advance in Person 3	350	03F	0	828-03F	8	8	33	NP	NP
				360	03G	0	826-03G	7	7	34	NP	NP
				370	03H	0	829-03H	10	10	35	NP	NP
				375	03I	0	826-03I	7	7	36	NP	NP
				380	03L	0	826-03L	7	7	37	NP	NP
				390	03M	0	829-03M	10	10	38	NP	NP
				400	03N	0	826-03N	7	7	39	NP	NP
				410	03P1A	0	828-03P1A	8	8	40	NP	NP
				420	03P2	0	830-03P2	11	11	41	NP	NP
				440	03S	0	829-03S	10	10	42	NP	NP
				450	03T	0	830-03T	11	11	43	NP	NP
				470	04A	0	831-04A	7	7	44	NP	NP
				480	04B	0	832-04B	12	12	45	NP	NP
				485	04C	0	831-04C	7	7	46	NP	NP
				490	04D	0	831-04D	7	7	47	NP	NP
				500	04F	0	833-04F	11	11	48	NP	NP
				510	04G	0	832-04G	12	12	49	NP	NP
				520	04I	0	832-04I	12	12	50	NP	NP
				525	04J	0	833-04J	11	11	51	NP	NP
				530	04K	0	832-04K	12	12	52	NP	NP
				540	04L	0	831-04L	7	7	53	NP	NP
				550	04M	0	834-04M	5	5	54	NP	NP
				560	04S	0	832-04S	12	12	55	NP	NP
				570	04T	0	832-04T	12	12	56	NP	NP
				575	04V	0	508-04V	82	82	57	NP	NP
				580	04W	0	832-04W	12	12	58	NP	NP
				590	04X1	0	835-04X1	6	6	59	NP	NP
				600	04X2	0	831-04X2	7	7	60	NP	NP
				615	05A1	0	956-05A1	2	2	61	NP	NP
				617	05A2	0	836-05A2	1	1	62	NP	NP
				620	05B	0	837-05B	5	5	63	NP	NP
				630	05C	0	837-05C	5	5	64	NP	NP
				631	05D	0	838-05D	12	12	65	NP	NP
				632	05F	0	837-05F	5	5	66	NP	NP
				633	05J	0	837-05J	5	5	67	NP	NP
				634	05K	0	837-05K	5	5	68	NP	NP
				660	06B	0	839-06B	5	5	69	NP	NP
				670	06D	0	840-06D	13	13	70	NP	NP
				680	06E	0	841-06E	8	8	71	NP	NP
				690	06F	0	961-06F	2	2	72	NP	NP
				700	06G	0	839-06G	5	5	73	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3443	Advance in Person 3	720	06I	0	843-06I	14	14	74	NP	NP
				730	06J	0	840-06J	13	13	75	NP	NP
				745	06L1	0	841-06L1	8	8	76	NP	NP
				746	06L2	0	844-06L2	17	17	77	NP	NP
				747	06N	0	844-06N	17	17	78	NP	NP
				748	06Q	0	843-06Q	14	14	79	NP	NP
				750	06R	0	841-06R	8	8	80	NP	NP
				770	07A	0	846-07A	15	15	81	NP	NP
				780	07B	0	846-07B	15	15	82	NP	NP
				790	07C	0	847-07C	16	16	83	NP	NP
				800	07D	0	847-07D	16	16	84	NP	NP
				810	07E	0	848-07E	14	14	85	NP	NP
				820	07F	0	848-07F	14	14	86	NP	NP
				840	07H	0	848-07H	14	14	87	NP	NP
				850	07J	0	849-07J	17	17	88	NP	NP
				880	07M	0	848-07M	14	14	89	NP	NP
				900	07N	0	848-07N	14	14	90	NP	NP
				910	08A	0	851-08A	18	18	91	NP	NP
				920	08B	0	852-08B	19	19	92	NP	NP
				930	08C	0	852-08C	19	19	93	NP	NP
				940	08D	0	852-08D	19	19	94	NP	NP
				950	08E	0	853-08E	20	20	95	NP	NP
				960	08F1	0	854-08F1	16	16	96	NP	NP
				970	08G	0	850-08G	14	14	97	NP	NP
				980	08H	0	850-08H	14	14	98	NP	NP
				990	08J	0	855-08J	21	21	99	NP	NP
				1000	08K	0	856-08K	22	22	100	NP	NP
				1010	08L	0	856-08L	22	22	101	NP	NP
				1020	08M	0	854-08M	16	16	102	NP	NP
				1030	08N1	0	852-08N1	19	19	103	NP	NP
				1035	08N2	0	857-08N2	15	15	104	NP	NP
				1040	08P	0	856-08P	22	22	105	NP	NP
				1050	09A	0	858-09A	23	23	106	NP	NP
				1060	09B	0	858-09B	23	23	107	NP	NP
				1070	09C	0	858-09C	23	23	108	NP	NP
				1080	09D	0	858-09D	23	23	109	NP	NP
				1090	09E	0	858-09E	23	23	110	NP	NP
				1100	09F	0	859-09F	24	24	111	NP	NP
				1110	09G	0	970-09G	10	10	112	NP	NP
				1116	09H	0	861-09H	22	22	113	NP	NP
				1117	09I	0	970-09I	10	10	114	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3443	Advance in Person 3	1120	09K	0	858-09K	23	23	115	NP	NP
				1140	09M	0	858-09M	23	23	116	NP	NP
				1150	10A	0	864-10A	25	25	117	NP	NP
				1151	10B	0	865-10B	10	10	118	NP	NP
				1160	10C	0	864-10C	25	25	119	NP	NP
				1170	10D	0	866-10D	23	23	120	NP	NP
				1180	10E	0	866-10E	23	23	121	NP	NP
				1190	10F	0	866-10F	23	23	122	NP	NP
				1200	10G	0	867-10G	9	9	123	NP	NP
				1205	10H1	0	873-10H1	23	23	124	NP	NP
				1210	10H2	0	865-10H2	10	10	125	NP	NP
				1211	10I	0	868-10I	103	103	126	NP	NP
				1220	10J	0	869-10J	9	9	127	NP	NP
				1225	10K	0	870-10K	6	6	128	NP	NP
				1240	10M	0	870-10M	6	6	129	NP	NP
				1260	10P	0	866-10P	23	23	130	NP	NP
				1270	10R	0	873-10R	23	23	131	NP	NP
				1310	11B	0	520-11B	26	26	132	NP	NP
				1330	11C	0	874-11C	10	10	133	NP	NP
				1340	11E1	0	962-11E1	27	27	134	NP	NP
				1350	11E2	0	877-11E2	33	33	135	NP	NP
				1360	11E3	0	875-11E3	28	28	136	NP	NP
				1380	11G	0	529-11G	29	29	137	NP	NP
				1390	11H	0	878-11H	6	6	138	NP	NP
				1420	11J	0	974-11J	30	30	139	NP	NP
				1430	11K	0	880-11K	31	31	140	NP	NP
				1440	11M	0	881-11M	32	32	141	NP	NP
				1450	11N	0	877-11N	33	33	142	NP	NP
				1460	11P	0	877-11P	33	33	143	NP	NP
				1470	11R	0	880-11R	31	31	144	NP	NP
				1480	12A	0	531-12A	2	2	145	NP	NP
				1510	12D	0	885-12D	3	3	146	NP	NP
				1520	12E1	0	882-12E1	1	1	147	NP	NP
				1530	12F	0	882-12F	1	1	148	NP	NP
				1540	12G	0	882-12G	1	1	149	NP	NP
				1545	12H1	0	882-12H1	1	1	150	NP	NP
				1550	12H2	0	885-12H2	3	3	151	NP	NP
				1551	12I	0	886-12I	29	29	152	NP	NP
				1560	12J	0	885-12J	3	3	153	NP	NP
				1561	12K	0	887-12K	82	82	154	NP	NP
				1570	12L	0	531-12L	2	2	155	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3443	Advance in Person 3	1580	12M	0	885-12M	3	3	156	NP	NP
				1581	12N	0	531-12N	2	2	157	NP	NP
				1590	12S	0	531-12S	2	2	158	NP	NP
				1610	AP01A	0	745-AP01A	34	34	159	NP	NP
				1620	AP01B	0	730-AP01B	34	34	160	NP	NP
				1630	AP01C	0	731-AP01C	34	34	161	NP	NP
				1631	AP01D	0	732-AP01D	34	34	162	NP	NP
				1641	AP02B	0	735-AP02B	35	35	163	NP	NP
				1650	AP03	0	735-AP03	35	35	164	NP	NP
				1660	AP04A	0	736-AP04A	36	36	165	NP	NP
				1661	AP04B	0	738-AP04B	36	36	166	NP	NP
				1670	AP05	0	739-AP05	37	37	167	NP	NP
				1680	AP06	0	740-AP06	38	38	168	NP	NP
				1690	AP07A	0	740-AP07A	38	38	169	NP	NP
				1700	AP07B	0	741-AP07B	95	95	170	NP	NP
				1710	AP09A	0	739-AP09A	37	37	171	NP	NP
				1720	AP09B	0	743-AP09B	39	39	172	NP	NP
				1721	AP021	0	734-AP021	94	94	173	NP	NP
				1722	AP022	0	737-AP022	94	94	174	NP	NP
				1730	AP10	0	740-AP10	38	38	175	NP	NP
				1740	AP12A	0	739-AP12A	37	37	176	NP	NP
				1741	AP12B	0	744-AP12B	104	104	177	NP	NP
				1742	AP12C	0	740-AP12C	38	38	178	NP	NP
				1789	AP14	0	731-AP14	34	34	179	NP	NP
				1790	CH01	0	950-CH01	40	40	180	NP	NP
				1800	CH02	0	951-CH02	40	40	181	NP	NP
				1810	CH03	0	952-CH03	40	40	182	NP	NP
				1820	CH04A	0	954-CH04A	40	40	183	NP	NP
				1830	CH05	0	955-CH05	40	40	184	NP	NP
				1841	CP01B	0	747-CP01B	42	42	185	NP	NP
				1842	CP011	0	742-CP011	41	41	186	NP	NP
				1850	CP012	0	749-CP012	41	41	187	NP	NP
				1860	CP02	0	942-CP02	42	42	188	NP	NP
				1880	CP04A	0	1025-CP04A	42	42	189	NP	NP
				1881	CP04B	0	751-CP04B	42	42	190	NP	NP
				1904	CP05B	0	752-CP05B	106	106	191	NP	NP
				1905	CP051	0	753-CP051	99	99	192	NP	NP
				1910	CP06A	0	754-CP06A	96	96	193	NP	NP
				1920	CP07B	0	943-CP07B	106	106	194	NP	NP
				1922	CP07C	0	889-CP07C	109	109	195	NP	NP
				1924	CP07D	0	753-CP07D	99	99	196	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3443	Advance in Person 3	1925	CP07E	0	889-CP07E	109	109	197	NP	NP
				1926	CP07F	0	753-CP07F	99	99	198	NP	NP
				1950	CP081	0	1024-CP081	89	89	199	NP	NP
				1952	CP083	0	965-CP083	89	89	201	NP	NP
				1955	CP084	0	1024-CP084	89	89	200	NP	NP
				1962	CP08A	0	965-CP08A	89	89	202	NP	NP
				1970	EP01A	1	978-EP01A	43	43	203	NP	NP
				1971	EP01B	0	979-EP01B	43	43	204	NP	NP
				1980	EP02A	1	760-EP02A	97	97	205	NP	NP
				1981	EP02B	0	929-EP02B	110	110	206	NP	NP
				1982	EP02C	0	971-EP02C	111	111	207	NP	NP
				1983	EP02D	0	986-EP02D	43	43	208	NP	NP
				1984	EP02E	0	987-EP02E	112	112	209	NP	NP
				1990	EP03A	1	980-EP03A	43	43	210	NP	NP
				1991	EP03B	0	897-EP03B	44	44	211	NP	NP
				2000	EP04A	1	765-EP04A	44	44	212	NP	NP
				2005	EP04B	0	766-EP04B	113	113	213	NP	NP
				2160	FA01A	0	767-FA01A	45	45	214	NP	NP
				2170	FA01B	0	767-FA01B	45	45	215	NP	NP
				2171	FA01C	0	768-FA01C	114	114	216	NP	NP
				2180	HP01	0	975-HP01	46	46	217	NP	NP
				2190	JC01	0	770-JC01	47	47	218	NP	NP
				2200	JC02	0	770-JC02	47	47	219	NP	NP
				2205	JC03A	0	771-JC03A	48	48	220	NP	NP
				2210	JC03B	0	770-JC03B	47	47	221	NP	NP
				2220	JC04A	0	771-JC04A	48	48	222	NP	NP
						10	1028-JC04A	48	48	222	NP	NP
				2230	JC04B	0	773-JC04B	49	49	223	NP	NP
				2240	JC05	0	770-JC05	47	47	224	NP	NP
				2250	JC06	0	770-JC06	47	47	225	NP	NP
				2260	JC07	0	770-JC07	47	47	226	NP	NP
				2270	JC08	0	770-JC08	47	47	227	NP	NP
				2280	JC09	0	770-JC09	47	47	228	NP	NP
				2290	JC10	0	770-JC10	47	47	229	NP	NP
				2300	JC11	0	770-JC11	47	47	230	NP	NP
				2310	JC12	0	770-JC12	47	47	231	NP	NP
				2330	JC13A	0	774-JC13A	50	50	232	NP	NP
				2340	JC13B	0	770-JC13B	47	47	233	NP	NP
				2350	JC14	0	776-JC14	98	98	234	NP	NP
				2360	JC15	0	777-JC15	51	51	235	NP	NP
				2370	JC16	0	777-JC16	51	51	236	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3443	Advance in Person 3	2390	JC18	0	778-JC18	83	83	237	NP	NP
				2400	JC19	0	778-JC19	83	83	238	NP	NP
				2410	ML011	0	890-ML011	84	84	239	NP	NP
				2411	ML012	0	891-ML012	84	84	240	NP	NP
				2412	ML01B	0	891-ML01B	84	84	241	NP	NP
				2413	ML021	0	893-ML021	52	52	242	NP	NP
				2430	ML022	0	892-ML022	53	53	243	NP	NP
				2440	ML023	0	894-ML023	54	54	244	NP	NP
				2441	ML024	0	895-ML024	55	55	245	NP	NP
				2450	ML03	0	895-ML03	55	55	246	NP	NP
				2460	ML04A	0	894-ML04A	54	54	247	NP	NP
				2461	ML04B	0	895-ML04B	55	55	248	NP	NP
				2462	ML04C	0	896-ML04C	55	55	249	NP	NP
				2470	ML05A	0	894-ML05A	54	54	250	NP	NP
				2471	ML05B	0	895-ML05B	55	55	251	NP	NP
				2472	ML05C	0	896-ML05C	55	55	252	NP	NP
				2480	ML06A	0	894-ML06A	54	54	253	NP	NP
				2481	ML06B	0	896-ML06B	55	55	254	NP	NP
				2482	ML071	0	896-ML071	55	55	255	NP	NP
				2483	ML072	0	895-ML072	55	55	256	NP	NP
				2490	ML07A	0	893-ML07A	52	52	257	NP	NP
				2500	MP01	0	922-MP01	56	56	258	NP	NP
				2510	PA01	0	924-PA01	45	45	259	NP	NP
				2520	RW01	0	779-RW01	57	57	260	NP	NP
				2530	RW02	0	779-RW02	57	57	261	NP	NP
				2540	RW03	0	779-RW03	57	57	262	NP	NP
				2560	RW04	0	780-RW04	58	58	263	NP	NP
				2570	RW05	0	779-RW05	57	57	264	NP	NP
				2580	RW06	0	780-RW06	58	58	265	NP	NP
				2590	RW07A	0	781-RW07A	59	59	266	NP	NP
				2591	RW07B	0	779-RW07B	57	57	267	NP	NP
				2610	RW08	0	779-RW08	57	57	268	NP	NP
				2620	RW09	0	782-RW09	60	60	269	NP	NP
				2630	RW10	0	779-RW10	57	57	270	NP	NP
				2640	RW11A	0	779-RW11A	57	57	271	NP	NP
				2650	RW12	0	782-RW12	60	60	272	NP	NP
				2680	RW13	0	925-RW13	59	59	273	NP	NP
				2700	RW16	0	779-RW16	57	57	274	NP	NP
				2710	RW17	0	781-RW17	59	59	275	NP	NP
				2730	RW19	0	782-RW19	60	60	276	NP	NP
				2740	RW20	0	780-RW20	58	58	277	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3443	Advance in Person 3	2750	RW21	0	780-RW21	58	58	278	NP	NP
				2770	RW22A	0	780-RW22A	58	58	279	NP	NP
				2772	SC01A	0	991-SC01A	61	61	280	NP	NP
				2780	SC01B	0	1027-SC01B	61	61	281	NP	NP
				2781	SC01C	0	991-SC01C	61	61	282	NP	NP
				2782	SC01D	0	784-SC01D	61	61	283	NP	NP
				2790	SC02	0	1004-SC02	62	62	284	NP	NP
				2800	SC04	0	993-SC04	63	63	285	NP	NP
				2810	SC05A	0	996-SC05A	63	63	286	NP	NP
				2811	SC05B	0	997-SC05B	88	88	287	NP	NP
				2812	SC05D	0	998-SC05D	107	107	288	NP	NP
				2813	SC05E	0	1014-SC05E	107	107	289	NP	NP
				2820	SC07A	0	993-SC07A	63	63	290	NP	NP
				2821	SC07C	0	1022-SC07C	63	63	291	NP	NP
				2831	SC08B	0	1016-SC08B	85	85	292	NP	NP
				2832	SC08C	0	999-SC08C	64	64	293	NP	NP
				2833	SC08D	0	1011-SC08D	66	66	294	NP	NP
				2834	SC08E	0	1010-SC08E	65	65	295	NP	NP
				2835	SC08F	0	1001-SC08F	86	86	296	NP	NP
				2836	SC08G	0	1015-SC08G	115	115	297	NP	NP
				2837	SC08H	0	1019-SC08H	115	115	298	NP	NP
				2840	SC09A	0	1011-SC09A	66	66	299	NP	NP
				2841	SC09B	0	1016-SC09B	85	85	300	NP	NP
				2842	SC09C	0	1012-SC09C	66	66	301	NP	NP
				2844	SC10	0	1011-SC10	66	66	302	NP	NP
				2861	SC11A	0	1012-SC11A	66	66	303	NP	NP
				2862	SC11B	0	1013-SC11B	85	85	304	NP	NP
				2863	SC13	0	993-SC13	63	63	305	NP	NP
				2890	SC14A	0	991-SC14A	61	61	306	NP	NP
				2891	SC14B	0	784-SC14B	61	61	307	NP	NP
				2895	SC15	0	992-SC15	107	107	308	NP	NP
				2900	SC16A	0	991-SC16A	61	61	309	NP	NP
				2901	SC16B	0	784-SC16B	61	61	310	NP	NP
				2920	SC17A	0	999-SC17A	64	64	311	NP	NP
				2921	SC17B	0	1001-SC17B	86	86	312	NP	NP
				2923	SC17C	0	1002-SC17C	87	87	313	NP	NP
				2930	SC18A	0	1003-SC18A	100	100	314	NP	NP
				2931	SC18B	0	994-SC18B	88	88	315	NP	NP
				2932	SC18C	0	997-SC18C	88	88	316	NP	NP
				2940	SC19A	0	999-SC19A	64	64	317	NP	NP
				2941	SC19B	0	1002-SC19B	87	87	318	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3443	Advance in Person 3	2945	SC20	0	994-SC20	88	88	319	NP	NP
				2950	SC211	0	1005-SC211	65	65	320	NP	NP
				2951	SC212	0	1006-SC212	65	65	321	NP	NP
				2960	SC23A	0	1012-SC23A	66	66	322	NP	NP
				2961	SC23B	0	1008-SC23B	85	85	323	NP	NP
				2962	SC23C	0	1007-SC23C	66	66	324	NP	NP
				2970	SC27	0	1012-SC27	66	66	325	NP	NP
				2980	SC29A	0	1005-SC29A	65	65	326	NP	NP
				2990	SC30A	0	1004-SC30A	62	62	327	NP	NP
				2991	SC30B	0	995-SC30B	62	62	328	NP	NP
				3000	SS01	0	898-SS01	67	67	329	NP	NP
				3010	SS02A	0	899-SS02A	68	68	330	NP	NP
				3020	SS02B	0	900-SS02B	69	69	331	NP	NP
				3030	SS03	0	900-SS03	69	69	332	NP	NP
				3040	SS04	0	901-SS04	69	69	333	NP	NP
				3050	SS05	0	902-SS05	70	70	334	NP	NP
				3060	SS06	0	903-SS06	72	72	335	NP	NP
				3070	SS07A	0	904-SS07A	71	71	336	NP	NP
				3080	SS07B	0	903-SS07B	72	72	337	NP	NP
				3090	SS07C	0	905-SS07C	73	73	338	NP	NP
				3100	SS08A	0	905-SS08A	73	73	339	NP	NP
				3110	SS08B	0	903-SS08B	72	72	340	NP	NP
				3120	SS08C	0	904-SS08C	71	71	341	NP	NP
				3121	SS08D	0	906-SS08D	73	73	342	NP	NP
				3130	SS09A	0	907-SS09A	74	74	343	NP	NP
				3131	SS09B	0	908-SS09B	75	75	344	NP	NP
				3150	SS11A	0	909-SS11A	72	72	345	NP	NP
				3160	SS11B	0	910-SS11B	72	72	346	NP	NP
				3170	SS11C	0	911-SS11C	73	73	347	NP	NP
				3180	SS11D	0	908-SS11D	75	75	348	NP	NP
				3190	SS12	0	905-SS12	73	73	349	NP	NP
				3200	SS13A	0	912-SS13A	75	75	350	NP	NP
				3210	SS13B	0	908-SS13B	75	75	351	NP	NP
				3220	SS14	0	913-SS14	76	76	352	NP	NP
				3230	SS15A	0	914-SS15A	77	77	353	NP	NP
				3240	SS15B	0	915-SS15B	77	77	354	NP	NP
				3250	SS16	0	902-SS16	70	70	355	NP	NP
				3260	SS17	0	898-SS17	67	67	356	NP	NP
				3270	SS18A	0	902-SS18A	70	70	357	NP	NP
				3280	SS18B	0	916-SS18B	70	70	358	NP	NP
				3281	SS19A	0	917-SS19A	78	78	359	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3443	Advance in Person 3	3290	SS19B	0	918-SS19B	68	68	360	NP	NP
				3300	SS20	0	915-SS20	77	77	361	NP	NP
				3310	SS22	0	917-SS22	78	78	362	NP	NP
				3330	SS26	0	919-SS26	77	77	363	NP	NP
				3340	SS29A	0	920-SS29A	79	79	364	NP	NP
				3350	SS31	0	903-SS31	72	72	365	NP	NP
				3360	UC01A	0	802-UC01A	80	80	366	NP	NP
				3365	UC01B	0	923-UC01B	101	101	367	NP	NP
				3368	UC01D	0	945-UC01D	105	105	368	NP	NP
				3369	UC01E	0	968-UC01E	108	108	369	NP	NP
				3370	UC02A	0	804-UC02A	80	80	370	NP	NP
				3375	UC02B	0	805-UC02B	102	102	371	NP	NP
				3380	UC031	0	806-UC031	81	81	372	NP	NP
				3390	UC032	0	807-UC032	81	81	373	NP	NP
		1670	AP01A & AP01B	1610	AP01A	0	745-AP01A	34	34	1	NP	NP
				1620	AP01B	0	730-AP01B	34	34	2	NP	NP
		1680	AP01C & AP01D	1630	AP01C	0	731-AP01C	34	34	1	NP	NP
				1631	AP01D	0	732-AP01D	34	34	2	NP	NP
		1700	AP02B, AP021, & AP022	1641	AP02B	0	735-AP02B	35	35	4	NP	NP
				1721	AP021	0	734-AP021	94	94	2	NP	NP
				1722	AP022	0	737-AP022	94	94	3	NP	NP
		1710	AP03, RW07A & RW07B	1650	AP03	0	735-AP03	35	35	1	NP	NP
				2590	RW07A	0	781-RW07A	59	59	2	NP	NP
				2591	RW07B	0	779-RW07B	57	57	3	NP	NP
		1720	AP04A, AP04B & AP06	1660	AP04A	0	736-AP04A	36	36	1	NP	NP
				1661	AP04B	0	738-AP04B	36	36	2	NP	NP
				1680	AP06	0	740-AP06	38	38	3	NP	NP
		1730	AP05	1670	AP05	0	739-AP05	37	37	1	NP	NP
		1750	AP07A & AP07B	1690	AP07A	0	740-AP07A	38	38	1	NP	NP
				1700	AP07B	0	741-AP07B	95	95	2	NP	NP
		1770	AP09A & AP09B	1710	AP09A	0	739-AP09A	37	37	1	NP	NP
				1720	AP09B	0	743-AP09B	39	39	2	NP	NP
		1790	AP10, ML06A & ML06B	1730	AP10	0	740-AP10	38	38	1	NP	NP
				2480	ML06A	0	894-ML06A	54	54	2	NP	NP
				2481	ML06B	0	896-ML06B	55	55	3	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	1800	AP12A, AP12B & AP12C	1740	AP12A	0	739-AP12A	37	37	1	NP	NP
				1741	AP12B	0	744-AP12B	104	104	2	NP	NP
				1742	AP12C	0	740-AP12C	38	38	3	NP	NP
		1802	AP14, JC04A, JC04B, JC10 & JC15	1789	AP14	0	731-AP14	34	34	1	NP	NP
				2220	JC04A	0	771-JC04A	48	48	5	NP	NP
						10	1028-JC04A	48	48	5	NP	NP
				2230	JC04B	0	773-JC04B	49	49	6	NP	NP
				2290	JC10	0	770-JC10	47	47	7	NP	NP
				2360	JC15	0	777-JC15	51	51	4	NP	NP
		1850	CH01, CH02, CH03, CH04A & CH05	1790	CH01	0	950-CH01	40	40	1	NP	NP
				1800	CH02	0	951-CH02	40	40	2	NP	NP
				1810	CH03	0	952-CH03	40	40	3	NP	NP
				1820	CH04A	0	954-CH04A	40	40	4	NP	NP
				1830	CH05	0	955-CH05	40	40	5	NP	NP
		1900	CP01B, CP011, CP012, CP02, CP04A &	1841	CP01B	0	747-CP01B	42	42	2	NP	NP
				1842	CP011	0	742-CP011	41	41	4	NP	NP
				1850	CP012	0	749-CP012	41	41	7	NP	NP
				1860	CP02	0	942-CP02	42	42	5	NP	NP
				1880	CP04A	0	1025-CP04A	42	42	6	NP	NP
				1881	CP04B	0	751-CP04B	42	42	8	NP	NP
		1950	CP05B, CP051, CP06A, CP07B,CP07C	1904	CP05B	0	752-CP05B	106	106	4	NP	NP
				1905	CP051	0	753-CP051	99	99	3	NP	NP
				1910	CP06A	0	754-CP06A	96	96	1	NP	NP
				1920	CP07B	0	943-CP07B	106	106	7	NP	NP
				1922	CP07C	0	889-CP07C	109	109	5	NP	NP
				1924	CP07D	0	753-CP07D	99	99	6	NP	NP
				1925	CP07E	0	889-CP07E	109	109	8	NP	NP
				1926	CP07F	0	753-CP07F	99	99	9	NP	NP
		2010	CP081, CP083, CP084, CP08A & SC10	1950	CP081	0	1024-CP081	89	89	1	NP	NP
				1952	CP083	0	965-CP083	89	89	2	NP	NP
				1955	CP084	0	1024-CP084	89	89	5	NP	NP
				1962	CP08A	0	965-CP08A	89	89	3	NP	NP
				2844	SC10	0	1011-SC10	66	66	4	NP	NP
		2030	EP01A & EP01B	1970	EP01A	1	978-EP01A	43	43	1	NP	NP
				1971	EP01B	0	979-EP01B	43	43	2	NP	NP
		2031	EP02A. EP02B, EP02C, EP02D & EP0	1980	EP02A	1	760-EP02A	97	97	1	NP	NP
				1981	EP02B	0	929-EP02B	110	110	2	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2031	EP02A. EP02B, EP02C, EP02D & EP0	1982	EP02C	0	971-EP02C	111	111	3	NP	NP
				1983	EP02D	0	986-EP02D	43	43	4	NP	NP
				1984	EP02E	0	987-EP02E	112	112	5	NP	NP
		2050	EP03A & EP03B	1990	EP03A	1	980-EP03A	43	43	1	NP	NP
				1991	EP03B	0	897-EP03B	44	44	2	NP	NP
		2060	EP04A & EP04B	2000	EP04A	1	765-EP04A	44	44	1	NP	NP
				2005	EP04B	0	766-EP04B	113	113	2	NP	NP
		2220	FA01A	2160	FA01A	0	767-FA01A	45	45	1	NP	NP
		2221	FA01B	2170	FA01B	0	767-FA01B	45	45	1	NP	NP
		2222	FA01C	2171	FA01C	0	768-FA01C	114	114	1	NP	NP
		2240	HP01	2180	HP01	0	975-HP01	46	46	1	NP	NP
		2250	JC01	2190	JC01	0	770-JC01	47	47	1	NP	NP
		2260	JC02	2200	JC02	0	770-JC02	47	47	1	NP	NP
		2270	JC03A & JC03B	2205	JC03A	0	771-JC03A	48	48	2	NP	NP
				2210	JC03B	0	770-JC03B	47	47	1	NP	NP
		2300	JC05	2240	JC05	0	770-JC05	47	47	1	NP	NP
		2310	JC06	2250	JC06	0	770-JC06	47	47	1	NP	NP
		2320	JC07	2260	JC07	0	770-JC07	47	47	1	NP	NP
		2330	JC08	2270	JC08	0	770-JC08	47	47	1	NP	NP
		2340	JC09	2280	JC09	0	770-JC09	47	47	1	NP	NP
		2360	JC11	2300	JC11	0	770-JC11	47	47	1	NP	NP
		2370	JC12 & JC14	2310	JC12	0	770-JC12	47	47	1	NP	NP
				2350	JC14	0	776-JC14	98	98	2	NP	NP
		2390	JC13A & JC13B	2330	JC13A	0	774-JC13A	50	50	1	NP	NP
				2340	JC13B	0	770-JC13B	47	47	2	NP	NP
		2430	JC16	2370	JC16	0	777-JC16	51	51	1	NP	NP
		2450	JC18	2390	JC18	0	778-JC18	83	83	1	NP	NP
		2460	JC19 & RW21	2400	JC19	0	778-JC19	83	83	1	NP	NP
				2750	RW21	0	780-RW21	58	58	2	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2470	ML011, ML012 & ML01B	2410	ML011	0	890-ML011	84	84	1	NP	NP
				2411	ML012	0	891-ML012	84	84	2	NP	NP
				2412	ML01B	0	891-ML01B	84	84	3	NP	NP
		2475	ML021, ML022, ML023 & ML024	2413	ML021	0	893-ML021	52	52	2	NP	NP
				2430	ML022	0	892-ML022	53	53	3	NP	NP
				2440	ML023	0	894-ML023	54	54	4	NP	NP
				2441	ML024	0	895-ML024	55	55	1	NP	NP
		2510	ML03, ML071, ML072 & ML07A	2450	ML03	0	895-ML03	55	55	1	NP	NP
				2482	ML071	0	896-ML071	55	55	2	NP	NP
				2483	ML072	0	895-ML072	55	55	3	NP	NP
				2490	ML07A	0	893-ML07A	52	52	4	NP	NP
		2520	ML04A, ML04B, ML04C, ML05A, M	2460	ML04A	0	894-ML04A	54	54	1	NP	NP
				2461	ML04B	0	895-ML04B	55	55	2	NP	NP
				2462	ML04C	0	896-ML04C	55	55	3	NP	NP
				2470	ML05A	0	894-ML05A	54	54	4	NP	NP
				2471	ML05B	0	895-ML05B	55	55	5	NP	NP
				2472	ML05C	0	896-ML05C	55	55	6	NP	NP
		2560	MP01	2500	MP01	0	922-MP01	56	56	1	NP	NP
		2570	PA01	2510	PA01	0	924-PA01	45	45	1	NP	NP
		3930	Provisional 1	10	01A	0	813-01A	1	1	1	NP	NP
				20	01B	0	813-01B	1	1	2	NP	NP
				35	01C	0	813-01C	1	1	3	NP	NP
				40	01D	0	817-01D	2	2	4	NP	NP
				50	01E	0	813-01E	1	1	5	NP	NP
				60	01F	0	817-01F	2	2	6	NP	NP
				70	01G	0	813-01G	1	1	7	NP	NP
				75	01H	0	818-01H	5	5	8	NP	NP
				90	01J	0	813-01J	1	1	9	NP	NP
				95	01P	0	813-01P	1	1	10	NP	NP
				110	01R	0	813-01R	1	1	11	NP	NP
				120	01S	0	816-01S	3	3	12	NP	NP
				130	01T	0	813-01T	1	1	13	NP	NP
				140	02A	0	819-02A	4	4	14	NP	NP
				150	02B	0	820-02B	12	12	15	NP	NP
				160	02C	0	821-02C	5	5	16	NP	NP
				170	02D	0	821-02D	5	5	17	NP	NP
				180	02E	0	822-02E	1	1	18	NP	NP
				190	02F1	0	822-02F1	1	1	19	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3930	Provisional 1	200	02F2	0	821-02F2	5	5	20	NP	NP
		4020	Provisional 10	1789	AP14	0	731-AP14	34	34	20	NP	NP
				1790	CH01	0	950-CH01	40	40	1	NP	NP
				1800	CH02	0	951-CH02	40	40	2	NP	NP
				1810	CH03	0	952-CH03	40	40	3	NP	NP
				1820	CH04A	0	954-CH04A	40	40	4	NP	NP
				1830	CH05	0	955-CH05	40	40	5	NP	NP
				1841	CP01B	0	747-CP01B	42	42	6	NP	NP
				1842	CP011	0	742-CP011	41	41	7	NP	NP
				1850	CP012	0	749-CP012	41	41	8	NP	NP
				1860	CP02	0	942-CP02	42	42	9	NP	NP
				1880	CP04A	0	1025-CP04A	42	42	10	NP	NP
				1881	CP04B	0	751-CP04B	42	42	11	NP	NP
				1904	CP05B	0	752-CP05B	106	106	12	NP	NP
				1905	CP051	0	753-CP051	99	99	13	NP	NP
				1910	CP06A	0	754-CP06A	96	96	14	NP	NP
				1920	CP07B	0	943-CP07B	106	106	15	NP	NP
				1922	CP07C	0	889-CP07C	109	109	16	NP	NP
				1924	CP07D	0	753-CP07D	99	99	17	NP	NP
				1925	CP07E	0	889-CP07E	109	109	18	NP	NP
				1926	CP07F	0	753-CP07F	99	99	19	NP	NP
		4030	Provisional 11	1950	CP081	0	1024-CP081	89	89	1	NP	NP
				1952	CP083	0	965-CP083	89	89	3	NP	NP
				1955	CP084	0	1024-CP084	89	89	2	NP	NP
				1962	CP08A	0	965-CP08A	89	89	4	NP	NP
				1970	EP01A	1	978-EP01A	43	43	5	NP	NP
				1971	EP01B	0	979-EP01B	43	43	6	NP	NP
				1980	EP02A	1	760-EP02A	97	97	7	NP	NP
				1981	EP02B	0	929-EP02B	110	110	8	NP	NP
				1982	EP02C	0	971-EP02C	111	111	9	NP	NP
				1983	EP02D	0	986-EP02D	43	43	10	NP	NP
				1984	EP02E	0	987-EP02E	112	112	11	NP	NP
				1990	EP03A	1	980-EP03A	43	43	12	NP	NP
				1991	EP03B	0	897-EP03B	44	44	13	NP	NP
				2000	EP04A	1	765-EP04A	44	44	14	NP	NP
				2005	EP04B	0	766-EP04B	113	113	15	NP	NP
				2160	FA01A	0	767-FA01A	45	45	16	NP	NP
				2170	FA01B	0	767-FA01B	45	45	17	NP	NP
				2171	FA01C	0	768-FA01C	114	114	18	NP	NP
				2180	HP01	0	975-HP01	46	46	19	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	4030	Provisional 11	2190	JC01	0	770-JC01	47	47	20	NP	NP
		4040	Provisional 12	2200	JC02	0	770-JC02	47	47	2	NP	NP
				2205	JC03A	0	771-JC03A	48	48	3	NP	NP
				2210	JC03B	0	770-JC03B	47	47	4	NP	NP
				2220	JC04A	0	771-JC04A	48	48	5	NP	NP
						10	1028-JC04A	48	48	5	NP	NP
				2230	JC04B	0	773-JC04B	49	49	6	NP	NP
				2240	JC05	0	770-JC05	47	47	7	NP	NP
				2250	JC06	0	770-JC06	47	47	8	NP	NP
				2260	JC07	0	770-JC07	47	47	9	NP	NP
				2270	JC08	0	770-JC08	47	47	10	NP	NP
				2280	JC09	0	770-JC09	47	47	11	NP	NP
				2290	JC10	0	770-JC10	47	47	12	NP	NP
				2300	JC11	0	770-JC11	47	47	13	NP	NP
				2310	JC12	0	770-JC12	47	47	14	NP	NP
				2330	JC13A	0	774-JC13A	50	50	15	NP	NP
				2340	JC13B	0	770-JC13B	47	47	16	NP	NP
				2350	JC14	0	776-JC14	98	98	17	NP	NP
				2360	JC15	0	777-JC15	51	51	18	NP	NP
				2370	JC16	0	777-JC16	51	51	19	NP	NP
				2390	JC18	0	778-JC18	83	83	20	NP	NP
				2400	JC19	0	778-JC19	83	83	21	NP	NP
		4050	Provisional 13	2410	ML011	0	890-ML011	84	84	1	NP	NP
				2411	ML012	0	891-ML012	84	84	2	NP	NP
				2412	ML01B	0	891-ML01B	84	84	3	NP	NP
				2413	ML021	0	893-ML021	52	52	4	NP	NP
				2430	ML022	0	892-ML022	53	53	5	NP	NP
				2440	ML023	0	894-ML023	54	54	6	NP	NP
				2441	ML024	0	895-ML024	55	55	7	NP	NP
				2450	ML03	0	895-ML03	55	55	8	NP	NP
				2460	ML04A	0	894-ML04A	54	54	9	NP	NP
				2461	ML04B	0	895-ML04B	55	55	10	NP	NP
				2462	ML04C	0	896-ML04C	55	55	11	NP	NP
				2470	ML05A	0	894-ML05A	54	54	12	NP	NP
				2471	ML05B	0	895-ML05B	55	55	13	NP	NP
				2472	ML05C	0	896-ML05C	55	55	14	NP	NP
				2480	ML06A	0	894-ML06A	54	54	15	NP	NP
				2481	ML06B	0	896-ML06B	55	55	16	NP	NP
				2482	ML071	0	896-ML071	55	55	17	NP	NP
				2483	ML072	0	895-ML072	55	55	18	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	4050	Provisional 13	2490	ML07A	0	893-ML07A	52	52	19	NP	NP
				2500	MP01	0	922-MP01	56	56	20	NP	NP
		4060	Provisional 14	2510	PA01	0	924-PA01	45	45	1	NP	NP
				2520	RW01	0	779-RW01	57	57	2	NP	NP
				2530	RW02	0	779-RW02	57	57	3	NP	NP
				2540	RW03	0	779-RW03	57	57	4	NP	NP
				2560	RW04	0	780-RW04	58	58	5	NP	NP
				2570	RW05	0	779-RW05	57	57	6	NP	NP
				2580	RW06	0	780-RW06	58	58	7	NP	NP
				2590	RW07A	0	781-RW07A	59	59	8	NP	NP
				2591	RW07B	0	779-RW07B	57	57	9	NP	NP
				2610	RW08	0	779-RW08	57	57	10	NP	NP
				2620	RW09	0	782-RW09	60	60	11	NP	NP
				2630	RW10	0	779-RW10	57	57	12	NP	NP
				2640	RW11A	0	779-RW11A	57	57	13	NP	NP
				2650	RW12	0	782-RW12	60	60	14	NP	NP
				2680	RW13	0	925-RW13	59	59	15	NP	NP
				2700	RW16	0	779-RW16	57	57	16	NP	NP
				2710	RW17	0	781-RW17	59	59	17	NP	NP
				2730	RW19	0	782-RW19	60	60	18	NP	NP
				2740	RW20	0	780-RW20	58	58	19	NP	NP
				2750	RW21	0	780-RW21	58	58	20	NP	NP
		4070	Provisional 15	2770	RW22A	0	780-RW22A	58	58	21	NP	NP
				2772	SC01A	0	991-SC01A	61	61	1	NP	NP
				2780	SC01B	0	1027-SC01B	61	61	2	NP	NP
				2781	SC01C	0	991-SC01C	61	61	3	NP	NP
				2782	SC01D	0	784-SC01D	61	61	4	NP	NP
				2790	SC02	0	1004-SC02	62	62	5	NP	NP
				2800	SC04	0	993-SC04	63	63	6	NP	NP
				2810	SC05A	0	996-SC05A	63	63	7	NP	NP
				2811	SC05B	0	997-SC05B	88	88	8	NP	NP
				2812	SC05D	0	998-SC05D	107	107	9	NP	NP
				2813	SC05E	0	1014-SC05E	107	107	10	NP	NP
				2820	SC07A	0	993-SC07A	63	63	11	NP	NP
				2821	SC07C	0	1022-SC07C	63	63	12	NP	NP
				2831	SC08B	0	1016-SC08B	85	85	13	NP	NP
				2832	SC08C	0	999-SC08C	64	64	14	NP	NP
				2833	SC08D	0	1011-SC08D	66	66	15	NP	NP
				2834	SC08E	0	1010-SC08E	65	65	16	NP	NP
				2835	SC08F	0	1001-SC08F	86	86	17	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	4070	Provisional 15	2836	SC08G	0	1015-SC08G	115	115	18	NP	NP
				2837	SC08H	0	1019-SC08H	115	115	19	NP	NP
		4080	Provisional 16	2840	SC09A	0	1011-SC09A	66	66	21	NP	NP
				2841	SC09B	0	1016-SC09B	85	85	1	NP	NP
				2842	SC09C	0	1012-SC09C	66	66	2	NP	NP
				2844	SC10	0	1011-SC10	66	66	3	NP	NP
				2861	SC11A	0	1012-SC11A	66	66	4	NP	NP
				2862	SC11B	0	1013-SC11B	85	85	5	NP	NP
				2863	SC13	0	993-SC13	63	63	6	NP	NP
				2890	SC14A	0	991-SC14A	61	61	7	NP	NP
				2891	SC14B	0	784-SC14B	61	61	8	NP	NP
				2895	SC15	0	992-SC15	107	107	9	NP	NP
				2900	SC16A	0	991-SC16A	61	61	10	NP	NP
				2901	SC16B	0	784-SC16B	61	61	11	NP	NP
				2920	SC17A	0	999-SC17A	64	64	12	NP	NP
				2921	SC17B	0	1001-SC17B	86	86	13	NP	NP
				2923	SC17C	0	1002-SC17C	87	87	14	NP	NP
				2930	SC18A	0	1003-SC18A	100	100	15	NP	NP
				2931	SC18B	0	994-SC18B	88	88	16	NP	NP
				2932	SC18C	0	997-SC18C	88	88	17	NP	NP
				2940	SC19A	0	999-SC19A	64	64	18	NP	NP
				2941	SC19B	0	1002-SC19B	87	87	19	NP	NP
		4090	Provisional 17	2945	SC20	0	994-SC20	88	88	21	NP	NP
				2950	SC211	0	1005-SC211	65	65	1	NP	NP
				2951	SC212	0	1006-SC212	65	65	2	NP	NP
				2960	SC23A	0	1012-SC23A	66	66	3	NP	NP
				2961	SC23B	0	1008-SC23B	85	85	4	NP	NP
				2962	SC23C	0	1007-SC23C	66	66	5	NP	NP
				2970	SC27	0	1012-SC27	66	66	6	NP	NP
				2980	SC29A	0	1005-SC29A	65	65	7	NP	NP
				2990	SC30A	0	1004-SC30A	62	62	8	NP	NP
				2991	SC30B	0	995-SC30B	62	62	9	NP	NP
				3000	SS01	0	898-SS01	67	67	10	NP	NP
				3010	SS02A	0	899-SS02A	68	68	11	NP	NP
				3020	SS02B	0	900-SS02B	69	69	12	NP	NP
				3030	SS03	0	900-SS03	69	69	13	NP	NP
				3040	SS04	0	901-SS04	69	69	14	NP	NP
				3050	SS05	0	902-SS05	70	70	15	NP	NP
				3060	SS06	0	903-SS06	72	72	16	NP	NP
				3070	SS07A	0	904-SS07A	71	71	17	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	4090	Provisional 17	3080	SS07B	0	903-SS07B	72	72	18	NP	NP
				3090	SS07C	0	905-SS07C	73	73	19	NP	NP
		4100	Provisional 18	3100	SS08A	0	905-SS08A	73	73	21	NP	NP
				3110	SS08B	0	903-SS08B	72	72	1	NP	NP
				3120	SS08C	0	904-SS08C	71	71	2	NP	NP
				3121	SS08D	0	906-SS08D	73	73	3	NP	NP
				3130	SS09A	0	907-SS09A	74	74	4	NP	NP
				3131	SS09B	0	908-SS09B	75	75	5	NP	NP
				3150	SS11A	0	909-SS11A	72	72	6	NP	NP
				3160	SS11B	0	910-SS11B	72	72	7	NP	NP
				3170	SS11C	0	911-SS11C	73	73	8	NP	NP
				3180	SS11D	0	908-SS11D	75	75	9	NP	NP
				3190	SS12	0	905-SS12	73	73	10	NP	NP
				3200	SS13A	0	912-SS13A	75	75	11	NP	NP
				3210	SS13B	0	908-SS13B	75	75	12	NP	NP
				3220	SS14	0	913-SS14	76	76	13	NP	NP
				3230	SS15A	0	914-SS15A	77	77	14	NP	NP
				3240	SS15B	0	915-SS15B	77	77	15	NP	NP
				3250	SS16	0	902-SS16	70	70	16	NP	NP
				3260	SS17	0	898-SS17	67	67	17	NP	NP
				3270	SS18A	0	902-SS18A	70	70	18	NP	NP
				3280	SS18B	0	916-SS18B	70	70	19	NP	NP
		4110	Provisional 19	3281	SS19A	0	917-SS19A	78	78	15	NP	NP
				3290	SS19B	0	918-SS19B	68	68	1	NP	NP
				3300	SS20	0	915-SS20	77	77	2	NP	NP
				3310	SS22	0	917-SS22	78	78	3	NP	NP
				3330	SS26	0	919-SS26	77	77	4	NP	NP
				3340	SS29A	0	920-SS29A	79	79	5	NP	NP
				3350	SS31	0	903-SS31	72	72	6	NP	NP
				3360	UC01A	0	802-UC01A	80	80	7	NP	NP
				3365	UC01B	0	923-UC01B	101	101	8	NP	NP
				3368	UC01D	0	945-UC01D	105	105	9	NP	NP
				3369	UC01E	0	968-UC01E	108	108	10	NP	NP
				3370	UC02A	0	804-UC02A	80	80	11	NP	NP
				3375	UC02B	0	805-UC02B	102	102	12	NP	NP
				3380	UC031	0	806-UC031	81	81	13	NP	NP
				3390	UC032	0	807-UC032	81	81	14	NP	NP
		3940	Provisional 2	210	02G	0	821-02G	5	5	1	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3940	Provisional 2	220	02J	1	821-02J	5	5	2	NP	NP
						10	FED 5	90	90	2	NP	NP
						20	FED 6	91	91	2	NP	NP
						30	FED 11	92	92	2	NP	NP
						40	FED 13	93	93	2	NP	NP
				225	02K	0	821-02K	5	5	3	NP	NP
				230	02L1	0	823-02L1	6	6	4	NP	NP
				240	02L2	0	824-02L2	7	7	5	NP	NP
				250	02S	0	821-02S	5	5	6	NP	NP
				260	02W	0	825-02W	8	8	7	NP	NP
				280	03A	0	826-03A	7	7	8	NP	NP
				300	03B	0	827-03B	9	9	9	NP	NP
				320	03C	0	827-03C	9	9	10	NP	NP
				330	03D	0	826-03D	7	7	11	NP	NP
				340	03E	0	826-03E	7	7	12	NP	NP
				350	03F	0	828-03F	8	8	13	NP	NP
				360	03G	0	826-03G	7	7	14	NP	NP
				370	03H	0	829-03H	10	10	15	NP	NP
				375	03I	0	826-03I	7	7	16	NP	NP
				380	03L	0	826-03L	7	7	17	NP	NP
				390	03M	0	829-03M	10	10	18	NP	NP
				400	03N	0	826-03N	7	7	19	NP	NP
				410	03P1A	0	828-03P1A	8	8	20	NP	NP
		3950	Provisional 3	420	03P2	0	830-03P2	11	11	1	NP	NP
				440	03S	0	829-03S	10	10	2	NP	NP
				450	03T	0	830-03T	11	11	3	NP	NP
				470	04A	0	831-04A	7	7	4	NP	NP
				480	04B	0	832-04B	12	12	5	NP	NP
				485	04C	0	831-04C	7	7	6	NP	NP
				490	04D	0	831-04D	7	7	7	NP	NP
				500	04F	0	833-04F	11	11	8	NP	NP
				510	04G	0	832-04G	12	12	9	NP	NP
				520	04I	0	832-04I	12	12	10	NP	NP
				525	04J	0	833-04J	11	11	11	NP	NP
				530	04K	0	832-04K	12	12	12	NP	NP
				540	04L	0	831-04L	7	7	13	NP	NP
				550	04M	0	834-04M	5	5	14	NP	NP
				560	04S	0	832-04S	12	12	15	NP	NP
				570	04T	0	832-04T	12	12	16	NP	NP
				575	04V	0	508-04V	82	82	17	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3950	Provisional 3	580	04W	0	832-04W	12	12	18	NP	NP
				590	04X1	0	835-04X1	6	6	19	NP	NP
				600	04X2	0	831-04X2	7	7	20	NP	NP
		3960	Provisional 4	615	05A1	0	956-05A1	2	2	1	NP	NP
				617	05A2	0	836-05A2	1	1	2	NP	NP
				620	05B	0	837-05B	5	5	3	NP	NP
				630	05C	0	837-05C	5	5	4	NP	NP
				631	05D	0	838-05D	12	12	5	NP	NP
				632	05F	0	837-05F	5	5	6	NP	NP
				633	05J	0	837-05J	5	5	7	NP	NP
				634	05K	0	837-05K	5	5	8	NP	NP
				660	06B	0	839-06B	5	5	9	NP	NP
				670	06D	0	840-06D	13	13	10	NP	NP
				680	06E	0	841-06E	8	8	11	NP	NP
				690	06F	0	961-06F	2	2	12	NP	NP
				700	06G	0	839-06G	5	5	13	NP	NP
				720	06I	0	843-06I	14	14	14	NP	NP
				730	06J	0	840-06J	13	13	15	NP	NP
				745	06L1	0	841-06L1	8	8	16	NP	NP
				746	06L2	0	844-06L2	17	17	17	NP	NP
				747	06N	0	844-06N	17	17	18	NP	NP
				748	06Q	0	843-06Q	14	14	19	NP	NP
				750	06R	0	841-06R	8	8	20	NP	NP
		3970	Provisional 5	770	07A	0	846-07A	15	15	1	NP	NP
				780	07B	0	846-07B	15	15	2	NP	NP
				790	07C	0	847-07C	16	16	3	NP	NP
				800	07D	0	847-07D	16	16	4	NP	NP
				810	07E	0	848-07E	14	14	5	NP	NP
				820	07F	0	848-07F	14	14	6	NP	NP
				840	07H	0	848-07H	14	14	7	NP	NP
				850	07J	0	849-07J	17	17	8	NP	NP
				880	07M	0	848-07M	14	14	9	NP	NP
				900	07N	0	848-07N	14	14	10	NP	NP
				910	08A	0	851-08A	18	18	11	NP	NP
				920	08B	0	852-08B	19	19	12	NP	NP
				930	08C	0	852-08C	19	19	13	NP	NP
				940	08D	0	852-08D	19	19	14	NP	NP
				950	08E	0	853-08E	20	20	15	NP	NP
				960	08F1	0	854-08F1	16	16	16	NP	NP
				970	08G	0	850-08G	14	14	17	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3970	Provisional 5	980	08H	0	850-08H	14	14	18	NP	NP
				990	08J	0	855-08J	21	21	19	NP	NP
		3980	Provisional 6	1000	08K	0	856-08K	22	22	1	NP	NP
				1010	08L	0	856-08L	22	22	2	NP	NP
				1020	08M	0	854-08M	16	16	3	NP	NP
				1030	08N1	0	852-08N1	19	19	4	NP	NP
				1035	08N2	0	857-08N2	15	15	5	NP	NP
				1040	08P	0	856-08P	22	22	6	NP	NP
				1050	09A	0	858-09A	23	23	7	NP	NP
				1060	09B	0	858-09B	23	23	8	NP	NP
				1070	09C	0	858-09C	23	23	9	NP	NP
				1080	09D	0	858-09D	23	23	10	NP	NP
				1090	09E	0	858-09E	23	23	11	NP	NP
				1100	09F	0	859-09F	24	24	12	NP	NP
				1110	09G	0	970-09G	10	10	13	NP	NP
				1116	09H	0	861-09H	22	22	14	NP	NP
				1117	09I	0	970-09I	10	10	15	NP	NP
				1120	09K	0	858-09K	23	23	16	NP	NP
				1140	09M	0	858-09M	23	23	17	NP	NP
				1150	10A	0	864-10A	25	25	18	NP	NP
				1151	10B	0	865-10B	10	10	19	NP	NP
				1160	10C	0	864-10C	25	25	20	NP	NP
		3990	Provisional 7	1170	10D	0	866-10D	23	23	1	NP	NP
				1180	10E	0	866-10E	23	23	2	NP	NP
				1190	10F	0	866-10F	23	23	3	NP	NP
				1200	10G	0	867-10G	9	9	4	NP	NP
				1205	10H1	0	873-10H1	23	23	5	NP	NP
				1210	10H2	0	865-10H2	10	10	6	NP	NP
				1211	10I	0	868-10I	103	103	7	NP	NP
				1220	10J	0	869-10J	9	9	8	NP	NP
				1225	10K	0	870-10K	6	6	9	NP	NP
				1240	10M	0	870-10M	6	6	10	NP	NP
				1260	10P	0	866-10P	23	23	11	NP	NP
				1270	10R	0	873-10R	23	23	12	NP	NP
				1310	11B	0	520-11B	26	26	13	NP	NP
				1330	11C	0	874-11C	10	10	14	NP	NP
				1340	11E1	0	962-11E1	27	27	15	NP	NP
				1350	11E2	0	877-11E2	33	33	16	NP	NP
				1360	11E3	0	875-11E3	28	28	17	NP	NP
				1380	11G	0	529-11G	29	29	18	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3990	Provisional 7	1390	11H	0	878-11H	6	6	19	NP	NP
				1420	11J	0	974-11J	30	30	20	NP	NP
		4000	Provisional 8	1430	11K	0	880-11K	31	31	1	NP	NP
				1440	11M	0	881-11M	32	32	2	NP	NP
				1450	11N	0	877-11N	33	33	3	NP	NP
				1460	11P	0	877-11P	33	33	4	NP	NP
				1470	11R	0	880-11R	31	31	5	NP	NP
				1480	12A	0	531-12A	2	2	6	NP	NP
				1510	12D	0	885-12D	3	3	7	NP	NP
				1520	12E1	0	882-12E1	1	1	8	NP	NP
				1530	12F	0	882-12F	1	1	9	NP	NP
				1540	12G	0	882-12G	1	1	10	NP	NP
				1545	12H1	0	882-12H1	1	1	11	NP	NP
				1550	12H2	0	885-12H2	3	3	12	NP	NP
				1551	12I	0	886-12I	29	29	13	NP	NP
				1560	12J	0	885-12J	3	3	14	NP	NP
				1561	12K	0	887-12K	82	82	15	NP	NP
				1570	12L	0	531-12L	2	2	16	NP	NP
				1580	12M	0	885-12M	3	3	17	NP	NP
				1581	12N	0	531-12N	2	2	18	NP	NP
				1590	12S	0	531-12S	2	2	19	NP	NP
		4010	Provisional 9	1610	AP01A	0	745-AP01A	34	34	1	NP	NP
				1620	AP01B	0	730-AP01B	34	34	2	NP	NP
				1630	AP01C	0	731-AP01C	34	34	3	NP	NP
				1631	AP01D	0	732-AP01D	34	34	4	NP	NP
				1641	AP02B	0	735-AP02B	35	35	5	NP	NP
				1650	AP03	0	735-AP03	35	35	6	NP	NP
				1660	AP04A	0	736-AP04A	36	36	7	NP	NP
				1661	AP04B	0	738-AP04B	36	36	8	NP	NP
				1670	AP05	0	739-AP05	37	37	9	NP	NP
				1680	AP06	0	740-AP06	38	38	10	NP	NP
				1690	AP07A	0	740-AP07A	38	38	11	NP	NP
				1700	AP07B	0	741-AP07B	95	95	12	NP	NP
				1710	AP09A	0	739-AP09A	37	37	13	NP	NP
				1720	AP09B	0	743-AP09B	39	39	14	NP	NP
				1721	AP021	0	734-AP021	94	94	15	NP	NP
				1722	AP022	0	737-AP022	94	94	16	NP	NP
				1730	AP10	0	740-AP10	38	38	17	NP	NP
				1740	AP12A	0	739-AP12A	37	37	18	NP	NP
				1741	AP12B	0	744-AP12B	104	104	19	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	4010	Provisional 9	1742	AP12C	0	740-AP12C	38	38	20	NP	NP
		2580	RW01	2520	RW01	0	779-RW01	57	57	1	NP	NP
		2590	RW02	2530	RW02	0	779-RW02	57	57	1	NP	NP
		2600	RW03	2540	RW03	0	779-RW03	57	57	1	NP	NP
		2620	RW04	2560	RW04	0	780-RW04	58	58	1	NP	NP
		2630	RW05	2570	RW05	0	779-RW05	57	57	1	NP	NP
		2640	RW06 & RW13	2580	RW06	0	780-RW06	58	58	1	NP	NP
				2680	RW13	0	925-RW13	59	59	2	NP	NP
		2670	RW08	2610	RW08	0	779-RW08	57	57	1	NP	NP
		2680	RW09 & RW19	2620	RW09	0	782-RW09	60	60	1	NP	NP
				2730	RW19	0	782-RW19	60	60	3	NP	NP
		2690	RW10	2630	RW10	0	779-RW10	57	57	1	NP	NP
		2700	RW11A	2640	RW11A	0	779-RW11A	57	57	1	NP	NP
		2710	RW12 & RW16	2650	RW12	0	782-RW12	60	60	1	NP	NP
				2700	RW16	0	779-RW16	57	57	3	NP	NP
		2770	RW17	2710	RW17	0	781-RW17	59	59	1	NP	NP
		2800	RW20	2740	RW20	0	780-RW20	58	58	1	NP	NP
		2830	RW22A	2770	RW22A	0	780-RW22A	58	58	1	NP	NP
		2835	SC01A, SC01B, SC01C, SC01D & SC	2772	SC01A	0	991-SC01A	61	61	1	NP	NP
				2780	SC01B	0	1027-SC01B	61	61	2	NP	NP
				2781	SC01C	0	991-SC01C	61	61	3	NP	NP
				2782	SC01D	0	784-SC01D	61	61	5	NP	NP
				2945	SC20	0	994-SC20	88	88	4	NP	NP
		2850	SC02	2790	SC02	0	1004-SC02	62	62	1	NP	NP
		2860	SC04	2800	SC04	0	993-SC04	63	63	1	NP	NP
		2870	SC05A, SC05B, SC05D & SC05E	2810	SC05A	0	996-SC05A	63	63	1	NP	NP
				2811	SC05B	0	997-SC05B	88	88	2	NP	NP
				2812	SC05D	0	998-SC05D	107	107	3	NP	NP
				2813	SC05E	0	1014-SC05E	107	107	4	NP	NP
				2863	SC13	0	993-SC13	63	63	5	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2880	SC07A & SC07C	2820	SC07A	0	993-SC07A	63	63	1	NP	NP
				2821	SC07C	0	1022-SC07C	63	63	2	NP	NP
		2890	SC08B, SC08C, SC08D, SC08E, SC08	2831	SC08B	0	1016-SC08B	85	85	2	NP	NP
				2832	SC08C	0	999-SC08C	64	64	3	NP	NP
				2833	SC08D	0	1011-SC08D	66	66	4	NP	NP
				2834	SC08E	0	1010-SC08E	65	65	5	NP	NP
				2835	SC08F	0	1001-SC08F	86	86	6	NP	NP
				2836	SC08G	0	1015-SC08G	115	115	7	NP	NP
				2837	SC08H	0	1019-SC08H	115	115	8	NP	NP
		2900	SC09A, SC09B, SC09C & SC27	2840	SC09A	0	1011-SC09A	66	66	1	NP	NP
				2841	SC09B	0	1016-SC09B	85	85	2	NP	NP
				2842	SC09C	0	1012-SC09C	66	66	4	NP	NP
				2970	SC27	0	1012-SC27	66	66	3	NP	NP
		2904	SC11A, SC11B & SC29A	2861	SC11A	0	1012-SC11A	66	66	1	NP	NP
				2862	SC11B	0	1013-SC11B	85	85	2	NP	NP
				2980	SC29A	0	1005-SC29A	65	65	3	NP	NP
		2906	SC14A & SC14B	2890	SC14A	0	991-SC14A	61	61	2	NP	NP
				2891	SC14B	0	784-SC14B	61	61	1	NP	NP
		2907	SC15	2895	SC15	0	992-SC15	107	107	1	NP	NP
		2960	SC16A & SC16B	2900	SC16A	0	991-SC16A	61	61	1	NP	NP
				2901	SC16B	0	784-SC16B	61	61	2	NP	NP
		2982	SC17A, SC17B, SC17C, SC18A, SC18	2920	SC17A	0	999-SC17A	64	64	5	NP	NP
				2921	SC17B	0	1001-SC17B	86	86	6	NP	NP
				2923	SC17C	0	1002-SC17C	87	87	4	NP	NP
				2930	SC18A	0	1003-SC18A	100	100	2	NP	NP
				2931	SC18B	0	994-SC18B	88	88	1	NP	NP
				2932	SC18C	0	997-SC18C	88	88	3	NP	NP
		3000	SC19A & SC19B	2940	SC19A	0	999-SC19A	64	64	1	NP	NP
				2941	SC19B	0	1002-SC19B	87	87	2	NP	NP
		3020	SC23A, SC23B & SC23C	2960	SC23A	0	1012-SC23A	66	66	1	NP	NP
				2961	SC23B	0	1008-SC23B	85	85	2	NP	NP
				2962	SC23C	0	1007-SC23C	66	66	3	NP	NP
		3050	SC30A & SC30B	2990	SC30A	0	1004-SC30A	62	62	1	NP	NP
				2991	SC30B	0	995-SC30B	62	62	2	NP	NP
		3060	SS01	3000	SS01	0	898-SS01	67	67	1	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3070	SS02A, SS02B, SS19A, SS19B, SS20 &	3010	SS02A	0	899-SS02A	68	68	1	NP	NP
				3020	SS02B	0	900-SS02B	69	69	2	NP	NP
				3281	SS19A	0	917-SS19A	78	78	3	NP	NP
				3290	SS19B	0	918-SS19B	68	68	4	NP	NP
				3300	SS20	0	915-SS20	77	77	5	NP	NP
				3330	SS26	0	919-SS26	77	77	6	NP	NP
		3090	SS03	3030	SS03	0	900-SS03	69	69	1	NP	NP
		3100	SS04	3040	SS04	0	901-SS04	69	69	1	NP	NP
		3120	SS05 & SS06	3050	SS05	0	902-SS05	70	70	2	NP	NP
				3060	SS06	0	903-SS06	72	72	1	NP	NP
		3130	SS07A, SS07B, SS07C, SS08A, SS08B	3070	SS07A	0	904-SS07A	71	71	1	NP	NP
				3080	SS07B	0	903-SS07B	72	72	2	NP	NP
				3090	SS07C	0	905-SS07C	73	73	3	NP	NP
				3100	SS08A	0	905-SS08A	73	73	5	NP	NP
				3110	SS08B	0	903-SS08B	72	72	6	NP	NP
				3120	SS08C	0	904-SS08C	71	71	7	NP	NP
				3121	SS08D	0	906-SS08D	73	73	4	NP	NP
		3190	SS09A & SS09B	3130	SS09A	0	907-SS09A	74	74	1	NP	NP
				3131	SS09B	0	908-SS09B	75	75	2	NP	NP
		3210	SS11A, SS11B, SS11C, SS11D, SS13A	3150	SS11A	0	909-SS11A	72	72	1	NP	NP
				3160	SS11B	0	910-SS11B	72	72	2	NP	NP
				3170	SS11C	0	911-SS11C	73	73	3	NP	NP
				3180	SS11D	0	908-SS11D	75	75	4	NP	NP
				3200	SS13A	0	912-SS13A	75	75	5	NP	NP
				3210	SS13B	0	908-SS13B	75	75	6	NP	NP
		3250	SS12 & SS14	3190	SS12	0	905-SS12	73	73	1	NP	NP
				3220	SS14	0	913-SS14	76	76	2	NP	NP
		3290	SS15A & SS15B	3230	SS15A	0	914-SS15A	77	77	1	NP	NP
				3240	SS15B	0	915-SS15B	77	77	2	NP	NP
		3295	SS16	3250	SS16	0	902-SS16	70	70	3	NP	NP
		3320	SS17	3260	SS17	0	898-SS17	67	67	1	NP	NP
		3330	SS18A, SS18B & SS31	3270	SS18A	0	902-SS18A	70	70	1	NP	NP
				3280	SS18B	0	916-SS18B	70	70	2	NP	NP
				3350	SS31	0	903-SS31	72	72	3	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3370	SS22	3310	SS22	0	917-SS22	78	78	1	NP	NP
		3400	SS29A	3340	SS29A	0	920-SS29A	79	79	1	NP	NP
		3420	UC01A, UC01B, UC01D & UC01E	3360	UC01A	0	802-UC01A	80	80	1	NP	NP
				3365	UC01B	0	923-UC01B	101	101	2	NP	NP
				3368	UC01D	0	945-UC01D	105	105	3	NP	NP
				3369	UC01E	0	968-UC01E	108	108	4	NP	NP
		3430	UC02A & UC02B	3370	UC02A	0	804-UC02A	80	80	1	NP	NP
				3375	UC02B	0	805-UC02B	102	102	2	NP	NP
		3440	UC031, UC032, SC211 & SC212	2950	SC211	0	1005-SC211	65	65	4	NP	NP
				2951	SC212	0	1006-SC212	65	65	5	NP	NP
				3380	UC031	0	806-UC031	81	81	1	NP	NP
				3390	UC032	0	807-UC032	81	81	2	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Jan 11, 2019
3:42:06PM

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	70	001 Harbins A	10	001 Harbins A	10	231-001	1	1	1	NP	NP
								1	2	1	NP	NP
						20	232-001	1	1	1	NP	NP
								1	2	1	NP	NP
						30	233-001	62	2	1	NP	NP
								62	63	1	NP	NP
		80	002 Rockbridge A	20	002 Rockbridge A	10	309-002	2	2	1	NP	NP
								2	3	1	NP	NP
		90	003 Dacula	30	003 Dacula	10	231-003	1	1	1	NP	NP
								1	2	1	NP	NP
						20	232-003	1	1	1	NP	NP
								1	2	1	NP	NP
		100	004 Suwanee A	40	004 Suwanee A	10	275-004	3	2	1	NP	NP
								3	4	1	NP	NP
						20	276-004	3	2	1	NP	NP
								3	4	1	NP	NP
						30	277-004	3	2	1	NP	NP
								3	4	1	NP	NP
		110	005 Baycreek A	50	005 Baycreek A	10	316-005	4	2	1	NP	NP
								4	5	1	NP	NP
						20	317-005	4	2	1	NP	NP
								4	5	1	NP	NP
		120	006 Goodwins A	60	006 Goodwins A	10	283-006	5	2	1	NP	NP
								5	6	1	NP	NP
		130	007 Duluth A	70	007 Duluth A	10	294-007	6	2	1	NP	NP
								6	7	1	NP	NP
						20	295-007	6	2	1	NP	NP
								6	7	1	NP	NP
						30	296-007	6	2	1	NP	NP
								6	7	1	NP	NP
		140	008 Duncans A	80	008 Duncans A	10	234-008	7	2	1	NP	NP
								7	8	1	NP	NP
		150	009 Pucketts A	90	009 Pucketts A	10	258-009	8	2	1	NP	NP
								8	9	1	NP	NP
						20	259-009	9	10	1	NP	NP
								9	11	1	NP	NP

GA008518

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	160	010 Cates A	100	010 Cates A	10	316-010	4	2	1	NP	NP
								4	5	1	NP	NP
						20	318-010	4	2	1	NP	NP
								4	5	1	NP	NP
		170	011 Berkshire A	110	011 Berkshire A	10	329-011	10	2	1	NP	NP
								10	12	1	NP	NP
		180	012 Berkshire B	120	012 Berkshire B	10	307-012	11	2	1	NP	NP
								11	13	1	NP	NP
						20	308-012	11	2	1	NP	NP
								11	13	1	NP	NP
		190	013 Duncans C	130	013 Duncans C	10	273-013	12	2	1	NP	NP
								12	14	1	NP	NP
		200	014 Garners A	140	014 Garners A	10	303-014	13	2	1	NP	NP
								13	15	1	NP	NP
		210	015 Lawrenceville A	150	015 Lawrenceville A	10	208-015	14	2	1	NP	NP
								14	16	1	NP	NP
						20	209-015	14	2	1	NP	NP
								14	16	1	NP	NP
						30	Fed - Cong 015	82	83	1	NP	NP
		220	016 Lawrenceville B	160	016 Lawrenceville B	10	214-016	15	2	1	NP	NP
								15	17	1	NP	NP
						20	215-016	15	2	1	NP	NP
								15	17	1	NP	NP
		230	017 Martins A	170	017 Martins A	10	345-017	16	2	1	NP	NP
								16	18	1	NP	NP
						20	346-017	17	2	1	NP	NP
								17	19	1	NP	NP
		240	018 Martins B	180	018 Martins B	10	236-018	18	2	1	NP	NP
								18	20	1	NP	NP
		250	019 Martins C	190	019 Martins C	10	327-019	19	2	1	NP	NP
								19	21	1	NP	NP
		260	020 Pinckneyville A	200	020 Pinckneyville A	10	340-020	20	2	1	NP	NP
								20	22	1	NP	NP
		270	021 Pinckneyville B	210	021 Pinckneyville B	10	330-021	21	2	1	NP	NP
								21	23	1	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	280	022 Pinckneyville C	220	022 Pinckneyville C	10	247-022	22	2	1	NP	NP
								22	24	1	NP	NP
		290	023 Pinckneyville D	230	023 Pinckneyville D	10	342-023	23	2	1	NP	NP
								23	25	1	NP	NP
		300	024 Sugar Hill A	240	024 Sugar Hill A	10	255-024	24	11	1	NP	NP
								24	26	1	NP	NP
						20	256-024	25	2	1	NP	NP
								25	27	1	NP	NP
						30	257-024	26	2	1	NP	NP
								26	28	1	NP	NP
						40	258-024	8	2	1	NP	NP
								8	9	1	NP	NP
						50	259-024	9	10	1	NP	NP
								9	11	1	NP	NP
						60	260-024	8	2	1	NP	NP
								8	9	1	NP	NP
						70	263-024	29	2	1	NP	NP
								29	30	1	NP	NP
						80	366-024	27	2	1	NP	NP
								27	29	1	NP	NP
		310	025 Sugar Hill B	250	025 Sugar Hill B	10	259-025	9	10	1	NP	NP
								9	11	1	NP	NP
						20	278-025	27	2	1	NP	NP
								27	29	1	NP	NP
						30	279-025	27	2	1	NP	NP
								27	29	1	NP	NP
						40	280-025	28	2	1	NP	NP
								28	10	1	NP	NP
						50	282-025	28	2	1	NP	NP
								28	10	1	NP	NP
		320	026 Hog Mountain A	260	026 Hog Mountain A	10	263-026	29	2	1	NP	NP
								29	30	1	NP	NP
						20	265-026	29	2	1	NP	NP
								29	30	1	NP	NP
		330	027 Hog Mountain B	270	027 Hog Mountain B	10	210-027	30	2	1	NP	NP
								30	31	1	NP	NP
						20	229-027	31	2	1	NP	NP
								31	32	1	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	340	028 Rockycreek A	280	028 Rockycreek A	10	231-028	1	1	1	NP	NP
								1	2	1	NP	NP
						20	234-028	7	2	1	NP	NP
								7	8	1	NP	NP
						30	235-028	7	2	1	NP	NP
								7	8	1	NP	NP
		350	029 Cates B	290	029 Cates B	10	323-029	32	2	1	NP	NP
								32	33	1	NP	NP
		360	030 Cates C	300	030 Cates C	10	312-030	33	2	1	NP	NP
								33	34	1	NP	NP
						20	313-030	33	2	1	NP	NP
								33	34	1	NP	NP
		370	031 Hog Mountain D	310	031 Hog Mountain D	10	252-031	34	2	1	NP	NP
								34	35	1	NP	NP
		380	032 Berkshire D	320	032 Berkshire D	10	303-032	13	2	1	NP	NP
								13	15	1	NP	NP
		390	033 Berkshire E	330	033 Berkshire E	10	303-033	13	2	1	NP	NP
								13	15	1	NP	NP
						20	304-033	13	2	1	NP	NP
								13	15	1	NP	NP
		400	034 Berkshire F	340	034 Berkshire F	10	325-034	35	2	1	NP	NP
								35	36	1	NP	NP
						20	326-034	35	2	1	NP	NP
								35	36	1	NP	NP
		410	035 Cates D	350	035 Cates D - 04	10	300-035	36	2	1	NP	NP
								36	37	1	NP	NP
						20	301-035	37	2	1	NP	NP
								37	38	1	NP	NP
						30	302-035	37	2	1	NP	NP
								37	38	1	NP	NP
				351	035 Cates D - 07	10	315 - 035	83	2	2	NP	NP
								83	84	2	NP	NP
		420	036 Cates E	360	036 Cates E	10	300-036	36	2	1	NP	NP
								36	37	1	NP	NP
		430	037 Pinckneyville E	370	037 Pinckneyville E	10	356-037	22	2	1	NP	NP
								22	24	1	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	440	038 Pinckneyville F	380	038 Pinckneyville F	10	333-038	38	2	1	NP	NP
								38	39	1	NP	NP
						20	334-038	38	2	1	NP	NP
								38	39	1	NP	NP
		450	039 Duncans D	390	039 Duncans D	10	271-039	39	2	1	NP	NP
								39	40	1	NP	NP
						20	272-039	39	2	1	NP	NP
								39	40	1	NP	NP
						30	361-039	39	2	1	NP	NP
								39	40	1	NP	NP
						40	362-039	39	2	1	NP	NP
								39	40	1	NP	NP
						50	363-039	39	2	1	NP	NP
								39	40	1	NP	NP
		460	040 Pinckneyville H	400	040 Pinckneyville H	20	288-040	40	2	1	NP	NP
								40	41	1	NP	NP
						30	289-040	40	2	1	NP	NP
								40	41	1	NP	NP
						40	358-040	40	2	1	NP	NP
								40	41	1	NP	NP
						50	359-040	40	2	1	NP	NP
								40	41	1	NP	NP
		470	041 Baycreek B	410	041 Baycreek B	10	201-041	41	2	1	NP	NP
								41	42	1	NP	NP
						20	202-041	41	2	1	NP	NP
								41	42	1	NP	NP
		480	042 Lawrenceville C	420	042 Lawrenceville C	10	250-042	42	2	1	NP	NP
								42	43	1	NP	NP
						20	251-042	42	2	1	NP	NP
								42	43	1	NP	NP
		490	043 Martins D	430	043 Martins D	10	344-043	43	2	1	NP	NP
								43	44	1	NP	NP
		500	044 Rockbridge B	440	044 Rockbridge B	10	311-044	44	2	1	NP	NP
								44	45	1	NP	NP
		510	045 Garners B	450	045 Garners B	10	311-045	44	2	1	NP	NP
								44	45	1	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	520	046 Cates F	460	046 Cates F	10	318-046	4	2	1	NP	NP
								4	5	1	NP	NP
		530	047 Cates G	470	047 Cates G	10	321-047	45	2	1	NP	NP
								45	46	1	NP	NP
						20	322-047	45	2	1	NP	NP
								45	46	1	NP	NP
		540	048 Duluth B	480	048 Duluth B	10	290-048	46	2	1	NP	NP
								46	47	1	NP	NP
						20	291-048	46	2	1	NP	NP
								46	47	1	NP	NP
		550	049 Garners C	490	049 Garners C	10	310-049	47	2	1	NP	NP
								47	48	1	NP	NP
		560	050 Pinckneyville I	500	050 Pinckneyville I	10	337-050	48	2	1	NP	NP
								48	49	1	NP	NP
		570	051 Pinckneyville J	510	051 Pinckneyville J	10	330-051	21	2	1	NP	NP
								21	23	1	NP	NP
		580	052 Berkshire G	520	052 Berkshire G	10	314-052	49	2	1	NP	NP
								49	50	1	NP	NP
		590	053 Cates H	530	053 Cates H	10	312-053	33	2	1	NP	NP
								33	34	1	NP	NP
						20	313-053	33	2	1	NP	NP
								33	34	1	NP	NP
		600	054 Sugar Hill C	540	054 Sugar Hill C	10	259-054	9	10	1	NP	NP
								9	11	1	NP	NP
						20	278-054	27	2	1	NP	NP
								27	29	1	NP	NP
						30	279-054	27	2	1	NP	NP
								27	29	1	NP	NP
						40	280-054	28	2	1	NP	NP
								28	10	1	NP	NP
						50	282-054	28	2	1	NP	NP
								28	10	1	NP	NP
		610	055 Sugar Hill D									

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	610	055 Sugar Hill D	550	055 Sugar Hill D	10	258-055	8	2	1	NP	NP
								8	9	1	NP	NP
						20	259-055	9	10	1	NP	NP
								9	11	1	NP	NP
						30	260-055	8	2	1	NP	NP
								8	9	1	NP	NP
						40	261-055	8	2	1	NP	NP
								8	9	1	NP	NP
						50	280-055	28	2	1	NP	NP
								28	10	1	NP	NP
		620	056 Duluth C	560	056 Duluth C	10	285-056	50	2	1	NP	NP
								50	51	1	NP	NP
						20	294-056	6	2	1	NP	NP
								6	7	1	NP	NP
						30	295-056	6	2	1	NP	NP
								6	7	1	NP	NP
		630	057 Duluth D	570	057 Duluth D	10	290-057	46	2	1	NP	NP
								46	47	1	NP	NP
						20	291-057	46	2	1	NP	NP
								46	47	1	NP	NP
		640	058 Pinckneyville K	580	058 Pinckneyville K	10	341-058	51	2	1	NP	NP
								51	52	1	NP	NP
						20	350-058	52	2	1	NP	NP
								52	53	1	NP	NP
		650	059 Pinckneyville N	590	059 Pinckneyville N	10	349-059	22	2	1	NP	NP
								22	24	1	NP	NP
						20	356-059	22	2	1	NP	NP
								22	24	1	NP	NP
						30	357-059	22	2	1	NP	NP
								22	24	1	NP	NP
		660	060 Lawrenceville D	600	060 Lawrenceville D	10	240-060	53	2	1	NP	NP
								53	54	1	NP	NP
						20	241-060	53	2	1	NP	NP
								53	54	1	NP	NP
						30	242-060	54	2	1	NP	NP
								54	55	1	NP	NP
						40	243-060	54	2	1	NP	NP
								54	55	1	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	670	061 Lawrenceville E	610	061 Lawrenceville E	10	245-061	55	2	1	NP	NP
								55	56	1	NP	NP
						20	249-061	55	2	1	NP	NP
								55	56	1	NP	NP
		680	062 Pinckneyville L	620	062 Pinckneyville L	10	247-062	22	2	1	NP	NP
								22	24	1	NP	NP
						20	248-062	22	2	1	NP	NP
								22	24	1	NP	NP
		690	063 Pinckneyville M	630	063 Pinckneyville M	0	359-063	40	2	1	NP	NP
								40	41	1	NP	NP
		700	064 Garners D	640	064 Garners D	10	309-064	2	2	1	NP	NP
								2	3	1	NP	NP
						20	311-064	44	2	1	NP	NP
								44	45	1	NP	NP
		710	065 Berkshire H	650	065 Berkshire H	10	305-065	56	2	1	NP	NP
								56	57	1	NP	NP
						20	306-065	56	2	1	NP	NP
								56	57	1	NP	NP
		720	066 Pinckneyville O	660	066 Pinckneyville O	10	330-066	21	2	1	NP	NP
								21	23	1	NP	NP
		730	067 Pucketts E	670	067 Pucketts E	10	258-067	8	2	1	NP	NP
								8	9	1	NP	NP
						20	259-067	9	10	1	NP	NP
								9	11	1	NP	NP
		740	068 Cates I	680	068 Cates I	10	319-068	57	2	1	NP	NP
								57	58	1	NP	NP
						20	320-068	57	2	1	NP	NP
								57	58	1	NP	NP
		750	069 Cates J	690	069 Cates J	10	299-069	58	2	1	NP	NP
								58	59	1	NP	NP
						20	300-069	36	2	1	NP	NP
								36	37	1	NP	NP
		760	070 Goodwins B	700	070 Goodwins B	10	266-070	59	2	1	NP	NP
								59	60	1	NP	NP
		770	071 Lawrenceville F									

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	770	071 Lawrenceville F	710	071 Lawrenceville F	10	242-071	54	2	1	NP	NP
								54	55	1	NP	NP
						20	243-071	54	2	1	NP	NP
								54	55	1	NP	NP
		780	072 Martins E	720	072 Martins E	10	344-072	43	2	1	NP	NP
								43	44	1	NP	NP
		790	073 Pinckneyville P	730	073 Pinckneyville P	10	247-073	22	2	1	NP	NP
								22	24	1	NP	NP
		800	074 Pinckneyville Q	740	074 Pinckneyville Q	10	344-074	43	2	1	NP	NP
								43	44	1	NP	NP
		810	075 Rockbridge C	750	075 Rockbridge C	10	309-075	2	2	1	NP	NP
								2	3	1	NP	NP
						20	311-075	44	2	1	NP	NP
								44	45	1	NP	NP
		820	076 Rockbridge D	760	076 Rockbridge D	10	201-076	41	2	1	NP	NP
								41	42	1	NP	NP
		830	077 Goodwins C	770	077 Goodwins C	10	297-077	60	2	1	NP	NP
								60	61	1	NP	NP
		840	078 Baycreek K	780	078 Baycreek K	10	211-078	61	2	1	NP	NP
								61	62	1	NP	NP
						20	212-078	61	2	1	NP	NP
								61	62	1	NP	NP
		850	079 Cates K	790	079 Cates K	10	319-079	57	2	1	NP	NP
								57	58	1	NP	NP
		860	080 Baycreek C	800	080 Baycreek C	10	233-080	62	2	1	NP	NP
								62	63	1	NP	NP
		870	081 Cates L	810	081 Cates L	10	301-081	37	2	1	NP	NP
								37	38	1	NP	NP
						20	302-081	37	2	1	NP	NP
								37	38	1	NP	NP
						30	312-081	33	2	1	NP	NP
								33	34	1	NP	NP
						40	313-081	33	2	1	NP	NP
								33	34	1	NP	NP
		880	082 Duluth E									

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	880	082 Duluth E	820	082 Duluth E	10	285-082	50	2	1	NP	NP
								50	51	1	NP	NP
		890	083 Goodwins D	830	083 Goodwins D	10	266-083	59	2	1	NP	NP
								59	60	1	NP	NP
		900	084 Lawrenceville G	840	084 Lawrenceville G	10	206-084	63	2	1	NP	NP
								63	64	1	NP	NP
						20	207-084	63	2	1	NP	NP
								63	64	1	NP	NP
		910	085 Lawrenceville H	850	085 Lawrenceville H	10	250-085	42	2	1	NP	NP
								42	43	1	NP	NP
						20	266-085	59	2	1	NP	NP
								59	60	1	NP	NP
						30	267-085	59	2	1	NP	NP
								59	60	1	NP	NP
		920	086 Martins F	860	086 Martins F	10	344-086	43	2	1	NP	NP
								43	44	1	NP	NP
		930	087 Pinckneyville S	870	087 Pinckneyville S	10	337-087	48	2	1	NP	NP
								48	49	1	NP	NP
						20	338-087	48	2	1	NP	NP
								48	49	1	NP	NP
		940	088 Rockbridge E	880	088 Rockbridge E	10	311-088	44	2	1	NP	NP
								44	45	1	NP	NP
		950	089 Sugar Hill E	890	089 Sugar Hill E	10	253-089	64	2	1	NP	NP
								64	65	1	NP	NP
						20	254-089	64	2	1	NP	NP
								64	65	1	NP	NP
						30	255-089	24	11	1	NP	NP
								24	26	1	NP	NP
		960	090 Lawrenceville I	900	090 Lawrenceville I	10	364-090	65	2	1	NP	NP
								65	66	1	NP	NP
						20	365-090	65	2	1	NP	NP
								65	66	1	NP	NP
		970	091 Baycreek D	910	091 Baycreek D	10	211-091	61	2	1	NP	NP
								61	62	1	NP	NP
						20	212-091	61	2	1	NP	NP
								61	62	1	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	980	092 Berkshire J	920	092 Berkshire J	10	303-092	13	2	1	NP	NP
								13	15	1	NP	NP
						20	304-092	13	2	1	NP	NP
								13	15	1	NP	NP
		990	093 Cates M	930	093 Cates M	10	319-093	57	2	1	NP	NP
								57	58	1	NP	NP
		1000	094 Cates N	940	094 Cates N	10	316-094	4	2	1	NP	NP
								4	5	1	NP	NP
						20	318-094	4	2	1	NP	NP
								4	5	1	NP	NP
		1010	095 Duluth F	950	095 Duluth F	5	297-095	60	2	1	NP	NP
								60	61	1	NP	NP
						10	352-095	46	2	1	NP	NP
								46	47	1	NP	NP
		1020	096 Duluth G	960	096 Duluth G	10	294-096	6	2	1	NP	NP
								6	7	1	NP	NP
						20	295-096	6	2	1	NP	NP
								6	7	1	NP	NP
		1030	097 Goodwins E	970	097 Goodwins E	10	297-097	60	2	1	NP	NP
								60	61	1	NP	NP
						20	298-097	60	2	1	NP	NP
								60	61	1	NP	NP
		1040	098 Goodwins F	980	098 Goodwins F	10	262-098	66	2	1	NP	NP
								66	67	1	NP	NP
		1050	099 Pinckneyville T	990	099 Pinckneyville T	10	281-099	67	2	1	NP	NP
								67	68	1	NP	NP
						20	293-099	67	2	1	NP	NP
								67	68	1	NP	NP
						30	360-099	67	2	1	NP	NP
								67	68	1	NP	NP
		1060	100 Suwanee B	1000	100 Suwanee B	10	263-100	29	2	1	NP	NP
								29	30	1	NP	NP
						20	264-100	29	2	1	NP	NP
								29	30	1	NP	NP
						30	265-100	29	2	1	NP	NP
								29	30	1	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	1070	101 Pinckneyville U	1010	101 Pinckneyville U	10	356-101	22	2	1	NP	NP
								22	24	1	NP	NP
		1080	102 Pucketts B	1020	102 Pucketts B	10	258-102	8	2	1	NP	NP
								8	9	1	NP	NP
						20	259-102	9	10	1	NP	NP
								9	11	1	NP	NP
		1090	103 Berkshire L	1030	103 Berkshire L	10	351-103	68	2	1	NP	NP
								68	69	1	NP	NP
		1100	104 Rockbridge F	1040	104 Rockbridge F	10	201-104	41	2	1	NP	NP
								41	42	1	NP	NP
		1110	105 Sugar Hill F	1050	105 Sugar Hill F	10	253-105	64	2	1	NP	NP
								64	65	1	NP	NP
						20	254-105	64	2	1	NP	NP
								64	65	1	NP	NP
		1120	106 Suwanee C	1060	106 Suwanee C	10	294-106	6	2	1	NP	NP
								6	7	1	NP	NP
						20	296-106	6	2	1	NP	NP
								6	7	1	NP	NP
		1130	107 Cates O	1070	107 Cates O	10	323-107	32	2	1	NP	NP
								32	33	1	NP	NP
		1140	108 Baycreek E	1080	108 Baycreek E	10	203-108	69	2	1	NP	NP
								69	70	1	NP	NP
						20	204-108	69	2	1	NP	NP
								69	70	1	NP	NP
						30	205-108	69	2	1	NP	NP
								69	70	1	NP	NP
		1150	109 Berkshire M	1090	109 Berkshire M	10	323-109	32	2	1	NP	NP
								32	33	1	NP	NP
						20	324-109	32	2	1	NP	NP
								32	33	1	NP	NP
		1160	110 Martins G	1100	110 Martins G	10	236-110	18	2	1	NP	NP
								18	20	1	NP	NP
						20	237-110	18	2	1	NP	NP
								18	20	1	NP	NP
		1170	111 Duluth H									

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	1170	111 Duluth H	1110	111 Duluth H	10	294-111	6	2	1	NP	NP
								6	7	1	NP	NP
						20	295-111	6	2	1	NP	NP
								6	7	1	NP	NP
		1180	112 Berkshire N	1120	112 Berkshire N	10	303-112	13	2	1	NP	NP
								13	15	1	NP	NP
		1190	113 Pinckneyville V	1130	113 Pinckneyville V	10	330-113	21	2	1	NP	NP
								21	23	1	NP	NP
		1200	114 Pinckneyville W	1140	114 Pinckneyville W	30	336-114	70	2	1	NP	NP
								70	71	1	NP	NP
						40	340-114	20	2	1	NP	NP
								20	22	1	NP	NP
						50	367-114	70	2	1	NP	NP
								70	71	1	NP	NP
		1210	115 Martins H	1150	115 Martins H	10	244-115	71	2	1	NP	NP
								71	72	1	NP	NP
		1220	116 Martins I	1160	116 Martins I	10	345-116	16	2	1	NP	NP
								16	18	1	NP	NP
		1230	117 Martins J	1170	117 Martins J	10	345-117	16	2	1	NP	NP
								16	18	1	NP	NP
		1240	118 Garners F	1180	118 Garners F	10	310-118	47	2	1	NP	NP
								47	48	1	NP	NP
		1250	119 Berkshire O	1190	119 Berkshire O	10	323-119	32	2	1	NP	NP
								32	33	1	NP	NP
		1260	120 Berkshire P	1200	120 Berkshire P	10	328-120	10	2	1	NP	NP
								10	12	1	NP	NP
						20	329-120	10	2	1	NP	NP
								10	12	1	NP	NP
		1270	121 Pinckneyville X	1210	121 Pinckneyville X	10	358-121	40	2	1	NP	NP
								40	41	1	NP	NP
						20	359-121	40	2	1	NP	NP
								40	41	1	NP	NP
		1280	122 Rockbridge G	1220	122 Rockbridge G	10	309-122	2	2	1	NP	NP
								2	3	1	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	1290	123 Pinckneyville Y	1230	123 Pinckneyville Y	10	330-123	21	2	1	NP	NP
								21	23	1	NP	NP
						20	331-123	72	2	1	NP	NP
								72	73	1	NP	NP
		1300	124 Goodwins G	1240	124 Goodwins G	10	284-124	73	2	1	NP	NP
								73	74	1	NP	NP
		1310	125 Pinckneyville Z	1250	125 Pinckneyville Z	10	247-125	22	2	1	NP	NP
								22	24	1	NP	NP
						20	248-125	22	2	1	NP	NP
								22	24	1	NP	NP
		1320	126 Pinckneyville A1	1260	126 Pinckneyville A1	10	247-126	22	2	1	NP	NP
								22	24	1	NP	NP
		1330	127 Lawrenceville J	1270	127 Lawrenceville J	10	206-127	63	2	1	NP	NP
								63	64	1	NP	NP
		1340	128 Goodwins H	1280	128 Goodwins H	10	297-128	60	2	1	NP	NP
								60	61	1	NP	NP
		1350	129 Duncans B	1290	129 Duncans B	10	273-129	12	2	1	NP	NP
								12	14	1	NP	NP
		1360	130 Suwanee D	1300	130 Suwanee D	10	278-130	27	2	1	NP	NP
								27	29	1	NP	NP
						20	279-130	27	2	1	NP	NP
								27	29	1	NP	NP
		1370	131 Suwanee E	1310	131 Suwanee E	10	275-131	3	2	1	NP	NP
								3	4	1	NP	NP
						20	276-131	3	2	1	NP	NP
								3	4	1	NP	NP
						30	277-131	3	2	1	NP	NP
								3	4	1	NP	NP
		1380	132 Lawrenceville K	1320	132 Lawrenceville K	10	266-132	59	2	1	NP	NP
								59	60	1	NP	NP
		1390	133 Harbins B	1330	133 Harbins B	10	231-133	1	1	1	NP	NP
								1	2	1	NP	NP
						20	232-133	1	1	1	NP	NP
								1	2	1	NP	NP
		1400	134 Baycreek F									

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	1400	134 Baycreek F	1340	134 Baycreek F	10	223-134	74	2	1	NP	NP
								74	75	1	NP	NP
						20	224-134	74	2	1	NP	NP
								74	75	1	NP	NP
						30	225-134	74	2	1	NP	NP
								74	75	1	NP	NP
		1410	135 Pucketts C	1350	135 Pucketts C	10	268-135	75	2	1	NP	NP
								75	76	1	NP	NP
						20	270-135	75	2	1	NP	NP
								75	76	1	NP	NP
		1420	136 Hog Mountain C	1360	136 Hog Mountain C	10	258-136	8	2	1	NP	NP
								8	9	1	NP	NP
						20	268-136	75	2	1	NP	NP
								75	76	1	NP	NP
		1430	137 Rockycreek B	1370	137 Rockycreek B	10	222-137	76	2	1	NP	NP
								76	77	1	NP	NP
		1440	138 Duluth I	1380	138 Duluth I	10	290-138	46	2	1	NP	NP
								46	47	1	NP	NP
						20	294-138	6	2	1	NP	NP
								6	7	1	NP	NP
						30	295-138	6	2	1	NP	NP
								6	7	1	NP	NP
		1450	139 Martins K	1390	139 Martins K	10	221-139	77	2	1	NP	NP
								77	78	1	NP	NP
		1460	140 Suwanee H	1400	140 Suwanee H	10	275-140	3	2	1	NP	NP
								3	4	1	NP	NP
						20	277-140	3	2	1	NP	NP
								3	4	1	NP	NP
		1470	141 Sugar Hill G	1410	141 Sugar Hill G	10	278-141	27	2	1	NP	NP
								27	29	1	NP	NP
						20	279-141	27	2	1	NP	NP
								27	29	1	NP	NP
		1480	142 Suwanee F	1420	142 Suwanee F	10	263-142	29	2	1	NP	NP
								29	30	1	NP	NP
						20	265-142	29	2	1	NP	NP
								29	30	1	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	1490	143 Lawrenceville L	1430	143 Lawrenceville L	10	216-143	78	2	1	NP	NP
								78	79	1	NP	NP
						20	217-143	78	2	1	NP	NP
								78	79	1	NP	NP
		1500	144 Lawrenceville M	1440	144 Lawrenceville M	10	240-144	53	2	1	NP	NP
								53	54	1	NP	NP
						50	241-144	53	2	1	NP	NP
								53	54	1	NP	NP
		1510	145 Baycreek G	1450	145 Baycreek G	10	226-145	79	2	1	NP	NP
								79	80	1	NP	NP
						20	227-145	79	2	1	NP	NP
								79	80	1	NP	NP
						30	228-145	79	2	1	NP	NP
								79	80	1	NP	NP
		1520	146 Baycreek H	1460	146 Baycreek H	10	211-146	61	2	1	NP	NP
								61	62	1	NP	NP
						20	212-146	61	2	1	NP	NP
								61	62	1	NP	NP
						30	219-146	80	2	1	NP	NP
								80	81	1	NP	NP
						40	220-146	80	2	1	NP	NP
								80	81	1	NP	NP
		1530	147 Baycreek I	1470	147 Baycreek I	10	211-147	61	2	1	NP	NP
								61	62	1	NP	NP
						20	213-147	61	2	1	NP	NP
								61	62	1	NP	NP
		1540	148 Berkshire Q	1480	148 Berkshire Q	20	303-148	13	2	1	NP	NP
								13	15	1	NP	NP
						30	304-148	13	2	1	NP	NP
								13	15	1	NP	NP
						40	307-148	11	2	1	NP	NP
								11	13	1	NP	NP
						50	308-148	11	2	1	NP	NP
								11	13	1	NP	NP
		1550	149 Goodwins I	1490	149 Goodwins I	10	283-149	5	2	1	NP	NP
								5	6	1	NP	NP
		1560	150 Duluth K									

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	1560	150 Duluth K	1500	150 Duluth K	10	352-150	46	2	1	NP	NP
								46	47	1	NP	NP
		1570	151 Harbins C	1510	151 Harbins C	10	233-151	62	2	1	NP	NP
								62	63	1	NP	NP
		1580	152 Rockycreek C	1520	152 Rockycreek C	10	231-152	1	1	1	NP	NP
								1	2	1	NP	NP
		1590	153 Lawrenceville N	1530	153 Lawrenceville N	10	218-153	81	2	1	NP	NP
								81	82	1	NP	NP
		1600	154 Pucketts D	1540	154 Pucketts D	10	268-154	75	2	1	NP	NP
								75	76	1	NP	NP
		1610	155 Suwanee G	1550	155 Suwanee G	10	294-155	6	2	1	NP	NP
								6	7	1	NP	NP
						20	296-155	6	2	1	NP	NP
								6	7	1	NP	NP
		1620	156 Baycreek J	1560	156 Baycreek J	10	203-156	69	2	1	NP	NP
								69	70	1	NP	NP
						20	205-156	69	2	1	NP	NP
								69	70	1	NP	NP
		40	ABM 001-003	10	001 Harbins A	10	231-001	1	1	1	NP	NP
								1	2	1	NP	NP
						20	232-001	1	1	1	NP	NP
								1	2	1	NP	NP
						30	233-001	62	2	1	NP	NP
								62	63	1	NP	NP
				20	002 Rockbridge A	10	309-002	2	2	2	NP	NP
								2	3	2	NP	NP
				30	003 Dacula	10	231-003	1	1	3	NP	NP
								1	2	3	NP	NP
						20	232-003	1	1	3	NP	NP
								1	2	3	NP	NP
		1630	ABM 004-006	40	004 Suwanee A	10	275-004	3	2	1	NP	NP
								3	4	1	NP	NP
						20	276-004	3	2	1	NP	NP
								3	4	1	NP	NP
						30	277-004	3	2	1	NP	NP
								3	4	1	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	1630	ABM 004-006	50	005 Baycreek A	10	316-005	4	2	2	NP	NP
								4	5	2	NP	NP
						20	317-005	4	2	2	NP	NP
								4	5	2	NP	NP
				60	006 Goodwins A	10	283-006	5	2	3	NP	NP
								5	6	3	NP	NP
		1640	ABM 007-009	70	007 Duluth A	10	294-007	6	2	1	NP	NP
								6	7	1	NP	NP
						20	295-007	6	2	1	NP	NP
								6	7	1	NP	NP
						30	296-007	6	2	1	NP	NP
								6	7	1	NP	NP
				80	008 Duncans A	10	234-008	7	2	2	NP	NP
								7	8	2	NP	NP
				90	009 Pucketts A	10	258-009	8	2	3	NP	NP
								8	9	3	NP	NP
						20	259-009	9	10	3	NP	NP
								9	11	3	NP	NP
		1650	ABM 010-012	100	010 Cates A	10	316-010	4	2	1	NP	NP
								4	5	1	NP	NP
						20	318-010	4	2	1	NP	NP
								4	5	1	NP	NP
				110	011 Berkshire A	10	329-011	10	2	2	NP	NP
								10	12	2	NP	NP
				120	012 Berkshire B	10	307-012	11	2	3	NP	NP
								11	13	3	NP	NP
						20	308-012	11	2	3	NP	NP
								11	13	3	NP	NP
		1660	ABM 013-015	130	013 Duncans C	10	273-013	12	2	1	NP	NP
								12	14	1	NP	NP
				140	014 Garners A	10	303-014	13	2	2	NP	NP
								13	15	2	NP	NP
				150	015 Lawrenceville A	10	208-015	14	2	3	NP	NP
								14	16	3	NP	NP
						20	209-015	14	2	3	NP	NP
								14	16	3	NP	NP
						30	Fed - Cong 015	82	83	3	NP	NP
		1670	ABM 016-018									

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	1670	ABM 016-018	160	016 Lawrenceville B	10	214-016	15	2	1	NP	NP
								15	17	1	NP	NP
						20	215-016	15	2	1	NP	NP
								15	17	1	NP	NP
				170	017 Martins A	10	345-017	16	2	2	NP	NP
								16	18	2	NP	NP
						20	346-017	17	2	2	NP	NP
								17	19	2	NP	NP
				180	018 Martins B	10	236-018	18	2	3	NP	NP
								18	20	3	NP	NP
		1680	ABM 019-021	190	019 Martins C	10	327-019	19	2	1	NP	NP
								19	21	1	NP	NP
				200	020 Pinckneyville A	10	340-020	20	2	2	NP	NP
								20	22	2	NP	NP
				210	021 Pinckneyville B	10	330-021	21	2	3	NP	NP
								21	23	3	NP	NP
		1690	ABM 022-024	220	022 Pinckneyville C	10	247-022	22	2	1	NP	NP
								22	24	1	NP	NP
				230	023 Pinckneyville D	10	342-023	23	2	2	NP	NP
								23	25	2	NP	NP
				240	024 Sugar Hill A	10	255-024	24	11	3	NP	NP
								24	26	3	NP	NP
						20	256-024	25	2	3	NP	NP
								25	27	3	NP	NP
						30	257-024	26	2	3	NP	NP
								26	28	3	NP	NP
						40	258-024	8	2	3	NP	NP
								8	9	3	NP	NP
						50	259-024	9	10	3	NP	NP
								9	11	3	NP	NP
						60	260-024	8	2	3	NP	NP
								8	9	3	NP	NP
						70	263-024	29	2	3	NP	NP
								29	30	3	NP	NP
						80	366-024	27	2	3	NP	NP
								27	29	3	NP	NP
		1700	ABM 025-027									

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	1700	ABM 025-027	250	025 Sugar Hill B	10	259-025	9	10	1	NP	NP
								9	11	1	NP	NP
						20	278-025	27	2	1	NP	NP
								27	29	1	NP	NP
						30	279-025	27	2	1	NP	NP
								27	29	1	NP	NP
						40	280-025	28	2	1	NP	NP
								28	10	1	NP	NP
						50	282-025	28	2	1	NP	NP
								28	10	1	NP	NP
				260	026 Hog Mountain A	10	263-026	29	2	2	NP	NP
								29	30	2	NP	NP
						20	265-026	29	2	2	NP	NP
								29	30	2	NP	NP
				270	027 Hog Mountain B	10	210-027	30	2	3	NP	NP
								30	31	3	NP	NP
						20	229-027	31	2	3	NP	NP
								31	32	3	NP	NP
		1710	ABM 028-030	280	028 Rockycreek A	10	231-028	1	1	1	NP	NP
								1	2	1	NP	NP
						20	234-028	7	2	1	NP	NP
								7	8	1	NP	NP
						30	235-028	7	2	1	NP	NP
								7	8	1	NP	NP
				290	029 Cates B	10	323-029	32	2	2	NP	NP
								32	33	2	NP	NP
				300	030 Cates C	10	312-030	33	2	3	NP	NP
								33	34	3	NP	NP
						20	313-030	33	2	3	NP	NP
								33	34	3	NP	NP
		1720	ABM 031-033	310	031 Hog Mountain D	10	252-031	34	2	1	NP	NP
								34	35	1	NP	NP
				320	032 Berkshire D	10	303-032	13	2	2	NP	NP
								13	15	2	NP	NP
				330	033 Berkshire E	10	303-033	13	2	3	NP	NP
								13	15	3	NP	NP
						20	304-033	13	2	3	NP	NP
								13	15	3	NP	NP
		1730	ABM 034-036									

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	1730	ABM 034-036	340	034 Berkshire F	10	325-034	35	2	1	NP	NP
								35	36	1	NP	NP
						20	326-034	35	2	1	NP	NP
								35	36	1	NP	NP
				350	035 Cates D - 04	10	300-035	36	2	2	NP	NP
								36	37	2	NP	NP
						20	301-035	37	2	2	NP	NP
								37	38	2	NP	NP
						30	302-035	37	2	2	NP	NP
								37	38	2	NP	NP
				351	035 Cates D - 07	10	315 - 035	83	2	4	NP	NP
								83	84	4	NP	NP
				360	036 Cates E	10	300-036	36	2	3	NP	NP
								36	37	3	NP	NP
		1740	ABM 037-039	370	037 Pinckneyville E	10	356-037	22	2	1	NP	NP
								22	24	1	NP	NP
				380	038 Pinckneyville F	10	333-038	38	2	2	NP	NP
								38	39	2	NP	NP
						20	334-038	38	2	2	NP	NP
								38	39	2	NP	NP
				390	039 Duncans D	10	271-039	39	2	3	NP	NP
								39	40	3	NP	NP
						20	272-039	39	2	3	NP	NP
								39	40	3	NP	NP
						30	361-039	39	2	3	NP	NP
								39	40	3	NP	NP
						40	362-039	39	2	3	NP	NP
								39	40	3	NP	NP
						50	363-039	39	2	3	NP	NP
								39	40	3	NP	NP
		1750	ABM 040-042	400	040 Pinckneyville H	20	288-040	40	2	1	NP	NP
								40	41	1	NP	NP
						30	289-040	40	2	1	NP	NP
								40	41	1	NP	NP
						40	358-040	40	2	1	NP	NP
								40	41	1	NP	NP
						50	359-040	40	2	1	NP	NP
								40	41	1	NP	NP

GA008538

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	1750	ABM 040-042	410	041 Baycreek B	10	201-041	41	2	2	NP	NP
								41	42	2	NP	NP
						20	202-041	41	2	2	NP	NP
								41	42	2	NP	NP
				420	042 Lawrenceville C	10	250-042	42	2	3	NP	NP
								42	43	3	NP	NP
						20	251-042	42	2	3	NP	NP
								42	43	3	NP	NP
		1760	ABM 043-045	430	043 Martins D	10	344-043	43	2	1	NP	NP
								43	44	1	NP	NP
				440	044 Rockbridge B	10	311-044	44	2	2	NP	NP
								44	45	2	NP	NP
				450	045 Garners B	10	311-045	44	2	3	NP	NP
								44	45	3	NP	NP
		1770	ABM 046-048	460	046 Cates F	10	318-046	4	2	1	NP	NP
								4	5	1	NP	NP
				470	047 Cates G	10	321-047	45	2	2	NP	NP
								45	46	2	NP	NP
						20	322-047	45	2	2	NP	NP
								45	46	2	NP	NP
				480	048 Duluth B	10	290-048	46	2	3	NP	NP
								46	47	3	NP	NP
						20	291-048	46	2	3	NP	NP
								46	47	3	NP	NP
		1780	ABM 049-051	490	049 Garners C	10	310-049	47	2	1	NP	NP
								47	48	1	NP	NP
				500	050 Pinckneyville I	10	337-050	48	2	2	NP	NP
								48	49	2	NP	NP
				510	051 Pinckneyville J	10	330-051	21	2	3	NP	NP
								21	23	3	NP	NP
		1790	ABM 052-054	520	052 Berkshire G	10	314-052	49	2	1	NP	NP
								49	50	1	NP	NP
				530	053 Cates H	10	312-053	33	2	2	NP	NP
								33	34	2	NP	NP
						20	313-053	33	2	2	NP	NP
								33	34	2	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	1790	ABM 052-054	540	054 Sugar Hill C	10	259-054	9	10	3	NP	NP
								9	11	3	NP	NP
						20	278-054	27	2	3	NP	NP
								27	29	3	NP	NP
						30	279-054	27	2	3	NP	NP
								27	29	3	NP	NP
						40	280-054	28	2	3	NP	NP
								28	10	3	NP	NP
						50	282-054	28	2	3	NP	NP
								28	10	3	NP	NP
		1800	ABM 055-057	550	055 Sugar Hill D	10	258-055	8	2	1	NP	NP
								8	9	1	NP	NP
						20	259-055	9	10	1	NP	NP
								9	11	1	NP	NP
						30	260-055	8	2	1	NP	NP
								8	9	1	NP	NP
						40	261-055	8	2	1	NP	NP
								8	9	1	NP	NP
						50	280-055	28	2	1	NP	NP
								28	10	1	NP	NP
				560	056 Duluth C	10	285-056	50	2	2	NP	NP
								50	51	2	NP	NP
						20	294-056	6	2	2	NP	NP
								6	7	2	NP	NP
						30	295-056	6	2	2	NP	NP
								6	7	2	NP	NP
				570	057 Duluth D	10	290-057	46	2	3	NP	NP
								46	47	3	NP	NP
						20	291-057	46	2	3	NP	NP
								46	47	3	NP	NP
		1810	ABM 058-060	580	058 Pinckneyville K	10	341-058	51	2	1	NP	NP
								51	52	1	NP	NP
						20	350-058	52	2	1	NP	NP
								52	53	1	NP	NP
				590	059 Pinckneyville N	10	349-059	22	2	2	NP	NP
								22	24	2	NP	NP
						20	356-059	22	2	2	NP	NP
								22	24	2	NP	NP
						30	357-059	22	2	2	NP	NP
								22	24	2	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	1810	ABM 058-060	600	060 Lawrenceville D	10	240-060	53	2	3	NP	NP
								53	54	3	NP	NP
						20	241-060	53	2	3	NP	NP
								53	54	3	NP	NP
						30	242-060	54	2	3	NP	NP
								54	55	3	NP	NP
						40	243-060	54	2	3	NP	NP
								54	55	3	NP	NP
		1820	ABM 061-063	610	061 Lawrenceville E	10	245-061	55	2	1	NP	NP
								55	56	1	NP	NP
						20	249-061	55	2	1	NP	NP
								55	56	1	NP	NP
				620	062 Pinckneyville L	10	247-062	22	2	2	NP	NP
								22	24	2	NP	NP
						20	248-062	22	2	2	NP	NP
								22	24	2	NP	NP
				630	063 Pinckneyville M	0	359-063	40	2	3	NP	NP
								40	41	3	NP	NP
		1830	ABM 064-066	640	064 Garners D	10	309-064	2	2	1	NP	NP
								2	3	1	NP	NP
						20	311-064	44	2	1	NP	NP
								44	45	1	NP	NP
				650	065 Berkshire H	10	305-065	56	2	2	NP	NP
								56	57	2	NP	NP
						20	306-065	56	2	2	NP	NP
								56	57	2	NP	NP
				660	066 Pinckneyville O	10	330-066	21	2	3	NP	NP
								21	23	3	NP	NP
		1840	ABM 067-069	670	067 Pucketts E	10	258-067	8	2	1	NP	NP
								8	9	1	NP	NP
						20	259-067	9	10	1	NP	NP
								9	11	1	NP	NP
				680	068 Cates I	10	319-068	57	2	2	NP	NP
								57	58	2	NP	NP
						20	320-068	57	2	2	NP	NP
								57	58	2	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	1840	ABM 067-069	690	069 Cates J	10	299-069	58	2	3	NP	NP
								58	59	3	NP	NP
						20	300-069	36	2	3	NP	NP
								36	37	3	NP	NP
		1850	ABM 070-072	700	070 Goodwins B	10	266-070	59	2	1	NP	NP
								59	60	1	NP	NP
				710	071 Lawrenceville F	10	242-071	54	2	2	NP	NP
								54	55	2	NP	NP
						20	243-071	54	2	2	NP	NP
								54	55	2	NP	NP
				720	072 Martins E	10	344-072	43	2	3	NP	NP
								43	44	3	NP	NP
		1860	ABM 073-075	730	073 Pinckneyville P	10	247-073	22	2	1	NP	NP
								22	24	1	NP	NP
				740	074 Pinckneyville Q	10	344-074	43	2	2	NP	NP
								43	44	2	NP	NP
				750	075 Rockbridge C	10	309-075	2	2	3	NP	NP
								2	3	3	NP	NP
						20	311-075	44	2	3	NP	NP
								44	45	3	NP	NP
		1870	ABM 076-078	760	076 Rockbridge D	10	201-076	41	2	1	NP	NP
								41	42	1	NP	NP
				770	077 Goodwins C	10	297-077	60	2	2	NP	NP
								60	61	2	NP	NP
				780	078 Baycreek K	10	211-078	61	2	3	NP	NP
								61	62	3	NP	NP
						20	212-078	61	2	3	NP	NP
								61	62	3	NP	NP
		1880	ABM 079-081	790	079 Cates K	10	319-079	57	2	1	NP	NP
								57	58	1	NP	NP
				800	080 Baycreek C	10	233-080	62	2	2	NP	NP
								62	63	2	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	1880	ABM 079-081	810	081 Cates L	10	301-081	37	2	3	NP	NP
								37	38	3	NP	NP
						20	302-081	37	2	3	NP	NP
								37	38	3	NP	NP
						30	312-081	33	2	3	NP	NP
								33	34	3	NP	NP
						40	313-081	33	2	3	NP	NP
								33	34	3	NP	NP
		1890	ABM 082-084	820	082 Duluth E	10	285-082	50	2	1	NP	NP
								50	51	1	NP	NP
				830	083 Goodwins D	10	266-083	59	2	2	NP	NP
								59	60	2	NP	NP
				840	084 Lawrenceville G	10	206-084	63	2	3	NP	NP
								63	64	3	NP	NP
						20	207-084	63	2	3	NP	NP
								63	64	3	NP	NP
		1900	ABM 085-087	850	085 Lawrenceville H	10	250-085	42	2	1	NP	NP
								42	43	1	NP	NP
						20	266-085	59	2	1	NP	NP
								59	60	1	NP	NP
						30	267-085	59	2	1	NP	NP
								59	60	1	NP	NP
				860	086 Martins F	10	344-086	43	2	2	NP	NP
								43	44	2	NP	NP
				870	087 Pinckneyville S	10	337-087	48	2	3	NP	NP
								48	49	3	NP	NP
						20	338-087	48	2	3	NP	NP
								48	49	3	NP	NP
		1910	ABM 088-090	880	088 Rockbridge E	10	311-088	44	2	1	NP	NP
								44	45	1	NP	NP
				890	089 Sugar Hill E	10	253-089	64	2	2	NP	NP
								64	65	2	NP	NP
						20	254-089	64	2	2	NP	NP
								64	65	2	NP	NP
						30	255-089	24	11	2	NP	NP
								24	26	2	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	1910	ABM 088-090	900	090 Lawrenceville I	10	364-090	65	2	3	NP	NP
								65	66	3	NP	NP
						20	365-090	65	2	3	NP	NP
								65	66	3	NP	NP
		1920	ABM 091-093	910	091 Baycreek D	10	211-091	61	2	1	NP	NP
								61	62	1	NP	NP
						20	212-091	61	2	1	NP	NP
								61	62	1	NP	NP
				920	092 Berkshire J	10	303-092	13	2	2	NP	NP
								13	15	2	NP	NP
						20	304-092	13	2	2	NP	NP
								13	15	2	NP	NP
				930	093 Cates M	10	319-093	57	2	3	NP	NP
								57	58	3	NP	NP
		1930	ABM 094-096	940	094 Cates N	10	316-094	4	2	1	NP	NP
								4	5	1	NP	NP
						20	318-094	4	2	1	NP	NP
								4	5	1	NP	NP
				950	095 Duluth F	5	297-095	60	2	2	NP	NP
								60	61	2	NP	NP
						10	352-095	46	2	2	NP	NP
								46	47	2	NP	NP
				960	096 Duluth G	10	294-096	6	2	3	NP	NP
								6	7	3	NP	NP
						20	295-096	6	2	3	NP	NP
								6	7	3	NP	NP
		1940	ABM 097-099	970	097 Goodwins E	10	297-097	60	2	1	NP	NP
								60	61	1	NP	NP
						20	298-097	60	2	1	NP	NP
								60	61	1	NP	NP
				980	098 Goodwins F	10	262-098	66	2	2	NP	NP
								66	67	2	NP	NP
				990	099 Pinckneyville T	10	281-099	67	2	3	NP	NP
								67	68	3	NP	NP
						20	293-099	67	2	3	NP	NP
								67	68	3	NP	NP
						30	360-099	67	2	3	NP	NP
								67	68	3	NP	NP
		1950	ABM 100-102									

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	1950	ABM 100-102	1000	100 Suwanee B	10	263-100	29	2	1	NP	NP
								29	30	1	NP	NP
						20	264-100	29	2	1	NP	NP
								29	30	1	NP	NP
						30	265-100	29	2	1	NP	NP
								29	30	1	NP	NP
				1010	101 Pinckneyville U	10	356-101	22	2	2	NP	NP
								22	24	2	NP	NP
				1020	102 Pucketts B	10	258-102	8	2	3	NP	NP
								8	9	3	NP	NP
						20	259-102	9	10	3	NP	NP
								9	11	3	NP	NP
		1960	ABM 103-105	1030	103 Berkshire L	10	351-103	68	2	1	NP	NP
								68	69	1	NP	NP
				1040	104 Rockbridge F	10	201-104	41	2	2	NP	NP
								41	42	2	NP	NP
				1050	105 Sugar Hill F	10	253-105	64	2	3	NP	NP
								64	65	3	NP	NP
						20	254-105	64	2	3	NP	NP
								64	65	3	NP	NP
		1970	ABM 106-108	1060	106 Suwanee C	10	294-106	6	2	1	NP	NP
								6	7	1	NP	NP
						20	296-106	6	2	1	NP	NP
								6	7	1	NP	NP
				1070	107 Cates O	10	323-107	32	2	2	NP	NP
								32	33	2	NP	NP
				1080	108 Baycreek E	10	203-108	69	2	3	NP	NP
								69	70	3	NP	NP
						20	204-108	69	2	3	NP	NP
								69	70	3	NP	NP
						30	205-108	69	2	3	NP	NP
								69	70	3	NP	NP
		1980	ABM 109-111	1090	109 Berkshire M	10	323-109	32	2	1	NP	NP
								32	33	1	NP	NP
						20	324-109	32	2	1	NP	NP
								32	33	1	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	1980	ABM 109-111	1100	110 Martins G	10	236-110	18	2	2	NP	NP
								18	20	2	NP	NP
						20	237-110	18	2	2	NP	NP
								18	20	2	NP	NP
				1110	111 Duluth H	10	294-111	6	2	3	NP	NP
								6	7	3	NP	NP
						20	295-111	6	2	3	NP	NP
								6	7	3	NP	NP
		1990	ABM 112-114	1120	112 Berkshire N	10	303-112	13	2	1	NP	NP
								13	15	1	NP	NP
				1130	113 Pinckneyville V	10	330-113	21	2	2	NP	NP
								21	23	2	NP	NP
				1140	114 Pinckneyville W	30	336-114	70	2	3	NP	NP
								70	71	3	NP	NP
						40	340-114	20	2	3	NP	NP
								20	22	3	NP	NP
						50	367-114	70	2	3	NP	NP
								70	71	3	NP	NP
		2000	ABM 115-117	1150	115 Martins H	10	244-115	71	2	1	NP	NP
								71	72	1	NP	NP
				1160	116 Martins I	10	345-116	16	2	2	NP	NP
								16	18	2	NP	NP
				1170	117 Martins J	10	345-117	16	2	3	NP	NP
								16	18	3	NP	NP
		2010	ABM 118-120	1180	118 Garners F	10	310-118	47	2	1	NP	NP
								47	48	1	NP	NP
				1190	119 Berkshire O	10	323-119	32	2	2	NP	NP
								32	33	2	NP	NP
				1200	120 Berkshire P	10	328-120	10	2	3	NP	NP
								10	12	3	NP	NP
						20	329-120	10	2	3	NP	NP
								10	12	3	NP	NP
		2020	ABM 121-123	1210	121 Pinckneyville X	10	358-121	40	2	1	NP	NP
								40	41	1	NP	NP
						20	359-121	40	2	1	NP	NP
								40	41	1	NP	NP
				1220	122 Rockbridge G	10	309-122	2	2	2	NP	NP
								2	3	2	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2020	ABM 121-123	1230	123 Pinckneyville Y	10	330-123	21	2	3	NP	NP
								21	23	3	NP	NP
						20	331-123	72	2	3	NP	NP
								72	73	3	NP	NP
		2030	ABM 124-126	1240	124 Goodwins G	10	284-124	73	2	1	NP	NP
								73	74	1	NP	NP
				1250	125 Pinckneyville Z	10	247-125	22	2	2	NP	NP
								22	24	2	NP	NP
						20	248-125	22	2	2	NP	NP
								22	24	2	NP	NP
				1260	126 Pinckneyville A1	10	247-126	22	2	3	NP	NP
								22	24	3	NP	NP
		2040	ABM 127-129	1270	127 Lawrenceville J	10	206-127	63	2	1	NP	NP
								63	64	1	NP	NP
				1280	128 Goodwins H	10	297-128	60	2	2	NP	NP
								60	61	2	NP	NP
				1290	129 Duncans B	10	273-129	12	2	3	NP	NP
								12	14	3	NP	NP
		2050	ABM 130-132	1300	130 Suwanee D	10	278-130	27	2	1	NP	NP
								27	29	1	NP	NP
						20	279-130	27	2	1	NP	NP
								27	29	1	NP	NP
				1310	131 Suwanee E	10	275-131	3	2	2	NP	NP
								3	4	2	NP	NP
						20	276-131	3	2	2	NP	NP
								3	4	2	NP	NP
						30	277-131	3	2	2	NP	NP
								3	4	2	NP	NP
				1320	132 Lawrenceville K	10	266-132	59	2	3	NP	NP
								59	60	3	NP	NP
		2060	ABM 133-135	1330	133 Harbins B	10	231-133	1	1	1	NP	NP
								1	2	1	NP	NP
						20	232-133	1	1	1	NP	NP
								1	2	1	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2060	ABM 133-135	1340	134 Baycreek F	10	223-134	74	2	2	NP	NP
								74	75	2	NP	NP
						20	224-134	74	2	2	NP	NP
								74	75	2	NP	NP
						30	225-134	74	2	2	NP	NP
								74	75	2	NP	NP
				1350	135 Pucketts C	10	268-135	75	2	3	NP	NP
								75	76	3	NP	NP
						20	270-135	75	2	3	NP	NP
								75	76	3	NP	NP
		2070	ABM 136-138	1360	136 Hog Mountain C	10	258-136	8	2	1	NP	NP
								8	9	1	NP	NP
						20	268-136	75	2	1	NP	NP
								75	76	1	NP	NP
				1370	137 Rockycreek B	10	222-137	76	2	2	NP	NP
								76	77	2	NP	NP
				1380	138 Duluth I	10	290-138	46	2	3	NP	NP
								46	47	3	NP	NP
						20	294-138	6	2	3	NP	NP
								6	7	3	NP	NP
						30	295-138	6	2	3	NP	NP
								6	7	3	NP	NP
		2080	ABM 139-141	1390	139 Martins K	10	221-139	77	2	1	NP	NP
								77	78	1	NP	NP
				1400	140 Suwanee H	10	275-140	3	2	2	NP	NP
								3	4	2	NP	NP
						20	277-140	3	2	2	NP	NP
								3	4	2	NP	NP
				1410	141 Sugar Hill G	10	278-141	27	2	3	NP	NP
								27	29	3	NP	NP
						20	279-141	27	2	3	NP	NP
								27	29	3	NP	NP
		2090	ABM 142-144	1420	142 Suwanee F	10	263-142	29	2	1	NP	NP
								29	30	1	NP	NP
						20	265-142	29	2	1	NP	NP
								29	30	1	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2090	ABM 142-144	1430	143 Lawrenceville L	10	216-143	78	2	2	NP	NP
								78	79	2	NP	NP
						20	217-143	78	2	2	NP	NP
								78	79	2	NP	NP
				1440	144 Lawrenceville M	10	240-144	53	2	3	NP	NP
								53	54	3	NP	NP
						50	241-144	53	2	3	NP	NP
								53	54	3	NP	NP
		2100	ABM 145-147	1450	145 Baycreek G	10	226-145	79	2	1	NP	NP
								79	80	1	NP	NP
						20	227-145	79	2	1	NP	NP
								79	80	1	NP	NP
						30	228-145	79	2	1	NP	NP
								79	80	1	NP	NP
				1460	146 Baycreek H	10	211-146	61	2	2	NP	NP
								61	62	2	NP	NP
						20	212-146	61	2	2	NP	NP
								61	62	2	NP	NP
						30	219-146	80	2	2	NP	NP
								80	81	2	NP	NP
						40	220-146	80	2	2	NP	NP
								80	81	2	NP	NP
				1470	147 Baycreek I	10	211-147	61	2	3	NP	NP
								61	62	3	NP	NP
						20	213-147	61	2	3	NP	NP
								61	62	3	NP	NP
		2110	ABM 148-150	1480	148 Berkshire Q	20	303-148	13	2	1	NP	NP
								13	15	1	NP	NP
						30	304-148	13	2	1	NP	NP
								13	15	1	NP	NP
						40	307-148	11	2	1	NP	NP
								11	13	1	NP	NP
						50	308-148	11	2	1	NP	NP
								11	13	1	NP	NP
				1490	149 Goodwins I	10	283-149	5	2	2	NP	NP
								5	6	2	NP	NP
				1500	150 Duluth K	10	352-150	46	2	3	NP	NP
								46	47	3	NP	NP
		2120	ABM 151-153									

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2120	ABM 151-153	1510	151 Harbins C	10	233-151	62	2	1	NP	NP
								62	63	1	NP	NP
				1520	152 Rockycreek C	10	231-152	1	1	2	NP	NP
								1	2	2	NP	NP
				1530	153 Lawrenceville N	10	218-153	81	2	3	NP	NP
								81	82	3	NP	NP
		2130	ABM 154-156	1540	154 Pucketts D	10	268-154	75	2	1	NP	NP
								75	76	1	NP	NP
				1550	155 Suwanee G	10	294-155	6	2	2	NP	NP
								6	7	2	NP	NP
						20	296-155	6	2	2	NP	NP
								6	7	2	NP	NP
				1560	156 Baycreek J	10	203-156	69	2	3	NP	NP
								69	70	3	NP	NP
						20	205-156	69	2	3	NP	NP
								69	70	3	NP	NP
		50	Advance in Person	10	001 Harbins A	10	231-001	1	1	1	NP	NP
								1	2	1	NP	NP
						20	232-001	1	1	1	NP	NP
								1	2	1	NP	NP
						30	233-001	62	2	1	NP	NP
								62	63	1	NP	NP
				20	002 Rockbridge A	10	309-002	2	2	2	NP	NP
								2	3	2	NP	NP
				30	003 Dacula	10	231-003	1	1	3	NP	NP
								1	2	3	NP	NP
						20	232-003	1	1	3	NP	NP
								1	2	3	NP	NP
				40	004 Suwanee A	10	275-004	3	2	4	NP	NP
								3	4	4	NP	NP
						20	276-004	3	2	4	NP	NP
								3	4	4	NP	NP
						30	277-004	3	2	4	NP	NP
								3	4	4	NP	NP
				50	005 Baycreek A	10	316-005	4	2	5	NP	NP
								4	5	5	NP	NP
						20	317-005	4	2	5	NP	NP
								4	5	5	NP	NP
				60	006 Goodwins A	10	283-006	5	2	6	NP	NP
								5	6	6	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	50	Advance in Person	70	007 Duluth A	10	294-007	6	2	7	NP	NP
								6	7	7	NP	NP
						20	295-007	6	2	7	NP	NP
								6	7	7	NP	NP
						30	296-007	6	2	7	NP	NP
								6	7	7	NP	NP
				80	008 Duncans A	10	234-008	7	2	8	NP	NP
								7	8	8	NP	NP
				90	009 Pucketts A	10	258-009	8	2	9	NP	NP
								8	9	9	NP	NP
						20	259-009	9	10	9	NP	NP
								9	11	9	NP	NP
				100	010 Cates A	10	316-010	4	2	10	NP	NP
								4	5	10	NP	NP
						20	318-010	4	2	10	NP	NP
								4	5	10	NP	NP
				110	011 Berkshire A	10	329-011	10	2	11	NP	NP
								10	12	11	NP	NP
				120	012 Berkshire B	10	307-012	11	2	12	NP	NP
								11	13	12	NP	NP
						20	308-012	11	2	12	NP	NP
								11	13	12	NP	NP
				130	013 Duncans C	10	273-013	12	2	13	NP	NP
								12	14	13	NP	NP
				140	014 Garners A	10	303-014	13	2	14	NP	NP
								13	15	14	NP	NP
				150	015 Lawrenceville A	10	208-015	14	2	15	NP	NP
								14	16	15	NP	NP
						20	209-015	14	2	15	NP	NP
								14	16	15	NP	NP
						30	Fed - Cong 015	82	83	15	NP	NP
				160	016 Lawrenceville B	10	214-016	15	2	16	NP	NP
								15	17	16	NP	NP
						20	215-016	15	2	16	NP	NP
								15	17	16	NP	NP
				170	017 Martins A	10	345-017	16	2	17	NP	NP
								16	18	17	NP	NP
						20	346-017	17	2	17	NP	NP
								17	19	17	NP	NP
				180	018 Martins B	10	236-018	18	2	18	NP	NP
								18	20	18	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	50	Advance in Person	190	019 Martins C	10	327-019	19	2	19	NP	NP
								19	21	19	NP	NP
				200	020 Pinckneyville A	10	340-020	20	2	20	NP	NP
								20	22	20	NP	NP
				210	021 Pinckneyville B	10	330-021	21	2	21	NP	NP
								21	23	21	NP	NP
				220	022 Pinckneyville C	10	247-022	22	2	22	NP	NP
								22	24	22	NP	NP
				230	023 Pinckneyville D	10	342-023	23	2	23	NP	NP
								23	25	23	NP	NP
				240	024 Sugar Hill A	10	255-024	24	11	24	NP	NP
								24	26	24	NP	NP
						20	256-024	25	2	24	NP	NP
								25	27	24	NP	NP
						30	257-024	26	2	24	NP	NP
								26	28	24	NP	NP
						40	258-024	8	2	24	NP	NP
								8	9	24	NP	NP
						50	259-024	9	10	24	NP	NP
								9	11	24	NP	NP
						60	260-024	8	2	24	NP	NP
								8	9	24	NP	NP
						70	263-024	29	2	24	NP	NP
								29	30	24	NP	NP
						80	366-024	27	2	24	NP	NP
								27	29	24	NP	NP
				250	025 Sugar Hill B	10	259-025	9	10	25	NP	NP
								9	11	25	NP	NP
						20	278-025	27	2	25	NP	NP
								27	29	25	NP	NP
						30	279-025	27	2	25	NP	NP
								27	29	25	NP	NP
						40	280-025	28	2	25	NP	NP
								28	10	25	NP	NP
						50	282-025	28	2	25	NP	NP
								28	10	25	NP	NP
				260	026 Hog Mountain A	10	263-026	29	2	26	NP	NP
								29	30	26	NP	NP
						20	265-026	29	2	26	NP	NP
								29	30	26	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	50	Advance in Person	270	027 Hog Mountain B	10	210-027	30	2	27	NP	NP
								30	31	27	NP	NP
						20	229-027	31	2	27	NP	NP
								31	32	27	NP	NP
				280	028 Rockycreek A	10	231-028	1	1	28	NP	NP
								1	2	28	NP	NP
						20	234-028	7	2	28	NP	NP
								7	8	28	NP	NP
						30	235-028	7	2	28	NP	NP
								7	8	28	NP	NP
				290	029 Cates B	10	323-029	32	2	29	NP	NP
								32	33	29	NP	NP
				300	030 Cates C	10	312-030	33	2	30	NP	NP
								33	34	30	NP	NP
						20	313-030	33	2	30	NP	NP
								33	34	30	NP	NP
				310	031 Hog Mountain D	10	252-031	34	2	31	NP	NP
								34	35	31	NP	NP
				320	032 Berkshire D	10	303-032	13	2	32	NP	NP
								13	15	32	NP	NP
				330	033 Berkshire E	10	303-033	13	2	33	NP	NP
								13	15	33	NP	NP
						20	304-033	13	2	33	NP	NP
								13	15	33	NP	NP
				340	034 Berkshire F	10	325-034	35	2	34	NP	NP
								35	36	34	NP	NP
						20	326-034	35	2	34	NP	NP
								35	36	34	NP	NP
				350	035 Cates D - 04	10	300-035	36	2	35	NP	NP
								36	37	35	NP	NP
						20	301-035	37	2	35	NP	NP
								37	38	35	NP	NP
						30	302-035	37	2	35	NP	NP
								37	38	35	NP	NP
				351	035 Cates D - 07	10	315 - 035	83	2	157	NP	NP
								83	84	157	NP	NP
				360	036 Cates E	10	300-036	36	2	36	NP	NP
								36	37	36	NP	NP
				370	037 Pinckneyville E	10	356-037	22	2	37	NP	NP
								22	24	37	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	50	Advance in Person	380	038 Pinckneyville F	10	333-038	38	2	38	NP	NP
								38	39	38	NP	NP
						20	334-038	38	2	38	NP	NP
								38	39	38	NP	NP
				390	039 Duncans D	10	271-039	39	2	39	NP	NP
								39	40	39	NP	NP
						20	272-039	39	2	39	NP	NP
								39	40	39	NP	NP
						30	361-039	39	2	39	NP	NP
								39	40	39	NP	NP
						40	362-039	39	2	39	NP	NP
								39	40	39	NP	NP
						50	363-039	39	2	39	NP	NP
								39	40	39	NP	NP
				400	040 Pinckneyville H	20	288-040	40	2	40	NP	NP
								40	41	40	NP	NP
						30	289-040	40	2	40	NP	NP
								40	41	40	NP	NP
						40	358-040	40	2	40	NP	NP
								40	41	40	NP	NP
						50	359-040	40	2	40	NP	NP
								40	41	40	NP	NP
				410	041 Baycreek B	10	201-041	41	2	41	NP	NP
								41	42	41	NP	NP
						20	202-041	41	2	41	NP	NP
								41	42	41	NP	NP
				420	042 Lawrenceville C	10	250-042	42	2	42	NP	NP
								42	43	42	NP	NP
						20	251-042	42	2	42	NP	NP
								42	43	42	NP	NP
				430	043 Martins D	10	344-043	43	2	43	NP	NP
								43	44	43	NP	NP
				440	044 Rockbridge B	10	311-044	44	2	44	NP	NP
								44	45	44	NP	NP
				450	045 Garners B	10	311-045	44	2	45	NP	NP
								44	45	45	NP	NP
				460	046 Cates F	10	318-046	4	2	46	NP	NP
								4	5	46	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	50	Advance in Person	470	047 Cates G	10	321-047	45	2	47	NP	NP
								45	46	47	NP	NP
						20	322-047	45	2	47	NP	NP
								45	46	47	NP	NP
				480	048 Duluth B	10	290-048	46	2	48	NP	NP
								46	47	48	NP	NP
						20	291-048	46	2	48	NP	NP
								46	47	48	NP	NP
				490	049 Garners C	10	310-049	47	2	49	NP	NP
								47	48	49	NP	NP
				500	050 Pinckneyville I	10	337-050	48	2	50	NP	NP
								48	49	50	NP	NP
				510	051 Pinckneyville J	10	330-051	21	2	51	NP	NP
								21	23	51	NP	NP
				520	052 Berkshire G	10	314-052	49	2	52	NP	NP
								49	50	52	NP	NP
				530	053 Cates H	10	312-053	33	2	53	NP	NP
								33	34	53	NP	NP
						20	313-053	33	2	53	NP	NP
								33	34	53	NP	NP
				540	054 Sugar Hill C	10	259-054	9	10	54	NP	NP
								9	11	54	NP	NP
						20	278-054	27	2	54	NP	NP
								27	29	54	NP	NP
						30	279-054	27	2	54	NP	NP
								27	29	54	NP	NP
						40	280-054	28	2	54	NP	NP
								28	10	54	NP	NP
						50	282-054	28	2	54	NP	NP
								28	10	54	NP	NP
				550	055 Sugar Hill D	10	258-055	8	2	55	NP	NP
								8	9	55	NP	NP
						20	259-055	9	10	55	NP	NP
								9	11	55	NP	NP
						30	260-055	8	2	55	NP	NP
								8	9	55	NP	NP
						40	261-055	8	2	55	NP	NP
								8	9	55	NP	NP
						50	280-055	28	2	55	NP	NP
								28	10	55	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	50	Advance in Person	560	056 Duluth C	10	285-056	50	2	56	NP	NP
								50	51	56	NP	NP
						20	294-056	6	2	56	NP	NP
								6	7	56	NP	NP
						30	295-056	6	2	56	NP	NP
								6	7	56	NP	NP
				570	057 Duluth D	10	290-057	46	2	57	NP	NP
								46	47	57	NP	NP
						20	291-057	46	2	57	NP	NP
								46	47	57	NP	NP
				580	058 Pinckneyville K	10	341-058	51	2	58	NP	NP
								51	52	58	NP	NP
						20	350-058	52	2	58	NP	NP
								52	53	58	NP	NP
				590	059 Pinckneyville N	10	349-059	22	2	59	NP	NP
								22	24	59	NP	NP
						20	356-059	22	2	59	NP	NP
								22	24	59	NP	NP
						30	357-059	22	2	59	NP	NP
								22	24	59	NP	NP
				600	060 Lawrenceville D	10	240-060	53	2	60	NP	NP
								53	54	60	NP	NP
						20	241-060	53	2	60	NP	NP
								53	54	60	NP	NP
						30	242-060	54	2	60	NP	NP
								54	55	60	NP	NP
						40	243-060	54	2	60	NP	NP
								54	55	60	NP	NP
				610	061 Lawrenceville E	10	245-061	55	2	61	NP	NP
								55	56	61	NP	NP
						20	249-061	55	2	61	NP	NP
								55	56	61	NP	NP
				620	062 Pinckneyville L	10	247-062	22	2	62	NP	NP
								22	24	62	NP	NP
						20	248-062	22	2	62	NP	NP
								22	24	62	NP	NP
				630	063 Pinckneyville M	0	359-063	40	2	63	NP	NP
								40	41	63	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	50	Advance in Person	640	064 Garners D	10	309-064	2	2	64	NP	NP
								2	3	64	NP	NP
						20	311-064	44	2	64	NP	NP
								44	45	64	NP	NP
				650	065 Berkshire H	10	305-065	56	2	65	NP	NP
								56	57	65	NP	NP
						20	306-065	56	2	65	NP	NP
								56	57	65	NP	NP
				660	066 Pinckneyville O	10	330-066	21	2	66	NP	NP
								21	23	66	NP	NP
				670	067 Pucketts E	10	258-067	8	2	67	NP	NP
								8	9	67	NP	NP
						20	259-067	9	10	67	NP	NP
								9	11	67	NP	NP
				680	068 Cates I	10	319-068	57	2	68	NP	NP
								57	58	68	NP	NP
						20	320-068	57	2	68	NP	NP
								57	58	68	NP	NP
				690	069 Cates J	10	299-069	58	2	69	NP	NP
								58	59	69	NP	NP
						20	300-069	36	2	69	NP	NP
								36	37	69	NP	NP
				700	070 Goodwins B	10	266-070	59	2	70	NP	NP
								59	60	70	NP	NP
				710	071 Lawrenceville F	10	242-071	54	2	71	NP	NP
								54	55	71	NP	NP
						20	243-071	54	2	71	NP	NP
								54	55	71	NP	NP
				720	072 Martins E	10	344-072	43	2	72	NP	NP
								43	44	72	NP	NP
				730	073 Pinckneyville P	10	247-073	22	2	73	NP	NP
								22	24	73	NP	NP
				740	074 Pinckneyville Q	10	344-074	43	2	74	NP	NP
								43	44	74	NP	NP
				750	075 Rockbridge C	10	309-075	2	2	75	NP	NP
								2	3	75	NP	NP
						20	311-075	44	2	75	NP	NP
								44	45	75	NP	NP
				760	076 Rockbridge D	10	201-076	41	2	76	NP	NP
								41	42	76	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	50	Advance in Person	770	077 Goodwins C	10	297-077	60	2	77	NP	NP
								60	61	77	NP	NP
				780	078 Baycreek K	10	211-078	61	2	78	NP	NP
								61	62	78	NP	NP
						20	212-078	61	2	78	NP	NP
								61	62	78	NP	NP
				790	079 Cates K	10	319-079	57	2	79	NP	NP
								57	58	79	NP	NP
				800	080 Baycreek C	10	233-080	62	2	80	NP	NP
								62	63	80	NP	NP
				810	081 Cates L	10	301-081	37	2	81	NP	NP
								37	38	81	NP	NP
						20	302-081	37	2	81	NP	NP
								37	38	81	NP	NP
						30	312-081	33	2	81	NP	NP
								33	34	81	NP	NP
						40	313-081	33	2	81	NP	NP
								33	34	81	NP	NP
				820	082 Duluth E	10	285-082	50	2	82	NP	NP
								50	51	82	NP	NP
				830	083 Goodwins D	10	266-083	59	2	83	NP	NP
								59	60	83	NP	NP
				840	084 Lawrenceville G	10	206-084	63	2	84	NP	NP
								63	64	84	NP	NP
						20	207-084	63	2	84	NP	NP
								63	64	84	NP	NP
				850	085 Lawrenceville H	10	250-085	42	2	85	NP	NP
								42	43	85	NP	NP
						20	266-085	59	2	85	NP	NP
								59	60	85	NP	NP
						30	267-085	59	2	85	NP	NP
								59	60	85	NP	NP
				860	086 Martins F	10	344-086	43	2	86	NP	NP
								43	44	86	NP	NP
				870	087 Pinckneyville S	10	337-087	48	2	87	NP	NP
								48	49	87	NP	NP
						20	338-087	48	2	87	NP	NP
								48	49	87	NP	NP
				880	088 Rockbridge E	10	311-088	44	2	88	NP	NP
								44	45	88	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	50	Advance in Person	890	089 Sugar Hill E	10	253-089	64	2	89	NP	NP
								64	65	89	NP	NP
						20	254-089	64	2	89	NP	NP
								64	65	89	NP	NP
						30	255-089	24	11	89	NP	NP
								24	26	89	NP	NP
				900	090 Lawrenceville I	10	364-090	65	2	90	NP	NP
								65	66	90	NP	NP
						20	365-090	65	2	90	NP	NP
								65	66	90	NP	NP
				910	091 Baycreek D	10	211-091	61	2	91	NP	NP
								61	62	91	NP	NP
						20	212-091	61	2	91	NP	NP
								61	62	91	NP	NP
				920	092 Berkshire J	10	303-092	13	2	92	NP	NP
								13	15	92	NP	NP
						20	304-092	13	2	92	NP	NP
								13	15	92	NP	NP
				930	093 Cates M	10	319-093	57	2	93	NP	NP
								57	58	93	NP	NP
				940	094 Cates N	10	316-094	4	2	94	NP	NP
								4	5	94	NP	NP
						20	318-094	4	2	94	NP	NP
								4	5	94	NP	NP
				950	095 Duluth F	5	297-095	60	2	95	NP	NP
								60	61	95	NP	NP
						10	352-095	46	2	95	NP	NP
								46	47	95	NP	NP
				960	096 Duluth G	10	294-096	6	2	96	NP	NP
								6	7	96	NP	NP
						20	295-096	6	2	96	NP	NP
								6	7	96	NP	NP
				970	097 Goodwins E	10	297-097	60	2	97	NP	NP
								60	61	97	NP	NP
						20	298-097	60	2	97	NP	NP
								60	61	97	NP	NP
				980	098 Goodwins F	10	262-098	66	2	98	NP	NP
								66	67	98	NP	NP

GA008559

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	50	Advance in Person	990	099 Pinckneyville T	10	281-099	67	2	99	NP	NP
								67	68	99	NP	NP
						20	293-099	67	2	99	NP	NP
								67	68	99	NP	NP
						30	360-099	67	2	99	NP	NP
								67	68	99	NP	NP
				1000	100 Suwanee B	10	263-100	29	2	100	NP	NP
								29	30	100	NP	NP
						20	264-100	29	2	100	NP	NP
								29	30	100	NP	NP
						30	265-100	29	2	100	NP	NP
								29	30	100	NP	NP
				1010	101 Pinckneyville U	10	356-101	22	2	101	NP	NP
								22	24	101	NP	NP
				1020	102 Pucketts B	10	258-102	8	2	102	NP	NP
								8	9	102	NP	NP
						20	259-102	9	10	102	NP	NP
								9	11	102	NP	NP
				1030	103 Berkshire L	10	351-103	68	2	103	NP	NP
								68	69	103	NP	NP
				1040	104 Rockbridge F	10	201-104	41	2	104	NP	NP
								41	42	104	NP	NP
				1050	105 Sugar Hill F	10	253-105	64	2	105	NP	NP
								64	65	105	NP	NP
						20	254-105	64	2	105	NP	NP
								64	65	105	NP	NP
				1060	106 Suwanee C	10	294-106	6	2	106	NP	NP
								6	7	106	NP	NP
						20	296-106	6	2	106	NP	NP
								6	7	106	NP	NP
				1070	107 Cates O	10	323-107	32	2	107	NP	NP
								32	33	107	NP	NP
				1080	108 Baycreek E	10	203-108	69	2	108	NP	NP
								69	70	108	NP	NP
						20	204-108	69	2	108	NP	NP
								69	70	108	NP	NP
						30	205-108	69	2	108	NP	NP
								69	70	108	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	50	Advance in Person	1090	109 Berkshire M	10	323-109	32	2	109	NP	NP
								32	33	109	NP	NP
						20	324-109	32	2	109	NP	NP
								32	33	109	NP	NP
				1100	110 Martins G	10	236-110	18	2	110	NP	NP
								18	20	110	NP	NP
						20	237-110	18	2	110	NP	NP
								18	20	110	NP	NP
				1110	111 Duluth H	10	294-111	6	2	111	NP	NP
								6	7	111	NP	NP
						20	295-111	6	2	111	NP	NP
								6	7	111	NP	NP
				1120	112 Berkshire N	10	303-112	13	2	112	NP	NP
								13	15	112	NP	NP
				1130	113 Pinckneyville V	10	330-113	21	2	113	NP	NP
								21	23	113	NP	NP
				1140	114 Pinckneyville W	30	336-114	70	2	114	NP	NP
								70	71	114	NP	NP
						40	340-114	20	2	114	NP	NP
								20	22	114	NP	NP
						50	367-114	70	2	114	NP	NP
								70	71	114	NP	NP
				1150	115 Martins H	10	244-115	71	2	115	NP	NP
								71	72	115	NP	NP
				1160	116 Martins I	10	345-116	16	2	116	NP	NP
								16	18	116	NP	NP
				1170	117 Martins J	10	345-117	16	2	117	NP	NP
								16	18	117	NP	NP
				1180	118 Garners F	10	310-118	47	2	118	NP	NP
								47	48	118	NP	NP
				1190	119 Berkshire O	10	323-119	32	2	119	NP	NP
								32	33	119	NP	NP
				1200	120 Berkshire P	10	328-120	10	2	120	NP	NP
								10	12	120	NP	NP
						20	329-120	10	2	120	NP	NP
								10	12	120	NP	NP
				1210	121 Pinckneyville X	10	358-121	40	2	121	NP	NP
								40	41	121	NP	NP
						20	359-121	40	2	121	NP	NP
								40	41	121	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	50	Advance in Person	1220	122 Rockbridge G	10	309-122	2	2	122	NP	NP
								2	3	122	NP	NP
				1230	123 Pinckneyville Y	10	330-123	21	2	123	NP	NP
								21	23	123	NP	NP
						20	331-123	72	2	123	NP	NP
								72	73	123	NP	NP
				1240	124 Goodwins G	10	284-124	73	2	124	NP	NP
								73	74	124	NP	NP
				1250	125 Pinckneyville Z	10	247-125	22	2	125	NP	NP
								22	24	125	NP	NP
						20	248-125	22	2	125	NP	NP
								22	24	125	NP	NP
				1260	126 Pinckneyville A1	10	247-126	22	2	126	NP	NP
								22	24	126	NP	NP
				1270	127 Lawrenceville J	10	206-127	63	2	127	NP	NP
								63	64	127	NP	NP
				1280	128 Goodwins H	10	297-128	60	2	128	NP	NP
								60	61	128	NP	NP
				1290	129 Duncans B	10	273-129	12	2	129	NP	NP
								12	14	129	NP	NP
				1300	130 Suwanee D	10	278-130	27	2	130	NP	NP
								27	29	130	NP	NP
						20	279-130	27	2	130	NP	NP
								27	29	130	NP	NP
				1310	131 Suwanee E	10	275-131	3	2	131	NP	NP
								3	4	131	NP	NP
						20	276-131	3	2	131	NP	NP
								3	4	131	NP	NP
						30	277-131	3	2	131	NP	NP
								3	4	131	NP	NP
				1320	132 Lawrenceville K	10	266-132	59	2	132	NP	NP
								59	60	132	NP	NP
				1330	133 Harbins B	10	231-133	1	1	133	NP	NP
								1	2	133	NP	NP
						20	232-133	1	1	133	NP	NP
								1	2	133	NP	NP

GA008562

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	50	Advance in Person	1340	134 Baycreek F	10	223-134	74	2	134	NP	NP
								74	75	134	NP	NP
						20	224-134	74	2	134	NP	NP
								74	75	134	NP	NP
						30	225-134	74	2	134	NP	NP
								74	75	134	NP	NP
				1350	135 Pucketts C	10	268-135	75	2	135	NP	NP
								75	76	135	NP	NP
						20	270-135	75	2	135	NP	NP
								75	76	135	NP	NP
				1360	136 Hog Mountain C	10	258-136	8	2	136	NP	NP
								8	9	136	NP	NP
						20	268-136	75	2	136	NP	NP
								75	76	136	NP	NP
				1370	137 Rockycreek B	10	222-137	76	2	137	NP	NP
								76	77	137	NP	NP
				1380	138 Duluth I	10	290-138	46	2	138	NP	NP
								46	47	138	NP	NP
						20	294-138	6	2	138	NP	NP
								6	7	138	NP	NP
						30	295-138	6	2	138	NP	NP
								6	7	138	NP	NP
				1390	139 Martins K	10	221-139	77	2	139	NP	NP
								77	78	139	NP	NP
				1400	140 Suwanee H	10	275-140	3	2	140	NP	NP
								3	4	140	NP	NP
						20	277-140	3	2	140	NP	NP
								3	4	140	NP	NP
				1410	141 Sugar Hill G	10	278-141	27	2	141	NP	NP
								27	29	141	NP	NP
						20	279-141	27	2	141	NP	NP
								27	29	141	NP	NP
				1420	142 Suwanee F	10	263-142	29	2	142	NP	NP
								29	30	142	NP	NP
						20	265-142	29	2	142	NP	NP
								29	30	142	NP	NP
				1430	143 Lawrenceville L	10	216-143	78	2	143	NP	NP
								78	79	143	NP	NP
						20	217-143	78	2	143	NP	NP
								78	79	143	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	50	Advance in Person	1440	144 Lawrenceville M	10	240-144	53	2	144	NP	NP
								53	54	144	NP	NP
						50	241-144	53	2	144	NP	NP
								53	54	144	NP	NP
				1450	145 Baycreek G	10	226-145	79	2	145	NP	NP
								79	80	145	NP	NP
						20	227-145	79	2	145	NP	NP
								79	80	145	NP	NP
						30	228-145	79	2	145	NP	NP
								79	80	145	NP	NP
				1460	146 Baycreek H	10	211-146	61	2	146	NP	NP
								61	62	146	NP	NP
						20	212-146	61	2	146	NP	NP
								61	62	146	NP	NP
						30	219-146	80	2	146	NP	NP
								80	81	146	NP	NP
						40	220-146	80	2	146	NP	NP
								80	81	146	NP	NP
				1470	147 Baycreek I	10	211-147	61	2	147	NP	NP
								61	62	147	NP	NP
						20	213-147	61	2	147	NP	NP
								61	62	147	NP	NP
				1480	148 Berkshire Q	20	303-148	13	2	148	NP	NP
								13	15	148	NP	NP
						30	304-148	13	2	148	NP	NP
								13	15	148	NP	NP
						40	307-148	11	2	148	NP	NP
								11	13	148	NP	NP
						50	308-148	11	2	148	NP	NP
								11	13	148	NP	NP
				1490	149 Goodwins I	10	283-149	5	2	149	NP	NP
								5	6	149	NP	NP
				1500	150 Duluth K	10	352-150	46	2	150	NP	NP
								46	47	150	NP	NP
				1510	151 Harbins C	10	233-151	62	2	151	NP	NP
								62	63	151	NP	NP
				1520	152 Rockycreek C	10	231-152	1	1	152	NP	NP
								1	2	152	NP	NP
				1530	153 Lawrenceville N	10	218-153	81	2	153	NP	NP
								81	82	153	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	50	Advance in Person	1540	154 Pucketts D	10	268-154	75	2	154	NP	NP
								75	76	154	NP	NP
				1550	155 Suwanee G	10	294-155	6	2	155	NP	NP
								6	7	155	NP	NP
						20	296-155	6	2	155	NP	NP
								6	7	155	NP	NP
				1560	156 Baycreek J	10	203-156	69	2	156	NP	NP
								69	70	156	NP	NP
						20	205-156	69	2	156	NP	NP
								69	70	156	NP	NP
		2250	Advance in Person 2	10	001 Harbins A	10	231-001	1	1	1	NP	NP
								1	2	1	NP	NP
						20	232-001	1	1	1	NP	NP
								1	2	1	NP	NP
						30	233-001	62	2	1	NP	NP
								62	63	1	NP	NP
				20	002 Rockbridge A	10	309-002	2	2	2	NP	NP
								2	3	2	NP	NP
				30	003 Dacula	10	231-003	1	1	3	NP	NP
								1	2	3	NP	NP
						20	232-003	1	1	3	NP	NP
								1	2	3	NP	NP
				40	004 Suwanee A	10	275-004	3	2	4	NP	NP
								3	4	4	NP	NP
						20	276-004	3	2	4	NP	NP
								3	4	4	NP	NP
						30	277-004	3	2	4	NP	NP
								3	4	4	NP	NP
				50	005 Baycreek A	10	316-005	4	2	5	NP	NP
								4	5	5	NP	NP
						20	317-005	4	2	5	NP	NP
								4	5	5	NP	NP
				60	006 Goodwins A	10	283-006	5	2	6	NP	NP
								5	6	6	NP	NP
				70	007 Duluth A	10	294-007	6	2	7	NP	NP
								6	7	7	NP	NP
						20	295-007	6	2	7	NP	NP
								6	7	7	NP	NP
						30	296-007	6	2	7	NP	NP
								6	7	7	NP	NP

GA008565

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2250	Advance in Person 2	80	008 Duncans A	10	234-008	7	2	8	NP	NP
								7	8	8	NP	NP
				90	009 Pucketts A	10	258-009	8	2	9	NP	NP
								8	9	9	NP	NP
						20	259-009	9	10	9	NP	NP
								9	11	9	NP	NP
				100	010 Cates A	10	316-010	4	2	10	NP	NP
								4	5	10	NP	NP
						20	318-010	4	2	10	NP	NP
								4	5	10	NP	NP
				110	011 Berkshire A	10	329-011	10	2	11	NP	NP
								10	12	11	NP	NP
				120	012 Berkshire B	10	307-012	11	2	12	NP	NP
								11	13	12	NP	NP
						20	308-012	11	2	12	NP	NP
								11	13	12	NP	NP
				130	013 Duncans C	10	273-013	12	2	13	NP	NP
								12	14	13	NP	NP
				140	014 Garners A	10	303-014	13	2	14	NP	NP
								13	15	14	NP	NP
				150	015 Lawrenceville A	10	208-015	14	2	15	NP	NP
								14	16	15	NP	NP
						20	209-015	14	2	15	NP	NP
								14	16	15	NP	NP
						30	Fed - Cong 015	82	83	15	NP	NP
				160	016 Lawrenceville B	10	214-016	15	2	16	NP	NP
								15	17	16	NP	NP
						20	215-016	15	2	16	NP	NP
								15	17	16	NP	NP
				170	017 Martins A	10	345-017	16	2	17	NP	NP
								16	18	17	NP	NP
						20	346-017	17	2	17	NP	NP
								17	19	17	NP	NP
				180	018 Martins B	10	236-018	18	2	18	NP	NP
								18	20	18	NP	NP
				190	019 Martins C	10	327-019	19	2	19	NP	NP
								19	21	19	NP	NP
				200	020 Pinckneyville A	10	340-020	20	2	20	NP	NP
								20	22	20	NP	NP
				210	021 Pinckneyville B	10	330-021	21	2	21	NP	NP
								21	23	21	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2250	Advance in Person 2	220	022 Pinckneyville C	10	247-022	22	2	22	NP	NP
								22	24	22	NP	NP
				230	023 Pinckneyville D	10	342-023	23	2	23	NP	NP
								23	25	23	NP	NP
				240	024 Sugar Hill A	10	255-024	24	11	24	NP	NP
								24	26	24	NP	NP
						20	256-024	25	2	24	NP	NP
								25	27	24	NP	NP
						30	257-024	26	2	24	NP	NP
								26	28	24	NP	NP
						40	258-024	8	2	24	NP	NP
								8	9	24	NP	NP
						50	259-024	9	10	24	NP	NP
								9	11	24	NP	NP
						60	260-024	8	2	24	NP	NP
								8	9	24	NP	NP
						70	263-024	29	2	24	NP	NP
								29	30	24	NP	NP
						80	366-024	27	2	24	NP	NP
								27	29	24	NP	NP
				250	025 Sugar Hill B	10	259-025	9	10	25	NP	NP
								9	11	25	NP	NP
						20	278-025	27	2	25	NP	NP
								27	29	25	NP	NP
						30	279-025	27	2	25	NP	NP
								27	29	25	NP	NP
						40	280-025	28	2	25	NP	NP
								28	10	25	NP	NP
						50	282-025	28	2	25	NP	NP
								28	10	25	NP	NP
				260	026 Hog Mountain A	10	263-026	29	2	26	NP	NP
								29	30	26	NP	NP
						20	265-026	29	2	26	NP	NP
								29	30	26	NP	NP
				270	027 Hog Mountain B	10	210-027	30	2	27	NP	NP
								30	31	27	NP	NP
						20	229-027	31	2	27	NP	NP
								31	32	27	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2250	Advance in Person 2	280	028 Rockycreek A	10	231-028	1	1	28	NP	NP
								1	2	28	NP	NP
						20	234-028	7	2	28	NP	NP
								7	8	28	NP	NP
						30	235-028	7	2	28	NP	NP
								7	8	28	NP	NP
				290	029 Cates B	10	323-029	32	2	29	NP	NP
								32	33	29	NP	NP
				300	030 Cates C	10	312-030	33	2	30	NP	NP
								33	34	30	NP	NP
						20	313-030	33	2	30	NP	NP
								33	34	30	NP	NP
				310	031 Hog Mountain D	10	252-031	34	2	31	NP	NP
								34	35	31	NP	NP
				320	032 Berkshire D	10	303-032	13	2	32	NP	NP
								13	15	32	NP	NP
				330	033 Berkshire E	10	303-033	13	2	33	NP	NP
								13	15	33	NP	NP
						20	304-033	13	2	33	NP	NP
								13	15	33	NP	NP
				340	034 Berkshire F	10	325-034	35	2	34	NP	NP
								35	36	34	NP	NP
						20	326-034	35	2	34	NP	NP
								35	36	34	NP	NP
				350	035 Cates D - 04	10	300-035	36	2	35	NP	NP
								36	37	35	NP	NP
						20	301-035	37	2	35	NP	NP
								37	38	35	NP	NP
						30	302-035	37	2	35	NP	NP
								37	38	35	NP	NP
				351	035 Cates D - 07	10	315 - 035	83	2	36	NP	NP
								83	84	36	NP	NP
				360	036 Cates E	10	300-036	36	2	37	NP	NP
								36	37	37	NP	NP
				370	037 Pinckneyville E	10	356-037	22	2	38	NP	NP
								22	24	38	NP	NP
				380	038 Pinckneyville F	10	333-038	38	2	39	NP	NP
								38	39	39	NP	NP
						20	334-038	38	2	39	NP	NP
								38	39	39	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2250	Advance in Person 2	390	039 Duncans D	10	271-039	39	2	40	NP	NP
								39	40	40	NP	NP
						20	272-039	39	2	40	NP	NP
								39	40	40	NP	NP
						30	361-039	39	2	40	NP	NP
								39	40	40	NP	NP
						40	362-039	39	2	40	NP	NP
								39	40	40	NP	NP
						50	363-039	39	2	40	NP	NP
								39	40	40	NP	NP
				400	040 Pinckneyville H	20	288-040	40	2	41	NP	NP
								40	41	41	NP	NP
						30	289-040	40	2	41	NP	NP
								40	41	41	NP	NP
						40	358-040	40	2	41	NP	NP
								40	41	41	NP	NP
						50	359-040	40	2	41	NP	NP
								40	41	41	NP	NP
				410	041 Baycreek B	10	201-041	41	2	42	NP	NP
								41	42	42	NP	NP
						20	202-041	41	2	42	NP	NP
								41	42	42	NP	NP
				420	042 Lawrenceville C	10	250-042	42	2	43	NP	NP
								42	43	43	NP	NP
						20	251-042	42	2	43	NP	NP
								42	43	43	NP	NP
				430	043 Martins D	10	344-043	43	2	44	NP	NP
								43	44	44	NP	NP
				440	044 Rockbridge B	10	311-044	44	2	45	NP	NP
								44	45	45	NP	NP
				450	045 Garners B	10	311-045	44	2	46	NP	NP
								44	45	46	NP	NP
				460	046 Cates F	10	318-046	4	2	47	NP	NP
								4	5	47	NP	NP
				470	047 Cates G	10	321-047	45	2	48	NP	NP
								45	46	48	NP	NP
						20	322-047	45	2	48	NP	NP
								45	46	48	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2250	Advance in Person 2	480	048 Duluth B	10	290-048	46	2	49	NP	NP
								46	47	49	NP	NP
						20	291-048	46	2	49	NP	NP
								46	47	49	NP	NP
				490	049 Garners C	10	310-049	47	2	50	NP	NP
								47	48	50	NP	NP
				500	050 Pinckneyville I	10	337-050	48	2	51	NP	NP
								48	49	51	NP	NP
				510	051 Pinckneyville J	10	330-051	21	2	52	NP	NP
								21	23	52	NP	NP
				520	052 Berkshire G	10	314-052	49	2	53	NP	NP
								49	50	53	NP	NP
				530	053 Cates H	10	312-053	33	2	54	NP	NP
								33	34	54	NP	NP
						20	313-053	33	2	54	NP	NP
								33	34	54	NP	NP
				540	054 Sugar Hill C	10	259-054	9	10	55	NP	NP
								9	11	55	NP	NP
						20	278-054	27	2	55	NP	NP
								27	29	55	NP	NP
						30	279-054	27	2	55	NP	NP
								27	29	55	NP	NP
						40	280-054	28	2	55	NP	NP
								28	10	55	NP	NP
						50	282-054	28	2	55	NP	NP
								28	10	55	NP	NP
				550	055 Sugar Hill D	10	258-055	8	2	56	NP	NP
								8	9	56	NP	NP
						20	259-055	9	10	56	NP	NP
								9	11	56	NP	NP
						30	260-055	8	2	56	NP	NP
								8	9	56	NP	NP
						40	261-055	8	2	56	NP	NP
								8	9	56	NP	NP
						50	280-055	28	2	56	NP	NP
								28	10	56	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2250	Advance in Person 2	560	056 Duluth C	10	285-056	50	2	57	NP	NP
								50	51	57	NP	NP
						20	294-056	6	2	57	NP	NP
								6	7	57	NP	NP
						30	295-056	6	2	57	NP	NP
								6	7	57	NP	NP
				570	057 Duluth D	10	290-057	46	2	58	NP	NP
								46	47	58	NP	NP
						20	291-057	46	2	58	NP	NP
								46	47	58	NP	NP
				580	058 Pinckneyville K	10	341-058	51	2	59	NP	NP
								51	52	59	NP	NP
						20	350-058	52	2	59	NP	NP
								52	53	59	NP	NP
				590	059 Pinckneyville N	10	349-059	22	2	60	NP	NP
								22	24	60	NP	NP
						20	356-059	22	2	60	NP	NP
								22	24	60	NP	NP
						30	357-059	22	2	60	NP	NP
								22	24	60	NP	NP
				600	060 Lawrenceville D	10	240-060	53	2	61	NP	NP
								53	54	61	NP	NP
						20	241-060	53	2	61	NP	NP
								53	54	61	NP	NP
						30	242-060	54	2	61	NP	NP
								54	55	61	NP	NP
						40	243-060	54	2	61	NP	NP
								54	55	61	NP	NP
				610	061 Lawrenceville E	10	245-061	55	2	62	NP	NP
								55	56	62	NP	NP
						20	249-061	55	2	62	NP	NP
								55	56	62	NP	NP
				620	062 Pinckneyville L	10	247-062	22	2	63	NP	NP
								22	24	63	NP	NP
						20	248-062	22	2	63	NP	NP
								22	24	63	NP	NP
				630	063 Pinckneyville M	0	359-063	40	2	64	NP	NP
								40	41	64	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2250	Advance in Person 2	640	064 Garners D	10	309-064	2	2	65	NP	NP
								2	3	65	NP	NP
						20	311-064	44	2	65	NP	NP
								44	45	65	NP	NP
				650	065 Berkshire H	10	305-065	56	2	66	NP	NP
								56	57	66	NP	NP
						20	306-065	56	2	66	NP	NP
								56	57	66	NP	NP
				660	066 Pinckneyville O	10	330-066	21	2	67	NP	NP
								21	23	67	NP	NP
				670	067 Pucketts E	10	258-067	8	2	68	NP	NP
								8	9	68	NP	NP
						20	259-067	9	10	68	NP	NP
								9	11	68	NP	NP
				680	068 Cates I	10	319-068	57	2	69	NP	NP
								57	58	69	NP	NP
						20	320-068	57	2	69	NP	NP
								57	58	69	NP	NP
				690	069 Cates J	10	299-069	58	2	70	NP	NP
								58	59	70	NP	NP
						20	300-069	36	2	70	NP	NP
								36	37	70	NP	NP
				700	070 Goodwins B	10	266-070	59	2	71	NP	NP
								59	60	71	NP	NP
				710	071 Lawrenceville F	10	242-071	54	2	72	NP	NP
								54	55	72	NP	NP
						20	243-071	54	2	72	NP	NP
								54	55	72	NP	NP
				720	072 Martins E	10	344-072	43	2	73	NP	NP
								43	44	73	NP	NP
				730	073 Pinckneyville P	10	247-073	22	2	74	NP	NP
								22	24	74	NP	NP
				740	074 Pinckneyville Q	10	344-074	43	2	75	NP	NP
								43	44	75	NP	NP
				750	075 Rockbridge C	10	309-075	2	2	76	NP	NP
								2	3	76	NP	NP
						20	311-075	44	2	76	NP	NP
								44	45	76	NP	NP
				760	076 Rockbridge D	10	201-076	41	2	77	NP	NP
								41	42	77	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2250	Advance in Person 2	770	077 Goodwins C	10	297-077	60	2	78	NP	NP
								60	61	78	NP	NP
				780	078 Baycreek K	10	211-078	61	2	79	NP	NP
								61	62	79	NP	NP
						20	212-078	61	2	79	NP	NP
								61	62	79	NP	NP
				790	079 Cates K	10	319-079	57	2	80	NP	NP
								57	58	80	NP	NP
				800	080 Baycreek C	10	233-080	62	2	81	NP	NP
								62	63	81	NP	NP
				810	081 Cates L	10	301-081	37	2	82	NP	NP
								37	38	82	NP	NP
						20	302-081	37	2	82	NP	NP
								37	38	82	NP	NP
						30	312-081	33	2	82	NP	NP
								33	34	82	NP	NP
						40	313-081	33	2	82	NP	NP
								33	34	82	NP	NP
				820	082 Duluth E	10	285-082	50	2	83	NP	NP
								50	51	83	NP	NP
				830	083 Goodwins D	10	266-083	59	2	84	NP	NP
								59	60	84	NP	NP
				840	084 Lawrenceville G	10	206-084	63	2	85	NP	NP
								63	64	85	NP	NP
						20	207-084	63	2	85	NP	NP
								63	64	85	NP	NP
				850	085 Lawrenceville H	10	250-085	42	2	86	NP	NP
								42	43	86	NP	NP
						20	266-085	59	2	86	NP	NP
								59	60	86	NP	NP
						30	267-085	59	2	86	NP	NP
								59	60	86	NP	NP
				860	086 Martins F	10	344-086	43	2	87	NP	NP
								43	44	87	NP	NP
				870	087 Pinckneyville S	10	337-087	48	2	88	NP	NP
								48	49	88	NP	NP
						20	338-087	48	2	88	NP	NP
								48	49	88	NP	NP
				880	088 Rockbridge E	10	311-088	44	2	89	NP	NP
								44	45	89	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2250	Advance in Person 2	890	089 Sugar Hill E	10	253-089	64	2	90	NP	NP
								64	65	90	NP	NP
						20	254-089	64	2	90	NP	NP
								64	65	90	NP	NP
						30	255-089	24	11	90	NP	NP
								24	26	90	NP	NP
				900	090 Lawrenceville I	10	364-090	65	2	91	NP	NP
								65	66	91	NP	NP
						20	365-090	65	2	91	NP	NP
								65	66	91	NP	NP
				910	091 Baycreek D	10	211-091	61	2	92	NP	NP
								61	62	92	NP	NP
						20	212-091	61	2	92	NP	NP
								61	62	92	NP	NP
				920	092 Berkshire J	10	303-092	13	2	93	NP	NP
								13	15	93	NP	NP
						20	304-092	13	2	93	NP	NP
								13	15	93	NP	NP
				930	093 Cates M	10	319-093	57	2	94	NP	NP
								57	58	94	NP	NP
				940	094 Cates N	10	316-094	4	2	95	NP	NP
								4	5	95	NP	NP
						20	318-094	4	2	95	NP	NP
								4	5	95	NP	NP
				950	095 Duluth F	5	297-095	60	2	96	NP	NP
								60	61	96	NP	NP
						10	352-095	46	2	96	NP	NP
								46	47	96	NP	NP
				960	096 Duluth G	10	294-096	6	2	97	NP	NP
								6	7	97	NP	NP
						20	295-096	6	2	97	NP	NP
								6	7	97	NP	NP
				970	097 Goodwins E	10	297-097	60	2	98	NP	NP
								60	61	98	NP	NP
						20	298-097	60	2	98	NP	NP
								60	61	98	NP	NP
				980	098 Goodwins F	10	262-098	66	2	99	NP	NP
								66	67	99	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2250	Advance in Person 2	990	099 Pinckneyville T	10	281-099	67	2	100	NP	NP
								67	68	100	NP	NP
						20	293-099	67	2	100	NP	NP
								67	68	100	NP	NP
						30	360-099	67	2	100	NP	NP
								67	68	100	NP	NP
				1000	100 Suwanee B	10	263-100	29	2	101	NP	NP
								29	30	101	NP	NP
						20	264-100	29	2	101	NP	NP
								29	30	101	NP	NP
						30	265-100	29	2	101	NP	NP
								29	30	101	NP	NP
				1010	101 Pinckneyville U	10	356-101	22	2	102	NP	NP
								22	24	102	NP	NP
				1020	102 Pucketts B	10	258-102	8	2	103	NP	NP
								8	9	103	NP	NP
						20	259-102	9	10	103	NP	NP
								9	11	103	NP	NP
				1030	103 Berkshire L	10	351-103	68	2	104	NP	NP
								68	69	104	NP	NP
				1040	104 Rockbridge F	10	201-104	41	2	105	NP	NP
								41	42	105	NP	NP
				1050	105 Sugar Hill F	10	253-105	64	2	106	NP	NP
								64	65	106	NP	NP
						20	254-105	64	2	106	NP	NP
								64	65	106	NP	NP
				1060	106 Suwanee C	10	294-106	6	2	107	NP	NP
								6	7	107	NP	NP
						20	296-106	6	2	107	NP	NP
								6	7	107	NP	NP
				1070	107 Cates O	10	323-107	32	2	108	NP	NP
								32	33	108	NP	NP
				1080	108 Baycreek E	10	203-108	69	2	109	NP	NP
								69	70	109	NP	NP
						20	204-108	69	2	109	NP	NP
								69	70	109	NP	NP
						30	205-108	69	2	109	NP	NP
								69	70	109	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2250	Advance in Person 2	1090	109 Berkshire M	10	323-109	32	2	110	NP	NP
								32	33	110	NP	NP
						20	324-109	32	2	110	NP	NP
								32	33	110	NP	NP
				1100	110 Martins G	10	236-110	18	2	111	NP	NP
								18	20	111	NP	NP
						20	237-110	18	2	111	NP	NP
								18	20	111	NP	NP
				1110	111 Duluth H	10	294-111	6	2	112	NP	NP
								6	7	112	NP	NP
						20	295-111	6	2	112	NP	NP
								6	7	112	NP	NP
				1120	112 Berkshire N	10	303-112	13	2	113	NP	NP
								13	15	113	NP	NP
				1130	113 Pinckneyville V	10	330-113	21	2	114	NP	NP
								21	23	114	NP	NP
				1140	114 Pinckneyville W	30	336-114	70	2	115	NP	NP
								70	71	115	NP	NP
						40	340-114	20	2	115	NP	NP
								20	22	115	NP	NP
						50	367-114	70	2	115	NP	NP
								70	71	115	NP	NP
				1150	115 Martins H	10	244-115	71	2	116	NP	NP
								71	72	116	NP	NP
				1160	116 Martins I	10	345-116	16	2	117	NP	NP
								16	18	117	NP	NP
				1170	117 Martins J	10	345-117	16	2	118	NP	NP
								16	18	118	NP	NP
				1180	118 Garners F	10	310-118	47	2	119	NP	NP
								47	48	119	NP	NP
				1190	119 Berkshire O	10	323-119	32	2	120	NP	NP
								32	33	120	NP	NP
				1200	120 Berkshire P	10	328-120	10	2	121	NP	NP
								10	12	121	NP	NP
						20	329-120	10	2	121	NP	NP
								10	12	121	NP	NP
				1210	121 Pinckneyville X	10	358-121	40	2	122	NP	NP
								40	41	122	NP	NP
						20	359-121	40	2	122	NP	NP
								40	41	122	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2250	Advance in Person 2	1220	122 Rockbridge G	10	309-122	2	2	123	NP	NP
								2	3	123	NP	NP
				1230	123 Pinckneyville Y	10	330-123	21	2	124	NP	NP
								21	23	124	NP	NP
						20	331-123	72	2	124	NP	NP
								72	73	124	NP	NP
				1240	124 Goodwins G	10	284-124	73	2	125	NP	NP
								73	74	125	NP	NP
				1250	125 Pinckneyville Z	10	247-125	22	2	126	NP	NP
								22	24	126	NP	NP
						20	248-125	22	2	126	NP	NP
								22	24	126	NP	NP
				1260	126 Pinckneyville A1	10	247-126	22	2	127	NP	NP
								22	24	127	NP	NP
				1270	127 Lawrenceville J	10	206-127	63	2	128	NP	NP
								63	64	128	NP	NP
				1280	128 Goodwins H	10	297-128	60	2	129	NP	NP
								60	61	129	NP	NP
				1290	129 Duncans B	10	273-129	12	2	130	NP	NP
								12	14	130	NP	NP
				1300	130 Suwanee D	10	278-130	27	2	131	NP	NP
								27	29	131	NP	NP
						20	279-130	27	2	131	NP	NP
								27	29	131	NP	NP
				1310	131 Suwanee E	10	275-131	3	2	132	NP	NP
								3	4	132	NP	NP
						20	276-131	3	2	132	NP	NP
								3	4	132	NP	NP
						30	277-131	3	2	132	NP	NP
								3	4	132	NP	NP
				1320	132 Lawrenceville K	10	266-132	59	2	133	NP	NP
								59	60	133	NP	NP
				1330	133 Harbins B	10	231-133	1	1	134	NP	NP
								1	2	134	NP	NP
						20	232-133	1	1	134	NP	NP
								1	2	134	NP	NP

GA008577

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2250	Advance in Person 2	1340	134 Baycreek F	10	223-134	74	2	135	NP	NP
								74	75	135	NP	NP
						20	224-134	74	2	135	NP	NP
								74	75	135	NP	NP
						30	225-134	74	2	135	NP	NP
								74	75	135	NP	NP
				1350	135 Pucketts C	10	268-135	75	2	136	NP	NP
								75	76	136	NP	NP
						20	270-135	75	2	136	NP	NP
								75	76	136	NP	NP
				1360	136 Hog Mountain C	10	258-136	8	2	137	NP	NP
								8	9	137	NP	NP
						20	268-136	75	2	137	NP	NP
								75	76	137	NP	NP
				1370	137 Rockycreek B	10	222-137	76	2	138	NP	NP
								76	77	138	NP	NP
				1380	138 Duluth I	10	290-138	46	2	139	NP	NP
								46	47	139	NP	NP
						20	294-138	6	2	139	NP	NP
								6	7	139	NP	NP
						30	295-138	6	2	139	NP	NP
								6	7	139	NP	NP
				1390	139 Martins K	10	221-139	77	2	140	NP	NP
								77	78	140	NP	NP
				1400	140 Suwanee H	10	275-140	3	2	141	NP	NP
								3	4	141	NP	NP
						20	277-140	3	2	141	NP	NP
								3	4	141	NP	NP
				1410	141 Sugar Hill G	10	278-141	27	2	142	NP	NP
								27	29	142	NP	NP
						20	279-141	27	2	142	NP	NP
								27	29	142	NP	NP
				1420	142 Suwanee F	10	263-142	29	2	143	NP	NP
								29	30	143	NP	NP
						20	265-142	29	2	143	NP	NP
								29	30	143	NP	NP
				1430	143 Lawrenceville L	10	216-143	78	2	144	NP	NP
								78	79	144	NP	NP
						20	217-143	78	2	144	NP	NP
								78	79	144	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2250	Advance in Person 2	1440	144 Lawrenceville M	10	240-144	53	2	145	NP	NP
								53	54	145	NP	NP
						50	241-144	53	2	145	NP	NP
								53	54	145	NP	NP
				1450	145 Baycreek G	10	226-145	79	2	146	NP	NP
								79	80	146	NP	NP
						20	227-145	79	2	146	NP	NP
								79	80	146	NP	NP
						30	228-145	79	2	146	NP	NP
								79	80	146	NP	NP
				1460	146 Baycreek H	10	211-146	61	2	147	NP	NP
								61	62	147	NP	NP
						20	212-146	61	2	147	NP	NP
								61	62	147	NP	NP
						30	219-146	80	2	147	NP	NP
								80	81	147	NP	NP
						40	220-146	80	2	147	NP	NP
								80	81	147	NP	NP
				1470	147 Baycreek I	10	211-147	61	2	148	NP	NP
								61	62	148	NP	NP
						20	213-147	61	2	148	NP	NP
								61	62	148	NP	NP
				1480	148 Berkshire Q	20	303-148	13	2	149	NP	NP
								13	15	149	NP	NP
						30	304-148	13	2	149	NP	NP
								13	15	149	NP	NP
						40	307-148	11	2	149	NP	NP
								11	13	149	NP	NP
						50	308-148	11	2	149	NP	NP
								11	13	149	NP	NP
				1490	149 Goodwins I	10	283-149	5	2	150	NP	NP
								5	6	150	NP	NP
				1500	150 Duluth K	10	352-150	46	2	151	NP	NP
								46	47	151	NP	NP
				1510	151 Harbins C	10	233-151	62	2	152	NP	NP
								62	63	152	NP	NP
				1520	152 Rockycreek C	10	231-152	1	1	153	NP	NP
								1	2	153	NP	NP
				1530	153 Lawrenceville N	10	218-153	81	2	154	NP	NP
								81	82	154	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2250	Advance in Person 2	1540	154 Pucketts D	10	268-154	75	2	155	NP	NP
								75	76	155	NP	NP
				1550	155 Suwanee G	10	294-155	6	2	156	NP	NP
								6	7	156	NP	NP
						20	296-155	6	2	156	NP	NP
								6	7	156	NP	NP
				1560	156 Baycreek J	10	203-156	69	2	157	NP	NP
								69	70	157	NP	NP
						20	205-156	69	2	157	NP	NP
								69	70	157	NP	NP
		60	PROV 001-015	10	001 Harbins A	10	231-001	1	1	1	NP	NP
								1	2	1	NP	NP
						20	232-001	1	1	1	NP	NP
								1	2	1	NP	NP
						30	233-001	62	2	1	NP	NP
								62	63	1	NP	NP
				20	002 Rockbridge A	10	309-002	2	2	2	NP	NP
								2	3	2	NP	NP
				30	003 Dacula	10	231-003	1	1	3	NP	NP
								1	2	3	NP	NP
						20	232-003	1	1	3	NP	NP
								1	2	3	NP	NP
				40	004 Suwanee A	10	275-004	3	2	4	NP	NP
								3	4	4	NP	NP
						20	276-004	3	2	4	NP	NP
								3	4	4	NP	NP
						30	277-004	3	2	4	NP	NP
								3	4	4	NP	NP
				50	005 Baycreek A	10	316-005	4	2	5	NP	NP
								4	5	5	NP	NP
						20	317-005	4	2	5	NP	NP
								4	5	5	NP	NP
				60	006 Goodwins A	10	283-006	5	2	6	NP	NP
								5	6	6	NP	NP
				70	007 Duluth A	10	294-007	6	2	7	NP	NP
								6	7	7	NP	NP
						20	295-007	6	2	7	NP	NP
								6	7	7	NP	NP
						30	296-007	6	2	7	NP	NP
								6	7	7	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	60	PROV 001-015	80	008 Duncans A	10	234-008	7	2	8	NP	NP
								7	8	8	NP	NP
				90	009 Pucketts A	10	258-009	8	2	9	NP	NP
								8	9	9	NP	NP
						20	259-009	9	10	9	NP	NP
								9	11	9	NP	NP
				100	010 Cates A	10	316-010	4	2	10	NP	NP
								4	5	10	NP	NP
						20	318-010	4	2	10	NP	NP
								4	5	10	NP	NP
				110	011 Berkshire A	10	329-011	10	2	11	NP	NP
								10	12	11	NP	NP
				120	012 Berkshire B	10	307-012	11	2	12	NP	NP
								11	13	12	NP	NP
						20	308-012	11	2	12	NP	NP
								11	13	12	NP	NP
				130	013 Duncans C	10	273-013	12	2	13	NP	NP
								12	14	13	NP	NP
				140	014 Garners A	10	303-014	13	2	14	NP	NP
								13	15	14	NP	NP
				150	015 Lawrenceville A	10	208-015	14	2	15	NP	NP
								14	16	15	NP	NP
						20	209-015	14	2	15	NP	NP
								14	16	15	NP	NP
						30	Fed - Cong 015	82	83	15	NP	NP
		2140	PROV 016-030	160	016 Lawrenceville B	10	214-016	15	2	1	NP	NP
								15	17	1	NP	NP
						20	215-016	15	2	1	NP	NP
								15	17	1	NP	NP
				170	017 Martins A	10	345-017	16	2	2	NP	NP
								16	18	2	NP	NP
						20	346-017	17	2	2	NP	NP
								17	19	2	NP	NP
				180	018 Martins B	10	236-018	18	2	3	NP	NP
								18	20	3	NP	NP
				190	019 Martins C	10	327-019	19	2	4	NP	NP
								19	21	4	NP	NP
				200	020 Pinckneyville A	10	340-020	20	2	5	NP	NP
								20	22	5	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2140	PROV 016-030	210	021 Pinckneyville B	10	330-021	21	2	6	NP	NP
								21	23	6	NP	NP
				220	022 Pinckneyville C	10	247-022	22	2	7	NP	NP
								22	24	7	NP	NP
				230	023 Pinckneyville D	10	342-023	23	2	8	NP	NP
								23	25	8	NP	NP
				240	024 Sugar Hill A	10	255-024	24	11	9	NP	NP
								24	26	9	NP	NP
						20	256-024	25	2	9	NP	NP
								25	27	9	NP	NP
						30	257-024	26	2	9	NP	NP
								26	28	9	NP	NP
						40	258-024	8	2	9	NP	NP
								8	9	9	NP	NP
						50	259-024	9	10	9	NP	NP
								9	11	9	NP	NP
						60	260-024	8	2	9	NP	NP
								8	9	9	NP	NP
						70	263-024	29	2	9	NP	NP
								29	30	9	NP	NP
						80	366-024	27	2	9	NP	NP
								27	29	9	NP	NP
				250	025 Sugar Hill B	10	259-025	9	10	10	NP	NP
								9	11	10	NP	NP
						20	278-025	27	2	10	NP	NP
								27	29	10	NP	NP
						30	279-025	27	2	10	NP	NP
								27	29	10	NP	NP
						40	280-025	28	2	10	NP	NP
								28	10	10	NP	NP
						50	282-025	28	2	10	NP	NP
								28	10	10	NP	NP
				260	026 Hog Mountain A	10	263-026	29	2	11	NP	NP
								29	30	11	NP	NP
						20	265-026	29	2	11	NP	NP
								29	30	11	NP	NP
				270	027 Hog Mountain B	10	210-027	30	2	12	NP	NP
								30	31	12	NP	NP
						20	229-027	31	2	12	NP	NP
								31	32	12	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2140	PROV 016-030	280	028 Rockycreek A	10	231-028	1	1	13	NP	NP
								1	2	13	NP	NP
						20	234-028	7	2	13	NP	NP
								7	8	13	NP	NP
						30	235-028	7	2	13	NP	NP
								7	8	13	NP	NP
				290	029 Cates B	10	323-029	32	2	14	NP	NP
								32	33	14	NP	NP
				300	030 Cates C	10	312-030	33	2	15	NP	NP
								33	34	15	NP	NP
						20	313-030	33	2	15	NP	NP
								33	34	15	NP	NP
		2150	PROV 031-045	310	031 Hog Mountain D	10	252-031	34	2	1	NP	NP
								34	35	1	NP	NP
				320	032 Berkshire D	10	303-032	13	2	2	NP	NP
								13	15	2	NP	NP
				330	033 Berkshire E	10	303-033	13	2	3	NP	NP
								13	15	3	NP	NP
						20	304-033	13	2	3	NP	NP
								13	15	3	NP	NP
				340	034 Berkshire F	10	325-034	35	2	4	NP	NP
								35	36	4	NP	NP
						20	326-034	35	2	4	NP	NP
								35	36	4	NP	NP
				350	035 Cates D - 04	10	300-035	36	2	5	NP	NP
								36	37	5	NP	NP
						20	301-035	37	2	5	NP	NP
								37	38	5	NP	NP
						30	302-035	37	2	5	NP	NP
								37	38	5	NP	NP
				351	035 Cates D - 07	10	315 - 035	83	2	16	NP	NP
								83	84	16	NP	NP
				360	036 Cates E	10	300-036	36	2	6	NP	NP
								36	37	6	NP	NP
				370	037 Pinckneyville E	10	356-037	22	2	7	NP	NP
								22	24	7	NP	NP
				380	038 Pinckneyville F	10	333-038	38	2	8	NP	NP
								38	39	8	NP	NP
						20	334-038	38	2	8	NP	NP
								38	39	8	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2150	PROV 031-045	390	039 Duncans D	10	271-039	39	2	9	NP	NP
								39	40	9	NP	NP
						20	272-039	39	2	9	NP	NP
								39	40	9	NP	NP
						30	361-039	39	2	9	NP	NP
								39	40	9	NP	NP
						40	362-039	39	2	9	NP	NP
								39	40	9	NP	NP
						50	363-039	39	2	9	NP	NP
								39	40	9	NP	NP
				400	040 Pinckneyville H	20	288-040	40	2	10	NP	NP
								40	41	10	NP	NP
						30	289-040	40	2	10	NP	NP
								40	41	10	NP	NP
						40	358-040	40	2	10	NP	NP
								40	41	10	NP	NP
						50	359-040	40	2	10	NP	NP
								40	41	10	NP	NP
				410	041 Baycreek B	10	201-041	41	2	11	NP	NP
								41	42	11	NP	NP
						20	202-041	41	2	11	NP	NP
								41	42	11	NP	NP
				420	042 Lawrenceville C	10	250-042	42	2	12	NP	NP
								42	43	12	NP	NP
						20	251-042	42	2	12	NP	NP
								42	43	12	NP	NP
				430	043 Martins D	10	344-043	43	2	13	NP	NP
								43	44	13	NP	NP
				440	044 Rockbridge B	10	311-044	44	2	14	NP	NP
								44	45	14	NP	NP
				450	045 Garners B	10	311-045	44	2	15	NP	NP
								44	45	15	NP	NP
		2160	PROV 046-060	460	046 Cates F	10	318-046	4	2	1	NP	NP
								4	5	1	NP	NP
				470	047 Cates G	10	321-047	45	2	2	NP	NP
								45	46	2	NP	NP
						20	322-047	45	2	2	NP	NP
								45	46	2	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2160	PROV 046-060	480	048 Duluth B	10	290-048	46	2	3	NP	NP
								46	47	3	NP	NP
						20	291-048	46	2	3	NP	NP
								46	47	3	NP	NP
				490	049 Garners C	10	310-049	47	2	4	NP	NP
								47	48	4	NP	NP
				500	050 Pinckneyville I	10	337-050	48	2	5	NP	NP
								48	49	5	NP	NP
				510	051 Pinckneyville J	10	330-051	21	2	6	NP	NP
								21	23	6	NP	NP
				520	052 Berkshire G	10	314-052	49	2	7	NP	NP
								49	50	7	NP	NP
				530	053 Cates H	10	312-053	33	2	8	NP	NP
								33	34	8	NP	NP
						20	313-053	33	2	8	NP	NP
								33	34	8	NP	NP
				540	054 Sugar Hill C	10	259-054	9	10	9	NP	NP
								9	11	9	NP	NP
						20	278-054	27	2	9	NP	NP
								27	29	9	NP	NP
						30	279-054	27	2	9	NP	NP
								27	29	9	NP	NP
						40	280-054	28	2	9	NP	NP
								28	10	9	NP	NP
						50	282-054	28	2	9	NP	NP
								28	10	9	NP	NP
				550	055 Sugar Hill D	10	258-055	8	2	10	NP	NP
								8	9	10	NP	NP
						20	259-055	9	10	10	NP	NP
								9	11	10	NP	NP
						30	260-055	8	2	10	NP	NP
								8	9	10	NP	NP
						40	261-055	8	2	10	NP	NP
								8	9	10	NP	NP
						50	280-055	28	2	10	NP	NP
								28	10	10	NP	NP

GA008585

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2160	PROV 046-060	560	056 Duluth C	10	285-056	50	2	11	NP	NP
								50	51	11	NP	NP
						20	294-056	6	2	11	NP	NP
								6	7	11	NP	NP
						30	295-056	6	2	11	NP	NP
								6	7	11	NP	NP
				570	057 Duluth D	10	290-057	46	2	12	NP	NP
								46	47	12	NP	NP
						20	291-057	46	2	12	NP	NP
								46	47	12	NP	NP
				580	058 Pinckneyville K	10	341-058	51	2	13	NP	NP
								51	52	13	NP	NP
						20	350-058	52	2	13	NP	NP
								52	53	13	NP	NP
				590	059 Pinckneyville N	10	349-059	22	2	14	NP	NP
								22	24	14	NP	NP
						20	356-059	22	2	14	NP	NP
								22	24	14	NP	NP
						30	357-059	22	2	14	NP	NP
								22	24	14	NP	NP
				600	060 Lawrenceville D	10	240-060	53	2	15	NP	NP
								53	54	15	NP	NP
						20	241-060	53	2	15	NP	NP
								53	54	15	NP	NP
						30	242-060	54	2	15	NP	NP
								54	55	15	NP	NP
						40	243-060	54	2	15	NP	NP
								54	55	15	NP	NP
		2170	PROV 061-075	610	061 Lawrenceville E	10	245-061	55	2	1	NP	NP
								55	56	1	NP	NP
						20	249-061	55	2	1	NP	NP
								55	56	1	NP	NP
				620	062 Pinckneyville L	10	247-062	22	2	2	NP	NP
								22	24	2	NP	NP
						20	248-062	22	2	2	NP	NP
								22	24	2	NP	NP
				630	063 Pinckneyville M	0	359-063	40	2	3	NP	NP
								40	41	3	NP	NP

GA008586

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2170	PROV 061-075	640	064 Garners D	10	309-064	2	2	4	NP	NP
								2	3	4	NP	NP
						20	311-064	44	2	4	NP	NP
								44	45	4	NP	NP
				650	065 Berkshire H	10	305-065	56	2	5	NP	NP
								56	57	5	NP	NP
						20	306-065	56	2	5	NP	NP
								56	57	5	NP	NP
				660	066 Pinckneyville O	10	330-066	21	2	6	NP	NP
								21	23	6	NP	NP
				670	067 Pucketts E	10	258-067	8	2	7	NP	NP
								8	9	7	NP	NP
						20	259-067	9	10	7	NP	NP
								9	11	7	NP	NP
				680	068 Cates I	10	319-068	57	2	8	NP	NP
								57	58	8	NP	NP
						20	320-068	57	2	8	NP	NP
								57	58	8	NP	NP
				690	069 Cates J	10	299-069	58	2	9	NP	NP
								58	59	9	NP	NP
						20	300-069	36	2	9	NP	NP
								36	37	9	NP	NP
				700	070 Goodwins B	10	266-070	59	2	10	NP	NP
								59	60	10	NP	NP
				710	071 Lawrenceville F	10	242-071	54	2	11	NP	NP
								54	55	11	NP	NP
						20	243-071	54	2	11	NP	NP
								54	55	11	NP	NP
				720	072 Martins E	10	344-072	43	2	12	NP	NP
								43	44	12	NP	NP
				730	073 Pinckneyville P	10	247-073	22	2	13	NP	NP
								22	24	13	NP	NP
				740	074 Pinckneyville Q	10	344-074	43	2	14	NP	NP
								43	44	14	NP	NP
				750	075 Rockbridge C	10	309-075	2	2	15	NP	NP
								2	3	15	NP	NP
						20	311-075	44	2	15	NP	NP
								44	45	15	NP	NP
		2180	PROV 076-090	760	076 Rockbridge D	10	201-076	41	2	1	NP	NP
								41	42	1	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2180	PROV 076-090	770	077 Goodwins C	10	297-077	60	2	2	NP	NP
								60	61	2	NP	NP
				780	078 Baycreek K	10	211-078	61	2	3	NP	NP
								61	62	3	NP	NP
						20	212-078	61	2	3	NP	NP
								61	62	3	NP	NP
				790	079 Cates K	10	319-079	57	2	4	NP	NP
								57	58	4	NP	NP
				800	080 Baycreek C	10	233-080	62	2	5	NP	NP
								62	63	5	NP	NP
				810	081 Cates L	10	301-081	37	2	6	NP	NP
								37	38	6	NP	NP
						20	302-081	37	2	6	NP	NP
								37	38	6	NP	NP
						30	312-081	33	2	6	NP	NP
								33	34	6	NP	NP
						40	313-081	33	2	6	NP	NP
								33	34	6	NP	NP
				820	082 Duluth E	10	285-082	50	2	7	NP	NP
								50	51	7	NP	NP
				830	083 Goodwins D	10	266-083	59	2	8	NP	NP
								59	60	8	NP	NP
				840	084 Lawrenceville G	10	206-084	63	2	9	NP	NP
								63	64	9	NP	NP
						20	207-084	63	2	9	NP	NP
								63	64	9	NP	NP
				850	085 Lawrenceville H	10	250-085	42	2	10	NP	NP
								42	43	10	NP	NP
						20	266-085	59	2	10	NP	NP
								59	60	10	NP	NP
						30	267-085	59	2	10	NP	NP
								59	60	10	NP	NP
				860	086 Martins F	10	344-086	43	2	11	NP	NP
								43	44	11	NP	NP
				870	087 Pinckneyville S	10	337-087	48	2	12	NP	NP
								48	49	12	NP	NP
						20	338-087	48	2	12	NP	NP
								48	49	12	NP	NP
				880	088 Rockbridge E	10	311-088	44	2	13	NP	NP
								44	45	13	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2180	PROV 076-090	890	089 Sugar Hill E	10	253-089	64	2	14	NP	NP
								64	65	14	NP	NP
						20	254-089	64	2	14	NP	NP
								64	65	14	NP	NP
						30	255-089	24	11	14	NP	NP
								24	26	14	NP	NP
				900	090 Lawrenceville I	10	364-090	65	2	15	NP	NP
								65	66	15	NP	NP
						20	365-090	65	2	15	NP	NP
								65	66	15	NP	NP
		2190	PROV 091-105	910	091 Baycreek D	10	211-091	61	2	1	NP	NP
								61	62	1	NP	NP
						20	212-091	61	2	1	NP	NP
								61	62	1	NP	NP
				920	092 Berkshire J	10	303-092	13	2	2	NP	NP
								13	15	2	NP	NP
						20	304-092	13	2	2	NP	NP
								13	15	2	NP	NP
				930	093 Cates M	10	319-093	57	2	3	NP	NP
								57	58	3	NP	NP
				940	094 Cates N	10	316-094	4	2	4	NP	NP
								4	5	4	NP	NP
						20	318-094	4	2	4	NP	NP
								4	5	4	NP	NP
				950	095 Duluth F	5	297-095	60	2	5	NP	NP
								60	61	5	NP	NP
						10	352-095	46	2	5	NP	NP
								46	47	5	NP	NP
				960	096 Duluth G	10	294-096	6	2	6	NP	NP
								6	7	6	NP	NP
						20	295-096	6	2	6	NP	NP
								6	7	6	NP	NP
				970	097 Goodwins E	10	297-097	60	2	7	NP	NP
								60	61	7	NP	NP
						20	298-097	60	2	7	NP	NP
								60	61	7	NP	NP
				980	098 Goodwins F	10	262-098	66	2	8	NP	NP
								66	67	8	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2190	PROV 091-105	990	099 Pinckneyville T	10	281-099	67	2	9	NP	NP
								67	68	9	NP	NP
						20	293-099	67	2	9	NP	NP
								67	68	9	NP	NP
						30	360-099	67	2	9	NP	NP
								67	68	9	NP	NP
				1000	100 Suwanee B	10	263-100	29	2	10	NP	NP
								29	30	10	NP	NP
						20	264-100	29	2	10	NP	NP
								29	30	10	NP	NP
						30	265-100	29	2	10	NP	NP
								29	30	10	NP	NP
				1010	101 Pinckneyville U	10	356-101	22	2	11	NP	NP
								22	24	11	NP	NP
				1020	102 Pucketts B	10	258-102	8	2	12	NP	NP
								8	9	12	NP	NP
						20	259-102	9	10	12	NP	NP
								9	11	12	NP	NP
				1030	103 Berkshire L	10	351-103	68	2	13	NP	NP
								68	69	13	NP	NP
				1040	104 Rockbridge F	10	201-104	41	2	14	NP	NP
								41	42	14	NP	NP
				1050	105 Sugar Hill F	10	253-105	64	2	15	NP	NP
								64	65	15	NP	NP
						20	254-105	64	2	15	NP	NP
								64	65	15	NP	NP
		2200	PROV 106-120	1060	106 Suwanee C	10	294-106	6	2	1	NP	NP
								6	7	1	NP	NP
						20	296-106	6	2	1	NP	NP
								6	7	1	NP	NP
				1070	107 Cates O	10	323-107	32	2	2	NP	NP
								32	33	2	NP	NP
				1080	108 Baycreek E	10	203-108	69	2	3	NP	NP
								69	70	3	NP	NP
						20	204-108	69	2	3	NP	NP
								69	70	3	NP	NP
						30	205-108	69	2	3	NP	NP
								69	70	3	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2200	PROV 106-120	1090	109 Berkshire M	10	323-109	32	2	4	NP	NP
								32	33	4	NP	NP
						20	324-109	32	2	4	NP	NP
								32	33	4	NP	NP
				1100	110 Martins G	10	236-110	18	2	5	NP	NP
								18	20	5	NP	NP
						20	237-110	18	2	5	NP	NP
								18	20	5	NP	NP
				1110	111 Duluth H	10	294-111	6	2	6	NP	NP
								6	7	6	NP	NP
						20	295-111	6	2	6	NP	NP
								6	7	6	NP	NP
				1120	112 Berkshire N	10	303-112	13	2	7	NP	NP
								13	15	7	NP	NP
				1130	113 Pinckneyville V	10	330-113	21	2	8	NP	NP
								21	23	8	NP	NP
				1140	114 Pinckneyville W	30	336-114	70	2	9	NP	NP
								70	71	9	NP	NP
						40	340-114	20	2	9	NP	NP
								20	22	9	NP	NP
						50	367-114	70	2	9	NP	NP
								70	71	9	NP	NP
				1150	115 Martins H	10	244-115	71	2	10	NP	NP
								71	72	10	NP	NP
				1160	116 Martins I	10	345-116	16	2	11	NP	NP
								16	18	11	NP	NP
				1170	117 Martins J	10	345-117	16	2	12	NP	NP
								16	18	12	NP	NP
				1180	118 Garners F	10	310-118	47	2	13	NP	NP
								47	48	13	NP	NP
				1190	119 Berkshire O	10	323-119	32	2	14	NP	NP
								32	33	14	NP	NP
				1200	120 Berkshire P	10	328-120	10	2	15	NP	NP
								10	12	15	NP	NP
						20	329-120	10	2	15	NP	NP
								10	12	15	NP	NP
		2210	PROV 121-135	1210	121 Pinckneyville X	10	358-121	40	2	1	NP	NP
								40	41	1	NP	NP
						20	359-121	40	2	1	NP	NP
								40	41	1	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2210	PROV 121-135	1220	122 Rockbridge G	10	309-122	2	2	2	NP	NP
								2	3	2	NP	NP
				1230	123 Pinckneyville Y	10	330-123	21	2	3	NP	NP
								21	23	3	NP	NP
						20	331-123	72	2	3	NP	NP
								72	73	3	NP	NP
				1240	124 Goodwins G	10	284-124	73	2	4	NP	NP
								73	74	4	NP	NP
				1250	125 Pinckneyville Z	10	247-125	22	2	5	NP	NP
								22	24	5	NP	NP
						20	248-125	22	2	5	NP	NP
								22	24	5	NP	NP
				1260	126 Pinckneyville A1	10	247-126	22	2	6	NP	NP
								22	24	6	NP	NP
				1270	127 Lawrenceville J	10	206-127	63	2	7	NP	NP
								63	64	7	NP	NP
				1280	128 Goodwins H	10	297-128	60	2	8	NP	NP
								60	61	8	NP	NP
				1290	129 Duncans B	10	273-129	12	2	9	NP	NP
								12	14	9	NP	NP
				1300	130 Suwanee D	10	278-130	27	2	10	NP	NP
								27	29	10	NP	NP
						20	279-130	27	2	10	NP	NP
								27	29	10	NP	NP
				1310	131 Suwanee E	10	275-131	3	2	11	NP	NP
								3	4	11	NP	NP
						20	276-131	3	2	11	NP	NP
								3	4	11	NP	NP
						30	277-131	3	2	11	NP	NP
								3	4	11	NP	NP
				1320	132 Lawrenceville K	10	266-132	59	2	12	NP	NP
								59	60	12	NP	NP
				1330	133 Harbins B	10	231-133	1	1	13	NP	NP
								1	2	13	NP	NP
						20	232-133	1	1	13	NP	NP
								1	2	13	NP	NP

GA008592

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2210	PROV 121-135	1340	134 Baycreek F	10	223-134	74	2	14	NP	NP
								74	75	14	NP	NP
						20	224-134	74	2	14	NP	NP
								74	75	14	NP	NP
						30	225-134	74	2	14	NP	NP
								74	75	14	NP	NP
				1350	135 Pucketts C	10	268-135	75	2	15	NP	NP
								75	76	15	NP	NP
						20	270-135	75	2	15	NP	NP
								75	76	15	NP	NP
		2220	PROV 136-150	1360	136 Hog Mountain C	10	258-136	8	2	1	NP	NP
								8	9	1	NP	NP
						20	268-136	75	2	1	NP	NP
								75	76	1	NP	NP
				1370	137 Rockycreek B	10	222-137	76	2	2	NP	NP
								76	77	2	NP	NP
				1380	138 Duluth I	10	290-138	46	2	3	NP	NP
								46	47	3	NP	NP
						20	294-138	6	2	3	NP	NP
								6	7	3	NP	NP
						30	295-138	6	2	3	NP	NP
								6	7	3	NP	NP
				1390	139 Martins K	10	221-139	77	2	4	NP	NP
								77	78	4	NP	NP
				1400	140 Suwanee H	10	275-140	3	2	5	NP	NP
								3	4	5	NP	NP
						20	277-140	3	2	5	NP	NP
								3	4	5	NP	NP
				1410	141 Sugar Hill G	10	278-141	27	2	6	NP	NP
								27	29	6	NP	NP
						20	279-141	27	2	6	NP	NP
								27	29	6	NP	NP
				1420	142 Suwanee F	10	263-142	29	2	7	NP	NP
								29	30	7	NP	NP
						20	265-142	29	2	7	NP	NP
								29	30	7	NP	NP
				1430	143 Lawrenceville L	10	216-143	78	2	8	NP	NP
								78	79	8	NP	NP
						20	217-143	78	2	8	NP	NP
								78	79	8	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2220	PROV 136-150	1440	144 Lawrenceville M	10	240-144	53	2	9	NP	NP
								53	54	9	NP	NP
						50	241-144	53	2	9	NP	NP
								53	54	9	NP	NP
				1450	145 Baycreek G	10	226-145	79	2	10	NP	NP
								79	80	10	NP	NP
						20	227-145	79	2	10	NP	NP
								79	80	10	NP	NP
						30	228-145	79	2	10	NP	NP
								79	80	10	NP	NP
				1460	146 Baycreek H	10	211-146	61	2	11	NP	NP
								61	62	11	NP	NP
						20	212-146	61	2	11	NP	NP
								61	62	11	NP	NP
						30	219-146	80	2	11	NP	NP
								80	81	11	NP	NP
						40	220-146	80	2	11	NP	NP
								80	81	11	NP	NP
				1470	147 Baycreek I	10	211-147	61	2	12	NP	NP
								61	62	12	NP	NP
						20	213-147	61	2	12	NP	NP
								61	62	12	NP	NP
				1480	148 Berkshire Q	20	303-148	13	2	13	NP	NP
								13	15	13	NP	NP
						30	304-148	13	2	13	NP	NP
								13	15	13	NP	NP
						40	307-148	11	2	13	NP	NP
								11	13	13	NP	NP
						50	308-148	11	2	13	NP	NP
								11	13	13	NP	NP
				1490	149 Goodwins I	10	283-149	5	2	14	NP	NP
								5	6	14	NP	NP
				1500	150 Duluth K	10	352-150	46	2	15	NP	NP
								46	47	15	NP	NP
		2230	PROV 151-156	1510	151 Harbins C	10	233-151	62	2	1	NP	NP
								62	63	1	NP	NP
				1520	152 Rockycreek C	10	231-152	1	1	2	NP	NP
								1	2	2	NP	NP
				1530	153 Lawrenceville N	10	218-153	81	2	3	NP	NP
								81	82	3	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2230	PROV 151-156	1540	154 Pucketts D	10	268-154	75	2	4	NP	NP
								75	76	4	NP	NP
				1550	155 Suwanee G	10	294-155	6	2	5	NP	NP
								6	7	5	NP	NP
						20	296-155	6	2	5	NP	NP
								6	7	5	NP	NP
				1560	156 Baycreek J	10	203-156	69	2	6	NP	NP
								69	70	6	NP	NP
						20	205-156	69	2	6	NP	NP
								69	70	6	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
10-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
813-01A	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	370	STATE HOUSE 59	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
20-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
813-01B	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	370	STATE HOUSE 59	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
35-0 813-01C	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	370	STATE HOUSE 59	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
40-0 817-01D	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	355	STATE HOUSE 58	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
50-0 813-01E	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	370	STATE HOUSE 59	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
60-0 817-01F	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	355	STATE HOUSE 58	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
70-0 813-01G	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	370	STATE HOUSE 59	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
75-0 818-01H	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	355	STATE HOUSE 58	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
90-0 813-01J	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	370	STATE HOUSE 59	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
95-0 813-01P	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	370	STATE HOUSE 59	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
110-0 813-01R	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	370	STATE HOUSE 59	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
120-0 816-01S	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	380	STATE HOUSE 60	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
130-0 813-01T	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	370	STATE HOUSE 59	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
140-0 819-02A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	350	STATE HOUSE 57	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
150-0 820-02B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	350	STATE HOUSE 57	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
160-0 821-02C	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	355	STATE HOUSE 58	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
170-0 821-02D	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	355	STATE HOUSE 58	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
180-0 822-02E	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	370	STATE HOUSE 59	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
190-0 822-02F1	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	370	STATE HOUSE 59	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
200-0 821-02F2	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	355	STATE HOUSE 58	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
210-0 821-02G	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	355	STATE HOUSE 58	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
220-1 821-02J	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	355	STATE HOUSE 58	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
220-10 FED 5	40	US HOUSE 5		
220-20 FED 6	55	US HOUSE 6		
220-30 FED 11	65	US HOUSE 11		
220-40 FED 13	73	US HOUSE 13		

GA008606

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
225-0 821-02K	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	355	STATE HOUSE 58	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
230-0 823-02L1	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	350	STATE HOUSE 57	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
240-0 824-02L2	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	340	STATE HOUSE 56	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
250-0 821-02S	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	355	STATE HOUSE 58	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
260-0 825-02W	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	340	STATE HOUSE 56	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
280-0 826-03A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	340	STATE HOUSE 56	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
300-0 827-03B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	340	STATE HOUSE 56	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
320-0 827-03C	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	340	STATE HOUSE 56	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
330-0 826-03D	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	340	STATE HOUSE 56	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
340-0 826-03E	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	340	STATE HOUSE 56	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
350-0 828-03F	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	340	STATE HOUSE 56	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
360-0 826-03G	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	340	STATE HOUSE 56	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
370-0 829-03H	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	330	STATE HOUSE 55	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
375-0 826-03I	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	340	STATE HOUSE 56	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
380-0 826-03L	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	340	STATE HOUSE 56	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
390-0 829-03M	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	330	STATE HOUSE 55	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
400-0 826-03N	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	340	STATE HOUSE 56	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
410-0 828-03P1A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	340	STATE HOUSE 56	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
420-0 830-03P2	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	340	STATE HOUSE 56	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
440-0 829-03S	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	330	STATE HOUSE 55	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
450-0 830-03T	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	340	STATE HOUSE 56	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
470-0 831-04A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	340	STATE HOUSE 56	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
480-0 832-04B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	350	STATE HOUSE 57	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
485-0 831-04C	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	340	STATE HOUSE 56	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
490-0 831-04D	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	340	STATE HOUSE 56	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
500-0 833-04F	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	340	STATE HOUSE 56	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
510-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
832-04G	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	350	STATE HOUSE 57	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
520-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
832-04I	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	350	STATE HOUSE 57	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
525-0 833-04J	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	340	STATE HOUSE 56	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
530-0 832-04K	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	350	STATE HOUSE 57	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
540-0 831-04L	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	340	STATE HOUSE 56	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
550-0 834-04M	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	355	STATE HOUSE 58	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
560-0 832-04S	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	350	STATE HOUSE 57	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
570-0 832-04T	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	350	STATE HOUSE 57	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
575-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
508-04V	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	350	STATE HOUSE 57	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
580-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
832-04W	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	350	STATE HOUSE 57	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
590-0 835-04X1	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	350	STATE HOUSE 57	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
600-0 831-04X2	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	340	STATE HOUSE 56	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
615-0 956-05A1	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	355	STATE HOUSE 58	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
617-0 836-05A2	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	370	STATE HOUSE 59	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
620-0 837-05B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	355	STATE HOUSE 58	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
630-0 837-05C	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	355	STATE HOUSE 58	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
631-0 838-05D	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	350	STATE HOUSE 57	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
632-0 837-05F	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	355	STATE HOUSE 58	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
633-0 837-05J	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	355	STATE HOUSE 58	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
634-0 837-05K	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	355	STATE HOUSE 58	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
660-0 839-06B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	355	STATE HOUSE 58	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
670-0 840-06D	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	350	STATE HOUSE 57	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
680-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
841-06E	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	340	STATE HOUSE 56	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
690-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
961-06F	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	355	STATE HOUSE 58	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
700-0 839-06G	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	355	STATE HOUSE 58	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
720-0 843-06I	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	315	STATE HOUSE 54	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
730-0 840-06J	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	350	STATE HOUSE 57	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
745-0 841-06L1	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	340	STATE HOUSE 56	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
746-0 844-06L2	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	330	STATE HOUSE 55	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
747-0 844-06N	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	330	STATE HOUSE 55	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
748-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
843-06Q	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	315	STATE HOUSE 54	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
750-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
841-06R	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	340	STATE HOUSE 56	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
770-0 846-07A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	65	US HOUSE 11	85	STATE SENATE 6
	315	STATE HOUSE 54	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
780-0 846-07B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	65	US HOUSE 11	85	STATE SENATE 6
	315	STATE HOUSE 54	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
790-0 847-07C	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	85	STATE SENATE 6
	315	STATE HOUSE 54	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
800-0 847-07D	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	85	STATE SENATE 6
	315	STATE HOUSE 54	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
810-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
848-07E	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	315	STATE HOUSE 54	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
820-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
848-07F	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	315	STATE HOUSE 54	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
840-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
848-07H	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	315	STATE HOUSE 54	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
850-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
849-07J	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	330	STATE HOUSE 55	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
880-0 848-07M	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	315	STATE HOUSE 54	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
900-0 848-07N	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	315	STATE HOUSE 54	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
910-0 851-08A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	65	US HOUSE 11	85	STATE SENATE 6
	220	STATE HOUSE 40	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
920-0 852-08B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	65	US HOUSE 11	85	STATE SENATE 6
	290	STATE HOUSE 52	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
930-0 852-08C	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	65	US HOUSE 11	85	STATE SENATE 6
	290	STATE HOUSE 52	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
940-0 852-08D	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	65	US HOUSE 11	85	STATE SENATE 6
	290	STATE HOUSE 52	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
950-0 853-08E	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	65	US HOUSE 11	85	STATE SENATE 6
	310	STATE HOUSE 53	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
960-0 854-08F1	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	85	STATE SENATE 6
	315	STATE HOUSE 54	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
970-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
850-08G	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	315	STATE HOUSE 54	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
980-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
850-08H	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	315	STATE HOUSE 54	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
990-0 855-08J	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	315	STATE HOUSE 54	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
1000-0 856-08K	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	330	STATE HOUSE 55	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1010-0 856-08L	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	330	STATE HOUSE 55	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
1020-0 854-08M	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	85	STATE SENATE 6
	315	STATE HOUSE 54	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1030-0 852-08N1	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	65	US HOUSE 11	85	STATE SENATE 6
	290	STATE HOUSE 52	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
1035-0 857-08N2	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	65	US HOUSE 11	85	STATE SENATE 6
	315	STATE HOUSE 54	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1040-0 856-08P	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	330	STATE HOUSE 55	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
1050-0 858-09A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	310	STATE HOUSE 53	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1060-0 858-09B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	310	STATE HOUSE 53	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
1070-0 858-09C	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	310	STATE HOUSE 53	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1080-0 858-09D	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	310	STATE HOUSE 53	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
1090-0 858-09E	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	310	STATE HOUSE 53	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

GA008650

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1100-0 859-09F	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	85	STATE SENATE 6
	310	STATE HOUSE 53	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
1110-0 970-09G	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	330	STATE HOUSE 55	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1116-0 861-09H	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	330	STATE HOUSE 55	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
1117-0 970-09I	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	330	STATE HOUSE 55	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1120-0 858-09K	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	310	STATE HOUSE 53	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
1140-0 858-09M	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	310	STATE HOUSE 53	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1150-0 864-10A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	390	STATE HOUSE 61	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
1151-0 865-10B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	330	STATE HOUSE 55	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1160-0 864-10C	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	390	STATE HOUSE 61	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
1170-0 866-10D	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	310	STATE HOUSE 53	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

GA008655

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1180-0 866-10E	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	310	STATE HOUSE 53	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
1190-0 866-10F	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	310	STATE HOUSE 53	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1200-0 867-10G	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	340	STATE HOUSE 56	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
1205-0 873-10H1	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	310	STATE HOUSE 53	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1210-0 865-10H2	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	330	STATE HOUSE 55	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
1211-0 868-10I	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	350	STATE HOUSE 57	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1220-0 869-10J	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	340	STATE HOUSE 56	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
1225-0 870-10K	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	350	STATE HOUSE 57	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1240-0 870-10M	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	350	STATE HOUSE 57	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
1260-0 866-10P	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	310	STATE HOUSE 53	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

GA008660

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1270-0 873-10R	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	310	STATE HOUSE 53	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
1310-0 520-11B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	330	STATE HOUSE 55	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1330-0 874-11C	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	330	STATE HOUSE 55	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
1340-0 962-11E1	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	390	STATE HOUSE 61	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1350-0 877-11E2	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	330	STATE HOUSE 55	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
1360-0 875-11E3	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	390	STATE HOUSE 61	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1380-0 529-11G	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	355	STATE HOUSE 58	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
1390-0 878-11H	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	350	STATE HOUSE 57	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1420-0 974-11J	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	380	STATE HOUSE 60	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
1430-0 880-11K	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	355	STATE HOUSE 58	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1440-0 881-11M	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	330	STATE HOUSE 55	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
1450-0 877-11N	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	330	STATE HOUSE 55	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

GA008666

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1460-0 877-11P	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	330	STATE HOUSE 55	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
1470-0 880-11R	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	355	STATE HOUSE 58	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1480-0 531-12A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	355	STATE HOUSE 58	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
1510-0 885-12D	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	380	STATE HOUSE 60	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

GA008668

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1520-0 882-12E1	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	370	STATE HOUSE 59	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
1530-0 882-12F	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	370	STATE HOUSE 59	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1540-0 882-12G	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	370	STATE HOUSE 59	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
1545-0 882-12H1	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	370	STATE HOUSE 59	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1550-0 885-12H2	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	380	STATE HOUSE 60	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
1551-0 886-12I	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	355	STATE HOUSE 58	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1560-0 885-12J	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	380	STATE HOUSE 60	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
1561-0 887-12K	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	350	STATE HOUSE 57	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1570-0 531-12L	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	355	STATE HOUSE 58	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
1580-0 885-12M	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	380	STATE HOUSE 60	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1581-0 531-12N	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	355	STATE HOUSE 58	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
1590-0 531-12S	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	355	STATE HOUSE 58	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	700	ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	710	ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1610-0 745-AP01A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	260	STATE HOUSE 49	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	680	ALPHARETTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	690	ALPHARETTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
1620-0 730-AP01B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	260	STATE HOUSE 49	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	680	ALPHARETTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	690	ALPHARETTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1630-0 731-AP01C	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	260	STATE HOUSE 49	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	680	ALPHARETTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	690	ALPHARETTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
1631-0 732-AP01D	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	260	STATE HOUSE 49	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	680	ALPHARETTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	690	ALPHARETTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1641-0 735-AP02B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	250	STATE HOUSE 48	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	680	ALPHARETTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	690	ALPHARETTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
1650-0 735-AP03	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	250	STATE HOUSE 48	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	680	ALPHARETTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	690	ALPHARETTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1660-0 736-AP04A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	240	STATE HOUSE 47	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	680	ALPHARETTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	690	ALPHARETTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
1661-0 738-AP04B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	240	STATE HOUSE 47	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	680	ALPHARETTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	690	ALPHARETTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1670-0 739-AP05	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	95	STATE SENATE 21
	260	STATE HOUSE 49	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	680	ALPHARETTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	690	ALPHARETTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
1680-0 740-AP06	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	95	STATE SENATE 21
	260	STATE HOUSE 49	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	680	ALPHARETTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	690	ALPHARETTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1690-0 740-AP07A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	95	STATE SENATE 21
	260	STATE HOUSE 49	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	680	ALPHARETTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	690	ALPHARETTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
1700-0 741-AP07B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	95	STATE SENATE 21
	240	STATE HOUSE 47	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	680	ALPHARETTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	690	ALPHARETTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1710-0 739-AP09A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	95	STATE SENATE 21
	260	STATE HOUSE 49	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	680	ALPHARETTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	690	ALPHARETTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
1720-0 743-AP09B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	180	STATE SENATE 48
	260	STATE HOUSE 49	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	680	ALPHARETTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	690	ALPHARETTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1721-0 734-AP021	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	260	STATE HOUSE 49	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	680	ALPHARETTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	690	ALPHARETTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
1722-0 737-AP022	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	260	STATE HOUSE 49	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	680	ALPHARETTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	690	ALPHARETTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1730-0 740-AP10	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	95	STATE SENATE 21
	260	STATE HOUSE 49	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	680	ALPHARETTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	690	ALPHARETTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
1740-0 739-AP12A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	95	STATE SENATE 21
	260	STATE HOUSE 49	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	680	ALPHARETTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	690	ALPHARETTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1741-0 744-AP12B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	95	STATE SENATE 21
	240	STATE HOUSE 47	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	680	ALPHARETTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	690	ALPHARETTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
1742-0 740-AP12C	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	95	STATE SENATE 21
	260	STATE HOUSE 49	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	680	ALPHARETTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	690	ALPHARETTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1789-0 731-AP14	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	260	STATE HOUSE 49	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	680	ALPHARETTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	690	ALPHARETTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
1790-0 950-CH01	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	110	STATE SENATE 28
	430	STATE HOUSE 65	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1800-0 951-CH02	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	110	STATE SENATE 28
	430	STATE HOUSE 65	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX		
1810-0 952-CH03	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	110	STATE SENATE 28
	430	STATE HOUSE 65	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX		

GA008686

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1820-0 954-CH04A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	110	STATE SENATE 28
	430	STATE HOUSE 65	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX		
1830-0 955-CH05	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	110	STATE SENATE 28
	430	STATE HOUSE 65	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1841-0 747-CP01B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	380	STATE HOUSE 60	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	720	COLLEGE PARK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
1842-0 742-CP011	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	400	STATE HOUSE 62	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	720	COLLEGE PARK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

GA008688

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1850-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
749-CP012	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	400	STATE HOUSE 62	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	720	COLLEGE PARK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
1860-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
942-CP02	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	380	STATE HOUSE 60	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	720	COLLEGE PARK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1880-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
1025-CP04A	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	380	STATE HOUSE 60	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	720	COLLEGE PARK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
1881-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
751-CP04B	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	380	STATE HOUSE 60	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	720	COLLEGE PARK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1904-0 752-CP05B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	410	STATE HOUSE 63	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	720	COLLEGE PARK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
1905-0 753-CP051	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	420	STATE HOUSE 64	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	720	COLLEGE PARK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

GA008691

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1910-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
754-CP06A	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	145	STATE SENATE 36
	410	STATE HOUSE 63	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	720	COLLEGE PARK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
1920-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
943-CP07B	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	410	STATE HOUSE 63	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	720	COLLEGE PARK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

GA008692

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1922-0 889-CP07C	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	420	STATE HOUSE 64	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	720	COLLEGE PARK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
1924-0 753-CP07D	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	420	STATE HOUSE 64	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	720	COLLEGE PARK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1925-0 889-CP07E	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	420	STATE HOUSE 64	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	720	COLLEGE PARK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
1926-0 753-CP07F	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	420	STATE HOUSE 64	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	720	COLLEGE PARK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1950-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
1024-CP081	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	166	STATE SENATE 39
	410	STATE HOUSE 63	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	720	COLLEGE PARK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
1952-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
965-CP083	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	166	STATE SENATE 39
	410	STATE HOUSE 63	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	720	COLLEGE PARK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1955-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
1024-CP084	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	166	STATE SENATE 39
	410	STATE HOUSE 63	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	720	COLLEGE PARK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
1962-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
965-CP08A	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	166	STATE SENATE 39
	410	STATE HOUSE 63	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	720	COLLEGE PARK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

GA008696

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1970-1 978-EP01A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	380	STATE HOUSE 60	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
1971-0 979-EP01B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	380	STATE HOUSE 60	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1980-1 760-EP02A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	370	STATE HOUSE 59	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX		
1981-0 929-EP02B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	370	STATE HOUSE 59	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1982-0 971-EP02C	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	380	STATE HOUSE 60	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX		
1983-0 986-EP02D	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	380	STATE HOUSE 60	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1984-0 987-EP02E	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	380	STATE HOUSE 60	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
1990-1 980-EP03A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	380	STATE HOUSE 60	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1991-0 897-EP03B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	400	STATE HOUSE 62	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
2000-1 765-EP04A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	166	STATE SENATE 39
	400	STATE HOUSE 62	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2005-0 766-EP04B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	166	STATE SENATE 39
	400	STATE HOUSE 62	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
2160-0 767-FA01A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	131	STATE SENATE 35
	430	STATE HOUSE 65	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2170-0 767-FA01B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	131	STATE SENATE 35
	430	STATE HOUSE 65	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX		
2171-0 768-FA01C	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	131	STATE SENATE 35
	420	STATE HOUSE 64	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2180-0 975-HP01	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	145	STATE SENATE 36
	370	STATE HOUSE 59	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	730	HAPEVILLE - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2190-0 770-JC01	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	180	STATE SENATE 48
	270	STATE HOUSE 50	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	740	JOHNS CREEK - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	750	JOHNS CREEK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2200-0 770-JC02	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	180	STATE SENATE 48
	270	STATE HOUSE 50	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	740	JOHNS CREEK - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	750	JOHNS CREEK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2205-0 771-JC03A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	180	STATE SENATE 48
	210	STATE HOUSE 25	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	740	JOHNS CREEK - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	750	JOHNS CREEK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2210-0 770-JC03B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	180	STATE SENATE 48
	270	STATE HOUSE 50	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	740	JOHNS CREEK - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	750	JOHNS CREEK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2220-0 771-JC04A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	180	STATE SENATE 48
	210	STATE HOUSE 25	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	740	JOHNS CREEK - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	750	JOHNS CREEK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2220-10 1028-JC04A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	180	STATE SENATE 48
	210	STATE HOUSE 25	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	740	JOHNS CREEK - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	750	JOHNS CREEK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2230-0 773-JC04B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	180	STATE SENATE 48
	260	STATE HOUSE 49	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	740	JOHNS CREEK - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	750	JOHNS CREEK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2240-0 770-JC05	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	180	STATE SENATE 48
	270	STATE HOUSE 50	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	740	JOHNS CREEK - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	750	JOHNS CREEK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2250-0 770-JC06	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	180	STATE SENATE 48
	270	STATE HOUSE 50	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	740	JOHNS CREEK - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	750	JOHNS CREEK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2260-0 770-JC07	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	180	STATE SENATE 48
	270	STATE HOUSE 50	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	740	JOHNS CREEK - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	750	JOHNS CREEK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2270-0 770-JC08	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	180	STATE SENATE 48
	270	STATE HOUSE 50	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	740	JOHNS CREEK - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	750	JOHNS CREEK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2280-0 770-JC09	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	180	STATE SENATE 48
	270	STATE HOUSE 50	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	740	JOHNS CREEK - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	750	JOHNS CREEK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2290-0 770-JC10	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	180	STATE SENATE 48
	270	STATE HOUSE 50	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	740	JOHNS CREEK - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	750	JOHNS CREEK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2300-0 770-JC11	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	180	STATE SENATE 48
	270	STATE HOUSE 50	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	740	JOHNS CREEK - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	750	JOHNS CREEK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2310-0 770-JC12	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	180	STATE SENATE 48
	270	STATE HOUSE 50	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	740	JOHNS CREEK - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	750	JOHNS CREEK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2330-0 774-JC13A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	445	STATE HOUSE 95	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	740	JOHNS CREEK - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	750	JOHNS CREEK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2340-0 770-JC13B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	180	STATE SENATE 48
	270	STATE HOUSE 50	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	740	JOHNS CREEK - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	750	JOHNS CREEK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2350-0 776-JC14	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	270	STATE HOUSE 50	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	740	JOHNS CREEK - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	750	JOHNS CREEK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2360-0 777-JC15	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	260	STATE HOUSE 49	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	740	JOHNS CREEK - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	750	JOHNS CREEK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2370-0 777-JC16	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	260	STATE HOUSE 49	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	740	JOHNS CREEK - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	750	JOHNS CREEK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2390-0 778-JC18	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	280	STATE HOUSE 51	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	740	JOHNS CREEK - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	750	JOHNS CREEK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2400-0 778-JC19	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	280	STATE HOUSE 51	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	740	JOHNS CREEK - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	750	JOHNS CREEK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2410-0 890-ML011	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	240	STATE HOUSE 47	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	760	MILTON - CITY COUNCIL D1 P2
	766	MILTON - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	770	MILTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2411-0 891-ML012	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	240	STATE HOUSE 47	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	760	MILTON - CITY COUNCIL D1 P2
	766	MILTON - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	770	MILTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2412-0 891-ML01B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	240	STATE HOUSE 47	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	760	MILTON - CITY COUNCIL D1 P2
	766	MILTON - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	770	MILTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2413-0 893-ML021	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	95	STATE SENATE 21
	200	STATE HOUSE 22	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	766	MILTON - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	770	MILTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
2430-0 892-ML022	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	95	STATE SENATE 21
	200	STATE HOUSE 22	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	760	MILTON - CITY COUNCIL D1 P2
	766	MILTON - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	770	MILTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2440-0 894-ML023	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	95	STATE SENATE 21
	240	STATE HOUSE 47	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	760	MILTON - CITY COUNCIL D1 P2
	766	MILTON - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	770	MILTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2441-0 895-ML024	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	95	STATE SENATE 21
	240	STATE HOUSE 47	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	766	MILTON - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	770	MILTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2450-0 895-ML03	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	95	STATE SENATE 21
	240	STATE HOUSE 47	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	766	MILTON - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	770	MILTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
2460-0 894-ML04A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	95	STATE SENATE 21
	240	STATE HOUSE 47	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	760	MILTON - CITY COUNCIL D1 P2
	766	MILTON - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	770	MILTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2461-0 895-ML04B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	95	STATE SENATE 21
	240	STATE HOUSE 47	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	766	MILTON - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	770	MILTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
2462-0 896-ML04C	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	95	STATE SENATE 21
	240	STATE HOUSE 47	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	766	MILTON - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	770	MILTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2470-0 894-ML05A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	95	STATE SENATE 21
	240	STATE HOUSE 47	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	760	MILTON - CITY COUNCIL D1 P2
	766	MILTON - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	770	MILTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2471-0 895-ML05B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	95	STATE SENATE 21
	240	STATE HOUSE 47	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	766	MILTON - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	770	MILTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2472-0 896-ML05C	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	95	STATE SENATE 21
	240	STATE HOUSE 47	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	766	MILTON - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	770	MILTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
2480-0 894-ML06A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	95	STATE SENATE 21
	240	STATE HOUSE 47	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	760	MILTON - CITY COUNCIL D1 P2
	766	MILTON - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	770	MILTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2481-0 896-ML06B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	95	STATE SENATE 21
	240	STATE HOUSE 47	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	766	MILTON - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	770	MILTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
2482-0 896-ML071	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	95	STATE SENATE 21
	240	STATE HOUSE 47	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	766	MILTON - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	770	MILTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2483-0 895-ML072	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	95	STATE SENATE 21
	240	STATE HOUSE 47	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	766	MILTON - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	770	MILTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
2490-0 893-ML07A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	95	STATE SENATE 21
	200	STATE HOUSE 22	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	766	MILTON - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	770	MILTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2500-0 922-MP01	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	240	STATE HOUSE 47	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX		
2510-0 924-PA01	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	131	STATE SENATE 35
	430	STATE HOUSE 65	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2520-0 779-RW01	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	250	STATE HOUSE 48	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	775	ROSWELL - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	776	ROSWELL - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
2530-0 779-RW02	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	250	STATE HOUSE 48	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	775	ROSWELL - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	776	ROSWELL - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2540-0 779-RW03	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	250	STATE HOUSE 48	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	775	ROSWELL - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	776	ROSWELL - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
2560-0 780-RW04	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	280	STATE HOUSE 51	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	775	ROSWELL - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	776	ROSWELL - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2570-0 779-RW05	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	250	STATE HOUSE 48	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	775	ROSWELL - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	776	ROSWELL - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
2580-0 780-RW06	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	280	STATE HOUSE 51	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	775	ROSWELL - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	776	ROSWELL - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2590-0 781-RW07A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	250	STATE HOUSE 48	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	775	ROSWELL - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	776	ROSWELL - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2591-0 779-RW07B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	250	STATE HOUSE 48	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	775	ROSWELL - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	776	ROSWELL - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2610-0 779-RW08	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	250	STATE HOUSE 48	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	775	ROSWELL - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	776	ROSWELL - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
2620-0 782-RW09	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	240	STATE HOUSE 47	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	775	ROSWELL - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	776	ROSWELL - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2630-0 779-RW10	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	250	STATE HOUSE 48	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	775	ROSWELL - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	776	ROSWELL - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
2640-0 779-RW11A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	250	STATE HOUSE 48	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	775	ROSWELL - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	776	ROSWELL - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2650-0 782-RW12	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	240	STATE HOUSE 47	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	775	ROSWELL - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	776	ROSWELL - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
2680-0 925-RW13	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	250	STATE HOUSE 48	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	775	ROSWELL - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	776	ROSWELL - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2700-0 779-RW16	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	250	STATE HOUSE 48	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	775	ROSWELL - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	776	ROSWELL - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
2710-0 781-RW17	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	250	STATE HOUSE 48	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	775	ROSWELL - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	776	ROSWELL - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2730-0 782-RW19	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	240	STATE HOUSE 47	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	775	ROSWELL - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION
	776	ROSWELL - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
2740-0 780-RW20	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	280	STATE HOUSE 51	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	775	ROSWELL - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	776	ROSWELL - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2750-0 780-RW21	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	280	STATE HOUSE 51	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	775	ROSWELL - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	776	ROSWELL - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2770-0 780-RW22A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	280	STATE HOUSE 51	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	775	ROSWELL - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION	776	ROSWELL - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2772-0 991-SC01A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	390	STATE HOUSE 61	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2780-0 1027-SC01B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	390	STATE HOUSE 61	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2781-0 991-SC01C	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	390	STATE HOUSE 61	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2782-0 784-SC01D	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	390	STATE HOUSE 61	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2790-0 1004-SC02	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	330	STATE HOUSE 55	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2800-0 993-SC04	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	131	STATE SENATE 35
	430	STATE HOUSE 65	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2810-0 996-SC05A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	131	STATE SENATE 35
	430	STATE HOUSE 65	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2811-0 997-SC05B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	131	STATE SENATE 35
	400	STATE HOUSE 62	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2812-0 998-SC05D	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	131	STATE SENATE 35
	400	STATE HOUSE 62	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2813-0 1014-SC05E	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	131	STATE SENATE 35
	400	STATE HOUSE 62	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2820-0 993-SC07A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	131	STATE SENATE 35
	430	STATE HOUSE 65	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2821-0 1022-SC07C	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	131	STATE SENATE 35
	430	STATE HOUSE 65	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2831-0 1016-SC08B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	166	STATE SENATE 39
	420	STATE HOUSE 64	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2832-0 999-SC08C	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	166	STATE SENATE 39
	400	STATE HOUSE 62	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2833-0 1011-SC08D	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	166	STATE SENATE 39
	410	STATE HOUSE 63	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2834-0 1010-SC08E	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	131	STATE SENATE 35
	420	STATE HOUSE 64	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2835-0 1001-SC08F	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	166	STATE SENATE 39
	430	STATE HOUSE 65	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
2836-0 1015-SC08G	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	166	STATE SENATE 39
	430	STATE HOUSE 65	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2837-0 1019-SC08H	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	166	STATE SENATE 39
	430	STATE HOUSE 65	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2840-0 1011-SC09A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	166	STATE SENATE 39
	410	STATE HOUSE 63	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2841-0 1016-SC09B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	166	STATE SENATE 39
	420	STATE HOUSE 64	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2842-0 1012-SC09C	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	166	STATE SENATE 39
	410	STATE HOUSE 63	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2844-0 1011-SC10	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	166	STATE SENATE 39
	410	STATE HOUSE 63	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2861-0 1012-SC11A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	166	STATE SENATE 39
	410	STATE HOUSE 63	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2862-0 1013-SC11B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	166	STATE SENATE 39
	420	STATE HOUSE 64	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2863-0 993-SC13	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	131	STATE SENATE 35
	430	STATE HOUSE 65	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2890-0 991-SC14A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	390	STATE HOUSE 61	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2891-0 784-SC14B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	390	STATE HOUSE 61	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2895-0 992-SC15	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	131	STATE SENATE 35
	400	STATE HOUSE 62	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2900-0 991-SC16A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	390	STATE HOUSE 61	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2901-0 784-SC16B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	390	STATE HOUSE 61	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2920-0 999-SC17A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	166	STATE SENATE 39
	400	STATE HOUSE 62	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2921-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
1001-SC17B	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	166	STATE SENATE 39
	430	STATE HOUSE 65	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2923-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
1002-SC17C	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	166	STATE SENATE 39
	420	STATE HOUSE 64	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2930-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
1003-SC18A	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	131	STATE SENATE 35
	390	STATE HOUSE 61	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2931-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
994-SC18B	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	131	STATE SENATE 35
	400	STATE HOUSE 62	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2932-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
997-SC18C	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	131	STATE SENATE 35
	400	STATE HOUSE 62	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2940-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
999-SC19A	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	166	STATE SENATE 39
	400	STATE HOUSE 62	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2941-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
1002-SC19B	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	166	STATE SENATE 39
	420	STATE HOUSE 64	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2945-0 994-SC20	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	131	STATE SENATE 35
	400	STATE HOUSE 62	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
2950-0 1005-SC211	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	131	STATE SENATE 35
	420	STATE HOUSE 64	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2951-0 1006-SC212	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	131	STATE SENATE 35
	420	STATE HOUSE 64	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2960-0 1012-SC23A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	166	STATE SENATE 39
	410	STATE HOUSE 63	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2961-0 1008-SC23B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	166	STATE SENATE 39
	420	STATE HOUSE 64	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2962-0 1007-SC23C	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	166	STATE SENATE 39
	410	STATE HOUSE 63	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2970-0 1012-SC27	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	166	STATE SENATE 39
	410	STATE HOUSE 63	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2980-0 1005-SC29A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	131	STATE SENATE 35
	420	STATE HOUSE 64	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
2990-0 1004-SC30A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	330	STATE HOUSE 55	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
2991-0 995-SC30B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	40	US HOUSE 5	150	STATE SENATE 38
	330	STATE HOUSE 55	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	790	SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	800	SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	810	SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION
	820	SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION	830	SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
3000-0 898-SS01	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	170	STATE SENATE 40
	280	STATE HOUSE 51	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
3010-0 899-SS02A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	290	STATE HOUSE 52	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
3020-0 900-SS02B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	120	STATE SENATE 32
	290	STATE HOUSE 52	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
3030-0 900-SS03	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	120	STATE SENATE 32
	290	STATE HOUSE 52	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
3040-0 901-SS04	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	120	STATE SENATE 32
	290	STATE HOUSE 52	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
3050-0 902-SS05	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	120	STATE SENATE 32
	290	STATE HOUSE 52	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
3060-0 903-SS06	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	85	STATE SENATE 6
	290	STATE HOUSE 52	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
3070-0 904-SS07A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	85	STATE SENATE 6
	290	STATE HOUSE 52	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
3080-0 903-SS07B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	85	STATE SENATE 6
	290	STATE HOUSE 52	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
3090-0 905-SS07C	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	85	STATE SENATE 6
	435	STATE HOUSE 80	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
3100-0 905-SS08A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	85	STATE SENATE 6
	435	STATE HOUSE 80	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
3110-0 903-SS08B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	85	STATE SENATE 6
	290	STATE HOUSE 52	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
3120-0 904-SS08C	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	85	STATE SENATE 6
	290	STATE HOUSE 52	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
3121-0 906-SS08D	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	85	STATE SENATE 6
	435	STATE HOUSE 80	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
3130-0 907-SS09A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	65	US HOUSE 11	120	STATE SENATE 32
	290	STATE HOUSE 52	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
3131-0 908-SS09B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	65	US HOUSE 11	85	STATE SENATE 6
	290	STATE HOUSE 52	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
3150-0 909-SS11A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	85	STATE SENATE 6
	290	STATE HOUSE 52	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
3160-0 910-SS11B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	85	STATE SENATE 6
	290	STATE HOUSE 52	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
3170-0 911-SS11C	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	85	STATE SENATE 6
	435	STATE HOUSE 80	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
3180-0 908-SS11D	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	65	US HOUSE 11	85	STATE SENATE 6
	290	STATE HOUSE 52	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
3190-0 905-SS12	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	85	STATE SENATE 6
	435	STATE HOUSE 80	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
3200-0 912-SS13A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	65	US HOUSE 11	85	STATE SENATE 6
	290	STATE HOUSE 52	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
3210-0 908-SS13B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	65	US HOUSE 11	85	STATE SENATE 6
	290	STATE HOUSE 52	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
3220-0 913-SS14	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	65	US HOUSE 11	85	STATE SENATE 6
	435	STATE HOUSE 80	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
3230-0 914-SS15A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	280	STATE HOUSE 51	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
3240-0 915-SS15B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	280	STATE HOUSE 51	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
3250-0 902-SS16	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	120	STATE SENATE 32
	290	STATE HOUSE 52	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
3260-0 898-SS17	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	170	STATE SENATE 40
	280	STATE HOUSE 51	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	454	CO COMM DIST 1	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
3270-0 902-SS18A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	120	STATE SENATE 32
	290	STATE HOUSE 52	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
3280-0 916-SS18B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	120	STATE SENATE 32
	290	STATE HOUSE 52	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
3281-0 917-SS19A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	230	STATE HOUSE 45	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
3290-0 918-SS19B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	290	STATE HOUSE 52	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
3300-0 915-SS20	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	280	STATE HOUSE 51	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
3310-0 917-SS22	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	230	STATE HOUSE 45	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
3330-0 919-SS26	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	190	STATE SENATE 56
	280	STATE HOUSE 51	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
3340-0 920-SS29A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	120	STATE SENATE 32
	435	STATE HOUSE 80	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
3350-0 903-SS31	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	55	US HOUSE 6	85	STATE SENATE 6
	290	STATE HOUSE 52	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	490	CO COMM DIST 3	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	780	SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
3360-0 802-UC01A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	131	STATE SENATE 35
	430	STATE HOUSE 65	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	860	UNION CITY - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
3365-0 923-UC01B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	166	STATE SENATE 39
	410	STATE HOUSE 63	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	860	UNION CITY - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
3368-0 945-UC01D	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	166	STATE SENATE 39
	430	STATE HOUSE 65	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	860	UNION CITY - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
3369-0 968-UC01E	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	166	STATE SENATE 39
	400	STATE HOUSE 62	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	540	CO COMM DIST 5	550	SOIL AND WATER
	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2
	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4
	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5	610	REFERENDUM A
	620	REFERENDUM B	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT
	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX
	860	UNION CITY - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES		
3370-0 804-UC02A	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	131	STATE SENATE 35
	430	STATE HOUSE 65	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	860	UNION CITY - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
3375-0 805-UC02B	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	131	STATE SENATE 35
	400	STATE HOUSE 62	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	860	UNION CITY - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES
3380-0 806-UC031	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	131	STATE SENATE 35
	420	STATE HOUSE 64	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	860	UNION CITY - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
3390-0	3	GOVERNOR	5	LT GOVERNOR
807-UC032	7	SECRETARY OF STATE	9	ATTORNEY GENERAL
	11	AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER	13	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER
	15	STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT	17	LABOR COMMISSIONER
	19	PSC EATON	21	PSC PRIDEMORE
	73	US HOUSE 13	131	STATE SENATE 35
	420	STATE HOUSE 64	450	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON
	550	SOIL AND WATER	560	CONST AMENDMENT 1
	570	CONST AMENDMENT 2	580	CONST AMENDMENT 3
	590	CONST AMENDMENT 4	600	CONST AMENDMENT 5
	610	REFERENDUM A	620	REFERENDUM B
	630	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT	640	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX
	650	HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX	860	UNION CITY - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
10-10 231-001	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	120	US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	305	State House 104 / Camara Estatal 104	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
10-20 232-001	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	120	US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	305	State House 104 / Camara Estatal 104	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
10-30 233-001	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	120	US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	320	State House 105 / Camara Estatal 105	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
20-10 309-002	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	181	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55
	202	State House 93 / Camara Estatal 93	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
30-10 231-003	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	120	US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	305	State House 104 / Camara Estatal 104	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
30-20 232-003	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	120	US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	305	State House 104 / Camara Estatal 104	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
40-10 275-004	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	241	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
40-20 276-004	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	241	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
40-30 277-004	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	241	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
50-10 316-005	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	330	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
50-20	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
317-005	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	330	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
60-10 283-006	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	281	State House 101 / Camara Estatal 101	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
70-10 294-007	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	241	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
70-20 295-007	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	241	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
70-30 296-007	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	241	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
80-10 234-008	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	120	US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	305	State House 104 / Camara Estatal 104	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
90-10 258-009	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	251	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
90-20 259-009	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	251	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
100-10 316-010	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	330	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
100-20 318-010	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	330	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
110-10 329-011	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	152	State Senate 41 / Senado Estatal 41
	345	State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
120-10 307-012	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	152	State Senate 41 / Senado Estatal 41
	345	State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
120-20 308-012	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	152	State Senate 41 / Senado Estatal 41
	345	State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
130-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
273-013	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	120	US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	305	State House 104 / Camara Estatal 104	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
140-10 303-014	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	345	State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
150-10 208-015	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	281	State House 101 / Camara Estatal 101	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
150-20 209-015	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	281	State House 101 / Camara Estatal 101	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		
150-30 Fed - Cong 015	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7		

GA008808

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
160-10 214-016	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	330	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
160-20 215-016	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	330	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
170-10 345-017	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	272	State House 100 / Camara Estatal 100	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
170-20 346-017	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	281	State House 101 / Camara Estatal 101	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
180-10 236-018	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	281	State House 101 / Camara Estatal 101	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
190-10 327-019	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	152	State Senate 41 / Senado Estatal 41
	335	State House 107 / Camara Estatal 107	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
200-10 340-020	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	232	State House 96 / Camara Estatal 96	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
210-10 330-021	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	262	State House 99 / Camara Estatal 99	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
220-10 247-022	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	141	State Senate 40 / Senado Estatal 40
	221	State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
230-10 342-023	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	262	State House 99 / Camara Estatal 99	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
240-10 255-024	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	241	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
240-20 256-024	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	241	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
240-30 257-024	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	251	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
240-40 258-024	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	251	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
240-50 259-024	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	251	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
240-60 260-024	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	251	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
240-70 263-024	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	291	State House 102 / Camara Estatal 102	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
240-80 366-024	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	251	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
250-10 259-025	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	251	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
250-20 278-025	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	251	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
250-30 279-025	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	251	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
250-40 280-025	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	251	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
250-50	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
282-025	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	251	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
260-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
263-026	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	291	State House 102 / Camara Estatal 102	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
260-20	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
265-026	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	291	State House 102 / Camara Estatal 102	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
270-10 210-027	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	301	State House 103 / Camara Estatal 103	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
270-20	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
229-027	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	305	State House 104 / Camara Estatal 104	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
280-10 231-028	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	120	US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	305	State House 104 / Camara Estatal 104	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
280-20 234-028	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	120	US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	305	State House 104 / Camara Estatal 104	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
280-30 235-028	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	120	US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	305	State House 104 / Camara Estatal 104	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
290-10 323-029	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	345	State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
300-10 312-030	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	181	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55
	330	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
300-20	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
313-030	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	181	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55
	330	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
310-10 252-031	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	301	State House 103 / Camara Estatal 103	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
320-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
303-032	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	345	State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
330-10 303-033	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	345	State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
330-20 304-033	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	345	State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
340-10 325-034	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	152	State Senate 41 / Senado Estatal 41
	272	State House 100 / Camara Estatal 100	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
340-20 326-034	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	152	State Senate 41 / Senado Estatal 41
	272	State House 100 / Camara Estatal 100	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
350-10 300-035	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	330	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
350-20 301-035	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	330	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
350-30	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
302-035	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	330	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
351-10 315 - 035	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	330	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
360-10 300-036	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	330	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
370-10 356-037	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	141	State Senate 40 / Senado Estatal 40
	221	State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
380-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
333-038	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	191	State House 81 / Camara Estatal 81	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
380-20 334-038	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	191	State House 81 / Camara Estatal 81	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
390-10 271-039	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	120	US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	301	State House 103 / Camara Estatal 103	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
390-20 272-039	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	120	US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	301	State House 103 / Camara Estatal 103	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
390-30 361-039	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	120	US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	301	State House 103 / Camara Estatal 103	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
390-40 362-039	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	120	US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	301	State House 103 / Camara Estatal 103	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
390-50 363-039	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	120	US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	301	State House 103 / Camara Estatal 103	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
400-20 288-040	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	221	State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
400-30	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
289-040	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	221	State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
400-40 358-040	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	221	State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
400-50	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
359-040	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	221	State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
410-10 201-041	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	181	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55
	202	State House 93 / Camara Estatal 93	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
410-20 202-041	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	181	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55
	202	State House 93 / Camara Estatal 93	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
420-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
250-042	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	291	State House 102 / Camara Estatal 102	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
420-20	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
251-042	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	291	State House 102 / Camara Estatal 102	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
430-10 344-043	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	272	State House 100 / Camara Estatal 100	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
440-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
311-044	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	181	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55
	212	State House 94 / Camara Estatal 94	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
450-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
311-045	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	181	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55
	212	State House 94 / Camara Estatal 94	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
460-10 318-046	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	330	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
470-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
321-047	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	335	State House 107 / Camara Estatal 107	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
470-20 322-047	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	335	State House 107 / Camara Estatal 107	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
480-10 290-048	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	232	State House 96 / Camara Estatal 96	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
480-20 291-048	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	232	State House 96 / Camara Estatal 96	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
490-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
310-049	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	181	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55
	212	State House 94 / Camara Estatal 94	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
500-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
337-050	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	232	State House 96 / Camara Estatal 96	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
510-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
330-051	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	262	State House 99 / Camara Estatal 99	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
520-10 314-052	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	181	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55
	345	State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
530-10 312-053	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	181	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55
	330	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
530-20 313-053	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	181	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55
	330	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
540-10 259-054	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	251	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
540-20 278-054	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	251	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
540-30	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
279-054	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	251	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
540-40 280-054	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	251	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
540-50 282-054	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	251	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
550-10 258-055	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	251	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
550-20 259-055	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	251	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
550-30 260-055	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	251	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
550-40 261-055	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	251	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
550-50 280-055	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	251	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
560-10 285-056	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	221	State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
560-20 294-056	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	241	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
560-30 295-056	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	241	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
570-10 290-057	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	232	State House 96 / Camara Estatal 96	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
570-20 291-057	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	232	State House 96 / Camara Estatal 96	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
580-10 341-058	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	262	State House 99 / Camara Estatal 99	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
580-20 350-058	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	152	State Senate 41 / Senado Estatal 41
	262	State House 99 / Camara Estatal 99	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
590-10 349-059	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	141	State Senate 40 / Senado Estatal 40
	221	State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
590-20 356-059	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	141	State Senate 40 / Senado Estatal 40
	221	State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
590-30 357-059	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	141	State Senate 40 / Senado Estatal 40
	221	State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
600-10 240-060	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	305	State House 104 / Camara Estatal 104	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
600-20 241-060	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	305	State House 104 / Camara Estatal 104	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
600-30 242-060	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	320	State House 105 / Camara Estatal 105	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
600-40 243-060	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	320	State House 105 / Camara Estatal 105	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
610-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
245-061	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	281	State House 101 / Camara Estatal 101	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
610-20 249-061	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	281	State House 101 / Camara Estatal 101	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
620-10 247-062	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	141	State Senate 40 / Senado Estatal 40
	221	State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
620-20 248-062	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	141	State Senate 40 / Senado Estatal 40
	221	State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
630-0 359-063	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	221	State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
640-10 309-064	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	181	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55
	202	State House 93 / Camara Estatal 93	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
640-20 311-064	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	181	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55
	212	State House 94 / Camara Estatal 94	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
650-10 305-065	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	152	State Senate 41 / Senado Estatal 41
	262	State House 99 / Camara Estatal 99	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
650-20 306-065	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	152	State Senate 41 / Senado Estatal 41
	262	State House 99 / Camara Estatal 99	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
660-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
330-066	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	262	State House 99 / Camara Estatal 99	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
670-10 258-067	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	251	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
670-20 259-067	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	251	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
680-10 319-068	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	335	State House 107 / Camara Estatal 107	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
680-20 320-068	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	335	State House 107 / Camara Estatal 107	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
690-10 299-069	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	212	State House 94 / Camara Estatal 94	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
690-20 300-069	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	330	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
700-10 266-070	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	291	State House 102 / Camara Estatal 102	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
710-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
242-071	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	320	State House 105 / Camara Estatal 105	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
710-20	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
243-071	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	320	State House 105 / Camara Estatal 105	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
720-10 344-072	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	272	State House 100 / Camara Estatal 100	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
730-10 247-073	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	141	State Senate 40 / Senado Estatal 40
	221	State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
740-10 344-074	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	272	State House 100 / Camara Estatal 100	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
750-10 309-075	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	181	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55
	202	State House 93 / Camara Estatal 93	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
750-20 311-075	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	181	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55
	212	State House 94 / Camara Estatal 94	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
760-10 201-076	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	181	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55
	202	State House 93 / Camara Estatal 93	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
770-10 297-077	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	281	State House 101 / Camara Estatal 101	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
780-10 211-078	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	320	State House 105 / Camara Estatal 105	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
780-20 212-078	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	320	State House 105 / Camara Estatal 105	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
790-10 319-079	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	335	State House 107 / Camara Estatal 107	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
800-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
233-080	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	120	US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	320	State House 105 / Camara Estatal 105	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
810-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
301-081	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	330	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
810-20 302-081	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	330	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
810-30 312-081	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	181	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55
	330	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
810-40	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
313-081	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	181	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55
	330	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
820-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
285-082	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	221	State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
830-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
266-083	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	291	State House 102 / Camara Estatal 102	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
840-10 206-084	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	335	State House 107 / Camara Estatal 107	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
840-20	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
207-084	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	335	State House 107 / Camara Estatal 107	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
850-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
250-085	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	291	State House 102 / Camara Estatal 102	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
850-20 266-085	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	291	State House 102 / Camara Estatal 102	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
850-30 267-085	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	291	State House 102 / Camara Estatal 102	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
860-10 344-086	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	272	State House 100 / Camara Estatal 100	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
870-10 337-087	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	232	State House 96 / Camara Estatal 96	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
870-20 338-087	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	232	State House 96 / Camara Estatal 96	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
880-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
311-088	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	181	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55
	212	State House 94 / Camara Estatal 94	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
890-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
253-089	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	241	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
890-20 254-089	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	241	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
890-30	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
255-089	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	241	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
900-10 364-090	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	291	State House 102 / Camara Estatal 102	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
900-20	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
365-090	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	291	State House 102 / Camara Estatal 102	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
910-10 211-091	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	320	State House 105 / Camara Estatal 105	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
910-20 212-091	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	320	State House 105 / Camara Estatal 105	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
920-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
303-092	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	345	State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
920-20	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
304-092	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	345	State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
930-10 319-093	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	335	State House 107 / Camara Estatal 107	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
940-10 316-094	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	330	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
940-20 318-094	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	330	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
950-5 297-095	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	281	State House 101 / Camara Estatal 101	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
950-10 352-095	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	232	State House 96 / Camara Estatal 96	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
960-10 294-096	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	241	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
960-20 295-096	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	241	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
970-10 297-097	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	281	State House 101 / Camara Estatal 101	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
970-20 298-097	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	281	State House 101 / Camara Estatal 101	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
980-10 262-098	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	281	State House 101 / Camara Estatal 101	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
990-10 281-099	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	232	State House 96 / Camara Estatal 96	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
990-20 293-099	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	232	State House 96 / Camara Estatal 96	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
990-30 360-099	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	232	State House 96 / Camara Estatal 96	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1000-10 263-100	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	291	State House 102 / Camara Estatal 102	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1000-20 264-100	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	291	State House 102 / Camara Estatal 102	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1000-30 265-100	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	291	State House 102 / Camara Estatal 102	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1010-10 356-101	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	141	State Senate 40 / Senado Estatal 40
	221	State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1020-10 258-102	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	251	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1020-20 259-102	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	251	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
1030-10 351-103	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	152	State Senate 41 / Senado Estatal 41
	272	State House 100 / Camara Estatal 100	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1040-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
201-104	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	181	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55
	202	State House 93 / Camara Estatal 93	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1050-10 253-105	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	241	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1050-20	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
254-105	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	241	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1060-10 294-106	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	241	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
1060-20 296-106	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	241	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1070-10 323-107	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	345	State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1080-10 203-108	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	181	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55
	330	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
1080-20 204-108	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	181	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55
	330	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1080-30 205-108	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	181	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55
	330	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1090-10 323-109	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	345	State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1090-20 324-109	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	345	State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1100-10 236-110	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	281	State House 101 / Camara Estatal 101	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1100-20	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
237-110	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	281	State House 101 / Camara Estatal 101	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1110-10 294-111	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	241	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
1110-20 295-111	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	241	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1120-10 303-112	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	345	State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1130-10 330-113	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	262	State House 99 / Camara Estatal 99	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1140-30 336-114	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	221	State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1140-40 340-114	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	232	State House 96 / Camara Estatal 96	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1140-50 367-114	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	221	State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1150-10 244-115	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	335	State House 107 / Camara Estatal 107	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1160-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
345-116	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	272	State House 100 / Camara Estatal 100	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1170-10 345-117	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	272	State House 100 / Camara Estatal 100	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1180-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
310-118	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	181	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55
	212	State House 94 / Camara Estatal 94	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1190-10 323-119	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	345	State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1200-10 328-120	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	152	State Senate 41 / Senado Estatal 41
	345	State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1200-20 329-120	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	152	State Senate 41 / Senado Estatal 41
	345	State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1210-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
358-121	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	221	State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1210-20	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
359-121	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	221	State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1220-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
309-122	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	181	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55
	202	State House 93 / Camara Estatal 93	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1230-10 330-123	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	262	State House 99 / Camara Estatal 99	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1230-20 331-123	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	345	State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1240-10 284-124	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	291	State House 102 / Camara Estatal 102	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1250-10 247-125	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	141	State Senate 40 / Senado Estatal 40
	221	State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1250-20 248-125	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	141	State Senate 40 / Senado Estatal 40
	221	State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1260-10 247-126	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	141	State Senate 40 / Senado Estatal 40
	221	State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1270-10 206-127	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	335	State House 107 / Camara Estatal 107	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1280-10 297-128	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	281	State House 101 / Camara Estatal 101	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1290-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
273-129	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	120	US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	305	State House 104 / Camara Estatal 104	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1300-10 278-130	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	251	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
1300-20 279-130	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	251	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1310-10 275-131	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	241	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
1310-20 276-131	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	241	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1310-30	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
277-131	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	241	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1320-10 266-132	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	291	State House 102 / Camara Estatal 102	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1330-10 231-133	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	120	US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	305	State House 104 / Camara Estatal 104	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
1330-20 232-133	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	120	US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	305	State House 104 / Camara Estatal 104	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1340-10 223-134	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	181	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55
	320	State House 105 / Camara Estatal 105	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
1340-20 224-134	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	181	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55
	320	State House 105 / Camara Estatal 105	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1340-30	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
225-134	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	181	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55
	320	State House 105 / Camara Estatal 105	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1350-10 268-135	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	301	State House 103 / Camara Estatal 103	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1350-20 270-135	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	301	State House 103 / Camara Estatal 103	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1360-10 258-136	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	251	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1360-20 268-136	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	301	State House 103 / Camara Estatal 103	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1370-10 222-137	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	301	State House 103 / Camara Estatal 103	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1380-10 290-138	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	232	State House 96 / Camara Estatal 96	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
1380-20 294-138	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	241	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1380-30 295-138	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	241	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1390-10 221-139	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	152	State Senate 41 / Senado Estatal 41
	335	State House 107 / Camara Estatal 107	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1400-10 275-140	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	241	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
1400-20 277-140	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	241	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1410-10 278-141	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	251	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
1410-20 279-141	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	251	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1420-10 263-142	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	291	State House 102 / Camara Estatal 102	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1420-20	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
265-142	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	291	State House 102 / Camara Estatal 102	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1430-10 216-143	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	335	State House 107 / Camara Estatal 107	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
1430-20 217-143	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	335	State House 107 / Camara Estatal 107	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1440-10 240-144	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	305	State House 104 / Camara Estatal 104	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1440-50	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
241-144	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	122	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5
	305	State House 104 / Camara Estatal 104	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1450-10 226-145	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	181	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55
	355	State House 114 / Camara Estatal 114	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
1450-20 227-145	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	181	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55
	355	State House 114 / Camara Estatal 114	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1450-30 228-145	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	181	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55
	355	State House 114 / Camara Estatal 114	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
1460-10 211-146	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	320	State House 105 / Camara Estatal 105	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1460-20 212-146	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	320	State House 105 / Camara Estatal 105	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
1460-30 219-146	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	355	State House 114 / Camara Estatal 114	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1460-40 220-146	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	355	State House 114 / Camara Estatal 114	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
1470-10 211-147	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	320	State House 105 / Camara Estatal 105	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1470-20 213-147	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	320	State House 105 / Camara Estatal 105	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1480-20 303-148	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	345	State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1480-30 304-148	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	345	State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1480-40 307-148	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	152	State Senate 41 / Senado Estatal 41
	345	State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1480-50 308-148	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	152	State Senate 41 / Senado Estatal 41
	345	State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	410	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2	440	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1490-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
283-149	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	281	State House 101 / Camara Estatal 101	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1500-10 352-150	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	232	State House 96 / Camara Estatal 96	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
1510-10 233-151	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	120	US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	320	State House 105 / Camara Estatal 105	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1520-10	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
231-152	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	120	US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	305	State House 104 / Camara Estatal 104	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1530-10 218-153	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	131	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9
	335	State House 107 / Camara Estatal 107	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua
	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2
	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4
	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A
	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas
	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar		

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1540-10 268-154	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	161	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45
	301	State House 103 / Camara Estatal 103	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	420	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	430	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1550-10 294-155	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	241	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
1550-20 296-155	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	115	US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	171	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48
	241	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Base Precincts With Races

Id/Base Precinct	Id	Race	Id	Race
1560-10 203-156	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	181	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55
	330	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar
1560-20 205-156	10	Governor / Gobernador	20	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador
	30	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado	40	Attorney General / Fiscal General
	50	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura	60	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros
	70	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	80	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo
	90	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	100	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore
	110	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	181	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55
	330	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106	400	Solicitor General / Procurador General
	450	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	900	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1
	910	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	920	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3
	930	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	940	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5
	950	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	960	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B
	970	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	980	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar

Election Summary Report
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

Registered Voters 703177 - Cards Cast 425140 60.46%

Num. Report Precinct 373 - Num. Reporting 373 100.00%

GOVERNOR

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	
Precincts Reporting	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 703177)	180086	17913	77380	84463	63155	1995	424992	60.4 %
Total Votes	179624	17853	77262	84290	63074	1985	424088	
B. KEMP (R)	49326	4696	16375	39787	2607	200	112991	26.64%
S. ABRAMS (D)	127473	12983	60356	43723	60284	1771	306590	72.29%
T. METZ (L)	2643	163	482	752	156	12	4208	0.99%
Write-in Votes	182	11	49	28	27	2	299	0.07%

LT GOVERNOR

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	
Precincts Reporting	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 703177)	180086	17913	77380	84463	63155	1995	424992	60.4 %
Total Votes	171526	17632	74774	82045	60033	1922	407932	
G. DUNCAN (R)	52556	4914	17307	40134	2987	244	118142	28.96%
S. R. AMICO (D)	118729	12703	57416	41867	56996	1672	289383	70.94%
Write-in Votes	241	15	51	44	50	6	407	0.10%

SECRETARY OF STATE

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	
Precincts Reporting	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 703177)	180086	17913	77380	84463	63155	1995	424992	60.4 %
Total Votes	176468	17515	76002	83490	62091	1910	417476	
B. RAFFENSPERGER (R)	50100	4679	16415	39567	2730	216	113707	27.24%
J. BARROW (D)	119823	12332	57729	42084	58275	1616	291859	69.91%
S. DUVAL (L)	6450	499	1823	1816	1058	73	11719	2.81%
Write-in Votes	95	5	35	23	28	5	191	0.05%

ATTORNEY GENERAL

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	
Precincts Reporting	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 703177)	180086	17913	77380	84463	63155	1995	424992	60.4 %
Total Votes	175554	17456	75754	83181	61916	1895	415756	
C. CARR (I) R	54525	5058	18414	41036	3323	259	122615	29.49%
C. BAILEY (D)	120908	12379	57307	42125	58559	1632	292910	70.45%
Write-in Votes	121	19	33	20	34	4	231	0.06%

AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	
Precincts Reporting	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 703177)	180086	17913	77380	84463	63155	1995	424992	60.4 %
Total Votes	173902	17282	75145	82628	61710	1881	412548	
G. BLACK (I) R	58232	5346	20310	42169	3935	289	130281	31.58%
F. SWANN (D)	115486	11922	54803	40433	57748	1591	281983	68.35%
Write-in Votes	184	14	32	26	27	1	284	0.07%

INSURANCE COMMISSIONER

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	
Precincts Reporting	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 703177)	180086	17913	77380	84463	63155	1995	424992	60.4 %
Total Votes	174532	17372	75386	82926	61995	1905	414116	
J. BECK (R)	51610	4779	17305	39667	2805	220	116386	28.10%
J. LAWS (D)	115682	12205	56065	41008	58122	1609	284691	68.75%
D. FOSTER (L)	7123	381	1974	2229	1048	72	12827	3.10%
Write-in Votes	117	7	42	22	20	4	212	0.05%

Election Summary Report
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

Registered Voters 703177 - Cards Cast 425140 60.46%

Num. Report Precinct 373 - Num. Reporting 373 100.00%

STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	
Precincts Reporting	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 703177)	180086	17913	77380	84463	63155	1995	424992	60.4 %
Total Votes	174594	17307	75226	82924	62017	1888	413956	
R. WOODS (I) R	58362	5356	20305	43038	3530	262	130853	31.61%
O. THORNTON, JR. (D)	116064	11935	54867	39854	58439	1621	282780	68.31%
Write-in Votes	168	16	54	32	48	5	323	0.08%

LABOR COMMISSIONER

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	
Precincts Reporting	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 703177)	180086	17913	77380	84463	63155	1995	424992	60.4 %
Total Votes	173840	17181	74979	82559	61862	1880	412301	
M. BUTLER (I) R	56852	5103	18858	41697	3537	263	126310	30.64%
R. KEATLEY (D)	116880	12062	56090	40847	58306	1613	285798	69.32%
Write-in Votes	108	16	31	15	19	4	193	0.05%

PSC EATON

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	
Precincts Reporting	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 703177)	180086	17913	77380	84463	63155	1995	424992	60.4 %
Total Votes	174641	17240	75345	82792	62008	1887	413913	
C. EATON (I) R	50101	4574	16979	39391	2894	219	114158	27.58%
L. MILLER (D)	117653	12261	56484	41212	58069	1600	287279	69.41%
R. GRAHAM (L)	6817	404	1862	2174	1033	65	12355	2.98%
Write-in Votes	70	1	20	15	12	3	121	0.03%

PSC PRIDEMORE

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	
Precincts Reporting	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 703177)	180086	17913	77380	84463	63155	1995	424992	60.4 %
Total Votes	174306	17194	75222	82820	62013	1865	413420	
T. PRIDEMORE (I) R	51920	4673	17548	39808	3023	232	117204	28.35%
D. A. RANDOLPH (D)	115916	12105	55956	40854	58177	1577	284585	68.84%
J. TURPISH (L)	6385	412	1691	2143	797	55	11483	2.78%
Write-in Votes	85	4	27	15	16	1	148	0.04%

US HOUSE 5

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	185	185	185	185	185	185	185	
Precincts Reporting	185	185	185	185	185	185	185	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 343478)	89712	7232	60369	2894	32091	1390	193688	56.4 %
Total Votes	81242	6456	54172	2637	30691	1112	176310	
J. R. LEWIS (I) D	79499	6307	52910	2580	30438	1102	172836	98.03%
Write-in Votes	1743	149	1262	57	253	10	3474	1.97%

US HOUSE 6

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	114	114	114	114	114	114	114	
Precincts Reporting	114	114	114	114	114	114	114	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 235150)	60690	8099	3830	80536	468	294	153917	65.5 %
Total Votes	59974	7997	3779	79903	458	220	152331	
K. HANDEL (I) R	31925	3143	1386	39783	98	88	76423	50.17%
L. MCBATH (D)	27969	4846	2388	40065	359	132	75759	49.73%
Write-in Votes	80	8	5	55	1	0	149	0.10%

US HOUSE 11

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	
Precincts Reporting	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 32996)	8666	1362	12131	810	120	41	23130	70.1 %
Total Votes	8450	1329	11921	790	117	18	22625	
B. LOUDERMILK (I) R	5368	562	6609	427	35	8	13009	57.50%
F. D. BROADY, JR (D)	3073	767	5306	363	82	10	9601	42.44%
Write-in Votes	9	0	6	0	0	0	15	0.07%

Election Summary Report
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

Registered Voters 703177 - Cards Cast 425140 60.46%

Num. Report Precinct 373 - Num. Reporting 373 100.00%

US HOUSE 13

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	61	61	61	61	61	61	61	
Precincts Reporting	61	61	61	61	61	61	61	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 91553)	21018	1368	1050	223	30476	270	54405	59.4 %
Total Votes	20603	1346	1033	222	30061	173	53438	
D. CALLAHAN (R)	2028	169	36	7	1328	6	3574	6.69%
D. SCOTT (I) (D)	18544	1173	997	215	28678	164	49771	93.14%
Write-in Votes	31	4	0	0	55	3	93	0.17%

STATE SENATE 6

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	32	32	32	32	32	32	32	
Precincts Reporting	32	32	32	32	32	32	32	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 62513)	16567	2682	18499	4013	268	112	42141	67.4 %
Total Votes	16252	2596	18260	3952	264	41	41365	
L. ALDRIDGE (R)	8879	1066	9203	1702	67	18	20935	50.61%
J. JORDAN (I) D	7368	1528	9047	2249	197	23	20412	49.35%
Write-in Votes	5	2	10	1	0	0	18	0.04%

STATE SENATE 21

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	
Precincts Reporting	25	25	25	25	25	25	25	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 41176)	10433	1214	220	15034	57	74	27032	65.6 %
Total Votes	10210	1180	215	14805	57	34	26501	
B. BEACH (I) R	6367	517	71	8604	12	15	15586	58.81%
N. B. NASH (D)	3837	663	144	6193	45	19	10901	41.13%
Write-in Votes	6	0	0	8	0	0	14	0.05%

STATE SENATE 28

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	
Precincts Reporting	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 2082)	883	38	23	5	367	4	1320	63.4 %
Total Votes	693	27	15	3	220	0	958	
M. BRASS (I) R	675	20	14	3	200	0	912	95.20%
Write-in Votes	18	7	1	0	20	0	46	4.80%

STATE SENATE 32

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	
Precincts Reporting	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 16090)	4741	653	1573	4134	49	28	11178	69.5 %
Total Votes	4587	630	1545	4073	49	2	10886	
K. KIRKPATRICK (I) R	2607	231	795	1666	12	1	5312	48.80%
C. TRIEBSCH (D)	1976	399	750	2407	37	1	5570	51.17%
Write-in Votes	4	0	0	0	0	0	4	0.04%

STATE SENATE 35

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	26	26	26	26	26	26	26	
Precincts Reporting	26	26	26	26	26	26	26	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 60573)	13560	965	652	163	21821	168	37329	61.6 %
Total Votes	12537	840	639	155	20810	88	35069	
D. JAMES (I) D	12459	825	638	154	20721	88	34885	99.48%
Write-in Votes	78	15	1	1	89	0	184	0.52%

STATE SENATE 36

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	81	81	81	81	81	81	81	
Precincts Reporting	81	81	81	81	81	81	81	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 138269)	38521	2450	21832	1316	10119	747	74985	54.2 %
Total Votes	34560	2192	20200	1205	9226	523	67906	
N. ORROCK (I) D	34243	2169	20054	1195	9148	518	67327	99.15%
Write-in Votes	317	23	146	10	78	5	579	0.85%

Election Summary Report
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

Registered Voters 703177 - Cards Cast 425140 60.46%

Num. Report Precinct 373 - Num. Reporting 373 100.00%

STATE SENATE 38

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	46	46	46	46	46	46	46	
Precincts Reporting	46	46	46	46	46	46	46	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 81751)	18959	1629	15828	562	10145	233	47356	57.9 %
Total Votes	18247	1597	15288	541	9925	93	45691	
T. KLAVOHN (R)	2543	141	1797	43	232	9	4765	10.43%
H. TATE (I)	15693	1456	13479	497	9686	84	40895	89.50%
Write-in Votes	11	0	12	1	7	0	31	0.07%

STATE SENATE 39

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	82	82	82	82	82	82	82	
Precincts Reporting	82	82	82	82	82	82	82	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 136601)	34612	2727	17719	963	20024	487	76532	56.0 %
Total Votes	30614	2382	14969	840	19047	197	68049	
N. WILLIAMS (I) D	30237	2343	14710	825	18986	192	67293	98.89%
Write-in Votes	377	39	259	15	61	5	756	1.11%

STATE SENATE 40

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	
Precincts Reporting	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 7884)	2220	401	85	2767	16	10	5499	69.7 %
Total Votes	2172	388	83	2744	16	3	5406	
F. MILLAR (I) R	1427	174	36	1557	6	0	3200	59.19%
S. HARRELL (D)	745	214	47	1187	10	3	2206	40.81%
Write-in Votes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%

STATE SENATE 48

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	
Precincts Reporting	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 37347)	9125	1361	236	13459	64	33	24278	65.0 %
Total Votes	8904	1317	229	13253	63	13	23779	
M. REEVES (R)	4737	466	53	6429	14	4	11703	49.22%
Z. KARINSHAK (D)	4165	849	176	6821	49	9	12069	50.75%
Write-in Votes	2	2	0	3	0	0	7	0.03%

STATE SENATE 56

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	49	49	49	49	49	49	49	
Precincts Reporting	49	49	49	49	49	49	49	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 118891)	30465	3793	713	42047	225	99	77342	65.1 %
Total Votes	29795	3693	699	41437	220	67	75911	
J. ALBERS (I) R	16427	1534	179	21125	47	29	39341	51.83%
E. JEAGER (D)	13351	2156	520	20299	173	38	36537	48.13%
Write-in Votes	17	3	0	13	0	0	33	0.04%

STATE HOUSE 22

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	
Precincts Reporting	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 2939)	922	76	11	974	0	6	1989	67.7 %
Total Votes	903	74	11	959	0	1	1948	
W. CANTRELL (I) R	721	42	4	702	0	0	1469	75.41%
C. RAVENSCRAFT (D)	182	32	7	257	0	1	479	24.59%
Write-in Votes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%

STATE HOUSE 25

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	
Precincts Reporting	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 4582)	931	169	31	1938	6	1	3076	67.1 %
Total Votes	890	164	31	1895	6	0	2986	
T. JONES (I) R	499	50	5	901	1	0	1456	48.76%
A. H. TUCKER (D)	390	114	26	994	5	0	1529	51.21%
Write-in Votes	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0.03%

Election Summary Report
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

Registered Voters 703177 - Cards Cast 425140 60.46%

Num. Report Precinct 373 - Num. Reporting 373 100.00%

STATE HOUSE 40

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Precincts Reporting	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 2799)	722	104	1088	22	10	3	1949	69.6 %
Total Votes	708	100	1071	21	10	0	1910	
M. BENTLEY (R)	403	42	588	8	2	0	1043	54.61%
E. E. ALLEN (D)	305	58	483	13	8	0	867	45.39%
Write-in Votes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%

STATE HOUSE 45

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	
Precincts Reporting	2	2	2	2	2	2	2	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 5730)	1255	195	36	1786	24	0	3296	57.5 %
Total Votes	1223	181	34	1756	23	0	3217	
M. DOLLAR (I) R	477	56	7	565	2	0	1107	34.41%
E. JOHNSON (D)	744	125	27	1190	21	0	2107	65.50%
Write-in Votes	2	0	0	1	0	0	3	0.09%

STATE HOUSE 47

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	
Precincts Reporting	24	24	24	24	24	24	24	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 40815)	10851	1306	246	15200	63	33	27699	67.9 %
Total Votes	10596	1265	237	14966	62	3	27129	
J. JONES (I) R	6922	576	79	9123	15	3	16718	61.62%
A. NUGENT (D)	3669	688	158	5841	47	0	10403	38.35%
Write-in Votes	5	1	0	2	0	0	8	0.03%

STATE HOUSE 48

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	
Precincts Reporting	14	14	14	14	14	14	14	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 34846)	10495	1189	199	10545	57	20	22505	64.6 %
Total Votes	10281	1156	196	10367	54	19	22073	
B. PRICE (I) R	5503	498	56	4880	10	5	10952	49.62%
M. ROBICHAUX (D)	4769	656	140	5479	44	14	11102	50.30%
Write-in Votes	9	2	0	8	0	0	19	0.09%

STATE HOUSE 49

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	
Precincts Reporting	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 38207)	9358	1218	226	14254	65	70	25191	65.9 %
Total Votes	9098	1170	221	14025	65	36	24615	
C. E. MARTIN (I) R	5125	499	63	7611	20	16	13334	54.17%
K. A. BRALLEY (D)	3966	671	158	6408	45	20	11268	45.78%
Write-in Votes	7	0	0	6	0	0	13	0.05%

STATE HOUSE 50

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	
Precincts Reporting	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 34177)	8562	1275	218	12001	61	32	22149	64.8 %
Total Votes	8369	1235	209	11841	60	9	21723	
K. STEWART (R)	4366	442	58	5815	13	4	10698	49.25%
A. KAUSCHE (D)	3999	790	151	6023	47	5	11015	50.71%
Write-in Votes	4	3	0	3	0	0	10	0.05%

STATE HOUSE 51

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	
Precincts Reporting	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 38735)	8795	1163	237	14482	76	50	24803	64.0 %
Total Votes	8566	1126	233	14269	76	36	24306	
A. B. KAUFMAN (R)	4373	443	59	6861	17	14	11767	48.41%
J. MCLAURIN (D)	4187	683	174	7406	59	22	12531	51.56%
Write-in Votes	6	0	0	2	0	0	8	0.03%

Election Summary Report
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

Registered Voters 703177 - Cards Cast 425140 60.46%

Num. Report Precinct 373 - Num. Reporting 373 100.00%

STATE HOUSE 52

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	26	26	26	26	26	26	26	
Precincts Reporting	26	26	26	26	26	26	26	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 39989)	10575	1643	7789	7238	137	64	27446	68.6 %
Total Votes	10296	1590	7664	7130	134	8	26822	
D. SILCOX (I) R	5916	669	4319	3071	41	2	14018	52.26%
S. ROBERTS (D)	4378	921	3341	4057	93	6	12796	47.71%
Write-in Votes	2	0	4	2	0	0	8	0.03%

STATE HOUSE 53

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	
Precincts Reporting	15	15	15	15	15	15	15	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 32756)	8149	633	8560	198	550	105	18195	55.5 %
Total Votes	6961	535	7277	166	518	47	15504	
S. JONES (I) D	6861	527	7162	165	512	46	15273	98.51%
Write-in Votes	100	8	115	1	6	1	231	1.49%

STATE HOUSE 54

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	
Precincts Reporting	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 46134)	12092	1744	15408	422	344	79	30089	65.2 %
Total Votes	11793	1666	15139	414	337	27	29376	
B. BESKIN (I) R	6297	678	6965	165	61	13	14179	48.27%
B. HOLLAND (D)	5493	987	8167	249	276	14	15186	51.70%
Write-in Votes	3	1	7	0	0	0	11	0.04%

STATE HOUSE 55

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	23	23	23	23	23	23	23	
Precincts Reporting	23	23	23	23	23	23	23	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 43878)	11056	1002	8063	327	6129	102	26679	60.8 %
Total Votes	9551	890	7010	291	5788	15	23545	
M. R. METZE (I) D	9440	871	6919	288	5778	14	23310	99.00%
Write-in Votes	111	19	91	3	10	1	235	1.00%

STATE HOUSE 56

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	
Precincts Reporting	27	27	27	27	27	27	27	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 37484)	10119	634	5279	456	1654	212	18354	49.0 %
Total Votes	9108	568	4823	401	1536	70	16506	
A. M. THOMAS (I) D	8987	561	4781	399	1513	70	16311	98.82%
Write-in Votes	121	7	42	2	23	0	195	1.18%

STATE HOUSE 57

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	
Precincts Reporting	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 52854)	13532	1157	8970	517	3539	404	28119	53.2 %
Total Votes	11971	1027	8133	469	3289	253	25142	
P. GARDNER (I) D	11844	1016	8046	460	3270	250	24886	98.98%
Write-in Votes	127	11	87	9	19	3	256	1.02%

STATE HOUSE 58

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	28	28	28	28	28	28	28	
Precincts Reporting	28	28	28	28	28	28	28	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 46059)	12789	912	8164	605	3528	167	26165	56.8 %
Total Votes	11541	822	7568	563	3282	58	23834	
P. CANNON (I) D	11448	817	7512	558	3271	57	23663	99.28%
Write-in Votes	93	5	56	5	11	1	171	0.72%

Election Summary Report
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

Registered Voters 703177 - Cards Cast 425140 60.46%

Num. Report Precinct 373 - Num. Reporting 373 100.00%

STATE HOUSE 59

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	
Precincts Reporting	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 39054)	12345	662	6920	302	2667	200	23096	59.1 %
Total Votes	11052	594	6441	277	2420	105	20889	
D. DREYER (I) D	10959	588	6407	275	2394	105	20728	99.23%
Write-in Votes	93	6	34	2	26	0	161	0.77%

STATE HOUSE 60

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	
Precincts Reporting	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 25919)	6746	421	1540	70	4352	62	13191	50.9 %
Total Votes	6268	376	1447	64	4028	5	12188	
K. SCHOFIELD (I) D	6231	372	1445	63	4009	5	12125	99.48%
Write-in Votes	37	4	2	1	19	0	63	0.52%

STATE HOUSE 61

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	
Precincts Reporting	13	13	13	13	13	13	13	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 20208)	3808	355	1841	106	6111	59	12280	60.8 %
Total Votes	3614	333	1722	100	5863	12	11644	
R. BRUCE (I) D	3604	331	1718	99	5837	12	11601	99.63%
Write-in Votes	10	2	4	1	26	0	43	0.37%

STATE HOUSE 62

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	
Precincts Reporting	17	17	17	17	17	17	17	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 28870)	5602	421	447	84	11843	57	18454	63.9 %
Total Votes	5311	374	433	83	11297	18	17516	
W. K. BODDIE JR (I)D	5289	369	433	83	11247	18	17439	99.56%
Write-in Votes	22	5	0	0	50	0	77	0.44%

STATE HOUSE 63

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	
Precincts Reporting	16	16	16	16	16	16	16	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 16737)	4003	203	209	39	4005	75	8534	51.0 %
Total Votes	3831	186	202	38	3828	39	8124	
D. BAZEMORE (I) D	3825	186	202	38	3821	38	8110	99.83%
Write-in Votes	6	0	0	0	7	1	14	0.17%

STATE HOUSE 64

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	
Precincts Reporting	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 23742)	5457	362	265	45	7082	65	13276	55.9 %
Total Votes	5080	323	258	43	6761	1	12466	
D. L. JACKSON (I) D	5064	321	258	43	6746	1	12433	99.74%
Write-in Votes	16	2	0	0	15	0	33	0.26%

STATE HOUSE 65

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	
Precincts Reporting	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 34519)	8671	526	319	77	10813	98	20504	59.4 %
Total Votes	7569	444	304	71	10076	45	18509	
BEASLEY-TEAGUE (I) D	7493	434	301	70	10032	45	18375	99.28%
Write-in Votes	76	10	3	1	44	0	134	0.72%

Election Summary Report
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

Registered Voters 703177 - Cards Cast 425140 60.46%

Num. Report Precinct 373 - Num. Reporting 373 100.00%

STATE HOUSE 80

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	
Precincts Reporting	7	7	7	7	7	7	7	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 10029)	2746	471	1300	1909	38	27	6491	64.7 %
Total Votes	2686	461	1270	1881	37	9	6344	
M. HANSON (I) R	1458	185	677	765	8	4	3097	48.82%
M. WILSON (D)	1226	276	592	1115	29	5	3243	51.12%
Write-in Votes	2	0	1	1	0	0	4	0.06%

STATE HOUSE 95

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Precincts Reporting	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 2115)	505	72	14	866	1	4	1462	69.1 %
Total Votes	496	68	14	851	1	0	1430	
S. HILTON (I) R	352	33	5	581	0	0	971	67.90%
B. MOORE (D)	144	35	9	270	1	0	459	32.10%
Write-in Votes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0.00%

CO COMM CHAIRPERSON

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	
Precincts Reporting	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 703177)	180086	17913	77380	84463	63155	1995	424992	60.4 %
Total Votes	149722	14488	65833	61366	59433	1731	352573	
R. PITTS (I) D	146300	14082	64726	58577	59041	1713	344439	97.69%
Write-in Votes	3422	406	1107	2789	392	18	8134	2.31%

CO COMM DIST 1

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	41	41	41	41	41	41	41	
Precincts Reporting	41	41	41	41	41	41	41	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 103719)	25566	3555	654	38429	166	94	68464	66.0 %
Total Votes	19945	2572	455	28442	114	46	51574	
L. HAUSMANN (I) R	19170	2372	419	26876	104	44	48985	94.98%
Write-in Votes	775	200	36	1566	10	2	2589	5.02%

CO COMM DIST 3

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	
Precincts Reporting	60	60	60	60	60	60	60	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 128349)	35756	4795	37419	5645	1530	218	85363	66.5 %
Total Votes	26488	3086	26007	3895	864	83	60423	
L. MORRIS (I) R	25226	2823	24408	3607	716	81	56861	94.10%
Write-in Votes	1262	263	1599	288	148	2	3562	5.90%

CO COMM DIST 5

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	
Precincts Reporting	65	65	65	65	65	65	65	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 117643)	30335	1962	13557	605	19373	438	66270	56.3 %
Total Votes	27910	1781	12573	550	18338	226	61378	
M. ARRINGTON JR (I)D	27760	1758	12512	542	18245	224	61041	99.45%
Write-in Votes	150	23	61	8	93	2	337	0.55%

SOIL AND WATER

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	
Precincts Reporting	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 703177)	180086	17913	77380	84463	63155	1995	424992	60.4 %
Total Votes	185122	21984	77947	82760	67737	2226	437776	
W. S. REKUC, JR (I)	112192	11399	47166	52505	40404	1107	264773	60.48%
J. R. ULSETH	71136	10314	30076	29448	26713	1086	168773	38.55%
Write-in Votes	1794	271	705	807	620	33	4230	0.97%

Election Summary Report
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

Registered Voters 703177 - Cards Cast 425140 60.46%

Num. Report Precinct 373 - Num. Reporting 373 100.00%

CONST AMENDMENT 1

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	
Precincts Reporting	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 703177)	180086	17913	77380	84463	63155	1995	424992	60.4 %
Total Votes	175233	16813	75246	82034	61620	1792	412738	
YES	154812	15201	67676	70175	55447	1601	364912	88.41%
NO	20421	1612	7570	11859	6173	191	47826	11.59%

CONST AMENDMENT 2

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	
Precincts Reporting	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 703177)	180086	17913	77380	84463	63155	1995	424992	60.4 %
Total Votes	169595	16002	72306	78984	60285	1756	398928	
YES	125673	11020	50096	55753	46077	1465	290084	72.72%
NO	43922	4982	22210	23231	14208	291	108844	27.28%

CONST AMENDMENT 3

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	
Precincts Reporting	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 703177)	180086	17913	77380	84463	63155	1995	424992	60.4 %
Total Votes	166719	15494	70896	77947	58862	1721	391639	
YES	113070	10513	49512	51960	38784	1148	264987	67.66%
NO	53649	4981	21384	25987	20078	573	126652	32.34%

CONST AMENDMENT 4

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	
Precincts Reporting	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 703177)	180086	17913	77380	84463	63155	1995	424992	60.4 %
Total Votes	173266	16551	74190	81028	61742	1798	408575	
YES	144982	13974	60379	66832	56410	1591	344168	84.24%
NO	28284	2577	13811	14196	5332	207	64407	15.76%

CONST AMENDMENT 5

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	
Precincts Reporting	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 703177)	180086	17913	77380	84463	63155	1995	424992	60.4 %
Total Votes	168645	15765	71714	78958	60271	1749	397102	
YES	130477	11870	55505	56454	49442	1459	305207	76.86%
NO	38168	3895	16209	22504	10829	290	91895	23.14%

REFERENDUM A

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	
Precincts Reporting	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 703177)	180086	17913	77380	84463	63155	1995	424992	60.4 %
Total Votes	163174	14931	68997	75960	59375	1670	384107	
YES	105183	8834	44886	46562	40805	1050	247320	64.39%
NO	57991	6097	24111	29398	18570	620	136787	35.61%

REFERENDUM B

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	
Precincts Reporting	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 703177)	180086	17913	77380	84463	63155	1995	424992	60.4 %
Total Votes	169325	15917	72237	79031	60744	1748	399002	
YES	141058	12895	60258	62823	52853	1517	331404	83.06%
NO	28267	3022	11979	16208	7891	231	67598	16.94%

REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	
Precincts Reporting	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 703177)	180086	17913	77380	84463	63155	1995	424992	60.4 %
Total Votes	156250	14547	65266	71677	57734	1632	367106	
YES	101469	9038	41338	42930	38221	1099	234095	63.77%
NO	54781	5509	23928	28747	19513	533	133011	36.23%

Election Summary Report
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

Registered Voters 703177 - Cards Cast 425140 60.46%

Num. Report Precinct 373 - Num. Reporting 373 100.00%

HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	
Precincts Reporting	373	373	373	373	373	373	373	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 703177)	180086	17913	77380	84463	63155	1995	424992	60.4 %
Total Votes	163660	15552	69854	76843	59918	1618	387445	
YES	133730	13064	58453	64710	52946	1218	324121	83.66%
NO	29930	2488	11401	12133	6972	400	63324	16.34%

HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	215	215	215	215	215	215	215	
Precincts Reporting	215	215	215	215	215	215	215	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 382378)	95001	10584	9412	81530	44413	678	241618	63.2 %
Total Votes	84772	8951	8094	71846	41703	441	215807	
YES	61727	6403	5689	52608	31421	333	158181	73.30%
NO	23045	2548	2405	19238	10282	108	57626	26.70%

ALPHARETTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	
Precincts Reporting	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 40296)	9707	1309	229	15013	70	77	26405	65.5 %
Total Votes	8585	1125	191	13471	67	37	23476	
YES	6464	858	131	10644	49	28	18174	77.42%
NO	2121	267	60	2827	18	9	5302	22.58%

ALPHARETTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	
Precincts Reporting	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 40296)	9707	1309	229	15013	70	77	26405	65.5 %
Total Votes	9458	1230	218	14592	70	55	25623	
YES	6747	956	179	10837	53	40	18812	73.42%
NO	2711	274	39	3755	17	15	6811	26.58%

ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	158	158	158	158	158	158	158	
Precincts Reporting	158	158	158	158	158	158	158	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 320799)	85085	7329	67968	2933	18742	1317	183374	57.2 %
Total Votes	74908	6039	59026	2577	16931	963	160444	
YES	53408	4081	41966	1762	11889	675	113781	70.92%
NO	21500	1958	17060	815	5042	288	46663	29.08%

ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	158	158	158	158	158	158	158	
Precincts Reporting	158	158	158	158	158	158	158	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 320799)	85085	7329	67968	2933	18742	1317	183374	57.2 %
Total Votes	82427	6802	65782	2851	18131	1105	177098	
YES	61828	5117	49844	2165	12851	758	132563	74.85%
NO	20599	1685	15938	686	5280	347	44535	25.15%

COLLEGE PARK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	
Precincts Reporting	18	18	18	18	18	18	18	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 8758)	1704	99	61	16	2137	29	4046	46.2 %
Total Votes	1659	92	60	16	2087	21	3935	
YES	1238	63	41	11	1523	15	2891	73.47%
NO	421	29	19	5	564	6	1044	26.53%

HAPEVILLE - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Precincts Reporting	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 3212)	1136	37	74	4	523	6	1780	55.4 %
Total Votes	1118	29	72	3	518	5	1745	
YES	819	16	55	1	401	4	1296	74.27%
NO	299	13	17	2	117	1	449	25.73%

Election Summary Report
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

Registered Voters 703177 - Cards Cast 425140 60.46%

Num. Report Precinct 373 - Num. Reporting 373 100.00%

JOHNS CREEK - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	
Precincts Reporting	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 50888)	12445	1810	315	18888	86	48	33592	66.0 %
Total Votes	11038	1572	247	17078	76	32	30043	
YES	8316	1200	167	13123	54	21	22881	76.16%
NO	2722	372	80	3955	22	11	7162	23.84%

JOHNS CREEK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	
Precincts Reporting	21	21	21	21	21	21	21	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 50888)	12445	1810	315	18888	86	48	33592	66.0 %
Total Votes	12091	1696	307	18403	86	45	32628	
YES	8323	1243	242	13220	63	27	23118	70.85%
NO	3768	453	65	5183	23	18	9510	29.15%

MILTON - CITY COUNCIL D1 P2

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	
Precincts Reporting	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 8604)	2024	223	49	3204	6	11	5517	64.1 %
Total Votes	1578	161	37	2503	6	2	4287	
C. E. COOKERLY	1555	159	36	2445	6	2	4203	98.04%
Write-in Votes	23	2	1	58	0	0	84	1.96%

MILTON - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	
Precincts Reporting	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 24990)	6385	700	141	9152	25	33	16436	65.8 %
Total Votes	5681	609	120	8328	22	9	14769	
YES	4373	486	89	6666	19	7	11640	78.81%
NO	1308	123	31	1662	3	2	3129	21.19%

MILTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	
Precincts Reporting	19	19	19	19	19	19	19	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 24990)	6385	700	141	9152	25	33	16436	65.8 %
Total Votes	6172	668	135	8893	24	20	15912	
YES	4681	494	110	6818	21	15	12139	76.29%
NO	1491	174	25	2075	3	5	3773	23.71%

ROSWELL - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	
Precincts Reporting	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 62145)	17360	2041	340	21669	98	29	41537	66.8 %
Total Votes	15395	1766	290	19529	85	20	37085	
YES	11516	1325	208	15247	67	15	28378	76.52%
NO	3879	441	82	4282	18	5	8707	23.48%

ROSWELL - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	
Precincts Reporting	20	20	20	20	20	20	20	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 62145)	17360	2041	340	21669	98	29	41537	66.8 %
Total Votes	16976	1920	330	21138	96	23	40483	
YES	12626	1476	276	16110	66	16	30570	75.51%
NO	4350	444	54	5028	30	7	9913	24.49%

SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	37	37	37	37	37	37	37	
Precincts Reporting	37	37	37	37	37	37	37	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 67015)	17495	2659	6307	16410	233	114	43218	64.5 %
Total Votes	16934	2510	6120	15918	227	90	41799	
YES	12931	1986	4879	12085	174	65	32120	76.84%
NO	4003	524	1241	3833	53	25	9679	23.16%

Election Summary Report
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

Registered Voters 703177 - Cards Cast 425140 60.46%

Num. Report Precinct 373 - Num. Reporting 373 100.00%

SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	49	49	49	49	49	49	49	
Precincts Reporting	49	49	49	49	49	49	49	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 72034)	14946	1133	1166	216	27408	179	45048	62.5 %
Total Votes	13871	1009	1067	204	25642	72	41865	
YES	9392	621	728	144	16760	52	27697	66.16%
NO	4479	388	339	60	8882	20	14168	33.84%

SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	49	49	49	49	49	49	49	
Precincts Reporting	49	49	49	49	49	49	49	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 72034)	14946	1133	1166	216	27408	179	45048	62.5 %
Total Votes	13681	1004	1040	201	25385	69	41380	
YES	9057	572	667	129	15956	44	26425	63.86%
NO	4624	432	373	72	9429	25	14955	36.14%

SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	49	49	49	49	49	49	49	
Precincts Reporting	49	49	49	49	49	49	49	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 72034)	14946	1133	1166	216	27408	179	45048	62.5 %
Total Votes	13717	1001	1056	202	25416	70	41462	
YES	8910	537	665	128	15530	49	25819	62.27%
NO	4807	464	391	74	9886	21	15643	37.73%

SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	49	49	49	49	49	49	49	
Precincts Reporting	49	49	49	49	49	49	49	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 72034)	14946	1133	1166	216	27408	179	45048	62.5 %
Total Votes	13842	994	1054	202	25529	65	41686	
YES	9197	578	700	129	15909	48	26561	63.72%
NO	4645	416	354	73	9620	17	15125	36.28%

SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	49	49	49	49	49	49	49	
Precincts Reporting	49	49	49	49	49	49	49	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 72034)	14946	1133	1166	216	27408	179	45048	62.5 %
Total Votes	14549	1075	1138	210	26768	120	43860	
YES	10166	723	797	152	19173	84	31095	70.90%
NO	4383	352	341	58	7595	36	12765	29.10%

UNION CITY - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

	Polling	ABM	AIP1	AIP2	AIP3	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	
Precincts Reporting	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	100.0 %
Times Counted (Reg. Voters 14542)	3476	209	126	35	3932	59	7837	53.9 %
Total Votes	3394	197	122	35	3854	35	7637	
YES	2267	106	87	24	2610	24	5118	67.02%
NO	1127	91	35	11	1244	11	2519	32.98%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	TURN OUT		
	Reg. Voters	Cards Cast	% Turnout
Jurisdiction Wide			
01A	3199	2362	73.84%
01B	3839	2737	71.29%
01C	1459	612	41.95%
01D	511	307	60.08%
01E	3363	2410	71.66%
01F	1254	452	36.04%
01G	1909	1102	57.73%
01H	758	379	50.00%
01J	2416	1184	49.01%
01P	1244	542	43.57%
01R	1344	491	36.53%
01S	1749	823	47.06%
01T	1506	796	52.86%
02A	4584	2949	64.33%
02B	3518	1265	35.96%
02C	2037	1205	59.16%
02D	4475	2660	59.44%
02E	1905	1423	74.70%
02F1	2962	2033	68.64%
02F2	788	458	58.12%
02G	3443	2361	68.57%
02J	2159	1580	73.18%
02K	1419	951	67.02%
02L1	6213	3978	64.03%
02L2	1364	782	57.33%
02S	708	427	60.31%
02W	682	399	58.50%
03A	1927	692	35.91%
03B	560	181	32.32%
03C	1534	674	43.94%
03D	1017	402	39.53%
03E	2284	914	40.02%
03F	1925	1115	57.92%
03G	802	360	44.89%
03H	900	369	41.00%
03I	1360	566	41.62%
03L	594	229	38.55%
03M	766	452	59.01%
03N	2288	938	41.00%
03P1A	3882	1966	50.64%
03P2	13	7	53.85%
03S	761	356	46.78%
03T	1505	715	47.51%
04A	1202	560	46.59%
04B	2242	922	41.12%
04C	136	54	39.71%
04D	1481	714	48.21%
04F	2086	935	44.82%
04G	828	312	37.68%
04I	3362	561	16.69%
04J	1230	317	25.77%
04K	2339	1266	54.13%
04L	1655	867	52.39%
04M	773	292	37.77%
04S	2165	1118	51.64%
04T	1145	584	51.00%
04V	2848	1211	42.52%
04W	2203	820	37.22%
04X1	1942	950	48.92%
04X2	737	364	49.39%
05A1	2491	1728	69.37%
05A2	2910	1998	68.66%
05B	1763	901	51.11%
05C	146	67	45.89%
05D	386	93	24.09%
05F	223	136	60.99%
05J	639	416	65.10%
05K	2600	1327	51.04%
06B	1756	1238	70.50%
06D	8568	6060	70.73%
06E	2250	1439	63.96%
06F	2709	1757	64.86%
06G	536	369	68.84%
06I	3412	1957	57.36%
06J	2539	1904	74.99%
06L1	917	679	74.05%
06L2	654	487	74.46%
06N	1844	1085	58.84%
06Q	527	295	55.98%
06R	1836	1206	65.69%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	TURN OUT		
	Reg. Voters	Cards Cast	% Turnout
07A	6600	4093	62.02%
07B	2763	2107	76.26%
07C	3927	2498	63.61%
07D	4231	2413	57.03%
07E	2031	1358	66.86%
07F	3017	2041	67.65%
07H	1923	1424	74.05%
07J	2647	1753	66.23%
07M	2155	1180	54.76%
07N	1946	1044	53.65%
08A	2799	1949	69.63%
08B	3199	2255	70.49%
08C	1392	984	70.69%
08D	1022	770	75.34%
08E	2429	1864	76.74%
08F1	2071	1628	78.61%
08G	2787	1669	59.89%
08H	2465	1874	76.02%
08J	2981	2223	74.57%
08K	973	702	72.15%
08L	4194	2706	64.52%
08M	1907	1358	71.21%
08N1	767	587	76.53%
08N2	1391	927	66.64%
08P	1633	928	56.83%
09A	3338	2152	64.47%
09B	385	174	45.19%
09C	2954	1522	51.52%
09D	1879	858	45.66%
09E	2782	1420	51.04%
09F	3619	2526	69.80%
09G	3519	2282	64.85%
09H	1064	645	60.62%
09I	1194	503	42.13%
09K	4403	1851	42.04%
09M	2259	1611	71.31%
10A	2850	1622	56.91%
10B	3686	2464	66.85%
10C	2466	1168	47.36%
10D	4220	1850	43.84%
10E	950	524	55.16%
10F	1053	590	56.03%
10G	1205	813	67.47%
10H1	408	238	58.33%
10H2	2207	1032	46.76%
10I	329	258	78.42%
10J	1012	466	46.05%
10K	1415	858	60.64%
10M	2529	1414	55.91%
10P	1364	668	48.97%
10R	713	347	48.67%
11B	5162	3088	59.82%
11C	2822	2003	70.98%
11E1	1141	634	55.57%
11E2	847	497	58.68%
11E3	3124	1835	58.74%
11G	2092	855	40.87%
11H	677	356	52.58%
11J	1827	1018	55.72%
11K	1818	1104	60.73%
11M	3039	1659	54.59%
11N	2166	1358	62.70%
11P	1833	1020	55.65%
11R	1849	672	36.34%
12A	4828	2734	56.63%
12D	1209	501	41.44%
12E1	1621	591	36.46%
12F	943	428	45.39%
12G	800	430	53.75%
12H1	1006	310	30.82%
12H2	2562	1189	46.41%
12I	970	413	42.58%
12J	2535	1108	43.71%
12K	3022	1240	41.03%
12L	613	238	38.83%
12M	2533	1171	46.23%
12N	907	327	36.05%
12S	1794	959	53.46%
AP01A	4724	3141	66.49%
AP01B	913	516	56.52%
AP01C	2233	1498	67.08%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	TURN OUT		
	Reg. Voters	Cards Cast	% Turnout
AP01D	915	539	58.91%
AP02B	474	263	55.49%
AP03	741	314	42.38%
AP04A	1647	1183	71.83%
AP04B	415	290	69.88%
AP05	4367	2981	68.26%
AP06	1827	1309	71.65%
AP07A	3422	2102	61.43%
AP07B	1650	1144	69.33%
AP09A	4051	2687	66.33%
AP09B	810	531	65.56%
AP021	2994	1963	65.56%
AP022	2210	1429	64.66%
AP10	942	681	72.29%
AP12A	658	374	56.84%
AP12B	747	428	57.30%
AP12C	1584	1005	63.45%
AP14	2972	2027	68.20%
CH01	330	164	49.70%
CH02	319	202	63.32%
CH03	379	250	65.96%
CH04A	647	444	68.62%
CH05	407	260	63.88%
CP01B	381	227	59.58%
CP011	1135	617	54.36%
CP012	1318	844	64.04%
CP02	1000	553	55.30%
CP04A	8	2	25.00%
CP04B	39	14	35.90%
CP05B	143	76	53.15%
CP051	1612	874	54.22%
CP06A	598	208	34.78%
CP07B	7	2	28.57%
CP07C	244	47	19.26%
CP07D	694	208	29.97%
CP07E	125	41	32.80%
CP07F	184	55	29.89%
CP081	343	77	22.45%
CP083	219	25	11.42%
CP084	3	1	33.33%
CP08A	705	175	24.82%
EP01A	2609	1464	56.11%
EP01B	3038	1539	50.66%
EP02A	1967	890	45.25%
EP02B	1449	977	67.43%
EP02C	428	251	58.64%
EP02D	326	192	58.90%
EP02E	1382	627	45.37%
EP03A	4293	2512	58.51%
EP03B	1421	973	68.47%
EP04A	5432	2626	48.34%
EP04B	2024	1203	59.44%
FA01A	3574	2126	59.49%
FA01B	4833	2965	61.35%
FA01C	681	401	58.88%
HP01	3212	1780	55.42%
JC01	4977	3215	64.60%
JC02	2460	1474	59.92%
JC03A	540	384	71.11%
JC03B	1448	955	65.95%
JC04A	4042	2692	66.60%
JC04B	255	156	61.18%
JC05	2136	1391	65.12%
JC06	2627	1580	60.14%
JC07	4083	2627	64.34%
JC08	2737	1870	68.32%
JC09	2928	1878	64.14%
JC10	2392	1507	63.00%
JC11	3186	2085	65.44%
JC12	2455	1753	71.41%
JC13A	2115	1462	69.13%
JC13B	271	180	66.42%
JC14	2477	1634	65.97%
JC15	834	523	62.71%
JC16	2496	1729	69.27%
JC18	2670	1969	73.75%
JC19	3759	2528	67.25%
ML011	2439	1681	68.92%
ML012	485	338	69.69%
ML01B	138	96	69.57%
ML021	1991	1369	68.76%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	TURN OUT		
	Reg. Voters	Cards Cast	% Turnout
ML022	241	148	61.41%
ML023	1542	1027	66.60%
ML024	113	70	61.95%
ML03	3757	2575	68.54%
ML04A	1747	1176	67.32%
ML04B	1206	838	69.49%
ML04C	79	66	83.54%
ML05A	39	27	69.23%
ML05B	381	250	65.62%
ML05C	4023	2615	65.00%
ML06A	1973	1024	51.90%
ML06B	2775	1734	62.49%
ML071	105	73	69.52%
ML072	1249	857	68.61%
ML07A	707	472	66.76%
MP01	450	323	71.78%
PA01	2509	1330	53.01%
RW01	4717	3355	71.13%
RW02	3794	2675	70.51%
RW03	4510	2745	60.86%
RW04	1803	1359	75.37%
RW05	2322	1207	51.98%
RW06	2820	1914	67.87%
RW07A	1549	677	43.71%
RW07B	388	214	55.15%
RW08	2138	1462	68.38%
RW09	5918	4216	71.24%
RW10	3347	2376	70.99%
RW11A	2667	1693	63.48%
RW12	5944	4234	71.23%
RW13	3555	2476	69.65%
RW16	1850	1275	68.92%
RW17	2794	1773	63.46%
RW19	1993	1434	71.95%
RW20	2486	1797	72.28%
RW21	3144	2169	68.99%
RW22A	4406	2486	56.42%
SC01A	20	25	125.00%
SC01B	1707	1295	75.86%
SC01C	2063	1513	73.34%
SC01D	294	162	55.10%
SC02	872	662	75.92%
SC04	922	616	66.81%
SC05A	646	409	63.31%
SC05B	3204	2188	68.29%
SC05D	2171	1428	65.78%
SC05E	623	434	69.66%
SC07A	5595	3698	66.09%
SC07C	29	10	34.48%
SC08B	3382	2008	59.37%
SC08C	74	53	71.62%
SC08D	499	314	62.93%
SC08E	562	316	56.23%
SC08F	700	366	52.29%
SC08G	12	8	66.67%
SC08H	4	3	75.00%
SC09A	1628	839	51.54%
SC09B	1687	1084	64.26%
SC09C	1566	842	53.77%
SC10	1678	661	39.39%
SC11A	1411	813	57.62%
SC11B	1506	878	58.30%
SC13	2465	1636	66.37%
SC14A	916	429	46.83%
SC14B	502	45	8.96%
SC15	6193	4213	68.03%
SC16A	4601	3208	69.72%
SC16B	14	15	107.14%
SC17A	55	42	76.36%
SC17B	7	4	57.14%
SC17C	1134	669	58.99%
SC18A	510	329	64.51%
SC18B	663	462	69.68%
SC18C	1850	1352	73.08%
SC19A	195	129	66.15%
SC19B	1267	690	54.46%
SC20	2197	1665	75.79%
SC211	1328	841	63.33%
SC212	3378	1947	57.64%
SC23A	3161	1730	54.73%
SC23B	483	284	58.80%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	TURN OUT		
	Reg. Voters	Cards Cast	% Turnout
SC23C	2252	1302	57.82%
SC27	2129	1259	59.14%
SC29A	2784	1544	55.46%
SC30A	135	97	71.85%
SC30B	960	531	55.31%
SS01	4487	3270	72.88%
SS02A	1120	755	67.41%
SS02B	1255	756	60.24%
SS03	1464	1027	70.15%
SS04	3264	2064	63.24%
SS05	1746	1376	78.81%
SS06	1397	1073	76.81%
SS07A	968	683	70.56%
SS07B	609	405	66.50%
SS07C	755	436	57.75%
SS08A	1316	758	57.60%
SS08B	635	453	71.34%
SS08C	632	393	62.18%
SS08D	1368	847	61.92%
SS09A	3003	2210	73.59%
SS09B	3358	2452	73.02%
SS11A	3655	2227	60.93%
SS11B	1257	707	56.25%
SS11C	269	146	54.28%
SS11D	365	254	69.59%
SS12	2559	1732	67.68%
SS13A	1633	1072	65.65%
SS13B	1001	629	62.84%
SS14	1274	977	76.69%
SS15A	2572	1199	46.62%
SS15B	1579	902	57.12%
SS16	2030	1534	75.57%
SS17	3397	2229	65.62%
SS18A	619	454	73.34%
SS18B	221	162	73.30%
SS19A	3016	1683	55.80%
SS19B	2032	1226	60.33%
SS20	2060	1159	56.26%
SS22	2714	1613	59.43%
SS26	3552	1822	51.30%
SS29A	2488	1595	64.11%
SS31	1345	938	69.74%
UC01A	3622	1715	47.35%
UC01B	395	210	53.16%
UC01D	6	2	33.33%
UC01E	285	206	72.28%
UC02A	7513	4296	57.18%
UC02B	30	19	63.33%
UC031	2399	1203	50.15%
UC032	292	186	63.70%
Total	703177	425140	60.46%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

GOVERNOR

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	B. KEMP (R)		S. ABRAMS (D)		T. METZ (L)		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide											
01A	3199	2362	2360	177	7.50%	2159	91.48%	22	0.93%	2	0.08%
01B	3839	2737	2732	217	7.94%	2474	90.56%	40	1.46%	1	0.04%
01C	1459	612	612	14	2.29%	593	96.90%	5	0.82%	0	0.00%
01D	511	307	307	15	4.89%	292	95.11%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
01E	3363	2410	2406	238	9.89%	2137	88.82%	30	1.25%	1	0.04%
01F	1254	452	451	9	2.00%	439	97.34%	2	0.44%	1	0.22%
01G	1909	1102	1098	50	4.55%	1031	93.90%	16	1.46%	1	0.09%
01H	758	379	379	15	3.96%	363	95.78%	1	0.26%	0	0.00%
01J	2416	1184	1181	29	2.46%	1148	97.21%	4	0.34%	0	0.00%
01P	1244	542	542	10	1.85%	530	97.79%	0	0.00%	2	0.37%
01R	1344	491	489	8	1.64%	479	97.96%	2	0.41%	0	0.00%
01S	1749	823	820	21	2.56%	797	97.20%	2	0.24%	0	0.00%
01T	1506	796	796	53	6.66%	739	92.84%	4	0.50%	0	0.00%
02A	4584	2949	2945	518	17.59%	2391	81.19%	33	1.12%	3	0.10%
02B	3518	1265	1264	120	9.49%	1135	89.79%	9	0.71%	0	0.00%
02C	2037	1205	1205	89	7.39%	1108	91.95%	8	0.66%	0	0.00%
02D	4475	2660	2657	220	8.28%	2411	90.74%	24	0.90%	2	0.08%
02E	1905	1423	1423	119	8.36%	1289	90.58%	15	1.05%	0	0.00%
02F1	2962	2033	2029	216	10.65%	1782	87.83%	30	1.48%	1	0.05%
02F2	788	458	458	41	8.95%	412	89.96%	5	1.09%	0	0.00%
02G	3443	2361	2359	223	9.45%	2103	89.15%	31	1.31%	2	0.08%
02J	2159	1432	1432	143	9.99%	1271	88.76%	18	1.26%	0	0.00%
02K	1419	951	948	107	11.29%	829	87.45%	9	0.95%	3	0.32%
02L1	6213	3978	3974	567	14.27%	3347	84.22%	57	1.43%	3	0.08%
02L2	1364	782	782	115	14.71%	657	84.02%	10	1.28%	0	0.00%
02S	708	427	427	24	5.62%	397	92.97%	6	1.41%	0	0.00%
02W	682	399	398	47	11.81%	347	87.19%	4	1.01%	0	0.00%
03A	1927	692	690	10	1.45%	677	98.12%	3	0.43%	0	0.00%
03B	560	181	181	7	3.87%	174	96.13%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
03C	1534	674	671	12	1.79%	658	98.06%	1	0.15%	0	0.00%
03D	1017	402	401	12	2.99%	387	96.51%	2	0.50%	0	0.00%
03E	2284	914	912	20	2.19%	889	97.48%	3	0.33%	0	0.00%
03F	1925	1115	1113	146	13.12%	957	85.98%	9	0.81%	1	0.09%
03G	802	360	359	6	1.67%	351	97.77%	2	0.56%	0	0.00%
03H	900	369	368	6	1.63%	356	96.74%	5	1.36%	1	0.27%
03I	1360	566	565	64	11.33%	483	85.49%	16	2.83%	2	0.35%
03L	594	229	228	4	1.75%	222	97.37%	2	0.88%	0	0.00%
03M	766	452	452	84	18.58%	351	77.65%	16	3.54%	1	0.22%
03N	2288	938	935	27	2.89%	899	96.15%	8	0.86%	1	0.11%
03P1A	3882	1966	1966	195	9.92%	1742	88.61%	27	1.37%	2	0.10%
03P2	13	7	7	0	0.00%	7	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
03S	761	356	355	5	1.41%	348	98.03%	2	0.56%	0	0.00%
03T	1505	715	714	15	2.10%	696	97.48%	3	0.42%	0	0.00%
04A	1202	560	560	3	0.54%	554	98.93%	2	0.36%	1	0.18%
04B	2242	922	922	13	1.41%	904	98.05%	3	0.33%	2	0.22%
04C	136	54	54	0	0.00%	54	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
04D	1481	714	713	20	2.81%	692	97.05%	1	0.14%	0	0.00%
04F	2086	935	935	62	6.63%	867	92.73%	5	0.53%	1	0.11%
04G	828	312	311	5	1.61%	306	98.39%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
04I	3362	561	561	37	6.60%	517	92.16%	6	1.07%	1	0.18%
04J	1230	317	317	6	1.89%	311	98.11%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
04K	2339	1266	1261	34	2.70%	1224	97.07%	3	0.24%	0	0.00%
04L	1655	867	864	21	2.43%	836	96.76%	6	0.69%	1	0.12%
04M	773	292	290	7	2.41%	282	97.24%	1	0.34%	0	0.00%
04S	2165	1118	1112	19	1.71%	1090	98.02%	2	0.18%	1	0.09%
04T	1145	584	583	8	1.37%	573	98.28%	2	0.34%	0	0.00%
04V	2848	1211	1207	12	0.99%	1192	98.76%	2	0.17%	1	0.08%
04W	2203	820	820	3	0.37%	814	99.27%	2	0.24%	1	0.12%
04X1	1942	950	947	16	1.69%	926	97.78%	4	0.42%	1	0.11%
04X2	737	364	364	5	1.37%	357	98.08%	2	0.55%	0	0.00%
05A1	2491	1728	1728	128	7.41%	1582	91.55%	17	0.98%	1	0.06%
05A2	2910	1998	1997	133	6.66%	1838	92.04%	22	1.10%	4	0.20%
05B	1763	901	894	30	3.36%	858	95.97%	4	0.45%	2	0.22%
05C	146	67	67	3	4.48%	62	92.54%	2	2.99%	0	0.00%
05D	386	93	93	5	5.38%	87	93.55%	1	1.08%	0	0.00%
05F	223	136	136	6	4.41%	129	94.85%	1	0.74%	0	0.00%
05J	639	416	414	41	9.90%	369	89.13%	4	0.97%	0	0.00%
05K	2600	1327	1325	68	5.13%	1246	94.04%	11	0.83%	0	0.00%
06B	1756	1238	1235	188	15.22%	1031	83.48%	14	1.13%	2	0.16%
06D	8568	6060	6050	1126	18.61%	4848	80.13%	72	1.19%	4	0.07%
06E	2250	1439	1438	244	16.97%	1178	81.92%	16	1.11%	0	0.00%
06F	2709	1757	1753	259	14.77%	1476	84.20%	18	1.03%	0	0.00%
06G	536	369	369	52	14.09%	311	84.28%	6	1.63%	0	0.00%
06I	3412	1957	1953	228	11.67%	1698	86.94%	25	1.28%	2	0.10%
06J	2539	1904	1900	335	17.63%	1528	80.42%	35	1.84%	2	0.11%
06L1	917	679	677	150	22.16%	517	76.37%	10	1.48%	0	0.00%
06L2	654	487	484	140	28.93%	338	69.83%	5	1.03%	1	0.21%
06N	1844	1085	1083	356	32.87%	715	66.02%	12	1.11%	0	0.00%
06Q	527	295	295	22	7.46%	271	91.86%	2	0.68%	0	0.00%
06R	1836	1206	1203	230	19.12%	963	80.05%	10	0.83%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	GOVERNOR											
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	B. KEMP (R)		S. ABRAMS (D)		T. METZ (L)		Write-In Votes	
07A	6600	4093	4085	1719	42.08%	2310	56.55%	48	1.18%	8	0.20%
07B	2763	2107	2098	1256	59.87%	815	38.85%	25	1.19%	2	0.10%
07C	3927	2498	2490	1078	43.29%	1373	55.14%	35	1.41%	4	0.16%
07D	4231	2413	2409	937	38.90%	1431	59.40%	39	1.62%	2	0.08%
07E	2031	1358	1355	421	31.07%	917	67.68%	16	1.18%	1	0.07%
07F	3017	2041	2037	827	40.60%	1178	57.83%	30	1.47%	2	0.10%
07H	1923	1424	1420	613	43.17%	794	55.92%	11	0.77%	2	0.14%
07J	2647	1753	1750	582	33.26%	1129	64.51%	34	1.94%	5	0.29%
07M	2155	1180	1180	378	32.03%	778	65.93%	21	1.78%	3	0.25%
07N	1946	1044	1041	158	15.18%	872	83.77%	11	1.06%	0	0.00%
08A	2799	1949	1947	975	50.08%	942	48.38%	28	1.44%	2	0.10%
08B	3199	2255	2247	1239	55.14%	969	43.12%	36	1.60%	3	0.13%
08C	1392	984	982	642	65.38%	327	33.30%	13	1.32%	0	0.00%
08D	1022	770	766	490	63.97%	273	35.64%	2	0.26%	1	0.13%
08E	2429	1864	1861	1070	57.50%	766	41.16%	22	1.18%	3	0.16%
08F1	2071	1628	1619	1006	62.14%	593	36.63%	20	1.24%	0	0.00%
08G	2787	1669	1665	669	40.18%	977	58.68%	15	0.90%	4	0.24%
08H	2465	1874	1864	993	53.27%	847	45.44%	22	1.18%	2	0.11%
08J	2981	2223	2219	955	43.04%	1224	55.16%	38	1.71%	2	0.09%
08K	973	702	699	262	37.48%	417	59.66%	20	2.86%	0	0.00%
08L	4194	2706	2701	765	28.32%	1882	69.68%	48	1.78%	6	0.22%
08M	1907	1358	1354	786	58.05%	552	40.77%	14	1.03%	2	0.15%
08N1	767	587	586	349	59.56%	224	38.23%	12	2.05%	1	0.17%
08N2	1391	927	921	399	43.32%	510	55.37%	12	1.30%	0	0.00%
08P	1633	928	926	147	15.87%	768	82.94%	9	0.97%	2	0.22%
09A	3338	2152	2150	448	20.84%	1664	77.40%	37	1.72%	1	0.05%
09B	385	174	174	2	1.15%	172	98.85%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
09C	2954	1522	1518	26	1.71%	1489	98.09%	3	0.20%	0	0.00%
09D	1879	858	857	16	1.87%	840	98.02%	1	0.12%	0	0.00%
09E	2782	1420	1416	67	4.73%	1340	94.63%	9	0.64%	0	0.00%
09F	3619	2526	2522	1012	40.13%	1469	58.25%	39	1.55%	2	0.08%
09G	3519	2282	2280	428	18.77%	1809	79.34%	43	1.89%	0	0.00%
09H	1064	645	644	56	8.70%	576	89.44%	11	1.71%	1	0.16%
09I	1194	503	500	12	2.40%	486	97.20%	1	0.20%	1	0.20%
09K	4403	1851	1848	45	2.44%	1800	97.40%	2	0.11%	1	0.05%
09M	2259	1611	1610	298	18.51%	1284	79.75%	25	1.55%	3	0.19%
10A	2850	1622	1620	25	1.54%	1592	98.27%	3	0.19%	0	0.00%
10B	3686	2464	2455	32	1.30%	2414	98.33%	8	0.33%	1	0.04%
10C	2466	1168	1164	10	0.86%	1149	98.71%	4	0.34%	1	0.09%
10D	4220	1850	1839	19	1.03%	1816	98.75%	3	0.16%	1	0.05%
10E	950	524	523	13	2.49%	509	97.32%	1	0.19%	0	0.00%
10F	1053	590	588	14	2.38%	574	97.62%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
10G	1205	813	811	11	1.36%	793	97.78%	6	0.74%	1	0.12%
10H1	408	238	238	1	0.42%	237	99.58%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
10H2	2207	1032	1030	14	1.36%	1014	98.45%	2	0.19%	0	0.00%
10I	329	258	258	5	1.94%	253	98.06%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
10J	1012	466	465	2	0.43%	458	98.49%	5	1.08%	0	0.00%
10K	1415	858	856	13	1.52%	832	97.20%	7	0.82%	4	0.47%
10M	2529	1414	1408	24	1.70%	1377	97.80%	7	0.50%	0	0.00%
10P	1364	668	667	12	1.80%	653	97.90%	2	0.30%	0	0.00%
10R	713	347	347	3	0.86%	344	99.14%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
11B	5162	3088	3083	50	1.62%	3023	98.05%	6	0.19%	4	0.13%
11C	2822	2003	2002	22	1.10%	1977	98.75%	2	0.10%	1	0.05%
11E1	1141	634	634	13	2.05%	617	97.32%	2	0.32%	2	0.32%
11E2	847	497	495	10	2.02%	485	97.98%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
11E3	3124	1835	1835	27	1.47%	1803	98.26%	3	0.16%	2	0.11%
11G	2092	855	850	16	1.88%	832	97.88%	2	0.24%	0	0.00%
11H	677	356	355	12	3.38%	343	96.62%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
11J	1827	1018	1014	21	2.07%	990	97.63%	2	0.20%	1	0.10%
11K	1818	1104	1103	23	2.09%	1074	97.37%	5	0.45%	1	0.09%
11M	3039	1659	1657	23	1.39%	1629	98.31%	2	0.12%	3	0.18%
11N	2166	1358	1355	25	1.85%	1326	97.86%	2	0.15%	2	0.15%
11P	1833	1020	1018	12	1.18%	1002	98.43%	4	0.39%	0	0.00%
11R	1849	672	671	8	1.19%	661	98.51%	2	0.30%	0	0.00%
12A	4828	2734	2731	101	3.70%	2614	95.72%	16	0.59%	0	0.00%
12D	1209	501	497	8	1.61%	489	98.39%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
12E1	1621	591	590	20	3.39%	569	96.44%	1	0.17%	0	0.00%
12F	943	428	427	8	1.87%	417	97.66%	2	0.47%	0	0.00%
12G	800	430	427	15	3.51%	412	96.49%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
12H1	1006	310	309	14	4.53%	295	95.47%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
12H2	2562	1189	1187	18	1.52%	1166	98.23%	1	0.08%	2	0.17%
12I	970	413	412	4	0.97%	408	99.03%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
12J	2535	1108	1108	14	1.26%	1091	98.47%	2	0.18%	1	0.09%
12K	3022	1240	1235	44	3.56%	1188	96.19%	3	0.24%	0	0.00%
12L	613	238	238	2	0.84%	236	99.16%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
12M	2533	1171	1168	16	1.37%	1149	98.37%	3	0.26%	0	0.00%
12N	907	327	324	8	2.47%	316	97.53%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
12S	1794	959	957	18	1.88%	936	97.81%	3	0.31%	0	0.00%
AP01A	4724	3141	3139	1572	50.08%	1528	48.68%	37	1.18%	2	0.06%
AP01B	913	516	515	234	45.44%	271	52.62%	10	1.94%	0	0.00%
AP01C	2233	1498	1495	779	52.11%	703	47.02%	12	0.80%	1	0.07%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	GOVERNOR											
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	B. KEMP (R)		S. ABRAMS (D)		T. METZ (L)		Write-In Votes	
AP01D	915	539	539	213	39.52%	315	58.44%	11	2.04%	0	0.00%
AP02B	474	263	263	125	47.53%	136	51.71%	2	0.76%	0	0.00%
AP03	741	314	314	69	21.97%	238	75.80%	6	1.91%	1	0.32%
AP04A	1647	1183	1181	691	58.51%	460	38.95%	28	2.37%	2	0.17%
AP04B	415	290	290	153	52.76%	132	45.52%	5	1.72%	0	0.00%
AP05	4367	2981	2977	1676	56.30%	1268	42.59%	32	1.07%	1	0.03%
AP06	1827	1309	1305	762	58.39%	514	39.39%	27	2.07%	2	0.15%
AP07A	3422	2102	2099	1066	50.79%	992	47.26%	36	1.72%	5	0.24%
AP07B	1650	1144	1141	594	52.06%	533	46.71%	12	1.05%	2	0.18%
AP09A	4051	2687	2683	1275	47.52%	1366	50.91%	39	1.45%	3	0.11%
AP09B	810	531	531	208	39.17%	321	60.45%	2	0.38%	0	0.00%
AP021	2994	1963	1956	995	50.87%	932	47.65%	27	1.38%	2	0.10%
AP022	2210	1429	1418	656	46.26%	747	52.68%	14	0.99%	1	0.07%
AP10	942	681	680	387	56.91%	277	40.74%	16	2.35%	0	0.00%
AP12A	658	374	374	126	33.69%	243	64.97%	5	1.34%	0	0.00%
AP12B	747	428	427	137	32.08%	287	67.21%	3	0.70%	0	0.00%
AP12C	1584	1005	1001	539	53.85%	459	45.85%	3	0.30%	0	0.00%
AP14	2972	2027	2023	1004	49.63%	986	48.74%	32	1.58%	1	0.05%
CH01	330	164	164	42	25.61%	122	74.39%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CH02	319	202	202	121	59.90%	79	39.11%	2	0.99%	0	0.00%
CH03	379	250	250	162	64.80%	87	34.80%	1	0.40%	0	0.00%
CH04A	647	444	444	224	50.45%	217	48.87%	3	0.68%	0	0.00%
CH05	407	260	260	188	72.31%	68	26.15%	4	1.54%	0	0.00%
CP01B	381	227	227	71	31.28%	149	65.64%	6	2.64%	1	0.44%
CP011	1135	617	617	121	19.61%	487	78.93%	9	1.46%	0	0.00%
CP012	1318	844	844	141	16.71%	689	81.64%	14	1.66%	0	0.00%
CP02	1000	553	550	68	12.36%	477	86.73%	5	0.91%	0	0.00%
CP04A	8	2	1	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP04B	39	14	14	1	7.14%	13	92.86%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP05B	143	76	76	1	1.32%	75	98.68%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP051	1612	874	872	27	3.10%	843	96.67%	1	0.11%	1	0.11%
CP06A	598	208	207	3	1.45%	203	98.07%	1	0.48%	0	0.00%
CP07B	7	2	2	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP07C	244	47	47	1	2.13%	46	97.87%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP07D	694	208	207	3	1.45%	204	98.55%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP07E	125	41	41	0	0.00%	41	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP07F	184	55	54	0	0.00%	54	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP081	343	77	77	4	5.19%	72	93.51%	1	1.30%	0	0.00%
CP083	219	25	25	0	0.00%	25	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP084	3	1	1	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP08A	705	175	174	2	1.15%	172	98.85%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
EP01A	2609	1464	1462	136	9.30%	1317	90.08%	8	0.55%	1	0.07%
EP01B	3038	1539	1536	92	5.99%	1436	93.49%	8	0.52%	0	0.00%
EP02A	1967	890	886	38	4.29%	845	95.37%	3	0.34%	0	0.00%
EP02B	1449	977	974	122	12.53%	845	86.76%	6	0.62%	1	0.10%
EP02C	428	251	251	45	17.93%	203	80.88%	3	1.20%	0	0.00%
EP02D	326	192	191	5	2.62%	186	97.38%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
EP02E	1382	627	624	25	4.01%	598	95.83%	1	0.16%	0	0.00%
EP03A	4293	2512	2508	105	4.19%	2392	95.37%	8	0.32%	3	0.12%
EP03B	1421	973	970	36	3.71%	932	96.08%	2	0.21%	0	0.00%
EP04A	5432	2626	2622	43	1.64%	2570	98.02%	5	0.19%	4	0.15%
EP04B	2024	1203	1202	13	1.08%	1187	98.75%	2	0.17%	0	0.00%
FA01A	3574	2126	2123	207	9.75%	1906	89.78%	10	0.47%	0	0.00%
FA01B	4833	2965	2960	287	9.70%	2663	89.97%	9	0.30%	1	0.03%
FA01C	681	401	399	16	4.01%	383	95.99%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
HP01	3212	1780	1775	462	26.03%	1293	72.85%	19	1.07%	1	0.06%
JC01	4977	3215	3209	1227	38.24%	1944	60.58%	37	1.15%	1	0.03%
JC02	2460	1474	1467	646	44.04%	808	55.08%	13	0.89%	0	0.00%
JC03A	540	384	384	169	44.01%	207	53.91%	8	2.08%	0	0.00%
JC03B	1448	955	950	355	37.37%	584	61.47%	11	1.16%	0	0.00%
JC04A	4042	2692	2686	1182	44.01%	1448	53.91%	54	2.01%	2	0.07%
JC04B	255	156	155	65	41.94%	84	54.19%	6	3.87%	0	0.00%
JC05	2136	1391	1389	592	42.62%	763	54.93%	30	2.16%	4	0.29%
JC06	2627	1580	1575	857	54.41%	699	44.38%	19	1.21%	0	0.00%
JC07	4083	2627	2617	1139	43.52%	1448	55.33%	29	1.11%	1	0.04%
JC08	2737	1870	1866	865	46.36%	955	51.18%	45	2.41%	1	0.05%
JC09	2928	1878	1877	739	39.37%	1112	59.24%	26	1.39%	0	0.00%
JC10	2392	1507	1503	565	37.59%	916	60.94%	22	1.46%	0	0.00%
JC11	3186	2085	2079	1139	54.79%	921	44.30%	19	0.91%	0	0.00%
JC12	2455	1753	1748	1143	65.39%	589	33.70%	14	0.80%	2	0.11%
JC13A	2115	1462	1456	950	65.25%	491	33.72%	13	0.89%	2	0.14%
JC13B	271	180	179	135	75.42%	40	22.35%	4	2.23%	0	0.00%
JC14	2477	1634	1627	910	55.93%	704	43.27%	12	0.74%	1	0.06%
JC15	834	523	520	193	37.12%	309	59.42%	18	3.46%	0	0.00%
JC16	2496	1729	1725	828	48.00%	867	50.26%	29	1.68%	1	0.06%
JC18	2670	1969	1966	1073	54.58%	866	44.05%	25	1.27%	2	0.10%
JC19	3759	2528	2524	1190	47.15%	1277	50.59%	55	2.18%	2	0.08%
ML011	2439	1681	1677	982	58.56%	670	39.95%	24	1.43%	1	0.06%
ML012	485	338	338	227	67.16%	107	31.66%	4	1.18%	0	0.00%
ML01B	138	96	96	57	59.38%	38	39.58%	1	1.04%	0	0.00%
ML021	1991	1369	1365	1003	73.48%	350	25.64%	11	0.81%	1	0.07%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	GOVERNOR											
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	B. KEMP (R)		S. ABRAMS (D)		T. METZ (L)		Write-In Votes	
ML022	241	148	148	106	71.62%	37	25.00%	5	3.38%	0	0.00%
ML023	1542	1027	1027	725	70.59%	295	28.72%	7	0.68%	0	0.00%
ML024	113	70	70	59	84.29%	11	15.71%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
ML03	3757	2575	2571	1751	68.11%	788	30.65%	31	1.21%	1	0.04%
ML04A	1747	1176	1174	676	57.58%	483	41.14%	15	1.28%	0	0.00%
ML04B	1206	838	838	576	68.74%	255	30.43%	7	0.84%	0	0.00%
ML04C	79	66	66	47	71.21%	19	28.79%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
ML05A	39	27	27	19	70.37%	8	29.63%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
ML05B	381	250	248	166	66.94%	81	32.66%	1	0.40%	0	0.00%
ML05C	4023	2615	2608	1322	50.69%	1259	48.27%	23	0.88%	4	0.15%
ML06A	1973	1024	1023	341	33.33%	671	65.59%	11	1.08%	0	0.00%
ML06B	2775	1734	1730	606	35.03%	1099	63.53%	24	1.39%	1	0.06%
ML071	105	73	73	50	68.49%	23	31.51%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
ML072	1249	857	857	590	68.84%	260	30.34%	7	0.82%	0	0.00%
ML07A	707	472	471	312	66.24%	155	32.91%	4	0.85%	0	0.00%
MP01	450	323	323	145	44.89%	172	53.25%	6	1.86%	0	0.00%
PA01	2509	1330	1328	304	22.89%	1017	76.58%	5	0.38%	2	0.15%
RW01	4717	3355	3341	1923	57.56%	1365	40.86%	50	1.50%	3	0.09%
RW02	3794	2675	2668	1346	50.45%	1274	47.75%	47	1.76%	1	0.04%
RW03	4510	2745	2740	1152	42.04%	1544	56.35%	42	1.53%	2	0.07%
RW04	1803	1359	1353	650	48.04%	667	49.30%	31	2.29%	5	0.37%
RW05	2322	1207	1204	401	33.31%	789	65.53%	13	1.08%	1	0.08%
RW06	2820	1914	1910	884	46.28%	994	52.04%	31	1.62%	1	0.05%
RW07A	1549	677	676	87	12.87%	577	85.36%	12	1.78%	0	0.00%
RW07B	388	214	212	52	24.53%	156	73.58%	4	1.89%	0	0.00%
RW08	2138	1462	1449	891	61.49%	529	36.51%	28	1.93%	1	0.07%
RW09	5918	4216	4206	2659	63.22%	1486	35.33%	60	1.43%	1	0.02%
RW10	3347	2376	2371	1353	57.06%	979	41.29%	38	1.60%	1	0.04%
RW11A	2667	1693	1689	755	44.70%	911	53.94%	23	1.36%	0	0.00%
RW12	5944	4234	4221	2449	58.02%	1692	40.09%	77	1.82%	3	0.07%
RW13	3555	2476	2467	1232	49.94%	1187	48.12%	46	1.86%	2	0.08%
RW16	1850	1275	1275	701	54.98%	556	43.61%	17	1.33%	1	0.08%
RW17	2794	1773	1765	642	36.37%	1079	61.13%	44	2.49%	0	0.00%
RW19	1993	1434	1431	847	59.19%	556	38.85%	28	1.96%	0	0.00%
RW20	2486	1797	1792	943	52.62%	815	45.48%	34	1.90%	0	0.00%
RW21	3144	2169	2165	1285	59.35%	839	38.75%	40	1.85%	1	0.05%
RW22A	4406	2486	2481	900	36.28%	1545	62.27%	35	1.41%	1	0.04%
SC01A	20	25	25	3	12.00%	22	88.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC01B	1707	1295	1295	23	1.78%	1269	97.99%	3	0.23%	0	0.00%
SC01C	2063	1513	1513	35	2.31%	1475	97.49%	1	0.07%	2	0.13%
SC01D	294	162	162	0	0.00%	162	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC02	872	662	661	27	4.08%	633	95.76%	1	0.15%	0	0.00%
SC04	922	616	616	195	31.66%	418	67.86%	3	0.49%	0	0.00%
SC05A	646	409	409	4	0.98%	405	99.02%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC05B	3204	2188	2185	56	2.56%	2123	97.16%	5	0.23%	1	0.05%
SC05D	2171	1428	1427	36	2.52%	1384	96.99%	6	0.42%	1	0.07%
SC05E	623	434	432	67	15.51%	364	84.26%	1	0.23%	0	0.00%
SC07A	5595	3698	3696	284	7.68%	3403	92.07%	8	0.22%	1	0.03%
SC07C	29	10	10	0	0.00%	10	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC08B	3382	2008	2006	48	2.39%	1949	97.16%	9	0.45%	0	0.00%
SC08C	74	53	53	0	0.00%	53	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC08D	499	314	314	4	1.27%	310	98.73%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC08E	562	316	314	2	0.64%	312	99.36%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC08F	700	366	365	10	2.74%	355	97.26%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC08G	12	8	8	0	0.00%	8	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC08H	4	3	3	0	0.00%	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC09A	1628	839	837	21	2.51%	814	97.25%	1	0.12%	1	0.12%
SC09B	1687	1084	1083	17	1.57%	1064	98.25%	2	0.18%	0	0.00%
SC09C	1566	842	841	20	2.38%	820	97.50%	1	0.12%	0	0.00%
SC10	1678	661	661	10	1.51%	648	98.03%	3	0.45%	0	0.00%
SC11A	1411	813	812	16	1.97%	795	97.91%	1	0.12%	0	0.00%
SC11B	1506	878	876	18	2.05%	856	97.72%	2	0.23%	0	0.00%
SC13	2465	1636	1634	71	4.35%	1561	95.53%	2	0.12%	0	0.00%
SC14A	916	429	429	2	0.47%	427	99.53%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC14B	502	45	45	7	15.56%	38	84.44%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC15	6193	4213	4209	117	2.78%	4085	97.05%	7	0.17%	0	0.00%
SC16A	4601	3208	3205	58	1.81%	3136	97.85%	7	0.22%	4	0.12%
SC16B	14	15	15	0	0.00%	15	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC17A	55	42	42	0	0.00%	41	97.62%	1	2.38%	0	0.00%
SC17B	7	4	4	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC17C	1134	669	668	17	2.54%	651	97.46%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC18A	510	329	328	5	1.52%	323	98.48%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC18B	663	462	461	13	2.82%	447	96.96%	1	0.22%	0	0.00%
SC18C	1850	1352	1349	46	3.41%	1301	96.44%	2	0.15%	0	0.00%
SC19A	195	129	128	3	2.34%	125	97.66%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC19B	1267	690	689	21	3.05%	664	96.37%	2	0.29%	2	0.29%
SC20	2197	1665	1665	26	1.56%	1631	97.96%	7	0.42%	1	0.06%
SC211	1328	841	839	27	3.22%	810	96.54%	2	0.24%	0	0.00%
SC212	3378	1947	1943	80	4.12%	1859	95.68%	4	0.21%	0	0.00%
SC23A	3161	1730	1727	26	1.51%	1700	98.44%	1	0.06%	0	0.00%
SC23B	483	284	283	7	2.47%	276	97.53%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	GOVERNOR											
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	B. KEMP (R)		S. ABRAMS (D)		T. METZ (L)		Write-In Votes	
SC23C	2252	1302	1300	25	1.92%	1271	97.77%	4	0.31%	0	0.00%
SC27	2129	1259	1255	22	1.75%	1232	98.17%	1	0.08%	0	0.00%
SC29A	2784	1544	1541	31	2.01%	1508	97.86%	1	0.06%	1	0.06%
SC30A	135	97	96	1	1.04%	95	98.96%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC30B	960	531	530	10	1.89%	519	97.92%	1	0.19%	0	0.00%
SS01	4487	3270	3253	1893	58.19%	1320	40.58%	38	1.17%	2	0.06%
SS02A	1120	755	754	303	40.19%	438	58.09%	12	1.59%	1	0.13%
SS02B	1255	756	756	174	23.02%	571	75.53%	10	1.32%	1	0.13%
SS03	1464	1027	1025	432	42.15%	577	56.29%	13	1.27%	3	0.29%
SS04	3264	2064	2058	684	33.24%	1346	65.40%	26	1.26%	2	0.10%
SS05	1746	1376	1372	663	48.32%	685	49.93%	20	1.46%	4	0.29%
SS06	1397	1073	1070	539	50.37%	518	48.41%	13	1.21%	0	0.00%
SS07A	968	683	679	359	52.87%	312	45.95%	8	1.18%	0	0.00%
SS07B	609	405	403	159	39.45%	239	59.31%	5	1.24%	0	0.00%
SS07C	755	436	435	211	48.51%	218	50.11%	6	1.38%	0	0.00%
SS08A	1316	758	754	219	29.05%	528	70.03%	7	0.93%	0	0.00%
SS08B	635	453	453	225	49.67%	222	49.01%	6	1.32%	0	0.00%
SS08C	632	393	391	118	30.18%	269	68.80%	4	1.02%	0	0.00%
SS08D	1368	847	846	276	32.62%	562	66.43%	8	0.95%	0	0.00%
SS09A	3003	2210	2208	1204	54.53%	974	44.11%	26	1.18%	4	0.18%
SS09B	3358	2452	2445	1287	52.64%	1123	45.93%	35	1.43%	0	0.00%
SS11A	3655	2227	2218	850	38.32%	1324	59.69%	40	1.80%	4	0.18%
SS11B	1257	707	704	187	26.56%	504	71.59%	13	1.85%	0	0.00%
SS11C	269	146	145	23	15.86%	122	84.14%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SS11D	365	254	253	141	55.73%	107	42.29%	3	1.19%	2	0.79%
SS12	2559	1732	1727	855	49.51%	853	49.39%	19	1.10%	0	0.00%
SS13A	1633	1072	1069	486	45.46%	561	52.48%	22	2.06%	0	0.00%
SS13B	1001	629	628	288	45.86%	337	53.66%	3	0.48%	0	0.00%
SS14	1274	977	977	586	59.98%	372	38.08%	18	1.84%	1	0.10%
SS15A	2572	1199	1197	155	12.95%	1031	86.13%	10	0.84%	1	0.08%
SS15B	1579	902	902	266	29.49%	624	69.18%	12	1.33%	0	0.00%
SS16	2030	1534	1529	819	53.56%	681	44.54%	25	1.64%	4	0.26%
SS17	3397	2229	2226	1109	49.82%	1085	48.74%	28	1.26%	4	0.18%
SS18A	619	454	453	220	48.57%	228	50.33%	4	0.88%	1	0.22%
SS18B	221	162	161	76	47.20%	81	50.31%	3	1.86%	1	0.62%
SS19A	3016	1683	1680	383	22.80%	1274	75.83%	22	1.31%	1	0.06%
SS19B	2032	1226	1226	360	29.36%	853	69.58%	11	0.90%	2	0.16%
SS20	2060	1159	1158	375	32.38%	765	66.06%	16	1.38%	2	0.17%
SS22	2714	1613	1608	648	40.30%	939	58.40%	21	1.31%	0	0.00%
SS26	3552	1822	1818	305	16.78%	1495	82.23%	17	0.94%	1	0.06%
SS29A	2488	1595	1592	632	39.70%	937	58.86%	23	1.44%	0	0.00%
SS31	1345	938	935	388	41.50%	534	57.11%	12	1.28%	1	0.11%
UC01A	3622	1715	1714	58	3.38%	1653	96.44%	3	0.18%	0	0.00%
UC01B	395	210	210	4	1.90%	206	98.10%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
UC01D	6	2	2	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
UC01E	285	206	206	4	1.94%	202	98.06%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
UC02A	7513	4296	4289	115	2.68%	4162	97.04%	10	0.23%	2	0.05%
UC02B	30	19	19	5	26.32%	14	73.68%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
UC031	2399	1203	1201	207	17.24%	991	82.51%	2	0.17%	1	0.08%
UC032	292	186	186	28	15.05%	156	83.87%	2	1.08%	0	0.00%
Total	703177	424992	424088	112991	26.64%	306590	72.29%	4208	0.99%	299	0.07%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	LT GOVERNOR								
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	G. DUNCAN (R)		S. R. AMICO (D)		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide									
01A	3199	2362	2299	221	9.61%	2074	90.21%	4	0.17%
01B	3839	2737	2671	252	9.43%	2418	90.53%	1	0.04%
01C	1459	612	578	17	2.94%	561	97.06%	0	0.00%
01D	511	307	301	14	4.65%	287	95.35%	0	0.00%
01E	3363	2410	2341	289	12.35%	2047	87.44%	5	0.21%
01F	1254	452	419	13	3.10%	405	96.66%	1	0.24%
01G	1909	1102	1053	68	6.46%	983	93.35%	2	0.19%
01H	758	379	352	16	4.55%	335	95.17%	1	0.28%
01J	2416	1184	1100	46	4.18%	1052	95.64%	2	0.18%
01P	1244	542	496	15	3.02%	481	96.98%	0	0.00%
01R	1344	491	436	15	3.44%	420	96.33%	1	0.23%
01S	1749	823	763	31	4.06%	731	95.81%	1	0.13%
01T	1506	796	761	58	7.62%	703	92.38%	0	0.00%
02A	4584	2949	2898	599	20.67%	2295	79.19%	4	0.14%
02B	3518	1265	1224	145	11.85%	1077	87.99%	2	0.16%
02C	2037	1205	1157	104	8.99%	1052	90.92%	1	0.09%
02D	4475	2660	2569	275	10.70%	2293	89.26%	1	0.04%
02E	1905	1423	1393	127	9.12%	1265	90.81%	1	0.07%
02F1	2962	2033	1983	261	13.16%	1721	86.79%	1	0.05%
02F2	788	458	445	52	11.69%	392	88.09%	1	0.22%
02G	3443	2361	2309	299	12.95%	2005	86.83%	5	0.22%
02J	2159	1432	1397	170	12.17%	1224	87.62%	3	0.21%
02K	1419	951	924	121	13.10%	802	86.80%	1	0.11%
02L1	6213	3978	3894	649	16.67%	3242	83.26%	3	0.08%
02L2	1364	782	764	126	16.49%	637	83.38%	1	0.13%
02S	708	427	417	28	6.71%	389	93.29%	0	0.00%
02W	682	399	388	59	15.21%	329	84.79%	0	0.00%
03A	1927	692	623	16	2.57%	605	97.11%	2	0.32%
03B	560	181	167	8	4.79%	158	94.61%	1	0.60%
03C	1534	674	625	12	1.92%	611	97.76%	2	0.32%
03D	1017	402	376	18	4.79%	358	95.21%	0	0.00%
03E	2284	914	854	30	3.51%	823	96.37%	1	0.12%
03F	1925	1115	1075	166	15.44%	909	84.56%	0	0.00%
03G	802	360	339	9	2.65%	329	97.05%	1	0.29%
03H	900	369	334	10	2.99%	323	96.71%	1	0.30%
03I	1360	566	552	86	15.58%	464	84.06%	2	0.36%
03L	594	229	208	9	4.33%	199	95.67%	0	0.00%
03M	766	452	436	103	23.62%	333	76.38%	0	0.00%
03N	2288	938	867	37	4.27%	828	95.50%	2	0.23%
03P1A	3882	1966	1918	237	12.36%	1680	87.59%	1	0.05%
03P2	13	7	7	0	0.00%	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
03S	761	356	327	9	2.75%	318	97.25%	0	0.00%
03T	1505	715	673	30	4.46%	643	95.54%	0	0.00%
04A	1202	560	522	8	1.53%	512	98.08%	2	0.38%
04B	2242	922	874	17	1.95%	857	98.05%	0	0.00%
04C	136	54	51	1	1.96%	50	98.04%	0	0.00%
04D	1481	714	661	23	3.48%	638	96.52%	0	0.00%
04F	2086	935	892	70	7.85%	820	91.93%	2	0.22%
04G	828	312	282	10	3.55%	272	96.45%	0	0.00%
04I	3362	561	528	41	7.77%	485	91.86%	2	0.38%
04J	1230	317	309	7	2.27%	302	97.73%	0	0.00%
04K	2339	1266	1166	50	4.29%	1112	95.37%	4	0.34%
04L	1655	867	822	33	4.01%	784	95.38%	5	0.61%
04M	773	292	271	7	2.58%	264	97.42%	0	0.00%
04S	2165	1118	1034	34	3.29%	1000	96.71%	0	0.00%
04T	1145	584	537	12	2.23%	524	97.58%	1	0.19%
04V	2848	1211	1115	18	1.61%	1096	98.30%	1	0.09%
04W	2203	820	799	5	0.63%	790	98.87%	4	0.50%
04X1	1942	950	888	39	4.39%	848	95.50%	1	0.11%
04X2	737	364	335	16	4.78%	319	95.22%	0	0.00%
05A1	2491	1728	1677	160	9.54%	1517	90.46%	0	0.00%
05A2	2910	1998	1953	175	8.96%	1775	90.89%	3	0.15%
05B	1763	901	819	34	4.15%	783	95.60%	2	0.24%
05C	146	67	63	4	6.35%	59	93.65%	0	0.00%
05D	386	93	89	4	4.49%	85	95.51%	0	0.00%
05F	223	136	135	8	5.93%	127	94.07%	0	0.00%
05J	639	416	404	40	9.90%	364	90.10%	0	0.00%
05K	2600	1327	1291	80	6.20%	1211	93.80%	0	0.00%
06B	1756	1238	1219	237	19.44%	981	80.48%	1	0.08%
06D	8568	6060	5937	1253	21.10%	4679	78.81%	5	0.08%
06E	2250	1439	1413	291	20.59%	1121	79.33%	1	0.07%
06F	2709	1757	1705	297	17.42%	1407	82.52%	1	0.06%
06G	536	369	361	59	16.34%	302	83.66%	0	0.00%
06I	3412	1957	1904	262	13.76%	1640	86.13%	2	0.11%
06J	2539	1904	1855	384	20.70%	1471	79.30%	0	0.00%
06L1	917	679	663	172	25.94%	490	73.91%	1	0.15%
06L2	654	487	477	152	31.87%	324	67.92%	1	0.21%
06N	1844	1085	1058	385	36.39%	673	63.61%	0	0.00%
06Q	527	295	289	25	8.65%	264	91.35%	0	0.00%
06R	1836	1206	1179	252	21.37%	926	78.54%	1	0.08%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	LT GOVERNOR								
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	G. DUNCAN (R)		S. R. AMICO (D)		Write-In Votes	
07A	6600	4093	3978	1792	45.05%	2180	54.80%	6	0.15%
07B	2763	2107	2062	1304	63.24%	755	36.61%	3	0.15%
07C	3927	2498	2423	1122	46.31%	1298	53.57%	3	0.12%
07D	4231	2413	2325	978	42.06%	1344	57.81%	3	0.13%
07E	2031	1358	1325	446	33.66%	877	66.19%	2	0.15%
07F	3017	2041	1999	871	43.57%	1127	56.38%	1	0.05%
07H	1923	1424	1379	641	46.48%	736	53.37%	2	0.15%
07J	2647	1753	1700	630	37.06%	1067	62.76%	3	0.18%
07M	2155	1180	1151	399	34.67%	751	65.25%	1	0.09%
07N	1946	1044	997	173	17.35%	824	82.65%	0	0.00%
08A	2799	1949	1895	996	52.56%	898	47.39%	1	0.05%
08B	3199	2255	2200	1284	58.36%	916	41.64%	0	0.00%
08C	1392	984	958	665	69.42%	293	30.58%	0	0.00%
08D	1022	770	745	500	67.11%	244	32.75%	1	0.13%
08E	2429	1864	1821	1096	60.19%	724	39.76%	1	0.05%
08F1	2071	1628	1580	1027	65.00%	553	35.00%	0	0.00%
08G	2787	1669	1613	683	42.34%	929	57.59%	1	0.06%
08H	2465	1874	1817	1036	57.02%	778	42.82%	3	0.17%
08J	2981	2223	2179	1043	47.87%	1133	52.00%	3	0.14%
08K	973	702	686	301	43.88%	385	56.12%	0	0.00%
08L	4194	2706	2646	827	31.25%	1815	68.59%	4	0.15%
08M	1907	1358	1318	830	62.97%	488	37.03%	0	0.00%
08N1	767	587	572	364	63.64%	208	36.36%	0	0.00%
08N2	1391	927	892	420	47.09%	471	52.80%	1	0.11%
08P	1633	928	904	167	18.47%	736	81.42%	1	0.11%
09A	3338	2152	2055	497	24.18%	1555	75.67%	3	0.15%
09B	385	174	155	4	2.58%	151	97.42%	0	0.00%
09C	2954	1522	1392	40	2.87%	1352	97.13%	0	0.00%
09D	1879	858	771	25	3.24%	746	96.76%	0	0.00%
09E	2782	1420	1342	94	7.00%	1247	92.92%	1	0.07%
09F	3619	2526	2467	1069	43.33%	1398	56.67%	0	0.00%
09G	3519	2282	2227	501	22.50%	1723	77.37%	3	0.13%
09H	1064	645	629	69	10.97%	559	88.87%	1	0.16%
09I	1194	503	456	15	3.29%	440	96.49%	1	0.22%
09K	4403	1851	1711	64	3.74%	1645	96.14%	2	0.12%
09M	2259	1611	1569	346	22.05%	1222	77.88%	1	0.06%
10A	2850	1622	1492	41	2.75%	1449	97.12%	2	0.13%
10B	3686	2464	2344	59	2.52%	2282	97.35%	3	0.13%
10C	2466	1168	1089	25	2.30%	1061	97.43%	3	0.28%
10D	4220	1850	1670	47	2.81%	1621	97.07%	2	0.12%
10E	950	524	479	19	3.97%	460	96.03%	0	0.00%
10F	1053	590	544	15	2.76%	528	97.06%	1	0.18%
10G	1205	813	760	20	2.63%	737	96.97%	3	0.39%
10H1	408	238	225	2	0.89%	223	99.11%	0	0.00%
10H2	2207	1032	938	26	2.77%	911	97.12%	1	0.11%
10I	329	258	253	5	1.98%	248	98.02%	0	0.00%
10J	1012	466	431	5	1.16%	426	98.84%	0	0.00%
10K	1415	858	808	27	3.34%	781	96.66%	0	0.00%
10M	2529	1414	1321	44	3.33%	1276	96.59%	1	0.08%
10P	1364	668	617	23	3.73%	594	96.27%	0	0.00%
10R	713	347	317	6	1.89%	311	98.11%	0	0.00%
11B	5162	3088	2881	77	2.67%	2799	97.15%	5	0.17%
11C	2822	2003	1909	30	1.57%	1877	98.32%	2	0.10%
11E1	1141	634	603	20	3.32%	582	96.52%	1	0.17%
11E2	847	497	464	10	2.16%	454	97.84%	0	0.00%
11E3	3124	1835	1753	42	2.40%	1710	97.55%	1	0.06%
11G	2092	855	771	32	4.15%	738	95.72%	1	0.13%
11H	677	356	335	17	5.07%	318	94.93%	0	0.00%
11J	1827	1018	946	27	2.85%	917	96.93%	2	0.21%
11K	1818	1104	1035	33	3.19%	1001	96.71%	1	0.10%
11M	3039	1659	1570	30	1.91%	1539	98.03%	1	0.06%
11N	2166	1358	1276	31	2.43%	1244	97.49%	1	0.08%
11P	1833	1020	937	18	1.92%	919	98.08%	0	0.00%
11R	1849	672	612	19	3.10%	592	96.73%	1	0.16%
12A	4828	2734	2557	140	5.48%	2414	94.41%	3	0.12%
12D	1209	501	461	12	2.60%	449	97.40%	0	0.00%
12E1	1621	591	536	25	4.66%	510	95.15%	1	0.19%
12F	943	428	395	9	2.28%	385	97.47%	1	0.25%
12G	800	430	383	17	4.44%	366	95.56%	0	0.00%
12H1	1006	310	281	19	6.76%	261	92.88%	1	0.36%
12H2	2562	1189	1107	29	2.62%	1076	97.20%	2	0.18%
12I	970	413	360	7	1.94%	353	98.06%	0	0.00%
12J	2535	1108	1005	18	1.79%	983	97.81%	4	0.40%
12K	3022	1240	1140	55	4.82%	1084	95.09%	1	0.09%
12L	613	238	225	5	2.22%	220	97.78%	0	0.00%
12M	2533	1171	1102	21	1.91%	1079	97.91%	2	0.18%
12N	907	327	309	14	4.53%	294	95.15%	1	0.32%
12S	1794	959	875	26	2.97%	847	96.80%	2	0.23%
AP01A	4724	3141	3064	1614	52.68%	1450	47.32%	0	0.00%
AP01B	913	516	500	232	46.40%	267	53.40%	1	0.20%
AP01C	2233	1498	1460	779	53.36%	680	46.58%	1	0.07%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	LT GOVERNOR								
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	G. DUNCAN (R)		S. R. AMICO (D)		Write-In Votes	
AP01D	915	539	523	221	42.26%	302	57.74%	0	0.00%
AP02B	474	263	253	123	48.62%	130	51.38%	0	0.00%
AP03	741	314	297	68	22.90%	229	77.10%	0	0.00%
AP04A	1647	1183	1138	698	61.34%	440	38.66%	0	0.00%
AP04B	415	290	286	156	54.55%	130	45.45%	0	0.00%
AP05	4367	2981	2900	1699	58.59%	1199	41.34%	2	0.07%
AP06	1827	1309	1273	795	62.45%	477	37.47%	1	0.08%
AP07A	3422	2102	2031	1076	52.98%	950	46.77%	5	0.25%
AP07B	1650	1144	1108	620	55.96%	485	43.77%	3	0.27%
AP09A	4051	2687	2599	1280	49.25%	1317	50.67%	2	0.08%
AP09B	810	531	519	211	40.66%	308	59.34%	0	0.00%
AP021	2994	1963	1905	1033	54.23%	869	45.62%	3	0.16%
AP022	2210	1429	1368	664	48.54%	703	51.39%	1	0.07%
AP10	942	681	661	405	61.27%	256	38.73%	0	0.00%
AP12A	658	374	355	127	35.77%	228	64.23%	0	0.00%
AP12B	747	428	418	137	32.78%	281	67.22%	0	0.00%
AP12C	1584	1005	989	538	54.40%	451	45.60%	0	0.00%
AP14	2972	2027	1973	1038	52.61%	933	47.29%	2	0.10%
CH01	330	164	158	41	25.95%	117	74.05%	0	0.00%
CH02	319	202	193	121	62.69%	71	36.79%	1	0.52%
CH03	379	250	240	153	63.75%	87	36.25%	0	0.00%
CH04A	647	444	431	226	52.44%	205	47.56%	0	0.00%
CH05	407	260	251	183	72.91%	68	27.09%	0	0.00%
CP01B	381	227	215	79	36.74%	136	63.26%	0	0.00%
CP011	1135	617	586	137	23.38%	449	76.62%	0	0.00%
CP012	1318	844	807	155	19.21%	652	80.79%	0	0.00%
CP02	1000	553	521	70	13.44%	451	86.56%	0	0.00%
CP04A	8	2	2	1	50.00%	1	50.00%	0	0.00%
CP04B	39	14	12	2	16.67%	10	83.33%	0	0.00%
CP05B	143	76	73	5	6.85%	68	93.15%	0	0.00%
CP051	1612	874	819	40	4.88%	778	94.99%	1	0.12%
CP06A	598	208	191	5	2.62%	185	96.86%	1	0.52%
CP07B	7	2	2	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP07C	244	47	43	1	2.33%	42	97.67%	0	0.00%
CP07D	694	208	187	3	1.60%	184	98.40%	0	0.00%
CP07E	125	41	38	1	2.63%	37	97.37%	0	0.00%
CP07F	184	55	46	1	2.17%	45	97.83%	0	0.00%
CP081	343	77	72	4	5.56%	68	94.44%	0	0.00%
CP083	219	25	23	0	0.00%	23	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP084	3	1	1	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP08A	705	175	160	7	4.38%	153	95.63%	0	0.00%
EP01A	2609	1464	1373	136	9.91%	1236	90.02%	1	0.07%
EP01B	3038	1539	1467	102	6.95%	1365	93.05%	0	0.00%
EP02A	1967	890	824	49	5.95%	774	93.93%	1	0.12%
EP02B	1449	977	939	125	13.31%	812	86.47%	2	0.21%
EP02C	428	251	242	45	18.60%	197	81.40%	0	0.00%
EP02D	326	192	186	10	5.38%	176	94.62%	0	0.00%
EP02E	1382	627	590	28	4.75%	562	95.25%	0	0.00%
EP03A	4293	2512	2387	117	4.90%	2267	94.97%	3	0.13%
EP03B	1421	973	918	47	5.12%	871	94.88%	0	0.00%
EP04A	5432	2626	2489	58	2.33%	2427	97.51%	4	0.16%
EP04B	2024	1203	1154	14	1.21%	1138	98.61%	2	0.17%
FA01A	3574	2126	2007	206	10.26%	1800	89.69%	1	0.05%
FA01B	4833	2965	2842	283	9.96%	2559	90.04%	0	0.00%
FA01C	681	401	385	18	4.68%	366	95.06%	1	0.26%
HP01	3212	1780	1678	446	26.58%	1230	73.30%	2	0.12%
JC01	4977	3215	3116	1256	40.31%	1858	59.63%	2	0.06%
JC02	2460	1474	1427	641	44.92%	786	55.08%	0	0.00%
JC03A	540	384	365	171	46.85%	194	53.15%	0	0.00%
JC03B	1448	955	919	366	39.83%	551	59.96%	2	0.22%
JC04A	4042	2692	2615	1235	47.23%	1376	52.62%	4	0.15%
JC04B	255	156	147	65	44.22%	82	55.78%	0	0.00%
JC05	2136	1391	1341	610	45.49%	731	54.51%	0	0.00%
JC06	2627	1580	1536	868	56.51%	667	43.42%	1	0.07%
JC07	4083	2627	2539	1160	45.69%	1379	54.31%	0	0.00%
JC08	2737	1870	1815	907	49.97%	907	49.97%	1	0.06%
JC09	2928	1878	1820	762	41.87%	1056	58.02%	2	0.11%
JC10	2392	1507	1451	570	39.28%	880	60.65%	1	0.07%
JC11	3186	2085	2016	1157	57.39%	856	42.46%	3	0.15%
JC12	2455	1753	1705	1150	67.45%	555	32.55%	0	0.00%
JC13A	2115	1462	1422	964	67.79%	457	32.14%	1	0.07%
JC13B	271	180	174	139	79.89%	35	20.11%	0	0.00%
JC14	2477	1634	1590	932	58.62%	656	41.26%	2	0.13%
JC15	834	523	506	198	39.13%	308	60.87%	0	0.00%
JC16	2496	1729	1666	838	50.30%	825	49.52%	3	0.18%
JC18	2670	1969	1919	1096	57.11%	822	42.83%	1	0.05%
JC19	3759	2528	2448	1221	49.88%	1225	50.04%	2	0.08%
ML011	2439	1681	1637	1008	61.58%	628	38.36%	1	0.06%
ML012	485	338	326	225	69.02%	101	30.98%	0	0.00%
ML01B	138	96	92	59	64.13%	33	35.87%	0	0.00%
ML021	1991	1369	1349	1023	75.83%	326	24.17%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	LT GOVERNOR								
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	G. DUNCAN (R)		S. R. AMICO (D)		Write-In Votes	
ML022	241	148	145	106	73.10%	39	26.90%	0	0.00%
ML023	1542	1027	1010	731	72.38%	279	27.62%	0	0.00%
ML024	113	70	68	58	85.29%	10	14.71%	0	0.00%
ML03	3757	2575	2529	1779	70.34%	750	29.66%	0	0.00%
ML04A	1747	1176	1142	692	60.60%	448	39.23%	2	0.18%
ML04B	1206	838	824	579	70.27%	245	29.73%	0	0.00%
ML04C	79	66	65	47	72.31%	18	27.69%	0	0.00%
ML05A	39	27	27	19	70.37%	8	29.63%	0	0.00%
ML05B	381	250	243	168	69.14%	74	30.45%	1	0.41%
ML05C	4023	2615	2542	1326	52.16%	1215	47.80%	1	0.04%
ML06A	1973	1024	993	342	34.44%	648	65.26%	3	0.30%
ML06B	2775	1734	1670	626	37.49%	1041	62.34%	3	0.18%
ML071	105	73	73	51	69.86%	22	30.14%	0	0.00%
ML072	1249	857	833	586	70.35%	246	29.53%	1	0.12%
ML07A	707	472	460	314	68.26%	146	31.74%	0	0.00%
MP01	450	323	315	148	46.98%	167	53.02%	0	0.00%
PA01	2509	1330	1258	296	23.53%	960	76.31%	2	0.16%
RW01	4717	3355	3247	1944	59.87%	1302	40.10%	1	0.03%
RW02	3794	2675	2594	1397	53.86%	1194	46.03%	3	0.12%
RW03	4510	2745	2647	1171	44.24%	1472	55.61%	4	0.15%
RW04	1803	1359	1336	689	51.57%	644	48.20%	3	0.22%
RW05	2322	1207	1158	404	34.89%	754	65.11%	0	0.00%
RW06	2820	1914	1855	911	49.11%	941	50.73%	3	0.16%
RW07A	1549	677	634	91	14.35%	543	85.65%	0	0.00%
RW07B	388	214	199	51	25.63%	148	74.37%	0	0.00%
RW08	2138	1462	1410	902	63.97%	508	36.03%	0	0.00%
RW09	5918	4216	4109	2679	65.20%	1427	34.73%	3	0.07%
RW10	3347	2376	2311	1380	59.71%	926	40.07%	5	0.22%
RW11A	2667	1693	1643	779	47.41%	864	52.59%	0	0.00%
RW12	5944	4234	4118	2502	60.76%	1614	39.19%	2	0.05%
RW13	3555	2476	2401	1260	52.48%	1136	47.31%	5	0.21%
RW16	1850	1275	1237	714	57.72%	522	42.20%	1	0.08%
RW17	2794	1773	1706	655	38.39%	1048	61.43%	3	0.18%
RW19	1993	1434	1402	878	62.62%	524	37.38%	0	0.00%
RW20	2486	1797	1741	965	55.43%	774	44.46%	2	0.11%
RW21	3144	2169	2110	1323	62.70%	785	37.20%	2	0.09%
RW22A	4406	2486	2392	921	38.50%	1467	61.33%	4	0.17%
SC01A	20	25	22	1	4.55%	21	95.45%	0	0.00%
SC01B	1707	1295	1246	32	2.57%	1212	97.27%	2	0.16%
SC01C	2063	1513	1434	35	2.44%	1398	97.49%	1	0.07%
SC01D	294	162	158	0	0.00%	158	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC02	872	662	638	31	4.86%	606	94.98%	1	0.16%
SC04	922	616	586	192	32.76%	392	66.89%	2	0.34%
SC05A	646	409	387	6	1.55%	381	98.45%	0	0.00%
SC05B	3204	2188	2090	69	3.30%	2018	96.56%	3	0.14%
SC05D	2171	1428	1375	43	3.13%	1330	96.73%	2	0.15%
SC05E	623	434	414	62	14.98%	352	85.02%	0	0.00%
SC07A	5595	3698	3533	291	8.24%	3241	91.74%	1	0.03%
SC07C	29	10	10	0	0.00%	10	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08B	3382	2008	1886	63	3.34%	1821	96.55%	2	0.11%
SC08C	74	53	51	0	0.00%	51	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08D	499	314	295	3	1.02%	292	98.98%	0	0.00%
SC08E	562	316	294	2	0.68%	291	98.98%	1	0.34%
SC08F	700	366	350	12	3.43%	337	96.29%	1	0.29%
SC08G	12	8	8	0	0.00%	8	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08H	4	3	2	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC09A	1628	839	778	23	2.96%	753	96.79%	2	0.26%
SC09B	1687	1084	1028	19	1.85%	1008	98.05%	1	0.10%
SC09C	1566	842	794	24	3.02%	770	96.98%	0	0.00%
SC10	1678	661	618	16	2.59%	602	97.41%	0	0.00%
SC11A	1411	813	782	17	2.17%	765	97.83%	0	0.00%
SC11B	1506	878	824	27	3.28%	796	96.60%	1	0.12%
SC13	2465	1636	1571	67	4.26%	1504	95.74%	0	0.00%
SC14A	916	429	412	11	2.67%	400	97.09%	1	0.24%
SC14B	502	45	39	9	23.08%	30	76.92%	0	0.00%
SC15	6193	4213	4014	129	3.21%	3884	96.76%	1	0.02%
SC16A	4601	3208	3086	73	2.37%	3008	97.47%	5	0.16%
SC16B	14	15	15	1	6.67%	14	93.33%	0	0.00%
SC17A	55	42	42	0	0.00%	42	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC17B	7	4	4	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%
SC17C	1134	669	631	23	3.65%	606	96.04%	2	0.32%
SC18A	510	329	309	8	2.59%	300	97.09%	1	0.32%
SC18B	663	462	441	18	4.08%	423	95.92%	0	0.00%
SC18C	1850	1352	1299	50	3.85%	1247	96.00%	2	0.15%
SC19A	195	129	122	3	2.46%	119	97.54%	0	0.00%
SC19B	1267	690	647	28	4.33%	616	95.21%	3	0.46%
SC20	2197	1665	1615	35	2.17%	1577	97.65%	3	0.19%
SC211	1328	841	804	32	3.98%	771	95.90%	1	0.12%
SC212	3378	1947	1858	93	5.01%	1763	94.89%	2	0.11%
SC23A	3161	1730	1618	42	2.60%	1576	97.40%	0	0.00%
SC23B	483	284	270	9	3.33%	261	96.67%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	LT GOVERNOR								
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	G. DUNCAN (R)		S. R. AMICO (D)		Write-In Votes	
SC23C	2252	1302	1201	28	2.33%	1173	97.67%	0	0.00%
SC27	2129	1259	1171	24	2.05%	1145	97.78%	2	0.17%
SC29A	2784	1544	1434	37	2.58%	1395	97.28%	2	0.14%
SC30A	135	97	89	3	3.37%	86	96.63%	0	0.00%
SC30B	960	531	504	13	2.58%	491	97.42%	0	0.00%
SS01	4487	3270	3181	1924	60.48%	1256	39.48%	1	0.03%
SS02A	1120	755	733	302	41.20%	431	58.80%	0	0.00%
SS02B	1255	756	716	176	24.58%	538	75.14%	2	0.28%
SS03	1464	1027	993	456	45.92%	536	53.98%	1	0.10%
SS04	3264	2064	1997	715	35.80%	1280	64.10%	2	0.10%
SS05	1746	1376	1333	697	52.29%	635	47.64%	1	0.08%
SS06	1397	1073	1045	553	52.92%	491	46.99%	1	0.10%
SS07A	968	683	656	357	54.42%	299	45.58%	0	0.00%
SS07B	609	405	391	166	42.46%	225	57.54%	0	0.00%
SS07C	755	436	420	208	49.52%	212	50.48%	0	0.00%
SS08A	1316	758	731	226	30.92%	505	69.08%	0	0.00%
SS08B	635	453	441	238	53.97%	203	46.03%	0	0.00%
SS08C	632	393	378	117	30.95%	261	69.05%	0	0.00%
SS08D	1368	847	822	288	35.04%	534	64.96%	0	0.00%
SS09A	3003	2210	2151	1240	57.65%	910	42.31%	1	0.05%
SS09B	3358	2452	2391	1335	55.83%	1053	44.04%	3	0.13%
SS11A	3655	2227	2160	888	41.11%	1270	58.80%	2	0.09%
SS11B	1257	707	679	201	29.60%	477	70.25%	1	0.15%
SS11C	269	146	140	25	17.86%	114	81.43%	1	0.71%
SS11D	365	254	244	142	58.20%	102	41.80%	0	0.00%
SS12	2559	1732	1689	880	52.10%	808	47.84%	1	0.06%
SS13A	1633	1072	1051	516	49.10%	532	50.62%	3	0.29%
SS13B	1001	629	613	301	49.10%	312	50.90%	0	0.00%
SS14	1274	977	957	606	63.32%	349	36.47%	2	0.21%
SS15A	2572	1199	1161	164	14.13%	996	85.79%	1	0.09%
SS15B	1579	902	868	274	31.57%	594	68.43%	0	0.00%
SS16	2030	1534	1503	861	57.29%	642	42.71%	0	0.00%
SS17	3397	2229	2155	1131	52.48%	1019	47.29%	5	0.23%
SS18A	619	454	446	228	51.12%	218	48.88%	0	0.00%
SS18B	221	162	153	79	51.63%	74	48.37%	0	0.00%
SS19A	3016	1683	1616	395	24.44%	1221	75.56%	0	0.00%
SS19B	2032	1226	1175	364	30.98%	809	68.85%	2	0.17%
SS20	2060	1159	1115	386	34.62%	727	65.20%	2	0.18%
SS22	2714	1613	1542	663	43.00%	878	56.94%	1	0.06%
SS26	3552	1822	1728	311	18.00%	1415	81.89%	2	0.12%
SS29A	2488	1595	1557	661	42.45%	895	57.48%	1	0.06%
SS31	1345	938	907	393	43.33%	513	56.56%	1	0.11%
UC01A	3622	1715	1605	67	4.17%	1537	95.76%	1	0.06%
UC01B	395	210	197	5	2.54%	192	97.46%	0	0.00%
UC01D	6	2	2	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
UC01E	285	206	203	3	1.48%	200	98.52%	0	0.00%
UC02A	7513	4296	4063	135	3.32%	3922	96.53%	6	0.15%
UC02B	30	19	18	5	27.78%	13	72.22%	0	0.00%
UC031	2399	1203	1125	206	18.31%	919	81.69%	0	0.00%
UC032	292	186	177	30	16.95%	147	83.05%	0	0.00%
Total	703177	424992	407932	118142	28.96%	289383	70.94%	407	0.10%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

SECRETARY OF STATE

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	B. RAFFENSPERGER (R)		J. BARROW (D)		S. DUVAL (L)		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide											
01A	3199	2362	2325	189	8.13%	2048	88.09%	87	3.74%	1	0.04%
01B	3839	2737	2688	222	8.26%	2366	88.02%	100	3.72%	0	0.00%
01C	1459	612	599	20	3.34%	558	93.16%	21	3.51%	0	0.00%
01D	511	307	302	12	3.97%	275	91.06%	15	4.97%	0	0.00%
01E	3363	2410	2374	248	10.45%	2019	85.05%	105	4.42%	2	0.08%
01F	1254	452	440	8	1.82%	417	94.77%	15	3.41%	0	0.00%
01G	1909	1102	1080	52	4.81%	985	91.20%	43	3.98%	0	0.00%
01H	758	379	369	14	3.79%	344	93.22%	11	2.98%	0	0.00%
01J	2416	1184	1150	42	3.65%	1074	93.39%	32	2.78%	2	0.17%
01P	1244	542	518	12	2.32%	497	95.95%	9	1.74%	0	0.00%
01R	1344	491	464	11	2.37%	445	95.91%	8	1.72%	0	0.00%
01S	1749	823	796	29	3.64%	750	94.22%	17	2.14%	0	0.00%
01T	1506	796	776	48	6.19%	701	90.34%	27	3.48%	0	0.00%
02A	4584	2949	2909	535	18.39%	2272	78.10%	101	3.47%	1	0.03%
02B	3518	1265	1254	132	10.53%	1075	85.73%	47	3.75%	0	0.00%
02C	2037	1205	1179	90	7.63%	1052	89.23%	35	2.97%	2	0.17%
02D	4475	2660	2614	258	9.87%	2268	86.76%	84	3.21%	4	0.15%
02E	1905	1423	1406	117	8.32%	1237	87.98%	52	3.70%	0	0.00%
02F1	2962	2033	1999	228	11.41%	1687	84.39%	84	4.20%	0	0.00%
02F2	788	458	449	44	9.80%	384	85.52%	21	4.68%	0	0.00%
02G	3443	2361	2325	256	11.01%	1971	84.77%	98	4.22%	0	0.00%
02J	2159	1432	1413	155	10.97%	1195	84.57%	63	4.46%	0	0.00%
02K	1419	951	935	107	11.44%	792	84.71%	36	3.85%	0	0.00%
02L1	6213	3978	3920	601	15.33%	3167	80.79%	151	3.85%	1	0.03%
02L2	1364	782	772	122	15.80%	617	79.92%	33	4.27%	0	0.00%
02S	708	427	422	21	4.98%	384	91.00%	17	4.03%	0	0.00%
02W	682	399	395	56	14.18%	323	81.77%	15	3.80%	1	0.25%
03A	1927	692	665	13	1.95%	636	95.64%	16	2.41%	0	0.00%
03B	560	181	171	7	4.09%	163	95.32%	1	0.58%	0	0.00%
03C	1534	674	648	14	2.16%	612	94.44%	22	3.40%	0	0.00%
03D	1017	402	390	17	4.36%	363	93.08%	10	2.56%	0	0.00%
03E	2284	914	891	38	4.26%	830	93.15%	23	2.58%	0	0.00%
03F	1925	1115	1102	147	13.34%	903	81.94%	52	4.72%	0	0.00%
03G	802	360	352	6	1.70%	332	94.32%	14	3.98%	0	0.00%
03H	900	369	349	11	3.15%	327	93.70%	11	3.15%	0	0.00%
03I	1360	566	554	71	12.82%	436	78.70%	47	8.48%	0	0.00%
03L	594	229	219	3	1.37%	208	94.98%	8	3.65%	0	0.00%
03M	766	452	440	96	21.82%	315	71.59%	29	6.59%	0	0.00%
03N	2288	938	910	40	4.40%	836	91.87%	34	3.74%	0	0.00%
03P1A	3882	1966	1934	199	10.29%	1631	84.33%	103	5.33%	1	0.05%
03P2	13	7	7	0	0.00%	7	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
03S	761	356	350	11	3.14%	334	95.43%	5	1.43%	0	0.00%
03T	1505	715	697	20	2.87%	662	94.98%	15	2.15%	0	0.00%
04A	1202	560	540	10	1.85%	517	95.74%	13	2.41%	0	0.00%
04B	2242	922	903	20	2.21%	861	95.35%	21	2.33%	1	0.11%
04C	136	54	54	0	0.00%	52	96.30%	2	3.70%	0	0.00%
04D	1481	714	688	24	3.49%	647	94.04%	17	2.47%	0	0.00%
04F	2086	935	911	57	6.26%	817	89.68%	36	3.95%	1	0.11%
04G	828	312	305	9	2.95%	292	95.74%	4	1.31%	0	0.00%
04I	3362	561	545	35	6.42%	483	88.62%	27	4.95%	0	0.00%
04J	1230	317	313	8	2.56%	297	94.89%	7	2.24%	1	0.32%
04K	2339	1266	1216	36	2.96%	1142	93.91%	37	3.04%	1	0.08%
04L	1655	867	840	34	4.05%	772	91.90%	34	4.05%	0	0.00%
04M	773	292	286	10	3.50%	267	93.36%	9	3.15%	0	0.00%
04S	2165	1118	1073	33	3.08%	1005	93.66%	34	3.17%	1	0.09%
04T	1145	584	564	12	2.13%	537	95.21%	14	2.48%	1	0.18%
04V	2848	1211	1177	20	1.70%	1124	95.50%	32	2.72%	1	0.08%
04W	2203	820	808	5	0.62%	775	95.92%	25	3.09%	3	0.37%
04X1	1942	950	923	22	2.38%	870	94.26%	30	3.25%	1	0.11%
04X2	737	364	357	13	3.64%	334	93.56%	10	2.80%	0	0.00%
05A1	2491	1728	1703	136	7.99%	1489	87.43%	78	4.58%	0	0.00%
05A2	2910	1998	1972	142	7.20%	1752	88.84%	76	3.85%	2	0.10%
05B	1763	901	850	32	3.76%	787	92.59%	29	3.41%	2	0.24%
05C	146	67	66	4	6.06%	58	87.88%	4	6.06%	0	0.00%
05D	386	93	91	3	3.30%	81	89.01%	7	7.69%	0	0.00%
05F	223	136	136	10	7.35%	126	92.65%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
05J	639	416	410	41	10.00%	350	85.37%	19	4.63%	0	0.00%
05K	2600	1327	1311	68	5.19%	1185	90.39%	57	4.35%	1	0.08%
06B	1756	1238	1220	205	16.80%	964	79.02%	50	4.10%	1	0.08%
06D	8568	6060	5985	1140	19.05%	4652	77.73%	192	3.21%	1	0.02%
06E	2250	1439	1427	259	18.15%	1115	78.14%	53	3.71%	0	0.00%
06F	2709	1757	1719	269	15.65%	1390	80.86%	60	3.49%	0	0.00%
06G	536	369	365	56	15.34%	300	82.19%	9	2.47%	0	0.00%
06I	3412	1957	1940	245	12.63%	1610	82.99%	84	4.33%	1	0.05%
06J	2539	1904	1876	346	18.44%	1467	78.20%	62	3.30%	1	0.05%
06L1	917	679	668	155	23.20%	489	73.20%	24	3.59%	0	0.00%
06L2	654	487	480	146	30.42%	327	68.13%	7	1.46%	0	0.00%
06N	1844	1085	1072	345	32.18%	692	64.55%	34	3.17%	1	0.09%
06Q	527	295	295	24	8.14%	258	87.46%	13	4.41%	0	0.00%
06R	1836	1206	1196	232	19.40%	935	78.18%	29	2.42%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

SECRETARY OF STATE

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	B. RAFFENSPERGER (R)		J. BARROW (D)		S. DUVAL (L)		Write-In Votes	
07A	6600	4093	4021	1723	42.85%	2176	54.12%	118	2.93%	4	0.10%
07B	2763	2107	2076	1246	60.02%	770	37.09%	60	2.89%	0	0.00%
07C	3927	2498	2453	1066	43.46%	1333	54.34%	53	2.16%	1	0.04%
07D	4231	2413	2365	953	40.30%	1311	55.43%	101	4.27%	0	0.00%
07E	2031	1358	1337	419	31.34%	876	65.52%	42	3.14%	0	0.00%
07F	3017	2041	2003	822	41.04%	1121	55.97%	60	3.00%	0	0.00%
07H	1923	1424	1396	617	44.20%	748	53.58%	30	2.15%	1	0.07%
07J	2647	1753	1725	585	33.91%	1077	62.43%	63	3.65%	0	0.00%
07M	2155	1180	1166	388	33.28%	732	62.78%	46	3.95%	0	0.00%
07N	1946	1044	1021	165	16.16%	808	79.14%	47	4.60%	1	0.10%
08A	2799	1949	1917	960	50.08%	908	47.37%	49	2.56%	0	0.00%
08B	3199	2255	2218	1224	55.18%	927	41.79%	67	3.02%	0	0.00%
08C	1392	984	967	641	66.29%	306	31.64%	20	2.07%	0	0.00%
08D	1022	770	757	487	64.33%	260	34.35%	10	1.32%	0	0.00%
08E	2429	1864	1829	1037	56.70%	749	40.95%	43	2.35%	0	0.00%
08F1	2071	1628	1594	979	61.42%	569	35.70%	46	2.89%	0	0.00%
08G	2787	1669	1631	644	39.48%	951	58.31%	36	2.21%	0	0.00%
08H	2465	1874	1823	958	52.55%	830	45.53%	35	1.92%	0	0.00%
08J	2981	2223	2180	975	44.72%	1138	52.20%	67	3.07%	0	0.00%
08K	973	702	693	284	40.98%	385	55.56%	24	3.46%	0	0.00%
08L	4194	2706	2662	778	29.23%	1773	66.60%	108	4.06%	3	0.11%
08M	1907	1358	1328	787	59.26%	518	39.01%	21	1.58%	2	0.15%
08N1	767	587	577	353	61.18%	212	36.74%	12	2.08%	0	0.00%
08N2	1391	927	907	396	43.66%	489	53.91%	22	2.43%	0	0.00%
08P	1633	928	915	156	17.05%	731	79.89%	27	2.95%	1	0.11%
09A	3338	2152	2108	462	21.92%	1544	73.24%	102	4.84%	0	0.00%
09B	385	174	171	3	1.75%	164	95.91%	4	2.34%	0	0.00%
09C	2954	1522	1470	39	2.65%	1401	95.31%	30	2.04%	0	0.00%
09D	1879	858	814	27	3.32%	761	93.49%	25	3.07%	1	0.12%
09E	2782	1420	1381	80	5.79%	1248	90.37%	52	3.77%	1	0.07%
09F	3619	2526	2483	1006	40.52%	1386	55.82%	88	3.54%	3	0.12%
09G	3519	2282	2239	455	20.32%	1681	75.08%	103	4.60%	0	0.00%
09H	1064	645	635	66	10.39%	537	84.57%	31	4.88%	1	0.16%
09I	1194	503	479	19	3.97%	448	93.53%	11	2.30%	1	0.21%
09K	4403	1851	1798	63	3.50%	1702	94.66%	32	1.78%	1	0.06%
09M	2259	1611	1583	298	18.83%	1203	75.99%	80	5.05%	2	0.13%
10A	2850	1622	1578	38	2.41%	1516	96.07%	22	1.39%	2	0.13%
10B	3686	2464	2402	40	1.67%	2309	96.13%	49	2.04%	4	0.17%
10C	2466	1168	1128	23	2.04%	1083	96.01%	22	1.95%	0	0.00%
10D	4220	1850	1773	36	2.03%	1697	95.71%	37	2.09%	3	0.17%
10E	950	524	507	16	3.16%	484	95.46%	7	1.38%	0	0.00%
10F	1053	590	573	14	2.44%	544	94.94%	15	2.62%	0	0.00%
10G	1205	813	795	14	1.76%	764	96.10%	16	2.01%	1	0.13%
10H1	408	238	234	2	0.85%	224	95.73%	8	3.42%	0	0.00%
10H2	2207	1032	997	25	2.51%	957	95.99%	14	1.40%	1	0.10%
10I	329	258	252	5	1.98%	245	97.22%	2	0.79%	0	0.00%
10J	1012	466	450	5	1.11%	440	97.78%	5	1.11%	0	0.00%
10K	1415	858	830	22	2.65%	785	94.58%	22	2.65%	1	0.12%
10M	2529	1414	1376	40	2.91%	1288	93.60%	47	3.42%	1	0.07%
10P	1364	668	643	25	3.89%	602	93.62%	15	2.33%	1	0.16%
10R	713	347	339	7	2.06%	324	95.58%	8	2.36%	0	0.00%
11B	5162	3088	3013	59	1.96%	2890	95.92%	62	2.06%	2	0.07%
11C	2822	2003	1976	26	1.32%	1924	97.37%	24	1.21%	2	0.10%
11E1	1141	634	617	15	2.43%	586	94.98%	15	2.43%	1	0.16%
11E2	847	497	485	8	1.65%	464	95.67%	13	2.68%	0	0.00%
11E3	3124	1835	1815	34	1.87%	1752	96.53%	27	1.49%	2	0.11%
11G	2092	855	828	26	3.14%	783	94.57%	19	2.29%	0	0.00%
11H	677	356	347	14	4.03%	316	91.07%	17	4.90%	0	0.00%
11J	1827	1018	984	27	2.74%	940	95.53%	17	1.73%	0	0.00%
11K	1818	1104	1068	26	2.43%	1011	94.66%	29	2.72%	2	0.19%
11M	3039	1659	1620	20	1.23%	1564	96.54%	35	2.16%	1	0.06%
11N	2166	1358	1316	26	1.98%	1273	96.73%	17	1.29%	0	0.00%
11P	1833	1020	979	20	2.04%	939	95.91%	20	2.04%	0	0.00%
11R	1849	672	645	17	2.64%	618	95.81%	10	1.55%	0	0.00%
12A	4828	2734	2669	119	4.46%	2452	91.87%	95	3.56%	3	0.11%
12D	1209	501	487	9	1.85%	467	95.89%	11	2.26%	0	0.00%
12E1	1621	591	569	33	5.80%	525	92.27%	11	1.93%	0	0.00%
12F	943	428	418	14	3.35%	395	94.50%	9	2.15%	0	0.00%
12G	800	430	407	16	3.93%	386	94.84%	5	1.23%	0	0.00%
12H1	1006	310	301	18	5.98%	274	91.03%	8	2.66%	1	0.33%
12H2	2562	1189	1159	29	2.50%	1108	95.60%	20	1.73%	2	0.17%
12I	970	413	394	8	2.03%	378	95.94%	8	2.03%	0	0.00%
12J	2535	1108	1068	21	1.97%	1026	96.07%	18	1.69%	3	0.28%
12K	3022	1240	1193	53	4.44%	1106	92.71%	34	2.85%	0	0.00%
12L	613	238	232	8	3.45%	221	95.26%	3	1.29%	0	0.00%
12M	2533	1171	1146	23	2.01%	1099	95.90%	22	1.92%	2	0.17%
12N	907	327	315	11	3.49%	296	93.97%	8	2.54%	0	0.00%
12S	1794	959	914	19	2.08%	871	95.30%	24	2.63%	0	0.00%
AP01A	4724	3141	3096	1587	51.26%	1434	46.32%	74	2.39%	1	0.03%
AP01B	913	516	507	220	43.39%	261	51.48%	26	5.13%	0	0.00%
AP01C	2233	1498	1476	769	52.10%	670	45.39%	37	2.51%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

SECRETARY OF STATE

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	B. RAFFENSPERGER (R)		J. BARROW (D)		S. DUVAL (L)		Write-In Votes	
AP01D	915	539	532	212	39.85%	301	56.58%	19	3.57%	0	0.00%
AP02B	474	263	261	125	47.89%	131	50.19%	5	1.92%	0	0.00%
AP03	741	314	308	62	20.13%	235	76.30%	11	3.57%	0	0.00%
AP04A	1647	1183	1158	674	58.20%	441	38.08%	43	3.71%	0	0.00%
AP04B	415	290	284	153	53.87%	125	44.01%	6	2.11%	0	0.00%
AP05	4367	2981	2941	1687	57.36%	1193	40.56%	59	2.01%	2	0.07%
AP06	1827	1309	1280	749	58.52%	483	37.73%	48	3.75%	0	0.00%
AP07A	3422	2102	2070	1049	50.68%	952	45.99%	68	3.29%	1	0.05%
AP07B	1650	1144	1129	610	54.03%	497	44.02%	21	1.86%	1	0.09%
AP09A	4051	2687	2658	1268	47.71%	1318	49.59%	71	2.67%	1	0.04%
AP09B	810	531	526	208	39.54%	308	58.56%	10	1.90%	0	0.00%
AP021	2994	1963	1935	994	51.37%	873	45.12%	66	3.41%	2	0.10%
AP022	2210	1429	1405	641	45.62%	721	51.32%	43	3.06%	0	0.00%
AP10	942	681	676	393	58.14%	262	38.76%	21	3.11%	0	0.00%
AP12A	658	374	368	124	33.70%	232	63.04%	12	3.26%	0	0.00%
AP12B	747	428	420	134	31.90%	277	65.95%	9	2.14%	0	0.00%
AP12C	1584	1005	989	525	53.08%	444	44.89%	20	2.02%	0	0.00%
AP14	2972	2027	2000	1002	50.10%	945	47.25%	53	2.65%	0	0.00%
CH01	330	164	162	39	24.07%	122	75.31%	1	0.62%	0	0.00%
CH02	319	202	197	120	60.91%	72	36.55%	5	2.54%	0	0.00%
CH03	379	250	247	157	63.56%	87	35.22%	3	1.21%	0	0.00%
CH04A	647	444	441	220	49.89%	215	48.75%	6	1.36%	0	0.00%
CH05	407	260	258	186	72.09%	66	25.58%	6	2.33%	0	0.00%
CP01B	381	227	223	76	34.08%	139	62.33%	8	3.59%	0	0.00%
CP011	1135	617	607	129	21.25%	451	74.30%	26	4.28%	1	0.16%
CP012	1318	844	822	154	18.73%	641	77.98%	27	3.28%	0	0.00%
CP02	1000	553	533	61	11.44%	454	85.18%	18	3.38%	0	0.00%
CP04A	8	2	2	1	50.00%	1	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP04B	39	14	14	2	14.29%	11	78.57%	1	7.14%	0	0.00%
CP05B	143	76	75	4	5.33%	71	94.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP051	1612	874	854	27	3.16%	817	95.67%	9	1.05%	1	0.12%
CP06A	598	208	202	4	1.98%	193	95.54%	5	2.48%	0	0.00%
CP07B	7	2	2	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP07C	244	47	47	4	8.51%	42	89.36%	1	2.13%	0	0.00%
CP07D	694	208	202	6	2.97%	195	96.53%	1	0.50%	0	0.00%
CP07E	125	41	38	1	2.63%	37	97.37%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP07F	184	55	52	1	1.92%	51	98.08%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP081	343	77	76	3	3.95%	73	96.05%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP083	219	25	24	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP084	3	1	1	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP08A	705	175	170	5	2.94%	161	94.71%	4	2.35%	0	0.00%
EP01A	2609	1464	1432	136	9.50%	1260	87.99%	35	2.44%	1	0.07%
EP01B	3038	1539	1515	98	6.47%	1379	91.02%	38	2.51%	0	0.00%
EP02A	1967	890	862	42	4.87%	808	93.74%	11	1.28%	1	0.12%
EP02B	1449	977	967	123	12.72%	805	83.25%	37	3.83%	2	0.21%
EP02C	428	251	247	44	17.81%	196	79.35%	7	2.83%	0	0.00%
EP02D	326	192	186	9	4.84%	176	94.62%	1	0.54%	0	0.00%
EP02E	1382	627	611	30	4.91%	562	91.98%	19	3.11%	0	0.00%
EP03A	4293	2512	2451	109	4.45%	2279	92.98%	60	2.45%	3	0.12%
EP03B	1421	973	955	40	4.19%	893	93.51%	22	2.30%	0	0.00%
EP04A	5432	2626	2589	59	2.28%	2487	96.06%	41	1.58%	2	0.08%
EP04B	2024	1203	1190	21	1.76%	1158	97.31%	10	0.84%	1	0.08%
FA01A	3574	2126	2082	203	9.75%	1840	88.38%	39	1.87%	0	0.00%
FA01B	4833	2965	2926	280	9.57%	2581	88.21%	62	2.12%	3	0.10%
FA01C	681	401	396	18	4.55%	368	92.93%	9	2.27%	1	0.25%
HP01	3212	1780	1744	424	24.31%	1247	71.50%	73	4.19%	0	0.00%
JC01	4977	3215	3179	1275	40.11%	1832	57.63%	72	2.26%	0	0.00%
JC02	2460	1474	1454	648	44.57%	767	52.75%	39	2.68%	0	0.00%
JC03A	540	384	380	176	46.32%	192	50.53%	12	3.16%	0	0.00%
JC03B	1448	955	940	362	38.51%	550	58.51%	27	2.87%	1	0.11%
JC04A	4042	2692	2652	1225	46.19%	1352	50.98%	75	2.83%	0	0.00%
JC04B	255	156	154	63	40.91%	83	53.90%	8	5.19%	0	0.00%
JC05	2136	1391	1376	610	44.33%	728	52.91%	38	2.76%	0	0.00%
JC06	2627	1580	1565	859	54.89%	663	42.36%	43	2.75%	0	0.00%
JC07	4083	2627	2586	1173	45.36%	1348	52.13%	63	2.44%	2	0.08%
JC08	2737	1870	1846	899	48.70%	880	47.67%	65	3.52%	2	0.11%
JC09	2928	1878	1864	753	40.40%	1065	57.14%	46	2.47%	0	0.00%
JC10	2392	1507	1481	573	38.69%	868	58.61%	39	2.63%	1	0.07%
JC11	3186	2085	2063	1150	55.74%	868	42.07%	44	2.13%	1	0.05%
JC12	2455	1753	1735	1145	65.99%	558	32.16%	31	1.79%	1	0.06%
JC13A	2115	1462	1447	966	66.76%	459	31.72%	22	1.52%	0	0.00%
JC13B	271	180	174	130	74.71%	40	22.99%	4	2.30%	0	0.00%
JC14	2477	1634	1616	924	57.18%	645	39.91%	47	2.91%	0	0.00%
JC15	834	523	512	194	37.89%	298	58.20%	20	3.91%	0	0.00%
JC16	2496	1729	1710	847	49.53%	816	47.72%	46	2.69%	1	0.06%
JC18	2670	1969	1957	1080	55.19%	827	42.26%	50	2.55%	0	0.00%
JC19	3759	2528	2506	1192	47.57%	1218	48.60%	95	3.79%	1	0.04%
ML011	2439	1681	1660	983	59.22%	631	38.01%	46	2.77%	0	0.00%
ML012	485	338	334	223	66.77%	99	29.64%	12	3.59%	0	0.00%
ML01B	138	96	95	56	58.95%	38	40.00%	1	1.05%	0	0.00%
ML021	1991	1369	1351	970	71.80%	335	24.80%	46	3.40%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

SECRETARY OF STATE

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	B. RAFFENSPERGER (R)		J. BARROW (D)		S. DUVAL (L)		Write-In Votes	
ML022	241	148	146	111	76.03%	32	21.92%	3	2.05%	0	0.00%
ML023	1542	1027	1016	723	71.16%	272	26.77%	21	2.07%	0	0.00%
ML024	113	70	70	58	82.86%	10	14.29%	2	2.86%	0	0.00%
ML03	3757	2575	2546	1730	67.95%	749	29.42%	67	2.63%	0	0.00%
ML04A	1747	1176	1160	687	59.22%	445	38.36%	28	2.41%	0	0.00%
ML04B	1206	838	830	564	67.95%	244	29.40%	21	2.53%	1	0.12%
ML04C	79	66	66	46	69.70%	18	27.27%	2	3.03%	0	0.00%
ML05A	39	27	27	17	62.96%	10	37.04%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
ML05B	381	250	246	164	66.67%	79	32.11%	2	0.81%	1	0.41%
ML05C	4023	2615	2578	1313	50.93%	1206	46.78%	58	2.25%	1	0.04%
ML06A	1973	1024	1014	339	33.43%	650	64.10%	25	2.47%	0	0.00%
ML06B	2775	1734	1709	607	35.52%	1042	60.97%	60	3.51%	0	0.00%
ML071	105	73	72	50	69.44%	22	30.56%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
ML072	1249	857	846	587	69.39%	241	28.49%	17	2.01%	1	0.12%
ML07A	707	472	467	314	67.24%	141	30.19%	12	2.57%	0	0.00%
MP01	450	323	319	140	43.89%	166	52.04%	13	4.08%	0	0.00%
PA01	2509	1330	1303	299	22.95%	981	75.29%	22	1.69%	1	0.08%
RW01	4717	3355	3294	1902	57.74%	1302	39.53%	89	2.70%	1	0.03%
RW02	3794	2675	2632	1316	50.00%	1214	46.12%	102	3.88%	0	0.00%
RW03	4510	2745	2692	1137	42.24%	1451	53.90%	104	3.86%	0	0.00%
RW04	1803	1359	1343	651	48.47%	646	48.10%	44	3.28%	2	0.15%
RW05	2322	1207	1193	384	32.19%	773	64.79%	36	3.02%	0	0.00%
RW06	2820	1914	1884	862	45.75%	942	50.00%	80	4.25%	0	0.00%
RW07A	1549	677	666	89	13.36%	552	82.88%	24	3.60%	1	0.15%
RW07B	388	214	213	51	23.94%	153	71.83%	9	4.23%	0	0.00%
RW08	2138	1462	1423	872	61.28%	505	35.49%	46	3.23%	0	0.00%
RW09	5918	4216	4161	2633	63.28%	1402	33.69%	125	3.00%	1	0.02%
RW10	3347	2376	2340	1352	57.78%	918	39.23%	70	2.99%	0	0.00%
RW11A	2667	1693	1664	739	44.41%	869	52.22%	56	3.37%	0	0.00%
RW12	5944	4234	4174	2436	58.36%	1618	38.76%	120	2.87%	0	0.00%
RW13	3555	2476	2430	1228	50.53%	1114	45.84%	88	3.62%	0	0.00%
RW16	1850	1275	1260	688	54.60%	536	42.54%	35	2.78%	1	0.08%
RW17	2794	1773	1749	640	36.59%	1031	58.95%	78	4.46%	0	0.00%
RW19	1993	1434	1413	856	60.58%	518	36.66%	39	2.76%	0	0.00%
RW20	2486	1797	1779	949	53.34%	771	43.34%	58	3.26%	1	0.06%
RW21	3144	2169	2141	1271	59.36%	792	36.99%	77	3.60%	1	0.05%
RW22A	4406	2486	2460	891	36.22%	1472	59.84%	97	3.94%	0	0.00%
SC01A	20	25	23	2	8.70%	21	91.30%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC01B	1707	1295	1285	26	2.02%	1238	96.34%	19	1.48%	2	0.16%
SC01C	2063	1513	1485	33	2.22%	1430	96.30%	21	1.41%	1	0.07%
SC01D	294	162	161	2	1.24%	153	95.03%	6	3.73%	0	0.00%
SC02	872	662	652	27	4.14%	620	95.09%	3	0.46%	2	0.31%
SC04	922	616	608	184	30.26%	405	66.61%	18	2.96%	1	0.16%
SC05A	646	409	401	3	0.75%	387	96.51%	11	2.74%	0	0.00%
SC05B	3204	2188	2163	62	2.87%	2066	95.52%	35	1.62%	0	0.00%
SC05D	2171	1428	1414	43	3.04%	1352	95.62%	17	1.20%	2	0.14%
SC05E	623	434	423	67	15.84%	351	82.98%	5	1.18%	0	0.00%
SC07A	5595	3698	3652	289	7.91%	3313	90.72%	48	1.31%	2	0.05%
SC07C	29	10	10	0	0.00%	10	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC08B	3382	2008	1967	61	3.10%	1875	95.32%	29	1.47%	2	0.10%
SC08C	74	53	53	0	0.00%	52	98.11%	1	1.89%	0	0.00%
SC08D	499	314	313	5	1.60%	303	96.81%	5	1.60%	0	0.00%
SC08E	562	316	309	3	0.97%	297	96.12%	9	2.91%	0	0.00%
SC08F	700	366	362	12	3.31%	345	95.30%	5	1.38%	0	0.00%
SC08G	12	8	8	0	0.00%	8	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC08H	4	3	3	0	0.00%	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC09A	1628	839	822	28	3.41%	779	94.77%	13	1.58%	2	0.24%
SC09B	1687	1084	1068	21	1.97%	1028	96.25%	18	1.69%	1	0.09%
SC09C	1566	842	828	21	2.54%	790	95.41%	17	2.05%	0	0.00%
SC10	1678	661	649	14	2.16%	617	95.07%	17	2.62%	1	0.15%
SC11A	1411	813	803	22	2.74%	775	96.51%	6	0.75%	0	0.00%
SC11B	1506	878	865	23	2.66%	828	95.72%	14	1.62%	0	0.00%
SC13	2465	1636	1619	69	4.26%	1523	94.07%	26	1.61%	1	0.06%
SC14A	916	429	415	10	2.41%	395	95.18%	10	2.41%	0	0.00%
SC14B	502	45	44	8	18.18%	36	81.82%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC15	6193	4213	4161	121	2.91%	3971	95.43%	66	1.59%	3	0.07%
SC16A	4601	3208	3166	62	1.96%	3061	96.68%	42	1.33%	1	0.03%
SC16B	14	15	15	0	0.00%	15	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC17A	55	42	42	0	0.00%	40	95.24%	2	4.76%	0	0.00%
SC17B	7	4	4	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC17C	1134	669	656	18	2.74%	629	95.88%	9	1.37%	0	0.00%
SC18A	510	329	324	5	1.54%	313	96.60%	6	1.85%	0	0.00%
SC18B	663	462	457	16	3.50%	432	94.53%	8	1.75%	1	0.22%
SC18C	1850	1352	1338	55	4.11%	1269	94.84%	14	1.05%	0	0.00%
SC19A	195	129	129	4	3.10%	123	95.35%	2	1.55%	0	0.00%
SC19B	1267	690	675	24	3.56%	635	94.07%	15	2.22%	1	0.15%
SC20	2197	1665	1653	36	2.18%	1595	96.49%	22	1.33%	0	0.00%
SC211	1328	841	832	31	3.73%	787	94.59%	13	1.56%	1	0.12%
SC212	3378	1947	1911	79	4.13%	1797	94.03%	33	1.73%	2	0.10%
SC23A	3161	1730	1692	36	2.13%	1627	96.16%	28	1.65%	1	0.06%
SC23B	483	284	280	10	3.57%	267	95.36%	3	1.07%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

SECRETARY OF STATE

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	B. RAFFENSPERGER (R)		J. BARROW (D)		S. DUVAL (L)		Write-In Votes	
SC23C	2252	1302	1284	32	2.49%	1239	96.50%	12	0.93%	1	0.08%
SC27	2129	1259	1232	23	1.87%	1190	96.59%	18	1.46%	1	0.08%
SC29A	2784	1544	1520	40	2.63%	1458	95.92%	22	1.45%	0	0.00%
SC30A	135	97	92	1	1.09%	91	98.91%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC30B	960	531	524	10	1.91%	502	95.80%	12	2.29%	0	0.00%
SS01	4487	3270	3216	1864	57.96%	1271	39.52%	81	2.52%	0	0.00%
SS02A	1120	755	749	287	38.32%	429	57.28%	33	4.41%	0	0.00%
SS02B	1255	756	748	178	23.80%	547	73.13%	22	2.94%	1	0.13%
SS03	1464	1027	1010	429	42.48%	540	53.47%	40	3.96%	1	0.10%
SS04	3264	2064	2038	681	33.42%	1282	62.90%	75	3.68%	0	0.00%
SS05	1746	1376	1350	651	48.22%	666	49.33%	33	2.44%	0	0.00%
SS06	1397	1073	1056	546	51.70%	480	45.45%	30	2.84%	0	0.00%
SS07A	968	683	673	352	52.30%	307	45.62%	14	2.08%	0	0.00%
SS07B	609	405	402	160	39.80%	225	55.97%	17	4.23%	0	0.00%
SS07C	755	436	428	206	48.13%	209	48.83%	13	3.04%	0	0.00%
SS08A	1316	758	749	227	30.31%	502	67.02%	19	2.54%	1	0.13%
SS08B	635	453	451	228	50.55%	217	48.12%	6	1.33%	0	0.00%
SS08C	632	393	383	110	28.72%	264	68.93%	9	2.35%	0	0.00%
SS08D	1368	847	838	274	32.70%	532	63.48%	32	3.82%	0	0.00%
SS09A	3003	2210	2173	1194	54.95%	941	43.30%	38	1.75%	0	0.00%
SS09B	3358	2452	2415	1300	53.83%	1065	44.10%	49	2.03%	1	0.04%
SS11A	3655	2227	2191	850	38.80%	1258	57.42%	82	3.74%	1	0.05%
SS11B	1257	707	694	193	27.81%	472	68.01%	28	4.03%	1	0.14%
SS11C	269	146	141	24	17.02%	112	79.43%	5	3.55%	0	0.00%
SS11D	365	254	248	138	55.65%	102	41.13%	8	3.23%	0	0.00%
SS12	2559	1732	1697	828	48.79%	823	48.50%	46	2.71%	0	0.00%
SS13A	1633	1072	1055	463	43.89%	549	52.04%	43	4.08%	0	0.00%
SS13B	1001	629	622	290	46.62%	316	50.80%	15	2.41%	1	0.16%
SS14	1274	977	963	584	60.64%	350	36.34%	27	2.80%	2	0.21%
SS15A	2572	1199	1182	159	13.45%	981	82.99%	41	3.47%	1	0.08%
SS15B	1579	902	891	262	29.41%	584	65.54%	45	5.05%	0	0.00%
SS16	2030	1534	1516	812	53.56%	659	43.47%	45	2.97%	0	0.00%
SS17	3397	2229	2192	1083	49.41%	1045	47.67%	61	2.78%	3	0.14%
SS18A	619	454	449	211	46.99%	227	50.56%	11	2.45%	0	0.00%
SS18B	221	162	158	78	49.37%	76	48.10%	4	2.53%	0	0.00%
SS19A	3016	1683	1663	386	23.21%	1214	73.00%	62	3.73%	1	0.06%
SS19B	2032	1226	1211	348	28.74%	812	67.05%	50	4.13%	1	0.08%
SS20	2060	1159	1142	362	31.70%	742	64.97%	38	3.33%	0	0.00%
SS22	2714	1613	1584	652	41.16%	881	55.62%	51	3.22%	0	0.00%
SS26	3552	1822	1801	305	16.94%	1433	79.57%	63	3.50%	0	0.00%
SS29A	2488	1595	1571	629	40.04%	899	57.22%	42	2.67%	1	0.06%
SS31	1345	938	923	385	41.71%	508	55.04%	29	3.14%	1	0.11%
UC01A	3622	1715	1697	63	3.71%	1597	94.11%	37	2.18%	0	0.00%
UC01B	395	210	205	6	2.93%	197	96.10%	2	0.98%	0	0.00%
UC01D	6	2	2	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
UC01E	285	206	206	2	0.97%	197	95.63%	7	3.40%	0	0.00%
UC02A	7513	4296	4228	133	3.15%	4047	95.72%	45	1.06%	3	0.07%
UC02B	30	19	19	4	21.05%	14	73.68%	1	5.26%	0	0.00%
UC031	2399	1203	1185	209	17.64%	956	80.68%	19	1.60%	1	0.08%
UC032	292	186	184	28	15.22%	154	83.70%	2	1.09%	0	0.00%
Total	703177	424992	417476	113707	27.24%	291859	69.91%	11719	2.81%	191	0.05%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

ATTORNEY GENERAL

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	C. CARR (I) R		C. BAILEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide									
01A	3199	2362	2318	248	10.70%	2070	89.30%	0	0.00%
01B	3839	2737	2679	285	10.64%	2393	89.32%	1	0.04%
01C	1459	612	601	29	4.83%	572	95.17%	0	0.00%
01D	511	307	302	17	5.63%	285	94.37%	0	0.00%
01E	3363	2410	2361	319	13.51%	2041	86.45%	1	0.04%
01F	1254	452	438	15	3.42%	422	96.35%	1	0.23%
01G	1909	1102	1063	70	6.59%	992	93.32%	1	0.09%
01H	758	379	366	18	4.92%	347	94.81%	1	0.27%
01J	2416	1184	1150	56	4.87%	1094	95.13%	0	0.00%
01P	1244	542	515	24	4.66%	491	95.34%	0	0.00%
01R	1344	491	462	23	4.98%	439	95.02%	0	0.00%
01S	1749	823	794	47	5.92%	746	93.95%	1	0.13%
01T	1506	796	780	66	8.46%	714	91.54%	0	0.00%
02A	4584	2949	2909	627	21.55%	2281	78.41%	1	0.03%
02B	3518	1265	1248	153	12.26%	1095	87.74%	0	0.00%
02C	2037	1205	1173	115	9.80%	1055	89.94%	3	0.26%
02D	4475	2660	2614	334	12.78%	2278	87.15%	2	0.08%
02E	1905	1423	1404	164	11.68%	1240	88.32%	0	0.00%
02F1	2962	2033	1981	286	14.44%	1693	85.46%	2	0.10%
02F2	788	458	446	57	12.78%	389	87.22%	0	0.00%
02G	3443	2361	2320	325	14.01%	1994	85.95%	1	0.04%
02J	2159	1432	1404	203	14.46%	1201	85.54%	0	0.00%
02K	1419	951	928	133	14.33%	795	85.67%	0	0.00%
02L1	6213	3978	3905	729	18.67%	3175	81.31%	1	0.03%
02L2	1364	782	770	146	18.96%	624	81.04%	0	0.00%
02S	708	427	419	34	8.11%	384	91.65%	1	0.24%
02W	682	399	391	57	14.58%	334	85.42%	0	0.00%
03A	1927	692	662	21	3.17%	641	96.83%	0	0.00%
03B	560	181	173	9	5.20%	164	94.80%	0	0.00%
03C	1534	674	646	24	3.72%	621	96.13%	1	0.15%
03D	1017	402	390	23	5.90%	367	94.10%	0	0.00%
03E	2284	914	887	50	5.64%	836	94.25%	1	0.11%
03F	1925	1115	1103	175	15.87%	928	84.13%	0	0.00%
03G	802	360	348	11	3.16%	337	96.84%	0	0.00%
03H	900	369	348	11	3.16%	337	96.84%	0	0.00%
03I	1360	566	545	93	17.06%	452	82.94%	0	0.00%
03L	594	229	217	9	4.15%	208	95.85%	0	0.00%
03M	766	452	433	115	26.56%	316	72.98%	2	0.46%
03N	2288	938	904	51	5.64%	853	94.36%	0	0.00%
03P1A	3882	1966	1925	263	13.66%	1660	86.23%	2	0.10%
03P2	13	7	7	0	0.00%	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
03S	761	356	349	12	3.44%	337	96.56%	0	0.00%
03T	1505	715	699	31	4.43%	668	95.57%	0	0.00%
04A	1202	560	538	18	3.35%	520	96.65%	0	0.00%
04B	2242	922	898	23	2.56%	874	97.33%	1	0.11%
04C	136	54	53	3	5.66%	50	94.34%	0	0.00%
04D	1481	714	686	36	5.25%	650	94.75%	0	0.00%
04F	2086	935	907	79	8.71%	827	91.18%	1	0.11%
04G	828	312	298	12	4.03%	286	95.97%	0	0.00%
04I	3362	561	543	43	7.92%	497	91.53%	3	0.55%
04J	1230	317	311	9	2.89%	302	97.11%	0	0.00%
04K	2339	1266	1209	60	4.96%	1148	94.95%	1	0.08%
04L	1655	867	840	41	4.88%	799	95.12%	0	0.00%
04M	773	292	284	10	3.52%	274	96.48%	0	0.00%
04S	2165	1118	1064	40	3.76%	1023	96.15%	1	0.09%
04T	1145	584	558	20	3.58%	537	96.24%	1	0.18%
04V	2848	1211	1169	34	2.91%	1135	97.09%	0	0.00%
04W	2203	820	809	9	1.11%	797	98.52%	3	0.37%
04X1	1942	950	922	34	3.69%	886	96.10%	2	0.22%
04X2	737	364	351	13	3.70%	338	96.30%	0	0.00%
05A1	2491	1728	1692	172	10.17%	1520	89.83%	0	0.00%
05A2	2910	1998	1959	193	9.85%	1764	90.05%	2	0.10%
05B	1763	901	847	47	5.55%	797	94.10%	3	0.35%
05C	146	67	63	4	6.35%	59	93.65%	0	0.00%
05D	386	93	90	4	4.44%	86	95.56%	0	0.00%
05F	223	136	136	11	8.09%	125	91.91%	0	0.00%
05J	639	416	408	41	10.05%	366	89.71%	1	0.25%
05K	2600	1327	1305	93	7.13%	1211	92.80%	1	0.08%
06B	1756	1238	1219	247	20.26%	971	79.66%	1	0.08%
06D	8568	6060	5970	1342	22.48%	4625	77.47%	3	0.05%
06E	2250	1439	1419	281	19.80%	1137	80.13%	1	0.07%
06F	2709	1757	1712	331	19.33%	1381	80.67%	0	0.00%
06G	536	369	364	69	18.96%	295	81.04%	0	0.00%
06I	3412	1957	1925	306	15.90%	1618	84.05%	1	0.05%
06J	2539	1904	1859	428	23.02%	1430	76.92%	1	0.05%
06L1	917	679	663	184	27.75%	479	72.25%	0	0.00%
06L2	654	487	480	159	33.13%	321	66.88%	0	0.00%
06N	1844	1085	1068	408	38.20%	659	61.70%	1	0.09%
06Q	527	295	294	24	8.16%	270	91.84%	0	0.00%
06R	1836	1206	1184	289	24.41%	895	75.59%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

ATTORNEY GENERAL

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	C. CARR (I) R		C. BAILEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
07A	6600	4093	3998	1818	45.47%	2173	54.35%	7	0.18%
07B	2763	2107	2078	1335	64.24%	742	35.71%	1	0.05%
07C	3927	2498	2437	1145	46.98%	1292	53.02%	0	0.00%
07D	4231	2413	2351	1025	43.60%	1325	56.36%	1	0.04%
07E	2031	1358	1331	456	34.26%	873	65.59%	2	0.15%
07F	3017	2041	1995	895	44.86%	1100	55.14%	0	0.00%
07H	1923	1424	1387	675	48.67%	710	51.19%	2	0.14%
07J	2647	1753	1713	675	39.40%	1037	60.54%	1	0.06%
07M	2155	1180	1157	426	36.82%	731	63.18%	0	0.00%
07N	1946	1044	1010	187	18.51%	822	81.39%	1	0.10%
08A	2799	1949	1914	1037	54.18%	877	45.82%	0	0.00%
08B	3199	2255	2214	1342	60.61%	872	39.39%	0	0.00%
08C	1392	984	963	674	69.99%	289	30.01%	0	0.00%
08D	1022	770	754	520	68.97%	234	31.03%	0	0.00%
08E	2429	1864	1835	1134	61.80%	701	38.20%	0	0.00%
08F1	2071	1628	1588	1073	67.57%	515	32.43%	0	0.00%
08G	2787	1669	1634	699	42.78%	933	57.10%	2	0.12%
08H	2465	1874	1828	1077	58.92%	750	41.03%	1	0.05%
08J	2981	2223	2176	1094	50.28%	1082	49.72%	0	0.00%
08K	973	702	694	313	45.10%	380	54.76%	1	0.14%
08L	4194	2706	2651	897	33.84%	1753	66.13%	1	0.04%
08M	1907	1358	1327	850	64.05%	476	35.87%	1	0.08%
08N1	767	587	578	385	66.61%	192	33.22%	1	0.17%
08N2	1391	927	905	433	47.85%	472	52.15%	0	0.00%
08P	1633	928	909	175	19.25%	732	80.53%	2	0.22%
09A	3338	2152	2105	546	25.94%	1558	74.01%	1	0.05%
09B	385	174	168	3	1.79%	165	98.21%	0	0.00%
09C	2954	1522	1460	48	3.29%	1412	96.71%	0	0.00%
09D	1879	858	816	29	3.55%	786	96.32%	1	0.12%
09E	2782	1420	1364	115	8.43%	1249	91.57%	0	0.00%
09F	3619	2526	2486	1103	44.37%	1383	55.63%	0	0.00%
09G	3519	2282	2239	536	23.94%	1702	76.02%	1	0.04%
09H	1064	645	633	73	11.53%	559	88.31%	1	0.16%
09I	1194	503	477	21	4.40%	455	95.39%	1	0.21%
09K	4403	1851	1785	84	4.71%	1700	95.24%	1	0.06%
09M	2259	1611	1568	358	22.83%	1207	76.98%	3	0.19%
10A	2850	1622	1571	46	2.93%	1525	97.07%	0	0.00%
10B	3686	2464	2395	70	2.92%	2322	96.95%	3	0.13%
10C	2466	1168	1122	35	3.12%	1086	96.79%	1	0.09%
10D	4220	1850	1757	69	3.93%	1686	95.96%	2	0.11%
10E	950	524	502	16	3.19%	486	96.81%	0	0.00%
10F	1053	590	567	24	4.23%	542	95.59%	1	0.18%
10G	1205	813	789	17	2.15%	770	97.59%	2	0.25%
10H1	408	238	235	6	2.55%	229	97.45%	0	0.00%
10H2	2207	1032	996	38	3.82%	957	96.08%	1	0.10%
10I	329	258	255	6	2.35%	249	97.65%	0	0.00%
10J	1012	466	453	12	2.65%	441	97.35%	0	0.00%
10K	1415	858	826	37	4.48%	789	95.52%	0	0.00%
10M	2529	1414	1365	63	4.62%	1301	95.31%	1	0.07%
10P	1364	668	639	26	4.07%	613	95.93%	0	0.00%
10R	713	347	332	8	2.41%	323	97.29%	1	0.30%
11B	5162	3088	2984	97	3.25%	2883	96.62%	4	0.13%
11C	2822	2003	1976	44	2.23%	1931	97.72%	1	0.05%
11E1	1141	634	619	22	3.55%	596	96.28%	1	0.16%
11E2	847	497	485	13	2.68%	472	97.32%	0	0.00%
11E3	3124	1835	1813	39	2.15%	1771	97.68%	3	0.17%
11G	2092	855	817	36	4.41%	781	95.59%	0	0.00%
11H	677	356	342	17	4.97%	325	95.03%	0	0.00%
11J	1827	1018	974	36	3.70%	937	96.20%	1	0.10%
11K	1818	1104	1074	33	3.07%	1040	96.83%	1	0.09%
11M	3039	1659	1622	52	3.21%	1568	96.67%	2	0.12%
11N	2166	1358	1323	45	3.40%	1278	96.60%	0	0.00%
11P	1833	1020	970	23	2.37%	947	97.63%	0	0.00%
11R	1849	672	641	21	3.28%	620	96.72%	0	0.00%
12A	4828	2734	2651	160	6.04%	2487	93.81%	4	0.15%
12D	1209	501	487	17	3.49%	470	96.51%	0	0.00%
12E1	1621	591	559	37	6.62%	522	93.38%	0	0.00%
12F	943	428	412	14	3.40%	398	96.60%	0	0.00%
12G	800	430	403	26	6.45%	377	93.55%	0	0.00%
12H1	1006	310	301	26	8.64%	274	91.03%	1	0.33%
12H2	2562	1189	1152	41	3.56%	1109	96.27%	2	0.17%
12I	970	413	386	11	2.85%	375	97.15%	0	0.00%
12J	2535	1108	1065	30	2.82%	1033	97.00%	2	0.19%
12K	3022	1240	1195	76	6.36%	1118	93.56%	1	0.08%
12L	613	238	229	8	3.49%	221	96.51%	0	0.00%
12M	2533	1171	1145	31	2.71%	1113	97.21%	1	0.09%
12N	907	327	312	13	4.17%	298	95.51%	1	0.32%
12S	1794	959	915	32	3.50%	883	96.50%	0	0.00%
AP01A	4724	3141	3094	1651	53.36%	1443	46.64%	0	0.00%
AP01B	913	516	510	241	47.25%	269	52.75%	0	0.00%
AP01C	2233	1498	1482	798	53.85%	684	46.15%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

ATTORNEY GENERAL

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	C. CARR (I) R		C. BAILEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
AP01D	915	539	528	227	42.99%	301	57.01%	0	0.00%
AP02B	474	263	261	132	50.57%	129	49.43%	0	0.00%
AP03	741	314	308	71	23.05%	237	76.95%	0	0.00%
AP04A	1647	1183	1163	702	60.36%	461	39.64%	0	0.00%
AP04B	415	290	285	163	57.19%	122	42.81%	0	0.00%
AP05	4367	2981	2933	1724	58.78%	1206	41.12%	3	0.10%
AP06	1827	1309	1282	806	62.87%	476	37.13%	0	0.00%
AP07A	3422	2102	2057	1099	53.43%	957	46.52%	1	0.05%
AP07B	1650	1144	1124	626	55.69%	498	44.31%	0	0.00%
AP09A	4051	2687	2638	1324	50.19%	1313	49.77%	1	0.04%
AP09B	810	531	527	213	40.42%	314	59.58%	0	0.00%
AP021	2994	1963	1925	1042	54.13%	881	45.77%	2	0.10%
AP022	2210	1429	1390	672	48.35%	718	51.65%	0	0.00%
AP10	942	681	667	415	62.22%	252	37.78%	0	0.00%
AP12A	658	374	364	128	35.16%	236	64.84%	0	0.00%
AP12B	747	428	421	137	32.54%	284	67.46%	0	0.00%
AP12C	1584	1005	988	554	56.07%	434	43.93%	0	0.00%
AP14	2972	2027	1987	1044	52.54%	941	47.36%	2	0.10%
CH01	330	164	162	43	26.54%	119	73.46%	0	0.00%
CH02	319	202	195	120	61.54%	74	37.95%	1	0.51%
CH03	379	250	244	152	62.30%	92	37.70%	0	0.00%
CH04A	647	444	435	225	51.72%	210	48.28%	0	0.00%
CH05	407	260	254	176	69.29%	78	30.71%	0	0.00%
CP01B	381	227	223	83	37.22%	140	62.78%	0	0.00%
CP011	1135	617	601	129	21.46%	472	78.54%	0	0.00%
CP012	1318	844	819	175	21.37%	644	78.63%	0	0.00%
CP02	1000	553	536	75	13.99%	461	86.01%	0	0.00%
CP04A	8	2	2	1	50.00%	1	50.00%	0	0.00%
CP04B	39	14	14	3	21.43%	11	78.57%	0	0.00%
CP05B	143	76	75	6	8.00%	69	92.00%	0	0.00%
CP051	1612	874	850	38	4.47%	811	95.41%	1	0.12%
CP06A	598	208	201	4	1.99%	197	98.01%	0	0.00%
CP07B	7	2	2	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP07C	244	47	47	3	6.38%	44	93.62%	0	0.00%
CP07D	694	208	200	6	3.00%	194	97.00%	0	0.00%
CP07E	125	41	39	1	2.56%	38	97.44%	0	0.00%
CP07F	184	55	51	1	1.96%	50	98.04%	0	0.00%
CP081	343	77	76	5	6.58%	71	93.42%	0	0.00%
CP083	219	25	25	0	0.00%	25	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP084	3	1	1	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP08A	705	175	172	7	4.07%	165	95.93%	0	0.00%
EP01A	2609	1464	1423	154	10.82%	1268	89.11%	1	0.07%
EP01B	3038	1539	1510	116	7.68%	1394	92.32%	0	0.00%
EP02A	1967	890	858	57	6.64%	801	93.36%	0	0.00%
EP02B	1449	977	961	132	13.74%	828	86.16%	1	0.10%
EP02C	428	251	247	54	21.86%	192	77.73%	1	0.40%
EP02D	326	192	185	9	4.86%	176	95.14%	0	0.00%
EP02E	1382	627	613	31	5.06%	582	94.94%	0	0.00%
EP03A	4293	2512	2447	136	5.56%	2302	94.07%	9	0.37%
EP03B	1421	973	947	51	5.39%	895	94.51%	1	0.11%
EP04A	5432	2626	2583	75	2.90%	2505	96.98%	3	0.12%
EP04B	2024	1203	1193	25	2.10%	1168	97.90%	0	0.00%
FA01A	3574	2126	2082	217	10.42%	1865	89.58%	0	0.00%
FA01B	4833	2965	2918	299	10.25%	2619	89.75%	0	0.00%
FA01C	681	401	399	18	4.51%	380	95.24%	1	0.25%
HP01	3212	1780	1729	444	25.68%	1284	74.26%	1	0.06%
JC01	4977	3215	3159	1307	41.37%	1850	58.56%	2	0.06%
JC02	2460	1474	1446	654	45.23%	792	54.77%	0	0.00%
JC03A	540	384	378	189	50.00%	189	50.00%	0	0.00%
JC03B	1448	955	936	374	39.96%	562	60.04%	0	0.00%
JC04A	4042	2692	2641	1277	48.35%	1361	51.53%	3	0.11%
JC04B	255	156	152	71	46.71%	81	53.29%	0	0.00%
JC05	2136	1391	1365	625	45.79%	740	54.21%	0	0.00%
JC06	2627	1580	1549	880	56.81%	669	43.19%	0	0.00%
JC07	4083	2627	2566	1189	46.34%	1377	53.66%	0	0.00%
JC08	2737	1870	1836	912	49.67%	924	50.33%	0	0.00%
JC09	2928	1878	1858	782	42.09%	1076	57.91%	0	0.00%
JC10	2392	1507	1474	590	40.03%	884	59.97%	0	0.00%
JC11	3186	2085	2054	1177	57.30%	875	42.60%	2	0.10%
JC12	2455	1753	1720	1158	67.33%	562	32.67%	0	0.00%
JC13A	2115	1462	1429	971	67.95%	458	32.05%	0	0.00%
JC13B	271	180	175	139	79.43%	36	20.57%	0	0.00%
JC14	2477	1634	1602	944	58.93%	658	41.07%	0	0.00%
JC15	834	523	507	206	40.63%	301	59.37%	0	0.00%
JC16	2496	1729	1689	857	50.74%	828	49.02%	4	0.24%
JC18	2670	1969	1943	1123	57.80%	820	42.20%	0	0.00%
JC19	3759	2528	2487	1256	50.50%	1229	49.42%	2	0.08%
ML011	2439	1681	1648	1025	62.20%	623	37.80%	0	0.00%
ML012	485	338	330	232	70.30%	98	29.70%	0	0.00%
ML01B	138	96	94	61	64.89%	33	35.11%	0	0.00%
ML021	1991	1369	1349	1021	75.69%	328	24.31%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

ATTORNEY GENERAL

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	C. CARR (I) R		C. BAILEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
ML022	241	148	146	112	76.71%	34	23.29%	0	0.00%
ML023	1542	1027	1009	747	74.03%	262	25.97%	0	0.00%
ML024	113	70	70	60	85.71%	10	14.29%	0	0.00%
ML03	3757	2575	2540	1796	70.71%	743	29.25%	1	0.04%
ML04A	1747	1176	1157	713	61.62%	444	38.38%	0	0.00%
ML04B	1206	838	827	583	70.50%	244	29.50%	0	0.00%
ML04C	79	66	65	45	69.23%	20	30.77%	0	0.00%
ML05A	39	27	27	18	66.67%	9	33.33%	0	0.00%
ML05B	381	250	246	164	66.67%	81	32.93%	1	0.41%
ML05C	4023	2615	2576	1355	52.60%	1220	47.36%	1	0.04%
ML06A	1973	1024	1011	351	34.72%	660	65.28%	0	0.00%
ML06B	2775	1734	1705	657	38.53%	1048	61.47%	0	0.00%
ML071	105	73	72	48	66.67%	24	33.33%	0	0.00%
ML072	1249	857	846	596	70.45%	249	29.43%	1	0.12%
ML07A	707	472	468	327	69.87%	141	30.13%	0	0.00%
MP01	450	323	317	151	47.63%	166	52.37%	0	0.00%
PA01	2509	1330	1295	300	23.17%	993	76.68%	2	0.15%
RW01	4717	3355	3285	1997	60.79%	1287	39.18%	1	0.03%
RW02	3794	2675	2613	1393	53.31%	1218	46.61%	2	0.08%
RW03	4510	2745	2686	1196	44.53%	1489	55.44%	1	0.04%
RW04	1803	1359	1333	680	51.01%	652	48.91%	1	0.08%
RW05	2322	1207	1186	412	34.74%	774	65.26%	0	0.00%
RW06	2820	1914	1888	938	49.68%	949	50.26%	1	0.05%
RW07A	1549	677	659	96	14.57%	562	85.28%	1	0.15%
RW07B	388	214	208	50	24.04%	158	75.96%	0	0.00%
RW08	2138	1462	1422	900	63.29%	522	36.71%	0	0.00%
RW09	5918	4216	4147	2734	65.93%	1411	34.02%	2	0.05%
RW10	3347	2376	2320	1408	60.69%	911	39.27%	1	0.04%
RW11A	2667	1693	1661	799	48.10%	862	51.90%	0	0.00%
RW12	5944	4234	4148	2546	61.38%	1602	38.62%	0	0.00%
RW13	3555	2476	2425	1284	52.95%	1139	46.97%	2	0.08%
RW16	1850	1275	1258	712	56.60%	546	43.40%	0	0.00%
RW17	2794	1773	1738	681	39.18%	1055	60.70%	2	0.12%
RW19	1993	1434	1412	904	64.02%	508	35.98%	0	0.00%
RW20	2486	1797	1767	986	55.80%	781	44.20%	0	0.00%
RW21	3144	2169	2132	1364	63.98%	768	36.02%	0	0.00%
RW22A	4406	2486	2448	935	38.19%	1511	61.72%	2	0.08%
SC01A	20	25	24	2	8.33%	22	91.67%	0	0.00%
SC01B	1707	1295	1283	38	2.96%	1244	96.96%	1	0.08%
SC01C	2063	1513	1487	47	3.16%	1438	96.70%	2	0.13%
SC01D	294	162	162	2	1.23%	160	98.77%	0	0.00%
SC02	872	662	651	37	5.68%	613	94.16%	1	0.15%
SC04	922	616	604	193	31.95%	411	68.05%	0	0.00%
SC05A	646	409	400	11	2.75%	389	97.25%	0	0.00%
SC05B	3204	2188	2158	80	3.71%	2076	96.20%	2	0.09%
SC05D	2171	1428	1418	51	3.60%	1367	96.40%	0	0.00%
SC05E	623	434	426	64	15.02%	362	84.98%	0	0.00%
SC07A	5595	3698	3640	290	7.97%	3350	92.03%	0	0.00%
SC07C	29	10	10	0	0.00%	10	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08B	3382	2008	1958	73	3.73%	1884	96.22%	1	0.05%
SC08C	74	53	50	0	0.00%	50	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08D	499	314	313	6	1.92%	306	97.76%	1	0.32%
SC08E	562	316	307	6	1.95%	301	98.05%	0	0.00%
SC08F	700	366	361	16	4.43%	345	95.57%	0	0.00%
SC08G	12	8	8	0	0.00%	8	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08H	4	3	3	0	0.00%	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC09A	1628	839	814	34	4.18%	779	95.70%	1	0.12%
SC09B	1687	1084	1061	36	3.39%	1025	96.61%	0	0.00%
SC09C	1566	842	825	21	2.55%	804	97.45%	0	0.00%
SC10	1678	661	649	21	3.24%	628	96.76%	0	0.00%
SC11A	1411	813	798	21	2.63%	776	97.24%	1	0.13%
SC11B	1506	878	866	31	3.58%	833	96.19%	2	0.23%
SC13	2465	1636	1618	80	4.94%	1535	94.87%	3	0.19%
SC14A	916	429	415	18	4.34%	396	95.42%	1	0.24%
SC14B	502	45	42	8	19.05%	34	80.95%	0	0.00%
SC15	6193	4213	4151	159	3.83%	3989	96.10%	3	0.07%
SC16A	4601	3208	3162	86	2.72%	3075	97.25%	1	0.03%
SC16B	14	15	15	0	0.00%	15	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC17A	55	42	42	0	0.00%	42	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC17B	7	4	4	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%
SC17C	1134	669	656	25	3.81%	631	96.19%	0	0.00%
SC18A	510	329	322	7	2.17%	315	97.83%	0	0.00%
SC18B	663	462	454	18	3.96%	436	96.04%	0	0.00%
SC18C	1850	1352	1336	71	5.31%	1265	94.69%	0	0.00%
SC19A	195	129	126	5	3.97%	121	96.03%	0	0.00%
SC19B	1267	690	671	31	4.62%	638	95.08%	2	0.30%
SC20	2197	1665	1651	50	3.03%	1600	96.91%	1	0.06%
SC211	1328	841	833	38	4.56%	794	95.32%	1	0.12%
SC212	3378	1947	1913	100	5.23%	1810	94.62%	3	0.16%
SC23A	3161	1730	1701	44	2.59%	1657	97.41%	0	0.00%
SC23B	483	284	281	12	4.27%	269	95.73%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

ATTORNEY GENERAL

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	C. CARR (I) R		C. BAILEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
SC23C	2252	1302	1273	31	2.44%	1241	97.49%	1	0.08%
SC27	2129	1259	1228	32	2.61%	1194	97.23%	2	0.16%
SC29A	2784	1544	1507	48	3.19%	1458	96.75%	1	0.07%
SC30A	135	97	92	1	1.09%	91	98.91%	0	0.00%
SC30B	960	531	516	13	2.52%	503	97.48%	0	0.00%
SS01	4487	3270	3205	1953	60.94%	1252	39.06%	0	0.00%
SS02A	1120	755	745	313	42.01%	432	57.99%	0	0.00%
SS02B	1255	756	745	191	25.64%	554	74.36%	0	0.00%
SS03	1464	1027	1008	459	45.54%	548	54.37%	1	0.10%
SS04	3264	2064	2025	731	36.10%	1293	63.85%	1	0.05%
SS05	1746	1376	1344	714	53.13%	630	46.88%	0	0.00%
SS06	1397	1073	1048	581	55.44%	467	44.56%	0	0.00%
SS07A	968	683	664	367	55.27%	297	44.73%	0	0.00%
SS07B	609	405	401	172	42.89%	229	57.11%	0	0.00%
SS07C	755	436	426	214	50.23%	212	49.77%	0	0.00%
SS08A	1316	758	748	237	31.68%	511	68.32%	0	0.00%
SS08B	635	453	448	235	52.46%	213	47.54%	0	0.00%
SS08C	632	393	386	118	30.57%	268	69.43%	0	0.00%
SS08D	1368	847	834	294	35.25%	540	64.75%	0	0.00%
SS09A	3003	2210	2167	1268	58.51%	898	41.44%	1	0.05%
SS09B	3358	2452	2408	1385	57.52%	1022	42.44%	1	0.04%
SS11A	3655	2227	2173	898	41.33%	1274	58.63%	1	0.05%
SS11B	1257	707	687	209	30.42%	478	69.58%	0	0.00%
SS11C	269	146	140	27	19.29%	113	80.71%	0	0.00%
SS11D	365	254	246	158	64.23%	88	35.77%	0	0.00%
SS12	2559	1732	1692	895	52.90%	796	47.04%	1	0.06%
SS13A	1633	1072	1057	517	48.91%	540	51.09%	0	0.00%
SS13B	1001	629	617	316	51.22%	301	48.78%	0	0.00%
SS14	1274	977	960	618	64.38%	341	35.52%	1	0.10%
SS15A	2572	1199	1174	171	14.57%	1003	85.43%	0	0.00%
SS15B	1579	902	883	273	30.92%	610	69.08%	0	0.00%
SS16	2030	1534	1506	886	58.83%	620	41.17%	0	0.00%
SS17	3397	2229	2192	1154	52.65%	1037	47.31%	1	0.05%
SS18A	619	454	450	226	50.22%	224	49.78%	0	0.00%
SS18B	221	162	158	80	50.63%	78	49.37%	0	0.00%
SS19A	3016	1683	1655	418	25.26%	1235	74.62%	2	0.12%
SS19B	2032	1226	1204	383	31.81%	821	68.19%	0	0.00%
SS20	2060	1159	1138	394	34.62%	744	65.38%	0	0.00%
SS22	2714	1613	1568	676	43.11%	892	56.89%	0	0.00%
SS26	3552	1822	1790	338	18.88%	1452	81.12%	0	0.00%
SS29A	2488	1595	1562	665	42.57%	897	57.43%	0	0.00%
SS31	1345	938	922	413	44.79%	509	55.21%	0	0.00%
UC01A	3622	1715	1690	77	4.56%	1613	95.44%	0	0.00%
UC01B	395	210	206	7	3.40%	199	96.60%	0	0.00%
UC01D	6	2	2	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
UC01E	285	206	206	3	1.46%	203	98.54%	0	0.00%
UC02A	7513	4296	4208	162	3.85%	4042	96.06%	4	0.10%
UC02B	30	19	19	4	21.05%	15	78.95%	0	0.00%
UC031	2399	1203	1171	206	17.59%	964	82.32%	1	0.09%
UC032	292	186	182	29	15.93%	153	84.07%	0	0.00%
Total	703177	424992	415756	122615	29.49%	292910	70.45%	231	0.06%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	G. BLACK (I) R		F. SWANN (D)		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide									
01A	3199	2362	2307	310	13.44%	1994	86.43%	3	0.13%
01B	3839	2737	2654	378	14.24%	2276	85.76%	0	0.00%
01C	1459	612	593	28	4.72%	565	95.28%	0	0.00%
01D	511	307	300	16	5.33%	284	94.67%	0	0.00%
01E	3363	2410	2330	398	17.08%	1930	82.83%	2	0.09%
01F	1254	452	438	21	4.79%	416	94.98%	1	0.23%
01G	1909	1102	1059	100	9.44%	959	90.56%	0	0.00%
01H	758	379	367	19	5.18%	347	94.55%	1	0.27%
01J	2416	1184	1146	50	4.36%	1095	95.55%	1	0.09%
01P	1244	542	503	17	3.38%	486	96.62%	0	0.00%
01R	1344	491	460	16	3.48%	444	96.52%	0	0.00%
01S	1749	823	783	44	5.62%	737	94.13%	2	0.26%
01T	1506	796	764	71	9.29%	693	90.71%	0	0.00%
02A	4584	2949	2885	726	25.16%	2158	74.80%	1	0.03%
02B	3518	1265	1232	184	14.94%	1048	85.06%	0	0.00%
02C	2037	1205	1156	162	14.01%	992	85.81%	2	0.17%
02D	4475	2660	2591	403	15.55%	2187	84.41%	1	0.04%
02E	1905	1423	1390	232	16.69%	1158	83.31%	0	0.00%
02F1	2962	2033	1968	376	19.11%	1588	80.69%	4	0.20%
02F2	788	458	445	64	14.38%	381	85.62%	0	0.00%
02G	3443	2361	2293	414	18.05%	1877	81.86%	2	0.09%
02J	2159	1432	1382	263	19.03%	1119	80.97%	0	0.00%
02K	1419	951	917	165	17.99%	752	82.01%	0	0.00%
02L1	6213	3978	3871	834	21.54%	3036	78.43%	1	0.03%
02L2	1364	782	756	168	22.22%	587	77.65%	1	0.13%
02S	708	427	417	48	11.51%	369	88.49%	0	0.00%
02W	682	399	388	77	19.85%	311	80.15%	0	0.00%
03A	1927	692	661	34	5.14%	627	94.86%	0	0.00%
03B	560	181	172	7	4.07%	165	95.93%	0	0.00%
03C	1534	674	639	27	4.23%	612	95.77%	0	0.00%
03D	1017	402	384	16	4.17%	368	95.83%	0	0.00%
03E	2284	914	878	47	5.35%	830	94.53%	1	0.11%
03F	1925	1115	1095	207	18.90%	888	81.10%	0	0.00%
03G	802	360	347	15	4.32%	332	95.68%	0	0.00%
03H	900	369	342	18	5.26%	324	94.74%	0	0.00%
03I	1360	566	543	122	22.47%	420	77.35%	1	0.18%
03L	594	229	217	8	3.69%	209	96.31%	0	0.00%
03M	766	452	429	124	28.90%	305	71.10%	0	0.00%
03N	2288	938	902	70	7.76%	832	92.24%	0	0.00%
03P1A	3882	1966	1919	316	16.47%	1600	83.38%	3	0.16%
03P2	13	7	7	0	0.00%	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
03S	761	356	344	14	4.07%	330	95.93%	0	0.00%
03T	1505	715	693	38	5.48%	655	94.52%	0	0.00%
04A	1202	560	533	20	3.75%	511	95.87%	2	0.38%
04B	2242	922	895	29	3.24%	865	96.65%	1	0.11%
04C	136	54	54	1	1.85%	53	98.15%	0	0.00%
04D	1481	714	685	42	6.13%	643	93.87%	0	0.00%
04F	2086	935	905	85	9.39%	819	90.50%	1	0.11%
04G	828	312	296	18	6.08%	278	93.92%	0	0.00%
04I	3362	561	542	59	10.89%	482	88.93%	1	0.18%
04J	1230	317	310	13	4.19%	297	95.81%	0	0.00%
04K	2339	1266	1199	63	5.25%	1133	94.50%	3	0.25%
04L	1655	867	835	66	7.90%	767	91.86%	2	0.24%
04M	773	292	283	20	7.07%	263	92.93%	0	0.00%
04S	2165	1118	1055	49	4.64%	1006	95.36%	0	0.00%
04T	1145	584	553	27	4.88%	525	94.94%	1	0.18%
04V	2848	1211	1163	38	3.27%	1125	96.73%	0	0.00%
04W	2203	820	805	15	1.86%	787	97.76%	3	0.37%
04X1	1942	950	916	55	6.00%	859	93.78%	2	0.22%
04X2	737	364	345	21	6.09%	324	93.91%	0	0.00%
05A1	2491	1728	1679	216	12.86%	1461	87.02%	2	0.12%
05A2	2910	1998	1951	266	13.63%	1682	86.21%	3	0.15%
05B	1763	901	846	55	6.50%	787	93.03%	4	0.47%
05C	146	67	65	5	7.69%	60	92.31%	0	0.00%
05D	386	93	89	8	8.99%	81	91.01%	0	0.00%
05F	223	136	136	11	8.09%	125	91.91%	0	0.00%
05J	639	416	406	51	12.56%	355	87.44%	0	0.00%
05K	2600	1327	1302	123	9.45%	1176	90.32%	3	0.23%
06B	1756	1238	1207	326	27.01%	881	72.99%	0	0.00%
06D	8568	6060	5896	1657	28.10%	4237	71.86%	2	0.03%
06E	2250	1439	1413	333	23.57%	1079	76.36%	1	0.07%
06F	2709	1757	1693	419	24.75%	1272	75.13%	2	0.12%
06G	536	369	358	83	23.18%	275	76.82%	0	0.00%
06I	3412	1957	1915	344	17.96%	1570	81.98%	1	0.05%
06J	2539	1904	1846	515	27.90%	1330	72.05%	1	0.05%
06L1	917	679	648	225	34.72%	422	65.12%	1	0.15%
06L2	654	487	470	192	40.85%	278	59.15%	0	0.00%
06N	1844	1085	1057	457	43.24%	600	56.76%	0	0.00%
06Q	527	295	292	33	11.30%	258	88.36%	1	0.34%
06R	1836	1206	1180	377	31.95%	802	67.97%	1	0.08%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	G. BLACK (I) R		F. SWANN (D)		Write-In Votes	
07A	6600	4093	3948	1942	49.19%	2000	50.66%	6	0.15%
07B	2763	2107	2045	1389	67.92%	656	32.08%	0	0.00%
07C	3927	2498	2411	1208	50.10%	1203	49.90%	0	0.00%
07D	4231	2413	2332	1092	46.83%	1239	53.13%	1	0.04%
07E	2031	1358	1315	491	37.34%	823	62.59%	1	0.08%
07F	3017	2041	1962	957	48.78%	1004	51.17%	1	0.05%
07H	1923	1424	1370	714	52.12%	655	47.81%	1	0.07%
07J	2647	1753	1696	744	43.87%	951	56.07%	1	0.06%
07M	2155	1180	1155	454	39.31%	701	60.69%	0	0.00%
07N	1946	1044	1001	214	21.38%	787	78.62%	0	0.00%
08A	2799	1949	1903	1079	56.70%	823	43.25%	1	0.05%
08B	3199	2255	2188	1414	64.63%	773	35.33%	1	0.05%
08C	1392	984	951	700	73.61%	251	26.39%	0	0.00%
08D	1022	770	741	545	73.55%	196	26.45%	0	0.00%
08E	2429	1864	1807	1189	65.80%	617	34.14%	1	0.06%
08F1	2071	1628	1561	1105	70.79%	454	29.08%	2	0.13%
08G	2787	1669	1615	744	46.07%	871	53.93%	0	0.00%
08H	2465	1874	1805	1148	63.60%	656	36.34%	1	0.06%
08J	2981	2223	2132	1179	55.30%	953	44.70%	0	0.00%
08K	973	702	688	348	50.58%	340	49.42%	0	0.00%
08L	4194	2706	2618	989	37.78%	1627	62.15%	2	0.08%
08M	1907	1358	1310	888	67.79%	422	32.21%	0	0.00%
08N1	767	587	569	397	69.77%	172	30.23%	0	0.00%
08N2	1391	927	887	459	51.75%	428	48.25%	0	0.00%
08P	1633	928	902	201	22.28%	699	77.49%	2	0.22%
09A	3338	2152	2085	611	29.30%	1471	70.55%	3	0.14%
09B	385	174	166	2	1.20%	164	98.80%	0	0.00%
09C	2954	1522	1450	56	3.86%	1394	96.14%	0	0.00%
09D	1879	858	811	36	4.44%	774	95.44%	1	0.12%
09E	2782	1420	1361	118	8.67%	1243	91.33%	0	0.00%
09F	3619	2526	2443	1181	48.34%	1259	51.53%	3	0.12%
09G	3519	2282	2225	615	27.64%	1610	72.36%	0	0.00%
09H	1064	645	628	105	16.72%	522	83.12%	1	0.16%
09I	1194	503	472	26	5.51%	446	94.49%	0	0.00%
09K	4403	1851	1781	95	5.33%	1685	94.61%	1	0.06%
09M	2259	1611	1558	401	25.74%	1154	74.07%	3	0.19%
10A	2850	1622	1554	50	3.22%	1503	96.72%	1	0.06%
10B	3686	2464	2384	91	3.82%	2290	96.06%	3	0.13%
10C	2466	1168	1113	39	3.50%	1073	96.41%	1	0.09%
10D	4220	1850	1754	76	4.33%	1676	95.55%	2	0.11%
10E	950	524	505	25	4.95%	480	95.05%	0	0.00%
10F	1053	590	567	32	5.64%	535	94.36%	0	0.00%
10G	1205	813	785	26	3.31%	758	96.56%	1	0.13%
10H1	408	238	233	10	4.29%	223	95.71%	0	0.00%
10H2	2207	1032	980	44	4.49%	935	95.41%	1	0.10%
10I	329	258	247	6	2.43%	240	97.17%	1	0.40%
10J	1012	466	446	12	2.69%	434	97.31%	0	0.00%
10K	1415	858	829	38	4.58%	791	95.42%	0	0.00%
10M	2529	1414	1355	63	4.65%	1291	95.28%	1	0.07%
10P	1364	668	635	33	5.20%	601	94.65%	1	0.16%
10R	713	347	329	11	3.34%	318	96.66%	0	0.00%
11B	5162	3088	2992	119	3.98%	2870	95.92%	3	0.10%
11C	2822	2003	1963	56	2.85%	1905	97.05%	2	0.10%
11E1	1141	634	617	26	4.21%	589	95.46%	2	0.32%
11E2	847	497	482	16	3.32%	466	96.68%	0	0.00%
11E3	3124	1835	1811	52	2.87%	1756	96.96%	3	0.17%
11G	2092	855	812	41	5.05%	771	94.95%	0	0.00%
11H	677	356	337	23	6.82%	314	93.18%	0	0.00%
11J	1827	1018	958	40	4.18%	918	95.82%	0	0.00%
11K	1818	1104	1064	55	5.17%	1008	94.74%	1	0.09%
11M	3039	1659	1612	53	3.29%	1557	96.59%	2	0.12%
11N	2166	1358	1311	58	4.42%	1253	95.58%	0	0.00%
11P	1833	1020	964	36	3.73%	928	96.27%	0	0.00%
11R	1849	672	635	28	4.41%	607	95.59%	0	0.00%
12A	4828	2734	2631	199	7.56%	2429	92.32%	3	0.11%
12D	1209	501	487	20	4.11%	467	95.89%	0	0.00%
12E1	1621	591	557	40	7.18%	516	92.64%	1	0.18%
12F	943	428	412	25	6.07%	387	93.93%	0	0.00%
12G	800	430	403	24	5.96%	379	94.04%	0	0.00%
12H1	1006	310	300	23	7.67%	276	92.00%	1	0.33%
12H2	2562	1189	1141	46	4.03%	1093	95.79%	2	0.18%
12I	970	413	384	16	4.17%	368	95.83%	0	0.00%
12J	2535	1108	1063	37	3.48%	1023	96.24%	3	0.28%
12K	3022	1240	1177	91	7.73%	1085	92.18%	1	0.08%
12L	613	238	225	9	4.00%	216	96.00%	0	0.00%
12M	2533	1171	1137	36	3.17%	1101	96.83%	0	0.00%
12N	907	327	309	18	5.83%	291	94.17%	0	0.00%
12S	1794	959	907	43	4.74%	864	95.26%	0	0.00%
AP01A	4724	3141	3058	1687	55.17%	1369	44.77%	2	0.07%
AP01B	913	516	503	242	48.11%	261	51.89%	0	0.00%
AP01C	2233	1498	1466	832	56.75%	633	43.18%	1	0.07%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	G. BLACK (I) R		F. SWANN (D)		Write-In Votes	
AP01D	915	539	526	237	45.06%	289	54.94%	0	0.00%
AP02B	474	263	257	134	52.14%	123	47.86%	0	0.00%
AP03	741	314	308	77	25.00%	231	75.00%	0	0.00%
AP04A	1647	1183	1152	732	63.54%	420	36.46%	0	0.00%
AP04B	415	290	283	161	56.89%	121	42.76%	1	0.35%
AP05	4367	2981	2908	1757	60.42%	1148	39.48%	3	0.10%
AP06	1827	1309	1263	831	65.80%	432	34.20%	0	0.00%
AP07A	3422	2102	2047	1127	55.06%	917	44.80%	3	0.15%
AP07B	1650	1144	1121	643	57.36%	478	42.64%	0	0.00%
AP09A	4051	2687	2614	1362	52.10%	1249	47.78%	3	0.11%
AP09B	810	531	519	220	42.39%	298	57.42%	1	0.19%
AP021	2994	1963	1915	1088	56.81%	824	43.03%	3	0.16%
AP022	2210	1429	1381	701	50.76%	679	49.17%	1	0.07%
AP10	942	681	658	424	64.44%	234	35.56%	0	0.00%
AP12A	658	374	363	140	38.57%	223	61.43%	0	0.00%
AP12B	747	428	416	141	33.89%	274	65.87%	1	0.24%
AP12C	1584	1005	974	559	57.39%	415	42.61%	0	0.00%
AP14	2972	2027	1977	1095	55.39%	882	44.61%	0	0.00%
CH01	330	164	161	43	26.71%	118	73.29%	0	0.00%
CH02	319	202	195	124	63.59%	70	35.90%	1	0.51%
CH03	379	250	244	160	65.57%	83	34.02%	1	0.41%
CH04A	647	444	435	244	56.09%	191	43.91%	0	0.00%
CH05	407	260	254	191	75.20%	62	24.41%	1	0.39%
CP01B	381	227	222	86	38.74%	136	61.26%	0	0.00%
CP011	1135	617	598	159	26.59%	439	73.41%	0	0.00%
CP012	1318	844	811	176	21.70%	635	78.30%	0	0.00%
CP02	1000	553	536	76	14.18%	460	85.82%	0	0.00%
CP04A	8	2	2	1	50.00%	1	50.00%	0	0.00%
CP04B	39	14	14	4	28.57%	10	71.43%	0	0.00%
CP05B	143	76	73	3	4.11%	70	95.89%	0	0.00%
CP051	1612	874	838	37	4.42%	800	95.47%	1	0.12%
CP06A	598	208	198	4	2.02%	194	97.98%	0	0.00%
CP07B	7	2	2	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP07C	244	47	47	4	8.51%	43	91.49%	0	0.00%
CP07D	694	208	199	6	3.02%	193	96.98%	0	0.00%
CP07E	125	41	39	1	2.56%	38	97.44%	0	0.00%
CP07F	184	55	50	1	2.00%	49	98.00%	0	0.00%
CP081	343	77	76	7	9.21%	69	90.79%	0	0.00%
CP083	219	25	25	1	4.00%	24	96.00%	0	0.00%
CP084	3	1	1	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP08A	705	175	173	7	4.05%	166	95.95%	0	0.00%
EP01A	2609	1464	1418	169	11.92%	1247	87.94%	2	0.14%
EP01B	3038	1539	1504	129	8.58%	1375	91.42%	0	0.00%
EP02A	1967	890	851	57	6.70%	794	93.30%	0	0.00%
EP02B	1449	977	956	158	16.53%	797	83.37%	1	0.10%
EP02C	428	251	247	53	21.46%	194	78.54%	0	0.00%
EP02D	326	192	185	9	4.86%	175	94.59%	1	0.54%
EP02E	1382	627	604	35	5.79%	569	94.21%	0	0.00%
EP03A	4293	2512	2441	152	6.23%	2286	93.65%	3	0.12%
EP03B	1421	973	948	65	6.86%	883	93.14%	0	0.00%
EP04A	5432	2626	2564	81	3.16%	2481	96.76%	2	0.08%
EP04B	2024	1203	1185	28	2.36%	1157	97.64%	0	0.00%
FA01A	3574	2126	2074	240	11.57%	1834	88.43%	0	0.00%
FA01B	4833	2965	2893	329	11.37%	2564	88.63%	0	0.00%
FA01C	681	401	397	20	5.04%	376	94.71%	1	0.25%
HP01	3212	1780	1719	488	28.39%	1231	71.61%	0	0.00%
JC01	4977	3215	3131	1336	42.67%	1788	57.11%	7	0.22%
JC02	2460	1474	1433	659	45.99%	774	54.01%	0	0.00%
JC03A	540	384	375	185	49.33%	190	50.67%	0	0.00%
JC03B	1448	955	927	388	41.86%	538	58.04%	1	0.11%
JC04A	4042	2692	2614	1312	50.19%	1299	49.69%	3	0.11%
JC04B	255	156	147	72	48.98%	75	51.02%	0	0.00%
JC05	2136	1391	1357	637	46.94%	720	53.06%	0	0.00%
JC06	2627	1580	1533	891	58.12%	642	41.88%	0	0.00%
JC07	4083	2627	2549	1225	48.06%	1322	51.86%	2	0.08%
JC08	2737	1870	1820	956	52.53%	862	47.36%	2	0.11%
JC09	2928	1878	1844	815	44.20%	1027	55.69%	2	0.11%
JC10	2392	1507	1464	609	41.60%	855	58.40%	0	0.00%
JC11	3186	2085	2038	1199	58.83%	839	41.17%	0	0.00%
JC12	2455	1753	1716	1175	68.47%	541	31.53%	0	0.00%
JC13A	2115	1462	1425	980	68.77%	445	31.23%	0	0.00%
JC13B	271	180	171	138	80.70%	33	19.30%	0	0.00%
JC14	2477	1634	1593	971	60.95%	620	38.92%	2	0.13%
JC15	834	523	505	210	41.58%	295	58.42%	0	0.00%
JC16	2496	1729	1685	900	53.41%	782	46.41%	3	0.18%
JC18	2670	1969	1928	1143	59.28%	783	40.61%	2	0.10%
JC19	3759	2528	2469	1292	52.33%	1177	47.67%	0	0.00%
ML011	2439	1681	1642	1045	63.64%	597	36.36%	0	0.00%
ML012	485	338	329	245	74.47%	84	25.53%	0	0.00%
ML01B	138	96	94	65	69.15%	29	30.85%	0	0.00%
ML021	1991	1369	1340	1033	77.09%	307	22.91%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	G. BLACK (I) R		F. SWANN (D)		Write-In Votes	
ML022	241	148	147	117	79.59%	30	20.41%	0	0.00%
ML023	1542	1027	999	748	74.87%	251	25.13%	0	0.00%
ML024	113	70	70	61	87.14%	9	12.86%	0	0.00%
ML03	3757	2575	2529	1830	72.36%	699	27.64%	0	0.00%
ML04A	1747	1176	1140	714	62.63%	425	37.28%	1	0.09%
ML04B	1206	838	818	592	72.37%	226	27.63%	0	0.00%
ML04C	79	66	63	44	69.84%	19	30.16%	0	0.00%
ML05A	39	27	27	19	70.37%	8	29.63%	0	0.00%
ML05B	381	250	245	171	69.80%	73	29.80%	1	0.41%
ML05C	4023	2615	2549	1399	54.88%	1147	45.00%	3	0.12%
ML06A	1973	1024	1007	380	37.74%	627	62.26%	0	0.00%
ML06B	2775	1734	1693	671	39.63%	1021	60.31%	1	0.06%
ML071	105	73	71	53	74.65%	18	25.35%	0	0.00%
ML072	1249	857	838	602	71.84%	235	28.04%	1	0.12%
ML07A	707	472	461	330	71.58%	131	28.42%	0	0.00%
MP01	450	323	318	160	50.31%	158	49.69%	0	0.00%
PA01	2509	1330	1287	318	24.71%	967	75.14%	2	0.16%
RW01	4717	3355	3245	2045	63.02%	1199	36.95%	1	0.03%
RW02	3794	2675	2596	1447	55.74%	1147	44.18%	2	0.08%
RW03	4510	2745	2660	1253	47.11%	1406	52.86%	1	0.04%
RW04	1803	1359	1322	706	53.40%	615	46.52%	1	0.08%
RW05	2322	1207	1175	424	36.09%	751	63.91%	0	0.00%
RW06	2820	1914	1866	968	51.88%	896	48.02%	2	0.11%
RW07A	1549	677	657	103	15.68%	552	84.02%	2	0.30%
RW07B	388	214	207	59	28.50%	148	71.50%	0	0.00%
RW08	2138	1462	1411	939	66.55%	471	33.38%	1	0.07%
RW09	5918	4216	4119	2808	68.17%	1308	31.76%	3	0.07%
RW10	3347	2376	2299	1457	63.38%	841	36.58%	1	0.04%
RW11A	2667	1693	1649	832	50.45%	817	49.55%	0	0.00%
RW12	5944	4234	4121	2595	62.97%	1525	37.01%	1	0.02%
RW13	3555	2476	2412	1329	55.10%	1081	44.82%	2	0.08%
RW16	1850	1275	1240	752	60.65%	488	39.35%	0	0.00%
RW17	2794	1773	1723	713	41.38%	1008	58.50%	2	0.12%
RW19	1993	1434	1401	929	66.31%	471	33.62%	1	0.07%
RW20	2486	1797	1747	1008	57.70%	736	42.13%	3	0.17%
RW21	3144	2169	2121	1387	65.39%	734	34.61%	0	0.00%
RW22A	4406	2486	2420	982	40.58%	1434	59.26%	4	0.17%
SC01A	20	25	23	1	4.35%	22	95.65%	0	0.00%
SC01B	1707	1295	1280	53	4.14%	1225	95.70%	2	0.16%
SC01C	2063	1513	1481	53	3.58%	1427	96.35%	1	0.07%
SC01D	294	162	161	1	0.62%	160	99.38%	0	0.00%
SC02	872	662	654	40	6.12%	614	93.88%	0	0.00%
SC04	922	616	600	204	34.00%	395	65.83%	1	0.17%
SC05A	646	409	397	10	2.52%	387	97.48%	0	0.00%
SC05B	3204	2188	2151	98	4.56%	2052	95.40%	1	0.05%
SC05D	2171	1428	1415	58	4.10%	1356	95.83%	1	0.07%
SC05E	623	434	427	71	16.63%	356	83.37%	0	0.00%
SC07A	5595	3698	3635	347	9.55%	3287	90.43%	1	0.03%
SC07C	29	10	9	1	11.11%	8	88.89%	0	0.00%
SC08B	3382	2008	1958	82	4.19%	1875	95.76%	1	0.05%
SC08C	74	53	52	0	0.00%	52	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08D	499	314	310	13	4.19%	297	95.81%	0	0.00%
SC08E	562	316	308	5	1.62%	303	98.38%	0	0.00%
SC08F	700	366	359	19	5.29%	340	94.71%	0	0.00%
SC08G	12	8	8	0	0.00%	8	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08H	4	3	3	0	0.00%	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC09A	1628	839	808	39	4.83%	769	95.17%	0	0.00%
SC09B	1687	1084	1058	33	3.12%	1025	96.88%	0	0.00%
SC09C	1566	842	819	40	4.88%	779	95.12%	0	0.00%
SC10	1678	661	648	29	4.48%	619	95.52%	0	0.00%
SC11A	1411	813	795	27	3.40%	767	96.48%	1	0.13%
SC11B	1506	878	865	36	4.16%	828	95.72%	1	0.12%
SC13	2465	1636	1608	97	6.03%	1509	93.84%	2	0.12%
SC14A	916	429	410	18	4.39%	391	95.37%	1	0.24%
SC14B	502	45	42	6	14.29%	36	85.71%	0	0.00%
SC15	6193	4213	4138	175	4.23%	3961	95.72%	2	0.05%
SC16A	4601	3208	3150	100	3.17%	3046	96.70%	4	0.13%
SC16B	14	15	14	1	7.14%	13	92.86%	0	0.00%
SC17A	55	42	42	0	0.00%	42	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC17B	7	4	4	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%
SC17C	1134	669	654	29	4.43%	625	95.57%	0	0.00%
SC18A	510	329	323	8	2.48%	314	97.21%	1	0.31%
SC18B	663	462	454	23	5.07%	431	94.93%	0	0.00%
SC18C	1850	1352	1333	73	5.48%	1259	94.45%	1	0.08%
SC19A	195	129	128	4	3.13%	124	96.88%	0	0.00%
SC19B	1267	690	672	32	4.76%	638	94.94%	2	0.30%
SC20	2197	1665	1644	52	3.16%	1592	96.84%	0	0.00%
SC211	1328	841	828	42	5.07%	785	94.81%	1	0.12%
SC212	3378	1947	1910	114	5.97%	1795	93.98%	1	0.05%
SC23A	3161	1730	1694	56	3.31%	1637	96.64%	1	0.06%
SC23B	483	284	280	11	3.93%	269	96.07%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

AGRICULTURE COMMISSIONER

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	G. BLACK (I) R		F. SWANN (D)		Write-In Votes	
SC23C	2252	1302	1270	35	2.76%	1235	97.24%	0	0.00%
SC27	2129	1259	1222	37	3.03%	1183	96.81%	2	0.16%
SC29A	2784	1544	1500	59	3.93%	1439	95.93%	2	0.13%
SC30A	135	97	93	2	2.15%	91	97.85%	0	0.00%
SC30B	960	531	511	15	2.94%	496	97.06%	0	0.00%
SS01	4487	3270	3165	2017	63.73%	1147	36.24%	1	0.03%
SS02A	1120	755	741	337	45.48%	404	54.52%	0	0.00%
SS02B	1255	756	734	212	28.88%	522	71.12%	0	0.00%
SS03	1464	1027	991	493	49.75%	497	50.15%	1	0.10%
SS04	3264	2064	2012	787	39.12%	1224	60.83%	1	0.05%
SS05	1746	1376	1334	752	56.37%	581	43.55%	1	0.07%
SS06	1397	1073	1036	603	58.20%	433	41.80%	0	0.00%
SS07A	968	683	665	391	58.80%	274	41.20%	0	0.00%
SS07B	609	405	396	181	45.71%	215	54.29%	0	0.00%
SS07C	755	436	422	221	52.37%	201	47.63%	0	0.00%
SS08A	1316	758	738	256	34.69%	482	65.31%	0	0.00%
SS08B	635	453	441	246	55.78%	195	44.22%	0	0.00%
SS08C	632	393	380	124	32.63%	256	67.37%	0	0.00%
SS08D	1368	847	832	302	36.30%	530	63.70%	0	0.00%
SS09A	3003	2210	2145	1329	61.96%	815	38.00%	1	0.05%
SS09B	3358	2452	2389	1476	61.78%	912	38.17%	1	0.04%
SS11A	3655	2227	2156	953	44.20%	1201	55.71%	2	0.09%
SS11B	1257	707	681	228	33.48%	453	66.52%	0	0.00%
SS11C	269	146	139	28	20.14%	111	79.86%	0	0.00%
SS11D	365	254	243	153	62.96%	90	37.04%	0	0.00%
SS12	2559	1732	1666	930	55.82%	735	44.12%	1	0.06%
SS13A	1633	1072	1042	540	51.82%	502	48.18%	0	0.00%
SS13B	1001	629	615	322	52.36%	293	47.64%	0	0.00%
SS14	1274	977	957	656	68.55%	301	31.45%	0	0.00%
SS15A	2572	1199	1171	185	15.80%	985	84.12%	1	0.09%
SS15B	1579	902	876	283	32.31%	593	67.69%	0	0.00%
SS16	2030	1534	1490	928	62.28%	561	37.65%	1	0.07%
SS17	3397	2229	2172	1201	55.29%	969	44.61%	2	0.09%
SS18A	619	454	447	250	55.93%	197	44.07%	0	0.00%
SS18B	221	162	154	83	53.90%	71	46.10%	0	0.00%
SS19A	3016	1683	1648	449	27.25%	1197	72.63%	2	0.12%
SS19B	2032	1226	1200	437	36.42%	763	63.58%	0	0.00%
SS20	2060	1159	1132	417	36.84%	714	63.07%	1	0.09%
SS22	2714	1613	1565	705	45.05%	858	54.82%	2	0.13%
SS26	3552	1822	1776	358	20.16%	1418	79.84%	0	0.00%
SS29A	2488	1595	1543	698	45.24%	844	54.70%	1	0.06%
SS31	1345	938	907	429	47.30%	478	52.70%	0	0.00%
UC01A	3622	1715	1690	91	5.38%	1597	94.50%	2	0.12%
UC01B	395	210	203	7	3.45%	196	96.55%	0	0.00%
UC01D	6	2	2	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
UC01E	285	206	205	5	2.44%	200	97.56%	0	0.00%
UC02A	7513	4296	4187	177	4.23%	4004	95.63%	6	0.14%
UC02B	30	19	19	4	21.05%	15	78.95%	0	0.00%
UC031	2399	1203	1151	207	17.98%	944	82.02%	0	0.00%
UC032	292	186	183	30	16.39%	153	83.61%	0	0.00%
Total	703177	424992	412548	130281	31.58%	281983	68.35%	284	0.07%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

INSURANCE COMMISSIONER

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	J. BECK (R)		J. LAWS (D)		D. FOSTER (L)		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide											
01A	3199	2362	2303	209	9.08%	1989	86.37%	102	4.43%	3	0.13%
01B	3839	2737	2661	240	9.02%	2297	86.32%	124	4.66%	0	0.00%
01C	1459	612	598	22	3.68%	557	93.14%	19	3.18%	0	0.00%
01D	511	307	300	14	4.67%	273	91.00%	13	4.33%	0	0.00%
01E	3363	2410	2340	281	12.01%	1958	83.68%	100	4.27%	1	0.04%
01F	1254	452	437	13	2.97%	410	93.82%	13	2.97%	1	0.23%
01G	1909	1102	1067	55	5.15%	968	90.72%	43	4.03%	1	0.09%
01H	758	379	369	14	3.79%	344	93.22%	10	2.71%	1	0.27%
01J	2416	1184	1151	39	3.39%	1081	93.92%	30	2.61%	1	0.09%
01P	1244	542	518	12	2.32%	493	95.17%	13	2.51%	0	0.00%
01R	1344	491	462	12	2.60%	442	95.67%	8	1.73%	0	0.00%
01S	1749	823	792	31	3.91%	744	93.94%	15	1.89%	2	0.25%
01T	1506	796	774	57	7.36%	678	87.60%	39	5.04%	0	0.00%
02A	4584	2949	2887	582	20.16%	2198	76.13%	106	3.67%	1	0.03%
02B	3518	1265	1236	129	10.44%	1055	85.36%	52	4.21%	0	0.00%
02C	2037	1205	1163	109	9.37%	1013	87.10%	39	3.35%	2	0.17%
02D	4475	2660	2600	297	11.42%	2205	84.81%	98	3.77%	0	0.00%
02E	1905	1423	1399	141	10.08%	1196	85.49%	62	4.43%	0	0.00%
02F1	2962	2033	1965	261	13.28%	1600	81.42%	102	5.19%	2	0.10%
02F2	788	458	443	45	10.16%	373	84.20%	25	5.64%	0	0.00%
02G	3443	2361	2297	281	12.23%	1910	83.15%	105	4.57%	1	0.04%
02J	2159	1432	1388	169	12.18%	1154	83.14%	65	4.68%	0	0.00%
02K	1419	951	916	128	13.97%	741	80.90%	47	5.13%	0	0.00%
02L1	6213	3978	3880	661	17.04%	3070	79.12%	149	3.84%	0	0.00%
02L2	1364	782	761	140	18.40%	586	77.00%	35	4.60%	0	0.00%
02S	708	427	420	28	6.67%	374	89.05%	17	4.05%	1	0.24%
02W	682	399	385	60	15.58%	312	81.04%	12	3.12%	1	0.26%
03A	1927	692	654	18	2.75%	614	93.88%	21	3.21%	1	0.15%
03B	560	181	173	8	4.62%	160	92.49%	5	2.89%	0	0.00%
03C	1534	674	643	12	1.87%	617	95.96%	14	2.18%	0	0.00%
03D	1017	402	391	15	3.84%	369	94.37%	7	1.79%	0	0.00%
03E	2284	914	887	30	3.38%	836	94.25%	21	2.37%	0	0.00%
03F	1925	1115	1095	164	14.98%	890	81.28%	41	3.74%	0	0.00%
03G	802	360	351	4	1.14%	336	95.73%	11	3.13%	0	0.00%
03H	900	369	352	13	3.69%	333	94.60%	6	1.70%	0	0.00%
03I	1360	566	547	76	13.89%	436	79.71%	35	6.40%	0	0.00%
03L	594	229	218	5	2.29%	207	94.95%	6	2.75%	0	0.00%
03M	766	452	429	99	23.08%	304	70.86%	26	6.06%	0	0.00%
03N	2288	938	902	39	4.32%	832	92.24%	31	3.44%	0	0.00%
03P1A	3882	1966	1912	232	12.13%	1588	83.05%	90	4.71%	2	0.10%
03P2	13	7	6	0	0.00%	6	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
03S	761	356	348	11	3.16%	330	94.83%	7	2.01%	0	0.00%
03T	1505	715	698	29	4.15%	648	92.84%	21	3.01%	0	0.00%
04A	1202	560	537	12	2.23%	512	95.34%	13	2.42%	0	0.00%
04B	2242	922	894	15	1.68%	854	95.53%	24	2.68%	1	0.11%
04C	136	54	54	0	0.00%	53	98.15%	1	1.85%	0	0.00%
04D	1481	714	683	21	3.07%	645	94.44%	17	2.49%	0	0.00%
04F	2086	935	913	68	7.45%	814	89.16%	30	3.29%	1	0.11%
04G	828	312	300	8	2.67%	287	95.67%	5	1.67%	0	0.00%
04I	3362	561	543	36	6.63%	480	88.40%	27	4.97%	0	0.00%
04J	1230	317	313	9	2.88%	290	92.65%	14	4.47%	0	0.00%
04K	2339	1266	1212	37	3.05%	1137	93.81%	37	3.05%	1	0.08%
04L	1655	867	837	35	4.18%	769	91.88%	33	3.94%	0	0.00%
04M	773	292	287	12	4.18%	259	90.24%	16	5.57%	0	0.00%
04S	2165	1118	1064	29	2.73%	1007	94.64%	28	2.63%	0	0.00%
04T	1145	584	560	17	3.04%	524	93.57%	17	3.04%	2	0.36%
04V	2848	1211	1164	13	1.12%	1115	95.79%	36	3.09%	0	0.00%
04W	2203	820	808	10	1.24%	775	95.92%	22	2.72%	1	0.12%
04X1	1942	950	921	26	2.82%	874	94.90%	20	2.17%	1	0.11%
04X2	737	364	346	11	3.18%	321	92.77%	14	4.05%	0	0.00%
05A1	2491	1728	1686	158	9.37%	1448	85.88%	79	4.69%	1	0.06%
05A2	2910	1998	1945	158	8.12%	1704	87.61%	81	4.16%	2	0.10%
05B	1763	901	850	37	4.35%	780	91.76%	31	3.65%	2	0.24%
05C	146	67	64	4	6.25%	57	89.06%	3	4.69%	0	0.00%
05D	386	93	90	6	6.67%	81	90.00%	3	3.33%	0	0.00%
05F	223	136	136	10	7.35%	126	92.65%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
05J	639	416	409	37	9.05%	352	86.06%	20	4.89%	0	0.00%
05K	2600	1327	1305	83	6.36%	1179	90.34%	42	3.22%	1	0.08%
06B	1756	1238	1202	232	19.30%	919	76.46%	50	4.16%	1	0.08%
06D	8568	6060	5909	1270	21.49%	4424	74.87%	212	3.59%	3	0.05%
06E	2250	1439	1416	290	20.48%	1058	74.72%	67	4.73%	1	0.07%
06F	2709	1757	1695	315	18.58%	1318	77.76%	62	3.66%	0	0.00%
06G	536	369	357	57	15.97%	286	80.11%	14	3.92%	0	0.00%
06I	3412	1957	1916	267	13.94%	1577	82.31%	71	3.71%	1	0.05%
06J	2539	1904	1843	395	21.43%	1368	74.23%	78	4.23%	2	0.11%
06L1	917	679	654	181	27.68%	451	68.96%	22	3.36%	0	0.00%
06L2	654	487	473	158	33.40%	303	64.06%	12	2.54%	0	0.00%
06N	1844	1085	1055	388	36.78%	636	60.28%	31	2.94%	0	0.00%
06Q	527	295	291	27	9.28%	254	87.29%	10	3.44%	0	0.00%
06R	1836	1206	1179	271	22.99%	878	74.47%	30	2.54%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

INSURANCE COMMISSIONER

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	J. BECK (R)		J. LAWS (D)		D. FOSTER (L)		Write-In Votes	
07A	6600	4093	3959	1761	44.48%	2062	52.08%	132	3.33%	4	0.10%
07B	2763	2107	2047	1302	63.61%	677	33.07%	67	3.27%	1	0.05%
07C	3927	2498	2425	1120	46.19%	1249	51.51%	54	2.23%	2	0.08%
07D	4231	2413	2331	996	42.73%	1227	52.64%	105	4.50%	3	0.13%
07E	2031	1358	1320	448	33.94%	837	63.41%	35	2.65%	0	0.00%
07F	3017	2041	1973	871	44.15%	1028	52.10%	74	3.75%	0	0.00%
07H	1923	1424	1372	631	45.99%	701	51.09%	39	2.84%	1	0.07%
07J	2647	1753	1692	636	37.59%	988	58.39%	68	4.02%	0	0.00%
07M	2155	1180	1150	386	33.57%	713	62.00%	51	4.43%	0	0.00%
07N	1946	1044	1007	178	17.68%	785	77.95%	44	4.37%	0	0.00%
08A	2799	1949	1907	999	52.39%	854	44.78%	54	2.83%	0	0.00%
08B	3199	2255	2190	1287	58.77%	830	37.90%	71	3.24%	2	0.09%
08C	1392	984	957	663	69.28%	275	28.74%	18	1.88%	1	0.10%
08D	1022	770	741	509	68.69%	216	29.15%	15	2.02%	1	0.13%
08E	2429	1864	1812	1092	60.26%	671	37.03%	49	2.70%	0	0.00%
08F1	2071	1628	1562	1044	66.84%	471	30.15%	46	2.94%	1	0.06%
08G	2787	1669	1625	674	41.48%	905	55.69%	45	2.77%	1	0.06%
08H	2465	1874	1812	1030	56.84%	730	40.29%	50	2.76%	2	0.11%
08J	2981	2223	2139	1040	48.62%	1000	46.75%	97	4.53%	2	0.09%
08K	973	702	683	310	45.39%	348	50.95%	25	3.66%	0	0.00%
08L	4194	2706	2625	832	31.70%	1662	63.31%	128	4.88%	3	0.11%
08M	1907	1358	1306	821	62.86%	450	34.46%	35	2.68%	0	0.00%
08N1	767	587	569	368	64.67%	182	31.99%	18	3.16%	1	0.18%
08N2	1391	927	897	418	46.60%	460	51.28%	19	2.12%	0	0.00%
08P	1633	928	901	172	19.09%	693	76.91%	34	3.77%	2	0.22%
09A	3338	2152	2083	490	23.52%	1477	70.91%	115	5.52%	1	0.05%
09B	385	174	169	2	1.18%	166	98.22%	1	0.59%	0	0.00%
09C	2954	1522	1461	34	2.33%	1401	95.89%	26	1.78%	0	0.00%
09D	1879	858	807	16	1.98%	777	96.28%	13	1.61%	1	0.12%
09E	2782	1420	1366	83	6.08%	1229	89.97%	53	3.88%	1	0.07%
09F	3619	2526	2449	1053	43.00%	1304	53.25%	91	3.72%	1	0.04%
09G	3519	2282	2214	494	22.31%	1615	72.94%	103	4.65%	2	0.09%
09H	1064	645	629	62	9.86%	530	84.26%	36	5.72%	1	0.16%
09I	1194	503	479	16	3.34%	450	93.95%	12	2.51%	1	0.21%
09K	4403	1851	1794	58	3.23%	1702	94.87%	33	1.84%	1	0.06%
09M	2259	1611	1559	320	20.53%	1154	74.02%	79	5.07%	6	0.38%
10A	2850	1622	1573	26	1.65%	1520	96.63%	27	1.72%	0	0.00%
10B	3686	2464	2401	48	2.00%	2309	96.17%	41	1.71%	3	0.12%
10C	2466	1168	1125	16	1.42%	1091	96.98%	18	1.60%	0	0.00%
10D	4220	1850	1774	36	2.03%	1698	95.72%	39	2.20%	1	0.06%
10E	950	524	507	17	3.35%	481	94.87%	9	1.78%	0	0.00%
10F	1053	590	567	15	2.65%	534	94.18%	18	3.17%	0	0.00%
10G	1205	813	790	12	1.52%	759	96.08%	16	2.03%	3	0.38%
10H1	408	238	234	5	2.14%	225	96.15%	4	1.71%	0	0.00%
10H2	2207	1032	996	23	2.31%	960	96.39%	12	1.20%	1	0.10%
10I	329	258	255	5	1.96%	248	97.25%	2	0.78%	0	0.00%
10J	1012	466	446	4	0.90%	434	97.31%	8	1.79%	0	0.00%
10K	1415	858	830	21	2.53%	794	95.66%	14	1.69%	1	0.12%
10M	2529	1414	1361	32	2.35%	1289	94.71%	38	2.79%	2	0.15%
10P	1364	668	645	13	2.02%	622	96.43%	10	1.55%	0	0.00%
10R	713	347	337	7	2.08%	320	94.96%	10	2.97%	0	0.00%
11B	5162	3088	3010	58	1.93%	2902	96.41%	48	1.59%	2	0.07%
11C	2822	2003	1980	29	1.46%	1921	97.02%	28	1.41%	2	0.10%
11E1	1141	634	622	12	1.93%	591	95.02%	18	2.89%	1	0.16%
11E2	847	497	486	10	2.06%	468	96.30%	8	1.65%	0	0.00%
11E3	3124	1835	1812	31	1.71%	1751	96.63%	29	1.60%	1	0.06%
11G	2092	855	820	24	2.93%	780	95.12%	16	1.95%	0	0.00%
11H	677	356	344	14	4.07%	320	93.02%	9	2.62%	1	0.29%
11J	1827	1018	977	25	2.56%	934	95.60%	18	1.84%	0	0.00%
11K	1818	1104	1078	29	2.69%	1019	94.53%	30	2.78%	0	0.00%
11M	3039	1659	1628	27	1.66%	1572	96.56%	27	1.66%	2	0.12%
11N	2166	1358	1319	25	1.90%	1278	96.89%	16	1.21%	0	0.00%
11P	1833	1020	973	14	1.44%	952	97.84%	7	0.72%	0	0.00%
11R	1849	672	644	11	1.71%	622	96.58%	11	1.71%	0	0.00%
12A	4828	2734	2662	130	4.88%	2435	91.47%	94	3.53%	3	0.11%
12D	1209	501	485	10	2.06%	459	94.64%	16	3.30%	0	0.00%
12E1	1621	591	560	27	4.82%	521	93.04%	12	2.14%	0	0.00%
12F	943	428	419	14	3.34%	387	92.36%	18	4.30%	0	0.00%
12G	800	430	407	15	3.69%	387	95.09%	5	1.23%	0	0.00%
12H1	1006	310	302	19	6.29%	274	90.73%	8	2.65%	1	0.33%
12H2	2562	1189	1148	32	2.79%	1092	95.12%	22	1.92%	2	0.17%
12I	970	413	392	10	2.55%	375	95.66%	7	1.79%	0	0.00%
12J	2535	1108	1066	14	1.31%	1030	96.62%	19	1.78%	3	0.28%
12K	3022	1240	1191	57	4.79%	1101	92.44%	33	2.77%	0	0.00%
12L	613	238	227	8	3.52%	218	96.04%	1	0.44%	0	0.00%
12M	2533	1171	1137	21	1.85%	1101	96.83%	15	1.32%	0	0.00%
12N	907	327	310	16	5.16%	285	91.94%	9	2.90%	0	0.00%
12S	1794	959	913	19	2.08%	864	94.63%	29	3.18%	1	0.11%
AP01A	4724	3141	3073	1590	51.74%	1389	45.20%	93	3.03%	1	0.03%
AP01B	913	516	503	233	46.32%	250	49.70%	20	3.98%	0	0.00%
AP01C	2233	1498	1475	777	52.68%	647	43.86%	50	3.39%	1	0.07%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

INSURANCE COMMISSIONER

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	J. BECK (R)		J. LAWS (D)		D. FOSTER (L)		Write-In Votes	
AP01D	915	539	526	217	41.25%	291	55.32%	18	3.42%	0	0.00%
AP02B	474	263	259	128	49.42%	127	49.03%	4	1.54%	0	0.00%
AP03	741	314	307	69	22.48%	225	73.29%	13	4.23%	0	0.00%
AP04A	1647	1183	1153	682	59.15%	422	36.60%	49	4.25%	0	0.00%
AP04B	415	290	283	151	53.36%	121	42.76%	11	3.89%	0	0.00%
AP05	4367	2981	2912	1678	57.62%	1163	39.94%	70	2.40%	1	0.03%
AP06	1827	1309	1266	787	62.16%	442	34.91%	36	2.84%	1	0.08%
AP07A	3422	2102	2049	1045	51.00%	919	44.85%	82	4.00%	3	0.15%
AP07B	1650	1144	1118	611	54.65%	476	42.58%	30	2.68%	1	0.09%
AP09A	4051	2687	2633	1267	48.12%	1274	48.39%	89	3.38%	3	0.11%
AP09B	810	531	524	212	40.46%	296	56.49%	16	3.05%	0	0.00%
AP021	2994	1963	1917	1012	52.79%	833	43.45%	70	3.65%	2	0.10%
AP022	2210	1429	1387	660	47.58%	671	48.38%	56	4.04%	0	0.00%
AP10	942	681	663	386	58.22%	245	36.95%	32	4.83%	0	0.00%
AP12A	658	374	365	130	35.62%	221	60.55%	14	3.84%	0	0.00%
AP12B	747	428	420	133	31.67%	277	65.95%	10	2.38%	0	0.00%
AP12C	1584	1005	987	529	53.60%	431	43.67%	27	2.74%	0	0.00%
AP14	2972	2027	1981	1003	50.63%	912	46.04%	65	3.28%	1	0.05%
CH01	330	164	161	38	23.60%	122	75.78%	1	0.62%	0	0.00%
CH02	319	202	195	118	60.51%	72	36.92%	4	2.05%	1	0.51%
CH03	379	250	243	154	63.37%	85	34.98%	4	1.65%	0	0.00%
CH04A	647	444	436	229	52.52%	199	45.64%	7	1.61%	1	0.23%
CH05	407	260	256	185	72.27%	65	25.39%	6	2.34%	0	0.00%
CP01B	381	227	222	77	34.68%	139	62.61%	6	2.70%	0	0.00%
CP011	1135	617	604	127	21.03%	452	74.83%	25	4.14%	0	0.00%
CP012	1318	844	813	162	19.93%	627	77.12%	24	2.95%	0	0.00%
CP02	1000	553	539	69	12.80%	453	84.04%	17	3.15%	0	0.00%
CP04A	8	2	2	1	50.00%	1	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP04B	39	14	14	2	14.29%	12	85.71%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP05B	143	76	73	4	5.48%	67	91.78%	2	2.74%	0	0.00%
CP051	1612	874	849	33	3.89%	807	95.05%	7	0.82%	2	0.24%
CP06A	598	208	199	6	3.02%	185	92.96%	8	4.02%	0	0.00%
CP07B	7	2	2	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP07C	244	47	47	3	6.38%	42	89.36%	2	4.26%	0	0.00%
CP07D	694	208	198	7	3.54%	188	94.95%	3	1.52%	0	0.00%
CP07E	125	41	38	0	0.00%	37	97.37%	1	2.63%	0	0.00%
CP07F	184	55	52	0	0.00%	51	98.08%	1	1.92%	0	0.00%
CP081	343	77	76	4	5.26%	71	93.42%	1	1.32%	0	0.00%
CP083	219	25	24	0	0.00%	23	95.83%	1	4.17%	0	0.00%
CP084	3	1	1	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP08A	705	175	172	5	2.91%	165	95.93%	2	1.16%	0	0.00%
EP01A	2609	1464	1419	129	9.09%	1247	87.88%	43	3.03%	0	0.00%
EP01B	3038	1539	1515	101	6.67%	1366	90.17%	48	3.17%	0	0.00%
EP02A	1967	890	859	42	4.89%	802	93.36%	15	1.75%	0	0.00%
EP02B	1449	977	954	128	13.42%	783	82.08%	43	4.51%	0	0.00%
EP02C	428	251	246	43	17.48%	193	78.46%	10	4.07%	0	0.00%
EP02D	326	192	186	7	3.76%	173	93.01%	6	3.23%	0	0.00%
EP02E	1382	627	613	31	5.06%	570	92.99%	12	1.96%	0	0.00%
EP03A	4293	2512	2441	113	4.63%	2276	93.24%	51	2.09%	1	0.04%
EP03B	1421	973	956	49	5.13%	894	93.51%	13	1.36%	0	0.00%
EP04A	5432	2626	2584	59	2.28%	2492	96.44%	32	1.24%	1	0.04%
EP04B	2024	1203	1189	22	1.85%	1152	96.89%	14	1.18%	1	0.08%
FA01A	3574	2126	2090	207	9.90%	1833	87.70%	50	2.39%	0	0.00%
FA01B	4833	2965	2917	292	10.01%	2577	88.34%	48	1.65%	0	0.00%
FA01C	681	401	399	17	4.26%	373	93.48%	8	2.01%	1	0.25%
HP01	3212	1780	1726	436	25.26%	1226	71.03%	64	3.71%	0	0.00%
JC01	4977	3215	3151	1247	39.57%	1808	57.38%	94	2.98%	2	0.06%
JC02	2460	1474	1436	630	43.87%	767	53.41%	39	2.72%	0	0.00%
JC03A	540	384	376	174	46.28%	192	51.06%	10	2.66%	0	0.00%
JC03B	1448	955	930	354	38.06%	543	58.39%	33	3.55%	0	0.00%
JC04A	4042	2692	2627	1206	45.91%	1327	50.51%	92	3.50%	2	0.08%
JC04B	255	156	149	64	42.95%	76	51.01%	9	6.04%	0	0.00%
JC05	2136	1391	1361	599	44.01%	719	52.83%	43	3.16%	0	0.00%
JC06	2627	1580	1549	857	55.33%	641	41.38%	51	3.29%	0	0.00%
JC07	4083	2627	2559	1143	44.67%	1328	51.90%	87	3.40%	1	0.04%
JC08	2737	1870	1827	870	47.62%	881	48.22%	75	4.11%	1	0.05%
JC09	2928	1878	1850	742	40.11%	1038	56.11%	70	3.78%	0	0.00%
JC10	2392	1507	1474	559	37.92%	853	57.87%	62	4.21%	0	0.00%
JC11	3186	2085	2044	1143	55.92%	847	41.44%	54	2.64%	0	0.00%
JC12	2455	1753	1718	1124	65.42%	548	31.90%	45	2.62%	1	0.06%
JC13A	2115	1462	1435	964	67.18%	443	30.87%	28	1.95%	0	0.00%
JC13B	271	180	167	128	76.65%	35	20.96%	4	2.40%	0	0.00%
JC14	2477	1634	1596	916	57.39%	637	39.91%	42	2.63%	1	0.06%
JC15	834	523	511	193	37.77%	292	57.14%	26	5.09%	0	0.00%
JC16	2496	1729	1670	827	49.52%	787	47.13%	55	3.29%	1	0.06%
JC18	2670	1969	1932	1068	55.28%	802	41.51%	62	3.21%	0	0.00%
JC19	3759	2528	2473	1199	48.48%	1170	47.31%	104	4.21%	0	0.00%
ML011	2439	1681	1649	987	59.85%	605	36.69%	57	3.46%	0	0.00%
ML012	485	338	328	218	66.46%	95	28.96%	15	4.57%	0	0.00%
ML01B	138	96	93	57	61.29%	34	36.56%	2	2.15%	0	0.00%
ML021	1991	1369	1344	995	74.03%	303	22.54%	46	3.42%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

INSURANCE COMMISSIONER

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	J. BECK (R)		J. LAWS (D)		D. FOSTER (L)		Write-In Votes	
ML022	241	148	146	113	77.40%	31	21.23%	2	1.37%	0	0.00%
ML023	1542	1027	1006	727	72.27%	251	24.95%	27	2.68%	1	0.10%
ML024	113	70	69	56	81.16%	9	13.04%	4	5.80%	0	0.00%
ML03	3757	2575	2527	1752	69.33%	697	27.58%	78	3.09%	0	0.00%
ML04A	1747	1176	1150	688	59.83%	427	37.13%	35	3.04%	0	0.00%
ML04B	1206	838	824	566	68.69%	233	28.28%	25	3.03%	0	0.00%
ML04C	79	66	66	44	66.67%	18	27.27%	4	6.06%	0	0.00%
ML05A	39	27	27	17	62.96%	9	33.33%	1	3.70%	0	0.00%
ML05B	381	250	243	164	67.49%	74	30.45%	4	1.65%	1	0.41%
ML05C	4023	2615	2560	1327	51.84%	1161	45.35%	70	2.73%	2	0.08%
ML06A	1973	1024	1010	339	33.56%	633	62.67%	37	3.66%	1	0.10%
ML06B	2775	1734	1697	611	36.00%	1011	59.58%	75	4.42%	0	0.00%
ML071	105	73	72	53	73.61%	19	26.39%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
ML072	1249	857	844	594	70.38%	233	27.61%	16	1.90%	1	0.12%
ML07A	707	472	461	308	66.81%	136	29.50%	17	3.69%	0	0.00%
MP01	450	323	317	147	46.37%	152	47.95%	18	5.68%	0	0.00%
PA01	2509	1330	1293	296	22.89%	965	74.63%	32	2.47%	0	0.00%
RW01	4717	3355	3262	1917	58.77%	1225	37.55%	119	3.65%	1	0.03%
RW02	3794	2675	2605	1323	50.79%	1144	43.92%	137	5.26%	1	0.04%
RW03	4510	2745	2678	1162	43.39%	1407	52.54%	109	4.07%	0	0.00%
RW04	1803	1359	1333	646	48.46%	631	47.34%	55	4.13%	1	0.08%
RW05	2322	1207	1183	396	33.47%	744	62.89%	43	3.63%	0	0.00%
RW06	2820	1914	1874	877	46.80%	904	48.24%	91	4.86%	2	0.11%
RW07A	1549	677	656	90	13.72%	539	82.16%	26	3.96%	1	0.15%
RW07B	388	214	210	49	23.33%	146	69.52%	15	7.14%	0	0.00%
RW08	2138	1462	1410	881	62.48%	467	33.12%	62	4.40%	0	0.00%
RW09	5918	4216	4135	2658	64.28%	1338	32.36%	138	3.34%	1	0.02%
RW10	3347	2376	2317	1364	58.87%	856	36.94%	96	4.14%	1	0.04%
RW11A	2667	1693	1655	763	46.10%	827	49.97%	65	3.93%	0	0.00%
RW12	5944	4234	4127	2433	58.95%	1540	37.32%	152	3.68%	2	0.05%
RW13	3555	2476	2403	1243	51.73%	1052	43.78%	107	4.45%	1	0.04%
RW16	1850	1275	1247	694	55.65%	509	40.82%	43	3.45%	1	0.08%
RW17	2794	1773	1739	641	36.86%	1001	57.56%	97	5.58%	0	0.00%
RW19	1993	1434	1400	845	60.36%	492	35.14%	62	4.43%	1	0.07%
RW20	2486	1797	1757	938	53.39%	742	42.23%	75	4.27%	2	0.11%
RW21	3144	2169	2124	1290	60.73%	746	35.12%	88	4.14%	0	0.00%
RW22A	4406	2486	2435	893	36.67%	1444	59.30%	97	3.98%	1	0.04%
SC01A	20	25	24	2	8.33%	22	91.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC01B	1707	1295	1281	25	1.95%	1234	96.33%	21	1.64%	1	0.08%
SC01C	2063	1513	1490	37	2.48%	1435	96.31%	17	1.14%	1	0.07%
SC01D	294	162	162	1	0.62%	156	96.30%	5	3.09%	0	0.00%
SC02	872	662	656	29	4.42%	620	94.51%	7	1.07%	0	0.00%
SC04	922	616	607	188	30.97%	404	66.56%	14	2.31%	1	0.16%
SC05A	646	409	400	5	1.25%	386	96.50%	9	2.25%	0	0.00%
SC05B	3204	2188	2158	74	3.43%	2053	95.13%	31	1.44%	0	0.00%
SC05D	2171	1428	1413	46	3.26%	1349	95.47%	18	1.27%	0	0.00%
SC05E	623	434	425	65	15.29%	354	83.29%	5	1.18%	1	0.24%
SC07A	5595	3698	3641	284	7.80%	3300	90.63%	56	1.54%	1	0.03%
SC07C	29	10	10	1	10.00%	9	90.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC08B	3382	2008	1966	54	2.75%	1868	95.02%	42	2.14%	2	0.10%
SC08C	74	53	53	0	0.00%	53	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC08D	499	314	310	6	1.94%	299	96.45%	4	1.29%	1	0.32%
SC08E	562	316	309	4	1.29%	298	96.44%	7	2.27%	0	0.00%
SC08F	700	366	361	13	3.60%	339	93.91%	9	2.49%	0	0.00%
SC08G	12	8	8	0	0.00%	8	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC08H	4	3	3	0	0.00%	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC09A	1628	839	822	25	3.04%	781	95.01%	16	1.95%	0	0.00%
SC09B	1687	1084	1056	26	2.46%	1006	95.27%	24	2.27%	0	0.00%
SC09C	1566	842	824	24	2.91%	781	94.78%	18	2.18%	1	0.12%
SC10	1678	661	642	13	2.02%	615	95.79%	14	2.18%	0	0.00%
SC11A	1411	813	801	20	2.50%	767	95.76%	14	1.75%	0	0.00%
SC11B	1506	878	867	20	2.31%	833	96.08%	14	1.61%	0	0.00%
SC13	2465	1636	1619	76	4.69%	1516	93.64%	25	1.54%	2	0.12%
SC14A	916	429	420	9	2.14%	401	95.48%	9	2.14%	1	0.24%
SC14B	502	45	42	7	16.67%	34	80.95%	1	2.38%	0	0.00%
SC15	6193	4213	4136	119	2.88%	3948	95.45%	67	1.62%	2	0.05%
SC16A	4601	3208	3157	57	1.81%	3051	96.64%	48	1.52%	1	0.03%
SC16B	14	15	15	0	0.00%	14	93.33%	1	6.67%	0	0.00%
SC17A	55	42	42	0	0.00%	41	97.62%	1	2.38%	0	0.00%
SC17B	7	4	4	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC17C	1134	669	657	18	2.74%	627	95.43%	12	1.83%	0	0.00%
SC18A	510	329	325	5	1.54%	313	96.31%	6	1.85%	1	0.31%
SC18B	663	462	454	14	3.08%	433	95.37%	7	1.54%	0	0.00%
SC18C	1850	1352	1340	53	3.96%	1273	95.00%	14	1.04%	0	0.00%
SC19A	195	129	128	4	3.13%	122	95.31%	2	1.56%	0	0.00%
SC19B	1267	690	680	22	3.24%	646	95.00%	9	1.32%	3	0.44%
SC20	2197	1665	1655	35	2.11%	1597	96.50%	23	1.39%	0	0.00%
SC211	1328	841	832	30	3.61%	791	95.07%	10	1.20%	1	0.12%
SC212	3378	1947	1919	85	4.43%	1798	93.69%	36	1.88%	0	0.00%
SC23A	3161	1730	1698	42	2.47%	1631	96.05%	23	1.35%	2	0.12%
SC23B	483	284	281	12	4.27%	264	93.95%	5	1.78%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	INSURANCE COMMISSIONER										
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	J. BECK (R)		J. LAWS (D)		D. FOSTER (L)		Write-In Votes	
SC23C	2252	1302	1271	29	2.28%	1226	96.46%	16	1.26%	0	0.00%
SC27	2129	1259	1231	24	1.95%	1188	96.51%	18	1.46%	1	0.08%
SC29A	2784	1544	1513	38	2.51%	1450	95.84%	24	1.59%	1	0.07%
SC30A	135	97	93	1	1.08%	91	97.85%	1	1.08%	0	0.00%
SC30B	960	531	517	9	1.74%	504	97.49%	4	0.77%	0	0.00%
SS01	4487	3270	3185	1902	59.72%	1194	37.49%	89	2.79%	0	0.00%
SS02A	1120	755	741	298	40.22%	408	55.06%	35	4.72%	0	0.00%
SS02B	1255	756	738	193	26.15%	519	70.33%	25	3.39%	1	0.14%
SS03	1464	1027	996	443	44.48%	500	50.20%	52	5.22%	1	0.10%
SS04	3264	2064	2013	720	35.77%	1231	61.15%	61	3.03%	1	0.05%
SS05	1746	1376	1328	689	51.88%	600	45.18%	39	2.94%	0	0.00%
SS06	1397	1073	1039	559	53.80%	452	43.50%	28	2.69%	0	0.00%
SS07A	968	683	656	359	54.73%	289	44.05%	8	1.22%	0	0.00%
SS07B	609	405	399	168	42.11%	216	54.14%	15	3.76%	0	0.00%
SS07C	755	436	427	209	48.95%	207	48.48%	11	2.58%	0	0.00%
SS08A	1316	758	741	228	30.77%	495	66.80%	17	2.29%	1	0.13%
SS08B	635	453	445	229	51.46%	196	44.04%	19	4.27%	1	0.22%
SS08C	632	393	381	114	29.92%	254	66.67%	13	3.41%	0	0.00%
SS08D	1368	847	827	275	33.25%	519	62.76%	32	3.87%	1	0.12%
SS09A	3003	2210	2143	1232	57.49%	859	40.08%	52	2.43%	0	0.00%
SS09B	3358	2452	2391	1335	55.83%	996	41.66%	60	2.51%	0	0.00%
SS11A	3655	2227	2161	874	40.44%	1214	56.18%	71	3.29%	2	0.09%
SS11B	1257	707	686	197	28.72%	462	67.35%	27	3.94%	0	0.00%
SS11C	269	146	138	23	16.67%	106	76.81%	9	6.52%	0	0.00%
SS11D	365	254	245	141	57.55%	97	39.59%	7	2.86%	0	0.00%
SS12	2559	1732	1673	861	51.46%	759	45.37%	51	3.05%	2	0.12%
SS13A	1633	1072	1042	493	47.31%	505	48.46%	44	4.22%	0	0.00%
SS13B	1001	629	615	306	49.76%	291	47.32%	18	2.93%	0	0.00%
SS14	1274	977	951	605	63.62%	316	33.23%	29	3.05%	1	0.11%
SS15A	2572	1199	1180	163	13.81%	980	83.05%	36	3.05%	1	0.08%
SS15B	1579	902	883	268	30.35%	578	65.46%	37	4.19%	0	0.00%
SS16	2030	1534	1500	849	56.60%	601	40.07%	50	3.33%	0	0.00%
SS17	3397	2229	2174	1107	50.92%	988	45.45%	77	3.54%	2	0.09%
SS18A	619	454	442	225	50.90%	206	46.61%	11	2.49%	0	0.00%
SS18B	221	162	156	75	48.08%	74	47.44%	7	4.49%	0	0.00%
SS19A	3016	1683	1642	385	23.45%	1183	72.05%	73	4.45%	1	0.06%
SS19B	2032	1226	1196	355	29.68%	787	65.80%	53	4.43%	1	0.08%
SS20	2060	1159	1137	375	32.98%	711	62.53%	51	4.49%	0	0.00%
SS22	2714	1613	1571	654	41.63%	868	55.25%	49	3.12%	0	0.00%
SS26	3552	1822	1783	308	17.27%	1400	78.52%	75	4.21%	0	0.00%
SS29A	2488	1595	1552	644	41.49%	858	55.28%	50	3.22%	0	0.00%
SS31	1345	938	911	393	43.14%	490	53.79%	27	2.96%	1	0.11%
UC01A	3622	1715	1689	59	3.49%	1589	94.08%	40	2.37%	1	0.06%
UC01B	395	210	205	6	2.93%	198	96.59%	1	0.49%	0	0.00%
UC01D	6	2	2	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
UC01E	285	206	206	3	1.46%	194	94.17%	9	4.37%	0	0.00%
UC02A	7513	4296	4218	130	3.08%	4018	95.26%	68	1.61%	2	0.05%
UC02B	30	19	19	4	21.05%	15	78.95%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
UC031	2399	1203	1174	195	16.61%	960	81.77%	18	1.53%	1	0.09%
UC032	292	186	181	29	16.02%	148	81.77%	4	2.21%	0	0.00%
Total	703177	424992	414116	116386	28.10%	284691	68.75%	12827	3.10%	212	0.05%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	R. WOODS (I) R		O. THORNTON, JR. (D)		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide									
01A	3199	2362	2297	318	13.84%	1978	86.11%	1	0.04%
01B	3839	2737	2654	393	14.81%	2259	85.12%	2	0.08%
01C	1459	612	596	25	4.19%	571	95.81%	0	0.00%
01D	511	307	300	23	7.67%	277	92.33%	0	0.00%
01E	3363	2410	2326	393	16.90%	1929	82.93%	4	0.17%
01F	1254	452	442	19	4.30%	422	95.48%	1	0.23%
01G	1909	1102	1062	88	8.29%	971	91.43%	3	0.28%
01H	758	379	370	17	4.59%	353	95.41%	0	0.00%
01J	2416	1184	1152	63	5.47%	1088	94.44%	1	0.09%
01P	1244	542	521	18	3.45%	503	96.55%	0	0.00%
01R	1344	491	470	21	4.47%	449	95.53%	0	0.00%
01S	1749	823	792	41	5.18%	751	94.82%	0	0.00%
01T	1506	796	770	76	9.87%	693	90.00%	1	0.13%
02A	4584	2949	2877	705	24.50%	2167	75.32%	5	0.17%
02B	3518	1265	1235	174	14.09%	1060	85.83%	1	0.08%
02C	2037	1205	1165	129	11.07%	1035	88.84%	1	0.09%
02D	4475	2660	2590	363	14.02%	2227	85.98%	0	0.00%
02E	1905	1423	1391	223	16.03%	1167	83.90%	1	0.07%
02F1	2962	2033	1959	353	18.02%	1605	81.93%	1	0.05%
02F2	788	458	446	65	14.57%	381	85.43%	0	0.00%
02G	3443	2361	2281	384	16.83%	1895	83.08%	2	0.09%
02J	2159	1432	1383	243	17.57%	1138	82.28%	2	0.14%
02K	1419	951	915	168	18.36%	747	81.64%	0	0.00%
02L1	6213	3978	3858	834	21.62%	3024	78.38%	0	0.00%
02L2	1364	782	753	165	21.91%	588	78.09%	0	0.00%
02S	708	427	420	37	8.81%	383	91.19%	0	0.00%
02W	682	399	389	67	17.22%	320	82.26%	2	0.51%
03A	1927	692	667	23	3.45%	644	96.55%	0	0.00%
03B	560	181	173	7	4.05%	166	95.95%	0	0.00%
03C	1534	674	647	24	3.71%	623	96.29%	0	0.00%
03D	1017	402	385	17	4.42%	368	95.58%	0	0.00%
03E	2284	914	889	40	4.50%	848	95.39%	1	0.11%
03F	1925	1115	1094	192	17.55%	901	82.36%	1	0.09%
03G	802	360	348	16	4.60%	332	95.40%	0	0.00%
03H	900	369	349	12	3.44%	337	96.56%	0	0.00%
03I	1360	566	542	105	19.37%	437	80.63%	0	0.00%
03L	594	229	221	8	3.62%	213	96.38%	0	0.00%
03M	766	452	435	122	28.05%	313	71.95%	0	0.00%
03N	2288	938	914	67	7.33%	847	92.67%	0	0.00%
03P1A	3882	1966	1918	292	15.22%	1624	84.67%	2	0.10%
03P2	13	7	7	0	0.00%	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
03S	761	356	345	18	5.22%	327	94.78%	0	0.00%
03T	1505	715	700	38	5.43%	661	94.43%	1	0.14%
04A	1202	560	545	15	2.75%	530	97.25%	0	0.00%
04B	2242	922	895	32	3.58%	862	96.31%	1	0.11%
04C	136	54	53	1	1.89%	52	98.11%	0	0.00%
04D	1481	714	687	31	4.51%	656	95.49%	0	0.00%
04F	2086	935	913	89	9.75%	823	90.14%	1	0.11%
04G	828	312	301	19	6.31%	282	93.69%	0	0.00%
04I	3362	561	541	56	10.35%	484	89.46%	1	0.18%
04J	1230	317	311	8	2.57%	303	97.43%	0	0.00%
04K	2339	1266	1207	58	4.81%	1146	94.95%	3	0.25%
04L	1655	867	833	47	5.64%	785	94.24%	1	0.12%
04M	773	292	286	15	5.24%	271	94.76%	0	0.00%
04S	2165	1118	1066	42	3.94%	1023	95.97%	1	0.09%
04T	1145	584	565	23	4.07%	542	95.93%	0	0.00%
04V	2848	1211	1167	40	3.43%	1127	96.57%	0	0.00%
04W	2203	820	804	5	0.62%	797	99.13%	2	0.25%
04X1	1942	950	931	47	5.05%	882	94.74%	2	0.21%
04X2	737	364	348	16	4.60%	331	95.11%	1	0.29%
05A1	2491	1728	1676	200	11.93%	1475	88.01%	1	0.06%
05A2	2910	1998	1948	235	12.06%	1711	87.83%	2	0.10%
05B	1763	901	842	46	5.46%	792	94.06%	4	0.48%
05C	146	67	65	4	6.15%	61	93.85%	0	0.00%
05D	386	93	91	5	5.49%	86	94.51%	0	0.00%
05F	223	136	136	10	7.35%	126	92.65%	0	0.00%
05J	639	416	409	49	11.98%	360	88.02%	0	0.00%
05K	2600	1327	1302	124	9.52%	1178	90.48%	0	0.00%
06B	1756	1238	1191	308	25.86%	883	74.14%	0	0.00%
06D	8568	6060	5866	1671	28.49%	4187	71.38%	8	0.14%
06E	2250	1439	1405	336	23.91%	1069	76.09%	0	0.00%
06F	2709	1757	1683	400	23.77%	1282	76.17%	1	0.06%
06G	536	369	358	76	21.23%	282	78.77%	0	0.00%
06I	3412	1957	1913	319	16.68%	1592	83.22%	2	0.10%
06J	2539	1904	1832	538	29.37%	1294	70.63%	0	0.00%
06L1	917	679	645	229	35.50%	414	64.19%	2	0.31%
06L2	654	487	477	203	42.56%	274	57.44%	0	0.00%
06N	1844	1085	1049	468	44.61%	581	55.39%	0	0.00%
06Q	527	295	291	31	10.65%	260	89.35%	0	0.00%
06R	1836	1206	1168	371	31.76%	796	68.15%	1	0.09%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	R. WOODS (I) R		O. THORNTON, JR. (D)		Write-In Votes	
07A	6600	4093	3956	1956	49.44%	1995	50.43%	5	0.13%
07B	2763	2107	2036	1410	69.25%	625	30.70%	1	0.05%
07C	3927	2498	2402	1206	50.21%	1196	49.79%	0	0.00%
07D	4231	2413	2330	1089	46.74%	1240	53.22%	1	0.04%
07E	2031	1358	1318	498	37.78%	819	62.14%	1	0.08%
07F	3017	2041	1955	968	49.51%	985	50.38%	2	0.10%
07H	1923	1424	1362	707	51.91%	654	48.02%	1	0.07%
07J	2647	1753	1686	723	42.88%	961	57.00%	2	0.12%
07M	2155	1180	1153	452	39.20%	701	60.80%	0	0.00%
07N	1946	1044	1012	217	21.44%	795	78.56%	0	0.00%
08A	2799	1949	1902	1088	57.20%	812	42.69%	2	0.11%
08B	3199	2255	2179	1404	64.43%	774	35.52%	1	0.05%
08C	1392	984	952	717	75.32%	234	24.58%	1	0.11%
08D	1022	770	740	545	73.65%	195	26.35%	0	0.00%
08E	2429	1864	1808	1220	67.48%	588	32.52%	0	0.00%
08F1	2071	1628	1573	1147	72.92%	424	26.95%	2	0.13%
08G	2787	1669	1620	747	46.11%	871	53.77%	2	0.12%
08H	2465	1874	1805	1137	62.99%	666	36.90%	2	0.11%
08J	2981	2223	2138	1175	54.96%	963	45.04%	0	0.00%
08K	973	702	681	338	49.63%	342	50.22%	1	0.15%
08L	4194	2706	2614	988	37.80%	1623	62.09%	3	0.11%
08M	1907	1358	1309	890	67.99%	419	32.01%	0	0.00%
08N1	767	587	567	401	70.72%	166	29.28%	0	0.00%
08N2	1391	927	897	477	53.18%	420	46.82%	0	0.00%
08P	1633	928	901	193	21.42%	707	78.47%	1	0.11%
09A	3338	2152	2084	588	28.21%	1494	71.69%	2	0.10%
09B	385	174	171	5	2.92%	166	97.08%	0	0.00%
09C	2954	1522	1471	50	3.40%	1421	96.60%	0	0.00%
09D	1879	858	812	31	3.82%	780	96.06%	1	0.12%
09E	2782	1420	1371	114	8.32%	1254	91.47%	3	0.22%
09F	3619	2526	2430	1177	48.44%	1250	51.44%	3	0.12%
09G	3519	2282	2213	588	26.57%	1622	73.29%	3	0.14%
09H	1064	645	627	83	13.24%	543	86.60%	1	0.16%
09I	1194	503	474	24	5.06%	449	94.73%	1	0.21%
09K	4403	1851	1794	84	4.68%	1708	95.21%	2	0.11%
09M	2259	1611	1556	391	25.13%	1163	74.74%	2	0.13%
10A	2850	1622	1576	48	3.05%	1528	96.95%	0	0.00%
10B	3686	2464	2402	71	2.96%	2327	96.88%	4	0.17%
10C	2466	1168	1124	28	2.49%	1096	97.51%	0	0.00%
10D	4220	1850	1781	63	3.54%	1716	96.35%	2	0.11%
10E	950	524	510	22	4.31%	487	95.49%	1	0.20%
10F	1053	590	566	23	4.06%	543	95.94%	0	0.00%
10G	1205	813	793	23	2.90%	768	96.85%	2	0.25%
10H1	408	238	234	11	4.70%	223	95.30%	0	0.00%
10H2	2207	1032	996	29	2.91%	965	96.89%	2	0.20%
10I	329	258	250	7	2.80%	243	97.20%	0	0.00%
10J	1012	466	454	14	3.08%	440	96.92%	0	0.00%
10K	1415	858	832	29	3.49%	803	96.51%	0	0.00%
10M	2529	1414	1367	66	4.83%	1300	95.10%	1	0.07%
10P	1364	668	639	27	4.23%	611	95.62%	1	0.16%
10R	713	347	335	9	2.69%	326	97.31%	0	0.00%
11B	5162	3088	3013	94	3.12%	2917	96.81%	2	0.07%
11C	2822	2003	1970	41	2.08%	1928	97.87%	1	0.05%
11E1	1141	634	618	21	3.40%	597	96.60%	0	0.00%
11E2	847	497	478	8	1.67%	469	98.12%	1	0.21%
11E3	3124	1835	1816	40	2.20%	1775	97.74%	1	0.06%
11G	2092	855	828	40	4.83%	788	95.17%	0	0.00%
11H	677	356	343	15	4.37%	327	95.34%	1	0.29%
11J	1827	1018	975	41	4.21%	933	95.69%	1	0.10%
11K	1818	1104	1071	37	3.45%	1034	96.55%	0	0.00%
11M	3039	1659	1623	42	2.59%	1580	97.35%	1	0.06%
11N	2166	1358	1322	41	3.10%	1280	96.82%	1	0.08%
11P	1833	1020	971	36	3.71%	935	96.29%	0	0.00%
11R	1849	672	644	26	4.04%	618	95.96%	0	0.00%
12A	4828	2734	2654	178	6.71%	2474	93.22%	2	0.08%
12D	1209	501	490	13	2.65%	477	97.35%	0	0.00%
12E1	1621	591	561	35	6.24%	525	93.58%	1	0.18%
12F	943	428	417	17	4.08%	400	95.92%	0	0.00%
12G	800	430	410	19	4.63%	391	95.37%	0	0.00%
12H1	1006	310	302	19	6.29%	282	93.38%	1	0.33%
12H2	2562	1189	1148	40	3.48%	1106	96.34%	2	0.17%
12I	970	413	391	14	3.58%	377	96.42%	0	0.00%
12J	2535	1108	1082	33	3.05%	1045	96.58%	4	0.37%
12K	3022	1240	1191	76	6.38%	1114	93.53%	1	0.08%
12L	613	238	228	8	3.51%	220	96.49%	0	0.00%
12M	2533	1171	1146	29	2.53%	1116	97.38%	1	0.09%
12N	907	327	307	14	4.56%	293	95.44%	0	0.00%
12S	1794	959	914	41	4.49%	871	95.30%	2	0.22%
AP01A	4724	3141	3079	1742	56.58%	1336	43.39%	1	0.03%
AP01B	913	516	508	253	49.80%	255	50.20%	0	0.00%
AP01C	2233	1498	1467	858	58.49%	608	41.45%	1	0.07%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	R. WOODS (I) R		O. THORNTON, JR. (D)		Write-In Votes	
AP01D	915	539	528	233	44.13%	295	55.87%	0	0.00%
AP02B	474	263	255	134	52.55%	121	47.45%	0	0.00%
AP03	741	314	307	68	22.15%	238	77.52%	1	0.33%
AP04A	1647	1183	1155	749	64.85%	406	35.15%	0	0.00%
AP04B	415	290	288	169	58.68%	119	41.32%	0	0.00%
AP05	4367	2981	2924	1814	62.04%	1108	37.89%	2	0.07%
AP06	1827	1309	1277	843	66.01%	433	33.91%	1	0.08%
AP07A	3422	2102	2045	1153	56.38%	891	43.57%	1	0.05%
AP07B	1650	1144	1120	665	59.38%	454	40.54%	1	0.09%
AP09A	4051	2687	2624	1382	52.67%	1239	47.22%	3	0.11%
AP09B	810	531	518	221	42.66%	297	57.34%	0	0.00%
AP021	2994	1963	1912	1094	57.22%	817	42.73%	1	0.05%
AP022	2210	1429	1385	692	49.96%	693	50.04%	0	0.00%
AP10	942	681	660	427	64.70%	233	35.30%	0	0.00%
AP12A	658	374	365	140	38.36%	225	61.64%	0	0.00%
AP12B	747	428	421	149	35.39%	272	64.61%	0	0.00%
AP12C	1584	1005	984	569	57.83%	415	42.17%	0	0.00%
AP14	2972	2027	1986	1137	57.25%	847	42.65%	2	0.10%
CH01	330	164	162	40	24.69%	122	75.31%	0	0.00%
CH02	319	202	196	123	62.76%	72	36.73%	1	0.51%
CH03	379	250	243	160	65.84%	82	33.74%	1	0.41%
CH04A	647	444	434	246	56.68%	188	43.32%	0	0.00%
CH05	407	260	257	193	75.10%	64	24.90%	0	0.00%
CP01B	381	227	221	80	36.20%	141	63.80%	0	0.00%
CP011	1135	617	600	150	25.00%	450	75.00%	0	0.00%
CP012	1318	844	819	181	22.10%	637	77.78%	1	0.12%
CP02	1000	553	540	77	14.26%	463	85.74%	0	0.00%
CP04A	8	2	2	1	50.00%	1	50.00%	0	0.00%
CP04B	39	14	14	4	28.57%	10	71.43%	0	0.00%
CP05B	143	76	75	4	5.33%	71	94.67%	0	0.00%
CP051	1612	874	855	41	4.80%	814	95.20%	0	0.00%
CP06A	598	208	196	7	3.57%	189	96.43%	0	0.00%
CP07B	7	2	2	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP07C	244	47	47	3	6.38%	44	93.62%	0	0.00%
CP07D	694	208	200	9	4.50%	191	95.50%	0	0.00%
CP07E	125	41	38	0	0.00%	38	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP07F	184	55	52	1	1.92%	51	98.08%	0	0.00%
CP081	343	77	77	6	7.79%	71	92.21%	0	0.00%
CP083	219	25	24	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP084	3	1	1	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP08A	705	175	173	5	2.89%	168	97.11%	0	0.00%
EP01A	2609	1464	1432	157	10.96%	1274	88.97%	1	0.07%
EP01B	3038	1539	1514	111	7.33%	1403	92.67%	0	0.00%
EP02A	1967	890	868	57	6.57%	811	93.43%	0	0.00%
EP02B	1449	977	959	155	16.16%	804	83.84%	0	0.00%
EP02C	428	251	247	51	20.65%	195	78.95%	1	0.40%
EP02D	326	192	187	12	6.42%	175	93.58%	0	0.00%
EP02E	1382	627	610	33	5.41%	577	94.59%	0	0.00%
EP03A	4293	2512	2448	142	5.80%	2302	94.04%	4	0.16%
EP03B	1421	973	958	58	6.05%	900	93.95%	0	0.00%
EP04A	5432	2626	2589	76	2.94%	2512	97.03%	1	0.04%
EP04B	2024	1203	1192	28	2.35%	1163	97.57%	1	0.08%
FA01A	3574	2126	2076	227	10.93%	1849	89.07%	0	0.00%
FA01B	4833	2965	2920	318	10.89%	2601	89.08%	1	0.03%
FA01C	681	401	397	23	5.79%	373	93.95%	1	0.25%
HP01	3212	1780	1734	497	28.66%	1235	71.22%	2	0.12%
JC01	4977	3215	3146	1399	44.47%	1745	55.47%	2	0.06%
JC02	2460	1474	1442	690	47.85%	751	52.08%	1	0.07%
JC03A	540	384	377	191	50.66%	186	49.34%	0	0.00%
JC03B	1448	955	929	398	42.84%	530	57.05%	1	0.11%
JC04A	4042	2692	2633	1383	52.53%	1246	47.32%	4	0.15%
JC04B	255	156	147	75	51.02%	72	48.98%	0	0.00%
JC05	2136	1391	1367	676	49.45%	690	50.48%	1	0.07%
JC06	2627	1580	1547	911	58.89%	636	41.11%	0	0.00%
JC07	4083	2627	2561	1267	49.47%	1294	50.53%	0	0.00%
JC08	2737	1870	1830	987	53.93%	842	46.01%	1	0.05%
JC09	2928	1878	1852	819	44.22%	1032	55.72%	1	0.05%
JC10	2392	1507	1473	625	42.43%	847	57.50%	1	0.07%
JC11	3186	2085	2046	1223	59.78%	822	40.18%	1	0.05%
JC12	2455	1753	1719	1201	69.87%	518	30.13%	0	0.00%
JC13A	2115	1462	1430	1004	70.21%	426	29.79%	0	0.00%
JC13B	271	180	172	140	81.40%	32	18.60%	0	0.00%
JC14	2477	1634	1591	986	61.97%	605	38.03%	0	0.00%
JC15	834	523	507	213	42.01%	294	57.99%	0	0.00%
JC16	2496	1729	1682	908	53.98%	770	45.78%	4	0.24%
JC18	2670	1969	1932	1169	60.51%	763	39.49%	0	0.00%
JC19	3759	2528	2465	1317	53.43%	1147	46.53%	1	0.04%
ML011	2439	1681	1651	1079	65.35%	572	34.65%	0	0.00%
ML012	485	338	329	247	75.08%	82	24.92%	0	0.00%
ML01B	138	96	94	60	63.83%	34	36.17%	0	0.00%
ML021	1991	1369	1343	1047	77.96%	296	22.04%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	R. WOODS (I) R		O. THORNTON, JR. (D)		Write-In Votes	
ML022	241	148	145	117	80.69%	28	19.31%	0	0.00%
ML023	1542	1027	1009	768	76.11%	241	23.89%	0	0.00%
ML024	113	70	68	58	85.29%	10	14.71%	0	0.00%
ML03	3757	2575	2526	1850	73.24%	676	26.76%	0	0.00%
ML04A	1747	1176	1149	735	63.97%	413	35.94%	1	0.09%
ML04B	1206	838	821	606	73.81%	214	26.07%	1	0.12%
ML04C	79	66	64	47	73.44%	17	26.56%	0	0.00%
ML05A	39	27	26	18	69.23%	8	30.77%	0	0.00%
ML05B	381	250	245	175	71.43%	70	28.57%	0	0.00%
ML05C	4023	2615	2555	1410	55.19%	1144	44.77%	1	0.04%
ML06A	1973	1024	1012	380	37.55%	631	62.35%	1	0.10%
ML06B	2775	1734	1701	694	40.80%	1006	59.14%	1	0.06%
ML071	105	73	70	52	74.29%	18	25.71%	0	0.00%
ML072	1249	857	839	619	73.78%	218	25.98%	2	0.24%
ML07A	707	472	459	335	72.98%	123	26.80%	1	0.22%
MP01	450	323	317	156	49.21%	161	50.79%	0	0.00%
PA01	2509	1330	1296	314	24.23%	981	75.69%	1	0.08%
RW01	4717	3355	3255	2070	63.59%	1184	36.37%	1	0.03%
RW02	3794	2675	2597	1480	56.99%	1112	42.82%	5	0.19%
RW03	4510	2745	2679	1261	47.07%	1418	52.93%	0	0.00%
RW04	1803	1359	1322	724	54.77%	596	45.08%	2	0.15%
RW05	2322	1207	1186	431	36.34%	755	63.66%	0	0.00%
RW06	2820	1914	1872	988	52.78%	883	47.17%	1	0.05%
RW07A	1549	677	662	100	15.11%	562	84.89%	0	0.00%
RW07B	388	214	207	55	26.57%	152	73.43%	0	0.00%
RW08	2138	1462	1406	958	68.14%	448	31.86%	0	0.00%
RW09	5918	4216	4140	2851	68.86%	1288	31.11%	1	0.02%
RW10	3347	2376	2308	1482	64.21%	823	35.66%	3	0.13%
RW11A	2667	1693	1658	845	50.97%	812	48.97%	1	0.06%
RW12	5944	4234	4129	2639	63.91%	1489	36.06%	1	0.02%
RW13	3555	2476	2407	1349	56.04%	1055	43.83%	3	0.12%
RW16	1850	1275	1247	759	60.87%	486	38.97%	2	0.16%
RW17	2794	1773	1733	726	41.89%	1005	57.99%	2	0.12%
RW19	1993	1434	1401	947	67.59%	453	32.33%	1	0.07%
RW20	2486	1797	1763	1041	59.05%	721	40.90%	1	0.06%
RW21	3144	2169	2130	1407	66.06%	723	33.94%	0	0.00%
RW22A	4406	2486	2435	975	40.04%	1456	59.79%	4	0.16%
SC01A	20	25	24	3	12.50%	21	87.50%	0	0.00%
SC01B	1707	1295	1285	44	3.42%	1239	96.42%	2	0.16%
SC01C	2063	1513	1485	53	3.57%	1430	96.30%	2	0.13%
SC01D	294	162	162	6	3.70%	154	95.06%	2	1.23%
SC02	872	662	653	31	4.75%	622	95.25%	0	0.00%
SC04	922	616	604	207	34.27%	391	64.74%	6	0.99%
SC05A	646	409	400	7	1.75%	392	98.00%	1	0.25%
SC05B	3204	2188	2166	95	4.39%	2070	95.57%	1	0.05%
SC05D	2171	1428	1413	58	4.10%	1354	95.82%	1	0.07%
SC05E	623	434	426	69	16.20%	357	83.80%	0	0.00%
SC07A	5595	3698	3657	326	8.91%	3324	90.89%	7	0.19%
SC07C	29	10	10	1	10.00%	9	90.00%	0	0.00%
SC08B	3382	2008	1979	67	3.39%	1910	96.51%	2	0.10%
SC08C	74	53	52	0	0.00%	52	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08D	499	314	311	9	2.89%	302	97.11%	0	0.00%
SC08E	562	316	308	5	1.62%	303	98.38%	0	0.00%
SC08F	700	366	361	17	4.71%	344	95.29%	0	0.00%
SC08G	12	8	8	0	0.00%	8	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08H	4	3	3	0	0.00%	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC09A	1628	839	823	32	3.89%	791	96.11%	0	0.00%
SC09B	1687	1084	1070	31	2.90%	1038	97.01%	1	0.09%
SC09C	1566	842	816	24	2.94%	790	96.81%	2	0.25%
SC10	1678	661	649	23	3.54%	625	96.30%	1	0.15%
SC11A	1411	813	800	20	2.50%	780	97.50%	0	0.00%
SC11B	1506	878	863	28	3.24%	835	96.76%	0	0.00%
SC13	2465	1636	1615	79	4.89%	1529	94.67%	7	0.43%
SC14A	916	429	415	10	2.41%	405	97.59%	0	0.00%
SC14B	502	45	42	6	14.29%	36	85.71%	0	0.00%
SC15	6193	4213	4155	148	3.56%	4004	96.37%	3	0.07%
SC16A	4601	3208	3168	91	2.87%	3073	97.00%	4	0.13%
SC16B	14	15	15	1	6.67%	14	93.33%	0	0.00%
SC17A	55	42	42	0	0.00%	42	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC17B	7	4	4	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%
SC17C	1134	669	660	27	4.09%	632	95.76%	1	0.15%
SC18A	510	329	325	7	2.15%	318	97.85%	0	0.00%
SC18B	663	462	454	19	4.19%	435	95.81%	0	0.00%
SC18C	1850	1352	1335	67	5.02%	1268	94.98%	0	0.00%
SC19A	195	129	129	4	3.10%	125	96.90%	0	0.00%
SC19B	1267	690	675	26	3.85%	642	95.11%	7	1.04%
SC20	2197	1665	1657	53	3.20%	1603	96.74%	1	0.06%
SC211	1328	841	832	35	4.21%	797	95.79%	0	0.00%
SC212	3378	1947	1922	108	5.62%	1812	94.28%	2	0.10%
SC23A	3161	1730	1703	53	3.11%	1650	96.89%	0	0.00%
SC23B	483	284	281	15	5.34%	266	94.66%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	R. WOODS (I) R		O. THORNTON, JR. (D)		Write-In Votes	
SC23C	2252	1302	1281	35	2.73%	1246	97.27%	0	0.00%
SC27	2129	1259	1229	28	2.28%	1197	97.40%	4	0.33%
SC29A	2784	1544	1517	49	3.23%	1467	96.70%	1	0.07%
SC30A	135	97	93	1	1.08%	92	98.92%	0	0.00%
SC30B	960	531	521	20	3.84%	501	96.16%	0	0.00%
SS01	4487	3270	3183	2060	64.72%	1123	35.28%	0	0.00%
SS02A	1120	755	739	340	46.01%	399	53.99%	0	0.00%
SS02B	1255	756	741	212	28.61%	529	71.39%	0	0.00%
SS03	1464	1027	991	497	50.15%	492	49.65%	2	0.20%
SS04	3264	2064	2007	774	38.57%	1231	61.34%	2	0.10%
SS05	1746	1376	1332	773	58.03%	559	41.97%	0	0.00%
SS06	1397	1073	1041	629	60.42%	412	39.58%	0	0.00%
SS07A	968	683	664	401	60.39%	263	39.61%	0	0.00%
SS07B	609	405	396	181	45.71%	215	54.29%	0	0.00%
SS07C	755	436	421	218	51.78%	203	48.22%	0	0.00%
SS08A	1316	758	740	259	35.00%	481	65.00%	0	0.00%
SS08B	635	453	441	262	59.41%	179	40.59%	0	0.00%
SS08C	632	393	385	127	32.99%	258	67.01%	0	0.00%
SS08D	1368	847	827	313	37.85%	514	62.15%	0	0.00%
SS09A	3003	2210	2140	1350	63.08%	789	36.87%	1	0.05%
SS09B	3358	2452	2383	1490	62.53%	892	37.43%	1	0.04%
SS11A	3655	2227	2170	954	43.96%	1216	56.04%	0	0.00%
SS11B	1257	707	678	223	32.89%	455	67.11%	0	0.00%
SS11C	269	146	140	32	22.86%	108	77.14%	0	0.00%
SS11D	365	254	244	160	65.57%	84	34.43%	0	0.00%
SS12	2559	1732	1671	976	58.41%	694	41.53%	1	0.06%
SS13A	1633	1072	1039	548	52.74%	491	47.26%	0	0.00%
SS13B	1001	629	619	333	53.80%	284	45.88%	2	0.32%
SS14	1274	977	954	643	67.40%	310	32.49%	1	0.10%
SS15A	2572	1199	1176	177	15.05%	998	84.86%	1	0.09%
SS15B	1579	902	884	290	32.81%	594	67.19%	0	0.00%
SS16	2030	1534	1496	949	63.44%	546	36.50%	1	0.07%
SS17	3397	2229	2169	1170	53.94%	996	45.92%	3	0.14%
SS18A	619	454	448	254	56.70%	194	43.30%	0	0.00%
SS18B	221	162	156	85	54.49%	71	45.51%	0	0.00%
SS19A	3016	1683	1648	468	28.40%	1177	71.42%	3	0.18%
SS19B	2032	1226	1197	429	35.84%	767	64.08%	1	0.08%
SS20	2060	1159	1135	431	37.97%	703	61.94%	1	0.09%
SS22	2714	1613	1562	700	44.81%	858	54.93%	4	0.26%
SS26	3552	1822	1788	369	20.64%	1418	79.31%	1	0.06%
SS29A	2488	1595	1543	706	45.76%	835	54.12%	2	0.13%
SS31	1345	938	914	457	50.00%	457	50.00%	0	0.00%
UC01A	3622	1715	1696	77	4.54%	1618	95.40%	1	0.06%
UC01B	395	210	205	7	3.41%	198	96.59%	0	0.00%
UC01D	6	2	2	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
UC01E	285	206	204	6	2.94%	198	97.06%	0	0.00%
UC02A	7513	4296	4224	170	4.02%	4051	95.90%	3	0.07%
UC02B	30	19	19	4	21.05%	15	78.95%	0	0.00%
UC031	2399	1203	1175	209	17.79%	965	82.13%	1	0.09%
UC032	292	186	181	27	14.92%	154	85.08%	0	0.00%
Total	703177	424992	413956	130853	31.61%	282780	68.31%	323	0.08%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

LABOR COMMISSIONER

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	M. BUTLER (I) R		R. KEATLEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide									
01A	3199	2362	2289	268	11.71%	2020	88.25%	1	0.04%
01B	3839	2737	2645	317	11.98%	2328	88.02%	0	0.00%
01C	1459	612	597	32	5.36%	565	94.64%	0	0.00%
01D	511	307	300	18	6.00%	282	94.00%	0	0.00%
01E	3363	2410	2311	338	14.63%	1971	85.29%	2	0.09%
01F	1254	452	441	17	3.85%	423	95.92%	1	0.23%
01G	1909	1102	1061	73	6.88%	987	93.03%	1	0.09%
01H	758	379	365	12	3.29%	352	96.44%	1	0.27%
01J	2416	1184	1145	57	4.98%	1087	94.93%	1	0.09%
01P	1244	542	519	20	3.85%	499	96.15%	0	0.00%
01R	1344	491	463	19	4.10%	444	95.90%	0	0.00%
01S	1749	823	790	33	4.18%	756	95.70%	1	0.13%
01T	1506	796	771	66	8.56%	704	91.31%	1	0.13%
02A	4584	2949	2867	668	23.30%	2197	76.63%	2	0.07%
02B	3518	1265	1232	170	13.80%	1061	86.12%	1	0.08%
02C	2037	1205	1165	131	11.24%	1033	88.67%	1	0.09%
02D	4475	2660	2587	357	13.80%	2229	86.16%	1	0.04%
02E	1905	1423	1387	181	13.05%	1205	86.88%	1	0.07%
02F1	2962	2033	1956	324	16.56%	1628	83.23%	4	0.20%
02F2	788	458	444	66	14.86%	378	85.14%	0	0.00%
02G	3443	2361	2272	359	15.80%	1912	84.15%	1	0.04%
02J	2159	1432	1370	207	15.11%	1162	84.82%	1	0.07%
02K	1419	951	910	143	15.71%	767	84.29%	0	0.00%
02L1	6213	3978	3862	788	20.40%	3074	79.60%	0	0.00%
02L2	1364	782	753	161	21.38%	592	78.62%	0	0.00%
02S	708	427	418	35	8.37%	383	91.63%	0	0.00%
02W	682	399	387	66	17.05%	320	82.69%	1	0.26%
03A	1927	692	665	26	3.91%	639	96.09%	0	0.00%
03B	560	181	175	6	3.43%	169	96.57%	0	0.00%
03C	1534	674	644	27	4.19%	617	95.81%	0	0.00%
03D	1017	402	387	24	6.20%	363	93.80%	0	0.00%
03E	2284	914	881	47	5.33%	833	94.55%	1	0.11%
03F	1925	1115	1089	195	17.91%	894	82.09%	0	0.00%
03G	802	360	343	12	3.50%	331	96.50%	0	0.00%
03H	900	369	351	13	3.70%	338	96.30%	0	0.00%
03I	1360	566	537	101	18.81%	436	81.19%	0	0.00%
03L	594	229	218	12	5.50%	206	94.50%	0	0.00%
03M	766	452	429	113	26.34%	315	73.43%	1	0.23%
03N	2288	938	912	64	7.02%	847	92.87%	1	0.11%
03P1A	3882	1966	1913	296	15.47%	1617	84.53%	0	0.00%
03P2	13	7	7	0	0.00%	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
03S	761	356	342	12	3.51%	330	96.49%	0	0.00%
03T	1505	715	691	34	4.92%	657	95.08%	0	0.00%
04A	1202	560	540	15	2.78%	525	97.22%	0	0.00%
04B	2242	922	888	23	2.59%	865	97.41%	0	0.00%
04C	136	54	53	0	0.00%	53	100.00%	0	0.00%
04D	1481	714	682	34	4.99%	648	95.01%	0	0.00%
04F	2086	935	904	89	9.85%	813	89.93%	2	0.22%
04G	828	312	298	12	4.03%	286	95.97%	0	0.00%
04I	3362	561	542	54	9.96%	488	90.04%	0	0.00%
04J	1230	317	308	9	2.92%	299	97.08%	0	0.00%
04K	2339	1266	1206	56	4.64%	1150	95.36%	0	0.00%
04L	1655	867	836	50	5.98%	785	93.90%	1	0.12%
04M	773	292	287	18	6.27%	269	93.73%	0	0.00%
04S	2165	1118	1068	35	3.28%	1033	96.72%	0	0.00%
04T	1145	584	557	24	4.31%	533	95.69%	0	0.00%
04V	2848	1211	1164	31	2.66%	1133	97.34%	0	0.00%
04W	2203	820	807	15	1.86%	791	98.02%	1	0.12%
04X1	1942	950	925	43	4.65%	881	95.24%	1	0.11%
04X2	737	364	346	14	4.05%	332	95.95%	0	0.00%
05A1	2491	1728	1665	193	11.59%	1472	88.41%	0	0.00%
05A2	2910	1998	1930	209	10.83%	1719	89.07%	2	0.10%
05B	1763	901	848	39	4.60%	807	95.17%	2	0.24%
05C	146	67	64	3	4.69%	61	95.31%	0	0.00%
05D	386	93	90	5	5.56%	85	94.44%	0	0.00%
05F	223	136	136	10	7.35%	126	92.65%	0	0.00%
05J	639	416	405	45	11.11%	360	88.89%	0	0.00%
05K	2600	1327	1299	114	8.78%	1184	91.15%	1	0.08%
06B	1756	1238	1189	284	23.89%	905	76.11%	0	0.00%
06D	8568	6060	5857	1439	24.57%	4416	75.40%	2	0.03%
06E	2250	1439	1406	324	23.04%	1081	76.88%	1	0.07%
06F	2709	1757	1677	356	21.23%	1319	78.65%	2	0.12%
06G	536	369	354	71	20.06%	283	79.94%	0	0.00%
06I	3412	1957	1907	321	16.83%	1585	83.11%	1	0.05%
06J	2539	1904	1821	465	25.54%	1356	74.46%	0	0.00%
06L1	917	679	649	189	29.12%	458	70.57%	2	0.31%
06L2	654	487	472	168	35.59%	304	64.41%	0	0.00%
06N	1844	1085	1046	427	40.82%	619	59.18%	0	0.00%
06Q	527	295	290	32	11.03%	258	88.97%	0	0.00%
06R	1836	1206	1166	304	26.07%	862	73.93%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

LABOR COMMISSIONER

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	M. BUTLER (I) R		R. KEATLEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
07A	6600	4093	3935	1896	48.18%	2035	51.72%	4	0.10%
07B	2763	2107	2033	1362	66.99%	671	33.01%	0	0.00%
07C	3927	2498	2396	1154	48.16%	1241	51.79%	1	0.04%
07D	4231	2413	2326	1075	46.22%	1250	53.74%	1	0.04%
07E	2031	1358	1320	472	35.76%	848	64.24%	0	0.00%
07F	3017	2041	1947	921	47.30%	1026	52.70%	0	0.00%
07H	1923	1424	1363	675	49.52%	687	50.40%	1	0.07%
07J	2647	1753	1676	691	41.23%	983	58.65%	2	0.12%
07M	2155	1180	1147	437	38.10%	709	61.81%	1	0.09%
07N	1946	1044	1002	207	20.66%	795	79.34%	0	0.00%
08A	2799	1949	1894	1064	56.18%	830	43.82%	0	0.00%
08B	3199	2255	2176	1361	62.55%	815	37.45%	0	0.00%
08C	1392	984	946	692	73.15%	254	26.85%	0	0.00%
08D	1022	770	739	528	71.45%	211	28.55%	0	0.00%
08E	2429	1864	1804	1149	63.69%	655	36.31%	0	0.00%
08F1	2071	1628	1547	1094	70.72%	453	29.28%	0	0.00%
08G	2787	1669	1609	726	45.12%	883	54.88%	0	0.00%
08H	2465	1874	1795	1087	60.56%	707	39.39%	1	0.06%
08J	2981	2223	2131	1123	52.70%	1008	47.30%	0	0.00%
08K	973	702	681	334	49.05%	347	50.95%	0	0.00%
08L	4194	2706	2615	934	35.72%	1679	64.21%	2	0.08%
08M	1907	1358	1305	870	66.67%	435	33.33%	0	0.00%
08N1	767	587	561	391	69.70%	170	30.30%	0	0.00%
08N2	1391	927	885	439	49.60%	445	50.28%	1	0.11%
08P	1633	928	890	180	20.22%	709	79.66%	1	0.11%
09A	3338	2152	2074	565	27.24%	1508	72.71%	1	0.05%
09B	385	174	171	2	1.17%	169	98.83%	0	0.00%
09C	2954	1522	1462	52	3.56%	1410	96.44%	0	0.00%
09D	1879	858	811	32	3.95%	778	95.93%	1	0.12%
09E	2782	1420	1370	116	8.47%	1254	91.53%	0	0.00%
09F	3619	2526	2432	1142	46.96%	1288	52.96%	2	0.08%
09G	3519	2282	2211	581	26.28%	1629	73.68%	1	0.05%
09H	1064	645	628	87	13.85%	541	86.15%	0	0.00%
09I	1194	503	475	21	4.42%	452	95.16%	2	0.42%
09K	4403	1851	1787	78	4.36%	1709	95.64%	0	0.00%
09M	2259	1611	1549	377	24.34%	1170	75.53%	2	0.13%
10A	2850	1622	1567	42	2.68%	1524	97.26%	1	0.06%
10B	3686	2464	2396	58	2.42%	2337	97.54%	1	0.04%
10C	2466	1168	1131	31	2.74%	1100	97.26%	0	0.00%
10D	4220	1850	1764	57	3.23%	1705	96.66%	2	0.11%
10E	950	524	501	22	4.39%	479	95.61%	0	0.00%
10F	1053	590	567	26	4.59%	541	95.41%	0	0.00%
10G	1205	813	788	21	2.66%	766	97.21%	1	0.13%
10H1	408	238	231	4	1.73%	227	98.27%	0	0.00%
10H2	2207	1032	991	34	3.43%	956	96.47%	1	0.10%
10I	329	258	253	6	2.37%	247	97.63%	0	0.00%
10J	1012	466	451	12	2.66%	439	97.34%	0	0.00%
10K	1415	858	826	34	4.12%	792	95.88%	0	0.00%
10M	2529	1414	1363	57	4.18%	1304	95.67%	2	0.15%
10P	1364	668	644	20	3.11%	624	96.89%	0	0.00%
10R	713	347	334	10	2.99%	324	97.01%	0	0.00%
11B	5162	3088	3002	101	3.36%	2899	96.57%	2	0.07%
11C	2822	2003	1961	42	2.14%	1918	97.81%	1	0.05%
11E1	1141	634	615	24	3.90%	591	96.10%	0	0.00%
11E2	847	497	482	12	2.49%	470	97.51%	0	0.00%
11E3	3124	1835	1808	51	2.82%	1756	97.12%	1	0.06%
11G	2092	855	822	35	4.26%	787	95.74%	0	0.00%
11H	677	356	343	15	4.37%	327	95.34%	1	0.29%
11J	1827	1018	976	34	3.48%	941	96.41%	1	0.10%
11K	1818	1104	1068	48	4.49%	1019	95.41%	1	0.09%
11M	3039	1659	1621	49	3.02%	1571	96.92%	1	0.06%
11N	2166	1358	1319	42	3.18%	1277	96.82%	0	0.00%
11P	1833	1020	968	34	3.51%	934	96.49%	0	0.00%
11R	1849	672	641	23	3.59%	618	96.41%	0	0.00%
12A	4828	2734	2650	162	6.11%	2486	93.81%	2	0.08%
12D	1209	501	482	15	3.11%	467	96.89%	0	0.00%
12E1	1621	591	565	33	5.84%	532	94.16%	0	0.00%
12F	943	428	413	15	3.63%	398	96.37%	0	0.00%
12G	800	430	404	21	5.20%	383	94.80%	0	0.00%
12H1	1006	310	304	25	8.22%	278	91.45%	1	0.33%
12H2	2562	1189	1146	30	2.62%	1114	97.21%	2	0.17%
12I	970	413	389	11	2.83%	378	97.17%	0	0.00%
12J	2535	1108	1074	23	2.14%	1048	97.58%	3	0.28%
12K	3022	1240	1190	75	6.30%	1114	93.61%	1	0.08%
12L	613	238	224	9	4.02%	215	95.98%	0	0.00%
12M	2533	1171	1143	26	2.27%	1117	97.73%	0	0.00%
12N	907	327	310	16	5.16%	294	94.84%	0	0.00%
12S	1794	959	919	36	3.92%	883	96.08%	0	0.00%
AP01A	4724	3141	3070	1684	54.85%	1386	45.15%	0	0.00%
AP01B	913	516	503	240	47.71%	263	52.29%	0	0.00%
AP01C	2233	1498	1460	831	56.92%	628	43.01%	1	0.07%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

LABOR COMMISSIONER

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	M. BUTLER (I) R		R. KEATLEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
AP01D	915	539	527	232	44.02%	295	55.98%	0	0.00%
AP02B	474	263	256	133	51.95%	123	48.05%	0	0.00%
AP03	741	314	304	68	22.37%	236	77.63%	0	0.00%
AP04A	1647	1183	1146	727	63.44%	419	36.56%	0	0.00%
AP04B	415	290	285	168	58.95%	117	41.05%	0	0.00%
AP05	4367	2981	2908	1762	60.59%	1142	39.27%	4	0.14%
AP06	1827	1309	1262	815	64.58%	447	35.42%	0	0.00%
AP07A	3422	2102	2034	1106	54.38%	927	45.58%	1	0.05%
AP07B	1650	1144	1118	652	58.32%	466	41.68%	0	0.00%
AP09A	4051	2687	2619	1348	51.47%	1269	48.45%	2	0.08%
AP09B	810	531	519	220	42.39%	299	57.61%	0	0.00%
AP021	2994	1963	1906	1069	56.09%	836	43.86%	1	0.05%
AP022	2210	1429	1366	678	49.63%	688	50.37%	0	0.00%
AP10	942	681	661	418	63.24%	243	36.76%	0	0.00%
AP12A	658	374	364	134	36.81%	230	63.19%	0	0.00%
AP12B	747	428	418	138	33.01%	280	66.99%	0	0.00%
AP12C	1584	1005	977	563	57.63%	414	42.37%	0	0.00%
AP14	2972	2027	1974	1085	54.96%	889	45.04%	0	0.00%
CH01	330	164	160	41	25.63%	119	74.38%	0	0.00%
CH02	319	202	196	120	61.22%	75	38.27%	1	0.51%
CH03	379	250	243	156	64.20%	87	35.80%	0	0.00%
CH04A	647	444	434	237	54.61%	197	45.39%	0	0.00%
CH05	407	260	257	189	73.54%	68	26.46%	0	0.00%
CP01B	381	227	220	85	38.64%	135	61.36%	0	0.00%
CP011	1135	617	599	149	24.87%	450	75.13%	0	0.00%
CP012	1318	844	816	176	21.57%	640	78.43%	0	0.00%
CP02	1000	553	534	76	14.23%	458	85.77%	0	0.00%
CP04A	8	2	2	1	50.00%	1	50.00%	0	0.00%
CP04B	39	14	14	4	28.57%	10	71.43%	0	0.00%
CP05B	143	76	74	3	4.05%	71	95.95%	0	0.00%
CP051	1612	874	849	44	5.18%	804	94.70%	1	0.12%
CP06A	598	208	199	5	2.51%	194	97.49%	0	0.00%
CP07B	7	2	2	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP07C	244	47	47	4	8.51%	43	91.49%	0	0.00%
CP07D	694	208	199	6	3.02%	193	96.98%	0	0.00%
CP07E	125	41	40	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP07F	184	55	52	1	1.92%	51	98.08%	0	0.00%
CP081	343	77	77	5	6.49%	71	92.21%	1	1.30%
CP083	219	25	24	1	4.17%	23	95.83%	0	0.00%
CP084	3	1	1	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP08A	705	175	171	6	3.51%	165	96.49%	0	0.00%
EP01A	2609	1464	1422	148	10.41%	1273	89.52%	1	0.07%
EP01B	3038	1539	1504	120	7.98%	1384	92.02%	0	0.00%
EP02A	1967	890	856	54	6.31%	802	93.69%	0	0.00%
EP02B	1449	977	950	143	15.05%	806	84.84%	1	0.11%
EP02C	428	251	246	55	22.36%	191	77.64%	0	0.00%
EP02D	326	192	185	11	5.95%	174	94.05%	0	0.00%
EP02E	1382	627	608	33	5.43%	575	94.57%	0	0.00%
EP03A	4293	2512	2439	136	5.58%	2299	94.26%	4	0.16%
EP03B	1421	973	953	49	5.14%	904	94.86%	0	0.00%
EP04A	5432	2626	2580	75	2.91%	2503	97.02%	2	0.08%
EP04B	2024	1203	1192	25	2.10%	1167	97.90%	0	0.00%
FA01A	3574	2126	2080	232	11.15%	1848	88.85%	0	0.00%
FA01B	4833	2965	2914	327	11.22%	2587	88.78%	0	0.00%
FA01C	681	401	396	18	4.55%	377	95.20%	1	0.25%
HP01	3212	1780	1728	477	27.60%	1250	72.34%	1	0.06%
JC01	4977	3215	3129	1324	42.31%	1803	57.62%	2	0.06%
JC02	2460	1474	1435	672	46.83%	763	53.17%	0	0.00%
JC03A	540	384	376	189	50.27%	187	49.73%	0	0.00%
JC03B	1448	955	931	382	41.03%	549	58.97%	0	0.00%
JC04A	4042	2692	2608	1314	50.38%	1292	49.54%	2	0.08%
JC04B	255	156	147	70	47.62%	77	52.38%	0	0.00%
JC05	2136	1391	1356	652	48.08%	704	51.92%	0	0.00%
JC06	2627	1580	1532	886	57.83%	646	42.17%	0	0.00%
JC07	4083	2627	2530	1231	48.66%	1299	51.34%	0	0.00%
JC08	2737	1870	1809	965	53.34%	844	46.66%	0	0.00%
JC09	2928	1878	1841	799	43.40%	1041	56.55%	1	0.05%
JC10	2392	1507	1465	608	41.50%	857	58.50%	0	0.00%
JC11	3186	2085	2026	1188	58.64%	838	41.36%	0	0.00%
JC12	2455	1753	1707	1176	68.89%	531	31.11%	0	0.00%
JC13A	2115	1462	1413	975	69.00%	437	30.93%	1	0.07%
JC13B	271	180	170	138	81.18%	32	18.82%	0	0.00%
JC14	2477	1634	1580	974	61.65%	606	38.35%	0	0.00%
JC15	834	523	508	209	41.14%	299	58.86%	0	0.00%
JC16	2496	1729	1676	883	52.68%	790	47.14%	3	0.18%
JC18	2670	1969	1923	1135	59.02%	788	40.98%	0	0.00%
JC19	3759	2528	2452	1271	51.84%	1179	48.08%	2	0.08%
ML011	2439	1681	1638	1046	63.86%	592	36.14%	0	0.00%
ML012	485	338	329	240	72.95%	89	27.05%	0	0.00%
ML01B	138	96	96	60	62.50%	36	37.50%	0	0.00%
ML021	1991	1369	1342	1038	77.35%	304	22.65%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	LABOR COMMISSIONER								
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	M. BUTLER (I) R		R. KEATLEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
ML022	241	148	145	116	80.00%	29	20.00%	0	0.00%
ML023	1542	1027	1000	758	75.80%	242	24.20%	0	0.00%
ML024	113	70	69	60	86.96%	9	13.04%	0	0.00%
ML03	3757	2575	2508	1828	72.89%	680	27.11%	0	0.00%
ML04A	1747	1176	1146	725	63.26%	420	36.65%	1	0.09%
ML04B	1206	838	820	590	71.95%	230	28.05%	0	0.00%
ML04C	79	66	63	47	74.60%	16	25.40%	0	0.00%
ML05A	39	27	26	17	65.38%	9	34.62%	0	0.00%
ML05B	381	250	243	168	69.14%	75	30.86%	0	0.00%
ML05C	4023	2615	2554	1386	54.27%	1167	45.69%	1	0.04%
ML06A	1973	1024	1004	369	36.75%	634	63.15%	1	0.10%
ML06B	2775	1734	1701	664	39.04%	1036	60.91%	1	0.06%
ML071	105	73	71	50	70.42%	21	29.58%	0	0.00%
ML072	1249	857	839	598	71.28%	240	28.61%	1	0.12%
ML07A	707	472	458	327	71.40%	131	28.60%	0	0.00%
MP01	450	323	316	155	49.05%	161	50.95%	0	0.00%
PA01	2509	1330	1299	310	23.86%	988	76.06%	1	0.08%
RW01	4717	3355	3236	2021	62.45%	1214	37.52%	1	0.03%
RW02	3794	2675	2586	1454	56.23%	1129	43.66%	3	0.12%
RW03	4510	2745	2652	1204	45.40%	1448	54.60%	0	0.00%
RW04	1803	1359	1320	702	53.18%	618	46.82%	0	0.00%
RW05	2322	1207	1181	420	35.56%	759	64.27%	2	0.17%
RW06	2820	1914	1862	953	51.18%	907	48.71%	2	0.11%
RW07A	1549	677	662	102	15.41%	560	84.59%	0	0.00%
RW07B	388	214	207	53	25.60%	154	74.40%	0	0.00%
RW08	2138	1462	1407	932	66.24%	475	33.76%	0	0.00%
RW09	5918	4216	4109	2797	68.07%	1311	31.91%	1	0.02%
RW10	3347	2376	2286	1441	63.04%	843	36.88%	2	0.09%
RW11A	2667	1693	1645	813	49.42%	832	50.58%	0	0.00%
RW12	5944	4234	4112	2597	63.16%	1515	36.84%	0	0.00%
RW13	3555	2476	2399	1322	55.11%	1075	44.81%	2	0.08%
RW16	1850	1275	1244	745	59.89%	498	40.03%	1	0.08%
RW17	2794	1773	1728	704	40.74%	1022	59.14%	2	0.12%
RW19	1993	1434	1401	919	65.60%	482	34.40%	0	0.00%
RW20	2486	1797	1744	997	57.17%	746	42.78%	1	0.06%
RW21	3144	2169	2107	1377	65.35%	730	34.65%	0	0.00%
RW22A	4406	2486	2427	973	40.09%	1452	59.83%	2	0.08%
SC01A	20	25	23	2	8.70%	21	91.30%	0	0.00%
SC01B	1707	1295	1278	41	3.21%	1236	96.71%	1	0.08%
SC01C	2063	1513	1478	57	3.86%	1420	96.08%	1	0.07%
SC01D	294	162	161	1	0.62%	160	99.38%	0	0.00%
SC02	872	662	654	40	6.12%	614	93.88%	0	0.00%
SC04	922	616	604	204	33.77%	399	66.06%	1	0.17%
SC05A	646	409	400	7	1.75%	392	98.00%	1	0.25%
SC05B	3204	2188	2152	85	3.95%	2065	95.96%	2	0.09%
SC05D	2171	1428	1413	56	3.96%	1357	96.04%	0	0.00%
SC05E	623	434	426	72	16.90%	354	83.10%	0	0.00%
SC07A	5595	3698	3649	342	9.37%	3305	90.57%	2	0.05%
SC07C	29	10	10	1	10.00%	9	90.00%	0	0.00%
SC08B	3382	2008	1966	67	3.41%	1897	96.49%	2	0.10%
SC08C	74	53	52	0	0.00%	52	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08D	499	314	310	7	2.26%	302	97.42%	1	0.32%
SC08E	562	316	308	6	1.95%	302	98.05%	0	0.00%
SC08F	700	366	357	18	5.04%	339	94.96%	0	0.00%
SC08G	12	8	8	0	0.00%	8	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08H	4	3	3	0	0.00%	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC09A	1628	839	817	37	4.53%	780	95.47%	0	0.00%
SC09B	1687	1084	1057	33	3.12%	1024	96.88%	0	0.00%
SC09C	1566	842	825	29	3.52%	796	96.48%	0	0.00%
SC10	1678	661	646	21	3.25%	624	96.59%	1	0.15%
SC11A	1411	813	795	22	2.77%	773	97.23%	0	0.00%
SC11B	1506	878	859	28	3.26%	831	96.74%	0	0.00%
SC13	2465	1636	1612	89	5.52%	1521	94.35%	2	0.12%
SC14A	916	429	417	17	4.08%	400	95.92%	0	0.00%
SC14B	502	45	42	7	16.67%	35	83.33%	0	0.00%
SC15	6193	4213	4148	150	3.62%	3996	96.34%	2	0.05%
SC16A	4601	3208	3165	96	3.03%	3066	96.87%	3	0.09%
SC16B	14	15	15	0	0.00%	15	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC17A	55	42	42	0	0.00%	42	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC17B	7	4	4	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%
SC17C	1134	669	660	23	3.48%	637	96.52%	0	0.00%
SC18A	510	329	321	7	2.18%	314	97.82%	0	0.00%
SC18B	663	462	456	19	4.17%	437	95.83%	0	0.00%
SC18C	1850	1352	1329	70	5.27%	1259	94.73%	0	0.00%
SC19A	195	129	128	4	3.13%	124	96.88%	0	0.00%
SC19B	1267	690	675	27	4.00%	646	95.70%	2	0.30%
SC20	2197	1665	1648	50	3.03%	1598	96.97%	0	0.00%
SC211	1328	841	830	40	4.82%	790	95.18%	0	0.00%
SC212	3378	1947	1914	108	5.64%	1806	94.36%	0	0.00%
SC23A	3161	1730	1697	53	3.12%	1644	96.88%	0	0.00%
SC23B	483	284	281	16	5.69%	265	94.31%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	LABOR COMMISSIONER								
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	M. BUTLER (I) R		R. KEATLEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
SC23C	2252	1302	1277	37	2.90%	1240	97.10%	0	0.00%
SC27	2129	1259	1234	33	2.67%	1198	97.08%	3	0.24%
SC29A	2784	1544	1518	54	3.56%	1463	96.38%	1	0.07%
SC30A	135	97	92	1	1.09%	91	98.91%	0	0.00%
SC30B	960	531	515	22	4.27%	493	95.73%	0	0.00%
SS01	4487	3270	3165	2010	63.51%	1155	36.49%	0	0.00%
SS02A	1120	755	734	323	44.01%	411	55.99%	0	0.00%
SS02B	1255	756	738	199	26.96%	539	73.04%	0	0.00%
SS03	1464	1027	990	488	49.29%	501	50.61%	1	0.10%
SS04	3264	2064	1999	777	38.87%	1221	61.08%	1	0.05%
SS05	1746	1376	1332	735	55.18%	597	44.82%	0	0.00%
SS06	1397	1073	1035	600	57.97%	435	42.03%	0	0.00%
SS07A	968	683	662	381	57.55%	281	42.45%	0	0.00%
SS07B	609	405	396	170	42.93%	226	57.07%	0	0.00%
SS07C	755	436	422	217	51.42%	205	48.58%	0	0.00%
SS08A	1316	758	737	249	33.79%	488	66.21%	0	0.00%
SS08B	635	453	443	249	56.21%	194	43.79%	0	0.00%
SS08C	632	393	379	122	32.19%	257	67.81%	0	0.00%
SS08D	1368	847	830	303	36.51%	527	63.49%	0	0.00%
SS09A	3003	2210	2130	1290	60.56%	838	39.34%	2	0.09%
SS09B	3358	2452	2378	1419	59.67%	958	40.29%	1	0.04%
SS11A	3655	2227	2157	953	44.18%	1203	55.77%	1	0.05%
SS11B	1257	707	679	221	32.55%	458	67.45%	0	0.00%
SS11C	269	146	139	27	19.42%	112	80.58%	0	0.00%
SS11D	365	254	241	153	63.49%	87	36.10%	1	0.41%
SS12	2559	1732	1660	915	55.12%	744	44.82%	1	0.06%
SS13A	1633	1072	1034	528	51.06%	506	48.94%	0	0.00%
SS13B	1001	629	614	319	51.95%	295	48.05%	0	0.00%
SS14	1274	977	949	636	67.02%	312	32.88%	1	0.11%
SS15A	2572	1199	1172	180	15.36%	992	84.64%	0	0.00%
SS15B	1579	902	874	283	32.38%	591	67.62%	0	0.00%
SS16	2030	1534	1490	895	60.07%	595	39.93%	0	0.00%
SS17	3397	2229	2169	1178	54.31%	989	45.60%	2	0.09%
SS18A	619	454	445	245	55.06%	200	44.94%	0	0.00%
SS18B	221	162	156	82	52.56%	74	47.44%	0	0.00%
SS19A	3016	1683	1642	425	25.88%	1216	74.06%	1	0.06%
SS19B	2032	1226	1193	397	33.28%	795	66.64%	1	0.08%
SS20	2060	1159	1127	401	35.58%	726	64.42%	0	0.00%
SS22	2714	1613	1559	685	43.94%	874	56.06%	0	0.00%
SS26	3552	1822	1776	349	19.65%	1427	80.35%	0	0.00%
SS29A	2488	1595	1534	683	44.52%	850	55.41%	1	0.07%
SS31	1345	938	911	429	47.09%	482	52.91%	0	0.00%
UC01A	3622	1715	1692	83	4.91%	1607	94.98%	2	0.12%
UC01B	395	210	204	6	2.94%	198	97.06%	0	0.00%
UC01D	6	2	2	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
UC01E	285	206	205	6	2.93%	198	96.59%	1	0.49%
UC02A	7513	4296	4214	171	4.06%	4042	95.92%	1	0.02%
UC02B	30	19	19	4	21.05%	15	78.95%	0	0.00%
UC031	2399	1203	1173	209	17.82%	964	82.18%	0	0.00%
UC032	292	186	182	29	15.93%	153	84.07%	0	0.00%
Total	703177	424992	412301	126310	30.64%	285798	69.32%	193	0.05%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

PSC EATON

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	C. EATON (I) R		L. MILLER (D)		R. GRAHAM (L)		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide											
01A	3199	2362	2298	207	9.01%	1998	86.95%	92	4.00%	1	0.04%
01B	3839	2737	2660	243	9.14%	2303	86.58%	114	4.29%	0	0.00%
01C	1459	612	600	15	2.50%	562	93.67%	23	3.83%	0	0.00%
01D	511	307	301	11	3.65%	279	92.69%	11	3.65%	0	0.00%
01E	3363	2410	2339	257	10.99%	1980	84.65%	102	4.36%	0	0.00%
01F	1254	452	442	13	2.94%	417	94.34%	11	2.49%	1	0.23%
01G	1909	1102	1067	54	5.06%	971	91.00%	42	3.94%	0	0.00%
01H	758	379	367	17	4.63%	342	93.19%	7	1.91%	1	0.27%
01J	2416	1184	1146	38	3.32%	1081	94.33%	27	2.36%	0	0.00%
01P	1244	542	515	10	1.94%	500	97.09%	5	0.97%	0	0.00%
01R	1344	491	461	7	1.52%	444	96.31%	10	2.17%	0	0.00%
01S	1749	823	788	27	3.43%	745	94.54%	15	1.90%	1	0.13%
01T	1506	796	770	47	6.10%	688	89.35%	34	4.42%	1	0.13%
02A	4584	2949	2868	564	19.67%	2206	76.92%	97	3.38%	1	0.03%
02B	3518	1265	1228	136	11.07%	1053	85.75%	39	3.18%	0	0.00%
02C	2037	1205	1168	101	8.65%	1028	88.01%	38	3.25%	1	0.09%
02D	4475	2660	2583	277	10.72%	2226	86.18%	80	3.10%	0	0.00%
02E	1905	1423	1401	132	9.42%	1220	87.08%	49	3.50%	0	0.00%
02F1	2962	2033	1972	253	12.83%	1634	82.86%	85	4.31%	0	0.00%
02F2	788	458	449	49	10.91%	378	84.19%	22	4.90%	0	0.00%
02G	3443	2361	2286	267	11.68%	1920	83.99%	99	4.33%	0	0.00%
02J	2159	1432	1388	170	12.25%	1157	83.36%	61	4.39%	0	0.00%
02K	1419	951	918	123	13.40%	762	83.01%	33	3.59%	0	0.00%
02L1	6213	3978	3877	664	17.13%	3054	78.77%	159	4.10%	0	0.00%
02L2	1364	782	756	130	17.20%	592	78.31%	34	4.50%	0	0.00%
02S	708	427	418	25	5.98%	379	90.67%	14	3.35%	0	0.00%
02W	682	399	389	59	15.17%	317	81.49%	12	3.08%	1	0.26%
03A	1927	692	671	14	2.09%	641	95.53%	15	2.24%	1	0.15%
03B	560	181	173	6	3.47%	163	94.22%	4	2.31%	0	0.00%
03C	1534	674	648	15	2.31%	618	95.37%	15	2.31%	0	0.00%
03D	1017	402	390	16	4.10%	364	93.33%	10	2.56%	0	0.00%
03E	2284	914	887	28	3.16%	844	95.15%	15	1.69%	0	0.00%
03F	1925	1115	1099	151	13.74%	901	81.98%	47	4.28%	0	0.00%
03G	802	360	347	6	1.73%	329	94.81%	11	3.17%	1	0.29%
03H	900	369	350	8	2.29%	335	95.71%	7	2.00%	0	0.00%
03I	1360	566	543	83	15.29%	431	79.37%	29	5.34%	0	0.00%
03L	594	229	220	7	3.18%	206	93.64%	7	3.18%	0	0.00%
03M	766	452	432	103	23.84%	304	70.37%	25	5.79%	0	0.00%
03N	2288	938	908	38	4.19%	844	92.95%	26	2.86%	0	0.00%
03P1A	3882	1966	1913	225	11.76%	1605	83.90%	83	4.34%	0	0.00%
03P2	13	7	7	0	0.00%	7	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
03S	761	356	346	9	2.60%	335	96.82%	2	0.58%	0	0.00%
03T	1505	715	697	23	3.30%	654	93.83%	20	2.87%	0	0.00%
04A	1202	560	544	11	2.02%	518	95.22%	15	2.76%	0	0.00%
04B	2242	922	895	14	1.56%	858	95.87%	23	2.57%	0	0.00%
04C	136	54	53	0	0.00%	52	98.11%	1	1.89%	0	0.00%
04D	1481	714	681	18	2.64%	644	94.57%	19	2.79%	0	0.00%
04F	2086	935	908	64	7.05%	816	89.87%	28	3.08%	0	0.00%
04G	828	312	300	10	3.33%	281	93.67%	9	3.00%	0	0.00%
04I	3362	561	544	39	7.17%	477	87.68%	27	4.96%	1	0.18%
04J	1230	317	311	8	2.57%	296	95.18%	7	2.25%	0	0.00%
04K	2339	1266	1214	35	2.88%	1143	94.15%	36	2.97%	0	0.00%
04L	1655	867	844	29	3.44%	782	92.65%	31	3.67%	2	0.24%
04M	773	292	287	7	2.44%	268	93.38%	12	4.18%	0	0.00%
04S	2165	1118	1070	29	2.71%	1014	94.77%	27	2.52%	0	0.00%
04T	1145	584	557	9	1.62%	540	96.95%	8	1.44%	0	0.00%
04V	2848	1211	1169	15	1.28%	1129	96.58%	25	2.14%	0	0.00%
04W	2203	820	804	7	0.87%	771	95.90%	24	2.99%	2	0.25%
04X1	1942	950	927	29	3.13%	874	94.28%	23	2.48%	1	0.11%
04X2	737	364	347	10	2.88%	329	94.81%	8	2.31%	0	0.00%
05A1	2491	1728	1674	149	8.90%	1465	87.51%	60	3.58%	0	0.00%
05A2	2910	1998	1938	163	8.41%	1695	87.46%	79	4.08%	1	0.05%
05B	1763	901	851	36	4.23%	794	93.30%	19	2.23%	2	0.24%
05C	146	67	65	3	4.62%	59	90.77%	3	4.62%	0	0.00%
05D	386	93	89	4	4.49%	78	87.64%	7	7.87%	0	0.00%
05F	223	136	136	8	5.88%	126	92.65%	2	1.47%	0	0.00%
05J	639	416	409	37	9.05%	355	86.80%	17	4.16%	0	0.00%
05K	2600	1327	1304	86	6.60%	1176	90.18%	42	3.22%	0	0.00%
06B	1756	1238	1199	232	19.35%	912	76.06%	55	4.59%	0	0.00%
06D	8568	6060	5907	1188	20.11%	4529	76.67%	188	3.18%	2	0.03%
06E	2250	1439	1416	269	19.00%	1091	77.05%	55	3.88%	1	0.07%
06F	2709	1757	1688	303	17.95%	1320	78.20%	65	3.85%	0	0.00%
06G	536	369	359	59	16.43%	285	79.39%	15	4.18%	0	0.00%
06I	3412	1957	1913	261	13.64%	1583	82.75%	68	3.55%	1	0.05%
06J	2539	1904	1839	369	20.07%	1392	75.69%	77	4.19%	1	0.05%
06L1	917	679	659	152	23.07%	490	74.36%	17	2.58%	0	0.00%
06L2	654	487	475	151	31.79%	314	66.11%	10	2.11%	0	0.00%
06N	1844	1085	1044	367	35.15%	641	61.40%	36	3.45%	0	0.00%
06Q	527	295	290	24	8.28%	258	88.97%	8	2.76%	0	0.00%
06R	1836	1206	1177	252	21.41%	894	75.96%	31	2.63%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

PSC EATON

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	C. EATON (I) R		L. MILLER (D)		R. GRAHAM (L)		Write-In Votes	
07A	6600	4093	3950	1718	43.49%	2117	53.59%	113	2.86%	2	0.05%
07B	2763	2107	2050	1270	61.95%	719	35.07%	61	2.98%	0	0.00%
07C	3927	2498	2412	1074	44.53%	1280	53.07%	58	2.40%	0	0.00%
07D	4231	2413	2339	974	41.64%	1265	54.08%	99	4.23%	1	0.04%
07E	2031	1358	1320	434	32.88%	855	64.77%	31	2.35%	0	0.00%
07F	3017	2041	1963	824	41.98%	1074	54.71%	65	3.31%	0	0.00%
07H	1923	1424	1371	628	45.81%	706	51.50%	36	2.63%	1	0.07%
07J	2647	1753	1687	617	36.57%	998	59.16%	71	4.21%	1	0.06%
07M	2155	1180	1153	391	33.91%	718	62.27%	43	3.73%	1	0.09%
07N	1946	1044	1008	162	16.07%	801	79.46%	44	4.37%	1	0.10%
08A	2799	1949	1911	981	51.33%	866	45.32%	64	3.35%	0	0.00%
08B	3199	2255	2192	1254	57.21%	862	39.32%	76	3.47%	0	0.00%
08C	1392	984	954	659	69.08%	281	29.45%	14	1.47%	0	0.00%
08D	1022	770	744	507	68.15%	220	29.57%	17	2.28%	0	0.00%
08E	2429	1864	1820	1078	59.23%	695	38.19%	47	2.58%	0	0.00%
08F1	2071	1628	1575	1016	64.51%	519	32.95%	40	2.54%	0	0.00%
08G	2787	1669	1619	656	40.52%	922	56.95%	41	2.53%	0	0.00%
08H	2465	1874	1816	999	55.01%	770	42.40%	47	2.59%	0	0.00%
08J	2981	2223	2144	1013	47.25%	1051	49.02%	80	3.73%	0	0.00%
08K	973	702	686	287	41.84%	367	53.50%	32	4.66%	0	0.00%
08L	4194	2706	2632	803	30.51%	1711	65.01%	115	4.37%	3	0.11%
08M	1907	1358	1314	808	61.49%	473	36.00%	33	2.51%	0	0.00%
08N1	767	587	573	361	63.00%	198	34.55%	14	2.44%	0	0.00%
08N2	1391	927	895	404	45.14%	468	52.29%	23	2.57%	0	0.00%
08P	1633	928	903	161	17.83%	710	78.63%	31	3.43%	1	0.11%
09A	3338	2152	2077	473	22.77%	1492	71.83%	112	5.39%	0	0.00%
09B	385	174	171	3	1.75%	167	97.66%	1	0.58%	0	0.00%
09C	2954	1522	1464	43	2.94%	1391	95.01%	30	2.05%	0	0.00%
09D	1879	858	815	15	1.84%	780	95.71%	19	2.33%	1	0.12%
09E	2782	1420	1371	80	5.84%	1253	91.39%	38	2.77%	0	0.00%
09F	3619	2526	2439	1025	42.03%	1321	54.16%	93	3.81%	0	0.00%
09G	3519	2282	2214	490	22.13%	1619	73.13%	105	4.74%	0	0.00%
09H	1064	645	629	68	10.81%	525	83.47%	36	5.72%	0	0.00%
09I	1194	503	475	17	3.58%	445	93.68%	12	2.53%	1	0.21%
09K	4403	1851	1800	59	3.28%	1706	94.78%	35	1.94%	0	0.00%
09M	2259	1611	1560	310	19.87%	1171	75.06%	77	4.94%	2	0.13%
10A	2850	1622	1571	30	1.91%	1514	96.37%	26	1.65%	1	0.06%
10B	3686	2464	2404	54	2.25%	2316	96.34%	33	1.37%	1	0.04%
10C	2466	1168	1122	18	1.60%	1083	96.52%	21	1.87%	0	0.00%
10D	4220	1850	1772	31	1.75%	1705	96.22%	34	1.92%	2	0.11%
10E	950	524	506	17	3.36%	478	94.47%	11	2.17%	0	0.00%
10F	1053	590	570	23	4.04%	534	93.68%	13	2.28%	0	0.00%
10G	1205	813	788	17	2.16%	752	95.43%	18	2.28%	1	0.13%
10H1	408	238	231	2	0.87%	221	95.67%	8	3.46%	0	0.00%
10H2	2207	1032	1000	19	1.90%	970	97.00%	10	1.00%	1	0.10%
10I	329	258	252	7	2.78%	240	95.24%	5	1.98%	0	0.00%
10J	1012	466	448	9	2.01%	432	96.43%	7	1.56%	0	0.00%
10K	1415	858	836	26	3.11%	785	93.90%	25	2.99%	0	0.00%
10M	2529	1414	1370	37	2.70%	1291	94.23%	41	2.99%	1	0.07%
10P	1364	668	639	18	2.82%	609	95.31%	12	1.88%	0	0.00%
10R	713	347	333	5	1.50%	324	97.30%	4	1.20%	0	0.00%
11B	5162	3088	3002	72	2.40%	2874	95.74%	55	1.83%	1	0.03%
11C	2822	2003	1965	27	1.37%	1916	97.51%	22	1.12%	0	0.00%
11E1	1141	634	616	15	2.44%	582	94.48%	19	3.08%	0	0.00%
11E2	847	497	484	7	1.45%	462	95.45%	14	2.89%	1	0.21%
11E3	3124	1835	1814	36	1.98%	1745	96.20%	32	1.76%	1	0.06%
11G	2092	855	824	25	3.03%	781	94.78%	18	2.18%	0	0.00%
11H	677	356	344	10	2.91%	324	94.19%	9	2.62%	1	0.29%
11J	1827	1018	974	24	2.46%	924	94.87%	26	2.67%	0	0.00%
11K	1818	1104	1070	33	3.08%	1009	94.30%	27	2.52%	1	0.09%
11M	3039	1659	1624	29	1.79%	1569	96.61%	23	1.42%	3	0.18%
11N	2166	1358	1323	31	2.34%	1270	95.99%	22	1.66%	0	0.00%
11P	1833	1020	974	18	1.85%	944	96.92%	12	1.23%	0	0.00%
11R	1849	672	641	10	1.56%	614	95.79%	17	2.65%	0	0.00%
12A	4828	2734	2663	123	4.62%	2443	91.74%	96	3.60%	1	0.04%
12D	1209	501	488	8	1.64%	467	95.70%	13	2.66%	0	0.00%
12E1	1621	591	559	26	4.65%	524	93.74%	9	1.61%	0	0.00%
12F	943	428	411	9	2.19%	385	93.67%	17	4.14%	0	0.00%
12G	800	430	412	13	3.16%	398	96.60%	1	0.24%	0	0.00%
12H1	1006	310	302	19	6.29%	274	90.73%	8	2.65%	1	0.33%
12H2	2562	1189	1148	22	1.92%	1105	96.25%	19	1.66%	2	0.17%
12I	970	413	389	6	1.54%	377	96.92%	6	1.54%	0	0.00%
12J	2535	1108	1072	12	1.12%	1044	97.39%	14	1.31%	2	0.19%
12K	3022	1240	1192	48	4.03%	1115	93.54%	29	2.43%	0	0.00%
12L	613	238	228	6	2.63%	221	96.93%	1	0.44%	0	0.00%
12M	2533	1171	1136	23	2.02%	1101	96.92%	12	1.06%	0	0.00%
12N	907	327	314	10	3.18%	296	94.27%	8	2.55%	0	0.00%
12S	1794	959	914	18	1.97%	866	94.75%	30	3.28%	0	0.00%
AP01A	4724	3141	3074	1593	51.82%	1402	45.61%	79	2.57%	0	0.00%
AP01B	913	516	504	222	44.05%	259	51.39%	23	4.56%	0	0.00%
AP01C	2233	1498	1468	771	52.52%	653	44.48%	44	3.00%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

PSC EATON

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	C. EATON (I) R		L. MILLER (D)		R. GRAHAM (L)		Write-In Votes	
AP01D	915	539	522	216	41.38%	289	55.36%	17	3.26%	0	0.00%
AP02B	474	263	255	127	49.80%	119	46.67%	9	3.53%	0	0.00%
AP03	741	314	306	66	21.57%	229	74.84%	11	3.59%	0	0.00%
AP04A	1647	1183	1148	684	59.58%	419	36.50%	45	3.92%	0	0.00%
AP04B	415	290	284	154	54.23%	123	43.31%	7	2.46%	0	0.00%
AP05	4367	2981	2911	1670	57.37%	1170	40.19%	70	2.40%	1	0.03%
AP06	1827	1309	1262	764	60.54%	448	35.50%	49	3.88%	1	0.08%
AP07A	3422	2102	2040	1033	50.64%	927	45.44%	79	3.87%	1	0.05%
AP07B	1650	1144	1119	602	53.80%	479	42.81%	38	3.40%	0	0.00%
AP09A	4051	2687	2615	1245	47.61%	1278	48.87%	91	3.48%	1	0.04%
AP09B	810	531	515	202	39.22%	303	58.83%	10	1.94%	0	0.00%
AP021	2994	1963	1905	977	51.29%	851	44.67%	76	3.99%	1	0.05%
AP022	2210	1429	1376	622	45.20%	702	51.02%	52	3.78%	0	0.00%
AP10	942	681	660	380	57.58%	254	38.48%	26	3.94%	0	0.00%
AP12A	658	374	366	118	32.24%	229	62.57%	19	5.19%	0	0.00%
AP12B	747	428	417	136	32.61%	271	64.99%	10	2.40%	0	0.00%
AP12C	1584	1005	984	529	53.76%	426	43.29%	29	2.95%	0	0.00%
AP14	2972	2027	1979	1014	51.24%	899	45.43%	66	3.34%	0	0.00%
CH01	330	164	160	38	23.75%	119	74.38%	3	1.88%	0	0.00%
CH02	319	202	197	118	59.90%	75	38.07%	4	2.03%	0	0.00%
CH03	379	250	245	146	59.59%	94	38.37%	5	2.04%	0	0.00%
CH04A	647	444	430	219	50.93%	203	47.21%	8	1.86%	0	0.00%
CH05	407	260	258	185	71.71%	68	26.36%	5	1.94%	0	0.00%
CP01B	381	227	220	73	33.18%	139	63.18%	8	3.64%	0	0.00%
CP011	1135	617	608	131	21.55%	455	74.84%	22	3.62%	0	0.00%
CP012	1318	844	817	144	17.63%	648	79.31%	25	3.06%	0	0.00%
CP02	1000	553	535	65	12.15%	458	85.61%	12	2.24%	0	0.00%
CP04A	8	2	2	1	50.00%	1	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP04B	39	14	14	2	14.29%	12	85.71%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP05B	143	76	73	2	2.74%	69	94.52%	2	2.74%	0	0.00%
CP051	1612	874	849	34	4.00%	803	94.58%	11	1.30%	1	0.12%
CP06A	598	208	199	5	2.51%	190	95.48%	4	2.01%	0	0.00%
CP07B	7	2	2	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP07C	244	47	47	2	4.26%	45	95.74%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP07D	694	208	204	5	2.45%	194	95.10%	5	2.45%	0	0.00%
CP07E	125	41	41	0	0.00%	39	95.12%	2	4.88%	0	0.00%
CP07F	184	55	52	1	1.92%	51	98.08%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP081	343	77	77	5	6.49%	70	90.91%	2	2.60%	0	0.00%
CP083	219	25	24	0	0.00%	23	95.83%	1	4.17%	0	0.00%
CP084	3	1	1	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP08A	705	175	173	6	3.47%	162	93.64%	5	2.89%	0	0.00%
EP01A	2609	1464	1419	134	9.44%	1245	87.74%	40	2.82%	0	0.00%
EP01B	3038	1539	1510	102	6.75%	1371	90.79%	36	2.38%	1	0.07%
EP02A	1967	890	862	43	4.99%	805	93.39%	14	1.62%	0	0.00%
EP02B	1449	977	950	118	12.42%	792	83.37%	39	4.11%	1	0.11%
EP02C	428	251	247	42	17.00%	196	79.35%	9	3.64%	0	0.00%
EP02D	326	192	186	8	4.30%	175	94.09%	3	1.61%	0	0.00%
EP02E	1382	627	611	26	4.26%	571	93.45%	14	2.29%	0	0.00%
EP03A	4293	2512	2454	112	4.56%	2288	93.24%	52	2.12%	2	0.08%
EP03B	1421	973	955	40	4.19%	895	93.72%	20	2.09%	0	0.00%
EP04A	5432	2626	2587	55	2.13%	2487	96.13%	43	1.66%	2	0.08%
EP04B	2024	1203	1193	19	1.59%	1161	97.32%	12	1.01%	1	0.08%
FA01A	3574	2126	2089	203	9.72%	1849	88.51%	36	1.72%	1	0.05%
FA01B	4833	2965	2911	284	9.76%	2576	88.49%	51	1.75%	0	0.00%
FA01C	681	401	398	17	4.27%	373	93.72%	7	1.76%	1	0.25%
HP01	3212	1780	1729	421	24.35%	1239	71.66%	69	3.99%	0	0.00%
JC01	4977	3215	3136	1245	39.70%	1804	57.53%	85	2.71%	2	0.06%
JC02	2460	1474	1441	639	44.34%	765	53.09%	37	2.57%	0	0.00%
JC03A	540	384	376	172	45.74%	193	51.33%	11	2.93%	0	0.00%
JC03B	1448	955	928	351	37.82%	549	59.16%	28	3.02%	0	0.00%
JC04A	4042	2692	2616	1228	46.94%	1298	49.62%	90	3.44%	0	0.00%
JC04B	255	156	149	60	40.27%	80	53.69%	9	6.04%	0	0.00%
JC05	2136	1391	1359	588	43.27%	723	53.20%	48	3.53%	0	0.00%
JC06	2627	1580	1536	847	55.14%	642	41.80%	47	3.06%	0	0.00%
JC07	4083	2627	2547	1142	44.84%	1319	51.79%	86	3.38%	0	0.00%
JC08	2737	1870	1814	853	47.02%	873	48.13%	87	4.80%	1	0.06%
JC09	2928	1878	1841	736	39.98%	1054	57.25%	51	2.77%	0	0.00%
JC10	2392	1507	1469	551	37.51%	862	58.68%	55	3.74%	1	0.07%
JC11	3186	2085	2039	1128	55.32%	857	42.03%	53	2.60%	1	0.05%
JC12	2455	1753	1713	1113	64.97%	554	32.34%	46	2.69%	0	0.00%
JC13A	2115	1462	1421	937	65.94%	445	31.32%	38	2.67%	1	0.07%
JC13B	271	180	170	130	76.47%	36	21.18%	4	2.35%	0	0.00%
JC14	2477	1634	1588	909	57.24%	643	40.49%	36	2.27%	0	0.00%
JC15	834	523	509	184	36.15%	295	57.96%	30	5.89%	0	0.00%
JC16	2496	1729	1686	826	48.99%	808	47.92%	51	3.02%	1	0.06%
JC18	2670	1969	1925	1078	56.00%	791	41.09%	55	2.86%	1	0.05%
JC19	3759	2528	2466	1182	47.93%	1184	48.01%	100	4.06%	0	0.00%
ML011	2439	1681	1645	991	60.24%	603	36.66%	51	3.10%	0	0.00%
ML012	485	338	328	227	69.21%	90	27.44%	11	3.35%	0	0.00%
ML01B	138	96	94	60	63.83%	34	36.17%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
ML021	1991	1369	1340	977	72.91%	315	23.51%	48	3.58%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

PSC EATON

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	C. EATON (I) R		L. MILLER (D)		R. GRAHAM (L)		Write-In Votes	
ML022	241	148	147	113	76.87%	32	21.77%	2	1.36%	0	0.00%
ML023	1542	1027	1004	713	71.02%	264	26.29%	27	2.69%	0	0.00%
ML024	113	70	67	54	80.60%	9	13.43%	4	5.97%	0	0.00%
ML03	3757	2575	2510	1728	68.84%	709	28.25%	73	2.91%	0	0.00%
ML04A	1747	1176	1147	675	58.85%	437	38.10%	34	2.96%	1	0.09%
ML04B	1206	838	824	572	69.42%	234	28.40%	18	2.18%	0	0.00%
ML04C	79	66	65	44	67.69%	18	27.69%	3	4.62%	0	0.00%
ML05A	39	27	26	17	65.38%	9	34.62%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
ML05B	381	250	243	163	67.08%	76	31.28%	3	1.23%	1	0.41%
ML05C	4023	2615	2549	1289	50.57%	1184	46.45%	74	2.90%	2	0.08%
ML06A	1973	1024	1009	336	33.30%	635	62.93%	38	3.77%	0	0.00%
ML06B	2775	1734	1700	614	36.12%	1021	60.06%	65	3.82%	0	0.00%
ML071	105	73	70	50	71.43%	20	28.57%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
ML072	1249	857	844	579	68.60%	246	29.15%	18	2.13%	1	0.12%
ML07A	707	472	462	316	68.40%	126	27.27%	20	4.33%	0	0.00%
MP01	450	323	316	141	44.62%	160	50.63%	15	4.75%	0	0.00%
PA01	2509	1330	1300	287	22.08%	981	75.46%	32	2.46%	0	0.00%
RW01	4717	3355	3259	1888	57.93%	1266	38.85%	104	3.19%	1	0.03%
RW02	3794	2675	2601	1308	50.29%	1170	44.98%	122	4.69%	1	0.04%
RW03	4510	2745	2671	1131	42.34%	1430	53.54%	110	4.12%	0	0.00%
RW04	1803	1359	1321	642	48.60%	628	47.54%	51	3.86%	0	0.00%
RW05	2322	1207	1186	379	31.96%	769	64.84%	38	3.20%	0	0.00%
RW06	2820	1914	1877	865	46.08%	922	49.12%	90	4.79%	0	0.00%
RW07A	1549	677	666	84	12.61%	557	83.63%	25	3.75%	0	0.00%
RW07B	388	214	210	52	24.76%	147	70.00%	11	5.24%	0	0.00%
RW08	2138	1462	1407	861	61.19%	488	34.68%	58	4.12%	0	0.00%
RW09	5918	4216	4129	2625	63.57%	1356	32.84%	148	3.58%	0	0.00%
RW10	3347	2376	2317	1341	57.88%	883	38.11%	91	3.93%	2	0.09%
RW11A	2667	1693	1648	755	45.81%	839	50.91%	53	3.22%	1	0.06%
RW12	5944	4234	4135	2431	58.79%	1552	37.53%	152	3.68%	0	0.00%
RW13	3555	2476	2394	1205	50.33%	1081	45.15%	107	4.47%	1	0.04%
RW16	1850	1275	1245	682	54.78%	520	41.77%	43	3.45%	0	0.00%
RW17	2794	1773	1738	634	36.48%	1008	58.00%	96	5.52%	0	0.00%
RW19	1993	1434	1396	858	61.46%	490	35.10%	48	3.44%	0	0.00%
RW20	2486	1797	1760	937	53.24%	753	42.78%	70	3.98%	0	0.00%
RW21	3144	2169	2120	1282	60.47%	757	35.71%	81	3.82%	0	0.00%
RW22A	4406	2486	2434	876	35.99%	1449	59.53%	108	4.44%	1	0.04%
SC01A	20	25	23	2	8.70%	20	86.96%	1	4.35%	0	0.00%
SC01B	1707	1295	1283	27	2.10%	1230	95.87%	25	1.95%	1	0.08%
SC01C	2063	1513	1485	40	2.69%	1424	95.89%	18	1.21%	3	0.20%
SC01D	294	162	160	1	0.63%	153	95.63%	6	3.75%	0	0.00%
SC02	872	662	653	28	4.29%	619	94.79%	6	0.92%	0	0.00%
SC04	922	616	605	190	31.40%	401	66.28%	14	2.31%	0	0.00%
SC05A	646	409	400	6	1.50%	384	96.00%	10	2.50%	0	0.00%
SC05B	3204	2188	2162	67	3.10%	2063	95.42%	32	1.48%	0	0.00%
SC05D	2171	1428	1413	50	3.54%	1343	95.05%	20	1.42%	0	0.00%
SC05E	623	434	425	67	15.76%	354	83.29%	4	0.94%	0	0.00%
SC07A	5595	3698	3653	289	7.91%	3303	90.42%	60	1.64%	1	0.03%
SC07C	29	10	10	0	0.00%	10	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC08B	3382	2008	1969	55	2.79%	1884	95.68%	29	1.47%	1	0.05%
SC08C	74	53	52	0	0.00%	52	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC08D	499	314	310	7	2.26%	297	95.81%	6	1.94%	0	0.00%
SC08E	562	316	308	3	0.97%	294	95.45%	11	3.57%	0	0.00%
SC08F	700	366	359	19	5.29%	333	92.76%	7	1.95%	0	0.00%
SC08G	12	8	8	0	0.00%	8	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC08H	4	3	3	0	0.00%	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC09A	1628	839	822	31	3.77%	778	94.65%	13	1.58%	0	0.00%
SC09B	1687	1084	1063	22	2.07%	1021	96.05%	20	1.88%	0	0.00%
SC09C	1566	842	826	26	3.15%	779	94.31%	21	2.54%	0	0.00%
SC10	1678	661	651	14	2.15%	624	95.85%	13	2.00%	0	0.00%
SC11A	1411	813	802	17	2.12%	772	96.26%	13	1.62%	0	0.00%
SC11B	1506	878	865	24	2.77%	827	95.61%	14	1.62%	0	0.00%
SC13	2465	1636	1614	74	4.58%	1520	94.18%	19	1.18%	1	0.06%
SC14A	916	429	421	8	1.90%	407	96.67%	5	1.19%	1	0.24%
SC14B	502	45	42	7	16.67%	34	80.95%	1	2.38%	0	0.00%
SC15	6193	4213	4152	131	3.16%	3952	95.18%	68	1.64%	1	0.02%
SC16A	4601	3208	3162	60	1.90%	3047	96.36%	54	1.71%	1	0.03%
SC16B	14	15	15	0	0.00%	15	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC17A	55	42	42	0	0.00%	41	97.62%	1	2.38%	0	0.00%
SC17B	7	4	4	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC17C	1134	669	659	21	3.19%	625	94.84%	13	1.97%	0	0.00%
SC18A	510	329	325	4	1.23%	317	97.54%	3	0.92%	1	0.31%
SC18B	663	462	454	14	3.08%	432	95.15%	8	1.76%	0	0.00%
SC18C	1850	1352	1337	59	4.41%	1266	94.69%	12	0.90%	0	0.00%
SC19A	195	129	129	4	3.10%	123	95.35%	2	1.55%	0	0.00%
SC19B	1267	690	679	23	3.39%	644	94.85%	10	1.47%	2	0.29%
SC20	2197	1665	1653	40	2.42%	1584	95.83%	29	1.75%	0	0.00%
SC211	1328	841	832	31	3.73%	793	95.31%	8	0.96%	0	0.00%
SC212	3378	1947	1906	82	4.30%	1792	94.02%	32	1.68%	0	0.00%
SC23A	3161	1730	1705	38	2.23%	1638	96.07%	29	1.70%	0	0.00%
SC23B	483	284	280	12	4.29%	261	93.21%	7	2.50%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

PSC EATON

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	C. EATON (I) R		L. MILLER (D)		R. GRAHAM (L)		Write-In Votes	
SC23C	2252	1302	1281	32	2.50%	1234	96.33%	15	1.17%	0	0.00%
SC27	2129	1259	1235	28	2.27%	1183	95.79%	23	1.86%	1	0.08%
SC29A	2784	1544	1518	37	2.44%	1464	96.44%	17	1.12%	0	0.00%
SC30A	135	97	93	1	1.08%	92	98.92%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC30B	960	531	513	10	1.95%	492	95.91%	11	2.14%	0	0.00%
SS01	4487	3270	3186	1827	57.34%	1266	39.74%	92	2.89%	1	0.03%
SS02A	1120	755	743	284	38.22%	420	56.53%	39	5.25%	0	0.00%
SS02B	1255	756	741	184	24.83%	531	71.66%	26	3.51%	0	0.00%
SS03	1464	1027	996	427	42.87%	518	52.01%	50	5.02%	1	0.10%
SS04	3264	2064	2009	702	34.94%	1232	61.32%	74	3.68%	1	0.05%
SS05	1746	1376	1340	682	50.90%	617	46.04%	41	3.06%	0	0.00%
SS06	1397	1073	1046	548	52.39%	467	44.65%	31	2.96%	0	0.00%
SS07A	968	683	661	355	53.71%	298	45.08%	8	1.21%	0	0.00%
SS07B	609	405	397	161	40.55%	218	54.91%	18	4.53%	0	0.00%
SS07C	755	436	427	204	47.78%	213	49.88%	10	2.34%	0	0.00%
SS08A	1316	758	742	224	30.19%	497	66.98%	20	2.70%	1	0.13%
SS08B	635	453	444	229	51.58%	204	45.95%	11	2.48%	0	0.00%
SS08C	632	393	379	108	28.50%	259	68.34%	12	3.17%	0	0.00%
SS08D	1368	847	831	273	32.85%	528	63.54%	30	3.61%	0	0.00%
SS09A	3003	2210	2155	1192	55.31%	914	42.41%	49	2.27%	0	0.00%
SS09B	3358	2452	2395	1293	53.99%	1034	43.17%	68	2.84%	0	0.00%
SS11A	3655	2227	2167	848	39.13%	1239	57.18%	79	3.65%	1	0.05%
SS11B	1257	707	684	199	29.09%	465	67.98%	20	2.92%	0	0.00%
SS11C	269	146	137	24	17.52%	105	76.64%	8	5.84%	0	0.00%
SS11D	365	254	240	140	58.33%	89	37.08%	11	4.58%	0	0.00%
SS12	2559	1732	1682	819	48.69%	812	48.28%	51	3.03%	0	0.00%
SS13A	1633	1072	1048	471	44.94%	533	50.86%	44	4.20%	0	0.00%
SS13B	1001	629	616	294	47.73%	305	49.51%	17	2.76%	0	0.00%
SS14	1274	977	956	585	61.19%	337	35.25%	32	3.35%	2	0.21%
SS15A	2572	1199	1181	161	13.63%	981	83.07%	39	3.30%	0	0.00%
SS15B	1579	902	877	252	28.73%	575	65.56%	50	5.70%	0	0.00%
SS16	2030	1534	1502	804	53.53%	650	43.28%	48	3.20%	0	0.00%
SS17	3397	2229	2176	1086	49.91%	1017	46.74%	72	3.31%	1	0.05%
SS18A	619	454	445	214	48.09%	222	49.89%	9	2.02%	0	0.00%
SS18B	221	162	155	72	46.45%	79	50.97%	4	2.58%	0	0.00%
SS19A	3016	1683	1651	382	23.14%	1195	72.38%	73	4.42%	1	0.06%
SS19B	2032	1226	1198	349	29.13%	803	67.03%	45	3.76%	1	0.08%
SS20	2060	1159	1133	358	31.60%	733	64.70%	42	3.71%	0	0.00%
SS22	2714	1613	1566	634	40.49%	875	55.87%	57	3.64%	0	0.00%
SS26	3552	1822	1780	304	17.08%	1417	79.61%	59	3.31%	0	0.00%
SS29A	2488	1595	1553	617	39.73%	881	56.73%	54	3.48%	1	0.06%
SS31	1345	938	917	379	41.33%	516	56.27%	22	2.40%	0	0.00%
UC01A	3622	1715	1687	61	3.62%	1587	94.07%	39	2.31%	0	0.00%
UC01B	395	210	205	4	1.95%	198	96.59%	3	1.46%	0	0.00%
UC01D	6	2	2	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
UC01E	285	206	206	4	1.94%	191	92.72%	11	5.34%	0	0.00%
UC02A	7513	4296	4207	131	3.11%	4016	95.46%	59	1.40%	1	0.02%
UC02B	30	19	19	6	31.58%	13	68.42%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
UC031	2399	1203	1172	197	16.81%	956	81.57%	19	1.62%	0	0.00%
UC032	292	186	182	26	14.29%	153	84.07%	3	1.65%	0	0.00%
Total	703177	424992	413913	114158	27.58%	287279	69.41%	12355	2.98%	121	0.03%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

PSC PRIDEMORE

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	T. PRIDEMORE (I) R		D. A. RANDOLPH (D)		J. TURPISH (L)		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide											
01A	3199	2362	2300	207	9.00%	2011	87.43%	82	3.57%	0	0.00%
01B	3839	2737	2661	238	8.94%	2318	87.11%	105	3.95%	0	0.00%
01C	1459	612	597	15	2.51%	560	93.80%	22	3.69%	0	0.00%
01D	511	307	299	11	3.68%	278	92.98%	10	3.34%	0	0.00%
01E	3363	2410	2326	272	11.69%	1956	84.09%	96	4.13%	2	0.09%
01F	1254	452	442	15	3.39%	418	94.57%	8	1.81%	1	0.23%
01G	1909	1102	1066	64	6.00%	963	90.34%	38	3.56%	1	0.09%
01H	758	379	367	14	3.81%	345	94.01%	7	1.91%	1	0.27%
01J	2416	1184	1141	43	3.77%	1079	94.57%	19	1.67%	0	0.00%
01P	1244	542	518	15	2.90%	497	95.95%	6	1.16%	0	0.00%
01R	1344	491	462	15	3.25%	442	95.67%	5	1.08%	0	0.00%
01S	1749	823	791	36	4.55%	743	93.93%	11	1.39%	1	0.13%
01T	1506	796	772	52	6.74%	695	90.03%	24	3.11%	1	0.13%
02A	4584	2949	2864	581	20.29%	2187	76.36%	95	3.32%	1	0.03%
02B	3518	1265	1233	144	11.68%	1051	85.24%	38	3.08%	0	0.00%
02C	2037	1205	1164	104	8.93%	1018	87.46%	40	3.44%	2	0.17%
02D	4475	2660	2580	305	11.82%	2211	85.70%	64	2.48%	0	0.00%
02E	1905	1423	1394	144	10.33%	1202	86.23%	48	3.44%	0	0.00%
02F1	2962	2033	1965	248	12.62%	1625	82.70%	92	4.68%	0	0.00%
02F2	788	458	449	50	11.14%	381	84.86%	18	4.01%	0	0.00%
02G	3443	2361	2289	287	12.54%	1915	83.66%	87	3.80%	0	0.00%
02J	2159	1432	1382	173	12.52%	1151	83.29%	58	4.20%	0	0.00%
02K	1419	951	912	122	13.38%	755	82.79%	35	3.84%	0	0.00%
02L1	6213	3978	3876	681	17.57%	3053	78.77%	141	3.64%	1	0.03%
02L2	1364	782	755	134	17.75%	587	77.75%	34	4.50%	0	0.00%
02S	708	427	416	27	6.49%	376	90.38%	13	3.13%	0	0.00%
02W	682	399	389	61	15.68%	315	80.98%	12	3.08%	1	0.26%
03A	1927	692	664	22	3.31%	626	94.28%	15	2.26%	1	0.15%
03B	560	181	173	6	3.47%	164	94.80%	3	1.73%	0	0.00%
03C	1534	674	643	27	4.20%	608	94.56%	8	1.24%	0	0.00%
03D	1017	402	388	18	4.64%	362	93.30%	8	2.06%	0	0.00%
03E	2284	914	886	36	4.06%	833	94.02%	17	1.92%	0	0.00%
03F	1925	1115	1094	165	15.08%	892	81.54%	37	3.38%	0	0.00%
03G	802	360	347	8	2.31%	332	95.68%	7	2.02%	0	0.00%
03H	900	369	348	12	3.45%	329	94.54%	7	2.01%	0	0.00%
03I	1360	566	544	85	15.63%	432	79.41%	27	4.96%	0	0.00%
03L	594	229	221	11	4.98%	204	92.31%	6	2.71%	0	0.00%
03M	766	452	429	99	23.08%	304	70.86%	26	6.06%	0	0.00%
03N	2288	938	913	47	5.15%	833	91.24%	33	3.61%	0	0.00%
03P1A	3882	1966	1910	231	12.09%	1599	83.72%	78	4.08%	2	0.10%
03P2	13	7	7	0	0.00%	7	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
03S	761	356	348	11	3.16%	330	94.83%	7	2.01%	0	0.00%
03T	1505	715	695	23	3.31%	657	94.53%	15	2.16%	0	0.00%
04A	1202	560	543	10	1.84%	522	96.13%	11	2.03%	0	0.00%
04B	2242	922	891	17	1.91%	852	95.62%	22	2.47%	0	0.00%
04C	136	54	53	0	0.00%	52	98.11%	1	1.89%	0	0.00%
04D	1481	714	685	22	3.21%	653	95.33%	10	1.46%	0	0.00%
04F	2086	935	912	71	7.79%	814	89.25%	27	2.96%	0	0.00%
04G	828	312	300	12	4.00%	284	94.67%	4	1.33%	0	0.00%
04I	3362	561	544	44	8.09%	477	87.68%	23	4.23%	0	0.00%
04J	1230	317	311	8	2.57%	296	95.18%	7	2.25%	0	0.00%
04K	2339	1266	1206	41	3.40%	1139	94.44%	25	2.07%	1	0.08%
04L	1655	867	843	37	4.39%	779	92.41%	26	3.08%	1	0.12%
04M	773	292	286	10	3.50%	265	92.66%	11	3.85%	0	0.00%
04S	2165	1118	1066	38	3.56%	1006	94.37%	22	2.06%	0	0.00%
04T	1145	584	561	14	2.50%	535	95.37%	12	2.14%	0	0.00%
04V	2848	1211	1168	26	2.23%	1117	95.63%	25	2.14%	0	0.00%
04W	2203	820	807	9	1.12%	780	96.65%	16	1.98%	2	0.25%
04X1	1942	950	923	31	3.36%	874	94.69%	17	1.84%	1	0.11%
04X2	737	364	349	17	4.87%	325	93.12%	7	2.01%	0	0.00%
05A1	2491	1728	1669	154	9.23%	1456	87.24%	59	3.54%	0	0.00%
05A2	2910	1998	1938	169	8.72%	1698	87.62%	70	3.61%	1	0.05%
05B	1763	901	841	38	4.52%	783	93.10%	18	2.14%	2	0.24%
05C	146	67	65	3	4.62%	60	92.31%	2	3.08%	0	0.00%
05D	386	93	89	5	5.62%	80	89.89%	4	4.49%	0	0.00%
05F	223	136	136	10	7.35%	125	91.91%	1	0.74%	0	0.00%
05J	639	416	410	38	9.27%	356	86.83%	16	3.90%	0	0.00%
05K	2600	1327	1302	93	7.14%	1171	89.94%	36	2.76%	2	0.15%
06B	1756	1238	1194	240	20.10%	909	76.13%	45	3.77%	0	0.00%
06D	8568	6060	5879	1255	21.35%	4435	75.44%	187	3.18%	2	0.03%
06E	2250	1439	1410	285	20.21%	1069	75.82%	55	3.90%	1	0.07%
06F	2709	1757	1683	306	18.18%	1310	77.84%	66	3.92%	1	0.06%
06G	536	369	359	63	17.55%	282	78.55%	14	3.90%	0	0.00%
06I	3412	1957	1910	272	14.24%	1574	82.41%	63	3.30%	1	0.05%
06J	2539	1904	1829	394	21.54%	1361	74.41%	74	4.05%	0	0.00%
06L1	917	679	654	165	25.23%	465	71.10%	24	3.67%	0	0.00%
06L2	654	487	477	159	33.33%	307	64.36%	11	2.31%	0	0.00%
06N	1844	1085	1048	376	35.88%	634	60.50%	38	3.63%	0	0.00%
06Q	527	295	289	27	9.34%	255	88.24%	7	2.42%	0	0.00%
06R	1836	1206	1178	270	22.92%	880	74.70%	27	2.29%	1	0.08%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

PSC PRIDEMORE

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	T. PRIDEMORE (I) R		D. A. RANDOLPH (D)		J. TURPISH (L)		Write-In Votes	
07A	6600	4093	3938	1748	44.39%	2056	52.21%	132	3.35%	2	0.05%
07B	2763	2107	2049	1328	64.81%	667	32.55%	54	2.64%	0	0.00%
07C	3927	2498	2405	1106	45.99%	1239	51.52%	60	2.49%	0	0.00%
07D	4231	2413	2332	988	42.37%	1248	53.52%	95	4.07%	1	0.04%
07E	2031	1358	1314	442	33.64%	836	63.62%	36	2.74%	0	0.00%
07F	3017	2041	1963	866	44.12%	1028	52.37%	69	3.52%	0	0.00%
07H	1923	1424	1370	646	47.15%	687	50.15%	35	2.55%	2	0.15%
07J	2647	1753	1684	643	38.18%	973	57.78%	66	3.92%	2	0.12%
07M	2155	1180	1148	392	34.15%	712	62.02%	44	3.83%	0	0.00%
07N	1946	1044	1009	160	15.86%	801	79.39%	47	4.66%	1	0.10%
08A	2799	1949	1898	993	52.32%	846	44.57%	58	3.06%	1	0.05%
08B	3199	2255	2186	1293	59.15%	819	37.47%	74	3.39%	0	0.00%
08C	1392	984	957	680	71.06%	252	26.33%	25	2.61%	0	0.00%
08D	1022	770	743	514	69.18%	214	28.80%	15	2.02%	0	0.00%
08E	2429	1864	1805	1102	61.05%	643	35.62%	60	3.32%	0	0.00%
08F1	2071	1628	1562	1048	67.09%	476	30.47%	37	2.37%	1	0.06%
08G	2787	1669	1619	677	41.82%	899	55.53%	43	2.66%	0	0.00%
08H	2465	1874	1807	1051	58.16%	707	39.13%	49	2.71%	0	0.00%
08J	2981	2223	2135	1045	48.95%	1018	47.68%	72	3.37%	0	0.00%
08K	973	702	685	306	44.67%	349	50.95%	30	4.38%	0	0.00%
08L	4194	2706	2616	839	32.07%	1655	63.26%	119	4.55%	3	0.11%
08M	1907	1358	1305	828	63.45%	447	34.25%	29	2.22%	1	0.08%
08N1	767	587	568	368	64.79%	188	33.10%	12	2.11%	0	0.00%
08N2	1391	927	891	420	47.14%	451	50.62%	20	2.24%	0	0.00%
08P	1633	928	899	157	17.46%	710	78.98%	31	3.45%	1	0.11%
09A	3338	2152	2074	501	24.16%	1480	71.36%	93	4.48%	0	0.00%
09B	385	174	171	5	2.92%	166	97.08%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
09C	2954	1522	1456	43	2.95%	1395	95.81%	18	1.24%	0	0.00%
09D	1879	858	818	32	3.91%	775	94.74%	10	1.22%	1	0.12%
09E	2782	1420	1365	89	6.52%	1239	90.77%	36	2.64%	1	0.07%
09F	3619	2526	2429	1048	43.15%	1282	52.78%	98	4.03%	1	0.04%
09G	3519	2282	2205	504	22.86%	1615	73.24%	86	3.90%	0	0.00%
09H	1064	645	628	71	11.31%	527	83.92%	30	4.78%	0	0.00%
09I	1194	503	473	21	4.44%	439	92.81%	11	2.33%	2	0.42%
09K	4403	1851	1792	73	4.07%	1701	94.92%	17	0.95%	1	0.06%
09M	2259	1611	1553	330	21.25%	1146	73.79%	74	4.76%	3	0.19%
10A	2850	1622	1573	49	3.12%	1507	95.80%	17	1.08%	0	0.00%
10B	3686	2464	2408	54	2.24%	2318	96.26%	35	1.45%	1	0.04%
10C	2466	1168	1126	32	2.84%	1076	95.56%	18	1.60%	0	0.00%
10D	4220	1850	1766	42	2.38%	1699	96.21%	23	1.30%	2	0.11%
10E	950	524	508	17	3.35%	483	95.08%	8	1.57%	0	0.00%
10F	1053	590	571	24	4.20%	534	93.52%	13	2.28%	0	0.00%
10G	1205	813	790	19	2.41%	758	95.95%	12	1.52%	1	0.13%
10H1	408	238	234	7	2.99%	224	95.73%	3	1.28%	0	0.00%
10H2	2207	1032	994	30	3.02%	954	95.98%	9	0.91%	1	0.10%
10I	329	258	251	7	2.79%	241	96.02%	3	1.20%	0	0.00%
10J	1012	466	454	7	1.54%	438	96.48%	9	1.98%	0	0.00%
10K	1415	858	832	27	3.25%	783	94.11%	21	2.52%	1	0.12%
10M	2529	1414	1367	39	2.85%	1288	94.22%	39	2.85%	1	0.07%
10P	1364	668	643	22	3.42%	608	94.56%	13	2.02%	0	0.00%
10R	713	347	332	7	2.11%	320	96.39%	5	1.51%	0	0.00%
11B	5162	3088	3015	79	2.62%	2898	96.12%	37	1.23%	1	0.03%
11C	2822	2003	1965	39	1.98%	1910	97.20%	16	0.81%	0	0.00%
11E1	1141	634	618	15	2.43%	592	95.79%	11	1.78%	0	0.00%
11E2	847	497	485	8	1.65%	469	96.70%	8	1.65%	0	0.00%
11E3	3124	1835	1815	43	2.37%	1748	96.31%	24	1.32%	0	0.00%
11G	2092	855	823	30	3.65%	777	94.41%	16	1.94%	0	0.00%
11H	677	356	342	16	4.68%	315	92.11%	10	2.92%	1	0.29%
11J	1827	1018	969	33	3.41%	918	94.74%	17	1.75%	1	0.10%
11K	1818	1104	1077	37	3.44%	1018	94.52%	21	1.95%	1	0.09%
11M	3039	1659	1616	33	2.04%	1558	96.41%	21	1.30%	4	0.25%
11N	2166	1358	1325	40	3.02%	1277	96.38%	8	0.60%	0	0.00%
11P	1833	1020	967	27	2.79%	926	95.76%	14	1.45%	0	0.00%
11R	1849	672	644	15	2.33%	618	95.96%	11	1.71%	0	0.00%
12A	4828	2734	2654	134	5.05%	2434	91.71%	86	3.24%	0	0.00%
12D	1209	501	489	15	3.07%	460	94.07%	14	2.86%	0	0.00%
12E1	1621	591	558	32	5.73%	519	93.01%	7	1.25%	0	0.00%
12F	943	428	413	14	3.39%	385	93.22%	14	3.39%	0	0.00%
12G	800	430	406	17	4.19%	384	94.58%	5	1.23%	0	0.00%
12H1	1006	310	302	25	8.28%	273	90.40%	3	0.99%	1	0.33%
12H2	2562	1189	1148	25	2.18%	1104	96.17%	17	1.48%	2	0.17%
12I	970	413	387	6	1.55%	374	96.64%	7	1.81%	0	0.00%
12J	2535	1108	1073	25	2.33%	1033	96.27%	13	1.21%	2	0.19%
12K	3022	1240	1197	61	5.10%	1112	92.90%	23	1.92%	1	0.08%
12L	613	238	227	8	3.52%	219	96.48%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
12M	2533	1171	1147	29	2.53%	1111	96.86%	7	0.61%	0	0.00%
12N	907	327	312	15	4.81%	289	92.63%	8	2.56%	0	0.00%
12S	1794	959	910	24	2.64%	867	95.27%	19	2.09%	0	0.00%
AP01A	4724	3141	3072	1597	51.99%	1390	45.25%	85	2.77%	0	0.00%
AP01B	913	516	504	225	44.64%	258	51.19%	21	4.17%	0	0.00%
AP01C	2233	1498	1470	783	53.27%	638	43.40%	49	3.33%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

PSC PRIDEMORE

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	T. PRIDEMORE (I) R		D. A. RANDOLPH (D)		J. TURPISH (L)		Write-In Votes	
AP01D	915	539	526	218	41.44%	291	55.32%	16	3.04%	1	0.19%
AP02B	474	263	255	123	48.24%	124	48.63%	8	3.14%	0	0.00%
AP03	741	314	304	66	21.71%	227	74.67%	11	3.62%	0	0.00%
AP04A	1647	1183	1143	680	59.49%	414	36.22%	49	4.29%	0	0.00%
AP04B	415	290	284	155	54.58%	121	42.61%	8	2.82%	0	0.00%
AP05	4367	2981	2922	1696	58.04%	1166	39.90%	59	2.02%	1	0.03%
AP06	1827	1309	1262	764	60.54%	445	35.26%	53	4.20%	0	0.00%
AP07A	3422	2102	2040	1046	51.27%	912	44.71%	81	3.97%	1	0.05%
AP07B	1650	1144	1119	618	55.23%	465	41.55%	36	3.22%	0	0.00%
AP09A	4051	2687	2623	1264	48.19%	1265	48.23%	92	3.51%	2	0.08%
AP09B	810	531	517	200	38.68%	307	59.38%	10	1.93%	0	0.00%
AP021	2994	1963	1906	991	51.99%	839	44.02%	74	3.88%	2	0.10%
AP022	2210	1429	1380	635	46.01%	688	49.86%	57	4.13%	0	0.00%
AP10	942	681	661	386	58.40%	242	36.61%	32	4.84%	1	0.15%
AP12A	658	374	364	121	33.24%	226	62.09%	17	4.67%	0	0.00%
AP12B	747	428	417	131	31.41%	275	65.95%	11	2.64%	0	0.00%
AP12C	1584	1005	983	531	54.02%	426	43.34%	26	2.64%	0	0.00%
AP14	2972	2027	1980	1030	52.02%	881	44.49%	68	3.43%	1	0.05%
CH01	330	164	161	39	24.22%	119	73.91%	3	1.86%	0	0.00%
CH02	319	202	195	118	60.51%	73	37.44%	4	2.05%	0	0.00%
CH03	379	250	244	155	63.52%	85	34.84%	4	1.64%	0	0.00%
CH04A	647	444	433	227	52.42%	201	46.42%	5	1.15%	0	0.00%
CH05	407	260	255	183	71.76%	66	25.88%	6	2.35%	0	0.00%
CP01B	381	227	220	77	35.00%	137	62.27%	6	2.73%	0	0.00%
CP011	1135	617	600	132	22.00%	445	74.17%	23	3.83%	0	0.00%
CP012	1318	844	822	160	19.46%	633	77.01%	29	3.53%	0	0.00%
CP02	1000	553	537	65	12.10%	457	85.10%	15	2.79%	0	0.00%
CP04A	8	2	2	1	50.00%	1	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP04B	39	14	14	4	28.57%	10	71.43%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP05B	143	76	74	4	5.41%	69	93.24%	1	1.35%	0	0.00%
CP051	1612	874	854	33	3.86%	810	94.85%	11	1.29%	0	0.00%
CP06A	598	208	200	4	2.00%	194	97.00%	2	1.00%	0	0.00%
CP07B	7	2	2	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP07C	244	47	46	4	8.70%	42	91.30%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP07D	694	208	202	4	1.98%	197	97.52%	1	0.50%	0	0.00%
CP07E	125	41	40	0	0.00%	40	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP07F	184	55	52	1	1.92%	50	96.15%	1	1.92%	0	0.00%
CP081	343	77	77	6	7.79%	69	89.61%	2	2.60%	0	0.00%
CP083	219	25	24	0	0.00%	24	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP084	3	1	1	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP08A	705	175	173	7	4.05%	164	94.80%	2	1.16%	0	0.00%
EP01A	2609	1464	1424	137	9.62%	1246	87.50%	40	2.81%	1	0.07%
EP01B	3038	1539	1513	107	7.07%	1367	90.35%	39	2.58%	0	0.00%
EP02A	1967	890	862	44	5.10%	802	93.04%	16	1.86%	0	0.00%
EP02B	1449	977	954	126	13.21%	795	83.33%	32	3.35%	1	0.10%
EP02C	428	251	248	46	18.55%	196	79.03%	6	2.42%	0	0.00%
EP02D	326	192	187	9	4.81%	176	94.12%	2	1.07%	0	0.00%
EP02E	1382	627	609	26	4.27%	569	93.43%	14	2.30%	0	0.00%
EP03A	4293	2512	2456	123	5.01%	2284	93.00%	45	1.83%	4	0.16%
EP03B	1421	973	952	45	4.73%	894	93.91%	12	1.26%	1	0.11%
EP04A	5432	2626	2589	60	2.32%	2497	96.45%	31	1.20%	1	0.04%
EP04B	2024	1203	1196	25	2.09%	1163	97.24%	7	0.59%	1	0.08%
FA01A	3574	2126	2082	210	10.09%	1843	88.52%	29	1.39%	0	0.00%
FA01B	4833	2965	2909	299	10.28%	2570	88.35%	40	1.38%	0	0.00%
FA01C	681	401	395	20	5.06%	369	93.42%	5	1.27%	1	0.25%
HP01	3212	1780	1724	428	24.83%	1222	70.88%	74	4.29%	0	0.00%
JC01	4977	3215	3137	1248	39.78%	1795	57.22%	94	3.00%	0	0.00%
JC02	2460	1474	1437	645	44.89%	761	52.96%	30	2.09%	1	0.07%
JC03A	540	384	375	178	47.47%	185	49.33%	12	3.20%	0	0.00%
JC03B	1448	955	928	365	39.33%	531	57.22%	32	3.45%	0	0.00%
JC04A	4042	2692	2621	1242	47.39%	1280	48.84%	98	3.74%	1	0.04%
JC04B	255	156	150	61	40.67%	80	53.33%	9	6.00%	0	0.00%
JC05	2136	1391	1360	593	43.60%	715	52.57%	52	3.82%	0	0.00%
JC06	2627	1580	1542	849	55.06%	642	41.63%	51	3.31%	0	0.00%
JC07	4083	2627	2543	1151	45.26%	1305	51.32%	87	3.42%	0	0.00%
JC08	2737	1870	1815	876	48.26%	866	47.71%	72	3.97%	1	0.06%
JC09	2928	1878	1841	756	41.06%	1024	55.62%	60	3.26%	1	0.05%
JC10	2392	1507	1465	551	37.61%	857	58.50%	57	3.89%	0	0.00%
JC11	3186	2085	2044	1138	55.68%	851	41.63%	54	2.64%	1	0.05%
JC12	2455	1753	1717	1134	66.05%	539	31.39%	44	2.56%	0	0.00%
JC13A	2115	1462	1426	951	66.69%	436	30.58%	39	2.73%	0	0.00%
JC13B	271	180	170	131	77.06%	35	20.59%	4	2.35%	0	0.00%
JC14	2477	1634	1584	924	58.33%	622	39.27%	38	2.40%	0	0.00%
JC15	834	523	508	198	38.98%	289	56.89%	21	4.13%	0	0.00%
JC16	2496	1729	1678	839	50.00%	791	47.14%	45	2.68%	3	0.18%
JC18	2670	1969	1924	1082	56.24%	792	41.16%	50	2.60%	0	0.00%
JC19	3759	2528	2462	1196	48.58%	1167	47.40%	98	3.98%	1	0.04%
ML011	2439	1681	1640	998	60.85%	593	36.16%	48	2.93%	1	0.06%
ML012	485	338	331	231	69.79%	88	26.59%	12	3.63%	0	0.00%
ML01B	138	96	95	60	63.16%	35	36.84%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
ML021	1991	1369	1339	980	73.19%	309	23.08%	50	3.73%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

PSC PRIDEMORE

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	T. PRIDEMORE (I) R		D. A. RANDOLPH (D)		J. TURPISH (L)		Write-In Votes	
ML022	241	148	146	114	78.08%	30	20.55%	2	1.37%	0	0.00%
ML023	1542	1027	1005	728	72.44%	256	25.47%	21	2.09%	0	0.00%
ML024	113	70	68	56	82.35%	10	14.71%	2	2.94%	0	0.00%
ML03	3757	2575	2508	1746	69.62%	688	27.43%	74	2.95%	0	0.00%
ML04A	1747	1176	1147	684	59.63%	424	36.97%	38	3.31%	1	0.09%
ML04B	1206	838	823	571	69.38%	226	27.46%	26	3.16%	0	0.00%
ML04C	79	66	65	44	67.69%	17	26.15%	4	6.15%	0	0.00%
ML05A	39	27	26	17	65.38%	9	34.62%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
ML05B	381	250	243	167	68.72%	68	27.98%	8	3.29%	0	0.00%
ML05C	4023	2615	2555	1321	51.70%	1160	45.40%	72	2.82%	2	0.08%
ML06A	1973	1024	1008	341	33.83%	637	63.19%	30	2.98%	0	0.00%
ML06B	2775	1734	1700	628	36.94%	1008	59.29%	64	3.76%	0	0.00%
ML071	105	73	71	49	69.01%	21	29.58%	1	1.41%	0	0.00%
ML072	1249	857	842	586	69.60%	236	28.03%	19	2.26%	1	0.12%
ML07A	707	472	457	311	68.05%	128	28.01%	18	3.94%	0	0.00%
MP01	450	323	316	145	45.89%	157	49.68%	14	4.43%	0	0.00%
PA01	2509	1330	1296	302	23.30%	973	75.08%	21	1.62%	0	0.00%
RW01	4717	3355	3256	1928	59.21%	1233	37.87%	94	2.89%	1	0.03%
RW02	3794	2675	2594	1329	51.23%	1135	43.75%	130	5.01%	0	0.00%
RW03	4510	2745	2666	1154	43.29%	1410	52.89%	102	3.83%	0	0.00%
RW04	1803	1359	1327	655	49.36%	616	46.42%	56	4.22%	0	0.00%
RW05	2322	1207	1184	389	32.85%	760	64.19%	35	2.96%	0	0.00%
RW06	2820	1914	1872	882	47.12%	890	47.54%	99	5.29%	1	0.05%
RW07A	1549	677	664	88	13.25%	548	82.53%	28	4.22%	0	0.00%
RW07B	388	214	207	50	24.15%	148	71.50%	9	4.35%	0	0.00%
RW08	2138	1462	1411	877	62.15%	477	33.81%	57	4.04%	0	0.00%
RW09	5918	4216	4137	2666	64.44%	1323	31.98%	148	3.58%	0	0.00%
RW10	3347	2376	2301	1361	59.15%	850	36.94%	90	3.91%	0	0.00%
RW11A	2667	1693	1649	769	46.63%	828	50.21%	52	3.15%	0	0.00%
RW12	5944	4234	4127	2449	59.34%	1522	36.88%	156	3.78%	0	0.00%
RW13	3555	2476	2403	1242	51.69%	1055	43.90%	103	4.29%	3	0.12%
RW16	1850	1275	1250	695	55.60%	516	41.28%	39	3.12%	0	0.00%
RW17	2794	1773	1732	643	37.12%	1003	57.91%	86	4.97%	0	0.00%
RW19	1993	1434	1392	867	62.28%	479	34.41%	46	3.30%	0	0.00%
RW20	2486	1797	1756	948	53.99%	742	42.26%	66	3.76%	0	0.00%
RW21	3144	2169	2125	1297	61.04%	746	35.11%	82	3.86%	0	0.00%
RW22A	4406	2486	2427	905	37.29%	1431	58.96%	90	3.71%	1	0.04%
SC01A	20	25	22	2	9.09%	20	90.91%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC01B	1707	1295	1284	33	2.57%	1234	96.11%	16	1.25%	1	0.08%
SC01C	2063	1513	1486	48	3.23%	1420	95.56%	16	1.08%	2	0.13%
SC01D	294	162	161	2	1.24%	156	96.89%	3	1.86%	0	0.00%
SC02	872	662	653	31	4.75%	618	94.64%	4	0.61%	0	0.00%
SC04	922	616	605	193	31.90%	400	66.12%	12	1.98%	0	0.00%
SC05A	646	409	400	8	2.00%	388	97.00%	4	1.00%	0	0.00%
SC05B	3204	2188	2161	71	3.29%	2065	95.56%	25	1.16%	0	0.00%
SC05D	2171	1428	1417	53	3.74%	1354	95.55%	10	0.71%	0	0.00%
SC05E	623	434	428	65	15.19%	354	82.71%	8	1.87%	1	0.23%
SC07A	5595	3698	3652	304	8.32%	3312	90.69%	35	0.96%	1	0.03%
SC07C	29	10	10	1	10.00%	8	80.00%	1	10.00%	0	0.00%
SC08B	3382	2008	1968	58	2.95%	1885	95.78%	24	1.22%	1	0.05%
SC08C	74	53	52	0	0.00%	52	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC08D	499	314	310	6	1.94%	299	96.45%	4	1.29%	1	0.32%
SC08E	562	316	308	3	0.97%	301	97.73%	4	1.30%	0	0.00%
SC08F	700	366	360	17	4.72%	334	92.78%	9	2.50%	0	0.00%
SC08G	12	8	8	0	0.00%	8	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC08H	4	3	3	0	0.00%	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC09A	1628	839	823	31	3.77%	782	95.02%	9	1.09%	1	0.12%
SC09B	1687	1084	1069	27	2.53%	1030	96.35%	12	1.12%	0	0.00%
SC09C	1566	842	820	23	2.80%	782	95.37%	14	1.71%	1	0.12%
SC10	1678	661	649	18	2.77%	624	96.15%	7	1.08%	0	0.00%
SC11A	1411	813	800	19	2.38%	774	96.75%	7	0.88%	0	0.00%
SC11B	1506	878	869	25	2.88%	835	96.09%	9	1.04%	0	0.00%
SC13	2465	1636	1615	77	4.77%	1526	94.49%	11	0.68%	1	0.06%
SC14A	916	429	421	9	2.14%	406	96.44%	6	1.43%	0	0.00%
SC14B	502	45	42	6	14.29%	35	83.33%	1	2.38%	0	0.00%
SC15	6193	4213	4157	132	3.18%	3979	95.72%	45	1.08%	1	0.02%
SC16A	4601	3208	3169	75	2.37%	3062	96.62%	31	0.98%	1	0.03%
SC16B	14	15	15	0	0.00%	15	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC17A	55	42	42	0	0.00%	41	97.62%	1	2.38%	0	0.00%
SC17B	7	4	4	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC17C	1134	669	661	22	3.33%	628	95.01%	11	1.66%	0	0.00%
SC18A	510	329	323	6	1.86%	313	96.90%	3	0.93%	1	0.31%
SC18B	663	462	456	16	3.51%	434	95.18%	6	1.32%	0	0.00%
SC18C	1850	1352	1337	57	4.26%	1266	94.69%	13	0.97%	1	0.07%
SC19A	195	129	129	4	3.10%	123	95.35%	2	1.55%	0	0.00%
SC19B	1267	690	674	25	3.71%	641	95.10%	6	0.89%	2	0.30%
SC20	2197	1665	1650	34	2.06%	1603	97.15%	13	0.79%	0	0.00%
SC211	1328	841	833	34	4.08%	794	95.32%	5	0.60%	0	0.00%
SC212	3378	1947	1917	95	4.96%	1794	93.58%	27	1.41%	1	0.05%
SC23A	3161	1730	1700	40	2.35%	1641	96.53%	19	1.12%	0	0.00%
SC23B	483	284	281	12	4.27%	264	93.95%	5	1.78%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

PSC PRIDEMORE

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	T. PRIDEMORE (I) R		D. A. RANDOLPH (D)		J. TURPISH (L)		Write-In Votes	
SC23C	2252	1302	1273	33	2.59%	1228	96.47%	12	0.94%	0	0.00%
SC27	2129	1259	1227	24	1.96%	1189	96.90%	14	1.14%	0	0.00%
SC29A	2784	1544	1513	40	2.64%	1461	96.56%	12	0.79%	0	0.00%
SC30A	135	97	93	1	1.08%	92	98.92%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC30B	960	531	519	13	2.50%	501	96.53%	5	0.96%	0	0.00%
SS01	4487	3270	3175	1869	58.87%	1204	37.92%	101	3.18%	1	0.03%
SS02A	1120	755	735	290	39.46%	410	55.78%	35	4.76%	0	0.00%
SS02B	1255	756	736	187	25.41%	524	71.20%	25	3.40%	0	0.00%
SS03	1464	1027	987	453	45.90%	490	49.65%	43	4.36%	1	0.10%
SS04	3264	2064	2012	715	35.54%	1230	61.13%	65	3.23%	2	0.10%
SS05	1746	1376	1328	693	52.18%	598	45.03%	37	2.79%	0	0.00%
SS06	1397	1073	1040	559	53.75%	447	42.98%	34	3.27%	0	0.00%
SS07A	968	683	658	365	55.47%	285	43.31%	8	1.22%	0	0.00%
SS07B	609	405	395	164	41.52%	219	55.44%	12	3.04%	0	0.00%
SS07C	755	436	425	208	48.94%	205	48.24%	12	2.82%	0	0.00%
SS08A	1316	758	738	229	31.03%	490	66.40%	19	2.57%	0	0.00%
SS08B	635	453	443	231	52.14%	198	44.70%	14	3.16%	0	0.00%
SS08C	632	393	379	116	30.61%	254	67.02%	8	2.11%	1	0.26%
SS08D	1368	847	829	283	34.14%	519	62.61%	27	3.26%	0	0.00%
SS09A	3003	2210	2136	1223	57.26%	853	39.93%	59	2.76%	1	0.05%
SS09B	3358	2452	2390	1343	56.19%	978	40.92%	68	2.85%	1	0.04%
SS11A	3655	2227	2166	862	39.80%	1223	56.46%	81	3.74%	0	0.00%
SS11B	1257	707	682	202	29.62%	461	67.60%	19	2.79%	0	0.00%
SS11C	269	146	139	25	17.99%	105	75.54%	9	6.47%	0	0.00%
SS11D	365	254	242	148	61.16%	86	35.54%	8	3.31%	0	0.00%
SS12	2559	1732	1666	867	52.04%	756	45.38%	42	2.52%	1	0.06%
SS13A	1633	1072	1042	486	46.64%	515	49.42%	41	3.93%	0	0.00%
SS13B	1001	629	613	304	49.59%	294	47.96%	15	2.45%	0	0.00%
SS14	1274	977	954	598	62.68%	316	33.12%	38	3.98%	2	0.21%
SS15A	2572	1199	1177	163	13.85%	983	83.52%	31	2.63%	0	0.00%
SS15B	1579	902	877	262	29.87%	567	64.65%	48	5.47%	0	0.00%
SS16	2030	1534	1503	844	56.15%	603	40.12%	56	3.73%	0	0.00%
SS17	3397	2229	2171	1115	51.36%	986	45.42%	69	3.18%	1	0.05%
SS18A	619	454	443	219	49.44%	213	48.08%	11	2.48%	0	0.00%
SS18B	221	162	154	71	46.10%	76	49.35%	7	4.55%	0	0.00%
SS19A	3016	1683	1644	396	24.09%	1188	72.26%	59	3.59%	1	0.06%
SS19B	2032	1226	1194	360	30.15%	788	66.00%	46	3.85%	0	0.00%
SS20	2060	1159	1128	376	33.33%	719	63.74%	33	2.93%	0	0.00%
SS22	2714	1613	1567	635	40.52%	877	55.97%	54	3.45%	1	0.06%
SS26	3552	1822	1780	324	18.20%	1409	79.16%	47	2.64%	0	0.00%
SS29A	2488	1595	1544	638	41.32%	854	55.31%	52	3.37%	0	0.00%
SS31	1345	938	912	398	43.64%	488	53.51%	26	2.85%	0	0.00%
UC01A	3622	1715	1693	70	4.13%	1593	94.09%	28	1.65%	2	0.12%
UC01B	395	210	204	6	2.94%	195	95.59%	3	1.47%	0	0.00%
UC01D	6	2	2	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
UC01E	285	206	206	4	1.94%	196	95.15%	6	2.91%	0	0.00%
UC02A	7513	4296	4212	136	3.23%	4019	95.42%	56	1.33%	1	0.02%
UC02B	30	19	18	4	22.22%	14	77.78%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
UC031	2399	1203	1171	200	17.08%	965	82.41%	6	0.51%	0	0.00%
UC032	292	186	180	26	14.44%	154	85.56%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	703177	424992	413420	117204	28.35%	284585	68.84%	11483	2.78%	148	0.04%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

US HOUSE 5

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	J. R. LEWIS (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide							
01A	3199	2362	2234	2206	98.75%	28	1.25%
01B	3839	2737	2546	2509	98.55%	37	1.45%
01C	1459	612	594	593	99.83%	1	0.17%
01D	511	307	288	286	99.31%	2	0.69%
01E	3363	2410	2215	2184	98.60%	31	1.40%
01F	1254	452	439	437	99.54%	2	0.46%
01G	1909	1102	1052	1034	98.29%	18	1.71%
01H	758	379	366	366	100.00%	0	0.00%
01J	2416	1184	1136	1135	99.91%	1	0.09%
01P	1244	542	521	519	99.62%	2	0.38%
01R	1344	491	474	473	99.79%	1	0.21%
01S	1749	823	792	788	99.49%	4	0.51%
01T	1506	796	744	736	98.92%	8	1.08%
02A	4584	2949	2628	2541	96.69%	87	3.31%
02B	3518	1265	1192	1173	98.41%	19	1.59%
02C	2037	1205	1142	1123	98.34%	19	1.66%
02D	4475	2660	2501	2475	98.96%	26	1.04%
02E	1905	1423	1343	1327	98.81%	16	1.19%
02F1	2962	2033	1879	1843	98.08%	36	1.92%
02F2	788	458	435	432	99.31%	3	0.69%
02G	3443	2361	2216	2183	98.51%	33	1.49%
02J	2159	1580	1401	1376	98.22%	25	1.78%
02K	1419	951	870	854	98.16%	16	1.84%
02L1	6213	3978	3616	3529	97.59%	87	2.41%
02L2	1364	782	702	688	98.01%	14	1.99%
02S	708	427	409	404	98.78%	5	1.22%
02W	682	399	370	362	97.84%	8	2.16%
03A	1927	692	659	653	99.09%	6	0.91%
03B	560	181	164	163	99.39%	1	0.61%
03C	1534	674	652	649	99.54%	3	0.46%
03D	1017	402	383	379	98.96%	4	1.04%
03E	2284	914	881	874	99.21%	7	0.79%
03F	1925	1115	1017	997	98.03%	20	1.97%
03G	802	360	345	340	98.55%	5	1.45%
03H	900	369	347	347	100.00%	0	0.00%
03I	1360	566	530	515	97.17%	15	2.83%
03L	594	229	213	212	99.53%	1	0.47%
03M	766	452	398	377	94.72%	21	5.28%
03N	2288	938	898	893	99.44%	5	0.56%
03P1A	3882	1966	1824	1793	98.30%	31	1.70%
03P2	13	7	7	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
03S	761	356	350	350	100.00%	0	0.00%
03T	1505	715	694	691	99.57%	3	0.43%
04A	1202	560	533	530	99.44%	3	0.56%
04B	2242	922	891	890	99.89%	1	0.11%
04C	136	54	53	53	100.00%	0	0.00%
04D	1481	714	680	678	99.71%	2	0.29%
04F	2086	935	888	876	98.65%	12	1.35%
04G	828	312	300	298	99.33%	2	0.67%
04I	3362	561	523	509	97.32%	14	2.68%
04J	1230	317	310	309	99.68%	1	0.32%
04K	2339	1266	1205	1199	99.50%	6	0.50%
04L	1655	867	828	823	99.40%	5	0.60%
04M	773	292	282	280	99.29%	2	0.71%
04S	2165	1118	1072	1066	99.44%	6	0.56%
04T	1145	584	562	560	99.64%	2	0.36%
04V	2848	1211	1169	1165	99.66%	4	0.34%
04W	2203	820	805	800	99.38%	5	0.62%
04X1	1942	950	913	905	99.12%	8	0.88%
04X2	737	364	337	336	99.70%	1	0.30%
05A1	2491	1728	1625	1611	99.14%	14	0.86%
05A2	2910	1998	1885	1856	98.46%	29	1.54%
05B	1763	901	854	848	99.30%	6	0.70%
05C	146	67	63	63	100.00%	0	0.00%
05D	386	93	89	89	100.00%	0	0.00%
05F	223	136	132	130	98.48%	2	1.52%
05J	639	416	387	383	98.97%	4	1.03%
05K	2600	1327	1277	1265	99.06%	12	0.94%
06B	1756	1238	1122	1089	97.06%	33	2.94%
06D	8568	6060	5331	5164	96.87%	167	3.13%
06E	2250	1439	1284	1239	96.50%	45	3.50%
06F	2709	1757	1564	1525	97.51%	39	2.49%
06G	536	369	337	326	96.74%	11	3.26%
06I	3412	1957	1827	1783	97.59%	44	2.41%
06J	2539	1904	1680	1625	96.73%	55	3.27%
06L1	917	679	566	542	95.76%	24	4.24%
06L2	654	487	397	374	94.21%	23	5.79%
06N	1844	1085	863	819	94.90%	44	5.10%
06Q	527	295	283	282	99.65%	1	0.35%
06R	1836	1206	1054	1026	97.34%	28	2.66%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	US HOUSE 5						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	J. R. LEWIS (I) D		Write-In Votes	
07C	3927	2498	1818	1680	92.41%	138	7.59%
07D	4231	2413	1840	1714	93.15%	126	6.85%
07E	2031	1358	1102	1046	94.92%	56	5.08%
07F	3017	2041	1526	1441	94.43%	85	5.57%
07H	1923	1424	1021	963	94.32%	58	5.68%
07J	2647	1753	1392	1324	95.11%	68	4.89%
07M	2155	1180	952	897	94.22%	55	5.78%
07N	1946	1044	931	909	97.64%	22	2.36%
08F1	2071	1628	1042	933	89.54%	109	10.46%
08G	2787	1669	1246	1153	92.54%	93	7.46%
08H	2465	1874	1220	1118	91.64%	102	8.36%
08J	2981	2223	1676	1543	92.06%	133	7.94%
08K	973	702	548	513	93.61%	35	6.39%
08L	4194	2706	2247	2143	95.37%	104	4.63%
08M	1907	1358	899	797	88.65%	102	11.35%
08P	1633	928	830	798	96.14%	32	3.86%
09A	3338	2152	1886	1815	96.24%	71	3.76%
09B	385	174	170	169	99.41%	1	0.59%
09C	2954	1522	1461	1456	99.66%	5	0.34%
09D	1879	858	805	805	100.00%	0	0.00%
09E	2782	1420	1342	1326	98.81%	16	1.19%
09F	3619	2526	1898	1777	93.62%	121	6.38%
09G	3519	2282	2017	1962	97.27%	55	2.73%
09H	1064	645	605	597	98.68%	8	1.32%
09I	1194	503	476	472	99.16%	4	0.84%
09K	4403	1851	1784	1773	99.38%	11	0.62%
09M	2259	1611	1421	1382	97.26%	39	2.74%
10A	2850	1622	1567	1560	99.55%	7	0.45%
10B	3686	2464	2394	2387	99.71%	7	0.29%
10C	2466	1168	1134	1131	99.74%	3	0.26%
10D	4220	1850	1781	1777	99.78%	4	0.22%
10E	950	524	503	499	99.20%	4	0.80%
10F	1053	590	564	561	99.47%	3	0.53%
10G	1205	813	783	775	98.98%	8	1.02%
10H1	408	238	232	231	99.57%	1	0.43%
10H2	2207	1032	990	980	98.99%	10	1.01%
10I	329	258	249	249	100.00%	0	0.00%
10J	1012	466	447	445	99.55%	2	0.45%
10K	1415	858	816	815	99.88%	1	0.12%
10M	2529	1414	1361	1354	99.49%	7	0.51%
10P	1364	668	641	636	99.22%	5	0.78%
10R	713	347	338	338	100.00%	0	0.00%
11B	5162	3088	2977	2961	99.46%	16	0.54%
11C	2822	2003	1954	1936	99.08%	18	0.92%
11E1	1141	634	615	611	99.35%	4	0.65%
11E2	847	497	483	481	99.59%	2	0.41%
11E3	3124	1835	1787	1779	99.55%	8	0.45%
11G	2092	855	819	815	99.51%	4	0.49%
11H	677	356	343	341	99.42%	2	0.58%
11J	1827	1018	984	978	99.39%	6	0.61%
11K	1818	1104	1067	1057	99.06%	10	0.94%
11M	3039	1659	1608	1597	99.32%	11	0.68%
11N	2166	1358	1313	1305	99.39%	8	0.61%
11P	1833	1020	981	979	99.80%	2	0.20%
11R	1849	672	640	638	99.69%	2	0.31%
12A	4828	2734	2607	2581	99.00%	26	1.00%
12D	1209	501	483	480	99.38%	3	0.62%
12E1	1621	591	553	548	99.10%	5	0.90%
12F	943	428	414	414	100.00%	0	0.00%
12G	800	430	406	405	99.75%	1	0.25%
12H1	1006	310	295	291	98.64%	4	1.36%
12H2	2562	1189	1147	1139	99.30%	8	0.70%
12I	970	413	391	391	100.00%	0	0.00%
12J	2535	1108	1070	1063	99.35%	7	0.65%
12K	3022	1240	1171	1157	98.80%	14	1.20%
12L	613	238	228	228	100.00%	0	0.00%
12M	2533	1171	1134	1133	99.91%	1	0.09%
12N	907	327	308	302	98.05%	6	1.95%
12S	1794	959	915	913	99.78%	2	0.22%
CP01B	381	227	172	163	94.77%	9	5.23%
CP011	1135	617	540	514	95.19%	26	4.81%
CP012	1318	844	735	709	96.46%	26	3.54%
CP02	1000	553	502	493	98.21%	9	1.79%
CP04A	8	2	2	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP04B	39	14	14	14	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP05B	143	76	70	70	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP051	1612	874	843	840	99.64%	3	0.36%
CP07B	7	2	2	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP07C	244	47	44	43	97.73%	1	2.27%
CP07D	694	208	196	194	98.98%	2	1.02%
CP07E	125	41	39	39	100.00%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	US HOUSE 5						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	J. R. LEWIS (I) D		Write-In Votes	
CP07F	184	55	51	51	100.00%	0	0.00%
EP01A	2609	1464	1362	1338	98.24%	24	1.76%
EP01B	3038	1539	1470	1457	99.12%	13	0.88%
EP02A	1967	890	853	846	99.18%	7	0.82%
EP02B	1449	977	875	858	98.06%	17	1.94%
EP02C	428	251	216	210	97.22%	6	2.78%
EP02D	326	192	184	182	98.91%	2	1.09%
EP02E	1382	627	597	590	98.83%	7	1.17%
EP03A	4293	2512	2391	2375	99.33%	16	0.67%
EP03B	1421	973	932	924	99.14%	8	0.86%
EP04A	5432	2626	2558	2548	99.61%	10	0.39%
HP01	3212	1780	1501	1446	96.34%	55	3.66%
SC01A	20	25	23	23	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC01B	1707	1295	1269	1261	99.37%	8	0.63%
SC01C	2063	1513	1462	1451	99.25%	11	0.75%
SC01D	294	162	159	159	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC02	872	662	637	633	99.37%	4	0.63%
SC14A	916	429	419	415	99.05%	4	0.95%
SC14B	502	45	41	41	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC16A	4601	3208	3128	3112	99.49%	16	0.51%
SC16B	14	15	15	15	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC18A	510	329	318	318	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC30A	135	97	93	93	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC30B	960	531	511	508	99.41%	3	0.59%
Total	343478	193688	176310	172836	98.03%	3474	1.97%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

US HOUSE 6

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	K. HANDEL (I) R		L. MCBATH (D)		Write-In Votes	
02J	0	0	0	0	-	0	-	0	-
AP01A	4724	3141	3115	1615	51.85%	1497	48.06%	3	0.10%
AP01B	913	516	508	231	45.47%	277	54.53%	0	0.00%
AP01C	2233	1498	1494	792	53.01%	700	46.85%	2	0.13%
AP01D	915	539	532	226	42.48%	305	57.33%	1	0.19%
AP02B	474	263	260	128	49.23%	132	50.77%	0	0.00%
AP03	741	314	312	72	23.08%	240	76.92%	0	0.00%
AP04A	1647	1183	1172	709	60.49%	463	39.51%	0	0.00%
AP04B	415	290	289	160	55.36%	129	44.64%	0	0.00%
AP05	4367	2981	2963	1726	58.25%	1236	41.71%	1	0.03%
AP06	1827	1309	1289	776	60.20%	509	39.49%	4	0.31%
AP07A	3422	2102	2078	1089	52.41%	986	47.45%	3	0.14%
AP07B	1650	1144	1139	622	54.61%	516	45.30%	1	0.09%
AP09A	4051	2687	2656	1317	49.59%	1339	50.41%	0	0.00%
AP09B	810	531	524	211	40.27%	313	59.73%	0	0.00%
AP021	2994	1963	1945	1021	52.49%	921	47.35%	3	0.15%
AP022	2210	1429	1408	675	47.94%	729	51.78%	4	0.28%
AP10	942	681	673	394	58.54%	276	41.01%	3	0.45%
AP12A	658	374	370	133	35.95%	237	64.05%	0	0.00%
AP12B	747	428	423	138	32.62%	283	66.90%	2	0.47%
AP12C	1584	1005	993	548	55.19%	445	44.81%	0	0.00%
AP14	2972	2027	2009	1026	51.07%	980	48.78%	3	0.15%
JC01	4977	3215	3181	1272	39.99%	1905	59.89%	4	0.13%
JC02	2460	1474	1459	658	45.10%	800	54.83%	1	0.07%
JC03A	540	384	382	181	47.38%	201	52.62%	0	0.00%
JC03B	1448	955	942	368	39.07%	572	60.72%	2	0.21%
JC04A	4042	2692	2662	1234	46.36%	1426	53.57%	2	0.08%
JC04B	255	156	152	68	44.74%	84	55.26%	0	0.00%
JC05	2136	1391	1374	618	44.98%	754	54.88%	2	0.15%
JC06	2627	1580	1558	866	55.58%	692	44.42%	0	0.00%
JC07	4083	2627	2600	1183	45.50%	1413	54.35%	4	0.15%
JC08	2737	1870	1856	910	49.03%	943	50.81%	3	0.16%
JC09	2928	1878	1869	763	40.82%	1104	59.07%	2	0.11%
JC10	2392	1507	1493	588	39.38%	903	60.48%	2	0.13%
JC11	3186	2085	2072	1167	56.32%	905	43.68%	0	0.00%
JC12	2455	1753	1732	1167	67.38%	565	32.62%	0	0.00%
JC13A	2115	1462	1446	970	67.08%	474	32.78%	2	0.14%
JC13B	271	180	176	136	77.27%	40	22.73%	0	0.00%
JC14	2477	1634	1621	932	57.50%	689	42.50%	0	0.00%
JC15	834	523	514	200	38.91%	314	61.09%	0	0.00%
JC16	2496	1729	1710	851	49.77%	857	50.12%	2	0.12%
JC18	2670	1969	1958	1122	57.30%	836	42.70%	0	0.00%
JC19	3759	2528	2507	1243	49.58%	1261	50.30%	3	0.12%
ML011	2439	1681	1667	1010	60.59%	655	39.29%	2	0.12%
ML012	485	338	335	227	67.76%	106	31.64%	2	0.60%
ML01B	138	96	95	59	62.11%	36	37.89%	0	0.00%
ML021	1991	1369	1357	1021	75.24%	336	24.76%	0	0.00%
ML022	241	148	148	110	74.32%	38	25.68%	0	0.00%
ML023	1542	1027	1014	728	71.79%	285	28.11%	1	0.10%
ML024	113	70	68	57	83.82%	10	14.71%	1	1.47%
ML03	3757	2575	2552	1784	69.91%	768	30.09%	0	0.00%
ML04A	1747	1176	1161	693	59.69%	465	40.05%	3	0.26%
ML04B	1206	838	836	582	69.62%	253	30.26%	1	0.12%
ML04C	79	66	64	46	71.88%	18	28.13%	0	0.00%
ML05A	39	27	27	18	66.67%	9	33.33%	0	0.00%
ML05B	381	250	245	165	67.35%	80	32.65%	0	0.00%
ML05C	4023	2615	2589	1336	51.60%	1251	48.32%	2	0.08%
ML06A	1973	1024	1011	353	34.92%	656	64.89%	2	0.20%
ML06B	2775	1734	1715	623	36.33%	1090	63.56%	2	0.12%
ML071	105	73	73	49	67.12%	24	32.88%	0	0.00%
ML072	1249	857	847	597	70.48%	248	29.28%	2	0.24%
ML07A	707	472	466	318	68.24%	148	31.76%	0	0.00%
MP01	450	323	319	152	47.65%	167	52.35%	0	0.00%
RW01	4717	3355	3320	1994	60.06%	1324	39.88%	2	0.06%
RW02	3794	2675	2655	1396	52.58%	1255	47.27%	4	0.15%
RW03	4510	2745	2721	1184	43.51%	1536	56.45%	1	0.04%
RW04	1803	1359	1349	681	50.48%	667	49.44%	1	0.07%
RW05	2322	1207	1194	411	34.42%	781	65.41%	2	0.17%
RW06	2820	1914	1897	913	48.13%	978	51.56%	6	0.32%
RW07A	1549	677	666	90	13.51%	576	86.49%	0	0.00%
RW07B	388	214	210	53	25.24%	157	74.76%	0	0.00%
RW08	2138	1462	1433	899	62.74%	531	37.06%	3	0.21%
RW09	5918	4216	4182	2721	65.06%	1457	34.84%	4	0.10%
RW10	3347	2376	2348	1389	59.16%	956	40.72%	3	0.13%
RW11A	2667	1693	1676	775	46.24%	900	53.70%	1	0.06%
RW12	5944	4234	4193	2511	59.89%	1679	40.04%	3	0.07%
RW13	3555	2476	2456	1303	53.05%	1149	46.78%	4	0.16%
RW16	1850	1275	1261	713	56.54%	544	43.14%	4	0.32%
RW17	2794	1773	1760	685	38.92%	1074	61.02%	1	0.06%
RW19	1993	1434	1418	885	62.41%	531	37.45%	2	0.14%
RW20	2486	1797	1785	972	54.45%	811	45.43%	2	0.11%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

US HOUSE 6

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	K. HANDEL (I) R		L. MCBATH (D)		Write-In Votes	
RW21	3144	2169	2145	1335	62.24%	808	37.67%	2	0.09%
RW22A	4406	2486	2458	937	38.12%	1518	61.76%	3	0.12%
SS01	4487	3270	3234	1950	60.30%	1281	39.61%	3	0.09%
SS02A	1120	755	749	308	41.12%	440	58.74%	1	0.13%
SS02B	1255	756	748	183	24.47%	565	75.53%	0	0.00%
SS03	1464	1027	1013	453	44.72%	558	55.08%	2	0.20%
SS04	3264	2064	2040	716	35.10%	1324	64.90%	0	0.00%
SS05	1746	1376	1354	698	51.55%	655	48.38%	1	0.07%
SS06	1397	1073	1067	583	54.64%	484	45.36%	0	0.00%
SS07A	968	683	672	364	54.17%	307	45.68%	1	0.15%
SS07B	609	405	402	166	41.29%	236	58.71%	0	0.00%
SS07C	755	436	428	215	50.23%	213	49.77%	0	0.00%
SS08A	1316	758	745	236	31.68%	509	68.32%	0	0.00%
SS08B	635	453	449	236	52.56%	213	47.44%	0	0.00%
SS08C	632	393	386	119	30.83%	267	69.17%	0	0.00%
SS08D	1368	847	839	292	34.80%	547	65.20%	0	0.00%
SS11A	3655	2227	2191	894	40.80%	1295	59.11%	2	0.09%
SS11B	1257	707	694	199	28.67%	495	71.33%	0	0.00%
SS11C	269	146	142	27	19.01%	115	80.99%	0	0.00%
SS12	2559	1732	1702	888	52.17%	813	47.77%	1	0.06%
SS15A	2572	1199	1188	169	14.23%	1019	85.77%	0	0.00%
SS15B	1579	902	895	279	31.17%	615	68.72%	1	0.11%
SS16	2030	1534	1520	877	57.70%	643	42.30%	0	0.00%
SS17	3397	2229	2209	1143	51.74%	1064	48.17%	2	0.09%
SS18A	619	454	450	232	51.56%	217	48.22%	1	0.22%
SS18B	221	162	158	79	50.00%	79	50.00%	0	0.00%
SS19A	3016	1683	1669	413	24.75%	1256	75.25%	0	0.00%
SS19B	2032	1226	1213	369	30.42%	841	69.33%	3	0.25%
SS20	2060	1159	1148	384	33.45%	762	66.38%	2	0.17%
SS22	2714	1613	1591	674	42.36%	916	57.57%	1	0.06%
SS26	3552	1822	1798	327	18.19%	1471	81.81%	0	0.00%
SS29A	2488	1595	1578	659	41.76%	918	58.17%	1	0.06%
SS31	1345	938	927	410	44.23%	515	55.56%	2	0.22%
Total	235150	153917	152331	76423	50.17%	75759	49.73%	149	0.10%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

US HOUSE 11

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	B. LOUDERMILK (I) R		F. D. BROADY, JR (D)		Write-In Votes	
02J	0	0	0	0	-	0	-	0	-
07A	6600	4093	3986	1841	46.19%	2140	53.69%	5	0.13%
07B	2763	2107	2066	1344	65.05%	721	34.90%	1	0.05%
08A	2799	1949	1922	1036	53.90%	886	46.10%	0	0.00%
08B	3199	2255	2206	1352	61.29%	851	38.58%	3	0.14%
08C	1392	984	957	678	70.85%	279	29.15%	0	0.00%
08D	1022	770	754	526	69.76%	228	30.24%	0	0.00%
08E	2429	1864	1823	1140	62.53%	683	37.47%	0	0.00%
08N1	767	587	575	383	66.61%	192	33.39%	0	0.00%
08N2	1391	927	900	432	48.00%	468	52.00%	0	0.00%
SS09A	3003	2210	2155	1279	59.35%	874	40.56%	2	0.09%
SS09B	3358	2452	2405	1398	58.13%	1006	41.83%	1	0.04%
SS11D	365	254	251	162	64.54%	89	35.46%	0	0.00%
SS13A	1633	1072	1048	514	49.05%	532	50.76%	2	0.19%
SS13B	1001	629	617	308	49.92%	309	50.08%	0	0.00%
SS14	1274	977	960	616	64.17%	343	35.73%	1	0.10%
Total	32996	23130	22625	13009	57.50%	9601	42.44%	15	0.07%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

US HOUSE 13

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	D. CALLAHAN (R)		D. SCOTT (I) (D)		Write-In Votes	
02J	0	0	0	0	-	0	-	0	-
CH01	330	164	157	40	25.48%	117	74.52%	0	0.00%
CH02	319	202	195	120	61.54%	74	37.95%	1	0.51%
CH03	379	250	244	157	64.34%	87	35.66%	0	0.00%
CH04A	647	444	439	230	52.39%	209	47.61%	0	0.00%
CH05	407	260	255	183	71.76%	72	28.24%	0	0.00%
CP06A	598	208	198	4	2.02%	194	97.98%	0	0.00%
CP081	343	77	76	5	6.58%	70	92.11%	1	1.32%
CP083	219	25	21	0	0.00%	21	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP084	3	1	1	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP08A	705	175	170	4	2.35%	166	97.65%	0	0.00%
EP04B	2024	1203	1187	20	1.68%	1163	97.98%	4	0.34%
FA01A	3574	2126	2090	218	10.43%	1868	89.38%	4	0.19%
FA01B	4833	2965	2912	299	10.27%	2612	89.70%	1	0.03%
FA01C	681	401	397	19	4.79%	376	94.71%	2	0.50%
PA01	2509	1330	1307	306	23.41%	998	76.36%	3	0.23%
SC04	922	616	605	191	31.57%	414	68.43%	0	0.00%
SC05A	646	409	400	6	1.50%	394	98.50%	0	0.00%
SC05B	3204	2188	2150	73	3.40%	2073	96.42%	4	0.19%
SC05D	2171	1428	1405	44	3.13%	1358	96.65%	3	0.21%
SC05E	623	434	429	65	15.15%	363	84.62%	1	0.23%
SC07A	5595	3698	3645	301	8.26%	3336	91.52%	8	0.22%
SC07C	29	10	10	0	0.00%	10	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08B	3382	2008	1970	64	3.25%	1904	96.65%	2	0.10%
SC08C	74	53	51	0	0.00%	51	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08D	499	314	309	5	1.62%	304	98.38%	0	0.00%
SC08E	562	316	307	10	3.26%	297	96.74%	0	0.00%
SC08F	700	366	361	15	4.16%	344	95.29%	2	0.55%
SC08G	12	8	8	0	0.00%	8	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08H	4	3	3	0	0.00%	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC09A	1628	839	818	25	3.06%	790	96.58%	3	0.37%
SC09B	1687	1084	1063	22	2.07%	1038	97.65%	3	0.28%
SC09C	1566	842	822	28	3.41%	794	96.59%	0	0.00%
SC10	1678	661	648	17	2.62%	631	97.38%	0	0.00%
SC11A	1411	813	801	19	2.37%	782	97.63%	0	0.00%
SC11B	1506	878	862	26	3.02%	835	96.87%	1	0.12%
SC13	2465	1636	1607	72	4.48%	1532	95.33%	3	0.19%
SC15	6193	4213	4146	126	3.04%	4015	96.84%	5	0.12%
SC17A	55	42	42	0	0.00%	42	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC17B	7	4	4	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%
SC17C	1134	669	655	19	2.90%	635	96.95%	1	0.15%
SC18B	663	462	456	12	2.63%	443	97.15%	1	0.22%
SC18C	1850	1352	1333	54	4.05%	1276	95.72%	3	0.23%
SC19A	195	129	129	4	3.10%	124	96.12%	1	0.78%
SC19B	1267	690	675	22	3.26%	650	96.30%	3	0.44%
SC20	2197	1665	1641	34	2.07%	1604	97.75%	3	0.18%
SC211	1328	841	829	33	3.98%	796	96.02%	0	0.00%
SC212	3378	1947	1913	91	4.76%	1815	94.88%	7	0.37%
SC23A	3161	1730	1698	36	2.12%	1660	97.76%	2	0.12%
SC23B	483	284	282	10	3.55%	272	96.45%	0	0.00%
SC23C	2252	1302	1283	32	2.49%	1250	97.43%	1	0.08%
SC27	2129	1259	1234	22	1.78%	1209	97.97%	3	0.24%
SC29A	2784	1544	1517	36	2.37%	1477	97.36%	4	0.26%
UC01A	3622	1715	1686	70	4.15%	1614	95.73%	2	0.12%
UC01B	395	210	208	5	2.40%	203	97.60%	0	0.00%
UC01D	6	2	2	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
UC01E	285	206	206	7	3.40%	199	96.60%	0	0.00%
UC02A	7513	4296	4214	150	3.56%	4054	96.20%	10	0.24%
UC02B	30	19	17	4	23.53%	13	76.47%	0	0.00%
UC031	2399	1203	1164	192	16.49%	971	83.42%	1	0.09%
UC032	292	186	181	26	14.36%	155	85.64%	0	0.00%
Total	91553	54405	53438	3574	6.69%	49771	93.14%	93	0.17%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE SENATE 6

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	L. ALDRIDGE (R)		J. JORDAN (I) D		Write-In Votes	
07A	6600	4093	3995	1791	44.83%	2202	55.12%	2	0.05%
07B	2763	2107	2085	1307	62.69%	778	37.31%	0	0.00%
07C	3927	2498	2438	1121	45.98%	1315	53.94%	2	0.08%
07D	4231	2413	2338	998	42.69%	1339	57.27%	1	0.04%
08A	2799	1949	1922	1020	53.07%	902	46.93%	0	0.00%
08B	3199	2255	2221	1286	57.90%	935	42.10%	0	0.00%
08C	1392	984	972	667	68.62%	305	31.38%	0	0.00%
08D	1022	770	761	501	65.83%	260	34.17%	0	0.00%
08E	2429	1864	1842	1080	58.63%	762	41.37%	0	0.00%
08F1	2071	1628	1601	1019	63.65%	581	36.29%	1	0.06%
08M	1907	1358	1333	811	60.84%	522	39.16%	0	0.00%
08N1	767	587	579	367	63.39%	209	36.10%	3	0.52%
08N2	1391	927	912	415	45.50%	497	54.50%	0	0.00%
09F	3619	2526	2482	1053	42.43%	1428	57.53%	1	0.04%
SS06	1397	1073	1061	561	52.87%	500	47.13%	0	0.00%
SS07A	968	683	667	362	54.27%	305	45.73%	0	0.00%
SS07B	609	405	402	167	41.54%	235	58.46%	0	0.00%
SS07C	755	436	423	209	49.41%	214	50.59%	0	0.00%
SS08A	1316	758	741	234	31.58%	506	68.29%	1	0.13%
SS08B	635	453	448	232	51.79%	216	48.21%	0	0.00%
SS08C	632	393	377	116	30.77%	261	69.23%	0	0.00%
SS08D	1368	847	831	282	33.94%	549	66.06%	0	0.00%
SS09B	3358	2452	2417	1344	55.61%	1072	44.35%	1	0.04%
SS11A	3655	2227	2179	906	41.58%	1272	58.38%	1	0.05%
SS11B	1257	707	692	205	29.62%	486	70.23%	1	0.14%
SS11C	269	146	139	27	19.42%	112	80.58%	0	0.00%
SS11D	365	254	246	141	57.32%	105	42.68%	0	0.00%
SS12	2559	1732	1698	879	51.77%	818	48.17%	1	0.06%
SS13A	1633	1072	1058	510	48.20%	545	51.51%	3	0.28%
SS13B	1001	629	619	305	49.27%	314	50.73%	0	0.00%
SS14	1274	977	964	617	64.00%	347	36.00%	0	0.00%
SS31	1345	938	922	402	43.60%	520	56.40%	0	0.00%
Total	62513	42141	41365	20935	50.61%	20412	49.35%	18	0.04%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE SENATE 21

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	B. BEACH (I) R		N. B. NASH (D)		Write-In Votes	
AP05	4367	2981	2933	1756	59.87%	1174	40.03%	3	0.10%
AP06	1827	1309	1282	842	65.68%	440	34.32%	0	0.00%
AP07A	3422	2102	2039	1081	53.02%	956	46.89%	2	0.10%
AP07B	1650	1144	1122	650	57.93%	472	42.07%	0	0.00%
AP09A	4051	2687	2635	1337	50.74%	1297	49.22%	1	0.04%
AP10	942	681	665	417	62.71%	248	37.29%	0	0.00%
AP12A	658	374	367	134	36.51%	233	63.49%	0	0.00%
AP12B	747	428	419	141	33.65%	278	66.35%	0	0.00%
AP12C	1584	1005	981	551	56.17%	428	43.63%	2	0.20%
ML021	1991	1369	1348	1038	77.00%	310	23.00%	0	0.00%
ML022	241	148	145	112	77.24%	33	22.76%	0	0.00%
ML023	1542	1027	1006	760	75.55%	246	24.45%	0	0.00%
ML024	113	70	67	57	85.07%	10	14.93%	0	0.00%
ML03	3757	2575	2540	1829	72.01%	708	27.87%	3	0.12%
ML04A	1747	1176	1145	708	61.83%	435	37.99%	2	0.17%
ML04B	1206	838	826	597	72.28%	229	27.72%	0	0.00%
ML04C	79	66	66	47	71.21%	19	28.79%	0	0.00%
ML05A	39	27	27	19	70.37%	8	29.63%	0	0.00%
ML05B	381	250	245	170	69.39%	75	30.61%	0	0.00%
ML05C	4023	2615	2565	1370	53.41%	1195	46.59%	0	0.00%
ML06A	1973	1024	1006	352	34.99%	654	65.01%	0	0.00%
ML06B	2775	1734	1701	654	38.45%	1047	61.55%	0	0.00%
ML071	105	73	72	52	72.22%	20	27.78%	0	0.00%
ML072	1249	857	838	589	70.29%	248	29.59%	1	0.12%
ML07A	707	472	461	323	70.07%	138	29.93%	0	0.00%
Total	41176	27032	26501	15586	58.81%	10901	41.13%	14	0.05%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE SENATE 28

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	M. BRASS (I) R		Write-In Votes	
CH01	330	164	94	87	92.55%	7	7.45%
CH02	319	202	154	148	96.10%	6	3.90%
CH03	379	250	186	177	95.16%	9	4.84%
CH04A	647	444	304	286	94.08%	18	5.92%
CH05	407	260	220	214	97.27%	6	2.73%
Total	2082	1320	958	912	95.20%	46	4.80%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE SENATE 32

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	K. KIRKPATRICK (I) R		C. TRIEBSCH (D)		Write-In Votes	
SS02B	1255	756	743	193	25.98%	549	73.89%	1	0.13%
SS03	1464	1027	994	468	47.08%	525	52.82%	1	0.10%
SS04	3264	2064	1995	733	36.74%	1261	63.21%	1	0.05%
SS05	1746	1376	1343	727	54.13%	616	45.87%	0	0.00%
SS09A	3003	2210	2152	1288	59.85%	863	40.10%	1	0.05%
SS16	2030	1534	1502	906	60.32%	596	39.68%	0	0.00%
SS18A	619	454	449	242	53.90%	207	46.10%	0	0.00%
SS18B	221	162	156	83	53.21%	73	46.79%	0	0.00%
SS29A	2488	1595	1552	672	43.30%	880	56.70%	0	0.00%
Total	16090	11178	10886	5312	48.80%	5570	51.17%	4	0.04%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE SENATE 35

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	D. JAMES (I) D		Write-In Votes	
FA01A	3574	2126	1945	1936	99.54%	9	0.46%
FA01B	4833	2965	2722	2709	99.52%	13	0.48%
FA01C	681	401	385	380	98.70%	5	1.30%
PA01	2509	1330	1111	1095	98.56%	16	1.44%
SC04	922	616	484	475	98.14%	9	1.86%
SC05A	646	409	397	395	99.50%	2	0.50%
SC05B	3204	2188	2099	2090	99.57%	9	0.43%
SC05D	2171	1428	1368	1364	99.71%	4	0.29%
SC05E	623	434	389	382	98.20%	7	1.80%
SC07A	5595	3698	3463	3443	99.42%	20	0.58%
SC07C	29	10	10	10	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08E	562	316	305	304	99.67%	1	0.33%
SC13	2465	1636	1566	1557	99.43%	9	0.57%
SC15	6193	4213	4073	4060	99.68%	13	0.32%
SC18A	510	329	320	320	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC18B	663	462	446	439	98.43%	7	1.57%
SC18C	1850	1352	1291	1283	99.38%	8	0.62%
SC20	2197	1665	1617	1608	99.44%	9	0.56%
SC211	1328	841	796	794	99.75%	2	0.25%
SC212	3378	1947	1854	1845	99.51%	9	0.49%
SC29A	2784	1544	1490	1481	99.40%	9	0.60%
UC01A	3622	1715	1646	1641	99.70%	5	0.30%
UC02A	7513	4296	4088	4076	99.71%	12	0.29%
UC02B	30	19	13	13	100.00%	0	0.00%
UC031	2399	1203	1029	1023	99.42%	6	0.58%
UC032	292	186	162	162	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	60573	37329	35069	34885	99.48%	184	0.52%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE SENATE 36

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	N. ORROCK (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide							
01A	3199	2362	2188	2167	99.04%	21	0.96%
01B	3839	2737	2493	2470	99.08%	23	0.92%
01C	1459	612	575	574	99.83%	1	0.17%
01D	511	307	284	283	99.65%	1	0.35%
01E	3363	2410	2163	2140	98.94%	23	1.06%
01F	1254	452	425	424	99.76%	1	0.24%
01G	1909	1102	1022	1007	98.53%	15	1.47%
01H	758	379	351	351	100.00%	0	0.00%
01J	2416	1184	1095	1093	99.82%	2	0.18%
01P	1244	542	502	501	99.80%	1	0.20%
01R	1344	491	449	449	100.00%	0	0.00%
01S	1749	823	768	765	99.61%	3	0.39%
01T	1506	796	729	724	99.31%	5	0.69%
02B	3518	1265	1151	1141	99.13%	10	0.87%
02C	2037	1205	1110	1098	98.92%	12	1.08%
02D	4475	2660	2446	2431	99.39%	15	0.61%
02E	1905	1423	1325	1317	99.40%	8	0.60%
02F1	2962	2033	1830	1807	98.74%	23	1.26%
02F2	788	458	418	417	99.76%	1	0.24%
02G	3443	2361	2172	2151	99.03%	21	0.97%
02J	2159	1432	1295	1282	99.00%	13	1.00%
02K	1419	951	851	843	99.06%	8	0.94%
02S	708	427	404	400	99.01%	4	0.99%
03P2	13	7	7	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
03T	1505	715	658	657	99.85%	1	0.15%
04B	2242	922	862	861	99.88%	1	0.12%
04F	2086	935	854	848	99.30%	6	0.70%
04G	828	312	285	284	99.65%	1	0.35%
04I	3362	561	515	504	97.86%	11	2.14%
04J	1230	317	303	302	99.67%	1	0.33%
04K	2339	1266	1143	1140	99.74%	3	0.26%
04M	773	292	276	274	99.28%	2	0.72%
04S	2165	1118	1013	1012	99.90%	1	0.10%
04T	1145	584	539	539	100.00%	0	0.00%
04V	2848	1211	1134	1133	99.91%	1	0.09%
04W	2203	820	797	796	99.87%	1	0.13%
05A1	2491	1728	1593	1583	99.37%	10	0.63%
05A2	2910	1998	1850	1835	99.19%	15	0.81%
05B	1763	901	802	797	99.38%	5	0.62%
05C	146	67	64	64	100.00%	0	0.00%
05D	386	93	87	87	100.00%	0	0.00%
05F	223	136	131	131	100.00%	0	0.00%
05J	639	416	380	376	98.95%	4	1.05%
05K	2600	1327	1264	1257	99.45%	7	0.55%
06B	1756	1238	1092	1073	98.26%	19	1.74%
06D	8568	6060	5179	5108	98.63%	71	1.37%
06F	2709	1757	1510	1483	98.21%	27	1.79%
06G	536	369	326	320	98.16%	6	1.84%
06J	2539	1904	1625	1595	98.15%	30	1.85%
12A	4828	2734	2527	2505	99.13%	22	0.87%
12D	1209	501	467	466	99.79%	1	0.21%
12E1	1621	591	526	523	99.43%	3	0.57%
12F	943	428	400	400	100.00%	0	0.00%
12G	800	430	375	375	100.00%	0	0.00%
12H1	1006	310	280	279	99.64%	1	0.36%
12H2	2562	1189	1095	1091	99.63%	4	0.37%
12J	2535	1108	1032	1028	99.61%	4	0.39%
12K	3022	1240	1121	1115	99.46%	6	0.54%
12L	613	238	220	220	100.00%	0	0.00%
12M	2533	1171	1101	1100	99.91%	1	0.09%
12N	907	327	296	296	100.00%	0	0.00%
12S	1794	959	878	875	99.66%	3	0.34%
CP01B	381	227	161	158	98.14%	3	1.86%
CP011	1135	617	530	517	97.55%	13	2.45%
CP012	1318	844	712	696	97.75%	16	2.25%
CP02	1000	553	492	486	98.78%	6	1.22%
CP04A	8	2	2	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP04B	39	14	13	13	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP05B	143	76	70	69	98.57%	1	1.43%
CP051	1612	874	815	813	99.75%	2	0.25%
CP06A	598	208	191	190	99.48%	1	0.52%
CP07B	7	2	2	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP07C	244	47	40	40	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP07D	694	208	194	193	99.48%	1	0.52%
CP07E	125	41	38	38	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP07F	184	55	49	49	100.00%	0	0.00%
EP02A	1967	890	835	830	99.40%	5	0.60%
EP02B	1449	977	856	846	98.83%	10	1.17%
EP02C	428	251	212	207	97.64%	5	2.36%
EP02E	1382	627	580	576	99.31%	4	0.69%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE SENATE 36

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	N. ORROCK (I) D		Write-In Votes	
HP01	3212	1780	1461	1428	97.74%	33	2.26%
Total	138269	74985	67906	67327	99.15%	579	0.85%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE SENATE 38

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	T. KLAVOHN (R)		H. TATE (I)		Write-In Votes	
03B	560	181	172	8	4.65%	164	95.35%	0	0.00%
03C	1534	674	635	21	3.31%	614	96.69%	0	0.00%
03H	900	369	346	13	3.76%	333	96.24%	0	0.00%
03M	766	452	431	102	23.67%	328	76.10%	1	0.23%
03S	761	356	342	12	3.51%	330	96.49%	0	0.00%
08J	2981	2223	2127	1061	49.88%	1066	50.12%	0	0.00%
08K	973	702	677	294	43.43%	382	56.43%	1	0.15%
08L	4194	2706	2595	836	32.22%	1759	67.78%	0	0.00%
08P	1633	928	895	169	18.88%	724	80.89%	2	0.22%
09A	3338	2152	2078	528	25.41%	1547	74.45%	3	0.14%
09B	385	174	167	4	2.40%	163	97.60%	0	0.00%
09C	2954	1522	1459	37	2.54%	1422	97.46%	0	0.00%
09D	1879	858	806	23	2.85%	783	97.15%	0	0.00%
09E	2782	1420	1373	103	7.50%	1269	92.43%	1	0.07%
09G	3519	2282	2201	503	22.85%	1697	77.10%	1	0.05%
09H	1064	645	622	69	11.09%	553	88.91%	0	0.00%
09I	1194	503	472	20	4.24%	452	95.76%	0	0.00%
09K	4403	1851	1774	53	2.99%	1721	97.01%	0	0.00%
09M	2259	1611	1550	349	22.52%	1201	77.48%	0	0.00%
10A	2850	1622	1563	29	1.86%	1534	98.14%	0	0.00%
10B	3686	2464	2395	46	1.92%	2346	97.95%	3	0.13%
10C	2466	1168	1129	23	2.04%	1105	97.87%	1	0.09%
10D	4220	1850	1754	43	2.45%	1707	97.32%	4	0.23%
10E	950	524	507	17	3.35%	489	96.45%	1	0.20%
10F	1053	590	564	16	2.84%	548	97.16%	0	0.00%
10G	1205	813	791	15	1.90%	774	97.85%	2	0.25%
10H1	408	238	232	6	2.59%	226	97.41%	0	0.00%
10H2	2207	1032	983	19	1.93%	962	97.86%	2	0.20%
10I	329	258	252	6	2.38%	246	97.62%	0	0.00%
10J	1012	466	446	8	1.79%	437	97.98%	1	0.22%
10P	1364	668	647	23	3.55%	624	96.45%	0	0.00%
10R	713	347	330	3	0.91%	327	99.09%	0	0.00%
11B	5162	3088	2986	75	2.51%	2909	97.42%	2	0.07%
11C	2822	2003	1963	29	1.48%	1933	98.47%	1	0.05%
11E1	1141	634	615	16	2.60%	599	97.40%	0	0.00%
SC01A	20	25	23	2	8.70%	21	91.30%	0	0.00%
SC01B	1707	1295	1279	28	2.19%	1249	97.65%	2	0.16%
SC01C	2063	1513	1471	40	2.72%	1430	97.21%	1	0.07%
SC01D	294	162	158	3	1.90%	155	98.10%	0	0.00%
SC02	872	662	645	24	3.72%	621	96.28%	0	0.00%
SC14A	916	429	414	8	1.93%	406	98.07%	0	0.00%
SC14B	502	45	41	7	17.07%	34	82.93%	0	0.00%
SC16A	4601	3208	3157	59	1.87%	3097	98.10%	1	0.03%
SC16B	14	15	15	0	0.00%	15	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC30A	135	97	94	2	2.13%	92	97.87%	0	0.00%
SC30B	960	531	515	13	2.52%	501	97.28%	1	0.19%
Total	81751	47356	45691	4765	10.43%	40895	89.50%	31	0.07%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE SENATE 39

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	N. WILLIAMS (I) D		Write-In Votes	
02A	4584	2949	2560	2503	97.77%	57	2.23%
02L1	6213	3978	3531	3467	98.19%	64	1.81%
02L2	1364	782	685	674	98.39%	11	1.61%
02W	682	399	364	356	97.80%	8	2.20%
03A	1927	692	650	649	99.85%	1	0.15%
03D	1017	402	370	367	99.19%	3	0.81%
03E	2284	914	846	843	99.65%	3	0.35%
03F	1925	1115	1011	1002	99.11%	9	0.89%
03G	802	360	303	301	99.34%	2	0.66%
03I	1360	566	517	508	98.26%	9	1.74%
03L	594	229	204	203	99.51%	1	0.49%
03N	2288	938	874	871	99.66%	3	0.34%
03P1A	3882	1966	1797	1775	98.78%	22	1.22%
04A	1202	560	528	525	99.43%	3	0.57%
04C	136	54	52	52	100.00%	0	0.00%
04D	1481	714	657	655	99.70%	2	0.30%
04L	1655	867	808	804	99.50%	4	0.50%
04X1	1942	950	883	880	99.66%	3	0.34%
04X2	737	364	333	333	100.00%	0	0.00%
06E	2250	1439	1255	1223	97.45%	32	2.55%
06I	3412	1957	1804	1769	98.06%	35	1.94%
06L1	917	679	522	513	98.28%	9	1.72%
06L2	654	487	372	359	96.51%	13	3.49%
06N	1844	1085	816	789	96.69%	27	3.31%
06Q	527	295	281	280	99.64%	1	0.36%
06R	1836	1206	986	971	98.48%	15	1.52%
07E	2031	1358	1047	1007	96.18%	40	3.82%
07F	3017	2041	1437	1392	96.87%	45	3.13%
07H	1923	1424	944	907	96.08%	37	3.92%
07J	2647	1753	1327	1289	97.14%	38	2.86%
07M	2155	1180	913	881	96.50%	32	3.50%
07N	1946	1044	910	897	98.57%	13	1.43%
08G	2787	1669	1161	1112	95.78%	49	4.22%
08H	2465	1874	1091	1029	94.32%	62	5.68%
10K	1415	858	790	788	99.75%	2	0.25%
10M	2529	1414	1326	1322	99.70%	4	0.30%
11E2	847	497	465	465	100.00%	0	0.00%
11E3	3124	1835	1773	1768	99.72%	5	0.28%
11G	2092	855	799	798	99.87%	1	0.13%
11H	677	356	323	323	100.00%	0	0.00%
11J	1827	1018	950	950	100.00%	0	0.00%
11K	1818	1104	1038	1033	99.52%	5	0.48%
11M	3039	1659	1584	1575	99.43%	9	0.57%
11N	2166	1358	1285	1279	99.53%	6	0.47%
11P	1833	1020	948	948	100.00%	0	0.00%
11R	1849	672	623	622	99.84%	1	0.16%
12I	970	413	373	372	99.73%	1	0.27%
CP081	343	77	74	74	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP083	219	25	24	24	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP084	3	1	1	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP08A	705	175	165	165	100.00%	0	0.00%
EP01A	2609	1464	1334	1320	98.95%	14	1.05%
EP01B	3038	1539	1428	1419	99.37%	9	0.63%
EP02D	326	192	174	172	98.85%	2	1.15%
EP03A	4293	2512	2349	2338	99.53%	11	0.47%
EP03B	1421	973	916	914	99.78%	2	0.22%
EP04A	5432	2626	2529	2522	99.72%	7	0.28%
EP04B	2024	1203	1172	1170	99.83%	2	0.17%
SC08B	3382	2008	1910	1909	99.95%	1	0.05%
SC08C	74	53	50	50	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08D	499	314	306	306	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08F	700	366	348	348	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08G	12	8	7	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08H	4	3	3	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC09A	1628	839	794	792	99.75%	2	0.25%
SC09B	1687	1084	1037	1036	99.90%	1	0.10%
SC09C	1566	842	798	794	99.50%	4	0.50%
SC10	1678	661	633	632	99.84%	1	0.16%
SC11A	1411	813	784	783	99.87%	1	0.13%
SC11B	1506	878	840	839	99.88%	1	0.12%
SC17A	55	42	42	42	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC17B	7	4	3	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC17C	1134	669	637	635	99.69%	2	0.31%
SC19A	195	129	126	125	99.21%	1	0.79%
SC19B	1267	690	650	648	99.69%	2	0.31%
SC23A	3161	1730	1668	1667	99.94%	1	0.06%
SC23B	483	284	277	277	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC23C	2252	1302	1240	1238	99.84%	2	0.16%
SC27	2129	1259	1207	1204	99.75%	3	0.25%
UC01B	395	210	201	201	100.00%	0	0.00%
UC01D	6	2	2	2	100.00%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE SENATE 39

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	N. WILLIAMS (I) D		Write-In Votes	
UC01E	285	206	204	204	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	136601	76532	68049	67293	98.89%	756	1.11%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE SENATE 40

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	F. MILLAR (I) R		S. HARRELL (D)		Write-In Votes	
SS01	4487	3270	3210	2028	63.18%	1182	36.82%	0	0.00%
SS17	3397	2229	2196	1172	53.37%	1024	46.63%	0	0.00%
Total	7884	5499	5406	3200	59.19%	2206	40.81%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE SENATE 48

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	M. REEVES (R)		Z. KARINSHAK (D)		Write-In Votes	
AP09B	810	531	521	220	42.23%	301	57.77%	0	0.00%
JC01	4977	3215	3146	1307	41.54%	1838	58.42%	1	0.03%
JC02	2460	1474	1446	683	47.23%	763	52.77%	0	0.00%
JC03A	540	384	377	184	48.81%	193	51.19%	0	0.00%
JC03B	1448	955	933	382	40.94%	549	58.84%	2	0.21%
JC04A	4042	2692	2622	1295	49.39%	1325	50.53%	2	0.08%
JC04B	255	156	147	68	46.26%	79	53.74%	0	0.00%
JC05	2136	1391	1365	642	47.03%	723	52.97%	0	0.00%
JC06	2627	1580	1554	896	57.66%	658	42.34%	0	0.00%
JC07	4083	2627	2568	1196	46.57%	1372	53.43%	0	0.00%
JC08	2737	1870	1829	932	50.96%	896	48.99%	1	0.05%
JC09	2928	1878	1853	790	42.63%	1063	57.37%	0	0.00%
JC10	2392	1507	1475	613	41.56%	861	58.37%	1	0.07%
JC11	3186	2085	2052	1182	57.60%	870	42.40%	0	0.00%
JC12	2455	1753	1717	1170	68.14%	547	31.86%	0	0.00%
JC13B	271	180	174	143	82.18%	31	17.82%	0	0.00%
Total	37347	24278	23779	11703	49.22%	12069	50.75%	7	0.03%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE SENATE 56

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	J. ALBERS (I) R		E. JEAGER (D)		Write-In Votes	
AP01A	4724	3141	3083	1652	53.58%	1430	46.38%	1	0.03%
AP01B	913	516	507	244	48.13%	263	51.87%	0	0.00%
AP01C	2233	1498	1478	819	55.41%	659	44.59%	0	0.00%
AP01D	915	539	526	232	44.11%	294	55.89%	0	0.00%
AP02B	474	263	257	131	50.97%	126	49.03%	0	0.00%
AP03	741	314	308	76	24.68%	232	75.32%	0	0.00%
AP04A	1647	1183	1156	733	63.41%	423	36.59%	0	0.00%
AP04B	415	290	287	171	59.58%	116	40.42%	0	0.00%
AP021	2994	1963	1919	1050	54.72%	868	45.23%	1	0.05%
AP022	2210	1429	1386	695	50.14%	691	49.86%	0	0.00%
AP14	2972	2027	1993	1066	53.49%	927	46.51%	0	0.00%
JC13A	2115	1462	1431	985	68.83%	446	31.17%	0	0.00%
JC14	2477	1634	1606	950	59.15%	656	40.85%	0	0.00%
JC15	834	523	511	208	40.70%	303	59.30%	0	0.00%
JC16	2496	1729	1682	871	51.78%	809	48.10%	2	0.12%
JC18	2670	1969	1933	1120	57.94%	813	42.06%	0	0.00%
JC19	3759	2528	2478	1254	50.61%	1221	49.27%	3	0.12%
ML011	2439	1681	1649	1047	63.49%	602	36.51%	0	0.00%
ML012	485	338	331	238	71.90%	93	28.10%	0	0.00%
ML01B	138	96	93	60	64.52%	33	35.48%	0	0.00%
MP01	450	323	319	153	47.96%	166	52.04%	0	0.00%
RW01	4717	3355	3291	2043	62.08%	1246	37.86%	2	0.06%
RW02	3794	2675	2629	1444	54.93%	1185	45.07%	0	0.00%
RW03	4510	2745	2688	1234	45.91%	1454	54.09%	0	0.00%
RW04	1803	1359	1341	705	52.57%	635	47.35%	1	0.07%
RW05	2322	1207	1190	418	35.13%	772	64.87%	0	0.00%
RW06	2820	1914	1877	931	49.60%	944	50.29%	2	0.11%
RW07A	1549	677	664	98	14.76%	566	85.24%	0	0.00%
RW07B	388	214	208	54	25.96%	154	74.04%	0	0.00%
RW08	2138	1462	1425	960	67.37%	465	32.63%	0	0.00%
RW09	5918	4216	4157	2801	67.38%	1352	32.52%	4	0.10%
RW10	3347	2376	2334	1449	62.08%	883	37.83%	2	0.09%
RW11A	2667	1693	1661	827	49.79%	833	50.15%	1	0.06%
RW12	5944	4234	4166	2609	62.63%	1557	37.37%	0	0.00%
RW13	3555	2476	2428	1317	54.24%	1109	45.68%	2	0.08%
RW16	1850	1275	1256	744	59.24%	512	40.76%	0	0.00%
RW17	2794	1773	1741	699	40.15%	1041	59.79%	1	0.06%
RW19	1993	1434	1412	926	65.58%	486	34.42%	0	0.00%
RW20	2486	1797	1769	1004	56.76%	763	43.13%	2	0.11%
RW21	3144	2169	2131	1369	64.24%	761	35.71%	1	0.05%
RW22A	4406	2486	2446	951	38.88%	1492	61.00%	3	0.12%
SS02A	1120	755	743	319	42.93%	424	57.07%	0	0.00%
SS15A	2572	1199	1181	175	14.82%	1005	85.10%	1	0.08%
SS15B	1579	902	885	281	31.75%	604	68.25%	0	0.00%
SS19A	3016	1683	1649	420	25.47%	1227	74.41%	2	0.12%
SS19B	2032	1226	1203	383	31.84%	818	68.00%	2	0.17%
SS20	2060	1159	1136	396	34.86%	740	65.14%	0	0.00%
SS22	2714	1613	1581	688	43.52%	893	56.48%	0	0.00%
SS26	3552	1822	1786	341	19.09%	1445	80.91%	0	0.00%
Total	118891	77342	75911	39341	51.83%	36537	48.13%	33	0.04%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE HOUSE 22

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	W. CANTRELL (I) R		C. RAVENSCRAFT (D)		Write-In Votes	
ML021	1991	1369	1343	1033	76.92%	310	23.08%	0	0.00%
ML022	241	148	144	115	79.86%	29	20.14%	0	0.00%
ML07A	707	472	461	321	69.63%	140	30.37%	0	0.00%
Total	2939	1989	1948	1469	75.41%	479	24.59%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE HOUSE 25

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	T. JONES (I) R		A. H. TUCKER (D)		Write-In Votes	
JC03A	540	384	373	181	48.53%	192	51.47%	0	0.00%
JC04A	4042	2692	2613	1275	48.79%	1337	51.17%	1	0.04%
Total	4582	3076	2986	1456	48.76%	1529	51.21%	1	0.03%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE HOUSE 40

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	M. BENTLEY (R)		E. E. ALLEN (D)		Write-In Votes	
08A	2799	1949	1910	1043	54.61%	867	45.39%	0	0.00%
Total	2799	1949	1910	1043	54.61%	867	45.39%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE HOUSE 45

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	M. DOLLAR (I) R		E. JOHNSON (D)		Write-In Votes	
SS19A	3016	1683	1647	417	25.32%	1228	74.56%	2	0.12%
SS22	2714	1613	1570	690	43.95%	879	55.99%	1	0.06%
Total	5730	3296	3217	1107	34.41%	2107	65.50%	3	0.09%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE HOUSE 47

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	J. JONES (I) R		A. NUGENT (D)		Write-In Votes	
AP04A	1647	1183	1156	732	63.32%	424	36.68%	0	0.00%
AP04B	415	290	283	164	57.95%	119	42.05%	0	0.00%
AP07B	1650	1144	1116	637	57.08%	479	42.92%	0	0.00%
AP12B	747	428	414	139	33.57%	275	66.43%	0	0.00%
ML011	2439	1681	1640	1056	64.39%	584	35.61%	0	0.00%
ML012	485	338	333	247	74.17%	86	25.83%	0	0.00%
ML01B	138	96	95	62	65.26%	33	34.74%	0	0.00%
ML023	1542	1027	1002	745	74.35%	257	25.65%	0	0.00%
ML024	113	70	69	63	91.30%	6	8.70%	0	0.00%
ML03	3757	2575	2528	1843	72.90%	684	27.06%	1	0.04%
ML04A	1747	1176	1154	730	63.26%	421	36.48%	3	0.26%
ML04B	1206	838	830	611	73.61%	219	26.39%	0	0.00%
ML04C	79	66	65	48	73.85%	17	26.15%	0	0.00%
ML05A	39	27	27	18	66.67%	9	33.33%	0	0.00%
ML05B	381	250	242	173	71.49%	69	28.51%	0	0.00%
ML05C	4023	2615	2559	1383	54.04%	1176	45.96%	0	0.00%
ML06A	1973	1024	1007	360	35.75%	647	64.25%	0	0.00%
ML06B	2775	1734	1698	659	38.81%	1039	61.19%	0	0.00%
ML071	105	73	73	54	73.97%	19	26.03%	0	0.00%
ML072	1249	857	840	597	71.07%	241	28.69%	2	0.24%
MP01	450	323	319	152	47.65%	167	52.35%	0	0.00%
RW09	5918	4216	4143	2788	67.29%	1354	32.68%	1	0.02%
RW12	5944	4234	4138	2556	61.77%	1582	38.23%	0	0.00%
RW19	1993	1434	1398	901	64.45%	496	35.48%	1	0.07%
Total	40815	27699	27129	16718	61.62%	10403	38.35%	8	0.03%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE HOUSE 48

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	B. PRICE (I) R		M. ROBICHAUX (D)		Write-In Votes	
AP02B	474	263	257	131	50.97%	126	49.03%	0	0.00%
AP03	741	314	308	70	22.73%	238	77.27%	0	0.00%
RW01	4717	3355	3286	1945	59.19%	1337	40.69%	4	0.12%
RW02	3794	2675	2622	1365	52.06%	1253	47.79%	4	0.15%
RW03	4510	2745	2695	1186	44.01%	1508	55.96%	1	0.04%
RW05	2322	1207	1185	419	35.36%	766	64.64%	0	0.00%
RW07A	1549	677	661	93	14.07%	568	85.93%	0	0.00%
RW07B	388	214	211	51	24.17%	160	75.83%	0	0.00%
RW08	2138	1462	1423	911	64.02%	511	35.91%	1	0.07%
RW10	3347	2376	2343	1378	58.81%	961	41.02%	4	0.17%
RW11A	2667	1693	1656	785	47.40%	869	52.48%	2	0.12%
RW13	3555	2476	2429	1225	50.43%	1203	49.53%	1	0.04%
RW16	1850	1275	1251	715	57.15%	535	42.77%	1	0.08%
RW17	2794	1773	1746	678	38.83%	1067	61.11%	1	0.06%
Total	34846	22505	22073	10952	49.62%	11102	50.30%	19	0.09%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE HOUSE 49

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	C. E. MARTIN (I) R		K. A. BRALLEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
AP01A	4724	3141	3083	1671	54.20%	1411	45.77%	1	0.03%
AP01B	913	516	511	245	47.95%	266	52.05%	0	0.00%
AP01C	2233	1498	1477	822	55.65%	655	44.35%	0	0.00%
AP01D	915	539	521	223	42.80%	298	57.20%	0	0.00%
AP05	4367	2981	2925	1769	60.48%	1154	39.45%	2	0.07%
AP06	1827	1309	1271	832	65.46%	439	34.54%	0	0.00%
AP07A	3422	2102	2049	1112	54.27%	934	45.58%	3	0.15%
AP09A	4051	2687	2628	1348	51.29%	1279	48.67%	1	0.04%
AP09B	810	531	519	216	41.62%	303	58.38%	0	0.00%
AP021	2994	1963	1923	1086	56.47%	834	43.37%	3	0.16%
AP022	2210	1429	1383	702	50.76%	681	49.24%	0	0.00%
AP10	942	681	663	420	63.35%	243	36.65%	0	0.00%
AP12A	658	374	365	136	37.26%	229	62.74%	0	0.00%
AP12C	1584	1005	984	551	56.00%	433	44.00%	0	0.00%
AP14	2972	2027	1978	1055	53.34%	923	46.66%	0	0.00%
JC04B	255	156	147	68	46.26%	79	53.74%	0	0.00%
JC15	834	523	506	207	40.91%	299	59.09%	0	0.00%
JC16	2496	1729	1682	871	51.78%	808	48.04%	3	0.18%
Total	38207	25191	24615	13334	54.17%	11268	45.78%	13	0.05%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE HOUSE 50

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	K. STEWART (R)		A. KAUSCHE (D)		Write-In Votes	
JC01	4977	3215	3150	1286	40.83%	1862	59.11%	2	0.06%
JC02	2460	1474	1440	659	45.76%	781	54.24%	0	0.00%
JC03B	1448	955	932	371	39.81%	560	60.09%	1	0.11%
JC05	2136	1391	1362	633	46.48%	729	53.52%	0	0.00%
JC06	2627	1580	1551	890	57.38%	661	42.62%	0	0.00%
JC07	4083	2627	2575	1168	45.36%	1406	54.60%	1	0.04%
JC08	2737	1870	1829	919	50.25%	909	49.70%	1	0.05%
JC09	2928	1878	1857	775	41.73%	1080	58.16%	2	0.11%
JC10	2392	1507	1477	591	40.01%	885	59.92%	1	0.07%
JC11	3186	2085	2044	1164	56.95%	879	43.00%	1	0.05%
JC12	2455	1753	1723	1172	68.02%	551	31.98%	0	0.00%
JC13B	271	180	173	136	78.61%	37	21.39%	0	0.00%
JC14	2477	1634	1610	934	58.01%	675	41.93%	1	0.06%
Total	34177	22149	21723	10698	49.25%	11015	50.71%	10	0.05%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE HOUSE 51

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	A. B. KAUFMAN (R)		J. MCLAURIN (D)		Write-In Votes	
JC18	2670	1969	1937	1124	58.03%	813	41.97%	0	0.00%
JC19	3759	2528	2476	1269	51.25%	1206	48.71%	1	0.04%
RW04	1803	1359	1330	697	52.41%	633	47.59%	0	0.00%
RW06	2820	1914	1870	934	49.95%	935	50.00%	1	0.05%
RW20	2486	1797	1770	1007	56.89%	761	42.99%	2	0.11%
RW21	3144	2169	2136	1419	66.43%	717	33.57%	0	0.00%
RW22A	4406	2486	2438	946	38.80%	1489	61.07%	3	0.12%
SS01	4487	3270	3187	2000	62.75%	1187	37.25%	0	0.00%
SS15A	2572	1199	1179	175	14.84%	1004	85.16%	0	0.00%
SS15B	1579	902	887	287	32.36%	599	67.53%	1	0.11%
SS17	3397	2229	2183	1167	53.46%	1016	46.54%	0	0.00%
SS20	2060	1159	1136	402	35.39%	734	64.61%	0	0.00%
SS26	3552	1822	1777	340	19.13%	1437	80.87%	0	0.00%
Total	38735	24803	24306	11767	48.41%	12531	51.56%	8	0.03%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE HOUSE 52

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	D. SILCOX (I) R		S. ROBERTS (D)		Write-In Votes	
08B	3199	2255	2202	1371	62.26%	831	37.74%	0	0.00%
08C	1392	984	957	693	72.41%	264	27.59%	0	0.00%
08D	1022	770	760	556	73.16%	204	26.84%	0	0.00%
08N1	767	587	576	400	69.44%	176	30.56%	0	0.00%
SS02A	1120	755	746	332	44.50%	413	55.36%	1	0.13%
SS02B	1255	756	745	200	26.85%	545	73.15%	0	0.00%
SS03	1464	1027	991	473	47.73%	517	52.17%	1	0.10%
SS04	3264	2064	2002	750	37.46%	1252	62.54%	0	0.00%
SS05	1746	1376	1348	744	55.19%	604	44.81%	0	0.00%
SS06	1397	1073	1057	614	58.09%	443	41.91%	0	0.00%
SS07A	968	683	667	383	57.42%	284	42.58%	0	0.00%
SS07B	609	405	396	178	44.95%	218	55.05%	0	0.00%
SS08B	635	453	442	244	55.20%	198	44.80%	0	0.00%
SS08C	632	393	375	117	31.20%	258	68.80%	0	0.00%
SS09A	3003	2210	2164	1306	60.35%	857	39.60%	1	0.05%
SS09B	3358	2452	2402	1452	60.45%	950	39.55%	0	0.00%
SS11A	3655	2227	2166	931	42.98%	1232	56.88%	3	0.14%
SS11B	1257	707	688	216	31.40%	472	68.60%	0	0.00%
SS11D	365	254	247	147	59.51%	100	40.49%	0	0.00%
SS13A	1633	1072	1045	521	49.86%	524	50.14%	0	0.00%
SS13B	1001	629	617	320	51.86%	296	47.97%	1	0.16%
SS16	2030	1534	1509	934	61.90%	575	38.10%	0	0.00%
SS18A	619	454	447	242	54.14%	205	45.86%	0	0.00%
SS18B	221	162	156	81	51.92%	75	48.08%	0	0.00%
SS19B	2032	1226	1201	388	32.31%	812	67.61%	1	0.08%
SS31	1345	938	916	425	46.40%	491	53.60%	0	0.00%
Total	39989	27446	26822	14018	52.26%	12796	47.71%	8	0.03%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE HOUSE 53

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	S. JONES (I) D		Write-In Votes	
08E	2429	1864	1137	1079	94.90%	58	5.10%
09A	3338	2152	1837	1788	97.33%	49	2.67%
09B	385	174	165	165	100.00%	0	0.00%
09C	2954	1522	1428	1425	99.79%	3	0.21%
09D	1879	858	781	781	100.00%	0	0.00%
09E	2782	1420	1306	1295	99.16%	11	0.84%
09F	3619	2526	1798	1736	96.55%	62	3.45%
09K	4403	1851	1729	1719	99.42%	10	0.58%
09M	2259	1611	1381	1354	98.04%	27	1.96%
10D	4220	1850	1728	1725	99.83%	3	0.17%
10E	950	524	493	489	99.19%	4	0.81%
10F	1053	590	544	542	99.63%	2	0.37%
10H1	408	238	229	229	100.00%	0	0.00%
10P	1364	668	624	622	99.68%	2	0.32%
10R	713	347	324	324	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	32756	18195	15504	15273	98.51%	231	1.49%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE HOUSE 54

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	B. BESKIN (I) R		B. HOLLAND (D)		Write-In Votes	
06I	3412	1957	1908	301	15.78%	1605	84.12%	2	0.10%
06Q	527	295	291	28	9.62%	263	90.38%	0	0.00%
07A	6600	4093	3972	1886	47.48%	2084	52.47%	2	0.05%
07B	2763	2107	2078	1409	67.81%	669	32.19%	0	0.00%
07C	3927	2498	2435	1182	48.54%	1253	51.46%	0	0.00%
07D	4231	2413	2339	1041	44.51%	1296	55.41%	2	0.09%
07E	2031	1358	1320	469	35.53%	851	64.47%	0	0.00%
07F	3017	2041	1996	932	46.69%	1064	53.31%	0	0.00%
07H	1923	1424	1399	705	50.39%	693	49.54%	1	0.07%
07M	2155	1180	1158	435	37.56%	722	62.35%	1	0.09%
07N	1946	1044	1004	193	19.22%	811	80.78%	0	0.00%
08F1	2071	1628	1606	1165	72.54%	440	27.40%	1	0.06%
08G	2787	1669	1627	744	45.73%	883	54.27%	0	0.00%
08H	2465	1874	1830	1127	61.58%	702	38.36%	1	0.05%
08J	2981	2223	2183	1219	55.84%	964	44.16%	0	0.00%
08M	1907	1358	1325	882	66.57%	442	33.36%	1	0.08%
08N2	1391	927	905	461	50.94%	444	49.06%	0	0.00%
Total	46134	30089	29376	14179	48.27%	15186	51.70%	11	0.04%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE HOUSE 55

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	M. R. METZE (I) D		Write-In Votes	
03H	900	369	330	330	100.00%	0	0.00%
03M	766	452	374	363	97.06%	11	2.94%
03S	761	356	332	332	100.00%	0	0.00%
06L2	654	487	366	356	97.27%	10	2.73%
06N	1844	1085	818	799	97.68%	19	2.32%
07J	2647	1753	1314	1281	97.49%	33	2.51%
08K	973	702	518	503	97.10%	15	2.90%
08L	4194	2706	2155	2097	97.31%	58	2.69%
08P	1633	928	806	790	98.01%	16	1.99%
09G	3519	2282	1956	1926	98.47%	30	1.53%
09H	1064	645	594	589	99.16%	5	0.84%
09I	1194	503	443	441	99.55%	2	0.45%
10B	3686	2464	2316	2312	99.83%	4	0.17%
10H2	2207	1032	953	947	99.37%	6	0.63%
11B	5162	3088	2867	2855	99.58%	12	0.42%
11C	2822	2003	1918	1917	99.95%	1	0.05%
11E2	847	497	471	471	100.00%	0	0.00%
11M	3039	1659	1575	1568	99.56%	7	0.44%
11N	2166	1358	1279	1275	99.69%	4	0.31%
11P	1833	1020	944	943	99.89%	1	0.11%
SC02	872	662	627	627	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC30A	135	97	89	89	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC30B	960	531	500	499	99.80%	1	0.20%
Total	43878	26679	23545	23310	99.00%	235	1.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE HOUSE 56

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	A. M. THOMAS (I) D		Write-In Votes	
02L2	1364	782	677	668	98.67%	9	1.33%
02W	682	399	366	357	97.54%	9	2.46%
03A	1927	692	645	642	99.53%	3	0.47%
03B	560	181	163	162	99.39%	1	0.61%
03C	1534	674	620	615	99.19%	5	0.81%
03D	1017	402	373	369	98.93%	4	1.07%
03E	2284	914	853	839	98.36%	14	1.64%
03F	1925	1115	1000	995	99.50%	5	0.50%
03G	802	360	313	310	99.04%	3	0.96%
03I	1360	566	517	510	98.65%	7	1.35%
03L	594	229	200	200	100.00%	0	0.00%
03N	2288	938	872	847	97.13%	25	2.87%
03P1A	3882	1966	1784	1766	98.99%	18	1.01%
03P2	13	7	6	6	100.00%	0	0.00%
03T	1505	715	667	662	99.25%	5	0.75%
04A	1202	560	521	516	99.04%	5	0.96%
04C	136	54	53	53	100.00%	0	0.00%
04D	1481	714	668	665	99.55%	3	0.45%
04F	2086	935	857	847	98.83%	10	1.17%
04J	1230	317	287	285	99.30%	2	0.70%
04L	1655	867	805	799	99.25%	6	0.75%
04X2	737	364	331	329	99.40%	2	0.60%
06E	2250	1439	1242	1213	97.67%	29	2.33%
06L1	917	679	513	501	97.66%	12	2.34%
06R	1836	1206	958	944	98.54%	14	1.46%
10G	1205	813	771	767	99.48%	4	0.52%
10J	1012	466	444	444	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	37484	18354	16506	16311	98.82%	195	1.18%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE HOUSE 57

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	P. GARDNER (I) D		Write-In Votes	
02A	4584	2949	2564	2512	97.97%	52	2.03%
02B	3518	1265	1154	1144	99.13%	10	0.87%
02L1	6213	3978	3532	3473	98.33%	59	1.67%
04B	2242	922	864	863	99.88%	1	0.12%
04G	828	312	285	284	99.65%	1	0.35%
04I	3362	561	511	500	97.85%	11	2.15%
04K	2339	1266	1160	1156	99.66%	4	0.34%
04S	2165	1118	1021	1020	99.90%	1	0.10%
04T	1145	584	542	542	100.00%	0	0.00%
04V	2848	1211	1135	1133	99.82%	2	0.18%
04W	2203	820	784	782	99.74%	2	0.26%
04X1	1942	950	881	877	99.55%	4	0.45%
05D	386	93	88	88	100.00%	0	0.00%
06D	8568	6060	5189	5122	98.71%	67	1.29%
06J	2539	1904	1637	1609	98.29%	28	1.71%
10I	329	258	243	242	99.59%	1	0.41%
10K	1415	858	787	785	99.75%	2	0.25%
10M	2529	1414	1322	1316	99.55%	6	0.45%
11H	677	356	326	326	100.00%	0	0.00%
12K	3022	1240	1117	1112	99.55%	5	0.45%
Total	52854	28119	25142	24886	98.98%	256	1.02%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE HOUSE 58

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	P. CANNON (I) D		Write-In Votes	
01D	511	307	275	273	99.27%	2	0.73%
01F	1254	452	431	430	99.77%	1	0.23%
01H	758	379	351	351	100.00%	0	0.00%
02C	2037	1205	1109	1099	99.10%	10	0.90%
02D	4475	2660	2443	2423	99.18%	20	0.82%
02F2	788	458	414	413	99.76%	1	0.24%
02G	3443	2361	2151	2133	99.16%	18	0.84%
02J	2159	1432	1292	1277	98.84%	15	1.16%
02K	1419	951	844	837	99.17%	7	0.83%
02S	708	427	397	394	99.24%	3	0.76%
04M	773	292	277	275	99.28%	2	0.72%
05A1	2491	1728	1588	1579	99.43%	9	0.57%
05B	1763	901	800	795	99.38%	5	0.63%
05C	146	67	64	64	100.00%	0	0.00%
05F	223	136	130	130	100.00%	0	0.00%
05J	639	416	381	378	99.21%	3	0.79%
05K	2600	1327	1252	1249	99.76%	3	0.24%
06B	1756	1238	1084	1064	98.15%	20	1.85%
06F	2709	1757	1504	1480	98.40%	24	1.60%
06G	536	369	320	314	98.13%	6	1.88%
11G	2092	855	790	790	100.00%	0	0.00%
11K	1818	1104	1030	1025	99.51%	5	0.49%
11R	1849	672	615	615	100.00%	0	0.00%
12A	4828	2734	2522	2507	99.41%	15	0.59%
12I	970	413	377	377	100.00%	0	0.00%
12L	613	238	223	223	100.00%	0	0.00%
12N	907	327	287	286	99.65%	1	0.35%
12S	1794	959	883	882	99.89%	1	0.11%
Total	46059	26165	23834	23663	99.28%	171	0.72%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE HOUSE 59

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	D. DREYER (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide							
01A	3199	2362	2186	2165	99.04%	21	0.96%
01B	3839	2737	2489	2469	99.20%	20	0.80%
01C	1459	612	576	575	99.83%	1	0.17%
01E	3363	2410	2155	2137	99.16%	18	0.84%
01G	1909	1102	1015	1007	99.21%	8	0.79%
01J	2416	1184	1090	1090	100.00%	0	0.00%
01P	1244	542	499	499	100.00%	0	0.00%
01R	1344	491	451	451	100.00%	0	0.00%
01T	1506	796	729	726	99.59%	3	0.41%
02E	1905	1423	1312	1307	99.62%	5	0.38%
02F1	2962	2033	1825	1808	99.07%	17	0.93%
05A2	2910	1998	1836	1817	98.97%	19	1.03%
12E1	1621	591	529	526	99.43%	3	0.57%
12F	943	428	399	399	100.00%	0	0.00%
12G	800	430	380	380	100.00%	0	0.00%
12H1	1006	310	281	280	99.64%	1	0.36%
EP02A	1967	890	828	824	99.52%	4	0.48%
EP02B	1449	977	857	847	98.83%	10	1.17%
HP01	3212	1780	1452	1421	97.87%	31	2.13%
Total	39054	23096	20889	20728	99.23%	161	0.77%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE HOUSE 60

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	K. SCHOFIELD (I) D		Write-In Votes	
01S	1749	823	768	765	99.61%	3	0.39%
11J	1827	1018	955	954	99.90%	1	0.10%
12D	1209	501	471	470	99.79%	1	0.21%
12H2	2562	1189	1104	1100	99.64%	4	0.36%
12J	2535	1108	1040	1035	99.52%	5	0.48%
12M	2533	1171	1118	1116	99.82%	2	0.18%
CP01B	381	227	159	157	98.74%	2	1.26%
CP02	1000	553	484	479	98.97%	5	1.03%
CP04A	8	2	2	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP04B	39	14	13	13	100.00%	0	0.00%
EP01A	2609	1464	1335	1322	99.03%	13	0.97%
EP01B	3038	1539	1434	1425	99.37%	9	0.63%
EP02C	428	251	209	205	98.09%	4	1.91%
EP02D	326	192	176	174	98.86%	2	1.14%
EP02E	1382	627	577	574	99.48%	3	0.52%
EP03A	4293	2512	2343	2334	99.62%	9	0.38%
Total	25919	13191	12188	12125	99.48%	63	0.52%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE HOUSE 61

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	R. BRUCE (I) D		Write-In Votes	
10A	2850	1622	1507	1503	99.73%	4	0.27%
10C	2466	1168	1079	1078	99.91%	1	0.09%
11E1	1141	634	598	596	99.67%	2	0.33%
11E3	3124	1835	1772	1765	99.60%	7	0.40%
SC01A	20	25	22	22	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC01B	1707	1295	1241	1236	99.60%	5	0.40%
SC01C	2063	1513	1424	1416	99.44%	8	0.56%
SC01D	294	162	158	158	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC14A	916	429	401	400	99.75%	1	0.25%
SC14B	502	45	38	38	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC16A	4601	3208	3072	3057	99.51%	15	0.49%
SC16B	14	15	15	15	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC18A	510	329	317	317	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	20208	12280	11644	11601	99.63%	43	0.37%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE HOUSE 62

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	W. K. BODDIE JR (I)D		Write-In Votes	
CP011	1135	617	529	519	98.11%	10	1.89%
CP012	1318	844	719	703	97.77%	16	2.23%
EP03B	1421	973	910	909	99.89%	1	0.11%
EP04A	5432	2626	2531	2523	99.68%	8	0.32%
EP04B	2024	1203	1166	1163	99.74%	3	0.26%
SC05B	3204	2188	2089	2084	99.76%	5	0.24%
SC05D	2171	1428	1367	1366	99.93%	1	0.07%
SC05E	623	434	376	371	98.67%	5	1.33%
SC08C	74	53	51	51	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC15	6193	4213	4035	4023	99.70%	12	0.30%
SC17A	55	42	42	42	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC18B	663	462	444	440	99.10%	4	0.90%
SC18C	1850	1352	1290	1286	99.69%	4	0.31%
SC19A	195	129	127	126	99.21%	1	0.79%
SC20	2197	1665	1621	1614	99.57%	7	0.43%
UC01E	285	206	205	205	100.00%	0	0.00%
UC02B	30	19	14	14	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	28870	18454	17516	17439	99.56%	77	0.44%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE HOUSE 63

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	D. BAZEMORE (I) D		Write-In Votes	
CP05B	143	76	70	70	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP06A	598	208	195	194	99.49%	1	0.51%
CP07B	7	2	2	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP081	343	77	73	73	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP083	219	25	24	24	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP084	3	1	1	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP08A	705	175	162	162	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08D	499	314	305	305	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC09A	1628	839	789	787	99.75%	2	0.25%
SC09C	1566	842	787	784	99.62%	3	0.38%
SC10	1678	661	626	626	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC11A	1411	813	781	780	99.87%	1	0.13%
SC23A	3161	1730	1655	1653	99.88%	2	0.12%
SC23C	2252	1302	1243	1241	99.84%	2	0.16%
SC27	2129	1259	1210	1207	99.75%	3	0.25%
UC01B	395	210	201	201	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	16737	8534	8124	8110	99.83%	14	0.17%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE HOUSE 64

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	D. L. JACKSON (I) D		Write-In Votes	
CP051	1612	874	819	817	99.76%	2	0.24%
CP07C	244	47	44	44	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP07D	694	208	194	193	99.48%	1	0.52%
CP07E	125	41	36	36	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP07F	184	55	49	49	100.00%	0	0.00%
FA01C	681	401	377	372	98.67%	5	1.33%
SC08B	3382	2008	1903	1901	99.89%	2	0.11%
SC08E	562	316	305	304	99.67%	1	0.33%
SC09B	1687	1084	1038	1037	99.90%	1	0.10%
SC11B	1506	878	841	841	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC17C	1134	669	636	634	99.69%	2	0.31%
SC19B	1267	690	654	652	99.69%	2	0.31%
SC211	1328	841	802	801	99.88%	1	0.12%
SC212	3378	1947	1839	1833	99.67%	6	0.33%
SC23B	483	284	274	274	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC29A	2784	1544	1478	1473	99.66%	5	0.34%
UC031	2399	1203	1018	1013	99.51%	5	0.49%
UC032	292	186	159	159	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	23742	13276	12466	12433	99.74%	33	0.26%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE HOUSE 65

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	BEASLEY-TEAGUE (I) D		Write-In Votes	
CH01	330	164	131	128	97.71%	3	2.29%
CH02	319	202	129	122	94.57%	7	5.43%
CH03	379	250	137	128	93.43%	9	6.57%
CH04A	647	444	263	251	95.44%	12	4.56%
CH05	407	260	134	126	94.03%	8	5.97%
FA01A	3574	2126	1938	1931	99.64%	7	0.36%
FA01B	4833	2965	2712	2701	99.59%	11	0.41%
PA01	2509	1330	1100	1086	98.73%	14	1.27%
SC04	922	616	472	462	97.88%	10	2.12%
SC05A	646	409	397	396	99.75%	1	0.25%
SC07A	5595	3698	3445	3423	99.36%	22	0.64%
SC07C	29	10	9	9	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08F	700	366	342	341	99.71%	1	0.29%
SC08G	12	8	8	8	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08H	4	3	3	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC13	2465	1636	1553	1542	99.29%	11	0.71%
SC17B	7	4	3	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
UC01A	3622	1715	1638	1635	99.82%	3	0.18%
UC01D	6	2	2	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
UC02A	7513	4296	4093	4078	99.63%	15	0.37%
Total	34519	20504	18509	18375	99.28%	134	0.72%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE HOUSE 80

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	M. HANSON (I) R		M. WILSON (D)		Write-In Votes	
SS07C	755	436	424	228	53.77%	196	46.23%	0	0.00%
SS08A	1316	758	742	254	34.23%	488	65.77%	0	0.00%
SS08D	1368	847	834	310	37.17%	523	62.71%	1	0.12%
SS11C	269	146	139	28	20.14%	111	79.86%	0	0.00%
SS12	2559	1732	1685	940	55.79%	744	44.15%	1	0.06%
SS14	1274	977	961	646	67.22%	315	32.78%	0	0.00%
SS29A	2488	1595	1559	691	44.32%	866	55.55%	2	0.13%
Total	10029	6491	6344	3097	48.82%	3243	51.12%	4	0.06%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

STATE HOUSE 95

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	S. HILTON (I) R		B. MOORE (D)		Write-In Votes	
JC13A	2115	1462	1430	971	67.90%	459	32.10%	0	0.00%
Total	2115	1462	1430	971	67.90%	459	32.10%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

CO COMM CHAIRPERSON

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	R. PITTS (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide							
01A	3199	2362	2159	2140	99.12%	19	0.88%
01B	3839	2737	2450	2432	99.27%	18	0.73%
01C	1459	612	585	583	99.66%	2	0.34%
01D	511	307	283	281	99.29%	2	0.71%
01E	3363	2410	2130	2112	99.15%	18	0.85%
01F	1254	452	438	437	99.77%	1	0.23%
01G	1909	1102	1025	1015	99.02%	10	0.98%
01H	758	379	355	353	99.44%	2	0.56%
01J	2416	1184	1114	1113	99.91%	1	0.09%
01P	1244	542	511	511	100.00%	0	0.00%
01R	1344	491	464	464	100.00%	0	0.00%
01S	1749	823	773	769	99.48%	4	0.52%
01T	1506	796	719	714	99.30%	5	0.70%
02A	4584	2949	2565	2513	97.97%	52	2.03%
02B	3518	1265	1140	1125	98.68%	15	1.32%
02C	2037	1205	1119	1110	99.20%	9	0.80%
02D	4475	2660	2452	2427	98.98%	25	1.02%
02E	1905	1423	1290	1282	99.38%	8	0.62%
02F1	2962	2033	1813	1796	99.06%	17	0.94%
02F2	788	458	419	418	99.76%	1	0.24%
02G	3443	2361	2134	2111	98.92%	23	1.08%
02J	2159	1432	1276	1261	98.82%	15	1.18%
02K	1419	951	838	830	99.05%	8	0.95%
02L1	6213	3978	3531	3473	98.36%	58	1.64%
02L2	1364	782	686	677	98.69%	9	1.31%
02S	708	427	406	403	99.26%	3	0.74%
02W	682	399	363	355	97.80%	8	2.20%
03A	1927	692	658	656	99.70%	2	0.30%
03B	560	181	165	165	100.00%	0	0.00%
03C	1534	674	635	632	99.53%	3	0.47%
03D	1017	402	373	369	98.93%	4	1.07%
03E	2284	914	860	858	99.77%	2	0.23%
03F	1925	1115	1005	997	99.20%	8	0.80%
03G	802	360	340	339	99.71%	1	0.29%
03H	900	369	339	339	100.00%	0	0.00%
03I	1360	566	518	510	98.46%	8	1.54%
03L	594	229	205	203	99.02%	2	0.98%
03M	766	452	373	363	97.32%	10	2.68%
03N	2288	938	888	885	99.66%	3	0.34%
03P1A	3882	1966	1783	1767	99.10%	16	0.90%
03P2	13	7	7	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
03S	761	356	349	349	100.00%	0	0.00%
03T	1505	715	674	672	99.70%	2	0.30%
04A	1202	560	532	530	99.62%	2	0.38%
04B	2242	922	880	879	99.89%	1	0.11%
04C	136	54	53	53	100.00%	0	0.00%
04D	1481	714	672	669	99.55%	3	0.45%
04F	2086	935	872	866	99.31%	6	0.69%
04G	828	312	292	291	99.66%	1	0.34%
04I	3362	561	515	500	97.09%	15	2.91%
04J	1230	317	306	305	99.67%	1	0.33%
04K	2339	1266	1185	1180	99.58%	5	0.42%
04L	1655	867	808	802	99.26%	6	0.74%
04M	773	292	282	281	99.65%	1	0.35%
04S	2165	1118	1040	1037	99.71%	3	0.29%
04T	1145	584	547	547	100.00%	0	0.00%
04V	2848	1211	1149	1147	99.83%	2	0.17%
04W	2203	820	797	794	99.62%	3	0.38%
04X1	1942	950	908	904	99.56%	4	0.44%
04X2	737	364	331	330	99.70%	1	0.30%
05A1	2491	1728	1578	1570	99.49%	8	0.51%
05A2	2910	1998	1835	1814	98.86%	21	1.14%
05B	1763	901	824	818	99.27%	6	0.73%
05C	146	67	64	64	100.00%	0	0.00%
05D	386	93	88	88	100.00%	0	0.00%
05F	223	136	131	131	100.00%	0	0.00%
05J	639	416	380	375	98.68%	5	1.32%
05K	2600	1327	1261	1253	99.37%	8	0.63%
06B	1756	1238	1065	1046	98.22%	19	1.78%
06D	8568	6060	5091	5022	98.64%	69	1.36%
06E	2250	1439	1252	1221	97.52%	31	2.48%
06F	2709	1757	1499	1472	98.20%	27	1.80%
06G	536	369	326	317	97.24%	9	2.76%
06I	3412	1957	1801	1769	98.22%	32	1.78%
06J	2539	1904	1595	1566	98.18%	29	1.82%
06L1	917	679	527	520	98.67%	7	1.33%
06L2	654	487	378	369	97.62%	9	2.38%
06N	1844	1085	840	826	98.33%	14	1.67%
06Q	527	295	277	276	99.64%	1	0.36%
06R	1836	1206	987	976	98.89%	11	1.11%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	R. PITTS (I) D		Write-In Votes	
07A	6600	4093	3153	3041	96.45%	112	3.55%
07B	2763	2107	1498	1418	94.66%	80	5.34%
07C	3927	2498	1784	1724	96.64%	60	3.36%
07D	4231	2413	1798	1732	96.33%	66	3.67%
07E	2031	1358	1056	1019	96.50%	37	3.50%
07F	3017	2041	1485	1458	98.18%	27	1.82%
07H	1923	1424	1017	985	96.85%	32	3.15%
07J	2647	1753	1338	1309	97.83%	29	2.17%
07M	2155	1180	929	899	96.77%	30	3.23%
07N	1946	1044	908	899	99.01%	9	0.99%
08A	2799	1949	1439	1398	97.15%	41	2.85%
08B	3199	2255	1719	1665	96.86%	54	3.14%
08C	1392	984	693	669	96.54%	24	3.46%
08D	1022	770	543	513	94.48%	30	5.52%
08E	2429	1864	1194	1145	95.90%	49	4.10%
08F1	2071	1628	1032	995	96.41%	37	3.59%
08G	2787	1669	1209	1171	96.86%	38	3.14%
08H	2465	1874	1226	1176	95.92%	50	4.08%
08J	2981	2223	1647	1578	95.81%	69	4.19%
08K	973	702	528	521	98.67%	7	1.33%
08L	4194	2706	2170	2122	97.79%	48	2.21%
08M	1907	1358	898	843	93.88%	55	6.12%
08N1	767	587	396	378	95.45%	18	4.55%
08N2	1391	927	720	700	97.22%	20	2.78%
08P	1633	928	811	798	98.40%	13	1.60%
09A	3338	2152	1847	1796	97.24%	51	2.76%
09B	385	174	168	168	100.00%	0	0.00%
09C	2954	1522	1434	1428	99.58%	6	0.42%
09D	1879	858	789	789	100.00%	0	0.00%
09E	2782	1420	1312	1300	99.09%	12	0.91%
09F	3619	2526	1863	1811	97.21%	52	2.79%
09G	3519	2282	1951	1921	98.46%	30	1.54%
09H	1064	645	594	590	99.33%	4	0.67%
09I	1194	503	459	457	99.56%	2	0.44%
09K	4403	1851	1750	1743	99.60%	7	0.40%
09M	2259	1611	1385	1361	98.27%	24	1.73%
10A	2850	1622	1529	1524	99.67%	5	0.33%
10B	3686	2464	2344	2327	99.27%	17	0.73%
10C	2466	1168	1113	1110	99.73%	3	0.27%
10D	4220	1850	1755	1747	99.54%	8	0.46%
10E	950	524	496	490	98.79%	6	1.21%
10F	1053	590	545	540	99.08%	5	0.92%
10G	1205	813	766	760	99.22%	6	0.78%
10H1	408	238	226	225	99.56%	1	0.44%
10H2	2207	1032	974	969	99.49%	5	0.51%
10I	329	258	240	238	99.17%	2	0.83%
10J	1012	466	446	446	100.00%	0	0.00%
10K	1415	858	804	801	99.63%	3	0.37%
10M	2529	1414	1338	1328	99.25%	10	0.75%
10P	1364	668	626	624	99.68%	2	0.32%
10R	713	347	333	332	99.70%	1	0.30%
11B	5162	3088	2920	2913	99.76%	7	0.24%
11C	2822	2003	1927	1918	99.53%	9	0.47%
11E1	1141	634	605	603	99.67%	2	0.33%
11E2	847	497	469	467	99.57%	2	0.43%
11E3	3124	1835	1778	1773	99.72%	5	0.28%
11G	2092	855	804	802	99.75%	2	0.25%
11H	677	356	331	331	100.00%	0	0.00%
11J	1827	1018	960	956	99.58%	4	0.42%
11K	1818	1104	1034	1028	99.42%	6	0.58%
11M	3039	1659	1592	1579	99.18%	13	0.82%
11N	2166	1358	1302	1296	99.54%	6	0.46%
11P	1833	1020	961	958	99.69%	3	0.31%
11R	1849	672	635	635	100.00%	0	0.00%
12A	4828	2734	2533	2513	99.21%	20	0.79%
12D	1209	501	474	472	99.58%	2	0.42%
12E1	1621	591	542	538	99.26%	4	0.74%
12F	943	428	404	404	100.00%	0	0.00%
12G	800	430	398	398	100.00%	0	0.00%
12H1	1006	310	290	287	98.97%	3	1.03%
12H2	2562	1189	1130	1125	99.56%	5	0.44%
12I	970	413	390	390	100.00%	0	0.00%
12J	2535	1108	1056	1053	99.72%	3	0.28%
12K	3022	1240	1143	1136	99.39%	7	0.61%
12L	613	238	222	221	99.55%	1	0.45%
12M	2533	1171	1119	1117	99.82%	2	0.18%
12N	907	327	297	297	100.00%	0	0.00%
12S	1794	959	890	888	99.78%	2	0.22%
AP01A	4724	3141	2293	2199	95.90%	94	4.10%
AP01B	913	516	378	361	95.50%	17	4.50%
AP01C	2233	1498	1108	1025	92.51%	83	7.49%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	R. PITTS (I) D		Write-In Votes	
AP01D	915	539	417	395	94.72%	22	5.28%
AP02B	474	263	199	198	99.50%	1	0.50%
AP03	741	314	272	271	99.63%	1	0.37%
AP04A	1647	1183	794	761	95.84%	33	4.16%
AP04B	415	290	206	196	95.15%	10	4.85%
AP05	4367	2981	2026	1938	95.66%	88	4.34%
AP06	1827	1309	918	865	94.23%	53	5.77%
AP07A	3422	2102	1478	1416	95.81%	62	4.19%
AP07B	1650	1144	817	774	94.74%	43	5.26%
AP09A	4051	2687	1967	1870	95.07%	97	4.93%
AP09B	810	531	416	402	96.63%	14	3.37%
AP021	2994	1963	1423	1346	94.59%	77	5.41%
AP022	2210	1429	1043	995	95.40%	48	4.60%
AP10	942	681	468	442	94.44%	26	5.56%
AP12A	658	374	294	290	98.64%	4	1.36%
AP12B	747	428	351	342	97.44%	9	2.56%
AP12C	1584	1005	695	664	95.54%	31	4.46%
AP14	2972	2027	1499	1441	96.13%	58	3.87%
CH01	330	164	133	129	96.99%	4	3.01%
CH02	319	202	129	125	96.90%	4	3.10%
CH03	379	250	139	133	95.68%	6	4.32%
CH04A	647	444	277	267	96.39%	10	3.61%
CH05	407	260	136	128	94.12%	8	5.88%
CP01B	381	227	164	164	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP011	1135	617	525	512	97.52%	13	2.48%
CP012	1318	844	716	699	97.63%	17	2.37%
CP02	1000	553	492	488	99.19%	4	0.81%
CP04A	8	2	2	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP04B	39	14	13	13	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP05B	143	76	71	71	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP051	1612	874	823	816	99.15%	7	0.85%
CP06A	598	208	198	197	99.49%	1	0.51%
CP07B	7	2	1	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP07C	244	47	43	43	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP07D	694	208	199	199	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP07E	125	41	39	39	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP07F	184	55	51	51	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP081	343	77	73	73	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP083	219	25	25	25	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP084	3	1	1	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP08A	705	175	163	163	100.00%	0	0.00%
EP01A	2609	1464	1336	1323	99.03%	13	0.97%
EP01B	3038	1539	1443	1433	99.31%	10	0.69%
EP02A	1967	890	834	830	99.52%	4	0.48%
EP02B	1449	977	854	840	98.36%	14	1.64%
EP02C	428	251	212	207	97.64%	5	2.36%
EP02D	326	192	180	178	98.89%	2	1.11%
EP02E	1382	627	575	571	99.30%	4	0.70%
EP03A	4293	2512	2351	2341	99.57%	10	0.43%
EP03B	1421	973	910	905	99.45%	5	0.55%
EP04A	5432	2626	2535	2526	99.64%	9	0.36%
EP04B	2024	1203	1166	1161	99.57%	5	0.43%
FA01A	3574	2126	1939	1934	99.74%	5	0.26%
FA01B	4833	2965	2716	2701	99.45%	15	0.55%
FA01C	681	401	383	377	98.43%	6	1.57%
HP01	3212	1780	1463	1436	98.15%	27	1.85%
JC01	4977	3215	2501	2422	96.84%	79	3.16%
JC02	2460	1474	1102	1072	97.28%	30	2.72%
JC03A	540	384	291	274	94.16%	17	5.84%
JC03B	1448	955	748	725	96.93%	23	3.07%
JC04A	4042	2692	2050	1954	95.32%	96	4.68%
JC04B	255	156	116	109	93.97%	7	6.03%
JC05	2136	1391	1073	1027	95.71%	46	4.29%
JC06	2627	1580	1087	1034	95.12%	53	4.88%
JC07	4083	2627	1941	1866	96.14%	75	3.86%
JC08	2737	1870	1387	1316	94.88%	71	5.12%
JC09	2928	1878	1460	1416	96.99%	44	3.01%
JC10	2392	1507	1176	1130	96.09%	46	3.91%
JC11	3186	2085	1487	1390	93.48%	97	6.52%
JC12	2455	1753	1068	1001	93.73%	67	6.27%
JC13A	2115	1462	935	878	93.90%	57	6.10%
JC13B	271	180	108	100	92.59%	8	7.41%
JC14	2477	1634	1177	1090	92.61%	87	7.39%
JC15	834	523	412	398	96.60%	14	3.40%
JC16	2496	1729	1253	1188	94.81%	65	5.19%
JC18	2670	1969	1382	1298	93.92%	84	6.08%
JC19	3759	2528	1854	1755	94.66%	99	5.34%
ML011	2439	1681	1184	1102	93.07%	82	6.93%
ML012	485	338	218	203	93.12%	15	6.88%
ML01B	138	96	72	67	93.06%	5	6.94%
ML021	1991	1369	869	786	90.45%	83	9.55%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	R. PITTS (I) D		Write-In Votes	
ML022	241	148	88	83	94.32%	5	5.68%
ML023	1542	1027	685	621	90.66%	64	9.34%
ML024	113	70	38	36	94.74%	2	5.26%
ML03	3757	2575	1646	1512	91.86%	134	8.14%
ML04A	1747	1176	830	771	92.89%	59	7.11%
ML04B	1206	838	559	501	89.62%	58	10.38%
ML04C	79	66	46	40	86.96%	6	13.04%
ML05A	39	27	18	16	88.89%	2	11.11%
ML05B	381	250	161	150	93.17%	11	6.83%
ML05C	4023	2615	1888	1804	95.55%	84	4.45%
ML06A	1973	1024	836	806	96.41%	30	3.59%
ML06B	2775	1734	1407	1352	96.09%	55	3.91%
ML071	105	73	51	45	88.24%	6	11.76%
ML072	1249	857	575	517	89.91%	58	10.09%
ML07A	707	472	317	290	91.48%	27	8.52%
MP01	450	323	247	235	95.14%	12	4.86%
PA01	2509	1330	1097	1085	98.91%	12	1.09%
RW01	4717	3355	2270	2148	94.63%	122	5.37%
RW02	3794	2675	1933	1836	94.98%	97	5.02%
RW03	4510	2745	2100	1989	94.71%	111	5.29%
RW04	1803	1359	960	917	95.52%	43	4.48%
RW05	2322	1207	982	951	96.84%	31	3.16%
RW06	2820	1914	1409	1344	95.39%	65	4.61%
RW07A	1549	677	600	587	97.83%	13	2.17%
RW07B	388	214	176	169	96.02%	7	3.98%
RW08	2138	1462	962	922	95.84%	40	4.16%
RW09	5918	4216	2800	2598	92.79%	202	7.21%
RW10	3347	2376	1706	1623	95.13%	83	4.87%
RW11A	2667	1693	1281	1240	96.80%	41	3.20%
RW12	5944	4234	2908	2745	94.39%	163	5.61%
RW13	3555	2476	1786	1692	94.74%	94	5.26%
RW16	1850	1275	915	861	94.10%	54	5.90%
RW17	2794	1773	1412	1349	95.54%	63	4.46%
RW19	1993	1434	986	931	94.42%	55	5.58%
RW20	2486	1797	1279	1203	94.06%	76	5.94%
RW21	3144	2169	1434	1368	95.40%	66	4.60%
RW22A	4406	2486	1998	1932	96.70%	66	3.30%
SC01A	20	25	22	22	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC01B	1707	1295	1248	1239	99.28%	9	0.72%
SC01C	2063	1513	1425	1415	99.30%	10	0.70%
SC01D	294	162	159	159	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC02	872	662	625	623	99.68%	2	0.32%
SC04	922	616	477	469	98.32%	8	1.68%
SC05A	646	409	389	389	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC05B	3204	2188	2085	2073	99.42%	12	0.58%
SC05D	2171	1428	1365	1361	99.71%	4	0.29%
SC05E	623	434	375	369	98.40%	6	1.60%
SC07A	5595	3698	3439	3414	99.27%	25	0.73%
SC07C	29	10	10	10	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08B	3382	2008	1909	1903	99.69%	6	0.31%
SC08C	74	53	52	52	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08D	499	314	307	307	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08E	562	316	306	304	99.35%	2	0.65%
SC08F	700	366	343	341	99.42%	2	0.58%
SC08G	12	8	8	8	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08H	4	3	3	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC09A	1628	839	797	794	99.62%	3	0.38%
SC09B	1687	1084	1032	1027	99.52%	5	0.48%
SC09C	1566	842	803	800	99.63%	3	0.37%
SC10	1678	661	626	624	99.68%	2	0.32%
SC11A	1411	813	781	777	99.49%	4	0.51%
SC11B	1506	878	845	843	99.76%	2	0.24%
SC13	2465	1636	1555	1546	99.42%	9	0.58%
SC14A	916	429	412	410	99.51%	2	0.49%
SC14B	502	45	41	41	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC15	6193	4213	4021	3994	99.33%	27	0.67%
SC16A	4601	3208	3077	3056	99.32%	21	0.68%
SC16B	14	15	15	15	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC17A	55	42	41	41	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC17B	7	4	3	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC17C	1134	669	630	628	99.68%	2	0.32%
SC18A	510	329	315	315	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC18B	663	462	443	439	99.10%	4	0.90%
SC18C	1850	1352	1274	1263	99.14%	11	0.86%
SC19A	195	129	126	125	99.21%	1	0.79%
SC19B	1267	690	647	645	99.69%	2	0.31%
SC20	2197	1665	1605	1594	99.31%	11	0.69%
SC211	1328	841	796	793	99.62%	3	0.38%
SC212	3378	1947	1855	1846	99.51%	9	0.49%
SC23A	3161	1730	1666	1661	99.70%	5	0.30%
SC23B	483	284	276	275	99.64%	1	0.36%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	CO COMM CHAIRPERSON						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	R. PITTS (I) D		Write-In Votes	
SC23C	2252	1302	1243	1242	99.92%	1	0.08%
SC27	2129	1259	1215	1213	99.84%	2	0.16%
SC29A	2784	1544	1482	1477	99.66%	5	0.34%
SC30A	135	97	87	85	97.70%	2	2.30%
SC30B	960	531	500	500	100.00%	0	0.00%
SS01	4487	3270	2234	2123	95.03%	111	4.97%
SS02A	1120	755	589	573	97.28%	16	2.72%
SS02B	1255	756	634	620	97.79%	14	2.21%
SS03	1464	1027	792	768	96.97%	24	3.03%
SS04	3264	2064	1668	1619	97.06%	49	2.94%
SS05	1746	1376	983	940	95.63%	43	4.37%
SS06	1397	1073	777	752	96.78%	25	3.22%
SS07A	968	683	480	463	96.46%	17	3.54%
SS07B	609	405	311	301	96.78%	10	3.22%
SS07C	755	436	314	306	97.45%	8	2.55%
SS08A	1316	758	615	589	95.77%	26	4.23%
SS08B	635	453	336	323	96.13%	13	3.87%
SS08C	632	393	319	308	96.55%	11	3.45%
SS08D	1368	847	683	660	96.63%	23	3.37%
SS09A	3003	2210	1571	1510	96.12%	61	3.88%
SS09B	3358	2452	1795	1726	96.16%	69	3.84%
SS11A	3655	2227	1759	1714	97.44%	45	2.56%
SS11B	1257	707	595	583	97.98%	12	2.02%
SS11C	269	146	129	127	98.45%	2	1.55%
SS11D	365	254	197	184	93.40%	13	6.60%
SS12	2559	1732	1291	1233	95.51%	58	4.49%
SS13A	1633	1072	838	798	95.23%	40	4.77%
SS13B	1001	629	473	457	96.62%	16	3.38%
SS14	1274	977	680	633	93.09%	47	6.91%
SS15A	2572	1199	1075	1055	98.14%	20	1.86%
SS15B	1579	902	732	707	96.58%	25	3.42%
SS16	2030	1534	1095	1050	95.89%	45	4.11%
SS17	3397	2229	1562	1502	96.16%	60	3.84%
SS18A	619	454	337	315	93.47%	22	6.53%
SS18B	221	162	119	115	96.64%	4	3.36%
SS19A	3016	1683	1425	1390	97.54%	35	2.46%
SS19B	2032	1226	1022	987	96.58%	35	3.42%
SS20	2060	1159	925	897	96.97%	28	3.03%
SS22	2714	1613	1228	1189	96.82%	39	3.18%
SS26	3552	1822	1575	1535	97.46%	40	2.54%
SS29A	2488	1595	1229	1179	95.93%	50	4.07%
SS31	1345	938	723	702	97.10%	21	2.90%
UC01A	3622	1715	1643	1641	99.88%	2	0.12%
UC01B	395	210	199	199	100.00%	0	0.00%
UC01D	6	2	2	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
UC01E	285	206	204	202	99.02%	2	0.98%
UC02A	7513	4296	4092	4074	99.56%	18	0.44%
UC02B	30	19	16	16	100.00%	0	0.00%
UC031	2399	1203	1022	1016	99.41%	6	0.59%
UC032	292	186	158	157	99.37%	1	0.63%
Total	703177	424992	352573	344439	97.69%	8134	2.31%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

CO COMM DIST 1

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	L. HAUSMANN (I) R		Write-In Votes	
AP01A	4724	3141	2455	2350	95.72%	105	4.28%
AP01B	913	516	365	348	95.34%	17	4.66%
AP01C	2233	1498	1146	1099	95.90%	47	4.10%
AP01D	915	539	370	345	93.24%	25	6.76%
AP05	4367	2981	2382	2306	96.81%	76	3.19%
AP09A	4051	2687	2009	1908	94.97%	101	5.03%
AP09B	810	531	360	326	90.56%	34	9.44%
AP12A	658	374	264	247	93.56%	17	6.44%
AP12B	747	428	273	251	91.94%	22	8.06%
AP14	2972	2027	1584	1520	95.96%	64	4.04%
JC01	4977	3215	2323	2165	93.20%	158	6.80%
JC02	2460	1474	1061	1000	94.25%	61	5.75%
JC03A	540	384	286	271	94.76%	15	5.24%
JC03B	1448	955	683	633	92.68%	50	7.32%
JC04A	4042	2692	2024	1900	93.87%	124	6.13%
JC04B	255	156	115	108	93.91%	7	6.09%
JC05	2136	1391	1010	963	95.35%	47	4.65%
JC06	2627	1580	1239	1186	95.72%	53	4.28%
JC07	4083	2627	1896	1787	94.25%	109	5.75%
JC08	2737	1870	1412	1335	94.55%	77	5.45%
JC09	2928	1878	1348	1262	93.62%	86	6.38%
JC10	2392	1507	1026	954	92.98%	72	7.02%
JC11	3186	2085	1653	1579	95.52%	74	4.48%
JC12	2455	1753	1442	1398	96.95%	44	3.05%
JC13A	2115	1462	1198	1169	97.58%	29	2.42%
JC13B	271	180	158	155	98.10%	3	1.90%
JC14	2477	1634	1321	1273	96.37%	48	3.63%
JC15	834	523	366	348	95.08%	18	4.92%
JC16	2496	1729	1297	1238	95.45%	59	4.55%
JC18	2670	1969	1547	1487	96.12%	60	3.88%
JC19	3759	2528	1888	1794	95.02%	94	4.98%
RW04	1803	1359	973	928	95.38%	45	4.62%
RW06	2820	1914	1445	1368	94.67%	77	5.33%
RW07A	1549	677	392	345	88.01%	47	11.99%
RW13	3555	2476	1882	1773	94.21%	109	5.79%
RW17	2794	1773	1239	1134	91.53%	105	8.47%
RW20	2486	1797	1393	1340	96.20%	53	3.80%
RW21	3144	2169	1758	1718	97.72%	40	2.28%
RW22A	4406	2486	1724	1587	92.05%	137	7.95%
SS01	4487	3270	2580	2498	96.82%	82	3.18%
SS17	3397	2229	1687	1589	94.19%	98	5.81%
Total	103719	68464	51574	48985	94.98%	2589	5.02%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	CO COMM DIST 3						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	L. MORRIS (I) R		Write-In Votes	
02A	4584	2949	1842	1642	89.14%	200	10.86%
02W	682	399	241	197	81.74%	44	18.26%
03F	1925	1115	693	609	87.88%	84	12.12%
03P1A	3882	1966	1246	1076	86.36%	170	13.64%
06D	8568	6060	3658	3327	90.95%	331	9.05%
06E	2250	1439	925	819	88.54%	106	11.46%
06I	3412	1957	1184	1028	86.82%	156	13.18%
06J	2539	1904	1169	1060	90.68%	109	9.32%
06L1	917	679	407	384	94.35%	23	5.65%
06L2	654	487	329	313	95.14%	16	4.86%
06N	1844	1085	788	744	94.42%	44	5.58%
06Q	527	295	177	150	84.75%	27	15.25%
06R	1836	1206	740	685	92.57%	55	7.43%
07A	6600	4093	2914	2785	95.57%	129	4.43%
07B	2763	2107	1762	1725	97.90%	37	2.10%
07C	3927	2498	1762	1658	94.10%	104	5.90%
07D	4231	2413	1720	1618	94.07%	102	5.93%
07E	2031	1358	872	802	91.97%	70	8.03%
07F	3017	2041	1479	1410	95.33%	69	4.67%
07H	1923	1424	1018	987	96.95%	31	3.05%
07J	2647	1753	1239	1158	93.46%	81	6.54%
07M	2155	1180	809	759	93.82%	50	6.18%
07N	1946	1044	641	575	89.70%	66	10.30%
08A	2799	1949	1505	1435	95.35%	70	4.65%
08B	3199	2255	1809	1743	96.35%	66	3.65%
08C	1392	984	830	817	98.43%	13	1.57%
08D	1022	770	638	628	98.43%	10	1.57%
08E	2429	1864	1450	1410	97.24%	40	2.76%
08F1	2071	1628	1318	1295	98.25%	23	1.75%
08G	2787	1669	1134	1071	94.44%	63	5.56%
08H	2465	1874	1431	1393	97.34%	38	2.66%
08J	2981	2223	1670	1597	95.63%	73	4.37%
08K	973	702	524	503	95.99%	21	4.01%
08L	4194	2706	1812	1704	94.04%	108	5.96%
08M	1907	1358	1091	1068	97.89%	23	2.11%
08N1	767	587	478	463	96.86%	15	3.14%
08N2	1391	927	658	632	96.05%	26	3.95%
08P	1633	928	589	524	88.96%	65	11.04%
09H	1064	645	386	340	88.08%	46	11.92%
SS05	1746	1376	1007	956	94.94%	51	5.06%
SS06	1397	1073	814	778	95.58%	36	4.42%
SS07B	609	405	275	262	95.27%	13	4.73%
SS07C	755	436	315	303	96.19%	12	3.81%
SS08A	1316	758	506	456	90.12%	50	9.88%
SS08B	635	453	355	346	97.46%	9	2.54%
SS08D	1368	847	571	513	89.84%	58	10.16%
SS09A	3003	2210	1687	1632	96.74%	55	3.26%
SS09B	3358	2452	1948	1870	96.00%	78	4.00%
SS11A	3655	2227	1572	1465	93.19%	107	6.81%
SS11B	1257	707	457	415	90.81%	42	9.19%
SS11C	269	146	95	81	85.26%	14	14.74%
SS11D	365	254	199	193	96.98%	6	3.02%
SS12	2559	1732	1285	1226	95.41%	59	4.59%
SS13A	1633	1072	804	759	94.40%	45	5.60%
SS13B	1001	629	484	461	95.25%	23	4.75%
SS14	1274	977	801	783	97.75%	18	2.25%
SS16	2030	1534	1213	1186	97.77%	27	2.23%
SS18A	619	454	338	319	94.38%	19	5.62%
SS18B	221	162	104	99	95.19%	5	4.81%
SS31	1345	938	655	624	95.27%	31	4.73%
Total	128349	85363	60423	56861	94.10%	3562	5.90%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	CO COMM DIST 5						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	M. ARRINGTON JR (I)D		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide							
01A	3199	2362	2148	2129	99.12%	19	0.88%
01B	3839	2737	2443	2428	99.39%	15	0.61%
01C	1459	612	579	577	99.65%	2	0.35%
01D	511	307	279	276	98.92%	3	1.08%
01E	3363	2410	2110	2098	99.43%	12	0.57%
01F	1254	452	435	434	99.77%	1	0.23%
01G	1909	1102	1008	1000	99.21%	8	0.79%
01J	2416	1184	1107	1106	99.91%	1	0.09%
01P	1244	542	513	513	100.00%	0	0.00%
01R	1344	491	459	459	100.00%	0	0.00%
01S	1749	823	777	774	99.61%	3	0.39%
01T	1506	796	714	710	99.44%	4	0.56%
02E	1905	1423	1265	1257	99.37%	8	0.63%
02F1	2962	2033	1803	1787	99.11%	16	0.89%
04V	2848	1211	1137	1136	99.91%	1	0.09%
05A1	2491	1728	1563	1555	99.49%	8	0.51%
05A2	2910	1998	1821	1802	98.96%	19	1.04%
06F	2709	1757	1474	1446	98.10%	28	1.90%
11B	5162	3088	2916	2907	99.69%	9	0.31%
11E1	1141	634	602	601	99.83%	1	0.17%
11E2	847	497	471	469	99.58%	2	0.42%
11E3	3124	1835	1754	1750	99.77%	4	0.23%
11G	2092	855	806	806	100.00%	0	0.00%
11J	1827	1018	962	960	99.79%	2	0.21%
11N	2166	1358	1303	1300	99.77%	3	0.23%
11P	1833	1020	960	956	99.58%	4	0.42%
12A	4828	2734	2527	2512	99.41%	15	0.59%
12D	1209	501	477	476	99.79%	1	0.21%
12E1	1621	591	540	534	98.89%	6	1.11%
12F	943	428	405	405	100.00%	0	0.00%
12G	800	430	371	370	99.73%	1	0.27%
12H1	1006	310	281	281	100.00%	0	0.00%
12H2	2562	1189	1126	1122	99.64%	4	0.36%
12I	970	413	386	386	100.00%	0	0.00%
12J	2535	1108	1045	1042	99.71%	3	0.29%
12K	3022	1240	1138	1131	99.38%	7	0.62%
12L	613	238	222	221	99.55%	1	0.45%
12M	2533	1171	1115	1113	99.82%	2	0.18%
12N	907	327	300	300	100.00%	0	0.00%
12S	1794	959	891	888	99.66%	3	0.34%
CP07C	244	47	43	43	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP07E	125	41	37	37	100.00%	0	0.00%
EP01A	2609	1464	1320	1309	99.17%	11	0.83%
EP01B	3038	1539	1432	1423	99.37%	9	0.63%
EP02B	1449	977	850	839	98.71%	11	1.29%
EP02D	326	192	178	177	99.44%	1	0.56%
EP02E	1382	627	577	574	99.48%	3	0.52%
EP03A	4293	2512	2346	2334	99.49%	12	0.51%
EP03B	1421	973	910	907	99.67%	3	0.33%
EP04A	5432	2626	2535	2528	99.72%	7	0.28%
EP04B	2024	1203	1157	1154	99.74%	3	0.26%
SC05B	3204	2188	2075	2063	99.42%	12	0.58%
SC08C	74	53	53	53	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08F	700	366	344	343	99.71%	1	0.29%
SC17A	55	42	42	42	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC17B	7	4	3	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC17C	1134	669	636	635	99.84%	1	0.16%
SC18A	510	329	313	313	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC18B	663	462	439	436	99.32%	3	0.68%
SC18C	1850	1352	1293	1286	99.46%	7	0.54%
SC19A	195	129	128	127	99.22%	1	0.78%
SC19B	1267	690	653	651	99.69%	2	0.31%
SC20	2197	1665	1576	1542	97.84%	34	2.16%
UC01D	6	2	2	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
UC01E	285	206	203	203	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	117643	66270	61378	61041	99.45%	337	0.55%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

SOIL AND WATER

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	W. S. REKUC, JR (I)		J. R. ULSETH		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide									
01A	3199	2362	2725	1563	57.36%	1142	41.91%	20	0.73%
01B	3839	2737	3066	1751	57.11%	1292	42.14%	23	0.75%
01C	1459	612	661	378	57.19%	282	42.66%	1	0.15%
01D	511	307	351	200	56.98%	146	41.60%	5	1.42%
01E	3363	2410	2616	1509	57.68%	1085	41.48%	22	0.84%
01F	1254	452	486	271	55.76%	207	42.59%	8	1.65%
01G	1909	1102	1242	703	56.60%	525	42.27%	14	1.13%
01H	758	379	373	217	58.18%	151	40.48%	5	1.34%
01J	2416	1184	1213	711	58.62%	493	40.64%	9	0.74%
01P	1244	542	534	323	60.49%	206	38.58%	5	0.94%
01R	1344	491	459	283	61.66%	171	37.25%	5	1.09%
01S	1749	823	826	490	59.32%	331	40.07%	5	0.61%
01T	1506	796	866	487	56.24%	371	42.84%	8	0.92%
02A	4584	2949	3019	1740	57.63%	1246	41.27%	33	1.09%
02B	3518	1265	1396	795	56.95%	585	41.91%	16	1.15%
02C	2037	1205	1391	818	58.81%	561	40.33%	12	0.86%
02D	4475	2660	3124	1790	57.30%	1308	41.87%	26	0.83%
02E	1905	1423	1582	913	57.71%	653	41.28%	16	1.01%
02F1	2962	2033	2409	1375	57.08%	1018	42.26%	16	0.66%
02F2	788	458	536	320	59.70%	216	40.30%	0	0.00%
02G	3443	2361	2692	1566	58.17%	1107	41.12%	19	0.71%
02J	2159	1432	1672	937	56.04%	720	43.06%	15	0.90%
02K	1419	951	1076	605	56.23%	470	43.68%	1	0.09%
02L1	6213	3978	4651	2658	57.15%	1956	42.06%	37	0.80%
02L2	1364	782	976	561	57.48%	406	41.60%	9	0.92%
02S	708	427	513	293	57.12%	218	42.50%	2	0.39%
02W	682	399	434	247	56.91%	181	41.71%	6	1.38%
03A	1927	692	687	415	60.41%	265	38.57%	7	1.02%
03B	560	181	160	95	59.38%	65	40.63%	0	0.00%
03C	1534	674	623	365	58.59%	255	40.93%	3	0.48%
03D	1017	402	409	247	60.39%	155	37.90%	7	1.71%
03E	2284	914	965	555	57.51%	397	41.14%	13	1.35%
03F	1925	1115	1174	681	58.01%	475	40.46%	18	1.53%
03G	802	360	414	231	55.80%	181	43.72%	2	0.48%
03H	900	369	339	196	57.82%	139	41.00%	4	1.18%
03I	1360	566	774	441	56.98%	322	41.60%	11	1.42%
03L	594	229	203	120	59.11%	83	40.89%	0	0.00%
03M	766	452	494	282	57.09%	202	40.89%	10	2.02%
03N	2288	938	1067	612	57.36%	446	41.80%	9	0.84%
03P1A	3882	1966	2173	1202	55.32%	945	43.49%	26	1.20%
03P2	13	7	8	4	50.00%	4	50.00%	0	0.00%
03S	761	356	363	193	53.17%	167	46.01%	3	0.83%
03T	1505	715	800	467	58.38%	327	40.88%	6	0.75%
04A	1202	560	579	320	55.27%	254	43.87%	5	0.86%
04B	2242	922	1076	623	57.90%	445	41.36%	8	0.74%
04C	136	54	62	42	67.74%	20	32.26%	0	0.00%
04D	1481	714	685	415	60.58%	265	38.69%	5	0.73%
04F	2086	935	1068	601	56.27%	458	42.88%	9	0.84%
04G	828	312	325	190	58.46%	133	40.92%	2	0.62%
04I	3362	561	619	340	54.93%	264	42.65%	15	2.42%
04J	1230	317	373	224	60.05%	146	39.14%	3	0.80%
04K	2339	1266	1313	745	56.74%	555	42.27%	13	0.99%
04L	1655	867	924	514	55.63%	395	42.75%	15	1.62%
04M	773	292	305	162	53.11%	141	46.23%	2	0.66%
04S	2165	1118	1056	632	59.85%	418	39.58%	6	0.57%
04T	1145	584	570	329	57.72%	237	41.58%	4	0.70%
04V	2848	1211	1214	715	58.90%	492	40.53%	7	0.58%
04W	2203	820	1070	590	55.14%	470	43.93%	10	0.93%
04X1	1942	950	990	576	58.18%	410	41.41%	4	0.40%
04X2	737	364	374	212	56.68%	159	42.51%	3	0.80%
05A1	2491	1728	1940	1121	57.78%	801	41.29%	18	0.93%
05A2	2910	1998	2263	1297	57.31%	947	41.85%	19	0.84%
05B	1763	901	899	508	56.51%	379	42.16%	12	1.33%
05C	146	67	87	47	54.02%	35	40.23%	5	5.75%
05D	386	93	111	59	53.15%	50	45.05%	2	1.80%
05F	223	136	167	93	55.69%	73	43.71%	1	0.60%
05J	639	416	467	275	58.89%	189	40.47%	3	0.64%
05K	2600	1327	1630	919	56.38%	698	42.82%	13	0.80%
06B	1756	1238	1387	795	57.32%	576	41.53%	16	1.15%
06D	8568	6060	5763	3330	57.78%	2372	41.16%	61	1.06%
06E	2250	1439	1446	848	58.64%	575	39.76%	23	1.59%
06F	2709	1757	1914	1101	57.52%	797	41.64%	16	0.84%
06G	536	369	426	245	57.51%	179	42.02%	2	0.47%
06I	3412	1957	2079	1138	54.74%	894	43.00%	47	2.26%
06J	2539	1904	1850	1087	58.76%	742	40.11%	21	1.14%
06L1	917	679	586	353	60.24%	225	38.40%	8	1.37%
06L2	654	487	447	268	59.96%	176	39.37%	3	0.67%
06N	1844	1085	1035	636	61.45%	387	37.39%	12	1.16%
06Q	527	295	320	168	52.50%	144	45.00%	8	2.50%
06R	1836	1206	1137	678	59.63%	452	39.75%	7	0.62%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

SOIL AND WATER

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	W. S. REKUC, JR (I)		J. R. ULSETH		Write-In Votes	
07A	6600	4093	3970	2473	62.29%	1458	36.73%	39	0.98%
07B	2763	2107	1968	1319	67.02%	629	31.96%	20	1.02%
07C	3927	2498	2302	1459	63.38%	815	35.40%	28	1.22%
07D	4231	2413	2303	1400	60.79%	878	38.12%	25	1.09%
07E	2031	1358	1262	745	59.03%	503	39.86%	14	1.11%
07F	3017	2041	1807	1139	63.03%	663	36.69%	5	0.28%
07H	1923	1424	1236	772	62.46%	452	36.57%	12	0.97%
07J	2647	1753	1641	995	60.63%	624	38.03%	22	1.34%
07M	2155	1180	1156	688	59.52%	457	39.53%	11	0.95%
07N	1946	1044	1019	560	54.96%	442	43.38%	17	1.67%
08A	2799	1949	1829	1194	65.28%	619	33.84%	16	0.87%
08B	3199	2255	2161	1407	65.11%	732	33.87%	22	1.02%
08C	1392	984	874	609	69.68%	264	30.21%	1	0.11%
08D	1022	770	713	484	67.88%	220	30.86%	9	1.26%
08E	2429	1864	1632	1105	67.71%	518	31.74%	9	0.55%
08F1	2071	1628	1369	947	69.17%	412	30.09%	10	0.73%
08G	2787	1669	1424	879	61.73%	524	36.80%	21	1.47%
08H	2465	1874	1620	1071	66.11%	534	32.96%	15	0.93%
08J	2981	2223	2085	1317	63.17%	747	35.83%	21	1.01%
08K	973	702	691	437	63.24%	251	36.32%	3	0.43%
08L	4194	2706	2623	1599	60.96%	1001	38.16%	23	0.88%
08M	1907	1358	1228	828	67.43%	385	31.35%	15	1.22%
08N1	767	587	512	346	67.58%	159	31.05%	7	1.37%
08N2	1391	927	853	524	61.43%	318	37.28%	11	1.29%
08P	1633	928	983	568	57.78%	407	41.40%	8	0.81%
09A	3338	2152	2341	1360	58.09%	951	40.62%	30	1.28%
09B	385	174	171	93	54.39%	77	45.03%	1	0.58%
09C	2954	1522	1527	896	58.68%	618	40.47%	13	0.85%
09D	1879	858	828	485	58.57%	340	41.06%	3	0.36%
09E	2782	1420	1472	862	58.56%	597	40.56%	13	0.88%
09F	3619	2526	2505	1536	61.32%	949	37.88%	20	0.80%
09G	3519	2282	2633	1535	58.30%	1076	40.87%	22	0.84%
09H	1064	645	685	386	56.35%	290	42.34%	9	1.31%
09I	1194	503	467	273	58.46%	188	40.26%	6	1.28%
09K	4403	1851	1819	1019	56.02%	788	43.32%	12	0.66%
09M	2259	1611	1783	993	55.69%	763	42.79%	27	1.51%
10A	2850	1622	1606	945	58.84%	651	40.54%	10	0.62%
10B	3686	2464	2541	1525	60.02%	992	39.04%	24	0.94%
10C	2466	1168	1165	662	56.82%	493	42.32%	10	0.86%
10D	4220	1850	1735	1010	58.21%	708	40.81%	17	0.98%
10E	950	524	535	297	55.51%	232	43.36%	6	1.12%
10F	1053	590	593	342	57.67%	244	41.15%	7	1.18%
10G	1205	813	819	474	57.88%	328	40.05%	17	2.08%
10H1	408	238	244	141	57.79%	102	41.80%	1	0.41%
10H2	2207	1032	1014	596	58.78%	408	40.24%	10	0.99%
10I	329	258	296	170	57.43%	122	41.22%	4	1.35%
10J	1012	466	456	259	56.80%	194	42.54%	3	0.66%
10K	1415	858	890	551	61.91%	332	37.30%	7	0.79%
10M	2529	1414	1463	865	59.13%	589	40.26%	9	0.62%
10P	1364	668	622	366	58.84%	250	40.19%	6	0.96%
10R	713	347	348	198	56.90%	149	42.82%	1	0.29%
11B	5162	3088	3160	1876	59.37%	1257	39.78%	27	0.85%
11C	2822	2003	2209	1311	59.35%	879	39.79%	19	0.86%
11E1	1141	634	697	413	59.25%	279	40.03%	5	0.72%
11E2	847	497	520	328	63.08%	184	35.38%	8	1.54%
11E3	3124	1835	2087	1214	58.17%	850	40.73%	23	1.10%
11G	2092	855	854	509	59.60%	341	39.93%	4	0.47%
11H	677	356	342	202	59.06%	134	39.18%	6	1.75%
11J	1827	1018	1011	622	61.52%	378	37.39%	11	1.09%
11K	1818	1104	1139	690	60.58%	432	37.93%	17	1.49%
11M	3039	1659	1804	1069	59.26%	716	39.69%	19	1.05%
11N	2166	1358	1375	827	60.15%	540	39.27%	8	0.58%
11P	1833	1020	1021	606	59.35%	409	40.06%	6	0.59%
11R	1849	672	656	386	58.84%	268	40.85%	2	0.30%
12A	4828	2734	2808	1643	58.51%	1137	40.49%	28	1.00%
12D	1209	501	511	292	57.14%	219	42.86%	0	0.00%
12E1	1621	591	562	332	59.07%	224	39.86%	6	1.07%
12F	943	428	434	257	59.22%	176	40.55%	1	0.23%
12G	800	430	373	220	58.98%	151	40.48%	2	0.54%
12H1	1006	310	307	175	57.00%	129	42.02%	3	0.98%
12H2	2562	1189	1228	727	59.20%	492	40.07%	9	0.73%
12I	970	413	390	241	61.79%	147	37.69%	2	0.51%
12J	2535	1108	1099	636	57.87%	449	40.86%	14	1.27%
12K	3022	1240	1240	705	56.85%	524	42.26%	11	0.89%
12L	613	238	249	152	61.04%	96	38.55%	1	0.40%
12M	2533	1171	1177	695	59.05%	472	40.10%	10	0.85%
12N	907	327	314	183	58.28%	125	39.81%	6	1.91%
12S	1794	959	961	576	59.94%	377	39.23%	8	0.83%
AP01A	4724	3141	3191	2065	64.71%	1101	34.50%	25	0.78%
AP01B	913	516	514	309	60.12%	197	38.33%	8	1.56%
AP01C	2233	1498	1450	929	64.07%	509	35.10%	12	0.83%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

SOIL AND WATER

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	W. S. REKUC, JR (I)		J. R. ULSETH		Write-In Votes	
AP01D	915	539	519	317	61.08%	188	36.22%	14	2.70%
AP02B	474	263	277	171	61.73%	106	38.27%	0	0.00%
AP03	741	314	339	167	49.26%	171	50.44%	1	0.29%
AP04A	1647	1183	1195	790	66.11%	398	33.31%	7	0.59%
AP04B	415	290	306	197	64.38%	104	33.99%	5	1.63%
AP05	4367	2981	2922	1953	66.84%	944	32.31%	25	0.86%
AP06	1827	1309	1330	889	66.84%	431	32.41%	10	0.75%
AP07A	3422	2102	2141	1344	62.77%	779	36.38%	18	0.84%
AP07B	1650	1144	1197	765	63.91%	419	35.00%	13	1.09%
AP09A	4051	2687	2692	1700	63.15%	951	35.33%	41	1.52%
AP09B	810	531	499	284	56.91%	208	41.68%	7	1.40%
AP021	2994	1963	2022	1286	63.60%	718	35.51%	18	0.89%
AP022	2210	1429	1440	867	60.21%	562	39.03%	11	0.76%
AP10	942	681	699	444	63.52%	253	36.19%	2	0.29%
AP12A	658	374	351	207	58.97%	137	39.03%	7	1.99%
AP12B	747	428	408	237	58.09%	169	41.42%	2	0.49%
AP12C	1584	1005	1060	648	61.13%	399	37.64%	13	1.23%
AP14	2972	2027	2081	1329	63.86%	734	35.27%	18	0.86%
CH01	330	164	148	88	59.46%	58	39.19%	2	1.35%
CH02	319	202	165	113	68.48%	51	30.91%	1	0.61%
CH03	379	250	184	117	63.59%	59	32.07%	8	4.35%
CH04A	647	444	358	234	65.36%	122	34.08%	2	0.56%
CH05	407	260	221	141	63.80%	74	33.48%	6	2.71%
CP01B	381	227	211	125	59.24%	86	40.76%	0	0.00%
CP011	1135	617	660	395	59.85%	262	39.70%	3	0.45%
CP012	1318	844	874	527	60.30%	340	38.90%	7	0.80%
CP02	1000	553	600	340	56.67%	253	42.17%	7	1.17%
CP04A	8	2	2	1	50.00%	1	50.00%	0	0.00%
CP04B	39	14	13	9	69.23%	4	30.77%	0	0.00%
CP05B	143	76	72	41	56.94%	30	41.67%	1	1.39%
CP051	1612	874	914	560	61.27%	346	37.86%	8	0.88%
CP06A	598	208	214	121	56.54%	89	41.59%	4	1.87%
CP07B	7	2	2	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
CP07C	244	47	40	22	55.00%	18	45.00%	0	0.00%
CP07D	694	208	216	124	57.41%	91	42.13%	1	0.46%
CP07E	125	41	46	29	63.04%	17	36.96%	0	0.00%
CP07F	184	55	54	31	57.41%	23	42.59%	0	0.00%
CP081	343	77	91	48	52.75%	39	42.86%	4	4.40%
CP083	219	25	27	14	51.85%	13	48.15%	0	0.00%
CP084	3	1	2	1	50.00%	1	50.00%	0	0.00%
CP08A	705	175	189	105	55.56%	82	43.39%	2	1.06%
EP01A	2609	1464	1577	911	57.77%	648	41.09%	18	1.14%
EP01B	3038	1539	1654	969	58.59%	674	40.75%	11	0.67%
EP02A	1967	890	901	521	57.82%	373	41.40%	7	0.78%
EP02B	1449	977	1065	607	57.00%	445	41.78%	13	1.22%
EP02C	428	251	274	160	58.39%	109	39.78%	5	1.82%
EP02D	326	192	220	125	56.82%	93	42.27%	2	0.91%
EP02E	1382	627	655	375	57.25%	271	41.37%	9	1.37%
EP03A	4293	2512	2634	1555	59.04%	1062	40.32%	17	0.65%
EP03B	1421	973	1022	636	62.23%	380	37.18%	6	0.59%
EP04A	5432	2626	2962	1721	58.10%	1213	40.95%	28	0.95%
EP04B	2024	1203	1375	814	59.20%	553	40.22%	8	0.58%
FA01A	3574	2126	2261	1327	58.69%	919	40.65%	15	0.66%
FA01B	4833	2965	3166	1885	59.54%	1263	39.89%	18	0.57%
FA01C	681	401	435	234	53.79%	193	44.37%	8	1.84%
HP01	3212	1780	1874	1093	58.32%	766	40.88%	15	0.80%
JC01	4977	3215	3261	1968	60.35%	1255	38.49%	38	1.17%
JC02	2460	1474	1493	890	59.61%	584	39.12%	19	1.27%
JC03A	540	384	388	240	61.86%	146	37.63%	2	0.52%
JC03B	1448	955	947	553	58.39%	385	40.65%	9	0.95%
JC04A	4042	2692	2644	1666	63.01%	942	35.63%	36	1.36%
JC04B	255	156	156	99	63.46%	55	35.26%	2	1.28%
JC05	2136	1391	1358	878	64.65%	465	34.24%	15	1.10%
JC06	2627	1580	1571	995	63.34%	560	35.65%	16	1.02%
JC07	4083	2627	2564	1580	61.62%	944	36.82%	40	1.56%
JC08	2737	1870	1879	1201	63.92%	653	34.75%	25	1.33%
JC09	2928	1878	1880	1134	60.32%	728	38.72%	18	0.96%
JC10	2392	1507	1486	886	59.62%	579	38.96%	21	1.41%
JC11	3186	2085	2100	1350	64.29%	725	34.52%	25	1.19%
JC12	2455	1753	1668	1119	67.09%	530	31.77%	19	1.14%
JC13A	2115	1462	1417	950	67.04%	450	31.76%	17	1.20%
JC13B	271	180	175	121	69.14%	54	30.86%	0	0.00%
JC14	2477	1634	1642	1081	65.83%	540	32.89%	21	1.28%
JC15	834	523	527	322	61.10%	203	38.52%	2	0.38%
JC16	2496	1729	1699	1089	64.10%	584	34.37%	26	1.53%
JC18	2670	1969	1921	1256	65.38%	649	33.78%	16	0.83%
JC19	3759	2528	2546	1606	63.08%	915	35.94%	25	0.98%
ML011	2439	1681	1744	1153	66.11%	584	33.49%	7	0.40%
ML012	485	338	347	249	71.76%	97	27.95%	1	0.29%
ML01B	138	96	97	67	69.07%	29	29.90%	1	1.03%
ML021	1991	1369	1423	956	67.18%	449	31.55%	18	1.26%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

SOIL AND WATER

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	W. S. REKUC, JR (I)		J. R. ULSETH		Write-In Votes	
ML022	241	148	144	98	68.06%	46	31.94%	0	0.00%
ML023	1542	1027	1055	742	70.33%	308	29.19%	5	0.47%
ML024	113	70	69	45	65.22%	24	34.78%	0	0.00%
ML03	3757	2575	2522	1714	67.96%	779	30.89%	29	1.15%
ML04A	1747	1176	1228	789	64.25%	434	35.34%	5	0.41%
ML04B	1206	838	818	572	69.93%	239	29.22%	7	0.86%
ML04C	79	66	60	40	66.67%	19	31.67%	1	1.67%
ML05A	39	27	15	12	80.00%	3	20.00%	0	0.00%
ML05B	381	250	240	164	68.33%	73	30.42%	3	1.25%
ML05C	4023	2615	2755	1735	62.98%	983	35.68%	37	1.34%
ML06A	1973	1024	1147	668	58.24%	470	40.98%	9	0.78%
ML06B	2775	1734	1876	1076	57.36%	779	41.52%	21	1.12%
ML071	105	73	68	49	72.06%	19	27.94%	0	0.00%
ML072	1249	857	883	585	66.25%	281	31.82%	17	1.93%
ML07A	707	472	457	323	70.68%	131	28.67%	3	0.66%
MP01	450	323	340	196	57.65%	140	41.18%	4	1.18%
PA01	2509	1330	1323	806	60.92%	509	38.47%	8	0.60%
RW01	4717	3355	3293	2166	65.78%	1097	33.31%	30	0.91%
RW02	3794	2675	2713	1754	64.65%	939	34.61%	20	0.74%
RW03	4510	2745	2831	1682	59.41%	1119	39.53%	30	1.06%
RW04	1803	1359	1276	805	63.09%	464	36.36%	7	0.55%
RW05	2322	1207	1309	716	54.70%	584	44.61%	9	0.69%
RW06	2820	1914	1898	1185	62.43%	688	36.25%	25	1.32%
RW07A	1549	677	694	339	48.85%	346	49.86%	9	1.30%
RW07B	388	214	218	118	54.13%	97	44.50%	3	1.38%
RW08	2138	1462	1421	947	66.64%	468	32.93%	6	0.42%
RW09	5918	4216	4203	2792	66.43%	1375	32.71%	36	0.86%
RW10	3347	2376	2384	1601	67.16%	767	32.17%	16	0.67%
RW11A	2667	1693	1731	1078	62.28%	649	37.49%	4	0.23%
RW12	5944	4234	4175	2769	66.32%	1381	33.08%	25	0.60%
RW13	3555	2476	2402	1518	63.20%	847	35.26%	37	1.54%
RW16	1850	1275	1345	862	64.09%	472	35.09%	11	0.82%
RW17	2794	1773	1770	1052	59.44%	696	39.32%	22	1.24%
RW19	1993	1434	1423	967	67.96%	443	31.13%	13	0.91%
RW20	2486	1797	1773	1137	64.13%	620	34.97%	16	0.90%
RW21	3144	2169	2124	1441	67.84%	656	30.89%	27	1.27%
RW22A	4406	2486	2542	1476	58.06%	1039	40.87%	27	1.06%
SC01A	20	25	19	11	57.89%	8	42.11%	0	0.00%
SC01B	1707	1295	1479	877	59.30%	594	40.16%	8	0.54%
SC01C	2063	1513	1673	1009	60.31%	656	39.21%	8	0.48%
SC01D	294	162	193	115	59.59%	75	38.86%	3	1.55%
SC02	872	662	734	437	59.54%	290	39.51%	7	0.95%
SC04	922	616	619	378	61.07%	234	37.80%	7	1.13%
SC05A	646	409	444	254	57.21%	183	41.22%	7	1.58%
SC05B	3204	2188	2453	1443	58.83%	989	40.32%	21	0.86%
SC05D	2171	1428	1629	936	57.46%	669	41.07%	24	1.47%
SC05E	623	434	453	264	58.28%	183	40.40%	6	1.32%
SC07A	5595	3698	4109	2400	58.41%	1665	40.52%	44	1.07%
SC07C	29	10	12	5	41.67%	7	58.33%	0	0.00%
SC08B	3382	2008	2161	1285	59.46%	858	39.70%	18	0.83%
SC08C	74	53	61	40	65.57%	21	34.43%	0	0.00%
SC08D	499	314	365	211	57.81%	150	41.10%	4	1.10%
SC08E	562	316	342	194	56.73%	142	41.52%	6	1.75%
SC08F	700	366	382	230	60.21%	150	39.27%	2	0.52%
SC08G	12	8	8	5	62.50%	3	37.50%	0	0.00%
SC08H	4	3	3	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
SC09A	1628	839	859	517	60.19%	338	39.35%	4	0.47%
SC09B	1687	1084	1162	690	59.38%	465	40.02%	7	0.60%
SC09C	1566	842	894	537	60.07%	354	39.60%	3	0.34%
SC10	1678	661	703	414	58.89%	286	40.68%	3	0.43%
SC11A	1411	813	878	523	59.57%	343	39.07%	12	1.37%
SC11B	1506	878	959	580	60.48%	372	38.79%	7	0.73%
SC13	2465	1636	1908	1094	57.34%	795	41.67%	19	1.00%
SC14A	916	429	461	281	60.95%	174	37.74%	6	1.30%
SC14B	502	45	40	24	60.00%	15	37.50%	1	2.50%
SC15	6193	4213	4807	2835	58.98%	1916	39.86%	56	1.16%
SC16A	4601	3208	3577	2117	59.18%	1422	39.75%	38	1.06%
SC16B	14	15	18	8	44.44%	10	55.56%	0	0.00%
SC17A	55	42	54	30	55.56%	23	42.59%	1	1.85%
SC17B	7	4	4	3	75.00%	1	25.00%	0	0.00%
SC17C	1134	669	713	436	61.15%	274	38.43%	3	0.42%
SC18A	510	329	359	218	60.72%	140	39.00%	1	0.28%
SC18B	663	462	493	292	59.23%	198	40.16%	3	0.61%
SC18C	1850	1352	1454	909	62.52%	531	36.52%	14	0.96%
SC19A	195	129	146	90	61.64%	54	36.99%	2	1.37%
SC19B	1267	690	733	432	58.94%	293	39.97%	8	1.09%
SC20	2197	1665	1912	1169	61.14%	721	37.71%	22	1.15%
SC211	1328	841	915	538	58.80%	368	40.22%	9	0.98%
SC212	3378	1947	2147	1205	56.12%	915	42.62%	27	1.26%
SC23A	3161	1730	1916	1133	59.13%	768	40.08%	15	0.78%
SC23B	483	284	312	186	59.62%	125	40.06%	1	0.32%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

SOIL AND WATER

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	W. S. REKUC, JR (I)		J. R. ULSETH		Write-In Votes	
SC23C	2252	1302	1399	813	58.11%	574	41.03%	12	0.86%
SC27	2129	1259	1332	811	60.89%	509	38.21%	12	0.90%
SC29A	2784	1544	1652	996	60.29%	644	38.98%	12	0.73%
SC30A	135	97	95	54	56.84%	40	42.11%	1	1.05%
SC30B	960	531	573	345	60.21%	221	38.57%	7	1.22%
SS01	4487	3270	3166	2118	66.90%	1028	32.47%	20	0.63%
SS02A	1120	755	795	486	61.13%	305	38.36%	4	0.50%
SS02B	1255	756	808	438	54.21%	362	44.80%	8	0.99%
SS03	1464	1027	1070	650	60.75%	411	38.41%	9	0.84%
SS04	3264	2064	2239	1282	57.26%	933	41.67%	24	1.07%
SS05	1746	1376	1282	863	67.32%	406	31.67%	13	1.01%
SS06	1397	1073	992	659	66.43%	327	32.96%	6	0.60%
SS07A	968	683	658	425	64.59%	227	34.50%	6	0.91%
SS07B	609	405	402	252	62.69%	148	36.82%	2	0.50%
SS07C	755	436	441	274	62.13%	163	36.96%	4	0.91%
SS08A	1316	758	754	428	56.76%	321	42.57%	5	0.66%
SS08B	635	453	421	284	67.46%	135	32.07%	2	0.48%
SS08C	632	393	424	232	54.72%	187	44.10%	5	1.18%
SS08D	1368	847	857	514	59.98%	322	37.57%	21	2.45%
SS09A	3003	2210	2082	1400	67.24%	671	32.23%	11	0.53%
SS09B	3358	2452	2443	1617	66.19%	803	32.87%	23	0.94%
SS11A	3655	2227	2271	1376	60.59%	871	38.35%	24	1.06%
SS11B	1257	707	732	421	57.51%	305	41.67%	6	0.82%
SS11C	269	146	155	75	48.39%	72	46.45%	8	5.16%
SS11D	365	254	240	166	69.17%	72	30.00%	2	0.83%
SS12	2559	1732	1678	1079	64.30%	581	34.62%	18	1.07%
SS13A	1633	1072	1063	675	63.50%	375	35.28%	13	1.22%
SS13B	1001	629	633	411	64.93%	214	33.81%	8	1.26%
SS14	1274	977	946	635	67.12%	301	31.82%	10	1.06%
SS15A	2572	1199	1363	688	50.48%	653	47.91%	22	1.61%
SS15B	1579	902	1009	566	56.10%	434	43.01%	9	0.89%
SS16	2030	1534	1457	954	65.48%	497	34.11%	6	0.41%
SS17	3397	2229	2192	1350	61.59%	813	37.09%	29	1.32%
SS18A	619	454	423	280	66.19%	136	32.15%	7	1.65%
SS18B	221	162	154	93	60.39%	58	37.66%	3	1.95%
SS19A	3016	1683	1835	968	52.75%	837	45.61%	30	1.63%
SS19B	2032	1226	1338	758	56.65%	566	42.30%	14	1.05%
SS20	2060	1159	1252	698	55.75%	535	42.73%	19	1.52%
SS22	2714	1613	1675	978	58.39%	685	40.90%	12	0.72%
SS26	3552	1822	2038	1062	52.11%	955	46.86%	21	1.03%
SS29A	2488	1595	1678	989	58.94%	673	40.11%	16	0.95%
SS31	1345	938	901	548	60.82%	349	38.73%	4	0.44%
UC01A	3622	1715	1898	1067	56.22%	808	42.57%	23	1.21%
UC01B	395	210	238	138	57.98%	96	40.34%	4	1.68%
UC01D	6	2	3	1	33.33%	2	66.67%	0	0.00%
UC01E	285	206	241	151	62.66%	88	36.51%	2	0.83%
UC02A	7513	4296	4627	2693	58.20%	1888	40.80%	46	0.99%
UC02B	30	19	17	6	35.29%	10	58.82%	1	5.88%
UC031	2399	1203	1154	685	59.36%	460	39.86%	9	0.78%
UC032	292	186	202	119	58.91%	80	39.60%	3	1.49%
Total	703177	424992	437776	264773	60.48%	168773	38.55%	4230	0.97%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	CONST AMENDMENT 1						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
Jurisdiction Wide							
01A	3199	2362	2329	2177	93.47%	152	6.53%
01B	3839	2737	2709	2498	92.21%	211	7.79%
01C	1459	612	593	535	90.22%	58	9.78%
01D	511	307	296	268	90.54%	28	9.46%
01E	3363	2410	2366	2185	92.35%	181	7.65%
01F	1254	452	436	397	91.06%	39	8.94%
01G	1909	1102	1079	981	90.92%	98	9.08%
01H	758	379	364	336	92.31%	28	7.69%
01J	2416	1184	1142	1027	89.93%	115	10.07%
01P	1244	542	520	476	91.54%	44	8.46%
01R	1344	491	465	413	88.82%	52	11.18%
01S	1749	823	777	696	89.58%	81	10.42%
01T	1506	796	773	699	90.43%	74	9.57%
02A	4584	2949	2888	2620	90.72%	268	9.28%
02B	3518	1265	1242	1120	90.18%	122	9.82%
02C	2037	1205	1172	1089	92.92%	83	7.08%
02D	4475	2660	2608	2427	93.06%	181	6.94%
02E	1905	1423	1408	1311	93.11%	97	6.89%
02F1	2962	2033	1989	1868	93.92%	121	6.08%
02F2	788	458	447	414	92.62%	33	7.38%
02G	3443	2361	2325	2153	92.60%	172	7.40%
02J	2159	1432	1410	1311	92.98%	99	7.02%
02K	1419	951	923	852	92.31%	71	7.69%
02L1	6213	3978	3901	3567	91.44%	334	8.56%
02L2	1364	782	772	720	93.26%	52	6.74%
02S	708	427	422	401	95.02%	21	4.98%
02W	682	399	391	362	92.58%	29	7.42%
03A	1927	692	662	600	90.63%	62	9.37%
03B	560	181	171	146	85.38%	25	14.62%
03C	1534	674	633	566	89.42%	67	10.58%
03D	1017	402	387	348	89.92%	39	10.08%
03E	2284	914	895	803	89.72%	92	10.28%
03F	1925	1115	1101	1001	90.92%	100	9.08%
03G	802	360	343	323	94.17%	20	5.83%
03H	900	369	345	314	91.01%	31	8.99%
03I	1360	566	559	534	95.53%	25	4.47%
03L	594	229	215	194	90.23%	21	9.77%
03M	766	452	443	407	91.87%	36	8.13%
03N	2288	938	914	840	91.90%	74	8.10%
03P1A	3882	1966	1926	1792	93.04%	134	6.96%
03P2	13	7	7	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
03S	761	356	346	305	88.15%	41	11.85%
03T	1505	715	687	635	92.43%	52	7.57%
04A	1202	560	541	482	89.09%	59	10.91%
04B	2242	922	899	832	92.55%	67	7.45%
04C	136	54	53	48	90.57%	5	9.43%
04D	1481	714	693	624	90.04%	69	9.96%
04F	2086	935	914	841	92.01%	73	7.99%
04G	828	312	299	268	89.63%	31	10.37%
04I	3362	561	539	499	92.58%	40	7.42%
04J	1230	317	310	289	93.23%	21	6.77%
04K	2339	1266	1193	1095	91.79%	98	8.21%
04L	1655	867	838	777	92.72%	61	7.28%
04M	773	292	284	258	90.85%	26	9.15%
04S	2165	1118	1060	932	87.92%	128	12.08%
04T	1145	584	561	502	89.48%	59	10.52%
04V	2848	1211	1168	1057	90.50%	111	9.50%
04W	2203	820	801	758	94.63%	43	5.37%
04X1	1942	950	917	838	91.38%	79	8.62%
04X2	737	364	356	325	91.29%	31	8.71%
05A1	2491	1728	1695	1615	95.28%	80	4.72%
05A2	2910	1998	1959	1841	93.98%	118	6.02%
05B	1763	901	855	793	92.75%	62	7.25%
05C	146	67	65	57	87.69%	8	12.31%
05D	386	93	91	86	94.51%	5	5.49%
05F	223	136	133	129	96.99%	4	3.01%
05J	639	416	403	379	94.04%	24	5.96%
05K	2600	1327	1306	1225	93.80%	81	6.20%
06B	1756	1238	1226	1128	92.01%	98	7.99%
06D	8568	6060	5942	5434	91.45%	508	8.55%
06E	2250	1439	1414	1296	91.65%	118	8.35%
06F	2709	1757	1725	1601	92.81%	124	7.19%
06G	536	369	362	325	89.78%	37	10.22%
06I	3412	1957	1924	1798	93.45%	126	6.55%
06J	2539	1904	1875	1687	89.97%	188	10.03%
06L1	917	679	667	608	91.15%	59	8.85%
06L2	654	487	478	421	88.08%	57	11.92%
06N	1844	1085	1055	929	88.06%	126	11.94%
06Q	527	295	292	267	91.44%	25	8.56%
06R	1836	1206	1189	1084	91.17%	105	8.83%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	CONST AMENDMENT 1						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
07A	6600	4093	3949	3439	87.09%	510	12.91%
07B	2763	2107	2056	1748	85.02%	308	14.98%
07C	3927	2498	2367	2019	85.30%	348	14.70%
07D	4231	2413	2328	2071	88.96%	257	11.04%
07E	2031	1358	1322	1156	87.44%	166	12.56%
07F	3017	2041	1995	1753	87.87%	242	12.13%
07H	1923	1424	1380	1189	86.16%	191	13.84%
07J	2647	1753	1714	1540	89.85%	174	10.15%
07M	2155	1180	1154	996	86.31%	158	13.69%
07N	1946	1044	1016	934	91.93%	82	8.07%
08A	2799	1949	1893	1573	83.10%	320	16.90%
08B	3199	2255	2193	1904	86.82%	289	13.18%
08C	1392	984	954	794	83.23%	160	16.77%
08D	1022	770	746	619	82.98%	127	17.02%
08E	2429	1864	1813	1544	85.16%	269	14.84%
08F1	2071	1628	1575	1347	85.52%	228	14.48%
08G	2787	1669	1591	1370	86.11%	221	13.89%
08H	2465	1874	1808	1565	86.56%	243	13.44%
08J	2981	2223	2176	1906	87.59%	270	12.41%
08K	973	702	682	612	89.74%	70	10.26%
08L	4194	2706	2645	2393	90.47%	252	9.53%
08M	1907	1358	1322	1159	87.67%	163	12.33%
08N1	767	587	565	485	85.84%	80	14.16%
08N2	1391	927	890	780	87.64%	110	12.36%
08P	1633	928	908	830	91.41%	78	8.59%
09A	3338	2152	2103	1906	90.63%	197	9.37%
09B	385	174	167	151	90.42%	16	9.58%
09C	2954	1522	1445	1308	90.52%	137	9.48%
09D	1879	858	803	721	89.79%	82	10.21%
09E	2782	1420	1374	1253	91.19%	121	8.81%
09F	3619	2526	2468	2180	88.33%	288	11.67%
09G	3519	2282	2257	2077	92.02%	180	7.98%
09H	1064	645	632	599	94.78%	33	5.22%
09I	1194	503	473	421	89.01%	52	10.99%
09K	4403	1851	1776	1621	91.27%	155	8.73%
09M	2259	1611	1588	1443	90.87%	145	9.13%
10A	2850	1622	1533	1361	88.78%	172	11.22%
10B	3686	2464	2375	2160	90.95%	215	9.05%
10C	2466	1168	1114	1020	91.56%	94	8.44%
10D	4220	1850	1745	1569	89.91%	176	10.09%
10E	950	524	504	438	86.90%	66	13.10%
10F	1053	590	562	508	90.39%	54	9.61%
10G	1205	813	787	694	88.18%	93	11.82%
10H1	408	238	233	203	87.12%	30	12.88%
10H2	2207	1032	980	884	90.20%	96	9.80%
10I	329	258	252	228	90.48%	24	9.52%
10J	1012	466	443	394	88.94%	49	11.06%
10K	1415	858	819	756	92.31%	63	7.69%
10M	2529	1414	1374	1255	91.34%	119	8.66%
10P	1364	668	629	547	86.96%	82	13.04%
10R	713	347	327	297	90.83%	30	9.17%
11B	5162	3088	2971	2635	88.69%	336	11.31%
11C	2822	2003	1952	1768	90.57%	184	9.43%
11E1	1141	634	610	547	89.67%	63	10.33%
11E2	847	497	479	422	88.10%	57	11.90%
11E3	3124	1835	1793	1628	90.80%	165	9.20%
11G	2092	855	819	748	91.33%	71	8.67%
11H	677	356	335	303	90.45%	32	9.55%
11J	1827	1018	967	882	91.21%	85	8.79%
11K	1818	1104	1070	968	90.47%	102	9.53%
11M	3039	1659	1615	1470	91.02%	145	8.98%
11N	2166	1358	1306	1173	89.82%	133	10.18%
11P	1833	1020	960	885	92.19%	75	7.81%
11R	1849	672	639	576	90.14%	63	9.86%
12A	4828	2734	2631	2356	89.55%	275	10.45%
12D	1209	501	489	442	90.39%	47	9.61%
12E1	1621	591	562	500	88.97%	62	11.03%
12F	943	428	414	368	88.89%	46	11.11%
12G	800	430	404	362	89.60%	42	10.40%
12H1	1006	310	297	262	88.22%	35	11.78%
12H2	2562	1189	1138	997	87.61%	141	12.39%
12I	970	413	386	338	87.56%	48	12.44%
12J	2535	1108	1058	934	88.28%	124	11.72%
12K	3022	1240	1192	1063	89.18%	129	10.82%
12L	613	238	223	197	88.34%	26	11.66%
12M	2533	1171	1131	1008	89.12%	123	10.88%
12N	907	327	305	272	89.18%	33	10.82%
12S	1794	959	903	812	89.92%	91	10.08%
AP01A	4724	3141	3030	2618	86.40%	412	13.60%
AP01B	913	516	495	421	85.05%	74	14.95%
AP01C	2233	1498	1469	1236	84.14%	233	15.86%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	CONST AMENDMENT 1						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
AP01D	915	539	526	463	88.02%	63	11.98%
AP02B	474	263	250	221	88.40%	29	11.60%
AP03	741	314	306	277	90.52%	29	9.48%
AP04A	1647	1183	1136	981	86.36%	155	13.64%
AP04B	415	290	286	236	82.52%	50	17.48%
AP05	4367	2981	2881	2444	84.83%	437	15.17%
AP06	1827	1309	1255	1089	86.77%	166	13.23%
AP07A	3422	2102	2043	1748	85.56%	295	14.44%
AP07B	1650	1144	1109	933	84.13%	176	15.87%
AP09A	4051	2687	2602	2230	85.70%	372	14.30%
AP09B	810	531	517	465	89.94%	52	10.06%
AP021	2994	1963	1910	1642	85.97%	268	14.03%
AP022	2210	1429	1370	1215	88.69%	155	11.31%
AP10	942	681	652	562	86.20%	90	13.80%
AP12A	658	374	359	324	90.25%	35	9.75%
AP12B	747	428	411	367	89.29%	44	10.71%
AP12C	1584	1005	975	823	84.41%	152	15.59%
AP14	2972	2027	1985	1730	87.15%	255	12.85%
CH01	330	164	161	131	81.37%	30	18.63%
CH02	319	202	196	148	75.51%	48	24.49%
CH03	379	250	239	175	73.22%	64	26.78%
CH04A	647	444	431	356	82.60%	75	17.40%
CH05	407	260	243	176	72.43%	67	27.57%
CP01B	381	227	219	181	82.65%	38	17.35%
CP011	1135	617	607	529	87.15%	78	12.85%
CP012	1318	844	823	730	88.70%	93	11.30%
CP02	1000	553	537	487	90.69%	50	9.31%
CP04A	8	2	2	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP04B	39	14	13	12	92.31%	1	7.69%
CP05B	143	76	74	66	89.19%	8	10.81%
CP051	1612	874	856	772	90.19%	84	9.81%
CP06A	598	208	202	184	91.09%	18	8.91%
CP07B	7	2	2	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP07C	244	47	44	42	95.45%	2	4.55%
CP07D	694	208	203	186	91.63%	17	8.37%
CP07E	125	41	40	37	92.50%	3	7.50%
CP07F	184	55	53	49	92.45%	4	7.55%
CP081	343	77	76	66	86.84%	10	13.16%
CP083	219	25	25	22	88.00%	3	12.00%
CP084	3	1	1	0	0.00%	1	100.00%
CP08A	705	175	171	152	88.89%	19	11.11%
EP01A	2609	1464	1437	1315	91.51%	122	8.49%
EP01B	3038	1539	1483	1359	91.64%	124	8.36%
EP02A	1967	890	860	785	91.28%	75	8.72%
EP02B	1449	977	954	847	88.78%	107	11.22%
EP02C	428	251	246	220	89.43%	26	10.57%
EP02D	326	192	185	171	92.43%	14	7.57%
EP02E	1382	627	606	558	92.08%	48	7.92%
EP03A	4293	2512	2435	2184	89.69%	251	10.31%
EP03B	1421	973	953	859	90.14%	94	9.86%
EP04A	5432	2626	2573	2317	90.05%	256	9.95%
EP04B	2024	1203	1177	1077	91.50%	100	8.50%
FA01A	3574	2126	2074	1864	89.87%	210	10.13%
FA01B	4833	2965	2882	2549	88.45%	333	11.55%
FA01C	681	401	394	350	88.83%	44	11.17%
HP01	3212	1780	1727	1541	89.23%	186	10.77%
JC01	4977	3215	3108	2683	86.33%	425	13.67%
JC02	2460	1474	1405	1223	87.05%	182	12.95%
JC03A	540	384	377	312	82.76%	65	17.24%
JC03B	1448	955	917	801	87.35%	116	12.65%
JC04A	4042	2692	2621	2282	87.07%	339	12.93%
JC04B	255	156	149	128	85.91%	21	14.09%
JC05	2136	1391	1347	1174	87.16%	173	12.84%
JC06	2627	1580	1537	1262	82.11%	275	17.89%
JC07	4083	2627	2551	2157	84.56%	394	15.44%
JC08	2737	1870	1817	1555	85.58%	262	14.42%
JC09	2928	1878	1831	1608	87.82%	223	12.18%
JC10	2392	1507	1450	1273	87.79%	177	12.21%
JC11	3186	2085	2022	1689	83.53%	333	16.47%
JC12	2455	1753	1700	1338	78.71%	362	21.29%
JC13A	2115	1462	1412	1147	81.23%	265	18.77%
JC13B	271	180	178	134	75.28%	44	24.72%
JC14	2477	1634	1578	1342	85.04%	236	14.96%
JC15	834	523	507	436	86.00%	71	14.00%
JC16	2496	1729	1674	1405	83.93%	269	16.07%
JC18	2670	1969	1912	1647	86.14%	265	13.86%
JC19	3759	2528	2464	2128	86.36%	336	13.64%
ML011	2439	1681	1634	1416	86.66%	218	13.34%
ML012	485	338	321	274	85.36%	47	14.64%
ML01B	138	96	92	71	77.17%	21	22.83%
ML021	1991	1369	1323	1105	83.52%	218	16.48%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	CONST AMENDMENT 1						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
ML022	241	148	142	126	88.73%	16	11.27%
ML023	1542	1027	993	837	84.29%	156	15.71%
ML024	113	70	68	52	76.47%	16	23.53%
ML03	3757	2575	2486	2062	82.94%	424	17.06%
ML04A	1747	1176	1137	977	85.93%	160	14.07%
ML04B	1206	838	824	677	82.16%	147	17.84%
ML04C	79	66	65	53	81.54%	12	18.46%
ML05A	39	27	27	25	92.59%	2	7.41%
ML05B	381	250	241	190	78.84%	51	21.16%
ML05C	4023	2615	2532	2186	86.33%	346	13.67%
ML06A	1973	1024	1007	905	89.87%	102	10.13%
ML06B	2775	1734	1682	1479	87.93%	203	12.07%
ML071	105	73	72	63	87.50%	9	12.50%
ML072	1249	857	830	684	82.41%	146	17.59%
ML07A	707	472	458	385	84.06%	73	15.94%
MP01	450	323	320	273	85.31%	47	14.69%
PA01	2509	1330	1284	1082	84.27%	202	15.73%
RW01	4717	3355	3226	2674	82.89%	552	17.11%
RW02	3794	2675	2598	2242	86.30%	356	13.70%
RW03	4510	2745	2662	2330	87.53%	332	12.47%
RW04	1803	1359	1330	1132	85.11%	198	14.89%
RW05	2322	1207	1176	1029	87.50%	147	12.50%
RW06	2820	1914	1873	1629	86.97%	244	13.03%
RW07A	1549	677	654	581	88.84%	73	11.16%
RW07B	388	214	211	189	89.57%	22	10.43%
RW08	2138	1462	1398	1212	86.70%	186	13.30%
RW09	5918	4216	4094	3399	83.02%	695	16.98%
RW10	3347	2376	2300	1914	83.22%	386	16.78%
RW11A	2667	1693	1658	1456	87.82%	202	12.18%
RW12	5944	4234	4110	3461	84.21%	649	15.79%
RW13	3555	2476	2402	2062	85.85%	340	14.15%
RW16	1850	1275	1243	1030	82.86%	213	17.14%
RW17	2794	1773	1733	1521	87.77%	212	12.23%
RW19	1993	1434	1385	1181	85.27%	204	14.73%
RW20	2486	1797	1744	1499	85.95%	245	14.05%
RW21	3144	2169	2109	1777	84.26%	332	15.74%
RW22A	4406	2486	2416	2122	87.83%	294	12.17%
SC01A	20	25	24	21	87.50%	3	12.50%
SC01B	1707	1295	1281	1159	90.48%	122	9.52%
SC01C	2063	1513	1478	1349	91.27%	129	8.73%
SC01D	294	162	157	142	90.45%	15	9.55%
SC02	872	662	644	580	90.06%	64	9.94%
SC04	922	616	602	512	85.05%	90	14.95%
SC05A	646	409	394	364	92.39%	30	7.61%
SC05B	3204	2188	2141	1944	90.80%	197	9.20%
SC05D	2171	1428	1403	1273	90.73%	130	9.27%
SC05E	623	434	425	378	88.94%	47	11.06%
SC07A	5595	3698	3632	3260	89.76%	372	10.24%
SC07C	29	10	10	10	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08B	3382	2008	1957	1723	88.04%	234	11.96%
SC08C	74	53	51	46	90.20%	5	9.80%
SC08D	499	314	306	281	91.83%	25	8.17%
SC08E	562	316	309	279	90.29%	30	9.71%
SC08F	700	366	363	325	89.53%	38	10.47%
SC08G	12	8	7	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08H	4	3	3	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC09A	1628	839	815	737	90.43%	78	9.57%
SC09B	1687	1084	1053	957	90.88%	96	9.12%
SC09C	1566	842	815	725	88.96%	90	11.04%
SC10	1678	661	640	585	91.41%	55	8.59%
SC11A	1411	813	794	712	89.67%	82	10.33%
SC11B	1506	878	864	788	91.20%	76	8.80%
SC13	2465	1636	1607	1426	88.74%	181	11.26%
SC14A	916	429	421	386	91.69%	35	8.31%
SC14B	502	45	43	42	97.67%	1	2.33%
SC15	6193	4213	4138	3709	89.63%	429	10.37%
SC16A	4601	3208	3137	2826	90.09%	311	9.91%
SC16B	14	15	14	12	85.71%	2	14.29%
SC17A	55	42	40	38	95.00%	2	5.00%
SC17B	7	4	3	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC17C	1134	669	656	599	91.31%	57	8.69%
SC18A	510	329	316	298	94.30%	18	5.70%
SC18B	663	462	455	405	89.01%	50	10.99%
SC18C	1850	1352	1315	1192	90.65%	123	9.35%
SC19A	195	129	126	109	86.51%	17	13.49%
SC19B	1267	690	672	615	91.52%	57	8.48%
SC20	2197	1665	1638	1490	90.96%	148	9.04%
SC211	1328	841	818	724	88.51%	94	11.49%
SC212	3378	1947	1904	1714	90.02%	190	9.98%
SC23A	3161	1730	1697	1524	89.81%	173	10.19%
SC23B	483	284	272	246	90.44%	26	9.56%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	CONST AMENDMENT 1						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
SC23C	2252	1302	1280	1149	89.77%	131	10.23%
SC27	2129	1259	1226	1106	90.21%	120	9.79%
SC29A	2784	1544	1495	1323	88.49%	172	11.51%
SC30A	135	97	92	86	93.48%	6	6.52%
SC30B	960	531	520	461	88.65%	59	11.35%
SS01	4487	3270	3164	2625	82.96%	539	17.04%
SS02A	1120	755	738	639	86.59%	99	13.41%
SS02B	1255	756	732	652	89.07%	80	10.93%
SS03	1464	1027	1011	892	88.23%	119	11.77%
SS04	3264	2064	2005	1758	87.68%	247	12.32%
SS05	1746	1376	1326	1137	85.75%	189	14.25%
SS06	1397	1073	1040	872	83.85%	168	16.15%
SS07A	968	683	645	545	84.50%	100	15.50%
SS07B	609	405	390	354	90.77%	36	9.23%
SS07C	755	436	416	364	87.50%	52	12.50%
SS08A	1316	758	735	664	90.34%	71	9.66%
SS08B	635	453	442	373	84.39%	69	15.61%
SS08C	632	393	381	347	91.08%	34	8.92%
SS08D	1368	847	820	732	89.27%	88	10.73%
SS09A	3003	2210	2139	1807	84.48%	332	15.52%
SS09B	3358	2452	2376	2030	85.44%	346	14.56%
SS11A	3655	2227	2166	1902	87.81%	264	12.19%
SS11B	1257	707	681	610	89.57%	71	10.43%
SS11C	269	146	144	126	87.50%	18	12.50%
SS11D	365	254	240	204	85.00%	36	15.00%
SS12	2559	1732	1690	1469	86.92%	221	13.08%
SS13A	1633	1072	1053	928	88.13%	125	11.87%
SS13B	1001	629	616	544	88.31%	72	11.69%
SS14	1274	977	955	822	86.07%	133	13.93%
SS15A	2572	1199	1169	1053	90.08%	116	9.92%
SS15B	1579	902	886	810	91.42%	76	8.58%
SS16	2030	1534	1496	1245	83.22%	251	16.78%
SS17	3397	2229	2160	1825	84.49%	335	15.51%
SS18A	619	454	441	373	84.58%	68	15.42%
SS18B	221	162	153	128	83.66%	25	16.34%
SS19A	3016	1683	1635	1440	88.07%	195	11.93%
SS19B	2032	1226	1189	1050	88.31%	139	11.69%
SS20	2060	1159	1130	995	88.05%	135	11.95%
SS22	2714	1613	1563	1334	85.35%	229	14.65%
SS26	3552	1822	1782	1591	89.28%	191	10.72%
SS29A	2488	1595	1535	1326	86.38%	209	13.62%
SS31	1345	938	911	785	86.17%	126	13.83%
UC01A	3622	1715	1682	1528	90.84%	154	9.16%
UC01B	395	210	208	184	88.46%	24	11.54%
UC01D	6	2	2	1	50.00%	1	50.00%
UC01E	285	206	201	185	92.04%	16	7.96%
UC02A	7513	4296	4187	3752	89.61%	435	10.39%
UC02B	30	19	18	15	83.33%	3	16.67%
UC031	2399	1203	1145	997	87.07%	148	12.93%
UC032	292	186	182	162	89.01%	20	10.99%
Total	703177	424992	412738	364912	88.41%	47826	11.59%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	CONST AMENDMENT 2						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
Jurisdiction Wide							
01A	3199	2362	2227	1260	56.58%	967	43.42%
01B	3839	2737	2581	1328	51.45%	1253	48.55%
01C	1459	612	579	480	82.90%	99	17.10%
01D	511	307	284	193	67.96%	91	32.04%
01E	3363	2410	2263	1336	59.04%	927	40.96%
01F	1254	452	422	351	83.18%	71	16.82%
01G	1909	1102	1049	730	69.59%	319	30.41%
01H	758	379	352	272	77.27%	80	22.73%
01J	2416	1184	1124	899	79.98%	225	20.02%
01P	1244	542	510	418	81.96%	92	18.04%
01R	1344	491	453	391	86.31%	62	13.69%
01S	1749	823	771	642	83.27%	129	16.73%
01T	1506	796	762	530	69.55%	232	30.45%
02A	4584	2949	2796	1792	64.09%	1004	35.91%
02B	3518	1265	1206	864	71.64%	342	28.36%
02C	2037	1205	1128	757	67.11%	371	32.89%
02D	4475	2660	2502	1606	64.19%	896	35.81%
02E	1905	1423	1355	697	51.44%	658	48.56%
02F1	2962	2033	1906	1151	60.39%	755	39.61%
02F2	788	458	428	292	68.22%	136	31.78%
02G	3443	2361	2232	1383	61.96%	849	38.04%
02J	2159	1432	1356	775	57.15%	581	42.85%
02K	1419	951	871	480	55.11%	391	44.89%
02L1	6213	3978	3754	2420	64.46%	1334	35.54%
02L2	1364	782	744	480	64.52%	264	35.48%
02S	708	427	413	267	64.65%	146	35.35%
02W	682	399	378	249	65.87%	129	34.13%
03A	1927	692	640	515	80.47%	125	19.53%
03B	560	181	162	135	83.33%	27	16.67%
03C	1534	674	618	509	82.36%	109	17.64%
03D	1017	402	376	310	82.45%	66	17.55%
03E	2284	914	876	710	81.05%	166	18.95%
03F	1925	1115	1070	719	67.20%	351	32.80%
03G	802	360	338	284	84.02%	54	15.98%
03H	900	369	340	276	81.18%	64	18.82%
03I	1360	566	533	330	61.91%	203	38.09%
03L	594	229	204	163	79.90%	41	20.10%
03M	766	452	431	267	61.95%	164	38.05%
03N	2288	938	887	716	80.72%	171	19.28%
03P1A	3882	1966	1829	1256	68.67%	573	31.33%
03P2	13	7	7	6	85.71%	1	14.29%
03S	761	356	337	267	79.23%	70	20.77%
03T	1505	715	672	532	79.17%	140	20.83%
04A	1202	560	524	418	79.77%	106	20.23%
04B	2242	922	864	679	78.59%	185	21.41%
04C	136	54	51	40	78.43%	11	21.57%
04D	1481	714	679	533	78.50%	146	21.50%
04F	2086	935	891	664	74.52%	227	25.48%
04G	828	312	285	220	77.19%	65	22.81%
04I	3362	561	523	367	70.17%	156	29.83%
04J	1230	317	306	251	82.03%	55	17.97%
04K	2339	1266	1163	875	75.24%	288	24.76%
04L	1655	867	819	572	69.84%	247	30.16%
04M	773	292	280	218	77.86%	62	22.14%
04S	2165	1118	1035	825	79.71%	210	20.29%
04T	1145	584	547	434	79.34%	113	20.66%
04V	2848	1211	1145	939	82.01%	206	17.99%
04W	2203	820	794	658	82.87%	136	17.13%
04X1	1942	950	897	698	77.81%	199	22.19%
04X2	737	364	345	278	80.58%	67	19.42%
05A1	2491	1728	1613	900	55.80%	713	44.20%
05A2	2910	1998	1865	1103	59.14%	762	40.86%
05B	1763	901	814	598	73.46%	216	26.54%
05C	146	67	62	50	80.65%	12	19.35%
05D	386	93	87	70	80.46%	17	19.54%
05F	223	136	125	94	75.20%	31	24.80%
05J	639	416	396	257	64.90%	139	35.10%
05K	2600	1327	1262	899	71.24%	363	28.76%
06B	1756	1238	1172	696	59.39%	476	40.61%
06D	8568	6060	5677	3531	62.20%	2146	37.80%
06E	2250	1439	1354	889	65.66%	465	34.34%
06F	2709	1757	1649	970	58.82%	679	41.18%
06G	536	369	344	212	61.63%	132	38.37%
06I	3412	1957	1865	1329	71.26%	536	28.74%
06J	2539	1904	1814	1064	58.65%	750	41.35%
06L1	917	679	639	405	63.38%	234	36.62%
06L2	654	487	464	300	64.66%	164	35.34%
06N	1844	1085	1022	727	71.14%	295	28.86%
06Q	527	295	281	176	62.63%	105	37.37%
06R	1836	1206	1155	716	61.99%	439	38.01%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	CONST AMENDMENT 2						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
07A	6600	4093	3774	2744	72.71%	1030	27.29%
07B	2763	2107	1954	1409	72.11%	545	27.89%
07C	3927	2498	2259	1607	71.14%	652	28.86%
07D	4231	2413	2195	1621	73.85%	574	26.15%
07E	2031	1358	1269	907	71.47%	362	28.53%
07F	3017	2041	1934	1381	71.41%	553	28.59%
07H	1923	1424	1329	952	71.63%	377	28.37%
07J	2647	1753	1625	1124	69.17%	501	30.83%
07M	2155	1180	1108	777	70.13%	331	29.87%
07N	1946	1044	975	728	74.67%	247	25.33%
08A	2799	1949	1792	1329	74.16%	463	25.84%
08B	3199	2255	2075	1488	71.71%	587	28.29%
08C	1392	984	917	690	75.25%	227	24.75%
08D	1022	770	708	519	73.31%	189	26.69%
08E	2429	1864	1733	1267	73.11%	466	26.89%
08F1	2071	1628	1474	1094	74.22%	380	25.78%
08G	2787	1669	1532	1101	71.87%	431	28.13%
08H	2465	1874	1737	1220	70.24%	517	29.76%
08J	2981	2223	2033	1473	72.45%	560	27.55%
08K	973	702	668	476	71.26%	192	28.74%
08L	4194	2706	2548	1758	69.00%	790	31.00%
08M	1907	1358	1251	920	73.54%	331	26.46%
08N1	767	587	534	403	75.47%	131	24.53%
08N2	1391	927	839	606	72.23%	233	27.77%
08P	1633	928	882	616	69.84%	266	30.16%
09A	3338	2152	2033	1390	68.37%	643	31.63%
09B	385	174	168	141	83.93%	27	16.07%
09C	2954	1522	1413	1098	77.71%	315	22.29%
09D	1879	858	782	637	81.46%	145	18.54%
09E	2782	1420	1335	1049	78.58%	286	21.42%
09F	3619	2526	2384	1680	70.47%	704	29.53%
09G	3519	2282	2171	1463	67.39%	708	32.61%
09H	1064	645	612	409	66.83%	203	33.17%
09I	1194	503	459	383	83.44%	76	16.56%
09K	4403	1851	1732	1446	83.49%	286	16.51%
09M	2259	1611	1527	1012	66.27%	515	33.73%
10A	2850	1622	1511	1213	80.28%	298	19.72%
10B	3686	2464	2323	1756	75.59%	567	24.41%
10C	2466	1168	1100	933	84.82%	167	15.18%
10D	4220	1850	1714	1420	82.85%	294	17.15%
10E	950	524	487	374	76.80%	113	23.20%
10F	1053	590	552	437	79.17%	115	20.83%
10G	1205	813	762	568	74.54%	194	25.46%
10H1	408	238	228	161	70.61%	67	29.39%
10H2	2207	1032	964	790	81.95%	174	18.05%
10I	329	258	248	173	69.76%	75	30.24%
10J	1012	466	436	343	78.67%	93	21.33%
10K	1415	858	793	613	77.30%	180	22.70%
10M	2529	1414	1333	964	72.32%	369	27.68%
10P	1364	668	616	496	80.52%	120	19.48%
10R	713	347	320	272	85.00%	48	15.00%
11B	5162	3088	2906	2212	76.12%	694	23.88%
11C	2822	2003	1925	1412	73.35%	513	26.65%
11E1	1141	634	605	477	78.84%	128	21.16%
11E2	847	497	465	369	79.35%	96	20.65%
11E3	3124	1835	1765	1413	80.06%	352	19.94%
11G	2092	855	797	666	83.56%	131	16.44%
11H	677	356	324	260	80.25%	64	19.75%
11J	1827	1018	951	786	82.65%	165	17.35%
11K	1818	1104	1026	776	75.63%	250	24.37%
11M	3039	1659	1582	1232	77.88%	350	22.12%
11N	2166	1358	1263	986	78.07%	277	21.93%
11P	1833	1020	937	795	84.85%	142	15.15%
11R	1849	672	626	524	83.71%	102	16.29%
12A	4828	2734	2544	1811	71.19%	733	28.81%
12D	1209	501	477	388	81.34%	89	18.66%
12E1	1621	591	549	440	80.15%	109	19.85%
12F	943	428	405	334	82.47%	71	17.53%
12G	800	430	393	340	86.51%	53	13.49%
12H1	1006	310	291	246	84.54%	45	15.46%
12H2	2562	1189	1109	881	79.44%	228	20.56%
12I	970	413	379	309	81.53%	70	18.47%
12J	2535	1108	1031	882	85.55%	149	14.45%
12K	3022	1240	1154	867	75.13%	287	24.87%
12L	613	238	218	171	78.44%	47	21.56%
12M	2533	1171	1105	890	80.54%	215	19.46%
12N	907	327	299	233	77.93%	66	22.07%
12S	1794	959	868	671	77.30%	197	22.70%
AP01A	4724	3141	2891	2126	73.54%	765	26.46%
AP01B	913	516	480	350	72.92%	130	27.08%
AP01C	2233	1498	1396	1019	72.99%	377	27.01%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	CONST AMENDMENT 2						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
AP01D	915	539	505	337	66.73%	168	33.27%
AP02B	474	263	237	189	79.75%	48	20.25%
AP03	741	314	298	239	80.20%	59	19.80%
AP04A	1647	1183	1090	779	71.47%	311	28.53%
AP04B	415	290	278	208	74.82%	70	25.18%
AP05	4367	2981	2786	2034	73.01%	752	26.99%
AP06	1827	1309	1220	869	71.23%	351	28.77%
AP07A	3422	2102	1965	1430	72.77%	535	27.23%
AP07B	1650	1144	1064	780	73.31%	284	26.69%
AP09A	4051	2687	2502	1798	71.86%	704	28.14%
AP09B	810	531	493	353	71.60%	140	28.40%
AP021	2994	1963	1847	1299	70.33%	548	29.67%
AP022	2210	1429	1312	973	74.16%	339	25.84%
AP10	942	681	622	444	71.38%	178	28.62%
AP12A	658	374	342	244	71.35%	98	28.65%
AP12B	747	428	394	289	73.35%	105	26.65%
AP12C	1584	1005	931	687	73.79%	244	26.21%
AP14	2972	2027	1887	1374	72.81%	513	27.19%
CH01	330	164	157	107	68.15%	50	31.85%
CH02	319	202	192	114	59.38%	78	40.63%
CH03	379	250	230	132	57.39%	98	42.61%
CH04A	647	444	406	222	54.68%	184	45.32%
CH05	407	260	240	134	55.83%	106	44.17%
CP01B	381	227	213	151	70.89%	62	29.11%
CP011	1135	617	589	430	73.01%	159	26.99%
CP012	1318	844	799	572	71.59%	227	28.41%
CP02	1000	553	516	411	79.65%	105	20.35%
CP04A	8	2	2	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP04B	39	14	14	12	85.71%	2	14.29%
CP05B	143	76	73	61	83.56%	12	16.44%
CP051	1612	874	842	698	82.90%	144	17.10%
CP06A	598	208	198	163	82.32%	35	17.68%
CP07B	7	2	2	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP07C	244	47	43	38	88.37%	5	11.63%
CP07D	694	208	200	174	87.00%	26	13.00%
CP07E	125	41	39	32	82.05%	7	17.95%
CP07F	184	55	52	48	92.31%	4	7.69%
CP081	343	77	76	59	77.63%	17	22.37%
CP083	219	25	24	21	87.50%	3	12.50%
CP084	3	1	1	0	0.00%	1	100.00%
CP08A	705	175	166	141	84.94%	25	15.06%
EP01A	2609	1464	1397	1026	73.44%	371	26.56%
EP01B	3038	1539	1461	1145	78.37%	316	21.63%
EP02A	1967	890	838	689	82.22%	149	17.78%
EP02B	1449	977	925	585	63.24%	340	36.76%
EP02C	428	251	236	167	70.76%	69	29.24%
EP02D	326	192	184	148	80.43%	36	19.57%
EP02E	1382	627	588	462	78.57%	126	21.43%
EP03A	4293	2512	2382	1817	76.28%	565	23.72%
EP03B	1421	973	932	712	76.39%	220	23.61%
EP04A	5432	2626	2543	2054	80.77%	489	19.23%
EP04B	2024	1203	1165	905	77.68%	260	22.32%
FA01A	3574	2126	2035	1594	78.33%	441	21.67%
FA01B	4833	2965	2825	2257	79.89%	568	20.11%
FA01C	681	401	385	315	81.82%	70	18.18%
HP01	3212	1780	1686	1203	71.35%	483	28.65%
JC01	4977	3215	2997	2159	72.04%	838	27.96%
JC02	2460	1474	1351	991	73.35%	360	26.65%
JC03A	540	384	366	249	68.03%	117	31.97%
JC03B	1448	955	883	663	75.08%	220	24.92%
JC04A	4042	2692	2510	1815	72.31%	695	27.69%
JC04B	255	156	140	97	69.29%	43	30.71%
JC05	2136	1391	1292	933	72.21%	359	27.79%
JC06	2627	1580	1480	1079	72.91%	401	27.09%
JC07	4083	2627	2444	1742	71.28%	702	28.72%
JC08	2737	1870	1746	1265	72.45%	481	27.55%
JC09	2928	1878	1744	1229	70.47%	515	29.53%
JC10	2392	1507	1392	983	70.62%	409	29.38%
JC11	3186	2085	1944	1387	71.35%	557	28.65%
JC12	2455	1753	1632	1124	68.87%	508	31.13%
JC13A	2115	1462	1356	973	71.76%	383	28.24%
JC13B	271	180	170	124	72.94%	46	27.06%
JC14	2477	1634	1521	1122	73.77%	399	26.23%
JC15	834	523	488	348	71.31%	140	28.69%
JC16	2496	1729	1605	1078	67.17%	527	32.83%
JC18	2670	1969	1829	1273	69.60%	556	30.40%
JC19	3759	2528	2340	1631	69.70%	709	30.30%
ML011	2439	1681	1592	1207	75.82%	385	24.18%
ML012	485	338	316	233	73.73%	83	26.27%
ML01B	138	96	89	65	73.03%	24	26.97%
ML021	1991	1369	1278	906	70.89%	372	29.11%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	CONST AMENDMENT 2						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
ML022	241	148	138	104	75.36%	34	24.64%
ML023	1542	1027	952	725	76.16%	227	23.84%
ML024	113	70	61	41	67.21%	20	32.79%
ML03	3757	2575	2362	1716	72.65%	646	27.35%
ML04A	1747	1176	1087	782	71.94%	305	28.06%
ML04B	1206	838	794	547	68.89%	247	31.11%
ML04C	79	66	63	51	80.95%	12	19.05%
ML05A	39	27	25	20	80.00%	5	20.00%
ML05B	381	250	229	156	68.12%	73	31.88%
ML05C	4023	2615	2447	1788	73.07%	659	26.93%
ML06A	1973	1024	973	702	72.15%	271	27.85%
ML06B	2775	1734	1623	1202	74.06%	421	25.94%
ML071	105	73	70	48	68.57%	22	31.43%
ML072	1249	857	812	595	73.28%	217	26.72%
ML07A	707	472	439	321	73.12%	118	26.88%
MP01	450	323	307	217	70.68%	90	29.32%
PA01	2509	1330	1259	956	75.93%	303	24.07%
RW01	4717	3355	3078	2157	70.08%	921	29.92%
RW02	3794	2675	2491	1703	68.37%	788	31.63%
RW03	4510	2745	2563	1814	70.78%	749	29.22%
RW04	1803	1359	1273	835	65.59%	438	34.41%
RW05	2322	1207	1148	855	74.48%	293	25.52%
RW06	2820	1914	1789	1222	68.31%	567	31.69%
RW07A	1549	677	644	480	74.53%	164	25.47%
RW07B	388	214	204	146	71.57%	58	28.43%
RW08	2138	1462	1347	956	70.97%	391	29.03%
RW09	5918	4216	3925	2804	71.44%	1121	28.56%
RW10	3347	2376	2216	1511	68.19%	705	31.81%
RW11A	2667	1693	1602	1119	69.85%	483	30.15%
RW12	5944	4234	3937	2780	70.61%	1157	29.39%
RW13	3555	2476	2314	1566	67.68%	748	32.32%
RW16	1850	1275	1193	793	66.47%	400	33.53%
RW17	2794	1773	1643	1103	67.13%	540	32.87%
RW19	1993	1434	1321	938	71.01%	383	28.99%
RW20	2486	1797	1675	1219	72.78%	456	27.22%
RW21	3144	2169	2010	1462	72.74%	548	27.26%
RW22A	4406	2486	2325	1698	73.03%	627	26.97%
SC01A	20	25	22	15	68.18%	7	31.82%
SC01B	1707	1295	1257	962	76.53%	295	23.47%
SC01C	2063	1513	1446	1122	77.59%	324	22.41%
SC01D	294	162	159	130	81.76%	29	18.24%
SC02	872	662	638	477	74.76%	161	25.24%
SC04	922	616	587	425	72.40%	162	27.60%
SC05A	646	409	386	327	84.72%	59	15.28%
SC05B	3204	2188	2098	1627	77.55%	471	22.45%
SC05D	2171	1428	1385	1139	82.24%	246	17.76%
SC05E	623	434	421	321	76.25%	100	23.75%
SC07A	5595	3698	3571	2831	79.28%	740	20.72%
SC07C	29	10	10	8	80.00%	2	20.00%
SC08B	3382	2008	1917	1547	80.70%	370	19.30%
SC08C	74	53	51	42	82.35%	9	17.65%
SC08D	499	314	304	242	79.61%	62	20.39%
SC08E	562	316	306	247	80.72%	59	19.28%
SC08F	700	366	358	296	82.68%	62	17.32%
SC08G	12	8	7	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08H	4	3	3	1	33.33%	2	66.67%
SC09A	1628	839	802	664	82.79%	138	17.21%
SC09B	1687	1084	1036	824	79.54%	212	20.46%
SC09C	1566	842	799	641	80.23%	158	19.77%
SC10	1678	661	629	527	83.78%	102	16.22%
SC11A	1411	813	779	639	82.03%	140	17.97%
SC11B	1506	878	851	711	83.55%	140	16.45%
SC13	2465	1636	1575	1238	78.60%	337	21.40%
SC14A	916	429	410	333	81.22%	77	18.78%
SC14B	502	45	39	35	89.74%	4	10.26%
SC15	6193	4213	4067	3206	78.83%	861	21.17%
SC16A	4601	3208	3100	2386	76.97%	714	23.03%
SC16B	14	15	13	12	92.31%	1	7.69%
SC17A	55	42	40	33	82.50%	7	17.50%
SC17B	7	4	3	2	66.67%	1	33.33%
SC17C	1134	669	646	519	80.34%	127	19.66%
SC18A	510	329	314	258	82.17%	56	17.83%
SC18B	663	462	450	343	76.22%	107	23.78%
SC18C	1850	1352	1295	970	74.90%	325	25.10%
SC19A	195	129	125	98	78.40%	27	21.60%
SC19B	1267	690	662	546	82.48%	116	17.52%
SC20	2197	1665	1614	1232	76.33%	382	23.67%
SC211	1328	841	809	650	80.35%	159	19.65%
SC212	3378	1947	1865	1516	81.29%	349	18.71%
SC23A	3161	1730	1675	1375	82.09%	300	17.91%
SC23B	483	284	267	219	82.02%	48	17.98%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	CONST AMENDMENT 2						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
SC23C	2252	1302	1255	1071	85.34%	184	14.66%
SC27	2129	1259	1206	982	81.43%	224	18.57%
SC29A	2784	1544	1475	1203	81.56%	272	18.44%
SC30A	135	97	94	78	82.98%	16	17.02%
SC30B	960	531	506	392	77.47%	114	22.53%
SS01	4487	3270	3055	2132	69.79%	923	30.21%
SS02A	1120	755	708	477	67.37%	231	32.63%
SS02B	1255	756	710	495	69.72%	215	30.28%
SS03	1464	1027	978	661	67.59%	317	32.41%
SS04	3264	2064	1941	1393	71.77%	548	28.23%
SS05	1746	1376	1266	811	64.06%	455	35.94%
SS06	1397	1073	1003	666	66.40%	337	33.60%
SS07A	968	683	625	422	67.52%	203	32.48%
SS07B	609	405	376	271	72.07%	105	27.93%
SS07C	755	436	390	275	70.51%	115	29.49%
SS08A	1316	758	701	509	72.61%	192	27.39%
SS08B	635	453	424	295	69.58%	129	30.42%
SS08C	632	393	366	273	74.59%	93	25.41%
SS08D	1368	847	787	560	71.16%	227	28.84%
SS09A	3003	2210	2068	1478	71.47%	590	28.53%
SS09B	3358	2452	2267	1589	70.09%	678	29.91%
SS11A	3655	2227	2088	1478	70.79%	610	29.21%
SS11B	1257	707	655	455	69.47%	200	30.53%
SS11C	269	146	137	94	68.61%	43	31.39%
SS11D	365	254	230	173	75.22%	57	24.78%
SS12	2559	1732	1609	1172	72.84%	437	27.16%
SS13A	1633	1072	993	691	69.59%	302	30.41%
SS13B	1001	629	596	459	77.01%	137	22.99%
SS14	1274	977	910	642	70.55%	268	29.45%
SS15A	2572	1199	1144	857	74.91%	287	25.09%
SS15B	1579	902	853	604	70.81%	249	29.19%
SS16	2030	1534	1436	1010	70.33%	426	29.67%
SS17	3397	2229	2076	1457	70.18%	619	29.82%
SS18A	619	454	425	274	64.47%	151	35.53%
SS18B	221	162	149	96	64.43%	53	35.57%
SS19A	3016	1683	1580	1153	72.97%	427	27.03%
SS19B	2032	1226	1145	850	74.24%	295	25.76%
SS20	2060	1159	1082	778	71.90%	304	28.10%
SS22	2714	1613	1514	1098	72.52%	416	27.48%
SS26	3552	1822	1717	1254	73.03%	463	26.97%
SS29A	2488	1595	1474	1024	69.47%	450	30.53%
SS31	1345	938	872	612	70.18%	260	29.82%
UC01A	3622	1715	1659	1383	83.36%	276	16.64%
UC01B	395	210	204	167	81.86%	37	18.14%
UC01D	6	2	2	1	50.00%	1	50.00%
UC01E	285	206	198	162	81.82%	36	18.18%
UC02A	7513	4296	4120	3370	81.80%	750	18.20%
UC02B	30	19	18	13	72.22%	5	27.78%
UC031	2399	1203	1116	909	81.45%	207	18.55%
UC032	292	186	178	145	81.46%	33	18.54%
Total	703177	424992	398928	290084	72.72%	108844	27.28%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	CONST AMENDMENT 3						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
Jurisdiction Wide							
01A	3199	2362	2193	1585	72.28%	608	27.72%
01B	3839	2737	2555	1877	73.46%	678	26.54%
01C	1459	612	565	400	70.80%	165	29.20%
01D	511	307	284	214	75.35%	70	24.65%
01E	3363	2410	2242	1594	71.10%	648	28.90%
01F	1254	452	414	285	68.84%	129	31.16%
01G	1909	1102	1029	738	71.72%	291	28.28%
01H	758	379	343	254	74.05%	89	25.95%
01J	2416	1184	1085	746	68.76%	339	31.24%
01P	1244	542	483	317	65.63%	166	34.37%
01R	1344	491	445	308	69.21%	137	30.79%
01S	1749	823	750	511	68.13%	239	31.87%
01T	1506	796	741	511	68.96%	230	31.04%
02A	4584	2949	2747	1960	71.35%	787	28.65%
02B	3518	1265	1186	813	68.55%	373	31.45%
02C	2037	1205	1104	812	73.55%	292	26.45%
02D	4475	2660	2473	1835	74.20%	638	25.80%
02E	1905	1423	1343	976	72.67%	367	27.33%
02F1	2962	2033	1885	1449	76.87%	436	23.13%
02F2	788	458	420	307	73.10%	113	26.90%
02G	3443	2361	2205	1601	72.61%	604	27.39%
02J	2159	1432	1349	982	72.79%	367	27.21%
02K	1419	951	857	627	73.16%	230	26.84%
02L1	6213	3978	3707	2708	73.05%	999	26.95%
02L2	1364	782	738	532	72.09%	206	27.91%
02S	708	427	406	316	77.83%	90	22.17%
02W	682	399	360	254	70.56%	106	29.44%
03A	1927	692	627	423	67.46%	204	32.54%
03B	560	181	158	109	68.99%	49	31.01%
03C	1534	674	597	426	71.36%	171	28.64%
03D	1017	402	371	261	70.35%	110	29.65%
03E	2284	914	855	587	68.65%	268	31.35%
03F	1925	1115	1051	744	70.79%	307	29.21%
03G	802	360	337	237	70.33%	100	29.67%
03H	900	369	329	234	71.12%	95	28.88%
03I	1360	566	534	399	74.72%	135	25.28%
03L	594	229	205	154	75.12%	51	24.88%
03M	766	452	422	322	76.30%	100	23.70%
03N	2288	938	881	661	75.03%	220	24.97%
03P1A	3882	1966	1821	1331	73.09%	490	26.91%
03P2	13	7	7	6	85.71%	1	14.29%
03S	761	356	332	216	65.06%	116	34.94%
03T	1505	715	661	472	71.41%	189	28.59%
04A	1202	560	513	360	70.18%	153	29.82%
04B	2242	922	855	606	70.88%	249	29.12%
04C	136	54	49	33	67.35%	16	32.65%
04D	1481	714	646	452	69.97%	194	30.03%
04F	2086	935	870	620	71.26%	250	28.74%
04G	828	312	285	203	71.23%	82	28.77%
04I	3362	561	510	359	70.39%	151	29.61%
04J	1230	317	303	206	67.99%	97	32.01%
04K	2339	1266	1135	805	70.93%	330	29.07%
04L	1655	867	809	552	68.23%	257	31.77%
04M	773	292	276	197	71.38%	79	28.62%
04S	2165	1118	995	703	70.65%	292	29.35%
04T	1145	584	523	363	69.41%	160	30.59%
04V	2848	1211	1130	771	68.23%	359	31.77%
04W	2203	820	775	567	73.16%	208	26.84%
04X1	1942	950	887	615	69.33%	272	30.67%
04X2	737	364	330	241	73.03%	89	26.97%
05A1	2491	1728	1598	1216	76.10%	382	23.90%
05A2	2910	1998	1843	1378	74.77%	465	25.23%
05B	1763	901	804	592	73.63%	212	26.37%
05C	146	67	64	49	76.56%	15	23.44%
05D	386	93	87	64	73.56%	23	26.44%
05F	223	136	129	102	79.07%	27	20.93%
05J	639	416	389	289	74.29%	100	25.71%
05K	2600	1327	1250	940	75.20%	310	24.80%
06B	1756	1238	1160	832	71.72%	328	28.28%
06D	8568	6060	5574	3955	70.95%	1619	29.05%
06E	2250	1439	1337	958	71.65%	379	28.35%
06F	2709	1757	1613	1198	74.27%	415	25.73%
06G	536	369	338	254	75.15%	84	24.85%
06I	3412	1957	1821	1326	72.82%	495	27.18%
06J	2539	1904	1771	1258	71.03%	513	28.97%
06L1	917	679	615	429	69.76%	186	30.24%
06L2	654	487	450	300	66.67%	150	33.33%
06N	1844	1085	1006	658	65.41%	348	34.59%
06Q	527	295	276	188	68.12%	88	31.88%
06R	1836	1206	1144	862	75.35%	282	24.65%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	CONST AMENDMENT 3						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
07A	6600	4093	3723	2476	66.51%	1247	33.49%
07B	2763	2107	1905	1225	64.30%	680	35.70%
07C	3927	2498	2232	1508	67.56%	724	32.44%
07D	4231	2413	2163	1498	69.26%	665	30.74%
07E	2031	1358	1233	840	68.13%	393	31.87%
07F	3017	2041	1861	1290	69.32%	571	30.68%
07H	1923	1424	1304	874	67.02%	430	32.98%
07J	2647	1753	1593	1121	70.37%	472	29.63%
07M	2155	1180	1073	697	64.96%	376	35.04%
07N	1946	1044	956	702	73.43%	254	26.57%
08A	2799	1949	1797	1168	65.00%	629	35.00%
08B	3199	2255	2030	1343	66.16%	687	33.84%
08C	1392	984	896	592	66.07%	304	33.93%
08D	1022	770	705	464	65.82%	241	34.18%
08E	2429	1864	1701	1087	63.90%	614	36.10%
08F1	2071	1628	1461	972	66.53%	489	33.47%
08G	2787	1669	1494	1016	68.01%	478	31.99%
08H	2465	1874	1702	1126	66.16%	576	33.84%
08J	2981	2223	2006	1390	69.29%	616	30.71%
08K	973	702	650	451	69.38%	199	30.62%
08L	4194	2706	2485	1763	70.95%	722	29.05%
08M	1907	1358	1220	838	68.69%	382	31.31%
08N1	767	587	521	347	66.60%	174	33.40%
08N2	1391	927	823	588	71.45%	235	28.55%
08P	1633	928	871	612	70.26%	259	29.74%
09A	3338	2152	1994	1390	69.71%	604	30.29%
09B	385	174	162	103	63.58%	59	36.42%
09C	2954	1522	1372	935	68.15%	437	31.85%
09D	1879	858	755	512	67.81%	243	32.19%
09E	2782	1420	1301	878	67.49%	423	32.51%
09F	3619	2526	2306	1573	68.21%	733	31.79%
09G	3519	2282	2133	1524	71.45%	609	28.55%
09H	1064	645	600	435	72.50%	165	27.50%
09I	1194	503	439	315	71.75%	124	28.25%
09K	4403	1851	1696	1173	69.16%	523	30.84%
09M	2259	1611	1506	994	66.00%	512	34.00%
10A	2850	1622	1474	953	64.65%	521	35.35%
10B	3686	2464	2257	1509	66.86%	748	33.14%
10C	2466	1168	1073	725	67.57%	348	32.43%
10D	4220	1850	1673	1172	70.05%	501	29.95%
10E	950	524	475	326	68.63%	149	31.37%
10F	1053	590	532	352	66.17%	180	33.83%
10G	1205	813	755	507	67.15%	248	32.85%
10H1	408	238	216	131	60.65%	85	39.35%
10H2	2207	1032	936	667	71.26%	269	28.74%
10I	329	258	238	150	63.03%	88	36.97%
10J	1012	466	432	287	66.44%	145	33.56%
10K	1415	858	788	519	65.86%	269	34.14%
10M	2529	1414	1312	905	68.98%	407	31.02%
10P	1364	668	590	396	67.12%	194	32.88%
10R	713	347	308	232	75.32%	76	24.68%
11B	5162	3088	2836	1815	64.00%	1021	36.00%
11C	2822	2003	1874	1274	67.98%	600	32.02%
11E1	1141	634	591	397	67.17%	194	32.83%
11E2	847	497	455	295	64.84%	160	35.16%
11E3	3124	1835	1734	1164	67.13%	570	32.87%
11G	2092	855	777	576	74.13%	201	25.87%
11H	677	356	318	226	71.07%	92	28.93%
11J	1827	1018	922	666	72.23%	256	27.77%
11K	1818	1104	1006	651	64.71%	355	35.29%
11M	3039	1659	1565	1066	68.12%	499	31.88%
11N	2166	1358	1215	823	67.74%	392	32.26%
11P	1833	1020	902	659	73.06%	243	26.94%
11R	1849	672	611	441	72.18%	170	27.82%
12A	4828	2734	2514	1737	69.09%	777	30.91%
12D	1209	501	467	325	69.59%	142	30.41%
12E1	1621	591	532	369	69.36%	163	30.64%
12F	943	428	397	271	68.26%	126	31.74%
12G	800	430	377	279	74.01%	98	25.99%
12H1	1006	310	294	193	65.65%	101	34.35%
12H2	2562	1189	1091	724	66.36%	367	33.64%
12I	970	413	371	261	70.35%	110	29.65%
12J	2535	1108	1002	715	71.36%	287	28.64%
12K	3022	1240	1137	817	71.86%	320	28.14%
12L	613	238	209	139	66.51%	70	33.49%
12M	2533	1171	1072	749	69.87%	323	30.13%
12N	907	327	296	213	71.96%	83	28.04%
12S	1794	959	845	586	69.35%	259	30.65%
AP01A	4724	3141	2860	1888	66.01%	972	33.99%
AP01B	913	516	468	309	66.03%	159	33.97%
AP01C	2233	1498	1368	845	61.77%	523	38.23%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	CONST AMENDMENT 3						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
AP01D	915	539	495	342	69.09%	153	30.91%
AP02B	474	263	236	172	72.88%	64	27.12%
AP03	741	314	297	208	70.03%	89	29.97%
AP04A	1647	1183	1074	724	67.41%	350	32.59%
AP04B	415	290	272	175	64.34%	97	35.66%
AP05	4367	2981	2732	1807	66.14%	925	33.86%
AP06	1827	1309	1192	814	68.29%	378	31.71%
AP07A	3422	2102	1926	1324	68.74%	602	31.26%
AP07B	1650	1144	1051	712	67.75%	339	32.25%
AP09A	4051	2687	2457	1659	67.52%	798	32.48%
AP09B	810	531	485	331	68.25%	154	31.75%
AP021	2994	1963	1817	1222	67.25%	595	32.75%
AP022	2210	1429	1299	919	70.75%	380	29.25%
AP10	942	681	618	444	71.84%	174	28.16%
AP12A	658	374	340	244	71.76%	96	28.24%
AP12B	747	428	389	280	71.98%	109	28.02%
AP12C	1584	1005	916	591	64.52%	325	35.48%
AP14	2972	2027	1877	1296	69.05%	581	30.95%
CH01	330	164	154	84	54.55%	70	45.45%
CH02	319	202	185	100	54.05%	85	45.95%
CH03	379	250	225	125	55.56%	100	44.44%
CH04A	647	444	408	254	62.25%	154	37.75%
CH05	407	260	234	116	49.57%	118	50.43%
CP01B	381	227	210	131	62.38%	79	37.62%
CP011	1135	617	576	384	66.67%	192	33.33%
CP012	1318	844	788	537	68.15%	251	31.85%
CP02	1000	553	504	348	69.05%	156	30.95%
CP04A	8	2	2	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP04B	39	14	13	8	61.54%	5	38.46%
CP05B	143	76	73	50	68.49%	23	31.51%
CP051	1612	874	814	562	69.04%	252	30.96%
CP06A	598	208	196	135	68.88%	61	31.12%
CP07B	7	2	2	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP07C	244	47	44	30	68.18%	14	31.82%
CP07D	694	208	198	137	69.19%	61	30.81%
CP07E	125	41	36	23	63.89%	13	36.11%
CP07F	184	55	52	41	78.85%	11	21.15%
CP081	343	77	72	40	55.56%	32	44.44%
CP083	219	25	24	16	66.67%	8	33.33%
CP084	3	1	1	0	0.00%	1	100.00%
CP08A	705	175	167	114	68.26%	53	31.74%
EP01A	2609	1464	1357	951	70.08%	406	29.92%
EP01B	3038	1539	1440	1012	70.28%	428	29.72%
EP02A	1967	890	820	577	70.37%	243	29.63%
EP02B	1449	977	928	601	64.76%	327	35.24%
EP02C	428	251	236	163	69.07%	73	30.93%
EP02D	326	192	178	125	70.22%	53	29.78%
EP02E	1382	627	576	416	72.22%	160	27.78%
EP03A	4293	2512	2316	1558	67.27%	758	32.73%
EP03B	1421	973	901	621	68.92%	280	31.08%
EP04A	5432	2626	2480	1645	66.33%	835	33.67%
EP04B	2024	1203	1139	736	64.62%	403	35.38%
FA01A	3574	2126	1984	1272	64.11%	712	35.89%
FA01B	4833	2965	2759	1794	65.02%	965	34.98%
FA01C	681	401	373	247	66.22%	126	33.78%
HP01	3212	1780	1667	1130	67.79%	537	32.21%
JC01	4977	3215	2952	1987	67.31%	965	32.69%
JC02	2460	1474	1330	869	65.34%	461	34.66%
JC03A	540	384	362	236	65.19%	126	34.81%
JC03B	1448	955	868	592	68.20%	276	31.80%
JC04A	4042	2692	2476	1647	66.52%	829	33.48%
JC04B	255	156	143	93	65.03%	50	34.97%
JC05	2136	1391	1280	849	66.33%	431	33.67%
JC06	2627	1580	1454	921	63.34%	533	36.66%
JC07	4083	2627	2402	1584	65.95%	818	34.05%
JC08	2737	1870	1709	1124	65.77%	585	34.23%
JC09	2928	1878	1720	1131	65.76%	589	34.24%
JC10	2392	1507	1367	913	66.79%	454	33.21%
JC11	3186	2085	1911	1223	64.00%	688	36.00%
JC12	2455	1753	1615	975	60.37%	640	39.63%
JC13A	2115	1462	1343	817	60.83%	526	39.17%
JC13B	271	180	166	100	60.24%	66	39.76%
JC14	2477	1634	1509	951	63.02%	558	36.98%
JC15	834	523	491	325	66.19%	166	33.81%
JC16	2496	1729	1584	1043	65.85%	541	34.15%
JC18	2670	1969	1782	1142	64.09%	640	35.91%
JC19	3759	2528	2302	1513	65.73%	789	34.27%
ML011	2439	1681	1556	1087	69.86%	469	30.14%
ML012	485	338	312	217	69.55%	95	30.45%
ML01B	138	96	86	47	54.65%	39	45.35%
ML021	1991	1369	1254	812	64.75%	442	35.25%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	CONST AMENDMENT 3						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
ML022	241	148	137	99	72.26%	38	27.74%
ML023	1542	1027	934	622	66.60%	312	33.40%
ML024	113	70	62	33	53.23%	29	46.77%
ML03	3757	2575	2326	1553	66.77%	773	33.23%
ML04A	1747	1176	1071	711	66.39%	360	33.61%
ML04B	1206	838	785	502	63.95%	283	36.05%
ML04C	79	66	61	40	65.57%	21	34.43%
ML05A	39	27	24	21	87.50%	3	12.50%
ML05B	381	250	228	130	57.02%	98	42.98%
ML05C	4023	2615	2419	1631	67.42%	788	32.58%
ML06A	1973	1024	960	676	70.42%	284	29.58%
ML06B	2775	1734	1617	1122	69.39%	495	30.61%
ML071	105	73	66	44	66.67%	22	33.33%
ML072	1249	857	800	493	61.63%	307	38.38%
ML07A	707	472	432	268	62.04%	164	37.96%
MP01	450	323	304	209	68.75%	95	31.25%
PA01	2509	1330	1226	785	64.03%	441	35.97%
RW01	4717	3355	3016	1943	64.42%	1073	35.58%
RW02	3794	2675	2460	1637	66.54%	823	33.46%
RW03	4510	2745	2525	1682	66.61%	843	33.39%
RW04	1803	1359	1255	829	66.06%	426	33.94%
RW05	2322	1207	1132	755	66.70%	377	33.30%
RW06	2820	1914	1780	1169	65.67%	611	34.33%
RW07A	1549	677	622	420	67.52%	202	32.48%
RW07B	388	214	202	144	71.29%	58	28.71%
RW08	2138	1462	1323	890	67.27%	433	32.73%
RW09	5918	4216	3863	2492	64.51%	1371	35.49%
RW10	3347	2376	2184	1409	64.51%	775	35.49%
RW11A	2667	1693	1578	1044	66.16%	534	33.84%
RW12	5944	4234	3888	2556	65.74%	1332	34.26%
RW13	3555	2476	2270	1520	66.96%	750	33.04%
RW16	1850	1275	1186	771	65.01%	415	34.99%
RW17	2794	1773	1643	1106	67.32%	537	32.68%
RW19	1993	1434	1287	842	65.42%	445	34.58%
RW20	2486	1797	1647	1100	66.79%	547	33.21%
RW21	3144	2169	1971	1271	64.49%	700	35.51%
RW22A	4406	2486	2292	1533	66.88%	759	33.12%
SC01A	20	25	21	12	57.14%	9	42.86%
SC01B	1707	1295	1233	769	62.37%	464	37.63%
SC01C	2063	1513	1401	948	67.67%	453	32.33%
SC01D	294	162	151	87	57.62%	64	42.38%
SC02	872	662	616	411	66.72%	205	33.28%
SC04	922	616	569	344	60.46%	225	39.54%
SC05A	646	409	374	251	67.11%	123	32.89%
SC05B	3204	2188	2058	1324	64.33%	734	35.67%
SC05D	2171	1428	1368	863	63.08%	505	36.92%
SC05E	623	434	410	266	64.88%	144	35.12%
SC07A	5595	3698	3491	2187	62.65%	1304	37.35%
SC07C	29	10	10	5	50.00%	5	50.00%
SC08B	3382	2008	1875	1154	61.55%	721	38.45%
SC08C	74	53	48	32	66.67%	16	33.33%
SC08D	499	314	299	185	61.87%	114	38.13%
SC08E	562	316	300	187	62.33%	113	37.67%
SC08F	700	366	348	232	66.67%	116	33.33%
SC08G	12	8	7	4	57.14%	3	42.86%
SC08H	4	3	3	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC09A	1628	839	784	526	67.09%	258	32.91%
SC09B	1687	1084	994	644	64.79%	350	35.21%
SC09C	1566	842	778	510	65.55%	268	34.45%
SC10	1678	661	615	417	67.80%	198	32.20%
SC11A	1411	813	761	491	64.52%	270	35.48%
SC11B	1506	878	831	583	70.16%	248	29.84%
SC13	2465	1636	1548	950	61.37%	598	38.63%
SC14A	916	429	401	279	69.58%	122	30.42%
SC14B	502	45	39	35	89.74%	4	10.26%
SC15	6193	4213	3974	2512	63.21%	1462	36.79%
SC16A	4601	3208	3000	1962	65.40%	1038	34.60%
SC16B	14	15	14	10	71.43%	4	28.57%
SC17A	55	42	40	28	70.00%	12	30.00%
SC17B	7	4	3	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC17C	1134	669	630	420	66.67%	210	33.33%
SC18A	510	329	301	212	70.43%	89	29.57%
SC18B	663	462	433	264	60.97%	169	39.03%
SC18C	1850	1352	1262	812	64.34%	450	35.66%
SC19A	195	129	125	82	65.60%	43	34.40%
SC19B	1267	690	643	445	69.21%	198	30.79%
SC20	2197	1665	1579	1006	63.71%	573	36.29%
SC211	1328	841	789	506	64.13%	283	35.87%
SC212	3378	1947	1842	1177	63.90%	665	36.10%
SC23A	3161	1730	1639	1108	67.60%	531	32.40%
SC23B	483	284	263	169	64.26%	94	35.74%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	CONST AMENDMENT 3						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
SC23C	2252	1302	1225	825	67.35%	400	32.65%
SC27	2129	1259	1173	771	65.73%	402	34.27%
SC29A	2784	1544	1435	951	66.27%	484	33.73%
SC30A	135	97	87	63	72.41%	24	27.59%
SC30B	960	531	489	324	66.26%	165	33.74%
SS01	4487	3270	3002	1858	61.89%	1144	38.11%
SS02A	1120	755	693	467	67.39%	226	32.61%
SS02B	1255	756	703	490	69.70%	213	30.30%
SS03	1464	1027	964	659	68.36%	305	31.64%
SS04	3264	2064	1904	1338	70.27%	566	29.73%
SS05	1746	1376	1231	792	64.34%	439	35.66%
SS06	1397	1073	975	609	62.46%	366	37.54%
SS07A	968	683	613	417	68.03%	196	31.97%
SS07B	609	405	370	260	70.27%	110	29.73%
SS07C	755	436	371	259	69.81%	112	30.19%
SS08A	1316	758	703	474	67.43%	229	32.57%
SS08B	635	453	425	274	64.47%	151	35.53%
SS08C	632	393	360	248	68.89%	112	31.11%
SS08D	1368	847	780	528	67.69%	252	32.31%
SS09A	3003	2210	2018	1321	65.46%	697	34.54%
SS09B	3358	2452	2218	1461	65.87%	757	34.13%
SS11A	3655	2227	2021	1372	67.89%	649	32.11%
SS11B	1257	707	653	460	70.44%	193	29.56%
SS11C	269	146	138	92	66.67%	46	33.33%
SS11D	365	254	229	143	62.45%	86	37.55%
SS12	2559	1732	1576	1050	66.62%	526	33.38%
SS13A	1633	1072	990	663	66.97%	327	33.03%
SS13B	1001	629	590	399	67.63%	191	32.37%
SS14	1274	977	890	590	66.29%	300	33.71%
SS15A	2572	1199	1132	774	68.37%	358	31.63%
SS15B	1579	902	845	592	70.06%	253	29.94%
SS16	2030	1534	1412	911	64.52%	501	35.48%
SS17	3397	2229	2059	1346	65.37%	713	34.63%
SS18A	619	454	424	267	62.97%	157	37.03%
SS18B	221	162	150	99	66.00%	51	34.00%
SS19A	3016	1683	1564	1048	67.01%	516	32.99%
SS19B	2032	1226	1147	796	69.40%	351	30.60%
SS20	2060	1159	1061	702	66.16%	359	33.84%
SS22	2714	1613	1500	993	66.20%	507	33.80%
SS26	3552	1822	1710	1179	68.95%	531	31.05%
SS29A	2488	1595	1461	955	65.37%	506	34.63%
SS31	1345	938	861	604	70.15%	257	29.85%
UC01A	3622	1715	1634	1084	66.34%	550	33.66%
UC01B	395	210	202	137	67.82%	65	32.18%
UC01D	6	2	2	1	50.00%	1	50.00%
UC01E	285	206	192	126	65.63%	66	34.38%
UC02A	7513	4296	4048	2634	65.07%	1414	34.93%
UC02B	30	19	17	10	58.82%	7	41.18%
UC031	2399	1203	1103	723	65.55%	380	34.45%
UC032	292	186	173	113	65.32%	60	34.68%
Total	703177	424992	391639	264987	67.66%	126652	32.34%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	CONST AMENDMENT 4						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
Jurisdiction Wide							
01A	3199	2362	2282	1664	72.92%	618	27.08%
01B	3839	2737	2657	1815	68.31%	842	31.69%
01C	1459	612	589	529	89.81%	60	10.19%
01D	511	307	294	249	84.69%	45	15.31%
01E	3363	2410	2323	1686	72.58%	637	27.42%
01F	1254	452	435	403	92.64%	32	7.36%
01G	1909	1102	1071	891	83.19%	180	16.81%
01H	758	379	357	328	91.88%	29	8.12%
01J	2416	1184	1142	1032	90.37%	110	9.63%
01P	1244	542	524	472	90.08%	52	9.92%
01R	1344	491	475	433	91.16%	42	8.84%
01S	1749	823	793	714	90.04%	79	9.96%
01T	1506	796	774	622	80.36%	152	19.64%
02A	4584	2949	2839	2229	78.51%	610	21.49%
02B	3518	1265	1218	1014	83.25%	204	16.75%
02C	2037	1205	1156	973	84.17%	183	15.83%
02D	4475	2660	2568	2004	78.04%	564	21.96%
02E	1905	1423	1384	925	66.84%	459	33.16%
02F1	2962	2033	1939	1443	74.42%	496	25.58%
02F2	788	458	425	349	82.12%	76	17.88%
02G	3443	2361	2261	1693	74.88%	568	25.12%
02J	2159	1432	1379	993	72.01%	386	27.99%
02K	1419	951	912	664	72.81%	248	27.19%
02L1	6213	3978	3836	2966	77.32%	870	22.68%
02L2	1364	782	750	584	77.87%	166	22.13%
02S	708	427	414	333	80.43%	81	19.57%
02W	682	399	381	312	81.89%	69	18.11%
03A	1927	692	659	598	90.74%	61	9.26%
03B	560	181	170	151	88.82%	19	11.18%
03C	1534	674	642	584	90.97%	58	9.03%
03D	1017	402	390	350	89.74%	40	10.26%
03E	2284	914	884	792	89.59%	92	10.41%
03F	1925	1115	1085	904	83.32%	181	16.68%
03G	802	360	349	328	93.98%	21	6.02%
03H	900	369	350	328	93.71%	22	6.29%
03I	1360	566	548	447	81.57%	101	18.43%
03L	594	229	218	199	91.28%	19	8.72%
03M	766	452	440	309	70.23%	131	29.77%
03N	2288	938	910	803	88.24%	107	11.76%
03P1A	3882	1966	1881	1548	82.30%	333	17.70%
03P2	13	7	7	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
03S	761	356	343	303	88.34%	40	11.66%
03T	1505	715	689	630	91.44%	59	8.56%
04A	1202	560	549	493	89.80%	56	10.20%
04B	2242	922	891	820	92.03%	71	7.97%
04C	136	54	53	50	94.34%	3	5.66%
04D	1481	714	693	630	90.91%	63	9.09%
04F	2086	935	910	782	85.93%	128	14.07%
04G	828	312	300	267	89.00%	33	11.00%
04I	3362	561	535	441	82.43%	94	17.57%
04J	1230	317	310	290	93.55%	20	6.45%
04K	2339	1266	1205	1062	88.13%	143	11.87%
04L	1655	867	834	702	84.17%	132	15.83%
04M	773	292	284	263	92.61%	21	7.39%
04S	2165	1118	1065	980	92.02%	85	7.98%
04T	1145	584	552	501	90.76%	51	9.24%
04V	2848	1211	1161	1070	92.16%	91	7.84%
04W	2203	820	798	753	94.36%	45	5.64%
04X1	1942	950	915	826	90.27%	89	9.73%
04X2	737	364	354	321	90.68%	33	9.32%
05A1	2491	1728	1642	1153	70.22%	489	29.78%
05A2	2910	1998	1916	1399	73.02%	517	26.98%
05B	1763	901	849	740	87.16%	109	12.84%
05C	146	67	65	58	89.23%	7	10.77%
05D	386	93	90	81	90.00%	9	10.00%
05F	223	136	131	107	81.68%	24	18.32%
05J	639	416	404	334	82.67%	70	17.33%
05K	2600	1327	1300	1126	86.62%	174	13.38%
06B	1756	1238	1196	878	73.41%	318	26.59%
06D	8568	6060	5783	4269	73.82%	1514	26.18%
06E	2250	1439	1384	1087	78.54%	297	21.46%
06F	2709	1757	1687	1213	71.90%	474	28.10%
06G	536	369	357	269	75.35%	88	24.65%
06I	3412	1957	1903	1600	84.08%	303	15.92%
06J	2539	1904	1823	1347	73.89%	476	26.11%
06L1	917	679	648	460	70.99%	188	29.01%
06L2	654	487	464	322	69.40%	142	30.60%
06N	1844	1085	1047	821	78.41%	226	21.59%
06Q	527	295	287	244	85.02%	43	14.98%
06R	1836	1206	1167	833	71.38%	334	28.62%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	CONST AMENDMENT 4						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
07A	6600	4093	3871	3149	81.35%	722	18.65%
07B	2763	2107	1985	1522	76.68%	463	23.32%
07C	3927	2498	2323	1857	79.94%	466	20.06%
07D	4231	2413	2261	1823	80.63%	438	19.37%
07E	2031	1358	1296	1053	81.25%	243	18.75%
07F	3017	2041	1944	1516	77.98%	428	22.02%
07H	1923	1424	1362	1032	75.77%	330	24.23%
07J	2647	1753	1681	1311	77.99%	370	22.01%
07M	2155	1180	1128	938	83.16%	190	16.84%
07N	1946	1044	1006	854	84.89%	152	15.11%
08A	2799	1949	1843	1503	81.55%	340	18.45%
08B	3199	2255	2125	1626	76.52%	499	23.48%
08C	1392	984	924	725	78.46%	199	21.54%
08D	1022	770	731	568	77.70%	163	22.30%
08E	2429	1864	1777	1340	75.41%	437	24.59%
08F1	2071	1628	1512	1165	77.05%	347	22.95%
08G	2787	1669	1570	1272	81.02%	298	18.98%
08H	2465	1874	1768	1344	76.02%	424	23.98%
08J	2981	2223	2085	1647	78.99%	438	21.01%
08K	973	702	676	539	79.73%	137	20.27%
08L	4194	2706	2595	2058	79.31%	537	20.69%
08M	1907	1358	1277	1006	78.78%	271	21.22%
08N1	767	587	544	417	76.65%	127	23.35%
08N2	1391	927	877	716	81.64%	161	18.36%
08P	1633	928	889	732	82.34%	157	17.66%
09A	3338	2152	2058	1634	79.40%	424	20.60%
09B	385	174	168	153	91.07%	15	8.93%
09C	2954	1522	1466	1359	92.70%	107	7.30%
09D	1879	858	814	746	91.65%	68	8.35%
09E	2782	1420	1370	1205	87.96%	165	12.04%
09F	3619	2526	2423	1921	79.28%	502	20.72%
09G	3519	2282	2192	1720	78.47%	472	21.53%
09H	1064	645	621	518	83.41%	103	16.59%
09I	1194	503	474	434	91.56%	40	8.44%
09K	4403	1851	1793	1656	92.36%	137	7.64%
09M	2259	1611	1562	1219	78.04%	343	21.96%
10A	2850	1622	1559	1438	92.24%	121	7.76%
10B	3686	2464	2408	2218	92.11%	190	7.89%
10C	2466	1168	1130	1054	93.27%	76	6.73%
10D	4220	1850	1766	1636	92.64%	130	7.36%
10E	950	524	501	457	91.22%	44	8.78%
10F	1053	590	566	523	92.40%	43	7.60%
10G	1205	813	790	725	91.77%	65	8.23%
10H1	408	238	230	207	90.00%	23	10.00%
10H2	2207	1032	991	924	93.24%	67	6.76%
10I	329	258	249	233	93.57%	16	6.43%
10J	1012	466	449	408	90.87%	41	9.13%
10K	1415	858	829	744	89.75%	85	10.25%
10M	2529	1414	1362	1177	86.42%	185	13.58%
10P	1364	668	631	582	92.23%	49	7.77%
10R	713	347	332	310	93.37%	22	6.63%
11B	5162	3088	2997	2755	91.93%	242	8.07%
11C	2822	2003	1958	1812	92.54%	146	7.46%
11E1	1141	634	620	582	93.87%	38	6.13%
11E2	847	497	479	453	94.57%	26	5.43%
11E3	3124	1835	1800	1677	93.17%	123	6.83%
11G	2092	855	816	771	94.49%	45	5.51%
11H	677	356	343	322	93.88%	21	6.12%
11J	1827	1018	983	914	92.98%	69	7.02%
11K	1818	1104	1064	945	88.82%	119	11.18%
11M	3039	1659	1622	1500	92.48%	122	7.52%
11N	2166	1358	1315	1230	93.54%	85	6.46%
11P	1833	1020	979	914	93.36%	65	6.64%
11R	1849	672	636	590	92.77%	46	7.23%
12A	4828	2734	2626	2221	84.58%	405	15.42%
12D	1209	501	488	452	92.62%	36	7.38%
12E1	1621	591	570	514	90.18%	56	9.82%
12F	943	428	419	382	91.17%	37	8.83%
12G	800	430	388	359	92.53%	29	7.47%
12H1	1006	310	300	274	91.33%	26	8.67%
12H2	2562	1189	1136	1023	90.05%	113	9.95%
12I	970	413	398	372	93.47%	26	6.53%
12J	2535	1108	1062	984	92.66%	78	7.34%
12K	3022	1240	1185	1028	86.75%	157	13.25%
12L	613	238	222	202	90.99%	20	9.01%
12M	2533	1171	1139	1048	92.01%	91	7.99%
12N	907	327	306	283	92.48%	23	7.52%
12S	1794	959	904	833	92.15%	71	7.85%
AP01A	4724	3141	2982	2483	83.27%	499	16.73%
AP01B	913	516	499	418	83.77%	81	16.23%
AP01C	2233	1498	1437	1173	81.63%	264	18.37%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	CONST AMENDMENT 4						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
AP01D	915	539	513	423	82.46%	90	17.54%
AP02B	474	263	245	214	87.35%	31	12.65%
AP03	741	314	307	270	87.95%	37	12.05%
AP04A	1647	1183	1127	937	83.14%	190	16.86%
AP04B	415	290	280	233	83.21%	47	16.79%
AP05	4367	2981	2833	2354	83.09%	479	16.91%
AP06	1827	1309	1233	985	79.89%	248	20.11%
AP07A	3422	2102	2019	1697	84.05%	322	15.95%
AP07B	1650	1144	1099	910	82.80%	189	17.20%
AP09A	4051	2687	2548	2089	81.99%	459	18.01%
AP09B	810	531	506	433	85.57%	73	14.43%
AP021	2994	1963	1880	1544	82.13%	336	17.87%
AP022	2210	1429	1357	1155	85.11%	202	14.89%
AP10	942	681	643	505	78.54%	138	21.46%
AP12A	658	374	358	310	86.59%	48	13.41%
AP12B	747	428	409	360	88.02%	49	11.98%
AP12C	1584	1005	960	808	84.17%	152	15.83%
AP14	2972	2027	1941	1600	82.43%	341	17.57%
CH01	330	164	162	140	86.42%	22	13.58%
CH02	319	202	194	149	76.80%	45	23.20%
CH03	379	250	240	169	70.42%	71	29.58%
CH04A	647	444	420	311	74.05%	109	25.95%
CH05	407	260	247	184	74.49%	63	25.51%
CP01B	381	227	220	175	79.55%	45	20.45%
CP011	1135	617	602	515	85.55%	87	14.45%
CP012	1318	844	821	716	87.21%	105	12.79%
CP02	1000	553	535	479	89.53%	56	10.47%
CP04A	8	2	2	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP04B	39	14	13	11	84.62%	2	15.38%
CP05B	143	76	76	66	86.84%	10	13.16%
CP051	1612	874	848	787	92.81%	61	7.19%
CP06A	598	208	205	195	95.12%	10	4.88%
CP07B	7	2	2	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP07C	244	47	45	43	95.56%	2	4.44%
CP07D	694	208	206	192	93.20%	14	6.80%
CP07E	125	41	40	37	92.50%	3	7.50%
CP07F	184	55	51	45	88.24%	6	11.76%
CP081	343	77	76	68	89.47%	8	10.53%
CP083	219	25	25	24	96.00%	1	4.00%
CP084	3	1	1	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP08A	705	175	168	159	94.64%	9	5.36%
EP01A	2609	1464	1418	1232	86.88%	186	13.12%
EP01B	3038	1539	1502	1359	90.48%	143	9.52%
EP02A	1967	890	855	791	92.51%	64	7.49%
EP02B	1449	977	953	754	79.12%	199	20.88%
EP02C	428	251	243	211	86.83%	32	13.17%
EP02D	326	192	186	171	91.94%	15	8.06%
EP02E	1382	627	607	542	89.29%	65	10.71%
EP03A	4293	2512	2456	2259	91.98%	197	8.02%
EP03B	1421	973	953	865	90.77%	88	9.23%
EP04A	5432	2626	2593	2425	93.52%	168	6.48%
EP04B	2024	1203	1190	1103	92.69%	87	7.31%
FA01A	3574	2126	2079	1902	91.49%	177	8.51%
FA01B	4833	2965	2893	2657	91.84%	236	8.16%
FA01C	681	401	394	372	94.42%	22	5.58%
HP01	3212	1780	1724	1437	83.35%	287	16.65%
JC01	4977	3215	3044	2520	82.79%	524	17.21%
JC02	2460	1474	1401	1161	82.87%	240	17.13%
JC03A	540	384	374	307	82.09%	67	17.91%
JC03B	1448	955	901	761	84.46%	140	15.54%
JC04A	4042	2692	2588	2197	84.89%	391	15.11%
JC04B	255	156	144	123	85.42%	21	14.58%
JC05	2136	1391	1316	1107	84.12%	209	15.88%
JC06	2627	1580	1514	1260	83.22%	254	16.78%
JC07	4083	2627	2504	2079	83.03%	425	16.97%
JC08	2737	1870	1785	1505	84.31%	280	15.69%
JC09	2928	1878	1805	1520	84.21%	285	15.79%
JC10	2392	1507	1428	1217	85.22%	211	14.78%
JC11	3186	2085	1987	1615	81.28%	372	18.72%
JC12	2455	1753	1680	1351	80.42%	329	19.58%
JC13A	2115	1462	1392	1107	79.53%	285	20.47%
JC13B	271	180	170	130	76.47%	40	23.53%
JC14	2477	1634	1566	1309	83.59%	257	16.41%
JC15	834	523	502	420	83.67%	82	16.33%
JC16	2496	1729	1648	1327	80.52%	321	19.48%
JC18	2670	1969	1870	1526	81.60%	344	18.40%
JC19	3759	2528	2416	1991	82.41%	425	17.59%
ML011	2439	1681	1605	1316	81.99%	289	18.01%
ML012	485	338	321	269	83.80%	52	16.20%
ML01B	138	96	93	70	75.27%	23	24.73%
ML021	1991	1369	1297	1054	81.26%	243	18.74%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	CONST AMENDMENT 4						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
ML022	241	148	143	125	87.41%	18	12.59%
ML023	1542	1027	960	791	82.40%	169	17.60%
ML024	113	70	64	45	70.31%	19	29.69%
ML03	3757	2575	2432	1976	81.25%	456	18.75%
ML04A	1747	1176	1132	943	83.30%	189	16.70%
ML04B	1206	838	810	626	77.28%	184	22.72%
ML04C	79	66	64	53	82.81%	11	17.19%
ML05A	39	27	26	23	88.46%	3	11.54%
ML05B	381	250	239	169	70.71%	70	29.29%
ML05C	4023	2615	2519	2148	85.27%	371	14.73%
ML06A	1973	1024	998	840	84.17%	158	15.83%
ML06B	2775	1734	1662	1448	87.12%	214	12.88%
ML071	105	73	69	64	92.75%	5	7.25%
ML072	1249	857	824	687	83.37%	137	16.63%
ML07A	707	472	458	360	78.60%	98	21.40%
MP01	450	323	314	245	78.03%	69	21.97%
PA01	2509	1330	1289	1161	90.07%	128	9.93%
RW01	4717	3355	3182	2563	80.55%	619	19.45%
RW02	3794	2675	2554	2058	80.58%	496	19.42%
RW03	4510	2745	2638	2157	81.77%	481	18.23%
RW04	1803	1359	1307	1012	77.43%	295	22.57%
RW05	2322	1207	1164	996	85.57%	168	14.43%
RW06	2820	1914	1840	1472	80.00%	368	20.00%
RW07A	1549	677	653	575	88.06%	78	11.94%
RW07B	388	214	203	173	85.22%	30	14.78%
RW08	2138	1462	1391	1144	82.24%	247	17.76%
RW09	5918	4216	4038	3279	81.20%	759	18.80%
RW10	3347	2376	2283	1789	78.36%	494	21.64%
RW11A	2667	1693	1631	1316	80.69%	315	19.31%
RW12	5944	4234	4046	3284	81.17%	762	18.83%
RW13	3555	2476	2378	1956	82.25%	422	17.75%
RW16	1850	1275	1221	981	80.34%	240	19.66%
RW17	2794	1773	1706	1407	82.47%	299	17.53%
RW19	1993	1434	1358	1120	82.47%	238	17.53%
RW20	2486	1797	1715	1422	82.92%	293	17.08%
RW21	3144	2169	2073	1699	81.96%	374	18.04%
RW22A	4406	2486	2403	2062	85.81%	341	14.19%
SC01A	20	25	22	20	90.91%	2	9.09%
SC01B	1707	1295	1281	1186	92.58%	95	7.42%
SC01C	2063	1513	1475	1370	92.88%	105	7.12%
SC01D	294	162	157	142	90.45%	15	9.55%
SC02	872	662	648	603	93.06%	45	6.94%
SC04	922	616	601	517	86.02%	84	13.98%
SC05A	646	409	396	370	93.43%	26	6.57%
SC05B	3204	2188	2152	1988	92.38%	164	7.62%
SC05D	2171	1428	1404	1316	93.73%	88	6.27%
SC05E	623	434	430	396	92.09%	34	7.91%
SC07A	5595	3698	3634	3365	92.60%	269	7.40%
SC07C	29	10	10	10	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08B	3382	2008	1958	1825	93.21%	133	6.79%
SC08C	74	53	50	50	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08D	499	314	311	292	93.89%	19	6.11%
SC08E	562	316	309	286	92.56%	23	7.44%
SC08F	700	366	361	333	92.24%	28	7.76%
SC08G	12	8	8	8	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08H	4	3	3	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC09A	1628	839	818	766	93.64%	52	6.36%
SC09B	1687	1084	1057	986	93.28%	71	6.72%
SC09C	1566	842	826	761	92.13%	65	7.87%
SC10	1678	661	644	602	93.48%	42	6.52%
SC11A	1411	813	797	749	93.98%	48	6.02%
SC11B	1506	878	860	811	94.30%	49	5.70%
SC13	2465	1636	1608	1490	92.66%	118	7.34%
SC14A	916	429	422	378	89.57%	44	10.43%
SC14B	502	45	44	41	93.18%	3	6.82%
SC15	6193	4213	4134	3862	93.42%	272	6.58%
SC16A	4601	3208	3154	2926	92.77%	228	7.23%
SC16B	14	15	15	15	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC17A	55	42	41	39	95.12%	2	4.88%
SC17B	7	4	4	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC17C	1134	669	651	604	92.78%	47	7.22%
SC18A	510	329	322	304	94.41%	18	5.59%
SC18B	663	462	452	417	92.26%	35	7.74%
SC18C	1850	1352	1327	1242	93.59%	85	6.41%
SC19A	195	129	125	115	92.00%	10	8.00%
SC19B	1267	690	673	633	94.06%	40	5.94%
SC20	2197	1665	1643	1521	92.57%	122	7.43%
SC211	1328	841	821	763	92.94%	58	7.06%
SC212	3378	1947	1913	1774	92.73%	139	7.27%
SC23A	3161	1730	1699	1559	91.76%	140	8.24%
SC23B	483	284	276	261	94.57%	15	5.43%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	CONST AMENDMENT 4						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
SC23C	2252	1302	1270	1191	93.78%	79	6.22%
SC27	2129	1259	1233	1155	93.67%	78	6.33%
SC29A	2784	1544	1505	1401	93.09%	104	6.91%
SC30A	135	97	93	88	94.62%	5	5.38%
SC30B	960	531	512	472	92.19%	40	7.81%
SS01	4487	3270	3128	2504	80.05%	624	19.95%
SS02A	1120	755	724	561	77.49%	163	22.51%
SS02B	1255	756	723	588	81.33%	135	18.67%
SS03	1464	1027	998	782	78.36%	216	21.64%
SS04	3264	2064	1982	1670	84.26%	312	15.74%
SS05	1746	1376	1295	976	75.37%	319	24.63%
SS06	1397	1073	1029	783	76.09%	246	23.91%
SS07A	968	683	647	515	79.60%	132	20.40%
SS07B	609	405	378	312	82.54%	66	17.46%
SS07C	755	436	400	331	82.75%	69	17.25%
SS08A	1316	758	730	628	86.03%	102	13.97%
SS08B	635	453	442	355	80.32%	87	19.68%
SS08C	632	393	373	326	87.40%	47	12.60%
SS08D	1368	847	816	690	84.56%	126	15.44%
SS09A	3003	2210	2107	1687	80.07%	420	19.93%
SS09B	3358	2452	2316	1766	76.25%	550	23.75%
SS11A	3655	2227	2138	1764	82.51%	374	17.49%
SS11B	1257	707	674	557	82.64%	117	17.36%
SS11C	269	146	142	120	84.51%	22	15.49%
SS11D	365	254	240	203	84.58%	37	15.42%
SS12	2559	1732	1638	1301	79.43%	337	20.57%
SS13A	1633	1072	1024	818	79.88%	206	20.12%
SS13B	1001	629	604	488	80.79%	116	19.21%
SS14	1274	977	928	705	75.97%	223	24.03%
SS15A	2572	1199	1173	1040	88.66%	133	11.34%
SS15B	1579	902	873	739	84.65%	134	15.35%
SS16	2030	1534	1471	1104	75.05%	367	24.95%
SS17	3397	2229	2122	1755	82.70%	367	17.30%
SS18A	619	454	439	341	77.68%	98	22.32%
SS18B	221	162	151	112	74.17%	39	25.83%
SS19A	3016	1683	1628	1378	84.64%	250	15.36%
SS19B	2032	1226	1169	987	84.43%	182	15.57%
SS20	2060	1159	1122	938	83.60%	184	16.40%
SS22	2714	1613	1553	1271	81.84%	282	18.16%
SS26	3552	1822	1777	1569	88.29%	208	11.71%
SS29A	2488	1595	1516	1220	80.47%	296	19.53%
SS31	1345	938	890	728	81.80%	162	18.20%
UC01A	3622	1715	1688	1574	93.25%	114	6.75%
UC01B	395	210	207	198	95.65%	9	4.35%
UC01D	6	2	2	1	50.00%	1	50.00%
UC01E	285	206	203	187	92.12%	16	7.88%
UC02A	7513	4296	4202	3908	93.00%	294	7.00%
UC02B	30	19	18	17	94.44%	1	5.56%
UC031	2399	1203	1171	1083	92.49%	88	7.51%
UC032	292	186	182	169	92.86%	13	7.14%
Total	703177	424992	408575	344168	84.24%	64407	15.76%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	CONST AMENDMENT 5						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
Jurisdiction Wide							
01A	3199	2362	2197	1744	79.38%	453	20.62%
01B	3839	2737	2555	1976	77.34%	579	22.66%
01C	1459	612	573	490	85.51%	83	14.49%
01D	511	307	283	231	81.63%	52	18.37%
01E	3363	2410	2259	1764	78.09%	495	21.91%
01F	1254	452	425	360	84.71%	65	15.29%
01G	1909	1102	1047	864	82.52%	183	17.48%
01H	758	379	350	306	87.43%	44	12.57%
01J	2416	1184	1116	931	83.42%	185	16.58%
01P	1244	542	503	437	86.88%	66	13.12%
01R	1344	491	450	372	82.67%	78	17.33%
01S	1749	823	768	636	82.81%	132	17.19%
01T	1506	796	758	619	81.66%	139	18.34%
02A	4584	2949	2759	2088	75.68%	671	24.32%
02B	3518	1265	1195	976	81.67%	219	18.33%
02C	2037	1205	1115	911	81.70%	204	18.30%
02D	4475	2660	2500	2029	81.16%	471	18.84%
02E	1905	1423	1331	1020	76.63%	311	23.37%
02F1	2962	2033	1874	1510	80.58%	364	19.42%
02F2	788	458	429	345	80.42%	84	19.58%
02G	3443	2361	2197	1742	79.29%	455	20.71%
02J	2159	1432	1341	1040	77.55%	301	22.45%
02K	1419	951	862	702	81.44%	160	18.56%
02L1	6213	3978	3696	2888	78.14%	808	21.86%
02L2	1364	782	734	571	77.79%	163	22.21%
02S	708	427	409	328	80.20%	81	19.80%
02W	682	399	374	315	84.22%	59	15.78%
03A	1927	692	639	541	84.66%	98	15.34%
03B	560	181	161	136	84.47%	25	15.53%
03C	1534	674	609	509	83.58%	100	16.42%
03D	1017	402	375	303	80.80%	72	19.20%
03E	2284	914	866	729	84.18%	137	15.82%
03F	1925	1115	1068	861	80.62%	207	19.38%
03G	802	360	337	285	84.57%	52	15.43%
03H	900	369	335	278	82.99%	57	17.01%
03I	1360	566	541	452	83.55%	89	16.45%
03L	594	229	212	183	86.32%	29	13.68%
03M	766	452	427	324	75.88%	103	24.12%
03N	2288	938	891	759	85.19%	132	14.81%
03P1A	3882	1966	1834	1520	82.88%	314	17.12%
03P2	13	7	7	6	85.71%	1	14.29%
03S	761	356	339	274	80.83%	65	19.17%
03T	1505	715	670	563	84.03%	107	15.97%
04A	1202	560	521	443	85.03%	78	14.97%
04B	2242	922	870	759	87.24%	111	12.76%
04C	136	54	51	41	80.39%	10	19.61%
04D	1481	714	671	563	83.90%	108	16.10%
04F	2086	935	883	712	80.63%	171	19.37%
04G	828	312	285	233	81.75%	52	18.25%
04I	3362	561	522	435	83.33%	87	16.67%
04J	1230	317	307	259	84.36%	48	15.64%
04K	2339	1266	1148	943	82.14%	205	17.86%
04L	1655	867	818	683	83.50%	135	16.50%
04M	773	292	278	236	84.89%	42	15.11%
04S	2165	1118	1027	867	84.42%	160	15.58%
04T	1145	584	539	440	81.63%	99	18.37%
04V	2848	1211	1138	971	85.33%	167	14.67%
04W	2203	820	789	698	88.47%	91	11.53%
04X1	1942	950	893	736	82.42%	157	17.58%
04X2	737	364	341	285	83.58%	56	16.42%
05A1	2491	1728	1589	1325	83.39%	264	16.61%
05A2	2910	1998	1856	1519	81.84%	337	18.16%
05B	1763	901	819	676	82.54%	143	17.46%
05C	146	67	65	56	86.15%	9	13.85%
05D	386	93	90	78	86.67%	12	13.33%
05F	223	136	129	115	89.15%	14	10.85%
05J	639	416	392	322	82.14%	70	17.86%
05K	2600	1327	1277	1097	85.90%	180	14.10%
06B	1756	1238	1152	887	77.00%	265	23.00%
06D	8568	6060	5576	4259	76.38%	1317	23.62%
06E	2250	1439	1345	1039	77.25%	306	22.75%
06F	2709	1757	1634	1297	79.38%	337	20.62%
06G	536	369	345	279	80.87%	66	19.13%
06I	3412	1957	1842	1540	83.60%	302	16.40%
06J	2539	1904	1774	1337	75.37%	437	24.63%
06L1	917	679	608	442	72.70%	166	27.30%
06L2	654	487	450	326	72.44%	124	27.56%
06N	1844	1085	1003	752	74.98%	251	25.02%
06Q	527	295	277	218	78.70%	59	21.30%
06R	1836	1206	1134	855	75.40%	279	24.60%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	CONST AMENDMENT 5						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
07A	6600	4093	3760	2784	74.04%	976	25.96%
07B	2763	2107	1938	1333	68.78%	605	31.22%
07C	3927	2498	2237	1665	74.43%	572	25.57%
07D	4231	2413	2185	1616	73.96%	569	26.04%
07E	2031	1358	1238	923	74.56%	315	25.44%
07F	3017	2041	1861	1352	72.65%	509	27.35%
07H	1923	1424	1306	902	69.07%	404	30.93%
07J	2647	1753	1610	1194	74.16%	416	25.84%
07M	2155	1180	1093	809	74.02%	284	25.98%
07N	1946	1044	975	796	81.64%	179	18.36%
08A	2799	1949	1781	1236	69.40%	545	30.60%
08B	3199	2255	2064	1426	69.09%	638	30.91%
08C	1392	984	893	589	65.96%	304	34.04%
08D	1022	770	710	469	66.06%	241	33.94%
08E	2429	1864	1701	1118	65.73%	583	34.27%
08F1	2071	1628	1447	1000	69.11%	447	30.89%
08G	2787	1669	1491	1112	74.58%	379	25.42%
08H	2465	1874	1700	1189	69.94%	511	30.06%
08J	2981	2223	2025	1509	74.52%	516	25.48%
08K	973	702	649	470	72.42%	179	27.58%
08L	4194	2706	2496	1910	76.52%	586	23.48%
08M	1907	1358	1226	859	70.07%	367	29.93%
08N1	767	587	531	342	64.41%	189	35.59%
08N2	1391	927	844	645	76.42%	199	23.58%
08P	1633	928	869	695	79.98%	174	20.02%
09A	3338	2152	2015	1587	78.76%	428	21.24%
09B	385	174	165	129	78.18%	36	21.82%
09C	2954	1522	1414	1173	82.96%	241	17.04%
09D	1879	858	777	647	83.27%	130	16.73%
09E	2782	1420	1328	1098	82.68%	230	17.32%
09F	3619	2526	2330	1695	72.75%	635	27.25%
09G	3519	2282	2145	1712	79.81%	433	20.19%
09H	1064	645	615	495	80.49%	120	19.51%
09I	1194	503	453	390	86.09%	63	13.91%
09K	4403	1851	1730	1474	85.20%	256	14.80%
09M	2259	1611	1518	1191	78.46%	327	21.54%
10A	2850	1622	1505	1228	81.59%	277	18.41%
10B	3686	2464	2310	1886	81.65%	424	18.35%
10C	2466	1168	1104	948	85.87%	156	14.13%
10D	4220	1850	1707	1433	83.95%	274	16.05%
10E	950	524	482	387	80.29%	95	19.71%
10F	1053	590	545	438	80.37%	107	19.63%
10G	1205	813	767	605	78.88%	162	21.12%
10H1	408	238	230	187	81.30%	43	18.70%
10H2	2207	1032	951	798	83.91%	153	16.09%
10I	329	258	246	193	78.46%	53	21.54%
10J	1012	466	436	364	83.49%	72	16.51%
10K	1415	858	799	631	78.97%	168	21.03%
10M	2529	1414	1325	1069	80.68%	256	19.32%
10P	1364	668	608	499	82.07%	109	17.93%
10R	713	347	317	268	84.54%	49	15.46%
11B	5162	3088	2899	2316	79.89%	583	20.11%
11C	2822	2003	1927	1565	81.21%	362	18.79%
11E1	1141	634	601	492	81.86%	109	18.14%
11E2	847	497	466	364	78.11%	102	21.89%
11E3	3124	1835	1781	1531	85.96%	250	14.04%
11G	2092	855	800	693	86.63%	107	13.38%
11H	677	356	327	278	85.02%	49	14.98%
11J	1827	1018	942	786	83.44%	156	16.56%
11K	1818	1104	1023	827	80.84%	196	19.16%
11M	3039	1659	1572	1297	82.51%	275	17.49%
11N	2166	1358	1273	1070	84.05%	203	15.95%
11P	1833	1020	922	800	86.77%	122	13.23%
11R	1849	672	621	519	83.57%	102	16.43%
12A	4828	2734	2548	2042	80.14%	506	19.86%
12D	1209	501	469	392	83.58%	77	16.42%
12E1	1621	591	554	464	83.75%	90	16.25%
12F	943	428	400	343	85.75%	57	14.25%
12G	800	430	389	338	86.89%	51	13.11%
12H1	1006	310	294	257	87.41%	37	12.59%
12H2	2562	1189	1109	916	82.60%	193	17.40%
12I	970	413	382	326	85.34%	56	14.66%
12J	2535	1108	1027	878	85.49%	149	14.51%
12K	3022	1240	1161	982	84.58%	179	15.42%
12L	613	238	219	181	82.65%	38	17.35%
12M	2533	1171	1097	908	82.77%	189	17.23%
12N	907	327	303	259	85.48%	44	14.52%
12S	1794	959	862	708	82.13%	154	17.87%
AP01A	4724	3141	2910	2094	71.96%	816	28.04%
AP01B	913	516	475	349	73.47%	126	26.53%
AP01C	2233	1498	1396	958	68.62%	438	31.38%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	CONST AMENDMENT 5						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
AP01D	915	539	497	366	73.64%	131	26.36%
AP02B	474	263	237	175	73.84%	62	26.16%
AP03	741	314	301	249	82.72%	52	17.28%
AP04A	1647	1183	1086	771	70.99%	315	29.01%
AP04B	415	290	279	193	69.18%	86	30.82%
AP05	4367	2981	2757	1931	70.04%	826	29.96%
AP06	1827	1309	1202	840	69.88%	362	30.12%
AP07A	3422	2102	1960	1413	72.09%	547	27.91%
AP07B	1650	1144	1068	747	69.94%	321	30.06%
AP09A	4051	2687	2508	1792	71.45%	716	28.55%
AP09B	810	531	491	358	72.91%	133	27.09%
AP021	2994	1963	1831	1313	71.71%	518	28.29%
AP022	2210	1429	1314	986	75.04%	328	24.96%
AP10	942	681	631	460	72.90%	171	27.10%
AP12A	658	374	342	268	78.36%	74	21.64%
AP12B	747	428	392	313	79.85%	79	20.15%
AP12C	1584	1005	928	642	69.18%	286	30.82%
AP14	2972	2027	1892	1358	71.78%	534	28.22%
CH01	330	164	149	112	75.17%	37	24.83%
CH02	319	202	188	105	55.85%	83	44.15%
CH03	379	250	226	123	54.42%	103	45.58%
CH04A	647	444	406	261	64.29%	145	35.71%
CH05	407	260	236	126	53.39%	110	46.61%
CP01B	381	227	213	147	69.01%	66	30.99%
CP011	1135	617	581	462	79.52%	119	20.48%
CP012	1318	844	794	620	78.09%	174	21.91%
CP02	1000	553	526	416	79.09%	110	20.91%
CP04A	8	2	2	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP04B	39	14	13	10	76.92%	3	23.08%
CP05B	143	76	73	62	84.93%	11	15.07%
CP051	1612	874	835	702	84.07%	133	15.93%
CP06A	598	208	205	180	87.80%	25	12.20%
CP07B	7	2	2	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP07C	244	47	44	40	90.91%	4	9.09%
CP07D	694	208	201	175	87.06%	26	12.94%
CP07E	125	41	37	33	89.19%	4	10.81%
CP07F	184	55	52	48	92.31%	4	7.69%
CP081	343	77	75	57	76.00%	18	24.00%
CP083	219	25	24	23	95.83%	1	4.17%
CP084	3	1	1	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP08A	705	175	168	145	86.31%	23	13.69%
EP01A	2609	1464	1382	1139	82.42%	243	17.58%
EP01B	3038	1539	1458	1175	80.59%	283	19.41%
EP02A	1967	890	838	719	85.80%	119	14.20%
EP02B	1449	977	924	709	76.73%	215	23.27%
EP02C	428	251	234	184	78.63%	50	21.37%
EP02D	326	192	183	151	82.51%	32	17.49%
EP02E	1382	627	583	483	82.85%	100	17.15%
EP03A	4293	2512	2383	1939	81.37%	444	18.63%
EP03B	1421	973	930	765	82.26%	165	17.74%
EP04A	5432	2626	2525	2124	84.12%	401	15.88%
EP04B	2024	1203	1162	994	85.54%	168	14.46%
FA01A	3574	2126	2034	1657	81.47%	377	18.53%
FA01B	4833	2965	2830	2309	81.59%	521	18.41%
FA01C	681	401	386	318	82.38%	68	17.62%
HP01	3212	1780	1674	1287	76.88%	387	23.12%
JC01	4977	3215	2976	2178	73.19%	798	26.81%
JC02	2460	1474	1357	1012	74.58%	345	25.42%
JC03A	540	384	363	252	69.42%	111	30.58%
JC03B	1448	955	872	680	77.98%	192	22.02%
JC04A	4042	2692	2511	1792	71.37%	719	28.63%
JC04B	255	156	139	100	71.94%	39	28.06%
JC05	2136	1391	1285	964	75.02%	321	24.98%
JC06	2627	1580	1483	1017	68.58%	466	31.42%
JC07	4083	2627	2448	1744	71.24%	704	28.76%
JC08	2737	1870	1736	1224	70.51%	512	29.49%
JC09	2928	1878	1769	1304	73.71%	465	26.29%
JC10	2392	1507	1385	1026	74.08%	359	25.92%
JC11	3186	2085	1923	1335	69.42%	588	30.58%
JC12	2455	1753	1634	1058	64.75%	576	35.25%
JC13A	2115	1462	1363	888	65.15%	475	34.85%
JC13B	271	180	165	103	62.42%	62	37.58%
JC14	2477	1634	1529	1047	68.48%	482	31.52%
JC15	834	523	492	377	76.63%	115	23.37%
JC16	2496	1729	1602	1104	68.91%	498	31.09%
JC18	2670	1969	1842	1263	68.57%	579	31.43%
JC19	3759	2528	2346	1691	72.08%	655	27.92%
ML011	2439	1681	1582	1126	71.18%	456	28.82%
ML012	485	338	317	212	66.88%	105	33.12%
ML01B	138	96	89	65	73.03%	24	26.97%
ML021	1991	1369	1262	813	64.42%	449	35.58%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	CONST AMENDMENT 5						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
ML022	241	148	139	89	64.03%	50	35.97%
ML023	1542	1027	933	626	67.10%	307	32.90%
ML024	113	70	62	35	56.45%	27	43.55%
ML03	3757	2575	2363	1566	66.27%	797	33.73%
ML04A	1747	1176	1092	770	70.51%	322	29.49%
ML04B	1206	838	788	510	64.72%	278	35.28%
ML04C	79	66	62	40	64.52%	22	35.48%
ML05A	39	27	23	18	78.26%	5	21.74%
ML05B	381	250	229	132	57.64%	97	42.36%
ML05C	4023	2615	2447	1774	72.50%	673	27.50%
ML06A	1973	1024	976	797	81.66%	179	18.34%
ML06B	2775	1734	1627	1241	76.28%	386	23.72%
ML071	105	73	67	37	55.22%	30	44.78%
ML072	1249	857	808	535	66.21%	273	33.79%
ML07A	707	472	441	271	61.45%	170	38.55%
MP01	450	323	307	215	70.03%	92	29.97%
PA01	2509	1330	1250	975	78.00%	275	22.00%
RW01	4717	3355	3075	2089	67.93%	986	32.07%
RW02	3794	2675	2489	1778	71.43%	711	28.57%
RW03	4510	2745	2563	1877	73.23%	686	26.77%
RW04	1803	1359	1267	840	66.30%	427	33.70%
RW05	2322	1207	1153	891	77.28%	262	22.72%
RW06	2820	1914	1799	1274	70.82%	525	29.18%
RW07A	1549	677	643	543	84.45%	100	15.55%
RW07B	388	214	203	153	75.37%	50	24.63%
RW08	2138	1462	1342	955	71.16%	387	28.84%
RW09	5918	4216	3902	2655	68.04%	1247	31.96%
RW10	3347	2376	2190	1492	68.13%	698	31.87%
RW11A	2667	1693	1580	1141	72.22%	439	27.78%
RW12	5944	4234	3939	2718	69.00%	1221	31.00%
RW13	3555	2476	2310	1657	71.73%	653	28.27%
RW16	1850	1275	1196	827	69.15%	369	30.85%
RW17	2794	1773	1650	1233	74.73%	417	25.27%
RW19	1993	1434	1311	900	68.65%	411	31.35%
RW20	2486	1797	1668	1190	71.34%	478	28.66%
RW21	3144	2169	2002	1425	71.18%	577	28.82%
RW22A	4406	2486	2353	1795	76.29%	558	23.71%
SC01A	20	25	22	19	86.36%	3	13.64%
SC01B	1707	1295	1262	1056	83.68%	206	16.32%
SC01C	2063	1513	1441	1187	82.37%	254	17.63%
SC01D	294	162	157	135	85.99%	22	14.01%
SC02	872	662	639	521	81.53%	118	18.47%
SC04	922	616	577	434	75.22%	143	24.78%
SC05A	646	409	386	334	86.53%	52	13.47%
SC05B	3204	2188	2105	1738	82.57%	367	17.43%
SC05D	2171	1428	1385	1177	84.98%	208	15.02%
SC05E	623	434	420	341	81.19%	79	18.81%
SC07A	5595	3698	3566	2941	82.47%	625	17.53%
SC07C	29	10	10	10	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08B	3382	2008	1917	1564	81.59%	353	18.41%
SC08C	74	53	49	41	83.67%	8	16.33%
SC08D	499	314	304	251	82.57%	53	17.43%
SC08E	562	316	306	257	83.99%	49	16.01%
SC08F	700	366	356	302	84.83%	54	15.17%
SC08G	12	8	7	6	85.71%	1	14.29%
SC08H	4	3	3	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC09A	1628	839	809	691	85.41%	118	14.59%
SC09B	1687	1084	1024	855	83.50%	169	16.50%
SC09C	1566	842	804	658	81.84%	146	18.16%
SC10	1678	661	630	537	85.24%	93	14.76%
SC11A	1411	813	778	657	84.45%	121	15.55%
SC11B	1506	878	840	734	87.38%	106	12.62%
SC13	2465	1636	1581	1269	80.27%	312	19.73%
SC14A	916	429	409	344	84.11%	65	15.89%
SC14B	502	45	42	35	83.33%	7	16.67%
SC15	6193	4213	4067	3395	83.48%	672	16.52%
SC16A	4601	3208	3077	2552	82.94%	525	17.06%
SC16B	14	15	14	11	78.57%	3	21.43%
SC17A	55	42	40	34	85.00%	6	15.00%
SC17B	7	4	4	3	75.00%	1	25.00%
SC17C	1134	669	641	526	82.06%	115	17.94%
SC18A	510	329	311	265	85.21%	46	14.79%
SC18B	663	462	443	372	83.97%	71	16.03%
SC18C	1850	1352	1302	1039	79.80%	263	20.20%
SC19A	195	129	126	98	77.78%	28	22.22%
SC19B	1267	690	657	546	83.11%	111	16.89%
SC20	2197	1665	1611	1364	84.67%	247	15.33%
SC211	1328	841	808	675	83.54%	133	16.46%
SC212	3378	1947	1870	1548	82.78%	322	17.22%
SC23A	3161	1730	1677	1428	85.15%	249	14.85%
SC23B	483	284	271	225	83.03%	46	16.97%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	CONST AMENDMENT 5						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
SC23C	2252	1302	1256	1060	84.39%	196	15.61%
SC27	2129	1259	1197	1008	84.21%	189	15.79%
SC29A	2784	1544	1474	1219	82.70%	255	17.30%
SC30A	135	97	89	83	93.26%	6	6.74%
SC30B	960	531	502	421	83.86%	81	16.14%
SS01	4487	3270	3047	2078	68.20%	969	31.80%
SS02A	1120	755	712	525	73.74%	187	26.26%
SS02B	1255	756	703	548	77.95%	155	22.05%
SS03	1464	1027	968	701	72.42%	267	27.58%
SS04	3264	2064	1931	1490	77.16%	441	22.84%
SS05	1746	1376	1257	876	69.69%	381	30.31%
SS06	1397	1073	997	690	69.21%	307	30.79%
SS07A	968	683	625	452	72.32%	173	27.68%
SS07B	609	405	372	277	74.46%	95	25.54%
SS07C	755	436	388	291	75.00%	97	25.00%
SS08A	1316	758	711	547	76.93%	164	23.07%
SS08B	635	453	421	284	67.46%	137	32.54%
SS08C	632	393	365	285	78.08%	80	21.92%
SS08D	1368	847	796	619	77.76%	177	22.24%
SS09A	3003	2210	2030	1409	69.41%	621	30.59%
SS09B	3358	2452	2259	1543	68.30%	716	31.70%
SS11A	3655	2227	2078	1576	75.84%	502	24.16%
SS11B	1257	707	656	487	74.24%	169	25.76%
SS11C	269	146	133	110	82.71%	23	17.29%
SS11D	365	254	232	166	71.55%	66	28.45%
SS12	2559	1732	1598	1133	70.90%	465	29.10%
SS13A	1633	1072	1004	723	72.01%	281	27.99%
SS13B	1001	629	592	436	73.65%	156	26.35%
SS14	1274	977	899	576	64.07%	323	35.93%
SS15A	2572	1199	1148	962	83.80%	186	16.20%
SS15B	1579	902	865	672	77.69%	193	22.31%
SS16	2030	1534	1423	971	68.24%	452	31.76%
SS17	3397	2229	2069	1468	70.95%	601	29.05%
SS18A	619	454	429	287	66.90%	142	33.10%
SS18B	221	162	150	101	67.33%	49	32.67%
SS19A	3016	1683	1593	1247	78.28%	346	21.72%
SS19B	2032	1226	1150	882	76.70%	268	23.30%
SS20	2060	1159	1087	834	76.72%	253	23.28%
SS22	2714	1613	1513	1110	73.36%	403	26.64%
SS26	3552	1822	1733	1425	82.23%	308	17.77%
SS29A	2488	1595	1472	1086	73.78%	386	26.22%
SS31	1345	938	871	624	71.64%	247	28.36%
UC01A	3622	1715	1661	1416	85.25%	245	14.75%
UC01B	395	210	204	176	86.27%	28	13.73%
UC01D	6	2	2	1	50.00%	1	50.00%
UC01E	285	206	199	165	82.91%	34	17.09%
UC02A	7513	4296	4130	3455	83.66%	675	16.34%
UC02B	30	19	18	13	72.22%	5	27.78%
UC031	2399	1203	1110	915	82.43%	195	17.57%
UC032	292	186	173	145	83.82%	28	16.18%
Total	703177	424992	397102	305207	76.86%	91895	23.14%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	REFERENDUM A						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
Jurisdiction Wide							
01A	3199	2362	2107	1399	66.40%	708	33.60%
01B	3839	2737	2487	1612	64.82%	875	35.18%
01C	1459	612	563	407	72.29%	156	27.71%
01D	511	307	278	200	71.94%	78	28.06%
01E	3363	2410	2166	1480	68.33%	686	31.67%
01F	1254	452	416	286	68.75%	130	31.25%
01G	1909	1102	1004	698	69.52%	306	30.48%
01H	758	379	338	234	69.23%	104	30.77%
01J	2416	1184	1101	763	69.30%	338	30.70%
01P	1244	542	497	352	70.82%	145	29.18%
01R	1344	491	443	316	71.33%	127	28.67%
01S	1749	823	753	547	72.64%	206	27.36%
01T	1506	796	743	501	67.43%	242	32.57%
02A	4584	2949	2656	1683	63.37%	973	36.63%
02B	3518	1265	1170	778	66.50%	392	33.50%
02C	2037	1205	1073	705	65.70%	368	34.30%
02D	4475	2660	2385	1567	65.70%	818	34.30%
02E	1905	1423	1294	875	67.62%	419	32.38%
02F1	2962	2033	1773	1145	64.58%	628	35.42%
02F2	788	458	408	282	69.12%	126	30.88%
02G	3443	2361	2111	1409	66.75%	702	33.25%
02J	2159	1432	1291	831	64.37%	460	35.63%
02K	1419	951	823	514	62.45%	309	37.55%
02L1	6213	3978	3601	2322	64.48%	1279	35.52%
02L2	1364	782	703	438	62.30%	265	37.70%
02S	708	427	393	264	67.18%	129	32.82%
02W	682	399	353	235	66.57%	118	33.43%
03A	1927	692	627	435	69.38%	192	30.62%
03B	560	181	162	103	63.58%	59	36.42%
03C	1534	674	611	443	72.50%	168	27.50%
03D	1017	402	373	274	73.46%	99	26.54%
03E	2284	914	851	609	71.56%	242	28.44%
03F	1925	1115	1017	653	64.21%	364	35.79%
03G	802	360	331	208	62.84%	123	37.16%
03H	900	369	331	237	71.60%	94	28.40%
03I	1360	566	512	310	60.55%	202	39.45%
03L	594	229	208	153	73.56%	55	26.44%
03M	766	452	416	269	64.66%	147	35.34%
03N	2288	938	864	602	69.68%	262	30.32%
03P1A	3882	1966	1741	1049	60.25%	692	39.75%
03P2	13	7	6	4	66.67%	2	33.33%
03S	761	356	335	225	67.16%	110	32.84%
03T	1505	715	653	443	67.84%	210	32.16%
04A	1202	560	517	353	68.28%	164	31.72%
04B	2242	922	848	545	64.27%	303	35.73%
04C	136	54	50	32	64.00%	18	36.00%
04D	1481	714	655	462	70.53%	193	29.47%
04F	2086	935	855	581	67.95%	274	32.05%
04G	828	312	285	187	65.61%	98	34.39%
04I	3362	561	507	347	68.44%	160	31.56%
04J	1230	317	301	198	65.78%	103	34.22%
04K	2339	1266	1134	803	70.81%	331	29.19%
04L	1655	867	810	554	68.40%	256	31.60%
04M	773	292	275	191	69.45%	84	30.55%
04S	2165	1118	1015	706	69.56%	309	30.44%
04T	1145	584	533	368	69.04%	165	30.96%
04V	2848	1211	1111	801	72.10%	310	27.90%
04W	2203	820	774	489	63.18%	285	36.82%
04X1	1942	950	881	600	68.10%	281	31.90%
04X2	737	364	336	229	68.15%	107	31.85%
05A1	2491	1728	1527	1061	69.48%	466	30.52%
05A2	2910	1998	1772	1192	67.27%	580	32.73%
05B	1763	901	781	533	68.25%	248	31.75%
05C	146	67	59	40	67.80%	19	32.20%
05D	386	93	85	58	68.24%	27	31.76%
05F	223	136	123	71	57.72%	52	42.28%
05J	639	416	377	245	64.99%	132	35.01%
05K	2600	1327	1209	784	64.85%	425	35.15%
06B	1756	1238	1096	664	60.58%	432	39.42%
06D	8568	6060	5349	3412	63.79%	1937	36.21%
06E	2250	1439	1293	821	63.50%	472	36.50%
06F	2709	1757	1559	1016	65.17%	543	34.83%
06G	536	369	328	234	71.34%	94	28.66%
06I	3412	1957	1764	1102	62.47%	662	37.53%
06J	2539	1904	1709	1100	64.37%	609	35.63%
06L1	917	679	577	343	59.45%	234	40.55%
06L2	654	487	433	271	62.59%	162	37.41%
06N	1844	1085	971	603	62.10%	368	37.90%
06Q	527	295	270	147	54.44%	123	45.56%
06R	1836	1206	1115	705	63.23%	410	36.77%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	REFERENDUM A						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
07A	6600	4093	3577	2168	60.61%	1409	39.39%
07B	2763	2107	1808	1111	61.45%	697	38.55%
07C	3927	2498	2128	1258	59.12%	870	40.88%
07D	4231	2413	2042	1246	61.02%	796	38.98%
07E	2031	1358	1196	731	61.12%	465	38.88%
07F	3017	2041	1787	1146	64.13%	641	35.87%
07H	1923	1424	1253	793	63.29%	460	36.71%
07J	2647	1753	1533	961	62.69%	572	37.31%
07M	2155	1180	1044	615	58.91%	429	41.09%
07N	1946	1044	934	631	67.56%	303	32.44%
08A	2799	1949	1687	1015	60.17%	672	39.83%
08B	3199	2255	1955	1184	60.56%	771	39.44%
08C	1392	984	856	533	62.27%	323	37.73%
08D	1022	770	679	423	62.30%	256	37.70%
08E	2429	1864	1625	961	59.14%	664	40.86%
08F1	2071	1628	1385	880	63.54%	505	36.46%
08G	2787	1669	1433	911	63.57%	522	36.43%
08H	2465	1874	1631	1023	62.72%	608	37.28%
08J	2981	2223	1924	1233	64.09%	691	35.91%
08K	973	702	627	382	60.93%	245	39.07%
08L	4194	2706	2389	1527	63.92%	862	36.08%
08M	1907	1358	1167	717	61.44%	450	38.56%
08N1	767	587	504	305	60.52%	199	39.48%
08N2	1391	927	784	479	61.10%	305	38.90%
08P	1633	928	843	532	63.11%	311	36.89%
09A	3338	2152	1940	1318	67.94%	622	32.06%
09B	385	174	162	104	64.20%	58	35.80%
09C	2954	1522	1395	951	68.17%	444	31.83%
09D	1879	858	760	525	69.08%	235	30.92%
09E	2782	1420	1309	911	69.60%	398	30.40%
09F	3619	2526	2247	1371	61.01%	876	38.99%
09G	3519	2282	2046	1325	64.76%	721	35.24%
09H	1064	645	574	372	64.81%	202	35.19%
09I	1194	503	451	324	71.84%	127	28.16%
09K	4403	1851	1706	1231	72.16%	475	27.84%
09M	2259	1611	1465	992	67.71%	473	32.29%
10A	2850	1622	1478	999	67.59%	479	32.41%
10B	3686	2464	2265	1567	69.18%	698	30.82%
10C	2466	1168	1072	751	70.06%	321	29.94%
10D	4220	1850	1684	1197	71.08%	487	28.92%
10E	950	524	476	319	67.02%	157	32.98%
10F	1053	590	536	358	66.79%	178	33.21%
10G	1205	813	744	488	65.59%	256	34.41%
10H1	408	238	220	151	68.64%	69	31.36%
10H2	2207	1032	932	666	71.46%	266	28.54%
10I	329	258	237	152	64.14%	85	35.86%
10J	1012	466	428	298	69.63%	130	30.37%
10K	1415	858	795	525	66.04%	270	33.96%
10M	2529	1414	1309	891	68.07%	418	31.93%
10P	1364	668	598	411	68.73%	187	31.27%
10R	713	347	309	235	76.05%	74	23.95%
11B	5162	3088	2855	1929	67.57%	926	32.43%
11C	2822	2003	1879	1287	68.49%	592	31.51%
11E1	1141	634	594	421	70.88%	173	29.12%
11E2	847	497	467	329	70.45%	138	29.55%
11E3	3124	1835	1726	1231	71.32%	495	28.68%
11G	2092	855	787	576	73.19%	211	26.81%
11H	677	356	323	224	69.35%	99	30.65%
11J	1827	1018	930	684	73.55%	246	26.45%
11K	1818	1104	1007	658	65.34%	349	34.66%
11M	3039	1659	1559	1106	70.94%	453	29.06%
11N	2166	1358	1244	875	70.34%	369	29.66%
11P	1833	1020	905	670	74.03%	235	25.97%
11R	1849	672	608	422	69.41%	186	30.59%
12A	4828	2734	2490	1718	69.00%	772	31.00%
12D	1209	501	462	303	65.58%	159	34.42%
12E1	1621	591	543	389	71.64%	154	28.36%
12F	943	428	395	282	71.39%	113	28.61%
12G	800	430	385	279	72.47%	106	27.53%
12H1	1006	310	291	214	73.54%	77	26.46%
12H2	2562	1189	1096	758	69.16%	338	30.84%
12I	970	413	380	257	67.63%	123	32.37%
12J	2535	1108	1005	721	71.74%	284	28.26%
12K	3022	1240	1136	805	70.86%	331	29.14%
12L	613	238	214	154	71.96%	60	28.04%
12M	2533	1171	1096	775	70.71%	321	29.29%
12N	907	327	293	209	71.33%	84	28.67%
12S	1794	959	845	612	72.43%	233	27.57%
AP01A	4724	3141	2755	1621	58.84%	1134	41.16%
AP01B	913	516	459	285	62.09%	174	37.91%
AP01C	2233	1498	1326	757	57.09%	569	42.91%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	REFERENDUM A						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
AP01D	915	539	475	280	58.95%	195	41.05%
AP02B	474	263	222	135	60.81%	87	39.19%
AP03	741	314	293	176	60.07%	117	39.93%
AP04A	1647	1183	1062	678	63.84%	384	36.16%
AP04B	415	290	265	175	66.04%	90	33.96%
AP05	4367	2981	2651	1616	60.96%	1035	39.04%
AP06	1827	1309	1160	704	60.69%	456	39.31%
AP07A	3422	2102	1895	1211	63.91%	684	36.09%
AP07B	1650	1144	1025	630	61.46%	395	38.54%
AP09A	4051	2687	2386	1442	60.44%	944	39.56%
AP09B	810	531	477	276	57.86%	201	42.14%
AP021	2994	1963	1775	1120	63.10%	655	36.90%
AP022	2210	1429	1248	811	64.98%	437	35.02%
AP10	942	681	594	387	65.15%	207	34.85%
AP12A	658	374	328	197	60.06%	131	39.94%
AP12B	747	428	371	213	57.41%	158	42.59%
AP12C	1584	1005	895	535	59.78%	360	40.22%
AP14	2972	2027	1784	1074	60.20%	710	39.80%
CH01	330	164	156	96	61.54%	60	38.46%
CH02	319	202	182	89	48.90%	93	51.10%
CH03	379	250	227	113	49.78%	114	50.22%
CH04A	647	444	399	234	58.65%	165	41.35%
CH05	407	260	238	117	49.16%	121	50.84%
CP01B	381	227	206	125	60.68%	81	39.32%
CP011	1135	617	562	374	66.55%	188	33.45%
CP012	1318	844	770	526	68.31%	244	31.69%
CP02	1000	553	507	330	65.09%	177	34.91%
CP04A	8	2	2	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP04B	39	14	14	10	71.43%	4	28.57%
CP05B	143	76	72	52	72.22%	20	27.78%
CP051	1612	874	823	571	69.38%	252	30.62%
CP06A	598	208	198	130	65.66%	68	34.34%
CP07B	7	2	2	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP07C	244	47	45	31	68.89%	14	31.11%
CP07D	694	208	197	152	77.16%	45	22.84%
CP07E	125	41	36	26	72.22%	10	27.78%
CP07F	184	55	50	35	70.00%	15	30.00%
CP081	343	77	73	42	57.53%	31	42.47%
CP083	219	25	24	16	66.67%	8	33.33%
CP084	3	1	1	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP08A	705	175	167	117	70.06%	50	29.94%
EP01A	2609	1464	1356	932	68.73%	424	31.27%
EP01B	3038	1539	1443	975	67.57%	468	32.43%
EP02A	1967	890	827	590	71.34%	237	28.66%
EP02B	1449	977	915	568	62.08%	347	37.92%
EP02C	428	251	231	156	67.53%	75	32.47%
EP02D	326	192	181	121	66.85%	60	33.15%
EP02E	1382	627	580	405	69.83%	175	30.17%
EP03A	4293	2512	2332	1598	68.52%	734	31.48%
EP03B	1421	973	921	665	72.20%	256	27.80%
EP04A	5432	2626	2489	1699	68.26%	790	31.74%
EP04B	2024	1203	1148	795	69.25%	353	30.75%
FA01A	3574	2126	2012	1341	66.65%	671	33.35%
FA01B	4833	2965	2776	1878	67.65%	898	32.35%
FA01C	681	401	375	265	70.67%	110	29.33%
HP01	3212	1780	1664	1093	65.69%	571	34.31%
JC01	4977	3215	2881	1737	60.29%	1144	39.71%
JC02	2460	1474	1306	786	60.18%	520	39.82%
JC03A	540	384	352	199	56.53%	153	43.47%
JC03B	1448	955	837	496	59.26%	341	40.74%
JC04A	4042	2692	2384	1430	59.98%	954	40.02%
JC04B	255	156	134	78	58.21%	56	41.79%
JC05	2136	1391	1224	720	58.82%	504	41.18%
JC06	2627	1580	1422	828	58.23%	594	41.77%
JC07	4083	2627	2323	1400	60.27%	923	39.73%
JC08	2737	1870	1658	963	58.08%	695	41.92%
JC09	2928	1878	1701	1017	59.79%	684	40.21%
JC10	2392	1507	1331	789	59.28%	542	40.72%
JC11	3186	2085	1846	1049	56.83%	797	43.17%
JC12	2455	1753	1563	865	55.34%	698	44.66%
JC13A	2115	1462	1289	704	54.62%	585	45.38%
JC13B	271	180	157	94	59.87%	63	40.13%
JC14	2477	1634	1458	849	58.23%	609	41.77%
JC15	834	523	475	286	60.21%	189	39.79%
JC16	2496	1729	1533	925	60.34%	608	39.66%
JC18	2670	1969	1760	1017	57.78%	743	42.22%
JC19	3759	2528	2248	1348	59.96%	900	40.04%
ML011	2439	1681	1520	956	62.89%	564	37.11%
ML012	485	338	309	197	63.75%	112	36.25%
ML01B	138	96	87	53	60.92%	34	39.08%
ML021	1991	1369	1220	745	61.07%	475	38.93%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	REFERENDUM A						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
ML022	241	148	126	76	60.32%	50	39.68%
ML023	1542	1027	892	548	61.43%	344	38.57%
ML024	113	70	59	35	59.32%	24	40.68%
ML03	3757	2575	2260	1384	61.24%	876	38.76%
ML04A	1747	1176	1051	668	63.56%	383	36.44%
ML04B	1206	838	764	453	59.29%	311	40.71%
ML04C	79	66	61	38	62.30%	23	37.70%
ML05A	39	27	23	14	60.87%	9	39.13%
ML05B	381	250	222	124	55.86%	98	44.14%
ML05C	4023	2615	2356	1480	62.82%	876	37.18%
ML06A	1973	1024	939	565	60.17%	374	39.83%
ML06B	2775	1734	1561	981	62.84%	580	37.16%
ML071	105	73	69	44	63.77%	25	36.23%
ML072	1249	857	779	475	60.98%	304	39.02%
ML07A	707	472	426	258	60.56%	168	39.44%
MP01	450	323	302	212	70.20%	90	29.80%
PA01	2509	1330	1236	793	64.16%	443	35.84%
RW01	4717	3355	2925	1792	61.26%	1133	38.74%
RW02	3794	2675	2386	1446	60.60%	940	39.40%
RW03	4510	2745	2467	1521	61.65%	946	38.35%
RW04	1803	1359	1215	706	58.11%	509	41.89%
RW05	2322	1207	1105	681	61.63%	424	38.37%
RW06	2820	1914	1704	1005	58.98%	699	41.02%
RW07A	1549	677	622	407	65.43%	215	34.57%
RW07B	388	214	197	125	63.45%	72	36.55%
RW08	2138	1462	1279	800	62.55%	479	37.45%
RW09	5918	4216	3764	2313	61.45%	1451	38.55%
RW10	3347	2376	2143	1300	60.66%	843	39.34%
RW11A	2667	1693	1523	901	59.16%	622	40.84%
RW12	5944	4234	3794	2391	63.02%	1403	36.98%
RW13	3555	2476	2216	1369	61.78%	847	38.22%
RW16	1850	1275	1144	698	61.01%	446	38.99%
RW17	2794	1773	1581	964	60.97%	617	39.03%
RW19	1993	1434	1248	780	62.50%	468	37.50%
RW20	2486	1797	1616	977	60.46%	639	39.54%
RW21	3144	2169	1901	1109	58.34%	792	41.66%
RW22A	4406	2486	2246	1326	59.04%	920	40.96%
SC01A	20	25	18	10	55.56%	8	44.44%
SC01B	1707	1295	1246	838	67.26%	408	32.74%
SC01C	2063	1513	1421	972	68.40%	449	31.60%
SC01D	294	162	155	101	65.16%	54	34.84%
SC02	872	662	630	438	69.52%	192	30.48%
SC04	922	616	581	382	65.75%	199	34.25%
SC05A	646	409	382	277	72.51%	105	27.49%
SC05B	3204	2188	2074	1475	71.12%	599	28.88%
SC05D	2171	1428	1370	969	70.73%	401	29.27%
SC05E	623	434	411	269	65.45%	142	34.55%
SC07A	5595	3698	3540	2399	67.77%	1141	32.23%
SC07C	29	10	10	6	60.00%	4	40.00%
SC08B	3382	2008	1898	1280	67.44%	618	32.56%
SC08C	74	53	50	37	74.00%	13	26.00%
SC08D	499	314	300	207	69.00%	93	31.00%
SC08E	562	316	301	194	64.45%	107	35.55%
SC08F	700	366	346	253	73.12%	93	26.88%
SC08G	12	8	7	5	71.43%	2	28.57%
SC08H	4	3	3	2	66.67%	1	33.33%
SC09A	1628	839	795	566	71.19%	229	28.81%
SC09B	1687	1084	1018	706	69.35%	312	30.65%
SC09C	1566	842	790	548	69.37%	242	30.63%
SC10	1678	661	614	449	73.13%	165	26.87%
SC11A	1411	813	773	566	73.22%	207	26.78%
SC11B	1506	878	834	583	69.90%	251	30.10%
SC13	2465	1636	1562	1058	67.73%	504	32.27%
SC14A	916	429	406	266	65.52%	140	34.48%
SC14B	502	45	40	33	82.50%	7	17.50%
SC15	6193	4213	4018	2787	69.36%	1231	30.64%
SC16A	4601	3208	3051	2111	69.19%	940	30.81%
SC16B	14	15	13	9	69.23%	4	30.77%
SC17A	55	42	39	28	71.79%	11	28.21%
SC17B	7	4	4	3	75.00%	1	25.00%
SC17C	1134	669	636	457	71.86%	179	28.14%
SC18A	510	329	306	211	68.95%	95	31.05%
SC18B	663	462	435	289	66.44%	146	33.56%
SC18C	1850	1352	1297	889	68.54%	408	31.46%
SC19A	195	129	126	89	70.63%	37	29.37%
SC19B	1267	690	652	461	70.71%	191	29.29%
SC20	2197	1665	1595	1096	68.71%	499	31.29%
SC211	1328	841	793	554	69.86%	239	30.14%
SC212	3378	1947	1859	1286	69.18%	573	30.82%
SC23A	3161	1730	1655	1179	71.24%	476	28.76%
SC23B	483	284	263	179	68.06%	84	31.94%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	REFERENDUM A						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
SC23C	2252	1302	1243	893	71.84%	350	28.16%
SC27	2129	1259	1187	818	68.91%	369	31.09%
SC29A	2784	1544	1450	1048	72.28%	402	27.72%
SC30A	135	97	87	66	75.86%	21	24.14%
SC30B	960	531	492	346	70.33%	146	29.67%
SS01	4487	3270	2916	1706	58.50%	1210	41.50%
SS02A	1120	755	678	394	58.11%	284	41.89%
SS02B	1255	756	682	437	64.08%	245	35.92%
SS03	1464	1027	930	564	60.65%	366	39.35%
SS04	3264	2064	1862	1136	61.01%	726	38.99%
SS05	1746	1376	1190	662	55.63%	528	44.37%
SS06	1397	1073	945	523	55.34%	422	44.66%
SS07A	968	683	590	356	60.34%	234	39.66%
SS07B	609	405	356	212	59.55%	144	40.45%
SS07C	755	436	367	220	59.95%	147	40.05%
SS08A	1316	758	674	403	59.79%	271	40.21%
SS08B	635	453	405	243	60.00%	162	40.00%
SS08C	632	393	348	221	63.51%	127	36.49%
SS08D	1368	847	749	480	64.09%	269	35.91%
SS09A	3003	2210	1932	1149	59.47%	783	40.53%
SS09B	3358	2452	2130	1217	57.14%	913	42.86%
SS11A	3655	2227	1968	1153	58.59%	815	41.41%
SS11B	1257	707	624	363	58.17%	261	41.83%
SS11C	269	146	132	86	65.15%	46	34.85%
SS11D	365	254	221	143	64.71%	78	35.29%
SS12	2559	1732	1494	876	58.63%	618	41.37%
SS13A	1633	1072	929	556	59.85%	373	40.15%
SS13B	1001	629	562	330	58.72%	232	41.28%
SS14	1274	977	852	489	57.39%	363	42.61%
SS15A	2572	1199	1116	724	64.87%	392	35.13%
SS15B	1579	902	816	481	58.95%	335	41.05%
SS16	2030	1534	1374	797	58.01%	577	41.99%
SS17	3397	2229	1969	1143	58.05%	826	41.95%
SS18A	619	454	415	235	56.63%	180	43.37%
SS18B	221	162	141	77	54.61%	64	45.39%
SS19A	3016	1683	1517	925	60.98%	592	39.02%
SS19B	2032	1226	1107	679	61.34%	428	38.66%
SS20	2060	1159	1046	615	58.80%	431	41.20%
SS22	2714	1613	1457	882	60.54%	575	39.46%
SS26	3552	1822	1659	1031	62.15%	628	37.85%
SS29A	2488	1595	1400	851	60.79%	549	39.21%
SS31	1345	938	829	495	59.71%	334	40.29%
UC01A	3622	1715	1634	1121	68.60%	513	31.40%
UC01B	395	210	201	135	67.16%	66	32.84%
UC01D	6	2	2	0	0.00%	2	100.00%
UC01E	285	206	196	133	67.86%	63	32.14%
UC02A	7513	4296	4070	2843	69.85%	1227	30.15%
UC02B	30	19	18	12	66.67%	6	33.33%
UC031	2399	1203	1091	726	66.54%	365	33.46%
UC032	292	186	174	130	74.71%	44	25.29%
Total	703177	424992	384107	247320	64.39%	136787	35.61%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

REFERENDUM B

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
Jurisdiction Wide							
01A	3199	2362	2218	1867	84.17%	351	15.83%
01B	3839	2737	2585	2141	82.82%	444	17.18%
01C	1459	612	585	523	89.40%	62	10.60%
01D	511	307	292	262	89.73%	30	10.27%
01E	3363	2410	2256	1923	85.24%	333	14.76%
01F	1254	452	427	390	91.33%	37	8.67%
01G	1909	1102	1060	902	85.09%	158	14.91%
01H	758	379	354	319	90.11%	35	9.89%
01J	2416	1184	1133	1003	88.53%	130	11.47%
01P	1244	542	508	458	90.16%	50	9.84%
01R	1344	491	461	410	88.94%	51	11.06%
01S	1749	823	771	683	88.59%	88	11.41%
01T	1506	796	758	642	84.70%	116	15.30%
02A	4584	2949	2782	2289	82.28%	493	17.72%
02B	3518	1265	1211	1030	85.05%	181	14.95%
02C	2037	1205	1129	981	86.89%	148	13.11%
02D	4475	2660	2517	2170	86.21%	347	13.79%
02E	1905	1423	1355	1121	82.73%	234	17.27%
02F1	2962	2033	1886	1620	85.90%	266	14.10%
02F2	788	458	428	365	85.28%	63	14.72%
02G	3443	2361	2228	1895	85.05%	333	14.95%
02J	2159	1432	1346	1146	85.14%	200	14.86%
02K	1419	951	880	734	83.41%	146	16.59%
02L1	6213	3978	3726	3115	83.60%	611	16.40%
02L2	1364	782	738	612	82.93%	126	17.07%
02S	708	427	408	356	87.25%	52	12.75%
02W	682	399	376	327	86.97%	49	13.03%
03A	1927	692	649	579	89.21%	70	10.79%
03B	560	181	166	143	86.14%	23	13.86%
03C	1534	674	626	561	89.62%	65	10.38%
03D	1017	402	379	332	87.60%	47	12.40%
03E	2284	914	874	772	88.33%	102	11.67%
03F	1925	1115	1077	907	84.22%	170	15.78%
03G	802	360	342	305	89.18%	37	10.82%
03H	900	369	341	314	92.08%	27	7.92%
03I	1360	566	539	452	83.86%	87	16.14%
03L	594	229	215	184	85.58%	31	14.42%
03M	766	452	429	372	86.71%	57	13.29%
03N	2288	938	895	810	90.50%	85	9.50%
03P1A	3882	1966	1852	1574	84.99%	278	15.01%
03P2	13	7	7	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
03S	761	356	341	297	87.10%	44	12.90%
03T	1505	715	672	596	88.69%	76	11.31%
04A	1202	560	525	472	89.90%	53	10.10%
04B	2242	922	873	782	89.58%	91	10.42%
04C	136	54	52	45	86.54%	7	13.46%
04D	1481	714	676	593	87.72%	83	12.28%
04F	2086	935	893	777	87.01%	116	12.99%
04G	828	312	291	242	83.16%	49	16.84%
04I	3362	561	529	463	87.52%	66	12.48%
04J	1230	317	305	272	89.18%	33	10.82%
04K	2339	1266	1178	1035	87.86%	143	12.14%
04L	1655	867	833	724	86.91%	109	13.09%
04M	773	292	283	254	89.75%	29	10.25%
04S	2165	1118	1054	922	87.48%	132	12.52%
04T	1145	584	550	478	86.91%	72	13.09%
04V	2848	1211	1143	1009	88.28%	134	11.72%
04W	2203	820	791	735	92.92%	56	7.08%
04X1	1942	950	907	814	89.75%	93	10.25%
04X2	737	364	339	299	88.20%	40	11.80%
05A1	2491	1728	1614	1415	87.67%	199	12.33%
05A2	2910	1998	1889	1634	86.50%	255	13.50%
05B	1763	901	833	736	88.36%	97	11.64%
05C	146	67	64	57	89.06%	7	10.94%
05D	386	93	90	87	96.67%	3	3.33%
05F	223	136	130	117	90.00%	13	10.00%
05J	639	416	394	341	86.55%	53	13.45%
05K	2600	1327	1276	1121	87.85%	155	12.15%
06B	1756	1238	1159	946	81.62%	213	18.38%
06D	8568	6060	5599	4600	82.16%	999	17.84%
06E	2250	1439	1343	1115	83.02%	228	16.98%
06F	2709	1757	1640	1366	83.29%	274	16.71%
06G	536	369	353	306	86.69%	47	13.31%
06I	3412	1957	1871	1590	84.98%	281	15.02%
06J	2539	1904	1780	1458	81.91%	322	18.09%
06L1	917	679	620	501	80.81%	119	19.19%
06L2	654	487	460	361	78.48%	99	21.52%
06N	1844	1085	1016	821	80.81%	195	19.19%
06Q	527	295	282	248	87.94%	34	12.06%
06R	1836	1206	1147	928	80.91%	219	19.09%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

REFERENDUM B

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
07A	6600	4093	3771	3007	79.74%	764	20.26%
07B	2763	2107	1926	1500	77.88%	426	22.12%
07C	3927	2498	2253	1768	78.47%	485	21.53%
07D	4231	2413	2185	1761	80.59%	424	19.41%
07E	2031	1358	1250	1018	81.44%	232	18.56%
07F	3017	2041	1886	1508	79.96%	378	20.04%
07H	1923	1424	1311	1040	79.33%	271	20.67%
07J	2647	1753	1614	1288	79.80%	326	20.20%
07M	2155	1180	1092	857	78.48%	235	21.52%
07N	1946	1044	980	840	85.71%	140	14.29%
08A	2799	1949	1770	1422	80.34%	348	19.66%
08B	3199	2255	2057	1625	79.00%	432	21.00%
08C	1392	984	898	667	74.28%	231	25.72%
08D	1022	770	708	537	75.85%	171	24.15%
08E	2429	1864	1713	1302	76.01%	411	23.99%
08F1	2071	1628	1445	1133	78.41%	312	21.59%
08G	2787	1669	1512	1247	82.47%	265	17.53%
08H	2465	1874	1705	1314	77.07%	391	22.93%
08J	2981	2223	2030	1634	80.49%	396	19.51%
08K	973	702	649	530	81.66%	119	18.34%
08L	4194	2706	2512	2073	82.52%	439	17.48%
08M	1907	1358	1234	986	79.90%	248	20.10%
08N1	767	587	528	403	76.33%	125	23.67%
08N2	1391	927	845	689	81.54%	156	18.46%
08P	1633	928	872	745	85.44%	127	14.56%
09A	3338	2152	2027	1716	84.66%	311	15.34%
09B	385	174	164	142	86.59%	22	13.41%
09C	2954	1522	1440	1269	88.13%	171	11.88%
09D	1879	858	783	705	90.04%	78	9.96%
09E	2782	1420	1347	1164	86.41%	183	13.59%
09F	3619	2526	2334	1832	78.49%	502	21.51%
09G	3519	2282	2154	1798	83.47%	356	16.53%
09H	1064	645	607	527	86.82%	80	13.18%
09I	1194	503	461	405	87.85%	56	12.15%
09K	4403	1851	1754	1552	88.48%	202	11.52%
09M	2259	1611	1515	1278	84.36%	237	15.64%
10A	2850	1622	1527	1345	88.08%	182	11.92%
10B	3686	2464	2342	2030	86.68%	312	13.32%
10C	2466	1168	1099	987	89.81%	112	10.19%
10D	4220	1850	1724	1516	87.94%	208	12.06%
10E	950	524	480	422	87.92%	58	12.08%
10F	1053	590	552	482	87.32%	70	12.68%
10G	1205	813	773	648	83.83%	125	16.17%
10H1	408	238	227	197	86.78%	30	13.22%
10H2	2207	1032	963	841	87.33%	122	12.67%
10I	329	258	243	207	85.19%	36	14.81%
10J	1012	466	436	386	88.53%	50	11.47%
10K	1415	858	810	696	85.93%	114	14.07%
10M	2529	1414	1344	1163	86.53%	181	13.47%
10P	1364	668	613	536	87.44%	77	12.56%
10R	713	347	324	283	87.35%	41	12.65%
11B	5162	3088	2933	2537	86.50%	396	13.50%
11C	2822	2003	1925	1672	86.86%	253	13.14%
11E1	1141	634	606	528	87.13%	78	12.87%
11E2	847	497	474	415	87.55%	59	12.45%
11E3	3124	1835	1784	1592	89.24%	192	10.76%
11G	2092	855	805	729	90.56%	76	9.44%
11H	677	356	329	296	89.97%	33	10.03%
11J	1827	1018	960	872	90.83%	88	9.17%
11K	1818	1104	1053	897	85.19%	156	14.81%
11M	3039	1659	1592	1412	88.69%	180	11.31%
11N	2166	1358	1287	1147	89.12%	140	10.88%
11P	1833	1020	960	872	90.83%	88	9.17%
11R	1849	672	619	537	86.75%	82	13.25%
12A	4828	2734	2572	2215	86.12%	357	13.88%
12D	1209	501	478	422	88.28%	56	11.72%
12E1	1621	591	550	487	88.55%	63	11.45%
12F	943	428	409	363	88.75%	46	11.25%
12G	800	430	397	356	89.67%	41	10.33%
12H1	1006	310	292	265	90.75%	27	9.25%
12H2	2562	1189	1120	989	88.30%	131	11.70%
12I	970	413	391	332	84.91%	59	15.09%
12J	2535	1108	1037	922	88.91%	115	11.09%
12K	3022	1240	1160	1011	87.16%	149	12.84%
12L	613	238	217	192	88.48%	25	11.52%
12M	2533	1171	1117	966	86.48%	151	13.52%
12N	907	327	301	273	90.70%	28	9.30%
12S	1794	959	882	786	89.12%	96	10.88%
AP01A	4724	3141	2908	2264	77.85%	644	22.15%
AP01B	913	516	481	387	80.46%	94	19.54%
AP01C	2233	1498	1389	1090	78.47%	299	21.53%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	REFERENDUM B						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
AP01D	915	539	498	400	80.32%	98	19.68%
AP02B	474	263	236	196	83.05%	40	16.95%
AP03	741	314	304	264	86.84%	40	13.16%
AP04A	1647	1183	1098	896	81.60%	202	18.40%
AP04B	415	290	271	212	78.23%	59	21.77%
AP05	4367	2981	2757	2138	77.55%	619	22.45%
AP06	1827	1309	1210	972	80.33%	238	19.67%
AP07A	3422	2102	1955	1579	80.77%	376	19.23%
AP07B	1650	1144	1071	836	78.06%	235	21.94%
AP09A	4051	2687	2497	1977	79.18%	520	20.82%
AP09B	810	531	497	397	79.88%	100	20.12%
AP021	2994	1963	1839	1502	81.67%	337	18.33%
AP022	2210	1429	1320	1093	82.80%	227	17.20%
AP10	942	681	613	499	81.40%	114	18.60%
AP12A	658	374	349	292	83.67%	57	16.33%
AP12B	747	428	394	324	82.23%	70	17.77%
AP12C	1584	1005	929	725	78.04%	204	21.96%
AP14	2972	2027	1896	1544	81.43%	352	18.57%
CH01	330	164	156	133	85.26%	23	14.74%
CH02	319	202	189	129	68.25%	60	31.75%
CH03	379	250	230	159	69.13%	71	30.87%
CH04A	647	444	409	305	74.57%	104	25.43%
CH05	407	260	236	161	68.22%	75	31.78%
CP01B	381	227	212	158	74.53%	54	25.47%
CP011	1135	617	586	475	81.06%	111	18.94%
CP012	1318	844	802	688	85.79%	114	14.21%
CP02	1000	553	524	439	83.78%	85	16.22%
CP04A	8	2	2	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP04B	39	14	14	13	92.86%	1	7.14%
CP05B	143	76	71	67	94.37%	4	5.63%
CP051	1612	874	838	735	87.71%	103	12.29%
CP06A	598	208	200	173	86.50%	27	13.50%
CP07B	7	2	2	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP07C	244	47	45	41	91.11%	4	8.89%
CP07D	694	208	202	178	88.12%	24	11.88%
CP07E	125	41	38	33	86.84%	5	13.16%
CP07F	184	55	53	47	88.68%	6	11.32%
CP081	343	77	75	65	86.67%	10	13.33%
CP083	219	25	25	24	96.00%	1	4.00%
CP084	3	1	1	0	0.00%	1	100.00%
CP08A	705	175	172	143	83.14%	29	16.86%
EP01A	2609	1464	1392	1219	87.57%	173	12.43%
EP01B	3038	1539	1467	1292	88.07%	175	11.93%
EP02A	1967	890	848	746	87.97%	102	12.03%
EP02B	1449	977	940	777	82.66%	163	17.34%
EP02C	428	251	235	196	83.40%	39	16.60%
EP02D	326	192	183	169	92.35%	14	7.65%
EP02E	1382	627	601	519	86.36%	82	13.64%
EP03A	4293	2512	2385	2057	86.25%	328	13.75%
EP03B	1421	973	928	828	89.22%	100	10.78%
EP04A	5432	2626	2538	2240	88.26%	298	11.74%
EP04B	2024	1203	1168	1039	88.96%	129	11.04%
FA01A	3574	2126	2043	1761	86.20%	282	13.80%
FA01B	4833	2965	2856	2476	86.69%	380	13.31%
FA01C	681	401	388	348	89.69%	40	10.31%
HP01	3212	1780	1692	1372	81.09%	320	18.91%
JC01	4977	3215	2986	2327	77.93%	659	22.07%
JC02	2460	1474	1360	1060	77.94%	300	22.06%
JC03A	540	384	365	294	80.55%	71	19.45%
JC03B	1448	955	879	697	79.29%	182	20.71%
JC04A	4042	2692	2489	1959	78.71%	530	21.29%
JC04B	255	156	143	114	79.72%	29	20.28%
JC05	2136	1391	1284	1008	78.50%	276	21.50%
JC06	2627	1580	1479	1135	76.74%	344	23.26%
JC07	4083	2627	2429	1877	77.27%	552	22.73%
JC08	2737	1870	1746	1371	78.52%	375	21.48%
JC09	2928	1878	1765	1415	80.17%	350	19.83%
JC10	2392	1507	1393	1109	79.61%	284	20.39%
JC11	3186	2085	1923	1486	77.28%	437	22.72%
JC12	2455	1753	1640	1230	75.00%	410	25.00%
JC13A	2115	1462	1365	1038	76.04%	327	23.96%
JC13B	271	180	169	118	69.82%	51	30.18%
JC14	2477	1634	1527	1153	75.51%	374	24.49%
JC15	834	523	496	407	82.06%	89	17.94%
JC16	2496	1729	1589	1276	80.30%	313	19.70%
JC18	2670	1969	1847	1453	78.67%	394	21.33%
JC19	3759	2528	2357	1899	80.57%	458	19.43%
ML011	2439	1681	1572	1254	79.77%	318	20.23%
ML012	485	338	313	244	77.96%	69	22.04%
ML01B	138	96	88	63	71.59%	25	28.41%
ML021	1991	1369	1261	989	78.43%	272	21.57%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	REFERENDUM B						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
ML022	241	148	138	119	86.23%	19	13.77%
ML023	1542	1027	938	734	78.25%	204	21.75%
ML024	113	70	60	47	78.33%	13	21.67%
ML03	3757	2575	2349	1835	78.12%	514	21.88%
ML04A	1747	1176	1093	842	77.04%	251	22.96%
ML04B	1206	838	792	587	74.12%	205	25.88%
ML04C	79	66	62	46	74.19%	16	25.81%
ML05A	39	27	24	20	83.33%	4	16.67%
ML05B	381	250	227	165	72.69%	62	27.31%
ML05C	4023	2615	2446	1940	79.31%	506	20.69%
ML06A	1973	1024	966	812	84.06%	154	15.94%
ML06B	2775	1734	1630	1338	82.09%	292	17.91%
ML071	105	73	71	51	71.83%	20	28.17%
ML072	1249	857	802	625	77.93%	177	22.07%
ML07A	707	472	444	336	75.68%	108	24.32%
MP01	450	323	310	237	76.45%	73	23.55%
PA01	2509	1330	1262	1047	82.96%	215	17.04%
RW01	4717	3355	3065	2367	77.23%	698	22.77%
RW02	3794	2675	2501	1984	79.33%	517	20.67%
RW03	4510	2745	2575	2087	81.05%	488	18.95%
RW04	1803	1359	1267	980	77.35%	287	22.65%
RW05	2322	1207	1150	959	83.39%	191	16.61%
RW06	2820	1914	1790	1424	79.55%	366	20.45%
RW07A	1549	677	645	565	87.60%	80	12.40%
RW07B	388	214	199	161	80.90%	38	19.10%
RW08	2138	1462	1337	1032	77.19%	305	22.81%
RW09	5918	4216	3917	3033	77.43%	884	22.57%
RW10	3347	2376	2221	1756	79.06%	465	20.94%
RW11A	2667	1693	1596	1259	78.88%	337	21.12%
RW12	5944	4234	3954	3117	78.83%	837	21.17%
RW13	3555	2476	2305	1833	79.52%	472	20.48%
RW16	1850	1275	1184	932	78.72%	252	21.28%
RW17	2794	1773	1659	1366	82.34%	293	17.66%
RW19	1993	1434	1307	1043	79.80%	264	20.20%
RW20	2486	1797	1677	1324	78.95%	353	21.05%
RW21	3144	2169	2005	1571	78.35%	434	21.65%
RW22A	4406	2486	2355	1929	81.91%	426	18.09%
SC01A	20	25	22	19	86.36%	3	13.64%
SC01B	1707	1295	1272	1109	87.19%	163	12.81%
SC01C	2063	1513	1453	1261	86.79%	192	13.21%
SC01D	294	162	155	137	88.39%	18	11.61%
SC02	872	662	638	552	86.52%	86	13.48%
SC04	922	616	585	463	79.15%	122	20.85%
SC05A	646	409	391	351	89.77%	40	10.23%
SC05B	3204	2188	2111	1847	87.49%	264	12.51%
SC05D	2171	1428	1392	1230	88.36%	162	11.64%
SC05E	623	434	421	353	83.85%	68	16.15%
SC07A	5595	3698	3593	3133	87.20%	460	12.80%
SC07C	29	10	10	9	90.00%	1	10.00%
SC08B	3382	2008	1939	1673	86.28%	266	13.72%
SC08C	74	53	49	43	87.76%	6	12.24%
SC08D	499	314	303	262	86.47%	41	13.53%
SC08E	562	316	309	274	88.67%	35	11.33%
SC08F	700	366	354	321	90.68%	33	9.32%
SC08G	12	8	7	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08H	4	3	3	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC09A	1628	839	809	714	88.26%	95	11.74%
SC09B	1687	1084	1040	916	88.08%	124	11.92%
SC09C	1566	842	812	700	86.21%	112	13.79%
SC10	1678	661	637	567	89.01%	70	10.99%
SC11A	1411	813	790	691	87.47%	99	12.53%
SC11B	1506	878	846	758	89.60%	88	10.40%
SC13	2465	1636	1588	1352	85.14%	236	14.86%
SC14A	916	429	413	358	86.68%	55	13.32%
SC14B	502	45	41	36	87.80%	5	12.20%
SC15	6193	4213	4071	3585	88.06%	486	11.94%
SC16A	4601	3208	3105	2764	89.02%	341	10.98%
SC16B	14	15	15	12	80.00%	3	20.00%
SC17A	55	42	41	37	90.24%	4	9.76%
SC17B	7	4	4	3	75.00%	1	25.00%
SC17C	1134	669	644	572	88.82%	72	11.18%
SC18A	510	329	315	285	90.48%	30	9.52%
SC18B	663	462	449	378	84.19%	71	15.81%
SC18C	1850	1352	1311	1114	84.97%	197	15.03%
SC19A	195	129	127	107	84.25%	20	15.75%
SC19B	1267	690	657	576	87.67%	81	12.33%
SC20	2197	1665	1608	1411	87.75%	197	12.25%
SC211	1328	841	806	710	88.09%	96	11.91%
SC212	3378	1947	1886	1651	87.54%	235	12.46%
SC23A	3161	1730	1678	1497	89.21%	181	10.79%
SC23B	483	284	270	242	89.63%	28	10.37%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	REFERENDUM B						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
SC23C	2252	1302	1258	1124	89.35%	134	10.65%
SC27	2129	1259	1213	1062	87.55%	151	12.45%
SC29A	2784	1544	1486	1301	87.55%	185	12.45%
SC30A	135	97	90	83	92.22%	7	7.78%
SC30B	960	531	514	451	87.74%	63	12.26%
SS01	4487	3270	3047	2322	76.21%	725	23.79%
SS02A	1120	755	713	571	80.08%	142	19.92%
SS02B	1255	756	695	574	82.59%	121	17.41%
SS03	1464	1027	967	752	77.77%	215	22.23%
SS04	3264	2064	1950	1583	81.18%	367	18.82%
SS05	1746	1376	1266	988	78.04%	278	21.96%
SS06	1397	1073	986	746	75.66%	240	24.34%
SS07A	968	683	624	487	78.04%	137	21.96%
SS07B	609	405	369	295	79.95%	74	20.05%
SS07C	755	436	392	310	79.08%	82	20.92%
SS08A	1316	758	699	578	82.69%	121	17.31%
SS08B	635	453	423	321	75.89%	102	24.11%
SS08C	632	393	371	309	83.29%	62	16.71%
SS08D	1368	847	796	652	81.91%	144	18.09%
SS09A	3003	2210	2028	1561	76.97%	467	23.03%
SS09B	3358	2452	2258	1746	77.33%	512	22.67%
SS11A	3655	2227	2070	1697	81.98%	373	18.02%
SS11B	1257	707	654	547	83.64%	107	16.36%
SS11C	269	146	135	114	84.44%	21	15.56%
SS11D	365	254	234	180	76.92%	54	23.08%
SS12	2559	1732	1598	1249	78.16%	349	21.84%
SS13A	1633	1072	1000	800	80.00%	200	20.00%
SS13B	1001	629	594	485	81.65%	109	18.35%
SS14	1274	977	900	707	78.56%	193	21.44%
SS15A	2572	1199	1145	986	86.11%	159	13.89%
SS15B	1579	902	854	706	82.67%	148	17.33%
SS16	2030	1534	1425	1107	77.68%	318	22.32%
SS17	3397	2229	2070	1629	78.70%	441	21.30%
SS18A	619	454	430	319	74.19%	111	25.81%
SS18B	221	162	151	113	74.83%	38	25.17%
SS19A	3016	1683	1580	1314	83.16%	266	16.84%
SS19B	2032	1226	1151	967	84.01%	184	15.99%
SS20	2060	1159	1089	873	80.17%	216	19.83%
SS22	2714	1613	1505	1182	78.54%	323	21.46%
SS26	3552	1822	1735	1488	85.76%	247	14.24%
SS29A	2488	1595	1466	1166	79.54%	300	20.46%
SS31	1345	938	869	690	79.40%	179	20.60%
UC01A	3622	1715	1666	1496	89.80%	170	10.20%
UC01B	395	210	204	187	91.67%	17	8.33%
UC01D	6	2	2	1	50.00%	1	50.00%
UC01E	285	206	200	170	85.00%	30	15.00%
UC02A	7513	4296	4134	3643	88.12%	491	11.88%
UC02B	30	19	18	15	83.33%	3	16.67%
UC031	2399	1203	1140	988	86.67%	152	13.33%
UC032	292	186	179	152	84.92%	27	15.08%
Total	703177	424992	399002	331404	83.06%	67598	16.94%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
Jurisdiction Wide							
01A	3199	2362	1965	1215	61.83%	750	38.17%
01B	3839	2737	2286	1408	61.59%	878	38.41%
01C	1459	612	553	398	71.97%	155	28.03%
01D	511	307	270	172	63.70%	98	36.30%
01E	3363	2410	2019	1236	61.22%	783	38.78%
01F	1254	452	407	296	72.73%	111	27.27%
01G	1909	1102	980	664	67.76%	316	32.24%
01H	758	379	332	245	73.80%	87	26.20%
01J	2416	1184	1073	763	71.11%	310	28.89%
01P	1244	542	483	345	71.43%	138	28.57%
01R	1344	491	434	323	74.42%	111	25.58%
01S	1749	823	738	524	71.00%	214	29.00%
01T	1506	796	703	456	64.86%	247	35.14%
02A	4584	2949	2508	1555	62.00%	953	38.00%
02B	3518	1265	1138	730	64.15%	408	35.85%
02C	2037	1205	1033	674	65.25%	359	34.75%
02D	4475	2660	2269	1525	67.21%	744	32.79%
02E	1905	1423	1187	728	61.33%	459	38.67%
02F1	2962	2033	1673	1041	62.22%	632	37.78%
02F2	788	458	388	260	67.01%	128	32.99%
02G	3443	2361	1959	1208	61.66%	751	38.34%
02J	2159	1432	1194	746	62.48%	448	37.52%
02K	1419	951	775	488	62.97%	287	37.03%
02L1	6213	3978	3376	2099	62.17%	1277	37.83%
02L2	1364	782	671	420	62.59%	251	37.41%
02S	708	427	375	239	63.73%	136	36.27%
02W	682	399	343	229	66.76%	114	33.24%
03A	1927	692	609	438	71.92%	171	28.08%
03B	560	181	158	108	68.35%	50	31.65%
03C	1534	674	589	415	70.46%	174	29.54%
03D	1017	402	357	247	69.19%	110	30.81%
03E	2284	914	844	586	69.43%	258	30.57%
03F	1925	1115	962	593	61.64%	369	38.36%
03G	802	360	330	231	70.00%	99	30.00%
03H	900	369	321	228	71.03%	93	28.97%
03I	1360	566	498	314	63.05%	184	36.95%
03L	594	229	198	139	70.20%	59	29.80%
03M	766	452	378	254	67.20%	124	32.80%
03N	2288	938	849	602	70.91%	247	29.09%
03P1A	3882	1966	1676	1052	62.77%	624	37.23%
03P2	13	7	7	5	71.43%	2	28.57%
03S	761	356	325	223	68.62%	102	31.38%
03T	1505	715	640	438	68.44%	202	31.56%
04A	1202	560	499	346	69.34%	153	30.66%
04B	2242	922	821	543	66.14%	278	33.86%
04C	136	54	48	24	50.00%	24	50.00%
04D	1481	714	621	425	68.44%	196	31.56%
04F	2086	935	838	555	66.23%	283	33.77%
04G	828	312	277	189	68.23%	88	31.77%
04I	3362	561	484	334	69.01%	150	30.99%
04J	1230	317	293	198	67.58%	95	32.42%
04K	2339	1266	1093	730	66.79%	363	33.21%
04L	1655	867	776	523	67.40%	253	32.60%
04M	773	292	263	194	73.76%	69	26.24%
04S	2165	1118	982	709	72.20%	273	27.80%
04T	1145	584	513	354	69.01%	159	30.99%
04V	2848	1211	1093	812	74.29%	281	25.71%
04W	2203	820	766	554	72.32%	212	27.68%
04X1	1942	950	847	588	69.42%	259	30.58%
04X2	737	364	321	226	70.40%	95	29.60%
05A1	2491	1728	1417	928	65.49%	489	34.51%
05A2	2910	1998	1660	1063	64.04%	597	35.96%
05B	1763	901	756	515	68.12%	241	31.88%
05C	146	67	61	48	78.69%	13	21.31%
05D	386	93	85	65	76.47%	20	23.53%
05F	223	136	121	81	66.94%	40	33.06%
05J	639	416	363	227	62.53%	136	37.47%
05K	2600	1327	1192	792	66.44%	400	33.56%
06B	1756	1238	1000	644	64.40%	356	35.60%
06D	8568	6060	4965	3114	62.72%	1851	37.28%
06E	2250	1439	1211	757	62.51%	454	37.49%
06F	2709	1757	1428	893	62.54%	535	37.46%
06G	536	369	310	193	62.26%	117	37.74%
06I	3412	1957	1708	1119	65.52%	589	34.48%
06J	2539	1904	1578	985	62.42%	593	37.58%
06L1	917	679	547	312	57.04%	235	42.96%
06L2	654	487	411	256	62.29%	155	37.71%
06N	1844	1085	920	585	63.59%	335	36.41%
06Q	527	295	254	154	60.63%	100	39.37%
06R	1836	1206	1039	643	61.89%	396	38.11%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
07A	6600	4093	3364	2080	61.83%	1284	38.17%
07B	2763	2107	1716	1053	61.36%	663	38.64%
07C	3927	2498	1979	1152	58.21%	827	41.79%
07D	4231	2413	1953	1205	61.70%	748	38.30%
07E	2031	1358	1129	699	61.91%	430	38.09%
07F	3017	2041	1670	1015	60.78%	655	39.22%
07H	1923	1424	1177	720	61.17%	457	38.83%
07J	2647	1753	1433	868	60.57%	565	39.43%
07M	2155	1180	990	604	61.01%	386	38.99%
07N	1946	1044	892	574	64.35%	318	35.65%
08A	2799	1949	1605	951	59.25%	654	40.75%
08B	3199	2255	1836	1107	60.29%	729	39.71%
08C	1392	984	791	501	63.34%	290	36.66%
08D	1022	770	629	394	62.64%	235	37.36%
08E	2429	1864	1531	912	59.57%	619	40.43%
08F1	2071	1628	1260	770	61.11%	490	38.89%
08G	2787	1669	1360	810	59.56%	550	40.44%
08H	2465	1874	1537	941	61.22%	596	38.78%
08J	2981	2223	1772	1064	60.05%	708	39.95%
08K	973	702	577	348	60.31%	229	39.69%
08L	4194	2706	2274	1385	60.91%	889	39.09%
08M	1907	1358	1096	706	64.42%	390	35.58%
08N1	767	587	460	278	60.43%	182	39.57%
08N2	1391	927	748	478	63.90%	270	36.10%
08P	1633	928	810	528	65.19%	282	34.81%
09A	3338	2152	1856	1178	63.47%	678	36.53%
09B	385	174	158	108	68.35%	50	31.65%
09C	2954	1522	1357	944	69.57%	413	30.43%
09D	1879	858	741	515	69.50%	226	30.50%
09E	2782	1420	1274	880	69.07%	394	30.93%
09F	3619	2526	2093	1269	60.63%	824	39.37%
09G	3519	2282	1947	1246	64.00%	701	36.00%
09H	1064	645	550	364	66.18%	186	33.82%
09I	1194	503	426	296	69.48%	130	30.52%
09K	4403	1851	1669	1205	72.20%	464	27.80%
09M	2259	1611	1369	844	61.65%	525	38.35%
10A	2850	1622	1442	961	66.64%	481	33.36%
10B	3686	2464	2208	1438	65.13%	770	34.87%
10C	2466	1168	1041	747	71.76%	294	28.24%
10D	4220	1850	1645	1155	70.21%	490	29.79%
10E	950	524	463	294	63.50%	169	36.50%
10F	1053	590	518	348	67.18%	170	32.82%
10G	1205	813	731	459	62.79%	272	37.21%
10H1	408	238	210	133	63.33%	77	36.67%
10H2	2207	1032	902	668	74.06%	234	25.94%
10I	329	258	229	133	58.08%	96	41.92%
10J	1012	466	423	304	71.87%	119	28.13%
10K	1415	858	748	478	63.90%	270	36.10%
10M	2529	1414	1265	849	67.11%	416	32.89%
10P	1364	668	583	399	68.44%	184	31.56%
10R	713	347	309	240	77.67%	69	22.33%
11B	5162	3088	2776	1807	65.09%	969	34.91%
11C	2822	2003	1833	1138	62.08%	695	37.92%
11E1	1141	634	582	394	67.70%	188	32.30%
11E2	847	497	450	302	67.11%	148	32.89%
11E3	3124	1835	1711	1162	67.91%	549	32.09%
11G	2092	855	759	566	74.57%	193	25.43%
11H	677	356	305	203	66.56%	102	33.44%
11J	1827	1018	896	642	71.65%	254	28.35%
11K	1818	1104	981	613	62.49%	368	37.51%
11M	3039	1659	1515	1019	67.26%	496	32.74%
11N	2166	1358	1197	775	64.75%	422	35.25%
11P	1833	1020	893	646	72.34%	247	27.66%
11R	1849	672	595	446	74.96%	149	25.04%
12A	4828	2734	2391	1612	67.42%	779	32.58%
12D	1209	501	448	314	70.09%	134	29.91%
12E1	1621	591	530	391	73.77%	139	26.23%
12F	943	428	385	269	69.87%	116	30.13%
12G	800	430	353	267	75.64%	86	24.36%
12H1	1006	310	284	205	72.18%	79	27.82%
12H2	2562	1189	1072	756	70.52%	316	29.48%
12I	970	413	364	254	69.78%	110	30.22%
12J	2535	1108	984	721	73.27%	263	26.73%
12K	3022	1240	1095	754	68.86%	341	31.14%
12L	613	238	208	162	77.88%	46	22.12%
12M	2533	1171	1061	767	72.29%	294	27.71%
12N	907	327	289	212	73.36%	77	26.64%
12S	1794	959	814	569	69.90%	245	30.10%
AP01A	4724	3141	2626	1585	60.36%	1041	39.64%
AP01B	913	516	436	281	64.45%	155	35.55%
AP01C	2233	1498	1273	762	59.86%	511	40.14%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
AP01D	915	539	448	281	62.72%	167	37.28%
AP02B	474	263	212	121	57.08%	91	42.92%
AP03	741	314	282	177	62.77%	105	37.23%
AP04A	1647	1183	985	586	59.49%	399	40.51%
AP04B	415	290	249	150	60.24%	99	39.76%
AP05	4367	2981	2498	1492	59.73%	1006	40.27%
AP06	1827	1309	1090	661	60.64%	429	39.36%
AP07A	3422	2102	1790	1078	60.22%	712	39.78%
AP07B	1650	1144	982	610	62.12%	372	37.88%
AP09A	4051	2687	2269	1397	61.57%	872	38.43%
AP09B	810	531	453	268	59.16%	185	40.84%
AP021	2994	1963	1685	1033	61.31%	652	38.69%
AP022	2210	1429	1169	741	63.39%	428	36.61%
AP10	942	681	566	360	63.60%	206	36.40%
AP12A	658	374	312	187	59.94%	125	40.06%
AP12B	747	428	365	217	59.45%	148	40.55%
AP12C	1584	1005	831	512	61.61%	319	38.39%
AP14	2972	2027	1700	1048	61.65%	652	38.35%
CH01	330	164	150	81	54.00%	69	46.00%
CH02	319	202	180	85	47.22%	95	52.78%
CH03	379	250	217	100	46.08%	117	53.92%
CH04A	647	444	370	192	51.89%	178	48.11%
CH05	407	260	226	115	50.88%	111	49.12%
CP01B	381	227	199	111	55.78%	88	44.22%
CP011	1135	617	536	324	60.45%	212	39.55%
CP012	1318	844	758	487	64.25%	271	35.75%
CP02	1000	553	500	330	66.00%	170	34.00%
CP04A	8	2	2	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP04B	39	14	13	11	84.62%	2	15.38%
CP05B	143	76	70	60	85.71%	10	14.29%
CP051	1612	874	799	547	68.46%	252	31.54%
CP06A	598	208	189	140	74.07%	49	25.93%
CP07B	7	2	2	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP07C	244	47	42	32	76.19%	10	23.81%
CP07D	694	208	196	155	79.08%	41	20.92%
CP07E	125	41	36	27	75.00%	9	25.00%
CP07F	184	55	51	41	80.39%	10	19.61%
CP081	343	77	74	50	67.57%	24	32.43%
CP083	219	25	23	18	78.26%	5	21.74%
CP084	3	1	1	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP08A	705	175	168	123	73.21%	45	26.79%
EP01A	2609	1464	1317	870	66.06%	447	33.94%
EP01B	3038	1539	1408	959	68.11%	449	31.89%
EP02A	1967	890	799	561	70.21%	238	29.79%
EP02B	1449	977	883	559	63.31%	324	36.69%
EP02C	428	251	218	127	58.26%	91	41.74%
EP02D	326	192	173	117	67.63%	56	32.37%
EP02E	1382	627	551	379	68.78%	172	31.22%
EP03A	4293	2512	2272	1499	65.98%	773	34.02%
EP03B	1421	973	904	605	66.92%	299	33.08%
EP04A	5432	2626	2454	1645	67.03%	809	32.97%
EP04B	2024	1203	1105	737	66.70%	368	33.30%
FA01A	3574	2126	1961	1250	63.74%	711	36.26%
FA01B	4833	2965	2741	1808	65.96%	933	34.04%
FA01C	681	401	373	245	65.68%	128	34.32%
HP01	3212	1780	1611	1020	63.31%	591	36.69%
JC01	4977	3215	2714	1677	61.79%	1037	38.21%
JC02	2460	1474	1240	749	60.40%	491	39.60%
JC03A	540	384	326	183	56.13%	143	43.87%
JC03B	1448	955	790	469	59.37%	321	40.63%
JC04A	4042	2692	2249	1360	60.47%	889	39.53%
JC04B	255	156	127	75	59.06%	52	40.94%
JC05	2136	1391	1165	690	59.23%	475	40.77%
JC06	2627	1580	1351	817	60.47%	534	39.53%
JC07	4083	2627	2208	1373	62.18%	835	37.82%
JC08	2737	1870	1592	894	56.16%	698	43.84%
JC09	2928	1878	1600	956	59.75%	644	40.25%
JC10	2392	1507	1248	767	61.46%	481	38.54%
JC11	3186	2085	1767	1040	58.86%	727	41.14%
JC12	2455	1753	1485	888	59.80%	597	40.20%
JC13A	2115	1462	1237	758	61.28%	479	38.72%
JC13B	271	180	148	91	61.49%	57	38.51%
JC14	2477	1634	1380	847	61.38%	533	38.62%
JC15	834	523	452	280	61.95%	172	38.05%
JC16	2496	1729	1465	858	58.57%	607	41.43%
JC18	2670	1969	1644	980	59.61%	664	40.39%
JC19	3759	2528	2129	1292	60.69%	837	39.31%
ML011	2439	1681	1414	886	62.66%	528	37.34%
ML012	485	338	295	187	63.39%	108	36.61%
ML01B	138	96	81	47	58.02%	34	41.98%
ML021	1991	1369	1150	701	60.96%	449	39.04%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
ML022	241	148	119	76	63.87%	43	36.13%
ML023	1542	1027	847	532	62.81%	315	37.19%
ML024	113	70	58	41	70.69%	17	29.31%
ML03	3757	2575	2121	1278	60.25%	843	39.75%
ML04A	1747	1176	989	598	60.47%	391	39.53%
ML04B	1206	838	715	427	59.72%	288	40.28%
ML04C	79	66	59	32	54.24%	27	45.76%
ML05A	39	27	17	11	64.71%	6	35.29%
ML05B	381	250	211	104	49.29%	107	50.71%
ML05C	4023	2615	2209	1310	59.30%	899	40.70%
ML06A	1973	1024	907	570	62.84%	337	37.16%
ML06B	2775	1734	1512	938	62.04%	574	37.96%
ML071	105	73	64	36	56.25%	28	43.75%
ML072	1249	857	743	457	61.51%	286	38.49%
ML07A	707	472	402	230	57.21%	172	42.79%
MP01	450	323	277	163	58.84%	114	41.16%
PA01	2509	1330	1197	763	63.74%	434	36.26%
RW01	4717	3355	2721	1606	59.02%	1115	40.98%
RW02	3794	2675	2248	1305	58.05%	943	41.95%
RW03	4510	2745	2342	1411	60.25%	931	39.75%
RW04	1803	1359	1142	639	55.95%	503	44.05%
RW05	2322	1207	1065	681	63.94%	384	36.06%
RW06	2820	1914	1647	960	58.29%	687	41.71%
RW07A	1549	677	619	398	64.30%	221	35.70%
RW07B	388	214	191	119	62.30%	72	37.70%
RW08	2138	1462	1191	713	59.87%	478	40.13%
RW09	5918	4216	3568	2132	59.75%	1436	40.25%
RW10	3347	2376	1987	1178	59.29%	809	40.71%
RW11A	2667	1693	1443	856	59.32%	587	40.68%
RW12	5944	4234	3538	2137	60.40%	1401	39.60%
RW13	3555	2476	2090	1255	60.05%	835	39.95%
RW16	1850	1275	1071	647	60.41%	424	39.59%
RW17	2794	1773	1513	953	62.99%	560	37.01%
RW19	1993	1434	1151	674	58.56%	477	41.44%
RW20	2486	1797	1529	904	59.12%	625	40.88%
RW21	3144	2169	1801	1090	60.52%	711	39.48%
RW22A	4406	2486	2154	1306	60.63%	848	39.37%
SC01A	20	25	19	13	68.42%	6	31.58%
SC01B	1707	1295	1221	826	67.65%	395	32.35%
SC01C	2063	1513	1386	920	66.38%	466	33.62%
SC01D	294	162	148	105	70.95%	43	29.05%
SC02	872	662	611	406	66.45%	205	33.55%
SC04	922	616	562	344	61.21%	218	38.79%
SC05A	646	409	365	263	72.05%	102	27.95%
SC05B	3204	2188	2038	1389	68.16%	649	31.84%
SC05D	2171	1428	1343	941	70.07%	402	29.93%
SC05E	623	434	402	273	67.91%	129	32.09%
SC07A	5595	3698	3460	2363	68.29%	1097	31.71%
SC07C	29	10	10	6	60.00%	4	40.00%
SC08B	3382	2008	1866	1289	69.08%	577	30.92%
SC08C	74	53	50	30	60.00%	20	40.00%
SC08D	499	314	293	202	68.94%	91	31.06%
SC08E	562	316	296	207	69.93%	89	30.07%
SC08F	700	366	336	216	64.29%	120	35.71%
SC08G	12	8	7	5	71.43%	2	28.57%
SC08H	4	3	3	2	66.67%	1	33.33%
SC09A	1628	839	786	587	74.68%	199	25.32%
SC09B	1687	1084	993	681	68.58%	312	31.42%
SC09C	1566	842	773	518	67.01%	255	32.99%
SC10	1678	661	619	460	74.31%	159	25.69%
SC11A	1411	813	761	555	72.93%	206	27.07%
SC11B	1506	878	814	606	74.45%	208	25.55%
SC13	2465	1636	1529	1008	65.93%	521	34.07%
SC14A	916	429	406	274	67.49%	132	32.51%
SC14B	502	45	40	29	72.50%	11	27.50%
SC15	6193	4213	3938	2736	69.48%	1202	30.52%
SC16A	4601	3208	2978	2043	68.60%	935	31.40%
SC16B	14	15	13	9	69.23%	4	30.77%
SC17A	55	42	38	28	73.68%	10	26.32%
SC17B	7	4	4	2	50.00%	2	50.00%
SC17C	1134	669	620	437	70.48%	183	29.52%
SC18A	510	329	303	204	67.33%	99	32.67%
SC18B	663	462	428	302	70.56%	126	29.44%
SC18C	1850	1352	1264	833	65.90%	431	34.10%
SC19A	195	129	123	76	61.79%	47	38.21%
SC19B	1267	690	645	441	68.37%	204	31.63%
SC20	2197	1665	1564	1036	66.24%	528	33.76%
SC211	1328	841	776	524	67.53%	252	32.47%
SC212	3378	1947	1819	1263	69.43%	556	30.57%
SC23A	3161	1730	1618	1144	70.70%	474	29.30%
SC23B	483	284	258	172	66.67%	86	33.33%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	REPEAL CONSTITUTION AMENDMENT						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
SC23C	2252	1302	1222	892	73.00%	330	27.00%
SC27	2129	1259	1165	820	70.39%	345	29.61%
SC29A	2784	1544	1427	996	69.80%	431	30.20%
SC30A	135	97	87	66	75.86%	21	24.14%
SC30B	960	531	484	321	66.32%	163	33.68%
SS01	4487	3270	2751	1631	59.29%	1120	40.71%
SS02A	1120	755	639	357	55.87%	282	44.13%
SS02B	1255	756	643	364	56.61%	279	43.39%
SS03	1464	1027	889	550	61.87%	339	38.13%
SS04	3264	2064	1768	1073	60.69%	695	39.31%
SS05	1746	1376	1109	629	56.72%	480	43.28%
SS06	1397	1073	877	506	57.70%	371	42.30%
SS07A	968	683	563	343	60.92%	220	39.08%
SS07B	609	405	340	205	60.29%	135	39.71%
SS07C	755	436	341	222	65.10%	119	34.90%
SS08A	1316	758	642	394	61.37%	248	38.63%
SS08B	635	453	387	208	53.75%	179	46.25%
SS08C	632	393	327	199	60.86%	128	39.14%
SS08D	1368	847	717	443	61.79%	274	38.21%
SS09A	3003	2210	1818	1089	59.90%	729	40.10%
SS09B	3358	2452	2012	1146	56.96%	866	43.04%
SS11A	3655	2227	1887	1102	58.40%	785	41.60%
SS11B	1257	707	609	352	57.80%	257	42.20%
SS11C	269	146	125	85	68.00%	40	32.00%
SS11D	365	254	206	123	59.71%	83	40.29%
SS12	2559	1732	1405	823	58.58%	582	41.42%
SS13A	1633	1072	888	536	60.36%	352	39.64%
SS13B	1001	629	531	335	63.09%	196	36.91%
SS14	1274	977	806	467	57.94%	339	42.06%
SS15A	2572	1199	1090	684	62.75%	406	37.25%
SS15B	1579	902	773	459	59.38%	314	40.62%
SS16	2030	1534	1256	713	56.77%	543	43.23%
SS17	3397	2229	1892	1135	59.99%	757	40.01%
SS18A	619	454	388	218	56.19%	170	43.81%
SS18B	221	162	132	73	55.30%	59	44.70%
SS19A	3016	1683	1481	872	58.88%	609	41.12%
SS19B	2032	1226	1057	663	62.72%	394	37.28%
SS20	2060	1159	1011	624	61.72%	387	38.28%
SS22	2714	1613	1404	857	61.04%	547	38.96%
SS26	3552	1822	1600	1010	63.13%	590	36.88%
SS29A	2488	1595	1342	827	61.62%	515	38.38%
SS31	1345	938	790	467	59.11%	323	40.89%
UC01A	3622	1715	1617	1166	72.11%	451	27.89%
UC01B	395	210	198	133	67.17%	65	32.83%
UC01D	6	2	2	1	50.00%	1	50.00%
UC01E	285	206	194	132	68.04%	62	31.96%
UC02A	7513	4296	3983	2712	68.09%	1271	31.91%
UC02B	30	19	18	10	55.56%	8	44.44%
UC031	2399	1203	1062	728	68.55%	334	31.45%
UC032	292	186	166	107	64.46%	59	35.54%
Total	703177	424992	367106	234095	63.77%	133011	36.23%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
Jurisdiction Wide							
01A	3199	2362	2113	1773	83.91%	340	16.09%
01B	3839	2737	2482	2090	84.21%	392	15.79%
01C	1459	612	563	489	86.86%	74	13.14%
01D	511	307	277	243	87.73%	34	12.27%
01E	3363	2410	2197	1882	85.66%	315	14.34%
01F	1254	452	413	352	85.23%	61	14.77%
01G	1909	1102	1021	858	84.04%	163	15.96%
01H	758	379	337	283	83.98%	54	16.02%
01J	2416	1184	1095	920	84.02%	175	15.98%
01P	1244	542	498	411	82.53%	87	17.47%
01R	1344	491	447	369	82.55%	78	17.45%
01S	1749	823	755	643	85.17%	112	14.83%
01T	1506	796	746	617	82.71%	129	17.29%
02A	4584	2949	2655	2040	76.84%	615	23.16%
02B	3518	1265	1182	997	84.35%	185	15.65%
02C	2037	1205	1068	862	80.71%	206	19.29%
02D	4475	2660	2369	1878	79.27%	491	20.73%
02E	1905	1423	1273	1027	80.68%	246	19.32%
02F1	2962	2033	1757	1390	79.11%	367	20.89%
02F2	788	458	411	328	79.81%	83	20.19%
02G	3443	2361	2088	1669	79.93%	419	20.07%
02J	2159	1432	1279	997	77.95%	282	22.05%
02K	1419	951	818	637	77.87%	181	22.13%
02L1	6213	3978	3551	2715	76.46%	836	23.54%
02L2	1364	782	707	518	73.27%	189	26.73%
02S	708	427	388	332	85.57%	56	14.43%
02W	682	399	351	268	76.35%	83	23.65%
03A	1927	692	633	564	89.10%	69	10.90%
03B	560	181	162	127	78.40%	35	21.60%
03C	1534	674	621	532	85.67%	89	14.33%
03D	1017	402	376	332	88.30%	44	11.70%
03E	2284	914	865	743	85.90%	122	14.10%
03F	1925	1115	1015	814	80.20%	201	19.80%
03G	802	360	329	273	82.98%	56	17.02%
03H	900	369	330	284	86.06%	46	13.94%
03I	1360	566	507	324	63.91%	183	36.09%
03L	594	229	209	177	84.69%	32	15.31%
03M	766	452	414	341	82.37%	73	17.63%
03N	2288	938	863	694	80.42%	169	19.58%
03P1A	3882	1966	1716	1243	72.44%	473	27.56%
03P2	13	7	7	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
03S	761	356	333	277	83.18%	56	16.82%
03T	1505	715	654	536	81.96%	118	18.04%
04A	1202	560	515	434	84.27%	81	15.73%
04B	2242	922	832	682	81.97%	150	18.03%
04C	136	54	50	40	80.00%	10	20.00%
04D	1481	714	663	551	83.11%	112	16.89%
04F	2086	935	854	710	83.14%	144	16.86%
04G	828	312	289	240	83.04%	49	16.96%
04I	3362	561	509	419	82.32%	90	17.68%
04J	1230	317	296	242	81.76%	54	18.24%
04K	2339	1266	1141	1008	88.34%	133	11.66%
04L	1655	867	812	710	87.44%	102	12.56%
04M	773	292	276	233	84.42%	43	15.58%
04S	2165	1118	1031	864	83.80%	167	16.20%
04T	1145	584	538	444	82.53%	94	17.47%
04V	2848	1211	1109	914	82.42%	195	17.58%
04W	2203	820	761	578	75.95%	183	24.05%
04X1	1942	950	881	750	85.13%	131	14.87%
04X2	737	364	335	290	86.57%	45	13.43%
05A1	2491	1728	1537	1327	86.34%	210	13.66%
05A2	2910	1998	1786	1497	83.82%	289	16.18%
05B	1763	901	768	606	78.91%	162	21.09%
05C	146	67	61	50	81.97%	11	18.03%
05D	386	93	81	66	81.48%	15	18.52%
05F	223	136	125	98	78.40%	27	21.60%
05J	639	416	376	327	86.97%	49	13.03%
05K	2600	1327	1205	927	76.93%	278	23.07%
06B	1756	1238	1097	838	76.39%	259	23.61%
06D	8568	6060	5396	4400	81.54%	996	18.46%
06E	2250	1439	1278	1000	78.25%	278	21.75%
06F	2709	1757	1541	1217	78.97%	324	21.03%
06G	536	369	338	282	83.43%	56	16.57%
06I	3412	1957	1737	1323	76.17%	414	23.83%
06J	2539	1904	1727	1417	82.05%	310	17.95%
06L1	917	679	601	504	83.86%	97	16.14%
06L2	654	487	444	374	84.23%	70	15.77%
06N	1844	1085	991	788	79.52%	203	20.48%
06Q	527	295	261	204	78.16%	57	21.84%
06R	1836	1206	1115	929	83.32%	186	16.68%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
07A	6600	4093	3594	2869	79.83%	725	20.17%
07B	2763	2107	1893	1562	82.51%	331	17.49%
07C	3927	2498	2146	1733	80.75%	413	19.25%
07D	4231	2413	2068	1608	77.76%	460	22.24%
07E	2031	1358	1232	1054	85.55%	178	14.45%
07F	3017	2041	1842	1514	82.19%	328	17.81%
07H	1923	1424	1292	1080	83.59%	212	16.41%
07J	2647	1753	1552	1202	77.45%	350	22.55%
07M	2155	1180	1061	834	78.61%	227	21.39%
07N	1946	1044	928	734	79.09%	194	20.91%
08A	2799	1949	1716	1417	82.58%	299	17.42%
08B	3199	2255	2001	1590	79.46%	411	20.54%
08C	1392	984	879	745	84.76%	134	15.24%
08D	1022	770	682	566	82.99%	116	17.01%
08E	2429	1864	1677	1369	81.63%	308	18.37%
08F1	2071	1628	1416	1158	81.78%	258	18.22%
08G	2787	1669	1458	1211	83.06%	247	16.94%
08H	2465	1874	1678	1423	84.80%	255	15.20%
08J	2981	2223	1921	1516	78.92%	405	21.08%
08K	973	702	626	473	75.56%	153	24.44%
08L	4194	2706	2427	1944	80.10%	483	19.90%
08M	1907	1358	1187	969	81.63%	218	18.37%
08N1	767	587	517	412	79.69%	105	20.31%
08N2	1391	927	797	672	84.32%	125	15.68%
08P	1633	928	847	651	76.86%	196	23.14%
09A	3338	2152	1970	1623	82.39%	347	17.61%
09B	385	174	162	138	85.19%	24	14.81%
09C	2954	1522	1403	1216	86.67%	187	13.33%
09D	1879	858	768	654	85.16%	114	14.84%
09E	2782	1420	1318	1127	85.51%	191	14.49%
09F	3619	2526	2273	1805	79.41%	468	20.59%
09G	3519	2282	2046	1593	77.86%	453	22.14%
09H	1064	645	573	456	79.58%	117	20.42%
09I	1194	503	451	388	86.03%	63	13.97%
09K	4403	1851	1723	1444	83.81%	279	16.19%
09M	2259	1611	1472	1232	83.70%	240	16.30%
10A	2850	1622	1496	1268	84.76%	228	15.24%
10B	3686	2464	2309	2068	89.56%	241	10.44%
10C	2466	1168	1072	927	86.47%	145	13.53%
10D	4220	1850	1693	1442	85.17%	251	14.83%
10E	950	524	485	426	87.84%	59	12.16%
10F	1053	590	546	482	88.28%	64	11.72%
10G	1205	813	762	683	89.63%	79	10.37%
10H1	408	238	222	192	86.49%	30	13.51%
10H2	2207	1032	937	809	86.34%	128	13.66%
10I	329	258	243	213	87.65%	30	12.35%
10J	1012	466	432	372	86.11%	60	13.89%
10K	1415	858	799	718	89.86%	81	10.14%
10M	2529	1414	1321	1154	87.36%	167	12.64%
10P	1364	668	606	518	85.48%	88	14.52%
10R	713	347	315	272	86.35%	43	13.65%
11B	5162	3088	2896	2534	87.50%	362	12.50%
11C	2822	2003	1898	1734	91.36%	164	8.64%
11E1	1141	634	600	524	87.33%	76	12.67%
11E2	847	497	462	392	84.85%	70	15.15%
11E3	3124	1835	1755	1571	89.52%	184	10.48%
11G	2092	855	781	671	85.92%	110	14.08%
11H	677	356	327	295	90.21%	32	9.79%
11J	1827	1018	933	819	87.78%	114	12.22%
11K	1818	1104	1021	901	88.25%	120	11.75%
11M	3039	1659	1576	1394	88.45%	182	11.55%
11N	2166	1358	1273	1140	89.55%	133	10.45%
11P	1833	1020	922	796	86.33%	126	13.67%
11R	1849	672	607	508	83.69%	99	16.31%
12A	4828	2734	2510	2156	85.90%	354	14.10%
12D	1209	501	461	386	83.73%	75	16.27%
12E1	1621	591	544	452	83.09%	92	16.91%
12F	943	428	402	348	86.57%	54	13.43%
12G	800	430	376	324	86.17%	52	13.83%
12H1	1006	310	288	233	80.90%	55	19.10%
12H2	2562	1189	1099	958	87.17%	141	12.83%
12I	970	413	380	309	81.32%	71	18.68%
12J	2535	1108	1010	857	84.85%	153	15.15%
12K	3022	1240	1139	958	84.11%	181	15.89%
12L	613	238	216	183	84.72%	33	15.28%
12M	2533	1171	1094	934	85.37%	160	14.63%
12N	907	327	297	247	83.16%	50	16.84%
12S	1794	959	849	733	86.34%	116	13.66%
AP01A	4724	3141	2791	2281	81.73%	510	18.27%
AP01B	913	516	459	354	77.12%	105	22.88%
AP01C	2233	1498	1342	1115	83.08%	227	16.92%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
AP01D	915	539	477	390	81.76%	87	18.24%
AP02B	474	263	228	193	84.65%	35	15.35%
AP03	741	314	283	232	81.98%	51	18.02%
AP04A	1647	1183	1076	952	88.48%	124	11.52%
AP04B	415	290	264	223	84.47%	41	15.53%
AP05	4367	2981	2692	2247	83.47%	445	16.53%
AP06	1827	1309	1184	1009	85.22%	175	14.78%
AP07A	3422	2102	1921	1623	84.49%	298	15.51%
AP07B	1650	1144	1036	847	81.76%	189	18.24%
AP09A	4051	2687	2430	2029	83.50%	401	16.50%
AP09B	810	531	468	377	80.56%	91	19.44%
AP021	2994	1963	1804	1523	84.42%	281	15.58%
AP022	2210	1429	1272	1108	87.11%	164	12.89%
AP10	942	681	619	529	85.46%	90	14.54%
AP12A	658	374	331	280	84.59%	51	15.41%
AP12B	747	428	373	300	80.43%	73	19.57%
AP12C	1584	1005	911	778	85.40%	133	14.60%
AP14	2972	2027	1799	1509	83.88%	290	16.12%
CH01	330	164	152	130	85.53%	22	14.47%
CH02	319	202	193	163	84.46%	30	15.54%
CH03	379	250	230	180	78.26%	50	21.74%
CH04A	647	444	398	322	80.90%	76	19.10%
CH05	407	260	240	200	83.33%	40	16.67%
CP01B	381	227	209	169	80.86%	40	19.14%
CP011	1135	617	577	491	85.10%	86	14.90%
CP012	1318	844	794	687	86.52%	107	13.48%
CP02	1000	553	517	438	84.72%	79	15.28%
CP04A	8	2	2	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP04B	39	14	14	13	92.86%	1	7.14%
CP05B	143	76	73	57	78.08%	16	21.92%
CP051	1612	874	827	734	88.75%	93	11.25%
CP06A	598	208	194	164	84.54%	30	15.46%
CP07B	7	2	2	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP07C	244	47	44	36	81.82%	8	18.18%
CP07D	694	208	196	158	80.61%	38	19.39%
CP07E	125	41	36	32	88.89%	4	11.11%
CP07F	184	55	49	35	71.43%	14	28.57%
CP081	343	77	75	58	77.33%	17	22.67%
CP083	219	25	24	21	87.50%	3	12.50%
CP084	3	1	1	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP08A	705	175	169	133	78.70%	36	21.30%
EP01A	2609	1464	1372	1189	86.66%	183	13.34%
EP01B	3038	1539	1441	1277	88.62%	164	11.38%
EP02A	1967	890	825	707	85.70%	118	14.30%
EP02B	1449	977	920	809	87.93%	111	12.07%
EP02C	428	251	231	200	86.58%	31	13.42%
EP02D	326	192	182	162	89.01%	20	10.99%
EP02E	1382	627	587	499	85.01%	88	14.99%
EP03A	4293	2512	2356	2046	86.84%	310	13.16%
EP03B	1421	973	932	829	88.95%	103	11.05%
EP04A	5432	2626	2507	2181	87.00%	326	13.00%
EP04B	2024	1203	1154	1009	87.44%	145	12.56%
FA01A	3574	2126	2022	1732	85.66%	290	14.34%
FA01B	4833	2965	2809	2457	87.47%	352	12.53%
FA01C	681	401	384	332	86.46%	52	13.54%
HP01	3212	1780	1666	1408	84.51%	258	15.49%
JC01	4977	3215	2865	2307	80.52%	558	19.48%
JC02	2460	1474	1312	1047	79.80%	265	20.20%
JC03A	540	384	349	280	80.23%	69	19.77%
JC03B	1448	955	830	682	82.17%	148	17.83%
JC04A	4042	2692	2407	1985	82.47%	422	17.53%
JC04B	255	156	135	112	82.96%	23	17.04%
JC05	2136	1391	1234	1001	81.12%	233	18.88%
JC06	2627	1580	1429	1170	81.88%	259	18.12%
JC07	4083	2627	2339	1920	82.09%	419	17.91%
JC08	2737	1870	1695	1391	82.06%	304	17.94%
JC09	2928	1878	1697	1393	82.09%	304	17.91%
JC10	2392	1507	1340	1128	84.18%	212	15.82%
JC11	3186	2085	1875	1524	81.28%	351	18.72%
JC12	2455	1753	1601	1337	83.51%	264	16.49%
JC13A	2115	1462	1322	1106	83.66%	216	16.34%
JC13B	271	180	164	141	85.98%	23	14.02%
JC14	2477	1634	1466	1206	82.26%	260	17.74%
JC15	834	523	478	387	80.96%	91	19.04%
JC16	2496	1729	1561	1303	83.47%	258	16.53%
JC18	2670	1969	1776	1474	83.00%	302	17.00%
JC19	3759	2528	2280	1926	84.47%	354	15.53%
ML011	2439	1681	1520	1304	85.79%	216	14.21%
ML012	485	338	316	278	87.97%	38	12.03%
ML01B	138	96	85	70	82.35%	15	17.65%
ML021	1991	1369	1219	1034	84.82%	185	15.18%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
ML022	241	148	128	107	83.59%	21	16.41%
ML023	1542	1027	923	746	80.82%	177	19.18%
ML024	113	70	62	50	80.65%	12	19.35%
ML03	3757	2575	2301	1915	83.22%	386	16.78%
ML04A	1747	1176	1063	876	82.41%	187	17.59%
ML04B	1206	838	773	642	83.05%	131	16.95%
ML04C	79	66	61	54	88.52%	7	11.48%
ML05A	39	27	20	17	85.00%	3	15.00%
ML05B	381	250	226	191	84.51%	35	15.49%
ML05C	4023	2615	2371	1940	81.82%	431	18.18%
ML06A	1973	1024	930	733	78.82%	197	21.18%
ML06B	2775	1734	1585	1321	83.34%	264	16.66%
ML071	105	73	67	61	91.04%	6	8.96%
ML072	1249	857	791	669	84.58%	122	15.42%
ML07A	707	472	436	357	81.88%	79	18.12%
MP01	450	323	298	261	87.58%	37	12.42%
PA01	2509	1330	1240	1055	85.08%	185	14.92%
RW01	4717	3355	3001	2497	83.21%	504	16.79%
RW02	3794	2675	2397	1985	82.81%	412	17.19%
RW03	4510	2745	2477	2037	82.24%	440	17.76%
RW04	1803	1359	1244	995	79.98%	249	20.02%
RW05	2322	1207	1097	887	80.86%	210	19.14%
RW06	2820	1914	1736	1426	82.14%	310	17.86%
RW07A	1549	677	615	487	79.19%	128	20.81%
RW07B	388	214	194	149	76.80%	45	23.20%
RW08	2138	1462	1308	1132	86.54%	176	13.46%
RW09	5918	4216	3847	3265	84.87%	582	15.13%
RW10	3347	2376	2152	1797	83.50%	355	16.50%
RW11A	2667	1693	1548	1282	82.82%	266	17.18%
RW12	5944	4234	3837	3301	86.03%	536	13.97%
RW13	3555	2476	2241	1870	83.44%	371	16.56%
RW16	1850	1275	1153	947	82.13%	206	17.87%
RW17	2794	1773	1608	1342	83.46%	266	16.54%
RW19	1993	1434	1260	1055	83.73%	205	16.27%
RW20	2486	1797	1626	1351	83.09%	275	16.91%
RW21	3144	2169	1963	1647	83.90%	316	16.10%
RW22A	4406	2486	2243	1801	80.29%	442	19.71%
SC01A	20	25	20	17	85.00%	3	15.00%
SC01B	1707	1295	1262	1133	89.78%	129	10.22%
SC01C	2063	1513	1457	1318	90.46%	139	9.54%
SC01D	294	162	151	122	80.79%	29	19.21%
SC02	872	662	638	580	90.91%	58	9.09%
SC04	922	616	579	505	87.22%	74	12.78%
SC05A	646	409	380	343	90.26%	37	9.74%
SC05B	3204	2188	2100	1881	89.57%	219	10.43%
SC05D	2171	1428	1377	1228	89.18%	149	10.82%
SC05E	623	434	418	387	92.58%	31	7.42%
SC07A	5595	3698	3572	3164	88.58%	408	11.42%
SC07C	29	10	10	9	90.00%	1	10.00%
SC08B	3382	2008	1917	1682	87.74%	235	12.26%
SC08C	74	53	49	41	83.67%	8	16.33%
SC08D	499	314	298	245	82.21%	53	17.79%
SC08E	562	316	303	265	87.46%	38	12.54%
SC08F	700	366	354	299	84.46%	55	15.54%
SC08G	12	8	7	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC08H	4	3	3	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC09A	1628	839	790	690	87.34%	100	12.66%
SC09B	1687	1084	1024	903	88.18%	121	11.82%
SC09C	1566	842	801	708	88.39%	93	11.61%
SC10	1678	661	625	538	86.08%	87	13.92%
SC11A	1411	813	785	699	89.04%	86	10.96%
SC11B	1506	878	834	749	89.81%	85	10.19%
SC13	2465	1636	1576	1408	89.34%	168	10.66%
SC14A	916	429	410	350	85.37%	60	14.63%
SC14B	502	45	39	36	92.31%	3	7.69%
SC15	6193	4213	4039	3619	89.60%	420	10.40%
SC16A	4601	3208	3073	2764	89.94%	309	10.06%
SC16B	14	15	13	10	76.92%	3	23.08%
SC17A	55	42	39	37	94.87%	2	5.13%
SC17B	7	4	4	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC17C	1134	669	642	568	88.47%	74	11.53%
SC18A	510	329	306	272	88.89%	34	11.11%
SC18B	663	462	441	389	88.21%	52	11.79%
SC18C	1850	1352	1305	1175	90.04%	130	9.96%
SC19A	195	129	127	115	90.55%	12	9.45%
SC19B	1267	690	652	563	86.35%	89	13.65%
SC20	2197	1665	1609	1449	90.06%	160	9.94%
SC211	1328	841	803	703	87.55%	100	12.45%
SC212	3378	1947	1862	1606	86.25%	256	13.75%
SC23A	3161	1730	1653	1437	86.93%	216	13.07%
SC23B	483	284	268	233	86.94%	35	13.06%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION AD VALOREM TAX

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
SC23C	2252	1302	1245	1095	87.95%	150	12.05%
SC27	2129	1259	1199	1077	89.82%	122	10.18%
SC29A	2784	1544	1466	1301	88.74%	165	11.26%
SC30A	135	97	87	80	91.95%	7	8.05%
SC30B	960	531	497	440	88.53%	57	11.47%
SS01	4487	3270	2977	2505	84.15%	472	15.85%
SS02A	1120	755	679	534	78.65%	145	21.35%
SS02B	1255	756	675	544	80.59%	131	19.41%
SS03	1464	1027	944	768	81.36%	176	18.64%
SS04	3264	2064	1871	1523	81.40%	348	18.60%
SS05	1746	1376	1222	1003	82.08%	219	17.92%
SS06	1397	1073	955	767	80.31%	188	19.69%
SS07A	968	683	610	514	84.26%	96	15.74%
SS07B	609	405	359	307	85.52%	52	14.48%
SS07C	755	436	369	305	82.66%	64	17.34%
SS08A	1316	758	676	530	78.40%	146	21.60%
SS08B	635	453	415	345	83.13%	70	16.87%
SS08C	632	393	345	284	82.32%	61	17.68%
SS08D	1368	847	752	602	80.05%	150	19.95%
SS09A	3003	2210	1993	1695	85.05%	298	14.95%
SS09B	3358	2452	2189	1826	83.42%	363	16.58%
SS11A	3655	2227	1974	1584	80.24%	390	19.76%
SS11B	1257	707	633	492	77.73%	141	22.27%
SS11C	269	146	134	98	73.13%	36	26.87%
SS11D	365	254	220	185	84.09%	35	15.91%
SS12	2559	1732	1528	1264	82.72%	264	17.28%
SS13A	1633	1072	945	742	78.52%	203	21.48%
SS13B	1001	629	562	445	79.18%	117	20.82%
SS14	1274	977	882	716	81.18%	166	18.82%
SS15A	2572	1199	1111	884	79.57%	227	20.43%
SS15B	1579	902	811	653	80.52%	158	19.48%
SS16	2030	1534	1396	1172	83.95%	224	16.05%
SS17	3397	2229	1993	1644	82.49%	349	17.51%
SS18A	619	454	415	335	80.72%	80	19.28%
SS18B	221	162	145	128	88.28%	17	11.72%
SS19A	3016	1683	1529	1178	77.04%	351	22.96%
SS19B	2032	1226	1110	888	80.00%	222	20.00%
SS20	2060	1159	1048	847	80.82%	201	19.18%
SS22	2714	1613	1471	1196	81.31%	275	18.69%
SS26	3552	1822	1636	1301	79.52%	335	20.48%
SS29A	2488	1595	1429	1148	80.34%	281	19.66%
SS31	1345	938	851	743	87.31%	108	12.69%
UC01A	3622	1715	1634	1410	86.29%	224	13.71%
UC01B	395	210	197	163	82.74%	34	17.26%
UC01D	6	2	2	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
UC01E	285	206	198	185	93.43%	13	6.57%
UC02A	7513	4296	4090	3575	87.41%	515	12.59%
UC02B	30	19	18	18	100.00%	0	0.00%
UC031	2399	1203	1105	931	84.25%	174	15.75%
UC032	292	186	175	157	89.71%	18	10.29%
Total	703177	424992	387445	324121	83.66%	63324	16.34%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
AP01A	4724	3141	2701	1971	72.97%	730	27.03%
AP01B	913	516	446	301	67.49%	145	32.51%
AP01C	2233	1498	1316	961	73.02%	355	26.98%
AP01D	915	539	461	310	67.25%	151	32.75%
AP02B	474	263	218	164	75.23%	54	24.77%
AP03	741	314	280	207	73.93%	73	26.07%
AP04A	1647	1183	1029	799	77.65%	230	22.35%
AP04B	415	290	260	205	78.85%	55	21.15%
AP05	4367	2981	2618	1947	74.37%	671	25.63%
AP06	1827	1309	1134	858	75.66%	276	24.34%
AP07A	3422	2102	1854	1376	74.22%	478	25.78%
AP07B	1650	1144	1012	760	75.10%	252	24.90%
AP09A	4051	2687	2349	1751	74.54%	598	25.46%
AP09B	810	531	461	346	75.05%	115	24.95%
AP021	2994	1963	1762	1305	74.06%	457	25.94%
AP022	2210	1429	1229	924	75.18%	305	24.82%
AP10	942	681	601	466	77.54%	135	22.46%
AP12A	658	374	330	239	72.42%	91	27.58%
AP12B	747	428	368	254	69.02%	114	30.98%
AP12C	1584	1005	873	636	72.85%	237	27.15%
AP14	2972	2027	1730	1275	73.70%	455	26.30%
CH01	330	164	152	114	75.00%	38	25.00%
CH02	319	202	186	116	62.37%	70	37.63%
CH03	379	250	226	130	57.52%	96	42.48%
CH04A	647	444	389	256	65.81%	133	34.19%
CH05	407	260	234	139	59.40%	95	40.60%
CP01B	381	227	202	144	71.29%	58	28.71%
CP011	1135	617	557	410	73.61%	147	26.39%
CP012	1318	844	778	570	73.26%	208	26.74%
CP02	1000	553	506	367	72.53%	139	27.47%
CP04A	8	2	2	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP04B	39	14	14	11	78.57%	3	21.43%
CP05B	143	76	73	60	82.19%	13	17.81%
CP051	1612	874	820	617	75.24%	203	24.76%
CP06A	598	208	190	154	81.05%	36	18.95%
CP07B	7	2	2	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP07C	244	47	41	29	70.73%	12	29.27%
CP07D	694	208	198	154	77.78%	44	22.22%
CP07E	125	41	35	28	80.00%	7	20.00%
CP07F	184	55	51	40	78.43%	11	21.57%
CP081	343	77	72	51	70.83%	21	29.17%
CP083	219	25	23	22	95.65%	1	4.35%
CP084	3	1	1	0	0.00%	1	100.00%
CP08A	705	175	166	121	72.89%	45	27.11%
EP01A	2609	1464	1351	1017	75.28%	334	24.72%
EP01B	3038	1539	1439	1094	76.03%	345	23.97%
EP02A	1967	890	825	631	76.48%	194	23.52%
EP02B	1449	977	906	640	70.64%	266	29.36%
EP02C	428	251	230	173	75.22%	57	24.78%
EP02D	326	192	181	134	74.03%	47	25.97%
EP02E	1382	627	581	431	74.18%	150	25.82%
EP03A	4293	2512	2338	1742	74.51%	596	25.49%
EP03B	1421	973	921	709	76.98%	212	23.02%
EP04A	5432	2626	2489	1893	76.05%	596	23.95%
EP04B	2024	1203	1149	880	76.59%	269	23.41%
FA01A	3574	2126	2014	1425	70.75%	589	29.25%
FA01B	4833	2965	2805	2115	75.40%	690	24.60%
FA01C	681	401	378	305	80.69%	73	19.31%
HP01	3212	1780	1637	1190	72.69%	447	27.31%
JC01	4977	3215	2811	2028	72.15%	783	27.85%
JC02	2460	1474	1286	937	72.86%	349	27.14%
JC03A	540	384	336	236	70.24%	100	29.76%
JC03B	1448	955	814	587	72.11%	227	27.89%
JC04A	4042	2692	2358	1733	73.49%	625	26.51%
JC04B	255	156	133	94	70.68%	39	29.32%
JC05	2136	1391	1212	886	73.10%	326	26.90%
JC06	2627	1580	1391	976	70.17%	415	29.83%
JC07	4083	2627	2278	1635	71.77%	643	28.23%
JC08	2737	1870	1643	1176	71.58%	467	28.42%
JC09	2928	1878	1659	1196	72.09%	463	27.91%
JC10	2392	1507	1290	938	72.71%	352	27.29%
JC11	3186	2085	1807	1269	70.23%	538	29.77%
JC12	2455	1753	1540	1100	71.43%	440	28.57%
JC13A	2115	1462	1273	920	72.27%	353	27.73%
JC13B	271	180	159	120	75.47%	39	24.53%
JC14	2477	1634	1421	1019	71.71%	402	28.29%
JC15	834	523	466	327	70.17%	139	29.83%
JC16	2496	1729	1506	1084	71.98%	422	28.02%
JC18	2670	1969	1717	1271	74.02%	446	25.98%
JC19	3759	2528	2207	1598	72.41%	609	27.59%
ML011	2439	1681	1486	1117	75.17%	369	24.83%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
ML012	485	338	300	227	75.67%	73	24.33%
ML01B	138	96	83	60	72.29%	23	27.71%
ML021	1991	1369	1188	915	77.02%	273	22.98%
ML022	241	148	120	89	74.17%	31	25.83%
ML023	1542	1027	887	639	72.04%	248	27.96%
ML024	113	70	60	48	80.00%	12	20.00%
ML03	3757	2575	2241	1677	74.83%	564	25.17%
ML04A	1747	1176	1039	756	72.76%	283	27.24%
ML04B	1206	838	759	562	74.04%	197	25.96%
ML04C	79	66	61	45	73.77%	16	26.23%
ML05A	39	27	19	15	78.95%	4	21.05%
ML05B	381	250	213	139	65.26%	74	34.74%
ML05C	4023	2615	2310	1706	73.85%	604	26.15%
ML06A	1973	1024	920	651	70.76%	269	29.24%
ML06B	2775	1734	1545	1128	73.01%	417	26.99%
ML071	105	73	64	48	75.00%	16	25.00%
ML072	1249	857	779	580	74.45%	199	25.55%
ML07A	707	472	423	319	75.41%	104	24.59%
MP01	450	323	289	220	76.12%	69	23.88%
PA01	2509	1330	1237	902	72.92%	335	27.08%
RW01	4717	3355	2885	2126	73.69%	759	26.31%
RW02	3794	2675	2335	1695	72.59%	640	27.41%
RW03	4510	2745	2412	1728	71.64%	684	28.36%
RW04	1803	1359	1203	839	69.74%	364	30.26%
RW05	2322	1207	1080	797	73.80%	283	26.20%
RW06	2820	1914	1683	1209	71.84%	474	28.16%
RW07A	1549	677	604	428	70.86%	176	29.14%
RW07B	388	214	191	135	70.68%	56	29.32%
RW08	2138	1462	1241	927	74.70%	314	25.30%
RW09	5918	4216	3720	2767	74.38%	953	25.62%
RW10	3347	2376	2101	1530	72.82%	571	27.18%
RW11A	2667	1693	1504	1089	72.41%	415	27.59%
RW12	5944	4234	3734	2798	74.93%	936	25.07%
RW13	3555	2476	2152	1589	73.84%	563	26.16%
RW16	1850	1275	1121	827	73.77%	294	26.23%
RW17	2794	1773	1546	1139	73.67%	407	26.33%
RW19	1993	1434	1219	920	75.47%	299	24.53%
RW20	2486	1797	1582	1171	74.02%	411	25.98%
RW21	3144	2169	1869	1355	72.50%	514	27.50%
RW22A	4406	2486	2187	1576	72.06%	611	27.94%
SC01A	20	25	19	18	94.74%	1	5.26%
SC01B	1707	1295	1222	917	75.04%	305	24.96%
SC01C	2063	1513	1414	1047	74.05%	367	25.95%
SC01D	294	162	149	108	72.48%	41	27.52%
SC02	872	662	616	477	77.44%	139	22.56%
SC04	922	616	568	414	72.89%	154	27.11%
SC05A	646	409	374	285	76.20%	89	23.80%
SC05B	3204	2188	2039	1530	75.04%	509	24.96%
SC05D	2171	1428	1357	1050	77.38%	307	22.62%
SC05E	623	434	404	311	76.98%	93	23.02%
SC07A	5595	3698	3499	2596	74.19%	903	25.81%
SC07C	29	10	10	7	70.00%	3	30.00%
SC08B	3382	2008	1876	1398	74.52%	478	25.48%
SC08C	74	53	48	34	70.83%	14	29.17%
SC08D	499	314	300	227	75.67%	73	24.33%
SC08E	562	316	299	227	75.92%	72	24.08%
SC08F	700	366	347	272	78.39%	75	21.61%
SC08G	12	8	7	5	71.43%	2	28.57%
SC08H	4	3	3	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC09A	1628	839	777	611	78.64%	166	21.36%
SC09B	1687	1084	994	744	74.85%	250	25.15%
SC09C	1566	842	780	609	78.08%	171	21.92%
SC10	1678	661	615	468	76.10%	147	23.90%
SC11A	1411	813	769	569	73.99%	200	26.01%
SC11B	1506	878	825	628	76.12%	197	23.88%
SC13	2465	1636	1540	1119	72.66%	421	27.34%
SC14A	916	429	401	299	74.56%	102	25.44%
SC14B	502	45	41	37	90.24%	4	9.76%
SC15	6193	4213	3983	3041	76.35%	942	23.65%
SC16A	4601	3208	3001	2317	77.21%	684	22.79%
SC16B	14	15	14	10	71.43%	4	28.57%
SC17A	55	42	40	30	75.00%	10	25.00%
SC17B	7	4	4	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC17C	1134	669	631	475	75.28%	156	24.72%
SC18A	510	329	298	241	80.87%	57	19.13%
SC18B	663	462	429	326	75.99%	103	24.01%
SC18C	1850	1352	1266	953	75.28%	313	24.72%
SC19A	195	129	123	90	73.17%	33	26.83%
SC19B	1267	690	642	474	73.83%	168	26.17%
SC20	2197	1665	1568	1198	76.40%	370	23.60%
SC211	1328	841	790	590	74.68%	200	25.32%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION PROPERTY TAX

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
SC212	3378	1947	1826	1387	75.96%	439	24.04%
SC23A	3161	1730	1630	1269	77.85%	361	22.15%
SC23B	483	284	265	191	72.08%	74	27.92%
SC23C	2252	1302	1224	945	77.21%	279	22.79%
SC27	2129	1259	1167	895	76.69%	272	23.31%
SC29A	2784	1544	1438	1112	77.33%	326	22.67%
SC30A	135	97	86	70	81.40%	16	18.60%
SC30B	960	531	482	367	76.14%	115	23.86%
SS01	4487	3270	2814	1978	70.29%	836	29.71%
SS02A	1120	755	649	436	67.18%	213	32.82%
SS02B	1255	756	658	472	71.73%	186	28.27%
SS03	1464	1027	919	645	70.18%	274	29.82%
SS04	3264	2064	1816	1306	71.92%	510	28.08%
SS05	1746	1376	1174	812	69.17%	362	30.83%
SS06	1397	1073	917	611	66.63%	306	33.37%
SS07A	968	683	576	395	68.58%	181	31.42%
SS07B	609	405	343	260	75.80%	83	24.20%
SS07C	755	436	345	247	71.59%	98	28.41%
SS08A	1316	758	655	452	69.01%	203	30.99%
SS08B	635	453	392	272	69.39%	120	30.61%
SS08C	632	393	342	238	69.59%	104	30.41%
SS08D	1368	847	733	499	68.08%	234	31.92%
SS09A	3003	2210	1887	1314	69.63%	573	30.37%
SS09B	3358	2452	2083	1414	67.88%	669	32.12%
SS11A	3655	2227	1911	1322	69.18%	589	30.82%
SS11B	1257	707	606	398	65.68%	208	34.32%
SS11C	269	146	126	92	73.02%	34	26.98%
SS11D	365	254	215	161	74.88%	54	25.12%
SS12	2559	1732	1467	992	67.62%	475	32.38%
SS13A	1633	1072	910	625	68.68%	285	31.32%
SS13B	1001	629	546	354	64.84%	192	35.16%
SS14	1274	977	831	557	67.03%	274	32.97%
SS15A	2572	1199	1095	787	71.87%	308	28.13%
SS15B	1579	902	790	539	68.23%	251	31.77%
SS16	2030	1534	1329	957	72.01%	372	27.99%
SS17	3397	2229	1922	1350	70.24%	572	29.76%
SS18A	619	454	396	273	68.94%	123	31.06%
SS18B	221	162	137	101	73.72%	36	26.28%
SS19A	3016	1683	1494	1023	68.47%	471	31.53%
SS19B	2032	1226	1074	747	69.55%	327	30.45%
SS20	2060	1159	1019	702	68.89%	317	31.11%
SS22	2714	1613	1416	971	68.57%	445	31.43%
SS26	3552	1822	1600	1129	70.56%	471	29.44%
SS29A	2488	1595	1363	958	70.29%	405	29.71%
SS31	1345	938	824	582	70.63%	242	29.37%
UC01A	3622	1715	1625	1282	78.89%	343	21.11%
UC01B	395	210	196	148	75.51%	48	24.49%
UC01D	6	2	2	1	50.00%	1	50.00%
UC01E	285	206	194	146	75.26%	48	24.74%
UC02A	7513	4296	4036	3078	76.26%	958	23.74%
UC02B	30	19	17	16	94.12%	1	5.88%
UC031	2399	1203	1095	820	74.89%	275	25.11%
UC032	292	186	175	135	77.14%	40	22.86%
Total	382378	241618	215807	158181	73.30%	57626	26.70%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

ALPHARETTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
AP01A	4724	3141	2775	2113	76.14%	662	23.86%
AP01B	913	516	451	318	70.51%	133	29.49%
AP01C	2233	1498	1334	1027	76.99%	307	23.01%
AP01D	915	539	466	340	72.96%	126	27.04%
AP02B	474	263	221	163	73.76%	58	26.24%
AP03	741	314	280	194	69.29%	86	30.71%
AP04A	1647	1183	1055	839	79.53%	216	20.47%
AP04B	415	290	265	215	81.13%	50	18.87%
AP05	4367	2981	2656	2080	78.31%	576	21.69%
AP06	1827	1309	1160	914	78.79%	246	21.21%
AP07A	3422	2102	1894	1502	79.30%	392	20.70%
AP07B	1650	1144	1034	801	77.47%	233	22.53%
AP09A	4051	2687	2381	1853	77.82%	528	22.18%
AP09B	810	531	471	363	77.07%	108	22.93%
AP021	2994	1963	1785	1404	78.66%	381	21.34%
AP022	2210	1429	1252	977	78.04%	275	21.96%
AP10	942	681	613	513	83.69%	100	16.31%
AP12A	658	374	330	249	75.45%	81	24.55%
AP12B	747	428	367	254	69.21%	113	30.79%
AP12C	1584	1005	904	696	76.99%	208	23.01%
AP14	2972	2027	1782	1359	76.26%	423	23.74%
Total	40296	26405	23476	18174	77.42%	5302	22.58%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

ALPHARETTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
AP01A	4724	3141	3039	2208	72.66%	831	27.34%
AP01B	913	516	499	370	74.15%	129	25.85%
AP01C	2233	1498	1461	1128	77.21%	333	22.79%
AP01D	915	539	521	380	72.94%	141	27.06%
AP02B	474	263	251	162	64.54%	89	35.46%
AP03	741	314	309	225	72.82%	84	27.18%
AP04A	1647	1183	1144	841	73.51%	303	26.49%
AP04B	415	290	284	202	71.13%	82	28.87%
AP05	4367	2981	2918	2148	73.61%	770	26.39%
AP06	1827	1309	1274	974	76.45%	300	23.55%
AP07A	3422	2102	2031	1448	71.29%	583	28.71%
AP07B	1650	1144	1107	811	73.26%	296	26.74%
AP09A	4051	2687	2614	1880	71.92%	734	28.08%
AP09B	810	531	510	332	65.10%	178	34.90%
AP021	2994	1963	1914	1422	74.29%	492	25.71%
AP022	2210	1429	1372	1005	73.25%	367	26.75%
AP10	942	681	659	501	76.02%	158	23.98%
AP12A	658	374	357	268	75.07%	89	24.93%
AP12B	747	428	411	274	66.67%	137	33.33%
AP12C	1584	1005	974	747	76.69%	227	23.31%
AP14	2972	2027	1974	1486	75.28%	488	24.72%
Total	40296	26405	25623	18812	73.42%	6811	26.58%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
Jurisdiction Wide							
01A	3199	2362	1992	1441	72.34%	551	27.66%
01B	3839	2737	2374	1728	72.79%	646	27.21%
01C	1459	612	558	403	72.22%	155	27.78%
01D	511	307	271	193	71.22%	78	28.78%
01E	3363	2410	2076	1500	72.25%	576	27.75%
01F	1254	452	407	296	72.73%	111	27.27%
01G	1909	1102	998	700	70.14%	298	29.86%
01H	758	379	337	244	72.40%	93	27.60%
01J	2416	1184	1085	809	74.56%	276	25.44%
01P	1244	542	493	343	69.57%	150	30.43%
01R	1344	491	444	324	72.97%	120	27.03%
01S	1749	823	756	542	71.69%	214	28.31%
01T	1506	796	721	518	71.84%	203	28.16%
02A	4584	2949	2530	1739	68.74%	791	31.26%
02B	3518	1265	1151	831	72.20%	320	27.80%
02C	2037	1205	1042	749	71.88%	293	28.12%
02D	4475	2660	2284	1613	70.62%	671	29.38%
02E	1905	1423	1215	869	71.52%	346	28.48%
02F1	2962	2033	1689	1191	70.52%	498	29.48%
02F2	788	458	393	277	70.48%	116	29.52%
02G	3443	2361	1999	1381	69.08%	618	30.92%
02J	2159	1432	1223	827	67.62%	396	32.38%
02K	1419	951	780	543	69.62%	237	30.38%
02L1	6213	3978	3396	2298	67.67%	1098	32.33%
02L2	1364	782	687	488	71.03%	199	28.97%
02S	708	427	373	265	71.05%	108	28.95%
02W	682	399	341	227	66.57%	114	33.43%
03A	1927	692	623	462	74.16%	161	25.84%
03B	560	181	162	108	66.67%	54	33.33%
03C	1534	674	610	424	69.51%	186	30.49%
03D	1017	402	365	259	70.96%	106	29.04%
03E	2284	914	848	646	76.18%	202	23.82%
03F	1925	1115	975	691	70.87%	284	29.13%
03G	802	360	328	235	71.65%	93	28.35%
03H	900	369	326	230	70.55%	96	29.45%
03I	1360	566	488	323	66.19%	165	33.81%
03L	594	229	206	156	75.73%	50	24.27%
03M	766	452	387	260	67.18%	127	32.82%
03N	2288	938	857	622	72.58%	235	27.42%
03P1A	3882	1966	1658	1094	65.98%	564	34.02%
03P2	13	7	7	6	85.71%	1	14.29%
03S	761	356	332	243	73.19%	89	26.81%
03T	1505	715	646	451	69.81%	195	30.19%
04A	1202	560	507	372	73.37%	135	26.63%
04B	2242	922	821	590	71.86%	231	28.14%
04C	136	54	50	35	70.00%	15	30.00%
04D	1481	714	641	458	71.45%	183	28.55%
04F	2086	935	835	605	72.46%	230	27.54%
04G	828	312	283	201	71.02%	82	28.98%
04I	3362	561	492	346	70.33%	146	29.67%
04J	1230	317	296	207	69.93%	89	30.07%
04K	2339	1266	1119	806	72.03%	313	27.97%
04L	1655	867	798	573	71.80%	225	28.20%
04M	773	292	270	198	73.33%	72	26.67%
04S	2165	1118	1016	745	73.33%	271	26.67%
04T	1145	584	525	370	70.48%	155	29.52%
04V	2848	1211	1103	799	72.44%	304	27.56%
04W	2203	820	757	574	75.83%	183	24.17%
04X1	1942	950	874	622	71.17%	252	28.83%
04X2	737	364	326	242	74.23%	84	25.77%
05A1	2491	1728	1451	1057	72.85%	394	27.15%
05A2	2910	1998	1700	1198	70.47%	502	29.53%
05B	1763	901	761	548	72.01%	213	27.99%
05C	146	67	61	41	67.21%	20	32.79%
05D	386	93	84	58	69.05%	26	30.95%
05F	223	136	121	86	71.07%	35	28.93%
05J	639	416	365	256	70.14%	109	29.86%
05K	2600	1327	1167	842	72.15%	325	27.85%
06B	1756	1238	1031	704	68.28%	327	31.72%
06D	8568	6060	5135	3684	71.74%	1451	28.26%
06E	2250	1439	1245	871	69.96%	374	30.04%
06F	2709	1757	1457	1022	70.14%	435	29.86%
06G	536	369	320	226	70.63%	94	29.38%
06I	3412	1957	1689	1109	65.66%	580	34.34%
06J	2539	1904	1651	1194	72.32%	457	27.68%
06L1	917	679	560	407	72.68%	153	27.32%
06L2	654	487	426	332	77.93%	94	22.07%
06N	1844	1085	948	700	73.84%	248	26.16%
06Q	527	295	253	158	62.45%	95	37.55%
06R	1836	1206	1067	773	72.45%	294	27.55%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

ATLANTA - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
07A	6600	4093	3485	2423	69.53%	1062	30.47%
07B	2763	2107	1830	1363	74.48%	467	25.52%
07C	3927	2498	2051	1374	66.99%	677	33.01%
07D	4231	2413	1993	1336	67.03%	657	32.97%
07E	2031	1358	1163	838	72.06%	325	27.94%
07F	3017	2041	1738	1254	72.15%	484	27.85%
07H	1923	1424	1224	882	72.06%	342	27.94%
07J	2647	1753	1479	983	66.46%	496	33.54%
07M	2155	1180	1006	667	66.30%	339	33.70%
07N	1946	1044	895	630	70.39%	265	29.61%
08A	2799	1949	1658	1182	71.29%	476	28.71%
08B	3199	2255	1928	1385	71.84%	543	28.16%
08C	1392	984	849	644	75.85%	205	24.15%
08D	1022	770	662	486	73.41%	176	26.59%
08E	2429	1864	1617	1198	74.09%	419	25.91%
08F1	2071	1628	1370	1029	75.11%	341	24.89%
08G	2787	1669	1409	972	68.99%	437	31.01%
08H	2465	1874	1598	1170	73.22%	428	26.78%
08J	2981	2223	1828	1337	73.14%	491	26.86%
08K	973	702	602	413	68.60%	189	31.40%
08L	4194	2706	2294	1563	68.13%	731	31.87%
08M	1907	1358	1149	853	74.24%	296	25.76%
08N1	767	587	491	360	73.32%	131	26.68%
08N2	1391	927	765	556	72.68%	209	27.32%
08P	1633	928	811	549	67.69%	262	32.31%
09A	3338	2152	1881	1326	70.49%	555	29.51%
09B	385	174	158	108	68.35%	50	31.65%
09C	2954	1522	1370	984	71.82%	386	28.18%
09D	1879	858	757	544	71.86%	213	28.14%
09E	2782	1420	1295	934	72.12%	361	27.88%
09F	3619	2526	2152	1497	69.56%	655	30.44%
09G	3519	2282	1967	1367	69.50%	600	30.50%
09H	1064	645	556	386	69.42%	170	30.58%
09I	1194	503	437	319	73.00%	118	27.00%
09K	4403	1851	1695	1234	72.80%	461	27.20%
09M	2259	1611	1397	999	71.51%	398	28.49%
10A	2850	1622	1461	982	67.21%	479	32.79%
10B	3686	2464	2257	1596	70.71%	661	29.29%
10C	2466	1168	1062	754	71.00%	308	29.00%
10D	4220	1850	1682	1186	70.51%	496	29.49%
10E	950	524	469	323	68.87%	146	31.13%
10F	1053	590	538	365	67.84%	173	32.16%
10G	1205	813	756	514	67.99%	242	32.01%
10H1	408	238	215	145	67.44%	70	32.56%
10H2	2207	1032	923	670	72.59%	253	27.41%
10I	329	258	234	162	69.23%	72	30.77%
10J	1012	466	424	299	70.52%	125	29.48%
10K	1415	858	777	535	68.85%	242	31.15%
10M	2529	1414	1295	946	73.05%	349	26.95%
10P	1364	668	594	428	72.05%	166	27.95%
10R	713	347	311	222	71.38%	89	28.62%
11B	5162	3088	2820	1912	67.80%	908	32.20%
11C	2822	2003	1864	1338	71.78%	526	28.22%
11E1	1141	634	591	420	71.07%	171	28.93%
11E2	847	497	463	312	67.39%	151	32.61%
11E3	3124	1835	1722	1267	73.58%	455	26.42%
11G	2092	855	781	563	72.09%	218	27.91%
11H	677	356	317	238	75.08%	79	24.92%
11J	1827	1018	927	668	72.06%	259	27.94%
11K	1818	1104	988	681	68.93%	307	31.07%
11M	3039	1659	1534	1139	74.25%	395	25.75%
11N	2166	1358	1242	881	70.93%	361	29.07%
11P	1833	1020	910	660	72.53%	250	27.47%
11R	1849	672	596	430	72.15%	166	27.85%
12A	4828	2734	2457	1720	70.00%	737	30.00%
12D	1209	501	454	322	70.93%	132	29.07%
12E1	1621	591	534	372	69.66%	162	30.34%
12F	943	428	397	304	76.57%	93	23.43%
12G	800	430	383	282	73.63%	101	26.37%
12H1	1006	310	291	210	72.16%	81	27.84%
12H2	2562	1189	1084	756	69.74%	328	30.26%
12I	970	413	373	263	70.51%	110	29.49%
12J	2535	1108	996	697	69.98%	299	30.02%
12K	3022	1240	1111	788	70.93%	323	29.07%
12L	613	238	204	144	70.59%	60	29.41%
12M	2533	1171	1076	756	70.26%	320	29.74%
12N	907	327	299	226	75.59%	73	24.41%
12S	1794	959	834	602	72.18%	232	27.82%
Total	320799	183374	160444	113781	70.92%	46663	29.08%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
Jurisdiction Wide							
01A	3199	2362	2291	1901	82.98%	390	17.02%
01B	3839	2737	2663	2222	83.44%	441	16.56%
01C	1459	612	593	434	73.19%	159	26.81%
01D	511	307	297	221	74.41%	76	25.59%
01E	3363	2410	2349	1894	80.63%	455	19.37%
01F	1254	452	435	320	73.56%	115	26.44%
01G	1909	1102	1069	783	73.25%	286	26.75%
01H	758	379	362	247	68.23%	115	31.77%
01J	2416	1184	1132	788	69.61%	344	30.39%
01P	1244	542	516	344	66.67%	172	33.33%
01R	1344	491	470	313	66.60%	157	33.40%
01S	1749	823	781	530	67.86%	251	32.14%
01T	1506	796	781	581	74.39%	200	25.61%
02A	4584	2949	2891	2384	82.46%	507	17.54%
02B	3518	1265	1234	951	77.07%	283	22.93%
02C	2037	1205	1162	899	77.37%	263	22.63%
02D	4475	2660	2605	2149	82.50%	456	17.50%
02E	1905	1423	1401	1201	85.72%	200	14.28%
02F1	2962	2033	1980	1684	85.05%	296	14.95%
02F2	788	458	445	354	79.55%	91	20.45%
02G	3443	2361	2319	1970	84.95%	349	15.05%
02J	2159	1432	1408	1231	87.43%	177	12.57%
02K	1419	951	929	797	85.79%	132	14.21%
02L1	6213	3978	3892	3208	82.43%	684	17.57%
02L2	1364	782	769	647	84.14%	122	15.86%
02S	708	427	420	336	80.00%	84	20.00%
02W	682	399	386	315	81.61%	71	18.39%
03A	1927	692	653	433	66.31%	220	33.69%
03B	560	181	169	111	65.68%	58	34.32%
03C	1534	674	645	444	68.84%	201	31.16%
03D	1017	402	380	250	65.79%	130	34.21%
03E	2284	914	882	603	68.37%	279	31.63%
03F	1925	1115	1090	799	73.30%	291	26.70%
03G	802	360	341	213	62.46%	128	37.54%
03H	900	369	342	217	63.45%	125	36.55%
03I	1360	566	555	423	76.22%	132	23.78%
03L	594	229	213	147	69.01%	66	30.99%
03M	766	452	443	354	79.91%	89	20.09%
03N	2288	938	913	637	69.77%	276	30.23%
03P1A	3882	1966	1921	1471	76.57%	450	23.43%
03P2	13	7	7	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
03S	761	356	338	224	66.27%	114	33.73%
03T	1505	715	691	474	68.60%	217	31.40%
04A	1202	560	534	359	67.23%	175	32.77%
04B	2242	922	886	574	64.79%	312	35.21%
04C	136	54	51	27	52.94%	24	47.06%
04D	1481	714	686	482	70.26%	204	29.74%
04F	2086	935	900	668	74.22%	232	25.78%
04G	828	312	296	204	68.92%	92	31.08%
04I	3362	561	539	412	76.44%	127	23.56%
04J	1230	317	309	184	59.55%	125	40.45%
04K	2339	1266	1192	820	68.79%	372	31.21%
04L	1655	867	841	581	69.08%	260	30.92%
04M	773	292	284	200	70.42%	84	29.58%
04S	2165	1118	1064	716	67.29%	348	32.71%
04T	1145	584	560	396	70.71%	164	29.29%
04V	2848	1211	1154	822	71.23%	332	28.77%
04W	2203	820	790	475	60.13%	315	39.87%
04X1	1942	950	918	639	69.61%	279	30.39%
04X2	737	364	357	234	65.55%	123	34.45%
05A1	2491	1728	1668	1401	83.99%	267	16.01%
05A2	2910	1998	1948	1583	81.26%	365	18.74%
05B	1763	901	848	622	73.35%	226	26.65%
05C	146	67	65	45	69.23%	20	30.77%
05D	386	93	90	59	65.56%	31	34.44%
05F	223	136	132	95	71.97%	37	28.03%
05J	639	416	404	318	78.71%	86	21.29%
05K	2600	1327	1290	908	70.39%	382	29.61%
06B	1756	1238	1219	1043	85.56%	176	14.44%
06D	8568	6060	5869	4785	81.53%	1084	18.47%
06E	2250	1439	1395	1124	80.57%	271	19.43%
06F	2709	1757	1710	1452	84.91%	258	15.09%
06G	536	369	359	304	84.68%	55	15.32%
06I	3412	1957	1915	1462	76.34%	453	23.66%
06J	2539	1904	1860	1556	83.66%	304	16.34%
06L1	917	679	647	530	81.92%	117	18.08%
06L2	654	487	472	402	85.17%	70	14.83%
06N	1844	1085	1043	806	77.28%	237	22.72%
06Q	527	295	289	210	72.66%	79	27.34%
06R	1836	1206	1183	987	83.43%	196	16.57%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

ATLANTA - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
07A	6600	4093	3961	2946	74.38%	1015	25.62%
07B	2763	2107	2050	1594	77.76%	456	22.24%
07C	3927	2498	2370	1720	72.57%	650	27.43%
07D	4231	2413	2334	1803	77.25%	531	22.75%
07E	2031	1358	1307	991	75.82%	316	24.18%
07F	3017	2041	1970	1532	77.77%	438	22.23%
07H	1923	1424	1380	1085	78.62%	295	21.38%
07J	2647	1753	1710	1374	80.35%	336	19.65%
07M	2155	1180	1153	850	73.72%	303	26.28%
07N	1946	1044	1010	717	70.99%	293	29.01%
08A	2799	1949	1876	1380	73.56%	496	26.44%
08B	3199	2255	2181	1647	75.52%	534	24.48%
08C	1392	984	943	706	74.87%	237	25.13%
08D	1022	770	747	549	73.49%	198	26.51%
08E	2429	1864	1796	1370	76.28%	426	23.72%
08F1	2071	1628	1573	1224	77.81%	349	22.19%
08G	2787	1669	1590	1149	72.26%	441	27.74%
08H	2465	1874	1782	1348	75.65%	434	24.35%
08J	2981	2223	2147	1693	78.85%	454	21.15%
08K	973	702	683	520	76.13%	163	23.87%
08L	4194	2706	2631	2111	80.24%	520	19.76%
08M	1907	1358	1316	995	75.61%	321	24.39%
08N1	767	587	571	435	76.18%	136	23.82%
08N2	1391	927	887	683	77.00%	204	23.00%
08P	1633	928	900	704	78.22%	196	21.78%
09A	3338	2152	2101	1640	78.06%	461	21.94%
09B	385	174	165	108	65.45%	57	34.55%
09C	2954	1522	1443	998	69.16%	445	30.84%
09D	1879	858	799	550	68.84%	249	31.16%
09E	2782	1420	1379	970	70.34%	409	29.66%
09F	3619	2526	2452	1917	78.18%	535	21.82%
09G	3519	2282	2230	1767	79.24%	463	20.76%
09H	1064	645	633	486	76.78%	147	23.22%
09I	1194	503	464	335	72.20%	129	27.80%
09K	4403	1851	1774	1171	66.01%	603	33.99%
09M	2259	1611	1575	1214	77.08%	361	22.92%
10A	2850	1622	1543	1018	65.98%	525	34.02%
10B	3686	2464	2385	1595	66.88%	790	33.12%
10C	2466	1168	1113	736	66.13%	377	33.87%
10D	4220	1850	1753	1147	65.43%	606	34.57%
10E	950	524	491	330	67.21%	161	32.79%
10F	1053	590	558	352	63.08%	206	36.92%
10G	1205	813	783	517	66.03%	266	33.97%
10H1	408	238	229	144	62.88%	85	37.12%
10H2	2207	1032	983	687	69.89%	296	30.11%
10I	329	258	252	168	66.67%	84	33.33%
10J	1012	466	440	295	67.05%	145	32.95%
10K	1415	858	825	555	67.27%	270	32.73%
10M	2529	1414	1370	993	72.48%	377	27.52%
10P	1364	668	629	426	67.73%	203	32.27%
10R	713	347	332	214	64.46%	118	35.54%
11B	5162	3088	2962	1931	65.19%	1031	34.81%
11C	2822	2003	1945	1357	69.77%	588	30.23%
11E1	1141	634	611	393	64.32%	218	35.68%
11E2	847	497	482	312	64.73%	170	35.27%
11E3	3124	1835	1801	1226	68.07%	575	31.93%
11G	2092	855	819	549	67.03%	270	32.97%
11H	677	356	336	234	69.64%	102	30.36%
11J	1827	1018	968	668	69.01%	300	30.99%
11K	1818	1104	1045	704	67.37%	341	32.63%
11M	3039	1659	1601	1112	69.46%	489	30.54%
11N	2166	1358	1313	906	69.00%	407	31.00%
11P	1833	1020	956	622	65.06%	334	34.94%
11R	1849	672	637	410	64.36%	227	35.64%
12A	4828	2734	2623	1883	71.79%	740	28.21%
12D	1209	501	490	330	67.35%	160	32.65%
12E1	1621	591	560	365	65.18%	195	34.82%
12F	943	428	412	300	72.82%	112	27.18%
12G	800	430	395	277	70.13%	118	29.87%
12H1	1006	310	301	196	65.12%	105	34.88%
12H2	2562	1189	1128	747	66.22%	381	33.78%
12I	970	413	400	271	67.75%	129	32.25%
12J	2535	1108	1054	707	67.08%	347	32.92%
12K	3022	1240	1198	876	73.12%	322	26.88%
12L	613	238	217	137	63.13%	80	36.87%
12M	2533	1171	1126	757	67.23%	369	32.77%
12N	907	327	313	226	72.20%	87	27.80%
12S	1794	959	906	612	67.55%	294	32.45%
Total	320799	183374	177098	132563	74.85%	44535	25.15%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

COLLEGE PARK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
CP01B	381	227	222	163	73.42%	59	26.58%
CP011	1135	617	608	487	80.10%	121	19.90%
CP012	1318	844	819	619	75.58%	200	24.42%
CP02	1000	553	531	383	72.13%	148	27.87%
CP04A	8	2	2	1	50.00%	1	50.00%
CP04B	39	14	14	8	57.14%	6	42.86%
CP05B	143	76	76	48	63.16%	28	36.84%
CP051	1612	874	848	604	71.23%	244	28.77%
CP06A	598	208	203	143	70.44%	60	29.56%
CP07B	7	2	2	1	50.00%	1	50.00%
CP07C	244	47	47	31	65.96%	16	34.04%
CP07D	694	208	201	142	70.65%	59	29.35%
CP07E	125	41	37	30	81.08%	7	18.92%
CP07F	184	55	54	36	66.67%	18	33.33%
CP081	343	77	76	47	61.84%	29	38.16%
CP083	219	25	24	17	70.83%	7	29.17%
CP084	3	1	1	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
CP08A	705	175	170	130	76.47%	40	23.53%
Total	8758	4046	3935	2891	73.47%	1044	26.53%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

HAPEVILLE - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
HP01	3212	1780	1745	1296	74.27%	449	25.73%
Total	3212	1780	1745	1296	74.27%	449	25.73%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

JOHNS CREEK - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
JC01	4977	3215	2865	2133	74.45%	732	25.55%
JC02	2460	1474	1314	982	74.73%	332	25.27%
JC03A	540	384	345	261	75.65%	84	24.35%
JC03B	1448	955	848	647	76.30%	201	23.70%
JC04A	4042	2692	2405	1868	77.67%	537	22.33%
JC04B	255	156	133	98	73.68%	35	26.32%
JC05	2136	1391	1242	938	75.52%	304	24.48%
JC06	2627	1580	1431	1067	74.56%	364	25.44%
JC07	4083	2627	2337	1766	75.57%	571	24.43%
JC08	2737	1870	1672	1266	75.72%	406	24.28%
JC09	2928	1878	1692	1270	75.06%	422	24.94%
JC10	2392	1507	1341	1022	76.21%	319	23.79%
JC11	3186	2085	1859	1392	74.88%	467	25.12%
JC12	2455	1753	1583	1231	77.76%	352	22.24%
JC13A	2115	1462	1308	1015	77.60%	293	22.40%
JC13B	271	180	163	125	76.69%	38	23.31%
JC14	2477	1634	1476	1149	77.85%	327	22.15%
JC15	834	523	473	348	73.57%	125	26.43%
JC16	2496	1729	1553	1195	76.95%	358	23.05%
JC18	2670	1969	1752	1381	78.82%	371	21.18%
JC19	3759	2528	2251	1727	76.72%	524	23.28%
Total	50888	33592	30043	22881	76.16%	7162	23.84%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

JOHNS CREEK - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
JC01	4977	3215	3117	2109	67.66%	1008	32.34%
JC02	2460	1474	1418	954	67.28%	464	32.72%
JC03A	540	384	377	264	70.03%	113	29.97%
JC03B	1448	955	920	621	67.50%	299	32.50%
JC04A	4042	2692	2631	1893	71.95%	738	28.05%
JC04B	255	156	148	88	59.46%	60	40.54%
JC05	2136	1391	1348	967	71.74%	381	28.26%
JC06	2627	1580	1543	1057	68.50%	486	31.50%
JC07	4083	2627	2540	1752	68.98%	788	31.02%
JC08	2737	1870	1824	1288	70.61%	536	29.39%
JC09	2928	1878	1822	1296	71.13%	526	28.87%
JC10	2392	1507	1452	1004	69.15%	448	30.85%
JC11	3186	2085	2027	1440	71.04%	587	28.96%
JC12	2455	1753	1708	1179	69.03%	529	30.97%
JC13A	2115	1462	1421	1039	73.12%	382	26.88%
JC13B	271	180	176	136	77.27%	40	22.73%
JC14	2477	1634	1601	1201	75.02%	400	24.98%
JC15	834	523	509	347	68.17%	162	31.83%
JC16	2496	1729	1673	1236	73.88%	437	26.12%
JC18	2670	1969	1921	1443	75.12%	478	24.88%
JC19	3759	2528	2452	1804	73.57%	648	26.43%
Total	50888	33592	32628	23118	70.85%	9510	29.15%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

	MILTON - CITY COUNCIL D1 P2						
	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	C. E. COOKERLY		Write-In Votes	
ML011	2439	1681	1312	1286	98.02%	26	1.98%
ML012	485	338	273	265	97.07%	8	2.93%
ML01B	138	96	75	72	96.00%	3	4.00%
ML022	241	148	110	109	99.09%	1	0.91%
ML023	1542	1027	780	763	97.82%	17	2.18%
ML04A	1747	1176	908	892	98.24%	16	1.76%
ML05A	39	27	15	14	93.33%	1	6.67%
ML06A	1973	1024	814	802	98.53%	12	1.47%
Total	8604	5517	4287	4203	98.04%	84	1.96%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

MILTON - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
ML011	2439	1681	1502	1211	80.63%	291	19.37%
ML012	485	338	310	248	80.00%	62	20.00%
ML01B	138	96	85	66	77.65%	19	22.35%
ML021	1991	1369	1226	984	80.26%	242	19.74%
ML022	241	148	123	99	80.49%	24	19.51%
ML023	1542	1027	906	728	80.35%	178	19.65%
ML024	113	70	63	52	82.54%	11	17.46%
ML03	3757	2575	2297	1852	80.63%	445	19.37%
ML04A	1747	1176	1056	841	79.64%	215	20.36%
ML04B	1206	838	776	604	77.84%	172	22.16%
ML04C	79	66	63	50	79.37%	13	20.63%
ML05A	39	27	21	16	76.19%	5	23.81%
ML05B	381	250	217	167	76.96%	50	23.04%
ML05C	4023	2615	2362	1847	78.20%	515	21.80%
ML06A	1973	1024	910	650	71.43%	260	28.57%
ML06B	2775	1734	1564	1193	76.28%	371	23.72%
ML071	105	73	67	51	76.12%	16	23.88%
ML072	1249	857	784	623	79.46%	161	20.54%
ML07A	707	472	437	358	81.92%	79	18.08%
Total	24990	16436	14769	11640	78.81%	3129	21.19%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

MILTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
ML011	2439	1681	1615	1277	79.07%	338	20.93%
ML012	485	338	323	256	79.26%	67	20.74%
ML01B	138	96	90	74	82.22%	16	17.78%
ML021	1991	1369	1320	1029	77.95%	291	22.05%
ML022	241	148	142	111	78.17%	31	21.83%
ML023	1542	1027	990	782	78.99%	208	21.01%
ML024	113	70	68	44	64.71%	24	35.29%
ML03	3757	2575	2492	1936	77.69%	556	22.31%
ML04A	1747	1176	1132	861	76.06%	271	23.94%
ML04B	1206	838	822	650	79.08%	172	20.92%
ML04C	79	66	64	54	84.38%	10	15.63%
ML05A	39	27	25	19	76.00%	6	24.00%
ML05B	381	250	242	175	72.31%	67	27.69%
ML05C	4023	2615	2554	1914	74.94%	640	25.06%
ML06A	1973	1024	981	728	74.21%	253	25.79%
ML06B	2775	1734	1679	1195	71.17%	484	28.83%
ML071	105	73	73	54	73.97%	19	26.03%
ML072	1249	857	838	612	73.03%	226	26.97%
ML07A	707	472	462	368	79.65%	94	20.35%
Total	24990	16436	15912	12139	76.29%	3773	23.71%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

ROSWELL - HOMESTEAD EXEMPTION

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
RW01	4717	3355	2952	2290	77.57%	662	22.43%
RW02	3794	2675	2397	1834	76.51%	563	23.49%
RW03	4510	2745	2461	1839	74.73%	622	25.27%
RW04	1803	1359	1217	916	75.27%	301	24.73%
RW05	2322	1207	1089	818	75.11%	271	24.89%
RW06	2820	1914	1698	1282	75.50%	416	24.50%
RW07A	1549	677	606	424	69.97%	182	30.03%
RW07B	388	214	193	143	74.09%	50	25.91%
RW08	2138	1462	1284	1012	78.82%	272	21.18%
RW09	5918	4216	3803	2946	77.47%	857	22.53%
RW10	3347	2376	2163	1666	77.02%	497	22.98%
RW11A	2667	1693	1526	1165	76.34%	361	23.66%
RW12	5944	4234	3809	2989	78.47%	820	21.53%
RW13	3555	2476	2198	1689	76.84%	509	23.16%
RW16	1850	1275	1140	887	77.81%	253	22.19%
RW17	2794	1773	1579	1197	75.81%	382	24.19%
RW19	1993	1434	1251	1000	79.94%	251	20.06%
RW20	2486	1797	1609	1237	76.88%	372	23.12%
RW21	3144	2169	1911	1467	76.77%	444	23.23%
RW22A	4406	2486	2199	1577	71.71%	622	28.29%
Total	62145	41537	37085	28378	76.52%	8707	23.48%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

ROSWELL - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
RW01	4717	3355	3244	2404	74.11%	840	25.89%
RW02	3794	2675	2609	2080	79.72%	529	20.28%
RW03	4510	2745	2685	2000	74.49%	685	25.51%
RW04	1803	1359	1318	1024	77.69%	294	22.31%
RW05	2322	1207	1181	823	69.69%	358	30.31%
RW06	2820	1914	1880	1448	77.02%	432	22.98%
RW07A	1549	677	663	441	66.52%	222	33.48%
RW07B	388	214	207	132	63.77%	75	36.23%
RW08	2138	1462	1416	1076	75.99%	340	24.01%
RW09	5918	4216	4115	3151	76.57%	964	23.43%
RW10	3347	2376	2325	1819	78.24%	506	21.76%
RW11A	2667	1693	1663	1307	78.59%	356	21.41%
RW12	5944	4234	4133	3100	75.01%	1033	24.99%
RW13	3555	2476	2413	1823	75.55%	590	24.45%
RW16	1850	1275	1249	948	75.90%	301	24.10%
RW17	2794	1773	1723	1303	75.62%	420	24.38%
RW19	1993	1434	1389	1042	75.02%	347	24.98%
RW20	2486	1797	1748	1295	74.08%	453	25.92%
RW21	3144	2169	2110	1624	76.97%	486	23.03%
RW22A	4406	2486	2412	1730	71.72%	682	28.28%
Total	62145	41537	40483	30570	75.51%	9913	24.49%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

SANDY SPRINGS - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
SS01	4487	3270	3155	2410	76.39%	745	23.61%
SS02A	1120	755	729	566	77.64%	163	22.36%
SS02B	1255	756	729	555	76.13%	174	23.87%
SS03	1464	1027	1008	782	77.58%	226	22.42%
SS04	3264	2064	2000	1517	75.85%	483	24.15%
SS05	1746	1376	1315	1050	79.85%	265	20.15%
SS06	1397	1073	1044	831	79.60%	213	20.40%
SS07A	968	683	652	485	74.39%	167	25.61%
SS07B	609	405	392	285	72.70%	107	27.30%
SS07C	755	436	412	309	75.00%	103	25.00%
SS08A	1316	758	730	558	76.44%	172	23.56%
SS08B	635	453	439	345	78.59%	94	21.41%
SS08C	632	393	382	304	79.58%	78	20.42%
SS08D	1368	847	829	615	74.19%	214	25.81%
SS09A	3003	2210	2128	1686	79.23%	442	20.77%
SS09B	3358	2452	2369	1901	80.24%	468	19.76%
SS11A	3655	2227	2161	1649	76.31%	512	23.69%
SS11B	1257	707	675	527	78.07%	148	21.93%
SS11C	269	146	143	98	68.53%	45	31.47%
SS11D	365	254	240	195	81.25%	45	18.75%
SS12	2559	1732	1669	1322	79.21%	347	20.79%
SS13A	1633	1072	1044	805	77.11%	239	22.89%
SS13B	1001	629	618	473	76.54%	145	23.46%
SS14	1274	977	951	769	80.86%	182	19.14%
SS15A	2572	1199	1164	831	71.39%	333	28.61%
SS15B	1579	902	875	648	74.06%	227	25.94%
SS16	2030	1534	1494	1198	80.19%	296	19.81%
SS17	3397	2229	2138	1683	78.72%	455	21.28%
SS18A	619	454	441	341	77.32%	100	22.68%
SS18B	221	162	155	114	73.55%	41	26.45%
SS19A	3016	1683	1648	1186	71.97%	462	28.03%
SS19B	2032	1226	1190	893	75.04%	297	24.96%
SS20	2060	1159	1117	852	76.28%	265	23.72%
SS22	2714	1613	1542	1166	75.62%	376	24.38%
SS26	3552	1822	1767	1255	71.02%	512	28.98%
SS29A	2488	1595	1542	1198	77.69%	344	22.31%
SS31	1345	938	912	718	78.73%	194	21.27%
Total	67015	43218	41799	32120	76.84%	9679	23.16%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

SOUTH FULTON - 1. FREEPORT EXEMPTION

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
SC01A	20	25	20	13	65.00%	7	35.00%
SC01B	1707	1295	1221	790	64.70%	431	35.30%
SC01C	2063	1513	1391	937	67.36%	454	32.64%
SC01D	294	162	146	104	71.23%	42	28.77%
SC02	872	662	617	445	72.12%	172	27.88%
SC04	922	616	553	326	58.95%	227	41.05%
SC05A	646	409	375	244	65.07%	131	34.93%
SC05B	3204	2188	2022	1306	64.59%	716	35.41%
SC05D	2171	1428	1343	862	64.18%	481	35.82%
SC05E	623	434	407	267	65.60%	140	34.40%
SC07A	5595	3698	3487	2147	61.57%	1340	38.43%
SC07C	29	10	9	5	55.56%	4	44.44%
SC08B	3382	2008	1858	1190	64.05%	668	35.95%
SC08C	74	53	49	29	59.18%	20	40.82%
SC08D	499	314	296	199	67.23%	97	32.77%
SC08E	562	316	294	205	69.73%	89	30.27%
SC08F	700	366	340	225	66.18%	115	33.82%
SC08G	12	8	7	3	42.86%	4	57.14%
SC08H	4	3	3	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
SC09A	1628	839	769	536	69.70%	233	30.30%
SC09B	1687	1084	990	642	64.85%	348	35.15%
SC09C	1566	842	779	505	64.83%	274	35.17%
SC10	1678	661	607	445	73.31%	162	26.69%
SC11A	1411	813	759	512	67.46%	247	32.54%
SC11B	1506	878	833	591	70.95%	242	29.05%
SC13	2465	1636	1537	874	56.86%	663	43.14%
SC14A	916	429	393	268	68.19%	125	31.81%
SC14B	502	45	38	29	76.32%	9	23.68%
SC15	6193	4213	3958	2645	66.83%	1313	33.17%
SC16A	4601	3208	2966	2068	69.72%	898	30.28%
SC16B	14	15	13	8	61.54%	5	38.46%
SC17A	55	42	40	29	72.50%	11	27.50%
SC17B	7	4	4	2	50.00%	2	50.00%
SC17C	1134	669	623	401	64.37%	222	35.63%
SC18A	510	329	298	204	68.46%	94	31.54%
SC18B	663	462	431	295	68.45%	136	31.55%
SC18C	1850	1352	1269	827	65.17%	442	34.83%
SC19A	195	129	123	76	61.79%	47	38.21%
SC19B	1267	690	638	441	69.12%	197	30.88%
SC20	2197	1665	1550	1008	65.03%	542	34.97%
SC211	1328	841	778	487	62.60%	291	37.40%
SC212	3378	1947	1798	1200	66.74%	598	33.26%
SC23A	3161	1730	1622	1136	70.04%	486	29.96%
SC23B	483	284	258	187	72.48%	71	27.52%
SC23C	2252	1302	1211	856	70.69%	355	29.31%
SC27	2129	1259	1159	795	68.59%	364	31.41%
SC29A	2784	1544	1424	948	66.57%	476	33.43%
SC30A	135	97	87	65	74.71%	22	25.29%
SC30B	960	531	472	317	67.16%	155	32.84%
Total	72034	45048	41865	27697	66.16%	14168	33.84%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

SOUTH FULTON - 2. FREEPORT EXEMPTION

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
SC01A	20	25	20	12	60.00%	8	40.00%
SC01B	1707	1295	1218	757	62.15%	461	37.85%
SC01C	2063	1513	1367	895	65.47%	472	34.53%
SC01D	294	162	146	103	70.55%	43	29.45%
SC02	872	662	612	431	70.42%	181	29.58%
SC04	922	616	553	320	57.87%	233	42.13%
SC05A	646	409	366	236	64.48%	130	35.52%
SC05B	3204	2188	2005	1233	61.50%	772	38.50%
SC05D	2171	1428	1331	808	60.71%	523	39.29%
SC05E	623	434	404	250	61.88%	154	38.12%
SC07A	5595	3698	3451	2049	59.37%	1402	40.63%
SC07C	29	10	9	8	88.89%	1	11.11%
SC08B	3382	2008	1836	1154	62.85%	682	37.15%
SC08C	74	53	49	32	65.31%	17	34.69%
SC08D	499	314	287	179	62.37%	108	37.63%
SC08E	562	316	294	194	65.99%	100	34.01%
SC08F	700	366	342	205	59.94%	137	40.06%
SC08G	12	8	7	3	42.86%	4	57.14%
SC08H	4	3	3	2	66.67%	1	33.33%
SC09A	1628	839	765	521	68.10%	244	31.90%
SC09B	1687	1084	968	610	63.02%	358	36.98%
SC09C	1566	842	760	494	65.00%	266	35.00%
SC10	1678	661	607	427	70.35%	180	29.65%
SC11A	1411	813	753	495	65.74%	258	34.26%
SC11B	1506	878	820	570	69.51%	250	30.49%
SC13	2465	1636	1522	850	55.85%	672	44.15%
SC14A	916	429	389	253	65.04%	136	34.96%
SC14B	502	45	39	29	74.36%	10	25.64%
SC15	6193	4213	3900	2509	64.33%	1391	35.67%
SC16A	4601	3208	2927	1966	67.17%	961	32.83%
SC16B	14	15	13	6	46.15%	7	53.85%
SC17A	55	42	38	28	73.68%	10	26.32%
SC17B	7	4	4	2	50.00%	2	50.00%
SC17C	1134	669	617	391	63.37%	226	36.63%
SC18A	510	329	297	196	65.99%	101	34.01%
SC18B	663	462	426	264	61.97%	162	38.03%
SC18C	1850	1352	1251	789	63.07%	462	36.93%
SC19A	195	129	122	70	57.38%	52	42.62%
SC19B	1267	690	630	426	67.62%	204	32.38%
SC20	2197	1665	1541	968	62.82%	573	37.18%
SC211	1328	841	773	474	61.32%	299	38.68%
SC212	3378	1947	1779	1121	63.01%	658	36.99%
SC23A	3161	1730	1600	1090	68.13%	510	31.88%
SC23B	483	284	256	177	69.14%	79	30.86%
SC23C	2252	1302	1188	803	67.59%	385	32.41%
SC27	2129	1259	1149	777	67.62%	372	32.38%
SC29A	2784	1544	1403	899	64.08%	504	35.92%
SC30A	135	97	83	53	63.86%	30	36.14%
SC30B	960	531	460	296	64.35%	164	35.65%
Total	72034	45048	41380	26425	63.86%	14955	36.14%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

SOUTH FULTON - 3. FREEPORT EXEMPTION

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
SC01A	20	25	20	13	65.00%	7	35.00%
SC01B	1707	1295	1220	714	58.52%	506	41.48%
SC01C	2063	1513	1375	851	61.89%	524	38.11%
SC01D	294	162	146	103	70.55%	43	29.45%
SC02	872	662	615	417	67.80%	198	32.20%
SC04	922	616	546	306	56.04%	240	43.96%
SC05A	646	409	371	241	64.96%	130	35.04%
SC05B	3204	2188	2003	1214	60.61%	789	39.39%
SC05D	2171	1428	1334	820	61.47%	514	38.53%
SC05E	623	434	404	245	60.64%	159	39.36%
SC07A	5595	3698	3441	1970	57.25%	1471	42.75%
SC07C	29	10	9	5	55.56%	4	44.44%
SC08B	3382	2008	1845	1123	60.87%	722	39.13%
SC08C	74	53	49	32	65.31%	17	34.69%
SC08D	499	314	287	181	63.07%	106	36.93%
SC08E	562	316	297	193	64.98%	104	35.02%
SC08F	700	366	339	210	61.95%	129	38.05%
SC08G	12	8	7	3	42.86%	4	57.14%
SC08H	4	3	3	2	66.67%	1	33.33%
SC09A	1628	839	764	507	66.36%	257	33.64%
SC09B	1687	1084	975	589	60.41%	386	39.59%
SC09C	1566	842	763	490	64.22%	273	35.78%
SC10	1678	661	598	405	67.73%	193	32.27%
SC11A	1411	813	757	493	65.13%	264	34.87%
SC11B	1506	878	825	555	67.27%	270	32.73%
SC13	2465	1636	1528	845	55.30%	683	44.70%
SC14A	916	429	393	246	62.60%	147	37.40%
SC14B	502	45	38	28	73.68%	10	26.32%
SC15	6193	4213	3898	2448	62.80%	1450	37.20%
SC16A	4601	3208	2948	1923	65.23%	1025	34.77%
SC16B	14	15	13	7	53.85%	6	46.15%
SC17A	55	42	38	28	73.68%	10	26.32%
SC17B	7	4	4	2	50.00%	2	50.00%
SC17C	1134	669	612	374	61.11%	238	38.89%
SC18A	510	329	301	187	62.13%	114	37.87%
SC18B	663	462	427	270	63.23%	157	36.77%
SC18C	1850	1352	1259	771	61.24%	488	38.76%
SC19A	195	129	122	67	54.92%	55	45.08%
SC19B	1267	690	630	418	66.35%	212	33.65%
SC20	2197	1665	1542	931	60.38%	611	39.62%
SC211	1328	841	772	475	61.53%	297	38.47%
SC212	3378	1947	1788	1104	61.74%	684	38.26%
SC23A	3161	1730	1604	1067	66.52%	537	33.48%
SC23B	483	284	256	167	65.23%	89	34.77%
SC23C	2252	1302	1194	803	67.25%	391	32.75%
SC27	2129	1259	1145	746	65.15%	399	34.85%
SC29A	2784	1544	1408	882	62.64%	526	37.36%
SC30A	135	97	85	55	64.71%	30	35.29%
SC30B	960	531	464	293	63.15%	171	36.85%
Total	72034	45048	41462	25819	62.27%	15643	37.73%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

SOUTH FULTON - 4. FREEPORT EXEMPTION

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
SC01A	20	25	20	14	70.00%	6	30.00%
SC01B	1707	1295	1222	752	61.54%	470	38.46%
SC01C	2063	1513	1382	878	63.53%	504	36.47%
SC01D	294	162	147	94	63.95%	53	36.05%
SC02	872	662	614	416	67.75%	198	32.25%
SC04	922	616	557	323	57.99%	234	42.01%
SC05A	646	409	376	242	64.36%	134	35.64%
SC05B	3204	2188	2023	1264	62.48%	759	37.52%
SC05D	2171	1428	1336	828	61.98%	508	38.02%
SC05E	623	434	403	241	59.80%	162	40.20%
SC07A	5595	3698	3455	2029	58.73%	1426	41.27%
SC07C	29	10	9	7	77.78%	2	22.22%
SC08B	3382	2008	1849	1161	62.79%	688	37.21%
SC08C	74	53	48	30	62.50%	18	37.50%
SC08D	499	314	293	181	61.77%	112	38.23%
SC08E	562	316	300	192	64.00%	108	36.00%
SC08F	700	366	346	223	64.45%	123	35.55%
SC08G	12	8	7	4	57.14%	3	42.86%
SC08H	4	3	3	2	66.67%	1	33.33%
SC09A	1628	839	769	520	67.62%	249	32.38%
SC09B	1687	1084	978	619	63.29%	359	36.71%
SC09C	1566	842	773	509	65.85%	264	34.15%
SC10	1678	661	605	417	68.93%	188	31.07%
SC11A	1411	813	757	513	67.77%	244	32.23%
SC11B	1506	878	821	557	67.84%	264	32.16%
SC13	2465	1636	1528	855	55.96%	673	44.04%
SC14A	916	429	388	259	66.75%	129	33.25%
SC14B	502	45	37	27	72.97%	10	27.03%
SC15	6193	4213	3936	2513	63.85%	1423	36.15%
SC16A	4601	3208	2961	1996	67.41%	965	32.59%
SC16B	14	15	14	9	64.29%	5	35.71%
SC17A	55	42	39	28	71.79%	11	28.21%
SC17B	7	4	4	2	50.00%	2	50.00%
SC17C	1134	669	618	412	66.67%	206	33.33%
SC18A	510	329	295	187	63.39%	108	36.61%
SC18B	663	462	426	268	62.91%	158	37.09%
SC18C	1850	1352	1255	785	62.55%	470	37.45%
SC19A	195	129	123	70	56.91%	53	43.09%
SC19B	1267	690	633	427	67.46%	206	32.54%
SC20	2197	1665	1557	966	62.04%	591	37.96%
SC211	1328	841	775	485	62.58%	290	37.42%
SC212	3378	1947	1791	1128	62.98%	663	37.02%
SC23A	3161	1730	1623	1088	67.04%	535	32.96%
SC23B	483	284	259	182	70.27%	77	29.73%
SC23C	2252	1302	1202	812	67.55%	390	32.45%
SC27	2129	1259	1159	758	65.40%	401	34.60%
SC29A	2784	1544	1421	929	65.38%	492	34.62%
SC30A	135	97	84	60	71.43%	24	28.57%
SC30B	960	531	465	299	64.30%	166	35.70%
Total	72034	45048	41686	26561	63.72%	15125	36.28%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

SOUTH FULTON - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
SC01A	20	25	23	15	65.22%	8	34.78%
SC01B	1707	1295	1278	920	71.99%	358	28.01%
SC01C	2063	1513	1468	1044	71.12%	424	28.88%
SC01D	294	162	161	105	65.22%	56	34.78%
SC02	872	662	643	481	74.81%	162	25.19%
SC04	922	616	590	391	66.27%	199	33.73%
SC05A	646	409	399	294	73.68%	105	26.32%
SC05B	3204	2188	2129	1526	71.68%	603	28.32%
SC05D	2171	1428	1399	971	69.41%	428	30.59%
SC05E	623	434	425	268	63.06%	157	36.94%
SC07A	5595	3698	3615	2479	68.58%	1136	31.42%
SC07C	29	10	10	5	50.00%	5	50.00%
SC08B	3382	2008	1955	1376	70.38%	579	29.62%
SC08C	74	53	50	38	76.00%	12	24.00%
SC08D	499	314	306	237	77.45%	69	22.55%
SC08E	562	316	307	207	67.43%	100	32.57%
SC08F	700	366	358	254	70.95%	104	29.05%
SC08G	12	8	7	3	42.86%	4	57.14%
SC08H	4	3	3	1	33.33%	2	66.67%
SC09A	1628	839	810	579	71.48%	231	28.52%
SC09B	1687	1084	1043	761	72.96%	282	27.04%
SC09C	1566	842	819	569	69.47%	250	30.53%
SC10	1678	661	639	456	71.36%	183	28.64%
SC11A	1411	813	792	560	70.71%	232	29.29%
SC11B	1506	878	860	597	69.42%	263	30.58%
SC13	2465	1636	1594	1089	68.32%	505	31.68%
SC14A	916	429	414	293	70.77%	121	29.23%
SC14B	502	45	42	35	83.33%	7	16.67%
SC15	6193	4213	4113	2947	71.65%	1166	28.35%
SC16A	4601	3208	3139	2313	73.69%	826	26.31%
SC16B	14	15	14	11	78.57%	3	21.43%
SC17A	55	42	41	36	87.80%	5	12.20%
SC17B	7	4	4	3	75.00%	1	25.00%
SC17C	1134	669	649	476	73.34%	173	26.66%
SC18A	510	329	315	217	68.89%	98	31.11%
SC18B	663	462	450	312	69.33%	138	30.67%
SC18C	1850	1352	1322	943	71.33%	379	28.67%
SC19A	195	129	125	91	72.80%	34	27.20%
SC19B	1267	690	661	476	72.01%	185	27.99%
SC20	2197	1665	1632	1184	72.55%	448	27.45%
SC211	1328	841	820	574	70.00%	246	30.00%
SC212	3378	1947	1893	1348	71.21%	545	28.79%
SC23A	3161	1730	1681	1204	71.62%	477	28.38%
SC23B	483	284	276	201	72.83%	75	27.17%
SC23C	2252	1302	1263	865	68.49%	398	31.51%
SC27	2129	1259	1221	875	71.66%	346	28.34%
SC29A	2784	1544	1495	1044	69.83%	451	30.17%
SC30A	135	97	91	61	67.03%	30	32.97%
SC30B	960	531	516	360	69.77%	156	30.23%
Total	72034	45048	43860	31095	70.90%	12765	29.10%

Statement of Votes Cast
Fulton County, Georgia
General and Special Election
November 6, 2018
Official and Complete

UNION CITY - SUNDAY ALCOHOL SALES

	Reg. Voters	Times Counted	Total Votes	YES		NO	
UC01A	3622	1715	1681	1158	68.89%	523	31.11%
UC01B	395	210	204	144	70.59%	60	29.41%
UC01D	6	2	2	1	50.00%	1	50.00%
UC01E	285	206	203	138	67.98%	65	32.02%
UC02A	7513	4296	4178	2809	67.23%	1369	32.77%
UC02B	30	19	19	12	63.16%	7	36.84%
UC031	2399	1203	1164	733	62.97%	431	37.03%
UC032	292	186	186	123	66.13%	63	33.87%
Total	14542	7837	7637	5118	67.02%	2519	32.98%

Election Summary Report
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Summary For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Informe Resumido de las Elecciones
Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

Registered Voters 525568 - Cards Cast 338125 64.34% Num. Report Precinct 157 - Num. Reporting 157 100.00%

Governor / Gobernador

	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	157	157	157	157	157	157	
Precincts Reporting	157	157	157	157	157	157	100.0 %
B. KEMP (R)	69135	7725	27654	27814	670	132998	42.21%
S. ABRAMS (D)	83386	12404	34388	46401	1518	178097	56.52%
T. METZ (L)	2625	268	474	435	21	3823	1.21%
Write-in Votes	129	15	27	24	1	196	0.06%

Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador

	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	157	157	157	157	157	157	
Precincts Reporting	157	157	157	157	157	157	100.0 %
G. DUNCAN (R)	69336	7879	27519	27568	690	132992	43.82%
S. R. AMICO (D)	79115	12373	33066	44195	1480	170229	56.08%
Write-in Votes	188	21	38	50	2	299	0.10%

Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado

	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	157	157	157	157	157	157	
Precincts Reporting	157	157	157	157	157	157	100.0 %
B. RAFFENSPERGER (R)	67980	7607	27181	27382	663	130813	41.96%
J. BARROW (D)	80116	11995	33415	45265	1422	172213	55.23%
S. DUVAL (L)	5260	588	1399	1311	76	8634	2.77%
Write-in Votes	81	9	17	20	0	127	0.04%

Attorney General / Fiscal General

	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	157	157	157	157	157	157	
Precincts Reporting	157	157	157	157	157	157	100.0 %
C. CARR (I) R	70787	8007	28129	28225	697	135845	43.80%
C. BAILEY (D)	81576	11981	33698	45445	1432	174132	56.14%
Write-in Votes	118	14	25	28	2	187	0.06%

Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura

	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	157	157	157	157	157	157	
Precincts Reporting	157	157	157	157	157	157	100.0 %
G. BLACK (I) R	73374	8348	28901	28890	706	140219	45.41%
F. SWANN (D)	78133	11767	32624	44363	1456	168343	54.51%
Write-in Votes	178	12	29	26	2	247	0.08%

Election Summary Report
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Summary For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Informe Resumido de las Elecciones
Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

Registered Voters 525568 - Cards Cast 338125 64.34% Num. Report Precinct 157 - Num. Reporting 157 100.00%

Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	157	157	157	157	157	157	
Precincts Reporting	157	157	157	157	157	157	100.0 %
J. BECK (R)	68633	7639	27267	27419	665	131623	42.43%
J. LAWS (D)	77586	11955	32829	44641	1402	168413	54.29%
D. FOSTER (L)	6117	539	1679	1593	98	10026	3.23%
Write-in Votes	74	10	17	23	2	126	0.04%

State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	157	157	157	157	157	157	
Precincts Reporting	157	157	157	157	157	157	100.0 %
R. WOODS (I) R	74437	8410	29034	29167	711	141759	45.66%
O. THORNTON, JR. (D)	78088	11723	32725	44526	1429	168491	54.27%
Write-in Votes	121	18	33	47	2	221	0.07%

Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	157	157	157	157	157	157	
Precincts Reporting	157	157	157	157	157	157	100.0 %
M. BUTLER (I) R	72917	8114	28540	28644	697	138912	44.90%
R. KEATLEY (D)	78901	11963	33066	44890	1466	170286	55.05%
Write-in Votes	92	11	21	26	2	152	0.05%

PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	157	157	157	157	157	157	
Precincts Reporting	157	157	157	157	157	157	100.0 %
C. EATON (I) R	67137	7504	27025	27225	652	129543	41.80%
L. MILLER (D)	78987	11981	33133	44870	1423	170394	54.99%
R. GRAHAM (L)	6148	599	1532	1493	82	9854	3.18%
Write-in Votes	51	11	11	15	3	91	0.03%

PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	157	157	157	157	157	157	
Precincts Reporting	157	157	157	157	157	157	100.0 %
T. PRIDEMORE (I) R	68560	7614	27302	27483	660	131619	42.49%
D. A. RANDOLPH (D)	77679	11886	32958	44804	1418	168745	54.47%
J. TURPISH (L)	5822	555	1492	1383	71	9323	3.01%
Write-in Votes	54	14	12	13	3	96	0.03%

Election Summary Report
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Summary For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Informe Resumido de las Elecciones
Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

Registered Voters 525568 - Cards Cast 338125 64.34% Num. Report Precinct 157 - Num. Reporting 157 100.00%

US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	34	34	34	34	34	34	
Precincts Reporting	34	34	34	34	34	34	100.0 %
J. PROFIT (R)	10570	1298	5454	4395	94	21811	33.72%
H. JOHNSON, JR.(I) D	18068	2693	8704	12997	356	42818	66.19%
Write-in Votes	24	13	9	14	1	61	0.09%

US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	112	112	112	112	112	112	
Precincts Reporting	112	112	112	112	112	112	100.0 %
R. WOODALL (I) R	51723	5897	15286	22161	443	95510	44.53%
C. BOURDEAUX (D)	58033	8809	21003	30168	817	118830	55.40%
Write-in Votes	85	12	22	30	1	150	0.07%

US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	11	11	11	11	11	11	
Precincts Reporting	11	11	11	11	11	11	100.0 %
J. HICE (I) R	8999	728	7319	1548	28	18622	58.16%
T. JOHNSON-GREEN (D)	5740	806	4189	2621	19	13375	41.78%
Write-in Votes	11	3	4	1	0	19	0.06%

State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	27	27	27	27	27	27	
Precincts Reporting	27	27	27	27	27	27	100.0 %
S. RAHMAN (D)	18237	2335	7277	6391	189	34429	97.50%
Write-in Votes	478	87	164	147	6	882	2.50%

State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	43	43	43	43	43	43	
Precincts Reporting	43	43	43	43	43	43	100.0 %
P. MARTIN IV (I) R	21486	2300	8632	9894	91	42403	51.91%
C. R. MOSES (D)	17067	2656	6900	12516	108	39247	48.05%
Write-in Votes	21	6	4	5	0	36	0.04%

Election Summary Report
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Summary For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Informe Resumido de las Elecciones
Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

Registered Voters 525568 - Cards Cast 338125 64.34% Num. Report Precinct 157 - Num. Reporting 157 100.00%

State Senate 40 / Senado Estatal 40

	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	8	8	8	8	8	8	
Precincts Reporting	8	8	8	8	8	8	100.0 %
F. MILLAR (I) R	3695	375	1063	133	12	5278	46.36%
S. HARRELL (D)	3696	453	1782	160	13	6104	53.61%
Write-in Votes	2	0	0	1	0	3	0.03%

State Senate 41 / Senado Estatal 41

	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	10	10	10	10	10	10	
Precincts Reporting	10	10	10	10	10	10	100.0 %
S. HENSON (I) D	5394	738	2918	1292	31	10373	97.28%
Write-in Votes	151	25	78	35	1	290	2.72%

State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45

	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	34	34	34	34	34	34	
Precincts Reporting	34	34	34	34	34	34	100.0 %
R. S. UNTERMAN (I) R	22518	2147	10769	8660	219	44313	57.99%
J. RODGERS (D)	15091	2328	6748	7688	182	32037	41.93%
Write-in Votes	35	4	11	11	2	63	0.08%

State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48

	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	20	20	20	20	20	20	
Precincts Reporting	20	20	20	20	20	20	100.0 %
M. REEVES (R)	10362	1395	3726	3479	45	19007	44.82%
Z. KARINSHAK (D)	10977	2053	6060	4194	87	23371	55.11%
Write-in Votes	17	3	9	3	1	33	0.08%

State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55

	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	20	20	20	20	20	20	
Precincts Reporting	20	20	20	20	20	20	100.0 %
A. D. JACKSON (R)	6403	774	1540	4280	52	13049	29.66%
G. S. BUTLER (I) D	12694	1909	2539	13562	228	30932	70.30%
Write-in Votes	11	3	1	6	0	21	0.05%

Election Summary Report
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Summary For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Informe Resumido de las Elecciones
Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

Registered Voters 525568 - Cards Cast 338125 64.34% Num. Report Precinct 157 - Num. Reporting 157 100.00%

State House 81 / Camara Estatal 81

	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	1	1	1	1	1	1	
Precincts Reporting	1	1	1	1	1	1	100.0 %
E. DIEHL (R)	177	24	84	7	0	292	27.16%
S. HOLCOMB (I) D	451	67	235	29	0	782	72.74%
Write-in Votes	1	0	0	0	0	1	0.09%

State House 93 / Camara Estatal 93

	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	7	7	7	7	7	7	
Precincts Reporting	7	7	7	7	7	7	100.0 %
D. KENDRICK (I) D	5925	1005	648	7515	132	15225	97.98%
Write-in Votes	139	25	17	124	9	314	2.02%

State House 94 / Camara Estatal 94

	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	8	8	8	8	8	8	
Precincts Reporting	8	8	8	8	8	8	100.0 %
K. BENNETT (I) D	3792	477	1910	2179	23	8381	96.83%
Write-in Votes	130	22	74	46	2	274	3.17%

State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95

	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	14	14	14	14	14	14	
Precincts Reporting	14	14	14	14	14	14	100.0 %
S. HILTON (I) R	6720	746	2713	265	27	10471	47.32%
B. MOORE (D)	6308	972	3975	352	35	11642	52.61%
Write-in Votes	9	1	3	0	1	14	0.06%

State House 96 / Camara Estatal 96

	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	10	10	10	10	10	10	
Precincts Reporting	10	10	10	10	10	10	100.0 %
P. MARIN (I) D	5703	849	3561	647	50	10810	96.77%
Write-in Votes	183	33	117	23	5	361	3.23%

Election Summary Report
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Summary For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Informe Resumido de las Elecciones
Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

Registered Voters 525568 - Cards Cast 338125 64.34% Num. Report Precinct 157 - Num. Reporting 157 100.00%

State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97

	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	13	13	13	13	13	13	
Precincts Reporting	13	13	13	13	13	13	100.0 %
B. RICH (R)	6880	880	1347	4388	43	13538	55.82%
A. YAQOOB (D)	4734	946	1688	3298	30	10696	44.11%
Write-in Votes	9	1	2	4	1	17	0.07%

State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98

	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	10	10	10	10	10	10	
Precincts Reporting	10	10	10	10	10	10	100.0 %
D. CLARK (I) R	8888	947	4988	2620	86	17529	95.19%
Write-in Votes	362	80	270	163	10	885	4.81%

State House 99 / Camara Estatal 99

	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	8	8	8	8	8	8	
Precincts Reporting	8	8	8	8	8	8	100.0 %
B. L. ROMERO (I) D	3864	481	2764	235	18	7362	98.00%
Write-in Votes	78	14	49	9	0	150	2.00%

State House 100 / Camara Estatal 100

	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	9	9	9	9	9	9	
Precincts Reporting	9	9	9	9	9	9	100.0 %
D. L. MCCLAIN (I) D	6011	785	2565	1256	51	10668	98.30%
Write-in Votes	99	29	40	14	2	184	1.70%

State House 101 / Camara Estatal 101

	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	12	12	12	12	12	12	
Precincts Reporting	12	12	12	12	12	12	100.0 %
V. CLARK (R)	4463	582	280	2695	7	8027	41.15%
S. PARK (I) D	5775	896	894	3861	33	11459	58.74%
Write-in Votes	11	3	1	5	1	21	0.11%

Election Summary Report
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Summary For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Informe Resumido de las Elecciones
Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

Registered Voters 525568 - Cards Cast 338125 64.34% Num. Report Precinct 157 - Num. Reporting 157 100.00%

State House 102 / Camara Estatal 102

	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	11	11	11	11	11	11	
Precincts Reporting	11	11	11	11	11	11	100.0 %
P. HASTINGS (R)	5379	540	866	3234	14	10033	48.53%
G. KENNARD (D)	5155	750	932	3756	24	10617	51.35%
Write-in Votes	15	4	2	1	2	24	0.12%

State House 103 / Camara Estatal 103

	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	7	7	7	7	7	7	
Precincts Reporting	7	7	7	7	7	7	100.0 %
T. BARR (I) R	5771	603	4240	811	9	11434	95.53%
Write-in Votes	228	51	185	69	2	535	4.47%

State House 104 / Camara Estatal 104

	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	11	11	11	11	11	11	
Precincts Reporting	11	11	11	11	11	11	100.0 %
C. EFSTRATION (I) R	6392	519	5710	853	19	13493	53.33%
A. STEPHENSON (D)	5179	690	3716	2188	17	11790	46.60%
Write-in Votes	9	0	3	3	1	16	0.06%

State House 105 / Camara Estatal 105

	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	10	10	10	10	10	10	
Precincts Reporting	10	10	10	10	10	10	100.0 %
D. SHELDON (R)	5230	527	766	3686	15	10224	41.60%
D. MCLEOD (D)	5853	937	580	6945	20	14335	58.33%
Write-in Votes	12	0	0	3	2	17	0.07%

State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106

	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	14	14	14	14	14	14	
Precincts Reporting	14	14	14	14	14	14	100.0 %
B. HARRELL (I) R	7937	980	875	5145	36	14973	89.43%
Write-in Votes	692	155	147	772	4	1770	10.57%

Election Summary Report
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Summary For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Informe Resumido de las Elecciones
Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

Registered Voters 525568 - Cards Cast 338125 64.34% Num. Report Precinct 157 - Num. Reporting 157 100.00%

State House 107 / Camara Estatal 107

	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	11	11	11	11	11	11	
Precincts Reporting	11	11	11	11	11	11	100.0 %
J. MIHOCI (R)	4965	561	485	2688	10	8709	41.17%
S. HUTCHINSON (D)	6215	863	867	4428	52	12425	58.73%
Write-in Votes	11	4	1	5	1	22	0.10%

State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108

	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	15	15	15	15	15	15	
Precincts Reporting	15	15	15	15	15	15	100.0 %
C. COX (I) R	5171	629	4180	589	16	10585	49.30%
J. CLARK (D)	4762	716	4744	606	49	10877	50.66%
Write-in Votes	5	0	3	1	1	10	0.05%

State House 114 / Camara Estatal 114

	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	2	2	2	2	2	2	
Precincts Reporting	2	2	2	2	2	2	100.0 %
T. KIRBY (I) R	1335	142	47	977	2	2503	90.95%
Write-in Votes	100	21	8	120	0	249	9.05%

Solicitor General / Procurador General

	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	157	157	157	157	157	157	
Precincts Reporting	157	157	157	157	157	157	100.0 %
R. M. SZABO (I) R	73521	8318	28934	29034	694	140501	45.51%
B. W. WHITESIDE (D)	78205	11319	32658	44506	1331	168019	54.42%
Write-in Votes	103	34	23	35	13	208	0.07%

County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2

	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	47	47	47	47	47	47	
Precincts Reporting	47	47	47	47	47	47	100.0 %
L. HOWARD (I) R	17537	1970	8834	2394	85	30820	45.50%
B. KU (D)	18456	2616	12801	2793	212	36878	54.44%
Write-in Votes	23	4	12	2	1	42	0.06%

Election Summary Report
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Summary For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Informe Resumido de las Elecciones
Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

Registered Voters 525568 - Cards Cast 338125 64.34% Num. Report Precinct 157 - Num. Reporting 157 100.00%

County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	39	39	39	39	39	39	
Precincts Reporting	39	39	39	39	39	39	100.0 %
J. HEARD (I) R	17416	1902	7464	6493	117	33392	45.38%
M. FOSQUE (D)	19435	2759	6158	11616	173	40141	54.55%
Write-in Votes	30	3	9	9	0	51	0.07%

Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	31	31	31	31	31	31	
Precincts Reporting	31	31	31	31	31	31	100.0 %
S. KNUDSEN (R)	16089	1581	7594	6183	89	31536	50.06%
W. TAYLOR (D)	14900	2269	5137	9007	105	31418	49.87%
Write-in Votes	24	5	9	9	0	47	0.07%

Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	41	41	41	41	41	41	
Precincts Reporting	41	41	41	41	41	41	100.0 %
C. STUDEBAKER (R)	15111	1758	6323	6038	107	29337	42.77%
E. BLAIR, JR (D)	17347	2515	8207	10932	237	39238	57.20%
Write-in Votes	13	1	5	5	1	25	0.04%

Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	157	157	157	157	157	157	
Precincts Reporting	157	157	157	157	157	157	100.0 %
DAVID VANLANDINGHAM	122247	15408	48168	54693	1544	242060	97.76%
Write-in Votes	2463	378	1065	1583	56	5545	2.24%

CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	157	157	157	157	157	157	
Precincts Reporting	157	157	157	157	157	157	100.0 %
YES	127898	17248	51867	62530	1708	261251	84.91%
NO	23919	2369	9403	10503	241	46435	15.09%

Election Summary Report
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Summary For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Informe Resumido de las Elecciones
Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

Registered Voters 525568 - Cards Cast 338125 64.34% Num. Report Precinct 157 - Num. Reporting 157 100.00%

CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	157	157	157	157	157	157	
Precincts Reporting	157	157	157	157	157	157	100.0 %
YES	106301	13692	41690	51339	1526	214548	71.38%
NO	42357	5383	18041	19850	399	86030	28.62%

CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	157	157	157	157	157	157	
Precincts Reporting	157	157	157	157	157	157	100.0 %
YES	95117	12266	37192	44273	1334	190182	63.99%
NO	52068	6697	21803	25887	581	107036	36.01%

CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	157	157	157	157	157	157	
Precincts Reporting	157	157	157	157	157	157	100.0 %
YES	123886	16808	50460	62442	1744	255340	83.37%
NO	27291	2478	10530	10373	261	50933	16.63%

CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	157	157	157	157	157	157	
Precincts Reporting	157	157	157	157	157	157	100.0 %
YES	110769	13987	43793	53523	1604	223676	74.27%
NO	38111	4955	16110	17931	370	77477	25.73%

REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	157	157	157	157	157	157	
Precincts Reporting	157	157	157	157	157	157	100.0 %
YES	84955	10551	33772	41218	1187	171683	58.71%
NO	59857	7758	24267	28183	695	120760	41.29%

REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	157	157	157	157	157	157	
Precincts Reporting	157	157	157	157	157	157	100.0 %
YES	118143	13613	47063	57519	1419	237757	79.71%
NO	30111	3853	12614	13659	272	60509	20.29%

Election Summary Report
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Summary For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Informe Resumido de las Elecciones
Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

Registered Voters 525568 - Cards Cast 338125 64.34% Num. Report Precinct 157 - Num. Reporting 157 100.00%

Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	157	157	157	157	157	157	
Precincts Reporting	157	157	157	157	157	157	100.0 %
YES	98493	12366	38841	45263	1282	196245	64.45%
NO	51984	6675	21911	27033	635	108238	35.55%

Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar	Polling	ABM	AIP	AIP2	PRO	Total	
Number of Precincts	157	157	157	157	157	157	
Precincts Reporting	157	157	157	157	157	157	100.0 %
YES	114543	14718	45325	56304	1582	232472	78.41%
NO	31968	3995	13060	14690	307	64020	21.59%

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-OS Status Report

Jan 15, 2019
4:10:00PM

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	3450		Absentee by Mail 1	0	Uploaded	2018/11/10 13:03:24.00
			3460		Absentee by Mail 2	0	Uploaded	2018/11/10 13:06:45.00
			3470		Absentee by Mail 3	0	Uploaded	2018/11/10 13:09:47.00
			3480		Absentee by Mail 4	1	Uploaded	2018/11/10 13:19:22.00
			3480		Absentee by Mail 4	0	Uploaded	2018/11/10 13:16:13.00
			3490		Absentee by Mail 5	2	Uploaded	2018/11/10 13:28:13.00
			3490		Absentee by Mail 5	1	Uploaded	2018/11/10 13:26:56.00
			3490		Absentee by Mail 5	0	Uploaded	2018/11/10 13:22:18.00
			3500		Absentee by Mail 6	0	Uploaded	2018/11/10 13:32:12.00
			3510		Absentee by Mail 7	0	Uploaded	2018/11/10 13:34:19.00
			3520		Absentee by Mail 8	0	Uploaded	2018/11/10 13:36:29.00
			3530		Absentee by Mail 9	0	Uploaded	2018/11/10 13:39:20.00
			3530		Absentee by Mail 9	1	Uploaded	2018/11/10 13:41:22.00
			3540		Absentee by Mail 10	0	Uploaded	2018/11/10 13:45:29.00
			3550		Absentee by Mail 11	0	Uploaded	2018/11/10 13:47:40.00
			3560		Absentee by Mail 12	2	Uploaded	2018/11/10 13:57:36.00
			3560		Absentee by Mail 12	1	Uploaded	2018/11/10 13:53:00.00
			3560		Absentee by Mail 12	0	Uploaded	2018/11/10 13:50:46.00
			3570		Absentee by Mail 13	0	Uploaded	2018/11/10 14:00:27.00
			3580		Absentee by Mail 14	2	Uploaded	2018/11/10 14:09:21.00
			3580		Absentee by Mail 14	1	Uploaded	2018/11/10 14:04:39.00
			3580		Absentee by Mail 14	0	Uploaded	2018/11/10 14:02:29.00
			3590		Absentee by Mail 15	0	Uploaded	2018/11/10 14:10:54.00
			3600		Absentee by Mail 16	0	Uploaded	2018/11/10 14:21:58.00
			3610		Absentee by Mail 17	0	Uploaded	2018/11/10 14:24:00.00
			3610		Absentee by Mail 17	1	Uploaded	2018/11/10 14:28:33.00
			3820		Absentee by Mail 18	1	Uploaded	2018/11/10 14:32:15.00
			3820		Absentee by Mail 18	0	Uploaded	2018/11/10 14:30:21.00
			3850		Absentee by Mail 19	0	Uploaded	2018/11/10 14:34:35.00
			3930		Provisional 1	0	Uploaded	2018/11/10 16:06:01.00
			3940		Provisional 2	0	Uploaded	2018/11/10 16:07:38.00
			3950		Provisional 3	0	Uploaded	2018/11/10 16:09:41.00
			3960		Provisional 4	0	Uploaded	2018/11/10 16:10:57.00
			3970		Provisional 5	0	Uploaded	2018/11/10 16:12:42.00
			3980		Provisional 6	0	Uploaded	2018/11/10 16:13:56.00
			3990		Provisional 7	0	Uploaded	2018/11/10 16:15:10.00
			4000		Provisional 8	0	Uploaded	2018/11/10 16:16:25.00
			4010		Provisional 9	0	Uploaded	2018/11/10 16:20:38.00
			4020		Provisional 10	0	Uploaded	2018/11/10 16:23:37.00
			4030		Provisional 11	0	Uploaded	2018/11/10 16:25:38.00
			4040		Provisional 12	0	Uploaded	2018/11/10 16:30:18.00

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-OS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	4050		Provisional 13	0	Uploaded	2018/11/10 16:31:58.00
			4060		Provisional 14	0	Uploaded	2018/11/10 16:33:50.00
			4070		Provisional 15	0	Uploaded	2018/11/10 16:35:34.00
			4080		Provisional 16	0	Uploaded	2018/11/10 16:37:59.00
			4090		Provisional 17	0	Uploaded	2018/11/10 16:39:38.00
			4100		Provisional 18	0	Uploaded	2018/11/10 16:42:57.00
			4110		Provisional 19	0	Uploaded	2018/11/10 16:45:07.00

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-OS Status Report

Jan 15, 2019
4:06:36PM

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	40		ABM 001-003	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 04:35:15.00
			40		ABM 001-003	1	Uploaded	2018/11/15 18:56:04.00
			60		PROV 001-015	1	Uploaded	2018/11/15 16:35:55.00
			60		PROV 001-015	0	Uploaded	2018/11/13 21:16:17.00
			1630		ABM 004-006	1	Uploaded	2018/11/15 18:56:36.00
			1630		ABM 004-006	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 04:35:46.00
			1640		ABM 007-009	1	Uploaded	2018/11/15 19:01:38.00
			1640		ABM 007-009	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 05:35:14.00
			1650		ABM 010-012	0	Uploaded	2018/11/15 18:57:06.00
			1650		ABM 010-012	1	Uploaded	2018/11/07 04:47:59.00
			1660		ABM 013-015	1	Uploaded	2018/11/15 18:55:38.00
			1660		ABM 013-015	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 04:36:11.00
			1670		ABM 016-018	1	Uploaded	2018/11/15 18:58:45.00
			1670		ABM 016-018	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 08:54:57.00
			1680		ABM 019-021	1	Uploaded	2018/11/15 18:53:37.00
			1680		ABM 019-021	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 05:01:56.00
			1690		ABM 022-024	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 11:10:17.00
			1690		ABM 022-024	1	Uploaded	2018/11/15 18:57:58.00
			1700		ABM 025-027	1	Uploaded	2018/11/15 18:52:47.00
			1700		ABM 025-027	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 10:35:21.00
			1710		ABM 028-030	1	Uploaded	2018/11/15 19:02:06.00
			1710		ABM 028-030	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 11:12:58.00
			1720		ABM 031-033	1	Uploaded	2018/11/07 11:56:47.00
			1720		ABM 031-033	0	Uploaded	2018/11/15 18:57:35.00
			1730		ABM 034-036	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 04:34:12.00
			1730		ABM 034-036	1	Uploaded	2018/11/15 18:55:14.00
			1740		ABM 037-039	1	Uploaded	2018/11/15 18:58:21.00
			1740		ABM 037-039	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 10:52:22.00
			1750		ABM 040-042	1	Uploaded	2018/11/15 18:54:23.00
			1750		ABM 040-042	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 12:50:21.00
			1760		ABM 043-045	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 05:01:35.00
			1760		ABM 043-045	1	Uploaded	2018/11/15 19:01:04.00
			1770		ABM 046-048	1	Uploaded	2018/11/15 18:53:58.00
			1770		ABM 046-048	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 10:10:26.00
			1780		ABM 049-051	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 05:02:23.00
			1780		ABM 049-051	1	Uploaded	2018/11/15 19:02:37.00
			1790		ABM 052-054	0	Uploaded	2018/11/15 19:21:25.00
			1790		ABM 052-054	1	Uploaded	2018/11/07 08:55:25.00
			1800		ABM 055-057	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 08:56:12.00
			1800		ABM 055-057	1	Uploaded	2018/11/15 19:02:59.00
			1810		ABM 058-060	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 09:05:21.00

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-OS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	1810		ABM 058-060	1	Uploaded	2018/11/15 18:51:55.00
			1820		ABM 061-063	0	Uploaded	2018/11/15 19:03:27.00
			1820		ABM 061-063	1	Uploaded	2018/11/07 04:33:19.00
			1830		ABM 064-066	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 12:49:05.00
			1830		ABM 064-066	1	Uploaded	2018/11/15 18:52:21.00
			1840		ABM 067-069	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 09:41:33.00
			1840		ABM 067-069	1	Uploaded	2018/11/15 18:48:42.00
			1850		ABM 070-072	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 08:55:49.00
			1850		ABM 070-072	1	Uploaded	2018/11/15 18:47:42.00
			1860		ABM 073-075	1	Uploaded	2018/11/15 18:46:20.00
			1860		ABM 073-075	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 05:46:17.00
			1870		ABM 076-078	1	Uploaded	2018/11/15 18:50:06.00
			1870		ABM 076-078	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 11:13:18.00
			1880		ABM 079-081	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 11:58:09.00
			1880		ABM 079-081	1	Uploaded	2018/11/15 18:50:30.00
			1890		ABM 082-084	1	Uploaded	2018/11/15 18:51:26.00
			1890		ABM 082-084	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 11:13:42.00
			1900		ABM 085-087	1	Uploaded	2018/11/15 18:49:43.00
			1900		ABM 085-087	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 10:10:58.00
			1910		ABM 088-090	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 12:00:10.00
			1910		ABM 088-090	1	Uploaded	2018/11/15 19:03:59.00
			1920		ABM 091-093	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 11:03:03.00
			1920		ABM 091-093	1	Uploaded	2018/11/15 18:41:59.00
			1930		ABM 094-096	1	Uploaded	2018/11/15 19:04:21.00
			1930		ABM 094-096	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 11:57:19.00
			1940		ABM 097-099	1	Uploaded	2018/11/15 18:49:11.00
			1940		ABM 097-099	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 13:21:13.00
			1950		ABM 100-102	1	Uploaded	2018/11/15 19:04:42.00
			1950		ABM 100-102	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 11:02:43.00
			1960		ABM 103-105	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 12:51:04.00
			1960		ABM 103-105	1	Uploaded	2018/11/15 18:45:22.00
			1970		ABM 106-108	1	Uploaded	2018/11/15 19:05:05.00
			1970		ABM 106-108	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 10:49:50.00
			1980		ABM 109-111	1	Uploaded	2018/11/15 19:05:29.00
			1980		ABM 109-111	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 04:32:44.00
			1990		ABM 112-114	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 09:41:02.00
			1990		ABM 112-114	1	Uploaded	2018/11/15 18:48:10.00
			2000		ABM 115-117	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 06:50:00.00
			2000		ABM 115-117	1	Uploaded	2018/11/15 18:54:47.00
			2010		ABM 118-120	1	Uploaded	2018/11/15 18:45:52.00
			2010		ABM 118-120	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 12:49:37.00

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-OS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	2020		ABM 121-123	1	Uploaded	2018/11/15 18:47:15.00
			2020		ABM 121-123	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 12:02:39.00
			2030		ABM 124-126	1	Uploaded	2018/11/15 18:46:42.00
			2030		ABM 124-126	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 06:06:49.00
			2040		ABM 127-129	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 05:03:16.00
			2040		ABM 127-129	1	Uploaded	2018/11/15 19:06:01.00
			2050		ABM 130-132	1	Uploaded	2018/11/15 18:42:38.00
			2050		ABM 130-132	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 12:03:15.00
			2060		ABM 133-135	1	Uploaded	2018/11/15 19:06:26.00
			2060		ABM 133-135	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 04:32:15.00
			2070		ABM 136-138	1	Uploaded	2018/11/15 18:44:02.00
			2070		ABM 136-138	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 11:09:33.00
			2080		ABM 139-141	1	Uploaded	2018/11/15 18:43:08.00
			2080		ABM 139-141	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 10:53:45.00
			2090		ABM 142-144	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 10:49:20.00
			2090		ABM 142-144	1	Uploaded	2018/11/15 18:44:56.00
			2100		ABM 145-147	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 13:25:16.00
			2100		ABM 145-147	1	Uploaded	2018/11/15 19:06:51.00
			2110		ABM 148-150	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 05:03:57.00
			2110		ABM 148-150	1	Uploaded	2018/11/15 18:44:27.00
			2120		ABM 151-153	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 05:22:18.00
			2120		ABM 151-153	1	Uploaded	2018/11/15 19:07:17.00
			2130		ABM 154-156	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 10:48:45.00
			2130		ABM 154-156	1	Uploaded	2018/11/15 18:43:38.00
			2140		PROV 016-030	0	Uploaded	2018/11/13 21:07:26.00
			2140		PROV 016-030	1	Uploaded	2018/11/15 16:37:04.00
			2150		PROV 031-045	0	Uploaded	2018/11/13 20:51:44.00
			2150		PROV 031-045	1	Uploaded	2018/11/15 16:38:08.00
			2160		PROV 046-060	1	Uploaded	2018/11/15 16:39:03.00
			2160		PROV 046-060	0	Uploaded	2018/11/13 21:00:39.00
			2170		PROV 061-075	1	Uploaded	2018/11/15 16:40:08.00
			2170		PROV 061-075	0	Uploaded	2018/11/13 20:50:29.00
			2180		PROV 076-090	1	Uploaded	2018/11/15 16:41:03.00
			2180		PROV 076-090	0	Uploaded	2018/11/13 20:48:30.00
			2190		PROV 091-105	1	Uploaded	2018/11/15 16:42:00.00
			2190		PROV 091-105	0	Uploaded	2018/11/13 20:53:11.00
			2200		PROV 106-120	0	Uploaded	2018/11/13 20:55:56.00
			2200		PROV 106-120	1	Uploaded	2018/11/15 16:42:55.00
			2210		PROV 121-135	1	Uploaded	2018/11/15 16:43:52.00
			2210		PROV 121-135	0	Uploaded	2018/11/13 20:57:32.00
			2220		PROV 136-150	1	Uploaded	2018/11/15 16:45:22.00

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-OS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	2220		PROV 136-150	0	Uploaded	2018/11/13 20:54:31.00
			2230		PROV 151-156	0	Uploaded	2018/11/13 20:49:06.00
			2230		PROV 151-156	1	Uploaded	2018/11/15 16:46:07.00

Statement of Votes Cast
Appling County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
OFFICIALCOMPLETE

	TURN OUT		
	Reg. Voters	Cards Cast	% Turnout
Jurisdiction Wide			
1B			
Polling	1200	336	28.00%
Absentee by Mail	1200	70	5.83%
Advance in Person	1200	375	31.25%
Provisional	1200	2	0.17%
Total	1200	783	65.25%
1C			
Polling	789	261	33.08%
Absentee by Mail	789	37	4.69%
Advance in Person	789	242	30.67%
Provisional	789	0	0.00%
Total	789	540	68.44%
2			
Polling	2095	531	25.35%
Absentee by Mail	2095	131	6.25%
Advance in Person	2095	502	23.96%
Provisional	2095	0	0.00%
Total	2095	1164	55.56%
3A1			
Polling	750	293	39.07%
Absentee by Mail	750	38	5.07%
Advance in Person	750	207	27.60%
Provisional	750	1	0.13%
Total	750	539	71.87%
3C			
Polling	1475	322	21.83%
Absentee by Mail	1475	83	5.63%
Advance in Person	1475	530	35.93%
Provisional	1475	0	0.00%
Total	1475	935	63.39%
4B			
Polling	788	314	39.85%
Absentee by Mail	788	33	4.19%
Advance in Person	788	239	30.33%
Provisional	788	0	0.00%
Total	788	586	74.37%
4D			
Polling	1412	380	26.91%
Absentee by Mail	1412	51	3.61%
Advance in Person	1412	545	38.60%
Provisional	1412	0	0.00%
Total	1412	976	69.12%
5A			
Polling	1058	243	22.97%
Absentee by Mail	1058	43	4.06%
Advance in Person	1058	351	33.18%
Provisional	1058	0	0.00%
Total	1058	637	60.21%
5B			
Polling	1046	306	29.25%
Absentee by Mail	1046	48	4.59%
Advance in Person	1046	313	29.92%
Provisional	1046	0	0.00%
Total	1046	667	63.77%

Statement of Votes Cast
Appling County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
OFFICIALCOMPLETE

	TURN OUT		
	Reg Voters	Cards Cast	% Turnout
Total			
Polling	10613	2986	28.14%
Absentee by Mail	10613	534	5.03%
Advance in Person	10613	3304	31.13%
Provisional	10613	3	0.03%
Total	10613	6827	64.33%

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Jan 15, 2019
4:10:23PM

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	70	060607	01A	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:25:32.00
			70	060607	01A	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:25:52.00
			70	060607	01A	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:25:49.00
			70	060607	01A	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:25:46.00
			70	060607	01A	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:25:42.00
			70	060607	01A	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:25:39.00
			70	060607	01A	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:25:36.00
			70	060607	01A	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:25:56.00
			80	060204	01B	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:29:30.00
			80	060204	01B	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:29:12.00
			80	060204	01B	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:29:22.00
			80	060204	01B	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:29:19.00
			80	060204	01B	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:29:37.00
			80	060204	01B	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:29:26.00
			80	060204	01B	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:29:40.00
			80	060204	01B	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:29:16.00
			80	060204	01B	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:29:33.00
			90	060174	01C & 01S	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:08:12.00
			90	060174	01C & 01S	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:08:16.00
			90	060174	01C & 01S	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:08:09.00
			90	060174	01C & 01S	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:08:06.00
			90	060174	01C & 01S	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:08:02.00
			90	060174	01C & 01S	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:08:26.00
			90	060174	01C & 01S	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:08:22.00
			90	060174	01C & 01S	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:08:19.00
			100	060113	01D & 01E	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:26:56.00
			100	060113	01D & 01E	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:27:00.00
			100	060113	01D & 01E	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:26:52.00
			100	060113	01D & 01E	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:27:47.00
			100	060113	01D & 01E	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:26:45.00
			100	060113	01D & 01E	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:26:41.00
			100	060113	01D & 01E	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:27:51.00
			100	060113	01D & 01E	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:26:49.00
			100	060113	01D & 01E	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:27:03.00
			120	060017	01F	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:30:13.00
			120	060017	01F	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:36:12.00
			120	060017	01F	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:30:17.00
			130	060073	01G	4	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:41:22.00
			130	060073	01G	1	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:41:19.00
			130	060073	01G	3	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:41:16.00

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	130	060073	01G	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:41:26.00
			130	060073	01G	2	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:41:12.00
			131	060065	01H, 02S, 05B, 05C & 05K	4	Uploaded	2018/11/07 02:36:26.00
			131	060065	01H, 02S, 05B, 05C & 05K	10	Uploaded	2018/11/07 02:36:37.00
			131	060065	01H, 02S, 05B, 05C & 05K	9	Uploaded	2018/11/07 02:36:13.00
			131	060065	01H, 02S, 05B, 05C & 05K	8	Uploaded	2018/11/07 02:36:33.00
			131	060065	01H, 02S, 05B, 05C & 05K	7	Uploaded	2018/11/07 02:36:30.00
			131	060065	01H, 02S, 05B, 05C & 05K	12	Uploaded	2018/11/07 02:36:20.00
			131	060065	01H, 02S, 05B, 05C & 05K	5	Uploaded	2018/11/07 02:35:59.00
			131	060065	01H, 02S, 05B, 05C & 05K	13	Uploaded	2018/11/07 02:36:06.00
			131	060065	01H, 02S, 05B, 05C & 05K	3	Uploaded	2018/11/07 02:36:43.00
			131	060065	01H, 02S, 05B, 05C & 05K	2	Uploaded	2018/11/07 02:36:09.00
			131	060065	01H, 02S, 05B, 05C & 05K	1	Uploaded	2018/11/07 02:36:40.00
			131	060065	01H, 02S, 05B, 05C & 05K	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 02:36:03.00
			131	060065	01H, 02S, 05B, 05C & 05K	6	Uploaded	2018/11/07 02:36:16.00
			131	060065	01H, 02S, 05B, 05C & 05K	11	Uploaded	2018/11/07 02:36:23.00
			140	060761	01J & 12S	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:06:40.00
			140	060761	01J & 12S	1	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:06:50.00
			140	060761	01J & 12S	2	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:06:53.00
			140	060761	01J & 12S	3	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:06:26.00
			140	060761	01J & 12S	4	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:06:30.00
			140	060761	01J & 12S	5	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:06:46.00
			140	060761	01J & 12S	6	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:06:43.00
			140	060761	01J & 12S	7	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:06:23.00
			140	060761	01J & 12S	8	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:06:36.00
			140	060761	01J & 12S	9	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:06:33.00
			150	060542	01P	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:18:55.00
			150	060542	01P	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:18:51.00
			150	060542	01P	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:18:48.00
			170	060655	01R	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:20:28.00
			170	060655	01R	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:31:25.00
			170	060655	01R	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:20:25.00
			190	060051	01T	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:35:44.00
			190	060051	01T	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:35:38.00
			190	060051	01T	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:35:41.00
			190	060051	01T	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:35:48.00
			200	060006	02A, 02L1 & 02L2	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:49:20.00
			200	060006	02A, 02L1 & 02L2	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:48:29.00
			200	060006	02A, 02L1 & 02L2	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:49:05.00
			200	060006	02A, 02L1 & 02L2	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:49:02.00

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	200	060006	02A, 02L1 & 02L2	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:48:57.00
			200	060006	02A, 02L1 & 02L2	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:48:53.00
			200	060006	02A, 02L1 & 02L2	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:48:40.00
			200	060006	02A, 02L1 & 02L2	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:48:50.00
			200	060006	02A, 02L1 & 02L2	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:49:23.00
			200	060006	02A, 02L1 & 02L2	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:49:16.00
			200	060006	02A, 02L1 & 02L2	16	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:49:40.00
			200	060006	02A, 02L1 & 02L2	22	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:50:01.00
			200	060006	02A, 02L1 & 02L2	21	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:49:58.00
			200	060006	02A, 02L1 & 02L2	20	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:49:54.00
			200	060006	02A, 02L1 & 02L2	19	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:49:51.00
			200	060006	02A, 02L1 & 02L2	24	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:50:08.00
			200	060006	02A, 02L1 & 02L2	17	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:49:44.00
			200	060006	02A, 02L1 & 02L2	15	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:49:37.00
			200	060006	02A, 02L1 & 02L2	14	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:49:34.00
			200	060006	02A, 02L1 & 02L2	13	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:49:30.00
			200	060006	02A, 02L1 & 02L2	12	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:48:25.00
			200	060006	02A, 02L1 & 02L2	11	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:49:27.00
			200	060006	02A, 02L1 & 02L2	10	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:48:22.00
			200	060006	02A, 02L1 & 02L2	18	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:49:47.00
			200	060006	02A, 02L1 & 02L2	23	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:50:04.00
			210	060236	02B & 05D	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:31:12.00
			210	060236	02B & 05D	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:02:52.00
			210	060236	02B & 05D	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:02:49.00
			210	060236	02B & 05D	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:02:56.00
			210	060236	02B & 05D	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:02:24.00
			210	060236	02B & 05D	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:02:34.00
			210	060236	02B & 05D	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:02:30.00
			210	060236	02B & 05D	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:02:27.00
			210	060236	02B & 05D	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:02:21.00
			220	060269	02C & 05F	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:35:48.00
			220	060269	02C & 05F	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:35:44.00
			220	060269	02C & 05F	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:35:41.00
			220	060269	02C & 05F	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:35:38.00
			220	060269	02C & 05F	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:35:34.00
			220	060269	02C & 05F	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:35:31.00
			230	060640	02D, 05J & 06F	17	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:58:17.00
			230	060640	02D, 05J & 06F	2	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:57:53.00
			230	060640	02D, 05J & 06F	3	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:57:57.00
			230	060640	02D, 05J & 06F	4	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:58:00.00

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	230	060640	02D, 05J & 06F	5	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:57:50.00
			230	060640	02D, 05J & 06F	6	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:57:22.00
			230	060640	02D, 05J & 06F	7	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:57:26.00
			230	060640	02D, 05J & 06F	8	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:57:29.00
			230	060640	02D, 05J & 06F	9	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:57:32.00
			230	060640	02D, 05J & 06F	10	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:57:36.00
			230	060640	02D, 05J & 06F	11	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:57:39.00
			230	060640	02D, 05J & 06F	12	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:57:43.00
			230	060640	02D, 05J & 06F	13	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:58:03.00
			230	060640	02D, 05J & 06F	14	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:58:07.00
			230	060640	02D, 05J & 06F	15	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:58:10.00
			230	060640	02D, 05J & 06F	16	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:58:14.00
			230	060640	02D, 05J & 06F	18	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:58:20.00
			230	060640	02D, 05J & 06F	1	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:57:19.00
			230	060640	02D, 05J & 06F	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:57:46.00
			240	060154	02E	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:25:51.00
			240	060154	02E	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:25:47.00
			240	060154	02E	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:25:44.00
			240	060154	02E	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:25:54.00
			240	060154	02E	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:25:41.00
			250	060781	02F1 & 02F2	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:36:14.00
			250	060781	02F1 & 02F2	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:36:20.00
			250	060781	02F1 & 02F2	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:36:10.00
			250	060781	02F1 & 02F2	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:35:53.00
			250	060781	02F1 & 02F2	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:36:04.00
			250	060781	02F1 & 02F2	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:36:00.00
			250	060781	02F1 & 02F2	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:36:07.00
			250	060781	02F1 & 02F2	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:35:57.00
			250	060781	02F1 & 02F2	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:36:17.00
			270	060782	02G	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:06:45.00
			270	060782	02G	1	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:06:20.00
			270	060782	02G	2	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:06:24.00
			270	060782	02G	3	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:06:27.00
			270	060782	02G	4	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:06:31.00
			270	060782	02G	5	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:06:34.00
			270	060782	02G	6	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:06:41.00
			270	060782	02G	7	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:06:38.00
			280	060291	02J, 02K & 06G	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:25:27.00
			280	060291	02J, 02K & 06G	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:25:50.00
			280	060291	02J, 02K & 06G	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:25:31.00

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	280	060291	02J, 02K & 06G	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:25:34.00
			280	060291	02J, 02K & 06G	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:25:37.00
			280	060291	02J, 02K & 06G	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:25:41.00
			280	060291	02J, 02K & 06G	13	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:26:09.00
			280	060291	02J, 02K & 06G	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:25:47.00
			280	060291	02J, 02K & 06G	14	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:26:12.00
			280	060291	02J, 02K & 06G	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:25:44.00
			280	060291	02J, 02K & 06G	12	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:26:03.00
			280	060291	02J, 02K & 06G	11	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:26:06.00
			280	060291	02J, 02K & 06G	10	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:26:00.00
			280	060291	02J, 02K & 06G	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:25:57.00
			280	060291	02J, 02K & 06G	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:25:54.00
			320	060273	02W, 03F, 06L1 & 06L2	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:39:15.00
			320	060273	02W, 03F, 06L1 & 06L2	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:39:08.00
			320	060273	02W, 03F, 06L1 & 06L2	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:38:48.00
			320	060273	02W, 03F, 06L1 & 06L2	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:39:12.00
			320	060273	02W, 03F, 06L1 & 06L2	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:39:19.00
			320	060273	02W, 03F, 06L1 & 06L2	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:39:01.00
			320	060273	02W, 03F, 06L1 & 06L2	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:38:58.00
			320	060273	02W, 03F, 06L1 & 06L2	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:38:54.00
			320	060273	02W, 03F, 06L1 & 06L2	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:38:51.00
			320	060273	02W, 03F, 06L1 & 06L2	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:39:05.00
			340	060803	03A	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:38:02.00
			340	060803	03A	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:49:49.00
			340	060803	03A	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:49:45.00
			340	060803	03A	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:49:42.00
			340	060803	03A	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:49:52.00
			360	060737	03B, 03H & 09I	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:31:29.00
			360	060737	03B, 03H & 09I	1	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:39:03.00
			360	060737	03B, 03H & 09I	2	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:39:07.00
			360	060737	03B, 03H & 09I	3	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:39:00.00
			360	060737	03B, 03H & 09I	4	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:39:16.00
			360	060737	03B, 03H & 09I	5	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:38:57.00
			380	060283	03C	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:25:32.00
			380	060283	03C	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:25:29.00
			380	060283	03C	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:25:38.00
			380	060283	03C	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:25:35.00
			390	060248	03D, 04A & 04B	4	Uploaded	2018/11/07 02:29:07.00
			390	060248	03D, 04A & 04B	10	Uploaded	2018/11/07 02:29:26.00
			390	060248	03D, 04A & 04B	9	Uploaded	2018/11/07 02:29:23.00

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	390	060248	03D, 04A & 04B	8	Uploaded	2018/11/07 02:29:03.00
			390	060248	03D, 04A & 04B	7	Uploaded	2018/11/07 02:29:16.00
			390	060248	03D, 04A & 04B	5	Uploaded	2018/11/07 02:29:10.00
			390	060248	03D, 04A & 04B	3	Uploaded	2018/11/07 02:29:20.00
			390	060248	03D, 04A & 04B	2	Uploaded	2018/11/07 02:29:36.00
			390	060248	03D, 04A & 04B	1	Uploaded	2018/11/07 02:29:33.00
			390	060248	03D, 04A & 04B	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 02:29:30.00
			390	060248	03D, 04A & 04B	6	Uploaded	2018/11/07 02:29:13.00
			400	060117	03E	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:34:05.00
			400	060117	03E	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:34:15.00
			400	060117	03E	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:34:08.00
			400	060117	03E	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:34:21.00
			400	060117	03E	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:34:18.00
			400	060117	03E	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:34:12.00
			420	060127	03G	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:53:08.00
			420	060127	03G	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:53:11.00
			420	060127	03G	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:53:15.00
			421	060754	03I & 04F	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:48:08.00
			421	060754	03I & 04F	10	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:48:17.00
			421	060754	03I & 04F	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:48:14.00
			421	060754	03I & 04F	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:48:20.00
			421	060754	03I & 04F	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:48:04.00
			421	060754	03I & 04F	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:48:11.00
			421	060754	03I & 04F	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:48:01.00
			421	060754	03I & 04F	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:47:58.00
			421	060754	03I & 04F	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:48:27.00
			421	060754	03I & 04F	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:48:24.00
			421	060754	03I & 04F	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:47:55.00
			440	060093	03L	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:31:35.00
			440	060093	03L	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:31:28.00
			440	060093	03L	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:31:31.00
			450	060201	03M	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:25:51.00
			450	060201	03M	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:25:54.00
			450	060201	03M	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:25:58.00
			460	060143	03N	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:49:02.00
			460	060143	03N	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:48:50.00
			460	060143	03N	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:48:53.00
			460	060143	03N	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:48:31.00
			460	060143	03N	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:48:57.00
			470	060013	03P1A & 03P2	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:38:45.00

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	470	060013	03P1A & 03P2	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:38:25.00
			470	060013	03P1A & 03P2	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:38:48.00
			470	060013	03P1A & 03P2	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:38:42.00
			470	060013	03P1A & 03P2	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:38:38.00
			470	060013	03P1A & 03P2	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:38:21.00
			470	060013	03P1A & 03P2	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:38:32.00
			470	060013	03P1A & 03P2	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:38:29.00
			470	060013	03P1A & 03P2	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:38:35.00
			500	060028	03S & 10F	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:48:03.00
			500	060028	03S & 10F	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:48:00.00
			500	060028	03S & 10F	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:47:56.00
			500	060028	03S & 10F	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:48:07.00
			510	060287	03T & 04J	2	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:11:26.00
			510	060287	03T & 04J	3	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:11:29.00
			510	060287	03T & 04J	4	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:11:32.00
			510	060287	03T & 04J	5	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:11:36.00
			510	060287	03T & 04J	6	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:11:39.00
			510	060287	03T & 04J	1	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:11:22.00
			510	060287	03T & 04J	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:11:43.00
			550	060180	04C & 04D	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:26:28.00
			550	060180	04C & 04D	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:26:18.00
			550	060180	04C & 04D	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:26:21.00
			550	060180	04C & 04D	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:26:24.00
			570	060202	04G & 04M	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:28:05.00
			570	060202	04G & 04M	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:28:01.00
			570	060202	04G & 04M	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:27:58.00
			570	060202	04G & 04M	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:27:55.00
			580	060665	04I	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:44:34.00
			580	060665	04I	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:44:40.00
			580	060665	04I	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:44:31.00
			580	060665	04I	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:44:28.00
			580	060665	04I	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:44:25.00
			580	060665	04I	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:44:22.00
			580	060665	04I	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:44:19.00
			580	060665	04I	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:44:37.00
			590	060002	04K	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:48:11.00
			590	060002	04K	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:48:08.00
			590	060002	04K	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:48:04.00
			590	060002	04K	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:48:01.00
			590	060002	04K	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:48:15.00

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	590	060002	04K	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:47:58.00
			600	060298	04L	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:34:02.00
			600	060298	04L	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:34:12.00
			600	060298	04L	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:34:05.00
			600	060298	04L	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:34:09.00
			620	060260	04S	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:33:14.00
			620	060260	04S	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:33:10.00
			620	060260	04S	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:33:07.00
			620	060260	04S	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:33:03.00
			620	060260	04S	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:33:00.00
			630	060286	04T	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:31:58.00
			630	060286	04T	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:32:01.00
			630	060286	04T	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:32:05.00
			635	060161	04V	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:37:49.00
			635	060161	04V	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:37:39.00
			635	060161	04V	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:37:45.00
			635	060161	04V	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:37:42.00
			635	060161	04V	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:37:55.00
			635	060161	04V	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:37:59.00
			635	060161	04V	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:37:52.00
			640	060209	04W	4	Uploaded	2018/11/07 02:29:24.00
			640	060209	04W	3	Uploaded	2018/11/07 02:29:20.00
			640	060209	04W	2	Uploaded	2018/11/07 02:29:17.00
			640	060209	04W	1	Uploaded	2018/11/07 02:29:14.00
			640	060209	04W	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 02:29:27.00
			650	060208	04X1, 04X2 & 10M	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:29:29.00
			650	060208	04X1, 04X2 & 10M	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:29:09.00
			650	060208	04X1, 04X2 & 10M	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:29:12.00
			650	060208	04X1, 04X2 & 10M	12	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:29:22.00
			650	060208	04X1, 04X2 & 10M	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:29:25.00
			650	060208	04X1, 04X2 & 10M	10	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:29:15.00
			650	060208	04X1, 04X2 & 10M	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:28:49.00
			650	060208	04X1, 04X2 & 10M	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:29:06.00
			650	060208	04X1, 04X2 & 10M	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:29:02.00
			650	060208	04X1, 04X2 & 10M	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:28:59.00
			650	060208	04X1, 04X2 & 10M	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:28:56.00
			650	060208	04X1, 04X2 & 10M	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:28:52.00
			650	060208	04X1, 04X2 & 10M	11	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:29:19.00
			670	060560	05A1 & 05A2	6	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:55:17.00
			670	060560	05A1 & 05A2	12	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:55:59.00

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	670	060560	05A1 & 05A2	11	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:55:56.00
			670	060560	05A1 & 05A2	10	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:55:52.00
			670	060560	05A1 & 05A2	9	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:55:49.00
			670	060560	05A1 & 05A2	7	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:55:42.00
			670	060560	05A1 & 05A2	5	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:55:34.00
			670	060560	05A1 & 05A2	4	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:55:31.00
			670	060560	05A1 & 05A2	3	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:55:27.00
			670	060560	05A1 & 05A2	2	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:55:24.00
			670	060560	05A1 & 05A2	1	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:55:20.00
			670	060560	05A1 & 05A2	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:55:38.00
			670	060560	05A1 & 05A2	8	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:55:45.00
			720	060529	06B & 06J	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:56:37.00
			720	060529	06B & 06J	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:56:06.00
			720	060529	06B & 06J	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:56:10.00
			720	060529	06B & 06J	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:56:20.00
			720	060529	06B & 06J	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:56:34.00
			720	060529	06B & 06J	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:56:24.00
			720	060529	06B & 06J	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:56:17.00
			720	060529	06B & 06J	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:56:27.00
			720	060529	06B & 06J	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:56:13.00
			720	060529	06B & 06J	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:56:30.00
			730	060586	06D & 06E	11	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:53:02.00
			730	060586	06D & 06E	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:52:45.00
			730	060586	06D & 06E	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:53:12.00
			730	060586	06D & 06E	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:52:31.00
			730	060586	06D & 06E	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:53:32.00
			730	060586	06D & 06E	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:52:41.00
			730	060586	06D & 06E	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:52:38.00
			730	060586	06D & 06E	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:53:29.00
			730	060586	06D & 06E	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:52:24.00
			730	060586	06D & 06E	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:53:09.00
			730	060586	06D & 06E	17	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:52:17.00
			730	060586	06D & 06E	23	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:52:58.00
			730	060586	06D & 06E	22	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:53:15.00
			730	060586	06D & 06E	21	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:52:21.00
			730	060586	06D & 06E	20	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:52:52.00
			730	060586	06D & 06E	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:52:28.00
			730	060586	06D & 06E	18	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:53:26.00
			730	060586	06D & 06E	10	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:52:34.00
			730	060586	06D & 06E	16	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:52:55.00

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	730	060586	06D & 06E	15	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:53:19.00
			730	060586	06D & 06E	14	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:53:05.00
			730	060586	06D & 06E	13	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:52:14.00
			730	060586	06D & 06E	12	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:52:48.00
			730	060586	06D & 06E	19	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:53:22.00
			780	060157	06I, 07E, 07J & 07N	1	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:31:50.00
			780	060157	06I, 07E, 07J & 07N	2	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:32:07.00
			780	060157	06I, 07E, 07J & 07N	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:31:07.00
			780	060157	06I, 07E, 07J & 07N	9	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:32:00.00
			780	060157	06I, 07E, 07J & 07N	15	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:31:20.00
			780	060157	06I, 07E, 07J & 07N	14	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:31:17.00
			780	060157	06I, 07E, 07J & 07N	13	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:31:13.00
			780	060157	06I, 07E, 07J & 07N	12	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:31:10.00
			780	060157	06I, 07E, 07J & 07N	17	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:31:30.00
			780	060157	06I, 07E, 07J & 07N	10	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:32:04.00
			780	060157	06I, 07E, 07J & 07N	18	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:31:33.00
			780	060157	06I, 07E, 07J & 07N	8	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:31:57.00
			780	060157	06I, 07E, 07J & 07N	7	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:31:47.00
			780	060157	06I, 07E, 07J & 07N	6	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:31:43.00
			780	060157	06I, 07E, 07J & 07N	5	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:31:03.00
			780	060157	06I, 07E, 07J & 07N	4	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:32:10.00
			780	060157	06I, 07E, 07J & 07N	3	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:31:36.00
			780	060157	06I, 07E, 07J & 07N	11	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:31:53.00
			780	060157	06I, 07E, 07J & 07N	16	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:31:23.00
			780	060157	06I, 07E, 07J & 07N	21	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:31:27.00
			780	060157	06I, 07E, 07J & 07N	20	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:31:40.00
			780	060157	06I, 07E, 07J & 07N	19	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:30:59.00
			785	060310	06N, 06Q & 07H	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:55:41.00
			785	060310	06N, 06Q & 07H	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:55:45.00
			785	060310	06N, 06Q & 07H	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:55:48.00
			785	060310	06N, 06Q & 07H	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:55:52.00
			785	060310	06N, 06Q & 07H	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:55:55.00
			785	060310	06N, 06Q & 07H	10	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:55:21.00
			785	060310	06N, 06Q & 07H	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:55:28.00
			785	060310	06N, 06Q & 07H	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:55:18.00
			785	060310	06N, 06Q & 07H	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:55:25.00
			785	060310	06N, 06Q & 07H	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:51:16.00
			785	060310	06N, 06Q & 07H	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:55:31.00
			810	060106	06R	3	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:12:56.00
			810	060106	06R	2	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:13:00.00

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	810	060106	06R	1	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:13:03.00
			810	060106	06R	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:13:07.00
			830	060612	07A	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:07:25.00
			830	060612	07A	10	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:07:29.00
			830	060612	07A	11	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:07:15.00
			830	060612	07A	12	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:07:22.00
			830	060612	07A	13	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:07:32.00
			830	060612	07A	14	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:07:36.00
			830	060612	07A	15	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:07:39.00
			830	060612	07A	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:07:11.00
			830	060612	07A	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:07:18.00
			830	060612	07A	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:07:08.00
			830	060612	07A	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:07:01.00
			830	060612	07A	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:07:04.00
			830	060612	07A	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:06:58.00
			830	060612	07A	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:06:51.00
			830	060612	07A	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:06:47.00
			830	060612	07A	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:06:54.00
			840	060217	07B & 07C	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:37:23.00
			840	060217	07B & 07C	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:36:56.00
			840	060217	07B & 07C	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:36:59.00
			840	060217	07B & 07C	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:37:03.00
			840	060217	07B & 07C	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:37:06.00
			840	060217	07B & 07C	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:37:09.00
			840	060217	07B & 07C	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:37:13.00
			840	060217	07B & 07C	14	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:37:39.00
			840	060217	07B & 07C	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:37:16.00
			840	060217	07B & 07C	15	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:37:42.00
			840	060217	07B & 07C	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:36:53.00
			840	060217	07B & 07C	13	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:37:36.00
			840	060217	07B & 07C	12	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:37:32.00
			840	060217	07B & 07C	11	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:37:19.00
			840	060217	07B & 07C	10	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:37:29.00
			840	060217	07B & 07C	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:37:26.00
			860	060593	07D & 08M	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:44:21.00
			860	060593	07D & 08M	14	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:43:58.00
			860	060593	07D & 08M	13	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:44:01.00
			860	060593	07D & 08M	12	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:44:05.00
			860	060593	07D & 08M	11	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:44:08.00
			860	060593	07D & 08M	10	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:43:48.00

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	860	060593	07D & 08M	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:43:55.00
			860	060593	07D & 08M	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:44:18.00
			860	060593	07D & 08M	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:44:15.00
			860	060593	07D & 08M	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:44:31.00
			860	060593	07D & 08M	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:44:24.00
			860	060593	07D & 08M	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:44:28.00
			860	060593	07D & 08M	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:44:11.00
			860	060593	07D & 08M	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:44:34.00
			860	060593	07D & 08M	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:43:51.00
			880	060039	07F & 08L	5	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:14:29.00
			880	060039	07F & 08L	4	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:13:40.00
			880	060039	07F & 08L	3	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:14:10.00
			880	060039	07F & 08L	2	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:13:46.00
			880	060039	07F & 08L	7	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:14:23.00
			880	060039	07F & 08L	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:13:50.00
			880	060039	07F & 08L	8	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:14:13.00
			880	060039	07F & 08L	1	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:14:26.00
			880	060039	07F & 08L	15	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:14:19.00
			880	060039	07F & 08L	6	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:13:57.00
			880	060039	07F & 08L	16	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:13:43.00
			880	060039	07F & 08L	14	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:13:53.00
			880	060039	07F & 08L	13	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:14:03.00
			880	060039	07F & 08L	12	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:14:00.00
			880	060039	07F & 08L	11	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:14:06.00
			880	060039	07F & 08L	10	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:13:37.00
			880	060039	07F & 08L	9	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:14:16.00
			940	060544	07M	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:31:27.00
			940	060544	07M	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:31:23.00
			940	060544	07M	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:31:37.00
			940	060544	07M	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:31:34.00
			940	060544	07M	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:31:30.00
			970	060140	08A	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:33:51.00
			970	060140	08A	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:33:48.00
			970	060140	08A	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:34:04.00
			970	060140	08A	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:34:01.00
			970	060140	08A	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:33:55.00
			970	060140	08A	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:34:08.00
			970	060140	08A	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:33:58.00
			980	060045	08B & 08C	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:35:42.00
			980	060045	08B & 08C	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:35:29.00

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	980	060045	08B & 08C	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:35:49.00
			980	060045	08B & 08C	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:35:52.00
			980	060045	08B & 08C	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:35:55.00
			980	060045	08B & 08C	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:35:58.00
			980	060045	08B & 08C	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:36:01.00
			980	060045	08B & 08C	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:35:45.00
			980	060045	08B & 08C	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:35:33.00
			980	060045	08B & 08C	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:35:36.00
			980	060045	08B & 08C	10	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:35:39.00
			990	060591	08D, 08N1 & 08N2	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:00:24.00
			990	060591	08D, 08N1 & 08N2	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:00:07.00
			990	060591	08D, 08N1 & 08N2	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:00:14.00
			990	060591	08D, 08N1 & 08N2	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:00:00.00
			990	060591	08D, 08N1 & 08N2	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:00:17.00
			990	060591	08D, 08N1 & 08N2	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:00:21.00
			990	060591	08D, 08N1 & 08N2	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:00:03.00
			990	060591	08D, 08N1 & 08N2	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:00:10.00
			1010	060169	08E	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:30:41.00
			1010	060169	08E	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:30:37.00
			1010	060169	08E	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:30:34.00
			1010	060169	08E	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:30:24.00
			1010	060169	08E	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:30:30.00
			1010	060169	08E	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:30:27.00
			1020	060166	08F1	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:35:57.00
			1020	060166	08F1	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:36:04.00
			1020	060166	08F1	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:36:01.00
			1020	060166	08F1	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:36:07.00
			1020	060166	08F1	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:33:22.00
			1030	060372	08G	3	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:47:24.00
			1030	060372	08G	1	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:47:18.00
			1030	060372	08G	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:47:15.00
			1030	060372	08G	4	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:47:28.00
			1030	060372	08G	2	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:47:21.00
			1030	060372	08G	6	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:47:11.00
			1030	060372	08G	5	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:47:08.00
			1040	060190	08H & 08J	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:39:26.00
			1040	060190	08H & 08J	10	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:39:13.00
			1040	060190	08H & 08J	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:39:46.00
			1040	060190	08H & 08J	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:39:40.00
			1040	060190	08H & 08J	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:39:50.00

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	1040	060190	08H & 08J	12	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:39:36.00
			1040	060190	08H & 08J	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:39:20.00
			1040	060190	08H & 08J	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:39:09.00
			1040	060190	08H & 08J	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:39:43.00
			1040	060190	08H & 08J	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:39:30.00
			1040	060190	08H & 08J	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:39:16.00
			1040	060190	08H & 08J	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:39:23.00
			1040	060190	08H & 08J	11	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:39:33.00
			1060	060116	08K	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:28:27.00
			1060	060116	08K	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:28:30.00
			1060	060116	08K	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:28:34.00
			1100	060736	08P, 09G & 09H	6	Uploaded	2018/11/07 02:39:27.00
			1100	060736	08P, 09G & 09H	7	Uploaded	2018/11/07 02:39:10.00
			1100	060736	08P, 09G & 09H	8	Uploaded	2018/11/07 02:39:34.00
			1100	060736	08P, 09G & 09H	9	Uploaded	2018/11/07 02:39:31.00
			1100	060736	08P, 09G & 09H	10	Uploaded	2018/11/07 02:38:49.00
			1100	060736	08P, 09G & 09H	11	Uploaded	2018/11/07 02:39:03.00
			1100	060736	08P, 09G & 09H	12	Uploaded	2018/11/07 02:39:17.00
			1100	060736	08P, 09G & 09H	13	Uploaded	2018/11/07 02:39:24.00
			1100	060736	08P, 09G & 09H	3	Uploaded	2018/11/07 02:39:07.00
			1100	060736	08P, 09G & 09H	5	Uploaded	2018/11/07 02:38:53.00
			1100	060736	08P, 09G & 09H	2	Uploaded	2018/11/07 02:39:20.00
			1100	060736	08P, 09G & 09H	1	Uploaded	2018/11/07 02:39:13.00
			1100	060736	08P, 09G & 09H	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 02:38:56.00
			1100	060736	08P, 09G & 09H	4	Uploaded	2018/11/07 02:39:00.00
			1110	060537	09A	7	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:06:33.00
			1110	060537	09A	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:06:46.00
			1110	060537	09A	1	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:06:36.00
			1110	060537	09A	2	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:06:42.00
			1110	060537	09A	3	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:06:26.00
			1110	060537	09A	4	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:06:39.00
			1110	060537	09A	5	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:06:23.00
			1110	060537	09A	6	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:06:29.00
			1120	060042	09B	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:26:44.00
			1120	060042	09B	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:26:40.00
			1120	060042	09B	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:26:47.00
			1130	060525	09C & 10E	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:05:34.00
			1130	060525	09C & 10E	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:05:11.00
			1130	060525	09C & 10E	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:05:21.00
			1130	060525	09C & 10E	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:05:17.00

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	1130	060525	09C & 10E	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:05:14.00
			1130	060525	09C & 10E	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:05:37.00
			1130	060525	09C & 10E	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:05:31.00
			1130	060525	09C & 10E	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:05:27.00
			1130	060525	09C & 10E	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:05:24.00
			1140	060239	09D	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:07:52.00
			1140	060239	09D	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:08:06.00
			1140	060239	09D	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:07:56.00
			1140	060239	09D	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:08:03.00
			1140	060239	09D	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:07:59.00
			1150	060097	09E	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:15:54.00
			1150	060097	09E	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:15:50.00
			1150	060097	09E	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:15:57.00
			1150	060097	09E	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:15:43.00
			1150	060097	09E	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:15:40.00
			1150	060097	09E	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:16:01.00
			1150	060097	09E	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:15:47.00
			1160	060012	09F	3	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:21:06.00
			1160	060012	09F	2	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:21:09.00
			1160	060012	09F	1	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:21:12.00
			1160	060012	09F	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:20:49.00
			1160	060012	09F	5	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:20:45.00
			1160	060012	09F	6	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:20:56.00
			1160	060012	09F	4	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:21:02.00
			1160	060012	09F	8	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:20:59.00
			1160	060012	09F	7	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:20:52.00
			1180	060738	09K	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:28:32.00
			1180	060738	09K	10	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:28:42.00
			1180	060738	09K	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:28:38.00
			1180	060738	09K	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:28:45.00
			1180	060738	09K	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:28:48.00
			1180	060738	09K	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:28:19.00
			1180	060738	09K	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:28:28.00
			1180	060738	09K	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:28:25.00
			1180	060738	09K	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:28:22.00
			1180	060738	09K	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:28:35.00
			1180	060738	09K	6	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:18:30.00
			1200	060102	09M	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:38:06.00
			1200	060102	09M	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:38:10.00
			1200	060102	09M	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:27:08.00

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	1200	060102	09M	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:38:16.00
			1200	060102	09M	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:38:13.00
			1210	060807	10A	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:33:29.00
			1210	060807	10A	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:33:09.00
			1210	060807	10A	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:33:12.00
			1210	060807	10A	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:33:15.00
			1210	060807	10A	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:33:19.00
			1210	060807	10A	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:33:22.00
			1210	060807	10A	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:33:25.00
			1211	060744	10B & 10I	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:51:11.00
			1211	060744	10B & 10I	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:50:58.00
			1211	060744	10B & 10I	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:51:17.00
			1211	060744	10B & 10I	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:51:20.00
			1211	060744	10B & 10I	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:51:23.00
			1211	060744	10B & 10I	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:51:27.00
			1211	060744	10B & 10I	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:51:14.00
			1211	060744	10B & 10I	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:51:01.00
			1211	060744	10B & 10I	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:51:04.00
			1211	060744	10B & 10I	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:51:07.00
			1220	060005	10C & 10D	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:05:22.00
			1220	060005	10C & 10D	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:05:41.00
			1220	060005	10C & 10D	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:05:44.00
			1220	060005	10C & 10D	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:05:48.00
			1220	060005	10C & 10D	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:05:38.00
			1220	060005	10C & 10D	12	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:05:51.00
			1220	060005	10C & 10D	15	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:06:00.00
			1220	060005	10C & 10D	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:05:16.00
			1220	060005	10C & 10D	13	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:05:54.00
			1220	060005	10C & 10D	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:05:19.00
			1220	060005	10C & 10D	11	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:05:13.00
			1220	060005	10C & 10D	10	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:05:35.00
			1220	060005	10C & 10D	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:05:32.00
			1220	060005	10C & 10D	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:05:29.00
			1220	060005	10C & 10D	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:05:25.00
			1220	060005	10C & 10D	14	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:05:57.00
			1260	060259	10G, 10H1, 10H2, 10K & 11H	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:34:01.00
			1260	060259	10G, 10H1, 10H2, 10K & 11H	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:34:31.00
			1260	060259	10G, 10H1, 10H2, 10K & 11H	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:34:38.00
			1260	060259	10G, 10H1, 10H2, 10K & 11H	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:34:21.00
			1260	060259	10G, 10H1, 10H2, 10K & 11H	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:34:41.00

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	1260	060259	10G, 10H1, 10H2, 10K & 11H	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:34:28.00
			1260	060259	10G, 10H1, 10H2, 10K & 11H	12	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:34:25.00
			1260	060259	10G, 10H1, 10H2, 10K & 11H	13	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:34:08.00
			1260	060259	10G, 10H1, 10H2, 10K & 11H	11	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:34:18.00
			1260	060259	10G, 10H1, 10H2, 10K & 11H	10	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:34:35.00
			1260	060259	10G, 10H1, 10H2, 10K & 11H	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:34:15.00
			1260	060259	10G, 10H1, 10H2, 10K & 11H	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:34:05.00
			1260	060259	10G, 10H1, 10H2, 10K & 11H	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:33:58.00
			1260	060259	10G, 10H1, 10H2, 10K & 11H	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:34:11.00
			1280	060294	10J	2	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:10:16.00
			1280	060294	10J	1	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:10:19.00
			1280	060294	10J	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:36:43.00
			1320	060278	10P	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:51:47.00
			1320	060278	10P	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:51:54.00
			1320	060278	10P	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:51:50.00
			1330	060155	10R	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:27:39.00
			1330	060155	10R	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:27:45.00
			1330	060155	10R	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:27:42.00
			1370	060299	11B	12	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:06:17.00
			1370	060299	11B	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:05:58.00
			1370	060299	11B	11	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:06:11.00
			1370	060299	11B	10	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:06:14.00
			1370	060299	11B	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:06:07.00
			1370	060299	11B	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:06:04.00
			1370	060299	11B	6	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:04:37.00
			1370	060299	11B	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:05:55.00
			1370	060299	11B	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:05:51.00
			1370	060299	11B	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:05:48.00
			1370	060299	11B	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:05:45.00
			1370	060299	11B	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:06:01.00
			1370	060299	11B	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:05:42.00
			1380	060091	11C	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:05:18.00
			1380	060091	11C	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:05:21.00
			1380	060091	11C	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:05:02.00
			1380	060091	11C	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:05:05.00
			1380	060091	11C	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:05:08.00
			1380	060091	11C	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:05:11.00
			1380	060091	11C	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:05:15.00
			1400	060025	11E1, 11E2 & 11E3	2	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:08:42.00
			1400	060025	11E1, 11E2 & 11E3	3	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:08:46.00

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	1400	060025	11E1, 11E2 & 11E3	4	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:08:49.00
			1400	060025	11E1, 11E2 & 11E3	5	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:08:31.00
			1400	060025	11E1, 11E2 & 11E3	6	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:08:56.00
			1400	060025	11E1, 11E2 & 11E3	7	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:09:00.00
			1400	060025	11E1, 11E2 & 11E3	8	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:09:03.00
			1400	060025	11E1, 11E2 & 11E3	9	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:09:06.00
			1400	060025	11E1, 11E2 & 11E3	10	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:09:10.00
			1400	060025	11E1, 11E2 & 11E3	11	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:08:53.00
			1400	060025	11E1, 11E2 & 11E3	1	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:08:39.00
			1400	060025	11E1, 11E2 & 11E3	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:08:35.00
			1440	060118	11G, 12I & 12L	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:30:35.00
			1440	060118	11G, 12I & 12L	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:30:19.00
			1440	060118	11G, 12I & 12L	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:30:23.00
			1440	060118	11G, 12I & 12L	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:30:13.00
			1440	060118	11G, 12I & 12L	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:30:29.00
			1440	060118	11G, 12I & 12L	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:30:32.00
			1440	060118	11G, 12I & 12L	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:30:16.00
			1440	060118	11G, 12I & 12L	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:30:26.00
			1440	060118	11G, 12I & 12L	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:30:39.00
			1480	060064	11J	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:27:42.00
			1480	060064	11J	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:27:46.00
			1480	060064	11J	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:27:39.00
			1480	060064	11J	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:27:49.00
			1490	060038	11K	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:22:37.00
			1490	060038	11K	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:22:33.00
			1490	060038	11K	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:22:40.00
			1490	060038	11K	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:22:44.00
			1510	060683	11M, 11N & 11R	4	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:07:04.00
			1510	060683	11M, 11N & 11R	5	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:07:07.00
			1510	060683	11M, 11N & 11R	6	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:06:44.00
			1510	060683	11M, 11N & 11R	7	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:07:14.00
			1510	060683	11M, 11N & 11R	8	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:07:17.00
			1510	060683	11M, 11N & 11R	9	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:07:20.00
			1510	060683	11M, 11N & 11R	10	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:07:23.00
			1510	060683	11M, 11N & 11R	11	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:07:10.00
			1510	060683	11M, 11N & 11R	12	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:06:47.00
			1510	060683	11M, 11N & 11R	13	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:07:27.00
			1510	060683	11M, 11N & 11R	14	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:07:30.00
			1510	060683	11M, 11N & 11R	15	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:07:33.00
			1510	060683	11M, 11N & 11R	16	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:07:36.00

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	1510	060683	11M, 11N & 11R	1	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:06:54.00
			1510	060683	11M, 11N & 11R	3	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:07:00.00
			1510	060683	11M, 11N & 11R	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:06:51.00
			1510	060683	11M, 11N & 11R	2	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:06:57.00
			1520	060082	11P	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:29:16.00
			1520	060082	11P	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:32:38.00
			1520	060082	11P	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:29:13.00
			1520	060082	11P	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:29:10.00
			1540	060739	12A	4	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:07:23.00
			1540	060739	12A	11	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:07:46.00
			1540	060739	12A	10	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:07:43.00
			1540	060739	12A	9	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:07:40.00
			1540	060739	12A	8	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:07:17.00
			1540	060739	12A	7	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:07:33.00
			1540	060739	12A	5	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:07:27.00
			1540	060739	12A	3	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:07:20.00
			1540	060739	12A	2	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:07:53.00
			1540	060739	12A	1	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:07:37.00
			1540	060739	12A	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:07:50.00
			1540	060739	12A	6	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:07:30.00
			1545	060096	12D	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:04:41.00
			1545	060096	12D	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:04:34.00
			1545	060096	12D	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:04:37.00
			1570	060056	12E1, 12H1, 12H2 & 12J	17	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:14:40.00
			1570	060056	12E1, 12H1, 12H2 & 12J	3	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:14:03.00
			1570	060056	12E1, 12H1, 12H2 & 12J	4	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:14:07.00
			1570	060056	12E1, 12H1, 12H2 & 12J	5	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:14:13.00
			1570	060056	12E1, 12H1, 12H2 & 12J	6	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:13:47.00
			1570	060056	12E1, 12H1, 12H2 & 12J	7	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:13:50.00
			1570	060056	12E1, 12H1, 12H2 & 12J	8	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:14:10.00
			1570	060056	12E1, 12H1, 12H2 & 12J	9	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:13:43.00
			1570	060056	12E1, 12H1, 12H2 & 12J	10	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:14:17.00
			1570	060056	12E1, 12H1, 12H2 & 12J	11	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:14:20.00
			1570	060056	12E1, 12H1, 12H2 & 12J	12	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:14:23.00
			1570	060056	12E1, 12H1, 12H2 & 12J	13	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:14:26.00
			1570	060056	12E1, 12H1, 12H2 & 12J	14	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:14:30.00
			1570	060056	12E1, 12H1, 12H2 & 12J	1	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:13:57.00
			1570	060056	12E1, 12H1, 12H2 & 12J	15	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:14:33.00
			1570	060056	12E1, 12H1, 12H2 & 12J	16	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:14:36.00
			1570	060056	12E1, 12H1, 12H2 & 12J	18	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:14:43.00

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	1570	060056	12E1, 12H1, 12H2 & 12J	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:13:54.00
			1570	060056	12E1, 12H1, 12H2 & 12J	2	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:14:00.00
			1590	060141	12F	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:33:10.00
			1590	060141	12F	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:33:13.00
			1590	060141	12F	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:33:16.00
			1600	060147	12G	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:25:56.00
			1600	060147	12G	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:25:59.00
			1600	060147	12G	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:26:03.00
			1621	060293	12K & 12N	5	Uploaded	2018/11/07 02:42:16.00
			1621	060293	12K & 12N	6	Uploaded	2018/11/07 02:42:09.00
			1621	060293	12K & 12N	4	Uploaded	2018/11/07 02:41:56.00
			1621	060293	12K & 12N	3	Uploaded	2018/11/07 02:42:06.00
			1621	060293	12K & 12N	2	Uploaded	2018/11/07 02:42:12.00
			1621	060293	12K & 12N	1	Uploaded	2018/11/07 02:42:03.00
			1621	060293	12K & 12N	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 02:41:59.00
			1621	060293	12K & 12N	7	Uploaded	2018/11/07 02:42:19.00
			1640	060134	12M	5	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:30:48.00
			1640	060134	12M	4	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:30:45.00
			1640	060134	12M	3	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:30:42.00
			1640	060134	12M	2	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:30:38.00
			1640	060134	12M	1	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:30:35.00
			1640	060134	12M	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:30:52.00
			1670	060223	AP01A & AP01B	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:41:56.00
			1670	060223	AP01A & AP01B	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:41:46.00
			1670	060223	AP01A & AP01B	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:41:43.00
			1670	060223	AP01A & AP01B	12	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:42:06.00
			1670	060223	AP01A & AP01B	11	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:42:16.00
			1670	060223	AP01A & AP01B	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:41:59.00
			1670	060223	AP01A & AP01B	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:41:50.00
			1670	060223	AP01A & AP01B	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:41:53.00
			1670	060223	AP01A & AP01B	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:42:03.00
			1670	060223	AP01A & AP01B	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:41:39.00
			1670	060223	AP01A & AP01B	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:42:09.00
			1670	060223	AP01A & AP01B	10	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:42:19.00
			1670	060223	AP01A & AP01B	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:42:12.00
			1680	060146	AP01C & AP01D	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:53:51.00
			1680	060146	AP01C & AP01D	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:53:48.00
			1680	060146	AP01C & AP01D	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:53:44.00
			1680	060146	AP01C & AP01D	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:54:08.00
			1680	060146	AP01C & AP01D	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:54:04.00

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	1680	060146	AP01C & AP01D	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:53:58.00
			1680	060146	AP01C & AP01D	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:54:01.00
			1680	060146	AP01C & AP01D	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:53:55.00
			1700	060237	AP02B, AP021, & AP022	1	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:31:03.00
			1700	060237	AP02B, AP021, & AP022	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:30:59.00
			1700	060237	AP02B, AP021, & AP022	3	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:30:46.00
			1700	060237	AP02B, AP021, & AP022	4	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:31:12.00
			1700	060237	AP02B, AP021, & AP022	11	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:31:09.00
			1700	060237	AP02B, AP021, & AP022	2	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:31:06.00
			1700	060237	AP02B, AP021, & AP022	12	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:31:25.00
			1700	060237	AP02B, AP021, & AP022	10	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:31:29.00
			1700	060237	AP02B, AP021, & AP022	9	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:31:16.00
			1700	060237	AP02B, AP021, & AP022	8	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:30:56.00
			1700	060237	AP02B, AP021, & AP022	7	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:30:53.00
			1700	060237	AP02B, AP021, & AP022	6	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:30:49.00
			1700	060237	AP02B, AP021, & AP022	5	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:31:22.00
			1700	060237	AP02B, AP021, & AP022	13	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:31:19.00
			1710	060186	AP03, RW07A & RW07B	4	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:33:36.00
			1710	060186	AP03, RW07A & RW07B	6	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:33:43.00
			1710	060186	AP03, RW07A & RW07B	3	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:33:33.00
			1710	060186	AP03, RW07A & RW07B	2	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:33:29.00
			1710	060186	AP03, RW07A & RW07B	1	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:33:46.00
			1710	060186	AP03, RW07A & RW07B	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:33:50.00
			1710	060186	AP03, RW07A & RW07B	5	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:33:39.00
			1720	060225	AP04A, AP04B & AP06	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:55:20.00
			1720	060225	AP04A, AP04B & AP06	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:55:36.00
			1720	060225	AP04A, AP04B & AP06	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:55:13.00
			1720	060225	AP04A, AP04B & AP06	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:55:06.00
			1720	060225	AP04A, AP04B & AP06	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:55:30.00
			1720	060225	AP04A, AP04B & AP06	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:55:23.00
			1720	060225	AP04A, AP04B & AP06	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:55:10.00
			1720	060225	AP04A, AP04B & AP06	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:55:16.00
			1720	060225	AP04A, AP04B & AP06	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:55:26.00
			1720	060225	AP04A, AP04B & AP06	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:55:33.00
			1730	060300	AP05	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:35:16.00
			1730	060300	AP05	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:34:59.00
			1730	060300	AP05	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:34:46.00
			1730	060300	AP05	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:35:06.00
			1730	060300	AP05	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:35:09.00
			1730	060300	AP05	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:35:12.00

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	1730	060300	AP05	10	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:34:55.00
			1730	060300	AP05	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:34:52.00
			1730	060300	AP05	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:34:49.00
			1730	060300	AP05	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:35:02.00
			1730	060300	AP05	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:35:19.00
			1750	060054	AP07A & AP07B	8	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:05:40.00
			1750	060054	AP07A & AP07B	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:05:27.00
			1750	060054	AP07A & AP07B	6	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:05:34.00
			1750	060054	AP07A & AP07B	5	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:05:30.00
			1750	060054	AP07A & AP07B	4	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:05:07.00
			1750	060054	AP07A & AP07B	3	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:05:23.00
			1750	060054	AP07A & AP07B	1	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:05:17.00
			1750	060054	AP07A & AP07B	2	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:05:20.00
			1750	060054	AP07A & AP07B	9	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:05:43.00
			1750	060054	AP07A & AP07B	7	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:05:37.00
			1750	060054	AP07A & AP07B	11	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:05:10.00
			1750	060054	AP07A & AP07B	10	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:05:14.00
			1770	060354	AP09A & AP09B	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:55:58.00
			1770	060354	AP09A & AP09B	10	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:56:18.00
			1770	060354	AP09A & AP09B	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:56:14.00
			1770	060354	AP09A & AP09B	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:56:11.00
			1770	060354	AP09A & AP09B	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:56:08.00
			1770	060354	AP09A & AP09B	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:56:01.00
			1770	060354	AP09A & AP09B	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:55:54.00
			1770	060354	AP09A & AP09B	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:55:51.00
			1770	060354	AP09A & AP09B	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:55:48.00
			1770	060354	AP09A & AP09B	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:56:04.00
			1770	060354	AP09A & AP09B	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:55:45.00
			1770	060354	AP09A & AP09B	11	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:56:21.00
			1790	060759	AP10, ML06A & ML06B	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:53:25.00
			1790	060759	AP10, ML06A & ML06B	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:53:28.00
			1790	060759	AP10, ML06A & ML06B	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:53:08.00
			1790	060759	AP10, ML06A & ML06B	13	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:53:38.00
			1790	060759	AP10, ML06A & ML06B	12	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:53:21.00
			1790	060759	AP10, ML06A & ML06B	11	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:52:55.00
			1790	060759	AP10, ML06A & ML06B	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:53:12.00
			1790	060759	AP10, ML06A & ML06B	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:53:05.00
			1790	060759	AP10, ML06A & ML06B	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:53:02.00
			1790	060759	AP10, ML06A & ML06B	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:52:58.00
			1790	060759	AP10, ML06A & ML06B	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:53:18.00

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	1790	060759	AP10, ML06A & ML06B	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:53:35.00
			1790	060759	AP10, ML06A & ML06B	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:53:31.00
			1790	060759	AP10, ML06A & ML06B	10	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:53:15.00
			1800	060206	AP12A, AP12B & AP12C	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:15:14.00
			1800	060206	AP12A, AP12B & AP12C	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:15:20.00
			1800	060206	AP12A, AP12B & AP12C	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:15:17.00
			1800	060206	AP12A, AP12B & AP12C	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:15:04.00
			1800	060206	AP12A, AP12B & AP12C	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:15:11.00
			1800	060206	AP12A, AP12B & AP12C	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:15:24.00
			1800	060206	AP12A, AP12B & AP12C	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:15:07.00
			1802	060585	AP14, JC04A, JC04B, JC10 & JC15	8	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:28:46.00
			1802	060585	AP14, JC04A, JC04B, JC10 & JC15	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:28:20.00
			1802	060585	AP14, JC04A, JC04B, JC10 & JC15	1	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:28:23.00
			1802	060585	AP14, JC04A, JC04B, JC10 & JC15	2	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:28:26.00
			1802	060585	AP14, JC04A, JC04B, JC10 & JC15	3	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:28:30.00
			1802	060585	AP14, JC04A, JC04B, JC10 & JC15	4	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:28:33.00
			1802	060585	AP14, JC04A, JC04B, JC10 & JC15	5	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:29:29.00
			1802	060585	AP14, JC04A, JC04B, JC10 & JC15	22	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:29:16.00
			1802	060585	AP14, JC04A, JC04B, JC10 & JC15	14	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:28:06.00
			1802	060585	AP14, JC04A, JC04B, JC10 & JC15	20	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:29:09.00
			1802	060585	AP14, JC04A, JC04B, JC10 & JC15	19	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:29:06.00
			1802	060585	AP14, JC04A, JC04B, JC10 & JC15	18	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:29:03.00
			1802	060585	AP14, JC04A, JC04B, JC10 & JC15	17	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:28:59.00
			1802	060585	AP14, JC04A, JC04B, JC10 & JC15	6	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:28:36.00
			1802	060585	AP14, JC04A, JC04B, JC10 & JC15	15	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:28:53.00
			1802	060585	AP14, JC04A, JC04B, JC10 & JC15	7	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:28:43.00
			1802	060585	AP14, JC04A, JC04B, JC10 & JC15	13	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:28:39.00
			1802	060585	AP14, JC04A, JC04B, JC10 & JC15	12	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:28:16.00
			1802	060585	AP14, JC04A, JC04B, JC10 & JC15	11	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:28:13.00
			1802	060585	AP14, JC04A, JC04B, JC10 & JC15	10	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:28:10.00
			1802	060585	AP14, JC04A, JC04B, JC10 & JC15	9	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:28:49.00
			1802	060585	AP14, JC04A, JC04B, JC10 & JC15	16	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:28:56.00
			1802	060585	AP14, JC04A, JC04B, JC10 & JC15	23	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:29:19.00
			1802	060585	AP14, JC04A, JC04B, JC10 & JC15	21	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:29:13.00
			1802	060585	AP14, JC04A, JC04B, JC10 & JC15	25	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:29:26.00
			1802	060585	AP14, JC04A, JC04B, JC10 & JC15	24	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:29:23.00
			1850	060686	CH01, CH02, CH03, CH04A & CH05	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:09:30.00
			1850	060686	CH01, CH02, CH03, CH04A & CH05	1	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:09:34.00
			1850	060686	CH01, CH02, CH03, CH04A & CH05	2	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:09:37.00
			1850	060686	CH01, CH02, CH03, CH04A & CH05	3	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:09:41.00

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	1850	060686	CH01, CH02, CH03, CH04A & CH05	4	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:09:44.00
			1900	060533	CP01B, CP011, CP012, CP02, CP04A & CP04B	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:21:48.00
			1900	060533	CP01B, CP011, CP012, CP02, CP04A & CP04B	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:21:52.00
			1900	060533	CP01B, CP011, CP012, CP02, CP04A & CP04B	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:21:55.00
			1900	060533	CP01B, CP011, CP012, CP02, CP04A & CP04B	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:21:38.00
			1900	060533	CP01B, CP011, CP012, CP02, CP04A & CP04B	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:21:59.00
			1900	060533	CP01B, CP011, CP012, CP02, CP04A & CP04B	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:21:41.00
			1900	060533	CP01B, CP011, CP012, CP02, CP04A & CP04B	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:22:06.00
			1900	060533	CP01B, CP011, CP012, CP02, CP04A & CP04B	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:21:45.00
			1900	060533	CP01B, CP011, CP012, CP02, CP04A & CP04B	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:22:02.00
			1950	060036	CP05B, CP051, CP06A, CP07B,CP07C, CP07D, CP07	4	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:08:46.00
			1950	060036	CP05B, CP051, CP06A, CP07B,CP07C, CP07D, CP07	8	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:08:32.00
			1950	060036	CP05B, CP051, CP06A, CP07B,CP07C, CP07D, CP07	7	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:08:56.00
			1950	060036	CP05B, CP051, CP06A, CP07B,CP07C, CP07D, CP07	5	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:08:49.00
			1950	060036	CP05B, CP051, CP06A, CP07B,CP07C, CP07D, CP07	3	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:08:29.00
			1950	060036	CP05B, CP051, CP06A, CP07B,CP07C, CP07D, CP07	2	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:08:39.00
			1950	060036	CP05B, CP051, CP06A, CP07B,CP07C, CP07D, CP07	1	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:08:35.00
			1950	060036	CP05B, CP051, CP06A, CP07B,CP07C, CP07D, CP07	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:08:42.00
			1950	060036	CP05B, CP051, CP06A, CP07B,CP07C, CP07D, CP07	6	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:08:52.00
			2010	060684	CP081, CP083, CP084, CP08A & SC10	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:50:52.00
			2010	060684	CP081, CP083, CP084, CP08A & SC10	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:51:05.00
			2010	060684	CP081, CP083, CP084, CP08A & SC10	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:50:46.00
			2010	060684	CP081, CP083, CP084, CP08A & SC10	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:50:55.00
			2010	060684	CP081, CP083, CP084, CP08A & SC10	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:50:49.00
			2010	060684	CP081, CP083, CP084, CP08A & SC10	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:51:02.00
			2010	060684	CP081, CP083, CP084, CP08A & SC10	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:50:58.00
			2030	060233	EP01A & EP01B	4	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:12:29.00
			2030	060233	EP01A & EP01B	10	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:12:52.00
			2030	060233	EP01A & EP01B	9	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:12:49.00
			2030	060233	EP01A & EP01B	8	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:12:42.00
			2030	060233	EP01A & EP01B	7	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:12:39.00
			2030	060233	EP01A & EP01B	12	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:12:19.00
			2030	060233	EP01A & EP01B	5	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:12:32.00
			2030	060233	EP01A & EP01B	13	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:12:15.00
			2030	060233	EP01A & EP01B	3	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:12:25.00
			2030	060233	EP01A & EP01B	2	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:12:22.00
			2030	060233	EP01A & EP01B	1	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:12:35.00
			2030	060233	EP01A & EP01B	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:12:46.00
			2030	060233	EP01A & EP01B	6	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:12:12.00
			2030	060233	EP01A & EP01B	11	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:12:55.00

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	2031	060255	EP02A. EP02B, EP02C, EP02D & EP02E	13	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:05:58.00
			2031	060255	EP02A. EP02B, EP02C, EP02D & EP02E	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:05:38.00
			2031	060255	EP02A. EP02B, EP02C, EP02D & EP02E	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:05:18.00
			2031	060255	EP02A. EP02B, EP02C, EP02D & EP02E	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:05:22.00
			2031	060255	EP02A. EP02B, EP02C, EP02D & EP02E	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:05:25.00
			2031	060255	EP02A. EP02B, EP02C, EP02D & EP02E	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:05:28.00
			2031	060255	EP02A. EP02B, EP02C, EP02D & EP02E	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:05:31.00
			2031	060255	EP02A. EP02B, EP02C, EP02D & EP02E	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:05:35.00
			2031	060255	EP02A. EP02B, EP02C, EP02D & EP02E	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:05:15.00
			2031	060255	EP02A. EP02B, EP02C, EP02D & EP02E	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:05:42.00
			2031	060255	EP02A. EP02B, EP02C, EP02D & EP02E	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:05:45.00
			2031	060255	EP02A. EP02B, EP02C, EP02D & EP02E	10	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:05:48.00
			2031	060255	EP02A. EP02B, EP02C, EP02D & EP02E	11	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:05:52.00
			2031	060255	EP02A. EP02B, EP02C, EP02D & EP02E	12	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:05:55.00
			2050	060636	EP03A & EP03B	13	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:31:37.00
			2050	060636	EP03A & EP03B	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:31:27.00
			2050	060636	EP03A & EP03B	12	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:31:30.00
			2050	060636	EP03A & EP03B	11	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:31:20.00
			2050	060636	EP03A & EP03B	10	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:31:00.00
			2050	060636	EP03A & EP03B	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:30:57.00
			2050	060636	EP03A & EP03B	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:31:34.00
			2050	060636	EP03A & EP03B	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:31:24.00
			2050	060636	EP03A & EP03B	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:30:53.00
			2050	060636	EP03A & EP03B	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:31:14.00
			2050	060636	EP03A & EP03B	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:31:10.00
			2050	060636	EP03A & EP03B	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:31:07.00
			2050	060636	EP03A & EP03B	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:31:04.00
			2050	060636	EP03A & EP03B	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:31:17.00
			2060	060145	EP04A & EP04B	17	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:11:41.00
			2060	060145	EP04A & EP04B	2	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:10:55.00
			2060	060145	EP04A & EP04B	3	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:10:58.00
			2060	060145	EP04A & EP04B	4	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:11:02.00
			2060	060145	EP04A & EP04B	5	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:11:05.00
			2060	060145	EP04A & EP04B	6	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:11:12.00
			2060	060145	EP04A & EP04B	7	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:10:45.00
			2060	060145	EP04A & EP04B	8	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:11:18.00
			2060	060145	EP04A & EP04B	9	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:11:21.00
			2060	060145	EP04A & EP04B	10	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:11:25.00
			2060	060145	EP04A & EP04B	11	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:11:15.00
			2060	060145	EP04A & EP04B	12	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:11:08.00

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	2060	060145	EP04A & EP04B	13	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:11:28.00
			2060	060145	EP04A & EP04B	14	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:11:31.00
			2060	060145	EP04A & EP04B	15	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:11:35.00
			2060	060145	EP04A & EP04B	16	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:11:38.00
			2060	060145	EP04A & EP04B	1	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:10:52.00
			2060	060145	EP04A & EP04B	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:10:48.00
			2220	060797	FA01A	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:39:18.00
			2220	060797	FA01A	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:39:02.00
			2220	060797	FA01A	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:39:21.00
			2220	060797	FA01A	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:39:15.00
			2220	060797	FA01A	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:38:59.00
			2220	060797	FA01A	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:39:08.00
			2220	060797	FA01A	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:39:05.00
			2220	060797	FA01A	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:39:12.00
			2220	060797	FA01A	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:39:25.00
			2221	060685	FA01B	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:09:43.00
			2221	060685	FA01B	6	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:09:47.00
			2221	060685	FA01B	10	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:10:00.00
			2221	060685	FA01B	9	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:09:56.00
			2221	060685	FA01B	7	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:09:50.00
			2221	060685	FA01B	5	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:09:27.00
			2221	060685	FA01B	4	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:09:40.00
			2221	060685	FA01B	3	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:09:36.00
			2221	060685	FA01B	2	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:09:33.00
			2221	060685	FA01B	1	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:09:30.00
			2221	060685	FA01B	8	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:09:53.00
			2222	060798	FA01C	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:34:03.00
			2222	060798	FA01C	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:34:00.00
			2222	060798	FA01C	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:33:57.00
			2240	060123	HP01	6	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:28:20.00
			2240	060123	HP01	7	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:28:06.00
			2240	060123	HP01	3	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:28:16.00
			2240	060123	HP01	5	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:27:59.00
			2240	060123	HP01	2	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:28:03.00
			2240	060123	HP01	1	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:28:13.00
			2240	060123	HP01	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:28:23.00
			2240	060123	HP01	4	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:28:10.00
			2250	060623	JC01	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:17:01.00
			2250	060623	JC01	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:16:47.00
			2250	060623	JC01	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:16:31.00

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	2250	060623	JC01	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:16:41.00
			2250	060623	JC01	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:16:51.00
			2250	060623	JC01	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:16:57.00
			2250	060623	JC01	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:16:34.00
			2250	060623	JC01	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:17:07.00
			2250	060623	JC01	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:16:37.00
			2250	060623	JC01	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:16:54.00
			2250	060623	JC01	10	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:16:44.00
			2250	060623	JC01	11	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:17:04.00
			2260	060142	JC02	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:26:44.00
			2260	060142	JC02	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:27:00.00
			2260	060142	JC02	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:26:57.00
			2260	060142	JC02	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:26:53.00
			2260	060142	JC02	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:26:50.00
			2260	060142	JC02	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:26:47.00
			2270	060247	JC03A & JC03B	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:15:31.00
			2270	060247	JC03A & JC03B	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:15:41.00
			2270	060247	JC03A & JC03B	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:15:34.00
			2270	060247	JC03A & JC03B	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:15:44.00
			2270	060247	JC03A & JC03B	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:15:37.00
			2300	060361	JC05	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:55:22.00
			2300	060361	JC05	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:55:18.00
			2300	060361	JC05	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:55:15.00
			2300	060361	JC05	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:55:12.00
			2300	060361	JC05	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:55:25.00
			2310	060199	JC06	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:55:26.00
			2310	060199	JC06	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:55:29.00
			2310	060199	JC06	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:55:33.00
			2310	060199	JC06	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:55:36.00
			2310	060199	JC06	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:55:39.00
			2310	060199	JC06	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:55:23.00
			2320	060687	JC07	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:45:00.00
			2320	060687	JC07	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:45:10.00
			2320	060687	JC07	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:44:50.00
			2320	060687	JC07	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:44:53.00
			2320	060687	JC07	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:44:57.00
			2320	060687	JC07	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:44:44.00
			2320	060687	JC07	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:44:47.00
			2320	060687	JC07	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:45:07.00
			2320	060687	JC07	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:45:04.00

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	2320	060687	JC07	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:45:13.00
			2330	060806	JC08	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:16:08.00
			2330	060806	JC08	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:16:04.00
			2330	060806	JC08	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:16:01.00
			2330	060806	JC08	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:15:58.00
			2330	060806	JC08	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:15:54.00
			2330	060806	JC08	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:15:51.00
			2330	060806	JC08	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:15:48.00
			2340	060047	JC09	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:15:23.00
			2340	060047	JC09	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:15:29.00
			2340	060047	JC09	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:15:26.00
			2340	060047	JC09	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:15:19.00
			2340	060047	JC09	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:15:36.00
			2340	060047	JC09	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:15:33.00
			2340	060047	JC09	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:15:16.00
			2360	060262	JC11	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:55:54.00
			2360	060262	JC11	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:56:14.00
			2360	060262	JC11	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:56:10.00
			2360	060262	JC11	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:56:07.00
			2360	060262	JC11	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:56:04.00
			2360	060262	JC11	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:56:00.00
			2360	060262	JC11	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:55:57.00
			2360	060262	JC11	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:55:51.00
			2370	060074	JC12 & JC14	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:54:03.00
			2370	060074	JC12 & JC14	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:54:23.00
			2370	060074	JC12 & JC14	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:53:59.00
			2370	060074	JC12 & JC14	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:54:16.00
			2370	060074	JC12 & JC14	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:54:12.00
			2370	060074	JC12 & JC14	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:54:29.00
			2370	060074	JC12 & JC14	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:54:06.00
			2370	060074	JC12 & JC14	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:54:32.00
			2370	060074	JC12 & JC14	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:54:09.00
			2370	060074	JC12 & JC14	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:54:26.00
			2370	060074	JC12 & JC14	11	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:54:19.00
			2370	060074	JC12 & JC14	10	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:54:35.00
			2390	060021	JC13A & JC13B	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:41:17.00
			2390	060021	JC13A & JC13B	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:41:21.00
			2390	060021	JC13A & JC13B	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:41:14.00
			2390	060021	JC13A & JC13B	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:41:11.00
			2390	060021	JC13A & JC13B	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:41:24.00

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	2390	060021	JC13A & JC13B	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:41:08.00
			2430	060362	JC16	5	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:41:01.00
			2430	060362	JC16	4	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:40:47.00
			2430	060362	JC16	3	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:40:50.00
			2430	060362	JC16	2	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:40:57.00
			2430	060362	JC16	1	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:40:54.00
			2430	060362	JC16	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:40:43.00
			2450	060244	JC18	2	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:32:43.00
			2450	060244	JC18	3	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:32:46.00
			2450	060244	JC18	4	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:32:50.00
			2450	060244	JC18	5	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:32:53.00
			2450	060244	JC18	6	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:32:32.00
			2450	060244	JC18	1	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:32:39.00
			2450	060244	JC18	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:32:36.00
			2460	060791	JC19 & RW21	10	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:29:05.00
			2460	060791	JC19 & RW21	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:28:39.00
			2460	060791	JC19 & RW21	1	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:28:52.00
			2460	060791	JC19 & RW21	2	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:28:49.00
			2460	060791	JC19 & RW21	3	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:28:46.00
			2460	060791	JC19 & RW21	4	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:28:42.00
			2460	060791	JC19 & RW21	5	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:29:02.00
			2460	060791	JC19 & RW21	6	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:28:59.00
			2460	060791	JC19 & RW21	7	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:29:08.00
			2460	060791	JC19 & RW21	16	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:29:18.00
			2460	060791	JC19 & RW21	8	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:28:29.00
			2460	060791	JC19 & RW21	9	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:29:15.00
			2460	060791	JC19 & RW21	15	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:29:21.00
			2460	060791	JC19 & RW21	14	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:28:55.00
			2460	060791	JC19 & RW21	13	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:28:32.00
			2460	060791	JC19 & RW21	12	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:28:35.00
			2460	060791	JC19 & RW21	11	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:29:12.00
			2470	060732	ML011, ML012 & ML01B	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:15:15.00
			2470	060732	ML011, ML012 & ML01B	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:15:18.00
			2470	060732	ML011, ML012 & ML01B	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:15:22.00
			2470	060732	ML011, ML012 & ML01B	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:15:25.00
			2470	060732	ML011, ML012 & ML01B	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:15:32.00
			2470	060732	ML011, ML012 & ML01B	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:15:28.00
			2470	060732	ML011, ML012 & ML01B	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:15:12.00
			2475	060222	ML021, ML022, ML023 & ML024	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:56:11.00
			2475	060222	ML021, ML022, ML023 & ML024	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:56:14.00

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	2475	060222	ML021, ML022, ML023 & ML024	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:55:47.00
			2475	060222	ML021, ML022, ML023 & ML024	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:56:08.00
			2475	060222	ML021, ML022, ML023 & ML024	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:55:57.00
			2475	060222	ML021, ML022, ML023 & ML024	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:55:54.00
			2475	060222	ML021, ML022, ML023 & ML024	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:55:50.00
			2475	060222	ML021, ML022, ML023 & ML024	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:56:01.00
			2475	060222	ML021, ML022, ML023 & ML024	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:56:04.00
			2510	060182	ML03, ML071, ML072 & ML07A	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:52:31.00
			2510	060182	ML03, ML071, ML072 & ML07A	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:52:34.00
			2510	060182	ML03, ML071, ML072 & ML07A	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:52:58.00
			2510	060182	ML03, ML071, ML072 & ML07A	13	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:53:12.00
			2510	060182	ML03, ML071, ML072 & ML07A	12	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:53:08.00
			2510	060182	ML03, ML071, ML072 & ML07A	11	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:53:02.00
			2510	060182	ML03, ML071, ML072 & ML07A	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:53:05.00
			2510	060182	ML03, ML071, ML072 & ML07A	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:52:55.00
			2510	060182	ML03, ML071, ML072 & ML07A	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:52:27.00
			2510	060182	ML03, ML071, ML072 & ML07A	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:52:48.00
			2510	060182	ML03, ML071, ML072 & ML07A	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:52:45.00
			2510	060182	ML03, ML071, ML072 & ML07A	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:52:41.00
			2510	060182	ML03, ML071, ML072 & ML07A	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:52:38.00
			2510	060182	ML03, ML071, ML072 & ML07A	10	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:52:51.00
			2520	060364	ML04A, ML04B, ML04C, ML05A, ML05B & ML05	17	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:40:44.00
			2520	060364	ML04A, ML04B, ML04C, ML05A, ML05B & ML05	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:38:42.00
			2520	060364	ML04A, ML04B, ML04C, ML05A, ML05B & ML05	2	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:40:01.00
			2520	060364	ML04A, ML04B, ML04C, ML05A, ML05B & ML05	3	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:40:04.00
			2520	060364	ML04A, ML04B, ML04C, ML05A, ML05B & ML05	10	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:40:28.00
			2520	060364	ML04A, ML04B, ML04C, ML05A, ML05B & ML05	16	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:40:41.00
			2520	060364	ML04A, ML04B, ML04C, ML05A, ML05B & ML05	15	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:40:38.00
			2520	060364	ML04A, ML04B, ML04C, ML05A, ML05B & ML05	14	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:40:35.00
			2520	060364	ML04A, ML04B, ML04C, ML05A, ML05B & ML05	13	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:40:11.00
			2520	060364	ML04A, ML04B, ML04C, ML05A, ML05B & ML05	1	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:39:57.00
			2520	060364	ML04A, ML04B, ML04C, ML05A, ML05B & ML05	11	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:40:18.00
			2520	060364	ML04A, ML04B, ML04C, ML05A, ML05B & ML05	9	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:52:59.00
			2520	060364	ML04A, ML04B, ML04C, ML05A, ML05B & ML05	8	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:40:24.00
			2520	060364	ML04A, ML04B, ML04C, ML05A, ML05B & ML05	7	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:40:21.00
			2520	060364	ML04A, ML04B, ML04C, ML05A, ML05B & ML05	6	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:38:38.00
			2520	060364	ML04A, ML04B, ML04C, ML05A, ML05B & ML05	5	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:40:14.00
			2520	060364	ML04A, ML04B, ML04C, ML05A, ML05B & ML05	4	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:40:08.00
			2520	060364	ML04A, ML04B, ML04C, ML05A, ML05B & ML05	12	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:40:31.00
			2560	060587	MP01	2	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:16:30.00

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	2560	060587	MP01	1	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:16:27.00
			2560	060587	MP01	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:42:28.00
			2570	060740	PA01	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:48:27.00
			2570	060740	PA01	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:48:20.00
			2570	060740	PA01	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:48:23.00
			2570	060740	PA01	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:48:30.00
			2570	060740	PA01	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:48:34.00
			2570	060740	PA01	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:48:37.00
			2580	060606	RW01	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:09:42.00
			2580	060606	RW01	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:09:15.00
			2580	060606	RW01	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:09:35.00
			2580	060606	RW01	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:09:18.00
			2580	060606	RW01	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:09:22.00
			2580	060606	RW01	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:09:25.00
			2580	060606	RW01	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:09:28.00
			2580	060606	RW01	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:09:32.00
			2580	060606	RW01	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:09:38.00
			2580	060606	RW01	11	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:09:51.00
			2580	060606	RW01	10	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:09:48.00
			2580	060606	RW01	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:09:45.00
			2590	060135	RW02	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:49:58.00
			2590	060135	RW02	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:50:19.00
			2590	060135	RW02	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:50:16.00
			2590	060135	RW02	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:50:12.00
			2590	060135	RW02	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:50:09.00
			2590	060135	RW02	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:50:02.00
			2590	060135	RW02	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:49:55.00
			2590	060135	RW02	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:50:06.00
			2590	060135	RW02	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:49:51.00
			2600	060582	RW03	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:58:14.00
			2600	060582	RW03	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:58:27.00
			2600	060582	RW03	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:58:04.00
			2600	060582	RW03	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:58:17.00
			2600	060582	RW03	10	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:58:24.00
			2600	060582	RW03	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:58:10.00
			2600	060582	RW03	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:58:07.00
			2600	060582	RW03	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:58:20.00
			2600	060582	RW03	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:58:37.00
			2600	060582	RW03	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:58:34.00
			2600	060582	RW03	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:58:30.00

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	2620	060226	RW04	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:16:08.00
			2620	060226	RW04	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:15:58.00
			2620	060226	RW04	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:16:05.00
			2620	060226	RW04	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:16:01.00
			2630	060081	RW05	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:55:40.00
			2630	060081	RW05	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:55:33.00
			2630	060081	RW05	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:55:27.00
			2630	060081	RW05	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:55:24.00
			2630	060081	RW05	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:55:30.00
			2630	060081	RW05	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:55:37.00
			2640	060272	RW06 & RW13	14	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:41:41.00
			2640	060272	RW06 & RW13	15	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:41:44.00
			2640	060272	RW06 & RW13	11	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:41:34.00
			2640	060272	RW06 & RW13	13	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:41:38.00
			2640	060272	RW06 & RW13	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:41:11.00
			2640	060272	RW06 & RW13	10	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:41:31.00
			2640	060272	RW06 & RW13	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:41:28.00
			2640	060272	RW06 & RW13	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:41:24.00
			2640	060272	RW06 & RW13	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:40:54.00
			2640	060272	RW06 & RW13	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:41:14.00
			2640	060272	RW06 & RW13	12	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:40:58.00
			2640	060272	RW06 & RW13	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:41:07.00
			2640	060272	RW06 & RW13	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:41:04.00
			2640	060272	RW06 & RW13	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:41:01.00
			2640	060272	RW06 & RW13	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:41:21.00
			2640	060272	RW06 & RW13	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:41:17.00
			2670	060072	RW08	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:37:06.00
			2670	060072	RW08	1	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:37:02.00
			2670	060072	RW08	2	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:36:52.00
			2670	060072	RW08	3	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:36:56.00
			2670	060072	RW08	4	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:36:59.00
			2680	060193	RW09 & RW19	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:53:19.00
			2680	060193	RW09 & RW19	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:52:52.00
			2680	060193	RW09 & RW19	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:52:55.00
			2680	060193	RW09 & RW19	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:52:59.00
			2680	060193	RW09 & RW19	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:53:02.00
			2680	060193	RW09 & RW19	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:53:05.00
			2680	060193	RW09 & RW19	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:53:08.00
			2680	060193	RW09 & RW19	14	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:53:32.00
			2680	060193	RW09 & RW19	18	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:53:45.00

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	2680	060193	RW09 & RW19	17	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:53:42.00
			2680	060193	RW09 & RW19	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:53:12.00
			2680	060193	RW09 & RW19	15	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:53:35.00
			2680	060193	RW09 & RW19	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:52:45.00
			2680	060193	RW09 & RW19	13	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:53:29.00
			2680	060193	RW09 & RW19	12	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:52:48.00
			2680	060193	RW09 & RW19	11	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:53:15.00
			2680	060193	RW09 & RW19	10	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:53:25.00
			2680	060193	RW09 & RW19	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:53:22.00
			2680	060193	RW09 & RW19	16	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:53:39.00
			2690	060347	RW10	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:56:29.00
			2690	060347	RW10	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:56:32.00
			2690	060347	RW10	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:56:08.00
			2690	060347	RW10	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:56:18.00
			2690	060347	RW10	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:56:25.00
			2690	060347	RW10	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:56:15.00
			2690	060347	RW10	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:56:12.00
			2690	060347	RW10	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:56:22.00
			2700	060308	RW11A	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:55:12.00
			2700	060308	RW11A	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:55:16.00
			2700	060308	RW11A	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:55:19.00
			2700	060308	RW11A	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:55:22.00
			2700	060308	RW11A	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:55:26.00
			2700	060308	RW11A	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:55:08.00
			2710	060150	RW12 & RW16	6	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:02:30.00
			2710	060150	RW12 & RW16	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:02:23.00
			2710	060150	RW12 & RW16	1	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:02:36.00
			2710	060150	RW12 & RW16	2	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:02:59.00
			2710	060150	RW12 & RW16	3	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:02:40.00
			2710	060150	RW12 & RW16	12	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:03:16.00
			2710	060150	RW12 & RW16	18	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:02:19.00
			2710	060150	RW12 & RW16	17	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:02:53.00
			2710	060150	RW12 & RW16	16	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:02:56.00
			2710	060150	RW12 & RW16	15	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:02:33.00
			2710	060150	RW12 & RW16	4	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:02:26.00
			2710	060150	RW12 & RW16	13	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:03:03.00
			2710	060150	RW12 & RW16	5	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:03:19.00
			2710	060150	RW12 & RW16	11	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:03:13.00
			2710	060150	RW12 & RW16	10	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:02:46.00
			2710	060150	RW12 & RW16	9	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:02:43.00

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	2710	060150	RW12 & RW16	8	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:03:09.00
			2710	060150	RW12 & RW16	7	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:03:06.00
			2710	060150	RW12 & RW16	14	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:02:50.00
			2770	060789	RW17	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:55:55.00
			2770	060789	RW17	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:55:45.00
			2770	060789	RW17	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:55:58.00
			2770	060789	RW17	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:55:48.00
			2770	060789	RW17	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:55:41.00
			2770	060789	RW17	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:55:51.00
			2770	060789	RW17	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:56:01.00
			2800	060733	RW20	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:41:16.00
			2800	060733	RW20	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:55:52.00
			2800	060733	RW20	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:55:49.00
			2800	060733	RW20	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:55:46.00
			2800	060733	RW20	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:55:42.00
			2800	060733	RW20	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:55:39.00
			2830	060527	RW22A	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:53:05.00
			2830	060527	RW22A	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:53:24.00
			2830	060527	RW22A	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:53:14.00
			2830	060527	RW22A	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:53:31.00
			2830	060527	RW22A	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:53:28.00
			2830	060527	RW22A	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:53:02.00
			2830	060527	RW22A	10	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:53:11.00
			2830	060527	RW22A	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:53:21.00
			2830	060527	RW22A	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:52:58.00
			2830	060527	RW22A	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:53:18.00
			2830	060527	RW22A	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:53:08.00
			2835	060741	SC01A, SC01B, SC01C, SC01D & SC20	5	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:03:59.00
			2835	060741	SC01A, SC01B, SC01C, SC01D & SC20	12	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:04:06.00
			2835	060741	SC01A, SC01B, SC01C, SC01D & SC20	11	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:04:03.00
			2835	060741	SC01A, SC01B, SC01C, SC01D & SC20	10	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:04:19.00
			2835	060741	SC01A, SC01B, SC01C, SC01D & SC20	9	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:04:16.00
			2835	060741	SC01A, SC01B, SC01C, SC01D & SC20	8	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:04:12.00
			2835	060741	SC01A, SC01B, SC01C, SC01D & SC20	6	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:03:40.00
			2835	060741	SC01A, SC01B, SC01C, SC01D & SC20	4	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:03:53.00
			2835	060741	SC01A, SC01B, SC01C, SC01D & SC20	3	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:03:56.00
			2835	060741	SC01A, SC01B, SC01C, SC01D & SC20	2	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:03:49.00
			2835	060741	SC01A, SC01B, SC01C, SC01D & SC20	1	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:03:46.00
			2835	060741	SC01A, SC01B, SC01C, SC01D & SC20	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:03:43.00
			2835	060741	SC01A, SC01B, SC01C, SC01D & SC20	7	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:04:09.00

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	2835	060741	SC01A, SC01B, SC01C, SC01D & SC20	13	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:04:22.00
			2835	060741	SC01A, SC01B, SC01C, SC01D & SC20	14	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:04:25.00
			2850	060624	SC02	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:59:00.00
			2850	060624	SC02	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:59:03.00
			2850	060624	SC02	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:59:06.00
			2860	060100	SC04	2	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:42:57.00
			2860	060100	SC04	1	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:42:53.00
			2860	060100	SC04	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:43:01.00
			2870	060172	SC05A, SC05B, SC05D & SC05E	1	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:10:52.00
			2870	060172	SC05A, SC05B, SC05D & SC05E	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:10:48.00
			2870	060172	SC05A, SC05B, SC05D & SC05E	4	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:11:02.00
			2870	060172	SC05A, SC05B, SC05D & SC05E	2	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:10:55.00
			2870	060172	SC05A, SC05B, SC05D & SC05E	10	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:11:11.00
			2870	060172	SC05A, SC05B, SC05D & SC05E	16	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:11:31.00
			2870	060172	SC05A, SC05B, SC05D & SC05E	15	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:11:28.00
			2870	060172	SC05A, SC05B, SC05D & SC05E	14	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:11:25.00
			2870	060172	SC05A, SC05B, SC05D & SC05E	13	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:11:21.00
			2870	060172	SC05A, SC05B, SC05D & SC05E	18	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:11:38.00
			2870	060172	SC05A, SC05B, SC05D & SC05E	11	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:10:41.00
			2870	060172	SC05A, SC05B, SC05D & SC05E	19	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:11:41.00
			2870	060172	SC05A, SC05B, SC05D & SC05E	9	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:11:18.00
			2870	060172	SC05A, SC05B, SC05D & SC05E	8	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:11:15.00
			2870	060172	SC05A, SC05B, SC05D & SC05E	7	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:10:38.00
			2870	060172	SC05A, SC05B, SC05D & SC05E	6	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:11:08.00
			2870	060172	SC05A, SC05B, SC05D & SC05E	5	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:11:05.00
			2870	060172	SC05A, SC05B, SC05D & SC05E	12	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:10:45.00
			2870	060172	SC05A, SC05B, SC05D & SC05E	3	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:10:58.00
			2870	060172	SC05A, SC05B, SC05D & SC05E	17	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:11:34.00
			2880	060706	SC07A & SC07C	13	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:14:10.00
			2880	060706	SC07A & SC07C	6	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:13:54.00
			2880	060706	SC07A & SC07C	12	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:13:30.00
			2880	060706	SC07A & SC07C	11	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:13:50.00
			2880	060706	SC07A & SC07C	10	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:14:07.00
			2880	060706	SC07A & SC07C	9	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:14:03.00
			2880	060706	SC07A & SC07C	7	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:13:57.00
			2880	060706	SC07A & SC07C	5	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:13:27.00
			2880	060706	SC07A & SC07C	4	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:13:47.00
			2880	060706	SC07A & SC07C	3	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:13:44.00
			2880	060706	SC07A & SC07C	2	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:13:40.00
			2880	060706	SC07A & SC07C	1	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:13:37.00

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	2880	060706	SC07A & SC07C	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:13:34.00
			2880	060706	SC07A & SC07C	8	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:14:00.00
			2890	060705	SC08B, SC08C, SC08D, SC08E, SC08F, SC08G & S	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:35:21.00
			2890	060705	SC08B, SC08C, SC08D, SC08E, SC08F, SC08G & S	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:35:24.00
			2890	060705	SC08B, SC08C, SC08D, SC08E, SC08F, SC08G & S	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:35:28.00
			2890	060705	SC08B, SC08C, SC08D, SC08E, SC08F, SC08G & S	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:35:31.00
			2890	060705	SC08B, SC08C, SC08D, SC08E, SC08F, SC08G & S	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:35:14.00
			2890	060705	SC08B, SC08C, SC08D, SC08E, SC08F, SC08G & S	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:35:38.00
			2890	060705	SC08B, SC08C, SC08D, SC08E, SC08F, SC08G & S	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:35:42.00
			2890	060705	SC08B, SC08C, SC08D, SC08E, SC08F, SC08G & S	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:35:45.00
			2890	060705	SC08B, SC08C, SC08D, SC08E, SC08F, SC08G & S	10	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:35:49.00
			2890	060705	SC08B, SC08C, SC08D, SC08E, SC08F, SC08G & S	11	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:35:52.00
			2890	060705	SC08B, SC08C, SC08D, SC08E, SC08F, SC08G & S	12	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:35:56.00
			2890	060705	SC08B, SC08C, SC08D, SC08E, SC08F, SC08G & S	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:35:17.00
			2890	060705	SC08B, SC08C, SC08D, SC08E, SC08F, SC08G & S	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:35:35.00
			2900	060168	SC09A, SC09B, SC09C & SC27	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:46:47.00
			2900	060168	SC09A, SC09B, SC09C & SC27	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:47:03.00
			2900	060168	SC09A, SC09B, SC09C & SC27	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:46:40.00
			2900	060168	SC09A, SC09B, SC09C & SC27	10	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:47:07.00
			2900	060168	SC09A, SC09B, SC09C & SC27	16	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:47:26.00
			2900	060168	SC09A, SC09B, SC09C & SC27	15	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:47:23.00
			2900	060168	SC09A, SC09B, SC09C & SC27	14	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:47:20.00
			2900	060168	SC09A, SC09B, SC09C & SC27	13	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:47:16.00
			2900	060168	SC09A, SC09B, SC09C & SC27	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:46:44.00
			2900	060168	SC09A, SC09B, SC09C & SC27	11	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:47:10.00
			2900	060168	SC09A, SC09B, SC09C & SC27	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:47:29.00
			2900	060168	SC09A, SC09B, SC09C & SC27	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:46:37.00
			2900	060168	SC09A, SC09B, SC09C & SC27	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:47:00.00
			2900	060168	SC09A, SC09B, SC09C & SC27	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:46:57.00
			2900	060168	SC09A, SC09B, SC09C & SC27	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:46:53.00
			2900	060168	SC09A, SC09B, SC09C & SC27	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:46:50.00
			2900	060168	SC09A, SC09B, SC09C & SC27	12	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:47:13.00
			2904	060805	SC11A, SC11B & SC29A	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:52:41.00
			2904	060805	SC11A, SC11B & SC29A	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:52:44.00
			2904	060805	SC11A, SC11B & SC29A	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:52:24.00
			2904	060805	SC11A, SC11B & SC29A	13	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:52:57.00
			2904	060805	SC11A, SC11B & SC29A	12	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:52:34.00
			2904	060805	SC11A, SC11B & SC29A	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:52:14.00
			2904	060805	SC11A, SC11B & SC29A	10	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:52:27.00
			2904	060805	SC11A, SC11B & SC29A	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:52:21.00

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	2904	060805	SC11A, SC11B & SC29A	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:52:18.00
			2904	060805	SC11A, SC11B & SC29A	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:52:37.00
			2904	060805	SC11A, SC11B & SC29A	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:52:54.00
			2904	060805	SC11A, SC11B & SC29A	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:52:51.00
			2904	060805	SC11A, SC11B & SC29A	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:52:47.00
			2904	060805	SC11A, SC11B & SC29A	11	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:52:31.00
			2906	060743	SC14A & SC14B	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:30:10.00
			2906	060743	SC14A & SC14B	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:30:07.00
			2906	060743	SC14A & SC14B	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:30:03.00
			2907	060780	SC15	10	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:56:07.00
			2907	060780	SC15	11	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:56:23.00
			2907	060780	SC15	12	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:56:26.00
			2907	060780	SC15	13	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:56:29.00
			2907	060780	SC15	14	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:56:33.00
			2907	060780	SC15	8	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:56:01.00
			2907	060780	SC15	7	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:55:58.00
			2907	060780	SC15	9	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:56:04.00
			2907	060780	SC15	6	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:55:55.00
			2907	060780	SC15	5	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:55:51.00
			2907	060780	SC15	4	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:56:17.00
			2907	060780	SC15	3	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:56:10.00
			2907	060780	SC15	2	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:56:20.00
			2907	060780	SC15	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:55:48.00
			2907	060780	SC15	1	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:56:14.00
			2960	060590	SC16A & SC16B	4	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:10:29.00
			2960	060590	SC16A & SC16B	10	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:10:32.00
			2960	060590	SC16A & SC16B	9	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:10:13.00
			2960	060590	SC16A & SC16B	8	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:10:25.00
			2960	060590	SC16A & SC16B	7	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:10:22.00
			2960	060590	SC16A & SC16B	5	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:10:16.00
			2960	060590	SC16A & SC16B	3	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:10:45.00
			2960	060590	SC16A & SC16B	2	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:10:42.00
			2960	060590	SC16A & SC16B	1	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:10:38.00
			2960	060590	SC16A & SC16B	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:10:35.00
			2960	060590	SC16A & SC16B	6	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:10:19.00
			2982	060580	SC17A, SC17B, SC17C, SC18A, SC18B & SC18C	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:41:29.00
			2982	060580	SC17A, SC17B, SC17C, SC18A, SC18B & SC18C	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:41:13.00
			2982	060580	SC17A, SC17B, SC17C, SC18A, SC18B & SC18C	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:41:36.00
			2982	060580	SC17A, SC17B, SC17C, SC18A, SC18B & SC18C	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:41:39.00
			2982	060580	SC17A, SC17B, SC17C, SC18A, SC18B & SC18C	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:41:42.00

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	2982	060580	SC17A, SC17B, SC17C, SC18A, SC18B & SC18C	10	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:41:46.00
			2982	060580	SC17A, SC17B, SC17C, SC18A, SC18B & SC18C	11	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:41:49.00
			2982	060580	SC17A, SC17B, SC17C, SC18A, SC18B & SC18C	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:41:20.00
			2982	060580	SC17A, SC17B, SC17C, SC18A, SC18B & SC18C	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:41:26.00
			2982	060580	SC17A, SC17B, SC17C, SC18A, SC18B & SC18C	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:41:17.00
			2982	060580	SC17A, SC17B, SC17C, SC18A, SC18B & SC18C	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:41:33.00
			2982	060580	SC17A, SC17B, SC17C, SC18A, SC18B & SC18C	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:41:23.00
			3000	060578	SC19A & SC19B	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:34:21.00
			3000	060578	SC19A & SC19B	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:34:18.00
			3000	060578	SC19A & SC19B	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:34:15.00
			3020	060564	SC23A, SC23B & SC23C	13	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:25:13.00
			3020	060564	SC23A, SC23B & SC23C	6	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:25:34.00
			3020	060564	SC23A, SC23B & SC23C	12	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:24:56.00
			3020	060564	SC23A, SC23B & SC23C	11	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:25:20.00
			3020	060564	SC23A, SC23B & SC23C	10	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:25:10.00
			3020	060564	SC23A, SC23B & SC23C	9	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:25:24.00
			3020	060564	SC23A, SC23B & SC23C	7	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:24:53.00
			3020	060564	SC23A, SC23B & SC23C	5	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:25:30.00
			3020	060564	SC23A, SC23B & SC23C	4	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:25:03.00
			3020	060564	SC23A, SC23B & SC23C	3	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:25:17.00
			3020	060564	SC23A, SC23B & SC23C	2	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:25:27.00
			3020	060564	SC23A, SC23B & SC23C	1	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:25:37.00
			3020	060564	SC23A, SC23B & SC23C	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:25:00.00
			3020	060564	SC23A, SC23B & SC23C	8	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:25:07.00
			3050	060799	SC30A & SC30B	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:31:30.00
			3050	060799	SC30A & SC30B	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:31:37.00
			3050	060799	SC30A & SC30B	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:31:33.00
			3060	060153	SS01	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:50:17.00
			3060	060153	SS01	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:50:33.00
			3060	060153	SS01	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:50:30.00
			3060	060153	SS01	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:50:26.00
			3060	060153	SS01	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:50:23.00
			3060	060153	SS01	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:50:20.00
			3060	060153	SS01	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:49:59.00
			3060	060153	SS01	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:50:13.00
			3060	060153	SS01	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:50:10.00
			3060	060153	SS01	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:50:06.00
			3060	060153	SS01	10	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:50:03.00
			3070	060710	SS02A, SS02B, SS19A, SS19B, SS20 & SS26	3	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:26:47.00
			3070	060710	SS02A, SS02B, SS19A, SS19B, SS20 & SS26	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:26:37.00

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	3070	060710	SS02A, SS02B, SS19A, SS19B, SS20 & SS26	17	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:27:10.00
			3070	060710	SS02A, SS02B, SS19A, SS19B, SS20 & SS26	9	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:26:24.00
			3070	060710	SS02A, SS02B, SS19A, SS19B, SS20 & SS26	15	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:26:14.00
			3070	060710	SS02A, SS02B, SS19A, SS19B, SS20 & SS26	14	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:27:00.00
			3070	060710	SS02A, SS02B, SS19A, SS19B, SS20 & SS26	13	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:26:57.00
			3070	060710	SS02A, SS02B, SS19A, SS19B, SS20 & SS26	12	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:26:33.00
			3070	060710	SS02A, SS02B, SS19A, SS19B, SS20 & SS26	1	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:26:41.00
			3070	060710	SS02A, SS02B, SS19A, SS19B, SS20 & SS26	10	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:26:27.00
			3070	060710	SS02A, SS02B, SS19A, SS19B, SS20 & SS26	2	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:26:44.00
			3070	060710	SS02A, SS02B, SS19A, SS19B, SS20 & SS26	8	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:26:20.00
			3070	060710	SS02A, SS02B, SS19A, SS19B, SS20 & SS26	7	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:26:17.00
			3070	060710	SS02A, SS02B, SS19A, SS19B, SS20 & SS26	6	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:27:03.00
			3070	060710	SS02A, SS02B, SS19A, SS19B, SS20 & SS26	5	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:26:54.00
			3070	060710	SS02A, SS02B, SS19A, SS19B, SS20 & SS26	4	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:26:50.00
			3070	060710	SS02A, SS02B, SS19A, SS19B, SS20 & SS26	11	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:26:30.00
			3070	060710	SS02A, SS02B, SS19A, SS19B, SS20 & SS26	18	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:27:13.00
			3070	060710	SS02A, SS02B, SS19A, SS19B, SS20 & SS26	16	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:27:07.00
			3070	060710	SS02A, SS02B, SS19A, SS19B, SS20 & SS26	25	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:27:32.00
			3070	060710	SS02A, SS02B, SS19A, SS19B, SS20 & SS26	30	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:27:49.00
			3070	060710	SS02A, SS02B, SS19A, SS19B, SS20 & SS26	29	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:27:46.00
			3070	060710	SS02A, SS02B, SS19A, SS19B, SS20 & SS26	28	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:27:42.00
			3070	060710	SS02A, SS02B, SS19A, SS19B, SS20 & SS26	26	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:27:36.00
			3070	060710	SS02A, SS02B, SS19A, SS19B, SS20 & SS26	24	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:27:29.00
			3070	060710	SS02A, SS02B, SS19A, SS19B, SS20 & SS26	23	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:27:26.00
			3070	060710	SS02A, SS02B, SS19A, SS19B, SS20 & SS26	22	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:27:52.00
			3070	060710	SS02A, SS02B, SS19A, SS19B, SS20 & SS26	21	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:27:23.00
			3070	060710	SS02A, SS02B, SS19A, SS19B, SS20 & SS26	20	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:27:19.00
			3070	060710	SS02A, SS02B, SS19A, SS19B, SS20 & SS26	19	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:27:16.00
			3070	060710	SS02A, SS02B, SS19A, SS19B, SS20 & SS26	27	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:27:39.00
			3090	060095	SS03	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:44:28.00
			3090	060095	SS03	2	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:13:55.00
			3090	060095	SS03	3	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:13:52.00
			3090	060095	SS03	1	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:13:48.00
			3100	060257	SS04	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:43:55.00
			3100	060257	SS04	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:43:58.00
			3100	060257	SS04	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:43:51.00
			3100	060257	SS04	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:43:48.00
			3100	060257	SS04	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:43:45.00
			3100	060257	SS04	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:43:41.00
			3100	060257	SS04	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:44:05.00

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	3100	060257	SS04	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:44:02.00
			3120	060576	SS05 & SS06	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:43:29.00
			3120	060576	SS05 & SS06	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:43:35.00
			3120	060576	SS05 & SS06	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:43:25.00
			3120	060576	SS05 & SS06	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:43:22.00
			3120	060576	SS05 & SS06	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:43:18.00
			3120	060576	SS05 & SS06	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:43:15.00
			3120	060576	SS05 & SS06	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:43:32.00
			3120	060576	SS05 & SS06	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:43:39.00
			3130	060122	SS07A, SS07B, SS07C, SS08A, SS08B, SS08C & SSO	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:29:29.00
			3130	060122	SS07A, SS07B, SS07C, SS08A, SS08B, SS08C & SSO	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:29:33.00
			3130	060122	SS07A, SS07B, SS07C, SS08A, SS08B, SS08C & SSO	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:49:00.00
			3130	060122	SS07A, SS07B, SS07C, SS08A, SS08B, SS08C & SSO	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:29:36.00
			3130	060122	SS07A, SS07B, SS07C, SS08A, SS08B, SS08C & SSO	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:29:40.00
			3130	060122	SS07A, SS07B, SS07C, SS08A, SS08B, SS08C & SSO	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:29:22.00
			3130	060122	SS07A, SS07B, SS07C, SS08A, SS08B, SS08C & SSO	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:49:52.00
			3130	060122	SS07A, SS07B, SS07C, SS08A, SS08B, SS08C & SSO	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:29:05.00
			3130	060122	SS07A, SS07B, SS07C, SS08A, SS08B, SS08C & SSO	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:29:08.00
			3130	060122	SS07A, SS07B, SS07C, SS08A, SS08B, SS08C & SSO	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:29:12.00
			3130	060122	SS07A, SS07B, SS07C, SS08A, SS08B, SS08C & SSO	10	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:29:15.00
			3130	060122	SS07A, SS07B, SS07C, SS08A, SS08B, SS08C & SSO	11	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:29:18.00
			3130	060122	SS07A, SS07B, SS07C, SS08A, SS08B, SS08C & SSO	12	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:29:01.00
			3130	060122	SS07A, SS07B, SS07C, SS08A, SS08B, SS08C & SSO	13	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:29:26.00
			3130	060122	SS07A, SS07B, SS07C, SS08A, SS08B, SS08C & SSO	14	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:29:43.00
			3190	060634	SS09A & SS09B	15	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:16:10.00
			3190	060634	SS09A & SS09B	14	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:15:40.00
			3190	060634	SS09A & SS09B	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:16:04.00
			3190	060634	SS09A & SS09B	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:16:27.00
			3190	060634	SS09A & SS09B	13	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:15:53.00
			3190	060634	SS09A & SS09B	12	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:16:07.00
			3190	060634	SS09A & SS09B	11	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:16:20.00
			3190	060634	SS09A & SS09B	10	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:16:00.00
			3190	060634	SS09A & SS09B	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:15:37.00
			3190	060634	SS09A & SS09B	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:16:17.00
			3190	060634	SS09A & SS09B	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:15:50.00
			3190	060634	SS09A & SS09B	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:15:57.00
			3190	060634	SS09A & SS09B	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:16:13.00
			3190	060634	SS09A & SS09B	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:15:44.00
			3190	060634	SS09A & SS09B	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:16:23.00
			3190	060634	SS09A & SS09B	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:15:47.00

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	3210	060184	SS11A, SS11B, SS11C, SS11D, SS13A & SS13B	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:50:56.00
			3210	060184	SS11A, SS11B, SS11C, SS11D, SS13A & SS13B	12	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:50:46.00
			3210	060184	SS11A, SS11B, SS11C, SS11D, SS13A & SS13B	11	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:51:03.00
			3210	060184	SS11A, SS11B, SS11C, SS11D, SS13A & SS13B	10	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:51:10.00
			3210	060184	SS11A, SS11B, SS11C, SS11D, SS13A & SS13B	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:51:07.00
			3210	060184	SS11A, SS11B, SS11C, SS11D, SS13A & SS13B	14	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:51:17.00
			3210	060184	SS11A, SS11B, SS11C, SS11D, SS13A & SS13B	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:51:00.00
			3210	060184	SS11A, SS11B, SS11C, SS11D, SS13A & SS13B	15	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:51:21.00
			3210	060184	SS11A, SS11B, SS11C, SS11D, SS13A & SS13B	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:50:53.00
			3210	060184	SS11A, SS11B, SS11C, SS11D, SS13A & SS13B	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:50:49.00
			3210	060184	SS11A, SS11B, SS11C, SS11D, SS13A & SS13B	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:50:43.00
			3210	060184	SS11A, SS11B, SS11C, SS11D, SS13A & SS13B	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:50:39.00
			3210	060184	SS11A, SS11B, SS11C, SS11D, SS13A & SS13B	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:50:36.00
			3210	060184	SS11A, SS11B, SS11C, SS11D, SS13A & SS13B	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:50:29.00
			3210	060184	SS11A, SS11B, SS11C, SS11D, SS13A & SS13B	13	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:51:14.00
			3210	060184	SS11A, SS11B, SS11C, SS11D, SS13A & SS13B	19	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:51:34.00
			3210	060184	SS11A, SS11B, SS11C, SS11D, SS13A & SS13B	18	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:51:31.00
			3210	060184	SS11A, SS11B, SS11C, SS11D, SS13A & SS13B	17	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:51:27.00
			3210	060184	SS11A, SS11B, SS11C, SS11D, SS13A & SS13B	16	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:51:24.00
			3210	060184	SS11A, SS11B, SS11C, SS11D, SS13A & SS13B	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:50:33.00
			3250	060128	SS12 & SS14	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:47:01.00
			3250	060128	SS12 & SS14	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:46:58.00
			3250	060128	SS12 & SS14	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:46:54.00
			3250	060128	SS12 & SS14	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:46:51.00
			3250	060128	SS12 & SS14	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:46:34.00
			3250	060128	SS12 & SS14	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:46:41.00
			3250	060128	SS12 & SS14	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:46:37.00
			3250	060128	SS12 & SS14	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:46:48.00
			3250	060128	SS12 & SS14	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:46:44.00
			3290	060611	SS15A & SS15B	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:53:51.00
			3290	060611	SS15A & SS15B	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:53:55.00
			3290	060611	SS15A & SS15B	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:53:42.00
			3290	060611	SS15A & SS15B	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:54:02.00
			3290	060611	SS15A & SS15B	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:54:05.00
			3290	060611	SS15A & SS15B	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:54:08.00
			3290	060611	SS15A & SS15B	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:54:11.00
			3290	060611	SS15A & SS15B	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:53:58.00
			3290	060611	SS15A & SS15B	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:53:48.00
			3290	060611	SS15A & SS15B	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:53:45.00
			3295	060228	SS16	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:43:25.00

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	3295	060228	SS16	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:43:38.00
			3295	060228	SS16	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:43:32.00
			3295	060228	SS16	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:43:35.00
			3295	060228	SS16	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:43:28.00
			3320	060783	SS17	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:36:54.00
			3320	060783	SS17	1	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:36:34.00
			3320	060783	SS17	2	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:36:47.00
			3320	060783	SS17	3	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:36:44.00
			3320	060783	SS17	4	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:36:51.00
			3320	060783	SS17	5	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:36:37.00
			3320	060783	SS17	6	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:36:31.00
			3320	060783	SS17	7	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:36:41.00
			3330	060238	SS18A, SS18B & SS31	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:00:11.00
			3330	060238	SS18A, SS18B & SS31	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:00:08.00
			3330	060238	SS18A, SS18B & SS31	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:59:58.00
			3330	060238	SS18A, SS18B & SS31	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:00:05.00
			3330	060238	SS18A, SS18B & SS31	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:00:01.00
			3370	060282	SS22	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:44:47.00
			3370	060282	SS22	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:45:04.00
			3370	060282	SS22	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:44:57.00
			3370	060282	SS22	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:45:00.00
			3370	060282	SS22	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:44:50.00
			3370	060282	SS22	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:45:07.00
			3370	060282	SS22	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:44:54.00
			3400	060602	SS29A	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:02:30.00
			3400	060602	SS29A	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:02:37.00
			3400	060602	SS29A	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:02:27.00
			3400	060602	SS29A	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:02:44.00
			3400	060602	SS29A	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:02:41.00
			3400	060602	SS29A	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:02:34.00
			3420	060584	UC01A, UC01B, UC01D & UC01E	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:33:07.00
			3420	060584	UC01A, UC01B, UC01D & UC01E	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:33:24.00
			3420	060584	UC01A, UC01B, UC01D & UC01E	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:33:20.00
			3420	060584	UC01A, UC01B, UC01D & UC01E	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:33:17.00
			3420	060584	UC01A, UC01B, UC01D & UC01E	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:32:54.00
			3420	060584	UC01A, UC01B, UC01D & UC01E	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:33:04.00
			3420	060584	UC01A, UC01B, UC01D & UC01E	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:33:01.00
			3420	060584	UC01A, UC01B, UC01D & UC01E	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:32:57.00
			3420	060584	UC01A, UC01B, UC01D & UC01E	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:33:11.00
			3420	060584	UC01A, UC01B, UC01D & UC01E	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:33:14.00

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	3430	060552	UC02A & UC02B	17	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:18:19.00
			3430	060552	UC02A & UC02B	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:17:28.00
			3430	060552	UC02A & UC02B	2	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:17:34.00
			3430	060552	UC02A & UC02B	3	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:17:38.00
			3430	060552	UC02A & UC02B	10	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:18:03.00
			3430	060552	UC02A & UC02B	16	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:18:16.00
			3430	060552	UC02A & UC02B	15	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:18:13.00
			3430	060552	UC02A & UC02B	14	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:18:09.00
			3430	060552	UC02A & UC02B	13	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:18:06.00
			3430	060552	UC02A & UC02B	1	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:17:31.00
			3430	060552	UC02A & UC02B	11	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:17:53.00
			3430	060552	UC02A & UC02B	9	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:17:50.00
			3430	060552	UC02A & UC02B	8	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:18:00.00
			3430	060552	UC02A & UC02B	7	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:17:57.00
			3430	060552	UC02A & UC02B	6	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:17:47.00
			3430	060552	UC02A & UC02B	5	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:17:44.00
			3430	060552	UC02A & UC02B	4	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:17:41.00
			3430	060552	UC02A & UC02B	12	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:17:25.00
			3440	060229	UC031, UC032, SC211 & SC212	17	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:14:15.00
			3440	060229	UC031, UC032, SC211 & SC212	2	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:14:11.00
			3440	060229	UC031, UC032, SC211 & SC212	3	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:14:18.00
			3440	060229	UC031, UC032, SC211 & SC212	4	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:13:35.00
			3440	060229	UC031, UC032, SC211 & SC212	5	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:13:38.00
			3440	060229	UC031, UC032, SC211 & SC212	6	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:13:41.00
			3440	060229	UC031, UC032, SC211 & SC212	7	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:13:45.00
			3440	060229	UC031, UC032, SC211 & SC212	8	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:13:48.00
			3440	060229	UC031, UC032, SC211 & SC212	9	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:13:51.00
			3440	060229	UC031, UC032, SC211 & SC212	10	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:13:24.00
			3440	060229	UC031, UC032, SC211 & SC212	11	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:13:58.00
			3440	060229	UC031, UC032, SC211 & SC212	12	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:14:01.00
			3440	060229	UC031, UC032, SC211 & SC212	13	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:14:05.00
			3440	060229	UC031, UC032, SC211 & SC212	14	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:13:55.00
			3440	060229	UC031, UC032, SC211 & SC212	15	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:13:32.00
			3440	060229	UC031, UC032, SC211 & SC212	16	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:14:22.00
			3440	060229	UC031, UC032, SC211 & SC212	1	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:14:08.00
			3440	060229	UC031, UC032, SC211 & SC212	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:13:28.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	50	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:35:32.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	36	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:23:41.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	21	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:42:21.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	22	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:41:58.00

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	3441		Advance in Person 1	23	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:42:05.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	24	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:42:30.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	25	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:42:39.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	26	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:42:49.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	27	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:23:33.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	28	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:24:32.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	29	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:24:39.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	30	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:24:58.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	31	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:24:01.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	32	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:23:51.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	33	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:24:24.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	42	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:21:55.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	48	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:21:44.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	47	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:21:04.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	46	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:20:37.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	45	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:20:53.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	34	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:24:49.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	43	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:21:16.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	35	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:24:10.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	41	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:02:38.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	40	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:24:17.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	39	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:36:28.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	38	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:41:03.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	37	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:05:05.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	18	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:41:09.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	44	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:20:26.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	20	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:41:41.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:25:49.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:28:04.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:25:33.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:28:40.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	11	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:28:15.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	17	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:42:12.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	16	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:40:45.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	15	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:41:32.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	14	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:41:24.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:28:27.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	12	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:41:49.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	19	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:40:55.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	10	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:25:22.00

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	3441		Advance in Person 1	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:29:18.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:26:00.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:28:52.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:29:33.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:29:07.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	13	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:41:18.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	51	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:36:22.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	49	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:21:32.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	84	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:30:05.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	85	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:20:46.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	86	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:31:41.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	87	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:31:34.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	88	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:31:28.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	89	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:31:24.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	90	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:31:19.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	91	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:31:14.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	92	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:35:10.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	93	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:35:02.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	94	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:34:58.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	95	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:34:55.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	96	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:35:13.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	97	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:35:07.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	81	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:32:33.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	58	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:36:17.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	83	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:32:17.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	64	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:18:36.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	63	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:17:04.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	62	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:17:18.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	61	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:36:45.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	66	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:17:43.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	59	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:36:40.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	67	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:17:57.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	57	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:36:02.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	56	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:36:11.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	55	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:35:40.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	54	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:35:47.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	53	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:35:54.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	52	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:36:33.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	60	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:36:06.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	74	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:30:50.00

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	3441		Advance in Person 1	80	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:32:23.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	79	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:32:27.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	78	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:32:06.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	77	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:30:19.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	65	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:17:30.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	75	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:31:01.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	82	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:32:12.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	73	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:30:39.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	72	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:30:28.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	71	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:29:58.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	70	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:30:10.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	69	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:18:22.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	68	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:18:10.00
			3441		Advance in Person 1	76	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:31:12.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	95	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:30:56.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	80	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:25:07.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	81	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:25:04.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	82	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:25:13.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	83	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:24:59.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	84	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:23:45.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	85	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:26:26.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	86	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:23:51.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	87	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:23:55.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	88	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:22:16.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	89	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:22:06.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	90	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:22:09.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	91	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:22:13.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	92	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:29:11.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	93	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:29:15.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	94	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:28:57.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	97	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:29:06.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	96	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:29:02.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	77	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:09:36.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:35:06.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	15	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:09:36.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:34:20.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	79	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:41:12.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	13	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:39:08.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	12	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:39:04.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	11	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:38:59.00

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	3442		Advance in Person 2	10	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:39:13.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:33:50.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:34:08.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:33:39.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:34:54.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:35:18.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:35:28.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:34:31.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:34:43.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	16	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:09:28.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	64	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:16:42.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	49	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:40:37.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	50	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:39:04.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	51	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:40:15.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	52	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:39:32.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	53	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:40:25.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	54	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:40:03.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	55	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:41:24.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	56	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:40:48.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	57	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:39:53.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	58	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:41:18.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	59	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:17:11.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	60	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:17:52.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	61	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:16:32.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	14	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:09:32.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	70	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:18:01.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	76	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:27:04.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	75	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:18:13.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	74	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:12:34.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	73	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:17:19.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	62	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:17:28.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	71	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:18:09.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	63	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:22:29.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	69	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:17:02.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	68	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:17:44.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	67	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:16:53.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	66	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:18:20.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	65	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:18:29.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	46	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:39:43.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	72	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:17:37.00

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	3442		Advance in Person 2	23	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:27:13.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	48	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:38:56.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	29	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:02:05.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	28	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:01:50.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	27	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:02:46.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	26	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:02:25.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	31	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:02:39.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	24	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:27:47.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	32	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:02:31.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	22	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:27:59.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	21	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:28:12.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	20	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:28:25.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	19	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:27:35.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	18	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:26:57.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	17	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:09:39.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	25	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:27:24.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	39	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:17:31.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	78	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:17:38.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	45	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:39:11.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	44	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:39:23.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	43	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:16:14.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	42	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:16:48.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	30	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:02:54.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	40	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:17:20.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	47	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:41:05.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	38	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:16:37.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	37	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:17:08.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	36	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:16:58.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	35	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:01:57.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	34	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:02:11.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	33	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:02:18.00
			3442		Advance in Person 2	41	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:16:25.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	28	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:51:35.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	14	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:27:53.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	15	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:29:17.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	16	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:27:43.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	17	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:28:59.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	18	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:53:01.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	19	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:53:21.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	20	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:52:14.00

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	3443		Advance in Person 3	21	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:52:03.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	22	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:53:12.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	23	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:52:50.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	24	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:52:34.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	25	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:53:30.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	12	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:28:28.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	34	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:22:48.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	41	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:23:05.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	40	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:22:18.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	39	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:23:25.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	38	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:23:18.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	37	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:23:11.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	26	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:53:40.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	35	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:22:41.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	27	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:53:47.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	33	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:22:29.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	32	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:51:42.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	31	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:52:41.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	30	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:51:53.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	29	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:52:24.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	11	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:28:49.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	36	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:22:56.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	13	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:28:17.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:13:50.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	10	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:29:08.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:28:04.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:28:38.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:14:27.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:14:18.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:13:16.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:13:38.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:13:26.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:14:00.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	44	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:25:01.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:14:09.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	91	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:20:30.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	76	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:32:43.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	77	Uploaded	2018/11/07 16:34:40.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	78	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:59:04.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	79	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:31:37.00

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	3443		Advance in Person 3	80	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:31:19.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	81	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:32:16.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	82	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:32:07.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	83	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:32:53.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	84	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:33:13.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	85	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:32:33.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	86	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:32:26.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	87	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:31:46.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	88	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:31:30.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	42	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:22:36.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	97	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:30:45.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	89	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:14:33.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	90	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:20:38.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	96	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:30:56.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	95	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:30:53.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	94	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:30:50.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	93	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:20:34.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	92	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:20:26.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	73	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:02:03.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	50	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:25:50.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	75	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:31:57.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	56	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:25:56.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	55	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:25:38.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	54	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:25:43.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	53	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:25:26.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	58	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:10:48.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	51	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:24:34.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	59	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:11:13.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	49	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:25:33.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	48	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:25:14.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	47	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:24:54.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	46	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:25:21.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	45	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:24:26.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	52	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:25:08.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	66	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:11:47.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	43	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:24:46.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	72	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:24:40.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	71	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:22:24.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	70	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:51:48.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	69	Uploaded	2018/11/07 14:11:54.00

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	3443		Advance in Person 3	57	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:26:02.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	67	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:10:56.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	74	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:33:04.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	65	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:11:55.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	64	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:11:21.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	63	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:11:30.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	62	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:11:04.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	61	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:11:38.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	60	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:10:36.00
			3443		Advance in Person 3	68	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:10:43.00

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Jan 15, 2019
4:05:47PM

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	50		Advance in Person	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:35:42.00
			50		Advance in Person	32	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:35:43.00
			50		Advance in Person	41	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:35:44.00
			50		Advance in Person	23	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:35:51.00
			50		Advance in Person	24	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:36:24.00
			50		Advance in Person	25	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:35:58.00
			50		Advance in Person	26	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:36:16.00
			50		Advance in Person	27	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:35:58.00
			50		Advance in Person	28	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:36:00.00
			50		Advance in Person	29	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:36:07.00
			50		Advance in Person	21	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:36:14.00
			50		Advance in Person	31	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:36:45.00
			50		Advance in Person	20	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:36:28.00
			50		Advance in Person	33	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:35:46.00
			50		Advance in Person	34	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:36:23.00
			50		Advance in Person	35	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:35:38.00
			50		Advance in Person	36	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:35:13.00
			50		Advance in Person	37	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:36:34.00
			50		Advance in Person	38	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:35:22.00
			50		Advance in Person	39	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:35:35.00
			50		Advance in Person	30	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:35:22.00
			50		Advance in Person	11	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:36:23.00
			50		Advance in Person	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:36:05.00
			50		Advance in Person	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:36:31.00
			50		Advance in Person	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:35:47.00
			50		Advance in Person	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:35:34.00
			50		Advance in Person	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:36:07.00
			50		Advance in Person	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:36:08.00
			50		Advance in Person	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:35:49.00
			50		Advance in Person	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:36:39.00
			50		Advance in Person	22	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:36:13.00
			50		Advance in Person	10	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:36:12.00
			50		Advance in Person	42	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:35:27.00
			50		Advance in Person	12	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:36:20.00
			50		Advance in Person	13	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:36:39.00
			50		Advance in Person	14	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:36:17.00
			50		Advance in Person	15	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:36:00.00
			50		Advance in Person	16	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:36:09.00
			50		Advance in Person	17	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:36:55.00
			50		Advance in Person	18	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:35:41.00

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	50		Advance in Person	19	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:35:49.00
			50		Advance in Person	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:36:14.00
			50		Advance in Person	74	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:36:23.00
			50		Advance in Person	40	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:36:10.00
			50		Advance in Person	65	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:36:53.00
			50		Advance in Person	66	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:35:52.00
			50		Advance in Person	67	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:35:05.00
			50		Advance in Person	68	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:36:46.00
			50		Advance in Person	69	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:36:01.00
			50		Advance in Person	70	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:36:29.00
			50		Advance in Person	71	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:36:47.00
			50		Advance in Person	63	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:36:29.00
			50		Advance in Person	73	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:36:57.00
			50		Advance in Person	62	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:36:41.00
			50		Advance in Person	72	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:37:09.00
			50		Advance in Person	53	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:35:17.00
			50		Advance in Person	43	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:35:28.00
			50		Advance in Person	44	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:35:32.00
			50		Advance in Person	45	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:35:37.00
			50		Advance in Person	46	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:35:56.00
			50		Advance in Person	47	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:35:31.00
			50		Advance in Person	48	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:35:10.00
			50		Advance in Person	49	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:35:42.00
			50		Advance in Person	50	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:35:52.00
			50		Advance in Person	64	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:36:35.00
			50		Advance in Person	52	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:35:05.00
			50		Advance in Person	54	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:35:54.00
			50		Advance in Person	55	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:35:14.00
			50		Advance in Person	56	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:35:18.00
			50		Advance in Person	57	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:34:58.00
			50		Advance in Person	58	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:36:06.00
			50		Advance in Person	59	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:35:36.00
			50		Advance in Person	60	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:35:27.00
			50		Advance in Person	61	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:36:18.00
			50		Advance in Person	51	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:36:03.00
			70	067067	001 Harbins A	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:26:34.00
			70	067067	001 Harbins A	11	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:26:25.00
			70	067067	001 Harbins A	12	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:26:02.00
			70	067067	001 Harbins A	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:26:38.00
			70	067067	001 Harbins A	10	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:26:22.00

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	70	067067	001 Harbins A	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:26:19.00
			70	067067	001 Harbins A	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:26:09.00
			70	067067	001 Harbins A	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:25:59.00
			70	067067	001 Harbins A	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:26:12.00
			70	067067	001 Harbins A	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:26:29.00
			70	067067	001 Harbins A	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:26:06.00
			70	067067	001 Harbins A	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:26:16.00
			70	067067	001 Harbins A	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:26:32.00
			80	067003	002 Rockbridge A	7	Uploaded	2018/11/07 02:14:56.00
			80	067003	002 Rockbridge A	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 02:14:59.00
			80	067003	002 Rockbridge A	1	Uploaded	2018/11/07 02:15:09.00
			80	067003	002 Rockbridge A	2	Uploaded	2018/11/07 02:14:53.00
			80	067003	002 Rockbridge A	3	Uploaded	2018/11/07 02:15:06.00
			80	067003	002 Rockbridge A	4	Uploaded	2018/11/07 02:15:12.00
			80	067003	002 Rockbridge A	6	Uploaded	2018/11/07 02:15:03.00
			80	067003	002 Rockbridge A	5	Uploaded	2018/11/07 02:14:49.00
			90	067073	003 Dacula	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:09:33.00
			90	067073	003 Dacula	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:09:13.00
			90	067073	003 Dacula	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:09:36.00
			90	067073	003 Dacula	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:09:29.00
			90	067073	003 Dacula	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:09:20.00
			90	067073	003 Dacula	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:09:43.00
			90	067073	003 Dacula	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:09:39.00
			90	067073	003 Dacula	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:09:23.00
			90	067073	003 Dacula	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:09:16.00
			90	067073	003 Dacula	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:09:26.00
			100	067129	004 Suwanee A	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:13:16.00
			100	067129	004 Suwanee A	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:13:13.00
			100	067129	004 Suwanee A	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:13:19.00
			100	067129	004 Suwanee A	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:13:25.00
			100	067129	004 Suwanee A	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:13:22.00
			100	067129	004 Suwanee A	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:13:09.00
			110	067048	005 Baycreek A	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:15:44.00
			110	067048	005 Baycreek A	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:15:31.00
			110	067048	005 Baycreek A	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:15:34.00
			110	067048	005 Baycreek A	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:15:38.00
			110	067048	005 Baycreek A	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:15:48.00
			110	067048	005 Baycreek A	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:15:41.00
			120	067093	006 Goodwins A	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:57:36.00
			120	067093	006 Goodwins A	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:57:50.00

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	120	067093	006 Goodwins A	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:57:53.00
			120	067093	006 Goodwins A	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:57:47.00
			120	067093	006 Goodwins A	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:57:40.00
			120	067093	006 Goodwins A	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:57:43.00
			120	067093	006 Goodwins A	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:57:57.00
			120	067093	006 Goodwins A	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:57:33.00
			130	067028	007 Duluth A	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:57:03.00
			130	067028	007 Duluth A	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:56:53.00
			130	067028	007 Duluth A	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:57:00.00
			130	067028	007 Duluth A	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:56:50.00
			130	067028	007 Duluth A	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:57:10.00
			130	067028	007 Duluth A	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:56:56.00
			130	067028	007 Duluth A	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:57:07.00
			130	067028	007 Duluth A	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:56:46.00
			130	067028	007 Duluth A	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:56:43.00
			130	067028	007 Duluth A	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:56:40.00
			140	067062	008 Duncans A	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:09:42.00
			140	067062	008 Duncans A	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:09:48.00
			140	067062	008 Duncans A	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:09:38.00
			140	067062	008 Duncans A	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:09:45.00
			140	067062	008 Duncans A	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:09:25.00
			140	067062	008 Duncans A	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:09:28.00
			140	067062	008 Duncans A	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:09:21.00
			140	067062	008 Duncans A	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:09:35.00
			140	067062	008 Duncans A	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:09:32.00
			150	067021	009 Pucketts A	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:41:28.00
			150	067021	009 Pucketts A	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:41:19.00
			150	067021	009 Pucketts A	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:41:22.00
			150	067021	009 Pucketts A	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:41:35.00
			150	067021	009 Pucketts A	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:41:32.00
			150	067021	009 Pucketts A	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:41:09.00
			150	067021	009 Pucketts A	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:41:12.00
			150	067021	009 Pucketts A	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:41:25.00
			150	067021	009 Pucketts A	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:41:05.00
			150	067021	009 Pucketts A	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:41:16.00
			160	067134	010 Cates A	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:54:53.00
			160	067134	010 Cates A	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:54:47.00
			160	067134	010 Cates A	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:55:00.00
			160	067134	010 Cates A	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:55:03.00
			160	067134	010 Cates A	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:54:50.00

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	160	067134	010 Cates A	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:54:57.00
			170	067159	011 Berkshire A	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:25:06.00
			170	067159	011 Berkshire A	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:24:59.00
			170	067159	011 Berkshire A	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:25:16.00
			170	067159	011 Berkshire A	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:25:09.00
			170	067159	011 Berkshire A	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:25:03.00
			170	067159	011 Berkshire A	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:25:12.00
			180	067069	012 Berkshire B	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:12:53.00
			180	067069	012 Berkshire B	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:12:33.00
			180	067069	012 Berkshire B	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:12:26.00
			180	067069	012 Berkshire B	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:12:40.00
			180	067069	012 Berkshire B	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:12:43.00
			180	067069	012 Berkshire B	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:12:49.00
			180	067069	012 Berkshire B	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:12:23.00
			180	067069	012 Berkshire B	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:12:20.00
			180	067069	012 Berkshire B	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:12:46.00
			180	067069	012 Berkshire B	10	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:12:36.00
			180	067069	012 Berkshire B	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:12:30.00
			190	067182	013 Duncans C	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:48:04.00
			190	067182	013 Duncans C	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:48:14.00
			190	067182	013 Duncans C	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:48:11.00
			190	067182	013 Duncans C	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:47:58.00
			190	067182	013 Duncans C	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:48:01.00
			190	067182	013 Duncans C	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:48:08.00
			200	067098	014 Garners A	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:38:23.00
			200	067098	014 Garners A	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:38:30.00
			200	067098	014 Garners A	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:38:36.00
			200	067098	014 Garners A	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:38:40.00
			200	067098	014 Garners A	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:38:33.00
			200	067098	014 Garners A	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:38:43.00
			200	067098	014 Garners A	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:38:27.00
			210	067160	015 Lawrenceville A	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:29:33.00
			210	067160	015 Lawrenceville A	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:29:49.00
			210	067160	015 Lawrenceville A	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:29:45.00
			210	067160	015 Lawrenceville A	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:29:36.00
			210	067160	015 Lawrenceville A	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:29:29.00
			210	067160	015 Lawrenceville A	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:29:42.00
			210	067160	015 Lawrenceville A	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:29:39.00
			220	067065	016 Lawrenceville B	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:20:07.00
			220	067065	016 Lawrenceville B	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:20:14.00

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	220	067065	016 Lawrenceville B	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:20:20.00
			220	067065	016 Lawrenceville B	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:20:00.00
			220	067065	016 Lawrenceville B	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:20:10.00
			220	067065	016 Lawrenceville B	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:20:03.00
			220	067065	016 Lawrenceville B	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:19:50.00
			220	067065	016 Lawrenceville B	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:20:17.00
			220	067065	016 Lawrenceville B	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:19:54.00
			220	067065	016 Lawrenceville B	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:19:57.00
			230	067012	017 Martins A	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:08:41.00
			230	067012	017 Martins A	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:08:34.00
			230	067012	017 Martins A	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:08:37.00
			230	067012	017 Martins A	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:08:24.00
			230	067012	017 Martins A	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:08:21.00
			230	067012	017 Martins A	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:08:31.00
			230	067012	017 Martins A	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:08:28.00
			240	067103	018 Martins B	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:40:12.00
			240	067103	018 Martins B	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:40:19.00
			240	067103	018 Martins B	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:40:23.00
			240	067103	018 Martins B	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:40:06.00
			240	067103	018 Martins B	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:40:16.00
			240	067103	018 Martins B	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:40:09.00
			250	067020	019 Martins C	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:29:52.00
			250	067020	019 Martins C	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:29:49.00
			250	067020	019 Martins C	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:29:56.00
			250	067020	019 Martins C	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:29:59.00
			250	067020	019 Martins C	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:30:02.00
			250	067020	019 Martins C	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:30:06.00
			260	067144	020 Pinckneyville A	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:01:46.00
			260	067144	020 Pinckneyville A	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:01:53.00
			260	067144	020 Pinckneyville A	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:01:56.00
			260	067144	020 Pinckneyville A	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:01:43.00
			260	067144	020 Pinckneyville A	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:01:39.00
			260	067144	020 Pinckneyville A	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:01:50.00
			270	067127	021 Pinckneyville B	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:25:15.00
			270	067127	021 Pinckneyville B	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:25:08.00
			270	067127	021 Pinckneyville B	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:25:18.00
			270	067127	021 Pinckneyville B	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:25:12.00
			270	067127	021 Pinckneyville B	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:25:25.00
			270	067127	021 Pinckneyville B	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:25:21.00
			270	067127	021 Pinckneyville B	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:25:28.00

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	280	067203	022 Pinckneyville C	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:25:05.00
			280	067203	022 Pinckneyville C	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:25:02.00
			280	067203	022 Pinckneyville C	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:25:15.00
			280	067203	022 Pinckneyville C	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:25:12.00
			280	067203	022 Pinckneyville C	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:24:59.00
			280	067203	022 Pinckneyville C	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:25:08.00
			290	067094	023 Pinckneyville D	5	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:05:10.00
			290	067094	023 Pinckneyville D	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:04:57.00
			290	067094	023 Pinckneyville D	1	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:05:03.00
			290	067094	023 Pinckneyville D	2	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:05:07.00
			290	067094	023 Pinckneyville D	3	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:05:13.00
			290	067094	023 Pinckneyville D	4	Uploaded	2018/11/07 01:05:00.00
			300	067195	024 Sugar Hill A	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:28:28.00
			300	067195	024 Sugar Hill A	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:28:21.00
			300	067195	024 Sugar Hill A	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:28:41.00
			300	067195	024 Sugar Hill A	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:28:31.00
			300	067195	024 Sugar Hill A	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:28:38.00
			300	067195	024 Sugar Hill A	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:28:45.00
			300	067195	024 Sugar Hill A	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:28:35.00
			300	067195	024 Sugar Hill A	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:28:17.00
			300	067195	024 Sugar Hill A	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:28:25.00
			310	067161	025 Sugar Hill B	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:02:28.00
			310	067161	025 Sugar Hill B	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:02:11.00
			310	067161	025 Sugar Hill B	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:02:21.00
			310	067161	025 Sugar Hill B	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:02:25.00
			310	067161	025 Sugar Hill B	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:02:42.00
			310	067161	025 Sugar Hill B	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:02:32.00
			310	067161	025 Sugar Hill B	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:02:18.00
			310	067161	025 Sugar Hill B	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:02:14.00
			310	067161	025 Sugar Hill B	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:02:35.00
			310	067161	025 Sugar Hill B	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:02:39.00
			320	067126	026 Hog Mountain A	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:53:11.00
			320	067126	026 Hog Mountain A	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:53:14.00
			320	067126	026 Hog Mountain A	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:53:18.00
			320	067126	026 Hog Mountain A	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:53:21.00
			320	067126	026 Hog Mountain A	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:53:08.00
			320	067126	026 Hog Mountain A	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:53:01.00
			320	067126	026 Hog Mountain A	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:52:58.00
			320	067126	026 Hog Mountain A	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:53:05.00
			320	067126	026 Hog Mountain A	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:53:24.00

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	320	067126	026 Hog Mountain A	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:52:54.00
			330	067120	027 Hog Mountain B	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:05:19.00
			330	067120	027 Hog Mountain B	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:05:29.00
			330	067120	027 Hog Mountain B	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:05:12.00
			330	067120	027 Hog Mountain B	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:05:33.00
			330	067120	027 Hog Mountain B	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:05:09.00
			330	067120	027 Hog Mountain B	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:05:23.00
			330	067120	027 Hog Mountain B	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:05:26.00
			330	067120	027 Hog Mountain B	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:05:16.00
			330	067120	027 Hog Mountain B	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:05:36.00
			340	067150	028 Rockycreek A	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:38:26.00
			340	067150	028 Rockycreek A	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:38:30.00
			340	067150	028 Rockycreek A	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:38:53.00
			340	067150	028 Rockycreek A	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:38:23.00
			340	067150	028 Rockycreek A	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:38:47.00
			340	067150	028 Rockycreek A	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:38:40.00
			340	067150	028 Rockycreek A	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:38:43.00
			340	067150	028 Rockycreek A	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:38:33.00
			340	067150	028 Rockycreek A	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:38:50.00
			340	067150	028 Rockycreek A	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:38:37.00
			340	067150	028 Rockycreek A	10	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:38:57.00
			350	067059	029 Cates B	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:15:21.00
			350	067059	029 Cates B	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:15:12.00
			350	067059	029 Cates B	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:15:15.00
			350	067059	029 Cates B	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:15:18.00
			350	067059	029 Cates B	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:15:08.00
			350	067059	029 Cates B	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:15:05.00
			360	067024	030 Cates C	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:48:17.00
			360	067024	030 Cates C	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:48:24.00
			360	067024	030 Cates C	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:48:20.00
			360	067024	030 Cates C	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:48:27.00
			360	067024	030 Cates C	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:48:30.00
			360	067024	030 Cates C	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:48:14.00
			370	067030	031 Hog Mountain D	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:38:24.00
			370	067030	031 Hog Mountain D	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:38:27.00
			370	067030	031 Hog Mountain D	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:38:44.00
			370	067030	031 Hog Mountain D	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:38:37.00
			370	067030	031 Hog Mountain D	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:38:31.00
			370	067030	031 Hog Mountain D	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:38:41.00
			370	067030	031 Hog Mountain D	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:38:34.00

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	380	067080	032 Berkshire D	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:24:47.00
			380	067080	032 Berkshire D	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:24:44.00
			380	067080	032 Berkshire D	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:24:51.00
			380	067080	032 Berkshire D	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:24:58.00
			380	067080	032 Berkshire D	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:24:41.00
			380	067080	032 Berkshire D	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:24:54.00
			390	067004	033 Berkshire E	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:52:27.00
			390	067004	033 Berkshire E	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:52:23.00
			390	067004	033 Berkshire E	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:52:17.00
			390	067004	033 Berkshire E	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:52:30.00
			390	067004	033 Berkshire E	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:52:20.00
			390	067004	033 Berkshire E	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:52:14.00
			400	067088	034 Berkshire F	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:18:08.00
			400	067088	034 Berkshire F	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:18:11.00
			400	067088	034 Berkshire F	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:18:14.00
			400	067088	034 Berkshire F	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:18:05.00
			400	067088	034 Berkshire F	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:18:18.00
			400	067088	034 Berkshire F	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:18:01.00
			410	067013	035 Cates D	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:16:12.00
			410	067013	035 Cates D	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:16:01.00
			410	067013	035 Cates D	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:16:08.00
			410	067013	035 Cates D	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:15:54.00
			410	067013	035 Cates D	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:15:58.00
			410	067013	035 Cates D	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:16:05.00
			410	067013	035 Cates D	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:16:15.00
			420	067072	036 Cates E	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:24:48.00
			420	067072	036 Cates E	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:24:54.00
			420	067072	036 Cates E	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:24:58.00
			420	067072	036 Cates E	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:25:01.00
			420	067072	036 Cates E	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:24:51.00
			420	067072	036 Cates E	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:25:05.00
			430	067209	037 Pinckneyville E	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:21:27.00
			430	067209	037 Pinckneyville E	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:21:37.00
			430	067209	037 Pinckneyville E	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:21:40.00
			430	067209	037 Pinckneyville E	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:21:24.00
			430	067209	037 Pinckneyville E	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:21:33.00
			430	067209	037 Pinckneyville E	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:21:30.00
			440	067016	038 Pinckneyville F	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:38:31.00
			440	067016	038 Pinckneyville F	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:38:24.00
			440	067016	038 Pinckneyville F	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:38:34.00

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	440	067016	038 Pinckneyville F	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:38:37.00
			440	067016	038 Pinckneyville F	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:38:27.00
			440	067016	038 Pinckneyville F	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:38:41.00
			450	067186	039 Duncans D	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:26:48.00
			450	067186	039 Duncans D	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:27:12.00
			450	067186	039 Duncans D	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:26:52.00
			450	067186	039 Duncans D	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:27:02.00
			450	067186	039 Duncans D	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:26:55.00
			450	067186	039 Duncans D	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:27:05.00
			450	067186	039 Duncans D	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:27:15.00
			450	067186	039 Duncans D	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:24:46.00
			450	067186	039 Duncans D	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:26:41.00
			450	067186	039 Duncans D	10	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:26:58.00
			450	067186	039 Duncans D	11	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:27:09.00
			450	067186	039 Duncans D	12	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:24:42.00
			450	067186	039 Duncans D	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:26:45.00
			460	067204	040 Pinckneyville H	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:30:02.00
			460	067204	040 Pinckneyville H	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:30:09.00
			460	067204	040 Pinckneyville H	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:30:13.00
			460	067204	040 Pinckneyville H	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:30:16.00
			460	067204	040 Pinckneyville H	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:29:48.00
			460	067204	040 Pinckneyville H	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:29:55.00
			460	067204	040 Pinckneyville H	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:29:52.00
			460	067204	040 Pinckneyville H	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:29:59.00
			460	067204	040 Pinckneyville H	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:30:06.00
			470	067109	041 Baycreek B	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:19:45.00
			470	067109	041 Baycreek B	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:19:35.00
			470	067109	041 Baycreek B	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:20:05.00
			470	067109	041 Baycreek B	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:20:12.00
			470	067109	041 Baycreek B	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:19:52.00
			470	067109	041 Baycreek B	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:20:02.00
			470	067109	041 Baycreek B	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:19:39.00
			470	067109	041 Baycreek B	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:19:42.00
			470	067109	041 Baycreek B	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:19:59.00
			470	067109	041 Baycreek B	10	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:20:09.00
			470	067109	041 Baycreek B	11	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:19:49.00
			470	067109	041 Baycreek B	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:19:55.00
			480	067077	042 Lawrenceville C	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:27:51.00
			480	067077	042 Lawrenceville C	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:27:44.00
			480	067077	042 Lawrenceville C	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:27:47.00

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	480	067077	042 Lawrenceville C	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:27:57.00
			480	067077	042 Lawrenceville C	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:27:54.00
			480	067077	042 Lawrenceville C	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:27:40.00
			490	067148	043 Martins D	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:23:48.00
			490	067148	043 Martins D	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:23:44.00
			490	067148	043 Martins D	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:23:54.00
			490	067148	043 Martins D	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:23:58.00
			490	067148	043 Martins D	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:23:51.00
			490	067148	043 Martins D	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:23:41.00
			500	067032	044 Rockbridge B	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:40:55.00
			500	067032	044 Rockbridge B	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:38:41.00
			500	067032	044 Rockbridge B	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:38:44.00
			500	067032	044 Rockbridge B	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:38:54.00
			500	067032	044 Rockbridge B	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:38:47.00
			500	067032	044 Rockbridge B	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:38:51.00
			500	067032	044 Rockbridge B	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:39:01.00
			500	067032	044 Rockbridge B	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:38:57.00
			510	067130	045 Garners B	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:04:07.00
			510	067130	045 Garners B	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:04:03.00
			510	067130	045 Garners B	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:03:53.00
			510	067130	045 Garners B	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:03:57.00
			510	067130	045 Garners B	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:03:50.00
			510	067130	045 Garners B	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:04:00.00
			520	067101	046 Cates F	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:10:35.00
			520	067101	046 Cates F	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:10:39.00
			520	067101	046 Cates F	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:10:25.00
			520	067101	046 Cates F	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:10:29.00
			520	067101	046 Cates F	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:10:42.00
			520	067101	046 Cates F	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:10:32.00
			530	067031	047 Cates G	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:45:59.00
			530	067031	047 Cates G	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:46:10.00
			530	067031	047 Cates G	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:45:55.00
			530	067031	047 Cates G	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:46:02.00
			530	067031	047 Cates G	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:46:06.00
			530	067031	047 Cates G	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:45:52.00
			540	067092	048 Duluth B	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:15:08.00
			540	067092	048 Duluth B	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:15:15.00
			540	067092	048 Duluth B	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:15:05.00
			540	067092	048 Duluth B	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:15:01.00
			540	067092	048 Duluth B	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:15:18.00

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	540	067092	048 Duluth B	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:15:21.00
			540	067092	048 Duluth B	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:15:11.00
			550	067089	049 Garners C	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:30:42.00
			550	067089	049 Garners C	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:30:45.00
			550	067089	049 Garners C	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:30:38.00
			550	067089	049 Garners C	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:30:51.00
			550	067089	049 Garners C	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:30:48.00
			550	067089	049 Garners C	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:30:54.00
			560	067010	050 Pinckneyville I	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:02:56.00
			560	067010	050 Pinckneyville I	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:03:06.00
			560	067010	050 Pinckneyville I	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:02:53.00
			560	067010	050 Pinckneyville I	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:03:03.00
			560	067010	050 Pinckneyville I	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:03:10.00
			560	067010	050 Pinckneyville I	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:03:00.00
			570	067056	051 Pinckneyville J	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:43:25.00
			570	067056	051 Pinckneyville J	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:43:19.00
			570	067056	051 Pinckneyville J	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:43:35.00
			570	067056	051 Pinckneyville J	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:43:31.00
			570	067056	051 Pinckneyville J	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:43:22.00
			570	067056	051 Pinckneyville J	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:43:28.00
			580	067100	052 Berkshire G	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:52:07.00
			580	067100	052 Berkshire G	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:52:13.00
			580	067100	052 Berkshire G	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:52:20.00
			580	067100	052 Berkshire G	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:52:23.00
			580	067100	052 Berkshire G	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:52:17.00
			580	067100	052 Berkshire G	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:52:10.00
			590	067023	053 Cates H	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:17:57.00
			590	067023	053 Cates H	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:17:41.00
			590	067023	053 Cates H	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:18:01.00
			590	067023	053 Cates H	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:17:44.00
			590	067023	053 Cates H	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:17:51.00
			590	067023	053 Cates H	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:17:48.00
			590	067023	053 Cates H	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:17:54.00
			590	067023	053 Cates H	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:18:04.00
			600	067105	054 Sugar Hill C	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:05:14.00
			600	067105	054 Sugar Hill C	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:05:10.00
			600	067105	054 Sugar Hill C	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:05:17.00
			600	067105	054 Sugar Hill C	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:05:20.00
			600	067105	054 Sugar Hill C	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:05:34.00
			600	067105	054 Sugar Hill C	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:05:31.00

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	600	067105	054 Sugar Hill C	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:05:07.00
			600	067105	054 Sugar Hill C	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:05:27.00
			600	067105	054 Sugar Hill C	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:05:03.00
			600	067105	054 Sugar Hill C	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:05:24.00
			610	067119	055 Sugar Hill D	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:50:14.00
			610	067119	055 Sugar Hill D	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:50:04.00
			610	067119	055 Sugar Hill D	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:50:24.00
			610	067119	055 Sugar Hill D	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:50:28.00
			610	067119	055 Sugar Hill D	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:50:31.00
			610	067119	055 Sugar Hill D	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:50:11.00
			610	067119	055 Sugar Hill D	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:50:21.00
			610	067119	055 Sugar Hill D	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:50:18.00
			610	067119	055 Sugar Hill D	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:50:07.00
			620	067171	056 Duluth C	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:38:31.00
			620	067171	056 Duluth C	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:38:44.00
			620	067171	056 Duluth C	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:38:34.00
			620	067171	056 Duluth C	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:38:38.00
			620	067171	056 Duluth C	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:38:41.00
			620	067171	056 Duluth C	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:38:28.00
			630	067009	057 Duluth D	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:27:57.00
			630	067009	057 Duluth D	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:28:17.00
			630	067009	057 Duluth D	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:28:04.00
			630	067009	057 Duluth D	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:28:01.00
			630	067009	057 Duluth D	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:27:50.00
			630	067009	057 Duluth D	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:28:13.00
			630	067009	057 Duluth D	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:28:10.00
			630	067009	057 Duluth D	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:28:07.00
			630	067009	057 Duluth D	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:27:54.00
			640	067137	058 Pinckneyville K	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:38:26.00
			640	067137	058 Pinckneyville K	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:38:39.00
			640	067137	058 Pinckneyville K	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:38:43.00
			640	067137	058 Pinckneyville K	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:38:30.00
			640	067137	058 Pinckneyville K	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:38:36.00
			640	067137	058 Pinckneyville K	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:38:46.00
			640	067137	058 Pinckneyville K	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:38:33.00
			640	067137	058 Pinckneyville K	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:38:23.00
			650	067201	059 Pinckneyville N	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:09:20.00
			650	067201	059 Pinckneyville N	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:09:10.00
			650	067201	059 Pinckneyville N	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:09:00.00
			650	067201	059 Pinckneyville N	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:09:14.00

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	650	067201	059 Pinckneyville N	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:08:56.00
			650	067201	059 Pinckneyville N	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:09:07.00
			650	067201	059 Pinckneyville N	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:09:17.00
			650	067201	059 Pinckneyville N	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:09:04.00
			660	067122	060 Lawrenceville D	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:17:36.00
			660	067122	060 Lawrenceville D	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:17:52.00
			660	067122	060 Lawrenceville D	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:18:02.00
			660	067122	060 Lawrenceville D	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:17:58.00
			660	067122	060 Lawrenceville D	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:17:49.00
			660	067122	060 Lawrenceville D	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:17:39.00
			660	067122	060 Lawrenceville D	10	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:17:46.00
			660	067122	060 Lawrenceville D	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:18:08.00
			660	067122	060 Lawrenceville D	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:18:05.00
			660	067122	060 Lawrenceville D	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:17:55.00
			660	067122	060 Lawrenceville D	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:17:42.00
			670	067091	061 Lawrenceville E	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:21:41.00
			670	067091	061 Lawrenceville E	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:21:38.00
			670	067091	061 Lawrenceville E	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:21:52.00
			670	067091	061 Lawrenceville E	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:21:48.00
			670	067091	061 Lawrenceville E	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:21:45.00
			670	067091	061 Lawrenceville E	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:21:35.00
			680	067202	062 Pinckneyville L	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:01:01.00
			680	067202	062 Pinckneyville L	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:01:08.00
			680	067202	062 Pinckneyville L	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:00:50.00
			680	067202	062 Pinckneyville L	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:00:57.00
			680	067202	062 Pinckneyville L	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:00:54.00
			680	067202	062 Pinckneyville L	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:01:04.00
			690	067207	063 Pinckneyville M	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:06:54.00
			690	067207	063 Pinckneyville M	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:06:58.00
			690	067207	063 Pinckneyville M	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:07:05.00
			690	067207	063 Pinckneyville M	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:06:51.00
			690	067207	063 Pinckneyville M	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:07:09.00
			690	067207	063 Pinckneyville M	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:07:01.00
			700	067151	064 Garners D	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:46:18.00
			700	067151	064 Garners D	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:46:08.00
			700	067151	064 Garners D	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:46:14.00
			700	067151	064 Garners D	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:46:01.00
			700	067151	064 Garners D	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:45:57.00
			700	067151	064 Garners D	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:46:04.00
			700	067151	064 Garners D	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:46:11.00

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	710	067027	065 Berkshire H	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:11:42.00
			710	067027	065 Berkshire H	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:11:32.00
			710	067027	065 Berkshire H	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:11:35.00
			710	067027	065 Berkshire H	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:11:39.00
			710	067027	065 Berkshire H	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:11:29.00
			710	067027	065 Berkshire H	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:11:45.00
			720	067006	066 Pinckneyville O	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:13:06.00
			720	067006	066 Pinckneyville O	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:13:16.00
			720	067006	066 Pinckneyville O	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:13:09.00
			720	067006	066 Pinckneyville O	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:12:57.00
			720	067006	066 Pinckneyville O	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:13:03.00
			720	067006	066 Pinckneyville O	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:13:00.00
			720	067006	066 Pinckneyville O	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:13:13.00
			730	067187	067 Pucketts E	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:48:08.00
			730	067187	067 Pucketts E	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:48:35.00
			730	067187	067 Pucketts E	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:48:28.00
			730	067187	067 Pucketts E	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:48:18.00
			730	067187	067 Pucketts E	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:48:22.00
			730	067187	067 Pucketts E	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:48:05.00
			730	067187	067 Pucketts E	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:48:25.00
			730	067187	067 Pucketts E	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:48:31.00
			730	067187	067 Pucketts E	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:48:12.00
			730	067187	067 Pucketts E	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:48:15.00
			740	067108	068 Cates I	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:03:35.00
			740	067108	068 Cates I	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:03:49.00
			740	067108	068 Cates I	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:03:52.00
			740	067108	068 Cates I	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:03:56.00
			740	067108	068 Cates I	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:03:42.00
			740	067108	068 Cates I	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:03:39.00
			740	067108	068 Cates I	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:03:46.00
			750	067057	069 Cates J	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:23:14.00
			750	067057	069 Cates J	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:23:17.00
			750	067057	069 Cates J	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:23:21.00
			750	067057	069 Cates J	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:23:24.00
			750	067057	069 Cates J	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:23:28.00
			750	067057	069 Cates J	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:23:31.00
			750	067057	069 Cates J	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:23:11.00
			760	067036	070 Goodwins B	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:27:15.00
			760	067036	070 Goodwins B	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:26:59.00
			760	067036	070 Goodwins B	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:27:02.00

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	760	067036	070 Goodwins B	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:27:12.00
			760	067036	070 Goodwins B	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:27:18.00
			760	067036	070 Goodwins B	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:27:22.00
			760	067036	070 Goodwins B	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:27:05.00
			760	067036	070 Goodwins B	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:27:09.00
			770	067096	071 Lawrenceville F	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:44:27.00
			770	067096	071 Lawrenceville F	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:44:17.00
			770	067096	071 Lawrenceville F	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:44:24.00
			770	067096	071 Lawrenceville F	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:44:21.00
			770	067096	071 Lawrenceville F	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:44:37.00
			770	067096	071 Lawrenceville F	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:44:14.00
			770	067096	071 Lawrenceville F	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:44:34.00
			770	067096	071 Lawrenceville F	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:44:30.00
			780	067037	072 Martins E	9	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:52:45.00
			780	067037	072 Martins E	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:52:58.00
			780	067037	072 Martins E	1	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:53:11.00
			780	067037	072 Martins E	2	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:52:38.00
			780	067037	072 Martins E	3	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:53:01.00
			780	067037	072 Martins E	4	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:53:04.00
			780	067037	072 Martins E	5	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:52:35.00
			780	067037	072 Martins E	6	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:53:08.00
			780	067037	072 Martins E	8	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:52:51.00
			780	067037	072 Martins E	10	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:52:41.00
			780	067037	072 Martins E	11	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:52:48.00
			780	067037	072 Martins E	12	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:53:14.00
			780	067037	072 Martins E	7	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:52:55.00
			790	067200	073 Pinckneyville P	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:57:03.00
			790	067200	073 Pinckneyville P	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:56:56.00
			790	067200	073 Pinckneyville P	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:56:49.00
			790	067200	073 Pinckneyville P	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:56:53.00
			790	067200	073 Pinckneyville P	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:56:59.00
			790	067200	073 Pinckneyville P	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:56:46.00
			800	067011	074 Pinckneyville Q	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:12:20.00
			800	067011	074 Pinckneyville Q	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:12:27.00
			800	067011	074 Pinckneyville Q	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:12:24.00
			800	067011	074 Pinckneyville Q	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:12:30.00
			800	067011	074 Pinckneyville Q	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:12:33.00
			800	067011	074 Pinckneyville Q	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:12:37.00
			810	067158	075 Rockbridge C	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:49:17.00
			810	067158	075 Rockbridge C	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:49:14.00

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	810	067158	075 Rockbridge C	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:49:33.00
			810	067158	075 Rockbridge C	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:49:30.00
			810	067158	075 Rockbridge C	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:49:24.00
			810	067158	075 Rockbridge C	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:49:10.00
			810	067158	075 Rockbridge C	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:49:37.00
			810	067158	075 Rockbridge C	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:49:27.00
			810	067158	075 Rockbridge C	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:49:20.00
			810	067158	075 Rockbridge C	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:49:07.00
			820	067046	076 Rockbridge D	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:15:06.00
			820	067046	076 Rockbridge D	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:14:53.00
			820	067046	076 Rockbridge D	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:14:50.00
			820	067046	076 Rockbridge D	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:14:59.00
			820	067046	076 Rockbridge D	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:14:56.00
			820	067046	076 Rockbridge D	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:15:03.00
			820	067046	076 Rockbridge D	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:14:47.00
			830	067066	077 Goodwins C	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:11:19.00
			830	067066	077 Goodwins C	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:11:22.00
			830	067066	077 Goodwins C	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:11:38.00
			830	067066	077 Goodwins C	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:11:12.00
			830	067066	077 Goodwins C	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:11:45.00
			830	067066	077 Goodwins C	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:11:42.00
			830	067066	077 Goodwins C	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:11:35.00
			830	067066	077 Goodwins C	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:11:32.00
			830	067066	077 Goodwins C	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:11:29.00
			830	067066	077 Goodwins C	10	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:11:15.00
			830	067066	077 Goodwins C	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:11:25.00
			840	067188	078 Baycreek K	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:47:07.00
			840	067188	078 Baycreek K	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:46:51.00
			840	067188	078 Baycreek K	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:47:01.00
			840	067188	078 Baycreek K	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:46:54.00
			840	067188	078 Baycreek K	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:47:04.00
			840	067188	078 Baycreek K	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:46:57.00
			840	067188	078 Baycreek K	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:46:48.00
			840	067188	078 Baycreek K	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:46:45.00
			850	067063	079 Cates K	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:33:10.00
			850	067063	079 Cates K	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:33:06.00
			850	067063	079 Cates K	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:32:56.00
			850	067063	079 Cates K	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:33:03.00
			850	067063	079 Cates K	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:32:53.00
			850	067063	079 Cates K	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:33:00.00

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	860	067213	080 Baycreek C	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:11:34.00
			860	067213	080 Baycreek C	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:11:47.00
			860	067213	080 Baycreek C	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:11:24.00
			860	067213	080 Baycreek C	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:11:17.00
			860	067213	080 Baycreek C	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:11:27.00
			860	067213	080 Baycreek C	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:11:21.00
			860	067213	080 Baycreek C	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:11:10.00
			860	067213	080 Baycreek C	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:11:31.00
			860	067213	080 Baycreek C	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:11:44.00
			860	067213	080 Baycreek C	10	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:11:41.00
			860	067213	080 Baycreek C	11	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:11:37.00
			860	067213	080 Baycreek C	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:11:14.00
			870	067153	081 Cates L	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:39:36.00
			870	067153	081 Cates L	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:39:16.00
			870	067153	081 Cates L	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:39:32.00
			870	067153	081 Cates L	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:39:22.00
			870	067153	081 Cates L	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:39:26.00
			870	067153	081 Cates L	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:39:29.00
			870	067153	081 Cates L	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:39:19.00
			870	067153	081 Cates L	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:39:12.00
			880	067118	082 Duluth E	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:59:36.00
			880	067118	082 Duluth E	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:59:26.00
			880	067118	082 Duluth E	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:59:29.00
			880	067118	082 Duluth E	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:59:13.00
			880	067118	082 Duluth E	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:59:33.00
			880	067118	082 Duluth E	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:59:39.00
			880	067118	082 Duluth E	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:59:19.00
			880	067118	082 Duluth E	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:59:23.00
			880	067118	082 Duluth E	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:59:16.00
			880	067118	082 Duluth E	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:59:09.00
			890	067042	083 Goodwins D	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:53:24.00
			890	067042	083 Goodwins D	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:53:28.00
			890	067042	083 Goodwins D	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:53:45.00
			890	067042	083 Goodwins D	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:53:31.00
			890	067042	083 Goodwins D	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:53:41.00
			890	067042	083 Goodwins D	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:53:34.00
			890	067042	083 Goodwins D	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:53:21.00
			890	067042	083 Goodwins D	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:53:38.00
			890	067042	083 Goodwins D	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:53:48.00
			900	067051	084 Lawrenceville G	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:44:28.00

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	900	067051	084 Lawrenceville G	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:44:35.00
			900	067051	084 Lawrenceville G	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:44:22.00
			900	067051	084 Lawrenceville G	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:44:25.00
			900	067051	084 Lawrenceville G	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:44:38.00
			900	067051	084 Lawrenceville G	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:44:32.00
			910	067041	085 Lawrenceville H	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:43:42.00
			910	067041	085 Lawrenceville H	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:43:45.00
			910	067041	085 Lawrenceville H	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:43:38.00
			910	067041	085 Lawrenceville H	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:43:59.00
			910	067041	085 Lawrenceville H	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:44:02.00
			910	067041	085 Lawrenceville H	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:43:35.00
			910	067041	085 Lawrenceville H	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:43:56.00
			910	067041	085 Lawrenceville H	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:43:52.00
			910	067041	085 Lawrenceville H	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:43:49.00
			920	067038	086 Martins F	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:32:37.00
			920	067038	086 Martins F	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:32:46.00
			920	067038	086 Martins F	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:32:40.00
			920	067038	086 Martins F	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:32:53.00
			920	067038	086 Martins F	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:32:49.00
			920	067038	086 Martins F	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:32:43.00
			930	067139	087 Pinckneyville S	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:35:56.00
			930	067139	087 Pinckneyville S	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:35:59.00
			930	067139	087 Pinckneyville S	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:35:43.00
			930	067139	087 Pinckneyville S	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:36:02.00
			930	067139	087 Pinckneyville S	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:35:46.00
			930	067139	087 Pinckneyville S	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:35:49.00
			930	067139	087 Pinckneyville S	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:35:52.00
			940	067017	088 Rockbridge E	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:09:18.00
			940	067017	088 Rockbridge E	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:09:04.00
			940	067017	088 Rockbridge E	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:09:15.00
			940	067017	088 Rockbridge E	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:09:11.00
			940	067017	088 Rockbridge E	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:09:28.00
			940	067017	088 Rockbridge E	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:09:22.00
			940	067017	088 Rockbridge E	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:09:25.00
			940	067017	088 Rockbridge E	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:09:08.00
			940	067017	088 Rockbridge E	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:09:32.00
			950	067143	089 Sugar Hill E	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:30:00.00
			950	067143	089 Sugar Hill E	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:30:04.00
			950	067143	089 Sugar Hill E	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:29:50.00
			950	067143	089 Sugar Hill E	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:29:54.00

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	950	067143	089 Sugar Hill E	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:30:10.00
			950	067143	089 Sugar Hill E	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:30:07.00
			950	067143	089 Sugar Hill E	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:29:57.00
			960	067169	090 Lawrenceville I	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:34:26.00
			960	067169	090 Lawrenceville I	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:34:19.00
			960	067169	090 Lawrenceville I	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:34:22.00
			960	067169	090 Lawrenceville I	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:34:16.00
			960	067169	090 Lawrenceville I	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:34:36.00
			960	067169	090 Lawrenceville I	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:34:32.00
			960	067169	090 Lawrenceville I	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:34:29.00
			970	067049	091 Baycreek D	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:04:10.00
			970	067049	091 Baycreek D	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:04:17.00
			970	067049	091 Baycreek D	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:04:20.00
			970	067049	091 Baycreek D	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:04:07.00
			970	067049	091 Baycreek D	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:04:27.00
			970	067049	091 Baycreek D	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:04:14.00
			970	067049	091 Baycreek D	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:04:00.00
			970	067049	091 Baycreek D	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:04:24.00
			970	067049	091 Baycreek D	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:04:03.00
			980	067071	092 Berkshire J	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:54:05.00
			980	067071	092 Berkshire J	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:54:12.00
			980	067071	092 Berkshire J	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:54:19.00
			980	067071	092 Berkshire J	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:54:15.00
			980	067071	092 Berkshire J	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:54:02.00
			980	067071	092 Berkshire J	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:54:08.00
			990	067040	093 Cates M	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:13:40.00
			990	067040	093 Cates M	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:13:44.00
			990	067040	093 Cates M	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:13:37.00
			990	067040	093 Cates M	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:13:33.00
			990	067040	093 Cates M	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:13:27.00
			990	067040	093 Cates M	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:13:30.00
			990	067040	093 Cates M	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:13:19.00
			990	067040	093 Cates M	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:13:23.00
			1000	067114	094 Cates N	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:44:21.00
			1000	067114	094 Cates N	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:44:17.00
			1000	067114	094 Cates N	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:44:24.00
			1000	067114	094 Cates N	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:44:27.00
			1000	067114	094 Cates N	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:44:14.00
			1000	067114	094 Cates N	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:44:31.00
			1010	067061	095 Duluth F	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:17:14.00

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	1010	067061	095 Duluth F	1	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:17:24.00
			1010	067061	095 Duluth F	2	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:17:18.00
			1010	067061	095 Duluth F	3	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:17:04.00
			1010	067061	095 Duluth F	4	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:17:11.00
			1010	067061	095 Duluth F	5	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:17:07.00
			1010	067061	095 Duluth F	6	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:17:01.00
			1010	067061	095 Duluth F	7	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:17:27.00
			1010	067061	095 Duluth F	8	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:16:58.00
			1010	067061	095 Duluth F	9	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:16:51.00
			1010	067061	095 Duluth F	11	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:17:21.00
			1010	067061	095 Duluth F	10	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:16:54.00
			1020	067026	096 Duluth G	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:00:14.00
			1020	067026	096 Duluth G	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:00:05.00
			1020	067026	096 Duluth G	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:00:18.00
			1020	067026	096 Duluth G	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:00:08.00
			1020	067026	096 Duluth G	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:00:11.00
			1020	067026	096 Duluth G	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:00:01.00
			1030	067083	097 Goodwins E	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:40:15.00
			1030	067083	097 Goodwins E	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:40:33.00
			1030	067083	097 Goodwins E	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:40:12.00
			1030	067083	097 Goodwins E	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:40:46.00
			1030	067083	097 Goodwins E	10	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:40:19.00
			1030	067083	097 Goodwins E	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:40:43.00
			1030	067083	097 Goodwins E	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:40:36.00
			1030	067083	097 Goodwins E	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:40:40.00
			1030	067083	097 Goodwins E	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:40:26.00
			1030	067083	097 Goodwins E	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:40:29.00
			1030	067083	097 Goodwins E	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:40:22.00
			1040	067152	098 Goodwins F	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:30:03.00
			1040	067152	098 Goodwins F	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:29:53.00
			1040	067152	098 Goodwins F	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:30:06.00
			1040	067152	098 Goodwins F	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:30:00.00
			1040	067152	098 Goodwins F	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:29:57.00
			1040	067152	098 Goodwins F	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:29:50.00
			1050	067208	099 Pinckneyville T	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:53:52.00
			1050	067208	099 Pinckneyville T	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:53:48.00
			1050	067208	099 Pinckneyville T	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:53:41.00
			1050	067208	099 Pinckneyville T	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:53:45.00
			1050	067208	099 Pinckneyville T	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:53:38.00
			1050	067208	099 Pinckneyville T	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:53:34.00

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	1060	067175	100 Suwanee B	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:39:25.00
			1060	067175	100 Suwanee B	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:39:31.00
			1060	067175	100 Suwanee B	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:39:28.00
			1060	067175	100 Suwanee B	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:39:52.00
			1060	067175	100 Suwanee B	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:39:35.00
			1060	067175	100 Suwanee B	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:39:44.00
			1060	067175	100 Suwanee B	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:39:48.00
			1060	067175	100 Suwanee B	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:39:38.00
			1060	067175	100 Suwanee B	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:39:41.00
			1070	067206	101 Pinckneyville U	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:27:23.00
			1070	067206	101 Pinckneyville U	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:27:09.00
			1070	067206	101 Pinckneyville U	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:27:16.00
			1070	067206	101 Pinckneyville U	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:27:13.00
			1070	067206	101 Pinckneyville U	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:27:19.00
			1070	067206	101 Pinckneyville U	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:27:06.00
			1080	067068	102 Pucketts B	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:15:11.00
			1080	067068	102 Pucketts B	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:15:01.00
			1080	067068	102 Pucketts B	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:15:04.00
			1080	067068	102 Pucketts B	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:15:08.00
			1080	067068	102 Pucketts B	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:15:14.00
			1080	067068	102 Pucketts B	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:15:18.00
			1090	067095	103 Berkshire L	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:40:58.00
			1090	067095	103 Berkshire L	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:41:15.00
			1090	067095	103 Berkshire L	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:41:05.00
			1090	067095	103 Berkshire L	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:41:08.00
			1090	067095	103 Berkshire L	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:41:02.00
			1090	067095	103 Berkshire L	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:41:11.00
			1100	067086	104 Rockbridge F	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:07:53.00
			1100	067086	104 Rockbridge F	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:07:47.00
			1100	067086	104 Rockbridge F	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:07:50.00
			1100	067086	104 Rockbridge F	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:07:56.00
			1100	067086	104 Rockbridge F	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:08:06.00
			1100	067086	104 Rockbridge F	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:08:12.00
			1100	067086	104 Rockbridge F	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:08:03.00
			1100	067086	104 Rockbridge F	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:08:09.00
			1100	067086	104 Rockbridge F	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:08:18.00
			1100	067086	104 Rockbridge F	10	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:07:43.00
			1100	067086	104 Rockbridge F	11	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:08:00.00
			1100	067086	104 Rockbridge F	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:08:15.00
			1110	067142	105 Sugar Hill F	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:38:25.00

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	1110	067142	105 Sugar Hill F	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:38:39.00
			1110	067142	105 Sugar Hill F	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:38:29.00
			1110	067142	105 Sugar Hill F	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:38:18.00
			1110	067142	105 Sugar Hill F	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:38:22.00
			1110	067142	105 Sugar Hill F	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:38:15.00
			1110	067142	105 Sugar Hill F	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:38:33.00
			1110	067142	105 Sugar Hill F	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:38:36.00
			1120	067147	106 Suwanee C	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:52:32.00
			1120	067147	106 Suwanee C	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:52:42.00
			1120	067147	106 Suwanee C	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:52:19.00
			1120	067147	106 Suwanee C	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:52:22.00
			1120	067147	106 Suwanee C	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:52:39.00
			1120	067147	106 Suwanee C	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:52:15.00
			1120	067147	106 Suwanee C	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:52:29.00
			1120	067147	106 Suwanee C	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:52:35.00
			1120	067147	106 Suwanee C	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:52:12.00
			1120	067147	106 Suwanee C	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:52:25.00
			1130	067052	107 Cates O	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:20:26.00
			1130	067052	107 Cates O	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:20:29.00
			1130	067052	107 Cates O	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:20:39.00
			1130	067052	107 Cates O	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:20:36.00
			1130	067052	107 Cates O	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:20:42.00
			1130	067052	107 Cates O	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:20:32.00
			1140	067135	108 Baycreek E	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:34:27.00
			1140	067135	108 Baycreek E	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:34:23.00
			1140	067135	108 Baycreek E	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:34:30.00
			1140	067135	108 Baycreek E	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:34:17.00
			1140	067135	108 Baycreek E	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:34:20.00
			1140	067135	108 Baycreek E	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:34:33.00
			1150	067081	109 Berkshire M	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:57:53.00
			1150	067081	109 Berkshire M	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:57:59.00
			1150	067081	109 Berkshire M	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:57:50.00
			1150	067081	109 Berkshire M	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:57:56.00
			1150	067081	109 Berkshire M	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:58:06.00
			1150	067081	109 Berkshire M	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:58:02.00
			1160	067054	110 Martins G	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:23:59.00
			1160	067054	110 Martins G	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:24:02.00
			1160	067054	110 Martins G	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:24:13.00
			1160	067054	110 Martins G	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:24:06.00
			1160	067054	110 Martins G	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:23:56.00

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	1160	067054	110 Martins G	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:24:09.00
			1170	067033	111 Duluth H	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:14:08.00
			1170	067033	111 Duluth H	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:14:15.00
			1170	067033	111 Duluth H	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:14:21.00
			1170	067033	111 Duluth H	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:14:18.00
			1170	067033	111 Duluth H	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:14:11.00
			1170	067033	111 Duluth H	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:14:25.00
			1180	067097	112 Berkshire N	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:25:27.00
			1180	067097	112 Berkshire N	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:25:23.00
			1180	067097	112 Berkshire N	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:25:36.00
			1180	067097	112 Berkshire N	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:25:33.00
			1180	067097	112 Berkshire N	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:25:30.00
			1180	067097	112 Berkshire N	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:25:20.00
			1190	067047	113 Pinckneyville V	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:51:57.00
			1190	067047	113 Pinckneyville V	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:51:48.00
			1190	067047	113 Pinckneyville V	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:52:03.00
			1190	067047	113 Pinckneyville V	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:51:51.00
			1190	067047	113 Pinckneyville V	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:52:00.00
			1190	067047	113 Pinckneyville V	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:51:54.00
			1200	067199	114 Pinckneyville W	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:49:27.00
			1200	067199	114 Pinckneyville W	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:49:10.00
			1200	067199	114 Pinckneyville W	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:49:14.00
			1200	067199	114 Pinckneyville W	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:49:17.00
			1200	067199	114 Pinckneyville W	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:49:03.00
			1200	067199	114 Pinckneyville W	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:49:07.00
			1200	067199	114 Pinckneyville W	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:49:20.00
			1200	067199	114 Pinckneyville W	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:49:24.00
			1210	067019	115 Martins H	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:34:51.00
			1210	067019	115 Martins H	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:35:08.00
			1210	067019	115 Martins H	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:35:11.00
			1210	067019	115 Martins H	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:34:58.00
			1210	067019	115 Martins H	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:34:54.00
			1210	067019	115 Martins H	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:35:14.00
			1210	067019	115 Martins H	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:35:18.00
			1210	067019	115 Martins H	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:35:01.00
			1210	067019	115 Martins H	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:35:21.00
			1210	067019	115 Martins H	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:35:05.00
			1220	067001	116 Martins I	2	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:21:39.00
			1220	067001	116 Martins I	1	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:21:26.00
			1220	067001	116 Martins I	3	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:21:29.00

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	1220	067001	116 Martins I	4	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:21:45.00
			1220	067001	116 Martins I	5	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:21:49.00
			1220	067001	116 Martins I	6	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:21:35.00
			1220	067001	116 Martins I	7	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:21:42.00
			1220	067001	116 Martins I	0	Uploaded	2018/11/07 00:21:32.00
			1230	067079	117 Martins J	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:40:02.00
			1230	067079	117 Martins J	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:39:55.00
			1230	067079	117 Martins J	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:39:44.00
			1230	067079	117 Martins J	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:39:48.00
			1230	067079	117 Martins J	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:40:05.00
			1230	067079	117 Martins J	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:40:08.00
			1230	067079	117 Martins J	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:39:52.00
			1230	067079	117 Martins J	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:39:58.00
			1240	067099	118 Garners F	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:27:57.00
			1240	067099	118 Garners F	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:27:47.00
			1240	067099	118 Garners F	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:28:03.00
			1240	067099	118 Garners F	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:28:00.00
			1240	067099	118 Garners F	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:27:51.00
			1240	067099	118 Garners F	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:27:54.00
			1250	067156	119 Berkshire O	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:48:43.00
			1250	067156	119 Berkshire O	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:48:57.00
			1250	067156	119 Berkshire O	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:48:53.00
			1250	067156	119 Berkshire O	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:48:50.00
			1250	067156	119 Berkshire O	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:48:46.00
			1250	067156	119 Berkshire O	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:48:39.00
			1260	067116	120 Berkshire P	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:52:50.00
			1260	067116	120 Berkshire P	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:52:47.00
			1260	067116	120 Berkshire P	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:52:53.00
			1260	067116	120 Berkshire P	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:52:37.00
			1260	067116	120 Berkshire P	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:52:41.00
			1260	067116	120 Berkshire P	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:52:44.00
			1270	067196	121 Pinckneyville X	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:00:03.00
			1270	067196	121 Pinckneyville X	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:00:07.00
			1270	067196	121 Pinckneyville X	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:00:10.00
			1270	067196	121 Pinckneyville X	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:00:13.00
			1270	067196	121 Pinckneyville X	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:00:17.00
			1270	067196	121 Pinckneyville X	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:00:20.00
			1270	067196	121 Pinckneyville X	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:00:00.00
			1280	067172	122 Rockbridge G	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:58:10.00
			1280	067172	122 Rockbridge G	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:58:33.00

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	1280	067172	122 Rockbridge G	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:58:23.00
			1280	067172	122 Rockbridge G	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:58:03.00
			1280	067172	122 Rockbridge G	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:58:30.00
			1280	067172	122 Rockbridge G	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:58:27.00
			1280	067172	122 Rockbridge G	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:58:13.00
			1280	067172	122 Rockbridge G	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:58:17.00
			1280	067172	122 Rockbridge G	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:58:00.00
			1280	067172	122 Rockbridge G	10	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:58:20.00
			1280	067172	122 Rockbridge G	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:58:07.00
			1290	067076	123 Pinckneyville Y	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:13:32.00
			1290	067076	123 Pinckneyville Y	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:13:15.00
			1290	067076	123 Pinckneyville Y	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:13:28.00
			1290	067076	123 Pinckneyville Y	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:13:19.00
			1290	067076	123 Pinckneyville Y	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:13:12.00
			1290	067076	123 Pinckneyville Y	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:13:22.00
			1290	067076	123 Pinckneyville Y	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:13:25.00
			1300	067090	124 Goodwins G	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:55:01.00
			1300	067090	124 Goodwins G	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:54:58.00
			1300	067090	124 Goodwins G	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:54:52.00
			1300	067090	124 Goodwins G	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:54:55.00
			1300	067090	124 Goodwins G	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:55:09.00
			1300	067090	124 Goodwins G	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:55:05.00
			1310	067211	125 Pinckneyville Z	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:54:23.00
			1310	067211	125 Pinckneyville Z	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:54:30.00
			1310	067211	125 Pinckneyville Z	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:54:26.00
			1310	067211	125 Pinckneyville Z	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:54:13.00
			1310	067211	125 Pinckneyville Z	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:54:17.00
			1310	067211	125 Pinckneyville Z	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:54:20.00
			1320	067205	126 Pinckneyville A1	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:57:12.00
			1320	067205	126 Pinckneyville A1	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:57:09.00
			1320	067205	126 Pinckneyville A1	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:57:15.00
			1320	067205	126 Pinckneyville A1	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:57:19.00
			1320	067205	126 Pinckneyville A1	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:57:02.00
			1320	067205	126 Pinckneyville A1	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:57:05.00
			1330	067058	127 Lawrenceville J	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:03:40.00
			1330	067058	127 Lawrenceville J	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:03:27.00
			1330	067058	127 Lawrenceville J	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:03:43.00
			1330	067058	127 Lawrenceville J	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:03:34.00
			1330	067058	127 Lawrenceville J	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:03:31.00
			1330	067058	127 Lawrenceville J	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:03:37.00

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	1340	067113	128 Goodwins H	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:24:29.00
			1340	067113	128 Goodwins H	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:24:46.00
			1340	067113	128 Goodwins H	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:24:36.00
			1340	067113	128 Goodwins H	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:24:33.00
			1340	067113	128 Goodwins H	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:24:43.00
			1340	067113	128 Goodwins H	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:24:40.00
			1350	067212	129 Duncans B	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:40:56.00
			1350	067212	129 Duncans B	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:41:03.00
			1350	067212	129 Duncans B	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:40:53.00
			1350	067212	129 Duncans B	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:41:00.00
			1350	067212	129 Duncans B	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:40:50.00
			1350	067212	129 Duncans B	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:41:10.00
			1350	067212	129 Duncans B	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:40:46.00
			1350	067212	129 Duncans B	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:41:07.00
			1350	067212	129 Duncans B	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:40:43.00
			1350	067212	129 Duncans B	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:40:39.00
			1360	067124	130 Suwanee D	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:22:58.00
			1360	067124	130 Suwanee D	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:23:01.00
			1360	067124	130 Suwanee D	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:22:55.00
			1360	067124	130 Suwanee D	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:23:18.00
			1360	067124	130 Suwanee D	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:22:50.00
			1360	067124	130 Suwanee D	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:23:14.00
			1360	067124	130 Suwanee D	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:23:08.00
			1360	067124	130 Suwanee D	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:23:04.00
			1360	067124	130 Suwanee D	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:23:11.00
			1370	067087	131 Suwanee E	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:32:43.00
			1370	067087	131 Suwanee E	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:32:50.00
			1370	067087	131 Suwanee E	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:32:46.00
			1370	067087	131 Suwanee E	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:32:36.00
			1370	067087	131 Suwanee E	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:32:53.00
			1370	067087	131 Suwanee E	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:32:40.00
			1370	067087	131 Suwanee E	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:32:56.00
			1370	067087	131 Suwanee E	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:32:33.00
			1380	067149	132 Lawrenceville K	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:38:34.00
			1380	067149	132 Lawrenceville K	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:38:30.00
			1380	067149	132 Lawrenceville K	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:38:37.00
			1380	067149	132 Lawrenceville K	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:38:23.00
			1380	067149	132 Lawrenceville K	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:38:41.00
			1380	067149	132 Lawrenceville K	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:38:27.00
			1390	067170	133 Harbins B	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:33:20.00

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	1390	067170	133 Harbins B	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:33:24.00
			1390	067170	133 Harbins B	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:33:27.00
			1390	067170	133 Harbins B	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:33:17.00
			1390	067170	133 Harbins B	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:33:41.00
			1390	067170	133 Harbins B	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:33:44.00
			1390	067170	133 Harbins B	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:33:34.00
			1390	067170	133 Harbins B	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:33:37.00
			1390	067170	133 Harbins B	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:33:31.00
			1400	067034	134 Baycreek F	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:00:23.00
			1400	067034	134 Baycreek F	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:00:10.00
			1400	067034	134 Baycreek F	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:00:13.00
			1400	067034	134 Baycreek F	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:00:33.00
			1400	067034	134 Baycreek F	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:00:16.00
			1400	067034	134 Baycreek F	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:00:29.00
			1400	067034	134 Baycreek F	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:00:06.00
			1400	067034	134 Baycreek F	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:00:26.00
			1400	067034	134 Baycreek F	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:00:19.00
			1410	067157	135 Pucketts C	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:12:53.00
			1410	067157	135 Pucketts C	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:12:45.00
			1410	067157	135 Pucketts C	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:12:49.00
			1410	067157	135 Pucketts C	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:12:35.00
			1410	067157	135 Pucketts C	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:12:42.00
			1410	067157	135 Pucketts C	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:12:39.00
			1420	067102	136 Hog Mountain C	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:48:30.00
			1420	067102	136 Hog Mountain C	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:48:47.00
			1420	067102	136 Hog Mountain C	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:48:33.00
			1420	067102	136 Hog Mountain C	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:48:37.00
			1420	067102	136 Hog Mountain C	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:48:40.00
			1420	067102	136 Hog Mountain C	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:48:50.00
			1420	067102	136 Hog Mountain C	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:48:26.00
			1420	067102	136 Hog Mountain C	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:48:44.00
			1430	067053	137 Rockycreek B	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:59:42.00
			1430	067053	137 Rockycreek B	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:00:06.00
			1430	067053	137 Rockycreek B	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:59:45.00
			1430	067053	137 Rockycreek B	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:00:02.00
			1430	067053	137 Rockycreek B	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:59:48.00
			1430	067053	137 Rockycreek B	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:59:38.00
			1430	067053	137 Rockycreek B	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:59:59.00
			1430	067053	137 Rockycreek B	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:59:55.00
			1430	067053	137 Rockycreek B	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:59:52.00

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	1430	067053	137 Rockycreek B	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:59:35.00
			1440	067075	138 Duluth I	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:33:32.00
			1440	067075	138 Duluth I	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:33:35.00
			1440	067075	138 Duluth I	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:33:42.00
			1440	067075	138 Duluth I	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:33:49.00
			1440	067075	138 Duluth I	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:33:52.00
			1440	067075	138 Duluth I	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:33:45.00
			1440	067075	138 Duluth I	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:33:39.00
			1440	067075	138 Duluth I	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:33:58.00
			1440	067075	138 Duluth I	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:33:55.00
			1440	067075	138 Duluth I	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:34:02.00
			1450	067136	139 Martins K	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:05:46.00
			1450	067136	139 Martins K	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:05:43.00
			1450	067136	139 Martins K	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:05:50.00
			1450	067136	139 Martins K	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:06:03.00
			1450	067136	139 Martins K	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:06:07.00
			1450	067136	139 Martins K	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:06:13.00
			1450	067136	139 Martins K	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:06:10.00
			1450	067136	139 Martins K	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:05:53.00
			1450	067136	139 Martins K	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:05:57.00
			1450	067136	139 Martins K	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:06:00.00
			1460	067189	140 Suwanee H	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:00:27.00
			1460	067189	140 Suwanee H	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:00:23.00
			1460	067189	140 Suwanee H	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:00:40.00
			1460	067189	140 Suwanee H	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:00:30.00
			1460	067189	140 Suwanee H	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:00:33.00
			1460	067189	140 Suwanee H	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:00:20.00
			1460	067189	140 Suwanee H	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:00:36.00
			1460	067189	140 Suwanee H	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:00:16.00
			1470	067141	141 Sugar Hill G	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:19:19.00
			1470	067141	141 Sugar Hill G	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:19:09.00
			1470	067141	141 Sugar Hill G	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:19:12.00
			1470	067141	141 Sugar Hill G	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:19:22.00
			1470	067141	141 Sugar Hill G	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:19:26.00
			1470	067141	141 Sugar Hill G	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:19:16.00
			1480	067064	142 Suwanee F	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:10:54.00
			1480	067064	142 Suwanee F	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:11:01.00
			1480	067064	142 Suwanee F	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:10:47.00
			1480	067064	142 Suwanee F	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:10:57.00
			1480	067064	142 Suwanee F	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:10:51.00

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	1480	067064	142 Suwanee F	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:10:38.00
			1480	067064	142 Suwanee F	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:10:44.00
			1480	067064	142 Suwanee F	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:10:41.00
			1490	067145	143 Lawrenceville L	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:00:31.00
			1490	067145	143 Lawrenceville L	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:00:51.00
			1490	067145	143 Lawrenceville L	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:00:44.00
			1490	067145	143 Lawrenceville L	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:00:47.00
			1490	067145	143 Lawrenceville L	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:00:54.00
			1490	067145	143 Lawrenceville L	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:00:34.00
			1490	067145	143 Lawrenceville L	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:00:40.00
			1490	067145	143 Lawrenceville L	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:00:23.00
			1490	067145	143 Lawrenceville L	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:00:27.00
			1490	067145	143 Lawrenceville L	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:00:37.00
			1500	067060	144 Lawrenceville M	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:14:50.00
			1500	067060	144 Lawrenceville M	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:15:10.00
			1500	067060	144 Lawrenceville M	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:15:14.00
			1500	067060	144 Lawrenceville M	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:15:04.00
			1500	067060	144 Lawrenceville M	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:15:07.00
			1500	067060	144 Lawrenceville M	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:15:17.00
			1500	067060	144 Lawrenceville M	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:15:24.00
			1500	067060	144 Lawrenceville M	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:14:44.00
			1500	067060	144 Lawrenceville M	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:15:01.00
			1500	067060	144 Lawrenceville M	10	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:14:54.00
			1500	067060	144 Lawrenceville M	11	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:14:47.00
			1500	067060	144 Lawrenceville M	12	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:15:20.00
			1500	067060	144 Lawrenceville M	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:14:57.00
			1510	067215	145 Baycreek G	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:02:32.00
			1510	067215	145 Baycreek G	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:02:39.00
			1510	067215	145 Baycreek G	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:02:22.00
			1510	067215	145 Baycreek G	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:02:26.00
			1510	067215	145 Baycreek G	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:02:12.00
			1510	067215	145 Baycreek G	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:02:19.00
			1510	067215	145 Baycreek G	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:02:15.00
			1510	067215	145 Baycreek G	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:02:42.00
			1510	067215	145 Baycreek G	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:02:46.00
			1510	067215	145 Baycreek G	10	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:02:29.00
			1510	067215	145 Baycreek G	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:02:36.00
			1520	067002	146 Baycreek H	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:43:40.00
			1520	067002	146 Baycreek H	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:43:36.00
			1520	067002	146 Baycreek H	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:43:57.00

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	1520	067002	146 Baycreek H	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:44:07.00
			1520	067002	146 Baycreek H	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:43:53.00
			1520	067002	146 Baycreek H	10	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:44:04.00
			1520	067002	146 Baycreek H	11	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:43:50.00
			1520	067002	146 Baycreek H	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:43:43.00
			1520	067002	146 Baycreek H	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:44:00.00
			1520	067002	146 Baycreek H	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:44:14.00
			1520	067002	146 Baycreek H	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:44:11.00
			1520	067002	146 Baycreek H	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 23:43:47.00
			1530	067039	147 Baycreek I	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:57:45.00
			1530	067039	147 Baycreek I	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:57:32.00
			1530	067039	147 Baycreek I	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:57:39.00
			1530	067039	147 Baycreek I	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:57:35.00
			1530	067039	147 Baycreek I	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:57:58.00
			1530	067039	147 Baycreek I	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:57:52.00
			1530	067039	147 Baycreek I	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:57:42.00
			1530	067039	147 Baycreek I	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:57:29.00
			1530	067039	147 Baycreek I	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:57:25.00
			1530	067039	147 Baycreek I	10	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:57:55.00
			1530	067039	147 Baycreek I	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:57:48.00
			1540	067138	148 Berkshire Q	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:38:26.00
			1540	067138	148 Berkshire Q	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:38:40.00
			1540	067138	148 Berkshire Q	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:38:30.00
			1540	067138	148 Berkshire Q	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:38:33.00
			1540	067138	148 Berkshire Q	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:38:36.00
			1540	067138	148 Berkshire Q	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:38:23.00
			1550	067185	149 Goodwins I	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:05:42.00
			1550	067185	149 Goodwins I	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:05:45.00
			1550	067185	149 Goodwins I	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:05:28.00
			1550	067185	149 Goodwins I	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:05:32.00
			1550	067185	149 Goodwins I	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:05:38.00
			1550	067185	149 Goodwins I	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:05:35.00
			1560	067184	150 Duluth K	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:54:41.00
			1560	067184	150 Duluth K	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:54:57.00
			1560	067184	150 Duluth K	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:54:54.00
			1560	067184	150 Duluth K	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:54:51.00
			1560	067184	150 Duluth K	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:54:48.00
			1560	067184	150 Duluth K	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:54:44.00
			1570	067180	151 Harbins C	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:07:13.00
			1570	067180	151 Harbins C	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:07:30.00

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	1570	067180	151 Harbins C	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:07:26.00
			1570	067180	151 Harbins C	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:07:23.00
			1570	067180	151 Harbins C	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:07:16.00
			1570	067180	151 Harbins C	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:07:20.00
			1580	067177	152 Rockycreek C	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:48:06.00
			1580	067177	152 Rockycreek C	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:48:16.00
			1580	067177	152 Rockycreek C	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:48:09.00
			1580	067177	152 Rockycreek C	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:47:53.00
			1580	067177	152 Rockycreek C	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:48:03.00
			1580	067177	152 Rockycreek C	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:47:49.00
			1580	067177	152 Rockycreek C	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:48:12.00
			1580	067177	152 Rockycreek C	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:48:00.00
			1580	067177	152 Rockycreek C	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:47:46.00
			1580	067177	152 Rockycreek C	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:47:56.00
			1590	067176	153 Lawrenceville N	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:15:45.00
			1590	067176	153 Lawrenceville N	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:15:42.00
			1590	067176	153 Lawrenceville N	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:15:32.00
			1590	067176	153 Lawrenceville N	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:15:35.00
			1590	067176	153 Lawrenceville N	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:15:28.00
			1590	067176	153 Lawrenceville N	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:15:38.00
			1600	067179	154 Pucketts D	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:54:11.00
			1600	067179	154 Pucketts D	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:54:21.00
			1600	067179	154 Pucketts D	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:54:14.00
			1600	067179	154 Pucketts D	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:54:17.00
			1600	067179	154 Pucketts D	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:54:24.00
			1600	067179	154 Pucketts D	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:54:27.00
			1600	067179	154 Pucketts D	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 21:54:31.00
			1610	067181	155 Suwanee G	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:24:44.00
			1610	067181	155 Suwanee G	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:24:37.00
			1610	067181	155 Suwanee G	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:24:34.00
			1610	067181	155 Suwanee G	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:24:47.00
			1610	067181	155 Suwanee G	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:24:40.00
			1610	067181	155 Suwanee G	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:24:51.00
			1610	067181	155 Suwanee G	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:24:30.00
			1620	067183	156 Baycreek J	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:07:27.00
			1620	067183	156 Baycreek J	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:07:21.00
			1620	067183	156 Baycreek J	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:07:04.00
			1620	067183	156 Baycreek J	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:07:24.00
			1620	067183	156 Baycreek J	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:07:18.00
			1620	067183	156 Baycreek J	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:07:14.00

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	1620	067183	156 Baycreek J	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:07:11.00
			1620	067183	156 Baycreek J	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:07:01.00
			1620	067183	156 Baycreek J	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 22:07:08.00
			2250		Advance in Person 2	13	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:27:46.00
			2250		Advance in Person 2	22	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:28:14.00
			2250		Advance in Person 2	4	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:29:23.00
			2250		Advance in Person 2	5	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:28:57.00
			2250		Advance in Person 2	6	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:29:35.00
			2250		Advance in Person 2	7	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:26:59.00
			2250		Advance in Person 2	8	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:28:07.00
			2250		Advance in Person 2	9	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:27:53.00
			2250		Advance in Person 2	10	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:27:57.00
			2250		Advance in Person 2	2	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:28:30.00
			2250		Advance in Person 2	12	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:29:39.00
			2250		Advance in Person 2	1	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:30:03.00
			2250		Advance in Person 2	14	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:29:18.00
			2250		Advance in Person 2	15	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:28:38.00
			2250		Advance in Person 2	16	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:29:51.00
			2250		Advance in Person 2	17	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:28:31.00
			2250		Advance in Person 2	18	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:26:36.00
			2250		Advance in Person 2	19	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:28:23.00
			2250		Advance in Person 2	20	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:27:24.00
			2250		Advance in Person 2	11	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:28:19.00
			2250		Advance in Person 2	3	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:27:35.00
			2250		Advance in Person 2	23	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:27:30.00
			2250		Advance in Person 2	0	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:28:40.00
			2250		Advance in Person 2	55	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:28:57.00
			2250		Advance in Person 2	21	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:27:48.00
			2250		Advance in Person 2	46	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:26:49.00
			2250		Advance in Person 2	47	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:28:13.00
			2250		Advance in Person 2	48	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:28:42.00
			2250		Advance in Person 2	49	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:28:55.00
			2250		Advance in Person 2	50	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:29:05.00
			2250		Advance in Person 2	51	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:30:14.00
			2250		Advance in Person 2	52	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:27:46.00
			2250		Advance in Person 2	44	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:28:10.00
			2250		Advance in Person 2	54	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:28:10.00
			2250		Advance in Person 2	43	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:28:02.00
			2250		Advance in Person 2	56	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:28:32.00
			2250		Advance in Person 2	57	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:28:09.00

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
AccuVote-TS Status Report

Id	Export ID	Region	Id	Export ID	Vote Center	Machine Id	Status	Upload Time
0		Host	2250		Advance in Person 2	58	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:28:18.00
			2250		Advance in Person 2	59	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:27:33.00
			2250		Advance in Person 2	60	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:28:34.00
			2250		Advance in Person 2	61	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:28:01.00
			2250		Advance in Person 2	62	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:27:41.00
			2250		Advance in Person 2	63	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:28:35.00
			2250		Advance in Person 2	53	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:28:43.00
			2250		Advance in Person 2	34	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:29:09.00
			2250		Advance in Person 2	24	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:27:22.00
			2250		Advance in Person 2	25	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:28:24.00
			2250		Advance in Person 2	26	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:28:04.00
			2250		Advance in Person 2	27	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:28:49.00
			2250		Advance in Person 2	28	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:27:58.00
			2250		Advance in Person 2	29	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:27:12.00
			2250		Advance in Person 2	30	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:27:16.00
			2250		Advance in Person 2	31	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:28:38.00
			2250		Advance in Person 2	45	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:28:55.00
			2250		Advance in Person 2	33	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:27:54.00
			2250		Advance in Person 2	35	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:28:51.00
			2250		Advance in Person 2	36	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:28:44.00
			2250		Advance in Person 2	37	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:29:04.00
			2250		Advance in Person 2	38	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:28:22.00
			2250		Advance in Person 2	39	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:28:54.00
			2250		Advance in Person 2	40	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:27:23.00
			2250		Advance in Person 2	41	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:29:09.00
			2250		Advance in Person 2	42	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:28:25.00
			2250		Advance in Person 2	32	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:27:37.00
			2250		Advance in Person 2	64	Uploaded	2018/11/06 20:28:43.00

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	70	01A	10	01A	0	813-01A	1	1	1	NP	NP
		80	01B	20	01B	0	813-01B	1	1	1	NP	NP
		90	01C & 01S	35	01C	0	813-01C	1	1	1	NP	NP
				120	01S	0	816-01S	3	3	3	NP	NP
		100	01D & 01E	40	01D	0	817-01D	2	2	1	NP	NP
				50	01E	0	813-01E	1	1	2	NP	NP
		120	01F	60	01F	0	817-01F	2	2	1	NP	NP
		130	01G	70	01G	0	813-01G	1	1	1	NP	NP
		131	01H, 02S, 05B, 05C & 05K	75	01H	0	818-01H	5	5	1	NP	NP
				250	02S	0	821-02S	5	5	4	NP	NP
				620	05B	0	837-05B	5	5	2	NP	NP
				630	05C	0	837-05C	5	5	3	NP	NP
				634	05K	0	837-05K	5	5	5	NP	NP
		140	01J & 12S	90	01J	0	813-01J	1	1	1	NP	NP
				1590	12S	0	531-12S	2	2	2	NP	NP
		150	01P	95	01P	0	813-01P	1	1	1	NP	NP
		170	01R	110	01R	0	813-01R	1	1	1	NP	NP
		190	01T	130	01T	0	813-01T	1	1	1	NP	NP
		200	02A, 02L1 & 02L2	140	02A	0	819-02A	4	4	3	NP	NP
				230	02L1	0	823-02L1	6	6	1	NP	NP
				240	02L2	0	824-02L2	7	7	2	NP	NP
		210	02B & 05D	150	02B	0	820-02B	12	12	1	NP	NP
				631	05D	0	838-05D	12	12	2	NP	NP
		220	02C & 05F	160	02C	0	821-02C	5	5	1	NP	NP
				632	05F	0	837-05F	5	5	2	NP	NP
		230	02D, 05J & 06F	170	02D	0	821-02D	5	5	1	NP	NP
				633	05J	0	837-05J	5	5	2	NP	NP
				690	06F	0	961-06F	2	2	3	NP	NP
		240	02E	180	02E	0	822-02E	1	1	1	NP	NP
		250	02F1 & 02F2	190	02F1	0	822-02F1	1	1	1	NP	NP
				200	02F2	0	821-02F2	5	5	2	NP	NP
		270	02G									

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	270	02G	210	02G	0	821-02G	5	5	1	NP	NP
		280	02J, 02K & 06G	220	02J	1	821-02J	5	5	1	NP	NP
						10	FED 5	90	90	1	NP	NP
						20	FED 6	91	91	1	NP	NP
						30	FED 11	92	92	1	NP	NP
						40	FED 13	93	93	1	NP	NP
				225	02K	0	821-02K	5	5	2	NP	NP
				700	06G	0	839-06G	5	5	3	NP	NP
		320	02W, 03F, 06L1 & 06L2	260	02W	0	825-02W	8	8	1	NP	NP
				350	03F	0	828-03F	8	8	4	NP	NP
				745	06L1	0	841-06L1	8	8	2	NP	NP
				746	06L2	0	844-06L2	17	17	3	NP	NP
		340	03A	280	03A	0	826-03A	7	7	1	NP	NP
		360	03B, 03H & 09I	300	03B	0	827-03B	9	9	1	NP	NP
				370	03H	0	829-03H	10	10	2	NP	NP
				1117	09I	0	970-09I	10	10	3	NP	NP
		380	03C	320	03C	0	827-03C	9	9	1	NP	NP
		390	03D, 04A & 04B	330	03D	0	826-03D	7	7	1	NP	NP
				470	04A	0	831-04A	7	7	2	NP	NP
				480	04B	0	832-04B	12	12	3	NP	NP
		400	03E	340	03E	0	826-03E	7	7	1	NP	NP
		420	03G	360	03G	0	826-03G	7	7	1	NP	NP
		421	03I & 04F	375	03I	0	826-03I	7	7	1	NP	NP
				500	04F	0	833-04F	11	11	2	NP	NP
		440	03L	380	03L	0	826-03L	7	7	1	NP	NP
		450	03M	390	03M	0	829-03M	10	10	1	NP	NP
		460	03N	400	03N	0	826-03N	7	7	1	NP	NP
		470	03P1A & 03P2	410	03P1A	0	828-03P1A	8	8	1	NP	NP
				420	03P2	0	830-03P2	11	11	2	NP	NP
		500	03S & 10F	440	03S	0	829-03S	10	10	1	NP	NP
				1190	10F	0	866-10F	23	23	2	NP	NP
		510	03T & 04J	450	03T	0	830-03T	11	11	1	NP	NP
				525	04J	0	833-04J	11	11	2	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	550	04C & 04D	485	04C	0	831-04C	7	7	2	NP	NP
				490	04D	0	831-04D	7	7	1	NP	NP
		570	04G & 04M	510	04G	0	832-04G	12	12	1	NP	NP
				550	04M	0	834-04M	5	5	2	NP	NP
		580	04I	520	04I	0	832-04I	12	12	1	NP	NP
		590	04K	530	04K	0	832-04K	12	12	1	NP	NP
		600	04L	540	04L	0	831-04L	7	7	1	NP	NP
		620	04S	560	04S	0	832-04S	12	12	1	NP	NP
		630	04T	570	04T	0	832-04T	12	12	1	NP	NP
		635	04V	575	04V	0	508-04V	82	82	1	NP	NP
		640	04W	580	04W	0	832-04W	12	12	1	NP	NP
		650	04X1, 04X2 & 10M	590	04X1	0	835-04X1	6	6	1	NP	NP
				600	04X2	0	831-04X2	7	7	2	NP	NP
				1240	10M	0	870-10M	6	6	3	NP	NP
		670	05A1 & 05A2	615	05A1	0	956-05A1	2	2	1	NP	NP
				617	05A2	0	836-05A2	1	1	2	NP	NP
		720	06B & 06J	660	06B	0	839-06B	5	5	1	NP	NP
				730	06J	0	840-06J	13	13	2	NP	NP
		730	06D & 06E	670	06D	0	840-06D	13	13	1	NP	NP
				680	06E	0	841-06E	8	8	2	NP	NP
		780	06I, 07E, 07J & 07N	720	06I	0	843-06I	14	14	1	NP	NP
				810	07E	0	848-07E	14	14	2	NP	NP
				850	07J	0	849-07J	17	17	3	NP	NP
				900	07N	0	848-07N	14	14	4	NP	NP
		785	06N, 06Q & 07H	747	06N	0	844-06N	17	17	1	NP	NP
				748	06Q	0	843-06Q	14	14	2	NP	NP
				840	07H	0	848-07H	14	14	3	NP	NP
		810	06R	750	06R	0	841-06R	8	8	1	NP	NP
		830	07A	770	07A	0	846-07A	15	15	1	NP	NP
		840	07B & 07C	780	07B	0	846-07B	15	15	1	NP	NP
				790	07C	0	847-07C	16	16	2	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	860	07D & 08M	800	07D	0	847-07D	16	16	1	NP	NP
				1020	08M	0	854-08M	16	16	2	NP	NP
		880	07F & 08L	820	07F	0	848-07F	14	14	1	NP	NP
				1010	08L	0	856-08L	22	22	2	NP	NP
		940	07M	880	07M	0	848-07M	14	14	1	NP	NP
		970	08A	910	08A	0	851-08A	18	18	1	NP	NP
		980	08B & 08C	920	08B	0	852-08B	19	19	1	NP	NP
				930	08C	0	852-08C	19	19	2	NP	NP
		990	08D, 08N1 & 08N2	940	08D	0	852-08D	19	19	3	NP	NP
				1030	08N1	0	852-08N1	19	19	1	NP	NP
				1035	08N2	0	857-08N2	15	15	2	NP	NP
		1010	08E	950	08E	0	853-08E	20	20	1	NP	NP
		1020	08F1	960	08F1	0	854-08F1	16	16	1	NP	NP
		1030	08G	970	08G	0	850-08G	14	14	1	NP	NP
		1040	08H & 08J	980	08H	0	850-08H	14	14	1	NP	NP
				990	08J	0	855-08J	21	21	2	NP	NP
		1060	08K	1000	08K	0	856-08K	22	22	1	NP	NP
		1100	08P, 09G & 09H	1040	08P	0	856-08P	22	22	1	NP	NP
				1110	09G	0	970-09G	10	10	3	NP	NP
				1116	09H	0	861-09H	22	22	2	NP	NP
		1110	09A	1050	09A	0	858-09A	23	23	1	NP	NP
		1120	09B	1060	09B	0	858-09B	23	23	1	NP	NP
		1130	09C & 10E	1070	09C	0	858-09C	23	23	1	NP	NP
				1180	10E	0	866-10E	23	23	2	NP	NP
		1140	09D	1080	09D	0	858-09D	23	23	1	NP	NP
		1150	09E	1090	09E	0	858-09E	23	23	1	NP	NP
		1160	09F	1100	09F	0	859-09F	24	24	1	NP	NP
		1180	09K	1120	09K	0	858-09K	23	23	1	NP	NP
		1200	09M	1140	09M	0	858-09M	23	23	1	NP	NP
		1210	10A	1150	10A	0	864-10A	25	25	1	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	1211	10B & 10I	1151	10B	0	865-10B	10	10	1	NP	NP
				1211	10I	0	868-10I	103	103	2	NP	NP
		1220	10C & 10D	1160	10C	0	864-10C	25	25	1	NP	NP
				1170	10D	0	866-10D	23	23	3	NP	NP
		1260	10G, 10H1, 10H2, 10K & 11H	1200	10G	0	867-10G	9	9	1	NP	NP
				1205	10H1	0	873-10H1	23	23	2	NP	NP
				1210	10H2	0	865-10H2	10	10	3	NP	NP
				1225	10K	0	870-10K	6	6	4	NP	NP
				1390	11H	0	878-11H	6	6	5	NP	NP
		1280	10J	1220	10J	0	869-10J	9	9	1	NP	NP
		1320	10P	1260	10P	0	866-10P	23	23	1	NP	NP
		1330	10R	1270	10R	0	873-10R	23	23	1	NP	NP
		1370	11B	1310	11B	0	520-11B	26	26	1	NP	NP
		1380	11C	1330	11C	0	874-11C	10	10	1	NP	NP
		1400	11E1, 11E2 & 11E3	1340	11E1	0	962-11E1	27	27	1	NP	NP
				1350	11E2	0	877-11E2	33	33	2	NP	NP
				1360	11E3	0	875-11E3	28	28	3	NP	NP
		1440	11G, 12I & 12L	1380	11G	0	529-11G	29	29	1	NP	NP
				1551	12I	0	886-12I	29	29	2	NP	NP
				1570	12L	0	531-12L	2	2	3	NP	NP
		1480	11J	1420	11J	0	974-11J	30	30	1	NP	NP
		1490	11K	1430	11K	0	880-11K	31	31	1	NP	NP
		1510	11M, 11N & 11R	1440	11M	0	881-11M	32	32	3	NP	NP
				1450	11N	0	877-11N	33	33	1	NP	NP
				1470	11R	0	880-11R	31	31	2	NP	NP
		1520	11P	1460	11P	0	877-11P	33	33	1	NP	NP
		1540	12A	1480	12A	0	531-12A	2	2	1	NP	NP
		1545	12D	1510	12D	0	885-12D	3	3	1	NP	NP
		1570	12E1, 12H1, 12H2 & 12J	1520	12E1	0	882-12E1	1	1	2	NP	NP
				1545	12H1	0	882-12H1	1	1	3	NP	NP
				1550	12H2	0	885-12H2	3	3	4	NP	NP
				1560	12J	0	885-12J	3	3	5	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	1590	12F	1530	12F	0	882-12F	1	1	1	NP	NP
		1600	12G	1540	12G	0	882-12G	1	1	1	NP	NP
		1621	12K & 12N	1561	12K	0	887-12K	82	82	1	NP	NP
				1581	12N	0	531-12N	2	2	2	NP	NP
		1640	12M	1580	12M	0	885-12M	3	3	1	NP	NP
		3450	Absentee by Mail 1	10	01A	0	813-01A	1	1	1	NP	NP
				20	01B	0	813-01B	1	1	2	NP	NP
				35	01C	0	813-01C	1	1	3	NP	NP
				40	01D	0	817-01D	2	2	4	NP	NP
				50	01E	0	813-01E	1	1	5	NP	NP
				60	01F	0	817-01F	2	2	6	NP	NP
				70	01G	0	813-01G	1	1	7	NP	NP
				75	01H	0	818-01H	5	5	8	NP	NP
				90	01J	0	813-01J	1	1	9	NP	NP
				95	01P	0	813-01P	1	1	10	NP	NP
				110	01R	0	813-01R	1	1	11	NP	NP
				120	01S	0	816-01S	3	3	12	NP	NP
				130	01T	0	813-01T	1	1	13	NP	NP
				140	02A	0	819-02A	4	4	14	NP	NP
				150	02B	0	820-02B	12	12	15	NP	NP
				160	02C	0	821-02C	5	5	16	NP	NP
				170	02D	0	821-02D	5	5	17	NP	NP
				180	02E	0	822-02E	1	1	18	NP	NP
				190	02F1	0	822-02F1	1	1	19	NP	NP
				200	02F2	0	821-02F2	5	5	20	NP	NP
		3540	Absentee by Mail 10	1789	AP14	0	731-AP14	34	34	23	NP	NP
				1790	CH01	0	950-CH01	40	40	1	NP	NP
				1800	CH02	0	951-CH02	40	40	2	NP	NP
				1810	CH03	0	952-CH03	40	40	3	NP	NP
				1820	CH04A	0	954-CH04A	40	40	4	NP	NP
				1830	CH05	0	955-CH05	40	40	5	NP	NP
				1841	CP01B	0	747-CP01B	42	42	6	NP	NP
				1842	CP011	0	742-CP011	41	41	7	NP	NP
				1850	CP012	0	749-CP012	41	41	8	NP	NP
				1860	CP02	0	942-CP02	42	42	9	NP	NP
				1880	CP04A	0	1025-CP04A	42	42	10	NP	NP
				1881	CP04B	0	751-CP04B	42	42	11	NP	NP
				1904	CP05B	0	752-CP05B	106	106	12	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3540	Absentee by Mail 10	1905	CP051	0	753-CP051	99	99	13	NP	NP
				1910	CP06A	0	754-CP06A	96	96	14	NP	NP
				1920	CP07B	0	943-CP07B	106	106	20	NP	NP
				1922	CP07C	0	889-CP07C	109	109	15	NP	NP
				1924	CP07D	0	753-CP07D	99	99	16	NP	NP
				1925	CP07E	0	889-CP07E	109	109	21	NP	NP
				1926	CP07F	0	753-CP07F	99	99	22	NP	NP
		3550	Absentee by Mail 11	1950	CP081	0	1024-CP081	89	89	22	NP	NP
				1952	CP083	0	965-CP083	89	89	24	NP	NP
				1955	CP084	0	1024-CP084	89	89	23	NP	NP
				1962	CP08A	0	965-CP08A	89	89	25	NP	NP
				1970	EP01A	1	978-EP01A	43	43	21	NP	NP
				1971	EP01B	0	979-EP01B	43	43	1	NP	NP
				1980	EP02A	1	760-EP02A	97	97	2	NP	NP
				1981	EP02B	0	929-EP02B	110	110	3	NP	NP
				1982	EP02C	0	971-EP02C	111	111	4	NP	NP
				1983	EP02D	0	986-EP02D	43	43	5	NP	NP
				1984	EP02E	0	987-EP02E	112	112	6	NP	NP
				1990	EP03A	1	980-EP03A	43	43	7	NP	NP
				1991	EP03B	0	897-EP03B	44	44	8	NP	NP
				2000	EP04A	1	765-EP04A	44	44	9	NP	NP
				2005	EP04B	0	766-EP04B	113	113	10	NP	NP
				2160	FA01A	0	767-FA01A	45	45	11	NP	NP
				2170	FA01B	0	767-FA01B	45	45	12	NP	NP
				2171	FA01C	0	768-FA01C	114	114	13	NP	NP
				2180	HP01	0	975-HP01	46	46	14	NP	NP
				2190	JC01	0	770-JC01	47	47	26	NP	NP
		3560	Absentee by Mail 12	2200	JC02	0	770-JC02	47	47	22	NP	NP
				2205	JC03A	0	771-JC03A	48	48	23	NP	NP
				2210	JC03B	0	770-JC03B	47	47	24	NP	NP
				2220	JC04A	0	771-JC04A	48	48	25	NP	NP
						10	1028-JC04A	48	48	25	NP	NP
				2230	JC04B	0	773-JC04B	49	49	21	NP	NP
				2240	JC05	0	770-JC05	47	47	1	NP	NP
				2250	JC06	0	770-JC06	47	47	2	NP	NP
				2260	JC07	0	770-JC07	47	47	3	NP	NP
				2270	JC08	0	770-JC08	47	47	4	NP	NP
				2280	JC09	0	770-JC09	47	47	5	NP	NP
				2290	JC10	0	770-JC10	47	47	6	NP	NP
				2300	JC11	0	770-JC11	47	47	7	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3560	Absentee by Mail 12	2310	JC12	0	770-JC12	47	47	8	NP	NP
				2330	JC13A	0	774-JC13A	50	50	9	NP	NP
				2340	JC13B	0	770-JC13B	47	47	10	NP	NP
				2350	JC14	0	776-JC14	98	98	11	NP	NP
				2360	JC15	0	777-JC15	51	51	12	NP	NP
				2370	JC16	0	777-JC16	51	51	13	NP	NP
				2390	JC18	0	778-JC18	83	83	14	NP	NP
				2400	JC19	0	778-JC19	83	83	15	NP	NP
		3570	Absentee by Mail 13	2410	ML011	0	890-ML011	84	84	21	NP	NP
				2411	ML012	0	891-ML012	84	84	22	NP	NP
				2412	ML01B	0	891-ML01B	84	84	23	NP	NP
				2413	ML021	0	893-ML021	52	52	24	NP	NP
				2430	ML022	0	892-ML022	53	53	25	NP	NP
				2440	ML023	0	894-ML023	54	54	1	NP	NP
				2441	ML024	0	895-ML024	55	55	2	NP	NP
				2450	ML03	0	895-ML03	55	55	3	NP	NP
				2460	ML04A	0	894-ML04A	54	54	4	NP	NP
				2461	ML04B	0	895-ML04B	55	55	5	NP	NP
				2462	ML04C	0	896-ML04C	55	55	6	NP	NP
				2470	ML05A	0	894-ML05A	54	54	7	NP	NP
				2471	ML05B	0	895-ML05B	55	55	8	NP	NP
				2472	ML05C	0	896-ML05C	55	55	9	NP	NP
				2480	ML06A	0	894-ML06A	54	54	10	NP	NP
				2481	ML06B	0	896-ML06B	55	55	11	NP	NP
				2482	ML071	0	896-ML071	55	55	12	NP	NP
				2483	ML072	0	895-ML072	55	55	13	NP	NP
				2490	ML07A	0	893-ML07A	52	52	14	NP	NP
				2500	MP01	0	922-MP01	56	56	15	NP	NP
		3580	Absentee by Mail 14	2510	PA01	0	924-PA01	45	45	21	NP	NP
				2520	RW01	0	779-RW01	57	57	22	NP	NP
				2530	RW02	0	779-RW02	57	57	23	NP	NP
				2540	RW03	0	779-RW03	57	57	24	NP	NP
				2560	RW04	0	780-RW04	58	58	25	NP	NP
				2570	RW05	0	779-RW05	57	57	1	NP	NP
				2580	RW06	0	780-RW06	58	58	2	NP	NP
				2590	RW07A	0	781-RW07A	59	59	3	NP	NP
				2591	RW07B	0	779-RW07B	57	57	4	NP	NP
				2610	RW08	0	779-RW08	57	57	5	NP	NP
				2620	RW09	0	782-RW09	60	60	6	NP	NP
				2630	RW10	0	779-RW10	57	57	7	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3580	Absentee by Mail 14	2640	RW11A	0	779-RW11A	57	57	8	NP	NP
				2650	RW12	0	782-RW12	60	60	9	NP	NP
				2680	RW13	0	925-RW13	59	59	10	NP	NP
				2700	RW16	0	779-RW16	57	57	11	NP	NP
				2710	RW17	0	781-RW17	59	59	12	NP	NP
				2730	RW19	0	782-RW19	60	60	13	NP	NP
				2740	RW20	0	780-RW20	58	58	14	NP	NP
				2750	RW21	0	780-RW21	58	58	15	NP	NP
		3590	Absentee by Mail 15	2770	RW22A	0	780-RW22A	58	58	26	NP	NP
				2772	SC01A	0	991-SC01A	61	61	21	NP	NP
				2780	SC01B	0	1027-SC01B	61	61	22	NP	NP
				2781	SC01C	0	991-SC01C	61	61	23	NP	NP
				2782	SC01D	0	784-SC01D	61	61	24	NP	NP
				2790	SC02	0	1004-SC02	62	62	25	NP	NP
				2800	SC04	0	993-SC04	63	63	1	NP	NP
				2810	SC05A	0	996-SC05A	63	63	2	NP	NP
				2811	SC05B	0	997-SC05B	88	88	3	NP	NP
				2812	SC05D	0	998-SC05D	107	107	4	NP	NP
				2813	SC05E	0	1014-SC05E	107	107	5	NP	NP
				2820	SC07A	0	993-SC07A	63	63	6	NP	NP
				2821	SC07C	0	1022-SC07C	63	63	7	NP	NP
				2831	SC08B	0	1016-SC08B	85	85	8	NP	NP
				2832	SC08C	0	999-SC08C	64	64	9	NP	NP
				2833	SC08D	0	1011-SC08D	66	66	10	NP	NP
				2834	SC08E	0	1010-SC08E	65	65	11	NP	NP
				2835	SC08F	0	1001-SC08F	86	86	12	NP	NP
				2836	SC08G	0	1015-SC08G	115	115	13	NP	NP
				2837	SC08H	0	1019-SC08H	115	115	14	NP	NP
		3600	Absentee by Mail 16	2840	SC09A	0	1011-SC09A	66	66	26	NP	NP
				2841	SC09B	0	1016-SC09B	85	85	21	NP	NP
				2842	SC09C	0	1012-SC09C	66	66	22	NP	NP
				2844	SC10	0	1011-SC10	66	66	23	NP	NP
				2861	SC11A	0	1012-SC11A	66	66	24	NP	NP
				2862	SC11B	0	1013-SC11B	85	85	25	NP	NP
				2863	SC13	0	993-SC13	63	63	1	NP	NP
				2890	SC14A	0	991-SC14A	61	61	2	NP	NP
				2891	SC14B	0	784-SC14B	61	61	3	NP	NP
				2895	SC15	0	992-SC15	107	107	4	NP	NP
				2900	SC16A	0	991-SC16A	61	61	5	NP	NP
				2901	SC16B	0	784-SC16B	61	61	6	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3600	Absentee by Mail 16	2920	SC17A	0	999-SC17A	64	64	7	NP	NP
				2921	SC17B	0	1001-SC17B	86	86	8	NP	NP
				2923	SC17C	0	1002-SC17C	87	87	9	NP	NP
				2930	SC18A	0	1003-SC18A	100	100	10	NP	NP
				2931	SC18B	0	994-SC18B	88	88	11	NP	NP
				2932	SC18C	0	997-SC18C	88	88	12	NP	NP
				2940	SC19A	0	999-SC19A	64	64	13	NP	NP
				2941	SC19B	0	1002-SC19B	87	87	14	NP	NP
		3610	Absentee by Mail 17	2945	SC20	0	994-SC20	88	88	34	NP	NP
				2950	SC211	0	1005-SC211	65	65	21	NP	NP
				2951	SC212	0	1006-SC212	65	65	22	NP	NP
				2960	SC23A	0	1012-SC23A	66	66	23	NP	NP
				2961	SC23B	0	1008-SC23B	85	85	24	NP	NP
				2962	SC23C	0	1007-SC23C	66	66	25	NP	NP
				2970	SC27	0	1012-SC27	66	66	1	NP	NP
				2980	SC29A	0	1005-SC29A	65	65	2	NP	NP
				2990	SC30A	0	1004-SC30A	62	62	3	NP	NP
				2991	SC30B	0	995-SC30B	62	62	4	NP	NP
				3000	SS01	0	898-SS01	67	67	35	NP	NP
				3010	SS02A	0	899-SS02A	68	68	36	NP	NP
				3020	SS02B	0	900-SS02B	69	69	37	NP	NP
				3030	SS03	0	900-SS03	69	69	38	NP	NP
				3040	SS04	0	901-SS04	69	69	39	NP	NP
				3050	SS05	0	902-SS05	70	70	40	NP	NP
				3060	SS06	0	903-SS06	72	72	41	NP	NP
				3070	SS07A	0	904-SS07A	71	71	42	NP	NP
				3080	SS07B	0	903-SS07B	72	72	43	NP	NP
				3090	SS07C	0	905-SS07C	73	73	44	NP	NP
		3820	Absentee by Mail 18	3100	SS08A	0	905-SS08A	73	73	36	NP	NP
				3110	SS08B	0	903-SS08B	72	72	21	NP	NP
				3120	SS08C	0	904-SS08C	71	71	22	NP	NP
				3121	SS08D	0	906-SS08D	73	73	23	NP	NP
				3130	SS09A	0	907-SS09A	74	74	24	NP	NP
				3131	SS09B	0	908-SS09B	75	75	25	NP	NP
				3150	SS11A	0	909-SS11A	72	72	1	NP	NP
				3160	SS11B	0	910-SS11B	72	72	2	NP	NP
				3170	SS11C	0	911-SS11C	73	73	3	NP	NP
				3180	SS11D	0	908-SS11D	75	75	4	NP	NP
				3190	SS12	0	905-SS12	73	73	37	NP	NP
				3200	SS13A	0	912-SS13A	75	75	38	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3820	Absentee by Mail 18	3210	SS13B	0	908-SS13B	75	75	39	NP	NP
				3220	SS14	0	913-SS14	76	76	40	NP	NP
				3230	SS15A	0	914-SS15A	77	77	41	NP	NP
				3240	SS15B	0	915-SS15B	77	77	42	NP	NP
				3250	SS16	0	902-SS16	70	70	43	NP	NP
				3260	SS17	0	898-SS17	67	67	44	NP	NP
				3270	SS18A	0	902-SS18A	70	70	45	NP	NP
				3280	SS18B	0	916-SS18B	70	70	46	NP	NP
		3850	Absentee by Mail 19	3281	SS19A	0	917-SS19A	78	78	25	NP	NP
				3290	SS19B	0	918-SS19B	68	68	10	NP	NP
				3300	SS20	0	915-SS20	77	77	11	NP	NP
				3310	SS22	0	917-SS22	78	78	12	NP	NP
				3330	SS26	0	919-SS26	77	77	13	NP	NP
				3340	SS29A	0	920-SS29A	79	79	14	NP	NP
				3350	SS31	0	903-SS31	72	72	1	NP	NP
				3360	UC01A	0	802-UC01A	80	80	26	NP	NP
				3365	UC01B	0	923-UC01B	101	101	27	NP	NP
				3368	UC01D	0	945-UC01D	105	105	28	NP	NP
				3369	UC01E	0	968-UC01E	108	108	29	NP	NP
				3370	UC02A	0	804-UC02A	80	80	30	NP	NP
				3375	UC02B	0	805-UC02B	102	102	31	NP	NP
				3380	UC031	0	806-UC031	81	81	32	NP	NP
				3390	UC032	0	807-UC032	81	81	33	NP	NP
		3460	Absentee by Mail 2	210	02G	0	821-02G	5	5	1	NP	NP
				220	02J	1	821-02J	5	5	2	NP	NP
						10	FED 5	90	90	2	NP	NP
						20	FED 6	91	91	2	NP	NP
						30	FED 11	92	92	2	NP	NP
						40	FED 13	93	93	2	NP	NP
				225	02K	0	821-02K	5	5	3	NP	NP
				230	02L1	0	823-02L1	6	6	4	NP	NP
				240	02L2	0	824-02L2	7	7	5	NP	NP
				250	02S	0	821-02S	5	5	6	NP	NP
				260	02W	0	825-02W	8	8	7	NP	NP
				280	03A	0	826-03A	7	7	8	NP	NP
				300	03B	0	827-03B	9	9	9	NP	NP
				320	03C	0	827-03C	9	9	10	NP	NP
				330	03D	0	826-03D	7	7	11	NP	NP
				340	03E	0	826-03E	7	7	12	NP	NP
				350	03F	0	828-03F	8	8	13	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3460	Absentee by Mail 2	360	03G	0	826-03G	7	7	14	NP	NP
				370	03H	0	829-03H	10	10	15	NP	NP
				375	03I	0	826-03I	7	7	16	NP	NP
				380	03L	0	826-03L	7	7	17	NP	NP
				390	03M	0	829-03M	10	10	18	NP	NP
				400	03N	0	826-03N	7	7	19	NP	NP
				410	03P1A	0	828-03P1A	8	8	20	NP	NP
		3470	Absentee by Mail 3	420	03P2	0	830-03P2	11	11	1	NP	NP
				440	03S	0	829-03S	10	10	2	NP	NP
				450	03T	0	830-03T	11	11	3	NP	NP
				470	04A	0	831-04A	7	7	4	NP	NP
				480	04B	0	832-04B	12	12	5	NP	NP
				485	04C	0	831-04C	7	7	6	NP	NP
				490	04D	0	831-04D	7	7	7	NP	NP
				500	04F	0	833-04F	11	11	8	NP	NP
				510	04G	0	832-04G	12	12	9	NP	NP
				520	04I	0	832-04I	12	12	10	NP	NP
				525	04J	0	833-04J	11	11	11	NP	NP
				530	04K	0	832-04K	12	12	12	NP	NP
				540	04L	0	831-04L	7	7	13	NP	NP
				550	04M	0	834-04M	5	5	14	NP	NP
				560	04S	0	832-04S	12	12	15	NP	NP
				570	04T	0	832-04T	12	12	16	NP	NP
				575	04V	0	508-04V	82	82	17	NP	NP
				580	04W	0	832-04W	12	12	18	NP	NP
				590	04X1	0	835-04X1	6	6	19	NP	NP
				600	04X2	0	831-04X2	7	7	20	NP	NP
		3480	Absentee by Mail 4	615	05A1	0	956-05A1	2	2	1	NP	NP
				617	05A2	0	836-05A2	1	1	2	NP	NP
				620	05B	0	837-05B	5	5	3	NP	NP
				630	05C	0	837-05C	5	5	4	NP	NP
				631	05D	0	838-05D	12	12	5	NP	NP
				632	05F	0	837-05F	5	5	6	NP	NP
				633	05J	0	837-05J	5	5	7	NP	NP
				634	05K	0	837-05K	5	5	8	NP	NP
				660	06B	0	839-06B	5	5	9	NP	NP
				670	06D	0	840-06D	13	13	10	NP	NP
				680	06E	0	841-06E	8	8	11	NP	NP
				690	06F	0	961-06F	2	2	12	NP	NP
				700	06G	0	839-06G	5	5	13	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3480	Absentee by Mail 4	720	06I	0	843-06I	14	14	14	NP	NP
				730	06J	0	840-06J	13	13	15	NP	NP
				745	06L1	0	841-06L1	8	8	16	NP	NP
				746	06L2	0	844-06L2	17	17	17	NP	NP
				747	06N	0	844-06N	17	17	18	NP	NP
				748	06Q	0	843-06Q	14	14	19	NP	NP
				750	06R	0	841-06R	8	8	20	NP	NP
		3490	Absentee by Mail 5	770	07A	0	846-07A	15	15	2	NP	NP
				780	07B	0	846-07B	15	15	3	NP	NP
				790	07C	0	847-07C	16	16	4	NP	NP
				800	07D	0	847-07D	16	16	5	NP	NP
				810	07E	0	848-07E	14	14	6	NP	NP
				820	07F	0	848-07F	14	14	7	NP	NP
				840	07H	0	848-07H	14	14	8	NP	NP
				850	07J	0	849-07J	17	17	9	NP	NP
				880	07M	0	848-07M	14	14	10	NP	NP
				900	07N	0	848-07N	14	14	11	NP	NP
				910	08A	0	851-08A	18	18	12	NP	NP
				920	08B	0	852-08B	19	19	13	NP	NP
				930	08C	0	852-08C	19	19	14	NP	NP
				940	08D	0	852-08D	19	19	15	NP	NP
				950	08E	0	853-08E	20	20	16	NP	NP
				960	08F1	0	854-08F1	16	16	17	NP	NP
				970	08G	0	850-08G	14	14	18	NP	NP
				980	08H	0	850-08H	14	14	19	NP	NP
				990	08J	0	855-08J	21	21	20	NP	NP
		3500	Absentee by Mail 6	1000	08K	0	856-08K	22	22	1	NP	NP
				1010	08L	0	856-08L	22	22	2	NP	NP
				1020	08M	0	854-08M	16	16	3	NP	NP
				1030	08N1	0	852-08N1	19	19	4	NP	NP
				1035	08N2	0	857-08N2	15	15	5	NP	NP
				1040	08P	0	856-08P	22	22	6	NP	NP
				1050	09A	0	858-09A	23	23	7	NP	NP
				1060	09B	0	858-09B	23	23	8	NP	NP
				1070	09C	0	858-09C	23	23	9	NP	NP
				1080	09D	0	858-09D	23	23	10	NP	NP
				1090	09E	0	858-09E	23	23	11	NP	NP
				1100	09F	0	859-09F	24	24	12	NP	NP
				1110	09G	0	970-09G	10	10	13	NP	NP
				1116	09H	0	861-09H	22	22	14	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3500	Absentee by Mail 6	1117	09I	0	970-09I	10	10	15	NP	NP
				1120	09K	0	858-09K	23	23	16	NP	NP
				1140	09M	0	858-09M	23	23	17	NP	NP
				1150	10A	0	864-10A	25	25	18	NP	NP
				1151	10B	0	865-10B	10	10	19	NP	NP
				1160	10C	0	864-10C	25	25	20	NP	NP
		3510	Absentee by Mail 7	1170	10D	0	866-10D	23	23	1	NP	NP
				1180	10E	0	866-10E	23	23	2	NP	NP
				1190	10F	0	866-10F	23	23	3	NP	NP
				1200	10G	0	867-10G	9	9	4	NP	NP
				1205	10H1	0	873-10H1	23	23	5	NP	NP
				1210	10H2	0	865-10H2	10	10	6	NP	NP
				1211	10I	0	868-10I	103	103	7	NP	NP
				1220	10J	0	869-10J	9	9	8	NP	NP
				1225	10K	0	870-10K	6	6	9	NP	NP
				1240	10M	0	870-10M	6	6	10	NP	NP
				1260	10P	0	866-10P	23	23	11	NP	NP
				1270	10R	0	873-10R	23	23	12	NP	NP
				1310	11B	0	520-11B	26	26	13	NP	NP
				1330	11C	0	874-11C	10	10	14	NP	NP
				1340	11E1	0	962-11E1	27	27	15	NP	NP
				1350	11E2	0	877-11E2	33	33	16	NP	NP
				1360	11E3	0	875-11E3	28	28	17	NP	NP
				1380	11G	0	529-11G	29	29	18	NP	NP
				1390	11H	0	878-11H	6	6	19	NP	NP
				1420	11J	0	974-11J	30	30	20	NP	NP
		3520	Absentee by Mail 8	1430	11K	0	880-11K	31	31	1	NP	NP
				1440	11M	0	881-11M	32	32	2	NP	NP
				1450	11N	0	877-11N	33	33	3	NP	NP
				1460	11P	0	877-11P	33	33	4	NP	NP
				1470	11R	0	880-11R	31	31	5	NP	NP
				1480	12A	0	531-12A	2	2	6	NP	NP
				1510	12D	0	885-12D	3	3	7	NP	NP
				1520	12E1	0	882-12E1	1	1	8	NP	NP
				1530	12F	0	882-12F	1	1	9	NP	NP
				1540	12G	0	882-12G	1	1	10	NP	NP
				1545	12H1	0	882-12H1	1	1	11	NP	NP
				1550	12H2	0	885-12H2	3	3	12	NP	NP
				1551	12I	0	886-12I	29	29	13	NP	NP
				1560	12J	0	885-12J	3	3	14	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3520	Absentee by Mail 8	1561	12K	0	887-12K	82	82	15	NP	NP
				1570	12L	0	531-12L	2	2	16	NP	NP
				1580	12M	0	885-12M	3	3	17	NP	NP
				1581	12N	0	531-12N	2	2	18	NP	NP
				1590	12S	0	531-12S	2	2	19	NP	NP
		3530	Absentee by Mail 9	1610	AP01A	0	745-AP01A	34	34	21	NP	NP
				1620	AP01B	0	730-AP01B	34	34	1	NP	NP
				1630	AP01C	0	731-AP01C	34	34	2	NP	NP
				1631	AP01D	0	732-AP01D	34	34	3	NP	NP
				1641	AP02B	0	735-AP02B	35	35	4	NP	NP
				1650	AP03	0	735-AP03	35	35	5	NP	NP
				1660	AP04A	0	736-AP04A	36	36	6	NP	NP
				1661	AP04B	0	738-AP04B	36	36	7	NP	NP
				1670	AP05	0	739-AP05	37	37	8	NP	NP
				1680	AP06	0	740-AP06	38	38	9	NP	NP
				1690	AP07A	0	740-AP07A	38	38	10	NP	NP
				1700	AP07B	0	741-AP07B	95	95	11	NP	NP
				1710	AP09A	0	739-AP09A	37	37	12	NP	NP
				1720	AP09B	0	743-AP09B	39	39	13	NP	NP
				1721	AP021	0	734-AP021	94	94	14	NP	NP
				1722	AP022	0	737-AP022	94	94	15	NP	NP
				1730	AP10	0	740-AP10	38	38	16	NP	NP
				1740	AP12A	0	739-AP12A	37	37	17	NP	NP
				1741	AP12B	0	744-AP12B	104	104	18	NP	NP
				1742	AP12C	0	740-AP12C	38	38	19	NP	NP
		3441	Advance in Person 1	10	01A	0	813-01A	1	1	1	NP	NP
				20	01B	0	813-01B	1	1	2	NP	NP
				35	01C	0	813-01C	1	1	3	NP	NP
				40	01D	0	817-01D	2	2	4	NP	NP
				50	01E	0	813-01E	1	1	5	NP	NP
				60	01F	0	817-01F	2	2	6	NP	NP
				70	01G	0	813-01G	1	1	7	NP	NP
				75	01H	0	818-01H	5	5	8	NP	NP
				90	01J	0	813-01J	1	1	9	NP	NP
				95	01P	0	813-01P	1	1	10	NP	NP
				110	01R	0	813-01R	1	1	11	NP	NP
				120	01S	0	816-01S	3	3	12	NP	NP
				130	01T	0	813-01T	1	1	13	NP	NP
				140	02A	0	819-02A	4	4	14	NP	NP
				150	02B	0	820-02B	12	12	15	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3441	Advance in Person 1	160	02C	0	821-02C	5	5	16	NP	NP
				170	02D	0	821-02D	5	5	17	NP	NP
				180	02E	0	822-02E	1	1	18	NP	NP
				190	02F1	0	822-02F1	1	1	19	NP	NP
				200	02F2	0	821-02F2	5	5	20	NP	NP
				210	02G	0	821-02G	5	5	21	NP	NP
				220	02J	1	821-02J	5	5	22	NP	NP
						10	FED 5	90	90	22	NP	NP
						20	FED 6	91	91	22	NP	NP
						30	FED 11	92	92	22	NP	NP
						40	FED 13	93	93	22	NP	NP
				225	02K	0	821-02K	5	5	23	NP	NP
				230	02L1	0	823-02L1	6	6	24	NP	NP
				240	02L2	0	824-02L2	7	7	25	NP	NP
				250	02S	0	821-02S	5	5	26	NP	NP
				260	02W	0	825-02W	8	8	27	NP	NP
				280	03A	0	826-03A	7	7	28	NP	NP
				300	03B	0	827-03B	9	9	29	NP	NP
				320	03C	0	827-03C	9	9	30	NP	NP
				330	03D	0	826-03D	7	7	31	NP	NP
				340	03E	0	826-03E	7	7	32	NP	NP
				350	03F	0	828-03F	8	8	33	NP	NP
				360	03G	0	826-03G	7	7	34	NP	NP
				370	03H	0	829-03H	10	10	35	NP	NP
				375	03I	0	826-03I	7	7	36	NP	NP
				380	03L	0	826-03L	7	7	37	NP	NP
				390	03M	0	829-03M	10	10	38	NP	NP
				400	03N	0	826-03N	7	7	39	NP	NP
				410	03P1A	0	828-03P1A	8	8	40	NP	NP
				420	03P2	0	830-03P2	11	11	41	NP	NP
				440	03S	0	829-03S	10	10	42	NP	NP
				450	03T	0	830-03T	11	11	43	NP	NP
				470	04A	0	831-04A	7	7	44	NP	NP
				480	04B	0	832-04B	12	12	45	NP	NP
				485	04C	0	831-04C	7	7	46	NP	NP
				490	04D	0	831-04D	7	7	47	NP	NP
				500	04F	0	833-04F	11	11	48	NP	NP
				510	04G	0	832-04G	12	12	49	NP	NP
				520	04I	0	832-04I	12	12	50	NP	NP
				525	04J	0	833-04J	11	11	51	NP	NP
				530	04K	0	832-04K	12	12	52	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3441	Advance in Person 1	540	04L	0	831-04L	7	7	53	NP	NP
				550	04M	0	834-04M	5	5	54	NP	NP
				560	04S	0	832-04S	12	12	55	NP	NP
				570	04T	0	832-04T	12	12	56	NP	NP
				575	04V	0	508-04V	82	82	57	NP	NP
				580	04W	0	832-04W	12	12	58	NP	NP
				590	04X1	0	835-04X1	6	6	59	NP	NP
				600	04X2	0	831-04X2	7	7	60	NP	NP
				615	05A1	0	956-05A1	2	2	61	NP	NP
				617	05A2	0	836-05A2	1	1	62	NP	NP
				620	05B	0	837-05B	5	5	63	NP	NP
				630	05C	0	837-05C	5	5	64	NP	NP
				631	05D	0	838-05D	12	12	65	NP	NP
				632	05F	0	837-05F	5	5	66	NP	NP
				633	05J	0	837-05J	5	5	67	NP	NP
				634	05K	0	837-05K	5	5	68	NP	NP
				660	06B	0	839-06B	5	5	69	NP	NP
				670	06D	0	840-06D	13	13	70	NP	NP
				680	06E	0	841-06E	8	8	71	NP	NP
				690	06F	0	961-06F	2	2	72	NP	NP
				700	06G	0	839-06G	5	5	73	NP	NP
				720	06I	0	843-06I	14	14	74	NP	NP
				730	06J	0	840-06J	13	13	75	NP	NP
				745	06L1	0	841-06L1	8	8	76	NP	NP
				746	06L2	0	844-06L2	17	17	77	NP	NP
				747	06N	0	844-06N	17	17	78	NP	NP
				748	06Q	0	843-06Q	14	14	79	NP	NP
				750	06R	0	841-06R	8	8	80	NP	NP
				770	07A	0	846-07A	15	15	81	NP	NP
				780	07B	0	846-07B	15	15	82	NP	NP
				790	07C	0	847-07C	16	16	83	NP	NP
				800	07D	0	847-07D	16	16	84	NP	NP
				810	07E	0	848-07E	14	14	85	NP	NP
				820	07F	0	848-07F	14	14	86	NP	NP
				840	07H	0	848-07H	14	14	87	NP	NP
				850	07J	0	849-07J	17	17	88	NP	NP
				880	07M	0	848-07M	14	14	89	NP	NP
				900	07N	0	848-07N	14	14	90	NP	NP
				910	08A	0	851-08A	18	18	91	NP	NP
				920	08B	0	852-08B	19	19	92	NP	NP
				930	08C	0	852-08C	19	19	93	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3441	Advance in Person 1	940	08D	0	852-08D	19	19	94	NP	NP
				950	08E	0	853-08E	20	20	95	NP	NP
				960	08F1	0	854-08F1	16	16	96	NP	NP
				970	08G	0	850-08G	14	14	97	NP	NP
				980	08H	0	850-08H	14	14	98	NP	NP
				990	08J	0	855-08J	21	21	99	NP	NP
				1000	08K	0	856-08K	22	22	100	NP	NP
				1010	08L	0	856-08L	22	22	101	NP	NP
				1020	08M	0	854-08M	16	16	102	NP	NP
				1030	08N1	0	852-08N1	19	19	103	NP	NP
				1035	08N2	0	857-08N2	15	15	104	NP	NP
				1040	08P	0	856-08P	22	22	105	NP	NP
				1050	09A	0	858-09A	23	23	106	NP	NP
				1060	09B	0	858-09B	23	23	107	NP	NP
				1070	09C	0	858-09C	23	23	108	NP	NP
				1080	09D	0	858-09D	23	23	109	NP	NP
				1090	09E	0	858-09E	23	23	110	NP	NP
				1100	09F	0	859-09F	24	24	111	NP	NP
				1110	09G	0	970-09G	10	10	112	NP	NP
				1116	09H	0	861-09H	22	22	113	NP	NP
				1117	09I	0	970-09I	10	10	114	NP	NP
				1120	09K	0	858-09K	23	23	115	NP	NP
				1140	09M	0	858-09M	23	23	116	NP	NP
				1150	10A	0	864-10A	25	25	117	NP	NP
				1151	10B	0	865-10B	10	10	118	NP	NP
				1160	10C	0	864-10C	25	25	119	NP	NP
				1170	10D	0	866-10D	23	23	120	NP	NP
				1180	10E	0	866-10E	23	23	121	NP	NP
				1190	10F	0	866-10F	23	23	122	NP	NP
				1200	10G	0	867-10G	9	9	123	NP	NP
				1205	10H1	0	873-10H1	23	23	124	NP	NP
				1210	10H2	0	865-10H2	10	10	125	NP	NP
				1211	10I	0	868-10I	103	103	126	NP	NP
				1220	10J	0	869-10J	9	9	127	NP	NP
				1225	10K	0	870-10K	6	6	128	NP	NP
				1240	10M	0	870-10M	6	6	129	NP	NP
				1260	10P	0	866-10P	23	23	130	NP	NP
				1270	10R	0	873-10R	23	23	131	NP	NP
				1310	11B	0	520-11B	26	26	132	NP	NP
				1330	11C	0	874-11C	10	10	133	NP	NP
				1340	11E1	0	962-11E1	27	27	134	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3441	Advance in Person 1	1350	11E2	0	877-11E2	33	33	135	NP	NP
				1360	11E3	0	875-11E3	28	28	136	NP	NP
				1380	11G	0	529-11G	29	29	137	NP	NP
				1390	11H	0	878-11H	6	6	138	NP	NP
				1420	11J	0	974-11J	30	30	139	NP	NP
				1430	11K	0	880-11K	31	31	140	NP	NP
				1440	11M	0	881-11M	32	32	141	NP	NP
				1450	11N	0	877-11N	33	33	142	NP	NP
				1460	11P	0	877-11P	33	33	143	NP	NP
				1470	11R	0	880-11R	31	31	144	NP	NP
				1480	12A	0	531-12A	2	2	145	NP	NP
				1510	12D	0	885-12D	3	3	146	NP	NP
				1520	12E1	0	882-12E1	1	1	147	NP	NP
				1530	12F	0	882-12F	1	1	148	NP	NP
				1540	12G	0	882-12G	1	1	149	NP	NP
				1545	12H1	0	882-12H1	1	1	150	NP	NP
				1550	12H2	0	885-12H2	3	3	151	NP	NP
				1551	12I	0	886-12I	29	29	152	NP	NP
				1560	12J	0	885-12J	3	3	153	NP	NP
				1561	12K	0	887-12K	82	82	154	NP	NP
				1570	12L	0	531-12L	2	2	155	NP	NP
				1580	12M	0	885-12M	3	3	156	NP	NP
				1581	12N	0	531-12N	2	2	157	NP	NP
				1590	12S	0	531-12S	2	2	158	NP	NP
				1610	AP01A	0	745-AP01A	34	34	159	NP	NP
				1620	AP01B	0	730-AP01B	34	34	160	NP	NP
				1630	AP01C	0	731-AP01C	34	34	161	NP	NP
				1631	AP01D	0	732-AP01D	34	34	162	NP	NP
				1641	AP02B	0	735-AP02B	35	35	163	NP	NP
				1650	AP03	0	735-AP03	35	35	164	NP	NP
				1660	AP04A	0	736-AP04A	36	36	165	NP	NP
				1661	AP04B	0	738-AP04B	36	36	166	NP	NP
				1670	AP05	0	739-AP05	37	37	167	NP	NP
				1680	AP06	0	740-AP06	38	38	168	NP	NP
				1690	AP07A	0	740-AP07A	38	38	169	NP	NP
				1700	AP07B	0	741-AP07B	95	95	170	NP	NP
				1710	AP09A	0	739-AP09A	37	37	171	NP	NP
				1720	AP09B	0	743-AP09B	39	39	172	NP	NP
				1721	AP021	0	734-AP021	94	94	173	NP	NP
				1722	AP022	0	737-AP022	94	94	174	NP	NP
				1730	AP10	0	740-AP10	38	38	175	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3441	Advance in Person 1	1740	AP12A	0	739-AP12A	37	37	176	NP	NP
				1741	AP12B	0	744-AP12B	104	104	177	NP	NP
				1742	AP12C	0	740-AP12C	38	38	178	NP	NP
				1789	AP14	0	731-AP14	34	34	179	NP	NP
				1790	CH01	0	950-CH01	40	40	180	NP	NP
				1800	CH02	0	951-CH02	40	40	181	NP	NP
				1810	CH03	0	952-CH03	40	40	182	NP	NP
				1820	CH04A	0	954-CH04A	40	40	183	NP	NP
				1830	CH05	0	955-CH05	40	40	184	NP	NP
				1841	CP01B	0	747-CP01B	42	42	185	NP	NP
				1842	CP011	0	742-CP011	41	41	186	NP	NP
				1850	CP012	0	749-CP012	41	41	187	NP	NP
				1860	CP02	0	942-CP02	42	42	188	NP	NP
				1880	CP04A	0	1025-CP04A	42	42	189	NP	NP
				1881	CP04B	0	751-CP04B	42	42	190	NP	NP
				1904	CP05B	0	752-CP05B	106	106	191	NP	NP
				1905	CP051	0	753-CP051	99	99	192	NP	NP
				1910	CP06A	0	754-CP06A	96	96	193	NP	NP
				1920	CP07B	0	943-CP07B	106	106	194	NP	NP
				1922	CP07C	0	889-CP07C	109	109	195	NP	NP
				1924	CP07D	0	753-CP07D	99	99	196	NP	NP
				1925	CP07E	0	889-CP07E	109	109	197	NP	NP
				1926	CP07F	0	753-CP07F	99	99	198	NP	NP
				1950	CP081	0	1024-CP081	89	89	199	NP	NP
				1952	CP083	0	965-CP083	89	89	201	NP	NP
				1955	CP084	0	1024-CP084	89	89	200	NP	NP
				1962	CP08A	0	965-CP08A	89	89	202	NP	NP
				1970	EP01A	1	978-EP01A	43	43	203	NP	NP
				1971	EP01B	0	979-EP01B	43	43	204	NP	NP
				1980	EP02A	1	760-EP02A	97	97	205	NP	NP
				1981	EP02B	0	929-EP02B	110	110	206	NP	NP
				1982	EP02C	0	971-EP02C	111	111	207	NP	NP
				1983	EP02D	0	986-EP02D	43	43	208	NP	NP
				1984	EP02E	0	987-EP02E	112	112	209	NP	NP
				1990	EP03A	1	980-EP03A	43	43	210	NP	NP
				1991	EP03B	0	897-EP03B	44	44	211	NP	NP
				2000	EP04A	1	765-EP04A	44	44	212	NP	NP
				2005	EP04B	0	766-EP04B	113	113	213	NP	NP
				2160	FA01A	0	767-FA01A	45	45	214	NP	NP
				2170	FA01B	0	767-FA01B	45	45	215	NP	NP
				2171	FA01C	0	768-FA01C	114	114	216	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3441	Advance in Person 1	2180	HP01	0	975-HP01	46	46	217	NP	NP
				2190	JC01	0	770-JC01	47	47	218	NP	NP
				2200	JC02	0	770-JC02	47	47	219	NP	NP
				2205	JC03A	0	771-JC03A	48	48	220	NP	NP
				2210	JC03B	0	770-JC03B	47	47	221	NP	NP
				2220	JC04A	0	771-JC04A	48	48	222	NP	NP
						10	1028-JC04A	48	48	222	NP	NP
				2230	JC04B	0	773-JC04B	49	49	223	NP	NP
				2240	JC05	0	770-JC05	47	47	224	NP	NP
				2250	JC06	0	770-JC06	47	47	225	NP	NP
				2260	JC07	0	770-JC07	47	47	226	NP	NP
				2270	JC08	0	770-JC08	47	47	227	NP	NP
				2280	JC09	0	770-JC09	47	47	228	NP	NP
				2290	JC10	0	770-JC10	47	47	229	NP	NP
				2300	JC11	0	770-JC11	47	47	230	NP	NP
				2310	JC12	0	770-JC12	47	47	231	NP	NP
				2330	JC13A	0	774-JC13A	50	50	232	NP	NP
				2340	JC13B	0	770-JC13B	47	47	233	NP	NP
				2350	JC14	0	776-JC14	98	98	234	NP	NP
				2360	JC15	0	777-JC15	51	51	235	NP	NP
				2370	JC16	0	777-JC16	51	51	236	NP	NP
				2390	JC18	0	778-JC18	83	83	237	NP	NP
				2400	JC19	0	778-JC19	83	83	238	NP	NP
				2410	ML011	0	890-ML011	84	84	239	NP	NP
				2411	ML012	0	891-ML012	84	84	240	NP	NP
				2412	ML01B	0	891-ML01B	84	84	241	NP	NP
				2413	ML021	0	893-ML021	52	52	242	NP	NP
				2430	ML022	0	892-ML022	53	53	243	NP	NP
				2440	ML023	0	894-ML023	54	54	244	NP	NP
				2441	ML024	0	895-ML024	55	55	245	NP	NP
				2450	ML03	0	895-ML03	55	55	246	NP	NP
				2460	ML04A	0	894-ML04A	54	54	247	NP	NP
				2461	ML04B	0	895-ML04B	55	55	248	NP	NP
				2462	ML04C	0	896-ML04C	55	55	249	NP	NP
				2470	ML05A	0	894-ML05A	54	54	250	NP	NP
				2471	ML05B	0	895-ML05B	55	55	251	NP	NP
				2472	ML05C	0	896-ML05C	55	55	252	NP	NP
				2480	ML06A	0	894-ML06A	54	54	253	NP	NP
				2481	ML06B	0	896-ML06B	55	55	254	NP	NP
				2482	ML071	0	896-ML071	55	55	255	NP	NP
				2483	ML072	0	895-ML072	55	55	256	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3441	Advance in Person 1	2490	ML07A	0	893-ML07A	52	52	257	NP	NP
				2500	MP01	0	922-MP01	56	56	258	NP	NP
				2510	PA01	0	924-PA01	45	45	259	NP	NP
				2520	RW01	0	779-RW01	57	57	260	NP	NP
				2530	RW02	0	779-RW02	57	57	261	NP	NP
				2540	RW03	0	779-RW03	57	57	262	NP	NP
				2560	RW04	0	780-RW04	58	58	263	NP	NP
				2570	RW05	0	779-RW05	57	57	264	NP	NP
				2580	RW06	0	780-RW06	58	58	265	NP	NP
				2590	RW07A	0	781-RW07A	59	59	266	NP	NP
				2591	RW07B	0	779-RW07B	57	57	267	NP	NP
				2610	RW08	0	779-RW08	57	57	268	NP	NP
				2620	RW09	0	782-RW09	60	60	269	NP	NP
				2630	RW10	0	779-RW10	57	57	270	NP	NP
				2640	RW11A	0	779-RW11A	57	57	271	NP	NP
				2650	RW12	0	782-RW12	60	60	272	NP	NP
				2680	RW13	0	925-RW13	59	59	273	NP	NP
				2700	RW16	0	779-RW16	57	57	274	NP	NP
				2710	RW17	0	781-RW17	59	59	275	NP	NP
				2730	RW19	0	782-RW19	60	60	276	NP	NP
				2740	RW20	0	780-RW20	58	58	277	NP	NP
				2750	RW21	0	780-RW21	58	58	278	NP	NP
				2770	RW22A	0	780-RW22A	58	58	279	NP	NP
				2772	SC01A	0	991-SC01A	61	61	280	NP	NP
				2780	SC01B	0	1027-SC01B	61	61	281	NP	NP
				2781	SC01C	0	991-SC01C	61	61	282	NP	NP
				2782	SC01D	0	784-SC01D	61	61	283	NP	NP
				2790	SC02	0	1004-SC02	62	62	284	NP	NP
				2800	SC04	0	993-SC04	63	63	285	NP	NP
				2810	SC05A	0	996-SC05A	63	63	286	NP	NP
				2811	SC05B	0	997-SC05B	88	88	287	NP	NP
				2812	SC05D	0	998-SC05D	107	107	288	NP	NP
				2813	SC05E	0	1014-SC05E	107	107	289	NP	NP
				2820	SC07A	0	993-SC07A	63	63	290	NP	NP
				2821	SC07C	0	1022-SC07C	63	63	291	NP	NP
				2831	SC08B	0	1016-SC08B	85	85	292	NP	NP
				2832	SC08C	0	999-SC08C	64	64	293	NP	NP
				2833	SC08D	0	1011-SC08D	66	66	294	NP	NP
				2834	SC08E	0	1010-SC08E	65	65	295	NP	NP
				2835	SC08F	0	1001-SC08F	86	86	296	NP	NP
				2836	SC08G	0	1015-SC08G	115	115	297	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3441	Advance in Person 1	2837	SC08H	0	1019-SC08H	115	115	298	NP	NP
				2840	SC09A	0	1011-SC09A	66	66	299	NP	NP
				2841	SC09B	0	1016-SC09B	85	85	300	NP	NP
				2842	SC09C	0	1012-SC09C	66	66	301	NP	NP
				2844	SC10	0	1011-SC10	66	66	302	NP	NP
				2861	SC11A	0	1012-SC11A	66	66	303	NP	NP
				2862	SC11B	0	1013-SC11B	85	85	304	NP	NP
				2863	SC13	0	993-SC13	63	63	305	NP	NP
				2890	SC14A	0	991-SC14A	61	61	306	NP	NP
				2891	SC14B	0	784-SC14B	61	61	307	NP	NP
				2895	SC15	0	992-SC15	107	107	308	NP	NP
				2900	SC16A	0	991-SC16A	61	61	309	NP	NP
				2901	SC16B	0	784-SC16B	61	61	310	NP	NP
				2920	SC17A	0	999-SC17A	64	64	311	NP	NP
				2921	SC17B	0	1001-SC17B	86	86	312	NP	NP
				2923	SC17C	0	1002-SC17C	87	87	313	NP	NP
				2930	SC18A	0	1003-SC18A	100	100	314	NP	NP
				2931	SC18B	0	994-SC18B	88	88	315	NP	NP
				2932	SC18C	0	997-SC18C	88	88	316	NP	NP
				2940	SC19A	0	999-SC19A	64	64	317	NP	NP
				2941	SC19B	0	1002-SC19B	87	87	318	NP	NP
				2945	SC20	0	994-SC20	88	88	319	NP	NP
				2950	SC211	0	1005-SC211	65	65	320	NP	NP
				2951	SC212	0	1006-SC212	65	65	321	NP	NP
				2960	SC23A	0	1012-SC23A	66	66	322	NP	NP
				2961	SC23B	0	1008-SC23B	85	85	323	NP	NP
				2962	SC23C	0	1007-SC23C	66	66	324	NP	NP
				2970	SC27	0	1012-SC27	66	66	325	NP	NP
				2980	SC29A	0	1005-SC29A	65	65	326	NP	NP
				2990	SC30A	0	1004-SC30A	62	62	327	NP	NP
				2991	SC30B	0	995-SC30B	62	62	328	NP	NP
				3000	SS01	0	898-SS01	67	67	329	NP	NP
				3010	SS02A	0	899-SS02A	68	68	330	NP	NP
				3020	SS02B	0	900-SS02B	69	69	331	NP	NP
				3030	SS03	0	900-SS03	69	69	332	NP	NP
				3040	SS04	0	901-SS04	69	69	333	NP	NP
				3050	SS05	0	902-SS05	70	70	334	NP	NP
				3060	SS06	0	903-SS06	72	72	335	NP	NP
				3070	SS07A	0	904-SS07A	71	71	336	NP	NP
				3080	SS07B	0	903-SS07B	72	72	337	NP	NP
				3090	SS07C	0	905-SS07C	73	73	338	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3441	Advance in Person 1	3100	SS08A	0	905-SS08A	73	73	339	NP	NP
				3110	SS08B	0	903-SS08B	72	72	340	NP	NP
				3120	SS08C	0	904-SS08C	71	71	341	NP	NP
				3121	SS08D	0	906-SS08D	73	73	342	NP	NP
				3130	SS09A	0	907-SS09A	74	74	343	NP	NP
				3131	SS09B	0	908-SS09B	75	75	344	NP	NP
				3150	SS11A	0	909-SS11A	72	72	345	NP	NP
				3160	SS11B	0	910-SS11B	72	72	346	NP	NP
				3170	SS11C	0	911-SS11C	73	73	347	NP	NP
				3180	SS11D	0	908-SS11D	75	75	348	NP	NP
				3190	SS12	0	905-SS12	73	73	349	NP	NP
				3200	SS13A	0	912-SS13A	75	75	350	NP	NP
				3210	SS13B	0	908-SS13B	75	75	351	NP	NP
				3220	SS14	0	913-SS14	76	76	352	NP	NP
				3230	SS15A	0	914-SS15A	77	77	353	NP	NP
				3240	SS15B	0	915-SS15B	77	77	354	NP	NP
				3250	SS16	0	902-SS16	70	70	355	NP	NP
				3260	SS17	0	898-SS17	67	67	356	NP	NP
				3270	SS18A	0	902-SS18A	70	70	357	NP	NP
				3280	SS18B	0	916-SS18B	70	70	358	NP	NP
				3281	SS19A	0	917-SS19A	78	78	359	NP	NP
				3290	SS19B	0	918-SS19B	68	68	360	NP	NP
				3300	SS20	0	915-SS20	77	77	361	NP	NP
				3310	SS22	0	917-SS22	78	78	362	NP	NP
				3330	SS26	0	919-SS26	77	77	363	NP	NP
				3340	SS29A	0	920-SS29A	79	79	364	NP	NP
				3350	SS31	0	903-SS31	72	72	365	NP	NP
				3360	UC01A	0	802-UC01A	80	80	366	NP	NP
				3365	UC01B	0	923-UC01B	101	101	367	NP	NP
				3368	UC01D	0	945-UC01D	105	105	368	NP	NP
				3369	UC01E	0	968-UC01E	108	108	369	NP	NP
				3370	UC02A	0	804-UC02A	80	80	370	NP	NP
				3375	UC02B	0	805-UC02B	102	102	371	NP	NP
				3380	UC031	0	806-UC031	81	81	372	NP	NP
				3390	UC032	0	807-UC032	81	81	373	NP	NP
		3442	Advance in Person 2	10	01A	0	813-01A	1	1	1	NP	NP
				20	01B	0	813-01B	1	1	2	NP	NP
				35	01C	0	813-01C	1	1	3	NP	NP
				40	01D	0	817-01D	2	2	4	NP	NP
				50	01E	0	813-01E	1	1	5	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3442	Advance in Person 2	60	01F	0	817-01F	2	2	6	NP	NP
				70	01G	0	813-01G	1	1	7	NP	NP
				75	01H	0	818-01H	5	5	8	NP	NP
				90	01J	0	813-01J	1	1	9	NP	NP
				95	01P	0	813-01P	1	1	10	NP	NP
				110	01R	0	813-01R	1	1	11	NP	NP
				120	01S	0	816-01S	3	3	12	NP	NP
				130	01T	0	813-01T	1	1	13	NP	NP
				140	02A	0	819-02A	4	4	14	NP	NP
				150	02B	0	820-02B	12	12	15	NP	NP
				160	02C	0	821-02C	5	5	16	NP	NP
				170	02D	0	821-02D	5	5	17	NP	NP
				180	02E	0	822-02E	1	1	18	NP	NP
				190	02F1	0	822-02F1	1	1	19	NP	NP
				200	02F2	0	821-02F2	5	5	20	NP	NP
				210	02G	0	821-02G	5	5	21	NP	NP
				220	02J	1	821-02J	5	5	22	NP	NP
						10	FED 5	90	90	22	NP	NP
						20	FED 6	91	91	22	NP	NP
						30	FED 11	92	92	22	NP	NP
						40	FED 13	93	93	22	NP	NP
				225	02K	0	821-02K	5	5	23	NP	NP
				230	02L1	0	823-02L1	6	6	24	NP	NP
				240	02L2	0	824-02L2	7	7	25	NP	NP
				250	02S	0	821-02S	5	5	26	NP	NP
				260	02W	0	825-02W	8	8	27	NP	NP
				280	03A	0	826-03A	7	7	28	NP	NP
				300	03B	0	827-03B	9	9	29	NP	NP
				320	03C	0	827-03C	9	9	30	NP	NP
				330	03D	0	826-03D	7	7	31	NP	NP
				340	03E	0	826-03E	7	7	32	NP	NP
				350	03F	0	828-03F	8	8	33	NP	NP
				360	03G	0	826-03G	7	7	34	NP	NP
				370	03H	0	829-03H	10	10	35	NP	NP
				375	03I	0	826-03I	7	7	36	NP	NP
				380	03L	0	826-03L	7	7	37	NP	NP
				390	03M	0	829-03M	10	10	38	NP	NP
				400	03N	0	826-03N	7	7	39	NP	NP
				410	03P1A	0	828-03P1A	8	8	40	NP	NP
				420	03P2	0	830-03P2	11	11	41	NP	NP
				440	03S	0	829-03S	10	10	42	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3442	Advance in Person 2	450	03T	0	830-03T	11	11	43	NP	NP
				470	04A	0	831-04A	7	7	44	NP	NP
				480	04B	0	832-04B	12	12	45	NP	NP
				485	04C	0	831-04C	7	7	46	NP	NP
				490	04D	0	831-04D	7	7	47	NP	NP
				500	04F	0	833-04F	11	11	48	NP	NP
				510	04G	0	832-04G	12	12	49	NP	NP
				520	04I	0	832-04I	12	12	50	NP	NP
				525	04J	0	833-04J	11	11	51	NP	NP
				530	04K	0	832-04K	12	12	52	NP	NP
				540	04L	0	831-04L	7	7	53	NP	NP
				550	04M	0	834-04M	5	5	54	NP	NP
				560	04S	0	832-04S	12	12	55	NP	NP
				570	04T	0	832-04T	12	12	56	NP	NP
				575	04V	0	508-04V	82	82	57	NP	NP
				580	04W	0	832-04W	12	12	58	NP	NP
				590	04X1	0	835-04X1	6	6	59	NP	NP
				600	04X2	0	831-04X2	7	7	60	NP	NP
				615	05A1	0	956-05A1	2	2	61	NP	NP
				617	05A2	0	836-05A2	1	1	62	NP	NP
				620	05B	0	837-05B	5	5	63	NP	NP
				630	05C	0	837-05C	5	5	64	NP	NP
				631	05D	0	838-05D	12	12	65	NP	NP
				632	05F	0	837-05F	5	5	66	NP	NP
				633	05J	0	837-05J	5	5	67	NP	NP
				634	05K	0	837-05K	5	5	68	NP	NP
				660	06B	0	839-06B	5	5	69	NP	NP
				670	06D	0	840-06D	13	13	70	NP	NP
				680	06E	0	841-06E	8	8	71	NP	NP
				690	06F	0	961-06F	2	2	72	NP	NP
				700	06G	0	839-06G	5	5	73	NP	NP
				720	06I	0	843-06I	14	14	74	NP	NP
				730	06J	0	840-06J	13	13	75	NP	NP
				745	06L1	0	841-06L1	8	8	76	NP	NP
				746	06L2	0	844-06L2	17	17	77	NP	NP
				747	06N	0	844-06N	17	17	78	NP	NP
				748	06Q	0	843-06Q	14	14	79	NP	NP
				750	06R	0	841-06R	8	8	80	NP	NP
				770	07A	0	846-07A	15	15	81	NP	NP
				780	07B	0	846-07B	15	15	82	NP	NP
				790	07C	0	847-07C	16	16	83	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3442	Advance in Person 2	800	07D	0	847-07D	16	16	84	NP	NP
				810	07E	0	848-07E	14	14	85	NP	NP
				820	07F	0	848-07F	14	14	86	NP	NP
				840	07H	0	848-07H	14	14	87	NP	NP
				850	07J	0	849-07J	17	17	88	NP	NP
				880	07M	0	848-07M	14	14	89	NP	NP
				900	07N	0	848-07N	14	14	90	NP	NP
				910	08A	0	851-08A	18	18	91	NP	NP
				920	08B	0	852-08B	19	19	92	NP	NP
				930	08C	0	852-08C	19	19	93	NP	NP
				940	08D	0	852-08D	19	19	94	NP	NP
				950	08E	0	853-08E	20	20	95	NP	NP
				960	08F1	0	854-08F1	16	16	96	NP	NP
				970	08G	0	850-08G	14	14	97	NP	NP
				980	08H	0	850-08H	14	14	98	NP	NP
				990	08J	0	855-08J	21	21	99	NP	NP
				1000	08K	0	856-08K	22	22	100	NP	NP
				1010	08L	0	856-08L	22	22	101	NP	NP
				1020	08M	0	854-08M	16	16	102	NP	NP
				1030	08N1	0	852-08N1	19	19	103	NP	NP
				1035	08N2	0	857-08N2	15	15	104	NP	NP
				1040	08P	0	856-08P	22	22	105	NP	NP
				1050	09A	0	858-09A	23	23	106	NP	NP
				1060	09B	0	858-09B	23	23	107	NP	NP
				1070	09C	0	858-09C	23	23	108	NP	NP
				1080	09D	0	858-09D	23	23	109	NP	NP
				1090	09E	0	858-09E	23	23	110	NP	NP
				1100	09F	0	859-09F	24	24	111	NP	NP
				1110	09G	0	970-09G	10	10	112	NP	NP
				1116	09H	0	861-09H	22	22	113	NP	NP
				1117	09I	0	970-09I	10	10	114	NP	NP
				1120	09K	0	858-09K	23	23	115	NP	NP
				1140	09M	0	858-09M	23	23	116	NP	NP
				1150	10A	0	864-10A	25	25	117	NP	NP
				1151	10B	0	865-10B	10	10	118	NP	NP
				1160	10C	0	864-10C	25	25	119	NP	NP
				1170	10D	0	866-10D	23	23	120	NP	NP
				1180	10E	0	866-10E	23	23	121	NP	NP
				1190	10F	0	866-10F	23	23	122	NP	NP
				1200	10G	0	867-10G	9	9	123	NP	NP
				1205	10H1	0	873-10H1	23	23	124	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3442	Advance in Person 2	1210	10H2	0	865-10H2	10	10	125	NP	NP
				1211	10I	0	868-10I	103	103	126	NP	NP
				1220	10J	0	869-10J	9	9	127	NP	NP
				1225	10K	0	870-10K	6	6	128	NP	NP
				1240	10M	0	870-10M	6	6	129	NP	NP
				1260	10P	0	866-10P	23	23	130	NP	NP
				1270	10R	0	873-10R	23	23	131	NP	NP
				1310	11B	0	520-11B	26	26	132	NP	NP
				1330	11C	0	874-11C	10	10	133	NP	NP
				1340	11E1	0	962-11E1	27	27	134	NP	NP
				1350	11E2	0	877-11E2	33	33	135	NP	NP
				1360	11E3	0	875-11E3	28	28	136	NP	NP
				1380	11G	0	529-11G	29	29	137	NP	NP
				1390	11H	0	878-11H	6	6	138	NP	NP
				1420	11J	0	974-11J	30	30	139	NP	NP
				1430	11K	0	880-11K	31	31	140	NP	NP
				1440	11M	0	881-11M	32	32	141	NP	NP
				1450	11N	0	877-11N	33	33	142	NP	NP
				1460	11P	0	877-11P	33	33	143	NP	NP
				1470	11R	0	880-11R	31	31	144	NP	NP
				1480	12A	0	531-12A	2	2	145	NP	NP
				1510	12D	0	885-12D	3	3	146	NP	NP
				1520	12E1	0	882-12E1	1	1	147	NP	NP
				1530	12F	0	882-12F	1	1	148	NP	NP
				1540	12G	0	882-12G	1	1	149	NP	NP
				1545	12H1	0	882-12H1	1	1	150	NP	NP
				1550	12H2	0	885-12H2	3	3	151	NP	NP
				1551	12I	0	886-12I	29	29	152	NP	NP
				1560	12J	0	885-12J	3	3	153	NP	NP
				1561	12K	0	887-12K	82	82	154	NP	NP
				1570	12L	0	531-12L	2	2	155	NP	NP
				1580	12M	0	885-12M	3	3	156	NP	NP
				1581	12N	0	531-12N	2	2	157	NP	NP
				1590	12S	0	531-12S	2	2	158	NP	NP
				1610	AP01A	0	745-AP01A	34	34	159	NP	NP
				1620	AP01B	0	730-AP01B	34	34	160	NP	NP
				1630	AP01C	0	731-AP01C	34	34	161	NP	NP
				1631	AP01D	0	732-AP01D	34	34	162	NP	NP
				1641	AP02B	0	735-AP02B	35	35	163	NP	NP
				1650	AP03	0	735-AP03	35	35	164	NP	NP
				1660	AP04A	0	736-AP04A	36	36	165	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3442	Advance in Person 2	1661	AP04B	0	738-AP04B	36	36	166	NP	NP
				1670	AP05	0	739-AP05	37	37	167	NP	NP
				1680	AP06	0	740-AP06	38	38	168	NP	NP
				1690	AP07A	0	740-AP07A	38	38	169	NP	NP
				1700	AP07B	0	741-AP07B	95	95	170	NP	NP
				1710	AP09A	0	739-AP09A	37	37	171	NP	NP
				1720	AP09B	0	743-AP09B	39	39	172	NP	NP
				1721	AP021	0	734-AP021	94	94	173	NP	NP
				1722	AP022	0	737-AP022	94	94	174	NP	NP
				1730	AP10	0	740-AP10	38	38	175	NP	NP
				1740	AP12A	0	739-AP12A	37	37	176	NP	NP
				1741	AP12B	0	744-AP12B	104	104	177	NP	NP
				1742	AP12C	0	740-AP12C	38	38	178	NP	NP
				1789	AP14	0	731-AP14	34	34	179	NP	NP
				1790	CH01	0	950-CH01	40	40	180	NP	NP
				1800	CH02	0	951-CH02	40	40	181	NP	NP
				1810	CH03	0	952-CH03	40	40	182	NP	NP
				1820	CH04A	0	954-CH04A	40	40	183	NP	NP
				1830	CH05	0	955-CH05	40	40	184	NP	NP
				1841	CP01B	0	747-CP01B	42	42	185	NP	NP
				1842	CP011	0	742-CP011	41	41	186	NP	NP
				1850	CP012	0	749-CP012	41	41	187	NP	NP
				1860	CP02	0	942-CP02	42	42	188	NP	NP
				1880	CP04A	0	1025-CP04A	42	42	189	NP	NP
				1881	CP04B	0	751-CP04B	42	42	190	NP	NP
				1904	CP05B	0	752-CP05B	106	106	191	NP	NP
				1905	CP051	0	753-CP051	99	99	192	NP	NP
				1910	CP06A	0	754-CP06A	96	96	193	NP	NP
				1920	CP07B	0	943-CP07B	106	106	194	NP	NP
				1922	CP07C	0	889-CP07C	109	109	195	NP	NP
				1924	CP07D	0	753-CP07D	99	99	196	NP	NP
				1925	CP07E	0	889-CP07E	109	109	197	NP	NP
				1926	CP07F	0	753-CP07F	99	99	198	NP	NP
				1950	CP081	0	1024-CP081	89	89	199	NP	NP
				1952	CP083	0	965-CP083	89	89	201	NP	NP
				1955	CP084	0	1024-CP084	89	89	200	NP	NP
				1962	CP08A	0	965-CP08A	89	89	202	NP	NP
				1970	EP01A	1	978-EP01A	43	43	203	NP	NP
				1971	EP01B	0	979-EP01B	43	43	204	NP	NP
				1980	EP02A	1	760-EP02A	97	97	205	NP	NP
				1981	EP02B	0	929-EP02B	110	110	206	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3442	Advance in Person 2	1982	EP02C	0	971-EP02C	111	111	207	NP	NP
				1983	EP02D	0	986-EP02D	43	43	208	NP	NP
				1984	EP02E	0	987-EP02E	112	112	209	NP	NP
				1990	EP03A	1	980-EP03A	43	43	210	NP	NP
				1991	EP03B	0	897-EP03B	44	44	211	NP	NP
				2000	EP04A	1	765-EP04A	44	44	212	NP	NP
				2005	EP04B	0	766-EP04B	113	113	213	NP	NP
				2160	FA01A	0	767-FA01A	45	45	214	NP	NP
				2170	FA01B	0	767-FA01B	45	45	215	NP	NP
				2171	FA01C	0	768-FA01C	114	114	216	NP	NP
				2180	HP01	0	975-HP01	46	46	217	NP	NP
				2190	JC01	0	770-JC01	47	47	218	NP	NP
				2200	JC02	0	770-JC02	47	47	219	NP	NP
				2205	JC03A	0	771-JC03A	48	48	220	NP	NP
				2210	JC03B	0	770-JC03B	47	47	221	NP	NP
				2220	JC04A	0	771-JC04A	48	48	222	NP	NP
						10	1028-JC04A	48	48	222	NP	NP
				2230	JC04B	0	773-JC04B	49	49	223	NP	NP
				2240	JC05	0	770-JC05	47	47	224	NP	NP
				2250	JC06	0	770-JC06	47	47	225	NP	NP
				2260	JC07	0	770-JC07	47	47	226	NP	NP
				2270	JC08	0	770-JC08	47	47	227	NP	NP
				2280	JC09	0	770-JC09	47	47	228	NP	NP
				2290	JC10	0	770-JC10	47	47	229	NP	NP
				2300	JC11	0	770-JC11	47	47	230	NP	NP
				2310	JC12	0	770-JC12	47	47	231	NP	NP
				2330	JC13A	0	774-JC13A	50	50	232	NP	NP
				2340	JC13B	0	770-JC13B	47	47	233	NP	NP
				2350	JC14	0	776-JC14	98	98	234	NP	NP
				2360	JC15	0	777-JC15	51	51	235	NP	NP
				2370	JC16	0	777-JC16	51	51	236	NP	NP
				2390	JC18	0	778-JC18	83	83	237	NP	NP
				2400	JC19	0	778-JC19	83	83	238	NP	NP
				2410	ML011	0	890-ML011	84	84	239	NP	NP
				2411	ML012	0	891-ML012	84	84	240	NP	NP
				2412	ML01B	0	891-ML01B	84	84	241	NP	NP
				2413	ML021	0	893-ML021	52	52	242	NP	NP
				2430	ML022	0	892-ML022	53	53	243	NP	NP
				2440	ML023	0	894-ML023	54	54	244	NP	NP
				2441	ML024	0	895-ML024	55	55	245	NP	NP
				2450	ML03	0	895-ML03	55	55	246	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3442	Advance in Person 2	2460	ML04A	0	894-ML04A	54	54	247	NP	NP
				2461	ML04B	0	895-ML04B	55	55	248	NP	NP
				2462	ML04C	0	896-ML04C	55	55	249	NP	NP
				2470	ML05A	0	894-ML05A	54	54	250	NP	NP
				2471	ML05B	0	895-ML05B	55	55	251	NP	NP
				2472	ML05C	0	896-ML05C	55	55	252	NP	NP
				2480	ML06A	0	894-ML06A	54	54	253	NP	NP
				2481	ML06B	0	896-ML06B	55	55	254	NP	NP
				2482	ML071	0	896-ML071	55	55	255	NP	NP
				2483	ML072	0	895-ML072	55	55	256	NP	NP
				2490	ML07A	0	893-ML07A	52	52	257	NP	NP
				2500	MP01	0	922-MP01	56	56	258	NP	NP
				2510	PA01	0	924-PA01	45	45	259	NP	NP
				2520	RW01	0	779-RW01	57	57	260	NP	NP
				2530	RW02	0	779-RW02	57	57	261	NP	NP
				2540	RW03	0	779-RW03	57	57	262	NP	NP
				2560	RW04	0	780-RW04	58	58	263	NP	NP
				2570	RW05	0	779-RW05	57	57	264	NP	NP
				2580	RW06	0	780-RW06	58	58	265	NP	NP
				2590	RW07A	0	781-RW07A	59	59	266	NP	NP
				2591	RW07B	0	779-RW07B	57	57	267	NP	NP
				2610	RW08	0	779-RW08	57	57	268	NP	NP
				2620	RW09	0	782-RW09	60	60	269	NP	NP
				2630	RW10	0	779-RW10	57	57	270	NP	NP
				2640	RW11A	0	779-RW11A	57	57	271	NP	NP
				2650	RW12	0	782-RW12	60	60	272	NP	NP
				2680	RW13	0	925-RW13	59	59	273	NP	NP
				2700	RW16	0	779-RW16	57	57	274	NP	NP
				2710	RW17	0	781-RW17	59	59	275	NP	NP
				2730	RW19	0	782-RW19	60	60	276	NP	NP
				2740	RW20	0	780-RW20	58	58	277	NP	NP
				2750	RW21	0	780-RW21	58	58	278	NP	NP
				2770	RW22A	0	780-RW22A	58	58	279	NP	NP
				2772	SC01A	0	991-SC01A	61	61	280	NP	NP
				2780	SC01B	0	1027-SC01B	61	61	281	NP	NP
				2781	SC01C	0	991-SC01C	61	61	282	NP	NP
				2782	SC01D	0	784-SC01D	61	61	283	NP	NP
				2790	SC02	0	1004-SC02	62	62	284	NP	NP
				2800	SC04	0	993-SC04	63	63	285	NP	NP
				2810	SC05A	0	996-SC05A	63	63	286	NP	NP
				2811	SC05B	0	997-SC05B	88	88	287	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3442	Advance in Person 2	2812	SC05D	0	998-SC05D	107	107	288	NP	NP
				2813	SC05E	0	1014-SC05E	107	107	289	NP	NP
				2820	SC07A	0	993-SC07A	63	63	290	NP	NP
				2821	SC07C	0	1022-SC07C	63	63	291	NP	NP
				2831	SC08B	0	1016-SC08B	85	85	292	NP	NP
				2832	SC08C	0	999-SC08C	64	64	293	NP	NP
				2833	SC08D	0	1011-SC08D	66	66	294	NP	NP
				2834	SC08E	0	1010-SC08E	65	65	295	NP	NP
				2835	SC08F	0	1001-SC08F	86	86	296	NP	NP
				2836	SC08G	0	1015-SC08G	115	115	297	NP	NP
				2837	SC08H	0	1019-SC08H	115	115	298	NP	NP
				2840	SC09A	0	1011-SC09A	66	66	299	NP	NP
				2841	SC09B	0	1016-SC09B	85	85	300	NP	NP
				2842	SC09C	0	1012-SC09C	66	66	301	NP	NP
				2844	SC10	0	1011-SC10	66	66	302	NP	NP
				2861	SC11A	0	1012-SC11A	66	66	303	NP	NP
				2862	SC11B	0	1013-SC11B	85	85	304	NP	NP
				2863	SC13	0	993-SC13	63	63	305	NP	NP
				2890	SC14A	0	991-SC14A	61	61	306	NP	NP
				2891	SC14B	0	784-SC14B	61	61	307	NP	NP
				2895	SC15	0	992-SC15	107	107	308	NP	NP
				2900	SC16A	0	991-SC16A	61	61	309	NP	NP
				2901	SC16B	0	784-SC16B	61	61	310	NP	NP
				2920	SC17A	0	999-SC17A	64	64	311	NP	NP
				2921	SC17B	0	1001-SC17B	86	86	312	NP	NP
				2923	SC17C	0	1002-SC17C	87	87	313	NP	NP
				2930	SC18A	0	1003-SC18A	100	100	314	NP	NP
				2931	SC18B	0	994-SC18B	88	88	315	NP	NP
				2932	SC18C	0	997-SC18C	88	88	316	NP	NP
				2940	SC19A	0	999-SC19A	64	64	317	NP	NP
				2941	SC19B	0	1002-SC19B	87	87	318	NP	NP
				2945	SC20	0	994-SC20	88	88	319	NP	NP
				2950	SC211	0	1005-SC211	65	65	320	NP	NP
				2951	SC212	0	1006-SC212	65	65	321	NP	NP
				2960	SC23A	0	1012-SC23A	66	66	322	NP	NP
				2961	SC23B	0	1008-SC23B	85	85	323	NP	NP
				2962	SC23C	0	1007-SC23C	66	66	324	NP	NP
				2970	SC27	0	1012-SC27	66	66	325	NP	NP
				2980	SC29A	0	1005-SC29A	65	65	326	NP	NP
				2990	SC30A	0	1004-SC30A	62	62	327	NP	NP
				2991	SC30B	0	995-SC30B	62	62	328	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3442	Advance in Person 2	3000	SS01	0	898-SS01	67	67	329	NP	NP
				3010	SS02A	0	899-SS02A	68	68	330	NP	NP
				3020	SS02B	0	900-SS02B	69	69	331	NP	NP
				3030	SS03	0	900-SS03	69	69	332	NP	NP
				3040	SS04	0	901-SS04	69	69	333	NP	NP
				3050	SS05	0	902-SS05	70	70	334	NP	NP
				3060	SS06	0	903-SS06	72	72	335	NP	NP
				3070	SS07A	0	904-SS07A	71	71	336	NP	NP
				3080	SS07B	0	903-SS07B	72	72	337	NP	NP
				3090	SS07C	0	905-SS07C	73	73	338	NP	NP
				3100	SS08A	0	905-SS08A	73	73	339	NP	NP
				3110	SS08B	0	903-SS08B	72	72	340	NP	NP
				3120	SS08C	0	904-SS08C	71	71	341	NP	NP
				3121	SS08D	0	906-SS08D	73	73	342	NP	NP
				3130	SS09A	0	907-SS09A	74	74	343	NP	NP
				3131	SS09B	0	908-SS09B	75	75	344	NP	NP
				3150	SS11A	0	909-SS11A	72	72	345	NP	NP
				3160	SS11B	0	910-SS11B	72	72	346	NP	NP
				3170	SS11C	0	911-SS11C	73	73	347	NP	NP
				3180	SS11D	0	908-SS11D	75	75	348	NP	NP
				3190	SS12	0	905-SS12	73	73	349	NP	NP
				3200	SS13A	0	912-SS13A	75	75	350	NP	NP
				3210	SS13B	0	908-SS13B	75	75	351	NP	NP
				3220	SS14	0	913-SS14	76	76	352	NP	NP
				3230	SS15A	0	914-SS15A	77	77	353	NP	NP
				3240	SS15B	0	915-SS15B	77	77	354	NP	NP
				3250	SS16	0	902-SS16	70	70	355	NP	NP
				3260	SS17	0	898-SS17	67	67	356	NP	NP
				3270	SS18A	0	902-SS18A	70	70	357	NP	NP
				3280	SS18B	0	916-SS18B	70	70	358	NP	NP
				3281	SS19A	0	917-SS19A	78	78	359	NP	NP
				3290	SS19B	0	918-SS19B	68	68	360	NP	NP
				3300	SS20	0	915-SS20	77	77	361	NP	NP
				3310	SS22	0	917-SS22	78	78	362	NP	NP
				3330	SS26	0	919-SS26	77	77	363	NP	NP
				3340	SS29A	0	920-SS29A	79	79	364	NP	NP
				3350	SS31	0	903-SS31	72	72	365	NP	NP
				3360	UC01A	0	802-UC01A	80	80	366	NP	NP
				3365	UC01B	0	923-UC01B	101	101	367	NP	NP
				3368	UC01D	0	945-UC01D	105	105	368	NP	NP
				3369	UC01E	0	968-UC01E	108	108	369	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3442	Advance in Person 2	3370	UC02A	0	804-UC02A	80	80	370	NP	NP
				3375	UC02B	0	805-UC02B	102	102	371	NP	NP
				3380	UC031	0	806-UC031	81	81	372	NP	NP
				3390	UC032	0	807-UC032	81	81	373	NP	NP
		3443	Advance in Person 3	10	01A	0	813-01A	1	1	1	NP	NP
				20	01B	0	813-01B	1	1	2	NP	NP
				35	01C	0	813-01C	1	1	3	NP	NP
				40	01D	0	817-01D	2	2	4	NP	NP
				50	01E	0	813-01E	1	1	5	NP	NP
				60	01F	0	817-01F	2	2	6	NP	NP
				70	01G	0	813-01G	1	1	7	NP	NP
				75	01H	0	818-01H	5	5	8	NP	NP
				90	01J	0	813-01J	1	1	9	NP	NP
				95	01P	0	813-01P	1	1	10	NP	NP
				110	01R	0	813-01R	1	1	11	NP	NP
				120	01S	0	816-01S	3	3	12	NP	NP
				130	01T	0	813-01T	1	1	13	NP	NP
				140	02A	0	819-02A	4	4	14	NP	NP
				150	02B	0	820-02B	12	12	15	NP	NP
				160	02C	0	821-02C	5	5	16	NP	NP
				170	02D	0	821-02D	5	5	17	NP	NP
				180	02E	0	822-02E	1	1	18	NP	NP
				190	02F1	0	822-02F1	1	1	19	NP	NP
				200	02F2	0	821-02F2	5	5	20	NP	NP
				210	02G	0	821-02G	5	5	21	NP	NP
				220	02J	1	821-02J	5	5	22	NP	NP
						10	FED 5	90	90	22	NP	NP
						20	FED 6	91	91	22	NP	NP
						30	FED 11	92	92	22	NP	NP
						40	FED 13	93	93	22	NP	NP
				225	02K	0	821-02K	5	5	23	NP	NP
				230	02L1	0	823-02L1	6	6	24	NP	NP
				240	02L2	0	824-02L2	7	7	25	NP	NP
				250	02S	0	821-02S	5	5	26	NP	NP
				260	02W	0	825-02W	8	8	27	NP	NP
				280	03A	0	826-03A	7	7	28	NP	NP
				300	03B	0	827-03B	9	9	29	NP	NP
				320	03C	0	827-03C	9	9	30	NP	NP
				330	03D	0	826-03D	7	7	31	NP	NP
				340	03E	0	826-03E	7	7	32	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3443	Advance in Person 3	350	03F	0	828-03F	8	8	33	NP	NP
				360	03G	0	826-03G	7	7	34	NP	NP
				370	03H	0	829-03H	10	10	35	NP	NP
				375	03I	0	826-03I	7	7	36	NP	NP
				380	03L	0	826-03L	7	7	37	NP	NP
				390	03M	0	829-03M	10	10	38	NP	NP
				400	03N	0	826-03N	7	7	39	NP	NP
				410	03P1A	0	828-03P1A	8	8	40	NP	NP
				420	03P2	0	830-03P2	11	11	41	NP	NP
				440	03S	0	829-03S	10	10	42	NP	NP
				450	03T	0	830-03T	11	11	43	NP	NP
				470	04A	0	831-04A	7	7	44	NP	NP
				480	04B	0	832-04B	12	12	45	NP	NP
				485	04C	0	831-04C	7	7	46	NP	NP
				490	04D	0	831-04D	7	7	47	NP	NP
				500	04F	0	833-04F	11	11	48	NP	NP
				510	04G	0	832-04G	12	12	49	NP	NP
				520	04I	0	832-04I	12	12	50	NP	NP
				525	04J	0	833-04J	11	11	51	NP	NP
				530	04K	0	832-04K	12	12	52	NP	NP
				540	04L	0	831-04L	7	7	53	NP	NP
				550	04M	0	834-04M	5	5	54	NP	NP
				560	04S	0	832-04S	12	12	55	NP	NP
				570	04T	0	832-04T	12	12	56	NP	NP
				575	04V	0	508-04V	82	82	57	NP	NP
				580	04W	0	832-04W	12	12	58	NP	NP
				590	04X1	0	835-04X1	6	6	59	NP	NP
				600	04X2	0	831-04X2	7	7	60	NP	NP
				615	05A1	0	956-05A1	2	2	61	NP	NP
				617	05A2	0	836-05A2	1	1	62	NP	NP
				620	05B	0	837-05B	5	5	63	NP	NP
				630	05C	0	837-05C	5	5	64	NP	NP
				631	05D	0	838-05D	12	12	65	NP	NP
				632	05F	0	837-05F	5	5	66	NP	NP
				633	05J	0	837-05J	5	5	67	NP	NP
				634	05K	0	837-05K	5	5	68	NP	NP
				660	06B	0	839-06B	5	5	69	NP	NP
				670	06D	0	840-06D	13	13	70	NP	NP
				680	06E	0	841-06E	8	8	71	NP	NP
				690	06F	0	961-06F	2	2	72	NP	NP
				700	06G	0	839-06G	5	5	73	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3443	Advance in Person 3	720	06I	0	843-06I	14	14	74	NP	NP
				730	06J	0	840-06J	13	13	75	NP	NP
				745	06L1	0	841-06L1	8	8	76	NP	NP
				746	06L2	0	844-06L2	17	17	77	NP	NP
				747	06N	0	844-06N	17	17	78	NP	NP
				748	06Q	0	843-06Q	14	14	79	NP	NP
				750	06R	0	841-06R	8	8	80	NP	NP
				770	07A	0	846-07A	15	15	81	NP	NP
				780	07B	0	846-07B	15	15	82	NP	NP
				790	07C	0	847-07C	16	16	83	NP	NP
				800	07D	0	847-07D	16	16	84	NP	NP
				810	07E	0	848-07E	14	14	85	NP	NP
				820	07F	0	848-07F	14	14	86	NP	NP
				840	07H	0	848-07H	14	14	87	NP	NP
				850	07J	0	849-07J	17	17	88	NP	NP
				880	07M	0	848-07M	14	14	89	NP	NP
				900	07N	0	848-07N	14	14	90	NP	NP
				910	08A	0	851-08A	18	18	91	NP	NP
				920	08B	0	852-08B	19	19	92	NP	NP
				930	08C	0	852-08C	19	19	93	NP	NP
				940	08D	0	852-08D	19	19	94	NP	NP
				950	08E	0	853-08E	20	20	95	NP	NP
				960	08F1	0	854-08F1	16	16	96	NP	NP
				970	08G	0	850-08G	14	14	97	NP	NP
				980	08H	0	850-08H	14	14	98	NP	NP
				990	08J	0	855-08J	21	21	99	NP	NP
				1000	08K	0	856-08K	22	22	100	NP	NP
				1010	08L	0	856-08L	22	22	101	NP	NP
				1020	08M	0	854-08M	16	16	102	NP	NP
				1030	08N1	0	852-08N1	19	19	103	NP	NP
				1035	08N2	0	857-08N2	15	15	104	NP	NP
				1040	08P	0	856-08P	22	22	105	NP	NP
				1050	09A	0	858-09A	23	23	106	NP	NP
				1060	09B	0	858-09B	23	23	107	NP	NP
				1070	09C	0	858-09C	23	23	108	NP	NP
				1080	09D	0	858-09D	23	23	109	NP	NP
				1090	09E	0	858-09E	23	23	110	NP	NP
				1100	09F	0	859-09F	24	24	111	NP	NP
				1110	09G	0	970-09G	10	10	112	NP	NP
				1116	09H	0	861-09H	22	22	113	NP	NP
				1117	09I	0	970-09I	10	10	114	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3443	Advance in Person 3	1120	09K	0	858-09K	23	23	115	NP	NP
				1140	09M	0	858-09M	23	23	116	NP	NP
				1150	10A	0	864-10A	25	25	117	NP	NP
				1151	10B	0	865-10B	10	10	118	NP	NP
				1160	10C	0	864-10C	25	25	119	NP	NP
				1170	10D	0	866-10D	23	23	120	NP	NP
				1180	10E	0	866-10E	23	23	121	NP	NP
				1190	10F	0	866-10F	23	23	122	NP	NP
				1200	10G	0	867-10G	9	9	123	NP	NP
				1205	10H1	0	873-10H1	23	23	124	NP	NP
				1210	10H2	0	865-10H2	10	10	125	NP	NP
				1211	10I	0	868-10I	103	103	126	NP	NP
				1220	10J	0	869-10J	9	9	127	NP	NP
				1225	10K	0	870-10K	6	6	128	NP	NP
				1240	10M	0	870-10M	6	6	129	NP	NP
				1260	10P	0	866-10P	23	23	130	NP	NP
				1270	10R	0	873-10R	23	23	131	NP	NP
				1310	11B	0	520-11B	26	26	132	NP	NP
				1330	11C	0	874-11C	10	10	133	NP	NP
				1340	11E1	0	962-11E1	27	27	134	NP	NP
				1350	11E2	0	877-11E2	33	33	135	NP	NP
				1360	11E3	0	875-11E3	28	28	136	NP	NP
				1380	11G	0	529-11G	29	29	137	NP	NP
				1390	11H	0	878-11H	6	6	138	NP	NP
				1420	11J	0	974-11J	30	30	139	NP	NP
				1430	11K	0	880-11K	31	31	140	NP	NP
				1440	11M	0	881-11M	32	32	141	NP	NP
				1450	11N	0	877-11N	33	33	142	NP	NP
				1460	11P	0	877-11P	33	33	143	NP	NP
				1470	11R	0	880-11R	31	31	144	NP	NP
				1480	12A	0	531-12A	2	2	145	NP	NP
				1510	12D	0	885-12D	3	3	146	NP	NP
				1520	12E1	0	882-12E1	1	1	147	NP	NP
				1530	12F	0	882-12F	1	1	148	NP	NP
				1540	12G	0	882-12G	1	1	149	NP	NP
				1545	12H1	0	882-12H1	1	1	150	NP	NP
				1550	12H2	0	885-12H2	3	3	151	NP	NP
				1551	12I	0	886-12I	29	29	152	NP	NP
				1560	12J	0	885-12J	3	3	153	NP	NP
				1561	12K	0	887-12K	82	82	154	NP	NP
				1570	12L	0	531-12L	2	2	155	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3443	Advance in Person 3	1580	12M	0	885-12M	3	3	156	NP	NP
				1581	12N	0	531-12N	2	2	157	NP	NP
				1590	12S	0	531-12S	2	2	158	NP	NP
				1610	AP01A	0	745-AP01A	34	34	159	NP	NP
				1620	AP01B	0	730-AP01B	34	34	160	NP	NP
				1630	AP01C	0	731-AP01C	34	34	161	NP	NP
				1631	AP01D	0	732-AP01D	34	34	162	NP	NP
				1641	AP02B	0	735-AP02B	35	35	163	NP	NP
				1650	AP03	0	735-AP03	35	35	164	NP	NP
				1660	AP04A	0	736-AP04A	36	36	165	NP	NP
				1661	AP04B	0	738-AP04B	36	36	166	NP	NP
				1670	AP05	0	739-AP05	37	37	167	NP	NP
				1680	AP06	0	740-AP06	38	38	168	NP	NP
				1690	AP07A	0	740-AP07A	38	38	169	NP	NP
				1700	AP07B	0	741-AP07B	95	95	170	NP	NP
				1710	AP09A	0	739-AP09A	37	37	171	NP	NP
				1720	AP09B	0	743-AP09B	39	39	172	NP	NP
				1721	AP021	0	734-AP021	94	94	173	NP	NP
				1722	AP022	0	737-AP022	94	94	174	NP	NP
				1730	AP10	0	740-AP10	38	38	175	NP	NP
				1740	AP12A	0	739-AP12A	37	37	176	NP	NP
				1741	AP12B	0	744-AP12B	104	104	177	NP	NP
				1742	AP12C	0	740-AP12C	38	38	178	NP	NP
				1789	AP14	0	731-AP14	34	34	179	NP	NP
				1790	CH01	0	950-CH01	40	40	180	NP	NP
				1800	CH02	0	951-CH02	40	40	181	NP	NP
				1810	CH03	0	952-CH03	40	40	182	NP	NP
				1820	CH04A	0	954-CH04A	40	40	183	NP	NP
				1830	CH05	0	955-CH05	40	40	184	NP	NP
				1841	CP01B	0	747-CP01B	42	42	185	NP	NP
				1842	CP011	0	742-CP011	41	41	186	NP	NP
				1850	CP012	0	749-CP012	41	41	187	NP	NP
				1860	CP02	0	942-CP02	42	42	188	NP	NP
				1880	CP04A	0	1025-CP04A	42	42	189	NP	NP
				1881	CP04B	0	751-CP04B	42	42	190	NP	NP
				1904	CP05B	0	752-CP05B	106	106	191	NP	NP
				1905	CP051	0	753-CP051	99	99	192	NP	NP
				1910	CP06A	0	754-CP06A	96	96	193	NP	NP
				1920	CP07B	0	943-CP07B	106	106	194	NP	NP
				1922	CP07C	0	889-CP07C	109	109	195	NP	NP
				1924	CP07D	0	753-CP07D	99	99	196	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3443	Advance in Person 3	1925	CP07E	0	889-CP07E	109	109	197	NP	NP
				1926	CP07F	0	753-CP07F	99	99	198	NP	NP
				1950	CP081	0	1024-CP081	89	89	199	NP	NP
				1952	CP083	0	965-CP083	89	89	201	NP	NP
				1955	CP084	0	1024-CP084	89	89	200	NP	NP
				1962	CP08A	0	965-CP08A	89	89	202	NP	NP
				1970	EP01A	1	978-EP01A	43	43	203	NP	NP
				1971	EP01B	0	979-EP01B	43	43	204	NP	NP
				1980	EP02A	1	760-EP02A	97	97	205	NP	NP
				1981	EP02B	0	929-EP02B	110	110	206	NP	NP
				1982	EP02C	0	971-EP02C	111	111	207	NP	NP
				1983	EP02D	0	986-EP02D	43	43	208	NP	NP
				1984	EP02E	0	987-EP02E	112	112	209	NP	NP
				1990	EP03A	1	980-EP03A	43	43	210	NP	NP
				1991	EP03B	0	897-EP03B	44	44	211	NP	NP
				2000	EP04A	1	765-EP04A	44	44	212	NP	NP
				2005	EP04B	0	766-EP04B	113	113	213	NP	NP
				2160	FA01A	0	767-FA01A	45	45	214	NP	NP
				2170	FA01B	0	767-FA01B	45	45	215	NP	NP
				2171	FA01C	0	768-FA01C	114	114	216	NP	NP
				2180	HP01	0	975-HP01	46	46	217	NP	NP
				2190	JC01	0	770-JC01	47	47	218	NP	NP
				2200	JC02	0	770-JC02	47	47	219	NP	NP
				2205	JC03A	0	771-JC03A	48	48	220	NP	NP
				2210	JC03B	0	770-JC03B	47	47	221	NP	NP
				2220	JC04A	0	771-JC04A	48	48	222	NP	NP
						10	1028-JC04A	48	48	222	NP	NP
				2230	JC04B	0	773-JC04B	49	49	223	NP	NP
				2240	JC05	0	770-JC05	47	47	224	NP	NP
				2250	JC06	0	770-JC06	47	47	225	NP	NP
				2260	JC07	0	770-JC07	47	47	226	NP	NP
				2270	JC08	0	770-JC08	47	47	227	NP	NP
				2280	JC09	0	770-JC09	47	47	228	NP	NP
				2290	JC10	0	770-JC10	47	47	229	NP	NP
				2300	JC11	0	770-JC11	47	47	230	NP	NP
				2310	JC12	0	770-JC12	47	47	231	NP	NP
				2330	JC13A	0	774-JC13A	50	50	232	NP	NP
				2340	JC13B	0	770-JC13B	47	47	233	NP	NP
				2350	JC14	0	776-JC14	98	98	234	NP	NP
				2360	JC15	0	777-JC15	51	51	235	NP	NP
				2370	JC16	0	777-JC16	51	51	236	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3443	Advance in Person 3	2390	JC18	0	778-JC18	83	83	237	NP	NP
				2400	JC19	0	778-JC19	83	83	238	NP	NP
				2410	ML011	0	890-ML011	84	84	239	NP	NP
				2411	ML012	0	891-ML012	84	84	240	NP	NP
				2412	ML01B	0	891-ML01B	84	84	241	NP	NP
				2413	ML021	0	893-ML021	52	52	242	NP	NP
				2430	ML022	0	892-ML022	53	53	243	NP	NP
				2440	ML023	0	894-ML023	54	54	244	NP	NP
				2441	ML024	0	895-ML024	55	55	245	NP	NP
				2450	ML03	0	895-ML03	55	55	246	NP	NP
				2460	ML04A	0	894-ML04A	54	54	247	NP	NP
				2461	ML04B	0	895-ML04B	55	55	248	NP	NP
				2462	ML04C	0	896-ML04C	55	55	249	NP	NP
				2470	ML05A	0	894-ML05A	54	54	250	NP	NP
				2471	ML05B	0	895-ML05B	55	55	251	NP	NP
				2472	ML05C	0	896-ML05C	55	55	252	NP	NP
				2480	ML06A	0	894-ML06A	54	54	253	NP	NP
				2481	ML06B	0	896-ML06B	55	55	254	NP	NP
				2482	ML071	0	896-ML071	55	55	255	NP	NP
				2483	ML072	0	895-ML072	55	55	256	NP	NP
				2490	ML07A	0	893-ML07A	52	52	257	NP	NP
				2500	MP01	0	922-MP01	56	56	258	NP	NP
				2510	PA01	0	924-PA01	45	45	259	NP	NP
				2520	RW01	0	779-RW01	57	57	260	NP	NP
				2530	RW02	0	779-RW02	57	57	261	NP	NP
				2540	RW03	0	779-RW03	57	57	262	NP	NP
				2560	RW04	0	780-RW04	58	58	263	NP	NP
				2570	RW05	0	779-RW05	57	57	264	NP	NP
				2580	RW06	0	780-RW06	58	58	265	NP	NP
				2590	RW07A	0	781-RW07A	59	59	266	NP	NP
				2591	RW07B	0	779-RW07B	57	57	267	NP	NP
				2610	RW08	0	779-RW08	57	57	268	NP	NP
				2620	RW09	0	782-RW09	60	60	269	NP	NP
				2630	RW10	0	779-RW10	57	57	270	NP	NP
				2640	RW11A	0	779-RW11A	57	57	271	NP	NP
				2650	RW12	0	782-RW12	60	60	272	NP	NP
				2680	RW13	0	925-RW13	59	59	273	NP	NP
				2700	RW16	0	779-RW16	57	57	274	NP	NP
				2710	RW17	0	781-RW17	59	59	275	NP	NP
				2730	RW19	0	782-RW19	60	60	276	NP	NP
				2740	RW20	0	780-RW20	58	58	277	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3443	Advance in Person 3	2750	RW21	0	780-RW21	58	58	278	NP	NP
				2770	RW22A	0	780-RW22A	58	58	279	NP	NP
				2772	SC01A	0	991-SC01A	61	61	280	NP	NP
				2780	SC01B	0	1027-SC01B	61	61	281	NP	NP
				2781	SC01C	0	991-SC01C	61	61	282	NP	NP
				2782	SC01D	0	784-SC01D	61	61	283	NP	NP
				2790	SC02	0	1004-SC02	62	62	284	NP	NP
				2800	SC04	0	993-SC04	63	63	285	NP	NP
				2810	SC05A	0	996-SC05A	63	63	286	NP	NP
				2811	SC05B	0	997-SC05B	88	88	287	NP	NP
				2812	SC05D	0	998-SC05D	107	107	288	NP	NP
				2813	SC05E	0	1014-SC05E	107	107	289	NP	NP
				2820	SC07A	0	993-SC07A	63	63	290	NP	NP
				2821	SC07C	0	1022-SC07C	63	63	291	NP	NP
				2831	SC08B	0	1016-SC08B	85	85	292	NP	NP
				2832	SC08C	0	999-SC08C	64	64	293	NP	NP
				2833	SC08D	0	1011-SC08D	66	66	294	NP	NP
				2834	SC08E	0	1010-SC08E	65	65	295	NP	NP
				2835	SC08F	0	1001-SC08F	86	86	296	NP	NP
				2836	SC08G	0	1015-SC08G	115	115	297	NP	NP
				2837	SC08H	0	1019-SC08H	115	115	298	NP	NP
				2840	SC09A	0	1011-SC09A	66	66	299	NP	NP
				2841	SC09B	0	1016-SC09B	85	85	300	NP	NP
				2842	SC09C	0	1012-SC09C	66	66	301	NP	NP
				2844	SC10	0	1011-SC10	66	66	302	NP	NP
				2861	SC11A	0	1012-SC11A	66	66	303	NP	NP
				2862	SC11B	0	1013-SC11B	85	85	304	NP	NP
				2863	SC13	0	993-SC13	63	63	305	NP	NP
				2890	SC14A	0	991-SC14A	61	61	306	NP	NP
				2891	SC14B	0	784-SC14B	61	61	307	NP	NP
				2895	SC15	0	992-SC15	107	107	308	NP	NP
				2900	SC16A	0	991-SC16A	61	61	309	NP	NP
				2901	SC16B	0	784-SC16B	61	61	310	NP	NP
				2920	SC17A	0	999-SC17A	64	64	311	NP	NP
				2921	SC17B	0	1001-SC17B	86	86	312	NP	NP
				2923	SC17C	0	1002-SC17C	87	87	313	NP	NP
				2930	SC18A	0	1003-SC18A	100	100	314	NP	NP
				2931	SC18B	0	994-SC18B	88	88	315	NP	NP
				2932	SC18C	0	997-SC18C	88	88	316	NP	NP
				2940	SC19A	0	999-SC19A	64	64	317	NP	NP
				2941	SC19B	0	1002-SC19B	87	87	318	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3443	Advance in Person 3	2945	SC20	0	994-SC20	88	88	319	NP	NP
				2950	SC211	0	1005-SC211	65	65	320	NP	NP
				2951	SC212	0	1006-SC212	65	65	321	NP	NP
				2960	SC23A	0	1012-SC23A	66	66	322	NP	NP
				2961	SC23B	0	1008-SC23B	85	85	323	NP	NP
				2962	SC23C	0	1007-SC23C	66	66	324	NP	NP
				2970	SC27	0	1012-SC27	66	66	325	NP	NP
				2980	SC29A	0	1005-SC29A	65	65	326	NP	NP
				2990	SC30A	0	1004-SC30A	62	62	327	NP	NP
				2991	SC30B	0	995-SC30B	62	62	328	NP	NP
				3000	SS01	0	898-SS01	67	67	329	NP	NP
				3010	SS02A	0	899-SS02A	68	68	330	NP	NP
				3020	SS02B	0	900-SS02B	69	69	331	NP	NP
				3030	SS03	0	900-SS03	69	69	332	NP	NP
				3040	SS04	0	901-SS04	69	69	333	NP	NP
				3050	SS05	0	902-SS05	70	70	334	NP	NP
				3060	SS06	0	903-SS06	72	72	335	NP	NP
				3070	SS07A	0	904-SS07A	71	71	336	NP	NP
				3080	SS07B	0	903-SS07B	72	72	337	NP	NP
				3090	SS07C	0	905-SS07C	73	73	338	NP	NP
				3100	SS08A	0	905-SS08A	73	73	339	NP	NP
				3110	SS08B	0	903-SS08B	72	72	340	NP	NP
				3120	SS08C	0	904-SS08C	71	71	341	NP	NP
				3121	SS08D	0	906-SS08D	73	73	342	NP	NP
				3130	SS09A	0	907-SS09A	74	74	343	NP	NP
				3131	SS09B	0	908-SS09B	75	75	344	NP	NP
				3150	SS11A	0	909-SS11A	72	72	345	NP	NP
				3160	SS11B	0	910-SS11B	72	72	346	NP	NP
				3170	SS11C	0	911-SS11C	73	73	347	NP	NP
				3180	SS11D	0	908-SS11D	75	75	348	NP	NP
				3190	SS12	0	905-SS12	73	73	349	NP	NP
				3200	SS13A	0	912-SS13A	75	75	350	NP	NP
				3210	SS13B	0	908-SS13B	75	75	351	NP	NP
				3220	SS14	0	913-SS14	76	76	352	NP	NP
				3230	SS15A	0	914-SS15A	77	77	353	NP	NP
				3240	SS15B	0	915-SS15B	77	77	354	NP	NP
				3250	SS16	0	902-SS16	70	70	355	NP	NP
				3260	SS17	0	898-SS17	67	67	356	NP	NP
				3270	SS18A	0	902-SS18A	70	70	357	NP	NP
				3280	SS18B	0	916-SS18B	70	70	358	NP	NP
				3281	SS19A	0	917-SS19A	78	78	359	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3443	Advance in Person 3	3290	SS19B	0	918-SS19B	68	68	360	NP	NP
				3300	SS20	0	915-SS20	77	77	361	NP	NP
				3310	SS22	0	917-SS22	78	78	362	NP	NP
				3330	SS26	0	919-SS26	77	77	363	NP	NP
				3340	SS29A	0	920-SS29A	79	79	364	NP	NP
				3350	SS31	0	903-SS31	72	72	365	NP	NP
				3360	UC01A	0	802-UC01A	80	80	366	NP	NP
				3365	UC01B	0	923-UC01B	101	101	367	NP	NP
				3368	UC01D	0	945-UC01D	105	105	368	NP	NP
				3369	UC01E	0	968-UC01E	108	108	369	NP	NP
				3370	UC02A	0	804-UC02A	80	80	370	NP	NP
				3375	UC02B	0	805-UC02B	102	102	371	NP	NP
				3380	UC031	0	806-UC031	81	81	372	NP	NP
				3390	UC032	0	807-UC032	81	81	373	NP	NP
		1670	AP01A & AP01B	1610	AP01A	0	745-AP01A	34	34	1	NP	NP
				1620	AP01B	0	730-AP01B	34	34	2	NP	NP
		1680	AP01C & AP01D	1630	AP01C	0	731-AP01C	34	34	1	NP	NP
				1631	AP01D	0	732-AP01D	34	34	2	NP	NP
		1700	AP02B, AP021, & AP022	1641	AP02B	0	735-AP02B	35	35	4	NP	NP
				1721	AP021	0	734-AP021	94	94	2	NP	NP
				1722	AP022	0	737-AP022	94	94	3	NP	NP
		1710	AP03, RW07A & RW07B	1650	AP03	0	735-AP03	35	35	1	NP	NP
				2590	RW07A	0	781-RW07A	59	59	2	NP	NP
				2591	RW07B	0	779-RW07B	57	57	3	NP	NP
		1720	AP04A, AP04B & AP06	1660	AP04A	0	736-AP04A	36	36	1	NP	NP
				1661	AP04B	0	738-AP04B	36	36	2	NP	NP
				1680	AP06	0	740-AP06	38	38	3	NP	NP
		1730	AP05	1670	AP05	0	739-AP05	37	37	1	NP	NP
		1750	AP07A & AP07B	1690	AP07A	0	740-AP07A	38	38	1	NP	NP
				1700	AP07B	0	741-AP07B	95	95	2	NP	NP
		1770	AP09A & AP09B	1710	AP09A	0	739-AP09A	37	37	1	NP	NP
				1720	AP09B	0	743-AP09B	39	39	2	NP	NP
		1790	AP10, ML06A & ML06B	1730	AP10	0	740-AP10	38	38	1	NP	NP
				2480	ML06A	0	894-ML06A	54	54	2	NP	NP
				2481	ML06B	0	896-ML06B	55	55	3	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	1800	AP12A, AP12B & AP12C	1740	AP12A	0	739-AP12A	37	37	1	NP	NP
				1741	AP12B	0	744-AP12B	104	104	2	NP	NP
				1742	AP12C	0	740-AP12C	38	38	3	NP	NP
		1802	AP14, JC04A, JC04B, JC10 & JC15	1789	AP14	0	731-AP14	34	34	1	NP	NP
				2220	JC04A	0	771-JC04A	48	48	5	NP	NP
						10	1028-JC04A	48	48	5	NP	NP
				2230	JC04B	0	773-JC04B	49	49	6	NP	NP
				2290	JC10	0	770-JC10	47	47	7	NP	NP
				2360	JC15	0	777-JC15	51	51	4	NP	NP
		1850	CH01, CH02, CH03, CH04A & CH05	1790	CH01	0	950-CH01	40	40	1	NP	NP
				1800	CH02	0	951-CH02	40	40	2	NP	NP
				1810	CH03	0	952-CH03	40	40	3	NP	NP
				1820	CH04A	0	954-CH04A	40	40	4	NP	NP
				1830	CH05	0	955-CH05	40	40	5	NP	NP
		1900	CP01B, CP011, CP012, CP02, CP04A &	1841	CP01B	0	747-CP01B	42	42	2	NP	NP
				1842	CP011	0	742-CP011	41	41	4	NP	NP
				1850	CP012	0	749-CP012	41	41	7	NP	NP
				1860	CP02	0	942-CP02	42	42	5	NP	NP
				1880	CP04A	0	1025-CP04A	42	42	6	NP	NP
				1881	CP04B	0	751-CP04B	42	42	8	NP	NP
		1950	CP05B, CP051, CP06A, CP07B,CP07C	1904	CP05B	0	752-CP05B	106	106	4	NP	NP
				1905	CP051	0	753-CP051	99	99	3	NP	NP
				1910	CP06A	0	754-CP06A	96	96	1	NP	NP
				1920	CP07B	0	943-CP07B	106	106	7	NP	NP
				1922	CP07C	0	889-CP07C	109	109	5	NP	NP
				1924	CP07D	0	753-CP07D	99	99	6	NP	NP
				1925	CP07E	0	889-CP07E	109	109	8	NP	NP
				1926	CP07F	0	753-CP07F	99	99	9	NP	NP
		2010	CP081, CP083, CP084, CP08A & SC10	1950	CP081	0	1024-CP081	89	89	1	NP	NP
				1952	CP083	0	965-CP083	89	89	2	NP	NP
				1955	CP084	0	1024-CP084	89	89	5	NP	NP
				1962	CP08A	0	965-CP08A	89	89	3	NP	NP
				2844	SC10	0	1011-SC10	66	66	4	NP	NP
		2030	EP01A & EP01B	1970	EP01A	1	978-EP01A	43	43	1	NP	NP
				1971	EP01B	0	979-EP01B	43	43	2	NP	NP
		2031	EP02A. EP02B, EP02C, EP02D & EP0	1980	EP02A	1	760-EP02A	97	97	1	NP	NP
				1981	EP02B	0	929-EP02B	110	110	2	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2031	EP02A. EP02B, EP02C, EP02D & EP0	1982	EP02C	0	971-EP02C	111	111	3	NP	NP
				1983	EP02D	0	986-EP02D	43	43	4	NP	NP
				1984	EP02E	0	987-EP02E	112	112	5	NP	NP
		2050	EP03A & EP03B	1990	EP03A	1	980-EP03A	43	43	1	NP	NP
				1991	EP03B	0	897-EP03B	44	44	2	NP	NP
		2060	EP04A & EP04B	2000	EP04A	1	765-EP04A	44	44	1	NP	NP
				2005	EP04B	0	766-EP04B	113	113	2	NP	NP
		2220	FA01A	2160	FA01A	0	767-FA01A	45	45	1	NP	NP
		2221	FA01B	2170	FA01B	0	767-FA01B	45	45	1	NP	NP
		2222	FA01C	2171	FA01C	0	768-FA01C	114	114	1	NP	NP
		2240	HP01	2180	HP01	0	975-HP01	46	46	1	NP	NP
		2250	JC01	2190	JC01	0	770-JC01	47	47	1	NP	NP
		2260	JC02	2200	JC02	0	770-JC02	47	47	1	NP	NP
		2270	JC03A & JC03B	2205	JC03A	0	771-JC03A	48	48	2	NP	NP
				2210	JC03B	0	770-JC03B	47	47	1	NP	NP
		2300	JC05	2240	JC05	0	770-JC05	47	47	1	NP	NP
		2310	JC06	2250	JC06	0	770-JC06	47	47	1	NP	NP
		2320	JC07	2260	JC07	0	770-JC07	47	47	1	NP	NP
		2330	JC08	2270	JC08	0	770-JC08	47	47	1	NP	NP
		2340	JC09	2280	JC09	0	770-JC09	47	47	1	NP	NP
		2360	JC11	2300	JC11	0	770-JC11	47	47	1	NP	NP
		2370	JC12 & JC14	2310	JC12	0	770-JC12	47	47	1	NP	NP
				2350	JC14	0	776-JC14	98	98	2	NP	NP
		2390	JC13A & JC13B	2330	JC13A	0	774-JC13A	50	50	1	NP	NP
				2340	JC13B	0	770-JC13B	47	47	2	NP	NP
		2430	JC16	2370	JC16	0	777-JC16	51	51	1	NP	NP
		2450	JC18	2390	JC18	0	778-JC18	83	83	1	NP	NP
		2460	JC19 & RW21	2400	JC19	0	778-JC19	83	83	1	NP	NP
				2750	RW21	0	780-RW21	58	58	2	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2470	ML011, ML012 & ML01B	2410	ML011	0	890-ML011	84	84	1	NP	NP
				2411	ML012	0	891-ML012	84	84	2	NP	NP
				2412	ML01B	0	891-ML01B	84	84	3	NP	NP
		2475	ML021, ML022, ML023 & ML024	2413	ML021	0	893-ML021	52	52	2	NP	NP
				2430	ML022	0	892-ML022	53	53	3	NP	NP
				2440	ML023	0	894-ML023	54	54	4	NP	NP
				2441	ML024	0	895-ML024	55	55	1	NP	NP
		2510	ML03, ML071, ML072 & ML07A	2450	ML03	0	895-ML03	55	55	1	NP	NP
				2482	ML071	0	896-ML071	55	55	2	NP	NP
				2483	ML072	0	895-ML072	55	55	3	NP	NP
				2490	ML07A	0	893-ML07A	52	52	4	NP	NP
		2520	ML04A, ML04B, ML04C, ML05A, M	2460	ML04A	0	894-ML04A	54	54	1	NP	NP
				2461	ML04B	0	895-ML04B	55	55	2	NP	NP
				2462	ML04C	0	896-ML04C	55	55	3	NP	NP
				2470	ML05A	0	894-ML05A	54	54	4	NP	NP
				2471	ML05B	0	895-ML05B	55	55	5	NP	NP
				2472	ML05C	0	896-ML05C	55	55	6	NP	NP
		2560	MP01	2500	MP01	0	922-MP01	56	56	1	NP	NP
		2570	PA01	2510	PA01	0	924-PA01	45	45	1	NP	NP
		3930	Provisional 1	10	01A	0	813-01A	1	1	1	NP	NP
				20	01B	0	813-01B	1	1	2	NP	NP
				35	01C	0	813-01C	1	1	3	NP	NP
				40	01D	0	817-01D	2	2	4	NP	NP
				50	01E	0	813-01E	1	1	5	NP	NP
				60	01F	0	817-01F	2	2	6	NP	NP
				70	01G	0	813-01G	1	1	7	NP	NP
				75	01H	0	818-01H	5	5	8	NP	NP
				90	01J	0	813-01J	1	1	9	NP	NP
				95	01P	0	813-01P	1	1	10	NP	NP
				110	01R	0	813-01R	1	1	11	NP	NP
				120	01S	0	816-01S	3	3	12	NP	NP
				130	01T	0	813-01T	1	1	13	NP	NP
				140	02A	0	819-02A	4	4	14	NP	NP
				150	02B	0	820-02B	12	12	15	NP	NP
				160	02C	0	821-02C	5	5	16	NP	NP
				170	02D	0	821-02D	5	5	17	NP	NP
				180	02E	0	822-02E	1	1	18	NP	NP
				190	02F1	0	822-02F1	1	1	19	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3930	Provisional 1	200	02F2	0	821-02F2	5	5	20	NP	NP
		4020	Provisional 10	1789	AP14	0	731-AP14	34	34	20	NP	NP
				1790	CH01	0	950-CH01	40	40	1	NP	NP
				1800	CH02	0	951-CH02	40	40	2	NP	NP
				1810	CH03	0	952-CH03	40	40	3	NP	NP
				1820	CH04A	0	954-CH04A	40	40	4	NP	NP
				1830	CH05	0	955-CH05	40	40	5	NP	NP
				1841	CP01B	0	747-CP01B	42	42	6	NP	NP
				1842	CP011	0	742-CP011	41	41	7	NP	NP
				1850	CP012	0	749-CP012	41	41	8	NP	NP
				1860	CP02	0	942-CP02	42	42	9	NP	NP
				1880	CP04A	0	1025-CP04A	42	42	10	NP	NP
				1881	CP04B	0	751-CP04B	42	42	11	NP	NP
				1904	CP05B	0	752-CP05B	106	106	12	NP	NP
				1905	CP051	0	753-CP051	99	99	13	NP	NP
				1910	CP06A	0	754-CP06A	96	96	14	NP	NP
				1920	CP07B	0	943-CP07B	106	106	15	NP	NP
				1922	CP07C	0	889-CP07C	109	109	16	NP	NP
				1924	CP07D	0	753-CP07D	99	99	17	NP	NP
				1925	CP07E	0	889-CP07E	109	109	18	NP	NP
				1926	CP07F	0	753-CP07F	99	99	19	NP	NP
		4030	Provisional 11	1950	CP081	0	1024-CP081	89	89	1	NP	NP
				1952	CP083	0	965-CP083	89	89	3	NP	NP
				1955	CP084	0	1024-CP084	89	89	2	NP	NP
				1962	CP08A	0	965-CP08A	89	89	4	NP	NP
				1970	EP01A	1	978-EP01A	43	43	5	NP	NP
				1971	EP01B	0	979-EP01B	43	43	6	NP	NP
				1980	EP02A	1	760-EP02A	97	97	7	NP	NP
				1981	EP02B	0	929-EP02B	110	110	8	NP	NP
				1982	EP02C	0	971-EP02C	111	111	9	NP	NP
				1983	EP02D	0	986-EP02D	43	43	10	NP	NP
				1984	EP02E	0	987-EP02E	112	112	11	NP	NP
				1990	EP03A	1	980-EP03A	43	43	12	NP	NP
				1991	EP03B	0	897-EP03B	44	44	13	NP	NP
				2000	EP04A	1	765-EP04A	44	44	14	NP	NP
				2005	EP04B	0	766-EP04B	113	113	15	NP	NP
				2160	FA01A	0	767-FA01A	45	45	16	NP	NP
				2170	FA01B	0	767-FA01B	45	45	17	NP	NP
				2171	FA01C	0	768-FA01C	114	114	18	NP	NP
				2180	HP01	0	975-HP01	46	46	19	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	4030	Provisional 11	2190	JC01	0	770-JC01	47	47	20	NP	NP
		4040	Provisional 12	2200	JC02	0	770-JC02	47	47	2	NP	NP
				2205	JC03A	0	771-JC03A	48	48	3	NP	NP
				2210	JC03B	0	770-JC03B	47	47	4	NP	NP
				2220	JC04A	0	771-JC04A	48	48	5	NP	NP
						10	1028-JC04A	48	48	5	NP	NP
				2230	JC04B	0	773-JC04B	49	49	6	NP	NP
				2240	JC05	0	770-JC05	47	47	7	NP	NP
				2250	JC06	0	770-JC06	47	47	8	NP	NP
				2260	JC07	0	770-JC07	47	47	9	NP	NP
				2270	JC08	0	770-JC08	47	47	10	NP	NP
				2280	JC09	0	770-JC09	47	47	11	NP	NP
				2290	JC10	0	770-JC10	47	47	12	NP	NP
				2300	JC11	0	770-JC11	47	47	13	NP	NP
				2310	JC12	0	770-JC12	47	47	14	NP	NP
				2330	JC13A	0	774-JC13A	50	50	15	NP	NP
				2340	JC13B	0	770-JC13B	47	47	16	NP	NP
				2350	JC14	0	776-JC14	98	98	17	NP	NP
				2360	JC15	0	777-JC15	51	51	18	NP	NP
				2370	JC16	0	777-JC16	51	51	19	NP	NP
				2390	JC18	0	778-JC18	83	83	20	NP	NP
				2400	JC19	0	778-JC19	83	83	21	NP	NP
		4050	Provisional 13	2410	ML011	0	890-ML011	84	84	1	NP	NP
				2411	ML012	0	891-ML012	84	84	2	NP	NP
				2412	ML01B	0	891-ML01B	84	84	3	NP	NP
				2413	ML021	0	893-ML021	52	52	4	NP	NP
				2430	ML022	0	892-ML022	53	53	5	NP	NP
				2440	ML023	0	894-ML023	54	54	6	NP	NP
				2441	ML024	0	895-ML024	55	55	7	NP	NP
				2450	ML03	0	895-ML03	55	55	8	NP	NP
				2460	ML04A	0	894-ML04A	54	54	9	NP	NP
				2461	ML04B	0	895-ML04B	55	55	10	NP	NP
				2462	ML04C	0	896-ML04C	55	55	11	NP	NP
				2470	ML05A	0	894-ML05A	54	54	12	NP	NP
				2471	ML05B	0	895-ML05B	55	55	13	NP	NP
				2472	ML05C	0	896-ML05C	55	55	14	NP	NP
				2480	ML06A	0	894-ML06A	54	54	15	NP	NP
				2481	ML06B	0	896-ML06B	55	55	16	NP	NP
				2482	ML071	0	896-ML071	55	55	17	NP	NP
				2483	ML072	0	895-ML072	55	55	18	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	4050	Provisional 13	2490	ML07A	0	893-ML07A	52	52	19	NP	NP
				2500	MP01	0	922-MP01	56	56	20	NP	NP
		4060	Provisional 14	2510	PA01	0	924-PA01	45	45	1	NP	NP
				2520	RW01	0	779-RW01	57	57	2	NP	NP
				2530	RW02	0	779-RW02	57	57	3	NP	NP
				2540	RW03	0	779-RW03	57	57	4	NP	NP
				2560	RW04	0	780-RW04	58	58	5	NP	NP
				2570	RW05	0	779-RW05	57	57	6	NP	NP
				2580	RW06	0	780-RW06	58	58	7	NP	NP
				2590	RW07A	0	781-RW07A	59	59	8	NP	NP
				2591	RW07B	0	779-RW07B	57	57	9	NP	NP
				2610	RW08	0	779-RW08	57	57	10	NP	NP
				2620	RW09	0	782-RW09	60	60	11	NP	NP
				2630	RW10	0	779-RW10	57	57	12	NP	NP
				2640	RW11A	0	779-RW11A	57	57	13	NP	NP
				2650	RW12	0	782-RW12	60	60	14	NP	NP
				2680	RW13	0	925-RW13	59	59	15	NP	NP
				2700	RW16	0	779-RW16	57	57	16	NP	NP
				2710	RW17	0	781-RW17	59	59	17	NP	NP
				2730	RW19	0	782-RW19	60	60	18	NP	NP
				2740	RW20	0	780-RW20	58	58	19	NP	NP
				2750	RW21	0	780-RW21	58	58	20	NP	NP
		4070	Provisional 15	2770	RW22A	0	780-RW22A	58	58	21	NP	NP
				2772	SC01A	0	991-SC01A	61	61	1	NP	NP
				2780	SC01B	0	1027-SC01B	61	61	2	NP	NP
				2781	SC01C	0	991-SC01C	61	61	3	NP	NP
				2782	SC01D	0	784-SC01D	61	61	4	NP	NP
				2790	SC02	0	1004-SC02	62	62	5	NP	NP
				2800	SC04	0	993-SC04	63	63	6	NP	NP
				2810	SC05A	0	996-SC05A	63	63	7	NP	NP
				2811	SC05B	0	997-SC05B	88	88	8	NP	NP
				2812	SC05D	0	998-SC05D	107	107	9	NP	NP
				2813	SC05E	0	1014-SC05E	107	107	10	NP	NP
				2820	SC07A	0	993-SC07A	63	63	11	NP	NP
				2821	SC07C	0	1022-SC07C	63	63	12	NP	NP
				2831	SC08B	0	1016-SC08B	85	85	13	NP	NP
				2832	SC08C	0	999-SC08C	64	64	14	NP	NP
				2833	SC08D	0	1011-SC08D	66	66	15	NP	NP
				2834	SC08E	0	1010-SC08E	65	65	16	NP	NP
				2835	SC08F	0	1001-SC08F	86	86	17	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	4070	Provisional 15	2836	SC08G	0	1015-SC08G	115	115	18	NP	NP
				2837	SC08H	0	1019-SC08H	115	115	19	NP	NP
		4080	Provisional 16	2840	SC09A	0	1011-SC09A	66	66	21	NP	NP
				2841	SC09B	0	1016-SC09B	85	85	1	NP	NP
				2842	SC09C	0	1012-SC09C	66	66	2	NP	NP
				2844	SC10	0	1011-SC10	66	66	3	NP	NP
				2861	SC11A	0	1012-SC11A	66	66	4	NP	NP
				2862	SC11B	0	1013-SC11B	85	85	5	NP	NP
				2863	SC13	0	993-SC13	63	63	6	NP	NP
				2890	SC14A	0	991-SC14A	61	61	7	NP	NP
				2891	SC14B	0	784-SC14B	61	61	8	NP	NP
				2895	SC15	0	992-SC15	107	107	9	NP	NP
				2900	SC16A	0	991-SC16A	61	61	10	NP	NP
				2901	SC16B	0	784-SC16B	61	61	11	NP	NP
				2920	SC17A	0	999-SC17A	64	64	12	NP	NP
				2921	SC17B	0	1001-SC17B	86	86	13	NP	NP
				2923	SC17C	0	1002-SC17C	87	87	14	NP	NP
				2930	SC18A	0	1003-SC18A	100	100	15	NP	NP
				2931	SC18B	0	994-SC18B	88	88	16	NP	NP
				2932	SC18C	0	997-SC18C	88	88	17	NP	NP
				2940	SC19A	0	999-SC19A	64	64	18	NP	NP
				2941	SC19B	0	1002-SC19B	87	87	19	NP	NP
		4090	Provisional 17	2945	SC20	0	994-SC20	88	88	21	NP	NP
				2950	SC211	0	1005-SC211	65	65	1	NP	NP
				2951	SC212	0	1006-SC212	65	65	2	NP	NP
				2960	SC23A	0	1012-SC23A	66	66	3	NP	NP
				2961	SC23B	0	1008-SC23B	85	85	4	NP	NP
				2962	SC23C	0	1007-SC23C	66	66	5	NP	NP
				2970	SC27	0	1012-SC27	66	66	6	NP	NP
				2980	SC29A	0	1005-SC29A	65	65	7	NP	NP
				2990	SC30A	0	1004-SC30A	62	62	8	NP	NP
				2991	SC30B	0	995-SC30B	62	62	9	NP	NP
				3000	SS01	0	898-SS01	67	67	10	NP	NP
				3010	SS02A	0	899-SS02A	68	68	11	NP	NP
				3020	SS02B	0	900-SS02B	69	69	12	NP	NP
				3030	SS03	0	900-SS03	69	69	13	NP	NP
				3040	SS04	0	901-SS04	69	69	14	NP	NP
				3050	SS05	0	902-SS05	70	70	15	NP	NP
				3060	SS06	0	903-SS06	72	72	16	NP	NP
				3070	SS07A	0	904-SS07A	71	71	17	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	4090	Provisional 17	3080	SS07B	0	903-SS07B	72	72	18	NP	NP
				3090	SS07C	0	905-SS07C	73	73	19	NP	NP
		4100	Provisional 18	3100	SS08A	0	905-SS08A	73	73	21	NP	NP
				3110	SS08B	0	903-SS08B	72	72	1	NP	NP
				3120	SS08C	0	904-SS08C	71	71	2	NP	NP
				3121	SS08D	0	906-SS08D	73	73	3	NP	NP
				3130	SS09A	0	907-SS09A	74	74	4	NP	NP
				3131	SS09B	0	908-SS09B	75	75	5	NP	NP
				3150	SS11A	0	909-SS11A	72	72	6	NP	NP
				3160	SS11B	0	910-SS11B	72	72	7	NP	NP
				3170	SS11C	0	911-SS11C	73	73	8	NP	NP
				3180	SS11D	0	908-SS11D	75	75	9	NP	NP
				3190	SS12	0	905-SS12	73	73	10	NP	NP
				3200	SS13A	0	912-SS13A	75	75	11	NP	NP
				3210	SS13B	0	908-SS13B	75	75	12	NP	NP
				3220	SS14	0	913-SS14	76	76	13	NP	NP
				3230	SS15A	0	914-SS15A	77	77	14	NP	NP
				3240	SS15B	0	915-SS15B	77	77	15	NP	NP
				3250	SS16	0	902-SS16	70	70	16	NP	NP
				3260	SS17	0	898-SS17	67	67	17	NP	NP
				3270	SS18A	0	902-SS18A	70	70	18	NP	NP
				3280	SS18B	0	916-SS18B	70	70	19	NP	NP
		4110	Provisional 19	3281	SS19A	0	917-SS19A	78	78	15	NP	NP
				3290	SS19B	0	918-SS19B	68	68	1	NP	NP
				3300	SS20	0	915-SS20	77	77	2	NP	NP
				3310	SS22	0	917-SS22	78	78	3	NP	NP
				3330	SS26	0	919-SS26	77	77	4	NP	NP
				3340	SS29A	0	920-SS29A	79	79	5	NP	NP
				3350	SS31	0	903-SS31	72	72	6	NP	NP
				3360	UC01A	0	802-UC01A	80	80	7	NP	NP
				3365	UC01B	0	923-UC01B	101	101	8	NP	NP
				3368	UC01D	0	945-UC01D	105	105	9	NP	NP
				3369	UC01E	0	968-UC01E	108	108	10	NP	NP
				3370	UC02A	0	804-UC02A	80	80	11	NP	NP
				3375	UC02B	0	805-UC02B	102	102	12	NP	NP
				3380	UC031	0	806-UC031	81	81	13	NP	NP
				3390	UC032	0	807-UC032	81	81	14	NP	NP
		3940	Provisional 2	210	02G	0	821-02G	5	5	1	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3940	Provisional 2	220	02J	1	821-02J	5	5	2	NP	NP
						10	FED 5	90	90	2	NP	NP
						20	FED 6	91	91	2	NP	NP
						30	FED 11	92	92	2	NP	NP
						40	FED 13	93	93	2	NP	NP
				225	02K	0	821-02K	5	5	3	NP	NP
				230	02L1	0	823-02L1	6	6	4	NP	NP
				240	02L2	0	824-02L2	7	7	5	NP	NP
				250	02S	0	821-02S	5	5	6	NP	NP
				260	02W	0	825-02W	8	8	7	NP	NP
				280	03A	0	826-03A	7	7	8	NP	NP
				300	03B	0	827-03B	9	9	9	NP	NP
				320	03C	0	827-03C	9	9	10	NP	NP
				330	03D	0	826-03D	7	7	11	NP	NP
				340	03E	0	826-03E	7	7	12	NP	NP
				350	03F	0	828-03F	8	8	13	NP	NP
				360	03G	0	826-03G	7	7	14	NP	NP
				370	03H	0	829-03H	10	10	15	NP	NP
				375	03I	0	826-03I	7	7	16	NP	NP
				380	03L	0	826-03L	7	7	17	NP	NP
				390	03M	0	829-03M	10	10	18	NP	NP
				400	03N	0	826-03N	7	7	19	NP	NP
				410	03P1A	0	828-03P1A	8	8	20	NP	NP
		3950	Provisional 3	420	03P2	0	830-03P2	11	11	1	NP	NP
				440	03S	0	829-03S	10	10	2	NP	NP
				450	03T	0	830-03T	11	11	3	NP	NP
				470	04A	0	831-04A	7	7	4	NP	NP
				480	04B	0	832-04B	12	12	5	NP	NP
				485	04C	0	831-04C	7	7	6	NP	NP
				490	04D	0	831-04D	7	7	7	NP	NP
				500	04F	0	833-04F	11	11	8	NP	NP
				510	04G	0	832-04G	12	12	9	NP	NP
				520	04I	0	832-04I	12	12	10	NP	NP
				525	04J	0	833-04J	11	11	11	NP	NP
				530	04K	0	832-04K	12	12	12	NP	NP
				540	04L	0	831-04L	7	7	13	NP	NP
				550	04M	0	834-04M	5	5	14	NP	NP
				560	04S	0	832-04S	12	12	15	NP	NP
				570	04T	0	832-04T	12	12	16	NP	NP
				575	04V	0	508-04V	82	82	17	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3950	Provisional 3	580	04W	0	832-04W	12	12	18	NP	NP
				590	04X1	0	835-04X1	6	6	19	NP	NP
				600	04X2	0	831-04X2	7	7	20	NP	NP
		3960	Provisional 4	615	05A1	0	956-05A1	2	2	1	NP	NP
				617	05A2	0	836-05A2	1	1	2	NP	NP
				620	05B	0	837-05B	5	5	3	NP	NP
				630	05C	0	837-05C	5	5	4	NP	NP
				631	05D	0	838-05D	12	12	5	NP	NP
				632	05F	0	837-05F	5	5	6	NP	NP
				633	05J	0	837-05J	5	5	7	NP	NP
				634	05K	0	837-05K	5	5	8	NP	NP
				660	06B	0	839-06B	5	5	9	NP	NP
				670	06D	0	840-06D	13	13	10	NP	NP
				680	06E	0	841-06E	8	8	11	NP	NP
				690	06F	0	961-06F	2	2	12	NP	NP
				700	06G	0	839-06G	5	5	13	NP	NP
				720	06I	0	843-06I	14	14	14	NP	NP
				730	06J	0	840-06J	13	13	15	NP	NP
				745	06L1	0	841-06L1	8	8	16	NP	NP
				746	06L2	0	844-06L2	17	17	17	NP	NP
				747	06N	0	844-06N	17	17	18	NP	NP
				748	06Q	0	843-06Q	14	14	19	NP	NP
				750	06R	0	841-06R	8	8	20	NP	NP
		3970	Provisional 5	770	07A	0	846-07A	15	15	1	NP	NP
				780	07B	0	846-07B	15	15	2	NP	NP
				790	07C	0	847-07C	16	16	3	NP	NP
				800	07D	0	847-07D	16	16	4	NP	NP
				810	07E	0	848-07E	14	14	5	NP	NP
				820	07F	0	848-07F	14	14	6	NP	NP
				840	07H	0	848-07H	14	14	7	NP	NP
				850	07J	0	849-07J	17	17	8	NP	NP
				880	07M	0	848-07M	14	14	9	NP	NP
				900	07N	0	848-07N	14	14	10	NP	NP
				910	08A	0	851-08A	18	18	11	NP	NP
				920	08B	0	852-08B	19	19	12	NP	NP
				930	08C	0	852-08C	19	19	13	NP	NP
				940	08D	0	852-08D	19	19	14	NP	NP
				950	08E	0	853-08E	20	20	15	NP	NP
				960	08F1	0	854-08F1	16	16	16	NP	NP
				970	08G	0	850-08G	14	14	17	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3970	Provisional 5	980	08H	0	850-08H	14	14	18	NP	NP
				990	08J	0	855-08J	21	21	19	NP	NP
		3980	Provisional 6	1000	08K	0	856-08K	22	22	1	NP	NP
				1010	08L	0	856-08L	22	22	2	NP	NP
				1020	08M	0	854-08M	16	16	3	NP	NP
				1030	08N1	0	852-08N1	19	19	4	NP	NP
				1035	08N2	0	857-08N2	15	15	5	NP	NP
				1040	08P	0	856-08P	22	22	6	NP	NP
				1050	09A	0	858-09A	23	23	7	NP	NP
				1060	09B	0	858-09B	23	23	8	NP	NP
				1070	09C	0	858-09C	23	23	9	NP	NP
				1080	09D	0	858-09D	23	23	10	NP	NP
				1090	09E	0	858-09E	23	23	11	NP	NP
				1100	09F	0	859-09F	24	24	12	NP	NP
				1110	09G	0	970-09G	10	10	13	NP	NP
				1116	09H	0	861-09H	22	22	14	NP	NP
				1117	09I	0	970-09I	10	10	15	NP	NP
				1120	09K	0	858-09K	23	23	16	NP	NP
				1140	09M	0	858-09M	23	23	17	NP	NP
				1150	10A	0	864-10A	25	25	18	NP	NP
				1151	10B	0	865-10B	10	10	19	NP	NP
				1160	10C	0	864-10C	25	25	20	NP	NP
		3990	Provisional 7	1170	10D	0	866-10D	23	23	1	NP	NP
				1180	10E	0	866-10E	23	23	2	NP	NP
				1190	10F	0	866-10F	23	23	3	NP	NP
				1200	10G	0	867-10G	9	9	4	NP	NP
				1205	10H1	0	873-10H1	23	23	5	NP	NP
				1210	10H2	0	865-10H2	10	10	6	NP	NP
				1211	10I	0	868-10I	103	103	7	NP	NP
				1220	10J	0	869-10J	9	9	8	NP	NP
				1225	10K	0	870-10K	6	6	9	NP	NP
				1240	10M	0	870-10M	6	6	10	NP	NP
				1260	10P	0	866-10P	23	23	11	NP	NP
				1270	10R	0	873-10R	23	23	12	NP	NP
				1310	11B	0	520-11B	26	26	13	NP	NP
				1330	11C	0	874-11C	10	10	14	NP	NP
				1340	11E1	0	962-11E1	27	27	15	NP	NP
				1350	11E2	0	877-11E2	33	33	16	NP	NP
				1360	11E3	0	875-11E3	28	28	17	NP	NP
				1380	11G	0	529-11G	29	29	18	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3990	Provisional 7	1390	11H	0	878-11H	6	6	19	NP	NP
				1420	11J	0	974-11J	30	30	20	NP	NP
		4000	Provisional 8	1430	11K	0	880-11K	31	31	1	NP	NP
				1440	11M	0	881-11M	32	32	2	NP	NP
				1450	11N	0	877-11N	33	33	3	NP	NP
				1460	11P	0	877-11P	33	33	4	NP	NP
				1470	11R	0	880-11R	31	31	5	NP	NP
				1480	12A	0	531-12A	2	2	6	NP	NP
				1510	12D	0	885-12D	3	3	7	NP	NP
				1520	12E1	0	882-12E1	1	1	8	NP	NP
				1530	12F	0	882-12F	1	1	9	NP	NP
				1540	12G	0	882-12G	1	1	10	NP	NP
				1545	12H1	0	882-12H1	1	1	11	NP	NP
				1550	12H2	0	885-12H2	3	3	12	NP	NP
				1551	12I	0	886-12I	29	29	13	NP	NP
				1560	12J	0	885-12J	3	3	14	NP	NP
				1561	12K	0	887-12K	82	82	15	NP	NP
				1570	12L	0	531-12L	2	2	16	NP	NP
				1580	12M	0	885-12M	3	3	17	NP	NP
				1581	12N	0	531-12N	2	2	18	NP	NP
				1590	12S	0	531-12S	2	2	19	NP	NP
		4010	Provisional 9	1610	AP01A	0	745-AP01A	34	34	1	NP	NP
				1620	AP01B	0	730-AP01B	34	34	2	NP	NP
				1630	AP01C	0	731-AP01C	34	34	3	NP	NP
				1631	AP01D	0	732-AP01D	34	34	4	NP	NP
				1641	AP02B	0	735-AP02B	35	35	5	NP	NP
				1650	AP03	0	735-AP03	35	35	6	NP	NP
				1660	AP04A	0	736-AP04A	36	36	7	NP	NP
				1661	AP04B	0	738-AP04B	36	36	8	NP	NP
				1670	AP05	0	739-AP05	37	37	9	NP	NP
				1680	AP06	0	740-AP06	38	38	10	NP	NP
				1690	AP07A	0	740-AP07A	38	38	11	NP	NP
				1700	AP07B	0	741-AP07B	95	95	12	NP	NP
				1710	AP09A	0	739-AP09A	37	37	13	NP	NP
				1720	AP09B	0	743-AP09B	39	39	14	NP	NP
				1721	AP021	0	734-AP021	94	94	15	NP	NP
				1722	AP022	0	737-AP022	94	94	16	NP	NP
				1730	AP10	0	740-AP10	38	38	17	NP	NP
				1740	AP12A	0	739-AP12A	37	37	18	NP	NP
				1741	AP12B	0	744-AP12B	104	104	19	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	4010	Provisional 9	1742	AP12C	0	740-AP12C	38	38	20	NP	NP
		2580	RW01	2520	RW01	0	779-RW01	57	57	1	NP	NP
		2590	RW02	2530	RW02	0	779-RW02	57	57	1	NP	NP
		2600	RW03	2540	RW03	0	779-RW03	57	57	1	NP	NP
		2620	RW04	2560	RW04	0	780-RW04	58	58	1	NP	NP
		2630	RW05	2570	RW05	0	779-RW05	57	57	1	NP	NP
		2640	RW06 & RW13	2580	RW06	0	780-RW06	58	58	1	NP	NP
				2680	RW13	0	925-RW13	59	59	2	NP	NP
		2670	RW08	2610	RW08	0	779-RW08	57	57	1	NP	NP
		2680	RW09 & RW19	2620	RW09	0	782-RW09	60	60	1	NP	NP
				2730	RW19	0	782-RW19	60	60	3	NP	NP
		2690	RW10	2630	RW10	0	779-RW10	57	57	1	NP	NP
		2700	RW11A	2640	RW11A	0	779-RW11A	57	57	1	NP	NP
		2710	RW12 & RW16	2650	RW12	0	782-RW12	60	60	1	NP	NP
				2700	RW16	0	779-RW16	57	57	3	NP	NP
		2770	RW17	2710	RW17	0	781-RW17	59	59	1	NP	NP
		2800	RW20	2740	RW20	0	780-RW20	58	58	1	NP	NP
		2830	RW22A	2770	RW22A	0	780-RW22A	58	58	1	NP	NP
		2835	SC01A, SC01B, SC01C, SC01D & SC	2772	SC01A	0	991-SC01A	61	61	1	NP	NP
				2780	SC01B	0	1027-SC01B	61	61	2	NP	NP
				2781	SC01C	0	991-SC01C	61	61	3	NP	NP
				2782	SC01D	0	784-SC01D	61	61	5	NP	NP
				2945	SC20	0	994-SC20	88	88	4	NP	NP
		2850	SC02	2790	SC02	0	1004-SC02	62	62	1	NP	NP
		2860	SC04	2800	SC04	0	993-SC04	63	63	1	NP	NP
		2870	SC05A, SC05B, SC05D & SC05E	2810	SC05A	0	996-SC05A	63	63	1	NP	NP
				2811	SC05B	0	997-SC05B	88	88	2	NP	NP
				2812	SC05D	0	998-SC05D	107	107	3	NP	NP
				2813	SC05E	0	1014-SC05E	107	107	4	NP	NP
				2863	SC13	0	993-SC13	63	63	5	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2880	SC07A & SC07C	2820	SC07A	0	993-SC07A	63	63	1	NP	NP
				2821	SC07C	0	1022-SC07C	63	63	2	NP	NP
		2890	SC08B, SC08C, SC08D, SC08E, SC08	2831	SC08B	0	1016-SC08B	85	85	2	NP	NP
				2832	SC08C	0	999-SC08C	64	64	3	NP	NP
				2833	SC08D	0	1011-SC08D	66	66	4	NP	NP
				2834	SC08E	0	1010-SC08E	65	65	5	NP	NP
				2835	SC08F	0	1001-SC08F	86	86	6	NP	NP
				2836	SC08G	0	1015-SC08G	115	115	7	NP	NP
				2837	SC08H	0	1019-SC08H	115	115	8	NP	NP
		2900	SC09A, SC09B, SC09C & SC27	2840	SC09A	0	1011-SC09A	66	66	1	NP	NP
				2841	SC09B	0	1016-SC09B	85	85	2	NP	NP
				2842	SC09C	0	1012-SC09C	66	66	4	NP	NP
				2970	SC27	0	1012-SC27	66	66	3	NP	NP
		2904	SC11A, SC11B & SC29A	2861	SC11A	0	1012-SC11A	66	66	1	NP	NP
				2862	SC11B	0	1013-SC11B	85	85	2	NP	NP
				2980	SC29A	0	1005-SC29A	65	65	3	NP	NP
		2906	SC14A & SC14B	2890	SC14A	0	991-SC14A	61	61	2	NP	NP
				2891	SC14B	0	784-SC14B	61	61	1	NP	NP
		2907	SC15	2895	SC15	0	992-SC15	107	107	1	NP	NP
		2960	SC16A & SC16B	2900	SC16A	0	991-SC16A	61	61	1	NP	NP
				2901	SC16B	0	784-SC16B	61	61	2	NP	NP
		2982	SC17A, SC17B, SC17C, SC18A, SC18	2920	SC17A	0	999-SC17A	64	64	5	NP	NP
				2921	SC17B	0	1001-SC17B	86	86	6	NP	NP
				2923	SC17C	0	1002-SC17C	87	87	4	NP	NP
				2930	SC18A	0	1003-SC18A	100	100	2	NP	NP
				2931	SC18B	0	994-SC18B	88	88	1	NP	NP
				2932	SC18C	0	997-SC18C	88	88	3	NP	NP
		3000	SC19A & SC19B	2940	SC19A	0	999-SC19A	64	64	1	NP	NP
				2941	SC19B	0	1002-SC19B	87	87	2	NP	NP
		3020	SC23A, SC23B & SC23C	2960	SC23A	0	1012-SC23A	66	66	1	NP	NP
				2961	SC23B	0	1008-SC23B	85	85	2	NP	NP
				2962	SC23C	0	1007-SC23C	66	66	3	NP	NP
		3050	SC30A & SC30B	2990	SC30A	0	1004-SC30A	62	62	1	NP	NP
				2991	SC30B	0	995-SC30B	62	62	2	NP	NP
		3060	SS01	3000	SS01	0	898-SS01	67	67	1	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3070	SS02A, SS02B, SS19A, SS19B, SS20 &	3010	SS02A	0	899-SS02A	68	68	1	NP	NP
				3020	SS02B	0	900-SS02B	69	69	2	NP	NP
				3281	SS19A	0	917-SS19A	78	78	3	NP	NP
				3290	SS19B	0	918-SS19B	68	68	4	NP	NP
				3300	SS20	0	915-SS20	77	77	5	NP	NP
				3330	SS26	0	919-SS26	77	77	6	NP	NP
		3090	SS03	3030	SS03	0	900-SS03	69	69	1	NP	NP
		3100	SS04	3040	SS04	0	901-SS04	69	69	1	NP	NP
		3120	SS05 & SS06	3050	SS05	0	902-SS05	70	70	2	NP	NP
				3060	SS06	0	903-SS06	72	72	1	NP	NP
		3130	SS07A, SS07B, SS07C, SS08A, SS08B	3070	SS07A	0	904-SS07A	71	71	1	NP	NP
				3080	SS07B	0	903-SS07B	72	72	2	NP	NP
				3090	SS07C	0	905-SS07C	73	73	3	NP	NP
				3100	SS08A	0	905-SS08A	73	73	5	NP	NP
				3110	SS08B	0	903-SS08B	72	72	6	NP	NP
				3120	SS08C	0	904-SS08C	71	71	7	NP	NP
				3121	SS08D	0	906-SS08D	73	73	4	NP	NP
		3190	SS09A & SS09B	3130	SS09A	0	907-SS09A	74	74	1	NP	NP
				3131	SS09B	0	908-SS09B	75	75	2	NP	NP
		3210	SS11A, SS11B, SS11C, SS11D, SS13A	3150	SS11A	0	909-SS11A	72	72	1	NP	NP
				3160	SS11B	0	910-SS11B	72	72	2	NP	NP
				3170	SS11C	0	911-SS11C	73	73	3	NP	NP
				3180	SS11D	0	908-SS11D	75	75	4	NP	NP
				3200	SS13A	0	912-SS13A	75	75	5	NP	NP
				3210	SS13B	0	908-SS13B	75	75	6	NP	NP
		3250	SS12 & SS14	3190	SS12	0	905-SS12	73	73	1	NP	NP
				3220	SS14	0	913-SS14	76	76	2	NP	NP
		3290	SS15A & SS15B	3230	SS15A	0	914-SS15A	77	77	1	NP	NP
				3240	SS15B	0	915-SS15B	77	77	2	NP	NP
		3295	SS16	3250	SS16	0	902-SS16	70	70	3	NP	NP
		3320	SS17	3260	SS17	0	898-SS17	67	67	1	NP	NP
		3330	SS18A, SS18B & SS31	3270	SS18A	0	902-SS18A	70	70	1	NP	NP
				3280	SS18B	0	916-SS18B	70	70	2	NP	NP
				3350	SS31	0	903-SS31	72	72	3	NP	NP

Fulton County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	3370	SS22	3310	SS22	0	917-SS22	78	78	1	NP	NP
		3400	SS29A	3340	SS29A	0	920-SS29A	79	79	1	NP	NP
		3420	UC01A, UC01B, UC01D & UC01E	3360	UC01A	0	802-UC01A	80	80	1	NP	NP
				3365	UC01B	0	923-UC01B	101	101	2	NP	NP
				3368	UC01D	0	945-UC01D	105	105	3	NP	NP
				3369	UC01E	0	968-UC01E	108	108	4	NP	NP
		3430	UC02A & UC02B	3370	UC02A	0	804-UC02A	80	80	1	NP	NP
				3375	UC02B	0	805-UC02B	102	102	2	NP	NP
		3440	UC031, UC032, SC211 & SC212	2950	SC211	0	1005-SC211	65	65	4	NP	NP
				2951	SC212	0	1006-SC212	65	65	5	NP	NP
				3380	UC031	0	806-UC031	81	81	1	NP	NP
				3390	UC032	0	807-UC032	81	81	2	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Jan 11, 2019
3:42:06PM

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	70	001 Harbins A	10	001 Harbins A	10	231-001	1	1	1	NP	NP
								1	2	1	NP	NP
						20	232-001	1	1	1	NP	NP
								1	2	1	NP	NP
						30	233-001	62	2	1	NP	NP
								62	63	1	NP	NP
		80	002 Rockbridge A	20	002 Rockbridge A	10	309-002	2	2	1	NP	NP
								2	3	1	NP	NP
		90	003 Dacula	30	003 Dacula	10	231-003	1	1	1	NP	NP
								1	2	1	NP	NP
						20	232-003	1	1	1	NP	NP
								1	2	1	NP	NP
		100	004 Suwanee A	40	004 Suwanee A	10	275-004	3	2	1	NP	NP
								3	4	1	NP	NP
						20	276-004	3	2	1	NP	NP
								3	4	1	NP	NP
						30	277-004	3	2	1	NP	NP
								3	4	1	NP	NP
		110	005 Baycreek A	50	005 Baycreek A	10	316-005	4	2	1	NP	NP
								4	5	1	NP	NP
						20	317-005	4	2	1	NP	NP
								4	5	1	NP	NP
		120	006 Goodwins A	60	006 Goodwins A	10	283-006	5	2	1	NP	NP
								5	6	1	NP	NP
		130	007 Duluth A	70	007 Duluth A	10	294-007	6	2	1	NP	NP
								6	7	1	NP	NP
						20	295-007	6	2	1	NP	NP
								6	7	1	NP	NP
						30	296-007	6	2	1	NP	NP
								6	7	1	NP	NP
		140	008 Duncans A	80	008 Duncans A	10	234-008	7	2	1	NP	NP
								7	8	1	NP	NP
		150	009 Pucketts A	90	009 Pucketts A	10	258-009	8	2	1	NP	NP
								8	9	1	NP	NP
						20	259-009	9	10	1	NP	NP
								9	11	1	NP	NP

GA009396

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	160	010 Cates A	100	010 Cates A	10	316-010	4	2	1	NP	NP
								4	5	1	NP	NP
						20	318-010	4	2	1	NP	NP
								4	5	1	NP	NP
		170	011 Berkshire A	110	011 Berkshire A	10	329-011	10	2	1	NP	NP
								10	12	1	NP	NP
		180	012 Berkshire B	120	012 Berkshire B	10	307-012	11	2	1	NP	NP
								11	13	1	NP	NP
						20	308-012	11	2	1	NP	NP
								11	13	1	NP	NP
		190	013 Duncans C	130	013 Duncans C	10	273-013	12	2	1	NP	NP
								12	14	1	NP	NP
		200	014 Garners A	140	014 Garners A	10	303-014	13	2	1	NP	NP
								13	15	1	NP	NP
		210	015 Lawrenceville A	150	015 Lawrenceville A	10	208-015	14	2	1	NP	NP
								14	16	1	NP	NP
						20	209-015	14	2	1	NP	NP
								14	16	1	NP	NP
						30	Fed - Cong 015	82	83	1	NP	NP
		220	016 Lawrenceville B	160	016 Lawrenceville B	10	214-016	15	2	1	NP	NP
								15	17	1	NP	NP
						20	215-016	15	2	1	NP	NP
								15	17	1	NP	NP
		230	017 Martins A	170	017 Martins A	10	345-017	16	2	1	NP	NP
								16	18	1	NP	NP
						20	346-017	17	2	1	NP	NP
								17	19	1	NP	NP
		240	018 Martins B	180	018 Martins B	10	236-018	18	2	1	NP	NP
								18	20	1	NP	NP
		250	019 Martins C	190	019 Martins C	10	327-019	19	2	1	NP	NP
								19	21	1	NP	NP
		260	020 Pinckneyville A	200	020 Pinckneyville A	10	340-020	20	2	1	NP	NP
								20	22	1	NP	NP
		270	021 Pinckneyville B	210	021 Pinckneyville B	10	330-021	21	2	1	NP	NP
								21	23	1	NP	NP

GA009397

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	280	022 Pinckneyville C	220	022 Pinckneyville C	10	247-022	22	2	1	NP	NP
								22	24	1	NP	NP
		290	023 Pinckneyville D	230	023 Pinckneyville D	10	342-023	23	2	1	NP	NP
								23	25	1	NP	NP
		300	024 Sugar Hill A	240	024 Sugar Hill A	10	255-024	24	11	1	NP	NP
								24	26	1	NP	NP
						20	256-024	25	2	1	NP	NP
								25	27	1	NP	NP
						30	257-024	26	2	1	NP	NP
								26	28	1	NP	NP
						40	258-024	8	2	1	NP	NP
								8	9	1	NP	NP
						50	259-024	9	10	1	NP	NP
								9	11	1	NP	NP
						60	260-024	8	2	1	NP	NP
								8	9	1	NP	NP
						70	263-024	29	2	1	NP	NP
								29	30	1	NP	NP
						80	366-024	27	2	1	NP	NP
								27	29	1	NP	NP
		310	025 Sugar Hill B	250	025 Sugar Hill B	10	259-025	9	10	1	NP	NP
								9	11	1	NP	NP
						20	278-025	27	2	1	NP	NP
								27	29	1	NP	NP
						30	279-025	27	2	1	NP	NP
								27	29	1	NP	NP
						40	280-025	28	2	1	NP	NP
								28	10	1	NP	NP
						50	282-025	28	2	1	NP	NP
								28	10	1	NP	NP
		320	026 Hog Mountain A	260	026 Hog Mountain A	10	263-026	29	2	1	NP	NP
								29	30	1	NP	NP
						20	265-026	29	2	1	NP	NP
								29	30	1	NP	NP
		330	027 Hog Mountain B	270	027 Hog Mountain B	10	210-027	30	2	1	NP	NP
								30	31	1	NP	NP
						20	229-027	31	2	1	NP	NP
								31	32	1	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	340	028 Rockycreek A	280	028 Rockycreek A	10	231-028	1	1	1	NP	NP
								1	2	1	NP	NP
						20	234-028	7	2	1	NP	NP
								7	8	1	NP	NP
						30	235-028	7	2	1	NP	NP
								7	8	1	NP	NP
		350	029 Cates B	290	029 Cates B	10	323-029	32	2	1	NP	NP
								32	33	1	NP	NP
		360	030 Cates C	300	030 Cates C	10	312-030	33	2	1	NP	NP
								33	34	1	NP	NP
						20	313-030	33	2	1	NP	NP
								33	34	1	NP	NP
		370	031 Hog Mountain D	310	031 Hog Mountain D	10	252-031	34	2	1	NP	NP
								34	35	1	NP	NP
		380	032 Berkshire D	320	032 Berkshire D	10	303-032	13	2	1	NP	NP
								13	15	1	NP	NP
		390	033 Berkshire E	330	033 Berkshire E	10	303-033	13	2	1	NP	NP
								13	15	1	NP	NP
						20	304-033	13	2	1	NP	NP
								13	15	1	NP	NP
		400	034 Berkshire F	340	034 Berkshire F	10	325-034	35	2	1	NP	NP
								35	36	1	NP	NP
						20	326-034	35	2	1	NP	NP
								35	36	1	NP	NP
		410	035 Cates D	350	035 Cates D - 04	10	300-035	36	2	1	NP	NP
								36	37	1	NP	NP
						20	301-035	37	2	1	NP	NP
								37	38	1	NP	NP
						30	302-035	37	2	1	NP	NP
								37	38	1	NP	NP
				351	035 Cates D - 07	10	315 - 035	83	2	2	NP	NP
								83	84	2	NP	NP
		420	036 Cates E	360	036 Cates E	10	300-036	36	2	1	NP	NP
								36	37	1	NP	NP
		430	037 Pinckneyville E	370	037 Pinckneyville E	10	356-037	22	2	1	NP	NP
								22	24	1	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	440	038 Pinckneyville F	380	038 Pinckneyville F	10	333-038	38	2	1	NP	NP
								38	39	1	NP	NP
						20	334-038	38	2	1	NP	NP
								38	39	1	NP	NP
		450	039 Duncans D	390	039 Duncans D	10	271-039	39	2	1	NP	NP
								39	40	1	NP	NP
						20	272-039	39	2	1	NP	NP
								39	40	1	NP	NP
						30	361-039	39	2	1	NP	NP
								39	40	1	NP	NP
						40	362-039	39	2	1	NP	NP
								39	40	1	NP	NP
						50	363-039	39	2	1	NP	NP
								39	40	1	NP	NP
		460	040 Pinckneyville H	400	040 Pinckneyville H	20	288-040	40	2	1	NP	NP
								40	41	1	NP	NP
						30	289-040	40	2	1	NP	NP
								40	41	1	NP	NP
						40	358-040	40	2	1	NP	NP
								40	41	1	NP	NP
						50	359-040	40	2	1	NP	NP
								40	41	1	NP	NP
		470	041 Baycreek B	410	041 Baycreek B	10	201-041	41	2	1	NP	NP
								41	42	1	NP	NP
						20	202-041	41	2	1	NP	NP
								41	42	1	NP	NP
		480	042 Lawrenceville C	420	042 Lawrenceville C	10	250-042	42	2	1	NP	NP
								42	43	1	NP	NP
						20	251-042	42	2	1	NP	NP
								42	43	1	NP	NP
		490	043 Martins D	430	043 Martins D	10	344-043	43	2	1	NP	NP
								43	44	1	NP	NP
		500	044 Rockbridge B	440	044 Rockbridge B	10	311-044	44	2	1	NP	NP
								44	45	1	NP	NP
		510	045 Garners B	450	045 Garners B	10	311-045	44	2	1	NP	NP
								44	45	1	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	520	046 Cates F	460	046 Cates F	10	318-046	4	2	1	NP	NP
								4	5	1	NP	NP
		530	047 Cates G	470	047 Cates G	10	321-047	45	2	1	NP	NP
								45	46	1	NP	NP
						20	322-047	45	2	1	NP	NP
								45	46	1	NP	NP
		540	048 Duluth B	480	048 Duluth B	10	290-048	46	2	1	NP	NP
								46	47	1	NP	NP
						20	291-048	46	2	1	NP	NP
								46	47	1	NP	NP
		550	049 Garners C	490	049 Garners C	10	310-049	47	2	1	NP	NP
								47	48	1	NP	NP
		560	050 Pinckneyville I	500	050 Pinckneyville I	10	337-050	48	2	1	NP	NP
								48	49	1	NP	NP
		570	051 Pinckneyville J	510	051 Pinckneyville J	10	330-051	21	2	1	NP	NP
								21	23	1	NP	NP
		580	052 Berkshire G	520	052 Berkshire G	10	314-052	49	2	1	NP	NP
								49	50	1	NP	NP
		590	053 Cates H	530	053 Cates H	10	312-053	33	2	1	NP	NP
								33	34	1	NP	NP
						20	313-053	33	2	1	NP	NP
								33	34	1	NP	NP
		600	054 Sugar Hill C	540	054 Sugar Hill C	10	259-054	9	10	1	NP	NP
								9	11	1	NP	NP
						20	278-054	27	2	1	NP	NP
								27	29	1	NP	NP
						30	279-054	27	2	1	NP	NP
								27	29	1	NP	NP
						40	280-054	28	2	1	NP	NP
								28	10	1	NP	NP
						50	282-054	28	2	1	NP	NP
								28	10	1	NP	NP
		610	055 Sugar Hill D									

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id Region	Id Vote Center	Id Report Precinct	Id Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0 Host	610 055 Sugar Hill D	550 055 Sugar Hill D	10 258-055	8	2	1	NP	NP
				8	9	1	NP	NP
			20 259-055	9	10	1	NP	NP
				9	11	1	NP	NP
			30 260-055	8	2	1	NP	NP
				8	9	1	NP	NP
			40 261-055	8	2	1	NP	NP
				8	9	1	NP	NP
			50 280-055	28	2	1	NP	NP
				28	10	1	NP	NP
	620 056 Duluth C	560 056 Duluth C	10 285-056	50	2	1	NP	NP
				50	51	1	NP	NP
			20 294-056	6	2	1	NP	NP
				6	7	1	NP	NP
			30 295-056	6	2	1	NP	NP
				6	7	1	NP	NP
	630 057 Duluth D	570 057 Duluth D	10 290-057	46	2	1	NP	NP
				46	47	1	NP	NP
			20 291-057	46	2	1	NP	NP
				46	47	1	NP	NP
	640 058 Pinckneyville K	580 058 Pinckneyville K	10 341-058	51	2	1	NP	NP
				51	52	1	NP	NP
			20 350-058	52	2	1	NP	NP
				52	53	1	NP	NP
	650 059 Pinckneyville N	590 059 Pinckneyville N	10 349-059	22	2	1	NP	NP
				22	24	1	NP	NP
			20 356-059	22	2	1	NP	NP
				22	24	1	NP	NP
			30 357-059	22	2	1	NP	NP
				22	24	1	NP	NP
	660 060 Lawrenceville D	600 060 Lawrenceville D	10 240-060	53	2	1	NP	NP
				53	54	1	NP	NP
			20 241-060	53	2	1	NP	NP
				53	54	1	NP	NP
			30 242-060	54	2	1	NP	NP
				54	55	1	NP	NP
			40 243-060	54	2	1	NP	NP
				54	55	1	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	670	061 Lawrenceville E	610	061 Lawrenceville E	10	245-061	55	2	1	NP	NP
								55	56	1	NP	NP
						20	249-061	55	2	1	NP	NP
								55	56	1	NP	NP
		680	062 Pinckneyville L	620	062 Pinckneyville L	10	247-062	22	2	1	NP	NP
								22	24	1	NP	NP
						20	248-062	22	2	1	NP	NP
								22	24	1	NP	NP
		690	063 Pinckneyville M	630	063 Pinckneyville M	0	359-063	40	2	1	NP	NP
								40	41	1	NP	NP
		700	064 Garners D	640	064 Garners D	10	309-064	2	2	1	NP	NP
								2	3	1	NP	NP
						20	311-064	44	2	1	NP	NP
								44	45	1	NP	NP
		710	065 Berkshire H	650	065 Berkshire H	10	305-065	56	2	1	NP	NP
								56	57	1	NP	NP
						20	306-065	56	2	1	NP	NP
								56	57	1	NP	NP
		720	066 Pinckneyville O	660	066 Pinckneyville O	10	330-066	21	2	1	NP	NP
								21	23	1	NP	NP
		730	067 Pucketts E	670	067 Pucketts E	10	258-067	8	2	1	NP	NP
								8	9	1	NP	NP
						20	259-067	9	10	1	NP	NP
								9	11	1	NP	NP
		740	068 Cates I	680	068 Cates I	10	319-068	57	2	1	NP	NP
								57	58	1	NP	NP
						20	320-068	57	2	1	NP	NP
								57	58	1	NP	NP
		750	069 Cates J	690	069 Cates J	10	299-069	58	2	1	NP	NP
								58	59	1	NP	NP
						20	300-069	36	2	1	NP	NP
								36	37	1	NP	NP
		760	070 Goodwins B	700	070 Goodwins B	10	266-070	59	2	1	NP	NP
								59	60	1	NP	NP
		770	071 Lawrenceville F									

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	770	071 Lawrenceville F	710	071 Lawrenceville F	10	242-071	54	2	1	NP	NP
								54	55	1	NP	NP
						20	243-071	54	2	1	NP	NP
								54	55	1	NP	NP
		780	072 Martins E	720	072 Martins E	10	344-072	43	2	1	NP	NP
								43	44	1	NP	NP
		790	073 Pinckneyville P	730	073 Pinckneyville P	10	247-073	22	2	1	NP	NP
								22	24	1	NP	NP
		800	074 Pinckneyville Q	740	074 Pinckneyville Q	10	344-074	43	2	1	NP	NP
								43	44	1	NP	NP
		810	075 Rockbridge C	750	075 Rockbridge C	10	309-075	2	2	1	NP	NP
								2	3	1	NP	NP
						20	311-075	44	2	1	NP	NP
								44	45	1	NP	NP
		820	076 Rockbridge D	760	076 Rockbridge D	10	201-076	41	2	1	NP	NP
								41	42	1	NP	NP
		830	077 Goodwins C	770	077 Goodwins C	10	297-077	60	2	1	NP	NP
								60	61	1	NP	NP
		840	078 Baycreek K	780	078 Baycreek K	10	211-078	61	2	1	NP	NP
								61	62	1	NP	NP
						20	212-078	61	2	1	NP	NP
								61	62	1	NP	NP
		850	079 Cates K	790	079 Cates K	10	319-079	57	2	1	NP	NP
								57	58	1	NP	NP
		860	080 Baycreek C	800	080 Baycreek C	10	233-080	62	2	1	NP	NP
								62	63	1	NP	NP
		870	081 Cates L	810	081 Cates L	10	301-081	37	2	1	NP	NP
								37	38	1	NP	NP
						20	302-081	37	2	1	NP	NP
								37	38	1	NP	NP
						30	312-081	33	2	1	NP	NP
								33	34	1	NP	NP
						40	313-081	33	2	1	NP	NP
								33	34	1	NP	NP
		880	082 Duluth E									

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	880	082 Duluth E	820	082 Duluth E	10	285-082	50	2	1	NP	NP
								50	51	1	NP	NP
		890	083 Goodwins D	830	083 Goodwins D	10	266-083	59	2	1	NP	NP
								59	60	1	NP	NP
		900	084 Lawrenceville G	840	084 Lawrenceville G	10	206-084	63	2	1	NP	NP
								63	64	1	NP	NP
						20	207-084	63	2	1	NP	NP
								63	64	1	NP	NP
		910	085 Lawrenceville H	850	085 Lawrenceville H	10	250-085	42	2	1	NP	NP
								42	43	1	NP	NP
						20	266-085	59	2	1	NP	NP
								59	60	1	NP	NP
						30	267-085	59	2	1	NP	NP
								59	60	1	NP	NP
		920	086 Martins F	860	086 Martins F	10	344-086	43	2	1	NP	NP
								43	44	1	NP	NP
		930	087 Pinckneyville S	870	087 Pinckneyville S	10	337-087	48	2	1	NP	NP
								48	49	1	NP	NP
						20	338-087	48	2	1	NP	NP
								48	49	1	NP	NP
		940	088 Rockbridge E	880	088 Rockbridge E	10	311-088	44	2	1	NP	NP
								44	45	1	NP	NP
		950	089 Sugar Hill E	890	089 Sugar Hill E	10	253-089	64	2	1	NP	NP
								64	65	1	NP	NP
						20	254-089	64	2	1	NP	NP
								64	65	1	NP	NP
						30	255-089	24	11	1	NP	NP
								24	26	1	NP	NP
		960	090 Lawrenceville I	900	090 Lawrenceville I	10	364-090	65	2	1	NP	NP
								65	66	1	NP	NP
						20	365-090	65	2	1	NP	NP
								65	66	1	NP	NP
		970	091 Baycreek D	910	091 Baycreek D	10	211-091	61	2	1	NP	NP
								61	62	1	NP	NP
						20	212-091	61	2	1	NP	NP
								61	62	1	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	980	092 Berkshire J	920	092 Berkshire J	10	303-092	13	2	1	NP	NP
								13	15	1	NP	NP
						20	304-092	13	2	1	NP	NP
								13	15	1	NP	NP
		990	093 Cates M	930	093 Cates M	10	319-093	57	2	1	NP	NP
								57	58	1	NP	NP
		1000	094 Cates N	940	094 Cates N	10	316-094	4	2	1	NP	NP
								4	5	1	NP	NP
						20	318-094	4	2	1	NP	NP
								4	5	1	NP	NP
		1010	095 Duluth F	950	095 Duluth F	5	297-095	60	2	1	NP	NP
								60	61	1	NP	NP
						10	352-095	46	2	1	NP	NP
								46	47	1	NP	NP
		1020	096 Duluth G	960	096 Duluth G	10	294-096	6	2	1	NP	NP
								6	7	1	NP	NP
						20	295-096	6	2	1	NP	NP
								6	7	1	NP	NP
		1030	097 Goodwins E	970	097 Goodwins E	10	297-097	60	2	1	NP	NP
								60	61	1	NP	NP
						20	298-097	60	2	1	NP	NP
								60	61	1	NP	NP
		1040	098 Goodwins F	980	098 Goodwins F	10	262-098	66	2	1	NP	NP
								66	67	1	NP	NP
		1050	099 Pinckneyville T	990	099 Pinckneyville T	10	281-099	67	2	1	NP	NP
								67	68	1	NP	NP
						20	293-099	67	2	1	NP	NP
								67	68	1	NP	NP
						30	360-099	67	2	1	NP	NP
								67	68	1	NP	NP
		1060	100 Suwanee B	1000	100 Suwanee B	10	263-100	29	2	1	NP	NP
								29	30	1	NP	NP
						20	264-100	29	2	1	NP	NP
								29	30	1	NP	NP
						30	265-100	29	2	1	NP	NP
								29	30	1	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	1070	101 Pinckneyville U	1010	101 Pinckneyville U	10	356-101	22	2	1	NP	NP
								22	24	1	NP	NP
		1080	102 Pucketts B	1020	102 Pucketts B	10	258-102	8	2	1	NP	NP
								8	9	1	NP	NP
						20	259-102	9	10	1	NP	NP
								9	11	1	NP	NP
		1090	103 Berkshire L	1030	103 Berkshire L	10	351-103	68	2	1	NP	NP
								68	69	1	NP	NP
		1100	104 Rockbridge F	1040	104 Rockbridge F	10	201-104	41	2	1	NP	NP
								41	42	1	NP	NP
		1110	105 Sugar Hill F	1050	105 Sugar Hill F	10	253-105	64	2	1	NP	NP
								64	65	1	NP	NP
						20	254-105	64	2	1	NP	NP
								64	65	1	NP	NP
		1120	106 Suwanee C	1060	106 Suwanee C	10	294-106	6	2	1	NP	NP
								6	7	1	NP	NP
						20	296-106	6	2	1	NP	NP
								6	7	1	NP	NP
		1130	107 Cates O	1070	107 Cates O	10	323-107	32	2	1	NP	NP
								32	33	1	NP	NP
		1140	108 Baycreek E	1080	108 Baycreek E	10	203-108	69	2	1	NP	NP
								69	70	1	NP	NP
						20	204-108	69	2	1	NP	NP
								69	70	1	NP	NP
						30	205-108	69	2	1	NP	NP
								69	70	1	NP	NP
		1150	109 Berkshire M	1090	109 Berkshire M	10	323-109	32	2	1	NP	NP
								32	33	1	NP	NP
						20	324-109	32	2	1	NP	NP
								32	33	1	NP	NP
		1160	110 Martins G	1100	110 Martins G	10	236-110	18	2	1	NP	NP
								18	20	1	NP	NP
						20	237-110	18	2	1	NP	NP
								18	20	1	NP	NP
		1170	111 Duluth H									

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	1170	111 Duluth H	1110	111 Duluth H	10	294-111	6	2	1	NP	NP
								6	7	1	NP	NP
						20	295-111	6	2	1	NP	NP
								6	7	1	NP	NP
		1180	112 Berkshire N	1120	112 Berkshire N	10	303-112	13	2	1	NP	NP
								13	15	1	NP	NP
		1190	113 Pinckneyville V	1130	113 Pinckneyville V	10	330-113	21	2	1	NP	NP
								21	23	1	NP	NP
		1200	114 Pinckneyville W	1140	114 Pinckneyville W	30	336-114	70	2	1	NP	NP
								70	71	1	NP	NP
						40	340-114	20	2	1	NP	NP
								20	22	1	NP	NP
						50	367-114	70	2	1	NP	NP
								70	71	1	NP	NP
		1210	115 Martins H	1150	115 Martins H	10	244-115	71	2	1	NP	NP
								71	72	1	NP	NP
		1220	116 Martins I	1160	116 Martins I	10	345-116	16	2	1	NP	NP
								16	18	1	NP	NP
		1230	117 Martins J	1170	117 Martins J	10	345-117	16	2	1	NP	NP
								16	18	1	NP	NP
		1240	118 Garners F	1180	118 Garners F	10	310-118	47	2	1	NP	NP
								47	48	1	NP	NP
		1250	119 Berkshire O	1190	119 Berkshire O	10	323-119	32	2	1	NP	NP
								32	33	1	NP	NP
		1260	120 Berkshire P	1200	120 Berkshire P	10	328-120	10	2	1	NP	NP
								10	12	1	NP	NP
						20	329-120	10	2	1	NP	NP
								10	12	1	NP	NP
		1270	121 Pinckneyville X	1210	121 Pinckneyville X	10	358-121	40	2	1	NP	NP
								40	41	1	NP	NP
						20	359-121	40	2	1	NP	NP
								40	41	1	NP	NP
		1280	122 Rockbridge G	1220	122 Rockbridge G	10	309-122	2	2	1	NP	NP
								2	3	1	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	1290	123 Pinckneyville Y	1230	123 Pinckneyville Y	10	330-123	21	2	1	NP	NP
								21	23	1	NP	NP
						20	331-123	72	2	1	NP	NP
								72	73	1	NP	NP
		1300	124 Goodwins G	1240	124 Goodwins G	10	284-124	73	2	1	NP	NP
								73	74	1	NP	NP
		1310	125 Pinckneyville Z	1250	125 Pinckneyville Z	10	247-125	22	2	1	NP	NP
								22	24	1	NP	NP
						20	248-125	22	2	1	NP	NP
								22	24	1	NP	NP
		1320	126 Pinckneyville A1	1260	126 Pinckneyville A1	10	247-126	22	2	1	NP	NP
								22	24	1	NP	NP
		1330	127 Lawrenceville J	1270	127 Lawrenceville J	10	206-127	63	2	1	NP	NP
								63	64	1	NP	NP
		1340	128 Goodwins H	1280	128 Goodwins H	10	297-128	60	2	1	NP	NP
								60	61	1	NP	NP
		1350	129 Duncans B	1290	129 Duncans B	10	273-129	12	2	1	NP	NP
								12	14	1	NP	NP
		1360	130 Suwanee D	1300	130 Suwanee D	10	278-130	27	2	1	NP	NP
								27	29	1	NP	NP
						20	279-130	27	2	1	NP	NP
								27	29	1	NP	NP
		1370	131 Suwanee E	1310	131 Suwanee E	10	275-131	3	2	1	NP	NP
								3	4	1	NP	NP
						20	276-131	3	2	1	NP	NP
								3	4	1	NP	NP
						30	277-131	3	2	1	NP	NP
								3	4	1	NP	NP
		1380	132 Lawrenceville K	1320	132 Lawrenceville K	10	266-132	59	2	1	NP	NP
								59	60	1	NP	NP
		1390	133 Harbins B	1330	133 Harbins B	10	231-133	1	1	1	NP	NP
								1	2	1	NP	NP
						20	232-133	1	1	1	NP	NP
								1	2	1	NP	NP
		1400	134 Baycreek F									

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	1400	134 Baycreek F	1340	134 Baycreek F	10	223-134	74	2	1	NP	NP
								74	75	1	NP	NP
						20	224-134	74	2	1	NP	NP
								74	75	1	NP	NP
						30	225-134	74	2	1	NP	NP
								74	75	1	NP	NP
		1410	135 Pucketts C	1350	135 Pucketts C	10	268-135	75	2	1	NP	NP
								75	76	1	NP	NP
						20	270-135	75	2	1	NP	NP
								75	76	1	NP	NP
		1420	136 Hog Mountain C	1360	136 Hog Mountain C	10	258-136	8	2	1	NP	NP
								8	9	1	NP	NP
						20	268-136	75	2	1	NP	NP
								75	76	1	NP	NP
		1430	137 Rockycreek B	1370	137 Rockycreek B	10	222-137	76	2	1	NP	NP
								76	77	1	NP	NP
		1440	138 Duluth I	1380	138 Duluth I	10	290-138	46	2	1	NP	NP
								46	47	1	NP	NP
						20	294-138	6	2	1	NP	NP
								6	7	1	NP	NP
						30	295-138	6	2	1	NP	NP
								6	7	1	NP	NP
		1450	139 Martins K	1390	139 Martins K	10	221-139	77	2	1	NP	NP
								77	78	1	NP	NP
		1460	140 Suwanee H	1400	140 Suwanee H	10	275-140	3	2	1	NP	NP
								3	4	1	NP	NP
						20	277-140	3	2	1	NP	NP
								3	4	1	NP	NP
		1470	141 Sugar Hill G	1410	141 Sugar Hill G	10	278-141	27	2	1	NP	NP
								27	29	1	NP	NP
						20	279-141	27	2	1	NP	NP
								27	29	1	NP	NP
		1480	142 Suwanee F	1420	142 Suwanee F	10	263-142	29	2	1	NP	NP
								29	30	1	NP	NP
						20	265-142	29	2	1	NP	NP
								29	30	1	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	1490	143 Lawrenceville L	1430	143 Lawrenceville L	10	216-143	78	2	1	NP	NP
								78	79	1	NP	NP
						20	217-143	78	2	1	NP	NP
								78	79	1	NP	NP
		1500	144 Lawrenceville M	1440	144 Lawrenceville M	10	240-144	53	2	1	NP	NP
								53	54	1	NP	NP
						50	241-144	53	2	1	NP	NP
								53	54	1	NP	NP
		1510	145 Baycreek G	1450	145 Baycreek G	10	226-145	79	2	1	NP	NP
								79	80	1	NP	NP
						20	227-145	79	2	1	NP	NP
								79	80	1	NP	NP
						30	228-145	79	2	1	NP	NP
								79	80	1	NP	NP
		1520	146 Baycreek H	1460	146 Baycreek H	10	211-146	61	2	1	NP	NP
								61	62	1	NP	NP
						20	212-146	61	2	1	NP	NP
								61	62	1	NP	NP
						30	219-146	80	2	1	NP	NP
								80	81	1	NP	NP
						40	220-146	80	2	1	NP	NP
								80	81	1	NP	NP
		1530	147 Baycreek I	1470	147 Baycreek I	10	211-147	61	2	1	NP	NP
								61	62	1	NP	NP
						20	213-147	61	2	1	NP	NP
								61	62	1	NP	NP
		1540	148 Berkshire Q	1480	148 Berkshire Q	20	303-148	13	2	1	NP	NP
								13	15	1	NP	NP
						30	304-148	13	2	1	NP	NP
								13	15	1	NP	NP
						40	307-148	11	2	1	NP	NP
								11	13	1	NP	NP
						50	308-148	11	2	1	NP	NP
								11	13	1	NP	NP
		1550	149 Goodwins I	1490	149 Goodwins I	10	283-149	5	2	1	NP	NP
								5	6	1	NP	NP
		1560	150 Duluth K									

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	1560	150 Duluth K	1500	150 Duluth K	10	352-150	46	2	1	NP	NP
								46	47	1	NP	NP
		1570	151 Harbins C	1510	151 Harbins C	10	233-151	62	2	1	NP	NP
								62	63	1	NP	NP
		1580	152 Rockycreek C	1520	152 Rockycreek C	10	231-152	1	1	1	NP	NP
								1	2	1	NP	NP
		1590	153 Lawrenceville N	1530	153 Lawrenceville N	10	218-153	81	2	1	NP	NP
								81	82	1	NP	NP
		1600	154 Pucketts D	1540	154 Pucketts D	10	268-154	75	2	1	NP	NP
								75	76	1	NP	NP
		1610	155 Suwanee G	1550	155 Suwanee G	10	294-155	6	2	1	NP	NP
								6	7	1	NP	NP
						20	296-155	6	2	1	NP	NP
								6	7	1	NP	NP
		1620	156 Baycreek J	1560	156 Baycreek J	10	203-156	69	2	1	NP	NP
								69	70	1	NP	NP
						20	205-156	69	2	1	NP	NP
								69	70	1	NP	NP
		40	ABM 001-003	10	001 Harbins A	10	231-001	1	1	1	NP	NP
								1	2	1	NP	NP
						20	232-001	1	1	1	NP	NP
								1	2	1	NP	NP
						30	233-001	62	2	1	NP	NP
								62	63	1	NP	NP
				20	002 Rockbridge A	10	309-002	2	2	2	NP	NP
								2	3	2	NP	NP
				30	003 Dacula	10	231-003	1	1	3	NP	NP
								1	2	3	NP	NP
						20	232-003	1	1	3	NP	NP
								1	2	3	NP	NP
		1630	ABM 004-006	40	004 Suwanee A	10	275-004	3	2	1	NP	NP
								3	4	1	NP	NP
						20	276-004	3	2	1	NP	NP
								3	4	1	NP	NP
						30	277-004	3	2	1	NP	NP
								3	4	1	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	1630	ABM 004-006	50	005 Baycreek A	10	316-005	4	2	2	NP	NP
								4	5	2	NP	NP
						20	317-005	4	2	2	NP	NP
								4	5	2	NP	NP
				60	006 Goodwins A	10	283-006	5	2	3	NP	NP
								5	6	3	NP	NP
		1640	ABM 007-009	70	007 Duluth A	10	294-007	6	2	1	NP	NP
								6	7	1	NP	NP
						20	295-007	6	2	1	NP	NP
								6	7	1	NP	NP
						30	296-007	6	2	1	NP	NP
								6	7	1	NP	NP
				80	008 Duncans A	10	234-008	7	2	2	NP	NP
								7	8	2	NP	NP
				90	009 Pucketts A	10	258-009	8	2	3	NP	NP
								8	9	3	NP	NP
						20	259-009	9	10	3	NP	NP
								9	11	3	NP	NP
		1650	ABM 010-012	100	010 Cates A	10	316-010	4	2	1	NP	NP
								4	5	1	NP	NP
						20	318-010	4	2	1	NP	NP
								4	5	1	NP	NP
				110	011 Berkshire A	10	329-011	10	2	2	NP	NP
								10	12	2	NP	NP
				120	012 Berkshire B	10	307-012	11	2	3	NP	NP
								11	13	3	NP	NP
						20	308-012	11	2	3	NP	NP
								11	13	3	NP	NP
		1660	ABM 013-015	130	013 Duncans C	10	273-013	12	2	1	NP	NP
								12	14	1	NP	NP
				140	014 Garners A	10	303-014	13	2	2	NP	NP
								13	15	2	NP	NP
				150	015 Lawrenceville A	10	208-015	14	2	3	NP	NP
								14	16	3	NP	NP
						20	209-015	14	2	3	NP	NP
								14	16	3	NP	NP
						30	Fed - Cong 015	82	83	3	NP	NP
		1670	ABM 016-018									

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	1670	ABM 016-018	160	016 Lawrenceville B	10	214-016	15	2	1	NP	NP
								15	17	1	NP	NP
						20	215-016	15	2	1	NP	NP
								15	17	1	NP	NP
				170	017 Martins A	10	345-017	16	2	2	NP	NP
								16	18	2	NP	NP
						20	346-017	17	2	2	NP	NP
								17	19	2	NP	NP
				180	018 Martins B	10	236-018	18	2	3	NP	NP
								18	20	3	NP	NP
		1680	ABM 019-021	190	019 Martins C	10	327-019	19	2	1	NP	NP
								19	21	1	NP	NP
				200	020 Pinckneyville A	10	340-020	20	2	2	NP	NP
								20	22	2	NP	NP
				210	021 Pinckneyville B	10	330-021	21	2	3	NP	NP
								21	23	3	NP	NP
		1690	ABM 022-024	220	022 Pinckneyville C	10	247-022	22	2	1	NP	NP
								22	24	1	NP	NP
				230	023 Pinckneyville D	10	342-023	23	2	2	NP	NP
								23	25	2	NP	NP
				240	024 Sugar Hill A	10	255-024	24	11	3	NP	NP
								24	26	3	NP	NP
						20	256-024	25	2	3	NP	NP
								25	27	3	NP	NP
						30	257-024	26	2	3	NP	NP
								26	28	3	NP	NP
						40	258-024	8	2	3	NP	NP
								8	9	3	NP	NP
						50	259-024	9	10	3	NP	NP
								9	11	3	NP	NP
						60	260-024	8	2	3	NP	NP
								8	9	3	NP	NP
						70	263-024	29	2	3	NP	NP
								29	30	3	NP	NP
						80	366-024	27	2	3	NP	NP
								27	29	3	NP	NP
		1700	ABM 025-027									

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	1700	ABM 025-027	250	025 Sugar Hill B	10	259-025	9	10	1	NP	NP
								9	11	1	NP	NP
						20	278-025	27	2	1	NP	NP
								27	29	1	NP	NP
						30	279-025	27	2	1	NP	NP
								27	29	1	NP	NP
						40	280-025	28	2	1	NP	NP
								28	10	1	NP	NP
						50	282-025	28	2	1	NP	NP
								28	10	1	NP	NP
				260	026 Hog Mountain A	10	263-026	29	2	2	NP	NP
								29	30	2	NP	NP
						20	265-026	29	2	2	NP	NP
								29	30	2	NP	NP
				270	027 Hog Mountain B	10	210-027	30	2	3	NP	NP
								30	31	3	NP	NP
						20	229-027	31	2	3	NP	NP
								31	32	3	NP	NP
		1710	ABM 028-030	280	028 Rockycreek A	10	231-028	1	1	1	NP	NP
								1	2	1	NP	NP
						20	234-028	7	2	1	NP	NP
								7	8	1	NP	NP
						30	235-028	7	2	1	NP	NP
								7	8	1	NP	NP
				290	029 Cates B	10	323-029	32	2	2	NP	NP
								32	33	2	NP	NP
				300	030 Cates C	10	312-030	33	2	3	NP	NP
								33	34	3	NP	NP
						20	313-030	33	2	3	NP	NP
								33	34	3	NP	NP
		1720	ABM 031-033	310	031 Hog Mountain D	10	252-031	34	2	1	NP	NP
								34	35	1	NP	NP
				320	032 Berkshire D	10	303-032	13	2	2	NP	NP
								13	15	2	NP	NP
				330	033 Berkshire E	10	303-033	13	2	3	NP	NP
								13	15	3	NP	NP
						20	304-033	13	2	3	NP	NP
								13	15	3	NP	NP
		1730	ABM 034-036									

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	1730	ABM 034-036	340	034 Berkshire F	10	325-034	35	2	1	NP	NP
								35	36	1	NP	NP
						20	326-034	35	2	1	NP	NP
								35	36	1	NP	NP
				350	035 Cates D - 04	10	300-035	36	2	2	NP	NP
								36	37	2	NP	NP
						20	301-035	37	2	2	NP	NP
								37	38	2	NP	NP
						30	302-035	37	2	2	NP	NP
								37	38	2	NP	NP
				351	035 Cates D - 07	10	315 - 035	83	2	4	NP	NP
								83	84	4	NP	NP
				360	036 Cates E	10	300-036	36	2	3	NP	NP
								36	37	3	NP	NP
		1740	ABM 037-039	370	037 Pinckneyville E	10	356-037	22	2	1	NP	NP
								22	24	1	NP	NP
				380	038 Pinckneyville F	10	333-038	38	2	2	NP	NP
								38	39	2	NP	NP
						20	334-038	38	2	2	NP	NP
								38	39	2	NP	NP
				390	039 Duncans D	10	271-039	39	2	3	NP	NP
								39	40	3	NP	NP
						20	272-039	39	2	3	NP	NP
								39	40	3	NP	NP
						30	361-039	39	2	3	NP	NP
								39	40	3	NP	NP
						40	362-039	39	2	3	NP	NP
								39	40	3	NP	NP
						50	363-039	39	2	3	NP	NP
								39	40	3	NP	NP
		1750	ABM 040-042	400	040 Pinckneyville H	20	288-040	40	2	1	NP	NP
								40	41	1	NP	NP
						30	289-040	40	2	1	NP	NP
								40	41	1	NP	NP
						40	358-040	40	2	1	NP	NP
								40	41	1	NP	NP
						50	359-040	40	2	1	NP	NP
								40	41	1	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	1750	ABM 040-042	410	041 Baycreek B	10	201-041	41	2	2	NP	NP
								41	42	2	NP	NP
						20	202-041	41	2	2	NP	NP
								41	42	2	NP	NP
				420	042 Lawrenceville C	10	250-042	42	2	3	NP	NP
								42	43	3	NP	NP
						20	251-042	42	2	3	NP	NP
								42	43	3	NP	NP
		1760	ABM 043-045	430	043 Martins D	10	344-043	43	2	1	NP	NP
								43	44	1	NP	NP
				440	044 Rockbridge B	10	311-044	44	2	2	NP	NP
								44	45	2	NP	NP
				450	045 Garners B	10	311-045	44	2	3	NP	NP
								44	45	3	NP	NP
		1770	ABM 046-048	460	046 Cates F	10	318-046	4	2	1	NP	NP
								4	5	1	NP	NP
				470	047 Cates G	10	321-047	45	2	2	NP	NP
								45	46	2	NP	NP
						20	322-047	45	2	2	NP	NP
								45	46	2	NP	NP
				480	048 Duluth B	10	290-048	46	2	3	NP	NP
								46	47	3	NP	NP
						20	291-048	46	2	3	NP	NP
								46	47	3	NP	NP
		1780	ABM 049-051	490	049 Garners C	10	310-049	47	2	1	NP	NP
								47	48	1	NP	NP
				500	050 Pinckneyville I	10	337-050	48	2	2	NP	NP
								48	49	2	NP	NP
				510	051 Pinckneyville J	10	330-051	21	2	3	NP	NP
								21	23	3	NP	NP
		1790	ABM 052-054	520	052 Berkshire G	10	314-052	49	2	1	NP	NP
								49	50	1	NP	NP
				530	053 Cates H	10	312-053	33	2	2	NP	NP
								33	34	2	NP	NP
						20	313-053	33	2	2	NP	NP
								33	34	2	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	1790	ABM 052-054	540	054 Sugar Hill C	10	259-054	9	10	3	NP	NP
								9	11	3	NP	NP
						20	278-054	27	2	3	NP	NP
								27	29	3	NP	NP
						30	279-054	27	2	3	NP	NP
								27	29	3	NP	NP
						40	280-054	28	2	3	NP	NP
								28	10	3	NP	NP
						50	282-054	28	2	3	NP	NP
								28	10	3	NP	NP
		1800	ABM 055-057	550	055 Sugar Hill D	10	258-055	8	2	1	NP	NP
								8	9	1	NP	NP
						20	259-055	9	10	1	NP	NP
								9	11	1	NP	NP
						30	260-055	8	2	1	NP	NP
								8	9	1	NP	NP
						40	261-055	8	2	1	NP	NP
								8	9	1	NP	NP
						50	280-055	28	2	1	NP	NP
								28	10	1	NP	NP
				560	056 Duluth C	10	285-056	50	2	2	NP	NP
								50	51	2	NP	NP
						20	294-056	6	2	2	NP	NP
								6	7	2	NP	NP
						30	295-056	6	2	2	NP	NP
								6	7	2	NP	NP
				570	057 Duluth D	10	290-057	46	2	3	NP	NP
								46	47	3	NP	NP
						20	291-057	46	2	3	NP	NP
								46	47	3	NP	NP
		1810	ABM 058-060	580	058 Pinckneyville K	10	341-058	51	2	1	NP	NP
								51	52	1	NP	NP
						20	350-058	52	2	1	NP	NP
								52	53	1	NP	NP
				590	059 Pinckneyville N	10	349-059	22	2	2	NP	NP
								22	24	2	NP	NP
						20	356-059	22	2	2	NP	NP
								22	24	2	NP	NP
						30	357-059	22	2	2	NP	NP
								22	24	2	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	1810	ABM 058-060	600	060 Lawrenceville D	10	240-060	53	2	3	NP	NP
								53	54	3	NP	NP
						20	241-060	53	2	3	NP	NP
								53	54	3	NP	NP
						30	242-060	54	2	3	NP	NP
								54	55	3	NP	NP
						40	243-060	54	2	3	NP	NP
								54	55	3	NP	NP
		1820	ABM 061-063	610	061 Lawrenceville E	10	245-061	55	2	1	NP	NP
								55	56	1	NP	NP
						20	249-061	55	2	1	NP	NP
								55	56	1	NP	NP
				620	062 Pinckneyville L	10	247-062	22	2	2	NP	NP
								22	24	2	NP	NP
						20	248-062	22	2	2	NP	NP
								22	24	2	NP	NP
				630	063 Pinckneyville M	0	359-063	40	2	3	NP	NP
								40	41	3	NP	NP
		1830	ABM 064-066	640	064 Garners D	10	309-064	2	2	1	NP	NP
								2	3	1	NP	NP
						20	311-064	44	2	1	NP	NP
								44	45	1	NP	NP
				650	065 Berkshire H	10	305-065	56	2	2	NP	NP
								56	57	2	NP	NP
						20	306-065	56	2	2	NP	NP
								56	57	2	NP	NP
				660	066 Pinckneyville O	10	330-066	21	2	3	NP	NP
								21	23	3	NP	NP
		1840	ABM 067-069	670	067 Pucketts E	10	258-067	8	2	1	NP	NP
								8	9	1	NP	NP
						20	259-067	9	10	1	NP	NP
								9	11	1	NP	NP
				680	068 Cates I	10	319-068	57	2	2	NP	NP
								57	58	2	NP	NP
						20	320-068	57	2	2	NP	NP
								57	58	2	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	1840	ABM 067-069	690	069 Cates J	10	299-069	58	2	3	NP	NP
								58	59	3	NP	NP
						20	300-069	36	2	3	NP	NP
								36	37	3	NP	NP
		1850	ABM 070-072	700	070 Goodwins B	10	266-070	59	2	1	NP	NP
								59	60	1	NP	NP
				710	071 Lawrenceville F	10	242-071	54	2	2	NP	NP
								54	55	2	NP	NP
						20	243-071	54	2	2	NP	NP
								54	55	2	NP	NP
				720	072 Martins E	10	344-072	43	2	3	NP	NP
								43	44	3	NP	NP
		1860	ABM 073-075	730	073 Pinckneyville P	10	247-073	22	2	1	NP	NP
								22	24	1	NP	NP
				740	074 Pinckneyville Q	10	344-074	43	2	2	NP	NP
								43	44	2	NP	NP
				750	075 Rockbridge C	10	309-075	2	2	3	NP	NP
								2	3	3	NP	NP
						20	311-075	44	2	3	NP	NP
								44	45	3	NP	NP
		1870	ABM 076-078	760	076 Rockbridge D	10	201-076	41	2	1	NP	NP
								41	42	1	NP	NP
				770	077 Goodwins C	10	297-077	60	2	2	NP	NP
								60	61	2	NP	NP
				780	078 Baycreek K	10	211-078	61	2	3	NP	NP
								61	62	3	NP	NP
						20	212-078	61	2	3	NP	NP
								61	62	3	NP	NP
		1880	ABM 079-081	790	079 Cates K	10	319-079	57	2	1	NP	NP
								57	58	1	NP	NP
				800	080 Baycreek C	10	233-080	62	2	2	NP	NP
								62	63	2	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	1880	ABM 079-081	810	081 Cates L	10	301-081	37	2	3	NP	NP
								37	38	3	NP	NP
						20	302-081	37	2	3	NP	NP
								37	38	3	NP	NP
						30	312-081	33	2	3	NP	NP
								33	34	3	NP	NP
						40	313-081	33	2	3	NP	NP
								33	34	3	NP	NP
		1890	ABM 082-084	820	082 Duluth E	10	285-082	50	2	1	NP	NP
								50	51	1	NP	NP
				830	083 Goodwins D	10	266-083	59	2	2	NP	NP
								59	60	2	NP	NP
				840	084 Lawrenceville G	10	206-084	63	2	3	NP	NP
								63	64	3	NP	NP
						20	207-084	63	2	3	NP	NP
								63	64	3	NP	NP
		1900	ABM 085-087	850	085 Lawrenceville H	10	250-085	42	2	1	NP	NP
								42	43	1	NP	NP
						20	266-085	59	2	1	NP	NP
								59	60	1	NP	NP
						30	267-085	59	2	1	NP	NP
								59	60	1	NP	NP
				860	086 Martins F	10	344-086	43	2	2	NP	NP
								43	44	2	NP	NP
				870	087 Pinckneyville S	10	337-087	48	2	3	NP	NP
								48	49	3	NP	NP
						20	338-087	48	2	3	NP	NP
								48	49	3	NP	NP
		1910	ABM 088-090	880	088 Rockbridge E	10	311-088	44	2	1	NP	NP
								44	45	1	NP	NP
				890	089 Sugar Hill E	10	253-089	64	2	2	NP	NP
								64	65	2	NP	NP
						20	254-089	64	2	2	NP	NP
								64	65	2	NP	NP
						30	255-089	24	11	2	NP	NP
								24	26	2	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	1910	ABM 088-090	900	090 Lawrenceville I	10	364-090	65	2	3	NP	NP
								65	66	3	NP	NP
						20	365-090	65	2	3	NP	NP
								65	66	3	NP	NP
		1920	ABM 091-093	910	091 Baycreek D	10	211-091	61	2	1	NP	NP
								61	62	1	NP	NP
						20	212-091	61	2	1	NP	NP
								61	62	1	NP	NP
				920	092 Berkshire J	10	303-092	13	2	2	NP	NP
								13	15	2	NP	NP
						20	304-092	13	2	2	NP	NP
								13	15	2	NP	NP
				930	093 Cates M	10	319-093	57	2	3	NP	NP
								57	58	3	NP	NP
		1930	ABM 094-096	940	094 Cates N	10	316-094	4	2	1	NP	NP
								4	5	1	NP	NP
						20	318-094	4	2	1	NP	NP
								4	5	1	NP	NP
				950	095 Duluth F	5	297-095	60	2	2	NP	NP
								60	61	2	NP	NP
						10	352-095	46	2	2	NP	NP
								46	47	2	NP	NP
				960	096 Duluth G	10	294-096	6	2	3	NP	NP
								6	7	3	NP	NP
						20	295-096	6	2	3	NP	NP
								6	7	3	NP	NP
		1940	ABM 097-099	970	097 Goodwins E	10	297-097	60	2	1	NP	NP
								60	61	1	NP	NP
						20	298-097	60	2	1	NP	NP
								60	61	1	NP	NP
				980	098 Goodwins F	10	262-098	66	2	2	NP	NP
								66	67	2	NP	NP
				990	099 Pinckneyville T	10	281-099	67	2	3	NP	NP
								67	68	3	NP	NP
						20	293-099	67	2	3	NP	NP
								67	68	3	NP	NP
						30	360-099	67	2	3	NP	NP
								67	68	3	NP	NP
		1950	ABM 100-102									

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	1950	ABM 100-102	1000	100 Suwanee B	10	263-100	29	2	1	NP	NP
								29	30	1	NP	NP
						20	264-100	29	2	1	NP	NP
								29	30	1	NP	NP
						30	265-100	29	2	1	NP	NP
								29	30	1	NP	NP
				1010	101 Pinckneyville U	10	356-101	22	2	2	NP	NP
								22	24	2	NP	NP
				1020	102 Pucketts B	10	258-102	8	2	3	NP	NP
								8	9	3	NP	NP
						20	259-102	9	10	3	NP	NP
								9	11	3	NP	NP
		1960	ABM 103-105	1030	103 Berkshire L	10	351-103	68	2	1	NP	NP
								68	69	1	NP	NP
				1040	104 Rockbridge F	10	201-104	41	2	2	NP	NP
								41	42	2	NP	NP
				1050	105 Sugar Hill F	10	253-105	64	2	3	NP	NP
								64	65	3	NP	NP
						20	254-105	64	2	3	NP	NP
								64	65	3	NP	NP
		1970	ABM 106-108	1060	106 Suwanee C	10	294-106	6	2	1	NP	NP
								6	7	1	NP	NP
						20	296-106	6	2	1	NP	NP
								6	7	1	NP	NP
				1070	107 Cates O	10	323-107	32	2	2	NP	NP
								32	33	2	NP	NP
				1080	108 Baycreek E	10	203-108	69	2	3	NP	NP
								69	70	3	NP	NP
						20	204-108	69	2	3	NP	NP
								69	70	3	NP	NP
						30	205-108	69	2	3	NP	NP
								69	70	3	NP	NP
		1980	ABM 109-111	1090	109 Berkshire M	10	323-109	32	2	1	NP	NP
								32	33	1	NP	NP
						20	324-109	32	2	1	NP	NP
								32	33	1	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	1980	ABM 109-111	1100	110 Martins G	10	236-110	18	2	2	NP	NP
								18	20	2	NP	NP
						20	237-110	18	2	2	NP	NP
								18	20	2	NP	NP
				1110	111 Duluth H	10	294-111	6	2	3	NP	NP
								6	7	3	NP	NP
						20	295-111	6	2	3	NP	NP
								6	7	3	NP	NP
		1990	ABM 112-114	1120	112 Berkshire N	10	303-112	13	2	1	NP	NP
								13	15	1	NP	NP
				1130	113 Pinckneyville V	10	330-113	21	2	2	NP	NP
								21	23	2	NP	NP
				1140	114 Pinckneyville W	30	336-114	70	2	3	NP	NP
								70	71	3	NP	NP
						40	340-114	20	2	3	NP	NP
								20	22	3	NP	NP
						50	367-114	70	2	3	NP	NP
								70	71	3	NP	NP
		2000	ABM 115-117	1150	115 Martins H	10	244-115	71	2	1	NP	NP
								71	72	1	NP	NP
				1160	116 Martins I	10	345-116	16	2	2	NP	NP
								16	18	2	NP	NP
				1170	117 Martins J	10	345-117	16	2	3	NP	NP
								16	18	3	NP	NP
		2010	ABM 118-120	1180	118 Garners F	10	310-118	47	2	1	NP	NP
								47	48	1	NP	NP
				1190	119 Berkshire O	10	323-119	32	2	2	NP	NP
								32	33	2	NP	NP
				1200	120 Berkshire P	10	328-120	10	2	3	NP	NP
								10	12	3	NP	NP
						20	329-120	10	2	3	NP	NP
								10	12	3	NP	NP
		2020	ABM 121-123	1210	121 Pinckneyville X	10	358-121	40	2	1	NP	NP
								40	41	1	NP	NP
						20	359-121	40	2	1	NP	NP
								40	41	1	NP	NP
				1220	122 Rockbridge G	10	309-122	2	2	2	NP	NP
								2	3	2	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2020	ABM 121-123	1230	123 Pinckneyville Y	10	330-123	21	2	3	NP	NP
								21	23	3	NP	NP
						20	331-123	72	2	3	NP	NP
								72	73	3	NP	NP
		2030	ABM 124-126	1240	124 Goodwins G	10	284-124	73	2	1	NP	NP
								73	74	1	NP	NP
				1250	125 Pinckneyville Z	10	247-125	22	2	2	NP	NP
								22	24	2	NP	NP
						20	248-125	22	2	2	NP	NP
								22	24	2	NP	NP
				1260	126 Pinckneyville A1	10	247-126	22	2	3	NP	NP
								22	24	3	NP	NP
		2040	ABM 127-129	1270	127 Lawrenceville J	10	206-127	63	2	1	NP	NP
								63	64	1	NP	NP
				1280	128 Goodwins H	10	297-128	60	2	2	NP	NP
								60	61	2	NP	NP
				1290	129 Duncans B	10	273-129	12	2	3	NP	NP
								12	14	3	NP	NP
		2050	ABM 130-132	1300	130 Suwanee D	10	278-130	27	2	1	NP	NP
								27	29	1	NP	NP
						20	279-130	27	2	1	NP	NP
								27	29	1	NP	NP
				1310	131 Suwanee E	10	275-131	3	2	2	NP	NP
								3	4	2	NP	NP
						20	276-131	3	2	2	NP	NP
								3	4	2	NP	NP
						30	277-131	3	2	2	NP	NP
								3	4	2	NP	NP
				1320	132 Lawrenceville K	10	266-132	59	2	3	NP	NP
								59	60	3	NP	NP
		2060	ABM 133-135	1330	133 Harbins B	10	231-133	1	1	1	NP	NP
								1	2	1	NP	NP
						20	232-133	1	1	1	NP	NP
								1	2	1	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2060	ABM 133-135	1340	134 Baycreek F	10	223-134	74	2	2	NP	NP
								74	75	2	NP	NP
						20	224-134	74	2	2	NP	NP
								74	75	2	NP	NP
						30	225-134	74	2	2	NP	NP
								74	75	2	NP	NP
				1350	135 Pucketts C	10	268-135	75	2	3	NP	NP
								75	76	3	NP	NP
						20	270-135	75	2	3	NP	NP
								75	76	3	NP	NP
		2070	ABM 136-138	1360	136 Hog Mountain C	10	258-136	8	2	1	NP	NP
								8	9	1	NP	NP
						20	268-136	75	2	1	NP	NP
								75	76	1	NP	NP
				1370	137 Rockycreek B	10	222-137	76	2	2	NP	NP
								76	77	2	NP	NP
				1380	138 Duluth I	10	290-138	46	2	3	NP	NP
								46	47	3	NP	NP
						20	294-138	6	2	3	NP	NP
								6	7	3	NP	NP
						30	295-138	6	2	3	NP	NP
								6	7	3	NP	NP
		2080	ABM 139-141	1390	139 Martins K	10	221-139	77	2	1	NP	NP
								77	78	1	NP	NP
				1400	140 Suwanee H	10	275-140	3	2	2	NP	NP
								3	4	2	NP	NP
						20	277-140	3	2	2	NP	NP
								3	4	2	NP	NP
				1410	141 Sugar Hill G	10	278-141	27	2	3	NP	NP
								27	29	3	NP	NP
						20	279-141	27	2	3	NP	NP
								27	29	3	NP	NP
		2090	ABM 142-144	1420	142 Suwanee F	10	263-142	29	2	1	NP	NP
								29	30	1	NP	NP
						20	265-142	29	2	1	NP	NP
								29	30	1	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2090	ABM 142-144	1430	143 Lawrenceville L	10	216-143	78	2	2	NP	NP
								78	79	2	NP	NP
						20	217-143	78	2	2	NP	NP
								78	79	2	NP	NP
				1440	144 Lawrenceville M	10	240-144	53	2	3	NP	NP
								53	54	3	NP	NP
						50	241-144	53	2	3	NP	NP
								53	54	3	NP	NP
		2100	ABM 145-147	1450	145 Baycreek G	10	226-145	79	2	1	NP	NP
								79	80	1	NP	NP
						20	227-145	79	2	1	NP	NP
								79	80	1	NP	NP
						30	228-145	79	2	1	NP	NP
								79	80	1	NP	NP
				1460	146 Baycreek H	10	211-146	61	2	2	NP	NP
								61	62	2	NP	NP
						20	212-146	61	2	2	NP	NP
								61	62	2	NP	NP
						30	219-146	80	2	2	NP	NP
								80	81	2	NP	NP
						40	220-146	80	2	2	NP	NP
								80	81	2	NP	NP
				1470	147 Baycreek I	10	211-147	61	2	3	NP	NP
								61	62	3	NP	NP
						20	213-147	61	2	3	NP	NP
								61	62	3	NP	NP
		2110	ABM 148-150	1480	148 Berkshire Q	20	303-148	13	2	1	NP	NP
								13	15	1	NP	NP
						30	304-148	13	2	1	NP	NP
								13	15	1	NP	NP
						40	307-148	11	2	1	NP	NP
								11	13	1	NP	NP
						50	308-148	11	2	1	NP	NP
								11	13	1	NP	NP
				1490	149 Goodwins I	10	283-149	5	2	2	NP	NP
								5	6	2	NP	NP
				1500	150 Duluth K	10	352-150	46	2	3	NP	NP
								46	47	3	NP	NP
		2120	ABM 151-153									

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2120	ABM 151-153	1510	151 Harbins C	10	233-151	62	2	1	NP	NP
								62	63	1	NP	NP
				1520	152 Rockycreek C	10	231-152	1	1	2	NP	NP
								1	2	2	NP	NP
				1530	153 Lawrenceville N	10	218-153	81	2	3	NP	NP
								81	82	3	NP	NP
		2130	ABM 154-156	1540	154 Pucketts D	10	268-154	75	2	1	NP	NP
								75	76	1	NP	NP
				1550	155 Suwanee G	10	294-155	6	2	2	NP	NP
								6	7	2	NP	NP
						20	296-155	6	2	2	NP	NP
								6	7	2	NP	NP
				1560	156 Baycreek J	10	203-156	69	2	3	NP	NP
								69	70	3	NP	NP
						20	205-156	69	2	3	NP	NP
								69	70	3	NP	NP
		50	Advance in Person	10	001 Harbins A	10	231-001	1	1	1	NP	NP
								1	2	1	NP	NP
						20	232-001	1	1	1	NP	NP
								1	2	1	NP	NP
						30	233-001	62	2	1	NP	NP
								62	63	1	NP	NP
				20	002 Rockbridge A	10	309-002	2	2	2	NP	NP
								2	3	2	NP	NP
				30	003 Dacula	10	231-003	1	1	3	NP	NP
								1	2	3	NP	NP
						20	232-003	1	1	3	NP	NP
								1	2	3	NP	NP
				40	004 Suwanee A	10	275-004	3	2	4	NP	NP
								3	4	4	NP	NP
						20	276-004	3	2	4	NP	NP
								3	4	4	NP	NP
						30	277-004	3	2	4	NP	NP
								3	4	4	NP	NP
				50	005 Baycreek A	10	316-005	4	2	5	NP	NP
								4	5	5	NP	NP
						20	317-005	4	2	5	NP	NP
								4	5	5	NP	NP
				60	006 Goodwins A	10	283-006	5	2	6	NP	NP
								5	6	6	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	50	Advance in Person	70	007 Duluth A	10	294-007	6	2	7	NP	NP
								6	7	7	NP	NP
						20	295-007	6	2	7	NP	NP
								6	7	7	NP	NP
						30	296-007	6	2	7	NP	NP
								6	7	7	NP	NP
				80	008 Duncans A	10	234-008	7	2	8	NP	NP
								7	8	8	NP	NP
				90	009 Pucketts A	10	258-009	8	2	9	NP	NP
								8	9	9	NP	NP
						20	259-009	9	10	9	NP	NP
								9	11	9	NP	NP
				100	010 Cates A	10	316-010	4	2	10	NP	NP
								4	5	10	NP	NP
						20	318-010	4	2	10	NP	NP
								4	5	10	NP	NP
				110	011 Berkshire A	10	329-011	10	2	11	NP	NP
								10	12	11	NP	NP
				120	012 Berkshire B	10	307-012	11	2	12	NP	NP
								11	13	12	NP	NP
						20	308-012	11	2	12	NP	NP
								11	13	12	NP	NP
				130	013 Duncans C	10	273-013	12	2	13	NP	NP
								12	14	13	NP	NP
				140	014 Garners A	10	303-014	13	2	14	NP	NP
								13	15	14	NP	NP
				150	015 Lawrenceville A	10	208-015	14	2	15	NP	NP
								14	16	15	NP	NP
						20	209-015	14	2	15	NP	NP
								14	16	15	NP	NP
						30	Fed - Cong 015	82	83	15	NP	NP
				160	016 Lawrenceville B	10	214-016	15	2	16	NP	NP
								15	17	16	NP	NP
						20	215-016	15	2	16	NP	NP
								15	17	16	NP	NP
				170	017 Martins A	10	345-017	16	2	17	NP	NP
								16	18	17	NP	NP
						20	346-017	17	2	17	NP	NP
								17	19	17	NP	NP
				180	018 Martins B	10	236-018	18	2	18	NP	NP
								18	20	18	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	50	Advance in Person	190	019 Martins C	10	327-019	19	2	19	NP	NP
								19	21	19	NP	NP
				200	020 Pinckneyville A	10	340-020	20	2	20	NP	NP
								20	22	20	NP	NP
				210	021 Pinckneyville B	10	330-021	21	2	21	NP	NP
								21	23	21	NP	NP
				220	022 Pinckneyville C	10	247-022	22	2	22	NP	NP
								22	24	22	NP	NP
				230	023 Pinckneyville D	10	342-023	23	2	23	NP	NP
								23	25	23	NP	NP
				240	024 Sugar Hill A	10	255-024	24	11	24	NP	NP
								24	26	24	NP	NP
						20	256-024	25	2	24	NP	NP
								25	27	24	NP	NP
						30	257-024	26	2	24	NP	NP
								26	28	24	NP	NP
						40	258-024	8	2	24	NP	NP
								8	9	24	NP	NP
						50	259-024	9	10	24	NP	NP
								9	11	24	NP	NP
						60	260-024	8	2	24	NP	NP
								8	9	24	NP	NP
						70	263-024	29	2	24	NP	NP
								29	30	24	NP	NP
						80	366-024	27	2	24	NP	NP
								27	29	24	NP	NP
				250	025 Sugar Hill B	10	259-025	9	10	25	NP	NP
								9	11	25	NP	NP
						20	278-025	27	2	25	NP	NP
								27	29	25	NP	NP
						30	279-025	27	2	25	NP	NP
								27	29	25	NP	NP
						40	280-025	28	2	25	NP	NP
								28	10	25	NP	NP
						50	282-025	28	2	25	NP	NP
								28	10	25	NP	NP
				260	026 Hog Mountain A	10	263-026	29	2	26	NP	NP
								29	30	26	NP	NP
						20	265-026	29	2	26	NP	NP
								29	30	26	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	50	Advance in Person	270	027 Hog Mountain B	10	210-027	30	2	27	NP	NP
								30	31	27	NP	NP
						20	229-027	31	2	27	NP	NP
								31	32	27	NP	NP
				280	028 Rockycreek A	10	231-028	1	1	28	NP	NP
								1	2	28	NP	NP
						20	234-028	7	2	28	NP	NP
								7	8	28	NP	NP
						30	235-028	7	2	28	NP	NP
								7	8	28	NP	NP
				290	029 Cates B	10	323-029	32	2	29	NP	NP
								32	33	29	NP	NP
				300	030 Cates C	10	312-030	33	2	30	NP	NP
								33	34	30	NP	NP
						20	313-030	33	2	30	NP	NP
								33	34	30	NP	NP
				310	031 Hog Mountain D	10	252-031	34	2	31	NP	NP
								34	35	31	NP	NP
				320	032 Berkshire D	10	303-032	13	2	32	NP	NP
								13	15	32	NP	NP
				330	033 Berkshire E	10	303-033	13	2	33	NP	NP
								13	15	33	NP	NP
						20	304-033	13	2	33	NP	NP
								13	15	33	NP	NP
				340	034 Berkshire F	10	325-034	35	2	34	NP	NP
								35	36	34	NP	NP
						20	326-034	35	2	34	NP	NP
								35	36	34	NP	NP
				350	035 Cates D - 04	10	300-035	36	2	35	NP	NP
								36	37	35	NP	NP
						20	301-035	37	2	35	NP	NP
								37	38	35	NP	NP
						30	302-035	37	2	35	NP	NP
								37	38	35	NP	NP
				351	035 Cates D - 07	10	315 - 035	83	2	157	NP	NP
								83	84	157	NP	NP
				360	036 Cates E	10	300-036	36	2	36	NP	NP
								36	37	36	NP	NP
				370	037 Pinckneyville E	10	356-037	22	2	37	NP	NP
								22	24	37	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	50	Advance in Person	380	038 Pinckneyville F	10	333-038	38	2	38	NP	NP
								38	39	38	NP	NP
						20	334-038	38	2	38	NP	NP
								38	39	38	NP	NP
				390	039 Duncans D	10	271-039	39	2	39	NP	NP
								39	40	39	NP	NP
						20	272-039	39	2	39	NP	NP
								39	40	39	NP	NP
						30	361-039	39	2	39	NP	NP
								39	40	39	NP	NP
						40	362-039	39	2	39	NP	NP
								39	40	39	NP	NP
						50	363-039	39	2	39	NP	NP
								39	40	39	NP	NP
				400	040 Pinckneyville H	20	288-040	40	2	40	NP	NP
								40	41	40	NP	NP
						30	289-040	40	2	40	NP	NP
								40	41	40	NP	NP
						40	358-040	40	2	40	NP	NP
								40	41	40	NP	NP
						50	359-040	40	2	40	NP	NP
								40	41	40	NP	NP
				410	041 Baycreek B	10	201-041	41	2	41	NP	NP
								41	42	41	NP	NP
						20	202-041	41	2	41	NP	NP
								41	42	41	NP	NP
				420	042 Lawrenceville C	10	250-042	42	2	42	NP	NP
								42	43	42	NP	NP
						20	251-042	42	2	42	NP	NP
								42	43	42	NP	NP
				430	043 Martins D	10	344-043	43	2	43	NP	NP
								43	44	43	NP	NP
				440	044 Rockbridge B	10	311-044	44	2	44	NP	NP
								44	45	44	NP	NP
				450	045 Garners B	10	311-045	44	2	45	NP	NP
								44	45	45	NP	NP
				460	046 Cates F	10	318-046	4	2	46	NP	NP
								4	5	46	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	50	Advance in Person	470	047 Cates G	10	321-047	45	2	47	NP	NP
								45	46	47	NP	NP
						20	322-047	45	2	47	NP	NP
								45	46	47	NP	NP
				480	048 Duluth B	10	290-048	46	2	48	NP	NP
								46	47	48	NP	NP
						20	291-048	46	2	48	NP	NP
								46	47	48	NP	NP
				490	049 Garners C	10	310-049	47	2	49	NP	NP
								47	48	49	NP	NP
				500	050 Pinckneyville I	10	337-050	48	2	50	NP	NP
								48	49	50	NP	NP
				510	051 Pinckneyville J	10	330-051	21	2	51	NP	NP
								21	23	51	NP	NP
				520	052 Berkshire G	10	314-052	49	2	52	NP	NP
								49	50	52	NP	NP
				530	053 Cates H	10	312-053	33	2	53	NP	NP
								33	34	53	NP	NP
						20	313-053	33	2	53	NP	NP
								33	34	53	NP	NP
				540	054 Sugar Hill C	10	259-054	9	10	54	NP	NP
								9	11	54	NP	NP
						20	278-054	27	2	54	NP	NP
								27	29	54	NP	NP
						30	279-054	27	2	54	NP	NP
								27	29	54	NP	NP
						40	280-054	28	2	54	NP	NP
								28	10	54	NP	NP
						50	282-054	28	2	54	NP	NP
								28	10	54	NP	NP
				550	055 Sugar Hill D	10	258-055	8	2	55	NP	NP
								8	9	55	NP	NP
						20	259-055	9	10	55	NP	NP
								9	11	55	NP	NP
						30	260-055	8	2	55	NP	NP
								8	9	55	NP	NP
						40	261-055	8	2	55	NP	NP
								8	9	55	NP	NP
						50	280-055	28	2	55	NP	NP
								28	10	55	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	50	Advance in Person	560	056 Duluth C	10	285-056	50	2	56	NP	NP
								50	51	56	NP	NP
						20	294-056	6	2	56	NP	NP
								6	7	56	NP	NP
						30	295-056	6	2	56	NP	NP
								6	7	56	NP	NP
				570	057 Duluth D	10	290-057	46	2	57	NP	NP
								46	47	57	NP	NP
						20	291-057	46	2	57	NP	NP
								46	47	57	NP	NP
				580	058 Pinckneyville K	10	341-058	51	2	58	NP	NP
								51	52	58	NP	NP
						20	350-058	52	2	58	NP	NP
								52	53	58	NP	NP
				590	059 Pinckneyville N	10	349-059	22	2	59	NP	NP
								22	24	59	NP	NP
						20	356-059	22	2	59	NP	NP
								22	24	59	NP	NP
						30	357-059	22	2	59	NP	NP
								22	24	59	NP	NP
				600	060 Lawrenceville D	10	240-060	53	2	60	NP	NP
								53	54	60	NP	NP
						20	241-060	53	2	60	NP	NP
								53	54	60	NP	NP
						30	242-060	54	2	60	NP	NP
								54	55	60	NP	NP
						40	243-060	54	2	60	NP	NP
								54	55	60	NP	NP
				610	061 Lawrenceville E	10	245-061	55	2	61	NP	NP
								55	56	61	NP	NP
						20	249-061	55	2	61	NP	NP
								55	56	61	NP	NP
				620	062 Pinckneyville L	10	247-062	22	2	62	NP	NP
								22	24	62	NP	NP
						20	248-062	22	2	62	NP	NP
								22	24	62	NP	NP
				630	063 Pinckneyville M	0	359-063	40	2	63	NP	NP
								40	41	63	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	50	Advance in Person	640	064 Garners D	10	309-064	2	2	64	NP	NP
								2	3	64	NP	NP
						20	311-064	44	2	64	NP	NP
								44	45	64	NP	NP
				650	065 Berkshire H	10	305-065	56	2	65	NP	NP
								56	57	65	NP	NP
						20	306-065	56	2	65	NP	NP
								56	57	65	NP	NP
				660	066 Pinckneyville O	10	330-066	21	2	66	NP	NP
								21	23	66	NP	NP
				670	067 Pucketts E	10	258-067	8	2	67	NP	NP
								8	9	67	NP	NP
						20	259-067	9	10	67	NP	NP
								9	11	67	NP	NP
				680	068 Cates I	10	319-068	57	2	68	NP	NP
								57	58	68	NP	NP
						20	320-068	57	2	68	NP	NP
								57	58	68	NP	NP
				690	069 Cates J	10	299-069	58	2	69	NP	NP
								58	59	69	NP	NP
						20	300-069	36	2	69	NP	NP
								36	37	69	NP	NP
				700	070 Goodwins B	10	266-070	59	2	70	NP	NP
								59	60	70	NP	NP
				710	071 Lawrenceville F	10	242-071	54	2	71	NP	NP
								54	55	71	NP	NP
						20	243-071	54	2	71	NP	NP
								54	55	71	NP	NP
				720	072 Martins E	10	344-072	43	2	72	NP	NP
								43	44	72	NP	NP
				730	073 Pinckneyville P	10	247-073	22	2	73	NP	NP
								22	24	73	NP	NP
				740	074 Pinckneyville Q	10	344-074	43	2	74	NP	NP
								43	44	74	NP	NP
				750	075 Rockbridge C	10	309-075	2	2	75	NP	NP
								2	3	75	NP	NP
						20	311-075	44	2	75	NP	NP
								44	45	75	NP	NP
				760	076 Rockbridge D	10	201-076	41	2	76	NP	NP
								41	42	76	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	50	Advance in Person	770	077 Goodwins C	10	297-077	60	2	77	NP	NP
								60	61	77	NP	NP
				780	078 Baycreek K	10	211-078	61	2	78	NP	NP
								61	62	78	NP	NP
						20	212-078	61	2	78	NP	NP
								61	62	78	NP	NP
				790	079 Cates K	10	319-079	57	2	79	NP	NP
								57	58	79	NP	NP
				800	080 Baycreek C	10	233-080	62	2	80	NP	NP
								62	63	80	NP	NP
				810	081 Cates L	10	301-081	37	2	81	NP	NP
								37	38	81	NP	NP
						20	302-081	37	2	81	NP	NP
								37	38	81	NP	NP
						30	312-081	33	2	81	NP	NP
								33	34	81	NP	NP
						40	313-081	33	2	81	NP	NP
								33	34	81	NP	NP
				820	082 Duluth E	10	285-082	50	2	82	NP	NP
								50	51	82	NP	NP
				830	083 Goodwins D	10	266-083	59	2	83	NP	NP
								59	60	83	NP	NP
				840	084 Lawrenceville G	10	206-084	63	2	84	NP	NP
								63	64	84	NP	NP
						20	207-084	63	2	84	NP	NP
								63	64	84	NP	NP
				850	085 Lawrenceville H	10	250-085	42	2	85	NP	NP
								42	43	85	NP	NP
						20	266-085	59	2	85	NP	NP
								59	60	85	NP	NP
						30	267-085	59	2	85	NP	NP
								59	60	85	NP	NP
				860	086 Martins F	10	344-086	43	2	86	NP	NP
								43	44	86	NP	NP
				870	087 Pinckneyville S	10	337-087	48	2	87	NP	NP
								48	49	87	NP	NP
						20	338-087	48	2	87	NP	NP
								48	49	87	NP	NP
				880	088 Rockbridge E	10	311-088	44	2	88	NP	NP
								44	45	88	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	50	Advance in Person	890	089 Sugar Hill E	10	253-089	64	2	89	NP	NP
								64	65	89	NP	NP
						20	254-089	64	2	89	NP	NP
								64	65	89	NP	NP
						30	255-089	24	11	89	NP	NP
								24	26	89	NP	NP
				900	090 Lawrenceville I	10	364-090	65	2	90	NP	NP
								65	66	90	NP	NP
						20	365-090	65	2	90	NP	NP
								65	66	90	NP	NP
				910	091 Baycreek D	10	211-091	61	2	91	NP	NP
								61	62	91	NP	NP
						20	212-091	61	2	91	NP	NP
								61	62	91	NP	NP
				920	092 Berkshire J	10	303-092	13	2	92	NP	NP
								13	15	92	NP	NP
						20	304-092	13	2	92	NP	NP
								13	15	92	NP	NP
				930	093 Cates M	10	319-093	57	2	93	NP	NP
								57	58	93	NP	NP
				940	094 Cates N	10	316-094	4	2	94	NP	NP
								4	5	94	NP	NP
						20	318-094	4	2	94	NP	NP
								4	5	94	NP	NP
				950	095 Duluth F	5	297-095	60	2	95	NP	NP
								60	61	95	NP	NP
						10	352-095	46	2	95	NP	NP
								46	47	95	NP	NP
				960	096 Duluth G	10	294-096	6	2	96	NP	NP
								6	7	96	NP	NP
						20	295-096	6	2	96	NP	NP
								6	7	96	NP	NP
				970	097 Goodwins E	10	297-097	60	2	97	NP	NP
								60	61	97	NP	NP
						20	298-097	60	2	97	NP	NP
								60	61	97	NP	NP
				980	098 Goodwins F	10	262-098	66	2	98	NP	NP
								66	67	98	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	50	Advance in Person	990	099 Pinckneyville T	10	281-099	67	2	99	NP	NP
								67	68	99	NP	NP
						20	293-099	67	2	99	NP	NP
								67	68	99	NP	NP
						30	360-099	67	2	99	NP	NP
								67	68	99	NP	NP
				1000	100 Suwanee B	10	263-100	29	2	100	NP	NP
								29	30	100	NP	NP
						20	264-100	29	2	100	NP	NP
								29	30	100	NP	NP
						30	265-100	29	2	100	NP	NP
								29	30	100	NP	NP
				1010	101 Pinckneyville U	10	356-101	22	2	101	NP	NP
								22	24	101	NP	NP
				1020	102 Pucketts B	10	258-102	8	2	102	NP	NP
								8	9	102	NP	NP
						20	259-102	9	10	102	NP	NP
								9	11	102	NP	NP
				1030	103 Berkshire L	10	351-103	68	2	103	NP	NP
								68	69	103	NP	NP
				1040	104 Rockbridge F	10	201-104	41	2	104	NP	NP
								41	42	104	NP	NP
				1050	105 Sugar Hill F	10	253-105	64	2	105	NP	NP
								64	65	105	NP	NP
						20	254-105	64	2	105	NP	NP
								64	65	105	NP	NP
				1060	106 Suwanee C	10	294-106	6	2	106	NP	NP
								6	7	106	NP	NP
						20	296-106	6	2	106	NP	NP
								6	7	106	NP	NP
				1070	107 Cates O	10	323-107	32	2	107	NP	NP
								32	33	107	NP	NP
				1080	108 Baycreek E	10	203-108	69	2	108	NP	NP
								69	70	108	NP	NP
						20	204-108	69	2	108	NP	NP
								69	70	108	NP	NP
						30	205-108	69	2	108	NP	NP
								69	70	108	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	50	Advance in Person	1090	109 Berkshire M	10	323-109	32	2	109	NP	NP
								32	33	109	NP	NP
						20	324-109	32	2	109	NP	NP
								32	33	109	NP	NP
				1100	110 Martins G	10	236-110	18	2	110	NP	NP
								18	20	110	NP	NP
						20	237-110	18	2	110	NP	NP
								18	20	110	NP	NP
				1110	111 Duluth H	10	294-111	6	2	111	NP	NP
								6	7	111	NP	NP
						20	295-111	6	2	111	NP	NP
								6	7	111	NP	NP
				1120	112 Berkshire N	10	303-112	13	2	112	NP	NP
								13	15	112	NP	NP
				1130	113 Pinckneyville V	10	330-113	21	2	113	NP	NP
								21	23	113	NP	NP
				1140	114 Pinckneyville W	30	336-114	70	2	114	NP	NP
								70	71	114	NP	NP
						40	340-114	20	2	114	NP	NP
								20	22	114	NP	NP
						50	367-114	70	2	114	NP	NP
								70	71	114	NP	NP
				1150	115 Martins H	10	244-115	71	2	115	NP	NP
								71	72	115	NP	NP
				1160	116 Martins I	10	345-116	16	2	116	NP	NP
								16	18	116	NP	NP
				1170	117 Martins J	10	345-117	16	2	117	NP	NP
								16	18	117	NP	NP
				1180	118 Garners F	10	310-118	47	2	118	NP	NP
								47	48	118	NP	NP
				1190	119 Berkshire O	10	323-119	32	2	119	NP	NP
								32	33	119	NP	NP
				1200	120 Berkshire P	10	328-120	10	2	120	NP	NP
								10	12	120	NP	NP
						20	329-120	10	2	120	NP	NP
								10	12	120	NP	NP
				1210	121 Pinckneyville X	10	358-121	40	2	121	NP	NP
								40	41	121	NP	NP
						20	359-121	40	2	121	NP	NP
								40	41	121	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	50	Advance in Person	1220	122 Rockbridge G	10	309-122	2	2	122	NP	NP
								2	3	122	NP	NP
				1230	123 Pinckneyville Y	10	330-123	21	2	123	NP	NP
								21	23	123	NP	NP
						20	331-123	72	2	123	NP	NP
								72	73	123	NP	NP
				1240	124 Goodwins G	10	284-124	73	2	124	NP	NP
								73	74	124	NP	NP
				1250	125 Pinckneyville Z	10	247-125	22	2	125	NP	NP
								22	24	125	NP	NP
						20	248-125	22	2	125	NP	NP
								22	24	125	NP	NP
				1260	126 Pinckneyville A1	10	247-126	22	2	126	NP	NP
								22	24	126	NP	NP
				1270	127 Lawrenceville J	10	206-127	63	2	127	NP	NP
								63	64	127	NP	NP
				1280	128 Goodwins H	10	297-128	60	2	128	NP	NP
								60	61	128	NP	NP
				1290	129 Duncans B	10	273-129	12	2	129	NP	NP
								12	14	129	NP	NP
				1300	130 Suwanee D	10	278-130	27	2	130	NP	NP
								27	29	130	NP	NP
						20	279-130	27	2	130	NP	NP
								27	29	130	NP	NP
				1310	131 Suwanee E	10	275-131	3	2	131	NP	NP
								3	4	131	NP	NP
						20	276-131	3	2	131	NP	NP
								3	4	131	NP	NP
						30	277-131	3	2	131	NP	NP
								3	4	131	NP	NP
				1320	132 Lawrenceville K	10	266-132	59	2	132	NP	NP
								59	60	132	NP	NP
				1330	133 Harbins B	10	231-133	1	1	133	NP	NP
								1	2	133	NP	NP
						20	232-133	1	1	133	NP	NP
								1	2	133	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	50	Advance in Person	1340	134 Baycreek F	10	223-134	74	2	134	NP	NP
								74	75	134	NP	NP
						20	224-134	74	2	134	NP	NP
								74	75	134	NP	NP
						30	225-134	74	2	134	NP	NP
								74	75	134	NP	NP
				1350	135 Pucketts C	10	268-135	75	2	135	NP	NP
								75	76	135	NP	NP
						20	270-135	75	2	135	NP	NP
								75	76	135	NP	NP
				1360	136 Hog Mountain C	10	258-136	8	2	136	NP	NP
								8	9	136	NP	NP
						20	268-136	75	2	136	NP	NP
								75	76	136	NP	NP
				1370	137 Rockycreek B	10	222-137	76	2	137	NP	NP
								76	77	137	NP	NP
				1380	138 Duluth I	10	290-138	46	2	138	NP	NP
								46	47	138	NP	NP
						20	294-138	6	2	138	NP	NP
								6	7	138	NP	NP
						30	295-138	6	2	138	NP	NP
								6	7	138	NP	NP
				1390	139 Martins K	10	221-139	77	2	139	NP	NP
								77	78	139	NP	NP
				1400	140 Suwanee H	10	275-140	3	2	140	NP	NP
								3	4	140	NP	NP
						20	277-140	3	2	140	NP	NP
								3	4	140	NP	NP
				1410	141 Sugar Hill G	10	278-141	27	2	141	NP	NP
								27	29	141	NP	NP
						20	279-141	27	2	141	NP	NP
								27	29	141	NP	NP
				1420	142 Suwanee F	10	263-142	29	2	142	NP	NP
								29	30	142	NP	NP
						20	265-142	29	2	142	NP	NP
								29	30	142	NP	NP
				1430	143 Lawrenceville L	10	216-143	78	2	143	NP	NP
								78	79	143	NP	NP
						20	217-143	78	2	143	NP	NP
								78	79	143	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	50	Advance in Person	1440	144 Lawrenceville M	10	240-144	53	2	144	NP	NP
								53	54	144	NP	NP
						50	241-144	53	2	144	NP	NP
								53	54	144	NP	NP
				1450	145 Baycreek G	10	226-145	79	2	145	NP	NP
								79	80	145	NP	NP
						20	227-145	79	2	145	NP	NP
								79	80	145	NP	NP
						30	228-145	79	2	145	NP	NP
								79	80	145	NP	NP
				1460	146 Baycreek H	10	211-146	61	2	146	NP	NP
								61	62	146	NP	NP
						20	212-146	61	2	146	NP	NP
								61	62	146	NP	NP
						30	219-146	80	2	146	NP	NP
								80	81	146	NP	NP
						40	220-146	80	2	146	NP	NP
								80	81	146	NP	NP
				1470	147 Baycreek I	10	211-147	61	2	147	NP	NP
								61	62	147	NP	NP
						20	213-147	61	2	147	NP	NP
								61	62	147	NP	NP
				1480	148 Berkshire Q	20	303-148	13	2	148	NP	NP
								13	15	148	NP	NP
						30	304-148	13	2	148	NP	NP
								13	15	148	NP	NP
						40	307-148	11	2	148	NP	NP
								11	13	148	NP	NP
						50	308-148	11	2	148	NP	NP
								11	13	148	NP	NP
				1490	149 Goodwins I	10	283-149	5	2	149	NP	NP
								5	6	149	NP	NP
				1500	150 Duluth K	10	352-150	46	2	150	NP	NP
								46	47	150	NP	NP
				1510	151 Harbins C	10	233-151	62	2	151	NP	NP
								62	63	151	NP	NP
				1520	152 Rockycreek C	10	231-152	1	1	152	NP	NP
								1	2	152	NP	NP
				1530	153 Lawrenceville N	10	218-153	81	2	153	NP	NP
								81	82	153	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	50	Advance in Person	1540	154 Pucketts D	10	268-154	75	2	154	NP	NP
								75	76	154	NP	NP
				1550	155 Suwanee G	10	294-155	6	2	155	NP	NP
								6	7	155	NP	NP
						20	296-155	6	2	155	NP	NP
								6	7	155	NP	NP
				1560	156 Baycreek J	10	203-156	69	2	156	NP	NP
								69	70	156	NP	NP
						20	205-156	69	2	156	NP	NP
								69	70	156	NP	NP
		2250	Advance in Person 2	10	001 Harbins A	10	231-001	1	1	1	NP	NP
								1	2	1	NP	NP
						20	232-001	1	1	1	NP	NP
								1	2	1	NP	NP
						30	233-001	62	2	1	NP	NP
								62	63	1	NP	NP
				20	002 Rockbridge A	10	309-002	2	2	2	NP	NP
								2	3	2	NP	NP
				30	003 Dacula	10	231-003	1	1	3	NP	NP
								1	2	3	NP	NP
						20	232-003	1	1	3	NP	NP
								1	2	3	NP	NP
				40	004 Suwanee A	10	275-004	3	2	4	NP	NP
								3	4	4	NP	NP
						20	276-004	3	2	4	NP	NP
								3	4	4	NP	NP
						30	277-004	3	2	4	NP	NP
								3	4	4	NP	NP
				50	005 Baycreek A	10	316-005	4	2	5	NP	NP
								4	5	5	NP	NP
						20	317-005	4	2	5	NP	NP
								4	5	5	NP	NP
				60	006 Goodwins A	10	283-006	5	2	6	NP	NP
								5	6	6	NP	NP
				70	007 Duluth A	10	294-007	6	2	7	NP	NP
								6	7	7	NP	NP
						20	295-007	6	2	7	NP	NP
								6	7	7	NP	NP
						30	296-007	6	2	7	NP	NP
								6	7	7	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2250	Advance in Person 2	80	008 Duncans A	10	234-008	7	2	8	NP	NP
								7	8	8	NP	NP
				90	009 Pucketts A	10	258-009	8	2	9	NP	NP
								8	9	9	NP	NP
						20	259-009	9	10	9	NP	NP
								9	11	9	NP	NP
				100	010 Cates A	10	316-010	4	2	10	NP	NP
								4	5	10	NP	NP
						20	318-010	4	2	10	NP	NP
								4	5	10	NP	NP
				110	011 Berkshire A	10	329-011	10	2	11	NP	NP
								10	12	11	NP	NP
				120	012 Berkshire B	10	307-012	11	2	12	NP	NP
								11	13	12	NP	NP
						20	308-012	11	2	12	NP	NP
								11	13	12	NP	NP
				130	013 Duncans C	10	273-013	12	2	13	NP	NP
								12	14	13	NP	NP
				140	014 Garners A	10	303-014	13	2	14	NP	NP
								13	15	14	NP	NP
				150	015 Lawrenceville A	10	208-015	14	2	15	NP	NP
								14	16	15	NP	NP
						20	209-015	14	2	15	NP	NP
								14	16	15	NP	NP
						30	Fed - Cong 015	82	83	15	NP	NP
				160	016 Lawrenceville B	10	214-016	15	2	16	NP	NP
								15	17	16	NP	NP
						20	215-016	15	2	16	NP	NP
								15	17	16	NP	NP
				170	017 Martins A	10	345-017	16	2	17	NP	NP
								16	18	17	NP	NP
						20	346-017	17	2	17	NP	NP
								17	19	17	NP	NP
				180	018 Martins B	10	236-018	18	2	18	NP	NP
								18	20	18	NP	NP
				190	019 Martins C	10	327-019	19	2	19	NP	NP
								19	21	19	NP	NP
				200	020 Pinckneyville A	10	340-020	20	2	20	NP	NP
								20	22	20	NP	NP
				210	021 Pinckneyville B	10	330-021	21	2	21	NP	NP
								21	23	21	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2250	Advance in Person 2	220	022 Pinckneyville C	10	247-022	22	2	22	NP	NP
								22	24	22	NP	NP
				230	023 Pinckneyville D	10	342-023	23	2	23	NP	NP
								23	25	23	NP	NP
				240	024 Sugar Hill A	10	255-024	24	11	24	NP	NP
								24	26	24	NP	NP
						20	256-024	25	2	24	NP	NP
								25	27	24	NP	NP
						30	257-024	26	2	24	NP	NP
								26	28	24	NP	NP
						40	258-024	8	2	24	NP	NP
								8	9	24	NP	NP
						50	259-024	9	10	24	NP	NP
								9	11	24	NP	NP
						60	260-024	8	2	24	NP	NP
								8	9	24	NP	NP
						70	263-024	29	2	24	NP	NP
								29	30	24	NP	NP
						80	366-024	27	2	24	NP	NP
								27	29	24	NP	NP
				250	025 Sugar Hill B	10	259-025	9	10	25	NP	NP
								9	11	25	NP	NP
						20	278-025	27	2	25	NP	NP
								27	29	25	NP	NP
						30	279-025	27	2	25	NP	NP
								27	29	25	NP	NP
						40	280-025	28	2	25	NP	NP
								28	10	25	NP	NP
						50	282-025	28	2	25	NP	NP
								28	10	25	NP	NP
				260	026 Hog Mountain A	10	263-026	29	2	26	NP	NP
								29	30	26	NP	NP
						20	265-026	29	2	26	NP	NP
								29	30	26	NP	NP
				270	027 Hog Mountain B	10	210-027	30	2	27	NP	NP
								30	31	27	NP	NP
						20	229-027	31	2	27	NP	NP
								31	32	27	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2250	Advance in Person 2	280	028 Rockycreek A	10	231-028	1	1	28	NP	NP
								1	2	28	NP	NP
						20	234-028	7	2	28	NP	NP
								7	8	28	NP	NP
						30	235-028	7	2	28	NP	NP
								7	8	28	NP	NP
				290	029 Cates B	10	323-029	32	2	29	NP	NP
								32	33	29	NP	NP
				300	030 Cates C	10	312-030	33	2	30	NP	NP
								33	34	30	NP	NP
						20	313-030	33	2	30	NP	NP
								33	34	30	NP	NP
				310	031 Hog Mountain D	10	252-031	34	2	31	NP	NP
								34	35	31	NP	NP
				320	032 Berkshire D	10	303-032	13	2	32	NP	NP
								13	15	32	NP	NP
				330	033 Berkshire E	10	303-033	13	2	33	NP	NP
								13	15	33	NP	NP
						20	304-033	13	2	33	NP	NP
								13	15	33	NP	NP
				340	034 Berkshire F	10	325-034	35	2	34	NP	NP
								35	36	34	NP	NP
						20	326-034	35	2	34	NP	NP
								35	36	34	NP	NP
				350	035 Cates D - 04	10	300-035	36	2	35	NP	NP
								36	37	35	NP	NP
						20	301-035	37	2	35	NP	NP
								37	38	35	NP	NP
						30	302-035	37	2	35	NP	NP
								37	38	35	NP	NP
				351	035 Cates D - 07	10	315 - 035	83	2	36	NP	NP
								83	84	36	NP	NP
				360	036 Cates E	10	300-036	36	2	37	NP	NP
								36	37	37	NP	NP
				370	037 Pinckneyville E	10	356-037	22	2	38	NP	NP
								22	24	38	NP	NP
				380	038 Pinckneyville F	10	333-038	38	2	39	NP	NP
								38	39	39	NP	NP
						20	334-038	38	2	39	NP	NP
								38	39	39	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2250	Advance in Person 2	390	039 Duncans D	10	271-039	39	2	40	NP	NP
								39	40	40	NP	NP
						20	272-039	39	2	40	NP	NP
								39	40	40	NP	NP
						30	361-039	39	2	40	NP	NP
								39	40	40	NP	NP
						40	362-039	39	2	40	NP	NP
								39	40	40	NP	NP
						50	363-039	39	2	40	NP	NP
								39	40	40	NP	NP
				400	040 Pinckneyville H	20	288-040	40	2	41	NP	NP
								40	41	41	NP	NP
						30	289-040	40	2	41	NP	NP
								40	41	41	NP	NP
						40	358-040	40	2	41	NP	NP
								40	41	41	NP	NP
						50	359-040	40	2	41	NP	NP
								40	41	41	NP	NP
				410	041 Baycreek B	10	201-041	41	2	42	NP	NP
								41	42	42	NP	NP
						20	202-041	41	2	42	NP	NP
								41	42	42	NP	NP
				420	042 Lawrenceville C	10	250-042	42	2	43	NP	NP
								42	43	43	NP	NP
						20	251-042	42	2	43	NP	NP
								42	43	43	NP	NP
				430	043 Martins D	10	344-043	43	2	44	NP	NP
								43	44	44	NP	NP
				440	044 Rockbridge B	10	311-044	44	2	45	NP	NP
								44	45	45	NP	NP
				450	045 Garners B	10	311-045	44	2	46	NP	NP
								44	45	46	NP	NP
				460	046 Cates F	10	318-046	4	2	47	NP	NP
								4	5	47	NP	NP
				470	047 Cates G	10	321-047	45	2	48	NP	NP
								45	46	48	NP	NP
						20	322-047	45	2	48	NP	NP
								45	46	48	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2250	Advance in Person 2	480	048 Duluth B	10	290-048	46	2	49	NP	NP
								46	47	49	NP	NP
						20	291-048	46	2	49	NP	NP
								46	47	49	NP	NP
				490	049 Garners C	10	310-049	47	2	50	NP	NP
								47	48	50	NP	NP
				500	050 Pinckneyville I	10	337-050	48	2	51	NP	NP
								48	49	51	NP	NP
				510	051 Pinckneyville J	10	330-051	21	2	52	NP	NP
								21	23	52	NP	NP
				520	052 Berkshire G	10	314-052	49	2	53	NP	NP
								49	50	53	NP	NP
				530	053 Cates H	10	312-053	33	2	54	NP	NP
								33	34	54	NP	NP
						20	313-053	33	2	54	NP	NP
								33	34	54	NP	NP
				540	054 Sugar Hill C	10	259-054	9	10	55	NP	NP
								9	11	55	NP	NP
						20	278-054	27	2	55	NP	NP
								27	29	55	NP	NP
						30	279-054	27	2	55	NP	NP
								27	29	55	NP	NP
						40	280-054	28	2	55	NP	NP
								28	10	55	NP	NP
						50	282-054	28	2	55	NP	NP
								28	10	55	NP	NP
				550	055 Sugar Hill D	10	258-055	8	2	56	NP	NP
								8	9	56	NP	NP
						20	259-055	9	10	56	NP	NP
								9	11	56	NP	NP
						30	260-055	8	2	56	NP	NP
								8	9	56	NP	NP
						40	261-055	8	2	56	NP	NP
								8	9	56	NP	NP
						50	280-055	28	2	56	NP	NP
								28	10	56	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2250	Advance in Person 2	560	056 Duluth C	10	285-056	50	2	57	NP	NP
								50	51	57	NP	NP
						20	294-056	6	2	57	NP	NP
								6	7	57	NP	NP
						30	295-056	6	2	57	NP	NP
								6	7	57	NP	NP
				570	057 Duluth D	10	290-057	46	2	58	NP	NP
								46	47	58	NP	NP
						20	291-057	46	2	58	NP	NP
								46	47	58	NP	NP
				580	058 Pinckneyville K	10	341-058	51	2	59	NP	NP
								51	52	59	NP	NP
						20	350-058	52	2	59	NP	NP
								52	53	59	NP	NP
				590	059 Pinckneyville N	10	349-059	22	2	60	NP	NP
								22	24	60	NP	NP
						20	356-059	22	2	60	NP	NP
								22	24	60	NP	NP
						30	357-059	22	2	60	NP	NP
								22	24	60	NP	NP
				600	060 Lawrenceville D	10	240-060	53	2	61	NP	NP
								53	54	61	NP	NP
						20	241-060	53	2	61	NP	NP
								53	54	61	NP	NP
						30	242-060	54	2	61	NP	NP
								54	55	61	NP	NP
						40	243-060	54	2	61	NP	NP
								54	55	61	NP	NP
				610	061 Lawrenceville E	10	245-061	55	2	62	NP	NP
								55	56	62	NP	NP
						20	249-061	55	2	62	NP	NP
								55	56	62	NP	NP
				620	062 Pinckneyville L	10	247-062	22	2	63	NP	NP
								22	24	63	NP	NP
						20	248-062	22	2	63	NP	NP
								22	24	63	NP	NP
				630	063 Pinckneyville M	0	359-063	40	2	64	NP	NP
								40	41	64	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2250	Advance in Person 2	640	064 Garners D	10	309-064	2	2	65	NP	NP
								2	3	65	NP	NP
						20	311-064	44	2	65	NP	NP
								44	45	65	NP	NP
				650	065 Berkshire H	10	305-065	56	2	66	NP	NP
								56	57	66	NP	NP
						20	306-065	56	2	66	NP	NP
								56	57	66	NP	NP
				660	066 Pinckneyville O	10	330-066	21	2	67	NP	NP
								21	23	67	NP	NP
				670	067 Pucketts E	10	258-067	8	2	68	NP	NP
								8	9	68	NP	NP
						20	259-067	9	10	68	NP	NP
								9	11	68	NP	NP
				680	068 Cates I	10	319-068	57	2	69	NP	NP
								57	58	69	NP	NP
						20	320-068	57	2	69	NP	NP
								57	58	69	NP	NP
				690	069 Cates J	10	299-069	58	2	70	NP	NP
								58	59	70	NP	NP
						20	300-069	36	2	70	NP	NP
								36	37	70	NP	NP
				700	070 Goodwins B	10	266-070	59	2	71	NP	NP
								59	60	71	NP	NP
				710	071 Lawrenceville F	10	242-071	54	2	72	NP	NP
								54	55	72	NP	NP
						20	243-071	54	2	72	NP	NP
								54	55	72	NP	NP
				720	072 Martins E	10	344-072	43	2	73	NP	NP
								43	44	73	NP	NP
				730	073 Pinckneyville P	10	247-073	22	2	74	NP	NP
								22	24	74	NP	NP
				740	074 Pinckneyville Q	10	344-074	43	2	75	NP	NP
								43	44	75	NP	NP
				750	075 Rockbridge C	10	309-075	2	2	76	NP	NP
								2	3	76	NP	NP
						20	311-075	44	2	76	NP	NP
								44	45	76	NP	NP
				760	076 Rockbridge D	10	201-076	41	2	77	NP	NP
								41	42	77	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2250	Advance in Person 2	770	077 Goodwins C	10	297-077	60	2	78	NP	NP
								60	61	78	NP	NP
				780	078 Baycreek K	10	211-078	61	2	79	NP	NP
								61	62	79	NP	NP
						20	212-078	61	2	79	NP	NP
								61	62	79	NP	NP
				790	079 Cates K	10	319-079	57	2	80	NP	NP
								57	58	80	NP	NP
				800	080 Baycreek C	10	233-080	62	2	81	NP	NP
								62	63	81	NP	NP
				810	081 Cates L	10	301-081	37	2	82	NP	NP
								37	38	82	NP	NP
						20	302-081	37	2	82	NP	NP
								37	38	82	NP	NP
						30	312-081	33	2	82	NP	NP
								33	34	82	NP	NP
						40	313-081	33	2	82	NP	NP
								33	34	82	NP	NP
				820	082 Duluth E	10	285-082	50	2	83	NP	NP
								50	51	83	NP	NP
				830	083 Goodwins D	10	266-083	59	2	84	NP	NP
								59	60	84	NP	NP
				840	084 Lawrenceville G	10	206-084	63	2	85	NP	NP
								63	64	85	NP	NP
						20	207-084	63	2	85	NP	NP
								63	64	85	NP	NP
				850	085 Lawrenceville H	10	250-085	42	2	86	NP	NP
								42	43	86	NP	NP
						20	266-085	59	2	86	NP	NP
								59	60	86	NP	NP
						30	267-085	59	2	86	NP	NP
								59	60	86	NP	NP
				860	086 Martins F	10	344-086	43	2	87	NP	NP
								43	44	87	NP	NP
				870	087 Pinckneyville S	10	337-087	48	2	88	NP	NP
								48	49	88	NP	NP
						20	338-087	48	2	88	NP	NP
								48	49	88	NP	NP
				880	088 Rockbridge E	10	311-088	44	2	89	NP	NP
								44	45	89	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2250	Advance in Person 2	890	089 Sugar Hill E	10	253-089	64	2	90	NP	NP
								64	65	90	NP	NP
						20	254-089	64	2	90	NP	NP
								64	65	90	NP	NP
						30	255-089	24	11	90	NP	NP
								24	26	90	NP	NP
				900	090 Lawrenceville I	10	364-090	65	2	91	NP	NP
								65	66	91	NP	NP
						20	365-090	65	2	91	NP	NP
								65	66	91	NP	NP
				910	091 Baycreek D	10	211-091	61	2	92	NP	NP
								61	62	92	NP	NP
						20	212-091	61	2	92	NP	NP
								61	62	92	NP	NP
				920	092 Berkshire J	10	303-092	13	2	93	NP	NP
								13	15	93	NP	NP
						20	304-092	13	2	93	NP	NP
								13	15	93	NP	NP
				930	093 Cates M	10	319-093	57	2	94	NP	NP
								57	58	94	NP	NP
				940	094 Cates N	10	316-094	4	2	95	NP	NP
								4	5	95	NP	NP
						20	318-094	4	2	95	NP	NP
								4	5	95	NP	NP
				950	095 Duluth F	5	297-095	60	2	96	NP	NP
								60	61	96	NP	NP
						10	352-095	46	2	96	NP	NP
								46	47	96	NP	NP
				960	096 Duluth G	10	294-096	6	2	97	NP	NP
								6	7	97	NP	NP
						20	295-096	6	2	97	NP	NP
								6	7	97	NP	NP
				970	097 Goodwins E	10	297-097	60	2	98	NP	NP
								60	61	98	NP	NP
						20	298-097	60	2	98	NP	NP
								60	61	98	NP	NP
				980	098 Goodwins F	10	262-098	66	2	99	NP	NP
								66	67	99	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2250	Advance in Person 2	990	099 Pinckneyville T	10	281-099	67	2	100	NP	NP
								67	68	100	NP	NP
						20	293-099	67	2	100	NP	NP
								67	68	100	NP	NP
						30	360-099	67	2	100	NP	NP
								67	68	100	NP	NP
				1000	100 Suwanee B	10	263-100	29	2	101	NP	NP
								29	30	101	NP	NP
						20	264-100	29	2	101	NP	NP
								29	30	101	NP	NP
						30	265-100	29	2	101	NP	NP
								29	30	101	NP	NP
				1010	101 Pinckneyville U	10	356-101	22	2	102	NP	NP
								22	24	102	NP	NP
				1020	102 Pucketts B	10	258-102	8	2	103	NP	NP
								8	9	103	NP	NP
						20	259-102	9	10	103	NP	NP
								9	11	103	NP	NP
				1030	103 Berkshire L	10	351-103	68	2	104	NP	NP
								68	69	104	NP	NP
				1040	104 Rockbridge F	10	201-104	41	2	105	NP	NP
								41	42	105	NP	NP
				1050	105 Sugar Hill F	10	253-105	64	2	106	NP	NP
								64	65	106	NP	NP
						20	254-105	64	2	106	NP	NP
								64	65	106	NP	NP
				1060	106 Suwanee C	10	294-106	6	2	107	NP	NP
								6	7	107	NP	NP
						20	296-106	6	2	107	NP	NP
								6	7	107	NP	NP
				1070	107 Cates O	10	323-107	32	2	108	NP	NP
								32	33	108	NP	NP
				1080	108 Baycreek E	10	203-108	69	2	109	NP	NP
								69	70	109	NP	NP
						20	204-108	69	2	109	NP	NP
								69	70	109	NP	NP
						30	205-108	69	2	109	NP	NP
								69	70	109	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2250	Advance in Person 2	1090	109 Berkshire M	10	323-109	32	2	110	NP	NP
								32	33	110	NP	NP
						20	324-109	32	2	110	NP	NP
								32	33	110	NP	NP
				1100	110 Martins G	10	236-110	18	2	111	NP	NP
								18	20	111	NP	NP
						20	237-110	18	2	111	NP	NP
								18	20	111	NP	NP
				1110	111 Duluth H	10	294-111	6	2	112	NP	NP
								6	7	112	NP	NP
						20	295-111	6	2	112	NP	NP
								6	7	112	NP	NP
				1120	112 Berkshire N	10	303-112	13	2	113	NP	NP
								13	15	113	NP	NP
				1130	113 Pinckneyville V	10	330-113	21	2	114	NP	NP
								21	23	114	NP	NP
				1140	114 Pinckneyville W	30	336-114	70	2	115	NP	NP
								70	71	115	NP	NP
						40	340-114	20	2	115	NP	NP
								20	22	115	NP	NP
						50	367-114	70	2	115	NP	NP
								70	71	115	NP	NP
				1150	115 Martins H	10	244-115	71	2	116	NP	NP
								71	72	116	NP	NP
				1160	116 Martins I	10	345-116	16	2	117	NP	NP
								16	18	117	NP	NP
				1170	117 Martins J	10	345-117	16	2	118	NP	NP
								16	18	118	NP	NP
				1180	118 Garners F	10	310-118	47	2	119	NP	NP
								47	48	119	NP	NP
				1190	119 Berkshire O	10	323-119	32	2	120	NP	NP
								32	33	120	NP	NP
				1200	120 Berkshire P	10	328-120	10	2	121	NP	NP
								10	12	121	NP	NP
						20	329-120	10	2	121	NP	NP
								10	12	121	NP	NP
				1210	121 Pinckneyville X	10	358-121	40	2	122	NP	NP
								40	41	122	NP	NP
						20	359-121	40	2	122	NP	NP
								40	41	122	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2250	Advance in Person 2	1220	122 Rockbridge G	10	309-122	2	2	123	NP	NP
								2	3	123	NP	NP
				1230	123 Pinckneyville Y	10	330-123	21	2	124	NP	NP
								21	23	124	NP	NP
						20	331-123	72	2	124	NP	NP
								72	73	124	NP	NP
				1240	124 Goodwins G	10	284-124	73	2	125	NP	NP
								73	74	125	NP	NP
				1250	125 Pinckneyville Z	10	247-125	22	2	126	NP	NP
								22	24	126	NP	NP
						20	248-125	22	2	126	NP	NP
								22	24	126	NP	NP
				1260	126 Pinckneyville A1	10	247-126	22	2	127	NP	NP
								22	24	127	NP	NP
				1270	127 Lawrenceville J	10	206-127	63	2	128	NP	NP
								63	64	128	NP	NP
				1280	128 Goodwins H	10	297-128	60	2	129	NP	NP
								60	61	129	NP	NP
				1290	129 Duncans B	10	273-129	12	2	130	NP	NP
								12	14	130	NP	NP
				1300	130 Suwanee D	10	278-130	27	2	131	NP	NP
								27	29	131	NP	NP
						20	279-130	27	2	131	NP	NP
								27	29	131	NP	NP
				1310	131 Suwanee E	10	275-131	3	2	132	NP	NP
								3	4	132	NP	NP
						20	276-131	3	2	132	NP	NP
								3	4	132	NP	NP
						30	277-131	3	2	132	NP	NP
								3	4	132	NP	NP
				1320	132 Lawrenceville K	10	266-132	59	2	133	NP	NP
								59	60	133	NP	NP
				1330	133 Harbins B	10	231-133	1	1	134	NP	NP
								1	2	134	NP	NP
						20	232-133	1	1	134	NP	NP
								1	2	134	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2250	Advance in Person 2	1340	134 Baycreek F	10	223-134	74	2	135	NP	NP
								74	75	135	NP	NP
						20	224-134	74	2	135	NP	NP
								74	75	135	NP	NP
						30	225-134	74	2	135	NP	NP
								74	75	135	NP	NP
				1350	135 Pucketts C	10	268-135	75	2	136	NP	NP
								75	76	136	NP	NP
						20	270-135	75	2	136	NP	NP
								75	76	136	NP	NP
				1360	136 Hog Mountain C	10	258-136	8	2	137	NP	NP
								8	9	137	NP	NP
						20	268-136	75	2	137	NP	NP
								75	76	137	NP	NP
				1370	137 Rockycreek B	10	222-137	76	2	138	NP	NP
								76	77	138	NP	NP
				1380	138 Duluth I	10	290-138	46	2	139	NP	NP
								46	47	139	NP	NP
						20	294-138	6	2	139	NP	NP
								6	7	139	NP	NP
						30	295-138	6	2	139	NP	NP
								6	7	139	NP	NP
				1390	139 Martins K	10	221-139	77	2	140	NP	NP
								77	78	140	NP	NP
				1400	140 Suwanee H	10	275-140	3	2	141	NP	NP
								3	4	141	NP	NP
						20	277-140	3	2	141	NP	NP
								3	4	141	NP	NP
				1410	141 Sugar Hill G	10	278-141	27	2	142	NP	NP
								27	29	142	NP	NP
						20	279-141	27	2	142	NP	NP
								27	29	142	NP	NP
				1420	142 Suwanee F	10	263-142	29	2	143	NP	NP
								29	30	143	NP	NP
						20	265-142	29	2	143	NP	NP
								29	30	143	NP	NP
				1430	143 Lawrenceville L	10	216-143	78	2	144	NP	NP
								78	79	144	NP	NP
						20	217-143	78	2	144	NP	NP
								78	79	144	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2250	Advance in Person 2	1440	144 Lawrenceville M	10	240-144	53	2	145	NP	NP
								53	54	145	NP	NP
						50	241-144	53	2	145	NP	NP
								53	54	145	NP	NP
				1450	145 Baycreek G	10	226-145	79	2	146	NP	NP
								79	80	146	NP	NP
						20	227-145	79	2	146	NP	NP
								79	80	146	NP	NP
						30	228-145	79	2	146	NP	NP
								79	80	146	NP	NP
				1460	146 Baycreek H	10	211-146	61	2	147	NP	NP
								61	62	147	NP	NP
						20	212-146	61	2	147	NP	NP
								61	62	147	NP	NP
						30	219-146	80	2	147	NP	NP
								80	81	147	NP	NP
						40	220-146	80	2	147	NP	NP
								80	81	147	NP	NP
				1470	147 Baycreek I	10	211-147	61	2	148	NP	NP
								61	62	148	NP	NP
						20	213-147	61	2	148	NP	NP
								61	62	148	NP	NP
				1480	148 Berkshire Q	20	303-148	13	2	149	NP	NP
								13	15	149	NP	NP
						30	304-148	13	2	149	NP	NP
								13	15	149	NP	NP
						40	307-148	11	2	149	NP	NP
								11	13	149	NP	NP
						50	308-148	11	2	149	NP	NP
								11	13	149	NP	NP
				1490	149 Goodwins I	10	283-149	5	2	150	NP	NP
								5	6	150	NP	NP
				1500	150 Duluth K	10	352-150	46	2	151	NP	NP
								46	47	151	NP	NP
				1510	151 Harbins C	10	233-151	62	2	152	NP	NP
								62	63	152	NP	NP
				1520	152 Rockycreek C	10	231-152	1	1	153	NP	NP
								1	2	153	NP	NP
				1530	153 Lawrenceville N	10	218-153	81	2	154	NP	NP
								81	82	154	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2250	Advance in Person 2	1540	154 Pucketts D	10	268-154	75	2	155	NP	NP
								75	76	155	NP	NP
				1550	155 Suwanee G	10	294-155	6	2	156	NP	NP
								6	7	156	NP	NP
						20	296-155	6	2	156	NP	NP
								6	7	156	NP	NP
				1560	156 Baycreek J	10	203-156	69	2	157	NP	NP
								69	70	157	NP	NP
						20	205-156	69	2	157	NP	NP
								69	70	157	NP	NP
		60	PROV 001-015	10	001 Harbins A	10	231-001	1	1	1	NP	NP
								1	2	1	NP	NP
						20	232-001	1	1	1	NP	NP
								1	2	1	NP	NP
						30	233-001	62	2	1	NP	NP
								62	63	1	NP	NP
				20	002 Rockbridge A	10	309-002	2	2	2	NP	NP
								2	3	2	NP	NP
				30	003 Dacula	10	231-003	1	1	3	NP	NP
								1	2	3	NP	NP
						20	232-003	1	1	3	NP	NP
								1	2	3	NP	NP
				40	004 Suwanee A	10	275-004	3	2	4	NP	NP
								3	4	4	NP	NP
						20	276-004	3	2	4	NP	NP
								3	4	4	NP	NP
						30	277-004	3	2	4	NP	NP
								3	4	4	NP	NP
				50	005 Baycreek A	10	316-005	4	2	5	NP	NP
								4	5	5	NP	NP
						20	317-005	4	2	5	NP	NP
								4	5	5	NP	NP
				60	006 Goodwins A	10	283-006	5	2	6	NP	NP
								5	6	6	NP	NP
				70	007 Duluth A	10	294-007	6	2	7	NP	NP
								6	7	7	NP	NP
						20	295-007	6	2	7	NP	NP
								6	7	7	NP	NP
						30	296-007	6	2	7	NP	NP
								6	7	7	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	60	PROV 001-015	80	008 Duncans A	10	234-008	7	2	8	NP	NP
								7	8	8	NP	NP
				90	009 Pucketts A	10	258-009	8	2	9	NP	NP
								8	9	9	NP	NP
						20	259-009	9	10	9	NP	NP
								9	11	9	NP	NP
				100	010 Cates A	10	316-010	4	2	10	NP	NP
								4	5	10	NP	NP
						20	318-010	4	2	10	NP	NP
								4	5	10	NP	NP
				110	011 Berkshire A	10	329-011	10	2	11	NP	NP
								10	12	11	NP	NP
				120	012 Berkshire B	10	307-012	11	2	12	NP	NP
								11	13	12	NP	NP
						20	308-012	11	2	12	NP	NP
								11	13	12	NP	NP
				130	013 Duncans C	10	273-013	12	2	13	NP	NP
								12	14	13	NP	NP
				140	014 Garners A	10	303-014	13	2	14	NP	NP
								13	15	14	NP	NP
				150	015 Lawrenceville A	10	208-015	14	2	15	NP	NP
								14	16	15	NP	NP
						20	209-015	14	2	15	NP	NP
								14	16	15	NP	NP
						30	Fed - Cong 015	82	83	15	NP	NP
		2140	PROV 016-030	160	016 Lawrenceville B	10	214-016	15	2	1	NP	NP
								15	17	1	NP	NP
						20	215-016	15	2	1	NP	NP
								15	17	1	NP	NP
				170	017 Martins A	10	345-017	16	2	2	NP	NP
								16	18	2	NP	NP
						20	346-017	17	2	2	NP	NP
								17	19	2	NP	NP
				180	018 Martins B	10	236-018	18	2	3	NP	NP
								18	20	3	NP	NP
				190	019 Martins C	10	327-019	19	2	4	NP	NP
								19	21	4	NP	NP
				200	020 Pinckneyville A	10	340-020	20	2	5	NP	NP
								20	22	5	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2140	PROV 016-030	210	021 Pinckneyville B	10	330-021	21	2	6	NP	NP
								21	23	6	NP	NP
				220	022 Pinckneyville C	10	247-022	22	2	7	NP	NP
								22	24	7	NP	NP
				230	023 Pinckneyville D	10	342-023	23	2	8	NP	NP
								23	25	8	NP	NP
				240	024 Sugar Hill A	10	255-024	24	11	9	NP	NP
								24	26	9	NP	NP
						20	256-024	25	2	9	NP	NP
								25	27	9	NP	NP
						30	257-024	26	2	9	NP	NP
								26	28	9	NP	NP
						40	258-024	8	2	9	NP	NP
								8	9	9	NP	NP
						50	259-024	9	10	9	NP	NP
								9	11	9	NP	NP
						60	260-024	8	2	9	NP	NP
								8	9	9	NP	NP
						70	263-024	29	2	9	NP	NP
								29	30	9	NP	NP
						80	366-024	27	2	9	NP	NP
								27	29	9	NP	NP
				250	025 Sugar Hill B	10	259-025	9	10	10	NP	NP
								9	11	10	NP	NP
						20	278-025	27	2	10	NP	NP
								27	29	10	NP	NP
						30	279-025	27	2	10	NP	NP
								27	29	10	NP	NP
						40	280-025	28	2	10	NP	NP
								28	10	10	NP	NP
						50	282-025	28	2	10	NP	NP
								28	10	10	NP	NP
				260	026 Hog Mountain A	10	263-026	29	2	11	NP	NP
								29	30	11	NP	NP
						20	265-026	29	2	11	NP	NP
								29	30	11	NP	NP
				270	027 Hog Mountain B	10	210-027	30	2	12	NP	NP
								30	31	12	NP	NP
						20	229-027	31	2	12	NP	NP
								31	32	12	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2140	PROV 016-030	280	028 Rockycreek A	10	231-028	1	1	13	NP	NP
								1	2	13	NP	NP
						20	234-028	7	2	13	NP	NP
								7	8	13	NP	NP
						30	235-028	7	2	13	NP	NP
								7	8	13	NP	NP
				290	029 Cates B	10	323-029	32	2	14	NP	NP
								32	33	14	NP	NP
				300	030 Cates C	10	312-030	33	2	15	NP	NP
								33	34	15	NP	NP
						20	313-030	33	2	15	NP	NP
								33	34	15	NP	NP
		2150	PROV 031-045	310	031 Hog Mountain D	10	252-031	34	2	1	NP	NP
								34	35	1	NP	NP
				320	032 Berkshire D	10	303-032	13	2	2	NP	NP
								13	15	2	NP	NP
				330	033 Berkshire E	10	303-033	13	2	3	NP	NP
								13	15	3	NP	NP
						20	304-033	13	2	3	NP	NP
								13	15	3	NP	NP
				340	034 Berkshire F	10	325-034	35	2	4	NP	NP
								35	36	4	NP	NP
						20	326-034	35	2	4	NP	NP
								35	36	4	NP	NP
				350	035 Cates D - 04	10	300-035	36	2	5	NP	NP
								36	37	5	NP	NP
						20	301-035	37	2	5	NP	NP
								37	38	5	NP	NP
						30	302-035	37	2	5	NP	NP
								37	38	5	NP	NP
				351	035 Cates D - 07	10	315 - 035	83	2	16	NP	NP
								83	84	16	NP	NP
				360	036 Cates E	10	300-036	36	2	6	NP	NP
								36	37	6	NP	NP
				370	037 Pinckneyville E	10	356-037	22	2	7	NP	NP
								22	24	7	NP	NP
				380	038 Pinckneyville F	10	333-038	38	2	8	NP	NP
								38	39	8	NP	NP
						20	334-038	38	2	8	NP	NP
								38	39	8	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2150	PROV 031-045	390	039 Duncans D	10	271-039	39	2	9	NP	NP
								39	40	9	NP	NP
						20	272-039	39	2	9	NP	NP
								39	40	9	NP	NP
						30	361-039	39	2	9	NP	NP
								39	40	9	NP	NP
						40	362-039	39	2	9	NP	NP
								39	40	9	NP	NP
						50	363-039	39	2	9	NP	NP
								39	40	9	NP	NP
				400	040 Pinckneyville H	20	288-040	40	2	10	NP	NP
								40	41	10	NP	NP
						30	289-040	40	2	10	NP	NP
								40	41	10	NP	NP
						40	358-040	40	2	10	NP	NP
								40	41	10	NP	NP
						50	359-040	40	2	10	NP	NP
								40	41	10	NP	NP
				410	041 Baycreek B	10	201-041	41	2	11	NP	NP
								41	42	11	NP	NP
						20	202-041	41	2	11	NP	NP
								41	42	11	NP	NP
				420	042 Lawrenceville C	10	250-042	42	2	12	NP	NP
								42	43	12	NP	NP
						20	251-042	42	2	12	NP	NP
								42	43	12	NP	NP
				430	043 Martins D	10	344-043	43	2	13	NP	NP
								43	44	13	NP	NP
				440	044 Rockbridge B	10	311-044	44	2	14	NP	NP
								44	45	14	NP	NP
				450	045 Garners B	10	311-045	44	2	15	NP	NP
								44	45	15	NP	NP
		2160	PROV 046-060	460	046 Cates F	10	318-046	4	2	1	NP	NP
								4	5	1	NP	NP
				470	047 Cates G	10	321-047	45	2	2	NP	NP
								45	46	2	NP	NP
						20	322-047	45	2	2	NP	NP
								45	46	2	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2160	PROV 046-060	480	048 Duluth B	10	290-048	46	2	3	NP	NP
								46	47	3	NP	NP
						20	291-048	46	2	3	NP	NP
								46	47	3	NP	NP
				490	049 Garners C	10	310-049	47	2	4	NP	NP
								47	48	4	NP	NP
				500	050 Pinckneyville I	10	337-050	48	2	5	NP	NP
								48	49	5	NP	NP
				510	051 Pinckneyville J	10	330-051	21	2	6	NP	NP
								21	23	6	NP	NP
				520	052 Berkshire G	10	314-052	49	2	7	NP	NP
								49	50	7	NP	NP
				530	053 Cates H	10	312-053	33	2	8	NP	NP
								33	34	8	NP	NP
						20	313-053	33	2	8	NP	NP
								33	34	8	NP	NP
				540	054 Sugar Hill C	10	259-054	9	10	9	NP	NP
								9	11	9	NP	NP
						20	278-054	27	2	9	NP	NP
								27	29	9	NP	NP
						30	279-054	27	2	9	NP	NP
								27	29	9	NP	NP
						40	280-054	28	2	9	NP	NP
								28	10	9	NP	NP
						50	282-054	28	2	9	NP	NP
								28	10	9	NP	NP
				550	055 Sugar Hill D	10	258-055	8	2	10	NP	NP
								8	9	10	NP	NP
						20	259-055	9	10	10	NP	NP
								9	11	10	NP	NP
						30	260-055	8	2	10	NP	NP
								8	9	10	NP	NP
						40	261-055	8	2	10	NP	NP
								8	9	10	NP	NP
						50	280-055	28	2	10	NP	NP
								28	10	10	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2160	PROV 046-060	560	056 Duluth C	10	285-056	50	2	11	NP	NP
								50	51	11	NP	NP
						20	294-056	6	2	11	NP	NP
								6	7	11	NP	NP
						30	295-056	6	2	11	NP	NP
								6	7	11	NP	NP
				570	057 Duluth D	10	290-057	46	2	12	NP	NP
								46	47	12	NP	NP
						20	291-057	46	2	12	NP	NP
								46	47	12	NP	NP
				580	058 Pinckneyville K	10	341-058	51	2	13	NP	NP
								51	52	13	NP	NP
						20	350-058	52	2	13	NP	NP
								52	53	13	NP	NP
				590	059 Pinckneyville N	10	349-059	22	2	14	NP	NP
								22	24	14	NP	NP
						20	356-059	22	2	14	NP	NP
								22	24	14	NP	NP
						30	357-059	22	2	14	NP	NP
								22	24	14	NP	NP
				600	060 Lawrenceville D	10	240-060	53	2	15	NP	NP
								53	54	15	NP	NP
						20	241-060	53	2	15	NP	NP
								53	54	15	NP	NP
						30	242-060	54	2	15	NP	NP
								54	55	15	NP	NP
						40	243-060	54	2	15	NP	NP
								54	55	15	NP	NP
		2170	PROV 061-075	610	061 Lawrenceville E	10	245-061	55	2	1	NP	NP
								55	56	1	NP	NP
						20	249-061	55	2	1	NP	NP
								55	56	1	NP	NP
				620	062 Pinckneyville L	10	247-062	22	2	2	NP	NP
								22	24	2	NP	NP
						20	248-062	22	2	2	NP	NP
								22	24	2	NP	NP
				630	063 Pinckneyville M	0	359-063	40	2	3	NP	NP
								40	41	3	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2170	PROV 061-075	640	064 Garners D	10	309-064	2	2	4	NP	NP
								2	3	4	NP	NP
						20	311-064	44	2	4	NP	NP
								44	45	4	NP	NP
				650	065 Berkshire H	10	305-065	56	2	5	NP	NP
								56	57	5	NP	NP
						20	306-065	56	2	5	NP	NP
								56	57	5	NP	NP
				660	066 Pinckneyville O	10	330-066	21	2	6	NP	NP
								21	23	6	NP	NP
				670	067 Pucketts E	10	258-067	8	2	7	NP	NP
								8	9	7	NP	NP
						20	259-067	9	10	7	NP	NP
								9	11	7	NP	NP
				680	068 Cates I	10	319-068	57	2	8	NP	NP
								57	58	8	NP	NP
						20	320-068	57	2	8	NP	NP
								57	58	8	NP	NP
				690	069 Cates J	10	299-069	58	2	9	NP	NP
								58	59	9	NP	NP
						20	300-069	36	2	9	NP	NP
								36	37	9	NP	NP
				700	070 Goodwins B	10	266-070	59	2	10	NP	NP
								59	60	10	NP	NP
				710	071 Lawrenceville F	10	242-071	54	2	11	NP	NP
								54	55	11	NP	NP
						20	243-071	54	2	11	NP	NP
								54	55	11	NP	NP
				720	072 Martins E	10	344-072	43	2	12	NP	NP
								43	44	12	NP	NP
				730	073 Pinckneyville P	10	247-073	22	2	13	NP	NP
								22	24	13	NP	NP
				740	074 Pinckneyville Q	10	344-074	43	2	14	NP	NP
								43	44	14	NP	NP
				750	075 Rockbridge C	10	309-075	2	2	15	NP	NP
								2	3	15	NP	NP
						20	311-075	44	2	15	NP	NP
								44	45	15	NP	NP
		2180	PROV 076-090	760	076 Rockbridge D	10	201-076	41	2	1	NP	NP
								41	42	1	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2180	PROV 076-090	770	077 Goodwins C	10	297-077	60	2	2	NP	NP
								60	61	2	NP	NP
				780	078 Baycreek K	10	211-078	61	2	3	NP	NP
								61	62	3	NP	NP
						20	212-078	61	2	3	NP	NP
								61	62	3	NP	NP
				790	079 Cates K	10	319-079	57	2	4	NP	NP
								57	58	4	NP	NP
				800	080 Baycreek C	10	233-080	62	2	5	NP	NP
								62	63	5	NP	NP
				810	081 Cates L	10	301-081	37	2	6	NP	NP
								37	38	6	NP	NP
						20	302-081	37	2	6	NP	NP
								37	38	6	NP	NP
						30	312-081	33	2	6	NP	NP
								33	34	6	NP	NP
						40	313-081	33	2	6	NP	NP
								33	34	6	NP	NP
				820	082 Duluth E	10	285-082	50	2	7	NP	NP
								50	51	7	NP	NP
				830	083 Goodwins D	10	266-083	59	2	8	NP	NP
								59	60	8	NP	NP
				840	084 Lawrenceville G	10	206-084	63	2	9	NP	NP
								63	64	9	NP	NP
						20	207-084	63	2	9	NP	NP
								63	64	9	NP	NP
				850	085 Lawrenceville H	10	250-085	42	2	10	NP	NP
								42	43	10	NP	NP
						20	266-085	59	2	10	NP	NP
								59	60	10	NP	NP
						30	267-085	59	2	10	NP	NP
								59	60	10	NP	NP
				860	086 Martins F	10	344-086	43	2	11	NP	NP
								43	44	11	NP	NP
				870	087 Pinckneyville S	10	337-087	48	2	12	NP	NP
								48	49	12	NP	NP
						20	338-087	48	2	12	NP	NP
								48	49	12	NP	NP
				880	088 Rockbridge E	10	311-088	44	2	13	NP	NP
								44	45	13	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2180	PROV 076-090	890	089 Sugar Hill E	10	253-089	64	2	14	NP	NP
								64	65	14	NP	NP
						20	254-089	64	2	14	NP	NP
								64	65	14	NP	NP
						30	255-089	24	11	14	NP	NP
								24	26	14	NP	NP
				900	090 Lawrenceville I	10	364-090	65	2	15	NP	NP
								65	66	15	NP	NP
						20	365-090	65	2	15	NP	NP
								65	66	15	NP	NP
		2190	PROV 091-105	910	091 Baycreek D	10	211-091	61	2	1	NP	NP
								61	62	1	NP	NP
						20	212-091	61	2	1	NP	NP
								61	62	1	NP	NP
				920	092 Berkshire J	10	303-092	13	2	2	NP	NP
								13	15	2	NP	NP
						20	304-092	13	2	2	NP	NP
								13	15	2	NP	NP
				930	093 Cates M	10	319-093	57	2	3	NP	NP
								57	58	3	NP	NP
				940	094 Cates N	10	316-094	4	2	4	NP	NP
								4	5	4	NP	NP
						20	318-094	4	2	4	NP	NP
								4	5	4	NP	NP
				950	095 Duluth F	5	297-095	60	2	5	NP	NP
								60	61	5	NP	NP
						10	352-095	46	2	5	NP	NP
								46	47	5	NP	NP
				960	096 Duluth G	10	294-096	6	2	6	NP	NP
								6	7	6	NP	NP
						20	295-096	6	2	6	NP	NP
								6	7	6	NP	NP
				970	097 Goodwins E	10	297-097	60	2	7	NP	NP
								60	61	7	NP	NP
						20	298-097	60	2	7	NP	NP
								60	61	7	NP	NP
				980	098 Goodwins F	10	262-098	66	2	8	NP	NP
								66	67	8	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2190	PROV 091-105	990	099 Pinckneyville T	10	281-099	67	2	9	NP	NP
								67	68	9	NP	NP
						20	293-099	67	2	9	NP	NP
								67	68	9	NP	NP
						30	360-099	67	2	9	NP	NP
								67	68	9	NP	NP
				1000	100 Suwanee B	10	263-100	29	2	10	NP	NP
								29	30	10	NP	NP
						20	264-100	29	2	10	NP	NP
								29	30	10	NP	NP
						30	265-100	29	2	10	NP	NP
								29	30	10	NP	NP
				1010	101 Pinckneyville U	10	356-101	22	2	11	NP	NP
								22	24	11	NP	NP
				1020	102 Pucketts B	10	258-102	8	2	12	NP	NP
								8	9	12	NP	NP
						20	259-102	9	10	12	NP	NP
								9	11	12	NP	NP
				1030	103 Berkshire L	10	351-103	68	2	13	NP	NP
								68	69	13	NP	NP
				1040	104 Rockbridge F	10	201-104	41	2	14	NP	NP
								41	42	14	NP	NP
				1050	105 Sugar Hill F	10	253-105	64	2	15	NP	NP
								64	65	15	NP	NP
						20	254-105	64	2	15	NP	NP
								64	65	15	NP	NP
		2200	PROV 106-120	1060	106 Suwanee C	10	294-106	6	2	1	NP	NP
								6	7	1	NP	NP
						20	296-106	6	2	1	NP	NP
								6	7	1	NP	NP
				1070	107 Cates O	10	323-107	32	2	2	NP	NP
								32	33	2	NP	NP
				1080	108 Baycreek E	10	203-108	69	2	3	NP	NP
								69	70	3	NP	NP
						20	204-108	69	2	3	NP	NP
								69	70	3	NP	NP
						30	205-108	69	2	3	NP	NP
								69	70	3	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2200	PROV 106-120	1090	109 Berkshire M	10	323-109	32	2	4	NP	NP
								32	33	4	NP	NP
						20	324-109	32	2	4	NP	NP
								32	33	4	NP	NP
				1100	110 Martins G	10	236-110	18	2	5	NP	NP
								18	20	5	NP	NP
						20	237-110	18	2	5	NP	NP
								18	20	5	NP	NP
				1110	111 Duluth H	10	294-111	6	2	6	NP	NP
								6	7	6	NP	NP
						20	295-111	6	2	6	NP	NP
								6	7	6	NP	NP
				1120	112 Berkshire N	10	303-112	13	2	7	NP	NP
								13	15	7	NP	NP
				1130	113 Pinckneyville V	10	330-113	21	2	8	NP	NP
								21	23	8	NP	NP
				1140	114 Pinckneyville W	30	336-114	70	2	9	NP	NP
								70	71	9	NP	NP
						40	340-114	20	2	9	NP	NP
								20	22	9	NP	NP
						50	367-114	70	2	9	NP	NP
								70	71	9	NP	NP
				1150	115 Martins H	10	244-115	71	2	10	NP	NP
								71	72	10	NP	NP
				1160	116 Martins I	10	345-116	16	2	11	NP	NP
								16	18	11	NP	NP
				1170	117 Martins J	10	345-117	16	2	12	NP	NP
								16	18	12	NP	NP
				1180	118 Garners F	10	310-118	47	2	13	NP	NP
								47	48	13	NP	NP
				1190	119 Berkshire O	10	323-119	32	2	14	NP	NP
								32	33	14	NP	NP
				1200	120 Berkshire P	10	328-120	10	2	15	NP	NP
								10	12	15	NP	NP
						20	329-120	10	2	15	NP	NP
								10	12	15	NP	NP
		2210	PROV 121-135	1210	121 Pinckneyville X	10	358-121	40	2	1	NP	NP
								40	41	1	NP	NP
						20	359-121	40	2	1	NP	NP
								40	41	1	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2210	PROV 121-135	1220	122 Rockbridge G	10	309-122	2	2	2	NP	NP
								2	3	2	NP	NP
				1230	123 Pinckneyville Y	10	330-123	21	2	3	NP	NP
								21	23	3	NP	NP
						20	331-123	72	2	3	NP	NP
								72	73	3	NP	NP
				1240	124 Goodwins G	10	284-124	73	2	4	NP	NP
								73	74	4	NP	NP
				1250	125 Pinckneyville Z	10	247-125	22	2	5	NP	NP
								22	24	5	NP	NP
						20	248-125	22	2	5	NP	NP
								22	24	5	NP	NP
				1260	126 Pinckneyville A1	10	247-126	22	2	6	NP	NP
								22	24	6	NP	NP
				1270	127 Lawrenceville J	10	206-127	63	2	7	NP	NP
								63	64	7	NP	NP
				1280	128 Goodwins H	10	297-128	60	2	8	NP	NP
								60	61	8	NP	NP
				1290	129 Duncans B	10	273-129	12	2	9	NP	NP
								12	14	9	NP	NP
				1300	130 Suwanee D	10	278-130	27	2	10	NP	NP
								27	29	10	NP	NP
						20	279-130	27	2	10	NP	NP
								27	29	10	NP	NP
				1310	131 Suwanee E	10	275-131	3	2	11	NP	NP
								3	4	11	NP	NP
						20	276-131	3	2	11	NP	NP
								3	4	11	NP	NP
						30	277-131	3	2	11	NP	NP
								3	4	11	NP	NP
				1320	132 Lawrenceville K	10	266-132	59	2	12	NP	NP
								59	60	12	NP	NP
				1330	133 Harbins B	10	231-133	1	1	13	NP	NP
								1	2	13	NP	NP
						20	232-133	1	1	13	NP	NP
								1	2	13	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2210	PROV 121-135	1340	134 Baycreek F	10	223-134	74	2	14	NP	NP
								74	75	14	NP	NP
						20	224-134	74	2	14	NP	NP
								74	75	14	NP	NP
						30	225-134	74	2	14	NP	NP
								74	75	14	NP	NP
				1350	135 Pucketts C	10	268-135	75	2	15	NP	NP
								75	76	15	NP	NP
						20	270-135	75	2	15	NP	NP
								75	76	15	NP	NP
		2220	PROV 136-150	1360	136 Hog Mountain C	10	258-136	8	2	1	NP	NP
								8	9	1	NP	NP
						20	268-136	75	2	1	NP	NP
								75	76	1	NP	NP
				1370	137 Rockycreek B	10	222-137	76	2	2	NP	NP
								76	77	2	NP	NP
				1380	138 Duluth I	10	290-138	46	2	3	NP	NP
								46	47	3	NP	NP
						20	294-138	6	2	3	NP	NP
								6	7	3	NP	NP
						30	295-138	6	2	3	NP	NP
								6	7	3	NP	NP
				1390	139 Martins K	10	221-139	77	2	4	NP	NP
								77	78	4	NP	NP
				1400	140 Suwanee H	10	275-140	3	2	5	NP	NP
								3	4	5	NP	NP
						20	277-140	3	2	5	NP	NP
								3	4	5	NP	NP
				1410	141 Sugar Hill G	10	278-141	27	2	6	NP	NP
								27	29	6	NP	NP
						20	279-141	27	2	6	NP	NP
								27	29	6	NP	NP
				1420	142 Suwanee F	10	263-142	29	2	7	NP	NP
								29	30	7	NP	NP
						20	265-142	29	2	7	NP	NP
								29	30	7	NP	NP
				1430	143 Lawrenceville L	10	216-143	78	2	8	NP	NP
								78	79	8	NP	NP
						20	217-143	78	2	8	NP	NP
								78	79	8	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2220	PROV 136-150	1440	144 Lawrenceville M	10	240-144	53	2	9	NP	NP
								53	54	9	NP	NP
						50	241-144	53	2	9	NP	NP
								53	54	9	NP	NP
				1450	145 Baycreek G	10	226-145	79	2	10	NP	NP
								79	80	10	NP	NP
						20	227-145	79	2	10	NP	NP
								79	80	10	NP	NP
						30	228-145	79	2	10	NP	NP
								79	80	10	NP	NP
				1460	146 Baycreek H	10	211-146	61	2	11	NP	NP
								61	62	11	NP	NP
						20	212-146	61	2	11	NP	NP
								61	62	11	NP	NP
						30	219-146	80	2	11	NP	NP
								80	81	11	NP	NP
						40	220-146	80	2	11	NP	NP
								80	81	11	NP	NP
				1470	147 Baycreek I	10	211-147	61	2	12	NP	NP
								61	62	12	NP	NP
						20	213-147	61	2	12	NP	NP
								61	62	12	NP	NP
				1480	148 Berkshire Q	20	303-148	13	2	13	NP	NP
								13	15	13	NP	NP
						30	304-148	13	2	13	NP	NP
								13	15	13	NP	NP
						40	307-148	11	2	13	NP	NP
								11	13	13	NP	NP
						50	308-148	11	2	13	NP	NP
								11	13	13	NP	NP
				1490	149 Goodwins I	10	283-149	5	2	14	NP	NP
								5	6	14	NP	NP
				1500	150 Duluth K	10	352-150	46	2	15	NP	NP
								46	47	15	NP	NP
		2230	PROV 151-156	1510	151 Harbins C	10	233-151	62	2	1	NP	NP
								62	63	1	NP	NP
				1520	152 Rockycreek C	10	231-152	1	1	2	NP	NP
								1	2	2	NP	NP
				1530	153 Lawrenceville N	10	218-153	81	2	3	NP	NP
								81	82	3	NP	NP

Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
Vote Centers With Cards By Label

Id	Region	Id	Vote Center	Id	Report Precinct	Id	Base Precinct	Ballot	Card	Seq. #	VG1	VG2
0	Host	2230	PROV 151-156	1540	154 Pucketts D	10	268-154	75	2	4	NP	NP
								75	76	4	NP	NP
				1550	155 Suwanee G	10	294-155	6	2	5	NP	NP
								6	7	5	NP	NP
						20	296-155	6	2	5	NP	NP
								6	7	5	NP	NP
				1560	156 Baycreek J	10	203-156	69	2	6	NP	NP
								69	70	6	NP	NP
						20	205-156	69	2	6	NP	NP
								69	70	6	NP	NP

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	TURN OUT		
	Reg. Voters	Cards Cast	% Turnout
Jurisdiction Wide			
001 Harbins A			
Polling	6123	1561	25.49%
Absentee by Mail	6123	385	6.29%
Advance in Person	6123	1135	18.54%
Provisional	6123	32	0.52%
Advance in Person 2	6123	820	13.39%
Total	6123	3933	64.23%
002 Rockbridge A			
Polling	3653	824	22.56%
Absentee by Mail	3653	269	7.36%
Advance in Person	3653	118	3.23%
Provisional	3653	166	4.54%
Advance in Person 2	3653	1091	29.87%
Total	3653	2468	67.56%
003 Dacula			
Polling	4478	1143	25.52%
Absentee by Mail	4478	260	5.81%
Advance in Person	4478	1206	26.93%
Provisional	4478	16	0.36%
Advance in Person 2	4478	242	5.40%
Total	4478	2867	64.02%
004 Suwanee A			
Polling	1551	382	24.63%
Absentee by Mail	1551	87	5.61%
Advance in Person	1551	39	2.51%
Provisional	1551	32	2.06%
Advance in Person 2	1551	396	25.53%
Total	1551	936	60.35%
005 Baycreek A			
Polling	2555	889	34.79%
Absentee by Mail	2555	206	8.06%
Advance in Person	2555	35	1.37%
Provisional	2555	56	2.19%
Advance in Person 2	2555	712	27.87%
Total	2555	1898	74.29%
006 Goodwins A			
Polling	3583	1188	33.16%
Absentee by Mail	3583	256	7.14%
Advance in Person	3583	107	2.99%
Provisional	3583	35	0.98%
Advance in Person 2	3583	678	18.92%
Total	3583	2264	63.19%
007 Duluth A			
Polling	4599	1410	30.66%
Absentee by Mail	4599	446	9.70%
Advance in Person	4599	793	17.24%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	TURN OUT		
	Reg. Voters	Cards Cast	% Turnout
Provisional	4599	24	0.52%
Advance in Person 2	4599	543	11.81%
Total	4599	3216	69.93%
008 Duncans A			
Polling	4278	1301	30.41%
Absentee by Mail	4278	262	6.12%
Advance in Person	4278	1288	30.11%
Provisional	4278	26	0.61%
Advance in Person 2	4278	173	4.04%
Total	4278	3050	71.29%
009 Pucketts A			
Polling	4844	1124	23.20%
Absentee by Mail	4844	356	7.35%
Advance in Person	4844	1828	37.74%
Provisional	4844	9	0.19%
Advance in Person 2	4844	55	1.14%
Total	4844	3372	69.61%
010 Cates A			
Polling	2209	648	29.33%
Absentee by Mail	2209	320	14.49%
Advance in Person	2209	25	1.13%
Provisional	2209	8	0.36%
Advance in Person 2	2209	614	27.80%
Total	2209	1615	73.11%
011 Berkshire A			
Polling	2247	667	29.68%
Absentee by Mail	2247	193	8.59%
Advance in Person	2247	337	15.00%
Provisional	2247	24	1.07%
Advance in Person 2	2247	64	2.85%
Total	2247	1285	57.19%
012 Berkshire B			
Polling	5010	926	18.48%
Absentee by Mail	5010	358	7.15%
Advance in Person	5010	1481	29.56%
Provisional	5010	48	0.96%
Advance in Person 2	5010	78	1.56%
Total	5010	2891	57.70%
013 Duncans C			
Polling	2828	1023	36.17%
Absentee by Mail	2828	185	6.54%
Advance in Person	2828	775	27.40%
Provisional	2828	14	0.50%
Advance in Person 2	2828	81	2.86%
Total	2828	2078	73.48%
014 Garners A			
Polling	3302	1013	30.68%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	TURN OUT		
	Reg. Voters	Cards Cast	% Turnout
Absentee by Mail	3302	275	8.33%
Advance in Person	3302	1027	31.10%
Provisional	3302	18	0.55%
Advance in Person 2	3302	114	3.45%
Total	3302	2447	74.11%
015 Lawrenceville A			
Polling	3300	730	22.12%
Absentee by Mail	3300	310	9.39%
Advance in Person	3300	17	0.52%
Provisional	3300	8	0.24%
Advance in Person 2	3300	774	23.45%
Total	3300	1839	55.73%
016 Lawrenceville B			
Polling	4520	1050	23.23%
Absentee by Mail	4520	348	7.70%
Advance in Person	4520	45	1.00%
Provisional	4520	28	0.62%
Advance in Person 2	4520	1453	32.15%
Total	4520	2924	64.69%
017 Martins A			
Polling	3019	840	27.82%
Absentee by Mail	3019	195	6.46%
Advance in Person	3019	256	8.48%
Provisional	3019	24	0.79%
Advance in Person 2	3019	314	10.40%
Total	3019	1629	53.96%
018 Martins B			
Polling	2796	847	30.29%
Absentee by Mail	2796	185	6.62%
Advance in Person	2796	94	3.36%
Provisional	2796	42	1.50%
Advance in Person 2	2796	460	16.45%
Total	2796	1628	58.23%
019 Martins C			
Polling	2349	850	36.19%
Absentee by Mail	2349	152	6.47%
Advance in Person	2349	206	8.77%
Provisional	2349	21	0.89%
Advance in Person 2	2349	253	10.77%
Total	2349	1482	63.09%
020 Pinckneyville A			
Polling	2603	719	27.62%
Absentee by Mail	2603	170	6.53%
Advance in Person	2603	393	15.10%
Provisional	2603	38	1.46%
Advance in Person 2	2603	57	2.19%
Total	2603	1377	52.90%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	TURN OUT		
	Reg. Voters	Cards Cast	% Turnout
021 Pinckneyville B			
Polling	3216	688	21.39%
Absentee by Mail	3216	241	7.49%
Advance in Person	3216	587	18.25%
Provisional	3216	74	2.30%
Advance in Person 2	3216	28	0.87%
Total	3216	1618	50.31%
022 Pinckneyville C			
Polling	1796	812	45.21%
Absentee by Mail	1796	249	13.86%
Advance in Person	1796	304	16.93%
Provisional	1796	10	0.56%
Advance in Person 2	1796	45	2.51%
Total	1796	1420	79.06%
023 Pinckneyville D			
Polling	2042	536	26.25%
Absentee by Mail	2042	130	6.37%
Advance in Person	2042	285	13.96%
Provisional	2042	30	1.47%
Advance in Person 2	2042	40	1.96%
Total	2042	1021	50.00%
024 Sugar Hill A			
Polling	4001	1089	27.22%
Absentee by Mail	4001	260	6.50%
Advance in Person	4001	679	16.97%
Provisional	4001	40	1.00%
Advance in Person 2	4001	225	5.62%
Total	4001	2293	57.31%
025 Sugar Hill B			
Polling	4835	1501	31.04%
Absentee by Mail	4835	318	6.58%
Advance in Person	4835	444	9.18%
Provisional	4835	40	0.83%
Advance in Person 2	4835	600	12.41%
Total	4835	2903	60.04%
026 Hog Mountain A			
Polling	4467	1331	29.80%
Absentee by Mail	4467	314	7.03%
Advance in Person	4467	382	8.55%
Provisional	4467	44	0.99%
Advance in Person 2	4467	592	13.25%
Total	4467	2663	59.61%
027 Hog Mountain B			
Polling	4211	1406	33.39%
Absentee by Mail	4211	339	8.05%
Advance in Person	4211	825	19.59%
Provisional	4211	24	0.57%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	TURN OUT		
	Reg. Voters	Cards Cast	% Turnout
Advance in Person 2	4211	354	8.41%
Total	4211	2948	70.01%
028 Rockycreek A			
Polling	5149	1508	29.29%
Absentee by Mail	5149	259	5.03%
Advance in Person	5149	1482	28.78%
Provisional	5149	12	0.23%
Advance in Person 2	5149	171	3.32%
Total	5149	3432	66.65%
029 Cates B			
Polling	1559	573	36.75%
Absentee by Mail	1559	117	7.50%
Advance in Person	1559	175	11.23%
Provisional	1559	6	0.38%
Advance in Person 2	1559	235	15.07%
Total	1559	1106	70.94%
030 Cates C			
Polling	2599	762	29.32%
Absentee by Mail	2599	173	6.66%
Advance in Person	2599	24	0.92%
Provisional	2599	16	0.62%
Advance in Person 2	2599	764	29.40%
Total	2599	1739	66.91%
031 Hog Mountain D			
Polling	2958	930	31.44%
Absentee by Mail	2958	211	7.13%
Advance in Person	2958	345	11.66%
Provisional	2958	24	0.81%
Advance in Person 2	2958	345	11.66%
Total	2958	1855	62.71%
032 Berkshire D			
Polling	2684	843	31.41%
Absentee by Mail	2684	262	9.76%
Advance in Person	2684	740	27.57%
Provisional	2684	18	0.67%
Advance in Person 2	2684	84	3.13%
Total	2684	1947	72.54%
033 Berkshire E			
Polling	1866	655	35.10%
Absentee by Mail	1866	168	9.00%
Advance in Person	1866	545	29.21%
Provisional	1866	2	0.11%
Advance in Person 2	1866	37	1.98%
Total	1866	1407	75.40%
034 Berkshire F			
Polling	2003	651	32.50%
Absentee by Mail	2003	160	7.99%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	TURN OUT		
	Reg. Voters	Cards Cast	% Turnout
Advance in Person	2003	261	13.03%
Provisional	2003	22	1.10%
Advance in Person 2	2003	77	3.84%
Total	2003	1171	58.46%
035 Cates D - 04			
Polling	2605	858	32.94%
Absentee by Mail	2605	209	8.02%
Advance in Person	2605	179	6.87%
Provisional	2605	22	0.84%
Advance in Person 2	2605	512	19.65%
Total	2605	1780	68.33%
035 Cates D - 07			
Polling	585	195	33.33%
Absentee by Mail	585	53	9.06%
Advance in Person	585	72	12.31%
Provisional	585	6	1.03%
Advance in Person 2	585	71	12.14%
Total	585	397	67.86%
036 Cates E			
Polling	2744	965	35.17%
Absentee by Mail	2744	244	8.89%
Advance in Person	2744	490	17.86%
Provisional	2744	18	0.66%
Advance in Person 2	2744	346	12.61%
Total	2744	2063	75.18%
037 Pinckneyville E			
Polling	2183	689	31.56%
Absentee by Mail	2183	107	4.90%
Advance in Person	2183	255	11.68%
Provisional	2183	24	1.10%
Advance in Person 2	2183	22	1.01%
Total	2183	1097	50.25%
038 Pinckneyville F			
Polling	2301	650	28.25%
Absentee by Mail	2301	180	7.82%
Advance in Person	2301	328	14.25%
Provisional	2301	14	0.61%
Advance in Person 2	2301	37	1.61%
Total	2301	1209	52.54%
039 Duncans D			
Polling	6085	1851	30.42%
Absentee by Mail	6085	428	7.03%
Advance in Person	6085	1615	26.54%
Provisional	6085	30	0.49%
Advance in Person 2	6085	167	2.74%
Total	6085	4091	67.23%
040 Pinckneyville H			

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	TURN OUT		
	Reg. Voters	Cards Cast	% Turnout
Polling	4126	1395	33.81%
Absentee by Mail	4126	456	11.05%
Advance in Person	4126	1069	25.91%
Provisional	4126	25	0.61%
Advance in Person 2	4126	82	1.99%
Total	4126	3027	73.36%
041 Baycreek B			
Polling	5349	1667	31.16%
Absentee by Mail	5349	424	7.93%
Advance in Person	5349	51	0.95%
Provisional	5349	47	0.88%
Advance in Person 2	5349	1433	26.79%
Total	5349	3622	67.71%
042 Lawrenceville C			
Polling	2761	901	32.63%
Absentee by Mail	2761	197	7.14%
Advance in Person	2761	322	11.66%
Provisional	2761	10	0.36%
Advance in Person 2	2761	361	13.07%
Total	2761	1791	64.87%
043 Martins D			
Polling	2059	604	29.33%
Absentee by Mail	2059	148	7.19%
Advance in Person	2059	218	10.59%
Provisional	2059	28	1.36%
Advance in Person 2	2059	96	4.66%
Total	2059	1094	53.13%
044 Rockbridge B			
Polling	3761	990	26.32%
Absentee by Mail	3761	212	5.64%
Advance in Person	3761	118	3.14%
Provisional	3761	26	0.69%
Advance in Person 2	3761	964	25.63%
Total	3761	2310	61.42%
045 Garners B			
Polling	2916	718	24.62%
Absentee by Mail	2916	263	9.02%
Advance in Person	2916	699	23.97%
Provisional	2916	12	0.41%
Advance in Person 2	2916	382	13.10%
Total	2916	2074	71.12%
046 Cates F			
Polling	1689	687	40.67%
Absentee by Mail	1689	134	7.93%
Advance in Person	1689	51	3.02%
Provisional	1689	8	0.47%
Advance in Person 2	1689	344	20.37%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	TURN OUT		
	Reg. Voters	Cards Cast	% Turnout
Total	1689	1224	72.47%
047 Cates G			
Polling	2597	920	35.43%
Absentee by Mail	2597	243	9.36%
Advance in Person	2597	114	4.39%
Provisional	2597	14	0.54%
Advance in Person 2	2597	676	26.03%
Total	2597	1967	75.74%
048 Duluth B			
Polling	3332	921	27.64%
Absentee by Mail	3332	339	10.17%
Advance in Person	3332	657	19.72%
Provisional	3332	22	0.66%
Advance in Person 2	3332	152	4.56%
Total	3332	2091	62.76%
049 Garners C			
Polling	1908	551	28.88%
Absentee by Mail	1908	121	6.34%
Advance in Person	1908	500	26.21%
Provisional	1908	12	0.63%
Advance in Person 2	1908	46	2.41%
Total	1908	1230	64.47%
050 Pinckneyville I			
Polling	2479	682	27.51%
Absentee by Mail	2479	164	6.62%
Advance in Person	2479	409	16.50%
Provisional	2479	36	1.45%
Advance in Person 2	2479	70	2.82%
Total	2479	1361	54.90%
051 Pinckneyville J			
Polling	2543	551	21.67%
Absentee by Mail	2543	147	5.78%
Advance in Person	2543	417	16.40%
Provisional	2543	56	2.20%
Advance in Person 2	2543	36	1.42%
Total	2543	1207	47.46%
052 Berkshire G			
Polling	2250	660	29.33%
Absentee by Mail	2250	176	7.82%
Advance in Person	2250	753	33.47%
Provisional	2250	10	0.44%
Advance in Person 2	2250	30	1.33%
Total	2250	1629	72.40%
053 Cates H			
Polling	3622	1002	27.66%
Absentee by Mail	3622	254	7.01%
Advance in Person	3622	49	1.35%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	TURN OUT		
	Reg. Voters	Cards Cast	% Turnout
Provisional	3622	28	0.77%
Advance in Person 2	3622	873	24.10%
Total	3622	2206	60.91%
054 Sugar Hill C			
Polling	4596	1617	35.18%
Absentee by Mail	4596	341	7.42%
Advance in Person	4596	457	9.94%
Provisional	4596	58	1.26%
Advance in Person 2	4596	577	12.55%
Total	4596	3050	66.36%
055 Sugar Hill D			
Polling	4070	1227	30.15%
Absentee by Mail	4070	263	6.46%
Advance in Person	4070	870	21.38%
Provisional	4070	60	1.47%
Advance in Person 2	4070	152	3.73%
Total	4070	2572	63.19%
056 Duluth C			
Polling	2243	698	31.12%
Absentee by Mail	2243	197	8.78%
Advance in Person	2243	471	21.00%
Provisional	2243	7	0.31%
Advance in Person 2	2243	72	3.21%
Total	2243	1445	64.42%
057 Duluth D			
Polling	4404	1060	24.07%
Absentee by Mail	4404	404	9.17%
Advance in Person	4404	1000	22.71%
Provisional	4404	44	1.00%
Advance in Person 2	4404	146	3.32%
Total	4404	2654	60.26%
058 Pinckneyville K			
Polling	3547	896	25.26%
Absentee by Mail	3547	227	6.40%
Advance in Person	3547	489	13.79%
Provisional	3547	50	1.41%
Advance in Person 2	3547	90	2.54%
Total	3547	1752	49.39%
059 Pinckneyville N			
Polling	3717	1072	28.84%
Absentee by Mail	3717	149	4.01%
Advance in Person	3717	549	14.77%
Provisional	3717	34	0.91%
Advance in Person 2	3717	58	1.56%
Total	3717	1862	50.09%
060 Lawrenceville D			
Polling	4872	1072	22.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	TURN OUT		
	Reg. Voters	Cards Cast	% Turnout
Absentee by Mail	4872	243	4.99%
Advance in Person	4872	87	1.79%
Provisional	4872	48	0.99%
Advance in Person 2	4872	896	18.39%
Total	4872	2346	48.15%
061 Lawrenceville E			
Polling	2205	645	29.25%
Absentee by Mail	2205	207	9.39%
Advance in Person	2205	22	1.00%
Provisional	2205	6	0.27%
Advance in Person 2	2205	632	28.66%
Total	2205	1512	68.57%
062 Pinckneyville L			
Polling	2233	1120	50.16%
Absentee by Mail	2233	275	12.32%
Advance in Person	2233	390	17.47%
Provisional	2233	4	0.18%
Advance in Person 2	2233	37	1.66%
Total	2233	1826	81.77%
063 Pinckneyville M			
Polling	2515	1168	46.44%
Absentee by Mail	2515	349	13.88%
Advance in Person	2515	466	18.53%
Provisional	2515	14	0.56%
Advance in Person 2	2515	20	0.80%
Total	2515	2017	80.20%
064 Garners D			
Polling	3127	884	28.27%
Absentee by Mail	3127	232	7.42%
Advance in Person	3127	640	20.47%
Provisional	3127	16	0.51%
Advance in Person 2	3127	298	9.53%
Total	3127	2070	66.20%
065 Berkshire H			
Polling	1717	465	27.08%
Absentee by Mail	1717	118	6.87%
Advance in Person	1717	330	19.22%
Provisional	1717	22	1.28%
Advance in Person 2	1717	35	2.04%
Total	1717	970	56.49%
066 Pinckneyville O			
Polling	3267	787	24.09%
Absentee by Mail	3267	156	4.78%
Advance in Person	3267	641	19.62%
Provisional	3267	63	1.93%
Advance in Person 2	3267	28	0.86%
Total	3267	1675	51.27%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	TURN OUT		
	Reg. Voters	Cards Cast	% Turnout
067 Pucketts E			
Polling	4771	1171	24.54%
Absentee by Mail	4771	368	7.71%
Advance in Person	4771	1229	25.76%
Provisional	4771	57	1.19%
Advance in Person 2	4771	163	3.42%
Total	4771	2988	62.63%
068 Cates I			
Polling	3395	1183	34.85%
Absentee by Mail	3395	289	8.51%
Advance in Person	3395	199	5.86%
Provisional	3395	16	0.47%
Advance in Person 2	3395	662	19.50%
Total	3395	2349	69.19%
069 Cates J			
Polling	3266	1133	34.69%
Absentee by Mail	3266	247	7.56%
Advance in Person	3266	634	19.41%
Provisional	3266	26	0.80%
Advance in Person 2	3266	219	6.71%
Total	3266	2259	69.17%
070 Goodwins B			
Polling	3604	1225	33.99%
Absentee by Mail	3604	307	8.52%
Advance in Person	3604	207	5.74%
Provisional	3604	14	0.39%
Advance in Person 2	3604	697	19.34%
Total	3604	2450	67.98%
071 Lawrenceville F			
Polling	3698	903	24.42%
Absentee by Mail	3698	297	8.03%
Advance in Person	3698	57	1.54%
Provisional	3698	30	0.81%
Advance in Person 2	3698	1070	28.93%
Total	3698	2357	63.74%
072 Martins E			
Polling	5934	1213	20.44%
Absentee by Mail	5934	367	6.18%
Advance in Person	5934	705	11.88%
Provisional	5934	120	2.02%
Advance in Person 2	5934	253	4.26%
Total	5934	2658	44.79%
073 Pinckneyville P			
Polling	2048	901	43.99%
Absentee by Mail	2048	236	11.52%
Advance in Person	2048	286	13.96%
Provisional	2048	14	0.68%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	TURN OUT		
	Reg. Voters	Cards Cast	% Turnout
Advance in Person 2	2048	24	1.17%
Total	2048	1461	71.34%
074 Pinckneyville Q			
Polling	1725	601	34.84%
Absentee by Mail	1725	67	3.88%
Advance in Person	1725	192	11.13%
Provisional	1725	24	1.39%
Advance in Person 2	1725	36	2.09%
Total	1725	920	53.33%
075 Rockbridge C			
Polling	4722	1224	25.92%
Absentee by Mail	4722	395	8.37%
Advance in Person	4722	168	3.56%
Provisional	4722	34	0.72%
Advance in Person 2	4722	1462	30.96%
Total	4722	3283	69.53%
076 Rockbridge D			
Polling	3359	830	24.71%
Absentee by Mail	3359	283	8.43%
Advance in Person	3359	36	1.07%
Provisional	3359	56	1.67%
Advance in Person 2	3359	1121	33.37%
Total	3359	2326	69.25%
077 Goodwins C			
Polling	5106	1384	27.11%
Absentee by Mail	5106	425	8.32%
Advance in Person	5106	282	5.52%
Provisional	5106	38	0.74%
Advance in Person 2	5106	859	16.82%
Total	5106	2988	58.52%
078 Baycreek K			
Polling	3536	956	27.04%
Absentee by Mail	3536	316	8.94%
Advance in Person	3536	36	1.02%
Provisional	3536	24	0.68%
Advance in Person 2	3536	1171	33.12%
Total	3536	2503	70.79%
079 Cates K			
Polling	2531	975	38.52%
Absentee by Mail	2531	144	5.69%
Advance in Person	2531	120	4.74%
Provisional	2531	16	0.63%
Advance in Person 2	2531	486	19.20%
Total	2531	1741	68.79%
080 Baycreek C			
Polling	5755	1661	28.86%
Absentee by Mail	5755	382	6.64%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	TURN OUT		
	Reg. Voters	Cards Cast	% Turnout
Advance in Person	5755	100	1.74%
Provisional	5755	24	0.42%
Advance in Person 2	5755	1824	31.69%
Total	5755	3991	69.35%
081 Cates L			
Polling	3751	1142	30.45%
Absentee by Mail	3751	282	7.52%
Advance in Person	3751	47	1.25%
Provisional	3751	20	0.53%
Advance in Person 2	3751	1032	27.51%
Total	3751	2523	67.26%
082 Duluth E			
Polling	4580	1185	25.87%
Absentee by Mail	4580	324	7.07%
Advance in Person	4580	997	21.77%
Provisional	4580	46	1.00%
Advance in Person 2	4580	108	2.36%
Total	4580	2660	58.08%
083 Goodwins D			
Polling	3985	1299	32.60%
Absentee by Mail	3985	283	7.10%
Advance in Person	3985	106	2.66%
Provisional	3985	40	1.00%
Advance in Person 2	3985	771	19.35%
Total	3985	2499	62.71%
084 Lawrenceville G			
Polling	2783	802	28.82%
Absentee by Mail	2783	183	6.58%
Advance in Person	2783	39	1.40%
Provisional	2783	42	1.51%
Advance in Person 2	2783	562	20.19%
Total	2783	1628	58.50%
085 Lawrenceville H			
Polling	3899	1228	31.50%
Absentee by Mail	3899	321	8.23%
Advance in Person	3899	240	6.16%
Provisional	3899	24	0.62%
Advance in Person 2	3899	786	20.16%
Total	3899	2599	66.66%
086 Martins F			
Polling	2401	683	28.45%
Absentee by Mail	2401	169	7.04%
Advance in Person	2401	325	13.54%
Provisional	2401	26	1.08%
Advance in Person 2	2401	102	4.25%
Total	2401	1305	54.35%
087 Pinckneyville S			

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	TURN OUT		
	Reg. Voters	Cards Cast	% Turnout
Polling	3013	965	32.03%
Absentee by Mail	3013	301	9.99%
Advance in Person	3013	475	15.77%
Provisional	3013	22	0.73%
Advance in Person 2	3013	61	2.02%
Total	3013	1824	60.54%
088 Rockbridge E			
Polling	4199	1151	27.41%
Absentee by Mail	4199	238	5.67%
Advance in Person	4199	163	3.88%
Provisional	4199	82	1.95%
Advance in Person 2	4199	837	19.93%
Total	4199	2471	58.85%
089 Sugar Hill E			
Polling	3061	951	31.07%
Absentee by Mail	3061	239	7.81%
Advance in Person	3061	200	6.53%
Provisional	3061	46	1.50%
Advance in Person 2	3061	598	19.54%
Total	3061	2034	66.45%
090 Lawrenceville I			
Polling	3140	944	30.06%
Absentee by Mail	3140	247	7.87%
Advance in Person	3140	145	4.62%
Provisional	3140	18	0.57%
Advance in Person 2	3140	539	17.17%
Total	3140	1893	60.29%
091 Baycreek D			
Polling	4157	1233	29.66%
Absentee by Mail	4157	334	8.03%
Advance in Person	4157	37	0.89%
Provisional	4157	22	0.53%
Advance in Person 2	4157	1287	30.96%
Total	4157	2913	70.07%
092 Berkshire J			
Polling	2595	834	32.14%
Absentee by Mail	2595	183	7.05%
Advance in Person	2595	817	31.48%
Provisional	2595	14	0.54%
Advance in Person 2	2595	77	2.97%
Total	2595	1925	74.18%
093 Cates M			
Polling	3794	1300	34.26%
Absentee by Mail	3794	387	10.20%
Advance in Person	3794	128	3.37%
Provisional	3794	16	0.42%
Advance in Person 2	3794	985	25.96%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	TURN OUT		
	Reg. Voters	Cards Cast	% Turnout
Total	3794	2816	74.22%
094 Cates N			
Polling	2445	823	33.66%
Absentee by Mail	2445	220	9.00%
Advance in Person	2445	25	1.02%
Provisional	2445	10	0.41%
Advance in Person 2	2445	667	27.28%
Total	2445	1745	71.37%
095 Duluth F			
Polling	5413	1293	23.89%
Absentee by Mail	5413	376	6.95%
Advance in Person	5413	606	11.20%
Provisional	5413	52	0.96%
Advance in Person 2	5413	444	8.20%
Total	5413	2771	51.19%
096 Duluth G			
Polling	2775	944	34.02%
Absentee by Mail	2775	218	7.86%
Advance in Person	2775	337	12.14%
Provisional	2775	22	0.79%
Advance in Person 2	2775	351	12.65%
Total	2775	1872	67.46%
097 Goodwins E			
Polling	4950	1544	31.19%
Absentee by Mail	4950	457	9.23%
Advance in Person	4950	167	3.37%
Provisional	4950	36	0.73%
Advance in Person 2	4950	957	19.33%
Total	4950	3161	63.86%
098 Goodwins F			
Polling	2904	893	30.75%
Absentee by Mail	2904	263	9.06%
Advance in Person	2904	65	2.24%
Provisional	2904	24	0.83%
Advance in Person 2	2904	588	20.25%
Total	2904	1833	63.12%
099 Pinckneyville T			
Polling	2874	877	30.51%
Absentee by Mail	2874	288	10.02%
Advance in Person	2874	480	16.70%
Provisional	2874	21	0.73%
Advance in Person 2	2874	45	1.57%
Total	2874	1711	59.53%
100 Suwanee B			
Polling	4085	880	21.54%
Absentee by Mail	4085	276	6.76%
Advance in Person	4085	30	0.73%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	TURN OUT		
	Reg. Voters	Cards Cast	% Turnout
Provisional	4085	14	0.34%
Advance in Person 2	4085	1510	36.96%
Total	4085	2710	66.34%
101 Pinckneyville U			
Polling	2007	828	41.26%
Absentee by Mail	2007	146	7.27%
Advance in Person	2007	291	14.50%
Provisional	2007	14	0.70%
Advance in Person 2	2007	32	1.59%
Total	2007	1311	65.32%
102 Pucketts B			
Polling	2741	825	30.10%
Absentee by Mail	2741	169	6.17%
Advance in Person	2741	835	30.46%
Provisional	2741	22	0.80%
Advance in Person 2	2741	63	2.30%
Total	2741	1914	69.83%
103 Berkshire L			
Polling	2915	919	31.53%
Absentee by Mail	2915	236	8.10%
Advance in Person	2915	339	11.63%
Provisional	2915	34	1.17%
Advance in Person 2	2915	190	6.52%
Total	2915	1718	58.94%
104 Rockbridge F			
Polling	5313	1050	19.76%
Absentee by Mail	5313	422	7.94%
Advance in Person	5313	39	0.73%
Provisional	5313	40	0.75%
Advance in Person 2	5313	2142	40.32%
Total	5313	3693	69.51%
105 Sugar Hill F			
Polling	3687	1270	34.45%
Absentee by Mail	3687	220	5.97%
Advance in Person	3687	124	3.36%
Provisional	3687	30	0.81%
Advance in Person 2	3687	694	18.82%
Total	3687	2338	63.41%
106 Suwanee C			
Polling	4823	1242	25.75%
Absentee by Mail	4823	419	8.69%
Advance in Person	4823	107	2.22%
Provisional	4823	104	2.16%
Advance in Person 2	4823	1231	25.52%
Total	4823	3103	64.34%
107 Cates O			
Polling	1342	496	36.96%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	TURN OUT		
	Reg. Voters	Cards Cast	% Turnout
Absentee by Mail	1342	78	5.81%
Advance in Person	1342	189	14.08%
Provisional	1342	4	0.30%
Advance in Person 2	1342	135	10.06%
Total	1342	902	67.21%
108 Baycreek E			
Polling	2863	935	32.66%
Absentee by Mail	2863	199	6.95%
Advance in Person	2863	31	1.08%
Provisional	2863	8	0.28%
Advance in Person 2	2863	665	23.23%
Total	2863	1838	64.20%
109 Berkshire M			
Polling	1638	583	35.59%
Absentee by Mail	1638	112	6.84%
Advance in Person	1638	430	26.25%
Provisional	1638	8	0.49%
Advance in Person 2	1638	40	2.44%
Total	1638	1173	71.61%
110 Martins G			
Polling	2187	685	31.32%
Absentee by Mail	2187	146	6.68%
Advance in Person	2187	35	1.60%
Provisional	2187	20	0.91%
Advance in Person 2	2187	355	16.23%
Total	2187	1241	56.74%
111 Duluth H			
Polling	2662	745	27.99%
Absentee by Mail	2662	373	14.01%
Advance in Person	2662	587	22.05%
Provisional	2662	10	0.38%
Advance in Person 2	2662	117	4.40%
Total	2662	1832	68.82%
112 Berkshire N			
Polling	2836	661	23.31%
Absentee by Mail	2836	256	9.03%
Advance in Person	2836	1216	42.88%
Provisional	2836	8	0.28%
Advance in Person 2	2836	40	1.41%
Total	2836	2181	76.90%
113 Pinckneyville V			
Polling	1572	392	24.94%
Absentee by Mail	1572	127	8.08%
Advance in Person	1572	346	22.01%
Provisional	1572	32	2.04%
Advance in Person 2	1572	12	0.76%
Total	1572	909	57.82%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	TURN OUT		
	Reg. Voters	Cards Cast	% Turnout
114 Pinckneyville W			
Polling	3885	1237	31.84%
Absentee by Mail	3885	289	7.44%
Advance in Person	3885	729	18.76%
Provisional	3885	40	1.03%
Advance in Person 2	3885	46	1.18%
Total	3885	2341	60.26%
115 Martins H			
Polling	4487	1312	29.24%
Absentee by Mail	4487	442	9.85%
Advance in Person	4487	230	5.13%
Provisional	4487	30	0.67%
Advance in Person 2	4487	736	16.40%
Total	4487	2750	61.29%
116 Martins I			
Polling	3518	958	27.23%
Absentee by Mail	3518	322	9.15%
Advance in Person	3518	329	9.35%
Provisional	3518	36	1.02%
Advance in Person 2	3518	266	7.56%
Total	3518	1911	54.32%
117 Martins J			
Polling	3809	1055	27.70%
Absentee by Mail	3809	239	6.27%
Advance in Person	3809	363	9.53%
Provisional	3809	40	1.05%
Advance in Person 2	3809	273	7.17%
Total	3809	1970	51.72%
118 Garners F			
Polling	1558	475	30.49%
Absentee by Mail	1558	89	5.71%
Advance in Person	1558	484	31.07%
Provisional	1558	8	0.51%
Advance in Person 2	1558	16	1.03%
Total	1558	1072	68.81%
119 Berkshire O			
Polling	2422	893	36.87%
Absentee by Mail	2422	212	8.75%
Advance in Person	2422	491	20.27%
Provisional	2422	18	0.74%
Advance in Person 2	2422	148	6.11%
Total	2422	1762	72.75%
120 Berkshire P			
Polling	1339	398	29.72%
Absentee by Mail	1339	121	9.04%
Advance in Person	1339	189	14.12%
Provisional	1339	16	1.19%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	TURN OUT		
	Reg. Voters	Cards Cast	% Turnout
Advance in Person 2	1339	57	4.26%
Total	1339	781	58.33%
121 Pinckneyville X			
Polling	3198	1104	34.52%
Absentee by Mail	3198	438	13.70%
Advance in Person	3198	746	23.33%
Provisional	3198	10	0.31%
Advance in Person 2	3198	73	2.28%
Total	3198	2371	74.14%
122 Rockbridge G			
Polling	5188	1160	22.36%
Absentee by Mail	5188	468	9.02%
Advance in Person	5188	109	2.10%
Provisional	5188	82	1.58%
Advance in Person 2	5188	1620	31.23%
Total	5188	3439	66.29%
123 Pinckneyville Y			
Polling	3018	785	26.01%
Absentee by Mail	3018	127	4.21%
Advance in Person	3018	453	15.01%
Provisional	3018	50	1.66%
Advance in Person 2	3018	63	2.09%
Total	3018	1478	48.97%
124 Goodwins G			
Polling	2448	775	31.66%
Absentee by Mail	2448	139	5.68%
Advance in Person	2448	70	2.86%
Provisional	2448	19	0.78%
Advance in Person 2	2448	423	17.28%
Total	2448	1426	58.25%
125 Pinckneyville Z			
Polling	1806	889	49.22%
Absentee by Mail	1806	192	10.63%
Advance in Person	1806	311	17.22%
Provisional	1806	4	0.22%
Advance in Person 2	1806	31	1.72%
Total	1806	1427	79.01%
126 Pinckneyville A1			
Polling	2596	1186	45.69%
Absentee by Mail	2596	318	12.25%
Advance in Person	2596	483	18.61%
Provisional	2596	14	0.54%
Advance in Person 2	2596	48	1.85%
Total	2596	2049	78.93%
127 Lawrenceville J			
Polling	1959	634	32.36%
Absentee by Mail	1959	159	8.12%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	TURN OUT		
	Reg. Voters	Cards Cast	% Turnout
Advance in Person	1959	48	2.45%
Provisional	1959	12	0.61%
Advance in Person 2	1959	385	19.65%
Total	1959	1238	63.20%
128 Goodwins H			
Polling	2561	845	32.99%
Absentee by Mail	2561	218	8.51%
Advance in Person	2561	53	2.07%
Provisional	2561	25	0.98%
Advance in Person 2	2561	550	21.48%
Total	2561	1691	66.03%
129 Duncans B			
Polling	4655	1625	34.91%
Absentee by Mail	4655	300	6.44%
Advance in Person	4655	1163	24.98%
Provisional	4655	12	0.26%
Advance in Person 2	4655	112	2.41%
Total	4655	3212	69.00%
130 Suwanee D			
Polling	4247	1420	33.44%
Absentee by Mail	4247	343	8.08%
Advance in Person	4247	89	2.10%
Provisional	4247	30	0.71%
Advance in Person 2	4247	1152	27.13%
Total	4247	3034	71.44%
131 Suwanee E			
Polling	3921	1104	28.16%
Absentee by Mail	3921	373	9.51%
Advance in Person	3921	47	1.20%
Provisional	3921	42	1.07%
Advance in Person 2	3921	1279	32.62%
Total	3921	2845	72.56%
132 Lawrenceville K			
Polling	2915	1031	35.37%
Absentee by Mail	2915	221	7.58%
Advance in Person	2915	180	6.17%
Provisional	2915	12	0.41%
Advance in Person 2	2915	530	18.18%
Total	2915	1974	67.72%
133 Harbins B			
Polling	4220	1009	23.91%
Absentee by Mail	4220	189	4.48%
Advance in Person	4220	1323	31.35%
Provisional	4220	8	0.19%
Advance in Person 2	4220	145	3.44%
Total	4220	2674	63.36%
134 Baycreek F			

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	TURN OUT		
	Reg. Voters	Cards Cast	% Turnout
Polling	4119	1233	29.93%
Absentee by Mail	4119	357	8.67%
Advance in Person	4119	38	0.92%
Provisional	4119	20	0.49%
Advance in Person 2	4119	1201	29.16%
Total	4119	2849	69.17%
135 Pucketts C			
Polling	2931	857	29.24%
Absentee by Mail	2931	203	6.93%
Advance in Person	2931	731	24.94%
Provisional	2931	31	1.06%
Advance in Person 2	2931	77	2.63%
Total	2931	1899	64.79%
136 Hog Mountain C			
Polling	3832	1240	32.36%
Absentee by Mail	3832	245	6.39%
Advance in Person	3832	660	17.22%
Provisional	3832	17	0.44%
Advance in Person 2	3832	228	5.95%
Total	3832	2390	62.37%
137 Rockycreek B			
Polling	4677	1401	29.96%
Absentee by Mail	4677	260	5.56%
Advance in Person	4677	1095	23.41%
Provisional	4677	16	0.34%
Advance in Person 2	4677	234	5.00%
Total	4677	3006	64.27%
138 Duluth I			
Polling	4491	1275	28.39%
Absentee by Mail	4491	599	13.34%
Advance in Person	4491	468	10.42%
Provisional	4491	8	0.18%
Advance in Person 2	4491	681	15.16%
Total	4491	3031	67.49%
139 Martins K			
Polling	4796	1437	29.96%
Absentee by Mail	4796	342	7.13%
Advance in Person	4796	209	4.36%
Provisional	4796	46	0.96%
Advance in Person 2	4796	813	16.95%
Total	4796	2847	59.36%
140 Suwanee H			
Polling	3480	1051	30.20%
Absentee by Mail	3480	385	11.06%
Advance in Person	3480	51	1.47%
Provisional	3480	44	1.26%
Advance in Person 2	3480	1117	32.10%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	TURN OUT		
	Reg. Voters	Cards Cast	% Turnout
Total	3480	2648	76.09%
141 Sugar Hill G			
Polling	2601	896	34.45%
Absentee by Mail	2601	179	6.88%
Advance in Person	2601	132	5.07%
Provisional	2601	32	1.23%
Advance in Person 2	2601	584	22.45%
Total	2601	1823	70.09%
142 Suwanee F			
Polling	3598	1107	30.77%
Absentee by Mail	3598	283	7.87%
Advance in Person	3598	72	2.00%
Provisional	3598	26	0.72%
Advance in Person 2	3598	865	24.04%
Total	3598	2353	65.40%
143 Lawrenceville L			
Polling	4355	1357	31.16%
Absentee by Mail	4355	377	8.66%
Advance in Person	4355	54	1.24%
Provisional	4355	30	0.69%
Advance in Person 2	4355	1125	25.83%
Total	4355	2943	67.58%
144 Lawrenceville M			
Polling	5956	1550	26.02%
Absentee by Mail	5956	367	6.16%
Advance in Person	5956	269	4.52%
Provisional	5956	44	0.74%
Advance in Person 2	5956	1317	22.11%
Total	5956	3547	59.55%
145 Baycreek G			
Polling	5092	1567	30.77%
Absentee by Mail	5092	350	6.87%
Advance in Person	5092	54	1.06%
Provisional	5092	28	0.55%
Advance in Person 2	5092	1465	28.77%
Total	5092	3464	68.03%
146 Baycreek H			
Polling	5697	1732	30.40%
Absentee by Mail	5697	432	7.58%
Advance in Person	5697	101	1.77%
Provisional	5697	18	0.32%
Advance in Person 2	5697	1636	28.72%
Total	5697	3919	68.79%
147 Baycreek I			
Polling	5162	1298	25.15%
Absentee by Mail	5162	389	7.54%
Advance in Person	5162	54	1.05%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	TURN OUT		
	Reg. Voters	Cards Cast	% Turnout
Provisional	5162	30	0.58%
Advance in Person 2	5162	1544	29.91%
Total	5162	3315	64.22%
148 Berkshire Q			
Polling	1644	552	33.58%
Absentee by Mail	1644	110	6.69%
Advance in Person	1644	406	24.70%
Provisional	1644	12	0.73%
Advance in Person 2	1644	30	1.82%
Total	1644	1110	67.52%
149 Goodwins I			
Polling	2341	898	38.36%
Absentee by Mail	2341	258	11.02%
Advance in Person	2341	86	3.67%
Provisional	2341	13	0.56%
Advance in Person 2	2341	462	19.74%
Total	2341	1717	73.34%
150 Duluth K			
Polling	2694	553	20.53%
Absentee by Mail	2694	108	4.01%
Advance in Person	2694	391	14.51%
Provisional	2694	20	0.74%
Advance in Person 2	2694	40	1.48%
Total	2694	1112	41.28%
151 Harbins C			
Polling	2369	968	40.86%
Absentee by Mail	2369	150	6.33%
Advance in Person	2369	300	12.66%
Provisional	2369	14	0.59%
Advance in Person 2	2369	284	11.99%
Total	2369	1716	72.44%
152 Rockycreek C			
Polling	4504	1363	30.26%
Absentee by Mail	4504	265	5.88%
Advance in Person	4504	1232	27.35%
Provisional	4504	11	0.24%
Advance in Person 2	4504	213	4.73%
Total	4504	3084	68.47%
153 Lawrenceville N			
Polling	2343	618	26.38%
Absentee by Mail	2343	155	6.62%
Advance in Person	2343	23	0.98%
Provisional	2343	44	1.88%
Advance in Person 2	2343	523	22.32%
Total	2343	1363	58.17%
154 Pucketts D			
Polling	3166	893	28.21%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	TURN OUT		
	Reg. Voters	Cards Cast	% Turnout
Absentee by Mail	3166	285	9.00%
Advance in Person	3166	879	27.76%
Provisional	3166	32	1.01%
Advance in Person 2	3166	79	2.50%
Total	3166	2168	68.48%
155 Suwanee G			
Polling	3451	1163	33.70%
Absentee by Mail	3451	274	7.94%
Advance in Person	3451	87	2.52%
Provisional	3451	30	0.87%
Advance in Person 2	3451	768	22.25%
Total	3451	2322	67.28%
156 Baycreek J			
Polling	4038	1220	30.21%
Absentee by Mail	4038	322	7.97%
Advance in Person	4038	52	1.29%
Provisional	4038	18	0.45%
Advance in Person 2	4038	1179	29.20%
Total	4038	2791	69.12%
Total			
Polling	525568	155674	29.62%
Absentee by Mail	525568	40588	7.72%
Advance in Person	525568	62644	11.92%
Advance in Person 2	525568	74798	14.23%
Provisional	525568	4421	0.84%
Total	525568	338125	64.34%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Governor / Gobernador								
	Reg. Voters	B. KEMP (R)		S. ABRAMS (D)		T. METZ (L)		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide									
001 Harbins A									
Polling	6123	805	51.67%	724	46.47%	28	1.80%	1	0.06%
Absentee by Mail	6123	72	37.11%	121	62.37%	1	0.52%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	6123	634	55.91%	488	43.03%	11	0.97%	1	0.09%
Provisional	6123	3	18.75%	13	81.25%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	6123	321	39.19%	496	60.56%	1	0.12%	1	0.12%
Total	6123	1835	49.31%	1842	49.50%	41	1.10%	3	0.08%
002 Rockbridge A									
Polling	3653	146	17.72%	670	81.31%	7	0.85%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	3653	30	22.06%	104	76.47%	2	1.47%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3653	30	25.42%	88	74.58%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3653	33	39.76%	50	60.24%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3653	195	17.87%	893	81.85%	3	0.27%	0	0.00%
Total	3653	434	19.27%	1805	80.15%	12	0.53%	1	0.04%
003 Dacula									
Polling	4478	479	42.09%	637	55.98%	21	1.85%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	4478	27	20.93%	102	79.07%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4478	583	48.38%	612	50.79%	10	0.83%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4478	3	37.50%	5	62.50%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4478	64	26.45%	176	72.73%	2	0.83%	0	0.00%
Total	4478	1156	42.47%	1532	56.28%	33	1.21%	1	0.04%
004 Suwanee A									
Polling	1551	187	48.95%	186	48.69%	9	2.36%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1551	25	56.82%	18	40.91%	1	2.27%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1551	14	35.90%	25	64.10%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1551	11	68.75%	5	31.25%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1551	165	41.88%	222	56.35%	7	1.78%	0	0.00%
Total	1551	402	45.94%	456	52.11%	17	1.94%	0	0.00%
005 Baycreek A									
Polling	2555	540	60.88%	333	37.54%	14	1.58%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2555	54	51.92%	50	48.08%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2555	17	48.57%	18	51.43%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2555	17	60.71%	11	39.29%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2555	335	47.12%	371	52.18%	5	0.70%	0	0.00%
Total	2555	963	54.56%	783	44.36%	19	1.08%	0	0.00%
006 Goodwins A									
Polling	3583	496	41.86%	671	56.62%	18	1.52%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3583	53	41.09%	75	58.14%	1	0.78%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3583	27	25.23%	78	72.90%	2	1.87%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3583	5	27.78%	13	72.22%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3583	260	38.40%	411	60.71%	6	0.89%	0	0.00%
Total	3583	841	39.74%	1248	58.98%	27	1.28%	0	0.00%
007 Duluth A									
Polling	4599	671	47.72%	697	49.57%	35	2.49%	3	0.21%
Absentee by Mail	4599	76	34.39%	139	62.90%	6	2.71%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4599	279	35.18%	507	63.93%	7	0.88%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Governor / Gobernador								
	Reg. Voters	B. KEMP (R)		S. ABRAMS (D)		T. METZ (L)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	4599	5	41.67%	6	50.00%	1	8.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4599	247	45.49%	288	53.04%	7	1.29%	1	0.18%
Total	4599	1278	42.96%	1637	55.03%	56	1.88%	4	0.13%
008 Duncans A									
Polling	4278	878	67.59%	396	30.48%	25	1.92%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4278	71	53.79%	59	44.70%	2	1.52%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4278	891	69.28%	386	30.02%	9	0.70%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4278	6	46.15%	6	46.15%	1	7.69%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4278	99	57.23%	74	42.77%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	4278	1945	67.00%	921	31.73%	37	1.27%	0	0.00%
009 Pucketts A									
Polling	4844	724	64.53%	370	32.98%	27	2.41%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	4844	101	56.11%	73	40.56%	4	2.22%	2	1.11%
Advance in Person	4844	1274	69.77%	542	29.68%	10	0.55%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4844	2	50.00%	2	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4844	33	60.00%	22	40.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	4844	2134	66.96%	1009	31.66%	41	1.29%	3	0.09%
010 Cates A									
Polling	2209	410	63.57%	228	35.35%	7	1.09%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2209	96	59.63%	64	39.75%	1	0.62%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2209	17	68.00%	7	28.00%	1	4.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2209	2	50.00%	2	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2209	344	56.12%	264	43.07%	5	0.82%	0	0.00%
Total	2209	869	60.01%	565	39.02%	14	0.97%	0	0.00%
011 Berkshire A									
Polling	2247	228	34.39%	428	64.56%	7	1.06%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2247	26	26.80%	69	71.13%	1	1.03%	1	1.03%
Advance in Person	2247	75	22.32%	259	77.08%	2	0.60%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2247	2	16.67%	10	83.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2247	32	50.00%	32	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2247	363	30.97%	798	68.09%	10	0.85%	1	0.09%
012 Berkshire B									
Polling	5010	194	21.04%	712	77.22%	14	1.52%	2	0.22%
Absentee by Mail	5010	51	28.49%	125	69.83%	3	1.68%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5010	371	25.08%	1093	73.90%	12	0.81%	3	0.20%
Provisional	5010	0	0.00%	23	95.83%	0	0.00%	1	4.17%
Advance in Person 2	5010	20	25.64%	58	74.36%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	5010	636	23.71%	2011	74.98%	29	1.08%	6	0.22%
013 Duncans C									
Polling	2828	748	73.33%	257	25.20%	15	1.47%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2828	56	58.95%	38	40.00%	1	1.05%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2828	526	67.87%	244	31.48%	5	0.65%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2828	5	71.43%	2	28.57%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2828	43	53.75%	37	46.25%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2828	1378	69.70%	578	29.24%	21	1.06%	0	0.00%
014 Garners A									
Polling	3302	579	57.61%	399	39.70%	27	2.69%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Governor / Gobernador								
	Reg. Voters	B. KEMP (R)		S. ABRAMS (D)		T. METZ (L)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	3302	71	51.45%	66	47.83%	1	0.72%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3302	570	55.56%	450	43.86%	6	0.58%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3302	4	44.44%	5	55.56%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3302	45	39.82%	66	58.41%	0	0.00%	2	1.77%
Total	3302	1269	55.39%	986	43.04%	34	1.48%	2	0.09%
015 Lawrenceville A									
Polling	3300	306	41.98%	416	57.06%	7	0.96%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3300	74	51.39%	69	47.92%	1	0.69%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3300	7	41.18%	10	58.82%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3300	0	0.00%	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3300	364	47.15%	400	51.81%	8	1.04%	0	0.00%
Total	3300	751	45.08%	899	53.96%	16	0.96%	0	0.00%
016 Lawrenceville B									
Polling	4520	380	36.29%	658	62.85%	9	0.86%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4520	49	27.84%	126	71.59%	1	0.57%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4520	10	22.22%	35	77.78%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4520	4	28.57%	10	71.43%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4520	394	27.12%	1049	72.20%	10	0.69%	0	0.00%
Total	4520	837	30.60%	1878	68.67%	20	0.73%	0	0.00%
017 Martins A									
Polling	3019	180	21.43%	649	77.26%	11	1.31%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3019	18	18.37%	79	80.61%	1	1.02%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3019	43	16.80%	213	83.20%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3019	2	16.67%	10	83.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3019	50	15.92%	263	83.76%	1	0.32%	0	0.00%
Total	3019	293	19.28%	1214	79.87%	13	0.86%	0	0.00%
018 Martins B									
Polling	2796	270	32.03%	562	66.67%	9	1.07%	2	0.24%
Absentee by Mail	2796	31	32.98%	63	67.02%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2796	14	14.89%	80	85.11%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2796	4	19.05%	17	80.95%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2796	141	30.72%	314	68.41%	4	0.87%	0	0.00%
Total	2796	460	30.44%	1036	68.56%	13	0.86%	2	0.13%
019 Martins C									
Polling	2349	342	40.33%	498	58.73%	8	0.94%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2349	32	42.11%	44	57.89%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2349	82	40.00%	122	59.51%	1	0.49%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2349	1	10.00%	9	90.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2349	95	37.70%	155	61.51%	2	0.79%	0	0.00%
Total	2349	552	39.68%	828	59.53%	11	0.79%	0	0.00%
020 Pinckneyville A									
Polling	2603	132	18.41%	566	78.94%	17	2.37%	2	0.28%
Absentee by Mail	2603	14	16.28%	72	83.72%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2603	46	11.73%	345	88.01%	1	0.26%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2603	6	31.58%	12	63.16%	1	5.26%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2603	6	10.53%	51	89.47%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2603	204	16.05%	1046	82.30%	19	1.49%	2	0.16%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Governor / Gobernador								
	Reg. Voters	B. KEMP (R)		S. ABRAMS (D)		T. METZ (L)		Write-In Votes	
021 Pinckneyville B									
Polling	3216	149	21.72%	522	76.09%	14	2.04%	1	0.15%
Absentee by Mail	3216	34	27.64%	88	71.54%	1	0.81%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3216	114	19.42%	464	79.05%	9	1.53%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3216	7	18.92%	30	81.08%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3216	3	10.71%	25	89.29%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	3216	307	21.01%	1129	77.28%	24	1.64%	1	0.07%
022 Pinckneyville C									
Polling	1796	431	53.54%	359	44.60%	15	1.86%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1796	52	42.28%	68	55.28%	2	1.63%	1	0.81%
Advance in Person	1796	120	39.47%	180	59.21%	4	1.32%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1796	1	20.00%	4	80.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1796	22	48.89%	21	46.67%	2	4.44%	0	0.00%
Total	1796	626	48.83%	632	49.30%	23	1.79%	1	0.08%
023 Pinckneyville D									
Polling	2042	103	19.25%	423	79.07%	9	1.68%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2042	16	24.62%	46	70.77%	3	4.62%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2042	56	19.72%	227	79.93%	1	0.35%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2042	1	6.67%	13	86.67%	1	6.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2042	6	15.00%	34	85.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2042	182	19.38%	743	79.13%	14	1.49%	0	0.00%
024 Sugar Hill A									
Polling	4001	649	59.71%	416	38.27%	20	1.84%	2	0.18%
Absentee by Mail	4001	49	37.69%	79	60.77%	2	1.54%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4001	362	53.63%	313	46.37%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4001	11	55.00%	9	45.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4001	112	49.78%	110	48.89%	2	0.89%	1	0.44%
Total	4001	1183	55.36%	927	43.38%	24	1.12%	3	0.14%
025 Sugar Hill B									
Polling	4835	836	55.77%	632	42.16%	29	1.93%	2	0.13%
Absentee by Mail	4835	76	48.10%	79	50.00%	3	1.90%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4835	227	51.13%	211	47.52%	6	1.35%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4835	15	75.00%	5	25.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4835	317	53.10%	278	46.57%	2	0.34%	0	0.00%
Total	4835	1471	54.12%	1205	44.33%	40	1.47%	2	0.07%
026 Hog Mountain A									
Polling	4467	551	41.49%	756	56.93%	16	1.20%	5	0.38%
Absentee by Mail	4467	50	31.45%	107	67.30%	2	1.26%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4467	141	37.01%	237	62.20%	3	0.79%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4467	7	31.82%	15	68.18%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4467	199	33.61%	389	65.71%	4	0.68%	0	0.00%
Total	4467	948	38.20%	1504	60.60%	25	1.01%	5	0.20%
027 Hog Mountain B									
Polling	4211	826	58.75%	557	39.62%	23	1.64%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4211	84	49.41%	83	48.82%	3	1.76%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4211	478	58.15%	341	41.48%	2	0.24%	1	0.12%
Provisional	4211	6	50.00%	6	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Governor / Gobernador								
	Reg. Voters	B. KEMP (R)		S. ABRAMS (D)		T. METZ (L)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	4211	159	45.30%	188	53.56%	4	1.14%	0	0.00%
Total	4211	1553	56.25%	1175	42.56%	32	1.16%	1	0.04%
028 Rockycreek A									
Polling	5149	851	56.54%	626	41.59%	28	1.86%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5149	63	48.09%	67	51.15%	1	0.76%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5149	867	58.58%	594	40.14%	19	1.28%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5149	3	50.00%	3	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5149	54	31.58%	116	67.84%	1	0.58%	0	0.00%
Total	5149	1838	55.82%	1406	42.70%	49	1.49%	0	0.00%
029 Cates B									
Polling	1559	356	62.57%	187	32.86%	25	4.39%	1	0.18%
Absentee by Mail	1559	32	54.24%	26	44.07%	1	1.69%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1559	96	54.86%	75	42.86%	4	2.29%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1559	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1559	142	60.43%	92	39.15%	1	0.43%	0	0.00%
Total	1559	628	60.38%	380	36.54%	31	2.98%	1	0.10%
030 Cates C									
Polling	2599	294	38.63%	461	60.58%	6	0.79%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2599	35	40.23%	52	59.77%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2599	7	29.17%	17	70.83%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2599	1	12.50%	7	87.50%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2599	238	31.15%	523	68.46%	3	0.39%	0	0.00%
Total	2599	575	34.98%	1060	64.48%	9	0.55%	0	0.00%
031 Hog Mountain D									
Polling	2958	522	56.25%	379	40.84%	27	2.91%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2958	38	34.55%	71	64.55%	1	0.91%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2958	212	61.45%	130	37.68%	3	0.87%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2958	6	50.00%	6	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2958	171	49.71%	172	50.00%	1	0.29%	0	0.00%
Total	2958	949	54.57%	758	43.59%	32	1.84%	0	0.00%
032 Berkshire D									
Polling	2684	427	50.83%	388	46.19%	23	2.74%	2	0.24%
Absentee by Mail	2684	56	42.75%	74	56.49%	1	0.76%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2684	341	46.14%	394	53.32%	4	0.54%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2684	3	33.33%	6	66.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2684	38	45.24%	46	54.76%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2684	865	47.98%	908	50.36%	28	1.55%	2	0.11%
033 Berkshire E									
Polling	1866	373	57.12%	259	39.66%	18	2.76%	3	0.46%
Absentee by Mail	1866	37	44.05%	47	55.95%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1866	261	47.89%	278	51.01%	5	0.92%	1	0.18%
Provisional	1866	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1866	22	59.46%	15	40.54%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	1866	693	52.50%	600	45.45%	23	1.74%	4	0.30%
034 Berkshire F									
Polling	2003	249	38.37%	391	60.25%	9	1.39%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2003	35	42.68%	44	53.66%	3	3.66%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Governor / Gobernador										
	Reg. Voters	B. KEMP (R)		S. ABRAMS (D)		T. METZ (L)		Write-In Votes			
Advance in Person	2003	60	23.53%	192	75.29%	3	1.18%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2003	1	9.09%	10	90.91%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2003	28	36.36%	49	63.64%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Total	2003	373	34.73%	686	63.87%	15	1.40%	0	0.00%		
035 Cates D - 04											
Polling	2605	441	51.64%	397	46.49%	16	1.87%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	2605	45	42.86%	58	55.24%	1	0.95%	1	0.95%		
Advance in Person	2605	83	46.37%	95	53.07%	1	0.56%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2605	7	63.64%	4	36.36%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2605	227	44.42%	281	54.99%	2	0.39%	1	0.20%		
Total	2605	803	48.37%	835	50.30%	20	1.20%	2	0.12%		
035 Cates D - 07											
Polling	585	103	52.82%	90	46.15%	2	1.03%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	585	5	18.52%	22	81.48%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	585	21	29.17%	51	70.83%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	585	2	66.67%	1	33.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	585	28	39.44%	43	60.56%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Total	585	159	43.21%	207	56.25%	2	0.54%	0	0.00%		
036 Cates E											
Polling	2744	487	50.73%	453	47.19%	20	2.08%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	2744	52	42.98%	69	57.02%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2744	218	44.76%	263	54.00%	4	0.82%	2	0.41%		
Provisional	2744	1	11.11%	8	88.89%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2744	180	52.17%	163	47.25%	2	0.58%	0	0.00%		
Total	2744	938	48.80%	956	49.74%	26	1.35%	2	0.10%		
037 Pinckneyville E											
Polling	2183	130	18.87%	547	79.39%	11	1.60%	1	0.15%		
Absentee by Mail	2183	8	15.09%	43	81.13%	2	3.77%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2183	37	14.51%	218	85.49%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2183	1	8.33%	11	91.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2183	6	27.27%	16	72.73%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Total	2183	182	17.65%	835	80.99%	13	1.26%	1	0.10%		
038 Pinckneyville F											
Polling	2301	160	24.73%	474	73.26%	12	1.85%	1	0.15%		
Absentee by Mail	2301	27	29.67%	63	69.23%	1	1.10%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2301	84	25.69%	238	72.78%	5	1.53%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2301	3	42.86%	4	57.14%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2301	7	19.44%	29	80.56%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Total	2301	281	25.36%	808	72.92%	18	1.62%	1	0.09%		
039 Duncans D											
Polling	6085	1220	66.02%	594	32.14%	32	1.73%	2	0.11%		
Absentee by Mail	6085	115	53.24%	97	44.91%	4	1.85%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	6085	1136	70.34%	464	28.73%	15	0.93%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	6085	9	60.00%	6	40.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	6085	96	57.49%	70	41.92%	1	0.60%	0	0.00%		
Total	6085	2576	66.72%	1231	31.88%	52	1.35%	2	0.05%		
040 Pinckneyville H											

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Governor / Gobernador								
	Reg. Voters	B. KEMP (R)		S. ABRAMS (D)		T. METZ (L)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	4126	646	46.47%	712	51.22%	30	2.16%	2	0.14%
Absentee by Mail	4126	70	30.57%	157	68.56%	2	0.87%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4126	461	43.12%	601	56.22%	7	0.65%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4126	6	46.15%	7	53.85%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4126	33	40.24%	49	59.76%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	4126	1216	43.69%	1526	54.83%	39	1.40%	2	0.07%
041 Baycreek B									
Polling	5349	576	34.57%	1067	64.05%	22	1.32%	1	0.06%
Absentee by Mail	5349	45	21.03%	167	78.04%	2	0.93%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5349	11	21.57%	40	78.43%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5349	1	4.35%	22	95.65%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5349	383	26.73%	1045	72.92%	4	0.28%	1	0.07%
Total	5349	1016	30.00%	2341	69.12%	28	0.83%	2	0.06%
042 Lawrenceville C									
Polling	2761	528	58.73%	347	38.60%	22	2.45%	2	0.22%
Absentee by Mail	2761	44	44.44%	51	51.52%	4	4.04%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2761	199	61.80%	122	37.89%	1	0.31%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2761	4	80.00%	1	20.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2761	184	50.97%	176	48.75%	1	0.28%	0	0.00%
Total	2761	959	56.88%	697	41.34%	28	1.66%	2	0.12%
043 Martins D									
Polling	2059	92	15.33%	502	83.67%	6	1.00%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2059	18	24.32%	56	75.68%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2059	30	13.82%	184	84.79%	2	0.92%	1	0.46%
Provisional	2059	2	14.29%	12	85.71%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2059	15	15.63%	81	84.38%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2059	157	15.68%	835	83.42%	8	0.80%	1	0.10%
044 Rockbridge B									
Polling	3761	246	24.90%	733	74.19%	9	0.91%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3761	25	23.15%	83	76.85%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3761	24	20.34%	93	78.81%	1	0.85%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3761	0	0.00%	13	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3761	204	21.21%	751	78.07%	6	0.62%	1	0.10%
Total	3761	499	22.80%	1673	76.43%	16	0.73%	1	0.05%
045 Garners B									
Polling	2916	239	33.33%	470	65.55%	8	1.12%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2916	62	47.33%	68	51.91%	1	0.76%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2916	251	36.01%	445	63.85%	1	0.14%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2916	1	16.67%	5	83.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2916	109	28.53%	272	71.20%	1	0.26%	0	0.00%
Total	2916	662	34.25%	1260	65.18%	11	0.57%	0	0.00%
046 Cates F									
Polling	1689	437	63.89%	229	33.48%	18	2.63%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1689	34	49.28%	33	47.83%	1	1.45%	1	1.45%
Advance in Person	1689	24	47.06%	27	52.94%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1689	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1689	203	59.18%	139	40.52%	1	0.29%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Governor / Gobernador										
	Reg. Voters	B. KEMP (R)		S. ABRAMS (D)		T. METZ (L)		Write-In Votes			
Total	1689	699	60.73%	431	37.45%	20	1.74%	1	0.09%		
047 Cates G											
Polling	2597	577	62.85%	323	35.19%	17	1.85%	1	0.11%		
Absentee by Mail	2597	63	51.22%	57	46.34%	3	2.44%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2597	72	63.16%	38	33.33%	4	3.51%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2597	4	57.14%	2	28.57%	1	14.29%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2597	379	56.15%	292	43.26%	4	0.59%	0	0.00%		
Total	2597	1095	59.61%	712	38.76%	29	1.58%	1	0.05%		
048 Duluth B											
Polling	3332	489	53.21%	412	44.83%	18	1.96%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	3332	70	40.70%	97	56.40%	5	2.91%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	3332	286	43.60%	361	55.03%	9	1.37%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	3332	5	45.45%	6	54.55%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	3332	103	67.76%	48	31.58%	1	0.66%	0	0.00%		
Total	3332	953	49.90%	924	48.38%	33	1.73%	0	0.00%		
049 Garners C											
Polling	1908	278	50.45%	257	46.64%	16	2.90%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	1908	19	31.67%	40	66.67%	1	1.67%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	1908	204	40.80%	292	58.40%	4	0.80%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	1908	2	33.33%	4	66.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	1908	10	21.74%	36	78.26%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Total	1908	513	44.11%	629	54.08%	21	1.81%	0	0.00%		
050 Pinckneyville I											
Polling	2479	168	24.71%	505	74.26%	6	0.88%	1	0.15%		
Absentee by Mail	2479	24	29.27%	58	70.73%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2479	76	18.63%	329	80.64%	3	0.74%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2479	2	11.11%	16	88.89%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2479	15	21.43%	55	78.57%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Total	2479	285	22.66%	963	76.55%	9	0.72%	1	0.08%		
051 Pinckneyville J											
Polling	2543	76	13.92%	464	84.98%	5	0.92%	1	0.18%		
Absentee by Mail	2543	21	28.77%	51	69.86%	1	1.37%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2543	51	12.29%	361	86.99%	3	0.72%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2543	1	3.57%	27	96.43%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2543	2	5.88%	32	94.12%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Total	2543	151	13.78%	935	85.31%	9	0.82%	1	0.09%		
052 Berkshire G											
Polling	2250	338	51.21%	300	45.45%	22	3.33%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	2250	46	51.69%	41	46.07%	2	2.25%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2250	368	48.87%	378	50.20%	7	0.93%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2250	3	60.00%	2	40.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2250	11	36.67%	18	60.00%	0	0.00%	1	3.33%		
Total	2250	766	49.84%	739	48.08%	31	2.02%	1	0.07%		
053 Cates H											
Polling	3622	285	28.47%	705	70.43%	11	1.10%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	3622	47	36.43%	78	60.47%	4	3.10%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	3622	15	30.61%	34	69.39%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Governor / Gobernador								
	Reg. Voters	B. KEMP (R)		S. ABRAMS (D)		T. METZ (L)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	3622	1	7.14%	13	92.86%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3622	247	28.33%	623	71.44%	2	0.23%	0	0.00%
Total	3622	595	28.81%	1453	70.36%	17	0.82%	0	0.00%
054 Sugar Hill C									
Polling	4596	1054	65.34%	535	33.17%	23	1.43%	1	0.06%
Absentee by Mail	4596	91	52.91%	78	45.35%	3	1.74%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4596	275	60.18%	179	39.17%	3	0.66%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4596	15	51.72%	13	44.83%	1	3.45%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4596	315	54.59%	259	44.89%	3	0.52%	0	0.00%
Total	4596	1750	61.45%	1064	37.36%	33	1.16%	1	0.04%
055 Sugar Hill D									
Polling	4070	705	57.65%	494	40.39%	23	1.88%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	4070	65	49.24%	65	49.24%	2	1.52%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4070	486	55.99%	375	43.20%	7	0.81%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4070	17	56.67%	13	43.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4070	103	67.76%	48	31.58%	1	0.66%	0	0.00%
Total	4070	1376	57.21%	995	41.37%	33	1.37%	1	0.04%
056 Duluth C									
Polling	2243	319	45.97%	350	50.43%	24	3.46%	1	0.14%
Absentee by Mail	2243	49	49.49%	48	48.48%	2	2.02%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2243	189	40.21%	280	59.57%	1	0.21%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2243	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2243	22	30.56%	50	69.44%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2243	580	43.32%	731	54.59%	27	2.02%	1	0.07%
057 Duluth D									
Polling	4404	390	36.79%	643	60.66%	27	2.55%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4404	67	33.17%	132	65.35%	3	1.49%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4404	317	31.73%	673	67.37%	8	0.80%	1	0.10%
Provisional	4404	7	31.82%	15	68.18%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4404	68	46.58%	76	52.05%	2	1.37%	0	0.00%
Total	4404	849	34.95%	1539	63.36%	40	1.65%	1	0.04%
058 Pinckneyville K									
Polling	3547	182	20.36%	700	78.30%	12	1.34%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3547	29	25.44%	84	73.68%	1	0.88%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3547	63	12.91%	423	86.68%	2	0.41%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3547	2	8.00%	23	92.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3547	23	25.56%	67	74.44%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	3547	299	18.56%	1297	80.51%	15	0.93%	0	0.00%
059 Pinckneyville N									
Polling	3717	161	15.06%	894	83.63%	11	1.03%	3	0.28%
Absentee by Mail	3717	7	9.33%	67	89.33%	1	1.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3717	68	12.41%	476	86.86%	4	0.73%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3717	2	11.76%	15	88.24%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3717	8	13.79%	49	84.48%	1	1.72%	0	0.00%
Total	3717	246	13.92%	1501	84.95%	17	0.96%	3	0.17%
060 Lawrenceville D									
Polling	4872	223	20.88%	826	77.34%	18	1.69%	1	0.09%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Governor / Gobernador								
	Reg. Voters	B. KEMP (R)		S. ABRAMS (D)		T. METZ (L)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	4872	21	16.80%	102	81.60%	2	1.60%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4872	17	19.54%	68	78.16%	2	2.30%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4872	5	20.83%	19	79.17%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4872	172	19.22%	719	80.34%	3	0.34%	1	0.11%
Total	4872	438	19.92%	1734	78.85%	25	1.14%	2	0.09%
061 Lawrenceville E									
Polling	2205	334	51.94%	296	46.03%	12	1.87%	1	0.16%
Absentee by Mail	2205	53	51.96%	49	48.04%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2205	13	59.09%	9	40.91%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2205	2	66.67%	1	33.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2205	336	53.42%	288	45.79%	5	0.79%	0	0.00%
Total	2205	738	52.75%	643	45.96%	17	1.22%	1	0.07%
062 Pinckneyville L									
Polling	2233	682	61.06%	416	37.24%	17	1.52%	2	0.18%
Absentee by Mail	2233	80	57.97%	56	40.58%	2	1.45%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2233	197	50.77%	186	47.94%	4	1.03%	1	0.26%
Provisional	2233	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2233	28	75.68%	9	24.32%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2233	989	58.80%	667	39.66%	23	1.37%	3	0.18%
063 Pinckneyville M									
Polling	2515	757	65.03%	387	33.25%	20	1.72%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2515	87	49.71%	84	48.00%	4	2.29%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2515	276	59.23%	186	39.91%	4	0.86%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2515	3	42.86%	4	57.14%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2515	12	60.00%	8	40.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2515	1135	61.95%	669	36.52%	28	1.53%	0	0.00%
064 Garners D									
Polling	3127	220	25.03%	648	73.72%	11	1.25%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3127	26	22.22%	91	77.78%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3127	171	26.97%	461	72.71%	2	0.32%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3127	1	12.50%	6	75.00%	1	12.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3127	60	20.13%	237	79.53%	1	0.34%	0	0.00%
Total	3127	478	24.69%	1443	74.54%	15	0.77%	0	0.00%
065 Berkshire H									
Polling	1717	151	32.61%	300	64.79%	12	2.59%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1717	24	40.68%	33	55.93%	2	3.39%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1717	90	27.52%	234	71.56%	3	0.92%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1717	1	9.09%	10	90.91%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1717	5	14.29%	30	85.71%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	1717	271	30.28%	607	67.82%	17	1.90%	0	0.00%
066 Pinckneyville O									
Polling	3267	149	19.08%	619	79.26%	13	1.66%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3267	18	23.08%	60	76.92%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3267	126	19.75%	509	79.78%	2	0.31%	1	0.16%
Provisional	3267	7	21.88%	25	78.13%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3267	2	7.14%	26	92.86%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	3267	302	19.40%	1239	79.58%	15	0.96%	1	0.06%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Governor / Gobernador										
	Reg. Voters	B. KEMP (R)		S. ABRAMS (D)		T. METZ (L)		Write-In Votes			
067 Pucketts E											
Polling	4771	547	46.79%	611	52.27%	11	0.94%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	4771	74	40.00%	110	59.46%	1	0.54%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	4771	619	50.37%	607	49.39%	3	0.24%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	4771	12	41.38%	14	48.28%	3	10.34%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	4771	53	32.52%	110	67.48%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Total	4771	1305	47.03%	1452	52.32%	18	0.65%	0	0.00%		
068 Cates I											
Polling	3395	586	49.62%	574	48.60%	21	1.78%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	3395	59	40.97%	83	57.64%	1	0.69%	1	0.69%		
Advance in Person	3395	84	42.21%	113	56.78%	2	1.01%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	3395	2	25.00%	6	75.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	3395	257	38.82%	402	60.73%	3	0.45%	0	0.00%		
Total	3395	988	45.03%	1178	53.69%	27	1.23%	1	0.05%		
069 Cates J											
Polling	3266	473	41.93%	637	56.47%	16	1.42%	2	0.18%		
Absentee by Mail	3266	45	36.59%	76	61.79%	2	1.63%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	3266	209	32.97%	417	65.77%	8	1.26%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	3266	5	38.46%	8	61.54%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	3266	60	27.40%	158	72.15%	1	0.46%	0	0.00%		
Total	3266	792	37.41%	1296	61.22%	27	1.28%	2	0.09%		
070 Goodwins B											
Polling	3604	682	56.04%	505	41.50%	29	2.38%	1	0.08%		
Absentee by Mail	3604	80	51.61%	73	47.10%	2	1.29%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	3604	120	58.25%	86	41.75%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	3604	5	71.43%	2	28.57%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	3604	365	52.37%	326	46.77%	6	0.86%	0	0.00%		
Total	3604	1252	54.86%	992	43.47%	37	1.62%	1	0.04%		
071 Lawrenceville F											
Polling	3698	241	26.75%	644	71.48%	16	1.78%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	3698	23	15.44%	123	82.55%	3	2.01%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	3698	21	36.84%	36	63.16%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	3698	4	26.67%	11	73.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	3698	200	18.71%	866	81.01%	2	0.19%	1	0.09%		
Total	3698	489	22.32%	1680	76.68%	21	0.96%	1	0.05%		
072 Martins E											
Polling	5934	104	8.60%	1101	90.99%	5	0.41%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	5934	29	15.43%	157	83.51%	2	1.06%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	5934	43	6.11%	658	93.47%	3	0.43%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	5934	6	10.00%	53	88.33%	1	1.67%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	5934	20	7.97%	231	92.03%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Total	5934	202	8.37%	2200	91.17%	11	0.46%	0	0.00%		
073 Pinckneyville P											
Polling	2048	440	48.94%	445	49.50%	13	1.45%	1	0.11%		
Absentee by Mail	2048	53	44.54%	65	54.62%	1	0.84%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2048	86	30.18%	197	69.12%	2	0.70%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2048	3	42.86%	4	57.14%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Governor / Gobernador										
	Reg. Voters	B. KEMP (R)		S. ABRAMS (D)		T. METZ (L)		Write-In Votes			
Advance in Person 2	2048	11	45.83%	13	54.17%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Total	2048	593	44.45%	724	54.27%	16	1.20%	1	0.07%		
074 Pinckneyville Q											
Polling	1725	71	11.81%	525	87.35%	5	0.83%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	1725	0	0.00%	35	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	1725	24	12.50%	166	86.46%	2	1.04%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	1725	0	0.00%	12	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	1725	3	8.33%	32	88.89%	1	2.78%	0	0.00%		
Total	1725	98	11.19%	770	87.90%	8	0.91%	0	0.00%		
075 Rockbridge C											
Polling	4722	228	18.63%	977	79.82%	19	1.55%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	4722	39	19.60%	159	79.90%	1	0.50%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	4722	45	26.79%	120	71.43%	3	1.79%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	4722	1	6.25%	15	93.75%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	4722	212	14.50%	1246	85.23%	4	0.27%	0	0.00%		
Total	4722	525	17.11%	2517	82.01%	27	0.88%	0	0.00%		
076 Rockbridge D											
Polling	3359	210	25.33%	611	73.70%	8	0.97%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	3359	34	23.61%	109	75.69%	1	0.69%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	3359	5	13.89%	31	86.11%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	3359	5	17.86%	23	82.14%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	3359	275	24.62%	839	75.11%	3	0.27%	0	0.00%		
Total	3359	529	24.56%	1613	74.88%	12	0.56%	0	0.00%		
077 Goodwins C											
Polling	5106	480	34.71%	881	63.70%	21	1.52%	1	0.07%		
Absentee by Mail	5106	72	33.64%	138	64.49%	3	1.40%	1	0.47%		
Advance in Person	5106	58	20.57%	221	78.37%	3	1.06%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	5106	4	21.05%	15	78.95%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	5106	282	32.91%	571	66.63%	4	0.47%	0	0.00%		
Total	5106	896	32.52%	1826	66.28%	31	1.13%	2	0.07%		
078 Baycreek K											
Polling	3536	430	45.12%	512	53.73%	11	1.15%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	3536	69	43.40%	89	55.97%	1	0.63%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	3536	20	55.56%	16	44.44%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	3536	4	36.36%	7	63.64%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	3536	520	44.60%	636	54.55%	10	0.86%	0	0.00%		
Total	3536	1043	44.86%	1260	54.19%	22	0.95%	0	0.00%		
079 Cates K											
Polling	2531	529	54.48%	426	43.87%	13	1.34%	3	0.31%		
Absentee by Mail	2531	38	52.78%	33	45.83%	1	1.39%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2531	57	47.50%	60	50.00%	3	2.50%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2531	2	25.00%	6	75.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2531	230	47.52%	250	51.65%	4	0.83%	0	0.00%		
Total	2531	856	51.72%	775	46.83%	21	1.27%	3	0.18%		
080 Baycreek C											
Polling	5755	749	45.17%	886	53.44%	23	1.39%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	5755	68	35.05%	122	62.89%	4	2.06%	0	0.00%		

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Governor / Gobernador										
	Reg. Voters	B. KEMP (R)		S. ABRAMS (D)		T. METZ (L)		Write-In Votes			
Advance in Person	5755	47	47.00%	53	53.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	5755	4	33.33%	8	66.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	5755	545	29.96%	1265	69.54%	9	0.49%	0	0.00%		
Total	5755	1413	37.35%	2334	61.70%	36	0.95%	0	0.00%		
081 Cates L											
Polling	3751	493	43.17%	627	54.90%	21	1.84%	1	0.09%		
Absentee by Mail	3751	49	34.27%	91	63.64%	3	2.10%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	3751	11	23.40%	35	74.47%	1	2.13%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	3751	2	20.00%	8	80.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	3751	401	38.93%	619	60.10%	10	0.97%	0	0.00%		
Total	3751	956	40.30%	1380	58.18%	35	1.48%	1	0.04%		
082 Duluth E											
Polling	4580	331	27.98%	830	70.16%	21	1.78%	1	0.08%		
Absentee by Mail	4580	44	27.16%	114	70.37%	4	2.47%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	4580	264	26.59%	722	72.71%	5	0.50%	2	0.20%		
Provisional	4580	5	21.74%	18	78.26%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	4580	31	28.70%	77	71.30%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Total	4580	675	27.34%	1761	71.32%	30	1.22%	3	0.12%		
083 Goodwins D											
Polling	3985	598	46.14%	666	51.39%	30	2.31%	2	0.15%		
Absentee by Mail	3985	59	40.97%	82	56.94%	3	2.08%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	3985	37	35.24%	68	64.76%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	3985	6	30.00%	13	65.00%	1	5.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	3985	330	42.86%	438	56.88%	2	0.26%	0	0.00%		
Total	3985	1030	44.11%	1267	54.26%	36	1.54%	2	0.09%		
084 Lawrenceville G											
Polling	2783	208	26.10%	578	72.52%	8	1.00%	3	0.38%		
Absentee by Mail	2783	22	23.40%	71	75.53%	1	1.06%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2783	3	7.89%	35	92.11%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2783	4	19.05%	17	80.95%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2783	132	23.49%	427	75.98%	2	0.36%	1	0.18%		
Total	2783	369	24.40%	1128	74.60%	11	0.73%	4	0.26%		
085 Lawrenceville H											
Polling	3899	619	50.53%	582	47.51%	21	1.71%	3	0.24%		
Absentee by Mail	3899	76	47.20%	81	50.31%	3	1.86%	1	0.62%		
Advance in Person	3899	129	53.75%	109	45.42%	2	0.83%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	3899	6	50.00%	6	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	3899	381	48.60%	397	50.64%	6	0.77%	0	0.00%		
Total	3899	1211	50.00%	1175	48.51%	32	1.32%	4	0.17%		
086 Martins F											
Polling	2401	183	26.83%	492	72.14%	7	1.03%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	2401	18	20.69%	69	79.31%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2401	57	17.54%	268	82.46%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2401	2	15.38%	11	84.62%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2401	21	20.59%	81	79.41%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Total	2401	281	23.24%	921	76.18%	7	0.58%	0	0.00%		
087 Pinckneyville S											

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Governor / Gobernador								
	Reg. Voters	B. KEMP (R)		S. ABRAMS (D)		T. METZ (L)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	3013	309	32.05%	635	65.87%	20	2.07%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3013	59	39.33%	87	58.00%	3	2.00%	1	0.67%
Advance in Person	3013	145	30.59%	327	68.99%	2	0.42%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3013	2	18.18%	9	81.82%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3013	21	35.00%	39	65.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	3013	536	32.31%	1097	66.12%	25	1.51%	1	0.06%
088 Rockbridge E									
Polling	4199	292	25.41%	845	73.54%	12	1.04%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4199	17	14.17%	101	84.17%	2	1.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4199	60	36.81%	103	63.19%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4199	6	14.63%	34	82.93%	1	2.44%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4199	127	15.19%	707	84.57%	2	0.24%	0	0.00%
Total	4199	502	21.74%	1790	77.52%	17	0.74%	0	0.00%
089 Sugar Hill E									
Polling	3061	558	58.68%	373	39.22%	20	2.10%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3061	51	42.50%	66	55.00%	3	2.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3061	115	57.50%	81	40.50%	4	2.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3061	13	56.52%	10	43.48%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3061	304	50.92%	284	47.57%	8	1.34%	1	0.17%
Total	3061	1041	55.05%	814	43.05%	35	1.85%	1	0.05%
090 Lawrenceville I									
Polling	3140	332	35.17%	588	62.29%	24	2.54%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3140	41	32.54%	83	65.87%	2	1.59%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3140	61	42.07%	83	57.24%	1	0.69%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3140	1	11.11%	8	88.89%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3140	198	36.73%	340	63.08%	1	0.19%	0	0.00%
Total	3140	633	35.90%	1102	62.51%	28	1.59%	0	0.00%
091 Baycreek D									
Polling	4157	650	52.76%	560	45.45%	22	1.79%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4157	60	35.93%	104	62.28%	3	1.80%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4157	21	56.76%	16	43.24%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4157	6	54.55%	5	45.45%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4157	552	42.92%	730	56.77%	4	0.31%	0	0.00%
Total	4157	1289	47.16%	1415	51.77%	29	1.06%	0	0.00%
092 Berkshire J									
Polling	2595	472	56.87%	340	40.96%	16	1.93%	2	0.24%
Absentee by Mail	2595	49	52.69%	43	46.24%	1	1.08%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2595	409	50.18%	395	48.47%	10	1.23%	1	0.12%
Provisional	2595	2	28.57%	4	57.14%	1	14.29%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2595	32	41.56%	45	58.44%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2595	964	52.91%	827	45.39%	28	1.54%	3	0.16%
093 Cates M									
Polling	3794	749	57.79%	510	39.35%	36	2.78%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	3794	80	41.24%	113	58.25%	1	0.52%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3794	68	53.13%	57	44.53%	3	2.34%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3794	4	50.00%	4	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3794	524	53.25%	449	45.63%	10	1.02%	1	0.10%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Governor / Gobernador								
	Reg. Voters	B. KEMP (R)		S. ABRAMS (D)		T. METZ (L)		Write-In Votes	
Total	3794	1425	54.60%	1133	43.41%	50	1.92%	2	0.08%
094 Cates N									
Polling	2445	516	62.85%	292	35.57%	13	1.58%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2445	69	62.73%	41	37.27%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2445	11	47.83%	12	52.17%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2445	2	40.00%	3	60.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2445	415	62.41%	243	36.54%	6	0.90%	1	0.15%
Total	2445	1013	62.38%	591	36.39%	19	1.17%	1	0.06%
095 Duluth F									
Polling	5413	254	19.66%	1027	79.49%	11	0.85%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5413	52	28.42%	130	71.04%	1	0.55%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5413	90	14.90%	511	84.60%	3	0.50%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5413	4	15.38%	21	80.77%	1	3.85%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5413	85	19.19%	352	79.46%	6	1.35%	0	0.00%
Total	5413	485	19.03%	2041	80.10%	22	0.86%	0	0.00%
096 Duluth G									
Polling	2775	516	55.07%	396	42.26%	24	2.56%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	2775	59	54.13%	48	44.04%	2	1.83%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2775	154	45.70%	180	53.41%	3	0.89%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2775	4	36.36%	7	63.64%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2775	202	57.71%	145	41.43%	3	0.86%	0	0.00%
Total	2775	935	53.61%	776	44.50%	32	1.83%	1	0.06%
097 Goodwins E									
Polling	4950	769	49.84%	740	47.96%	34	2.20%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4950	70	30.43%	158	68.70%	2	0.87%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4950	43	25.75%	123	73.65%	1	0.60%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4950	3	16.67%	14	77.78%	1	5.56%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4950	406	42.51%	540	56.54%	8	0.84%	1	0.10%
Total	4950	1291	44.32%	1575	54.07%	46	1.58%	1	0.03%
098 Goodwins F									
Polling	2904	380	42.65%	487	54.66%	21	2.36%	3	0.34%
Absentee by Mail	2904	52	39.10%	78	58.65%	3	2.26%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2904	22	33.85%	41	63.08%	2	3.08%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2904	4	33.33%	8	66.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2904	251	43.05%	331	56.78%	1	0.17%	0	0.00%
Total	2904	709	42.10%	945	56.12%	27	1.60%	3	0.18%
099 Pinckneyville T									
Polling	2874	338	38.81%	518	59.47%	15	1.72%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2874	67	45.89%	75	51.37%	4	2.74%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2874	144	30.00%	333	69.38%	3	0.63%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2874	3	27.27%	8	72.73%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2874	16	35.56%	29	64.44%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2874	568	36.57%	963	62.01%	22	1.42%	0	0.00%
100 Suwanee B									
Polling	4085	462	52.56%	395	44.94%	21	2.39%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	4085	46	33.33%	89	64.49%	3	2.17%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4085	5	16.67%	25	83.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Governor / Gobernador								
	Reg. Voters	B. KEMP (R)		S. ABRAMS (D)		T. METZ (L)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	4085	3	42.86%	3	42.86%	1	14.29%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4085	785	52.12%	708	47.01%	13	0.86%	0	0.00%
Total	4085	1301	50.82%	1220	47.66%	38	1.48%	1	0.04%
101 Pinckneyville U									
Polling	2007	344	41.70%	467	56.61%	14	1.70%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2007	27	36.99%	46	63.01%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2007	75	25.77%	213	73.20%	3	1.03%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2007	1	14.29%	6	85.71%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2007	14	45.16%	17	54.84%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2007	461	37.57%	749	61.04%	17	1.39%	0	0.00%
102 Pucketts B									
Polling	2741	514	62.30%	297	36.00%	14	1.70%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2741	44	51.76%	39	45.88%	2	2.35%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2741	535	64.15%	295	35.37%	3	0.36%	1	0.12%
Provisional	2741	8	72.73%	3	27.27%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2741	43	68.25%	20	31.75%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2741	1144	62.93%	654	35.97%	19	1.05%	1	0.06%
103 Berkshire L									
Polling	2915	223	24.32%	678	73.94%	14	1.53%	2	0.22%
Absentee by Mail	2915	30	25.00%	88	73.33%	2	1.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2915	75	22.19%	262	77.51%	1	0.30%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2915	2	11.76%	15	88.24%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2915	44	23.40%	144	76.60%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2915	374	23.67%	1187	75.13%	17	1.08%	2	0.13%
104 Rockbridge F									
Polling	5313	175	16.70%	861	82.16%	10	0.95%	2	0.19%
Absentee by Mail	5313	48	22.75%	162	76.78%	1	0.47%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5313	6	15.38%	33	84.62%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5313	3	15.00%	17	85.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5313	371	17.34%	1764	82.43%	4	0.19%	1	0.05%
Total	5313	603	17.44%	2837	82.04%	15	0.43%	3	0.09%
105 Sugar Hill F									
Polling	3687	725	57.40%	501	39.67%	36	2.85%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	3687	47	43.12%	58	53.21%	4	3.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3687	47	37.90%	74	59.68%	3	2.42%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3687	6	40.00%	9	60.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3687	397	57.29%	289	41.70%	7	1.01%	0	0.00%
Total	3687	1222	55.44%	931	42.24%	50	2.27%	1	0.05%
106 Suwanee C									
Polling	4823	656	52.99%	558	45.07%	24	1.94%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4823	80	38.28%	125	59.81%	4	1.91%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4823	46	42.99%	60	56.07%	1	0.93%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4823	19	36.54%	32	61.54%	1	1.92%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4823	626	50.89%	595	48.37%	9	0.73%	0	0.00%
Total	4823	1427	50.32%	1370	48.31%	39	1.38%	0	0.00%
107 Cates O									
Polling	1342	271	54.86%	214	43.32%	9	1.82%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Governor / Gobernador								
	Reg. Voters	B. KEMP (R)		S. ABRAMS (D)		T. METZ (L)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	1342	22	56.41%	17	43.59%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1342	92	48.68%	95	50.26%	2	1.06%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1342	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1342	57	42.22%	77	57.04%	1	0.74%	0	0.00%
Total	1342	442	51.46%	405	47.15%	12	1.40%	0	0.00%
108 Baycreek E									
Polling	2863	447	47.81%	476	50.91%	12	1.28%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2863	41	40.59%	58	57.43%	2	1.98%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2863	11	35.48%	20	64.52%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2863	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2863	236	35.54%	428	64.46%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2863	736	42.42%	985	56.77%	14	0.81%	0	0.00%
109 Berkshire M									
Polling	1638	314	53.95%	253	43.47%	14	2.41%	1	0.17%
Absentee by Mail	1638	27	48.21%	29	51.79%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1638	222	51.75%	200	46.62%	7	1.63%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1638	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1638	23	57.50%	17	42.50%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	1638	587	52.84%	502	45.18%	21	1.89%	1	0.09%
110 Martins G									
Polling	2187	179	26.17%	498	72.81%	7	1.02%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2187	27	36.49%	47	63.51%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2187	4	11.43%	31	88.57%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2187	2	22.22%	7	77.78%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2187	77	21.69%	276	77.75%	2	0.56%	0	0.00%
Total	2187	289	24.98%	859	74.24%	9	0.78%	0	0.00%
111 Duluth H									
Polling	2662	326	43.82%	403	54.17%	12	1.61%	3	0.40%
Absentee by Mail	2662	86	45.74%	102	54.26%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2662	249	42.64%	328	56.16%	7	1.20%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2662	4	80.00%	1	20.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2662	57	48.72%	59	50.43%	1	0.85%	0	0.00%
Total	2662	722	44.08%	893	54.52%	20	1.22%	3	0.18%
112 Berkshire N									
Polling	2836	359	54.48%	283	42.94%	15	2.28%	2	0.30%
Absentee by Mail	2836	68	52.31%	61	46.92%	1	0.77%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2836	656	53.95%	546	44.90%	13	1.07%	1	0.08%
Provisional	2836	3	75.00%	1	25.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2836	31	77.50%	9	22.50%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2836	1117	54.51%	900	43.92%	29	1.42%	3	0.15%
113 Pinckneyville V									
Polling	1572	151	38.72%	234	60.00%	5	1.28%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1572	19	30.16%	43	68.25%	1	1.59%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1572	101	29.28%	243	70.43%	1	0.29%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1572	7	43.75%	9	56.25%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1572	5	41.67%	7	58.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	1572	283	34.26%	536	64.89%	7	0.85%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Governor / Gobernador										
	Reg. Voters	B. KEMP (R)		S. ABRAMS (D)		T. METZ (L)		Write-In Votes			
114 Pinckneyville W											
Polling	3885	506	41.07%	692	56.17%	32	2.60%	2	0.16%		
Absentee by Mail	3885	53	36.30%	89	60.96%	4	2.74%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	3885	255	34.98%	464	63.65%	9	1.23%	1	0.14%		
Provisional	3885	5	25.00%	15	75.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	3885	17	36.96%	28	60.87%	1	2.17%	0	0.00%		
Total	3885	836	38.47%	1288	59.27%	46	2.12%	3	0.14%		
115 Martins H											
Polling	4487	409	31.22%	883	67.40%	18	1.37%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	4487	72	32.29%	148	66.37%	3	1.35%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	4487	48	20.87%	179	77.83%	3	1.30%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	4487	2	13.33%	13	86.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	4487	229	31.20%	501	68.26%	3	0.41%	1	0.14%		
Total	4487	760	30.25%	1724	68.63%	27	1.07%	1	0.04%		
116 Martins I											
Polling	3518	231	24.16%	718	75.10%	7	0.73%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	3518	47	29.19%	111	68.94%	3	1.86%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	3518	56	17.07%	268	81.71%	4	1.22%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	3518	1	5.88%	16	94.12%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	3518	54	20.30%	208	78.20%	3	1.13%	1	0.38%		
Total	3518	389	22.51%	1321	76.45%	17	0.98%	1	0.06%		
117 Martins J											
Polling	3809	183	17.38%	860	81.67%	9	0.85%	1	0.09%		
Absentee by Mail	3809	19	15.57%	101	82.79%	2	1.64%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	3809	37	10.19%	319	87.88%	6	1.65%	1	0.28%		
Provisional	3809	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	3809	54	19.78%	217	79.49%	2	0.73%	0	0.00%		
Total	3809	293	16.00%	1517	82.85%	19	1.04%	2	0.11%		
118 Garners F											
Polling	1558	227	47.79%	237	49.89%	10	2.11%	1	0.21%		
Absentee by Mail	1558	19	42.22%	26	57.78%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	1558	238	49.17%	244	50.41%	1	0.21%	1	0.21%		
Provisional	1558	2	50.00%	2	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	1558	3	18.75%	13	81.25%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Total	1558	489	47.75%	522	50.98%	11	1.07%	2	0.20%		
119 Berkshire O											
Polling	2422	484	54.38%	383	43.03%	20	2.25%	3	0.34%		
Absentee by Mail	2422	61	57.55%	41	38.68%	4	3.77%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2422	279	56.94%	208	42.45%	2	0.41%	1	0.20%		
Provisional	2422	4	44.44%	5	55.56%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2422	76	51.35%	71	47.97%	1	0.68%	0	0.00%		
Total	2422	904	55.02%	708	43.09%	27	1.64%	4	0.24%		
120 Berkshire P											
Polling	1339	146	36.78%	245	61.71%	5	1.26%	1	0.25%		
Absentee by Mail	1339	18	28.57%	44	69.84%	1	1.59%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	1339	55	29.26%	130	69.15%	3	1.60%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	1339	2	25.00%	6	75.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Governor / Gobernador								
	Reg. Voters	B. KEMP (R)		S. ABRAMS (D)		T. METZ (L)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	1339	21	36.84%	36	63.16%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	1339	242	33.94%	461	64.66%	9	1.26%	1	0.14%
121 Pinckneyville X									
Polling	3198	552	50.23%	520	47.32%	24	2.18%	3	0.27%
Absentee by Mail	3198	84	38.36%	132	60.27%	3	1.37%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3198	311	41.74%	425	57.05%	9	1.21%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3198	2	40.00%	3	60.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3198	29	40.28%	43	59.72%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	3198	978	45.70%	1123	52.48%	36	1.68%	3	0.14%
122 Rockbridge G									
Polling	5188	229	19.76%	919	79.29%	11	0.95%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5188	40	16.88%	197	83.12%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5188	37	33.94%	72	66.06%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5188	2	4.88%	39	95.12%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5188	272	16.80%	1341	82.83%	6	0.37%	0	0.00%
Total	5188	580	18.33%	2568	81.14%	17	0.54%	0	0.00%
123 Pinckneyville Y									
Polling	3018	131	16.69%	648	82.55%	6	0.76%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3018	10	15.63%	53	82.81%	1	1.56%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3018	65	14.38%	386	85.40%	1	0.22%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3018	3	12.00%	22	88.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3018	18	28.57%	45	71.43%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	3018	227	16.34%	1154	83.08%	8	0.58%	0	0.00%
124 Goodwins G									
Polling	2448	218	28.20%	540	69.86%	14	1.81%	1	0.13%
Absentee by Mail	2448	16	22.86%	54	77.14%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2448	14	20.00%	56	80.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2448	2	20.00%	8	80.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2448	99	23.40%	323	76.36%	1	0.24%	0	0.00%
Total	2448	349	25.93%	981	72.88%	15	1.11%	1	0.07%
125 Pinckneyville Z									
Polling	1806	573	64.53%	298	33.56%	15	1.69%	2	0.23%
Absentee by Mail	1806	44	45.83%	49	51.04%	2	2.08%	1	1.04%
Advance in Person	1806	183	58.84%	127	40.84%	1	0.32%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1806	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1806	22	70.97%	9	29.03%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	1806	824	62.05%	483	36.37%	18	1.36%	3	0.23%
126 Pinckneyville A1									
Polling	2596	740	62.77%	416	35.28%	22	1.87%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	2596	87	54.38%	72	45.00%	1	0.63%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2596	252	52.39%	225	46.78%	3	0.62%	1	0.21%
Provisional	2596	4	57.14%	3	42.86%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2596	23	47.92%	25	52.08%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2596	1106	58.99%	741	39.52%	26	1.39%	2	0.11%
127 Lawrenceville J									
Polling	1959	245	38.70%	383	60.51%	5	0.79%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1959	18	22.22%	63	77.78%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Governor / Gobernador								
	Reg. Voters	B. KEMP (R)		S. ABRAMS (D)		T. METZ (L)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	1959	11	22.92%	36	75.00%	1	2.08%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1959	1	16.67%	5	83.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1959	90	23.50%	290	75.72%	2	0.52%	1	0.26%
Total	1959	365	31.71%	777	67.51%	8	0.70%	1	0.09%
128 Goodwins H									
Polling	2561	421	49.94%	398	47.21%	24	2.85%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2561	45	40.91%	65	59.09%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2561	22	41.51%	31	58.49%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2561	2	15.38%	10	76.92%	1	7.69%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2561	215	39.09%	325	59.09%	10	1.82%	0	0.00%
Total	2561	705	44.93%	829	52.84%	35	2.23%	0	0.00%
129 Duncans B									
Polling	4655	1116	68.72%	476	29.31%	31	1.91%	1	0.06%
Absentee by Mail	4655	86	56.95%	64	42.38%	1	0.66%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4655	806	69.36%	347	29.86%	8	0.69%	1	0.09%
Provisional	4655	4	66.67%	2	33.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4655	59	52.68%	53	47.32%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	4655	2071	67.79%	942	30.83%	40	1.31%	2	0.07%
130 Suwanee D									
Polling	4247	905	63.91%	490	34.60%	20	1.41%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	4247	78	45.35%	91	52.91%	2	1.16%	1	0.58%
Advance in Person	4247	42	47.19%	45	50.56%	2	2.25%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4247	8	53.33%	7	46.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4247	727	63.11%	416	36.11%	9	0.78%	0	0.00%
Total	4247	1760	61.88%	1049	36.88%	33	1.16%	2	0.07%
131 Suwanee E									
Polling	3921	665	60.29%	418	37.90%	19	1.72%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	3921	89	47.34%	97	51.60%	2	1.06%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3921	20	42.55%	27	57.45%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3921	13	61.90%	8	38.10%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3921	752	58.84%	516	40.38%	10	0.78%	0	0.00%
Total	3921	1539	58.36%	1066	40.42%	31	1.18%	1	0.04%
132 Lawrenceville K									
Polling	2915	517	50.19%	493	47.86%	19	1.84%	1	0.10%
Absentee by Mail	2915	50	45.05%	58	52.25%	3	2.70%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2915	97	53.89%	82	45.56%	1	0.56%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2915	1	16.67%	5	83.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2915	219	41.32%	307	57.92%	4	0.75%	0	0.00%
Total	2915	884	47.60%	945	50.89%	27	1.45%	1	0.05%
133 Harbins B									
Polling	4220	560	55.72%	432	42.99%	12	1.19%	1	0.10%
Absentee by Mail	4220	40	41.67%	52	54.17%	3	3.13%	1	1.04%
Advance in Person	4220	860	65.10%	456	34.52%	5	0.38%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4220	2	50.00%	2	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4220	59	40.69%	85	58.62%	1	0.69%	0	0.00%
Total	4220	1521	59.16%	1027	39.95%	21	0.82%	2	0.08%
134 Baycreek F									

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Governor / Gobernador								
	Reg. Voters	B. KEMP (R)		S. ABRAMS (D)		T. METZ (L)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	4119	469	38.13%	738	60.00%	22	1.79%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	4119	57	31.84%	120	67.04%	2	1.12%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4119	16	42.11%	22	57.89%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4119	1	10.00%	9	90.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4119	319	26.61%	874	72.89%	5	0.42%	1	0.08%
Total	4119	862	32.45%	1763	66.38%	29	1.09%	2	0.08%
135 Pucketts C									
Polling	2931	523	61.24%	315	36.89%	15	1.76%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	2931	55	53.92%	47	46.08%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2931	432	59.26%	285	39.09%	12	1.65%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2931	9	56.25%	7	43.75%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2931	31	40.26%	46	59.74%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2931	1050	59.06%	700	39.37%	27	1.52%	1	0.06%
136 Hog Mountain C									
Polling	3832	712	57.61%	501	40.53%	23	1.86%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3832	42	34.15%	77	62.60%	4	3.25%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3832	358	54.24%	298	45.15%	4	0.61%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3832	5	55.56%	4	44.44%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3832	121	53.07%	104	45.61%	3	1.32%	0	0.00%
Total	3832	1238	54.88%	984	43.62%	34	1.51%	0	0.00%
137 Rockycreek B									
Polling	4677	757	54.11%	614	43.89%	27	1.93%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	4677	50	38.46%	79	60.77%	1	0.77%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4677	653	59.74%	433	39.62%	6	0.55%	1	0.09%
Provisional	4677	2	25.00%	6	75.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4677	111	47.44%	122	52.14%	1	0.43%	0	0.00%
Total	4677	1573	54.92%	1254	43.78%	35	1.22%	2	0.07%
138 Duluth I									
Polling	4491	662	52.04%	591	46.46%	17	1.34%	2	0.16%
Absentee by Mail	4491	138	45.85%	158	52.49%	5	1.66%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4491	215	46.14%	251	53.86%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4491	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4491	389	57.21%	290	42.65%	1	0.15%	0	0.00%
Total	4491	1408	51.71%	1290	47.37%	23	0.84%	2	0.07%
139 Martins K									
Polling	4796	309	21.55%	1103	76.92%	19	1.32%	3	0.21%
Absentee by Mail	4796	52	30.23%	117	68.02%	3	1.74%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4796	27	12.92%	181	86.60%	1	0.48%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4796	4	17.39%	19	82.61%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4796	120	14.76%	689	84.75%	4	0.49%	0	0.00%
Total	4796	512	19.31%	2109	79.55%	27	1.02%	3	0.11%
140 Suwanee H									
Polling	3480	694	66.35%	333	31.84%	17	1.63%	2	0.19%
Absentee by Mail	3480	95	49.22%	93	48.19%	5	2.59%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3480	26	50.98%	23	45.10%	2	3.92%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3480	17	77.27%	5	22.73%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3480	775	69.63%	323	29.02%	15	1.35%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Governor / Gobernador								
	Reg. Voters	B. KEMP (R)		S. ABRAMS (D)		T. METZ (L)		Write-In Votes	
Total	3480	1607	66.27%	777	32.04%	39	1.61%	2	0.08%
141 Sugar Hill G									
Polling	2601	616	68.90%	259	28.97%	17	1.90%	2	0.22%
Absentee by Mail	2601	43	47.78%	46	51.11%	1	1.11%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2601	66	50.00%	65	49.24%	1	0.76%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2601	12	75.00%	4	25.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2601	359	61.68%	219	37.63%	4	0.69%	0	0.00%
Total	2601	1096	63.94%	593	34.60%	23	1.34%	2	0.12%
142 Suwanee F									
Polling	3598	592	53.92%	476	43.35%	29	2.64%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	3598	47	32.64%	93	64.58%	3	2.08%	1	0.69%
Advance in Person	3598	25	34.72%	47	65.28%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3598	6	46.15%	7	53.85%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3598	418	48.49%	437	50.70%	6	0.70%	1	0.12%
Total	3598	1088	49.70%	1060	48.42%	38	1.74%	3	0.14%
143 Lawrenceville L									
Polling	4355	531	39.19%	805	59.41%	17	1.25%	2	0.15%
Absentee by Mail	4355	81	42.86%	104	55.03%	4	2.12%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4355	19	35.19%	35	64.81%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4355	4	26.67%	11	73.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4355	403	36.01%	703	62.82%	13	1.16%	0	0.00%
Total	4355	1038	37.99%	1658	60.69%	34	1.24%	2	0.07%
144 Lawrenceville M									
Polling	5956	327	21.18%	1197	77.53%	19	1.23%	1	0.06%
Absentee by Mail	5956	37	19.79%	149	79.68%	1	0.53%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5956	55	20.45%	212	78.81%	2	0.74%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5956	5	22.73%	17	77.27%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5956	188	14.29%	1119	85.03%	9	0.68%	0	0.00%
Total	5956	612	18.33%	2694	80.71%	31	0.93%	1	0.03%
145 Baycreek G									
Polling	5092	643	41.11%	903	57.74%	17	1.09%	1	0.06%
Absentee by Mail	5092	61	34.86%	113	64.57%	1	0.57%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5092	18	33.33%	35	64.81%	1	1.85%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5092	2	14.29%	12	85.71%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5092	402	27.46%	1058	72.27%	3	0.20%	1	0.07%
Total	5092	1126	34.42%	2121	64.84%	22	0.67%	2	0.06%
146 Baycreek H									
Polling	5697	950	54.98%	763	44.16%	13	0.75%	2	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	5697	87	40.09%	128	58.99%	2	0.92%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5697	54	53.47%	47	46.53%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5697	2	22.22%	6	66.67%	1	11.11%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5697	707	43.35%	916	56.16%	8	0.49%	0	0.00%
Total	5697	1800	48.83%	1860	50.46%	24	0.65%	2	0.05%
147 Baycreek I									
Polling	5162	525	40.48%	757	58.37%	15	1.16%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5162	67	34.18%	129	65.82%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5162	20	37.04%	32	59.26%	2	3.70%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Governor / Gobernador								
	Reg. Voters	B. KEMP (R)		S. ABRAMS (D)		T. METZ (L)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	5162	4	26.67%	11	73.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5162	515	33.42%	1015	65.87%	11	0.71%	0	0.00%
Total	5162	1131	36.45%	1944	62.65%	28	0.90%	0	0.00%
148 Berkshire Q									
Polling	1644	293	53.27%	252	45.82%	5	0.91%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1644	32	57.14%	24	42.86%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1644	194	47.78%	207	50.99%	4	0.99%	1	0.25%
Provisional	1644	3	50.00%	3	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1644	18	60.00%	12	40.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	1644	540	51.53%	498	47.52%	9	0.86%	1	0.10%
149 Goodwins I									
Polling	2341	484	54.02%	397	44.31%	15	1.67%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2341	57	44.19%	69	53.49%	2	1.55%	1	0.78%
Advance in Person	2341	19	22.09%	67	77.91%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2341	2	28.57%	5	71.43%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2341	224	48.48%	235	50.87%	3	0.65%	0	0.00%
Total	2341	786	49.75%	773	48.92%	20	1.27%	1	0.06%
150 Duluth K									
Polling	2694	103	18.69%	442	80.22%	6	1.09%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2694	12	21.82%	42	76.36%	1	1.82%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2694	69	17.65%	318	81.33%	2	0.51%	2	0.51%
Provisional	2694	2	20.00%	8	80.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2694	4	10.00%	35	87.50%	1	2.50%	0	0.00%
Total	2694	190	18.15%	845	80.71%	10	0.96%	2	0.19%
151 Harbins C									
Polling	2369	670	69.43%	279	28.91%	16	1.66%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2369	39	51.32%	36	47.37%	1	1.32%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2369	162	54.00%	133	44.33%	5	1.67%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2369	3	42.86%	4	57.14%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2369	120	42.25%	163	57.39%	1	0.35%	0	0.00%
Total	2369	994	60.91%	615	37.68%	23	1.41%	0	0.00%
152 Rockycreek C									
Polling	4504	722	53.01%	618	45.37%	22	1.62%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4504	60	45.11%	70	52.63%	3	2.26%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4504	698	56.66%	525	42.61%	9	0.73%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4504	4	66.67%	2	33.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4504	79	37.09%	133	62.44%	1	0.47%	0	0.00%
Total	4504	1563	53.05%	1348	45.76%	35	1.19%	0	0.00%
153 Lawrenceville N									
Polling	2343	278	45.06%	325	52.67%	14	2.27%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2343	39	50.00%	38	48.72%	1	1.28%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2343	4	17.39%	19	82.61%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2343	4	18.18%	18	81.82%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2343	188	36.08%	330	63.34%	3	0.58%	0	0.00%
Total	2343	513	40.68%	730	57.89%	18	1.43%	0	0.00%
154 Pucketts D									
Polling	3166	552	61.88%	322	36.10%	18	2.02%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Governor / Gobernador								
	Reg. Voters	B. KEMP (R)		S. ABRAMS (D)		T. METZ (L)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	3166	77	54.23%	64	45.07%	1	0.70%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3166	592	67.43%	282	32.12%	4	0.46%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3166	10	62.50%	5	31.25%	1	6.25%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3166	53	67.09%	26	32.91%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	3166	1284	63.98%	699	34.83%	24	1.20%	0	0.00%
155 Suwanee G									
Polling	3451	583	50.35%	543	46.89%	31	2.68%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	3451	46	33.58%	89	64.96%	2	1.46%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3451	33	37.93%	54	62.07%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3451	5	33.33%	10	66.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3451	318	41.41%	439	57.16%	10	1.30%	1	0.13%
Total	3451	985	45.50%	1135	52.42%	43	1.99%	2	0.09%
156 Baycreek J									
Polling	4038	444	36.42%	763	62.59%	11	0.90%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	4038	45	27.95%	116	72.05%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4038	14	26.92%	37	71.15%	1	1.92%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4038	2	22.22%	7	77.78%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4038	326	27.65%	848	71.93%	5	0.42%	0	0.00%
Total	4038	831	31.72%	1771	67.60%	17	0.65%	1	0.04%
Total									
Polling	525568	69135	44.52%	83386	53.70%	2625	1.69%	129	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	525568	7725	37.85%	12404	60.77%	268	1.31%	15	0.07%
Advance in Person	525568	27654	44.22%	34388	54.98%	474	0.76%	27	0.04%
Advance in Person 2	525568	27814	37.25%	46401	62.14%	435	0.58%	24	0.03%
Provisional	525568	670	30.32%	1518	68.69%	21	0.95%	1	0.05%
Total	525568	132998	42.21%	178097	56.52%	3823	1.21%	196	0.06%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador						
	Reg. Voters	G. DUNCAN (R)		S. R. AMICO (D)		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide							
001 Harbins A							
Polling	6123	800	53.30%	699	46.57%	2	0.13%
Absentee by Mail	6123	73	37.63%	121	62.37%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	6123	631	57.68%	461	42.14%	2	0.18%
Provisional	6123	4	25.00%	12	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	6123	314	39.80%	473	59.95%	2	0.25%
Total	6123	1822	50.70%	1766	49.14%	6	0.17%
002 Rockbridge A							
Polling	3653	150	19.06%	636	80.81%	1	0.13%
Absentee by Mail	3653	32	23.53%	104	76.47%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3653	28	23.93%	89	76.07%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3653	33	40.24%	49	59.76%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3653	192	18.50%	846	81.50%	0	0.00%
Total	3653	435	20.14%	1724	79.81%	1	0.05%
003 Dacula							
Polling	4478	468	42.94%	622	57.06%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4478	30	23.08%	100	76.92%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4478	576	49.27%	593	50.73%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4478	3	37.50%	5	62.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4478	62	27.07%	167	72.93%	0	0.00%
Total	4478	1139	43.37%	1487	56.63%	0	0.00%
004 Suwanee A							
Polling	1551	195	52.56%	176	47.44%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1551	24	55.81%	19	44.19%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1551	15	39.47%	23	60.53%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1551	11	68.75%	5	31.25%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1551	173	44.36%	217	55.64%	0	0.00%
Total	1551	418	48.72%	440	51.28%	0	0.00%
005 Baycreek A							
Polling	2555	525	61.40%	330	38.60%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2555	57	54.81%	47	45.19%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2555	17	50.00%	17	50.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2555	18	64.29%	10	35.71%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2555	329	48.24%	351	51.47%	2	0.29%
Total	2555	946	55.55%	755	44.33%	2	0.12%
006 Goodwins A							
Polling	3583	506	45.02%	618	54.98%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3583	53	41.41%	75	58.59%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3583	28	26.92%	76	73.08%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3583	3	17.65%	14	82.35%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3583	258	39.45%	395	60.40%	1	0.15%
Total	3583	848	41.84%	1178	58.12%	1	0.05%
007 Duluth A							
Polling	4599	689	51.73%	641	48.12%	2	0.15%
Absentee by Mail	4599	79	35.75%	142	64.25%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4599	281	36.16%	495	63.71%	1	0.13%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador						
	Reg. Voters	G. DUNCAN (R)		S. R. AMICO (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	4599	5	41.67%	7	58.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4599	249	46.54%	286	53.46%	0	0.00%
Total	4599	1303	45.29%	1571	54.61%	3	0.10%
008 Duncans A							
Polling	4278	875	69.67%	381	30.33%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4278	73	55.30%	59	44.70%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4278	873	69.51%	383	30.49%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4278	6	46.15%	7	53.85%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4278	94	56.29%	73	43.71%	0	0.00%
Total	4278	1921	68.02%	903	31.98%	0	0.00%
009 Pucketts A							
Polling	4844	716	66.30%	362	33.52%	2	0.19%
Absentee by Mail	4844	103	57.54%	76	42.46%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4844	1241	70.15%	528	29.85%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4844	2	50.00%	2	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4844	32	59.26%	22	40.74%	0	0.00%
Total	4844	2094	67.85%	990	32.08%	2	0.06%
010 Cates A							
Polling	2209	401	65.42%	212	34.58%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2209	99	61.49%	62	38.51%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2209	18	72.00%	7	28.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2209	2	50.00%	2	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2209	339	57.26%	253	42.74%	0	0.00%
Total	2209	859	61.58%	536	38.42%	0	0.00%
011 Berkshire A							
Polling	2247	223	36.03%	395	63.81%	1	0.16%
Absentee by Mail	2247	29	30.21%	67	69.79%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2247	80	24.69%	244	75.31%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2247	1	8.33%	11	91.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2247	29	47.54%	32	52.46%	0	0.00%
Total	2247	362	32.55%	749	67.36%	1	0.09%
012 Berkshire B							
Polling	5010	190	21.94%	675	77.94%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	5010	57	31.84%	122	68.16%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5010	373	26.44%	1035	73.35%	3	0.21%
Provisional	5010	2	8.70%	21	91.30%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5010	20	26.67%	55	73.33%	0	0.00%
Total	5010	642	25.14%	1908	74.71%	4	0.16%
013 Duncans C							
Polling	2828	764	76.86%	230	23.14%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2828	57	61.96%	35	38.04%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2828	529	69.61%	230	30.26%	1	0.13%
Provisional	2828	4	57.14%	3	42.86%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2828	44	55.70%	35	44.30%	0	0.00%
Total	2828	1398	72.36%	533	27.59%	1	0.05%
014 Garners A							
Polling	3302	581	61.03%	370	38.87%	1	0.11%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador						
	Reg. Voters	G. DUNCAN (R)		S. R. AMICO (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	3302	69	51.11%	66	48.89%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3302	558	56.25%	432	43.55%	2	0.20%
Provisional	3302	4	44.44%	5	55.56%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3302	44	40.37%	65	59.63%	0	0.00%
Total	3302	1256	57.17%	938	42.69%	3	0.14%
015 Lawrenceville A							
Polling	3300	297	43.29%	389	56.71%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3300	73	49.66%	74	50.34%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3300	7	41.18%	10	58.82%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3300	0	0.00%	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3300	348	48.60%	368	51.40%	0	0.00%
Total	3300	725	46.18%	845	53.82%	0	0.00%
016 Lawrenceville B							
Polling	4520	367	36.77%	629	63.03%	2	0.20%
Absentee by Mail	4520	50	28.57%	125	71.43%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4520	10	23.26%	33	76.74%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4520	5	38.46%	8	61.54%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4520	384	27.63%	1005	72.30%	1	0.07%
Total	4520	816	31.16%	1800	68.73%	3	0.11%
017 Martins A							
Polling	3019	178	22.50%	613	77.50%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3019	18	18.37%	80	81.63%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3019	49	19.76%	199	80.24%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3019	3	25.00%	9	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3019	50	16.72%	248	82.94%	1	0.33%
Total	3019	298	20.58%	1149	79.35%	1	0.07%
018 Martins B							
Polling	2796	265	33.38%	527	66.37%	2	0.25%
Absentee by Mail	2796	28	30.43%	64	69.57%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2796	15	16.13%	78	83.87%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2796	4	19.05%	17	80.95%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2796	141	31.76%	303	68.24%	0	0.00%
Total	2796	453	31.37%	989	68.49%	2	0.14%
019 Martins C							
Polling	2349	337	41.25%	479	58.63%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	2349	33	43.42%	43	56.58%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2349	80	40.61%	117	59.39%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2349	2	20.00%	8	80.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2349	98	40.16%	146	59.84%	0	0.00%
Total	2349	550	40.92%	793	59.00%	1	0.07%
020 Pinckneyville A							
Polling	2603	138	20.35%	539	79.50%	1	0.15%
Absentee by Mail	2603	17	20.24%	67	79.76%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2603	46	12.20%	331	87.80%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2603	7	36.84%	12	63.16%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2603	5	9.43%	48	90.57%	0	0.00%
Total	2603	213	17.59%	997	82.33%	1	0.08%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador							
	Reg. Voters	G. DUNCAN (R)		S. R. AMICO (D)		Write-In Votes		
021 Pinckneyville B								
Polling	3216	149	23.65%	480	76.19%	1	0.16%	
Absentee by Mail	3216	33	28.21%	84	71.79%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person	3216	114	20.25%	449	79.75%	0	0.00%	
Provisional	3216	7	19.44%	29	80.56%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person 2	3216	4	15.38%	22	84.62%	0	0.00%	
Total	3216	307	22.38%	1064	77.55%	1	0.07%	
022 Pinckneyville C								
Polling	1796	414	53.56%	359	46.44%	0	0.00%	
Absentee by Mail	1796	55	45.08%	67	54.92%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person	1796	117	39.80%	177	60.20%	0	0.00%	
Provisional	1796	1	20.00%	4	80.00%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person 2	1796	22	52.38%	20	47.62%	0	0.00%	
Total	1796	609	49.27%	627	50.73%	0	0.00%	
023 Pinckneyville D								
Polling	2042	98	19.80%	397	80.20%	0	0.00%	
Absentee by Mail	2042	16	24.62%	49	75.38%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person	2042	52	19.33%	217	80.67%	0	0.00%	
Provisional	2042	1	6.67%	14	93.33%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person 2	2042	6	15.79%	32	84.21%	0	0.00%	
Total	2042	173	19.61%	709	80.39%	0	0.00%	
024 Sugar Hill A								
Polling	4001	638	60.82%	410	39.08%	1	0.10%	
Absentee by Mail	4001	54	41.54%	74	56.92%	2	1.54%	
Advance in Person	4001	359	54.56%	299	45.44%	0	0.00%	
Provisional	4001	10	50.00%	10	50.00%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person 2	4001	107	50.47%	105	49.53%	0	0.00%	
Total	4001	1168	56.45%	898	43.40%	3	0.14%	
025 Sugar Hill B								
Polling	4835	831	56.92%	628	43.01%	1	0.07%	
Absentee by Mail	4835	72	45.86%	85	54.14%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person	4835	224	51.85%	208	48.15%	0	0.00%	
Provisional	4835	14	70.00%	6	30.00%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person 2	4835	315	53.66%	271	46.17%	1	0.17%	
Total	4835	1456	54.82%	1198	45.11%	2	0.08%	
026 Hog Mountain A								
Polling	4467	560	43.75%	719	56.17%	1	0.08%	
Absentee by Mail	4467	53	33.33%	106	66.67%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person	4467	140	37.84%	230	62.16%	0	0.00%	
Provisional	4467	7	31.82%	15	68.18%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person 2	4467	194	34.34%	370	65.49%	1	0.18%	
Total	4467	954	39.82%	1440	60.10%	2	0.08%	
027 Hog Mountain B								
Polling	4211	832	60.86%	535	39.14%	0	0.00%	
Absentee by Mail	4211	82	48.24%	88	51.76%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person	4211	477	59.55%	324	40.45%	0	0.00%	
Provisional	4211	7	58.33%	5	41.67%	0	0.00%	

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador						
	Reg. Voters	G. DUNCAN (R)		S. R. AMICO (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	4211	157	47.01%	177	52.99%	0	0.00%
Total	4211	1555	57.94%	1129	42.06%	0	0.00%
028 Rockycreek A							
Polling	5149	837	57.84%	609	42.09%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	5149	65	50.00%	65	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5149	869	60.73%	561	39.20%	1	0.07%
Provisional	5149	3	50.00%	3	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5149	54	33.13%	109	66.87%	0	0.00%
Total	5149	1828	57.54%	1347	42.40%	2	0.06%
029 Cates B							
Polling	1559	348	63.27%	202	36.73%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1559	33	55.93%	26	44.07%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1559	98	57.99%	71	42.01%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1559	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1559	139	60.96%	89	39.04%	0	0.00%
Total	1559	620	61.51%	388	38.49%	0	0.00%
030 Cates C							
Polling	2599	293	40.14%	436	59.73%	1	0.14%
Absentee by Mail	2599	36	42.35%	49	57.65%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2599	7	29.17%	17	70.83%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2599	1	12.50%	7	87.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2599	233	31.79%	500	68.21%	0	0.00%
Total	2599	570	36.08%	1009	63.86%	1	0.06%
031 Hog Mountain D							
Polling	2958	517	57.89%	371	41.55%	5	0.56%
Absentee by Mail	2958	40	37.04%	68	62.96%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2958	213	63.77%	121	36.23%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2958	8	66.67%	4	33.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2958	164	49.25%	169	50.75%	0	0.00%
Total	2958	942	56.07%	733	43.63%	5	0.30%
032 Berkshire D							
Polling	2684	440	54.12%	372	45.76%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	2684	56	42.75%	75	57.25%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2684	335	46.66%	383	53.34%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2684	4	44.44%	5	55.56%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2684	41	50.00%	41	50.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2684	876	49.97%	876	49.97%	1	0.06%
033 Berkshire E							
Polling	1866	382	60.54%	247	39.14%	2	0.32%
Absentee by Mail	1866	37	44.58%	46	55.42%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1866	257	49.05%	267	50.95%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1866	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1866	22	59.46%	15	40.54%	0	0.00%
Total	1866	698	54.70%	576	45.14%	2	0.16%
034 Berkshire F							
Polling	2003	244	39.42%	373	60.26%	2	0.32%
Absentee by Mail	2003	38	46.34%	43	52.44%	1	1.22%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador							
	Reg. Voters	G. DUNCAN (R)		S. R. AMICO (D)		Write-In Votes		
Advance in Person	2003	64	25.60%	186	74.40%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2003	2	18.18%	9	81.82%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2003	28	38.36%	45	61.64%	0	0.00%
Total	2003	376	36.33%	656	63.38%	3	0.29%
035 Cates D - 04							
Polling	2605	440	53.53%	381	46.35%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	2605	47	45.63%	56	54.37%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2605	82	46.59%	93	52.84%	1	0.57%
Provisional	2605	7	63.64%	3	27.27%	1	9.09%
Advance in Person 2	2605	234	47.08%	262	52.72%	1	0.20%
Total	2605	810	50.34%	795	49.41%	4	0.25%
035 Cates D - 07							
Polling	585	99	53.51%	85	45.95%	1	0.54%
Absentee by Mail	585	5	18.52%	22	81.48%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	585	22	30.56%	50	69.44%	0	0.00%
Provisional	585	2	66.67%	1	33.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	585	27	39.13%	42	60.87%	0	0.00%
Total	585	155	43.54%	200	56.18%	1	0.28%
036 Cates E							
Polling	2744	499	53.03%	439	46.65%	3	0.32%
Absentee by Mail	2744	52	43.33%	68	56.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2744	216	45.47%	258	54.32%	1	0.21%
Provisional	2744	1	11.11%	8	88.89%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2744	179	53.75%	154	46.25%	0	0.00%
Total	2744	947	50.43%	927	49.36%	4	0.21%
037 Pinckneyville E							
Polling	2183	138	21.30%	510	78.70%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2183	7	13.21%	46	86.79%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2183	36	14.57%	211	85.43%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2183	1	8.33%	11	91.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2183	6	30.00%	14	70.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2183	188	19.18%	792	80.82%	0	0.00%
038 Pinckneyville F							
Polling	2301	173	28.83%	427	71.17%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2301	28	30.77%	63	69.23%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2301	80	25.89%	229	74.11%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2301	3	42.86%	4	57.14%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2301	7	20.59%	27	79.41%	0	0.00%
Total	2301	291	27.95%	750	72.05%	0	0.00%
039 Duncans D							
Polling	6085	1210	68.25%	561	31.64%	2	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	6085	118	54.88%	96	44.65%	1	0.47%
Advance in Person	6085	1133	71.17%	458	28.77%	1	0.06%
Provisional	6085	8	53.33%	7	46.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	6085	99	59.28%	68	40.72%	0	0.00%
Total	6085	2568	68.26%	1190	31.63%	4	0.11%
040 Pinckneyville H							

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador						
	Reg. Voters	G. DUNCAN (R)		S. R. AMICO (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	4126	671	49.85%	674	50.07%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	4126	70	30.84%	157	69.16%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4126	461	44.16%	582	55.75%	1	0.10%
Provisional	4126	6	46.15%	7	53.85%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4126	32	39.51%	49	60.49%	0	0.00%
Total	4126	1240	45.74%	1469	54.19%	2	0.07%
041 Baycreek B							
Polling	5349	575	35.98%	1023	64.02%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5349	44	20.75%	168	79.25%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5349	11	22.45%	38	77.55%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5349	2	8.33%	22	91.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5349	388	28.01%	996	71.91%	1	0.07%
Total	5349	1020	31.21%	2247	68.76%	1	0.03%
042 Lawrenceville C							
Polling	2761	528	60.41%	344	39.36%	2	0.23%
Absentee by Mail	2761	44	45.36%	53	54.64%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2761	194	61.59%	121	38.41%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2761	4	80.00%	1	20.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2761	176	51.01%	168	48.70%	1	0.29%
Total	2761	946	57.82%	687	41.99%	3	0.18%
043 Martins D							
Polling	2059	95	17.40%	451	82.60%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2059	18	24.66%	55	75.34%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2059	33	15.35%	181	84.19%	1	0.47%
Provisional	2059	2	14.29%	12	85.71%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2059	11	12.09%	80	87.91%	0	0.00%
Total	2059	159	16.93%	779	82.96%	1	0.11%
044 Rockbridge B							
Polling	3761	242	25.94%	690	73.95%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	3761	25	23.36%	82	76.64%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3761	23	20.54%	89	79.46%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3761	1	7.69%	12	92.31%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3761	208	22.63%	711	77.37%	0	0.00%
Total	3761	499	23.94%	1584	76.01%	1	0.05%
045 Garners B							
Polling	2916	237	34.80%	443	65.05%	1	0.15%
Absentee by Mail	2916	59	46.09%	69	53.91%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2916	233	34.52%	442	65.48%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2916	1	16.67%	5	83.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2916	108	29.59%	256	70.14%	1	0.27%
Total	2916	638	34.39%	1215	65.50%	2	0.11%
046 Cates F							
Polling	1689	433	65.81%	224	34.04%	1	0.15%
Absentee by Mail	1689	35	52.24%	32	47.76%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1689	25	50.00%	25	50.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1689	2	50.00%	2	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1689	189	58.51%	134	41.49%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador							
	Reg. Voters	G. DUNCAN (R)		S. R. AMICO (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	1689	684	62.07%	417	37.84%	1	0.09%
047 Cates G							
Polling	2597	582	65.10%	310	34.68%	2	0.22%
Absentee by Mail	2597	64	52.46%	58	47.54%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2597	73	65.18%	39	34.82%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2597	4	57.14%	3	42.86%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2597	381	57.99%	275	41.86%	1	0.15%
Total	2597	1104	61.61%	685	38.23%	3	0.17%
048 Duluth B							
Polling	3332	476	53.97%	404	45.80%	2	0.23%
Absentee by Mail	3332	73	42.44%	99	57.56%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3332	289	45.51%	346	54.49%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3332	6	54.55%	5	45.45%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3332	98	65.77%	51	34.23%	0	0.00%
Total	3332	942	50.95%	905	48.95%	2	0.11%
049 Garners C							
Polling	1908	269	51.34%	255	48.66%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1908	19	32.20%	40	67.80%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1908	198	41.60%	278	58.40%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1908	1	20.00%	4	80.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1908	10	23.26%	33	76.74%	0	0.00%
Total	1908	497	44.90%	610	55.10%	0	0.00%
050 Pinckneyville I							
Polling	2479	171	26.59%	472	73.41%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2479	24	29.63%	57	70.37%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2479	77	19.85%	311	80.15%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2479	1	5.56%	17	94.44%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2479	13	19.40%	54	80.60%	0	0.00%
Total	2479	286	23.89%	911	76.11%	0	0.00%
051 Pinckneyville J							
Polling	2543	78	15.32%	429	84.28%	2	0.39%
Absentee by Mail	2543	20	27.40%	53	72.60%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2543	52	12.87%	352	87.13%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2543	1	3.85%	25	96.15%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2543	3	8.82%	31	91.18%	0	0.00%
Total	2543	154	14.72%	890	85.09%	2	0.19%
052 Berkshire G							
Polling	2250	337	52.99%	294	46.23%	5	0.79%
Absentee by Mail	2250	41	46.59%	47	53.41%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2250	361	49.79%	363	50.07%	1	0.14%
Provisional	2250	3	60.00%	2	40.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2250	11	37.93%	17	58.62%	1	3.45%
Total	2250	753	50.78%	723	48.75%	7	0.47%
053 Cates H							
Polling	3622	281	30.31%	642	69.26%	4	0.43%
Absentee by Mail	3622	45	34.88%	83	64.34%	1	0.78%
Advance in Person	3622	14	31.11%	31	68.89%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador							
	Reg. Voters	G. DUNCAN (R)		S. R. AMICO (D)		Write-In Votes		
Provisional	3622	1	7.14%	13	92.86%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3622	238	28.54%	596	71.46%	0	0.00%
Total	3622	579	29.71%	1365	70.04%	5	0.26%
054 Sugar Hill C							
Polling	4596	1041	66.39%	525	33.48%	2	0.13%
Absentee by Mail	4596	92	53.49%	80	46.51%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4596	267	60.27%	176	39.73%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4596	15	53.57%	13	46.43%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4596	316	56.13%	247	43.87%	0	0.00%
Total	4596	1731	62.40%	1041	37.53%	2	0.07%
055 Sugar Hill D							
Polling	4070	698	59.10%	482	40.81%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	4070	66	50.38%	65	49.62%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4070	480	57.90%	349	42.10%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4070	17	56.67%	13	43.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4070	101	68.71%	46	31.29%	0	0.00%
Total	4070	1362	58.76%	955	41.20%	1	0.04%
056 Duluth C							
Polling	2243	319	48.78%	333	50.92%	2	0.31%
Absentee by Mail	2243	48	48.48%	50	50.51%	1	1.01%
Advance in Person	2243	191	41.52%	269	58.48%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2243	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2243	20	28.57%	50	71.43%	0	0.00%
Total	2243	579	44.99%	705	54.78%	3	0.23%
057 Duluth D							
Polling	4404	390	38.39%	624	61.42%	2	0.20%
Absentee by Mail	4404	72	35.64%	130	64.36%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4404	315	32.31%	658	67.49%	2	0.21%
Provisional	4404	7	33.33%	14	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4404	68	47.22%	76	52.78%	0	0.00%
Total	4404	852	36.13%	1502	63.70%	4	0.17%
058 Pinckneyville K							
Polling	3547	181	21.70%	651	78.06%	2	0.24%
Absentee by Mail	3547	31	27.19%	83	72.81%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3547	66	13.95%	407	86.05%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3547	2	8.33%	22	91.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3547	23	26.44%	64	73.56%	0	0.00%
Total	3547	303	19.78%	1227	80.09%	2	0.13%
059 Pinckneyville N							
Polling	3717	160	15.53%	868	84.27%	2	0.19%
Absentee by Mail	3717	10	13.33%	65	86.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3717	70	12.99%	468	86.83%	1	0.19%
Provisional	3717	2	12.50%	14	87.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3717	8	15.09%	45	84.91%	0	0.00%
Total	3717	250	14.59%	1460	85.23%	3	0.18%
060 Lawrenceville D							
Polling	4872	224	22.67%	762	77.13%	2	0.20%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador						
	Reg. Voters	G. DUNCAN (R)		S. R. AMICO (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	4872	20	16.13%	104	83.87%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4872	18	22.22%	63	77.78%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4872	5	20.83%	19	79.17%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4872	170	20.14%	670	79.38%	4	0.47%
Total	4872	437	21.20%	1618	78.51%	6	0.29%
061 Lawrenceville E							
Polling	2205	336	54.37%	281	45.47%	1	0.16%
Absentee by Mail	2205	51	50.50%	50	49.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2205	13	61.90%	8	38.10%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2205	2	66.67%	1	33.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2205	334	55.02%	273	44.98%	0	0.00%
Total	2205	736	54.52%	613	45.41%	1	0.07%
062 Pinckneyville L							
Polling	2233	705	64.15%	393	35.76%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	2233	81	59.12%	56	40.88%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2233	201	52.89%	179	47.11%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2233	1	50.00%	1	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2233	24	68.57%	11	31.43%	0	0.00%
Total	2233	1012	61.22%	640	38.72%	1	0.06%
063 Pinckneyville M							
Polling	2515	778	68.01%	365	31.91%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	2515	91	52.60%	82	47.40%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2515	279	60.65%	181	39.35%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2515	3	42.86%	4	57.14%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2515	11	55.00%	9	45.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2515	1162	64.41%	641	35.53%	1	0.06%
064 Garners D							
Polling	3127	223	26.58%	615	73.30%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	3127	25	21.55%	90	77.59%	1	0.86%
Advance in Person	3127	165	27.14%	443	72.86%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3127	1	14.29%	5	71.43%	1	14.29%
Advance in Person 2	3127	59	20.63%	225	78.67%	2	0.70%
Total	3127	473	25.48%	1378	74.25%	5	0.27%
065 Berkshire H							
Polling	1717	148	34.18%	284	65.59%	1	0.23%
Absentee by Mail	1717	24	41.38%	34	58.62%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1717	86	27.48%	227	72.52%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1717	1	9.09%	10	90.91%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1717	5	14.29%	30	85.71%	0	0.00%
Total	1717	264	31.06%	585	68.82%	1	0.12%
066 Pinckneyville O							
Polling	3267	162	21.66%	582	77.81%	4	0.53%
Absentee by Mail	3267	19	24.36%	59	75.64%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3267	124	20.06%	494	79.94%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3267	7	21.88%	25	78.13%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3267	2	7.14%	26	92.86%	0	0.00%
Total	3267	314	20.88%	1186	78.86%	4	0.27%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador						
	Reg. Voters	G. DUNCAN (R)		S. R. AMICO (D)		Write-In Votes	
067 Pucketts E							
Polling	4771	542	48.70%	571	51.30%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4771	73	39.67%	111	60.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4771	620	51.84%	575	48.08%	1	0.08%
Provisional	4771	12	46.15%	14	53.85%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4771	53	33.54%	105	66.46%	0	0.00%
Total	4771	1300	48.56%	1376	51.40%	1	0.04%
068 Cates I							
Polling	3395	604	52.75%	539	47.07%	2	0.17%
Absentee by Mail	3395	64	45.07%	77	54.23%	1	0.70%
Advance in Person	3395	82	41.84%	114	58.16%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3395	2	25.00%	6	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3395	256	40.00%	384	60.00%	0	0.00%
Total	3395	1008	47.30%	1120	52.56%	3	0.14%
069 Cates J							
Polling	3266	482	44.18%	606	55.55%	3	0.27%
Absentee by Mail	3266	41	33.88%	80	66.12%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3266	208	34.61%	393	65.39%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3266	6	46.15%	7	53.85%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3266	60	28.85%	148	71.15%	0	0.00%
Total	3266	797	39.18%	1234	60.67%	3	0.15%
070 Goodwins B							
Polling	3604	673	58.17%	482	41.66%	2	0.17%
Absentee by Mail	3604	81	52.60%	73	47.40%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3604	123	60.00%	82	40.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3604	5	71.43%	2	28.57%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3604	364	53.53%	315	46.32%	1	0.15%
Total	3604	1246	56.56%	954	43.30%	3	0.14%
071 Lawrenceville F							
Polling	3698	240	27.94%	618	71.94%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	3698	23	15.54%	124	83.78%	1	0.68%
Advance in Person	3698	22	40.00%	33	60.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3698	3	20.00%	12	80.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3698	195	19.62%	799	80.38%	0	0.00%
Total	3698	483	23.32%	1586	76.58%	2	0.10%
072 Martins E							
Polling	5934	105	9.41%	1010	90.50%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	5934	30	16.13%	156	83.87%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5934	47	6.96%	627	92.89%	1	0.15%
Provisional	5934	5	8.62%	53	91.38%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5934	15	6.61%	212	93.39%	0	0.00%
Total	5934	202	8.93%	2058	90.98%	2	0.09%
073 Pinckneyville P							
Polling	2048	432	50.00%	431	49.88%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	2048	55	46.61%	63	53.39%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2048	87	31.41%	190	68.59%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2048	3	42.86%	4	57.14%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador						
	Reg. Voters	G. DUNCAN (R)		S. R. AMICO (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	2048	12	54.55%	10	45.45%	0	0.00%
Total	2048	589	45.73%	698	54.19%	1	0.08%
074 Pinckneyville Q							
Polling	1725	69	12.17%	496	87.48%	2	0.35%
Absentee by Mail	1725	0	0.00%	35	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1725	25	13.66%	157	85.79%	1	0.55%
Provisional	1725	0	0.00%	12	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1725	3	8.82%	31	91.18%	0	0.00%
Total	1725	97	11.67%	731	87.97%	3	0.36%
075 Rockbridge C							
Polling	4722	248	21.27%	917	78.64%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	4722	41	20.92%	155	79.08%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4722	48	28.74%	119	71.26%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4722	1	6.25%	15	93.75%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4722	209	15.00%	1183	84.92%	1	0.07%
Total	4722	547	18.62%	2389	81.31%	2	0.07%
076 Rockbridge D							
Polling	3359	216	27.03%	582	72.84%	1	0.13%
Absentee by Mail	3359	36	25.00%	108	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3359	4	11.43%	31	88.57%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3359	5	17.86%	23	82.14%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3359	279	25.95%	795	73.95%	1	0.09%
Total	3359	540	25.95%	1539	73.95%	2	0.10%
077 Goodwins C							
Polling	5106	496	37.41%	829	62.52%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	5106	77	36.49%	133	63.03%	1	0.47%
Advance in Person	5106	59	21.38%	217	78.62%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5106	4	21.05%	15	78.95%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5106	282	33.61%	557	66.39%	0	0.00%
Total	5106	918	34.37%	1751	65.56%	2	0.07%
078 Baycreek K							
Polling	3536	436	47.55%	480	52.34%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	3536	72	46.15%	84	53.85%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3536	20	55.56%	16	44.44%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3536	5	41.67%	7	58.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3536	524	46.37%	605	53.54%	1	0.09%
Total	3536	1057	46.96%	1192	52.95%	2	0.09%
079 Cates K							
Polling	2531	532	56.36%	409	43.33%	3	0.32%
Absentee by Mail	2531	35	49.30%	36	50.70%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2531	59	49.17%	61	50.83%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2531	2	25.00%	6	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2531	227	48.40%	242	51.60%	0	0.00%
Total	2531	855	53.04%	754	46.77%	3	0.19%
080 Baycreek C							
Polling	5755	734	47.08%	825	52.92%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5755	70	36.08%	124	63.92%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador						
	Reg. Voters	G. DUNCAN (R)		S. R. AMICO (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	5755	48	48.98%	50	51.02%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5755	4	33.33%	8	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5755	538	30.83%	1207	69.17%	0	0.00%
Total	5755	1394	38.64%	2214	61.36%	0	0.00%
081 Cates L							
Polling	3751	481	44.37%	601	55.44%	2	0.18%
Absentee by Mail	3751	52	36.88%	89	63.12%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3751	11	25.00%	33	75.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3751	2	20.00%	8	80.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3751	396	39.80%	598	60.10%	1	0.10%
Total	3751	942	41.42%	1329	58.44%	3	0.13%
082 Duluth E							
Polling	4580	341	29.86%	798	69.88%	3	0.26%
Absentee by Mail	4580	49	30.25%	112	69.14%	1	0.62%
Advance in Person	4580	269	28.11%	687	71.79%	1	0.10%
Provisional	4580	6	27.27%	16	72.73%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4580	32	30.77%	72	69.23%	0	0.00%
Total	4580	697	29.20%	1685	70.59%	5	0.21%
083 Goodwins D							
Polling	3985	597	48.26%	637	51.50%	3	0.24%
Absentee by Mail	3985	62	43.66%	79	55.63%	1	0.70%
Advance in Person	3985	38	36.54%	66	63.46%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3985	7	35.00%	13	65.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3985	330	44.47%	410	55.26%	2	0.27%
Total	3985	1034	46.06%	1205	53.67%	6	0.27%
084 Lawrenceville G							
Polling	2783	204	27.31%	541	72.42%	2	0.27%
Absentee by Mail	2783	21	22.34%	73	77.66%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2783	4	10.81%	33	89.19%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2783	4	19.05%	17	80.95%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2783	126	23.82%	402	75.99%	1	0.19%
Total	2783	359	25.14%	1066	74.65%	3	0.21%
085 Lawrenceville H							
Polling	3899	629	53.13%	554	46.79%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	3899	80	50.00%	80	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3899	124	53.68%	106	45.89%	1	0.43%
Provisional	3899	6	54.55%	5	45.45%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3899	375	49.54%	382	50.46%	0	0.00%
Total	3899	1214	51.81%	1127	48.10%	2	0.09%
086 Martins F							
Polling	2401	184	28.35%	463	71.34%	2	0.31%
Absentee by Mail	2401	18	21.18%	67	78.82%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2401	54	17.20%	259	82.48%	1	0.32%
Provisional	2401	2	16.67%	10	83.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2401	20	21.51%	73	78.49%	0	0.00%
Total	2401	278	24.11%	872	75.63%	3	0.26%
087 Pinckneyville S							

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador						
	Reg. Voters	G. DUNCAN (R)		S. R. AMICO (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	3013	309	33.51%	612	66.38%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	3013	61	40.67%	89	59.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3013	142	31.00%	315	68.78%	1	0.22%
Provisional	3013	3	27.27%	8	72.73%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3013	20	34.48%	38	65.52%	0	0.00%
Total	3013	535	33.46%	1062	66.42%	2	0.13%
088 Rockbridge E							
Polling	4199	296	26.91%	803	73.00%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	4199	17	14.17%	103	85.83%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4199	57	37.01%	97	62.99%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4199	5	12.50%	35	87.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4199	126	15.83%	670	84.17%	0	0.00%
Total	4199	501	22.67%	1708	77.29%	1	0.05%
089 Sugar Hill E							
Polling	3061	562	60.95%	360	39.05%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3061	55	45.83%	64	53.33%	1	0.83%
Advance in Person	3061	109	57.07%	82	42.93%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3061	14	63.64%	8	36.36%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3061	306	52.76%	272	46.90%	2	0.34%
Total	3061	1046	57.00%	786	42.83%	3	0.16%
090 Lawrenceville I							
Polling	3140	342	38.30%	549	61.48%	2	0.22%
Absentee by Mail	3140	44	35.20%	81	64.80%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3140	60	43.48%	78	56.52%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3140	2	22.22%	7	77.78%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3140	197	38.55%	314	61.45%	0	0.00%
Total	3140	645	38.48%	1029	61.40%	2	0.12%
091 Baycreek D							
Polling	4157	653	54.78%	539	45.22%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4157	63	38.18%	102	61.82%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4157	20	55.56%	16	44.44%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4157	6	54.55%	5	45.45%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4157	534	43.70%	688	56.30%	0	0.00%
Total	4157	1276	48.59%	1350	51.41%	0	0.00%
092 Berkshire J							
Polling	2595	476	59.43%	325	40.57%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2595	48	51.61%	45	48.39%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2595	417	52.00%	384	47.88%	1	0.12%
Provisional	2595	3	42.86%	4	57.14%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2595	31	41.33%	44	58.67%	0	0.00%
Total	2595	975	54.84%	802	45.11%	1	0.06%
093 Cates M							
Polling	3794	735	58.99%	510	40.93%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	3794	82	42.49%	111	57.51%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3794	73	57.94%	53	42.06%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3794	4	50.00%	4	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3794	524	54.41%	439	45.59%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador						
	Reg. Voters	G. DUNCAN (R)		S. R. AMICO (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	3794	1418	55.91%	1117	44.05%	1	0.04%
094 Cates N							
Polling	2445	522	65.83%	270	34.05%	1	0.13%
Absentee by Mail	2445	70	64.81%	38	35.19%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2445	14	56.00%	11	44.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2445	1	20.00%	4	80.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2445	409	63.31%	237	36.69%	0	0.00%
Total	2445	1016	64.43%	560	35.51%	1	0.06%
095 Duluth F							
Polling	5413	258	21.30%	952	78.61%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	5413	53	29.78%	125	70.22%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5413	87	15.13%	488	84.87%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5413	3	11.54%	23	88.46%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5413	83	19.90%	334	80.10%	0	0.00%
Total	5413	484	20.11%	1922	79.85%	1	0.04%
096 Duluth G							
Polling	2775	514	57.49%	380	42.51%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2775	59	54.13%	50	45.87%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2775	152	47.06%	171	52.94%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2775	3	27.27%	8	72.73%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2775	197	58.98%	137	41.02%	0	0.00%
Total	2775	925	55.36%	746	44.64%	0	0.00%
097 Goodwins E							
Polling	4950	774	52.40%	701	47.46%	2	0.14%
Absentee by Mail	4950	73	32.02%	155	67.98%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4950	44	26.67%	121	73.33%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4950	4	23.53%	13	76.47%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4950	408	44.35%	510	55.43%	2	0.22%
Total	4950	1303	46.42%	1500	53.44%	4	0.14%
098 Goodwins F							
Polling	2904	389	44.97%	475	54.91%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	2904	54	41.22%	77	58.78%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2904	25	40.32%	37	59.68%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2904	3	27.27%	8	72.73%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2904	239	42.30%	326	57.70%	0	0.00%
Total	2904	710	43.45%	923	56.49%	1	0.06%
099 Pinckneyville T							
Polling	2874	344	40.71%	501	59.29%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2874	68	46.58%	78	53.42%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2874	140	29.98%	327	70.02%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2874	3	30.00%	7	70.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2874	16	37.21%	27	62.79%	0	0.00%
Total	2874	571	37.79%	940	62.21%	0	0.00%
100 Suwanee B							
Polling	4085	471	55.74%	373	44.14%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	4085	53	38.41%	85	61.59%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4085	6	20.69%	23	79.31%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador						
	Reg. Voters	G. DUNCAN (R)		S. R. AMICO (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	4085	4	57.14%	3	42.86%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4085	789	53.49%	685	46.44%	1	0.07%
Total	4085	1323	53.05%	1169	46.87%	2	0.08%
101 Pinckneyville U							
Polling	2007	360	45.51%	431	54.49%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2007	26	35.62%	47	64.38%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2007	77	27.02%	208	72.98%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2007	1	14.29%	6	85.71%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2007	14	46.67%	16	53.33%	0	0.00%
Total	2007	478	40.30%	708	59.70%	0	0.00%
102 Pucketts B							
Polling	2741	511	64.36%	282	35.52%	1	0.13%
Absentee by Mail	2741	44	51.76%	41	48.24%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2741	527	65.47%	278	34.53%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2741	8	72.73%	3	27.27%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2741	42	68.85%	19	31.15%	0	0.00%
Total	2741	1132	64.46%	623	35.48%	1	0.06%
103 Berkshire L							
Polling	2915	215	24.88%	649	75.12%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2915	33	27.50%	87	72.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2915	75	22.80%	254	77.20%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2915	2	11.76%	15	88.24%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2915	42	23.60%	135	75.84%	1	0.56%
Total	2915	367	24.34%	1140	75.60%	1	0.07%
104 Rockbridge F							
Polling	5313	180	18.13%	813	81.87%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5313	50	23.92%	159	76.08%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5313	5	15.15%	28	84.85%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5313	3	15.00%	17	85.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5313	373	18.26%	1668	81.64%	2	0.10%
Total	5313	611	18.53%	2685	81.41%	2	0.06%
105 Sugar Hill F							
Polling	3687	738	60.20%	485	39.56%	3	0.24%
Absentee by Mail	3687	47	43.12%	62	56.88%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3687	48	40.34%	71	59.66%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3687	7	46.67%	8	53.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3687	396	58.58%	277	40.98%	3	0.44%
Total	3687	1236	57.62%	903	42.10%	6	0.28%
106 Suwanee C							
Polling	4823	667	55.72%	530	44.28%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4823	83	39.90%	124	59.62%	1	0.48%
Advance in Person	4823	48	46.15%	56	53.85%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4823	20	38.46%	32	61.54%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4823	626	51.78%	583	48.22%	0	0.00%
Total	4823	1444	52.13%	1325	47.83%	1	0.04%
107 Cates O							
Polling	1342	271	56.69%	206	43.10%	1	0.21%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador						
	Reg. Voters	G. DUNCAN (R)		S. R. AMICO (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	1342	22	56.41%	17	43.59%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1342	91	50.00%	91	50.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1342	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1342	56	43.75%	72	56.25%	0	0.00%
Total	1342	440	53.08%	388	46.80%	1	0.12%
108 Baycreek E							
Polling	2863	433	49.15%	447	50.74%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	2863	43	42.57%	58	57.43%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2863	11	35.48%	20	64.52%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2863	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2863	230	36.28%	404	63.72%	0	0.00%
Total	2863	718	43.49%	932	56.45%	1	0.06%
109 Berkshire M							
Polling	1638	327	57.57%	239	42.08%	2	0.35%
Absentee by Mail	1638	28	50.00%	28	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1638	225	54.09%	191	45.91%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1638	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1638	22	57.89%	16	42.11%	0	0.00%
Total	1638	603	55.73%	477	44.09%	2	0.18%
110 Martins G							
Polling	2187	188	29.51%	449	70.49%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2187	28	37.84%	46	62.16%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2187	4	11.76%	30	88.24%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2187	2	22.22%	7	77.78%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2187	76	22.49%	262	77.51%	0	0.00%
Total	2187	298	27.29%	794	72.71%	0	0.00%
111 Duluth H							
Polling	2662	331	46.04%	386	53.69%	2	0.28%
Absentee by Mail	2662	87	47.54%	96	52.46%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2662	250	44.09%	317	55.91%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2662	3	60.00%	2	40.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2662	55	49.11%	57	50.89%	0	0.00%
Total	2662	726	45.78%	858	54.10%	2	0.13%
112 Berkshire N							
Polling	2836	355	57.26%	264	42.58%	1	0.16%
Absentee by Mail	2836	65	50.00%	64	49.23%	1	0.77%
Advance in Person	2836	653	55.29%	528	44.71%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2836	2	50.00%	2	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2836	31	77.50%	9	22.50%	0	0.00%
Total	2836	1106	56.00%	867	43.90%	2	0.10%
113 Pinckneyville V							
Polling	1572	148	41.23%	211	58.77%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1572	22	35.48%	40	64.52%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1572	98	29.97%	228	69.72%	1	0.31%
Provisional	1572	6	42.86%	8	57.14%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1572	4	36.36%	7	63.64%	0	0.00%
Total	1572	278	35.96%	494	63.91%	1	0.13%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador								
	Reg. Voters	G. DUNCAN (R)		S. R. AMICO (D)		Write-In Votes	
114 Pinckneyville W							
Polling	3885	519	44.02%	658	55.81%	2	0.17%
Absentee by Mail	3885	53	36.55%	92	63.45%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3885	260	37.36%	435	62.50%	1	0.14%
Provisional	3885	5	25.00%	15	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3885	17	36.96%	29	63.04%	0	0.00%
Total	3885	854	40.94%	1229	58.92%	3	0.14%
115 Martins H							
Polling	4487	406	33.03%	820	66.72%	3	0.24%
Absentee by Mail	4487	72	32.58%	149	67.42%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4487	50	22.62%	171	77.38%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4487	2	14.29%	12	85.71%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4487	219	31.60%	474	68.40%	0	0.00%
Total	4487	749	31.50%	1626	68.38%	3	0.13%
116 Martins I							
Polling	3518	213	23.75%	684	76.25%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3518	51	31.68%	110	68.32%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3518	57	18.21%	256	81.79%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3518	1	5.88%	16	94.12%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3518	52	20.97%	195	78.63%	1	0.40%
Total	3518	374	22.86%	1261	77.08%	1	0.06%
117 Martins J							
Polling	3809	182	18.44%	802	81.26%	3	0.30%
Absentee by Mail	3809	19	15.57%	103	84.43%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3809	45	13.04%	300	86.96%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3809	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3809	54	20.93%	204	79.07%	0	0.00%
Total	3809	300	17.32%	1429	82.51%	3	0.17%
118 Garners F							
Polling	1558	227	50.22%	225	49.78%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1558	16	39.02%	25	60.98%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1558	236	50.21%	233	49.57%	1	0.21%
Provisional	1558	2	50.00%	2	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1558	3	18.75%	13	81.25%	0	0.00%
Total	1558	484	49.24%	498	50.66%	1	0.10%
119 Berkshire O							
Polling	2422	481	56.92%	362	42.84%	2	0.24%
Absentee by Mail	2422	63	59.43%	43	40.57%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2422	279	57.88%	203	42.12%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2422	4	44.44%	5	55.56%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2422	75	52.08%	69	47.92%	0	0.00%
Total	2422	902	56.87%	682	43.00%	2	0.13%
120 Berkshire P							
Polling	1339	135	37.09%	229	62.91%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1339	17	27.42%	45	72.58%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1339	59	32.42%	123	67.58%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1339	2	25.00%	6	75.00%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador							
	Reg. Voters	G. DUNCAN (R)		S. R. AMICO (D)		Write-In Votes		
Advance in Person 2	1339	20	38.46%	32	61.54%	0	0.00%
Total	1339	233	34.88%	435	65.12%	0	0.00%
121 Pinckneyville X							
Polling	3198	559	52.74%	501	47.26%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3198	88	40.37%	130	59.63%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3198	317	43.60%	410	56.40%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3198	2	40.00%	3	60.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3198	32	46.38%	37	53.62%	0	0.00%
Total	3198	998	48.00%	1081	52.00%	0	0.00%
122 Rockbridge G							
Polling	5188	244	22.02%	864	77.98%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5188	43	18.22%	193	81.78%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5188	37	34.91%	69	65.09%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5188	5	12.20%	36	87.80%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5188	274	17.67%	1276	82.27%	1	0.06%
Total	5188	603	19.82%	2438	80.14%	1	0.03%
123 Pinckneyville Y							
Polling	3018	132	17.96%	602	81.90%	1	0.14%
Absentee by Mail	3018	13	20.31%	51	79.69%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3018	65	15.33%	359	84.67%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3018	3	12.00%	22	88.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3018	17	28.81%	42	71.19%	0	0.00%
Total	3018	230	17.60%	1076	82.33%	1	0.08%
124 Goodwins G							
Polling	2448	222	30.45%	506	69.41%	1	0.14%
Absentee by Mail	2448	15	21.43%	55	78.57%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2448	11	15.71%	59	84.29%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2448	3	30.00%	7	70.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2448	98	24.02%	310	75.98%	0	0.00%
Total	2448	349	27.12%	937	72.80%	1	0.08%
125 Pinckneyville Z							
Polling	1806	597	68.31%	277	31.69%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1806	48	50.00%	48	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1806	180	59.41%	123	40.59%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1806	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1806	22	70.97%	9	29.03%	0	0.00%
Total	1806	849	65.01%	457	34.99%	0	0.00%
126 Pinckneyville A1							
Polling	2596	768	66.90%	380	33.10%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2596	85	52.80%	76	47.20%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2596	258	54.32%	217	45.68%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2596	4	57.14%	3	42.86%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2596	23	47.92%	25	52.08%	0	0.00%
Total	2596	1138	61.88%	701	38.12%	0	0.00%
127 Lawrenceville J							
Polling	1959	239	39.44%	367	60.56%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1959	17	20.99%	64	79.01%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador							
	Reg. Voters	G. DUNCAN (R)		S. R. AMICO (D)		Write-In Votes		
Advance in Person	1959	12	26.09%	34	73.91%	0	0.00%	
Provisional	1959	1	16.67%	5	83.33%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person 2	1959	89	24.12%	280	75.88%	0	0.00%	
Total	1959	358	32.31%	750	67.69%	0	0.00%	
128 Goodwins H								
Polling	2561	425	52.02%	389	47.61%	3	0.37%	
Absentee by Mail	2561	42	38.53%	67	61.47%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person	2561	18	36.00%	32	64.00%	0	0.00%	
Provisional	2561	3	23.08%	10	76.92%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person 2	2561	216	40.60%	316	59.40%	0	0.00%	
Total	2561	704	46.29%	814	53.52%	3	0.20%	
129 Duncans B								
Polling	4655	1108	70.62%	461	29.38%	0	0.00%	
Absentee by Mail	4655	87	57.62%	64	42.38%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person	4655	799	70.83%	328	29.08%	1	0.09%	
Provisional	4655	4	66.67%	2	33.33%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person 2	4655	59	54.13%	50	45.87%	0	0.00%	
Total	4655	2057	69.42%	905	30.54%	1	0.03%	
130 Suwanee D								
Polling	4247	910	66.62%	454	33.24%	2	0.15%	
Absentee by Mail	4247	87	50.88%	84	49.12%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person	4247	42	48.84%	44	51.16%	0	0.00%	
Provisional	4247	7	46.67%	8	53.33%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person 2	4247	728	64.83%	395	35.17%	0	0.00%	
Total	4247	1774	64.25%	985	35.68%	2	0.07%	
131 Suwanee E								
Polling	3921	671	62.07%	410	37.93%	0	0.00%	
Absentee by Mail	3921	89	47.34%	99	52.66%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person	3921	21	45.65%	25	54.35%	0	0.00%	
Provisional	3921	15	71.43%	6	28.57%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person 2	3921	747	59.38%	511	40.62%	0	0.00%	
Total	3921	1543	59.48%	1051	40.52%	0	0.00%	
132 Lawrenceville K								
Polling	2915	522	52.73%	465	46.97%	3	0.30%	
Absentee by Mail	2915	49	44.14%	62	55.86%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person	2915	97	55.75%	77	44.25%	0	0.00%	
Provisional	2915	1	20.00%	4	80.00%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person 2	2915	223	43.64%	288	56.36%	0	0.00%	
Total	2915	892	49.80%	896	50.03%	3	0.17%	
133 Harbins B								
Polling	4220	543	56.98%	408	42.81%	2	0.21%	
Absentee by Mail	4220	41	43.16%	53	55.79%	1	1.05%	
Advance in Person	4220	834	65.00%	449	35.00%	0	0.00%	
Provisional	4220	2	50.00%	2	50.00%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person 2	4220	56	41.79%	78	58.21%	0	0.00%	
Total	4220	1476	59.78%	990	40.10%	3	0.12%	
134 Baycreek F								

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador						
	Reg. Voters	G. DUNCAN (R)		S. R. AMICO (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	4119	469	39.81%	709	60.19%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4119	60	33.52%	119	66.48%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4119	17	45.95%	20	54.05%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4119	1	10.00%	9	90.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4119	323	27.80%	839	72.20%	0	0.00%
Total	4119	870	33.90%	1696	66.10%	0	0.00%
135 Pucketts C							
Polling	2931	522	63.04%	303	36.59%	3	0.36%
Absentee by Mail	2931	54	53.47%	47	46.53%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2931	436	61.24%	275	38.62%	1	0.14%
Provisional	2931	9	56.25%	7	43.75%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2931	30	39.47%	46	60.53%	0	0.00%
Total	2931	1051	60.65%	678	39.12%	4	0.23%
136 Hog Mountain C							
Polling	3832	701	58.56%	496	41.44%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3832	44	36.36%	77	63.64%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3832	358	55.25%	289	44.60%	1	0.15%
Provisional	3832	5	55.56%	4	44.44%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3832	117	55.19%	95	44.81%	0	0.00%
Total	3832	1225	56.01%	961	43.94%	1	0.05%
137 Rockycreek B							
Polling	4677	755	55.97%	592	43.88%	2	0.15%
Absentee by Mail	4677	48	36.92%	82	63.08%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4677	645	60.62%	419	39.38%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4677	2	25.00%	6	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4677	112	48.70%	118	51.30%	0	0.00%
Total	4677	1562	56.17%	1217	43.76%	2	0.07%
138 Duluth I							
Polling	4491	678	55.99%	531	43.85%	2	0.17%
Absentee by Mail	4491	142	47.49%	157	52.51%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4491	209	46.24%	243	53.76%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4491	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4491	381	57.12%	285	42.73%	1	0.15%
Total	4491	1414	53.70%	1216	46.18%	3	0.11%
139 Martins K							
Polling	4796	326	24.11%	1023	75.67%	3	0.22%
Absentee by Mail	4796	53	31.36%	116	68.64%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4796	23	11.44%	178	88.56%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4796	4	19.05%	17	80.95%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4796	118	15.23%	656	84.65%	1	0.13%
Total	4796	524	20.81%	1990	79.03%	4	0.16%
140 Suwanee H							
Polling	3480	702	68.29%	325	31.61%	1	0.10%
Absentee by Mail	3480	99	51.56%	92	47.92%	1	0.52%
Advance in Person	3480	28	56.00%	22	44.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3480	17	77.27%	5	22.73%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3480	773	70.40%	325	29.60%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador						
	Reg. Voters	G. DUNCAN (R)		S. R. AMICO (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	3480	1619	67.74%	769	32.18%	2	0.08%
141 Sugar Hill G							
Polling	2601	623	71.44%	247	28.33%	2	0.23%
Absentee by Mail	2601	43	47.78%	47	52.22%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2601	66	51.97%	61	48.03%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2601	10	66.67%	5	33.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2601	363	63.35%	210	36.65%	0	0.00%
Total	2601	1105	65.89%	570	33.99%	2	0.12%
142 Suwanee F							
Polling	3598	606	56.74%	461	43.16%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	3598	50	34.72%	93	64.58%	1	0.69%
Advance in Person	3598	24	35.82%	43	64.18%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3598	6	46.15%	7	53.85%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3598	423	50.42%	416	49.58%	0	0.00%
Total	3598	1109	52.04%	1020	47.86%	2	0.09%
143 Lawrenceville L							
Polling	4355	540	41.51%	758	58.26%	3	0.23%
Absentee by Mail	4355	82	44.57%	102	55.43%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4355	20	37.04%	34	62.96%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4355	5	33.33%	10	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4355	411	38.92%	644	60.98%	1	0.09%
Total	4355	1058	40.54%	1548	59.31%	4	0.15%
144 Lawrenceville M							
Polling	5956	329	23.04%	1097	76.82%	2	0.14%
Absentee by Mail	5956	38	20.43%	148	79.57%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5956	51	20.00%	204	80.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5956	3	15.00%	17	85.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5956	189	15.37%	1041	84.63%	0	0.00%
Total	5956	610	19.56%	2507	80.38%	2	0.06%
145 Baycreek G							
Polling	5092	644	42.54%	870	57.46%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5092	62	35.63%	112	64.37%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5092	19	35.85%	34	64.15%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5092	2	15.38%	11	84.62%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5092	393	28.15%	1003	71.85%	0	0.00%
Total	5092	1120	35.56%	2030	64.44%	0	0.00%
146 Baycreek H							
Polling	5697	941	56.31%	728	43.57%	2	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	5697	86	39.81%	129	59.72%	1	0.46%
Advance in Person	5697	55	55.56%	44	44.44%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5697	3	33.33%	6	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5697	698	44.15%	881	55.72%	2	0.13%
Total	5697	1783	49.86%	1788	50.00%	5	0.14%
147 Baycreek I							
Polling	5162	521	41.75%	727	58.25%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5162	68	34.87%	127	65.13%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5162	19	37.25%	32	62.75%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador						
	Reg. Voters	G. DUNCAN (R)		S. R. AMICO (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	5162	5	33.33%	10	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5162	505	34.57%	954	65.30%	2	0.14%
Total	5162	1118	37.64%	1850	62.29%	2	0.07%
148 Berkshire Q							
Polling	1644	281	53.42%	245	46.58%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1644	32	58.18%	23	41.82%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1644	195	49.49%	198	50.25%	1	0.25%
Provisional	1644	4	66.67%	2	33.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1644	16	59.26%	11	40.74%	0	0.00%
Total	1644	528	52.38%	479	47.52%	1	0.10%
149 Goodwins I							
Polling	2341	490	56.32%	379	43.56%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	2341	59	46.46%	67	52.76%	1	0.79%
Advance in Person	2341	23	27.38%	61	72.62%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2341	2	28.57%	5	71.43%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2341	218	48.77%	229	51.23%	0	0.00%
Total	2341	792	51.60%	741	48.27%	2	0.13%
150 Duluth K							
Polling	2694	101	19.46%	418	80.54%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2694	13	23.64%	42	76.36%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2694	66	17.60%	308	82.13%	1	0.27%
Provisional	2694	3	33.33%	6	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2694	5	13.89%	31	86.11%	0	0.00%
Total	2694	188	18.91%	805	80.99%	1	0.10%
151 Harbins C							
Polling	2369	656	70.01%	280	29.88%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	2369	38	50.00%	38	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2369	165	55.93%	130	44.07%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2369	5	83.33%	1	16.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2369	116	41.88%	161	58.12%	0	0.00%
Total	2369	980	61.60%	610	38.34%	1	0.06%
152 Rockycreek C							
Polling	4504	719	54.76%	593	45.16%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	4504	59	44.70%	73	55.30%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4504	697	58.23%	498	41.60%	2	0.17%
Provisional	4504	4	66.67%	2	33.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4504	86	41.75%	120	58.25%	0	0.00%
Total	4504	1565	54.84%	1286	45.06%	3	0.11%
153 Lawrenceville N							
Polling	2343	272	46.50%	311	53.16%	2	0.34%
Absentee by Mail	2343	36	46.15%	42	53.85%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2343	4	18.18%	18	81.82%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2343	5	25.00%	15	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2343	178	35.53%	323	64.47%	0	0.00%
Total	2343	495	41.04%	709	58.79%	2	0.17%
154 Pucketts D							
Polling	3166	541	63.13%	315	36.76%	1	0.12%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Lieutenant Governor / Vicegobernador						
	Reg. Voters	G. DUNCAN (R)		S. R. AMICO (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	3166	77	54.23%	65	45.77%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3166	595	69.59%	259	30.29%	1	0.12%
Provisional	3166	11	68.75%	5	31.25%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3166	53	68.83%	24	31.17%	0	0.00%
Total	3166	1277	65.59%	668	34.31%	2	0.10%
155 Suwanee G							
Polling	3451	605	53.30%	530	46.70%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3451	46	33.58%	91	66.42%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3451	33	38.82%	52	61.18%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3451	5	33.33%	10	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3451	320	42.61%	431	57.39%	0	0.00%
Total	3451	1009	47.53%	1114	52.47%	0	0.00%
156 Baycreek J							
Polling	4038	450	39.10%	698	60.64%	3	0.26%
Absentee by Mail	4038	45	27.95%	115	71.43%	1	0.62%
Advance in Person	4038	15	30.00%	35	70.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4038	2	22.22%	7	77.78%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4038	324	28.80%	800	71.11%	1	0.09%
Total	4038	836	33.49%	1655	66.31%	5	0.20%
Total							
Polling	525568	69336	46.65%	79115	53.23%	188	0.13%
Absentee by Mail	525568	7879	38.86%	12373	61.03%	21	0.10%
Advance in Person	525568	27519	45.39%	33066	54.54%	38	0.06%
Advance in Person 2	525568	27568	38.39%	44195	61.54%	50	0.07%
Provisional	525568	690	31.77%	1480	68.14%	2	0.09%
Total	525568	132992	43.82%	170229	56.08%	299	0.10%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado										
	Reg. Voters	B. RAFFENSPERGER (R)		J. BARROW (D)		S. DUVAL (L)		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide									
001 Harbins A									
Polling	6123	784	50.88%	707	45.88%	49	3.18%	1	0.06%
Absentee by Mail	6123	72	37.31%	117	60.62%	4	2.07%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	6123	638	56.61%	470	41.70%	19	1.69%	0	0.00%
Provisional	6123	2	12.50%	12	75.00%	2	12.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	6123	307	38.04%	487	60.35%	11	1.36%	2	0.25%
Total	6123	1803	48.94%	1793	48.67%	85	2.31%	3	0.08%
002 Rockbridge A									
Polling	3653	148	18.09%	653	79.83%	16	1.96%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	3653	30	22.22%	101	74.81%	4	2.96%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3653	28	24.14%	86	74.14%	2	1.72%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3653	31	37.80%	50	60.98%	1	1.22%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3653	192	17.79%	872	80.82%	15	1.39%	0	0.00%
Total	3653	429	19.24%	1762	79.01%	38	1.70%	1	0.04%
003 Dacula									
Polling	4478	464	41.06%	634	56.11%	32	2.83%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4478	29	22.48%	100	77.52%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4478	574	47.95%	602	50.29%	21	1.75%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4478	3	37.50%	5	62.50%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4478	61	25.31%	172	71.37%	8	3.32%	0	0.00%
Total	4478	1131	41.81%	1513	55.93%	61	2.26%	0	0.00%
004 Suwanee A									
Polling	1551	182	47.89%	184	48.42%	14	3.68%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1551	24	55.81%	19	44.19%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1551	13	35.14%	24	64.86%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1551	11	68.75%	3	18.75%	2	12.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1551	160	40.82%	220	56.12%	12	3.06%	0	0.00%
Total	1551	390	44.93%	450	51.84%	28	3.23%	0	0.00%
005 Baycreek A									
Polling	2555	516	58.90%	335	38.24%	25	2.85%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2555	58	55.77%	46	44.23%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2555	16	47.06%	15	44.12%	3	8.82%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2555	16	57.14%	12	42.86%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2555	326	46.57%	367	52.43%	6	0.86%	1	0.14%
Total	2555	932	53.50%	775	44.49%	34	1.95%	1	0.06%
006 Goodwins A									
Polling	3583	481	41.25%	644	55.23%	40	3.43%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	3583	52	40.63%	73	57.03%	3	2.34%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3583	27	25.23%	76	71.03%	4	3.74%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3583	4	23.53%	13	76.47%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3583	252	37.56%	399	59.46%	20	2.98%	0	0.00%
Total	3583	816	39.06%	1205	57.68%	67	3.21%	1	0.05%
007 Duluth A									
Polling	4599	673	48.28%	654	46.92%	64	4.59%	3	0.22%
Absentee by Mail	4599	74	33.48%	135	61.09%	12	5.43%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4599	274	34.68%	501	63.42%	15	1.90%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado										
	Reg. Voters	B. RAFFENSPERGER (R)		J. BARROW (D)		S. DUVAL (L)		Write-In Votes			
Provisional	4599	5	45.45%	5	45.45%	1	9.09%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	4599	242	44.98%	279	51.86%	17	3.16%	0	0.00%		
Total	4599	1268	42.92%	1574	53.28%	109	3.69%	3	0.10%		
008 Duncans A											
Polling	4278	844	65.78%	398	31.02%	40	3.12%	1	0.08%		
Absentee by Mail	4278	73	55.73%	56	42.75%	2	1.53%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	4278	868	68.35%	376	29.61%	26	2.05%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	4278	6	46.15%	5	38.46%	2	15.38%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	4278	96	55.49%	75	43.35%	2	1.16%	0	0.00%		
Total	4278	1887	65.75%	910	31.71%	72	2.51%	1	0.03%		
009 Pucketts A											
Polling	4844	698	63.05%	360	32.52%	49	4.43%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	4844	104	57.78%	71	39.44%	5	2.78%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	4844	1238	68.51%	528	29.22%	41	2.27%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	4844	2	50.00%	2	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	4844	33	60.00%	21	38.18%	1	1.82%	0	0.00%		
Total	4844	2075	65.81%	982	31.14%	96	3.04%	0	0.00%		
010 Cates A											
Polling	2209	404	63.22%	220	34.43%	15	2.35%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	2209	96	60.00%	63	39.38%	1	0.63%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2209	17	68.00%	6	24.00%	2	8.00%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2209	2	50.00%	2	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2209	337	55.52%	259	42.67%	11	1.81%	0	0.00%		
Total	2209	856	59.65%	550	38.33%	29	2.02%	0	0.00%		
011 Berkshire A											
Polling	2247	230	35.17%	408	62.39%	16	2.45%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	2247	27	27.84%	67	69.07%	3	3.09%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2247	77	23.05%	254	76.05%	3	0.90%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2247	1	8.33%	10	83.33%	1	8.33%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2247	30	47.62%	31	49.21%	2	3.17%	0	0.00%		
Total	2247	365	31.47%	770	66.38%	25	2.16%	0	0.00%		
012 Berkshire B											
Polling	5010	184	20.29%	688	75.85%	35	3.86%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	5010	48	27.91%	118	68.60%	6	3.49%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	5010	357	24.34%	1068	72.80%	42	2.86%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	5010	2	8.70%	21	91.30%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	5010	18	23.08%	58	74.36%	2	2.56%	0	0.00%		
Total	5010	609	23.01%	1953	73.78%	85	3.21%	0	0.00%		
013 Duncans C											
Polling	2828	752	74.38%	236	23.34%	22	2.18%	1	0.10%		
Absentee by Mail	2828	59	63.44%	30	32.26%	4	4.30%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2828	516	67.01%	235	30.52%	19	2.47%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2828	5	71.43%	2	28.57%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2828	44	54.32%	36	44.44%	1	1.23%	0	0.00%		
Total	2828	1376	70.13%	539	27.47%	46	2.34%	1	0.05%		
014 Garners A											
Polling	3302	561	55.99%	389	38.82%	52	5.19%	0	0.00%		

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado										
	Reg. Voters	B. RAFFENSPERGER (R)		J. BARROW (D)		S. DUVAL (L)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	3302	66	49.25%	66	49.25%	2	1.49%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3302	554	54.64%	445	43.89%	15	1.48%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3302	4	44.44%	4	44.44%	1	11.11%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3302	44	39.29%	66	58.93%	2	1.79%	0	0.00%
Total	3302	1229	54.12%	970	42.71%	72	3.17%	0	0.00%
015 Lawrenceville A									
Polling	3300	288	40.22%	402	56.15%	26	3.63%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3300	72	49.32%	68	46.58%	6	4.11%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3300	7	41.18%	10	58.82%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3300	0	0.00%	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3300	350	46.36%	393	52.05%	12	1.59%	0	0.00%
Total	3300	717	43.77%	877	53.54%	44	2.69%	0	0.00%
016 Lawrenceville B									
Polling	4520	372	36.15%	632	61.42%	25	2.43%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4520	51	28.98%	124	70.45%	1	0.57%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4520	10	22.22%	34	75.56%	1	2.22%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4520	5	41.67%	6	50.00%	1	8.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4520	385	26.81%	1027	71.52%	23	1.60%	1	0.07%
Total	4520	823	30.50%	1823	67.57%	51	1.89%	1	0.04%
017 Martins A									
Polling	3019	169	20.39%	635	76.60%	25	3.02%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3019	16	16.33%	78	79.59%	4	4.08%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3019	47	18.50%	202	79.53%	5	1.97%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3019	3	25.00%	9	75.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3019	51	16.29%	259	82.75%	3	0.96%	0	0.00%
Total	3019	286	18.99%	1183	78.55%	37	2.46%	0	0.00%
018 Martins B									
Polling	2796	267	32.09%	542	65.14%	21	2.52%	2	0.24%
Absentee by Mail	2796	24	25.81%	65	69.89%	4	4.30%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2796	16	17.02%	76	80.85%	2	2.13%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2796	3	14.29%	17	80.95%	1	4.76%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2796	136	30.02%	308	67.99%	9	1.99%	0	0.00%
Total	2796	446	29.87%	1008	67.52%	37	2.48%	2	0.13%
019 Martins C									
Polling	2349	343	40.83%	480	57.14%	17	2.02%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2349	31	40.79%	44	57.89%	1	1.32%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2349	79	39.70%	119	59.80%	1	0.50%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2349	2	20.00%	8	80.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2349	95	38.46%	148	59.92%	4	1.62%	0	0.00%
Total	2349	550	40.09%	799	58.24%	23	1.68%	0	0.00%
020 Pinckneyville A									
Polling	2603	133	18.71%	537	75.53%	40	5.63%	1	0.14%
Absentee by Mail	2603	13	15.29%	66	77.65%	6	7.06%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2603	45	11.63%	334	86.30%	8	2.07%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2603	6	31.58%	12	63.16%	1	5.26%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2603	6	10.53%	50	87.72%	1	1.75%	0	0.00%
Total	2603	203	16.12%	999	79.35%	56	4.45%	1	0.08%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado										
	Reg. Voters	B. RAFFENSPERGER (R)		J. BARROW (D)		S. DUVAL (L)		Write-In Votes	
021 Pinckneyville B									
Polling	3216	146	21.92%	499	74.92%	21	3.15%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3216	31	26.05%	85	71.43%	3	2.52%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3216	113	19.45%	447	76.94%	20	3.44%	1	0.17%
Provisional	3216	7	19.44%	28	77.78%	1	2.78%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3216	4	14.81%	22	81.48%	1	3.70%	0	0.00%
Total	3216	301	21.06%	1081	75.65%	46	3.22%	1	0.07%
022 Pinckneyville C									
Polling	1796	410	51.38%	345	43.23%	43	5.39%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1796	53	43.44%	67	54.92%	2	1.64%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1796	117	39.00%	177	59.00%	6	2.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1796	0	0.00%	4	80.00%	1	20.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1796	20	45.45%	23	52.27%	1	2.27%	0	0.00%
Total	1796	600	47.28%	616	48.54%	53	4.18%	0	0.00%
023 Pinckneyville D									
Polling	2042	100	19.42%	394	76.50%	20	3.88%	1	0.19%
Absentee by Mail	2042	15	23.08%	46	70.77%	4	6.15%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2042	53	18.79%	219	77.66%	10	3.55%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2042	1	6.67%	13	86.67%	1	6.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2042	6	15.38%	33	84.62%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2042	175	19.10%	705	76.97%	35	3.82%	1	0.11%
024 Sugar Hill A									
Polling	4001	636	59.00%	403	37.38%	39	3.62%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4001	51	39.23%	76	58.46%	2	1.54%	1	0.77%
Advance in Person	4001	359	53.50%	301	44.86%	11	1.64%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4001	10	50.00%	10	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4001	109	49.10%	108	48.65%	5	2.25%	0	0.00%
Total	4001	1165	54.93%	898	42.34%	57	2.69%	1	0.05%
025 Sugar Hill B									
Polling	4835	808	54.48%	607	40.93%	65	4.38%	3	0.20%
Absentee by Mail	4835	77	48.73%	76	48.10%	5	3.16%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4835	219	49.55%	211	47.74%	12	2.71%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4835	14	70.00%	6	30.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4835	316	53.11%	270	45.38%	9	1.51%	0	0.00%
Total	4835	1434	53.15%	1170	43.37%	91	3.37%	3	0.11%
026 Hog Mountain A									
Polling	4467	550	41.89%	713	54.30%	48	3.66%	2	0.15%
Absentee by Mail	4467	50	31.45%	106	66.67%	3	1.89%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4467	141	37.11%	231	60.79%	8	2.11%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4467	7	33.33%	14	66.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4467	194	33.16%	378	64.62%	13	2.22%	0	0.00%
Total	4467	942	38.32%	1442	58.67%	72	2.93%	2	0.08%
027 Hog Mountain B									
Polling	4211	813	58.79%	534	38.61%	36	2.60%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4211	77	46.11%	83	49.70%	7	4.19%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4211	469	57.41%	331	40.51%	16	1.96%	1	0.12%
Provisional	4211	6	50.00%	6	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado								
	Reg. Voters	B. RAFFENSPERGER (R)		J. BARROW (D)		S. DUVAL (L)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	4211	154	44.13%	189	54.15%	6	1.72%	0	0.00%
Total	4211	1519	55.68%	1143	41.90%	65	2.38%	1	0.04%
028 Rockycreek A									
Polling	5149	845	56.41%	612	40.85%	40	2.67%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	5149	63	48.46%	66	50.77%	1	0.77%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5149	862	58.68%	583	39.69%	23	1.57%	1	0.07%
Provisional	5149	3	50.00%	3	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5149	54	31.95%	113	66.86%	2	1.18%	0	0.00%
Total	5149	1827	55.84%	1377	42.08%	66	2.02%	2	0.06%
029 Cates B									
Polling	1559	348	61.92%	186	33.10%	27	4.80%	1	0.18%
Absentee by Mail	1559	33	55.93%	25	42.37%	1	1.69%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1559	95	54.91%	72	41.62%	6	3.47%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1559	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1559	135	58.70%	88	38.26%	7	3.04%	0	0.00%
Total	1559	613	59.75%	371	36.16%	41	4.00%	1	0.10%
030 Cates C									
Polling	2599	287	38.27%	446	59.47%	17	2.27%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2599	35	41.18%	48	56.47%	2	2.35%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2599	7	29.17%	17	70.83%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2599	1	12.50%	7	87.50%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2599	235	31.13%	510	67.55%	10	1.32%	0	0.00%
Total	2599	565	34.83%	1028	63.38%	29	1.79%	0	0.00%
031 Hog Mountain D									
Polling	2958	510	55.86%	358	39.21%	45	4.93%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2958	39	35.45%	68	61.82%	3	2.73%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2958	208	60.64%	125	36.44%	10	2.92%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2958	7	58.33%	4	33.33%	1	8.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2958	164	48.09%	170	49.85%	7	2.05%	0	0.00%
Total	2958	928	53.98%	725	42.18%	66	3.84%	0	0.00%
032 Berkshire D									
Polling	2684	418	50.61%	375	45.40%	33	4.00%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2684	55	41.98%	74	56.49%	2	1.53%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2684	330	45.27%	379	51.99%	20	2.74%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2684	2	25.00%	6	75.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2684	37	45.12%	43	52.44%	2	2.44%	0	0.00%
Total	2684	842	47.41%	877	49.38%	57	3.21%	0	0.00%
033 Berkshire E									
Polling	1866	369	57.21%	257	39.84%	18	2.79%	1	0.16%
Absentee by Mail	1866	37	44.05%	47	55.95%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1866	252	46.67%	273	50.56%	14	2.59%	1	0.19%
Provisional	1866	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1866	20	57.14%	15	42.86%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	1866	678	51.95%	593	45.44%	32	2.45%	2	0.15%
034 Berkshire F									
Polling	2003	238	37.07%	374	58.26%	30	4.67%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2003	37	45.12%	42	51.22%	3	3.66%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado										
	Reg. Voters	B. RAFFENSPERGER (R)		J. BARROW (D)		S. DUVAL (L)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	2003	60	23.72%	186	73.52%	7	2.77%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2003	1	9.09%	9	81.82%	1	9.09%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2003	29	38.16%	47	61.84%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2003	365	34.30%	658	61.84%	41	3.85%	0	0.00%
035 Cates D - 04									
Polling	2605	426	50.41%	388	45.92%	30	3.55%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	2605	45	44.12%	53	51.96%	4	3.92%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2605	82	46.33%	90	50.85%	5	2.82%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2605	7	63.64%	4	36.36%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2605	230	45.19%	271	53.24%	8	1.57%	0	0.00%
Total	2605	790	48.05%	806	49.03%	47	2.86%	1	0.06%
035 Cates D - 07									
Polling	585	99	51.56%	85	44.27%	8	4.17%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	585	4	14.81%	20	74.07%	3	11.11%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	585	19	26.39%	51	70.83%	2	2.78%	0	0.00%
Provisional	585	2	66.67%	1	33.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	585	27	38.57%	43	61.43%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	585	151	41.48%	200	54.95%	13	3.57%	0	0.00%
036 Cates E									
Polling	2744	488	51.31%	433	45.53%	30	3.15%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2744	46	38.98%	71	60.17%	1	0.85%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2744	213	44.01%	254	52.48%	17	3.51%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2744	1	11.11%	8	88.89%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2744	177	51.75%	162	47.37%	3	0.88%	0	0.00%
Total	2744	925	48.58%	928	48.74%	51	2.68%	0	0.00%
037 Pinckneyville E									
Polling	2183	133	19.50%	528	77.42%	21	3.08%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2183	7	13.21%	42	79.25%	4	7.55%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2183	32	12.75%	210	83.67%	9	3.59%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2183	1	8.33%	10	83.33%	1	8.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2183	6	27.27%	16	72.73%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2183	179	17.55%	806	79.02%	35	3.43%	0	0.00%
038 Pinckneyville F									
Polling	2301	160	25.08%	451	70.69%	26	4.08%	1	0.16%
Absentee by Mail	2301	26	29.55%	57	64.77%	5	5.68%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2301	80	24.92%	234	72.90%	6	1.87%	1	0.31%
Provisional	2301	2	33.33%	3	50.00%	1	16.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2301	7	18.92%	30	81.08%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2301	275	25.23%	775	71.10%	38	3.49%	2	0.18%
039 Duncans D									
Polling	6085	1193	65.19%	567	30.98%	70	3.83%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	6085	114	53.02%	89	41.40%	12	5.58%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	6085	1107	69.06%	473	29.51%	23	1.43%	0	0.00%
Provisional	6085	6	40.00%	8	53.33%	1	6.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	6085	95	56.89%	70	41.92%	2	1.20%	0	0.00%
Total	6085	2515	65.67%	1207	31.51%	108	2.82%	0	0.00%
040 Pinckneyville H									

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado								
	Reg. Voters	B. RAFFENSPERGER (R)		J. BARROW (D)		S. DUVAL (L)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	4126	655	47.40%	679	49.13%	47	3.40%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	4126	68	30.09%	146	64.60%	12	5.31%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4126	453	42.86%	583	55.16%	20	1.89%	1	0.09%
Provisional	4126	6	46.15%	7	53.85%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4126	32	39.51%	48	59.26%	1	1.23%	0	0.00%
Total	4126	1214	44.00%	1463	53.03%	80	2.90%	2	0.07%
041 Baycreek B									
Polling	5349	546	33.13%	1050	63.71%	51	3.09%	1	0.06%
Absentee by Mail	5349	45	21.33%	161	76.30%	5	2.37%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5349	11	21.57%	39	76.47%	1	1.96%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5349	1	4.17%	23	95.83%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5349	379	26.78%	1019	72.01%	17	1.20%	0	0.00%
Total	5349	982	29.32%	2292	68.44%	74	2.21%	1	0.03%
042 Lawrenceville C									
Polling	2761	526	59.30%	316	35.63%	44	4.96%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	2761	43	43.88%	47	47.96%	8	8.16%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2761	189	59.43%	119	37.42%	10	3.14%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2761	4	80.00%	1	20.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2761	180	50.42%	172	48.18%	5	1.40%	0	0.00%
Total	2761	942	56.58%	655	39.34%	67	4.02%	1	0.06%
043 Martins D									
Polling	2059	95	16.05%	484	81.76%	13	2.20%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2059	18	24.66%	52	71.23%	3	4.11%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2059	33	15.28%	177	81.94%	6	2.78%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2059	2	15.38%	10	76.92%	1	7.69%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2059	16	16.67%	77	80.21%	3	3.13%	0	0.00%
Total	2059	164	16.57%	800	80.81%	26	2.63%	0	0.00%
044 Rockbridge B									
Polling	3761	235	24.13%	713	73.20%	25	2.57%	1	0.10%
Absentee by Mail	3761	28	26.42%	77	72.64%	1	0.94%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3761	24	20.51%	92	78.63%	1	0.85%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3761	1	7.69%	11	84.62%	1	7.69%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3761	197	20.63%	738	77.28%	20	2.09%	0	0.00%
Total	3761	485	22.40%	1631	75.33%	48	2.22%	1	0.05%
045 Garners B									
Polling	2916	228	32.43%	455	64.72%	18	2.56%	2	0.28%
Absentee by Mail	2916	58	45.31%	69	53.91%	1	0.78%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2916	242	35.07%	434	62.90%	14	2.03%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2916	1	16.67%	5	83.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2916	106	28.19%	266	70.74%	4	1.06%	0	0.00%
Total	2916	635	33.37%	1229	64.58%	37	1.94%	2	0.11%
046 Cates F									
Polling	1689	431	63.95%	215	31.90%	28	4.15%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1689	30	44.78%	36	53.73%	1	1.49%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1689	23	46.00%	27	54.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1689	2	50.00%	2	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1689	197	58.46%	134	39.76%	6	1.78%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado										
	Reg. Voters	B. RAFFENSPERGER (R)		J. BARROW (D)		S. DUVAL (L)		Write-In Votes			
Total	1689	683	60.34%	414	36.57%	35	3.09%	0	0.00%		
047 Cates G											
Polling	2597	562	61.89%	317	34.91%	29	3.19%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	2597	63	51.64%	54	44.26%	5	4.10%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2597	69	61.06%	41	36.28%	3	2.65%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2597	5	71.43%	2	28.57%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2597	377	55.85%	289	42.81%	8	1.19%	1	0.15%		
Total	2597	1076	58.96%	703	38.52%	45	2.47%	1	0.05%		
048 Duluth B											
Polling	3332	473	51.92%	401	44.02%	37	4.06%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	3332	70	40.94%	93	54.39%	8	4.68%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	3332	285	43.45%	352	53.66%	19	2.90%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	3332	5	45.45%	6	54.55%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	3332	99	66.00%	50	33.33%	1	0.67%	0	0.00%		
Total	3332	932	49.08%	902	47.50%	65	3.42%	0	0.00%		
049 Garners C											
Polling	1908	263	48.26%	250	45.87%	32	5.87%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	1908	18	30.51%	40	67.80%	1	1.69%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	1908	191	38.43%	291	58.55%	15	3.02%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	1908	2	33.33%	4	66.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	1908	11	23.91%	33	71.74%	2	4.35%	0	0.00%		
Total	1908	485	42.06%	618	53.60%	50	4.34%	0	0.00%		
050 Pinckneyville I											
Polling	2479	173	25.90%	476	71.26%	18	2.69%	1	0.15%		
Absentee by Mail	2479	26	32.10%	54	66.67%	1	1.23%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2479	74	18.41%	320	79.60%	8	1.99%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2479	2	11.11%	15	83.33%	1	5.56%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2479	16	22.86%	52	74.29%	2	2.86%	0	0.00%		
Total	2479	291	23.49%	917	74.01%	30	2.42%	1	0.08%		
051 Pinckneyville J											
Polling	2543	67	12.45%	452	84.01%	18	3.35%	1	0.19%		
Absentee by Mail	2543	18	25.00%	53	73.61%	1	1.39%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2543	49	11.92%	349	84.91%	13	3.16%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2543	1	3.70%	26	96.30%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2543	3	8.33%	31	86.11%	2	5.56%	0	0.00%		
Total	2543	138	12.73%	911	84.04%	34	3.14%	1	0.09%		
052 Berkshire G											
Polling	2250	327	50.54%	288	44.51%	32	4.95%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	2250	44	50.57%	41	47.13%	2	2.30%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2250	348	46.71%	378	50.74%	19	2.55%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2250	3	60.00%	2	40.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2250	11	36.67%	18	60.00%	1	3.33%	0	0.00%		
Total	2250	733	48.41%	727	48.02%	54	3.57%	0	0.00%		
053 Cates H											
Polling	3622	276	28.08%	675	68.67%	30	3.05%	2	0.20%		
Absentee by Mail	3622	47	37.01%	78	61.42%	2	1.57%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	3622	14	29.17%	33	68.75%	1	2.08%	0	0.00%		

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado										
	Reg. Voters	B. RAFFENSPERGER (R)		J. BARROW (D)		S. DUVAL (L)		Write-In Votes			
Provisional	3622	1	7.14%	13	92.86%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	3622	237	27.34%	614	70.82%	14	1.61%	2	0.23%		
Total	3622	575	28.20%	1413	69.30%	47	2.31%	4	0.20%		
054 Sugar Hill C											
Polling	4596	1018	63.90%	518	32.52%	56	3.52%	1	0.06%		
Absentee by Mail	4596	86	50.29%	82	47.95%	3	1.75%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	4596	271	59.82%	171	37.75%	11	2.43%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	4596	16	57.14%	12	42.86%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	4596	311	54.66%	244	42.88%	14	2.46%	0	0.00%		
Total	4596	1702	60.48%	1027	36.50%	84	2.99%	1	0.04%		
055 Sugar Hill D											
Polling	4070	686	56.60%	484	39.93%	42	3.47%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	4070	61	46.92%	67	51.54%	1	0.77%	1	0.77%		
Advance in Person	4070	473	54.75%	366	42.36%	24	2.78%	1	0.12%		
Provisional	4070	16	53.33%	13	43.33%	1	3.33%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	4070	102	67.55%	43	28.48%	6	3.97%	0	0.00%		
Total	4070	1338	56.05%	973	40.76%	74	3.10%	2	0.08%		
056 Duluth C											
Polling	2243	325	47.45%	339	49.49%	21	3.07%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	2243	48	48.98%	46	46.94%	4	4.08%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2243	193	41.24%	266	56.84%	9	1.92%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2243	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2243	19	26.39%	52	72.22%	1	1.39%	0	0.00%		
Total	2243	586	44.16%	706	53.20%	35	2.64%	0	0.00%		
057 Duluth D											
Polling	4404	389	37.05%	601	57.24%	60	5.71%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	4404	70	34.65%	126	62.38%	6	2.97%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	4404	316	31.82%	648	65.26%	29	2.92%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	4404	5	25.00%	15	75.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	4404	67	45.89%	75	51.37%	4	2.74%	0	0.00%		
Total	4404	847	35.13%	1465	60.76%	99	4.11%	0	0.00%		
058 Pinckneyville K											
Polling	3547	177	20.05%	679	76.90%	26	2.94%	1	0.11%		
Absentee by Mail	3547	29	25.44%	80	70.18%	5	4.39%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	3547	63	13.07%	404	83.82%	15	3.11%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	3547	1	4.35%	20	86.96%	2	8.70%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	3547	25	27.78%	61	67.78%	4	4.44%	0	0.00%		
Total	3547	295	18.53%	1244	78.14%	52	3.27%	1	0.06%		
059 Pinckneyville N											
Polling	3717	155	14.61%	864	81.43%	40	3.77%	2	0.19%		
Absentee by Mail	3717	11	14.86%	62	83.78%	1	1.35%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	3717	70	12.84%	465	85.32%	10	1.83%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	3717	3	18.75%	13	81.25%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	3717	8	13.79%	48	82.76%	1	1.72%	1	1.72%		
Total	3717	247	14.08%	1452	82.78%	52	2.96%	3	0.17%		
060 Lawrenceville D											
Polling	4872	229	21.67%	795	75.21%	30	2.84%	3	0.28%		

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado								
	Reg. Voters	B. RAFFENSPERGER (R)		J. BARROW (D)		S. DUVAL (L)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	4872	20	16.26%	97	78.86%	6	4.88%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4872	16	18.60%	67	77.91%	3	3.49%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4872	5	20.83%	19	79.17%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4872	172	19.48%	693	78.48%	17	1.93%	1	0.11%
Total	4872	442	20.34%	1671	76.90%	56	2.58%	4	0.18%
061 Lawrenceville E									
Polling	2205	331	52.13%	290	45.67%	14	2.20%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2205	50	50.51%	45	45.45%	4	4.04%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2205	13	59.09%	8	36.36%	1	4.55%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2205	2	66.67%	1	33.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2205	328	52.73%	282	45.34%	12	1.93%	0	0.00%
Total	2205	724	52.43%	626	45.33%	31	2.24%	0	0.00%
062 Pinckneyville L									
Polling	2233	696	62.93%	377	34.09%	33	2.98%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2233	79	57.66%	56	40.88%	2	1.46%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2233	207	53.63%	169	43.78%	10	2.59%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2233	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2233	26	70.27%	11	29.73%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2233	1010	60.55%	613	36.75%	45	2.70%	0	0.00%
063 Pinckneyville M									
Polling	2515	762	66.20%	354	30.76%	35	3.04%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2515	86	50.00%	78	45.35%	7	4.07%	1	0.58%
Advance in Person	2515	280	60.48%	175	37.80%	8	1.73%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2515	4	57.14%	3	42.86%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2515	12	63.16%	7	36.84%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2515	1144	63.13%	617	34.05%	50	2.76%	1	0.06%
064 Garners D									
Polling	3127	214	24.60%	626	71.95%	29	3.33%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	3127	24	20.87%	86	74.78%	5	4.35%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3127	170	26.98%	447	70.95%	13	2.06%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3127	1	12.50%	5	62.50%	2	25.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3127	58	19.66%	231	78.31%	6	2.03%	0	0.00%
Total	3127	467	24.35%	1395	72.73%	55	2.87%	1	0.05%
065 Berkshire H									
Polling	1717	151	33.26%	290	63.88%	13	2.86%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1717	25	42.37%	32	54.24%	2	3.39%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1717	90	27.52%	231	70.64%	6	1.83%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1717	1	9.09%	10	90.91%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1717	4	11.76%	29	85.29%	1	2.94%	0	0.00%
Total	1717	271	30.62%	592	66.89%	22	2.49%	0	0.00%
066 Pinckneyville O									
Polling	3267	146	18.96%	595	77.27%	28	3.64%	1	0.13%
Absentee by Mail	3267	17	22.08%	58	75.32%	2	2.60%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3267	123	19.52%	483	76.67%	23	3.65%	1	0.16%
Provisional	3267	7	22.58%	24	77.42%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3267	4	14.29%	23	82.14%	1	3.57%	0	0.00%
Total	3267	297	19.34%	1183	77.02%	54	3.52%	2	0.13%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado										
	Reg. Voters	B. RAFFENSPERGER (R)		J. BARROW (D)		S. DUVAL (L)		Write-In Votes	
067 Pucketts E									
Polling	4771	547	47.16%	589	50.78%	24	2.07%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4771	74	40.22%	109	59.24%	1	0.54%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4771	602	49.34%	603	49.43%	14	1.15%	1	0.08%
Provisional	4771	11	42.31%	13	50.00%	2	7.69%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4771	53	33.54%	102	64.56%	3	1.90%	0	0.00%
Total	4771	1287	46.83%	1416	51.53%	44	1.60%	1	0.04%
068 Cates I									
Polling	3395	588	50.04%	545	46.38%	42	3.57%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3395	60	42.25%	78	54.93%	3	2.11%	1	0.70%
Advance in Person	3395	83	41.71%	112	56.28%	4	2.01%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3395	2	25.00%	6	75.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3395	255	38.75%	394	59.88%	9	1.37%	0	0.00%
Total	3395	988	45.28%	1135	52.02%	58	2.66%	1	0.05%
069 Cates J									
Polling	3266	464	41.47%	605	54.07%	49	4.38%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	3266	40	32.52%	78	63.41%	5	4.07%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3266	206	32.70%	410	65.08%	13	2.06%	1	0.16%
Provisional	3266	6	46.15%	7	53.85%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3266	59	27.44%	151	70.23%	5	2.33%	0	0.00%
Total	3266	775	36.90%	1251	59.57%	72	3.43%	2	0.10%
070 Goodwins B									
Polling	3604	679	56.26%	487	40.35%	40	3.31%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	3604	76	49.35%	70	45.45%	8	5.19%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3604	117	56.52%	87	42.03%	3	1.45%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3604	3	42.86%	3	42.86%	1	14.29%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3604	372	53.68%	312	45.02%	9	1.30%	0	0.00%
Total	3604	1247	54.98%	959	42.28%	61	2.69%	1	0.04%
071 Lawrenceville F									
Polling	3698	244	27.29%	617	69.02%	33	3.69%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3698	23	15.65%	117	79.59%	7	4.76%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3698	21	37.50%	34	60.71%	1	1.79%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3698	3	20.00%	11	73.33%	1	6.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3698	198	18.75%	842	79.73%	15	1.42%	1	0.09%
Total	3698	489	22.56%	1621	74.77%	57	2.63%	1	0.05%
072 Martins E									
Polling	5934	105	8.73%	1068	88.78%	30	2.49%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5934	29	15.43%	155	82.45%	4	2.13%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5934	44	6.34%	635	91.50%	14	2.02%	1	0.14%
Provisional	5934	5	8.62%	48	82.76%	5	8.62%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5934	18	7.17%	227	90.44%	6	2.39%	0	0.00%
Total	5934	201	8.40%	2133	89.10%	59	2.46%	1	0.04%
073 Pinckneyville P									
Polling	2048	430	48.31%	434	48.76%	25	2.81%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	2048	51	43.22%	65	55.08%	2	1.69%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2048	91	32.04%	188	66.20%	5	1.76%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2048	3	42.86%	4	57.14%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado										
	Reg. Voters	B. RAFFENSPERGER (R)		J. BARROW (D)		S. DUVAL (L)		Write-In Votes			
Advance in Person 2	2048	13	54.17%	10	41.67%	1	4.17%	0	0.00%		
Total	2048	588	44.44%	701	52.99%	33	2.49%	1	0.08%		
074 Pinckneyville Q											
Polling	1725	68	11.45%	508	85.52%	17	2.86%	1	0.17%		
Absentee by Mail	1725	0	0.00%	34	97.14%	1	2.86%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	1725	24	12.70%	160	84.66%	5	2.65%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	1725	0	0.00%	11	91.67%	1	8.33%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	1725	3	8.33%	32	88.89%	1	2.78%	0	0.00%		
Total	1725	95	10.97%	745	86.03%	25	2.89%	1	0.12%		
075 Rockbridge C											
Polling	4722	224	18.51%	944	78.02%	42	3.47%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	4722	38	19.19%	158	79.80%	2	1.01%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	4722	44	26.67%	118	71.52%	3	1.82%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	4722	2	12.50%	13	81.25%	1	6.25%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	4722	205	14.14%	1221	84.21%	24	1.66%	0	0.00%		
Total	4722	513	16.88%	2454	80.75%	72	2.37%	0	0.00%		
076 Rockbridge D											
Polling	3359	215	26.22%	584	71.22%	21	2.56%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	3359	37	25.69%	105	72.92%	2	1.39%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	3359	4	11.11%	30	83.33%	2	5.56%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	3359	5	19.23%	21	80.77%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	3359	271	24.33%	827	74.24%	16	1.44%	0	0.00%		
Total	3359	532	24.86%	1567	73.22%	41	1.92%	0	0.00%		
077 Goodwins C											
Polling	5106	473	34.73%	843	61.89%	44	3.23%	2	0.15%		
Absentee by Mail	5106	72	34.29%	130	61.90%	7	3.33%	1	0.48%		
Advance in Person	5106	58	20.57%	215	76.24%	9	3.19%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	5106	4	21.05%	15	78.95%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	5106	278	32.82%	556	65.64%	13	1.53%	0	0.00%		
Total	5106	885	32.54%	1759	64.67%	73	2.68%	3	0.11%		
078 Baycreek K											
Polling	3536	428	45.78%	481	51.44%	26	2.78%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	3536	65	41.67%	85	54.49%	6	3.85%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	3536	18	50.00%	15	41.67%	3	8.33%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	3536	3	25.00%	8	66.67%	1	8.33%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	3536	505	43.53%	630	54.31%	24	2.07%	1	0.09%		
Total	3536	1019	44.32%	1219	53.02%	60	2.61%	1	0.04%		
079 Cates K											
Polling	2531	505	52.49%	408	42.41%	48	4.99%	1	0.10%		
Absentee by Mail	2531	37	52.86%	32	45.71%	1	1.43%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2531	57	47.50%	60	50.00%	3	2.50%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2531	1	14.29%	5	71.43%	1	14.29%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2531	233	48.14%	236	48.76%	15	3.10%	0	0.00%		
Total	2531	833	50.70%	741	45.10%	68	4.14%	1	0.06%		
080 Baycreek C											
Polling	5755	738	45.08%	851	51.99%	47	2.87%	1	0.06%		
Absentee by Mail	5755	72	37.11%	116	59.79%	6	3.09%	0	0.00%		

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado										
	Reg. Voters	B. RAFFENSPERGER (R)		J. BARROW (D)		S. DUVAL (L)		Write-In Votes			
Advance in Person	5755	47	47.00%	50	50.00%	3	3.00%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	5755	3	25.00%	9	75.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	5755	530	29.38%	1246	69.07%	28	1.55%	0	0.00%		
Total	5755	1390	37.10%	2272	60.64%	84	2.24%	1	0.03%		
081 Cates L											
Polling	3751	483	42.90%	605	53.73%	36	3.20%	2	0.18%		
Absentee by Mail	3751	50	35.21%	86	60.56%	6	4.23%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	3751	10	21.74%	35	76.09%	1	2.17%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	3751	2	20.00%	8	80.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	3751	385	37.78%	609	59.76%	25	2.45%	0	0.00%		
Total	3751	930	39.69%	1343	57.32%	68	2.90%	2	0.09%		
082 Duluth E											
Polling	4580	329	28.10%	799	68.23%	43	3.67%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	4580	47	29.01%	111	68.52%	3	1.85%	1	0.62%		
Advance in Person	4580	260	26.48%	692	70.47%	30	3.05%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	4580	5	22.73%	16	72.73%	1	4.55%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	4580	32	29.63%	75	69.44%	1	0.93%	0	0.00%		
Total	4580	673	27.53%	1693	69.24%	78	3.19%	1	0.04%		
083 Goodwins D											
Polling	3985	584	45.70%	644	50.39%	49	3.83%	1	0.08%		
Absentee by Mail	3985	59	41.55%	77	54.23%	6	4.23%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	3985	38	36.19%	66	62.86%	1	0.95%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	3985	7	35.00%	11	55.00%	2	10.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	3985	324	42.41%	429	56.15%	11	1.44%	0	0.00%		
Total	3985	1012	43.83%	1227	53.14%	69	2.99%	1	0.04%		
084 Lawrenceville G											
Polling	2783	209	26.66%	554	70.66%	20	2.55%	1	0.13%		
Absentee by Mail	2783	21	22.83%	68	73.91%	3	3.26%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2783	3	7.89%	32	84.21%	3	7.89%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2783	4	19.05%	17	80.95%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2783	130	23.59%	412	74.77%	8	1.45%	1	0.18%		
Total	2783	367	24.70%	1083	72.88%	34	2.29%	2	0.13%		
085 Lawrenceville H											
Polling	3899	601	49.71%	558	46.15%	50	4.14%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	3899	78	48.75%	78	48.75%	4	2.50%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	3899	128	54.01%	105	44.30%	4	1.69%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	3899	6	54.55%	5	45.45%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	3899	381	49.16%	382	49.29%	12	1.55%	0	0.00%		
Total	3899	1194	49.92%	1128	47.16%	70	2.93%	0	0.00%		
086 Martins F											
Polling	2401	171	25.41%	487	72.36%	15	2.23%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	2401	18	20.93%	67	77.91%	1	1.16%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2401	58	18.01%	261	81.06%	2	0.62%	1	0.31%		
Provisional	2401	2	16.67%	10	83.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2401	19	18.81%	79	78.22%	3	2.97%	0	0.00%		
Total	2401	268	22.45%	904	75.71%	21	1.76%	1	0.08%		
087 Pinckneyville S											

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado								
	Reg. Voters	B. RAFFENSPERGER (R)		J. BARROW (D)		S. DUVAL (L)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	3013	297	31.23%	617	64.88%	37	3.89%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3013	57	39.04%	84	57.53%	5	3.42%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3013	140	29.98%	313	67.02%	13	2.78%	1	0.21%
Provisional	3013	2	18.18%	5	45.45%	4	36.36%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3013	22	36.07%	39	63.93%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	3013	518	31.66%	1058	64.67%	59	3.61%	1	0.06%
088 Rockbridge E									
Polling	4199	282	24.80%	823	72.38%	32	2.81%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4199	15	12.61%	103	86.55%	1	0.84%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4199	59	37.58%	97	61.78%	1	0.64%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4199	5	12.50%	33	82.50%	2	5.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4199	125	15.08%	696	83.96%	8	0.97%	0	0.00%
Total	4199	486	21.30%	1752	76.77%	44	1.93%	0	0.00%
089 Sugar Hill E									
Polling	3061	543	57.64%	360	38.22%	38	4.03%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	3061	50	41.32%	65	53.72%	5	4.13%	1	0.83%
Advance in Person	3061	110	55.28%	82	41.21%	7	3.52%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3061	13	59.09%	9	40.91%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3061	301	51.02%	279	47.29%	10	1.69%	0	0.00%
Total	3061	1017	54.27%	795	42.42%	60	3.20%	2	0.11%
090 Lawrenceville I									
Polling	3140	325	34.87%	562	60.30%	45	4.83%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3140	39	30.95%	83	65.87%	4	3.17%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3140	62	43.06%	77	53.47%	5	3.47%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3140	1	11.11%	8	88.89%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3140	190	35.65%	336	63.04%	7	1.31%	0	0.00%
Total	3140	617	35.38%	1066	61.12%	61	3.50%	0	0.00%
091 Baycreek D									
Polling	4157	635	52.31%	541	44.56%	38	3.13%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4157	58	35.37%	100	60.98%	6	3.66%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4157	20	54.05%	15	40.54%	2	5.41%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4157	5	45.45%	5	45.45%	1	9.09%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4157	544	42.87%	704	55.48%	21	1.65%	0	0.00%
Total	4157	1262	46.83%	1365	50.65%	68	2.52%	0	0.00%
092 Berkshire J									
Polling	2595	469	57.26%	313	38.22%	37	4.52%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2595	47	51.09%	43	46.74%	2	2.17%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2595	402	49.63%	378	46.67%	30	3.70%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2595	1	14.29%	5	71.43%	1	14.29%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2595	32	42.11%	44	57.89%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2595	951	52.72%	783	43.40%	70	3.88%	0	0.00%
093 Cates M									
Polling	3794	736	56.92%	504	38.98%	52	4.02%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	3794	79	41.15%	110	57.29%	3	1.56%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3794	74	58.27%	49	38.58%	4	3.15%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3794	4	50.00%	4	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3794	521	53.00%	445	45.27%	17	1.73%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado										
	Reg. Voters	B. RAFFENSPERGER (R)		J. BARROW (D)		S. DUVAL (L)		Write-In Votes	
Total	3794	1414	54.32%	1112	42.72%	76	2.92%	1	0.04%
094 Cates N									
Polling	2445	514	63.07%	276	33.87%	25	3.07%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2445	70	65.42%	37	34.58%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2445	13	52.00%	11	44.00%	1	4.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2445	2	40.00%	3	60.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2445	406	61.61%	237	35.96%	16	2.43%	0	0.00%
Total	2445	1005	62.38%	564	35.01%	42	2.61%	0	0.00%
095 Duluth F									
Polling	5413	254	19.86%	990	77.40%	35	2.74%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5413	50	28.41%	123	69.89%	3	1.70%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5413	84	14.02%	500	83.47%	15	2.50%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5413	4	15.38%	20	76.92%	2	7.69%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5413	80	18.26%	345	78.77%	13	2.97%	0	0.00%
Total	5413	472	18.75%	1978	78.55%	68	2.70%	0	0.00%
096 Duluth G									
Polling	2775	508	54.68%	384	41.33%	37	3.98%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2775	59	54.13%	44	40.37%	6	5.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2775	151	45.35%	180	54.05%	2	0.60%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2775	3	27.27%	8	72.73%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2775	202	57.88%	141	40.40%	6	1.72%	0	0.00%
Total	2775	923	53.32%	757	43.73%	51	2.95%	0	0.00%
097 Goodwins E									
Polling	4950	745	49.14%	710	46.83%	60	3.96%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	4950	72	31.72%	148	65.20%	7	3.08%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4950	43	25.75%	121	72.46%	3	1.80%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4950	5	29.41%	12	70.59%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4950	404	42.75%	516	54.60%	24	2.54%	1	0.11%
Total	4950	1269	44.19%	1507	52.47%	94	3.27%	2	0.07%
098 Goodwins F									
Polling	2904	385	43.40%	465	52.42%	36	4.06%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	2904	53	40.46%	74	56.49%	4	3.05%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2904	24	36.92%	39	60.00%	2	3.08%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2904	3	27.27%	8	72.73%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2904	243	41.97%	327	56.48%	8	1.38%	1	0.17%
Total	2904	708	42.32%	913	54.57%	50	2.99%	2	0.12%
099 Pinckneyville T									
Polling	2874	327	37.72%	499	57.55%	41	4.73%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2874	65	44.83%	75	51.72%	5	3.45%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2874	137	28.96%	326	68.92%	10	2.11%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2874	3	33.33%	5	55.56%	1	11.11%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2874	15	34.09%	29	65.91%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2874	547	35.57%	934	60.73%	57	3.71%	0	0.00%
100 Suwanee B									
Polling	4085	457	52.83%	377	43.58%	30	3.47%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	4085	44	32.12%	85	62.04%	8	5.84%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4085	8	26.67%	20	66.67%	2	6.67%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado										
	Reg. Voters	B. RAFFENSPERGER (R)		J. BARROW (D)		S. DUVAL (L)		Write-In Votes			
Provisional	4085	4	57.14%	2	28.57%	1	14.29%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	4085	776	51.87%	682	45.59%	38	2.54%	0	0.00%		
Total	4085	1289	50.85%	1166	46.00%	79	3.12%	1	0.04%		
101 Pinckneyville U											
Polling	2007	351	42.86%	442	53.97%	26	3.17%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	2007	26	35.62%	44	60.27%	3	4.11%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2007	73	25.17%	210	72.41%	7	2.41%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2007	1	14.29%	6	85.71%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2007	15	46.88%	16	50.00%	1	3.13%	0	0.00%		
Total	2007	466	38.17%	718	58.80%	37	3.03%	0	0.00%		
102 Pucketts B											
Polling	2741	501	61.93%	282	34.86%	25	3.09%	1	0.12%		
Absentee by Mail	2741	44	51.76%	40	47.06%	1	1.18%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2741	524	63.21%	288	34.74%	17	2.05%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2741	8	72.73%	2	18.18%	1	9.09%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2741	44	69.84%	19	30.16%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Total	2741	1121	62.38%	631	35.11%	44	2.45%	1	0.06%		
103 Berkshire L											
Polling	2915	215	23.78%	663	73.34%	26	2.88%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	2915	31	25.83%	86	71.67%	3	2.50%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2915	73	21.66%	260	77.15%	4	1.19%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2915	2	11.76%	15	88.24%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2915	43	23.24%	137	74.05%	4	2.16%	1	0.54%		
Total	2915	364	23.29%	1161	74.28%	37	2.37%	1	0.06%		
104 Rockbridge F											
Polling	5313	174	16.88%	829	80.41%	28	2.72%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	5313	48	23.19%	154	74.40%	5	2.42%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	5313	5	12.82%	33	84.62%	1	2.56%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	5313	2	10.53%	16	84.21%	1	5.26%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	5313	374	17.67%	1707	80.67%	33	1.56%	2	0.09%		
Total	5313	603	17.67%	2739	80.28%	68	1.99%	2	0.06%		
105 Sugar Hill F											
Polling	3687	708	56.82%	474	38.04%	63	5.06%	1	0.08%		
Absentee by Mail	3687	46	42.99%	56	52.34%	5	4.67%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	3687	47	38.52%	70	57.38%	5	4.10%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	3687	6	40.00%	9	60.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	3687	391	56.91%	282	41.05%	14	2.04%	0	0.00%		
Total	3687	1198	55.03%	891	40.93%	87	4.00%	1	0.05%		
106 Suwanee C											
Polling	4823	652	53.22%	524	42.78%	49	4.00%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	4823	79	38.16%	119	57.49%	9	4.35%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	4823	45	42.45%	58	54.72%	3	2.83%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	4823	21	40.38%	30	57.69%	1	1.92%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	4823	611	50.12%	580	47.58%	28	2.30%	0	0.00%		
Total	4823	1408	50.12%	1311	46.67%	90	3.20%	0	0.00%		
107 Cates O											
Polling	1342	263	53.78%	211	43.15%	15	3.07%	0	0.00%		

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado										
	Reg. Voters	B. RAFFENSPERGER (R)		J. BARROW (D)		S. DUVAL (L)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	1342	22	56.41%	16	41.03%	1	2.56%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1342	90	48.65%	93	50.27%	2	1.08%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1342	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1342	58	43.28%	74	55.22%	2	1.49%	0	0.00%
Total	1342	433	51.00%	396	46.64%	20	2.36%	0	0.00%
108 Baycreek E									
Polling	2863	430	46.74%	462	50.22%	28	3.04%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2863	39	39.00%	57	57.00%	4	4.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2863	13	41.94%	17	54.84%	1	3.23%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2863	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2863	229	34.75%	417	63.28%	13	1.97%	0	0.00%
Total	2863	712	41.54%	956	55.78%	46	2.68%	0	0.00%
109 Berkshire M									
Polling	1638	305	53.14%	247	43.03%	22	3.83%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1638	27	48.21%	28	50.00%	1	1.79%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1638	214	50.35%	194	45.65%	17	4.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1638	1	33.33%	2	66.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1638	22	56.41%	17	43.59%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	1638	569	51.87%	488	44.48%	40	3.65%	0	0.00%
110 Martins G									
Polling	2187	187	27.70%	469	69.48%	18	2.67%	1	0.15%
Absentee by Mail	2187	26	35.14%	44	59.46%	4	5.41%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2187	4	11.76%	30	88.24%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2187	2	22.22%	7	77.78%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2187	73	20.80%	271	77.21%	7	1.99%	0	0.00%
Total	2187	292	25.55%	821	71.83%	29	2.54%	1	0.09%
111 Duluth H									
Polling	2662	321	43.55%	392	53.19%	23	3.12%	1	0.14%
Absentee by Mail	2662	85	46.70%	93	51.10%	4	2.20%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2662	250	42.88%	322	55.23%	11	1.89%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2662	4	80.00%	1	20.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2662	54	46.96%	59	51.30%	2	1.74%	0	0.00%
Total	2662	714	44.02%	867	53.45%	40	2.47%	1	0.06%
112 Berkshire N									
Polling	2836	356	55.28%	255	39.60%	33	5.12%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2836	66	51.16%	60	46.51%	3	2.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2836	644	53.71%	526	43.87%	29	2.42%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2836	3	75.00%	1	25.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2836	29	74.36%	8	20.51%	2	5.13%	0	0.00%
Total	2836	1098	54.49%	850	42.18%	67	3.33%	0	0.00%
113 Pinckneyville V									
Polling	1572	151	39.74%	218	57.37%	11	2.89%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1572	20	31.75%	41	65.08%	2	3.17%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1572	101	29.62%	233	68.33%	7	2.05%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1572	7	46.67%	8	53.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1572	5	41.67%	7	58.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	1572	284	35.02%	507	62.52%	20	2.47%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado										
	Reg. Voters	B. RAFFENSPERGER (R)		J. BARROW (D)		S. DUVAL (L)		Write-In Votes	
114 Pinckneyville W									
Polling	3885	502	41.15%	680	55.74%	38	3.11%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3885	53	36.55%	83	57.24%	9	6.21%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3885	249	34.63%	448	62.31%	21	2.92%	1	0.14%
Provisional	3885	6	30.00%	13	65.00%	1	5.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3885	17	36.96%	28	60.87%	1	2.17%	0	0.00%
Total	3885	827	38.47%	1252	58.23%	70	3.26%	1	0.05%
115 Martins H									
Polling	4487	401	31.01%	854	66.05%	37	2.86%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	4487	67	31.16%	140	65.12%	8	3.72%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4487	51	22.27%	173	75.55%	5	2.18%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4487	3	21.43%	10	71.43%	1	7.14%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4487	221	30.19%	506	69.13%	5	0.68%	0	0.00%
Total	4487	743	29.92%	1683	67.78%	56	2.26%	1	0.04%
116 Martins I									
Polling	3518	217	23.06%	702	74.60%	22	2.34%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3518	49	30.43%	109	67.70%	3	1.86%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3518	54	16.56%	267	81.90%	5	1.53%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3518	1	5.88%	15	88.24%	1	5.88%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3518	57	21.59%	199	75.38%	8	3.03%	0	0.00%
Total	3518	378	22.12%	1292	75.60%	39	2.28%	0	0.00%
117 Martins J									
Polling	3809	188	18.08%	817	78.56%	34	3.27%	1	0.10%
Absentee by Mail	3809	15	12.50%	101	84.17%	4	3.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3809	42	11.67%	304	84.44%	14	3.89%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3809	0	0.00%	19	95.00%	1	5.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3809	54	19.93%	213	78.60%	4	1.48%	0	0.00%
Total	3809	299	16.51%	1454	80.29%	57	3.15%	1	0.06%
118 Garners F									
Polling	1558	216	46.45%	225	48.39%	24	5.16%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1558	16	38.10%	26	61.90%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1558	233	48.95%	229	48.11%	13	2.73%	1	0.21%
Provisional	1558	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1558	4	25.00%	12	75.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	1558	470	46.86%	495	49.35%	37	3.69%	1	0.10%
119 Berkshire O									
Polling	2422	477	54.45%	362	41.32%	37	4.22%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2422	59	56.19%	44	41.90%	2	1.90%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2422	270	55.90%	203	42.03%	10	2.07%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2422	4	44.44%	5	55.56%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2422	74	50.34%	72	48.98%	1	0.68%	0	0.00%
Total	2422	884	54.57%	686	42.35%	50	3.09%	0	0.00%
120 Berkshire P									
Polling	1339	143	36.48%	236	60.20%	13	3.32%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1339	18	28.57%	43	68.25%	2	3.17%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1339	56	29.95%	125	66.84%	6	3.21%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1339	2	25.00%	6	75.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado									
	Reg. Voters	B. RAFFENSPERGER (R)		J. BARROW (D)		S. DUVAL (L)		Write-In Votes		
Advance in Person 2	1339	22	38.60%	34	59.65%	1	1.75%	0	0.00%
Total	1339	241	34.09%	444	62.80%	22	3.11%	0	0.00%
121 Pinckneyville X									
Polling	3198	547	50.23%	495	45.45%	47	4.32%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3198	82	37.44%	131	59.82%	6	2.74%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3198	321	43.20%	409	55.05%	13	1.75%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3198	2	40.00%	3	60.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3198	28	38.89%	38	52.78%	6	8.33%	0	0.00%
Total	3198	980	46.05%	1076	50.56%	72	3.38%	0	0.00%
122 Rockbridge G									
Polling	5188	226	19.76%	883	77.19%	35	3.06%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5188	40	17.02%	187	79.57%	8	3.40%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5188	38	35.19%	68	62.96%	2	1.85%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5188	2	4.88%	37	90.24%	2	4.88%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5188	265	16.57%	1313	82.11%	21	1.31%	0	0.00%
Total	5188	571	18.26%	2488	79.57%	68	2.17%	0	0.00%
123 Pinckneyville Y									
Polling	3018	130	16.86%	623	80.80%	18	2.33%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3018	12	19.05%	48	76.19%	3	4.76%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3018	66	14.80%	368	82.51%	12	2.69%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3018	2	8.00%	23	92.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3018	18	29.03%	43	69.35%	1	1.61%	0	0.00%
Total	3018	228	16.68%	1105	80.83%	34	2.49%	0	0.00%
124 Goodwins G									
Polling	2448	214	27.97%	527	68.89%	24	3.14%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2448	15	21.43%	54	77.14%	1	1.43%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2448	14	20.00%	55	78.57%	1	1.43%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2448	3	30.00%	6	60.00%	1	10.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2448	99	23.74%	310	74.34%	8	1.92%	0	0.00%
Total	2448	345	25.90%	952	71.47%	35	2.63%	0	0.00%
125 Pinckneyville Z									
Polling	1806	572	65.00%	273	31.02%	35	3.98%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1806	44	46.32%	47	49.47%	4	4.21%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1806	182	59.09%	123	39.94%	3	0.97%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1806	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1806	21	67.74%	9	29.03%	1	3.23%	0	0.00%
Total	1806	821	62.39%	452	34.35%	43	3.27%	0	0.00%
126 Pinckneyville A1									
Polling	2596	755	64.47%	381	32.54%	35	2.99%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2596	89	55.28%	71	44.10%	1	0.62%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2596	256	53.67%	212	44.44%	9	1.89%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2596	4	57.14%	3	42.86%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2596	22	45.83%	25	52.08%	1	2.08%	0	0.00%
Total	2596	1126	60.41%	692	37.12%	46	2.47%	0	0.00%
127 Lawrenceville J									
Polling	1959	236	37.94%	365	58.68%	21	3.38%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1959	18	22.50%	59	73.75%	3	3.75%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado									
	Reg. Voters	B. RAFFENSPERGER (R)		J. BARROW (D)		S. DUVAL (L)		Write-In Votes		
Advance in Person	1959	10	21.74%	34	73.91%	2	4.35%	0	0.00%	
Provisional	1959	1	16.67%	5	83.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person 2	1959	92	24.15%	277	72.70%	11	2.89%	1	0.26%	
Total	1959	357	31.45%	740	65.20%	37	3.26%	1	0.09%	
128 Goodwins H										
Polling	2561	419	50.36%	368	44.23%	44	5.29%	1	0.12%	
Absentee by Mail	2561	42	39.25%	62	57.94%	3	2.80%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person	2561	20	38.46%	30	57.69%	2	3.85%	0	0.00%	
Provisional	2561	2	15.38%	9	69.23%	2	15.38%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person 2	2561	210	38.75%	313	57.75%	19	3.51%	0	0.00%	
Total	2561	693	44.83%	782	50.58%	70	4.53%	1	0.06%	
129 Duncans B										
Polling	4655	1091	67.89%	459	28.56%	57	3.55%	0	0.00%	
Absentee by Mail	4655	83	55.33%	62	41.33%	5	3.33%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person	4655	797	69.36%	327	28.46%	25	2.18%	0	0.00%	
Provisional	4655	4	66.67%	2	33.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person 2	4655	59	53.15%	52	46.85%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
Total	4655	2034	67.28%	902	29.84%	87	2.88%	0	0.00%	
130 Suwanee D										
Polling	4247	905	64.78%	453	32.43%	38	2.72%	1	0.07%	
Absentee by Mail	4247	81	47.93%	84	49.70%	4	2.37%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person	4247	40	45.98%	46	52.87%	1	1.15%	0	0.00%	
Provisional	4247	7	46.67%	8	53.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person 2	4247	721	62.86%	403	35.14%	23	2.01%	0	0.00%	
Total	4247	1754	62.31%	994	35.31%	66	2.34%	1	0.04%	
131 Suwanee E										
Polling	3921	651	59.94%	399	36.74%	36	3.31%	0	0.00%	
Absentee by Mail	3921	84	44.92%	98	52.41%	5	2.67%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person	3921	20	42.55%	26	55.32%	1	2.13%	0	0.00%	
Provisional	3921	15	71.43%	6	28.57%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person 2	3921	731	57.70%	503	39.70%	32	2.53%	1	0.08%	
Total	3921	1501	57.55%	1032	39.57%	74	2.84%	1	0.04%	
132 Lawrenceville K										
Polling	2915	505	49.66%	470	46.21%	41	4.03%	1	0.10%	
Absentee by Mail	2915	46	41.44%	59	53.15%	6	5.41%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person	2915	92	51.98%	82	46.33%	3	1.69%	0	0.00%	
Provisional	2915	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person 2	2915	218	41.44%	297	56.46%	11	2.09%	0	0.00%	
Total	2915	862	46.98%	911	49.65%	61	3.32%	1	0.05%	
133 Harbins B										
Polling	4220	542	54.36%	416	41.73%	38	3.81%	1	0.10%	
Absentee by Mail	4220	43	45.26%	48	50.53%	4	4.21%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person	4220	835	63.74%	451	34.43%	24	1.83%	0	0.00%	
Provisional	4220	2	50.00%	2	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person 2	4220	57	39.31%	85	58.62%	3	2.07%	0	0.00%	
Total	4220	1479	57.98%	1002	39.28%	69	2.70%	1	0.04%	
134 Baycreek F										

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado								
	Reg. Voters	B. RAFFENSPERGER (R)		J. BARROW (D)		S. DUVAL (L)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	4119	459	37.81%	730	60.13%	25	2.06%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4119	57	32.39%	115	65.34%	4	2.27%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4119	16	43.24%	21	56.76%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4119	1	10.00%	9	90.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4119	317	26.53%	862	72.13%	16	1.34%	0	0.00%
Total	4119	850	32.29%	1737	66.00%	45	1.71%	0	0.00%
135 Pucketts C									
Polling	2931	515	60.95%	294	34.79%	35	4.14%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	2931	55	55.00%	43	43.00%	2	2.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2931	423	58.26%	283	38.98%	20	2.75%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2931	9	56.25%	7	43.75%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2931	33	43.42%	42	55.26%	1	1.32%	0	0.00%
Total	2931	1035	58.71%	669	37.95%	58	3.29%	1	0.06%
136 Hog Mountain C									
Polling	3832	690	56.42%	489	39.98%	44	3.60%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3832	42	34.71%	74	61.16%	5	4.13%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3832	358	54.49%	290	44.14%	9	1.37%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3832	5	55.56%	4	44.44%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3832	120	53.33%	101	44.89%	4	1.78%	0	0.00%
Total	3832	1215	54.36%	958	42.86%	62	2.77%	0	0.00%
137 Rockycreek B									
Polling	4677	753	54.53%	577	41.78%	50	3.62%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	4677	44	33.59%	84	64.12%	3	2.29%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4677	637	58.44%	428	39.27%	25	2.29%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4677	2	25.00%	6	75.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4677	109	46.78%	120	51.50%	4	1.72%	0	0.00%
Total	4677	1545	54.34%	1215	42.74%	82	2.88%	1	0.04%
138 Duluth I									
Polling	4491	669	53.65%	556	44.59%	22	1.76%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4491	134	44.67%	160	53.33%	6	2.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4491	217	46.77%	242	52.16%	5	1.08%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4491	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4491	392	58.25%	275	40.86%	6	0.89%	0	0.00%
Total	4491	1416	52.68%	1233	45.87%	39	1.45%	0	0.00%
139 Martins K									
Polling	4796	312	22.00%	1066	75.18%	38	2.68%	2	0.14%
Absentee by Mail	4796	51	30.36%	115	68.45%	2	1.19%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4796	23	11.06%	177	85.10%	8	3.85%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4796	4	18.18%	18	81.82%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4796	121	15.09%	671	83.67%	10	1.25%	0	0.00%
Total	4796	511	19.52%	2047	78.19%	58	2.22%	2	0.08%
140 Suwanee H									
Polling	3480	689	66.70%	305	29.53%	39	3.78%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3480	96	50.00%	86	44.79%	10	5.21%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3480	29	58.00%	21	42.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3480	17	77.27%	4	18.18%	1	4.55%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3480	769	69.47%	320	28.91%	18	1.63%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado								
	Reg. Voters	B. RAFFENSPERGER (R)		J. BARROW (D)		S. DUVAL (L)		Write-In Votes	
Total	3480	1600	66.56%	736	30.62%	68	2.83%	0	0.00%
141 Sugar Hill G									
Polling	2601	599	67.45%	254	28.60%	34	3.83%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	2601	39	44.32%	47	53.41%	2	2.27%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2601	68	51.91%	62	47.33%	1	0.76%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2601	11	73.33%	4	26.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2601	354	61.35%	215	37.26%	8	1.39%	0	0.00%
Total	2601	1071	63.04%	582	34.26%	45	2.65%	1	0.06%
142 Suwanee F									
Polling	3598	597	54.72%	442	40.51%	52	4.77%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3598	48	33.33%	86	59.72%	9	6.25%	1	0.69%
Advance in Person	3598	24	33.80%	46	64.79%	1	1.41%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3598	6	46.15%	6	46.15%	1	7.69%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3598	412	48.07%	427	49.82%	17	1.98%	1	0.12%
Total	3598	1087	49.95%	1007	46.28%	80	3.68%	2	0.09%
143 Lawrenceville L									
Polling	4355	537	40.16%	762	56.99%	37	2.77%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	4355	77	42.54%	99	54.70%	5	2.76%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4355	18	33.33%	33	61.11%	3	5.56%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4355	5	33.33%	10	66.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4355	407	36.47%	684	61.29%	25	2.24%	0	0.00%
Total	4355	1044	38.62%	1588	58.75%	70	2.59%	1	0.04%
144 Lawrenceville M									
Polling	5956	327	21.40%	1150	75.26%	50	3.27%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	5956	36	19.57%	143	77.72%	5	2.72%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5956	54	20.30%	209	78.57%	3	1.13%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5956	4	20.00%	16	80.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5956	188	14.46%	1086	83.54%	26	2.00%	0	0.00%
Total	5956	609	18.47%	2604	78.96%	84	2.55%	1	0.03%
145 Baycreek G									
Polling	5092	622	40.26%	874	56.57%	48	3.11%	1	0.06%
Absentee by Mail	5092	59	33.71%	114	65.14%	2	1.14%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5092	18	33.33%	35	64.81%	1	1.85%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5092	2	15.38%	11	84.62%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5092	398	27.51%	1022	70.63%	27	1.87%	0	0.00%
Total	5092	1099	33.98%	2056	63.57%	78	2.41%	1	0.03%
146 Baycreek H									
Polling	5697	941	54.93%	725	42.32%	46	2.69%	1	0.06%
Absentee by Mail	5697	88	40.37%	123	56.42%	7	3.21%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5697	53	52.48%	46	45.54%	2	1.98%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5697	3	33.33%	6	66.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5697	696	42.88%	903	55.64%	24	1.48%	0	0.00%
Total	5697	1781	48.61%	1803	49.21%	79	2.16%	1	0.03%
147 Baycreek I									
Polling	5162	500	39.31%	737	57.94%	34	2.67%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	5162	67	34.54%	124	63.92%	3	1.55%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5162	20	37.04%	32	59.26%	2	3.70%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado										
	Reg. Voters	B. RAFFENSPERGER (R)		J. BARROW (D)		S. DUVAL (L)		Write-In Votes			
Provisional	5162	6	42.86%	8	57.14%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	5162	507	33.58%	982	65.03%	21	1.39%	0	0.00%		
Total	5162	1100	36.14%	1883	61.86%	60	1.97%	1	0.03%		
148 Berkshire Q											
Polling	1644	281	51.65%	233	42.83%	30	5.51%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	1644	28	50.91%	27	49.09%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	1644	190	47.26%	199	49.50%	13	3.23%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	1644	3	50.00%	3	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	1644	16	57.14%	12	42.86%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Total	1644	518	50.05%	474	45.80%	43	4.15%	0	0.00%		
149 Goodwins I											
Polling	2341	485	54.86%	375	42.42%	24	2.71%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	2341	58	45.31%	64	50.00%	5	3.91%	1	0.78%		
Advance in Person	2341	20	23.53%	63	74.12%	2	2.35%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2341	2	28.57%	5	71.43%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2341	220	48.14%	227	49.67%	10	2.19%	0	0.00%		
Total	2341	785	50.29%	734	47.02%	41	2.63%	1	0.06%		
150 Duluth K											
Polling	2694	106	19.34%	429	78.28%	12	2.19%	1	0.18%		
Absentee by Mail	2694	12	21.82%	42	76.36%	1	1.82%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2694	63	16.24%	314	80.93%	10	2.58%	1	0.26%		
Provisional	2694	3	30.00%	5	50.00%	2	20.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2694	4	10.26%	33	84.62%	2	5.13%	0	0.00%		
Total	2694	188	18.08%	823	79.13%	27	2.60%	2	0.19%		
151 Harbins C											
Polling	2369	652	68.06%	273	28.50%	33	3.44%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	2369	38	50.00%	36	47.37%	2	2.63%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2369	164	54.85%	131	43.81%	4	1.34%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2369	3	50.00%	2	33.33%	1	16.67%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2369	119	42.20%	161	57.09%	2	0.71%	0	0.00%		
Total	2369	976	60.21%	603	37.20%	42	2.59%	0	0.00%		
152 Rockycreek C											
Polling	4504	700	51.81%	599	44.34%	51	3.77%	1	0.07%		
Absentee by Mail	4504	60	46.15%	66	50.77%	4	3.08%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	4504	674	55.25%	519	42.54%	26	2.13%	1	0.08%		
Provisional	4504	4	66.67%	2	33.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	4504	81	38.21%	130	61.32%	1	0.47%	0	0.00%		
Total	4504	1519	52.04%	1316	45.08%	82	2.81%	2	0.07%		
153 Lawrenceville N											
Polling	2343	275	44.93%	311	50.82%	26	4.25%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	2343	36	46.75%	36	46.75%	5	6.49%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2343	4	17.39%	15	65.22%	4	17.39%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2343	5	25.00%	15	75.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2343	180	34.82%	330	63.83%	7	1.35%	0	0.00%		
Total	2343	500	40.03%	707	56.61%	42	3.36%	0	0.00%		
154 Pucketts D											
Polling	3166	528	59.93%	316	35.87%	36	4.09%	1	0.11%		

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Secretary of State / Secretario de Estado								
	Reg. Voters	B. RAFFENSPERGER (R)		J. BARROW (D)		S. DUVAL (L)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	3166	75	53.96%	63	45.32%	1	0.72%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3166	585	67.16%	271	31.11%	15	1.72%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3166	10	62.50%	5	31.25%	1	6.25%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3166	54	68.35%	25	31.65%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	3166	1252	63.04%	680	34.24%	53	2.67%	1	0.05%
155 Suwanee G									
Polling	3451	591	51.39%	524	45.57%	35	3.04%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3451	48	35.29%	84	61.76%	4	2.94%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3451	31	36.05%	53	61.63%	2	2.33%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3451	5	33.33%	9	60.00%	1	6.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3451	319	41.86%	426	55.91%	17	2.23%	0	0.00%
Total	3451	994	46.25%	1096	51.00%	59	2.75%	0	0.00%
156 Baycreek J									
Polling	4038	433	36.11%	731	60.97%	35	2.92%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4038	43	26.88%	113	70.63%	4	2.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4038	14	28.00%	35	70.00%	1	2.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4038	2	22.22%	7	77.78%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4038	322	27.73%	825	71.06%	14	1.21%	0	0.00%
Total	4038	814	31.56%	1711	66.34%	54	2.09%	0	0.00%
Total									
Polling	525568	67980	44.30%	80116	52.21%	5260	3.43%	81	0.05%
Absentee by Mail	525568	7607	37.66%	11995	59.38%	588	2.91%	9	0.04%
Advance in Person	525568	27181	43.83%	33415	53.88%	1399	2.26%	17	0.03%
Advance in Person 2	525568	27382	37.01%	45265	61.19%	1311	1.77%	20	0.03%
Provisional	525568	663	30.68%	1422	65.80%	76	3.52%	0	0.00%
Total	525568	130813	41.96%	172213	55.23%	8634	2.77%	127	0.04%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Attorney General / Fiscal General							
	Reg. Voters	C. CARR (I) R		C. BAILEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide							
001 Harbins A							
Polling	6123	815	53.09%	718	46.78%	2	0.13%
Absentee by Mail	6123	73	38.83%	115	61.17%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	6123	649	57.79%	474	42.21%	0	0.00%
Provisional	6123	2	12.50%	14	87.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	6123	317	39.58%	482	60.17%	2	0.25%
Total	6123	1856	50.67%	1803	49.22%	4	0.11%
002 Rockbridge A							
Polling	3653	157	19.31%	655	80.57%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	3653	29	21.48%	106	78.52%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3653	29	24.58%	89	75.42%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3653	31	38.27%	50	61.73%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3653	198	18.52%	870	81.38%	1	0.09%
Total	3653	444	20.04%	1770	79.87%	2	0.09%
003 Dacula							
Polling	4478	493	44.06%	626	55.94%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4478	31	24.22%	97	75.78%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4478	581	48.74%	611	51.26%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4478	3	37.50%	5	62.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4478	63	26.81%	172	73.19%	0	0.00%
Total	4478	1171	43.66%	1511	56.34%	0	0.00%
004 Suwanee A							
Polling	1551	194	51.46%	183	48.54%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1551	25	58.14%	18	41.86%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1551	11	30.56%	25	69.44%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1551	12	75.00%	4	25.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1551	175	44.42%	219	55.58%	0	0.00%
Total	1551	417	48.15%	449	51.85%	0	0.00%
005 Baycreek A							
Polling	2555	521	59.75%	350	40.14%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	2555	57	54.81%	47	45.19%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2555	18	51.43%	17	48.57%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2555	17	60.71%	11	39.29%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2555	332	47.43%	368	52.57%	0	0.00%
Total	2555	945	54.34%	793	45.60%	1	0.06%
006 Goodwins A							
Polling	3583	508	43.87%	649	56.04%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	3583	55	43.31%	72	56.69%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3583	30	28.04%	77	71.96%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3583	4	23.53%	13	76.47%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3583	265	39.38%	407	60.48%	1	0.15%
Total	3583	862	41.40%	1218	58.50%	2	0.10%
007 Duluth A							
Polling	4599	733	52.70%	658	47.30%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4599	78	36.62%	135	63.38%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4599	277	35.06%	512	64.81%	1	0.13%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Attorney General / Fiscal General						
	Reg. Voters	C. CARR (I) R		C. BAILEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	4599	6	54.55%	5	45.45%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4599	252	46.93%	285	53.07%	0	0.00%
Total	4599	1346	45.75%	1595	54.21%	1	0.03%
008 Duncans A							
Polling	4278	887	69.30%	393	30.70%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4278	74	56.92%	56	43.08%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4278	894	70.28%	378	29.72%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4278	6	46.15%	7	53.85%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4278	99	57.56%	73	42.44%	0	0.00%
Total	4278	1960	68.36%	907	31.64%	0	0.00%
009 Pucketts A							
Polling	4844	735	66.64%	367	33.27%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	4844	106	59.89%	71	40.11%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4844	1255	69.76%	543	30.18%	1	0.06%
Provisional	4844	3	75.00%	1	25.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4844	34	62.96%	20	37.04%	0	0.00%
Total	4844	2133	67.99%	1002	31.94%	2	0.06%
010 Cates A							
Polling	2209	411	64.83%	223	35.17%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2209	99	63.06%	58	36.94%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2209	19	76.00%	6	24.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2209	2	66.67%	1	33.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2209	342	56.62%	262	43.38%	0	0.00%
Total	2209	873	61.35%	550	38.65%	0	0.00%
011 Berkshire A							
Polling	2247	233	36.29%	409	63.71%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2247	25	26.04%	71	73.96%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2247	82	24.55%	251	75.15%	1	0.30%
Provisional	2247	1	9.09%	10	90.91%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2247	31	49.21%	32	50.79%	0	0.00%
Total	2247	372	32.46%	773	67.45%	1	0.09%
012 Berkshire B							
Polling	5010	204	22.64%	696	77.25%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	5010	60	34.68%	113	65.32%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5010	364	24.95%	1095	75.05%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5010	2	8.70%	21	91.30%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5010	21	26.92%	57	73.08%	0	0.00%
Total	5010	651	24.72%	1982	75.25%	1	0.04%
013 Duncans C							
Polling	2828	775	76.88%	233	23.12%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2828	57	65.52%	30	34.48%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2828	540	70.40%	227	29.60%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2828	5	71.43%	2	28.57%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2828	45	55.56%	36	44.44%	0	0.00%
Total	2828	1422	72.92%	528	27.08%	0	0.00%
014 Garners A							
Polling	3302	600	60.54%	390	39.35%	1	0.10%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Attorney General / Fiscal General						
	Reg. Voters	C. CARR (I) R		C. BAILEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	3302	71	52.99%	63	47.01%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3302	570	56.16%	445	43.84%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3302	4	44.44%	5	55.56%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3302	46	40.71%	67	59.29%	0	0.00%
Total	3302	1291	57.07%	970	42.88%	1	0.04%
015 Lawrenceville A							
Polling	3300	296	41.63%	415	58.37%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3300	76	52.41%	69	47.59%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3300	7	41.18%	10	58.82%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3300	0	0.00%	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3300	365	48.86%	382	51.14%	0	0.00%
Total	3300	744	45.81%	880	54.19%	0	0.00%
016 Lawrenceville B							
Polling	4520	385	37.60%	639	62.40%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4520	51	29.65%	121	70.35%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4520	10	22.22%	35	77.78%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4520	5	41.67%	7	58.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4520	394	27.51%	1037	72.42%	1	0.07%
Total	4520	845	31.47%	1839	68.49%	1	0.04%
017 Martins A							
Polling	3019	183	22.15%	643	77.85%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3019	16	16.33%	82	83.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3019	51	20.24%	201	79.76%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3019	3	25.00%	9	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3019	50	16.03%	262	83.97%	0	0.00%
Total	3019	303	20.20%	1197	79.80%	0	0.00%
018 Martins B							
Polling	2796	271	32.93%	550	66.83%	2	0.24%
Absentee by Mail	2796	29	32.22%	61	67.78%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2796	15	16.13%	78	83.87%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2796	4	20.00%	16	80.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2796	147	32.31%	308	67.69%	0	0.00%
Total	2796	466	31.47%	1013	68.40%	2	0.14%
019 Martins C							
Polling	2349	341	41.23%	485	58.65%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	2349	35	46.67%	40	53.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2349	82	40.59%	120	59.41%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2349	2	20.00%	8	80.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2349	101	40.56%	148	59.44%	0	0.00%
Total	2349	561	41.16%	801	58.77%	1	0.07%
020 Pinckneyville A							
Polling	2603	144	20.43%	560	79.43%	1	0.14%
Absentee by Mail	2603	22	25.88%	63	74.12%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2603	49	12.66%	338	87.34%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2603	7	36.84%	12	63.16%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2603	6	10.53%	51	89.47%	0	0.00%
Total	2603	228	18.20%	1024	81.72%	1	0.08%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Attorney General / Fiscal General						
	Reg. Voters	C. CARR (I) R		C. BAILEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
021 Pinckneyville B							
Polling	3216	160	24.06%	505	75.94%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3216	33	27.97%	85	72.03%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3216	124	21.34%	457	78.66%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3216	8	21.62%	29	78.38%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3216	4	14.81%	23	85.19%	0	0.00%
Total	3216	329	23.04%	1099	76.96%	0	0.00%
022 Pinckneyville C							
Polling	1796	420	53.37%	365	46.38%	2	0.25%
Absentee by Mail	1796	57	46.72%	65	53.28%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1796	121	40.33%	179	59.67%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1796	2	50.00%	2	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1796	23	51.11%	22	48.89%	0	0.00%
Total	1796	623	49.52%	633	50.32%	2	0.16%
023 Pinckneyville D							
Polling	2042	102	20.00%	408	80.00%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2042	16	24.62%	49	75.38%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2042	59	21.22%	219	78.78%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2042	1	6.67%	14	93.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2042	5	13.16%	33	86.84%	0	0.00%
Total	2042	183	20.20%	723	79.80%	0	0.00%
024 Sugar Hill A							
Polling	4001	658	61.38%	414	38.62%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4001	57	44.53%	71	55.47%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4001	368	54.93%	302	45.07%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4001	10	50.00%	10	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4001	113	50.90%	109	49.10%	0	0.00%
Total	4001	1206	57.10%	906	42.90%	0	0.00%
025 Sugar Hill B							
Polling	4835	840	56.87%	636	43.06%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	4835	78	50.00%	78	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4835	230	52.27%	210	47.73%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4835	14	70.00%	6	30.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4835	327	55.05%	267	44.95%	0	0.00%
Total	4835	1489	55.41%	1197	44.55%	1	0.04%
026 Hog Mountain A							
Polling	4467	558	42.86%	742	56.99%	2	0.15%
Absentee by Mail	4467	52	33.77%	102	66.23%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4467	143	37.83%	235	62.17%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4467	7	31.82%	15	68.18%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4467	199	34.31%	381	65.69%	0	0.00%
Total	4467	959	39.37%	1475	60.55%	2	0.08%
027 Hog Mountain B							
Polling	4211	840	61.00%	537	39.00%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4211	88	52.38%	80	47.62%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4211	482	59.58%	327	40.42%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4211	7	58.33%	5	41.67%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Attorney General / Fiscal General						
	Reg. Voters	C. CARR (I) R		C. BAILEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	4211	164	47.40%	182	52.60%	0	0.00%
Total	4211	1581	58.30%	1131	41.70%	0	0.00%
028 Rockycreek A							
Polling	5149	858	57.82%	625	42.12%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	5149	63	49.22%	65	50.78%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5149	883	60.27%	581	39.66%	1	0.07%
Provisional	5149	3	50.00%	3	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5149	54	32.34%	113	67.66%	0	0.00%
Total	5149	1861	57.26%	1387	42.68%	2	0.06%
029 Cates B							
Polling	1559	355	63.51%	204	36.49%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1559	33	55.93%	26	44.07%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1559	106	60.92%	68	39.08%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1559	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1559	141	61.30%	89	38.70%	0	0.00%
Total	1559	637	62.21%	387	37.79%	0	0.00%
030 Cates C							
Polling	2599	288	38.66%	457	61.34%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2599	37	44.05%	47	55.95%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2599	7	29.17%	17	70.83%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2599	1	14.29%	6	85.71%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2599	237	31.35%	518	68.52%	1	0.13%
Total	2599	570	35.27%	1045	64.67%	1	0.06%
031 Hog Mountain D							
Polling	2958	536	59.29%	368	40.71%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2958	40	37.38%	67	62.62%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2958	221	65.19%	118	34.81%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2958	8	72.73%	3	27.27%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2958	167	49.55%	170	50.45%	0	0.00%
Total	2958	972	57.24%	726	42.76%	0	0.00%
032 Berkshire D							
Polling	2684	443	53.83%	380	46.17%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2684	56	42.75%	75	57.25%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2684	347	47.53%	383	52.47%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2684	4	50.00%	4	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2684	38	46.34%	44	53.66%	0	0.00%
Total	2684	888	50.06%	886	49.94%	0	0.00%
033 Berkshire E							
Polling	1866	380	59.19%	261	40.65%	1	0.16%
Absentee by Mail	1866	38	45.78%	45	54.22%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1866	266	49.91%	267	50.09%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1866	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1866	21	58.33%	15	41.67%	0	0.00%
Total	1866	705	54.44%	589	45.48%	1	0.08%
034 Berkshire F							
Polling	2003	246	38.74%	388	61.10%	1	0.16%
Absentee by Mail	2003	38	46.91%	43	53.09%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Attorney General / Fiscal General							
	Reg. Voters	C. CARR (I) R		C. BAILEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	2003	60	24.00%	190	76.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2003	3	27.27%	8	72.73%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2003	30	40.00%	45	60.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2003	377	35.84%	674	64.07%	1	0.10%
035 Cates D - 04							
Polling	2605	449	53.52%	389	46.36%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	2605	45	44.55%	56	55.45%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2605	88	49.44%	90	50.56%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2605	8	72.73%	3	27.27%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2605	232	45.94%	272	53.86%	1	0.20%
Total	2605	822	50.31%	810	49.57%	2	0.12%
035 Cates D - 07							
Polling	585	105	54.40%	88	45.60%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	585	6	22.22%	21	77.78%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	585	22	30.56%	50	69.44%	0	0.00%
Provisional	585	2	66.67%	1	33.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	585	27	39.13%	42	60.87%	0	0.00%
Total	585	162	44.51%	202	55.49%	0	0.00%
036 Cates E							
Polling	2744	502	53.07%	443	46.83%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	2744	50	42.37%	68	57.63%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2744	227	47.00%	256	53.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2744	1	11.11%	8	88.89%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2744	184	53.64%	159	46.36%	0	0.00%
Total	2744	964	50.76%	934	49.18%	1	0.05%
037 Pinckneyville E							
Polling	2183	145	21.42%	532	78.58%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2183	7	13.46%	45	86.54%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2183	40	15.81%	213	84.19%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2183	1	8.33%	11	91.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2183	6	27.27%	16	72.73%	0	0.00%
Total	2183	199	19.59%	817	80.41%	0	0.00%
038 Pinckneyville F							
Polling	2301	181	28.59%	450	71.09%	2	0.32%
Absentee by Mail	2301	29	32.58%	60	67.42%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2301	86	27.13%	231	72.87%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2301	3	50.00%	3	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2301	7	18.92%	30	81.08%	0	0.00%
Total	2301	306	28.28%	774	71.53%	2	0.18%
039 Duncans D							
Polling	6085	1243	68.30%	576	31.65%	1	0.05%
Absentee by Mail	6085	120	57.42%	88	42.11%	1	0.48%
Advance in Person	6085	1136	71.04%	462	28.89%	1	0.06%
Provisional	6085	8	53.33%	7	46.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	6085	97	58.43%	69	41.57%	0	0.00%
Total	6085	2604	68.36%	1202	31.56%	3	0.08%
040 Pinckneyville H							

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Attorney General / Fiscal General						
	Reg. Voters	C. CARR (I) R		C. BAILEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	4126	702	51.02%	673	48.91%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	4126	72	32.00%	153	68.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4126	467	44.14%	590	55.77%	1	0.09%
Provisional	4126	6	46.15%	7	53.85%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4126	31	38.27%	50	61.73%	0	0.00%
Total	4126	1278	46.42%	1473	53.51%	2	0.07%
041 Baycreek B							
Polling	5349	568	34.70%	1068	65.24%	1	0.06%
Absentee by Mail	5349	48	23.41%	157	76.59%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5349	13	25.49%	38	74.51%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5349	4	17.39%	19	82.61%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5349	392	27.72%	1022	72.28%	0	0.00%
Total	5349	1025	30.78%	2304	69.19%	1	0.03%
042 Lawrenceville C							
Polling	2761	545	61.86%	334	37.91%	2	0.23%
Absentee by Mail	2761	47	47.96%	50	51.02%	1	1.02%
Advance in Person	2761	198	62.07%	121	37.93%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2761	4	80.00%	1	20.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2761	181	50.70%	176	49.30%	0	0.00%
Total	2761	975	58.73%	682	41.08%	3	0.18%
043 Martins D							
Polling	2059	104	17.69%	484	82.31%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2059	19	26.39%	53	73.61%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2059	32	14.68%	185	84.86%	1	0.46%
Provisional	2059	2	15.38%	11	84.62%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2059	15	15.63%	81	84.38%	0	0.00%
Total	2059	172	17.43%	814	82.47%	1	0.10%
044 Rockbridge B							
Polling	3761	257	26.77%	702	73.13%	1	0.10%
Absentee by Mail	3761	28	26.17%	79	73.83%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3761	26	22.81%	88	77.19%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3761	0	0.00%	13	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3761	203	21.26%	752	78.74%	0	0.00%
Total	3761	514	23.92%	1634	76.04%	1	0.05%
045 Garners B							
Polling	2916	231	33.09%	466	66.76%	1	0.14%
Absentee by Mail	2916	61	47.66%	67	52.34%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2916	251	36.43%	438	63.57%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2916	1	16.67%	5	83.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2916	107	28.76%	265	71.24%	0	0.00%
Total	2916	651	34.39%	1241	65.56%	1	0.05%
046 Cates F							
Polling	1689	446	66.67%	223	33.33%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1689	37	54.41%	31	45.59%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1689	24	47.06%	27	52.94%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1689	2	50.00%	2	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1689	200	59.52%	136	40.48%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Attorney General / Fiscal General							
	Reg. Voters	C. CARR (I) R		C. BAILEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	1689	709	62.85%	419	37.15%	0	0.00%
047 Cates G							
Polling	2597	571	63.37%	329	36.51%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	2597	66	55.93%	52	44.07%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2597	72	64.29%	40	35.71%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2597	5	83.33%	1	16.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2597	393	58.40%	279	41.46%	1	0.15%
Total	2597	1107	61.16%	701	38.73%	2	0.11%
048 Duluth B							
Polling	3332	490	54.14%	414	45.75%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	3332	74	43.02%	98	56.98%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3332	292	44.92%	358	55.08%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3332	5	45.45%	6	54.55%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3332	102	68.46%	47	31.54%	0	0.00%
Total	3332	963	51.03%	923	48.91%	1	0.05%
049 Garners C							
Polling	1908	281	52.04%	259	47.96%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1908	18	31.03%	40	68.97%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1908	193	39.31%	298	60.69%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1908	1	20.00%	4	80.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1908	14	30.43%	32	69.57%	0	0.00%
Total	1908	507	44.47%	633	55.53%	0	0.00%
050 Pinckneyville I							
Polling	2479	178	26.77%	487	73.23%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2479	27	33.33%	54	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2479	81	20.10%	322	79.90%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2479	2	11.11%	16	88.89%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2479	17	25.00%	51	75.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2479	305	24.70%	930	75.30%	0	0.00%
051 Pinckneyville J							
Polling	2543	75	14.07%	456	85.55%	2	0.38%
Absentee by Mail	2543	21	29.58%	50	70.42%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2543	51	12.35%	362	87.65%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2543	1	4.17%	23	95.83%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2543	4	12.12%	29	87.88%	0	0.00%
Total	2543	152	14.15%	920	85.66%	2	0.19%
052 Berkshire G							
Polling	2250	354	54.71%	292	45.13%	1	0.15%
Absentee by Mail	2250	46	54.12%	39	45.88%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2250	372	49.93%	372	49.93%	1	0.13%
Provisional	2250	3	60.00%	2	40.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2250	11	36.67%	19	63.33%	0	0.00%
Total	2250	786	51.98%	724	47.88%	2	0.13%
053 Cates H							
Polling	3622	287	29.26%	690	70.34%	4	0.41%
Absentee by Mail	3622	47	37.30%	79	62.70%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3622	14	29.17%	34	70.83%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Attorney General / Fiscal General						
	Reg. Voters	C. CARR (I) R		C. BAILEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	3622	1	8.33%	11	91.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3622	243	28.16%	619	71.73%	1	0.12%
Total	3622	592	29.16%	1433	70.59%	5	0.25%
054 Sugar Hill C							
Polling	4596	1067	67.15%	521	32.79%	1	0.06%
Absentee by Mail	4596	90	53.57%	78	46.43%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4596	273	60.26%	180	39.74%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4596	16	57.14%	12	42.86%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4596	317	55.81%	251	44.19%	0	0.00%
Total	4596	1763	62.83%	1042	37.13%	1	0.04%
055 Sugar Hill D							
Polling	4070	705	58.70%	496	41.30%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4070	60	47.62%	66	52.38%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4070	480	56.21%	374	43.79%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4070	16	57.14%	12	42.86%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4070	102	68.00%	48	32.00%	0	0.00%
Total	4070	1363	57.78%	996	42.22%	0	0.00%
056 Duluth C							
Polling	2243	330	48.46%	350	51.40%	1	0.15%
Absentee by Mail	2243	49	49.49%	50	50.51%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2243	193	41.51%	272	58.49%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2243	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2243	21	29.58%	50	70.42%	0	0.00%
Total	2243	594	45.00%	725	54.92%	1	0.08%
057 Duluth D							
Polling	4404	419	40.13%	625	59.87%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4404	71	35.50%	129	64.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4404	331	33.33%	661	66.57%	1	0.10%
Provisional	4404	7	33.33%	14	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4404	71	48.97%	74	51.03%	0	0.00%
Total	4404	899	37.41%	1503	62.55%	1	0.04%
058 Pinckneyville K							
Polling	3547	192	22.15%	674	77.74%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	3547	33	29.20%	80	70.80%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3547	74	15.35%	408	84.65%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3547	2	8.33%	22	91.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3547	25	28.09%	64	71.91%	0	0.00%
Total	3547	326	20.70%	1248	79.24%	1	0.06%
059 Pinckneyville N							
Polling	3717	178	16.76%	884	83.24%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3717	11	14.86%	63	85.14%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3717	72	13.21%	472	86.61%	1	0.18%
Provisional	3717	2	11.76%	15	88.24%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3717	8	14.04%	49	85.96%	0	0.00%
Total	3717	271	15.44%	1483	84.50%	1	0.06%
060 Lawrenceville D							
Polling	4872	242	23.11%	801	76.50%	4	0.38%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Attorney General / Fiscal General						
	Reg. Voters	C. CARR (I) R		C. BAILEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	4872	23	19.17%	97	80.83%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4872	19	22.35%	66	77.65%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4872	5	20.83%	19	79.17%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4872	175	19.93%	702	79.95%	1	0.11%
Total	4872	464	21.54%	1685	78.23%	5	0.23%
061 Lawrenceville E							
Polling	2205	330	52.46%	299	47.54%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2205	53	52.48%	47	46.53%	1	0.99%
Advance in Person	2205	13	59.09%	9	40.91%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2205	2	66.67%	1	33.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2205	332	53.38%	290	46.62%	0	0.00%
Total	2205	730	53.01%	646	46.91%	1	0.07%
062 Pinckneyville L							
Polling	2233	719	65.36%	381	34.64%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2233	83	61.48%	52	38.52%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2233	214	55.30%	173	44.70%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2233	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2233	26	70.27%	11	29.73%	0	0.00%
Total	2233	1044	62.85%	617	37.15%	0	0.00%
063 Pinckneyville M							
Polling	2515	799	69.48%	349	30.35%	2	0.17%
Absentee by Mail	2515	97	56.73%	73	42.69%	1	0.58%
Advance in Person	2515	287	62.12%	175	37.88%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2515	4	57.14%	3	42.86%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2515	12	63.16%	7	36.84%	0	0.00%
Total	2515	1199	66.28%	607	33.55%	3	0.17%
064 Garners D							
Polling	3127	231	26.77%	631	73.12%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	3127	28	24.35%	87	75.65%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3127	173	27.59%	454	72.41%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3127	1	12.50%	7	87.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3127	61	20.61%	234	79.05%	1	0.34%
Total	3127	494	25.88%	1413	74.02%	2	0.10%
065 Berkshire H							
Polling	1717	156	34.36%	298	65.64%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1717	27	45.76%	32	54.24%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1717	96	29.45%	230	70.55%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1717	2	18.18%	9	81.82%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1717	6	17.14%	29	82.86%	0	0.00%
Total	1717	287	32.43%	598	67.57%	0	0.00%
066 Pinckneyville O							
Polling	3267	156	20.42%	605	79.19%	3	0.39%
Absentee by Mail	3267	19	24.68%	58	75.32%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3267	132	20.95%	497	78.89%	1	0.16%
Provisional	3267	7	21.88%	25	78.13%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3267	4	14.29%	24	85.71%	0	0.00%
Total	3267	318	20.77%	1209	78.97%	4	0.26%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Attorney General / Fiscal General						
	Reg. Voters	C. CARR (I) R		C. BAILEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
067 Pucketts E							
Polling	4771	565	48.92%	590	51.08%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4771	84	46.41%	97	53.59%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4771	619	51.11%	591	48.80%	1	0.08%
Provisional	4771	9	33.33%	18	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4771	54	33.54%	107	66.46%	0	0.00%
Total	4771	1331	48.67%	1403	51.30%	1	0.04%
068 Cates I							
Polling	3395	613	52.57%	553	47.43%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3395	66	47.83%	71	51.45%	1	0.72%
Advance in Person	3395	89	44.95%	109	55.05%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3395	3	37.50%	5	62.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3395	265	40.15%	395	59.85%	0	0.00%
Total	3395	1036	47.74%	1133	52.21%	1	0.05%
069 Cates J							
Polling	3266	483	43.47%	626	56.35%	2	0.18%
Absentee by Mail	3266	41	35.34%	75	64.66%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3266	215	34.18%	413	65.66%	1	0.16%
Provisional	3266	5	38.46%	8	61.54%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3266	66	30.56%	150	69.44%	0	0.00%
Total	3266	810	38.85%	1272	61.01%	3	0.14%
070 Goodwins B							
Polling	3604	703	59.18%	485	40.82%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3604	82	53.59%	71	46.41%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3604	122	59.22%	84	40.78%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3604	5	71.43%	2	28.57%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3604	375	54.35%	315	45.65%	0	0.00%
Total	3604	1287	57.35%	957	42.65%	0	0.00%
071 Lawrenceville F							
Polling	3698	244	27.38%	647	72.62%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3698	27	18.49%	119	81.51%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3698	22	38.60%	35	61.40%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3698	3	20.00%	12	80.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3698	209	19.92%	839	79.98%	1	0.10%
Total	3698	505	23.40%	1652	76.55%	1	0.05%
072 Martins E							
Polling	5934	119	9.96%	1076	90.04%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5934	34	18.28%	152	81.72%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5934	49	7.08%	642	92.77%	1	0.14%
Provisional	5934	7	12.28%	49	85.96%	1	1.75%
Advance in Person 2	5934	20	8.06%	228	91.94%	0	0.00%
Total	5934	229	9.63%	2147	90.29%	2	0.08%
073 Pinckneyville P							
Polling	2048	439	49.27%	451	50.62%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	2048	51	44.35%	64	55.65%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2048	95	33.57%	188	66.43%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2048	5	71.43%	2	28.57%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Attorney General / Fiscal General						
	Reg. Voters	C. CARR (I) R		C. BAILEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	2048	12	54.55%	10	45.45%	0	0.00%
Total	2048	602	45.68%	715	54.25%	1	0.08%
074 Pinckneyville Q							
Polling	1725	80	13.63%	507	86.37%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1725	0	0.00%	35	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1725	24	12.70%	165	87.30%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1725	1	8.33%	11	91.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1725	3	8.33%	33	91.67%	0	0.00%
Total	1725	108	12.57%	751	87.43%	0	0.00%
075 Rockbridge C							
Polling	4722	255	21.16%	950	78.84%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4722	39	20.10%	155	79.90%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4722	46	27.88%	119	72.12%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4722	1	6.67%	14	93.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4722	222	15.36%	1222	84.57%	1	0.07%
Total	4722	563	18.62%	2460	81.35%	1	0.03%
076 Rockbridge D							
Polling	3359	220	26.99%	595	73.01%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3359	38	26.95%	103	73.05%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3359	6	16.67%	30	83.33%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3359	6	25.00%	18	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3359	286	25.70%	827	74.30%	0	0.00%
Total	3359	556	26.12%	1573	73.88%	0	0.00%
077 Goodwins C							
Polling	5106	492	36.31%	862	63.62%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	5106	69	33.33%	137	66.18%	1	0.48%
Advance in Person	5106	61	21.79%	219	78.21%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5106	4	21.05%	15	78.95%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5106	287	33.92%	559	66.08%	0	0.00%
Total	5106	913	33.73%	1792	66.20%	2	0.07%
078 Baycreek K							
Polling	3536	441	47.01%	496	52.88%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	3536	69	44.23%	87	55.77%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3536	21	58.33%	15	41.67%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3536	5	41.67%	7	58.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3536	536	46.41%	619	53.59%	0	0.00%
Total	3536	1072	46.67%	1224	53.29%	1	0.04%
079 Cates K							
Polling	2531	535	55.73%	424	44.17%	1	0.10%
Absentee by Mail	2531	39	55.71%	31	44.29%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2531	62	51.67%	58	48.33%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2531	2	25.00%	6	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2531	231	47.83%	251	51.97%	1	0.21%
Total	2531	869	52.96%	770	46.92%	2	0.12%
080 Baycreek C							
Polling	5755	747	45.86%	882	54.14%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5755	69	36.13%	121	63.35%	1	0.52%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Attorney General / Fiscal General						
	Reg. Voters	C. CARR (I) R		C. BAILEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	5755	47	47.00%	53	53.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5755	3	27.27%	8	72.73%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5755	537	30.03%	1249	69.85%	2	0.11%
Total	5755	1403	37.73%	2313	62.19%	3	0.08%
081 Cates L							
Polling	3751	500	44.68%	618	55.23%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	3751	54	38.03%	88	61.97%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3751	11	23.91%	35	76.09%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3751	2	20.00%	8	80.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3751	407	39.98%	611	60.02%	0	0.00%
Total	3751	974	41.71%	1360	58.24%	1	0.04%
082 Duluth E							
Polling	4580	358	30.92%	798	68.91%	2	0.17%
Absentee by Mail	4580	46	28.75%	112	70.00%	2	1.25%
Advance in Person	4580	278	28.22%	707	71.78%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4580	4	18.18%	17	77.27%	1	4.55%
Advance in Person 2	4580	31	28.97%	76	71.03%	0	0.00%
Total	4580	717	29.48%	1710	70.31%	5	0.21%
083 Goodwins D							
Polling	3985	611	48.03%	660	51.89%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	3985	62	43.97%	79	56.03%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3985	39	37.86%	64	62.14%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3985	7	36.84%	12	63.16%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3985	335	43.96%	427	56.04%	0	0.00%
Total	3985	1054	45.89%	1242	54.07%	1	0.04%
084 Lawrenceville G							
Polling	2783	215	27.56%	564	72.31%	1	0.13%
Absentee by Mail	2783	23	24.73%	70	75.27%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2783	4	10.26%	35	89.74%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2783	4	19.05%	17	80.95%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2783	132	24.00%	418	76.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2783	378	25.49%	1104	74.44%	1	0.07%
085 Lawrenceville H							
Polling	3899	633	52.36%	576	47.64%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3899	79	49.69%	80	50.31%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3899	126	53.62%	108	45.96%	1	0.43%
Provisional	3899	5	45.45%	6	54.55%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3899	379	48.84%	397	51.16%	0	0.00%
Total	3899	1222	51.13%	1167	48.83%	1	0.04%
086 Martins F							
Polling	2401	182	27.12%	487	72.58%	2	0.30%
Absentee by Mail	2401	20	23.26%	66	76.74%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2401	60	18.58%	262	81.11%	1	0.31%
Provisional	2401	2	16.67%	10	83.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2401	21	21.00%	79	79.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2401	285	23.91%	904	75.84%	3	0.25%
087 Pinckneyville S							

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Attorney General / Fiscal General						
	Reg. Voters	C. CARR (I) R		C. BAILEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	3013	305	32.48%	634	67.52%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3013	62	41.61%	87	58.39%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3013	146	31.47%	318	68.53%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3013	2	18.18%	9	81.82%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3013	22	36.67%	38	63.33%	0	0.00%
Total	3013	537	33.09%	1086	66.91%	0	0.00%
088 Rockbridge E							
Polling	4199	295	26.06%	836	73.85%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	4199	16	13.33%	104	86.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4199	57	36.77%	98	63.23%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4199	6	15.00%	34	85.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4199	131	15.86%	695	84.14%	0	0.00%
Total	4199	505	22.22%	1767	77.74%	1	0.04%
089 Sugar Hill E							
Polling	3061	573	61.35%	360	38.54%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	3061	56	46.67%	63	52.50%	1	0.83%
Advance in Person	3061	113	56.78%	86	43.22%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3061	14	63.64%	8	36.36%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3061	310	52.72%	277	47.11%	1	0.17%
Total	3061	1066	57.22%	794	42.62%	3	0.16%
090 Lawrenceville I							
Polling	3140	357	38.59%	565	61.08%	3	0.32%
Absentee by Mail	3140	41	33.06%	83	66.94%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3140	61	42.96%	81	57.04%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3140	2	22.22%	7	77.78%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3140	196	36.91%	335	63.09%	0	0.00%
Total	3140	657	37.95%	1071	61.87%	3	0.17%
091 Baycreek D							
Polling	4157	658	54.61%	547	45.39%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4157	64	38.79%	101	61.21%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4157	21	56.76%	16	43.24%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4157	6	54.55%	5	45.45%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4157	561	44.24%	707	55.76%	0	0.00%
Total	4157	1310	48.77%	1376	51.23%	0	0.00%
092 Berkshire J							
Polling	2595	489	59.78%	328	40.10%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	2595	49	53.26%	43	46.74%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2595	424	52.48%	383	47.40%	1	0.12%
Provisional	2595	2	33.33%	4	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2595	32	41.56%	45	58.44%	0	0.00%
Total	2595	996	55.30%	803	44.59%	2	0.11%
093 Cates M							
Polling	3794	766	59.66%	516	40.19%	2	0.16%
Absentee by Mail	3794	83	43.46%	108	56.54%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3794	69	54.33%	58	45.67%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3794	4	50.00%	4	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3794	534	54.55%	445	45.45%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Attorney General / Fiscal General							
	Reg. Voters	C. CARR (I) R		C. BAILEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	3794	1456	56.24%	1131	43.68%	2	0.08%
094 Cates N							
Polling	2445	524	64.93%	283	35.07%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2445	68	63.55%	39	36.45%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2445	15	60.00%	10	40.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2445	2	40.00%	3	60.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2445	414	62.82%	245	37.18%	0	0.00%
Total	2445	1023	63.82%	580	36.18%	0	0.00%
095 Duluth F							
Polling	5413	272	21.38%	1000	78.62%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5413	55	31.07%	122	68.93%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5413	90	15.15%	504	84.85%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5413	4	15.38%	22	84.62%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5413	86	19.86%	347	80.14%	0	0.00%
Total	5413	507	20.26%	1995	79.74%	0	0.00%
096 Duluth G							
Polling	2775	531	57.53%	390	42.25%	2	0.22%
Absentee by Mail	2775	62	57.41%	46	42.59%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2775	154	46.39%	178	53.61%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2775	4	36.36%	7	63.64%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2775	205	59.08%	142	40.92%	0	0.00%
Total	2775	956	55.55%	763	44.33%	2	0.12%
097 Goodwins E							
Polling	4950	781	51.62%	731	48.31%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	4950	73	33.03%	148	66.97%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4950	45	26.95%	122	73.05%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4950	4	25.00%	12	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4950	410	43.66%	529	56.34%	0	0.00%
Total	4950	1313	45.97%	1542	53.99%	1	0.04%
098 Goodwins F							
Polling	2904	396	45.15%	480	54.73%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	2904	52	40.31%	77	59.69%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2904	27	42.19%	37	57.81%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2904	4	33.33%	8	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2904	246	42.86%	327	56.97%	1	0.17%
Total	2904	725	43.78%	929	56.10%	2	0.12%
099 Pinckneyville T							
Polling	2874	349	40.30%	517	59.70%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2874	65	44.83%	80	55.17%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2874	157	32.91%	320	67.09%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2874	3	33.33%	6	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2874	16	36.36%	28	63.64%	0	0.00%
Total	2874	590	38.29%	951	61.71%	0	0.00%
100 Suwanee B							
Polling	4085	477	55.53%	381	44.35%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	4085	52	38.24%	84	61.76%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4085	9	30.00%	21	70.00%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Attorney General / Fiscal General						
	Reg. Voters	C. CARR (I) R		C. BAILEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	4085	4	57.14%	3	42.86%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4085	809	54.15%	685	45.85%	0	0.00%
Total	4085	1351	53.48%	1174	46.48%	1	0.04%
101 Pinckneyville U							
Polling	2007	365	44.46%	456	55.54%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2007	26	36.11%	46	63.89%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2007	82	28.47%	206	71.53%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2007	1	14.29%	6	85.71%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2007	15	46.88%	17	53.13%	0	0.00%
Total	2007	489	40.08%	731	59.92%	0	0.00%
102 Pucketts B							
Polling	2741	520	64.60%	284	35.28%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	2741	44	53.01%	39	46.99%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2741	539	65.25%	287	34.75%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2741	8	72.73%	3	27.27%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2741	42	67.74%	20	32.26%	0	0.00%
Total	2741	1153	64.52%	633	35.42%	1	0.06%
103 Berkshire L							
Polling	2915	219	24.58%	672	75.42%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2915	33	27.73%	86	72.27%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2915	75	22.32%	261	77.68%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2915	2	11.76%	15	88.24%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2915	45	24.46%	138	75.00%	1	0.54%
Total	2915	374	24.18%	1172	75.76%	1	0.06%
104 Rockbridge F							
Polling	5313	179	17.41%	849	82.59%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5313	51	24.88%	154	75.12%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5313	7	17.95%	32	82.05%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5313	3	15.79%	16	84.21%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5313	385	18.27%	1721	81.68%	1	0.05%
Total	5313	625	18.39%	2772	81.58%	1	0.03%
105 Sugar Hill F							
Polling	3687	743	60.06%	491	39.69%	3	0.24%
Absentee by Mail	3687	48	45.71%	57	54.29%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3687	50	40.98%	72	59.02%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3687	5	35.71%	9	64.29%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3687	404	58.81%	283	41.19%	0	0.00%
Total	3687	1250	57.74%	912	42.12%	3	0.14%
106 Suwanee C							
Polling	4823	671	55.68%	533	44.23%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	4823	81	40.10%	120	59.41%	1	0.50%
Advance in Person	4823	47	44.34%	59	55.66%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4823	21	41.18%	30	58.82%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4823	637	52.34%	580	47.66%	0	0.00%
Total	4823	1457	52.39%	1322	47.54%	2	0.07%
107 Cates O							
Polling	1342	282	57.79%	206	42.21%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Attorney General / Fiscal General							
	Reg. Voters	C. CARR (I) R		C. BAILEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	1342	22	57.89%	16	42.11%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1342	93	50.54%	91	49.46%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1342	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1342	60	45.11%	73	54.89%	0	0.00%
Total	1342	457	54.08%	388	45.92%	0	0.00%
108 Baycreek E							
Polling	2863	435	47.44%	482	52.56%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2863	41	41.41%	58	58.59%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2863	12	38.71%	19	61.29%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2863	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2863	235	35.88%	420	64.12%	0	0.00%
Total	2863	724	42.44%	982	57.56%	0	0.00%
109 Berkshire M							
Polling	1638	321	56.22%	250	43.78%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1638	30	53.57%	26	46.43%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1638	234	54.93%	192	45.07%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1638	1	33.33%	2	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1638	22	56.41%	17	43.59%	0	0.00%
Total	1638	608	55.53%	487	44.47%	0	0.00%
110 Martins G							
Polling	2187	191	28.59%	476	71.26%	1	0.15%
Absentee by Mail	2187	24	34.29%	46	65.71%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2187	4	12.12%	29	87.88%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2187	2	22.22%	7	77.78%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2187	78	22.35%	271	77.65%	0	0.00%
Total	2187	299	26.48%	829	73.43%	1	0.09%
111 Duluth H							
Polling	2662	332	45.29%	399	54.43%	2	0.27%
Absentee by Mail	2662	87	48.88%	91	51.12%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2662	255	44.12%	323	55.88%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2662	3	60.00%	2	40.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2662	59	50.86%	57	49.14%	0	0.00%
Total	2662	736	45.71%	872	54.16%	2	0.12%
112 Berkshire N							
Polling	2836	362	56.92%	274	43.08%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2836	65	50.78%	63	49.22%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2836	665	55.51%	533	44.49%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2836	3	75.00%	1	25.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2836	31	79.49%	8	20.51%	0	0.00%
Total	2836	1126	56.16%	879	43.84%	0	0.00%
113 Pinckneyville V							
Polling	1572	157	41.32%	223	58.68%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1572	21	35.59%	38	64.41%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1572	98	28.99%	240	71.01%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1572	6	42.86%	8	57.14%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1572	4	36.36%	7	63.64%	0	0.00%
Total	1572	286	35.66%	516	64.34%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Attorney General / Fiscal General							
	Reg. Voters	C. CARR (I) R		C. BAILEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
114 Pinckneyville W							
Polling	3885	535	43.96%	682	56.04%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3885	54	37.24%	91	62.76%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3885	268	37.22%	451	62.64%	1	0.14%
Provisional	3885	6	30.00%	14	70.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3885	20	43.48%	26	56.52%	0	0.00%
Total	3885	883	41.11%	1264	58.85%	1	0.05%
115 Martins H							
Polling	4487	416	32.40%	868	67.60%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4487	72	33.64%	142	66.36%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4487	54	23.79%	173	76.21%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4487	2	14.29%	12	85.71%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4487	229	31.54%	497	68.46%	0	0.00%
Total	4487	773	31.36%	1692	68.64%	0	0.00%
116 Martins I							
Polling	3518	214	22.94%	718	76.96%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	3518	51	31.88%	109	68.13%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3518	56	17.28%	268	82.72%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3518	1	5.88%	16	94.12%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3518	57	22.01%	202	77.99%	0	0.00%
Total	3518	379	22.39%	1313	77.55%	1	0.06%
117 Martins J							
Polling	3809	194	18.74%	839	81.06%	2	0.19%
Absentee by Mail	3809	18	15.00%	102	85.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3809	44	12.19%	317	87.81%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3809	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3809	57	21.19%	212	78.81%	0	0.00%
Total	3809	313	17.34%	1490	82.55%	2	0.11%
118 Garners F							
Polling	1558	232	50.33%	229	49.67%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1558	16	38.10%	26	61.90%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1558	235	49.68%	237	50.11%	1	0.21%
Provisional	1558	2	50.00%	2	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1558	3	18.75%	13	81.25%	0	0.00%
Total	1558	488	49.00%	507	50.90%	1	0.10%
119 Berkshire O							
Polling	2422	495	56.96%	372	42.81%	2	0.23%
Absentee by Mail	2422	64	60.95%	41	39.05%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2422	279	57.76%	204	42.24%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2422	4	44.44%	5	55.56%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2422	77	52.74%	69	47.26%	0	0.00%
Total	2422	919	57.01%	691	42.87%	2	0.12%
120 Berkshire P							
Polling	1339	144	37.70%	238	62.30%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1339	19	30.16%	44	69.84%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1339	58	31.18%	128	68.82%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1339	2	28.57%	5	71.43%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Attorney General / Fiscal General						
	Reg. Voters	C. CARR (I) R		C. BAILEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	1339	22	38.60%	35	61.40%	0	0.00%
Total	1339	245	35.25%	450	64.75%	0	0.00%
121 Pinckneyville X							
Polling	3198	564	52.47%	511	47.53%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3198	92	42.40%	125	57.60%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3198	330	44.47%	412	55.53%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3198	2	40.00%	3	60.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3198	31	43.66%	40	56.34%	0	0.00%
Total	3198	1019	48.29%	1091	51.71%	0	0.00%
122 Rockbridge G							
Polling	5188	252	22.18%	884	77.82%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5188	43	18.30%	192	81.70%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5188	41	37.96%	67	62.04%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5188	3	7.32%	38	92.68%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5188	274	17.23%	1316	82.77%	0	0.00%
Total	5188	613	19.71%	2497	80.29%	0	0.00%
123 Pinckneyville Y							
Polling	3018	148	19.22%	621	80.65%	1	0.13%
Absentee by Mail	3018	13	20.63%	50	79.37%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3018	73	16.40%	372	83.60%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3018	2	8.70%	21	91.30%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3018	18	29.03%	43	69.35%	1	1.61%
Total	3018	254	18.64%	1107	81.22%	2	0.15%
124 Goodwins G							
Polling	2448	231	30.47%	527	69.53%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2448	16	23.19%	53	76.81%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2448	13	18.57%	57	81.43%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2448	2	20.00%	8	80.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2448	101	24.40%	313	75.60%	0	0.00%
Total	2448	363	27.48%	958	72.52%	0	0.00%
125 Pinckneyville Z							
Polling	1806	604	69.03%	271	30.97%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1806	46	50.00%	46	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1806	186	60.39%	122	39.61%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1806	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1806	21	67.74%	10	32.26%	0	0.00%
Total	1806	859	65.67%	449	34.33%	0	0.00%
126 Pinckneyville A1							
Polling	2596	760	65.35%	403	34.65%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2596	91	57.59%	67	42.41%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2596	266	55.77%	211	44.23%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2596	4	57.14%	3	42.86%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2596	23	47.92%	25	52.08%	0	0.00%
Total	2596	1144	61.74%	709	38.26%	0	0.00%
127 Lawrenceville J							
Polling	1959	246	39.94%	370	60.06%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1959	21	26.25%	59	73.75%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Attorney General / Fiscal General							
	Reg. Voters	C. CARR (I) R		C. BAILEY (D)		Write-In Votes		
Advance in Person	1959	13	27.08%	35	72.92%	0	0.00%	
Provisional	1959	1	16.67%	5	83.33%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person 2	1959	91	24.07%	286	75.66%	1	0.26%	
Total	1959	372	32.98%	755	66.93%	1	0.09%	
128 Goodwins H								
Polling	2561	442	53.25%	386	46.51%	2	0.24%	
Absentee by Mail	2561	46	41.82%	64	58.18%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person	2561	21	40.38%	31	59.62%	0	0.00%	
Provisional	2561	4	33.33%	8	66.67%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person 2	2561	224	41.56%	314	58.26%	1	0.19%	
Total	2561	737	47.76%	803	52.04%	3	0.19%	
129 Duncans B								
Polling	4655	1131	70.86%	464	29.07%	1	0.06%	
Absentee by Mail	4655	88	59.46%	60	40.54%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person	4655	811	70.64%	337	29.36%	0	0.00%	
Provisional	4655	4	66.67%	2	33.33%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person 2	4655	60	53.57%	52	46.43%	0	0.00%	
Total	4655	2094	69.57%	915	30.40%	1	0.03%	
130 Suwanee D								
Polling	4247	940	67.53%	451	32.40%	1	0.07%	
Absentee by Mail	4247	85	50.00%	85	50.00%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person	4247	42	48.84%	44	51.16%	0	0.00%	
Provisional	4247	7	46.67%	8	53.33%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person 2	4247	739	64.71%	403	35.29%	0	0.00%	
Total	4247	1813	64.63%	991	35.33%	1	0.04%	
131 Suwanee E								
Polling	3921	690	63.71%	393	36.29%	0	0.00%	
Absentee by Mail	3921	89	47.59%	98	52.41%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person	3921	20	42.55%	27	57.45%	0	0.00%	
Provisional	3921	14	70.00%	6	30.00%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person 2	3921	759	60.05%	505	39.95%	0	0.00%	
Total	3921	1572	60.44%	1029	39.56%	0	0.00%	
132 Lawrenceville K								
Polling	2915	527	52.13%	484	47.87%	0	0.00%	
Absentee by Mail	2915	54	49.54%	55	50.46%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person	2915	96	53.63%	83	46.37%	0	0.00%	
Provisional	2915	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person 2	2915	228	43.43%	297	56.57%	0	0.00%	
Total	2915	906	49.56%	922	50.44%	0	0.00%	
133 Harbins B								
Polling	4220	560	56.57%	429	43.33%	1	0.10%	
Absentee by Mail	4220	40	42.55%	54	57.45%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person	4220	850	65.33%	451	34.67%	0	0.00%	
Provisional	4220	2	50.00%	2	50.00%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person 2	4220	58	40.85%	84	59.15%	0	0.00%	
Total	4220	1510	59.66%	1020	40.30%	1	0.04%	
134 Baycreek F								

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Attorney General / Fiscal General						
	Reg. Voters	C. CARR (I) R		C. BAILEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	4119	480	39.80%	726	60.20%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4119	58	33.14%	117	66.86%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4119	17	44.74%	21	55.26%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4119	1	10.00%	9	90.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4119	318	26.90%	864	73.10%	0	0.00%
Total	4119	874	33.47%	1737	66.53%	0	0.00%
135 Pucketts C							
Polling	2931	521	62.47%	311	37.29%	2	0.24%
Absentee by Mail	2931	54	55.10%	44	44.90%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2931	445	61.55%	277	38.31%	1	0.14%
Provisional	2931	9	56.25%	7	43.75%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2931	35	45.45%	42	54.55%	0	0.00%
Total	2931	1064	60.87%	681	38.96%	3	0.17%
136 Hog Mountain C							
Polling	3832	705	58.07%	509	41.93%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3832	43	36.13%	76	63.87%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3832	370	56.32%	287	43.68%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3832	4	50.00%	4	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3832	120	54.05%	102	45.95%	0	0.00%
Total	3832	1242	55.95%	978	44.05%	0	0.00%
137 Rockycreek B							
Polling	4677	778	56.46%	599	43.47%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	4677	49	37.98%	80	62.02%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4677	657	60.66%	426	39.34%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4677	3	37.50%	5	62.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4677	112	48.28%	120	51.72%	0	0.00%
Total	4677	1599	56.50%	1230	43.46%	1	0.04%
138 Duluth I							
Polling	4491	675	54.70%	559	45.30%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4491	140	46.82%	159	53.18%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4491	216	46.65%	247	53.35%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4491	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4491	395	58.43%	280	41.42%	1	0.15%
Total	4491	1430	53.44%	1245	46.52%	1	0.04%
139 Martins K							
Polling	4796	332	23.55%	1075	76.24%	3	0.21%
Absentee by Mail	4796	56	33.33%	111	66.07%	1	0.60%
Advance in Person	4796	28	13.53%	179	86.47%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4796	4	19.05%	17	80.95%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4796	127	15.97%	668	84.03%	0	0.00%
Total	4796	547	21.03%	2050	78.82%	4	0.15%
140 Suwanee H							
Polling	3480	720	69.90%	310	30.10%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3480	103	55.08%	84	44.92%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3480	30	58.82%	21	41.18%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3480	17	77.27%	5	22.73%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3480	786	71.07%	319	28.84%	1	0.09%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Attorney General / Fiscal General						
	Reg. Voters	C. CARR (I) R		C. BAILEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	3480	1656	69.12%	739	30.84%	1	0.04%
141 Sugar Hill G							
Polling	2601	617	70.27%	259	29.50%	2	0.23%
Absentee by Mail	2601	42	46.67%	48	53.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2601	68	51.91%	63	48.09%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2601	11	73.33%	4	26.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2601	360	62.50%	216	37.50%	0	0.00%
Total	2601	1098	64.97%	590	34.91%	2	0.12%
142 Suwanee F							
Polling	3598	620	57.67%	455	42.33%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3598	50	34.97%	92	64.34%	1	0.70%
Advance in Person	3598	25	35.21%	46	64.79%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3598	6	46.15%	7	53.85%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3598	432	50.94%	416	49.06%	0	0.00%
Total	3598	1133	52.70%	1016	47.26%	1	0.05%
143 Lawrenceville L							
Polling	4355	550	41.38%	776	58.39%	3	0.23%
Absentee by Mail	4355	84	45.65%	100	54.35%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4355	20	37.04%	34	62.96%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4355	5	33.33%	10	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4355	419	38.09%	681	61.91%	0	0.00%
Total	4355	1078	40.19%	1601	59.69%	3	0.11%
144 Lawrenceville M							
Polling	5956	355	23.31%	1166	76.56%	2	0.13%
Absentee by Mail	5956	38	20.99%	143	79.01%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5956	59	22.01%	209	77.99%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5956	4	19.05%	17	80.95%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5956	194	15.03%	1096	84.90%	1	0.08%
Total	5956	650	19.79%	2631	80.12%	3	0.09%
145 Baycreek G							
Polling	5092	647	41.88%	897	58.06%	1	0.06%
Absentee by Mail	5092	58	33.33%	116	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5092	19	35.19%	35	64.81%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5092	2	16.67%	10	83.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5092	405	28.07%	1038	71.93%	0	0.00%
Total	5092	1131	35.04%	2096	64.93%	1	0.03%
146 Baycreek H							
Polling	5697	955	55.91%	751	43.97%	2	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	5697	94	43.52%	122	56.48%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5697	54	53.47%	47	46.53%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5697	2	22.22%	7	77.78%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5697	716	44.06%	908	55.88%	1	0.06%
Total	5697	1821	49.77%	1835	50.15%	3	0.08%
147 Baycreek I							
Polling	5162	531	41.61%	745	58.39%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5162	68	35.60%	123	64.40%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5162	22	40.74%	32	59.26%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Attorney General / Fiscal General						
	Reg. Voters	C. CARR (I) R		C. BAILEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	5162	5	35.71%	9	64.29%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5162	521	34.39%	993	65.54%	1	0.07%
Total	5162	1147	37.61%	1902	62.36%	1	0.03%
148 Berkshire Q							
Polling	1644	285	53.17%	251	46.83%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1644	34	60.71%	22	39.29%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1644	197	49.13%	204	50.87%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1644	3	60.00%	2	40.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1644	16	57.14%	12	42.86%	0	0.00%
Total	1644	535	52.14%	491	47.86%	0	0.00%
149 Goodwins I							
Polling	2341	496	56.43%	383	43.57%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2341	61	48.41%	64	50.79%	1	0.79%
Advance in Person	2341	21	24.71%	64	75.29%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2341	2	28.57%	5	71.43%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2341	230	50.11%	229	49.89%	0	0.00%
Total	2341	810	52.06%	745	47.88%	1	0.06%
150 Duluth K							
Polling	2694	111	20.44%	432	79.56%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2694	11	20.75%	42	79.25%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2694	68	17.66%	315	81.82%	2	0.52%
Provisional	2694	4	44.44%	5	55.56%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2694	5	12.82%	34	87.18%	0	0.00%
Total	2694	199	19.34%	828	80.47%	2	0.19%
151 Harbins C							
Polling	2369	658	69.12%	294	30.88%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2369	40	52.63%	36	47.37%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2369	169	56.52%	130	43.48%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2369	3	60.00%	2	40.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2369	121	43.06%	160	56.94%	0	0.00%
Total	2369	991	61.44%	622	38.56%	0	0.00%
152 Rockycreek C							
Polling	4504	728	54.17%	615	45.76%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	4504	63	48.46%	67	51.54%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4504	707	58.00%	511	41.92%	1	0.08%
Provisional	4504	4	66.67%	2	33.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4504	85	40.67%	124	59.33%	0	0.00%
Total	4504	1587	54.57%	1319	45.36%	2	0.07%
153 Lawrenceville N							
Polling	2343	280	46.28%	324	53.55%	1	0.17%
Absentee by Mail	2343	39	50.65%	38	49.35%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2343	4	17.39%	19	82.61%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2343	5	26.32%	14	73.68%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2343	187	36.24%	329	63.76%	0	0.00%
Total	2343	515	41.53%	724	58.39%	1	0.08%
154 Pucketts D							
Polling	3166	561	63.90%	317	36.10%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Attorney General / Fiscal General						
	Reg. Voters	C. CARR (I) R		C. BAILEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	3166	75	54.35%	63	45.65%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3166	590	67.82%	278	31.95%	2	0.23%
Provisional	3166	10	62.50%	6	37.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3166	54	69.23%	24	30.77%	0	0.00%
Total	3166	1290	65.15%	688	34.75%	2	0.10%
155 Suwanee G							
Polling	3451	620	54.01%	527	45.91%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	3451	51	38.06%	83	61.94%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3451	34	39.53%	52	60.47%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3451	6	40.00%	9	60.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3451	336	44.21%	424	55.79%	0	0.00%
Total	3451	1047	48.86%	1095	51.10%	1	0.05%
156 Baycreek J							
Polling	4038	444	37.34%	744	62.57%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	4038	48	30.00%	112	70.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4038	16	31.37%	35	68.63%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4038	2	22.22%	7	77.78%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4038	330	28.52%	827	71.48%	0	0.00%
Total	4038	840	32.74%	1725	67.23%	1	0.04%
Total							
Polling	525568	70787	46.42%	81576	53.50%	118	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	525568	8007	40.03%	11981	59.90%	14	0.07%
Advance in Person	525568	28129	45.48%	33698	54.48%	25	0.04%
Advance in Person 2	525568	28225	38.30%	45445	61.66%	28	0.04%
Provisional	525568	697	32.71%	1432	67.20%	2	0.09%
Total	525568	135845	43.80%	174132	56.14%	187	0.06%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura

	Reg. Voters	G. BLACK (I) R		F. SWANN (D)		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide							
001 Harbins A							
Polling	6123	826	54.13%	697	45.67%	3	0.20%
Absentee by Mail	6123	76	39.58%	116	60.42%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	6123	655	58.74%	460	41.26%	0	0.00%
Provisional	6123	3	20.00%	12	80.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	6123	323	40.48%	473	59.27%	2	0.25%
Total	6123	1883	51.65%	1758	48.22%	5	0.14%
002 Rockbridge A							
Polling	3653	161	19.90%	648	80.10%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3653	29	21.48%	106	78.52%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3653	32	27.12%	86	72.88%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3653	32	39.02%	50	60.98%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3653	208	19.38%	865	80.62%	0	0.00%
Total	3653	462	20.84%	1755	79.16%	0	0.00%
003 Dacula							
Polling	4478	499	45.00%	610	55.00%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4478	34	26.15%	96	73.85%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4478	597	50.21%	591	49.71%	1	0.08%
Provisional	4478	3	37.50%	5	62.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4478	63	26.81%	172	73.19%	0	0.00%
Total	4478	1196	44.78%	1474	55.19%	1	0.04%
004 Suwanee A							
Polling	1551	200	53.62%	173	46.38%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1551	23	53.49%	20	46.51%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1551	17	45.95%	20	54.05%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1551	12	75.00%	4	25.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1551	177	45.27%	214	54.73%	0	0.00%
Total	1551	429	49.88%	431	50.12%	0	0.00%
005 Baycreek A							
Polling	2555	549	63.10%	321	36.90%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2555	58	55.77%	46	44.23%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2555	20	57.14%	15	42.86%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2555	17	60.71%	11	39.29%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2555	342	49.07%	355	50.93%	0	0.00%
Total	2555	986	56.86%	748	43.14%	0	0.00%
006 Goodwins A							
Polling	3583	527	45.67%	625	54.16%	2	0.17%
Absentee by Mail	3583	59	46.46%	68	53.54%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3583	32	29.91%	75	70.09%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3583	4	23.53%	13	76.47%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3583	264	39.76%	399	60.09%	1	0.15%
Total	3583	886	42.82%	1180	57.03%	3	0.14%
007 Duluth A							
Polling	4599	752	54.57%	626	45.43%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4599	85	38.81%	134	61.19%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4599	292	37.15%	493	62.72%	1	0.13%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura						
	Reg. Voters	G. BLACK (I) R		F. SWANN (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	4599	5	41.67%	7	58.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4599	266	49.72%	269	50.28%	0	0.00%
Total	4599	1400	47.78%	1529	52.18%	1	0.03%
008 Duncans A							
Polling	4278	916	71.90%	357	28.02%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	4278	76	58.46%	54	41.54%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4278	909	71.80%	356	28.12%	1	0.08%
Provisional	4278	6	46.15%	7	53.85%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4278	98	57.31%	73	42.69%	0	0.00%
Total	4278	2005	70.25%	847	29.68%	2	0.07%
009 Pucketts A							
Polling	4844	742	67.76%	351	32.05%	2	0.18%
Absentee by Mail	4844	105	59.66%	71	40.34%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4844	1275	71.35%	511	28.60%	1	0.06%
Provisional	4844	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4844	33	61.11%	20	37.04%	1	1.85%
Total	4844	2159	69.29%	953	30.58%	4	0.13%
010 Cates A							
Polling	2209	411	65.55%	215	34.29%	1	0.16%
Absentee by Mail	2209	102	63.75%	58	36.25%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2209	19	76.00%	6	24.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2209	2	50.00%	2	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2209	345	57.12%	259	42.88%	0	0.00%
Total	2209	879	61.90%	540	38.03%	1	0.07%
011 Berkshire A							
Polling	2247	246	38.38%	395	61.62%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2247	28	28.87%	69	71.13%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2247	84	25.53%	245	74.47%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2247	1	8.33%	11	91.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2247	31	49.21%	32	50.79%	0	0.00%
Total	2247	390	34.15%	752	65.85%	0	0.00%
012 Berkshire B							
Polling	5010	219	24.36%	678	75.42%	2	0.22%
Absentee by Mail	5010	64	36.57%	111	63.43%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5010	395	27.20%	1057	72.80%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5010	2	8.70%	21	91.30%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5010	20	25.64%	58	74.36%	0	0.00%
Total	5010	700	26.65%	1925	73.28%	2	0.08%
013 Duncans C							
Polling	2828	788	78.72%	213	21.28%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2828	60	66.67%	30	33.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2828	544	71.30%	219	28.70%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2828	5	71.43%	2	28.57%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2828	46	57.50%	34	42.50%	0	0.00%
Total	2828	1443	74.34%	498	25.66%	0	0.00%
014 Garners A							
Polling	3302	619	62.84%	363	36.85%	3	0.30%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura						
	Reg. Voters	G. BLACK (I) R		F. SWANN (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	3302	75	55.56%	60	44.44%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3302	580	57.77%	424	42.23%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3302	4	44.44%	5	55.56%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3302	47	41.59%	66	58.41%	0	0.00%
Total	3302	1325	58.99%	918	40.87%	3	0.13%
015 Lawrenceville A							
Polling	3300	323	45.24%	391	54.76%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3300	74	50.68%	72	49.32%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3300	7	41.18%	10	58.82%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3300	0	0.00%	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3300	362	49.52%	368	50.34%	1	0.14%
Total	3300	766	47.52%	845	52.42%	1	0.06%
016 Lawrenceville B							
Polling	4520	393	38.95%	615	60.95%	1	0.10%
Absentee by Mail	4520	55	31.25%	121	68.75%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4520	10	22.22%	35	77.78%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4520	8	61.54%	5	38.46%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4520	413	28.88%	1017	71.12%	0	0.00%
Total	4520	879	32.88%	1793	67.08%	1	0.04%
017 Martins A							
Polling	3019	186	22.77%	631	77.23%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3019	18	18.56%	79	81.44%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3019	54	21.60%	196	78.40%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3019	3	25.00%	9	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3019	57	18.51%	251	81.49%	0	0.00%
Total	3019	318	21.43%	1166	78.57%	0	0.00%
018 Martins B							
Polling	2796	289	35.20%	529	64.43%	3	0.37%
Absentee by Mail	2796	31	33.70%	61	66.30%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2796	19	20.21%	75	79.79%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2796	4	20.00%	16	80.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2796	151	33.56%	299	66.44%	0	0.00%
Total	2796	494	33.45%	980	66.35%	3	0.20%
019 Martins C							
Polling	2349	356	42.89%	472	56.87%	2	0.24%
Absentee by Mail	2349	33	44.00%	42	56.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2349	87	43.72%	112	56.28%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2349	2	20.00%	8	80.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2349	99	40.41%	146	59.59%	0	0.00%
Total	2349	577	42.46%	780	57.40%	2	0.15%
020 Pinckneyville A							
Polling	2603	150	21.37%	550	78.35%	2	0.28%
Absentee by Mail	2603	19	22.35%	66	77.65%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2603	49	12.63%	339	87.37%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2603	7	36.84%	12	63.16%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2603	5	8.77%	52	91.23%	0	0.00%
Total	2603	230	18.39%	1019	81.45%	2	0.16%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura

	Reg. Voters	G. BLACK (I) R		F. SWANN (D)		Write-In Votes	
021 Pinckneyville B							
Polling	3216	161	24.96%	483	74.88%	1	0.16%
Absentee by Mail	3216	30	26.32%	84	73.68%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3216	126	21.72%	453	78.10%	1	0.17%
Provisional	3216	8	21.62%	29	78.38%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3216	5	18.52%	22	81.48%	0	0.00%
Total	3216	330	23.52%	1071	76.34%	2	0.14%
022 Pinckneyville C							
Polling	1796	439	55.99%	345	44.01%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1796	61	50.83%	59	49.17%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1796	127	42.47%	172	57.53%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1796	2	40.00%	3	60.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1796	23	51.11%	22	48.89%	0	0.00%
Total	1796	652	52.04%	601	47.96%	0	0.00%
023 Pinckneyville D							
Polling	2042	116	22.88%	391	77.12%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2042	16	24.62%	49	75.38%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2042	63	22.74%	214	77.26%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2042	1	6.67%	14	93.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2042	5	12.82%	34	87.18%	0	0.00%
Total	2042	201	22.26%	702	77.74%	0	0.00%
024 Sugar Hill A							
Polling	4001	673	63.07%	394	36.93%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4001	58	44.96%	71	55.04%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4001	374	55.74%	297	44.26%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4001	11	55.00%	9	45.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4001	115	51.57%	108	48.43%	0	0.00%
Total	4001	1231	58.34%	879	41.66%	0	0.00%
025 Sugar Hill B							
Polling	4835	869	59.04%	601	40.83%	2	0.14%
Absentee by Mail	4835	80	50.63%	78	49.37%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4835	232	52.97%	206	47.03%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4835	14	70.00%	6	30.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4835	328	56.16%	256	43.84%	0	0.00%
Total	4835	1523	57.00%	1147	42.93%	2	0.07%
026 Hog Mountain A							
Polling	4467	585	44.90%	717	55.03%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	4467	52	33.12%	105	66.88%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4467	142	37.97%	232	62.03%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4467	7	31.82%	15	68.18%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4467	204	35.23%	375	64.77%	0	0.00%
Total	4467	990	40.66%	1444	59.30%	1	0.04%
027 Hog Mountain B							
Polling	4211	876	63.99%	492	35.94%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	4211	89	53.29%	78	46.71%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4211	496	60.93%	318	39.07%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4211	7	58.33%	5	41.67%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura						
	Reg. Voters	G. BLACK (I) R		F. SWANN (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	4211	159	46.76%	181	53.24%	0	0.00%
Total	4211	1627	60.21%	1074	39.75%	1	0.04%
028 Rockycreek A							
Polling	5149	874	59.25%	599	40.61%	2	0.14%
Absentee by Mail	5149	66	50.77%	64	49.23%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5149	889	60.97%	568	38.96%	1	0.07%
Provisional	5149	3	50.00%	3	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5149	58	34.52%	110	65.48%	0	0.00%
Total	5149	1890	58.39%	1344	41.52%	3	0.09%
029 Cates B							
Polling	1559	371	66.61%	186	33.39%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1559	35	59.32%	24	40.68%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1559	106	61.63%	66	38.37%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1559	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1559	144	63.16%	84	36.84%	0	0.00%
Total	1559	658	64.64%	360	35.36%	0	0.00%
030 Cates C							
Polling	2599	305	41.27%	434	58.73%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2599	37	44.05%	47	55.95%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2599	7	29.17%	17	70.83%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2599	1	12.50%	7	87.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2599	244	32.58%	505	67.42%	0	0.00%
Total	2599	594	37.03%	1010	62.97%	0	0.00%
031 Hog Mountain D							
Polling	2958	554	61.22%	350	38.67%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	2958	41	39.05%	64	60.95%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2958	229	66.96%	113	33.04%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2958	8	66.67%	4	33.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2958	172	51.19%	164	48.81%	0	0.00%
Total	2958	1004	59.06%	695	40.88%	1	0.06%
032 Berkshire D							
Polling	2684	452	55.19%	366	44.69%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	2684	57	44.19%	72	55.81%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2684	354	48.83%	371	51.17%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2684	3	33.33%	6	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2684	39	46.43%	45	53.57%	0	0.00%
Total	2684	905	51.25%	860	48.70%	1	0.06%
033 Berkshire E							
Polling	1866	402	62.91%	235	36.78%	2	0.31%
Absentee by Mail	1866	40	47.62%	44	52.38%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1866	275	51.59%	258	48.41%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1866	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1866	21	58.33%	15	41.67%	0	0.00%
Total	1866	738	57.08%	553	42.77%	2	0.15%
034 Berkshire F							
Polling	2003	260	40.94%	374	58.90%	1	0.16%
Absentee by Mail	2003	37	45.12%	45	54.88%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura

	Reg. Voters	G. BLACK (I) R		F. SWANN (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	2003	65	25.69%	188	74.31%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2003	2	18.18%	9	81.82%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2003	29	38.16%	47	61.84%	0	0.00%
Total	2003	393	37.18%	663	62.72%	1	0.09%
035 Cates D - 04							
Polling	2605	462	55.60%	369	44.40%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2605	49	48.51%	52	51.49%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2605	88	49.72%	89	50.28%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2605	8	72.73%	3	27.27%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2605	238	47.32%	265	52.68%	0	0.00%
Total	2605	845	52.06%	778	47.94%	0	0.00%
035 Cates D - 07							
Polling	585	105	54.97%	85	44.50%	1	0.52%
Absentee by Mail	585	5	18.52%	22	81.48%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	585	21	29.17%	51	70.83%	0	0.00%
Provisional	585	1	33.33%	2	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	585	27	39.13%	42	60.87%	0	0.00%
Total	585	159	43.92%	202	55.80%	1	0.28%
036 Cates E							
Polling	2744	531	56.61%	406	43.28%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	2744	54	45.76%	64	54.24%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2744	235	49.16%	243	50.84%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2744	1	11.11%	8	88.89%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2744	186	54.23%	157	45.77%	0	0.00%
Total	2744	1007	53.39%	878	46.55%	1	0.05%
037 Pinckneyville E							
Polling	2183	152	22.59%	519	77.12%	2	0.30%
Absentee by Mail	2183	9	16.98%	44	83.02%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2183	38	15.14%	213	84.86%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2183	2	16.67%	10	83.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2183	6	27.27%	16	72.73%	0	0.00%
Total	2183	207	20.47%	802	79.33%	2	0.20%
038 Pinckneyville F							
Polling	2301	189	30.24%	435	69.60%	1	0.16%
Absentee by Mail	2301	28	31.46%	61	68.54%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2301	93	29.15%	225	70.53%	1	0.31%
Provisional	2301	3	50.00%	3	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2301	7	18.92%	30	81.08%	0	0.00%
Total	2301	320	29.74%	754	70.07%	2	0.19%
039 Duncans D							
Polling	6085	1260	69.88%	543	30.12%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	6085	123	57.48%	91	42.52%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	6085	1149	71.99%	447	28.01%	0	0.00%
Provisional	6085	7	46.67%	8	53.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	6085	99	60.37%	65	39.63%	0	0.00%
Total	6085	2638	69.57%	1154	30.43%	0	0.00%
040 Pinckneyville H							

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura						
	Reg. Voters	G. BLACK (I) R		F. SWANN (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	4126	722	52.55%	651	47.38%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	4126	78	34.67%	147	65.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4126	488	46.21%	567	53.69%	1	0.09%
Provisional	4126	6	46.15%	7	53.85%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4126	35	43.21%	45	55.56%	1	1.23%
Total	4126	1329	48.34%	1417	51.55%	3	0.11%
041 Baycreek B							
Polling	5349	610	37.22%	1028	62.72%	1	0.06%
Absentee by Mail	5349	49	23.56%	159	76.44%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5349	15	30.00%	35	70.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5349	3	12.50%	21	87.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5349	395	28.11%	1010	71.89%	0	0.00%
Total	5349	1072	32.23%	2253	67.74%	1	0.03%
042 Lawrenceville C							
Polling	2761	551	62.61%	328	37.27%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	2761	49	50.00%	49	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2761	198	62.46%	119	37.54%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2761	4	80.00%	1	20.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2761	186	51.96%	172	48.04%	0	0.00%
Total	2761	988	59.59%	669	40.35%	1	0.06%
043 Martins D							
Polling	2059	100	17.21%	481	82.79%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2059	23	31.08%	51	68.92%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2059	34	15.60%	184	84.40%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2059	2	14.29%	12	85.71%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2059	15	15.63%	81	84.38%	0	0.00%
Total	2059	174	17.70%	809	82.30%	0	0.00%
044 Rockbridge B							
Polling	3761	266	27.65%	695	72.25%	1	0.10%
Absentee by Mail	3761	34	31.78%	73	68.22%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3761	27	23.48%	88	76.52%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3761	0	0.00%	13	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3761	215	22.51%	740	77.49%	0	0.00%
Total	3761	542	25.19%	1609	74.77%	1	0.05%
045 Garners B							
Polling	2916	259	37.11%	438	62.75%	1	0.14%
Absentee by Mail	2916	65	50.78%	63	49.22%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2916	256	37.54%	426	62.46%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2916	1	16.67%	5	83.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2916	111	29.52%	265	70.48%	0	0.00%
Total	2916	692	36.61%	1197	63.33%	1	0.05%
046 Cates F							
Polling	1689	450	68.08%	211	31.92%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1689	37	54.41%	31	45.59%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1689	25	49.02%	26	50.98%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1689	2	50.00%	2	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1689	206	61.31%	130	38.69%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura

	Reg. Voters	G. BLACK (I) R		F. SWANN (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	1689	720	64.29%	400	35.71%	0	0.00%
047 Cates G							
Polling	2597	604	66.89%	298	33.00%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	2597	68	57.14%	51	42.86%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2597	73	64.60%	40	35.40%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2597	4	57.14%	3	42.86%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2597	400	59.26%	274	40.59%	1	0.15%
Total	2597	1149	63.24%	666	36.65%	2	0.11%
048 Duluth B							
Polling	3332	505	56.36%	390	43.53%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	3332	72	42.60%	97	57.40%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3332	309	47.25%	345	52.75%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3332	6	60.00%	4	40.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3332	100	67.57%	48	32.43%	0	0.00%
Total	3332	992	52.85%	884	47.10%	1	0.05%
049 Garners C							
Polling	1908	303	56.42%	234	43.58%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1908	23	39.66%	35	60.34%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1908	216	43.99%	275	56.01%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1908	1	20.00%	4	80.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1908	13	28.26%	33	71.74%	0	0.00%
Total	1908	556	48.90%	581	51.10%	0	0.00%
050 Pinckneyville I							
Polling	2479	178	26.93%	483	73.07%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2479	29	35.80%	52	64.20%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2479	85	21.09%	318	78.91%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2479	2	11.11%	16	88.89%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2479	17	24.29%	53	75.71%	0	0.00%
Total	2479	311	25.22%	922	74.78%	0	0.00%
051 Pinckneyville J							
Polling	2543	84	15.76%	448	84.05%	1	0.19%
Absentee by Mail	2543	21	29.17%	51	70.83%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2543	57	13.90%	353	86.10%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2543	1	3.85%	25	96.15%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2543	4	11.43%	31	88.57%	0	0.00%
Total	2543	167	15.52%	908	84.39%	1	0.09%
052 Berkshire G							
Polling	2250	366	57.46%	270	42.39%	1	0.16%
Absentee by Mail	2250	48	55.81%	38	44.19%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2250	388	52.57%	349	47.29%	1	0.14%
Provisional	2250	3	60.00%	2	40.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2250	11	36.67%	19	63.33%	0	0.00%
Total	2250	816	54.55%	678	45.32%	2	0.13%
053 Cates H							
Polling	3622	300	30.80%	670	68.79%	4	0.41%
Absentee by Mail	3622	53	41.41%	75	58.59%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3622	15	31.25%	33	68.75%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura							
	Reg. Voters	G. BLACK (I) R		F. SWANN (D)		Write-In Votes		
Provisional	3622	1	7.69%	12	92.31%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3622	254	29.57%	604	70.31%	1	0.12%
Total	3622	623	30.81%	1394	68.94%	5	0.25%
054 Sugar Hill C							
Polling	4596	1086	68.69%	492	31.12%	3	0.19%
Absentee by Mail	4596	97	57.06%	73	42.94%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4596	275	61.25%	174	38.75%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4596	16	57.14%	12	42.86%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4596	326	57.60%	240	42.40%	0	0.00%
Total	4596	1800	64.42%	991	35.47%	3	0.11%
055 Sugar Hill D							
Polling	4070	743	61.81%	459	38.19%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4070	68	53.13%	60	46.88%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4070	500	58.21%	359	41.79%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4070	15	51.72%	14	48.28%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4070	105	70.95%	43	29.05%	0	0.00%
Total	4070	1431	60.48%	935	39.52%	0	0.00%
056 Duluth C							
Polling	2243	345	50.74%	333	48.97%	2	0.29%
Absentee by Mail	2243	51	51.52%	48	48.48%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2243	203	43.84%	260	56.16%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2243	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2243	19	26.76%	52	73.24%	0	0.00%
Total	2243	619	47.00%	696	52.85%	2	0.15%
057 Duluth D							
Polling	4404	429	41.17%	611	58.64%	2	0.19%
Absentee by Mail	4404	79	39.30%	122	60.70%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4404	348	35.15%	641	64.75%	1	0.10%
Provisional	4404	6	28.57%	15	71.43%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4404	70	48.95%	73	51.05%	0	0.00%
Total	4404	932	38.88%	1462	60.99%	3	0.13%
058 Pinckneyville K							
Polling	3547	209	24.02%	660	75.86%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	3547	33	29.20%	80	70.80%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3547	75	15.76%	401	84.24%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3547	2	8.33%	22	91.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3547	24	27.59%	63	72.41%	0	0.00%
Total	3547	343	21.85%	1226	78.09%	1	0.06%
059 Pinckneyville N							
Polling	3717	186	17.68%	865	82.22%	1	0.10%
Absentee by Mail	3717	10	13.51%	64	86.49%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3717	82	15.19%	458	84.81%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3717	3	18.75%	13	81.25%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3717	9	16.07%	47	83.93%	0	0.00%
Total	3717	290	16.69%	1447	83.26%	1	0.06%
060 Lawrenceville D							
Polling	4872	256	24.64%	781	75.17%	2	0.19%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura						
	Reg. Voters	G. BLACK (I) R		F. SWANN (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	4872	23	18.70%	100	81.30%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4872	18	21.43%	66	78.57%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4872	6	25.00%	18	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4872	178	20.46%	691	79.43%	1	0.11%
Total	4872	481	22.48%	1656	77.38%	3	0.14%
061 Lawrenceville E							
Polling	2205	342	54.55%	284	45.30%	1	0.16%
Absentee by Mail	2205	54	54.00%	46	46.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2205	13	59.09%	9	40.91%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2205	2	66.67%	1	33.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2205	339	54.85%	279	45.15%	0	0.00%
Total	2205	750	54.74%	619	45.18%	1	0.07%
062 Pinckneyville L							
Polling	2233	764	69.71%	332	30.29%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2233	81	60.90%	51	38.35%	1	0.75%
Advance in Person	2233	224	58.03%	162	41.97%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2233	1	50.00%	1	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2233	28	75.68%	9	24.32%	0	0.00%
Total	2233	1098	66.38%	555	33.56%	1	0.06%
063 Pinckneyville M							
Polling	2515	832	73.05%	306	26.87%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	2515	102	58.96%	71	41.04%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2515	294	64.19%	164	35.81%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2515	4	57.14%	3	42.86%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2515	13	68.42%	6	31.58%	0	0.00%
Total	2515	1245	69.32%	550	30.62%	1	0.06%
064 Garners D							
Polling	3127	236	27.60%	618	72.28%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	3127	30	26.09%	85	73.91%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3127	176	28.21%	448	71.79%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3127	1	12.50%	6	75.00%	1	12.50%
Advance in Person 2	3127	62	21.23%	230	78.77%	0	0.00%
Total	3127	505	26.66%	1387	73.23%	2	0.11%
065 Berkshire H							
Polling	1717	159	35.57%	288	64.43%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1717	27	46.55%	31	53.45%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1717	98	30.43%	224	69.57%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1717	1	9.09%	10	90.91%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1717	5	14.29%	30	85.71%	0	0.00%
Total	1717	290	33.22%	583	66.78%	0	0.00%
066 Pinckneyville O							
Polling	3267	180	23.62%	579	75.98%	3	0.39%
Absentee by Mail	3267	17	22.08%	59	76.62%	1	1.30%
Advance in Person	3267	135	21.57%	490	78.27%	1	0.16%
Provisional	3267	6	19.35%	25	80.65%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3267	4	14.29%	24	85.71%	0	0.00%
Total	3267	342	22.44%	1177	77.23%	5	0.33%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura

	Reg. Voters	G. BLACK (I) R		F. SWANN (D)		Write-In Votes	
067 Pucketts E							
Polling	4771	573	50.18%	567	49.65%	2	0.18%
Absentee by Mail	4771	83	45.11%	101	54.89%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4771	623	51.45%	586	48.39%	2	0.17%
Provisional	4771	13	48.15%	14	51.85%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4771	60	37.04%	102	62.96%	0	0.00%
Total	4771	1352	49.60%	1370	50.26%	4	0.15%
068 Cates I							
Polling	3395	644	55.23%	522	44.77%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3395	64	44.76%	77	53.85%	2	1.40%
Advance in Person	3395	89	44.95%	109	55.05%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3395	3	37.50%	5	62.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3395	279	42.53%	377	57.47%	0	0.00%
Total	3395	1079	49.70%	1090	50.21%	2	0.09%
069 Cates J							
Polling	3266	513	46.17%	597	53.74%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	3266	42	34.71%	79	65.29%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3266	224	35.73%	402	64.11%	1	0.16%
Provisional	3266	5	38.46%	8	61.54%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3266	64	30.05%	149	69.95%	0	0.00%
Total	3266	848	40.67%	1235	59.23%	2	0.10%
070 Goodwins B							
Polling	3604	726	61.11%	461	38.80%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	3604	86	56.58%	66	43.42%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3604	122	59.51%	83	40.49%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3604	4	57.14%	3	42.86%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3604	383	55.83%	302	44.02%	1	0.15%
Total	3604	1321	59.03%	915	40.88%	2	0.09%
071 Lawrenceville F							
Polling	3698	259	29.17%	628	70.72%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	3698	28	18.79%	121	81.21%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3698	23	41.07%	33	58.93%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3698	3	20.00%	12	80.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3698	214	20.56%	827	79.44%	0	0.00%
Total	3698	527	24.52%	1621	75.43%	1	0.05%
072 Martins E							
Polling	5934	140	11.73%	1054	88.27%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5934	33	17.55%	155	82.45%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5934	53	7.68%	636	92.17%	1	0.14%
Provisional	5934	6	10.34%	52	89.66%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5934	24	9.76%	222	90.24%	0	0.00%
Total	5934	256	10.77%	2119	89.18%	1	0.04%
073 Pinckneyville P							
Polling	2048	453	51.48%	426	48.41%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	2048	56	47.46%	62	52.54%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2048	92	32.97%	187	67.03%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2048	5	71.43%	2	28.57%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura						
	Reg. Voters	G. BLACK (I) R		F. SWANN (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	2048	13	56.52%	10	43.48%	0	0.00%
Total	2048	619	47.36%	687	52.56%	1	0.08%
074 Pinckneyville Q							
Polling	1725	93	15.82%	494	84.01%	1	0.17%
Absentee by Mail	1725	0	0.00%	35	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1725	25	13.44%	161	86.56%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1725	1	8.33%	11	91.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1725	3	8.33%	33	91.67%	0	0.00%
Total	1725	122	14.24%	734	85.65%	1	0.12%
075 Rockbridge C							
Polling	4722	265	22.16%	929	77.68%	2	0.17%
Absentee by Mail	4722	40	20.20%	158	79.80%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4722	51	31.10%	113	68.90%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4722	3	17.65%	14	82.35%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4722	235	16.33%	1204	83.67%	0	0.00%
Total	4722	594	19.71%	2418	80.23%	2	0.07%
076 Rockbridge D							
Polling	3359	238	29.38%	572	70.62%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3359	38	26.76%	104	73.24%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3359	6	16.67%	30	83.33%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3359	5	19.23%	21	80.77%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3359	292	26.40%	813	73.51%	1	0.09%
Total	3359	579	27.31%	1540	72.64%	1	0.05%
077 Goodwins C							
Polling	5106	517	38.38%	829	61.54%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	5106	84	39.81%	126	59.72%	1	0.47%
Advance in Person	5106	66	23.57%	214	76.43%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5106	4	21.05%	15	78.95%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5106	290	34.81%	543	65.19%	0	0.00%
Total	5106	961	35.72%	1727	64.20%	2	0.07%
078 Baycreek K							
Polling	3536	459	49.46%	469	50.54%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3536	74	47.13%	83	52.87%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3536	21	58.33%	15	41.67%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3536	4	33.33%	8	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3536	538	46.95%	608	53.05%	0	0.00%
Total	3536	1096	48.09%	1183	51.91%	0	0.00%
079 Cates K							
Polling	2531	564	59.06%	390	40.84%	1	0.10%
Absentee by Mail	2531	41	58.57%	29	41.43%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2531	64	53.33%	56	46.67%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2531	2	25.00%	6	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2531	240	50.21%	238	49.79%	0	0.00%
Total	2531	911	55.86%	719	44.08%	1	0.06%
080 Baycreek C							
Polling	5755	776	47.87%	844	52.07%	1	0.06%
Absentee by Mail	5755	73	38.02%	119	61.98%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura

	Reg. Voters	G. BLACK (I) R		F. SWANN (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	5755	48	48.00%	52	52.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5755	4	33.33%	8	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5755	563	31.61%	1217	68.33%	1	0.06%
Total	5755	1464	39.50%	2240	60.44%	2	0.05%
081 Cates L							
Polling	3751	510	45.74%	603	54.08%	2	0.18%
Absentee by Mail	3751	57	40.14%	85	59.86%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3751	11	23.91%	35	76.09%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3751	2	20.00%	8	80.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3751	409	40.50%	600	59.41%	1	0.10%
Total	3751	989	42.57%	1331	57.30%	3	0.13%
082 Duluth E							
Polling	4580	381	32.90%	774	66.84%	3	0.26%
Absentee by Mail	4580	51	31.68%	110	68.32%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4580	292	29.86%	685	70.04%	1	0.10%
Provisional	4580	5	22.73%	17	77.27%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4580	32	29.91%	75	70.09%	0	0.00%
Total	4580	761	31.37%	1661	68.47%	4	0.16%
083 Goodwins D							
Polling	3985	638	50.51%	622	49.25%	3	0.24%
Absentee by Mail	3985	64	45.39%	77	54.61%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3985	39	37.50%	65	62.50%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3985	7	35.00%	13	65.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3985	339	44.72%	419	55.28%	0	0.00%
Total	3985	1087	47.55%	1196	52.32%	3	0.13%
084 Lawrenceville G							
Polling	2783	224	29.13%	544	70.74%	1	0.13%
Absentee by Mail	2783	26	27.96%	67	72.04%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2783	3	8.11%	34	91.89%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2783	6	28.57%	15	71.43%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2783	135	24.50%	416	75.50%	0	0.00%
Total	2783	394	26.78%	1076	73.15%	1	0.07%
085 Lawrenceville H							
Polling	3899	654	54.68%	542	45.32%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3899	85	53.46%	74	46.54%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3899	134	56.78%	101	42.80%	1	0.42%
Provisional	3899	7	58.33%	5	41.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3899	398	51.55%	374	48.45%	0	0.00%
Total	3899	1278	53.81%	1096	46.15%	1	0.04%
086 Martins F							
Polling	2401	189	28.55%	472	71.30%	1	0.15%
Absentee by Mail	2401	20	22.99%	67	77.01%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2401	61	19.24%	255	80.44%	1	0.32%
Provisional	2401	2	16.67%	10	83.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2401	22	22.45%	76	77.55%	0	0.00%
Total	2401	294	25.00%	880	74.83%	2	0.17%
087 Pinckneyville S							

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura						
	Reg. Voters	G. BLACK (I) R		F. SWANN (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	3013	326	34.57%	616	65.32%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	3013	66	44.90%	81	55.10%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3013	152	33.12%	307	66.88%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3013	3	27.27%	8	72.73%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3013	23	38.33%	37	61.67%	0	0.00%
Total	3013	570	35.19%	1049	64.75%	1	0.06%
088 Rockbridge E							
Polling	4199	308	27.33%	818	72.58%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	4199	20	16.67%	100	83.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4199	59	37.11%	100	62.89%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4199	5	12.82%	34	87.18%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4199	139	16.95%	681	83.05%	0	0.00%
Total	4199	531	23.44%	1733	76.51%	1	0.04%
089 Sugar Hill E							
Polling	3061	584	62.86%	343	36.92%	2	0.22%
Absentee by Mail	3061	56	46.67%	63	52.50%	1	0.83%
Advance in Person	3061	118	59.60%	80	40.40%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3061	14	63.64%	8	36.36%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3061	317	53.91%	270	45.92%	1	0.17%
Total	3061	1089	58.64%	764	41.14%	4	0.22%
090 Lawrenceville I							
Polling	3140	363	39.41%	555	60.26%	3	0.33%
Absentee by Mail	3140	46	36.80%	79	63.20%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3140	62	43.97%	79	56.03%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3140	1	11.11%	8	88.89%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3140	205	39.05%	320	60.95%	0	0.00%
Total	3140	677	39.34%	1041	60.49%	3	0.17%
091 Baycreek D							
Polling	4157	676	56.38%	523	43.62%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4157	63	38.18%	101	61.21%	1	0.61%
Advance in Person	4157	21	56.76%	16	43.24%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4157	6	54.55%	5	45.45%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4157	563	44.86%	692	55.14%	0	0.00%
Total	4157	1329	49.83%	1337	50.13%	1	0.04%
092 Berkshire J							
Polling	2595	505	62.35%	305	37.65%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2595	56	60.22%	37	39.78%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2595	440	54.79%	362	45.08%	1	0.12%
Provisional	2595	2	28.57%	5	71.43%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2595	36	47.37%	40	52.63%	0	0.00%
Total	2595	1039	58.08%	749	41.87%	1	0.06%
093 Cates M							
Polling	3794	802	62.71%	475	37.14%	2	0.16%
Absentee by Mail	3794	85	44.27%	107	55.73%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3794	75	60.48%	49	39.52%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3794	4	50.00%	4	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3794	550	56.53%	421	43.27%	2	0.21%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura						
	Reg. Voters	G. BLACK (I) R		F. SWANN (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	3794	1516	58.85%	1056	40.99%	4	0.16%
094 Cates N							
Polling	2445	544	67.24%	265	32.76%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2445	73	68.22%	33	30.84%	1	0.93%
Advance in Person	2445	15	60.00%	10	40.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2445	1	20.00%	4	80.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2445	418	64.21%	233	35.79%	0	0.00%
Total	2445	1051	65.81%	545	34.13%	1	0.06%
095 Duluth F							
Polling	5413	298	23.54%	967	76.38%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	5413	54	30.51%	123	69.49%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5413	97	16.41%	494	83.59%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5413	3	11.54%	23	88.46%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5413	97	22.25%	339	77.75%	0	0.00%
Total	5413	549	22.00%	1946	77.96%	1	0.04%
096 Duluth G							
Polling	2775	541	59.45%	368	40.44%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	2775	61	57.55%	45	42.45%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2775	155	46.97%	175	53.03%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2775	4	36.36%	7	63.64%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2775	211	60.81%	136	39.19%	0	0.00%
Total	2775	972	57.04%	731	42.90%	1	0.06%
097 Goodwins E							
Polling	4950	809	54.01%	685	45.73%	4	0.27%
Absentee by Mail	4950	76	33.63%	150	66.37%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4950	45	27.27%	120	72.73%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4950	3	17.65%	14	82.35%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4950	433	46.26%	503	53.74%	0	0.00%
Total	4950	1366	48.06%	1472	51.79%	4	0.14%
098 Goodwins F							
Polling	2904	418	47.99%	452	51.89%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	2904	53	40.46%	78	59.54%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2904	26	40.63%	37	57.81%	1	1.56%
Provisional	2904	2	16.67%	9	75.00%	1	8.33%
Advance in Person 2	2904	255	44.74%	314	55.09%	1	0.18%
Total	2904	754	45.75%	890	54.00%	4	0.24%
099 Pinckneyville T							
Polling	2874	362	42.44%	490	57.44%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	2874	72	49.66%	73	50.34%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2874	153	32.76%	314	67.24%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2874	3	30.00%	7	70.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2874	16	36.36%	28	63.64%	0	0.00%
Total	2874	606	39.89%	912	60.04%	1	0.07%
100 Suwanee B							
Polling	4085	498	58.45%	353	41.43%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	4085	50	37.59%	83	62.41%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4085	8	26.67%	22	73.33%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura						
	Reg. Voters	G. BLACK (I) R		F. SWANN (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	4085	3	50.00%	3	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4085	831	56.34%	644	43.66%	0	0.00%
Total	4085	1390	55.69%	1105	44.27%	1	0.04%
101 Pinckneyville U							
Polling	2007	375	46.24%	435	53.64%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	2007	28	38.36%	45	61.64%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2007	83	29.02%	203	70.98%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2007	1	14.29%	6	85.71%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2007	16	50.00%	16	50.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2007	503	41.60%	705	58.31%	1	0.08%
102 Pucketts B							
Polling	2741	531	66.38%	268	33.50%	1	0.13%
Absentee by Mail	2741	44	52.38%	40	47.62%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2741	549	67.03%	270	32.97%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2741	8	72.73%	3	27.27%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2741	44	69.84%	19	30.16%	0	0.00%
Total	2741	1176	66.18%	600	33.76%	1	0.06%
103 Berkshire L							
Polling	2915	233	26.12%	658	73.77%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	2915	36	30.25%	83	69.75%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2915	77	23.19%	255	76.81%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2915	2	11.76%	15	88.24%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2915	44	24.18%	137	75.27%	1	0.55%
Total	2915	392	25.42%	1148	74.45%	2	0.13%
104 Rockbridge F							
Polling	5313	194	18.93%	830	80.98%	1	0.10%
Absentee by Mail	5313	55	26.57%	152	73.43%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5313	6	15.38%	33	84.62%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5313	3	15.79%	16	84.21%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5313	401	19.19%	1689	80.81%	0	0.00%
Total	5313	659	19.50%	2720	80.47%	1	0.03%
105 Sugar Hill F							
Polling	3687	769	62.12%	468	37.80%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	3687	53	49.53%	54	50.47%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3687	51	41.80%	71	58.20%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3687	6	40.00%	9	60.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3687	412	60.15%	273	39.85%	0	0.00%
Total	3687	1291	59.58%	875	40.38%	1	0.05%
106 Suwanee C							
Polling	4823	704	58.86%	492	41.14%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4823	83	40.89%	119	58.62%	1	0.49%
Advance in Person	4823	53	50.96%	51	49.04%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4823	20	38.46%	32	61.54%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4823	651	53.76%	560	46.24%	0	0.00%
Total	4823	1511	54.63%	1254	45.34%	1	0.04%
107 Cates O							
Polling	1342	287	59.05%	199	40.95%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura						
	Reg. Voters	G. BLACK (I) R		F. SWANN (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	1342	21	53.85%	18	46.15%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1342	95	51.91%	88	48.09%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1342	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1342	58	43.61%	75	56.39%	0	0.00%
Total	1342	461	54.69%	382	45.31%	0	0.00%
108 Baycreek E							
Polling	2863	448	49.45%	458	50.55%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2863	40	40.82%	58	59.18%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2863	12	40.00%	18	60.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2863	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2863	241	36.91%	412	63.09%	0	0.00%
Total	2863	742	43.88%	949	56.12%	0	0.00%
109 Berkshire M							
Polling	1638	329	57.52%	240	41.96%	3	0.52%
Absentee by Mail	1638	26	48.15%	28	51.85%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1638	229	54.78%	188	44.98%	1	0.24%
Provisional	1638	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1638	21	55.26%	17	44.74%	0	0.00%
Total	1638	606	55.80%	476	43.83%	4	0.37%
110 Martins G							
Polling	2187	202	30.47%	461	69.53%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2187	31	41.89%	43	58.11%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2187	4	11.76%	30	88.24%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2187	2	22.22%	7	77.78%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2187	79	23.03%	264	76.97%	0	0.00%
Total	2187	318	28.32%	805	71.68%	0	0.00%
111 Duluth H							
Polling	2662	335	46.08%	390	53.65%	2	0.28%
Absentee by Mail	2662	90	50.00%	90	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2662	260	45.14%	316	54.86%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2662	4	80.00%	1	20.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2662	62	53.45%	54	46.55%	0	0.00%
Total	2662	751	46.82%	851	53.05%	2	0.12%
112 Berkshire N							
Polling	2836	378	59.81%	254	40.19%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2836	68	53.54%	59	46.46%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2836	681	57.18%	510	42.82%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2836	2	50.00%	2	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2836	27	71.05%	11	28.95%	0	0.00%
Total	2836	1156	58.03%	836	41.97%	0	0.00%
113 Pinckneyville V							
Polling	1572	166	43.68%	213	56.05%	1	0.26%
Absentee by Mail	1572	21	33.87%	41	66.13%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1572	108	31.86%	231	68.14%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1572	7	46.67%	8	53.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1572	3	30.00%	7	70.00%	0	0.00%
Total	1572	305	37.84%	500	62.03%	1	0.12%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura							
	Reg. Voters	G. BLACK (I) R		F. SWANN (D)		Write-In Votes		
114 Pinckneyville W								
Polling	3885	568	47.02%	638	52.81%	2	0.17%	
Absentee by Mail	3885	58	40.56%	85	59.44%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person	3885	280	39.33%	431	60.53%	1	0.14%	
Provisional	3885	6	31.58%	13	68.42%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person 2	3885	20	43.48%	26	56.52%	0	0.00%	
Total	3885	932	43.80%	1193	56.06%	3	0.14%	
115 Martins H								
Polling	4487	434	33.96%	842	65.88%	2	0.16%	
Absentee by Mail	4487	85	39.17%	131	60.37%	1	0.46%	
Advance in Person	4487	53	23.35%	174	76.65%	0	0.00%	
Provisional	4487	2	13.33%	13	86.67%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person 2	4487	234	32.23%	492	67.77%	0	0.00%	
Total	4487	808	32.81%	1652	67.07%	3	0.12%	
116 Martins I								
Polling	3518	228	24.52%	699	75.16%	3	0.32%	
Absentee by Mail	3518	54	33.75%	106	66.25%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person	3518	56	17.28%	268	82.72%	0	0.00%	
Provisional	3518	2	11.76%	15	88.24%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person 2	3518	56	21.71%	202	78.29%	0	0.00%	
Total	3518	396	23.45%	1290	76.38%	3	0.18%	
117 Martins J								
Polling	3809	195	18.99%	830	80.82%	2	0.19%	
Absentee by Mail	3809	21	17.50%	99	82.50%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person	3809	50	14.04%	306	85.96%	0	0.00%	
Provisional	3809	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person 2	3809	57	21.59%	207	78.41%	0	0.00%	
Total	3809	323	18.07%	1462	81.81%	2	0.11%	
118 Garners F								
Polling	1558	238	52.08%	219	47.92%	0	0.00%	
Absentee by Mail	1558	17	39.53%	26	60.47%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person	1558	246	52.23%	224	47.56%	1	0.21%	
Provisional	1558	2	50.00%	2	50.00%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person 2	1558	3	18.75%	13	81.25%	0	0.00%	
Total	1558	506	51.06%	484	48.84%	1	0.10%	
119 Berkshire O								
Polling	2422	505	58.58%	355	41.18%	2	0.23%	
Absentee by Mail	2422	63	60.00%	42	40.00%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person	2422	292	60.71%	189	39.29%	0	0.00%	
Provisional	2422	5	55.56%	4	44.44%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person 2	2422	80	54.79%	66	45.21%	0	0.00%	
Total	2422	945	58.95%	656	40.92%	2	0.12%	
120 Berkshire P								
Polling	1339	160	41.67%	224	58.33%	0	0.00%	
Absentee by Mail	1339	20	31.75%	43	68.25%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person	1339	59	31.55%	128	68.45%	0	0.00%	
Provisional	1339	2	25.00%	6	75.00%	0	0.00%	

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura						
	Reg. Voters	G. BLACK (I) R		F. SWANN (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	1339	22	38.60%	35	61.40%	0	0.00%
Total	1339	263	37.63%	436	62.37%	0	0.00%
121 Pinckneyville X							
Polling	3198	598	55.52%	478	44.38%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	3198	96	44.65%	119	55.35%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3198	356	48.24%	382	51.76%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3198	2	40.00%	3	60.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3198	31	43.66%	40	56.34%	0	0.00%
Total	3198	1083	51.42%	1022	48.53%	1	0.05%
122 Rockbridge G							
Polling	5188	259	22.80%	876	77.11%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	5188	44	18.72%	191	81.28%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5188	39	36.45%	68	63.55%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5188	2	4.88%	39	95.12%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5188	297	18.79%	1284	81.21%	0	0.00%
Total	5188	641	20.68%	2458	79.29%	1	0.03%
123 Pinckneyville Y							
Polling	3018	156	20.34%	610	79.53%	1	0.13%
Absentee by Mail	3018	14	21.88%	50	78.13%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3018	75	17.08%	364	82.92%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3018	4	16.00%	21	84.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3018	18	29.03%	43	69.35%	1	1.61%
Total	3018	267	19.68%	1088	80.18%	2	0.15%
124 Goodwins G							
Polling	2448	234	31.20%	514	68.53%	2	0.27%
Absentee by Mail	2448	18	25.71%	52	74.29%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2448	18	25.71%	52	74.29%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2448	4	40.00%	6	60.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2448	107	26.03%	304	73.97%	0	0.00%
Total	2448	381	29.06%	928	70.79%	2	0.15%
125 Pinckneyville Z							
Polling	1806	614	70.25%	255	29.18%	5	0.57%
Absentee by Mail	1806	51	53.68%	44	46.32%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1806	193	62.87%	114	37.13%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1806	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1806	22	70.97%	9	29.03%	0	0.00%
Total	1806	882	67.38%	422	32.24%	5	0.38%
126 Pinckneyville A1							
Polling	2596	800	69.44%	351	30.47%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	2596	98	60.87%	63	39.13%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2596	279	58.86%	195	41.14%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2596	4	57.14%	3	42.86%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2596	23	47.92%	25	52.08%	0	0.00%
Total	2596	1204	65.36%	637	34.58%	1	0.05%
127 Lawrenceville J							
Polling	1959	259	41.98%	358	58.02%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1959	14	17.50%	66	82.50%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura							
	Reg. Voters	G. BLACK (I) R		F. SWANN (D)		Write-In Votes		
Advance in Person	1959	13	28.26%	33	71.74%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1959	1	16.67%	5	83.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1959	98	25.86%	280	73.88%	1	0.26%
Total	1959	385	34.13%	742	65.78%	1	0.09%
128 Goodwins H							
Polling	2561	458	55.38%	367	44.38%	2	0.24%
Absentee by Mail	2561	47	42.73%	63	57.27%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2561	20	38.46%	32	61.54%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2561	5	41.67%	7	58.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2561	235	43.93%	298	55.70%	2	0.37%
Total	2561	765	49.80%	767	49.93%	4	0.26%
129 Duncans B							
Polling	4655	1155	72.41%	440	27.59%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4655	90	60.40%	59	39.60%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4655	833	72.69%	313	27.31%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4655	4	66.67%	2	33.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4655	61	54.46%	51	45.54%	0	0.00%
Total	4655	2143	71.24%	865	28.76%	0	0.00%
130 Suwanee D							
Polling	4247	974	70.02%	414	29.76%	3	0.22%
Absentee by Mail	4247	90	53.25%	79	46.75%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4247	47	53.41%	41	46.59%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4247	7	46.67%	8	53.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4247	760	66.55%	382	33.45%	0	0.00%
Total	4247	1878	66.95%	924	32.94%	3	0.11%
131 Suwanee E							
Polling	3921	705	65.10%	378	34.90%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3921	87	46.77%	99	53.23%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3921	21	44.68%	26	55.32%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3921	14	66.67%	7	33.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3921	774	61.38%	487	38.62%	0	0.00%
Total	3921	1601	61.62%	997	38.38%	0	0.00%
132 Lawrenceville K							
Polling	2915	541	53.62%	468	46.38%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2915	53	48.62%	56	51.38%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2915	100	56.82%	75	42.61%	1	0.57%
Provisional	2915	1	20.00%	4	80.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2915	231	44.17%	292	55.83%	0	0.00%
Total	2915	926	50.82%	895	49.12%	1	0.05%
133 Harbins B							
Polling	4220	575	58.38%	409	41.52%	1	0.10%
Absentee by Mail	4220	45	46.88%	51	53.13%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4220	860	66.00%	443	34.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4220	2	50.00%	2	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4220	60	41.38%	85	58.62%	0	0.00%
Total	4220	1542	60.88%	990	39.08%	1	0.04%
134 Baycreek F							

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura						
	Reg. Voters	G. BLACK (I) R		F. SWANN (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	4119	490	40.70%	713	59.22%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	4119	63	35.80%	113	64.20%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4119	17	45.95%	20	54.05%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4119	1	10.00%	9	90.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4119	332	28.42%	836	71.58%	0	0.00%
Total	4119	903	34.80%	1691	65.16%	1	0.04%
135 Pucketts C							
Polling	2931	539	64.55%	295	35.33%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	2931	58	58.00%	42	42.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2931	454	63.06%	265	36.81%	1	0.14%
Provisional	2931	9	56.25%	7	43.75%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2931	34	45.33%	41	54.67%	0	0.00%
Total	2931	1094	62.66%	650	37.23%	2	0.11%
136 Hog Mountain C							
Polling	3832	734	60.91%	469	38.92%	2	0.17%
Absentee by Mail	3832	44	36.36%	77	63.64%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3832	375	57.87%	273	42.13%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3832	4	50.00%	4	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3832	119	53.85%	102	46.15%	0	0.00%
Total	3832	1276	57.92%	925	41.99%	2	0.09%
137 Rockycreek B							
Polling	4677	809	59.18%	556	40.67%	2	0.15%
Absentee by Mail	4677	51	39.84%	77	60.16%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4677	664	61.48%	416	38.52%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4677	2	25.00%	6	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4677	113	48.71%	119	51.29%	0	0.00%
Total	4677	1639	58.22%	1174	41.71%	2	0.07%
138 Duluth I							
Polling	4491	696	56.77%	530	43.23%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4491	149	49.50%	152	50.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4491	225	49.02%	234	50.98%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4491	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4491	410	60.65%	266	39.35%	0	0.00%
Total	4491	1484	55.66%	1182	44.34%	0	0.00%
139 Martins K							
Polling	4796	364	25.94%	1037	73.91%	2	0.14%
Absentee by Mail	4796	56	33.14%	113	66.86%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4796	32	15.69%	172	84.31%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4796	4	19.05%	17	80.95%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4796	134	16.96%	656	83.04%	0	0.00%
Total	4796	590	22.81%	1995	77.12%	2	0.08%
140 Suwanee H							
Polling	3480	733	71.51%	289	28.20%	3	0.29%
Absentee by Mail	3480	102	53.68%	87	45.79%	1	0.53%
Advance in Person	3480	30	58.82%	21	41.18%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3480	17	77.27%	5	22.73%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3480	787	71.74%	310	28.26%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura

	Reg. Voters	G. BLACK (I) R		F. SWANN (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	3480	1669	69.98%	712	29.85%	4	0.17%
141 Sugar Hill G							
Polling	2601	634	72.96%	234	26.93%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	2601	38	43.68%	49	56.32%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2601	73	56.15%	57	43.85%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2601	10	66.67%	5	33.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2601	366	63.76%	208	36.24%	0	0.00%
Total	2601	1121	66.93%	553	33.01%	1	0.06%
142 Suwanee F							
Polling	3598	639	59.50%	435	40.50%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3598	55	38.19%	89	61.81%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3598	24	34.29%	46	65.71%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3598	6	46.15%	7	53.85%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3598	437	51.65%	409	48.35%	0	0.00%
Total	3598	1161	54.08%	986	45.92%	0	0.00%
143 Lawrenceville L							
Polling	4355	575	43.59%	741	56.18%	3	0.23%
Absentee by Mail	4355	86	46.49%	99	53.51%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4355	21	39.62%	32	60.38%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4355	4	26.67%	11	73.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4355	425	38.60%	676	61.40%	0	0.00%
Total	4355	1111	41.56%	1559	58.32%	3	0.11%
144 Lawrenceville M							
Polling	5956	363	24.02%	1146	75.84%	2	0.13%
Absentee by Mail	5956	44	24.04%	139	75.96%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5956	60	22.73%	204	77.27%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5956	4	20.00%	16	80.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5956	208	16.16%	1078	83.76%	1	0.08%
Total	5956	679	20.80%	2583	79.11%	3	0.09%
145 Baycreek G							
Polling	5092	668	43.57%	865	56.43%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5092	64	36.78%	110	63.22%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5092	20	37.04%	34	62.96%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5092	3	23.08%	10	76.92%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5092	411	28.68%	1022	71.32%	0	0.00%
Total	5092	1166	36.36%	2041	63.64%	0	0.00%
146 Baycreek H							
Polling	5697	983	57.76%	715	42.01%	4	0.24%
Absentee by Mail	5697	92	43.19%	121	56.81%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5697	53	53.54%	46	46.46%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5697	2	22.22%	7	77.78%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5697	723	45.13%	878	54.81%	1	0.06%
Total	5697	1853	51.12%	1767	48.74%	5	0.14%
147 Baycreek I							
Polling	5162	533	42.23%	729	57.77%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5162	72	36.92%	123	63.08%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5162	20	37.04%	34	62.96%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura						
	Reg. Voters	G. BLACK (I) R		F. SWANN (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	5162	7	50.00%	7	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5162	526	35.04%	974	64.89%	1	0.07%
Total	5162	1158	38.27%	1867	61.70%	1	0.03%
148 Berkshire Q							
Polling	1644	297	56.25%	230	43.56%	1	0.19%
Absentee by Mail	1644	34	62.96%	20	37.04%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1644	210	52.90%	187	47.10%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1644	3	50.00%	3	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1644	15	53.57%	13	46.43%	0	0.00%
Total	1644	559	55.18%	453	44.72%	1	0.10%
149 Goodwins I							
Polling	2341	510	58.29%	365	41.71%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2341	64	50.39%	62	48.82%	1	0.79%
Advance in Person	2341	22	25.88%	63	74.12%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2341	3	42.86%	4	57.14%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2341	233	51.10%	223	48.90%	0	0.00%
Total	2341	832	53.68%	717	46.26%	1	0.06%
150 Duluth K							
Polling	2694	115	21.22%	426	78.60%	1	0.18%
Absentee by Mail	2694	14	25.45%	41	74.55%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2694	72	18.85%	309	80.89%	1	0.26%
Provisional	2694	3	30.00%	7	70.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2694	4	10.53%	34	89.47%	0	0.00%
Total	2694	208	20.25%	817	79.55%	2	0.19%
151 Harbins C							
Polling	2369	666	70.25%	281	29.64%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	2369	43	56.58%	33	43.42%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2369	170	56.86%	128	42.81%	1	0.33%
Provisional	2369	5	83.33%	1	16.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2369	121	43.53%	157	56.47%	0	0.00%
Total	2369	1005	62.54%	600	37.34%	2	0.12%
152 Rockycreek C							
Polling	4504	763	56.86%	577	43.00%	2	0.15%
Absentee by Mail	4504	72	54.14%	61	45.86%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4504	720	59.21%	495	40.71%	1	0.08%
Provisional	4504	4	66.67%	2	33.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4504	87	41.43%	123	58.57%	0	0.00%
Total	4504	1646	56.62%	1258	43.27%	3	0.10%
153 Lawrenceville N							
Polling	2343	292	48.50%	308	51.16%	2	0.33%
Absentee by Mail	2343	39	50.00%	39	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2343	6	26.09%	17	73.91%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2343	4	21.05%	15	78.95%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2343	197	37.96%	322	62.04%	0	0.00%
Total	2343	538	43.35%	701	56.49%	2	0.16%
154 Pucketts D							
Polling	3166	568	65.51%	299	34.49%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Commissioner of Agriculture / Comisionado de Agricultura						
	Reg. Voters	G. BLACK (I) R		F. SWANN (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	3166	77	54.61%	64	45.39%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3166	598	69.13%	266	30.75%	1	0.12%
Provisional	3166	10	62.50%	6	37.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3166	53	67.95%	25	32.05%	0	0.00%
Total	3166	1306	66.40%	660	33.55%	1	0.05%
155 Suwanee G							
Polling	3451	629	55.08%	513	44.92%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3451	54	39.71%	82	60.29%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3451	35	40.70%	51	59.30%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3451	6	40.00%	9	60.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3451	333	44.22%	419	55.64%	1	0.13%
Total	3451	1057	49.58%	1074	50.38%	1	0.05%
156 Baycreek J							
Polling	4038	464	39.19%	718	60.64%	2	0.17%
Absentee by Mail	4038	48	30.00%	112	70.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4038	16	31.37%	35	68.63%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4038	3	33.33%	6	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4038	344	30.15%	797	69.85%	0	0.00%
Total	4038	875	34.38%	1668	65.54%	2	0.08%
Total							
Polling	525568	73374	48.37%	78133	51.51%	178	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	525568	8348	41.48%	11767	58.46%	12	0.06%
Advance in Person	525568	28901	46.95%	32624	53.00%	29	0.05%
Advance in Person 2	525568	28890	39.42%	44363	60.54%	26	0.04%
Provisional	525568	706	32.62%	1456	67.28%	2	0.09%
Total	525568	140219	45.41%	168343	54.51%	247	0.08%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros

	Reg. Voters	J. BECK (R)		J. LAWS (D)		D. FOSTER (L)		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide									
001 Harbins A									
Polling	6123	778	50.59%	694	45.12%	65	4.23%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	6123	73	37.82%	114	59.07%	6	3.11%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	6123	638	56.81%	461	41.05%	24	2.14%	0	0.00%
Provisional	6123	2	12.50%	11	68.75%	3	18.75%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	6123	305	38.03%	478	59.60%	17	2.12%	2	0.25%
Total	6123	1796	48.91%	1758	47.88%	115	3.13%	3	0.08%
002 Rockbridge A									
Polling	3653	153	18.82%	640	78.72%	20	2.46%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3653	29	21.32%	105	77.21%	2	1.47%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3653	30	25.42%	85	72.03%	3	2.54%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3653	32	39.51%	47	58.02%	2	2.47%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3653	191	17.77%	864	80.37%	20	1.86%	0	0.00%
Total	3653	435	19.57%	1741	78.32%	47	2.11%	0	0.00%
003 Dacula									
Polling	4478	480	43.13%	594	53.37%	39	3.50%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4478	29	22.48%	98	75.97%	2	1.55%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4478	572	47.99%	590	49.50%	30	2.52%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4478	4	50.00%	4	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4478	59	25.21%	167	71.37%	8	3.42%	0	0.00%
Total	4478	1144	42.75%	1453	54.30%	79	2.95%	0	0.00%
004 Suwanee A									
Polling	1551	183	48.28%	177	46.70%	19	5.01%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1551	24	55.81%	19	44.19%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1551	13	36.11%	23	63.89%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1551	10	66.67%	4	26.67%	1	6.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1551	168	42.86%	214	54.59%	10	2.55%	0	0.00%
Total	1551	398	46.01%	437	50.52%	30	3.47%	0	0.00%
005 Baycreek A									
Polling	2555	515	58.99%	321	36.77%	37	4.24%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2555	57	54.81%	46	44.23%	1	0.96%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2555	19	54.29%	14	40.00%	2	5.71%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2555	17	60.71%	10	35.71%	1	3.57%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2555	328	46.79%	360	51.36%	13	1.85%	0	0.00%
Total	2555	936	53.76%	751	43.14%	54	3.10%	0	0.00%
006 Goodwins A									
Polling	3583	475	41.05%	626	54.11%	54	4.67%	2	0.17%
Absentee by Mail	3583	52	40.63%	73	57.03%	3	2.34%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3583	28	26.17%	75	70.09%	4	3.74%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3583	4	23.53%	13	76.47%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3583	254	38.02%	391	58.53%	23	3.44%	0	0.00%
Total	3583	813	39.14%	1178	56.72%	84	4.04%	2	0.10%
007 Duluth A									
Polling	4599	703	50.61%	621	44.71%	64	4.61%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	4599	76	34.55%	134	60.91%	10	4.55%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4599	278	35.28%	490	62.18%	20	2.54%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros										
	Reg. Voters	J. BECK (R)		J. LAWS (D)		D. FOSTER (L)		Write-In Votes			
Provisional	4599	5	41.67%	6	50.00%	1	8.33%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	4599	244	45.35%	274	50.93%	19	3.53%	1	0.19%		
Total	4599	1306	44.32%	1525	51.75%	114	3.87%	2	0.07%		
008 Duncans A											
Polling	4278	868	67.71%	356	27.77%	58	4.52%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	4278	71	54.20%	57	43.51%	3	2.29%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	4278	878	69.19%	366	28.84%	24	1.89%	1	0.08%		
Provisional	4278	6	46.15%	4	30.77%	3	23.08%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	4278	95	54.91%	75	43.35%	3	1.73%	0	0.00%		
Total	4278	1918	66.88%	858	29.92%	91	3.17%	1	0.03%		
009 Pucketts A											
Polling	4844	711	64.64%	337	30.64%	52	4.73%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	4844	103	57.87%	74	41.57%	1	0.56%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	4844	1225	68.09%	523	29.07%	51	2.83%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	4844	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	4844	32	58.18%	19	34.55%	3	5.45%	1	1.82%		
Total	4844	2075	66.17%	953	30.39%	107	3.41%	1	0.03%		
010 Cates A											
Polling	2209	399	63.33%	206	32.70%	25	3.97%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	2209	94	59.12%	62	38.99%	3	1.89%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2209	15	62.50%	7	29.17%	2	8.33%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2209	1	25.00%	2	50.00%	1	25.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2209	333	55.13%	260	43.05%	11	1.82%	0	0.00%		
Total	2209	842	59.25%	537	37.79%	42	2.96%	0	0.00%		
011 Berkshire A											
Polling	2247	223	34.79%	405	63.18%	13	2.03%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	2247	26	27.08%	67	69.79%	3	3.13%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2247	82	24.77%	243	73.41%	6	1.81%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2247	1	8.33%	10	83.33%	1	8.33%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2247	31	49.21%	30	47.62%	2	3.17%	0	0.00%		
Total	2247	363	31.76%	755	66.05%	25	2.19%	0	0.00%		
012 Berkshire B											
Polling	5010	187	20.75%	675	74.92%	38	4.22%	1	0.11%		
Absentee by Mail	5010	54	31.03%	112	64.37%	8	4.60%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	5010	349	23.97%	1054	72.39%	52	3.57%	1	0.07%		
Provisional	5010	2	8.70%	21	91.30%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	5010	17	21.79%	57	73.08%	4	5.13%	0	0.00%		
Total	5010	609	23.14%	1919	72.91%	102	3.88%	2	0.08%		
013 Duncans C											
Polling	2828	743	74.00%	222	22.11%	39	3.88%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	2828	52	58.43%	34	38.20%	3	3.37%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2828	526	68.58%	226	29.47%	15	1.96%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2828	5	71.43%	2	28.57%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2828	44	55.00%	33	41.25%	3	3.75%	0	0.00%		
Total	2828	1370	70.36%	517	26.55%	60	3.08%	0	0.00%		
014 Garners A											
Polling	3302	571	57.79%	353	35.73%	63	6.38%	1	0.10%		

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros

	Reg. Voters	J. BECK (R)		J. LAWS (D)		D. FOSTER (L)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	3302	70	52.24%	61	45.52%	3	2.24%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3302	554	54.74%	434	42.89%	24	2.37%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3302	4	44.44%	3	33.33%	2	22.22%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3302	44	38.94%	64	56.64%	4	3.54%	1	0.88%
Total	3302	1243	55.10%	915	40.56%	96	4.26%	2	0.09%
015 Lawrenceville A									
Polling	3300	293	40.98%	393	54.97%	29	4.06%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3300	71	48.30%	73	49.66%	3	2.04%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3300	6	37.50%	10	62.50%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3300	0	0.00%	3	75.00%	1	25.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3300	353	47.32%	377	50.54%	16	2.14%	0	0.00%
Total	3300	723	44.41%	856	52.58%	49	3.01%	0	0.00%
016 Lawrenceville B									
Polling	4520	367	35.70%	628	61.09%	33	3.21%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4520	51	28.98%	123	69.89%	2	1.14%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4520	11	24.44%	34	75.56%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4520	4	33.33%	8	66.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4520	375	26.21%	1027	71.77%	29	2.03%	0	0.00%
Total	4520	808	30.01%	1820	67.61%	64	2.38%	0	0.00%
017 Martins A									
Polling	3019	162	19.78%	619	75.58%	38	4.64%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3019	18	18.56%	79	81.44%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3019	47	18.65%	200	79.37%	5	1.98%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3019	3	25.00%	9	75.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3019	50	16.03%	258	82.69%	4	1.28%	0	0.00%
Total	3019	280	18.77%	1165	78.08%	47	3.15%	0	0.00%
018 Martins B									
Polling	2796	266	32.40%	530	64.56%	23	2.80%	2	0.24%
Absentee by Mail	2796	26	27.96%	66	70.97%	1	1.08%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2796	14	14.89%	76	80.85%	4	4.26%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2796	3	14.29%	17	80.95%	1	4.76%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2796	135	29.87%	304	67.26%	13	2.88%	0	0.00%
Total	2796	444	29.98%	993	67.05%	42	2.84%	2	0.14%
019 Martins C									
Polling	2349	340	41.06%	462	55.80%	26	3.14%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2349	32	42.67%	41	54.67%	2	2.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2349	80	39.80%	119	59.20%	2	1.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2349	2	20.00%	8	80.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2349	92	37.25%	145	58.70%	10	4.05%	0	0.00%
Total	2349	546	40.12%	775	56.94%	40	2.94%	0	0.00%
020 Pinckneyville A									
Polling	2603	131	18.56%	532	75.35%	42	5.95%	1	0.14%
Absentee by Mail	2603	18	21.18%	62	72.94%	5	5.88%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2603	44	11.31%	336	86.38%	9	2.31%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2603	6	31.58%	12	63.16%	1	5.26%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2603	6	10.53%	51	89.47%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2603	205	16.32%	993	79.06%	57	4.54%	1	0.08%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros

	Reg. Voters	J. BECK (R)		J. LAWS (D)		D. FOSTER (L)		Write-In Votes	
021 Pinckneyville B									
Polling	3216	149	22.54%	490	74.13%	21	3.18%	1	0.15%
Absentee by Mail	3216	27	23.08%	87	74.36%	3	2.56%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3216	106	18.34%	447	77.34%	25	4.33%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3216	8	21.62%	29	78.38%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3216	4	14.81%	23	85.19%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	3216	294	20.70%	1076	75.77%	49	3.45%	1	0.07%
022 Pinckneyville C									
Polling	1796	412	51.95%	336	42.37%	45	5.67%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1796	54	45.38%	64	53.78%	1	0.84%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1796	118	39.20%	174	57.81%	9	2.99%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1796	1	20.00%	3	60.00%	1	20.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1796	21	46.67%	23	51.11%	1	2.22%	0	0.00%
Total	1796	606	47.98%	600	47.51%	57	4.51%	0	0.00%
023 Pinckneyville D									
Polling	2042	98	19.22%	395	77.45%	17	3.33%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2042	15	23.44%	46	71.88%	3	4.69%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2042	54	19.29%	217	77.50%	9	3.21%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2042	1	6.67%	14	93.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2042	6	15.38%	33	84.62%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2042	174	19.16%	705	77.64%	29	3.19%	0	0.00%
024 Sugar Hill A									
Polling	4001	629	59.01%	388	36.40%	49	4.60%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4001	53	40.77%	75	57.69%	2	1.54%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4001	362	54.11%	294	43.95%	11	1.64%	2	0.30%
Provisional	4001	10	50.00%	10	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4001	109	49.10%	111	50.00%	2	0.90%	0	0.00%
Total	4001	1163	55.20%	878	41.67%	64	3.04%	2	0.09%
025 Sugar Hill B									
Polling	4835	808	54.78%	594	40.27%	71	4.81%	2	0.14%
Absentee by Mail	4835	74	46.84%	78	49.37%	6	3.80%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4835	221	50.34%	203	46.24%	15	3.42%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4835	14	70.00%	5	25.00%	1	5.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4835	311	52.27%	265	44.54%	19	3.19%	0	0.00%
Total	4835	1428	53.14%	1145	42.61%	112	4.17%	2	0.07%
026 Hog Mountain A									
Polling	4467	550	42.05%	708	54.13%	50	3.82%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4467	51	32.08%	105	66.04%	3	1.89%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4467	139	37.27%	225	60.32%	9	2.41%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4467	7	31.82%	15	68.18%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4467	198	34.14%	368	63.45%	14	2.41%	0	0.00%
Total	4467	945	38.70%	1421	58.19%	76	3.11%	0	0.00%
027 Hog Mountain B									
Polling	4211	825	60.04%	503	36.61%	46	3.35%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4211	80	47.90%	83	49.70%	4	2.40%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4211	480	58.97%	313	38.45%	21	2.58%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4211	7	58.33%	5	41.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros								
	Reg. Voters	J. BECK (R)		J. LAWS (D)		D. FOSTER (L)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	4211	153	44.35%	186	53.91%	6	1.74%	0	0.00%
Total	4211	1545	56.97%	1090	40.19%	77	2.84%	0	0.00%
028 Rockycreek A									
Polling	5149	842	56.55%	595	39.96%	51	3.43%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	5149	62	48.06%	67	51.94%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5149	868	59.33%	563	38.48%	31	2.12%	1	0.07%
Provisional	5149	3	50.00%	3	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5149	57	33.73%	111	65.68%	1	0.59%	0	0.00%
Total	5149	1832	56.27%	1339	41.12%	83	2.55%	2	0.06%
029 Cates B									
Polling	1559	342	61.51%	179	32.19%	34	6.12%	1	0.18%
Absentee by Mail	1559	33	55.93%	26	44.07%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1559	95	55.56%	68	39.77%	8	4.68%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1559	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1559	139	60.17%	84	36.36%	8	3.46%	0	0.00%
Total	1559	611	59.96%	357	35.03%	50	4.91%	1	0.10%
030 Cates C									
Polling	2599	294	39.36%	435	58.23%	18	2.41%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2599	36	42.35%	49	57.65%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2599	8	33.33%	16	66.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2599	1	12.50%	7	87.50%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2599	238	31.56%	503	66.71%	13	1.72%	0	0.00%
Total	2599	577	35.66%	1010	62.42%	31	1.92%	0	0.00%
031 Hog Mountain D									
Polling	2958	520	57.33%	344	37.93%	42	4.63%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	2958	37	35.24%	63	60.00%	5	4.76%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2958	210	61.76%	118	34.71%	12	3.53%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2958	8	66.67%	4	33.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2958	159	46.90%	169	49.85%	11	3.24%	0	0.00%
Total	2958	934	54.84%	698	40.99%	70	4.11%	1	0.06%
032 Berkshire D									
Polling	2684	421	51.66%	350	42.94%	43	5.28%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	2684	52	40.31%	72	55.81%	5	3.88%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2684	338	46.30%	370	50.68%	22	3.01%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2684	4	44.44%	4	44.44%	1	11.11%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2684	38	45.78%	43	51.81%	2	2.41%	0	0.00%
Total	2684	853	48.30%	839	47.51%	73	4.13%	1	0.06%
033 Berkshire E									
Polling	1866	369	58.11%	240	37.80%	25	3.94%	1	0.16%
Absentee by Mail	1866	37	44.05%	46	54.76%	1	1.19%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1866	251	46.92%	266	49.72%	18	3.36%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1866	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1866	21	58.33%	15	41.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	1866	678	52.52%	568	44.00%	44	3.41%	1	0.08%
034 Berkshire F									
Polling	2003	239	37.64%	370	58.27%	26	4.09%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2003	35	43.75%	41	51.25%	4	5.00%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros										
	Reg. Voters	J. BECK (R)		J. LAWS (D)		D. FOSTER (L)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	2003	61	24.02%	182	71.65%	11	4.33%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2003	2	18.18%	7	63.64%	2	18.18%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2003	28	36.84%	47	61.84%	1	1.32%	0	0.00%
Total	2003	365	34.56%	647	61.27%	44	4.17%	0	0.00%
035 Cates D - 04									
Polling	2605	430	51.44%	366	43.78%	40	4.78%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2605	44	44.00%	53	53.00%	3	3.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2605	83	46.89%	87	49.15%	7	3.95%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2605	8	72.73%	3	27.27%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2605	224	44.62%	259	51.59%	18	3.59%	1	0.20%
Total	2605	789	48.52%	768	47.23%	68	4.18%	1	0.06%
035 Cates D - 07									
Polling	585	105	54.40%	83	43.01%	5	2.59%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	585	4	14.81%	22	81.48%	1	3.70%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	585	19	26.39%	50	69.44%	3	4.17%	0	0.00%
Provisional	585	2	66.67%	1	33.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	585	25	36.23%	42	60.87%	2	2.90%	0	0.00%
Total	585	155	42.58%	198	54.40%	11	3.02%	0	0.00%
036 Cates E									
Polling	2744	489	51.80%	422	44.70%	33	3.50%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2744	47	40.17%	68	58.12%	2	1.71%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2744	219	45.44%	247	51.24%	16	3.32%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2744	2	22.22%	7	77.78%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2744	180	52.63%	156	45.61%	6	1.75%	0	0.00%
Total	2744	937	49.47%	900	47.52%	57	3.01%	0	0.00%
037 Pinckneyville E									
Polling	2183	132	19.41%	528	77.65%	20	2.94%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2183	7	13.21%	45	84.91%	1	1.89%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2183	33	13.10%	207	82.14%	12	4.76%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2183	1	8.33%	10	83.33%	1	8.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2183	6	27.27%	16	72.73%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2183	179	17.57%	806	79.10%	34	3.34%	0	0.00%
038 Pinckneyville F									
Polling	2301	165	26.23%	434	69.00%	29	4.61%	1	0.16%
Absentee by Mail	2301	24	26.67%	60	66.67%	6	6.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2301	83	25.94%	224	70.00%	13	4.06%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2301	2	33.33%	3	50.00%	1	16.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2301	6	16.67%	29	80.56%	1	2.78%	0	0.00%
Total	2301	280	25.90%	750	69.38%	50	4.63%	1	0.09%
039 Duncans D									
Polling	6085	1203	66.06%	543	29.82%	75	4.12%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	6085	108	50.70%	88	41.31%	17	7.98%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	6085	1112	69.54%	451	28.21%	35	2.19%	1	0.06%
Provisional	6085	6	40.00%	8	53.33%	1	6.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	6085	100	59.88%	65	38.92%	2	1.20%	0	0.00%
Total	6085	2529	66.29%	1155	30.28%	130	3.41%	1	0.03%
040 Pinckneyville H									

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros								
	Reg. Voters	J. BECK (R)		J. LAWS (D)		D. FOSTER (L)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	4126	668	48.65%	664	48.36%	40	2.91%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	4126	69	30.53%	150	66.37%	7	3.10%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4126	464	43.90%	570	53.93%	21	1.99%	2	0.19%
Provisional	4126	6	46.15%	7	53.85%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4126	32	39.51%	48	59.26%	1	1.23%	0	0.00%
Total	4126	1239	45.05%	1439	52.33%	69	2.51%	3	0.11%
041 Baycreek B									
Polling	5349	579	35.28%	1012	61.67%	49	2.99%	1	0.06%
Absentee by Mail	5349	41	19.81%	163	78.74%	3	1.45%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5349	11	21.57%	39	76.47%	1	1.96%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5349	1	4.17%	23	95.83%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5349	380	26.82%	1018	71.84%	19	1.34%	0	0.00%
Total	5349	1012	30.30%	2255	67.51%	72	2.16%	1	0.03%
042 Lawrenceville C									
Polling	2761	515	58.26%	322	36.43%	46	5.20%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	2761	45	45.45%	49	49.49%	5	5.05%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2761	189	59.25%	119	37.30%	11	3.45%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2761	4	80.00%	1	20.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2761	177	49.72%	173	48.60%	6	1.69%	0	0.00%
Total	2761	930	55.92%	664	39.93%	68	4.09%	1	0.06%
043 Martins D									
Polling	2059	97	16.58%	475	81.20%	13	2.22%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2059	19	26.03%	52	71.23%	2	2.74%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2059	31	14.29%	182	83.87%	4	1.84%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2059	2	14.29%	12	85.71%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2059	15	15.63%	79	82.29%	2	2.08%	0	0.00%
Total	2059	164	16.65%	800	81.22%	21	2.13%	0	0.00%
044 Rockbridge B									
Polling	3761	241	25.00%	688	71.37%	35	3.63%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3761	27	25.23%	76	71.03%	4	3.74%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3761	23	20.00%	91	79.13%	1	0.87%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3761	1	7.69%	12	92.31%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3761	198	20.75%	736	77.15%	20	2.10%	0	0.00%
Total	3761	490	22.76%	1603	74.45%	60	2.79%	0	0.00%
045 Garners B									
Polling	2916	234	33.24%	448	63.64%	21	2.98%	1	0.14%
Absentee by Mail	2916	63	49.61%	61	48.03%	3	2.36%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2916	237	34.35%	431	62.46%	22	3.19%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2916	2	33.33%	4	66.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2916	107	28.69%	260	69.71%	6	1.61%	0	0.00%
Total	2916	643	33.84%	1204	63.37%	52	2.74%	1	0.05%
046 Cates F									
Polling	1689	437	65.62%	197	29.58%	32	4.80%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1689	31	44.93%	37	53.62%	1	1.45%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1689	20	40.00%	30	60.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1689	2	50.00%	2	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1689	198	58.24%	136	40.00%	6	1.76%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros										
	Reg. Voters	J. BECK (R)		J. LAWS (D)		D. FOSTER (L)		Write-In Votes	
Total	1689	688	60.94%	402	35.61%	39	3.45%	0	0.00%
047 Cates G									
Polling	2597	564	62.32%	307	33.92%	34	3.76%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2597	64	53.78%	52	43.70%	3	2.52%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2597	69	62.16%	40	36.04%	2	1.80%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2597	4	57.14%	2	28.57%	1	14.29%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2597	383	56.99%	280	41.67%	9	1.34%	0	0.00%
Total	2597	1084	59.76%	681	37.54%	49	2.70%	0	0.00%
048 Duluth B									
Polling	3332	474	52.49%	389	43.08%	40	4.43%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3332	67	39.64%	98	57.99%	4	2.37%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3332	282	43.05%	347	52.98%	26	3.97%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3332	5	50.00%	5	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3332	101	67.33%	47	31.33%	2	1.33%	0	0.00%
Total	3332	929	49.23%	886	46.95%	72	3.82%	0	0.00%
049 Garners C									
Polling	1908	269	49.91%	237	43.97%	33	6.12%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1908	18	31.03%	38	65.52%	2	3.45%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1908	197	40.29%	275	56.24%	17	3.48%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1908	1	16.67%	4	66.67%	0	0.00%	1	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	1908	14	30.43%	31	67.39%	1	2.17%	0	0.00%
Total	1908	499	43.85%	585	51.41%	53	4.66%	1	0.09%
050 Pinckneyville I									
Polling	2479	162	24.32%	478	71.77%	26	3.90%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2479	23	28.75%	56	70.00%	1	1.25%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2479	77	19.06%	319	78.96%	8	1.98%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2479	2	11.11%	15	83.33%	1	5.56%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2479	16	22.86%	51	72.86%	3	4.29%	0	0.00%
Total	2479	280	22.62%	919	74.23%	39	3.15%	0	0.00%
051 Pinckneyville J									
Polling	2543	71	13.32%	440	82.55%	21	3.94%	1	0.19%
Absentee by Mail	2543	19	26.03%	52	71.23%	2	2.74%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2543	49	11.92%	352	85.64%	10	2.43%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2543	1	3.85%	24	92.31%	1	3.85%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2543	4	11.43%	30	85.71%	1	2.86%	0	0.00%
Total	2543	144	13.36%	898	83.30%	35	3.25%	1	0.09%
052 Berkshire G									
Polling	2250	336	52.34%	277	43.15%	28	4.36%	1	0.16%
Absentee by Mail	2250	44	50.57%	42	48.28%	1	1.15%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2250	355	47.72%	368	49.46%	21	2.82%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2250	3	60.00%	2	40.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2250	11	36.67%	18	60.00%	0	0.00%	1	3.33%
Total	2250	749	49.67%	707	46.88%	50	3.32%	2	0.13%
053 Cates H									
Polling	3622	278	28.51%	655	67.18%	41	4.21%	1	0.10%
Absentee by Mail	3622	47	36.43%	82	63.57%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3622	15	31.25%	33	68.75%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros										
	Reg. Voters	J. BECK (R)		J. LAWS (D)		D. FOSTER (L)		Write-In Votes			
Provisional	3622	1	7.14%	13	92.86%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	3622	242	27.98%	605	69.94%	17	1.97%	1	0.12%		
Total	3622	583	28.71%	1388	68.34%	58	2.86%	2	0.10%		
054 Sugar Hill C											
Polling	4596	1027	64.88%	489	30.89%	67	4.23%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	4596	88	51.46%	79	46.20%	4	2.34%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	4596	269	59.51%	171	37.83%	12	2.65%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	4596	16	57.14%	12	42.86%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	4596	312	55.12%	244	43.11%	10	1.77%	0	0.00%		
Total	4596	1712	61.14%	995	35.54%	93	3.32%	0	0.00%		
055 Sugar Hill D											
Polling	4070	691	57.44%	453	37.66%	59	4.90%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	4070	64	50.00%	62	48.44%	2	1.56%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	4070	472	55.01%	356	41.49%	30	3.50%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	4070	17	56.67%	12	40.00%	1	3.33%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	4070	101	66.89%	45	29.80%	5	3.31%	0	0.00%		
Total	4070	1345	56.75%	928	39.16%	97	4.09%	0	0.00%		
056 Duluth C											
Polling	2243	319	46.57%	331	48.32%	35	5.11%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	2243	46	46.46%	48	48.48%	4	4.04%	1	1.01%		
Advance in Person	2243	188	40.26%	265	56.75%	14	3.00%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2243	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2243	20	28.17%	49	69.01%	2	2.82%	0	0.00%		
Total	2243	574	43.29%	696	52.49%	55	4.15%	1	0.08%		
057 Duluth D											
Polling	4404	396	37.93%	591	56.61%	57	5.46%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	4404	69	34.50%	121	60.50%	10	5.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	4404	321	32.42%	637	64.34%	31	3.13%	1	0.10%		
Provisional	4404	6	28.57%	14	66.67%	1	4.76%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	4404	67	46.21%	73	50.34%	5	3.45%	0	0.00%		
Total	4404	859	35.79%	1436	59.83%	104	4.33%	1	0.04%		
058 Pinckneyville K											
Polling	3547	178	20.37%	662	75.74%	31	3.55%	3	0.34%		
Absentee by Mail	3547	30	26.32%	79	69.30%	5	4.39%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	3547	69	14.32%	394	81.74%	19	3.94%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	3547	3	13.04%	19	82.61%	1	4.35%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	3547	22	25.00%	60	68.18%	6	6.82%	0	0.00%		
Total	3547	302	19.10%	1214	76.79%	62	3.92%	3	0.19%		
059 Pinckneyville N											
Polling	3717	166	15.73%	850	80.57%	39	3.70%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	3717	13	17.57%	61	82.43%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	3717	73	13.49%	460	85.03%	8	1.48%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	3717	2	11.76%	14	82.35%	1	5.88%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	3717	8	14.04%	48	84.21%	1	1.75%	0	0.00%		
Total	3717	262	15.02%	1433	82.17%	49	2.81%	0	0.00%		
060 Lawrenceville D											
Polling	4872	216	20.53%	782	74.33%	52	4.94%	2	0.19%		

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros

	Reg. Voters	J. BECK (R)		J. LAWS (D)		D. FOSTER (L)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	4872	20	16.13%	102	82.26%	2	1.61%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4872	16	18.60%	69	80.23%	1	1.16%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4872	4	16.67%	19	79.17%	1	4.17%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4872	173	19.79%	682	78.03%	18	2.06%	1	0.11%
Total	4872	429	19.86%	1654	76.57%	74	3.43%	3	0.14%
061 Lawrenceville E									
Polling	2205	331	52.71%	277	44.11%	19	3.03%	1	0.16%
Absentee by Mail	2205	54	53.47%	47	46.53%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2205	12	57.14%	9	42.86%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2205	2	66.67%	1	33.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2205	330	52.72%	280	44.73%	16	2.56%	0	0.00%
Total	2205	729	52.86%	614	44.53%	35	2.54%	1	0.07%
062 Pinckneyville L									
Polling	2233	714	65.09%	342	31.18%	41	3.74%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2233	71	52.59%	58	42.96%	5	3.70%	1	0.74%
Advance in Person	2233	204	52.71%	173	44.70%	10	2.58%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2233	1	50.00%	1	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2233	27	72.97%	9	24.32%	1	2.70%	0	0.00%
Total	2233	1017	61.34%	583	35.16%	57	3.44%	1	0.06%
063 Pinckneyville M									
Polling	2515	767	67.34%	329	28.88%	42	3.69%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	2515	91	52.60%	77	44.51%	5	2.89%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2515	280	61.00%	167	36.38%	12	2.61%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2515	4	57.14%	3	42.86%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2515	12	63.16%	6	31.58%	1	5.26%	0	0.00%
Total	2515	1154	64.22%	582	32.39%	60	3.34%	1	0.06%
064 Garners D									
Polling	3127	223	25.75%	618	71.36%	24	2.77%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	3127	22	19.30%	91	79.82%	1	0.88%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3127	165	26.23%	452	71.86%	12	1.91%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3127	0	0.00%	4	50.00%	3	37.50%	1	12.50%
Advance in Person 2	3127	57	19.52%	230	78.77%	5	1.71%	0	0.00%
Total	3127	467	24.46%	1395	73.07%	45	2.36%	2	0.10%
065 Berkshire H									
Polling	1717	145	32.29%	284	63.25%	20	4.45%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1717	23	38.98%	32	54.24%	4	6.78%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1717	92	28.22%	229	70.25%	5	1.53%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1717	1	9.09%	10	90.91%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1717	4	11.43%	30	85.71%	1	2.86%	0	0.00%
Total	1717	265	30.11%	585	66.48%	30	3.41%	0	0.00%
066 Pinckneyville O									
Polling	3267	153	19.95%	580	75.62%	33	4.30%	1	0.13%
Absentee by Mail	3267	17	22.08%	59	76.62%	1	1.30%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3267	121	19.30%	483	77.03%	23	3.67%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3267	6	19.35%	24	77.42%	1	3.23%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3267	2	7.14%	26	92.86%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	3267	299	19.54%	1172	76.60%	58	3.79%	1	0.07%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros

	Reg. Voters	J. BECK (R)		J. LAWS (D)		D. FOSTER (L)		Write-In Votes	
067 Pucketts E									
Polling	4771	553	47.92%	559	48.44%	41	3.55%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	4771	71	38.80%	109	59.56%	3	1.64%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4771	596	49.01%	587	48.27%	32	2.63%	1	0.08%
Provisional	4771	14	50.00%	13	46.43%	1	3.57%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4771	52	32.50%	103	64.38%	5	3.13%	0	0.00%
Total	4771	1286	46.92%	1371	50.02%	82	2.99%	2	0.07%
068 Cates I									
Polling	3395	602	51.63%	521	44.68%	43	3.69%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3395	61	42.36%	81	56.25%	1	0.69%	1	0.69%
Advance in Person	3395	84	42.21%	111	55.78%	4	2.01%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3395	2	25.00%	5	62.50%	1	12.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3395	258	39.39%	387	59.08%	10	1.53%	0	0.00%
Total	3395	1007	46.36%	1105	50.87%	59	2.72%	1	0.05%
069 Cates J									
Polling	3266	460	41.40%	597	53.74%	52	4.68%	2	0.18%
Absentee by Mail	3266	38	31.40%	78	64.46%	5	4.13%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3266	212	33.70%	404	64.23%	13	2.07%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3266	6	46.15%	7	53.85%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3266	58	27.23%	150	70.42%	5	2.35%	0	0.00%
Total	3266	774	37.09%	1236	59.22%	75	3.59%	2	0.10%
070 Goodwins B									
Polling	3604	677	56.75%	473	39.65%	43	3.60%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3604	77	50.99%	68	45.03%	6	3.97%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3604	122	59.22%	81	39.32%	3	1.46%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3604	3	42.86%	3	42.86%	1	14.29%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3604	365	52.75%	311	44.94%	15	2.17%	1	0.14%
Total	3604	1244	55.31%	936	41.62%	68	3.02%	1	0.04%
071 Lawrenceville F									
Polling	3698	240	27.15%	617	69.80%	27	3.05%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3698	21	14.09%	122	81.88%	6	4.03%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3698	21	36.84%	35	61.40%	1	1.75%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3698	3	20.00%	11	73.33%	1	6.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3698	200	19.01%	835	79.37%	17	1.62%	0	0.00%
Total	3698	485	22.48%	1620	75.10%	52	2.41%	0	0.00%
072 Martins E									
Polling	5934	113	9.50%	1048	88.07%	29	2.44%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5934	28	14.97%	155	82.89%	4	2.14%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5934	42	6.08%	634	91.75%	14	2.03%	1	0.14%
Provisional	5934	5	8.62%	47	81.03%	6	10.34%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5934	18	7.32%	224	91.06%	4	1.63%	0	0.00%
Total	5934	206	8.68%	2108	88.87%	57	2.40%	1	0.04%
073 Pinckneyville P									
Polling	2048	442	49.94%	420	47.46%	22	2.49%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	2048	54	45.76%	59	50.00%	5	4.24%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2048	85	30.04%	193	68.20%	5	1.77%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2048	4	57.14%	3	42.86%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros										
	Reg. Voters	J. BECK (R)		J. LAWS (D)		D. FOSTER (L)		Write-In Votes			
Advance in Person 2	2048	11	50.00%	10	45.45%	1	4.55%	0	0.00%		
Total	2048	596	45.32%	685	52.09%	33	2.51%	1	0.08%		
074 Pinckneyville Q											
Polling	1725	74	12.59%	494	84.01%	20	3.40%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	1725	0	0.00%	34	97.14%	1	2.86%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	1725	25	13.16%	159	83.68%	6	3.16%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	1725	0	0.00%	10	83.33%	2	16.67%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	1725	4	11.11%	31	86.11%	1	2.78%	0	0.00%		
Total	1725	103	11.96%	728	84.55%	30	3.48%	0	0.00%		
075 Rockbridge C											
Polling	4722	231	19.20%	919	76.39%	53	4.41%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	4722	42	21.21%	154	77.78%	2	1.01%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	4722	45	27.11%	116	69.88%	5	3.01%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	4722	1	6.25%	14	87.50%	1	6.25%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	4722	219	15.17%	1195	82.76%	30	2.08%	0	0.00%		
Total	4722	538	17.77%	2398	79.22%	91	3.01%	0	0.00%		
076 Rockbridge D											
Polling	3359	213	26.23%	578	71.18%	21	2.59%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	3359	32	22.54%	105	73.94%	5	3.52%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	3359	6	16.67%	27	75.00%	3	8.33%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	3359	5	19.23%	20	76.92%	1	3.85%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	3359	273	24.55%	813	73.11%	25	2.25%	1	0.09%		
Total	3359	529	24.86%	1543	72.51%	55	2.58%	1	0.05%		
077 Goodwins C											
Polling	5106	494	36.48%	806	59.53%	53	3.91%	1	0.07%		
Absentee by Mail	5106	74	35.24%	128	60.95%	7	3.33%	1	0.48%		
Advance in Person	5106	60	21.43%	214	76.43%	6	2.14%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	5106	6	31.58%	13	68.42%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	5106	282	33.41%	545	64.57%	17	2.01%	0	0.00%		
Total	5106	916	33.84%	1706	63.02%	83	3.07%	2	0.07%		
078 Baycreek K											
Polling	3536	425	45.60%	471	50.54%	36	3.86%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	3536	63	40.65%	90	58.06%	2	1.29%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	3536	19	52.78%	16	44.44%	1	2.78%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	3536	5	41.67%	7	58.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	3536	517	44.80%	610	52.86%	27	2.34%	0	0.00%		
Total	3536	1029	44.95%	1194	52.16%	66	2.88%	0	0.00%		
079 Cates K											
Polling	2531	528	54.89%	389	40.44%	44	4.57%	1	0.10%		
Absentee by Mail	2531	38	53.52%	32	45.07%	1	1.41%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2531	56	46.67%	61	50.83%	3	2.50%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2531	2	25.00%	6	75.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2531	218	45.70%	240	50.31%	19	3.98%	0	0.00%		
Total	2531	842	51.40%	728	44.44%	67	4.09%	1	0.06%		
080 Baycreek C											
Polling	5755	737	45.10%	844	51.65%	53	3.24%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	5755	69	35.75%	120	62.18%	4	2.07%	0	0.00%		

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros

	Reg. Voters	J. BECK (R)		J. LAWS (D)		D. FOSTER (L)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	5755	48	48.48%	50	50.51%	1	1.01%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5755	3	25.00%	9	75.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5755	537	29.90%	1224	68.15%	35	1.95%	0	0.00%
Total	5755	1394	37.33%	2247	60.18%	93	2.49%	0	0.00%
081 Cates L									
Polling	3751	486	43.43%	591	52.82%	40	3.57%	2	0.18%
Absentee by Mail	3751	54	38.03%	87	61.27%	1	0.70%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3751	11	23.91%	34	73.91%	1	2.17%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3751	1	10.00%	9	90.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3751	382	37.56%	610	59.98%	25	2.46%	0	0.00%
Total	3751	934	40.02%	1331	57.03%	67	2.87%	2	0.09%
082 Duluth E									
Polling	4580	340	29.23%	779	66.98%	44	3.78%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4580	47	29.01%	111	68.52%	4	2.47%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4580	262	26.68%	685	69.76%	35	3.56%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4580	4	18.18%	15	68.18%	3	13.64%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4580	30	28.04%	75	70.09%	2	1.87%	0	0.00%
Total	4580	683	28.04%	1665	68.35%	88	3.61%	0	0.00%
083 Goodwins D									
Polling	3985	588	46.12%	632	49.57%	53	4.16%	2	0.16%
Absentee by Mail	3985	59	41.55%	78	54.93%	5	3.52%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3985	38	36.19%	66	62.86%	1	0.95%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3985	7	35.00%	10	50.00%	3	15.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3985	324	42.58%	422	55.45%	15	1.97%	0	0.00%
Total	3985	1016	44.12%	1208	52.45%	77	3.34%	2	0.09%
084 Lawrenceville G									
Polling	2783	210	27.03%	542	69.76%	24	3.09%	1	0.13%
Absentee by Mail	2783	23	24.47%	69	73.40%	2	2.13%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2783	4	10.53%	31	81.58%	3	7.89%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2783	4	19.05%	17	80.95%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2783	127	23.05%	415	75.32%	9	1.63%	0	0.00%
Total	2783	368	24.85%	1074	72.52%	38	2.57%	1	0.07%
085 Lawrenceville H									
Polling	3899	604	50.04%	543	44.99%	60	4.97%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3899	79	49.38%	76	47.50%	5	3.13%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3899	130	55.08%	99	41.95%	7	2.97%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3899	7	58.33%	5	41.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3899	375	48.39%	384	49.55%	16	2.06%	0	0.00%
Total	3899	1195	50.00%	1107	46.32%	88	3.68%	0	0.00%
086 Martins F									
Polling	2401	170	25.45%	479	71.71%	19	2.84%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2401	17	19.54%	68	78.16%	2	2.30%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2401	57	17.70%	257	79.81%	7	2.17%	1	0.31%
Provisional	2401	3	25.00%	8	66.67%	1	8.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2401	20	20.20%	74	74.75%	5	5.05%	0	0.00%
Total	2401	267	22.47%	886	74.58%	34	2.86%	1	0.08%
087 Pinckneyville S									

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros

	Reg. Voters	J. BECK (R)		J. LAWS (D)		D. FOSTER (L)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	3013	305	32.34%	594	62.99%	44	4.67%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3013	53	36.55%	88	60.69%	4	2.76%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3013	137	29.46%	313	67.31%	15	3.23%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3013	2	18.18%	6	54.55%	3	27.27%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3013	22	36.67%	38	63.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	3013	519	31.96%	1039	63.98%	66	4.06%	0	0.00%
088 Rockbridge E									
Polling	4199	289	25.60%	796	70.50%	44	3.90%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4199	16	13.33%	101	84.17%	3	2.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4199	56	35.22%	98	61.64%	5	3.14%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4199	3	7.69%	33	84.62%	3	7.69%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4199	131	15.88%	682	82.67%	12	1.45%	0	0.00%
Total	4199	495	21.79%	1710	75.26%	67	2.95%	0	0.00%
089 Sugar Hill E									
Polling	3061	538	57.85%	344	36.99%	48	5.16%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3061	52	43.33%	65	54.17%	2	1.67%	1	0.83%
Advance in Person	3061	112	56.28%	82	41.21%	5	2.51%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3061	14	66.67%	7	33.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3061	305	51.69%	268	45.42%	17	2.88%	0	0.00%
Total	3061	1021	54.89%	766	41.18%	72	3.87%	1	0.05%
090 Lawrenceville I									
Polling	3140	329	35.57%	543	58.70%	53	5.73%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3140	40	32.00%	77	61.60%	8	6.40%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3140	61	42.66%	77	53.85%	5	3.50%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3140	1	11.11%	8	88.89%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3140	185	34.91%	337	63.58%	8	1.51%	0	0.00%
Total	3140	616	35.57%	1042	60.16%	74	4.27%	0	0.00%
091 Baycreek D									
Polling	4157	637	52.78%	522	43.25%	48	3.98%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4157	63	38.41%	100	60.98%	1	0.61%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4157	21	56.76%	15	40.54%	1	2.70%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4157	5	45.45%	5	45.45%	1	9.09%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4157	537	42.48%	699	55.30%	28	2.22%	0	0.00%
Total	4157	1263	47.07%	1341	49.98%	79	2.94%	0	0.00%
092 Berkshire J									
Polling	2595	475	58.43%	298	36.65%	40	4.92%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2595	49	52.69%	43	46.24%	1	1.08%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2595	407	50.50%	373	46.28%	26	3.23%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2595	2	28.57%	5	71.43%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2595	32	42.11%	43	56.58%	1	1.32%	0	0.00%
Total	2595	965	53.76%	762	42.45%	68	3.79%	0	0.00%
093 Cates M									
Polling	3794	740	57.68%	466	36.32%	77	6.00%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3794	80	41.24%	109	56.19%	5	2.58%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3794	69	55.20%	50	40.00%	6	4.80%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3794	4	50.00%	4	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3794	511	52.30%	433	44.32%	33	3.38%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros

	Reg. Voters	J. BECK (R)		J. LAWS (D)		D. FOSTER (L)		Write-In Votes	
Total	3794	1404	54.27%	1062	41.05%	121	4.68%	0	0.00%
094 Cates N									
Polling	2445	521	64.40%	265	32.76%	22	2.72%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	2445	68	62.96%	37	34.26%	3	2.78%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2445	13	52.00%	11	44.00%	1	4.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2445	1	20.00%	3	60.00%	1	20.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2445	400	61.16%	233	35.63%	19	2.91%	2	0.31%
Total	2445	1003	62.65%	549	34.29%	46	2.87%	3	0.19%
095 Duluth F									
Polling	5413	260	20.47%	975	76.77%	35	2.76%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5413	47	26.40%	128	71.91%	3	1.69%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5413	84	14.07%	500	83.75%	13	2.18%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5413	2	7.69%	21	80.77%	3	11.54%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5413	79	18.20%	343	79.03%	12	2.76%	0	0.00%
Total	5413	472	18.84%	1967	78.52%	66	2.63%	0	0.00%
096 Duluth G									
Polling	2775	510	55.62%	361	39.37%	45	4.91%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	2775	57	52.78%	46	42.59%	5	4.63%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2775	149	45.15%	172	52.12%	9	2.73%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2775	5	45.45%	6	54.55%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2775	196	56.32%	144	41.38%	8	2.30%	0	0.00%
Total	2775	917	53.50%	729	42.53%	67	3.91%	1	0.06%
097 Goodwins E									
Polling	4950	749	49.67%	683	45.29%	74	4.91%	2	0.13%
Absentee by Mail	4950	70	30.97%	150	66.37%	6	2.65%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4950	42	25.15%	122	73.05%	3	1.80%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4950	4	23.53%	13	76.47%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4950	404	42.89%	515	54.67%	23	2.44%	0	0.00%
Total	4950	1269	44.37%	1483	51.85%	106	3.71%	2	0.07%
098 Goodwins F									
Polling	2904	389	44.10%	453	51.36%	39	4.42%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	2904	55	42.31%	74	56.92%	1	0.77%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2904	25	39.06%	38	59.38%	1	1.56%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2904	2	16.67%	10	83.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2904	236	41.11%	323	56.27%	15	2.61%	0	0.00%
Total	2904	707	42.54%	898	54.03%	56	3.37%	1	0.06%
099 Pinckneyville T									
Polling	2874	331	38.71%	489	57.19%	34	3.98%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	2874	65	45.45%	73	51.05%	5	3.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2874	139	29.39%	318	67.23%	16	3.38%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2874	3	30.00%	6	60.00%	1	10.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2874	15	34.09%	28	63.64%	1	2.27%	0	0.00%
Total	2874	553	36.26%	914	59.93%	57	3.74%	1	0.07%
100 Suwanee B									
Polling	4085	463	54.22%	357	41.80%	34	3.98%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4085	50	36.76%	78	57.35%	8	5.88%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4085	6	20.00%	22	73.33%	2	6.67%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros										
	Reg. Voters	J. BECK (R)		J. LAWS (D)		D. FOSTER (L)		Write-In Votes			
Provisional	4085	3	50.00%	2	33.33%	1	16.67%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	4085	784	52.83%	654	44.07%	45	3.03%	1	0.07%		
Total	4085	1306	52.03%	1113	44.34%	90	3.59%	1	0.04%		
101 Pinckneyville U											
Polling	2007	354	43.44%	437	53.62%	24	2.94%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	2007	23	31.51%	49	67.12%	1	1.37%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2007	75	25.95%	207	71.63%	7	2.42%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2007	1	14.29%	6	85.71%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2007	15	46.88%	16	50.00%	1	3.13%	0	0.00%		
Total	2007	468	38.49%	715	58.80%	33	2.71%	0	0.00%		
102 Pucketts B											
Polling	2741	508	62.79%	274	33.87%	26	3.21%	1	0.12%		
Absentee by Mail	2741	42	50.00%	39	46.43%	3	3.57%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2741	529	64.04%	279	33.78%	18	2.18%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2741	8	72.73%	3	27.27%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2741	43	69.35%	19	30.65%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Total	2741	1130	63.06%	614	34.26%	47	2.62%	1	0.06%		
103 Berkshire L											
Polling	2915	224	24.86%	651	72.25%	26	2.89%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	2915	34	28.33%	83	69.17%	3	2.50%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2915	76	22.75%	254	76.05%	4	1.20%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2915	2	11.76%	15	88.24%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2915	45	24.59%	136	74.32%	2	1.09%	0	0.00%		
Total	2915	381	24.50%	1139	73.25%	35	2.25%	0	0.00%		
104 Rockbridge F											
Polling	5313	179	17.40%	818	79.49%	32	3.11%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	5313	48	23.19%	154	74.40%	5	2.42%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	5313	5	12.82%	32	82.05%	2	5.13%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	5313	3	15.79%	16	84.21%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	5313	366	17.37%	1701	80.73%	39	1.85%	1	0.05%		
Total	5313	601	17.67%	2721	80.01%	78	2.29%	1	0.03%		
105 Sugar Hill F											
Polling	3687	722	58.04%	459	36.90%	63	5.06%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	3687	48	44.86%	51	47.66%	8	7.48%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	3687	47	38.21%	71	57.72%	5	4.07%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	3687	6	40.00%	9	60.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	3687	385	56.12%	277	40.38%	24	3.50%	0	0.00%		
Total	3687	1208	55.54%	867	39.86%	100	4.60%	0	0.00%		
106 Suwanee C											
Polling	4823	662	55.12%	483	40.22%	56	4.66%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	4823	82	40.20%	114	55.88%	8	3.92%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	4823	48	46.15%	54	51.92%	2	1.92%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	4823	20	38.46%	31	59.62%	1	1.92%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	4823	625	51.57%	554	45.71%	33	2.72%	0	0.00%		
Total	4823	1437	51.82%	1236	44.57%	100	3.61%	0	0.00%		
107 Cates O											
Polling	1342	261	53.48%	206	42.21%	21	4.30%	0	0.00%		

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros										
	Reg. Voters	J. BECK (R)		J. LAWS (D)		D. FOSTER (L)		Write-In Votes			
Absentee by Mail	1342	21	53.85%	17	43.59%	1	2.56%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	1342	92	49.73%	89	48.11%	4	2.16%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	1342	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	1342	57	42.86%	73	54.89%	3	2.26%	0	0.00%		
Total	1342	431	50.89%	387	45.69%	29	3.42%	0	0.00%		
108 Baycreek E											
Polling	2863	428	46.72%	454	49.56%	34	3.71%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	2863	39	39.80%	56	57.14%	3	3.06%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2863	11	35.48%	19	61.29%	1	3.23%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2863	2	50.00%	2	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2863	235	35.82%	408	62.20%	13	1.98%	0	0.00%		
Total	2863	715	41.94%	939	55.07%	51	2.99%	0	0.00%		
109 Berkshire M											
Polling	1638	306	53.31%	244	42.51%	24	4.18%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	1638	26	47.27%	27	49.09%	2	3.64%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	1638	219	51.77%	188	44.44%	15	3.55%	1	0.24%		
Provisional	1638	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	1638	22	56.41%	17	43.59%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Total	1638	574	52.42%	479	43.74%	41	3.74%	1	0.09%		
110 Martins G											
Polling	2187	181	27.26%	458	68.98%	25	3.77%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	2187	26	35.14%	44	59.46%	4	5.41%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2187	4	11.76%	30	88.24%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2187	2	22.22%	7	77.78%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2187	73	20.92%	269	77.08%	7	2.01%	0	0.00%		
Total	2187	286	25.31%	808	71.50%	36	3.19%	0	0.00%		
111 Duluth H											
Polling	2662	336	45.78%	370	50.41%	26	3.54%	2	0.27%		
Absentee by Mail	2662	81	44.51%	97	53.30%	4	2.20%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2662	250	43.18%	321	55.44%	8	1.38%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2662	2	40.00%	2	40.00%	1	20.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2662	54	47.37%	57	50.00%	3	2.63%	0	0.00%		
Total	2662	723	44.80%	847	52.48%	42	2.60%	2	0.12%		
112 Berkshire N											
Polling	2836	355	55.82%	249	39.15%	32	5.03%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	2836	62	48.44%	59	46.09%	7	5.47%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2836	635	53.18%	518	43.38%	41	3.43%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2836	3	75.00%	1	25.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2836	28	73.68%	9	23.68%	1	2.63%	0	0.00%		
Total	2836	1083	54.15%	836	41.80%	81	4.05%	0	0.00%		
113 Pinckneyville V											
Polling	1572	150	39.58%	215	56.73%	14	3.69%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	1572	20	32.26%	38	61.29%	4	6.45%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	1572	98	29.08%	233	69.14%	6	1.78%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	1572	6	42.86%	8	57.14%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	1572	4	36.36%	7	63.64%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Total	1572	278	34.62%	501	62.39%	24	2.99%	0	0.00%		

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros										
	Reg. Voters	J. BECK (R)		J. LAWS (D)		D. FOSTER (L)		Write-In Votes			
114 Pinckneyville W											
Polling	3885	525	43.28%	631	52.02%	57	4.70%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	3885	55	38.19%	86	59.72%	3	2.08%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	3885	243	34.03%	447	62.61%	23	3.22%	1	0.14%		
Provisional	3885	5	25.00%	13	65.00%	2	10.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	3885	17	36.96%	29	63.04%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Total	3885	845	39.54%	1206	56.43%	85	3.98%	1	0.05%		
115 Martins H											
Polling	4487	416	32.20%	831	64.32%	45	3.48%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	4487	68	31.19%	142	65.14%	8	3.67%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	4487	52	22.91%	171	75.33%	4	1.76%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	4487	2	14.29%	11	78.57%	1	7.14%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	4487	226	31.17%	488	67.31%	11	1.52%	0	0.00%		
Total	4487	764	30.86%	1643	66.36%	69	2.79%	0	0.00%		
116 Martins I											
Polling	3518	217	23.28%	688	73.82%	26	2.79%	1	0.11%		
Absentee by Mail	3518	49	31.01%	109	68.99%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	3518	54	16.51%	266	81.35%	7	2.14%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	3518	1	5.88%	15	88.24%	1	5.88%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	3518	55	21.15%	199	76.54%	6	2.31%	0	0.00%		
Total	3518	376	22.20%	1277	75.38%	40	2.36%	1	0.06%		
117 Martins J											
Polling	3809	186	18.01%	801	77.54%	45	4.36%	1	0.10%		
Absentee by Mail	3809	17	14.05%	101	83.47%	3	2.48%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	3809	43	12.01%	296	82.68%	19	5.31%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	3809	0	0.00%	19	95.00%	1	5.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	3809	55	20.45%	210	78.07%	4	1.49%	0	0.00%		
Total	3809	301	16.71%	1427	79.23%	72	4.00%	1	0.06%		
118 Garners F											
Polling	1558	222	48.26%	211	45.87%	27	5.87%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	1558	16	39.02%	25	60.98%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	1558	239	50.53%	222	46.93%	11	2.33%	1	0.21%		
Provisional	1558	1	25.00%	2	50.00%	1	25.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	1558	3	18.75%	13	81.25%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Total	1558	481	48.39%	473	47.59%	39	3.92%	1	0.10%		
119 Berkshire O											
Polling	2422	472	54.50%	347	40.07%	47	5.43%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	2422	59	57.28%	41	39.81%	3	2.91%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2422	278	57.20%	198	40.74%	10	2.06%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2422	5	55.56%	4	44.44%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2422	74	50.68%	69	47.26%	3	2.05%	0	0.00%		
Total	2422	888	55.16%	659	40.93%	63	3.91%	0	0.00%		
120 Berkshire P											
Polling	1339	146	37.82%	225	58.29%	15	3.89%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	1339	18	28.57%	43	68.25%	2	3.17%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	1339	55	29.57%	126	67.74%	5	2.69%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	1339	2	25.00%	5	62.50%	1	12.50%	0	0.00%		

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros

	Reg. Voters	J. BECK (R)		J. LAWS (D)		D. FOSTER (L)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	1339	22	38.60%	34	59.65%	1	1.75%	0	0.00%
Total	1339	243	34.71%	433	61.86%	24	3.43%	0	0.00%
121 Pinckneyville X									
Polling	3198	553	51.30%	476	44.16%	48	4.45%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	3198	81	37.50%	130	60.19%	5	2.31%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3198	321	43.32%	400	53.98%	20	2.70%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3198	2	40.00%	3	60.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3198	28	38.89%	39	54.17%	5	6.94%	0	0.00%
Total	3198	985	46.64%	1048	49.62%	78	3.69%	1	0.05%
122 Rockbridge G									
Polling	5188	228	19.93%	877	76.66%	39	3.41%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5188	44	18.72%	183	77.87%	8	3.40%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5188	37	34.26%	66	61.11%	5	4.63%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5188	2	4.88%	36	87.80%	3	7.32%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5188	279	17.50%	1294	81.18%	21	1.32%	0	0.00%
Total	5188	590	18.90%	2456	78.67%	76	2.43%	0	0.00%
123 Pinckneyville Y									
Polling	3018	138	17.99%	605	78.88%	23	3.00%	1	0.13%
Absentee by Mail	3018	12	18.75%	51	79.69%	1	1.56%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3018	64	14.51%	367	83.22%	10	2.27%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3018	3	12.00%	22	88.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3018	17	27.87%	43	70.49%	0	0.00%	1	1.64%
Total	3018	234	17.23%	1088	80.12%	34	2.50%	2	0.15%
124 Goodwins G									
Polling	2448	225	29.68%	507	66.89%	26	3.43%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2448	15	21.43%	53	75.71%	2	2.86%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2448	14	20.00%	55	78.57%	1	1.43%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2448	3	30.00%	7	70.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2448	100	23.98%	306	73.38%	11	2.64%	0	0.00%
Total	2448	357	26.94%	928	70.04%	40	3.02%	0	0.00%
125 Pinckneyville Z									
Polling	1806	586	67.05%	247	28.26%	41	4.69%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1806	43	46.24%	47	50.54%	3	3.23%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1806	182	59.09%	118	38.31%	8	2.60%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1806	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1806	21	67.74%	9	29.03%	1	3.23%	0	0.00%
Total	1806	834	63.76%	421	32.19%	53	4.05%	0	0.00%
126 Pinckneyville A1									
Polling	2596	759	65.32%	360	30.98%	43	3.70%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2596	86	53.75%	68	42.50%	5	3.13%	1	0.63%
Advance in Person	2596	263	55.49%	200	42.19%	11	2.32%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2596	3	42.86%	4	57.14%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2596	20	41.67%	27	56.25%	1	2.08%	0	0.00%
Total	2596	1131	61.10%	659	35.60%	60	3.24%	1	0.05%
127 Lawrenceville J									
Polling	1959	234	37.86%	354	57.28%	30	4.85%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1959	18	22.22%	63	77.78%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros

	Reg. Voters	J. BECK (R)		J. LAWS (D)		D. FOSTER (L)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	1959	12	26.09%	32	69.57%	2	4.35%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1959	1	16.67%	4	66.67%	1	16.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1959	89	23.73%	275	73.33%	10	2.67%	1	0.27%
Total	1959	354	31.44%	728	64.65%	43	3.82%	1	0.09%
128 Goodwins H									
Polling	2561	424	51.15%	355	42.82%	49	5.91%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	2561	50	45.87%	59	54.13%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2561	19	36.54%	30	57.69%	3	5.77%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2561	1	8.33%	10	83.33%	1	8.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2561	217	40.41%	301	56.05%	19	3.54%	0	0.00%
Total	2561	711	46.20%	755	49.06%	72	4.68%	1	0.06%
129 Duncans B									
Polling	4655	1083	67.73%	441	27.58%	74	4.63%	1	0.06%
Absentee by Mail	4655	88	59.46%	59	39.86%	1	0.68%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4655	789	68.73%	317	27.61%	42	3.66%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4655	4	66.67%	2	33.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4655	59	52.68%	51	45.54%	2	1.79%	0	0.00%
Total	4655	2023	67.14%	870	28.87%	119	3.95%	1	0.03%
130 Suwanee D									
Polling	4247	928	66.33%	429	30.66%	41	2.93%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	4247	83	49.11%	82	48.52%	4	2.37%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4247	42	47.73%	41	46.59%	5	5.68%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4247	6	40.00%	9	60.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4247	729	63.84%	377	33.01%	35	3.06%	1	0.09%
Total	4247	1788	63.56%	938	33.35%	85	3.02%	2	0.07%
131 Suwanee E									
Polling	3921	662	61.13%	372	34.35%	49	4.52%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3921	83	44.39%	97	51.87%	7	3.74%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3921	20	42.55%	26	55.32%	1	2.13%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3921	13	61.90%	6	28.57%	2	9.52%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3921	730	57.94%	490	38.89%	40	3.17%	0	0.00%
Total	3921	1508	58.04%	991	38.14%	99	3.81%	0	0.00%
132 Lawrenceville K									
Polling	2915	508	50.25%	457	45.20%	46	4.55%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2915	45	41.28%	59	54.13%	5	4.59%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2915	95	53.67%	77	43.50%	5	2.82%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2915	1	20.00%	4	80.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2915	219	41.63%	293	55.70%	14	2.66%	0	0.00%
Total	2915	868	47.48%	890	48.69%	70	3.83%	0	0.00%
133 Harbins B									
Polling	4220	540	54.82%	400	40.61%	44	4.47%	1	0.10%
Absentee by Mail	4220	37	38.95%	52	54.74%	6	6.32%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4220	834	63.76%	437	33.41%	37	2.83%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4220	2	50.00%	2	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4220	59	40.97%	83	57.64%	2	1.39%	0	0.00%
Total	4220	1472	58.04%	974	38.41%	89	3.51%	1	0.04%
134 Baycreek F									

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros

	Reg. Voters	J. BECK (R)		J. LAWS (D)		D. FOSTER (L)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	4119	461	38.16%	711	58.86%	36	2.98%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4119	61	34.46%	116	65.54%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4119	14	37.84%	22	59.46%	1	2.70%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4119	1	10.00%	9	90.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4119	316	26.76%	843	71.38%	22	1.86%	0	0.00%
Total	4119	853	32.64%	1701	65.10%	59	2.26%	0	0.00%
135 Pucketts C									
Polling	2931	518	61.81%	274	32.70%	45	5.37%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	2931	53	53.00%	47	47.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2931	436	59.97%	262	36.04%	29	3.99%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2931	9	56.25%	7	43.75%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2931	31	40.26%	41	53.25%	5	6.49%	0	0.00%
Total	2931	1047	59.56%	631	35.89%	79	4.49%	1	0.06%
136 Hog Mountain C									
Polling	3832	702	57.49%	468	38.33%	51	4.18%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3832	42	35.00%	70	58.33%	8	6.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3832	353	54.06%	283	43.34%	17	2.60%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3832	5	55.56%	4	44.44%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3832	119	53.36%	100	44.84%	4	1.79%	0	0.00%
Total	3832	1221	54.85%	925	41.55%	80	3.59%	0	0.00%
137 Rockycreek B									
Polling	4677	764	55.69%	546	39.80%	61	4.45%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	4677	46	35.94%	80	62.50%	2	1.56%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4677	632	58.41%	422	39.00%	28	2.59%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4677	2	25.00%	6	75.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4677	107	45.92%	123	52.79%	3	1.29%	0	0.00%
Total	4677	1551	54.94%	1177	41.69%	94	3.33%	1	0.04%
138 Duluth I									
Polling	4491	672	54.46%	527	42.71%	35	2.84%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4491	140	46.82%	151	50.50%	8	2.68%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4491	212	45.99%	241	52.28%	8	1.74%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4491	3	75.00%	0	0.00%	1	25.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4491	393	58.05%	274	40.47%	10	1.48%	0	0.00%
Total	4491	1420	53.08%	1193	44.60%	62	2.32%	0	0.00%
139 Martins K									
Polling	4796	318	22.57%	1046	74.24%	43	3.05%	2	0.14%
Absentee by Mail	4796	50	29.59%	114	67.46%	5	2.96%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4796	22	10.73%	173	84.39%	10	4.88%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4796	4	18.18%	18	81.82%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4796	121	15.13%	665	83.13%	14	1.75%	0	0.00%
Total	4796	515	19.77%	2016	77.39%	72	2.76%	2	0.08%
140 Suwanee H									
Polling	3480	702	68.16%	286	27.77%	42	4.08%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3480	105	54.40%	80	41.45%	7	3.63%	1	0.52%
Advance in Person	3480	27	52.94%	24	47.06%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3480	17	77.27%	4	18.18%	1	4.55%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3480	764	69.27%	310	28.11%	28	2.54%	1	0.09%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros

	Reg. Voters	J. BECK (R)		J. LAWS (D)		D. FOSTER (L)		Write-In Votes	
Total	3480	1615	67.32%	704	29.35%	78	3.25%	2	0.08%
141 Sugar Hill G									
Polling	2601	606	69.10%	233	26.57%	37	4.22%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	2601	42	47.73%	45	51.14%	1	1.14%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2601	66	50.77%	62	47.69%	2	1.54%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2601	11	73.33%	4	26.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2601	352	61.01%	218	37.78%	7	1.21%	0	0.00%
Total	2601	1077	63.84%	562	33.31%	47	2.79%	1	0.06%
142 Suwanee F									
Polling	3598	584	54.33%	431	40.09%	60	5.58%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3598	46	32.17%	92	64.34%	4	2.80%	1	0.70%
Advance in Person	3598	23	32.86%	47	67.14%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3598	6	46.15%	6	46.15%	1	7.69%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3598	413	48.65%	417	49.12%	19	2.24%	0	0.00%
Total	3598	1072	49.86%	993	46.19%	84	3.91%	1	0.05%
143 Lawrenceville L									
Polling	4355	539	40.96%	725	55.09%	51	3.88%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	4355	75	40.98%	103	56.28%	5	2.73%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4355	18	33.96%	33	62.26%	2	3.77%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4355	4	26.67%	11	73.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4355	402	36.45%	669	60.65%	31	2.81%	1	0.09%
Total	4355	1038	38.88%	1541	57.72%	89	3.33%	2	0.07%
144 Lawrenceville M									
Polling	5956	326	21.49%	1137	74.95%	53	3.49%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	5956	38	20.65%	142	77.17%	4	2.17%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5956	53	20.00%	206	77.74%	6	2.26%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5956	4	20.00%	16	80.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5956	200	15.43%	1074	82.87%	21	1.62%	1	0.08%
Total	5956	621	18.92%	2575	78.46%	84	2.56%	2	0.06%
145 Baycreek G									
Polling	5092	638	41.46%	850	55.23%	51	3.31%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5092	59	33.91%	111	63.79%	3	1.72%	1	0.57%
Advance in Person	5092	19	35.19%	34	62.96%	1	1.85%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5092	2	15.38%	11	84.62%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5092	409	28.27%	1018	70.35%	20	1.38%	0	0.00%
Total	5092	1127	34.92%	2024	62.72%	75	2.32%	1	0.03%
146 Baycreek H									
Polling	5697	938	54.98%	717	42.03%	50	2.93%	1	0.06%
Absentee by Mail	5697	86	39.81%	121	56.02%	9	4.17%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5697	53	52.48%	46	45.54%	2	1.98%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5697	2	22.22%	7	77.78%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5697	695	43.03%	898	55.60%	22	1.36%	0	0.00%
Total	5697	1774	48.64%	1789	49.05%	83	2.28%	1	0.03%
147 Baycreek I									
Polling	5162	521	40.89%	708	55.57%	45	3.53%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5162	68	35.05%	124	63.92%	2	1.03%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5162	18	33.33%	34	62.96%	2	3.70%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros										
	Reg. Voters	J. BECK (R)		J. LAWS (D)		D. FOSTER (L)		Write-In Votes			
Provisional	5162	5	35.71%	8	57.14%	1	7.14%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	5162	511	33.66%	987	65.02%	18	1.19%	2	0.13%		
Total	5162	1123	36.77%	1861	60.94%	68	2.23%	2	0.07%		
148 Berkshire Q											
Polling	1644	279	52.15%	225	42.06%	31	5.79%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	1644	30	55.56%	23	42.59%	1	1.85%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	1644	197	49.13%	193	48.13%	11	2.74%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	1644	4	66.67%	2	33.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	1644	13	46.43%	13	46.43%	2	7.14%	0	0.00%		
Total	1644	523	51.07%	456	44.53%	45	4.39%	0	0.00%		
149 Goodwins I											
Polling	2341	482	54.96%	369	42.08%	26	2.96%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	2341	58	45.67%	66	51.97%	2	1.57%	1	0.79%		
Advance in Person	2341	21	24.71%	63	74.12%	1	1.18%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2341	3	42.86%	4	57.14%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2341	220	48.25%	227	49.78%	9	1.97%	0	0.00%		
Total	2341	784	50.52%	729	46.97%	38	2.45%	1	0.06%		
150 Duluth K											
Polling	2694	104	19.08%	419	76.88%	22	4.04%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	2694	12	21.82%	42	76.36%	1	1.82%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2694	66	17.37%	308	81.05%	5	1.32%	1	0.26%		
Provisional	2694	3	30.00%	7	70.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2694	3	7.89%	33	86.84%	2	5.26%	0	0.00%		
Total	2694	188	18.29%	809	78.70%	30	2.92%	1	0.10%		
151 Harbins C											
Polling	2369	659	68.93%	267	27.93%	29	3.03%	1	0.10%		
Absentee by Mail	2369	38	50.00%	33	43.42%	5	6.58%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2369	160	54.05%	128	43.24%	8	2.70%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2369	3	50.00%	2	33.33%	1	16.67%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2369	122	43.57%	155	55.36%	3	1.07%	0	0.00%		
Total	2369	982	60.84%	585	36.25%	46	2.85%	1	0.06%		
152 Rockycreek C											
Polling	4504	710	52.59%	580	42.96%	59	4.37%	1	0.07%		
Absentee by Mail	4504	59	44.70%	68	51.52%	5	3.79%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	4504	681	56.14%	500	41.22%	32	2.64%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	4504	4	66.67%	2	33.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	4504	83	39.15%	126	59.43%	3	1.42%	0	0.00%		
Total	4504	1537	52.76%	1276	43.80%	99	3.40%	1	0.03%		
153 Lawrenceville N											
Polling	2343	279	45.74%	298	48.85%	32	5.25%	1	0.16%		
Absentee by Mail	2343	35	45.45%	40	51.95%	2	2.60%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2343	5	21.74%	16	69.57%	2	8.70%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2343	5	25.00%	15	75.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2343	180	34.82%	324	62.67%	13	2.51%	0	0.00%		
Total	2343	504	40.42%	693	55.57%	49	3.93%	1	0.08%		
154 Pucketts D											
Polling	3166	538	61.49%	303	34.63%	34	3.89%	0	0.00%		

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Commissioner of Insurance / Comisionado de Seguros								
	Reg. Voters	J. BECK (R)		J. LAWS (D)		D. FOSTER (L)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	3166	79	55.63%	57	40.14%	6	4.23%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3166	583	67.17%	261	30.07%	23	2.65%	1	0.12%
Provisional	3166	8	50.00%	5	31.25%	3	18.75%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3166	54	69.23%	24	30.77%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	3166	1262	63.77%	650	32.84%	66	3.34%	1	0.05%
155 Suwanee G									
Polling	3451	590	51.35%	504	43.86%	54	4.70%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	3451	50	36.50%	86	62.77%	1	0.73%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3451	34	39.53%	50	58.14%	2	2.33%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3451	5	33.33%	8	53.33%	2	13.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3451	319	41.86%	426	55.91%	17	2.23%	0	0.00%
Total	3451	998	46.44%	1074	49.98%	76	3.54%	1	0.05%
156 Baycreek J									
Polling	4038	441	36.90%	711	59.50%	43	3.60%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4038	45	28.13%	111	69.38%	4	2.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4038	15	30.00%	34	68.00%	1	2.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4038	2	22.22%	7	77.78%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4038	322	27.83%	815	70.44%	20	1.73%	0	0.00%
Total	4038	825	32.09%	1678	65.27%	68	2.64%	0	0.00%
Total									
Polling	525568	68633	45.03%	77586	50.91%	6117	4.01%	74	0.05%
Absentee by Mail	525568	7639	37.92%	11955	59.35%	539	2.68%	10	0.05%
Advance in Person	525568	27267	44.13%	32829	53.13%	1679	2.72%	17	0.03%
Advance in Person 2	525568	27419	37.22%	44641	60.59%	1593	2.16%	23	0.03%
Provisional	525568	665	30.69%	1402	64.70%	98	4.52%	2	0.09%
Total	525568	131623	42.43%	168413	54.29%	10026	3.23%	126	0.04%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo						
	Reg. Voters	R. WOODS (I) R		O. THORNTON, JR. (D)		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide							
001 Harbins A							
Polling	6123	846	54.90%	693	44.97%	2	0.13%
Absentee by Mail	6123	75	38.86%	118	61.14%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	6123	656	58.68%	462	41.32%	0	0.00%
Provisional	6123	3	20.00%	12	80.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	6123	328	40.90%	473	58.98%	1	0.12%
Total	6123	1908	52.00%	1758	47.91%	3	0.08%
002 Rockbridge A							
Polling	3653	166	20.29%	652	79.71%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3653	30	22.22%	105	77.78%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3653	29	24.58%	89	75.42%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3653	32	39.51%	49	60.49%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3653	206	19.13%	871	80.87%	0	0.00%
Total	3653	463	20.77%	1766	79.23%	0	0.00%
003 Dacula							
Polling	4478	508	45.12%	617	54.80%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	4478	31	23.85%	99	76.15%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4478	601	50.46%	589	49.45%	1	0.08%
Provisional	4478	3	37.50%	5	62.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4478	64	26.78%	175	73.22%	0	0.00%
Total	4478	1207	44.80%	1485	55.12%	2	0.07%
004 Suwanee A							
Polling	1551	205	54.67%	170	45.33%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1551	28	65.12%	15	34.88%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1551	14	37.84%	23	62.16%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1551	11	68.75%	5	31.25%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1551	184	47.06%	207	52.94%	0	0.00%
Total	1551	442	51.28%	420	48.72%	0	0.00%
005 Baycreek A							
Polling	2555	558	63.84%	316	36.16%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2555	57	55.34%	46	44.66%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2555	18	51.43%	17	48.57%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2555	17	62.96%	10	37.04%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2555	342	48.72%	359	51.14%	1	0.14%
Total	2555	992	56.98%	748	42.96%	1	0.06%
006 Goodwins A							
Polling	3583	523	44.82%	642	55.01%	2	0.17%
Absentee by Mail	3583	57	44.53%	71	55.47%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3583	31	28.97%	76	71.03%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3583	5	29.41%	12	70.59%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3583	273	40.93%	391	58.62%	3	0.45%
Total	3583	889	42.62%	1192	57.14%	5	0.24%
007 Duluth A							
Polling	4599	762	55.26%	617	44.74%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4599	82	37.27%	137	62.27%	1	0.45%
Advance in Person	4599	295	37.53%	490	62.34%	1	0.13%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo						
	Reg. Voters	R. WOODS (I) R		O. THORNTON, JR. (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	4599	5	41.67%	7	58.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4599	263	49.07%	273	50.93%	0	0.00%
Total	4599	1407	47.97%	1524	51.96%	2	0.07%
008 Duncans A							
Polling	4278	921	72.12%	355	27.80%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	4278	75	58.14%	54	41.86%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4278	902	71.02%	368	28.98%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4278	6	46.15%	7	53.85%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4278	104	60.47%	68	39.53%	0	0.00%
Total	4278	2008	70.19%	852	29.78%	1	0.03%
009 Pucketts A							
Polling	4844	762	68.90%	342	30.92%	2	0.18%
Absentee by Mail	4844	106	59.22%	72	40.22%	1	0.56%
Advance in Person	4844	1299	72.05%	503	27.90%	1	0.06%
Provisional	4844	3	75.00%	1	25.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4844	32	59.26%	22	40.74%	0	0.00%
Total	4844	2202	69.99%	940	29.88%	4	0.13%
010 Cates A							
Polling	2209	424	66.67%	212	33.33%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2209	101	63.13%	59	36.88%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2209	18	72.00%	7	28.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2209	2	50.00%	2	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2209	349	57.50%	258	42.50%	0	0.00%
Total	2209	894	62.43%	538	37.57%	0	0.00%
011 Berkshire A							
Polling	2247	251	38.79%	396	61.21%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2247	30	31.25%	66	68.75%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2247	87	25.97%	248	74.03%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2247	2	16.67%	10	83.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2247	32	50.00%	32	50.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2247	402	34.84%	752	65.16%	0	0.00%
012 Berkshire B							
Polling	5010	234	25.88%	669	74.00%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	5010	59	33.71%	115	65.71%	1	0.57%
Advance in Person	5010	401	27.56%	1053	72.37%	1	0.07%
Provisional	5010	2	8.70%	21	91.30%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5010	21	27.27%	56	72.73%	0	0.00%
Total	5010	717	27.22%	1914	72.67%	3	0.11%
013 Duncans C							
Polling	2828	791	78.78%	213	21.22%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2828	61	70.11%	26	29.89%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2828	546	71.00%	222	28.87%	1	0.13%
Provisional	2828	5	71.43%	2	28.57%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2828	45	55.56%	36	44.44%	0	0.00%
Total	2828	1448	74.33%	499	25.62%	1	0.05%
014 Garners A							
Polling	3302	636	64.11%	356	35.89%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo						
	Reg. Voters	R. WOODS (I) R		O. THORNTON, JR. (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	3302	80	60.61%	50	37.88%	2	1.52%
Advance in Person	3302	594	58.99%	411	40.81%	2	0.20%
Provisional	3302	4	44.44%	5	55.56%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3302	51	45.13%	62	54.87%	0	0.00%
Total	3302	1365	60.59%	884	39.24%	4	0.18%
015 Lawrenceville A							
Polling	3300	328	46.07%	384	53.93%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3300	77	53.47%	67	46.53%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3300	6	37.50%	10	62.50%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3300	0	0.00%	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3300	369	49.13%	381	50.73%	1	0.13%
Total	3300	780	47.94%	846	52.00%	1	0.06%
016 Lawrenceville B							
Polling	4520	406	39.69%	617	60.31%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4520	55	31.25%	121	68.75%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4520	10	22.22%	35	77.78%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4520	4	33.33%	8	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4520	420	29.35%	1011	70.65%	0	0.00%
Total	4520	895	33.31%	1792	66.69%	0	0.00%
017 Martins A							
Polling	3019	195	23.52%	634	76.48%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3019	17	17.35%	81	82.65%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3019	52	20.47%	202	79.53%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3019	2	16.67%	10	83.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3019	51	16.56%	257	83.44%	0	0.00%
Total	3019	317	21.12%	1184	78.88%	0	0.00%
018 Martins B							
Polling	2796	288	34.62%	543	65.26%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	2796	30	32.97%	61	67.03%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2796	17	18.09%	77	81.91%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2796	5	23.81%	16	76.19%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2796	155	34.07%	300	65.93%	0	0.00%
Total	2796	495	33.15%	997	66.78%	1	0.07%
019 Martins C							
Polling	2349	362	43.41%	471	56.47%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	2349	34	45.95%	40	54.05%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2349	85	42.71%	114	57.29%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2349	2	20.00%	8	80.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2349	101	41.06%	145	58.94%	0	0.00%
Total	2349	584	42.85%	778	57.08%	1	0.07%
020 Pinckneyville A							
Polling	2603	163	23.22%	538	76.64%	1	0.14%
Absentee by Mail	2603	21	24.71%	64	75.29%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2603	54	13.92%	334	86.08%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2603	7	36.84%	12	63.16%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2603	5	8.77%	52	91.23%	0	0.00%
Total	2603	250	19.98%	1000	79.94%	1	0.08%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo						
	Reg. Voters	R. WOODS (I) R		O. THORNTON, JR. (D)		Write-In Votes	
021 Pinckneyville B							
Polling	3216	168	25.38%	493	74.47%	1	0.15%
Absentee by Mail	3216	34	29.31%	82	70.69%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3216	122	21.18%	454	78.82%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3216	7	20.00%	28	80.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3216	5	18.52%	22	81.48%	0	0.00%
Total	3216	336	23.73%	1079	76.20%	1	0.07%
022 Pinckneyville C							
Polling	1796	448	56.93%	339	43.07%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1796	61	51.26%	57	47.90%	1	0.84%
Advance in Person	1796	131	43.67%	169	56.33%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1796	2	40.00%	3	60.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1796	24	54.55%	20	45.45%	0	0.00%
Total	1796	666	53.07%	588	46.85%	1	0.08%
023 Pinckneyville D							
Polling	2042	115	22.50%	395	77.30%	1	0.20%
Absentee by Mail	2042	18	27.69%	47	72.31%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2042	61	21.94%	217	78.06%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2042	0	0.00%	14	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2042	5	13.16%	33	86.84%	0	0.00%
Total	2042	199	21.96%	706	77.92%	1	0.11%
024 Sugar Hill A							
Polling	4001	678	63.36%	392	36.64%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4001	55	42.31%	75	57.69%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4001	377	56.18%	293	43.67%	1	0.15%
Provisional	4001	11	55.00%	9	45.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4001	115	51.80%	107	48.20%	0	0.00%
Total	4001	1236	58.50%	876	41.46%	1	0.05%
025 Sugar Hill B							
Polling	4835	867	58.86%	605	41.07%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	4835	80	50.63%	78	49.37%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4835	235	53.41%	205	46.59%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4835	14	73.68%	5	26.32%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4835	327	55.42%	263	44.58%	0	0.00%
Total	4835	1523	56.83%	1156	43.13%	1	0.04%
026 Hog Mountain A							
Polling	4467	589	45.07%	717	54.86%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	4467	54	34.18%	104	65.82%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4467	150	39.58%	229	60.42%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4467	7	31.82%	15	68.18%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4467	205	35.22%	377	64.78%	0	0.00%
Total	4467	1005	41.05%	1442	58.91%	1	0.04%
027 Hog Mountain B							
Polling	4211	886	64.02%	498	35.98%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4211	85	51.20%	81	48.80%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4211	498	61.10%	316	38.77%	1	0.12%
Provisional	4211	7	58.33%	5	41.67%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo						
	Reg. Voters	R. WOODS (I) R		O. THORNTON, JR. (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	4211	161	46.40%	186	53.60%	0	0.00%
Total	4211	1637	60.10%	1086	39.87%	1	0.04%
028 Rockycreek A							
Polling	5149	900	60.61%	584	39.33%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	5149	67	51.54%	63	48.46%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5149	897	61.35%	562	38.44%	3	0.21%
Provisional	5149	3	50.00%	3	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5149	55	32.93%	111	66.47%	1	0.60%
Total	5149	1922	59.14%	1323	40.71%	5	0.15%
029 Cates B							
Polling	1559	376	67.38%	181	32.44%	1	0.18%
Absentee by Mail	1559	34	57.63%	25	42.37%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1559	105	61.05%	67	38.95%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1559	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1559	151	64.81%	82	35.19%	0	0.00%
Total	1559	668	65.23%	355	34.67%	1	0.10%
030 Cates C							
Polling	2599	311	41.86%	432	58.14%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2599	39	44.83%	48	55.17%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2599	7	29.17%	17	70.83%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2599	1	12.50%	7	87.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2599	239	31.70%	514	68.17%	1	0.13%
Total	2599	597	36.94%	1018	63.00%	1	0.06%
031 Hog Mountain D							
Polling	2958	551	60.68%	357	39.32%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2958	41	39.05%	64	60.95%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2958	224	65.88%	116	34.12%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2958	9	75.00%	3	25.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2958	168	50.00%	167	49.70%	1	0.30%
Total	2958	993	58.38%	707	41.56%	1	0.06%
032 Berkshire D							
Polling	2684	464	56.45%	358	43.55%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2684	60	46.15%	70	53.85%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2684	369	50.62%	360	49.38%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2684	2	25.00%	6	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2684	40	47.62%	44	52.38%	0	0.00%
Total	2684	935	52.74%	838	47.26%	0	0.00%
033 Berkshire E							
Polling	1866	408	63.45%	234	36.39%	1	0.16%
Absentee by Mail	1866	37	44.05%	47	55.95%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1866	280	52.04%	258	47.96%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1866	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1866	22	59.46%	15	40.54%	0	0.00%
Total	1866	747	57.33%	555	42.59%	1	0.08%
034 Berkshire F							
Polling	2003	260	40.82%	376	59.03%	1	0.16%
Absentee by Mail	2003	40	49.38%	41	50.62%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo							
	Reg. Voters	R. WOODS (I) R		O. THORNTON, JR. (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	2003	63	25.10%	188	74.90%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2003	1	9.09%	10	90.91%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2003	29	38.16%	47	61.84%	0	0.00%
Total	2003	393	37.22%	662	62.69%	1	0.09%
035 Cates D - 04							
Polling	2605	465	55.49%	373	44.51%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2605	51	50.00%	51	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2605	89	50.28%	88	49.72%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2605	7	63.64%	4	36.36%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2605	236	46.92%	266	52.88%	1	0.20%
Total	2605	848	51.99%	782	47.95%	1	0.06%
035 Cates D - 07							
Polling	585	113	58.85%	79	41.15%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	585	8	29.63%	19	70.37%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	585	25	35.21%	46	64.79%	0	0.00%
Provisional	585	2	66.67%	1	33.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	585	27	39.71%	41	60.29%	0	0.00%
Total	585	175	48.48%	186	51.52%	0	0.00%
036 Cates E							
Polling	2744	538	57.11%	404	42.89%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2744	53	44.92%	65	55.08%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2744	238	49.79%	240	50.21%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2744	2	22.22%	7	77.78%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2744	185	54.09%	157	45.91%	0	0.00%
Total	2744	1016	53.79%	873	46.21%	0	0.00%
037 Pinckneyville E							
Polling	2183	147	21.68%	531	78.32%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2183	8	15.09%	45	84.91%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2183	38	14.96%	216	85.04%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2183	2	16.67%	10	83.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2183	6	27.27%	16	72.73%	0	0.00%
Total	2183	201	19.73%	818	80.27%	0	0.00%
038 Pinckneyville F							
Polling	2301	186	29.67%	439	70.02%	2	0.32%
Absentee by Mail	2301	30	32.97%	61	67.03%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2301	96	29.72%	227	70.28%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2301	4	66.67%	2	33.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2301	8	21.62%	29	78.38%	0	0.00%
Total	2301	324	29.89%	758	69.93%	2	0.18%
039 Duncans D							
Polling	6085	1259	69.29%	558	30.71%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	6085	126	58.88%	88	41.12%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	6085	1160	72.68%	436	27.32%	0	0.00%
Provisional	6085	8	57.14%	6	42.86%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	6085	101	60.84%	65	39.16%	0	0.00%
Total	6085	2654	69.71%	1153	30.29%	0	0.00%
040 Pinckneyville H							

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo						
	Reg. Voters	R. WOODS (I) R		O. THORNTON, JR. (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	4126	737	53.60%	637	46.33%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	4126	77	34.53%	146	65.47%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4126	496	46.88%	561	53.02%	1	0.09%
Provisional	4126	7	53.85%	5	38.46%	1	7.69%
Advance in Person 2	4126	32	40.00%	47	58.75%	1	1.25%
Total	4126	1349	49.07%	1396	50.78%	4	0.15%
041 Baycreek B							
Polling	5349	596	36.30%	1045	63.64%	1	0.06%
Absentee by Mail	5349	50	24.15%	157	75.85%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5349	12	23.53%	39	76.47%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5349	3	12.50%	21	87.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5349	397	27.94%	1024	72.06%	0	0.00%
Total	5349	1058	31.63%	2286	68.34%	1	0.03%
042 Lawrenceville C							
Polling	2761	554	62.60%	329	37.18%	2	0.23%
Absentee by Mail	2761	47	47.96%	51	52.04%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2761	200	62.89%	118	37.11%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2761	4	80.00%	1	20.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2761	188	52.22%	172	47.78%	0	0.00%
Total	2761	993	59.60%	671	40.28%	2	0.12%
043 Martins D							
Polling	2059	111	18.72%	482	81.28%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2059	24	32.43%	50	67.57%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2059	39	18.06%	177	81.94%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2059	2	14.29%	12	85.71%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2059	14	14.58%	81	84.38%	1	1.04%
Total	2059	190	19.13%	802	80.77%	1	0.10%
044 Rockbridge B							
Polling	3761	270	27.95%	695	71.95%	1	0.10%
Absentee by Mail	3761	30	27.78%	78	72.22%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3761	27	23.28%	89	76.72%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3761	0	0.00%	12	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3761	221	23.07%	737	76.93%	0	0.00%
Total	3761	548	25.37%	1611	74.58%	1	0.05%
045 Garners B							
Polling	2916	250	35.82%	448	64.18%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2916	64	50.39%	63	49.61%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2916	255	37.17%	431	62.83%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2916	2	33.33%	4	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2916	118	31.30%	258	68.44%	1	0.27%
Total	2916	689	36.38%	1204	63.57%	1	0.05%
046 Cates F							
Polling	1689	467	69.49%	205	30.51%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1689	37	54.41%	31	45.59%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1689	25	49.02%	26	50.98%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1689	2	50.00%	2	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1689	206	61.31%	130	38.69%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo						
	Reg. Voters	R. WOODS (I) R		O. THORNTON, JR. (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	1689	737	65.16%	394	34.84%	0	0.00%
047 Cates G							
Polling	2597	608	67.18%	295	32.60%	2	0.22%
Absentee by Mail	2597	67	56.30%	52	43.70%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2597	74	65.49%	39	34.51%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2597	4	57.14%	3	42.86%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2597	395	59.04%	273	40.81%	1	0.15%
Total	2597	1148	63.32%	662	36.51%	3	0.17%
048 Duluth B							
Polling	3332	523	57.85%	381	42.15%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3332	72	41.86%	100	58.14%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3332	305	46.71%	347	53.14%	1	0.15%
Provisional	3332	4	44.44%	5	55.56%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3332	104	68.87%	47	31.13%	0	0.00%
Total	3332	1008	53.36%	880	46.59%	1	0.05%
049 Garners C							
Polling	1908	288	53.33%	252	46.67%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1908	18	30.51%	41	69.49%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1908	210	42.51%	284	57.49%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1908	1	20.00%	4	80.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1908	14	30.43%	32	69.57%	0	0.00%
Total	1908	531	46.42%	613	53.58%	0	0.00%
050 Pinckneyville I							
Polling	2479	193	28.89%	475	71.11%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2479	29	35.80%	52	64.20%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2479	80	19.85%	323	80.15%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2479	2	11.76%	15	88.24%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2479	18	25.71%	52	74.29%	0	0.00%
Total	2479	322	25.99%	917	74.01%	0	0.00%
051 Pinckneyville J							
Polling	2543	86	15.99%	451	83.83%	1	0.19%
Absentee by Mail	2543	21	29.17%	51	70.83%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2543	61	14.73%	353	85.27%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2543	3	12.00%	22	88.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2543	4	11.43%	31	88.57%	0	0.00%
Total	2543	175	16.14%	908	83.76%	1	0.09%
052 Berkshire G							
Polling	2250	365	57.03%	274	42.81%	1	0.16%
Absentee by Mail	2250	48	54.55%	40	45.45%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2250	382	51.07%	366	48.93%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2250	3	60.00%	2	40.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2250	11	36.67%	19	63.33%	0	0.00%
Total	2250	809	53.54%	701	46.39%	1	0.07%
053 Cates H							
Polling	3622	311	31.57%	673	68.32%	1	0.10%
Absentee by Mail	3622	51	40.48%	75	59.52%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3622	15	31.25%	33	68.75%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo						
	Reg. Voters	R. WOODS (I) R		O. THORNTON, JR. (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	3622	1	7.69%	12	92.31%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3622	260	30.09%	602	69.68%	2	0.23%
Total	3622	638	31.34%	1395	68.52%	3	0.15%
054 Sugar Hill C							
Polling	4596	1083	68.11%	506	31.82%	1	0.06%
Absentee by Mail	4596	92	53.80%	79	46.20%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4596	282	62.81%	167	37.19%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4596	16	57.14%	12	42.86%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4596	327	57.57%	241	42.43%	0	0.00%
Total	4596	1800	64.15%	1005	35.82%	1	0.04%
055 Sugar Hill D							
Polling	4070	738	61.30%	466	38.70%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4070	67	51.94%	62	48.06%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4070	496	57.81%	362	42.19%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4070	17	56.67%	13	43.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4070	102	67.55%	49	32.45%	0	0.00%
Total	4070	1420	59.87%	952	40.13%	0	0.00%
056 Duluth C							
Polling	2243	353	51.53%	331	48.32%	1	0.15%
Absentee by Mail	2243	49	50.00%	49	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2243	206	44.69%	255	55.31%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2243	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2243	21	30.00%	49	70.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2243	630	47.80%	687	52.12%	1	0.08%
057 Duluth D							
Polling	4404	429	41.13%	612	58.68%	2	0.19%
Absentee by Mail	4404	80	39.80%	121	60.20%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4404	343	34.68%	645	65.22%	1	0.10%
Provisional	4404	7	33.33%	14	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4404	71	48.97%	74	51.03%	0	0.00%
Total	4404	930	38.77%	1466	61.11%	3	0.13%
058 Pinckneyville K							
Polling	3547	204	23.37%	668	76.52%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	3547	31	27.19%	82	71.93%	1	0.88%
Advance in Person	3547	74	15.35%	408	84.65%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3547	2	8.33%	22	91.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3547	24	26.97%	65	73.03%	0	0.00%
Total	3547	335	21.18%	1245	78.70%	2	0.13%
059 Pinckneyville N							
Polling	3717	188	17.74%	871	82.17%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	3717	10	13.89%	62	86.11%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3717	76	13.97%	467	85.85%	1	0.18%
Provisional	3717	3	17.65%	14	82.35%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3717	9	16.36%	46	83.64%	0	0.00%
Total	3717	286	16.36%	1460	83.52%	2	0.11%
060 Lawrenceville D							
Polling	4872	262	24.86%	790	74.95%	2	0.19%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo						
	Reg. Voters	R. WOODS (I) R		O. THORNTON, JR. (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	4872	21	17.21%	101	82.79%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4872	19	22.62%	65	77.38%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4872	6	25.00%	18	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4872	184	20.84%	698	79.05%	1	0.11%
Total	4872	492	22.70%	1672	77.16%	3	0.14%
061 Lawrenceville E							
Polling	2205	346	54.92%	283	44.92%	1	0.16%
Absentee by Mail	2205	56	54.90%	46	45.10%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2205	13	59.09%	9	40.91%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2205	2	66.67%	1	33.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2205	346	55.54%	277	44.46%	0	0.00%
Total	2205	763	55.29%	616	44.64%	1	0.07%
062 Pinckneyville L							
Polling	2233	780	70.84%	321	29.16%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2233	86	63.70%	49	36.30%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2233	212	55.21%	172	44.79%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2233	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2233	29	78.38%	8	21.62%	0	0.00%
Total	2233	1109	66.85%	550	33.15%	0	0.00%
063 Pinckneyville M							
Polling	2515	835	73.12%	307	26.88%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2515	104	60.12%	69	39.88%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2515	294	64.33%	163	35.67%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2515	4	57.14%	3	42.86%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2515	12	66.67%	6	33.33%	0	0.00%
Total	2515	1249	69.50%	548	30.50%	0	0.00%
064 Garners D							
Polling	3127	234	27.24%	623	72.53%	2	0.23%
Absentee by Mail	3127	25	22.12%	88	77.88%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3127	174	27.80%	451	72.04%	1	0.16%
Provisional	3127	1	14.29%	6	85.71%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3127	67	22.79%	226	76.87%	1	0.34%
Total	3127	501	26.38%	1394	73.41%	4	0.21%
065 Berkshire H							
Polling	1717	168	37.33%	281	62.44%	1	0.22%
Absentee by Mail	1717	28	49.12%	29	50.88%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1717	98	29.97%	229	70.03%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1717	1	9.09%	10	90.91%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1717	6	17.14%	29	82.86%	0	0.00%
Total	1717	301	34.20%	578	65.68%	1	0.11%
066 Pinckneyville O							
Polling	3267	178	23.27%	585	76.47%	2	0.26%
Absentee by Mail	3267	21	26.92%	57	73.08%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3267	137	21.85%	490	78.15%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3267	7	22.58%	24	77.42%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3267	4	14.29%	24	85.71%	0	0.00%
Total	3267	347	22.69%	1180	77.17%	2	0.13%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo

	Reg. Voters	R. WOODS (I) R		O. THORNTON, JR. (D)		Write-In Votes	
067 Pucketts E							
Polling	4771	591	51.48%	556	48.43%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	4771	84	45.90%	99	54.10%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4771	630	52.02%	580	47.89%	1	0.08%
Provisional	4771	14	51.85%	13	48.15%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4771	58	36.02%	103	63.98%	0	0.00%
Total	4771	1377	50.44%	1351	49.49%	2	0.07%
068 Cates I							
Polling	3395	646	55.45%	519	44.55%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3395	65	45.14%	78	54.17%	1	0.69%
Advance in Person	3395	90	45.23%	109	54.77%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3395	2	25.00%	6	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3395	276	42.07%	380	57.93%	0	0.00%
Total	3395	1079	49.68%	1092	50.28%	1	0.05%
069 Cates J							
Polling	3266	517	46.33%	598	53.58%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	3266	46	37.40%	77	62.60%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3266	226	36.04%	401	63.96%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3266	6	46.15%	7	53.85%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3266	65	30.09%	150	69.44%	1	0.46%
Total	3266	860	41.05%	1233	58.85%	2	0.10%
070 Goodwins B							
Polling	3604	741	62.01%	451	37.74%	3	0.25%
Absentee by Mail	3604	86	56.21%	67	43.79%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3604	126	60.87%	81	39.13%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3604	5	71.43%	2	28.57%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3604	387	55.92%	304	43.93%	1	0.14%
Total	3604	1345	59.67%	905	40.15%	4	0.18%
071 Lawrenceville F							
Polling	3698	264	29.60%	627	70.29%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	3698	28	18.79%	121	81.21%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3698	20	36.36%	35	63.64%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3698	4	26.67%	11	73.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3698	218	20.70%	835	79.30%	0	0.00%
Total	3698	534	24.68%	1629	75.28%	1	0.05%
072 Martins E							
Polling	5934	138	11.53%	1059	88.47%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5934	33	17.65%	154	82.35%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5934	49	7.06%	644	92.80%	1	0.14%
Provisional	5934	7	12.50%	49	87.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5934	24	9.64%	225	90.36%	0	0.00%
Total	5934	251	10.53%	2131	89.43%	1	0.04%
073 Pinckneyville P							
Polling	2048	458	51.81%	424	47.96%	2	0.23%
Absentee by Mail	2048	57	48.31%	61	51.69%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2048	94	33.10%	190	66.90%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2048	5	71.43%	2	28.57%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo						
	Reg. Voters	R. WOODS (I) R		O. THORNTON, JR. (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	2048	14	58.33%	10	41.67%	0	0.00%
Total	2048	628	47.68%	687	52.16%	2	0.15%
074 Pinckneyville Q							
Polling	1725	94	15.82%	500	84.18%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1725	0	0.00%	35	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1725	30	15.79%	160	84.21%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1725	1	8.33%	11	91.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1725	3	8.33%	33	91.67%	0	0.00%
Total	1725	128	14.76%	739	85.24%	0	0.00%
075 Rockbridge C							
Polling	4722	272	22.63%	930	77.37%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4722	43	21.61%	156	78.39%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4722	49	29.52%	116	69.88%	1	0.60%
Provisional	4722	1	6.25%	15	93.75%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4722	222	15.33%	1225	84.60%	1	0.07%
Total	4722	587	19.37%	2442	80.57%	2	0.07%
076 Rockbridge D							
Polling	3359	238	29.10%	579	70.78%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	3359	37	26.06%	105	73.94%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3359	5	13.89%	31	86.11%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3359	5	19.23%	21	80.77%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3359	291	26.19%	819	73.72%	1	0.09%
Total	3359	576	27.00%	1555	72.90%	2	0.09%
077 Goodwins C							
Polling	5106	528	38.94%	827	60.99%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	5106	84	39.81%	126	59.72%	1	0.47%
Advance in Person	5106	72	25.81%	207	74.19%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5106	5	26.32%	14	73.68%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5106	307	36.25%	540	63.75%	0	0.00%
Total	5106	996	36.73%	1714	63.20%	2	0.07%
078 Baycreek K							
Polling	3536	466	49.68%	472	50.32%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3536	76	48.72%	80	51.28%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3536	21	58.33%	15	41.67%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3536	4	33.33%	8	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3536	543	46.89%	614	53.02%	1	0.09%
Total	3536	1110	48.26%	1189	51.70%	1	0.04%
079 Cates K							
Polling	2531	575	59.83%	386	40.17%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2531	40	57.14%	30	42.86%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2531	61	50.83%	59	49.17%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2531	1	14.29%	6	85.71%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2531	239	49.48%	244	50.52%	0	0.00%
Total	2531	916	55.82%	725	44.18%	0	0.00%
080 Baycreek C							
Polling	5755	784	48.22%	842	51.78%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5755	78	40.41%	115	59.59%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo						
	Reg. Voters	R. WOODS (I) R		O. THORNTON, JR. (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	5755	49	49.00%	51	51.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5755	4	33.33%	8	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5755	571	31.79%	1224	68.15%	1	0.06%
Total	5755	1486	39.87%	2240	60.10%	1	0.03%
081 Cates L							
Polling	3751	516	45.95%	606	53.96%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	3751	56	39.44%	86	60.56%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3751	11	23.91%	35	76.09%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3751	1	11.11%	8	88.89%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3751	415	40.73%	604	59.27%	0	0.00%
Total	3751	999	42.71%	1339	57.25%	1	0.04%
082 Duluth E							
Polling	4580	381	32.84%	778	67.07%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	4580	51	31.68%	109	67.70%	1	0.62%
Advance in Person	4580	283	28.85%	696	70.95%	2	0.20%
Provisional	4580	4	17.39%	18	78.26%	1	4.35%
Advance in Person 2	4580	33	30.84%	74	69.16%	0	0.00%
Total	4580	752	30.92%	1675	68.87%	5	0.21%
083 Goodwins D							
Polling	3985	642	50.35%	632	49.57%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	3985	63	44.06%	80	55.94%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3985	39	36.79%	67	63.21%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3985	7	35.00%	13	65.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3985	343	45.13%	417	54.87%	0	0.00%
Total	3985	1094	47.48%	1209	52.47%	1	0.04%
084 Lawrenceville G							
Polling	2783	239	30.45%	545	69.43%	1	0.13%
Absentee by Mail	2783	27	29.03%	66	70.97%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2783	3	8.11%	33	89.19%	1	2.70%
Provisional	2783	5	23.81%	16	76.19%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2783	142	25.59%	413	74.41%	0	0.00%
Total	2783	416	27.90%	1073	71.97%	2	0.13%
085 Lawrenceville H							
Polling	3899	662	54.98%	541	44.93%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	3899	88	55.00%	72	45.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3899	137	57.56%	101	42.44%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3899	5	45.45%	6	54.55%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3899	398	51.09%	381	48.91%	0	0.00%
Total	3899	1290	53.93%	1101	46.03%	1	0.04%
086 Martins F							
Polling	2401	189	28.08%	483	71.77%	1	0.15%
Absentee by Mail	2401	19	21.84%	68	78.16%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2401	62	19.14%	261	80.56%	1	0.31%
Provisional	2401	4	30.77%	9	69.23%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2401	23	22.77%	78	77.23%	0	0.00%
Total	2401	297	24.79%	899	75.04%	2	0.17%
087 Pinckneyville S							

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo

	Reg. Voters	R. WOODS (I) R		O. THORNTON, JR. (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	3013	331	34.92%	617	65.08%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3013	66	44.30%	83	55.70%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3013	157	33.69%	309	66.31%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3013	3	27.27%	8	72.73%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3013	23	37.70%	38	62.30%	0	0.00%
Total	3013	580	35.47%	1055	64.53%	0	0.00%
088 Rockbridge E							
Polling	4199	318	28.22%	807	71.61%	2	0.18%
Absentee by Mail	4199	18	15.00%	102	85.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4199	61	37.89%	100	62.11%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4199	4	10.53%	34	89.47%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4199	142	17.17%	683	82.59%	2	0.24%
Total	4199	543	23.89%	1726	75.93%	4	0.18%
089 Sugar Hill E							
Polling	3061	593	63.29%	344	36.71%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3061	58	47.93%	62	51.24%	1	0.83%
Advance in Person	3061	119	59.50%	81	40.50%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3061	15	68.18%	7	31.82%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3061	318	53.90%	271	45.93%	1	0.17%
Total	3061	1103	58.98%	765	40.91%	2	0.11%
090 Lawrenceville I							
Polling	3140	381	41.28%	539	58.40%	3	0.33%
Absentee by Mail	3140	45	36.00%	80	64.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3140	63	43.75%	81	56.25%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3140	2	22.22%	7	77.78%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3140	205	38.68%	325	61.32%	0	0.00%
Total	3140	696	40.21%	1032	59.62%	3	0.17%
091 Baycreek D							
Polling	4157	686	56.84%	521	43.16%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4157	64	39.02%	100	60.98%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4157	21	56.76%	16	43.24%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4157	5	45.45%	6	54.55%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4157	565	44.66%	699	55.26%	1	0.08%
Total	4157	1341	49.96%	1342	50.00%	1	0.04%
092 Berkshire J							
Polling	2595	509	62.76%	301	37.11%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	2595	52	55.91%	41	44.09%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2595	439	54.74%	363	45.26%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2595	3	42.86%	4	57.14%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2595	34	45.33%	41	54.67%	0	0.00%
Total	2595	1037	58.00%	750	41.95%	1	0.06%
093 Cates M							
Polling	3794	818	63.71%	465	36.21%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	3794	84	43.30%	110	56.70%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3794	74	59.68%	50	40.32%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3794	4	50.00%	4	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3794	548	56.03%	429	43.87%	1	0.10%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo						
	Reg. Voters	R. WOODS (I) R		O. THORNTON, JR. (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	3794	1528	59.04%	1058	40.88%	2	0.08%
094 Cates N							
Polling	2445	551	68.02%	259	31.98%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2445	73	67.59%	35	32.41%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2445	15	60.00%	10	40.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2445	2	40.00%	3	60.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2445	427	64.99%	230	35.01%	0	0.00%
Total	2445	1068	66.54%	537	33.46%	0	0.00%
095 Duluth F							
Polling	5413	293	22.98%	982	77.02%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5413	61	33.89%	119	66.11%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5413	94	15.82%	499	84.01%	1	0.17%
Provisional	5413	5	19.23%	21	80.77%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5413	90	21.08%	337	78.92%	0	0.00%
Total	5413	543	21.70%	1958	78.26%	1	0.04%
096 Duluth G							
Polling	2775	551	60.15%	365	39.85%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2775	63	58.33%	45	41.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2775	154	46.81%	175	53.19%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2775	4	36.36%	7	63.64%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2775	213	61.56%	133	38.44%	0	0.00%
Total	2775	985	57.60%	725	42.40%	0	0.00%
097 Goodwins E							
Polling	4950	811	53.89%	689	45.78%	5	0.33%
Absentee by Mail	4950	82	36.44%	143	63.56%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4950	48	28.92%	118	71.08%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4950	3	17.65%	14	82.35%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4950	438	46.55%	503	53.45%	0	0.00%
Total	4950	1382	48.42%	1467	51.40%	5	0.18%
098 Goodwins F							
Polling	2904	421	48.17%	452	51.72%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	2904	52	40.00%	78	60.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2904	28	43.75%	36	56.25%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2904	4	36.36%	7	63.64%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2904	258	44.71%	319	55.29%	0	0.00%
Total	2904	763	46.07%	892	53.86%	1	0.06%
099 Pinckneyville T							
Polling	2874	363	42.36%	494	57.64%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2874	72	49.66%	73	50.34%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2874	159	33.62%	314	66.38%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2874	3	30.00%	7	70.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2874	16	36.36%	28	63.64%	0	0.00%
Total	2874	613	40.09%	916	59.91%	0	0.00%
100 Suwanee B							
Polling	4085	500	58.41%	355	41.47%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	4085	52	39.10%	81	60.90%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4085	9	30.00%	21	70.00%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo						
	Reg. Voters	R. WOODS (I) R		O. THORNTON, JR. (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	4085	4	66.67%	2	33.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4085	842	56.89%	636	42.97%	2	0.14%
Total	4085	1407	56.17%	1095	43.71%	3	0.12%
101 Pinckneyville U							
Polling	2007	375	45.96%	441	54.04%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2007	29	40.28%	43	59.72%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2007	86	29.86%	202	70.14%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2007	1	14.29%	6	85.71%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2007	15	46.88%	17	53.13%	0	0.00%
Total	2007	506	41.65%	709	58.35%	0	0.00%
102 Pucketts B							
Polling	2741	541	66.79%	268	33.09%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	2741	47	55.29%	38	44.71%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2741	547	66.06%	280	33.82%	1	0.12%
Provisional	2741	8	72.73%	3	27.27%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2741	43	69.35%	19	30.65%	0	0.00%
Total	2741	1186	66.04%	608	33.85%	2	0.11%
103 Berkshire L							
Polling	2915	242	26.86%	658	73.03%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	2915	36	30.00%	84	70.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2915	81	24.11%	255	75.89%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2915	2	11.76%	15	88.24%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2915	44	23.91%	139	75.54%	1	0.54%
Total	2915	405	25.99%	1151	73.88%	2	0.13%
104 Rockbridge F							
Polling	5313	200	19.42%	828	80.39%	2	0.19%
Absentee by Mail	5313	52	25.24%	154	74.76%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5313	6	15.38%	33	84.62%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5313	2	10.53%	17	89.47%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5313	396	18.81%	1706	81.05%	3	0.14%
Total	5313	656	19.30%	2738	80.55%	5	0.15%
105 Sugar Hill F							
Polling	3687	782	63.01%	458	36.91%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	3687	52	48.15%	56	51.85%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3687	51	42.50%	69	57.50%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3687	6	40.00%	9	60.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3687	408	59.82%	274	40.18%	0	0.00%
Total	3687	1299	59.97%	866	39.98%	1	0.05%
106 Suwanee C							
Polling	4823	726	59.90%	485	40.02%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	4823	89	43.00%	117	56.52%	1	0.48%
Advance in Person	4823	49	46.67%	56	53.33%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4823	19	37.25%	32	62.75%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4823	659	54.19%	557	45.81%	0	0.00%
Total	4823	1542	55.25%	1247	44.68%	2	0.07%
107 Cates O							
Polling	1342	290	59.30%	199	40.70%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo						
	Reg. Voters	R. WOODS (I) R		O. THORNTON, JR. (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	1342	22	56.41%	17	43.59%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1342	98	53.26%	86	46.74%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1342	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1342	58	43.61%	75	56.39%	0	0.00%
Total	1342	468	55.25%	379	44.75%	0	0.00%
108 Baycreek E							
Polling	2863	451	49.24%	465	50.76%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2863	39	40.21%	58	59.79%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2863	11	35.48%	20	64.52%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2863	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2863	242	36.72%	417	63.28%	0	0.00%
Total	2863	744	43.59%	963	56.41%	0	0.00%
109 Berkshire M							
Polling	1638	333	58.52%	236	41.48%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1638	31	56.36%	24	43.64%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1638	238	56.26%	184	43.50%	1	0.24%
Provisional	1638	1	33.33%	2	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1638	23	57.50%	17	42.50%	0	0.00%
Total	1638	626	57.43%	463	42.48%	1	0.09%
110 Martins G							
Polling	2187	214	31.85%	458	68.15%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2187	25	34.25%	48	65.75%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2187	4	11.76%	30	88.24%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2187	2	22.22%	7	77.78%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2187	79	22.64%	270	77.36%	0	0.00%
Total	2187	324	28.50%	813	71.50%	0	0.00%
111 Duluth H							
Polling	2662	361	49.45%	368	50.41%	1	0.14%
Absentee by Mail	2662	91	50.56%	89	49.44%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2662	265	45.77%	314	54.23%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2662	4	80.00%	1	20.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2662	58	50.88%	56	49.12%	0	0.00%
Total	2662	779	48.45%	828	51.49%	1	0.06%
112 Berkshire N							
Polling	2836	387	60.56%	252	39.44%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2836	72	56.25%	56	43.75%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2836	697	58.42%	496	41.58%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2836	3	75.00%	1	25.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2836	29	78.38%	8	21.62%	0	0.00%
Total	2836	1188	59.37%	813	40.63%	0	0.00%
113 Pinckneyville V							
Polling	1572	169	44.01%	215	55.99%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1572	22	36.67%	38	63.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1572	106	31.27%	233	68.73%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1572	6	42.86%	8	57.14%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1572	6	50.00%	6	50.00%	0	0.00%
Total	1572	309	38.20%	500	61.80%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo						
	Reg. Voters	R. WOODS (I) R		O. THORNTON, JR. (D)		Write-In Votes	
114 Pinckneyville W							
Polling	3885	566	46.82%	643	53.18%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3885	59	40.41%	87	59.59%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3885	278	38.77%	437	60.95%	2	0.28%
Provisional	3885	6	31.58%	13	68.42%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3885	23	50.00%	23	50.00%	0	0.00%
Total	3885	932	43.61%	1203	56.29%	2	0.09%
115 Martins H							
Polling	4487	445	34.50%	844	65.43%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	4487	84	38.71%	133	61.29%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4487	53	23.14%	176	76.86%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4487	2	14.29%	12	85.71%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4487	243	33.38%	484	66.48%	1	0.14%
Total	4487	827	33.37%	1649	66.55%	2	0.08%
116 Martins I							
Polling	3518	236	25.08%	702	74.60%	3	0.32%
Absentee by Mail	3518	54	33.75%	106	66.25%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3518	60	18.46%	265	81.54%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3518	2	11.76%	15	88.24%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3518	62	23.66%	200	76.34%	0	0.00%
Total	3518	414	24.28%	1288	75.54%	3	0.18%
117 Martins J							
Polling	3809	201	19.33%	837	80.48%	2	0.19%
Absentee by Mail	3809	19	15.70%	102	84.30%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3809	51	14.21%	308	85.79%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3809	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3809	57	21.27%	211	78.73%	0	0.00%
Total	3809	328	18.14%	1478	81.75%	2	0.11%
118 Garners F							
Polling	1558	246	53.13%	217	46.87%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1558	15	36.59%	26	63.41%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1558	245	52.02%	226	47.98%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1558	2	50.00%	2	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1558	3	18.75%	13	81.25%	0	0.00%
Total	1558	511	51.36%	484	48.64%	0	0.00%
119 Berkshire O							
Polling	2422	509	58.24%	364	41.65%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	2422	68	64.15%	38	35.85%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2422	289	59.83%	194	40.17%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2422	5	55.56%	4	44.44%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2422	80	54.79%	66	45.21%	0	0.00%
Total	2422	951	58.78%	666	41.16%	1	0.06%
120 Berkshire P							
Polling	1339	160	41.34%	227	58.66%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1339	20	32.26%	42	67.74%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1339	58	30.85%	130	69.15%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1339	2	25.00%	6	75.00%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo						
	Reg. Voters	R. WOODS (I) R		O. THORNTON, JR. (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	1339	22	38.60%	35	61.40%	0	0.00%
Total	1339	262	37.32%	440	62.68%	0	0.00%
121 Pinckneyville X							
Polling	3198	601	55.91%	474	44.09%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3198	99	45.62%	118	54.38%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3198	353	47.90%	384	52.10%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3198	2	40.00%	3	60.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3198	33	46.48%	38	53.52%	0	0.00%
Total	3198	1088	51.69%	1017	48.31%	0	0.00%
122 Rockbridge G							
Polling	5188	270	23.52%	877	76.39%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	5188	47	19.92%	188	79.66%	1	0.42%
Advance in Person	5188	38	35.19%	70	64.81%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5188	4	10.00%	36	90.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5188	287	17.96%	1310	81.98%	1	0.06%
Total	5188	646	20.64%	2481	79.27%	3	0.10%
123 Pinckneyville Y							
Polling	3018	160	20.78%	610	79.22%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3018	14	21.88%	50	78.13%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3018	74	16.67%	370	83.33%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3018	3	12.00%	22	88.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3018	19	30.65%	42	67.74%	1	1.61%
Total	3018	270	19.78%	1094	80.15%	1	0.07%
124 Goodwins G							
Polling	2448	238	31.23%	524	68.77%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2448	18	25.71%	52	74.29%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2448	14	20.00%	56	80.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2448	3	30.00%	7	70.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2448	105	25.12%	313	74.88%	0	0.00%
Total	2448	378	28.42%	952	71.58%	0	0.00%
125 Pinckneyville Z							
Polling	1806	625	71.59%	248	28.41%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1806	50	54.95%	41	45.05%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1806	191	62.01%	117	37.99%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1806	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1806	22	70.97%	9	29.03%	0	0.00%
Total	1806	890	68.20%	415	31.80%	0	0.00%
126 Pinckneyville A1							
Polling	2596	825	70.69%	342	29.31%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2596	95	59.75%	64	40.25%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2596	277	58.69%	195	41.31%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2596	4	57.14%	3	42.86%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2596	24	50.00%	24	50.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2596	1225	66.11%	628	33.89%	0	0.00%
127 Lawrenceville J							
Polling	1959	258	41.75%	360	58.25%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1959	20	25.00%	60	75.00%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo

	Reg. Voters	R. WOODS (I) R		O. THORNTON, JR. (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	1959	12	26.09%	34	73.91%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1959	1	16.67%	5	83.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1959	97	25.66%	281	74.34%	0	0.00%
Total	1959	388	34.40%	740	65.60%	0	0.00%
128 Goodwins H							
Polling	2561	464	56.17%	361	43.70%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	2561	49	44.55%	61	55.45%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2561	22	42.31%	30	57.69%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2561	5	41.67%	7	58.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2561	238	44.07%	301	55.74%	1	0.19%
Total	2561	778	50.52%	760	49.35%	2	0.13%
129 Duncans B							
Polling	4655	1171	73.10%	430	26.84%	1	0.06%
Absentee by Mail	4655	92	60.93%	59	39.07%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4655	830	72.36%	317	27.64%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4655	4	66.67%	2	33.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4655	61	54.46%	51	45.54%	0	0.00%
Total	4655	2158	71.50%	859	28.46%	1	0.03%
130 Suwanee D							
Polling	4247	975	70.14%	413	29.71%	2	0.14%
Absentee by Mail	4247	89	52.35%	81	47.65%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4247	46	52.27%	42	47.73%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4247	8	53.33%	7	46.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4247	771	67.57%	370	32.43%	0	0.00%
Total	4247	1889	67.37%	913	32.56%	2	0.07%
131 Suwanee E							
Polling	3921	724	66.85%	359	33.15%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3921	91	49.19%	94	50.81%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3921	21	44.68%	26	55.32%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3921	14	66.67%	7	33.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3921	791	62.63%	469	37.13%	3	0.24%
Total	3921	1641	63.14%	955	36.74%	3	0.12%
132 Lawrenceville K							
Polling	2915	549	53.82%	471	46.18%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2915	53	49.07%	55	50.93%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2915	102	57.63%	75	42.37%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2915	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2915	229	43.79%	294	56.21%	0	0.00%
Total	2915	934	50.98%	898	49.02%	0	0.00%
133 Harbins B							
Polling	4220	573	57.94%	415	41.96%	1	0.10%
Absentee by Mail	4220	48	50.00%	48	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4220	869	66.54%	437	33.46%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4220	2	50.00%	2	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4220	62	42.76%	83	57.24%	0	0.00%
Total	4220	1554	61.18%	985	38.78%	1	0.04%
134 Baycreek F							

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo						
	Reg. Voters	R. WOODS (I) R		O. THORNTON, JR. (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	4119	493	40.88%	713	59.12%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4119	62	35.23%	114	64.77%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4119	15	40.54%	22	59.46%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4119	1	10.00%	9	90.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4119	334	28.09%	855	71.91%	0	0.00%
Total	4119	905	34.57%	1713	65.43%	0	0.00%
135 Pucketts C							
Polling	2931	545	64.88%	294	35.00%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	2931	56	56.00%	44	44.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2931	458	63.09%	267	36.78%	1	0.14%
Provisional	2931	9	56.25%	7	43.75%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2931	32	42.11%	44	57.89%	0	0.00%
Total	2931	1100	62.57%	656	37.32%	2	0.11%
136 Hog Mountain C							
Polling	3832	750	61.98%	460	38.02%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3832	41	34.75%	77	65.25%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3832	370	56.66%	283	43.34%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3832	4	50.00%	4	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3832	121	54.26%	102	45.74%	0	0.00%
Total	3832	1286	58.14%	926	41.86%	0	0.00%
137 Rockycreek B							
Polling	4677	826	60.07%	546	39.71%	3	0.22%
Absentee by Mail	4677	52	40.31%	77	59.69%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4677	672	62.16%	408	37.74%	1	0.09%
Provisional	4677	2	25.00%	6	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4677	110	47.62%	121	52.38%	0	0.00%
Total	4677	1662	58.85%	1158	41.01%	4	0.14%
138 Duluth I							
Polling	4491	726	58.17%	521	41.75%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	4491	154	51.33%	146	48.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4491	221	47.73%	242	52.27%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4491	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4491	406	60.24%	268	39.76%	0	0.00%
Total	4491	1511	56.19%	1177	43.77%	1	0.04%
139 Martins K							
Polling	4796	356	25.16%	1058	74.77%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	4796	59	34.91%	110	65.09%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4796	30	14.49%	177	85.51%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4796	4	19.05%	17	80.95%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4796	139	17.40%	660	82.60%	0	0.00%
Total	4796	588	22.52%	2022	77.44%	1	0.04%
140 Suwanee H							
Polling	3480	741	72.58%	280	27.42%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3480	104	54.17%	87	45.31%	1	0.52%
Advance in Person	3480	30	58.82%	21	41.18%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3480	17	77.27%	5	22.73%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3480	796	72.23%	306	27.77%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo						
	Reg. Voters	R. WOODS (I) R		O. THORNTON, JR. (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	3480	1688	70.69%	699	29.27%	1	0.04%
141 Sugar Hill G							
Polling	2601	645	73.30%	233	26.48%	2	0.23%
Absentee by Mail	2601	46	51.11%	44	48.89%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2601	66	51.16%	63	48.84%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2601	11	73.33%	4	26.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2601	370	64.01%	208	35.99%	0	0.00%
Total	2601	1138	67.26%	552	32.62%	2	0.12%
142 Suwanee F							
Polling	3598	643	59.81%	431	40.09%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	3598	53	36.81%	90	62.50%	1	0.69%
Advance in Person	3598	25	35.21%	46	64.79%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3598	7	53.85%	6	46.15%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3598	446	52.72%	400	47.28%	0	0.00%
Total	3598	1174	54.63%	973	45.28%	2	0.09%
143 Lawrenceville L							
Polling	4355	591	44.74%	726	54.96%	4	0.30%
Absentee by Mail	4355	89	47.85%	96	51.61%	1	0.54%
Advance in Person	4355	21	39.62%	32	60.38%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4355	5	33.33%	10	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4355	434	39.24%	671	60.67%	1	0.09%
Total	4355	1140	42.52%	1535	57.25%	6	0.22%
144 Lawrenceville M							
Polling	5956	364	23.96%	1154	75.97%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	5956	39	21.55%	142	78.45%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5956	59	22.26%	206	77.74%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5956	4	20.00%	16	80.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5956	220	17.00%	1074	83.00%	0	0.00%
Total	5956	686	20.92%	2592	79.05%	1	0.03%
145 Baycreek G							
Polling	5092	691	44.78%	852	55.22%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5092	66	37.93%	108	62.07%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5092	18	33.33%	36	66.67%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5092	2	15.38%	11	84.62%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5092	416	28.83%	1025	71.03%	2	0.14%
Total	5092	1193	36.97%	2032	62.97%	2	0.06%
146 Baycreek H							
Polling	5697	991	57.92%	717	41.91%	3	0.18%
Absentee by Mail	5697	97	44.70%	119	54.84%	1	0.46%
Advance in Person	5697	55	54.46%	46	45.54%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5697	2	22.22%	7	77.78%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5697	731	45.24%	885	54.76%	0	0.00%
Total	5697	1876	51.34%	1774	48.55%	4	0.11%
147 Baycreek I							
Polling	5162	551	43.01%	730	56.99%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5162	70	35.90%	125	64.10%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5162	21	38.89%	33	61.11%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo						
	Reg. Voters	R. WOODS (I) R		O. THORNTON, JR. (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	5162	5	35.71%	9	64.29%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5162	543	35.89%	970	64.11%	0	0.00%
Total	5162	1190	38.93%	1867	61.07%	0	0.00%
148 Berkshire Q							
Polling	1644	308	57.14%	231	42.86%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1644	34	60.71%	22	39.29%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1644	207	51.88%	192	48.12%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1644	3	50.00%	3	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1644	16	57.14%	12	42.86%	0	0.00%
Total	1644	568	55.25%	460	44.75%	0	0.00%
149 Goodwins I							
Polling	2341	526	59.64%	355	40.25%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	2341	63	50.00%	62	49.21%	1	0.79%
Advance in Person	2341	22	26.51%	61	73.49%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2341	2	28.57%	5	71.43%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2341	236	51.75%	220	48.25%	0	0.00%
Total	2341	849	54.63%	703	45.24%	2	0.13%
150 Duluth K							
Polling	2694	118	21.61%	427	78.21%	1	0.18%
Absentee by Mail	2694	13	23.64%	42	76.36%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2694	74	19.17%	310	80.31%	2	0.52%
Provisional	2694	3	30.00%	7	70.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2694	6	15.00%	34	85.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2694	214	20.64%	820	79.07%	3	0.29%
151 Harbins C							
Polling	2369	681	71.46%	271	28.44%	1	0.10%
Absentee by Mail	2369	42	55.26%	34	44.74%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2369	170	56.86%	129	43.14%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2369	3	50.00%	3	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2369	120	43.32%	157	56.68%	0	0.00%
Total	2369	1016	63.07%	594	36.87%	1	0.06%
152 Rockycreek C							
Polling	4504	757	56.24%	588	43.68%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	4504	67	50.76%	65	49.24%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4504	731	59.82%	491	40.18%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4504	4	66.67%	2	33.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4504	87	41.04%	125	58.96%	0	0.00%
Total	4504	1646	56.41%	1271	43.56%	1	0.03%
153 Lawrenceville N							
Polling	2343	303	49.67%	306	50.16%	1	0.16%
Absentee by Mail	2343	39	50.65%	38	49.35%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2343	4	17.39%	19	82.61%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2343	6	30.00%	14	70.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2343	197	38.10%	319	61.70%	1	0.19%
Total	2343	549	44.03%	696	55.81%	2	0.16%
154 Pucketts D							
Polling	3166	578	65.76%	300	34.13%	1	0.11%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Sch. Superint. / Superint. Esc. Edo						
	Reg. Voters	R. WOODS (I) R		O. THORNTON, JR. (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	3166	79	56.43%	61	43.57%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3166	610	70.11%	260	29.89%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3166	9	56.25%	7	43.75%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3166	55	69.62%	23	29.11%	1	1.27%
Total	3166	1331	67.09%	651	32.81%	2	0.10%
155 Suwanee G							
Polling	3451	638	55.62%	508	44.29%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	3451	52	38.24%	84	61.76%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3451	35	41.18%	50	58.82%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3451	6	40.00%	9	60.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3451	337	44.23%	425	55.77%	0	0.00%
Total	3451	1068	49.79%	1076	50.16%	1	0.05%
156 Baycreek J							
Polling	4038	466	39.09%	725	60.82%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	4038	47	29.38%	113	70.63%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4038	15	29.41%	36	70.59%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4038	2	22.22%	7	77.78%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4038	347	29.97%	811	70.03%	0	0.00%
Total	4038	877	34.12%	1692	65.84%	1	0.04%
Total							
Polling	525568	74437	48.76%	78088	51.16%	121	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	525568	8410	41.73%	11723	58.18%	18	0.09%
Advance in Person	525568	29034	46.99%	32725	52.96%	33	0.05%
Advance in Person 2	525568	29167	39.55%	44526	60.38%	47	0.06%
Provisional	525568	711	33.19%	1429	66.71%	2	0.09%
Total	525568	141759	45.66%	168491	54.27%	221	0.07%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo

	Reg. Voters	M. BUTLER (I) R		R. KEATLEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide							
001 Harbins A							
Polling	6123	827	53.98%	703	45.89%	2	0.13%
Absentee by Mail	6123	75	39.47%	115	60.53%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	6123	652	58.27%	467	41.73%	0	0.00%
Provisional	6123	2	13.33%	13	86.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	6123	321	39.88%	481	59.75%	3	0.37%
Total	6123	1877	51.27%	1779	48.59%	5	0.14%
002 Rockbridge A							
Polling	3653	165	20.30%	648	79.70%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3653	30	22.39%	104	77.61%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3653	29	25.00%	87	75.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3653	34	41.46%	48	58.54%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3653	202	18.83%	871	81.17%	0	0.00%
Total	3653	460	20.74%	1758	79.26%	0	0.00%
003 Dacula							
Polling	4478	507	45.51%	607	54.49%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4478	34	26.36%	95	73.64%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4478	593	49.83%	597	50.17%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4478	3	37.50%	5	62.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4478	64	27.23%	171	72.77%	0	0.00%
Total	4478	1201	44.88%	1475	55.12%	0	0.00%
004 Suwanee A							
Polling	1551	198	53.08%	175	46.92%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1551	23	54.76%	19	45.24%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1551	15	40.54%	22	59.46%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1551	12	75.00%	4	25.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1551	171	44.19%	216	55.81%	0	0.00%
Total	1551	419	49.01%	436	50.99%	0	0.00%
005 Baycreek A							
Polling	2555	546	62.76%	324	37.24%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2555	56	54.37%	47	45.63%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2555	18	52.94%	16	47.06%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2555	17	60.71%	11	39.29%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2555	337	48.01%	364	51.85%	1	0.14%
Total	2555	974	56.07%	762	43.87%	1	0.06%
006 Goodwins A							
Polling	3583	525	45.49%	628	54.42%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	3583	55	43.65%	71	56.35%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3583	31	28.97%	76	71.03%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3583	4	23.53%	13	76.47%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3583	265	39.79%	400	60.06%	1	0.15%
Total	3583	880	42.51%	1188	57.39%	2	0.10%
007 Duluth A							
Polling	4599	733	53.54%	636	46.46%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4599	81	37.16%	137	62.84%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4599	286	36.43%	498	63.44%	1	0.13%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo						
	Reg. Voters	M. BUTLER (I) R		R. KEATLEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	4599	6	50.00%	6	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4599	260	48.87%	272	51.13%	0	0.00%
Total	4599	1366	46.84%	1549	53.12%	1	0.03%
008 Duncans A							
Polling	4278	907	71.47%	362	28.53%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4278	77	59.23%	53	40.77%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4278	893	70.54%	373	29.46%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4278	6	46.15%	7	53.85%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4278	95	55.56%	76	44.44%	0	0.00%
Total	4278	1978	69.43%	871	30.57%	0	0.00%
009 Pucketts A							
Polling	4844	740	67.70%	352	32.20%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	4844	104	58.10%	75	41.90%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4844	1271	70.89%	522	29.11%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4844	3	75.00%	1	25.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4844	31	58.49%	21	39.62%	1	1.89%
Total	4844	2149	68.83%	971	31.10%	2	0.06%
010 Cates A							
Polling	2209	422	66.88%	208	32.96%	1	0.16%
Absentee by Mail	2209	100	62.50%	60	37.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2209	18	72.00%	7	28.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2209	2	50.00%	2	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2209	345	57.40%	256	42.60%	0	0.00%
Total	2209	887	62.42%	533	37.51%	1	0.07%
011 Berkshire A							
Polling	2247	241	37.60%	400	62.40%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2247	26	27.08%	70	72.92%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2247	81	24.55%	248	75.15%	1	0.30%
Provisional	2247	1	8.33%	11	91.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2247	32	50.00%	32	50.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2247	381	33.33%	761	66.58%	1	0.09%
012 Berkshire B							
Polling	5010	209	23.25%	690	76.75%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5010	58	33.33%	116	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5010	385	26.37%	1075	73.63%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5010	2	8.70%	21	91.30%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5010	19	24.68%	58	75.32%	0	0.00%
Total	5010	673	25.56%	1960	74.44%	0	0.00%
013 Duncans C							
Polling	2828	785	78.27%	218	21.73%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2828	58	63.04%	34	36.96%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2828	541	70.63%	225	29.37%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2828	5	71.43%	2	28.57%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2828	45	55.56%	36	44.44%	0	0.00%
Total	2828	1434	73.58%	515	26.42%	0	0.00%
014 Garners A							
Polling	3302	618	62.42%	372	37.58%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo

	Reg. Voters	M. BUTLER (I) R		R. KEATLEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	3302	71	53.79%	61	46.21%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3302	583	58.24%	418	41.76%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3302	4	44.44%	5	55.56%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3302	46	40.71%	67	59.29%	0	0.00%
Total	3302	1322	58.89%	923	41.11%	0	0.00%
015 Lawrenceville A							
Polling	3300	326	46.05%	382	53.95%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3300	75	51.37%	71	48.63%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3300	7	41.18%	10	58.82%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3300	0	0.00%	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3300	363	48.66%	382	51.21%	1	0.13%
Total	3300	771	47.56%	849	52.38%	1	0.06%
016 Lawrenceville B							
Polling	4520	387	37.98%	632	62.02%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4520	52	29.55%	124	70.45%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4520	10	22.22%	35	77.78%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4520	5	38.46%	8	61.54%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4520	401	28.04%	1028	71.89%	1	0.07%
Total	4520	855	31.87%	1827	68.10%	1	0.04%
017 Martins A							
Polling	3019	185	22.48%	638	77.52%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3019	20	20.41%	78	79.59%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3019	51	20.32%	200	79.68%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3019	2	16.67%	10	83.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3019	54	17.31%	258	82.69%	0	0.00%
Total	3019	312	20.86%	1184	79.14%	0	0.00%
018 Martins B							
Polling	2796	275	33.37%	548	66.50%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	2796	29	31.18%	64	68.82%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2796	16	17.20%	77	82.80%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2796	4	19.05%	17	80.95%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2796	148	32.74%	304	67.26%	0	0.00%
Total	2796	472	31.83%	1010	68.11%	1	0.07%
019 Martins C							
Polling	2349	363	43.68%	467	56.20%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	2349	35	46.05%	41	53.95%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2349	84	42.00%	116	58.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2349	2	20.00%	8	80.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2349	98	39.84%	148	60.16%	0	0.00%
Total	2349	582	42.70%	780	57.23%	1	0.07%
020 Pinckneyville A							
Polling	2603	147	20.91%	555	78.95%	1	0.14%
Absentee by Mail	2603	18	21.43%	66	78.57%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2603	53	13.62%	336	86.38%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2603	7	36.84%	12	63.16%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2603	5	8.77%	52	91.23%	0	0.00%
Total	2603	230	18.37%	1021	81.55%	1	0.08%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo

	Reg. Voters	M. BUTLER (I) R		R. KEATLEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
021 Pinckneyville B							
Polling	3216	163	24.92%	490	74.92%	1	0.15%
Absentee by Mail	3216	30	26.32%	84	73.68%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3216	126	21.88%	450	78.13%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3216	7	18.92%	30	81.08%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3216	5	17.86%	23	82.14%	0	0.00%
Total	3216	331	23.49%	1077	76.44%	1	0.07%
022 Pinckneyville C							
Polling	1796	444	56.49%	342	43.51%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1796	56	47.06%	63	52.94%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1796	129	43.29%	169	56.71%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1796	2	40.00%	3	60.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1796	22	48.89%	23	51.11%	0	0.00%
Total	1796	653	52.11%	600	47.89%	0	0.00%
023 Pinckneyville D							
Polling	2042	111	21.60%	403	78.40%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2042	17	26.15%	48	73.85%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2042	59	21.07%	220	78.57%	1	0.36%
Provisional	2042	1	6.67%	14	93.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2042	7	17.95%	32	82.05%	0	0.00%
Total	2042	195	21.36%	717	78.53%	1	0.11%
024 Sugar Hill A							
Polling	4001	669	62.94%	394	37.06%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4001	53	41.09%	76	58.91%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4001	369	54.99%	302	45.01%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4001	10	50.00%	10	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4001	112	50.91%	108	49.09%	0	0.00%
Total	4001	1213	57.68%	890	42.32%	0	0.00%
025 Sugar Hill B							
Polling	4835	859	58.36%	610	41.44%	3	0.20%
Absentee by Mail	4835	76	48.72%	80	51.28%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4835	237	54.11%	201	45.89%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4835	14	70.00%	6	30.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4835	328	55.69%	260	44.14%	1	0.17%
Total	4835	1514	56.60%	1157	43.25%	4	0.15%
026 Hog Mountain A							
Polling	4467	581	44.73%	717	55.20%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	4467	53	33.97%	103	66.03%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4467	148	39.15%	230	60.85%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4467	7	31.82%	15	68.18%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4467	204	35.17%	376	64.83%	0	0.00%
Total	4467	993	40.78%	1441	59.18%	1	0.04%
027 Hog Mountain B							
Polling	4211	877	63.69%	500	36.31%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4211	83	49.70%	84	50.30%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4211	489	60.22%	323	39.78%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4211	7	58.33%	5	41.67%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo

	Reg. Voters	M. BUTLER (I) R		R. KEATLEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	4211	158	46.20%	184	53.80%	0	0.00%
Total	4211	1614	59.56%	1096	40.44%	0	0.00%
028 Rockycreek A							
Polling	5149	878	59.44%	599	40.56%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5149	66	51.16%	63	48.84%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5149	891	61.03%	568	38.90%	1	0.07%
Provisional	5149	3	50.00%	3	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5149	57	33.73%	112	66.27%	0	0.00%
Total	5149	1895	58.47%	1345	41.50%	1	0.03%
029 Cates B							
Polling	1559	371	66.73%	185	33.27%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1559	34	57.63%	25	42.37%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1559	104	60.82%	67	39.18%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1559	1	50.00%	1	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1559	143	62.17%	87	37.83%	0	0.00%
Total	1559	653	64.15%	365	35.85%	0	0.00%
030 Cates C							
Polling	2599	306	41.24%	436	58.76%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2599	37	43.53%	48	56.47%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2599	7	29.17%	17	70.83%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2599	1	12.50%	7	87.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2599	243	32.53%	504	67.47%	0	0.00%
Total	2599	594	36.99%	1012	63.01%	0	0.00%
031 Hog Mountain D							
Polling	2958	544	60.24%	359	39.76%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2958	42	39.62%	64	60.38%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2958	223	65.59%	117	34.41%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2958	8	66.67%	4	33.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2958	173	51.34%	164	48.66%	0	0.00%
Total	2958	990	58.30%	708	41.70%	0	0.00%
032 Berkshire D							
Polling	2684	459	56.32%	356	43.68%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2684	59	45.38%	71	54.62%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2684	353	48.36%	377	51.64%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2684	4	44.44%	5	55.56%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2684	38	45.78%	45	54.22%	0	0.00%
Total	2684	913	51.67%	854	48.33%	0	0.00%
033 Berkshire E							
Polling	1866	401	62.95%	235	36.89%	1	0.16%
Absentee by Mail	1866	39	46.43%	45	53.57%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1866	276	51.59%	259	48.41%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1866	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1866	22	59.46%	15	40.54%	0	0.00%
Total	1866	738	57.03%	555	42.89%	1	0.08%
034 Berkshire F							
Polling	2003	253	39.72%	384	60.28%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2003	39	48.15%	42	51.85%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo							
	Reg. Voters	M. BUTLER (I) R		R. KEATLEY (D)		Write-In Votes		
Advance in Person	2003	57	23.27%	188	76.73%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2003	2	18.18%	9	81.82%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2003	31	40.79%	45	59.21%	0	0.00%
Total	2003	382	36.38%	668	63.62%	0	0.00%
035 Cates D - 04							
Polling	2605	466	55.68%	371	44.32%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2605	50	49.50%	51	50.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2605	88	49.44%	90	50.56%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2605	7	63.64%	4	36.36%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2605	237	47.12%	266	52.88%	0	0.00%
Total	2605	848	52.02%	782	47.98%	0	0.00%
035 Cates D - 07							
Polling	585	109	56.77%	83	43.23%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	585	5	18.52%	22	81.48%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	585	23	31.94%	49	68.06%	0	0.00%
Provisional	585	2	66.67%	1	33.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	585	27	39.71%	41	60.29%	0	0.00%
Total	585	166	45.86%	196	54.14%	0	0.00%
036 Cates E							
Polling	2744	530	56.20%	413	43.80%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2744	52	44.07%	66	55.93%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2744	231	48.33%	247	51.67%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2744	1	11.11%	8	88.89%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2744	181	53.24%	159	46.76%	0	0.00%
Total	2744	995	52.70%	893	47.30%	0	0.00%
037 Pinckneyville E							
Polling	2183	148	21.96%	526	78.04%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2183	8	15.38%	44	84.62%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2183	37	14.74%	214	85.26%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2183	1	8.33%	11	91.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2183	6	27.27%	16	72.73%	0	0.00%
Total	2183	200	19.78%	811	80.22%	0	0.00%
038 Pinckneyville F							
Polling	2301	178	28.57%	443	71.11%	2	0.32%
Absentee by Mail	2301	28	31.11%	62	68.89%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2301	91	28.35%	229	71.34%	1	0.31%
Provisional	2301	3	50.00%	3	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2301	7	18.92%	30	81.08%	0	0.00%
Total	2301	307	28.51%	767	71.22%	3	0.28%
039 Duncans D							
Polling	6085	1259	69.71%	547	30.29%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	6085	123	58.02%	88	41.51%	1	0.47%
Advance in Person	6085	1145	71.88%	448	28.12%	0	0.00%
Provisional	6085	6	40.00%	9	60.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	6085	98	59.39%	67	40.61%	0	0.00%
Total	6085	2631	69.40%	1159	30.57%	1	0.03%
040 Pinckneyville H							

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo

	Reg. Voters	M. BUTLER (I) R		R. KEATLEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	4126	709	51.87%	657	48.06%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	4126	80	35.40%	146	64.60%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4126	475	45.02%	579	54.88%	1	0.09%
Provisional	4126	6	46.15%	7	53.85%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4126	32	39.51%	48	59.26%	1	1.23%
Total	4126	1302	47.48%	1437	52.41%	3	0.11%
041 Baycreek B							
Polling	5349	597	36.45%	1041	63.55%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5349	46	22.01%	163	77.99%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5349	11	22.92%	37	77.08%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5349	2	8.33%	22	91.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5349	392	27.80%	1018	72.20%	0	0.00%
Total	5349	1048	31.48%	2281	68.52%	0	0.00%
042 Lawrenceville C							
Polling	2761	547	62.44%	328	37.44%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	2761	44	45.83%	52	54.17%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2761	201	63.41%	116	36.59%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2761	4	80.00%	1	20.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2761	181	50.42%	178	49.58%	0	0.00%
Total	2761	977	59.10%	675	40.83%	1	0.06%
043 Martins D							
Polling	2059	107	18.20%	481	81.80%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2059	20	27.40%	53	72.60%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2059	34	15.67%	183	84.33%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2059	2	14.29%	12	85.71%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2059	17	17.71%	79	82.29%	0	0.00%
Total	2059	180	18.22%	808	81.78%	0	0.00%
044 Rockbridge B							
Polling	3761	268	27.71%	697	72.08%	2	0.21%
Absentee by Mail	3761	29	27.10%	78	72.90%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3761	27	23.28%	88	75.86%	1	0.86%
Provisional	3761	0	0.00%	13	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3761	214	22.41%	741	77.59%	0	0.00%
Total	3761	538	24.93%	1617	74.93%	3	0.14%
045 Garners B							
Polling	2916	246	35.34%	450	64.66%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2916	62	48.82%	65	51.18%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2916	256	37.32%	430	62.68%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2916	2	33.33%	4	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2916	113	30.13%	262	69.87%	0	0.00%
Total	2916	679	35.93%	1211	64.07%	0	0.00%
046 Cates F							
Polling	1689	455	68.63%	208	31.37%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1689	36	53.73%	31	46.27%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1689	24	48.00%	26	52.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1689	2	50.00%	2	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1689	204	60.36%	134	39.64%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo

	Reg. Voters	M. BUTLER (I) R		R. KEATLEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	1689	721	64.26%	401	35.74%	0	0.00%
047 Cates G							
Polling	2597	596	66.37%	301	33.52%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	2597	65	54.62%	54	45.38%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2597	73	65.77%	38	34.23%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2597	4	57.14%	3	42.86%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2597	388	58.00%	280	41.85%	1	0.15%
Total	2597	1126	62.42%	676	37.47%	2	0.11%
048 Duluth B							
Polling	3332	520	57.59%	382	42.30%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	3332	68	40.00%	102	60.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3332	298	45.71%	354	54.29%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3332	6	60.00%	4	40.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3332	100	68.49%	46	31.51%	0	0.00%
Total	3332	992	52.74%	888	47.21%	1	0.05%
049 Garners C							
Polling	1908	291	53.99%	248	46.01%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1908	16	27.59%	42	72.41%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1908	207	42.42%	281	57.58%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1908	1	20.00%	4	80.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1908	11	23.91%	35	76.09%	0	0.00%
Total	1908	526	46.30%	610	53.70%	0	0.00%
050 Pinckneyville I							
Polling	2479	181	27.10%	487	72.90%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2479	28	34.57%	53	65.43%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2479	79	19.70%	322	80.30%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2479	2	11.11%	16	88.89%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2479	17	24.29%	53	75.71%	0	0.00%
Total	2479	307	24.80%	931	75.20%	0	0.00%
051 Pinckneyville J							
Polling	2543	85	15.98%	446	83.83%	1	0.19%
Absentee by Mail	2543	22	30.14%	51	69.86%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2543	57	13.80%	356	86.20%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2543	1	3.85%	25	96.15%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2543	5	14.71%	29	85.29%	0	0.00%
Total	2543	170	15.77%	907	84.14%	1	0.09%
052 Berkshire G							
Polling	2250	353	55.24%	286	44.76%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2250	47	54.02%	40	45.98%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2250	378	50.67%	367	49.20%	1	0.13%
Provisional	2250	3	60.00%	2	40.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2250	11	36.67%	19	63.33%	0	0.00%
Total	2250	792	52.55%	714	47.38%	1	0.07%
053 Cates H							
Polling	3622	300	30.71%	673	68.88%	4	0.41%
Absentee by Mail	3622	49	37.98%	80	62.02%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3622	13	27.66%	34	72.34%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo						
	Reg. Voters	M. BUTLER (I) R		R. KEATLEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	3622	1	7.69%	12	92.31%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3622	252	29.23%	609	70.65%	1	0.12%
Total	3622	615	30.33%	1408	69.43%	5	0.25%
054 Sugar Hill C							
Polling	4596	1085	68.50%	498	31.44%	1	0.06%
Absentee by Mail	4596	92	54.44%	77	45.56%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4596	278	61.78%	172	38.22%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4596	16	57.14%	12	42.86%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4596	323	57.37%	240	42.63%	0	0.00%
Total	4596	1794	64.21%	999	35.76%	1	0.04%
055 Sugar Hill D							
Polling	4070	733	61.03%	468	38.97%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4070	65	50.78%	63	49.22%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4070	488	57.01%	368	42.99%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4070	16	53.33%	14	46.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4070	104	69.33%	46	30.67%	0	0.00%
Total	4070	1406	59.45%	959	40.55%	0	0.00%
056 Duluth C							
Polling	2243	344	50.51%	336	49.34%	1	0.15%
Absentee by Mail	2243	50	51.02%	48	48.98%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2243	198	42.86%	264	57.14%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2243	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2243	21	29.58%	50	70.42%	0	0.00%
Total	2243	614	46.66%	701	53.27%	1	0.08%
057 Duluth D							
Polling	4404	426	40.96%	614	59.04%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4404	74	37.00%	126	63.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4404	327	33.20%	657	66.70%	1	0.10%
Provisional	4404	6	28.57%	15	71.43%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4404	72	49.32%	74	50.68%	0	0.00%
Total	4404	905	37.83%	1486	62.12%	1	0.04%
058 Pinckneyville K							
Polling	3547	198	22.71%	674	77.29%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3547	33	28.95%	81	71.05%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3547	73	15.21%	407	84.79%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3547	3	12.50%	21	87.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3547	25	28.09%	64	71.91%	0	0.00%
Total	3547	332	21.03%	1247	78.97%	0	0.00%
059 Pinckneyville N							
Polling	3717	185	17.47%	873	82.44%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	3717	11	15.07%	62	84.93%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3717	77	14.23%	464	85.77%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3717	2	12.50%	14	87.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3717	8	14.81%	46	85.19%	0	0.00%
Total	3717	283	16.24%	1459	83.71%	1	0.06%
060 Lawrenceville D							
Polling	4872	256	24.40%	791	75.41%	2	0.19%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo						
	Reg. Voters	M. BUTLER (I) R		R. KEATLEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	4872	21	17.21%	101	82.79%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4872	18	21.69%	65	78.31%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4872	6	25.00%	18	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4872	173	19.66%	706	80.23%	1	0.11%
Total	4872	474	21.96%	1681	77.90%	3	0.14%
061 Lawrenceville E							
Polling	2205	340	54.05%	289	45.95%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2205	52	51.49%	49	48.51%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2205	12	57.14%	9	42.86%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2205	2	66.67%	1	33.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2205	340	54.75%	281	45.25%	0	0.00%
Total	2205	746	54.25%	629	45.75%	0	0.00%
062 Pinckneyville L							
Polling	2233	760	69.47%	334	30.53%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2233	80	60.61%	52	39.39%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2233	219	57.33%	163	42.67%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2233	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2233	28	75.68%	9	24.32%	0	0.00%
Total	2233	1089	66.12%	558	33.88%	0	0.00%
063 Pinckneyville M							
Polling	2515	822	72.11%	317	27.81%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	2515	102	58.96%	71	41.04%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2515	292	63.48%	167	36.30%	1	0.22%
Provisional	2515	4	57.14%	3	42.86%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2515	12	66.67%	6	33.33%	0	0.00%
Total	2515	1232	68.52%	564	31.37%	2	0.11%
064 Garners D							
Polling	3127	234	27.18%	626	72.71%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	3127	22	19.64%	90	80.36%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3127	174	27.80%	452	72.20%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3127	1	12.50%	7	87.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3127	63	21.36%	232	78.64%	0	0.00%
Total	3127	494	25.97%	1407	73.97%	1	0.05%
065 Berkshire H							
Polling	1717	159	35.41%	290	64.59%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1717	24	41.38%	34	58.62%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1717	100	30.96%	223	69.04%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1717	1	9.09%	10	90.91%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1717	5	14.29%	30	85.71%	0	0.00%
Total	1717	289	32.99%	587	67.01%	0	0.00%
066 Pinckneyville O							
Polling	3267	179	23.49%	580	76.12%	3	0.39%
Absentee by Mail	3267	16	20.51%	62	79.49%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3267	134	21.27%	495	78.57%	1	0.16%
Provisional	3267	7	21.88%	25	78.13%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3267	4	14.29%	24	85.71%	0	0.00%
Total	3267	340	22.22%	1186	77.52%	4	0.26%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo

	Reg. Voters	M. BUTLER (I) R		R. KEATLEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
067 Pucketts E							
Polling	4771	577	50.44%	566	49.48%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	4771	81	44.02%	103	55.98%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4771	619	51.24%	588	48.68%	1	0.08%
Provisional	4771	14	51.85%	12	44.44%	1	3.70%
Advance in Person 2	4771	56	35.00%	104	65.00%	0	0.00%
Total	4771	1347	49.47%	1373	50.42%	3	0.11%
068 Cates I							
Polling	3395	623	53.94%	531	45.97%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	3395	64	44.44%	79	54.86%	1	0.69%
Advance in Person	3395	86	43.43%	112	56.57%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3395	2	25.00%	6	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3395	271	41.44%	383	58.56%	0	0.00%
Total	3395	1046	48.45%	1111	51.46%	2	0.09%
069 Cates J							
Polling	3266	499	45.00%	609	54.91%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	3266	41	34.17%	79	65.83%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3266	223	35.62%	402	64.22%	1	0.16%
Provisional	3266	5	38.46%	8	61.54%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3266	66	30.56%	150	69.44%	0	0.00%
Total	3266	834	40.02%	1248	59.88%	2	0.10%
070 Goodwins B							
Polling	3604	722	60.72%	467	39.28%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3604	81	52.94%	72	47.06%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3604	123	60.00%	82	40.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3604	4	57.14%	3	42.86%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3604	384	55.65%	306	44.35%	0	0.00%
Total	3604	1314	58.56%	930	41.44%	0	0.00%
071 Lawrenceville F							
Polling	3698	260	29.15%	632	70.85%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3698	25	16.89%	123	83.11%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3698	22	38.60%	35	61.40%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3698	3	20.00%	12	80.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3698	215	20.42%	838	79.58%	0	0.00%
Total	3698	525	24.25%	1640	75.75%	0	0.00%
072 Martins E							
Polling	5934	124	10.44%	1064	89.56%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5934	33	17.55%	155	82.45%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5934	50	7.25%	639	92.61%	1	0.14%
Provisional	5934	6	10.53%	51	89.47%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5934	22	8.84%	227	91.16%	0	0.00%
Total	5934	235	9.91%	2136	90.05%	1	0.04%
073 Pinckneyville P							
Polling	2048	450	51.14%	429	48.75%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	2048	53	44.92%	64	54.24%	1	0.85%
Advance in Person	2048	92	32.51%	191	67.49%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2048	4	57.14%	3	42.86%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo

	Reg. Voters	M. BUTLER (I) R		R. KEATLEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	2048	12	54.55%	10	45.45%	0	0.00%
Total	2048	611	46.64%	697	53.21%	2	0.15%
074 Pinckneyville Q							
Polling	1725	86	14.65%	501	85.35%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1725	0	0.00%	35	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1725	28	14.81%	161	85.19%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1725	1	8.33%	11	91.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1725	4	11.11%	32	88.89%	0	0.00%
Total	1725	119	13.85%	740	86.15%	0	0.00%
075 Rockbridge C							
Polling	4722	271	22.64%	926	77.36%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4722	41	20.81%	156	79.19%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4722	48	29.09%	117	70.91%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4722	1	6.25%	15	93.75%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4722	231	16.04%	1209	83.96%	0	0.00%
Total	4722	592	19.64%	2423	80.36%	0	0.00%
076 Rockbridge D							
Polling	3359	224	27.48%	591	72.52%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3359	35	24.82%	106	75.18%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3359	6	16.67%	30	83.33%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3359	6	23.08%	20	76.92%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3359	285	25.72%	822	74.19%	1	0.09%
Total	3359	556	26.15%	1569	73.80%	1	0.05%
077 Goodwins C							
Polling	5106	508	37.71%	838	62.21%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	5106	86	40.76%	124	58.77%	1	0.47%
Advance in Person	5106	65	23.21%	215	76.79%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5106	4	21.05%	15	78.95%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5106	291	34.52%	552	65.48%	0	0.00%
Total	5106	954	35.33%	1744	64.59%	2	0.07%
078 Baycreek K							
Polling	3536	455	49.14%	471	50.86%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3536	74	47.13%	83	52.87%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3536	22	61.11%	14	38.89%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3536	5	41.67%	7	58.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3536	525	45.77%	622	54.23%	0	0.00%
Total	3536	1081	47.45%	1197	52.55%	0	0.00%
079 Cates K							
Polling	2531	563	58.89%	393	41.11%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2531	43	61.43%	27	38.57%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2531	64	53.78%	55	46.22%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2531	2	25.00%	6	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2531	242	50.10%	241	49.90%	0	0.00%
Total	2531	914	55.87%	722	44.13%	0	0.00%
080 Baycreek C							
Polling	5755	765	47.25%	854	52.75%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5755	75	39.06%	117	60.94%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo

	Reg. Voters	M. BUTLER (I) R		R. KEATLEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	5755	48	48.48%	51	51.52%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5755	4	33.33%	8	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5755	552	30.84%	1237	69.11%	1	0.06%
Total	5755	1444	38.90%	2267	61.07%	1	0.03%
081 Cates L							
Polling	3751	509	45.45%	610	54.46%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	3751	52	36.62%	90	63.38%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3751	11	24.44%	34	75.56%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3751	2	20.00%	8	80.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3751	414	40.67%	604	59.33%	0	0.00%
Total	3751	988	42.31%	1346	57.64%	1	0.04%
082 Duluth E							
Polling	4580	366	31.55%	793	68.36%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	4580	52	32.30%	107	66.46%	2	1.24%
Advance in Person	4580	278	28.48%	697	71.41%	1	0.10%
Provisional	4580	6	27.27%	16	72.73%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4580	32	29.63%	76	70.37%	0	0.00%
Total	4580	734	30.24%	1689	69.59%	4	0.16%
083 Goodwins D							
Polling	3985	620	49.05%	643	50.87%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	3985	65	45.77%	77	54.23%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3985	38	36.19%	67	63.81%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3985	8	40.00%	12	60.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3985	334	44.01%	425	55.99%	0	0.00%
Total	3985	1065	46.51%	1224	53.45%	1	0.04%
084 Lawrenceville G							
Polling	2783	229	29.47%	547	70.40%	1	0.13%
Absentee by Mail	2783	24	25.81%	69	74.19%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2783	2	5.26%	36	94.74%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2783	6	28.57%	15	71.43%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2783	136	24.64%	416	75.36%	0	0.00%
Total	2783	397	26.81%	1083	73.13%	1	0.07%
085 Lawrenceville H							
Polling	3899	659	54.83%	543	45.17%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3899	81	51.27%	77	48.73%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3899	137	57.32%	101	42.26%	1	0.42%
Provisional	3899	5	45.45%	6	54.55%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3899	389	49.87%	391	50.13%	0	0.00%
Total	3899	1271	53.18%	1118	46.78%	1	0.04%
086 Martins F							
Polling	2401	179	26.88%	486	72.97%	1	0.15%
Absentee by Mail	2401	18	20.69%	69	79.31%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2401	59	18.27%	263	81.42%	1	0.31%
Provisional	2401	2	16.67%	10	83.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2401	21	21.00%	79	79.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2401	279	23.48%	907	76.35%	2	0.17%
087 Pinckneyville S							

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo

	Reg. Voters	M. BUTLER (I) R		R. KEATLEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	3013	324	34.47%	615	65.43%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	3013	60	41.10%	86	58.90%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3013	151	32.61%	312	67.39%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3013	2	18.18%	9	81.82%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3013	20	33.90%	39	66.10%	0	0.00%
Total	3013	557	34.40%	1061	65.53%	1	0.06%
088 Rockbridge E							
Polling	4199	314	27.81%	814	72.10%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	4199	22	18.33%	98	81.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4199	63	39.62%	96	60.38%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4199	5	12.82%	34	87.18%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4199	136	16.50%	687	83.37%	1	0.12%
Total	4199	540	23.78%	1729	76.13%	2	0.09%
089 Sugar Hill E							
Polling	3061	585	62.63%	349	37.37%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3061	56	46.67%	63	52.50%	1	0.83%
Advance in Person	3061	113	57.95%	82	42.05%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3061	15	68.18%	7	31.82%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3061	312	53.33%	272	46.50%	1	0.17%
Total	3061	1081	58.24%	773	41.65%	2	0.11%
090 Lawrenceville I							
Polling	3140	369	39.94%	553	59.85%	2	0.22%
Absentee by Mail	3140	44	35.48%	80	64.52%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3140	63	44.37%	79	55.63%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3140	2	22.22%	7	77.78%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3140	199	37.62%	330	62.38%	0	0.00%
Total	3140	677	39.18%	1049	60.71%	2	0.12%
091 Baycreek D							
Polling	4157	675	56.34%	523	43.66%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4157	66	40.00%	99	60.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4157	21	56.76%	16	43.24%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4157	6	54.55%	5	45.45%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4157	566	44.67%	701	55.33%	0	0.00%
Total	4157	1334	49.81%	1344	50.19%	0	0.00%
092 Berkshire J							
Polling	2595	510	62.89%	301	37.11%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2595	51	55.43%	41	44.57%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2595	431	53.81%	369	46.07%	1	0.12%
Provisional	2595	4	57.14%	3	42.86%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2595	33	43.42%	43	56.58%	0	0.00%
Total	2595	1029	57.58%	757	42.36%	1	0.06%
093 Cates M							
Polling	3794	788	62.05%	481	37.87%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	3794	84	43.30%	110	56.70%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3794	72	58.06%	52	41.94%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3794	4	50.00%	4	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3794	533	54.89%	437	45.01%	1	0.10%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo

	Reg. Voters	M. BUTLER (I) R		R. KEATLEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	3794	1481	57.69%	1084	42.23%	2	0.08%
094 Cates N							
Polling	2445	548	67.82%	260	32.18%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2445	72	67.29%	35	32.71%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2445	15	60.00%	10	40.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2445	1	20.00%	4	80.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2445	425	64.49%	234	35.51%	0	0.00%
Total	2445	1061	66.15%	543	33.85%	0	0.00%
095 Duluth F							
Polling	5413	275	21.60%	997	78.32%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	5413	52	29.21%	126	70.79%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5413	96	16.16%	498	83.84%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5413	3	11.54%	23	88.46%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5413	88	20.37%	344	79.63%	0	0.00%
Total	5413	514	20.54%	1988	79.42%	1	0.04%
096 Duluth G							
Polling	2775	546	59.74%	368	40.26%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2775	60	55.56%	48	44.44%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2775	155	46.83%	176	53.17%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2775	4	36.36%	7	63.64%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2775	204	59.13%	141	40.87%	0	0.00%
Total	2775	969	56.70%	740	43.30%	0	0.00%
097 Goodwins E							
Polling	4950	801	53.36%	697	46.44%	3	0.20%
Absentee by Mail	4950	78	34.82%	146	65.18%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4950	44	26.67%	121	73.33%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4950	3	17.65%	14	82.35%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4950	430	45.89%	507	54.11%	0	0.00%
Total	4950	1356	47.68%	1485	52.22%	3	0.11%
098 Goodwins F							
Polling	2904	406	46.45%	467	53.43%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	2904	53	40.46%	78	59.54%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2904	28	43.75%	36	56.25%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2904	2	16.67%	9	75.00%	1	8.33%
Advance in Person 2	2904	250	43.25%	328	56.75%	0	0.00%
Total	2904	739	44.54%	918	55.33%	2	0.12%
099 Pinckneyville T							
Polling	2874	355	41.52%	500	58.48%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2874	73	50.69%	71	49.31%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2874	152	32.48%	316	67.52%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2874	3	30.00%	7	70.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2874	15	34.09%	29	65.91%	0	0.00%
Total	2874	598	39.32%	923	60.68%	0	0.00%
100 Suwanee B							
Polling	4085	486	57.11%	364	42.77%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	4085	49	36.84%	84	63.16%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4085	9	30.00%	21	70.00%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo						
	Reg. Voters	M. BUTLER (I) R		R. KEATLEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	4085	4	66.67%	2	33.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4085	814	55.07%	664	44.93%	0	0.00%
Total	4085	1362	54.52%	1135	45.44%	1	0.04%
101 Pinckneyville U							
Polling	2007	376	45.91%	443	54.09%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2007	26	35.62%	47	64.38%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2007	87	30.10%	202	69.90%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2007	1	14.29%	6	85.71%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2007	15	46.88%	17	53.13%	0	0.00%
Total	2007	505	41.39%	715	58.61%	0	0.00%
102 Pucketts B							
Polling	2741	535	66.05%	274	33.83%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	2741	47	55.95%	37	44.05%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2741	544	65.86%	282	34.14%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2741	8	72.73%	3	27.27%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2741	44	69.84%	19	30.16%	0	0.00%
Total	2741	1178	65.66%	615	34.28%	1	0.06%
103 Berkshire L							
Polling	2915	237	26.39%	661	73.61%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2915	33	28.21%	84	71.79%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2915	77	23.05%	257	76.95%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2915	2	11.76%	15	88.24%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2915	45	24.32%	139	75.14%	1	0.54%
Total	2915	394	25.40%	1156	74.53%	1	0.06%
104 Rockbridge F							
Polling	5313	196	19.10%	829	80.80%	1	0.10%
Absentee by Mail	5313	51	24.88%	154	75.12%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5313	6	15.38%	33	84.62%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5313	3	15.79%	16	84.21%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5313	395	18.79%	1707	81.21%	0	0.00%
Total	5313	651	19.20%	2739	80.77%	1	0.03%
105 Sugar Hill F							
Polling	3687	767	61.80%	472	38.03%	2	0.16%
Absentee by Mail	3687	54	50.47%	53	49.53%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3687	50	41.32%	71	58.68%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3687	6	40.00%	9	60.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3687	402	59.12%	278	40.88%	0	0.00%
Total	3687	1279	59.10%	883	40.80%	2	0.09%
106 Suwanee C							
Polling	4823	692	58.01%	501	41.99%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4823	85	42.08%	116	57.43%	1	0.50%
Advance in Person	4823	48	46.15%	56	53.85%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4823	20	39.22%	31	60.78%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4823	656	54.04%	558	45.96%	0	0.00%
Total	4823	1501	54.31%	1262	45.66%	1	0.04%
107 Cates O							
Polling	1342	285	58.76%	200	41.24%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo						
	Reg. Voters	M. BUTLER (I) R		R. KEATLEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	1342	22	56.41%	17	43.59%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1342	97	53.01%	86	46.99%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1342	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1342	59	44.70%	73	55.30%	0	0.00%
Total	1342	463	55.05%	378	44.95%	0	0.00%
108 Baycreek E							
Polling	2863	452	49.34%	464	50.66%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2863	42	42.86%	56	57.14%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2863	12	38.71%	19	61.29%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2863	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2863	239	36.38%	418	63.62%	0	0.00%
Total	2863	746	43.73%	960	56.27%	0	0.00%
109 Berkshire M							
Polling	1638	334	58.70%	235	41.30%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1638	28	50.91%	27	49.09%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1638	227	54.05%	193	45.95%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1638	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1638	23	57.50%	17	42.50%	0	0.00%
Total	1638	613	56.34%	475	43.66%	0	0.00%
110 Martins G							
Polling	2187	206	30.79%	463	69.21%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2187	27	36.49%	47	63.51%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2187	4	11.76%	30	88.24%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2187	2	22.22%	7	77.78%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2187	81	23.21%	268	76.79%	0	0.00%
Total	2187	320	28.19%	815	71.81%	0	0.00%
111 Duluth H							
Polling	2662	349	48.07%	376	51.79%	1	0.14%
Absentee by Mail	2662	88	49.16%	91	50.84%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2662	259	45.04%	316	54.96%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2662	4	80.00%	1	20.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2662	58	50.88%	56	49.12%	0	0.00%
Total	2662	758	47.40%	840	52.53%	1	0.06%
112 Berkshire N							
Polling	2836	376	59.03%	261	40.97%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2836	69	53.91%	59	46.09%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2836	675	56.58%	518	43.42%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2836	2	50.00%	2	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2836	29	78.38%	8	21.62%	0	0.00%
Total	2836	1151	57.58%	848	42.42%	0	0.00%
113 Pinckneyville V							
Polling	1572	166	43.68%	213	56.05%	1	0.26%
Absentee by Mail	1572	22	36.07%	39	63.93%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1572	105	30.97%	234	69.03%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1572	7	46.67%	8	53.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1572	5	45.45%	6	54.55%	0	0.00%
Total	1572	305	37.84%	500	62.03%	1	0.12%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo

	Reg. Voters	M. BUTLER (I) R		R. KEATLEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
114 Pinckneyville W							
Polling	3885	555	45.94%	653	54.06%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3885	55	38.46%	88	61.54%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3885	277	38.58%	441	61.42%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3885	6	30.00%	14	70.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3885	20	43.48%	26	56.52%	0	0.00%
Total	3885	913	42.76%	1222	57.24%	0	0.00%
115 Martins H							
Polling	4487	429	33.44%	853	66.48%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	4487	76	35.02%	141	64.98%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4487	53	23.25%	175	76.75%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4487	2	13.33%	13	86.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4487	231	31.82%	493	67.91%	2	0.28%
Total	4487	791	32.04%	1675	67.84%	3	0.12%
116 Martins I							
Polling	3518	233	25.00%	699	75.00%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3518	53	33.54%	105	66.46%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3518	57	17.65%	266	82.35%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3518	2	11.76%	15	88.24%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3518	64	24.52%	197	75.48%	0	0.00%
Total	3518	409	24.19%	1282	75.81%	0	0.00%
117 Martins J							
Polling	3809	189	18.26%	844	81.55%	2	0.19%
Absentee by Mail	3809	15	12.50%	105	87.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3809	45	12.64%	311	87.36%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3809	0	0.00%	20	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3809	58	21.64%	210	78.36%	0	0.00%
Total	3809	307	17.07%	1490	82.82%	2	0.11%
118 Garners F							
Polling	1558	233	50.87%	225	49.13%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1558	16	39.02%	25	60.98%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1558	246	52.34%	224	47.66%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1558	2	50.00%	2	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1558	5	31.25%	11	68.75%	0	0.00%
Total	1558	502	50.76%	487	49.24%	0	0.00%
119 Berkshire O							
Polling	2422	508	58.39%	361	41.49%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	2422	59	57.28%	44	42.72%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2422	284	59.04%	197	40.96%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2422	5	55.56%	4	44.44%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2422	80	54.42%	67	45.58%	0	0.00%
Total	2422	936	58.14%	673	41.80%	1	0.06%
120 Berkshire P							
Polling	1339	152	39.79%	230	60.21%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1339	18	28.57%	45	71.43%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1339	58	30.85%	130	69.15%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1339	2	25.00%	6	75.00%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo

	Reg. Voters	M. BUTLER (I) R		R. KEATLEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	1339	23	40.35%	34	59.65%	0	0.00%
Total	1339	253	36.25%	445	63.75%	0	0.00%
121 Pinckneyville X							
Polling	3198	592	55.43%	475	44.48%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	3198	91	42.72%	122	57.28%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3198	341	46.08%	399	53.92%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3198	2	40.00%	3	60.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3198	33	46.48%	38	53.52%	0	0.00%
Total	3198	1059	50.50%	1037	49.45%	1	0.05%
122 Rockbridge G							
Polling	5188	257	22.47%	886	77.45%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	5188	48	20.34%	188	79.66%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5188	37	34.91%	69	65.09%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5188	4	9.76%	37	90.24%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5188	289	18.18%	1301	81.82%	0	0.00%
Total	5188	635	20.37%	2481	79.60%	1	0.03%
123 Pinckneyville Y							
Polling	3018	143	18.62%	625	81.38%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3018	14	21.88%	50	78.13%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3018	77	17.26%	369	82.74%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3018	2	8.00%	23	92.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3018	20	32.26%	41	66.13%	1	1.61%
Total	3018	256	18.75%	1108	81.17%	1	0.07%
124 Goodwins G							
Polling	2448	234	30.99%	521	69.01%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2448	17	24.29%	53	75.71%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2448	15	21.43%	55	78.57%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2448	1	10.00%	9	90.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2448	107	25.78%	307	73.98%	1	0.24%
Total	2448	374	28.33%	945	71.59%	1	0.08%
125 Pinckneyville Z							
Polling	1806	610	70.11%	259	29.77%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	1806	47	50.54%	46	49.46%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1806	189	61.76%	117	38.24%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1806	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1806	22	70.97%	9	29.03%	0	0.00%
Total	1806	870	66.82%	431	33.10%	1	0.08%
126 Pinckneyville A1							
Polling	2596	806	69.54%	353	30.46%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2596	91	56.52%	69	42.86%	1	0.62%
Advance in Person	2596	274	57.93%	199	42.07%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2596	4	57.14%	3	42.86%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2596	23	47.92%	25	52.08%	0	0.00%
Total	2596	1198	64.83%	649	35.12%	1	0.05%
127 Lawrenceville J							
Polling	1959	257	41.65%	360	58.35%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1959	20	25.00%	59	73.75%	1	1.25%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo

	Reg. Voters	M. BUTLER (I) R		R. KEATLEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	1959	12	25.53%	35	74.47%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1959	1	16.67%	5	83.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1959	99	26.05%	281	73.95%	0	0.00%
Total	1959	389	34.42%	740	65.49%	1	0.09%
128 Goodwins H							
Polling	2561	452	54.59%	376	45.41%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2561	45	41.28%	64	58.72%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2561	19	37.25%	32	62.75%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2561	4	33.33%	8	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2561	226	42.48%	306	57.52%	0	0.00%
Total	2561	746	48.69%	786	51.31%	0	0.00%
129 Duncans B							
Polling	4655	1163	72.87%	433	27.13%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4655	89	60.14%	59	39.86%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4655	822	71.79%	323	28.21%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4655	4	66.67%	2	33.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4655	62	55.86%	49	44.14%	0	0.00%
Total	4655	2140	71.19%	866	28.81%	0	0.00%
130 Suwanee D							
Polling	4247	961	69.54%	418	30.25%	3	0.22%
Absentee by Mail	4247	85	50.30%	84	49.70%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4247	45	51.14%	43	48.86%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4247	6	40.00%	9	60.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4247	755	66.46%	381	33.54%	0	0.00%
Total	4247	1852	66.38%	935	33.51%	3	0.11%
131 Suwanee E							
Polling	3921	711	66.26%	362	33.74%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3921	85	46.45%	98	53.55%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3921	20	43.48%	26	56.52%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3921	14	66.67%	7	33.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3921	776	61.49%	486	38.51%	0	0.00%
Total	3921	1606	62.13%	979	37.87%	0	0.00%
132 Lawrenceville K							
Polling	2915	539	53.21%	474	46.79%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2915	54	49.54%	55	50.46%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2915	100	56.50%	77	43.50%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2915	1	20.00%	4	80.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2915	224	42.59%	302	57.41%	0	0.00%
Total	2915	918	50.16%	912	49.84%	0	0.00%
133 Harbins B							
Polling	4220	572	58.13%	411	41.77%	1	0.10%
Absentee by Mail	4220	43	45.26%	52	54.74%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4220	856	65.64%	448	34.36%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4220	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4220	62	43.06%	82	56.94%	0	0.00%
Total	4220	1534	60.61%	996	39.35%	1	0.04%
134 Baycreek F							

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo

	Reg. Voters	M. BUTLER (I) R		R. KEATLEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	4119	483	40.28%	715	59.63%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	4119	61	34.66%	115	65.34%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4119	16	43.24%	21	56.76%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4119	1	10.00%	9	90.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4119	330	27.75%	859	72.25%	0	0.00%
Total	4119	891	34.12%	1719	65.84%	1	0.04%
135 Pucketts C							
Polling	2931	535	64.00%	300	35.89%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	2931	54	54.00%	46	46.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2931	457	63.12%	266	36.74%	1	0.14%
Provisional	2931	9	56.25%	7	43.75%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2931	32	42.67%	43	57.33%	0	0.00%
Total	2931	1087	62.08%	662	37.81%	2	0.11%
136 Hog Mountain C							
Polling	3832	736	60.68%	477	39.32%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3832	45	37.19%	76	62.81%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3832	364	55.83%	288	44.17%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3832	4	50.00%	4	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3832	121	53.78%	104	46.22%	0	0.00%
Total	3832	1270	57.23%	949	42.77%	0	0.00%
137 Rockycreek B							
Polling	4677	808	58.94%	562	40.99%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	4677	48	37.21%	81	62.79%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4677	656	60.97%	420	39.03%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4677	2	25.00%	6	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4677	109	47.39%	121	52.61%	0	0.00%
Total	4677	1623	57.68%	1190	42.29%	1	0.04%
138 Duluth I							
Polling	4491	702	57.17%	526	42.83%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4491	148	49.66%	150	50.34%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4491	219	47.40%	243	52.60%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4491	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4491	399	59.29%	274	40.71%	0	0.00%
Total	4491	1472	55.23%	1193	44.77%	0	0.00%
139 Martins K							
Polling	4796	347	24.68%	1057	75.18%	2	0.14%
Absentee by Mail	4796	50	29.59%	119	70.41%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4796	29	14.08%	177	85.92%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4796	4	18.18%	18	81.82%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4796	127	15.93%	670	84.07%	0	0.00%
Total	4796	557	21.42%	2041	78.50%	2	0.08%
140 Suwanee H							
Polling	3480	734	72.17%	283	27.83%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3480	106	55.79%	84	44.21%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3480	28	56.00%	22	44.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3480	17	77.27%	5	22.73%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3480	785	71.56%	312	28.44%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo

	Reg. Voters	M. BUTLER (I) R		R. KEATLEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	3480	1670	70.29%	706	29.71%	0	0.00%
141 Sugar Hill G							
Polling	2601	632	72.56%	237	27.21%	2	0.23%
Absentee by Mail	2601	40	44.94%	49	55.06%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2601	66	51.56%	62	48.44%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2601	11	68.75%	5	31.25%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2601	368	63.67%	210	36.33%	0	0.00%
Total	2601	1117	66.41%	563	33.47%	2	0.12%
142 Suwanee F							
Polling	3598	626	58.78%	439	41.22%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3598	54	37.50%	90	62.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3598	24	33.80%	47	66.20%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3598	6	46.15%	7	53.85%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3598	435	51.30%	413	48.70%	0	0.00%
Total	3598	1145	53.48%	996	46.52%	0	0.00%
143 Lawrenceville L							
Polling	4355	570	43.12%	750	56.73%	2	0.15%
Absentee by Mail	4355	82	44.32%	103	55.68%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4355	19	35.85%	34	64.15%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4355	4	26.67%	11	73.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4355	429	38.82%	676	61.18%	0	0.00%
Total	4355	1104	41.19%	1574	58.73%	2	0.07%
144 Lawrenceville M							
Polling	5956	346	22.78%	1171	77.09%	2	0.13%
Absentee by Mail	5956	41	22.16%	144	77.84%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5956	55	20.83%	209	79.17%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5956	5	25.00%	15	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5956	203	15.66%	1092	84.26%	1	0.08%
Total	5956	650	19.79%	2631	80.12%	3	0.09%
145 Baycreek G							
Polling	5092	666	43.22%	874	56.72%	1	0.06%
Absentee by Mail	5092	59	33.91%	115	66.09%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5092	20	37.04%	34	62.96%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5092	3	23.08%	10	76.92%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5092	409	28.38%	1032	71.62%	0	0.00%
Total	5092	1157	35.90%	2065	64.07%	1	0.03%
146 Baycreek H							
Polling	5697	985	57.74%	720	42.20%	1	0.06%
Absentee by Mail	5697	90	41.67%	126	58.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5697	54	53.47%	47	46.53%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5697	2	22.22%	7	77.78%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5697	718	44.57%	893	55.43%	0	0.00%
Total	5697	1849	50.75%	1793	49.22%	1	0.03%
147 Baycreek I							
Polling	5162	531	41.88%	737	58.12%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5162	69	35.57%	125	64.43%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5162	23	42.59%	31	57.41%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo						
	Reg. Voters	M. BUTLER (I) R		R. KEATLEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	5162	7	50.00%	7	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5162	535	35.36%	977	64.57%	1	0.07%
Total	5162	1165	38.28%	1877	61.68%	1	0.03%
148 Berkshire Q							
Polling	1644	295	55.45%	237	44.55%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1644	32	58.18%	23	41.82%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1644	208	51.74%	194	48.26%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1644	3	50.00%	3	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1644	17	58.62%	12	41.38%	0	0.00%
Total	1644	555	54.20%	469	45.80%	0	0.00%
149 Goodwins I							
Polling	2341	505	57.45%	374	42.55%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2341	60	47.62%	65	51.59%	1	0.79%
Advance in Person	2341	23	27.38%	61	72.62%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2341	2	28.57%	5	71.43%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2341	239	52.64%	215	47.36%	0	0.00%
Total	2341	829	53.48%	720	46.45%	1	0.06%
150 Duluth K							
Polling	2694	112	20.55%	432	79.27%	1	0.18%
Absentee by Mail	2694	12	22.22%	42	77.78%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2694	71	18.59%	310	81.15%	1	0.26%
Provisional	2694	2	22.22%	7	77.78%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2694	3	7.89%	35	92.11%	0	0.00%
Total	2694	200	19.46%	826	80.35%	2	0.19%
151 Harbins C							
Polling	2369	675	71.05%	275	28.95%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2369	41	53.95%	35	46.05%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2369	168	56.57%	129	43.43%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2369	3	50.00%	3	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2369	118	42.45%	160	57.55%	0	0.00%
Total	2369	1005	62.54%	602	37.46%	0	0.00%
152 Rockycreek C							
Polling	4504	755	56.51%	580	43.41%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	4504	63	47.73%	69	52.27%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4504	717	58.96%	499	41.04%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4504	4	66.67%	2	33.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4504	83	39.15%	129	60.85%	0	0.00%
Total	4504	1622	55.89%	1279	44.07%	1	0.03%
153 Lawrenceville N							
Polling	2343	298	49.09%	308	50.74%	1	0.16%
Absentee by Mail	2343	40	51.28%	38	48.72%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2343	5	21.74%	18	78.26%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2343	5	26.32%	14	73.68%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2343	191	36.80%	327	63.01%	1	0.19%
Total	2343	539	43.26%	705	56.58%	2	0.16%
154 Pucketts D							
Polling	3166	564	64.98%	304	35.02%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Commissioner of Labor Comisionado de Trabajo						
	Reg. Voters	M. BUTLER (I) R		R. KEATLEY (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	3166	77	55.40%	62	44.60%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3166	594	68.28%	275	31.61%	1	0.11%
Provisional	3166	10	62.50%	6	37.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3166	55	70.51%	23	29.49%	0	0.00%
Total	3166	1300	65.96%	670	33.99%	1	0.05%
155 Suwanee G							
Polling	3451	632	55.58%	505	44.42%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3451	53	38.97%	83	61.03%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3451	33	39.29%	51	60.71%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3451	6	40.00%	9	60.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3451	343	45.07%	418	54.93%	0	0.00%
Total	3451	1067	50.02%	1066	49.98%	0	0.00%
156 Baycreek J							
Polling	4038	454	38.25%	732	61.67%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	4038	49	30.63%	111	69.38%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4038	16	32.00%	34	68.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4038	2	22.22%	7	77.78%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4038	342	29.53%	816	70.47%	0	0.00%
Total	4038	863	33.66%	1700	66.30%	1	0.04%
Total							
Polling	525568	72917	48.00%	78901	51.94%	92	0.06%
Absentee by Mail	525568	8114	40.39%	11963	59.55%	11	0.05%
Advance in Person	525568	28540	46.31%	33066	53.66%	21	0.03%
Advance in Person 2	525568	28644	38.94%	44890	61.03%	26	0.04%
Provisional	525568	697	32.19%	1466	67.71%	2	0.09%
Total	525568	138912	44.90%	170286	55.05%	152	0.05%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton										
	Reg. Voters	C. EATON (I) R		L. MILLER (D)		R. GRAHAM (L)		Write-In Votes			
Jurisdiction Wide											
001 Harbins A											
Polling	6123	771	50.29%	699	45.60%	62	4.04%	1	0.07%		
Absentee by Mail	6123	69	35.94%	118	61.46%	5	2.60%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	6123	623	55.87%	465	41.70%	27	2.42%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	6123	3	20.00%	12	80.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	6123	310	38.56%	476	59.20%	16	1.99%	2	0.25%		
Total	6123	1776	48.54%	1770	48.37%	110	3.01%	3	0.08%		
002 Rockbridge A											
Polling	3653	148	18.07%	648	79.12%	23	2.81%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	3653	28	20.59%	107	78.68%	1	0.74%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	3653	27	22.88%	90	76.27%	1	0.85%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	3653	31	37.80%	49	59.76%	2	2.44%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	3653	189	17.60%	868	80.82%	17	1.58%	0	0.00%		
Total	3653	423	18.98%	1762	79.05%	44	1.97%	0	0.00%		
003 Dacula											
Polling	4478	473	42.04%	610	54.22%	42	3.73%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	4478	32	24.81%	97	75.19%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	4478	561	47.02%	606	50.80%	26	2.18%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	4478	3	37.50%	5	62.50%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	4478	63	26.47%	168	70.59%	7	2.94%	0	0.00%		
Total	4478	1132	42.03%	1486	55.18%	75	2.78%	0	0.00%		
004 Suwanee A											
Polling	1551	187	50.13%	176	47.18%	10	2.68%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	1551	24	55.81%	19	44.19%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	1551	14	37.84%	23	62.16%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	1551	12	75.00%	3	18.75%	1	6.25%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	1551	170	43.48%	216	55.24%	5	1.28%	0	0.00%		
Total	1551	407	47.33%	437	50.81%	16	1.86%	0	0.00%		
005 Baycreek A											
Polling	2555	501	57.52%	334	38.35%	36	4.13%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	2555	52	50.00%	48	46.15%	4	3.85%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2555	18	51.43%	15	42.86%	2	5.71%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2555	18	64.29%	10	35.71%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2555	321	46.12%	363	52.16%	12	1.72%	0	0.00%		
Total	2555	910	52.48%	770	44.41%	54	3.11%	0	0.00%		
006 Goodwins A											
Polling	3583	468	40.17%	641	55.02%	55	4.72%	1	0.09%		
Absentee by Mail	3583	47	37.01%	73	57.48%	7	5.51%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	3583	27	25.23%	74	69.16%	6	5.61%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	3583	4	23.53%	13	76.47%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	3583	252	37.95%	388	58.43%	23	3.46%	1	0.15%		
Total	3583	798	38.37%	1189	57.16%	91	4.38%	2	0.10%		
007 Duluth A											
Polling	4599	683	49.71%	629	45.78%	62	4.51%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	4599	75	34.40%	130	59.63%	13	5.96%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	4599	279	35.68%	487	62.28%	16	2.05%	0	0.00%		

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton										
	Reg. Voters	C. EATON (I) R		L. MILLER (D)		R. GRAHAM (L)		Write-In Votes			
Provisional	4599	6	50.00%	6	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	4599	245	45.71%	269	50.19%	22	4.10%	0	0.00%		
Total	4599	1288	44.08%	1521	52.05%	113	3.87%	0	0.00%		
008 Duncans A											
Polling	4278	832	65.10%	387	30.28%	59	4.62%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	4278	74	56.92%	51	39.23%	5	3.85%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	4278	866	68.40%	374	29.54%	25	1.97%	1	0.08%		
Provisional	4278	6	46.15%	4	30.77%	3	23.08%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	4278	94	54.65%	74	43.02%	4	2.33%	0	0.00%		
Total	4278	1872	65.48%	890	31.13%	96	3.36%	1	0.03%		
009 Pucketts A											
Polling	4844	700	63.75%	339	30.87%	58	5.28%	1	0.09%		
Absentee by Mail	4844	101	56.74%	74	41.57%	3	1.69%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	4844	1217	67.57%	526	29.21%	58	3.22%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	4844	3	75.00%	1	25.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	4844	30	55.56%	22	40.74%	2	3.70%	0	0.00%		
Total	4844	2051	65.42%	962	30.69%	121	3.86%	1	0.03%		
010 Cates A											
Polling	2209	391	61.77%	222	35.07%	20	3.16%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	2209	97	61.01%	61	38.36%	1	0.63%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2209	17	68.00%	7	28.00%	1	4.00%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2209	1	25.00%	2	50.00%	1	25.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2209	330	54.73%	258	42.79%	15	2.49%	0	0.00%		
Total	2209	836	58.71%	550	38.62%	38	2.67%	0	0.00%		
011 Berkshire A											
Polling	2247	222	34.69%	400	62.50%	18	2.81%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	2247	24	25.00%	69	71.88%	3	3.13%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2247	76	22.75%	250	74.85%	8	2.40%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2247	1	8.33%	11	91.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2247	32	50.00%	31	48.44%	1	1.56%	0	0.00%		
Total	2247	355	30.98%	761	66.40%	30	2.62%	0	0.00%		
012 Berkshire B											
Polling	5010	183	20.29%	674	74.72%	45	4.99%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	5010	54	31.40%	112	65.12%	6	3.49%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	5010	348	23.85%	1062	72.79%	49	3.36%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	5010	2	8.70%	21	91.30%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	5010	18	23.38%	57	74.03%	2	2.60%	0	0.00%		
Total	5010	605	22.98%	1926	73.15%	102	3.87%	0	0.00%		
013 Duncans C											
Polling	2828	753	75.00%	220	21.91%	31	3.09%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	2828	51	57.95%	31	35.23%	6	6.82%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2828	523	68.37%	225	29.41%	17	2.22%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2828	4	57.14%	3	42.86%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2828	43	53.75%	34	42.50%	3	3.75%	0	0.00%		
Total	2828	1374	70.68%	513	26.39%	57	2.93%	0	0.00%		
014 Garners A											
Polling	3302	571	57.50%	362	36.46%	60	6.04%	0	0.00%		

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton										
	Reg. Voters	C. EATON (I) R		L. MILLER (D)		R. GRAHAM (L)		Write-In Votes			
Absentee by Mail	3302	66	49.62%	64	48.12%	3	2.26%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	3302	555	55.11%	429	42.60%	23	2.28%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	3302	4	44.44%	3	33.33%	2	22.22%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	3302	44	39.64%	63	56.76%	4	3.60%	0	0.00%		
Total	3302	1240	55.04%	921	40.88%	92	4.08%	0	0.00%		
015 Lawrenceville A											
Polling	3300	287	40.37%	392	55.13%	32	4.50%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	3300	70	47.62%	73	49.66%	4	2.72%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	3300	7	41.18%	10	58.82%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	3300	0	0.00%	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	3300	349	46.85%	384	51.54%	11	1.48%	1	0.13%		
Total	3300	713	43.90%	863	53.14%	47	2.89%	1	0.06%		
016 Lawrenceville B											
Polling	4520	355	34.91%	630	61.95%	32	3.15%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	4520	51	28.98%	122	69.32%	3	1.70%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	4520	10	22.22%	35	77.78%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	4520	4	33.33%	8	66.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	4520	382	26.66%	1022	71.32%	29	2.02%	0	0.00%		
Total	4520	802	29.89%	1817	67.72%	64	2.39%	0	0.00%		
017 Martins A											
Polling	3019	166	20.19%	620	75.43%	36	4.38%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	3019	16	16.49%	79	81.44%	2	2.06%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	3019	43	17.20%	205	82.00%	2	0.80%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	3019	2	18.18%	9	81.82%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	3019	50	16.03%	255	81.73%	7	2.24%	0	0.00%		
Total	3019	277	18.57%	1168	78.28%	47	3.15%	0	0.00%		
018 Martins B											
Polling	2796	264	32.04%	533	64.68%	26	3.16%	1	0.12%		
Absentee by Mail	2796	28	30.11%	62	66.67%	3	3.23%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2796	13	13.83%	79	84.04%	2	2.13%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2796	3	14.29%	18	85.71%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2796	139	30.96%	298	66.37%	12	2.67%	0	0.00%		
Total	2796	447	30.18%	990	66.85%	43	2.90%	1	0.07%		
019 Martins C											
Polling	2349	335	39.88%	481	57.26%	23	2.74%	1	0.12%		
Absentee by Mail	2349	30	39.47%	42	55.26%	4	5.26%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2349	82	41.21%	115	57.79%	2	1.01%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2349	2	20.00%	8	80.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2349	93	37.50%	150	60.48%	5	2.02%	0	0.00%		
Total	2349	542	39.48%	796	57.98%	34	2.48%	1	0.07%		
020 Pinckneyville A											
Polling	2603	121	17.11%	542	76.66%	43	6.08%	1	0.14%		
Absentee by Mail	2603	14	16.47%	67	78.82%	4	4.71%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2603	44	11.31%	337	86.63%	8	2.06%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2603	6	31.58%	12	63.16%	1	5.26%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2603	6	10.53%	51	89.47%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Total	2603	191	15.19%	1009	80.27%	56	4.46%	1	0.08%		

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton

	Reg. Voters	C. EATON (I) R		L. MILLER (D)		R. GRAHAM (L)		Write-In Votes	
021 Pinckneyville B									
Polling	3216	144	21.82%	489	74.09%	26	3.94%	1	0.15%
Absentee by Mail	3216	29	24.79%	85	72.65%	3	2.56%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3216	111	19.20%	445	76.99%	22	3.81%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3216	7	18.92%	30	81.08%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3216	5	17.86%	22	78.57%	1	3.57%	0	0.00%
Total	3216	296	20.85%	1071	75.42%	52	3.66%	1	0.07%
022 Pinckneyville C									
Polling	1796	391	49.49%	351	44.43%	48	6.08%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1796	53	44.54%	62	52.10%	4	3.36%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1796	120	39.87%	176	58.47%	5	1.66%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1796	1	20.00%	3	60.00%	1	20.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1796	21	46.67%	23	51.11%	1	2.22%	0	0.00%
Total	1796	586	46.51%	615	48.81%	59	4.68%	0	0.00%
023 Pinckneyville D									
Polling	2042	99	19.19%	398	77.13%	19	3.68%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2042	15	23.08%	48	73.85%	2	3.08%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2042	56	20.07%	220	78.85%	3	1.08%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2042	1	6.67%	12	80.00%	2	13.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2042	6	15.38%	33	84.62%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2042	177	19.37%	711	77.79%	26	2.84%	0	0.00%
024 Sugar Hill A									
Polling	4001	631	59.14%	391	36.64%	45	4.22%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4001	49	37.98%	77	59.69%	3	2.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4001	362	53.55%	300	44.38%	14	2.07%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4001	10	50.00%	8	40.00%	2	10.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4001	112	50.45%	109	49.10%	1	0.45%	0	0.00%
Total	4001	1164	55.06%	885	41.86%	65	3.07%	0	0.00%
025 Sugar Hill B									
Polling	4835	801	54.45%	611	41.54%	58	3.94%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	4835	72	46.45%	76	49.03%	7	4.52%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4835	221	50.23%	205	46.59%	14	3.18%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4835	15	75.00%	5	25.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4835	309	52.46%	257	43.63%	23	3.90%	0	0.00%
Total	4835	1418	53.01%	1154	43.14%	102	3.81%	1	0.04%
026 Hog Mountain A									
Polling	4467	523	40.26%	721	55.50%	55	4.23%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4467	49	31.01%	103	65.19%	6	3.80%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4467	138	36.90%	230	61.50%	6	1.60%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4467	7	31.82%	15	68.18%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4467	196	33.73%	377	64.89%	8	1.38%	0	0.00%
Total	4467	913	37.51%	1446	59.41%	75	3.08%	0	0.00%
027 Hog Mountain B									
Polling	4211	819	59.48%	509	36.96%	49	3.56%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4211	79	47.31%	85	50.90%	3	1.80%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4211	478	58.51%	319	39.05%	20	2.45%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4211	7	58.33%	5	41.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton									
	Reg. Voters	C. EATON (I) R		L. MILLER (D)		R. GRAHAM (L)		Write-In Votes		
Advance in Person 2	4211	157	45.24%	185	53.31%	5	1.44%	0	0.00%
Total	4211	1540	56.62%	1103	40.55%	77	2.83%	0	0.00%
028 Rockycreek A									
Polling	5149	825	55.63%	601	40.53%	57	3.84%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5149	62	48.06%	66	51.16%	1	0.78%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5149	874	59.58%	573	39.06%	19	1.30%	1	0.07%
Provisional	5149	3	50.00%	2	33.33%	1	16.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5149	55	32.54%	111	65.68%	3	1.78%	0	0.00%
Total	5149	1819	55.90%	1353	41.58%	81	2.49%	1	0.03%
029 Cates B									
Polling	1559	338	60.36%	192	34.29%	29	5.18%	1	0.18%
Absentee by Mail	1559	32	55.17%	25	43.10%	1	1.72%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1559	92	53.80%	69	40.35%	10	5.85%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1559	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1559	134	58.52%	88	38.43%	7	3.06%	0	0.00%
Total	1559	598	58.63%	374	36.67%	47	4.61%	1	0.10%
030 Cates C									
Polling	2599	282	38.06%	437	58.97%	22	2.97%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2599	36	42.86%	45	53.57%	3	3.57%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2599	7	29.17%	17	70.83%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2599	1	12.50%	7	87.50%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2599	232	30.69%	513	67.86%	11	1.46%	0	0.00%
Total	2599	558	34.59%	1019	63.17%	36	2.23%	0	0.00%
031 Hog Mountain D									
Polling	2958	506	55.54%	358	39.30%	47	5.16%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2958	40	36.70%	67	61.47%	2	1.83%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2958	207	61.06%	120	35.40%	12	3.54%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2958	9	75.00%	2	16.67%	1	8.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2958	159	47.04%	167	49.41%	12	3.55%	0	0.00%
Total	2958	921	53.89%	714	41.78%	74	4.33%	0	0.00%
032 Berkshire D									
Polling	2684	419	51.22%	356	43.52%	43	5.26%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2684	57	43.85%	69	53.08%	4	3.08%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2684	333	45.74%	378	51.92%	17	2.34%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2684	3	37.50%	4	50.00%	1	12.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2684	39	46.43%	42	50.00%	3	3.57%	0	0.00%
Total	2684	851	48.13%	849	48.02%	68	3.85%	0	0.00%
033 Berkshire E									
Polling	1866	369	57.75%	244	38.18%	25	3.91%	1	0.16%
Absentee by Mail	1866	37	44.58%	45	54.22%	1	1.20%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1866	257	47.59%	269	49.81%	14	2.59%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1866	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1866	22	59.46%	15	40.54%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	1866	685	52.69%	574	44.15%	40	3.08%	1	0.08%
034 Berkshire F									
Polling	2003	241	37.48%	374	58.16%	27	4.20%	1	0.16%
Absentee by Mail	2003	35	43.21%	42	51.85%	4	4.94%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton

	Reg. Voters	C. EATON (I) R		L. MILLER (D)		R. GRAHAM (L)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	2003	59	23.41%	187	74.21%	6	2.38%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2003	2	18.18%	9	81.82%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2003	30	39.47%	44	57.89%	2	2.63%	0	0.00%
Total	2003	367	34.52%	656	61.71%	39	3.67%	1	0.09%
035 Cates D - 04									
Polling	2605	422	50.48%	375	44.86%	39	4.67%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2605	44	43.56%	52	51.49%	5	4.95%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2605	82	46.59%	89	50.57%	5	2.84%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2605	8	72.73%	3	27.27%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2605	225	44.73%	269	53.48%	9	1.79%	0	0.00%
Total	2605	781	48.00%	788	48.43%	58	3.56%	0	0.00%
035 Cates D - 07									
Polling	585	100	52.08%	86	44.79%	6	3.13%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	585	5	18.52%	22	81.48%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	585	18	25.00%	51	70.83%	3	4.17%	0	0.00%
Provisional	585	1	33.33%	1	33.33%	1	33.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	585	25	37.31%	41	61.19%	1	1.49%	0	0.00%
Total	585	149	41.27%	201	55.68%	11	3.05%	0	0.00%
036 Cates E									
Polling	2744	480	51.01%	424	45.06%	37	3.93%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2744	47	39.50%	71	59.66%	1	0.84%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2744	211	43.96%	257	53.54%	12	2.50%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2744	1	11.11%	8	88.89%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2744	178	52.05%	158	46.20%	6	1.75%	0	0.00%
Total	2744	917	48.49%	918	48.55%	56	2.96%	0	0.00%
037 Pinckneyville E									
Polling	2183	127	18.68%	529	77.79%	24	3.53%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2183	6	11.54%	44	84.62%	2	3.85%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2183	31	12.35%	213	84.86%	7	2.79%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2183	1	8.33%	10	83.33%	1	8.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2183	6	27.27%	16	72.73%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2183	171	16.81%	812	79.84%	34	3.34%	0	0.00%
038 Pinckneyville F									
Polling	2301	163	25.79%	440	69.62%	28	4.43%	1	0.16%
Absentee by Mail	2301	25	27.78%	62	68.89%	3	3.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2301	81	25.16%	225	69.88%	15	4.66%	1	0.31%
Provisional	2301	3	50.00%	3	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2301	7	18.92%	29	78.38%	1	2.70%	0	0.00%
Total	2301	279	25.67%	759	69.83%	47	4.32%	2	0.18%
039 Duncans D									
Polling	6085	1180	65.16%	561	30.98%	70	3.87%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	6085	110	51.89%	92	43.40%	9	4.25%	1	0.47%
Advance in Person	6085	1107	69.54%	447	28.08%	38	2.39%	0	0.00%
Provisional	6085	6	40.00%	8	53.33%	1	6.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	6085	96	58.54%	67	40.85%	1	0.61%	0	0.00%
Total	6085	2499	65.87%	1175	30.97%	119	3.14%	1	0.03%
040 Pinckneyville H									

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton								
	Reg. Voters	C. EATON (I) R		L. MILLER (D)		R. GRAHAM (L)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	4126	652	47.56%	674	49.16%	44	3.21%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	4126	70	30.84%	151	66.52%	6	2.64%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4126	458	43.45%	572	54.27%	23	2.18%	1	0.09%
Provisional	4126	6	46.15%	6	46.15%	0	0.00%	1	7.69%
Advance in Person 2	4126	31	38.75%	49	61.25%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	4126	1217	44.34%	1452	52.90%	73	2.66%	3	0.11%
041 Baycreek B									
Polling	5349	547	33.31%	1039	63.28%	56	3.41%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5349	42	20.00%	164	78.10%	4	1.90%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5349	11	21.57%	38	74.51%	2	3.92%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5349	3	12.50%	20	83.33%	1	4.17%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5349	381	26.93%	1009	71.31%	25	1.77%	0	0.00%
Total	5349	984	29.44%	2270	67.92%	88	2.63%	0	0.00%
042 Lawrenceville C									
Polling	2761	516	58.57%	324	36.78%	40	4.54%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	2761	44	44.44%	50	50.51%	5	5.05%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2761	183	57.91%	122	38.61%	11	3.48%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2761	4	80.00%	1	20.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2761	182	50.70%	175	48.75%	2	0.56%	0	0.00%
Total	2761	929	55.96%	672	40.48%	58	3.49%	1	0.06%
043 Martins D									
Polling	2059	95	16.07%	474	80.20%	22	3.72%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2059	20	27.40%	53	72.60%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2059	31	14.29%	178	82.03%	8	3.69%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2059	2	14.29%	12	85.71%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2059	14	14.58%	79	82.29%	3	3.13%	0	0.00%
Total	2059	162	16.35%	796	80.32%	33	3.33%	0	0.00%
044 Rockbridge B									
Polling	3761	236	24.41%	692	71.56%	39	4.03%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3761	28	26.67%	76	72.38%	1	0.95%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3761	24	20.51%	92	78.63%	1	0.85%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3761	0	0.00%	12	92.31%	1	7.69%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3761	194	20.40%	735	77.29%	22	2.31%	0	0.00%
Total	3761	482	22.39%	1607	74.64%	64	2.97%	0	0.00%
045 Garners B									
Polling	2916	226	32.66%	444	64.16%	22	3.18%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2916	58	46.03%	68	53.97%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2916	237	34.50%	428	62.30%	22	3.20%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2916	1	16.67%	5	83.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2916	109	29.22%	261	69.97%	3	0.80%	0	0.00%
Total	2916	631	33.49%	1206	64.01%	47	2.49%	0	0.00%
046 Cates F									
Polling	1689	433	64.63%	206	30.75%	31	4.63%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1689	29	43.94%	35	53.03%	2	3.03%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1689	23	45.10%	27	52.94%	1	1.96%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1689	2	66.67%	1	33.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1689	196	57.65%	137	40.29%	7	2.06%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton

	Reg. Voters	C. EATON (I) R		L. MILLER (D)		R. GRAHAM (L)		Write-In Votes	
Total	1689	683	60.44%	406	35.93%	41	3.63%	0	0.00%
047 Cates G									
Polling	2597	555	61.12%	311	34.25%	42	4.63%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2597	61	51.26%	52	43.70%	6	5.04%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2597	71	62.83%	37	32.74%	5	4.42%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2597	4	57.14%	3	42.86%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2597	381	57.04%	278	41.62%	9	1.35%	0	0.00%
Total	2597	1072	59.06%	681	37.52%	62	3.42%	0	0.00%
048 Duluth B									
Polling	3332	463	51.33%	386	42.79%	53	5.88%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3332	67	39.41%	97	57.06%	6	3.53%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3332	278	42.51%	353	53.98%	23	3.52%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3332	5	45.45%	6	54.55%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3332	100	67.11%	45	30.20%	4	2.68%	0	0.00%
Total	3332	913	48.41%	887	47.03%	86	4.56%	0	0.00%
049 Garners C									
Polling	1908	261	48.15%	249	45.94%	32	5.90%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1908	17	29.31%	37	63.79%	4	6.90%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1908	185	37.83%	282	57.67%	22	4.50%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1908	2	33.33%	4	66.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1908	11	23.91%	34	73.91%	1	2.17%	0	0.00%
Total	1908	476	41.72%	606	53.11%	59	5.17%	0	0.00%
050 Pinckneyville I									
Polling	2479	173	25.90%	473	70.81%	22	3.29%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2479	24	30.00%	55	68.75%	1	1.25%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2479	78	19.35%	320	79.40%	5	1.24%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2479	2	11.11%	16	88.89%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2479	16	22.86%	52	74.29%	2	2.86%	0	0.00%
Total	2479	293	23.65%	916	73.93%	30	2.42%	0	0.00%
051 Pinckneyville J									
Polling	2543	71	13.27%	443	82.80%	19	3.55%	2	0.37%
Absentee by Mail	2543	19	26.39%	50	69.44%	3	4.17%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2543	44	10.65%	357	86.44%	12	2.91%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2543	1	3.85%	25	96.15%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2543	5	14.29%	29	82.86%	1	2.86%	0	0.00%
Total	2543	140	12.95%	904	83.63%	35	3.24%	2	0.19%
052 Berkshire G									
Polling	2250	320	49.77%	295	45.88%	28	4.35%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2250	41	47.67%	41	47.67%	4	4.65%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2250	360	48.19%	367	49.13%	20	2.68%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2250	3	60.00%	2	40.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2250	11	36.67%	17	56.67%	1	3.33%	1	3.33%
Total	2250	735	48.64%	722	47.78%	53	3.51%	1	0.07%
053 Cates H									
Polling	3622	274	27.96%	677	69.08%	27	2.76%	2	0.20%
Absentee by Mail	3622	49	37.98%	79	61.24%	1	0.78%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3622	13	27.66%	34	72.34%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton										
	Reg. Voters	C. EATON (I) R		L. MILLER (D)		R. GRAHAM (L)		Write-In Votes			
Provisional	3622	1	7.14%	13	92.86%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	3622	238	27.58%	609	70.57%	15	1.74%	1	0.12%		
Total	3622	575	28.28%	1412	69.45%	43	2.12%	3	0.15%		
054 Sugar Hill C											
Polling	4596	992	62.63%	524	33.08%	68	4.29%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	4596	85	49.71%	77	45.03%	9	5.26%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	4596	264	58.80%	174	38.75%	11	2.45%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	4596	16	57.14%	11	39.29%	1	3.57%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	4596	306	54.45%	246	43.77%	10	1.78%	0	0.00%		
Total	4596	1663	59.52%	1032	36.94%	99	3.54%	0	0.00%		
055 Sugar Hill D											
Polling	4070	683	56.63%	474	39.30%	49	4.06%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	4070	63	49.61%	61	48.03%	3	2.36%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	4070	465	54.39%	364	42.57%	26	3.04%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	4070	15	50.00%	13	43.33%	1	3.33%	1	3.33%		
Advance in Person 2	4070	101	67.33%	44	29.33%	5	3.33%	0	0.00%		
Total	4070	1327	56.04%	956	40.37%	84	3.55%	1	0.04%		
056 Duluth C											
Polling	2243	310	45.66%	334	49.19%	35	5.15%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	2243	46	46.46%	49	49.49%	4	4.04%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2243	187	40.13%	264	56.65%	15	3.22%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2243	0	0.00%	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2243	20	28.17%	49	69.01%	2	2.82%	0	0.00%		
Total	2243	563	42.68%	700	53.07%	56	4.25%	0	0.00%		
057 Duluth D											
Polling	4404	375	35.95%	612	58.68%	56	5.37%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	4404	70	35.00%	124	62.00%	6	3.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	4404	308	31.17%	647	65.49%	32	3.24%	1	0.10%		
Provisional	4404	5	25.00%	13	65.00%	2	10.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	4404	68	46.58%	75	51.37%	3	2.05%	0	0.00%		
Total	4404	826	34.46%	1471	61.37%	99	4.13%	1	0.04%		
058 Pinckneyville K											
Polling	3547	181	20.66%	664	75.80%	31	3.54%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	3547	29	25.44%	77	67.54%	7	6.14%	1	0.88%		
Advance in Person	3547	65	13.46%	403	83.44%	15	3.11%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	3547	3	12.50%	21	87.50%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	3547	23	26.14%	61	69.32%	4	4.55%	0	0.00%		
Total	3547	301	18.99%	1226	77.35%	57	3.60%	1	0.06%		
059 Pinckneyville N											
Polling	3717	159	15.03%	863	81.57%	36	3.40%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	3717	9	12.16%	61	82.43%	4	5.41%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	3717	71	13.05%	467	85.85%	6	1.10%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	3717	2	12.50%	13	81.25%	1	6.25%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	3717	8	13.79%	48	82.76%	2	3.45%	0	0.00%		
Total	3717	249	14.23%	1452	82.97%	49	2.80%	0	0.00%		
060 Lawrenceville D											
Polling	4872	224	21.41%	781	74.67%	40	3.82%	1	0.10%		

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton										
	Reg. Voters	C. EATON (I) R		L. MILLER (D)		R. GRAHAM (L)		Write-In Votes			
Absentee by Mail	4872	18	14.63%	100	81.30%	5	4.07%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	4872	16	18.82%	65	76.47%	4	4.71%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	4872	5	20.83%	19	79.17%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	4872	173	19.61%	694	78.68%	14	1.59%	1	0.11%		
Total	4872	436	20.19%	1659	76.81%	63	2.92%	2	0.09%		
061 Lawrenceville E											
Polling	2205	322	50.71%	288	45.35%	25	3.94%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	2205	52	52.53%	47	47.47%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2205	13	59.09%	9	40.91%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2205	2	66.67%	1	33.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2205	325	52.17%	289	46.39%	9	1.44%	0	0.00%		
Total	2205	714	51.66%	634	45.88%	34	2.46%	0	0.00%		
062 Pinckneyville L											
Polling	2233	687	62.74%	359	32.79%	49	4.47%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	2233	75	55.97%	56	41.79%	3	2.24%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2233	203	52.73%	172	44.68%	10	2.60%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2233	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2233	28	75.68%	9	24.32%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Total	2233	995	60.19%	596	36.06%	62	3.75%	0	0.00%		
063 Pinckneyville M											
Polling	2515	752	65.96%	337	29.56%	51	4.47%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	2515	90	52.02%	76	43.93%	6	3.47%	1	0.58%		
Advance in Person	2515	280	61.00%	168	36.60%	11	2.40%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2515	3	42.86%	4	57.14%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2515	11	61.11%	7	38.89%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Total	2515	1136	63.22%	592	32.94%	68	3.78%	1	0.06%		
064 Garners D											
Polling	3127	219	25.38%	616	71.38%	26	3.01%	2	0.23%		
Absentee by Mail	3127	22	19.64%	88	78.57%	2	1.79%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	3127	161	25.68%	457	72.89%	9	1.44%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	3127	1	12.50%	5	62.50%	2	25.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	3127	55	18.77%	233	79.52%	5	1.71%	0	0.00%		
Total	3127	458	24.07%	1399	73.52%	44	2.31%	2	0.11%		
065 Berkshire H											
Polling	1717	141	31.19%	288	63.72%	22	4.87%	1	0.22%		
Absentee by Mail	1717	24	41.38%	29	50.00%	5	8.62%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	1717	88	27.24%	233	72.14%	2	0.62%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	1717	1	9.09%	10	90.91%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	1717	5	14.29%	30	85.71%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Total	1717	259	29.47%	590	67.12%	29	3.30%	1	0.11%		
066 Pinckneyville O											
Polling	3267	142	18.54%	592	77.28%	30	3.92%	2	0.26%		
Absentee by Mail	3267	20	25.64%	57	73.08%	1	1.28%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	3267	121	19.33%	491	78.43%	14	2.24%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	3267	7	21.88%	25	78.13%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	3267	4	14.29%	24	85.71%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Total	3267	294	19.22%	1189	77.71%	45	2.94%	2	0.13%		

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton

	Reg. Voters	C. EATON (I) R		L. MILLER (D)		R. GRAHAM (L)		Write-In Votes	
067 Pucketts E									
Polling	4771	531	46.42%	575	50.26%	38	3.32%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4771	72	39.13%	108	58.70%	4	2.17%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4771	594	49.13%	592	48.97%	22	1.82%	1	0.08%
Provisional	4771	11	40.74%	14	51.85%	1	3.70%	1	3.70%
Advance in Person 2	4771	52	32.30%	105	65.22%	4	2.48%	0	0.00%
Total	4771	1260	46.24%	1394	51.16%	69	2.53%	2	0.07%
068 Cates I									
Polling	3395	582	50.30%	533	46.07%	42	3.63%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3395	60	41.96%	80	55.94%	2	1.40%	1	0.70%
Advance in Person	3395	82	41.21%	114	57.29%	3	1.51%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3395	3	37.50%	5	62.50%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3395	254	38.78%	388	59.24%	13	1.98%	0	0.00%
Total	3395	981	45.37%	1120	51.80%	60	2.78%	1	0.05%
069 Cates J									
Polling	3266	459	41.28%	608	54.68%	44	3.96%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	3266	40	33.06%	76	62.81%	5	4.13%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3266	206	32.96%	412	65.92%	7	1.12%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3266	5	38.46%	8	61.54%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3266	62	28.70%	149	68.98%	5	2.31%	0	0.00%
Total	3266	772	36.99%	1253	60.04%	61	2.92%	1	0.05%
070 Goodwins B									
Polling	3604	670	56.30%	471	39.58%	49	4.12%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3604	71	46.41%	73	47.71%	9	5.88%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3604	122	58.94%	82	39.61%	3	1.45%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3604	3	42.86%	3	42.86%	1	14.29%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3604	365	53.28%	308	44.96%	12	1.75%	0	0.00%
Total	3604	1231	54.91%	937	41.79%	74	3.30%	0	0.00%
071 Lawrenceville F									
Polling	3698	238	26.71%	616	69.14%	37	4.15%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3698	22	14.86%	118	79.73%	8	5.41%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3698	21	36.84%	35	61.40%	1	1.75%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3698	3	20.00%	11	73.33%	1	6.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3698	202	19.20%	833	79.18%	16	1.52%	1	0.10%
Total	3698	486	22.47%	1613	74.57%	63	2.91%	1	0.05%
072 Martins E									
Polling	5934	111	9.32%	1046	87.83%	34	2.85%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5934	29	15.59%	154	82.80%	3	1.61%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5934	41	5.93%	629	91.03%	20	2.89%	1	0.14%
Provisional	5934	4	6.90%	51	87.93%	3	5.17%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5934	19	7.63%	226	90.76%	4	1.61%	0	0.00%
Total	5934	204	8.59%	2106	88.67%	64	2.69%	1	0.04%
073 Pinckneyville P									
Polling	2048	423	47.96%	429	48.64%	29	3.29%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	2048	48	40.68%	65	55.08%	5	4.24%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2048	84	29.79%	193	68.44%	5	1.77%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2048	4	57.14%	2	28.57%	1	14.29%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton										
	Reg. Voters	C. EATON (I) R		L. MILLER (D)		R. GRAHAM (L)		Write-In Votes			
Advance in Person 2	2048	11	47.83%	10	43.48%	2	8.70%	0	0.00%		
Total	2048	570	43.45%	699	53.28%	42	3.20%	1	0.08%		
074 Pinckneyville Q											
Polling	1725	76	12.84%	502	84.80%	14	2.36%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	1725	0	0.00%	34	97.14%	1	2.86%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	1725	26	13.68%	159	83.68%	5	2.63%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	1725	0	0.00%	12	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	1725	3	8.33%	32	88.89%	1	2.78%	0	0.00%		
Total	1725	105	12.14%	739	85.43%	21	2.43%	0	0.00%		
075 Rockbridge C											
Polling	4722	227	18.87%	939	78.05%	37	3.08%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	4722	38	19.19%	159	80.30%	1	0.51%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	4722	47	28.31%	117	70.48%	2	1.20%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	4722	1	6.25%	14	87.50%	1	6.25%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	4722	209	14.50%	1202	83.41%	30	2.08%	0	0.00%		
Total	4722	522	17.26%	2431	80.39%	71	2.35%	0	0.00%		
076 Rockbridge D											
Polling	3359	199	24.45%	588	72.24%	27	3.32%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	3359	32	22.54%	103	72.54%	7	4.93%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	3359	5	13.89%	30	83.33%	1	2.78%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	3359	5	19.23%	21	80.77%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	3359	280	25.23%	818	73.69%	12	1.08%	0	0.00%		
Total	3359	521	24.48%	1560	73.31%	47	2.21%	0	0.00%		
077 Goodwins C											
Polling	5106	492	36.34%	810	59.82%	51	3.77%	1	0.07%		
Absentee by Mail	5106	74	35.07%	130	61.61%	6	2.84%	1	0.47%		
Advance in Person	5106	58	20.71%	218	77.86%	4	1.43%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	5106	4	22.22%	14	77.78%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	5106	278	33.17%	546	65.16%	14	1.67%	0	0.00%		
Total	5106	906	33.54%	1718	63.61%	75	2.78%	2	0.07%		
078 Baycreek K											
Polling	3536	423	45.63%	468	50.49%	36	3.88%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	3536	64	41.56%	87	56.49%	3	1.95%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	3536	19	52.78%	15	41.67%	2	5.56%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	3536	4	33.33%	7	58.33%	1	8.33%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	3536	516	44.68%	614	53.16%	25	2.16%	0	0.00%		
Total	3536	1026	44.92%	1191	52.15%	67	2.93%	0	0.00%		
079 Cates K											
Polling	2531	520	54.39%	396	41.42%	40	4.18%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	2531	40	56.34%	30	42.25%	1	1.41%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2531	54	45.38%	61	51.26%	4	3.36%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2531	2	25.00%	6	75.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2531	224	46.76%	244	50.94%	11	2.30%	0	0.00%		
Total	2531	840	51.44%	737	45.13%	56	3.43%	0	0.00%		
080 Baycreek C											
Polling	5755	717	43.99%	864	53.01%	48	2.94%	1	0.06%		
Absentee by Mail	5755	69	36.13%	115	60.21%	7	3.66%	0	0.00%		

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton

	Reg. Voters	C. EATON (I) R		L. MILLER (D)		R. GRAHAM (L)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	5755	47	47.00%	51	51.00%	2	2.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5755	4	33.33%	8	66.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5755	524	29.36%	1222	68.46%	39	2.18%	0	0.00%
Total	5755	1361	36.61%	2260	60.79%	96	2.58%	1	0.03%
081 Cates L									
Polling	3751	470	42.04%	612	54.74%	35	3.13%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	3751	51	35.92%	88	61.97%	3	2.11%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3751	13	28.26%	33	71.74%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3751	1	10.00%	9	90.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3751	390	38.27%	604	59.27%	25	2.45%	0	0.00%
Total	3751	925	39.61%	1346	57.64%	63	2.70%	1	0.04%
082 Duluth E									
Polling	4580	337	28.98%	780	67.07%	45	3.87%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	4580	43	26.54%	112	69.14%	7	4.32%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4580	257	26.28%	694	70.96%	27	2.76%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4580	4	18.18%	16	72.73%	2	9.09%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4580	31	28.70%	77	71.30%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	4580	672	27.62%	1679	69.01%	81	3.33%	1	0.04%
083 Goodwins D									
Polling	3985	573	45.12%	634	49.92%	62	4.88%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	3985	60	42.25%	78	54.93%	4	2.82%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3985	37	34.91%	67	63.21%	2	1.89%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3985	6	30.00%	12	60.00%	2	10.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3985	310	40.68%	430	56.43%	21	2.76%	1	0.13%
Total	3985	986	42.87%	1221	53.09%	91	3.96%	2	0.09%
084 Lawrenceville G									
Polling	2783	202	25.93%	544	69.83%	32	4.11%	1	0.13%
Absentee by Mail	2783	22	23.66%	70	75.27%	1	1.08%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2783	2	5.41%	32	86.49%	3	8.11%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2783	4	19.05%	17	80.95%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2783	132	23.83%	417	75.27%	5	0.90%	0	0.00%
Total	2783	362	24.39%	1080	72.78%	41	2.76%	1	0.07%
085 Lawrenceville H									
Polling	3899	593	49.29%	554	46.05%	56	4.66%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3899	80	50.31%	75	47.17%	4	2.52%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3899	125	52.74%	105	44.30%	7	2.95%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3899	5	45.45%	6	54.55%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3899	371	47.87%	385	49.68%	18	2.32%	1	0.13%
Total	3899	1174	49.22%	1125	47.17%	85	3.56%	1	0.04%
086 Martins F									
Polling	2401	168	25.19%	476	71.36%	21	3.15%	2	0.30%
Absentee by Mail	2401	18	20.69%	67	77.01%	2	2.30%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2401	59	18.27%	260	80.50%	3	0.93%	1	0.31%
Provisional	2401	2	16.67%	10	83.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2401	20	20.20%	76	76.77%	3	3.03%	0	0.00%
Total	2401	267	22.47%	889	74.83%	29	2.44%	3	0.25%
087 Pinckneyville S									

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton

	Reg. Voters	C. EATON (I) R		L. MILLER (D)		R. GRAHAM (L)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	3013	297	31.43%	602	63.70%	46	4.87%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3013	57	39.31%	82	56.55%	6	4.14%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3013	139	30.02%	315	68.03%	9	1.94%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3013	2	18.18%	6	54.55%	3	27.27%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3013	21	35.00%	39	65.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	3013	516	31.77%	1044	64.29%	64	3.94%	0	0.00%
088 Rockbridge E									
Polling	4199	281	24.93%	803	71.25%	43	3.82%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4199	16	13.45%	100	84.03%	3	2.52%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4199	57	35.85%	95	59.75%	7	4.40%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4199	4	10.26%	34	87.18%	1	2.56%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4199	127	15.49%	679	82.80%	14	1.71%	0	0.00%
Total	4199	485	21.42%	1711	75.57%	68	3.00%	0	0.00%
089 Sugar Hill E									
Polling	3061	534	57.30%	348	37.34%	50	5.36%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3061	52	43.33%	62	51.67%	5	4.17%	1	0.83%
Advance in Person	3061	112	56.00%	84	42.00%	4	2.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3061	14	66.67%	7	33.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3061	305	51.78%	271	46.01%	13	2.21%	0	0.00%
Total	3061	1017	54.62%	772	41.46%	72	3.87%	1	0.05%
090 Lawrenceville I									
Polling	3140	327	35.31%	554	59.83%	45	4.86%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3140	40	32.26%	80	64.52%	4	3.23%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3140	61	42.66%	79	55.24%	3	2.10%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3140	1	11.11%	8	88.89%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3140	189	35.86%	332	63.00%	6	1.14%	0	0.00%
Total	3140	618	35.74%	1053	60.90%	58	3.35%	0	0.00%
091 Baycreek D									
Polling	4157	627	51.95%	538	44.57%	42	3.48%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4157	61	36.97%	100	60.61%	4	2.42%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4157	20	54.05%	16	43.24%	1	2.70%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4157	5	45.45%	5	45.45%	1	9.09%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4157	524	41.52%	713	56.50%	25	1.98%	0	0.00%
Total	4157	1237	46.12%	1372	51.16%	73	2.72%	0	0.00%
092 Berkshire J									
Polling	2595	465	57.69%	302	37.47%	39	4.84%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2595	43	46.74%	49	53.26%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2595	411	51.18%	366	45.58%	26	3.24%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2595	2	28.57%	4	57.14%	1	14.29%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2595	33	42.86%	44	57.14%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2595	954	53.45%	765	42.86%	66	3.70%	0	0.00%
093 Cates M									
Polling	3794	740	57.77%	485	37.86%	56	4.37%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3794	77	39.90%	109	56.48%	7	3.63%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3794	66	53.23%	52	41.94%	6	4.84%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3794	4	50.00%	4	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3794	506	51.95%	440	45.17%	28	2.87%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton										
	Reg. Voters	C. EATON (I) R		L. MILLER (D)		R. GRAHAM (L)		Write-In Votes	
Total	3794	1393	53.99%	1090	42.25%	97	3.76%	0	0.00%
094 Cates N									
Polling	2445	514	63.93%	265	32.96%	25	3.11%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2445	69	64.49%	36	33.64%	1	0.93%	1	0.93%
Advance in Person	2445	15	60.00%	9	36.00%	1	4.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2445	1	20.00%	4	80.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2445	394	60.71%	235	36.21%	20	3.08%	0	0.00%
Total	2445	993	62.45%	549	34.53%	47	2.96%	1	0.06%
095 Duluth F									
Polling	5413	258	20.31%	972	76.54%	40	3.15%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5413	50	28.41%	123	69.89%	3	1.70%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5413	82	13.90%	498	84.41%	10	1.69%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5413	3	11.54%	22	84.62%	1	3.85%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5413	79	18.24%	343	79.21%	11	2.54%	0	0.00%
Total	5413	472	18.92%	1958	78.48%	65	2.61%	0	0.00%
096 Duluth G									
Polling	2775	503	54.56%	374	40.56%	45	4.88%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2775	58	53.70%	47	43.52%	3	2.78%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2775	149	45.02%	175	52.87%	7	2.11%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2775	4	36.36%	6	54.55%	1	9.09%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2775	196	56.48%	141	40.63%	9	2.59%	1	0.29%
Total	2775	910	52.94%	743	43.22%	65	3.78%	1	0.06%
097 Goodwins E									
Polling	4950	744	49.63%	685	45.70%	70	4.67%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4950	68	30.36%	148	66.07%	8	3.57%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4950	42	25.61%	118	71.95%	4	2.44%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4950	4	23.53%	13	76.47%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4950	402	42.72%	514	54.62%	25	2.66%	0	0.00%
Total	4950	1260	44.29%	1478	51.95%	107	3.76%	0	0.00%
098 Goodwins F									
Polling	2904	375	42.81%	463	52.85%	37	4.22%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	2904	51	38.93%	78	59.54%	2	1.53%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2904	24	37.50%	37	57.81%	3	4.69%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2904	3	25.00%	8	66.67%	1	8.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2904	239	41.57%	325	56.52%	11	1.91%	0	0.00%
Total	2904	692	41.74%	911	54.95%	54	3.26%	1	0.06%
099 Pinckneyville T									
Polling	2874	314	36.55%	494	57.51%	51	5.94%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2874	63	43.45%	73	50.34%	9	6.21%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2874	137	29.09%	324	68.79%	10	2.12%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2874	3	30.00%	6	60.00%	1	10.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2874	13	30.23%	30	69.77%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2874	530	34.69%	927	60.67%	71	4.65%	0	0.00%
100 Suwanee B									
Polling	4085	456	53.15%	370	43.12%	32	3.73%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4085	40	30.30%	84	63.64%	8	6.06%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4085	7	23.33%	20	66.67%	3	10.00%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton										
	Reg. Voters	C. EATON (I) R		L. MILLER (D)		R. GRAHAM (L)		Write-In Votes			
Provisional	4085	3	50.00%	2	33.33%	1	16.67%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	4085	775	52.47%	655	44.35%	47	3.18%	0	0.00%		
Total	4085	1281	51.18%	1131	45.19%	91	3.64%	0	0.00%		
101 Pinckneyville U											
Polling	2007	337	41.35%	445	54.60%	33	4.05%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	2007	25	34.25%	47	64.38%	1	1.37%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2007	74	25.78%	205	71.43%	8	2.79%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2007	1	14.29%	6	85.71%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2007	15	46.88%	16	50.00%	1	3.13%	0	0.00%		
Total	2007	452	37.23%	719	59.23%	43	3.54%	0	0.00%		
102 Pucketts B											
Polling	2741	503	62.18%	278	34.36%	27	3.34%	1	0.12%		
Absentee by Mail	2741	42	49.41%	41	48.24%	2	2.35%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2741	520	62.80%	281	33.94%	26	3.14%	1	0.12%		
Provisional	2741	8	72.73%	3	27.27%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2741	43	68.25%	20	31.75%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Total	2741	1116	62.14%	623	34.69%	55	3.06%	2	0.11%		
103 Berkshire L											
Polling	2915	212	23.63%	660	73.58%	25	2.79%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	2915	28	23.53%	86	72.27%	5	4.20%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2915	75	22.46%	255	76.35%	4	1.20%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2915	2	11.76%	15	88.24%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2915	44	23.66%	139	74.73%	3	1.61%	0	0.00%		
Total	2915	361	23.25%	1155	74.37%	37	2.38%	0	0.00%		
104 Rockbridge F											
Polling	5313	180	17.44%	825	79.94%	27	2.62%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	5313	50	24.15%	153	73.91%	4	1.93%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	5313	6	15.38%	31	79.49%	2	5.13%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	5313	3	15.79%	16	84.21%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	5313	362	17.25%	1712	81.56%	25	1.19%	0	0.00%		
Total	5313	601	17.70%	2737	80.59%	58	1.71%	0	0.00%		
105 Sugar Hill F											
Polling	3687	700	56.27%	469	37.70%	75	6.03%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	3687	46	42.99%	54	50.47%	7	6.54%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	3687	47	39.17%	68	56.67%	5	4.17%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	3687	5	33.33%	9	60.00%	1	6.67%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	3687	382	55.85%	273	39.91%	28	4.09%	1	0.15%		
Total	3687	1180	54.38%	873	40.23%	116	5.35%	1	0.05%		
106 Suwanee C											
Polling	4823	637	52.95%	508	42.23%	58	4.82%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	4823	75	36.95%	118	58.13%	10	4.93%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	4823	46	43.81%	53	50.48%	6	5.71%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	4823	18	35.29%	30	58.82%	3	5.88%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	4823	616	50.99%	565	46.77%	27	2.24%	0	0.00%		
Total	4823	1392	50.25%	1274	45.99%	104	3.75%	0	0.00%		
107 Cates O											
Polling	1342	263	54.23%	196	40.41%	26	5.36%	0	0.00%		

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton										
	Reg. Voters	C. EATON (I) R		L. MILLER (D)		R. GRAHAM (L)		Write-In Votes			
Absentee by Mail	1342	21	55.26%	16	42.11%	1	2.63%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	1342	90	49.18%	90	49.18%	3	1.64%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	1342	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	1342	57	42.86%	74	55.64%	2	1.50%	0	0.00%		
Total	1342	431	51.25%	378	44.95%	32	3.80%	0	0.00%		
108 Baycreek E											
Polling	2863	422	46.32%	458	50.27%	31	3.40%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	2863	39	39.80%	56	57.14%	3	3.06%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2863	12	38.71%	18	58.06%	1	3.23%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2863	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2863	227	34.45%	421	63.88%	11	1.67%	0	0.00%		
Total	2863	701	41.16%	956	56.14%	46	2.70%	0	0.00%		
109 Berkshire M											
Polling	1638	305	53.14%	242	42.16%	27	4.70%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	1638	26	46.43%	28	50.00%	2	3.57%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	1638	211	50.24%	194	46.19%	15	3.57%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	1638	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	1638	23	57.50%	17	42.50%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Total	1638	566	51.74%	484	44.24%	44	4.02%	0	0.00%		
110 Martins G											
Polling	2187	185	27.69%	461	69.01%	22	3.29%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	2187	26	35.14%	45	60.81%	3	4.05%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2187	4	11.76%	30	88.24%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2187	2	22.22%	6	66.67%	1	11.11%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2187	73	20.80%	271	77.21%	7	1.99%	0	0.00%		
Total	2187	290	25.53%	813	71.57%	33	2.90%	0	0.00%		
111 Duluth H											
Polling	2662	313	43.17%	386	53.24%	25	3.45%	1	0.14%		
Absentee by Mail	2662	82	45.56%	95	52.78%	3	1.67%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2662	247	42.81%	321	55.63%	9	1.56%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2662	3	60.00%	2	40.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2662	53	46.90%	56	49.56%	4	3.54%	0	0.00%		
Total	2662	698	43.63%	860	53.75%	41	2.56%	1	0.06%		
112 Berkshire N											
Polling	2836	347	54.13%	263	41.03%	31	4.84%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	2836	62	48.44%	59	46.09%	7	5.47%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2836	638	53.66%	517	43.48%	34	2.86%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2836	2	50.00%	1	25.00%	1	25.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2836	28	75.68%	7	18.92%	2	5.41%	0	0.00%		
Total	2836	1077	53.88%	847	42.37%	75	3.75%	0	0.00%		
113 Pinckneyville V											
Polling	1572	148	39.05%	217	57.26%	13	3.43%	1	0.26%		
Absentee by Mail	1572	18	29.03%	41	66.13%	3	4.84%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	1572	100	29.50%	233	68.73%	6	1.77%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	1572	7	46.67%	8	53.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	1572	4	36.36%	6	54.55%	1	9.09%	0	0.00%		
Total	1572	277	34.37%	505	62.66%	23	2.85%	1	0.12%		

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton

	Reg. Voters	C. EATON (I) R		L. MILLER (D)		R. GRAHAM (L)		Write-In Votes	
114 Pinckneyville W									
Polling	3885	484	40.03%	662	54.76%	63	5.21%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3885	54	37.76%	83	58.04%	6	4.20%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3885	249	34.73%	449	62.62%	18	2.51%	1	0.14%
Provisional	3885	4	21.05%	14	73.68%	1	5.26%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3885	18	39.13%	28	60.87%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	3885	809	37.91%	1236	57.92%	88	4.12%	1	0.05%
115 Martins H									
Polling	4487	396	30.87%	845	65.86%	42	3.27%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4487	69	31.80%	138	63.59%	10	4.61%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4487	50	21.83%	178	77.73%	1	0.44%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4487	2	13.33%	12	80.00%	1	6.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4487	224	30.68%	495	67.81%	11	1.51%	0	0.00%
Total	4487	741	29.95%	1668	67.42%	65	2.63%	0	0.00%
116 Martins I									
Polling	3518	202	21.51%	701	74.65%	36	3.83%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3518	49	31.01%	107	67.72%	2	1.27%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3518	57	17.65%	263	81.42%	3	0.93%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3518	1	5.88%	15	88.24%	1	5.88%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3518	56	21.54%	199	76.54%	5	1.92%	0	0.00%
Total	3518	365	21.51%	1285	75.72%	47	2.77%	0	0.00%
117 Martins J									
Polling	3809	175	16.92%	821	79.40%	37	3.58%	1	0.10%
Absentee by Mail	3809	14	11.67%	102	85.00%	4	3.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3809	37	10.31%	309	86.07%	13	3.62%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3809	2	10.00%	17	85.00%	1	5.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3809	56	20.90%	209	77.99%	3	1.12%	0	0.00%
Total	3809	284	15.77%	1458	80.96%	58	3.22%	1	0.06%
118 Garners F									
Polling	1558	210	45.85%	221	48.25%	27	5.90%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1558	15	37.50%	25	62.50%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1558	226	48.09%	233	49.57%	11	2.34%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1558	2	50.00%	2	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1558	3	18.75%	13	81.25%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	1558	456	46.15%	494	50.00%	38	3.85%	0	0.00%
119 Berkshire O									
Polling	2422	459	52.82%	362	41.66%	48	5.52%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2422	62	59.05%	42	40.00%	1	0.95%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2422	269	56.16%	195	40.71%	15	3.13%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2422	5	55.56%	4	44.44%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2422	75	51.37%	69	47.26%	2	1.37%	0	0.00%
Total	2422	870	54.10%	672	41.79%	66	4.10%	0	0.00%
120 Berkshire P									
Polling	1339	148	38.05%	225	57.84%	16	4.11%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1339	17	26.98%	45	71.43%	1	1.59%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1339	56	30.11%	125	67.20%	5	2.69%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1339	2	25.00%	6	75.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton									
	Reg. Voters	C. EATON (I) R		L. MILLER (D)		R. GRAHAM (L)		Write-In Votes		
Advance in Person 2	1339	22	38.60%	35	61.40%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	1339	245	34.85%	436	62.02%	22	3.13%	0	0.00%
121 Pinckneyville X									
Polling	3198	526	49.07%	492	45.90%	53	4.94%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	3198	82	38.14%	128	59.53%	5	2.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3198	319	43.11%	403	54.46%	18	2.43%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3198	2	40.00%	3	60.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3198	29	39.73%	42	57.53%	2	2.74%	0	0.00%
Total	3198	958	45.51%	1068	50.74%	78	3.71%	1	0.05%
122 Rockbridge G									
Polling	5188	227	19.81%	878	76.61%	41	3.58%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5188	43	18.22%	184	77.97%	9	3.81%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5188	35	32.41%	68	62.96%	5	4.63%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5188	2	4.88%	36	87.80%	3	7.32%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5188	268	16.82%	1304	81.86%	21	1.32%	0	0.00%
Total	5188	575	18.41%	2470	79.07%	79	2.53%	0	0.00%
123 Pinckneyville Y									
Polling	3018	132	17.05%	621	80.23%	21	2.71%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3018	12	18.75%	48	75.00%	4	6.25%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3018	63	14.19%	372	83.78%	9	2.03%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3018	2	8.00%	22	88.00%	1	4.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3018	18	29.03%	43	69.35%	0	0.00%	1	1.61%
Total	3018	227	16.58%	1106	80.79%	35	2.56%	1	0.07%
124 Goodwins G									
Polling	2448	214	28.16%	518	68.16%	28	3.68%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2448	16	22.86%	53	75.71%	1	1.43%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2448	12	17.14%	56	80.00%	2	2.86%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2448	2	20.00%	7	70.00%	1	10.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2448	98	23.50%	308	73.86%	11	2.64%	0	0.00%
Total	2448	342	25.77%	942	70.99%	43	3.24%	0	0.00%
125 Pinckneyville Z									
Polling	1806	569	65.03%	268	30.63%	38	4.34%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1806	42	46.15%	46	50.55%	3	3.30%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1806	182	59.48%	119	38.89%	5	1.63%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1806	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1806	20	66.67%	8	26.67%	2	6.67%	0	0.00%
Total	1806	815	62.50%	441	33.82%	48	3.68%	0	0.00%
126 Pinckneyville A1									
Polling	2596	727	62.62%	391	33.68%	43	3.70%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2596	85	54.14%	69	43.95%	3	1.91%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2596	262	54.93%	208	43.61%	7	1.47%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2596	3	42.86%	4	57.14%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2596	22	45.83%	25	52.08%	1	2.08%	0	0.00%
Total	2596	1099	59.41%	697	37.68%	54	2.92%	0	0.00%
127 Lawrenceville J									
Polling	1959	226	36.57%	362	58.58%	30	4.85%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1959	17	21.25%	61	76.25%	1	1.25%	1	1.25%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton										
	Reg. Voters	C. EATON (I) R		L. MILLER (D)		R. GRAHAM (L)		Write-In Votes			
Advance in Person	1959	9	19.15%	37	78.72%	1	2.13%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	1959	1	16.67%	5	83.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	1959	89	23.42%	283	74.47%	8	2.11%	0	0.00%		
Total	1959	342	30.24%	748	66.14%	40	3.54%	1	0.09%		
128 Goodwins H											
Polling	2561	416	50.42%	360	43.64%	48	5.82%	1	0.12%		
Absentee by Mail	2561	44	40.37%	62	56.88%	3	2.75%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2561	18	35.29%	31	60.78%	2	3.92%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2561	3	25.00%	8	66.67%	1	8.33%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2561	215	40.11%	306	57.09%	14	2.61%	1	0.19%		
Total	2561	696	45.40%	767	50.03%	68	4.44%	2	0.13%		
129 Duncans B											
Polling	4655	1085	67.98%	444	27.82%	67	4.20%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	4655	83	56.08%	60	40.54%	4	2.70%	1	0.68%		
Advance in Person	4655	797	69.36%	319	27.76%	33	2.87%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	4655	4	66.67%	2	33.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	4655	58	51.79%	52	46.43%	2	1.79%	0	0.00%		
Total	4655	2027	67.32%	877	29.13%	106	3.52%	1	0.03%		
130 Suwanee D											
Polling	4247	892	64.68%	428	31.04%	58	4.21%	1	0.07%		
Absentee by Mail	4247	84	49.70%	80	47.34%	5	2.96%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	4247	42	47.73%	42	47.73%	4	4.55%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	4247	5	33.33%	8	53.33%	2	13.33%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	4247	715	62.77%	386	33.89%	38	3.34%	0	0.00%		
Total	4247	1738	62.29%	944	33.84%	107	3.84%	1	0.04%		
131 Suwanee E											
Polling	3921	648	60.22%	379	35.22%	49	4.55%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	3921	81	43.78%	99	53.51%	5	2.70%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	3921	20	43.48%	25	54.35%	1	2.17%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	3921	13	61.90%	7	33.33%	1	4.76%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	3921	726	57.35%	500	39.49%	40	3.16%	0	0.00%		
Total	3921	1488	57.36%	1010	38.94%	96	3.70%	0	0.00%		
132 Lawrenceville K											
Polling	2915	515	50.84%	463	45.71%	35	3.46%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	2915	43	39.81%	61	56.48%	4	3.70%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2915	94	52.81%	81	45.51%	3	1.69%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2915	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2915	217	41.33%	291	55.43%	17	3.24%	0	0.00%		
Total	2915	870	47.59%	899	49.18%	59	3.23%	0	0.00%		
133 Harbins B											
Polling	4220	538	54.56%	409	41.48%	39	3.96%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	4220	37	39.36%	51	54.26%	6	6.38%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	4220	830	63.80%	436	33.51%	35	2.69%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	4220	2	50.00%	2	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	4220	57	39.58%	83	57.64%	4	2.78%	0	0.00%		
Total	4220	1464	57.89%	981	38.79%	84	3.32%	0	0.00%		
134 Baycreek F											

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton

	Reg. Voters	C. EATON (I) R		L. MILLER (D)		R. GRAHAM (L)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	4119	456	37.84%	712	59.09%	37	3.07%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4119	58	32.77%	118	66.67%	1	0.56%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4119	16	43.24%	21	56.76%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4119	1	10.00%	9	90.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4119	312	26.20%	863	72.46%	16	1.34%	0	0.00%
Total	4119	843	32.18%	1723	65.76%	54	2.06%	0	0.00%
135 Pucketts C									
Polling	2931	502	60.12%	291	34.85%	41	4.91%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	2931	54	54.00%	46	46.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2931	426	59.00%	270	37.40%	26	3.60%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2931	9	56.25%	7	43.75%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2931	33	42.86%	41	53.25%	3	3.90%	0	0.00%
Total	2931	1024	58.51%	655	37.43%	70	4.00%	1	0.06%
136 Hog Mountain C									
Polling	3832	678	55.67%	488	40.07%	51	4.19%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	3832	42	35.00%	71	59.17%	7	5.83%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3832	350	53.60%	286	43.80%	17	2.60%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3832	4	50.00%	4	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3832	117	52.23%	103	45.98%	4	1.79%	0	0.00%
Total	3832	1191	53.58%	952	42.83%	79	3.55%	1	0.04%
137 Rockycreek B									
Polling	4677	757	55.09%	560	40.76%	57	4.15%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4677	44	33.85%	82	63.08%	4	3.08%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4677	629	58.62%	417	38.86%	27	2.52%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4677	1	12.50%	7	87.50%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4677	105	45.65%	122	53.04%	3	1.30%	0	0.00%
Total	4677	1536	54.56%	1188	42.20%	91	3.23%	0	0.00%
138 Duluth I									
Polling	4491	657	53.28%	538	43.63%	38	3.08%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4491	134	45.27%	152	51.35%	10	3.38%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4491	211	45.57%	248	53.56%	4	0.86%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4491	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4491	389	57.72%	278	41.25%	7	1.04%	0	0.00%
Total	4491	1395	52.25%	1216	45.54%	59	2.21%	0	0.00%
139 Martins K									
Polling	4796	308	21.80%	1055	74.66%	49	3.47%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	4796	51	30.00%	116	68.24%	3	1.76%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4796	24	11.59%	178	85.99%	5	2.42%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4796	4	18.18%	18	81.82%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4796	120	15.09%	662	83.27%	13	1.64%	0	0.00%
Total	4796	507	19.45%	2029	77.83%	70	2.69%	1	0.04%
140 Suwanee H									
Polling	3480	678	66.21%	299	29.20%	47	4.59%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3480	98	51.04%	85	44.27%	8	4.17%	1	0.52%
Advance in Person	3480	27	52.94%	23	45.10%	1	1.96%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3480	17	77.27%	4	18.18%	1	4.55%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3480	767	69.85%	311	28.32%	20	1.82%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton

	Reg. Voters	C. EATON (I) R		L. MILLER (D)		R. GRAHAM (L)		Write-In Votes	
Total	3480	1587	66.49%	722	30.25%	77	3.23%	1	0.04%
141 Sugar Hill G									
Polling	2601	587	67.01%	251	28.65%	38	4.34%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2601	36	40.91%	47	53.41%	5	5.68%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2601	63	48.84%	64	49.61%	2	1.55%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2601	11	73.33%	4	26.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2601	352	61.01%	213	36.92%	12	2.08%	0	0.00%
Total	2601	1049	62.26%	579	34.36%	57	3.38%	0	0.00%
142 Suwanee F									
Polling	3598	575	53.69%	440	41.08%	56	5.23%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3598	46	32.17%	88	61.54%	9	6.29%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3598	22	31.88%	47	68.12%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3598	6	46.15%	7	53.85%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3598	411	48.47%	416	49.06%	20	2.36%	1	0.12%
Total	3598	1060	49.44%	998	46.55%	85	3.96%	1	0.05%
143 Lawrenceville L									
Polling	4355	529	40.08%	742	56.21%	48	3.64%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	4355	77	41.85%	103	55.98%	4	2.17%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4355	19	35.19%	33	61.11%	2	3.70%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4355	4	26.67%	11	73.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4355	404	36.53%	674	60.94%	28	2.53%	0	0.00%
Total	4355	1033	38.56%	1563	58.34%	82	3.06%	1	0.04%
144 Lawrenceville M									
Polling	5956	324	21.25%	1144	75.02%	55	3.61%	2	0.13%
Absentee by Mail	5956	34	18.58%	145	79.23%	4	2.19%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5956	56	20.97%	206	77.15%	5	1.87%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5956	4	20.00%	16	80.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5956	184	14.16%	1093	84.14%	22	1.69%	0	0.00%
Total	5956	602	18.28%	2604	79.05%	86	2.61%	2	0.06%
145 Baycreek G									
Polling	5092	623	40.38%	877	56.84%	43	2.79%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5092	56	32.56%	113	65.70%	3	1.74%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5092	19	35.19%	34	62.96%	1	1.85%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5092	2	15.38%	11	84.62%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5092	391	27.10%	1027	71.17%	25	1.73%	0	0.00%
Total	5092	1091	33.83%	2062	63.94%	72	2.23%	0	0.00%
146 Baycreek H									
Polling	5697	916	53.66%	726	42.53%	65	3.81%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5697	85	39.17%	127	58.53%	5	2.30%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5697	53	52.48%	47	46.53%	1	0.99%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5697	2	22.22%	7	77.78%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5697	687	42.67%	900	55.90%	23	1.43%	0	0.00%
Total	5697	1743	47.83%	1807	49.59%	94	2.58%	0	0.00%
147 Baycreek I									
Polling	5162	512	40.09%	727	56.93%	38	2.98%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5162	68	34.87%	125	64.10%	2	1.03%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5162	20	37.04%	30	55.56%	4	7.41%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton										
	Reg. Voters	C. EATON (I) R		L. MILLER (D)		R. GRAHAM (L)		Write-In Votes			
Provisional	5162	6	42.86%	7	50.00%	1	7.14%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	5162	510	33.60%	987	65.02%	21	1.38%	0	0.00%		
Total	5162	1116	36.49%	1876	61.35%	66	2.16%	0	0.00%		
148 Berkshire Q											
Polling	1644	259	48.50%	250	46.82%	25	4.68%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	1644	32	58.18%	22	40.00%	1	1.82%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	1644	189	47.49%	196	49.25%	13	3.27%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	1644	4	66.67%	2	33.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	1644	16	57.14%	12	42.86%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Total	1644	500	48.97%	482	47.21%	39	3.82%	0	0.00%		
149 Goodwins I											
Polling	2341	467	53.43%	372	42.56%	35	4.00%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	2341	57	44.88%	68	53.54%	1	0.79%	1	0.79%		
Advance in Person	2341	21	24.71%	63	74.12%	1	1.18%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2341	2	28.57%	5	71.43%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2341	221	48.46%	223	48.90%	12	2.63%	0	0.00%		
Total	2341	768	49.58%	731	47.19%	49	3.16%	1	0.06%		
150 Duluth K											
Polling	2694	102	18.75%	423	77.76%	18	3.31%	1	0.18%		
Absentee by Mail	2694	12	21.82%	42	76.36%	1	1.82%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2694	65	17.11%	308	81.05%	6	1.58%	1	0.26%		
Provisional	2694	3	30.00%	6	60.00%	1	10.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2694	3	7.89%	34	89.47%	1	2.63%	0	0.00%		
Total	2694	185	18.01%	813	79.16%	27	2.63%	2	0.19%		
151 Harbins C											
Polling	2369	644	67.08%	283	29.48%	33	3.44%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	2369	37	49.33%	35	46.67%	3	4.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2369	163	54.70%	127	42.62%	8	2.68%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2369	2	33.33%	2	33.33%	2	33.33%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2369	116	42.03%	155	56.16%	5	1.81%	0	0.00%		
Total	2369	962	59.57%	602	37.28%	51	3.16%	0	0.00%		
152 Rockycreek C											
Polling	4504	700	52.04%	588	43.72%	56	4.16%	1	0.07%		
Absentee by Mail	4504	60	45.11%	71	53.38%	2	1.50%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	4504	679	55.88%	504	41.48%	32	2.63%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	4504	4	66.67%	2	33.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	4504	83	39.34%	126	59.72%	2	0.95%	0	0.00%		
Total	4504	1526	52.44%	1291	44.36%	92	3.16%	1	0.03%		
153 Lawrenceville N											
Polling	2343	271	44.43%	311	50.98%	28	4.59%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	2343	32	41.03%	44	56.41%	2	2.56%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2343	5	21.74%	16	69.57%	2	8.70%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2343	4	21.05%	15	78.95%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2343	180	34.82%	327	63.25%	10	1.93%	0	0.00%		
Total	2343	492	39.45%	713	57.18%	42	3.37%	0	0.00%		
154 Pucketts D											
Polling	3166	522	60.00%	304	34.94%	43	4.94%	1	0.11%		

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	PSC Eaton / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Eaton								
	Reg. Voters	C. EATON (I) R		L. MILLER (D)		R. GRAHAM (L)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	3166	75	53.96%	60	43.17%	4	2.88%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3166	571	66.32%	268	31.13%	22	2.56%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3166	9	56.25%	5	31.25%	2	12.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3166	54	68.35%	22	27.85%	3	3.80%	0	0.00%
Total	3166	1231	62.65%	659	33.54%	74	3.77%	1	0.05%
155 Suwanee G									
Polling	3451	584	51.09%	507	44.36%	52	4.55%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3451	49	35.77%	84	61.31%	4	2.92%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3451	31	36.05%	54	62.79%	1	1.16%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3451	4	26.67%	9	60.00%	2	13.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3451	305	40.24%	429	56.60%	24	3.17%	0	0.00%
Total	3451	973	45.49%	1083	50.63%	83	3.88%	0	0.00%
156 Baycreek J									
Polling	4038	419	35.18%	736	61.80%	36	3.02%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4038	43	26.88%	114	71.25%	3	1.88%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4038	15	29.41%	36	70.59%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4038	3	33.33%	6	66.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4038	326	28.08%	818	70.46%	17	1.46%	0	0.00%
Total	4038	806	31.34%	1710	66.49%	56	2.18%	0	0.00%
Total									
Polling	525568	67137	44.08%	78987	51.85%	6148	4.04%	51	0.03%
Absentee by Mail	525568	7504	37.34%	11981	59.62%	599	2.98%	11	0.05%
Advance in Person	525568	27025	43.80%	33133	53.70%	1532	2.48%	11	0.02%
Advance in Person 2	525568	27225	36.99%	44870	60.96%	1493	2.03%	15	0.02%
Provisional	525568	652	30.19%	1423	65.88%	82	3.80%	3	0.14%
Total	525568	129543	41.80%	170394	54.99%	9854	3.18%	91	0.03%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore

	Reg. Voters	T. PRIDEMORE (I) R		D. A. RANDOLPH (D)		J. TURPISH (L)		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide									
001 Harbins A									
Polling	6123	784	51.11%	695	45.31%	54	3.52%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	6123	70	36.46%	117	60.94%	5	2.60%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	6123	628	56.22%	465	41.63%	24	2.15%	0	0.00%
Provisional	6123	2	13.33%	12	80.00%	1	6.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	6123	315	39.08%	476	59.06%	13	1.61%	2	0.25%
Total	6123	1799	49.10%	1765	48.17%	97	2.65%	3	0.08%
002 Rockbridge A									
Polling	3653	156	19.05%	641	78.27%	22	2.69%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3653	29	21.32%	106	77.94%	1	0.74%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3653	28	24.14%	87	75.00%	1	0.86%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3653	27	32.93%	52	63.41%	3	3.66%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3653	194	18.10%	868	80.97%	10	0.93%	0	0.00%
Total	3653	434	19.51%	1754	78.83%	37	1.66%	0	0.00%
003 Dacula									
Polling	4478	472	42.33%	609	54.62%	34	3.05%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4478	31	23.85%	99	76.15%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4478	569	47.65%	602	50.42%	23	1.93%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4478	3	37.50%	5	62.50%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4478	58	24.68%	168	71.49%	9	3.83%	0	0.00%
Total	4478	1133	42.24%	1483	55.29%	66	2.46%	0	0.00%
004 Suwanee A									
Polling	1551	192	51.20%	171	45.60%	12	3.20%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1551	23	54.76%	19	45.24%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1551	14	37.84%	23	62.16%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1551	12	75.00%	3	18.75%	1	6.25%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1551	164	42.16%	216	55.53%	9	2.31%	0	0.00%
Total	1551	405	47.15%	432	50.29%	22	2.56%	0	0.00%
005 Baycreek A									
Polling	2555	521	59.89%	318	36.55%	31	3.56%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2555	54	52.43%	46	44.66%	2	1.94%	1	0.97%
Advance in Person	2555	18	51.43%	16	45.71%	1	2.86%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2555	16	57.14%	11	39.29%	1	3.57%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2555	328	46.92%	359	51.36%	12	1.72%	0	0.00%
Total	2555	937	54.01%	750	43.23%	47	2.71%	1	0.06%
006 Goodwins A									
Polling	3583	478	41.31%	624	53.93%	54	4.67%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	3583	52	40.94%	71	55.91%	4	3.15%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3583	26	24.30%	72	67.29%	9	8.41%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3583	4	23.53%	13	76.47%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3583	254	37.91%	396	59.10%	20	2.99%	0	0.00%
Total	3583	814	39.17%	1176	56.59%	87	4.19%	1	0.05%
007 Duluth A									
Polling	4599	692	50.25%	620	45.03%	65	4.72%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4599	79	36.07%	133	60.73%	7	3.20%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4599	275	35.08%	491	62.63%	18	2.30%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore

	Reg. Voters	T. PRIDEMORE (I) R		D. A. RANDOLPH (D)		J. TURPISH (L)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	4599	5	41.67%	6	50.00%	1	8.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4599	246	45.81%	269	50.09%	22	4.10%	0	0.00%
Total	4599	1297	44.28%	1519	51.86%	113	3.86%	0	0.00%
008 Duncans A									
Polling	4278	852	66.93%	370	29.07%	51	4.01%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4278	74	56.92%	52	40.00%	4	3.08%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4278	871	68.58%	372	29.29%	26	2.05%	1	0.08%
Provisional	4278	6	46.15%	4	30.77%	3	23.08%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4278	96	55.81%	73	42.44%	3	1.74%	0	0.00%
Total	4278	1899	66.45%	871	30.48%	87	3.04%	1	0.03%
009 Pucketts A									
Polling	4844	702	64.17%	339	30.99%	53	4.84%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4844	104	58.10%	70	39.11%	4	2.23%	1	0.56%
Advance in Person	4844	1223	67.94%	527	29.28%	50	2.78%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4844	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4844	31	57.41%	21	38.89%	1	1.85%	1	1.85%
Total	4844	2063	65.91%	957	30.58%	108	3.45%	2	0.06%
010 Cates A									
Polling	2209	396	62.46%	218	34.38%	20	3.15%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2209	98	61.64%	60	37.74%	1	0.63%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2209	17	68.00%	7	28.00%	1	4.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2209	2	50.00%	2	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2209	334	55.39%	256	42.45%	13	2.16%	0	0.00%
Total	2209	847	59.44%	543	38.11%	35	2.46%	0	0.00%
011 Berkshire A									
Polling	2247	224	34.84%	403	62.67%	16	2.49%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2247	25	26.32%	67	70.53%	3	3.16%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2247	78	23.42%	249	74.77%	6	1.80%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2247	1	8.33%	11	91.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2247	32	50.00%	31	48.44%	1	1.56%	0	0.00%
Total	2247	360	31.39%	761	66.35%	26	2.27%	0	0.00%
012 Berkshire B									
Polling	5010	194	21.65%	667	74.44%	34	3.79%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	5010	56	32.37%	113	65.32%	4	2.31%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5010	354	24.28%	1067	73.18%	37	2.54%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5010	2	9.09%	20	90.91%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5010	16	20.78%	57	74.03%	4	5.19%	0	0.00%
Total	5010	622	23.69%	1924	73.27%	79	3.01%	1	0.04%
013 Duncans C									
Polling	2828	758	75.65%	212	21.16%	32	3.19%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2828	49	56.32%	33	37.93%	5	5.75%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2828	523	68.37%	226	29.54%	16	2.09%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2828	5	71.43%	2	28.57%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2828	44	54.32%	35	43.21%	2	2.47%	0	0.00%
Total	2828	1379	71.01%	508	26.16%	55	2.83%	0	0.00%
014 Garners A									
Polling	3302	580	58.53%	355	35.82%	56	5.65%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore

	Reg. Voters	T. PRIDEMORE (I) R		D. A. RANDOLPH (D)		J. TURPISH (L)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	3302	70	53.03%	60	45.45%	2	1.52%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3302	559	55.57%	424	42.15%	23	2.29%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3302	4	44.44%	4	44.44%	1	11.11%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3302	46	40.71%	64	56.64%	3	2.65%	0	0.00%
Total	3302	1259	55.93%	907	40.29%	85	3.78%	0	0.00%
015 Lawrenceville A									
Polling	3300	303	42.50%	384	53.86%	26	3.65%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3300	74	50.68%	69	47.26%	3	2.05%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3300	7	41.18%	10	58.82%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3300	0	0.00%	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3300	353	47.51%	379	51.01%	10	1.35%	1	0.13%
Total	3300	737	45.41%	846	52.13%	39	2.40%	1	0.06%
016 Lawrenceville B									
Polling	4520	359	35.37%	625	61.58%	31	3.05%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4520	52	29.55%	123	69.89%	1	0.57%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4520	10	22.22%	35	77.78%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4520	5	38.46%	8	61.54%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4520	395	27.49%	1020	70.98%	22	1.53%	0	0.00%
Total	4520	821	30.57%	1811	67.42%	54	2.01%	0	0.00%
017 Martins A									
Polling	3019	167	20.29%	622	75.58%	34	4.13%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3019	17	17.53%	79	81.44%	1	1.03%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3019	47	18.65%	201	79.76%	4	1.59%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3019	2	16.67%	10	83.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3019	50	16.13%	252	81.29%	8	2.58%	0	0.00%
Total	3019	283	18.94%	1164	77.91%	47	3.15%	0	0.00%
018 Martins B									
Polling	2796	266	32.44%	526	64.15%	27	3.29%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	2796	21	22.58%	64	68.82%	8	8.60%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2796	13	13.83%	77	81.91%	4	4.26%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2796	5	23.81%	16	76.19%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2796	137	30.38%	302	66.96%	12	2.66%	0	0.00%
Total	2796	442	29.89%	985	66.60%	51	3.45%	1	0.07%
019 Martins C									
Polling	2349	348	41.68%	464	55.57%	23	2.75%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2349	32	42.11%	41	53.95%	3	3.95%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2349	80	40.20%	117	58.79%	2	1.01%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2349	2	20.00%	8	80.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2349	94	38.37%	147	60.00%	4	1.63%	0	0.00%
Total	2349	556	40.73%	777	56.92%	32	2.34%	0	0.00%
020 Pinckneyville A									
Polling	2603	127	17.99%	542	76.77%	36	5.10%	1	0.14%
Absentee by Mail	2603	16	18.82%	64	75.29%	5	5.88%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2603	47	12.08%	334	85.86%	8	2.06%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2603	6	31.58%	12	63.16%	1	5.26%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2603	5	8.77%	52	91.23%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2603	201	16.00%	1004	79.94%	50	3.98%	1	0.08%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore

	Reg. Voters	T. PRIDEMORE (I) R		D. A. RANDOLPH (D)		J. TURPISH (L)		Write-In Votes	
021 Pinckneyville B									
Polling	3216	150	22.73%	486	73.64%	23	3.48%	1	0.15%
Absentee by Mail	3216	26	22.41%	87	75.00%	3	2.59%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3216	110	19.06%	448	77.64%	19	3.29%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3216	7	20.00%	27	77.14%	1	2.86%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3216	5	17.86%	23	82.14%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	3216	298	21.05%	1071	75.64%	46	3.25%	1	0.07%
022 Pinckneyville C									
Polling	1796	411	52.16%	335	42.51%	42	5.33%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1796	51	42.86%	65	54.62%	3	2.52%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1796	117	38.87%	178	59.14%	6	1.99%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1796	1	20.00%	3	60.00%	1	20.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1796	21	46.67%	22	48.89%	2	4.44%	0	0.00%
Total	1796	601	47.77%	603	47.93%	54	4.29%	0	0.00%
023 Pinckneyville D									
Polling	2042	110	21.53%	385	75.34%	16	3.13%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2042	16	24.62%	47	72.31%	2	3.08%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2042	57	20.43%	219	78.49%	3	1.08%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2042	1	6.67%	13	86.67%	1	6.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2042	6	15.38%	33	84.62%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2042	190	20.90%	697	76.68%	22	2.42%	0	0.00%
024 Sugar Hill A									
Polling	4001	636	59.66%	385	36.12%	45	4.22%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4001	53	40.77%	77	59.23%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4001	363	54.18%	295	44.03%	12	1.79%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4001	11	55.00%	9	45.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4001	114	51.12%	107	47.98%	2	0.90%	0	0.00%
Total	4001	1177	55.81%	873	41.39%	59	2.80%	0	0.00%
025 Sugar Hill B									
Polling	4835	809	55.00%	597	40.58%	64	4.35%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	4835	75	48.39%	73	47.10%	7	4.52%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4835	223	50.68%	205	46.59%	12	2.73%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4835	14	70.00%	5	25.00%	1	5.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4835	311	52.71%	262	44.41%	17	2.88%	0	0.00%
Total	4835	1432	53.51%	1142	42.68%	101	3.77%	1	0.04%
026 Hog Mountain A									
Polling	4467	542	41.76%	713	54.93%	42	3.24%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	4467	49	31.01%	103	65.19%	6	3.80%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4467	142	37.67%	229	60.74%	6	1.59%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4467	7	31.82%	15	68.18%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4467	196	33.73%	375	64.54%	10	1.72%	0	0.00%
Total	4467	936	38.42%	1435	58.91%	64	2.63%	1	0.04%
027 Hog Mountain B									
Polling	4211	827	60.15%	495	36.00%	53	3.85%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4211	81	48.50%	82	49.10%	4	2.40%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4211	478	58.72%	318	39.07%	18	2.21%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4211	7	58.33%	5	41.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore

	Reg. Voters	T. PRIDEMORE (I) R		D. A. RANDOLPH (D)		J. TURPISH (L)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	4211	159	45.95%	184	53.18%	3	0.87%	0	0.00%
Total	4211	1552	57.18%	1084	39.94%	78	2.87%	0	0.00%
028 Rockycreek A									
Polling	5149	846	57.24%	583	39.45%	49	3.32%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5149	61	46.92%	68	52.31%	1	0.77%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5149	873	59.51%	568	38.72%	25	1.70%	1	0.07%
Provisional	5149	3	50.00%	3	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5149	54	32.14%	112	66.67%	2	1.19%	0	0.00%
Total	5149	1837	56.54%	1334	41.06%	77	2.37%	1	0.03%
029 Cates B									
Polling	1559	349	62.88%	178	32.07%	28	5.05%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1559	32	54.24%	25	42.37%	1	1.69%	1	1.69%
Advance in Person	1559	94	54.97%	69	40.35%	8	4.68%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1559	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1559	135	58.70%	89	38.70%	6	2.61%	0	0.00%
Total	1559	612	60.18%	361	35.50%	43	4.23%	1	0.10%
030 Cates C									
Polling	2599	291	39.27%	430	58.03%	19	2.56%	1	0.13%
Absentee by Mail	2599	35	41.18%	47	55.29%	3	3.53%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2599	7	29.17%	17	70.83%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2599	1	12.50%	7	87.50%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2599	230	30.42%	512	67.72%	14	1.85%	0	0.00%
Total	2599	564	34.94%	1013	62.76%	36	2.23%	1	0.06%
031 Hog Mountain D									
Polling	2958	512	56.39%	349	38.44%	47	5.18%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2958	37	34.26%	66	61.11%	5	4.63%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2958	207	60.70%	121	35.48%	13	3.81%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2958	7	63.64%	3	27.27%	1	9.09%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2958	162	47.79%	167	49.26%	10	2.95%	0	0.00%
Total	2958	925	54.19%	706	41.36%	76	4.45%	0	0.00%
032 Berkshire D									
Polling	2684	419	51.54%	357	43.91%	37	4.55%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2684	54	41.54%	71	54.62%	5	3.85%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2684	341	46.58%	372	50.82%	19	2.60%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2684	3	37.50%	5	62.50%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2684	38	45.24%	45	53.57%	1	1.19%	0	0.00%
Total	2684	855	48.39%	850	48.10%	62	3.51%	0	0.00%
033 Berkshire E									
Polling	1866	374	58.81%	235	36.95%	26	4.09%	1	0.16%
Absentee by Mail	1866	38	45.78%	44	53.01%	1	1.20%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1866	260	48.60%	257	48.04%	18	3.36%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1866	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1866	21	58.33%	15	41.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	1866	693	53.68%	552	42.76%	45	3.49%	1	0.08%
034 Berkshire F									
Polling	2003	242	37.93%	371	58.15%	25	3.92%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2003	36	45.57%	40	50.63%	3	3.80%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore

	Reg. Voters	T. PRIDEMORE (I) R		D. A. RANDOLPH (D)		J. TURPISH (L)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	2003	58	23.29%	187	75.10%	4	1.61%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2003	3	27.27%	8	72.73%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2003	30	39.47%	45	59.21%	1	1.32%	0	0.00%
Total	2003	369	35.04%	651	61.82%	33	3.13%	0	0.00%
035 Cates D - 04									
Polling	2605	422	50.60%	376	45.08%	36	4.32%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2605	44	43.56%	51	50.50%	6	5.94%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2605	82	46.07%	92	51.69%	4	2.25%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2605	7	63.64%	3	27.27%	0	0.00%	1	9.09%
Advance in Person 2	2605	225	44.82%	266	52.99%	11	2.19%	0	0.00%
Total	2605	780	47.97%	788	48.46%	57	3.51%	1	0.06%
035 Cates D - 07									
Polling	585	101	52.60%	83	43.23%	8	4.17%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	585	6	22.22%	21	77.78%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	585	18	25.00%	50	69.44%	4	5.56%	0	0.00%
Provisional	585	2	66.67%	1	33.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	585	28	40.58%	40	57.97%	1	1.45%	0	0.00%
Total	585	155	42.70%	195	53.72%	13	3.58%	0	0.00%
036 Cates E									
Polling	2744	494	52.61%	416	44.30%	29	3.09%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2744	45	38.46%	70	59.83%	2	1.71%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2744	217	45.02%	256	53.11%	9	1.87%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2744	1	11.11%	8	88.89%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2744	174	51.03%	159	46.63%	8	2.35%	0	0.00%
Total	2744	931	49.31%	909	48.15%	48	2.54%	0	0.00%
037 Pinckneyville E									
Polling	2183	139	20.59%	514	76.15%	22	3.26%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2183	6	11.54%	45	86.54%	1	1.92%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2183	35	13.94%	210	83.67%	6	2.39%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2183	1	8.33%	10	83.33%	1	8.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2183	6	27.27%	16	72.73%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2183	187	18.48%	795	78.56%	30	2.96%	0	0.00%
038 Pinckneyville F									
Polling	2301	167	26.51%	433	68.73%	29	4.60%	1	0.16%
Absentee by Mail	2301	26	28.89%	59	65.56%	5	5.56%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2301	81	25.16%	228	70.81%	12	3.73%	1	0.31%
Provisional	2301	2	33.33%	3	50.00%	1	16.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2301	7	18.92%	29	78.38%	1	2.70%	0	0.00%
Total	2301	283	26.08%	752	69.31%	48	4.42%	2	0.18%
039 Duncans D									
Polling	6085	1195	66.10%	539	29.81%	74	4.09%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	6085	111	52.11%	92	43.19%	9	4.23%	1	0.47%
Advance in Person	6085	1114	69.76%	444	27.80%	38	2.38%	1	0.06%
Provisional	6085	8	53.33%	6	40.00%	1	6.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	6085	98	59.04%	66	39.76%	2	1.20%	0	0.00%
Total	6085	2526	66.49%	1147	30.19%	124	3.26%	2	0.05%
040 Pinckneyville H									

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore

	Reg. Voters	T. PRIDEMORE (I) R		D. A. RANDOLPH (D)		J. TURPISH (L)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	4126	662	48.32%	662	48.32%	45	3.28%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	4126	76	33.63%	147	65.04%	3	1.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4126	463	43.76%	569	53.78%	24	2.27%	2	0.19%
Provisional	4126	6	46.15%	6	46.15%	0	0.00%	1	7.69%
Advance in Person 2	4126	33	41.25%	47	58.75%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	4126	1240	45.14%	1431	52.09%	72	2.62%	4	0.15%
041 Baycreek B									
Polling	5349	562	34.23%	1031	62.79%	48	2.92%	1	0.06%
Absentee by Mail	5349	43	20.57%	165	78.95%	1	0.48%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5349	11	21.57%	38	74.51%	2	3.92%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5349	1	4.17%	23	95.83%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5349	382	27.00%	1010	71.38%	23	1.63%	0	0.00%
Total	5349	999	29.90%	2267	67.85%	74	2.21%	1	0.03%
042 Lawrenceville C									
Polling	2761	522	59.45%	310	35.31%	46	5.24%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2761	44	44.90%	50	51.02%	4	4.08%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2761	185	57.99%	123	38.56%	11	3.45%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2761	4	80.00%	1	20.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2761	175	49.02%	178	49.86%	4	1.12%	0	0.00%
Total	2761	930	56.13%	662	39.95%	65	3.92%	0	0.00%
043 Martins D									
Polling	2059	101	17.21%	472	80.41%	14	2.39%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2059	22	30.14%	50	68.49%	1	1.37%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2059	33	15.14%	180	82.57%	5	2.29%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2059	3	21.43%	11	78.57%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2059	15	15.63%	77	80.21%	4	4.17%	0	0.00%
Total	2059	174	17.61%	790	79.96%	24	2.43%	0	0.00%
044 Rockbridge B									
Polling	3761	247	25.60%	685	70.98%	33	3.42%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3761	25	23.81%	78	74.29%	2	1.90%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3761	27	23.28%	88	75.86%	1	0.86%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3761	1	7.69%	12	92.31%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3761	199	20.90%	731	76.79%	22	2.31%	0	0.00%
Total	3761	499	23.20%	1594	74.11%	58	2.70%	0	0.00%
045 Garners B									
Polling	2916	238	34.29%	439	63.26%	17	2.45%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2916	59	46.83%	66	52.38%	1	0.79%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2916	240	34.88%	427	62.06%	21	3.05%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2916	1	16.67%	4	66.67%	1	16.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2916	111	29.76%	259	69.44%	3	0.80%	0	0.00%
Total	2916	649	34.39%	1195	63.33%	43	2.28%	0	0.00%
046 Cates F									
Polling	1689	430	64.47%	198	29.69%	39	5.85%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1689	31	45.59%	36	52.94%	1	1.47%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1689	24	47.06%	26	50.98%	1	1.96%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1689	2	50.00%	2	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1689	198	58.58%	136	40.24%	4	1.18%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore

	Reg. Voters	T. PRIDEMORE (I) R		D. A. RANDOLPH (D)		J. TURPISH (L)		Write-In Votes	
Total	1689	685	60.73%	398	35.28%	45	3.99%	0	0.00%
047 Cates G									
Polling	2597	567	63.07%	297	33.04%	35	3.89%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2597	67	56.30%	51	42.86%	1	0.84%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2597	71	63.39%	40	35.71%	1	0.89%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2597	4	57.14%	2	28.57%	1	14.29%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2597	381	56.95%	281	42.00%	7	1.05%	0	0.00%
Total	2597	1090	60.35%	671	37.15%	45	2.49%	0	0.00%
048 Duluth B									
Polling	3332	479	53.16%	374	41.51%	48	5.33%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3332	68	40.00%	93	54.71%	9	5.29%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3332	282	43.12%	349	53.36%	23	3.52%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3332	5	50.00%	5	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3332	100	67.11%	48	32.21%	1	0.67%	0	0.00%
Total	3332	934	49.58%	869	46.13%	81	4.30%	0	0.00%
049 Garners C									
Polling	1908	267	49.44%	244	45.19%	29	5.37%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1908	18	31.03%	38	65.52%	2	3.45%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1908	186	37.96%	283	57.76%	21	4.29%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1908	1	20.00%	4	80.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1908	12	26.09%	32	69.57%	2	4.35%	0	0.00%
Total	1908	484	42.49%	601	52.77%	54	4.74%	0	0.00%
050 Pinckneyville I									
Polling	2479	171	25.52%	478	71.34%	21	3.13%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2479	25	31.25%	55	68.75%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2479	73	18.11%	321	79.65%	9	2.23%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2479	2	11.11%	16	88.89%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2479	17	24.29%	52	74.29%	1	1.43%	0	0.00%
Total	2479	288	23.21%	922	74.29%	31	2.50%	0	0.00%
051 Pinckneyville J									
Polling	2543	73	13.70%	445	83.49%	12	2.25%	3	0.56%
Absentee by Mail	2543	20	27.40%	50	68.49%	3	4.11%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2543	52	12.62%	352	85.44%	8	1.94%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2543	1	4.00%	23	92.00%	1	4.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2543	5	14.29%	29	82.86%	1	2.86%	0	0.00%
Total	2543	151	14.01%	899	83.40%	25	2.32%	3	0.28%
052 Berkshire G									
Polling	2250	330	51.64%	276	43.19%	33	5.16%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2250	44	51.16%	40	46.51%	2	2.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2250	364	48.79%	364	48.79%	18	2.41%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2250	3	60.00%	2	40.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2250	11	36.67%	17	56.67%	1	3.33%	1	3.33%
Total	2250	752	49.93%	699	46.41%	54	3.59%	1	0.07%
053 Cates H									
Polling	3622	286	29.42%	665	68.42%	20	2.06%	1	0.10%
Absentee by Mail	3622	47	37.01%	78	61.42%	2	1.57%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3622	13	27.08%	34	70.83%	1	2.08%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore										
	Reg. Voters	T. PRIDEMORE (I) R		D. A. RANDOLPH (D)		J. TURPISH (L)		Write-In Votes			
Provisional	3622	1	7.69%	12	92.31%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	3622	239	27.69%	605	70.10%	18	2.09%	1	0.12%		
Total	3622	586	28.97%	1394	68.91%	41	2.03%	2	0.10%		
054 Sugar Hill C											
Polling	4596	1021	64.50%	499	31.52%	62	3.92%	1	0.06%		
Absentee by Mail	4596	86	50.29%	79	46.20%	6	3.51%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	4596	270	60.27%	167	37.28%	11	2.46%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	4596	16	57.14%	11	39.29%	1	3.57%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	4596	312	55.22%	242	42.83%	11	1.95%	0	0.00%		
Total	4596	1705	61.00%	998	35.71%	91	3.26%	1	0.04%		
055 Sugar Hill D											
Polling	4070	693	57.61%	465	38.65%	45	3.74%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	4070	62	48.44%	61	47.66%	5	3.91%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	4070	471	55.02%	361	42.17%	24	2.80%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	4070	16	53.33%	13	43.33%	1	3.33%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	4070	101	67.79%	44	29.53%	4	2.68%	0	0.00%		
Total	4070	1343	56.76%	944	39.90%	79	3.34%	0	0.00%		
056 Duluth C											
Polling	2243	312	45.95%	332	48.90%	34	5.01%	1	0.15%		
Absentee by Mail	2243	46	46.94%	46	46.94%	6	6.12%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2243	186	40.00%	263	56.56%	16	3.44%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2243	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2243	21	29.17%	50	69.44%	1	1.39%	0	0.00%		
Total	2243	566	42.94%	694	52.66%	57	4.32%	1	0.08%		
057 Duluth D											
Polling	4404	392	37.51%	594	56.84%	59	5.65%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	4404	70	35.18%	122	61.31%	7	3.52%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	4404	318	32.19%	646	65.38%	24	2.43%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	4404	5	25.00%	14	70.00%	1	5.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	4404	68	46.58%	74	50.68%	4	2.74%	0	0.00%		
Total	4404	853	35.57%	1450	60.47%	95	3.96%	0	0.00%		
058 Pinckneyville K											
Polling	3547	185	21.19%	651	74.57%	37	4.24%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	3547	29	25.44%	79	69.30%	5	4.39%	1	0.88%		
Advance in Person	3547	68	14.05%	399	82.44%	17	3.51%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	3547	3	12.50%	21	87.50%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	3547	26	29.21%	63	70.79%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Total	3547	311	19.63%	1213	76.58%	59	3.72%	1	0.06%		
059 Pinckneyville N											
Polling	3717	165	15.63%	858	81.25%	32	3.03%	1	0.09%		
Absentee by Mail	3717	8	10.81%	61	82.43%	5	6.76%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	3717	71	13.10%	465	85.79%	6	1.11%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	3717	3	18.75%	12	75.00%	1	6.25%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	3717	8	14.04%	48	84.21%	1	1.75%	0	0.00%		
Total	3717	255	14.61%	1444	82.75%	45	2.58%	1	0.06%		
060 Lawrenceville D											
Polling	4872	229	21.77%	787	74.81%	35	3.33%	1	0.10%		

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore

	Reg. Voters	T. PRIDEMORE (I) R		D. A. RANDOLPH (D)		J. TURPISH (L)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	4872	20	16.26%	101	82.11%	2	1.63%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4872	16	18.82%	66	77.65%	3	3.53%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4872	5	20.83%	19	79.17%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4872	172	19.52%	694	78.77%	14	1.59%	1	0.11%
Total	4872	442	20.42%	1667	77.00%	54	2.49%	2	0.09%
061 Lawrenceville E									
Polling	2205	332	52.61%	276	43.74%	22	3.49%	1	0.16%
Absentee by Mail	2205	49	49.49%	50	50.51%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2205	11	55.00%	9	45.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2205	2	66.67%	1	33.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2205	326	52.41%	285	45.82%	11	1.77%	0	0.00%
Total	2205	720	52.36%	621	45.16%	33	2.40%	1	0.07%
062 Pinckneyville L									
Polling	2233	695	63.41%	345	31.48%	56	5.11%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2233	79	58.52%	53	39.26%	3	2.22%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2233	206	53.51%	168	43.64%	11	2.86%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2233	1	50.00%	1	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2233	26	70.27%	9	24.32%	2	5.41%	0	0.00%
Total	2233	1007	60.85%	576	34.80%	72	4.35%	0	0.00%
063 Pinckneyville M									
Polling	2515	760	66.61%	331	29.01%	50	4.38%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2515	93	53.76%	74	42.77%	5	2.89%	1	0.58%
Advance in Person	2515	287	62.53%	165	35.95%	7	1.53%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2515	3	42.86%	4	57.14%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2515	12	66.67%	6	33.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2515	1155	64.24%	580	32.26%	62	3.45%	1	0.06%
064 Garners D									
Polling	3127	221	25.64%	619	71.81%	21	2.44%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	3127	23	20.54%	85	75.89%	4	3.57%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3127	166	26.39%	454	72.18%	9	1.43%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3127	0	0.00%	5	62.50%	3	37.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3127	58	19.66%	234	79.32%	3	1.02%	0	0.00%
Total	3127	468	24.55%	1397	73.29%	40	2.10%	1	0.05%
065 Berkshire H									
Polling	1717	142	31.49%	285	63.19%	24	5.32%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1717	24	41.38%	30	51.72%	4	6.90%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1717	84	25.93%	233	71.91%	7	2.16%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1717	1	9.09%	10	90.91%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1717	4	11.43%	30	85.71%	1	2.86%	0	0.00%
Total	1717	255	29.01%	588	66.89%	36	4.10%	0	0.00%
066 Pinckneyville O									
Polling	3267	157	20.69%	573	75.49%	27	3.56%	2	0.26%
Absentee by Mail	3267	17	21.79%	59	75.64%	2	2.56%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3267	125	19.81%	494	78.29%	12	1.90%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3267	7	21.88%	25	78.13%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3267	4	14.29%	24	85.71%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	3267	310	20.29%	1175	76.90%	41	2.68%	2	0.13%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore

	Reg. Voters	T. PRIDEMORE (I) R		D. A. RANDOLPH (D)		J. TURPISH (L)		Write-In Votes	
067 Pucketts E									
Polling	4771	543	47.47%	565	49.39%	36	3.15%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4771	73	39.67%	108	58.70%	3	1.63%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4771	604	49.83%	587	48.43%	20	1.65%	1	0.08%
Provisional	4771	13	48.15%	14	51.85%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4771	53	32.92%	105	65.22%	3	1.86%	0	0.00%
Total	4771	1286	47.14%	1379	50.55%	62	2.27%	1	0.04%
068 Cates I									
Polling	3395	597	51.51%	521	44.95%	41	3.54%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3395	58	40.85%	80	56.34%	3	2.11%	1	0.70%
Advance in Person	3395	83	41.71%	112	56.28%	4	2.01%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3395	2	25.00%	6	75.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3395	260	39.82%	380	58.19%	13	1.99%	0	0.00%
Total	3395	1000	46.27%	1099	50.86%	61	2.82%	1	0.05%
069 Cates J									
Polling	3266	468	42.09%	597	53.69%	46	4.14%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	3266	40	33.06%	76	62.81%	5	4.13%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3266	212	33.92%	404	64.64%	9	1.44%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3266	5	38.46%	7	53.85%	1	7.69%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3266	62	28.84%	150	69.77%	3	1.40%	0	0.00%
Total	3266	787	37.73%	1234	59.16%	64	3.07%	1	0.05%
070 Goodwins B									
Polling	3604	680	57.43%	454	38.34%	50	4.22%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3604	73	48.03%	71	46.71%	8	5.26%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3604	122	58.94%	82	39.61%	3	1.45%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3604	3	42.86%	3	42.86%	1	14.29%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3604	373	54.06%	308	44.64%	9	1.30%	0	0.00%
Total	3604	1251	55.85%	918	40.98%	71	3.17%	0	0.00%
071 Lawrenceville F									
Polling	3698	247	27.66%	614	68.76%	32	3.58%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3698	21	14.19%	121	81.76%	6	4.05%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3698	21	37.50%	32	57.14%	3	5.36%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3698	3	20.00%	11	73.33%	1	6.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3698	202	19.17%	837	79.41%	15	1.42%	0	0.00%
Total	3698	494	22.81%	1615	74.56%	57	2.63%	0	0.00%
072 Martins E									
Polling	5934	115	9.66%	1047	87.91%	29	2.43%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5934	32	17.02%	153	81.38%	3	1.60%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5934	43	6.21%	633	91.47%	15	2.17%	1	0.14%
Provisional	5934	5	8.77%	48	84.21%	3	5.26%	1	1.75%
Advance in Person 2	5934	19	7.69%	226	91.50%	2	0.81%	0	0.00%
Total	5934	214	9.01%	2107	88.72%	52	2.19%	2	0.08%
073 Pinckneyville P									
Polling	2048	435	49.26%	423	47.90%	24	2.72%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	2048	52	44.07%	62	52.54%	4	3.39%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2048	89	31.45%	189	66.78%	5	1.77%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2048	4	57.14%	2	28.57%	1	14.29%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore

	Reg. Voters	T. PRIDEMORE (I) R		D. A. RANDOLPH (D)		J. TURPISH (L)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	2048	11	47.83%	11	47.83%	1	4.35%	0	0.00%
Total	2048	591	44.98%	687	52.28%	35	2.66%	1	0.08%
074 Pinckneyville Q									
Polling	1725	79	13.44%	493	83.84%	16	2.72%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1725	0	0.00%	34	97.14%	1	2.86%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1725	23	12.11%	164	86.32%	3	1.58%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1725	0	0.00%	12	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1725	3	8.33%	32	88.89%	1	2.78%	0	0.00%
Total	1725	105	12.20%	735	85.37%	21	2.44%	0	0.00%
075 Rockbridge C									
Polling	4722	241	20.07%	920	76.60%	40	3.33%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4722	41	20.71%	153	77.27%	4	2.02%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4722	45	27.27%	115	69.70%	5	3.03%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4722	3	18.75%	12	75.00%	1	6.25%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4722	217	15.01%	1203	83.20%	26	1.80%	0	0.00%
Total	4722	547	18.08%	2403	79.41%	76	2.51%	0	0.00%
076 Rockbridge D									
Polling	3359	209	25.55%	586	71.64%	23	2.81%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3359	34	23.94%	103	72.54%	5	3.52%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3359	6	16.67%	28	77.78%	2	5.56%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3359	5	19.23%	21	80.77%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3359	281	25.34%	816	73.58%	12	1.08%	0	0.00%
Total	3359	535	25.11%	1554	72.92%	42	1.97%	0	0.00%
077 Goodwins C									
Polling	5106	483	35.80%	816	60.49%	49	3.63%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	5106	75	35.71%	126	60.00%	8	3.81%	1	0.48%
Advance in Person	5106	58	20.71%	218	77.86%	4	1.43%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5106	4	22.22%	14	77.78%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5106	284	33.65%	551	65.28%	9	1.07%	0	0.00%
Total	5106	904	33.47%	1725	63.87%	70	2.59%	2	0.07%
078 Baycreek K									
Polling	3536	429	46.28%	467	50.38%	30	3.24%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	3536	65	41.94%	87	56.13%	3	1.94%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3536	18	50.00%	16	44.44%	2	5.56%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3536	5	41.67%	7	58.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3536	506	43.92%	623	54.08%	23	2.00%	0	0.00%
Total	3536	1023	44.83%	1200	52.59%	58	2.54%	1	0.04%
079 Cates K									
Polling	2531	533	55.69%	386	40.33%	38	3.97%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2531	38	53.52%	32	45.07%	1	1.41%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2531	56	47.46%	59	50.00%	3	2.54%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2531	2	25.00%	6	75.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2531	226	47.18%	240	50.10%	13	2.71%	0	0.00%
Total	2531	855	52.36%	723	44.27%	55	3.37%	0	0.00%
080 Baycreek C									
Polling	5755	731	45.07%	840	51.79%	50	3.08%	1	0.06%
Absentee by Mail	5755	70	36.46%	117	60.94%	5	2.60%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore

	Reg. Voters	T. PRIDEMORE (I) R		D. A. RANDOLPH (D)		J. TURPISH (L)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	5755	46	46.00%	52	52.00%	2	2.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5755	4	33.33%	8	66.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5755	534	29.80%	1230	68.64%	28	1.56%	0	0.00%
Total	5755	1385	37.25%	2247	60.44%	85	2.29%	1	0.03%
081 Cates L									
Polling	3751	487	43.60%	595	53.27%	34	3.04%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	3751	53	37.32%	88	61.97%	1	0.70%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3751	11	23.91%	35	76.09%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3751	2	20.00%	8	80.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3751	395	38.73%	601	58.92%	24	2.35%	0	0.00%
Total	3751	948	40.60%	1327	56.83%	59	2.53%	1	0.04%
082 Duluth E									
Polling	4580	350	30.15%	773	66.58%	38	3.27%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4580	49	30.25%	106	65.43%	7	4.32%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4580	263	26.84%	693	70.71%	24	2.45%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4580	4	18.18%	15	68.18%	3	13.64%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4580	31	28.70%	77	71.30%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	4580	697	28.65%	1664	68.39%	72	2.96%	0	0.00%
083 Goodwins D									
Polling	3985	586	46.07%	627	49.29%	58	4.56%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	3985	60	42.25%	77	54.23%	5	3.52%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3985	36	34.29%	65	61.90%	4	3.81%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3985	7	35.00%	10	50.00%	3	15.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3985	314	41.32%	427	56.18%	19	2.50%	0	0.00%
Total	3985	1003	43.63%	1206	52.46%	89	3.87%	1	0.04%
084 Lawrenceville G									
Polling	2783	212	27.25%	538	69.15%	27	3.47%	1	0.13%
Absentee by Mail	2783	23	24.73%	69	74.19%	1	1.08%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2783	2	5.41%	34	91.89%	1	2.70%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2783	4	19.05%	17	80.95%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2783	130	23.51%	415	75.05%	8	1.45%	0	0.00%
Total	2783	371	25.03%	1073	72.40%	37	2.50%	1	0.07%
085 Lawrenceville H									
Polling	3899	606	50.50%	546	45.50%	48	4.00%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3899	78	49.06%	77	48.43%	4	2.52%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3899	127	53.59%	102	43.04%	8	3.38%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3899	6	54.55%	5	45.45%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3899	370	47.44%	387	49.62%	22	2.82%	1	0.13%
Total	3899	1187	49.73%	1117	46.80%	82	3.44%	1	0.04%
086 Martins F									
Polling	2401	173	25.98%	476	71.47%	16	2.40%	1	0.15%
Absentee by Mail	2401	19	22.09%	67	77.91%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2401	61	18.83%	258	79.63%	4	1.23%	1	0.31%
Provisional	2401	2	16.67%	10	83.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2401	21	21.00%	76	76.00%	3	3.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2401	276	23.23%	887	74.66%	23	1.94%	2	0.17%
087 Pinckneyville S									

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore

	Reg. Voters	T. PRIDEMORE (I) R		D. A. RANDOLPH (D)		J. TURPISH (L)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	3013	301	31.78%	607	64.10%	39	4.12%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3013	54	37.76%	81	56.64%	8	5.59%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3013	144	31.10%	306	66.09%	13	2.81%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3013	2	18.18%	7	63.64%	2	18.18%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3013	21	35.00%	39	65.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	3013	522	32.14%	1040	64.04%	62	3.82%	0	0.00%
088 Rockbridge E									
Polling	4199	282	25.00%	806	71.45%	40	3.55%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4199	15	12.50%	102	85.00%	3	2.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4199	58	36.02%	98	60.87%	5	3.11%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4199	6	15.38%	32	82.05%	1	2.56%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4199	128	15.55%	684	83.11%	11	1.34%	0	0.00%
Total	4199	489	21.53%	1722	75.83%	60	2.64%	0	0.00%
089 Sugar Hill E									
Polling	3061	542	58.03%	344	36.83%	48	5.14%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3061	51	42.50%	65	54.17%	3	2.50%	1	0.83%
Advance in Person	3061	110	55.28%	80	40.20%	9	4.52%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3061	14	66.67%	7	33.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3061	306	51.95%	273	46.35%	10	1.70%	0	0.00%
Total	3061	1023	54.91%	769	41.28%	70	3.76%	1	0.05%
090 Lawrenceville I									
Polling	3140	328	35.54%	549	59.48%	46	4.98%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3140	39	30.95%	80	63.49%	7	5.56%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3140	60	41.96%	78	54.55%	5	3.50%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3140	1	11.11%	8	88.89%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3140	189	35.66%	331	62.45%	10	1.89%	0	0.00%
Total	3140	617	35.64%	1046	60.43%	68	3.93%	0	0.00%
091 Baycreek D									
Polling	4157	642	53.19%	524	43.41%	41	3.40%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4157	59	35.76%	102	61.82%	4	2.42%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4157	20	54.05%	16	43.24%	1	2.70%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4157	5	45.45%	5	45.45%	1	9.09%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4157	537	42.35%	706	55.68%	25	1.97%	0	0.00%
Total	4157	1263	46.99%	1353	50.33%	72	2.68%	0	0.00%
092 Berkshire J									
Polling	2595	471	58.36%	298	36.93%	37	4.58%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	2595	47	51.09%	44	47.83%	1	1.09%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2595	416	51.68%	366	45.47%	23	2.86%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2595	3	42.86%	4	57.14%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2595	31	40.26%	45	58.44%	1	1.30%	0	0.00%
Total	2595	968	54.14%	757	42.34%	62	3.47%	1	0.06%
093 Cates M									
Polling	3794	747	58.50%	466	36.49%	64	5.01%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3794	79	41.15%	109	56.77%	4	2.08%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3794	70	56.45%	49	39.52%	5	4.03%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3794	4	50.00%	4	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3794	514	52.72%	440	45.13%	21	2.15%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore

	Reg. Voters	T. PRIDEMORE (I) R		D. A. RANDOLPH (D)		J. TURPISH (L)		Write-In Votes	
Total	3794	1414	54.89%	1068	41.46%	94	3.65%	0	0.00%
094 Cates N									
Polling	2445	526	65.10%	254	31.44%	28	3.47%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2445	67	62.04%	40	37.04%	1	0.93%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2445	16	64.00%	9	36.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2445	2	40.00%	3	60.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2445	404	61.21%	240	36.36%	16	2.42%	0	0.00%
Total	2445	1015	63.20%	546	34.00%	45	2.80%	0	0.00%
095 Duluth F									
Polling	5413	265	20.82%	977	76.75%	31	2.44%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5413	53	29.94%	121	68.36%	3	1.69%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5413	85	14.38%	496	83.93%	9	1.52%	1	0.17%
Provisional	5413	3	11.54%	21	80.77%	2	7.69%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5413	83	19.17%	340	78.52%	10	2.31%	0	0.00%
Total	5413	489	19.56%	1955	78.20%	55	2.20%	1	0.04%
096 Duluth G									
Polling	2775	501	54.69%	361	39.41%	54	5.90%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2775	58	53.70%	47	43.52%	3	2.78%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2775	146	44.38%	177	53.80%	6	1.82%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2775	3	27.27%	7	63.64%	1	9.09%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2775	197	56.77%	137	39.48%	13	3.75%	0	0.00%
Total	2775	905	52.89%	729	42.61%	77	4.50%	0	0.00%
097 Goodwins E									
Polling	4950	753	50.03%	683	45.38%	69	4.58%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4950	69	31.08%	145	65.32%	8	3.60%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4950	44	26.67%	119	72.12%	2	1.21%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4950	4	23.53%	13	76.47%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4950	408	43.27%	512	54.29%	23	2.44%	0	0.00%
Total	4950	1278	44.81%	1472	51.61%	102	3.58%	0	0.00%
098 Goodwins F									
Polling	2904	382	43.66%	458	52.34%	34	3.89%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	2904	55	41.98%	75	57.25%	1	0.76%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2904	25	38.46%	38	58.46%	2	3.08%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2904	3	25.00%	8	66.67%	1	8.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2904	240	41.52%	326	56.40%	12	2.08%	0	0.00%
Total	2904	705	42.44%	905	54.49%	50	3.01%	1	0.06%
099 Pinckneyville T									
Polling	2874	323	37.96%	484	56.87%	43	5.05%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	2874	63	43.75%	72	50.00%	9	6.25%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2874	141	30.00%	315	67.02%	14	2.98%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2874	2	22.22%	6	66.67%	1	11.11%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2874	15	34.09%	29	65.91%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2874	544	35.84%	906	59.68%	67	4.41%	1	0.07%
100 Suwanee B									
Polling	4085	469	55.18%	353	41.53%	27	3.18%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	4085	45	33.83%	81	60.90%	7	5.26%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4085	8	26.67%	20	66.67%	2	6.67%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore										
	Reg. Voters	T. PRIDEMORE (I) R		D. A. RANDOLPH (D)		J. TURPISH (L)		Write-In Votes			
Provisional	4085	4	66.67%	1	16.67%	1	16.67%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	4085	790	53.38%	645	43.58%	45	3.04%	0	0.00%		
Total	4085	1316	52.66%	1100	44.02%	82	3.28%	1	0.04%		
101 Pinckneyville U											
Polling	2007	352	43.08%	434	53.12%	31	3.79%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	2007	26	35.62%	44	60.27%	3	4.11%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2007	73	25.17%	207	71.38%	10	3.45%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2007	1	14.29%	6	85.71%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2007	15	46.88%	16	50.00%	1	3.13%	0	0.00%		
Total	2007	467	38.31%	707	58.00%	45	3.69%	0	0.00%		
102 Pucketts B											
Polling	2741	517	63.91%	264	32.63%	27	3.34%	1	0.12%		
Absentee by Mail	2741	42	50.60%	38	45.78%	3	3.61%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2741	528	63.61%	286	34.46%	16	1.93%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2741	8	72.73%	3	27.27%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2741	43	68.25%	20	31.75%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Total	2741	1138	63.36%	611	34.02%	46	2.56%	1	0.06%		
103 Berkshire L											
Polling	2915	215	23.94%	658	73.27%	25	2.78%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	2915	30	25.21%	86	72.27%	3	2.52%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2915	73	21.73%	259	77.08%	4	1.19%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2915	2	11.76%	15	88.24%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2915	44	23.91%	139	75.54%	1	0.54%	0	0.00%		
Total	2915	364	23.42%	1157	74.45%	33	2.12%	0	0.00%		
104 Rockbridge F											
Polling	5313	187	18.10%	821	79.48%	24	2.32%	1	0.10%		
Absentee by Mail	5313	49	23.67%	156	75.36%	2	0.97%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	5313	5	12.82%	32	82.05%	2	5.13%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	5313	3	15.79%	16	84.21%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	5313	375	17.81%	1708	81.10%	23	1.09%	0	0.00%		
Total	5313	619	18.18%	2733	80.29%	51	1.50%	1	0.03%		
105 Sugar Hill F											
Polling	3687	715	57.48%	457	36.74%	70	5.63%	2	0.16%		
Absentee by Mail	3687	46	43.40%	51	48.11%	9	8.49%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	3687	48	40.00%	69	57.50%	3	2.50%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	3687	4	28.57%	10	71.43%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	3687	384	56.06%	271	39.56%	30	4.38%	0	0.00%		
Total	3687	1197	55.19%	858	39.56%	112	5.16%	2	0.09%		
106 Suwanee C											
Polling	4823	655	54.49%	493	41.01%	54	4.49%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	4823	78	38.42%	116	57.14%	9	4.43%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	4823	47	45.19%	53	50.96%	4	3.85%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	4823	19	36.54%	33	63.46%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	4823	625	51.70%	560	46.32%	24	1.99%	0	0.00%		
Total	4823	1424	51.41%	1255	45.31%	91	3.29%	0	0.00%		
107 Cates O											
Polling	1342	265	54.30%	196	40.16%	27	5.53%	0	0.00%		

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore

	Reg. Voters	T. PRIDEMORE (I) R		D. A. RANDOLPH (D)		J. TURPISH (L)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	1342	21	55.26%	17	44.74%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1342	93	50.54%	89	48.37%	2	1.09%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1342	0	0.00%	1	50.00%	1	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1342	56	42.11%	76	57.14%	1	0.75%	0	0.00%
Total	1342	435	51.48%	379	44.85%	31	3.67%	0	0.00%
108 Baycreek E									
Polling	2863	424	46.44%	456	49.95%	33	3.61%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2863	39	39.80%	56	57.14%	3	3.06%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2863	12	40.00%	17	56.67%	1	3.33%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2863	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2863	225	34.14%	422	64.04%	12	1.82%	0	0.00%
Total	2863	701	41.14%	954	55.99%	49	2.88%	0	0.00%
109 Berkshire M									
Polling	1638	307	53.67%	239	41.78%	26	4.55%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1638	26	47.27%	26	47.27%	3	5.45%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1638	218	51.66%	191	45.26%	13	3.08%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1638	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1638	23	57.50%	17	42.50%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	1638	575	52.61%	476	43.55%	42	3.84%	0	0.00%
110 Martins G									
Polling	2187	191	28.51%	462	68.96%	17	2.54%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2187	26	35.14%	47	63.51%	1	1.35%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2187	4	11.76%	30	88.24%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2187	2	22.22%	7	77.78%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2187	74	21.26%	268	77.01%	6	1.72%	0	0.00%
Total	2187	297	26.17%	814	71.72%	24	2.11%	0	0.00%
111 Duluth H									
Polling	2662	332	45.60%	373	51.24%	22	3.02%	1	0.14%
Absentee by Mail	2662	84	46.41%	94	51.93%	3	1.66%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2662	253	43.55%	317	54.56%	11	1.89%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2662	4	80.00%	1	20.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2662	54	47.79%	56	49.56%	3	2.65%	0	0.00%
Total	2662	727	45.21%	841	52.30%	39	2.43%	1	0.06%
112 Berkshire N									
Polling	2836	355	55.38%	253	39.47%	33	5.15%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2836	64	50.00%	60	46.88%	4	3.13%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2836	646	54.15%	514	43.08%	33	2.77%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2836	2	50.00%	2	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2836	28	75.68%	8	21.62%	1	2.70%	0	0.00%
Total	2836	1095	54.67%	837	41.79%	71	3.54%	0	0.00%
113 Pinckneyville V									
Polling	1572	154	40.42%	212	55.64%	14	3.67%	1	0.26%
Absentee by Mail	1572	19	30.65%	41	66.13%	2	3.23%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1572	98	28.91%	238	70.21%	3	0.88%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1572	6	42.86%	8	57.14%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1572	5	45.45%	6	54.55%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	1572	282	34.94%	505	62.58%	19	2.35%	1	0.12%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore

	Reg. Voters	T. PRIDEMORE (I) R		D. A. RANDOLPH (D)		J. TURPISH (L)		Write-In Votes	
114 Pinckneyville W									
Polling	3885	506	41.96%	640	53.07%	60	4.98%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3885	53	37.32%	84	59.15%	5	3.52%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3885	253	35.34%	442	61.73%	21	2.93%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3885	5	26.32%	13	68.42%	1	5.26%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3885	18	39.13%	28	60.87%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	3885	835	39.22%	1207	56.69%	87	4.09%	0	0.00%
115 Martins H									
Polling	4487	412	32.21%	827	64.66%	40	3.13%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4487	71	32.72%	136	62.67%	10	4.61%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4487	50	21.83%	177	77.29%	2	0.87%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4487	2	14.29%	11	78.57%	1	7.14%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4487	227	31.18%	487	66.90%	14	1.92%	0	0.00%
Total	4487	762	30.89%	1638	66.40%	67	2.72%	0	0.00%
116 Martins I									
Polling	3518	211	22.76%	683	73.68%	33	3.56%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3518	47	29.75%	107	67.72%	4	2.53%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3518	54	16.67%	263	81.17%	7	2.16%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3518	1	5.88%	16	94.12%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3518	53	20.38%	201	77.31%	6	2.31%	0	0.00%
Total	3518	366	21.71%	1270	75.33%	50	2.97%	0	0.00%
117 Martins J									
Polling	3809	185	17.87%	822	79.42%	27	2.61%	1	0.10%
Absentee by Mail	3809	17	14.17%	101	84.17%	2	1.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3809	37	10.34%	308	86.03%	13	3.63%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3809	0	0.00%	19	95.00%	1	5.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3809	55	20.52%	210	78.36%	3	1.12%	0	0.00%
Total	3809	294	16.32%	1460	81.07%	46	2.55%	1	0.06%
118 Garners F									
Polling	1558	219	47.51%	218	47.29%	24	5.21%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1558	15	36.59%	26	63.41%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1558	233	49.47%	231	49.04%	7	1.49%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1558	2	50.00%	2	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1558	3	18.75%	13	81.25%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	1558	472	47.53%	490	49.35%	31	3.12%	0	0.00%
119 Berkshire O									
Polling	2422	486	55.73%	339	38.88%	47	5.39%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2422	60	57.69%	41	39.42%	3	2.88%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2422	272	56.55%	195	40.54%	14	2.91%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2422	4	44.44%	5	55.56%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2422	75	51.72%	68	46.90%	2	1.38%	0	0.00%
Total	2422	897	55.68%	648	40.22%	66	4.10%	0	0.00%
120 Berkshire P									
Polling	1339	151	38.92%	228	58.76%	9	2.32%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1339	19	30.16%	43	68.25%	1	1.59%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1339	58	31.02%	123	65.78%	6	3.21%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1339	2	25.00%	6	75.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore

	Reg. Voters	T. PRIDEMORE (I) R		D. A. RANDOLPH (D)		J. TURPISH (L)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	1339	22	38.60%	35	61.40%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	1339	252	35.85%	435	61.88%	16	2.28%	0	0.00%
121 Pinckneyville X									
Polling	3198	545	50.60%	473	43.92%	57	5.29%	2	0.19%
Absentee by Mail	3198	82	38.32%	128	59.81%	4	1.87%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3198	322	43.51%	393	53.11%	25	3.38%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3198	2	40.00%	3	60.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3198	29	39.73%	41	56.16%	3	4.11%	0	0.00%
Total	3198	980	46.47%	1038	49.22%	89	4.22%	2	0.09%
122 Rockbridge G									
Polling	5188	237	20.73%	867	75.85%	39	3.41%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5188	45	19.15%	183	77.87%	7	2.98%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5188	37	34.26%	69	63.89%	2	1.85%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5188	2	4.88%	38	92.68%	1	2.44%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5188	268	16.78%	1311	82.09%	18	1.13%	0	0.00%
Total	5188	589	18.85%	2468	79.00%	67	2.14%	0	0.00%
123 Pinckneyville Y									
Polling	3018	136	17.69%	613	79.71%	20	2.60%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3018	13	20.31%	50	78.13%	1	1.56%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3018	66	14.86%	373	84.01%	5	1.13%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3018	2	8.00%	22	88.00%	1	4.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3018	18	29.03%	43	69.35%	0	0.00%	1	1.61%
Total	3018	235	17.23%	1101	80.72%	27	1.98%	1	0.07%
124 Goodwins G									
Polling	2448	221	29.04%	511	67.15%	29	3.81%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2448	16	23.19%	52	75.36%	1	1.45%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2448	16	22.86%	53	75.71%	1	1.43%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2448	1	10.00%	9	90.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2448	99	23.68%	314	75.12%	5	1.20%	0	0.00%
Total	2448	353	26.58%	939	70.71%	36	2.71%	0	0.00%
125 Pinckneyville Z									
Polling	1806	580	66.51%	250	28.67%	42	4.82%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1806	41	45.05%	47	51.65%	3	3.30%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1806	183	59.61%	117	38.11%	7	2.28%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1806	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1806	20	64.52%	9	29.03%	2	6.45%	0	0.00%
Total	1806	826	63.39%	423	32.46%	54	4.14%	0	0.00%
126 Pinckneyville A1									
Polling	2596	758	65.63%	352	30.48%	45	3.90%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2596	92	57.50%	66	41.25%	2	1.25%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2596	261	54.83%	206	43.28%	9	1.89%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2596	3	42.86%	4	57.14%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2596	22	45.83%	25	52.08%	1	2.08%	0	0.00%
Total	2596	1136	61.54%	653	35.37%	57	3.09%	0	0.00%
127 Lawrenceville J									
Polling	1959	233	37.70%	357	57.77%	28	4.53%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1959	18	22.50%	62	77.50%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore

	Reg. Voters	T. PRIDEMORE (I) R		D. A. RANDOLPH (D)		J. TURPISH (L)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	1959	10	21.28%	36	76.60%	1	2.13%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1959	1	16.67%	5	83.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1959	95	25.00%	283	74.47%	2	0.53%	0	0.00%
Total	1959	357	31.56%	743	65.69%	31	2.74%	0	0.00%
128 Goodwins H									
Polling	2561	433	52.48%	353	42.79%	39	4.73%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2561	44	40.37%	63	57.80%	2	1.83%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2561	19	36.54%	31	59.62%	2	3.85%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2561	3	25.00%	9	75.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2561	212	39.70%	307	57.49%	15	2.81%	0	0.00%
Total	2561	711	46.41%	763	49.80%	58	3.79%	0	0.00%
129 Duncans B									
Polling	4655	1087	68.15%	438	27.46%	70	4.39%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4655	85	57.82%	57	38.78%	5	3.40%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4655	799	69.48%	319	27.74%	32	2.78%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4655	4	66.67%	2	33.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4655	58	52.25%	52	46.85%	1	0.90%	0	0.00%
Total	4655	2033	67.56%	868	28.85%	108	3.59%	0	0.00%
130 Suwanee D									
Polling	4247	912	65.85%	428	30.90%	44	3.18%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	4247	82	48.52%	84	49.70%	3	1.78%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4247	43	48.86%	41	46.59%	4	4.55%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4247	7	46.67%	8	53.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4247	721	63.36%	383	33.66%	34	2.99%	0	0.00%
Total	4247	1765	63.15%	944	33.77%	85	3.04%	1	0.04%
131 Suwanee E									
Polling	3921	668	61.85%	361	33.43%	51	4.72%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3921	82	45.05%	93	51.10%	7	3.85%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3921	20	42.55%	26	55.32%	1	2.13%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3921	13	61.90%	7	33.33%	1	4.76%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3921	739	58.37%	491	38.78%	35	2.76%	1	0.08%
Total	3921	1522	58.63%	978	37.67%	95	3.66%	1	0.04%
132 Lawrenceville K									
Polling	2915	511	50.39%	465	45.86%	37	3.65%	1	0.10%
Absentee by Mail	2915	46	42.20%	59	54.13%	4	3.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2915	96	53.93%	78	43.82%	4	2.25%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2915	1	20.00%	4	80.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2915	218	41.37%	297	56.36%	12	2.28%	0	0.00%
Total	2915	872	47.57%	903	49.26%	57	3.11%	1	0.05%
133 Harbins B									
Polling	4220	548	55.63%	401	40.71%	36	3.65%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4220	38	40.43%	52	55.32%	4	4.26%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4220	840	64.32%	435	33.31%	31	2.37%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4220	1	25.00%	2	50.00%	1	25.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4220	58	40.28%	83	57.64%	3	2.08%	0	0.00%
Total	4220	1485	58.63%	973	38.41%	75	2.96%	0	0.00%
134 Baycreek F									

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore

	Reg. Voters	T. PRIDEMORE (I) R		D. A. RANDOLPH (D)		J. TURPISH (L)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	4119	463	38.42%	710	58.92%	32	2.66%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4119	60	34.09%	115	65.34%	1	0.57%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4119	15	40.54%	22	59.46%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4119	1	10.00%	9	90.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4119	318	26.77%	854	71.89%	16	1.35%	0	0.00%
Total	4119	857	32.76%	1710	65.37%	49	1.87%	0	0.00%
135 Pucketts C									
Polling	2931	506	60.60%	288	34.49%	40	4.79%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	2931	55	55.00%	45	45.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2931	430	59.47%	270	37.34%	23	3.18%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2931	9	56.25%	7	43.75%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2931	33	43.42%	39	51.32%	4	5.26%	0	0.00%
Total	2931	1033	59.03%	649	37.09%	67	3.83%	1	0.06%
136 Hog Mountain C									
Polling	3832	696	57.19%	464	38.13%	57	4.68%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3832	38	31.40%	74	61.16%	9	7.44%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3832	350	53.60%	285	43.64%	18	2.76%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3832	4	50.00%	4	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3832	118	52.91%	102	45.74%	3	1.35%	0	0.00%
Total	3832	1206	54.28%	929	41.81%	87	3.92%	0	0.00%
137 Rockycreek B									
Polling	4677	768	56.14%	546	39.91%	54	3.95%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4677	49	37.69%	78	60.00%	3	2.31%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4677	627	58.00%	423	39.13%	31	2.87%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4677	2	25.00%	6	75.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4677	107	46.12%	121	52.16%	4	1.72%	0	0.00%
Total	4677	1553	55.09%	1174	41.65%	92	3.26%	0	0.00%
138 Duluth I									
Polling	4491	656	53.16%	542	43.92%	36	2.92%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4491	131	44.71%	150	51.19%	12	4.10%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4491	215	46.44%	243	52.48%	5	1.08%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4491	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4491	392	58.16%	275	40.80%	7	1.04%	0	0.00%
Total	4491	1398	52.40%	1210	45.35%	60	2.25%	0	0.00%
139 Martins K									
Polling	4796	315	22.31%	1057	74.86%	39	2.76%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	4796	49	29.34%	114	68.26%	4	2.40%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4796	27	13.11%	174	84.47%	5	2.43%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4796	4	18.18%	17	77.27%	1	4.55%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4796	118	14.79%	664	83.21%	16	2.01%	0	0.00%
Total	4796	513	19.69%	2026	77.77%	65	2.50%	1	0.04%
140 Suwanee H									
Polling	3480	687	67.42%	291	28.56%	41	4.02%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3480	102	53.13%	82	42.71%	7	3.65%	1	0.52%
Advance in Person	3480	27	52.94%	23	45.10%	1	1.96%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3480	17	77.27%	4	18.18%	1	4.55%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3480	774	70.11%	313	28.35%	17	1.54%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore

	Reg. Voters	T. PRIDEMORE (I) R		D. A. RANDOLPH (D)		J. TURPISH (L)		Write-In Votes	
Total	3480	1607	67.29%	713	29.86%	67	2.81%	1	0.04%
141 Sugar Hill G									
Polling	2601	590	67.58%	241	27.61%	42	4.81%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2601	36	41.86%	46	53.49%	4	4.65%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2601	64	49.61%	64	49.61%	1	0.78%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2601	10	66.67%	4	26.67%	1	6.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2601	354	61.46%	209	36.28%	12	2.08%	1	0.17%
Total	2601	1054	62.78%	564	33.59%	60	3.57%	1	0.06%
142 Suwanee F									
Polling	3598	580	54.41%	425	39.87%	61	5.72%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3598	48	33.57%	88	61.54%	6	4.20%	1	0.70%
Advance in Person	3598	23	33.82%	45	66.18%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3598	6	46.15%	7	53.85%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3598	416	48.88%	415	48.77%	20	2.35%	0	0.00%
Total	3598	1073	50.12%	980	45.77%	87	4.06%	1	0.05%
143 Lawrenceville L									
Polling	4355	534	40.36%	738	55.78%	50	3.78%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	4355	78	42.16%	101	54.59%	5	2.70%	1	0.54%
Advance in Person	4355	19	35.19%	31	57.41%	4	7.41%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4355	5	33.33%	10	66.67%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4355	398	35.95%	681	61.52%	28	2.53%	0	0.00%
Total	4355	1034	38.52%	1561	58.16%	87	3.24%	2	0.07%
144 Lawrenceville M									
Polling	5956	327	21.57%	1139	75.13%	50	3.30%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5956	35	19.23%	142	78.02%	5	2.75%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5956	55	20.75%	204	76.98%	6	2.26%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5956	4	19.05%	17	80.95%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5956	192	14.81%	1089	84.03%	15	1.16%	0	0.00%
Total	5956	613	18.69%	2591	78.99%	76	2.32%	0	0.00%
145 Baycreek G									
Polling	5092	646	41.92%	857	55.61%	38	2.47%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5092	59	34.30%	112	65.12%	1	0.58%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5092	19	35.19%	33	61.11%	2	3.70%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5092	2	15.38%	11	84.62%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5092	392	27.18%	1028	71.29%	22	1.53%	0	0.00%
Total	5092	1118	34.70%	2041	63.35%	63	1.96%	0	0.00%
146 Baycreek H									
Polling	5697	929	54.33%	721	42.16%	60	3.51%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5697	90	41.47%	122	56.22%	5	2.30%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5697	54	53.47%	46	45.54%	1	0.99%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5697	2	22.22%	6	66.67%	1	11.11%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5697	696	43.15%	891	55.24%	25	1.55%	1	0.06%
Total	5697	1771	48.52%	1786	48.93%	92	2.52%	1	0.03%
147 Baycreek I									
Polling	5162	508	40.00%	727	57.24%	35	2.76%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5162	65	33.51%	128	65.98%	1	0.52%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5162	21	38.89%	29	53.70%	4	7.41%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore

	Reg. Voters	T. PRIDEMORE (I) R		D. A. RANDOLPH (D)		J. TURPISH (L)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	5162	5	35.71%	8	57.14%	1	7.14%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5162	519	34.21%	972	64.07%	25	1.65%	1	0.07%
Total	5162	1118	36.67%	1864	61.13%	66	2.16%	1	0.03%
148 Berkshire Q									
Polling	1644	270	50.66%	230	43.15%	33	6.19%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1644	30	55.56%	24	44.44%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1644	195	48.87%	191	47.87%	13	3.26%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1644	3	50.00%	3	50.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1644	17	58.62%	12	41.38%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	1644	515	50.44%	460	45.05%	46	4.51%	0	0.00%
149 Goodwins I									
Polling	2341	488	55.39%	364	41.32%	29	3.29%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2341	60	47.62%	64	50.79%	1	0.79%	1	0.79%
Advance in Person	2341	22	25.88%	61	71.76%	2	2.35%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2341	2	28.57%	5	71.43%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2341	225	49.23%	222	48.58%	10	2.19%	0	0.00%
Total	2341	797	51.22%	716	46.02%	42	2.70%	1	0.06%
150 Duluth K									
Polling	2694	101	18.60%	425	78.27%	16	2.95%	1	0.18%
Absentee by Mail	2694	10	18.87%	43	81.13%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2694	68	17.75%	306	79.90%	8	2.09%	1	0.26%
Provisional	2694	3	30.00%	6	60.00%	1	10.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2694	2	5.26%	35	92.11%	1	2.63%	0	0.00%
Total	2694	184	17.92%	815	79.36%	26	2.53%	2	0.19%
151 Harbins C									
Polling	2369	650	67.99%	275	28.77%	31	3.24%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2369	38	50.00%	36	47.37%	2	2.63%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2369	159	53.54%	128	43.10%	10	3.37%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2369	3	50.00%	2	33.33%	1	16.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2369	120	43.01%	156	55.91%	3	1.08%	0	0.00%
Total	2369	970	60.10%	597	36.99%	47	2.91%	0	0.00%
152 Rockycreek C									
Polling	4504	716	53.39%	574	42.80%	50	3.73%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	4504	61	46.21%	68	51.52%	3	2.27%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4504	676	55.64%	501	41.23%	38	3.13%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4504	4	66.67%	2	33.33%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4504	84	39.62%	128	60.38%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Total	4504	1541	53.03%	1273	43.81%	91	3.13%	1	0.03%
153 Lawrenceville N									
Polling	2343	275	45.16%	303	49.75%	31	5.09%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2343	32	41.03%	39	50.00%	6	7.69%	1	1.28%
Advance in Person	2343	4	17.39%	18	78.26%	1	4.35%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2343	4	21.05%	15	78.95%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2343	180	34.82%	325	62.86%	12	2.32%	0	0.00%
Total	2343	495	39.73%	700	56.18%	50	4.01%	1	0.08%
154 Pucketts D									
Polling	3166	536	61.40%	299	34.25%	38	4.35%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

PSC Pridemore / Comisionado de Servicio Publico Pridemore

	Reg. Voters	T. PRIDEMORE (I) R		D. A. RANDOLPH (D)		J. TURPISH (L)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	3166	72	52.17%	62	44.93%	4	2.90%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3166	579	66.86%	262	30.25%	24	2.77%	1	0.12%
Provisional	3166	10	62.50%	5	31.25%	1	6.25%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3166	54	68.35%	22	27.85%	3	3.80%	0	0.00%
Total	3166	1251	63.44%	650	32.96%	70	3.55%	1	0.05%
155 Suwanee G									
Polling	3451	596	52.23%	500	43.82%	45	3.94%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3451	47	34.31%	86	62.77%	4	2.92%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3451	35	41.18%	50	58.82%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3451	6	40.00%	8	53.33%	1	6.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3451	307	40.55%	424	56.01%	26	3.43%	0	0.00%
Total	3451	991	46.42%	1068	50.02%	76	3.56%	0	0.00%
156 Baycreek J									
Polling	4038	433	36.48%	715	60.24%	39	3.29%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4038	42	26.25%	114	71.25%	4	2.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4038	15	28.85%	37	71.15%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4038	2	22.22%	7	77.78%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4038	323	27.84%	824	71.03%	13	1.12%	0	0.00%
Total	4038	815	31.74%	1697	66.08%	56	2.18%	0	0.00%
Total									
Polling	525568	68560	45.07%	77679	51.07%	5822	3.83%	54	0.04%
Absentee by Mail	525568	7614	37.94%	11886	59.23%	555	2.77%	14	0.07%
Advance in Person	525568	27302	44.20%	32958	53.36%	1492	2.42%	12	0.02%
Advance in Person 2	525568	27483	37.30%	44804	60.81%	1383	1.88%	13	0.02%
Provisional	525568	660	30.67%	1418	65.89%	71	3.30%	3	0.14%
Total	525568	131619	42.49%	168745	54.47%	9323	3.01%	96	0.03%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4

	Reg. Voters	J. PROFIT (R)		H. JOHNSON, JR.(I) D		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide							
001 Harbins A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
002 Rockbridge A							
Polling	3653	158	19.43%	655	80.57%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3653	30	22.06%	106	77.94%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3653	29	24.58%	89	75.42%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3653	32	39.51%	49	60.49%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3653	200	18.54%	879	81.46%	0	0.00%
Total	3653	449	20.16%	1778	79.84%	0	0.00%
003 Dacula							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
004 Suwanee A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
005 Baycreek A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
006 Goodwins A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
007 Duluth A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4

	Reg. Voters	J. PROFIT (R)		H. JOHNSON, JR.(I) D		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
008 Duncans A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
009 Pucketts A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
010 Cates A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
011 Berkshire A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
012 Berkshire B							
Polling	5010	205	22.83%	693	77.17%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5010	52	29.21%	126	70.79%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5010	377	25.75%	1086	74.18%	1	0.07%
Provisional	5010	0	0.00%	16	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5010	16	21.33%	59	78.67%	0	0.00%
Total	5010	650	24.71%	1980	75.26%	1	0.04%
013 Duncans C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
014 Garners A							
Polling	3302	601	60.77%	388	39.23%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4

	Reg. Voters	J. PROFIT (R)		H. JOHNSON, JR.(I) D		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	3302	69	50.36%	68	49.64%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3302	562	55.53%	450	44.47%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3302	2	40.00%	3	60.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3302	48	43.24%	63	56.76%	0	0.00%
Total	3302	1282	56.88%	972	43.12%	0	0.00%
015 Lawrenceville A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
016 Lawrenceville B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
017 Martins A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
018 Martins B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
019 Martins C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
020 Pinckneyville A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4

	Reg. Voters	J. PROFIT (R)		H. JOHNSON, JR.(I) D		Write-In Votes	
021 Pinckneyville B							
Polling	3216	153	23.47%	498	76.38%	1	0.15%
Absentee by Mail	3216	31	26.27%	87	73.73%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3216	125	21.66%	451	78.16%	1	0.17%
Provisional	3216	6	22.22%	20	74.07%	1	3.70%
Advance in Person 2	3216	4	14.81%	23	85.19%	0	0.00%
Total	3216	319	22.77%	1079	77.02%	3	0.21%
022 Pinckneyville C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
023 Pinckneyville D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
024 Sugar Hill A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
025 Sugar Hill B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
026 Hog Mountain A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
027 Hog Mountain B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4						
	Reg. Voters	J. PROFIT (R)		H. JOHNSON, JR.(I) D		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
028 Rockycreek A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
029 Cates B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
030 Cates C							
Polling	2599	295	39.49%	452	60.51%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2599	37	43.53%	48	56.47%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2599	7	29.17%	17	70.83%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2599	1	14.29%	6	85.71%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2599	230	30.54%	522	69.32%	1	0.13%
Total	2599	570	35.27%	1045	64.67%	1	0.06%
031 Hog Mountain D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
032 Berkshire D							
Polling	2684	441	53.71%	380	46.29%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2684	52	39.69%	79	60.31%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2684	350	47.81%	382	52.19%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2684	1	14.29%	6	85.71%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2684	38	45.24%	46	54.76%	0	0.00%
Total	2684	882	49.69%	893	50.31%	0	0.00%
033 Berkshire E							
Polling	1866	393	61.12%	249	38.72%	1	0.16%
Absentee by Mail	1866	38	45.78%	45	54.22%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1866	264	49.16%	273	50.84%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1866	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1866	20	54.05%	17	45.95%	0	0.00%
Total	1866	715	54.96%	585	44.97%	1	0.08%
034 Berkshire F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4

	Reg. Voters	J. PROFIT (R)		H. JOHNSON, JR.(I) D		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
035 Cates D - 04							
Polling	2605	445	53.36%	389	46.64%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2605	46	45.10%	55	53.92%	1	0.98%
Advance in Person	2605	84	47.73%	92	52.27%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2605	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2605	228	45.42%	274	54.58%	0	0.00%
Total	2605	806	49.85%	810	50.09%	1	0.06%
035 Cates D - 07							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
036 Cates E							
Polling	2744	501	52.85%	447	47.15%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2744	51	42.86%	68	57.14%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2744	223	46.27%	258	53.53%	1	0.21%
Provisional	2744	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2744	179	52.80%	160	47.20%	0	0.00%
Total	2744	955	50.48%	936	49.47%	1	0.05%
037 Pinckneyville E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
038 Pinckneyville F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
039 Duncans D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
040 Pinckneyville H							

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4

	Reg. Voters	J. PROFIT (R)		H. JOHNSON, JR.(I) D		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
041 Baycreek B							
Polling	5349	589	35.91%	1049	63.96%	2	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	5349	41	19.43%	170	80.57%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5349	12	23.53%	39	76.47%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5349	1	6.25%	15	93.75%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5349	384	27.06%	1033	72.80%	2	0.14%
Total	5349	1027	30.78%	2306	69.10%	4	0.12%
042 Lawrenceville C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
043 Martins D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
044 Rockbridge B							
Polling	3761	243	25.21%	719	74.59%	2	0.21%
Absentee by Mail	3761	29	27.10%	78	72.90%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3761	26	22.41%	90	77.59%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3761	0	0.00%	10	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3761	206	21.64%	746	78.36%	0	0.00%
Total	3761	504	23.45%	1643	76.45%	2	0.09%
045 Garners B							
Polling	2916	241	34.28%	462	65.72%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2916	55	44.35%	68	54.84%	1	0.81%
Advance in Person	2916	249	36.40%	435	63.60%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2916	1	16.67%	5	83.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2916	113	30.05%	261	69.41%	2	0.53%
Total	2916	659	34.81%	1231	65.03%	3	0.16%
046 Cates F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4

	Reg. Voters	J. PROFIT (R)		H. JOHNSON, JR.(I) D		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
047 Cates G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
048 Duluth B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
049 Garners C							
Polling	1908	284	52.40%	258	47.60%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1908	19	31.67%	41	68.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1908	206	41.78%	286	58.01%	1	0.20%
Provisional	1908	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1908	12	26.09%	34	73.91%	0	0.00%
Total	1908	522	45.59%	622	54.32%	1	0.09%
050 Pinckneyville I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
051 Pinckneyville J							
Polling	2543	78	14.69%	451	84.93%	2	0.38%
Absentee by Mail	2543	19	26.39%	53	73.61%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2543	51	12.32%	363	87.68%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2543	1	5.00%	19	95.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2543	4	11.43%	31	88.57%	0	0.00%
Total	2543	153	14.27%	917	85.54%	2	0.19%
052 Berkshire G							
Polling	2250	339	53.13%	299	46.87%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2250	45	51.14%	42	47.73%	1	1.14%
Advance in Person	2250	371	49.80%	374	50.20%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2250	3	60.00%	2	40.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2250	10	34.48%	19	65.52%	0	0.00%
Total	2250	768	51.03%	736	48.90%	1	0.07%
053 Cates H							
Polling	3622	294	30.18%	679	69.71%	1	0.10%
Absentee by Mail	3622	50	38.76%	76	58.91%	3	2.33%
Advance in Person	3622	16	32.65%	33	67.35%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4

	Reg. Voters	J. PROFIT (R)		H. JOHNSON, JR.(I) D		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	3622	0	0.00%	8	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3622	246	28.60%	612	71.16%	2	0.23%
Total	3622	606	30.00%	1408	69.70%	6	0.30%
054 Sugar Hill C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055 Sugar Hill D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
056 Duluth C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
057 Duluth D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
058 Pinckneyville K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
059 Pinckneyville N							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
060 Lawrenceville D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4

	Reg. Voters	J. PROFIT (R)		H. JOHNSON, JR.(I) D		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
061 Lawrenceville E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
062 Pinckneyville L							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
063 Pinckneyville M							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
064 Garners D							
Polling	3127	223	25.78%	639	73.87%	3	0.35%
Absentee by Mail	3127	26	22.61%	89	77.39%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3127	167	26.68%	459	73.32%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3127	0	0.00%	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3127	63	21.36%	232	78.64%	0	0.00%
Total	3127	479	25.10%	1426	74.74%	3	0.16%
065 Berkshire H							
Polling	1717	158	34.65%	297	65.13%	1	0.22%
Absentee by Mail	1717	26	44.83%	32	55.17%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1717	90	27.52%	237	72.48%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1717	0	0.00%	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1717	4	11.76%	30	88.24%	0	0.00%
Total	1717	278	31.52%	603	68.37%	1	0.11%
066 Pinckneyville O							
Polling	3267	160	20.81%	606	78.80%	3	0.39%
Absentee by Mail	3267	18	23.08%	60	76.92%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3267	134	21.41%	491	78.43%	1	0.16%
Provisional	3267	5	25.00%	15	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3267	2	7.14%	26	92.86%	0	0.00%
Total	3267	319	20.97%	1198	78.76%	4	0.26%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4

	Reg. Voters	J. PROFIT (R)		H. JOHNSON, JR.(I) D		Write-In Votes	
067 Pucketts E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
068 Cates I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
069 Cates J							
Polling	3266	491	44.00%	624	55.91%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	3266	44	36.07%	77	63.11%	1	0.82%
Advance in Person	3266	215	34.46%	409	65.54%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3266	5	41.67%	7	58.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3266	61	28.50%	153	71.50%	0	0.00%
Total	3266	816	39.08%	1270	60.82%	2	0.10%
070 Goodwins B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
071 Lawrenceville F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
072 Martins E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
073 Pinckneyville P							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4

	Reg. Voters	J. PROFIT (R)		H. JOHNSON, JR.(I) D		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
074 Pinckneyville Q							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
075 Rockbridge C							
Polling	4722	245	20.48%	951	79.52%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4722	39	19.70%	159	80.30%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4722	46	27.88%	119	72.12%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4722	1	6.25%	15	93.75%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4722	213	14.80%	1226	85.20%	0	0.00%
Total	4722	544	18.05%	2470	81.95%	0	0.00%
076 Rockbridge D							
Polling	3359	229	27.96%	590	72.04%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3359	31	21.83%	110	77.46%	1	0.70%
Advance in Person	3359	6	16.67%	30	83.33%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3359	4	18.18%	18	81.82%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3359	279	25.29%	823	74.61%	1	0.09%
Total	3359	549	25.87%	1571	74.03%	2	0.09%
077 Goodwins C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
078 Baycreek K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
079 Cates K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
080 Baycreek C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4

	Reg. Voters	J. PROFIT (R)		H. JOHNSON, JR.(I) D		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
081 Cates L							
Polling	3751	508	45.52%	607	54.39%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	3751	55	38.73%	87	61.27%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3751	12	26.09%	34	73.91%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3751	1	16.67%	5	83.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3751	394	38.78%	622	61.22%	0	0.00%
Total	3751	970	41.70%	1355	58.25%	1	0.04%
082 Duluth E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
083 Goodwins D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
084 Lawrenceville G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
085 Lawrenceville H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
086 Martins F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
087 Pinckneyville S							

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4

	Reg. Voters	J. PROFIT (R)		H. JOHNSON, JR.(I) D		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
088 Rockbridge E							
Polling	4199	294	26.09%	831	73.74%	2	0.18%
Absentee by Mail	4199	17	14.17%	103	85.83%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4199	61	38.13%	98	61.25%	1	0.63%
Provisional	4199	4	12.12%	29	87.88%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4199	127	15.45%	695	84.55%	0	0.00%
Total	4199	503	22.24%	1756	77.63%	3	0.13%
089 Sugar Hill E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
090 Lawrenceville I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
091 Baycreek D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
092 Berkshire J							
Polling	2595	487	59.39%	333	40.61%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2595	50	53.76%	43	46.24%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2595	421	52.23%	384	47.64%	1	0.12%
Provisional	2595	1	20.00%	4	80.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2595	30	39.47%	46	60.53%	0	0.00%
Total	2595	989	54.94%	810	45.00%	1	0.06%
093 Cates M							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4						
	Reg. Voters	J. PROFIT (R)		H. JOHNSON, JR.(I) D		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
094 Cates N							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
095 Duluth F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
096 Duluth G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
097 Goodwins E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098 Goodwins F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
099 Pinckneyville T							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100 Suwanee B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4						
	Reg. Voters	J. PROFIT (R)		H. JOHNSON, JR.(I) D		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
101 Pinckneyville U							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
102 Pucketts B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
103 Berkshire L							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
104 Rockbridge F							
Polling	5313	184	18.09%	833	81.91%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5313	51	24.52%	155	74.52%	2	0.96%
Advance in Person	5313	6	15.38%	33	84.62%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5313	3	16.67%	15	83.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5313	372	17.71%	1727	82.24%	1	0.05%
Total	5313	616	18.21%	2763	81.70%	3	0.09%
105 Sugar Hill F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
106 Suwanee C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
107 Cates O							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4

	Reg. Voters	J. PROFIT (R)		H. JOHNSON, JR.(I) D		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
108 Baycreek E							
Polling	2863	449	49.02%	467	50.98%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2863	41	41.84%	57	58.16%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2863	11	35.48%	20	64.52%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2863	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2863	232	35.37%	422	64.33%	2	0.30%
Total	2863	734	43.13%	966	56.76%	2	0.12%
109 Berkshire M							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
110 Martins G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
111 Duluth H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
112 Berkshire N							
Polling	2836	378	58.97%	263	41.03%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2836	68	51.91%	63	48.09%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2836	666	55.64%	530	44.28%	1	0.08%
Provisional	2836	2	50.00%	2	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2836	30	76.92%	9	23.08%	0	0.00%
Total	2836	1144	56.86%	867	43.09%	1	0.05%
113 Pinckneyville V							
Polling	1572	154	40.63%	224	59.10%	1	0.26%
Absentee by Mail	1572	20	31.75%	43	68.25%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1572	98	29.17%	237	70.54%	1	0.30%
Provisional	1572	4	36.36%	7	63.64%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1572	4	33.33%	8	66.67%	0	0.00%
Total	1572	280	34.96%	519	64.79%	2	0.25%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4						
	Reg. Voters	J. PROFIT (R)		H. JOHNSON, JR.(I) D		Write-In Votes	
114 Pinckneyville W							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
115 Martins H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
116 Martins I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
117 Martins J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
118 Garners F							
Polling	1558	233	50.43%	228	49.35%	1	0.22%
Absentee by Mail	1558	15	36.59%	26	63.41%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1558	246	52.01%	227	47.99%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1558	1	33.33%	2	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1558	4	25.00%	12	75.00%	0	0.00%
Total	1558	499	50.15%	495	49.75%	1	0.10%
119 Berkshire O							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
120 Berkshire P							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4						
	Reg. Voters	J. PROFIT (R)		H. JOHNSON, JR.(I) D		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
121 Pinckneyville X							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
122 Rockbridge G							
Polling	5188	244	21.42%	895	78.58%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5188	43	18.30%	189	80.43%	3	1.28%
Advance in Person	5188	38	35.19%	70	64.81%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5188	2	5.26%	36	94.74%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5188	275	17.31%	1312	82.57%	2	0.13%
Total	5188	602	19.36%	2502	80.48%	5	0.16%
123 Pinckneyville Y							
Polling	3018	129	16.88%	634	82.98%	1	0.13%
Absentee by Mail	3018	13	20.31%	51	79.69%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3018	70	15.95%	369	84.05%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3018	1	6.25%	15	93.75%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3018	17	27.87%	43	70.49%	1	1.64%
Total	3018	230	17.11%	1112	82.74%	2	0.15%
124 Goodwins G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
125 Pinckneyville Z							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
126 Pinckneyville A1							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
127 Lawrenceville J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4						
	Reg. Voters	J. PROFIT (R)		H. JOHNSON, JR.(I) D		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
128 Goodwins H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
129 Duncans B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
130 Suwanee D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
131 Suwanee E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132 Lawrenceville K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
133 Harbins B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
134 Baycreek F							

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4						
	Reg. Voters	J. PROFIT (R)		H. JOHNSON, JR.(I) D		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
135 Pucketts C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
136 Hog Mountain C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
137 Rockycreek B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
138 Duluth I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
139 Martins K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
140 Suwanee H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4						
	Reg. Voters	J. PROFIT (R)		H. JOHNSON, JR.(I) D		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
141 Sugar Hill G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
142 Suwanee F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
143 Lawrenceville L							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144 Lawrenceville M							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145 Baycreek G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
146 Baycreek H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
147 Baycreek I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4

	Reg. Voters	J. PROFIT (R)		H. JOHNSON, JR.(I) D		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
148 Berkshire Q							
Polling	1644	294	54.04%	250	45.96%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1644	31	56.36%	24	43.64%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1644	200	49.63%	203	50.37%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1644	3	60.00%	2	40.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1644	17	58.62%	12	41.38%	0	0.00%
Total	1644	545	52.61%	491	47.39%	0	0.00%
149 Goodwins I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
150 Duluth K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
151 Harbins C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
152 Rockycreek C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
153 Lawrenceville N							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
154 Pucketts D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	US House 4 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 4						
	Reg. Voters	J. PROFIT (R)		H. JOHNSON, JR.(I) D		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
155 Suwanee G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
156 Baycreek J							
Polling	4038	450	38.17%	728	61.75%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	4038	46	28.57%	115	71.43%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4038	15	29.41%	36	70.59%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4038	3	42.86%	4	57.14%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4038	333	28.88%	820	71.12%	0	0.00%
Total	4038	847	33.20%	1703	66.76%	1	0.04%
Total							
Polling	108061	10570	36.88%	18068	63.04%	24	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	108061	1298	32.42%	2693	67.26%	13	0.32%
Advance in Person	108061	5454	38.50%	8704	61.44%	9	0.06%
Advance in Person 2	108061	4395	25.25%	12997	74.67%	14	0.08%
Provisional	108061	94	20.84%	356	78.94%	1	0.22%
Total	108061	21811	33.72%	42818	66.19%	61	0.09%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7

	Reg. Voters	R. WOODALL (I) R		C. BOURDEAUX (D)		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide							
001 Harbins A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
002 Rockbridge A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
003 Dacula							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
004 Suwanee A							
Polling	1551	194	51.05%	186	48.95%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1551	23	52.27%	21	47.73%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1551	14	37.84%	23	62.16%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1551	9	64.29%	5	35.71%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1551	173	44.02%	220	55.98%	0	0.00%
Total	1551	413	47.58%	455	52.42%	0	0.00%
005 Baycreek A							
Polling	2555	538	61.21%	341	38.79%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2555	53	51.46%	50	48.54%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2555	17	48.57%	18	51.43%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2555	18	69.23%	8	30.77%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2555	338	48.01%	365	51.85%	1	0.14%
Total	2555	964	55.18%	782	44.76%	1	0.06%
006 Goodwins A							
Polling	3583	500	42.77%	668	57.14%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	3583	54	42.86%	72	57.14%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3583	29	27.10%	78	72.90%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3583	2	12.50%	14	87.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3583	262	39.10%	407	60.75%	1	0.15%
Total	3583	847	40.57%	1239	59.34%	2	0.10%
007 Duluth A							
Polling	4599	728	52.19%	667	47.81%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4599	79	35.91%	141	64.09%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4599	279	35.27%	511	64.60%	1	0.13%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7

	Reg. Voters	R. WOODALL (I) R		C. BOURDEAUX (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	4599	5	41.67%	7	58.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4599	249	46.28%	289	53.72%	0	0.00%
Total	4599	1340	45.33%	1615	54.63%	1	0.03%
008 Duncans A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
009 Pucketts A							
Polling	4844	741	66.46%	372	33.36%	2	0.18%
Absentee by Mail	4844	98	54.75%	81	45.25%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4844	1265	69.77%	547	30.17%	1	0.06%
Provisional	4844	3	75.00%	1	25.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4844	32	58.18%	23	41.82%	0	0.00%
Total	4844	2139	67.56%	1024	32.34%	3	0.09%
010 Cates A							
Polling	2209	411	64.32%	228	35.68%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2209	95	59.75%	64	40.25%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2209	19	76.00%	6	24.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2209	2	66.67%	1	33.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2209	338	55.87%	267	44.13%	0	0.00%
Total	2209	865	60.45%	566	39.55%	0	0.00%
011 Berkshire A							
Polling	2247	243	37.33%	406	62.37%	2	0.31%
Absentee by Mail	2247	31	32.29%	65	67.71%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2247	81	24.25%	253	75.75%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2247	0	0.00%	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2247	31	49.21%	32	50.79%	0	0.00%
Total	2247	386	33.62%	760	66.20%	2	0.17%
012 Berkshire B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
013 Duncans C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
014 Garners A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7

	Reg. Voters	R. WOODALL (I) R		C. BOURDEAUX (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
015 Lawrenceville A							
Polling	3300	312	43.27%	409	56.73%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3300	73	46.50%	83	52.87%	1	0.64%
Advance in Person	3300	7	41.18%	10	58.82%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3300	0	0.00%	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3300	354	47.07%	397	52.79%	1	0.13%
Total	3300	746	45.18%	903	54.69%	2	0.12%
016 Lawrenceville B							
Polling	4520	375	36.37%	655	63.53%	1	0.10%
Absentee by Mail	4520	49	28.00%	126	72.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4520	10	22.22%	35	77.78%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4520	2	22.22%	7	77.78%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4520	398	27.68%	1039	72.25%	1	0.07%
Total	4520	834	30.91%	1862	69.01%	2	0.07%
017 Martins A							
Polling	3019	193	23.31%	634	76.57%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	3019	19	19.39%	79	80.61%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3019	48	18.82%	207	81.18%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3019	2	25.00%	6	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3019	50	16.03%	262	83.97%	0	0.00%
Total	3019	312	20.79%	1188	79.15%	1	0.07%
018 Martins B							
Polling	2796	272	32.81%	555	66.95%	2	0.24%
Absentee by Mail	2796	29	30.85%	65	69.15%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2796	16	17.20%	77	82.80%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2796	2	10.53%	17	89.47%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2796	144	31.58%	312	68.42%	0	0.00%
Total	2796	463	31.05%	1026	68.81%	2	0.13%
019 Martins C							
Polling	2349	352	41.90%	487	57.98%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	2349	30	39.47%	46	60.53%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2349	82	40.80%	119	59.20%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2349	2	25.00%	6	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2349	100	40.32%	148	59.68%	0	0.00%
Total	2349	566	41.22%	806	58.70%	1	0.07%
020 Pinckneyville A							
Polling	2603	146	20.59%	562	79.27%	1	0.14%
Absentee by Mail	2603	18	21.18%	67	78.82%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2603	47	12.08%	342	87.92%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2603	7	43.75%	9	56.25%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2603	5	8.77%	52	91.23%	0	0.00%
Total	2603	223	17.75%	1032	82.17%	1	0.08%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7

	Reg. Voters	R. WOODALL (I) R		C. BOURDEAUX (D)		Write-In Votes	
021 Pinckneyville B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
022 Pinckneyville C							
Polling	1796	429	53.89%	367	46.11%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1796	53	43.09%	70	56.91%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1796	121	40.33%	179	59.67%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1796	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1796	22	50.00%	22	50.00%	0	0.00%
Total	1796	626	49.41%	641	50.59%	0	0.00%
023 Pinckneyville D							
Polling	2042	109	20.88%	413	79.12%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2042	15	23.08%	49	75.38%	1	1.54%
Advance in Person	2042	57	20.50%	221	79.50%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2042	1	9.09%	10	90.91%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2042	5	12.82%	32	82.05%	2	5.13%
Total	2042	187	20.44%	725	79.23%	3	0.33%
024 Sugar Hill A							
Polling	4001	659	61.30%	415	38.60%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	4001	57	43.51%	74	56.49%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4001	368	54.52%	307	45.48%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4001	10	52.63%	9	47.37%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4001	112	50.22%	111	49.78%	0	0.00%
Total	4001	1206	56.81%	916	43.15%	1	0.05%
025 Sugar Hill B							
Polling	4835	852	57.49%	629	42.44%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	4835	74	46.84%	84	53.16%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4835	230	52.63%	207	47.37%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4835	14	73.68%	5	26.32%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4835	319	53.52%	277	46.48%	0	0.00%
Total	4835	1489	55.31%	1202	44.65%	1	0.04%
026 Hog Mountain A							
Polling	4467	573	43.57%	742	56.43%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4467	52	32.70%	107	67.30%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4467	146	38.73%	230	61.01%	1	0.27%
Provisional	4467	6	33.33%	12	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4467	203	34.64%	383	65.36%	0	0.00%
Total	4467	980	39.92%	1474	60.04%	1	0.04%
027 Hog Mountain B							
Polling	4211	851	61.31%	536	38.62%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	4211	85	50.60%	83	49.40%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4211	478	58.51%	339	41.49%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4211	4	66.67%	2	33.33%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7

	Reg. Voters	R. WOODALL (I) R		C. BOURDEAUX (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	4211	162	46.29%	188	53.71%	0	0.00%
Total	4211	1580	57.90%	1148	42.07%	1	0.04%
028 Rockycreek A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
029 Cates B							
Polling	1559	356	63.46%	205	36.54%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1559	32	54.24%	27	45.76%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1559	101	58.38%	72	41.62%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1559	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1559	146	62.66%	87	37.34%	0	0.00%
Total	1559	636	61.93%	391	38.07%	0	0.00%
030 Cates C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
031 Hog Mountain D							
Polling	2958	537	59.08%	372	40.92%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2958	41	37.96%	67	62.04%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2958	220	64.33%	122	35.67%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2958	6	54.55%	5	45.45%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2958	165	48.67%	174	51.33%	0	0.00%
Total	2958	969	56.70%	740	43.30%	0	0.00%
032 Berkshire D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
033 Berkshire E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
034 Berkshire F							
Polling	2003	248	38.75%	390	60.94%	2	0.31%
Absentee by Mail	2003	40	48.78%	42	51.22%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7

	Reg. Voters	R. WOODALL (I) R		C. BOURDEAUX (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	2003	57	22.44%	197	77.56%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2003	1	14.29%	6	85.71%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2003	28	36.84%	48	63.16%	0	0.00%
Total	2003	374	35.32%	683	64.49%	2	0.19%
035 Cates D - 04							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
035 Cates D - 07							
Polling	585	105	54.12%	89	45.88%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	585	5	18.52%	22	81.48%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	585	22	30.56%	50	69.44%	0	0.00%
Provisional	585	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	585	27	39.13%	42	60.87%	0	0.00%
Total	585	161	44.23%	203	55.77%	0	0.00%
036 Cates E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
037 Pinckneyville E							
Polling	2183	141	20.61%	543	79.39%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2183	7	13.21%	46	86.79%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2183	37	14.62%	216	85.38%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2183	1	10.00%	9	90.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2183	6	27.27%	16	72.73%	0	0.00%
Total	2183	192	18.79%	830	81.21%	0	0.00%
038 Pinckneyville F							
Polling	2301	183	28.68%	453	71.00%	2	0.31%
Absentee by Mail	2301	24	26.37%	67	73.63%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2301	83	25.78%	239	74.22%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2301	3	50.00%	3	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2301	8	21.62%	29	78.38%	0	0.00%
Total	2301	301	27.51%	791	72.30%	2	0.18%
039 Duncans D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
040 Pinckneyville H							

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7

	Reg. Voters	R. WOODALL (I) R		C. BOURDEAUX (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	4126	685	49.57%	696	50.36%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	4126	74	32.74%	152	67.26%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4126	462	43.46%	601	56.54%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4126	4	50.00%	4	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4126	31	38.27%	50	61.73%	0	0.00%
Total	4126	1256	45.51%	1503	54.46%	1	0.04%
041 Baycreek B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
042 Lawrenceville C							
Polling	2761	543	61.22%	343	38.67%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	2761	48	48.98%	50	51.02%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2761	196	61.06%	124	38.63%	1	0.31%
Provisional	2761	3	75.00%	1	25.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2761	183	50.69%	178	49.31%	0	0.00%
Total	2761	973	58.23%	696	41.65%	2	0.12%
043 Martins D							
Polling	2059	108	18.24%	484	81.76%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2059	20	27.03%	54	72.97%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2059	39	18.06%	176	81.48%	1	0.46%
Provisional	2059	2	16.67%	10	83.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2059	15	15.63%	81	84.38%	0	0.00%
Total	2059	184	18.59%	805	81.31%	1	0.10%
044 Rockbridge B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
045 Garners B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046 Cates F							
Polling	1689	450	66.57%	226	33.43%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1689	31	45.59%	37	54.41%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1689	24	47.06%	27	52.94%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1689	1	50.00%	1	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1689	202	59.41%	138	40.59%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7

	Reg. Voters	R. WOODALL (I) R		C. BOURDEAUX (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	1689	708	62.27%	429	37.73%	0	0.00%
047 Cates G							
Polling	2597	589	64.58%	323	35.42%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2597	65	53.72%	56	46.28%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2597	73	64.60%	40	35.40%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2597	2	50.00%	2	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2597	388	57.65%	284	42.20%	1	0.15%
Total	2597	1117	61.27%	705	38.67%	1	0.05%
048 Duluth B							
Polling	3332	510	55.98%	401	44.02%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3332	74	43.53%	96	56.47%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3332	289	44.39%	362	55.61%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3332	6	54.55%	5	45.45%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3332	100	66.67%	50	33.33%	0	0.00%
Total	3332	979	51.72%	914	48.28%	0	0.00%
049 Garners C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
050 Pinckneyville I							
Polling	2479	175	25.96%	499	74.04%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2479	26	31.71%	56	68.29%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2479	74	18.32%	330	81.68%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2479	1	9.09%	10	90.91%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2479	15	21.43%	55	78.57%	0	0.00%
Total	2479	291	23.45%	950	76.55%	0	0.00%
051 Pinckneyville J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052 Berkshire G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
053 Cates H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7

	Reg. Voters	R. WOODALL (I) R		C. BOURDEAUX (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
054 Sugar Hill C							
Polling	4596	1062	66.33%	537	33.54%	2	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	4596	90	52.33%	82	47.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4596	269	59.65%	182	40.35%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4596	16	57.14%	12	42.86%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4596	321	56.32%	247	43.33%	2	0.35%
Total	4596	1758	62.30%	1060	37.56%	4	0.14%
055 Sugar Hill D							
Polling	4070	718	59.29%	491	40.55%	2	0.17%
Absentee by Mail	4070	68	53.13%	60	46.88%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4070	488	56.55%	375	43.45%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4070	16	55.17%	13	44.83%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4070	99	66.44%	50	33.56%	0	0.00%
Total	4070	1389	58.36%	989	41.55%	2	0.08%
056 Duluth C							
Polling	2243	329	47.96%	356	51.90%	1	0.15%
Absentee by Mail	2243	47	47.96%	51	52.04%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2243	191	40.99%	275	59.01%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2243	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2243	20	27.78%	51	70.83%	1	1.39%
Total	2243	588	44.34%	736	55.51%	2	0.15%
057 Duluth D							
Polling	4404	414	39.47%	635	60.53%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4404	69	34.16%	133	65.84%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4404	324	32.66%	666	67.14%	2	0.20%
Provisional	4404	7	33.33%	14	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4404	70	47.95%	76	52.05%	0	0.00%
Total	4404	884	36.68%	1524	63.24%	2	0.08%
058 Pinckneyville K							
Polling	3547	194	21.97%	688	77.92%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	3547	30	26.32%	84	73.68%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3547	73	15.05%	412	84.95%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3547	0	0.00%	13	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3547	23	26.44%	64	73.56%	0	0.00%
Total	3547	320	20.23%	1261	79.71%	1	0.06%
059 Pinckneyville N							
Polling	3717	176	16.59%	885	83.41%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3717	12	16.22%	62	83.78%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3717	73	13.39%	472	86.61%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3717	2	15.38%	11	84.62%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3717	8	14.04%	49	85.96%	0	0.00%
Total	3717	271	15.49%	1479	84.51%	0	0.00%
060 Lawrenceville D							
Polling	4872	251	23.70%	807	76.20%	1	0.09%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7

	Reg. Voters	R. WOODALL (I) R		C. BOURDEAUX (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	4872	18	14.63%	105	85.37%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4872	20	23.26%	66	76.74%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4872	6	28.57%	15	71.43%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4872	177	20.05%	705	79.84%	1	0.11%
Total	4872	472	21.73%	1698	78.18%	2	0.09%
061 Lawrenceville E							
Polling	2205	332	52.28%	303	47.72%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2205	54	52.43%	49	47.57%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2205	13	59.09%	9	40.91%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2205	2	66.67%	1	33.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2205	336	53.50%	292	46.50%	0	0.00%
Total	2205	737	52.98%	654	47.02%	0	0.00%
062 Pinckneyville L							
Polling	2233	711	64.34%	394	35.66%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2233	80	58.82%	56	41.18%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2233	209	54.01%	178	45.99%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2233	1	50.00%	1	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2233	25	69.44%	11	30.56%	0	0.00%
Total	2233	1026	61.58%	640	38.42%	0	0.00%
063 Pinckneyville M							
Polling	2515	786	68.05%	368	31.86%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	2515	94	53.41%	82	46.59%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2515	282	61.04%	180	38.96%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2515	3	42.86%	4	57.14%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2515	12	63.16%	7	36.84%	0	0.00%
Total	2515	1177	64.71%	641	35.24%	1	0.05%
064 Garners D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
065 Berkshire H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
066 Pinckneyville O							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7

	Reg. Voters	R. WOODALL (I) R		C. BOURDEAUX (D)		Write-In Votes	
067 Pucketts E							
Polling	4771	568	49.09%	589	50.91%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4771	76	41.30%	108	58.70%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4771	617	50.74%	597	49.10%	2	0.16%
Provisional	4771	14	50.00%	14	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4771	57	35.19%	105	64.81%	0	0.00%
Total	4771	1332	48.49%	1413	51.44%	2	0.07%
068 Cates I							
Polling	3395	613	52.48%	555	47.52%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3395	63	43.75%	80	55.56%	1	0.69%
Advance in Person	3395	86	43.43%	112	56.57%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3395	2	28.57%	5	71.43%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3395	261	39.67%	397	60.33%	0	0.00%
Total	3395	1025	47.13%	1149	52.83%	1	0.05%
069 Cates J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
070 Goodwins B							
Polling	3604	702	58.21%	502	41.63%	2	0.17%
Absentee by Mail	3604	81	52.94%	72	47.06%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3604	121	59.02%	84	40.98%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3604	5	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3604	375	54.11%	317	45.74%	1	0.14%
Total	3604	1284	56.76%	975	43.10%	3	0.13%
071 Lawrenceville F							
Polling	3698	251	28.11%	641	71.78%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	3698	23	15.44%	126	84.56%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3698	21	37.50%	35	62.50%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3698	2	16.67%	10	83.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3698	209	19.81%	846	80.19%	0	0.00%
Total	3698	506	23.37%	1658	76.58%	1	0.05%
072 Martins E							
Polling	5934	119	9.92%	1080	90.08%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5934	33	17.74%	153	82.26%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5934	44	6.29%	654	93.56%	1	0.14%
Provisional	5934	3	5.88%	48	94.12%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5934	22	8.76%	229	91.24%	0	0.00%
Total	5934	221	9.26%	2164	90.70%	1	0.04%
073 Pinckneyville P							
Polling	2048	440	49.22%	452	50.56%	2	0.22%
Absentee by Mail	2048	55	46.22%	64	53.78%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2048	91	31.82%	195	68.18%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2048	4	57.14%	3	42.86%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7

	Reg. Voters	R. WOODALL (I) R		C. BOURDEAUX (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	2048	13	54.17%	11	45.83%	0	0.00%
Total	2048	603	45.34%	725	54.51%	2	0.15%
074 Pinckneyville Q							
Polling	1725	76	12.79%	518	87.21%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1725	0	0.00%	35	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1725	25	13.09%	166	86.91%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1725	1	12.50%	7	87.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1725	3	8.33%	33	91.67%	0	0.00%
Total	1725	105	12.15%	759	87.85%	0	0.00%
075 Rockbridge C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
076 Rockbridge D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
077 Goodwins C							
Polling	5106	500	36.79%	857	63.06%	2	0.15%
Absentee by Mail	5106	79	37.44%	131	62.09%	1	0.47%
Advance in Person	5106	64	22.78%	217	77.22%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5106	3	20.00%	12	80.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5106	283	33.25%	568	66.75%	0	0.00%
Total	5106	929	34.19%	1785	65.70%	3	0.11%
078 Baycreek K							
Polling	3536	433	46.06%	506	53.83%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	3536	67	43.51%	87	56.49%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3536	20	55.56%	16	44.44%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3536	3	30.00%	7	70.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3536	525	45.22%	636	54.78%	0	0.00%
Total	3536	1048	45.55%	1252	54.41%	1	0.04%
079 Cates K							
Polling	2531	546	56.58%	418	43.32%	1	0.10%
Absentee by Mail	2531	36	50.00%	36	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2531	58	48.33%	62	51.67%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2531	2	25.00%	6	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2531	236	48.66%	248	51.13%	1	0.21%
Total	2531	878	53.21%	770	46.67%	2	0.12%
080 Baycreek C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7

	Reg. Voters	R. WOODALL (I) R		C. BOURDEAUX (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
081 Cates L							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
082 Duluth E							
Polling	4580	349	30.03%	811	69.79%	2	0.17%
Absentee by Mail	4580	48	29.63%	114	70.37%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4580	265	26.82%	722	73.08%	1	0.10%
Provisional	4580	5	25.00%	15	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4580	32	29.63%	76	70.37%	0	0.00%
Total	4580	699	28.65%	1738	71.23%	3	0.12%
083 Goodwins D							
Polling	3985	610	47.51%	673	52.41%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	3985	64	45.07%	78	54.93%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3985	39	36.79%	67	63.21%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3985	7	41.18%	10	58.82%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3985	326	42.67%	438	57.33%	0	0.00%
Total	3985	1046	45.22%	1266	54.73%	1	0.04%
084 Lawrenceville G							
Polling	2783	222	28.21%	564	71.66%	1	0.13%
Absentee by Mail	2783	26	27.96%	67	72.04%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2783	3	8.11%	34	91.89%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2783	4	21.05%	15	78.95%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2783	138	24.91%	415	74.91%	1	0.18%
Total	2783	393	26.38%	1095	73.49%	2	0.13%
085 Lawrenceville H							
Polling	3899	628	51.86%	583	48.14%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3899	80	50.00%	80	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3899	130	54.62%	107	44.96%	1	0.42%
Provisional	3899	5	50.00%	5	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3899	385	49.23%	397	50.77%	0	0.00%
Total	3899	1228	51.15%	1172	48.81%	1	0.04%
086 Martins F							
Polling	2401	191	28.21%	486	71.79%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2401	20	22.99%	67	77.01%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2401	60	18.63%	260	80.75%	2	0.62%
Provisional	2401	0	0.00%	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2401	22	22.00%	78	78.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2401	293	24.56%	898	75.27%	2	0.17%
087 Pinckneyville S							

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7

	Reg. Voters	R. WOODALL (I) R		C. BOURDEAUX (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	3013	312	32.91%	636	67.09%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3013	63	42.86%	84	57.14%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3013	147	31.61%	318	68.39%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3013	3	30.00%	7	70.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3013	22	36.67%	38	63.33%	0	0.00%
Total	3013	547	33.56%	1083	66.44%	0	0.00%
088 Rockbridge E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
089 Sugar Hill E							
Polling	3061	577	60.99%	368	38.90%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	3061	55	45.45%	66	54.55%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3061	117	58.50%	83	41.50%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3061	12	57.14%	9	42.86%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3061	312	52.35%	282	47.32%	2	0.34%
Total	3061	1073	56.95%	808	42.89%	3	0.16%
090 Lawrenceville I							
Polling	3140	356	38.32%	571	61.46%	2	0.22%
Absentee by Mail	3140	40	32.00%	85	68.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3140	65	45.14%	78	54.17%	1	0.69%
Provisional	3140	1	14.29%	6	85.71%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3140	196	37.05%	333	62.95%	0	0.00%
Total	3140	658	37.95%	1073	61.88%	3	0.17%
091 Baycreek D							
Polling	4157	655	53.91%	560	46.09%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4157	63	38.41%	101	61.59%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4157	21	56.76%	16	43.24%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4157	1	16.67%	5	83.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4157	561	44.14%	709	55.78%	1	0.08%
Total	4157	1301	48.31%	1391	51.65%	1	0.04%
092 Berkshire J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
093 Cates M							
Polling	3794	773	59.78%	519	40.14%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	3794	85	43.81%	108	55.67%	1	0.52%
Advance in Person	3794	70	55.12%	57	44.88%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3794	2	33.33%	4	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3794	530	54.19%	448	45.81%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7

	Reg. Voters	R. WOODALL (I) R		C. BOURDEAUX (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	3794	1460	56.20%	1136	43.73%	2	0.08%
094 Cates N							
Polling	2445	533	65.24%	284	34.76%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2445	69	63.89%	39	36.11%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2445	14	56.00%	11	44.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2445	1	20.00%	4	80.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2445	414	62.63%	247	37.37%	0	0.00%
Total	2445	1031	63.80%	585	36.20%	0	0.00%
095 Duluth F							
Polling	5413	275	21.59%	999	78.41%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5413	54	30.17%	125	69.83%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5413	88	14.77%	507	85.07%	1	0.17%
Provisional	5413	3	13.64%	19	86.36%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5413	82	18.89%	352	81.11%	0	0.00%
Total	5413	502	20.04%	2002	79.92%	1	0.04%
096 Duluth G							
Polling	2775	531	57.22%	396	42.67%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	2775	60	55.05%	49	44.95%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2775	150	44.91%	184	55.09%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2775	4	36.36%	7	63.64%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2775	205	58.91%	143	41.09%	0	0.00%
Total	2775	950	54.91%	779	45.03%	1	0.06%
097 Goodwins E							
Polling	4950	786	51.61%	735	48.26%	2	0.13%
Absentee by Mail	4950	77	33.62%	152	66.38%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4950	44	26.35%	123	73.65%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4950	3	18.75%	13	81.25%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4950	421	44.41%	527	55.59%	0	0.00%
Total	4950	1331	46.17%	1550	53.76%	2	0.07%
098 Goodwins F							
Polling	2904	394	44.62%	488	55.27%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	2904	53	40.15%	79	59.85%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2904	26	40.63%	38	59.38%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2904	3	30.00%	6	60.00%	1	10.00%
Advance in Person 2	2904	245	42.17%	335	57.66%	1	0.17%
Total	2904	721	43.17%	946	56.65%	3	0.18%
099 Pinckneyville T							
Polling	2874	349	40.30%	515	59.47%	2	0.23%
Absentee by Mail	2874	67	45.89%	79	54.11%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2874	150	31.45%	327	68.55%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2874	2	28.57%	5	71.43%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2874	14	32.56%	29	67.44%	0	0.00%
Total	2874	582	37.82%	955	62.05%	2	0.13%
100 Suwanee B							
Polling	4085	487	56.50%	373	43.27%	2	0.23%
Absentee by Mail	4085	53	38.41%	85	61.59%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4085	9	30.00%	21	70.00%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7

	Reg. Voters	R. WOODALL (I) R		C. BOURDEAUX (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	4085	3	50.00%	3	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4085	816	54.58%	678	45.35%	1	0.07%
Total	4085	1368	54.05%	1160	45.83%	3	0.12%
101 Pinckneyville U							
Polling	2007	367	44.59%	456	55.41%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2007	26	35.62%	47	64.38%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2007	76	26.21%	214	73.79%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2007	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2007	14	45.16%	17	54.84%	0	0.00%
Total	2007	484	39.64%	737	60.36%	0	0.00%
102 Pucketts B							
Polling	2741	525	64.58%	288	35.42%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2741	46	54.12%	39	45.88%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2741	542	65.22%	289	34.78%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2741	8	72.73%	3	27.27%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2741	44	70.97%	18	29.03%	0	0.00%
Total	2741	1165	64.65%	637	35.35%	0	0.00%
103 Berkshire L							
Polling	2915	232	25.66%	671	74.23%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	2915	34	28.57%	85	71.43%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2915	75	22.32%	261	77.68%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2915	2	14.29%	12	85.71%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2915	44	23.40%	143	76.06%	1	0.53%
Total	2915	387	24.79%	1172	75.08%	2	0.13%
104 Rockbridge F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
105 Sugar Hill F							
Polling	3687	749	59.59%	506	40.25%	2	0.16%
Absentee by Mail	3687	52	47.27%	58	52.73%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3687	47	38.21%	76	61.79%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3687	6	40.00%	9	60.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3687	399	57.91%	290	42.09%	0	0.00%
Total	3687	1253	57.11%	939	42.80%	2	0.09%
106 Suwanee C							
Polling	4823	683	55.85%	540	44.15%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4823	81	39.13%	125	60.39%	1	0.48%
Advance in Person	4823	47	44.76%	58	55.24%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4823	20	40.82%	29	59.18%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4823	633	52.01%	584	47.99%	0	0.00%
Total	4823	1464	52.27%	1336	47.70%	1	0.04%
107 Cates O							
Polling	1342	281	57.11%	211	42.89%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7

	Reg. Voters	R. WOODALL (I) R		C. BOURDEAUX (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	1342	22	56.41%	17	43.59%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1342	93	49.73%	94	50.27%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1342	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1342	60	44.44%	75	55.56%	0	0.00%
Total	1342	456	53.33%	399	46.67%	0	0.00%
108 Baycreek E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
109 Berkshire M							
Polling	1638	328	56.65%	250	43.18%	1	0.17%
Absentee by Mail	1638	29	51.79%	27	48.21%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1638	222	52.11%	204	47.89%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1638	0	-	0	-	0	-
Advance in Person 2	1638	24	60.00%	16	40.00%	0	0.00%
Total	1638	603	54.77%	497	45.14%	1	0.09%
110 Martins G							
Polling	2187	190	28.02%	488	71.98%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2187	28	37.84%	46	62.16%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2187	4	12.12%	29	87.88%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2187	2	28.57%	5	71.43%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2187	77	21.88%	275	78.13%	0	0.00%
Total	2187	301	26.31%	843	73.69%	0	0.00%
111 Duluth H							
Polling	2662	339	46.00%	396	53.73%	2	0.27%
Absentee by Mail	2662	88	47.83%	96	52.17%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2662	262	45.17%	318	54.83%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2662	1	33.33%	2	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2662	57	49.14%	59	50.86%	0	0.00%
Total	2662	747	46.11%	871	53.77%	2	0.12%
112 Berkshire N							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
113 Pinckneyville V							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7

	Reg. Voters	R. WOODALL (I) R		C. BOURDEAUX (D)		Write-In Votes	
114 Pinckneyville W							
Polling	3885	538	43.81%	690	56.19%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3885	53	36.55%	92	63.45%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3885	260	36.06%	461	63.94%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3885	5	26.32%	14	73.68%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3885	18	39.13%	28	60.87%	0	0.00%
Total	3885	874	40.48%	1285	59.52%	0	0.00%
115 Martins H							
Polling	4487	422	32.61%	871	67.31%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	4487	78	35.45%	142	64.55%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4487	52	22.71%	177	77.29%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4487	3	25.00%	9	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4487	224	30.73%	503	69.00%	2	0.27%
Total	4487	779	31.36%	1702	68.52%	3	0.12%
116 Martins I							
Polling	3518	228	24.13%	717	75.87%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3518	56	35.22%	103	64.78%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3518	57	17.48%	269	82.52%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3518	1	6.25%	15	93.75%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3518	62	23.40%	203	76.60%	0	0.00%
Total	3518	404	23.61%	1307	76.39%	0	0.00%
117 Martins J							
Polling	3809	194	18.62%	847	81.29%	1	0.10%
Absentee by Mail	3809	19	15.83%	101	84.17%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3809	45	12.47%	315	87.26%	1	0.28%
Provisional	3809	0	0.00%	15	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3809	51	18.89%	218	80.74%	1	0.37%
Total	3809	309	17.09%	1496	82.74%	3	0.17%
118 Garners F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
119 Berkshire O							
Polling	2422	500	57.08%	374	42.69%	2	0.23%
Absentee by Mail	2422	63	59.43%	43	40.57%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2422	278	56.97%	210	43.03%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2422	4	57.14%	3	42.86%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2422	78	53.42%	68	46.58%	0	0.00%
Total	2422	923	56.87%	698	43.01%	2	0.12%
120 Berkshire P							
Polling	1339	146	37.73%	241	62.27%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1339	17	26.98%	46	73.02%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1339	58	30.85%	130	69.15%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1339	0	0.00%	4	100.00%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7

	Reg. Voters	R. WOODALL (I) R		C. BOURDEAUX (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	1339	20	35.09%	37	64.91%	0	0.00%
Total	1339	241	34.48%	458	65.52%	0	0.00%
121 Pinckneyville X							
Polling	3198	572	52.72%	513	47.28%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3198	85	38.99%	133	61.01%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3198	316	42.53%	426	57.34%	1	0.13%
Provisional	3198	2	40.00%	3	60.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3198	32	43.84%	40	54.79%	1	1.37%
Total	3198	1007	47.41%	1115	52.50%	2	0.09%
122 Rockbridge G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
123 Pinckneyville Y							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
124 Goodwins G							
Polling	2448	233	30.54%	530	69.46%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2448	17	24.29%	53	75.71%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2448	14	20.00%	56	80.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2448	2	22.22%	7	77.78%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2448	103	24.41%	319	75.59%	0	0.00%
Total	2448	369	27.66%	965	72.34%	0	0.00%
125 Pinckneyville Z							
Polling	1806	597	67.76%	283	32.12%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	1806	46	47.92%	50	52.08%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1806	180	58.63%	127	41.37%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1806	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1806	22	70.97%	9	29.03%	0	0.00%
Total	1806	847	64.31%	469	35.61%	1	0.08%
126 Pinckneyville A1							
Polling	2596	786	66.95%	387	32.96%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	2596	91	56.52%	70	43.48%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2596	258	54.09%	219	45.91%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2596	3	50.00%	3	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2596	23	47.92%	25	52.08%	0	0.00%
Total	2596	1161	62.22%	704	37.73%	1	0.05%
127 Lawrenceville J							
Polling	1959	245	39.26%	379	60.74%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1959	18	22.78%	61	77.22%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7

	Reg. Voters	R. WOODALL (I) R		C. BOURDEAUX (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	1959	12	25.53%	35	74.47%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1959	1	20.00%	4	80.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1959	92	24.21%	288	75.79%	0	0.00%
Total	1959	368	32.42%	767	67.58%	0	0.00%
128 Goodwins H							
Polling	2561	439	52.96%	389	46.92%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	2561	43	39.45%	66	60.55%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2561	21	40.38%	31	59.62%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2561	3	27.27%	8	72.73%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2561	227	41.80%	316	58.20%	0	0.00%
Total	2561	733	47.47%	810	52.46%	1	0.06%
129 Duncans B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
130 Suwanee D							
Polling	4247	946	67.48%	456	32.52%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4247	86	50.59%	84	49.41%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4247	45	51.14%	43	48.86%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4247	6	40.00%	9	60.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4247	745	64.90%	403	35.10%	0	0.00%
Total	4247	1828	64.75%	995	35.25%	0	0.00%
131 Suwanee E							
Polling	3921	674	61.78%	417	38.22%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3921	87	46.77%	99	53.23%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3921	19	41.30%	27	58.70%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3921	14	66.67%	7	33.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3921	755	59.45%	514	40.47%	1	0.08%
Total	3921	1549	59.26%	1064	40.70%	1	0.04%
132 Lawrenceville K							
Polling	2915	542	53.09%	478	46.82%	1	0.10%
Absentee by Mail	2915	51	45.95%	60	54.05%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2915	97	55.11%	79	44.89%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2915	1	20.00%	4	80.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2915	226	42.88%	301	57.12%	0	0.00%
Total	2915	917	49.84%	922	50.11%	1	0.05%
133 Harbins B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
134 Baycreek F							

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7

	Reg. Voters	R. WOODALL (I) R		C. BOURDEAUX (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	4119	468	38.58%	745	61.42%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4119	59	33.33%	118	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4119	16	42.11%	22	57.89%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4119	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4119	320	26.82%	872	73.09%	1	0.08%
Total	4119	864	32.91%	1760	67.05%	1	0.04%
135 Pucketts C							
Polling	2931	520	61.61%	322	38.15%	2	0.24%
Absentee by Mail	2931	54	54.00%	46	46.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2931	439	60.30%	288	39.56%	1	0.14%
Provisional	2931	8	53.33%	7	46.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2931	31	40.79%	45	59.21%	0	0.00%
Total	2931	1052	59.67%	708	40.16%	3	0.17%
136 Hog Mountain C							
Polling	3832	710	57.91%	516	42.09%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3832	43	35.83%	77	64.17%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3832	366	55.88%	289	44.12%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3832	3	42.86%	4	57.14%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3832	120	53.57%	104	46.43%	0	0.00%
Total	3832	1242	55.65%	990	44.35%	0	0.00%
137 Rockycreek B							
Polling	4677	788	57.14%	591	42.86%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4677	45	34.62%	85	65.38%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4677	651	59.78%	438	40.22%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4677	1	20.00%	4	80.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4677	110	47.21%	122	52.36%	1	0.43%
Total	4677	1595	56.24%	1240	43.72%	1	0.04%
138 Duluth I							
Polling	4491	699	55.74%	555	44.26%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4491	139	46.64%	159	53.36%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4491	218	47.19%	244	52.81%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4491	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4491	396	58.49%	281	41.51%	0	0.00%
Total	4491	1456	54.03%	1239	45.97%	0	0.00%
139 Martins K							
Polling	4796	334	23.55%	1081	76.23%	3	0.21%
Absentee by Mail	4796	56	33.33%	112	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4796	27	12.98%	181	87.02%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4796	1	6.67%	14	93.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4796	133	16.56%	669	83.31%	1	0.12%
Total	4796	551	21.09%	2057	78.75%	4	0.15%
140 Suwanee H							
Polling	3480	708	68.41%	327	31.59%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3480	97	50.52%	94	48.96%	1	0.52%
Advance in Person	3480	27	54.00%	23	46.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3480	17	77.27%	5	22.73%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3480	779	70.18%	330	29.73%	1	0.09%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7

	Reg. Voters	R. WOODALL (I) R		C. BOURDEAUX (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	3480	1628	67.58%	779	32.34%	2	0.08%
141 Sugar Hill G							
Polling	2601	621	69.93%	265	29.84%	2	0.23%
Absentee by Mail	2601	40	44.44%	50	55.56%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2601	65	50.00%	65	50.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2601	11	68.75%	5	31.25%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2601	362	62.41%	218	37.59%	0	0.00%
Total	2601	1099	64.50%	603	35.39%	2	0.12%
142 Suwanee F							
Polling	3598	617	56.71%	471	43.29%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3598	48	33.57%	94	65.73%	1	0.70%
Advance in Person	3598	25	35.21%	46	64.79%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3598	6	50.00%	6	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3598	422	49.30%	434	50.70%	0	0.00%
Total	3598	1118	51.52%	1051	48.43%	1	0.05%
143 Lawrenceville L							
Polling	4355	552	41.50%	774	58.20%	4	0.30%
Absentee by Mail	4355	87	46.52%	100	53.48%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4355	20	37.04%	34	62.96%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4355	3	25.00%	9	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4355	421	37.72%	695	62.28%	0	0.00%
Total	4355	1083	40.13%	1612	59.73%	4	0.15%
144 Lawrenceville M							
Polling	5956	360	23.54%	1168	76.39%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	5956	38	20.43%	146	78.49%	2	1.08%
Advance in Person	5956	57	21.51%	208	78.49%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5956	2	14.29%	12	85.71%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5956	200	15.29%	1108	84.71%	0	0.00%
Total	5956	657	19.90%	2642	80.01%	3	0.09%
145 Baycreek G							
Polling	5092	663	42.75%	887	57.19%	1	0.06%
Absentee by Mail	5092	62	35.43%	113	64.57%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5092	19	35.19%	35	64.81%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5092	0	0.00%	6	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5092	398	27.52%	1048	72.48%	0	0.00%
Total	5092	1142	35.33%	2089	64.63%	1	0.03%
146 Baycreek H							
Polling	5697	967	56.19%	753	43.75%	1	0.06%
Absentee by Mail	5697	85	39.72%	129	60.28%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5697	54	54.55%	45	45.45%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5697	1	16.67%	5	83.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5697	704	43.46%	916	56.54%	0	0.00%
Total	5697	1811	49.48%	1848	50.49%	1	0.03%
147 Baycreek I							
Polling	5162	527	41.20%	752	58.80%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5162	67	34.36%	128	65.64%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5162	20	37.04%	34	62.96%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7

	Reg. Voters	R. WOODALL (I) R		C. BOURDEAUX (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	5162	2	33.33%	4	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5162	527	34.63%	995	65.37%	0	0.00%
Total	5162	1143	37.40%	1913	62.60%	0	0.00%
148 Berkshire Q							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
149 Goodwins I							
Polling	2341	503	56.45%	388	43.55%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2341	59	46.09%	68	53.13%	1	0.78%
Advance in Person	2341	20	23.26%	66	76.74%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2341	1	20.00%	4	80.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2341	227	49.67%	230	50.33%	0	0.00%
Total	2341	810	51.69%	756	48.25%	1	0.06%
150 Duluth K							
Polling	2694	108	19.67%	440	80.15%	1	0.18%
Absentee by Mail	2694	12	22.22%	42	77.78%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2694	72	18.51%	316	81.23%	1	0.26%
Provisional	2694	2	22.22%	7	77.78%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2694	4	10.00%	36	90.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2694	198	19.02%	841	80.79%	2	0.19%
151 Harbins C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
152 Rockycreek C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
153 Lawrenceville N							
Polling	2343	287	46.74%	326	53.09%	1	0.16%
Absentee by Mail	2343	34	43.59%	43	55.13%	1	1.28%
Advance in Person	2343	5	21.74%	18	78.26%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2343	4	22.22%	14	77.78%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2343	187	35.89%	334	64.11%	0	0.00%
Total	2343	517	41.23%	735	58.61%	2	0.16%
154 Pucketts D							
Polling	3166	565	63.91%	318	35.97%	1	0.11%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

US House 7 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 7

	Reg. Voters	R. WOODALL (I) R		C. BOURDEAUX (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	3166	80	56.34%	62	43.66%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3166	594	67.96%	278	31.81%	2	0.23%
Provisional	3166	9	64.29%	5	35.71%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3166	54	68.35%	25	31.65%	0	0.00%
Total	3166	1302	65.33%	688	34.52%	3	0.15%
155 Suwanee G							
Polling	3451	613	53.26%	537	46.66%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	3451	50	36.50%	87	63.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3451	33	37.93%	54	62.07%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3451	5	38.46%	8	61.54%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3451	329	43.18%	432	56.69%	1	0.13%
Total	3451	1030	47.91%	1118	52.00%	2	0.09%
156 Baycreek J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total							
Polling	367063	51723	47.09%	58033	52.83%	85	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	367063	5897	40.07%	8809	59.85%	12	0.08%
Advance in Person	367063	15286	42.10%	21003	57.84%	22	0.06%
Advance in Person 2	367063	22161	42.33%	30168	57.62%	30	0.06%
Provisional	367063	443	35.13%	817	64.79%	1	0.08%
Total	367063	95510	44.53%	118830	55.40%	150	0.07%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10

	Reg. Voters	J. HICE (I) R		T. JOHNSON-GREEN (D)		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide							
001 Harbins A							
Polling	6123	818	53.29%	715	46.58%	2	0.13%
Absentee by Mail	6123	74	38.34%	119	61.66%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	6123	655	58.48%	465	41.52%	0	0.00%
Provisional	6123	2	40.00%	3	60.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	6123	320	39.60%	487	60.27%	1	0.12%
Total	6123	1869	51.05%	1789	48.87%	3	0.08%
002 Rockbridge A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
003 Dacula							
Polling	4478	489	43.62%	631	56.29%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	4478	30	23.08%	99	76.15%	1	0.77%
Advance in Person	4478	599	50.25%	593	49.75%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4478	3	75.00%	1	25.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4478	64	26.89%	174	73.11%	0	0.00%
Total	4478	1185	44.13%	1498	55.79%	2	0.07%
004 Suwanee A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
005 Baycreek A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
006 Goodwins A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
007 Duluth A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10

	Reg. Voters	J. HICE (I) R		T. JOHNSON-GREEN (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
008 Duncans A							
Polling	4278	892	70.07%	380	29.85%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	4278	71	54.62%	59	45.38%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4278	898	70.43%	377	29.57%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4278	5	71.43%	2	28.57%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4278	97	56.73%	74	43.27%	0	0.00%
Total	4278	1963	68.73%	892	31.23%	1	0.04%
009 Pucketts A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
010 Cates A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
011 Berkshire A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
012 Berkshire B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
013 Duncans C							
Polling	2828	775	76.88%	233	23.12%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2828	58	61.70%	36	38.30%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2828	536	69.43%	236	30.57%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2828	2	66.67%	1	33.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2828	45	56.25%	35	43.75%	0	0.00%
Total	2828	1416	72.36%	541	27.64%	0	0.00%
014 Garners A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10						
	Reg. Voters	J. HICE (I) R		T. JOHNSON-GREEN (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
015 Lawrenceville A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
016 Lawrenceville B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
017 Martins A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
018 Martins B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
019 Martins C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
020 Pinckneyville A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10						
	Reg. Voters	J. HICE (I) R		T. JOHNSON-GREEN (D)		Write-In Votes	
021 Pinckneyville B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
022 Pinckneyville C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
023 Pinckneyville D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
024 Sugar Hill A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
025 Sugar Hill B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
026 Hog Mountain A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
027 Hog Mountain B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10

	Reg. Voters	J. HICE (I) R		T. JOHNSON-GREEN (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
028 Rockycreek A							
Polling	5149	868	58.41%	618	41.59%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5149	64	49.61%	65	50.39%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5149	886	60.35%	581	39.58%	1	0.07%
Provisional	5149	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5149	54	32.14%	114	67.86%	0	0.00%
Total	5149	1873	57.60%	1378	42.37%	1	0.03%
029 Cates B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
030 Cates C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
031 Hog Mountain D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
032 Berkshire D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
033 Berkshire E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
034 Berkshire F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10

	Reg. Voters	J. HICE (I) R		T. JOHNSON-GREEN (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
035 Cates D - 04							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
035 Cates D - 07							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
036 Cates E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
037 Pinckneyville E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
038 Pinckneyville F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
039 Duncans D							
Polling	6085	1245	68.60%	569	31.35%	1	0.06%
Absentee by Mail	6085	122	57.01%	91	42.52%	1	0.47%
Advance in Person	6085	1133	70.95%	462	28.93%	2	0.13%
Provisional	6085	4	44.44%	5	55.56%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	6085	97	58.79%	68	41.21%	0	0.00%
Total	6085	2601	68.45%	1195	31.45%	4	0.11%
040 Pinckneyville H							

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10						
	Reg. Voters	J. HICE (I) R		T. JOHNSON-GREEN (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
041 Baycreek B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
042 Lawrenceville C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
043 Martins D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
044 Rockbridge B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
045 Garners B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046 Cates F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10						
	Reg. Voters	J. HICE (I) R		T. JOHNSON-GREEN (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
047 Cates G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
048 Duluth B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
049 Garners C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
050 Pinckneyville I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
051 Pinckneyville J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052 Berkshire G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
053 Cates H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10						
	Reg. Voters	J. HICE (I) R		T. JOHNSON-GREEN (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
054 Sugar Hill C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055 Sugar Hill D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
056 Duluth C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
057 Duluth D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
058 Pinckneyville K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
059 Pinckneyville N							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
060 Lawrenceville D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10						
	Reg. Voters	J. HICE (I) R		T. JOHNSON-GREEN (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
061 Lawrenceville E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
062 Pinckneyville L							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
063 Pinckneyville M							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
064 Garners D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
065 Berkshire H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
066 Pinckneyville O							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10			
	Reg. Voters	J. HICE (I) R	T. JOHNSON-GREEN (D)	Write-In Votes
067 Pucketts E				
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-
068 Cates I				
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-
069 Cates J				
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-
070 Goodwins B				
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-
071 Lawrenceville F				
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-
072 Martins E				
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-
073 Pinckneyville P				
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10						
	Reg. Voters	J. HICE (I) R		T. JOHNSON-GREEN (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
074 Pinckneyville Q							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
075 Rockbridge C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
076 Rockbridge D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
077 Goodwins C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
078 Baycreek K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
079 Cates K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
080 Baycreek C							
Polling	5755	777	47.76%	850	52.24%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5755	70	36.27%	123	63.73%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10

	Reg. Voters	J. HICE (I) R		T. JOHNSON-GREEN (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	5755	47	47.00%	53	53.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5755	2	66.67%	1	33.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5755	551	30.66%	1246	69.34%	0	0.00%
Total	5755	1447	38.90%	2273	61.10%	0	0.00%
081 Cates L							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
082 Duluth E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
083 Goodwins D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
084 Lawrenceville G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
085 Lawrenceville H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
086 Martins F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
087 Pinckneyville S							

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10						
	Reg. Voters	J. HICE (I) R		T. JOHNSON-GREEN (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
088 Rockbridge E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
089 Sugar Hill E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
090 Lawrenceville I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
091 Baycreek D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
092 Berkshire J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
093 Cates M							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10						
	Reg. Voters	J. HICE (I) R		T. JOHNSON-GREEN (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
094 Cates N							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
095 Duluth F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
096 Duluth G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
097 Goodwins E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098 Goodwins F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
099 Pinckneyville T							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100 Suwanee B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10					
	Reg. Voters	J. HICE (I) R		T. JOHNSON-GREEN (D)	Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
101 Pinckneyville U						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
102 Pucketts B						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
103 Berkshire L						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
104 Rockbridge F						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
105 Sugar Hill F						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
106 Suwanee C						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
107 Cates O						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10						
	Reg. Voters	J. HICE (I) R		T. JOHNSON-GREEN (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
108 Baycreek E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
109 Berkshire M							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
110 Martins G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
111 Duluth H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
112 Berkshire N							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
113 Pinckneyville V							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10			
	Reg. Voters	J. HICE (I) R	T. JOHNSON-GREEN (D)	Write-In Votes
114 Pinckneyville W				
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-
115 Martins H				
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-
116 Martins I				
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-
117 Martins J				
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-
118 Garners F				
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-
119 Berkshire O				
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-
120 Berkshire P				
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10						
	Reg. Voters	J. HICE (I) R		T. JOHNSON-GREEN (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
121 Pinckneyville X							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
122 Rockbridge G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
123 Pinckneyville Y							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
124 Goodwins G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
125 Pinckneyville Z							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
126 Pinckneyville A1							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
127 Lawrenceville J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10

	Reg. Voters	J. HICE (I) R		T. JOHNSON-GREEN (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
128 Goodwins H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
129 Duncans B							
Polling	4655	1144	71.68%	451	28.26%	1	0.06%
Absentee by Mail	4655	91	60.26%	59	39.07%	1	0.66%
Advance in Person	4655	821	71.21%	332	28.79%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4655	3	60.00%	2	40.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4655	59	52.68%	53	47.32%	0	0.00%
Total	4655	2118	70.20%	897	29.73%	2	0.07%
130 Suwanee D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
131 Suwanee E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132 Lawrenceville K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
133 Harbins B							
Polling	4220	572	57.84%	414	41.86%	3	0.30%
Absentee by Mail	4220	45	47.37%	50	52.63%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4220	864	65.80%	449	34.20%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4220	1	50.00%	1	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4220	60	41.67%	84	58.33%	0	0.00%
Total	4220	1542	60.64%	998	39.24%	3	0.12%
134 Baycreek F							

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10						
	Reg. Voters	J. HICE (I) R		T. JOHNSON-GREEN (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
135 Pucketts C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
136 Hog Mountain C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
137 Rockycreek B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
138 Duluth I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
139 Martins K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
140 Suwanee H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10						
	Reg. Voters	J. HICE (I) R		T. JOHNSON-GREEN (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
141 Sugar Hill G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
142 Suwanee F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
143 Lawrenceville L							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144 Lawrenceville M							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145 Baycreek G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
146 Baycreek H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
147 Baycreek I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10

	Reg. Voters	J. HICE (I) R		T. JOHNSON-GREEN (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
148 Berkshire Q							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
149 Goodwins I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
150 Duluth K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
151 Harbins C							
Polling	2369	673	70.25%	285	29.75%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2369	40	52.63%	36	47.37%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2369	165	55.00%	134	44.67%	1	0.33%
Provisional	2369	2	50.00%	2	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2369	119	42.65%	160	57.35%	0	0.00%
Total	2369	999	61.78%	617	38.16%	1	0.06%
152 Rockycreek C							
Polling	4504	746	55.59%	594	44.26%	2	0.15%
Absentee by Mail	4504	63	47.73%	69	52.27%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4504	715	58.51%	507	41.49%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4504	3	75.00%	1	25.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4504	82	39.42%	126	60.58%	0	0.00%
Total	4504	1609	55.33%	1297	44.60%	2	0.07%
153 Lawrenceville N							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
154 Pucketts D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	US House 10 / Camara de Representantes de EE. UU. 10						
	Reg. Voters	J. HICE (I) R		T. JOHNSON-GREEN (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
155 Suwanee G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
156 Baycreek J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total							
Polling	50444	8999	61.01%	5740	38.92%	11	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	50444	728	47.36%	806	52.44%	3	0.20%
Advance in Person	50444	7319	63.58%	4189	36.39%	4	0.03%
Advance in Person 2	50444	1548	37.12%	2621	62.85%	1	0.02%
Provisional	50444	28	59.57%	19	40.43%	0	0.00%
Total	50444	18622	58.16%	13375	41.78%	19	0.06%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5				
	Reg. Voters	S. RAHMAN (D)		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide					
001 Harbins A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
002 Rockbridge A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
003 Dacula					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
004 Suwanee A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
005 Baycreek A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
006 Goodwins A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
007 Duluth A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5				
	Reg. Voters	S. RAHMAN (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
008 Duncans A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
009 Pucketts A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
010 Cates A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
011 Berkshire A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
012 Berkshire B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
013 Duncans C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
014 Garners A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5				
	Reg. Voters	S. RAHMAN (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
015 Lawrenceville A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
016 Lawrenceville B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
017 Martins A					
Polling	3019	699	96.81%	23	3.19%
Absentee by Mail	3019	86	95.56%	4	4.44%
Advance in Person	3019	227	98.70%	3	1.30%
Provisional	3019	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3019	274	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	3019	1290	97.73%	30	2.27%
018 Martins B					
Polling	2796	649	97.01%	20	2.99%
Absentee by Mail	2796	75	98.68%	1	1.32%
Advance in Person	2796	81	98.78%	1	1.22%
Provisional	2796	12	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2796	354	96.72%	12	3.28%
Total	2796	1171	97.18%	34	2.82%
019 Martins C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
020 Pinckneyville A					
Polling	2603	618	98.25%	11	1.75%
Absentee by Mail	2603	73	94.81%	4	5.19%
Advance in Person	2603	353	99.16%	3	0.84%
Provisional	2603	8	80.00%	2	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	2603	54	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2603	1106	98.22%	20	1.78%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5				
	Reg. Voters	S. RAHMAN (D)		Write-In Votes	
021 Pinckneyville B					
Polling	3216	553	97.53%	14	2.47%
Absentee by Mail	3216	96	98.97%	1	1.03%
Advance in Person	3216	484	97.78%	11	2.22%
Provisional	3216	6	85.71%	1	14.29%
Advance in Person 2	3216	24	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	3216	1163	97.73%	27	2.27%
022 Pinckneyville C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
023 Pinckneyville D					
Polling	2042	445	98.45%	7	1.55%
Absentee by Mail	2042	52	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2042	243	97.20%	7	2.80%
Provisional	2042	5	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2042	36	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2042	781	98.24%	14	1.76%
024 Sugar Hill A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
025 Sugar Hill B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
026 Hog Mountain A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
027 Hog Mountain B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5				
	Reg. Voters	S. RAHMAN (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
028 Rockycreek A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
029 Cates B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
030 Cates C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
031 Hog Mountain D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
032 Berkshire D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
033 Berkshire E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
034 Berkshire F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5				
	Reg. Voters	S. RAHMAN (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
035 Cates D - 04					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
035 Cates D - 07					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
036 Cates E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
037 Pinckneyville E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
038 Pinckneyville F					
Polling	2301	523	96.85%	17	3.15%
Absentee by Mail	2301	72	96.00%	3	4.00%
Advance in Person	2301	266	95.68%	12	4.32%
Provisional	2301	0	-	0	-
Advance in Person 2	2301	34	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2301	895	96.55%	32	3.45%
039 Duncans D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
040 Pinckneyville H					

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5				
	Reg. Voters	S. RAHMAN (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
041 Baycreek B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
042 Lawrenceville C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
043 Martins D					
Polling	2059	523	98.68%	7	1.32%
Absentee by Mail	2059	58	95.08%	3	4.92%
Advance in Person	2059	192	98.97%	2	1.03%
Provisional	2059	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2059	87	97.75%	2	2.25%
Total	2059	867	98.41%	14	1.59%
044 Rockbridge B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
045 Garners B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
046 Cates F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5				
	Reg. Voters	S. RAHMAN (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-
047 Cates G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
048 Duluth B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
049 Garners C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
050 Pinckneyville I					
Polling	2479	545	97.15%	16	2.85%
Absentee by Mail	2479	63	95.45%	3	4.55%
Advance in Person	2479	346	98.02%	7	1.98%
Provisional	2479	6	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2479	58	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2479	1018	97.51%	26	2.49%
051 Pinckneyville J					
Polling	2543	484	98.17%	9	1.83%
Absentee by Mail	2543	58	96.67%	2	3.33%
Advance in Person	2543	372	98.67%	5	1.33%
Provisional	2543	8	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2543	33	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2543	955	98.35%	16	1.65%
052 Berkshire G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
053 Cates H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5				
	Reg. Voters	S. RAHMAN (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
054 Sugar Hill C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
055 Sugar Hill D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
056 Duluth C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
057 Duluth D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
058 Pinckneyville K					
Polling	1934	394	97.77%	9	2.23%
Absentee by Mail	1934	56	96.55%	2	3.45%
Advance in Person	1934	256	99.61%	1	0.39%
Provisional	1934	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1934	42	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	1934	751	98.43%	12	1.57%
059 Pinckneyville N					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
060 Lawrenceville D					
Polling	4872	901	96.57%	32	3.43%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5				
	Reg. Voters	S. RAHMAN (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	4872	112	98.25%	2	1.75%
Advance in Person	4872	73	100.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4872	13	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4872	759	97.31%	21	2.69%
Total	4872	1858	97.12%	55	2.88%
061 Lawrenceville E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
062 Pinckneyville L					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
063 Pinckneyville M					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
064 Garners D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
065 Berkshire H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
066 Pinckneyville O					
Polling	3267	673	97.68%	16	2.32%
Absentee by Mail	3267	64	95.52%	3	4.48%
Advance in Person	3267	528	97.60%	13	2.40%
Provisional	3267	6	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3267	26	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	3267	1297	97.59%	32	2.41%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5				
	Reg. Voters	S. RAHMAN (D)		Write-In Votes	
067 Pucketts E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
068 Cates I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
069 Cates J					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
070 Goodwins B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
071 Lawrenceville F					
Polling	3698	725	96.15%	29	3.85%
Absentee by Mail	3698	128	97.71%	3	2.29%
Advance in Person	3698	40	93.02%	3	6.98%
Provisional	3698	4	80.00%	1	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	3698	904	97.52%	23	2.48%
Total	3698	1801	96.83%	59	3.17%
072 Martins E					
Polling	5934	1127	99.56%	5	0.44%
Absentee by Mail	5934	173	97.74%	4	2.26%
Advance in Person	5934	655	98.50%	10	1.50%
Provisional	5934	29	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5934	237	99.16%	2	0.84%
Total	5934	2221	99.06%	21	0.94%
073 Pinckneyville P					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5				
	Reg. Voters	S. RAHMAN (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
074 Pinckneyville Q					
Polling	1725	545	98.73%	7	1.27%
Absentee by Mail	1725	35	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1725	174	100.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1725	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1725	33	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	1725	790	99.12%	7	0.88%
075 Rockbridge C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
076 Rockbridge D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
077 Goodwins C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
078 Baycreek K					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
079 Cates K					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
080 Baycreek C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5				
	Reg. Voters	S. RAHMAN (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
081 Cates L					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
082 Duluth E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
083 Goodwins D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
084 Lawrenceville G					
Polling	2783	639	98.16%	12	1.84%
Absentee by Mail	2783	73	94.81%	4	5.19%
Advance in Person	2783	35	97.22%	1	2.78%
Provisional	2783	15	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2783	448	98.46%	7	1.54%
Total	2783	1210	98.06%	24	1.94%
085 Lawrenceville H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
086 Martins F					
Polling	2401	562	96.90%	18	3.10%
Absentee by Mail	2401	73	97.33%	2	2.67%
Advance in Person	2401	282	97.58%	7	2.42%
Provisional	2401	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2401	86	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2401	1005	97.38%	27	2.62%
087 Pinckneyville S					

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5				
	Reg. Voters	S. RAHMAN (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	3013	742	96.74%	25	3.26%
Absentee by Mail	3013	102	97.14%	3	2.86%
Advance in Person	3013	359	95.73%	16	4.27%
Provisional	3013	6	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3013	43	93.48%	3	6.52%
Total	3013	1252	96.38%	47	3.62%
088 Rockbridge E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
089 Sugar Hill E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
090 Lawrenceville I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
091 Baycreek D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
092 Berkshire J					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
093 Cates M					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5				
	Reg. Voters	S. RAHMAN (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-
094 Cates N					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
095 Duluth F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
096 Duluth G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
097 Goodwins E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
098 Goodwins F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
099 Pinckneyville T					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
100 Suwanee B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5				
	Reg. Voters	S. RAHMAN (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
101 Pinckneyville U					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
102 Pucketts B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
103 Berkshire L					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
104 Rockbridge F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
105 Sugar Hill F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
106 Suwanee C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
107 Cates O					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5				
	Reg. Voters	S. RAHMAN (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
108 Baycreek E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
109 Berkshire M					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
110 Martins G					
Polling	2187	567	97.09%	17	2.91%
Absentee by Mail	2187	51	87.93%	7	12.07%
Advance in Person	2187	32	96.97%	1	3.03%
Provisional	2187	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2187	288	97.30%	8	2.70%
Total	2187	941	96.61%	33	3.39%
111 Duluth H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
112 Berkshire N					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
113 Pinckneyville V					
Polling	1572	275	96.49%	10	3.51%
Absentee by Mail	1572	41	93.18%	3	6.82%
Advance in Person	1572	272	96.80%	9	3.20%
Provisional	1572	0	-	0	-
Advance in Person 2	1572	8	80.00%	2	20.00%
Total	1572	596	96.13%	24	3.87%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5				
	Reg. Voters	S. RAHMAN (D)		Write-In Votes	
114 Pinckneyville W					
Polling	3885	874	96.04%	36	3.96%
Absentee by Mail	3885	112	95.73%	5	4.27%
Advance in Person	3885	525	95.45%	25	4.55%
Provisional	3885	11	91.67%	1	8.33%
Advance in Person 2	3885	34	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	3885	1556	95.87%	67	4.13%
115 Martins H					
Polling	4487	1003	95.80%	44	4.20%
Absentee by Mail	4487	163	92.61%	13	7.39%
Advance in Person	4487	200	97.56%	5	2.44%
Provisional	4487	5	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4487	566	96.59%	20	3.41%
Total	4487	1937	95.94%	82	4.06%
116 Martins I					
Polling	3518	807	98.41%	13	1.59%
Absentee by Mail	3518	121	95.28%	6	4.72%
Advance in Person	3518	292	98.32%	5	1.68%
Provisional	3518	9	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3518	213	99.07%	2	0.93%
Total	3518	1442	98.23%	26	1.77%
117 Martins J					
Polling	3809	914	98.60%	13	1.40%
Absentee by Mail	3809	111	98.23%	2	1.77%
Advance in Person	3809	324	98.78%	4	1.22%
Provisional	3809	10	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3809	235	99.16%	2	0.84%
Total	3809	1594	98.70%	21	1.30%
118 Garners F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
119 Berkshire O					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
120 Berkshire P					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5				
	Reg. Voters	S. RAHMAN (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
121 Pinckneyville X					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
122 Rockbridge G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
123 Pinckneyville Y					
Polling	3018	685	98.28%	12	1.72%
Absentee by Mail	3018	56	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3018	401	98.77%	5	1.23%
Provisional	3018	6	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3018	46	95.83%	2	4.17%
Total	3018	1194	98.43%	19	1.57%
124 Goodwins G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
125 Pinckneyville Z					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
126 Pinckneyville A1					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
127 Lawrenceville J					
Polling	1959	452	96.17%	18	3.83%
Absentee by Mail	1959	70	97.22%	2	2.78%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5				
	Reg. Voters	S. RAHMAN (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	1959	37	97.37%	1	2.63%
Provisional	1959	1	50.00%	1	50.00%
Advance in Person 2	1959	315	97.22%	9	2.78%
Total	1959	875	96.58%	31	3.42%
128 Goodwins H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
129 Duncans B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
130 Suwanee D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
131 Suwanee E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
132 Lawrenceville K					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
133 Harbins B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
134 Baycreek F					

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5				
	Reg. Voters	S. RAHMAN (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
135 Pucketts C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
136 Hog Mountain C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
137 Rockycreek B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
138 Duluth I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
139 Martins K					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
140 Suwanee H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5				
	Reg. Voters	S. RAHMAN (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-
141 Sugar Hill G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
142 Suwanee F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
143 Lawrenceville L					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
144 Lawrenceville M					
Polling	5956	1313	97.19%	38	2.81%
Absentee by Mail	5956	161	96.99%	5	3.01%
Advance in Person	5956	228	97.02%	7	2.98%
Provisional	5956	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5956	1154	97.30%	32	2.70%
Total	5956	2863	97.22%	82	2.78%
145 Baycreek G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
146 Baycreek H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
147 Baycreek I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5				
	Reg. Voters	S. RAHMAN (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
148 Berkshire Q					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
149 Goodwins I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
150 Duluth K					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
151 Harbins C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
152 Rockycreek C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
153 Lawrenceville N					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
154 Pucketts D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 5 / Senado Estatal 5				
	Reg. Voters	S. RAHMAN (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
155 Suwanee G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
156 Baycreek J					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
Total					
Polling	83076	18237	97.45%	478	2.55%
Absentee by Mail	83076	2335	96.41%	87	3.59%
Advance in Person	83076	7277	97.80%	164	2.20%
Advance in Person 2	83076	6391	97.75%	147	2.25%
Provisional	83076	189	96.92%	6	3.08%
Total	83076	34429	97.50%	882	2.50%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9

	Reg. Voters	P. MARTIN IV (I) R		C. R. MOSES (D)		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide							
001 Harbins A							
Polling	6123	814	52.99%	721	46.94%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	6123	73	37.82%	120	62.18%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	6123	647	57.77%	473	42.23%	0	0.00%
Provisional	6123	1	14.29%	6	85.71%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	6123	317	39.28%	489	60.59%	1	0.12%
Total	6123	1852	50.56%	1809	49.39%	2	0.05%
002 Rockbridge A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
003 Dacula							
Polling	4478	490	43.91%	626	56.09%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4478	30	23.08%	100	76.92%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4478	597	50.29%	590	49.71%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4478	1	50.00%	1	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4478	67	28.15%	171	71.85%	0	0.00%
Total	4478	1185	44.33%	1488	55.67%	0	0.00%
004 Suwanee A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
005 Baycreek A							
Polling	2555	547	62.73%	325	37.27%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2555	57	54.81%	47	45.19%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2555	18	51.43%	17	48.57%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2555	18	66.67%	9	33.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2555	341	48.44%	363	51.56%	0	0.00%
Total	2555	981	56.31%	761	43.69%	0	0.00%
006 Goodwins A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
007 Duluth A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9						
	Reg. Voters	P. MARTIN IV (I) R		C. R. MOSES (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
008 Duncans A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
009 Pucketts A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
010 Cates A							
Polling	2209	418	65.93%	216	34.07%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2209	99	61.88%	61	38.13%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2209	18	72.00%	7	28.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2209	1	50.00%	1	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2209	342	56.44%	264	43.56%	0	0.00%
Total	2209	878	61.53%	549	38.47%	0	0.00%
011 Berkshire A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
012 Berkshire B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
013 Duncans C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
014 Garners A							
Polling	3302	620	63.14%	362	36.86%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9						
	Reg. Voters	P. MARTIN IV (I) R		C. R. MOSES (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	3302	75	54.74%	62	45.26%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3302	586	57.91%	426	42.09%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3302	2	40.00%	3	60.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3302	49	43.36%	64	56.64%	0	0.00%
Total	3302	1332	59.23%	917	40.77%	0	0.00%
015 Lawrenceville A							
Polling	3300	325	45.71%	386	54.29%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3300	79	53.74%	68	46.26%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3300	7	43.75%	9	56.25%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3300	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3300	387	50.99%	371	48.88%	1	0.13%
Total	3300	798	48.81%	836	51.13%	1	0.06%
016 Lawrenceville B							
Polling	4520	382	37.34%	641	62.66%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4520	50	28.41%	126	71.59%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4520	10	22.22%	35	77.78%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4520	2	28.57%	5	71.43%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4520	411	28.60%	1026	71.40%	0	0.00%
Total	4520	855	31.81%	1833	68.19%	0	0.00%
017 Martins A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
018 Martins B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
019 Martins C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
020 Pinckneyville A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9								
	Reg. Voters	P. MARTIN IV (I) R		C. R. MOSES (D)		Write-In Votes			
021 Pinckneyville B									
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
022 Pinckneyville C									
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
023 Pinckneyville D									
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
024 Sugar Hill A									
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
025 Sugar Hill B									
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
026 Hog Mountain A									
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
027 Hog Mountain B									
Polling	4211	865	62.91%	509	37.02%	1	0.07%		
Absentee by Mail	4211	84	49.70%	85	50.30%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	4211	488	60.25%	322	39.75%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	4211	3	42.86%	4	57.14%	0	0.00%		

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9							
	Reg. Voters	P. MARTIN IV (I) R		C. R. MOSES (D)		Write-In Votes		
Advance in Person 2	4211	161	46.26%	187	53.74%	0	0.00%
Total	4211	1601	59.10%	1107	40.86%	1	0.04%
028 Rockycreek A							
Polling	952	198	76.45%	61	23.55%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	952	31	75.61%	10	24.39%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	952	267	72.95%	99	27.05%	0	0.00%
Provisional	952	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	952	6	30.00%	14	70.00%	0	0.00%
Total	952	503	73.22%	184	26.78%	0	0.00%
029 Cates B							
Polling	1559	366	65.59%	192	34.41%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1559	32	55.17%	26	44.83%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1559	104	60.82%	67	39.18%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1559	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1559	143	61.90%	88	38.10%	0	0.00%
Total	1559	647	63.43%	373	36.57%	0	0.00%
030 Cates C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
031 Hog Mountain D							
Polling	2958	536	59.23%	369	40.77%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2958	41	37.96%	67	62.04%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2958	219	63.85%	124	36.15%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2958	6	85.71%	1	14.29%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2958	171	50.44%	168	49.56%	0	0.00%
Total	2958	973	57.17%	729	42.83%	0	0.00%
032 Berkshire D							
Polling	2684	461	56.08%	361	43.92%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2684	59	45.74%	70	54.26%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2684	359	49.18%	371	50.82%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2684	1	16.67%	5	83.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2684	39	46.43%	45	53.57%	0	0.00%
Total	2684	919	51.89%	852	48.11%	0	0.00%
033 Berkshire E							
Polling	1866	398	62.28%	240	37.56%	1	0.16%
Absentee by Mail	1866	38	45.78%	45	54.22%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1866	278	51.77%	259	48.23%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1866	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1866	22	59.46%	15	40.54%	0	0.00%
Total	1866	736	56.75%	560	43.18%	1	0.08%
034 Berkshire F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9						
	Reg. Voters	P. MARTIN IV (I) R		C. R. MOSES (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
035 Cates D - 04							
Polling	2605	451	53.82%	386	46.06%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	2605	48	47.52%	52	51.49%	1	0.99%
Advance in Person	2605	89	50.28%	88	49.72%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2605	5	62.50%	3	37.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2605	236	46.64%	270	53.36%	0	0.00%
Total	2605	829	50.86%	799	49.02%	2	0.12%
035 Cates D - 07							
Polling	585	105	54.69%	86	44.79%	1	0.52%
Absentee by Mail	585	5	18.52%	22	81.48%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	585	22	30.56%	50	69.44%	0	0.00%
Provisional	585	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	585	27	39.13%	42	60.87%	0	0.00%
Total	585	161	44.48%	200	55.25%	1	0.28%
036 Cates E							
Polling	2744	535	56.49%	412	43.51%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2744	51	42.86%	68	57.14%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2744	223	46.65%	255	53.35%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2744	1	14.29%	6	85.71%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2744	185	54.09%	157	45.91%	0	0.00%
Total	2744	995	52.56%	898	47.44%	0	0.00%
037 Pinckneyville E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
038 Pinckneyville F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
039 Duncans D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
040 Pinckneyville H							

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9						
	Reg. Voters	P. MARTIN IV (I) R		C. R. MOSES (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
041 Baycreek B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
042 Lawrenceville C							
Polling	2761	545	61.93%	333	37.84%	2	0.23%
Absentee by Mail	2761	46	46.94%	50	51.02%	2	2.04%
Advance in Person	2761	201	63.21%	117	36.79%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2761	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2761	188	52.22%	172	47.78%	0	0.00%
Total	2761	980	59.14%	673	40.62%	4	0.24%
043 Martins D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
044 Rockbridge B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
045 Garners B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046 Cates F							
Polling	1689	455	68.11%	213	31.89%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1689	34	51.52%	32	48.48%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1689	24	48.00%	26	52.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1689	2	66.67%	1	33.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1689	204	60.36%	134	39.64%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9						
	Reg. Voters	P. MARTIN IV (I) R		C. R. MOSES (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	1689	719	63.91%	406	36.09%	0	0.00%
047 Cates G							
Polling	2597	601	66.26%	304	33.52%	2	0.22%
Absentee by Mail	2597	67	55.37%	54	44.63%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2597	73	65.18%	39	34.82%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2597	2	66.67%	1	33.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2597	390	58.30%	278	41.55%	1	0.15%
Total	2597	1133	62.53%	676	37.31%	3	0.17%
048 Duluth B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
049 Garners C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
050 Pinckneyville I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
051 Pinckneyville J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052 Berkshire G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
053 Cates H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9						
	Reg. Voters	P. MARTIN IV (I) R		C. R. MOSES (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
054 Sugar Hill C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055 Sugar Hill D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
056 Duluth C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
057 Duluth D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
058 Pinckneyville K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
059 Pinckneyville N							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
060 Lawrenceville D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9								
	Reg. Voters	P. MARTIN IV (I) R		C. R. MOSES (D)		Write-In Votes		
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
061 Lawrenceville E								
Polling	2205	343	54.10%	291	45.90%	0	0.00%	
Absentee by Mail	2205	59	57.84%	43	42.16%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person	2205	12	57.14%	9	42.86%	0	0.00%	
Provisional	2205	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person 2	2205	345	55.38%	278	44.62%	0	0.00%	
Total	2205	760	55.03%	621	44.97%	0	0.00%	
062 Pinckneyville L								
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
063 Pinckneyville M								
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
064 Garners D								
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
065 Berkshire H								
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
066 Pinckneyville O								
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9						
	Reg. Voters	P. MARTIN IV (I) R		C. R. MOSES (D)		Write-In Votes	
067 Pucketts E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
068 Cates I							
Polling	3395	631	54.40%	529	45.60%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3395	63	44.06%	79	55.24%	1	0.70%
Advance in Person	3395	84	42.64%	113	57.36%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3395	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3395	265	40.46%	389	59.39%	1	0.15%
Total	3395	1044	48.36%	1113	51.55%	2	0.09%
069 Cates J							
Polling	3266	496	44.48%	618	55.43%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	3266	43	35.83%	77	64.17%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3266	218	34.99%	404	64.85%	1	0.16%
Provisional	3266	6	60.00%	4	40.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3266	65	29.95%	152	70.05%	0	0.00%
Total	3266	828	39.71%	1255	60.19%	2	0.10%
070 Goodwins B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
071 Lawrenceville F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
072 Martins E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
073 Pinckneyville P							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9						
	Reg. Voters	P. MARTIN IV (I) R		C. R. MOSES (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
074 Pinckneyville Q							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
075 Rockbridge C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
076 Rockbridge D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
077 Goodwins C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
078 Baycreek K							
Polling	3536	441	47.42%	489	52.58%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3536	71	45.81%	84	54.19%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3536	21	58.33%	15	41.67%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3536	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3536	532	46.06%	623	53.94%	0	0.00%
Total	3536	1066	46.75%	1214	53.25%	0	0.00%
079 Cates K							
Polling	2531	554	57.83%	403	42.07%	1	0.10%
Absentee by Mail	2531	38	53.52%	33	46.48%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2531	62	51.67%	58	48.33%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2531	2	40.00%	3	60.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2531	240	49.48%	245	50.52%	0	0.00%
Total	2531	896	54.67%	742	45.27%	1	0.06%
080 Baycreek C							
Polling	5755	767	47.29%	855	52.71%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5755	71	37.37%	119	62.63%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9						
	Reg. Voters	P. MARTIN IV (I) R		C. R. MOSES (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	5755	47	47.00%	53	53.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5755	1	50.00%	1	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5755	558	31.02%	1241	68.98%	0	0.00%
Total	5755	1444	38.89%	2269	61.11%	0	0.00%
081 Cates L							
Polling	1555	220	47.62%	242	52.38%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1555	22	39.29%	34	60.71%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1555	4	28.57%	10	71.43%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1555	0	-	0	-	0	-
Advance in Person 2	1555	165	37.59%	274	62.41%	0	0.00%
Total	1555	411	42.33%	560	57.67%	0	0.00%
082 Duluth E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
083 Goodwins D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
084 Lawrenceville G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
085 Lawrenceville H							
Polling	1671	247	52.44%	224	47.56%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1671	25	43.10%	33	56.90%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1671	76	55.07%	62	44.93%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1671	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1671	137	47.08%	154	52.92%	0	0.00%
Total	1671	486	50.68%	473	49.32%	0	0.00%
086 Martins F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
087 Pinckneyville S							

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9						
	Reg. Voters	P. MARTIN IV (I) R		C. R. MOSES (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
088 Rockbridge E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
089 Sugar Hill E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
090 Lawrenceville I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
091 Baycreek D							
Polling	4157	669	55.80%	530	44.20%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4157	63	38.41%	101	61.59%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4157	22	59.46%	15	40.54%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4157	1	33.33%	2	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4157	563	44.51%	702	55.49%	0	0.00%
Total	4157	1318	49.40%	1350	50.60%	0	0.00%
092 Berkshire J							
Polling	2595	509	62.45%	305	37.42%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	2595	52	55.91%	41	44.09%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2595	437	54.22%	367	45.53%	2	0.25%
Provisional	2595	1	33.33%	2	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2595	34	44.74%	42	55.26%	0	0.00%
Total	2595	1033	57.61%	757	42.22%	3	0.17%
093 Cates M							
Polling	3794	799	62.18%	486	37.82%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3794	83	43.01%	109	56.48%	1	0.52%
Advance in Person	3794	76	59.84%	51	40.16%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3794	4	50.00%	4	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3794	539	55.23%	437	44.77%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9

	Reg. Voters	P. MARTIN IV (I) R		C. R. MOSES (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	3794	1501	57.98%	1087	41.99%	1	0.04%
094 Cates N							
Polling	2445	538	66.58%	270	33.42%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2445	71	66.98%	35	33.02%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2445	14	58.33%	10	41.67%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2445	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2445	420	63.73%	239	36.27%	0	0.00%
Total	2445	1044	65.33%	554	34.67%	0	0.00%
095 Duluth F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
096 Duluth G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
097 Goodwins E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098 Goodwins F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
099 Pinckneyville T							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100 Suwanee B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9						
	Reg. Voters	P. MARTIN IV (I) R		C. R. MOSES (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
101 Pinckneyville U							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
102 Pucketts B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
103 Berkshire L							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
104 Rockbridge F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
105 Sugar Hill F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
106 Suwanee C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
107 Cates O							
Polling	1342	285	58.28%	204	41.72%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9						
	Reg. Voters	P. MARTIN IV (I) R		C. R. MOSES (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	1342	22	56.41%	17	43.59%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1342	95	51.35%	90	48.65%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1342	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1342	63	46.67%	72	53.33%	0	0.00%
Total	1342	465	54.77%	384	45.23%	0	0.00%
108 Baycreek E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
109 Berkshire M							
Polling	1638	333	57.81%	243	42.19%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1638	26	46.43%	30	53.57%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1638	234	55.06%	191	44.94%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1638	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1638	22	56.41%	17	43.59%	0	0.00%
Total	1638	615	56.01%	483	43.99%	0	0.00%
110 Martins G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
111 Duluth H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
112 Berkshire N							
Polling	2836	388	60.44%	254	39.56%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2836	72	55.38%	58	44.62%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2836	689	57.66%	506	42.34%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2836	2	50.00%	2	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2836	28	73.68%	10	26.32%	0	0.00%
Total	2836	1179	58.69%	830	41.31%	0	0.00%
113 Pinckneyville V							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9								
	Reg. Voters	P. MARTIN IV (I) R		C. R. MOSES (D)		Write-In Votes			
114 Pinckneyville W									
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
115 Martins H									
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
116 Martins I									
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
117 Martins J									
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
118 Garners F									
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
119 Berkshire O									
Polling	2422	517	58.75%	362	41.14%	1	0.11%		
Absentee by Mail	2422	61	59.22%	42	40.78%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2422	290	59.55%	197	40.45%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2422	3	50.00%	3	50.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2422	79	54.48%	66	45.52%	0	0.00%		
Total	2422	950	58.61%	670	41.33%	1	0.06%		
120 Berkshire P									
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9						
	Reg. Voters	P. MARTIN IV (I) R		C. R. MOSES (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
121 Pinckneyville X							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
122 Rockbridge G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
123 Pinckneyville Y							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
124 Goodwins G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
125 Pinckneyville Z							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
126 Pinckneyville A1							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
127 Lawrenceville J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9						
	Reg. Voters	P. MARTIN IV (I) R		C. R. MOSES (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
128 Goodwins H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
129 Duncans B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
130 Suwanee D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
131 Suwanee E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132 Lawrenceville K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
133 Harbins B							
Polling	4220	577	58.46%	409	41.44%	1	0.10%
Absentee by Mail	4220	47	49.47%	48	50.53%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4220	866	66.21%	442	33.79%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4220	1	50.00%	1	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4220	62	43.06%	82	56.94%	0	0.00%
Total	4220	1553	61.24%	982	38.72%	1	0.04%
134 Baycreek F							

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9						
	Reg. Voters	P. MARTIN IV (I) R		C. R. MOSES (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
135 Pucketts C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
136 Hog Mountain C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
137 Rockycreek B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
138 Duluth I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
139 Martins K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
140 Suwanee H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9						
	Reg. Voters	P. MARTIN IV (I) R		C. R. MOSES (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
141 Sugar Hill G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
142 Suwanee F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
143 Lawrenceville L							
Polling	4355	576	43.50%	745	56.27%	3	0.23%
Absentee by Mail	4355	89	47.59%	98	52.41%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4355	20	37.04%	34	62.96%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4355	1	12.50%	7	87.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4355	427	38.57%	680	61.43%	0	0.00%
Total	4355	1113	41.53%	1564	58.36%	3	0.11%
144 Lawrenceville M							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145 Baycreek G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
146 Baycreek H							
Polling	5697	967	56.45%	745	43.49%	1	0.06%
Absentee by Mail	5697	88	41.12%	126	58.88%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5697	54	53.47%	47	46.53%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5697	2	40.00%	3	60.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5697	721	44.70%	892	55.30%	0	0.00%
Total	5697	1832	50.25%	1813	49.73%	1	0.03%
147 Baycreek I							
Polling	5162	544	42.60%	733	57.40%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5162	70	35.90%	125	64.10%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5162	22	40.74%	32	59.26%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9						
	Reg. Voters	P. MARTIN IV (I) R		C. R. MOSES (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	5162	3	42.86%	4	57.14%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5162	530	34.89%	988	65.04%	1	0.07%
Total	5162	1169	38.30%	1882	61.66%	1	0.03%
148 Berkshire Q							
Polling	1350	260	56.77%	198	43.23%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1350	24	55.81%	19	44.19%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1350	171	50.89%	165	49.11%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1350	2	50.00%	2	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1350	13	65.00%	7	35.00%	0	0.00%
Total	1350	470	54.59%	391	45.41%	0	0.00%
149 Goodwins I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
150 Duluth K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
151 Harbins C							
Polling	2369	674	70.58%	280	29.32%	1	0.10%
Absentee by Mail	2369	41	53.95%	35	46.05%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2369	167	56.04%	131	43.96%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2369	3	50.00%	3	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2369	122	43.57%	158	56.43%	0	0.00%
Total	2369	1007	62.35%	607	37.59%	1	0.06%
152 Rockycreek C							
Polling	4504	748	55.78%	592	44.15%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	4504	62	48.44%	66	51.56%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4504	717	58.63%	505	41.29%	1	0.08%
Provisional	4504	1	50.00%	1	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4504	84	39.81%	127	60.19%	0	0.00%
Total	4504	1612	55.49%	1291	44.44%	2	0.07%
153 Lawrenceville N							
Polling	2343	291	47.78%	317	52.05%	1	0.16%
Absentee by Mail	2343	38	48.72%	39	50.00%	1	1.28%
Advance in Person	2343	4	17.39%	19	82.61%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2343	3	30.00%	7	70.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2343	194	37.52%	323	62.48%	0	0.00%
Total	2343	530	42.85%	705	56.99%	2	0.16%
154 Pucketts D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 9 / Senado Estatal 9						
	Reg. Voters	P. MARTIN IV (I) R		C. R. MOSES (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
155 Suwanee G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
156 Baycreek J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total							
Polling	128841	21486	55.70%	17067	44.24%	21	0.05%
Absentee by Mail	128841	2300	46.35%	2656	53.53%	6	0.12%
Advance in Person	128841	8632	55.56%	6900	44.41%	4	0.03%
Advance in Person 2	128841	9894	44.14%	12516	55.84%	5	0.02%
Provisional	128841	91	45.73%	108	54.27%	0	0.00%
Total	128841	42403	51.91%	39247	48.05%	36	0.04%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 40 / Senado Estatal 40						
	Reg. Voters	F. MILLAR (I) R		S. HARRELL (D)		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide							
001 Harbins A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
002 Rockbridge A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
003 Dacula							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
004 Suwanee A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
005 Baycreek A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
006 Goodwins A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
007 Duluth A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 40 / Senado Estatal 40						
	Reg. Voters	F. MILLAR (I) R		S. HARRELL (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
008 Duncans A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
009 Pucketts A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
010 Cates A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
011 Berkshire A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
012 Berkshire B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
013 Duncans C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
014 Garners A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 40 / Senado Estatal 40						
	Reg. Voters	F. MILLAR (I) R		S. HARRELL (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
015 Lawrenceville A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
016 Lawrenceville B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
017 Martins A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
018 Martins B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
019 Martins C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
020 Pinckneyville A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 40 / Senado Estatal 40											
	Reg. Voters	F. MILLAR (I) R			S. HARRELL (D)			Write-In Votes				
021 Pinckneyville B												
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
022 Pinckneyville C												
Polling	1796	435	54.79%		359	45.21%		0	0.00%			
Absentee by Mail	1796	55	45.83%		65	54.17%		0	0.00%			
Advance in Person	1796	122	40.67%		178	59.33%		0	0.00%			
Provisional	1796	1	33.33%		2	66.67%		0	0.00%			
Advance in Person 2	1796	22	48.89%		23	51.11%		0	0.00%			
Total	1796	635	50.32%		627	49.68%		0	0.00%			
023 Pinckneyville D												
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
024 Sugar Hill A												
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
025 Sugar Hill B												
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
026 Hog Mountain A												
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
027 Hog Mountain B												
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 40 / Senado Estatal 40						
	Reg. Voters	F. MILLAR (I) R		S. HARRELL (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
028 Rockycreek A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
029 Cates B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
030 Cates C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
031 Hog Mountain D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
032 Berkshire D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
033 Berkshire E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
034 Berkshire F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 40 / Senado Estatal 40								
	Reg. Voters	F. MILLAR (I) R			S. HARRELL (D)			Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
Provisional	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
Total	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
035 Cates D - 04									
Polling	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
Provisional	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
Total	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
035 Cates D - 07									
Polling	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
Provisional	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
Total	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
036 Cates E									
Polling	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
Provisional	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
Total	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
037 Pinckneyville E									
Polling	2183	141	20.70%		540	79.30%		0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2183	8	15.09%		45	84.91%		0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2183	37	14.62%		216	85.38%		0	0.00%
Provisional	2183	0	0.00%		3	100.00%		0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2183	6	27.27%		16	72.73%		0	0.00%
Total	2183	192	18.97%		820	81.03%		0	0.00%
038 Pinckneyville F									
Polling	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
Provisional	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
Total	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
039 Duncans D									
Polling	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
Provisional	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
Total	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
040 Pinckneyville H									

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 40 / Senado Estatal 40						
	Reg. Voters	F. MILLAR (I) R		S. HARRELL (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
041 Baycreek B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
042 Lawrenceville C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
043 Martins D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
044 Rockbridge B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
045 Garners B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046 Cates F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 40 / Senado Estatal 40						
	Reg. Voters	F. MILLAR (I) R		S. HARRELL (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
047 Cates G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
048 Duluth B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
049 Garners C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
050 Pinckneyville I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
051 Pinckneyville J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052 Berkshire G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
053 Cates H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 40 / Senado Estatal 40						
	Reg. Voters	F. MILLAR (I) R		S. HARRELL (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
054 Sugar Hill C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055 Sugar Hill D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
056 Duluth C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
057 Duluth D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
058 Pinckneyville K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
059 Pinckneyville N							
Polling	3717	173	16.37%	884	83.63%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3717	10	13.70%	63	86.30%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3717	72	13.21%	473	86.79%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3717	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3717	8	14.04%	49	85.96%	0	0.00%
Total	3717	264	15.21%	1472	84.79%	0	0.00%
060 Lawrenceville D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 40 / Senado Estatal 40						
	Reg. Voters	F. MILLAR (I) R		S. HARRELL (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
061 Lawrenceville E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
062 Pinckneyville L							
Polling	2233	730	66.00%	376	34.00%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2233	83	60.58%	54	39.42%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2233	214	55.58%	171	44.42%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2233	1	50.00%	1	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2233	25	67.57%	11	29.73%	1	2.70%
Total	2233	1053	63.17%	613	36.77%	1	0.06%
063 Pinckneyville M							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
064 Garners D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
065 Berkshire H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
066 Pinckneyville O							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 40 / Senado Estatal 40									
	Reg. Voters	F. MILLAR (I) R			S. HARRELL (D)			Write-In Votes		
067 Pucketts E										
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
068 Cates I										
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
069 Cates J										
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
070 Goodwins B										
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
071 Lawrenceville F										
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
072 Martins E										
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
073 Pinckneyville P										
Polling	2048	448	50.56%		437	49.32%		1	0.11%	
Absentee by Mail	2048	54	45.76%		64	54.24%		0	0.00%	
Advance in Person	2048	89	31.12%		197	68.88%		0	0.00%	
Provisional	2048	4	80.00%		1	20.00%		0	0.00%	

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 40 / Senado Estatal 40								
	Reg. Voters	F. MILLAR (I) R			S. HARRELL (D)			Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	2048	13	56.52%		10	43.48%		0	0.00%
Total	2048	608	46.13%		709	53.79%		1	0.08%
074 Pinckneyville Q									
Polling	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
Provisional	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
Total	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
075 Rockbridge C									
Polling	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
Provisional	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
Total	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
076 Rockbridge D									
Polling	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
Provisional	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
Total	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
077 Goodwins C									
Polling	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
Provisional	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
Total	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
078 Baycreek K									
Polling	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
Provisional	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
Total	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
079 Cates K									
Polling	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
Provisional	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
Total	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
080 Baycreek C									
Polling	-	-	-		-	-		-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-		-	-		-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 40 / Senado Estatal 40						
	Reg. Voters	F. MILLAR (I) R		S. HARRELL (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
081 Cates L							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
082 Duluth E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
083 Goodwins D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
084 Lawrenceville G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
085 Lawrenceville H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
086 Martins F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
087 Pinckneyville S							

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 40 / Senado Estatal 40						
	Reg. Voters	F. MILLAR (I) R		S. HARRELL (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
088 Rockbridge E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
089 Sugar Hill E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
090 Lawrenceville I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
091 Baycreek D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
092 Berkshire J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
093 Cates M							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 40 / Senado Estatal 40						
	Reg. Voters	F. MILLAR (I) R		S. HARRELL (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
094 Cates N							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
095 Duluth F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
096 Duluth G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
097 Goodwins E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098 Goodwins F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
099 Pinckneyville T							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100 Suwanee B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 40 / Senado Estatal 40						
	Reg. Voters	F. MILLAR (I) R		S. HARRELL (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
101 Pinckneyville U							
Polling	2007	369	44.84%	454	55.16%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2007	26	35.62%	47	64.38%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2007	78	26.90%	212	73.10%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2007	1	50.00%	1	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2007	14	45.16%	17	54.84%	0	0.00%
Total	2007	488	40.03%	731	59.97%	0	0.00%
102 Pucketts B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
103 Berkshire L							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
104 Rockbridge F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
105 Sugar Hill F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
106 Suwanee C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
107 Cates O							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 40 / Senado Estatal 40						
	Reg. Voters	F. MILLAR (I) R		S. HARRELL (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
108 Baycreek E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
109 Berkshire M							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
110 Martins G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
111 Duluth H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
112 Berkshire N							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
113 Pinckneyville V							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 40 / Senado Estatal 40						
	Reg. Voters	F. MILLAR (I) R		S. HARRELL (D)		Write-In Votes	
114 Pinckneyville W							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
115 Martins H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
116 Martins I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
117 Martins J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
118 Garners F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
119 Berkshire O							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
120 Berkshire P							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 40 / Senado Estatal 40						
	Reg. Voters	F. MILLAR (I) R		S. HARRELL (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
121 Pinckneyville X							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
122 Rockbridge G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
123 Pinckneyville Y							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
124 Goodwins G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
125 Pinckneyville Z							
Polling	1806	600	68.42%	277	31.58%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1806	46	47.92%	50	52.08%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1806	186	60.19%	123	39.81%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1806	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1806	22	70.97%	9	29.03%	0	0.00%
Total	1806	855	65.07%	459	34.93%	0	0.00%
126 Pinckneyville A1							
Polling	2596	799	68.35%	369	31.57%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	2596	93	58.86%	65	41.14%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2596	265	55.56%	212	44.44%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2596	3	60.00%	2	40.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2596	23	47.92%	25	52.08%	0	0.00%
Total	2596	1183	63.70%	673	36.24%	1	0.05%
127 Lawrenceville J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 40 / Senado Estatal 40						
	Reg. Voters	F. MILLAR (I) R		S. HARRELL (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
128 Goodwins H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
129 Duncans B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
130 Suwanee D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
131 Suwanee E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132 Lawrenceville K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
133 Harbins B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
134 Baycreek F							

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 40 / Senado Estatal 40						
	Reg. Voters	F. MILLAR (I) R		S. HARRELL (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
135 Pucketts C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
136 Hog Mountain C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
137 Rockycreek B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
138 Duluth I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
139 Martins K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
140 Suwanee H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 40 / Senado Estatal 40						
	Reg. Voters	F. MILLAR (I) R		S. HARRELL (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
141 Sugar Hill G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
142 Suwanee F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
143 Lawrenceville L							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144 Lawrenceville M							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145 Baycreek G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
146 Baycreek H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
147 Baycreek I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 40 / Senado Estatal 40						
	Reg. Voters	F. MILLAR (I) R		S. HARRELL (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
148 Berkshire Q							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
149 Goodwins I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
150 Duluth K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
151 Harbins C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
152 Rockycreek C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
153 Lawrenceville N							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
154 Pucketts D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 40 / Senado Estatal 40						
	Reg. Voters	F. MILLAR (I) R		S. HARRELL (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
155 Suwanee G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
156 Baycreek J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total							
Polling	18386	3695	49.98%	3696	49.99%	2	0.03%
Absentee by Mail	18386	375	45.29%	453	54.71%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	18386	1063	37.36%	1782	62.64%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	18386	133	45.24%	160	54.42%	1	0.34%
Provisional	18386	12	48.00%	13	52.00%	0	0.00%
Total	18386	5278	46.36%	6104	53.61%	3	0.03%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 41 / Senado Estatal 41				
	Reg. Voters	S. HENSON (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide					
001 Harbins A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
002 Rockbridge A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
003 Dacula					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
004 Suwanee A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
005 Baycreek A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
006 Goodwins A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
007 Duluth A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 41 / Senado Estatal 41				
	Reg. Voters	S. HENSON (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
008 Duncans A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
009 Pucketts A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
010 Cates A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
011 Berkshire A					
Polling	2247	506	97.31%	14	2.69%
Absentee by Mail	2247	83	98.81%	1	1.19%
Advance in Person	2247	273	97.85%	6	2.15%
Provisional	2247	6	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2247	39	95.12%	2	4.88%
Total	2247	907	97.53%	23	2.47%
012 Berkshire B					
Polling	5010	771	97.84%	17	2.16%
Absentee by Mail	5010	142	97.93%	3	2.07%
Advance in Person	5010	1204	97.18%	35	2.82%
Provisional	5010	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5010	65	97.01%	2	2.99%
Total	5010	2185	97.46%	57	2.54%
013 Duncans C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
014 Garners A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 41 / Senado Estatal 41				
	Reg. Voters	S. HENSON (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
015 Lawrenceville A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
016 Lawrenceville B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
017 Martins A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
018 Martins B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
019 Martins C					
Polling	2349	637	95.50%	30	4.50%
Absentee by Mail	2349	61	96.83%	2	3.17%
Advance in Person	2349	143	96.62%	5	3.38%
Provisional	2349	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2349	179	95.21%	9	4.79%
Total	2349	1024	95.70%	46	4.30%
020 Pinckneyville A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 41 / Senado Estatal 41				
	Reg. Voters	S. HENSON (I) D		Write-In Votes	
021 Pinckneyville B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
022 Pinckneyville C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
023 Pinckneyville D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
024 Sugar Hill A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
025 Sugar Hill B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
026 Hog Mountain A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
027 Hog Mountain B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 41 / Senado Estatal 41				
	Reg. Voters	S. HENSON (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
028 Rockycreek A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
029 Cates B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
030 Cates C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
031 Hog Mountain D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
032 Berkshire D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
033 Berkshire E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
034 Berkshire F					
Polling	2003	478	97.55%	12	2.45%
Absentee by Mail	2003	63	85.14%	11	14.86%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 41 / Senado Estatal 41				
	Reg. Voters	S. HENSON (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	2003	207	97.18%	6	2.82%
Provisional	2003	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2003	58	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2003	807	96.53%	29	3.47%
035 Cates D - 04					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
035 Cates D - 07					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
036 Cates E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
037 Pinckneyville E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
038 Pinckneyville F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
039 Duncans D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
040 Pinckneyville H					

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 41 / Senado Estatal 41				
	Reg. Voters	S. HENSON (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
041 Baycreek B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
042 Lawrenceville C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
043 Martins D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
044 Rockbridge B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
045 Garners B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
046 Cates F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 41 / Senado Estatal 41				
	Reg. Voters	S. HENSON (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-
047 Cates G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
048 Duluth B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
049 Garners C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
050 Pinckneyville I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
051 Pinckneyville J					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
052 Berkshire G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
053 Cates H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 41 / Senado Estatal 41				
	Reg. Voters	S. HENSON (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
054 Sugar Hill C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
055 Sugar Hill D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
056 Duluth C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
057 Duluth D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
058 Pinckneyville K					
Polling	1613	353	97.25%	10	2.75%
Absentee by Mail	1613	44	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1613	166	99.40%	1	0.60%
Provisional	1613	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1613	24	92.31%	2	7.69%
Total	1613	591	97.85%	13	2.15%
059 Pinckneyville N					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
060 Lawrenceville D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 41 / Senado Estatal 41				
	Reg. Voters	S. HENSON (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
061 Lawrenceville E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
062 Pinckneyville L					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
063 Pinckneyville M					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
064 Garners D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
065 Berkshire H					
Polling	1717	364	97.59%	9	2.41%
Absentee by Mail	1717	45	95.74%	2	4.26%
Advance in Person	1717	262	98.87%	3	1.13%
Provisional	1717	4	80.00%	1	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	1717	30	93.75%	2	6.25%
Total	1717	705	97.65%	17	2.35%
066 Pinckneyville O					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 41 / Senado Estatal 41				
	Reg. Voters	S. HENSON (I) D		Write-In Votes	
067 Pucketts E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
068 Cates I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
069 Cates J					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
070 Goodwins B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
071 Lawrenceville F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
072 Martins E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
073 Pinckneyville P					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 41 / Senado Estatal 41				
	Reg. Voters	S. HENSON (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
074 Pinckneyville Q					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
075 Rockbridge C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
076 Rockbridge D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
077 Goodwins C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
078 Baycreek K					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
079 Cates K					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
080 Baycreek C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 41 / Senado Estatal 41				
	Reg. Voters	S. HENSON (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
081 Cates L					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
082 Duluth E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
083 Goodwins D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
084 Lawrenceville G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
085 Lawrenceville H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
086 Martins F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
087 Pinckneyville S					

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 41 / Senado Estatal 41				
	Reg. Voters	S. HENSON (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
088 Rockbridge E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
089 Sugar Hill E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
090 Lawrenceville I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
091 Baycreek D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
092 Berkshire J					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
093 Cates M					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 41 / Senado Estatal 41				
	Reg. Voters	S. HENSON (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-
094 Cates N					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
095 Duluth F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
096 Duluth G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
097 Goodwins E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
098 Goodwins F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
099 Pinckneyville T					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
100 Suwanee B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 41 / Senado Estatal 41				
	Reg. Voters	S. HENSON (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
101 Pinckneyville U					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
102 Pucketts B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
103 Berkshire L					
Polling	2915	742	97.89%	16	2.11%
Absentee by Mail	2915	96	97.96%	2	2.04%
Advance in Person	2915	279	96.54%	10	3.46%
Provisional	2915	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2915	152	98.06%	3	1.94%
Total	2915	1273	97.62%	31	2.38%
104 Rockbridge F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
105 Sugar Hill F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
106 Suwanee C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
107 Cates O					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 41 / Senado Estatal 41				
	Reg. Voters	S. HENSON (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
108 Baycreek E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
109 Berkshire M					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
110 Martins G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
111 Duluth H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
112 Berkshire N					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
113 Pinckneyville V					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 41 / Senado Estatal 41				
	Reg. Voters	S. HENSON (I) D		Write-In Votes	
114 Pinckneyville W					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
115 Martins H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
116 Martins I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
117 Martins J					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
118 Garners F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
119 Berkshire O					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
120 Berkshire P					
Polling	1339	286	96.62%	10	3.38%
Absentee by Mail	1339	52	98.11%	1	1.89%
Advance in Person	1339	155	96.88%	5	3.13%
Provisional	1339	3	100.00%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 41 / Senado Estatal 41				
	Reg. Voters	S. HENSON (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	1339	40	95.24%	2	4.76%
Total	1339	536	96.75%	18	3.25%
121 Pinckneyville X					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
122 Rockbridge G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
123 Pinckneyville Y					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
124 Goodwins G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
125 Pinckneyville Z					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
126 Pinckneyville A1					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
127 Lawrenceville J					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 41 / Senado Estatal 41				
	Reg. Voters	S. HENSON (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
128 Goodwins H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
129 Duncans B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
130 Suwanee D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
131 Suwanee E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
132 Lawrenceville K					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
133 Harbins B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
134 Baycreek F					

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 41 / Senado Estatal 41				
	Reg. Voters	S. HENSON (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
135 Pucketts C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
136 Hog Mountain C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
137 Rockycreek B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
138 Duluth I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
139 Martins K					
Polling	4796	1203	97.73%	28	2.27%
Absentee by Mail	4796	144	97.96%	3	2.04%
Advance in Person	4796	189	97.93%	4	2.07%
Provisional	4796	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4796	697	98.17%	13	1.83%
Total	4796	2234	97.90%	48	2.10%
140 Suwanee H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 41 / Senado Estatal 41				
	Reg. Voters	S. HENSON (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-
141 Sugar Hill G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
142 Suwanee F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
143 Lawrenceville L					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
144 Lawrenceville M					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
145 Baycreek G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
146 Baycreek H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
147 Baycreek I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 41 / Senado Estatal 41				
	Reg. Voters	S. HENSON (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
148 Berkshire Q					
Polling	294	54	91.53%	5	8.47%
Absentee by Mail	294	8	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	294	40	93.02%	3	6.98%
Provisional	294	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	294	8	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	294	111	93.28%	8	6.72%
149 Goodwins I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
150 Duluth K					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
151 Harbins C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
152 Rockycreek C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
153 Lawrenceville N					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
154 Pucketts D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 41 / Senado Estatal 41				
	Reg. Voters	S. HENSON (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
155 Suwanee G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
156 Baycreek J					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
Total					
Polling	24283	5394	97.28%	151	2.72%
Absentee by Mail	24283	738	96.72%	25	3.28%
Advance in Person	24283	2918	97.40%	78	2.60%
Advance in Person 2	24283	1292	97.36%	35	2.64%
Provisional	24283	31	96.88%	1	3.13%
Total	24283	10373	97.28%	290	2.72%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45						
	Reg. Voters	R. S. UNTERMAN (I) R		J. RODGERS (D)		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide							
001 Harbins A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
002 Rockbridge A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
003 Dacula							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
004 Suwanee A							
Polling	1551	197	52.12%	181	47.88%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1551	25	58.14%	18	41.86%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1551	13	35.14%	24	64.86%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1551	9	69.23%	4	30.77%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1551	174	44.50%	217	55.50%	0	0.00%
Total	1551	418	48.49%	444	51.51%	0	0.00%
005 Baycreek A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
006 Goodwins A							
Polling	3583	517	44.45%	645	55.46%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	3583	53	42.06%	73	57.94%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3583	29	27.10%	78	72.90%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3583	3	33.33%	6	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3583	271	40.21%	402	59.64%	1	0.15%
Total	3583	873	41.99%	1204	57.91%	2	0.10%
007 Duluth A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45						
	Reg. Voters	R. S. UNTERMAN (I) R		J. RODGERS (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
008 Duncans A							
Polling	4278	892	70.02%	380	29.83%	2	0.16%
Absentee by Mail	4278	74	57.81%	53	41.41%	1	0.78%
Advance in Person	4278	890	70.13%	378	29.79%	1	0.08%
Provisional	4278	6	46.15%	7	53.85%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4278	102	59.30%	70	40.70%	0	0.00%
Total	4278	1964	68.77%	888	31.09%	4	0.14%
009 Pucketts A							
Polling	4844	742	67.33%	359	32.58%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	4844	102	57.30%	76	42.70%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4844	1263	69.89%	543	30.05%	1	0.06%
Provisional	4844	2	66.67%	1	33.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4844	31	58.49%	22	41.51%	0	0.00%
Total	4844	2140	68.09%	1001	31.85%	2	0.06%
010 Cates A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
011 Berkshire A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
012 Berkshire B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
013 Duncans C							
Polling	2828	776	77.21%	227	22.59%	2	0.20%
Absentee by Mail	2828	55	61.80%	34	38.20%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2828	534	69.44%	235	30.56%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2828	4	66.67%	2	33.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2828	46	57.50%	34	42.50%	0	0.00%
Total	2828	1415	72.60%	532	27.30%	2	0.10%
014 Garners A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45						
	Reg. Voters	R. S. UNTERMAN (I) R		J. RODGERS (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
015 Lawrenceville A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
016 Lawrenceville B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
017 Martins A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
018 Martins B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
019 Martins C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
020 Pinckneyville A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45						
	Reg. Voters	R. S. UNTERMAN (I) R		J. RODGERS (D)		Write-In Votes	
021 Pinckneyville B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
022 Pinckneyville C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
023 Pinckneyville D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
024 Sugar Hill A							
Polling	4001	661	61.78%	407	38.04%	2	0.19%
Absentee by Mail	4001	50	39.37%	77	60.63%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4001	361	53.96%	308	46.04%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4001	10	55.56%	8	44.44%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4001	112	50.22%	111	49.78%	0	0.00%
Total	4001	1194	56.67%	911	43.24%	2	0.09%
025 Sugar Hill B							
Polling	4835	847	57.46%	626	42.47%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	4835	75	48.08%	81	51.92%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4835	230	52.39%	209	47.61%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4835	14	70.00%	5	25.00%	1	5.00%
Advance in Person 2	4835	314	53.13%	277	46.87%	0	0.00%
Total	4835	1480	55.22%	1198	44.70%	2	0.07%
026 Hog Mountain A							
Polling	4467	575	44.37%	721	55.63%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4467	52	32.70%	107	67.30%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4467	146	38.83%	230	61.17%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4467	5	31.25%	11	68.75%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4467	204	34.87%	381	65.13%	0	0.00%
Total	4467	982	40.38%	1450	59.62%	0	0.00%
027 Hog Mountain B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45						
	Reg. Voters	R. S. UNTERMAN (I) R		J. RODGERS (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
028 Rockycreek A							
Polling	4197	670	54.78%	553	45.22%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4197	33	37.50%	55	62.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4197	615	56.01%	482	43.90%	1	0.09%
Provisional	4197	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4197	51	34.23%	98	65.77%	0	0.00%
Total	4197	1370	53.54%	1188	46.42%	1	0.04%
029 Cates B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
030 Cates C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
031 Hog Mountain D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
032 Berkshire D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
033 Berkshire E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
034 Berkshire F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45						
	Reg. Voters	R. S. UNTERMAN (I) R		J. RODGERS (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
035 Cates D - 04							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
035 Cates D - 07							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
036 Cates E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
037 Pinckneyville E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
038 Pinckneyville F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
039 Duncans D							
Polling	6085	1240	68.28%	575	31.66%	1	0.06%
Absentee by Mail	6085	122	57.28%	91	42.72%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	6085	1134	71.10%	459	28.78%	2	0.13%
Provisional	6085	7	50.00%	7	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	6085	97	58.79%	68	41.21%	0	0.00%
Total	6085	2600	68.37%	1200	31.55%	3	0.08%
040 Pinckneyville H							

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45						
	Reg. Voters	R. S. UNTERMAN (I) R		J. RODGERS (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
041 Baycreek B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
042 Lawrenceville C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
043 Martins D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
044 Rockbridge B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
045 Garners B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046 Cates F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45						
	Reg. Voters	R. S. UNTERMAN (I) R		J. RODGERS (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
047 Cates G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
048 Duluth B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
049 Garners C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
050 Pinckneyville I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
051 Pinckneyville J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052 Berkshire G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
053 Cates H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45						
	Reg. Voters	R. S. UNTERMAN (I) R		J. RODGERS (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
054 Sugar Hill C							
Polling	4596	1073	67.27%	519	32.54%	3	0.19%
Absentee by Mail	4596	90	53.57%	78	46.43%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4596	277	61.15%	176	38.85%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4596	15	55.56%	12	44.44%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4596	321	56.61%	246	43.39%	0	0.00%
Total	4596	1776	63.20%	1031	36.69%	3	0.11%
055 Sugar Hill D							
Polling	4070	723	60.10%	478	39.73%	2	0.17%
Absentee by Mail	4070	69	53.49%	60	46.51%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4070	489	57.06%	367	42.82%	1	0.12%
Provisional	4070	16	55.17%	13	44.83%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4070	104	69.80%	45	30.20%	0	0.00%
Total	4070	1401	59.19%	963	40.68%	3	0.13%
056 Duluth C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
057 Duluth D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
058 Pinckneyville K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
059 Pinckneyville N							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
060 Lawrenceville D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45						
	Reg. Voters	R. S. UNTERMAN (I) R		J. RODGERS (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
061 Lawrenceville E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
062 Pinckneyville L							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
063 Pinckneyville M							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
064 Garners D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
065 Berkshire H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
066 Pinckneyville O							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45

	Reg. Voters	R. S. UNTERMAN (I) R		J. RODGERS (D)		Write-In Votes	
067 Pucketts E							
Polling	4771	568	49.52%	578	50.39%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	4771	75	41.21%	107	58.79%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4771	617	50.95%	593	48.97%	1	0.08%
Provisional	4771	11	47.83%	12	52.17%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4771	53	33.33%	106	66.67%	0	0.00%
Total	4771	1324	48.64%	1396	51.29%	2	0.07%
068 Cates I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
069 Cates J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
070 Goodwins B							
Polling	3604	723	60.40%	474	39.60%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3604	82	53.95%	70	46.05%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3604	122	59.51%	83	40.49%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3604	2	66.67%	0	0.00%	1	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	3604	378	54.70%	311	45.01%	2	0.29%
Total	3604	1307	58.14%	938	41.73%	3	0.13%
071 Lawrenceville F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
072 Martins E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
073 Pinckneyville P							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45						
	Reg. Voters	R. S. UNTERMAN (I) R		J. RODGERS (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
074 Pinckneyville Q							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
075 Rockbridge C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
076 Rockbridge D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
077 Goodwins C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
078 Baycreek K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
079 Cates K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
080 Baycreek C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45						
	Reg. Voters	R. S. UNTERMAN (I) R		J. RODGERS (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
081 Cates L							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
082 Duluth E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
083 Goodwins D							
Polling	3985	611	47.96%	661	51.88%	2	0.16%
Absentee by Mail	3985	61	43.26%	80	56.74%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3985	39	37.14%	66	62.86%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3985	5	45.45%	6	54.55%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3985	332	43.68%	428	56.32%	0	0.00%
Total	3985	1048	45.74%	1241	54.17%	2	0.09%
084 Lawrenceville G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
085 Lawrenceville H							
Polling	2228	398	54.45%	333	45.55%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2228	55	54.46%	46	45.54%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2228	55	55.56%	43	43.43%	1	1.01%
Provisional	2228	0	0.00%	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2228	254	51.94%	235	48.06%	0	0.00%
Total	2228	762	53.51%	661	46.42%	1	0.07%
086 Martins F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
087 Pinckneyville S							

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45						
	Reg. Voters	R. S. UNTERMAN (I) R		J. RODGERS (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
088 Rockbridge E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
089 Sugar Hill E							
Polling	3061	579	61.73%	359	38.27%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3061	59	48.76%	61	50.41%	1	0.83%
Advance in Person	3061	119	60.10%	79	39.90%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3061	12	63.16%	7	36.84%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3061	314	53.04%	276	46.62%	2	0.34%
Total	3061	1083	57.98%	782	41.86%	3	0.16%
090 Lawrenceville I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
091 Baycreek D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
092 Berkshire J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
093 Cates M							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45						
	Reg. Voters	R. S. UNTERMAN (I) R		J. RODGERS (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
094 Cates N							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
095 Duluth F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
096 Duluth G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
097 Goodwins E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098 Goodwins F							
Polling	2904	402	45.94%	472	53.94%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	2904	53	40.77%	77	59.23%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2904	25	38.46%	40	61.54%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2904	3	33.33%	6	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2904	247	43.03%	326	56.79%	1	0.17%
Total	2904	730	44.16%	921	55.72%	2	0.12%
099 Pinckneyville T							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100 Suwanee B							
Polling	4085	477	56.12%	369	43.41%	4	0.47%
Absentee by Mail	4085	54	40.30%	80	59.70%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4085	10	33.33%	20	66.67%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45						
	Reg. Voters	R. S. UNTERMAN (I) R		J. RODGERS (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	4085	3	60.00%	2	40.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4085	814	54.67%	675	45.33%	0	0.00%
Total	4085	1358	54.15%	1146	45.69%	4	0.16%
101 Pinckneyville U							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
102 Pucketts B							
Polling	2741	518	64.35%	286	35.53%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	2741	45	52.94%	40	47.06%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2741	545	65.74%	284	34.26%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2741	7	70.00%	3	30.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2741	42	68.85%	18	29.51%	1	1.64%
Total	2741	1157	64.64%	631	35.25%	2	0.11%
103 Berkshire L							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
104 Rockbridge F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
105 Sugar Hill F							
Polling	3687	756	60.67%	489	39.25%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	3687	50	46.30%	58	53.70%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3687	50	40.98%	72	59.02%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3687	6	40.00%	9	60.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3687	402	58.52%	285	41.48%	0	0.00%
Total	3687	1264	58.03%	913	41.92%	1	0.05%
106 Suwanee C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
107 Cates O							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45						
	Reg. Voters	R. S. UNTERMAN (I) R		J. RODGERS (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
108 Baycreek E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
109 Berkshire M							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
110 Martins G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
111 Duluth H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
112 Berkshire N							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
113 Pinckneyville V							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45						
	Reg. Voters	R. S. UNTERMAN (I) R		J. RODGERS (D)		Write-In Votes	
114 Pinckneyville W							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
115 Martins H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
116 Martins I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
117 Martins J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
118 Garners F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
119 Berkshire O							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
120 Berkshire P							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45						
	Reg. Voters	R. S. UNTERMAN (I) R		J. RODGERS (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
121 Pinckneyville X							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
122 Rockbridge G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
123 Pinckneyville Y							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
124 Goodwins G							
Polling	2448	222	29.21%	538	70.79%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2448	17	24.29%	53	75.71%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2448	13	18.57%	57	81.43%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2448	2	25.00%	6	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2448	101	24.16%	317	75.84%	0	0.00%
Total	2448	355	26.77%	971	73.23%	0	0.00%
125 Pinckneyville Z							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
126 Pinckneyville A1							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
127 Lawrenceville J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45

	Reg. Voters	R. S. UNTERMAN (I) R		J. RODGERS (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
128 Goodwins H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
129 Duncans B							
Polling	4655	1140	71.56%	453	28.44%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4655	87	58.39%	62	41.61%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4655	822	71.60%	326	28.40%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4655	4	66.67%	2	33.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4655	60	54.05%	51	45.95%	0	0.00%
Total	4655	2113	70.27%	894	29.73%	0	0.00%
130 Suwanee D							
Polling	4247	924	67.05%	453	32.87%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	4247	86	50.59%	84	49.41%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4247	44	50.00%	44	50.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4247	6	50.00%	6	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4247	736	64.73%	400	35.18%	1	0.09%
Total	4247	1796	64.49%	987	35.44%	2	0.07%
131 Suwanee E							
Polling	3921	690	63.71%	392	36.20%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	3921	87	46.52%	100	53.48%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3921	20	42.55%	27	57.45%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3921	12	63.16%	7	36.84%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3921	772	60.88%	496	39.12%	0	0.00%
Total	3921	1581	60.71%	1022	39.25%	1	0.04%
132 Lawrenceville K							
Polling	2915	537	52.91%	477	47.00%	1	0.10%
Absentee by Mail	2915	49	44.55%	61	55.45%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2915	98	56.00%	77	44.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2915	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2915	222	42.37%	301	57.44%	1	0.19%
Total	2915	907	49.62%	919	50.27%	2	0.11%
133 Harbins B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
134 Baycreek F							

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45

	Reg. Voters	R. S. UNTERMAN (I) R		J. RODGERS (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
135 Pucketts C							
Polling	2931	531	63.44%	305	36.44%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	2931	56	56.57%	43	43.43%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2931	444	61.75%	274	38.11%	1	0.14%
Provisional	2931	9	75.00%	3	25.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2931	32	41.56%	45	58.44%	0	0.00%
Total	2931	1072	61.47%	670	38.42%	2	0.11%
136 Hog Mountain C							
Polling	3832	715	58.99%	496	40.92%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	3832	42	35.29%	77	64.71%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3832	360	55.47%	288	44.38%	1	0.15%
Provisional	3832	4	50.00%	4	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3832	120	53.57%	102	45.54%	2	0.89%
Total	3832	1241	56.10%	967	43.72%	4	0.18%
137 Rockycreek B							
Polling	4677	787	57.45%	582	42.48%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	4677	51	39.23%	79	60.77%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4677	665	61.18%	422	38.82%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4677	1	16.67%	5	83.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4677	108	46.55%	124	53.45%	0	0.00%
Total	4677	1612	57.06%	1212	42.90%	1	0.04%
138 Duluth I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
139 Martins K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
140 Suwanee H							
Polling	3480	712	69.67%	309	30.23%	1	0.10%
Absentee by Mail	3480	104	55.32%	84	44.68%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3480	27	54.00%	23	46.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3480	15	78.95%	4	21.05%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3480	785	70.91%	322	29.09%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45

	Reg. Voters	R. S. UNTERMAN (I) R		J. RODGERS (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	3480	1643	68.86%	742	31.10%	1	0.04%
141 Sugar Hill G							
Polling	2601	626	71.38%	250	28.51%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	2601	45	50.56%	44	49.44%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2601	66	50.77%	64	49.23%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2601	10	71.43%	4	28.57%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2601	354	61.46%	222	38.54%	0	0.00%
Total	2601	1101	65.30%	584	34.64%	1	0.06%
142 Suwanee F							
Polling	3598	621	57.50%	458	42.41%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	3598	51	35.66%	91	63.64%	1	0.70%
Advance in Person	3598	24	33.80%	47	66.20%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3598	6	50.00%	6	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3598	424	49.88%	426	50.12%	0	0.00%
Total	3598	1126	52.23%	1028	47.68%	2	0.09%
143 Lawrenceville L							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144 Lawrenceville M							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145 Baycreek G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
146 Baycreek H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
147 Baycreek I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45						
	Reg. Voters	R. S. UNTERMAN (I) R		J. RODGERS (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
148 Berkshire Q							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
149 Goodwins I							
Polling	2341	504	57.27%	376	42.73%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2341	58	45.67%	68	53.54%	1	0.79%
Advance in Person	2341	22	26.19%	62	73.81%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2341	0	0.00%	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2341	228	50.11%	227	49.89%	0	0.00%
Total	2341	812	52.39%	737	47.55%	1	0.06%
150 Duluth K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
151 Harbins C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
152 Rockycreek C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
153 Lawrenceville N							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
154 Pucketts D							
Polling	3166	564	64.46%	310	35.43%	1	0.11%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 45 / Senado Estatal 45						
	Reg. Voters	R. S. UNTERMAN (I) R		J. RODGERS (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	3166	80	57.14%	60	42.86%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3166	601	69.08%	268	30.80%	1	0.11%
Provisional	3166	8	72.73%	3	27.27%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3166	55	69.62%	24	30.38%	0	0.00%
Total	3166	1308	66.23%	665	33.67%	2	0.10%
155 Suwanee G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
156 Baycreek J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total							
Polling	125213	22518	59.82%	15091	40.09%	35	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	125213	2147	47.93%	2328	51.98%	4	0.09%
Advance in Person	125213	10769	61.44%	6748	38.50%	11	0.06%
Advance in Person 2	125213	8660	52.94%	7688	47.00%	11	0.07%
Provisional	125213	219	54.34%	182	45.16%	2	0.50%
Total	125213	44313	57.99%	32037	41.93%	63	0.08%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48								
	Reg. Voters	M. REEVES (R)			Z. KARINSHAK (D)		Write-In Votes		
Jurisdiction Wide									
001 Harbins A									
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
002 Rockbridge A									
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
003 Dacula									
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
004 Suwanee A									
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
005 Baycreek A									
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
006 Goodwins A									
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
007 Duluth A									
Polling	4599	745	53.75%		641	46.25%	0	0.00%	
Absentee by Mail	4599	84	38.36%		135	61.64%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person	4599	295	37.44%		492	62.44%	1	0.13%	

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48						
	Reg. Voters	M. REEVES (R)		Z. KARINSHAK (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	4599	3	37.50%	5	62.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4599	261	48.60%	276	51.40%	0	0.00%
Total	4599	1388	47.24%	1549	52.72%	1	0.03%
008 Duncans A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
009 Pucketts A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
010 Cates A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
011 Berkshire A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
012 Berkshire B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
013 Duncans C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
014 Garners A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48						
	Reg. Voters	M. REEVES (R)		Z. KARINSHAK (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
015 Lawrenceville A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
016 Lawrenceville B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
017 Martins A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
018 Martins B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
019 Martins C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
020 Pinckneyville A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48						
	Reg. Voters	M. REEVES (R)		Z. KARINSHAK (D)		Write-In Votes	
021 Pinckneyville B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
022 Pinckneyville C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
023 Pinckneyville D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
024 Sugar Hill A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
025 Sugar Hill B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
026 Hog Mountain A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
027 Hog Mountain B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48					
	Reg. Voters	M. REEVES (R)		Z. KARINSHAK (D)	Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
028 Rockycreek A						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
029 Cates B						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
030 Cates C						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
031 Hog Mountain D						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
032 Berkshire D						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
033 Berkshire E						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
034 Berkshire F						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48			
	Reg. Voters	M. REEVES (R)	Z. KARINSHAK (D)	Write-In Votes
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-
035 Cates D - 04				
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-
035 Cates D - 07				
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-
036 Cates E				
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-
037 Pinckneyville E				
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-
038 Pinckneyville F				
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-
039 Duncans D				
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-
040 Pinckneyville H				

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48						
	Reg. Voters	M. REEVES (R)		Z. KARINSHAK (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	4126	715	51.74%	665	48.12%	2	0.14%
Absentee by Mail	4126	74	32.74%	152	67.26%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4126	479	45.19%	580	54.72%	1	0.09%
Provisional	4126	4	57.14%	2	28.57%	1	14.29%
Advance in Person 2	4126	30	37.04%	50	61.73%	1	1.23%
Total	4126	1302	47.24%	1449	52.58%	5	0.18%
041 Baycreek B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
042 Lawrenceville C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
043 Martins D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
044 Rockbridge B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
045 Garners B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046 Cates F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48						
	Reg. Voters	M. REEVES (R)		Z. KARINSHAK (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
047 Cates G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
048 Duluth B							
Polling	3332	513	56.81%	389	43.08%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	3332	68	40.24%	101	59.76%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3332	295	45.18%	358	54.82%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3332	5	55.56%	4	44.44%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3332	102	67.55%	49	32.45%	0	0.00%
Total	3332	983	52.15%	901	47.80%	1	0.05%
049 Garners C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
050 Pinckneyville I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
051 Pinckneyville J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052 Berkshire G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
053 Cates H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48						
	Reg. Voters	M. REEVES (R)		Z. KARINSHAK (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
054 Sugar Hill C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055 Sugar Hill D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
056 Duluth C							
Polling	2243	347	50.73%	336	49.12%	1	0.15%
Absentee by Mail	2243	53	53.54%	46	46.46%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2243	201	43.32%	263	56.68%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2243	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2243	21	29.17%	50	69.44%	1	1.39%
Total	2243	623	47.09%	698	52.76%	2	0.15%
057 Duluth D							
Polling	4404	431	41.28%	613	58.72%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4404	71	35.15%	131	64.85%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4404	328	33.27%	657	66.63%	1	0.10%
Provisional	4404	6	37.50%	10	62.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4404	70	47.95%	76	52.05%	0	0.00%
Total	4404	906	37.84%	1487	62.11%	1	0.04%
058 Pinckneyville K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
059 Pinckneyville N							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
060 Lawrenceville D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48						
	Reg. Voters	M. REEVES (R)		Z. KARINSHAK (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
061 Lawrenceville E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
062 Pinckneyville L							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
063 Pinckneyville M							
Polling	2515	809	70.47%	338	29.44%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	2515	100	57.14%	75	42.86%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2515	285	61.82%	176	38.18%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2515	3	60.00%	2	40.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2515	11	61.11%	7	38.89%	0	0.00%
Total	2515	1208	66.85%	598	33.09%	1	0.06%
064 Garners D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
065 Berkshire H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
066 Pinckneyville O							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48						
	Reg. Voters	M. REEVES (R)		Z. KARINSHAK (D)		Write-In Votes	
067 Pucketts E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
068 Cates I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
069 Cates J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
070 Goodwins B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
071 Lawrenceville F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
072 Martins E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
073 Pinckneyville P							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48						
	Reg. Voters	M. REEVES (R)		Z. KARINSHAK (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
074 Pinckneyville Q							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
075 Rockbridge C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
076 Rockbridge D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
077 Goodwins C							
Polling	5106	506	37.34%	848	62.58%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	5106	76	35.85%	135	63.68%	1	0.47%
Advance in Person	5106	59	21.15%	220	78.85%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5106	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5106	289	34.20%	556	65.80%	0	0.00%
Total	5106	931	34.55%	1762	65.38%	2	0.07%
078 Baycreek K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
079 Cates K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
080 Baycreek C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48						
	Reg. Voters	M. REEVES (R)		Z. KARINSHAK (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
081 Cates L							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
082 Duluth E							
Polling	4580	368	31.70%	792	68.22%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	4580	51	31.48%	110	67.90%	1	0.62%
Advance in Person	4580	278	28.22%	706	71.68%	1	0.10%
Provisional	4580	2	16.67%	10	83.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4580	32	29.91%	75	70.09%	0	0.00%
Total	4580	731	30.12%	1693	69.76%	3	0.12%
083 Goodwins D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
084 Lawrenceville G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
085 Lawrenceville H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
086 Martins F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
087 Pinckneyville S							

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48						
	Reg. Voters	M. REEVES (R)		Z. KARINSHAK (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
088 Rockbridge E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
089 Sugar Hill E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
090 Lawrenceville I							
Polling	3140	357	38.85%	561	61.04%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	3140	43	34.68%	81	65.32%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3140	64	44.76%	78	54.55%	1	0.70%
Provisional	3140	1	33.33%	2	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3140	197	37.24%	332	62.76%	0	0.00%
Total	3140	662	38.53%	1054	61.35%	2	0.12%
091 Baycreek D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
092 Berkshire J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
093 Cates M							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48						
	Reg. Voters	M. REEVES (R)		Z. KARINSHAK (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
094 Cates N							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
095 Duluth F							
Polling	5413	287	22.55%	986	77.45%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5413	52	29.21%	126	70.79%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5413	90	15.18%	503	84.82%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5413	0	0.00%	10	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5413	93	21.48%	340	78.52%	0	0.00%
Total	5413	522	20.99%	1965	79.01%	0	0.00%
096 Duluth G							
Polling	2775	557	60.09%	369	39.81%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	2775	63	57.80%	46	42.20%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2775	161	48.20%	173	51.80%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2775	2	40.00%	3	60.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2775	211	60.81%	136	39.19%	0	0.00%
Total	2775	994	57.72%	727	42.22%	1	0.06%
097 Goodwins E							
Polling	4950	792	52.35%	718	47.46%	3	0.20%
Absentee by Mail	4950	72	31.86%	154	68.14%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4950	48	29.09%	117	70.91%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4950	3	30.00%	7	70.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4950	423	44.67%	524	55.33%	0	0.00%
Total	4950	1338	46.77%	1520	53.13%	3	0.10%
098 Goodwins F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
099 Pinckneyville T							
Polling	2874	361	41.88%	501	58.12%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2874	70	47.95%	76	52.05%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2874	153	32.42%	319	67.58%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2874	1	33.33%	2	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2874	17	38.64%	27	61.36%	0	0.00%
Total	2874	602	39.42%	925	60.58%	0	0.00%
100 Suwanee B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48						
	Reg. Voters	M. REEVES (R)		Z. KARINSHAK (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
101 Pinckneyville U							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
102 Pucketts B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
103 Berkshire L							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
104 Rockbridge F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
105 Sugar Hill F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
106 Suwanee C							
Polling	4823	713	58.73%	501	41.27%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4823	86	41.95%	118	57.56%	1	0.49%
Advance in Person	4823	50	48.54%	53	51.46%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4823	4	26.67%	11	73.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4823	652	53.62%	564	46.38%	0	0.00%
Total	4823	1505	54.67%	1247	45.30%	1	0.04%
107 Cates O							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48						
	Reg. Voters	M. REEVES (R)		Z. KARINSHAK (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
108 Baycreek E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
109 Berkshire M							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
110 Martins G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
111 Duluth H							
Polling	2662	363	49.25%	373	50.61%	1	0.14%
Absentee by Mail	2662	90	48.91%	94	51.09%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2662	263	45.34%	316	54.48%	1	0.17%
Provisional	2662	1	33.33%	2	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2662	59	50.86%	57	49.14%	0	0.00%
Total	2662	776	47.90%	842	51.98%	2	0.12%
112 Berkshire N							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
113 Pinckneyville V							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48						
	Reg. Voters	M. REEVES (R)		Z. KARINSHAK (D)		Write-In Votes	
114 Pinckneyville W							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
115 Martins H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
116 Martins I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
117 Martins J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
118 Garners F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
119 Berkshire O							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
120 Berkshire P							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48						
	Reg. Voters	M. REEVES (R)		Z. KARINSHAK (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
121 Pinckneyville X							
Polling	3198	595	54.94%	487	44.97%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	3198	90	41.10%	129	58.90%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3198	329	44.46%	410	55.41%	1	0.14%
Provisional	3198	1	50.00%	1	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3198	30	41.67%	42	58.33%	0	0.00%
Total	3198	1045	49.39%	1069	50.52%	2	0.09%
122 Rockbridge G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
123 Pinckneyville Y							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
124 Goodwins G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
125 Pinckneyville Z							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
126 Pinckneyville A1							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
127 Lawrenceville J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48						
	Reg. Voters	M. REEVES (R)		Z. KARINSHAK (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
128 Goodwins H							
Polling	2561	456	54.87%	373	44.89%	2	0.24%
Absentee by Mail	2561	42	39.62%	64	60.38%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2561	21	41.18%	30	58.82%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2561	0	0.00%	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2561	227	42.27%	310	57.73%	0	0.00%
Total	2561	746	48.79%	781	51.08%	2	0.13%
129 Duncans B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
130 Suwanee D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
131 Suwanee E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132 Lawrenceville K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
133 Harbins B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
134 Baycreek F							

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48						
	Reg. Voters	M. REEVES (R)		Z. KARINSHAK (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
135 Pucketts C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
136 Hog Mountain C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
137 Rockycreek B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
138 Duluth I							
Polling	4491	710	56.89%	538	43.11%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4491	145	48.49%	154	51.51%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4491	223	47.96%	241	51.83%	1	0.22%
Provisional	4491	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4491	409	60.50%	267	39.50%	0	0.00%
Total	4491	1491	55.39%	1200	44.58%	1	0.04%
139 Martins K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
140 Suwanee H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48						
	Reg. Voters	M. REEVES (R)		Z. KARINSHAK (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
141 Sugar Hill G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
142 Suwanee F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
143 Lawrenceville L							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144 Lawrenceville M							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145 Baycreek G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
146 Baycreek H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
147 Baycreek I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48						
	Reg. Voters	M. REEVES (R)		Z. KARINSHAK (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
148 Berkshire Q							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
149 Goodwins I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
150 Duluth K							
Polling	2694	112	20.59%	431	79.23%	1	0.18%
Absentee by Mail	2694	13	23.64%	42	76.36%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2694	67	17.36%	318	82.38%	1	0.26%
Provisional	2694	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2694	4	10.26%	35	89.74%	0	0.00%
Total	2694	197	19.16%	829	80.64%	2	0.19%
151 Harbins C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
152 Rockycreek C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
153 Lawrenceville N							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
154 Pucketts D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 48 / Senado Estatal 48						
	Reg. Voters	M. REEVES (R)		Z. KARINSHAK (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
155 Suwanee G							
Polling	3451	625	54.73%	517	45.27%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3451	52	38.24%	84	61.76%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3451	37	42.53%	50	57.47%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3451	2	40.00%	3	60.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3451	341	44.69%	421	55.18%	1	0.13%
Total	3451	1057	49.55%	1075	50.40%	1	0.05%
156 Baycreek J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total							
Polling	73937	10362	48.52%	10977	51.40%	17	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	73937	1395	40.42%	2053	59.49%	3	0.09%
Advance in Person	73937	3726	38.04%	6060	61.87%	9	0.09%
Advance in Person 2	73937	3479	45.32%	4194	54.64%	3	0.04%
Provisional	73937	45	33.83%	87	65.41%	1	0.75%
Total	73937	19007	44.82%	23371	55.11%	33	0.08%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55						
	Reg. Voters	A. D. JACKSON (R)		G. S. BUTLER (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide							
001 Harbins A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
002 Rockbridge A							
Polling	3653	155	19.00%	661	81.00%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3653	30	22.22%	104	77.04%	1	0.74%
Advance in Person	3653	31	26.72%	85	73.28%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3653	28	35.00%	52	65.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3653	199	18.51%	876	81.49%	0	0.00%
Total	3653	443	19.94%	1778	80.02%	1	0.05%
003 Dacula							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
004 Suwanee A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
005 Baycreek A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
006 Goodwins A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
007 Duluth A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55					
	Reg. Voters	A. D. JACKSON (R)		G. S. BUTLER (I) D		Write-In Votes
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
008 Duncans A						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
009 Pucketts A						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
010 Cates A						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
011 Berkshire A						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
012 Berkshire B						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
013 Duncans C						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
014 Garners A						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55						
	Reg. Voters	A. D. JACKSON (R)		G. S. BUTLER (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
015 Lawrenceville A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
016 Lawrenceville B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
017 Martins A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
018 Martins B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
019 Martins C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
020 Pinckneyville A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55			
	Reg. Voters	A. D. JACKSON (R)	G. S. BUTLER (I) D	Write-In Votes
021 Pinckneyville B				
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-
022 Pinckneyville C				
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-
023 Pinckneyville D				
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-
024 Sugar Hill A				
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-
025 Sugar Hill B				
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-
026 Hog Mountain A				
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-
027 Hog Mountain B				
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55						
	Reg. Voters	A. D. JACKSON (R)		G. S. BUTLER (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
028 Rockycreek A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
029 Cates B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
030 Cates C							
Polling	2599	292	39.14%	454	60.86%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2599	33	38.37%	53	61.63%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2599	7	29.17%	17	70.83%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2599	0	0.00%	5	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2599	240	31.91%	512	68.09%	0	0.00%
Total	2599	572	35.46%	1041	64.54%	0	0.00%
031 Hog Mountain D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
032 Berkshire D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
033 Berkshire E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
034 Berkshire F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55					
	Reg. Voters	A. D. JACKSON (R)		G. S. BUTLER (I) D		Write-In Votes
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
035 Cates D - 04						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
035 Cates D - 07						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
036 Cates E						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
037 Pinckneyville E						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
038 Pinckneyville F						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
039 Duncans D						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
040 Pinckneyville H						

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55						
	Reg. Voters	A. D. JACKSON (R)		G. S. BUTLER (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
041 Baycreek B							
Polling	5349	579	35.28%	1059	64.53%	3	0.18%
Absentee by Mail	5349	42	19.91%	169	80.09%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5349	12	23.53%	39	76.47%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5349	3	16.67%	15	83.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5349	382	27.07%	1029	72.93%	0	0.00%
Total	5349	1018	30.55%	2311	69.36%	3	0.09%
042 Lawrenceville C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
043 Martins D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
044 Rockbridge B							
Polling	3761	250	25.93%	713	73.96%	1	0.10%
Absentee by Mail	3761	30	28.04%	77	71.96%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3761	25	21.74%	90	78.26%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3761	0	0.00%	10	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3761	209	22.00%	741	78.00%	0	0.00%
Total	3761	514	23.95%	1631	76.00%	1	0.05%
045 Garners B							
Polling	2916	240	34.24%	460	65.62%	1	0.14%
Absentee by Mail	2916	62	48.82%	65	51.18%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2916	235	34.46%	447	65.54%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2916	1	20.00%	4	80.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2916	110	29.33%	264	70.40%	1	0.27%
Total	2916	648	34.29%	1240	65.61%	2	0.11%
046 Cates F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55

	Reg. Voters	A. D. JACKSON (R)		G. S. BUTLER (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
047 Cates G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
048 Duluth B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
049 Garners C							
Polling	1908	279	51.96%	258	48.04%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1908	20	34.48%	38	65.52%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1908	212	43.18%	279	56.82%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1908	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1908	12	26.09%	34	73.91%	0	0.00%
Total	1908	524	46.25%	609	53.75%	0	0.00%
050 Pinckneyville I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
051 Pinckneyville J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052 Berkshire G							
Polling	2250	339	52.97%	301	47.03%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2250	45	51.14%	43	48.86%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2250	370	49.66%	375	50.34%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2250	0	-	0	-	0	-
Advance in Person 2	2250	11	36.67%	19	63.33%	0	0.00%
Total	2250	765	50.90%	738	49.10%	0	0.00%
053 Cates H							
Polling	3622	303	31.14%	668	68.65%	2	0.21%
Absentee by Mail	3622	50	39.06%	78	60.94%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3622	15	31.91%	32	68.09%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55						
	Reg. Voters	A. D. JACKSON (R)		G. S. BUTLER (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	3622	0	0.00%	8	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3622	246	28.64%	612	71.25%	1	0.12%
Total	3622	614	30.47%	1398	69.38%	3	0.15%
054 Sugar Hill C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055 Sugar Hill D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
056 Duluth C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
057 Duluth D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
058 Pinckneyville K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
059 Pinckneyville N							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
060 Lawrenceville D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55								
	Reg. Voters	A. D. JACKSON (R)			G. S. BUTLER (I) D			Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
061 Lawrenceville E									
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
062 Pinckneyville L									
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
063 Pinckneyville M									
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
064 Garners D									
Polling	3127	218	25.47%	637	74.42%	1	0.12%		
Absentee by Mail	3127	25	21.74%	90	78.26%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	3127	172	27.56%	452	72.44%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	3127	0	0.00%	5	100.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	3127	57	19.32%	237	80.34%	1	0.34%		
Total	3127	472	24.91%	1421	74.99%	2	0.11%		
065 Berkshire H									
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
066 Pinckneyville O									
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55			
	Reg. Voters	A. D. JACKSON (R)	G. S. BUTLER (I) D	Write-In Votes
067 Pucketts E				
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-
068 Cates I				
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-
069 Cates J				
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-
070 Goodwins B				
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-
071 Lawrenceville F				
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-
072 Martins E				
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-
073 Pinckneyville P				
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55						
	Reg. Voters	A. D. JACKSON (R)		G. S. BUTLER (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
074 Pinckneyville Q							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
075 Rockbridge C							
Polling	4722	239	20.07%	952	79.93%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4722	39	19.80%	158	80.20%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4722	46	28.05%	118	71.95%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4722	3	23.08%	10	76.92%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4722	217	15.07%	1223	84.93%	0	0.00%
Total	4722	544	18.10%	2461	81.90%	0	0.00%
076 Rockbridge D							
Polling	3359	230	28.08%	589	71.92%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3359	33	23.40%	108	76.60%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3359	5	13.89%	31	86.11%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3359	4	19.05%	17	80.95%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3359	280	25.43%	821	74.57%	0	0.00%
Total	3359	552	26.06%	1566	73.94%	0	0.00%
077 Goodwins C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
078 Baycreek K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
079 Cates K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
080 Baycreek C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55						
	Reg. Voters	A. D. JACKSON (R)		G. S. BUTLER (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
081 Cates L							
Polling	2196	279	42.66%	374	57.19%	1	0.15%
Absentee by Mail	2196	32	38.55%	51	61.45%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2196	8	25.00%	24	75.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2196	1	20.00%	4	80.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2196	239	41.35%	339	58.65%	0	0.00%
Total	2196	559	41.35%	792	58.58%	1	0.07%
082 Duluth E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
083 Goodwins D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
084 Lawrenceville G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
085 Lawrenceville H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
086 Martins F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
087 Pinckneyville S							

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55						
	Reg. Voters	A. D. JACKSON (R)		G. S. BUTLER (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
088 Rockbridge E							
Polling	4199	297	26.24%	834	73.67%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	4199	18	15.00%	102	85.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4199	60	37.27%	100	62.11%	1	0.62%
Provisional	4199	4	12.12%	29	87.88%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4199	125	15.23%	696	84.77%	0	0.00%
Total	4199	504	22.23%	1761	77.68%	2	0.09%
089 Sugar Hill E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
090 Lawrenceville I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
091 Baycreek D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
092 Berkshire J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
093 Cates M							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55						
	Reg. Voters	A. D. JACKSON (R)		G. S. BUTLER (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
094 Cates N							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
095 Duluth F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
096 Duluth G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
097 Goodwins E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098 Goodwins F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
099 Pinckneyville T							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100 Suwanee B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55						
	Reg. Voters	A. D. JACKSON (R)		G. S. BUTLER (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
101 Pinckneyville U							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
102 Pucketts B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
103 Berkshire L							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
104 Rockbridge F							
Polling	5313	190	18.63%	830	81.37%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5313	51	24.88%	153	74.63%	1	0.49%
Advance in Person	5313	6	15.38%	33	84.62%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5313	2	13.33%	13	86.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5313	386	18.36%	1714	81.54%	2	0.10%
Total	5313	635	18.78%	2743	81.13%	3	0.09%
105 Sugar Hill F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
106 Suwanee C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
107 Cates O							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55											
	Reg. Voters	A. D. JACKSON (R)			G. S. BUTLER (I) D			Write-In Votes				
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
108 Baycreek E												
Polling	2863	448	48.59%		474	51.41%		0	0.00%			
Absentee by Mail	2863	40	40.82%		58	59.18%		0	0.00%			
Advance in Person	2863	11	35.48%		20	64.52%		0	0.00%			
Provisional	2863	0	0.00%		1	100.00%		0	0.00%			
Advance in Person 2	2863	237	36.13%		419	63.87%		0	0.00%			
Total	2863	736	43.09%		972	56.91%		0	0.00%			
109 Berkshire M												
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
110 Martins G												
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
111 Duluth H												
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
112 Berkshire N												
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
113 Pinckneyville V												
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55								
	Reg. Voters	A. D. JACKSON (R)		G. S. BUTLER (I) D		Write-In Votes			
114 Pinckneyville W									
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
115 Martins H									
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
116 Martins I									
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
117 Martins J									
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
118 Garners F									
Polling	1558	232	50.66%	226	49.34%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	1558	16	38.10%	26	61.90%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	1558	236	50.21%	234	49.79%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	1558	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	1558	3	18.75%	13	81.25%	0	0.00%		
Total	1558	487	49.34%	500	50.66%	0	0.00%		
119 Berkshire O									
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
120 Berkshire P									
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55						
	Reg. Voters	A. D. JACKSON (R)		G. S. BUTLER (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
121 Pinckneyville X							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
122 Rockbridge G							
Polling	5188	241	21.18%	896	78.73%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	5188	44	18.80%	189	80.77%	1	0.43%
Advance in Person	5188	37	34.26%	71	65.74%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5188	2	5.26%	36	94.74%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5188	279	17.58%	1308	82.42%	0	0.00%
Total	5188	603	19.42%	2500	80.52%	2	0.06%
123 Pinckneyville Y							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
124 Goodwins G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
125 Pinckneyville Z							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
126 Pinckneyville A1							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
127 Lawrenceville J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55						
	Reg. Voters	A. D. JACKSON (R)		G. S. BUTLER (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
128 Goodwins H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
129 Duncans B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
130 Suwanee D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
131 Suwanee E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132 Lawrenceville K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
133 Harbins B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
134 Baycreek F							

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55						
	Reg. Voters	A. D. JACKSON (R)		G. S. BUTLER (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Polling	4119	481	40.15%	717	59.85%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4119	59	33.15%	119	66.85%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4119	17	44.74%	21	55.26%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4119	0	0.00%	6	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4119	327	27.74%	852	72.26%	0	0.00%
Total	4119	884	34.01%	1715	65.99%	0	0.00%
135 Pucketts C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
136 Hog Mountain C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
137 Rockycreek B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
138 Duluth I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
139 Martins K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
140 Suwanee H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55						
	Reg. Voters	A. D. JACKSON (R)		G. S. BUTLER (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
141 Sugar Hill G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
142 Suwanee F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
143 Lawrenceville L							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144 Lawrenceville M							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145 Baycreek G							
Polling	5092	659	43.24%	865	56.76%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5092	59	34.10%	114	65.90%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5092	20	37.04%	34	62.96%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5092	1	12.50%	7	87.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5092	398	27.97%	1025	72.03%	0	0.00%
Total	5092	1137	35.73%	2045	64.27%	0	0.00%
146 Baycreek H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
147 Baycreek I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55					
	Reg. Voters	A. D. JACKSON (R)		G. S. BUTLER (I) D		Write-In Votes
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
148 Berkshire Q						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
149 Goodwins I						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
150 Duluth K						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
151 Harbins C						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
152 Rockycreek C						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
153 Lawrenceville N						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
154 Pucketts D						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State Senate 55 / Senado Estatal 55						
	Reg. Voters	A. D. JACKSON (R)		G. S. BUTLER (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
155 Suwanee G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
156 Baycreek J							
Polling	4038	452	38.37%	726	61.63%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4038	46	28.75%	114	71.25%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4038	15	28.85%	37	71.15%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4038	2	28.57%	5	71.43%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4038	323	28.04%	828	71.88%	1	0.09%
Total	4038	838	32.88%	1710	67.09%	1	0.04%
Total							
Polling	71832	6403	33.51%	12694	66.43%	11	0.06%
Absentee by Mail	71832	774	28.82%	1909	71.07%	3	0.11%
Advance in Person	71832	1540	37.75%	2539	62.23%	1	0.02%
Advance in Person 2	71832	4280	23.98%	13562	75.99%	6	0.03%
Provisional	71832	52	18.57%	228	81.43%	0	0.00%
Total	71832	13049	29.66%	30932	70.30%	21	0.05%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 81 / Camara Estatal 81						
	Reg. Voters	E. DIEHL (R)		S. HOLCOMB (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide							
001 Harbins A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
002 Rockbridge A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
003 Dacula							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
004 Suwanee A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
005 Baycreek A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
006 Goodwins A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
007 Duluth A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 81 / Camara Estatal 81						
	Reg. Voters	E. DIEHL (R)		S. HOLCOMB (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
008 Duncans A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
009 Pucketts A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
010 Cates A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
011 Berkshire A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
012 Berkshire B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
013 Duncans C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
014 Garners A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 81 / Camara Estatal 81					
	Reg. Voters	E. DIEHL (R)		S. HOLCOMB (I) D	Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
015 Lawrenceville A						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
016 Lawrenceville B						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
017 Martins A						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
018 Martins B						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
019 Martins C						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
020 Pinckneyville A						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 81 / Camara Estatal 81						
	Reg. Voters	E. DIEHL (R)		S. HOLCOMB (I) D		Write-In Votes	
021 Pinckneyville B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
022 Pinckneyville C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
023 Pinckneyville D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
024 Sugar Hill A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
025 Sugar Hill B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
026 Hog Mountain A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
027 Hog Mountain B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 81 / Camara Estatal 81						
	Reg. Voters	E. DIEHL (R)		S. HOLCOMB (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
028 Rockycreek A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
029 Cates B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
030 Cates C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
031 Hog Mountain D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
032 Berkshire D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
033 Berkshire E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
034 Berkshire F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 81 / Camara Estatal 81						
	Reg. Voters	E. DIEHL (R)		S. HOLCOMB (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
035 Cates D - 04							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
035 Cates D - 07							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
036 Cates E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
037 Pinckneyville E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
038 Pinckneyville F							
Polling	2301	177	28.14%	451	71.70%	1	0.16%
Absentee by Mail	2301	24	26.37%	67	73.63%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2301	84	26.33%	235	73.67%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2301	0	-	0	-	0	-
Advance in Person 2	2301	7	19.44%	29	80.56%	0	0.00%
Total	2301	292	27.16%	782	72.74%	1	0.09%
039 Duncans D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
040 Pinckneyville H							

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 81 / Camara Estatal 81						
	Reg. Voters	E. DIEHL (R)		S. HOLCOMB (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
041 Baycreek B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
042 Lawrenceville C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
043 Martins D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
044 Rockbridge B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
045 Garners B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046 Cates F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 81 / Camara Estatal 81						
	Reg. Voters	E. DIEHL (R)		S. HOLCOMB (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
047 Cates G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
048 Duluth B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
049 Garners C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
050 Pinckneyville I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
051 Pinckneyville J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052 Berkshire G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
053 Cates H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 81 / Camara Estatal 81						
	Reg. Voters	E. DIEHL (R)		S. HOLCOMB (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
054 Sugar Hill C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055 Sugar Hill D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
056 Duluth C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
057 Duluth D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
058 Pinckneyville K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
059 Pinckneyville N							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
060 Lawrenceville D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 81 / Camara Estatal 81					
	Reg. Voters	E. DIEHL (R)		S. HOLCOMB (I) D	Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
061 Lawrenceville E						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
062 Pinckneyville L						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
063 Pinckneyville M						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
064 Garners D						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
065 Berkshire H						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
066 Pinckneyville O						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 81 / Camara Estatal 81						
	Reg. Voters	E. DIEHL (R)		S. HOLCOMB (I) D		Write-In Votes	
067 Pucketts E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
068 Cates I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
069 Cates J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
070 Goodwins B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
071 Lawrenceville F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
072 Martins E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
073 Pinckneyville P							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 81 / Camara Estatal 81						
	Reg. Voters	E. DIEHL (R)		S. HOLCOMB (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
074 Pinckneyville Q							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
075 Rockbridge C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
076 Rockbridge D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
077 Goodwins C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
078 Baycreek K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
079 Cates K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
080 Baycreek C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 81 / Camara Estatal 81					
	Reg. Voters	E. DIEHL (R)		S. HOLCOMB (I) D	Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
081 Cates L						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
082 Duluth E						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
083 Goodwins D						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
084 Lawrenceville G						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
085 Lawrenceville H						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
086 Martins F						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
087 Pinckneyville S						

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 81 / Camara Estatal 81					
	Reg. Voters	E. DIEHL (R)		S. HOLCOMB (I) D	Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
088 Rockbridge E						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
089 Sugar Hill E						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
090 Lawrenceville I						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
091 Baycreek D						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
092 Berkshire J						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
093 Cates M						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 81 / Camara Estatal 81						
	Reg. Voters	E. DIEHL (R)		S. HOLCOMB (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
094 Cates N							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
095 Duluth F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
096 Duluth G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
097 Goodwins E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098 Goodwins F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
099 Pinckneyville T							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100 Suwanee B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 81 / Camara Estatal 81						
	Reg. Voters	E. DIEHL (R)		S. HOLCOMB (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
101 Pinckneyville U							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
102 Pucketts B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
103 Berkshire L							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
104 Rockbridge F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
105 Sugar Hill F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
106 Suwanee C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
107 Cates O							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 81 / Camara Estatal 81					
	Reg. Voters	E. DIEHL (R)		S. HOLCOMB (I) D	Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
108 Baycreek E						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
109 Berkshire M						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
110 Martins G						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
111 Duluth H						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
112 Berkshire N						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
113 Pinckneyville V						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 81 / Camara Estatal 81						
	Reg. Voters	E. DIEHL (R)		S. HOLCOMB (I) D		Write-In Votes	
114 Pinckneyville W							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
115 Martins H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
116 Martins I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
117 Martins J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
118 Garners F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
119 Berkshire O							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
120 Berkshire P							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 81 / Camara Estatal 81						
	Reg. Voters	E. DIEHL (R)		S. HOLCOMB (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
121 Pinckneyville X							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
122 Rockbridge G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
123 Pinckneyville Y							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
124 Goodwins G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
125 Pinckneyville Z							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
126 Pinckneyville A1							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
127 Lawrenceville J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 81 / Camara Estatal 81					
	Reg. Voters	E. DIEHL (R)		S. HOLCOMB (I) D	Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
128 Goodwins H						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
129 Duncans B						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
130 Suwanee D						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
131 Suwanee E						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
132 Lawrenceville K						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
133 Harbins B						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
134 Baycreek F						

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 81 / Camara Estatal 81						
	Reg. Voters	E. DIEHL (R)		S. HOLCOMB (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
135 Pucketts C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
136 Hog Mountain C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
137 Rockycreek B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
138 Duluth I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
139 Martins K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
140 Suwanee H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 81 / Camara Estatal 81						
	Reg. Voters	E. DIEHL (R)		S. HOLCOMB (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
141 Sugar Hill G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
142 Suwanee F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
143 Lawrenceville L							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144 Lawrenceville M							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145 Baycreek G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
146 Baycreek H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
147 Baycreek I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 81 / Camara Estatal 81						
	Reg. Voters	E. DIEHL (R)		S. HOLCOMB (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
148 Berkshire Q							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
149 Goodwins I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
150 Duluth K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
151 Harbins C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
152 Rockycreek C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
153 Lawrenceville N							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
154 Pucketts D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 81 / Camara Estatal 81						
	Reg. Voters	E. DIEHL (R)		S. HOLCOMB (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
155 Suwanee G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
156 Baycreek J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total							
Polling	2301	177	28.14%	451	71.70%	1	0.16%
Absentee by Mail	2301	24	26.37%	67	73.63%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2301	84	26.33%	235	73.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2301	7	19.44%	29	80.56%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2301	0	-	0	-	0	-
Total	2301	292	27.16%	782	72.74%	1	0.09%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 93 / Camara Estatal 93				
	Reg. Voters	D. KENDRICK (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide					
001 Harbins A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
002 Rockbridge A					
Polling	3653	708	98.88%	8	1.12%
Absentee by Mail	3653	113	96.58%	4	3.42%
Advance in Person	3653	101	97.12%	3	2.88%
Provisional	3653	55	93.22%	4	6.78%
Advance in Person 2	3653	935	99.05%	9	0.95%
Total	3653	1912	98.56%	28	1.44%
003 Dacula					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
004 Suwanee A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
005 Baycreek A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
006 Goodwins A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
007 Duluth A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 93 / Camara Estatal 93				
	Reg. Voters	D. KENDRICK (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
008 Duncans A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
009 Pucketts A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
010 Cates A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
011 Berkshire A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
012 Berkshire B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
013 Duncans C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
014 Garners A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 93 / Camara Estatal 93				
	Reg. Voters	D. KENDRICK (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
015 Lawrenceville A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
016 Lawrenceville B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
017 Martins A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
018 Martins B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
019 Martins C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
020 Pinckneyville A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 93 / Camara Estatal 93				
	Reg. Voters	D. KENDRICK (I) D		Write-In Votes	
021 Pinckneyville B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
022 Pinckneyville C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
023 Pinckneyville D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
024 Sugar Hill A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
025 Sugar Hill B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
026 Hog Mountain A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
027 Hog Mountain B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 93 / Camara Estatal 93				
	Reg. Voters	D. KENDRICK (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
028 Rockycreek A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
029 Cates B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
030 Cates C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
031 Hog Mountain D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
032 Berkshire D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
033 Berkshire E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
034 Berkshire F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 93 / Camara Estatal 93				
	Reg. Voters	D. KENDRICK (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
035 Cates D - 04					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
035 Cates D - 07					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
036 Cates E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
037 Pinckneyville E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
038 Pinckneyville F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
039 Duncans D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
040 Pinckneyville H					

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 93 / Camara Estatal 93				
	Reg. Voters	D. KENDRICK (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
041 Baycreek B					
Polling	5349	1303	96.59%	46	3.41%
Absentee by Mail	5349	187	98.94%	2	1.06%
Advance in Person	5349	44	100.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5349	14	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5349	1165	98.06%	23	1.94%
Total	5349	2713	97.45%	71	2.55%
042 Lawrenceville C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
043 Martins D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
044 Rockbridge B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
045 Garners B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
046 Cates F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 93 / Camara Estatal 93				
	Reg. Voters	D. KENDRICK (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-
047 Cates G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
048 Duluth B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
049 Garners C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
050 Pinckneyville I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
051 Pinckneyville J					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
052 Berkshire G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
053 Cates H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 93 / Camara Estatal 93				
	Reg. Voters	D. KENDRICK (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
054 Sugar Hill C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
055 Sugar Hill D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
056 Duluth C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
057 Duluth D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
058 Pinckneyville K					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
059 Pinckneyville N					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
060 Lawrenceville D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 93 / Camara Estatal 93				
	Reg. Voters	D. KENDRICK (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
061 Lawrenceville E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
062 Pinckneyville L					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
063 Pinckneyville M					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
064 Garners D					
Polling	1716	401	97.09%	12	2.91%
Absentee by Mail	1716	50	96.15%	2	3.85%
Advance in Person	1716	234	98.73%	3	1.27%
Provisional	1716	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1716	176	98.32%	3	1.68%
Total	1716	865	97.74%	20	2.26%
065 Berkshire H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
066 Pinckneyville O					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 93 / Camara Estatal 93				
	Reg. Voters	D. KENDRICK (I) D		Write-In Votes	
067 Pucketts E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
068 Cates I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
069 Cates J					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
070 Goodwins B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
071 Lawrenceville F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
072 Martins E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
073 Pinckneyville P					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 93 / Camara Estatal 93				
	Reg. Voters	D. KENDRICK (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
074 Pinckneyville Q					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
075 Rockbridge C					
Polling	4321	932	97.90%	20	2.10%
Absentee by Mail	4321	162	98.18%	3	1.82%
Advance in Person	4321	118	95.93%	5	4.07%
Provisional	4321	8	88.89%	1	11.11%
Advance in Person 2	4321	1146	97.78%	26	2.22%
Total	4321	2366	97.73%	55	2.27%
076 Rockbridge D					
Polling	3359	680	97.14%	20	2.86%
Absentee by Mail	3359	116	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3359	33	100.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3359	13	81.25%	3	18.75%
Advance in Person 2	3359	911	98.06%	18	1.94%
Total	3359	1753	97.71%	41	2.29%
077 Goodwins C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
078 Baycreek K					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
079 Cates K					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
080 Baycreek C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 93 / Camara Estatal 93				
	Reg. Voters	D. KENDRICK (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
081 Cates L					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
082 Duluth E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
083 Goodwins D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
084 Lawrenceville G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
085 Lawrenceville H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
086 Martins F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
087 Pinckneyville S					

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 93 / Camara Estatal 93				
	Reg. Voters	D. KENDRICK (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
088 Rockbridge E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
089 Sugar Hill E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
090 Lawrenceville I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
091 Baycreek D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
092 Berkshire J					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
093 Cates M					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 93 / Camara Estatal 93				
	Reg. Voters	D. KENDRICK (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-
094 Cates N					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
095 Duluth F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
096 Duluth G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
097 Goodwins E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
098 Goodwins F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
099 Pinckneyville T					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
100 Suwanee B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 93 / Camara Estatal 93				
	Reg. Voters	D. KENDRICK (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
101 Pinckneyville U					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
102 Pucketts B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
103 Berkshire L					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
104 Rockbridge F					
Polling	5313	913	98.60%	13	1.40%
Absentee by Mail	5313	169	96.57%	6	3.43%
Advance in Person	5313	33	94.29%	2	5.71%
Provisional	5313	11	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5313	1821	98.27%	32	1.73%
Total	5313	2947	98.23%	53	1.77%
105 Sugar Hill F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
106 Suwanee C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
107 Cates O					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 93 / Camara Estatal 93				
	Reg. Voters	D. KENDRICK (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
108 Baycreek E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
109 Berkshire M					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
110 Martins G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
111 Duluth H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
112 Berkshire N					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
113 Pinckneyville V					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 93 / Camara Estatal 93				
	Reg. Voters	D. KENDRICK (I) D		Write-In Votes	
114 Pinckneyville W					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
115 Martins H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
116 Martins I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
117 Martins J					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
118 Garners F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
119 Berkshire O					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
120 Berkshire P					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 93 / Camara Estatal 93				
	Reg. Voters	D. KENDRICK (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
121 Pinckneyville X					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
122 Rockbridge G					
Polling	5188	988	98.02%	20	1.98%
Absentee by Mail	5188	208	96.30%	8	3.70%
Advance in Person	5188	85	95.51%	4	4.49%
Provisional	5188	27	96.43%	1	3.57%
Advance in Person 2	5188	1361	99.05%	13	0.95%
Total	5188	2669	98.31%	46	1.69%
123 Pinckneyville Y					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
124 Goodwins G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
125 Pinckneyville Z					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
126 Pinckneyville A1					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
127 Lawrenceville J					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 93 / Camara Estatal 93				
	Reg. Voters	D. KENDRICK (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
128 Goodwins H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
129 Duncans B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
130 Suwanee D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
131 Suwanee E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
132 Lawrenceville K					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
133 Harbins B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
134 Baycreek F					

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 93 / Camara Estatal 93				
	Reg. Voters	D. KENDRICK (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
135 Pucketts C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
136 Hog Mountain C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
137 Rockycreek B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
138 Duluth I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
139 Martins K					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
140 Suwanee H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 93 / Camara Estatal 93				
	Reg. Voters	D. KENDRICK (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-
141 Sugar Hill G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
142 Suwanee F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
143 Lawrenceville L					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
144 Lawrenceville M					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
145 Baycreek G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
146 Baycreek H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
147 Baycreek I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 93 / Camara Estatal 93				
	Reg. Voters	D. KENDRICK (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
148 Berkshire Q					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
149 Goodwins I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
150 Duluth K					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
151 Harbins C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
152 Rockycreek C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
153 Lawrenceville N					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
154 Pucketts D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 93 / Camara Estatal 93				
	Reg. Voters	D. KENDRICK (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
155 Suwanee G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
156 Baycreek J					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
Total					
Polling	28899	5925	97.71%	139	2.29%
Absentee by Mail	28899	1005	97.57%	25	2.43%
Advance in Person	28899	648	97.44%	17	2.56%
Advance in Person 2	28899	7515	98.38%	124	1.62%
Provisional	28899	132	93.62%	9	6.38%
Total	28899	15225	97.98%	314	2.02%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 94 / Camara Estatal 94				
	Reg. Voters	K. BENNETT (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide					
001 Harbins A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
002 Rockbridge A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
003 Dacula					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
004 Suwanee A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
005 Baycreek A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
006 Goodwins A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
007 Duluth A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 94 / Camara Estatal 94				
	Reg. Voters	K. BENNETT (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
008 Duncans A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
009 Pucketts A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
010 Cates A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
011 Berkshire A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
012 Berkshire B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
013 Duncans C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
014 Garners A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 94 / Camara Estatal 94				
	Reg. Voters	K. BENNETT (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
015 Lawrenceville A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
016 Lawrenceville B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
017 Martins A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
018 Martins B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
019 Martins C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
020 Pinckneyville A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 94 / Camara Estatal 94				
	Reg. Voters	K. BENNETT (I) D		Write-In Votes	
021 Pinckneyville B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
022 Pinckneyville C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
023 Pinckneyville D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
024 Sugar Hill A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
025 Sugar Hill B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
026 Hog Mountain A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
027 Hog Mountain B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 94 / Camara Estatal 94				
	Reg. Voters	K. BENNETT (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
028 Rockycreek A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
029 Cates B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
030 Cates C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
031 Hog Mountain D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
032 Berkshire D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
033 Berkshire E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
034 Berkshire F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 94 / Camara Estatal 94				
	Reg. Voters	K. BENNETT (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
035 Cates D - 04					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
035 Cates D - 07					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
036 Cates E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
037 Pinckneyville E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
038 Pinckneyville F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
039 Duncans D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
040 Pinckneyville H					

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 94 / Camara Estatal 94				
	Reg. Voters	K. BENNETT (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
041 Baycreek B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
042 Lawrenceville C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
043 Martins D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
044 Rockbridge B					
Polling	3761	823	96.82%	27	3.18%
Absentee by Mail	3761	87	98.86%	1	1.14%
Advance in Person	3761	101	98.06%	2	1.94%
Provisional	3761	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3761	800	97.80%	18	2.20%
Total	3761	1812	97.42%	48	2.58%
045 Garners B					
Polling	2916	549	96.32%	21	3.68%
Absentee by Mail	2916	89	89.00%	11	11.00%
Advance in Person	2916	516	96.99%	16	3.01%
Provisional	2916	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2916	292	96.05%	12	3.95%
Total	2916	1448	96.02%	60	3.98%
046 Cates F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 94 / Camara Estatal 94				
	Reg. Voters	K. BENNETT (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-
047 Cates G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
048 Duluth B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
049 Garners C					
Polling	1908	375	92.82%	29	7.18%
Absentee by Mail	1908	46	93.88%	3	6.12%
Advance in Person	1908	364	95.54%	17	4.46%
Provisional	1908	0	-	0	-
Advance in Person 2	1908	38	97.44%	1	2.56%
Total	1908	823	94.27%	50	5.73%
050 Pinckneyville I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
051 Pinckneyville J					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
052 Berkshire G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
053 Cates H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 94 / Camara Estatal 94				
	Reg. Voters	K. BENNETT (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
054 Sugar Hill C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
055 Sugar Hill D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
056 Duluth C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
057 Duluth D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
058 Pinckneyville K					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
059 Pinckneyville N					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
060 Lawrenceville D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 94 / Camara Estatal 94				
	Reg. Voters	K. BENNETT (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
061 Lawrenceville E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
062 Pinckneyville L					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
063 Pinckneyville M					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
064 Garners D					
Polling	1411	314	98.43%	5	1.57%
Absentee by Mail	1411	50	98.04%	1	1.96%
Advance in Person	1411	279	96.88%	9	3.13%
Provisional	1411	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1411	68	98.55%	1	1.45%
Total	1411	712	97.80%	16	2.20%
065 Berkshire H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
066 Pinckneyville O					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 94 / Camara Estatal 94				
	Reg. Voters	K. BENNETT (I) D		Write-In Votes	
067 Pucketts E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
068 Cates I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
069 Cates J					
Polling	1441	363	96.54%	13	3.46%
Absentee by Mail	1441	49	98.00%	1	2.00%
Advance in Person	1441	205	98.09%	4	1.91%
Provisional	1441	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1441	113	97.41%	3	2.59%
Total	1441	731	97.21%	21	2.79%
070 Goodwins B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
071 Lawrenceville F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
072 Martins E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
073 Pinckneyville P					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 94 / Camara Estatal 94				
	Reg. Voters	K. BENNETT (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
074 Pinckneyville Q					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
075 Rockbridge C					
Polling	401	96	97.96%	2	2.04%
Absentee by Mail	401	13	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	401	16	88.89%	2	11.11%
Provisional	401	0	-	0	-
Advance in Person 2	401	121	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	401	246	98.40%	4	1.60%
076 Rockbridge D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
077 Goodwins C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
078 Baycreek K					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
079 Cates K					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
080 Baycreek C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 94 / Camara Estatal 94				
	Reg. Voters	K. BENNETT (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
081 Cates L					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
082 Duluth E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
083 Goodwins D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
084 Lawrenceville G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
085 Lawrenceville H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
086 Martins F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
087 Pinckneyville S					

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 94 / Camara Estatal 94				
	Reg. Voters	K. BENNETT (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
088 Rockbridge E					
Polling	4199	953	97.84%	21	2.16%
Absentee by Mail	4199	108	95.58%	5	4.42%
Advance in Person	4199	117	94.35%	7	5.65%
Provisional	4199	17	89.47%	2	10.53%
Advance in Person 2	4199	732	98.52%	11	1.48%
Total	4199	1927	97.67%	46	2.33%
089 Sugar Hill E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
090 Lawrenceville I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
091 Baycreek D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
092 Berkshire J					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
093 Cates M					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 94 / Camara Estatal 94				
	Reg. Voters	K. BENNETT (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-
094 Cates N					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
095 Duluth F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
096 Duluth G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
097 Goodwins E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
098 Goodwins F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
099 Pinckneyville T					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
100 Suwanee B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 94 / Camara Estatal 94				
	Reg. Voters	K. BENNETT (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
101 Pinckneyville U					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
102 Pucketts B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
103 Berkshire L					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
104 Rockbridge F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
105 Sugar Hill F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
106 Suwanee C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
107 Cates O					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 94 / Camara Estatal 94				
	Reg. Voters	K. BENNETT (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
108 Baycreek E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
109 Berkshire M					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
110 Martins G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
111 Duluth H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
112 Berkshire N					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
113 Pinckneyville V					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 94 / Camara Estatal 94				
	Reg. Voters	K. BENNETT (I) D		Write-In Votes	
114 Pinckneyville W					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
115 Martins H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
116 Martins I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
117 Martins J					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
118 Garners F					
Polling	1558	319	96.37%	12	3.63%
Absentee by Mail	1558	35	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1558	312	94.83%	17	5.17%
Provisional	1558	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1558	15	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	1558	682	95.92%	29	4.08%
119 Berkshire O					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
120 Berkshire P					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 94 / Camara Estatal 94				
	Reg. Voters	K. BENNETT (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
121 Pinckneyville X					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
122 Rockbridge G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
123 Pinckneyville Y					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
124 Goodwins G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
125 Pinckneyville Z					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
126 Pinckneyville A1					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
127 Lawrenceville J					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 94 / Camara Estatal 94				
	Reg. Voters	K. BENNETT (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
128 Goodwins H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
129 Duncans B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
130 Suwanee D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
131 Suwanee E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
132 Lawrenceville K					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
133 Harbins B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
134 Baycreek F					

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 94 / Camara Estatal 94				
	Reg. Voters	K. BENNETT (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
135 Pucketts C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
136 Hog Mountain C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
137 Rockycreek B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
138 Duluth I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
139 Martins K					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
140 Suwanee H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 94 / Camara Estatal 94				
	Reg. Voters	K. BENNETT (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-
141 Sugar Hill G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
142 Suwanee F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
143 Lawrenceville L					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
144 Lawrenceville M					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
145 Baycreek G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
146 Baycreek H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
147 Baycreek I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 94 / Camara Estatal 94				
	Reg. Voters	K. BENNETT (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
148 Berkshire Q					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
149 Goodwins I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
150 Duluth K					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
151 Harbins C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
152 Rockycreek C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
153 Lawrenceville N					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
154 Pucketts D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 94 / Camara Estatal 94				
	Reg. Voters	K. BENNETT (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
155 Suwanee G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
156 Baycreek J					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
Total					
Polling	17595	3792	96.69%	130	3.31%
Absentee by Mail	17595	477	95.59%	22	4.41%
Advance in Person	17595	1910	96.27%	74	3.73%
Advance in Person 2	17595	2179	97.93%	46	2.07%
Provisional	17595	23	92.00%	2	8.00%
Total	17595	8381	96.83%	274	3.17%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95						
	Reg. Voters	S. HILTON (I) R		B. MOORE (D)		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide							
001 Harbins A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
002 Rockbridge A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
003 Dacula							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
004 Suwanee A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
005 Baycreek A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
006 Goodwins A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
007 Duluth A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95					
	Reg. Voters	S. HILTON (I) R		B. MOORE (D)	Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
008 Duncans A						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
009 Pucketts A						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
010 Cates A						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
011 Berkshire A						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
012 Berkshire B						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
013 Duncans C						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
014 Garners A						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95						
	Reg. Voters	S. HILTON (I) R		B. MOORE (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
015 Lawrenceville A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
016 Lawrenceville B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
017 Martins A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
018 Martins B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
019 Martins C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
020 Pinckneyville A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95

	Reg. Voters	S. HILTON (I) R		B. MOORE (D)		Write-In Votes	
021 Pinckneyville B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
022 Pinckneyville C							
Polling	1796	452	56.50%	348	43.50%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1796	53	44.17%	67	55.83%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1796	125	41.53%	176	58.47%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1796	1	33.33%	2	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1796	21	47.73%	23	52.27%	0	0.00%
Total	1796	652	51.42%	616	48.58%	0	0.00%
023 Pinckneyville D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
024 Sugar Hill A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
025 Sugar Hill B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
026 Hog Mountain A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
027 Hog Mountain B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95					
	Reg. Voters	S. HILTON (I) R		B. MOORE (D)		Write-In Votes
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
028 Rockycreek A						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
029 Cates B						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
030 Cates C						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
031 Hog Mountain D						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
032 Berkshire D						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
033 Berkshire E						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
034 Berkshire F						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95

	Reg. Voters	S. HILTON (I) R		B. MOORE (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
035 Cates D - 04							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
035 Cates D - 07							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
036 Cates E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
037 Pinckneyville E							
Polling	2183	147	21.62%	533	78.38%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2183	7	13.21%	46	86.79%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2183	36	14.23%	217	85.77%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2183	1	14.29%	6	85.71%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2183	6	28.57%	15	71.43%	0	0.00%
Total	2183	197	19.43%	817	80.57%	0	0.00%
038 Pinckneyville F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
039 Duncans D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
040 Pinckneyville H							

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95

	Reg. Voters	S. HILTON (I) R		B. MOORE (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	4126	699	50.54%	683	49.39%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	4126	77	34.07%	149	65.93%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4126	466	43.96%	593	55.94%	1	0.09%
Provisional	4126	0	0.00%	2	66.67%	1	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	4126	32	39.02%	50	60.98%	0	0.00%
Total	4126	1274	46.26%	1477	53.63%	3	0.11%
041 Baycreek B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
042 Lawrenceville C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
043 Martins D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
044 Rockbridge B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
045 Garners B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046 Cates F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95						
	Reg. Voters	S. HILTON (I) R		B. MOORE (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
047 Cates G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
048 Duluth B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
049 Garners C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
050 Pinckneyville I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
051 Pinckneyville J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052 Berkshire G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
053 Cates H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95						
	Reg. Voters	S. HILTON (I) R		B. MOORE (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
054 Sugar Hill C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055 Sugar Hill D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
056 Duluth C							
Polling	736	93	44.71%	115	55.29%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	736	17	51.52%	16	48.48%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	736	65	37.14%	110	62.86%	0	0.00%
Provisional	736	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	736	4	20.00%	16	80.00%	0	0.00%
Total	736	180	41.19%	257	58.81%	0	0.00%
057 Duluth D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
058 Pinckneyville K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
059 Pinckneyville N							
Polling	3717	177	16.81%	876	83.19%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3717	14	18.92%	60	81.08%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3717	72	13.24%	472	86.76%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3717	1	12.50%	7	87.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3717	10	17.86%	46	82.14%	0	0.00%
Total	3717	274	15.79%	1461	84.21%	0	0.00%
060 Lawrenceville D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95						
	Reg. Voters	S. HILTON (I) R		B. MOORE (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
061 Lawrenceville E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
062 Pinckneyville L							
Polling	2233	758	68.54%	348	31.46%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2233	88	64.23%	49	35.77%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2233	214	56.17%	167	43.83%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2233	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2233	27	75.00%	9	25.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2233	1089	65.52%	573	34.48%	0	0.00%
063 Pinckneyville M							
Polling	2515	835	72.23%	319	27.60%	2	0.17%
Absentee by Mail	2515	98	56.32%	76	43.68%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2515	296	63.93%	166	35.85%	1	0.22%
Provisional	2515	4	57.14%	3	42.86%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2515	13	68.42%	6	31.58%	0	0.00%
Total	2515	1246	68.50%	570	31.34%	3	0.16%
064 Garners D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
065 Berkshire H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
066 Pinckneyville O							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95								
	Reg. Voters	S. HILTON (I) R		B. MOORE (D)		Write-In Votes			
067 Pucketts E									
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
068 Cates I									
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
069 Cates J									
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
070 Goodwins B									
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
071 Lawrenceville F									
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
072 Martins E									
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
073 Pinckneyville P									
Polling	2048	461	51.80%	428	48.09%	1	0.11%		
Absentee by Mail	2048	59	50.00%	59	50.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2048	91	32.04%	193	67.96%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2048	4	80.00%	1	20.00%	0	0.00%		

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95

	Reg. Voters	S. HILTON (I) R		B. MOORE (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	2048	15	65.22%	8	34.78%	0	0.00%
Total	2048	630	47.73%	689	52.20%	1	0.08%
074 Pinckneyville Q							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
075 Rockbridge C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
076 Rockbridge D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
077 Goodwins C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
078 Baycreek K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
079 Cates K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
080 Baycreek C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95

	Reg. Voters	S. HILTON (I) R		B. MOORE (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
081 Cates L							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
082 Duluth E							
Polling	4580	352	30.40%	804	69.43%	2	0.17%
Absentee by Mail	4580	50	30.86%	112	69.14%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4580	279	28.24%	709	71.76%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4580	1	14.29%	6	85.71%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4580	32	29.63%	76	70.37%	0	0.00%
Total	4580	714	29.47%	1707	70.45%	2	0.08%
083 Goodwins D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
084 Lawrenceville G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
085 Lawrenceville H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
086 Martins F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
087 Pinckneyville S							

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95						
	Reg. Voters	S. HILTON (I) R		B. MOORE (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
088 Rockbridge E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
089 Sugar Hill E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
090 Lawrenceville I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
091 Baycreek D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
092 Berkshire J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
093 Cates M							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95						
	Reg. Voters	S. HILTON (I) R		B. MOORE (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
094 Cates N							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
095 Duluth F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
096 Duluth G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
097 Goodwins E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098 Goodwins F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
099 Pinckneyville T							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100 Suwanee B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95

	Reg. Voters	S. HILTON (I) R		B. MOORE (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
101 Pinckneyville U							
Polling	2007	363	44.27%	456	55.61%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	2007	23	31.51%	50	68.49%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2007	74	25.78%	213	74.22%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2007	1	50.00%	1	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2007	13	41.94%	18	58.06%	0	0.00%
Total	2007	474	39.08%	738	60.84%	1	0.08%
102 Pucketts B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
103 Berkshire L							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
104 Rockbridge F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
105 Sugar Hill F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
106 Suwanee C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
107 Cates O							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95						
	Reg. Voters	S. HILTON (I) R		B. MOORE (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
108 Baycreek E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
109 Berkshire M							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
110 Martins G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
111 Duluth H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
112 Berkshire N							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
113 Pinckneyville V							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95

	Reg. Voters	S. HILTON (I) R		B. MOORE (D)		Write-In Votes	
114 Pinckneyville W							
Polling	1770	364	55.91%	287	44.09%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1770	30	40.00%	45	60.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1770	193	44.99%	236	55.01%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1770	3	37.50%	5	62.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1770	12	44.44%	15	55.56%	0	0.00%
Total	1770	602	50.59%	588	49.41%	0	0.00%
115 Martins H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
116 Martins I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
117 Martins J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
118 Garners F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
119 Berkshire O							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
120 Berkshire P							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95

	Reg. Voters	S. HILTON (I) R		B. MOORE (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
121 Pinckneyville X							
Polling	3198	594	54.80%	488	45.02%	2	0.18%
Absentee by Mail	3198	87	39.73%	132	60.27%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3198	341	46.02%	399	53.85%	1	0.13%
Provisional	3198	2	66.67%	1	33.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3198	32	44.44%	40	55.56%	0	0.00%
Total	3198	1056	49.83%	1060	50.02%	3	0.14%
122 Rockbridge G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
123 Pinckneyville Y							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
124 Goodwins G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
125 Pinckneyville Z							
Polling	1806	616	70.24%	261	29.76%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1806	47	48.96%	49	51.04%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1806	195	63.31%	113	36.69%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1806	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1806	23	76.67%	7	23.33%	0	0.00%
Total	1806	883	67.25%	430	32.75%	0	0.00%
126 Pinckneyville A1							
Polling	2596	809	69.09%	362	30.91%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2596	96	60.38%	62	38.99%	1	0.63%
Advance in Person	2596	266	55.77%	211	44.23%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2596	4	80.00%	1	20.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2596	25	52.08%	23	47.92%	0	0.00%
Total	2596	1200	64.52%	659	35.43%	1	0.05%
127 Lawrenceville J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95					
	Reg. Voters	S. HILTON (I) R		B. MOORE (D)	Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
128 Goodwins H						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
129 Duncans B						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
130 Suwanee D						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
131 Suwanee E						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
132 Lawrenceville K						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
133 Harbins B						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
134 Baycreek F						

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95						
	Reg. Voters	S. HILTON (I) R		B. MOORE (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
135 Pucketts C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
136 Hog Mountain C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
137 Rockycreek B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
138 Duluth I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
139 Martins K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
140 Suwanee H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95					
	Reg. Voters	S. HILTON (I) R		B. MOORE (D)		Write-In Votes
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
141 Sugar Hill G						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
142 Suwanee F						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
143 Lawrenceville L						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
144 Lawrenceville M						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
145 Baycreek G						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
146 Baycreek H						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
147 Baycreek I						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95					
	Reg. Voters	S. HILTON (I) R		B. MOORE (D)		Write-In Votes
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
148 Berkshire Q						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
149 Goodwins I						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
150 Duluth K						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
151 Harbins C						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
152 Rockycreek C						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
153 Lawrenceville N						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
154 Pucketts D						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 95 / Camara Estatal 95						
	Reg. Voters	S. HILTON (I) R		B. MOORE (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
155 Suwanee G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
156 Baycreek J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total							
Polling	35311	6720	51.55%	6308	48.39%	9	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	35311	746	43.40%	972	56.54%	1	0.06%
Advance in Person	35311	2713	40.55%	3975	59.41%	3	0.04%
Advance in Person 2	35311	265	42.95%	352	57.05%	0	0.00%
Provisional	35311	27	42.86%	35	55.56%	1	1.59%
Total	35311	10471	47.32%	11642	52.61%	14	0.06%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 96 / Camara Estatal 96				
	Reg. Voters	P. MARIN (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide					
001 Harbins A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
002 Rockbridge A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
003 Dacula					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
004 Suwanee A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
005 Baycreek A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
006 Goodwins A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
007 Duluth A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 96 / Camara Estatal 96				
	Reg. Voters	P. MARIN (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
008 Duncans A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
009 Pucketts A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
010 Cates A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
011 Berkshire A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
012 Berkshire B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
013 Duncans C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
014 Garners A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 96 / Camara Estatal 96				
	Reg. Voters	P. MARIN (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
015 Lawrenceville A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
016 Lawrenceville B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
017 Martins A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
018 Martins B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
019 Martins C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
020 Pinckneyville A					
Polling	2603	603	98.05%	12	1.95%
Absentee by Mail	2603	70	92.11%	6	7.89%
Advance in Person	2603	339	99.41%	2	0.59%
Provisional	2603	7	87.50%	1	12.50%
Advance in Person 2	2603	51	98.08%	1	1.92%
Total	2603	1070	97.99%	22	2.01%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 96 / Camara Estatal 96				
	Reg. Voters	P. MARIN (I) D		Write-In Votes	
021 Pinckneyville B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
022 Pinckneyville C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
023 Pinckneyville D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
024 Sugar Hill A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
025 Sugar Hill B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
026 Hog Mountain A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
027 Hog Mountain B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 96 / Camara Estatal 96				
	Reg. Voters	P. MARIN (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
028 Rockycreek A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
029 Cates B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
030 Cates C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
031 Hog Mountain D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
032 Berkshire D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
033 Berkshire E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
034 Berkshire F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 96 / Camara Estatal 96				
	Reg. Voters	P. MARIN (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
035 Cates D - 04					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
035 Cates D - 07					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
036 Cates E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
037 Pinckneyville E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
038 Pinckneyville F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
039 Duncans D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
040 Pinckneyville H					

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 96 / Camara Estatal 96				
	Reg. Voters	P. MARIN (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
041 Baycreek B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
042 Lawrenceville C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
043 Martins D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
044 Rockbridge B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
045 Garners B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
046 Cates F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State House 96 / Camara Estatal 96

	Reg. Voters	P. MARIN (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-
047 Cates G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
048 Duluth B					
Polling	3332	633	94.76%	35	5.24%
Absentee by Mail	3332	121	95.28%	6	4.72%
Advance in Person	3332	457	95.61%	21	4.39%
Provisional	3332	5	83.33%	1	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	3332	89	93.68%	6	6.32%
Total	3332	1305	94.98%	69	5.02%
049 Garners C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
050 Pinckneyville I					
Polling	2479	540	97.83%	12	2.17%
Absentee by Mail	2479	64	95.52%	3	4.48%
Advance in Person	2479	335	97.95%	7	2.05%
Provisional	2479	6	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2479	56	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2479	1001	97.85%	22	2.15%
051 Pinckneyville J					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
052 Berkshire G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
053 Cates H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 96 / Camara Estatal 96				
	Reg. Voters	P. MARIN (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
054 Sugar Hill C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
055 Sugar Hill D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
056 Duluth C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
057 Duluth D					
Polling	4404	800	94.56%	46	5.44%
Absentee by Mail	4404	164	97.04%	5	2.96%
Advance in Person	4404	744	95.26%	37	4.74%
Provisional	4404	12	92.31%	1	7.69%
Advance in Person 2	4404	102	94.44%	6	5.56%
Total	4404	1822	95.04%	95	4.96%
058 Pinckneyville K					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
059 Pinckneyville N					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
060 Lawrenceville D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 96 / Camara Estatal 96				
	Reg. Voters	P. MARIN (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
061 Lawrenceville E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
062 Pinckneyville L					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
063 Pinckneyville M					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
064 Garners D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
065 Berkshire H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
066 Pinckneyville O					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 96 / Camara Estatal 96				
	Reg. Voters	P. MARIN (I) D		Write-In Votes	
067 Pucketts E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
068 Cates I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
069 Cates J					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
070 Goodwins B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
071 Lawrenceville F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
072 Martins E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
073 Pinckneyville P					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 96 / Camara Estatal 96				
	Reg. Voters	P. MARIN (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
074 Pinckneyville Q					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
075 Rockbridge C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
076 Rockbridge D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
077 Goodwins C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
078 Baycreek K					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
079 Cates K					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
080 Baycreek C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 96 / Camara Estatal 96				
	Reg. Voters	P. MARIN (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
081 Cates L					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
082 Duluth E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
083 Goodwins D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
084 Lawrenceville G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
085 Lawrenceville H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
086 Martins F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
087 Pinckneyville S					

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 96 / Camara Estatal 96				
	Reg. Voters	P. MARIN (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Polling	3013	741	97.63%	18	2.37%
Absentee by Mail	3013	106	96.36%	4	3.64%
Advance in Person	3013	352	96.17%	14	3.83%
Provisional	3013	5	83.33%	1	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	3013	42	93.33%	3	6.67%
Total	3013	1246	96.89%	40	3.11%
088 Rockbridge E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
089 Sugar Hill E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
090 Lawrenceville I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
091 Baycreek D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
092 Berkshire J					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
093 Cates M					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State House 96 / Camara Estatal 96

	Reg. Voters	P. MARIN (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-
094 Cates N					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
095 Duluth F					
Polling	3263	679	98.55%	10	1.45%
Absentee by Mail	3263	66	95.65%	3	4.35%
Advance in Person	3263	333	97.65%	8	2.35%
Provisional	3263	5	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3263	150	98.68%	2	1.32%
Total	3263	1233	98.17%	23	1.83%
096 Duluth G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
097 Goodwins E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
098 Goodwins F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
099 Pinckneyville T					
Polling	2874	635	95.49%	30	4.51%
Absentee by Mail	2874	113	96.58%	4	3.42%
Advance in Person	2874	358	96.24%	14	3.76%
Provisional	2874	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2874	32	91.43%	3	8.57%
Total	2874	1140	95.72%	51	4.28%
100 Suwanee B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 96 / Camara Estatal 96				
	Reg. Voters	P. MARIN (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
101 Pinckneyville U					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
102 Pucketts B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
103 Berkshire L					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
104 Rockbridge F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
105 Sugar Hill F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
106 Suwanee C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
107 Cates O					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 96 / Camara Estatal 96				
	Reg. Voters	P. MARIN (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
108 Baycreek E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
109 Berkshire M					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
110 Martins G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
111 Duluth H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
112 Berkshire N					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
113 Pinckneyville V					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State House 96 / Camara Estatal 96

	Reg. Voters	P. MARIN (I) D		Write-In Votes	
114 Pinckneyville W					
Polling	2115	447	98.24%	8	1.76%
Absentee by Mail	2115	54	98.18%	1	1.82%
Advance in Person	2115	244	98.39%	4	1.61%
Provisional	2115	5	83.33%	1	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	2115	16	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2115	766	98.21%	14	1.79%
115 Martins H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
116 Martins I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
117 Martins J					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
118 Garners F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
119 Berkshire O					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
120 Berkshire P					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 96 / Camara Estatal 96				
	Reg. Voters	P. MARIN (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
121 Pinckneyville X					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
122 Rockbridge G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
123 Pinckneyville Y					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
124 Goodwins G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
125 Pinckneyville Z					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
126 Pinckneyville A1					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
127 Lawrenceville J					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 96 / Camara Estatal 96				
	Reg. Voters	P. MARIN (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
128 Goodwins H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
129 Duncans B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
130 Suwanee D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
131 Suwanee E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
132 Lawrenceville K					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
133 Harbins B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
134 Baycreek F					

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 96 / Camara Estatal 96				
	Reg. Voters	P. MARIN (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
135 Pucketts C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
136 Hog Mountain C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
137 Rockycreek B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
138 Duluth I					
Polling	890	162	97.01%	5	2.99%
Absentee by Mail	890	44	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	890	72	94.74%	4	5.26%
Provisional	890	0	-	0	-
Advance in Person 2	890	72	97.30%	2	2.70%
Total	890	350	96.95%	11	3.05%
139 Martins K					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
140 Suwanee H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 96 / Camara Estatal 96				
	Reg. Voters	P. MARIN (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-
141 Sugar Hill G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
142 Suwanee F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
143 Lawrenceville L					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
144 Lawrenceville M					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
145 Baycreek G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
146 Baycreek H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
147 Baycreek I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 96 / Camara Estatal 96				
	Reg. Voters	P. MARIN (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
148 Berkshire Q					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
149 Goodwins I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
150 Duluth K					
Polling	2694	463	98.51%	7	1.49%
Absentee by Mail	2694	47	97.92%	1	2.08%
Advance in Person	2694	327	98.20%	6	1.80%
Provisional	2694	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2694	37	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2694	877	98.43%	14	1.57%
151 Harbins C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
152 Rockycreek C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
153 Lawrenceville N					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
154 Pucketts D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 96 / Camara Estatal 96				
	Reg. Voters	P. MARIN (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
155 Suwanee G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
156 Baycreek J					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
Total					
Polling	27667	5703	96.89%	183	3.11%
Absentee by Mail	27667	849	96.26%	33	3.74%
Advance in Person	27667	3561	96.82%	117	3.18%
Advance in Person 2	27667	647	96.57%	23	3.43%
Provisional	27667	50	90.91%	5	9.09%
Total	27667	10810	96.77%	361	3.23%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97

	Reg. Voters	B. RICH (R)		A. YAQOOB (D)		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide							
001 Harbins A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
002 Rockbridge A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
003 Dacula							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
004 Suwanee A							
Polling	1551	203	53.99%	173	46.01%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1551	26	60.47%	17	39.53%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1551	14	37.84%	23	62.16%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1551	7	77.78%	2	22.22%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1551	182	46.31%	210	53.44%	1	0.25%
Total	1551	432	50.35%	425	49.53%	1	0.12%
005 Baycreek A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
006 Goodwins A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
007 Duluth A							
Polling	4599	726	52.61%	653	47.32%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	4599	87	39.55%	133	60.45%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4599	295	37.44%	493	62.56%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97						
	Reg. Voters	B. RICH (R)		A. YAQOOB (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	4599	2	40.00%	2	40.00%	1	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	4599	254	47.57%	280	52.43%	0	0.00%
Total	4599	1364	46.60%	1561	53.33%	2	0.07%
008 Duncans A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
009 Pucketts A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
010 Cates A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
011 Berkshire A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
012 Berkshire B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
013 Duncans C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
014 Garners A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97						
	Reg. Voters	B. RICH (R)		A. YAQOOB (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
015 Lawrenceville A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
016 Lawrenceville B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
017 Martins A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
018 Martins B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
019 Martins C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
020 Pinckneyville A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97

	Reg. Voters	B. RICH (R)		A. YAQOOB (D)		Write-In Votes	
021 Pinckneyville B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
022 Pinckneyville C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
023 Pinckneyville D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
024 Sugar Hill A							
Polling	446	43	48.31%	46	51.69%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	446	5	38.46%	8	61.54%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	446	12	27.91%	31	72.09%	0	0.00%
Provisional	446	0	-	0	-	0	-
Advance in Person 2	446	31	50.00%	31	50.00%	0	0.00%
Total	446	91	43.96%	116	56.04%	0	0.00%
025 Sugar Hill B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
026 Hog Mountain A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
027 Hog Mountain B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97					
	Reg. Voters	B. RICH (R)		A. YAQOOB (D)	Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
028 Rockycreek A						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
029 Cates B						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
030 Cates C						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
031 Hog Mountain D						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
032 Berkshire D						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
033 Berkshire E						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
034 Berkshire F						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97					
	Reg. Voters	B. RICH (R)		A. YAQOOB (D)	Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
035 Cates D - 04						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
035 Cates D - 07						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
036 Cates E						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
037 Pinckneyville E						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
038 Pinckneyville F						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
039 Duncans D						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
040 Pinckneyville H						

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97						
	Reg. Voters	B. RICH (R)		A. YAQOOB (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
041 Baycreek B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
042 Lawrenceville C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
043 Martins D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
044 Rockbridge B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
045 Garners B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046 Cates F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97						
	Reg. Voters	B. RICH (R)		A. YAQOOB (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
047 Cates G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
048 Duluth B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
049 Garners C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
050 Pinckneyville I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
051 Pinckneyville J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052 Berkshire G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
053 Cates H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97						
	Reg. Voters	B. RICH (R)		A. YAQOOB (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
054 Sugar Hill C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055 Sugar Hill D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
056 Duluth C							
Polling	1507	253	53.49%	219	46.30%	1	0.21%
Absentee by Mail	1507	35	53.03%	31	46.97%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1507	136	47.06%	153	52.94%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1507	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1507	17	33.33%	34	66.67%	0	0.00%
Total	1507	441	50.11%	438	49.77%	1	0.11%
057 Duluth D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
058 Pinckneyville K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
059 Pinckneyville N							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
060 Lawrenceville D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97						
	Reg. Voters	B. RICH (R)		A. YAQOOB (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
061 Lawrenceville E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
062 Pinckneyville L							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
063 Pinckneyville M							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
064 Garners D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
065 Berkshire H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
066 Pinckneyville O							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97						
	Reg. Voters	B. RICH (R)		A. YAQOOB (D)		Write-In Votes	
067 Pucketts E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
068 Cates I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
069 Cates J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
070 Goodwins B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
071 Lawrenceville F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
072 Martins E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
073 Pinckneyville P							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97						
	Reg. Voters	B. RICH (R)		A. YAQOOB (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
074 Pinckneyville Q							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
075 Rockbridge C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
076 Rockbridge D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
077 Goodwins C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
078 Baycreek K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
079 Cates K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
080 Baycreek C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97						
	Reg. Voters	B. RICH (R)		A. YAQOOB (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
081 Cates L							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
082 Duluth E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
083 Goodwins D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
084 Lawrenceville G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
085 Lawrenceville H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
086 Martins F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
087 Pinckneyville S							

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97						
	Reg. Voters	B. RICH (R)		A. YAQOOB (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
088 Rockbridge E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
089 Sugar Hill E							
Polling	3061	592	63.25%	342	36.54%	2	0.21%
Absentee by Mail	3061	57	47.50%	63	52.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3061	121	61.11%	77	38.89%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3061	5	62.50%	3	37.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3061	312	52.79%	278	47.04%	1	0.17%
Total	3061	1087	58.66%	763	41.18%	3	0.16%
090 Lawrenceville I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
091 Baycreek D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
092 Berkshire J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
093 Cates M							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97						
	Reg. Voters	B. RICH (R)		A. YAQOOB (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
094 Cates N							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
095 Duluth F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
096 Duluth G							
Polling	2775	550	59.65%	371	40.24%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	2775	62	56.88%	47	43.12%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2775	153	46.36%	176	53.33%	1	0.30%
Provisional	2775	0	0.00%	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2775	207	58.97%	144	41.03%	0	0.00%
Total	2775	972	56.68%	741	43.21%	2	0.12%
097 Goodwins E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098 Goodwins F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
099 Pinckneyville T							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100 Suwanee B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97

	Reg. Voters	B. RICH (R)		A. YAQOOB (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
101 Pinckneyville U							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
102 Pucketts B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
103 Berkshire L							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
104 Rockbridge F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
105 Sugar Hill F							
Polling	3687	782	62.86%	459	36.90%	3	0.24%
Absentee by Mail	3687	52	47.27%	58	52.73%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3687	48	39.34%	74	60.66%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3687	3	50.00%	3	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3687	402	58.86%	280	41.00%	1	0.15%
Total	3687	1287	59.45%	874	40.37%	4	0.18%
106 Suwanee C							
Polling	4823	698	58.12%	503	41.88%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4823	88	43.14%	115	56.37%	1	0.49%
Advance in Person	4823	50	48.08%	54	51.92%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4823	10	47.62%	11	52.38%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4823	657	54.07%	557	45.84%	1	0.08%
Total	4823	1503	54.75%	1240	45.17%	2	0.07%
107 Cates O							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97

	Reg. Voters	B. RICH (R)		A. YAQOOB (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
108 Baycreek E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
109 Berkshire M							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
110 Martins G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
111 Duluth H							
Polling	2662	355	48.30%	379	51.56%	1	0.14%
Absentee by Mail	2662	95	50.80%	92	49.20%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2662	260	44.83%	319	55.00%	1	0.17%
Provisional	2662	0	-	0	-	0	-
Advance in Person 2	2662	58	50.88%	56	49.12%	0	0.00%
Total	2662	768	47.52%	846	52.35%	2	0.12%
112 Berkshire N							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
113 Pinckneyville V							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97						
	Reg. Voters	B. RICH (R)		A. YAQOOB (D)		Write-In Votes	
114 Pinckneyville W							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
115 Martins H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
116 Martins I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
117 Martins J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
118 Garners F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
119 Berkshire O							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
120 Berkshire P							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97					
	Reg. Voters	B. RICH (R)		A. YAQOOB (D)	Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
121 Pinckneyville X						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
122 Rockbridge G						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
123 Pinckneyville Y						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
124 Goodwins G						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
125 Pinckneyville Z						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
126 Pinckneyville A1						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
127 Lawrenceville J						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97						
	Reg. Voters	B. RICH (R)		A. YAQOOB (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
128 Goodwins H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
129 Duncans B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
130 Suwanee D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
131 Suwanee E							
Polling	3921	706	65.25%	376	34.75%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3921	91	49.19%	94	50.81%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3921	21	44.68%	26	55.32%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3921	0	-	0	-	0	-
Advance in Person 2	3921	780	61.51%	488	38.49%	0	0.00%
Total	3921	1598	61.89%	984	38.11%	0	0.00%
132 Lawrenceville K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
133 Harbins B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
134 Baycreek F							

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97						
	Reg. Voters	B. RICH (R)		A. YAQOOB (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
135 Pucketts C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
136 Hog Mountain C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
137 Rockycreek B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
138 Duluth I							
Polling	3601	591	58.34%	422	41.66%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3601	123	50.62%	120	49.38%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3601	174	48.07%	188	51.93%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3601	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3601	339	60.43%	222	39.57%	0	0.00%
Total	3601	1228	56.33%	952	43.67%	0	0.00%
139 Martins K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
140 Suwanee H							
Polling	3480	743	72.07%	288	27.93%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3480	107	56.02%	84	43.98%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3480	28	56.00%	22	44.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3480	11	78.57%	3	21.43%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3480	804	72.76%	301	27.24%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97						
	Reg. Voters	B. RICH (R)		A. YAQOOB (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	3480	1693	70.81%	698	29.19%	0	0.00%
141 Sugar Hill G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
142 Suwanee F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
143 Lawrenceville L							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144 Lawrenceville M							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145 Baycreek G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
146 Baycreek H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
147 Baycreek I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97						
	Reg. Voters	B. RICH (R)		A. YAQOOB (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
148 Berkshire Q							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
149 Goodwins I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
150 Duluth K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
151 Harbins C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
152 Rockycreek C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
153 Lawrenceville N							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
154 Pucketts D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 97 / Camara Estatal 97						
	Reg. Voters	B. RICH (R)		A. YAQOOB (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
155 Suwanee G							
Polling	3451	638	55.92%	503	44.08%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3451	52	38.24%	84	61.76%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3451	35	40.23%	52	59.77%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3451	4	66.67%	2	33.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3451	345	45.28%	417	54.72%	0	0.00%
Total	3451	1074	50.38%	1058	49.62%	0	0.00%
156 Baycreek J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total							
Polling	39564	6880	59.19%	4734	40.73%	9	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	39564	880	48.17%	946	51.78%	1	0.05%
Advance in Person	39564	1347	44.35%	1688	55.58%	2	0.07%
Advance in Person 2	39564	4388	57.06%	3298	42.89%	4	0.05%
Provisional	39564	43	58.11%	30	40.54%	1	1.35%
Total	39564	13538	55.82%	10696	44.11%	17	0.07%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98				
	Reg. Voters	D. CLARK (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide					
001 Harbins A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
002 Rockbridge A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
003 Dacula					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
004 Suwanee A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
005 Baycreek A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
006 Goodwins A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
007 Duluth A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98				
	Reg. Voters	D. CLARK (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
008 Duncans A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
009 Pucketts A					
Polling	4844	899	96.77%	30	3.23%
Absentee by Mail	4844	130	88.44%	17	11.56%
Advance in Person	4844	1466	97.21%	42	2.79%
Provisional	4844	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4844	39	88.64%	5	11.36%
Total	4844	2535	96.42%	94	3.58%
010 Cates A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
011 Berkshire A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
012 Berkshire B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
013 Duncans C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
014 Garners A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98				
	Reg. Voters	D. CLARK (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
015 Lawrenceville A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
016 Lawrenceville B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
017 Martins A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
018 Martins B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
019 Martins C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
020 Pinckneyville A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98				
	Reg. Voters	D. CLARK (I) R		Write-In Votes	
021 Pinckneyville B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
022 Pinckneyville C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
023 Pinckneyville D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
024 Sugar Hill A					
Polling	3173	735	96.71%	25	3.29%
Absentee by Mail	3173	77	96.25%	3	3.75%
Advance in Person	3173	418	95.22%	21	4.78%
Provisional	3173	9	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3173	96	96.97%	3	3.03%
Total	3173	1335	96.25%	52	3.75%
025 Sugar Hill B					
Polling	4835	1130	94.72%	63	5.28%
Absentee by Mail	4835	113	86.92%	17	13.08%
Advance in Person	4835	310	93.94%	20	6.06%
Provisional	4835	9	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4835	423	93.17%	31	6.83%
Total	4835	1985	93.81%	131	6.19%
026 Hog Mountain A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
027 Hog Mountain B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98				
	Reg. Voters	D. CLARK (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
028 Rockycreek A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
029 Cates B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
030 Cates C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
031 Hog Mountain D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
032 Berkshire D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
033 Berkshire E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
034 Berkshire F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98				
	Reg. Voters	D. CLARK (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
035 Cates D - 04					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
035 Cates D - 07					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
036 Cates E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
037 Pinckneyville E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
038 Pinckneyville F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
039 Duncans D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
040 Pinckneyville H					

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98				
	Reg. Voters	D. CLARK (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
041 Baycreek B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
042 Lawrenceville C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
043 Martins D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
044 Rockbridge B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
045 Garners B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
046 Cates F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98				
	Reg. Voters	D. CLARK (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-
047 Cates G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
048 Duluth B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
049 Garners C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
050 Pinckneyville I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
051 Pinckneyville J					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
052 Berkshire G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
053 Cates H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98				
	Reg. Voters	D. CLARK (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
054 Sugar Hill C					
Polling	4596	1302	96.95%	41	3.05%
Absentee by Mail	4596	125	95.42%	6	4.58%
Advance in Person	4596	341	94.20%	21	5.80%
Provisional	4596	15	88.24%	2	11.76%
Advance in Person 2	4596	415	95.40%	20	4.60%
Total	4596	2198	96.07%	90	3.93%
055 Sugar Hill D					
Polling	4070	917	95.22%	46	4.78%
Absentee by Mail	4070	99	97.06%	3	2.94%
Advance in Person	4070	625	94.70%	35	5.30%
Provisional	4070	20	86.96%	3	13.04%
Advance in Person 2	4070	114	95.80%	5	4.20%
Total	4070	1775	95.07%	92	4.93%
056 Duluth C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
057 Duluth D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
058 Pinckneyville K					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
059 Pinckneyville N					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
060 Lawrenceville D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98				
	Reg. Voters	D. CLARK (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
061 Lawrenceville E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
062 Pinckneyville L					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
063 Pinckneyville M					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
064 Garners D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
065 Berkshire H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
066 Pinckneyville O					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98				
	Reg. Voters	D. CLARK (I) R		Write-In Votes	
067 Pucketts E					
Polling	4771	788	92.71%	62	7.29%
Absentee by Mail	4771	112	85.50%	19	14.50%
Advance in Person	4771	800	91.74%	72	8.26%
Provisional	4771	14	82.35%	3	17.65%
Advance in Person 2	4771	85	88.54%	11	11.46%
Total	4771	1799	91.51%	167	8.49%
068 Cates I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
069 Cates J					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
070 Goodwins B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
071 Lawrenceville F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
072 Martins E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
073 Pinckneyville P					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98				
	Reg. Voters	D. CLARK (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
074 Pinckneyville Q					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
075 Rockbridge C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
076 Rockbridge D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
077 Goodwins C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
078 Baycreek K					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
079 Cates K					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
080 Baycreek C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98				
	Reg. Voters	D. CLARK (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
081 Cates L					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
082 Duluth E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
083 Goodwins D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
084 Lawrenceville G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
085 Lawrenceville H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
086 Martins F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
087 Pinckneyville S					

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98				
	Reg. Voters	D. CLARK (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
088 Rockbridge E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
089 Sugar Hill E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
090 Lawrenceville I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
091 Baycreek D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
092 Berkshire J					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
093 Cates M					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98				
	Reg. Voters	D. CLARK (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-
094 Cates N					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
095 Duluth F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
096 Duluth G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
097 Goodwins E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
098 Goodwins F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
099 Pinckneyville T					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
100 Suwanee B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98				
	Reg. Voters	D. CLARK (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
101 Pinckneyville U					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
102 Pucketts B					
Polling	2741	658	95.78%	29	4.22%
Absentee by Mail	2741	63	98.44%	1	1.56%
Advance in Person	2741	638	95.80%	28	4.20%
Provisional	2741	8	88.89%	1	11.11%
Advance in Person 2	2741	49	94.23%	3	5.77%
Total	2741	1416	95.81%	62	4.19%
103 Berkshire L					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
104 Rockbridge F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
105 Sugar Hill F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
106 Suwanee C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
107 Cates O					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98				
	Reg. Voters	D. CLARK (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
108 Baycreek E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
109 Berkshire M					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
110 Martins G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
111 Duluth H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
112 Berkshire N					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
113 Pinckneyville V					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98				
	Reg. Voters	D. CLARK (I) R		Write-In Votes	
114 Pinckneyville W					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
115 Martins H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
116 Martins I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
117 Martins J					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
118 Garners F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
119 Berkshire O					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
120 Berkshire P					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98				
	Reg. Voters	D. CLARK (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
121 Pinckneyville X					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
122 Rockbridge G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
123 Pinckneyville Y					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
124 Goodwins G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
125 Pinckneyville Z					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
126 Pinckneyville A1					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
127 Lawrenceville J					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98				
	Reg. Voters	D. CLARK (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
128 Goodwins H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
129 Duncans B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
130 Suwanee D					
Polling	4247	1154	97.47%	30	2.53%
Absentee by Mail	4247	129	94.85%	7	5.15%
Advance in Person	4247	60	92.31%	5	7.69%
Provisional	4247	1	50.00%	1	50.00%
Advance in Person 2	4247	877	94.50%	51	5.50%
Total	4247	2221	95.94%	94	4.06%
131 Suwanee E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
132 Lawrenceville K					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
133 Harbins B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
134 Baycreek F					

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98				
	Reg. Voters	D. CLARK (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
135 Pucketts C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
136 Hog Mountain C					
Polling	2286	548	95.80%	24	4.20%
Absentee by Mail	2286	42	95.45%	2	4.55%
Advance in Person	2286	240	92.66%	19	7.34%
Provisional	2286	0	-	0	-
Advance in Person 2	2286	85	93.41%	6	6.59%
Total	2286	915	94.72%	51	5.28%
137 Rockycreek B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
138 Duluth I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
139 Martins K					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
140 Suwanee H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98				
	Reg. Voters	D. CLARK (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-
141 Sugar Hill G					
Polling	2601	757	98.44%	12	1.56%
Absentee by Mail	2601	57	91.94%	5	8.06%
Advance in Person	2601	90	92.78%	7	7.22%
Provisional	2601	9	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2601	437	93.98%	28	6.02%
Total	2601	1350	96.29%	52	3.71%
142 Suwanee F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
143 Lawrenceville L					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
144 Lawrenceville M					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
145 Baycreek G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
146 Baycreek H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
147 Baycreek I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98				
	Reg. Voters	D. CLARK (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
148 Berkshire Q					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
149 Goodwins I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
150 Duluth K					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
151 Harbins C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
152 Rockycreek C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
153 Lawrenceville N					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
154 Pucketts D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 98 / Camara Estatal 98				
	Reg. Voters	D. CLARK (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
155 Suwanee G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
156 Baycreek J					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
Total					
Polling	38164	8888	96.09%	362	3.91%
Absentee by Mail	38164	947	92.21%	80	7.79%
Advance in Person	38164	4988	94.86%	270	5.14%
Advance in Person 2	38164	2620	94.14%	163	5.86%
Provisional	38164	86	89.58%	10	10.42%
Total	38164	17529	95.19%	885	4.81%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 99 / Camara Estatal 99				
	Reg. Voters	B. L. ROMERO (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide					
001 Harbins A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
002 Rockbridge A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
003 Dacula					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
004 Suwanee A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
005 Baycreek A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
006 Goodwins A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
007 Duluth A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 99 / Camara Estatal 99				
	Reg. Voters	B. L. ROMERO (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
008 Duncans A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
009 Pucketts A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
010 Cates A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
011 Berkshire A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
012 Berkshire B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
013 Duncans C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
014 Garners A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 99 / Camara Estatal 99				
	Reg. Voters	B. L. ROMERO (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
015 Lawrenceville A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
016 Lawrenceville B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
017 Martins A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
018 Martins B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
019 Martins C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
020 Pinckneyville A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State House 99 / Camara Estatal 99

	Reg. Voters	B. L. ROMERO (I) D		Write-In Votes	
021 Pinckneyville B					
Polling	3216	555	98.06%	11	1.94%
Absentee by Mail	3216	98	98.99%	1	1.01%
Advance in Person	3216	485	98.18%	9	1.82%
Provisional	3216	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3216	22	95.65%	1	4.35%
Total	3216	1162	98.14%	22	1.86%
022 Pinckneyville C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
023 Pinckneyville D					
Polling	2042	439	98.21%	8	1.79%
Absentee by Mail	2042	50	98.04%	1	1.96%
Advance in Person	2042	244	97.99%	5	2.01%
Provisional	2042	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2042	33	97.06%	1	2.94%
Total	2042	769	98.09%	15	1.91%
024 Sugar Hill A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
025 Sugar Hill B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
026 Hog Mountain A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
027 Hog Mountain B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 99 / Camara Estatal 99				
	Reg. Voters	B. L. ROMERO (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
028 Rockycreek A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
029 Cates B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
030 Cates C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
031 Hog Mountain D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
032 Berkshire D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
033 Berkshire E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
034 Berkshire F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 99 / Camara Estatal 99				
	Reg. Voters	B. L. ROMERO (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
035 Cates D - 04					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
035 Cates D - 07					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
036 Cates E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
037 Pinckneyville E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
038 Pinckneyville F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
039 Duncans D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
040 Pinckneyville H					

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 99 / Camara Estatal 99				
	Reg. Voters	B. L. ROMERO (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
041 Baycreek B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
042 Lawrenceville C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
043 Martins D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
044 Rockbridge B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
045 Garners B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
046 Cates F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State House 99 / Camara Estatal 99

	Reg. Voters	B. L. ROMERO (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-
047 Cates G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
048 Duluth B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
049 Garners C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
050 Pinckneyville I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
051 Pinckneyville J					
Polling	2543	476	99.17%	4	0.83%
Absentee by Mail	2543	59	96.72%	2	3.28%
Advance in Person	2543	373	98.42%	6	1.58%
Provisional	2543	5	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2543	32	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2543	945	98.75%	12	1.25%
052 Berkshire G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
053 Cates H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 99 / Camara Estatal 99				
	Reg. Voters	B. L. ROMERO (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
054 Sugar Hill C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
055 Sugar Hill D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
056 Duluth C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
057 Duluth D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
058 Pinckneyville K					
Polling	3547	754	97.92%	16	2.08%
Absentee by Mail	3547	100	98.04%	2	1.96%
Advance in Person	3547	422	99.53%	2	0.47%
Provisional	3547	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3547	64	96.97%	2	3.03%
Total	3547	1343	98.39%	22	1.61%
059 Pinckneyville N					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
060 Lawrenceville D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 99 / Camara Estatal 99				
	Reg. Voters	B. L. ROMERO (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
061 Lawrenceville E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
062 Pinckneyville L					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
063 Pinckneyville M					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
064 Garners D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
065 Berkshire H					
Polling	1717	357	97.54%	9	2.46%
Absentee by Mail	1717	46	95.83%	2	4.17%
Advance in Person	1717	261	98.86%	3	1.14%
Provisional	1717	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1717	30	93.75%	2	6.25%
Total	1717	696	97.75%	16	2.25%
066 Pinckneyville O					
Polling	3267	673	98.39%	11	1.61%
Absentee by Mail	3267	64	95.52%	3	4.48%
Advance in Person	3267	528	97.78%	12	2.22%
Provisional	3267	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3267	26	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	3267	1293	98.03%	26	1.97%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 99 / Camara Estatal 99				
	Reg. Voters	B. L. ROMERO (I) D		Write-In Votes	
067 Pucketts E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
068 Cates I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
069 Cates J					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
070 Goodwins B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
071 Lawrenceville F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
072 Martins E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
073 Pinckneyville P					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 99 / Camara Estatal 99				
	Reg. Voters	B. L. ROMERO (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
074 Pinckneyville Q					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
075 Rockbridge C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
076 Rockbridge D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
077 Goodwins C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
078 Baycreek K					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
079 Cates K					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
080 Baycreek C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 99 / Camara Estatal 99				
	Reg. Voters	B. L. ROMERO (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
081 Cates L					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
082 Duluth E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
083 Goodwins D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
084 Lawrenceville G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
085 Lawrenceville H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
086 Martins F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
087 Pinckneyville S					

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 99 / Camara Estatal 99				
	Reg. Voters	B. L. ROMERO (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
088 Rockbridge E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
089 Sugar Hill E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
090 Lawrenceville I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
091 Baycreek D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
092 Berkshire J					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
093 Cates M					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 99 / Camara Estatal 99				
	Reg. Voters	B. L. ROMERO (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-
094 Cates N					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
095 Duluth F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
096 Duluth G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
097 Goodwins E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
098 Goodwins F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
099 Pinckneyville T					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
100 Suwanee B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 99 / Camara Estatal 99				
	Reg. Voters	B. L. ROMERO (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
101 Pinckneyville U					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
102 Pucketts B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
103 Berkshire L					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
104 Rockbridge F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
105 Sugar Hill F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
106 Suwanee C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
107 Cates O					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 99 / Camara Estatal 99				
	Reg. Voters	B. L. ROMERO (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
108 Baycreek E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
109 Berkshire M					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
110 Martins G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
111 Duluth H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
112 Berkshire N					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
113 Pinckneyville V					
Polling	1572	280	96.89%	9	3.11%
Absentee by Mail	1572	42	93.33%	3	6.67%
Advance in Person	1572	273	97.50%	7	2.50%
Provisional	1572	0	-	0	-
Advance in Person 2	1572	8	80.00%	2	20.00%
Total	1572	603	96.63%	21	3.37%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 99 / Camara Estatal 99				
	Reg. Voters	B. L. ROMERO (I) D		Write-In Votes	
114 Pinckneyville W					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
115 Martins H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
116 Martins I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
117 Martins J					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
118 Garners F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
119 Berkshire O					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
120 Berkshire P					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 99 / Camara Estatal 99				
	Reg. Voters	B. L. ROMERO (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
121 Pinckneyville X					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
122 Rockbridge G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
123 Pinckneyville Y					
Polling	1409	330	97.06%	10	2.94%
Absentee by Mail	1409	22	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1409	178	97.27%	5	2.73%
Provisional	1409	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1409	20	95.24%	1	4.76%
Total	1409	551	97.18%	16	2.82%
124 Goodwins G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
125 Pinckneyville Z					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
126 Pinckneyville A1					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
127 Lawrenceville J					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 99 / Camara Estatal 99				
	Reg. Voters	B. L. ROMERO (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
128 Goodwins H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
129 Duncans B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
130 Suwanee D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
131 Suwanee E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
132 Lawrenceville K					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
133 Harbins B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
134 Baycreek F					

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 99 / Camara Estatal 99				
	Reg. Voters	B. L. ROMERO (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
135 Pucketts C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
136 Hog Mountain C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
137 Rockycreek B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
138 Duluth I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
139 Martins K					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
140 Suwanee H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 99 / Camara Estatal 99				
	Reg. Voters	B. L. ROMERO (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-
141 Sugar Hill G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
142 Suwanee F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
143 Lawrenceville L					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
144 Lawrenceville M					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
145 Baycreek G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
146 Baycreek H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
147 Baycreek I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 99 / Camara Estatal 99				
	Reg. Voters	B. L. ROMERO (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
148 Berkshire Q					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
149 Goodwins I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
150 Duluth K					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
151 Harbins C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
152 Rockycreek C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
153 Lawrenceville N					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
154 Pucketts D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 99 / Camara Estatal 99				
	Reg. Voters	B. L. ROMERO (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
155 Suwanee G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
156 Baycreek J					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
Total					
Polling	19313	3864	98.02%	78	1.98%
Absentee by Mail	19313	481	97.17%	14	2.83%
Advance in Person	19313	2764	98.26%	49	1.74%
Advance in Person 2	19313	235	96.31%	9	3.69%
Provisional	19313	18	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	19313	7362	98.00%	150	2.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 100 / Camara Estatal 100				
	Reg. Voters	D. L. MCCLAIN (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide					
001 Harbins A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
002 Rockbridge A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
003 Dacula					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
004 Suwanee A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
005 Baycreek A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
006 Goodwins A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
007 Duluth A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 100 / Camara Estatal 100				
	Reg. Voters	D. L. MCCLAIN (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
008 Duncans A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
009 Pucketts A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
010 Cates A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
011 Berkshire A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
012 Berkshire B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
013 Duncans C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
014 Garners A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 100 / Camara Estatal 100				
	Reg. Voters	D. L. MCCLAIN (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
015 Lawrenceville A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
016 Lawrenceville B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
017 Martins A					
Polling	2015	437	96.90%	14	3.10%
Absentee by Mail	2015	55	94.83%	3	5.17%
Advance in Person	2015	181	98.37%	3	1.63%
Provisional	2015	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2015	192	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2015	867	97.75%	20	2.25%
018 Martins B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
019 Martins C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
020 Pinckneyville A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 100 / Camara Estatal 100				
	Reg. Voters	D. L. MCCLAIN (I) D		Write-In Votes	
021 Pinckneyville B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
022 Pinckneyville C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
023 Pinckneyville D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
024 Sugar Hill A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
025 Sugar Hill B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
026 Hog Mountain A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
027 Hog Mountain B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 100 / Camara Estatal 100				
	Reg. Voters	D. L. MCCLAIN (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
028 Rockycreek A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
029 Cates B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
030 Cates C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
031 Hog Mountain D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
032 Berkshire D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
033 Berkshire E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
034 Berkshire F					
Polling	2003	468	97.91%	10	2.09%
Absentee by Mail	2003	63	87.50%	9	12.50%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 100 / Camara Estatal 100				
	Reg. Voters	D. L. MCCLAIN (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	2003	210	97.67%	5	2.33%
Provisional	2003	0	0.00%	1	100.00%
Advance in Person 2	2003	56	98.25%	1	1.75%
Total	2003	797	96.84%	26	3.16%
035 Cates D - 04					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
035 Cates D - 07					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
036 Cates E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
037 Pinckneyville E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
038 Pinckneyville F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
039 Duncans D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
040 Pinckneyville H					

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 100 / Camara Estatal 100				
	Reg. Voters	D. L. MCCLAIN (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
041 Baycreek B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
042 Lawrenceville C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
043 Martins D					
Polling	2059	509	98.83%	6	1.17%
Absentee by Mail	2059	61	98.39%	1	1.61%
Advance in Person	2059	193	99.48%	1	0.52%
Provisional	2059	5	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2059	81	97.59%	2	2.41%
Total	2059	849	98.84%	10	1.16%
044 Rockbridge B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
045 Garners B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
046 Cates F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 100 / Camara Estatal 100				
	Reg. Voters	D. L. MCCLAIN (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-
047 Cates G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
048 Duluth B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
049 Garners C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
050 Pinckneyville I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
051 Pinckneyville J					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
052 Berkshire G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
053 Cates H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 100 / Camara Estatal 100				
	Reg. Voters	D. L. MCCLAIN (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
054 Sugar Hill C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
055 Sugar Hill D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
056 Duluth C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
057 Duluth D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
058 Pinckneyville K					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
059 Pinckneyville N					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
060 Lawrenceville D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 100 / Camara Estatal 100				
	Reg. Voters	D. L. MCCLAIN (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
061 Lawrenceville E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
062 Pinckneyville L					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
063 Pinckneyville M					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
064 Garners D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
065 Berkshire H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
066 Pinckneyville O					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 100 / Camara Estatal 100				
	Reg. Voters	D. L. MCCLAIN (I) D		Write-In Votes	
067 Pucketts E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
068 Cates I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
069 Cates J					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
070 Goodwins B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
071 Lawrenceville F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
072 Martins E					
Polling	5934	1101	99.37%	7	0.63%
Absentee by Mail	5934	173	97.74%	4	2.26%
Advance in Person	5934	642	98.92%	7	1.08%
Provisional	5934	22	95.65%	1	4.35%
Advance in Person 2	5934	225	99.12%	2	0.88%
Total	5934	2163	99.04%	21	0.96%
073 Pinckneyville P					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 100 / Camara Estatal 100				
	Reg. Voters	D. L. MCCLAIN (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
074 Pinckneyville Q					
Polling	1725	536	99.08%	5	0.92%
Absentee by Mail	1725	35	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1725	174	100.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1725	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1725	31	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	1725	779	99.36%	5	0.64%
075 Rockbridge C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
076 Rockbridge D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
077 Goodwins C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
078 Baycreek K					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
079 Cates K					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
080 Baycreek C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 100 / Camara Estatal 100				
	Reg. Voters	D. L. MCCLAIN (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
081 Cates L					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
082 Duluth E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
083 Goodwins D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
084 Lawrenceville G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
085 Lawrenceville H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
086 Martins F					
Polling	2401	549	97.00%	17	3.00%
Absentee by Mail	2401	73	97.33%	2	2.67%
Advance in Person	2401	275	97.86%	6	2.14%
Provisional	2401	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2401	84	98.82%	1	1.18%
Total	2401	982	97.42%	26	2.58%
087 Pinckneyville S					

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 100 / Camara Estatal 100				
	Reg. Voters	D. L. MCCLAIN (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
088 Rockbridge E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
089 Sugar Hill E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
090 Lawrenceville I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
091 Baycreek D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
092 Berkshire J					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
093 Cates M					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 100 / Camara Estatal 100				
	Reg. Voters	D. L. MCCLAIN (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-
094 Cates N					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
095 Duluth F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
096 Duluth G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
097 Goodwins E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
098 Goodwins F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
099 Pinckneyville T					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
100 Suwanee B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 100 / Camara Estatal 100				
	Reg. Voters	D. L. MCCLAIN (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
101 Pinckneyville U					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
102 Pucketts B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
103 Berkshire L					
Polling	2915	733	97.99%	15	2.01%
Absentee by Mail	2915	100	99.01%	1	0.99%
Advance in Person	2915	281	96.90%	9	3.10%
Provisional	2915	5	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2915	149	96.75%	5	3.25%
Total	2915	1268	97.69%	30	2.31%
104 Rockbridge F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
105 Sugar Hill F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
106 Suwanee C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
107 Cates O					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 100 / Camara Estatal 100				
	Reg. Voters	D. L. MCCLAIN (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
108 Baycreek E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
109 Berkshire M					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
110 Martins G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
111 Duluth H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
112 Berkshire N					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
113 Pinckneyville V					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 100 / Camara Estatal 100				
	Reg. Voters	D. L. MCCLAIN (I) D		Write-In Votes	
114 Pinckneyville W					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
115 Martins H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
116 Martins I					
Polling	3518	783	98.61%	11	1.39%
Absentee by Mail	3518	118	95.16%	6	4.84%
Advance in Person	3518	287	98.29%	5	1.71%
Provisional	3518	5	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3518	211	99.53%	1	0.47%
Total	3518	1404	98.39%	23	1.61%
117 Martins J					
Polling	3809	895	98.46%	14	1.54%
Absentee by Mail	3809	107	97.27%	3	2.73%
Advance in Person	3809	322	98.77%	4	1.23%
Provisional	3809	8	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3809	227	99.13%	2	0.87%
Total	3809	1559	98.55%	23	1.45%
118 Garners F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
119 Berkshire O					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
120 Berkshire P					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 100 / Camara Estatal 100				
	Reg. Voters	D. L. MCCLAIN (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
121 Pinckneyville X					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
122 Rockbridge G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
123 Pinckneyville Y					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
124 Goodwins G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
125 Pinckneyville Z					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
126 Pinckneyville A1					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
127 Lawrenceville J					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 100 / Camara Estatal 100				
	Reg. Voters	D. L. MCCLAIN (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
128 Goodwins H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
129 Duncans B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
130 Suwanee D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
131 Suwanee E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
132 Lawrenceville K					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
133 Harbins B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
134 Baycreek F					

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 100 / Camara Estatal 100				
	Reg. Voters	D. L. MCCLAIN (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
135 Pucketts C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
136 Hog Mountain C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
137 Rockycreek B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
138 Duluth I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
139 Martins K					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
140 Suwanee H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 100 / Camara Estatal 100				
	Reg. Voters	D. L. MCCLAIN (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-
141 Sugar Hill G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
142 Suwanee F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
143 Lawrenceville L					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
144 Lawrenceville M					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
145 Baycreek G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
146 Baycreek H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
147 Baycreek I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 100 / Camara Estatal 100				
	Reg. Voters	D. L. MCCLAIN (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
148 Berkshire Q					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
149 Goodwins I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
150 Duluth K					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
151 Harbins C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
152 Rockycreek C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
153 Lawrenceville N					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
154 Pucketts D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 100 / Camara Estatal 100				
	Reg. Voters	D. L. MCCLAIN (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
155 Suwanee G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
156 Baycreek J					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
Total					
Polling	26379	6011	98.38%	99	1.62%
Absentee by Mail	26379	785	96.44%	29	3.56%
Advance in Person	26379	2565	98.46%	40	1.54%
Advance in Person 2	26379	1256	98.90%	14	1.10%
Provisional	26379	51	96.23%	2	3.77%
Total	26379	10668	98.30%	184	1.70%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State House 101 / Camara Estatal 101

	Reg. Voters	V. CLARK (R)		S. PARK (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide							
001 Harbins A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
002 Rockbridge A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
003 Dacula							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
004 Suwanee A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
005 Baycreek A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
006 Goodwins A							
Polling	3583	515	44.32%	646	55.59%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	3583	55	42.97%	73	57.03%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3583	28	26.17%	79	73.83%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3583	1	16.67%	5	83.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3583	266	39.82%	401	60.03%	1	0.15%
Total	3583	865	41.77%	1204	58.14%	2	0.10%
007 Duluth A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 101 / Camara Estatal 101						
	Reg. Voters	V. CLARK (R)		S. PARK (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
008 Duncans A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
009 Pucketts A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
010 Cates A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
011 Berkshire A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
012 Berkshire B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
013 Duncans C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
014 Garners A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State House 101 / Camara Estatal 101

	Reg. Voters	V. CLARK (R)		S. PARK (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
015 Lawrenceville A							
Polling	3300	329	46.08%	385	53.92%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3300	78	53.06%	69	46.94%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3300	7	41.18%	10	58.82%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3300	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3300	376	50.40%	370	49.60%	0	0.00%
Total	3300	790	48.62%	835	51.38%	0	0.00%
016 Lawrenceville B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
017 Martins A							
Polling	1004	77	25.41%	226	74.59%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1004	6	17.65%	28	82.35%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1004	14	28.00%	36	72.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1004	0	-	0	-	0	-
Advance in Person 2	1004	19	19.39%	79	80.61%	0	0.00%
Total	1004	116	23.92%	369	76.08%	0	0.00%
018 Martins B							
Polling	2796	276	33.21%	553	66.55%	2	0.24%
Absentee by Mail	2796	25	26.88%	68	73.12%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2796	16	17.20%	77	82.80%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2796	0	0.00%	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2796	140	31.11%	310	68.89%	0	0.00%
Total	2796	457	31.00%	1015	68.86%	2	0.14%
019 Martins C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
020 Pinckneyville A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 101 / Camara Estatal 101						
	Reg. Voters	V. CLARK (R)		S. PARK (I) D		Write-In Votes	
021 Pinckneyville B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
022 Pinckneyville C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
023 Pinckneyville D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
024 Sugar Hill A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
025 Sugar Hill B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
026 Hog Mountain A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
027 Hog Mountain B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 101 / Camara Estatal 101						
	Reg. Voters	V. CLARK (R)		S. PARK (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
028 Rockycreek A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
029 Cates B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
030 Cates C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
031 Hog Mountain D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
032 Berkshire D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
033 Berkshire E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
034 Berkshire F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 101 / Camara Estatal 101						
	Reg. Voters	V. CLARK (R)		S. PARK (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
035 Cates D - 04							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
035 Cates D - 07							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
036 Cates E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
037 Pinckneyville E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
038 Pinckneyville F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
039 Duncans D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
040 Pinckneyville H							

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 101 / Camara Estatal 101					
	Reg. Voters	V. CLARK (R)		S. PARK (I) D		Write-In Votes
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
041 Baycreek B						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
042 Lawrenceville C						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
043 Martins D						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
044 Rockbridge B						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
045 Garners B						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
046 Cates F						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State House 101 / Camara Estatal 101

	Reg. Voters	V. CLARK (R)		S. PARK (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
047 Cates G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
048 Duluth B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
049 Garners C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
050 Pinckneyville I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
051 Pinckneyville J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052 Berkshire G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
053 Cates H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 101 / Camara Estatal 101						
	Reg. Voters	V. CLARK (R)		S. PARK (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
054 Sugar Hill C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055 Sugar Hill D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
056 Duluth C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
057 Duluth D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
058 Pinckneyville K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
059 Pinckneyville N							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
060 Lawrenceville D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State House 101 / Camara Estatal 101

	Reg. Voters	V. CLARK (R)		S. PARK (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
061 Lawrenceville E							
Polling	2205	357	56.31%	277	43.69%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2205	56	54.37%	46	44.66%	1	0.97%
Advance in Person	2205	12	54.55%	10	45.45%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2205	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2205	350	56.00%	275	44.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2205	776	56.03%	608	43.90%	1	0.07%
062 Pinckneyville L							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
063 Pinckneyville M							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
064 Garners D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
065 Berkshire H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
066 Pinckneyville O							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 101 / Camara Estatal 101						
	Reg. Voters	V. CLARK (R)		S. PARK (I) D		Write-In Votes	
067 Pucketts E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
068 Cates I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
069 Cates J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
070 Goodwins B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
071 Lawrenceville F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
072 Martins E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
073 Pinckneyville P							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State House 101 / Camara Estatal 101

	Reg. Voters	V. CLARK (R)		S. PARK (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
074 Pinckneyville Q							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
075 Rockbridge C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
076 Rockbridge D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
077 Goodwins C							
Polling	5106	498	36.86%	851	62.99%	2	0.15%
Absentee by Mail	5106	77	36.32%	134	63.21%	1	0.47%
Advance in Person	5106	56	20.14%	222	79.86%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5106	1	50.00%	1	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5106	291	34.36%	555	65.53%	1	0.12%
Total	5106	923	34.31%	1763	65.54%	4	0.15%
078 Baycreek K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
079 Cates K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
080 Baycreek C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 101 / Camara Estatal 101						
	Reg. Voters	V. CLARK (R)		S. PARK (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
081 Cates L							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
082 Duluth E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
083 Goodwins D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
084 Lawrenceville G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
085 Lawrenceville H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
086 Martins F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
087 Pinckneyville S							

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 101 / Camara Estatal 101						
	Reg. Voters	V. CLARK (R)		S. PARK (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
088 Rockbridge E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
089 Sugar Hill E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
090 Lawrenceville I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
091 Baycreek D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
092 Berkshire J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
093 Cates M							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State House 101 / Camara Estatal 101

	Reg. Voters	V. CLARK (R)		S. PARK (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
094 Cates N							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
095 Duluth F							
Polling	2150	105	22.06%	371	77.94%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2150	31	31.63%	67	68.37%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2150	33	16.02%	173	83.98%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2150	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2150	62	23.66%	200	76.34%	0	0.00%
Total	2150	232	22.18%	814	77.82%	0	0.00%
096 Duluth G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
097 Goodwins E							
Polling	4950	774	50.99%	742	48.88%	2	0.13%
Absentee by Mail	4950	69	30.53%	157	69.47%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4950	45	27.11%	120	72.29%	1	0.60%
Provisional	4950	2	18.18%	9	81.82%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4950	422	44.61%	523	55.29%	1	0.11%
Total	4950	1312	45.76%	1551	54.10%	4	0.14%
098 Goodwins F							
Polling	2904	394	44.93%	481	54.85%	2	0.23%
Absentee by Mail	2904	57	43.18%	75	56.82%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2904	25	38.46%	40	61.54%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2904	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2904	248	42.83%	330	56.99%	1	0.17%
Total	2904	724	43.77%	927	56.05%	3	0.18%
099 Pinckneyville T							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100 Suwanee B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 101 / Camara Estatal 101						
	Reg. Voters	V. CLARK (R)		S. PARK (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
101 Pinckneyville U							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
102 Pucketts B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
103 Berkshire L							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
104 Rockbridge F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
105 Sugar Hill F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
106 Suwanee C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
107 Cates O							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State House 101 / Camara Estatal 101

	Reg. Voters	V. CLARK (R)		S. PARK (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
108 Baycreek E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
109 Berkshire M							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
110 Martins G							
Polling	2187	203	30.34%	466	69.66%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2187	27	36.99%	46	63.01%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2187	3	9.09%	30	90.91%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2187	0	0.00%	1	50.00%	1	50.00%
Advance in Person 2	2187	80	22.99%	268	77.01%	0	0.00%
Total	2187	313	27.82%	811	72.09%	1	0.09%
111 Duluth H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
112 Berkshire N							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
113 Pinckneyville V							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 101 / Camara Estatal 101						
	Reg. Voters	V. CLARK (R)		S. PARK (I) D		Write-In Votes	
114 Pinckneyville W							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
115 Martins H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
116 Martins I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
117 Martins J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
118 Garners F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
119 Berkshire O							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
120 Berkshire P							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 101 / Camara Estatal 101						
	Reg. Voters	V. CLARK (R)		S. PARK (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
121 Pinckneyville X							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
122 Rockbridge G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
123 Pinckneyville Y							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
124 Goodwins G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
125 Pinckneyville Z							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
126 Pinckneyville A1							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
127 Lawrenceville J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State House 101 / Camara Estatal 101

	Reg. Voters	V. CLARK (R)		S. PARK (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
128 Goodwins H							
Polling	2561	439	52.96%	388	46.80%	2	0.24%
Absentee by Mail	2561	42	39.25%	65	60.75%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2561	21	40.38%	31	59.62%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2561	0	0.00%	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2561	215	39.96%	322	59.85%	1	0.19%
Total	2561	717	46.89%	809	52.91%	3	0.20%
129 Duncans B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
130 Suwanee D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
131 Suwanee E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132 Lawrenceville K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
133 Harbins B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
134 Baycreek F							

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 101 / Camara Estatal 101						
	Reg. Voters	V. CLARK (R)		S. PARK (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
135 Pucketts C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
136 Hog Mountain C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
137 Rockycreek B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
138 Duluth I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
139 Martins K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
140 Suwanee H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 101 / Camara Estatal 101						
	Reg. Voters	V. CLARK (R)		S. PARK (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
141 Sugar Hill G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
142 Suwanee F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
143 Lawrenceville L							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144 Lawrenceville M							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145 Baycreek G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
146 Baycreek H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
147 Baycreek I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State House 101 / Camara Estatal 101

	Reg. Voters	V. CLARK (R)		S. PARK (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
148 Berkshire Q							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
149 Goodwins I							
Polling	2341	496	56.05%	389	43.95%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2341	59	46.09%	68	53.13%	1	0.78%
Advance in Person	2341	20	23.26%	66	76.74%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2341	1	33.33%	2	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2341	226	49.78%	228	50.22%	0	0.00%
Total	2341	802	51.54%	753	48.39%	1	0.06%
150 Duluth K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
151 Harbins C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
152 Rockycreek C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
153 Lawrenceville N							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
154 Pucketts D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State House 101 / Camara Estatal 101

	Reg. Voters	V. CLARK (R)		S. PARK (I) D		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
155 Suwanee G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
156 Baycreek J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total							
Polling	35087	4463	43.55%	5775	56.35%	11	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	35087	582	39.30%	896	60.50%	3	0.20%
Advance in Person	35087	280	23.83%	894	76.09%	1	0.09%
Advance in Person 2	35087	2695	41.08%	3861	58.85%	5	0.08%
Provisional	35087	7	17.07%	33	80.49%	1	2.44%
Total	35087	8027	41.15%	11459	58.74%	21	0.11%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 102 / Camara Estatal 102						
	Reg. Voters	P. HASTINGS (R)		G. KENNARD (D)		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide							
001 Harbins A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
002 Rockbridge A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
003 Dacula							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
004 Suwanee A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
005 Baycreek A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
006 Goodwins A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
007 Duluth A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 102 / Camara Estatal 102					
	Reg. Voters	P. HASTINGS (R)		G. KENNARD (D)	Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
008 Duncans A						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
009 Pucketts A						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
010 Cates A						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
011 Berkshire A						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
012 Berkshire B						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
013 Duncans C						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
014 Garners A						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 102 / Camara Estatal 102						
	Reg. Voters	P. HASTINGS (R)		G. KENNARD (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
015 Lawrenceville A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
016 Lawrenceville B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
017 Martins A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
018 Martins B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
019 Martins C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
020 Pinckneyville A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State House 102 / Camara Estatal 102

	Reg. Voters	P. HASTINGS (R)		G. KENNARD (D)		Write-In Votes	
021 Pinckneyville B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
022 Pinckneyville C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
023 Pinckneyville D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
024 Sugar Hill A							
Polling	382	30	37.97%	48	60.76%	1	1.27%
Absentee by Mail	382	2	40.00%	3	60.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	382	22	33.85%	43	66.15%	0	0.00%
Provisional	382	0	-	0	-	0	-
Advance in Person 2	382	7	50.00%	7	50.00%	0	0.00%
Total	382	61	37.42%	101	61.96%	1	0.61%
025 Sugar Hill B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
026 Hog Mountain A							
Polling	4467	600	46.01%	703	53.91%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	4467	53	33.54%	103	65.19%	2	1.27%
Advance in Person	4467	145	38.46%	232	61.54%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4467	3	25.00%	9	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4467	200	34.13%	386	65.87%	0	0.00%
Total	4467	1001	41.08%	1433	58.80%	3	0.12%
027 Hog Mountain B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 102 / Camara Estatal 102						
	Reg. Voters	P. HASTINGS (R)		G. KENNARD (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
028 Rockycreek A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
029 Cates B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
030 Cates C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
031 Hog Mountain D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
032 Berkshire D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
033 Berkshire E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
034 Berkshire F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 102 / Camara Estatal 102						
	Reg. Voters	P. HASTINGS (R)		G. KENNARD (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
035 Cates D - 04							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
035 Cates D - 07							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
036 Cates E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
037 Pinckneyville E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
038 Pinckneyville F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
039 Duncans D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
040 Pinckneyville H							

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State House 102 / Camara Estatal 102

	Reg. Voters	P. HASTINGS (R)		G. KENNARD (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
041 Baycreek B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
042 Lawrenceville C							
Polling	2761	549	62.46%	329	37.43%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	2761	46	47.42%	51	52.58%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2761	197	62.54%	118	37.46%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2761	1	50.00%	1	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2761	184	51.40%	174	48.60%	0	0.00%
Total	2761	977	59.18%	673	40.76%	1	0.06%
043 Martins D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
044 Rockbridge B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
045 Garners B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046 Cates F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State House 102 / Camara Estatal 102

	Reg. Voters	P. HASTINGS (R)		G. KENNARD (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
047 Cates G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
048 Duluth B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
049 Garners C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
050 Pinckneyville I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
051 Pinckneyville J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052 Berkshire G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
053 Cates H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 102 / Camara Estatal 102						
	Reg. Voters	P. HASTINGS (R)		G. KENNARD (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
054 Sugar Hill C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055 Sugar Hill D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
056 Duluth C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
057 Duluth D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
058 Pinckneyville K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
059 Pinckneyville N							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
060 Lawrenceville D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 102 / Camara Estatal 102						
	Reg. Voters	P. HASTINGS (R)		G. KENNARD (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
061 Lawrenceville E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
062 Pinckneyville L							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
063 Pinckneyville M							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
064 Garners D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
065 Berkshire H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
066 Pinckneyville O							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State House 102 / Camara Estatal 102

	Reg. Voters	P. HASTINGS (R)		G. KENNARD (D)		Write-In Votes	
067 Pucketts E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
068 Cates I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
069 Cates J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
070 Goodwins B							
Polling	3604	724	60.59%	468	39.16%	3	0.25%
Absentee by Mail	3604	83	54.61%	69	45.39%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3604	123	59.71%	83	40.29%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3604	1	50.00%	0	0.00%	1	50.00%
Advance in Person 2	3604	379	54.77%	313	45.23%	0	0.00%
Total	3604	1310	58.30%	933	41.52%	4	0.18%
071 Lawrenceville F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
072 Martins E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
073 Pinckneyville P							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 102 / Camara Estatal 102						
	Reg. Voters	P. HASTINGS (R)		G. KENNARD (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
074 Pinckneyville Q							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
075 Rockbridge C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
076 Rockbridge D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
077 Goodwins C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
078 Baycreek K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
079 Cates K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
080 Baycreek C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State House 102 / Camara Estatal 102

	Reg. Voters	P. HASTINGS (R)		G. KENNARD (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
081 Cates L							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
082 Duluth E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
083 Goodwins D							
Polling	3985	626	49.29%	643	50.63%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	3985	60	42.55%	81	57.45%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3985	40	38.10%	65	61.90%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3985	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3985	330	43.31%	431	56.56%	1	0.13%
Total	3985	1056	46.34%	1221	53.58%	2	0.09%
084 Lawrenceville G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
085 Lawrenceville H							
Polling	3899	642	53.37%	561	46.63%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3899	82	50.93%	79	49.07%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3899	128	53.78%	109	45.80%	1	0.42%
Provisional	3899	1	33.33%	2	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3899	381	48.91%	398	51.09%	0	0.00%
Total	3899	1234	51.76%	1149	48.20%	1	0.04%
086 Martins F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
087 Pinckneyville S							

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State House 102 / Camara Estatal 102

	Reg. Voters	P. HASTINGS (R)		G. KENNARD (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
088 Rockbridge E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
089 Sugar Hill E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
090 Lawrenceville I							
Polling	3140	340	36.96%	578	62.83%	2	0.22%
Absentee by Mail	3140	41	33.06%	83	66.94%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3140	63	44.06%	79	55.24%	1	0.70%
Provisional	3140	0	-	0	-	0	-
Advance in Person 2	3140	197	37.38%	330	62.62%	0	0.00%
Total	3140	641	37.40%	1070	62.43%	3	0.18%
091 Baycreek D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
092 Berkshire J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
093 Cates M							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State House 102 / Camara Estatal 102

	Reg. Voters	P. HASTINGS (R)		G. KENNARD (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
094 Cates N							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
095 Duluth F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
096 Duluth G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
097 Goodwins E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098 Goodwins F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
099 Pinckneyville T							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100 Suwanee B							
Polling	4085	483	57.09%	361	42.67%	2	0.24%
Absentee by Mail	4085	52	39.10%	80	60.15%	1	0.75%
Advance in Person	4085	9	30.00%	21	70.00%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State House 102 / Camara Estatal 102

	Reg. Voters	P. HASTINGS (R)		G. KENNARD (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	4085	2	66.67%	1	33.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4085	810	54.55%	675	45.45%	0	0.00%
Total	4085	1356	54.31%	1138	45.57%	3	0.12%
101 Pinckneyville U							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
102 Pucketts B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
103 Berkshire L							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
104 Rockbridge F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
105 Sugar Hill F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
106 Suwanee C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
107 Cates O							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 102 / Camara Estatal 102						
	Reg. Voters	P. HASTINGS (R)		G. KENNARD (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
108 Baycreek E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
109 Berkshire M							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
110 Martins G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
111 Duluth H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
112 Berkshire N							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
113 Pinckneyville V							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 102 / Camara Estatal 102						
	Reg. Voters	P. HASTINGS (R)		G. KENNARD (D)		Write-In Votes	
114 Pinckneyville W							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
115 Martins H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
116 Martins I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
117 Martins J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
118 Garners F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
119 Berkshire O							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
120 Berkshire P							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State House 102 / Camara Estatal 102

	Reg. Voters	P. HASTINGS (R)		G. KENNARD (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
121 Pinckneyville X							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
122 Rockbridge G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
123 Pinckneyville Y							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
124 Goodwins G							
Polling	2448	227	29.99%	529	69.88%	1	0.13%
Absentee by Mail	2448	15	21.43%	55	78.57%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2448	14	20.00%	56	80.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2448	1	25.00%	2	50.00%	1	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	2448	102	24.46%	315	75.54%	0	0.00%
Total	2448	359	27.24%	957	72.61%	2	0.15%
125 Pinckneyville Z							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
126 Pinckneyville A1							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
127 Lawrenceville J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State House 102 / Camara Estatal 102

	Reg. Voters	P. HASTINGS (R)		G. KENNARD (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
128 Goodwins H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
129 Duncans B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
130 Suwanee D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
131 Suwanee E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132 Lawrenceville K							
Polling	2915	536	52.81%	478	47.09%	1	0.10%
Absentee by Mail	2915	52	47.27%	58	52.73%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2915	99	55.31%	80	44.69%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2915	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2915	223	42.80%	298	57.20%	0	0.00%
Total	2915	911	49.81%	917	50.14%	1	0.05%
133 Harbins B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
134 Baycreek F							

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State House 102 / Camara Estatal 102

	Reg. Voters	P. HASTINGS (R)		G. KENNARD (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
135 Pucketts C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
136 Hog Mountain C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
137 Rockycreek B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
138 Duluth I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
139 Martins K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
140 Suwanee H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State House 102 / Camara Estatal 102

	Reg. Voters	P. HASTINGS (R)		G. KENNARD (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
141 Sugar Hill G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
142 Suwanee F							
Polling	3598	622	57.54%	457	42.28%	2	0.19%
Absentee by Mail	3598	54	37.76%	88	61.54%	1	0.70%
Advance in Person	3598	26	36.11%	46	63.89%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3598	4	44.44%	5	55.56%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3598	421	49.53%	429	50.47%	0	0.00%
Total	3598	1127	52.30%	1025	47.56%	3	0.14%
143 Lawrenceville L							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144 Lawrenceville M							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145 Baycreek G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
146 Baycreek H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
147 Baycreek I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 102 / Camara Estatal 102						
	Reg. Voters	P. HASTINGS (R)		G. KENNARD (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
148 Berkshire Q							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
149 Goodwins I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
150 Duluth K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
151 Harbins C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
152 Rockycreek C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
153 Lawrenceville N							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
154 Pucketts D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State House 102 / Camara Estatal 102

	Reg. Voters	P. HASTINGS (R)		G. KENNARD (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
155 Suwanee G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
156 Baycreek J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total							
Polling	35284	5379	50.99%	5155	48.87%	15	0.14%
Absentee by Mail	35284	540	41.73%	750	57.96%	4	0.31%
Advance in Person	35284	866	48.11%	932	51.78%	2	0.11%
Advance in Person 2	35284	3234	46.26%	3756	53.73%	1	0.01%
Provisional	35284	14	35.00%	24	60.00%	2	5.00%
Total	35284	10033	48.53%	10617	51.35%	24	0.12%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 103 / Camara Estatal 103				
	Reg. Voters	T. BARR (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide					
001 Harbins A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
002 Rockbridge A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
003 Dacula					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
004 Suwanee A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
005 Baycreek A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
006 Goodwins A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
007 Duluth A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 103 / Camara Estatal 103				
	Reg. Voters	T. BARR (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
008 Duncans A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
009 Pucketts A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
010 Cates A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
011 Berkshire A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
012 Berkshire B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
013 Duncans C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
014 Garners A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 103 / Camara Estatal 103				
	Reg. Voters	T. BARR (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
015 Lawrenceville A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
016 Lawrenceville B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
017 Martins A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
018 Martins B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
019 Martins C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
020 Pinckneyville A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 103 / Camara Estatal 103				
	Reg. Voters	T. BARR (I) R		Write-In Votes	
021 Pinckneyville B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
022 Pinckneyville C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
023 Pinckneyville D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
024 Sugar Hill A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
025 Sugar Hill B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
026 Hog Mountain A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
027 Hog Mountain B					
Polling	2839	781	96.66%	27	3.34%
Absentee by Mail	2839	83	92.22%	7	7.78%
Advance in Person	2839	452	97.84%	10	2.16%
Provisional	2839	2	100.00%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 103 / Camara Estatal 103				
	Reg. Voters	T. BARR (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	2839	140	91.50%	13	8.50%
Total	2839	1458	96.24%	57	3.76%
028 Rockycreek A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
029 Cates B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
030 Cates C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
031 Hog Mountain D					
Polling	2958	715	95.97%	30	4.03%
Absentee by Mail	2958	76	92.68%	6	7.32%
Advance in Person	2958	266	95.68%	12	4.32%
Provisional	2958	4	80.00%	1	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	2958	225	91.84%	20	8.16%
Total	2958	1286	94.91%	69	5.09%
032 Berkshire D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
033 Berkshire E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
034 Berkshire F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 103 / Camara Estatal 103				
	Reg. Voters	T. BARR (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
035 Cates D - 04					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
035 Cates D - 07					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
036 Cates E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
037 Pinckneyville E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
038 Pinckneyville F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
039 Duncans D					
Polling	6085	1474	97.49%	38	2.51%
Absentee by Mail	6085	150	89.82%	17	10.18%
Advance in Person	6085	1289	96.05%	53	3.95%
Provisional	6085	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	6085	120	93.75%	8	6.25%
Total	6085	3034	96.32%	116	3.68%
040 Pinckneyville H					

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 103 / Camara Estatal 103				
	Reg. Voters	T. BARR (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
041 Baycreek B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
042 Lawrenceville C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
043 Martins D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
044 Rockbridge B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
045 Garners B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
046 Cates F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 103 / Camara Estatal 103				
	Reg. Voters	T. BARR (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-
047 Cates G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
048 Duluth B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
049 Garners C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
050 Pinckneyville I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
051 Pinckneyville J					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
052 Berkshire G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
053 Cates H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 103 / Camara Estatal 103				
	Reg. Voters	T. BARR (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
054 Sugar Hill C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
055 Sugar Hill D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
056 Duluth C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
057 Duluth D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
058 Pinckneyville K					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
059 Pinckneyville N					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
060 Lawrenceville D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 103 / Camara Estatal 103				
	Reg. Voters	T. BARR (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
061 Lawrenceville E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
062 Pinckneyville L					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
063 Pinckneyville M					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
064 Garners D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
065 Berkshire H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
066 Pinckneyville O					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 103 / Camara Estatal 103				
	Reg. Voters	T. BARR (I) R		Write-In Votes	
067 Pucketts E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
068 Cates I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
069 Cates J					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
070 Goodwins B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
071 Lawrenceville F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
072 Martins E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
073 Pinckneyville P					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 103 / Camara Estatal 103				
	Reg. Voters	T. BARR (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
074 Pinckneyville Q					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
075 Rockbridge C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
076 Rockbridge D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
077 Goodwins C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
078 Baycreek K					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
079 Cates K					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
080 Baycreek C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 103 / Camara Estatal 103				
	Reg. Voters	T. BARR (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
081 Cates L					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
082 Duluth E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
083 Goodwins D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
084 Lawrenceville G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
085 Lawrenceville H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
086 Martins F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
087 Pinckneyville S					

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 103 / Camara Estatal 103				
	Reg. Voters	T. BARR (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
088 Rockbridge E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
089 Sugar Hill E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
090 Lawrenceville I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
091 Baycreek D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
092 Berkshire J					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
093 Cates M					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 103 / Camara Estatal 103				
	Reg. Voters	T. BARR (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-
094 Cates N					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
095 Duluth F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
096 Duluth G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
097 Goodwins E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
098 Goodwins F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
099 Pinckneyville T					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
100 Suwanee B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 103 / Camara Estatal 103				
	Reg. Voters	T. BARR (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
101 Pinckneyville U					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
102 Pucketts B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
103 Berkshire L					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
104 Rockbridge F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
105 Sugar Hill F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
106 Suwanee C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
107 Cates O					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 103 / Camara Estatal 103				
	Reg. Voters	T. BARR (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
108 Baycreek E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
109 Berkshire M					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
110 Martins G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
111 Duluth H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
112 Berkshire N					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
113 Pinckneyville V					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 103 / Camara Estatal 103				
	Reg. Voters	T. BARR (I) R		Write-In Votes	
114 Pinckneyville W					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
115 Martins H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
116 Martins I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
117 Martins J					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
118 Garners F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
119 Berkshire O					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
120 Berkshire P					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 103 / Camara Estatal 103				
	Reg. Voters	T. BARR (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
121 Pinckneyville X					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
122 Rockbridge G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
123 Pinckneyville Y					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
124 Goodwins G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
125 Pinckneyville Z					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
126 Pinckneyville A1					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
127 Lawrenceville J					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 103 / Camara Estatal 103				
	Reg. Voters	T. BARR (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
128 Goodwins H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
129 Duncans B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
130 Suwanee D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
131 Suwanee E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
132 Lawrenceville K					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
133 Harbins B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
134 Baycreek F					

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 103 / Camara Estatal 103				
	Reg. Voters	T. BARR (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
135 Pucketts C					
Polling	2931	667	96.11%	27	3.89%
Absentee by Mail	2931	75	94.94%	4	5.06%
Advance in Person	2931	533	94.17%	33	5.83%
Provisional	2931	1	50.00%	1	50.00%
Advance in Person 2	2931	48	90.57%	5	9.43%
Total	2931	1324	94.98%	70	5.02%
136 Hog Mountain C					
Polling	1546	389	95.34%	19	4.66%
Absentee by Mail	1546	34	97.14%	1	2.86%
Advance in Person	1546	222	94.87%	12	5.13%
Provisional	1546	0	-	0	-
Advance in Person 2	1546	70	85.37%	12	14.63%
Total	1546	715	94.20%	44	5.80%
137 Rockycreek B					
Polling	4677	1048	94.67%	59	5.33%
Absentee by Mail	4677	83	95.40%	4	4.60%
Advance in Person	4677	801	95.47%	38	4.53%
Provisional	4677	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4677	149	94.30%	9	5.70%
Total	4677	2082	94.98%	110	5.02%
138 Duluth I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
139 Martins K					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
140 Suwanee H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 103 / Camara Estatal 103				
	Reg. Voters	T. BARR (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-
141 Sugar Hill G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
142 Suwanee F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
143 Lawrenceville L					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
144 Lawrenceville M					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
145 Baycreek G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
146 Baycreek H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
147 Baycreek I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 103 / Camara Estatal 103				
	Reg. Voters	T. BARR (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
148 Berkshire Q					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
149 Goodwins I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
150 Duluth K					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
151 Harbins C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
152 Rockycreek C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
153 Lawrenceville N					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
154 Pucketts D					
Polling	3166	697	96.14%	28	3.86%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 103 / Camara Estatal 103				
	Reg. Voters	T. BARR (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	3166	102	89.47%	12	10.53%
Advance in Person	3166	677	96.16%	27	3.84%
Provisional	3166	0	-	0	-
Advance in Person 2	3166	59	96.72%	2	3.28%
Total	3166	1535	95.70%	69	4.30%
155 Suwanee G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
156 Baycreek J					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
Total					
Polling	24202	5771	96.20%	228	3.80%
Absentee by Mail	24202	603	92.20%	51	7.80%
Advance in Person	24202	4240	95.82%	185	4.18%
Advance in Person 2	24202	811	92.16%	69	7.84%
Provisional	24202	9	81.82%	2	18.18%
Total	24202	11434	95.53%	535	4.47%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State House 104 / Camara Estatal 104

	Reg. Voters	C. EFSTRATION (I) R		A. STEPHENSON (D)		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide							
001 Harbins A							
Polling	2978	279	41.27%	395	58.43%	2	0.30%
Absentee by Mail	2978	23	23.23%	76	76.77%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2978	253	47.11%	284	52.89%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2978	1	33.33%	2	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2978	98	25.99%	278	73.74%	1	0.27%
Total	2978	654	38.65%	1035	61.17%	3	0.18%
002 Rockbridge A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
003 Dacula							
Polling	4478	493	44.06%	626	55.94%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4478	32	24.62%	98	75.38%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4478	585	49.24%	603	50.76%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4478	2	50.00%	2	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4478	68	28.57%	169	71.01%	1	0.42%
Total	4478	1180	44.05%	1498	55.92%	1	0.04%
004 Suwanee A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
005 Baycreek A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
006 Goodwins A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
007 Duluth A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State House 104 / Camara Estatal 104

	Reg. Voters	C. EFSTRATION (I) R		A. STEPHENSON (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
008 Duncans A							
Polling	4278	898	70.16%	381	29.77%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	4278	76	58.46%	54	41.54%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4278	896	70.22%	379	29.70%	1	0.08%
Provisional	4278	5	71.43%	2	28.57%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4278	100	58.14%	71	41.28%	1	0.58%
Total	4278	1975	68.94%	887	30.96%	3	0.10%
009 Pucketts A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
010 Cates A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
011 Berkshire A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
012 Berkshire B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
013 Duncans C							
Polling	2828	778	77.41%	227	22.59%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2828	60	67.42%	29	32.58%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2828	533	69.67%	231	30.20%	1	0.13%
Provisional	2828	2	50.00%	1	25.00%	1	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	2828	45	55.56%	36	44.44%	0	0.00%
Total	2828	1418	72.94%	524	26.95%	2	0.10%
014 Garners A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 104 / Camara Estatal 104						
	Reg. Voters	C. EFSTRATION (I) R		A. STEPHENSON (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
015 Lawrenceville A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
016 Lawrenceville B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
017 Martins A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
018 Martins B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
019 Martins C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
020 Pinckneyville A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 104 / Camara Estatal 104							
	Reg. Voters	C. EFSTRATION (I) R		A. STEPHENSON (D)		Write-In Votes		
021 Pinckneyville B								
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
022 Pinckneyville C								
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
023 Pinckneyville D								
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
024 Sugar Hill A								
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
025 Sugar Hill B								
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
026 Hog Mountain A								
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
027 Hog Mountain B								
Polling	1372	225	54.22%	190	45.78%	0	0.00%	
Absentee by Mail	1372	19	33.93%	37	66.07%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person	1372	105	42.68%	141	57.32%	0	0.00%	
Provisional	1372	0	-	0	-	0	-	

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State House 104 / Camara Estatal 104

	Reg. Voters	C. EFSTRATION (I) R		A. STEPHENSON (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	1372	53	41.09%	76	58.91%	0	0.00%
Total	1372	402	47.52%	444	52.48%	0	0.00%
028 Rockycreek A							
Polling	5149	874	58.82%	612	41.18%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5149	67	51.54%	63	48.46%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5149	893	60.75%	576	39.18%	1	0.07%
Provisional	5149	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5149	57	33.93%	111	66.07%	0	0.00%
Total	5149	1892	58.13%	1362	41.84%	1	0.03%
029 Cates B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
030 Cates C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
031 Hog Mountain D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
032 Berkshire D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
033 Berkshire E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
034 Berkshire F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 104 / Camara Estatal 104			
	Reg. Voters	C. EFSTRATION (I) R	A. STEPHENSON (D)	Write-In Votes
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-
035 Cates D - 04				
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-
035 Cates D - 07				
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-
036 Cates E				
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-
037 Pinckneyville E				
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-
038 Pinckneyville F				
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-
039 Duncans D				
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-
040 Pinckneyville H				

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 104 / Camara Estatal 104			
	Reg. Voters	C. EFSTRATION (I) R	A. STEPHENSON (D)	Write-In Votes
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-
041 Baycreek B				
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-
042 Lawrenceville C				
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-
043 Martins D				
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-
044 Rockbridge B				
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-
045 Garners B				
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-
046 Cates F				
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 104 / Camara Estatal 104					
	Reg. Voters	C. EFSTRATION (I) R		A. STEPHENSON (D)		Write-In Votes
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
047 Cates G						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
048 Duluth B						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
049 Garners C						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
050 Pinckneyville I						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
051 Pinckneyville J						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
052 Berkshire G						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
053 Cates H						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 104 / Camara Estatal 104											
	Reg. Voters	C. EFSTRATION (I) R			A. STEPHENSON (D)			Write-In Votes				
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
054 Sugar Hill C												
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055 Sugar Hill D												
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
056 Duluth C												
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
057 Duluth D												
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
058 Pinckneyville K												
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
059 Pinckneyville N												
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
060 Lawrenceville D												
Polling	809	44	27.33%		116	72.05%		1	0.62%			

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State House 104 / Camara Estatal 104

	Reg. Voters	C. EFSTRATION (I) R		A. STEPHENSON (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	809	4	30.77%	9	69.23%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	809	3	23.08%	10	76.92%	0	0.00%
Provisional	809	0	-	0	-	0	-
Advance in Person 2	809	34	29.57%	81	70.43%	0	0.00%
Total	809	85	28.15%	216	71.52%	1	0.33%
061 Lawrenceville E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
062 Pinckneyville L							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
063 Pinckneyville M							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
064 Garners D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
065 Berkshire H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
066 Pinckneyville O							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 104 / Camara Estatal 104						
	Reg. Voters	C. EFSTRATION (I) R		A. STEPHENSON (D)		Write-In Votes	
067 Pucketts E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
068 Cates I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
069 Cates J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
070 Goodwins B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
071 Lawrenceville F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
072 Martins E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
073 Pinckneyville P							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 104 / Camara Estatal 104					
	Reg. Voters	C. EFSTRATION (I) R		A. STEPHENSON (D)		Write-In Votes
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
074 Pinckneyville Q						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
075 Rockbridge C						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
076 Rockbridge D						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
077 Goodwins C						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
078 Baycreek K						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
079 Cates K						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
080 Baycreek C						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 104 / Camara Estatal 104			
	Reg. Voters	C. EFSTRATION (I) R	A. STEPHENSON (D)	Write-In Votes
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-
081 Cates L				
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-
082 Duluth E				
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-
083 Goodwins D				
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-
084 Lawrenceville G				
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-
085 Lawrenceville H				
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-
086 Martins F				
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-
087 Pinckneyville S				

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 104 / Camara Estatal 104			
	Reg. Voters	C. EFSTRATION (I) R	A. STEPHENSON (D)	Write-In Votes
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-
088 Rockbridge E				
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-
089 Sugar Hill E				
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-
090 Lawrenceville I				
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-
091 Baycreek D				
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-
092 Berkshire J				
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-
093 Cates M				
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 104 / Camara Estatal 104						
	Reg. Voters	C. EFSTRATION (I) R		A. STEPHENSON (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
094 Cates N							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
095 Duluth F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
096 Duluth G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
097 Goodwins E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098 Goodwins F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
099 Pinckneyville T							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100 Suwanee B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 104 / Camara Estatal 104					
	Reg. Voters	C. EFSTRATION (I) R		A. STEPHENSON (D)	Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
101 Pinckneyville U						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
102 Pucketts B						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
103 Berkshire L						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
104 Rockbridge F						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
105 Sugar Hill F						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
106 Suwanee C						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
107 Cates O						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 104 / Camara Estatal 104						
	Reg. Voters	C. EFSTRATION (I) R		A. STEPHENSON (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
108 Baycreek E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
109 Berkshire M							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
110 Martins G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
111 Duluth H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
112 Berkshire N							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
113 Pinckneyville V							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 104 / Camara Estatal 104						
	Reg. Voters	C. EFSTRATION (I) R		A. STEPHENSON (D)		Write-In Votes	
114 Pinckneyville W							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
115 Martins H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
116 Martins I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
117 Martins J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
118 Garners F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
119 Berkshire O							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
120 Berkshire P							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 104 / Camara Estatal 104					
	Reg. Voters	C. EFSTRATION (I) R		A. STEPHENSON (D)	Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
121 Pinckneyville X						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
122 Rockbridge G						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
123 Pinckneyville Y						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
124 Goodwins G						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
125 Pinckneyville Z						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
126 Pinckneyville A1						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
127 Lawrenceville J						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State House 104 / Camara Estatal 104

	Reg. Voters	C. EFSTRATION (I) R		A. STEPHENSON (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
128 Goodwins H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
129 Duncans B							
Polling	4655	1142	71.82%	447	28.11%	1	0.06%
Absentee by Mail	4655	92	61.33%	58	38.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4655	815	71.12%	331	28.88%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4655	3	60.00%	2	40.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4655	60	54.05%	51	45.95%	0	0.00%
Total	4655	2112	70.35%	889	29.61%	1	0.03%
130 Suwanee D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
131 Suwanee E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132 Lawrenceville K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
133 Harbins B							
Polling	4220	570	57.52%	420	42.38%	1	0.10%
Absentee by Mail	4220	48	50.00%	48	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4220	860	65.85%	446	34.15%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4220	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4220	63	43.45%	82	56.55%	0	0.00%
Total	4220	1541	60.67%	998	39.29%	1	0.04%
134 Baycreek F							

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 104 / Camara Estatal 104						
	Reg. Voters	C. EFSTRATION (I) R		A. STEPHENSON (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
135 Pucketts C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
136 Hog Mountain C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
137 Rockycreek B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
138 Duluth I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
139 Martins K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
140 Suwanee H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State House 104 / Camara Estatal 104

	Reg. Voters	C. EFSTRATION (I) R		A. STEPHENSON (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
141 Sugar Hill G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
142 Suwanee F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
143 Lawrenceville L							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144 Lawrenceville M							
Polling	5956	351	23.14%	1164	76.73%	2	0.13%
Absentee by Mail	5956	36	19.46%	149	80.54%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5956	57	21.51%	208	78.49%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5956	2	28.57%	5	71.43%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5956	192	14.80%	1105	85.20%	0	0.00%
Total	5956	638	19.50%	2631	80.43%	2	0.06%
145 Baycreek G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
146 Baycreek H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
147 Baycreek I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 104 / Camara Estatal 104						
	Reg. Voters	C. EFSTRATION (I) R		A. STEPHENSON (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
148 Berkshire Q							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
149 Goodwins I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
150 Duluth K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
151 Harbins C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
152 Rockycreek C							
Polling	4504	738	55.07%	601	44.85%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	4504	62	47.33%	69	52.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4504	710	58.34%	507	41.66%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4504	3	75.00%	1	25.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4504	83	39.34%	128	60.66%	0	0.00%
Total	4504	1596	54.98%	1306	44.99%	1	0.03%
153 Lawrenceville N							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
154 Pucketts D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 104 / Camara Estatal 104						
	Reg. Voters	C. EFSTRATION (I) R		A. STEPHENSON (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
155 Suwanee G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
156 Baycreek J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total							
Polling	41227	6392	55.20%	5179	44.72%	9	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	41227	519	42.93%	690	57.07%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	41227	5710	60.56%	3716	39.41%	3	0.03%
Advance in Person 2	41227	853	28.02%	2188	71.88%	3	0.10%
Provisional	41227	19	51.35%	17	45.95%	1	2.70%
Total	41227	13493	53.33%	11790	46.60%	16	0.06%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State House 105 / Camara Estatal 105

	Reg. Voters	D. SHELDON (R)		D. MCLEOD (D)		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide							
001 Harbins A							
Polling	3145	528	61.47%	331	38.53%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3145	51	54.26%	43	45.74%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3145	397	67.98%	187	32.02%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3145	0	-	0	-	0	-
Advance in Person 2	3145	221	51.16%	211	48.84%	0	0.00%
Total	3145	1197	60.79%	772	39.21%	0	0.00%
002 Rockbridge A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
003 Dacula							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
004 Suwanee A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
005 Baycreek A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
006 Goodwins A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
007 Duluth A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 105 / Camara Estatal 105						
	Reg. Voters	D. SHELDON (R)		D. MCLEOD (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
008 Duncans A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
009 Pucketts A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
010 Cates A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
011 Berkshire A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
012 Berkshire B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
013 Duncans C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
014 Garners A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 105 / Camara Estatal 105						
	Reg. Voters	D. SHELDON (R)		D. MCLEOD (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
015 Lawrenceville A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
016 Lawrenceville B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
017 Martins A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
018 Martins B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
019 Martins C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
020 Pinckneyville A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 105 / Camara Estatal 105						
	Reg. Voters	D. SHELDON (R)		D. MCLEOD (D)		Write-In Votes	
021 Pinckneyville B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
022 Pinckneyville C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
023 Pinckneyville D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
024 Sugar Hill A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
025 Sugar Hill B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
026 Hog Mountain A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
027 Hog Mountain B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 105 / Camara Estatal 105					
	Reg. Voters	D. SHELDON (R)		D. MCLEOD (D)		Write-In Votes
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
028 Rockycreek A						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
029 Cates B						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
030 Cates C						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
031 Hog Mountain D						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
032 Berkshire D						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
033 Berkshire E						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
034 Berkshire F						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 105 / Camara Estatal 105						
	Reg. Voters	D. SHELDON (R)		D. MCLEOD (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
035 Cates D - 04							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
035 Cates D - 07							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
036 Cates E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
037 Pinckneyville E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
038 Pinckneyville F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
039 Duncans D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
040 Pinckneyville H							

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 105 / Camara Estatal 105						
	Reg. Voters	D. SHELDON (R)		D. MCLEOD (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
041 Baycreek B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
042 Lawrenceville C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
043 Martins D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
044 Rockbridge B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
045 Garners B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046 Cates F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 105 / Camara Estatal 105						
	Reg. Voters	D. SHELDON (R)		D. MCLEOD (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
047 Cates G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
048 Duluth B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
049 Garners C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
050 Pinckneyville I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
051 Pinckneyville J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052 Berkshire G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
053 Cates H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 105 / Camara Estatal 105											
	Reg. Voters	D. SHELDON (R)			D. MCLEOD (D)			Write-In Votes				
Provisional	-	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	
Total	-	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	
054 Sugar Hill C												
Polling	-	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	
Advance in Person	-	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	
Provisional	-	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	
Total	-	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	
055 Sugar Hill D												
Polling	-	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	
Advance in Person	-	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	
Provisional	-	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	
Total	-	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	
056 Duluth C												
Polling	-	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	
Advance in Person	-	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	
Provisional	-	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	
Total	-	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	
057 Duluth D												
Polling	-	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	
Advance in Person	-	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	
Provisional	-	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	
Total	-	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	
058 Pinckneyville K												
Polling	-	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	
Advance in Person	-	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	
Provisional	-	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	
Total	-	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	
059 Pinckneyville N												
Polling	-	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	
Advance in Person	-	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	
Provisional	-	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	
Total	-	-	-		-	-		-	-		-	
060 Lawrenceville D												
Polling	4063	192	21.57%		697	78.31%		1	0.11%			

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State House 105 / Camara Estatal 105

	Reg. Voters	D. SHELDON (R)		D. MCLEOD (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	4063	16	14.68%	93	85.32%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4063	15	20.55%	58	79.45%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4063	3	60.00%	2	40.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4063	139	18.15%	626	81.72%	1	0.13%
Total	4063	365	19.80%	1476	80.09%	2	0.11%
061 Lawrenceville E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
062 Pinckneyville L							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
063 Pinckneyville M							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
064 Garners D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
065 Berkshire H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
066 Pinckneyville O							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State House 105 / Camara Estatal 105

	Reg. Voters	D. SHELDON (R)		D. MCLEOD (D)		Write-In Votes	
067 Pucketts E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
068 Cates I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
069 Cates J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
070 Goodwins B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
071 Lawrenceville F							
Polling	3698	252	28.22%	640	71.67%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	3698	23	15.54%	125	84.46%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3698	21	36.84%	36	63.16%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3698	2	33.33%	4	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3698	200	18.94%	855	80.97%	1	0.09%
Total	3698	498	23.06%	1660	76.85%	2	0.09%
072 Martins E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
073 Pinckneyville P							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State House 105 / Camara Estatal 105

	Reg. Voters	D. SHELDON (R)		D. MCLEOD (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
074 Pinckneyville Q							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
075 Rockbridge C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
076 Rockbridge D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
077 Goodwins C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
078 Baycreek K							
Polling	3536	433	46.51%	498	53.49%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3536	71	45.22%	86	54.78%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3536	19	52.78%	17	47.22%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3536	2	40.00%	3	60.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3536	523	45.28%	632	54.72%	0	0.00%
Total	3536	1048	45.88%	1236	54.12%	0	0.00%
079 Cates K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
080 Baycreek C							
Polling	5755	763	46.78%	866	53.10%	2	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	5755	71	36.79%	122	63.21%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State House 105 / Camara Estatal 105

	Reg. Voters	D. SHELDON (R)		D. MCLEOD (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	5755	48	48.00%	52	52.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5755	1	50.00%	1	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5755	540	30.00%	1260	70.00%	0	0.00%
Total	5755	1423	38.19%	2301	61.76%	2	0.05%
081 Cates L							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
082 Duluth E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
083 Goodwins D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
084 Lawrenceville G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
085 Lawrenceville H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
086 Martins F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
087 Pinckneyville S							

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State House 105 / Camara Estatal 105

	Reg. Voters	D. SHELDON (R)		D. MCLEOD (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
088 Rockbridge E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
089 Sugar Hill E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
090 Lawrenceville I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
091 Baycreek D							
Polling	4157	658	54.34%	552	45.58%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	4157	59	35.76%	106	64.24%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4157	21	56.76%	16	43.24%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4157	2	50.00%	2	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4157	553	43.51%	718	56.49%	0	0.00%
Total	4157	1293	48.10%	1394	51.86%	1	0.04%
092 Berkshire J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
093 Cates M							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 105 / Camara Estatal 105						
	Reg. Voters	D. SHELDON (R)		D. MCLEOD (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
094 Cates N							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
095 Duluth F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
096 Duluth G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
097 Goodwins E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098 Goodwins F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
099 Pinckneyville T							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100 Suwanee B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 105 / Camara Estatal 105					
	Reg. Voters	D. SHELDON (R)		D. MCLEOD (D)		Write-In Votes
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
101 Pinckneyville U						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
102 Pucketts B						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
103 Berkshire L						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
104 Rockbridge F						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
105 Sugar Hill F						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
106 Suwanee C						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
107 Cates O						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 105 / Camara Estatal 105						
	Reg. Voters	D. SHELDON (R)		D. MCLEOD (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
108 Baycreek E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
109 Berkshire M							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
110 Martins G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
111 Duluth H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
112 Berkshire N							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
113 Pinckneyville V							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 105 / Camara Estatal 105						
	Reg. Voters	D. SHELDON (R)		D. MCLEOD (D)		Write-In Votes	
114 Pinckneyville W							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
115 Martins H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
116 Martins I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
117 Martins J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
118 Garners F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
119 Berkshire O							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
120 Berkshire P							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 105 / Camara Estatal 105					
	Reg. Voters	D. SHELDON (R)		D. MCLEOD (D)		Write-In Votes
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
121 Pinckneyville X						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
122 Rockbridge G						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
123 Pinckneyville Y						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
124 Goodwins G						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
125 Pinckneyville Z						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
126 Pinckneyville A1						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
127 Lawrenceville J						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 105 / Camara Estatal 105						
	Reg. Voters	D. SHELDON (R)		D. MCLEOD (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
128 Goodwins H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
129 Duncans B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
130 Suwanee D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
131 Suwanee E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132 Lawrenceville K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
133 Harbins B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
134 Baycreek F							

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State House 105 / Camara Estatal 105

	Reg. Voters	D. SHELDON (R)		D. MCLEOD (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	4119	474	39.21%	734	60.71%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	4119	58	32.58%	120	67.42%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4119	17	44.74%	21	55.26%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4119	1	33.33%	1	33.33%	1	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	4119	329	27.60%	863	72.40%	0	0.00%
Total	4119	879	33.55%	1739	66.37%	2	0.08%
135 Pucketts C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
136 Hog Mountain C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
137 Rockycreek B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
138 Duluth I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
139 Martins K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
140 Suwanee H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State House 105 / Camara Estatal 105

	Reg. Voters	D. SHELDON (R)		D. MCLEOD (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
141 Sugar Hill G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
142 Suwanee F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
143 Lawrenceville L							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144 Lawrenceville M							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145 Baycreek G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
146 Baycreek H							
Polling	4008	733	59.40%	500	40.52%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	4008	68	45.64%	81	54.36%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4008	41	61.19%	26	38.81%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4008	1	25.00%	2	50.00%	1	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	4008	541	46.88%	613	53.12%	0	0.00%
Total	4008	1384	53.07%	1222	46.86%	2	0.08%
147 Baycreek I							
Polling	5162	530	41.47%	745	58.29%	3	0.23%
Absentee by Mail	5162	69	35.38%	126	64.62%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5162	21	38.89%	33	61.11%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State House 105 / Camara Estatal 105

	Reg. Voters	D. SHELDON (R)		D. MCLEOD (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	5162	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5162	519	34.01%	1006	65.92%	1	0.07%
Total	5162	1140	37.29%	1913	62.58%	4	0.13%
148 Berkshire Q							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
149 Goodwins I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
150 Duluth K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
151 Harbins C							
Polling	2369	667	69.55%	290	30.24%	2	0.21%
Absentee by Mail	2369	41	53.95%	35	46.05%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2369	166	55.33%	134	44.67%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2369	2	50.00%	2	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2369	121	42.91%	161	57.09%	0	0.00%
Total	2369	997	61.51%	622	38.37%	2	0.12%
152 Rockycreek C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
153 Lawrenceville N							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
154 Pucketts D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State House 105 / Camara Estatal 105

	Reg. Voters	D. SHELDON (R)		D. MCLEOD (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
155 Suwanee G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
156 Baycreek J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total							
Polling	40012	5230	47.14%	5853	52.75%	12	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	40012	527	36.00%	937	64.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	40012	766	56.91%	580	43.09%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	40012	3686	34.66%	6945	65.31%	3	0.03%
Provisional	40012	15	40.54%	20	54.05%	2	5.41%
Total	40012	10224	41.60%	14335	58.33%	17	0.07%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106				
	Reg. Voters	B. HARRELL (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide					
001 Harbins A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
002 Rockbridge A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
003 Dacula					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
004 Suwanee A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
005 Baycreek A					
Polling	2555	651	93.40%	46	6.60%
Absentee by Mail	2555	76	88.37%	10	11.63%
Advance in Person	2555	23	82.14%	5	17.86%
Provisional	2555	21	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2555	428	90.30%	46	9.70%
Total	2555	1199	91.81%	107	8.19%
006 Goodwins A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
007 Duluth A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106				
	Reg. Voters	B. HARRELL (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
008 Duncans A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
009 Pucketts A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
010 Cates A					
Polling	2209	500	96.53%	18	3.47%
Absentee by Mail	2209	111	93.28%	8	6.72%
Advance in Person	2209	22	91.67%	2	8.33%
Provisional	2209	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2209	408	91.28%	39	8.72%
Total	2209	1043	93.96%	67	6.04%
011 Berkshire A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
012 Berkshire B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
013 Duncans C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
014 Garners A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106				
	Reg. Voters	B. HARRELL (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
015 Lawrenceville A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
016 Lawrenceville B					
Polling	4520	611	90.25%	66	9.75%
Absentee by Mail	4520	101	80.16%	25	19.84%
Advance in Person	4520	18	85.71%	3	14.29%
Provisional	4520	0	0.00%	1	100.00%
Advance in Person 2	4520	665	83.44%	132	16.56%
Total	4520	1395	86.00%	227	14.00%
017 Martins A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
018 Martins B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
019 Martins C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
020 Pinckneyville A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106				
	Reg. Voters	B. HARRELL (I) R		Write-In Votes	
021 Pinckneyville B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
022 Pinckneyville C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
023 Pinckneyville D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
024 Sugar Hill A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
025 Sugar Hill B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
026 Hog Mountain A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
027 Hog Mountain B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106				
	Reg. Voters	B. HARRELL (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
028 Rockycreek A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
029 Cates B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
030 Cates C					
Polling	2599	480	91.78%	43	8.22%
Absentee by Mail	2599	58	90.63%	6	9.38%
Advance in Person	2599	10	76.92%	3	23.08%
Provisional	2599	2	66.67%	1	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	2599	367	81.56%	83	18.44%
Total	2599	917	87.08%	136	12.92%
031 Hog Mountain D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
032 Berkshire D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
033 Berkshire E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
034 Berkshire F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106				
	Reg. Voters	B. HARRELL (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
035 Cates D - 04					
Polling	2605	592	92.07%	51	7.93%
Absentee by Mail	2605	67	91.78%	6	8.22%
Advance in Person	2605	125	91.91%	11	8.09%
Provisional	2605	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2605	317	85.91%	52	14.09%
Total	2605	1103	90.19%	120	9.81%
035 Cates D - 07					
Polling	585	138	92.62%	11	7.38%
Absentee by Mail	585	12	63.16%	7	36.84%
Advance in Person	585	37	90.24%	4	9.76%
Provisional	585	0	-	0	-
Advance in Person 2	585	38	88.37%	5	11.63%
Total	585	225	89.29%	27	10.71%
036 Cates E					
Polling	2744	672	89.60%	78	10.40%
Absentee by Mail	2744	68	80.95%	16	19.05%
Advance in Person	2744	305	86.16%	49	13.84%
Provisional	2744	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2744	231	87.17%	34	12.83%
Total	2744	1278	87.84%	177	12.16%
037 Pinckneyville E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
038 Pinckneyville F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
039 Duncans D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
040 Pinckneyville H					

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106				
	Reg. Voters	B. HARRELL (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
041 Baycreek B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
042 Lawrenceville C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
043 Martins D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
044 Rockbridge B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
045 Garners B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
046 Cates F					
Polling	1689	540	94.74%	30	5.26%
Absentee by Mail	1689	47	95.92%	2	4.08%
Advance in Person	1689	35	92.11%	3	7.89%
Provisional	1689	2	66.67%	1	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	1689	253	91.01%	25	8.99%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106				
	Reg. Voters	B. HARRELL (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Total	1689	877	93.50%	61	6.50%
047 Cates G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
048 Duluth B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
049 Garners C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
050 Pinckneyville I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
051 Pinckneyville J					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
052 Berkshire G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
053 Cates H					
Polling	3622	525	83.87%	101	16.13%
Absentee by Mail	3622	77	81.91%	17	18.09%
Advance in Person	3622	26	86.67%	4	13.33%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106				
	Reg. Voters	B. HARRELL (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	3622	0	-	0	-
Advance in Person 2	3622	404	81.95%	89	18.05%
Total	3622	1032	83.02%	211	16.98%
054 Sugar Hill C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
055 Sugar Hill D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
056 Duluth C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
057 Duluth D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
058 Pinckneyville K					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
059 Pinckneyville N					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
060 Lawrenceville D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106				
	Reg. Voters	B. HARRELL (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
061 Lawrenceville E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
062 Pinckneyville L					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
063 Pinckneyville M					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
064 Garners D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
065 Berkshire H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
066 Pinckneyville O					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106				
	Reg. Voters	B. HARRELL (I) R		Write-In Votes	
067 Pucketts E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
068 Cates I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
069 Cates J					
Polling	1825	456	87.86%	63	12.14%
Absentee by Mail	1825	43	84.31%	8	15.69%
Advance in Person	1825	197	81.40%	45	18.60%
Provisional	1825	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1825	50	87.72%	7	12.28%
Total	1825	748	85.88%	123	14.12%
070 Goodwins B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
071 Lawrenceville F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
072 Martins E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
073 Pinckneyville P					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106				
	Reg. Voters	B. HARRELL (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
074 Pinckneyville Q					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
075 Rockbridge C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
076 Rockbridge D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
077 Goodwins C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
078 Baycreek K					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
079 Cates K					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
080 Baycreek C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106

	Reg. Voters	B. HARRELL (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
081 Cates L					
Polling	3751	741	92.74%	58	7.26%
Absentee by Mail	3751	89	88.12%	12	11.88%
Advance in Person	3751	21	75.00%	7	25.00%
Provisional	3751	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3751	567	85.91%	93	14.09%
Total	3751	1420	89.31%	170	10.69%
082 Duluth E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
083 Goodwins D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
084 Lawrenceville G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
085 Lawrenceville H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
086 Martins F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
087 Pinckneyville S					

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106				
	Reg. Voters	B. HARRELL (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
088 Rockbridge E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
089 Sugar Hill E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
090 Lawrenceville I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
091 Baycreek D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
092 Berkshire J					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
093 Cates M					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106				
	Reg. Voters	B. HARRELL (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-
094 Cates N					
Polling	2445	659	96.63%	23	3.37%
Absentee by Mail	2445	88	94.62%	5	5.38%
Advance in Person	2445	18	85.71%	3	14.29%
Provisional	2445	0	-	0	-
Advance in Person 2	2445	483	92.88%	37	7.12%
Total	2445	1248	94.83%	68	5.17%
095 Duluth F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
096 Duluth G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
097 Goodwins E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
098 Goodwins F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
099 Pinckneyville T					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
100 Suwanee B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106				
	Reg. Voters	B. HARRELL (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
101 Pinckneyville U					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
102 Pucketts B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
103 Berkshire L					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
104 Rockbridge F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
105 Sugar Hill F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
106 Suwanee C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
107 Cates O					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106				
	Reg. Voters	B. HARRELL (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
108 Baycreek E					
Polling	2863	642	94.83%	35	5.17%
Absentee by Mail	2863	58	85.29%	10	14.71%
Advance in Person	2863	20	95.24%	1	4.76%
Provisional	2863	0	-	0	-
Advance in Person 2	2863	372	91.18%	36	8.82%
Total	2863	1092	93.02%	82	6.98%
109 Berkshire M					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
110 Martins G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
111 Duluth H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
112 Berkshire N					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
113 Pinckneyville V					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106				
	Reg. Voters	B. HARRELL (I) R		Write-In Votes	
114 Pinckneyville W					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
115 Martins H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
116 Martins I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
117 Martins J					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
118 Garners F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
119 Berkshire O					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
120 Berkshire P					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106				
	Reg. Voters	B. HARRELL (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
121 Pinckneyville X					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
122 Rockbridge G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
123 Pinckneyville Y					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
124 Goodwins G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
125 Pinckneyville Z					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
126 Pinckneyville A1					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
127 Lawrenceville J					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106				
	Reg. Voters	B. HARRELL (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
128 Goodwins H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
129 Duncans B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
130 Suwanee D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
131 Suwanee E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
132 Lawrenceville K					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
133 Harbins B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
134 Baycreek F					

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106				
	Reg. Voters	B. HARRELL (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
135 Pucketts C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
136 Hog Mountain C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
137 Rockycreek B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
138 Duluth I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
139 Martins K					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
140 Suwanee H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106				
	Reg. Voters	B. HARRELL (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-
141 Sugar Hill G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
142 Suwanee F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
143 Lawrenceville L					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
144 Lawrenceville M					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
145 Baycreek G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
146 Baycreek H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
147 Baycreek I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106				
	Reg. Voters	B. HARRELL (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
148 Berkshire Q					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
149 Goodwins I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
150 Duluth K					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
151 Harbins C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
152 Rockycreek C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
153 Lawrenceville N					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
154 Pucketts D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 106 / Camara Estatal 106				
	Reg. Voters	B. HARRELL (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
155 Suwanee G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
156 Baycreek J					
Polling	4038	730	91.36%	69	8.64%
Absentee by Mail	4038	85	78.70%	23	21.30%
Advance in Person	4038	18	72.00%	7	28.00%
Provisional	4038	1	50.00%	1	50.00%
Advance in Person 2	4038	562	85.67%	94	14.33%
Total	4038	1396	87.80%	194	12.20%
Total					
Polling	38050	7937	91.98%	692	8.02%
Absentee by Mail	38050	980	86.34%	155	13.66%
Advance in Person	38050	875	85.62%	147	14.38%
Advance in Person 2	38050	5145	86.95%	772	13.05%
Provisional	38050	36	90.00%	4	10.00%
Total	38050	14973	89.43%	1770	10.57%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 107 / Camara Estatal 107						
	Reg. Voters	J. MIHOCI (R)		S. HUTCHINSON (D)		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide							
001 Harbins A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
002 Rockbridge A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
003 Dacula							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
004 Suwanee A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
005 Baycreek A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
006 Goodwins A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
007 Duluth A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 107 / Camara Estatal 107						
	Reg. Voters	J. MIHOCI (R)		S. HUTCHINSON (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
008 Duncans A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
009 Pucketts A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
010 Cates A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
011 Berkshire A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
012 Berkshire B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
013 Duncans C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
014 Garners A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 107 / Camara Estatal 107						
	Reg. Voters	J. MIHOCI (R)		S. HUTCHINSON (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
015 Lawrenceville A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
016 Lawrenceville B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
017 Martins A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
018 Martins B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
019 Martins C							
Polling	2349	357	42.55%	481	57.33%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	2349	28	36.84%	48	63.16%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2349	81	40.91%	117	59.09%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2349	1	20.00%	4	80.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2349	101	40.40%	149	59.60%	0	0.00%
Total	2349	568	41.52%	799	58.41%	1	0.07%
020 Pinckneyville A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 107 / Camara Estatal 107						
	Reg. Voters	J. MIHOCI (R)		S. HUTCHINSON (D)		Write-In Votes	
021 Pinckneyville B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
022 Pinckneyville C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
023 Pinckneyville D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
024 Sugar Hill A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
025 Sugar Hill B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
026 Hog Mountain A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
027 Hog Mountain B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 107 / Camara Estatal 107						
	Reg. Voters	J. MIHOCI (R)		S. HUTCHINSON (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
028 Rockycreek A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
029 Cates B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
030 Cates C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
031 Hog Mountain D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
032 Berkshire D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
033 Berkshire E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
034 Berkshire F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 107 / Camara Estatal 107						
	Reg. Voters	J. MIHOCI (R)		S. HUTCHINSON (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
035 Cates D - 04							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
035 Cates D - 07							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
036 Cates E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
037 Pinckneyville E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
038 Pinckneyville F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
039 Duncans D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
040 Pinckneyville H							

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 107 / Camara Estatal 107						
	Reg. Voters	J. MIHOCI (R)		S. HUTCHINSON (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
041 Baycreek B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
042 Lawrenceville C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
043 Martins D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
044 Rockbridge B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
045 Garners B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046 Cates F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State House 107 / Camara Estatal 107

	Reg. Voters	J. MIHOCI (R)		S. HUTCHINSON (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
047 Cates G							
Polling	2597	597	65.60%	312	34.29%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	2597	64	54.24%	54	45.76%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2597	75	65.79%	39	34.21%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2597	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2597	383	57.42%	284	42.58%	0	0.00%
Total	2597	1119	61.82%	690	38.12%	1	0.06%
048 Duluth B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
049 Garners C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
050 Pinckneyville I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
051 Pinckneyville J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052 Berkshire G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
053 Cates H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 107 / Camara Estatal 107						
	Reg. Voters	J. MIHOCI (R)		S. HUTCHINSON (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
054 Sugar Hill C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055 Sugar Hill D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
056 Duluth C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
057 Duluth D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
058 Pinckneyville K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
059 Pinckneyville N							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
060 Lawrenceville D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 107 / Camara Estatal 107						
	Reg. Voters	J. MIHOCI (R)		S. HUTCHINSON (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
061 Lawrenceville E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
062 Pinckneyville L							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
063 Pinckneyville M							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
064 Garners D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
065 Berkshire H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
066 Pinckneyville O							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 107 / Camara Estatal 107						
	Reg. Voters	J. MIHOCI (R)		S. HUTCHINSON (D)		Write-In Votes	
067 Pucketts E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
068 Cates I							
Polling	3395	621	53.49%	540	46.51%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3395	59	41.26%	83	58.04%	1	0.70%
Advance in Person	3395	84	42.64%	113	57.36%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3395	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3395	261	39.91%	393	60.09%	0	0.00%
Total	3395	1026	47.52%	1132	52.43%	1	0.05%
069 Cates J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
070 Goodwins B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
071 Lawrenceville F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
072 Martins E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
073 Pinckneyville P							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 107 / Camara Estatal 107						
	Reg. Voters	J. MIHOCI (R)		S. HUTCHINSON (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
074 Pinckneyville Q							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
075 Rockbridge C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
076 Rockbridge D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
077 Goodwins C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
078 Baycreek K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
079 Cates K							
Polling	2531	542	56.52%	417	43.48%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2531	37	52.11%	34	47.89%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2531	58	48.33%	62	51.67%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2531	1	20.00%	4	80.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2531	236	48.96%	246	51.04%	0	0.00%
Total	2531	874	53.39%	763	46.61%	0	0.00%
080 Baycreek C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 107 / Camara Estatal 107						
	Reg. Voters	J. MIHOCI (R)		S. HUTCHINSON (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
081 Cates L							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
082 Duluth E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
083 Goodwins D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
084 Lawrenceville G							
Polling	2783	221	28.01%	567	71.86%	1	0.13%
Absentee by Mail	2783	26	27.66%	68	72.34%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2783	2	5.26%	36	94.74%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2783	1	7.69%	12	92.31%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2783	131	23.56%	423	76.08%	2	0.36%
Total	2783	381	25.57%	1106	74.23%	3	0.20%
085 Lawrenceville H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
086 Martins F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
087 Pinckneyville S							

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 107 / Camara Estatal 107						
	Reg. Voters	J. MIHOCI (R)		S. HUTCHINSON (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
088 Rockbridge E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
089 Sugar Hill E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
090 Lawrenceville I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
091 Baycreek D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
092 Berkshire J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
093 Cates M							
Polling	3794	768	60.05%	511	39.95%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3794	83	43.01%	109	56.48%	1	0.52%
Advance in Person	3794	73	58.40%	51	40.80%	1	0.80%
Provisional	3794	2	33.33%	4	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3794	524	53.80%	450	46.20%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State House 107 / Camara Estatal 107

	Reg. Voters	J. MIHOCI (R)		S. HUTCHINSON (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	3794	1450	56.27%	1125	43.66%	2	0.08%
094 Cates N							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
095 Duluth F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
096 Duluth G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
097 Goodwins E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098 Goodwins F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
099 Pinckneyville T							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100 Suwanee B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 107 / Camara Estatal 107						
	Reg. Voters	J. MIHOCI (R)		S. HUTCHINSON (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
101 Pinckneyville U							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
102 Pucketts B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
103 Berkshire L							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
104 Rockbridge F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
105 Sugar Hill F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
106 Suwanee C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
107 Cates O							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 107 / Camara Estatal 107					
	Reg. Voters	J. MIHOCI (R)		S. HUTCHINSON (D)	Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
108 Baycreek E						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
109 Berkshire M						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
110 Martins G						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
111 Duluth H						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
112 Berkshire N						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
113 Pinckneyville V						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 107 / Camara Estatal 107						
	Reg. Voters	J. MIHOCI (R)		S. HUTCHINSON (D)		Write-In Votes	
114 Pinckneyville W							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
115 Martins H							
Polling	4487	426	33.05%	862	66.87%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	4487	73	33.49%	144	66.06%	1	0.46%
Advance in Person	4487	52	22.71%	177	77.29%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4487	0	0.00%	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4487	225	30.99%	500	68.87%	1	0.14%
Total	4487	776	31.47%	1687	68.41%	3	0.12%
116 Martins I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
117 Martins J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
118 Garners F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
119 Berkshire O							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
120 Berkshire P							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 107 / Camara Estatal 107						
	Reg. Voters	J. MIHOCI (R)		S. HUTCHINSON (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
121 Pinckneyville X							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
122 Rockbridge G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
123 Pinckneyville Y							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
124 Goodwins G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
125 Pinckneyville Z							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
126 Pinckneyville A1							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
127 Lawrenceville J							
Polling	1959	260	41.80%	361	58.04%	1	0.16%
Absentee by Mail	1959	18	22.22%	63	77.78%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State House 107 / Camara Estatal 107

	Reg. Voters	J. MIHOCI (R)		S. HUTCHINSON (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	1959	11	23.40%	36	76.60%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1959	0	0.00%	1	50.00%	1	50.00%
Advance in Person 2	1959	93	24.22%	291	75.78%	0	0.00%
Total	1959	382	33.63%	752	66.20%	2	0.18%
128 Goodwins H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
129 Duncans B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
130 Suwanee D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
131 Suwanee E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132 Lawrenceville K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
133 Harbins B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
134 Baycreek F							

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 107 / Camara Estatal 107						
	Reg. Voters	J. MIHOCI (R)		S. HUTCHINSON (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
135 Pucketts C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
136 Hog Mountain C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
137 Rockycreek B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
138 Duluth I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
139 Martins K							
Polling	4796	323	22.92%	1084	76.93%	2	0.14%
Absentee by Mail	4796	53	31.36%	116	68.64%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4796	26	12.50%	182	87.50%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4796	0	0.00%	6	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4796	125	15.68%	671	84.19%	1	0.13%
Total	4796	527	20.36%	2059	79.53%	3	0.12%
140 Suwanee H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 107 / Camara Estatal 107						
	Reg. Voters	J. MIHOCI (R)		S. HUTCHINSON (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
141 Sugar Hill G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
142 Suwanee F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
143 Lawrenceville L							
Polling	4355	561	42.40%	759	57.37%	3	0.23%
Absentee by Mail	4355	83	44.39%	104	55.61%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4355	20	37.04%	34	62.96%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4355	0	0.00%	6	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4355	421	37.89%	689	62.02%	1	0.09%
Total	4355	1085	40.47%	1592	59.38%	4	0.15%
144 Lawrenceville M							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145 Baycreek G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
146 Baycreek H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
147 Baycreek I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 107 / Camara Estatal 107						
	Reg. Voters	J. MIHOCI (R)		S. HUTCHINSON (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
148 Berkshire Q							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
149 Goodwins I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
150 Duluth K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
151 Harbins C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
152 Rockycreek C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
153 Lawrenceville N							
Polling	2343	289	47.30%	321	52.54%	1	0.16%
Absentee by Mail	2343	37	47.44%	40	51.28%	1	1.28%
Advance in Person	2343	3	13.04%	20	86.96%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2343	4	36.36%	7	63.64%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2343	188	36.15%	332	63.85%	0	0.00%
Total	2343	521	41.91%	720	57.92%	2	0.16%
154 Pucketts D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 107 / Camara Estatal 107						
	Reg. Voters	J. MIHOCI (R)		S. HUTCHINSON (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
155 Suwanee G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
156 Baycreek J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total							
Polling	35389	4965	44.37%	6215	55.54%	11	0.10%
Absentee by Mail	35389	561	39.29%	863	60.43%	4	0.28%
Advance in Person	35389	485	35.85%	867	64.08%	1	0.07%
Advance in Person 2	35389	2688	37.75%	4428	62.18%	5	0.07%
Provisional	35389	10	15.87%	52	82.54%	1	1.59%
Total	35389	8709	41.17%	12425	58.73%	22	0.10%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108						
	Reg. Voters	C. COX (I) R		J. CLARK (D)		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide							
001 Harbins A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
002 Rockbridge A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
003 Dacula							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
004 Suwanee A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
005 Baycreek A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
006 Goodwins A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
007 Duluth A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108

	Reg. Voters	C. COX (I) R		J. CLARK (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
008 Duncans A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
009 Pucketts A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
010 Cates A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
011 Berkshire A							
Polling	2247	239	36.88%	409	63.12%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2247	26	27.08%	70	72.92%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2247	82	24.55%	252	75.45%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2247	0	0.00%	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2247	32	50.00%	32	50.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2247	379	32.99%	770	67.01%	0	0.00%
012 Berkshire B							
Polling	5010	207	23.03%	692	76.97%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5010	57	32.39%	119	67.61%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5010	391	26.73%	1072	73.27%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5010	0	0.00%	10	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5010	18	23.68%	58	76.32%	0	0.00%
Total	5010	673	25.65%	1951	74.35%	0	0.00%
013 Duncans C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
014 Garners A							
Polling	3302	622	62.64%	371	37.36%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108

	Reg. Voters	C. COX (I) R		J. CLARK (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	3302	75	54.74%	62	45.26%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3302	581	57.30%	433	42.70%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3302	2	40.00%	3	60.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3302	48	42.48%	65	57.52%	0	0.00%
Total	3302	1328	58.71%	934	41.29%	0	0.00%
015 Lawrenceville A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
016 Lawrenceville B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
017 Martins A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
018 Martins B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
019 Martins C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
020 Pinckneyville A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108						
	Reg. Voters	C. COX (I) R		J. CLARK (D)		Write-In Votes	
021 Pinckneyville B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
022 Pinckneyville C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
023 Pinckneyville D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
024 Sugar Hill A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
025 Sugar Hill B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
026 Hog Mountain A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
027 Hog Mountain B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108						
	Reg. Voters	C. COX (I) R		J. CLARK (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
028 Rockycreek A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
029 Cates B							
Polling	1559	363	64.71%	198	35.29%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1559	32	54.24%	27	45.76%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1559	106	60.92%	68	39.08%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1559	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1559	141	61.30%	89	38.70%	0	0.00%
Total	1559	643	62.73%	382	37.27%	0	0.00%
030 Cates C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
031 Hog Mountain D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
032 Berkshire D							
Polling	2684	459	55.84%	362	44.04%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	2684	59	45.74%	70	54.26%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2684	358	48.77%	376	51.23%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2684	1	14.29%	6	85.71%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2684	39	46.43%	45	53.57%	0	0.00%
Total	2684	916	51.58%	859	48.37%	1	0.06%
033 Berkshire E							
Polling	1866	404	62.83%	238	37.01%	1	0.16%
Absentee by Mail	1866	39	46.99%	44	53.01%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1866	274	50.74%	266	49.26%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1866	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1866	22	59.46%	15	40.54%	0	0.00%
Total	1866	739	56.67%	564	43.25%	1	0.08%
034 Berkshire F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108					
	Reg. Voters	C. COX (I) R		J. CLARK (D)	Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
035 Cates D - 04						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
035 Cates D - 07						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
036 Cates E						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
037 Pinckneyville E						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
038 Pinckneyville F						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
039 Duncans D						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
040 Pinckneyville H						

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108						
	Reg. Voters	C. COX (I) R		J. CLARK (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
041 Baycreek B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
042 Lawrenceville C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
043 Martins D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
044 Rockbridge B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
045 Garners B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046 Cates F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108

	Reg. Voters	C. COX (I) R		J. CLARK (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
047 Cates G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
048 Duluth B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
049 Garners C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
050 Pinckneyville I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
051 Pinckneyville J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052 Berkshire G							
Polling	2250	358	55.25%	290	44.75%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2250	48	54.55%	40	45.45%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2250	378	50.81%	366	49.19%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2250	3	60.00%	2	40.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2250	11	36.67%	19	63.33%	0	0.00%
Total	2250	798	52.67%	717	47.33%	0	0.00%
053 Cates H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108					
	Reg. Voters	C. COX (I) R		J. CLARK (D)		Write-In Votes
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
054 Sugar Hill C						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
055 Sugar Hill D						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
056 Duluth C						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
057 Duluth D						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
058 Pinckneyville K						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
059 Pinckneyville N						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
060 Lawrenceville D						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108						
	Reg. Voters	C. COX (I) R		J. CLARK (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
061 Lawrenceville E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
062 Pinckneyville L							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
063 Pinckneyville M							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
064 Garners D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
065 Berkshire H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
066 Pinckneyville O							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108						
	Reg. Voters	C. COX (I) R		J. CLARK (D)		Write-In Votes	
067 Pucketts E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
068 Cates I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
069 Cates J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
070 Goodwins B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
071 Lawrenceville F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
072 Martins E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
073 Pinckneyville P							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108					
	Reg. Voters	C. COX (I) R		J. CLARK (D)	Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
074 Pinckneyville Q						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
075 Rockbridge C						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
076 Rockbridge D						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
077 Goodwins C						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
078 Baycreek K						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
079 Cates K						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
080 Baycreek C						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108					
	Reg. Voters	C. COX (I) R		J. CLARK (D)	Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
081 Cates L						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
082 Duluth E						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
083 Goodwins D						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
084 Lawrenceville G						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
085 Lawrenceville H						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
086 Martins F						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
087 Pinckneyville S						

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108						
	Reg. Voters	C. COX (I) R		J. CLARK (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
088 Rockbridge E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
089 Sugar Hill E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
090 Lawrenceville I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
091 Baycreek D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
092 Berkshire J							
Polling	2595	498	60.58%	322	39.17%	2	0.24%
Absentee by Mail	2595	51	55.43%	41	44.57%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2595	431	53.21%	377	46.54%	2	0.25%
Provisional	2595	1	33.33%	2	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2595	35	45.45%	42	54.55%	0	0.00%
Total	2595	1016	56.32%	784	43.46%	4	0.22%
093 Cates M							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108						
	Reg. Voters	C. COX (I) R		J. CLARK (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
094 Cates N							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
095 Duluth F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
096 Duluth G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
097 Goodwins E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098 Goodwins F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
099 Pinckneyville T							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100 Suwanee B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108						
	Reg. Voters	C. COX (I) R		J. CLARK (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
101 Pinckneyville U							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
102 Pucketts B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
103 Berkshire L							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
104 Rockbridge F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
105 Sugar Hill F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
106 Suwanee C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
107 Cates O							
Polling	1342	281	57.46%	208	42.54%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108

	Reg. Voters	C. COX (I) R		J. CLARK (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	1342	22	56.41%	17	43.59%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1342	94	51.09%	90	48.91%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1342	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1342	59	44.03%	75	55.97%	0	0.00%
Total	1342	456	53.84%	391	46.16%	0	0.00%
108 Baycreek E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
109 Berkshire M							
Polling	1638	331	57.37%	246	42.63%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1638	28	50.00%	28	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1638	228	53.52%	198	46.48%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1638	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1638	23	58.97%	16	41.03%	0	0.00%
Total	1638	610	55.51%	489	44.49%	0	0.00%
110 Martins G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
111 Duluth H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
112 Berkshire N							
Polling	2836	386	59.94%	258	40.06%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2836	71	55.04%	58	44.96%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2836	681	56.94%	515	43.06%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2836	2	50.00%	2	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2836	29	76.32%	9	23.68%	0	0.00%
Total	2836	1169	58.13%	842	41.87%	0	0.00%
113 Pinckneyville V							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108

	Reg. Voters	C. COX (I) R		J. CLARK (D)		Write-In Votes	
114 Pinckneyville W							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
115 Martins H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
116 Martins I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
117 Martins J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
118 Garners F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
119 Berkshire O							
Polling	2422	507	57.94%	367	41.94%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	2422	64	60.38%	42	39.62%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2422	284	58.68%	199	41.12%	1	0.21%
Provisional	2422	3	50.00%	3	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2422	79	53.38%	69	46.62%	0	0.00%
Total	2422	937	57.88%	680	42.00%	2	0.12%
120 Berkshire P							
Polling	1339	153	39.43%	235	60.57%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1339	18	29.03%	44	70.97%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1339	56	30.43%	128	69.57%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1339	1	33.33%	1	33.33%	1	33.33%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108

	Reg. Voters	C. COX (I) R		J. CLARK (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	1339	22	38.60%	35	61.40%	0	0.00%
Total	1339	250	36.02%	443	63.83%	1	0.14%
121 Pinckneyville X							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
122 Rockbridge G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
123 Pinckneyville Y							
Polling	1609	60	15.38%	330	84.62%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1609	7	18.42%	31	81.58%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1609	33	14.04%	202	85.96%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1609	0	0.00%	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1609	13	33.33%	25	64.10%	1	2.56%
Total	1609	113	15.94%	595	83.92%	1	0.14%
124 Goodwins G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
125 Pinckneyville Z							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
126 Pinckneyville A1							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
127 Lawrenceville J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108					
	Reg. Voters	C. COX (I) R		J. CLARK (D)	Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
128 Goodwins H						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
129 Duncans B						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
130 Suwanee D						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
131 Suwanee E						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
132 Lawrenceville K						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
133 Harbins B						
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-
134 Baycreek F						

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108						
	Reg. Voters	C. COX (I) R		J. CLARK (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
135 Pucketts C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
136 Hog Mountain C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
137 Rockycreek B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
138 Duluth I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
139 Martins K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
140 Suwanee H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108						
	Reg. Voters	C. COX (I) R		J. CLARK (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
141 Sugar Hill G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
142 Suwanee F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
143 Lawrenceville L							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144 Lawrenceville M							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145 Baycreek G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
146 Baycreek H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
147 Baycreek I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108						
	Reg. Voters	C. COX (I) R		J. CLARK (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
148 Berkshire Q							
Polling	1644	303	56.22%	236	43.78%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1644	32	58.18%	23	41.82%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1644	203	50.12%	202	49.88%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1644	2	40.00%	3	60.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1644	18	60.00%	12	40.00%	0	0.00%
Total	1644	558	53.97%	476	46.03%	0	0.00%
149 Goodwins I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
150 Duluth K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
151 Harbins C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
152 Rockycreek C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
153 Lawrenceville N							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
154 Pucketts D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 108 / Camara Estatal 108						
	Reg. Voters	C. COX (I) R		J. CLARK (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
155 Suwanee G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
156 Baycreek J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total							
Polling	34343	5171	52.03%	4762	47.92%	5	0.05%
Absentee by Mail	34343	629	46.77%	716	53.23%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	34343	4180	46.82%	4744	53.14%	3	0.03%
Advance in Person 2	34343	589	49.25%	606	50.67%	1	0.08%
Provisional	34343	16	24.24%	49	74.24%	1	1.52%
Total	34343	10585	49.30%	10877	50.66%	10	0.05%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 114 / Camara Estatal 114				
	Reg. Voters	T. KIRBY (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide					
001 Harbins A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
002 Rockbridge A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
003 Dacula					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
004 Suwanee A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
005 Baycreek A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
006 Goodwins A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
007 Duluth A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 114 / Camara Estatal 114				
	Reg. Voters	T. KIRBY (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
008 Duncans A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
009 Pucketts A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
010 Cates A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
011 Berkshire A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
012 Berkshire B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
013 Duncans C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
014 Garners A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 114 / Camara Estatal 114				
	Reg. Voters	T. KIRBY (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
015 Lawrenceville A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
016 Lawrenceville B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
017 Martins A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
018 Martins B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
019 Martins C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
020 Pinckneyville A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 114 / Camara Estatal 114				
	Reg. Voters	T. KIRBY (I) R		Write-In Votes	
021 Pinckneyville B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
022 Pinckneyville C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
023 Pinckneyville D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
024 Sugar Hill A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
025 Sugar Hill B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
026 Hog Mountain A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
027 Hog Mountain B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 114 / Camara Estatal 114				
	Reg. Voters	T. KIRBY (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
028 Rockycreek A					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
029 Cates B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
030 Cates C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
031 Hog Mountain D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
032 Berkshire D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
033 Berkshire E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
034 Berkshire F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 114 / Camara Estatal 114				
	Reg. Voters	T. KIRBY (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
035 Cates D - 04					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
035 Cates D - 07					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
036 Cates E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
037 Pinckneyville E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
038 Pinckneyville F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
039 Duncans D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
040 Pinckneyville H					

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 114 / Camara Estatal 114				
	Reg. Voters	T. KIRBY (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
041 Baycreek B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
042 Lawrenceville C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
043 Martins D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
044 Rockbridge B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
045 Garners B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
046 Cates F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 114 / Camara Estatal 114				
	Reg. Voters	T. KIRBY (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-
047 Cates G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
048 Duluth B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
049 Garners C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
050 Pinckneyville I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
051 Pinckneyville J					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
052 Berkshire G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
053 Cates H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 114 / Camara Estatal 114				
	Reg. Voters	T. KIRBY (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
054 Sugar Hill C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
055 Sugar Hill D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
056 Duluth C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
057 Duluth D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
058 Pinckneyville K					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
059 Pinckneyville N					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
060 Lawrenceville D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 114 / Camara Estatal 114				
	Reg. Voters	T. KIRBY (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
061 Lawrenceville E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
062 Pinckneyville L					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
063 Pinckneyville M					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
064 Garners D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
065 Berkshire H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
066 Pinckneyville O					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 114 / Camara Estatal 114				
	Reg. Voters	T. KIRBY (I) R		Write-In Votes	
067 Pucketts E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
068 Cates I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
069 Cates J					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
070 Goodwins B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
071 Lawrenceville F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
072 Martins E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
073 Pinckneyville P					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 114 / Camara Estatal 114				
	Reg. Voters	T. KIRBY (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
074 Pinckneyville Q					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
075 Rockbridge C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
076 Rockbridge D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
077 Goodwins C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
078 Baycreek K					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
079 Cates K					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
080 Baycreek C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 114 / Camara Estatal 114				
	Reg. Voters	T. KIRBY (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
081 Cates L					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
082 Duluth E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
083 Goodwins D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
084 Lawrenceville G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
085 Lawrenceville H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
086 Martins F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
087 Pinckneyville S					

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 114 / Camara Estatal 114				
	Reg. Voters	T. KIRBY (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
088 Rockbridge E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
089 Sugar Hill E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
090 Lawrenceville I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
091 Baycreek D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
092 Berkshire J					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
093 Cates M					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 114 / Camara Estatal 114				
	Reg. Voters	T. KIRBY (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-
094 Cates N					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
095 Duluth F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
096 Duluth G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
097 Goodwins E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
098 Goodwins F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
099 Pinckneyville T					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
100 Suwanee B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 114 / Camara Estatal 114				
	Reg. Voters	T. KIRBY (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
101 Pinckneyville U					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
102 Pucketts B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
103 Berkshire L					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
104 Rockbridge F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
105 Sugar Hill F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
106 Suwanee C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
107 Cates O					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 114 / Camara Estatal 114				
	Reg. Voters	T. KIRBY (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
108 Baycreek E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
109 Berkshire M					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
110 Martins G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
111 Duluth H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
112 Berkshire N					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
113 Pinckneyville V					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 114 / Camara Estatal 114				
	Reg. Voters	T. KIRBY (I) R		Write-In Votes	
114 Pinckneyville W					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
115 Martins H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
116 Martins I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
117 Martins J					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
118 Garners F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
119 Berkshire O					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
120 Berkshire P					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 114 / Camara Estatal 114				
	Reg. Voters	T. KIRBY (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
121 Pinckneyville X					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
122 Rockbridge G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
123 Pinckneyville Y					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
124 Goodwins G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
125 Pinckneyville Z					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
126 Pinckneyville A1					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
127 Lawrenceville J					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 114 / Camara Estatal 114				
	Reg. Voters	T. KIRBY (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
128 Goodwins H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
129 Duncans B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
130 Suwanee D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
131 Suwanee E					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
132 Lawrenceville K					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
133 Harbins B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
134 Baycreek F					

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 114 / Camara Estatal 114				
	Reg. Voters	T. KIRBY (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
135 Pucketts C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
136 Hog Mountain C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
137 Rockycreek B					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
138 Duluth I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
139 Martins K					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
140 Suwanee H					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 114 / Camara Estatal 114				
	Reg. Voters	T. KIRBY (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-
141 Sugar Hill G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
142 Suwanee F					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
143 Lawrenceville L					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
144 Lawrenceville M					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
145 Baycreek G					
Polling	5092	1001	92.60%	80	7.40%
Absentee by Mail	5092	102	87.18%	15	12.82%
Advance in Person	5092	29	85.29%	5	14.71%
Provisional	5092	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5092	720	88.78%	91	11.22%
Total	5092	1853	90.66%	191	9.34%
146 Baycreek H					
Polling	1689	334	94.35%	20	5.65%
Absentee by Mail	1689	40	86.96%	6	13.04%
Advance in Person	1689	18	85.71%	3	14.29%
Provisional	1689	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1689	257	89.86%	29	10.14%
Total	1689	650	91.81%	58	8.19%
147 Baycreek I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 114 / Camara Estatal 114				
	Reg. Voters	T. KIRBY (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
148 Berkshire Q					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
149 Goodwins I					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
150 Duluth K					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
151 Harbins C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
152 Rockycreek C					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
153 Lawrenceville N					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
154 Pucketts D					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	State House 114 / Camara Estatal 114				
	Reg. Voters	T. KIRBY (I) R		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
155 Suwanee G					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
156 Baycreek J					
Polling	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-
Total					
Polling	6781	1335	93.03%	100	6.97%
Absentee by Mail	6781	142	87.12%	21	12.88%
Advance in Person	6781	47	85.45%	8	14.55%
Advance in Person 2	6781	977	89.06%	120	10.94%
Provisional	6781	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	6781	2503	90.95%	249	9.05%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Solicitor General / Procurador General							
	Reg. Voters	R. M. SZABO (I) R		B. W. WHITESIDE (D)		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide							
001 Harbins A							
Polling	6123	823	53.76%	705	46.05%	3	0.20%
Absentee by Mail	6123	76	40.00%	113	59.47%	1	0.53%
Advance in Person	6123	660	59.14%	456	40.86%	0	0.00%
Provisional	6123	5	33.33%	10	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	6123	322	40.05%	481	59.83%	1	0.12%
Total	6123	1886	51.59%	1765	48.28%	5	0.14%
002 Rockbridge A							
Polling	3653	166	20.47%	645	79.53%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3653	31	23.66%	100	76.34%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3653	32	27.12%	86	72.88%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3653	29	38.16%	47	61.84%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3653	203	18.94%	869	81.06%	0	0.00%
Total	3653	461	20.88%	1747	79.12%	0	0.00%
003 Dacula							
Polling	4478	504	45.16%	612	54.84%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4478	31	25.00%	93	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4478	595	50.13%	592	49.87%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4478	3	37.50%	5	62.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4478	61	25.85%	175	74.15%	0	0.00%
Total	4478	1194	44.70%	1477	55.30%	0	0.00%
004 Suwanee A							
Polling	1551	193	51.88%	179	48.12%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1551	27	62.79%	16	37.21%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1551	15	41.67%	21	58.33%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1551	11	73.33%	4	26.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1551	179	45.66%	213	54.34%	0	0.00%
Total	1551	425	49.53%	433	50.47%	0	0.00%
005 Baycreek A							
Polling	2555	544	63.04%	319	36.96%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2555	56	56.00%	44	44.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2555	18	52.94%	16	47.06%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2555	16	57.14%	12	42.86%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2555	336	48.41%	357	51.44%	1	0.14%
Total	2555	970	56.43%	748	43.51%	1	0.06%
006 Goodwins A							
Polling	3583	532	45.86%	627	54.05%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	3583	57	46.72%	65	53.28%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3583	36	33.64%	71	66.36%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3583	5	31.25%	11	68.75%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3583	276	41.07%	395	58.78%	1	0.15%
Total	3583	906	43.62%	1169	56.28%	2	0.10%
007 Duluth A							
Polling	4599	745	54.58%	620	45.42%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4599	80	37.56%	133	62.44%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4599	297	37.69%	490	62.18%	1	0.13%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Solicitor General / Procurador General						
	Reg. Voters	R. M. SZABO (I) R		B. W. WHITESIDE (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	4599	6	60.00%	4	40.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4599	263	49.07%	273	50.93%	0	0.00%
Total	4599	1391	47.77%	1520	52.20%	1	0.03%
008 Duncans A							
Polling	4278	903	71.10%	367	28.90%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4278	72	57.60%	52	41.60%	1	0.80%
Advance in Person	4278	899	71.07%	366	28.93%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4278	5	38.46%	8	61.54%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4278	100	58.14%	72	41.86%	0	0.00%
Total	4278	1979	69.56%	865	30.40%	1	0.04%
009 Pucketts A							
Polling	4844	747	68.41%	343	31.41%	2	0.18%
Absentee by Mail	4844	105	61.05%	67	38.95%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4844	1284	71.53%	511	28.47%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4844	2	66.67%	1	33.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4844	37	68.52%	16	29.63%	1	1.85%
Total	4844	2175	69.80%	938	30.10%	3	0.10%
010 Cates A							
Polling	2209	413	65.35%	219	34.65%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2209	98	62.03%	60	37.97%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2209	19	76.00%	6	24.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2209	2	50.00%	1	25.00%	1	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	2209	332	55.43%	266	44.41%	1	0.17%
Total	2209	864	60.93%	552	38.93%	2	0.14%
011 Berkshire A							
Polling	2247	247	38.29%	398	61.71%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2247	27	28.42%	68	71.58%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2247	84	25.23%	249	74.77%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2247	2	16.67%	10	83.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2247	34	53.13%	30	46.88%	0	0.00%
Total	2247	394	34.29%	755	65.71%	0	0.00%
012 Berkshire B							
Polling	5010	222	24.80%	673	75.20%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5010	56	33.53%	111	66.47%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5010	401	27.48%	1058	72.52%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5010	2	10.53%	17	89.47%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5010	20	26.32%	56	73.68%	0	0.00%
Total	5010	701	26.80%	1915	73.20%	0	0.00%
013 Duncans C							
Polling	2828	791	78.78%	213	21.22%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2828	59	66.29%	30	33.71%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2828	538	70.23%	228	29.77%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2828	5	71.43%	2	28.57%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2828	45	55.56%	36	44.44%	0	0.00%
Total	2828	1438	73.86%	509	26.14%	0	0.00%
014 Garners A							
Polling	3302	614	62.65%	366	37.35%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Solicitor General / Procurador General						
	Reg. Voters	R. M. SZABO (I) R		B. W. WHITESIDE (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	3302	70	52.63%	63	47.37%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3302	585	57.75%	428	42.25%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3302	4	44.44%	4	44.44%	1	11.11%
Advance in Person 2	3302	49	43.36%	64	56.64%	0	0.00%
Total	3302	1322	58.81%	925	41.15%	1	0.04%
015 Lawrenceville A							
Polling	3300	321	45.08%	391	54.92%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3300	77	52.74%	69	47.26%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3300	7	41.18%	10	58.82%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3300	0	0.00%	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3300	358	47.61%	394	52.39%	0	0.00%
Total	3300	763	46.78%	868	53.22%	0	0.00%
016 Lawrenceville B							
Polling	4520	396	38.90%	622	61.10%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4520	56	32.75%	115	67.25%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4520	10	22.22%	35	77.78%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4520	6	50.00%	6	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4520	407	28.52%	1017	71.27%	3	0.21%
Total	4520	875	32.73%	1795	67.15%	3	0.11%
017 Martins A							
Polling	3019	186	22.71%	633	77.29%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3019	20	20.83%	76	79.17%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3019	59	23.41%	193	76.59%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3019	3	25.00%	9	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3019	53	17.21%	255	82.79%	0	0.00%
Total	3019	321	21.59%	1166	78.41%	0	0.00%
018 Martins B							
Polling	2796	275	33.74%	536	65.77%	4	0.49%
Absentee by Mail	2796	28	31.46%	61	68.54%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2796	18	19.35%	75	80.65%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2796	4	21.05%	15	78.95%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2796	143	31.71%	308	68.29%	0	0.00%
Total	2796	468	31.90%	995	67.83%	4	0.27%
019 Martins C							
Polling	2349	366	43.88%	467	56.00%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	2349	32	42.11%	44	57.89%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2349	87	43.94%	111	56.06%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2349	2	20.00%	8	80.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2349	101	40.89%	146	59.11%	0	0.00%
Total	2349	588	43.08%	776	56.85%	1	0.07%
020 Pinckneyville A							
Polling	2603	161	22.97%	539	76.89%	1	0.14%
Absentee by Mail	2603	21	25.30%	62	74.70%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2603	53	13.73%	332	86.01%	1	0.26%
Provisional	2603	6	35.29%	11	64.71%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2603	5	8.77%	52	91.23%	0	0.00%
Total	2603	246	19.77%	996	80.06%	2	0.16%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Solicitor General / Procurador General						
	Reg. Voters	R. M. SZABO (I) R		B. W. WHITESIDE (D)		Write-In Votes	
021 Pinckneyville B							
Polling	3216	165	25.27%	488	74.73%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3216	34	29.06%	83	70.94%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3216	123	21.39%	452	78.61%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3216	6	18.18%	27	81.82%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3216	6	21.43%	22	78.57%	0	0.00%
Total	3216	334	23.76%	1072	76.24%	0	0.00%
022 Pinckneyville C							
Polling	1796	449	57.20%	336	42.80%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1796	58	49.57%	59	50.43%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1796	124	41.61%	174	58.39%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1796	2	50.00%	2	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1796	22	48.89%	23	51.11%	0	0.00%
Total	1796	655	52.44%	594	47.56%	0	0.00%
023 Pinckneyville D							
Polling	2042	118	23.00%	393	76.61%	2	0.39%
Absentee by Mail	2042	18	28.13%	46	71.88%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2042	60	21.82%	215	78.18%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2042	2	15.38%	10	76.92%	1	7.69%
Advance in Person 2	2042	6	15.00%	34	85.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2042	204	22.54%	698	77.13%	3	0.33%
024 Sugar Hill A							
Polling	4001	671	63.18%	390	36.72%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	4001	54	41.86%	75	58.14%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4001	367	54.78%	303	45.22%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4001	11	61.11%	7	38.89%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4001	114	51.35%	108	48.65%	0	0.00%
Total	4001	1217	57.92%	883	42.03%	1	0.05%
025 Sugar Hill B							
Polling	4835	862	58.56%	609	41.37%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	4835	76	50.00%	76	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4835	236	53.76%	203	46.24%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4835	14	70.00%	6	30.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4835	330	56.03%	259	43.97%	0	0.00%
Total	4835	1518	56.81%	1153	43.15%	1	0.04%
026 Hog Mountain A							
Polling	4467	581	45.11%	707	54.89%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4467	57	37.50%	95	62.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4467	146	38.93%	229	61.07%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4467	6	30.00%	14	70.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4467	214	36.46%	372	63.37%	1	0.17%
Total	4467	1004	41.45%	1417	58.51%	1	0.04%
027 Hog Mountain B							
Polling	4211	872	63.65%	497	36.28%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	4211	85	51.20%	81	48.80%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4211	490	60.72%	317	39.28%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4211	6	54.55%	5	45.45%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Solicitor General / Procurador General						
	Reg. Voters	R. M. SZABO (I) R		B. W. WHITESIDE (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	4211	162	46.82%	184	53.18%	0	0.00%
Total	4211	1615	59.81%	1084	40.15%	1	0.04%
028 Rockycreek A							
Polling	5149	885	59.76%	596	40.24%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5149	55	45.08%	67	54.92%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5149	892	61.10%	567	38.84%	1	0.07%
Provisional	5149	3	60.00%	2	40.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5149	57	33.33%	114	66.67%	0	0.00%
Total	5149	1892	58.41%	1346	41.56%	1	0.03%
029 Cates B							
Polling	1559	374	67.03%	183	32.80%	1	0.18%
Absentee by Mail	1559	35	61.40%	22	38.60%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1559	107	62.57%	64	37.43%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1559	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1559	146	62.93%	86	37.07%	0	0.00%
Total	1559	665	65.13%	355	34.77%	1	0.10%
030 Cates C							
Polling	2599	311	41.97%	430	58.03%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2599	35	43.21%	45	55.56%	1	1.23%
Advance in Person	2599	8	33.33%	16	66.67%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2599	1	12.50%	7	87.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2599	241	32.09%	510	67.91%	0	0.00%
Total	2599	596	37.13%	1008	62.80%	1	0.06%
031 Hog Mountain D							
Polling	2958	547	60.98%	350	39.02%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2958	44	41.51%	62	58.49%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2958	222	65.10%	119	34.90%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2958	9	81.82%	2	18.18%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2958	167	49.55%	170	50.45%	0	0.00%
Total	2958	989	58.45%	703	41.55%	0	0.00%
032 Berkshire D							
Polling	2684	459	56.46%	354	43.54%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2684	63	50.00%	63	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2684	353	48.22%	379	51.78%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2684	2	28.57%	5	71.43%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2684	41	48.81%	43	51.19%	0	0.00%
Total	2684	918	52.10%	844	47.90%	0	0.00%
033 Berkshire E							
Polling	1866	403	63.07%	235	36.78%	1	0.16%
Absentee by Mail	1866	40	48.78%	42	51.22%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1866	286	53.36%	250	46.64%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1866	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1866	22	61.11%	14	38.89%	0	0.00%
Total	1866	751	58.04%	542	41.89%	1	0.08%
034 Berkshire F							
Polling	2003	267	41.59%	374	58.26%	1	0.16%
Absentee by Mail	2003	36	48.65%	38	51.35%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Solicitor General / Procurador General							
	Reg. Voters	R. M. SZABO (I) R		B. W. WHITESIDE (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	2003	61	24.60%	187	75.40%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2003	3	27.27%	8	72.73%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2003	29	39.19%	45	60.81%	0	0.00%
Total	2003	396	37.75%	652	62.15%	1	0.10%
035 Cates D - 04							
Polling	2605	459	55.17%	373	44.83%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2605	49	48.04%	53	51.96%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2605	86	48.59%	91	51.41%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2605	6	60.00%	4	40.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2605	239	47.70%	262	52.30%	0	0.00%
Total	2605	839	51.73%	783	48.27%	0	0.00%
035 Cates D - 07							
Polling	585	107	55.73%	85	44.27%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	585	7	25.93%	20	74.07%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	585	21	29.17%	51	70.83%	0	0.00%
Provisional	585	2	66.67%	1	33.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	585	27	39.71%	41	60.29%	0	0.00%
Total	585	164	45.30%	198	54.70%	0	0.00%
036 Cates E							
Polling	2744	530	56.62%	406	43.38%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2744	53	44.92%	65	55.08%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2744	232	48.54%	246	51.46%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2744	1	11.11%	8	88.89%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2744	188	55.29%	152	44.71%	0	0.00%
Total	2744	1004	53.38%	877	46.62%	0	0.00%
037 Pinckneyville E							
Polling	2183	151	22.34%	524	77.51%	1	0.15%
Absentee by Mail	2183	8	15.69%	43	84.31%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2183	43	17.00%	210	83.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2183	1	9.09%	10	90.91%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2183	6	27.27%	16	72.73%	0	0.00%
Total	2183	209	20.63%	803	79.27%	1	0.10%
038 Pinckneyville F							
Polling	2301	192	30.38%	438	69.30%	2	0.32%
Absentee by Mail	2301	30	34.09%	58	65.91%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2301	90	28.21%	228	71.47%	1	0.31%
Provisional	2301	4	66.67%	2	33.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2301	8	21.62%	29	78.38%	0	0.00%
Total	2301	324	29.94%	755	69.78%	3	0.28%
039 Duncans D							
Polling	6085	1244	68.81%	564	31.19%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	6085	128	61.54%	80	38.46%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	6085	1144	71.90%	446	28.03%	1	0.06%
Provisional	6085	6	42.86%	8	57.14%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	6085	98	58.68%	69	41.32%	0	0.00%
Total	6085	2620	69.17%	1167	30.81%	1	0.03%
040 Pinckneyville H							

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Solicitor General / Procurador General						
	Reg. Voters	R. M. SZABO (I) R		B. W. WHITESIDE (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	4126	722	52.62%	649	47.30%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	4126	92	41.63%	129	58.37%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4126	491	46.63%	561	53.28%	1	0.09%
Provisional	4126	6	46.15%	6	46.15%	1	7.69%
Advance in Person 2	4126	32	39.02%	50	60.98%	0	0.00%
Total	4126	1343	49.00%	1395	50.89%	3	0.11%
041 Baycreek B							
Polling	5349	611	37.19%	1032	62.81%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5349	49	24.14%	153	75.37%	1	0.49%
Advance in Person	5349	12	23.53%	39	76.47%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5349	3	12.50%	21	87.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5349	388	27.56%	1020	72.44%	0	0.00%
Total	5349	1063	31.93%	2265	68.04%	1	0.03%
042 Lawrenceville C							
Polling	2761	553	63.20%	319	36.46%	3	0.34%
Absentee by Mail	2761	47	48.96%	49	51.04%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2761	201	63.41%	116	36.59%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2761	4	80.00%	1	20.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2761	186	51.81%	173	48.19%	0	0.00%
Total	2761	991	59.99%	658	39.83%	3	0.18%
043 Martins D							
Polling	2059	104	17.72%	483	82.28%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2059	21	29.58%	50	70.42%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2059	36	16.67%	180	83.33%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2059	2	15.38%	11	84.62%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2059	16	16.84%	79	83.16%	0	0.00%
Total	2059	179	18.23%	803	81.77%	0	0.00%
044 Rockbridge B							
Polling	3761	264	27.44%	697	72.45%	1	0.10%
Absentee by Mail	3761	31	30.10%	72	69.90%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3761	27	23.08%	90	76.92%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3761	0	0.00%	12	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3761	216	22.71%	734	77.18%	1	0.11%
Total	3761	538	25.08%	1605	74.83%	2	0.09%
045 Garners B							
Polling	2916	261	37.55%	434	62.45%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2916	61	48.80%	64	51.20%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2916	256	37.43%	428	62.57%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2916	1	16.67%	5	83.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2916	115	31.00%	255	68.73%	1	0.27%
Total	2916	694	36.90%	1186	63.05%	1	0.05%
046 Cates F							
Polling	1689	461	69.32%	204	30.68%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1689	34	51.52%	32	48.48%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1689	23	46.94%	26	53.06%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1689	2	50.00%	2	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1689	209	61.47%	131	38.53%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Solicitor General / Procurador General							
	Reg. Voters	R. M. SZABO (I) R		B. W. WHITESIDE (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	1689	729	64.86%	395	35.14%	0	0.00%
047 Cates G							
Polling	2597	612	68.00%	287	31.89%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	2597	67	56.78%	51	43.22%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2597	75	65.79%	39	34.21%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2597	4	57.14%	3	42.86%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2597	394	58.98%	273	40.87%	1	0.15%
Total	2597	1152	63.75%	653	36.14%	2	0.11%
048 Duluth B							
Polling	3332	515	57.61%	379	42.39%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3332	76	46.06%	89	53.94%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3332	296	45.61%	353	54.39%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3332	6	54.55%	5	45.45%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3332	100	68.03%	47	31.97%	0	0.00%
Total	3332	993	53.22%	873	46.78%	0	0.00%
049 Garners C							
Polling	1908	296	55.02%	242	44.98%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1908	19	33.33%	38	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1908	216	44.08%	274	55.92%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1908	1	20.00%	4	80.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1908	11	24.44%	34	75.56%	0	0.00%
Total	1908	543	47.84%	592	52.16%	0	0.00%
050 Pinckneyville I							
Polling	2479	190	28.40%	479	71.60%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2479	27	33.33%	54	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2479	84	20.90%	318	79.10%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2479	3	18.75%	13	81.25%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2479	18	25.71%	52	74.29%	0	0.00%
Total	2479	322	26.01%	916	73.99%	0	0.00%
051 Pinckneyville J							
Polling	2543	88	16.70%	438	83.11%	1	0.19%
Absentee by Mail	2543	17	24.29%	53	75.71%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2543	62	15.09%	349	84.91%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2543	2	8.33%	21	87.50%	1	4.17%
Advance in Person 2	2543	6	17.14%	29	82.86%	0	0.00%
Total	2543	175	16.40%	890	83.41%	2	0.19%
052 Berkshire G							
Polling	2250	356	55.80%	281	44.04%	1	0.16%
Absentee by Mail	2250	50	58.14%	36	41.86%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2250	389	52.07%	358	47.93%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2250	3	60.00%	2	40.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2250	11	36.67%	19	63.33%	0	0.00%
Total	2250	809	53.72%	696	46.22%	1	0.07%
053 Cates H							
Polling	3622	306	31.22%	673	68.67%	1	0.10%
Absentee by Mail	3622	50	39.37%	77	60.63%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3622	15	31.25%	33	68.75%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Solicitor General / Procurador General						
	Reg. Voters	R. M. SZABO (I) R		B. W. WHITESIDE (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	3622	1	10.00%	9	90.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3622	255	29.51%	608	70.37%	1	0.12%
Total	3622	627	30.90%	1400	69.00%	2	0.10%
054 Sugar Hill C							
Polling	4596	1075	68.08%	503	31.86%	1	0.06%
Absentee by Mail	4596	96	57.83%	69	41.57%	1	0.60%
Advance in Person	4596	285	63.19%	166	36.81%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4596	16	57.14%	11	39.29%	1	3.57%
Advance in Person 2	4596	322	56.99%	243	43.01%	0	0.00%
Total	4596	1794	64.32%	992	35.57%	3	0.11%
055 Sugar Hill D							
Polling	4070	736	61.23%	465	38.69%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	4070	63	52.50%	57	47.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4070	494	57.71%	362	42.29%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4070	16	55.17%	13	44.83%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4070	108	71.52%	43	28.48%	0	0.00%
Total	4070	1417	60.09%	940	39.86%	1	0.04%
056 Duluth C							
Polling	2243	341	50.22%	337	49.63%	1	0.15%
Absentee by Mail	2243	50	52.08%	46	47.92%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2243	201	43.32%	263	56.68%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2243	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2243	25	35.71%	45	64.29%	0	0.00%
Total	2243	618	47.07%	694	52.86%	1	0.08%
057 Duluth D							
Polling	4404	436	42.00%	602	58.00%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4404	72	37.31%	120	62.18%	1	0.52%
Advance in Person	4404	348	35.40%	634	64.50%	1	0.10%
Provisional	4404	5	27.78%	13	72.22%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4404	70	48.28%	75	51.72%	0	0.00%
Total	4404	931	39.17%	1444	60.75%	2	0.08%
058 Pinckneyville K							
Polling	3547	206	23.68%	664	76.32%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3547	26	23.85%	81	74.31%	2	1.83%
Advance in Person	3547	91	18.96%	389	81.04%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3547	3	13.64%	19	86.36%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3547	29	32.22%	61	67.78%	0	0.00%
Total	3547	355	22.60%	1214	77.28%	2	0.13%
059 Pinckneyville N							
Polling	3717	192	18.25%	859	81.65%	1	0.10%
Absentee by Mail	3717	15	20.55%	58	79.45%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3717	78	14.36%	464	85.45%	1	0.18%
Provisional	3717	3	18.75%	13	81.25%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3717	8	14.29%	48	85.71%	0	0.00%
Total	3717	296	17.01%	1442	82.87%	2	0.11%
060 Lawrenceville D							
Polling	4872	267	25.40%	783	74.50%	1	0.10%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Solicitor General / Procurador General						
	Reg. Voters	R. M. SZABO (I) R		B. W. WHITESIDE (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	4872	22	17.89%	101	82.11%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4872	20	24.10%	63	75.90%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4872	6	25.00%	18	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4872	187	21.15%	695	78.62%	2	0.23%
Total	4872	502	23.19%	1660	76.67%	3	0.14%
061 Lawrenceville E							
Polling	2205	348	54.98%	285	45.02%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2205	51	51.00%	49	49.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2205	12	57.14%	9	42.86%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2205	2	66.67%	1	33.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2205	347	55.34%	280	44.66%	0	0.00%
Total	2205	760	54.91%	624	45.09%	0	0.00%
062 Pinckneyville L							
Polling	2233	768	70.20%	326	29.80%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2233	82	62.12%	50	37.88%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2233	220	57.89%	160	42.11%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2233	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2233	28	75.68%	9	24.32%	0	0.00%
Total	2233	1100	66.87%	545	33.13%	0	0.00%
063 Pinckneyville M							
Polling	2515	829	72.72%	310	27.19%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	2515	104	62.28%	63	37.72%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2515	292	63.62%	167	36.38%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2515	4	57.14%	3	42.86%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2515	13	68.42%	6	31.58%	0	0.00%
Total	2515	1242	69.31%	549	30.64%	1	0.06%
064 Garners D							
Polling	3127	238	27.84%	615	71.93%	2	0.23%
Absentee by Mail	3127	28	25.45%	82	74.55%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3127	171	27.40%	453	72.60%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3127	2	25.00%	5	62.50%	1	12.50%
Advance in Person 2	3127	60	20.41%	233	79.25%	1	0.34%
Total	3127	499	26.39%	1388	73.40%	4	0.21%
065 Berkshire H							
Polling	1717	167	37.19%	282	62.81%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1717	27	48.21%	29	51.79%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1717	98	29.97%	229	70.03%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1717	1	12.50%	7	87.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1717	4	11.43%	31	88.57%	0	0.00%
Total	1717	297	33.94%	578	66.06%	0	0.00%
066 Pinckneyville O							
Polling	3267	171	22.44%	589	77.30%	2	0.26%
Absentee by Mail	3267	20	26.32%	56	73.68%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3267	145	23.13%	480	76.56%	2	0.32%
Provisional	3267	7	24.14%	22	75.86%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3267	4	14.29%	24	85.71%	0	0.00%
Total	3267	347	22.80%	1171	76.94%	4	0.26%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Solicitor General / Procurador General						
	Reg. Voters	R. M. SZABO (I) R		B. W. WHITESIDE (D)		Write-In Votes	
067 Pucketts E							
Polling	4771	584	50.92%	563	49.08%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4771	81	44.26%	102	55.74%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4771	638	52.64%	573	47.28%	1	0.08%
Provisional	4771	14	53.85%	11	42.31%	1	3.85%
Advance in Person 2	4771	54	33.54%	107	66.46%	0	0.00%
Total	4771	1371	50.24%	1356	49.69%	2	0.07%
068 Cates I							
Polling	3395	637	54.91%	521	44.91%	2	0.17%
Absentee by Mail	3395	63	45.32%	76	54.68%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3395	91	45.96%	107	54.04%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3395	3	37.50%	5	62.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3395	276	42.33%	376	57.67%	0	0.00%
Total	3395	1070	49.61%	1085	50.30%	2	0.09%
069 Cates J							
Polling	3266	513	46.26%	595	53.65%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	3266	47	39.83%	71	60.17%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3266	230	37.04%	390	62.80%	1	0.16%
Provisional	3266	6	50.00%	6	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3266	69	31.94%	147	68.06%	0	0.00%
Total	3266	865	41.67%	1209	58.24%	2	0.10%
070 Goodwins B							
Polling	3604	734	61.94%	451	38.06%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3604	87	57.24%	64	42.11%	1	0.66%
Advance in Person	3604	122	58.94%	85	41.06%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3604	4	57.14%	2	28.57%	1	14.29%
Advance in Person 2	3604	390	56.28%	302	43.58%	1	0.14%
Total	3604	1337	59.58%	904	40.29%	3	0.13%
071 Lawrenceville F							
Polling	3698	270	30.51%	615	69.49%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3698	27	18.00%	123	82.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3698	22	39.29%	34	60.71%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3698	3	25.00%	9	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3698	222	21.04%	833	78.96%	0	0.00%
Total	3698	544	25.21%	1614	74.79%	0	0.00%
072 Martins E							
Polling	5934	139	11.70%	1048	88.22%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	5934	36	19.78%	146	80.22%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5934	51	7.39%	639	92.61%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5934	7	13.21%	45	84.91%	1	1.89%
Advance in Person 2	5934	23	9.31%	224	90.69%	0	0.00%
Total	5934	256	10.85%	2102	89.07%	2	0.08%
073 Pinckneyville P							
Polling	2048	455	51.82%	422	48.06%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	2048	58	49.57%	58	49.57%	1	0.85%
Advance in Person	2048	96	33.57%	190	66.43%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2048	5	71.43%	2	28.57%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Solicitor General / Procurador General						
	Reg. Voters	R. M. SZABO (I) R		B. W. WHITESIDE (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	2048	12	52.17%	11	47.83%	0	0.00%
Total	2048	626	47.75%	683	52.10%	2	0.15%
074 Pinckneyville Q							
Polling	1725	83	14.12%	505	85.88%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1725	0	0.00%	35	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1725	27	14.36%	161	85.64%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1725	0	0.00%	11	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1725	3	8.33%	33	91.67%	0	0.00%
Total	1725	113	13.17%	745	86.83%	0	0.00%
075 Rockbridge C							
Polling	4722	261	21.93%	929	78.07%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4722	42	21.65%	151	77.84%	1	0.52%
Advance in Person	4722	49	29.88%	115	70.12%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4722	2	12.50%	14	87.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4722	235	16.34%	1203	83.66%	0	0.00%
Total	4722	589	19.62%	2412	80.35%	1	0.03%
076 Rockbridge D							
Polling	3359	238	29.27%	575	70.73%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3359	39	27.86%	101	72.14%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3359	6	16.67%	30	83.33%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3359	5	20.00%	20	80.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3359	295	26.65%	812	73.35%	0	0.00%
Total	3359	583	27.49%	1538	72.51%	0	0.00%
077 Goodwins C							
Polling	5106	536	39.62%	816	60.31%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	5106	82	40.00%	122	59.51%	1	0.49%
Advance in Person	5106	66	23.57%	214	76.43%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5106	4	23.53%	12	70.59%	1	5.88%
Advance in Person 2	5106	300	35.55%	544	64.45%	0	0.00%
Total	5106	988	36.61%	1708	63.28%	3	0.11%
078 Baycreek K							
Polling	3536	456	49.09%	472	50.81%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	3536	70	46.36%	81	53.64%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3536	19	52.78%	17	47.22%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3536	5	41.67%	7	58.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3536	531	46.09%	621	53.91%	0	0.00%
Total	3536	1081	47.41%	1198	52.54%	1	0.04%
079 Cates K							
Polling	2531	558	58.49%	396	41.51%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2531	42	61.76%	26	38.24%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2531	67	56.30%	52	43.70%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2531	1	14.29%	6	85.71%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2531	240	49.90%	240	49.90%	1	0.21%
Total	2531	908	55.74%	720	44.20%	1	0.06%
080 Baycreek C							
Polling	5755	774	47.69%	849	52.31%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5755	76	41.53%	106	57.92%	1	0.55%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Solicitor General / Procurador General						
	Reg. Voters	R. M. SZABO (I) R		B. W. WHITESIDE (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	5755	47	47.00%	53	53.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5755	2	18.18%	9	81.82%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5755	566	31.55%	1228	68.45%	0	0.00%
Total	5755	1465	39.48%	2245	60.50%	1	0.03%
081 Cates L							
Polling	3751	522	46.98%	587	52.84%	2	0.18%
Absentee by Mail	3751	54	39.42%	83	60.58%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3751	11	24.44%	34	75.56%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3751	2	22.22%	7	77.78%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3751	419	41.12%	600	58.88%	0	0.00%
Total	3751	1008	43.43%	1311	56.48%	2	0.09%
082 Duluth E							
Polling	4580	380	32.79%	778	67.13%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	4580	51	33.12%	102	66.23%	1	0.65%
Advance in Person	4580	283	28.88%	697	71.12%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4580	4	19.05%	16	76.19%	1	4.76%
Advance in Person 2	4580	32	29.63%	76	70.37%	0	0.00%
Total	4580	750	30.97%	1669	68.91%	3	0.12%
083 Goodwins D							
Polling	3985	639	50.31%	628	49.45%	3	0.24%
Absentee by Mail	3985	64	45.71%	76	54.29%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3985	38	36.19%	67	63.81%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3985	6	30.00%	14	70.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3985	337	44.34%	423	55.66%	0	0.00%
Total	3985	1084	47.23%	1208	52.64%	3	0.13%
084 Lawrenceville G							
Polling	2783	233	29.91%	545	69.96%	1	0.13%
Absentee by Mail	2783	25	27.78%	65	72.22%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2783	4	11.11%	32	88.89%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2783	5	25.00%	15	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2783	141	25.45%	413	74.55%	0	0.00%
Total	2783	408	27.59%	1070	72.35%	1	0.07%
085 Lawrenceville H							
Polling	3899	647	54.05%	550	45.95%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3899	88	55.70%	70	44.30%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3899	137	57.81%	99	41.77%	1	0.42%
Provisional	3899	4	40.00%	6	60.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3899	391	50.26%	386	49.61%	1	0.13%
Total	3899	1267	53.24%	1111	46.68%	2	0.08%
086 Martins F							
Polling	2401	193	28.76%	477	71.09%	1	0.15%
Absentee by Mail	2401	22	25.88%	63	74.12%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2401	60	18.81%	258	80.88%	1	0.31%
Provisional	2401	2	18.18%	9	81.82%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2401	21	21.00%	78	78.00%	1	1.00%
Total	2401	298	25.13%	885	74.62%	3	0.25%
087 Pinckneyville S							

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Solicitor General / Procurador General						
	Reg. Voters	R. M. SZABO (I) R		B. W. WHITESIDE (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	3013	331	34.99%	615	65.01%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3013	64	44.76%	79	55.24%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3013	161	34.77%	302	65.23%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3013	3	30.00%	7	70.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3013	21	35.00%	39	65.00%	0	0.00%
Total	3013	580	35.76%	1042	64.24%	0	0.00%
088 Rockbridge E							
Polling	4199	319	28.21%	812	71.79%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4199	18	15.38%	99	84.62%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4199	65	40.37%	96	59.63%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4199	6	15.38%	33	84.62%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4199	141	17.17%	680	82.83%	0	0.00%
Total	4199	549	24.20%	1720	75.80%	0	0.00%
089 Sugar Hill E							
Polling	3061	585	62.77%	347	37.23%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3061	58	49.15%	60	50.85%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3061	120	60.61%	78	39.39%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3061	12	60.00%	8	40.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3061	318	54.08%	269	45.75%	1	0.17%
Total	3061	1093	58.89%	762	41.06%	1	0.05%
090 Lawrenceville I							
Polling	3140	366	39.78%	553	60.11%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	3140	45	37.19%	75	61.98%	1	0.83%
Advance in Person	3140	65	45.77%	77	54.23%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3140	2	28.57%	5	71.43%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3140	205	38.97%	321	61.03%	0	0.00%
Total	3140	683	39.80%	1031	60.08%	2	0.12%
091 Baycreek D							
Polling	4157	662	54.94%	543	45.06%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4157	64	40.00%	96	60.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4157	22	59.46%	15	40.54%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4157	6	54.55%	5	45.45%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4157	566	44.92%	694	55.08%	0	0.00%
Total	4157	1320	49.38%	1353	50.62%	0	0.00%
092 Berkshire J							
Polling	2595	509	63.15%	297	36.85%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2595	54	58.70%	38	41.30%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2595	435	54.44%	363	45.43%	1	0.13%
Provisional	2595	4	57.14%	3	42.86%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2595	37	48.05%	40	51.95%	0	0.00%
Total	2595	1039	58.34%	741	41.61%	1	0.06%
093 Cates M							
Polling	3794	806	63.12%	471	36.88%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3794	89	47.85%	96	51.61%	1	0.54%
Advance in Person	3794	72	57.60%	53	42.40%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3794	3	42.86%	4	57.14%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3794	542	55.48%	435	44.52%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Solicitor General / Procurador General						
	Reg. Voters	R. M. SZABO (I) R		B. W. WHITESIDE (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	3794	1512	58.79%	1059	41.17%	1	0.04%
094 Cates N							
Polling	2445	545	67.53%	262	32.47%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2445	71	67.62%	34	32.38%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2445	15	62.50%	9	37.50%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2445	2	40.00%	3	60.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2445	430	65.65%	225	34.35%	0	0.00%
Total	2445	1063	66.60%	533	33.40%	0	0.00%
095 Duluth F							
Polling	5413	296	23.36%	970	76.56%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	5413	61	35.06%	112	64.37%	1	0.57%
Advance in Person	5413	102	17.26%	489	82.74%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5413	5	20.00%	20	80.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5413	95	22.14%	334	77.86%	0	0.00%
Total	5413	559	22.49%	1925	77.43%	2	0.08%
096 Duluth G							
Polling	2775	554	60.41%	363	39.59%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2775	63	59.43%	42	39.62%	1	0.94%
Advance in Person	2775	157	47.15%	176	52.85%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2775	4	40.00%	6	60.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2775	207	59.83%	139	40.17%	0	0.00%
Total	2775	985	57.54%	726	42.41%	1	0.06%
097 Goodwins E							
Polling	4950	812	53.95%	692	45.98%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	4950	81	36.32%	140	62.78%	2	0.90%
Advance in Person	4950	46	27.71%	120	72.29%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4950	5	29.41%	12	70.59%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4950	429	45.78%	508	54.22%	0	0.00%
Total	4950	1373	48.21%	1472	51.69%	3	0.11%
098 Goodwins F							
Polling	2904	411	46.86%	465	53.02%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	2904	56	43.41%	72	55.81%	1	0.78%
Advance in Person	2904	28	43.08%	37	56.92%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2904	4	33.33%	8	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2904	264	46.07%	308	53.75%	1	0.17%
Total	2904	763	46.07%	890	53.74%	3	0.18%
099 Pinckneyville T							
Polling	2874	359	42.29%	490	57.71%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2874	72	50.35%	71	49.65%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2874	159	33.90%	310	66.10%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2874	3	37.50%	5	62.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2874	16	36.36%	28	63.64%	0	0.00%
Total	2874	609	40.25%	904	59.75%	0	0.00%
100 Suwanee B							
Polling	4085	493	58.21%	352	41.56%	2	0.24%
Absentee by Mail	4085	54	43.20%	71	56.80%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4085	10	33.33%	20	66.67%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Solicitor General / Procurador General						
	Reg. Voters	R. M. SZABO (I) R		B. W. WHITESIDE (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	4085	3	50.00%	3	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4085	836	56.41%	645	43.52%	1	0.07%
Total	4085	1396	56.06%	1091	43.82%	3	0.12%
101 Pinckneyville U							
Polling	2007	377	46.31%	437	53.69%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2007	29	40.28%	43	59.72%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2007	82	28.28%	208	71.72%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2007	1	16.67%	5	83.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2007	14	45.16%	17	54.84%	0	0.00%
Total	2007	503	41.47%	710	58.53%	0	0.00%
102 Pucketts B							
Polling	2741	536	66.50%	269	33.37%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	2741	49	58.33%	35	41.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2741	547	66.30%	278	33.70%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2741	8	72.73%	3	27.27%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2741	45	72.58%	17	27.42%	0	0.00%
Total	2741	1185	66.28%	602	33.67%	1	0.06%
103 Berkshire L							
Polling	2915	245	27.50%	646	72.50%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2915	37	31.90%	79	68.10%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2915	81	24.18%	254	75.82%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2915	2	13.33%	13	86.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2915	48	26.09%	136	73.91%	0	0.00%
Total	2915	413	26.80%	1128	73.20%	0	0.00%
104 Rockbridge F							
Polling	5313	190	18.55%	834	81.45%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5313	52	25.87%	149	74.13%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5313	7	17.95%	32	82.05%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5313	4	22.22%	14	77.78%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5313	413	19.63%	1691	80.37%	0	0.00%
Total	5313	666	19.67%	2720	80.33%	0	0.00%
105 Sugar Hill F							
Polling	3687	769	62.12%	466	37.64%	3	0.24%
Absentee by Mail	3687	50	47.17%	55	51.89%	1	0.94%
Advance in Person	3687	49	40.50%	72	59.50%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3687	6	42.86%	8	57.14%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3687	395	58.00%	286	42.00%	0	0.00%
Total	3687	1269	58.75%	887	41.06%	4	0.19%
106 Suwanee C							
Polling	4823	703	58.24%	504	41.76%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4823	88	44.67%	108	54.82%	1	0.51%
Advance in Person	4823	51	49.51%	52	50.49%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4823	17	34.00%	33	66.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4823	651	53.76%	560	46.24%	0	0.00%
Total	4823	1510	54.55%	1257	45.41%	1	0.04%
107 Cates O							
Polling	1342	284	58.32%	203	41.68%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Solicitor General / Procurador General						
	Reg. Voters	R. M. SZABO (I) R		B. W. WHITESIDE (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	1342	23	60.53%	15	39.47%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1342	99	53.80%	85	46.20%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1342	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1342	58	43.94%	74	56.06%	0	0.00%
Total	1342	464	55.04%	379	44.96%	0	0.00%
108 Baycreek E							
Polling	2863	451	49.61%	458	50.39%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2863	42	44.21%	53	55.79%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2863	12	38.71%	19	61.29%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2863	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2863	243	37.16%	410	62.69%	1	0.15%
Total	2863	749	44.24%	943	55.70%	1	0.06%
109 Berkshire M							
Polling	1638	327	57.37%	243	42.63%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1638	29	54.72%	24	45.28%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1638	227	54.18%	191	45.58%	1	0.24%
Provisional	1638	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1638	22	56.41%	17	43.59%	0	0.00%
Total	1638	606	55.85%	478	44.06%	1	0.09%
110 Martins G							
Polling	2187	209	31.05%	464	68.95%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2187	31	42.47%	41	56.16%	1	1.37%
Advance in Person	2187	4	12.12%	29	87.88%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2187	2	22.22%	7	77.78%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2187	81	23.28%	267	76.72%	0	0.00%
Total	2187	327	28.79%	808	71.13%	1	0.09%
111 Duluth H							
Polling	2662	355	48.70%	373	51.17%	1	0.14%
Absentee by Mail	2662	90	50.56%	88	49.44%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2662	265	46.17%	309	53.83%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2662	4	80.00%	1	20.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2662	60	51.72%	56	48.28%	0	0.00%
Total	2662	774	48.31%	827	51.62%	1	0.06%
112 Berkshire N							
Polling	2836	385	60.25%	254	39.75%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2836	72	57.14%	54	42.86%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2836	691	58.16%	497	41.84%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2836	3	75.00%	1	25.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2836	27	72.97%	10	27.03%	0	0.00%
Total	2836	1178	59.08%	816	40.92%	0	0.00%
113 Pinckneyville V							
Polling	1572	162	42.97%	214	56.76%	1	0.27%
Absentee by Mail	1572	22	37.29%	37	62.71%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1572	105	31.34%	229	68.36%	1	0.30%
Provisional	1572	7	50.00%	7	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1572	4	36.36%	7	63.64%	0	0.00%
Total	1572	300	37.69%	494	62.06%	2	0.25%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Solicitor General / Procurador General						
	Reg. Voters	R. M. SZABO (I) R		B. W. WHITESIDE (D)		Write-In Votes	
114 Pinckneyville W							
Polling	3885	565	46.69%	645	53.31%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3885	59	41.84%	81	57.45%	1	0.71%
Advance in Person	3885	276	38.76%	436	61.24%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3885	6	35.29%	11	64.71%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3885	23	50.00%	23	50.00%	0	0.00%
Total	3885	929	43.70%	1196	56.26%	1	0.05%
115 Martins H							
Polling	4487	435	33.83%	849	66.02%	2	0.16%
Absentee by Mail	4487	77	36.32%	135	63.68%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4487	55	24.23%	172	75.77%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4487	2	14.29%	12	85.71%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4487	241	33.24%	484	66.76%	0	0.00%
Total	4487	810	32.87%	1652	67.05%	2	0.08%
116 Martins I							
Polling	3518	232	24.79%	703	75.11%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	3518	50	31.85%	107	68.15%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3518	63	19.33%	263	80.67%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3518	1	7.14%	13	92.86%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3518	55	21.07%	206	78.93%	0	0.00%
Total	3518	401	23.67%	1292	76.27%	1	0.06%
117 Martins J							
Polling	3809	200	19.34%	833	80.56%	1	0.10%
Absentee by Mail	3809	19	16.10%	99	83.90%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3809	54	15.00%	306	85.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3809	0	0.00%	18	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3809	56	20.82%	213	79.18%	0	0.00%
Total	3809	329	18.29%	1469	81.66%	1	0.06%
118 Garners F							
Polling	1558	237	52.09%	218	47.91%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1558	16	39.02%	25	60.98%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1558	236	50.75%	228	49.03%	1	0.22%
Provisional	1558	2	50.00%	2	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1558	5	31.25%	11	68.75%	0	0.00%
Total	1558	496	50.56%	484	49.34%	1	0.10%
119 Berkshire O							
Polling	2422	506	58.03%	364	41.74%	2	0.23%
Absentee by Mail	2422	66	66.00%	34	34.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2422	293	60.29%	191	39.30%	2	0.41%
Provisional	2422	4	44.44%	5	55.56%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2422	77	52.74%	68	46.58%	1	0.68%
Total	2422	946	58.65%	662	41.04%	5	0.31%
120 Berkshire P							
Polling	1339	156	40.52%	229	59.48%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1339	18	29.03%	44	70.97%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1339	59	31.55%	128	68.45%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1339	2	25.00%	6	75.00%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Solicitor General / Procurador General						
	Reg. Voters	R. M. SZABO (I) R		B. W. WHITESIDE (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	1339	23	40.35%	34	59.65%	0	0.00%
Total	1339	258	36.91%	441	63.09%	0	0.00%
121 Pinckneyville X							
Polling	3198	592	54.97%	484	44.94%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	3198	95	45.24%	115	54.76%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3198	346	47.07%	389	52.93%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3198	2	40.00%	3	60.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3198	38	52.78%	34	47.22%	0	0.00%
Total	3198	1073	51.12%	1025	48.83%	1	0.05%
122 Rockbridge G							
Polling	5188	265	23.33%	871	76.67%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5188	43	18.61%	188	81.39%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5188	42	38.89%	66	61.11%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5188	2	5.13%	37	94.87%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5188	292	18.42%	1293	81.58%	0	0.00%
Total	5188	644	20.78%	2455	79.22%	0	0.00%
123 Pinckneyville Y							
Polling	3018	149	19.50%	614	80.37%	1	0.13%
Absentee by Mail	3018	13	21.31%	48	78.69%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3018	74	16.70%	369	83.30%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3018	3	13.64%	19	86.36%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3018	18	29.03%	43	69.35%	1	1.61%
Total	3018	257	19.01%	1093	80.84%	2	0.15%
124 Goodwins G							
Polling	2448	242	31.97%	515	68.03%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2448	18	25.71%	52	74.29%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2448	15	21.43%	55	78.57%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2448	4	40.00%	6	60.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2448	106	25.54%	309	74.46%	0	0.00%
Total	2448	385	29.12%	937	70.88%	0	0.00%
125 Pinckneyville Z							
Polling	1806	619	70.90%	254	29.10%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1806	51	56.67%	39	43.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1806	191	62.01%	117	37.99%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1806	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1806	22	70.97%	9	29.03%	0	0.00%
Total	1806	885	67.87%	419	32.13%	0	0.00%
126 Pinckneyville A1							
Polling	2596	804	69.43%	353	30.48%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	2596	97	61.78%	59	37.58%	1	0.64%
Advance in Person	2596	276	58.47%	196	41.53%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2596	3	42.86%	4	57.14%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2596	24	50.00%	24	50.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2596	1204	65.36%	636	34.53%	2	0.11%
127 Lawrenceville J							
Polling	1959	258	42.02%	356	57.98%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1959	19	24.36%	59	75.64%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Solicitor General / Procurador General						
	Reg. Voters	R. M. SZABO (I) R		B. W. WHITESIDE (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	1959	11	23.40%	36	76.60%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1959	2	40.00%	3	60.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1959	98	25.79%	282	74.21%	0	0.00%
Total	1959	388	34.52%	736	65.48%	0	0.00%
128 Goodwins H							
Polling	2561	461	55.95%	362	43.93%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	2561	50	48.54%	53	51.46%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2561	21	40.38%	31	59.62%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2561	4	36.36%	7	63.64%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2561	238	44.32%	299	55.68%	0	0.00%
Total	2561	774	50.69%	752	49.25%	1	0.07%
129 Duncans B							
Polling	4655	1142	71.69%	451	28.31%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4655	82	56.55%	63	43.45%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4655	827	71.85%	324	28.15%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4655	4	66.67%	2	33.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4655	61	54.95%	50	45.05%	0	0.00%
Total	4655	2116	70.39%	890	29.61%	0	0.00%
130 Suwanee D							
Polling	4247	951	68.96%	428	31.04%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4247	91	53.53%	79	46.47%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4247	46	52.87%	41	47.13%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4247	6	42.86%	8	57.14%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4247	761	67.23%	369	32.60%	2	0.18%
Total	4247	1855	66.68%	925	33.25%	2	0.07%
131 Suwanee E							
Polling	3921	709	66.39%	359	33.61%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3921	89	49.17%	92	50.83%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3921	19	41.30%	27	58.70%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3921	14	66.67%	7	33.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3921	780	61.76%	483	38.24%	0	0.00%
Total	3921	1611	62.47%	968	37.53%	0	0.00%
132 Lawrenceville K							
Polling	2915	549	54.09%	465	45.81%	1	0.10%
Absentee by Mail	2915	53	49.53%	54	50.47%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2915	103	58.52%	73	41.48%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2915	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2915	227	43.32%	297	56.68%	0	0.00%
Total	2915	933	51.10%	892	48.85%	1	0.05%
133 Harbins B							
Polling	4220	574	58.27%	410	41.62%	1	0.10%
Absentee by Mail	4220	46	51.11%	44	48.89%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4220	849	65.11%	455	34.89%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4220	2	50.00%	1	25.00%	1	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	4220	64	44.14%	81	55.86%	0	0.00%
Total	4220	1535	60.72%	991	39.20%	2	0.08%
134 Baycreek F							

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Solicitor General / Procurador General						
	Reg. Voters	R. M. SZABO (I) R		B. W. WHITESIDE (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	4119	488	40.63%	713	59.37%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4119	58	33.92%	113	66.08%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4119	13	35.14%	24	64.86%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4119	1	10.00%	9	90.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4119	342	28.84%	844	71.16%	0	0.00%
Total	4119	902	34.63%	1703	65.37%	0	0.00%
135 Pucketts C							
Polling	2931	545	65.50%	285	34.25%	2	0.24%
Absentee by Mail	2931	57	57.58%	41	41.41%	1	1.01%
Advance in Person	2931	456	63.25%	264	36.62%	1	0.14%
Provisional	2931	9	56.25%	7	43.75%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2931	36	47.37%	40	52.63%	0	0.00%
Total	2931	1103	63.25%	637	36.53%	4	0.23%
136 Hog Mountain C							
Polling	3832	731	60.66%	474	39.34%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3832	42	35.59%	76	64.41%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3832	372	57.50%	275	42.50%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3832	5	71.43%	2	28.57%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3832	121	55.25%	98	44.75%	0	0.00%
Total	3832	1271	57.88%	925	42.12%	0	0.00%
137 Rockycreek B							
Polling	4677	807	58.91%	561	40.95%	2	0.15%
Absentee by Mail	4677	53	41.73%	74	58.27%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4677	662	61.18%	420	38.82%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4677	2	25.00%	6	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4677	114	48.93%	119	51.07%	0	0.00%
Total	4677	1638	58.09%	1180	41.84%	2	0.07%
138 Duluth I							
Polling	4491	696	56.59%	533	43.33%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	4491	144	50.00%	144	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4491	228	49.14%	236	50.86%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4491	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4491	413	61.28%	261	38.72%	0	0.00%
Total	4491	1485	55.83%	1174	44.14%	1	0.04%
139 Martins K							
Polling	4796	361	25.62%	1046	74.24%	2	0.14%
Absentee by Mail	4796	54	33.13%	109	66.87%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4796	32	15.46%	175	84.54%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4796	4	21.05%	15	78.95%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4796	144	18.09%	651	81.78%	1	0.13%
Total	4796	595	22.94%	1996	76.95%	3	0.12%
140 Suwanee H							
Polling	3480	733	72.22%	282	27.78%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3480	107	56.91%	80	42.55%	1	0.53%
Advance in Person	3480	28	56.00%	22	44.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3480	15	75.00%	5	25.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3480	798	72.35%	305	27.65%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Solicitor General / Procurador General						
	Reg. Voters	R. M. SZABO (I) R		B. W. WHITESIDE (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	3480	1681	70.75%	694	29.21%	1	0.04%
141 Sugar Hill G							
Polling	2601	627	72.32%	238	27.45%	2	0.23%
Absentee by Mail	2601	41	47.67%	45	52.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2601	68	52.71%	61	47.29%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2601	11	73.33%	4	26.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2601	366	63.43%	211	36.57%	0	0.00%
Total	2601	1113	66.49%	559	33.39%	2	0.12%
142 Suwanee F							
Polling	3598	626	58.50%	444	41.50%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3598	58	41.43%	81	57.86%	1	0.71%
Advance in Person	3598	26	36.62%	45	63.38%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3598	6	46.15%	7	53.85%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3598	432	51.18%	412	48.82%	0	0.00%
Total	3598	1148	53.70%	989	46.26%	1	0.05%
143 Lawrenceville L							
Polling	4355	575	43.59%	741	56.18%	3	0.23%
Absentee by Mail	4355	87	47.28%	96	52.17%	1	0.54%
Advance in Person	4355	20	37.74%	33	62.26%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4355	4	26.67%	11	73.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4355	443	39.98%	664	59.93%	1	0.09%
Total	4355	1129	42.14%	1545	57.67%	5	0.19%
144 Lawrenceville M							
Polling	5956	369	24.28%	1149	75.59%	2	0.13%
Absentee by Mail	5956	40	22.47%	137	76.97%	1	0.56%
Advance in Person	5956	63	23.68%	203	76.32%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5956	3	18.75%	13	81.25%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5956	211	16.24%	1087	83.68%	1	0.08%
Total	5956	686	20.92%	2589	78.96%	4	0.12%
145 Baycreek G							
Polling	5092	679	44.32%	853	55.68%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5092	64	37.21%	108	62.79%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5092	19	35.19%	35	64.81%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5092	3	23.08%	10	76.92%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5092	407	28.32%	1030	71.68%	0	0.00%
Total	5092	1172	36.53%	2036	63.47%	0	0.00%
146 Baycreek H							
Polling	5697	977	57.07%	734	42.87%	1	0.06%
Absentee by Mail	5697	96	46.15%	111	53.37%	1	0.48%
Advance in Person	5697	54	54.00%	46	46.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5697	3	33.33%	6	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5697	719	44.69%	890	55.31%	0	0.00%
Total	5697	1849	50.82%	1787	49.12%	2	0.05%
147 Baycreek I							
Polling	5162	537	42.22%	733	57.63%	2	0.16%
Absentee by Mail	5162	68	35.42%	124	64.58%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5162	22	40.74%	32	59.26%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Solicitor General / Procurador General						
	Reg. Voters	R. M. SZABO (I) R		B. W. WHITESIDE (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	5162	6	42.86%	8	57.14%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5162	538	35.42%	980	64.52%	1	0.07%
Total	5162	1171	38.38%	1877	61.52%	3	0.10%
148 Berkshire Q							
Polling	1644	303	56.53%	232	43.28%	1	0.19%
Absentee by Mail	1644	34	64.15%	19	35.85%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1644	207	51.88%	192	48.12%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1644	3	60.00%	2	40.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1644	18	60.00%	12	40.00%	0	0.00%
Total	1644	565	55.23%	457	44.67%	1	0.10%
149 Goodwins I							
Polling	2341	528	60.14%	350	39.86%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2341	65	52.85%	57	46.34%	1	0.81%
Advance in Person	2341	24	28.24%	61	71.76%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2341	3	42.86%	4	57.14%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2341	239	52.88%	213	47.12%	0	0.00%
Total	2341	859	55.60%	685	44.34%	1	0.06%
150 Duluth K							
Polling	2694	108	19.96%	433	80.04%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2694	14	26.42%	38	71.70%	1	1.89%
Advance in Person	2694	79	20.63%	303	79.11%	1	0.26%
Provisional	2694	2	22.22%	7	77.78%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2694	5	12.82%	34	87.18%	0	0.00%
Total	2694	208	20.29%	815	79.51%	2	0.20%
151 Harbins C							
Polling	2369	672	70.59%	280	29.41%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2369	42	55.26%	34	44.74%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2369	167	56.23%	130	43.77%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2369	3	50.00%	3	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2369	119	42.96%	157	56.68%	1	0.36%
Total	2369	1003	62.38%	604	37.56%	1	0.06%
152 Rockycreek C							
Polling	4504	756	56.54%	579	43.31%	2	0.15%
Absentee by Mail	4504	67	52.34%	61	47.66%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4504	716	58.93%	499	41.07%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4504	4	80.00%	1	20.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4504	88	41.90%	122	58.10%	0	0.00%
Total	4504	1631	56.34%	1262	43.59%	2	0.07%
153 Lawrenceville N							
Polling	2343	301	49.43%	307	50.41%	1	0.16%
Absentee by Mail	2343	38	51.35%	36	48.65%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2343	6	26.09%	17	73.91%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2343	4	22.22%	14	77.78%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2343	198	38.22%	319	61.58%	1	0.19%
Total	2343	547	44.04%	693	55.80%	2	0.16%
154 Pucketts D							
Polling	3166	563	64.94%	304	35.06%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Solicitor General / Procurador General						
	Reg. Voters	R. M. SZABO (I) R		B. W. WHITESIDE (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	3166	77	55.40%	62	44.60%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3166	597	68.94%	268	30.95%	1	0.12%
Provisional	3166	10	62.50%	6	37.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3166	56	70.89%	23	29.11%	0	0.00%
Total	3166	1303	66.24%	663	33.71%	1	0.05%
155 Suwanee G							
Polling	3451	625	54.97%	512	45.03%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3451	55	40.44%	81	59.56%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3451	36	42.35%	49	57.65%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3451	7	46.67%	8	53.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3451	341	44.99%	416	54.88%	1	0.13%
Total	3451	1064	49.93%	1066	50.02%	1	0.05%
156 Baycreek J							
Polling	4038	466	39.36%	717	60.56%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	4038	46	29.11%	112	70.89%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4038	16	32.00%	34	68.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4038	2	22.22%	7	77.78%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4038	343	29.72%	811	70.28%	0	0.00%
Total	4038	873	34.17%	1681	65.79%	1	0.04%
Total							
Polling	525568	73521	48.42%	78205	51.51%	103	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	525568	8318	42.29%	11319	57.54%	34	0.17%
Advance in Person	525568	28934	46.96%	32658	53.00%	23	0.04%
Advance in Person 2	525568	29034	39.46%	44506	60.49%	35	0.05%
Provisional	525568	694	34.05%	1331	65.31%	13	0.64%
Total	525568	140501	45.51%	168019	54.42%	208	0.07%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2						
	Reg. Voters	L. HOWARD (I) R		B. KU (D)		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide							
001 Harbins A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
002 Rockbridge A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
003 Dacula							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
004 Suwanee A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
005 Baycreek A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
006 Goodwins A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
007 Duluth A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2						
	Reg. Voters	L. HOWARD (I) R		B. KU (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
008 Duncans A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
009 Pucketts A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
010 Cates A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
011 Berkshire A							
Polling	2247	239	37.40%	400	62.60%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2247	27	28.13%	69	71.88%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2247	83	25.00%	249	75.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2247	1	10.00%	9	90.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2247	33	51.56%	31	48.44%	0	0.00%
Total	2247	383	33.57%	758	66.43%	0	0.00%
012 Berkshire B							
Polling	5010	214	23.80%	684	76.08%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	5010	53	30.99%	117	68.42%	1	0.58%
Advance in Person	5010	384	26.41%	1070	73.59%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5010	1	6.25%	15	93.75%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5010	18	23.68%	58	76.32%	0	0.00%
Total	5010	670	25.61%	1944	74.31%	2	0.08%
013 Duncans C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
014 Garners A							
Polling	3302	591	60.49%	386	39.51%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2						
	Reg. Voters	L. HOWARD (I) R		B. KU (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	3302	77	57.04%	58	42.96%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3302	572	56.69%	436	43.21%	1	0.10%
Provisional	3302	2	33.33%	4	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3302	45	39.82%	68	60.18%	0	0.00%
Total	3302	1287	57.46%	952	42.50%	1	0.04%
015 Lawrenceville A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
016 Lawrenceville B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
017 Martins A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
018 Martins B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
019 Martins C							
Polling	2349	360	43.27%	471	56.61%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	2349	33	43.42%	43	56.58%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2349	84	42.64%	113	57.36%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2349	0	0.00%	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2349	101	40.89%	146	59.11%	0	0.00%
Total	2349	578	42.66%	776	57.27%	1	0.07%
020 Pinckneyville A							
Polling	2603	156	22.35%	541	77.51%	1	0.14%
Absentee by Mail	2603	19	22.62%	65	77.38%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2603	53	13.84%	330	86.16%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2603	3	25.00%	9	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2603	5	8.77%	52	91.23%	0	0.00%
Total	2603	236	19.12%	997	80.79%	1	0.08%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2

	Reg. Voters	L. HOWARD (I) R		B. KU (D)		Write-In Votes	
021 Pinckneyville B							
Polling	3216	160	24.58%	491	75.42%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3216	26	22.41%	90	77.59%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3216	111	19.34%	462	80.49%	1	0.17%
Provisional	3216	4	18.18%	18	81.82%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3216	6	21.43%	22	78.57%	0	0.00%
Total	3216	307	22.07%	1083	77.86%	1	0.07%
022 Pinckneyville C							
Polling	1796	443	56.01%	347	43.87%	1	0.13%
Absentee by Mail	1796	53	45.30%	64	54.70%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1796	127	42.62%	171	57.38%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1796	2	66.67%	1	33.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1796	23	52.27%	21	47.73%	0	0.00%
Total	1796	648	51.72%	604	48.20%	1	0.08%
023 Pinckneyville D							
Polling	2042	116	22.70%	395	77.30%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2042	17	26.98%	46	73.02%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2042	60	21.51%	219	78.49%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2042	2	25.00%	6	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2042	6	15.38%	33	84.62%	0	0.00%
Total	2042	201	22.33%	699	77.67%	0	0.00%
024 Sugar Hill A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
025 Sugar Hill B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
026 Hog Mountain A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
027 Hog Mountain B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2						
	Reg. Voters	L. HOWARD (I) R		B. KU (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
028 Rockycreek A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
029 Cates B							
Polling	1559	371	66.85%	184	33.15%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1559	32	57.14%	24	42.86%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1559	102	60.00%	68	40.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1559	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1559	145	63.60%	83	36.40%	0	0.00%
Total	1559	653	64.53%	359	35.47%	0	0.00%
030 Cates C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
031 Hog Mountain D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
032 Berkshire D							
Polling	2684	450	55.28%	364	44.72%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2684	56	44.09%	71	55.91%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2684	354	48.56%	375	51.44%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2684	1	16.67%	5	83.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2684	40	48.19%	43	51.81%	0	0.00%
Total	2684	901	51.22%	858	48.78%	0	0.00%
033 Berkshire E							
Polling	1866	396	61.78%	244	38.07%	1	0.16%
Absentee by Mail	1866	39	46.99%	44	53.01%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1866	264	49.25%	272	50.75%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1866	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1866	21	58.33%	15	41.67%	0	0.00%
Total	1866	720	55.51%	576	44.41%	1	0.08%
034 Berkshire F							
Polling	2003	244	38.61%	388	61.39%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2003	33	42.86%	44	57.14%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2							
	Reg. Voters	L. HOWARD (I) R		B. KU (D)		Write-In Votes		
Advance in Person	2003	61	24.21%	191	75.79%	0	0.00%	
Provisional	2003	0	0.00%	5	100.00%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person 2	2003	29	39.73%	44	60.27%	0	0.00%	
Total	2003	367	35.32%	672	64.68%	0	0.00%	
035 Cates D - 04								
Polling	280	53	57.61%	39	42.39%	0	0.00%	
Absentee by Mail	280	7	58.33%	5	41.67%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person	280	27	75.00%	9	25.00%	0	0.00%	
Provisional	280	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person 2	280	31	67.39%	15	32.61%	0	0.00%	
Total	280	118	62.77%	70	37.23%	0	0.00%	
035 Cates D - 07								
Polling	585	105	54.97%	86	45.03%	0	0.00%	
Absentee by Mail	585	6	22.22%	21	77.78%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person	585	22	30.99%	49	69.01%	0	0.00%	
Provisional	585	2	66.67%	1	33.33%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person 2	585	28	41.79%	39	58.21%	0	0.00%	
Total	585	163	45.40%	196	54.60%	0	0.00%	
036 Cates E								
Polling	2744	523	55.70%	416	44.30%	0	0.00%	
Absentee by Mail	2744	53	44.54%	66	55.46%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person	2744	218	45.61%	260	54.39%	0	0.00%	
Provisional	2744	1	12.50%	7	87.50%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person 2	2744	181	53.24%	159	46.76%	0	0.00%	
Total	2744	976	51.80%	908	48.20%	0	0.00%	
037 Pinckneyville E								
Polling	2183	154	22.78%	522	77.22%	0	0.00%	
Absentee by Mail	2183	7	13.46%	45	86.54%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person	2183	40	16.00%	210	84.00%	0	0.00%	
Provisional	2183	1	11.11%	8	88.89%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person 2	2183	6	27.27%	16	72.73%	0	0.00%	
Total	2183	208	20.61%	801	79.39%	0	0.00%	
038 Pinckneyville F								
Polling	2301	182	28.62%	453	71.23%	1	0.16%	
Absentee by Mail	2301	29	32.58%	60	67.42%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person	2301	92	28.57%	230	71.43%	0	0.00%	
Provisional	2301	3	75.00%	1	25.00%	0	0.00%	
Advance in Person 2	2301	9	24.32%	28	75.68%	0	0.00%	
Total	2301	315	28.95%	772	70.96%	1	0.09%	
039 Duncans D								
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
040 Pinckneyville H								

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2						
	Reg. Voters	L. HOWARD (I) R		B. KU (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	4126	719	52.21%	657	47.71%	1	0.07%
Absentee by Mail	4126	77	34.53%	146	65.47%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4126	480	45.58%	572	54.32%	1	0.09%
Provisional	4126	2	33.33%	3	50.00%	1	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	4126	30	37.04%	51	62.96%	0	0.00%
Total	4126	1308	47.74%	1429	52.15%	3	0.11%
041 Baycreek B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
042 Lawrenceville C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
043 Martins D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
044 Rockbridge B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
045 Garners B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046 Cates F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2						
	Reg. Voters	L. HOWARD (I) R		B. KU (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
047 Cates G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
048 Duluth B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
049 Garners C							
Polling	1908	291	53.69%	251	46.31%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1908	18	31.58%	39	68.42%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1908	205	42.01%	282	57.79%	1	0.20%
Provisional	1908	0	0.00%	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1908	11	23.91%	34	73.91%	1	2.17%
Total	1908	525	46.21%	609	53.61%	2	0.18%
050 Pinckneyville I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
051 Pinckneyville J							
Polling	2543	89	16.73%	442	83.08%	1	0.19%
Absentee by Mail	2543	19	26.39%	53	73.61%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2543	62	14.98%	352	85.02%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2543	2	11.11%	16	88.89%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2543	4	11.43%	31	88.57%	0	0.00%
Total	2543	176	16.43%	894	83.47%	1	0.09%
052 Berkshire G							
Polling	2250	352	55.00%	287	44.84%	1	0.16%
Absentee by Mail	2250	47	54.02%	40	45.98%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2250	386	51.74%	360	48.26%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2250	2	40.00%	3	60.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2250	11	36.67%	19	63.33%	0	0.00%
Total	2250	798	52.92%	709	47.02%	1	0.07%
053 Cates H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2						
	Reg. Voters	L. HOWARD (I) R		B. KU (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
054 Sugar Hill C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055 Sugar Hill D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
056 Duluth C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
057 Duluth D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
058 Pinckneyville K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
059 Pinckneyville N							
Polling	3717	190	18.03%	863	81.88%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	3717	10	13.70%	63	86.30%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3717	82	15.13%	460	84.87%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3717	2	22.22%	7	77.78%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3717	8	14.81%	46	85.19%	0	0.00%
Total	3717	292	16.86%	1439	83.08%	1	0.06%
060 Lawrenceville D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2						
	Reg. Voters	L. HOWARD (I) R		B. KU (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
061 Lawrenceville E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
062 Pinckneyville L							
Polling	2233	776	70.67%	322	29.33%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2233	86	64.66%	47	35.34%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2233	231	60.16%	153	39.84%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2233	1	50.00%	1	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2233	28	75.68%	9	24.32%	0	0.00%
Total	2233	1122	67.84%	532	32.16%	0	0.00%
063 Pinckneyville M							
Polling	2515	817	71.17%	330	28.75%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	2515	100	58.48%	71	41.52%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2515	297	64.57%	163	35.43%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2515	4	57.14%	3	42.86%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2515	13	68.42%	6	31.58%	0	0.00%
Total	2515	1231	68.20%	573	31.75%	1	0.06%
064 Garners D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
065 Berkshire H							
Polling	1717	161	35.94%	287	64.06%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1717	28	49.12%	29	50.88%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1717	97	29.94%	227	70.06%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1717	0	0.00%	6	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1717	5	14.29%	30	85.71%	0	0.00%
Total	1717	291	33.45%	579	66.55%	0	0.00%
066 Pinckneyville O							
Polling	3267	169	22.15%	593	77.72%	1	0.13%
Absentee by Mail	3267	20	25.97%	57	74.03%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3267	131	20.86%	497	79.14%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3267	5	33.33%	10	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3267	4	14.29%	24	85.71%	0	0.00%
Total	3267	329	21.77%	1181	78.16%	1	0.07%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2

	Reg. Voters	L. HOWARD (I) R		B. KU (D)		Write-In Votes	
067 Pucketts E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
068 Cates I							
Polling	3395	624	53.84%	535	46.16%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3395	65	46.43%	75	53.57%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3395	86	43.65%	111	56.35%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3395	0	0.00%	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3395	267	40.95%	385	59.05%	0	0.00%
Total	3395	1042	48.44%	1109	51.56%	0	0.00%
069 Cates J							
Polling	3266	496	44.93%	606	54.89%	2	0.18%
Absentee by Mail	3266	43	36.44%	75	63.56%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3266	222	35.63%	400	64.21%	1	0.16%
Provisional	3266	5	62.50%	3	37.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3266	64	29.63%	152	70.37%	0	0.00%
Total	3266	830	40.12%	1236	59.74%	3	0.14%
070 Goodwins B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
071 Lawrenceville F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
072 Martins E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
073 Pinckneyville P							
Polling	2048	462	52.50%	417	47.39%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	2048	59	50.43%	58	49.57%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2048	90	32.14%	190	67.86%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2048	4	80.00%	1	20.00%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2						
	Reg. Voters	L. HOWARD (I) R		B. KU (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	2048	14	63.64%	8	36.36%	0	0.00%
Total	2048	629	48.24%	674	51.69%	1	0.08%
074 Pinckneyville Q							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
075 Rockbridge C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
076 Rockbridge D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
077 Goodwins C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
078 Baycreek K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
079 Cates K							
Polling	2531	568	59.66%	382	40.13%	2	0.21%
Absentee by Mail	2531	41	57.75%	30	42.25%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2531	62	52.10%	57	47.90%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2531	1	33.33%	2	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2531	243	50.41%	239	49.59%	0	0.00%
Total	2531	915	56.24%	710	43.64%	2	0.12%
080 Baycreek C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2						
	Reg. Voters	L. HOWARD (I) R		B. KU (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
081 Cates L							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
082 Duluth E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
083 Goodwins D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
084 Lawrenceville G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
085 Lawrenceville H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
086 Martins F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
087 Pinckneyville S							

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2						
	Reg. Voters	L. HOWARD (I) R		B. KU (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
088 Rockbridge E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
089 Sugar Hill E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
090 Lawrenceville I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
091 Baycreek D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
092 Berkshire J							
Polling	2595	499	61.53%	312	38.47%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2595	49	53.26%	43	46.74%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2595	424	53.00%	374	46.75%	2	0.25%
Provisional	2595	1	20.00%	4	80.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2595	32	42.67%	43	57.33%	0	0.00%
Total	2595	1005	56.37%	776	43.52%	2	0.11%
093 Cates M							
Polling	3794	794	62.42%	478	37.58%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3794	85	44.97%	103	54.50%	1	0.53%
Advance in Person	3794	73	59.35%	50	40.65%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3794	3	60.00%	2	40.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3794	543	55.64%	433	44.36%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2						
	Reg. Voters	L. HOWARD (I) R		B. KU (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	3794	1498	58.40%	1066	41.56%	1	0.04%
094 Cates N							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
095 Duluth F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
096 Duluth G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
097 Goodwins E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098 Goodwins F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
099 Pinckneyville T							
Polling	2874	353	41.58%	496	58.42%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2874	69	48.94%	72	51.06%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2874	154	32.84%	315	67.16%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2874	1	20.00%	4	80.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2874	14	32.56%	29	67.44%	0	0.00%
Total	2874	591	39.22%	916	60.78%	0	0.00%
100 Suwanee B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2						
	Reg. Voters	L. HOWARD (I) R		B. KU (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
101 Pinckneyville U							
Polling	2007	387	47.43%	428	52.45%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	2007	24	33.33%	48	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2007	81	27.93%	209	72.07%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2007	1	33.33%	2	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2007	14	45.16%	17	54.84%	0	0.00%
Total	2007	507	41.83%	704	58.09%	1	0.08%
102 Pucketts B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
103 Berkshire L							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
104 Rockbridge F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
105 Sugar Hill F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
106 Suwanee C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
107 Cates O							
Polling	1342	289	59.47%	197	40.53%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2						
	Reg. Voters	L. HOWARD (I) R		B. KU (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	1342	22	57.89%	16	42.11%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1342	98	53.26%	86	46.74%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1342	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1342	60	45.11%	73	54.89%	0	0.00%
Total	1342	469	55.70%	373	44.30%	0	0.00%
108 Baycreek E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
109 Berkshire M							
Polling	1638	328	57.54%	242	42.46%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1638	26	48.15%	28	51.85%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1638	225	53.44%	194	46.08%	2	0.48%
Provisional	1638	0	0.00%	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1638	24	60.00%	16	40.00%	0	0.00%
Total	1638	603	55.42%	483	44.39%	2	0.18%
110 Martins G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
111 Duluth H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
112 Berkshire N							
Polling	2836	373	58.74%	262	41.26%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2836	72	56.25%	56	43.75%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2836	667	56.19%	520	43.81%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2836	2	50.00%	2	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2836	29	76.32%	9	23.68%	0	0.00%
Total	2836	1143	57.38%	849	42.62%	0	0.00%
113 Pinckneyville V							
Polling	1572	160	42.33%	218	57.67%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1572	21	34.43%	40	65.57%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1572	99	29.55%	235	70.15%	1	0.30%
Provisional	1572	3	30.00%	7	70.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1572	5	45.45%	6	54.55%	0	0.00%
Total	1572	288	36.23%	506	63.65%	1	0.13%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2

	Reg. Voters	L. HOWARD (I) R		B. KU (D)		Write-In Votes	
114 Pinckneyville W							
Polling	3885	537	44.56%	667	55.35%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	3885	54	38.30%	87	61.70%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3885	266	37.31%	445	62.41%	2	0.28%
Provisional	3885	4	30.77%	9	69.23%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3885	22	47.83%	24	52.17%	0	0.00%
Total	3885	883	41.69%	1232	58.17%	3	0.14%
115 Martins H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
116 Martins I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
117 Martins J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
118 Garners F							
Polling	1558	232	51.33%	220	48.67%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1558	15	37.50%	25	62.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1558	236	50.43%	232	49.57%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1558	2	66.67%	1	33.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1558	3	18.75%	13	81.25%	0	0.00%
Total	1558	488	49.85%	491	50.15%	0	0.00%
119 Berkshire O							
Polling	2422	501	57.79%	365	42.10%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	2422	59	58.42%	42	41.58%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2422	286	59.58%	194	40.42%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2422	4	66.67%	2	33.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2422	76	52.41%	68	46.90%	1	0.69%
Total	2422	926	57.91%	671	41.96%	2	0.13%
120 Berkshire P							
Polling	1339	149	38.80%	235	61.20%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1339	20	32.79%	41	67.21%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1339	57	30.48%	130	69.52%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1339	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2						
	Reg. Voters	L. HOWARD (I) R		B. KU (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	1339	23	40.35%	34	59.65%	0	0.00%
Total	1339	250	36.08%	443	63.92%	0	0.00%
121 Pinckneyville X							
Polling	3198	580	53.90%	496	46.10%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3198	91	42.92%	121	57.08%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3198	335	45.52%	401	54.48%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3198	1	33.33%	2	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3198	34	48.57%	36	51.43%	0	0.00%
Total	3198	1041	49.64%	1056	50.36%	0	0.00%
122 Rockbridge G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
123 Pinckneyville Y							
Polling	3018	149	19.53%	613	80.34%	1	0.13%
Absentee by Mail	3018	13	20.97%	49	79.03%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3018	74	16.55%	373	83.45%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3018	1	9.09%	10	90.91%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3018	18	29.03%	44	70.97%	0	0.00%
Total	3018	255	18.96%	1089	80.97%	1	0.07%
124 Goodwins G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
125 Pinckneyville Z							
Polling	1806	630	71.92%	244	27.85%	2	0.23%
Absentee by Mail	1806	47	50.54%	46	49.46%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1806	198	64.71%	108	35.29%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1806	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1806	23	74.19%	8	25.81%	0	0.00%
Total	1806	900	68.81%	406	31.04%	2	0.15%
126 Pinckneyville A1							
Polling	2596	807	69.27%	358	30.73%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2596	93	59.24%	62	39.49%	2	1.27%
Advance in Person	2596	278	58.77%	195	41.23%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2596	3	60.00%	2	40.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2596	27	56.25%	21	43.75%	0	0.00%
Total	2596	1208	65.37%	638	34.52%	2	0.11%
127 Lawrenceville J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2						
	Reg. Voters	L. HOWARD (I) R		B. KU (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
128 Goodwins H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
129 Duncans B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
130 Suwanee D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
131 Suwanee E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132 Lawrenceville K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
133 Harbins B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
134 Baycreek F							

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2						
	Reg. Voters	L. HOWARD (I) R		B. KU (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
135 Pucketts C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
136 Hog Mountain C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
137 Rockycreek B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
138 Duluth I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
139 Martins K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
140 Suwanee H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2						
	Reg. Voters	L. HOWARD (I) R		B. KU (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
141 Sugar Hill G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
142 Suwanee F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
143 Lawrenceville L							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144 Lawrenceville M							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
145 Baycreek G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
146 Baycreek H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
147 Baycreek I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2						
	Reg. Voters	L. HOWARD (I) R		B. KU (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
148 Berkshire Q							
Polling	1644	298	55.19%	242	44.81%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1644	30	57.69%	22	42.31%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1644	198	49.50%	202	50.50%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1644	2	50.00%	2	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1644	18	60.00%	12	40.00%	0	0.00%
Total	1644	546	53.22%	480	46.78%	0	0.00%
149 Goodwins I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
150 Duluth K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
151 Harbins C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
152 Rockycreek C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
153 Lawrenceville N							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
154 Pucketts D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	County Commissioner 2 / Comisionado del Condado 2						
	Reg. Voters	L. HOWARD (I) R		B. KU (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
155 Suwanee G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
156 Baycreek J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total							
Polling	114410	17537	48.69%	18456	51.24%	23	0.06%
Absentee by Mail	114410	1970	42.92%	2616	56.99%	4	0.09%
Advance in Person	114410	8834	40.81%	12801	59.14%	12	0.06%
Advance in Person 2	114410	2394	46.14%	2793	53.83%	2	0.04%
Provisional	114410	85	28.52%	212	71.14%	1	0.34%
Total	114410	30820	45.50%	36878	54.44%	42	0.06%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4						
	Reg. Voters	J. HEARD (I) R		M. FOSQUE (D)		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide							
001 Harbins A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
002 Rockbridge A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
003 Dacula							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
004 Suwanee A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
005 Baycreek A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
006 Goodwins A							
Polling	3583	526	45.23%	636	54.69%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	3583	57	46.72%	65	53.28%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3583	31	28.97%	76	71.03%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3583	3	30.00%	7	70.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3583	275	41.11%	393	58.74%	1	0.15%
Total	3583	892	43.07%	1177	56.83%	2	0.10%
007 Duluth A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4						
	Reg. Voters	J. HEARD (I) R		M. FOSQUE (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
008 Duncans A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
009 Pucketts A							
Polling	4844	757	69.26%	336	30.74%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4844	102	58.29%	71	40.57%	2	1.14%
Advance in Person	4844	1277	70.98%	520	28.90%	2	0.11%
Provisional	4844	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4844	33	61.11%	21	38.89%	0	0.00%
Total	4844	2171	69.52%	948	30.36%	4	0.13%
010 Cates A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
011 Berkshire A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
012 Berkshire B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
013 Duncans C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
014 Garners A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4						
	Reg. Voters	J. HEARD (I) R		M. FOSQUE (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
015 Lawrenceville A							
Polling	3300	325	45.58%	388	54.42%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3300	75	52.08%	69	47.92%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3300	7	41.18%	10	58.82%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3300	0	0.00%	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3300	362	48.53%	384	51.47%	0	0.00%
Total	3300	769	47.41%	853	52.59%	0	0.00%
016 Lawrenceville B							
Polling	4520	377	37.22%	636	62.78%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4520	53	30.11%	123	69.89%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4520	10	22.22%	35	77.78%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4520	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4520	406	28.31%	1027	71.62%	1	0.07%
Total	4520	847	31.73%	1821	68.23%	1	0.04%
017 Martins A							
Polling	3019	193	23.39%	632	76.61%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3019	19	20.00%	76	80.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3019	51	20.16%	202	79.84%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3019	1	20.00%	4	80.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3019	55	17.80%	254	82.20%	0	0.00%
Total	3019	319	21.45%	1168	78.55%	0	0.00%
018 Martins B							
Polling	2796	281	34.18%	540	65.69%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	2796	30	32.61%	62	67.39%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2796	17	18.28%	76	81.72%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2796	1	9.09%	10	90.91%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2796	149	33.04%	302	66.96%	0	0.00%
Total	2796	478	32.54%	990	67.39%	1	0.07%
019 Martins C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
020 Pinckneyville A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4								
	Reg. Voters	J. HEARD (I) R		M. FOSQUE (D)		Write-In Votes			
021 Pinckneyville B									
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
022 Pinckneyville C									
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
023 Pinckneyville D									
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
024 Sugar Hill A									
Polling	2739	530	68.56%	242	31.31%	1	0.13%		
Absentee by Mail	2739	41	46.59%	47	53.41%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	2739	311	62.58%	186	37.42%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	2739	4	57.14%	3	42.86%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	2739	77	57.46%	57	42.54%	0	0.00%		
Total	2739	963	64.24%	535	35.69%	1	0.07%		
025 Sugar Hill B									
Polling	613	98	63.23%	57	36.77%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	613	11	64.71%	6	35.29%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	613	61	61.00%	39	39.00%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	613	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person 2	613	33	53.23%	29	46.77%	0	0.00%		
Total	613	204	60.90%	131	39.10%	0	0.00%		
026 Hog Mountain A									
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		
027 Hog Mountain B									
Polling	4211	877	63.83%	497	36.17%	0	0.00%		
Absentee by Mail	4211	85	51.20%	81	48.80%	0	0.00%		
Advance in Person	4211	499	61.30%	315	38.70%	0	0.00%		
Provisional	4211	2	50.00%	2	50.00%	0	0.00%		

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4						
	Reg. Voters	J. HEARD (I) R		M. FOSQUE (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	4211	167	48.27%	179	51.73%	0	0.00%
Total	4211	1630	60.28%	1074	39.72%	0	0.00%
028 Rockycreek A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
029 Cates B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
030 Cates C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
031 Hog Mountain D							
Polling	2958	542	60.29%	356	39.60%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	2958	45	43.27%	59	56.73%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2958	223	65.98%	115	34.02%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2958	5	83.33%	1	16.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2958	166	49.55%	169	50.45%	0	0.00%
Total	2958	981	58.32%	700	41.62%	1	0.06%
032 Berkshire D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
033 Berkshire E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
034 Berkshire F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4						
	Reg. Voters	J. HEARD (I) R		M. FOSQUE (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
035 Cates D - 04							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
035 Cates D - 07							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
036 Cates E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
037 Pinckneyville E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
038 Pinckneyville F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
039 Duncans D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
040 Pinckneyville H							

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4						
	Reg. Voters	J. HEARD (I) R		M. FOSQUE (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
041 Baycreek B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
042 Lawrenceville C							
Polling	2761	556	63.25%	322	36.63%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	2761	48	49.48%	49	50.52%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2761	197	62.34%	119	37.66%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2761	3	75.00%	1	25.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2761	188	52.81%	168	47.19%	0	0.00%
Total	2761	992	60.05%	659	39.89%	1	0.06%
043 Martins D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
044 Rockbridge B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
045 Garners B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046 Cates F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4						
	Reg. Voters	J. HEARD (I) R		M. FOSQUE (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
047 Cates G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
048 Duluth B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
049 Garners C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
050 Pinckneyville I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
051 Pinckneyville J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052 Berkshire G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
053 Cates H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4						
	Reg. Voters	J. HEARD (I) R		M. FOSQUE (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
054 Sugar Hill C							
Polling	3224	726	66.85%	359	33.06%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	3224	62	57.41%	46	42.59%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3224	215	61.60%	134	38.40%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3224	10	58.82%	7	41.18%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3224	178	53.61%	154	46.39%	0	0.00%
Total	3224	1191	62.95%	700	37.00%	1	0.05%
055 Sugar Hill D							
Polling	4070	728	60.87%	468	39.13%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4070	67	54.47%	56	45.53%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4070	491	57.70%	360	42.30%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4070	15	55.56%	12	44.44%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4070	104	69.33%	46	30.67%	0	0.00%
Total	4070	1405	59.86%	942	40.14%	0	0.00%
056 Duluth C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
057 Duluth D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
058 Pinckneyville K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
059 Pinckneyville N							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
060 Lawrenceville D							
Polling	4872	255	24.33%	792	75.57%	1	0.10%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4						
	Reg. Voters	J. HEARD (I) R		M. FOSQUE (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	4872	19	15.57%	103	84.43%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4872	18	20.93%	68	79.07%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4872	2	16.67%	10	83.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4872	186	20.99%	699	78.89%	1	0.11%
Total	4872	480	22.28%	1672	77.62%	2	0.09%
061 Lawrenceville E							
Polling	2205	347	55.08%	283	44.92%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2205	54	54.00%	46	46.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2205	13	59.09%	9	40.91%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2205	2	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2205	353	56.30%	274	43.70%	0	0.00%
Total	2205	769	55.68%	612	44.32%	0	0.00%
062 Pinckneyville L							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
063 Pinckneyville M							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
064 Garners D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
065 Berkshire H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
066 Pinckneyville O							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4

	Reg. Voters	J. HEARD (I) R		M. FOSQUE (D)		Write-In Votes	
067 Pucketts E							
Polling	4771	582	50.65%	566	49.26%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	4771	80	44.20%	101	55.80%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4771	624	51.53%	585	48.31%	2	0.17%
Provisional	4771	13	54.17%	11	45.83%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4771	56	34.57%	106	65.43%	0	0.00%
Total	4771	1355	49.69%	1369	50.20%	3	0.11%
068 Cates I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
069 Cates J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
070 Goodwins B							
Polling	3604	726	61.16%	460	38.75%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	3604	85	55.92%	67	44.08%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3604	122	59.80%	82	40.20%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3604	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3604	387	56.09%	303	43.91%	0	0.00%
Total	3604	1324	59.19%	912	40.77%	1	0.04%
071 Lawrenceville F							
Polling	3698	267	30.14%	618	69.75%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	3698	27	18.12%	122	81.88%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3698	23	40.35%	34	59.65%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3698	1	16.67%	5	83.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3698	217	20.57%	838	79.43%	0	0.00%
Total	3698	535	24.85%	1617	75.10%	1	0.05%
072 Martins E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
073 Pinckneyville P							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4						
	Reg. Voters	J. HEARD (I) R		M. FOSQUE (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
074 Pinckneyville Q							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
075 Rockbridge C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
076 Rockbridge D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
077 Goodwins C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
078 Baycreek K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
079 Cates K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
080 Baycreek C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4						
	Reg. Voters	J. HEARD (I) R		M. FOSQUE (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
081 Cates L							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
082 Duluth E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
083 Goodwins D							
Polling	3985	627	49.37%	638	50.24%	5	0.39%
Absentee by Mail	3985	62	43.66%	80	56.34%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3985	39	37.50%	65	62.50%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3985	6	50.00%	6	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3985	336	44.27%	423	55.73%	0	0.00%
Total	3985	1070	46.79%	1212	53.00%	5	0.22%
084 Lawrenceville G							
Polling	2783	228	29.01%	557	70.87%	1	0.13%
Absentee by Mail	2783	24	26.37%	67	73.63%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2783	4	10.81%	33	89.19%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2783	3	18.75%	13	81.25%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2783	142	25.54%	414	74.46%	0	0.00%
Total	2783	401	26.99%	1084	72.95%	1	0.07%
085 Lawrenceville H							
Polling	3899	647	54.05%	549	45.86%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	3899	85	53.46%	74	46.54%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3899	130	54.85%	106	44.73%	1	0.42%
Provisional	3899	3	42.86%	4	57.14%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3899	389	50.06%	387	49.81%	1	0.13%
Total	3899	1254	52.76%	1120	47.12%	3	0.13%
086 Martins F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
087 Pinckneyville S							

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4						
	Reg. Voters	J. HEARD (I) R		M. FOSQUE (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
088 Rockbridge E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
089 Sugar Hill E							
Polling	87	13	72.22%	5	27.78%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	87	8	88.89%	1	11.11%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	87	7	87.50%	1	12.50%	0	0.00%
Provisional	87	0	-	0	-	0	-
Advance in Person 2	87	34	82.93%	7	17.07%	0	0.00%
Total	87	62	81.58%	14	18.42%	0	0.00%
090 Lawrenceville I							
Polling	3140	367	39.80%	554	60.09%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	3140	41	34.45%	78	65.55%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3140	65	45.45%	78	54.55%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3140	1	33.33%	2	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3140	203	38.52%	324	61.48%	0	0.00%
Total	3140	677	39.50%	1036	60.44%	1	0.06%
091 Baycreek D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
092 Berkshire J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
093 Cates M							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4						
	Reg. Voters	J. HEARD (I) R		M. FOSQUE (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
094 Cates N							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
095 Duluth F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
096 Duluth G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
097 Goodwins E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098 Goodwins F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
099 Pinckneyville T							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100 Suwanee B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4						
	Reg. Voters	J. HEARD (I) R		M. FOSQUE (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
101 Pinckneyville U							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
102 Pucketts B							
Polling	2741	531	66.29%	269	33.58%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	2741	44	53.66%	38	46.34%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2741	543	65.82%	282	34.18%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2741	8	72.73%	3	27.27%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2741	43	69.35%	19	30.65%	0	0.00%
Total	2741	1169	65.64%	611	34.31%	1	0.06%
103 Berkshire L							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
104 Rockbridge F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
105 Sugar Hill F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
106 Suwanee C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
107 Cates O							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4						
	Reg. Voters	J. HEARD (I) R		M. FOSQUE (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
108 Baycreek E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
109 Berkshire M							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
110 Martins G							
Polling	2187	207	30.71%	467	69.29%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2187	27	36.99%	46	63.01%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2187	4	12.12%	29	87.88%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2187	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2187	81	23.08%	270	76.92%	0	0.00%
Total	2187	320	28.19%	815	71.81%	0	0.00%
111 Duluth H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
112 Berkshire N							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
113 Pinckneyville V							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4						
	Reg. Voters	J. HEARD (I) R		M. FOSQUE (D)		Write-In Votes	
114 Pinckneyville W							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
115 Martins H							
Polling	4487	440	34.24%	845	65.76%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4487	70	33.02%	142	66.98%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4487	54	23.58%	175	76.42%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4487	0	0.00%	9	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4487	241	33.15%	486	66.85%	0	0.00%
Total	4487	805	32.70%	1657	67.30%	0	0.00%
116 Martins I							
Polling	3518	230	24.78%	697	75.11%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	3518	52	33.99%	101	66.01%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3518	59	18.27%	264	81.73%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3518	0	0.00%	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3518	60	22.99%	201	77.01%	0	0.00%
Total	3518	401	23.98%	1270	75.96%	1	0.06%
117 Martins J							
Polling	3809	194	18.80%	836	81.01%	2	0.19%
Absentee by Mail	3809	17	14.41%	101	85.59%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3809	48	13.37%	311	86.63%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3809	0	0.00%	5	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3809	60	22.47%	207	77.53%	0	0.00%
Total	3809	319	17.91%	1460	81.98%	2	0.11%
118 Garners F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
119 Berkshire O							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
120 Berkshire P							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4						
	Reg. Voters	J. HEARD (I) R		M. FOSQUE (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
121 Pinckneyville X							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
122 Rockbridge G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
123 Pinckneyville Y							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
124 Goodwins G							
Polling	2448	244	32.15%	515	67.85%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2448	18	25.71%	52	74.29%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2448	15	21.74%	54	78.26%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2448	2	25.00%	6	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2448	102	24.70%	311	75.30%	0	0.00%
Total	2448	381	28.89%	938	71.11%	0	0.00%
125 Pinckneyville Z							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
126 Pinckneyville A1							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
127 Lawrenceville J							
Polling	1959	262	42.39%	356	57.61%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1959	19	23.75%	61	76.25%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4						
	Reg. Voters	J. HEARD (I) R		M. FOSQUE (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	1959	13	27.66%	34	72.34%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1959	1	50.00%	1	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1959	95	24.87%	287	75.13%	0	0.00%
Total	1959	390	34.54%	739	65.46%	0	0.00%
128 Goodwins H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
129 Duncans B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
130 Suwanee D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
131 Suwanee E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132 Lawrenceville K							
Polling	2915	549	54.09%	466	45.91%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2915	48	45.28%	58	54.72%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2915	100	56.50%	77	43.50%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2915	1	33.33%	2	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2915	228	43.51%	295	56.30%	1	0.19%
Total	2915	926	50.74%	898	49.21%	1	0.05%
133 Harbins B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
134 Baycreek F							

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4						
	Reg. Voters	J. HEARD (I) R		M. FOSQUE (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
135 Pucketts C							
Polling	2931	535	64.54%	292	35.22%	2	0.24%
Absentee by Mail	2931	56	56.00%	44	44.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2931	450	62.94%	263	36.78%	2	0.28%
Provisional	2931	8	72.73%	3	27.27%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2931	31	40.79%	45	59.21%	0	0.00%
Total	2931	1080	62.39%	647	37.38%	4	0.23%
136 Hog Mountain C							
Polling	3832	729	60.50%	476	39.50%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3832	46	38.33%	74	61.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3832	363	56.28%	282	43.72%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3832	1	50.00%	1	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3832	117	52.94%	103	46.61%	1	0.45%
Total	3832	1256	57.27%	936	42.68%	1	0.05%
137 Rockycreek B							
Polling	4677	799	58.58%	565	41.42%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4677	49	38.28%	79	61.72%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4677	660	61.22%	417	38.68%	1	0.09%
Provisional	4677	0	0.00%	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4677	110	47.83%	120	52.17%	0	0.00%
Total	4677	1618	57.70%	1185	42.26%	1	0.04%
138 Duluth I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
139 Martins K							
Polling	4796	364	25.89%	1040	73.97%	2	0.14%
Absentee by Mail	4796	54	33.33%	108	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4796	31	14.98%	176	85.02%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4796	0	0.00%	6	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4796	133	16.63%	667	83.38%	0	0.00%
Total	4796	582	22.55%	1997	77.37%	2	0.08%
140 Suwanee H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4						
	Reg. Voters	J. HEARD (I) R		M. FOSQUE (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
141 Sugar Hill G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
142 Suwanee F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
143 Lawrenceville L							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144 Lawrenceville M							
Polling	5956	372	24.41%	1149	75.39%	3	0.20%
Absentee by Mail	5956	39	21.20%	145	78.80%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5956	59	22.43%	204	77.57%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5956	1	11.11%	8	88.89%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5956	218	16.80%	1080	83.20%	0	0.00%
Total	5956	689	21.02%	2586	78.89%	3	0.09%
145 Baycreek G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
146 Baycreek H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
147 Baycreek I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4						
	Reg. Voters	J. HEARD (I) R		M. FOSQUE (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
148 Berkshire Q							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
149 Goodwins I							
Polling	2341	509	57.78%	372	42.22%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2341	65	51.18%	61	48.03%	1	0.79%
Advance in Person	2341	22	26.19%	62	73.81%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2341	0	0.00%	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2341	226	50.22%	221	49.11%	3	0.67%
Total	2341	822	53.20%	719	46.54%	4	0.26%
150 Duluth K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
151 Harbins C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
152 Rockycreek C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
153 Lawrenceville N							
Polling	2343	302	49.43%	308	50.41%	1	0.16%
Absentee by Mail	2343	39	50.00%	39	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2343	5	21.74%	18	78.26%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2343	4	30.77%	9	69.23%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2343	198	38.15%	321	61.85%	0	0.00%
Total	2343	548	44.05%	695	55.87%	1	0.08%
154 Pucketts D							
Polling	3166	574	66.36%	291	33.64%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	County Commissioner 4 / Comisionado del Condado 4						
	Reg. Voters	J. HEARD (I) R		M. FOSQUE (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	3166	79	56.43%	61	43.57%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3166	603	69.63%	262	30.25%	1	0.12%
Provisional	3166	7	70.00%	3	30.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3166	54	68.35%	25	31.65%	0	0.00%
Total	3166	1317	67.19%	642	32.76%	1	0.05%
155 Suwanee G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
156 Baycreek J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total							
Polling	129788	17416	47.22%	19435	52.70%	30	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	129788	1902	40.78%	2759	59.16%	3	0.06%
Advance in Person	129788	7464	54.76%	6158	45.18%	9	0.07%
Advance in Person 2	129788	6493	35.84%	11616	64.11%	9	0.05%
Provisional	129788	117	40.34%	173	59.66%	0	0.00%
Total	129788	33392	45.38%	40141	54.55%	51	0.07%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2						
	Reg. Voters	S. KNUDSEN (R)		W. TAYLOR (D)		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide							
001 Harbins A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
002 Rockbridge A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
003 Dacula							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
004 Suwanee A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
005 Baycreek A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
006 Goodwins A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
007 Duluth A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2						
	Reg. Voters	S. KNUDSEN (R)		W. TAYLOR (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
008 Duncans A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
009 Pucketts A							
Polling	4400	652	66.73%	323	33.06%	2	0.20%
Absentee by Mail	4400	89	54.27%	74	45.12%	1	0.61%
Advance in Person	4400	1145	70.20%	486	29.80%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4400	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4400	28	56.00%	22	44.00%	0	0.00%
Total	4400	1915	67.84%	905	32.06%	3	0.11%
010 Cates A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
011 Berkshire A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
012 Berkshire B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
013 Duncans C							
Polling	2828	781	77.71%	224	22.29%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2828	55	62.50%	33	37.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2828	532	69.54%	232	30.33%	1	0.13%
Provisional	2828	2	66.67%	1	33.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2828	44	55.00%	36	45.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2828	1414	72.85%	526	27.10%	1	0.05%
014 Garners A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2						
	Reg. Voters	S. KNUDSEN (R)		W. TAYLOR (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
015 Lawrenceville A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
016 Lawrenceville B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
017 Martins A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
018 Martins B							
Polling	2796	266	32.44%	553	67.44%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	2796	23	25.56%	67	74.44%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2796	16	17.02%	78	82.98%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2796	0	0.00%	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2796	145	32.01%	308	67.99%	0	0.00%
Total	2796	450	30.80%	1010	69.13%	1	0.07%
019 Martins C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
020 Pinckneyville A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2						
	Reg. Voters	S. KNUDSEN (R)		W. TAYLOR (D)		Write-In Votes	
021 Pinckneyville B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
022 Pinckneyville C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
023 Pinckneyville D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
024 Sugar Hill A							
Polling	1324	133	44.19%	168	55.81%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1324	9	23.68%	29	76.32%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1324	65	35.52%	118	64.48%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1324	1	20.00%	4	80.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1324	39	43.33%	51	56.67%	0	0.00%
Total	1324	247	40.03%	370	59.97%	0	0.00%
025 Sugar Hill B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
026 Hog Mountain A							
Polling	4467	580	44.34%	727	55.58%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	4467	50	32.47%	104	67.53%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4467	142	37.87%	233	62.13%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4467	4	28.57%	10	71.43%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4467	202	34.71%	380	65.29%	0	0.00%
Total	4467	978	40.20%	1454	59.76%	1	0.04%
027 Hog Mountain B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2						
	Reg. Voters	S. KNUDSEN (R)		W. TAYLOR (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
028 Rockycreek A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
029 Cates B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
030 Cates C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
031 Hog Mountain D							
Polling	2958	539	59.36%	369	40.64%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2958	39	36.45%	68	63.55%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2958	219	64.41%	121	35.59%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2958	1	50.00%	1	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2958	169	50.45%	166	49.55%	0	0.00%
Total	2958	967	57.15%	725	42.85%	0	0.00%
032 Berkshire D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
033 Berkshire E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
034 Berkshire F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2						
	Reg. Voters	S. KNUDSEN (R)		W. TAYLOR (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
035 Cates D - 04							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
035 Cates D - 07							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
036 Cates E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
037 Pinckneyville E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
038 Pinckneyville F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
039 Duncans D							
Polling	6085	1239	68.60%	567	31.40%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	6085	115	54.76%	95	45.24%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	6085	1132	71.24%	456	28.70%	1	0.06%
Provisional	6085	5	50.00%	5	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	6085	97	58.08%	70	41.92%	0	0.00%
Total	6085	2588	68.43%	1193	31.54%	1	0.03%
040 Pinckneyville H							

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2						
	Reg. Voters	S. KNUDSEN (R)		W. TAYLOR (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
041 Baycreek B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
042 Lawrenceville C							
Polling	2761	534	60.82%	343	39.07%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	2761	46	47.92%	50	52.08%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2761	198	62.46%	119	37.54%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2761	3	75.00%	1	25.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2761	184	51.69%	172	48.31%	0	0.00%
Total	2761	965	58.45%	685	41.49%	1	0.06%
043 Martins D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
044 Rockbridge B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
045 Garners B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
046 Cates F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2						
	Reg. Voters	S. KNUDSEN (R)		W. TAYLOR (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
047 Cates G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
048 Duluth B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
049 Garners C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
050 Pinckneyville I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
051 Pinckneyville J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052 Berkshire G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
053 Cates H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2						
	Reg. Voters	S. KNUDSEN (R)		W. TAYLOR (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
054 Sugar Hill C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055 Sugar Hill D							
Polling	682	84	50.60%	82	49.40%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	682	4	28.57%	10	71.43%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	682	82	45.56%	98	54.44%	0	0.00%
Provisional	682	4	50.00%	4	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	682	8	42.11%	11	57.89%	0	0.00%
Total	682	182	47.03%	205	52.97%	0	0.00%
056 Duluth C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
057 Duluth D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
058 Pinckneyville K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
059 Pinckneyville N							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
060 Lawrenceville D							
Polling	4872	246	23.43%	802	76.38%	2	0.19%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2						
	Reg. Voters	S. KNUDSEN (R)		W. TAYLOR (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	4872	17	13.93%	105	86.07%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4872	19	22.09%	67	77.91%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4872	2	15.38%	11	84.62%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4872	178	20.25%	700	79.64%	1	0.11%
Total	4872	462	21.49%	1685	78.37%	3	0.14%
061 Lawrenceville E							
Polling	2205	343	54.70%	284	45.30%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2205	51	49.51%	52	50.49%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2205	12	57.14%	9	42.86%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2205	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2205	342	54.72%	283	45.28%	0	0.00%
Total	2205	749	54.39%	628	45.61%	0	0.00%
062 Pinckneyville L							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
063 Pinckneyville M							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
064 Garners D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
065 Berkshire H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
066 Pinckneyville O							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2						
	Reg. Voters	S. KNUDSEN (R)		W. TAYLOR (D)		Write-In Votes	
067 Pucketts E							
Polling	4732	554	48.60%	585	51.32%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	4732	74	40.44%	109	59.56%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4732	605	50.25%	598	49.67%	1	0.08%
Provisional	4732	12	52.17%	11	47.83%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4732	55	34.38%	105	65.63%	0	0.00%
Total	4732	1300	47.97%	1408	51.96%	2	0.07%
068 Cates I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
069 Cates J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
070 Goodwins B							
Polling	3604	696	58.73%	489	41.27%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3604	82	54.30%	69	45.70%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3604	121	59.31%	82	40.20%	1	0.49%
Provisional	3604	4	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3604	383	55.27%	308	44.44%	2	0.29%
Total	3604	1286	57.49%	948	42.38%	3	0.13%
071 Lawrenceville F							
Polling	3698	262	29.50%	626	70.50%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3698	27	18.00%	123	82.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3698	22	38.60%	35	61.40%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3698	2	33.33%	4	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3698	204	19.32%	852	80.68%	0	0.00%
Total	3698	517	23.97%	1640	76.03%	0	0.00%
072 Martins E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
073 Pinckneyville P							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2						
	Reg. Voters	S. KNUDSEN (R)		W. TAYLOR (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
074 Pinckneyville Q							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
075 Rockbridge C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
076 Rockbridge D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
077 Goodwins C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
078 Baycreek K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
079 Cates K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
080 Baycreek C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2						
	Reg. Voters	S. KNUDSEN (R)		W. TAYLOR (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
081 Cates L							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
082 Duluth E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
083 Goodwins D							
Polling	3985	613	48.27%	654	51.50%	3	0.24%
Absentee by Mail	3985	61	42.96%	81	57.04%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3985	37	35.58%	67	64.42%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3985	0	0.00%	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3985	329	43.18%	433	56.82%	0	0.00%
Total	3985	1040	45.59%	1238	54.27%	3	0.13%
084 Lawrenceville G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
085 Lawrenceville H							
Polling	3899	632	52.67%	567	47.25%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	3899	78	49.06%	80	50.31%	1	0.63%
Advance in Person	3899	130	54.85%	107	45.15%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3899	1	33.33%	2	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3899	387	49.68%	391	50.19%	1	0.13%
Total	3899	1228	51.64%	1147	48.23%	3	0.13%
086 Martins F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
087 Pinckneyville S							

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2						
	Reg. Voters	S. KNUDSEN (R)		W. TAYLOR (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
088 Rockbridge E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
089 Sugar Hill E							
Polling	2974	552	60.33%	363	39.67%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2974	49	44.14%	62	55.86%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2974	109	57.67%	80	42.33%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2974	5	55.56%	4	44.44%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2974	280	50.63%	272	49.19%	1	0.18%
Total	2974	995	55.99%	781	43.95%	1	0.06%
090 Lawrenceville I							
Polling	3140	353	38.37%	566	61.52%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	3140	38	32.20%	80	67.80%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3140	63	44.37%	79	55.63%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3140	0	-	0	-	0	-
Advance in Person 2	3140	201	38.07%	327	61.93%	0	0.00%
Total	3140	655	38.35%	1052	61.59%	1	0.06%
091 Baycreek D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
092 Berkshire J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
093 Cates M							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2						
	Reg. Voters	S. KNUDSEN (R)		W. TAYLOR (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
094 Cates N							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
095 Duluth F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
096 Duluth G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
097 Goodwins E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098 Goodwins F							
Polling	2904	401	45.72%	475	54.16%	1	0.11%
Absentee by Mail	2904	52	40.31%	77	59.69%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2904	26	40.63%	38	59.38%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2904	3	42.86%	4	57.14%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2904	250	43.48%	325	56.52%	0	0.00%
Total	2904	732	44.31%	919	55.63%	1	0.06%
099 Pinckneyville T							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100 Suwanee B							
Polling	4085	474	55.57%	377	44.20%	2	0.23%
Absentee by Mail	4085	48	35.82%	86	64.18%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4085	8	26.67%	22	73.33%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2						
	Reg. Voters	S. KNUDSEN (R)		W. TAYLOR (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	4085	2	66.67%	1	33.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4085	799	54.10%	677	45.84%	1	0.07%
Total	4085	1331	53.30%	1163	46.58%	3	0.12%
101 Pinckneyville U							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
102 Pucketts B							
Polling	2150	375	61.37%	235	38.46%	1	0.16%
Absentee by Mail	2150	39	57.35%	29	42.65%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2150	415	63.94%	234	36.06%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2150	7	87.50%	1	12.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2150	36	65.45%	19	34.55%	0	0.00%
Total	2150	872	62.69%	518	37.24%	1	0.07%
103 Berkshire L							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
104 Rockbridge F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
105 Sugar Hill F							
Polling	3687	757	60.85%	484	38.91%	3	0.24%
Absentee by Mail	3687	50	47.17%	56	52.83%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3687	50	40.98%	72	59.02%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3687	4	50.00%	4	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3687	401	58.54%	282	41.17%	2	0.29%
Total	3687	1262	58.29%	898	41.48%	5	0.23%
106 Suwanee C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
107 Cates O							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2						
	Reg. Voters	S. KNUDSEN (R)		W. TAYLOR (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
108 Baycreek E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
109 Berkshire M							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
110 Martins G							
Polling	2187	193	28.72%	479	71.28%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2187	24	33.33%	48	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2187	4	12.12%	29	87.88%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2187	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2187	77	21.94%	273	77.78%	1	0.28%
Total	2187	298	26.40%	830	73.52%	1	0.09%
111 Duluth H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
112 Berkshire N							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
113 Pinckneyville V							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2						
	Reg. Voters	S. KNUDSEN (R)		W. TAYLOR (D)		Write-In Votes	
114 Pinckneyville W							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
115 Martins H							
Polling	4487	428	33.15%	863	66.85%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4487	72	33.49%	142	66.05%	1	0.47%
Advance in Person	4487	54	23.58%	175	76.42%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4487	0	0.00%	5	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4487	236	32.51%	490	67.49%	0	0.00%
Total	4487	790	32.04%	1675	67.92%	1	0.04%
116 Martins I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
117 Martins J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
118 Garners F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
119 Berkshire O							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
120 Berkshire P							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2						
	Reg. Voters	S. KNUDSEN (R)		W. TAYLOR (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
121 Pinckneyville X							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
122 Rockbridge G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
123 Pinckneyville Y							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
124 Goodwins G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
125 Pinckneyville Z							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
126 Pinckneyville A1							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
127 Lawrenceville J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2						
	Reg. Voters	S. KNUDSEN (R)		W. TAYLOR (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
128 Goodwins H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
129 Duncans B							
Polling	4655	1115	70.13%	475	29.87%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4655	85	58.22%	61	41.78%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4655	816	71.33%	327	28.58%	1	0.09%
Provisional	4655	2	66.67%	1	33.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4655	60	54.05%	51	45.95%	0	0.00%
Total	4655	2078	69.41%	915	30.56%	1	0.03%
130 Suwanee D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
131 Suwanee E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132 Lawrenceville K							
Polling	2915	532	52.47%	482	47.53%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2915	44	41.12%	62	57.94%	1	0.93%
Advance in Person	2915	98	55.37%	79	44.63%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2915	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2915	221	42.26%	302	57.74%	0	0.00%
Total	2915	896	49.10%	928	50.85%	1	0.05%
133 Harbins B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
134 Baycreek F							

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2						
	Reg. Voters	S. KNUDSEN (R)		W. TAYLOR (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
135 Pucketts C							
Polling	2931	529	63.05%	309	36.83%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	2931	56	56.57%	43	43.43%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2931	444	61.50%	275	38.09%	3	0.42%
Provisional	2931	9	75.00%	3	25.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2931	32	42.11%	44	57.89%	0	0.00%
Total	2931	1070	61.21%	674	38.56%	4	0.23%
136 Hog Mountain C							
Polling	3832	718	59.39%	491	40.61%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3832	43	35.83%	77	64.17%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3832	357	54.92%	293	45.08%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3832	2	50.00%	2	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3832	119	53.36%	104	46.64%	0	0.00%
Total	3832	1239	56.17%	967	43.83%	0	0.00%
137 Rockycreek B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
138 Duluth I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
139 Martins K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
140 Suwanee H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2						
	Reg. Voters	S. KNUDSEN (R)		W. TAYLOR (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
141 Sugar Hill G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
142 Suwanee F							
Polling	3598	601	56.59%	461	43.41%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3598	54	38.03%	87	61.27%	1	0.70%
Advance in Person	3598	22	31.88%	47	68.12%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3598	5	50.00%	5	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3598	427	50.24%	423	49.76%	0	0.00%
Total	3598	1109	51.99%	1023	47.96%	1	0.05%
143 Lawrenceville L							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
144 Lawrenceville M							
Polling	5956	348	22.92%	1167	76.88%	3	0.20%
Absentee by Mail	5956	35	19.44%	145	80.56%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5956	55	20.75%	210	79.25%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5956	1	12.50%	7	87.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5956	196	15.07%	1105	84.93%	0	0.00%
Total	5956	635	19.41%	2634	80.50%	3	0.09%
145 Baycreek G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
146 Baycreek H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
147 Baycreek I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2						
	Reg. Voters	S. KNUDSEN (R)		W. TAYLOR (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
148 Berkshire Q							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
149 Goodwins I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
150 Duluth K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
151 Harbins C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
152 Rockycreek C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
153 Lawrenceville N							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
154 Pucketts D							
Polling	3166	559	64.33%	310	35.67%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Board of Education 2 / Consejo de Educacion 2						
	Reg. Voters	S. KNUDSEN (R)		W. TAYLOR (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	3166	72	52.55%	65	47.45%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3166	596	68.66%	271	31.22%	1	0.12%
Provisional	3166	5	62.50%	3	37.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3166	54	68.35%	25	31.65%	0	0.00%
Total	3166	1286	65.58%	674	34.37%	1	0.05%
155 Suwanee G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
156 Baycreek J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total							
Polling	107963	16089	51.88%	14900	48.04%	24	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	107963	1581	41.01%	2269	58.86%	5	0.13%
Advance in Person	107963	7594	59.61%	5137	40.32%	9	0.07%
Advance in Person 2	107963	6183	40.68%	9007	59.26%	9	0.06%
Provisional	107963	89	45.88%	105	54.12%	0	0.00%
Total	107963	31536	50.06%	31418	49.87%	47	0.07%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4

	Reg. Voters	C. STUDEBAKER (R)		E. BLAIR, JR (D)		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide							
001 Harbins A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
002 Rockbridge A							
Polling	3653	150	18.38%	666	81.62%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3653	29	21.80%	104	78.20%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3653	30	25.42%	88	74.58%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3653	25	34.72%	47	65.28%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3653	187	17.33%	892	82.67%	0	0.00%
Total	3653	421	18.98%	1797	81.02%	0	0.00%
003 Dacula							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
004 Suwanee A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
005 Baycreek A							
Polling	2555	538	61.91%	331	38.09%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2555	56	54.90%	46	45.10%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2555	18	52.94%	16	47.06%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2555	17	62.96%	10	37.04%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2555	332	47.84%	362	52.16%	0	0.00%
Total	2555	961	55.68%	765	44.32%	0	0.00%
006 Goodwins A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
007 Duluth A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4						
	Reg. Voters	C. STUDEBAKER (R)		E. BLAIR, JR (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
008 Duncans A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
009 Pucketts A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
010 Cates A							
Polling	2209	408	64.35%	226	35.65%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2209	97	62.58%	58	37.42%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2209	19	76.00%	6	24.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2209	1	50.00%	1	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2209	339	56.22%	264	43.78%	0	0.00%
Total	2209	864	60.89%	555	39.11%	0	0.00%
011 Berkshire A							
Polling	2247	244	38.24%	394	61.76%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2247	28	29.17%	68	70.83%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2247	79	23.87%	252	76.13%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2247	1	12.50%	7	87.50%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2247	32	50.00%	32	50.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2247	384	33.77%	753	66.23%	0	0.00%
012 Berkshire B							
Polling	5010	208	23.11%	692	76.89%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5010	54	31.58%	117	68.42%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5010	378	26.00%	1076	74.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5010	1	8.33%	11	91.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5010	18	23.68%	58	76.32%	0	0.00%
Total	5010	659	25.22%	1954	74.78%	0	0.00%
013 Duncans C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
014 Garners A							
Polling	3302	592	59.98%	395	40.02%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4						
	Reg. Voters	C. STUDEBAKER (R)		E. BLAIR, JR (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	3302	76	56.30%	59	43.70%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3302	574	56.89%	435	43.11%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3302	2	33.33%	4	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3302	47	41.59%	66	58.41%	0	0.00%
Total	3302	1291	57.38%	959	42.62%	0	0.00%
015 Lawrenceville A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
016 Lawrenceville B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
017 Martins A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
018 Martins B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
019 Martins C							
Polling	2349	353	42.63%	474	57.25%	1	0.12%
Absentee by Mail	2349	34	44.74%	42	55.26%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2349	87	43.50%	113	56.50%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2349	0	0.00%	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2349	99	40.08%	148	59.92%	0	0.00%
Total	2349	573	42.32%	780	57.61%	1	0.07%
020 Pinckneyville A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4			
	Reg. Voters	C. STUDEBAKER (R)	E. BLAIR, JR (D)	Write-In Votes
021 Pinckneyville B				
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-
022 Pinckneyville C				
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-
023 Pinckneyville D				
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-
024 Sugar Hill A				
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-
025 Sugar Hill B				
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-
026 Hog Mountain A				
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-
027 Hog Mountain B				
Polling	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4						
	Reg. Voters	C. STUDEBAKER (R)		E. BLAIR, JR (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
028 Rockycreek A							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
029 Cates B							
Polling	1559	363	64.94%	196	35.06%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1559	32	57.14%	24	42.86%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1559	98	57.31%	73	42.69%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1559	3	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1559	141	61.84%	87	38.16%	0	0.00%
Total	1559	637	62.64%	380	37.36%	0	0.00%
030 Cates C							
Polling	2599	297	39.92%	447	60.08%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2599	34	39.53%	52	60.47%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2599	7	29.17%	17	70.83%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2599	1	16.67%	5	83.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2599	234	31.08%	519	68.92%	0	0.00%
Total	2599	573	35.52%	1040	64.48%	0	0.00%
031 Hog Mountain D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
032 Berkshire D							
Polling	2684	447	54.51%	373	45.49%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2684	61	47.66%	67	52.34%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2684	346	47.27%	386	52.73%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2684	2	28.57%	5	71.43%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2684	40	47.62%	44	52.38%	0	0.00%
Total	2684	896	50.59%	875	49.41%	0	0.00%
033 Berkshire E							
Polling	1866	394	61.37%	247	38.47%	1	0.16%
Absentee by Mail	1866	38	45.78%	45	54.22%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1866	272	50.65%	265	49.35%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1866	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1866	22	59.46%	15	40.54%	0	0.00%
Total	1866	726	55.85%	573	44.08%	1	0.08%
034 Berkshire F							
Polling	2003	259	40.34%	383	59.66%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2003	35	45.45%	42	54.55%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4						
	Reg. Voters	C. STUDEBAKER (R)		E. BLAIR, JR (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	2003	59	23.41%	193	76.59%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2003	0	0.00%	6	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2003	30	40.00%	45	60.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2003	383	36.41%	669	63.59%	0	0.00%
035 Cates D - 04							
Polling	2605	447	53.21%	393	46.79%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2605	48	48.48%	51	51.52%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2605	87	49.43%	89	50.57%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2605	6	75.00%	2	25.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2605	227	45.31%	274	54.69%	0	0.00%
Total	2605	815	50.18%	809	49.82%	0	0.00%
035 Cates D - 07							
Polling	585	108	55.96%	85	44.04%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	585	7	25.93%	20	74.07%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	585	23	31.94%	49	68.06%	0	0.00%
Provisional	585	2	66.67%	1	33.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	585	28	41.79%	39	58.21%	0	0.00%
Total	585	168	46.41%	194	53.59%	0	0.00%
036 Cates E							
Polling	2744	540	56.90%	409	43.10%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2744	53	44.54%	66	55.46%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2744	226	47.08%	254	52.92%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2744	2	25.00%	6	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2744	190	55.23%	153	44.48%	1	0.29%
Total	2744	1011	53.21%	888	46.74%	1	0.05%
037 Pinckneyville E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
038 Pinckneyville F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
039 Duncans D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
040 Pinckneyville H							

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4						
	Reg. Voters	C. STUDEBAKER (R)		E. BLAIR, JR (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
041 Baycreek B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
042 Lawrenceville C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
043 Martins D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
044 Rockbridge B							
Polling	3761	240	24.82%	726	75.08%	1	0.10%
Absentee by Mail	3761	28	26.42%	78	73.58%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3761	24	21.05%	90	78.95%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3761	0	0.00%	6	85.71%	1	14.29%
Advance in Person 2	3761	206	21.53%	751	78.47%	0	0.00%
Total	3761	498	23.15%	1651	76.75%	2	0.09%
045 Garners B							
Polling	2916	244	34.56%	462	65.44%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2916	57	45.97%	67	54.03%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2916	245	35.82%	439	64.18%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2916	1	20.00%	4	80.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2916	110	29.26%	266	70.74%	0	0.00%
Total	2916	657	34.67%	1238	65.33%	0	0.00%
046 Cates F							
Polling	1689	445	66.92%	220	33.08%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1689	36	54.55%	30	45.45%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1689	23	46.94%	26	53.06%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1689	2	50.00%	2	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1689	206	60.59%	134	39.41%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4

	Reg. Voters	C. STUDEBAKER (R)		E. BLAIR, JR (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	1689	712	63.35%	412	36.65%	0	0.00%
047 Cates G							
Polling	2597	589	64.65%	320	35.13%	2	0.22%
Absentee by Mail	2597	65	56.03%	51	43.97%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2597	74	65.49%	39	34.51%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2597	2	66.67%	1	33.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2597	396	59.02%	274	40.83%	1	0.15%
Total	2597	1126	62.07%	685	37.76%	3	0.17%
048 Duluth B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
049 Garners C							
Polling	1908	278	51.58%	261	48.42%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1908	18	31.58%	39	68.42%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1908	204	41.63%	286	58.37%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1908	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1908	12	26.09%	34	73.91%	0	0.00%
Total	1908	513	45.16%	623	54.84%	0	0.00%
050 Pinckneyville I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
051 Pinckneyville J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
052 Berkshire G							
Polling	2250	351	54.67%	290	45.17%	1	0.16%
Absentee by Mail	2250	47	54.02%	40	45.98%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2250	367	49.00%	381	50.87%	1	0.13%
Provisional	2250	3	60.00%	2	40.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2250	11	36.67%	19	63.33%	0	0.00%
Total	2250	779	51.49%	732	48.38%	2	0.13%
053 Cates H							
Polling	3622	291	29.54%	693	70.36%	1	0.10%
Absentee by Mail	3622	46	36.51%	80	63.49%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3622	14	29.17%	34	70.83%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4						
	Reg. Voters	C. STUDEBAKER (R)		E. BLAIR, JR (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	3622	0	0.00%	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3622	241	28.02%	617	71.74%	2	0.23%
Total	3622	592	29.28%	1427	70.57%	3	0.15%
054 Sugar Hill C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
055 Sugar Hill D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
056 Duluth C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
057 Duluth D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
058 Pinckneyville K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
059 Pinckneyville N							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
060 Lawrenceville D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4						
	Reg. Voters	C. STUDEBAKER (R)		E. BLAIR, JR (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
061 Lawrenceville E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
062 Pinckneyville L							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
063 Pinckneyville M							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
064 Garners D							
Polling	3127	207	24.13%	651	75.87%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3127	28	24.56%	86	75.44%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3127	173	27.50%	456	72.50%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3127	0	0.00%	6	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3127	63	21.36%	232	78.64%	0	0.00%
Total	3127	471	24.76%	1431	75.24%	0	0.00%
065 Berkshire H							
Polling	1717	157	34.89%	293	65.11%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1717	26	45.61%	31	54.39%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1717	88	27.50%	232	72.50%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1717	0	0.00%	5	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1717	5	14.29%	30	85.71%	0	0.00%
Total	1717	276	31.83%	591	68.17%	0	0.00%
066 Pinckneyville O							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4						
	Reg. Voters	C. STUDEBAKER (R)		E. BLAIR, JR (D)		Write-In Votes	
067 Pucketts E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
068 Cates I							
Polling	3395	619	53.32%	541	46.60%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	3395	62	44.29%	78	55.71%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3395	89	44.95%	109	55.05%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3395	1	33.33%	2	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3395	273	41.68%	381	58.17%	1	0.15%
Total	3395	1044	48.40%	1111	51.51%	2	0.09%
069 Cates J							
Polling	3266	491	44.00%	625	56.00%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	3266	45	36.89%	77	63.11%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3266	218	34.66%	411	65.34%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3266	5	50.00%	5	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3266	63	29.17%	153	70.83%	0	0.00%
Total	3266	822	39.27%	1271	60.73%	0	0.00%
070 Goodwins B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
071 Lawrenceville F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
072 Martins E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
073 Pinckneyville P							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4						
	Reg. Voters	C. STUDEBAKER (R)		E. BLAIR, JR (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
074 Pinckneyville Q							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
075 Rockbridge C							
Polling	4722	235	19.68%	958	80.23%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	4722	38	19.39%	158	80.61%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4722	47	28.66%	117	71.34%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4722	1	7.69%	12	92.31%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4722	216	14.97%	1227	85.03%	0	0.00%
Total	4722	537	17.84%	2472	82.13%	1	0.03%
076 Rockbridge D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
077 Goodwins C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
078 Baycreek K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
079 Cates K							
Polling	2531	564	58.81%	395	41.19%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2531	35	50.72%	34	49.28%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2531	62	51.67%	58	48.33%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2531	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2531	242	50.21%	240	49.79%	0	0.00%
Total	2531	904	55.32%	730	44.68%	0	0.00%
080 Baycreek C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4						
	Reg. Voters	C. STUDEBAKER (R)		E. BLAIR, JR (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
081 Cates L							
Polling	3751	505	45.25%	610	54.66%	1	0.09%
Absentee by Mail	3751	51	36.69%	88	63.31%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3751	11	24.44%	34	75.56%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3751	1	20.00%	4	80.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3751	405	39.86%	611	60.14%	0	0.00%
Total	3751	973	41.92%	1347	58.04%	1	0.04%
082 Duluth E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
083 Goodwins D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
084 Lawrenceville G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
085 Lawrenceville H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
086 Martins F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
087 Pinckneyville S							

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4						
	Reg. Voters	C. STUDEBAKER (R)		E. BLAIR, JR (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
088 Rockbridge E							
Polling	4199	288	25.67%	834	74.33%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	4199	14	11.97%	103	88.03%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4199	61	38.13%	99	61.88%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4199	4	11.76%	30	88.24%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4199	127	15.47%	694	84.53%	0	0.00%
Total	4199	494	21.92%	1760	78.08%	0	0.00%
089 Sugar Hill E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
090 Lawrenceville I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
091 Baycreek D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
092 Berkshire J							
Polling	2595	498	60.36%	327	39.64%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2595	49	52.69%	44	47.31%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2595	426	53.25%	371	46.38%	3	0.38%
Provisional	2595	1	25.00%	3	75.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2595	33	44.00%	42	56.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2595	1007	56.04%	787	43.80%	3	0.17%
093 Cates M							
Polling	3794	782	61.09%	497	38.83%	1	0.08%
Absentee by Mail	3794	79	41.58%	110	57.89%	1	0.53%
Advance in Person	3794	73	59.35%	50	40.65%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3794	3	50.00%	3	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3794	540	55.33%	436	44.67%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4

	Reg. Voters	C. STUDEBAKER (R)		E. BLAIR, JR (D)		Write-In Votes	
Total	3794	1477	57.36%	1096	42.56%	2	0.08%
094 Cates N							
Polling	2445	532	65.60%	279	34.40%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2445	72	69.23%	32	30.77%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2445	15	62.50%	9	37.50%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2445	1	100.00%	0	0.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2445	419	63.58%	240	36.42%	0	0.00%
Total	2445	1039	64.98%	560	35.02%	0	0.00%
095 Duluth F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
096 Duluth G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
097 Goodwins E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
098 Goodwins F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
099 Pinckneyville T							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
100 Suwanee B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4						
	Reg. Voters	C. STUDEBAKER (R)		E. BLAIR, JR (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
101 Pinckneyville U							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
102 Pucketts B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
103 Berkshire L							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
104 Rockbridge F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
105 Sugar Hill F							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
106 Suwanee C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
107 Cates O							
Polling	1342	284	58.56%	201	41.44%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4						
	Reg. Voters	C. STUDEBAKER (R)		E. BLAIR, JR (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	1342	22	56.41%	17	43.59%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1342	90	49.18%	93	50.82%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1342	0	0.00%	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1342	58	43.94%	74	56.06%	0	0.00%
Total	1342	454	54.05%	386	45.95%	0	0.00%
108 Baycreek E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
109 Berkshire M							
Polling	1638	342	59.48%	233	40.52%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1638	29	53.70%	25	46.30%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1638	226	53.18%	198	46.59%	1	0.24%
Provisional	1638	1	33.33%	2	66.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1638	23	57.50%	17	42.50%	0	0.00%
Total	1638	621	56.61%	475	43.30%	1	0.09%
110 Martins G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
111 Duluth H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
112 Berkshire N							
Polling	2836	384	60.09%	255	39.91%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	2836	69	54.33%	58	45.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2836	670	55.93%	528	44.07%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2836	2	50.00%	2	50.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2836	29	76.32%	9	23.68%	0	0.00%
Total	2836	1154	57.53%	852	42.47%	0	0.00%
113 Pinckneyville V							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4						
	Reg. Voters	C. STUDEBAKER (R)		E. BLAIR, JR (D)		Write-In Votes	
114 Pinckneyville W							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
115 Martins H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
116 Martins I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
117 Martins J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
118 Garners F							
Polling	1558	243	52.60%	219	47.40%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1558	15	36.59%	26	63.41%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1558	243	51.48%	229	48.52%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1558	2	66.67%	1	33.33%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1558	5	31.25%	11	68.75%	0	0.00%
Total	1558	508	51.11%	486	48.89%	0	0.00%
119 Berkshire O							
Polling	2422	503	57.55%	369	42.22%	2	0.23%
Absentee by Mail	2422	62	60.78%	40	39.22%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2422	281	57.58%	207	42.42%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2422	5	71.43%	2	28.57%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2422	77	53.10%	68	46.90%	0	0.00%
Total	2422	928	57.43%	686	42.45%	2	0.12%
120 Berkshire P							
Polling	1339	151	39.02%	236	60.98%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1339	17	27.87%	44	72.13%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1339	59	31.55%	128	68.45%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1339	2	50.00%	2	50.00%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4						
	Reg. Voters	C. STUDEBAKER (R)		E. BLAIR, JR (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	1339	23	40.35%	34	59.65%	0	0.00%
Total	1339	252	36.21%	444	63.79%	0	0.00%
121 Pinckneyville X							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
122 Rockbridge G							
Polling	5188	244	21.33%	900	78.67%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	5188	38	16.24%	196	83.76%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	5188	36	33.64%	71	66.36%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5188	2	8.33%	22	91.67%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5188	272	17.00%	1328	83.00%	0	0.00%
Total	5188	592	19.04%	2517	80.96%	0	0.00%
123 Pinckneyville Y							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
124 Goodwins G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
125 Pinckneyville Z							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
126 Pinckneyville A1							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
127 Lawrenceville J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4						
	Reg. Voters	C. STUDEBAKER (R)		E. BLAIR, JR (D)		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
128 Goodwins H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
129 Duncans B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
130 Suwanee D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
131 Suwanee E							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
132 Lawrenceville K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
133 Harbins B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
134 Baycreek F							

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4						
	Reg. Voters	C. STUDEBAKER (R)		E. BLAIR, JR (D)		Write-In Votes	
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
135 Pucketts C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
136 Hog Mountain C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
137 Rockycreek B							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
138 Duluth I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
139 Martins K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
140 Suwanee H							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4			
	Reg. Voters	C. STUDEBAKER (R)	E. BLAIR, JR (D)	Write-In Votes
Total	-	- -	- -	- -
141 Sugar Hill G				
Polling	-	- -	- -	- -
Absentee by Mail	-	- -	- -	- -
Advance in Person	-	- -	- -	- -
Provisional	-	- -	- -	- -
Advance in Person 2	-	- -	- -	- -
Total	-	- -	- -	- -
142 Suwanee F				
Polling	-	- -	- -	- -
Absentee by Mail	-	- -	- -	- -
Advance in Person	-	- -	- -	- -
Provisional	-	- -	- -	- -
Advance in Person 2	-	- -	- -	- -
Total	-	- -	- -	- -
143 Lawrenceville L				
Polling	-	- -	- -	- -
Absentee by Mail	-	- -	- -	- -
Advance in Person	-	- -	- -	- -
Provisional	-	- -	- -	- -
Advance in Person 2	-	- -	- -	- -
Total	-	- -	- -	- -
144 Lawrenceville M				
Polling	-	- -	- -	- -
Absentee by Mail	-	- -	- -	- -
Advance in Person	-	- -	- -	- -
Provisional	-	- -	- -	- -
Advance in Person 2	-	- -	- -	- -
Total	-	- -	- -	- -
145 Baycreek G				
Polling	-	- -	- -	- -
Absentee by Mail	-	- -	- -	- -
Advance in Person	-	- -	- -	- -
Provisional	-	- -	- -	- -
Advance in Person 2	-	- -	- -	- -
Total	-	- -	- -	- -
146 Baycreek H				
Polling	-	- -	- -	- -
Absentee by Mail	-	- -	- -	- -
Advance in Person	-	- -	- -	- -
Provisional	-	- -	- -	- -
Advance in Person 2	-	- -	- -	- -
Total	-	- -	- -	- -
147 Baycreek I				
Polling	-	- -	- -	- -
Absentee by Mail	-	- -	- -	- -
Advance in Person	-	- -	- -	- -

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4						
	Reg. Voters	C. STUDEBAKER (R)		E. BLAIR, JR (D)		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
148 Berkshire Q							
Polling	1644	296	55.12%	241	44.88%	0	0.00%
Absentee by Mail	1644	28	56.00%	22	44.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1644	201	50.12%	200	49.88%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1644	3	60.00%	2	40.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1644	17	58.62%	12	41.38%	0	0.00%
Total	1644	545	53.33%	477	46.67%	0	0.00%
149 Goodwins I							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
150 Duluth K							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
151 Harbins C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
152 Rockycreek C							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
153 Lawrenceville N							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
154 Pucketts D							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Board of Education 4 / Consejo de Educacion 4						
	Reg. Voters	C. STUDEBAKER (R)		E. BLAIR, JR (D)		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
155 Suwanee G							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
156 Baycreek J							
Polling	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Absentee by Mail	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provisional	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Advance in Person 2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total							
Polling	110222	15111	46.54%	17347	53.42%	13	0.04%
Absentee by Mail	110222	1758	41.13%	2515	58.84%	1	0.02%
Advance in Person	110222	6323	43.50%	8207	56.46%	5	0.03%
Advance in Person 2	110222	6038	35.57%	10932	64.40%	5	0.03%
Provisional	110222	107	31.01%	237	68.70%	1	0.29%
Total	110222	29337	42.77%	39238	57.20%	25	0.04%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua				
	Reg. Voters	DAVID VANLANDINGHAM		Write-In Votes	
Jurisdiction Wide					
001 Harbins A					
Polling	6123	1221	97.29%	34	2.71%
Absentee by Mail	6123	157	99.37%	1	0.63%
Advance in Person	6123	909	97.95%	19	2.05%
Provisional	6123	10	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	6123	562	95.58%	26	4.42%
Total	6123	2859	97.28%	80	2.72%
002 Rockbridge A					
Polling	3653	638	96.67%	22	3.33%
Absentee by Mail	3653	108	97.30%	3	2.70%
Advance in Person	3653	92	94.85%	5	5.15%
Provisional	3653	55	96.49%	2	3.51%
Advance in Person 2	3653	813	96.79%	27	3.21%
Total	3653	1706	96.66%	59	3.34%
003 Dacula					
Polling	4478	873	97.87%	19	2.13%
Absentee by Mail	4478	96	97.96%	2	2.04%
Advance in Person	4478	928	98.10%	18	1.90%
Provisional	4478	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4478	163	93.68%	11	6.32%
Total	4478	2064	97.63%	50	2.37%
004 Suwanee A					
Polling	1551	304	97.75%	7	2.25%
Absentee by Mail	1551	38	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1551	31	100.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	1551	13	92.86%	1	7.14%
Advance in Person 2	1551	304	96.82%	10	3.18%
Total	1551	690	97.46%	18	2.54%
005 Baycreek A					
Polling	2555	683	97.43%	18	2.57%
Absentee by Mail	2555	75	98.68%	1	1.32%
Advance in Person	2555	26	96.30%	1	3.70%
Provisional	2555	24	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2555	502	95.80%	22	4.20%
Total	2555	1310	96.89%	42	3.11%
006 Goodwins A					
Polling	3583	954	97.35%	26	2.65%
Absentee by Mail	3583	96	98.97%	1	1.03%
Advance in Person	3583	86	97.73%	2	2.27%
Provisional	3583	10	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3583	532	97.26%	15	2.74%
Total	3583	1678	97.44%	44	2.56%
007 Duluth A					
Polling	4599	1169	98.48%	18	1.52%
Absentee by Mail	4599	174	99.43%	1	0.57%
Advance in Person	4599	588	97.35%	16	2.65%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua				
	Reg. Voters	DAVID VANLANDINGHAM		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	4599	8	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4599	407	98.55%	6	1.45%
Total	4599	2346	98.28%	41	1.72%
008 Duncans A					
Polling	4278	1077	98.27%	19	1.73%
Absentee by Mail	4278	101	98.06%	2	1.94%
Advance in Person	4278	1056	98.23%	19	1.77%
Provisional	4278	11	91.67%	1	8.33%
Advance in Person 2	4278	135	96.43%	5	3.57%
Total	4278	2380	98.10%	46	1.90%
009 Pucketts A					
Polling	4844	891	98.67%	12	1.33%
Absentee by Mail	4844	142	98.61%	2	1.39%
Advance in Person	4844	1457	98.31%	25	1.69%
Provisional	4844	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4844	40	95.24%	2	4.76%
Total	4844	2532	98.41%	41	1.59%
010 Cates A					
Polling	2209	517	98.85%	6	1.15%
Absentee by Mail	2209	112	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2209	23	100.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2209	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2209	460	97.87%	10	2.13%
Total	2209	1116	98.59%	16	1.41%
011 Berkshire A					
Polling	2247	487	99.19%	4	0.81%
Absentee by Mail	2247	82	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2247	238	97.94%	5	2.06%
Provisional	2247	9	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2247	44	97.78%	1	2.22%
Total	2247	860	98.85%	10	1.15%
012 Berkshire B					
Polling	5010	700	97.63%	17	2.37%
Absentee by Mail	5010	134	98.53%	2	1.47%
Advance in Person	5010	1094	97.77%	25	2.23%
Provisional	5010	13	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5010	61	98.39%	1	1.61%
Total	5010	2002	97.80%	45	2.20%
013 Duncans C					
Polling	2828	888	98.56%	13	1.44%
Absentee by Mail	2828	69	97.18%	2	2.82%
Advance in Person	2828	635	98.91%	7	1.09%
Provisional	2828	5	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2828	63	96.92%	2	3.08%
Total	2828	1660	98.57%	24	1.43%
014 Garners A					
Polling	3302	830	98.57%	12	1.43%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua				
	Reg. Voters	DAVID VANLANDINGHAM		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	3302	100	97.09%	3	2.91%
Advance in Person	3302	848	98.72%	11	1.28%
Provisional	3302	8	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3302	92	97.87%	2	2.13%
Total	3302	1878	98.53%	28	1.47%
015 Lawrenceville A					
Polling	3300	603	97.89%	13	2.11%
Absentee by Mail	3300	123	98.40%	2	1.60%
Advance in Person	3300	12	92.31%	1	7.69%
Provisional	3300	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3300	586	98.49%	9	1.51%
Total	3300	1326	98.15%	25	1.85%
016 Lawrenceville B					
Polling	4520	748	96.14%	30	3.86%
Absentee by Mail	4520	125	94.70%	7	5.30%
Advance in Person	4520	20	86.96%	3	13.04%
Provisional	4520	8	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4520	966	96.41%	36	3.59%
Total	4520	1867	96.09%	76	3.91%
017 Martins A					
Polling	3019	606	96.34%	23	3.66%
Absentee by Mail	3019	77	98.72%	1	1.28%
Advance in Person	3019	186	96.88%	6	3.13%
Provisional	3019	10	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3019	218	99.09%	2	0.91%
Total	3019	1097	97.17%	32	2.83%
018 Martins B					
Polling	2796	642	98.47%	10	1.53%
Absentee by Mail	2796	74	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2796	75	98.68%	1	1.32%
Provisional	2796	16	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2796	320	96.68%	11	3.32%
Total	2796	1127	98.09%	22	1.91%
019 Martins C					
Polling	2349	668	98.24%	12	1.76%
Absentee by Mail	2349	66	95.65%	3	4.35%
Advance in Person	2349	138	99.28%	1	0.72%
Provisional	2349	8	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2349	177	98.88%	2	1.12%
Total	2349	1057	98.33%	18	1.67%
020 Pinckneyville A					
Polling	2603	564	98.43%	9	1.57%
Absentee by Mail	2603	64	96.97%	2	3.03%
Advance in Person	2603	268	97.10%	8	2.90%
Provisional	2603	14	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2603	38	97.44%	1	2.56%
Total	2603	948	97.93%	20	2.07%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua				
	Reg. Voters	DAVID VANLANDINGHAM		Write-In Votes	
021 Pinckneyville B					
Polling	3216	489	97.60%	12	2.40%
Absentee by Mail	3216	94	98.95%	1	1.05%
Advance in Person	3216	428	96.83%	14	3.17%
Provisional	3216	30	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3216	21	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	3216	1062	97.52%	27	2.48%
022 Pinckneyville C					
Polling	1796	653	98.49%	10	1.51%
Absentee by Mail	1796	90	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1796	227	97.42%	6	2.58%
Provisional	1796	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1796	32	96.97%	1	3.03%
Total	1796	1005	98.34%	17	1.66%
023 Pinckneyville D					
Polling	2042	375	99.21%	3	0.79%
Absentee by Mail	2042	52	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2042	213	97.71%	5	2.29%
Provisional	2042	9	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2042	25	96.15%	1	3.85%
Total	2042	674	98.68%	9	1.32%
024 Sugar Hill A					
Polling	4001	890	98.34%	15	1.66%
Absentee by Mail	4001	96	96.97%	3	3.03%
Advance in Person	4001	521	98.12%	10	1.88%
Provisional	4001	15	93.75%	1	6.25%
Advance in Person 2	4001	169	96.02%	7	3.98%
Total	4001	1691	97.92%	36	2.08%
025 Sugar Hill B					
Polling	4835	1138	96.85%	37	3.15%
Absentee by Mail	4835	119	92.97%	9	7.03%
Advance in Person	4835	336	97.96%	7	2.04%
Provisional	4835	13	92.86%	1	7.14%
Advance in Person 2	4835	441	96.50%	16	3.50%
Total	4835	2047	96.69%	70	3.31%
026 Hog Mountain A					
Polling	4467	1051	97.13%	31	2.87%
Absentee by Mail	4467	112	93.33%	8	6.67%
Advance in Person	4467	291	95.41%	14	4.59%
Provisional	4467	16	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4467	432	96.64%	15	3.36%
Total	4467	1902	96.55%	68	3.45%
027 Hog Mountain B					
Polling	4211	1141	97.60%	28	2.40%
Absentee by Mail	4211	118	95.16%	6	4.84%
Advance in Person	4211	657	97.91%	14	2.09%
Provisional	4211	9	100.00%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua				
	Reg. Voters	DAVID VANLANDINGHAM		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	4211	253	95.83%	11	4.17%
Total	4211	2178	97.36%	59	2.64%
028 Rockycreek A					
Polling	5149	1199	98.68%	16	1.32%
Absentee by Mail	5149	99	96.12%	4	3.88%
Advance in Person	5149	1179	98.09%	23	1.91%
Provisional	5149	4	80.00%	1	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	5149	115	95.83%	5	4.17%
Total	5149	2596	98.15%	49	1.85%
029 Cates B					
Polling	1559	473	98.75%	6	1.25%
Absentee by Mail	1559	43	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1559	138	98.57%	2	1.43%
Provisional	1559	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1559	186	98.94%	2	1.06%
Total	1559	842	98.83%	10	1.17%
030 Cates C					
Polling	2599	601	98.36%	10	1.64%
Absentee by Mail	2599	61	98.39%	1	1.61%
Advance in Person	2599	16	100.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2599	5	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2599	491	95.53%	23	4.47%
Total	2599	1174	97.19%	34	2.81%
031 Hog Mountain D					
Polling	2958	753	98.69%	10	1.31%
Absentee by Mail	2958	81	96.43%	3	3.57%
Advance in Person	2958	269	98.90%	3	1.10%
Provisional	2958	6	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2958	235	98.33%	4	1.67%
Total	2958	1344	98.53%	20	1.47%
032 Berkshire D					
Polling	2684	706	98.47%	11	1.53%
Absentee by Mail	2684	108	99.08%	1	0.92%
Advance in Person	2684	599	98.68%	8	1.32%
Provisional	2684	5	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2684	58	98.31%	1	1.69%
Total	2684	1476	98.60%	21	1.40%
033 Berkshire E					
Polling	1866	545	98.20%	10	1.80%
Absentee by Mail	1866	71	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1866	436	98.64%	6	1.36%
Provisional	1866	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1866	30	96.77%	1	3.23%
Total	1866	1083	98.45%	17	1.55%
034 Berkshire F					
Polling	2003	475	98.96%	5	1.04%
Absentee by Mail	2003	63	95.45%	3	4.55%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua				
	Reg. Voters	DAVID VANLANDINGHAM		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	2003	187	97.91%	4	2.09%
Provisional	2003	10	90.91%	1	9.09%
Advance in Person 2	2003	53	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2003	788	98.38%	13	1.62%
035 Cates D - 04					
Polling	2605	652	97.90%	14	2.10%
Absentee by Mail	2605	77	98.72%	1	1.28%
Advance in Person	2605	143	98.62%	2	1.38%
Provisional	2605	8	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2605	374	96.64%	13	3.36%
Total	2605	1254	97.66%	30	2.34%
035 Cates D - 07					
Polling	585	150	96.15%	6	3.85%
Absentee by Mail	585	18	94.74%	1	5.26%
Advance in Person	585	48	96.00%	2	4.00%
Provisional	585	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	585	46	97.87%	1	2.13%
Total	585	265	96.36%	10	3.64%
036 Cates E					
Polling	2744	734	98.39%	12	1.61%
Absentee by Mail	2744	81	96.43%	3	3.57%
Advance in Person	2744	342	96.88%	11	3.12%
Provisional	2744	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2744	251	98.05%	5	1.95%
Total	2744	1415	97.86%	31	2.14%
037 Pinckneyville E					
Polling	2183	510	96.96%	16	3.04%
Absentee by Mail	2183	43	95.56%	2	4.44%
Advance in Person	2183	190	97.44%	5	2.56%
Provisional	2183	9	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2183	15	93.75%	1	6.25%
Total	2183	767	96.97%	24	3.03%
038 Pinckneyville F					
Polling	2301	515	98.28%	9	1.72%
Absentee by Mail	2301	69	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2301	253	96.93%	8	3.07%
Provisional	2301	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2301	25	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2301	866	98.07%	17	1.93%
039 Duncans D					
Polling	6085	1481	98.41%	24	1.59%
Absentee by Mail	6085	162	94.74%	9	5.26%
Advance in Person	6085	1340	98.31%	23	1.69%
Provisional	6085	12	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	6085	131	97.76%	3	2.24%
Total	6085	3126	98.15%	59	1.85%
040 Pinckneyville H					

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua				
	Reg. Voters	DAVID VANLANDINGHAM		Write-In Votes	
Polling	4126	1146	98.54%	17	1.46%
Absentee by Mail	4126	162	97.01%	5	2.99%
Advance in Person	4126	828	98.45%	13	1.55%
Provisional	4126	10	90.91%	1	9.09%
Advance in Person 2	4126	61	95.31%	3	4.69%
Total	4126	2207	98.26%	39	1.74%
041 Baycreek B					
Polling	5349	1262	97.83%	28	2.17%
Absentee by Mail	5349	162	99.39%	1	0.61%
Advance in Person	5349	39	95.12%	2	4.88%
Provisional	5349	21	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5349	1015	98.35%	17	1.65%
Total	5349	2499	98.12%	48	1.88%
042 Lawrenceville C					
Polling	2761	734	97.35%	20	2.65%
Absentee by Mail	2761	84	95.45%	4	4.55%
Advance in Person	2761	270	98.54%	4	1.46%
Provisional	2761	5	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2761	279	97.55%	7	2.45%
Total	2761	1372	97.51%	35	2.49%
043 Martins D					
Polling	2059	428	96.83%	14	3.17%
Absentee by Mail	2059	58	98.31%	1	1.69%
Advance in Person	2059	159	96.36%	6	3.64%
Provisional	2059	11	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2059	67	97.10%	2	2.90%
Total	2059	723	96.92%	23	3.08%
044 Rockbridge B					
Polling	3761	794	97.66%	19	2.34%
Absentee by Mail	3761	71	94.67%	4	5.33%
Advance in Person	3761	95	95.00%	5	5.00%
Provisional	3761	10	90.91%	1	9.09%
Advance in Person 2	3761	722	97.44%	19	2.56%
Total	3761	1692	97.24%	48	2.76%
045 Garners B					
Polling	2916	565	98.43%	9	1.57%
Absentee by Mail	2916	83	93.26%	6	6.74%
Advance in Person	2916	522	97.94%	11	2.06%
Provisional	2916	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2916	279	97.89%	6	2.11%
Total	2916	1453	97.85%	32	2.15%
046 Cates F					
Polling	1689	552	98.92%	6	1.08%
Absentee by Mail	1689	53	98.15%	1	1.85%
Advance in Person	1689	37	94.87%	2	5.13%
Provisional	1689	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1689	262	97.76%	6	2.24%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua

	Reg. Voters	DAVID VANLANDINGHAM		Write-In Votes	
Total	1689	908	98.37%	15	1.63%
047 Cates G					
Polling	2597	776	98.35%	13	1.65%
Absentee by Mail	2597	98	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2597	92	97.87%	2	2.13%
Provisional	2597	5	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2597	536	96.23%	21	3.77%
Total	2597	1507	97.67%	36	2.33%
048 Duluth B					
Polling	3332	692	98.58%	10	1.42%
Absentee by Mail	3332	140	98.59%	2	1.41%
Advance in Person	3332	486	98.58%	7	1.42%
Provisional	3332	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3332	109	98.20%	2	1.80%
Total	3332	1434	98.56%	21	1.44%
049 Garners C					
Polling	1908	426	97.71%	10	2.29%
Absentee by Mail	1908	48	97.96%	1	2.04%
Advance in Person	1908	371	98.67%	5	1.33%
Provisional	1908	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1908	31	93.94%	2	6.06%
Total	1908	879	97.99%	18	2.01%
050 Pinckneyville I					
Polling	2479	498	96.70%	17	3.30%
Absentee by Mail	2479	59	98.33%	1	1.67%
Advance in Person	2479	305	98.39%	5	1.61%
Provisional	2479	12	92.31%	1	7.69%
Advance in Person 2	2479	49	94.23%	3	5.77%
Total	2479	923	97.16%	27	2.84%
051 Pinckneyville J					
Polling	2543	408	99.03%	4	0.97%
Absentee by Mail	2543	52	98.11%	1	1.89%
Advance in Person	2543	321	96.40%	12	3.60%
Provisional	2543	17	94.44%	1	5.56%
Advance in Person 2	2543	28	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2543	826	97.87%	18	2.13%
052 Berkshire G					
Polling	2250	528	98.14%	10	1.86%
Absentee by Mail	2250	75	98.68%	1	1.32%
Advance in Person	2250	601	98.85%	7	1.15%
Provisional	2250	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2250	22	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2250	1228	98.56%	18	1.44%
053 Cates H					
Polling	3622	678	95.63%	31	4.37%
Absentee by Mail	3622	91	96.81%	3	3.19%
Advance in Person	3622	33	94.29%	2	5.71%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua				
	Reg. Voters	DAVID VANLANDINGHAM		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	3622	8	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3622	584	95.58%	27	4.42%
Total	3622	1394	95.68%	63	4.32%
054 Sugar Hill C					
Polling	4596	1306	97.97%	27	2.03%
Absentee by Mail	4596	129	96.99%	4	3.01%
Advance in Person	4596	360	98.36%	6	1.64%
Provisional	4596	23	95.83%	1	4.17%
Advance in Person 2	4596	437	97.98%	9	2.02%
Total	4596	2255	97.96%	47	2.04%
055 Sugar Hill D					
Polling	4070	983	98.10%	19	1.90%
Absentee by Mail	4070	94	98.95%	1	1.05%
Advance in Person	4070	686	98.00%	14	2.00%
Provisional	4070	18	90.00%	2	10.00%
Advance in Person 2	4070	112	95.73%	5	4.27%
Total	4070	1893	97.88%	41	2.12%
056 Duluth C					
Polling	2243	552	98.40%	9	1.60%
Absentee by Mail	2243	79	98.75%	1	1.25%
Advance in Person	2243	335	95.71%	15	4.29%
Provisional	2243	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2243	57	98.28%	1	1.72%
Total	2243	1027	97.53%	26	2.47%
057 Duluth D					
Polling	4404	809	98.06%	16	1.94%
Absentee by Mail	4404	151	97.42%	4	2.58%
Advance in Person	4404	737	96.97%	23	3.03%
Provisional	4404	15	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4404	102	98.08%	2	1.92%
Total	4404	1814	97.58%	45	2.42%
058 Pinckneyville K					
Polling	3547	669	98.09%	13	1.91%
Absentee by Mail	3547	86	97.73%	2	2.27%
Advance in Person	3547	356	97.00%	11	3.00%
Provisional	3547	19	95.00%	1	5.00%
Advance in Person 2	3547	65	94.20%	4	5.80%
Total	3547	1195	97.47%	31	2.53%
059 Pinckneyville N					
Polling	3717	850	97.03%	26	2.97%
Absentee by Mail	3717	55	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3717	418	96.31%	16	3.69%
Provisional	3717	11	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3717	39	95.12%	2	4.88%
Total	3717	1373	96.89%	44	3.11%
060 Lawrenceville D					
Polling	4872	794	98.02%	16	1.98%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua				
	Reg. Voters	DAVID VANLANDINGHAM		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	4872	97	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	4872	60	100.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4872	18	94.74%	1	5.26%
Advance in Person 2	4872	605	96.96%	19	3.04%
Total	4872	1574	97.76%	36	2.24%
061 Lawrenceville E					
Polling	2205	542	98.72%	7	1.28%
Absentee by Mail	2205	83	97.65%	2	2.35%
Advance in Person	2205	14	100.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2205	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2205	514	99.23%	4	0.77%
Total	2205	1155	98.89%	13	1.11%
062 Pinckneyville L					
Polling	2233	920	98.82%	11	1.18%
Absentee by Mail	2233	102	98.08%	2	1.92%
Advance in Person	2233	304	98.06%	6	1.94%
Provisional	2233	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2233	30	93.75%	2	6.25%
Total	2233	1357	98.48%	21	1.52%
063 Pinckneyville M					
Polling	2515	957	98.46%	15	1.54%
Absentee by Mail	2515	132	97.78%	3	2.22%
Advance in Person	2515	391	98.99%	4	1.01%
Provisional	2515	6	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2515	16	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2515	1502	98.56%	22	1.44%
064 Garners D					
Polling	3127	677	97.41%	18	2.59%
Absentee by Mail	3127	83	98.81%	1	1.19%
Advance in Person	3127	478	97.55%	12	2.45%
Provisional	3127	6	85.71%	1	14.29%
Advance in Person 2	3127	214	98.62%	3	1.38%
Total	3127	1458	97.66%	35	2.34%
065 Berkshire H					
Polling	1717	361	99.18%	3	0.82%
Absentee by Mail	1717	49	98.00%	1	2.00%
Advance in Person	1717	247	98.80%	3	1.20%
Provisional	1717	8	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1717	23	92.00%	2	8.00%
Total	1717	688	98.71%	9	1.29%
066 Pinckneyville O					
Polling	3267	592	98.01%	12	1.99%
Absentee by Mail	3267	62	98.41%	1	1.59%
Advance in Person	3267	467	98.11%	9	1.89%
Provisional	3267	18	90.00%	2	10.00%
Advance in Person 2	3267	24	96.00%	1	4.00%
Total	3267	1163	97.90%	25	2.10%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua				
	Reg. Voters	DAVID VANLANDINGHAM		Write-In Votes	
067 Pucketts E					
Polling	4771	870	97.10%	26	2.90%
Absentee by Mail	4771	133	95.00%	7	5.00%
Advance in Person	4771	851	96.16%	34	3.84%
Provisional	4771	20	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4771	98	93.33%	7	6.67%
Total	4771	1972	96.38%	74	3.62%
068 Cates I					
Polling	3395	956	98.35%	16	1.65%
Absentee by Mail	3395	111	93.28%	8	6.72%
Advance in Person	3395	158	96.93%	5	3.07%
Provisional	3395	6	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3395	479	96.38%	18	3.62%
Total	3395	1710	97.32%	47	2.68%
069 Cates J					
Polling	3266	907	98.59%	13	1.41%
Absentee by Mail	3266	94	97.92%	2	2.08%
Advance in Person	3266	440	95.86%	19	4.14%
Provisional	3266	9	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3266	162	98.78%	2	1.22%
Total	3266	1612	97.82%	36	2.18%
070 Goodwins B					
Polling	3604	995	97.55%	25	2.45%
Absentee by Mail	3604	110	98.21%	2	1.79%
Advance in Person	3604	175	98.31%	3	1.69%
Provisional	3604	6	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3604	556	97.72%	13	2.28%
Total	3604	1842	97.72%	43	2.28%
071 Lawrenceville F					
Polling	3698	649	98.18%	12	1.82%
Absentee by Mail	3698	114	95.00%	6	5.00%
Advance in Person	3698	44	97.78%	1	2.22%
Provisional	3698	11	91.67%	1	8.33%
Advance in Person 2	3698	680	96.73%	23	3.27%
Total	3698	1498	97.21%	43	2.79%
072 Martins E					
Polling	5934	895	97.81%	20	2.19%
Absentee by Mail	5934	140	98.59%	2	1.41%
Advance in Person	5934	504	97.67%	12	2.33%
Provisional	5934	35	85.37%	6	14.63%
Advance in Person 2	5934	167	98.24%	3	1.76%
Total	5934	1741	97.59%	43	2.41%
073 Pinckneyville P					
Polling	2048	718	98.49%	11	1.51%
Absentee by Mail	2048	94	98.95%	1	1.05%
Advance in Person	2048	217	96.44%	8	3.56%
Provisional	2048	5	100.00%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua				
	Reg. Voters	DAVID VANLANDINGHAM		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	2048	20	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2048	1054	98.14%	20	1.86%
074 Pinckneyville Q					
Polling	1725	457	97.65%	11	2.35%
Absentee by Mail	1725	25	96.15%	1	3.85%
Advance in Person	1725	145	98.64%	2	1.36%
Provisional	1725	8	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1725	24	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	1725	659	97.92%	14	2.08%
075 Rockbridge C					
Polling	4722	977	97.80%	22	2.20%
Absentee by Mail	4722	149	98.03%	3	1.97%
Advance in Person	4722	134	98.53%	2	1.47%
Provisional	4722	13	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4722	1111	96.78%	37	3.22%
Total	4722	2384	97.39%	64	2.61%
076 Rockbridge D					
Polling	3359	625	98.27%	11	1.73%
Absentee by Mail	3359	105	97.22%	3	2.78%
Advance in Person	3359	28	100.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3359	22	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3359	808	98.18%	15	1.82%
Total	3359	1588	98.21%	29	1.79%
077 Goodwins C					
Polling	5106	1081	97.92%	23	2.08%
Absentee by Mail	5106	173	97.74%	4	2.26%
Advance in Person	5106	212	97.25%	6	2.75%
Provisional	5106	11	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5106	662	97.21%	19	2.79%
Total	5106	2139	97.63%	52	2.37%
078 Baycreek K					
Polling	3536	767	97.83%	17	2.17%
Absentee by Mail	3536	130	97.74%	3	2.26%
Advance in Person	3536	26	96.30%	1	3.70%
Provisional	3536	9	90.00%	1	10.00%
Advance in Person 2	3536	889	98.67%	12	1.33%
Total	3536	1821	98.17%	34	1.83%
079 Cates K					
Polling	2531	811	98.18%	15	1.82%
Absentee by Mail	2531	58	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2531	92	97.87%	2	2.13%
Provisional	2531	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2531	374	98.16%	7	1.84%
Total	2531	1339	98.24%	24	1.76%
080 Baycreek C					
Polling	5755	1331	96.94%	42	3.06%
Absentee by Mail	5755	140	97.90%	3	2.10%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua				
	Reg. Voters	DAVID VANLANDINGHAM		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	5755	83	98.81%	1	1.19%
Provisional	5755	8	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5755	1274	96.52%	46	3.48%
Total	5755	2836	96.86%	92	3.14%
081 Cates L					
Polling	3751	883	98.22%	16	1.78%
Absentee by Mail	3751	95	97.94%	2	2.06%
Advance in Person	3751	30	93.75%	2	6.25%
Provisional	3751	8	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3751	709	95.42%	34	4.58%
Total	3751	1725	96.96%	54	3.04%
082 Duluth E					
Polling	4580	900	97.09%	27	2.91%
Absentee by Mail	4580	123	95.35%	6	4.65%
Advance in Person	4580	725	97.45%	19	2.55%
Provisional	4580	13	86.67%	2	13.33%
Advance in Person 2	4580	81	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	4580	1842	97.15%	54	2.85%
083 Goodwins D					
Polling	3985	1050	97.58%	26	2.42%
Absentee by Mail	3985	112	99.12%	1	0.88%
Advance in Person	3985	79	94.05%	5	5.95%
Provisional	3985	14	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3985	588	97.51%	15	2.49%
Total	3985	1843	97.51%	47	2.49%
084 Lawrenceville G					
Polling	2783	564	97.24%	16	2.76%
Absentee by Mail	2783	62	93.94%	4	6.06%
Advance in Person	2783	31	96.88%	1	3.13%
Provisional	2783	15	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2783	385	96.01%	16	3.99%
Total	2783	1057	96.62%	37	3.38%
085 Lawrenceville H					
Polling	3899	965	98.37%	16	1.63%
Absentee by Mail	3899	132	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3899	187	97.40%	5	2.60%
Provisional	3899	8	88.89%	1	11.11%
Advance in Person 2	3899	596	96.60%	21	3.40%
Total	3899	1888	97.77%	43	2.23%
086 Martins F					
Polling	2401	511	98.08%	10	1.92%
Absentee by Mail	2401	71	98.61%	1	1.39%
Advance in Person	2401	242	97.58%	6	2.42%
Provisional	2401	8	88.89%	1	11.11%
Advance in Person 2	2401	66	94.29%	4	5.71%
Total	2401	898	97.61%	22	2.39%
087 Pinckneyville S					

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua				
	Reg. Voters	DAVID VANLANDINGHAM		Write-In Votes	
Polling	3013	730	98.38%	12	1.62%
Absentee by Mail	3013	107	98.17%	2	1.83%
Advance in Person	3013	356	98.61%	5	1.39%
Provisional	3013	9	90.00%	1	10.00%
Advance in Person 2	3013	44	95.65%	2	4.35%
Total	3013	1246	98.26%	22	1.74%
088 Rockbridge E					
Polling	4199	921	98.29%	16	1.71%
Absentee by Mail	4199	92	98.92%	1	1.08%
Advance in Person	4199	123	96.85%	4	3.15%
Provisional	4199	28	93.33%	2	6.67%
Advance in Person 2	4199	604	97.73%	14	2.27%
Total	4199	1768	97.95%	37	2.05%
089 Sugar Hill E					
Polling	3061	801	97.80%	18	2.20%
Absentee by Mail	3061	100	94.34%	6	5.66%
Advance in Person	3061	161	98.77%	2	1.23%
Provisional	3061	13	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3061	480	98.36%	8	1.64%
Total	3061	1555	97.86%	34	2.14%
090 Lawrenceville I					
Polling	3140	751	97.79%	17	2.21%
Absentee by Mail	3140	98	98.99%	1	1.01%
Advance in Person	3140	111	97.37%	3	2.63%
Provisional	3140	6	85.71%	1	14.29%
Advance in Person 2	3140	389	97.49%	10	2.51%
Total	3140	1355	97.69%	32	2.31%
091 Baycreek D					
Polling	4157	981	98.49%	15	1.51%
Absentee by Mail	4157	125	96.90%	4	3.10%
Advance in Person	4157	32	100.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4157	8	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4157	941	96.41%	35	3.59%
Total	4157	2087	97.48%	54	2.52%
092 Berkshire J					
Polling	2595	693	99.14%	6	0.86%
Absentee by Mail	2595	78	97.50%	2	2.50%
Advance in Person	2595	665	98.37%	11	1.63%
Provisional	2595	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2595	55	90.16%	6	9.84%
Total	2595	1494	98.35%	25	1.65%
093 Cates M					
Polling	3794	1072	98.35%	18	1.65%
Absentee by Mail	3794	151	98.05%	3	1.95%
Advance in Person	3794	101	99.02%	1	0.98%
Provisional	3794	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3794	800	98.04%	16	1.96%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua

	Reg. Voters	DAVID VANLANDINGHAM		Write-In Votes	
Total	3794	2127	98.24%	38	1.76%
094 Cates N					
Polling	2445	676	98.26%	12	1.74%
Absentee by Mail	2445	84	98.82%	1	1.18%
Advance in Person	2445	19	95.00%	1	5.00%
Provisional	2445	3	75.00%	1	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	2445	512	97.34%	14	2.66%
Total	2445	1294	97.81%	29	2.19%
095 Duluth F					
Polling	5413	974	97.79%	22	2.21%
Absentee by Mail	5413	121	96.80%	4	3.20%
Advance in Person	5413	430	96.85%	14	3.15%
Provisional	5413	16	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5413	301	96.78%	10	3.22%
Total	5413	1842	97.36%	50	2.64%
096 Duluth G					
Polling	2775	772	98.22%	14	1.78%
Absentee by Mail	2775	87	96.67%	3	3.33%
Advance in Person	2775	259	97.37%	7	2.63%
Provisional	2775	8	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2775	288	98.29%	5	1.71%
Total	2775	1414	97.99%	29	2.01%
097 Goodwins E					
Polling	4950	1246	97.80%	28	2.20%
Absentee by Mail	4950	164	97.04%	5	2.96%
Advance in Person	4950	113	95.76%	5	4.24%
Provisional	4950	13	86.67%	2	13.33%
Advance in Person 2	4950	724	96.40%	27	3.60%
Total	4950	2260	97.12%	67	2.88%
098 Goodwins F					
Polling	2904	720	98.63%	10	1.37%
Absentee by Mail	2904	85	97.70%	2	2.30%
Advance in Person	2904	51	98.08%	1	1.92%
Provisional	2904	9	90.00%	1	10.00%
Advance in Person 2	2904	448	97.60%	11	2.40%
Total	2904	1313	98.13%	25	1.87%
099 Pinckneyville T					
Polling	2874	682	97.99%	14	2.01%
Absentee by Mail	2874	112	99.12%	1	0.88%
Advance in Person	2874	352	96.44%	13	3.56%
Provisional	2874	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2874	37	94.87%	2	5.13%
Total	2874	1187	97.53%	30	2.47%
100 Suwanee B					
Polling	4085	702	97.77%	16	2.23%
Absentee by Mail	4085	101	96.19%	4	3.81%
Advance in Person	4085	27	100.00%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua				
	Reg. Voters	DAVID VANLANDINGHAM		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	4085	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4085	1194	98.19%	22	1.81%
Total	4085	2028	97.97%	42	2.03%
101 Pinckneyville U					
Polling	2007	670	98.38%	11	1.62%
Absentee by Mail	2007	61	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2007	236	97.93%	5	2.07%
Provisional	2007	6	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2007	25	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2007	998	98.42%	16	1.58%
102 Pucketts B					
Polling	2741	678	98.40%	11	1.60%
Absentee by Mail	2741	69	98.57%	1	1.43%
Advance in Person	2741	660	97.92%	14	2.08%
Provisional	2741	8	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2741	48	94.12%	3	5.88%
Total	2741	1463	98.06%	29	1.94%
103 Berkshire L					
Polling	2915	663	98.22%	12	1.78%
Absentee by Mail	2915	87	97.75%	2	2.25%
Advance in Person	2915	249	98.03%	5	1.97%
Provisional	2915	12	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2915	124	97.64%	3	2.36%
Total	2915	1135	98.10%	22	1.90%
104 Rockbridge F					
Polling	5313	783	97.51%	20	2.49%
Absentee by Mail	5313	150	98.68%	2	1.32%
Advance in Person	5313	28	100.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	5313	13	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5313	1502	96.34%	57	3.66%
Total	5313	2476	96.91%	79	3.09%
105 Sugar Hill F					
Polling	3687	1055	97.96%	22	2.04%
Absentee by Mail	3687	90	97.83%	2	2.17%
Advance in Person	3687	100	98.04%	2	1.96%
Provisional	3687	12	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3687	569	97.77%	13	2.23%
Total	3687	1826	97.91%	39	2.09%
106 Suwanee C					
Polling	4823	956	97.85%	21	2.15%
Absentee by Mail	4823	152	98.06%	3	1.94%
Advance in Person	4823	84	100.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4823	37	92.50%	3	7.50%
Advance in Person 2	4823	954	98.05%	19	1.95%
Total	4823	2183	97.94%	46	2.06%
107 Cates O					
Polling	1342	402	97.81%	9	2.19%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua				
	Reg. Voters	DAVID VANLANDINGHAM		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	1342	28	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1342	145	98.64%	2	1.36%
Provisional	1342	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1342	101	98.06%	2	1.94%
Total	1342	677	98.12%	13	1.88%
108 Baycreek E					
Polling	2863	691	98.43%	11	1.57%
Absentee by Mail	2863	69	97.18%	2	2.82%
Advance in Person	2863	22	100.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2863	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2863	452	97.41%	12	2.59%
Total	2863	1236	98.02%	25	1.98%
109 Berkshire M					
Polling	1638	483	99.18%	4	0.82%
Absentee by Mail	1638	47	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1638	321	96.69%	11	3.31%
Provisional	1638	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1638	33	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	1638	888	98.34%	15	1.66%
110 Martins G					
Polling	2187	534	97.80%	12	2.20%
Absentee by Mail	2187	55	98.21%	1	1.79%
Advance in Person	2187	23	92.00%	2	8.00%
Provisional	2187	6	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2187	270	97.83%	6	2.17%
Total	2187	888	97.69%	21	2.31%
111 Duluth H					
Polling	2662	567	97.09%	17	2.91%
Absentee by Mail	2662	135	97.12%	4	2.88%
Advance in Person	2662	452	98.05%	9	1.95%
Provisional	2662	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2662	91	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2662	1247	97.65%	30	2.35%
112 Berkshire N					
Polling	2836	533	98.70%	7	1.30%
Absentee by Mail	2836	112	99.12%	1	0.88%
Advance in Person	2836	964	98.27%	17	1.73%
Provisional	2836	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2836	32	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2836	1644	98.50%	25	1.50%
113 Pinckneyville V					
Polling	1572	277	98.93%	3	1.07%
Absentee by Mail	1572	45	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1572	260	99.24%	2	0.76%
Provisional	1572	9	90.00%	1	10.00%
Advance in Person 2	1572	8	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	1572	599	99.01%	6	0.99%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua				
	Reg. Voters	DAVID VANLANDINGHAM		Write-In Votes	
114 Pinckneyville W					
Polling	3885	936	98.11%	18	1.89%
Absentee by Mail	3885	114	98.28%	2	1.72%
Advance in Person	3885	547	97.16%	16	2.84%
Provisional	3885	15	93.75%	1	6.25%
Advance in Person 2	3885	38	97.44%	1	2.56%
Total	3885	1650	97.75%	38	2.25%
115 Martins H					
Polling	4487	977	98.29%	17	1.71%
Absentee by Mail	4487	176	98.88%	2	1.12%
Advance in Person	4487	175	98.87%	2	1.13%
Provisional	4487	13	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4487	521	96.66%	18	3.34%
Total	4487	1862	97.95%	39	2.05%
116 Martins I					
Polling	3518	679	97.14%	20	2.86%
Absentee by Mail	3518	127	98.45%	2	1.55%
Advance in Person	3518	235	99.58%	1	0.42%
Provisional	3518	10	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3518	184	94.85%	10	5.15%
Total	3518	1235	97.40%	33	2.60%
117 Martins J					
Polling	3809	782	98.36%	13	1.64%
Absentee by Mail	3809	95	97.94%	2	2.06%
Advance in Person	3809	268	98.17%	5	1.83%
Provisional	3809	15	93.75%	1	6.25%
Advance in Person 2	3809	180	98.36%	3	1.64%
Total	3809	1340	98.24%	24	1.76%
118 Garners F					
Polling	1558	349	97.49%	9	2.51%
Absentee by Mail	1558	30	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1558	342	99.71%	1	0.29%
Provisional	1558	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1558	11	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	1558	735	98.66%	10	1.34%
119 Berkshire O					
Polling	2422	704	98.05%	14	1.95%
Absentee by Mail	2422	86	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2422	392	98.49%	6	1.51%
Provisional	2422	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2422	114	96.61%	4	3.39%
Total	2422	1303	98.19%	24	1.81%
120 Berkshire P					
Polling	1339	297	99.00%	3	1.00%
Absentee by Mail	1339	50	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1339	134	98.53%	2	1.47%
Provisional	1339	7	100.00%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua				
	Reg. Voters	DAVID VANLANDINGHAM		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person 2	1339	39	97.50%	1	2.50%
Total	1339	527	98.87%	6	1.13%
121 Pinckneyville X					
Polling	3198	865	97.96%	18	2.04%
Absentee by Mail	3198	163	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3198	589	97.84%	13	2.16%
Provisional	3198	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3198	48	96.00%	2	4.00%
Total	3198	1668	98.06%	33	1.94%
122 Rockbridge G					
Polling	5188	925	97.78%	21	2.22%
Absentee by Mail	5188	172	96.09%	7	3.91%
Advance in Person	5188	81	95.29%	4	4.71%
Provisional	5188	29	93.55%	2	6.45%
Advance in Person 2	5188	1202	97.17%	35	2.83%
Total	5188	2409	97.22%	69	2.78%
123 Pinckneyville Y					
Polling	3018	607	97.90%	13	2.10%
Absentee by Mail	3018	54	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	3018	321	97.27%	9	2.73%
Provisional	3018	16	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3018	44	95.65%	2	4.35%
Total	3018	1042	97.75%	24	2.25%
124 Goodwins G					
Polling	2448	605	97.11%	18	2.89%
Absentee by Mail	2448	55	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	2448	51	92.73%	4	7.27%
Provisional	2448	9	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2448	302	95.27%	15	4.73%
Total	2448	1022	96.51%	37	3.49%
125 Pinckneyville Z					
Polling	1806	734	97.87%	16	2.13%
Absentee by Mail	1806	71	98.61%	1	1.39%
Advance in Person	1806	250	99.60%	1	0.40%
Provisional	1806	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1806	24	96.00%	1	4.00%
Total	1806	1081	98.27%	19	1.73%
126 Pinckneyville A1					
Polling	2596	978	98.19%	18	1.81%
Absentee by Mail	2596	124	98.41%	2	1.59%
Advance in Person	2596	388	99.49%	2	0.51%
Provisional	2596	6	85.71%	1	14.29%
Advance in Person 2	2596	40	95.24%	2	4.76%
Total	2596	1536	98.40%	25	1.60%
127 Lawrenceville J					
Polling	1959	462	97.47%	12	2.53%
Absentee by Mail	1959	48	92.31%	4	7.69%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua				
	Reg. Voters	DAVID VANLANDINGHAM		Write-In Votes	
Advance in Person	1959	30	96.77%	1	3.23%
Provisional	1959	3	75.00%	1	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	1959	279	97.21%	8	2.79%
Total	1959	822	96.93%	26	3.07%
128 Goodwins H					
Polling	2561	683	98.27%	12	1.73%
Absentee by Mail	2561	87	97.75%	2	2.25%
Advance in Person	2561	41	100.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2561	8	88.89%	1	11.11%
Advance in Person 2	2561	421	96.78%	14	3.22%
Total	2561	1240	97.71%	29	2.29%
129 Duncans B					
Polling	4655	1353	98.47%	21	1.53%
Absentee by Mail	4655	120	97.56%	3	2.44%
Advance in Person	4655	967	98.07%	19	1.93%
Provisional	4655	5	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4655	89	97.80%	2	2.20%
Total	4655	2534	98.26%	45	1.74%
130 Suwanee D					
Polling	4247	1150	99.14%	10	0.86%
Absentee by Mail	4247	126	99.21%	1	0.79%
Advance in Person	4247	66	97.06%	2	2.94%
Provisional	4247	12	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4247	899	97.61%	22	2.39%
Total	4247	2253	98.47%	35	1.53%
131 Suwanee E					
Polling	3921	916	98.92%	10	1.08%
Absentee by Mail	3921	145	98.64%	2	1.36%
Advance in Person	3921	35	100.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3921	18	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3921	1014	98.16%	19	1.84%
Total	3921	2128	98.56%	31	1.44%
132 Lawrenceville K					
Polling	2915	829	98.22%	15	1.78%
Absentee by Mail	2915	82	97.62%	2	2.38%
Advance in Person	2915	144	97.96%	3	2.04%
Provisional	2915	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2915	395	97.05%	12	2.95%
Total	2915	1454	97.85%	32	2.15%
133 Harbins B					
Polling	4220	854	98.61%	12	1.39%
Absentee by Mail	4220	77	98.72%	1	1.28%
Advance in Person	4220	1094	98.65%	15	1.35%
Provisional	4220	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4220	103	99.04%	1	0.96%
Total	4220	2131	98.66%	29	1.34%
134 Baycreek F					

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua				
	Reg. Voters	DAVID VANLANDINGHAM		Write-In Votes	
Polling	4119	948	97.73%	22	2.27%
Absentee by Mail	4119	133	96.38%	5	3.62%
Advance in Person	4119	29	96.67%	1	3.33%
Provisional	4119	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4119	901	98.15%	17	1.85%
Total	4119	2018	97.82%	45	2.18%
135 Pucketts C					
Polling	2931	685	97.72%	16	2.28%
Absentee by Mail	2931	75	98.68%	1	1.32%
Advance in Person	2931	575	97.96%	12	2.04%
Provisional	2931	12	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2931	46	92.00%	4	8.00%
Total	2931	1393	97.69%	33	2.31%
136 Hog Mountain C					
Polling	3832	987	98.70%	13	1.30%
Absentee by Mail	3832	87	98.86%	1	1.14%
Advance in Person	3832	493	96.67%	17	3.33%
Provisional	3832	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3832	164	95.35%	8	4.65%
Total	3832	1735	97.80%	39	2.20%
137 Rockycreek B					
Polling	4677	1148	98.54%	17	1.46%
Absentee by Mail	4677	89	97.80%	2	2.20%
Advance in Person	4677	843	97.34%	23	2.66%
Provisional	4677	5	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4677	166	98.81%	2	1.19%
Total	4677	2251	98.08%	44	1.92%
138 Duluth I					
Polling	4491	980	98.59%	14	1.41%
Absentee by Mail	4491	247	98.41%	4	1.59%
Advance in Person	4491	359	97.55%	9	2.45%
Provisional	4491	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4491	542	97.48%	14	2.52%
Total	4491	2132	98.11%	41	1.89%
139 Martins K					
Polling	4796	1083	97.66%	26	2.34%
Absentee by Mail	4796	131	96.32%	5	3.68%
Advance in Person	4796	156	98.11%	3	1.89%
Provisional	4796	14	93.33%	1	6.67%
Advance in Person 2	4796	542	97.13%	16	2.87%
Total	4796	1926	97.42%	51	2.58%
140 Suwanee H					
Polling	3480	885	98.77%	11	1.23%
Absentee by Mail	3480	161	95.27%	8	4.73%
Advance in Person	3480	44	100.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	3480	14	93.33%	1	6.67%
Advance in Person 2	3480	940	99.05%	9	0.95%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua				
	Reg. Voters	DAVID VANLANDINGHAM		Write-In Votes	
Total	3480	2044	98.60%	29	1.40%
141 Sugar Hill G					
Polling	2601	728	99.45%	4	0.55%
Absentee by Mail	2601	63	95.45%	3	4.55%
Advance in Person	2601	93	93.94%	6	6.06%
Provisional	2601	13	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2601	433	97.30%	12	2.70%
Total	2601	1330	98.15%	25	1.85%
142 Suwanee F					
Polling	3598	867	97.42%	23	2.58%
Absentee by Mail	3598	109	95.61%	5	4.39%
Advance in Person	3598	52	96.30%	2	3.70%
Provisional	3598	11	91.67%	1	8.33%
Advance in Person 2	3598	673	97.40%	18	2.60%
Total	3598	1712	97.22%	49	2.78%
143 Lawrenceville L					
Polling	4355	1058	97.96%	22	2.04%
Absentee by Mail	4355	148	96.73%	5	3.27%
Advance in Person	4355	45	100.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	4355	11	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4355	820	97.16%	24	2.84%
Total	4355	2082	97.61%	51	2.39%
144 Lawrenceville M					
Polling	5956	1127	96.74%	38	3.26%
Absentee by Mail	5956	134	94.37%	8	5.63%
Advance in Person	5956	190	96.45%	7	3.55%
Provisional	5956	15	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5956	860	95.56%	40	4.44%
Total	5956	2326	96.16%	93	3.84%
145 Baycreek G					
Polling	5092	1122	96.97%	35	3.03%
Absentee by Mail	5092	115	95.83%	5	4.17%
Advance in Person	5092	35	97.22%	1	2.78%
Provisional	5092	11	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5092	906	96.28%	35	3.72%
Total	5092	2189	96.64%	76	3.36%
146 Baycreek H					
Polling	5697	1374	97.93%	29	2.07%
Absentee by Mail	5697	167	97.66%	4	2.34%
Advance in Person	5697	75	97.40%	2	2.60%
Provisional	5697	8	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5697	1199	97.72%	28	2.28%
Total	5697	2823	97.82%	63	2.18%
147 Baycreek I					
Polling	5162	999	98.81%	12	1.19%
Absentee by Mail	5162	147	99.32%	1	0.68%
Advance in Person	5162	41	95.35%	2	4.65%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua				
	Reg. Voters	DAVID VANLANDINGHAM		Write-In Votes	
Provisional	5162	8	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5162	1092	96.55%	39	3.45%
Total	5162	2287	97.69%	54	2.31%
148 Berkshire Q					
Polling	1644	449	98.46%	7	1.54%
Absentee by Mail	1644	40	97.56%	1	2.44%
Advance in Person	1644	322	98.47%	5	1.53%
Provisional	1644	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1644	22	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	1644	837	98.47%	13	1.53%
149 Goodwins I					
Polling	2341	736	97.61%	18	2.39%
Absentee by Mail	2341	98	95.15%	5	4.85%
Advance in Person	2341	71	100.00%	0	0.00%
Provisional	2341	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2341	359	97.03%	11	2.97%
Total	2341	1268	97.39%	34	2.61%
150 Duluth K					
Polling	2694	415	98.34%	7	1.66%
Absentee by Mail	2694	41	97.62%	1	2.38%
Advance in Person	2694	290	97.64%	7	2.36%
Provisional	2694	6	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2694	28	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	2694	780	98.11%	15	1.89%
151 Harbins C					
Polling	2369	843	97.80%	19	2.20%
Absentee by Mail	2369	62	95.38%	3	4.62%
Advance in Person	2369	245	96.46%	9	3.54%
Provisional	2369	5	83.33%	1	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	2369	199	96.60%	7	3.40%
Total	2369	1354	97.20%	39	2.80%
152 Rockycreek C					
Polling	4504	1117	98.50%	17	1.50%
Absentee by Mail	4504	105	99.06%	1	0.94%
Advance in Person	4504	979	98.49%	15	1.51%
Provisional	4504	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4504	156	97.50%	4	2.50%
Total	4504	2361	98.46%	37	1.54%
153 Lawrenceville N					
Polling	2343	507	97.69%	12	2.31%
Absentee by Mail	2343	65	97.01%	2	2.99%
Advance in Person	2343	17	94.44%	1	5.56%
Provisional	2343	16	94.12%	1	5.88%
Advance in Person 2	2343	402	96.87%	13	3.13%
Total	2343	1007	97.20%	29	2.80%
154 Pucketts D					
Polling	3166	713	98.75%	9	1.25%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Soil and Water / Suelo y Agua				
	Reg. Voters	DAVID VANLANDINGHAM		Write-In Votes	
Absentee by Mail	3166	100	96.15%	4	3.85%
Advance in Person	3166	687	97.17%	20	2.83%
Provisional	3166	14	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3166	64	100.00%	0	0.00%
Total	3166	1578	97.95%	33	2.05%
155 Suwanee G					
Polling	3451	947	98.54%	14	1.46%
Absentee by Mail	3451	104	95.41%	5	4.59%
Advance in Person	3451	68	97.14%	2	2.86%
Provisional	3451	12	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3451	593	97.53%	15	2.47%
Total	3451	1724	97.95%	36	2.05%
156 Baycreek J					
Polling	4038	826	96.95%	26	3.05%
Absentee by Mail	4038	101	93.52%	7	6.48%
Advance in Person	4038	27	90.00%	3	10.00%
Provisional	4038	6	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4038	736	96.59%	26	3.41%
Total	4038	1696	96.47%	62	3.53%
Total					
Polling	525568	122247	98.03%	2463	1.97%
Absentee by Mail	525568	15408	97.61%	378	2.39%
Advance in Person	525568	48168	97.84%	1065	2.16%
Advance in Person 2	525568	54693	97.19%	1583	2.81%
Provisional	525568	1544	96.50%	56	3.50%
Total	525568	242060	97.76%	5545	2.24%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Jurisdiction Wide					
001 Harbins A					
Polling	6123	1256	82.58%	265	17.42%
Absentee by Mail	6123	153	81.38%	35	18.62%
Advance in Person	6123	891	79.98%	223	20.02%
Provisional	6123	16	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	6123	658	82.77%	137	17.23%
Total	6123	2974	81.84%	660	18.16%
002 Rockbridge A					
Polling	3653	691	85.31%	119	14.69%
Absentee by Mail	3653	120	90.23%	13	9.77%
Advance in Person	3653	99	84.62%	18	15.38%
Provisional	3653	61	82.43%	13	17.57%
Advance in Person 2	3653	944	87.90%	130	12.10%
Total	3653	1915	86.73%	293	13.27%
003 Dacula					
Polling	4478	959	86.47%	150	13.53%
Absentee by Mail	4478	111	89.52%	13	10.48%
Advance in Person	4478	992	84.35%	184	15.65%
Provisional	4478	6	85.71%	1	14.29%
Advance in Person 2	4478	215	91.10%	21	8.90%
Total	4478	2283	86.09%	369	13.91%
004 Suwanee A					
Polling	1551	325	85.75%	54	14.25%
Absentee by Mail	1551	32	72.73%	12	27.27%
Advance in Person	1551	33	89.19%	4	10.81%
Provisional	1551	10	71.43%	4	28.57%
Advance in Person 2	1551	337	87.76%	47	12.24%
Total	1551	737	85.90%	121	14.10%
005 Baycreek A					
Polling	2555	699	80.25%	172	19.75%
Absentee by Mail	2555	90	90.91%	9	9.09%
Advance in Person	2555	27	79.41%	7	20.59%
Provisional	2555	21	77.78%	6	22.22%
Advance in Person 2	2555	577	82.90%	119	17.10%
Total	2555	1414	81.88%	313	18.12%
006 Goodwins A					
Polling	3583	985	85.21%	171	14.79%
Absentee by Mail	3583	104	84.55%	19	15.45%
Advance in Person	3583	95	88.79%	12	11.21%
Provisional	3583	14	87.50%	2	12.50%
Advance in Person 2	3583	572	86.14%	92	13.86%
Total	3583	1770	85.67%	296	14.33%
007 Duluth A					
Polling	4599	1149	83.50%	227	16.50%
Absentee by Mail	4599	185	86.85%	28	13.15%
Advance in Person	4599	664	85.90%	109	14.10%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Provisional	4599	10	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4599	454	85.50%	77	14.50%
Total	4599	2462	84.81%	441	15.19%
008 Duncans A					
Polling	4278	1054	82.41%	225	17.59%
Absentee by Mail	4278	115	89.84%	13	10.16%
Advance in Person	4278	1001	79.95%	251	20.05%
Provisional	4278	12	92.31%	1	7.69%
Advance in Person 2	4278	137	83.54%	27	16.46%
Total	4278	2319	81.77%	517	18.23%
009 Pucketts A					
Polling	4844	869	80.31%	213	19.69%
Absentee by Mail	4844	153	88.44%	20	11.56%
Advance in Person	4844	1471	82.18%	319	17.82%
Provisional	4844	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4844	38	70.37%	16	29.63%
Total	4844	2533	81.68%	568	18.32%
010 Cates A					
Polling	2209	525	82.94%	108	17.06%
Absentee by Mail	2209	115	78.77%	31	21.23%
Advance in Person	2209	17	70.83%	7	29.17%
Provisional	2209	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2209	504	83.86%	97	16.14%
Total	2209	1165	82.74%	243	17.26%
011 Berkshire A					
Polling	2247	569	87.94%	78	12.06%
Absentee by Mail	2247	90	94.74%	5	5.26%
Advance in Person	2247	293	89.06%	36	10.94%
Provisional	2247	10	83.33%	2	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	2247	57	91.94%	5	8.06%
Total	2247	1019	89.00%	126	11.00%
012 Berkshire B					
Polling	5010	777	86.72%	119	13.28%
Absentee by Mail	5010	155	91.18%	15	8.82%
Advance in Person	5010	1260	87.99%	172	12.01%
Provisional	5010	14	77.78%	4	22.22%
Advance in Person 2	5010	65	84.42%	12	15.58%
Total	5010	2271	87.58%	322	12.42%
013 Duncans C					
Polling	2828	804	80.24%	198	19.76%
Absentee by Mail	2828	79	92.94%	6	7.06%
Advance in Person	2828	619	81.77%	138	18.23%
Provisional	2828	5	83.33%	1	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	2828	62	78.48%	17	21.52%
Total	2828	1569	81.34%	360	18.66%
014 Garners A					
Polling	3302	804	81.87%	178	18.13%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Absentee by Mail	3302	115	87.12%	17	12.88%
Advance in Person	3302	847	83.86%	163	16.14%
Provisional	3302	6	66.67%	3	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	3302	94	86.24%	15	13.76%
Total	3302	1866	83.23%	376	16.77%
015 Lawrenceville A					
Polling	3300	602	84.43%	111	15.57%
Absentee by Mail	3300	126	88.11%	17	11.89%
Advance in Person	3300	12	75.00%	4	25.00%
Provisional	3300	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3300	619	83.20%	125	16.80%
Total	3300	1363	84.14%	257	15.86%
016 Lawrenceville B					
Polling	4520	868	84.93%	154	15.07%
Absentee by Mail	4520	155	90.12%	17	9.88%
Advance in Person	4520	38	86.36%	6	13.64%
Provisional	4520	10	83.33%	2	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	4520	1241	86.84%	188	13.16%
Total	4520	2312	86.30%	367	13.70%
017 Martins A					
Polling	3019	745	90.41%	79	9.59%
Absentee by Mail	3019	89	90.82%	9	9.18%
Advance in Person	3019	229	91.97%	20	8.03%
Provisional	3019	9	81.82%	2	18.18%
Advance in Person 2	3019	275	88.71%	35	11.29%
Total	3019	1347	90.28%	145	9.72%
018 Martins B					
Polling	2796	714	86.44%	112	13.56%
Absentee by Mail	2796	80	87.91%	11	12.09%
Advance in Person	2796	80	86.02%	13	13.98%
Provisional	2796	16	84.21%	3	15.79%
Advance in Person 2	2796	393	88.12%	53	11.88%
Total	2796	1283	86.98%	192	13.02%
019 Martins C					
Polling	2349	719	86.63%	111	13.37%
Absentee by Mail	2349	66	88.00%	9	12.00%
Advance in Person	2349	175	87.94%	24	12.06%
Provisional	2349	8	88.89%	1	11.11%
Advance in Person 2	2349	199	83.26%	40	16.74%
Total	2349	1167	86.32%	185	13.68%
020 Pinckneyville A					
Polling	2603	639	90.38%	68	9.62%
Absentee by Mail	2603	76	93.83%	5	6.17%
Advance in Person	2603	349	90.41%	37	9.59%
Provisional	2603	13	81.25%	3	18.75%
Advance in Person 2	2603	49	89.09%	6	10.91%
Total	2603	1126	90.44%	119	9.56%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
021 Pinckneyville B					
Polling	3216	583	88.60%	75	11.40%
Absentee by Mail	3216	103	92.79%	8	7.21%
Advance in Person	3216	514	89.24%	62	10.76%
Provisional	3216	26	92.86%	2	7.14%
Advance in Person 2	3216	20	80.00%	5	20.00%
Total	3216	1246	89.13%	152	10.87%
022 Pinckneyville C					
Polling	1796	661	83.04%	135	16.96%
Absentee by Mail	1796	106	87.60%	15	12.40%
Advance in Person	1796	258	86.29%	41	13.71%
Provisional	1796	3	75.00%	1	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	1796	36	81.82%	8	18.18%
Total	1796	1064	84.18%	200	15.82%
023 Pinckneyville D					
Polling	2042	463	90.08%	51	9.92%
Absentee by Mail	2042	51	83.61%	10	16.39%
Advance in Person	2042	251	89.96%	28	10.04%
Provisional	2042	11	91.67%	1	8.33%
Advance in Person 2	2042	34	85.00%	6	15.00%
Total	2042	810	89.40%	96	10.60%
024 Sugar Hill A					
Polling	4001	869	82.37%	186	17.63%
Absentee by Mail	4001	110	87.30%	16	12.70%
Advance in Person	4001	537	81.24%	124	18.76%
Provisional	4001	14	77.78%	4	22.22%
Advance in Person 2	4001	188	85.84%	31	14.16%
Total	4001	1718	82.64%	361	17.36%
025 Sugar Hill B					
Polling	4835	1223	82.92%	252	17.08%
Absentee by Mail	4835	132	85.71%	22	14.29%
Advance in Person	4835	372	85.52%	63	14.48%
Provisional	4835	15	78.95%	4	21.05%
Advance in Person 2	4835	503	85.40%	86	14.60%
Total	4835	2245	84.02%	427	15.98%
026 Hog Mountain A					
Polling	4467	1114	85.56%	188	14.44%
Absentee by Mail	4467	134	88.74%	17	11.26%
Advance in Person	4467	328	87.23%	48	12.77%
Provisional	4467	16	94.12%	1	5.88%
Advance in Person 2	4467	514	89.24%	62	10.76%
Total	4467	2106	86.95%	316	13.05%
027 Hog Mountain B					
Polling	4211	1099	80.51%	266	19.49%
Absentee by Mail	4211	134	83.23%	27	16.77%
Advance in Person	4211	651	81.17%	151	18.83%
Provisional	4211	11	100.00%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Advance in Person 2	4211	296	86.55%	46	13.45%
Total	4211	2191	81.72%	490	18.28%
028 Rockycreek A					
Polling	5149	1220	82.88%	252	17.12%
Absentee by Mail	5149	103	83.74%	20	16.26%
Advance in Person	5149	1146	79.31%	299	20.69%
Provisional	5149	5	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5149	144	85.71%	24	14.29%
Total	5149	2618	81.48%	595	18.52%
029 Cates B					
Polling	1559	454	82.25%	98	17.75%
Absentee by Mail	1559	46	85.19%	8	14.81%
Advance in Person	1559	141	81.50%	32	18.50%
Provisional	1559	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1559	175	76.75%	53	23.25%
Total	1559	819	81.09%	191	18.91%
030 Cates C					
Polling	2599	632	84.72%	114	15.28%
Absentee by Mail	2599	77	88.51%	10	11.49%
Advance in Person	2599	22	91.67%	2	8.33%
Provisional	2599	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2599	629	84.89%	112	15.11%
Total	2599	1367	85.17%	238	14.83%
031 Hog Mountain D					
Polling	2958	735	80.59%	177	19.41%
Absentee by Mail	2958	95	90.48%	10	9.52%
Advance in Person	2958	279	82.79%	58	17.21%
Provisional	2958	8	80.00%	2	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	2958	261	78.14%	73	21.86%
Total	2958	1378	81.15%	320	18.85%
032 Berkshire D					
Polling	2684	687	83.68%	134	16.32%
Absentee by Mail	2684	108	84.38%	20	15.63%
Advance in Person	2684	621	86.61%	96	13.39%
Provisional	2684	5	83.33%	1	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	2684	61	73.49%	22	26.51%
Total	2684	1482	84.44%	273	15.56%
033 Berkshire E					
Polling	1866	500	78.74%	135	21.26%
Absentee by Mail	1866	70	84.34%	13	15.66%
Advance in Person	1866	439	82.52%	93	17.48%
Provisional	1866	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1866	32	88.89%	4	11.11%
Total	1866	1042	80.96%	245	19.04%
034 Berkshire F					
Polling	2003	537	85.37%	92	14.63%
Absentee by Mail	2003	67	88.16%	9	11.84%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Advance in Person	2003	224	93.33%	16	6.67%
Provisional	2003	10	90.91%	1	9.09%
Advance in Person 2	2003	71	92.21%	6	7.79%
Total	2003	909	88.00%	124	12.00%
035 Cates D - 04					
Polling	2605	687	82.18%	149	17.82%
Absentee by Mail	2605	86	86.00%	14	14.00%
Advance in Person	2605	157	88.20%	21	11.80%
Provisional	2605	6	75.00%	2	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	2605	424	84.13%	80	15.87%
Total	2605	1360	83.64%	266	16.36%
035 Cates D - 07					
Polling	585	164	86.32%	26	13.68%
Absentee by Mail	585	25	92.59%	2	7.41%
Advance in Person	585	66	91.67%	6	8.33%
Provisional	585	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	585	57	82.61%	12	17.39%
Total	585	315	87.26%	46	12.74%
036 Cates E					
Polling	2744	753	81.32%	173	18.68%
Absentee by Mail	2744	100	87.72%	14	12.28%
Advance in Person	2744	411	85.09%	72	14.91%
Provisional	2744	8	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2744	287	84.91%	51	15.09%
Total	2744	1559	83.41%	310	16.59%
037 Pinckneyville E					
Polling	2183	608	89.54%	71	10.46%
Absentee by Mail	2183	48	94.12%	3	5.88%
Advance in Person	2183	232	92.43%	19	7.57%
Provisional	2183	10	90.91%	1	9.09%
Advance in Person 2	2183	20	90.91%	2	9.09%
Total	2183	918	90.53%	96	9.47%
038 Pinckneyville F					
Polling	2301	541	85.20%	94	14.80%
Absentee by Mail	2301	75	86.21%	12	13.79%
Advance in Person	2301	279	87.46%	40	12.54%
Provisional	2301	5	71.43%	2	28.57%
Advance in Person 2	2301	32	88.89%	4	11.11%
Total	2301	932	85.98%	152	14.02%
039 Duncans D					
Polling	6085	1456	80.62%	350	19.38%
Absentee by Mail	6085	175	83.33%	35	16.67%
Advance in Person	6085	1258	80.03%	314	19.97%
Provisional	6085	10	83.33%	2	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	6085	123	74.55%	42	25.45%
Total	6085	3022	80.27%	743	19.73%
040 Pinckneyville H					

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Polling	4126	1146	83.59%	225	16.41%
Absentee by Mail	4126	195	87.44%	28	12.56%
Advance in Person	4126	887	84.48%	163	15.52%
Provisional	4126	12	92.31%	1	7.69%
Advance in Person 2	4126	63	76.83%	19	23.17%
Total	4126	2303	84.08%	436	15.92%
041 Baycreek B					
Polling	5349	1376	84.89%	245	15.11%
Absentee by Mail	5349	184	92.46%	15	7.54%
Advance in Person	5349	42	85.71%	7	14.29%
Provisional	5349	21	87.50%	3	12.50%
Advance in Person 2	5349	1220	87.58%	173	12.42%
Total	5349	2843	86.52%	443	13.48%
042 Lawrenceville C					
Polling	2761	695	78.98%	185	21.02%
Absentee by Mail	2761	76	82.61%	16	17.39%
Advance in Person	2761	272	85.80%	45	14.20%
Provisional	2761	3	75.00%	1	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	2761	297	86.09%	48	13.91%
Total	2761	1343	81.99%	295	18.01%
043 Martins D					
Polling	2059	518	87.95%	71	12.05%
Absentee by Mail	2059	63	91.30%	6	8.70%
Advance in Person	2059	194	90.23%	21	9.77%
Provisional	2059	12	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2059	88	92.63%	7	7.37%
Total	2059	875	89.29%	105	10.71%
044 Rockbridge B					
Polling	3761	840	87.14%	124	12.86%
Absentee by Mail	3761	101	96.19%	4	3.81%
Advance in Person	3761	102	87.93%	14	12.07%
Provisional	3761	10	90.91%	1	9.09%
Advance in Person 2	3761	859	90.33%	92	9.67%
Total	3761	1912	89.05%	235	10.95%
045 Garners B					
Polling	2916	576	82.76%	120	17.24%
Absentee by Mail	2916	97	77.60%	28	22.40%
Advance in Person	2916	566	82.87%	117	17.13%
Provisional	2916	5	83.33%	1	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	2916	333	88.33%	44	11.67%
Total	2916	1577	83.57%	310	16.43%
046 Cates F					
Polling	1689	547	83.00%	112	17.00%
Absentee by Mail	1689	53	85.48%	9	14.52%
Advance in Person	1689	41	80.39%	10	19.61%
Provisional	1689	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1689	281	82.40%	60	17.60%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Total	1689	926	82.90%	191	17.10%
047 Cates G					
Polling	2597	700	77.69%	201	22.31%
Absentee by Mail	2597	98	84.48%	18	15.52%
Advance in Person	2597	88	79.28%	23	20.72%
Provisional	2597	5	83.33%	1	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	2597	559	84.06%	106	15.94%
Total	2597	1450	80.60%	349	19.40%
048 Duluth B					
Polling	3332	745	82.59%	157	17.41%
Absentee by Mail	3332	156	92.31%	13	7.69%
Advance in Person	3332	543	83.54%	107	16.46%
Provisional	3332	9	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3332	124	83.22%	25	16.78%
Total	3332	1577	83.93%	302	16.07%
049 Garners C					
Polling	1908	440	82.86%	91	17.14%
Absentee by Mail	1908	48	94.12%	3	5.88%
Advance in Person	1908	413	84.63%	75	15.37%
Provisional	1908	4	80.00%	1	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	1908	41	91.11%	4	8.89%
Total	1908	946	84.46%	174	15.54%
050 Pinckneyville I					
Polling	2479	600	89.96%	67	10.04%
Absentee by Mail	2479	68	86.08%	11	13.92%
Advance in Person	2479	360	89.78%	41	10.22%
Provisional	2479	15	93.75%	1	6.25%
Advance in Person 2	2479	57	82.61%	12	17.39%
Total	2479	1100	89.29%	132	10.71%
051 Pinckneyville J					
Polling	2543	473	89.58%	55	10.42%
Absentee by Mail	2543	58	82.86%	12	17.14%
Advance in Person	2543	370	89.81%	42	10.19%
Provisional	2543	20	80.00%	5	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	2543	33	91.67%	3	8.33%
Total	2543	954	89.08%	117	10.92%
052 Berkshire G					
Polling	2250	529	83.57%	104	16.43%
Absentee by Mail	2250	66	78.57%	18	21.43%
Advance in Person	2250	614	83.99%	117	16.01%
Provisional	2250	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2250	25	86.21%	4	13.79%
Total	2250	1237	83.58%	243	16.42%
053 Cates H					
Polling	3622	830	85.39%	142	14.61%
Absentee by Mail	3622	102	83.61%	20	16.39%
Advance in Person	3622	39	81.25%	9	18.75%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Provisional	3622	7	70.00%	3	30.00%
Advance in Person 2	3622	745	86.93%	112	13.07%
Total	3622	1723	85.76%	286	14.24%
054 Sugar Hill C					
Polling	4596	1293	81.84%	287	18.16%
Absentee by Mail	4596	139	83.23%	28	16.77%
Advance in Person	4596	373	84.39%	69	15.61%
Provisional	4596	24	88.89%	3	11.11%
Advance in Person 2	4596	483	85.04%	85	14.96%
Total	4596	2312	83.05%	472	16.95%
055 Sugar Hill D					
Polling	4070	1001	83.91%	192	16.09%
Absentee by Mail	4070	111	89.52%	13	10.48%
Advance in Person	4070	697	82.58%	147	17.42%
Provisional	4070	22	81.48%	5	18.52%
Advance in Person 2	4070	121	81.76%	27	18.24%
Total	4070	1952	83.56%	384	16.44%
056 Duluth C					
Polling	2243	559	81.96%	123	18.04%
Absentee by Mail	2243	73	75.26%	24	24.74%
Advance in Person	2243	398	86.15%	64	13.85%
Provisional	2243	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2243	61	87.14%	9	12.86%
Total	2243	1095	83.27%	220	16.73%
057 Duluth D					
Polling	4404	885	84.85%	158	15.15%
Absentee by Mail	4404	177	89.39%	21	10.61%
Advance in Person	4404	867	88.92%	108	11.08%
Provisional	4404	16	88.89%	2	11.11%
Advance in Person 2	4404	112	78.32%	31	21.68%
Total	4404	2057	86.54%	320	13.46%
058 Pinckneyville K					
Polling	3547	782	88.86%	98	11.14%
Absentee by Mail	3547	105	94.59%	6	5.41%
Advance in Person	3547	426	89.50%	50	10.50%
Provisional	3547	23	95.83%	1	4.17%
Advance in Person 2	3547	81	91.01%	8	8.99%
Total	3547	1417	89.68%	163	10.32%
059 Pinckneyville N					
Polling	3717	936	89.06%	115	10.94%
Absentee by Mail	3717	66	90.41%	7	9.59%
Advance in Person	3717	486	89.17%	59	10.83%
Provisional	3717	14	93.33%	1	6.67%
Advance in Person 2	3717	54	96.43%	2	3.57%
Total	3717	1556	89.43%	184	10.57%
060 Lawrenceville D					
Polling	4872	879	86.01%	143	13.99%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Absentee by Mail	4872	110	90.16%	12	9.84%
Advance in Person	4872	69	81.18%	16	18.82%
Provisional	4872	15	68.18%	7	31.82%
Advance in Person 2	4872	755	87.18%	111	12.82%
Total	4872	1828	86.35%	289	13.65%
061 Lawrenceville E					
Polling	2205	509	81.70%	114	18.30%
Absentee by Mail	2205	85	85.00%	15	15.00%
Advance in Person	2205	17	89.47%	2	10.53%
Provisional	2205	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2205	502	82.16%	109	17.84%
Total	2205	1116	82.30%	240	17.70%
062 Pinckneyville L					
Polling	2233	851	77.36%	249	22.64%
Absentee by Mail	2233	108	81.20%	25	18.80%
Advance in Person	2233	302	79.06%	80	20.94%
Provisional	2233	0	0.00%	1	100.00%
Advance in Person 2	2233	29	80.56%	7	19.44%
Total	2233	1290	78.09%	362	21.91%
063 Pinckneyville M					
Polling	2515	916	80.28%	225	19.72%
Absentee by Mail	2515	140	82.84%	29	17.16%
Advance in Person	2515	366	79.91%	92	20.09%
Provisional	2515	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2515	17	85.00%	3	15.00%
Total	2515	1446	80.56%	349	19.44%
064 Garners D					
Polling	3127	740	85.75%	123	14.25%
Absentee by Mail	3127	94	88.68%	12	11.32%
Advance in Person	3127	545	87.20%	80	12.80%
Provisional	3127	8	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3127	240	82.76%	50	17.24%
Total	3127	1627	85.99%	265	14.01%
065 Berkshire H					
Polling	1717	383	85.11%	67	14.89%
Absentee by Mail	1717	50	89.29%	6	10.71%
Advance in Person	1717	282	86.77%	43	13.23%
Provisional	1717	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1717	29	85.29%	5	14.71%
Total	1717	751	86.12%	121	13.88%
066 Pinckneyville O					
Polling	3267	700	91.86%	62	8.14%
Absentee by Mail	3267	72	94.74%	4	5.26%
Advance in Person	3267	557	89.69%	64	10.31%
Provisional	3267	23	85.19%	4	14.81%
Advance in Person 2	3267	25	89.29%	3	10.71%
Total	3267	1377	90.95%	137	9.05%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
067 Pucketts E					
Polling	4771	973	85.28%	168	14.72%
Absentee by Mail	4771	156	87.64%	22	12.36%
Advance in Person	4771	995	82.23%	215	17.77%
Provisional	4771	27	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4771	133	82.61%	28	17.39%
Total	4771	2284	84.06%	433	15.94%
068 Cates I					
Polling	3395	944	81.59%	213	18.41%
Absentee by Mail	3395	119	87.50%	17	12.50%
Advance in Person	3395	175	88.38%	23	11.62%
Provisional	3395	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3395	550	85.27%	95	14.73%
Total	3395	1795	83.76%	348	16.24%
069 Cates J					
Polling	3266	927	84.04%	176	15.96%
Absentee by Mail	3266	104	85.95%	17	14.05%
Advance in Person	3266	536	86.04%	87	13.96%
Provisional	3266	9	81.82%	2	18.18%
Advance in Person 2	3266	195	89.86%	22	10.14%
Total	3266	1771	85.35%	304	14.65%
070 Goodwins B					
Polling	3604	964	81.49%	219	18.51%
Absentee by Mail	3604	115	76.67%	35	23.33%
Advance in Person	3604	173	85.22%	30	14.78%
Provisional	3604	5	83.33%	1	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	3604	558	82.06%	122	17.94%
Total	3604	1815	81.68%	407	18.32%
071 Lawrenceville F					
Polling	3698	757	86.71%	116	13.29%
Absentee by Mail	3698	135	90.00%	15	10.00%
Advance in Person	3698	50	87.72%	7	12.28%
Provisional	3698	14	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3698	892	87.02%	133	12.98%
Total	3698	1848	87.21%	271	12.79%
072 Martins E					
Polling	5934	1059	88.55%	137	11.45%
Absentee by Mail	5934	169	94.94%	9	5.06%
Advance in Person	5934	646	93.22%	47	6.78%
Provisional	5934	50	96.15%	2	3.85%
Advance in Person 2	5934	220	90.16%	24	9.84%
Total	5934	2144	90.73%	219	9.27%
073 Pinckneyville P					
Polling	2048	750	85.13%	131	14.87%
Absentee by Mail	2048	98	85.22%	17	14.78%
Advance in Person	2048	234	83.27%	47	16.73%
Provisional	2048	7	100.00%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Advance in Person 2	2048	22	95.65%	1	4.35%
Total	2048	1111	85.00%	196	15.00%
074 Pinckneyville Q					
Polling	1725	536	90.24%	58	9.76%
Absentee by Mail	1725	35	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person	1725	172	92.47%	14	7.53%
Provisional	1725	9	90.00%	1	10.00%
Advance in Person 2	1725	35	97.22%	1	2.78%
Total	1725	787	91.41%	74	8.59%
075 Rockbridge C					
Polling	4722	1039	86.80%	158	13.20%
Absentee by Mail	4722	173	92.02%	15	7.98%
Advance in Person	4722	145	87.35%	21	12.65%
Provisional	4722	15	93.75%	1	6.25%
Advance in Person 2	4722	1281	89.08%	157	10.92%
Total	4722	2653	88.29%	352	11.71%
076 Rockbridge D					
Polling	3359	702	85.71%	117	14.29%
Absentee by Mail	3359	129	90.85%	13	9.15%
Advance in Person	3359	30	85.71%	5	14.29%
Provisional	3359	20	83.33%	4	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	3359	951	86.14%	153	13.86%
Total	3359	1832	86.25%	292	13.75%
077 Goodwins C					
Polling	5106	1160	85.99%	189	14.01%
Absentee by Mail	5106	189	92.20%	16	7.80%
Advance in Person	5106	245	88.45%	32	11.55%
Provisional	5106	12	80.00%	3	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	5106	731	87.23%	107	12.77%
Total	5106	2337	87.07%	347	12.93%
078 Baycreek K					
Polling	3536	809	86.52%	126	13.48%
Absentee by Mail	3536	135	91.22%	13	8.78%
Advance in Person	3536	30	85.71%	5	14.29%
Provisional	3536	9	90.00%	1	10.00%
Advance in Person 2	3536	950	83.41%	189	16.59%
Total	3536	1933	85.27%	334	14.73%
079 Cates K					
Polling	2531	798	82.95%	164	17.05%
Absentee by Mail	2531	65	91.55%	6	8.45%
Advance in Person	2531	93	78.15%	26	21.85%
Provisional	2531	6	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2531	399	84.71%	72	15.29%
Total	2531	1361	83.55%	268	16.45%
080 Baycreek C					
Polling	5755	1345	82.77%	280	17.23%
Absentee by Mail	5755	160	86.49%	25	13.51%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Advance in Person	5755	77	78.57%	21	21.43%
Provisional	5755	11	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5755	1561	87.30%	227	12.70%
Total	5755	3154	85.08%	553	14.92%
081 Cates L					
Polling	3751	929	83.92%	178	16.08%
Absentee by Mail	3751	114	89.06%	14	10.94%
Advance in Person	3751	41	93.18%	3	6.82%
Provisional	3751	9	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3751	847	83.94%	162	16.06%
Total	3751	1940	84.46%	357	15.54%
082 Duluth E					
Polling	4580	1021	88.71%	130	11.29%
Absentee by Mail	4580	144	93.51%	10	6.49%
Advance in Person	4580	849	86.37%	134	13.63%
Provisional	4580	16	84.21%	3	15.79%
Advance in Person 2	4580	87	82.08%	19	17.92%
Total	4580	2117	87.73%	296	12.27%
083 Goodwins D					
Polling	3985	1045	82.67%	219	17.33%
Absentee by Mail	3985	123	89.13%	15	10.87%
Advance in Person	3985	91	87.50%	13	12.50%
Provisional	3985	14	73.68%	5	26.32%
Advance in Person 2	3985	618	81.96%	136	18.04%
Total	3985	1891	82.97%	388	17.03%
084 Lawrenceville G					
Polling	2783	670	87.70%	94	12.30%
Absentee by Mail	2783	80	90.91%	8	9.09%
Advance in Person	2783	32	86.49%	5	13.51%
Provisional	2783	18	90.00%	2	10.00%
Advance in Person 2	2783	470	84.84%	84	15.16%
Total	2783	1270	86.81%	193	13.19%
085 Lawrenceville H					
Polling	3899	976	82.22%	211	17.78%
Absentee by Mail	3899	138	87.34%	20	12.66%
Advance in Person	3899	196	83.05%	40	16.95%
Provisional	3899	10	90.91%	1	9.09%
Advance in Person 2	3899	634	82.77%	132	17.23%
Total	3899	1954	82.87%	404	17.13%
086 Martins F					
Polling	2401	580	85.67%	97	14.33%
Absentee by Mail	2401	74	89.16%	9	10.84%
Advance in Person	2401	281	87.81%	39	12.19%
Provisional	2401	9	90.00%	1	10.00%
Advance in Person 2	2401	93	91.18%	9	8.82%
Total	2401	1037	87.00%	155	13.00%
087 Pinckneyville S					

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Polling	3013	808	85.59%	136	14.41%
Absentee by Mail	3013	119	84.40%	22	15.60%
Advance in Person	3013	416	89.46%	49	10.54%
Provisional	3013	8	80.00%	2	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	3013	51	86.44%	8	13.56%
Total	3013	1402	86.60%	217	13.40%
088 Rockbridge E					
Polling	4199	951	84.53%	174	15.47%
Absentee by Mail	4199	108	93.91%	7	6.09%
Advance in Person	4199	133	84.18%	25	15.82%
Provisional	4199	36	94.74%	2	5.26%
Advance in Person 2	4199	740	90.46%	78	9.54%
Total	4199	1968	87.31%	286	12.69%
089 Sugar Hill E					
Polling	3061	771	83.26%	155	16.74%
Absentee by Mail	3061	99	86.09%	16	13.91%
Advance in Person	3061	164	84.54%	30	15.46%
Provisional	3061	16	88.89%	2	11.11%
Advance in Person 2	3061	486	83.22%	98	16.78%
Total	3061	1536	83.61%	301	16.39%
090 Lawrenceville I					
Polling	3140	792	86.56%	123	13.44%
Absentee by Mail	3140	108	90.76%	11	9.24%
Advance in Person	3140	123	87.86%	17	12.14%
Provisional	3140	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3140	451	85.26%	78	14.74%
Total	3140	1481	86.61%	229	13.39%
091 Baycreek D					
Polling	4157	997	82.53%	211	17.47%
Absentee by Mail	4157	137	84.57%	25	15.43%
Advance in Person	4157	32	86.49%	5	13.51%
Provisional	4157	9	90.00%	1	10.00%
Advance in Person 2	4157	1083	86.29%	172	13.71%
Total	4157	2258	84.51%	414	15.49%
092 Berkshire J					
Polling	2595	663	80.85%	157	19.15%
Absentee by Mail	2595	78	86.67%	12	13.33%
Advance in Person	2595	665	83.13%	135	16.88%
Provisional	2595	6	85.71%	1	14.29%
Advance in Person 2	2595	63	84.00%	12	16.00%
Total	2595	1475	82.31%	317	17.69%
093 Cates M					
Polling	3794	1069	84.17%	201	15.83%
Absentee by Mail	3794	169	89.89%	19	10.11%
Advance in Person	3794	104	83.87%	20	16.13%
Provisional	3794	5	83.33%	1	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	3794	808	83.38%	161	16.62%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Total	3794	2155	84.28%	402	15.72%
094 Cates N					
Polling	2445	646	80.65%	155	19.35%
Absentee by Mail	2445	89	84.76%	16	15.24%
Advance in Person	2445	23	92.00%	2	8.00%
Provisional	2445	4	80.00%	1	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	2445	510	77.86%	145	22.14%
Total	2445	1272	79.95%	319	20.05%
095 Duluth F					
Polling	5413	1120	89.31%	134	10.69%
Absentee by Mail	5413	155	91.18%	15	8.82%
Advance in Person	5413	526	88.55%	68	11.45%
Provisional	5413	19	79.17%	5	20.83%
Advance in Person 2	5413	386	89.56%	45	10.44%
Total	5413	2206	89.20%	267	10.80%
096 Duluth G					
Polling	2775	739	80.94%	174	19.06%
Absentee by Mail	2775	100	92.59%	8	7.41%
Advance in Person	2775	278	83.48%	55	16.52%
Provisional	2775	9	90.00%	1	10.00%
Advance in Person 2	2775	281	80.98%	66	19.02%
Total	2775	1407	82.23%	304	17.77%
097 Goodwins E					
Polling	4950	1273	84.19%	239	15.81%
Absentee by Mail	4950	204	89.87%	23	10.13%
Advance in Person	4950	146	87.95%	20	12.05%
Provisional	4950	15	88.24%	2	11.76%
Advance in Person 2	4950	797	85.61%	134	14.39%
Total	4950	2435	85.35%	418	14.65%
098 Goodwins F					
Polling	2904	738	84.05%	140	15.95%
Absentee by Mail	2904	122	93.85%	8	6.15%
Advance in Person	2904	54	84.38%	10	15.63%
Provisional	2904	10	90.91%	1	9.09%
Advance in Person 2	2904	496	87.48%	71	12.52%
Total	2904	1420	86.06%	230	13.94%
099 Pinckneyville T					
Polling	2874	756	88.21%	101	11.79%
Absentee by Mail	2874	128	94.12%	8	5.88%
Advance in Person	2874	412	88.41%	54	11.59%
Provisional	2874	6	85.71%	1	14.29%
Advance in Person 2	2874	39	88.64%	5	11.36%
Total	2874	1341	88.81%	169	11.19%
100 Suwanee B					
Polling	4085	732	85.31%	126	14.69%
Absentee by Mail	4085	118	88.06%	16	11.94%
Advance in Person	4085	26	86.67%	4	13.33%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Provisional	4085	5	83.33%	1	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	4085	1237	84.21%	232	15.79%
Total	4085	2118	84.82%	379	15.18%
101 Pinckneyville U					
Polling	2007	697	85.84%	115	14.16%
Absentee by Mail	2007	63	87.50%	9	12.50%
Advance in Person	2007	252	88.42%	33	11.58%
Provisional	2007	5	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2007	26	86.67%	4	13.33%
Total	2007	1043	86.63%	161	13.37%
102 Pucketts B					
Polling	2741	672	83.17%	136	16.83%
Absentee by Mail	2741	73	90.12%	8	9.88%
Advance in Person	2741	644	78.16%	180	21.84%
Provisional	2741	5	55.56%	4	44.44%
Advance in Person 2	2741	47	75.81%	15	24.19%
Total	2741	1441	80.77%	343	19.23%
103 Berkshire L					
Polling	2915	785	87.22%	115	12.78%
Absentee by Mail	2915	110	94.83%	6	5.17%
Advance in Person	2915	297	89.73%	34	10.27%
Provisional	2915	12	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2915	159	87.85%	22	12.15%
Total	2915	1363	88.51%	177	11.49%
104 Rockbridge F					
Polling	5313	881	85.95%	144	14.05%
Absentee by Mail	5313	171	85.93%	28	14.07%
Advance in Person	5313	32	86.49%	5	13.51%
Provisional	5313	14	82.35%	3	17.65%
Advance in Person 2	5313	1820	87.33%	264	12.67%
Total	5313	2918	86.79%	444	13.21%
105 Sugar Hill F					
Polling	3687	1040	83.27%	209	16.73%
Absentee by Mail	3687	94	88.68%	12	11.32%
Advance in Person	3687	106	86.89%	16	13.11%
Provisional	3687	13	92.86%	1	7.14%
Advance in Person 2	3687	564	82.94%	116	17.06%
Total	3687	1817	83.69%	354	16.31%
106 Suwanee C					
Polling	4823	1043	85.99%	170	14.01%
Absentee by Mail	4823	174	87.44%	25	12.56%
Advance in Person	4823	87	87.00%	13	13.00%
Provisional	4823	43	86.00%	7	14.00%
Advance in Person 2	4823	996	82.72%	208	17.28%
Total	4823	2343	84.71%	423	15.29%
107 Cates O					
Polling	1342	406	83.20%	82	16.80%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Absentee by Mail	1342	34	89.47%	4	10.53%
Advance in Person	1342	161	86.56%	25	13.44%
Provisional	1342	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1342	109	81.95%	24	18.05%
Total	1342	711	84.04%	135	15.96%
108 Baycreek E					
Polling	2863	771	84.35%	143	15.65%
Absentee by Mail	2863	87	87.88%	12	12.12%
Advance in Person	2863	27	90.00%	3	10.00%
Provisional	2863	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2863	541	84.40%	100	15.60%
Total	2863	1430	84.72%	258	15.28%
109 Berkshire M					
Polling	1638	465	82.30%	100	17.70%
Absentee by Mail	1638	43	79.63%	11	20.37%
Advance in Person	1638	340	80.76%	81	19.24%
Provisional	1638	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1638	30	76.92%	9	23.08%
Total	1638	882	81.44%	201	18.56%
110 Martins G					
Polling	2187	575	86.47%	90	13.53%
Absentee by Mail	2187	61	88.41%	8	11.59%
Advance in Person	2187	31	88.57%	4	11.43%
Provisional	2187	8	88.89%	1	11.11%
Advance in Person 2	2187	313	90.20%	34	9.80%
Total	2187	988	87.82%	137	12.18%
111 Duluth H					
Polling	2662	617	85.46%	105	14.54%
Absentee by Mail	2662	142	79.33%	37	20.67%
Advance in Person	2662	481	83.51%	95	16.49%
Provisional	2662	3	75.00%	1	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	2662	101	88.60%	13	11.40%
Total	2662	1344	84.26%	251	15.74%
112 Berkshire N					
Polling	2836	507	78.73%	137	21.27%
Absentee by Mail	2836	104	86.67%	16	13.33%
Advance in Person	2836	965	81.23%	223	18.77%
Provisional	2836	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2836	26	68.42%	12	31.58%
Total	2836	1606	80.54%	388	19.46%
113 Pinckneyville V					
Polling	1572	319	84.84%	57	15.16%
Absentee by Mail	1572	57	91.94%	5	8.06%
Advance in Person	1572	298	88.69%	38	11.31%
Provisional	1572	11	84.62%	2	15.38%
Advance in Person 2	1572	10	83.33%	2	16.67%
Total	1572	695	86.98%	104	13.02%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
114 Pinckneyville W					
Polling	3885	993	82.68%	208	17.32%
Absentee by Mail	3885	129	88.97%	16	11.03%
Advance in Person	3885	605	84.26%	113	15.74%
Provisional	3885	16	88.89%	2	11.11%
Advance in Person 2	3885	37	80.43%	9	19.57%
Total	3885	1780	83.65%	348	16.35%
115 Martins H					
Polling	4487	1095	86.15%	176	13.85%
Absentee by Mail	4487	191	89.67%	22	10.33%
Advance in Person	4487	200	89.29%	24	10.71%
Provisional	4487	13	92.86%	1	7.14%
Advance in Person 2	4487	623	86.77%	95	13.23%
Total	4487	2122	86.97%	318	13.03%
116 Martins I					
Polling	3518	815	87.54%	116	12.46%
Absentee by Mail	3518	138	93.24%	10	6.76%
Advance in Person	3518	285	88.51%	37	11.49%
Provisional	3518	12	80.00%	3	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	3518	229	89.80%	26	10.20%
Total	3518	1479	88.51%	192	11.49%
117 Martins J					
Polling	3809	934	90.59%	97	9.41%
Absentee by Mail	3809	113	94.17%	7	5.83%
Advance in Person	3809	321	90.17%	35	9.83%
Provisional	3809	15	88.24%	2	11.76%
Advance in Person 2	3809	238	88.48%	31	11.52%
Total	3809	1621	90.41%	172	9.59%
118 Garners F					
Polling	1558	393	84.33%	73	15.67%
Absentee by Mail	1558	34	85.00%	6	15.00%
Advance in Person	1558	396	84.62%	72	15.38%
Provisional	1558	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1558	14	87.50%	2	12.50%
Total	1558	841	84.61%	153	15.39%
119 Berkshire O					
Polling	2422	731	84.31%	136	15.69%
Absentee by Mail	2422	92	89.32%	11	10.68%
Advance in Person	2422	381	79.38%	99	20.63%
Provisional	2422	6	66.67%	3	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	2422	125	86.21%	20	13.79%
Total	2422	1335	83.23%	269	16.77%
120 Berkshire P					
Polling	1339	338	88.25%	45	11.75%
Absentee by Mail	1339	53	91.38%	5	8.62%
Advance in Person	1339	170	91.89%	15	8.11%
Provisional	1339	8	100.00%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Advance in Person 2	1339	47	82.46%	10	17.54%
Total	1339	616	89.15%	75	10.85%
121 Pinckneyville X					
Polling	3198	864	80.22%	213	19.78%
Absentee by Mail	3198	186	87.32%	27	12.68%
Advance in Person	3198	636	86.77%	97	13.23%
Provisional	3198	5	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3198	62	87.32%	9	12.68%
Total	3198	1753	83.52%	346	16.48%
122 Rockbridge G					
Polling	5188	995	87.20%	146	12.80%
Absentee by Mail	5188	213	91.81%	19	8.19%
Advance in Person	5188	98	90.74%	10	9.26%
Provisional	5188	35	94.59%	2	5.41%
Advance in Person 2	5188	1399	88.04%	190	11.96%
Total	5188	2740	88.19%	367	11.81%
123 Pinckneyville Y					
Polling	3018	690	90.55%	72	9.45%
Absentee by Mail	3018	54	88.52%	7	11.48%
Advance in Person	3018	397	89.21%	48	10.79%
Provisional	3018	20	90.91%	2	9.09%
Advance in Person 2	3018	55	88.71%	7	11.29%
Total	3018	1216	89.94%	136	10.06%
124 Goodwins G					
Polling	2448	670	88.98%	83	11.02%
Absentee by Mail	2448	64	92.75%	5	7.25%
Advance in Person	2448	59	86.76%	9	13.24%
Provisional	2448	8	80.00%	2	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	2448	356	86.41%	56	13.59%
Total	2448	1157	88.19%	155	11.81%
125 Pinckneyville Z					
Polling	1806	681	78.91%	182	21.09%
Absentee by Mail	1806	78	82.11%	17	17.89%
Advance in Person	1806	248	81.58%	56	18.42%
Provisional	1806	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1806	23	76.67%	7	23.33%
Total	1806	1032	79.75%	262	20.25%
126 Pinckneyville A1					
Polling	2596	929	80.02%	232	19.98%
Absentee by Mail	2596	135	84.38%	25	15.63%
Advance in Person	2596	385	81.57%	87	18.43%
Provisional	2596	6	85.71%	1	14.29%
Advance in Person 2	2596	37	78.72%	10	21.28%
Total	2596	1492	80.78%	355	19.22%
127 Lawrenceville J					
Polling	1959	493	80.16%	122	19.84%
Absentee by Mail	1959	71	91.03%	7	8.97%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Advance in Person	1959	42	87.50%	6	12.50%
Provisional	1959	2	66.67%	1	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	1959	339	89.45%	40	10.55%
Total	1959	947	84.33%	176	15.67%
128 Goodwins H					
Polling	2561	700	84.34%	130	15.66%
Absentee by Mail	2561	94	87.04%	14	12.96%
Advance in Person	2561	49	92.45%	4	7.55%
Provisional	2561	10	83.33%	2	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	2561	475	88.45%	62	11.55%
Total	2561	1328	86.23%	212	13.77%
129 Duncans B					
Polling	4655	1288	81.11%	300	18.89%
Absentee by Mail	4655	130	89.66%	15	10.34%
Advance in Person	4655	939	82.22%	203	17.78%
Provisional	4655	4	80.00%	1	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	4655	94	84.68%	17	15.32%
Total	4655	2455	82.08%	536	17.92%
130 Suwanee D					
Polling	4247	1137	81.86%	252	18.14%
Absentee by Mail	4247	140	84.34%	26	15.66%
Advance in Person	4247	79	89.77%	9	10.23%
Provisional	4247	11	84.62%	2	15.38%
Advance in Person 2	4247	895	79.70%	228	20.30%
Total	4247	2262	81.40%	517	18.60%
131 Suwanee E					
Polling	3921	917	84.44%	169	15.56%
Absentee by Mail	3921	160	88.40%	21	11.60%
Advance in Person	3921	39	88.64%	5	11.36%
Provisional	3921	18	94.74%	1	5.26%
Advance in Person 2	3921	1043	82.98%	214	17.02%
Total	3921	2177	84.15%	410	15.85%
132 Lawrenceville K					
Polling	2915	831	82.36%	178	17.64%
Absentee by Mail	2915	95	87.96%	13	12.04%
Advance in Person	2915	137	77.40%	40	22.60%
Provisional	2915	5	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2915	438	85.21%	76	14.79%
Total	2915	1506	83.07%	307	16.93%
133 Harbins B					
Polling	4220	836	84.96%	148	15.04%
Absentee by Mail	4220	78	82.11%	17	17.89%
Advance in Person	4220	1048	81.18%	243	18.82%
Provisional	4220	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4220	131	90.97%	13	9.03%
Total	4220	2097	83.28%	421	16.72%
134 Baycreek F					

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Polling	4119	1040	86.67%	160	13.33%
Absentee by Mail	4119	149	86.13%	24	13.87%
Advance in Person	4119	32	86.49%	5	13.51%
Provisional	4119	9	90.00%	1	10.00%
Advance in Person 2	4119	1001	86.00%	163	14.00%
Total	4119	2231	86.34%	353	13.66%
135 Pucketts C					
Polling	2931	694	82.82%	144	17.18%
Absentee by Mail	2931	88	89.80%	10	10.20%
Advance in Person	2931	579	80.87%	137	19.13%
Provisional	2931	13	92.86%	1	7.14%
Advance in Person 2	2931	67	89.33%	8	10.67%
Total	2931	1441	82.77%	300	17.23%
136 Hog Mountain C					
Polling	3832	1024	84.07%	194	15.93%
Absentee by Mail	3832	106	86.89%	16	13.11%
Advance in Person	3832	542	83.38%	108	16.62%
Provisional	3832	3	75.00%	1	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	3832	186	83.78%	36	16.22%
Total	3832	1861	83.98%	355	16.02%
137 Rockycreek B					
Polling	4677	1161	84.87%	207	15.13%
Absentee by Mail	4677	109	88.62%	14	11.38%
Advance in Person	4677	912	85.31%	157	14.69%
Provisional	4677	8	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4677	187	82.38%	40	17.62%
Total	4677	2377	85.04%	418	14.96%
138 Duluth I					
Polling	4491	1065	85.82%	176	14.18%
Absentee by Mail	4491	250	85.62%	42	14.38%
Advance in Person	4491	392	85.96%	64	14.04%
Provisional	4491	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4491	555	82.71%	116	17.29%
Total	4491	2266	85.06%	398	14.94%
139 Martins K					
Polling	4796	1228	87.22%	180	12.78%
Absentee by Mail	4796	155	93.37%	11	6.63%
Advance in Person	4796	190	92.68%	15	7.32%
Provisional	4796	13	76.47%	4	23.53%
Advance in Person 2	4796	706	88.25%	94	11.75%
Total	4796	2292	88.29%	304	11.71%
140 Suwanee H					
Polling	3480	863	83.30%	173	16.70%
Absentee by Mail	3480	149	81.42%	34	18.58%
Advance in Person	3480	45	90.00%	5	10.00%
Provisional	3480	15	83.33%	3	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	3480	903	82.84%	187	17.16%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Total	3480	1975	83.09%	402	16.91%
141 Sugar Hill G					
Polling	2601	730	83.33%	146	16.67%
Absentee by Mail	2601	77	87.50%	11	12.50%
Advance in Person	2601	118	90.08%	13	9.92%
Provisional	2601	13	92.86%	1	7.14%
Advance in Person 2	2601	490	85.22%	85	14.78%
Total	2601	1428	84.80%	256	15.20%
142 Suwanee F					
Polling	3598	897	83.06%	183	16.94%
Absentee by Mail	3598	132	92.31%	11	7.69%
Advance in Person	3598	62	87.32%	9	12.68%
Provisional	3598	11	91.67%	1	8.33%
Advance in Person 2	3598	717	84.55%	131	15.45%
Total	3598	1819	84.45%	335	15.55%
143 Lawrenceville L					
Polling	4355	1119	85.49%	190	14.51%
Absentee by Mail	4355	163	89.07%	20	10.93%
Advance in Person	4355	42	79.25%	11	20.75%
Provisional	4355	10	76.92%	3	23.08%
Advance in Person 2	4355	954	86.18%	153	13.82%
Total	4355	2288	85.85%	377	14.15%
144 Lawrenceville M					
Polling	5956	1277	85.36%	219	14.64%
Absentee by Mail	5956	166	93.79%	11	6.21%
Advance in Person	5956	232	87.88%	32	12.12%
Provisional	5956	18	90.00%	2	10.00%
Advance in Person 2	5956	1138	89.25%	137	10.75%
Total	5956	2831	87.59%	401	12.41%
145 Baycreek G					
Polling	5092	1316	86.47%	206	13.53%
Absentee by Mail	5092	144	85.71%	24	14.29%
Advance in Person	5092	46	86.79%	7	13.21%
Provisional	5092	13	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5092	1280	89.95%	143	10.05%
Total	5092	2799	88.05%	380	11.95%
146 Baycreek H					
Polling	5697	1373	80.67%	329	19.33%
Absentee by Mail	5697	188	89.52%	22	10.48%
Advance in Person	5697	74	76.29%	23	23.71%
Provisional	5697	7	87.50%	1	12.50%
Advance in Person 2	5697	1340	83.85%	258	16.15%
Total	5697	2982	82.49%	633	17.51%
147 Baycreek I					
Polling	5162	1077	84.54%	197	15.46%
Absentee by Mail	5162	152	79.58%	39	20.42%
Advance in Person	5162	45	83.33%	9	16.67%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Provisional	5162	10	76.92%	3	23.08%
Advance in Person 2	5162	1278	84.97%	226	15.03%
Total	5162	2562	84.39%	474	15.61%
148 Berkshire Q					
Polling	1644	449	83.30%	90	16.70%
Absentee by Mail	1644	43	82.69%	9	17.31%
Advance in Person	1644	324	82.03%	71	17.97%
Provisional	1644	2	50.00%	2	50.00%
Advance in Person 2	1644	20	74.07%	7	25.93%
Total	1644	838	82.40%	179	17.60%
149 Goodwins I					
Polling	2341	698	79.50%	180	20.50%
Absentee by Mail	2341	112	87.50%	16	12.50%
Advance in Person	2341	77	92.77%	6	7.23%
Provisional	2341	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2341	379	84.22%	71	15.78%
Total	2341	1273	82.34%	273	17.66%
150 Duluth K					
Polling	2694	478	89.35%	57	10.65%
Absentee by Mail	2694	48	88.89%	6	11.11%
Advance in Person	2694	346	91.05%	34	8.95%
Provisional	2694	7	87.50%	1	12.50%
Advance in Person 2	2694	34	87.18%	5	12.82%
Total	2694	913	89.86%	103	10.14%
151 Harbins C					
Polling	2369	757	79.77%	192	20.23%
Absentee by Mail	2369	67	89.33%	8	10.67%
Advance in Person	2369	245	83.33%	49	16.67%
Provisional	2369	6	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2369	248	88.26%	33	11.74%
Total	2369	1323	82.43%	282	17.57%
152 Rockycreek C					
Polling	4504	1118	84.06%	212	15.94%
Absentee by Mail	4504	105	85.37%	18	14.63%
Advance in Person	4504	1012	83.57%	199	16.43%
Provisional	4504	5	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4504	187	89.47%	22	10.53%
Total	4504	2427	84.33%	451	15.67%
153 Lawrenceville N					
Polling	2343	510	83.20%	103	16.80%
Absentee by Mail	2343	66	86.84%	10	13.16%
Advance in Person	2343	19	90.48%	2	9.52%
Provisional	2343	15	71.43%	6	28.57%
Advance in Person 2	2343	458	90.16%	50	9.84%
Total	2343	1068	86.20%	171	13.80%
154 Pucketts D					
Polling	3166	739	84.17%	139	15.83%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 1 / CONST ENMIENDAS 1				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Absentee by Mail	3166	116	85.29%	20	14.71%
Advance in Person	3166	687	80.44%	167	19.56%
Provisional	3166	12	80.00%	3	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	3166	57	74.03%	20	25.97%
Total	3166	1611	82.19%	349	17.81%
155 Suwanee G					
Polling	3451	933	82.13%	203	17.87%
Absentee by Mail	3451	121	88.97%	15	11.03%
Advance in Person	3451	80	94.12%	5	5.88%
Provisional	3451	12	92.31%	1	7.69%
Advance in Person 2	3451	647	85.58%	109	14.42%
Total	3451	1793	84.34%	333	15.66%
156 Baycreek J					
Polling	4038	1017	85.97%	166	14.03%
Absentee by Mail	4038	143	91.67%	13	8.33%
Advance in Person	4038	44	84.62%	8	15.38%
Provisional	4038	8	88.89%	1	11.11%
Advance in Person 2	4038	1010	87.90%	139	12.10%
Total	4038	2222	87.17%	327	12.83%
Total					
Polling	525568	127898	84.24%	23919	15.76%
Absentee by Mail	525568	17248	87.92%	2369	12.08%
Advance in Person	525568	51867	84.65%	9403	15.35%
Advance in Person 2	525568	62530	85.62%	10503	14.38%
Provisional	525568	1708	87.63%	241	12.37%
Total	525568	261251	84.91%	46435	15.09%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Jurisdiction Wide					
001 Harbins A					
Polling	6123	1031	68.87%	466	31.13%
Absentee by Mail	6123	129	71.27%	52	28.73%
Advance in Person	6123	731	67.37%	354	32.63%
Provisional	6123	9	60.00%	6	40.00%
Advance in Person 2	6123	552	70.77%	228	29.23%
Total	6123	2452	68.92%	1106	31.08%
002 Rockbridge A					
Polling	3653	589	74.75%	199	25.25%
Absentee by Mail	3653	101	78.29%	28	21.71%
Advance in Person	3653	80	69.57%	35	30.43%
Provisional	3653	55	74.32%	19	25.68%
Advance in Person 2	3653	795	75.50%	258	24.50%
Total	3653	1620	75.03%	539	24.97%
003 Dacula					
Polling	4478	789	72.12%	305	27.88%
Absentee by Mail	4478	82	68.91%	37	31.09%
Advance in Person	4478	837	72.28%	321	27.72%
Provisional	4478	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4478	174	75.00%	58	25.00%
Total	4478	1889	72.38%	721	27.62%
004 Suwanee A					
Polling	1551	271	73.44%	98	26.56%
Absentee by Mail	1551	32	72.73%	12	27.27%
Advance in Person	1551	28	75.68%	9	24.32%
Provisional	1551	8	57.14%	6	42.86%
Advance in Person 2	1551	272	72.92%	101	27.08%
Total	1551	611	73.00%	226	27.00%
005 Baycreek A					
Polling	2555	558	65.34%	296	34.66%
Absentee by Mail	2555	80	82.47%	17	17.53%
Advance in Person	2555	27	79.41%	7	20.59%
Provisional	2555	18	72.00%	7	28.00%
Advance in Person 2	2555	494	73.51%	178	26.49%
Total	2555	1177	69.98%	505	30.02%
006 Goodwins A					
Polling	3583	786	69.62%	343	30.38%
Absentee by Mail	3583	89	72.95%	33	27.05%
Advance in Person	3583	70	66.67%	35	33.33%
Provisional	3583	12	80.00%	3	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	3583	467	71.74%	184	28.26%
Total	3583	1424	70.43%	598	29.57%
007 Duluth A					
Polling	4599	938	69.95%	403	30.05%
Absentee by Mail	4599	127	61.06%	81	38.94%
Advance in Person	4599	534	69.80%	231	30.20%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Provisional	4599	9	90.00%	1	10.00%
Advance in Person 2	4599	357	68.79%	162	31.21%
Total	4599	1965	69.12%	878	30.88%
008 Duncans A					
Polling	4278	883	70.98%	361	29.02%
Absentee by Mail	4278	94	74.60%	32	25.40%
Advance in Person	4278	836	68.41%	386	31.59%
Provisional	4278	9	69.23%	4	30.77%
Advance in Person 2	4278	108	66.26%	55	33.74%
Total	4278	1930	69.73%	838	30.27%
009 Pucketts A					
Polling	4844	722	67.48%	348	32.52%
Absentee by Mail	4844	121	72.02%	47	27.98%
Advance in Person	4844	1191	68.88%	538	31.12%
Provisional	4844	1	50.00%	1	50.00%
Advance in Person 2	4844	32	60.38%	21	39.62%
Total	4844	2067	68.40%	955	31.60%
010 Cates A					
Polling	2209	423	69.80%	183	30.20%
Absentee by Mail	2209	100	69.44%	44	30.56%
Advance in Person	2209	15	60.00%	10	40.00%
Provisional	2209	2	50.00%	2	50.00%
Advance in Person 2	2209	401	69.26%	178	30.74%
Total	2209	941	69.29%	417	30.71%
011 Berkshire A					
Polling	2247	495	78.32%	137	21.68%
Absentee by Mail	2247	69	77.53%	20	22.47%
Advance in Person	2247	242	74.69%	82	25.31%
Provisional	2247	9	75.00%	3	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	2247	45	73.77%	16	26.23%
Total	2247	860	76.92%	258	23.08%
012 Berkshire B					
Polling	5010	684	76.77%	207	23.23%
Absentee by Mail	5010	122	75.78%	39	24.22%
Advance in Person	5010	1009	71.61%	400	28.39%
Provisional	5010	16	94.12%	1	5.88%
Advance in Person 2	5010	60	82.19%	13	17.81%
Total	5010	1891	74.13%	660	25.87%
013 Duncans C					
Polling	2828	670	68.23%	312	31.77%
Absentee by Mail	2828	59	68.60%	27	31.40%
Advance in Person	2828	513	69.42%	226	30.58%
Provisional	2828	5	83.33%	1	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	2828	54	71.05%	22	28.95%
Total	2828	1301	68.87%	588	31.13%
014 Garners A					
Polling	3302	664	69.38%	293	30.62%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Absentee by Mail	3302	89	67.94%	42	32.06%
Advance in Person	3302	642	65.78%	334	34.22%
Provisional	3302	6	66.67%	3	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	3302	67	62.62%	40	37.38%
Total	3302	1468	67.34%	712	32.66%
015 Lawrenceville A					
Polling	3300	519	74.68%	176	25.32%
Absentee by Mail	3300	100	71.43%	40	28.57%
Advance in Person	3300	13	86.67%	2	13.33%
Provisional	3300	3	75.00%	1	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	3300	516	71.77%	203	28.23%
Total	3300	1151	73.17%	422	26.83%
016 Lawrenceville B					
Polling	4520	741	74.70%	251	25.30%
Absentee by Mail	4520	128	76.65%	39	23.35%
Advance in Person	4520	32	74.42%	11	25.58%
Provisional	4520	10	83.33%	2	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	4520	1018	74.31%	352	25.69%
Total	4520	1929	74.65%	655	25.35%
017 Martins A					
Polling	3019	651	79.88%	164	20.12%
Absentee by Mail	3019	77	79.38%	20	20.62%
Advance in Person	3019	190	78.51%	52	21.49%
Provisional	3019	9	81.82%	2	18.18%
Advance in Person 2	3019	225	75.50%	73	24.50%
Total	3019	1152	78.74%	311	21.26%
018 Martins B					
Polling	2796	582	72.57%	220	27.43%
Absentee by Mail	2796	65	74.71%	22	25.29%
Advance in Person	2796	65	70.65%	27	29.35%
Provisional	2796	16	84.21%	3	15.79%
Advance in Person 2	2796	315	71.92%	123	28.08%
Total	2796	1043	72.53%	395	27.47%
019 Martins C					
Polling	2349	610	74.94%	204	25.06%
Absentee by Mail	2349	49	67.12%	24	32.88%
Advance in Person	2349	129	70.88%	53	29.12%
Provisional	2349	8	88.89%	1	11.11%
Advance in Person 2	2349	150	64.38%	83	35.62%
Total	2349	946	72.16%	365	27.84%
020 Pinckneyville A					
Polling	2603	551	78.71%	149	21.29%
Absentee by Mail	2603	70	86.42%	11	13.58%
Advance in Person	2603	284	75.94%	90	24.06%
Provisional	2603	14	87.50%	2	12.50%
Advance in Person 2	2603	39	72.22%	15	27.78%
Total	2603	958	78.20%	267	21.80%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
021 Pinckneyville B					
Polling	3216	496	77.62%	143	22.38%
Absentee by Mail	3216	88	82.24%	19	17.76%
Advance in Person	3216	403	71.45%	161	28.55%
Provisional	3216	22	75.86%	7	24.14%
Advance in Person 2	3216	19	76.00%	6	24.00%
Total	3216	1028	75.37%	336	24.63%
022 Pinckneyville C					
Polling	1796	515	66.28%	262	33.72%
Absentee by Mail	1796	71	61.21%	45	38.79%
Advance in Person	1796	186	63.92%	105	36.08%
Provisional	1796	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1796	26	61.90%	16	38.10%
Total	1796	802	65.20%	428	34.80%
023 Pinckneyville D					
Polling	2042	399	78.85%	107	21.15%
Absentee by Mail	2042	46	76.67%	14	23.33%
Advance in Person	2042	221	80.36%	54	19.64%
Provisional	2042	8	66.67%	4	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	2042	22	55.00%	18	45.00%
Total	2042	696	77.94%	197	22.06%
024 Sugar Hill A					
Polling	4001	731	71.18%	296	28.82%
Absentee by Mail	4001	88	74.58%	30	25.42%
Advance in Person	4001	439	68.81%	199	31.19%
Provisional	4001	12	70.59%	5	29.41%
Advance in Person 2	4001	149	70.28%	63	29.72%
Total	4001	1419	70.53%	593	29.47%
025 Sugar Hill B					
Polling	4835	965	66.74%	481	33.26%
Absentee by Mail	4835	96	63.58%	55	36.42%
Advance in Person	4835	299	71.36%	120	28.64%
Provisional	4835	13	72.22%	5	27.78%
Advance in Person 2	4835	416	72.35%	159	27.65%
Total	4835	1789	68.57%	820	31.43%
026 Hog Mountain A					
Polling	4467	922	72.54%	349	27.46%
Absentee by Mail	4467	98	66.67%	49	33.33%
Advance in Person	4467	275	75.55%	89	24.45%
Provisional	4467	13	81.25%	3	18.75%
Advance in Person 2	4467	414	73.40%	150	26.60%
Total	4467	1722	72.90%	640	27.10%
027 Hog Mountain B					
Polling	4211	909	67.79%	432	32.21%
Absentee by Mail	4211	106	69.28%	47	30.72%
Advance in Person	4211	533	67.90%	252	32.10%
Provisional	4211	7	63.64%	4	36.36%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Advance in Person 2	4211	228	68.47%	105	31.53%
Total	4211	1783	67.98%	840	32.02%
028 Rockycreek A					
Polling	5149	1010	70.24%	428	29.76%
Absentee by Mail	5149	92	76.67%	28	23.33%
Advance in Person	5149	956	67.51%	460	32.49%
Provisional	5149	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5149	112	69.14%	50	30.86%
Total	5149	2174	69.24%	966	30.76%
029 Cates B					
Polling	1559	363	68.49%	167	31.51%
Absentee by Mail	1559	32	59.26%	22	40.74%
Advance in Person	1559	100	58.82%	70	41.18%
Provisional	1559	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1559	145	64.73%	79	35.27%
Total	1559	643	65.55%	338	34.45%
030 Cates C					
Polling	2599	532	72.09%	206	27.91%
Absentee by Mail	2599	58	70.73%	24	29.27%
Advance in Person	2599	17	77.27%	5	22.73%
Provisional	2599	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2599	496	68.98%	223	31.02%
Total	2599	1110	70.79%	458	29.21%
031 Hog Mountain D					
Polling	2958	596	67.34%	289	32.66%
Absentee by Mail	2958	76	76.00%	24	24.00%
Advance in Person	2958	212	66.04%	109	33.96%
Provisional	2958	8	80.00%	2	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	2958	211	65.33%	112	34.67%
Total	2958	1103	67.30%	536	32.70%
032 Berkshire D					
Polling	2684	547	67.53%	263	32.47%
Absentee by Mail	2684	88	70.40%	37	29.60%
Advance in Person	2684	504	70.99%	206	29.01%
Provisional	2684	5	71.43%	2	28.57%
Advance in Person 2	2684	56	68.29%	26	31.71%
Total	2684	1200	69.20%	534	30.80%
033 Berkshire E					
Polling	1866	419	67.36%	203	32.64%
Absentee by Mail	1866	56	70.00%	24	30.00%
Advance in Person	1866	363	69.67%	158	30.33%
Provisional	1866	0	0.00%	1	100.00%
Advance in Person 2	1866	23	65.71%	12	34.29%
Total	1866	861	68.39%	398	31.61%
034 Berkshire F					
Polling	2003	443	72.15%	171	27.85%
Absentee by Mail	2003	56	75.68%	18	24.32%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Advance in Person	2003	188	80.69%	45	19.31%
Provisional	2003	8	72.73%	3	27.27%
Advance in Person 2	2003	59	79.73%	15	20.27%
Total	2003	754	74.95%	252	25.05%
035 Cates D - 04					
Polling	2605	528	65.10%	283	34.90%
Absentee by Mail	2605	67	67.00%	33	33.00%
Advance in Person	2605	121	69.14%	54	30.86%
Provisional	2605	6	75.00%	2	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	2605	339	69.18%	151	30.82%
Total	2605	1061	66.98%	523	33.02%
035 Cates D - 07					
Polling	585	132	72.53%	50	27.47%
Absentee by Mail	585	20	76.92%	6	23.08%
Advance in Person	585	56	78.87%	15	21.13%
Provisional	585	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	585	48	71.64%	19	28.36%
Total	585	259	74.21%	90	25.79%
036 Cates E					
Polling	2744	596	65.71%	311	34.29%
Absentee by Mail	2744	69	62.73%	41	37.27%
Advance in Person	2744	309	65.88%	160	34.12%
Provisional	2744	5	62.50%	3	37.50%
Advance in Person 2	2744	210	64.02%	118	35.98%
Total	2744	1189	65.26%	633	34.74%
037 Pinckneyville E					
Polling	2183	499	75.04%	166	24.96%
Absentee by Mail	2183	39	78.00%	11	22.00%
Advance in Person	2183	181	73.28%	66	26.72%
Provisional	2183	10	90.91%	1	9.09%
Advance in Person 2	2183	15	78.95%	4	21.05%
Total	2183	744	75.00%	248	25.00%
038 Pinckneyville F					
Polling	2301	482	76.39%	149	23.61%
Absentee by Mail	2301	65	76.47%	20	23.53%
Advance in Person	2301	221	70.61%	92	29.39%
Provisional	2301	4	57.14%	3	42.86%
Advance in Person 2	2301	28	77.78%	8	22.22%
Total	2301	800	74.63%	272	25.37%
039 Duncans D					
Polling	6085	1218	69.28%	540	30.72%
Absentee by Mail	6085	149	73.04%	55	26.96%
Advance in Person	6085	1053	68.60%	482	31.40%
Provisional	6085	7	58.33%	5	41.67%
Advance in Person 2	6085	106	65.03%	57	34.97%
Total	6085	2533	68.98%	1139	31.02%
040 Pinckneyville H					

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Polling	4126	933	69.73%	405	30.27%
Absentee by Mail	4126	139	62.90%	82	37.10%
Advance in Person	4126	702	68.89%	317	31.11%
Provisional	4126	10	76.92%	3	23.08%
Advance in Person 2	4126	53	65.43%	28	34.57%
Total	4126	1837	68.75%	835	31.25%
041 Baycreek B					
Polling	5349	1193	74.94%	399	25.06%
Absentee by Mail	5349	155	79.08%	41	20.92%
Advance in Person	5349	32	68.09%	15	31.91%
Provisional	5349	19	79.17%	5	20.83%
Advance in Person 2	5349	1035	75.77%	331	24.23%
Total	5349	2434	75.47%	791	24.53%
042 Lawrenceville C					
Polling	2761	570	65.82%	296	34.18%
Absentee by Mail	2761	58	60.42%	38	39.58%
Advance in Person	2761	224	71.79%	88	28.21%
Provisional	2761	4	80.00%	1	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	2761	241	71.09%	98	28.91%
Total	2761	1097	67.80%	521	32.20%
043 Martins D					
Polling	2059	458	79.79%	116	20.21%
Absentee by Mail	2059	46	69.70%	20	30.30%
Advance in Person	2059	151	72.25%	58	27.75%
Provisional	2059	11	91.67%	1	8.33%
Advance in Person 2	2059	73	79.35%	19	20.65%
Total	2059	739	77.54%	214	22.46%
044 Rockbridge B					
Polling	3761	703	74.08%	246	25.92%
Absentee by Mail	3761	76	76.00%	24	24.00%
Advance in Person	3761	75	69.44%	33	30.56%
Provisional	3761	10	90.91%	1	9.09%
Advance in Person 2	3761	711	77.11%	211	22.89%
Total	3761	1575	75.36%	515	24.64%
045 Garners B					
Polling	2916	476	70.52%	199	29.48%
Absentee by Mail	2916	82	66.67%	41	33.33%
Advance in Person	2916	440	65.97%	227	34.03%
Provisional	2916	4	66.67%	2	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	2916	259	70.77%	107	29.23%
Total	2916	1261	68.64%	576	31.36%
046 Cates F					
Polling	1689	436	69.10%	195	30.90%
Absentee by Mail	1689	44	73.33%	16	26.67%
Advance in Person	1689	35	77.78%	10	22.22%
Provisional	1689	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1689	201	61.47%	126	38.53%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Total	1689	720	67.48%	347	32.52%
047 Cates G					
Polling	2597	586	66.14%	300	33.86%
Absentee by Mail	2597	79	70.54%	33	29.46%
Advance in Person	2597	70	63.06%	41	36.94%
Provisional	2597	4	66.67%	2	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	2597	452	70.19%	192	29.81%
Total	2597	1191	67.71%	568	32.29%
048 Duluth B					
Polling	3332	592	68.05%	278	31.95%
Absentee by Mail	3332	125	74.40%	43	25.60%
Advance in Person	3332	432	68.03%	203	31.97%
Provisional	3332	8	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3332	97	68.79%	44	31.21%
Total	3332	1254	68.83%	568	31.17%
049 Garners C					
Polling	1908	363	69.14%	162	30.86%
Absentee by Mail	1908	41	77.36%	12	22.64%
Advance in Person	1908	331	68.39%	153	31.61%
Provisional	1908	3	75.00%	1	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	1908	34	77.27%	10	22.73%
Total	1908	772	69.55%	338	30.45%
050 Pinckneyville I					
Polling	2479	495	76.51%	152	23.49%
Absentee by Mail	2479	57	75.00%	19	25.00%
Advance in Person	2479	302	76.26%	94	23.74%
Provisional	2479	15	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2479	46	69.70%	20	30.30%
Total	2479	915	76.25%	285	23.75%
051 Pinckneyville J					
Polling	2543	427	81.96%	94	18.04%
Absentee by Mail	2543	47	69.12%	21	30.88%
Advance in Person	2543	303	75.00%	101	25.00%
Provisional	2543	18	75.00%	6	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	2543	31	86.11%	5	13.89%
Total	2543	826	78.44%	227	21.56%
052 Berkshire G					
Polling	2250	413	67.82%	196	32.18%
Absentee by Mail	2250	51	60.00%	34	40.00%
Advance in Person	2250	454	64.21%	253	35.79%
Provisional	2250	3	75.00%	1	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	2250	15	55.56%	12	44.44%
Total	2250	936	65.36%	496	34.64%
053 Cates H					
Polling	3622	704	74.50%	241	25.50%
Absentee by Mail	3622	75	63.03%	44	36.97%
Advance in Person	3622	31	67.39%	15	32.61%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Provisional	3622	7	70.00%	3	30.00%
Advance in Person 2	3622	619	74.67%	210	25.33%
Total	3622	1436	73.68%	513	26.32%
054 Sugar Hill C					
Polling	4596	1047	68.39%	484	31.61%
Absentee by Mail	4596	122	72.19%	47	27.81%
Advance in Person	4596	306	72.00%	119	28.00%
Provisional	4596	19	73.08%	7	26.92%
Advance in Person 2	4596	382	69.84%	165	30.16%
Total	4596	1876	69.53%	822	30.47%
055 Sugar Hill D					
Polling	4070	800	68.26%	372	31.74%
Absentee by Mail	4070	88	73.95%	31	26.05%
Advance in Person	4070	579	69.84%	250	30.16%
Provisional	4070	19	73.08%	7	26.92%
Advance in Person 2	4070	100	70.42%	42	29.58%
Total	4070	1586	69.32%	702	30.68%
056 Duluth C					
Polling	2243	450	67.77%	214	32.23%
Absentee by Mail	2243	52	55.32%	42	44.68%
Advance in Person	2243	313	69.87%	135	30.13%
Provisional	2243	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2243	54	76.06%	17	23.94%
Total	2243	871	68.10%	408	31.90%
057 Duluth D					
Polling	4404	690	67.32%	335	32.68%
Absentee by Mail	4404	129	66.84%	64	33.16%
Advance in Person	4404	653	68.23%	304	31.77%
Provisional	4404	14	77.78%	4	22.22%
Advance in Person 2	4404	87	62.59%	52	37.41%
Total	4404	1573	67.45%	759	32.55%
058 Pinckneyville K					
Polling	3547	672	77.15%	199	22.85%
Absentee by Mail	3547	89	83.96%	17	16.04%
Advance in Person	3547	373	79.53%	96	20.47%
Provisional	3547	20	83.33%	4	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	3547	65	75.58%	21	24.42%
Total	3547	1219	78.34%	337	21.66%
059 Pinckneyville N					
Polling	3717	778	75.02%	259	24.98%
Absentee by Mail	3717	58	80.56%	14	19.44%
Advance in Person	3717	405	76.56%	124	23.44%
Provisional	3717	14	93.33%	1	6.67%
Advance in Person 2	3717	42	77.78%	12	22.22%
Total	3717	1297	75.98%	410	24.02%
060 Lawrenceville D					
Polling	4872	797	78.60%	217	21.40%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Absentee by Mail	4872	99	83.90%	19	16.10%
Advance in Person	4872	59	72.84%	22	27.16%
Provisional	4872	17	73.91%	6	26.09%
Advance in Person 2	4872	633	74.47%	217	25.53%
Total	4872	1605	76.94%	481	23.06%
061 Lawrenceville E					
Polling	2205	433	69.84%	187	30.16%
Absentee by Mail	2205	66	67.35%	32	32.65%
Advance in Person	2205	16	94.12%	1	5.88%
Provisional	2205	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2205	419	69.49%	184	30.51%
Total	2205	937	69.87%	404	30.13%
062 Pinckneyville L					
Polling	2233	663	61.62%	413	38.38%
Absentee by Mail	2233	80	62.99%	47	37.01%
Advance in Person	2233	215	57.80%	157	42.20%
Provisional	2233	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2233	23	62.16%	14	37.84%
Total	2233	982	60.88%	631	39.12%
063 Pinckneyville M					
Polling	2515	727	65.03%	391	34.97%
Absentee by Mail	2515	106	64.63%	58	35.37%
Advance in Person	2515	291	65.99%	150	34.01%
Provisional	2515	6	85.71%	1	14.29%
Advance in Person 2	2515	12	70.59%	5	29.41%
Total	2515	1142	65.37%	605	34.63%
064 Garners D					
Polling	3127	608	72.12%	235	27.88%
Absentee by Mail	3127	81	77.88%	23	22.12%
Advance in Person	3127	429	70.91%	176	29.09%
Provisional	3127	6	75.00%	2	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	3127	210	73.68%	75	26.32%
Total	3127	1334	72.30%	511	27.70%
065 Berkshire H					
Polling	1717	326	73.59%	117	26.41%
Absentee by Mail	1717	48	82.76%	10	17.24%
Advance in Person	1717	241	76.27%	75	23.73%
Provisional	1717	6	85.71%	1	14.29%
Advance in Person 2	1717	19	54.29%	16	45.71%
Total	1717	640	74.51%	219	25.49%
066 Pinckneyville O					
Polling	3267	614	81.32%	141	18.68%
Absentee by Mail	3267	57	76.00%	18	24.00%
Advance in Person	3267	437	72.35%	167	27.65%
Provisional	3267	19	76.00%	6	24.00%
Advance in Person 2	3267	23	82.14%	5	17.86%
Total	3267	1150	77.34%	337	22.66%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
067 Pucketts E					
Polling	4771	824	74.03%	289	25.97%
Absentee by Mail	4771	128	75.29%	42	24.71%
Advance in Person	4771	796	68.21%	371	31.79%
Provisional	4771	23	85.19%	4	14.81%
Advance in Person 2	4771	108	71.05%	44	28.95%
Total	4771	1879	71.47%	750	28.53%
068 Cates I					
Polling	3395	768	67.61%	368	32.39%
Absentee by Mail	3395	93	69.92%	40	30.08%
Advance in Person	3395	130	69.15%	58	30.85%
Provisional	3395	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3395	434	69.89%	187	30.11%
Total	3395	1432	68.68%	653	31.32%
069 Cates J					
Polling	3266	730	67.53%	351	32.47%
Absentee by Mail	3266	79	65.83%	41	34.17%
Advance in Person	3266	409	67.83%	194	32.17%
Provisional	3266	7	63.64%	4	36.36%
Advance in Person 2	3266	164	78.85%	44	21.15%
Total	3266	1389	68.66%	634	31.34%
070 Goodwins B					
Polling	3604	774	66.38%	392	33.62%
Absentee by Mail	3604	87	58.78%	61	41.22%
Advance in Person	3604	126	63.96%	71	36.04%
Provisional	3604	6	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3604	452	67.16%	221	32.84%
Total	3604	1445	65.98%	745	34.02%
071 Lawrenceville F					
Polling	3698	660	77.56%	191	22.44%
Absentee by Mail	3698	117	80.14%	29	19.86%
Advance in Person	3698	46	82.14%	10	17.86%
Provisional	3698	12	92.31%	1	7.69%
Advance in Person 2	3698	778	76.65%	237	23.35%
Total	3698	1613	77.51%	468	22.49%
072 Martins E					
Polling	5934	925	78.59%	252	21.41%
Absentee by Mail	5934	141	82.46%	30	17.54%
Advance in Person	5934	546	79.94%	137	20.06%
Provisional	5934	42	80.77%	10	19.23%
Advance in Person 2	5934	188	77.69%	54	22.31%
Total	5934	1842	79.23%	483	20.77%
073 Pinckneyville P					
Polling	2048	616	71.46%	246	28.54%
Absentee by Mail	2048	69	62.73%	41	37.27%
Advance in Person	2048	196	72.06%	76	27.94%
Provisional	2048	5	71.43%	2	28.57%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Advance in Person 2	2048	21	91.30%	2	8.70%
Total	2048	907	71.19%	367	28.81%
074 Pinckneyville Q					
Polling	1725	460	78.77%	124	21.23%
Absentee by Mail	1725	27	79.41%	7	20.59%
Advance in Person	1725	143	78.14%	40	21.86%
Provisional	1725	8	80.00%	2	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	1725	28	77.78%	8	22.22%
Total	1725	666	78.63%	181	21.37%
075 Rockbridge C					
Polling	4722	879	74.94%	294	25.06%
Absentee by Mail	4722	137	74.46%	47	25.54%
Advance in Person	4722	109	67.28%	53	32.72%
Provisional	4722	14	87.50%	2	12.50%
Advance in Person 2	4722	1021	72.88%	380	27.12%
Total	4722	2160	73.57%	776	26.43%
076 Rockbridge D					
Polling	3359	614	76.08%	193	23.92%
Absentee by Mail	3359	105	77.21%	31	22.79%
Advance in Person	3359	26	74.29%	9	25.71%
Provisional	3359	19	79.17%	5	20.83%
Advance in Person 2	3359	794	73.45%	287	26.55%
Total	3359	1558	74.80%	525	25.20%
077 Goodwins C					
Polling	5106	1022	77.66%	294	22.34%
Absentee by Mail	5106	153	76.50%	47	23.50%
Advance in Person	5106	212	77.37%	62	22.63%
Provisional	5106	17	94.44%	1	5.56%
Advance in Person 2	5106	607	73.49%	219	26.51%
Total	5106	2011	76.35%	623	23.65%
078 Baycreek K					
Polling	3536	661	72.32%	253	27.68%
Absentee by Mail	3536	106	74.13%	37	25.87%
Advance in Person	3536	21	60.00%	14	40.00%
Provisional	3536	7	70.00%	3	30.00%
Advance in Person 2	3536	809	72.88%	301	27.12%
Total	3536	1604	72.51%	608	27.49%
079 Cates K					
Polling	2531	641	67.83%	304	32.17%
Absentee by Mail	2531	52	74.29%	18	25.71%
Advance in Person	2531	73	63.48%	42	36.52%
Provisional	2531	5	83.33%	1	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	2531	302	66.52%	152	33.48%
Total	2531	1073	67.48%	517	32.52%
080 Baycreek C					
Polling	5755	1115	70.52%	466	29.48%
Absentee by Mail	5755	136	75.56%	44	24.44%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Advance in Person	5755	63	66.32%	32	33.68%
Provisional	5755	10	90.91%	1	9.09%
Advance in Person 2	5755	1299	73.97%	457	26.03%
Total	5755	2623	72.40%	1000	27.60%
081 Cates L					
Polling	3751	761	70.27%	322	29.73%
Absentee by Mail	3751	86	68.25%	40	31.75%
Advance in Person	3751	37	84.09%	7	15.91%
Provisional	3751	9	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3751	706	71.89%	276	28.11%
Total	3751	1599	71.26%	645	28.74%
082 Duluth E					
Polling	4580	827	73.06%	305	26.94%
Absentee by Mail	4580	109	73.65%	39	26.35%
Advance in Person	4580	672	69.78%	291	30.22%
Provisional	4580	18	85.71%	3	14.29%
Advance in Person 2	4580	83	79.05%	22	20.95%
Total	4580	1709	72.14%	660	27.86%
083 Goodwins D					
Polling	3985	839	67.23%	409	32.77%
Absentee by Mail	3985	92	68.15%	43	31.85%
Advance in Person	3985	62	62.00%	38	38.00%
Provisional	3985	16	84.21%	3	15.79%
Advance in Person 2	3985	500	67.66%	239	32.34%
Total	3985	1509	67.34%	732	32.66%
084 Lawrenceville G					
Polling	2783	567	75.10%	188	24.90%
Absentee by Mail	2783	66	76.74%	20	23.26%
Advance in Person	2783	30	78.95%	8	21.05%
Provisional	2783	16	80.00%	4	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	2783	386	71.48%	154	28.52%
Total	2783	1065	74.01%	374	25.99%
085 Lawrenceville H					
Polling	3899	800	68.61%	366	31.39%
Absentee by Mail	3899	99	63.87%	56	36.13%
Advance in Person	3899	162	70.74%	67	29.26%
Provisional	3899	7	63.64%	4	36.36%
Advance in Person 2	3899	539	71.39%	216	28.61%
Total	3899	1607	69.39%	709	30.61%
086 Martins F					
Polling	2401	518	78.37%	143	21.63%
Absentee by Mail	2401	61	76.25%	19	23.75%
Advance in Person	2401	233	74.44%	80	25.56%
Provisional	2401	7	70.00%	3	30.00%
Advance in Person 2	2401	72	72.00%	28	28.00%
Total	2401	891	76.55%	273	23.45%
087 Pinckneyville S					

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Polling	3013	675	72.50%	256	27.50%
Absentee by Mail	3013	95	68.84%	43	31.16%
Advance in Person	3013	309	68.82%	140	31.18%
Provisional	3013	8	80.00%	2	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	3013	36	62.07%	22	37.93%
Total	3013	1123	70.81%	463	29.19%
088 Rockbridge E					
Polling	4199	823	74.21%	286	25.79%
Absentee by Mail	4199	82	71.93%	32	28.07%
Advance in Person	4199	121	76.58%	37	23.42%
Provisional	4199	31	81.58%	7	18.42%
Advance in Person 2	4199	599	76.11%	188	23.89%
Total	4199	1656	75.07%	550	24.93%
089 Sugar Hill E					
Polling	3061	624	68.72%	284	31.28%
Absentee by Mail	3061	81	72.32%	31	27.68%
Advance in Person	3061	133	70.00%	57	30.00%
Provisional	3061	11	64.71%	6	35.29%
Advance in Person 2	3061	379	66.49%	191	33.51%
Total	3061	1228	68.34%	569	31.66%
090 Lawrenceville I					
Polling	3140	678	74.83%	228	25.17%
Absentee by Mail	3140	83	72.81%	31	27.19%
Advance in Person	3140	101	73.19%	37	26.81%
Provisional	3140	5	83.33%	1	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	3140	370	73.56%	133	26.44%
Total	3140	1237	74.21%	430	25.79%
091 Baycreek D					
Polling	4157	814	69.04%	365	30.96%
Absentee by Mail	4157	124	77.50%	36	22.50%
Advance in Person	4157	30	83.33%	6	16.67%
Provisional	4157	8	88.89%	1	11.11%
Advance in Person 2	4157	882	71.65%	349	28.35%
Total	4157	1858	71.05%	757	28.95%
092 Berkshire J					
Polling	2595	513	64.04%	288	35.96%
Absentee by Mail	2595	61	67.78%	29	32.22%
Advance in Person	2595	530	67.77%	252	32.23%
Provisional	2595	3	42.86%	4	57.14%
Advance in Person 2	2595	46	63.01%	27	36.99%
Total	2595	1153	65.77%	600	34.23%
093 Cates M					
Polling	3794	854	68.70%	389	31.30%
Absentee by Mail	3794	126	68.48%	58	31.52%
Advance in Person	3794	87	71.31%	35	28.69%
Provisional	3794	4	66.67%	2	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	3794	642	68.01%	302	31.99%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Total	3794	1713	68.55%	786	31.45%
094 Cates N					
Polling	2445	526	67.44%	254	32.56%
Absentee by Mail	2445	73	73.00%	27	27.00%
Advance in Person	2445	16	66.67%	8	33.33%
Provisional	2445	3	60.00%	2	40.00%
Advance in Person 2	2445	411	64.72%	224	35.28%
Total	2445	1029	66.65%	515	33.35%
095 Duluth F					
Polling	5413	978	79.45%	253	20.55%
Absentee by Mail	5413	131	78.44%	36	21.56%
Advance in Person	5413	433	74.53%	148	25.47%
Provisional	5413	17	70.83%	7	29.17%
Advance in Person 2	5413	334	78.96%	89	21.04%
Total	5413	1893	78.03%	533	21.97%
096 Duluth G					
Polling	2775	581	65.95%	300	34.05%
Absentee by Mail	2775	81	79.41%	21	20.59%
Advance in Person	2775	230	71.21%	93	28.79%
Provisional	2775	8	80.00%	2	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	2775	233	68.73%	106	31.27%
Total	2775	1133	68.46%	522	31.54%
097 Goodwins E					
Polling	4950	1046	71.30%	421	28.70%
Absentee by Mail	4950	145	66.51%	73	33.49%
Advance in Person	4950	121	74.23%	42	25.77%
Provisional	4950	13	76.47%	4	23.53%
Advance in Person 2	4950	634	70.13%	270	29.87%
Total	4950	1959	70.75%	810	29.25%
098 Goodwins F					
Polling	2904	582	68.31%	270	31.69%
Absentee by Mail	2904	81	63.78%	46	36.22%
Advance in Person	2904	41	65.08%	22	34.92%
Provisional	2904	10	90.91%	1	9.09%
Advance in Person 2	2904	398	71.45%	159	28.55%
Total	2904	1112	69.07%	498	30.93%
099 Pinckneyville T					
Polling	2874	591	70.86%	243	29.14%
Absentee by Mail	2874	95	70.90%	39	29.10%
Advance in Person	2874	319	70.26%	135	29.74%
Provisional	2874	6	85.71%	1	14.29%
Advance in Person 2	2874	30	69.77%	13	30.23%
Total	2874	1041	70.72%	431	29.28%
100 Suwanee B					
Polling	4085	619	74.13%	216	25.87%
Absentee by Mail	4085	92	70.23%	39	29.77%
Advance in Person	4085	25	83.33%	5	16.67%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Provisional	4085	5	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4085	977	68.47%	450	31.53%
Total	4085	1718	70.76%	710	29.24%
101 Pinckneyville U					
Polling	2007	568	71.72%	224	28.28%
Absentee by Mail	2007	50	72.46%	19	27.54%
Advance in Person	2007	191	68.46%	88	31.54%
Provisional	2007	3	60.00%	2	40.00%
Advance in Person 2	2007	23	76.67%	7	23.33%
Total	2007	835	71.06%	340	28.94%
102 Pucketts B					
Polling	2741	548	69.81%	237	30.19%
Absentee by Mail	2741	54	67.50%	26	32.50%
Advance in Person	2741	521	65.53%	274	34.47%
Provisional	2741	4	44.44%	5	55.56%
Advance in Person 2	2741	37	60.66%	24	39.34%
Total	2741	1164	67.28%	566	32.72%
103 Berkshire L					
Polling	2915	689	78.47%	189	21.53%
Absentee by Mail	2915	84	74.34%	29	25.66%
Advance in Person	2915	251	76.76%	76	23.24%
Provisional	2915	10	83.33%	2	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	2915	126	70.39%	53	29.61%
Total	2915	1160	76.87%	349	23.13%
104 Rockbridge F					
Polling	5313	765	75.97%	242	24.03%
Absentee by Mail	5313	144	75.00%	48	25.00%
Advance in Person	5313	28	73.68%	10	26.32%
Provisional	5313	12	70.59%	5	29.41%
Advance in Person 2	5313	1534	75.09%	509	24.91%
Total	5313	2483	75.31%	814	24.69%
105 Sugar Hill F					
Polling	3687	848	69.62%	370	30.38%
Absentee by Mail	3687	65	62.50%	39	37.50%
Advance in Person	3687	80	70.18%	34	29.82%
Provisional	3687	9	69.23%	4	30.77%
Advance in Person 2	3687	442	67.07%	217	32.93%
Total	3687	1444	68.50%	664	31.50%
106 Suwanee C					
Polling	4823	842	70.99%	344	29.01%
Absentee by Mail	4823	139	70.20%	59	29.80%
Advance in Person	4823	71	73.20%	26	26.80%
Provisional	4823	42	85.71%	7	14.29%
Advance in Person 2	4823	790	67.18%	386	32.82%
Total	4823	1884	69.62%	822	30.38%
107 Cates O					
Polling	1342	332	70.04%	142	29.96%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Absentee by Mail	1342	24	63.16%	14	36.84%
Advance in Person	1342	125	70.22%	53	29.78%
Provisional	1342	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1342	83	65.87%	43	34.13%
Total	1342	565	69.16%	252	30.84%
108 Baycreek E					
Polling	2863	656	73.54%	236	26.46%
Absentee by Mail	2863	72	79.12%	19	20.88%
Advance in Person	2863	21	75.00%	7	25.00%
Provisional	2863	2	50.00%	2	50.00%
Advance in Person 2	2863	466	73.50%	168	26.50%
Total	2863	1217	73.80%	432	26.20%
109 Berkshire M					
Polling	1638	359	65.04%	193	34.96%
Absentee by Mail	1638	34	64.15%	19	35.85%
Advance in Person	1638	251	61.82%	155	38.18%
Provisional	1638	2	50.00%	2	50.00%
Advance in Person 2	1638	22	56.41%	17	43.59%
Total	1638	668	63.38%	386	36.62%
110 Martins G					
Polling	2187	508	76.97%	152	23.03%
Absentee by Mail	2187	49	73.13%	18	26.87%
Advance in Person	2187	28	84.85%	5	15.15%
Provisional	2187	6	66.67%	3	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	2187	243	72.11%	94	27.89%
Total	2187	834	75.41%	272	24.59%
111 Duluth H					
Polling	2662	507	71.81%	199	28.19%
Absentee by Mail	2662	128	73.99%	45	26.01%
Advance in Person	2662	389	68.97%	175	31.03%
Provisional	2662	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2662	79	69.91%	34	30.09%
Total	2662	1107	70.96%	453	29.04%
112 Berkshire N					
Polling	2836	405	64.59%	222	35.41%
Absentee by Mail	2836	79	65.83%	41	34.17%
Advance in Person	2836	769	66.58%	386	33.42%
Provisional	2836	3	75.00%	1	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	2836	23	60.53%	15	39.47%
Total	2836	1279	65.79%	665	34.21%
113 Pinckneyville V					
Polling	1572	261	69.79%	113	30.21%
Absentee by Mail	1572	44	78.57%	12	21.43%
Advance in Person	1572	234	70.48%	98	29.52%
Provisional	1572	13	92.86%	1	7.14%
Advance in Person 2	1572	10	83.33%	2	16.67%
Total	1572	562	71.32%	226	28.68%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
114 Pinckneyville W					
Polling	3885	817	69.30%	362	30.70%
Absentee by Mail	3885	103	74.10%	36	25.90%
Advance in Person	3885	480	68.77%	218	31.23%
Provisional	3885	16	88.89%	2	11.11%
Advance in Person 2	3885	31	70.45%	13	29.55%
Total	3885	1447	69.63%	631	30.37%
115 Martins H					
Polling	4487	916	73.57%	329	26.43%
Absentee by Mail	4487	152	73.79%	54	26.21%
Advance in Person	4487	167	76.96%	50	23.04%
Provisional	4487	11	84.62%	2	15.38%
Advance in Person 2	4487	501	72.09%	194	27.91%
Total	4487	1747	73.53%	629	26.47%
116 Martins I					
Polling	3518	735	79.46%	190	20.54%
Absentee by Mail	3518	117	78.52%	32	21.48%
Advance in Person	3518	249	77.57%	72	22.43%
Provisional	3518	13	86.67%	2	13.33%
Advance in Person 2	3518	193	77.51%	56	22.49%
Total	3518	1307	78.78%	352	21.22%
117 Martins J					
Polling	3809	813	80.18%	201	19.82%
Absentee by Mail	3809	97	82.20%	21	17.80%
Advance in Person	3809	263	75.57%	85	24.43%
Provisional	3809	15	83.33%	3	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	3809	205	77.95%	58	22.05%
Total	3809	1393	79.10%	368	20.90%
118 Garners F					
Polling	1558	317	71.40%	127	28.60%
Absentee by Mail	1558	18	51.43%	17	48.57%
Advance in Person	1558	295	64.98%	159	35.02%
Provisional	1558	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1558	7	43.75%	9	56.25%
Total	1558	641	67.26%	312	32.74%
119 Berkshire O					
Polling	2422	580	68.97%	261	31.03%
Absentee by Mail	2422	75	76.53%	23	23.47%
Advance in Person	2422	293	62.47%	176	37.53%
Provisional	2422	5	55.56%	4	44.44%
Advance in Person 2	2422	100	70.92%	41	29.08%
Total	2422	1053	67.59%	505	32.41%
120 Berkshire P					
Polling	1339	278	73.54%	100	26.46%
Absentee by Mail	1339	47	81.03%	11	18.97%
Advance in Person	1339	130	71.04%	53	28.96%
Provisional	1339	6	85.71%	1	14.29%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Advance in Person 2	1339	42	75.00%	14	25.00%
Total	1339	503	73.75%	179	26.25%
121 Pinckneyville X					
Polling	3198	675	64.16%	377	35.84%
Absentee by Mail	3198	130	63.73%	74	36.27%
Advance in Person	3198	472	65.83%	245	34.17%
Provisional	3198	5	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3198	39	57.35%	29	42.65%
Total	3198	1321	64.57%	725	35.43%
122 Rockbridge G					
Polling	5188	854	75.98%	270	24.02%
Absentee by Mail	5188	167	73.57%	60	26.43%
Advance in Person	5188	72	69.23%	32	30.77%
Provisional	5188	29	78.38%	8	21.62%
Advance in Person 2	5188	1150	74.43%	395	25.57%
Total	5188	2272	74.81%	765	25.19%
123 Pinckneyville Y					
Polling	3018	601	79.81%	152	20.19%
Absentee by Mail	3018	49	80.33%	12	19.67%
Advance in Person	3018	336	77.78%	96	22.22%
Provisional	3018	19	90.48%	2	9.52%
Advance in Person 2	3018	52	85.25%	9	14.75%
Total	3018	1057	79.59%	271	20.41%
124 Goodwins G					
Polling	2448	543	72.98%	201	27.02%
Absentee by Mail	2448	48	72.73%	18	27.27%
Advance in Person	2448	50	74.63%	17	25.37%
Provisional	2448	8	88.89%	1	11.11%
Advance in Person 2	2448	299	76.08%	94	23.92%
Total	2448	948	74.12%	331	25.88%
125 Pinckneyville Z					
Polling	1806	527	62.44%	317	37.56%
Absentee by Mail	1806	57	62.64%	34	37.36%
Advance in Person	1806	191	64.31%	106	35.69%
Provisional	1806	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1806	22	73.33%	8	26.67%
Total	1806	799	63.21%	465	36.79%
126 Pinckneyville A1					
Polling	2596	732	64.04%	411	35.96%
Absentee by Mail	2596	103	67.76%	49	32.24%
Advance in Person	2596	285	62.78%	169	37.22%
Provisional	2596	4	57.14%	3	42.86%
Advance in Person 2	2596	31	65.96%	16	34.04%
Total	2596	1155	64.06%	648	35.94%
127 Lawrenceville J					
Polling	1959	425	70.83%	175	29.17%
Absentee by Mail	1959	59	75.64%	19	24.36%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Advance in Person	1959	35	76.09%	11	23.91%
Provisional	1959	2	66.67%	1	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	1959	282	75.20%	93	24.80%
Total	1959	803	72.87%	299	27.13%
128 Goodwins H					
Polling	2561	556	68.22%	259	31.78%
Absentee by Mail	2561	63	60.58%	41	39.42%
Advance in Person	2561	38	73.08%	14	26.92%
Provisional	2561	11	91.67%	1	8.33%
Advance in Person 2	2561	365	69.79%	158	30.21%
Total	2561	1033	68.59%	473	31.41%
129 Duncans B					
Polling	4655	1025	66.39%	519	33.61%
Absentee by Mail	4655	106	73.61%	38	26.39%
Advance in Person	4655	786	71.00%	321	29.00%
Provisional	4655	4	80.00%	1	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	4655	72	67.29%	35	32.71%
Total	4655	1993	68.56%	914	31.44%
130 Suwanee D					
Polling	4247	975	70.96%	399	29.04%
Absentee by Mail	4247	120	74.07%	42	25.93%
Advance in Person	4247	60	68.97%	27	31.03%
Provisional	4247	8	61.54%	5	38.46%
Advance in Person 2	4247	742	68.96%	334	31.04%
Total	4247	1905	70.24%	807	29.76%
131 Suwanee E					
Polling	3921	723	68.40%	334	31.60%
Absentee by Mail	3921	120	69.77%	52	30.23%
Advance in Person	3921	27	64.29%	15	35.71%
Provisional	3921	14	70.00%	6	30.00%
Advance in Person 2	3921	871	71.69%	344	28.31%
Total	3921	1755	70.03%	751	29.97%
132 Lawrenceville K					
Polling	2915	679	68.38%	314	31.62%
Absentee by Mail	2915	60	58.25%	43	41.75%
Advance in Person	2915	99	58.93%	69	41.07%
Provisional	2915	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2915	341	67.66%	163	32.34%
Total	2915	1183	66.76%	589	33.24%
133 Harbins B					
Polling	4220	679	71.17%	275	28.83%
Absentee by Mail	4220	62	66.67%	31	33.33%
Advance in Person	4220	862	68.20%	402	31.80%
Provisional	4220	3	75.00%	1	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	4220	110	77.46%	32	22.54%
Total	4220	1716	69.84%	741	30.16%
134 Baycreek F					

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Polling	4119	876	74.17%	305	25.83%
Absentee by Mail	4119	132	79.52%	34	20.48%
Advance in Person	4119	29	78.38%	8	21.62%
Provisional	4119	9	90.00%	1	10.00%
Advance in Person 2	4119	858	74.80%	289	25.20%
Total	4119	1904	74.93%	637	25.07%
135 Pucketts C					
Polling	2931	577	71.32%	232	28.68%
Absentee by Mail	2931	62	65.96%	32	34.04%
Advance in Person	2931	469	67.29%	228	32.71%
Provisional	2931	10	71.43%	4	28.57%
Advance in Person 2	2931	55	74.32%	19	25.68%
Total	2931	1173	69.49%	515	30.51%
136 Hog Mountain C					
Polling	3832	835	70.82%	344	29.18%
Absentee by Mail	3832	83	69.17%	37	30.83%
Advance in Person	3832	423	67.36%	205	32.64%
Provisional	3832	4	80.00%	1	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	3832	157	73.36%	57	26.64%
Total	3832	1502	69.99%	644	30.01%
137 Rockycreek B					
Polling	4677	948	71.12%	385	28.88%
Absentee by Mail	4677	90	72.58%	34	27.42%
Advance in Person	4677	741	71.18%	300	28.82%
Provisional	4677	7	87.50%	1	12.50%
Advance in Person 2	4677	149	69.63%	65	30.37%
Total	4677	1935	71.14%	785	28.86%
138 Duluth I					
Polling	4491	954	77.75%	273	22.25%
Absentee by Mail	4491	224	79.43%	58	20.57%
Advance in Person	4491	349	77.21%	103	22.79%
Provisional	4491	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4491	491	74.28%	170	25.72%
Total	4491	2022	77.00%	604	23.00%
139 Martins K					
Polling	4796	1072	77.96%	303	22.04%
Absentee by Mail	4796	123	78.85%	33	21.15%
Advance in Person	4796	145	73.60%	52	26.40%
Provisional	4796	14	82.35%	3	17.65%
Advance in Person 2	4796	597	76.93%	179	23.07%
Total	4796	1951	77.39%	570	22.61%
140 Suwanee H					
Polling	3480	733	72.57%	277	27.43%
Absentee by Mail	3480	126	68.85%	57	31.15%
Advance in Person	3480	41	82.00%	9	18.00%
Provisional	3480	13	76.47%	4	23.53%
Advance in Person 2	3480	760	71.83%	298	28.17%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Total	3480	1673	72.17%	645	27.83%
141 Sugar Hill G					
Polling	2601	591	68.72%	269	31.28%
Absentee by Mail	2601	58	69.88%	25	30.12%
Advance in Person	2601	84	66.14%	43	33.86%
Provisional	2601	11	91.67%	1	8.33%
Advance in Person 2	2601	401	71.99%	156	28.01%
Total	2601	1145	69.86%	494	30.14%
142 Suwanee F					
Polling	3598	717	68.22%	334	31.78%
Absentee by Mail	3598	104	75.36%	34	24.64%
Advance in Person	3598	51	72.86%	19	27.14%
Provisional	3598	10	76.92%	3	23.08%
Advance in Person 2	3598	573	69.96%	246	30.04%
Total	3598	1455	69.58%	636	30.42%
143 Lawrenceville L					
Polling	4355	965	75.16%	319	24.84%
Absentee by Mail	4355	122	70.52%	51	29.48%
Advance in Person	4355	35	67.31%	17	32.69%
Provisional	4355	9	69.23%	4	30.77%
Advance in Person 2	4355	765	70.64%	318	29.36%
Total	4355	1896	72.78%	709	27.22%
144 Lawrenceville M					
Polling	5956	1174	79.54%	302	20.46%
Absentee by Mail	5956	126	75.45%	41	24.55%
Advance in Person	5956	185	71.98%	72	28.02%
Provisional	5956	17	89.47%	2	10.53%
Advance in Person 2	5956	988	78.73%	267	21.27%
Total	5956	2490	78.45%	684	21.55%
145 Baycreek G					
Polling	5092	1129	74.92%	378	25.08%
Absentee by Mail	5092	109	68.55%	50	31.45%
Advance in Person	5092	34	66.67%	17	33.33%
Provisional	5092	12	92.31%	1	7.69%
Advance in Person 2	5092	1063	76.53%	326	23.47%
Total	5092	2347	75.25%	772	24.75%
146 Baycreek H					
Polling	5697	1114	66.31%	566	33.69%
Absentee by Mail	5697	148	73.27%	54	26.73%
Advance in Person	5697	64	66.67%	32	33.33%
Provisional	5697	7	87.50%	1	12.50%
Advance in Person 2	5697	1107	71.05%	451	28.95%
Total	5697	2440	68.85%	1104	31.15%
147 Baycreek I					
Polling	5162	936	75.18%	309	24.82%
Absentee by Mail	5162	131	72.38%	50	27.62%
Advance in Person	5162	35	66.04%	18	33.96%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Provisional	5162	13	92.86%	1	7.14%
Advance in Person 2	5162	1102	75.22%	363	24.78%
Total	5162	2217	74.95%	741	25.05%
148 Berkshire Q					
Polling	1644	370	70.34%	156	29.66%
Absentee by Mail	1644	37	74.00%	13	26.00%
Advance in Person	1644	237	61.56%	148	38.44%
Provisional	1644	2	50.00%	2	50.00%
Advance in Person 2	1644	19	70.37%	8	29.63%
Total	1644	665	67.04%	327	32.96%
149 Goodwins I					
Polling	2341	577	66.86%	286	33.14%
Absentee by Mail	2341	89	69.53%	39	30.47%
Advance in Person	2341	55	67.90%	26	32.10%
Provisional	2341	6	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2341	296	67.12%	145	32.88%
Total	2341	1023	67.35%	496	32.65%
150 Duluth K					
Polling	2694	431	82.41%	92	17.59%
Absentee by Mail	2694	33	62.26%	20	37.74%
Advance in Person	2694	297	79.62%	76	20.38%
Provisional	2694	6	75.00%	2	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	2694	27	69.23%	12	30.77%
Total	2694	794	79.72%	202	20.28%
151 Harbins C					
Polling	2369	631	67.63%	302	32.37%
Absentee by Mail	2369	50	67.57%	24	32.43%
Advance in Person	2369	199	68.62%	91	31.38%
Provisional	2369	5	71.43%	2	28.57%
Advance in Person 2	2369	193	70.44%	81	29.56%
Total	2369	1078	68.31%	500	31.69%
152 Rockycreek C					
Polling	4504	967	73.82%	343	26.18%
Absentee by Mail	4504	79	64.75%	43	35.25%
Advance in Person	4504	801	67.31%	389	32.69%
Provisional	4504	5	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4504	160	76.92%	48	23.08%
Total	4504	2012	70.97%	823	29.03%
153 Lawrenceville N					
Polling	2343	429	71.62%	170	28.38%
Absentee by Mail	2343	52	71.23%	21	28.77%
Advance in Person	2343	12	66.67%	6	33.33%
Provisional	2343	13	61.90%	8	38.10%
Advance in Person 2	2343	362	72.98%	134	27.02%
Total	2343	868	71.91%	339	28.09%
154 Pucketts D					
Polling	3166	613	71.20%	248	28.80%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 2 / CONST ENMIENDAS 2				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Absentee by Mail	3166	94	71.76%	37	28.24%
Advance in Person	3166	577	69.77%	250	30.23%
Provisional	3166	10	83.33%	2	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	3166	56	73.68%	20	26.32%
Total	3166	1350	70.79%	557	29.21%
155 Suwanee G					
Polling	3451	763	67.82%	362	32.18%
Absentee by Mail	3451	93	71.54%	37	28.46%
Advance in Person	3451	57	71.25%	23	28.75%
Provisional	3451	9	75.00%	3	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	3451	506	68.56%	232	31.44%
Total	3451	1428	68.49%	657	31.51%
156 Baycreek J					
Polling	4038	843	73.18%	309	26.82%
Absentee by Mail	4038	122	79.22%	32	20.78%
Advance in Person	4038	29	60.42%	19	39.58%
Provisional	4038	8	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4038	860	76.85%	259	23.15%
Total	4038	1862	75.05%	619	24.95%
Total					
Polling	525568	106301	71.51%	42357	28.49%
Absentee by Mail	525568	13692	71.78%	5383	28.22%
Advance in Person	525568	41690	69.80%	18041	30.20%
Advance in Person 2	525568	51339	72.12%	19850	27.88%
Provisional	525568	1526	79.27%	399	20.73%
Total	525568	214548	71.38%	86030	28.62%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Jurisdiction Wide					
001 Harbins A					
Polling	6123	954	64.37%	528	35.63%
Absentee by Mail	6123	117	65.00%	63	35.00%
Advance in Person	6123	631	59.30%	433	40.70%
Provisional	6123	11	73.33%	4	26.67%
Advance in Person 2	6123	449	59.16%	310	40.84%
Total	6123	2162	61.77%	1338	38.23%
002 Rockbridge A					
Polling	3653	492	63.16%	287	36.84%
Absentee by Mail	3653	93	71.54%	37	28.46%
Advance in Person	3653	67	58.26%	48	41.74%
Provisional	3653	50	68.49%	23	31.51%
Advance in Person 2	3653	678	64.57%	372	35.43%
Total	3653	1380	64.28%	767	35.72%
003 Dacula					
Polling	4478	687	63.97%	387	36.03%
Absentee by Mail	4478	73	61.34%	46	38.66%
Advance in Person	4478	694	61.36%	437	38.64%
Provisional	4478	5	71.43%	2	28.57%
Advance in Person 2	4478	153	67.40%	74	32.60%
Total	4478	1612	63.02%	946	36.98%
004 Suwanee A					
Polling	1551	219	60.33%	144	39.67%
Absentee by Mail	1551	23	52.27%	21	47.73%
Advance in Person	1551	22	61.11%	14	38.89%
Provisional	1551	5	38.46%	8	61.54%
Advance in Person 2	1551	232	64.27%	129	35.73%
Total	1551	501	61.32%	316	38.68%
005 Baycreek A					
Polling	2555	519	61.42%	326	38.58%
Absentee by Mail	2555	63	63.00%	37	37.00%
Advance in Person	2555	22	64.71%	12	35.29%
Provisional	2555	17	65.38%	9	34.62%
Advance in Person 2	2555	421	62.93%	248	37.07%
Total	2555	1042	62.25%	632	37.75%
006 Goodwins A					
Polling	3583	714	63.86%	404	36.14%
Absentee by Mail	3583	73	60.33%	48	39.67%
Advance in Person	3583	77	73.33%	28	26.67%
Provisional	3583	12	75.00%	4	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	3583	406	62.65%	242	37.35%
Total	3583	1282	63.84%	726	36.16%
007 Duluth A					
Polling	4599	883	66.39%	447	33.61%
Absentee by Mail	4599	127	62.87%	75	37.13%
Advance in Person	4599	497	66.09%	255	33.91%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Provisional	4599	9	90.00%	1	10.00%
Advance in Person 2	4599	311	61.58%	194	38.42%
Total	4599	1827	65.27%	972	34.73%
008 Duncans A					
Polling	4278	785	63.61%	449	36.39%
Absentee by Mail	4278	76	61.79%	47	38.21%
Advance in Person	4278	715	59.63%	484	40.37%
Provisional	4278	9	69.23%	4	30.77%
Advance in Person 2	4278	102	62.58%	61	37.42%
Total	4278	1687	61.75%	1045	38.25%
009 Pucketts A					
Polling	4844	641	60.99%	410	39.01%
Absentee by Mail	4844	98	59.39%	67	40.61%
Advance in Person	4844	1017	59.61%	689	40.39%
Provisional	4844	1	50.00%	1	50.00%
Advance in Person 2	4844	30	55.56%	24	44.44%
Total	4844	1787	60.01%	1191	39.99%
010 Cates A					
Polling	2209	372	61.59%	232	38.41%
Absentee by Mail	2209	92	63.89%	52	36.11%
Advance in Person	2209	10	41.67%	14	58.33%
Provisional	2209	2	50.00%	2	50.00%
Advance in Person 2	2209	354	61.46%	222	38.54%
Total	2209	830	61.39%	522	38.61%
011 Berkshire A					
Polling	2247	428	68.92%	193	31.08%
Absentee by Mail	2247	67	76.14%	21	23.86%
Advance in Person	2247	208	64.80%	113	35.20%
Provisional	2247	8	66.67%	4	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	2247	37	61.67%	23	38.33%
Total	2247	748	67.88%	354	32.12%
012 Berkshire B					
Polling	5010	613	69.03%	275	30.97%
Absentee by Mail	5010	114	70.81%	47	29.19%
Advance in Person	5010	960	68.97%	432	31.03%
Provisional	5010	12	70.59%	5	29.41%
Advance in Person 2	5010	51	68.92%	23	31.08%
Total	5010	1750	69.12%	782	30.88%
013 Duncans C					
Polling	2828	604	62.27%	366	37.73%
Absentee by Mail	2828	52	65.00%	28	35.00%
Advance in Person	2828	441	61.00%	282	39.00%
Provisional	2828	2	40.00%	3	60.00%
Advance in Person 2	2828	39	52.70%	35	47.30%
Total	2828	1138	61.45%	714	38.55%
014 Garners A					
Polling	3302	625	65.38%	331	34.62%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Absentee by Mail	3302	82	64.06%	46	35.94%
Advance in Person	3302	622	63.93%	351	36.07%
Provisional	3302	3	33.33%	6	66.67%
Advance in Person 2	3302	64	59.81%	43	40.19%
Total	3302	1396	64.24%	777	35.76%
015 Lawrenceville A					
Polling	3300	430	61.96%	264	38.04%
Absentee by Mail	3300	93	67.88%	44	32.12%
Advance in Person	3300	10	62.50%	6	37.50%
Provisional	3300	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3300	432	60.93%	277	39.07%
Total	3300	969	62.12%	591	37.88%
016 Lawrenceville B					
Polling	4520	641	65.68%	335	34.32%
Absentee by Mail	4520	110	66.27%	56	33.73%
Advance in Person	4520	28	68.29%	13	31.71%
Provisional	4520	10	83.33%	2	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	4520	865	64.07%	485	35.93%
Total	4520	1654	64.99%	891	35.01%
017 Martins A					
Polling	3019	552	68.66%	252	31.34%
Absentee by Mail	3019	71	73.20%	26	26.80%
Advance in Person	3019	159	66.25%	81	33.75%
Provisional	3019	8	72.73%	3	27.27%
Advance in Person 2	3019	180	61.43%	113	38.57%
Total	3019	970	67.13%	475	32.87%
018 Martins B					
Polling	2796	533	66.79%	265	33.21%
Absentee by Mail	2796	63	70.00%	27	30.00%
Advance in Person	2796	59	64.84%	32	35.16%
Provisional	2796	15	78.95%	4	21.05%
Advance in Person 2	2796	276	64.79%	150	35.21%
Total	2796	946	66.43%	478	33.57%
019 Martins C					
Polling	2349	574	70.52%	240	29.48%
Absentee by Mail	2349	42	57.53%	31	42.47%
Advance in Person	2349	120	66.67%	60	33.33%
Provisional	2349	6	66.67%	3	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	2349	145	61.44%	91	38.56%
Total	2349	887	67.61%	425	32.39%
020 Pinckneyville A					
Polling	2603	475	69.44%	209	30.56%
Absentee by Mail	2603	52	65.00%	28	35.00%
Advance in Person	2603	249	67.12%	122	32.88%
Provisional	2603	10	62.50%	6	37.50%
Advance in Person 2	2603	34	62.96%	20	37.04%
Total	2603	820	68.05%	385	31.95%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
021 Pinckneyville B					
Polling	3216	434	68.67%	198	31.33%
Absentee by Mail	3216	69	65.09%	37	34.91%
Advance in Person	3216	355	64.43%	196	35.57%
Provisional	3216	21	75.00%	7	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	3216	14	56.00%	11	44.00%
Total	3216	893	66.54%	449	33.46%
022 Pinckneyville C					
Polling	1796	485	62.91%	286	37.09%
Absentee by Mail	1796	74	62.71%	44	37.29%
Advance in Person	1796	190	65.74%	99	34.26%
Provisional	1796	1	25.00%	3	75.00%
Advance in Person 2	1796	22	51.16%	21	48.84%
Total	1796	772	63.02%	453	36.98%
023 Pinckneyville D					
Polling	2042	347	69.12%	155	30.88%
Absentee by Mail	2042	39	63.93%	22	36.07%
Advance in Person	2042	180	66.67%	90	33.33%
Provisional	2042	10	83.33%	2	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	2042	24	60.00%	16	40.00%
Total	2042	600	67.80%	285	32.20%
024 Sugar Hill A					
Polling	4001	641	62.84%	379	37.16%
Absentee by Mail	4001	80	66.67%	40	33.33%
Advance in Person	4001	392	61.83%	242	38.17%
Provisional	4001	12	66.67%	6	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	4001	141	67.46%	68	32.54%
Total	4001	1266	63.27%	735	36.73%
025 Sugar Hill B					
Polling	4835	897	62.16%	546	37.84%
Absentee by Mail	4835	82	54.67%	68	45.33%
Advance in Person	4835	264	62.86%	156	37.14%
Provisional	4835	9	50.00%	9	50.00%
Advance in Person 2	4835	358	63.14%	209	36.86%
Total	4835	1610	61.97%	988	38.03%
026 Hog Mountain A					
Polling	4467	844	66.88%	418	33.12%
Absentee by Mail	4467	96	66.67%	48	33.33%
Advance in Person	4467	231	63.81%	131	36.19%
Provisional	4467	9	56.25%	7	43.75%
Advance in Person 2	4467	360	64.86%	195	35.14%
Total	4467	1540	65.84%	799	34.16%
027 Hog Mountain B					
Polling	4211	826	62.43%	497	37.57%
Absentee by Mail	4211	98	64.47%	54	35.53%
Advance in Person	4211	440	56.99%	332	43.01%
Provisional	4211	7	63.64%	4	36.36%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Advance in Person 2	4211	220	65.87%	114	34.13%
Total	4211	1591	61.38%	1001	38.62%
028 Rockycreek A					
Polling	5149	890	62.59%	532	37.41%
Absentee by Mail	5149	69	57.50%	51	42.50%
Advance in Person	5149	806	57.57%	594	42.43%
Provisional	5149	4	80.00%	1	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	5149	94	59.87%	63	40.13%
Total	5149	1863	60.02%	1241	39.98%
029 Cates B					
Polling	1559	339	64.57%	186	35.43%
Absentee by Mail	1559	36	67.92%	17	32.08%
Advance in Person	1559	97	57.40%	72	42.60%
Provisional	1559	1	33.33%	2	66.67%
Advance in Person 2	1559	127	56.70%	97	43.30%
Total	1559	600	61.60%	374	38.40%
030 Cates C					
Polling	2599	471	63.91%	266	36.09%
Absentee by Mail	2599	53	62.35%	32	37.65%
Advance in Person	2599	10	45.45%	12	54.55%
Provisional	2599	5	71.43%	2	28.57%
Advance in Person 2	2599	420	59.91%	281	40.09%
Total	2599	959	61.79%	593	38.21%
031 Hog Mountain D					
Polling	2958	518	58.40%	369	41.60%
Absentee by Mail	2958	68	68.69%	31	31.31%
Advance in Person	2958	177	55.66%	141	44.34%
Provisional	2958	7	77.78%	2	22.22%
Advance in Person 2	2958	185	57.45%	137	42.55%
Total	2958	955	58.41%	680	41.59%
032 Berkshire D					
Polling	2684	524	65.01%	282	34.99%
Absentee by Mail	2684	83	66.94%	41	33.06%
Advance in Person	2684	473	67.00%	233	33.00%
Provisional	2684	5	83.33%	1	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	2684	46	56.79%	35	43.21%
Total	2684	1131	65.64%	592	34.36%
033 Berkshire E					
Polling	1866	362	58.39%	258	41.61%
Absentee by Mail	1866	53	64.63%	29	35.37%
Advance in Person	1866	326	62.57%	195	37.43%
Provisional	1866	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1866	24	68.57%	11	31.43%
Total	1866	766	60.84%	493	39.16%
034 Berkshire F					
Polling	2003	402	66.78%	200	33.22%
Absentee by Mail	2003	50	68.49%	23	31.51%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Advance in Person	2003	156	68.42%	72	31.58%
Provisional	2003	9	81.82%	2	18.18%
Advance in Person 2	2003	49	67.12%	24	32.88%
Total	2003	666	67.48%	321	32.52%
035 Cates D - 04					
Polling	2605	503	63.19%	293	36.81%
Absentee by Mail	2605	58	61.05%	37	38.95%
Advance in Person	2605	103	58.19%	74	41.81%
Provisional	2605	7	87.50%	1	12.50%
Advance in Person 2	2605	321	66.88%	159	33.13%
Total	2605	992	63.75%	564	36.25%
035 Cates D - 07					
Polling	585	114	61.62%	71	38.38%
Absentee by Mail	585	17	77.27%	5	22.73%
Advance in Person	585	47	66.20%	24	33.80%
Provisional	585	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	585	39	60.94%	25	39.06%
Total	585	220	63.77%	125	36.23%
036 Cates E					
Polling	2744	566	62.82%	335	37.18%
Absentee by Mail	2744	63	58.33%	45	41.67%
Advance in Person	2744	304	65.24%	162	34.76%
Provisional	2744	5	62.50%	3	37.50%
Advance in Person 2	2744	210	64.81%	114	35.19%
Total	2744	1148	63.53%	659	36.47%
037 Pinckneyville E					
Polling	2183	480	72.07%	186	27.93%
Absentee by Mail	2183	33	67.35%	16	32.65%
Advance in Person	2183	166	67.48%	80	32.52%
Provisional	2183	9	81.82%	2	18.18%
Advance in Person 2	2183	15	78.95%	4	21.05%
Total	2183	703	70.94%	288	29.06%
038 Pinckneyville F					
Polling	2301	442	70.49%	185	29.51%
Absentee by Mail	2301	50	58.14%	36	41.86%
Advance in Person	2301	207	65.92%	107	34.08%
Provisional	2301	3	50.00%	3	50.00%
Advance in Person 2	2301	21	58.33%	15	41.67%
Total	2301	723	67.63%	346	32.37%
039 Duncans D					
Polling	6085	1052	60.25%	694	39.75%
Absentee by Mail	6085	116	57.43%	86	42.57%
Advance in Person	6085	917	60.41%	601	39.59%
Provisional	6085	5	41.67%	7	58.33%
Advance in Person 2	6085	90	55.21%	73	44.79%
Total	6085	2180	59.87%	1461	40.13%
040 Pinckneyville H					

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Polling	4126	873	66.44%	441	33.56%
Absentee by Mail	4126	124	56.62%	95	43.38%
Advance in Person	4126	648	64.48%	357	35.52%
Provisional	4126	10	83.33%	2	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	4126	50	60.98%	32	39.02%
Total	4126	1705	64.78%	927	35.22%
041 Baycreek B					
Polling	5349	981	62.48%	589	37.52%
Absentee by Mail	5349	125	65.10%	67	34.90%
Advance in Person	5349	32	66.67%	16	33.33%
Provisional	5349	16	69.57%	7	30.43%
Advance in Person 2	5349	835	62.22%	507	37.78%
Total	5349	1989	62.65%	1186	37.35%
042 Lawrenceville C					
Polling	2761	516	60.42%	338	39.58%
Absentee by Mail	2761	52	55.91%	41	44.09%
Advance in Person	2761	191	61.41%	120	38.59%
Provisional	2761	3	60.00%	2	40.00%
Advance in Person 2	2761	220	65.87%	114	34.13%
Total	2761	982	61.49%	615	38.51%
043 Martins D					
Polling	2059	386	66.78%	192	33.22%
Absentee by Mail	2059	40	59.70%	27	40.30%
Advance in Person	2059	135	64.59%	74	35.41%
Provisional	2059	8	66.67%	4	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	2059	55	60.44%	36	39.56%
Total	2059	624	65.20%	333	34.80%
044 Rockbridge B					
Polling	3761	596	63.14%	348	36.86%
Absentee by Mail	3761	63	64.29%	35	35.71%
Advance in Person	3761	73	65.77%	38	34.23%
Provisional	3761	7	63.64%	4	36.36%
Advance in Person 2	3761	567	62.86%	335	37.14%
Total	3761	1306	63.21%	760	36.79%
045 Garners B					
Polling	2916	404	59.94%	270	40.06%
Absentee by Mail	2916	65	54.17%	55	45.83%
Advance in Person	2916	401	61.50%	251	38.50%
Provisional	2916	3	50.00%	3	50.00%
Advance in Person 2	2916	241	65.85%	125	34.15%
Total	2916	1114	61.28%	704	38.72%
046 Cates F					
Polling	1689	410	64.77%	223	35.23%
Absentee by Mail	1689	31	52.54%	28	47.46%
Advance in Person	1689	33	70.21%	14	29.79%
Provisional	1689	3	75.00%	1	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	1689	194	60.25%	128	39.75%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Total	1689	671	63.00%	394	37.00%
047 Cates G					
Polling	2597	528	59.93%	353	40.07%
Absentee by Mail	2597	72	64.86%	39	35.14%
Advance in Person	2597	60	54.05%	51	45.95%
Provisional	2597	4	66.67%	2	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	2597	379	59.50%	258	40.50%
Total	2597	1043	59.74%	703	40.26%
048 Duluth B					
Polling	3332	553	64.23%	308	35.77%
Absentee by Mail	3332	117	69.23%	52	30.77%
Advance in Person	3332	397	63.42%	229	36.58%
Provisional	3332	6	75.00%	2	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	3332	91	66.42%	46	33.58%
Total	3332	1164	64.63%	637	35.37%
049 Garners C					
Polling	1908	342	64.65%	187	35.35%
Absentee by Mail	1908	41	77.36%	12	22.64%
Advance in Person	1908	315	66.46%	159	33.54%
Provisional	1908	1	25.00%	3	75.00%
Advance in Person 2	1908	38	84.44%	7	15.56%
Total	1908	737	66.70%	368	33.30%
050 Pinckneyville I					
Polling	2479	419	65.57%	220	34.43%
Absentee by Mail	2479	46	61.33%	29	38.67%
Advance in Person	2479	257	65.06%	138	34.94%
Provisional	2479	12	75.00%	4	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	2479	35	53.03%	31	46.97%
Total	2479	769	64.57%	422	35.43%
051 Pinckneyville J					
Polling	2543	347	66.86%	172	33.14%
Absentee by Mail	2543	40	60.61%	26	39.39%
Advance in Person	2543	278	69.67%	121	30.33%
Provisional	2543	13	56.52%	10	43.48%
Advance in Person 2	2543	21	60.00%	14	40.00%
Total	2543	699	67.08%	343	32.92%
052 Berkshire G					
Polling	2250	408	67.44%	197	32.56%
Absentee by Mail	2250	44	55.00%	36	45.00%
Advance in Person	2250	448	63.46%	258	36.54%
Provisional	2250	2	66.67%	1	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	2250	16	59.26%	11	40.74%
Total	2250	918	64.60%	503	35.40%
053 Cates H					
Polling	3622	618	65.88%	320	34.12%
Absentee by Mail	3622	64	55.17%	52	44.83%
Advance in Person	3622	25	56.82%	19	43.18%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Provisional	3622	5	50.00%	5	50.00%
Advance in Person 2	3622	529	64.43%	292	35.57%
Total	3622	1241	64.33%	688	35.67%
054 Sugar Hill C					
Polling	4596	950	62.42%	572	37.58%
Absentee by Mail	4596	102	61.08%	65	38.92%
Advance in Person	4596	258	61.58%	161	38.42%
Provisional	4596	17	65.38%	9	34.62%
Advance in Person 2	4596	331	61.41%	208	38.59%
Total	4596	1658	62.03%	1015	37.97%
055 Sugar Hill D					
Polling	4070	731	62.96%	430	37.04%
Absentee by Mail	4070	79	64.75%	43	35.25%
Advance in Person	4070	501	60.95%	321	39.05%
Provisional	4070	12	46.15%	14	53.85%
Advance in Person 2	4070	90	64.29%	50	35.71%
Total	4070	1413	62.22%	858	37.78%
056 Duluth C					
Polling	2243	412	62.05%	252	37.95%
Absentee by Mail	2243	51	55.43%	41	44.57%
Advance in Person	2243	292	65.03%	157	34.97%
Provisional	2243	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2243	49	70.00%	21	30.00%
Total	2243	806	63.12%	471	36.88%
057 Duluth D					
Polling	4404	647	63.62%	370	36.38%
Absentee by Mail	4404	124	64.58%	68	35.42%
Advance in Person	4404	595	63.84%	337	36.16%
Provisional	4404	9	50.00%	9	50.00%
Advance in Person 2	4404	83	59.71%	56	40.29%
Total	4404	1458	63.45%	840	36.55%
058 Pinckneyville K					
Polling	3547	576	67.45%	278	32.55%
Absentee by Mail	3547	77	71.96%	30	28.04%
Advance in Person	3547	321	69.48%	141	30.52%
Provisional	3547	18	75.00%	6	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	3547	48	56.47%	37	43.53%
Total	3547	1040	67.89%	492	32.11%
059 Pinckneyville N					
Polling	3717	694	67.18%	339	32.82%
Absentee by Mail	3717	50	69.44%	22	30.56%
Advance in Person	3717	367	69.90%	158	30.10%
Provisional	3717	12	80.00%	3	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	3717	45	81.82%	10	18.18%
Total	3717	1168	68.71%	532	31.29%
060 Lawrenceville D					
Polling	4872	664	66.07%	341	33.93%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Absentee by Mail	4872	82	70.09%	35	29.91%
Advance in Person	4872	45	54.22%	38	45.78%
Provisional	4872	12	54.55%	10	45.45%
Advance in Person 2	4872	554	65.56%	291	34.44%
Total	4872	1357	65.49%	715	34.51%
061 Lawrenceville E					
Polling	2205	362	58.58%	256	41.42%
Absentee by Mail	2205	51	52.58%	46	47.42%
Advance in Person	2205	14	82.35%	3	17.65%
Provisional	2205	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2205	340	57.14%	255	42.86%
Total	2205	770	57.89%	560	42.11%
062 Pinckneyville L					
Polling	2233	623	58.33%	445	41.67%
Absentee by Mail	2233	79	60.77%	51	39.23%
Advance in Person	2233	228	62.47%	137	37.53%
Provisional	2233	0	0.00%	1	100.00%
Advance in Person 2	2233	23	62.16%	14	37.84%
Total	2233	953	59.53%	648	40.47%
063 Pinckneyville M					
Polling	2515	698	62.71%	415	37.29%
Absentee by Mail	2515	95	57.58%	70	42.42%
Advance in Person	2515	262	59.95%	175	40.05%
Provisional	2515	3	42.86%	4	57.14%
Advance in Person 2	2515	11	64.71%	6	35.29%
Total	2515	1069	61.47%	670	38.53%
064 Garners D					
Polling	3127	527	63.27%	306	36.73%
Absentee by Mail	3127	74	73.27%	27	26.73%
Advance in Person	3127	383	64.70%	209	35.30%
Provisional	3127	7	87.50%	1	12.50%
Advance in Person 2	3127	166	59.07%	115	40.93%
Total	3127	1157	63.75%	658	36.25%
065 Berkshire H					
Polling	1717	289	65.68%	151	34.32%
Absentee by Mail	1717	43	74.14%	15	25.86%
Advance in Person	1717	217	69.55%	95	30.45%
Provisional	1717	6	85.71%	1	14.29%
Advance in Person 2	1717	21	63.64%	12	36.36%
Total	1717	576	67.76%	274	32.24%
066 Pinckneyville O					
Polling	3267	521	70.31%	220	29.69%
Absentee by Mail	3267	51	68.00%	24	32.00%
Advance in Person	3267	391	64.95%	211	35.05%
Provisional	3267	13	52.00%	12	48.00%
Advance in Person 2	3267	19	67.86%	9	32.14%
Total	3267	995	67.64%	476	32.36%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
067 Pucketts E					
Polling	4771	712	64.61%	390	35.39%
Absentee by Mail	4771	120	71.01%	49	28.99%
Advance in Person	4771	703	61.34%	443	38.66%
Provisional	4771	21	77.78%	6	22.22%
Advance in Person 2	4771	93	61.59%	58	38.41%
Total	4771	1649	63.55%	946	36.45%
068 Cates I					
Polling	3395	736	65.13%	394	34.87%
Absentee by Mail	3395	80	58.39%	57	41.61%
Advance in Person	3395	130	68.42%	60	31.58%
Provisional	3395	6	85.71%	1	14.29%
Advance in Person 2	3395	412	66.13%	211	33.87%
Total	3395	1364	65.36%	723	34.64%
069 Cates J					
Polling	3266	678	63.48%	390	36.52%
Absentee by Mail	3266	71	61.74%	44	38.26%
Advance in Person	3266	381	63.71%	217	36.29%
Provisional	3266	7	63.64%	4	36.36%
Advance in Person 2	3266	133	63.33%	77	36.67%
Total	3266	1270	63.44%	732	36.56%
070 Goodwins B					
Polling	3604	775	66.58%	389	33.42%
Absentee by Mail	3604	82	55.78%	65	44.22%
Advance in Person	3604	117	59.39%	80	40.61%
Provisional	3604	5	83.33%	1	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	3604	400	60.42%	262	39.58%
Total	3604	1379	63.37%	797	36.63%
071 Lawrenceville F					
Polling	3698	571	67.57%	274	32.43%
Absentee by Mail	3698	97	65.54%	51	34.46%
Advance in Person	3698	35	62.50%	21	37.50%
Provisional	3698	9	75.00%	3	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	3698	646	64.79%	351	35.21%
Total	3698	1358	65.99%	700	34.01%
072 Martins E					
Polling	5934	802	68.90%	362	31.10%
Absentee by Mail	5934	123	69.89%	53	30.11%
Advance in Person	5934	478	71.03%	195	28.97%
Provisional	5934	36	70.59%	15	29.41%
Advance in Person 2	5934	158	65.29%	84	34.71%
Total	5934	1597	69.25%	709	30.75%
073 Pinckneyville P					
Polling	2048	592	69.73%	257	30.27%
Absentee by Mail	2048	64	59.26%	44	40.74%
Advance in Person	2048	176	65.67%	92	34.33%
Provisional	2048	5	71.43%	2	28.57%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Advance in Person 2	2048	19	82.61%	4	17.39%
Total	2048	856	68.21%	399	31.79%
074 Pinckneyville Q					
Polling	1725	401	69.38%	177	30.62%
Absentee by Mail	1725	30	88.24%	4	11.76%
Advance in Person	1725	111	60.33%	73	39.67%
Provisional	1725	9	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1725	23	63.89%	13	36.11%
Total	1725	574	68.25%	267	31.75%
075 Rockbridge C					
Polling	4722	759	65.60%	398	34.40%
Absentee by Mail	4722	118	64.48%	65	35.52%
Advance in Person	4722	94	59.12%	65	40.88%
Provisional	4722	12	75.00%	4	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	4722	855	61.60%	533	38.40%
Total	4722	1838	63.31%	1065	36.69%
076 Rockbridge D					
Polling	3359	511	65.01%	275	34.99%
Absentee by Mail	3359	91	67.91%	43	32.09%
Advance in Person	3359	22	62.86%	13	37.14%
Provisional	3359	16	66.67%	8	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	3359	638	60.88%	410	39.12%
Total	3359	1278	63.05%	749	36.95%
077 Goodwins C					
Polling	5106	869	66.69%	434	33.31%
Absentee by Mail	5106	143	70.79%	59	29.21%
Advance in Person	5106	175	64.58%	96	35.42%
Provisional	5106	13	76.47%	4	23.53%
Advance in Person 2	5106	513	63.81%	291	36.19%
Total	5106	1713	65.96%	884	34.04%
078 Baycreek K					
Polling	3536	574	63.64%	328	36.36%
Absentee by Mail	3536	101	71.13%	41	28.87%
Advance in Person	3536	19	55.88%	15	44.12%
Provisional	3536	7	70.00%	3	30.00%
Advance in Person 2	3536	698	63.63%	399	36.37%
Total	3536	1399	64.03%	786	35.97%
079 Cates K					
Polling	2531	613	65.56%	322	34.44%
Absentee by Mail	2531	43	62.32%	26	37.68%
Advance in Person	2531	65	57.52%	48	42.48%
Provisional	2531	5	83.33%	1	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	2531	270	59.21%	186	40.79%
Total	2531	996	63.08%	583	36.92%
080 Baycreek C					
Polling	5755	969	61.45%	608	38.55%
Absentee by Mail	5755	107	58.47%	76	41.53%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Advance in Person	5755	45	47.87%	49	52.13%
Provisional	5755	10	83.33%	2	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	5755	1071	62.49%	643	37.51%
Total	5755	2202	61.51%	1378	38.49%
081 Cates L					
Polling	3751	666	62.54%	399	37.46%
Absentee by Mail	3751	67	53.17%	59	46.83%
Advance in Person	3751	33	76.74%	10	23.26%
Provisional	3751	6	66.67%	3	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	3751	604	62.66%	360	37.34%
Total	3751	1376	62.35%	831	37.65%
082 Duluth E					
Polling	4580	766	68.82%	347	31.18%
Absentee by Mail	4580	109	72.19%	42	27.81%
Advance in Person	4580	617	65.15%	330	34.85%
Provisional	4580	14	66.67%	7	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	4580	60	58.25%	43	41.75%
Total	4580	1566	67.07%	769	32.93%
083 Goodwins D					
Polling	3985	799	64.70%	436	35.30%
Absentee by Mail	3985	84	60.43%	55	39.57%
Advance in Person	3985	55	56.70%	42	43.30%
Provisional	3985	13	68.42%	6	31.58%
Advance in Person 2	3985	451	61.11%	287	38.89%
Total	3985	1402	62.93%	826	37.07%
084 Lawrenceville G					
Polling	2783	509	69.16%	227	30.84%
Absentee by Mail	2783	63	73.26%	23	26.74%
Advance in Person	2783	17	45.95%	20	54.05%
Provisional	2783	17	85.00%	3	15.00%
Advance in Person 2	2783	332	62.52%	199	37.48%
Total	2783	938	66.52%	472	33.48%
085 Lawrenceville H					
Polling	3899	735	64.08%	412	35.92%
Absentee by Mail	3899	88	58.28%	63	41.72%
Advance in Person	3899	143	62.45%	86	37.55%
Provisional	3899	6	54.55%	5	45.45%
Advance in Person 2	3899	463	63.34%	268	36.66%
Total	3899	1435	63.24%	834	36.76%
086 Martins F					
Polling	2401	446	67.88%	211	32.12%
Absentee by Mail	2401	59	73.75%	21	26.25%
Advance in Person	2401	193	63.28%	112	36.72%
Provisional	2401	8	80.00%	2	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	2401	71	73.20%	26	26.80%
Total	2401	777	67.62%	372	32.38%
087 Pinckneyville S					

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Polling	3013	629	68.44%	290	31.56%
Absentee by Mail	3013	86	65.15%	46	34.85%
Advance in Person	3013	295	66.14%	151	33.86%
Provisional	3013	6	66.67%	3	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	3013	40	67.80%	19	32.20%
Total	3013	1056	67.48%	509	32.52%
088 Rockbridge E					
Polling	4199	707	64.63%	387	35.37%
Absentee by Mail	4199	78	67.83%	37	32.17%
Advance in Person	4199	91	58.71%	64	41.29%
Provisional	4199	30	78.95%	8	21.05%
Advance in Person 2	4199	525	67.39%	254	32.61%
Total	4199	1431	65.61%	750	34.39%
089 Sugar Hill E					
Polling	3061	545	60.76%	352	39.24%
Absentee by Mail	3061	73	65.18%	39	34.82%
Advance in Person	3061	107	56.91%	81	43.09%
Provisional	3061	12	66.67%	6	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	3061	340	60.50%	222	39.50%
Total	3061	1077	60.61%	700	39.39%
090 Lawrenceville I					
Polling	3140	589	65.96%	304	34.04%
Absentee by Mail	3140	72	66.67%	36	33.33%
Advance in Person	3140	91	64.54%	50	35.46%
Provisional	3140	6	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3140	326	64.43%	180	35.57%
Total	3140	1084	65.54%	570	34.46%
091 Baycreek D					
Polling	4157	749	64.02%	421	35.98%
Absentee by Mail	4157	103	66.45%	52	33.55%
Advance in Person	4157	23	63.89%	13	36.11%
Provisional	4157	6	66.67%	3	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	4157	761	62.69%	453	37.31%
Total	4157	1642	63.54%	942	36.46%
092 Berkshire J					
Polling	2595	493	62.09%	301	37.91%
Absentee by Mail	2595	59	66.29%	30	33.71%
Advance in Person	2595	507	65.84%	263	34.16%
Provisional	2595	2	28.57%	5	71.43%
Advance in Person 2	2595	45	60.00%	30	40.00%
Total	2595	1106	63.75%	629	36.25%
093 Cates M					
Polling	3794	811	65.56%	426	34.44%
Absentee by Mail	3794	120	64.86%	65	35.14%
Advance in Person	3794	77	64.71%	42	35.29%
Provisional	3794	3	60.00%	2	40.00%
Advance in Person 2	3794	597	64.47%	329	35.53%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Total	3794	1608	65.05%	864	34.95%
094 Cates N					
Polling	2445	474	61.00%	303	39.00%
Absentee by Mail	2445	69	69.00%	31	31.00%
Advance in Person	2445	13	56.52%	10	43.48%
Provisional	2445	3	60.00%	2	40.00%
Advance in Person 2	2445	364	57.69%	267	42.31%
Total	2445	923	60.09%	613	39.91%
095 Duluth F					
Polling	5413	890	72.71%	334	27.29%
Absentee by Mail	5413	115	68.45%	53	31.55%
Advance in Person	5413	377	66.61%	189	33.39%
Provisional	5413	14	60.87%	9	39.13%
Advance in Person 2	5413	281	68.04%	132	31.96%
Total	5413	1677	70.05%	717	29.95%
096 Duluth G					
Polling	2775	558	62.84%	330	37.16%
Absentee by Mail	2775	71	69.61%	31	30.39%
Advance in Person	2775	227	70.72%	94	29.28%
Provisional	2775	7	70.00%	3	30.00%
Advance in Person 2	2775	201	60.00%	134	40.00%
Total	2775	1064	64.25%	592	35.75%
097 Goodwins E					
Polling	4950	944	65.28%	502	34.72%
Absentee by Mail	4950	139	64.35%	77	35.65%
Advance in Person	4950	106	66.25%	54	33.75%
Provisional	4950	15	88.24%	2	11.76%
Advance in Person 2	4950	573	64.02%	322	35.98%
Total	4950	1777	65.00%	957	35.00%
098 Goodwins F					
Polling	2904	538	63.37%	311	36.63%
Absentee by Mail	2904	79	63.20%	46	36.80%
Advance in Person	2904	37	58.73%	26	41.27%
Provisional	2904	8	72.73%	3	27.27%
Advance in Person 2	2904	359	65.51%	189	34.49%
Total	2904	1021	63.97%	575	36.03%
099 Pinckneyville T					
Polling	2874	567	67.66%	271	32.34%
Absentee by Mail	2874	90	66.67%	45	33.33%
Advance in Person	2874	284	63.39%	164	36.61%
Provisional	2874	6	85.71%	1	14.29%
Advance in Person 2	2874	31	72.09%	12	27.91%
Total	2874	978	66.49%	493	33.51%
100 Suwanee B					
Polling	4085	566	68.11%	265	31.89%
Absentee by Mail	4085	90	68.18%	42	31.82%
Advance in Person	4085	23	76.67%	7	23.33%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Provisional	4085	3	60.00%	2	40.00%
Advance in Person 2	4085	881	62.53%	528	37.47%
Total	4085	1563	64.94%	844	35.06%
101 Pinckneyville U					
Polling	2007	517	65.03%	278	34.97%
Absentee by Mail	2007	48	69.57%	21	30.43%
Advance in Person	2007	195	69.64%	85	30.36%
Provisional	2007	3	60.00%	2	40.00%
Advance in Person 2	2007	19	63.33%	11	36.67%
Total	2007	782	66.33%	397	33.67%
102 Pucketts B					
Polling	2741	476	61.42%	299	38.58%
Absentee by Mail	2741	53	64.63%	29	35.37%
Advance in Person	2741	465	58.79%	326	41.21%
Provisional	2741	4	44.44%	5	55.56%
Advance in Person 2	2741	35	57.38%	26	42.62%
Total	2741	1033	60.13%	685	39.87%
103 Berkshire L					
Polling	2915	588	67.59%	282	32.41%
Absentee by Mail	2915	82	73.87%	29	26.13%
Advance in Person	2915	218	67.70%	104	32.30%
Provisional	2915	8	66.67%	4	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	2915	110	63.95%	62	36.05%
Total	2915	1006	67.65%	481	32.35%
104 Rockbridge F					
Polling	5313	638	64.38%	353	35.62%
Absentee by Mail	5313	125	66.14%	64	33.86%
Advance in Person	5313	21	55.26%	17	44.74%
Provisional	5313	10	58.82%	7	41.18%
Advance in Person 2	5313	1211	60.70%	784	39.30%
Total	5313	2005	62.07%	1225	37.93%
105 Sugar Hill F					
Polling	3687	764	62.83%	452	37.17%
Absentee by Mail	3687	72	70.59%	30	29.41%
Advance in Person	3687	77	67.54%	37	32.46%
Provisional	3687	10	71.43%	4	28.57%
Advance in Person 2	3687	400	61.44%	251	38.56%
Total	3687	1323	63.09%	774	36.91%
106 Suwanee C					
Polling	4823	765	65.72%	399	34.28%
Absentee by Mail	4823	134	67.68%	64	32.32%
Advance in Person	4823	61	63.54%	35	36.46%
Provisional	4823	39	79.59%	10	20.41%
Advance in Person 2	4823	715	61.58%	446	38.42%
Total	4823	1714	64.24%	954	35.76%
107 Cates O					
Polling	1342	298	63.14%	174	36.86%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Absentee by Mail	1342	21	58.33%	15	41.67%
Advance in Person	1342	119	66.11%	61	33.89%
Provisional	1342	0	0.00%	1	100.00%
Advance in Person 2	1342	76	60.80%	49	39.20%
Total	1342	514	63.14%	300	36.86%
108 Baycreek E					
Polling	2863	567	64.36%	314	35.64%
Absentee by Mail	2863	55	59.78%	37	40.22%
Advance in Person	2863	20	71.43%	8	28.57%
Provisional	2863	3	75.00%	1	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	2863	386	63.28%	224	36.72%
Total	2863	1031	63.84%	584	36.16%
109 Berkshire M					
Polling	1638	332	60.47%	217	39.53%
Absentee by Mail	1638	28	56.00%	22	44.00%
Advance in Person	1638	245	61.10%	156	38.90%
Provisional	1638	3	75.00%	1	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	1638	19	50.00%	19	50.00%
Total	1638	627	60.17%	415	39.83%
110 Martins G					
Polling	2187	470	71.21%	190	28.79%
Absentee by Mail	2187	45	68.18%	21	31.82%
Advance in Person	2187	19	59.38%	13	40.63%
Provisional	2187	8	80.00%	2	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	2187	230	68.66%	105	31.34%
Total	2187	772	69.99%	331	30.01%
111 Duluth H					
Polling	2662	441	63.73%	251	36.27%
Absentee by Mail	2662	101	58.72%	71	41.28%
Advance in Person	2662	365	66.24%	186	33.76%
Provisional	2662	3	75.00%	1	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	2662	69	63.89%	39	36.11%
Total	2662	979	64.11%	548	35.89%
112 Berkshire N					
Polling	2836	402	63.91%	227	36.09%
Absentee by Mail	2836	70	58.33%	50	41.67%
Advance in Person	2836	690	60.47%	451	39.53%
Provisional	2836	2	66.67%	1	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	2836	22	57.89%	16	42.11%
Total	2836	1186	61.42%	745	38.58%
113 Pinckneyville V					
Polling	1572	232	64.80%	126	35.20%
Absentee by Mail	1572	43	72.88%	16	27.12%
Advance in Person	1572	204	63.55%	117	36.45%
Provisional	1572	9	69.23%	4	30.77%
Advance in Person 2	1572	7	58.33%	5	41.67%
Total	1572	495	64.88%	268	35.12%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
114 Pinckneyville W					
Polling	3885	748	63.77%	425	36.23%
Absentee by Mail	3885	89	64.49%	49	35.51%
Advance in Person	3885	461	66.33%	234	33.67%
Provisional	3885	12	70.59%	5	29.41%
Advance in Person 2	3885	22	50.00%	22	50.00%
Total	3885	1332	64.44%	735	35.56%
115 Martins H					
Polling	4487	802	65.90%	415	34.10%
Absentee by Mail	4487	147	69.67%	64	30.33%
Advance in Person	4487	138	63.59%	79	36.41%
Provisional	4487	10	71.43%	4	28.57%
Advance in Person 2	4487	462	66.67%	231	33.33%
Total	4487	1559	66.28%	793	33.72%
116 Martins I					
Polling	3518	633	69.56%	277	30.44%
Absentee by Mail	3518	104	72.22%	40	27.78%
Advance in Person	3518	211	66.98%	104	33.02%
Provisional	3518	10	66.67%	5	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	3518	165	66.80%	82	33.20%
Total	3518	1123	68.85%	508	31.15%
117 Martins J					
Polling	3809	686	68.26%	319	31.74%
Absentee by Mail	3809	74	64.91%	40	35.09%
Advance in Person	3809	223	64.45%	123	35.55%
Provisional	3809	16	88.89%	2	11.11%
Advance in Person 2	3809	168	65.63%	88	34.38%
Total	3809	1167	67.11%	572	32.89%
118 Garners F					
Polling	1558	282	63.23%	164	36.77%
Absentee by Mail	1558	24	66.67%	12	33.33%
Advance in Person	1558	272	60.44%	178	39.56%
Provisional	1558	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1558	10	62.50%	6	37.50%
Total	1558	592	62.18%	360	37.82%
119 Berkshire O					
Polling	2422	525	62.87%	310	37.13%
Absentee by Mail	2422	59	61.46%	37	38.54%
Advance in Person	2422	268	58.26%	192	41.74%
Provisional	2422	5	55.56%	4	44.44%
Advance in Person 2	2422	84	60.87%	54	39.13%
Total	2422	941	61.18%	597	38.82%
120 Berkshire P					
Polling	1339	244	64.55%	134	35.45%
Absentee by Mail	1339	47	79.66%	12	20.34%
Advance in Person	1339	120	67.04%	59	32.96%
Provisional	1339	5	62.50%	3	37.50%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Advance in Person 2	1339	33	60.00%	22	40.00%
Total	1339	449	66.13%	230	33.87%
121 Pinckneyville X					
Polling	3198	635	61.00%	406	39.00%
Absentee by Mail	3198	123	59.71%	83	40.29%
Advance in Person	3198	459	64.65%	251	35.35%
Provisional	3198	5	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3198	36	51.43%	34	48.57%
Total	3198	1258	61.91%	774	38.09%
122 Rockbridge G					
Polling	5188	729	65.73%	380	34.27%
Absentee by Mail	5188	135	60.00%	90	40.00%
Advance in Person	5188	72	69.90%	31	30.10%
Provisional	5188	30	81.08%	7	18.92%
Advance in Person 2	5188	1005	65.82%	522	34.18%
Total	5188	1971	65.68%	1030	34.32%
123 Pinckneyville Y					
Polling	3018	517	69.49%	227	30.51%
Absentee by Mail	3018	39	67.24%	19	32.76%
Advance in Person	3018	318	75.00%	106	25.00%
Provisional	3018	13	65.00%	7	35.00%
Advance in Person 2	3018	35	59.32%	24	40.68%
Total	3018	922	70.65%	383	29.35%
124 Goodwins G					
Polling	2448	477	65.43%	252	34.57%
Absentee by Mail	2448	52	77.61%	15	22.39%
Advance in Person	2448	43	65.15%	23	34.85%
Provisional	2448	6	60.00%	4	40.00%
Advance in Person 2	2448	241	61.95%	148	38.05%
Total	2448	819	64.95%	442	35.05%
125 Pinckneyville Z					
Polling	1806	510	61.15%	324	38.85%
Absentee by Mail	1806	61	68.54%	28	31.46%
Advance in Person	1806	193	65.65%	101	34.35%
Provisional	1806	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1806	21	72.41%	8	27.59%
Total	1806	787	63.06%	461	36.94%
126 Pinckneyville A1					
Polling	2596	715	63.05%	419	36.95%
Absentee by Mail	2596	100	64.94%	54	35.06%
Advance in Person	2596	284	63.11%	166	36.89%
Provisional	2596	5	71.43%	2	28.57%
Advance in Person 2	2596	22	48.89%	23	51.11%
Total	2596	1126	62.91%	664	37.09%
127 Lawrenceville J					
Polling	1959	381	63.71%	217	36.29%
Absentee by Mail	1959	49	62.03%	30	37.97%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Advance in Person	1959	31	72.09%	12	27.91%
Provisional	1959	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1959	232	62.70%	138	37.30%
Total	1959	696	63.68%	397	36.32%
128 Goodwins H					
Polling	2561	515	64.05%	289	35.95%
Absentee by Mail	2561	63	59.43%	43	40.57%
Advance in Person	2561	35	68.63%	16	31.37%
Provisional	2561	9	75.00%	3	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	2561	341	66.34%	173	33.66%
Total	2561	963	64.76%	524	35.24%
129 Duncans B					
Polling	4655	979	63.78%	556	36.22%
Absentee by Mail	4655	95	66.90%	47	33.10%
Advance in Person	4655	665	60.62%	432	39.38%
Provisional	4655	2	40.00%	3	60.00%
Advance in Person 2	4655	63	57.80%	46	42.20%
Total	4655	1804	62.47%	1084	37.53%
130 Suwanee D					
Polling	4247	857	64.05%	481	35.95%
Absentee by Mail	4247	109	66.06%	56	33.94%
Advance in Person	4247	47	55.29%	38	44.71%
Provisional	4247	9	69.23%	4	30.77%
Advance in Person 2	4247	628	58.75%	441	41.25%
Total	4247	1650	61.80%	1020	38.20%
131 Suwanee E					
Polling	3921	651	62.66%	388	37.34%
Absentee by Mail	3921	113	65.32%	60	34.68%
Advance in Person	3921	26	65.00%	14	35.00%
Provisional	3921	14	70.00%	6	30.00%
Advance in Person 2	3921	747	62.77%	443	37.23%
Total	3921	1551	63.00%	911	37.00%
132 Lawrenceville K					
Polling	2915	605	61.61%	377	38.39%
Absentee by Mail	2915	61	58.65%	43	41.35%
Advance in Person	2915	103	60.95%	66	39.05%
Provisional	2915	3	75.00%	1	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	2915	314	63.18%	183	36.82%
Total	2915	1086	61.85%	670	38.15%
133 Harbins B					
Polling	4220	623	65.65%	326	34.35%
Absentee by Mail	4220	48	51.61%	45	48.39%
Advance in Person	4220	731	58.34%	522	41.66%
Provisional	4220	3	75.00%	1	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	4220	102	72.34%	39	27.66%
Total	4220	1507	61.76%	933	38.24%
134 Baycreek F					

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Polling	4119	767	65.61%	402	34.39%
Absentee by Mail	4119	115	69.28%	51	30.72%
Advance in Person	4119	24	64.86%	13	35.14%
Provisional	4119	8	80.00%	2	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	4119	727	64.68%	397	35.32%
Total	4119	1641	65.48%	865	34.52%
135 Pucketts C					
Polling	2931	491	61.07%	313	38.93%
Absentee by Mail	2931	59	64.13%	33	35.87%
Advance in Person	2931	395	57.16%	296	42.84%
Provisional	2931	11	78.57%	3	21.43%
Advance in Person 2	2931	48	66.67%	24	33.33%
Total	2931	1004	60.01%	669	39.99%
136 Hog Mountain C					
Polling	3832	734	62.63%	438	37.37%
Absentee by Mail	3832	67	60.36%	44	39.64%
Advance in Person	3832	377	60.90%	242	39.10%
Provisional	3832	2	50.00%	2	50.00%
Advance in Person 2	3832	136	63.55%	78	36.45%
Total	3832	1316	62.08%	804	37.92%
137 Rockycreek B					
Polling	4677	856	64.80%	465	35.20%
Absentee by Mail	4677	77	62.60%	46	37.40%
Advance in Person	4677	645	62.99%	379	37.01%
Provisional	4677	6	75.00%	2	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	4677	131	61.21%	83	38.79%
Total	4677	1715	63.75%	975	36.25%
138 Duluth I					
Polling	4491	818	68.97%	368	31.03%
Absentee by Mail	4491	193	68.44%	89	31.56%
Advance in Person	4491	297	67.81%	141	32.19%
Provisional	4491	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4491	423	65.79%	220	34.21%
Total	4491	1735	67.96%	818	32.04%
139 Martins K					
Polling	4796	943	69.03%	423	30.97%
Absentee by Mail	4796	116	72.50%	44	27.50%
Advance in Person	4796	133	67.86%	63	32.14%
Provisional	4796	11	64.71%	6	35.29%
Advance in Person 2	4796	502	65.11%	269	34.89%
Total	4796	1705	67.93%	805	32.07%
140 Suwanee H					
Polling	3480	636	64.31%	353	35.69%
Absentee by Mail	3480	109	61.24%	69	38.76%
Advance in Person	3480	34	73.91%	12	26.09%
Provisional	3480	11	64.71%	6	35.29%
Advance in Person 2	3480	649	62.28%	393	37.72%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Total	3480	1439	63.34%	833	36.66%
141 Sugar Hill G					
Polling	2601	530	63.17%	309	36.83%
Absentee by Mail	2601	51	61.45%	32	38.55%
Advance in Person	2601	77	61.11%	49	38.89%
Provisional	2601	11	84.62%	2	15.38%
Advance in Person 2	2601	368	66.43%	186	33.57%
Total	2601	1037	64.21%	578	35.79%
142 Suwanee F					
Polling	3598	663	63.69%	378	36.31%
Absentee by Mail	3598	101	75.94%	32	24.06%
Advance in Person	3598	49	72.06%	19	27.94%
Provisional	3598	10	76.92%	3	23.08%
Advance in Person 2	3598	533	65.64%	279	34.36%
Total	3598	1356	65.60%	711	34.40%
143 Lawrenceville L					
Polling	4355	861	68.28%	400	31.72%
Absentee by Mail	4355	119	67.23%	58	32.77%
Advance in Person	4355	34	66.67%	17	33.33%
Provisional	4355	9	69.23%	4	30.77%
Advance in Person 2	4355	695	65.01%	374	34.99%
Total	4355	1718	66.82%	853	33.18%
144 Lawrenceville M					
Polling	5956	982	67.12%	481	32.88%
Absentee by Mail	5956	111	65.29%	59	34.71%
Advance in Person	5956	156	60.70%	101	39.30%
Provisional	5956	13	72.22%	5	27.78%
Advance in Person 2	5956	798	64.88%	432	35.12%
Total	5956	2060	65.65%	1078	34.35%
145 Baycreek G					
Polling	5092	959	64.49%	528	35.51%
Absentee by Mail	5092	106	65.84%	55	34.16%
Advance in Person	5092	37	72.55%	14	27.45%
Provisional	5092	12	92.31%	1	7.69%
Advance in Person 2	5092	882	64.90%	477	35.10%
Total	5092	1996	65.00%	1075	35.00%
146 Baycreek H					
Polling	5697	1031	62.45%	620	37.55%
Absentee by Mail	5697	147	72.06%	57	27.94%
Advance in Person	5697	47	52.22%	43	47.78%
Provisional	5697	7	87.50%	1	12.50%
Advance in Person 2	5697	950	61.97%	583	38.03%
Total	5697	2182	62.59%	1304	37.41%
147 Baycreek I					
Polling	5162	799	65.44%	422	34.56%
Absentee by Mail	5162	107	58.47%	76	41.53%
Advance in Person	5162	27	50.94%	26	49.06%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Provisional	5162	7	53.85%	6	46.15%
Advance in Person 2	5162	893	61.88%	550	38.12%
Total	5162	1833	62.92%	1080	37.08%
148 Berkshire Q					
Polling	1644	332	63.12%	194	36.88%
Absentee by Mail	1644	26	57.78%	19	42.22%
Advance in Person	1644	232	61.38%	146	38.62%
Provisional	1644	1	25.00%	3	75.00%
Advance in Person 2	1644	15	55.56%	12	44.44%
Total	1644	606	61.84%	374	38.16%
149 Goodwins I					
Polling	2341	547	63.60%	313	36.40%
Absentee by Mail	2341	86	71.07%	35	28.93%
Advance in Person	2341	63	77.78%	18	22.22%
Provisional	2341	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2341	285	64.77%	155	35.23%
Total	2341	988	65.47%	521	34.53%
150 Duluth K					
Polling	2694	366	70.38%	154	29.62%
Absentee by Mail	2694	42	80.77%	10	19.23%
Advance in Person	2694	242	66.48%	122	33.52%
Provisional	2694	5	71.43%	2	28.57%
Advance in Person 2	2694	29	74.36%	10	25.64%
Total	2694	684	69.65%	298	30.35%
151 Harbins C					
Polling	2369	576	62.20%	350	37.80%
Absentee by Mail	2369	44	61.11%	28	38.89%
Advance in Person	2369	165	57.69%	121	42.31%
Provisional	2369	5	83.33%	1	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	2369	168	62.92%	99	37.08%
Total	2369	958	61.53%	599	38.47%
152 Rockycreek C					
Polling	4504	822	63.72%	468	36.28%
Absentee by Mail	4504	78	65.55%	41	34.45%
Advance in Person	4504	709	60.65%	460	39.35%
Provisional	4504	3	60.00%	2	40.00%
Advance in Person 2	4504	134	65.69%	70	34.31%
Total	4504	1746	62.65%	1041	37.35%
153 Lawrenceville N					
Polling	2343	384	64.11%	215	35.89%
Absentee by Mail	2343	46	62.16%	28	37.84%
Advance in Person	2343	12	63.16%	7	36.84%
Provisional	2343	13	61.90%	8	38.10%
Advance in Person 2	2343	315	63.77%	179	36.23%
Total	2343	770	63.79%	437	36.21%
154 Pucketts D					
Polling	3166	520	60.68%	337	39.32%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 3 / CONST ENMIENDAS 3				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Absentee by Mail	3166	82	65.08%	44	34.92%
Advance in Person	3166	466	57.18%	349	42.82%
Provisional	3166	11	78.57%	3	21.43%
Advance in Person 2	3166	42	56.76%	32	43.24%
Total	3166	1121	59.44%	765	40.56%
155 Suwanee G					
Polling	3451	687	62.00%	421	38.00%
Absentee by Mail	3451	89	68.99%	40	31.01%
Advance in Person	3451	55	70.51%	23	29.49%
Provisional	3451	9	69.23%	4	30.77%
Advance in Person 2	3451	477	65.16%	255	34.84%
Total	3451	1317	63.93%	743	36.07%
156 Baycreek J					
Polling	4038	713	62.49%	428	37.51%
Absentee by Mail	4038	92	60.13%	61	39.87%
Advance in Person	4038	34	66.67%	17	33.33%
Provisional	4038	5	55.56%	4	44.44%
Advance in Person 2	4038	719	66.51%	362	33.49%
Total	4038	1563	64.19%	872	35.81%
Total					
Polling	525568	95117	64.62%	52068	35.38%
Absentee by Mail	525568	12266	64.68%	6697	35.32%
Advance in Person	525568	37192	63.04%	21803	36.96%
Advance in Person 2	525568	44273	63.10%	25887	36.90%
Provisional	525568	1334	69.66%	581	30.34%
Total	525568	190182	63.99%	107036	36.01%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Jurisdiction Wide					
001 Harbins A					
Polling	6123	1218	80.29%	299	19.71%
Absentee by Mail	6123	159	85.48%	27	14.52%
Advance in Person	6123	882	79.89%	222	20.11%
Provisional	6123	16	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	6123	665	83.86%	128	16.14%
Total	6123	2940	81.31%	676	18.69%
002 Rockbridge A					
Polling	3653	711	88.21%	95	11.79%
Absentee by Mail	3653	121	92.37%	10	7.63%
Advance in Person	3653	94	80.34%	23	19.66%
Provisional	3653	64	84.21%	12	15.79%
Advance in Person 2	3653	962	89.66%	111	10.34%
Total	3653	1952	88.61%	251	11.39%
003 Dacula					
Polling	4478	907	81.93%	200	18.07%
Absentee by Mail	4478	114	88.37%	15	11.63%
Advance in Person	4478	991	83.91%	190	16.09%
Provisional	4478	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4478	207	87.34%	30	12.66%
Total	4478	2226	83.65%	435	16.35%
004 Suwanee A					
Polling	1551	303	81.45%	69	18.55%
Absentee by Mail	1551	37	90.24%	4	9.76%
Advance in Person	1551	34	91.89%	3	8.11%
Provisional	1551	10	66.67%	5	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	1551	338	87.34%	49	12.66%
Total	1551	722	84.74%	130	15.26%
005 Baycreek A					
Polling	2555	657	76.04%	207	23.96%
Absentee by Mail	2555	90	88.24%	12	11.76%
Advance in Person	2555	27	79.41%	7	20.59%
Provisional	2555	20	71.43%	8	28.57%
Advance in Person 2	2555	575	83.70%	112	16.30%
Total	2555	1369	79.83%	346	20.17%
006 Goodwins A					
Polling	3583	942	81.77%	210	18.23%
Absentee by Mail	3583	112	91.06%	11	8.94%
Advance in Person	3583	90	84.91%	16	15.09%
Provisional	3583	14	87.50%	2	12.50%
Advance in Person 2	3583	549	83.18%	111	16.82%
Total	3583	1707	82.98%	350	17.02%
007 Duluth A					
Polling	4599	1094	80.44%	266	19.56%
Absentee by Mail	4599	183	84.33%	34	15.67%
Advance in Person	4599	638	82.86%	132	17.14%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Provisional	4599	7	77.78%	2	22.22%
Advance in Person 2	4599	443	84.06%	84	15.94%
Total	4599	2365	82.03%	518	17.97%
008 Duncans A					
Polling	4278	1001	78.51%	274	21.49%
Absentee by Mail	4278	111	89.52%	13	10.48%
Advance in Person	4278	1012	80.06%	252	19.94%
Provisional	4278	12	92.31%	1	7.69%
Advance in Person 2	4278	152	89.94%	17	10.06%
Total	4278	2288	80.42%	557	19.58%
009 Pucketts A					
Polling	4844	834	76.44%	257	23.56%
Absentee by Mail	4844	147	86.98%	22	13.02%
Advance in Person	4844	1391	78.32%	385	21.68%
Provisional	4844	3	75.00%	1	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	4844	41	74.55%	14	25.45%
Total	4844	2416	78.06%	679	21.94%
010 Cates A					
Polling	2209	503	80.35%	123	19.65%
Absentee by Mail	2209	128	88.28%	17	11.72%
Advance in Person	2209	17	68.00%	8	32.00%
Provisional	2209	3	75.00%	1	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	2209	511	85.17%	89	14.83%
Total	2209	1162	83.00%	238	17.00%
011 Berkshire A					
Polling	2247	537	84.04%	102	15.96%
Absentee by Mail	2247	88	95.65%	4	4.35%
Advance in Person	2247	284	86.06%	46	13.94%
Provisional	2247	10	90.91%	1	9.09%
Advance in Person 2	2247	52	86.67%	8	13.33%
Total	2247	971	85.78%	161	14.22%
012 Berkshire B					
Polling	5010	783	86.62%	121	13.38%
Absentee by Mail	5010	155	92.26%	13	7.74%
Advance in Person	5010	1246	86.95%	187	13.05%
Provisional	5010	18	90.00%	2	10.00%
Advance in Person 2	5010	73	96.05%	3	3.95%
Total	5010	2275	87.47%	326	12.53%
013 Duncans C					
Polling	2828	765	76.42%	236	23.58%
Absentee by Mail	2828	66	80.49%	16	19.51%
Advance in Person	2828	591	78.17%	165	21.83%
Provisional	2828	6	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2828	64	83.12%	13	16.88%
Total	2828	1492	77.63%	430	22.37%
014 Garners A					
Polling	3302	773	78.40%	213	21.60%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Absentee by Mail	3302	116	86.57%	18	13.43%
Advance in Person	3302	804	79.76%	204	20.24%
Provisional	3302	7	77.78%	2	22.22%
Advance in Person 2	3302	89	83.18%	18	16.82%
Total	3302	1789	79.72%	455	20.28%
015 Lawrenceville A					
Polling	3300	592	83.50%	117	16.50%
Absentee by Mail	3300	123	88.49%	16	11.51%
Advance in Person	3300	13	81.25%	3	18.75%
Provisional	3300	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3300	596	80.65%	143	19.35%
Total	3300	1328	82.64%	279	17.36%
016 Lawrenceville B					
Polling	4520	861	85.42%	147	14.58%
Absentee by Mail	4520	142	86.59%	22	13.41%
Advance in Person	4520	41	95.35%	2	4.65%
Provisional	4520	9	81.82%	2	18.18%
Advance in Person 2	4520	1261	89.56%	147	10.44%
Total	4520	2314	87.85%	320	12.15%
017 Martins A					
Polling	3019	726	88.97%	90	11.03%
Absentee by Mail	3019	86	91.49%	8	8.51%
Advance in Person	3019	220	88.35%	29	11.65%
Provisional	3019	11	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3019	266	87.21%	39	12.79%
Total	3019	1309	88.75%	166	11.25%
018 Martins B					
Polling	2796	721	87.61%	102	12.39%
Absentee by Mail	2796	69	83.13%	14	16.87%
Advance in Person	2796	80	85.11%	14	14.89%
Provisional	2796	18	94.74%	1	5.26%
Advance in Person 2	2796	394	88.54%	51	11.46%
Total	2796	1282	87.57%	182	12.43%
019 Martins C					
Polling	2349	695	84.04%	132	15.96%
Absentee by Mail	2349	58	79.45%	15	20.55%
Advance in Person	2349	160	82.05%	35	17.95%
Provisional	2349	10	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2349	198	80.16%	49	19.84%
Total	2349	1121	82.91%	231	17.09%
020 Pinckneyville A					
Polling	2603	623	89.00%	77	11.00%
Absentee by Mail	2603	72	91.14%	7	8.86%
Advance in Person	2603	339	88.98%	42	11.02%
Provisional	2603	14	87.50%	2	12.50%
Advance in Person 2	2603	51	91.07%	5	8.93%
Total	2603	1099	89.20%	133	10.80%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
021 Pinckneyville B					
Polling	3216	565	86.79%	86	13.21%
Absentee by Mail	3216	95	88.79%	12	11.21%
Advance in Person	3216	507	89.89%	57	10.11%
Provisional	3216	26	89.66%	3	10.34%
Advance in Person 2	3216	21	87.50%	3	12.50%
Total	3216	1214	88.29%	161	11.71%
022 Pinckneyville C					
Polling	1796	613	77.89%	174	22.11%
Absentee by Mail	1796	99	83.19%	20	16.81%
Advance in Person	1796	255	85.57%	43	14.43%
Provisional	1796	2	50.00%	2	50.00%
Advance in Person 2	1796	29	64.44%	16	35.56%
Total	1796	998	79.65%	255	20.35%
023 Pinckneyville D					
Polling	2042	435	84.96%	77	15.04%
Absentee by Mail	2042	53	82.81%	11	17.19%
Advance in Person	2042	239	86.28%	38	13.72%
Provisional	2042	12	92.31%	1	7.69%
Advance in Person 2	2042	33	82.50%	7	17.50%
Total	2042	772	85.21%	134	14.79%
024 Sugar Hill A					
Polling	4001	797	75.83%	254	24.17%
Absentee by Mail	4001	101	84.87%	18	15.13%
Advance in Person	4001	534	80.42%	130	19.58%
Provisional	4001	15	83.33%	3	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	4001	184	84.79%	33	15.21%
Total	4001	1631	78.83%	438	21.17%
025 Sugar Hill B					
Polling	4835	1139	77.59%	329	22.41%
Absentee by Mail	4835	138	90.79%	14	9.21%
Advance in Person	4835	352	80.92%	83	19.08%
Provisional	4835	17	85.00%	3	15.00%
Advance in Person 2	4835	472	81.24%	109	18.76%
Total	4835	2118	79.74%	538	20.26%
026 Hog Mountain A					
Polling	4467	1091	84.44%	201	15.56%
Absentee by Mail	4467	142	94.04%	9	5.96%
Advance in Person	4467	330	88.71%	42	11.29%
Provisional	4467	14	77.78%	4	22.22%
Advance in Person 2	4467	511	88.41%	67	11.59%
Total	4467	2088	86.60%	323	13.40%
027 Hog Mountain B					
Polling	4211	1071	78.35%	296	21.65%
Absentee by Mail	4211	137	83.54%	27	16.46%
Advance in Person	4211	657	81.21%	152	18.79%
Provisional	4211	9	81.82%	2	18.18%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Advance in Person 2	4211	285	83.09%	58	16.91%
Total	4211	2159	80.14%	535	19.86%
028 Rockycreek A					
Polling	5149	1167	79.66%	298	20.34%
Absentee by Mail	5149	111	90.98%	11	9.02%
Advance in Person	5149	1135	78.82%	305	21.18%
Provisional	5149	4	80.00%	1	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	5149	143	84.62%	26	15.38%
Total	5149	2560	79.98%	641	20.02%
029 Cates B					
Polling	1559	444	79.86%	112	20.14%
Absentee by Mail	1559	45	81.82%	10	18.18%
Advance in Person	1559	138	79.77%	35	20.23%
Provisional	1559	2	66.67%	1	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	1559	184	80.00%	46	20.00%
Total	1559	813	79.94%	204	20.06%
030 Cates C					
Polling	2599	637	85.39%	109	14.61%
Absentee by Mail	2599	73	85.88%	12	14.12%
Advance in Person	2599	20	83.33%	4	16.67%
Provisional	2599	6	85.71%	1	14.29%
Advance in Person 2	2599	664	89.01%	82	10.99%
Total	2599	1400	87.06%	208	12.94%
031 Hog Mountain D					
Polling	2958	707	77.86%	201	22.14%
Absentee by Mail	2958	89	92.71%	7	7.29%
Advance in Person	2958	264	79.04%	70	20.96%
Provisional	2958	9	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2958	277	82.93%	57	17.07%
Total	2958	1346	80.07%	335	19.93%
032 Berkshire D					
Polling	2684	646	78.59%	176	21.41%
Absentee by Mail	2684	107	84.25%	20	15.75%
Advance in Person	2684	591	81.63%	133	18.37%
Provisional	2684	5	71.43%	2	28.57%
Advance in Person 2	2684	61	75.31%	20	24.69%
Total	2684	1410	80.07%	351	19.93%
033 Berkshire E					
Polling	1866	487	75.98%	154	24.02%
Absentee by Mail	1866	68	81.93%	15	18.07%
Advance in Person	1866	444	83.30%	89	16.70%
Provisional	1866	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1866	32	88.89%	4	11.11%
Total	1866	1032	79.75%	262	20.25%
034 Berkshire F					
Polling	2003	522	83.65%	102	16.35%
Absentee by Mail	2003	61	80.26%	15	19.74%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Advance in Person	2003	216	90.00%	24	10.00%
Provisional	2003	8	80.00%	2	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	2003	66	86.84%	10	13.16%
Total	2003	873	85.09%	153	14.91%
035 Cates D - 04					
Polling	2605	656	78.94%	175	21.06%
Absentee by Mail	2605	86	87.76%	12	12.24%
Advance in Person	2605	147	82.58%	31	17.42%
Provisional	2605	7	87.50%	1	12.50%
Advance in Person 2	2605	418	84.44%	77	15.56%
Total	2605	1314	81.61%	296	18.39%
035 Cates D - 07					
Polling	585	135	72.19%	52	27.81%
Absentee by Mail	585	24	96.00%	1	4.00%
Advance in Person	585	58	81.69%	13	18.31%
Provisional	585	2	66.67%	1	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	585	58	87.88%	8	12.12%
Total	585	277	78.69%	75	21.31%
036 Cates E					
Polling	2744	722	77.72%	207	22.28%
Absentee by Mail	2744	104	89.66%	12	10.34%
Advance in Person	2744	384	81.53%	87	18.47%
Provisional	2744	9	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2744	283	83.98%	54	16.02%
Total	2744	1502	80.67%	360	19.33%
037 Pinckneyville E					
Polling	2183	599	88.61%	77	11.39%
Absentee by Mail	2183	49	90.74%	5	9.26%
Advance in Person	2183	221	88.05%	30	11.95%
Provisional	2183	10	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2183	19	95.00%	1	5.00%
Total	2183	898	88.82%	113	11.18%
038 Pinckneyville F					
Polling	2301	550	86.48%	86	13.52%
Absentee by Mail	2301	77	91.67%	7	8.33%
Advance in Person	2301	265	83.33%	53	16.67%
Provisional	2301	4	57.14%	3	42.86%
Advance in Person 2	2301	32	86.49%	5	13.51%
Total	2301	928	85.77%	154	14.23%
039 Duncans D					
Polling	6085	1397	77.83%	398	22.17%
Absentee by Mail	6085	169	82.44%	36	17.56%
Advance in Person	6085	1220	77.91%	346	22.09%
Provisional	6085	11	84.62%	2	15.38%
Advance in Person 2	6085	127	77.91%	36	22.09%
Total	6085	2924	78.14%	818	21.86%
040 Pinckneyville H					

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Polling	4126	1088	80.59%	262	19.41%
Absentee by Mail	4126	192	88.89%	24	11.11%
Advance in Person	4126	846	81.42%	193	18.58%
Provisional	4126	10	83.33%	2	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	4126	68	82.93%	14	17.07%
Total	4126	2204	81.66%	495	18.34%
041 Baycreek B					
Polling	5349	1387	85.67%	232	14.33%
Absentee by Mail	5349	185	94.39%	11	5.61%
Advance in Person	5349	43	87.76%	6	12.24%
Provisional	5349	20	90.91%	2	9.09%
Advance in Person 2	5349	1242	88.59%	160	11.41%
Total	5349	2877	87.50%	411	12.50%
042 Lawrenceville C					
Polling	2761	708	80.73%	169	19.27%
Absentee by Mail	2761	70	74.47%	24	25.53%
Advance in Person	2761	246	77.85%	70	22.15%
Provisional	2761	4	80.00%	1	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	2761	300	85.23%	52	14.77%
Total	2761	1328	80.78%	316	19.22%
043 Martins D					
Polling	2059	533	90.34%	57	9.66%
Absentee by Mail	2059	63	88.73%	8	11.27%
Advance in Person	2059	196	91.59%	18	8.41%
Provisional	2059	13	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2059	88	92.63%	7	7.37%
Total	2059	893	90.84%	90	9.16%
044 Rockbridge B					
Polling	3761	847	87.05%	126	12.95%
Absentee by Mail	3761	90	93.75%	6	6.25%
Advance in Person	3761	98	85.22%	17	14.78%
Provisional	3761	11	84.62%	2	15.38%
Advance in Person 2	3761	870	92.06%	75	7.94%
Total	3761	1916	89.45%	226	10.55%
045 Garners B					
Polling	2916	578	83.89%	111	16.11%
Absentee by Mail	2916	111	89.52%	13	10.48%
Advance in Person	2916	571	82.87%	118	17.13%
Provisional	2916	6	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2916	323	86.13%	52	13.87%
Total	2916	1589	84.39%	294	15.61%
046 Cates F					
Polling	1689	509	77.36%	149	22.64%
Absentee by Mail	1689	47	81.03%	11	18.97%
Advance in Person	1689	40	80.00%	10	20.00%
Provisional	1689	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1689	268	78.82%	72	21.18%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Total	1689	868	78.20%	242	21.80%
047 Cates G					
Polling	2597	696	77.42%	203	22.58%
Absentee by Mail	2597	100	88.50%	13	11.50%
Advance in Person	2597	86	76.11%	27	23.89%
Provisional	2597	6	85.71%	1	14.29%
Advance in Person 2	2597	527	79.73%	134	20.27%
Total	2597	1415	78.92%	378	21.08%
048 Duluth B					
Polling	3332	691	78.61%	188	21.39%
Absentee by Mail	3332	144	88.34%	19	11.66%
Advance in Person	3332	543	84.98%	96	15.02%
Provisional	3332	5	71.43%	2	28.57%
Advance in Person 2	3332	120	81.63%	27	18.37%
Total	3332	1503	81.91%	332	18.09%
049 Garners C					
Polling	1908	453	83.89%	87	16.11%
Absentee by Mail	1908	54	91.53%	5	8.47%
Advance in Person	1908	406	82.86%	84	17.14%
Provisional	1908	3	75.00%	1	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	1908	38	84.44%	7	15.56%
Total	1908	954	83.83%	184	16.17%
050 Pinckneyville I					
Polling	2479	580	88.69%	74	11.31%
Absentee by Mail	2479	71	87.65%	10	12.35%
Advance in Person	2479	361	89.58%	42	10.42%
Provisional	2479	16	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2479	58	85.29%	10	14.71%
Total	2479	1086	88.87%	136	11.13%
051 Pinckneyville J					
Polling	2543	453	86.62%	70	13.38%
Absentee by Mail	2543	62	91.18%	6	8.82%
Advance in Person	2543	366	89.27%	44	10.73%
Provisional	2543	26	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2543	32	88.89%	4	11.11%
Total	2543	939	88.33%	124	11.67%
052 Berkshire G					
Polling	2250	502	78.44%	138	21.56%
Absentee by Mail	2250	72	85.71%	12	14.29%
Advance in Person	2250	577	79.37%	150	20.63%
Provisional	2250	3	75.00%	1	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	2250	23	79.31%	6	20.69%
Total	2250	1177	79.31%	307	20.69%
053 Cates H					
Polling	3622	844	86.39%	133	13.61%
Absentee by Mail	3622	97	84.35%	18	15.65%
Advance in Person	3622	38	84.44%	7	15.56%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Provisional	3622	9	90.00%	1	10.00%
Advance in Person 2	3622	758	89.18%	92	10.82%
Total	3622	1746	87.43%	251	12.57%
054 Sugar Hill C					
Polling	4596	1207	77.42%	352	22.58%
Absentee by Mail	4596	139	83.73%	27	16.27%
Advance in Person	4596	349	80.41%	85	19.59%
Provisional	4596	22	81.48%	5	18.52%
Advance in Person 2	4596	464	82.12%	101	17.88%
Total	4596	2181	79.28%	570	20.72%
055 Sugar Hill D					
Polling	4070	918	76.82%	277	23.18%
Absentee by Mail	4070	106	84.13%	20	15.87%
Advance in Person	4070	683	80.92%	161	19.08%
Provisional	4070	25	86.21%	4	13.79%
Advance in Person 2	4070	113	77.40%	33	22.60%
Total	4070	1845	78.85%	495	21.15%
056 Duluth C					
Polling	2243	533	79.08%	141	20.92%
Absentee by Mail	2243	73	80.22%	18	19.78%
Advance in Person	2243	379	82.75%	79	17.25%
Provisional	2243	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2243	60	84.51%	11	15.49%
Total	2243	1048	80.80%	249	19.20%
057 Duluth D					
Polling	4404	831	80.21%	205	19.79%
Absentee by Mail	4404	167	87.43%	24	12.57%
Advance in Person	4404	828	84.92%	147	15.08%
Provisional	4404	15	78.95%	4	21.05%
Advance in Person 2	4404	116	81.12%	27	18.88%
Total	4404	1957	82.78%	407	17.22%
058 Pinckneyville K					
Polling	3547	766	87.74%	107	12.26%
Absentee by Mail	3547	103	94.50%	6	5.50%
Advance in Person	3547	427	89.71%	49	10.29%
Provisional	3547	19	82.61%	4	17.39%
Advance in Person 2	3547	77	87.50%	11	12.50%
Total	3547	1392	88.72%	177	11.28%
059 Pinckneyville N					
Polling	3717	924	88.00%	126	12.00%
Absentee by Mail	3717	67	93.06%	5	6.94%
Advance in Person	3717	483	89.44%	57	10.56%
Provisional	3717	15	93.75%	1	6.25%
Advance in Person 2	3717	48	87.27%	7	12.73%
Total	3717	1537	88.69%	196	11.31%
060 Lawrenceville D					
Polling	4872	919	88.62%	118	11.38%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Absentee by Mail	4872	111	96.52%	4	3.48%
Advance in Person	4872	76	90.48%	8	9.52%
Provisional	4872	20	86.96%	3	13.04%
Advance in Person 2	4872	785	89.31%	94	10.69%
Total	4872	1911	89.38%	227	10.62%
061 Lawrenceville E					
Polling	2205	516	81.52%	117	18.48%
Absentee by Mail	2205	85	83.33%	17	16.67%
Advance in Person	2205	16	80.00%	4	20.00%
Provisional	2205	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2205	495	80.88%	117	19.12%
Total	2205	1115	81.39%	255	18.61%
062 Pinckneyville L					
Polling	2233	799	73.51%	288	26.49%
Absentee by Mail	2233	97	77.60%	28	22.40%
Advance in Person	2233	288	75.20%	95	24.80%
Provisional	2233	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2233	30	81.08%	7	18.92%
Total	2233	1216	74.42%	418	25.58%
063 Pinckneyville M					
Polling	2515	861	75.86%	274	24.14%
Absentee by Mail	2515	125	77.16%	37	22.84%
Advance in Person	2515	357	79.69%	91	20.31%
Provisional	2515	6	85.71%	1	14.29%
Advance in Person 2	2515	15	88.24%	2	11.76%
Total	2515	1364	77.11%	405	22.89%
064 Garners D					
Polling	3127	730	85.18%	127	14.82%
Absentee by Mail	3127	93	87.74%	13	12.26%
Advance in Person	3127	548	87.82%	76	12.18%
Provisional	3127	8	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3127	257	89.24%	31	10.76%
Total	3127	1636	86.88%	247	13.12%
065 Berkshire H					
Polling	1717	374	83.86%	72	16.14%
Absentee by Mail	1717	50	87.72%	7	12.28%
Advance in Person	1717	283	88.16%	38	11.84%
Provisional	1717	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1717	32	94.12%	2	5.88%
Total	1717	746	86.24%	119	13.76%
066 Pinckneyville O					
Polling	3267	661	87.43%	95	12.57%
Absentee by Mail	3267	65	85.53%	11	14.47%
Advance in Person	3267	539	87.36%	78	12.64%
Provisional	3267	21	75.00%	7	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	3267	24	85.71%	4	14.29%
Total	3267	1310	87.04%	195	12.96%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
067 Pucketts E					
Polling	4771	935	82.52%	198	17.48%
Absentee by Mail	4771	153	85.47%	26	14.53%
Advance in Person	4771	962	80.37%	235	19.63%
Provisional	4771	25	92.59%	2	7.41%
Advance in Person 2	4771	132	84.62%	24	15.38%
Total	4771	2207	81.98%	485	18.02%
068 Cates I					
Polling	3395	906	78.51%	248	21.49%
Absentee by Mail	3395	123	89.78%	14	10.22%
Advance in Person	3395	157	80.10%	39	19.90%
Provisional	3395	8	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3395	545	84.50%	100	15.50%
Total	3395	1739	81.26%	401	18.74%
069 Cates J					
Polling	3266	904	82.18%	196	17.82%
Absentee by Mail	3266	98	83.76%	19	16.24%
Advance in Person	3266	527	84.86%	94	15.14%
Provisional	3266	9	75.00%	3	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	3266	191	89.25%	23	10.75%
Total	3266	1729	83.77%	335	16.23%
070 Goodwins B					
Polling	3604	952	80.20%	235	19.80%
Absentee by Mail	3604	114	78.62%	31	21.38%
Advance in Person	3604	162	80.20%	40	19.80%
Provisional	3604	6	85.71%	1	14.29%
Advance in Person 2	3604	556	81.52%	126	18.48%
Total	3604	1790	80.52%	433	19.48%
071 Lawrenceville F					
Polling	3698	772	87.73%	108	12.27%
Absentee by Mail	3698	123	86.01%	20	13.99%
Advance in Person	3698	48	85.71%	8	14.29%
Provisional	3698	15	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3698	938	90.45%	99	9.55%
Total	3698	1896	88.97%	235	11.03%
072 Martins E					
Polling	5934	1088	91.51%	101	8.49%
Absentee by Mail	5934	161	92.00%	14	8.00%
Advance in Person	5934	645	93.48%	45	6.52%
Provisional	5934	46	88.46%	6	11.54%
Advance in Person 2	5934	222	89.88%	25	10.12%
Total	5934	2162	91.88%	191	8.12%
073 Pinckneyville P					
Polling	2048	736	83.83%	142	16.17%
Absentee by Mail	2048	93	83.78%	18	16.22%
Advance in Person	2048	247	87.28%	36	12.72%
Provisional	2048	6	85.71%	1	14.29%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Advance in Person 2	2048	22	91.67%	2	8.33%
Total	2048	1104	84.73%	199	15.27%
074 Pinckneyville Q					
Polling	1725	536	90.54%	56	9.46%
Absentee by Mail	1725	28	93.33%	2	6.67%
Advance in Person	1725	173	91.53%	16	8.47%
Provisional	1725	8	80.00%	2	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	1725	32	88.89%	4	11.11%
Total	1725	777	90.67%	80	9.33%
075 Rockbridge C					
Polling	4722	1039	86.80%	158	13.20%
Absentee by Mail	4722	180	96.26%	7	3.74%
Advance in Person	4722	145	87.88%	20	12.12%
Provisional	4722	13	81.25%	3	18.75%
Advance in Person 2	4722	1311	91.10%	128	8.90%
Total	4722	2688	89.48%	316	10.52%
076 Rockbridge D					
Polling	3359	714	87.93%	98	12.07%
Absentee by Mail	3359	120	88.24%	16	11.76%
Advance in Person	3359	33	91.67%	3	8.33%
Provisional	3359	20	83.33%	4	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	3359	964	87.72%	135	12.28%
Total	3359	1851	87.85%	256	12.15%
077 Goodwins C					
Polling	5106	1145	85.26%	198	14.74%
Absentee by Mail	5106	183	88.83%	23	11.17%
Advance in Person	5106	240	86.96%	36	13.04%
Provisional	5106	16	88.89%	2	11.11%
Advance in Person 2	5106	722	86.99%	108	13.01%
Total	5106	2306	86.27%	367	13.73%
078 Baycreek K					
Polling	3536	787	84.71%	142	15.29%
Absentee by Mail	3536	139	91.45%	13	8.55%
Advance in Person	3536	29	82.86%	6	17.14%
Provisional	3536	10	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3536	962	84.24%	180	15.76%
Total	3536	1927	84.96%	341	15.04%
079 Cates K					
Polling	2531	767	79.81%	194	20.19%
Absentee by Mail	2531	57	81.43%	13	18.57%
Advance in Person	2531	91	79.82%	23	20.18%
Provisional	2531	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2531	385	82.09%	84	17.91%
Total	2531	1307	80.63%	314	19.37%
080 Baycreek C					
Polling	5755	1319	81.47%	300	18.53%
Absentee by Mail	5755	160	87.91%	22	12.09%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Advance in Person	5755	71	72.45%	27	27.55%
Provisional	5755	9	81.82%	2	18.18%
Advance in Person 2	5755	1563	87.76%	218	12.24%
Total	5755	3122	84.58%	569	15.42%
081 Cates L					
Polling	3751	928	83.53%	183	16.47%
Absentee by Mail	3751	114	83.82%	22	16.18%
Advance in Person	3751	39	88.64%	5	11.36%
Provisional	3751	9	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3751	837	83.45%	166	16.55%
Total	3751	1927	83.67%	376	16.33%
082 Duluth E					
Polling	4580	994	87.50%	142	12.50%
Absentee by Mail	4580	139	90.26%	15	9.74%
Advance in Person	4580	834	85.45%	142	14.55%
Provisional	4580	18	85.71%	3	14.29%
Advance in Person 2	4580	98	93.33%	7	6.67%
Total	4580	2083	87.08%	309	12.92%
083 Goodwins D					
Polling	3985	1023	80.49%	248	19.51%
Absentee by Mail	3985	113	83.70%	22	16.30%
Advance in Person	3985	91	88.35%	12	11.65%
Provisional	3985	16	84.21%	3	15.79%
Advance in Person 2	3985	628	83.29%	126	16.71%
Total	3985	1871	81.99%	411	18.01%
084 Lawrenceville G					
Polling	2783	678	88.28%	90	11.72%
Absentee by Mail	2783	81	95.29%	4	4.71%
Advance in Person	2783	33	91.67%	3	8.33%
Provisional	2783	19	90.48%	2	9.52%
Advance in Person 2	2783	491	89.27%	59	10.73%
Total	2783	1302	89.18%	158	10.82%
085 Lawrenceville H					
Polling	3899	933	78.40%	257	21.60%
Absentee by Mail	3899	132	85.16%	23	14.84%
Advance in Person	3899	193	83.55%	38	16.45%
Provisional	3899	7	63.64%	4	36.36%
Advance in Person 2	3899	641	83.03%	131	16.97%
Total	3899	1906	80.80%	453	19.20%
086 Martins F					
Polling	2401	575	85.57%	97	14.43%
Absentee by Mail	2401	64	83.12%	13	16.88%
Advance in Person	2401	291	91.22%	28	8.78%
Provisional	2401	11	91.67%	1	8.33%
Advance in Person 2	2401	94	92.16%	8	7.84%
Total	2401	1035	87.56%	147	12.44%
087 Pinckneyville S					

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Polling	3013	782	83.82%	151	16.18%
Absentee by Mail	3013	120	83.33%	24	16.67%
Advance in Person	3013	406	89.62%	47	10.38%
Provisional	3013	9	90.00%	1	10.00%
Advance in Person 2	3013	46	77.97%	13	22.03%
Total	3013	1363	85.24%	236	14.76%
088 Rockbridge E					
Polling	4199	974	86.35%	154	13.65%
Absentee by Mail	4199	108	93.91%	7	6.09%
Advance in Person	4199	140	86.96%	21	13.04%
Provisional	4199	38	97.44%	1	2.56%
Advance in Person 2	4199	741	90.70%	76	9.30%
Total	4199	2001	88.54%	259	11.46%
089 Sugar Hill E					
Polling	3061	709	77.74%	203	22.26%
Absentee by Mail	3061	97	85.09%	17	14.91%
Advance in Person	3061	152	77.16%	45	22.84%
Provisional	3061	16	76.19%	5	23.81%
Advance in Person 2	3061	461	78.80%	124	21.20%
Total	3061	1435	78.46%	394	21.54%
090 Lawrenceville I					
Polling	3140	784	84.85%	140	15.15%
Absentee by Mail	3140	102	89.47%	12	10.53%
Advance in Person	3140	117	81.82%	26	18.18%
Provisional	3140	7	87.50%	1	12.50%
Advance in Person 2	3140	447	84.98%	79	15.02%
Total	3140	1457	84.96%	258	15.04%
091 Baycreek D					
Polling	4157	960	79.87%	242	20.13%
Absentee by Mail	4157	134	82.21%	29	17.79%
Advance in Person	4157	29	78.38%	8	21.62%
Provisional	4157	8	88.89%	1	11.11%
Advance in Person 2	4157	1067	84.88%	190	15.12%
Total	4157	2198	82.38%	470	17.62%
092 Berkshire J					
Polling	2595	615	75.37%	201	24.63%
Absentee by Mail	2595	75	89.29%	9	10.71%
Advance in Person	2595	621	77.82%	177	22.18%
Provisional	2595	5	71.43%	2	28.57%
Advance in Person 2	2595	60	81.08%	14	18.92%
Total	2595	1376	77.35%	403	22.65%
093 Cates M					
Polling	3794	999	79.16%	263	20.84%
Absentee by Mail	3794	170	90.43%	18	9.57%
Advance in Person	3794	92	73.60%	33	26.40%
Provisional	3794	5	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3794	776	80.67%	186	19.33%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Total	3794	2042	80.33%	500	19.67%
094 Cates N					
Polling	2445	643	79.98%	161	20.02%
Absentee by Mail	2445	96	90.57%	10	9.43%
Advance in Person	2445	21	87.50%	3	12.50%
Provisional	2445	5	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2445	541	82.85%	112	17.15%
Total	2445	1306	82.04%	286	17.96%
095 Duluth F					
Polling	5413	1123	89.41%	133	10.59%
Absentee by Mail	5413	146	87.43%	21	12.57%
Advance in Person	5413	514	88.32%	68	11.68%
Provisional	5413	21	91.30%	2	8.70%
Advance in Person 2	5413	379	88.14%	51	11.86%
Total	5413	2183	88.81%	275	11.19%
096 Duluth G					
Polling	2775	717	78.36%	198	21.64%
Absentee by Mail	2775	93	89.42%	11	10.58%
Advance in Person	2775	279	84.55%	51	15.45%
Provisional	2775	9	90.00%	1	10.00%
Advance in Person 2	2775	284	82.80%	59	17.20%
Total	2775	1382	81.20%	320	18.80%
097 Goodwins E					
Polling	4950	1206	80.24%	297	19.76%
Absentee by Mail	4950	193	87.73%	27	12.27%
Advance in Person	4950	141	85.98%	23	14.02%
Provisional	4950	14	82.35%	3	17.65%
Advance in Person 2	4950	771	83.90%	148	16.10%
Total	4950	2325	82.36%	498	17.64%
098 Goodwins F					
Polling	2904	708	81.47%	161	18.53%
Absentee by Mail	2904	110	86.61%	17	13.39%
Advance in Person	2904	51	79.69%	13	20.31%
Provisional	2904	10	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2904	478	84.01%	91	15.99%
Total	2904	1357	82.79%	282	17.21%
099 Pinckneyville T					
Polling	2874	723	84.96%	128	15.04%
Absentee by Mail	2874	117	89.31%	14	10.69%
Advance in Person	2874	392	85.59%	66	14.41%
Provisional	2874	8	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2874	39	88.64%	5	11.36%
Total	2874	1279	85.72%	213	14.28%
100 Suwanee B					
Polling	4085	706	83.85%	136	16.15%
Absentee by Mail	4085	117	90.00%	13	10.00%
Advance in Person	4085	25	83.33%	5	16.67%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Provisional	4085	3	50.00%	3	50.00%
Advance in Person 2	4085	1180	80.60%	284	19.40%
Total	4085	2031	82.16%	441	17.84%
101 Pinckneyville U					
Polling	2007	663	81.25%	153	18.75%
Absentee by Mail	2007	63	91.30%	6	8.70%
Advance in Person	2007	244	85.92%	40	14.08%
Provisional	2007	6	85.71%	1	14.29%
Advance in Person 2	2007	25	86.21%	4	13.79%
Total	2007	1001	83.07%	204	16.93%
102 Pucketts B					
Polling	2741	614	77.14%	182	22.86%
Absentee by Mail	2741	71	86.59%	11	13.41%
Advance in Person	2741	636	78.04%	179	21.96%
Provisional	2741	7	77.78%	2	22.22%
Advance in Person 2	2741	42	66.67%	21	33.33%
Total	2741	1370	77.62%	395	22.38%
103 Berkshire L					
Polling	2915	781	87.46%	112	12.54%
Absentee by Mail	2915	99	88.39%	13	11.61%
Advance in Person	2915	291	88.45%	38	11.55%
Provisional	2915	15	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2915	163	90.56%	17	9.44%
Total	2915	1349	88.23%	180	11.77%
104 Rockbridge F					
Polling	5313	917	89.90%	103	10.10%
Absentee by Mail	5313	187	91.22%	18	8.78%
Advance in Person	5313	35	89.74%	4	10.26%
Provisional	5313	15	83.33%	3	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	5313	1894	90.75%	193	9.25%
Total	5313	3048	90.47%	321	9.53%
105 Sugar Hill F					
Polling	3687	964	77.80%	275	22.20%
Absentee by Mail	3687	90	87.38%	13	12.62%
Advance in Person	3687	102	85.71%	17	14.29%
Provisional	3687	10	66.67%	5	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	3687	549	81.21%	127	18.79%
Total	3687	1715	79.69%	437	20.31%
106 Suwanee C					
Polling	4823	978	81.30%	225	18.70%
Absentee by Mail	4823	172	85.15%	30	14.85%
Advance in Person	4823	85	83.33%	17	16.67%
Provisional	4823	40	81.63%	9	18.37%
Advance in Person 2	4823	957	80.15%	237	19.85%
Total	4823	2232	81.16%	518	18.84%
107 Cates O					
Polling	1342	377	78.71%	102	21.29%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Absentee by Mail	1342	30	78.95%	8	21.05%
Advance in Person	1342	149	82.78%	31	17.22%
Provisional	1342	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1342	105	80.15%	26	19.85%
Total	1342	662	79.86%	167	20.14%
108 Baycreek E					
Polling	2863	772	84.74%	139	15.26%
Absentee by Mail	2863	81	89.01%	10	10.99%
Advance in Person	2863	23	76.67%	7	23.33%
Provisional	2863	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2863	549	84.72%	99	15.28%
Total	2863	1429	84.86%	255	15.14%
109 Berkshire M					
Polling	1638	439	78.11%	123	21.89%
Absentee by Mail	1638	41	77.36%	12	22.64%
Advance in Person	1638	344	83.09%	70	16.91%
Provisional	1638	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1638	32	82.05%	7	17.95%
Total	1638	860	80.22%	212	19.78%
110 Martins G					
Polling	2187	572	85.37%	98	14.63%
Absentee by Mail	2187	65	95.59%	3	4.41%
Advance in Person	2187	29	87.88%	4	12.12%
Provisional	2187	8	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2187	299	86.42%	47	13.58%
Total	2187	973	86.49%	152	13.51%
111 Duluth H					
Polling	2662	596	82.66%	125	17.34%
Absentee by Mail	2662	155	88.57%	20	11.43%
Advance in Person	2662	480	83.04%	98	16.96%
Provisional	2662	4	80.00%	1	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	2662	96	84.21%	18	15.79%
Total	2662	1331	83.55%	262	16.45%
112 Berkshire N					
Polling	2836	472	73.98%	166	26.02%
Absentee by Mail	2836	99	83.19%	20	16.81%
Advance in Person	2836	927	78.49%	254	21.51%
Provisional	2836	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2836	28	70.00%	12	30.00%
Total	2836	1530	77.19%	452	22.81%
113 Pinckneyville V					
Polling	1572	314	83.51%	62	16.49%
Absentee by Mail	1572	58	93.55%	4	6.45%
Advance in Person	1572	292	87.16%	43	12.84%
Provisional	1572	11	84.62%	2	15.38%
Advance in Person 2	1572	11	91.67%	1	8.33%
Total	1572	686	85.96%	112	14.04%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
114 Pinckneyville W					
Polling	3885	945	79.48%	244	20.52%
Absentee by Mail	3885	124	87.32%	18	12.68%
Advance in Person	3885	582	81.74%	130	18.26%
Provisional	3885	16	88.89%	2	11.11%
Advance in Person 2	3885	35	77.78%	10	22.22%
Total	3885	1702	80.82%	404	19.18%
115 Martins H					
Polling	4487	1088	85.87%	179	14.13%
Absentee by Mail	4487	184	87.20%	27	12.80%
Advance in Person	4487	187	84.23%	35	15.77%
Provisional	4487	12	92.31%	1	7.69%
Advance in Person 2	4487	632	87.66%	89	12.34%
Total	4487	2103	86.40%	331	13.60%
116 Martins I					
Polling	3518	820	87.89%	113	12.11%
Absentee by Mail	3518	138	90.79%	14	9.21%
Advance in Person	3518	287	88.31%	38	11.69%
Provisional	3518	15	93.75%	1	6.25%
Advance in Person 2	3518	232	90.63%	24	9.38%
Total	3518	1492	88.70%	190	11.30%
117 Martins J					
Polling	3809	900	87.38%	130	12.62%
Absentee by Mail	3809	108	93.91%	7	6.09%
Advance in Person	3809	321	89.92%	36	10.08%
Provisional	3809	18	90.00%	2	10.00%
Advance in Person 2	3809	239	89.51%	28	10.49%
Total	3809	1586	88.65%	203	11.35%
118 Garners F					
Polling	1558	367	79.27%	96	20.73%
Absentee by Mail	1558	27	67.50%	13	32.50%
Advance in Person	1558	372	80.17%	92	19.83%
Provisional	1558	3	75.00%	1	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	1558	14	87.50%	2	12.50%
Total	1558	783	79.33%	204	20.67%
119 Berkshire O					
Polling	2422	667	77.02%	199	22.98%
Absentee by Mail	2422	82	83.67%	16	16.33%
Advance in Person	2422	392	82.01%	86	17.99%
Provisional	2422	7	77.78%	2	22.22%
Advance in Person 2	2422	114	79.72%	29	20.28%
Total	2422	1262	79.17%	332	20.83%
120 Berkshire P					
Polling	1339	326	85.12%	57	14.88%
Absentee by Mail	1339	49	89.09%	6	10.91%
Advance in Person	1339	155	84.24%	29	15.76%
Provisional	1339	8	100.00%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Advance in Person 2	1339	54	98.18%	1	1.82%
Total	1339	592	86.42%	93	13.58%
121 Pinckneyville X					
Polling	3198	820	77.07%	244	22.93%
Absentee by Mail	3198	183	87.14%	27	12.86%
Advance in Person	3198	584	79.78%	148	20.22%
Provisional	3198	5	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3198	60	85.71%	10	14.29%
Total	3198	1652	79.38%	429	20.62%
122 Rockbridge G					
Polling	5188	1019	90.34%	109	9.66%
Absentee by Mail	5188	202	89.38%	24	10.62%
Advance in Person	5188	97	89.81%	11	10.19%
Provisional	5188	37	92.50%	3	7.50%
Advance in Person 2	5188	1437	90.66%	148	9.34%
Total	5188	2792	90.44%	295	9.56%
123 Pinckneyville Y					
Polling	3018	655	86.41%	103	13.59%
Absentee by Mail	3018	53	91.38%	5	8.62%
Advance in Person	3018	398	90.25%	43	9.75%
Provisional	3018	20	90.91%	2	9.09%
Advance in Person 2	3018	55	88.71%	7	11.29%
Total	3018	1181	88.07%	160	11.93%
124 Goodwins G					
Polling	2448	629	83.31%	126	16.69%
Absentee by Mail	2448	67	98.53%	1	1.47%
Advance in Person	2448	61	89.71%	7	10.29%
Provisional	2448	8	88.89%	1	11.11%
Advance in Person 2	2448	373	92.33%	31	7.67%
Total	2448	1138	87.27%	166	12.73%
125 Pinckneyville Z					
Polling	1806	624	72.39%	238	27.61%
Absentee by Mail	1806	62	71.26%	25	28.74%
Advance in Person	1806	209	70.61%	87	29.39%
Provisional	1806	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1806	21	72.41%	8	27.59%
Total	1806	918	71.94%	358	28.06%
126 Pinckneyville A1					
Polling	2596	851	73.87%	301	26.13%
Absentee by Mail	2596	129	84.31%	24	15.69%
Advance in Person	2596	372	80.00%	93	20.00%
Provisional	2596	4	57.14%	3	42.86%
Advance in Person 2	2596	37	78.72%	10	21.28%
Total	2596	1393	76.37%	431	23.63%
127 Lawrenceville J					
Polling	1959	511	83.63%	100	16.37%
Absentee by Mail	1959	69	88.46%	9	11.54%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Advance in Person	1959	45	97.83%	1	2.17%
Provisional	1959	5	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1959	344	90.29%	37	9.71%
Total	1959	974	86.89%	147	13.11%
128 Goodwins H					
Polling	2561	674	81.60%	152	18.40%
Absentee by Mail	2561	84	81.55%	19	18.45%
Advance in Person	2561	46	86.79%	7	13.21%
Provisional	2561	9	81.82%	2	18.18%
Advance in Person 2	2561	451	84.62%	82	15.38%
Total	2561	1264	82.83%	262	17.17%
129 Duncans B					
Polling	4655	1211	76.99%	362	23.01%
Absentee by Mail	4655	119	82.07%	26	17.93%
Advance in Person	4655	886	77.79%	253	22.21%
Provisional	4655	5	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4655	97	86.61%	15	13.39%
Total	4655	2318	77.94%	656	22.06%
130 Suwanee D					
Polling	4247	1075	78.07%	302	21.93%
Absentee by Mail	4247	139	84.24%	26	15.76%
Advance in Person	4247	71	83.53%	14	16.47%
Provisional	4247	11	78.57%	3	21.43%
Advance in Person 2	4247	862	78.29%	239	21.71%
Total	4247	2158	78.70%	584	21.30%
131 Suwanee E					
Polling	3921	850	78.78%	229	21.22%
Absentee by Mail	3921	140	80.92%	33	19.08%
Advance in Person	3921	33	76.74%	10	23.26%
Provisional	3921	17	85.00%	3	15.00%
Advance in Person 2	3921	1009	81.70%	226	18.30%
Total	3921	2049	80.35%	501	19.65%
132 Lawrenceville K					
Polling	2915	799	79.34%	208	20.66%
Absentee by Mail	2915	89	83.96%	17	16.04%
Advance in Person	2915	128	73.56%	46	26.44%
Provisional	2915	5	83.33%	1	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	2915	428	83.43%	85	16.57%
Total	2915	1449	80.23%	357	19.77%
133 Harbins B					
Polling	4220	769	79.36%	200	20.64%
Absentee by Mail	4220	70	76.92%	21	23.08%
Advance in Person	4220	1031	79.55%	265	20.45%
Provisional	4220	3	75.00%	1	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	4220	120	85.11%	21	14.89%
Total	4220	1993	79.69%	508	20.31%
134 Baycreek F					

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Polling	4119	1036	86.19%	166	13.81%
Absentee by Mail	4119	150	87.72%	21	12.28%
Advance in Person	4119	27	72.97%	10	27.03%
Provisional	4119	8	80.00%	2	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	4119	1051	89.14%	128	10.86%
Total	4119	2272	87.42%	327	12.58%
135 Pucketts C					
Polling	2931	633	76.36%	196	23.64%
Absentee by Mail	2931	80	81.63%	18	18.37%
Advance in Person	2931	573	80.70%	137	19.30%
Provisional	2931	13	86.67%	2	13.33%
Advance in Person 2	2931	63	84.00%	12	16.00%
Total	2931	1362	78.87%	365	21.13%
136 Hog Mountain C					
Polling	3832	981	81.41%	224	18.59%
Absentee by Mail	3832	109	90.83%	11	9.17%
Advance in Person	3832	516	80.25%	127	19.75%
Provisional	3832	3	60.00%	2	40.00%
Advance in Person 2	3832	177	81.19%	41	18.81%
Total	3832	1786	81.52%	405	18.48%
137 Rockycreek B					
Polling	4677	1104	81.12%	257	18.88%
Absentee by Mail	4677	106	86.18%	17	13.82%
Advance in Person	4677	869	81.14%	202	18.86%
Provisional	4677	7	87.50%	1	12.50%
Advance in Person 2	4677	187	82.74%	39	17.26%
Total	4677	2273	81.50%	516	18.50%
138 Duluth I					
Polling	4491	1013	82.63%	213	17.37%
Absentee by Mail	4491	241	84.27%	45	15.73%
Advance in Person	4491	383	85.30%	66	14.70%
Provisional	4491	3	75.00%	1	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	4491	535	81.68%	120	18.32%
Total	4491	2175	83.02%	445	16.98%
139 Martins K					
Polling	4796	1238	88.87%	155	11.13%
Absentee by Mail	4796	150	92.59%	12	7.41%
Advance in Person	4796	181	89.60%	21	10.40%
Provisional	4796	16	84.21%	3	15.79%
Advance in Person 2	4796	751	93.41%	53	6.59%
Total	4796	2336	90.54%	244	9.46%
140 Suwanee H					
Polling	3480	829	81.19%	192	18.81%
Absentee by Mail	3480	147	81.67%	33	18.33%
Advance in Person	3480	43	86.00%	7	14.00%
Provisional	3480	18	85.71%	3	14.29%
Advance in Person 2	3480	873	80.24%	215	19.76%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Total	3480	1910	80.93%	450	19.07%
141 Sugar Hill G					
Polling	2601	677	78.18%	189	21.82%
Absentee by Mail	2601	73	84.88%	13	15.12%
Advance in Person	2601	105	81.40%	24	18.60%
Provisional	2601	12	85.71%	2	14.29%
Advance in Person 2	2601	473	82.26%	102	17.74%
Total	2601	1340	80.24%	330	19.76%
142 Suwanee F					
Polling	3598	827	77.00%	247	23.00%
Absentee by Mail	3598	118	88.06%	16	11.94%
Advance in Person	3598	59	85.51%	10	14.49%
Provisional	3598	12	92.31%	1	7.69%
Advance in Person 2	3598	693	82.50%	147	17.50%
Total	3598	1709	80.23%	421	19.77%
143 Lawrenceville L					
Polling	4355	1085	82.76%	226	17.24%
Absentee by Mail	4355	161	90.45%	17	9.55%
Advance in Person	4355	43	81.13%	10	18.87%
Provisional	4355	13	92.86%	1	7.14%
Advance in Person 2	4355	936	85.09%	164	14.91%
Total	4355	2238	84.26%	418	15.74%
144 Lawrenceville M					
Polling	5956	1318	87.87%	182	12.13%
Absentee by Mail	5956	163	95.88%	7	4.12%
Advance in Person	5956	228	84.76%	41	15.24%
Provisional	5956	20	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5956	1178	92.10%	101	7.90%
Total	5956	2907	89.78%	331	10.22%
145 Baycreek G					
Polling	5092	1300	84.86%	232	15.14%
Absentee by Mail	5092	147	86.98%	22	13.02%
Advance in Person	5092	48	90.57%	5	9.43%
Provisional	5092	12	92.31%	1	7.69%
Advance in Person 2	5092	1278	89.31%	153	10.69%
Total	5092	2785	87.09%	413	12.91%
146 Baycreek H					
Polling	5697	1332	78.63%	362	21.37%
Absentee by Mail	5697	183	89.27%	22	10.73%
Advance in Person	5697	82	83.67%	16	16.33%
Provisional	5697	8	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5697	1356	85.77%	225	14.23%
Total	5697	2961	82.57%	625	17.43%
147 Baycreek I					
Polling	5162	1051	82.76%	219	17.24%
Absentee by Mail	5162	163	85.34%	28	14.66%
Advance in Person	5162	47	88.68%	6	11.32%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Provisional	5162	11	84.62%	2	15.38%
Advance in Person 2	5162	1322	87.84%	183	12.16%
Total	5162	2594	85.55%	438	14.45%
148 Berkshire Q					
Polling	1644	435	81.92%	96	18.08%
Absentee by Mail	1644	43	84.31%	8	15.69%
Advance in Person	1644	321	82.31%	69	17.69%
Provisional	1644	1	20.00%	4	80.00%
Advance in Person 2	1644	19	73.08%	7	26.92%
Total	1644	819	81.66%	184	18.34%
149 Goodwins I					
Polling	2341	657	75.26%	216	24.74%
Absentee by Mail	2341	98	77.78%	28	22.22%
Advance in Person	2341	66	81.48%	15	18.52%
Provisional	2341	6	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2341	361	80.58%	87	19.42%
Total	2341	1188	77.44%	346	22.56%
150 Duluth K					
Polling	2694	490	91.76%	44	8.24%
Absentee by Mail	2694	43	84.31%	8	15.69%
Advance in Person	2694	338	89.18%	41	10.82%
Provisional	2694	8	88.89%	1	11.11%
Advance in Person 2	2694	37	94.87%	2	5.13%
Total	2694	916	90.51%	96	9.49%
151 Harbins C					
Polling	2369	721	76.46%	222	23.54%
Absentee by Mail	2369	59	80.82%	14	19.18%
Advance in Person	2369	240	81.08%	56	18.92%
Provisional	2369	4	66.67%	2	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	2369	240	86.64%	37	13.36%
Total	2369	1264	79.25%	331	20.75%
152 Rockycreek C					
Polling	4504	1082	81.23%	250	18.77%
Absentee by Mail	4504	112	88.89%	14	11.11%
Advance in Person	4504	990	82.02%	217	17.98%
Provisional	4504	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4504	186	88.57%	24	11.43%
Total	4504	2374	82.46%	505	17.54%
153 Lawrenceville N					
Polling	2343	498	82.18%	108	17.82%
Absentee by Mail	2343	62	82.67%	13	17.33%
Advance in Person	2343	17	94.44%	1	5.56%
Provisional	2343	19	90.48%	2	9.52%
Advance in Person 2	2343	438	86.56%	68	13.44%
Total	2343	1034	84.34%	192	15.66%
154 Pucketts D					
Polling	3166	698	79.50%	180	20.50%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 4 / CONST ENMIENDAS 4				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Absentee by Mail	3166	113	83.09%	23	16.91%
Advance in Person	3166	679	79.51%	175	20.49%
Provisional	3166	12	80.00%	3	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	3166	58	78.38%	16	21.62%
Total	3166	1560	79.71%	397	20.29%
155 Suwanee G					
Polling	3451	887	78.63%	241	21.37%
Absentee by Mail	3451	115	87.12%	17	12.88%
Advance in Person	3451	71	84.52%	13	15.48%
Provisional	3451	12	85.71%	2	14.29%
Advance in Person 2	3451	627	83.71%	122	16.29%
Total	3451	1712	81.25%	395	18.75%
156 Baycreek J					
Polling	4038	1021	86.53%	159	13.47%
Absentee by Mail	4038	146	93.59%	10	6.41%
Advance in Person	4038	45	88.24%	6	11.76%
Provisional	4038	9	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4038	1018	88.52%	132	11.48%
Total	4038	2239	87.94%	307	12.06%
Total					
Polling	525568	123886	81.95%	27291	18.05%
Absentee by Mail	525568	16808	87.15%	2478	12.85%
Advance in Person	525568	50460	82.73%	10530	17.27%
Advance in Person 2	525568	62442	85.75%	10373	14.25%
Provisional	525568	1744	86.98%	261	13.02%
Total	525568	255340	83.37%	50933	16.63%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Jurisdiction Wide					
001 Harbins A					
Polling	6123	1093	73.40%	396	26.60%
Absentee by Mail	6123	127	69.02%	57	30.98%
Advance in Person	6123	730	67.59%	350	32.41%
Provisional	6123	15	93.75%	1	6.25%
Advance in Person 2	6123	548	70.26%	232	29.74%
Total	6123	2513	70.81%	1036	29.19%
002 Rockbridge A					
Polling	3653	608	77.26%	179	22.74%
Absentee by Mail	3653	108	83.08%	22	16.92%
Advance in Person	3653	96	82.76%	20	17.24%
Provisional	3653	53	69.74%	23	30.26%
Advance in Person 2	3653	865	81.45%	197	18.55%
Total	3653	1730	79.69%	441	20.31%
003 Dacula					
Polling	4478	822	76.18%	257	23.82%
Absentee by Mail	4478	97	76.98%	29	23.02%
Advance in Person	4478	822	71.73%	324	28.27%
Provisional	4478	6	85.71%	1	14.29%
Advance in Person 2	4478	179	77.83%	51	22.17%
Total	4478	1926	74.42%	662	25.58%
004 Suwanee A					
Polling	1551	268	73.63%	96	26.37%
Absentee by Mail	1551	29	70.73%	12	29.27%
Advance in Person	1551	25	69.44%	11	30.56%
Provisional	1551	10	66.67%	5	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	1551	286	76.27%	89	23.73%
Total	1551	618	74.37%	213	25.63%
005 Baycreek A					
Polling	2555	602	70.00%	258	30.00%
Absentee by Mail	2555	64	66.67%	32	33.33%
Advance in Person	2555	26	76.47%	8	23.53%
Provisional	2555	19	70.37%	8	29.63%
Advance in Person 2	2555	491	72.31%	188	27.69%
Total	2555	1202	70.87%	494	29.13%
006 Goodwins A					
Polling	3583	861	75.13%	285	24.87%
Absentee by Mail	3583	85	70.83%	35	29.17%
Advance in Person	3583	83	78.30%	23	21.70%
Provisional	3583	14	87.50%	2	12.50%
Advance in Person 2	3583	472	71.84%	185	28.16%
Total	3583	1515	74.08%	530	25.92%
007 Duluth A					
Polling	4599	1013	75.48%	329	24.52%
Absentee by Mail	4599	158	74.88%	53	25.12%
Advance in Person	4599	549	73.10%	202	26.90%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Provisional	4599	9	90.00%	1	10.00%
Advance in Person 2	4599	361	70.10%	154	29.90%
Total	4599	2090	73.88%	739	26.12%
008 Duncans A					
Polling	4278	870	69.94%	374	30.06%
Absentee by Mail	4278	92	73.60%	33	26.40%
Advance in Person	4278	854	70.00%	366	30.00%
Provisional	4278	10	76.92%	3	23.08%
Advance in Person 2	4278	113	69.75%	49	30.25%
Total	4278	1939	70.15%	825	29.85%
009 Pucketts A					
Polling	4844	746	69.40%	329	30.60%
Absentee by Mail	4844	116	69.88%	50	30.12%
Advance in Person	4844	1196	68.19%	558	31.81%
Provisional	4844	3	75.00%	1	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	4844	35	64.81%	19	35.19%
Total	4844	2096	68.65%	957	31.35%
010 Cates A					
Polling	2209	435	71.08%	177	28.92%
Absentee by Mail	2209	94	66.67%	47	33.33%
Advance in Person	2209	13	52.00%	12	48.00%
Provisional	2209	2	50.00%	2	50.00%
Advance in Person 2	2209	424	72.35%	162	27.65%
Total	2209	968	70.76%	400	29.24%
011 Berkshire A					
Polling	2247	493	78.63%	134	21.37%
Absentee by Mail	2247	75	81.52%	17	18.48%
Advance in Person	2247	249	76.38%	77	23.62%
Provisional	2247	7	63.64%	4	36.36%
Advance in Person 2	2247	46	73.02%	17	26.98%
Total	2247	870	77.75%	249	22.25%
012 Berkshire B					
Polling	5010	732	81.79%	163	18.21%
Absentee by Mail	5010	123	75.46%	40	24.54%
Advance in Person	5010	1115	78.74%	301	21.26%
Provisional	5010	17	80.95%	4	19.05%
Advance in Person 2	5010	59	80.82%	14	19.18%
Total	5010	2046	79.67%	522	20.33%
013 Duncans C					
Polling	2828	671	68.05%	315	31.95%
Absentee by Mail	2828	59	72.84%	22	27.16%
Advance in Person	2828	511	68.50%	235	31.50%
Provisional	2828	4	66.67%	2	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	2828	54	70.13%	23	29.87%
Total	2828	1299	68.51%	597	31.49%
014 Garners A					
Polling	3302	680	70.47%	285	29.53%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Absentee by Mail	3302	91	69.47%	40	30.53%
Advance in Person	3302	687	69.60%	300	30.40%
Provisional	3302	4	50.00%	4	50.00%
Advance in Person 2	3302	75	70.09%	32	29.91%
Total	3302	1537	69.93%	661	30.07%
015 Lawrenceville A					
Polling	3300	518	74.00%	182	26.00%
Absentee by Mail	3300	99	72.26%	38	27.74%
Advance in Person	3300	14	87.50%	2	12.50%
Provisional	3300	3	75.00%	1	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	3300	530	73.10%	195	26.90%
Total	3300	1164	73.58%	418	26.42%
016 Lawrenceville B					
Polling	4520	768	76.42%	237	23.58%
Absentee by Mail	4520	134	81.71%	30	18.29%
Advance in Person	4520	38	88.37%	5	11.63%
Provisional	4520	7	63.64%	4	36.36%
Advance in Person 2	4520	1077	77.71%	309	22.29%
Total	4520	2024	77.58%	585	22.42%
017 Martins A					
Polling	3019	661	82.63%	139	17.38%
Absentee by Mail	3019	77	81.05%	18	18.95%
Advance in Person	3019	197	80.41%	48	19.59%
Provisional	3019	9	81.82%	2	18.18%
Advance in Person 2	3019	232	77.08%	69	22.92%
Total	3019	1176	80.99%	276	19.01%
018 Martins B					
Polling	2796	633	77.86%	180	22.14%
Absentee by Mail	2796	68	77.27%	20	22.73%
Advance in Person	2796	76	82.61%	16	17.39%
Provisional	2796	15	75.00%	5	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	2796	331	75.74%	106	24.26%
Total	2796	1123	77.45%	327	22.55%
019 Martins C					
Polling	2349	619	75.86%	197	24.14%
Absentee by Mail	2349	53	73.61%	19	26.39%
Advance in Person	2349	139	74.73%	47	25.27%
Provisional	2349	8	80.00%	2	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	2349	178	73.86%	63	26.14%
Total	2349	997	75.25%	328	24.75%
020 Pinckneyville A					
Polling	2603	582	83.38%	116	16.62%
Absentee by Mail	2603	58	73.42%	21	26.58%
Advance in Person	2603	306	82.04%	67	17.96%
Provisional	2603	14	82.35%	3	17.65%
Advance in Person 2	2603	44	80.00%	11	20.00%
Total	2603	1004	82.16%	218	17.84%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
021 Pinckneyville B					
Polling	3216	531	83.36%	106	16.64%
Absentee by Mail	3216	78	72.90%	29	27.10%
Advance in Person	3216	453	81.04%	106	18.96%
Provisional	3216	27	93.10%	2	6.90%
Advance in Person 2	3216	20	83.33%	4	16.67%
Total	3216	1109	81.78%	247	18.22%
022 Pinckneyville C					
Polling	1796	562	72.24%	216	27.76%
Absentee by Mail	1796	82	69.49%	36	30.51%
Advance in Person	1796	211	74.30%	73	25.70%
Provisional	1796	3	75.00%	1	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	1796	24	58.54%	17	41.46%
Total	1796	882	72.00%	343	28.00%
023 Pinckneyville D					
Polling	2042	412	82.73%	86	17.27%
Absentee by Mail	2042	48	75.00%	16	25.00%
Advance in Person	2042	216	78.83%	58	21.17%
Provisional	2042	12	92.31%	1	7.69%
Advance in Person 2	2042	29	74.36%	10	25.64%
Total	2042	717	80.74%	171	19.26%
024 Sugar Hill A					
Polling	4001	761	73.74%	271	26.26%
Absentee by Mail	4001	90	76.27%	28	23.73%
Advance in Person	4001	457	70.42%	192	29.58%
Provisional	4001	13	72.22%	5	27.78%
Advance in Person 2	4001	159	74.30%	55	25.70%
Total	4001	1480	72.87%	551	27.13%
025 Sugar Hill B					
Polling	4835	985	68.45%	454	31.55%
Absentee by Mail	4835	100	68.03%	47	31.97%
Advance in Person	4835	297	69.56%	130	30.44%
Provisional	4835	13	68.42%	6	31.58%
Advance in Person 2	4835	414	72.63%	156	27.37%
Total	4835	1809	69.52%	793	30.48%
026 Hog Mountain A					
Polling	4467	971	75.74%	311	24.26%
Absentee by Mail	4467	108	73.97%	38	26.03%
Advance in Person	4467	290	78.38%	80	21.62%
Provisional	4467	15	78.95%	4	21.05%
Advance in Person 2	4467	435	76.58%	133	23.42%
Total	4467	1819	76.27%	566	23.73%
027 Hog Mountain B					
Polling	4211	952	70.94%	390	29.06%
Absentee by Mail	4211	107	67.30%	52	32.70%
Advance in Person	4211	518	66.07%	266	33.93%
Provisional	4211	7	63.64%	4	36.36%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Advance in Person 2	4211	251	74.93%	84	25.07%
Total	4211	1835	69.75%	796	30.25%
028 Rockycreek A					
Polling	5149	1048	72.68%	394	27.32%
Absentee by Mail	5149	88	73.33%	32	26.67%
Advance in Person	5149	973	68.47%	448	31.53%
Provisional	5149	3	75.00%	1	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	5149	122	75.31%	40	24.69%
Total	5149	2234	70.94%	915	29.06%
029 Cates B					
Polling	1559	390	72.09%	151	27.91%
Absentee by Mail	1559	40	75.47%	13	24.53%
Advance in Person	1559	113	66.86%	56	33.14%
Provisional	1559	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1559	136	60.71%	88	39.29%
Total	1559	682	68.89%	308	31.11%
030 Cates C					
Polling	2599	563	76.49%	173	23.51%
Absentee by Mail	2599	61	71.76%	24	28.24%
Advance in Person	2599	17	73.91%	6	26.09%
Provisional	2599	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2599	539	74.14%	188	25.86%
Total	2599	1187	75.22%	391	24.78%
031 Hog Mountain D					
Polling	2958	630	70.08%	269	29.92%
Absentee by Mail	2958	78	81.25%	18	18.75%
Advance in Person	2958	210	65.02%	113	34.98%
Provisional	2958	7	87.50%	1	12.50%
Advance in Person 2	2958	225	68.18%	105	31.82%
Total	2958	1150	69.44%	506	30.56%
032 Berkshire D					
Polling	2684	593	72.85%	221	27.15%
Absentee by Mail	2684	90	73.17%	33	26.83%
Advance in Person	2684	550	77.46%	160	22.54%
Provisional	2684	5	83.33%	1	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	2684	57	69.51%	25	30.49%
Total	2684	1295	74.64%	440	25.36%
033 Berkshire E					
Polling	1866	432	68.68%	197	31.32%
Absentee by Mail	1866	61	74.39%	21	25.61%
Advance in Person	1866	393	75.29%	129	24.71%
Provisional	1866	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1866	26	72.22%	10	27.78%
Total	1866	913	71.89%	357	28.11%
034 Berkshire F					
Polling	2003	458	74.47%	157	25.53%
Absentee by Mail	2003	50	68.49%	23	31.51%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Advance in Person	2003	189	81.12%	44	18.88%
Provisional	2003	11	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2003	58	80.56%	14	19.44%
Total	2003	766	76.29%	238	23.71%
035 Cates D - 04					
Polling	2605	553	68.10%	259	31.90%
Absentee by Mail	2605	74	74.75%	25	25.25%
Advance in Person	2605	135	76.27%	42	23.73%
Provisional	2605	7	87.50%	1	12.50%
Advance in Person 2	2605	359	73.72%	128	26.28%
Total	2605	1128	71.26%	455	28.74%
035 Cates D - 07					
Polling	585	126	68.11%	59	31.89%
Absentee by Mail	585	21	84.00%	4	16.00%
Advance in Person	585	51	71.83%	20	28.17%
Provisional	585	2	66.67%	1	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	585	45	68.18%	21	31.82%
Total	585	245	70.00%	105	30.00%
036 Cates E					
Polling	2744	649	71.08%	264	28.92%
Absentee by Mail	2744	74	68.52%	34	31.48%
Advance in Person	2744	335	71.28%	135	28.72%
Provisional	2744	7	77.78%	2	22.22%
Advance in Person 2	2744	248	74.25%	86	25.75%
Total	2744	1313	71.59%	521	28.41%
037 Pinckneyville E					
Polling	2183	546	81.49%	124	18.51%
Absentee by Mail	2183	44	81.48%	10	18.52%
Advance in Person	2183	192	78.37%	53	21.63%
Provisional	2183	10	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2183	17	80.95%	4	19.05%
Total	2183	809	80.90%	191	19.10%
038 Pinckneyville F					
Polling	2301	510	80.82%	121	19.18%
Absentee by Mail	2301	62	76.54%	19	23.46%
Advance in Person	2301	240	77.17%	71	22.83%
Provisional	2301	5	71.43%	2	28.57%
Advance in Person 2	2301	27	72.97%	10	27.03%
Total	2301	844	79.10%	223	20.90%
039 Duncans D					
Polling	6085	1238	69.90%	533	30.10%
Absentee by Mail	6085	127	62.25%	77	37.75%
Advance in Person	6085	1022	66.58%	513	33.42%
Provisional	6085	9	69.23%	4	30.77%
Advance in Person 2	6085	106	64.63%	58	35.37%
Total	6085	2502	67.86%	1185	32.14%
040 Pinckneyville H					

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Polling	4126	982	73.61%	352	26.39%
Absentee by Mail	4126	166	77.57%	48	22.43%
Advance in Person	4126	750	73.03%	277	26.97%
Provisional	4126	11	91.67%	1	8.33%
Advance in Person 2	4126	50	60.98%	32	39.02%
Total	4126	1959	73.40%	710	26.60%
041 Baycreek B					
Polling	5349	1215	76.42%	375	23.58%
Absentee by Mail	5349	157	82.20%	34	17.80%
Advance in Person	5349	39	82.98%	8	17.02%
Provisional	5349	18	81.82%	4	18.18%
Advance in Person 2	5349	1078	79.44%	279	20.56%
Total	5349	2507	78.17%	700	21.83%
042 Lawrenceville C					
Polling	2761	612	70.51%	256	29.49%
Absentee by Mail	2761	60	65.93%	31	34.07%
Advance in Person	2761	224	72.73%	84	27.27%
Provisional	2761	4	80.00%	1	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	2761	240	70.80%	99	29.20%
Total	2761	1140	70.76%	471	29.24%
043 Martins D					
Polling	2059	469	80.45%	114	19.55%
Absentee by Mail	2059	54	78.26%	15	21.74%
Advance in Person	2059	170	81.34%	39	18.66%
Provisional	2059	11	84.62%	2	15.38%
Advance in Person 2	2059	73	82.02%	16	17.98%
Total	2059	777	80.69%	186	19.31%
044 Rockbridge B					
Polling	3761	747	78.38%	206	21.62%
Absentee by Mail	3761	75	78.95%	20	21.05%
Advance in Person	3761	90	81.08%	21	18.92%
Provisional	3761	10	76.92%	3	23.08%
Advance in Person 2	3761	745	80.63%	179	19.37%
Total	3761	1667	79.53%	429	20.47%
045 Garners B					
Polling	2916	506	74.30%	175	25.70%
Absentee by Mail	2916	81	66.94%	40	33.06%
Advance in Person	2916	499	73.93%	176	26.07%
Provisional	2916	4	66.67%	2	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	2916	285	76.41%	88	23.59%
Total	2916	1375	74.08%	481	25.92%
046 Cates F					
Polling	1689	460	71.21%	186	28.79%
Absentee by Mail	1689	40	70.18%	17	29.82%
Advance in Person	1689	37	75.51%	12	24.49%
Provisional	1689	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1689	234	70.06%	100	29.94%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Total	1689	775	71.10%	315	28.90%
047 Cates G					
Polling	2597	608	68.01%	286	31.99%
Absentee by Mail	2597	70	64.22%	39	35.78%
Advance in Person	2597	74	66.07%	38	33.93%
Provisional	2597	6	85.71%	1	14.29%
Advance in Person 2	2597	447	68.77%	203	31.23%
Total	2597	1205	68.00%	567	32.00%
048 Duluth B					
Polling	3332	628	72.69%	236	27.31%
Absentee by Mail	3332	112	70.89%	46	29.11%
Advance in Person	3332	455	72.80%	170	27.20%
Provisional	3332	5	71.43%	2	28.57%
Advance in Person 2	3332	95	65.97%	49	34.03%
Total	3332	1295	72.02%	503	27.98%
049 Garners C					
Polling	1908	388	74.05%	136	25.95%
Absentee by Mail	1908	42	77.78%	12	22.22%
Advance in Person	1908	345	72.03%	134	27.97%
Provisional	1908	1	25.00%	3	75.00%
Advance in Person 2	1908	37	82.22%	8	17.78%
Total	1908	813	73.51%	293	26.49%
050 Pinckneyville I					
Polling	2479	522	81.31%	120	18.69%
Absentee by Mail	2479	61	76.25%	19	23.75%
Advance in Person	2479	321	81.06%	75	18.94%
Provisional	2479	16	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2479	55	80.88%	13	19.12%
Total	2479	975	81.11%	227	18.89%
051 Pinckneyville J					
Polling	2543	438	84.07%	83	15.93%
Absentee by Mail	2543	49	73.13%	18	26.87%
Advance in Person	2543	322	80.50%	78	19.50%
Provisional	2543	21	80.77%	5	19.23%
Advance in Person 2	2543	30	83.33%	6	16.67%
Total	2543	860	81.90%	190	18.10%
052 Berkshire G					
Polling	2250	462	74.16%	161	25.84%
Absentee by Mail	2250	59	73.75%	21	26.25%
Advance in Person	2250	516	72.17%	199	27.83%
Provisional	2250	1	33.33%	2	66.67%
Advance in Person 2	2250	16	59.26%	11	40.74%
Total	2250	1054	72.79%	394	27.21%
053 Cates H					
Polling	3622	732	76.49%	225	23.51%
Absentee by Mail	3622	81	71.05%	33	28.95%
Advance in Person	3622	29	63.04%	17	36.96%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Provisional	3622	9	81.82%	2	18.18%
Advance in Person 2	3622	660	78.11%	185	21.89%
Total	3622	1511	76.58%	462	23.42%
054 Sugar Hill C					
Polling	4596	1052	68.45%	485	31.55%
Absentee by Mail	4596	112	67.47%	54	32.53%
Advance in Person	4596	299	69.53%	131	30.47%
Provisional	4596	17	62.96%	10	37.04%
Advance in Person 2	4596	395	71.69%	156	28.31%
Total	4596	1875	69.16%	836	30.84%
055 Sugar Hill D					
Polling	4070	830	70.10%	354	29.90%
Absentee by Mail	4070	93	74.40%	32	25.60%
Advance in Person	4070	590	70.66%	245	29.34%
Provisional	4070	17	60.71%	11	39.29%
Advance in Person 2	4070	98	69.01%	44	30.99%
Total	4070	1628	70.35%	686	29.65%
056 Duluth C					
Polling	2243	468	70.06%	200	29.94%
Absentee by Mail	2243	57	61.96%	35	38.04%
Advance in Person	2243	336	74.34%	116	25.66%
Provisional	2243	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2243	57	81.43%	13	18.57%
Total	2243	921	71.67%	364	28.33%
057 Duluth D					
Polling	4404	729	71.61%	289	28.39%
Absentee by Mail	4404	137	72.49%	52	27.51%
Advance in Person	4404	724	76.13%	227	23.87%
Provisional	4404	11	61.11%	7	38.89%
Advance in Person 2	4404	90	64.75%	49	35.25%
Total	4404	1691	73.05%	624	26.95%
058 Pinckneyville K					
Polling	3547	688	79.72%	175	20.28%
Absentee by Mail	3547	88	80.73%	21	19.27%
Advance in Person	3547	380	81.55%	86	18.45%
Provisional	3547	18	81.82%	4	18.18%
Advance in Person 2	3547	67	78.82%	18	21.18%
Total	3547	1241	80.32%	304	19.68%
059 Pinckneyville N					
Polling	3717	857	82.80%	178	17.20%
Absentee by Mail	3717	60	84.51%	11	15.49%
Advance in Person	3717	449	84.24%	84	15.76%
Provisional	3717	13	81.25%	3	18.75%
Advance in Person 2	3717	47	87.04%	7	12.96%
Total	3717	1426	83.44%	283	16.56%
060 Lawrenceville D					
Polling	4872	842	82.63%	177	17.37%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Absentee by Mail	4872	95	82.61%	20	17.39%
Advance in Person	4872	63	74.12%	22	25.88%
Provisional	4872	16	76.19%	5	23.81%
Advance in Person 2	4872	691	80.54%	167	19.46%
Total	4872	1707	81.36%	391	18.64%
061 Lawrenceville E					
Polling	2205	432	69.68%	188	30.32%
Absentee by Mail	2205	62	62.00%	38	38.00%
Advance in Person	2205	12	66.67%	6	33.33%
Provisional	2205	2	66.67%	1	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	2205	433	70.98%	177	29.02%
Total	2205	941	69.65%	410	30.35%
062 Pinckneyville L					
Polling	2233	703	65.76%	366	34.24%
Absentee by Mail	2233	88	72.13%	34	27.87%
Advance in Person	2233	261	70.16%	111	29.84%
Provisional	2233	0	0.00%	1	100.00%
Advance in Person 2	2233	23	62.16%	14	37.84%
Total	2233	1075	67.15%	526	32.85%
063 Pinckneyville M					
Polling	2515	760	67.92%	359	32.08%
Absentee by Mail	2515	100	62.11%	61	37.89%
Advance in Person	2515	289	65.53%	152	34.47%
Provisional	2515	6	85.71%	1	14.29%
Advance in Person 2	2515	11	57.89%	8	42.11%
Total	2515	1166	66.74%	581	33.26%
064 Garners D					
Polling	3127	662	79.00%	176	21.00%
Absentee by Mail	3127	76	75.25%	25	24.75%
Advance in Person	3127	452	74.71%	153	25.29%
Provisional	3127	8	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3127	221	76.47%	68	23.53%
Total	3127	1419	77.08%	422	22.92%
065 Berkshire H					
Polling	1717	340	76.23%	106	23.77%
Absentee by Mail	1717	47	82.46%	10	17.54%
Advance in Person	1717	247	78.41%	68	21.59%
Provisional	1717	4	57.14%	3	42.86%
Advance in Person 2	1717	29	82.86%	6	17.14%
Total	1717	667	77.56%	193	22.44%
066 Pinckneyville O					
Polling	3267	636	84.80%	114	15.20%
Absentee by Mail	3267	60	80.00%	15	20.00%
Advance in Person	3267	486	79.93%	122	20.07%
Provisional	3267	19	73.08%	7	26.92%
Advance in Person 2	3267	24	85.71%	4	14.29%
Total	3267	1225	82.38%	262	17.62%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
067 Pucketts E					
Polling	4771	834	75.20%	275	24.80%
Absentee by Mail	4771	128	73.56%	46	26.44%
Advance in Person	4771	837	71.29%	337	28.71%
Provisional	4771	21	87.50%	3	12.50%
Advance in Person 2	4771	112	72.26%	43	27.74%
Total	4771	1932	73.29%	704	26.71%
068 Cates I					
Polling	3395	830	72.68%	312	27.32%
Absentee by Mail	3395	99	72.79%	37	27.21%
Advance in Person	3395	140	72.16%	54	27.84%
Provisional	3395	8	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3395	497	78.39%	137	21.61%
Total	3395	1574	74.46%	540	25.54%
069 Cates J					
Polling	3266	794	73.52%	286	26.48%
Absentee by Mail	3266	88	74.58%	30	25.42%
Advance in Person	3266	443	72.74%	166	27.26%
Provisional	3266	9	75.00%	3	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	3266	166	78.67%	45	21.33%
Total	3266	1500	73.89%	530	26.11%
070 Goodwins B					
Polling	3604	821	70.05%	351	29.95%
Absentee by Mail	3604	94	64.83%	51	35.17%
Advance in Person	3604	132	65.35%	70	34.65%
Provisional	3604	5	83.33%	1	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	3604	446	66.17%	228	33.83%
Total	3604	1498	68.12%	701	31.88%
071 Lawrenceville F					
Polling	3698	695	81.29%	160	18.71%
Absentee by Mail	3698	119	84.40%	22	15.60%
Advance in Person	3698	47	83.93%	9	16.07%
Provisional	3698	14	93.33%	1	6.67%
Advance in Person 2	3698	810	79.02%	215	20.98%
Total	3698	1685	80.54%	407	19.46%
072 Martins E					
Polling	5934	978	83.95%	187	16.05%
Absentee by Mail	5934	146	85.38%	25	14.62%
Advance in Person	5934	580	85.93%	95	14.07%
Provisional	5934	46	90.20%	5	9.80%
Advance in Person 2	5934	194	80.50%	47	19.50%
Total	5934	1944	84.41%	359	15.59%
073 Pinckneyville P					
Polling	2048	645	74.48%	221	25.52%
Absentee by Mail	2048	73	66.36%	37	33.64%
Advance in Person	2048	208	75.09%	69	24.91%
Provisional	2048	5	71.43%	2	28.57%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Advance in Person 2	2048	21	87.50%	3	12.50%
Total	2048	952	74.14%	332	25.86%
074 Pinckneyville Q					
Polling	1725	505	86.62%	78	13.38%
Absentee by Mail	1725	27	90.00%	3	10.00%
Advance in Person	1725	154	83.70%	30	16.30%
Provisional	1725	7	70.00%	3	30.00%
Advance in Person 2	1725	29	80.56%	7	19.44%
Total	1725	722	85.65%	121	14.35%
075 Rockbridge C					
Polling	4722	930	78.88%	249	21.12%
Absentee by Mail	4722	143	78.14%	40	21.86%
Advance in Person	4722	131	79.88%	33	20.12%
Provisional	4722	12	75.00%	4	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	4722	1131	80.04%	282	19.96%
Total	4722	2347	79.42%	608	20.58%
076 Rockbridge D					
Polling	3359	638	79.45%	165	20.55%
Absentee by Mail	3359	108	78.83%	29	21.17%
Advance in Person	3359	28	80.00%	7	20.00%
Provisional	3359	19	79.17%	5	20.83%
Advance in Person 2	3359	839	78.05%	236	21.95%
Total	3359	1632	78.69%	442	21.31%
077 Goodwins C					
Polling	5106	1047	79.44%	271	20.56%
Absentee by Mail	5106	171	85.07%	30	14.93%
Advance in Person	5106	223	80.22%	55	19.78%
Provisional	5106	13	72.22%	5	27.78%
Advance in Person 2	5106	631	76.95%	189	23.05%
Total	5106	2085	79.13%	550	20.87%
078 Baycreek K					
Polling	3536	689	74.81%	232	25.19%
Absentee by Mail	3536	113	74.83%	38	25.17%
Advance in Person	3536	25	71.43%	10	28.57%
Provisional	3536	10	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3536	838	75.16%	277	24.84%
Total	3536	1675	75.04%	557	24.96%
079 Cates K					
Polling	2531	692	73.15%	254	26.85%
Absentee by Mail	2531	45	63.38%	26	36.62%
Advance in Person	2531	74	63.25%	43	36.75%
Provisional	2531	6	85.71%	1	14.29%
Advance in Person 2	2531	338	71.91%	132	28.09%
Total	2531	1155	71.69%	456	28.31%
080 Baycreek C					
Polling	5755	1156	72.07%	448	27.93%
Absentee by Mail	5755	124	68.51%	57	31.49%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Advance in Person	5755	55	58.51%	39	41.49%
Provisional	5755	10	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5755	1340	76.62%	409	23.38%
Total	5755	2685	73.80%	953	26.20%
081 Cates L					
Polling	3751	779	71.86%	305	28.14%
Absentee by Mail	3751	98	74.24%	34	25.76%
Advance in Person	3751	39	88.64%	5	11.36%
Provisional	3751	8	88.89%	1	11.11%
Advance in Person 2	3751	737	74.67%	250	25.33%
Total	3751	1661	73.63%	595	26.37%
082 Duluth E					
Polling	4580	891	79.70%	227	20.30%
Absentee by Mail	4580	122	81.88%	27	18.12%
Advance in Person	4580	744	77.26%	219	22.74%
Provisional	4580	16	76.19%	5	23.81%
Advance in Person 2	4580	73	70.19%	31	29.81%
Total	4580	1846	78.39%	509	21.61%
083 Goodwins D					
Polling	3985	874	70.20%	371	29.80%
Absentee by Mail	3985	83	63.36%	48	36.64%
Advance in Person	3985	78	75.73%	25	24.27%
Provisional	3985	16	84.21%	3	15.79%
Advance in Person 2	3985	532	71.22%	215	28.78%
Total	3985	1583	70.51%	662	29.49%
084 Lawrenceville G					
Polling	2783	607	80.50%	147	19.50%
Absentee by Mail	2783	68	81.93%	15	18.07%
Advance in Person	2783	30	78.95%	8	21.05%
Provisional	2783	20	95.24%	1	4.76%
Advance in Person 2	2783	412	76.16%	129	23.84%
Total	2783	1137	79.12%	300	20.88%
085 Lawrenceville H					
Polling	3899	811	70.03%	347	29.97%
Absentee by Mail	3899	105	67.31%	51	32.69%
Advance in Person	3899	170	73.91%	60	26.09%
Provisional	3899	8	72.73%	3	27.27%
Advance in Person 2	3899	531	70.15%	226	29.85%
Total	3899	1625	70.29%	687	29.71%
086 Martins F					
Polling	2401	529	80.03%	132	19.97%
Absentee by Mail	2401	57	75.00%	19	25.00%
Advance in Person	2401	256	81.79%	57	18.21%
Provisional	2401	12	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2401	80	81.63%	18	18.37%
Total	2401	934	80.52%	226	19.48%
087 Pinckneyville S					

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Polling	3013	713	76.67%	217	23.33%
Absentee by Mail	3013	104	77.04%	31	22.96%
Advance in Person	3013	342	75.00%	114	25.00%
Provisional	3013	6	75.00%	2	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	3013	39	66.10%	20	33.90%
Total	3013	1204	75.82%	384	24.18%
088 Rockbridge E					
Polling	4199	870	78.45%	239	21.55%
Absentee by Mail	4199	91	82.73%	19	17.27%
Advance in Person	4199	117	73.13%	43	26.88%
Provisional	4199	36	97.30%	1	2.70%
Advance in Person 2	4199	653	81.12%	152	18.88%
Total	4199	1767	79.56%	454	20.44%
089 Sugar Hill E					
Polling	3061	656	71.85%	257	28.15%
Absentee by Mail	3061	70	62.50%	42	37.50%
Advance in Person	3061	140	71.07%	57	28.93%
Provisional	3061	17	85.00%	3	15.00%
Advance in Person 2	3061	405	70.43%	170	29.57%
Total	3061	1288	70.89%	529	29.11%
090 Lawrenceville I					
Polling	3140	738	80.83%	175	19.17%
Absentee by Mail	3140	87	79.82%	22	20.18%
Advance in Person	3140	109	77.30%	32	22.70%
Provisional	3140	7	87.50%	1	12.50%
Advance in Person 2	3140	387	75.44%	126	24.56%
Total	3140	1328	78.86%	356	21.14%
091 Baycreek D					
Polling	4157	865	73.18%	317	26.82%
Absentee by Mail	4157	120	76.43%	37	23.57%
Advance in Person	4157	27	72.97%	10	27.03%
Provisional	4157	7	77.78%	2	22.22%
Advance in Person 2	4157	888	72.08%	344	27.92%
Total	4157	1907	72.87%	710	27.13%
092 Berkshire J					
Polling	2595	558	68.47%	257	31.53%
Absentee by Mail	2595	56	66.67%	28	33.33%
Advance in Person	2595	548	69.63%	239	30.37%
Provisional	2595	5	71.43%	2	28.57%
Advance in Person 2	2595	52	68.42%	24	31.58%
Total	2595	1219	68.91%	550	31.09%
093 Cates M					
Polling	3794	912	72.73%	342	27.27%
Absentee by Mail	3794	145	78.38%	40	21.62%
Advance in Person	3794	88	70.97%	36	29.03%
Provisional	3794	4	80.00%	1	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	3794	674	71.47%	269	28.53%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Total	3794	1823	72.60%	688	27.40%
094 Cates N					
Polling	2445	520	66.33%	264	33.67%
Absentee by Mail	2445	77	74.76%	26	25.24%
Advance in Person	2445	15	65.22%	8	34.78%
Provisional	2445	3	60.00%	2	40.00%
Advance in Person 2	2445	432	67.29%	210	32.71%
Total	2445	1047	67.24%	510	32.76%
095 Duluth F					
Polling	5413	1048	84.24%	196	15.76%
Absentee by Mail	5413	133	81.10%	31	18.90%
Advance in Person	5413	483	83.56%	95	16.44%
Provisional	5413	20	86.96%	3	13.04%
Advance in Person 2	5413	341	80.42%	83	19.58%
Total	5413	2025	83.23%	408	16.77%
096 Duluth G					
Polling	2775	616	68.83%	279	31.17%
Absentee by Mail	2775	80	77.67%	23	22.33%
Advance in Person	2775	239	74.22%	83	25.78%
Provisional	2775	8	80.00%	2	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	2775	226	67.06%	111	32.94%
Total	2775	1169	70.13%	498	29.87%
097 Goodwins E					
Polling	4950	1092	73.88%	386	26.12%
Absentee by Mail	4950	161	77.03%	48	22.97%
Advance in Person	4950	120	74.53%	41	25.47%
Provisional	4950	14	82.35%	3	17.65%
Advance in Person 2	4950	666	73.51%	240	26.49%
Total	4950	2053	74.09%	718	25.91%
098 Goodwins F					
Polling	2904	633	73.86%	224	26.14%
Absentee by Mail	2904	92	72.44%	35	27.56%
Advance in Person	2904	44	70.97%	18	29.03%
Provisional	2904	9	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2904	411	75.00%	137	25.00%
Total	2904	1189	74.17%	414	25.83%
099 Pinckneyville T					
Polling	2874	638	76.22%	199	23.78%
Absentee by Mail	2874	96	73.85%	34	26.15%
Advance in Person	2874	345	76.50%	106	23.50%
Provisional	2874	7	87.50%	1	12.50%
Advance in Person 2	2874	33	75.00%	11	25.00%
Total	2874	1119	76.12%	351	23.88%
100 Suwanee B					
Polling	4085	644	77.13%	191	22.87%
Absentee by Mail	4085	96	74.42%	33	25.58%
Advance in Person	4085	26	86.67%	4	13.33%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Provisional	4085	3	60.00%	2	40.00%
Advance in Person 2	4085	995	69.24%	442	30.76%
Total	4085	1764	72.41%	672	27.59%
101 Pinckneyville U					
Polling	2007	605	75.81%	193	24.19%
Absentee by Mail	2007	52	75.36%	17	24.64%
Advance in Person	2007	219	78.78%	59	21.22%
Provisional	2007	6	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2007	23	79.31%	6	20.69%
Total	2007	905	76.69%	275	23.31%
102 Pucketts B					
Polling	2741	531	67.13%	260	32.87%
Absentee by Mail	2741	52	64.20%	29	35.80%
Advance in Person	2741	544	67.83%	258	32.17%
Provisional	2741	6	66.67%	3	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	2741	35	55.56%	28	44.44%
Total	2741	1168	66.90%	578	33.10%
103 Berkshire L					
Polling	2915	700	80.37%	171	19.63%
Absentee by Mail	2915	91	82.73%	19	17.27%
Advance in Person	2915	256	78.29%	71	21.71%
Provisional	2915	12	85.71%	2	14.29%
Advance in Person 2	2915	146	82.02%	32	17.98%
Total	2915	1205	80.33%	295	19.67%
104 Rockbridge F					
Polling	5313	800	80.00%	200	20.00%
Absentee by Mail	5313	146	75.26%	48	24.74%
Advance in Person	5313	29	76.32%	9	23.68%
Provisional	5313	12	70.59%	5	29.41%
Advance in Person 2	5313	1634	79.55%	420	20.45%
Total	5313	2621	79.35%	682	20.65%
105 Sugar Hill F					
Polling	3687	841	68.60%	385	31.40%
Absentee by Mail	3687	77	72.64%	29	27.36%
Advance in Person	3687	93	78.81%	25	21.19%
Provisional	3687	10	66.67%	5	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	3687	456	68.99%	205	31.01%
Total	3687	1477	69.47%	649	30.53%
106 Suwanee C					
Polling	4823	857	72.50%	325	27.50%
Absentee by Mail	4823	141	71.21%	57	28.79%
Advance in Person	4823	74	75.51%	24	24.49%
Provisional	4823	40	83.33%	8	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	4823	801	68.64%	366	31.36%
Total	4823	1913	71.04%	780	28.96%
107 Cates O					
Polling	1342	338	71.01%	138	28.99%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Absentee by Mail	1342	23	60.53%	15	39.47%
Advance in Person	1342	139	76.80%	42	23.20%
Provisional	1342	1	50.00%	1	50.00%
Advance in Person 2	1342	91	70.54%	38	29.46%
Total	1342	592	71.67%	234	28.33%
108 Baycreek E					
Polling	2863	675	76.44%	208	23.56%
Absentee by Mail	2863	64	73.56%	23	26.44%
Advance in Person	2863	23	76.67%	7	23.33%
Provisional	2863	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2863	468	74.52%	160	25.48%
Total	2863	1233	75.60%	398	24.40%
109 Berkshire M					
Polling	1638	392	69.75%	170	30.25%
Absentee by Mail	1638	33	62.26%	20	37.74%
Advance in Person	1638	284	68.93%	128	31.07%
Provisional	1638	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1638	26	66.67%	13	33.33%
Total	1638	739	69.07%	331	30.93%
110 Martins G					
Polling	2187	546	82.35%	117	17.65%
Absentee by Mail	2187	53	81.54%	12	18.46%
Advance in Person	2187	26	78.79%	7	21.21%
Provisional	2187	8	88.89%	1	11.11%
Advance in Person 2	2187	271	79.01%	72	20.99%
Total	2187	904	81.22%	209	18.78%
111 Duluth H					
Polling	2662	523	74.61%	178	25.39%
Absentee by Mail	2662	117	66.86%	58	33.14%
Advance in Person	2662	419	73.90%	148	26.10%
Provisional	2662	2	50.00%	2	50.00%
Advance in Person 2	2662	79	72.48%	30	27.52%
Total	2662	1140	73.26%	416	26.74%
112 Berkshire N					
Polling	2836	425	68.11%	199	31.89%
Absentee by Mail	2836	71	62.28%	43	37.72%
Advance in Person	2836	763	66.12%	391	33.88%
Provisional	2836	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2836	23	60.53%	15	39.47%
Total	2836	1286	66.49%	648	33.51%
113 Pinckneyville V					
Polling	1572	271	74.04%	95	25.96%
Absentee by Mail	1572	44	73.33%	16	26.67%
Advance in Person	1572	254	76.97%	76	23.03%
Provisional	1572	11	84.62%	2	15.38%
Advance in Person 2	1572	9	75.00%	3	25.00%
Total	1572	589	75.42%	192	24.58%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
114 Pinckneyville W					
Polling	3885	872	73.59%	313	26.41%
Absentee by Mail	3885	101	74.81%	34	25.19%
Advance in Person	3885	515	74.10%	180	25.90%
Provisional	3885	16	88.89%	2	11.11%
Advance in Person 2	3885	27	65.85%	14	34.15%
Total	3885	1531	73.82%	543	26.18%
115 Martins H					
Polling	4487	968	77.50%	281	22.50%
Absentee by Mail	4487	164	77.36%	48	22.64%
Advance in Person	4487	180	81.45%	41	18.55%
Provisional	4487	12	92.31%	1	7.69%
Advance in Person 2	4487	541	76.52%	166	23.48%
Total	4487	1865	77.64%	537	22.36%
116 Martins I					
Polling	3518	750	81.97%	165	18.03%
Absentee by Mail	3518	122	82.99%	25	17.01%
Advance in Person	3518	261	83.39%	52	16.61%
Provisional	3518	14	87.50%	2	12.50%
Advance in Person 2	3518	212	84.13%	40	15.87%
Total	3518	1359	82.71%	284	17.29%
117 Martins J					
Polling	3809	837	82.79%	174	17.21%
Absentee by Mail	3809	99	86.84%	15	13.16%
Advance in Person	3809	281	81.21%	65	18.79%
Provisional	3809	19	95.00%	1	5.00%
Advance in Person 2	3809	217	82.51%	46	17.49%
Total	3809	1453	82.84%	301	17.16%
118 Garners F					
Polling	1558	319	71.52%	127	28.48%
Absentee by Mail	1558	29	76.32%	9	23.68%
Advance in Person	1558	318	69.74%	138	30.26%
Provisional	1558	3	75.00%	1	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	1558	12	75.00%	4	25.00%
Total	1558	681	70.94%	279	29.06%
119 Berkshire O					
Polling	2422	600	70.34%	253	29.66%
Absentee by Mail	2422	61	66.30%	31	33.70%
Advance in Person	2422	327	69.57%	143	30.43%
Provisional	2422	7	77.78%	2	22.22%
Advance in Person 2	2422	98	69.50%	43	30.50%
Total	2422	1093	69.84%	472	30.16%
120 Berkshire P					
Polling	1339	289	75.85%	92	24.15%
Absentee by Mail	1339	42	76.36%	13	23.64%
Advance in Person	1339	140	76.92%	42	23.08%
Provisional	1339	7	87.50%	1	12.50%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Advance in Person 2	1339	46	83.64%	9	16.36%
Total	1339	524	76.95%	157	23.05%
121 Pinckneyville X					
Polling	3198	716	67.93%	338	32.07%
Absentee by Mail	3198	142	69.61%	62	30.39%
Advance in Person	3198	548	76.01%	173	23.99%
Provisional	3198	5	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3198	50	70.42%	21	29.58%
Total	3198	1461	71.09%	594	28.91%
122 Rockbridge G					
Polling	5188	886	78.55%	242	21.45%
Absentee by Mail	5188	165	73.66%	59	26.34%
Advance in Person	5188	78	74.29%	27	25.71%
Provisional	5188	34	87.18%	5	12.82%
Advance in Person 2	5188	1237	79.75%	314	20.25%
Total	5188	2400	78.77%	647	21.23%
123 Pinckneyville Y					
Polling	3018	626	83.02%	128	16.98%
Absentee by Mail	3018	49	84.48%	9	15.52%
Advance in Person	3018	350	80.46%	85	19.54%
Provisional	3018	21	91.30%	2	8.70%
Advance in Person 2	3018	50	81.97%	11	18.03%
Total	3018	1096	82.34%	235	17.66%
124 Goodwins G					
Polling	2448	591	79.86%	149	20.14%
Absentee by Mail	2448	52	78.79%	14	21.21%
Advance in Person	2448	57	83.82%	11	16.18%
Provisional	2448	8	88.89%	1	11.11%
Advance in Person 2	2448	324	80.20%	80	19.80%
Total	2448	1032	80.19%	255	19.81%
125 Pinckneyville Z					
Polling	1806	560	66.04%	288	33.96%
Absentee by Mail	1806	62	72.09%	24	27.91%
Advance in Person	1806	193	65.20%	103	34.80%
Provisional	1806	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1806	16	57.14%	12	42.86%
Total	1806	833	66.11%	427	33.89%
126 Pinckneyville A1					
Polling	2596	755	66.11%	387	33.89%
Absentee by Mail	2596	108	72.00%	42	28.00%
Advance in Person	2596	327	71.87%	128	28.13%
Provisional	2596	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2596	29	63.04%	17	36.96%
Total	2596	1226	68.11%	574	31.89%
127 Lawrenceville J					
Polling	1959	451	74.30%	156	25.70%
Absentee by Mail	1959	55	70.51%	23	29.49%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Advance in Person	1959	35	76.09%	11	23.91%
Provisional	1959	5	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1959	295	78.25%	82	21.75%
Total	1959	841	75.56%	272	24.44%
128 Goodwins H					
Polling	2561	565	69.67%	246	30.33%
Absentee by Mail	2561	75	73.53%	27	26.47%
Advance in Person	2561	42	79.25%	11	20.75%
Provisional	2561	7	63.64%	4	36.36%
Advance in Person 2	2561	390	74.29%	135	25.71%
Total	2561	1079	71.84%	423	28.16%
129 Duncans B					
Polling	4655	1088	70.06%	465	29.94%
Absentee by Mail	4655	103	71.03%	42	28.97%
Advance in Person	4655	777	69.87%	335	30.13%
Provisional	4655	2	40.00%	3	60.00%
Advance in Person 2	4655	75	67.57%	36	32.43%
Total	4655	2045	69.89%	881	30.11%
130 Suwanee D					
Polling	4247	975	71.69%	385	28.31%
Absentee by Mail	4247	122	73.49%	44	26.51%
Advance in Person	4247	59	67.82%	28	32.18%
Provisional	4247	13	92.86%	1	7.14%
Advance in Person 2	4247	736	67.96%	347	32.04%
Total	4247	1905	70.30%	805	29.70%
131 Suwanee E					
Polling	3921	738	69.82%	319	30.18%
Absentee by Mail	3921	122	71.35%	49	28.65%
Advance in Person	3921	33	76.74%	10	23.26%
Provisional	3921	15	75.00%	5	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	3921	828	68.71%	377	31.29%
Total	3921	1736	69.55%	760	30.45%
132 Lawrenceville K					
Polling	2915	721	72.75%	270	27.25%
Absentee by Mail	2915	79	76.70%	24	23.30%
Advance in Person	2915	115	68.05%	54	31.95%
Provisional	2915	5	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2915	358	71.17%	145	28.83%
Total	2915	1278	72.16%	493	27.84%
133 Harbins B					
Polling	4220	690	72.10%	267	27.90%
Absentee by Mail	4220	57	62.64%	34	37.36%
Advance in Person	4220	842	66.61%	422	33.39%
Provisional	4220	3	75.00%	1	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	4220	113	79.58%	29	20.42%
Total	4220	1705	69.37%	753	30.63%
134 Baycreek F					

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Polling	4119	928	78.71%	251	21.29%
Absentee by Mail	4119	130	76.92%	39	23.08%
Advance in Person	4119	27	72.97%	10	27.03%
Provisional	4119	8	80.00%	2	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	4119	918	79.55%	236	20.45%
Total	4119	2011	78.89%	538	21.11%
135 Pucketts C					
Polling	2931	595	73.01%	220	26.99%
Absentee by Mail	2931	66	69.47%	29	30.53%
Advance in Person	2931	485	68.99%	218	31.01%
Provisional	2931	12	80.00%	3	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	2931	56	77.78%	16	22.22%
Total	2931	1214	71.41%	486	28.59%
136 Hog Mountain C					
Polling	3832	850	71.85%	333	28.15%
Absentee by Mail	3832	81	71.05%	33	28.95%
Advance in Person	3832	447	70.62%	186	29.38%
Provisional	3832	4	66.67%	2	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	3832	146	68.54%	67	31.46%
Total	3832	1528	71.10%	621	28.90%
137 Rockycreek B					
Polling	4677	1022	75.70%	328	24.30%
Absentee by Mail	4677	91	74.59%	31	25.41%
Advance in Person	4677	736	69.76%	319	30.24%
Provisional	4677	5	62.50%	3	37.50%
Advance in Person 2	4677	160	74.42%	55	25.58%
Total	4677	2014	73.24%	736	26.76%
138 Duluth I					
Polling	4491	919	76.39%	284	23.61%
Absentee by Mail	4491	199	70.32%	84	29.68%
Advance in Person	4491	342	76.00%	108	24.00%
Provisional	4491	3	75.00%	1	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	4491	439	68.17%	205	31.83%
Total	4491	1902	73.61%	682	26.39%
139 Martins K					
Polling	4796	1120	80.92%	264	19.08%
Absentee by Mail	4796	128	80.00%	32	20.00%
Advance in Person	4796	164	82.00%	36	18.00%
Provisional	4796	18	94.74%	1	5.26%
Advance in Person 2	4796	655	83.12%	133	16.88%
Total	4796	2085	81.73%	466	18.27%
140 Suwanee H					
Polling	3480	722	71.84%	283	28.16%
Absentee by Mail	3480	115	65.71%	60	34.29%
Advance in Person	3480	36	73.47%	13	26.53%
Provisional	3480	14	73.68%	5	26.32%
Advance in Person 2	3480	690	66.03%	355	33.97%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Total	3480	1577	68.77%	716	31.23%
141 Sugar Hill G					
Polling	2601	585	68.50%	269	31.50%
Absentee by Mail	2601	58	69.88%	25	30.12%
Advance in Person	2601	96	75.59%	31	24.41%
Provisional	2601	13	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2601	399	71.38%	160	28.62%
Total	2601	1151	70.35%	485	29.65%
142 Suwanee F					
Polling	3598	783	74.08%	274	25.92%
Absentee by Mail	3598	112	84.85%	20	15.15%
Advance in Person	3598	50	72.46%	19	27.54%
Provisional	3598	10	83.33%	2	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	3598	590	71.95%	230	28.05%
Total	3598	1545	73.92%	545	26.08%
143 Lawrenceville L					
Polling	4355	956	74.75%	323	25.25%
Absentee by Mail	4355	136	77.71%	39	22.29%
Advance in Person	4355	41	75.93%	13	24.07%
Provisional	4355	13	92.86%	1	7.14%
Advance in Person 2	4355	807	75.35%	264	24.65%
Total	4355	1953	75.32%	640	24.68%
144 Lawrenceville M					
Polling	5956	1207	81.61%	272	18.39%
Absentee by Mail	5956	130	76.92%	39	23.08%
Advance in Person	5956	197	76.06%	62	23.94%
Provisional	5956	17	89.47%	2	10.53%
Advance in Person 2	5956	1025	81.67%	230	18.33%
Total	5956	2576	80.98%	605	19.02%
145 Baycreek G					
Polling	5092	1172	77.62%	338	22.38%
Absentee by Mail	5092	124	73.81%	44	26.19%
Advance in Person	5092	40	78.43%	11	21.57%
Provisional	5092	12	92.31%	1	7.69%
Advance in Person 2	5092	1134	81.17%	263	18.83%
Total	5092	2482	79.07%	657	20.93%
146 Baycreek H					
Polling	5697	1161	70.11%	495	29.89%
Absentee by Mail	5697	160	79.21%	42	20.79%
Advance in Person	5697	63	67.74%	30	32.26%
Provisional	5697	8	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5697	1161	74.33%	401	25.67%
Total	5697	2553	72.51%	968	27.49%
147 Baycreek I					
Polling	5162	924	74.70%	313	25.30%
Absentee by Mail	5162	129	69.73%	56	30.27%
Advance in Person	5162	43	81.13%	10	18.87%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Provisional	5162	11	84.62%	2	15.38%
Advance in Person 2	5162	1108	75.32%	363	24.68%
Total	5162	2215	74.86%	744	25.14%
148 Berkshire Q					
Polling	1644	371	70.80%	153	29.20%
Absentee by Mail	1644	38	73.08%	14	26.92%
Advance in Person	1644	271	70.76%	112	29.24%
Provisional	1644	1	20.00%	4	80.00%
Advance in Person 2	1644	16	59.26%	11	40.74%
Total	1644	697	70.33%	294	29.67%
149 Goodwins I					
Polling	2341	580	67.13%	284	32.87%
Absentee by Mail	2341	91	72.80%	34	27.20%
Advance in Person	2341	69	87.34%	10	12.66%
Provisional	2341	6	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2341	339	76.87%	102	23.13%
Total	2341	1085	71.62%	430	28.38%
150 Duluth K					
Polling	2694	448	85.50%	76	14.50%
Absentee by Mail	2694	42	82.35%	9	17.65%
Advance in Person	2694	310	82.89%	64	17.11%
Provisional	2694	7	77.78%	2	22.22%
Advance in Person 2	2694	34	87.18%	5	12.82%
Total	2694	841	84.35%	156	15.65%
151 Harbins C					
Polling	2369	624	67.02%	307	32.98%
Absentee by Mail	2369	49	67.12%	24	32.88%
Advance in Person	2369	202	69.66%	88	30.34%
Provisional	2369	5	83.33%	1	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	2369	213	77.74%	61	22.26%
Total	2369	1093	69.44%	481	30.56%
152 Rockycreek C					
Polling	4504	957	73.39%	347	26.61%
Absentee by Mail	4504	90	75.63%	29	24.37%
Advance in Person	4504	815	69.19%	363	30.81%
Provisional	4504	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4504	164	79.23%	43	20.77%
Total	4504	2030	72.19%	782	27.81%
153 Lawrenceville N					
Polling	2343	459	75.99%	145	24.01%
Absentee by Mail	2343	55	74.32%	19	25.68%
Advance in Person	2343	19	95.00%	1	5.00%
Provisional	2343	16	76.19%	5	23.81%
Advance in Person 2	2343	406	80.88%	96	19.12%
Total	2343	955	78.21%	266	21.79%
154 Pucketts D					
Polling	3166	593	68.63%	271	31.37%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	CONST AMENDMENT 5 / CONST ENMIENDAS 5				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Absentee by Mail	3166	80	62.50%	48	37.50%
Advance in Person	3166	552	66.11%	283	33.89%
Provisional	3166	13	92.86%	1	7.14%
Advance in Person 2	3166	53	71.62%	21	28.38%
Total	3166	1291	67.42%	624	32.58%
155 Suwanee G					
Polling	3451	799	71.53%	318	28.47%
Absentee by Mail	3451	100	76.92%	30	23.08%
Advance in Person	3451	67	84.81%	12	15.19%
Provisional	3451	10	71.43%	4	28.57%
Advance in Person 2	3451	533	72.32%	204	27.68%
Total	3451	1509	72.65%	568	27.35%
156 Baycreek J					
Polling	4038	892	77.70%	256	22.30%
Absentee by Mail	4038	119	78.81%	32	21.19%
Advance in Person	4038	36	75.00%	12	25.00%
Provisional	4038	8	88.89%	1	11.11%
Advance in Person 2	4038	874	78.74%	236	21.26%
Total	4038	1929	78.22%	537	21.78%
Total					
Polling	525568	110769	74.40%	38111	25.60%
Absentee by Mail	525568	13987	73.84%	4955	26.16%
Advance in Person	525568	43793	73.11%	16110	26.89%
Advance in Person 2	525568	53523	74.91%	17931	25.09%
Provisional	525568	1604	81.26%	370	18.74%
Total	525568	223676	74.27%	77477	25.73%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Jurisdiction Wide					
001 Harbins A					
Polling	6123	850	58.14%	612	41.86%
Absentee by Mail	6123	114	65.52%	60	34.48%
Advance in Person	6123	609	57.78%	445	42.22%
Provisional	6123	9	60.00%	6	40.00%
Advance in Person 2	6123	431	56.34%	334	43.66%
Total	6123	2013	58.01%	1457	41.99%
002 Rockbridge A					
Polling	3653	467	60.26%	308	39.74%
Absentee by Mail	3653	80	64.00%	45	36.00%
Advance in Person	3653	63	55.75%	50	44.25%
Provisional	3653	46	60.53%	30	39.47%
Advance in Person 2	3653	625	60.56%	407	39.44%
Total	3653	1281	60.40%	840	39.60%
003 Dacula					
Polling	4478	660	62.56%	395	37.44%
Absentee by Mail	4478	68	58.62%	48	41.38%
Advance in Person	4478	680	60.12%	451	39.88%
Provisional	4478	3	42.86%	4	57.14%
Advance in Person 2	4478	141	61.57%	88	38.43%
Total	4478	1552	61.15%	986	38.85%
004 Suwanee A					
Polling	1551	200	56.50%	154	43.50%
Absentee by Mail	1551	25	60.98%	16	39.02%
Advance in Person	1551	19	54.29%	16	45.71%
Provisional	1551	7	53.85%	6	46.15%
Advance in Person 2	1551	221	60.71%	143	39.29%
Total	1551	472	58.49%	335	41.51%
005 Baycreek A					
Polling	2555	432	52.30%	394	47.70%
Absentee by Mail	2555	54	56.84%	41	43.16%
Advance in Person	2555	16	50.00%	16	50.00%
Provisional	2555	12	48.00%	13	52.00%
Advance in Person 2	2555	388	58.61%	274	41.39%
Total	2555	902	55.00%	738	45.00%
006 Goodwins A					
Polling	3583	615	55.81%	487	44.19%
Absentee by Mail	3583	51	46.36%	59	53.64%
Advance in Person	3583	64	60.95%	41	39.05%
Provisional	3583	11	78.57%	3	21.43%
Advance in Person 2	3583	377	59.84%	253	40.16%
Total	3583	1118	57.01%	843	42.99%
007 Duluth A					
Polling	4599	783	60.74%	506	39.26%
Absentee by Mail	4599	107	54.04%	91	45.96%
Advance in Person	4599	467	63.45%	269	36.55%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Provisional	4599	5	62.50%	3	37.50%
Advance in Person 2	4599	275	54.56%	229	45.44%
Total	4599	1637	59.85%	1098	40.15%
008 Duncans A					
Polling	4278	670	55.19%	544	44.81%
Absentee by Mail	4278	55	46.22%	64	53.78%
Advance in Person	4278	645	54.61%	536	45.39%
Provisional	4278	7	58.33%	5	41.67%
Advance in Person 2	4278	91	55.15%	74	44.85%
Total	4278	1468	54.55%	1223	45.45%
009 Pucketts A					
Polling	4844	554	53.94%	473	46.06%
Absentee by Mail	4844	82	51.57%	77	48.43%
Advance in Person	4844	929	55.04%	759	44.96%
Provisional	4844	2	66.67%	1	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	4844	31	58.49%	22	41.51%
Total	4844	1598	54.54%	1332	45.46%
010 Cates A					
Polling	2209	318	54.55%	265	45.45%
Absentee by Mail	2209	74	54.41%	62	45.59%
Advance in Person	2209	10	43.48%	13	56.52%
Provisional	2209	2	66.67%	1	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	2209	323	56.47%	249	43.53%
Total	2209	727	55.20%	590	44.80%
011 Berkshire A					
Polling	2247	401	65.42%	212	34.58%
Absentee by Mail	2247	50	56.18%	39	43.82%
Advance in Person	2247	210	66.67%	105	33.33%
Provisional	2247	4	36.36%	7	63.64%
Advance in Person 2	2247	38	63.33%	22	36.67%
Total	2247	703	64.61%	385	35.39%
012 Berkshire B					
Polling	5010	538	61.91%	331	38.09%
Absentee by Mail	5010	98	61.25%	62	38.75%
Advance in Person	5010	830	60.76%	536	39.24%
Provisional	5010	12	66.67%	6	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	5010	53	72.60%	20	27.40%
Total	5010	1531	61.58%	955	38.42%
013 Duncans C					
Polling	2828	502	53.58%	435	46.42%
Absentee by Mail	2828	40	54.79%	33	45.21%
Advance in Person	2828	392	55.21%	318	44.79%
Provisional	2828	5	83.33%	1	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	2828	43	58.11%	31	41.89%
Total	2828	982	54.56%	818	45.44%
014 Garners A					
Polling	3302	503	53.91%	430	46.09%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Absentee by Mail	3302	65	52.42%	59	47.58%
Advance in Person	3302	509	53.13%	449	46.87%
Provisional	3302	3	33.33%	6	66.67%
Advance in Person 2	3302	57	54.81%	47	45.19%
Total	3302	1137	53.43%	991	46.57%
015 Lawrenceville A					
Polling	3300	409	59.62%	277	40.38%
Absentee by Mail	3300	74	57.81%	54	42.19%
Advance in Person	3300	7	43.75%	9	56.25%
Provisional	3300	3	75.00%	1	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	3300	383	54.56%	319	45.44%
Total	3300	876	57.03%	660	42.97%
016 Lawrenceville B					
Polling	4520	573	59.75%	386	40.25%
Absentee by Mail	4520	93	56.36%	72	43.64%
Advance in Person	4520	19	50.00%	19	50.00%
Provisional	4520	6	50.00%	6	50.00%
Advance in Person 2	4520	797	59.97%	532	40.03%
Total	4520	1488	59.45%	1015	40.55%
017 Martins A					
Polling	3019	506	64.71%	276	35.29%
Absentee by Mail	3019	55	58.51%	39	41.49%
Advance in Person	3019	161	68.22%	75	31.78%
Provisional	3019	6	60.00%	4	40.00%
Advance in Person 2	3019	193	65.42%	102	34.58%
Total	3019	921	65.00%	496	35.00%
018 Martins B					
Polling	2796	505	64.41%	279	35.59%
Absentee by Mail	2796	53	63.10%	31	36.90%
Advance in Person	2796	46	54.12%	39	45.88%
Provisional	2796	12	66.67%	6	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	2796	274	64.17%	153	35.83%
Total	2796	890	63.66%	508	36.34%
019 Martins C					
Polling	2349	490	60.79%	316	39.21%
Absentee by Mail	2349	40	55.56%	32	44.44%
Advance in Person	2349	92	52.27%	84	47.73%
Provisional	2349	9	90.00%	1	10.00%
Advance in Person 2	2349	127	53.81%	109	46.19%
Total	2349	758	58.31%	542	41.69%
020 Pinckneyville A					
Polling	2603	451	65.46%	238	34.54%
Absentee by Mail	2603	47	61.84%	29	38.16%
Advance in Person	2603	244	67.03%	120	32.97%
Provisional	2603	14	87.50%	2	12.50%
Advance in Person 2	2603	36	67.92%	17	32.08%
Total	2603	792	66.11%	406	33.89%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
021 Pinckneyville B					
Polling	3216	404	64.64%	221	35.36%
Absentee by Mail	3216	66	63.46%	38	36.54%
Advance in Person	3216	343	62.94%	202	37.06%
Provisional	3216	21	72.41%	8	27.59%
Advance in Person 2	3216	14	60.87%	9	39.13%
Total	3216	848	63.95%	478	36.05%
022 Pinckneyville C					
Polling	1796	427	57.39%	317	42.61%
Absentee by Mail	1796	75	65.22%	40	34.78%
Advance in Person	1796	180	65.93%	93	34.07%
Provisional	1796	2	50.00%	2	50.00%
Advance in Person 2	1796	23	58.97%	16	41.03%
Total	1796	707	60.17%	468	39.83%
023 Pinckneyville D					
Polling	2042	330	67.21%	161	32.79%
Absentee by Mail	2042	43	67.19%	21	32.81%
Advance in Person	2042	172	64.42%	95	35.58%
Provisional	2042	7	53.85%	6	46.15%
Advance in Person 2	2042	19	48.72%	20	51.28%
Total	2042	571	65.33%	303	34.67%
024 Sugar Hill A					
Polling	4001	580	58.00%	420	42.00%
Absentee by Mail	4001	72	61.54%	45	38.46%
Advance in Person	4001	344	55.13%	280	44.87%
Provisional	4001	10	62.50%	6	37.50%
Advance in Person 2	4001	120	59.70%	81	40.30%
Total	4001	1126	57.51%	832	42.49%
025 Sugar Hill B					
Polling	4835	812	57.06%	611	42.94%
Absentee by Mail	4835	73	48.34%	78	51.66%
Advance in Person	4835	232	56.17%	181	43.83%
Provisional	4835	13	65.00%	7	35.00%
Advance in Person 2	4835	338	59.93%	226	40.07%
Total	4835	1468	57.10%	1103	42.90%
026 Hog Mountain A					
Polling	4467	701	56.76%	534	43.24%
Absentee by Mail	4467	88	63.77%	50	36.23%
Advance in Person	4467	234	66.29%	119	33.71%
Provisional	4467	11	64.71%	6	35.29%
Advance in Person 2	4467	347	63.21%	202	36.79%
Total	4467	1381	60.25%	911	39.75%
027 Hog Mountain B					
Polling	4211	725	55.18%	589	44.82%
Absentee by Mail	4211	93	59.24%	64	40.76%
Advance in Person	4211	418	55.44%	336	44.56%
Provisional	4211	5	45.45%	6	54.55%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Advance in Person 2	4211	188	56.97%	142	43.03%
Total	4211	1429	55.69%	1137	44.31%
028 Rockycreek A					
Polling	5149	830	58.74%	583	41.26%
Absentee by Mail	5149	59	49.17%	61	50.83%
Advance in Person	5149	777	55.78%	616	44.22%
Provisional	5149	2	50.00%	2	50.00%
Advance in Person 2	5149	95	60.51%	62	39.49%
Total	5149	1763	57.11%	1324	42.89%
029 Cates B					
Polling	1559	284	55.80%	225	44.20%
Absentee by Mail	1559	26	53.06%	23	46.94%
Advance in Person	1559	91	54.82%	75	45.18%
Provisional	1559	0	0.00%	1	100.00%
Advance in Person 2	1559	107	49.77%	108	50.23%
Total	1559	508	54.04%	432	45.96%
030 Cates C					
Polling	2599	447	61.74%	277	38.26%
Absentee by Mail	2599	44	54.32%	37	45.68%
Advance in Person	2599	11	47.83%	12	52.17%
Provisional	2599	5	83.33%	1	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	2599	421	59.46%	287	40.54%
Total	2599	928	60.18%	614	39.82%
031 Hog Mountain D					
Polling	2958	478	55.13%	389	44.87%
Absentee by Mail	2958	56	60.22%	37	39.78%
Advance in Person	2958	165	52.55%	149	47.45%
Provisional	2958	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2958	184	57.86%	134	42.14%
Total	2958	890	55.66%	709	44.34%
032 Berkshire D					
Polling	2684	443	56.51%	341	43.49%
Absentee by Mail	2684	62	50.41%	61	49.59%
Advance in Person	2684	406	58.59%	287	41.41%
Provisional	2684	5	83.33%	1	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	2684	45	56.25%	35	43.75%
Total	2684	961	57.00%	725	43.00%
033 Berkshire E					
Polling	1866	313	51.91%	290	48.09%
Absentee by Mail	1866	53	68.83%	24	31.17%
Advance in Person	1866	300	59.17%	207	40.83%
Provisional	1866	0	-	0	-
Advance in Person 2	1866	19	54.29%	16	45.71%
Total	1866	685	56.06%	537	43.94%
034 Berkshire F					
Polling	2003	369	62.54%	221	37.46%
Absentee by Mail	2003	45	61.64%	28	38.36%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Advance in Person	2003	149	66.52%	75	33.48%
Provisional	2003	7	70.00%	3	30.00%
Advance in Person 2	2003	50	70.42%	21	29.58%
Total	2003	620	64.05%	348	35.95%
035 Cates D - 04					
Polling	2605	424	53.33%	371	46.67%
Absentee by Mail	2605	42	45.16%	51	54.84%
Advance in Person	2605	92	54.12%	78	45.88%
Provisional	2605	3	42.86%	4	57.14%
Advance in Person 2	2605	268	57.51%	198	42.49%
Total	2605	829	54.15%	702	45.85%
035 Cates D - 07					
Polling	585	92	51.98%	85	48.02%
Absentee by Mail	585	10	52.63%	9	47.37%
Advance in Person	585	42	60.87%	27	39.13%
Provisional	585	2	66.67%	1	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	585	35	55.56%	28	44.44%
Total	585	181	54.68%	150	45.32%
036 Cates E					
Polling	2744	458	52.58%	413	47.42%
Absentee by Mail	2744	56	57.73%	41	42.27%
Advance in Person	2744	261	57.62%	192	42.38%
Provisional	2744	4	50.00%	4	50.00%
Advance in Person 2	2744	175	54.86%	144	45.14%
Total	2744	954	54.58%	794	45.42%
037 Pinckneyville E					
Polling	2183	406	62.17%	247	37.83%
Absentee by Mail	2183	32	61.54%	20	38.46%
Advance in Person	2183	144	60.25%	95	39.75%
Provisional	2183	9	90.00%	1	10.00%
Advance in Person 2	2183	11	61.11%	7	38.89%
Total	2183	602	61.93%	370	38.07%
038 Pinckneyville F					
Polling	2301	402	64.42%	222	35.58%
Absentee by Mail	2301	57	70.37%	24	29.63%
Advance in Person	2301	200	65.36%	106	34.64%
Provisional	2301	1	16.67%	5	83.33%
Advance in Person 2	2301	19	51.35%	18	48.65%
Total	2301	679	64.42%	375	35.58%
039 Duncans D					
Polling	6085	943	55.28%	763	44.72%
Absentee by Mail	6085	101	50.50%	99	49.50%
Advance in Person	6085	788	53.24%	692	46.76%
Provisional	6085	4	33.33%	8	66.67%
Advance in Person 2	6085	82	51.90%	76	48.10%
Total	6085	1918	53.94%	1638	46.06%
040 Pinckneyville H					

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Polling	4126	776	60.72%	502	39.28%
Absentee by Mail	4126	126	60.58%	82	39.42%
Advance in Person	4126	568	58.08%	410	41.92%
Provisional	4126	8	72.73%	3	27.27%
Advance in Person 2	4126	42	52.50%	38	47.50%
Total	4126	1520	59.49%	1035	40.51%
041 Baycreek B					
Polling	5349	954	61.23%	604	38.77%
Absentee by Mail	5349	110	60.44%	72	39.56%
Advance in Person	5349	27	58.70%	19	41.30%
Provisional	5349	10	45.45%	12	54.55%
Advance in Person 2	5349	827	61.85%	510	38.15%
Total	5349	1928	61.30%	1217	38.70%
042 Lawrenceville C					
Polling	2761	454	53.92%	388	46.08%
Absentee by Mail	2761	54	60.00%	36	40.00%
Advance in Person	2761	164	56.36%	127	43.64%
Provisional	2761	3	60.00%	2	40.00%
Advance in Person 2	2761	196	59.57%	133	40.43%
Total	2761	871	55.94%	686	44.06%
043 Martins D					
Polling	2059	378	66.32%	192	33.68%
Absentee by Mail	2059	40	59.70%	27	40.30%
Advance in Person	2059	144	70.24%	61	29.76%
Provisional	2059	4	33.33%	8	66.67%
Advance in Person 2	2059	50	56.82%	38	43.18%
Total	2059	616	65.39%	326	34.61%
044 Rockbridge B					
Polling	3761	592	63.32%	343	36.68%
Absentee by Mail	3761	67	71.28%	27	28.72%
Advance in Person	3761	65	61.90%	40	38.10%
Provisional	3761	9	81.82%	2	18.18%
Advance in Person 2	3761	565	62.71%	336	37.29%
Total	3761	1298	63.44%	748	36.56%
045 Garners B					
Polling	2916	385	58.60%	272	41.40%
Absentee by Mail	2916	69	55.65%	55	44.35%
Advance in Person	2916	377	58.27%	270	41.73%
Provisional	2916	3	50.00%	3	50.00%
Advance in Person 2	2916	224	62.57%	134	37.43%
Total	2916	1058	59.04%	734	40.96%
046 Cates F					
Polling	1689	349	56.75%	266	43.25%
Absentee by Mail	1689	30	53.57%	26	46.43%
Advance in Person	1689	29	65.91%	15	34.09%
Provisional	1689	1	25.00%	3	75.00%
Advance in Person 2	1689	173	53.89%	148	46.11%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Total	1689	582	55.96%	458	44.04%
047 Cates G					
Polling	2597	468	53.98%	399	46.02%
Absentee by Mail	2597	56	54.37%	47	45.63%
Advance in Person	2597	57	51.82%	53	48.18%
Provisional	2597	2	33.33%	4	66.67%
Advance in Person 2	2597	318	50.96%	306	49.04%
Total	2597	901	52.69%	809	47.31%
048 Duluth B					
Polling	3332	454	53.98%	387	46.02%
Absentee by Mail	3332	85	54.84%	70	45.16%
Advance in Person	3332	355	58.87%	248	41.13%
Provisional	3332	2	28.57%	5	71.43%
Advance in Person 2	3332	77	53.85%	66	46.15%
Total	3332	973	55.63%	776	44.37%
049 Garners C					
Polling	1908	300	58.48%	213	41.52%
Absentee by Mail	1908	34	65.38%	18	34.62%
Advance in Person	1908	285	61.56%	178	38.44%
Provisional	1908	3	75.00%	1	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	1908	29	69.05%	13	30.95%
Total	1908	651	60.61%	423	39.39%
050 Pinckneyville I					
Polling	2479	385	60.53%	251	39.47%
Absentee by Mail	2479	34	46.58%	39	53.42%
Advance in Person	2479	247	63.99%	139	36.01%
Provisional	2479	12	75.00%	4	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	2479	37	55.22%	30	44.78%
Total	2479	715	60.70%	463	39.30%
051 Pinckneyville J					
Polling	2543	339	65.83%	176	34.17%
Absentee by Mail	2543	33	49.25%	34	50.75%
Advance in Person	2543	258	64.99%	139	35.01%
Provisional	2543	15	62.50%	9	37.50%
Advance in Person 2	2543	24	68.57%	11	31.43%
Total	2543	669	64.45%	369	35.55%
052 Berkshire G					
Polling	2250	327	55.99%	257	44.01%
Absentee by Mail	2250	42	53.85%	36	46.15%
Advance in Person	2250	362	52.01%	334	47.99%
Provisional	2250	2	50.00%	2	50.00%
Advance in Person 2	2250	16	61.54%	10	38.46%
Total	2250	749	53.96%	639	46.04%
053 Cates H					
Polling	3622	559	60.63%	363	39.37%
Absentee by Mail	3622	55	50.93%	53	49.07%
Advance in Person	3622	26	55.32%	21	44.68%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Provisional	3622	5	41.67%	7	58.33%
Advance in Person 2	3622	483	60.15%	320	39.85%
Total	3622	1128	59.62%	764	40.38%
054 Sugar Hill C					
Polling	4596	796	53.35%	696	46.65%
Absentee by Mail	4596	91	56.17%	71	43.83%
Advance in Person	4596	233	56.42%	180	43.58%
Provisional	4596	12	48.00%	13	52.00%
Advance in Person 2	4596	296	55.64%	236	44.36%
Total	4596	1428	54.42%	1196	45.58%
055 Sugar Hill D					
Polling	4070	650	56.47%	501	43.53%
Absentee by Mail	4070	69	59.48%	47	40.52%
Advance in Person	4070	474	57.66%	348	42.34%
Provisional	4070	13	50.00%	13	50.00%
Advance in Person 2	4070	74	55.22%	60	44.78%
Total	4070	1280	56.91%	969	43.09%
056 Duluth C					
Polling	2243	348	54.46%	291	45.54%
Absentee by Mail	2243	42	46.67%	48	53.33%
Advance in Person	2243	265	59.55%	180	40.45%
Provisional	2243	1	50.00%	1	50.00%
Advance in Person 2	2243	49	70.00%	21	30.00%
Total	2243	705	56.58%	541	43.42%
057 Duluth D					
Polling	4404	563	56.19%	439	43.81%
Absentee by Mail	4404	117	63.24%	68	36.76%
Advance in Person	4404	539	58.21%	387	41.79%
Provisional	4404	11	61.11%	7	38.89%
Advance in Person 2	4404	85	62.96%	50	37.04%
Total	4404	1315	58.03%	951	41.97%
058 Pinckneyville K					
Polling	3547	546	64.24%	304	35.76%
Absentee by Mail	3547	61	57.01%	46	42.99%
Advance in Person	3547	299	64.72%	163	35.28%
Provisional	3547	12	50.00%	12	50.00%
Advance in Person 2	3547	49	58.33%	35	41.67%
Total	3547	967	63.33%	560	36.67%
059 Pinckneyville N					
Polling	3717	606	60.00%	404	40.00%
Absentee by Mail	3717	47	69.12%	21	30.88%
Advance in Person	3717	326	62.69%	194	37.31%
Provisional	3717	10	62.50%	6	37.50%
Advance in Person 2	3717	38	74.51%	13	25.49%
Total	3717	1027	61.68%	638	38.32%
060 Lawrenceville D					
Polling	4872	641	64.88%	347	35.12%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Absentee by Mail	4872	65	59.09%	45	40.91%
Advance in Person	4872	41	53.25%	36	46.75%
Provisional	4872	17	73.91%	6	26.09%
Advance in Person 2	4872	525	62.87%	310	37.13%
Total	4872	1289	63.40%	744	36.60%
061 Lawrenceville E					
Polling	2205	317	51.80%	295	48.20%
Absentee by Mail	2205	51	53.13%	45	46.88%
Advance in Person	2205	5	31.25%	11	68.75%
Provisional	2205	2	66.67%	1	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	2205	314	53.68%	271	46.32%
Total	2205	689	52.52%	623	47.48%
062 Pinckneyville L					
Polling	2233	516	50.39%	508	49.61%
Absentee by Mail	2233	61	51.26%	58	48.74%
Advance in Person	2233	204	56.35%	158	43.65%
Provisional	2233	0	0.00%	1	100.00%
Advance in Person 2	2233	22	62.86%	13	37.14%
Total	2233	803	52.11%	738	47.89%
063 Pinckneyville M					
Polling	2515	568	53.18%	500	46.82%
Absentee by Mail	2515	83	53.55%	72	46.45%
Advance in Person	2515	245	56.06%	192	43.94%
Provisional	2515	5	71.43%	2	28.57%
Advance in Person 2	2515	7	41.18%	10	58.82%
Total	2515	908	53.92%	776	46.08%
064 Garners D					
Polling	3127	508	62.33%	307	37.67%
Absentee by Mail	3127	60	60.61%	39	39.39%
Advance in Person	3127	360	62.28%	218	37.72%
Provisional	3127	6	75.00%	2	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	3127	165	58.93%	115	41.07%
Total	3127	1099	61.74%	681	38.26%
065 Berkshire H					
Polling	1717	244	56.48%	188	43.52%
Absentee by Mail	1717	34	61.82%	21	38.18%
Advance in Person	1717	197	65.02%	106	34.98%
Provisional	1717	7	87.50%	1	12.50%
Advance in Person 2	1717	18	52.94%	16	47.06%
Total	1717	500	60.10%	332	39.90%
066 Pinckneyville O					
Polling	3267	492	67.03%	242	32.97%
Absentee by Mail	3267	47	64.38%	26	35.62%
Advance in Person	3267	380	64.52%	209	35.48%
Provisional	3267	13	50.00%	13	50.00%
Advance in Person 2	3267	19	67.86%	9	32.14%
Total	3267	951	65.59%	499	34.41%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
067 Pucketts E					
Polling	4771	615	56.84%	467	43.16%
Absentee by Mail	4771	101	59.06%	70	40.94%
Advance in Person	4771	643	56.30%	499	43.70%
Provisional	4771	18	78.26%	5	21.74%
Advance in Person 2	4771	89	58.55%	63	41.45%
Total	4771	1466	57.04%	1104	42.96%
068 Cates I					
Polling	3395	604	54.46%	505	45.54%
Absentee by Mail	3395	61	46.21%	71	53.79%
Advance in Person	3395	112	59.57%	76	40.43%
Provisional	3395	6	75.00%	2	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	3395	353	58.54%	250	41.46%
Total	3395	1136	55.69%	904	44.31%
069 Cates J					
Polling	3266	603	57.10%	453	42.90%
Absentee by Mail	3266	65	56.52%	50	43.48%
Advance in Person	3266	352	59.97%	235	40.03%
Provisional	3266	5	55.56%	4	44.44%
Advance in Person 2	3266	137	66.83%	68	33.17%
Total	3266	1162	58.92%	810	41.08%
070 Goodwins B					
Polling	3604	650	57.68%	477	42.32%
Absentee by Mail	3604	66	47.14%	74	52.86%
Advance in Person	3604	108	54.82%	89	45.18%
Provisional	3604	5	83.33%	1	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	3604	353	53.98%	301	46.02%
Total	3604	1182	55.65%	942	44.35%
071 Lawrenceville F					
Polling	3698	536	63.73%	305	36.27%
Absentee by Mail	3698	92	66.19%	47	33.81%
Advance in Person	3698	30	56.60%	23	43.40%
Provisional	3698	9	69.23%	4	30.77%
Advance in Person 2	3698	635	63.63%	363	36.37%
Total	3698	1302	63.70%	742	36.30%
072 Martins E					
Polling	5934	750	65.05%	403	34.95%
Absentee by Mail	5934	99	60.37%	65	39.63%
Advance in Person	5934	436	66.56%	219	33.44%
Provisional	5934	35	70.00%	15	30.00%
Advance in Person 2	5934	163	69.07%	73	30.93%
Total	5934	1483	65.68%	775	34.32%
073 Pinckneyville P					
Polling	2048	514	61.48%	322	38.52%
Absentee by Mail	2048	63	59.43%	43	40.57%
Advance in Person	2048	174	64.93%	94	35.07%
Provisional	2048	3	50.00%	3	50.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Advance in Person 2	2048	19	82.61%	4	17.39%
Total	2048	773	62.39%	466	37.61%
074 Pinckneyville Q					
Polling	1725	392	68.17%	183	31.83%
Absentee by Mail	1725	25	80.65%	6	19.35%
Advance in Person	1725	107	58.47%	76	41.53%
Provisional	1725	4	40.00%	6	60.00%
Advance in Person 2	1725	24	68.57%	11	31.43%
Total	1725	552	66.19%	282	33.81%
075 Rockbridge C					
Polling	4722	709	61.44%	445	38.56%
Absentee by Mail	4722	119	65.75%	62	34.25%
Advance in Person	4722	100	62.11%	61	37.89%
Provisional	4722	8	57.14%	6	42.86%
Advance in Person 2	4722	829	60.64%	538	39.36%
Total	4722	1765	61.35%	1112	38.65%
076 Rockbridge D					
Polling	3359	514	64.90%	278	35.10%
Absentee by Mail	3359	70	51.47%	66	48.53%
Advance in Person	3359	20	60.61%	13	39.39%
Provisional	3359	18	75.00%	6	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	3359	635	60.02%	423	39.98%
Total	3359	1257	61.53%	786	38.47%
077 Goodwins C					
Polling	5106	782	61.14%	497	38.86%
Absentee by Mail	5106	129	65.48%	68	34.52%
Advance in Person	5106	162	61.60%	101	38.40%
Provisional	5106	13	72.22%	5	27.78%
Advance in Person 2	5106	481	60.43%	315	39.57%
Total	5106	1567	61.38%	986	38.62%
078 Baycreek K					
Polling	3536	524	57.96%	380	42.04%
Absentee by Mail	3536	88	61.54%	55	38.46%
Advance in Person	3536	18	51.43%	17	48.57%
Provisional	3536	7	77.78%	2	22.22%
Advance in Person 2	3536	641	58.81%	449	41.19%
Total	3536	1278	58.60%	903	41.40%
079 Cates K					
Polling	2531	529	57.50%	391	42.50%
Absentee by Mail	2531	40	59.70%	27	40.30%
Advance in Person	2531	54	48.65%	57	51.35%
Provisional	2531	5	83.33%	1	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	2531	251	55.78%	199	44.22%
Total	2531	879	56.56%	675	43.44%
080 Baycreek C					
Polling	5755	901	57.98%	653	42.02%
Absentee by Mail	5755	93	53.76%	80	46.24%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Advance in Person	5755	41	45.05%	50	54.95%
Provisional	5755	7	70.00%	3	30.00%
Advance in Person 2	5755	1022	59.80%	687	40.20%
Total	5755	2064	58.35%	1473	41.65%
081 Cates L					
Polling	3751	586	55.34%	473	44.66%
Absentee by Mail	3751	77	61.60%	48	38.40%
Advance in Person	3751	26	57.78%	19	42.22%
Provisional	3751	7	70.00%	3	30.00%
Advance in Person 2	3751	547	57.82%	399	42.18%
Total	3751	1243	56.89%	942	43.11%
082 Duluth E					
Polling	4580	676	61.62%	421	38.38%
Absentee by Mail	4580	94	63.51%	54	36.49%
Advance in Person	4580	570	60.38%	374	39.62%
Provisional	4580	13	65.00%	7	35.00%
Advance in Person 2	4580	62	60.19%	41	39.81%
Total	4580	1415	61.20%	897	38.80%
083 Goodwins D					
Polling	3985	662	54.71%	548	45.29%
Absentee by Mail	3985	75	59.06%	52	40.94%
Advance in Person	3985	63	65.63%	33	34.38%
Provisional	3985	9	47.37%	10	52.63%
Advance in Person 2	3985	415	57.96%	301	42.04%
Total	3985	1224	56.46%	944	43.54%
084 Lawrenceville G					
Polling	2783	470	65.28%	250	34.72%
Absentee by Mail	2783	54	65.06%	29	34.94%
Advance in Person	2783	22	61.11%	14	38.89%
Provisional	2783	19	90.48%	2	9.52%
Advance in Person 2	2783	319	60.42%	209	39.58%
Total	2783	884	63.69%	504	36.31%
085 Lawrenceville H					
Polling	3899	642	57.07%	483	42.93%
Absentee by Mail	3899	73	48.67%	77	51.33%
Advance in Person	3899	132	59.46%	90	40.54%
Provisional	3899	4	40.00%	6	60.00%
Advance in Person 2	3899	413	56.58%	317	43.42%
Total	3899	1264	56.50%	973	43.50%
086 Martins F					
Polling	2401	406	62.65%	242	37.35%
Absentee by Mail	2401	40	54.05%	34	45.95%
Advance in Person	2401	199	64.82%	108	35.18%
Provisional	2401	7	70.00%	3	30.00%
Advance in Person 2	2401	59	63.44%	34	36.56%
Total	2401	711	62.81%	421	37.19%
087 Pinckneyville S					

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Polling	3013	556	60.83%	358	39.17%
Absentee by Mail	3013	81	60.90%	52	39.10%
Advance in Person	3013	278	63.04%	163	36.96%
Provisional	3013	5	55.56%	4	44.44%
Advance in Person 2	3013	34	58.62%	24	41.38%
Total	3013	954	61.35%	601	38.65%
088 Rockbridge E					
Polling	4199	663	61.11%	422	38.89%
Absentee by Mail	4199	60	53.10%	53	46.90%
Advance in Person	4199	89	57.05%	67	42.95%
Provisional	4199	25	67.57%	12	32.43%
Advance in Person 2	4199	483	61.92%	297	38.08%
Total	4199	1320	60.80%	851	39.20%
089 Sugar Hill E					
Polling	3061	488	55.27%	395	44.73%
Absentee by Mail	3061	62	57.94%	45	42.06%
Advance in Person	3061	110	57.29%	82	42.71%
Provisional	3061	8	57.14%	6	42.86%
Advance in Person 2	3061	286	51.72%	267	48.28%
Total	3061	954	54.55%	795	45.45%
090 Lawrenceville I					
Polling	3140	499	56.83%	379	43.17%
Absentee by Mail	3140	54	52.43%	49	47.57%
Advance in Person	3140	75	55.56%	60	44.44%
Provisional	3140	3	60.00%	2	40.00%
Advance in Person 2	3140	285	57.93%	207	42.07%
Total	3140	916	56.79%	697	43.21%
091 Baycreek D					
Polling	4157	672	58.08%	485	41.92%
Absentee by Mail	4157	83	54.97%	68	45.03%
Advance in Person	4157	21	56.76%	16	43.24%
Provisional	4157	3	37.50%	5	62.50%
Advance in Person 2	4157	702	58.31%	502	41.69%
Total	4157	1481	57.92%	1076	42.08%
092 Berkshire J					
Polling	2595	393	50.84%	380	49.16%
Absentee by Mail	2595	45	56.96%	34	43.04%
Advance in Person	2595	430	57.26%	321	42.74%
Provisional	2595	4	57.14%	3	42.86%
Advance in Person 2	2595	38	52.05%	35	47.95%
Total	2595	910	54.07%	773	45.93%
093 Cates M					
Polling	3794	660	54.59%	549	45.41%
Absentee by Mail	3794	96	52.17%	88	47.83%
Advance in Person	3794	61	51.69%	57	48.31%
Provisional	3794	5	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3794	518	56.61%	397	43.39%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Total	3794	1340	55.12%	1091	44.88%
094 Cates N					
Polling	2445	392	51.92%	363	48.08%
Absentee by Mail	2445	60	60.61%	39	39.39%
Advance in Person	2445	10	43.48%	13	56.52%
Provisional	2445	3	60.00%	2	40.00%
Advance in Person 2	2445	340	54.66%	282	45.34%
Total	2445	805	53.52%	699	46.48%
095 Duluth F					
Polling	5413	807	66.69%	403	33.31%
Absentee by Mail	5413	96	61.15%	61	38.85%
Advance in Person	5413	361	64.70%	197	35.30%
Provisional	5413	15	68.18%	7	31.82%
Advance in Person 2	5413	264	64.23%	147	35.77%
Total	5413	1543	65.44%	815	34.56%
096 Duluth G					
Polling	2775	478	55.52%	383	44.48%
Absentee by Mail	2775	57	58.76%	40	41.24%
Advance in Person	2775	177	56.37%	137	43.63%
Provisional	2775	8	80.00%	2	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	2775	182	54.33%	153	45.67%
Total	2775	902	55.78%	715	44.22%
097 Goodwins E					
Polling	4950	844	58.69%	594	41.31%
Absentee by Mail	4950	114	56.72%	87	43.28%
Advance in Person	4950	87	54.38%	73	45.63%
Provisional	4950	11	64.71%	6	35.29%
Advance in Person 2	4950	527	59.82%	354	40.18%
Total	4950	1583	58.69%	1114	41.31%
098 Goodwins F					
Polling	2904	463	56.12%	362	43.88%
Absentee by Mail	2904	78	64.46%	43	35.54%
Advance in Person	2904	36	58.06%	26	41.94%
Provisional	2904	7	63.64%	4	36.36%
Advance in Person 2	2904	291	55.64%	232	44.36%
Total	2904	875	56.74%	667	43.26%
099 Pinckneyville T					
Polling	2874	482	58.71%	339	41.29%
Absentee by Mail	2874	83	62.88%	49	37.12%
Advance in Person	2874	234	54.04%	199	45.96%
Provisional	2874	6	85.71%	1	14.29%
Advance in Person 2	2874	23	53.49%	20	46.51%
Total	2874	828	57.66%	608	42.34%
100 Suwanee B					
Polling	4085	502	62.83%	297	37.17%
Absentee by Mail	4085	63	50.81%	61	49.19%
Advance in Person	4085	21	72.41%	8	27.59%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Provisional	4085	2	50.00%	2	50.00%
Advance in Person 2	4085	761	55.47%	611	44.53%
Total	4085	1349	57.95%	979	42.05%
101 Pinckneyville U					
Polling	2007	496	63.84%	281	36.16%
Absentee by Mail	2007	34	53.97%	29	46.03%
Advance in Person	2007	167	61.17%	106	38.83%
Provisional	2007	4	80.00%	1	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	2007	19	63.33%	11	36.67%
Total	2007	720	62.72%	428	37.28%
102 Pucketts B					
Polling	2741	436	56.70%	333	43.30%
Absentee by Mail	2741	45	60.00%	30	40.00%
Advance in Person	2741	421	54.32%	354	45.68%
Provisional	2741	4	50.00%	4	50.00%
Advance in Person 2	2741	30	50.00%	30	50.00%
Total	2741	936	55.48%	751	44.52%
103 Berkshire L					
Polling	2915	558	64.29%	310	35.71%
Absentee by Mail	2915	70	65.42%	37	34.58%
Advance in Person	2915	200	63.09%	117	36.91%
Provisional	2915	9	60.00%	6	40.00%
Advance in Person 2	2915	101	58.72%	71	41.28%
Total	2915	938	63.42%	541	36.58%
104 Rockbridge F					
Polling	5313	630	63.57%	361	36.43%
Absentee by Mail	5313	105	57.38%	78	42.62%
Advance in Person	5313	16	43.24%	21	56.76%
Provisional	5313	9	50.00%	9	50.00%
Advance in Person 2	5313	1267	63.07%	742	36.93%
Total	5313	2027	62.60%	1211	37.40%
105 Sugar Hill F					
Polling	3687	646	54.38%	542	45.62%
Absentee by Mail	3687	56	54.37%	47	45.63%
Advance in Person	3687	59	52.68%	53	47.32%
Provisional	3687	9	60.00%	6	40.00%
Advance in Person 2	3687	343	54.10%	291	45.90%
Total	3687	1113	54.24%	939	45.76%
106 Suwanee C					
Polling	4823	660	57.95%	479	42.05%
Absentee by Mail	4823	98	51.85%	91	48.15%
Advance in Person	4823	57	61.96%	35	38.04%
Provisional	4823	33	70.21%	14	29.79%
Advance in Person 2	4823	649	56.68%	496	43.32%
Total	4823	1497	57.31%	1115	42.69%
107 Cates O					
Polling	1342	254	54.74%	210	45.26%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Absentee by Mail	1342	16	47.06%	18	52.94%
Advance in Person	1342	108	63.91%	61	36.09%
Provisional	1342	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1342	74	58.27%	53	41.73%
Total	1342	453	56.98%	342	43.02%
108 Baycreek E					
Polling	2863	515	59.20%	355	40.80%
Absentee by Mail	2863	44	53.01%	39	46.99%
Advance in Person	2863	18	62.07%	11	37.93%
Provisional	2863	2	66.67%	1	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	2863	379	61.63%	236	38.37%
Total	2863	958	59.88%	642	40.13%
109 Berkshire M					
Polling	1638	311	57.38%	231	42.62%
Absentee by Mail	1638	26	49.06%	27	50.94%
Advance in Person	1638	209	53.32%	183	46.68%
Provisional	1638	2	50.00%	2	50.00%
Advance in Person 2	1638	23	62.16%	14	37.84%
Total	1638	571	55.54%	457	44.46%
110 Martins G					
Polling	2187	434	66.87%	215	33.13%
Absentee by Mail	2187	36	57.14%	27	42.86%
Advance in Person	2187	21	65.63%	11	34.38%
Provisional	2187	6	75.00%	2	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	2187	205	62.69%	122	37.31%
Total	2187	702	65.06%	377	34.94%
111 Duluth H					
Polling	2662	402	58.52%	285	41.48%
Absentee by Mail	2662	93	55.69%	74	44.31%
Advance in Person	2662	338	61.45%	212	38.55%
Provisional	2662	0	0.00%	4	100.00%
Advance in Person 2	2662	68	62.39%	41	37.61%
Total	2662	901	59.39%	616	40.61%
112 Berkshire N					
Polling	2836	318	52.56%	287	47.44%
Absentee by Mail	2836	52	45.22%	63	54.78%
Advance in Person	2836	618	55.03%	505	44.97%
Provisional	2836	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2836	13	36.11%	23	63.89%
Total	2836	1005	53.37%	878	46.63%
113 Pinckneyville V					
Polling	1572	213	59.33%	146	40.67%
Absentee by Mail	1572	32	55.17%	26	44.83%
Advance in Person	1572	202	62.73%	120	37.27%
Provisional	1572	7	50.00%	7	50.00%
Advance in Person 2	1572	8	72.73%	3	27.27%
Total	1572	462	60.47%	302	39.53%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
114 Pinckneyville W					
Polling	3885	670	58.41%	477	41.59%
Absentee by Mail	3885	72	56.69%	55	43.31%
Advance in Person	3885	398	59.23%	274	40.77%
Provisional	3885	11	64.71%	6	35.29%
Advance in Person 2	3885	27	64.29%	15	35.71%
Total	3885	1178	58.75%	827	41.25%
115 Martins H					
Polling	4487	757	61.95%	465	38.05%
Absentee by Mail	4487	134	65.37%	71	34.63%
Advance in Person	4487	133	62.74%	79	37.26%
Provisional	4487	8	57.14%	6	42.86%
Advance in Person 2	4487	438	63.57%	251	36.43%
Total	4487	1470	62.77%	872	37.23%
116 Martins I					
Polling	3518	615	67.96%	290	32.04%
Absentee by Mail	3518	94	66.20%	48	33.80%
Advance in Person	3518	186	61.18%	118	38.82%
Provisional	3518	13	81.25%	3	18.75%
Advance in Person 2	3518	162	65.32%	86	34.68%
Total	3518	1070	66.25%	545	33.75%
117 Martins J					
Polling	3809	648	65.65%	339	34.35%
Absentee by Mail	3809	68	61.82%	42	38.18%
Advance in Person	3809	202	60.66%	131	39.34%
Provisional	3809	13	72.22%	5	27.78%
Advance in Person 2	3809	159	61.63%	99	38.37%
Total	3809	1090	63.89%	616	36.11%
118 Garners F					
Polling	1558	267	60.41%	175	39.59%
Absentee by Mail	1558	21	58.33%	15	41.67%
Advance in Person	1558	246	55.16%	200	44.84%
Provisional	1558	3	75.00%	1	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	1558	11	68.75%	5	31.25%
Total	1558	548	58.05%	396	41.95%
119 Berkshire O					
Polling	2422	469	56.85%	356	43.15%
Absentee by Mail	2422	47	50.54%	46	49.46%
Advance in Person	2422	242	54.02%	206	45.98%
Provisional	2422	2	28.57%	5	71.43%
Advance in Person 2	2422	73	53.28%	64	46.72%
Total	2422	833	55.17%	677	44.83%
120 Berkshire P					
Polling	1339	231	61.76%	143	38.24%
Absentee by Mail	1339	33	61.11%	21	38.89%
Advance in Person	1339	103	57.54%	76	42.46%
Provisional	1339	5	71.43%	2	28.57%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Advance in Person 2	1339	31	58.49%	22	41.51%
Total	1339	403	60.42%	264	39.58%
121 Pinckneyville X					
Polling	3198	541	52.88%	482	47.12%
Absentee by Mail	3198	118	59.60%	80	40.40%
Advance in Person	3198	379	55.65%	302	44.35%
Provisional	3198	4	80.00%	1	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	3198	36	53.73%	31	46.27%
Total	3198	1078	54.61%	896	45.39%
122 Rockbridge G					
Polling	5188	716	65.63%	375	34.37%
Absentee by Mail	5188	129	59.72%	87	40.28%
Advance in Person	5188	67	62.62%	40	37.38%
Provisional	5188	26	74.29%	9	25.71%
Advance in Person 2	5188	949	62.76%	563	37.24%
Total	5188	1887	63.73%	1074	36.27%
123 Pinckneyville Y					
Polling	3018	495	66.98%	244	33.02%
Absentee by Mail	3018	35	61.40%	22	38.60%
Advance in Person	3018	260	62.20%	158	37.80%
Provisional	3018	13	68.42%	6	31.58%
Advance in Person 2	3018	35	58.33%	25	41.67%
Total	3018	838	64.81%	455	35.19%
124 Goodwins G					
Polling	2448	439	60.30%	289	39.70%
Absentee by Mail	2448	47	71.21%	19	28.79%
Advance in Person	2448	39	60.00%	26	40.00%
Provisional	2448	3	33.33%	6	66.67%
Advance in Person 2	2448	237	60.00%	158	40.00%
Total	2448	765	60.57%	498	39.43%
125 Pinckneyville Z					
Polling	1806	424	52.80%	379	47.20%
Absentee by Mail	1806	47	55.29%	38	44.71%
Advance in Person	1806	151	52.61%	136	47.39%
Provisional	1806	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1806	13	44.83%	16	55.17%
Total	1806	636	52.78%	569	47.22%
126 Pinckneyville A1					
Polling	2596	594	53.47%	517	46.53%
Absentee by Mail	2596	80	55.56%	64	44.44%
Advance in Person	2596	246	56.68%	188	43.32%
Provisional	2596	1	20.00%	4	80.00%
Advance in Person 2	2596	24	52.17%	22	47.83%
Total	2596	945	54.31%	795	45.69%
127 Lawrenceville J					
Polling	1959	353	60.45%	231	39.55%
Absentee by Mail	1959	42	57.53%	31	42.47%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Advance in Person	1959	29	67.44%	14	32.56%
Provisional	1959	2	66.67%	1	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	1959	238	63.98%	134	36.02%
Total	1959	664	61.77%	411	38.23%
128 Goodwins H					
Polling	2561	463	57.59%	341	42.41%
Absentee by Mail	2561	55	54.46%	46	45.54%
Advance in Person	2561	26	50.98%	25	49.02%
Provisional	2561	7	58.33%	5	41.67%
Advance in Person 2	2561	305	60.16%	202	39.84%
Total	2561	856	58.03%	619	41.97%
129 Duncans B					
Polling	4655	821	54.33%	690	45.67%
Absentee by Mail	4655	85	61.59%	53	38.41%
Advance in Person	4655	638	58.53%	452	41.47%
Provisional	4655	3	60.00%	2	40.00%
Advance in Person 2	4655	64	59.81%	43	40.19%
Total	4655	1611	56.51%	1240	43.49%
130 Suwanee D					
Polling	4247	721	55.00%	590	45.00%
Absentee by Mail	4247	86	56.21%	67	43.79%
Advance in Person	4247	53	61.63%	33	38.37%
Provisional	4247	9	60.00%	6	40.00%
Advance in Person 2	4247	552	53.23%	485	46.77%
Total	4247	1421	54.61%	1181	45.39%
131 Suwanee E					
Polling	3921	585	57.58%	431	42.42%
Absentee by Mail	3921	92	57.14%	69	42.86%
Advance in Person	3921	19	46.34%	22	53.66%
Provisional	3921	11	57.89%	8	42.11%
Advance in Person 2	3921	687	58.47%	488	41.53%
Total	3921	1394	57.79%	1018	42.21%
132 Lawrenceville K					
Polling	2915	524	54.47%	438	45.53%
Absentee by Mail	2915	51	52.58%	46	47.42%
Advance in Person	2915	89	55.28%	72	44.72%
Provisional	2915	3	75.00%	1	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	2915	262	54.70%	217	45.30%
Total	2915	929	54.55%	774	45.45%
133 Harbins B					
Polling	4220	534	56.87%	405	43.13%
Absentee by Mail	4220	49	55.68%	39	44.32%
Advance in Person	4220	635	50.96%	611	49.04%
Provisional	4220	3	75.00%	1	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	4220	90	64.75%	49	35.25%
Total	4220	1311	54.26%	1105	45.74%
134 Baycreek F					

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Polling	4119	728	62.49%	437	37.51%
Absentee by Mail	4119	99	61.11%	63	38.89%
Advance in Person	4119	22	59.46%	15	40.54%
Provisional	4119	5	55.56%	4	44.44%
Advance in Person 2	4119	696	62.03%	426	37.97%
Total	4119	1550	62.12%	945	37.88%
135 Pucketts C					
Polling	2931	455	57.59%	335	42.41%
Absentee by Mail	2931	49	53.26%	43	46.74%
Advance in Person	2931	378	55.83%	299	44.17%
Provisional	2931	5	35.71%	9	64.29%
Advance in Person 2	2931	47	65.28%	25	34.72%
Total	2931	934	56.78%	711	43.22%
136 Hog Mountain C					
Polling	3832	641	55.50%	514	44.50%
Absentee by Mail	3832	58	54.72%	48	45.28%
Advance in Person	3832	329	54.20%	278	45.80%
Provisional	3832	2	40.00%	3	60.00%
Advance in Person 2	3832	126	61.17%	80	38.83%
Total	3832	1156	55.60%	923	44.40%
137 Rockycreek B					
Polling	4677	774	59.58%	525	40.42%
Absentee by Mail	4677	60	51.72%	56	48.28%
Advance in Person	4677	570	56.44%	440	43.56%
Provisional	4677	5	71.43%	2	28.57%
Advance in Person 2	4677	123	59.42%	84	40.58%
Total	4677	1532	58.05%	1107	41.95%
138 Duluth I					
Polling	4491	727	62.62%	434	37.38%
Absentee by Mail	4491	150	54.95%	123	45.05%
Advance in Person	4491	270	61.93%	166	38.07%
Provisional	4491	3	75.00%	1	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	4491	371	58.80%	260	41.20%
Total	4491	1521	60.72%	984	39.28%
139 Martins K					
Polling	4796	864	64.24%	481	35.76%
Absentee by Mail	4796	104	65.00%	56	35.00%
Advance in Person	4796	119	61.34%	75	38.66%
Provisional	4796	12	66.67%	6	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	4796	503	65.58%	264	34.42%
Total	4796	1602	64.49%	882	35.51%
140 Suwanee H					
Polling	3480	557	57.42%	413	42.58%
Absentee by Mail	3480	84	49.70%	85	50.30%
Advance in Person	3480	28	60.87%	18	39.13%
Provisional	3480	11	61.11%	7	38.89%
Advance in Person 2	3480	556	55.16%	452	44.84%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Total	3480	1236	55.90%	975	44.10%
141 Sugar Hill G					
Polling	2601	455	54.56%	379	45.44%
Absentee by Mail	2601	45	54.88%	37	45.12%
Advance in Person	2601	77	62.60%	46	37.40%
Provisional	2601	9	75.00%	3	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	2601	307	56.54%	236	43.46%
Total	2601	893	56.02%	701	43.98%
142 Suwanee F					
Polling	3598	587	57.49%	434	42.51%
Absentee by Mail	3598	86	66.15%	44	33.85%
Advance in Person	3598	46	66.67%	23	33.33%
Provisional	3598	8	61.54%	5	38.46%
Advance in Person 2	3598	457	57.27%	341	42.73%
Total	3598	1184	58.30%	847	41.70%
143 Lawrenceville L					
Polling	4355	759	60.91%	487	39.09%
Absentee by Mail	4355	103	62.05%	63	37.95%
Advance in Person	4355	33	62.26%	20	37.74%
Provisional	4355	11	78.57%	3	21.43%
Advance in Person 2	4355	638	60.82%	411	39.18%
Total	4355	1544	61.08%	984	38.92%
144 Lawrenceville M					
Polling	5956	928	63.65%	530	36.35%
Absentee by Mail	5956	98	59.04%	68	40.96%
Advance in Person	5956	151	58.53%	107	41.47%
Provisional	5956	12	75.00%	4	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	5956	797	65.44%	421	34.56%
Total	5956	1986	63.74%	1130	36.26%
145 Baycreek G					
Polling	5092	867	58.58%	613	41.42%
Absentee by Mail	5092	90	56.96%	68	43.04%
Advance in Person	5092	33	66.00%	17	34.00%
Provisional	5092	8	61.54%	5	38.46%
Advance in Person 2	5092	877	64.30%	487	35.70%
Total	5092	1875	61.17%	1190	38.83%
146 Baycreek H					
Polling	5697	932	56.62%	714	43.38%
Absentee by Mail	5697	128	64.32%	71	35.68%
Advance in Person	5697	50	53.19%	44	46.81%
Provisional	5697	5	62.50%	3	37.50%
Advance in Person 2	5697	897	59.01%	623	40.99%
Total	5697	2012	58.03%	1455	41.97%
147 Baycreek I					
Polling	5162	739	60.62%	480	39.38%
Absentee by Mail	5162	107	60.45%	70	39.55%
Advance in Person	5162	27	52.94%	24	47.06%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Provisional	5162	10	71.43%	4	28.57%
Advance in Person 2	5162	878	60.72%	568	39.28%
Total	5162	1761	60.58%	1146	39.42%
148 Berkshire Q					
Polling	1644	262	52.09%	241	47.91%
Absentee by Mail	1644	24	52.17%	22	47.83%
Advance in Person	1644	213	58.20%	153	41.80%
Provisional	1644	2	50.00%	2	50.00%
Advance in Person 2	1644	12	48.00%	13	52.00%
Total	1644	513	54.34%	431	45.66%
149 Goodwins I					
Polling	2341	474	55.83%	375	44.17%
Absentee by Mail	2341	59	50.00%	59	50.00%
Advance in Person	2341	53	67.95%	25	32.05%
Provisional	2341	5	83.33%	1	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	2341	247	57.44%	183	42.56%
Total	2341	838	56.58%	643	43.42%
150 Duluth K					
Polling	2694	330	64.33%	183	35.67%
Absentee by Mail	2694	30	58.82%	21	41.18%
Advance in Person	2694	227	62.19%	138	37.81%
Provisional	2694	4	50.00%	4	50.00%
Advance in Person 2	2694	24	61.54%	15	38.46%
Total	2694	615	63.01%	361	36.99%
151 Harbins C					
Polling	2369	482	52.74%	432	47.26%
Absentee by Mail	2369	28	41.18%	40	58.82%
Advance in Person	2369	157	53.95%	134	46.05%
Provisional	2369	4	80.00%	1	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	2369	153	58.85%	107	41.15%
Total	2369	824	53.58%	714	46.42%
152 Rockycreek C					
Polling	4504	721	56.37%	558	43.63%
Absentee by Mail	4504	77	64.71%	42	35.29%
Advance in Person	4504	630	55.07%	514	44.93%
Provisional	4504	3	75.00%	1	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	4504	116	56.59%	89	43.41%
Total	4504	1547	56.23%	1204	43.77%
153 Lawrenceville N					
Polling	2343	358	60.27%	236	39.73%
Absentee by Mail	2343	42	57.53%	31	42.47%
Advance in Person	2343	13	72.22%	5	27.78%
Provisional	2343	15	71.43%	6	28.57%
Advance in Person 2	2343	305	62.63%	182	37.37%
Total	2343	733	61.44%	460	38.56%
154 Pucketts D					
Polling	3166	480	57.42%	356	42.58%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	REFERENDUM A / REFERENDOS A				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Absentee by Mail	3166	66	52.38%	60	47.62%
Advance in Person	3166	436	54.50%	364	45.50%
Provisional	3166	11	78.57%	3	21.43%
Advance in Person 2	3166	44	60.27%	29	39.73%
Total	3166	1037	56.08%	812	43.92%
155 Suwanee G					
Polling	3451	633	58.18%	455	41.82%
Absentee by Mail	3451	83	65.35%	44	34.65%
Advance in Person	3451	58	74.36%	20	25.64%
Provisional	3451	9	69.23%	4	30.77%
Advance in Person 2	3451	405	56.09%	317	43.91%
Total	3451	1188	58.58%	840	41.42%
156 Baycreek J					
Polling	4038	688	61.26%	435	38.74%
Absentee by Mail	4038	92	60.93%	59	39.07%
Advance in Person	4038	25	55.56%	20	44.44%
Provisional	4038	6	66.67%	3	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	4038	700	64.70%	382	35.30%
Total	4038	1511	62.70%	899	37.30%
Total					
Polling	525568	84955	58.67%	59857	41.33%
Absentee by Mail	525568	10551	57.63%	7758	42.37%
Advance in Person	525568	33772	58.19%	24267	41.81%
Advance in Person 2	525568	41218	59.39%	28183	40.61%
Provisional	525568	1187	63.07%	695	36.93%
Total	525568	171683	58.71%	120760	41.29%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Jurisdiction Wide					
001 Harbins A					
Polling	6123	1151	77.35%	337	22.65%
Absentee by Mail	6123	120	75.00%	40	25.00%
Advance in Person	6123	844	77.79%	241	22.21%
Provisional	6123	15	93.75%	1	6.25%
Advance in Person 2	6123	613	78.29%	170	21.71%
Total	6123	2743	77.66%	789	22.34%
002 Rockbridge A					
Polling	3653	664	83.63%	130	16.37%
Absentee by Mail	3653	88	82.24%	19	17.76%
Advance in Person	3653	90	76.92%	27	23.08%
Provisional	3653	59	84.29%	11	15.71%
Advance in Person 2	3653	887	84.24%	166	15.76%
Total	3653	1788	83.51%	353	16.49%
003 Dacula					
Polling	4478	875	81.17%	203	18.83%
Absentee by Mail	4478	85	75.22%	28	24.78%
Advance in Person	4478	917	79.26%	240	20.74%
Provisional	4478	5	83.33%	1	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	4478	197	84.91%	35	15.09%
Total	4478	2079	80.39%	507	19.61%
004 Suwanee A					
Polling	1551	284	79.11%	75	20.89%
Absentee by Mail	1551	32	80.00%	8	20.00%
Advance in Person	1551	29	80.56%	7	19.44%
Provisional	1551	10	83.33%	2	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	1551	301	81.13%	70	18.87%
Total	1551	656	80.20%	162	19.80%
005 Baycreek A					
Polling	2555	643	76.00%	203	24.00%
Absentee by Mail	2555	71	77.17%	21	22.83%
Advance in Person	2555	28	82.35%	6	17.65%
Provisional	2555	17	70.83%	7	29.17%
Advance in Person 2	2555	522	78.03%	147	21.97%
Total	2555	1281	76.94%	384	23.06%
006 Goodwins A					
Polling	3583	895	79.34%	233	20.66%
Absentee by Mail	3583	80	74.07%	28	25.93%
Advance in Person	3583	84	79.25%	22	20.75%
Provisional	3583	12	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3583	522	80.80%	124	19.20%
Total	3583	1593	79.65%	407	20.35%
007 Duluth A					
Polling	4599	1065	79.60%	273	20.40%
Absentee by Mail	4599	144	73.10%	53	26.90%
Advance in Person	4599	599	79.65%	153	20.35%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Provisional	4599	6	85.71%	1	14.29%
Advance in Person 2	4599	401	77.71%	115	22.29%
Total	4599	2215	78.83%	595	21.17%
008 Duncans A					
Polling	4278	945	76.21%	295	23.79%
Absentee by Mail	4278	93	80.87%	22	19.13%
Advance in Person	4278	910	74.71%	308	25.29%
Provisional	4278	9	81.82%	2	18.18%
Advance in Person 2	4278	122	73.49%	44	26.51%
Total	4278	2079	75.60%	671	24.40%
009 Pucketts A					
Polling	4844	800	75.69%	257	24.31%
Absentee by Mail	4844	112	74.67%	38	25.33%
Advance in Person	4844	1288	74.45%	442	25.55%
Provisional	4844	1	50.00%	1	50.00%
Advance in Person 2	4844	42	77.78%	12	22.22%
Total	4844	2243	74.94%	750	25.06%
010 Cates A					
Polling	2209	453	74.02%	159	25.98%
Absentee by Mail	2209	88	70.40%	37	29.60%
Advance in Person	2209	16	66.67%	8	33.33%
Provisional	2209	1	50.00%	1	50.00%
Advance in Person 2	2209	445	76.33%	138	23.67%
Total	2209	1003	74.52%	343	25.48%
011 Berkshire A					
Polling	2247	525	84.41%	97	15.59%
Absentee by Mail	2247	74	88.10%	10	11.90%
Advance in Person	2247	280	86.42%	44	13.58%
Provisional	2247	9	90.00%	1	10.00%
Advance in Person 2	2247	50	84.75%	9	15.25%
Total	2247	938	85.35%	161	14.65%
012 Berkshire B					
Polling	5010	736	83.16%	149	16.84%
Absentee by Mail	5010	115	75.16%	38	24.84%
Advance in Person	5010	1160	82.27%	250	17.73%
Provisional	5010	15	88.24%	2	11.76%
Advance in Person 2	5010	63	85.14%	11	14.86%
Total	5010	2089	82.28%	450	17.72%
013 Duncans C					
Polling	2828	734	75.67%	236	24.33%
Absentee by Mail	2828	55	80.88%	13	19.12%
Advance in Person	2828	524	70.81%	216	29.19%
Provisional	2828	5	83.33%	1	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	2828	60	76.92%	18	23.08%
Total	2828	1378	74.01%	484	25.99%
014 Garners A					
Polling	3302	733	77.00%	219	23.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Absentee by Mail	3302	96	78.05%	27	21.95%
Advance in Person	3302	736	74.57%	251	25.43%
Provisional	3302	5	71.43%	2	28.57%
Advance in Person 2	3302	82	76.64%	25	23.36%
Total	3302	1652	75.92%	524	24.08%
015 Lawrenceville A					
Polling	3300	566	80.86%	134	19.14%
Absentee by Mail	3300	102	80.95%	24	19.05%
Advance in Person	3300	14	82.35%	3	17.65%
Provisional	3300	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3300	568	78.02%	160	21.98%
Total	3300	1254	79.62%	321	20.38%
016 Lawrenceville B					
Polling	4520	824	83.49%	163	16.51%
Absentee by Mail	4520	127	79.38%	33	20.63%
Advance in Person	4520	37	88.10%	5	11.90%
Provisional	4520	8	88.89%	1	11.11%
Advance in Person 2	4520	1153	84.04%	219	15.96%
Total	4520	2149	83.62%	421	16.38%
017 Martins A					
Polling	3019	662	82.85%	137	17.15%
Absentee by Mail	3019	69	75.00%	23	25.00%
Advance in Person	3019	212	86.18%	34	13.82%
Provisional	3019	8	80.00%	2	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	3019	257	84.54%	47	15.46%
Total	3019	1208	83.25%	243	16.75%
018 Martins B					
Polling	2796	669	82.90%	138	17.10%
Absentee by Mail	2796	62	77.50%	18	22.50%
Advance in Person	2796	80	86.96%	12	13.04%
Provisional	2796	16	88.89%	2	11.11%
Advance in Person 2	2796	370	84.09%	70	15.91%
Total	2796	1197	83.30%	240	16.70%
019 Martins C					
Polling	2349	673	82.58%	142	17.42%
Absentee by Mail	2349	50	74.63%	17	25.37%
Advance in Person	2349	146	78.92%	39	21.08%
Provisional	2349	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2349	191	79.25%	50	20.75%
Total	2349	1067	81.14%	248	18.86%
020 Pinckneyville A					
Polling	2603	596	85.39%	102	14.61%
Absentee by Mail	2603	61	82.43%	13	17.57%
Advance in Person	2603	321	85.60%	54	14.40%
Provisional	2603	14	82.35%	3	17.65%
Advance in Person 2	2603	49	89.09%	6	10.91%
Total	2603	1041	85.40%	178	14.60%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
021 Pinckneyville B					
Polling	3216	541	84.93%	96	15.07%
Absentee by Mail	3216	71	73.96%	25	26.04%
Advance in Person	3216	468	83.72%	91	16.28%
Provisional	3216	18	78.26%	5	21.74%
Advance in Person 2	3216	19	82.61%	4	17.39%
Total	3216	1117	83.48%	221	16.52%
022 Pinckneyville C					
Polling	1796	603	78.52%	165	21.48%
Absentee by Mail	1796	75	69.44%	33	30.56%
Advance in Person	1796	233	80.62%	56	19.38%
Provisional	1796	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1796	27	62.79%	16	37.21%
Total	1796	942	77.72%	270	22.28%
023 Pinckneyville D					
Polling	2042	427	86.09%	69	13.91%
Absentee by Mail	2042	48	80.00%	12	20.00%
Advance in Person	2042	227	83.46%	45	16.54%
Provisional	2042	11	91.67%	1	8.33%
Advance in Person 2	2042	31	79.49%	8	20.51%
Total	2042	744	84.64%	135	15.36%
024 Sugar Hill A					
Polling	4001	776	76.08%	244	23.92%
Absentee by Mail	4001	82	76.64%	25	23.36%
Advance in Person	4001	505	78.78%	136	21.22%
Provisional	4001	11	73.33%	4	26.67%
Advance in Person 2	4001	172	80.37%	42	19.63%
Total	4001	1546	77.42%	451	22.58%
025 Sugar Hill B					
Polling	4835	1126	77.98%	318	22.02%
Absentee by Mail	4835	96	71.11%	39	28.89%
Advance in Person	4835	351	81.06%	82	18.94%
Provisional	4835	12	70.59%	5	29.41%
Advance in Person 2	4835	455	79.82%	115	20.18%
Total	4835	2040	78.49%	559	21.51%
026 Hog Mountain A					
Polling	4467	1018	80.03%	254	19.97%
Absentee by Mail	4467	116	84.67%	21	15.33%
Advance in Person	4467	308	84.85%	55	15.15%
Provisional	4467	15	93.75%	1	6.25%
Advance in Person 2	4467	466	83.07%	95	16.93%
Total	4467	1923	81.86%	426	18.14%
027 Hog Mountain B					
Polling	4211	1001	74.98%	334	25.02%
Absentee by Mail	4211	109	74.66%	37	25.34%
Advance in Person	4211	596	76.71%	181	23.29%
Provisional	4211	7	70.00%	3	30.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Advance in Person 2	4211	258	77.25%	76	22.75%
Total	4211	1971	75.75%	631	24.25%
028 Rockycreek A					
Polling	5149	1148	79.61%	294	20.39%
Absentee by Mail	5149	79	74.53%	27	25.47%
Advance in Person	5149	1073	75.51%	348	24.49%
Provisional	5149	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5149	124	77.99%	35	22.01%
Total	5149	2427	77.52%	704	22.48%
029 Cates B					
Polling	1559	426	80.38%	104	19.62%
Absentee by Mail	1559	33	68.75%	15	31.25%
Advance in Person	1559	131	77.51%	38	22.49%
Provisional	1559	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1559	161	73.18%	59	26.82%
Total	1559	752	77.69%	216	22.31%
030 Cates C					
Polling	2599	577	78.40%	159	21.60%
Absentee by Mail	2599	57	76.00%	18	24.00%
Advance in Person	2599	19	79.17%	5	20.83%
Provisional	2599	4	57.14%	3	42.86%
Advance in Person 2	2599	586	80.49%	142	19.51%
Total	2599	1243	79.17%	327	20.83%
031 Hog Mountain D					
Polling	2958	673	76.13%	211	23.87%
Absentee by Mail	2958	77	88.51%	10	11.49%
Advance in Person	2958	255	77.98%	72	22.02%
Provisional	2958	8	88.89%	1	11.11%
Advance in Person 2	2958	246	76.40%	76	23.60%
Total	2958	1259	77.29%	370	22.71%
032 Berkshire D					
Polling	2684	637	78.64%	173	21.36%
Absentee by Mail	2684	88	77.88%	25	22.12%
Advance in Person	2684	564	79.21%	148	20.79%
Provisional	2684	5	83.33%	1	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	2684	64	77.11%	19	22.89%
Total	2684	1358	78.77%	366	21.23%
033 Berkshire E					
Polling	1866	455	73.15%	167	26.85%
Absentee by Mail	1866	64	82.05%	14	17.95%
Advance in Person	1866	402	76.86%	121	23.14%
Provisional	1866	0	-	0	-
Advance in Person 2	1866	30	88.24%	4	11.76%
Total	1866	951	75.66%	306	24.34%
034 Berkshire F					
Polling	2003	481	79.50%	124	20.50%
Absentee by Mail	2003	54	79.41%	14	20.59%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Advance in Person	2003	195	84.42%	36	15.58%
Provisional	2003	7	87.50%	1	12.50%
Advance in Person 2	2003	59	80.82%	14	19.18%
Total	2003	796	80.81%	189	19.19%
035 Cates D - 04					
Polling	2605	621	76.57%	190	23.43%
Absentee by Mail	2605	69	75.00%	23	25.00%
Advance in Person	2605	139	80.81%	33	19.19%
Provisional	2605	5	62.50%	3	37.50%
Advance in Person 2	2605	385	80.21%	95	19.79%
Total	2605	1219	77.99%	344	22.01%
035 Cates D - 07					
Polling	585	135	74.18%	47	25.82%
Absentee by Mail	585	18	85.71%	3	14.29%
Advance in Person	585	60	84.51%	11	15.49%
Provisional	585	1	50.00%	1	50.00%
Advance in Person 2	585	55	84.62%	10	15.38%
Total	585	269	78.89%	72	21.11%
036 Cates E					
Polling	2744	683	75.55%	221	24.45%
Absentee by Mail	2744	83	79.81%	21	20.19%
Advance in Person	2744	367	79.27%	96	20.73%
Provisional	2744	5	62.50%	3	37.50%
Advance in Person 2	2744	255	77.74%	73	22.26%
Total	2744	1393	77.09%	414	22.91%
037 Pinckneyville E					
Polling	2183	579	87.07%	86	12.93%
Absentee by Mail	2183	48	94.12%	3	5.88%
Advance in Person	2183	220	89.43%	26	10.57%
Provisional	2183	11	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2183	16	80.00%	4	20.00%
Total	2183	874	88.02%	119	11.98%
038 Pinckneyville F					
Polling	2301	517	82.59%	109	17.41%
Absentee by Mail	2301	61	79.22%	16	20.78%
Advance in Person	2301	256	81.79%	57	18.21%
Provisional	2301	3	60.00%	2	40.00%
Advance in Person 2	2301	29	80.56%	7	19.44%
Total	2301	866	81.93%	191	18.07%
039 Duncans D					
Polling	6085	1339	76.47%	412	23.53%
Absentee by Mail	6085	139	72.77%	52	27.23%
Advance in Person	6085	1115	73.21%	408	26.79%
Provisional	6085	9	90.00%	1	10.00%
Advance in Person 2	6085	114	72.15%	44	27.85%
Total	6085	2716	74.76%	917	25.24%
040 Pinckneyville H					

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Polling	4126	1055	79.74%	268	20.26%
Absentee by Mail	4126	158	79.40%	41	20.60%
Advance in Person	4126	785	77.65%	226	22.35%
Provisional	4126	9	81.82%	2	18.18%
Advance in Person 2	4126	57	69.51%	25	30.49%
Total	4126	2064	78.60%	562	21.40%
041 Baycreek B					
Polling	5349	1316	82.82%	273	17.18%
Absentee by Mail	5349	153	86.44%	24	13.56%
Advance in Person	5349	42	89.36%	5	10.64%
Provisional	5349	18	90.00%	2	10.00%
Advance in Person 2	5349	1145	83.88%	220	16.12%
Total	5349	2674	83.61%	524	16.39%
042 Lawrenceville C					
Polling	2761	665	77.24%	196	22.76%
Absentee by Mail	2761	62	73.81%	22	26.19%
Advance in Person	2761	234	76.72%	71	23.28%
Provisional	2761	5	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2761	270	79.65%	69	20.35%
Total	2761	1236	77.54%	358	22.46%
043 Martins D					
Polling	2059	498	85.42%	85	14.58%
Absentee by Mail	2059	51	80.95%	12	19.05%
Advance in Person	2059	182	86.26%	29	13.74%
Provisional	2059	9	90.00%	1	10.00%
Advance in Person 2	2059	82	88.17%	11	11.83%
Total	2059	822	85.63%	138	14.38%
044 Rockbridge B					
Polling	3761	779	81.83%	173	18.17%
Absentee by Mail	3761	73	82.95%	15	17.05%
Advance in Person	3761	93	83.04%	19	16.96%
Provisional	3761	7	77.78%	2	22.22%
Advance in Person 2	3761	775	84.33%	144	15.67%
Total	3761	1727	83.03%	353	16.97%
045 Garners B					
Polling	2916	548	81.43%	125	18.57%
Absentee by Mail	2916	82	73.87%	29	26.13%
Advance in Person	2916	510	76.35%	158	23.65%
Provisional	2916	3	60.00%	2	40.00%
Advance in Person 2	2916	307	83.88%	59	16.12%
Total	2916	1450	79.54%	373	20.46%
046 Cates F					
Polling	1689	502	78.56%	137	21.44%
Absentee by Mail	1689	41	75.93%	13	24.07%
Advance in Person	1689	36	78.26%	10	21.74%
Provisional	1689	2	50.00%	2	50.00%
Advance in Person 2	1689	250	76.69%	76	23.31%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Total	1689	831	77.74%	238	22.26%
047 Cates G					
Polling	2597	660	74.41%	227	25.59%
Absentee by Mail	2597	72	72.73%	27	27.27%
Advance in Person	2597	79	70.54%	33	29.46%
Provisional	2597	6	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2597	483	75.47%	157	24.53%
Total	2597	1300	74.54%	444	25.46%
048 Duluth B					
Polling	3332	658	75.89%	209	24.11%
Absentee by Mail	3332	106	72.60%	40	27.40%
Advance in Person	3332	483	77.16%	143	22.84%
Provisional	3332	6	85.71%	1	14.29%
Advance in Person 2	3332	113	77.40%	33	22.60%
Total	3332	1366	76.23%	426	23.77%
049 Garners C					
Polling	1908	415	79.05%	110	20.95%
Absentee by Mail	1908	41	74.55%	14	25.45%
Advance in Person	1908	380	79.33%	99	20.67%
Provisional	1908	2	66.67%	1	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	1908	40	90.91%	4	9.09%
Total	1908	878	79.39%	228	20.61%
050 Pinckneyville I					
Polling	2479	536	82.59%	113	17.41%
Absentee by Mail	2479	56	75.68%	18	24.32%
Advance in Person	2479	345	88.01%	47	11.99%
Provisional	2479	11	91.67%	1	8.33%
Advance in Person 2	2479	52	77.61%	15	22.39%
Total	2479	1000	83.75%	194	16.25%
051 Pinckneyville J					
Polling	2543	433	83.59%	85	16.41%
Absentee by Mail	2543	51	75.00%	17	25.00%
Advance in Person	2543	349	87.25%	51	12.75%
Provisional	2543	17	80.95%	4	19.05%
Advance in Person 2	2543	29	82.86%	6	17.14%
Total	2543	879	84.36%	163	15.64%
052 Berkshire G					
Polling	2250	478	77.60%	138	22.40%
Absentee by Mail	2250	49	70.00%	21	30.00%
Advance in Person	2250	532	75.04%	177	24.96%
Provisional	2250	2	66.67%	1	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	2250	21	75.00%	7	25.00%
Total	2250	1082	75.88%	344	24.12%
053 Cates H					
Polling	3622	792	83.99%	151	16.01%
Absentee by Mail	3622	71	68.27%	33	31.73%
Advance in Person	3622	37	78.72%	10	21.28%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Provisional	3622	11	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3622	691	83.25%	139	16.75%
Total	3622	1602	82.79%	333	17.21%
054 Sugar Hill C					
Polling	4596	1157	76.52%	355	23.48%
Absentee by Mail	4596	110	73.83%	39	26.17%
Advance in Person	4596	314	73.88%	111	26.12%
Provisional	4596	22	84.62%	4	15.38%
Advance in Person 2	4596	424	77.37%	124	22.63%
Total	4596	2027	76.20%	633	23.80%
055 Sugar Hill D					
Polling	4070	917	78.31%	254	21.69%
Absentee by Mail	4070	78	70.91%	32	29.09%
Advance in Person	4070	653	78.49%	179	21.51%
Provisional	4070	22	88.00%	3	12.00%
Advance in Person 2	4070	108	76.60%	33	23.40%
Total	4070	1778	78.02%	501	21.98%
056 Duluth C					
Polling	2243	528	79.52%	136	20.48%
Absentee by Mail	2243	60	68.97%	27	31.03%
Advance in Person	2243	356	78.94%	95	21.06%
Provisional	2243	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2243	61	85.92%	10	14.08%
Total	2243	1006	78.96%	268	21.04%
057 Duluth D					
Polling	4404	810	79.02%	215	20.98%
Absentee by Mail	4404	146	80.66%	35	19.34%
Advance in Person	4404	759	79.81%	192	20.19%
Provisional	4404	11	64.71%	6	35.29%
Advance in Person 2	4404	109	78.42%	30	21.58%
Total	4404	1835	79.33%	478	20.67%
058 Pinckneyville K					
Polling	3547	720	83.92%	138	16.08%
Absentee by Mail	3547	80	83.33%	16	16.67%
Advance in Person	3547	401	85.14%	70	14.86%
Provisional	3547	16	84.21%	3	15.79%
Advance in Person 2	3547	69	77.53%	20	22.47%
Total	3547	1286	83.89%	247	16.11%
059 Pinckneyville N					
Polling	3717	887	85.45%	151	14.55%
Absentee by Mail	3717	54	84.38%	10	15.63%
Advance in Person	3717	461	86.33%	73	13.67%
Provisional	3717	12	92.31%	1	7.69%
Advance in Person 2	3717	43	86.00%	7	14.00%
Total	3717	1457	85.76%	242	14.24%
060 Lawrenceville D					
Polling	4872	859	84.71%	155	15.29%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Absentee by Mail	4872	91	86.67%	14	13.33%
Advance in Person	4872	66	83.54%	13	16.46%
Provisional	4872	17	85.00%	3	15.00%
Advance in Person 2	4872	739	86.03%	120	13.97%
Total	4872	1772	85.32%	305	14.68%
061 Lawrenceville E					
Polling	2205	450	72.46%	171	27.54%
Absentee by Mail	2205	59	66.29%	30	33.71%
Advance in Person	2205	13	72.22%	5	27.78%
Provisional	2205	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2205	467	77.19%	138	22.81%
Total	2205	992	74.25%	344	25.75%
062 Pinckneyville L					
Polling	2233	778	73.88%	275	26.12%
Absentee by Mail	2233	80	71.43%	32	28.57%
Advance in Person	2233	275	74.32%	95	25.68%
Provisional	2233	0	0.00%	1	100.00%
Advance in Person 2	2233	31	86.11%	5	13.89%
Total	2233	1164	74.05%	408	25.95%
063 Pinckneyville M					
Polling	2515	825	74.73%	279	25.27%
Absentee by Mail	2515	105	70.47%	44	29.53%
Advance in Person	2515	334	75.06%	111	24.94%
Provisional	2515	5	83.33%	1	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	2515	15	78.95%	4	21.05%
Total	2515	1284	74.52%	439	25.48%
064 Garners D					
Polling	3127	685	81.64%	154	18.36%
Absentee by Mail	3127	72	75.00%	24	25.00%
Advance in Person	3127	506	83.50%	100	16.50%
Provisional	3127	5	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3127	225	79.23%	59	20.77%
Total	3127	1493	81.58%	337	18.42%
065 Berkshire H					
Polling	1717	359	82.34%	77	17.66%
Absentee by Mail	1717	42	77.78%	12	22.22%
Advance in Person	1717	266	82.87%	55	17.13%
Provisional	1717	7	87.50%	1	12.50%
Advance in Person 2	1717	29	82.86%	6	17.14%
Total	1717	703	82.32%	151	17.68%
066 Pinckneyville O					
Polling	3267	665	88.43%	87	11.57%
Absentee by Mail	3267	57	82.61%	12	17.39%
Advance in Person	3267	500	82.78%	104	17.22%
Provisional	3267	18	81.82%	4	18.18%
Advance in Person 2	3267	25	89.29%	3	10.71%
Total	3267	1265	85.76%	210	14.24%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
067 Pucketts E					
Polling	4771	884	79.28%	231	20.72%
Absentee by Mail	4771	119	74.38%	41	25.63%
Advance in Person	4771	886	76.31%	275	23.69%
Provisional	4771	17	73.91%	6	26.09%
Advance in Person 2	4771	114	76.00%	36	24.00%
Total	4771	2020	77.42%	589	22.58%
068 Cates I					
Polling	3395	859	75.82%	274	24.18%
Absentee by Mail	3395	98	78.40%	27	21.60%
Advance in Person	3395	157	82.20%	34	17.80%
Provisional	3395	5	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3395	493	78.75%	133	21.25%
Total	3395	1612	77.50%	468	22.50%
069 Cates J					
Polling	3266	859	80.21%	212	19.79%
Absentee by Mail	3266	90	78.26%	25	21.74%
Advance in Person	3266	473	78.05%	133	21.95%
Provisional	3266	6	75.00%	2	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	3266	177	85.92%	29	14.08%
Total	3266	1605	80.01%	401	19.99%
070 Goodwins B					
Polling	3604	891	76.88%	268	23.12%
Absentee by Mail	3604	100	75.76%	32	24.24%
Advance in Person	3604	149	74.13%	52	25.87%
Provisional	3604	5	83.33%	1	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	3604	493	73.25%	180	26.75%
Total	3604	1638	75.45%	533	24.55%
071 Lawrenceville F					
Polling	3698	722	83.95%	138	16.05%
Absentee by Mail	3698	110	85.27%	19	14.73%
Advance in Person	3698	42	76.36%	13	23.64%
Provisional	3698	8	80.00%	2	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	3698	872	85.24%	151	14.76%
Total	3698	1754	84.45%	323	15.55%
072 Martins E					
Polling	5934	1036	88.17%	139	11.83%
Absentee by Mail	5934	133	84.18%	25	15.82%
Advance in Person	5934	609	89.43%	72	10.57%
Provisional	5934	40	90.91%	4	9.09%
Advance in Person 2	5934	217	88.57%	28	11.43%
Total	5934	2035	88.36%	268	11.64%
073 Pinckneyville P					
Polling	2048	709	82.25%	153	17.75%
Absentee by Mail	2048	74	75.51%	24	24.49%
Advance in Person	2048	229	82.37%	49	17.63%
Provisional	2048	4	100.00%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Advance in Person 2	2048	23	95.83%	1	4.17%
Total	2048	1039	82.07%	227	17.93%
074 Pinckneyville Q					
Polling	1725	515	88.03%	70	11.97%
Absentee by Mail	1725	25	89.29%	3	10.71%
Advance in Person	1725	159	83.68%	31	16.32%
Provisional	1725	8	80.00%	2	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	1725	32	91.43%	3	8.57%
Total	1725	739	87.15%	109	12.85%
075 Rockbridge C					
Polling	4722	963	82.10%	210	17.90%
Absentee by Mail	4722	137	84.57%	25	15.43%
Advance in Person	4722	125	76.69%	38	23.31%
Provisional	4722	13	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4722	1176	84.12%	222	15.88%
Total	4722	2414	82.98%	495	17.02%
076 Rockbridge D					
Polling	3359	667	82.96%	137	17.04%
Absentee by Mail	3359	103	81.75%	23	18.25%
Advance in Person	3359	31	86.11%	5	13.89%
Provisional	3359	19	90.48%	2	9.52%
Advance in Person 2	3359	900	83.18%	182	16.82%
Total	3359	1720	83.13%	349	16.87%
077 Goodwins C					
Polling	5106	1058	80.46%	257	19.54%
Absentee by Mail	5106	149	80.54%	36	19.46%
Advance in Person	5106	234	85.40%	40	14.60%
Provisional	5106	11	68.75%	5	31.25%
Advance in Person 2	5106	678	83.19%	137	16.81%
Total	5106	2130	81.77%	475	18.23%
078 Baycreek K					
Polling	3536	740	80.61%	178	19.39%
Absentee by Mail	3536	116	85.29%	20	14.71%
Advance in Person	3536	27	77.14%	8	22.86%
Provisional	3536	9	90.00%	1	10.00%
Advance in Person 2	3536	883	79.12%	233	20.88%
Total	3536	1775	80.14%	440	19.86%
079 Cates K					
Polling	2531	743	78.54%	203	21.46%
Absentee by Mail	2531	47	72.31%	18	27.69%
Advance in Person	2531	85	73.28%	31	26.72%
Provisional	2531	6	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2531	366	78.88%	98	21.12%
Total	2531	1247	78.08%	350	21.92%
080 Baycreek C					
Polling	5755	1218	76.70%	370	23.30%
Absentee by Mail	5755	131	77.98%	37	22.02%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Advance in Person	5755	67	69.79%	29	30.21%
Provisional	5755	6	85.71%	1	14.29%
Advance in Person 2	5755	1440	83.00%	295	17.00%
Total	5755	2862	79.63%	732	20.37%
081 Cates L					
Polling	3751	858	78.86%	230	21.14%
Absentee by Mail	3751	92	77.97%	26	22.03%
Advance in Person	3751	38	86.36%	6	13.64%
Provisional	3751	9	90.00%	1	10.00%
Advance in Person 2	3751	780	79.51%	201	20.49%
Total	3751	1777	79.29%	464	20.71%
082 Duluth E					
Polling	4580	942	84.18%	177	15.82%
Absentee by Mail	4580	111	82.22%	24	17.78%
Advance in Person	4580	797	83.02%	163	16.98%
Provisional	4580	15	83.33%	3	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	4580	88	83.81%	17	16.19%
Total	4580	1953	83.57%	384	16.43%
083 Goodwins D					
Polling	3985	964	77.37%	282	22.63%
Absentee by Mail	3985	101	80.80%	24	19.20%
Advance in Person	3985	79	77.45%	23	22.55%
Provisional	3985	14	82.35%	3	17.65%
Advance in Person 2	3985	569	77.52%	165	22.48%
Total	3985	1727	77.65%	497	22.35%
084 Lawrenceville G					
Polling	2783	623	83.74%	121	16.26%
Absentee by Mail	2783	71	88.75%	9	11.25%
Advance in Person	2783	32	86.49%	5	13.51%
Provisional	2783	20	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2783	439	81.00%	103	19.00%
Total	2783	1185	83.27%	238	16.73%
085 Lawrenceville H					
Polling	3899	923	79.50%	238	20.50%
Absentee by Mail	3899	112	81.16%	26	18.84%
Advance in Person	3899	173	74.25%	60	25.75%
Provisional	3899	8	80.00%	2	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	3899	606	80.59%	146	19.41%
Total	3899	1822	79.42%	472	20.58%
086 Martins F					
Polling	2401	549	83.43%	109	16.57%
Absentee by Mail	2401	58	80.56%	14	19.44%
Advance in Person	2401	269	85.13%	47	14.87%
Provisional	2401	9	90.00%	1	10.00%
Advance in Person 2	2401	84	87.50%	12	12.50%
Total	2401	969	84.11%	183	15.89%
087 Pinckneyville S					

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Polling	3013	744	79.66%	190	20.34%
Absentee by Mail	3013	98	77.17%	29	22.83%
Advance in Person	3013	370	81.86%	82	18.14%
Provisional	3013	4	66.67%	2	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	3013	46	77.97%	13	22.03%
Total	3013	1262	79.97%	316	20.03%
088 Rockbridge E					
Polling	4199	932	84.42%	172	15.58%
Absentee by Mail	4199	85	78.70%	23	21.30%
Advance in Person	4199	116	74.36%	40	25.64%
Provisional	4199	26	86.67%	4	13.33%
Advance in Person 2	4199	695	86.23%	111	13.77%
Total	4199	1854	84.12%	350	15.88%
089 Sugar Hill E					
Polling	3061	699	77.24%	206	22.76%
Absentee by Mail	3061	75	73.53%	27	26.47%
Advance in Person	3061	145	74.74%	49	25.26%
Provisional	3061	12	80.00%	3	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	3061	447	78.28%	124	21.72%
Total	3061	1378	77.11%	409	22.89%
090 Lawrenceville I					
Polling	3140	743	82.19%	161	17.81%
Absentee by Mail	3140	89	88.12%	12	11.88%
Advance in Person	3140	117	83.57%	23	16.43%
Provisional	3140	5	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3140	422	82.10%	92	17.90%
Total	3140	1376	82.69%	288	17.31%
091 Baycreek D					
Polling	4157	919	77.82%	262	22.18%
Absentee by Mail	4157	111	75.51%	36	24.49%
Advance in Person	4157	30	85.71%	5	14.29%
Provisional	4157	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4157	949	76.78%	287	23.22%
Total	4157	2016	77.36%	590	22.64%
092 Berkshire J					
Polling	2595	588	73.87%	208	26.13%
Absentee by Mail	2595	50	64.10%	28	35.90%
Advance in Person	2595	615	78.85%	165	21.15%
Provisional	2595	1	16.67%	5	83.33%
Advance in Person 2	2595	63	84.00%	12	16.00%
Total	2595	1317	75.91%	418	24.09%
093 Cates M					
Polling	3794	938	75.04%	312	24.96%
Absentee by Mail	3794	137	81.07%	32	18.93%
Advance in Person	3794	87	71.90%	34	28.10%
Provisional	3794	6	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3794	721	76.54%	221	23.46%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Total	3794	1889	75.92%	599	24.08%
094 Cates N					
Polling	2445	581	74.01%	204	25.99%
Absentee by Mail	2445	64	68.82%	29	31.18%
Advance in Person	2445	20	86.96%	3	13.04%
Provisional	2445	1	25.00%	3	75.00%
Advance in Person 2	2445	457	72.08%	177	27.92%
Total	2445	1123	72.97%	416	27.03%
095 Duluth F					
Polling	5413	1041	84.57%	190	15.43%
Absentee by Mail	5413	120	77.92%	34	22.08%
Advance in Person	5413	501	86.68%	77	13.32%
Provisional	5413	15	83.33%	3	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	5413	361	85.55%	61	14.45%
Total	5413	2038	84.81%	365	15.19%
096 Duluth G					
Polling	2775	684	76.68%	208	23.32%
Absentee by Mail	2775	68	71.58%	27	28.42%
Advance in Person	2775	273	83.74%	53	16.26%
Provisional	2775	8	88.89%	1	11.11%
Advance in Person 2	2775	245	72.70%	92	27.30%
Total	2775	1278	77.03%	381	22.97%
097 Goodwins E					
Polling	4950	1178	80.03%	294	19.97%
Absentee by Mail	4950	152	80.85%	36	19.15%
Advance in Person	4950	127	77.91%	36	22.09%
Provisional	4950	16	94.12%	1	5.88%
Advance in Person 2	4950	705	78.60%	192	21.40%
Total	4950	2178	79.58%	559	20.42%
098 Goodwins F					
Polling	2904	693	81.34%	159	18.66%
Absentee by Mail	2904	98	85.22%	17	14.78%
Advance in Person	2904	50	78.13%	14	21.88%
Provisional	2904	10	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2904	432	78.83%	116	21.17%
Total	2904	1283	80.74%	306	19.26%
099 Pinckneyville T					
Polling	2874	685	81.45%	156	18.55%
Absentee by Mail	2874	101	78.91%	27	21.09%
Advance in Person	2874	355	79.78%	90	20.22%
Provisional	2874	5	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2874	36	83.72%	7	16.28%
Total	2874	1182	80.85%	280	19.15%
100 Suwanee B					
Polling	4085	672	81.75%	150	18.25%
Absentee by Mail	4085	90	77.59%	26	22.41%
Advance in Person	4085	23	79.31%	6	20.69%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Provisional	4085	3	75.00%	1	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	4085	1086	76.43%	335	23.57%
Total	4085	1874	78.34%	518	21.66%
101 Pinckneyville U					
Polling	2007	643	81.08%	150	18.92%
Absentee by Mail	2007	49	74.24%	17	25.76%
Advance in Person	2007	239	85.36%	41	14.64%
Provisional	2007	5	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2007	27	90.00%	3	10.00%
Total	2007	963	82.03%	211	17.97%
102 Pucketts B					
Polling	2741	605	77.27%	178	22.73%
Absentee by Mail	2741	57	75.00%	19	25.00%
Advance in Person	2741	586	74.37%	202	25.63%
Provisional	2741	6	85.71%	1	14.29%
Advance in Person 2	2741	43	70.49%	18	29.51%
Total	2741	1297	75.63%	418	24.37%
103 Berkshire L					
Polling	2915	726	83.07%	148	16.93%
Absentee by Mail	2915	90	87.38%	13	12.62%
Advance in Person	2915	274	85.09%	48	14.91%
Provisional	2915	10	90.91%	1	9.09%
Advance in Person 2	2915	148	84.09%	28	15.91%
Total	2915	1248	83.98%	238	16.02%
104 Rockbridge F					
Polling	5313	861	85.93%	141	14.07%
Absentee by Mail	5313	137	77.84%	39	22.16%
Advance in Person	5313	31	79.49%	8	20.51%
Provisional	5313	13	86.67%	2	13.33%
Advance in Person 2	5313	1709	84.06%	324	15.94%
Total	5313	2751	84.26%	514	15.74%
105 Sugar Hill F					
Polling	3687	940	76.36%	291	23.64%
Absentee by Mail	3687	73	76.04%	23	23.96%
Advance in Person	3687	93	78.81%	25	21.19%
Provisional	3687	10	71.43%	4	28.57%
Advance in Person 2	3687	528	80.49%	128	19.51%
Total	3687	1644	77.73%	471	22.27%
106 Suwanee C					
Polling	4823	934	78.95%	249	21.05%
Absentee by Mail	4823	143	76.06%	45	23.94%
Advance in Person	4823	74	76.29%	23	23.71%
Provisional	4823	36	81.82%	8	18.18%
Advance in Person 2	4823	881	74.91%	295	25.09%
Total	4823	2068	76.93%	620	23.07%
107 Cates O					
Polling	1342	375	77.96%	106	22.04%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Absentee by Mail	1342	18	54.55%	15	45.45%
Advance in Person	1342	149	84.18%	28	15.82%
Provisional	1342	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1342	101	79.53%	26	20.47%
Total	1342	644	78.63%	175	21.37%
108 Baycreek E					
Polling	2863	700	78.92%	187	21.08%
Absentee by Mail	2863	70	81.40%	16	18.60%
Advance in Person	2863	17	60.71%	11	39.29%
Provisional	2863	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2863	526	83.76%	102	16.24%
Total	2863	1314	80.61%	316	19.39%
109 Berkshire M					
Polling	1638	434	78.62%	118	21.38%
Absentee by Mail	1638	38	74.51%	13	25.49%
Advance in Person	1638	318	78.13%	89	21.87%
Provisional	1638	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1638	29	76.32%	9	23.68%
Total	1638	822	78.21%	229	21.79%
110 Martins G					
Polling	2187	566	85.37%	97	14.63%
Absentee by Mail	2187	53	81.54%	12	18.46%
Advance in Person	2187	26	76.47%	8	23.53%
Provisional	2187	8	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2187	289	86.01%	47	13.99%
Total	2187	942	85.17%	164	14.83%
111 Duluth H					
Polling	2662	561	78.90%	150	21.10%
Absentee by Mail	2662	123	74.55%	42	25.45%
Advance in Person	2662	434	77.09%	129	22.91%
Provisional	2662	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2662	89	80.91%	21	19.09%
Total	2662	1210	77.96%	342	22.04%
112 Berkshire N					
Polling	2836	472	76.13%	148	23.87%
Absentee by Mail	2836	85	75.89%	27	24.11%
Advance in Person	2836	827	71.85%	324	28.15%
Provisional	2836	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2836	26	68.42%	12	31.58%
Total	2836	1414	73.45%	511	26.55%
113 Pinckneyville V					
Polling	1572	294	80.33%	72	19.67%
Absentee by Mail	1572	38	67.86%	18	32.14%
Advance in Person	1572	274	82.78%	57	17.22%
Provisional	1572	6	75.00%	2	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	1572	9	81.82%	2	18.18%
Total	1572	621	80.44%	151	19.56%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
114 Pinckneyville W					
Polling	3885	938	79.76%	238	20.24%
Absentee by Mail	3885	90	76.27%	28	23.73%
Advance in Person	3885	547	78.71%	148	21.29%
Provisional	3885	11	84.62%	2	15.38%
Advance in Person 2	3885	40	88.89%	5	11.11%
Total	3885	1626	79.43%	421	20.57%
115 Martins H					
Polling	4487	1062	84.55%	194	15.45%
Absentee by Mail	4487	156	82.98%	32	17.02%
Advance in Person	4487	185	82.96%	38	17.04%
Provisional	4487	14	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4487	571	80.65%	137	19.35%
Total	4487	1988	83.21%	401	16.79%
116 Martins I					
Polling	3518	774	84.68%	140	15.32%
Absentee by Mail	3518	116	84.67%	21	15.33%
Advance in Person	3518	268	86.17%	43	13.83%
Provisional	3518	10	71.43%	4	28.57%
Advance in Person 2	3518	208	84.21%	39	15.79%
Total	3518	1376	84.78%	247	15.22%
117 Martins J					
Polling	3809	842	82.79%	175	17.21%
Absentee by Mail	3809	92	89.32%	11	10.68%
Advance in Person	3809	278	80.35%	68	19.65%
Provisional	3809	10	76.92%	3	23.08%
Advance in Person 2	3809	215	81.13%	50	18.87%
Total	3809	1437	82.40%	307	17.60%
118 Garners F					
Polling	1558	351	77.48%	102	22.52%
Absentee by Mail	1558	24	77.42%	7	22.58%
Advance in Person	1558	352	77.02%	105	22.98%
Provisional	1558	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1558	11	68.75%	5	31.25%
Total	1558	741	77.19%	219	22.81%
119 Berkshire O					
Polling	2422	673	79.18%	177	20.82%
Absentee by Mail	2422	75	80.65%	18	19.35%
Advance in Person	2422	330	71.43%	132	28.57%
Provisional	2422	2	40.00%	3	60.00%
Advance in Person 2	2422	109	77.86%	31	22.14%
Total	2422	1189	76.71%	361	23.29%
120 Berkshire P					
Polling	1339	292	77.87%	83	22.13%
Absentee by Mail	1339	45	84.91%	8	15.09%
Advance in Person	1339	154	85.08%	27	14.92%
Provisional	1339	5	71.43%	2	28.57%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Advance in Person 2	1339	46	86.79%	7	13.21%
Total	1339	542	81.02%	127	18.98%
121 Pinckneyville X					
Polling	3198	791	74.98%	264	25.02%
Absentee by Mail	3198	145	77.13%	43	22.87%
Advance in Person	3198	561	79.13%	148	20.87%
Provisional	3198	2	50.00%	2	50.00%
Advance in Person 2	3198	49	69.01%	22	30.99%
Total	3198	1548	76.37%	479	23.63%
122 Rockbridge G					
Polling	5188	952	84.85%	170	15.15%
Absentee by Mail	5188	164	80.39%	40	19.61%
Advance in Person	5188	86	80.37%	21	19.63%
Provisional	5188	27	84.38%	5	15.63%
Advance in Person 2	5188	1311	85.19%	228	14.81%
Total	5188	2540	84.55%	464	15.45%
123 Pinckneyville Y					
Polling	3018	643	86.19%	103	13.81%
Absentee by Mail	3018	48	84.21%	9	15.79%
Advance in Person	3018	366	85.71%	61	14.29%
Provisional	3018	17	85.00%	3	15.00%
Advance in Person 2	3018	51	83.61%	10	16.39%
Total	3018	1125	85.81%	186	14.19%
124 Goodwins G					
Polling	2448	603	81.82%	134	18.18%
Absentee by Mail	2448	55	84.62%	10	15.38%
Advance in Person	2448	58	86.57%	9	13.43%
Provisional	2448	7	87.50%	1	12.50%
Advance in Person 2	2448	334	82.88%	69	17.12%
Total	2448	1057	82.58%	223	17.42%
125 Pinckneyville Z					
Polling	1806	625	74.67%	212	25.33%
Absentee by Mail	1806	64	75.29%	21	24.71%
Advance in Person	1806	222	75.25%	73	24.75%
Provisional	1806	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1806	22	78.57%	6	21.43%
Total	1806	934	74.96%	312	25.04%
126 Pinckneyville A1					
Polling	2596	844	73.97%	297	26.03%
Absentee by Mail	2596	106	78.52%	29	21.48%
Advance in Person	2596	340	75.56%	110	24.44%
Provisional	2596	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2596	35	76.09%	11	23.91%
Total	2596	1329	74.83%	447	25.17%
127 Lawrenceville J					
Polling	1959	474	78.48%	130	21.52%
Absentee by Mail	1959	55	80.88%	13	19.12%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Advance in Person	1959	38	84.44%	7	15.56%
Provisional	1959	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1959	331	87.80%	46	12.20%
Total	1959	902	82.15%	196	17.85%
128 Goodwins H					
Polling	2561	658	81.23%	152	18.77%
Absentee by Mail	2561	72	76.60%	22	23.40%
Advance in Person	2561	43	82.69%	9	17.31%
Provisional	2561	7	70.00%	3	30.00%
Advance in Person 2	2561	433	83.11%	88	16.89%
Total	2561	1213	81.57%	274	18.43%
129 Duncans B					
Polling	4655	1158	74.81%	390	25.19%
Absentee by Mail	4655	92	73.02%	34	26.98%
Advance in Person	4655	867	78.11%	243	21.89%
Provisional	4655	4	80.00%	1	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	4655	84	77.06%	25	22.94%
Total	4655	2205	76.09%	693	23.91%
130 Suwanee D					
Polling	4247	1045	76.89%	314	23.11%
Absentee by Mail	4247	112	76.71%	34	23.29%
Advance in Person	4247	66	78.57%	18	21.43%
Provisional	4247	12	80.00%	3	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	4247	827	76.36%	256	23.64%
Total	4247	2062	76.74%	625	23.26%
131 Suwanee E					
Polling	3921	814	77.16%	241	22.84%
Absentee by Mail	3921	116	73.89%	41	26.11%
Advance in Person	3921	29	72.50%	11	27.50%
Provisional	3921	12	80.00%	3	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	3921	946	78.25%	263	21.75%
Total	3921	1917	77.42%	559	22.58%
132 Lawrenceville K					
Polling	2915	768	78.13%	215	21.87%
Absentee by Mail	2915	76	83.52%	15	16.48%
Advance in Person	2915	129	75.88%	41	24.12%
Provisional	2915	5	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2915	395	79.80%	100	20.20%
Total	2915	1373	78.73%	371	21.27%
133 Harbins B					
Polling	4220	755	78.40%	208	21.60%
Absentee by Mail	4220	61	75.31%	20	24.69%
Advance in Person	4220	955	75.55%	309	24.45%
Provisional	4220	3	75.00%	1	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	4220	124	88.57%	16	11.43%
Total	4220	1898	77.41%	554	22.59%
134 Baycreek F					

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Polling	4119	988	83.66%	193	16.34%
Absentee by Mail	4119	118	75.16%	39	24.84%
Advance in Person	4119	30	81.08%	7	18.92%
Provisional	4119	7	87.50%	1	12.50%
Advance in Person 2	4119	964	84.19%	181	15.81%
Total	4119	2107	83.35%	421	16.65%
135 Pucketts C					
Polling	2931	623	76.72%	189	23.28%
Absentee by Mail	2931	64	72.73%	24	27.27%
Advance in Person	2931	527	75.07%	175	24.93%
Provisional	2931	8	66.67%	4	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	2931	64	86.49%	10	13.51%
Total	2931	1286	76.18%	402	23.82%
136 Hog Mountain C					
Polling	3832	928	79.18%	244	20.82%
Absentee by Mail	3832	89	82.41%	19	17.59%
Advance in Person	3832	469	75.52%	152	24.48%
Provisional	3832	5	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3832	170	81.34%	39	18.66%
Total	3832	1661	78.53%	454	21.47%
137 Rockycreek B					
Polling	4677	1081	80.85%	256	19.15%
Absentee by Mail	4677	82	70.69%	34	29.31%
Advance in Person	4677	794	76.57%	243	23.43%
Provisional	4677	7	87.50%	1	12.50%
Advance in Person 2	4677	168	77.42%	49	22.58%
Total	4677	2132	78.53%	583	21.47%
138 Duluth I					
Polling	4491	934	78.09%	262	21.91%
Absentee by Mail	4491	178	69.26%	79	30.74%
Advance in Person	4491	354	79.55%	91	20.45%
Provisional	4491	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4491	500	76.22%	156	23.78%
Total	4491	1969	77.00%	588	23.00%
139 Martins K					
Polling	4796	1161	85.49%	197	14.51%
Absentee by Mail	4796	130	83.33%	26	16.67%
Advance in Person	4796	178	89.00%	22	11.00%
Provisional	4796	10	71.43%	4	28.57%
Advance in Person 2	4796	660	84.51%	121	15.49%
Total	4796	2139	85.25%	370	14.75%
140 Suwanee H					
Polling	3480	775	77.97%	219	22.03%
Absentee by Mail	3480	123	75.00%	41	25.00%
Advance in Person	3480	43	87.76%	6	12.24%
Provisional	3480	13	81.25%	3	18.75%
Advance in Person 2	3480	836	78.79%	225	21.21%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Total	3480	1790	78.37%	494	21.63%
141 Sugar Hill G					
Polling	2601	634	75.39%	207	24.61%
Absentee by Mail	2601	57	70.37%	24	29.63%
Advance in Person	2601	98	79.03%	26	20.97%
Provisional	2601	9	90.00%	1	10.00%
Advance in Person 2	2601	439	78.11%	123	21.89%
Total	2601	1237	76.45%	381	23.55%
142 Suwanee F					
Polling	3598	813	77.13%	241	22.87%
Absentee by Mail	3598	107	84.25%	20	15.75%
Advance in Person	3598	59	83.10%	12	16.90%
Provisional	3598	8	66.67%	4	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	3598	635	77.82%	181	22.18%
Total	3598	1622	77.98%	458	22.02%
143 Lawrenceville L					
Polling	4355	1058	81.76%	236	18.24%
Absentee by Mail	4355	134	80.72%	32	19.28%
Advance in Person	4355	42	77.78%	12	22.22%
Provisional	4355	11	84.62%	2	15.38%
Advance in Person 2	4355	880	82.24%	190	17.76%
Total	4355	2125	81.83%	472	18.17%
144 Lawrenceville M					
Polling	5956	1254	84.96%	222	15.04%
Absentee by Mail	5956	134	83.75%	26	16.25%
Advance in Person	5956	215	82.38%	46	17.62%
Provisional	5956	15	93.75%	1	6.25%
Advance in Person 2	5956	1060	85.21%	184	14.79%
Total	5956	2678	84.83%	479	15.17%
145 Baycreek G					
Polling	5092	1257	83.30%	252	16.70%
Absentee by Mail	5092	117	82.98%	24	17.02%
Advance in Person	5092	47	90.38%	5	9.62%
Provisional	5092	12	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5092	1180	84.29%	220	15.71%
Total	5092	2613	83.91%	501	16.09%
146 Baycreek H					
Polling	5697	1258	75.60%	406	24.40%
Absentee by Mail	5697	148	78.72%	40	21.28%
Advance in Person	5697	73	77.66%	21	22.34%
Provisional	5697	8	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5697	1248	79.49%	322	20.51%
Total	5697	2735	77.61%	789	22.39%
147 Baycreek I					
Polling	5162	993	80.08%	247	19.92%
Absentee by Mail	5162	137	79.19%	36	20.81%
Advance in Person	5162	40	75.47%	13	24.53%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Provisional	5162	14	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5162	1181	80.39%	288	19.61%
Total	5162	2365	80.20%	584	19.80%
148 Berkshire Q					
Polling	1644	417	80.35%	102	19.65%
Absentee by Mail	1644	35	77.78%	10	22.22%
Advance in Person	1644	302	79.27%	79	20.73%
Provisional	1644	1	50.00%	1	50.00%
Advance in Person 2	1644	19	73.08%	7	26.92%
Total	1644	774	79.55%	199	20.45%
149 Goodwins I					
Polling	2341	637	74.50%	218	25.50%
Absentee by Mail	2341	94	81.74%	21	18.26%
Advance in Person	2341	71	86.59%	11	13.41%
Provisional	2341	4	80.00%	1	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	2341	364	82.54%	77	17.46%
Total	2341	1170	78.10%	328	21.90%
150 Duluth K					
Polling	2694	456	86.36%	72	13.64%
Absentee by Mail	2694	35	79.55%	9	20.45%
Advance in Person	2694	324	86.40%	51	13.60%
Provisional	2694	7	87.50%	1	12.50%
Advance in Person 2	2694	36	94.74%	2	5.26%
Total	2694	858	86.40%	135	13.60%
151 Harbins C					
Polling	2369	690	74.11%	241	25.89%
Absentee by Mail	2369	47	71.21%	19	28.79%
Advance in Person	2369	233	81.18%	54	18.82%
Provisional	2369	4	80.00%	1	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	2369	204	75.56%	66	24.44%
Total	2369	1178	75.56%	381	24.44%
152 Rockycreek C					
Polling	4504	994	76.23%	310	23.77%
Absentee by Mail	4504	86	78.90%	23	21.10%
Advance in Person	4504	905	76.63%	276	23.37%
Provisional	4504	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4504	165	78.95%	44	21.05%
Total	4504	2154	76.74%	653	23.26%
153 Lawrenceville N					
Polling	2343	494	82.33%	106	17.67%
Absentee by Mail	2343	57	79.17%	15	20.83%
Advance in Person	2343	16	76.19%	5	23.81%
Provisional	2343	14	70.00%	6	30.00%
Advance in Person 2	2343	408	81.76%	91	18.24%
Total	2343	989	81.60%	223	18.40%
154 Pucketts D					
Polling	3166	640	74.85%	215	25.15%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	REFERENDUM B / REFERENDOS B				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Absentee by Mail	3166	88	76.52%	27	23.48%
Advance in Person	3166	636	76.72%	193	23.28%
Provisional	3166	11	91.67%	1	8.33%
Advance in Person 2	3166	56	75.68%	18	24.32%
Total	3166	1431	75.92%	454	24.08%
155 Suwanee G					
Polling	3451	893	80.23%	220	19.77%
Absentee by Mail	3451	96	82.05%	21	17.95%
Advance in Person	3451	71	85.54%	12	14.46%
Provisional	3451	9	81.82%	2	18.18%
Advance in Person 2	3451	595	80.41%	145	19.59%
Total	3451	1664	80.62%	400	19.38%
156 Baycreek J					
Polling	4038	941	81.26%	217	18.74%
Absentee by Mail	4038	113	77.93%	32	22.07%
Advance in Person	4038	40	81.63%	9	18.37%
Provisional	4038	5	62.50%	3	37.50%
Advance in Person 2	4038	938	83.82%	181	16.18%
Total	4038	2037	82.17%	442	17.83%
Total					
Polling	525568	118143	79.69%	30111	20.31%
Absentee by Mail	525568	13613	77.94%	3853	22.06%
Advance in Person	525568	47063	78.86%	12614	21.14%
Advance in Person 2	525568	57519	80.81%	13659	19.19%
Provisional	525568	1419	83.91%	272	16.09%
Total	525568	237757	79.71%	60509	20.29%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Jurisdiction Wide					
001 Harbins A					
Polling	6123	931	61.94%	572	38.06%
Absentee by Mail	6123	119	66.48%	60	33.52%
Advance in Person	6123	616	56.31%	478	43.69%
Provisional	6123	10	66.67%	5	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	6123	473	59.72%	319	40.28%
Total	6123	2149	59.98%	1434	40.02%
002 Rockbridge A					
Polling	3653	532	66.09%	273	33.91%
Absentee by Mail	3653	77	61.11%	49	38.89%
Advance in Person	3653	76	64.41%	42	35.59%
Provisional	3653	51	67.11%	25	32.89%
Advance in Person 2	3653	672	62.75%	399	37.25%
Total	3653	1408	64.12%	788	35.88%
003 Dacula					
Polling	4478	680	62.16%	414	37.84%
Absentee by Mail	4478	76	60.80%	49	39.20%
Advance in Person	4478	748	63.55%	429	36.45%
Provisional	4478	6	85.71%	1	14.29%
Advance in Person 2	4478	140	59.83%	94	40.17%
Total	4478	1650	62.57%	987	37.43%
004 Suwanee A					
Polling	1551	267	72.16%	103	27.84%
Absentee by Mail	1551	29	72.50%	11	27.50%
Advance in Person	1551	21	58.33%	15	41.67%
Provisional	1551	7	50.00%	7	50.00%
Advance in Person 2	1551	259	67.27%	126	32.73%
Total	1551	583	68.99%	262	31.01%
005 Baycreek A					
Polling	2555	551	63.70%	314	36.30%
Absentee by Mail	2555	57	58.16%	41	41.84%
Advance in Person	2555	25	73.53%	9	26.47%
Provisional	2555	17	68.00%	8	32.00%
Advance in Person 2	2555	401	58.88%	280	41.12%
Total	2555	1051	61.71%	652	38.29%
006 Goodwins A					
Polling	3583	731	64.12%	409	35.88%
Absentee by Mail	3583	68	58.62%	48	41.38%
Advance in Person	3583	72	67.92%	34	32.08%
Provisional	3583	7	50.00%	7	50.00%
Advance in Person 2	3583	434	66.16%	222	33.84%
Total	3583	1312	64.57%	720	35.43%
007 Duluth A					
Polling	4599	991	72.49%	376	27.51%
Absentee by Mail	4599	126	59.72%	85	40.28%
Advance in Person	4599	504	66.32%	256	33.68%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Provisional	4599	6	75.00%	2	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	4599	340	64.76%	185	35.24%
Total	4599	1967	68.51%	904	31.49%
008 Duncans A					
Polling	4278	858	68.15%	401	31.85%
Absentee by Mail	4278	72	59.50%	49	40.50%
Advance in Person	4278	781	62.68%	465	37.32%
Provisional	4278	7	53.85%	6	46.15%
Advance in Person 2	4278	111	65.29%	59	34.71%
Total	4278	1829	65.11%	980	34.89%
009 Pucketts A					
Polling	4844	690	63.54%	396	36.46%
Absentee by Mail	4844	104	63.41%	60	36.59%
Advance in Person	4844	1068	60.34%	702	39.66%
Provisional	4844	2	66.67%	1	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	4844	32	59.26%	22	40.74%
Total	4844	1896	61.62%	1181	38.38%
010 Cates A					
Polling	2209	371	60.03%	247	39.97%
Absentee by Mail	2209	81	56.25%	63	43.75%
Advance in Person	2209	14	58.33%	10	41.67%
Provisional	2209	0	0.00%	3	100.00%
Advance in Person 2	2209	340	57.82%	248	42.18%
Total	2209	806	58.53%	571	41.47%
011 Berkshire A					
Polling	2247	408	63.85%	231	36.15%
Absentee by Mail	2247	58	61.70%	36	38.30%
Advance in Person	2247	224	68.92%	101	31.08%
Provisional	2247	4	36.36%	7	63.64%
Advance in Person 2	2247	40	64.52%	22	35.48%
Total	2247	734	64.90%	397	35.10%
012 Berkshire B					
Polling	5010	575	64.32%	319	35.68%
Absentee by Mail	5010	101	59.76%	68	40.24%
Advance in Person	5010	943	65.99%	486	34.01%
Provisional	5010	10	58.82%	7	41.18%
Advance in Person 2	5010	50	65.79%	26	34.21%
Total	5010	1679	64.95%	906	35.05%
013 Duncans C					
Polling	2828	688	69.01%	309	30.99%
Absentee by Mail	2828	61	77.22%	18	22.78%
Advance in Person	2828	511	67.59%	245	32.41%
Provisional	2828	4	66.67%	2	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	2828	51	64.56%	28	35.44%
Total	2828	1315	68.60%	602	31.40%
014 Garners A					
Polling	3302	622	63.86%	352	36.14%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Absentee by Mail	3302	83	63.36%	48	36.64%
Advance in Person	3302	609	60.84%	392	39.16%
Provisional	3302	8	88.89%	1	11.11%
Advance in Person 2	3302	65	60.19%	43	39.81%
Total	3302	1387	62.39%	836	37.61%
015 Lawrenceville A					
Polling	3300	452	63.75%	257	36.25%
Absentee by Mail	3300	80	57.55%	59	42.45%
Advance in Person	3300	10	62.50%	6	37.50%
Provisional	3300	3	75.00%	1	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	3300	460	62.08%	281	37.92%
Total	3300	1005	62.46%	604	37.54%
016 Lawrenceville B					
Polling	4520	652	64.88%	353	35.12%
Absentee by Mail	4520	99	58.24%	71	41.76%
Advance in Person	4520	25	59.52%	17	40.48%
Provisional	4520	7	58.33%	5	41.67%
Advance in Person 2	4520	891	63.64%	509	36.36%
Total	4520	1674	63.67%	955	36.33%
017 Martins A					
Polling	3019	512	63.05%	300	36.95%
Absentee by Mail	3019	62	65.96%	32	34.04%
Advance in Person	3019	161	64.66%	88	35.34%
Provisional	3019	4	40.00%	6	60.00%
Advance in Person 2	3019	204	68.00%	96	32.00%
Total	3019	943	64.37%	522	35.63%
018 Martins B					
Polling	2796	518	63.40%	299	36.60%
Absentee by Mail	2796	54	60.67%	35	39.33%
Advance in Person	2796	64	68.82%	29	31.18%
Provisional	2796	14	77.78%	4	22.22%
Advance in Person 2	2796	287	64.79%	156	35.21%
Total	2796	937	64.18%	523	35.82%
019 Martins C					
Polling	2349	495	59.57%	336	40.43%
Absentee by Mail	2349	53	72.60%	20	27.40%
Advance in Person	2349	126	65.28%	67	34.72%
Provisional	2349	5	50.00%	5	50.00%
Advance in Person 2	2349	167	69.01%	75	30.99%
Total	2349	846	62.71%	503	37.29%
020 Pinckneyville A					
Polling	2603	446	63.53%	256	36.47%
Absentee by Mail	2603	52	65.82%	27	34.18%
Advance in Person	2603	249	65.70%	130	34.30%
Provisional	2603	9	52.94%	8	47.06%
Advance in Person 2	2603	32	59.26%	22	40.74%
Total	2603	788	64.01%	443	35.99%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
021 Pinckneyville B					
Polling	3216	437	67.75%	208	32.25%
Absentee by Mail	3216	63	58.33%	45	41.67%
Advance in Person	3216	367	64.61%	201	35.39%
Provisional	3216	24	82.76%	5	17.24%
Advance in Person 2	3216	14	60.87%	9	39.13%
Total	3216	905	65.91%	468	34.09%
022 Pinckneyville C					
Polling	1796	579	73.85%	205	26.15%
Absentee by Mail	1796	86	72.27%	33	27.73%
Advance in Person	1796	223	74.58%	76	25.42%
Provisional	1796	2	50.00%	2	50.00%
Advance in Person 2	1796	28	63.64%	16	36.36%
Total	1796	918	73.44%	332	26.56%
023 Pinckneyville D					
Polling	2042	317	62.77%	188	37.23%
Absentee by Mail	2042	32	50.00%	32	50.00%
Advance in Person	2042	180	65.22%	96	34.78%
Provisional	2042	6	46.15%	7	53.85%
Advance in Person 2	2042	19	48.72%	20	51.28%
Total	2042	554	61.76%	343	38.24%
024 Sugar Hill A					
Polling	4001	671	64.09%	376	35.91%
Absentee by Mail	4001	80	68.38%	37	31.62%
Advance in Person	4001	397	60.80%	256	39.20%
Provisional	4001	12	66.67%	6	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	4001	129	58.90%	90	41.10%
Total	4001	1289	62.76%	765	37.24%
025 Sugar Hill B					
Polling	4835	987	67.51%	475	32.49%
Absentee by Mail	4835	89	58.55%	63	41.45%
Advance in Person	4835	281	65.20%	150	34.80%
Provisional	4835	16	84.21%	3	15.79%
Advance in Person 2	4835	385	66.27%	196	33.73%
Total	4835	1758	66.47%	887	33.53%
026 Hog Mountain A					
Polling	4467	901	69.31%	399	30.69%
Absentee by Mail	4467	102	70.34%	43	29.66%
Advance in Person	4467	235	64.03%	132	35.97%
Provisional	4467	10	58.82%	7	41.18%
Advance in Person 2	4467	364	63.53%	209	36.47%
Total	4467	1612	67.11%	790	32.89%
027 Hog Mountain B					
Polling	4211	855	63.01%	502	36.99%
Absentee by Mail	4211	95	60.13%	63	39.87%
Advance in Person	4211	480	59.93%	321	40.07%
Provisional	4211	8	80.00%	2	20.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Advance in Person 2	4211	208	61.72%	129	38.28%
Total	4211	1646	61.81%	1017	38.19%
028 Rockycreek A					
Polling	5149	945	64.77%	514	35.23%
Absentee by Mail	5149	81	68.07%	38	31.93%
Advance in Person	5149	847	58.74%	595	41.26%
Provisional	5149	4	80.00%	1	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	5149	92	55.09%	75	44.91%
Total	5149	1969	61.69%	1223	38.31%
029 Cates B					
Polling	1559	361	66.12%	185	33.88%
Absentee by Mail	1559	25	50.00%	25	50.00%
Advance in Person	1559	114	66.67%	57	33.33%
Provisional	1559	0	0.00%	1	100.00%
Advance in Person 2	1559	146	64.89%	79	35.11%
Total	1559	646	65.06%	347	34.94%
030 Cates C					
Polling	2599	444	59.92%	297	40.08%
Absentee by Mail	2599	42	50.00%	42	50.00%
Advance in Person	2599	15	62.50%	9	37.50%
Provisional	2599	4	66.67%	2	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	2599	444	60.16%	294	39.84%
Total	2599	949	59.57%	644	40.43%
031 Hog Mountain D					
Polling	2958	568	62.49%	341	37.51%
Absentee by Mail	2958	75	78.95%	20	21.05%
Advance in Person	2958	217	64.58%	119	35.42%
Provisional	2958	7	77.78%	2	22.22%
Advance in Person 2	2958	190	56.72%	145	43.28%
Total	2958	1057	62.77%	627	37.23%
032 Berkshire D					
Polling	2684	503	61.49%	315	38.51%
Absentee by Mail	2684	76	60.80%	49	39.20%
Advance in Person	2684	462	63.99%	260	36.01%
Provisional	2684	3	50.00%	3	50.00%
Advance in Person 2	2684	51	62.96%	30	37.04%
Total	2684	1095	62.50%	657	37.50%
033 Berkshire E					
Polling	1866	406	63.94%	229	36.06%
Absentee by Mail	1866	46	56.79%	35	43.21%
Advance in Person	1866	326	61.28%	206	38.72%
Provisional	1866	0	-	0	-
Advance in Person 2	1866	24	66.67%	12	33.33%
Total	1866	802	62.46%	482	37.54%
034 Berkshire F					
Polling	2003	377	60.71%	244	39.29%
Absentee by Mail	2003	44	58.67%	31	41.33%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Advance in Person	2003	155	65.40%	82	34.60%
Provisional	2003	8	80.00%	2	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	2003	39	52.00%	36	48.00%
Total	2003	623	61.20%	395	38.80%
035 Cates D - 04					
Polling	2605	524	63.29%	304	36.71%
Absentee by Mail	2605	67	70.53%	28	29.47%
Advance in Person	2605	113	63.84%	64	36.16%
Provisional	2605	6	75.00%	2	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	2605	312	63.67%	178	36.33%
Total	2605	1022	63.95%	576	36.05%
035 Cates D - 07					
Polling	585	123	64.40%	68	35.60%
Absentee by Mail	585	17	70.83%	7	29.17%
Advance in Person	585	46	65.71%	24	34.29%
Provisional	585	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	585	44	65.67%	23	34.33%
Total	585	233	65.63%	122	34.37%
036 Cates E					
Polling	2744	577	62.79%	342	37.21%
Absentee by Mail	2744	72	69.23%	32	30.77%
Advance in Person	2744	316	66.11%	162	33.89%
Provisional	2744	5	62.50%	3	37.50%
Advance in Person 2	2744	228	68.26%	106	31.74%
Total	2744	1198	65.00%	645	35.00%
037 Pinckneyville E					
Polling	2183	469	69.90%	202	30.10%
Absentee by Mail	2183	36	66.67%	18	33.33%
Advance in Person	2183	163	64.68%	89	35.32%
Provisional	2183	8	72.73%	3	27.27%
Advance in Person 2	2183	15	68.18%	7	31.82%
Total	2183	691	68.42%	319	31.58%
038 Pinckneyville F					
Polling	2301	414	65.20%	221	34.80%
Absentee by Mail	2301	52	59.77%	35	40.23%
Advance in Person	2301	223	69.91%	96	30.09%
Provisional	2301	3	42.86%	4	57.14%
Advance in Person 2	2301	26	74.29%	9	25.71%
Total	2301	718	66.30%	365	33.70%
039 Duncans D					
Polling	6085	1191	66.57%	598	33.43%
Absentee by Mail	6085	125	61.58%	78	38.42%
Advance in Person	6085	998	63.89%	564	36.11%
Provisional	6085	7	58.33%	5	41.67%
Advance in Person 2	6085	108	66.26%	55	33.74%
Total	6085	2429	65.14%	1300	34.86%
040 Pinckneyville H					

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Polling	4126	978	72.28%	375	27.72%
Absentee by Mail	4126	172	78.54%	47	21.46%
Advance in Person	4126	726	69.94%	312	30.06%
Provisional	4126	8	72.73%	3	27.27%
Advance in Person 2	4126	55	67.07%	27	32.93%
Total	4126	1939	71.74%	764	28.26%
041 Baycreek B					
Polling	5349	987	61.30%	623	38.70%
Absentee by Mail	5349	117	61.26%	74	38.74%
Advance in Person	5349	31	64.58%	17	35.42%
Provisional	5349	16	72.73%	6	27.27%
Advance in Person 2	5349	829	59.81%	557	40.19%
Total	5349	1980	60.79%	1277	39.21%
042 Lawrenceville C					
Polling	2761	548	62.56%	328	37.44%
Absentee by Mail	2761	52	56.52%	40	43.48%
Advance in Person	2761	205	64.87%	111	35.13%
Provisional	2761	5	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2761	217	62.72%	129	37.28%
Total	2761	1027	62.81%	608	37.19%
043 Martins D					
Polling	2059	388	65.99%	200	34.01%
Absentee by Mail	2059	47	68.12%	22	31.88%
Advance in Person	2059	149	69.63%	65	30.37%
Provisional	2059	9	75.00%	3	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	2059	51	54.84%	42	45.16%
Total	2059	644	65.98%	332	34.02%
044 Rockbridge B					
Polling	3761	601	62.67%	358	37.33%
Absentee by Mail	3761	63	63.00%	37	37.00%
Advance in Person	3761	74	64.91%	40	35.09%
Provisional	3761	9	90.00%	1	10.00%
Advance in Person 2	3761	587	62.65%	350	37.35%
Total	3761	1334	62.92%	786	37.08%
045 Garners B					
Polling	2916	444	64.25%	247	35.75%
Absentee by Mail	2916	79	63.71%	45	36.29%
Advance in Person	2916	449	65.74%	234	34.26%
Provisional	2916	4	66.67%	2	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	2916	229	61.39%	144	38.61%
Total	2916	1205	64.20%	672	35.80%
046 Cates F					
Polling	1689	428	65.54%	225	34.46%
Absentee by Mail	1689	36	61.02%	23	38.98%
Advance in Person	1689	27	56.25%	21	43.75%
Provisional	1689	3	75.00%	1	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	1689	198	58.75%	139	41.25%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Total	1689	692	62.85%	409	37.15%
047 Cates G					
Polling	2597	594	66.07%	305	33.93%
Absentee by Mail	2597	76	67.26%	37	32.74%
Advance in Person	2597	73	65.18%	39	34.82%
Provisional	2597	5	83.33%	1	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	2597	394	60.06%	262	39.94%
Total	2597	1142	63.94%	644	36.06%
048 Duluth B					
Polling	3332	561	64.04%	315	35.96%
Absentee by Mail	3332	101	62.35%	61	37.65%
Advance in Person	3332	401	63.15%	234	36.85%
Provisional	3332	6	85.71%	1	14.29%
Advance in Person 2	3332	90	61.64%	56	38.36%
Total	3332	1159	63.47%	667	36.53%
049 Garners C					
Polling	1908	316	59.51%	215	40.49%
Absentee by Mail	1908	37	67.27%	18	32.73%
Advance in Person	1908	311	63.47%	179	36.53%
Provisional	1908	3	60.00%	2	40.00%
Advance in Person 2	1908	30	66.67%	15	33.33%
Total	1908	697	61.90%	429	38.10%
050 Pinckneyville I					
Polling	2479	418	63.53%	240	36.47%
Absentee by Mail	2479	50	63.29%	29	36.71%
Advance in Person	2479	269	67.42%	130	32.58%
Provisional	2479	10	58.82%	7	41.18%
Advance in Person 2	2479	47	70.15%	20	29.85%
Total	2479	794	65.08%	426	34.92%
051 Pinckneyville J					
Polling	2543	338	63.89%	191	36.11%
Absentee by Mail	2543	43	62.32%	26	37.68%
Advance in Person	2543	259	63.33%	150	36.67%
Provisional	2543	19	79.17%	5	20.83%
Advance in Person 2	2543	25	71.43%	10	28.57%
Total	2543	684	64.17%	382	35.83%
052 Berkshire G					
Polling	2250	396	62.96%	233	37.04%
Absentee by Mail	2250	47	59.49%	32	40.51%
Advance in Person	2250	441	60.33%	290	39.67%
Provisional	2250	3	75.00%	1	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	2250	17	58.62%	12	41.38%
Total	2250	904	61.41%	568	38.59%
053 Cates H					
Polling	3622	611	63.45%	352	36.55%
Absentee by Mail	3622	65	58.56%	46	41.44%
Advance in Person	3622	31	65.96%	16	34.04%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Provisional	3622	6	50.00%	6	50.00%
Advance in Person 2	3622	517	61.33%	326	38.67%
Total	3622	1230	62.25%	746	37.75%
054 Sugar Hill C					
Polling	4596	1059	67.80%	503	32.20%
Absentee by Mail	4596	111	67.68%	53	32.32%
Advance in Person	4596	295	67.20%	144	32.80%
Provisional	4596	17	62.96%	10	37.04%
Advance in Person 2	4596	367	65.89%	190	34.11%
Total	4596	1849	67.26%	900	32.74%
055 Sugar Hill D					
Polling	4070	780	65.49%	411	34.51%
Absentee by Mail	4070	75	60.00%	50	40.00%
Advance in Person	4070	531	62.18%	323	37.82%
Provisional	4070	18	64.29%	10	35.71%
Advance in Person 2	4070	88	59.46%	60	40.54%
Total	4070	1492	63.60%	854	36.40%
056 Duluth C					
Polling	2243	471	70.30%	199	29.70%
Absentee by Mail	2243	62	66.67%	31	33.33%
Advance in Person	2243	276	61.47%	173	38.53%
Provisional	2243	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2243	41	59.42%	28	40.58%
Total	2243	852	66.41%	431	33.59%
057 Duluth D					
Polling	4404	702	67.96%	331	32.04%
Absentee by Mail	4404	134	69.07%	60	30.93%
Advance in Person	4404	616	63.97%	347	36.03%
Provisional	4404	13	68.42%	6	31.58%
Advance in Person 2	4404	94	65.73%	49	34.27%
Total	4404	1559	66.28%	793	33.72%
058 Pinckneyville K					
Polling	3547	573	66.32%	291	33.68%
Absentee by Mail	3547	63	59.43%	43	40.57%
Advance in Person	3547	306	64.42%	169	35.58%
Provisional	3547	16	66.67%	8	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	3547	60	67.42%	29	32.58%
Total	3547	1018	65.34%	540	34.66%
059 Pinckneyville N					
Polling	3717	714	68.26%	332	31.74%
Absentee by Mail	3717	52	75.36%	17	24.64%
Advance in Person	3717	379	70.06%	162	29.94%
Provisional	3717	11	68.75%	5	31.25%
Advance in Person 2	3717	41	78.85%	11	21.15%
Total	3717	1197	69.43%	527	30.57%
060 Lawrenceville D					
Polling	4872	650	63.17%	379	36.83%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Absentee by Mail	4872	73	64.04%	41	35.96%
Advance in Person	4872	43	51.19%	41	48.81%
Provisional	4872	16	66.67%	8	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	4872	528	60.97%	338	39.03%
Total	4872	1310	61.88%	807	38.12%
061 Lawrenceville E					
Polling	2205	374	59.65%	253	40.35%
Absentee by Mail	2205	60	60.00%	40	40.00%
Advance in Person	2205	15	75.00%	5	25.00%
Provisional	2205	2	66.67%	1	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	2205	342	55.97%	269	44.03%
Total	2205	793	58.27%	568	41.73%
062 Pinckneyville L					
Polling	2233	808	73.72%	288	26.28%
Absentee by Mail	2233	93	74.40%	32	25.60%
Advance in Person	2233	287	75.53%	93	24.47%
Provisional	2233	0	0.00%	2	100.00%
Advance in Person 2	2233	27	75.00%	9	25.00%
Total	2233	1215	74.13%	424	25.87%
063 Pinckneyville M					
Polling	2515	828	73.14%	304	26.86%
Absentee by Mail	2515	123	74.55%	42	25.45%
Advance in Person	2515	327	72.51%	124	27.49%
Provisional	2515	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2515	11	57.89%	8	42.11%
Total	2515	1296	73.06%	478	26.94%
064 Garners D					
Polling	3127	539	63.41%	311	36.59%
Absentee by Mail	3127	68	66.67%	34	33.33%
Advance in Person	3127	375	60.98%	240	39.02%
Provisional	3127	5	62.50%	3	37.50%
Advance in Person 2	3127	169	58.08%	122	41.92%
Total	3127	1156	61.95%	710	38.05%
065 Berkshire H					
Polling	1717	268	61.19%	170	38.81%
Absentee by Mail	1717	36	63.16%	21	36.84%
Advance in Person	1717	214	67.08%	105	32.92%
Provisional	1717	3	37.50%	5	62.50%
Advance in Person 2	1717	19	54.29%	16	45.71%
Total	1717	540	63.01%	317	36.99%
066 Pinckneyville O					
Polling	3267	517	67.85%	245	32.15%
Absentee by Mail	3267	48	63.16%	28	36.84%
Advance in Person	3267	391	63.99%	220	36.01%
Provisional	3267	10	38.46%	16	61.54%
Advance in Person 2	3267	21	75.00%	7	25.00%
Total	3267	987	65.67%	516	34.33%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
067 Pucketts E					
Polling	4771	744	65.78%	387	34.22%
Absentee by Mail	4771	115	64.97%	62	35.03%
Advance in Person	4771	727	61.20%	461	38.80%
Provisional	4771	13	54.17%	11	45.83%
Advance in Person 2	4771	95	61.29%	60	38.71%
Total	4771	1694	63.33%	981	36.67%
068 Cates I					
Polling	3395	745	64.67%	407	35.33%
Absentee by Mail	3395	84	61.76%	52	38.24%
Advance in Person	3395	135	69.23%	60	30.77%
Provisional	3395	7	87.50%	1	12.50%
Advance in Person 2	3395	412	64.68%	225	35.32%
Total	3395	1383	64.99%	745	35.01%
069 Cates J					
Polling	3266	700	64.46%	386	35.54%
Absentee by Mail	3266	76	62.81%	45	37.19%
Advance in Person	3266	396	64.08%	222	35.92%
Provisional	3266	7	70.00%	3	30.00%
Advance in Person 2	3266	142	66.98%	70	33.02%
Total	3266	1321	64.53%	726	35.47%
070 Goodwins B					
Polling	3604	777	66.18%	397	33.82%
Absentee by Mail	3604	97	66.44%	49	33.56%
Advance in Person	3604	121	59.31%	83	40.69%
Provisional	3604	4	57.14%	3	42.86%
Advance in Person 2	3604	445	65.25%	237	34.75%
Total	3604	1444	65.25%	769	34.75%
071 Lawrenceville F					
Polling	3698	524	59.82%	352	40.18%
Absentee by Mail	3698	93	64.58%	51	35.42%
Advance in Person	3698	37	67.27%	18	32.73%
Provisional	3698	9	69.23%	4	30.77%
Advance in Person 2	3698	617	60.14%	409	39.86%
Total	3698	1280	60.55%	834	39.45%
072 Martins E					
Polling	5934	793	67.55%	381	32.45%
Absentee by Mail	5934	105	62.87%	62	37.13%
Advance in Person	5934	438	62.84%	259	37.16%
Provisional	5934	33	66.00%	17	34.00%
Advance in Person 2	5934	166	68.03%	78	31.97%
Total	5934	1535	65.82%	797	34.18%
073 Pinckneyville P					
Polling	2048	621	70.57%	259	29.43%
Absentee by Mail	2048	88	77.88%	25	22.12%
Advance in Person	2048	191	68.21%	89	31.79%
Provisional	2048	4	66.67%	2	33.33%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Advance in Person 2	2048	17	70.83%	7	29.17%
Total	2048	921	70.68%	382	29.32%
074 Pinckneyville Q					
Polling	1725	376	64.16%	210	35.84%
Absentee by Mail	1725	20	64.52%	11	35.48%
Advance in Person	1725	126	66.67%	63	33.33%
Provisional	1725	5	55.56%	4	44.44%
Advance in Person 2	1725	21	58.33%	15	41.67%
Total	1725	548	64.39%	303	35.61%
075 Rockbridge C					
Polling	4722	758	64.13%	424	35.87%
Absentee by Mail	4722	129	69.73%	56	30.27%
Advance in Person	4722	106	63.86%	60	36.14%
Provisional	4722	10	76.92%	3	23.08%
Advance in Person 2	4722	907	63.65%	518	36.35%
Total	4722	1910	64.29%	1061	35.71%
076 Rockbridge D					
Polling	3359	514	63.22%	299	36.78%
Absentee by Mail	3359	92	68.15%	43	31.85%
Advance in Person	3359	27	75.00%	9	25.00%
Provisional	3359	19	76.00%	6	24.00%
Advance in Person 2	3359	643	59.37%	440	40.63%
Total	3359	1295	61.90%	797	38.10%
077 Goodwins C					
Polling	5106	855	64.29%	475	35.71%
Absentee by Mail	5106	157	76.59%	48	23.41%
Advance in Person	5106	166	59.93%	111	40.07%
Provisional	5106	12	66.67%	6	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	5106	515	62.65%	307	37.35%
Total	5106	1705	64.29%	947	35.71%
078 Baycreek K					
Polling	3536	590	63.03%	346	36.97%
Absentee by Mail	3536	89	61.38%	56	38.62%
Advance in Person	3536	27	77.14%	8	22.86%
Provisional	3536	5	50.00%	5	50.00%
Advance in Person 2	3536	678	59.63%	459	40.37%
Total	3536	1389	61.38%	874	38.62%
079 Cates K					
Polling	2531	680	71.43%	272	28.57%
Absentee by Mail	2531	34	50.00%	34	50.00%
Advance in Person	2531	83	70.34%	35	29.66%
Provisional	2531	3	50.00%	3	50.00%
Advance in Person 2	2531	321	68.15%	150	31.85%
Total	2531	1121	69.41%	494	30.59%
080 Baycreek C					
Polling	5755	1024	63.60%	586	36.40%
Absentee by Mail	5755	103	57.54%	76	42.46%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Advance in Person	5755	59	62.11%	36	37.89%
Provisional	5755	9	90.00%	1	10.00%
Advance in Person 2	5755	1066	60.47%	697	39.53%
Total	5755	2261	61.83%	1396	38.17%
081 Cates L					
Polling	3751	709	64.28%	394	35.72%
Absentee by Mail	3751	90	69.77%	39	30.23%
Advance in Person	3751	33	73.33%	12	26.67%
Provisional	3751	8	80.00%	2	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	3751	593	59.42%	405	40.58%
Total	3751	1433	62.71%	852	37.29%
082 Duluth E					
Polling	4580	778	68.25%	362	31.75%
Absentee by Mail	4580	103	67.76%	49	32.24%
Advance in Person	4580	631	64.72%	344	35.28%
Provisional	4580	15	71.43%	6	28.57%
Advance in Person 2	4580	61	56.48%	47	43.52%
Total	4580	1588	66.28%	808	33.72%
083 Goodwins D					
Polling	3985	805	64.40%	445	35.60%
Absentee by Mail	3985	91	66.91%	45	33.09%
Advance in Person	3985	64	62.14%	39	37.86%
Provisional	3985	9	47.37%	10	52.63%
Advance in Person 2	3985	476	63.38%	275	36.62%
Total	3985	1445	63.97%	814	36.03%
084 Lawrenceville G					
Polling	2783	492	65.17%	263	34.83%
Absentee by Mail	2783	55	66.27%	28	33.73%
Advance in Person	2783	24	64.86%	13	35.14%
Provisional	2783	15	75.00%	5	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	2783	329	60.26%	217	39.74%
Total	2783	915	63.50%	526	36.50%
085 Lawrenceville H					
Polling	3899	743	63.02%	436	36.98%
Absentee by Mail	3899	109	70.78%	45	29.22%
Advance in Person	3899	147	63.36%	85	36.64%
Provisional	3899	8	80.00%	2	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	3899	484	63.19%	282	36.81%
Total	3899	1491	63.69%	850	36.31%
086 Martins F					
Polling	2401	427	64.21%	238	35.79%
Absentee by Mail	2401	47	61.04%	30	38.96%
Advance in Person	2401	196	61.44%	123	38.56%
Provisional	2401	8	80.00%	2	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	2401	72	72.00%	28	28.00%
Total	2401	750	64.05%	421	35.95%
087 Pinckneyville S					

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Polling	3013	609	65.48%	321	34.52%
Absentee by Mail	3013	86	61.43%	54	38.57%
Advance in Person	3013	318	68.98%	143	31.02%
Provisional	3013	8	88.89%	1	11.11%
Advance in Person 2	3013	37	63.79%	21	36.21%
Total	3013	1058	66.21%	540	33.79%
088 Rockbridge E					
Polling	4199	716	64.50%	394	35.50%
Absentee by Mail	4199	76	67.26%	37	32.74%
Advance in Person	4199	97	60.25%	64	39.75%
Provisional	4199	22	61.11%	14	38.89%
Advance in Person 2	4199	524	64.45%	289	35.55%
Total	4199	1435	64.26%	798	35.74%
089 Sugar Hill E					
Polling	3061	594	64.92%	321	35.08%
Absentee by Mail	3061	68	61.82%	42	38.18%
Advance in Person	3061	117	60.31%	77	39.69%
Provisional	3061	8	53.33%	7	46.67%
Advance in Person 2	3061	383	65.58%	201	34.42%
Total	3061	1170	64.36%	648	35.64%
090 Lawrenceville I					
Polling	3140	633	68.95%	285	31.05%
Absentee by Mail	3140	75	70.09%	32	29.91%
Advance in Person	3140	89	63.12%	52	36.88%
Provisional	3140	5	83.33%	1	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	3140	345	65.84%	179	34.16%
Total	3140	1147	67.63%	549	32.37%
091 Baycreek D					
Polling	4157	764	63.77%	434	36.23%
Absentee by Mail	4157	95	60.13%	63	39.87%
Advance in Person	4157	27	72.97%	10	27.03%
Provisional	4157	5	62.50%	3	37.50%
Advance in Person 2	4157	757	60.66%	491	39.34%
Total	4157	1648	62.21%	1001	37.79%
092 Berkshire J					
Polling	2595	505	62.50%	303	37.50%
Absentee by Mail	2595	53	63.10%	31	36.90%
Advance in Person	2595	508	63.50%	292	36.50%
Provisional	2595	6	85.71%	1	14.29%
Advance in Person 2	2595	46	61.33%	29	38.67%
Total	2595	1118	63.02%	656	36.98%
093 Cates M					
Polling	3794	899	70.79%	371	29.21%
Absentee by Mail	3794	126	68.11%	59	31.89%
Advance in Person	3794	85	69.11%	38	30.89%
Provisional	3794	4	80.00%	1	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	3794	598	62.36%	361	37.64%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Total	3794	1712	67.35%	830	32.65%
094 Cates N					
Polling	2445	499	62.85%	295	37.15%
Absentee by Mail	2445	56	53.85%	48	46.15%
Advance in Person	2445	15	62.50%	9	37.50%
Provisional	2445	4	80.00%	1	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	2445	354	54.97%	290	45.03%
Total	2445	928	59.07%	643	40.93%
095 Duluth F					
Polling	5413	837	67.28%	407	32.72%
Absentee by Mail	5413	91	55.83%	72	44.17%
Advance in Person	5413	393	67.88%	186	32.12%
Provisional	5413	15	68.18%	7	31.82%
Advance in Person 2	5413	268	62.33%	162	37.67%
Total	5413	1604	65.79%	834	34.21%
096 Duluth G					
Polling	2775	562	62.10%	343	37.90%
Absentee by Mail	2775	69	65.71%	36	34.29%
Advance in Person	2775	237	71.60%	94	28.40%
Provisional	2775	7	70.00%	3	30.00%
Advance in Person 2	2775	231	66.96%	114	33.04%
Total	2775	1106	65.21%	590	34.79%
097 Goodwins E					
Polling	4950	1008	67.07%	495	32.93%
Absentee by Mail	4950	144	66.98%	71	33.02%
Advance in Person	4950	98	60.12%	65	39.88%
Provisional	4950	12	70.59%	5	29.41%
Advance in Person 2	4950	611	66.27%	311	33.73%
Total	4950	1873	66.42%	947	33.58%
098 Goodwins F					
Polling	2904	583	66.93%	288	33.07%
Absentee by Mail	2904	91	73.98%	32	26.02%
Advance in Person	2904	49	75.38%	16	24.62%
Provisional	2904	9	81.82%	2	18.18%
Advance in Person 2	2904	367	66.37%	186	33.63%
Total	2904	1099	67.71%	524	32.29%
099 Pinckneyville T					
Polling	2874	558	65.65%	292	34.35%
Absentee by Mail	2874	94	69.12%	42	30.88%
Advance in Person	2874	304	67.11%	149	32.89%
Provisional	2874	6	85.71%	1	14.29%
Advance in Person 2	2874	28	65.12%	15	34.88%
Total	2874	990	66.49%	499	33.51%
100 Suwanee B					
Polling	4085	551	65.36%	292	34.64%
Absentee by Mail	4085	85	64.39%	47	35.61%
Advance in Person	4085	21	72.41%	8	27.59%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Provisional	4085	5	83.33%	1	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	4085	943	64.37%	522	35.63%
Total	4085	1605	64.85%	870	35.15%
101 Pinckneyville U					
Polling	2007	577	71.32%	232	28.68%
Absentee by Mail	2007	47	71.21%	19	28.79%
Advance in Person	2007	210	74.20%	73	25.80%
Provisional	2007	4	80.00%	1	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	2007	15	48.39%	16	51.61%
Total	2007	853	71.44%	341	28.56%
102 Pucketts B					
Polling	2741	505	63.28%	293	36.72%
Absentee by Mail	2741	51	63.75%	29	36.25%
Advance in Person	2741	500	61.58%	312	38.42%
Provisional	2741	6	66.67%	3	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	2741	29	46.77%	33	53.23%
Total	2741	1091	61.95%	670	38.05%
103 Berkshire L					
Polling	2915	584	66.06%	300	33.94%
Absentee by Mail	2915	71	63.39%	41	36.61%
Advance in Person	2915	218	66.46%	110	33.54%
Provisional	2915	12	80.00%	3	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	2915	119	66.11%	61	33.89%
Total	2915	1004	66.10%	515	33.90%
104 Rockbridge F					
Polling	5313	643	63.92%	363	36.08%
Absentee by Mail	5313	118	59.00%	82	41.00%
Advance in Person	5313	22	57.89%	16	42.11%
Provisional	5313	10	52.63%	9	47.37%
Advance in Person 2	5313	1241	60.18%	821	39.82%
Total	5313	2034	61.17%	1291	38.83%
105 Sugar Hill F					
Polling	3687	796	63.58%	456	36.42%
Absentee by Mail	3687	66	62.86%	39	37.14%
Advance in Person	3687	78	65.00%	42	35.00%
Provisional	3687	12	80.00%	3	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	3687	437	65.13%	234	34.87%
Total	3687	1389	64.22%	774	35.78%
106 Suwanee C					
Polling	4823	777	65.08%	417	34.92%
Absentee by Mail	4823	133	67.51%	64	32.49%
Advance in Person	4823	68	68.69%	31	31.31%
Provisional	4823	31	62.00%	19	38.00%
Advance in Person 2	4823	774	64.99%	417	35.01%
Total	4823	1783	65.29%	948	34.71%
107 Cates O					
Polling	1342	322	66.80%	160	33.20%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Absentee by Mail	1342	19	50.00%	19	50.00%
Advance in Person	1342	127	68.28%	59	31.72%
Provisional	1342	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1342	88	66.67%	44	33.33%
Total	1342	557	66.39%	282	33.61%
108 Baycreek E					
Polling	2863	584	64.46%	322	35.54%
Absentee by Mail	2863	64	70.33%	27	29.67%
Advance in Person	2863	19	63.33%	11	36.67%
Provisional	2863	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2863	359	56.45%	277	43.55%
Total	2863	1029	61.76%	637	38.24%
109 Berkshire M					
Polling	1638	350	62.84%	207	37.16%
Absentee by Mail	1638	33	60.00%	22	40.00%
Advance in Person	1638	261	63.50%	150	36.50%
Provisional	1638	3	75.00%	1	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	1638	22	55.00%	18	45.00%
Total	1638	669	62.70%	398	37.30%
110 Martins G					
Polling	2187	464	69.46%	204	30.54%
Absentee by Mail	2187	42	62.69%	25	37.31%
Advance in Person	2187	22	66.67%	11	33.33%
Provisional	2187	6	75.00%	2	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	2187	215	62.68%	128	37.32%
Total	2187	749	66.93%	370	33.07%
111 Duluth H					
Polling	2662	457	64.01%	257	35.99%
Absentee by Mail	2662	118	67.82%	56	32.18%
Advance in Person	2662	387	68.74%	176	31.26%
Provisional	2662	2	50.00%	2	50.00%
Advance in Person 2	2662	74	66.07%	38	33.93%
Total	2662	1038	66.24%	529	33.76%
112 Berkshire N					
Polling	2836	411	64.62%	225	35.38%
Absentee by Mail	2836	74	62.18%	45	37.82%
Advance in Person	2836	732	62.19%	445	37.81%
Provisional	2836	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2836	25	64.10%	14	35.90%
Total	2836	1246	63.09%	729	36.91%
113 Pinckneyville V					
Polling	1572	230	62.33%	139	37.67%
Absentee by Mail	1572	42	68.85%	19	31.15%
Advance in Person	1572	200	60.98%	128	39.02%
Provisional	1572	11	78.57%	3	21.43%
Advance in Person 2	1572	9	75.00%	3	25.00%
Total	1572	492	62.76%	292	37.24%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
114 Pinckneyville W					
Polling	3885	847	71.06%	345	28.94%
Absentee by Mail	3885	83	61.48%	52	38.52%
Advance in Person	3885	475	67.09%	233	32.91%
Provisional	3885	11	64.71%	6	35.29%
Advance in Person 2	3885	24	53.33%	21	46.67%
Total	3885	1440	68.67%	657	31.33%
115 Martins H					
Polling	4487	840	66.46%	424	33.54%
Absentee by Mail	4487	130	61.90%	80	38.10%
Advance in Person	4487	124	56.62%	95	43.38%
Provisional	4487	8	57.14%	6	42.86%
Advance in Person 2	4487	442	61.90%	272	38.10%
Total	4487	1544	63.78%	877	36.22%
116 Martins I					
Polling	3518	609	67.00%	300	33.00%
Absentee by Mail	3518	107	70.39%	45	29.61%
Advance in Person	3518	182	57.23%	136	42.77%
Provisional	3518	14	87.50%	2	12.50%
Advance in Person 2	3518	133	52.36%	121	47.64%
Total	3518	1045	63.37%	604	36.63%
117 Martins J					
Polling	3809	671	65.78%	349	34.22%
Absentee by Mail	3809	91	78.45%	25	21.55%
Advance in Person	3809	239	68.09%	112	31.91%
Provisional	3809	13	72.22%	5	27.78%
Advance in Person 2	3809	149	56.87%	113	43.13%
Total	3809	1163	65.82%	604	34.18%
118 Garners F					
Polling	1558	261	57.24%	195	42.76%
Absentee by Mail	1558	23	57.50%	17	42.50%
Advance in Person	1558	289	61.75%	179	38.25%
Provisional	1558	3	75.00%	1	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	1558	9	56.25%	7	43.75%
Total	1558	585	59.45%	399	40.55%
119 Berkshire O					
Polling	2422	574	66.59%	288	33.41%
Absentee by Mail	2422	59	59.00%	41	41.00%
Advance in Person	2422	293	61.55%	183	38.45%
Provisional	2422	6	75.00%	2	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	2422	80	55.94%	63	44.06%
Total	2422	1012	63.69%	577	36.31%
120 Berkshire P					
Polling	1339	241	63.09%	141	36.91%
Absentee by Mail	1339	37	67.27%	18	32.73%
Advance in Person	1339	124	68.51%	57	31.49%
Provisional	1339	3	42.86%	4	57.14%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Advance in Person 2	1339	31	57.41%	23	42.59%
Total	1339	436	64.21%	243	35.79%
121 Pinckneyville X					
Polling	3198	791	73.24%	289	26.76%
Absentee by Mail	3198	149	71.98%	58	28.02%
Advance in Person	3198	504	69.52%	221	30.48%
Provisional	3198	3	60.00%	2	40.00%
Advance in Person 2	3198	53	75.71%	17	24.29%
Total	3198	1500	71.87%	587	28.13%
122 Rockbridge G					
Polling	5188	741	65.46%	391	34.54%
Absentee by Mail	5188	142	63.68%	81	36.32%
Advance in Person	5188	72	66.67%	36	33.33%
Provisional	5188	21	60.00%	14	40.00%
Advance in Person 2	5188	999	63.96%	563	36.04%
Total	5188	1975	64.54%	1085	35.46%
123 Pinckneyville Y					
Polling	3018	462	61.85%	285	38.15%
Absentee by Mail	3018	33	54.10%	28	45.90%
Advance in Person	3018	269	61.28%	170	38.72%
Provisional	3018	14	70.00%	6	30.00%
Advance in Person 2	3018	42	68.85%	19	31.15%
Total	3018	820	61.75%	508	38.25%
124 Goodwins G					
Polling	2448	476	62.96%	280	37.04%
Absentee by Mail	2448	52	77.61%	15	22.39%
Advance in Person	2448	48	68.57%	22	31.43%
Provisional	2448	5	55.56%	4	44.44%
Advance in Person 2	2448	253	61.86%	156	38.14%
Total	2448	834	63.62%	477	36.38%
125 Pinckneyville Z					
Polling	1806	648	75.17%	214	24.83%
Absentee by Mail	1806	68	75.56%	22	24.44%
Advance in Person	1806	215	72.64%	81	27.36%
Provisional	1806	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1806	26	86.67%	4	13.33%
Total	1806	958	74.90%	321	25.10%
126 Pinckneyville A1					
Polling	2596	899	77.84%	256	22.16%
Absentee by Mail	2596	117	78.52%	32	21.48%
Advance in Person	2596	363	77.56%	105	22.44%
Provisional	2596	4	80.00%	1	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	2596	36	76.60%	11	23.40%
Total	2596	1419	77.80%	405	22.20%
127 Lawrenceville J					
Polling	1959	424	69.39%	187	30.61%
Absentee by Mail	1959	56	72.73%	21	27.27%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Advance in Person	1959	29	63.04%	17	36.96%
Provisional	1959	2	40.00%	3	60.00%
Advance in Person 2	1959	254	66.49%	128	33.51%
Total	1959	765	68.24%	356	31.76%
128 Goodwins H					
Polling	2561	530	64.63%	290	35.37%
Absentee by Mail	2561	67	64.42%	37	35.58%
Advance in Person	2561	33	62.26%	20	37.74%
Provisional	2561	12	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2561	351	66.48%	177	33.52%
Total	2561	993	65.46%	524	34.54%
129 Duncans B					
Polling	4655	1051	66.52%	529	33.48%
Absentee by Mail	4655	110	76.92%	33	23.08%
Advance in Person	4655	692	60.86%	445	39.14%
Provisional	4655	2	40.00%	3	60.00%
Advance in Person 2	4655	72	66.06%	37	33.94%
Total	4655	1927	64.79%	1047	35.21%
130 Suwanee D					
Polling	4247	943	68.68%	430	31.32%
Absentee by Mail	4247	118	75.16%	39	24.84%
Advance in Person	4247	57	66.28%	29	33.72%
Provisional	4247	10	71.43%	4	28.57%
Advance in Person 2	4247	730	65.47%	385	34.53%
Total	4247	1858	67.69%	887	32.31%
131 Suwanee E					
Polling	3921	753	69.72%	327	30.28%
Absentee by Mail	3921	115	66.09%	59	33.91%
Advance in Person	3921	31	72.09%	12	27.91%
Provisional	3921	13	68.42%	6	31.58%
Advance in Person 2	3921	834	67.15%	408	32.85%
Total	3921	1746	68.26%	812	31.74%
132 Lawrenceville K					
Polling	2915	635	62.69%	378	37.31%
Absentee by Mail	2915	72	69.90%	31	30.10%
Advance in Person	2915	112	64.37%	62	35.63%
Provisional	2915	3	60.00%	2	40.00%
Advance in Person 2	2915	311	60.51%	203	39.49%
Total	2915	1133	62.63%	676	37.37%
133 Harbins B					
Polling	4220	618	63.71%	352	36.29%
Absentee by Mail	4220	52	58.43%	37	41.57%
Advance in Person	4220	744	58.08%	537	41.92%
Provisional	4220	3	75.00%	1	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	4220	94	66.20%	48	33.80%
Total	4220	1511	60.78%	975	39.22%
134 Baycreek F					

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Polling	4119	754	62.94%	444	37.06%
Absentee by Mail	4119	112	66.27%	57	33.73%
Advance in Person	4119	28	75.68%	9	24.32%
Provisional	4119	8	88.89%	1	11.11%
Advance in Person 2	4119	709	61.33%	447	38.67%
Total	4119	1611	62.71%	958	37.29%
135 Pucketts C					
Polling	2931	495	60.07%	329	39.93%
Absentee by Mail	2931	58	63.74%	33	36.26%
Advance in Person	2931	447	62.78%	265	37.22%
Provisional	2931	11	73.33%	4	26.67%
Advance in Person 2	2931	49	65.33%	26	34.67%
Total	2931	1060	61.74%	657	38.26%
136 Hog Mountain C					
Polling	3832	761	63.31%	441	36.69%
Absentee by Mail	3832	71	60.68%	46	39.32%
Advance in Person	3832	411	63.92%	232	36.08%
Provisional	3832	2	40.00%	3	60.00%
Advance in Person 2	3832	134	62.91%	79	37.09%
Total	3832	1379	63.26%	801	36.74%
137 Rockycreek B					
Polling	4677	914	67.45%	441	32.55%
Absentee by Mail	4677	75	60.98%	48	39.02%
Advance in Person	4677	674	62.93%	397	37.07%
Provisional	4677	7	87.50%	1	12.50%
Advance in Person 2	4677	149	67.42%	72	32.58%
Total	4677	1819	65.48%	959	34.52%
138 Duluth I					
Polling	4491	760	62.04%	465	37.96%
Absentee by Mail	4491	172	61.65%	107	38.35%
Advance in Person	4491	281	62.17%	171	37.83%
Provisional	4491	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4491	437	66.11%	224	33.89%
Total	4491	1654	63.11%	967	36.89%
139 Martins K					
Polling	4796	870	62.91%	513	37.09%
Absentee by Mail	4796	107	64.07%	60	35.93%
Advance in Person	4796	130	64.36%	72	35.64%
Provisional	4796	12	66.67%	6	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	4796	483	61.22%	306	38.78%
Total	4796	1602	62.60%	957	37.40%
140 Suwanee H					
Polling	3480	678	66.60%	340	33.40%
Absentee by Mail	3480	122	70.11%	52	29.89%
Advance in Person	3480	33	66.00%	17	34.00%
Provisional	3480	10	55.56%	8	44.44%
Advance in Person 2	3480	685	63.84%	388	36.16%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Total	3480	1528	65.50%	805	34.50%
141 Sugar Hill G					
Polling	2601	580	67.36%	281	32.64%
Absentee by Mail	2601	59	67.82%	28	32.18%
Advance in Person	2601	79	62.20%	48	37.80%
Provisional	2601	10	76.92%	3	23.08%
Advance in Person 2	2601	392	68.77%	178	31.23%
Total	2601	1120	67.55%	538	32.45%
142 Suwanee F					
Polling	3598	711	66.76%	354	33.24%
Absentee by Mail	3598	93	68.89%	42	31.11%
Advance in Person	3598	42	60.00%	28	40.00%
Provisional	3598	6	46.15%	7	53.85%
Advance in Person 2	3598	544	64.99%	293	35.01%
Total	3598	1396	65.85%	724	34.15%
143 Lawrenceville L					
Polling	4355	804	61.75%	498	38.25%
Absentee by Mail	4355	107	60.11%	71	39.89%
Advance in Person	4355	41	75.93%	13	24.07%
Provisional	4355	8	57.14%	6	42.86%
Advance in Person 2	4355	658	60.76%	425	39.24%
Total	4355	1618	61.50%	1013	38.50%
144 Lawrenceville M					
Polling	5956	978	65.42%	517	34.58%
Absentee by Mail	5956	99	57.89%	72	42.11%
Advance in Person	5956	169	63.30%	98	36.70%
Provisional	5956	14	73.68%	5	26.32%
Advance in Person 2	5956	813	64.32%	451	35.68%
Total	5956	2073	64.46%	1143	35.54%
145 Baycreek G					
Polling	5092	957	63.25%	556	36.75%
Absentee by Mail	5092	100	62.50%	60	37.50%
Advance in Person	5092	37	69.81%	16	30.19%
Provisional	5092	9	69.23%	4	30.77%
Advance in Person 2	5092	878	62.01%	538	37.99%
Total	5092	1981	62.79%	1174	37.21%
146 Baycreek H					
Polling	5697	1070	63.50%	615	36.50%
Absentee by Mail	5697	129	64.18%	72	35.82%
Advance in Person	5697	55	56.70%	42	43.30%
Provisional	5697	4	50.00%	4	50.00%
Advance in Person 2	5697	963	61.07%	614	38.93%
Total	5697	2221	62.25%	1347	37.75%
147 Baycreek I					
Polling	5162	780	62.00%	478	38.00%
Absentee by Mail	5162	116	61.38%	73	38.62%
Advance in Person	5162	24	47.06%	27	52.94%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Provisional	5162	4	28.57%	10	71.43%
Advance in Person 2	5162	883	59.30%	606	40.70%
Total	5162	1807	60.21%	1194	39.79%
148 Berkshire Q					
Polling	1644	341	64.46%	188	35.54%
Absentee by Mail	1644	31	57.41%	23	42.59%
Advance in Person	1644	263	66.75%	131	33.25%
Provisional	1644	3	75.00%	1	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	1644	15	57.69%	11	42.31%
Total	1644	653	64.85%	354	35.15%
149 Goodwins I					
Polling	2341	597	68.54%	274	31.46%
Absentee by Mail	2341	96	76.80%	29	23.20%
Advance in Person	2341	59	71.95%	23	28.05%
Provisional	2341	6	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2341	295	66.14%	151	33.86%
Total	2341	1053	68.82%	477	31.18%
150 Duluth K					
Polling	2694	349	65.23%	186	34.77%
Absentee by Mail	2694	39	76.47%	12	23.53%
Advance in Person	2694	244	65.24%	130	34.76%
Provisional	2694	4	57.14%	3	42.86%
Advance in Person 2	2694	21	56.76%	16	43.24%
Total	2694	657	65.44%	347	34.56%
151 Harbins C					
Polling	2369	574	60.87%	369	39.13%
Absentee by Mail	2369	41	56.16%	32	43.84%
Advance in Person	2369	180	61.02%	115	38.98%
Provisional	2369	3	50.00%	3	50.00%
Advance in Person 2	2369	163	59.27%	112	40.73%
Total	2369	961	60.36%	631	39.64%
152 Rockycreek C					
Polling	4504	833	63.35%	482	36.65%
Absentee by Mail	4504	83	69.17%	37	30.83%
Advance in Person	4504	725	60.62%	471	39.38%
Provisional	4504	3	75.00%	1	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	4504	127	60.77%	82	39.23%
Total	4504	1771	62.27%	1073	37.73%
153 Lawrenceville N					
Polling	2343	401	65.74%	209	34.26%
Absentee by Mail	2343	42	57.53%	31	42.47%
Advance in Person	2343	16	80.00%	4	20.00%
Provisional	2343	7	38.89%	11	61.11%
Advance in Person 2	2343	341	67.52%	164	32.48%
Total	2343	807	65.82%	419	34.18%
154 Pucketts D					
Polling	3166	566	65.28%	301	34.72%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Special - Sunday Sales Ref / Especial - Domingos Ventas				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Absentee by Mail	3166	83	62.88%	49	37.12%
Advance in Person	3166	483	57.16%	362	42.84%
Provisional	3166	12	85.71%	2	14.29%
Advance in Person 2	3166	48	63.16%	28	36.84%
Total	3166	1192	61.63%	742	38.37%
155 Suwanee G					
Polling	3451	769	68.54%	353	31.46%
Absentee by Mail	3451	93	70.99%	38	29.01%
Advance in Person	3451	55	68.75%	25	31.25%
Provisional	3451	11	78.57%	3	21.43%
Advance in Person 2	3451	514	69.09%	230	30.91%
Total	3451	1442	68.96%	649	31.04%
156 Baycreek J					
Polling	4038	754	64.72%	411	35.28%
Absentee by Mail	4038	93	60.39%	61	39.61%
Advance in Person	4038	28	56.00%	22	44.00%
Provisional	4038	6	66.67%	3	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	4038	671	59.33%	460	40.67%
Total	4038	1552	61.86%	957	38.14%
Total					
Polling	525568	98493	65.45%	51984	34.55%
Absentee by Mail	525568	12366	64.94%	6675	35.06%
Advance in Person	525568	38841	63.93%	21911	36.07%
Advance in Person 2	525568	45263	62.61%	27033	37.39%
Provisional	525568	1282	66.88%	635	33.12%
Total	525568	196245	64.45%	108238	35.55%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Jurisdiction Wide					
001 Harbins A					
Polling	6123	1131	76.37%	350	23.63%
Absentee by Mail	6123	132	73.33%	48	26.67%
Advance in Person	6123	774	72.13%	299	27.87%
Provisional	6123	14	93.33%	1	6.67%
Advance in Person 2	6123	568	73.86%	201	26.14%
Total	6123	2619	74.45%	899	25.55%
002 Rockbridge A					
Polling	3653	653	82.66%	137	17.34%
Absentee by Mail	3653	109	85.16%	19	14.84%
Advance in Person	3653	95	81.90%	21	18.10%
Provisional	3653	64	83.12%	13	16.88%
Advance in Person 2	3653	891	84.14%	168	15.86%
Total	3653	1812	83.50%	358	16.50%
003 Dacula					
Polling	4478	844	78.29%	234	21.71%
Absentee by Mail	4478	96	78.69%	26	21.31%
Advance in Person	4478	864	75.39%	282	24.61%
Provisional	4478	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4478	194	83.26%	39	16.74%
Total	4478	2005	77.53%	581	22.47%
004 Suwanee A					
Polling	1551	285	78.73%	77	21.27%
Absentee by Mail	1551	33	78.57%	9	21.43%
Advance in Person	1551	27	77.14%	8	22.86%
Provisional	1551	8	57.14%	6	42.86%
Advance in Person 2	1551	299	80.38%	73	19.62%
Total	1551	652	79.03%	173	20.97%
005 Baycreek A					
Polling	2555	608	71.11%	247	28.89%
Absentee by Mail	2555	77	78.57%	21	21.43%
Advance in Person	2555	24	70.59%	10	29.41%
Provisional	2555	15	60.00%	10	40.00%
Advance in Person 2	2555	511	75.70%	164	24.30%
Total	2555	1235	73.21%	452	26.79%
006 Goodwins A					
Polling	3583	898	78.70%	243	21.30%
Absentee by Mail	3583	89	77.39%	26	22.61%
Advance in Person	3583	85	79.44%	22	20.56%
Provisional	3583	12	85.71%	2	14.29%
Advance in Person 2	3583	523	80.34%	128	19.66%
Total	3583	1607	79.24%	421	20.76%
007 Duluth A					
Polling	4599	1047	78.25%	291	21.75%
Absentee by Mail	4599	153	73.91%	54	26.09%
Advance in Person	4599	589	77.91%	167	22.09%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Provisional	4599	8	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4599	392	75.53%	127	24.47%
Total	4599	2189	77.40%	639	22.60%
008 Duncans A					
Polling	4278	940	76.11%	295	23.89%
Absentee by Mail	4278	94	77.05%	28	22.95%
Advance in Person	4278	872	72.07%	338	27.93%
Provisional	4278	12	92.31%	1	7.69%
Advance in Person 2	4278	120	73.62%	43	26.38%
Total	4278	2038	74.30%	705	25.70%
009 Pucketts A					
Polling	4400	700	73.68%	250	26.32%
Absentee by Mail	4400	109	68.99%	49	31.01%
Advance in Person	4400	1116	71.26%	450	28.74%
Provisional	4400	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4400	37	74.00%	13	26.00%
Total	4400	1965	72.06%	762	27.94%
010 Cates A					
Polling	2209	446	72.64%	168	27.36%
Absentee by Mail	2209	112	78.32%	31	21.68%
Advance in Person	2209	17	70.83%	7	29.17%
Provisional	2209	2	66.67%	1	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	2209	405	69.59%	177	30.41%
Total	2209	982	71.89%	384	28.11%
011 Berkshire A					
Polling	2247	500	80.13%	124	19.87%
Absentee by Mail	2247	79	84.95%	14	15.05%
Advance in Person	2247	266	81.60%	60	18.40%
Provisional	2247	8	72.73%	3	27.27%
Advance in Person 2	2247	54	85.71%	9	14.29%
Total	2247	907	81.20%	210	18.80%
012 Berkshire B					
Polling	5010	727	82.52%	154	17.48%
Absentee by Mail	5010	135	83.33%	27	16.67%
Advance in Person	5010	1158	82.13%	252	17.87%
Provisional	5010	15	83.33%	3	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	5010	58	79.45%	15	20.55%
Total	5010	2093	82.27%	451	17.73%
013 Duncans C					
Polling	2828	739	75.18%	244	24.82%
Absentee by Mail	2828	56	70.89%	23	29.11%
Advance in Person	2828	544	72.92%	202	27.08%
Provisional	2828	4	66.67%	2	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	2828	64	81.01%	15	18.99%
Total	2828	1407	74.33%	486	25.67%
014 Garners A					
Polling	3302	724	74.95%	242	25.05%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Absentee by Mail	3302	98	76.56%	30	23.44%
Advance in Person	3302	740	75.13%	245	24.87%
Provisional	3302	5	55.56%	4	44.44%
Advance in Person 2	3302	84	78.50%	23	21.50%
Total	3302	1651	75.22%	544	24.78%
015 Lawrenceville A					
Polling	3300	570	81.20%	132	18.80%
Absentee by Mail	3300	105	78.95%	28	21.05%
Advance in Person	3300	15	93.75%	1	6.25%
Provisional	3300	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3300	539	73.73%	192	26.27%
Total	3300	1233	77.74%	353	22.26%
016 Lawrenceville B					
Polling	4520	812	81.53%	184	18.47%
Absentee by Mail	4520	132	78.57%	36	21.43%
Advance in Person	4520	37	88.10%	5	11.90%
Provisional	4520	11	91.67%	1	8.33%
Advance in Person 2	4520	1094	79.68%	279	20.32%
Total	4520	2086	80.51%	505	19.49%
017 Martins A					
Polling	3019	668	84.34%	124	15.66%
Absentee by Mail	3019	77	81.05%	18	18.95%
Advance in Person	3019	205	84.71%	37	15.29%
Provisional	3019	8	80.00%	2	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	3019	256	85.33%	44	14.67%
Total	3019	1214	84.36%	225	15.64%
018 Martins B					
Polling	2796	651	80.57%	157	19.43%
Absentee by Mail	2796	72	81.82%	16	18.18%
Advance in Person	2796	72	78.26%	20	21.74%
Provisional	2796	17	94.44%	1	5.56%
Advance in Person 2	2796	350	80.09%	87	19.91%
Total	2796	1162	80.53%	281	19.47%
019 Martins C					
Polling	2349	651	79.29%	170	20.71%
Absentee by Mail	2349	58	81.69%	13	18.31%
Advance in Person	2349	165	86.39%	26	13.61%
Provisional	2349	9	90.00%	1	10.00%
Advance in Person 2	2349	192	79.34%	50	20.66%
Total	2349	1075	80.52%	260	19.48%
020 Pinckneyville A					
Polling	2603	593	85.08%	104	14.92%
Absentee by Mail	2603	63	81.82%	14	18.18%
Advance in Person	2603	314	84.18%	59	15.82%
Provisional	2603	13	81.25%	3	18.75%
Advance in Person 2	2603	45	86.54%	7	13.46%
Total	2603	1028	84.61%	187	15.39%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
021 Pinckneyville B					
Polling	3216	534	84.36%	99	15.64%
Absentee by Mail	3216	82	77.36%	24	22.64%
Advance in Person	3216	467	84.91%	83	15.09%
Provisional	3216	26	86.67%	4	13.33%
Advance in Person 2	3216	22	95.65%	1	4.35%
Total	3216	1131	84.28%	211	15.72%
022 Pinckneyville C					
Polling	1796	602	77.88%	171	22.12%
Absentee by Mail	1796	102	87.18%	15	12.82%
Advance in Person	1796	227	79.37%	59	20.63%
Provisional	1796	2	50.00%	2	50.00%
Advance in Person 2	1796	31	73.81%	11	26.19%
Total	1796	964	78.89%	258	21.11%
023 Pinckneyville D					
Polling	2042	422	85.08%	74	14.92%
Absentee by Mail	2042	50	78.13%	14	21.88%
Advance in Person	2042	236	86.45%	37	13.55%
Provisional	2042	12	92.31%	1	7.69%
Advance in Person 2	2042	31	79.49%	8	20.51%
Total	2042	751	84.86%	134	15.14%
024 Sugar Hill A					
Polling	1324	245	84.48%	45	15.52%
Absentee by Mail	1324	31	91.18%	3	8.82%
Advance in Person	1324	150	86.71%	23	13.29%
Provisional	1324	8	72.73%	3	27.27%
Advance in Person 2	1324	73	82.02%	16	17.98%
Total	1324	507	84.92%	90	15.08%
025 Sugar Hill B					
Polling	4641	989	71.51%	394	28.49%
Absentee by Mail	4641	106	75.71%	34	24.29%
Advance in Person	4641	296	74.94%	99	25.06%
Provisional	4641	11	61.11%	7	38.89%
Advance in Person 2	4641	425	75.62%	137	24.38%
Total	4641	1827	73.14%	671	26.86%
026 Hog Mountain A					
Polling	4467	994	78.39%	274	21.61%
Absentee by Mail	4467	125	86.81%	19	13.19%
Advance in Person	4467	303	81.89%	67	18.11%
Provisional	4467	15	88.24%	2	11.76%
Advance in Person 2	4467	471	83.07%	96	16.93%
Total	4467	1908	80.64%	458	19.36%
027 Hog Mountain B					
Polling	4211	984	73.43%	356	26.57%
Absentee by Mail	4211	114	71.70%	45	28.30%
Advance in Person	4211	580	73.60%	208	26.40%
Provisional	4211	9	81.82%	2	18.18%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Advance in Person 2	4211	262	78.68%	71	21.32%
Total	4211	1949	74.08%	682	25.92%
028 Rockycreek A					
Polling	5149	1117	77.52%	324	22.48%
Absentee by Mail	5149	83	68.03%	39	31.97%
Advance in Person	5149	1005	70.97%	411	29.03%
Provisional	5149	3	75.00%	1	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	5149	128	80.00%	32	20.00%
Total	5149	2336	74.32%	807	25.68%
029 Cates B					
Polling	1559	413	76.91%	124	23.09%
Absentee by Mail	1559	41	80.39%	10	19.61%
Advance in Person	1559	130	76.02%	41	23.98%
Provisional	1559	0	0.00%	1	100.00%
Advance in Person 2	1559	164	72.89%	61	27.11%
Total	1559	748	75.94%	237	24.06%
030 Cates C					
Polling	2599	571	77.58%	165	22.42%
Absentee by Mail	2599	69	81.18%	16	18.82%
Advance in Person	2599	19	79.17%	5	20.83%
Provisional	2599	6	85.71%	1	14.29%
Advance in Person 2	2599	585	80.25%	144	19.75%
Total	2599	1250	79.06%	331	20.94%
031 Hog Mountain D					
Polling	2958	668	74.06%	234	25.94%
Absentee by Mail	2958	78	81.25%	18	18.75%
Advance in Person	2958	234	70.91%	96	29.09%
Provisional	2958	6	75.00%	2	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	2958	222	68.31%	103	31.69%
Total	2958	1208	72.73%	453	27.27%
032 Berkshire D					
Polling	2684	630	77.11%	187	22.89%
Absentee by Mail	2684	105	84.00%	20	16.00%
Advance in Person	2684	602	84.79%	108	15.21%
Provisional	2684	5	83.33%	1	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	2684	64	78.05%	18	21.95%
Total	2684	1406	80.80%	334	19.20%
033 Berkshire E					
Polling	1866	450	71.88%	176	28.12%
Absentee by Mail	1866	69	86.25%	11	13.75%
Advance in Person	1866	420	79.40%	109	20.60%
Provisional	1866	0	-	0	-
Advance in Person 2	1866	30	88.24%	4	11.76%
Total	1866	969	76.36%	300	23.64%
034 Berkshire F					
Polling	2003	494	80.19%	122	19.81%
Absentee by Mail	2003	64	86.49%	10	13.51%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Advance in Person	2003	208	88.14%	28	11.86%
Provisional	2003	9	90.00%	1	10.00%
Advance in Person 2	2003	61	85.92%	10	14.08%
Total	2003	836	83.02%	171	16.98%
035 Cates D - 04					
Polling	2605	633	77.57%	183	22.43%
Absentee by Mail	2605	65	70.65%	27	29.35%
Advance in Person	2605	148	83.62%	29	16.38%
Provisional	2605	7	87.50%	1	12.50%
Advance in Person 2	2605	376	78.99%	100	21.01%
Total	2605	1229	78.33%	340	21.67%
035 Cates D - 07					
Polling	585	139	74.73%	47	25.27%
Absentee by Mail	585	21	87.50%	3	12.50%
Advance in Person	585	61	85.92%	10	14.08%
Provisional	585	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	585	53	81.54%	12	18.46%
Total	585	277	79.37%	72	20.63%
036 Cates E					
Polling	2744	683	75.22%	225	24.78%
Absentee by Mail	2744	84	80.77%	20	19.23%
Advance in Person	2744	364	77.45%	106	22.55%
Provisional	2744	5	62.50%	3	37.50%
Advance in Person 2	2744	253	76.67%	77	23.33%
Total	2744	1389	76.32%	431	23.68%
037 Pinckneyville E					
Polling	2183	566	85.50%	96	14.50%
Absentee by Mail	2183	46	85.19%	8	14.81%
Advance in Person	2183	208	83.87%	40	16.13%
Provisional	2183	10	90.91%	1	9.09%
Advance in Person 2	2183	18	90.00%	2	10.00%
Total	2183	848	85.23%	147	14.77%
038 Pinckneyville F					
Polling	2301	507	80.73%	121	19.27%
Absentee by Mail	2301	75	87.21%	11	12.79%
Advance in Person	2301	252	79.50%	65	20.50%
Provisional	2301	4	57.14%	3	42.86%
Advance in Person 2	2301	30	83.33%	6	16.67%
Total	2301	868	80.82%	206	19.18%
039 Duncans D					
Polling	6085	1310	74.60%	446	25.40%
Absentee by Mail	6085	137	67.49%	66	32.51%
Advance in Person	6085	1078	70.60%	449	29.40%
Provisional	6085	9	81.82%	2	18.18%
Advance in Person 2	6085	116	71.60%	46	28.40%
Total	6085	2650	72.42%	1009	27.58%
040 Pinckneyville H					

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Polling	4126	1019	77.49%	296	22.51%
Absentee by Mail	4126	166	76.50%	51	23.50%
Advance in Person	4126	766	75.84%	244	24.16%
Provisional	4126	10	90.91%	1	9.09%
Advance in Person 2	4126	66	80.49%	16	19.51%
Total	4126	2027	76.93%	608	23.07%
041 Baycreek B					
Polling	5349	1235	77.82%	352	22.18%
Absentee by Mail	5349	163	86.70%	25	13.30%
Advance in Person	5349	40	83.33%	8	16.67%
Provisional	5349	20	90.91%	2	9.09%
Advance in Person 2	5349	1117	82.01%	245	17.99%
Total	5349	2575	80.29%	632	19.71%
042 Lawrenceville C					
Polling	2761	633	73.43%	229	26.57%
Absentee by Mail	2761	61	67.03%	30	32.97%
Advance in Person	2761	234	75.73%	75	24.27%
Provisional	2761	4	80.00%	1	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	2761	257	74.93%	86	25.07%
Total	2761	1189	73.85%	421	26.15%
043 Martins D					
Polling	2059	480	83.77%	93	16.23%
Absentee by Mail	2059	55	80.88%	13	19.12%
Advance in Person	2059	180	86.96%	27	13.04%
Provisional	2059	10	90.91%	1	9.09%
Advance in Person 2	2059	74	82.22%	16	17.78%
Total	2059	799	84.19%	150	15.81%
044 Rockbridge B					
Polling	3761	788	82.86%	163	17.14%
Absentee by Mail	3761	80	80.81%	19	19.19%
Advance in Person	3761	90	83.33%	18	16.67%
Provisional	3761	10	90.91%	1	9.09%
Advance in Person 2	3761	770	83.60%	151	16.40%
Total	3761	1738	83.16%	352	16.84%
045 Garners B					
Polling	2916	538	79.35%	140	20.65%
Absentee by Mail	2916	84	67.20%	41	32.80%
Advance in Person	2916	513	76.00%	162	24.00%
Provisional	2916	4	66.67%	2	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	2916	296	81.32%	68	18.68%
Total	2916	1435	77.65%	413	22.35%
046 Cates F					
Polling	1689	491	76.36%	152	23.64%
Absentee by Mail	1689	44	75.86%	14	24.14%
Advance in Person	1689	36	72.00%	14	28.00%
Provisional	1689	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1689	251	75.15%	83	24.85%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Total	1689	826	75.85%	263	24.15%
047 Cates G					
Polling	2597	677	75.98%	214	24.02%
Absentee by Mail	2597	77	71.96%	30	28.04%
Advance in Person	2597	86	76.11%	27	23.89%
Provisional	2597	5	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2597	481	74.57%	164	25.43%
Total	2597	1326	75.30%	435	24.70%
048 Duluth B					
Polling	3332	632	74.18%	220	25.82%
Absentee by Mail	3332	129	79.14%	34	20.86%
Advance in Person	3332	486	78.01%	137	21.99%
Provisional	3332	7	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3332	104	73.76%	37	26.24%
Total	3332	1358	76.04%	428	23.96%
049 Garners C					
Polling	1908	409	77.76%	117	22.24%
Absentee by Mail	1908	45	83.33%	9	16.67%
Advance in Person	1908	386	79.92%	97	20.08%
Provisional	1908	3	60.00%	2	40.00%
Advance in Person 2	1908	38	84.44%	7	15.56%
Total	1908	881	79.16%	232	20.84%
050 Pinckneyville I					
Polling	2479	535	83.07%	109	16.93%
Absentee by Mail	2479	63	78.75%	17	21.25%
Advance in Person	2479	330	84.83%	59	15.17%
Provisional	2479	15	88.24%	2	11.76%
Advance in Person 2	2479	52	77.61%	15	22.39%
Total	2479	995	83.12%	202	16.88%
051 Pinckneyville J					
Polling	2543	437	85.19%	76	14.81%
Absentee by Mail	2543	48	67.61%	23	32.39%
Advance in Person	2543	340	84.16%	64	15.84%
Provisional	2543	19	79.17%	5	20.83%
Advance in Person 2	2543	32	91.43%	3	8.57%
Total	2543	876	83.67%	171	16.33%
052 Berkshire G					
Polling	2250	484	77.81%	138	22.19%
Absentee by Mail	2250	61	75.31%	20	24.69%
Advance in Person	2250	541	75.24%	178	24.76%
Provisional	2250	3	75.00%	1	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	2250	21	75.00%	7	25.00%
Total	2250	1110	76.34%	344	23.66%
053 Cates H					
Polling	3622	767	80.65%	184	19.35%
Absentee by Mail	3622	77	68.14%	36	31.86%
Advance in Person	3622	30	65.22%	16	34.78%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Provisional	3622	10	90.91%	1	9.09%
Advance in Person 2	3622	692	82.97%	142	17.03%
Total	3622	1576	80.61%	379	19.39%
054 Sugar Hill C					
Polling	4330	1072	74.34%	370	25.66%
Absentee by Mail	4330	114	73.55%	41	26.45%
Advance in Person	4330	282	72.68%	106	27.32%
Provisional	4330	13	59.09%	9	40.91%
Advance in Person 2	4330	405	75.42%	132	24.58%
Total	4330	1886	74.14%	658	25.86%
055 Sugar Hill D					
Polling	2001	442	74.54%	151	25.46%
Absentee by Mail	2001	45	93.75%	3	6.25%
Advance in Person	2001	306	73.73%	109	26.27%
Provisional	2001	12	66.67%	6	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	2001	75	72.82%	28	27.18%
Total	2001	880	74.77%	297	25.23%
056 Duluth C					
Polling	2243	491	74.39%	169	25.61%
Absentee by Mail	2243	66	70.21%	28	29.79%
Advance in Person	2243	341	75.78%	109	24.22%
Provisional	2243	2	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2243	60	83.33%	12	16.67%
Total	2243	960	75.12%	318	24.88%
057 Duluth D					
Polling	4404	780	76.55%	239	23.45%
Absentee by Mail	4404	160	83.33%	32	16.67%
Advance in Person	4404	760	80.51%	184	19.49%
Provisional	4404	13	68.42%	6	31.58%
Advance in Person 2	4404	106	75.18%	35	24.82%
Total	4404	1819	78.57%	496	21.43%
058 Pinckneyville K					
Polling	3547	697	82.29%	150	17.71%
Absentee by Mail	3547	95	88.79%	12	11.21%
Advance in Person	3547	401	86.24%	64	13.76%
Provisional	3547	20	83.33%	4	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	3547	77	86.52%	12	13.48%
Total	3547	1290	84.20%	242	15.80%
059 Pinckneyville N					
Polling	3717	884	85.66%	148	14.34%
Absentee by Mail	3717	57	83.82%	11	16.18%
Advance in Person	3717	459	86.77%	70	13.23%
Provisional	3717	15	93.75%	1	6.25%
Advance in Person 2	3717	48	90.57%	5	9.43%
Total	3717	1463	86.16%	235	13.84%
060 Lawrenceville D					
Polling	4872	858	84.28%	160	15.72%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Absentee by Mail	4872	102	89.47%	12	10.53%
Advance in Person	4872	70	83.33%	14	16.67%
Provisional	4872	18	78.26%	5	21.74%
Advance in Person 2	4872	719	84.19%	135	15.81%
Total	4872	1767	84.42%	326	15.58%
061 Lawrenceville E					
Polling	2205	455	72.68%	171	27.32%
Absentee by Mail	2205	76	76.00%	24	24.00%
Advance in Person	2205	16	94.12%	1	5.88%
Provisional	2205	2	66.67%	1	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	2205	446	72.64%	168	27.36%
Total	2205	995	73.16%	365	26.84%
062 Pinckneyville L					
Polling	2233	776	72.46%	295	27.54%
Absentee by Mail	2233	87	67.97%	41	32.03%
Advance in Person	2233	270	72.39%	103	27.61%
Provisional	2233	1	50.00%	1	50.00%
Advance in Person 2	2233	27	72.97%	10	27.03%
Total	2233	1161	72.07%	450	27.93%
063 Pinckneyville M					
Polling	2515	810	73.04%	299	26.96%
Absentee by Mail	2515	122	74.85%	41	25.15%
Advance in Person	2515	305	68.85%	138	31.15%
Provisional	2515	6	85.71%	1	14.29%
Advance in Person 2	2515	13	76.47%	4	23.53%
Total	2515	1256	72.23%	483	27.77%
064 Garners D					
Polling	3127	664	80.10%	165	19.90%
Absentee by Mail	3127	80	77.67%	23	22.33%
Advance in Person	3127	487	80.76%	116	19.24%
Provisional	3127	8	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3127	224	77.78%	64	22.22%
Total	3127	1463	79.90%	368	20.10%
065 Berkshire H					
Polling	1717	355	79.42%	92	20.58%
Absentee by Mail	1717	44	77.19%	13	22.81%
Advance in Person	1717	262	83.17%	53	16.83%
Provisional	1717	7	87.50%	1	12.50%
Advance in Person 2	1717	27	79.41%	7	20.59%
Total	1717	695	80.72%	166	19.28%
066 Pinckneyville O					
Polling	3267	658	87.85%	91	12.15%
Absentee by Mail	3267	62	81.58%	14	18.42%
Advance in Person	3267	484	80.40%	118	19.60%
Provisional	3267	24	88.89%	3	11.11%
Advance in Person 2	3267	26	92.86%	2	7.14%
Total	3267	1254	84.62%	228	15.38%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
067 Pucketts E					
Polling	4732	864	78.69%	234	21.31%
Absentee by Mail	4732	139	78.53%	38	21.47%
Advance in Person	4732	900	76.99%	269	23.01%
Provisional	4732	21	87.50%	3	12.50%
Advance in Person 2	4732	115	73.25%	42	26.75%
Total	4732	2039	77.68%	586	22.32%
068 Cates I					
Polling	3395	888	77.83%	253	22.17%
Absentee by Mail	3395	107	79.26%	28	20.74%
Advance in Person	3395	147	76.96%	44	23.04%
Provisional	3395	8	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3395	495	79.84%	125	20.16%
Total	3395	1645	78.52%	450	21.48%
069 Cates J					
Polling	3266	839	77.61%	242	22.39%
Absentee by Mail	3266	93	76.23%	29	23.77%
Advance in Person	3266	497	81.48%	113	18.52%
Provisional	3266	8	80.00%	2	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	3266	178	83.57%	35	16.43%
Total	3266	1615	79.32%	421	20.68%
070 Goodwins B					
Polling	3604	873	74.42%	300	25.58%
Absentee by Mail	3604	108	73.97%	38	26.03%
Advance in Person	3604	146	71.92%	57	28.08%
Provisional	3604	6	85.71%	1	14.29%
Advance in Person 2	3604	505	74.93%	169	25.07%
Total	3604	1638	74.35%	565	25.65%
071 Lawrenceville F					
Polling	3698	709	81.97%	156	18.03%
Absentee by Mail	3698	120	83.92%	23	16.08%
Advance in Person	3698	45	80.36%	11	19.64%
Provisional	3698	13	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3698	834	82.82%	173	17.18%
Total	3698	1721	82.58%	363	17.42%
072 Martins E					
Polling	5934	1016	88.04%	138	11.96%
Absentee by Mail	5934	147	88.02%	20	11.98%
Advance in Person	5934	594	88.52%	77	11.48%
Provisional	5934	44	89.80%	5	10.20%
Advance in Person 2	5934	205	86.50%	32	13.50%
Total	5934	2006	88.06%	272	11.94%
073 Pinckneyville P					
Polling	2048	681	79.19%	179	20.81%
Absentee by Mail	2048	89	78.76%	24	21.24%
Advance in Person	2048	213	79.18%	56	20.82%
Provisional	2048	5	83.33%	1	16.67%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Advance in Person 2	2048	17	73.91%	6	26.09%
Total	2048	1005	79.07%	266	20.93%
074 Pinckneyville Q					
Polling	1725	494	85.32%	85	14.68%
Absentee by Mail	1725	30	96.77%	1	3.23%
Advance in Person	1725	149	80.98%	35	19.02%
Provisional	1725	10	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1725	29	85.29%	5	14.71%
Total	1725	712	84.96%	126	15.04%
075 Rockbridge C					
Polling	4722	949	81.11%	221	18.89%
Absentee by Mail	4722	161	87.50%	23	12.50%
Advance in Person	4722	128	77.58%	37	22.42%
Provisional	4722	12	85.71%	2	14.29%
Advance in Person 2	4722	1170	83.57%	230	16.43%
Total	4722	2420	82.51%	513	17.49%
076 Rockbridge D					
Polling	3359	635	79.18%	167	20.82%
Absentee by Mail	3359	114	82.01%	25	17.99%
Advance in Person	3359	29	85.29%	5	14.71%
Provisional	3359	22	84.62%	4	15.38%
Advance in Person 2	3359	868	80.74%	207	19.26%
Total	3359	1668	80.35%	408	19.65%
077 Goodwins C					
Polling	5106	1074	81.74%	240	18.26%
Absentee by Mail	5106	179	87.75%	25	12.25%
Advance in Person	5106	225	83.03%	46	16.97%
Provisional	5106	15	83.33%	3	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	5106	687	84.19%	129	15.81%
Total	5106	2180	83.11%	443	16.89%
078 Baycreek K					
Polling	3536	715	77.80%	204	22.20%
Absentee by Mail	3536	121	81.21%	28	18.79%
Advance in Person	3536	27	77.14%	8	22.86%
Provisional	3536	10	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3536	873	78.23%	243	21.77%
Total	3536	1746	78.33%	483	21.67%
079 Cates K					
Polling	2531	736	77.97%	208	22.03%
Absentee by Mail	2531	53	76.81%	16	23.19%
Advance in Person	2531	83	70.94%	34	29.06%
Provisional	2531	6	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2531	370	79.74%	94	20.26%
Total	2531	1248	78.00%	352	22.00%
080 Baycreek C					
Polling	5755	1223	77.06%	364	22.94%
Absentee by Mail	5755	125	70.62%	52	29.38%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Advance in Person	5755	74	77.08%	22	22.92%
Provisional	5755	9	81.82%	2	18.18%
Advance in Person 2	5755	1402	80.62%	337	19.38%
Total	5755	2833	78.48%	777	21.52%
081 Cates L					
Polling	3751	838	76.67%	255	23.33%
Absentee by Mail	3751	101	78.29%	28	21.71%
Advance in Person	3751	39	86.67%	6	13.33%
Provisional	3751	9	90.00%	1	10.00%
Advance in Person 2	3751	750	76.61%	229	23.39%
Total	3751	1737	76.99%	519	23.01%
082 Duluth E					
Polling	4580	915	82.21%	198	17.79%
Absentee by Mail	4580	131	88.51%	17	11.49%
Advance in Person	4580	774	80.54%	187	19.46%
Provisional	4580	16	80.00%	4	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	4580	80	76.92%	24	23.08%
Total	4580	1916	81.67%	430	18.33%
083 Goodwins D					
Polling	3985	938	75.28%	308	24.72%
Absentee by Mail	3985	103	76.30%	32	23.70%
Advance in Person	3985	78	79.59%	20	20.41%
Provisional	3985	16	84.21%	3	15.79%
Advance in Person 2	3985	555	74.80%	187	25.20%
Total	3985	1690	75.45%	550	24.55%
084 Lawrenceville G					
Polling	2783	604	81.40%	138	18.60%
Absentee by Mail	2783	72	84.71%	13	15.29%
Advance in Person	2783	31	81.58%	7	18.42%
Provisional	2783	20	95.24%	1	4.76%
Advance in Person 2	2783	412	76.44%	127	23.56%
Total	2783	1139	79.93%	286	20.07%
085 Lawrenceville H					
Polling	3899	860	74.52%	294	25.48%
Absentee by Mail	3899	111	72.08%	43	27.92%
Advance in Person	3899	170	74.24%	59	25.76%
Provisional	3899	7	70.00%	3	30.00%
Advance in Person 2	3899	572	76.06%	180	23.94%
Total	3899	1720	74.82%	579	25.18%
086 Martins F					
Polling	2401	540	82.19%	117	17.81%
Absentee by Mail	2401	66	84.62%	12	15.38%
Advance in Person	2401	258	83.23%	52	16.77%
Provisional	2401	10	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2401	80	82.47%	17	17.53%
Total	2401	954	82.81%	198	17.19%
087 Pinckneyville S					

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Polling	3013	726	78.83%	195	21.17%
Absentee by Mail	3013	105	79.55%	27	20.45%
Advance in Person	3013	356	79.29%	93	20.71%
Provisional	3013	8	88.89%	1	11.11%
Advance in Person 2	3013	41	71.93%	16	28.07%
Total	3013	1236	78.83%	332	21.17%
088 Rockbridge E					
Polling	4199	886	80.11%	220	19.89%
Absentee by Mail	4199	99	87.61%	14	12.39%
Advance in Person	4199	121	76.10%	38	23.90%
Provisional	4199	33	91.67%	3	8.33%
Advance in Person 2	4199	679	84.56%	124	15.44%
Total	4199	1818	82.00%	399	18.00%
089 Sugar Hill E					
Polling	2974	680	76.66%	207	23.34%
Absentee by Mail	2974	68	68.69%	31	31.31%
Advance in Person	2974	144	77.42%	42	22.58%
Provisional	2974	9	60.00%	6	40.00%
Advance in Person 2	2974	394	73.78%	140	26.22%
Total	2974	1295	75.25%	426	24.75%
090 Lawrenceville I					
Polling	3140	753	83.11%	153	16.89%
Absentee by Mail	3140	85	80.19%	21	19.81%
Advance in Person	3140	117	84.78%	21	15.22%
Provisional	3140	6	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3140	414	80.39%	101	19.61%
Total	3140	1375	82.29%	296	17.71%
091 Baycreek D					
Polling	4157	911	77.14%	270	22.86%
Absentee by Mail	4157	120	76.43%	37	23.57%
Advance in Person	4157	31	83.78%	6	16.22%
Provisional	4157	8	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4157	957	77.24%	282	22.76%
Total	4157	2027	77.31%	595	22.69%
092 Berkshire J					
Polling	2595	598	74.29%	207	25.71%
Absentee by Mail	2595	69	81.18%	16	18.82%
Advance in Person	2595	598	76.67%	182	23.33%
Provisional	2595	4	66.67%	2	33.33%
Advance in Person 2	2595	55	73.33%	20	26.67%
Total	2595	1324	75.61%	427	24.39%
093 Cates M					
Polling	3794	984	78.28%	273	21.72%
Absentee by Mail	3794	152	83.06%	31	16.94%
Advance in Person	3794	102	85.71%	17	14.29%
Provisional	3794	4	80.00%	1	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	3794	720	75.71%	231	24.29%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Total	3794	1962	78.01%	553	21.99%
094 Cates N					
Polling	2445	573	73.09%	211	26.91%
Absentee by Mail	2445	73	72.28%	28	27.72%
Advance in Person	2445	18	78.26%	5	21.74%
Provisional	2445	3	60.00%	2	40.00%
Advance in Person 2	2445	446	68.93%	201	31.07%
Total	2445	1113	71.35%	447	28.65%
095 Duluth F					
Polling	5413	1036	84.71%	187	15.29%
Absentee by Mail	5413	130	80.25%	32	19.75%
Advance in Person	5413	487	85.74%	81	14.26%
Provisional	5413	20	90.91%	2	9.09%
Advance in Person 2	5413	358	85.44%	61	14.56%
Total	5413	2031	84.84%	363	15.16%
096 Duluth G					
Polling	2775	650	73.03%	240	26.97%
Absentee by Mail	2775	93	90.29%	10	9.71%
Advance in Person	2775	253	78.09%	71	21.91%
Provisional	2775	8	80.00%	2	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	2775	247	73.95%	87	26.05%
Total	2775	1251	75.32%	410	24.68%
097 Goodwins E					
Polling	4950	1150	78.18%	321	21.82%
Absentee by Mail	4950	166	79.81%	42	20.19%
Advance in Person	4950	131	79.39%	34	20.61%
Provisional	4950	15	88.24%	2	11.76%
Advance in Person 2	4950	718	78.56%	196	21.44%
Total	4950	2180	78.56%	595	21.44%
098 Goodwins F					
Polling	2904	651	76.41%	201	23.59%
Absentee by Mail	2904	96	75.59%	31	24.41%
Advance in Person	2904	49	77.78%	14	22.22%
Provisional	2904	11	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2904	442	82.00%	97	18.00%
Total	2904	1249	78.45%	343	21.55%
099 Pinckneyville T					
Polling	2874	659	78.83%	177	21.17%
Absentee by Mail	2874	111	82.22%	24	17.78%
Advance in Person	2874	349	77.38%	102	22.62%
Provisional	2874	6	85.71%	1	14.29%
Advance in Person 2	2874	36	83.72%	7	16.28%
Total	2874	1161	78.87%	311	21.13%
100 Suwanee B					
Polling	4085	678	81.59%	153	18.41%
Absentee by Mail	4085	107	82.95%	22	17.05%
Advance in Person	4085	26	89.66%	3	10.34%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Provisional	4085	3	75.00%	1	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	4085	1111	77.48%	323	22.52%
Total	4085	1925	79.32%	502	20.68%
101 Pinckneyville U					
Polling	2007	630	78.95%	168	21.05%
Absentee by Mail	2007	50	75.76%	16	24.24%
Advance in Person	2007	236	84.59%	43	15.41%
Provisional	2007	5	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2007	19	65.52%	10	34.48%
Total	2007	940	79.86%	237	20.14%
102 Pucketts B					
Polling	2150	454	76.43%	140	23.57%
Absentee by Mail	2150	60	86.96%	9	13.04%
Advance in Person	2150	466	74.56%	159	25.44%
Provisional	2150	3	42.86%	4	57.14%
Advance in Person 2	2150	36	67.92%	17	32.08%
Total	2150	1019	75.59%	329	24.41%
103 Berkshire L					
Polling	2915	711	81.72%	159	18.28%
Absentee by Mail	2915	95	87.16%	14	12.84%
Advance in Person	2915	258	81.13%	60	18.87%
Provisional	2915	13	86.67%	2	13.33%
Advance in Person 2	2915	147	84.48%	27	15.52%
Total	2915	1224	82.37%	262	17.63%
104 Rockbridge F					
Polling	5313	852	85.71%	142	14.29%
Absentee by Mail	5313	152	79.17%	40	20.83%
Advance in Person	5313	32	84.21%	6	15.79%
Provisional	5313	15	78.95%	4	21.05%
Advance in Person 2	5313	1678	82.86%	347	17.14%
Total	5313	2729	83.51%	539	16.49%
105 Sugar Hill F					
Polling	3687	917	74.61%	312	25.39%
Absentee by Mail	3687	83	79.05%	22	20.95%
Advance in Person	3687	87	75.00%	29	25.00%
Provisional	3687	13	86.67%	2	13.33%
Advance in Person 2	3687	500	75.64%	161	24.36%
Total	3687	1600	75.26%	526	24.74%
106 Suwanee C					
Polling	4823	886	75.79%	283	24.21%
Absentee by Mail	4823	148	75.90%	47	24.10%
Advance in Person	4823	73	78.49%	20	21.51%
Provisional	4823	39	79.59%	10	20.41%
Advance in Person 2	4823	875	74.79%	295	25.21%
Total	4823	2021	75.52%	655	24.48%
107 Cates O					
Polling	1342	374	78.41%	103	21.59%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Absentee by Mail	1342	27	71.05%	11	28.95%
Advance in Person	1342	144	80.00%	36	20.00%
Provisional	1342	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1342	97	74.62%	33	25.38%
Total	1342	643	77.85%	183	22.15%
108 Baycreek E					
Polling	2863	685	77.49%	199	22.51%
Absentee by Mail	2863	66	74.16%	23	25.84%
Advance in Person	2863	22	78.57%	6	21.43%
Provisional	2863	3	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2863	485	77.85%	138	22.15%
Total	2863	1261	77.50%	366	22.50%
109 Berkshire M					
Polling	1638	410	74.55%	140	25.45%
Absentee by Mail	1638	42	77.78%	12	22.22%
Advance in Person	1638	306	75.18%	101	24.82%
Provisional	1638	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1638	27	71.05%	11	28.95%
Total	1638	789	74.93%	264	25.07%
110 Martins G					
Polling	2187	538	81.27%	124	18.73%
Absentee by Mail	2187	53	81.54%	12	18.46%
Advance in Person	2187	28	84.85%	5	15.15%
Provisional	2187	7	77.78%	2	22.22%
Advance in Person 2	2187	266	79.40%	69	20.60%
Total	2187	892	80.80%	212	19.20%
111 Duluth H					
Polling	2662	539	77.33%	158	22.67%
Absentee by Mail	2662	116	67.05%	57	32.95%
Advance in Person	2662	449	80.32%	110	19.68%
Provisional	2662	2	50.00%	2	50.00%
Advance in Person 2	2662	84	75.00%	28	25.00%
Total	2662	1190	77.02%	355	22.98%
112 Berkshire N					
Polling	2836	469	74.92%	157	25.08%
Absentee by Mail	2836	85	72.03%	33	27.97%
Advance in Person	2836	866	74.72%	293	25.28%
Provisional	2836	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2836	24	64.86%	13	35.14%
Total	2836	1448	74.49%	496	25.51%
113 Pinckneyville V					
Polling	1572	264	73.74%	94	26.26%
Absentee by Mail	1572	50	80.65%	12	19.35%
Advance in Person	1572	268	82.46%	57	17.54%
Provisional	1572	11	78.57%	3	21.43%
Advance in Person 2	1572	6	54.55%	5	45.45%
Total	1572	599	77.79%	171	22.21%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
114 Pinckneyville W					
Polling	3885	866	74.02%	304	25.98%
Absentee by Mail	3885	101	76.52%	31	23.48%
Advance in Person	3885	534	76.29%	166	23.71%
Provisional	3885	16	94.12%	1	5.88%
Advance in Person 2	3885	34	77.27%	10	22.73%
Total	3885	1551	75.18%	512	24.82%
115 Martins H					
Polling	4487	996	79.87%	251	20.13%
Absentee by Mail	4487	166	78.67%	45	21.33%
Advance in Person	4487	181	83.41%	36	16.59%
Provisional	4487	13	92.86%	1	7.14%
Advance in Person 2	4487	568	80.91%	134	19.09%
Total	4487	1924	80.47%	467	19.53%
116 Martins I					
Polling	3518	752	82.55%	159	17.45%
Absentee by Mail	3518	129	83.77%	25	16.23%
Advance in Person	3518	269	86.77%	41	13.23%
Provisional	3518	12	75.00%	4	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	3518	215	85.32%	37	14.68%
Total	3518	1377	83.81%	266	16.19%
117 Martins J					
Polling	3809	870	86.05%	141	13.95%
Absentee by Mail	3809	97	85.09%	17	14.91%
Advance in Person	3809	285	83.33%	57	16.67%
Provisional	3809	17	94.44%	1	5.56%
Advance in Person 2	3809	211	81.78%	47	18.22%
Total	3809	1480	84.91%	263	15.09%
118 Garners F					
Polling	1558	352	78.05%	99	21.95%
Absentee by Mail	1558	28	77.78%	8	22.22%
Advance in Person	1558	354	77.80%	101	22.20%
Provisional	1558	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1558	13	81.25%	3	18.75%
Total	1558	751	78.07%	211	21.93%
119 Berkshire O					
Polling	2422	641	75.50%	208	24.50%
Absentee by Mail	2422	73	78.49%	20	21.51%
Advance in Person	2422	352	74.73%	119	25.27%
Provisional	2422	6	85.71%	1	14.29%
Advance in Person 2	2422	105	73.94%	37	26.06%
Total	2422	1177	75.35%	385	24.65%
120 Berkshire P					
Polling	1339	290	76.12%	91	23.88%
Absentee by Mail	1339	46	85.19%	8	14.81%
Advance in Person	1339	149	81.42%	34	18.58%
Provisional	1339	7	100.00%	0	0.00%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Advance in Person 2	1339	45	81.82%	10	18.18%
Total	1339	537	78.97%	143	21.03%
121 Pinckneyville X					
Polling	3198	776	73.48%	280	26.52%
Absentee by Mail	3198	154	74.04%	54	25.96%
Advance in Person	3198	558	78.26%	155	21.74%
Provisional	3198	5	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	3198	54	75.00%	18	25.00%
Total	3198	1547	75.32%	507	24.68%
122 Rockbridge G					
Polling	5188	947	84.55%	173	15.45%
Absentee by Mail	5188	173	77.23%	51	22.77%
Advance in Person	5188	87	81.31%	20	18.69%
Provisional	5188	30	83.33%	6	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	5188	1317	85.08%	231	14.92%
Total	5188	2554	84.15%	481	15.85%
123 Pinckneyville Y					
Polling	3018	620	84.12%	117	15.88%
Absentee by Mail	3018	56	93.33%	4	6.67%
Advance in Person	3018	380	88.17%	51	11.83%
Provisional	3018	16	80.00%	4	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	3018	51	83.61%	10	16.39%
Total	3018	1123	85.79%	186	14.21%
124 Goodwins G					
Polling	2448	606	82.56%	128	17.44%
Absentee by Mail	2448	57	85.07%	10	14.93%
Advance in Person	2448	59	88.06%	8	11.94%
Provisional	2448	8	88.89%	1	11.11%
Advance in Person 2	2448	330	82.29%	71	17.71%
Total	2448	1060	82.94%	218	17.06%
125 Pinckneyville Z					
Polling	1806	590	69.91%	254	30.09%
Absentee by Mail	1806	68	74.73%	23	25.27%
Advance in Person	1806	239	80.74%	57	19.26%
Provisional	1806	1	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1806	24	85.71%	4	14.29%
Total	1806	922	73.17%	338	26.83%
126 Pinckneyville A1					
Polling	2596	838	73.25%	306	26.75%
Absentee by Mail	2596	119	79.87%	30	20.13%
Advance in Person	2596	342	75.00%	114	25.00%
Provisional	2596	5	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2596	35	77.78%	10	22.22%
Total	2596	1339	74.43%	460	25.57%
127 Lawrenceville J					
Polling	1959	452	74.71%	153	25.29%
Absentee by Mail	1959	58	76.32%	18	23.68%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Advance in Person	1959	40	85.11%	7	14.89%
Provisional	1959	4	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	1959	316	83.38%	63	16.62%
Total	1959	870	78.31%	241	21.69%
128 Goodwins H					
Polling	2561	629	77.56%	182	22.44%
Absentee by Mail	2561	72	68.57%	33	31.43%
Advance in Person	2561	44	84.62%	8	15.38%
Provisional	2561	11	91.67%	1	8.33%
Advance in Person 2	2561	413	78.97%	110	21.03%
Total	2561	1169	77.78%	334	22.22%
129 Duncans B					
Polling	4655	1159	74.06%	406	25.94%
Absentee by Mail	4655	102	71.33%	41	28.67%
Advance in Person	4655	836	74.51%	286	25.49%
Provisional	4655	4	80.00%	1	20.00%
Advance in Person 2	4655	75	68.81%	34	31.19%
Total	4655	2176	73.91%	768	26.09%
130 Suwanee D					
Polling	4247	1062	78.15%	297	21.85%
Absentee by Mail	4247	123	78.85%	33	21.15%
Advance in Person	4247	63	73.26%	23	26.74%
Provisional	4247	10	71.43%	4	28.57%
Advance in Person 2	4247	833	76.63%	254	23.37%
Total	4247	2091	77.39%	611	22.61%
131 Suwanee E					
Polling	3921	821	77.89%	233	22.11%
Absentee by Mail	3921	134	78.82%	36	21.18%
Advance in Person	3921	34	85.00%	6	15.00%
Provisional	3921	16	84.21%	3	15.79%
Advance in Person 2	3921	921	75.80%	294	24.20%
Total	3921	1926	77.10%	572	22.90%
132 Lawrenceville K					
Polling	2915	754	75.93%	239	24.07%
Absentee by Mail	2915	69	71.88%	27	28.13%
Advance in Person	2915	124	72.51%	47	27.49%
Provisional	2915	5	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	2915	385	75.64%	124	24.36%
Total	2915	1337	75.37%	437	24.63%
133 Harbins B					
Polling	4220	740	77.65%	213	22.35%
Absentee by Mail	4220	57	64.04%	32	35.96%
Advance in Person	4220	877	69.38%	387	30.62%
Provisional	4220	3	75.00%	1	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	4220	120	85.11%	21	14.89%
Total	4220	1797	73.32%	654	26.68%
134 Baycreek F					

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Polling	4119	950	80.85%	225	19.15%
Absentee by Mail	4119	143	86.14%	23	13.86%
Advance in Person	4119	25	67.57%	12	32.43%
Provisional	4119	9	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	4119	943	82.94%	194	17.06%
Total	4119	2070	82.01%	454	17.99%
135 Pucketts C					
Polling	2931	629	76.71%	191	23.29%
Absentee by Mail	2931	71	76.34%	22	23.66%
Advance in Person	2931	517	73.86%	183	26.14%
Provisional	2931	10	76.92%	3	23.08%
Advance in Person 2	2931	62	84.93%	11	15.07%
Total	2931	1289	75.87%	410	24.13%
136 Hog Mountain C					
Polling	3832	890	75.11%	295	24.89%
Absentee by Mail	3832	85	77.27%	25	22.73%
Advance in Person	3832	459	73.44%	166	26.56%
Provisional	3832	3	75.00%	1	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	3832	154	72.99%	57	27.01%
Total	3832	1591	74.52%	544	25.48%
137 Rockycreek B					
Polling	4677	1043	77.32%	306	22.68%
Absentee by Mail	4677	94	75.81%	30	24.19%
Advance in Person	4677	796	76.32%	247	23.68%
Provisional	4677	6	75.00%	2	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	4677	166	76.15%	52	23.85%
Total	4677	2105	76.77%	637	23.23%
138 Duluth I					
Polling	4491	932	77.86%	265	22.14%
Absentee by Mail	4491	216	76.06%	68	23.94%
Advance in Person	4491	345	77.18%	102	22.82%
Provisional	4491	3	75.00%	1	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	4491	482	74.50%	165	25.50%
Total	4491	1978	76.70%	601	23.30%
139 Martins K					
Polling	4796	1142	83.18%	231	16.82%
Absentee by Mail	4796	132	80.49%	32	19.51%
Advance in Person	4796	169	84.50%	31	15.50%
Provisional	4796	17	89.47%	2	10.53%
Advance in Person 2	4796	671	84.94%	119	15.06%
Total	4796	2131	83.70%	415	16.30%
140 Suwanee H					
Polling	3480	792	79.28%	207	20.72%
Absentee by Mail	3480	121	69.94%	52	30.06%
Advance in Person	3480	40	83.33%	8	16.67%
Provisional	3480	13	72.22%	5	27.78%
Advance in Person 2	3480	802	76.02%	253	23.98%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Total	3480	1768	77.10%	525	22.90%
141 Sugar Hill G					
Polling	2601	624	73.85%	221	26.15%
Absentee by Mail	2601	65	73.86%	23	26.14%
Advance in Person	2601	95	77.87%	27	22.13%
Provisional	2601	12	92.31%	1	7.69%
Advance in Person 2	2601	409	74.36%	141	25.64%
Total	2601	1205	74.47%	413	25.53%
142 Suwanee F					
Polling	3598	815	77.84%	232	22.16%
Absentee by Mail	3598	119	89.47%	14	10.53%
Advance in Person	3598	57	82.61%	12	17.39%
Provisional	3598	11	84.62%	2	15.38%
Advance in Person 2	3598	646	78.49%	177	21.51%
Total	3598	1648	79.04%	437	20.96%
143 Lawrenceville L					
Polling	4355	1032	80.56%	249	19.44%
Absentee by Mail	4355	145	82.39%	31	17.61%
Advance in Person	4355	46	88.46%	6	11.54%
Provisional	4355	13	92.86%	1	7.14%
Advance in Person 2	4355	870	81.01%	204	18.99%
Total	4355	2106	81.09%	491	18.91%
144 Lawrenceville M					
Polling	5956	1240	83.90%	238	16.10%
Absentee by Mail	5956	134	80.24%	33	19.76%
Advance in Person	5956	219	85.21%	38	14.79%
Provisional	5956	17	89.47%	2	10.53%
Advance in Person 2	5956	1089	85.55%	184	14.45%
Total	5956	2699	84.50%	495	15.50%
145 Baycreek G					
Polling	5092	1205	80.23%	297	19.77%
Absentee by Mail	5092	128	78.53%	35	21.47%
Advance in Person	5092	41	85.42%	7	14.58%
Provisional	5092	11	84.62%	2	15.38%
Advance in Person 2	5092	1154	83.26%	232	16.74%
Total	5092	2539	81.59%	573	18.41%
146 Baycreek H					
Polling	5697	1253	74.94%	419	25.06%
Absentee by Mail	5697	170	84.16%	32	15.84%
Advance in Person	5697	69	72.63%	26	27.37%
Provisional	5697	8	100.00%	0	0.00%
Advance in Person 2	5697	1220	78.16%	341	21.84%
Total	5697	2720	76.88%	818	23.12%
147 Baycreek I					
Polling	5162	964	77.80%	275	22.20%
Absentee by Mail	5162	137	73.26%	50	26.74%
Advance in Person	5162	45	88.24%	6	11.76%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Provisional	5162	11	84.62%	2	15.38%
Advance in Person 2	5162	1162	79.37%	302	20.63%
Total	5162	2319	78.50%	635	21.50%
148 Berkshire Q					
Polling	1644	398	76.69%	121	23.31%
Absentee by Mail	1644	39	76.47%	12	23.53%
Advance in Person	1644	298	77.00%	89	23.00%
Provisional	1644	1	25.00%	3	75.00%
Advance in Person 2	1644	17	68.00%	8	32.00%
Total	1644	753	76.37%	233	23.63%
149 Goodwins I					
Polling	2341	639	73.62%	229	26.38%
Absentee by Mail	2341	98	79.03%	26	20.97%
Advance in Person	2341	75	92.59%	6	7.41%
Provisional	2341	5	83.33%	1	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	2341	356	80.36%	87	19.64%
Total	2341	1173	77.07%	349	22.93%
150 Duluth K					
Polling	2694	458	87.40%	66	12.60%
Absentee by Mail	2694	45	88.24%	6	11.76%
Advance in Person	2694	316	85.18%	55	14.82%
Provisional	2694	7	87.50%	1	12.50%
Advance in Person 2	2694	32	82.05%	7	17.95%
Total	2694	858	86.40%	135	13.60%
151 Harbins C					
Polling	2369	637	69.24%	283	30.76%
Absentee by Mail	2369	55	77.46%	16	22.54%
Advance in Person	2369	211	72.51%	80	27.49%
Provisional	2369	5	83.33%	1	16.67%
Advance in Person 2	2369	219	81.11%	51	18.89%
Total	2369	1127	72.34%	431	27.66%
152 Rockycreek C					
Polling	4504	1021	78.72%	276	21.28%
Absentee by Mail	4504	96	80.67%	23	19.33%
Advance in Person	4504	899	75.99%	284	24.01%
Provisional	4504	3	75.00%	1	25.00%
Advance in Person 2	4504	165	79.33%	43	20.67%
Total	4504	2184	77.69%	627	22.31%
153 Lawrenceville N					
Polling	2343	463	77.17%	137	22.83%
Absentee by Mail	2343	53	72.60%	20	27.40%
Advance in Person	2343	17	85.00%	3	15.00%
Provisional	2343	16	76.19%	5	23.81%
Advance in Person 2	2343	424	84.46%	78	15.54%
Total	2343	973	80.02%	243	19.98%
154 Pucketts D					
Polling	3166	649	76.08%	204	23.92%

Statement of Votes Cast
Gwinnett County
State of Georgia General Election
November 6, 2018
SOVC For Jurisdiction Wide, All Counters, All Races
Official and Complete

Condado de Gwinnett
Elecciones Generales del Estado de Georgia
6 de Noviembre del 2018
Resumen de Jurisdicción Amplia, Todos los Conteos, Todas las Contiendas
Oficiales y Completos

	Special - School Bonds / Especial - Bonos Mejora de Todo el Sistema Escolar				
	Reg. Voters	YES		NO	
Absentee by Mail	3166	97	72.93%	36	27.07%
Advance in Person	3166	589	70.20%	250	29.80%
Provisional	3166	10	76.92%	3	23.08%
Advance in Person 2	3166	53	72.60%	20	27.40%
Total	3166	1398	73.16%	513	26.84%
155 Suwanee G					
Polling	3451	831	75.27%	273	24.73%
Absentee by Mail	3451	110	84.62%	20	15.38%
Advance in Person	3451	65	85.53%	11	14.47%
Provisional	3451	11	84.62%	2	15.38%
Advance in Person 2	3451	584	79.67%	149	20.33%
Total	3451	1601	77.87%	455	22.13%
156 Baycreek J					
Polling	4038	915	80.19%	226	19.81%
Absentee by Mail	4038	123	79.87%	31	20.13%
Advance in Person	4038	33	70.21%	14	29.79%
Provisional	4038	8	88.89%	1	11.11%
Advance in Person 2	4038	905	81.97%	199	18.03%
Total	4038	1984	80.81%	471	19.19%
Total					
Polling	519201	114543	78.18%	31968	21.82%
Absentee by Mail	519201	14718	78.65%	3995	21.35%
Advance in Person	519201	45325	77.63%	13060	22.37%
Advance in Person 2	519201	56304	79.31%	14690	20.69%
Provisional	519201	1582	83.75%	307	16.25%
Total	519201	232472	78.41%	64020	21.59%